Diagnostics of non-Maxwellian electron distributions in solar active regions from Fe XII lines observed by Hinode/EIS and IRIS
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ABSTRACT

We present joint Hinode/EIS and IRIS observations of Fe XII lines in active regions, both on-disk and off-limb. We use an improved calibration for the EIS data, and find that the 192.4 Å / 1349 Å observed ratio is consistent with the values predicted by CHIANTI and the coronal approximation in quiescent areas, but not in all active region observations, where the ratio is often lower than expected by up to a factor of about two. We investigate a number of physical mechanisms that could affect this ratio, such as opacity and absorption from cooler material. We find significant opacity in the EIS Fe XII 193 and 195 Å lines, but not in the 192.4 Å line, in agreement with previous findings. As we cannot rule out possible EUV absorption by H, He and He II in the on-disk observations, we focus on an off-limb observation where such absorption is minimal. After considering these, as well as possible non-equilibrium effects, we suggest that the most likely explanation for the observed low Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio is the presence of non-Maxwellian electron distributions in the active regions. This is in agreement with previous findings based on EIS and IRIS observations independently.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spectral lines from Fe XII provide a wide range of plasma diagnostics for the solar corona, as this ion produces strong lines and is highly abundant. The strongest transitions are in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV), and have been routinely observed by the Hinode Extreme Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) (Culhane et al. 2007). Among them, the most intense lines are three decays to the ground state, from $^4P$ states of Fe XII, at 192.4, 193.5, and 195.1 Å. These Fe XII EIS lines have been widely used for a range of diagnostic applications, especially in active regions.

Fe XII also produces several weaker forbidden lines in the UV from transitions within its ground configuration. These include the 1242 Å line which has been observed by e.g., SoHO SUMER (Wilhelm et al. 1997), and the 1349 Å line, observed by the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) (De Pontieu et al. 2014). Because of the difference in the excitation energies between the ground configuration states and the upper levels emitting the EUV lines, the ratios of the UV forbidden lines to any of the 192.4, 193.5 and 195.1 Å lines observed by EIS provide a direct and important diagnostic of the electron temperature, largely independent of any assumption of ionisation equilibrium, although the ratios also have a density dependence as shown in Fig. 1. For the same reason, these ratios are also excellent, unexplored diagnostics for the presence of non-Maxwellian electron distributions (NMED), see e.g., Dudík et al. (2014). In this case, independent measurements of the electron temperature are necessary.

We have recently obtained strong evidence that NMED effects are present in active regions...
The ratios of the Fe XII UV forbidden lines vs. the EUV lines is also sensitive to any EUV absorption due to the presence of cool material such as filaments and spicular material, which could significantly affect many diagnostic applications of EUV lines. Most of the EUV absorption is due to photoionisation of the ground state of neutral hydrogen, with a threshold at 912 Å, but significant absorption can also be due to photoionisation of the ground states of neutral helium (threshold at 504 Å) and ionised helium (threshold at 228 Å). Such absorption is widespread in the solar corona, and is easily visible in active regions filaments. However, any absorption due to low-lying emission such as spicules is more difficult to measure, as it is inter-mingled with the moss emission. De Pontieu et al. (2009) carried out a comparison between the Fe XII forbidden line observed by SoHO SUMER at 1242 Å and the 195.1 Å line observed by Hinode/EIS in an active region. They found that the 195.1 Å / 1242 Å ratio in moss regions was a factor of about 1.5 lower than expected and concluded that a likely explanation for the discrepancy was absorption in the EUV due to cool plasma. They used an early version of CHIANTI, the one which was available at that time. Since then, a large-scale scattering calculation for Fe XII (Del Zanna et al. 2012) significantly changed (by 30–50%) the populations of the ground configuration states. The new calculations consequently increased significantly the intensities of the forbidden lines. The improved Fe XII atomic data were made available in version 8 of CHIANTI, and are also those in the current CHIANTI v.10 (Del Zanna et al. 2021). With the improved atomic data, the 195.1/1242 Å ratio decreases by about a factor of 1.5, bringing them in better agreement with the ratios observed by De Pontieu et al. (2009) in the moss regions, although not with the loop regions.

As IRIS is capable of measuring the Fe XII 1349 Å line with a faster cadence than that of SUMER for the 1242 Å line (about one hour), we devised a Hinode campaign (HOP 246) of simultaneous EIS/IRIS active region observations. The campaign started on 2014 February 14 and was mostly run in March 2014 on a few active regions, in particular following the disk passage of AR 12014 during the second half of the month. In spite of relatively long IRIS exposures (30s), the signal for the Fe XII 1349 Å line, a weak forbidden transition, was consistently low, except for a few observations when the active region was near the limb. An analysis of two of those observations was presented by Testa et al. (2016). Their results focused on Doppler flows and widths, but also indicated a significant discrepancy (up to nearly a factor of two) between the observed and predicted 195.1 Å / 1349.4 Å ratios, with the observed ones being systematically higher. The discrepancy increased with the new atomic data in CHIANTI version 8 (Del Zanna et al. 2015), relative to version 7 and seemed to indicate a problem with the atomic data. This was surprising since the benchmarking of Fe XII with observations generally showed good agreement (see a summary in Del Zanna & Mason 2018). After further investigation, this discrepancy was found, for the most part, to be explained by the errant inclusion of an obsolete keyword in eis_prep, and the adopted EIS calibration, which is different from the updated version used here.

To test the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å diagnostics, we analysed the HOP 246 observations, but also searched the entire IRIS and EIS databases for any other suitable observations where the Fe XII lines were observed by both instruments. We analysed several of these datasets and in the process identified a series of problems associated with the EIS Fe XII observations, as discussed below.

Section 2 outlines the data analysis and describes some of the main issues we encountered which affected the selection of the observations. Section 3 describes the observations analysed here, while Section 4 summarizes our conclusions. An Appendix provides supplementary information.
2. DATA ANALYSIS AND SELECTION

2.1. EIS

The EIS data were processed with custom-written software (see, e.g., Del Zanna et al. 2011). EIS saturation is at 16000 DN, however we found indications of some non-linear effects for lower values approaching this threshold (Del Zanna et al. 2019). The strongest EIS 195.1 Å line was sometimes saturated (or close to saturation) in the AR moss regions. For this reason (and for other reasons discussed below) observations of the weaker 192.4 Å line were used instead.

