Cancer Mediating Genes Recognition using Multilayer Perceptron Model- An Application on Human Leukemia
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ABSTRACT

In the present article, we develop multilayer perceptron model for identification of some possible genes mediating different leukemia. The procedure involves grouping of gene based correlation coefficient and finally select of some possible genes. The procedure has been successfully applied three human leukemia gene expression data sets. The superiority of the procedure has been demonstrated seven existing gene selection methods like Support Vector Machine (SVM), Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM), Bayesian Regularization (BR), Neighborhood Analysis (NA), Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM), Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is demonstrated, in terms of the influence of each Go attribute of the important genes based on p-value statistics. The result are properly validated by before analysis, t-test, gene expression profile plots. The proposed procedure has been capable to select genes that are more biologically significant for mediating of leukemia then those obtained by existing methods.

1 Introduction

In the body, cancer is the uncontrolled enhancement of unusual cell. When the body’s normal control procedure stop the working, then cancer are build-up [1]. Old cells do not expire and in its place develop out of control, establishing fresh, abnormal cells. These additional cells may create a mass of tissue, called a tumor [2]. Leukemia is a cancer which starts in blood forming tissue, usually the bone marrow [3]. It leads to the over production of abnormal white blood cells [4]. However, the abnormal cells in leukemia do not function in the same way as normal white blood cells [5]. There are several types of leukemia, based upon how speedily the disease is growing up and the type of abnormal cells are generate. Peoples are may be affected at all stage by cancer [6]. In 2015, 54,270 people are prospective to be diagnosed with leukemia. The overall five-year correlative anointing rate for leukemia has more than four fold since 1960. From 1960 to 1965, the five-year correlative anointing rate among whites (only data available) with leukemia was 14%. From 1975 to 1980, the five-year correlative anointing rate for the total population with leukemia was 34.20% [7], and from 2004 to 2010, the overall correlative anointing rate was 60.30% [8]. From 2005-2010, the five-year relative correlative anointing overall were Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) is 59.90% [9], Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) is 83.50%, Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) is 25.40% overall and 66.30% for children and adolescents younger than 15 years and Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia (ALL) is 70% overall [10], 91.80% for children and adolescents younger than 15 years, and 93% for children younger than 5 years. In 2015, 24,450 people are expected to die from leukemia with 14,040 males and 10,050 females [11]. In Us 2007-2011, leukemia was the fifth most common cause of cancer deaths in men and the sixth most common in women.

In the field of microarray data analysis, one of the most challenging remittance is gene selection [12]. In gene expression data normally contains a large number of variables genes compared to the numbers of samples [13]. The conventional data mining methodology cannot be directly used to the data due to this identity problem [14]. In this reason analysis of gene expression data used dimension reduction procedure [15]. The gene selection which deducts the genes
extremely related to the pattern of every types disease in order to escape such problems [16]. Parametric and non-parametric tests are the statistical approach [17]. For example t-test and Wilcoxon rank sum test have been thoroughly used for searching differentially revealed genes since they are instinctive to understand and implement [18]. But they have a restriction to propagate, if more than two classes and require time swallowing coordination to solve the problem of multiple testing [19]. For three or more groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test can be used. But it may be created biased result because of reliance on the number of samples, when it is used to microarray data whose sample size are normally unbalanced [20]. Many diseases, they are reason by the problems such as chromosomal disequilibrium and gene mutations, which give away abnormal gene expression patterns. These pattern get the information about underlying genetic process and states of several types disease. If these patterns can be analyzed appropriately, they can be effective for recognize the disease sample and identifying the extent to which a patient is affliction from the disease and which can be help in the supervision of disease.

The microarray gene expression data have been collected to underlying biological process of a number of diseases [21]. It is very essential to narrow down from thousands of genes to a few disease genes and gene ranking [18]. In microarray data analysis genes selection is most important phase. For classification of data analysis, several forms of technique have been proposed for gene ranking [22]. These are classified into three several types: filter, wrapper and embedded process [23]. Each of these categories has its personal advantages and disadvantages. For example, filter process are computationally useful and simple but minor performance than the other process. On the other hand, wrapper and embedded procedure are comparatively much complicated and computationally costly but it usually gives excellent classification performance as they mainly apply classifier characteristics in gene ranking. Filter procedure include T-score, which is t-statistic standardized interrelation between input and output class labels [15]. On the other hand, wrapper and embedded procedure include Support Vector Machine (SVM) and its variants, random forest-RFE, elastic net, guided regularized random forest [24], balanced iterative random forest etc. Main distinction of filter process and wrapper or embedded procedure is how they behave samples when ranking genes. For example, in filter procedure, all the samples are usually used for gene ranking but the quality and relevance data samples are ignore [26]. On the other hand wrapper or embedded procedure, classifier such as boosting algorithm, logistic regression, Support Vector Machine (SVM) etc., are used to gene ranking [27].