An analysis of a large number of EIS observations of different features, on-disk, off-limb, with different exposures, slit combinations, summarised in Del Zanna et al. (2019), revealed several anomalies in the 192.4, 193.5, and 195.1 Å lines. The main ones affect the instrumental widths of the 193.5, and 195.1 Å lines and their reduced intensities (compared to the weaker 192.4 Å line), in all active region and many off-limb observations. The only explanation found for the anomalous ratios and widths of these lines was the ubiquitous presence of opacity effects. In fact, these three lines are decays to the ground state, so the ratios of these lines are insensitive to density and temperature variations. Their theoretical ratios show agreement with well-calibrated observations of the quiet Sun within 1% (Storey et al. 2005; Del Zanna & Mason 2005). Opacity effects were found to decrease the intensity of the stronger 195.1 Å line by about 40%. Note that in active region observations the relative intensity of the 195.1 Å line should actually increase (compared to the quiet Sun) due to the presence of a weak Fe XII density-sensitive transition (Del Zanna & Mason 2005).

To diagnose the presence of NMED, the temperature needs to be estimated independently. The Fe XI lines, identified by Del Zanna (2010) and used in Lörinczik et al. (2020) offer such a diagnostic, but are generally not telemetered, and in one of the observations discussed here are very weak, so we had to resort to standard emission measure analyses.

To measure electron densities and for a meaningful comparison with IRIS, we need to convert the EIS DN values to physical units using a radiometric calibration. Del Zanna (2013a) presented a significant revision of the ground calibration, with an additional time-dependent decrease in the sensitivity of the long-wavelength channel. That calibration substantially affected the ratios of the 192.4, 193.5, and 195.1 Å lines, which in quiet Sun on-disks observations were forced to agree with theory and previous observations. As further wavelength-dependent corrections as a function of time were clearly needed, and the calibration only considered data until 2012, a long-term program was started by GDZ and H.P. Warren, both of the EIS team, to provide an improved radiometric calibration. Here we adopt these new calibration results, as discussed in the Appendix.

2.2. IRIS

The IRIS and EIS observations are generally carried out simultaneously, but are not necessarily co-spatial. In fact, the EIS slit is moved from west to east to ‘raster’ a solar region, while the IRIS slit is moved in the opposite direction (see e.g., Testa et al. 2016). Several IRIS observations were carried out with a roll angle of 90 degrees, so that some co-spatial and co-temporal EIS/IRIS observations were guaranteed. In some instances, several EIS/IRIS rasters were repeated, so it was possible to check the solar variability.

In addition to the available IRIS and EIS datasets, we also analysed context images using images from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) telescope on board the Solar Dynamic Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012) in the 193 Å broad band filter, to select observations with small solar variability (we note that the AIA 193 Å band is typically dominated by the three Fe XII 192.4, 193.5, and 195.1 Å lines in the moss regions; e.g., Martínez-Sykora et al. 2011).

IRIS level 2 data were used. The data were spatially binned as described below, to improve the signal. The Fe XII line was fitted with a Gaussian in each pixel and the conversion to physical units was performed afterwards. The radiometric calibration of the IRIS instrument is discussed in Wülser et al. (2018). The uncertainty in the IRIS calibration for the data analysed here is of the order of 20–30%.

We also note that Testa et al. (2016) showed that in cases with low signal-to-noise (especially when the peak DN in the line is less than 10), the intensity of the line is likely to be under-estimated by up to ∼15 percent. For the comparisons with EIS, we typically only consider the regions where the IRIS line has averaged peak DN above 20. Hence, we have not applied any such corrections to the IRIS intensities.

During the analysis of the on-disk observations, we noticed the presence of an unidentified photospheric (very narrow) line at exactly the rest wavelength of the 1349.4 Å Fe XII line (see the Appendix). We have estimated that the contribution of this line to on-disk moss regions is however minimal, of the order of 5% in some locations, by using the C I 1354.28 Å line as a reference.

In addition, we estimate that the theoretical emissivity of the 1349.4 Å Fe XII line is accurate to within 10%. As mentioned, the new atomic model (CHIANTI, v8)
increased the intensities of the forbidden lines by 50 percent or more. A benchmark of the v.8 atomic data against quiet Sun off-limb SoHO SUMER observations indicated excellent agreement (Del Zanna & DeLuca 2018). The population of the upper state of the 1349.4 Å line is mainly driven by cascading effects. Improvements with future atomic calculations cannot be ruled out. However, it is unlikely that larger calculations would affect the line by more than a few percent. In the main scattering calculations, all states up to \( n = 4 \) were included by Del Zanna et al. (2012). Cascading effects from higher states up to the main \( n = 6 \) were included with an approximate (distorted wave) calculation, showing an increase in the forbidden lines by about 3%. These cascading effects were not included in CHIANTI v8 as the size of the model ion would have been very large, and as a 3% increase was deemed negligible.

2.3. On-disk observation - 2014 March 29

Following the above-mentioned data selection constraints, we analysed several observations. A large scatter in the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratios was found, although consistent results among the various measurements were also found. We provide results for one of the on-disk observations, that obtained on 2014 March 29 by IRIS at 23:27-02:14 UT, i.e., the same observing sequence analysed by Testa et al. (2016).

The EIS raster we focus on here was obtained during 23:24 and 23:50 UT. Note that in Testa et al. (2016) a later raster obtained a couple of hours later (2014 March 30 01:36-02:02) was analyzed. In the brightest moss regions, the EIS 195.1 Å line reached 15,000 DN, i.e., was very close to saturation. Fig. 2 (top) shows an image of the integrated intensity of the Fe XII 192.4 Å line and its ratio (ergs) with the 195.1 Å line. The expected ratio is 0.31, which is generally observed on-disk, but not in the brightest moss regions, where the ratio increases to values around 0.4, an indication of some opacity effects. We have assumed that the opacity effects in the 192.4 Å line are negligible (see discussion below), and used this line for the comparison with IRIS.

The IRIS raster was obtained with a -90° roll angle, 30s exposures and stepping the 0.33″ slit by 1″, with 64 steps. The IRIS data were rotated and rebinned by a factor of 12 along the slit, to obtain a spatial resolution in the EW direction comparable to the EIS one, as EIS rastered with about 2″ steps using the 2″ slit. In the other direction, the IRIS data were first interpolated linearly over a 0.33″ grid, and then rebinned by a factor of 3, to achieve a spatial resolution of 1″, equivalent to the EIS pixel size along the slit.

The contribution of the unidentified cool line blending the IRIS Fe XII line was estimated by removing 4% of the C I line at 1357.13 Å. This resulted in a small correction, of the order of 5 percent in a few places, i.e., not affecting the main results.