For complicated data analysis, we can used an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) model [28]. An ANN was externally used for solve the problem such as diagnosis of different types of cancer such as speech recognition, breast cancer [28] [29] and cervical cancer. Several types of effective researches on blood cells using neural network model has been committed [30]. Onget al enhancement a completely automated classification of bone marrow and blood using several types of way such as neural network and support vector machine (SVM). The best performance of SVM with accuracy of 91.05% as parallelism to Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) network using Conjugate Gradient Descent, Linear Vector Quantization (LVQ), and k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier which generate accuracy of 89.74%, 83.33% and 80.76% respectively.

In this paper, we proposed a method based on neural network models for identify a set of possible genes mediating the development of cancerous growth in cell. We said this model is Multilayer Perceptron Model-1 (MLP-1) and Multilayer Perceptron Model-2 (MLP-2) [31]. At first we form group of genes using correlation coefficient, then we select the most important group. Finally, we present a set of possible genes get by this method, which may be responsible for cancerous growth in human cell. In this article, we consider three human leukemia gene expression data sets. The usefulness of the procedure, along with its excellent result over several others procedure, has been demonstrated three cancer related human leukemia gene expression data sets. The results have been compared seven existing gene selection methods like Support Vector Machine (SVM), Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM), Bayesian Regularization (BR), Neighborhood Analysis (NA), Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM), and Hidden Markov Model (HMM). The results are appropriately validated by some previous investigations and gene expression profiles, and compared using t-test, p-value, and number of enriched attributes. Moreover, the proposed procedure has get more number of true positive genes than the existing ones in identifying responsible genes.

2 Related Work

In this article, we have proposed procedure based neural network models for identification of cancer mediating genes. On existing gene selection methods, we have made a survey for comparative analysis [32]. Among them, we have select some existing gene selection methods like SVM, SNR, SAM, BR, NA, GMM, HMM. SVM is a one type of machine learning procedure which is differ two classes by maximizing the margin between them [33]. For cancer classification, support vector machines (SVMs) is used to identify important genes [34]. The Lasso (L1) SVM and standard SVM are often considered using quadratic and linear programming procedure. A recurrent algorithm is used to solve the Smoothly Clipped Absolute Deviation (SCAD) SVM efficiently. Almost all the cases, it is noticed that with smaller standard errors the SCAD-SVM selects a smaller and a more stable number of
genes than the L1-SVM. Another algorithm of gene selection using the weight magnitude as ranking criterion is Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) SVM [35]. The SVM-RFE procedure ranks all the genes according to some scoring operation, and remove one or more genes with the lowest score values [36]. When the maximal classification accuracy is achieved, then the procedure will be stop [37]. Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is applied to rank the correlative genes according to their discriminative power. The procedure starts with the evaluation of a single gene, and frequently finding for other genes based on some statistical criteria [38]. The high SNR genes scores are select as the significant ones. Measurement of SNR score are affected by the size of variables [39]. When there are more than variables, the average and disunity of the other variables of another classes are dependent on the number of variables and data dispersion, which effect SNR ranking of the important variables due to the enhancement in noise in the data. The procedure is more efficient of finding and ranking a smaller number of important variables, when the number of variables can be decrease significantly. Significance analysis of microarray (SAM) is a one type of gene selection procedure which use a set of gene specific t-test and identify genes with statistical significant changing in expression values [40]. The basis of change in the gene expression values, every gene is assigned a score value. If the gene score value the greater than a threshold value which indicate potentially significant [41]. False Discovery Rate (FDR) is the percentage of such genes identified by chance [42]. In order to calculate FDR, insignificance genes are identified by analyzing layout of the measurements. Identify the smaller or larger sets of genes can be adjusted by using threshold value, and FDRs are computed for every set. The main problem is that, in permutation step where entire gene group are put in one group for evaluation. This needs an expensive computation and it likelyconfuses the analysis because of the noise in gene expression data. A simple Bayesian procedure has been used to remove the regularization parameter [43]. The value of a regularization parameter is determined by degree of sparsely, which is get an optimal result. Normally this include a model selection step, and calculate the minimization of cross validation error based on intensive search. Neighborhood analysis (NA) is a procedure for clustering multivariate data analysis based on a given distance metric over the data. Functionally, purpose of NA and K-nearest neighbor algorithm are same [44]. Any significant correlation cannot detect and this is the main disadvantage. This problem may be due to the few number of genes and also likely that the phenotype is too complicated to be connected with a cluster of genes, and a more extend relationship may present in gene expression. A Gaussian mixture model (GMM) is represented as a weighted sum of gaussian component densities which is based on a parametric probability density function [45]. For parameter selection GMM has been used. We have designed GMM on microarray gene expression data for gene selection. On the other hand, for genes identification, we have designed Hidden Markov Model (HMM) on microarray gene expression data sets. Normally HMMs provide an intuitional framework for representing genes with their several functional properties, and proficient algorithms can be creating to use these models to identify genes.

3 Methodology
Let us assume a set $G = (g_1, g_2, ..., g_i)$ of $i$ genes are known which is hold the normal samples for first $m$ expression values and diseased samples for subsequent $n$ expression values. Now correlation coefficient of gene based normal samples are calculated. Therefore, correlation coefficient $R_{qr}$ between $q^{th}$ and $r^{th}$ genes is given by

$$R_{qr} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}(g_{qi} - \bar{y}_q) \cdot (g_{ri} - \bar{y}_r)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}(g_{qi} - \bar{y}_q)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}(g_{ri} - \bar{y}_r)^2}}$$

(1)

Here $y_q$ and $y_r$ are the mean of expression values of $q^{th}$ and $r^{th}$ gene respectively in normal samples. Similarly, for diseased samples the iteration coefficient $R_{qr}$ between $q^{th}$ and $r^{th}$ genes is given by

$$R_{qr} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(g_{qi} - \bar{y}_q') \cdot (g_{ri} - \bar{y}_r')}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(g_{qi} - \bar{y}_q')^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(g_{ri} - \bar{y}_r')^2}}$$

(2)

Using equation [1] and [2] each pair of genes is computed. The genes are located in the similar group if $R_{qr} \geq 0.5$. Now we have used interrelation coefficient to narrow down hearted the invention space by searching genes of a comparable behavior in terms of related expression patterns. The set of responsible genes mediating certain cancers are recognized in this procedure. The choice of 0.50 as a threshold value has been done through extensive experimentation for which the distances among the cluster center have become maximize [48]. The main set of genes is obtained in this pathway [49].

An extremely simplified model of biological structures is an Artificial Neural Networks that imitate the conduct of the human brain. A huge number of interrelated processing components of the layered structure is composed and intended to imitate biological neurons. MLP model is the one of the most popular ANN types with back-propagation algorithm. Figure [1] show the architecture of MLP model containing of interconnected neurons of three layers [50]. This three layers are input layer, hidden layer, and output layer [51]. This model is represented as $n \times p \times q$. Where input layer consist of $n$ number of neurons, hidden layer consist of $p$ number of neurons and output layer consist of $q$ number of neurons. In the higher layer every neuron in every layer is completely attached to all neurons and every link has a weight connected with it. In interconnected neurons, these
weights are define the nature and strength of the influence. The output signals from one layer are conducted to the consequent layer by using links that amplify the signals based on the interconnected weights. Exception of the input layer neurons, the total input of every neuron is the sum of weighted outputs of the previous layer neurons. In hidden and output layers neurons, we can calculate the output by using sigmoid logistic function such as an activation function.

Every interconnection weight of the incremental correction can be calculated by

$$\Delta \omega_{kj} = -\gamma \frac{\partial \epsilon(p)}{\partial \omega_{kj}} + \rho \Delta \omega_{kj}(p-1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

The incremental correction of the interconnection weight between the desired outputs and calculated outputs.