As the effective spatial resolution of EIS is about 3–4″(partly due to the jitter during the long exposures), for a direct pixel-to-pixel comparison, the IRIS data were convolved to obtain an effective spatial resolution close to the EIS one. Such smoothing was not carried out in the analysis by Testa et al. (2016), which may explain why a broader scatter in the ratios was found in their analysis, compared to what is shown here. Finally, the EIS and IRIS images were co-aligned by cross-correlation. The resulting IRIS image is shown in Fig. 2 (bottom), together with the calibrated ratio of the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å lines (in ergs). It is clear that a pixel-to-pixel comparison has some limitations, as in some places the morphology in the EIS and IRIS lines is not quite the same. That is partly due to the non-simultaneity, partly due to the EIS effective resolution which is very difficult to model. However, overall the comparison is satisfactory. Figure 2 shows that the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio varies significantly, between values close to 30 in some regions to around 15 in the brightest regions.

The 192.4 Å / 195.1 Å ratio (shown in Fig. 2) is indicative of some opacity effects, which would be significant in the 195.1 Å line, but relatively small (about 10%) in the weaker 192.4 Å line (see a discussion below on opacity issues).

A scatter plot of the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio as a function of the calibrated intensity in the IRIS line is shown in Fig. 3. It shows a large variation of about a factor of two, with lower values where Fe XII is brightest, in the moss regions. We selected three moss regions, indicated as B1, B2, and B3 in Fig. 2 and measured the averaged density. The averaged intensities (obtained from the pixel-by-pixel measurements) in the lines, their ratios and the averaged densities are shown in Table 1. The averaged density is about 3 ×10⁹ cm⁻³ using the Fe XIII lines. The densities from Fe XII are higher, partly because of opacity effects. We then measured the temperature distribution with both an EM loci and a DEM method, using coronal abundances. For the DEM analysis we used a modified version of the CHIANTI v.10 programs, where the DEM is modelled as a spline function and the routine MPFIT is used. The DEM results for the region B1 are shown in Fig. 4 as an example. The temperature distribution is multi-thermal, but the Fe XII and Fe XIII lines can also be reasonably modelled with an isothermal plasma around 2 MK. In the moss
Figure 2. Top: intensity image in the Fe XII 192.4 Å line and ratio with the 195.1 Å line (ergs) for the 2014-03-29 observation. Note that the ratio should have a value of 0.31. Bottom: intensity image of the IRIS 1349.4 Å line and the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio (ergs).

region B1, the averaged ratio is about 19, lower than 25.1, the expected value calculated with the measured density and the DEM.

Note that this is the same AR observed in Testa et al. (2016) (although not observed at the same time; see sec. 2.3), where we recall the 195.1 Å / 1349.4 Å ratios were found higher than predicted (up to nearly a factor of two). We tracked down the reason for this large difference, which was mostly due to an obsolete keyword (CORRECT_SENSITIVITY) in the EIS standard \texttt{eisprep} software, and the different EIS calibration used.

The large variations in the ratio and the very low values (about 15) need to be explained. They could be due to strong EUV absorption by neutral hydrogen and helium emission or other non-equilibrium effects discussed below. Cool filamentary material is always present in active regions, but its absorption is difficult to quantify, unless it is higher up in the corona and the underlying emission can reliably be estimated. In this observation, and the other ones we have analysed, we did not find obvious evidence that the lower ratios were due to cool filaments. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that neutral hydrogen and helium is intermixed with the moss emission.

We have analysed other on-disk observations of active regions, and found similar results to those shown above. Aside from other observations of the same AR at the end of March 2014, we have analysed in detail an observation on 2013 Oct 7 and one on 2013 Nov 30.

2.4. Off-limb observation of 2013-10-22

To reduce the possible effects of absorption by cool material, we have searched for off-limb observations with minimal filament material. Unfortunately, only one suitable observation was found. This was obtained on 2013-10-22. The EIS study designed by us (cam \texttt{ar}\texttt{limb} \texttt{lite} \texttt{v2}) was rich in diagnostic lines and had a good signal, as the exposure was 45 s. One problem with this observation was the presence of a storm of high-energy particles so each exposure had to be inspected to remove those particle hits, as standard cosmic ray removal procedures did not work. In spite of this, some anomalous intensities are still present due to residual particle hits/warm pixels in some weaker lines. EIS rastered during 06:45–8:51 with the 2″ slit an off-limb region where a small active region was present. Most of the AR was located well behind the east limb, as we could judge from AIA observations of the following days.

We checked for the presence of cool filaments or spicular material using AIA observations in 304 Å, but also 193 Å and 211 Å, together with Hα observations by the Kanzelhöhe Observatory. The co-alignment of AIA with
Table 1. Intensities I (ergs) and ratios R (ergs) in the moss regions observed on 2014-03-29. Values in parentheses are DN. The last column shows the densities from the Fe XIII 204 Å / 202 Å ratio.

| Region | I (192 Å)       | I (195 Å)       | I (1349 Å) | R (192/195 Å) | R (192/1349 Å) | Log Ne |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| B1     | 1480 (11905)    | 3970 (45533)    | 78 (1100)  | 0.37          | 19.0           | 9.5    |
| B2     | 1850 (14881)    | 4630 (53015)    | 94 (1330)  | 0.40          | 19.7           | 9.45   |
| B3     | 1280 (10274)    | 3180 (36400)    | 41 (581)   | 0.40          | 31.2           | 9.5    |

Figure 3. The 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio for the observation on 2014-03-29, as a function of the calibrated intensity in the IRIS line.

EIS was achieved using a slicing method for the AIA 193 Å data to produce a pseudo-raster corresponding to EIS Fe XII 192.4 Å. We find that best co-alignment is found if the AIA is rotated with respect to EIS by about 0.5°, as well as shifted by a few arc seconds in both axes. The Kanzelhöhe Hα data traditionally have excellent pointing, which we verified by comparison with AIA 193 Å, focusing on filaments off-limb. Thus, the Kanzelhöhe Hα data were co-aligned with EIS analogously to AIA data.

The context AIA and Hα data are shown in Figure 5 alongside the EIS raster. We have selected two regions for further analysis, which are labelled as ‘AR’ and ‘QR’.

The Hα data and the AIA coronal images do not show any indications of absorption by cool material off-limb in these regions. The main absorption would be due to neutral hydrogen and neutral helium, with a minor contribution from ionized helium.