The squared errors of average sum are over all training patterns was globally reduced. On training period completion, the testing period was conduct those input pattern which was not present in the training set. Now we consider a MLP model with $n \times p \times 1$ network. Input layer, hidden layer and output layer are $n, p, 1$ respectively.

For both hidden and output neurons was select sigmoid function as the activation function. The mapping function of input-output are realize by the network.

Every output neuron was calculated from the difference between the calculated and desired outputs. $\epsilon(p)$ is the system error of $p^{th}$ training pattern, which is defined as

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{q} (D_k(p) - x_k(p))^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

Where $D_k(p)$ and $x_k(p)$ are the $k^{th}$ element of the desired output and calculated output respectively. On the other hand number of neurons in output layer is $q$. Readjustment of the weights in the hidden layers and output layers is the next step by using a generalized delta rule which is minimizing the distinction between the desired outputs and calculated outputs.

![Figure 1: Architecture of an MLP network](image1.png)

![Figure 2: $n \times p \times 1$ MLP model architecture.](image2.png)
Algorithm 1 Training procedure - MLP-1

Step 1: Initialize all weight and bias.
Step 2: While terminating condition is not satisfied;
   Step 2.1 For each training tuple; propagate the input forward,
   Step 3: For each output layer of unit q,
      Step 3.1: Output of an input unit, which is actual input values,
Step 4: For every hidden layers or output layers,
   Step 4.1: Compute the net input of unit q with respect to the previous layer p;
   Step 4.2: Compute the output of q, layer.
Step 5: Compute the error of output layer of unit q;
Step 6: Compute the error with respect to the next higher layers.
Step 7: Increment the weight of every layers.
Step 8: Update the weight of every layers.
Step 9: Increment the bias of every layers.
Step 10: Update the bias of each layers.
Step 11: After some iteration, when all $\Delta \omega_{pq}$ in the previous epoch were so small as to bellow specified threshold 0.05, then the procedure will be stop.

Algorithm 2 Training procedure - MLP-2

Step 1: Initialize the weight and bias.
Step 2: Perform a vector of row which is the weight interconnection between the hidden layers (p nodes) and output layer.
Step 2: Perform $n \times p \times$ matrix and interconnection weights between the input nodes (n) and hidden nodes (p) is $\omega$.
Step 3: Row vector are computed.
Step 4: Relative weight of input node are computed.
Step 4: After some iteration, when all weight values in the previous epoch were so small as to bellow specified threshold 0.05, then the procedure will be stop.

Interconnection weight between the $k^{th}$ hidden neuron and output neuron is $N_{ik}$, interconnection weight between the $k^{th}$ hidden neuron and $j^{th}$ input neuron is $\omega_{kj}$. In the $j^{th}$ hidden neuron the output value is $Out_j$. $x_j$ is the input of the $j^{th}$ input neuron, where $Out_0 = -1$. The case belongs to class 1, where $Out > 0.05$ and case belongs to class 0 where $Out_1 < 0.05$. A node can recognized an output of that node given an input or set of inputs by using activation function. A linear access can be produce 1 or 0 output, but non-linear process the activation function can be generate the output in the specific limit. The activation function can be accepted large forms construct on the data sets. A set of training samples, comparing the networks prediction for each sample with the actual known class level are frequently generating by using back-propagation learning method. The weights are changes as to minimize the mean squared error between the actual class and the prediction network for each sample. The weight and every bias are initialized to small random number of the network. Now we can calculate the total input and output of each unit in the output layer and hidden layer. At first the sample are fed to the input layer of the network. Note that for unit q in the input layer, its output is equal to its input, that is $Out_q = \eta_q$ for input $q$. Given an unit $q$ in a hidden layer or output layer, the net input $\eta_q$, to unit $q$ is

$$\eta_q = \sum \omega_{pq} Out_p + \beta_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where $\omega_{pq}$ is the weight of the connection from unit $p$ in the previous layer to unit $q$; $Out_p$ is the output of unit $p$ from the previous layer and $\beta_q$ is the bias of the unit. Given the net input $\eta_q$ to unit $q$ and output of unit $q$ is computed as

$$Out_q = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^{-\eta_q}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The error is created backwards by updating the weight and bias in the network. For a unit $q$ in the output layer, the error $\kappa_q$ is computed by

$$\kappa_q = Out_q(1 - Out_q)(Out_q - Out_q)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Where $Out_q$ is the actual output of unit $q$ and $\chi_q$ is the true output. The error of hidden layer of unit $q$ is

$$\kappa_q = Out_q(1 - Out_q)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Where $\alpha_{qr}$ is the weight of the connection from unit $q$ to unit $r$ and $\kappa_r$ is the error of unit $r$. Now the weight of every layer are incremented and update the weight value of each layer.

$$\Delta \omega_{pq} = (\mu)\kappa_q Out_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$\omega_{pq} = \omega_{pq} + \Delta \omega_{pq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Now the bias of every layer are incremented and update the all bias value of each layer.

$$\Delta \beta_q = (\mu)\kappa_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$\beta_q = \beta_q + \Delta \beta_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)
After some iteration, when all $\Delta \omega_{pq}$ in the previous epoch were so small as to bellow specified threshold 0.05, then the procedure will be stop. The procedure will be describe in algorithm 1 and algorithm 2.

### 4 Description of the Data sets

In this work, we can select three types of leukemia gene expression data sets. The data sets ID is GDS-2643, GDS-2501, GDS-3057 and title of the data set is Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia (B lymphocytes and plasma cells), B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and Acute Myeloid Leukemia respectively. The data base web link is http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/geo/.

The data set (GDS-2643) consists of 22,283 numbers of genes with 56 samples. Among them, there are 13 normal samples which consist of 8 normal for B lymphocytes and 5 normal plasma cells and 43 diseased samples which consist of 20 Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia, 11 chronic lymphocytic leukemia, 12 multiple myeloma samples.

The dataset (GDS-2501) data set consists of 22,283 numbers of genes with 16 samples. In this sample analysis of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) cells that express or do not express zeta-associated protein (ZAP-70) and CD38. The prognosis of patients with ZAP-70-CD38- B-CLL cells is good, those with ZAP-70+CD38+ B-CLL cells is poor.

The dataset (GDS-3057) content 26 Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) patients with normal hematopoietic cells at a variety of different stages of maturation from 38 healthy donors The total data set consist of 22,283 number of genes with 64 samples. Among them, there are 38 normal samples which contents 10 normal for bone marrow, 10 normal samples for peripheral blood, 8 normal samples for bone marrow CD34 plus and 10 normal samples for Primed peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cells (PBSC) CD34 plus. On the other hand there are 26 leukemia samples which contents 7 bone marrow and 19 peripheral bloods.

### 5 Comparative Performance Evaluation of the Models

In this section, the usefulness of the procedure has been demonstrated three types of human leukemia gene expression data sets. Now we can apply comparative analysis with seven existing methods like SVM, SNR, SAM, BR, NA, GMM and HMM. We have applied this procedure on the gene expression data sets for selecting important genes. We have found two classifier groups. One is normal class and another is disease class. After some iteration, we have found normalized value of every gene. Here we have considered a threshold value which is 0.05. After normalization if the gene value is grater then 0.05, then which types of gene is normal gene. After normalization if the gene value is less than 0.05, then which types of gene is disease gene. We consider several genes that are most significant of our experiment. The gene expression values are significantly changes from normal samples to diseased samples. Applying this process on the first data set (GDS-2643), we have found that genes like CYBB, TPT1 and PRDM2 among the most important genes which are over the expressed the diseased samples. On the other hand CRYAB minimize the expression value and fully significant in diseased samples. The gene are recognize as an under expressed gene. In order to limited size of manuscript, we have showed only the profile plots of genes of GDS-2643 data set (depicted in Figure 3). In the case of GDS-2501 data set, the genes like ACTB, CENPN, ALCAM, PXN have changed their expression values for normal samples to diseased samples. Similarly, the dataset GDS-3057, like TDG, CTIF, LLS, NAB2 have changed their expression values for normal samples to diseased samples. The usefulness of the methodology has been shown three types of leukemia gene expression data. We have applied the methodology on the aforesaid gene expression data sets for selecting some important gene intercede diseases. Now we have applied the procedure on the previous gene expression data sets for selecting some important diseases mediating genes. In this procedure, at first based on correlation values the genes are placed into group. For GDS-2643, we have found six groups which holding 1869, 1131, 1033, 601, 537 and 1208 number of genes (Table 5). The most important group by both MLP-1 and MLP-2 has been selected 1869 number of group genes. Now we have considered two classes for both MLP-1 and MLP-2. One is normal samples class and other is diseased samples class. $\omega$ is a weight coefficient value which is initialized by random numbers. Both MLP-1 and MLP-2, we have found 28 and 30 number of genes respectively, when grouping of genes and most important groups selection are completed. Now we have found 20 numbers genes that are present in both procedure. Among them, based on their $\omega$ values we have selected 18 number of genes.