The AIA 304 Å images show some emission above the limb in the ‘AR’ region, but the amount of ionized helium is difficult to quantify, for multiple reasons, including uncertainties in the chemical abundances, instrument calibration, and coronal contribution to the band. We estimate that in the ‘AR’ region the Si XI 303.33 Å line alone accounts for about a quarter of the...
AIA count rates (which are about 40 DN s\(^{-1}\)). In fact, with the DEM distribution we obtained, the intensity of the Si XI line results 5280 (erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\)). Using the estimated effective area of the AIA channel (for this observation and normalised to EVE), that is equivalent to an average of 11 DN s\(^{-1}\) per AIA pixel due to Si XI. We note that the resonance He II lines at 303.8 Å are formed at higher temperatures and have much larger optical thickness than Hα, which in turn has similar optical thickness to the H and He continua around 195 Å (e.g. Wang et al. 1998; Anzer & Heinzel 2005). Thus, the presence of weak-signal structures in He II, but not in Hα along the LOS is still consistent with negligible absorption of EUV radiation by chromospheric or transition-region material.

IRIS scanned the same region from east to west with the 0.33″ slit, 30s exposure times and ‘sparse rastering’, i.e., the slit location was stepped by 1″. The interesting area above the limb, where some IRIS signal from Fe XII was present, was observed almost simultaneously by IRIS and EIS. We performed the IRIS and EIS data reduction and calibration in a similar way to that described in the previous section.

Figure 6 shows a summary of the EIS/IRIS comparison. As for the on-disk cases, the 192.4/195.1 Å is higher in the brightest regions, indicating some opacity effects. The width of the 195.1 Å line is also larger in the same regions. As in the previous cases, the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio varies significantly from values around 30, north of the AR, to values around 15 closest to the core of the AR. Figure 7 shows the scatter plot of this ratio. Averaged intensities and ratios in those regions are shown in Table 2.

Figure 8 shows the emissivity ratios of the EIS Fe XII and Fe XIII lines, in the quiet off-limb region (above) and active region (below). It is clear that both regions are affected by opacity, which reduces the intensities of the Fe XII 193.5 and 195.1 Å lines, compared to the 192.4 Å one. The densities obtained from the Fe XII lines are close to those obtained from the Fe XIII lines, considering the Fe XII 192.4 Å line, and the fact that this line is likely underestimated because of opacity effects (see discussion below). We adopt the Fe XIII densities as they are more reliable. The QR and AR regions have densities around 4 and 10 × 10\(^8\) cm\(^{-3}\).

Note that the Fe XIII lines include the photoexcitation effects, which affect the population of the ground state and the density diagnostics by up to 10%, as discussed in Dudík et al. (2021). They are caused by the large flux of photons emitted by the disk around 1 μm, and resonantly absorbed by the two near-infrared Fe XIII lines within the ground configuration. We have also explored the effects due to photoexcitation in the Fe XII model ion, considering that several transitions within the ground configuration fall in the visible and far UV, but we did not find significant changes. We used observations of the solar irradiance in the far UV and visible.

We have looked at the spatial distribution of various line ratios sensitive to temperature and found that the temperature so obtained is relatively constant in the off-limb regions. We produced EM loci plots for the quiet Sun and AR regions, finding that observations are consistent with an almost isothermal plasma around log T [K]=6.2–6.25, which is the typical formation temperature of Fe XII. We have then performed a DEM analysis using a set of strong lines from Iron, not density-sensitive. The results are shown in Fig. 9 and confirm the near isothermality of the plasma emission, with a marked higher temperature component in the AR. The DEM analysis also indicated that the S/Fe relative abundance is close to photospheric around 1.2 MK (using a S X line).

We regard the spatial variation in the 192.4 Å / 1349.4 Å ratio as important, since this is independent of any calibration issues, and largely independent of the small variation in the density and temperature in the off-limb regions. The averaged ratio in the QR region (31) is close to the expected value, 34.1, obtained by folding the emissivities with the DEM distribution. On the other hand, the AR value (21) is significantly lower than the expected value (30.9, with the DEM shown above). The lowest values near the limb (around 15) are even more difficult to explain.

As there is no clear indication for absorption by filament material, and as opacity effects would decrease the 192.4 Å line by only a small amount (see Sect. 3.1), we speculate that the main effect that could be responsible for changing the ratio is NMED. The fact that the ratio has values close to the expected ones in the northern part of the off-limb region, suggests that the EIS vs. IRIS radiometric calibration is reasonably accurate.

3. POSSIBLE EFFECTS ON THE Fe XII LINE RATIO AND THE TEMPERATURES

3.1. Opacity effects

Following Del Zanna et al. (2019), the optical thickness at line centre can be written as

\[
\tau_0 = 8.3 \times 10^{-21} f_{lu} \frac{\lambda^2}{\Delta \lambda_{\text{FWHM}}} N_l \Delta S
\]

where \(f_{lu}\) is the absorption oscillator strength, \(N_l\) is the number density of the lower level, \(\Delta S\) the path length, \(\Delta \lambda_{\text{FWHM}}\) is the FWHM of the line profile in Å, and \(\lambda\) is the wavelength in Å. For the 195 Å line, \(f_{lu} = 2.97/4\), neglecting the weaker line.

\[
\frac{\Delta S_{\text{Fe XII}}}{\Delta S_{\text{Fe X}}}
\]

where \(\Delta S_{\text{Fe XII}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{Fe X}}\) are the DEM distributions of Fe XII and Fe X, respectively.
Figure 5. Context observations of the 2013-10-22 off-limb active region. The EIS Fe XII 192.4 Å line is shown in the panel (a), while the AIA 193 Å pseudo-raster is shown in panel (b). Panels (c)–(f) show snapshots from AIA and Kanzelhohe Hα observations, all co-aligned to match the EIS and IRIS observations.

Table 2. Intensities I (ergs) and ratios R (ergs) in the two the off-limb regions observed on 2013-10-22. Values in parentheses are intensities in DN (the exposure times for EIS and IRIS were 45 and 30 seconds, respectively).

| Region | I (192 Å) | I (195 Å) | I (1349 Å) | R (192/195 Å) | R (192/1349 Å) |
|--------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| AR     | 1545 (19412) | 2920 (51907) | 73 (3343) | 0.53 | 21 |
| QR     | 880 (11049) | 1770 (31521) | 28.1 (1288) | 0.50 | 31 |

The population of the lower level can be written as

\[ N_l = \frac{N_l}{N(\text{Fe XII})} \frac{N(\text{Fe XII})}{N(\text{Fe})} Ab(\text{Fe}) \frac{N_H}{N_e} \frac{N_e}{N_e}, \]

where \( N_l/N(\text{Fe XII}) \) is the relative population of the ground state, \( N(\text{Fe XII})/N(\text{Fe}) \) is the peak relative population of the ion, \( Ab(\text{Fe}) \) is the Fe abundance, \( N_H/N_e = 0.83 \), and \( N_e \) is the averaged electron number density.