Similarly in GDS-2501, we have found eight groups which containing 652, 1031, 1217, 1301, 816, 539, 741 and 912 number of genes. Similarly in GDS-3057, eight group of genes which contain 2521, 2624, 2241, 2341, 2471, 803, 2191, and 2238. Now we have found 1869 genes for GDS-2643, 1217 genes for GDS-2501 and 2624 genes for GDS-3057 respectively by applying both MLP-1 and MLP-2. Finally we have found 24, 21 and 20 number of most important genes corresponding to the three data set by using MLP-1. On the other hand we have found 25, 21 and 19 number of most important genes corresponding to the three data set by using MLP-2. The number of genes which is found by both MLP-1 and MLP-2 are 18, 21 and 17 to these data sets. Table 5 show that for different sets of genes the number of functionally enriched attributes corresponding to these methods. It has been found that both procedure MLP-1 and MLP-2 performed the best results for all data sets. These results show that
Table 1: Selection of groups and genes for different data set

| Data set ID | Selected group | No of selected groups from selected group | Group | No genes in each group |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| GDS-2643    | 1              | 18                                       | 1     | 1869                  |
|             |                |                                          | 2     | 1131                  |
|             |                |                                          | 3     | 1033                  |
|             |                |                                          | 4     | 601                   |
|             |                |                                          | 5     | 537                   |
|             |                |                                          | 6     | 1208                  |
|             |                |                                          | 1     | 652                   |
|             |                |                                          | 2     | 1031                  |
|             |                |                                          | 3     | 1217                  |
|             |                |                                          | 4     | 1301                  |
|             |                |                                          | 5     | 816                   |
|             |                |                                          | 6     | 539                   |
|             |                |                                          | 7     | 741                   |
|             |                |                                          | 8     | 912                   |
| GDS-2501    | 2              | 21                                       | 1     | 652                   |
|             |                |                                          | 2     | 1031                  |
|             |                |                                          | 3     | 1217                  |
|             |                |                                          | 4     | 1301                  |
|             |                |                                          | 5     | 816                   |
|             |                |                                          | 6     | 539                   |
|             |                |                                          | 7     | 741                   |
|             |                |                                          | 8     | 912                   |
| GDS-3057    | 4              | 17                                       | 1     | 2521                  |
|             |                |                                          | 2     | 2624                  |
|             |                |                                          | 3     | 2241                  |
|             |                |                                          | 4     | 2341                  |
|             |                |                                          | 5     | 2471                  |
|             |                |                                          | 6     | 803                   |
|             |                |                                          | 7     | 2191                  |
|             |                |                                          | 8     | 2238                  |

Table 1: Selection of groups and genes for different data set

the both MLP-1 and MLP-2 procedure has been able to select the more number of important genes responsible for mediating a disease than the other seven existing procedure.

5.1 Validation of the Result

In this part, we have analysis the results which is founded by different types of procedure including MLP-1 and MLP-2. In this comparison we have using p-value, t-test, biochemical pathways, F-test and sensitivity.

5.1.1 Statistical Validation

Prosperity of every GO attributes of every genes has been computed by its p-value. When the p-value is low, it means that the genes are biologically significant. Now we have create a comparative analysis, by using some other procedure like SVM, SNR, SAM, BR, NA, GMM and HMM. For different sets of genes Table 2 show that number of functionally enriched attributes corresponding to these procedure. For all data set we have been show that MLP-1 and MLP-2 performed the best result. The more important genes are select by these procedure are show in these results. Both NFM-1 and NFM-2 are accomplished of getting more number of true positive genes in terms of identifying the GO attributes and cancer attributes with respect to other existing procedure are depicted in Figure[4]. Now we have 478 GO attributes of 375 gene set are identified among them 102 GO attributes of cancer related are identified.

Now we validate the results statistically, we have performed t-test on the genes identified by DLM on each data sets. t-test is the statistical significance which indicate whether or not the difference between two groups average most likely reflects an original difference in the population from which the group wear sampled. The t-value show the most significant genes (99.9%) which p-value < 0.001. For this three types of data set we can apply t-test and we get corresponding t-value. We have identify some important genes like IARS (4.78), MMP25 (5.68), TYMS (4.96), HPS6 (5.24), MLX (4.3), CALCA (4.63), HIC2 (4.12), ANP32B (5.16), TFPI (4.51), CRYAB (3.08), NCF1C (3.71), HNRNPH1 (3.12), etc. The number in the bracket shows t-value of the corresponding gene. The t-value of this genes exceeds the value for P = 0.001. This means that this gene is highly significant (99.9% level of significance). Similarly genes like ERCC5 (3.52), PRDM2 (3.45), PRIM2 (2.54), TPT1 (3.35), RPS26 (2.41), EFCAB11 (3.71), PRPSAP2 (3.43), PRKACA (2.44), etc exceed the t-value for P = 0.01. It indicated that this gene is significant at the level of 99%. Similarly genes like MED17 (2.55), MAPK1 (2.35), PIK3CB (2.45), NMD3 (2.15), ARG2 (2.16), EXOC3 (2.56), WHSC1 (2.71), RFC4 (2.35), GLB1 (2.71), HNF1A (2.41) etc exceeds the value for P = 0.05. It indicate that this genes significant at the level of 95%. Similarly genes like FLG (1.77), TXNL1 (1.24), RIN3 (1.34), CYBB (2.31), ZNF814 (1.45), KLF4 (1.18) etc exceeds the value for P = 0.1. It indicate that this type of genes significant at the level of 90%.

In GDS-2643, we have found cancer pathway for non-small cell and small cell leukemia. In these two
Table 2: Result of several sets of genes on number of attributes

| Data set   | Gene Set | MLP-1 | MLP-2 | SVM | SNR | SAM | BR | NA | GMM | HMM |
|------------|----------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|
| GDS-2643   | First 5  | 60    | 58    | 59  | 10  | 12  | 15 | 10 | 27  | 20  |
|            | First 10 | 72    | 73    | 65  | 17  | 18  | 20 | 13 | 32  | 25  |
|            | First 15 | 77    | 79    | 71  | 21  | 22  | 26 | 12 | 41  | 29  |
|            | First 20 | 79    | 92    | 76  | 30  | 26  | 30 | 16 | 45  | 34  |
| GDS-2501   | First 5  | 83    | 78    | 85  | 15  | 55  | 48 | 33 | 39  | 48  |
|            | First 10 | 88    | 85    | 88  | 24  | 62  | 55 | 41 | 44  | 60  |
|            | First 15 | 101   | 98    | 95  | 31  | 68  | 72 | 52 | 51  | 55  |
|            | First 20 | 107   | 106   | 103 | 39  | 84  | 65 | 66 | 68  | 77  |
| GDS-3057   | First 5  | 45    | 52    | 37  | 21  | 24  | 26 | 24 | 19  | 20  |
|            | First 10 | 63    | 62    | 46  | 35  | 30  | 29 | 33 | 25  | 28  |
|            | First 15 | 67    | 65    | 57  | 37  | 29  | 31 | 39 | 27  | 35  |
|            | First 20 | 69    | 70    | 55  | 41  | 31  | 35 | 42 | 36  | 39  |

Figure 3: Expression profiles of some over-expressed genes (CYBB, TPT1, PRDM2) and under-express (CRYAB) in normal (shown by blue points) and disease (shown by red points) sample of human leukemia expression data.
Figure 4: Identification of GO attributes and Cancer attributes the Performance comparisons of MLP-1 and MLP-2 with other seven existing methods.