Considering the box above the active region, as we have assumed for photospheric abundances, we have \( Ab(\text{Fe}) = 3.16 \times 10^{-5} \). From the EM loci / DEM analysis, we have \( EM = 10^{28.3} \ [\text{cm}^{-5}] \) and log \( T[K] = 6.25 \), approximately. With this temperature, \( N(\text{Fe XII})/N(\text{Fe}) = 0.21 \) using the CHIANTI ionisation equilibrium. Assuming the density from the Fe XIII line ratio \( (1 \times 10^9 \ [\text{cm}^{-3}] \), we have \( N_l/N(\text{Fe XII}) = 0.75 \) for these values of \( T, N_e \). From the \( EM \) and \( N_e \) values, assuming a filling factor of 1, we obtain a path length of \( 2 \times 10^{10} \ [\text{cm}] \), from which we obtain \( \tau_0 = 0.96 \) for the 195.1 Å line, and \( \tau_0 = 0.32 \) for the 192.4 Å line,
as this transition has an oscillator strength a third of the 195.1 Å line.

Assuming that the source function $S_\nu(\tau_\nu)$ does not vary along the line of sight, the peak intensity of each line is

$$I_\nu = S_\nu (1 - e^{-\tau_\nu}) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Recalling that the line source function $S_\nu$ is:

$$S_\nu = \frac{2 \hbar \nu^3}{c^2} \left( \frac{g_u N_l}{g_l N_u} - 1 \right)^{-1} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with standard notation, we find that $S_{195}/S_{192} = 1.04$ using the statistical weights $g$ and the level populations calculated with the model ion.

For $\tau_0(195) = 0.96$, the ratio of the intensities is then $I_{192}/I_{195} = 0.43$, which is higher than the optically thin value of 0.31 and closer to the observed value of 0.53 for the region.

To estimate how much the weaker 192.4 Å line is suppressed for an optical depth of 0.32, as our simple assumption is equivalent to the average escape factor formalism, we consider the homogeneous case discussed by Kastner & Kastner (1990), and obtain an escape factor of about 0.89, i.e., the 192.4 Å line is suppressed by about 10%. Indeed if we increase the 192.4 Å line intensity by this amount, the emissivity ratio curves would result in a slightly lower electron density, in better agreement with the values obtained from the Fe XIII ratio.

Finally, for the quiet off-limb ‘QR’ region, if we repeat the above estimates, considering the lower $EM$ and lower density, we obtain $\tau_0(192.4) = 0.33$, i.e., a similar optical depth, in agreement with the fact that the observed ratio is very similar.

3.2. Non-Maxwellian electron distributions (NMED)

3.2.1. NMED effects on the Fe XII ratio

To evaluate the effects of NMED, we considered the $\kappa$-distributions, a well known class of non-Maxwellian distributions characterized by a near-Maxwellian core and a power-law high-energy electron tail (see, e.g., Livadiotis 2017; Lazar & Fichtner in press). We use the standard expression for $\kappa$-distributions of the second kind (see the discussion in Dzifčáková et al. 2021), namely

$$f_\kappa(E) dE = A_\kappa \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} (k_B T)^{3/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{E}{(\kappa-3/2)k_B T} \right)^{\kappa+1} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
where $E$ is the electron kinetic energy, $T$ is the temperature, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $A_\kappa$ is a constant for normalization to unity. From the expression above it follows that the slope of the high-energy power-law slope of the high-energy tail of a $\kappa$-distribution is $\kappa + 1/2$. The shape of the $\kappa$-distributions as a function of $E$ is depicted in the top row of Figure 10.

The synthetic spectra for Fe XII and Fe XIII were obtained using the KAPPA database (Dzifčáková et al. 2015, 2021), which allows for calculation of spectra for $\kappa$-distributions using the same atomic data as CHIANTI version 10 (Dere et al. 1997; Del Zanna et al. 2021). We calculated the Fe XII and Fe XIII line intensities for a range of temperatures $T$ and $\kappa$ values and found that the EIS/IRIS ratio of Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å line intensities offer unprecedented sensitivity to NMED, with the difference between Maxwellian and $\kappa = 2$ being of...
Figure 10. Non-Maxwellian $\kappa$-distributions (top row) and their influence on the Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å}$ and $1349.4\,\text{Å}$ lines, whose contribution functions are shown in the middle panels. The energy excitation thresholds for these two lines are denoted by dashed lines in the top panel. Bottom panel shows the behaviour of the $192.4\,\text{Å} / 1349\,\text{Å}$ ratio with $\kappa$, assuming peak formation temperatures.

about a factor of two, depending on temperature. This sensitivity to NMED comes from the widely different wavelengths, and thus excitation energy thresholds of the two lines - $192.4$ and $1349\,\text{Å}$ (cf., Dudík et al. 2014).

The line contribution functions $G(T, \kappa)$ of the two lines, equivalent to intensities normalized to unity emission measure, are shown in Figure 10. For low $\kappa = 2$, the peak formation of the Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å}$ line occurs at higher $T$, and its intensity decreases. The shift in the temperature of the peak, as well as about half of the decrease of the peak, are due to the behaviour of the ionization equilibrium with $\kappa$ (Dzífčáková & Dudík 2013; Dzífčáková et al. 2021). The decrease in excitation due to relatively-lower amount of electrons in the $\kappa = 2$ distribution at few hundred eV (top panel of Figure 10) also contributes to the decrease of the peak of the Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å}$ line. Compared to that, the forbidden $1349.4\,\text{Å}$ line intensity increases for low $\kappa$ (bottom row of Figure 10) despite the decrease of the relative ion abundance. The reason is chiefly that the forbidden line, whose excitation cross-section decreases with $E$, and which is excited by electrons at energies of $E \geq 9.2\,\text{eV}$, experiences excess excitation by the relatively-higher peak of the $\kappa = 2$ distribution (top row of Figure 10). The overall result is that for decreasing $\kappa$, the Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å} / 1349\,\text{Å}$ line intensity ratio decreases (bottom panel of Figure 10).

However, one line ratio sensitive to $\kappa$ is not enough to determine the $\kappa$ from observations. This is because the distribution function has two independent parameters, namely $T$ and $\kappa$ (Equation 5), which thus need to be determined simultaneously. (e.g., Dzífčáková & Kulinová 2010; Mackovjak et al. 2013; Dudík et al. 2014; Dudík et al. 2015; Lörincík et al. 2020; Dzífčáková et al. 2021). Therefore, it is advantageous to combine this ratio with a primarily temperature-sensitive Fe XII / Fe XIII ratio, which allows for de-coupling of the sensitivities to $\kappa$ and to $T$ (see Figure 11) provided the plasma is in ionization equilibrium. For the latter ratio, we chose the Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å}$ line together with the unblended and well-observed Fe XIII $202.0\,\text{Å}$ line, thus minimizing the photon noise uncertainties. The "ratio-ratio" diagnostic diagram for $T$ and $\kappa$ is then constructed by plotting the dependence on one line ratio upon the other one, see Figure 11. There, the colored curves denote individual values of $\kappa$, with black being Maxwellian and red corresponding to $\kappa = 2$. Individual values of $\log(T\,[\text{K}])$ are denoted by gray isotherms intersecting the curves for different $\kappa$.

3.2.2. NMED measurements
Figure 11. Diagnostics of the NMED represented by $\kappa$-distributions using the ratio-ratio technique. Individual colors represent the value of $\kappa$, while the cross of different sizes represent the observed line ratios in the QR and AR boxes. The photon noise uncertainty $\sigma_{\text{phot}}$ (light blue), as well as added 20% to 30% calibration uncertainties $\sigma_{20,30}$ (violet and black, respectively) are shown. Colored asterisks in the right panel denote the DEM$_{\kappa}$-predicted line intensity ratios (see Section 3.3 for details). Note that both axes are scaled logarithmically.

Figure 12. Observed ratios in each individual pixel corresponding to Figure 7 are overplotted on the theoretical diagnostic curves. Two sets of curves are shown, for $\log(N_e [\text{cm}^{-3}]) = 8.6$ and 9.4, representing the lowest and highest densities detected. The points are color-coded either according to the electron density (left panel) or according to the Fe XII 1349 Å intensity (right panel).
The line intensity ratios of Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å together with the Fe XII 192.4 Å / Fe XIII 202.0 Å observed in the AR and QR boxes are shown in Figure 11 together with their uncertainties, consisting of photon noise uncertainty σ_{phot} (light blue) as well as the added 20–30% calibration uncertainty, denoted as σ_{20}–σ_{30} (violet and black crosses, respectively). This uncertainty is conservative, but is shown nevertheless because the instruments were not cross-calibrated independently. We note however that the differences in the observed Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio are systematic between the quiet Sun and active region (see Figure 6). That means the differences between AR and QR shown in the diagnostic diagram in Figure 11 are not a result of purely calibration uncertainty, since the calibration is the same for both the QR and AR. Note also that we have corrected the Fe XII 192.4 Å line intensity for the optical depth effects, as discussed in Section 3.1.

In the QR box, where the observed ratio is higher and about 30, the plasma is consistent with the Maxwellian or weakly NME distribution within the uncertainties (left panel of Figure 11). However, in the AR box, the observed ratio (of about 20) corresponds to NMED with the value of κ < 5–10 even considering the calibration uncertainties. The value of κ is possibly even lower, κ = 2–3, as indicated by the photon noise uncertainty (Figure 11).

We note that the theoretical diagnostic diagram consisting of the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å together with the Fe XII 192.4/Fe XIII 202.0 Å line intensity ratios also show some dependence on electron density. However, this dependence on density is much weaker than those of the Fe XI line ratios previously employed for diagnostics of κ by Lörincík et al. (2020). Given that electron density can be determined nearly independently of κ (see, e.g., Dudík et al. 2014, and references therein), we are confident that the current determination of NMED effects is not influenced by uncertainties in the determination of N_e.

The estimate of the uncertainty in electron density of ≈0.1 dex in log(N_e [cm^{-3}]) (see Figure 8) leads only to small changes in the theoretical diagnostic curves in Figure 11 (see Appendix C); meaning that the result of κ ≲ 5–10 in the AR box holds even when this uncertainty in the electron density is taken into account.

To illustrate the spatial variations in the NMED, we overplotted the ratios in all the pixels in the off-limb observation of 2013 October 22, corresponding to Figure 7, on the NMED ratio-ratio diagrams (see Figure 12). We color-coded the individual points either by the electron density N_e (left panel) or the observed Fe XII 1349Å intensity (right panel). The electron densities were measured using the Fe XII 186.9 / 192.4 Å density-sensitive ratio, and were found to range between log(N_e [cm^{-3}]) = 8.6 to 9.4. We note that the highest values are found in the active region where the Fe XII 1349 Å line is brightest.

Figure 12 shows that the spread in the location of the observed Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio is well matched by the theoretical curves. In agreement with Figure 7, the larger Fe XII 1349 Å intensities correspond to locations that are more non-Maxwellian. Finally, the Fe XII 192.4 Å / Fe XIII 202.0 Å ratio, plotted on the horizontal axis, which is dominantly sensitive to T, indicates that the plasma is nearly isothermal, with all the points being clustered close to the log(T[K]) = 6.25 isotherm.

We therefore conclude that the NMED effects provide a possible explanation for the observed anomalously low Fe XII 192.4 Å/1349 Å line intensity ratios.

### 3.3. Plasma multithermality

The diagnostics of κ in the previous section assumed that the plasma can be described by two parameters, κ and T. However, as we have seen earlier in Section 3.1, if interpreted as Maxwellian, the observations indicate presence of some degree of multi-thermality (see Figure 9).

Generally, if the plasma is multi-thermal, the differential emission measure (DEMs) can be a function of κ (Mackovjak et al. 2014; Dudík et al. 2015; Lörincík et al. 2020). This has consequences for the diagnostics of κ, as these DEM_κ(T) could affect the predicted line intensities and their ratios that need to be compared with the observed ones. In fact, once the synthetic line intensities and their ratios are obtained for the respective DEM_κ(T), each of the ratio-ratio diagnostic curves in Figure 11 collapses to a single point representing the two synthetic line intensity ratios predicted by the respective DEM_κ.

In order to take the possible plasma multithermality into account, we performed the DEM_κ(T) inversions in the AR box for each κ using the same method as in Section 3.1. In doing so, we used the respective line contribution functions G(T, κ) as inputs. We note that this DEM analysis for variable κ was done only for the AR box, as the quiet-Sun region (QR) intensities are already consistent with Maxwellian.

The DEM_κ-predicted points for each κ are shown in the right panel of Figure 11 as series of colored asterisks, where the color represents the value of κ. It is seen that each point is close to the respective curve for the same κ, as expected. This analysis confirms that the Fe XII intensities in the active region can be explained by non-Maxwellian κ-distributions, as the points for κ = 2–
5 are a relatively close match to the observed intensities, while the Maxwellian point is still outside of the errorbars even if the calibration uncertainty is conservatively assumed to be 30%.