Comparison among the methods in biochemical pathway

Figure 5: Comparison among the methods. Here TP, FP, FN indicate the number of truepositive, falsepositive, falsenegative respectively.
pathway we have found 407 number of genes. Now this set of genes, are compared with those obtained by seven existing procedures. Here 293 and 300 number of genes are identified which is common in database information and the results of both MLP-1 and MLP-2, respectively. These genes are called \textit{truepositive}(TP) genes. In top rank 407 genes, we have found 116 and 110 number of genes are present respectively in both procedure MLP-1 and MLP-2 but not present these pathway. These genes are called \textit{falsepositive}(FP) genes. Similarly we have found 116 and 110 number of genes are \textit{falsenegative}(FN) for both MLP-1 and MLP-2 procedure respectively. Now we have calculate the number of \textit{truepositive}(TP), \textit{falsepositive}(FP) and \textit{falsenegative}(FN) genes for other seven existing methods. From Figure 5 show that both NFM-1 and NFM-2 procedure have been able to identify more number of \textit{truepositive} genes, but less number of \textit{falsepositive} and \textit{falsenegative} genes compared to all the other procedures. Similarly in GDS-2501 and GDS-3057, we have been able to identify more number of \textit{truepositive} genes, but less number of \textit{falsepositive} and \textit{falsenegative} genes compared to all the other procedures and result are show in Figure 6.

5.1.2 Biological Validation

The disease mediating gene list corresponding to a specific disease can be founded by a gene database namely NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Database). We have found several set of genes for GDS-2643, GDS-2501 and GDS-3057 respectively. For GDS-2643, by using both MLP-1 and MLP-2, we have identified 351 numbers of genes. Now we have compared this set of genes with 351 genes from NCBI and both MLP-1 and MLP-2 can be identified 247 and 241 number of genes respectively, which is common both sets. These genes said \textit{truepositive}(TP). On the other hand, (351-247) = 104 and (351-241) = 110 number of genes are not present the list of genes which is found from NCBI for both procedure MLP-1 and MLP-2 respectively. These numbers of gene are called \textit{falsepositive}(FP) genes. Similarly (351-247) = 104 and (351-241) = 110 number of genes are present NCBI database but not present in the set of genes which is found by both procedure MLP-1 and MLP-2 respectively. These numbers of gene are called \textit{falsenegative}(FN) genes. Likewise other procedure, viz., SVM, SNR, SAM, BR, NA, GMM, HMM are compared our result on GDS-2643, GDS-2501 and GDS-3057 respectively. Figure 6 show that both MLP-1 and MLP-2 found the more number of true positive (TP) genes then the other existing procedure for GDS-2643, GDS-2501 and GDS-3057 respectively.

Now we can order to validate our result and calculate the Sensitivity of gene expression data sets. The Sensitivity is computed by using following formula:

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

At first we have computed the total number of true positive genes for every data set for every procedure. As our result, Sensitivity of both MLP-1 and MLP-2 procedure is more than the other existing procedure. Figure 7 show that Sensitivity of both MLP-1 and MLP-2 has performed the best for GDS-2501 data set.

6 Conclusion

In this article, we have proposed a model based on multilayer perceptron, which will select the genes that have been changed quite significantly from normal stage to disease stage. Base on vale of correlation, the different types of genes can be obtained and we have found most important groups. The gene of these groups are evaluated by using both procedure MLP-1 and MLP-2. The most important genes are gained by the procedure have also been corroborated by \textit{p}-values of genes. The best result of the procedure compression too few standing once has been demonstrated. The output have been corroborated using biochemical pathway, \textit{p}-value, \textit{t}-test, sensitivity and some existing result expression profile plots. It has been obtained that the procedure has been capable to the genes are most significant.

Appendix

\textbf{F-score:} \textit{F-score} are a statistical method for determining accuracy accounting for both precision and recall. The formula for traditional \textit{F-score} is, \textit{F-score}$= 2 \cdot \frac{(\textit{precision} \cdot \textit{recall})}{\textit{precision} + \textit{recall}}$. Where \textit{precision} = \frac{\textit{TP}}{\textit{TP} + \textit{FP}}, \textit{Recall} = \frac{\textit{TP}}{\textit{TP} + \textit{FN}}.

True Positive: A true positive test result is one that detect the condition when the condition is present. True positive rate = \frac{\textit{TP}}{\textit{TP} + \textit{FN}}.

False Positive: A false positive is an error in some rating method in which a condition tested for is badly found to have been detected. A false positive test result is one that detect the condition when the condition is absent. False positive value = \frac{\textit{FP}}{\textit{FP} + \textit{TN}}.
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Comparison among the methods using NCBI database

Figure 6: Comparison among the methods using NCBI database. Here TP, FP, FN indicate the number of truepositive, falsepositive, falsenegative respectively.

Figure 7: Comparison among the methods using NCBI database.
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