3.4. Time-dependent ionization (TDI)

In the presence of heating and cooling events occurring on short timescales, the possible effects of time-dependent ionization (TDI) on our diagnostics should also be considered. A full treatment of TDI requires detailed modelling of dynamic heating events in ARs, including its effect on both ion charge state distribution and the relative level population. As such, it is outside the scope of this work. Nevertheless we refer the reader to existing literature on this subject as well as theoretical arguments which indicate (to demonstrate) that TDI effects are likely not significant enough to explain the observed discrepancies in the intensity ratio of the two Fe XII lines. For instance, a relevant recent work is that of Olluri et al. (2015), who presented simulations of a quiet solar region from the three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic code (MHD) code Bifrost (Gudiksen et al. 2011) including non-equilibrium ionization, showing that the Fe XII ion was found to be close to its ionization equilibrium. Although a quiet Sun case might not be entirely applicable to our observations (the Fe XII emission in a quiet region will be primarily emitted in the corona whereas in a bright AR it will mostly be confined to the TR), we note that in the same simulation the TR ions were significantly out of equilibrium (see Figure 15 in Olluri et al. 2015). Another example comes from the simulations of nanoflare-heated coronal loops by Bradshaw & Klimchuk (2011), where the “warn” emission, which includes Fe XII and Fe XIII, was mostly close to equilibrium, even if the hotter emission was significantly out of equilibrium.

In the following paragraphs we also discuss possible effects of TDI on both on the (1) Fe XII relative emission as well as the (2) ion charge state distribution.

(1) TDI effects could lead to changes in the relative level population of Fe XII, and thus changes in the 192.4 Å/1349 Å line intensity ratio. The EIS 192.4 Å line is an allowed transition with a very short decay time, of the order of picoseconds. On the other hand, the IRIS 1349 Å forbidden line is a decay from the 2P1/2 state, one of the metastable levels in the ground configuration, which have typical decay times that are much longer. The lifetime of the 2P1/2 level is only 4 milliseconds, so timescales this short would be needed to alter significantly the intensity of the IRIS line, compared to the equilibrium calculations. However, unlike the upper state of the EIS 192.4 Å line, which is solely populated from the ground state, the population of the 2P1/2 is more complex. To assess it, we have looked at the dominant processes, calculated in equilibrium at the temperatures and densities of the active regions we have observed. We find that about half of the population of the 2P1/2 is due to cascading from higher states, most of which are connected to the ground state, 8S3/2. Nearly 30% of its population comes from the ground state, and nearly 20% from the 2D5/2 state, which has a longer lifetime of 0.4 s. In turn, about 90% of the 2D5/2 population comes from cascading from high-lying states, which again are mostly connected to the ground state.

Therefore, non-equilibrium effects with timescales shorter than 0.4 s would affect the population of the 2D5/2 state but in turn change only by a small amount the intensity of the IRIS line. Overall, the ratio of the IRIS and EIS lines would be affected by at most 20% if the timescales are shorter than 0.4 s.

(2) TDI effects could affect our observed ratios through the ion charge distributions. The timescales for ion charge distributions to reach equilibrium are considerably longer in the solar corona. For example, at coronal densities, the Fe XII has an ionization equilibration timescale of the order of 102 s (Smith & Hughes 2010), which is apt to be prolonged if there are flows in the plasma that lead to mixing of plasma from regions of different temperatures. Therefore, the TDI effects could affect the ionisation temperatures we have estimated. We recall that we estimated the temperature (via DEM analysis or line ratios) using lines from successive ionization stages of Iron. In particular, we used the Fe XII / Fe XIII line intensity ratio for simultaneous diagnostics of T and κ (see Sect. 3.2). For the measured Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio in the AR box to be consistent with Maxwellian, the complementary Fe XII 192.4 Å / Fe XIII 202.0 Å ratio would need to be different by about a factor of 10 (see the right panel of Figure 11). This means that for the plasma to be Maxwellian, the Fe XII / Fe XIII ratio should be at least 5 instead of the measured value of 0.5. Therefore, to explain the observations, the TDI effects would have to lead to departures from the Fe XII / Fe XIII ratios by at least an order of magnitude (cf. Figure 11), which we deem unlikely, as the two ions are typically formed at the dominant processes, calculated in equilibrium at the temperatures and densities of the active regions we have observed. We find that about half of the population of the 2P1/2 is due to cascading from higher states, most of which are connected to the ground state, 8S3/2. Nearly 30% of its population comes from the ground state, and nearly 20% from the 2D5/2 state, which has a longer lifetime of 0.4 s. In turn, about 90% of the 2D5/2 population comes from cascading from high-lying states, which again are mostly connected to the ground state.

Based on the considerations above, we suggest that TDI alone cannot easily explain the observed Fe XII ratios in our AR observations, although future numerical investigation will be necessary to rule it out completely.
4. DISCUSSION

As described in Section 3, assuming that NMED are present offers by itself a satisfactory explanation for the departures in the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å line intensity ratio in the observed active regions. We now discuss the implications this finding entails, with emphasis on the timescales involved. These include:

- timescale for equilibration of free electrons to a Maxwellian fluid,
- timescales for spontaneous emission,
- timescales for TDI effects,
- typical timescales for evolution of the AR emission,
- spectrometer exposure times,
- possible coronal heating frequency.

With the timescales for spontaneous emission and TDI effects were already discussed in Section 3.4, we now examine the remaining ones, as well as their possible interplay.

4.1. Timescales for maintaining NMED

Our analysis of the NMED effects was based on the $\kappa$-distributions (Section 3.2), which have only one extra parameter, $\kappa$, and are assumed to be time-independent. However, once accelerated and non-Maxwellian, the bulk of the free electrons tends to thermalize due to collisions. Meanwhile, the same free electrons drive ionization, recombination, and excitation processes necessary for creation of the observed spectra. The timescale $\tau_e$ for equilibration of the free electrons to a Maxwellian electron fluid due to both electron–electron and electron–ion collisions is given by Equation (3.50) of Goedbloed & Poedts (2004), which in cgs units is:

$$\tau_e = \frac{1.09 \times 10^{10}}{\ln \Lambda} \frac{\hat{T}^{3/2}}{ZN_e},$$

where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm, $\hat{T}$ is electron temperature in keV units, and $Z$ is the proton number. Taking $Z = 1$ (considering that most of the ions in the solar corona are Hydrogen ions), $\ln \Lambda \approx 10$, and using the measured values of $\log(N_e [cm^{-3}]) = 9.1$ and $\log(T [K]) = 6.25$ (corresponding to $\hat{T}$ of about 0.22 keV), we obtain $\tau_e \approx 0.1$s.

We note that the above classical formula holds for the bulk of the electron distribution function, as the electrons in the high-energy tail are progressively less collisional, with the collision frequency decreasing with with kinetic energy $E$ as $E^{-3/2}$. In addition, the acceleration of progressively higher-$E$ electrons can also take longer (see Bian et al. 2014), although the details will depend on the acceleration mechanism itself; which, if indeed operating in the solar corona, is as of yet unknown. If the acceleration occurs due to turbulence, as derived by Bian et al. (2014), then the parameter $\kappa^* = \kappa + 1$ describes the competing timescales of electron acceleration and collisional timescales, $\kappa^* = \tau_{acc}/2\tau_{coll}$ (see Equation (14) of Bian et al. 2014). It follows that if the measured $\kappa$ values as low as 2–3 in active regions are correct, the electrons must be continuously accelerated. Otherwise, we would not be able to see changes in the measured Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio due to NMED effects, as the electrons would return to equilibrium Maxwellian distribution within a fraction of the exposure times required for our remote-sensing spectroscopic measurements.

In addition, it should be noted that the timescales for spontaneous emission in Fe XII (discussed in Section 3.4) are much shorter, by orders of magnitude, than the electron equilibration timescale $\tau_e$ derived above. Therefore, the level population of Fe XII reflects the changes in the electron distribution much faster, and is likely in equilibrium even in the case if the electron distribution undergoes evolution.

4.2. Implications for coronal heating

It is interesting to consider the implication of continuous re-acceleration of non-Maxwellian electrons (Section 4.1) in terms of coronal heating. We speculate that if continuous re-acceleration is connected to the frequency of the ”nanoflare” heating of the solar corona, our observations may suggest novel constraints on the nanoflare heating models. We note that the current leading nanoflare or nanoflare train models (see, for example, Cargill 2014; Barnes et al. 2016; Viall & Klimchuk 2017; Reva et al. 2018; Warren et al. 2020, and references therein) typically consider heating durations of the order of tens of seconds with separation between individual heating events as large as of the order of $10^2$–$10^3$s. In addition, recent observations of mass variability in ARs with IRIS suggest that heating durations of the order of tens of seconds are common (Testa et al. 2013, 2014, 2020).

Our implication that the re-acceleration occurs continuously can be reconciled with these works if the heating occurs due to short individual bursts (so that electrons are re-accelerated), while the duration of the envelope of the heating can be as long as $10^1$–$10^2$s. One mechanism that behaves this way is slipping reconnection, which is the general mode of reconnection in three
dimensions (see, e.g. Janvier et al. 2013; Dudík et al. 2014). During slipping reconnection, individual field lines reconnect many times, indeed sequentially, with different field lines, while their footpoints slip across the solar surface. The slipping reconnection in many small-scale quasi-separatrix layers has been shown to be a viable coronal heating mechanism (Yang et al. 2018) and is indeed sometimes observed to occur in moss regions (Testa et al. 2013). However, other mechanisms can also lead to many individual heating events occurring due to a longer-duration conditions of energy release in a coronal loop. One can imagine that, for example, wave-particle resonance interactions would behave much the same way as long as the larger-scale wave lasts. Such speculations are however out of the scope of the present work, and we do not engage in them further. Nevertheless, we do note that if the scenario of frequent re-acceleration events occurring within a longer-duration heating envelope is correct, the behavior of emission within individual emitting strands (as well as their collective emission) should be modeled in detail, as there are many timescales involved, as mentioned at the beginning of this section, including the timescale for equilibration of the relative level population, TDI effects, and the NMED effects.

5. SUMMARY

We have investigated coordinated Hinode/EIS and IRIS observations of Fe XII lines. While the EIS observes the allowed lines in the EUV part of the spectrum, the IRIS observes the forbidden line at 1349 Å. We find that the ratio of these two lines decreases strongly with the increase in intensity of the forbidden 1349 Å line in active regions. In the quiet Sun, the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio is about 30–40, while in active regions, the ratio decreases down to values of below 20, even reaching values as low as 10 in some cases. These measurements were accompanied by determination of the temperature and emission measure using lines of Fe IX–Fe XVI, as well as electron densities using density-sensitive Fe XII and Fe XIII lines from EIS.

Using synthetic spectra obtained from CHIANTI version 10, we investigated whether the behaviour of the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio could be due to its dependence on electron temperature and density. Especially in active regions, we found significant and systematic discrepancies in the observed 192.4 / 1349 Å ratio with respect to the predictions based on the synthetic spectra obtained by CHIANTI. In the AR box that we selected for detailed analysis, we measured values of $\log(T [K]) = 6.25$ and $\log(N_e [\text{cm}^{-3}]) = 9.1$, resulting in a predicted Fe XII 192.4 / 1349 Å ratio of about 30, while the observed value is about 20.

We reviewed the potential causes of this discrepancy, including:

1. Opacity effects on the Fe XII EUV lines,
2. presence of cool plasma along the line of sight,
3. plasma multithermality,
4. dependence of the observed ratio on non-Maxwellian electron distributions (NMED).
5. effects due to time-dependent ionization (TDI)

Opacity in the Fe XII lines was detected as an increase in width of the EUV lines, especially the 193.5 and 195.1 Å lines (see Del Zanna et al. 2019). Being the weakest of the three transition, the 192.4 Å line is least affected. Based upon the measured temperatures and emission measures, we estimated that the optical depth in the 192.4 Å line is about 0.32 (and 0.96 for the 195.1 Å line), leading to suppression of the Fe XII 192.4 Å line by about 10%. This effect was therefore deemed insufficient to explain the discrepancies in the 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio. We subsequently corrected the observed 192.4 Å line intensity accordingly to account for self-absorption.

The relative absence of cool material along the line of sight was checked based on the AIA 193 Å and Hα observations by the Kanzelhöhe Solar Observatory. We note that the two wavelengths have similar optical thickness (Anzer & Heinzel 2005) and that the absorption near 195 Å occurs due to the H I, He I, and He II continua. Our selected QR and AR for the quiet and active region were also chosen to be above the Hα spicules, and in regions devoid of prominence material, so that the absorption by the H and He continua was deemed negligible.

We used the the $\kappa$-distributions to study the influence of the NMED on the line ratio. Using the updated KAPPA database (Dziříková et al. 2021) corresponding to CHIANTI version 10, it was found that the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio decreases with increasing number of high-energy electrons (i.e., lower $\kappa$). The observed Fe XII ratio of about 20 in the AR can be explained by NMED with $\kappa$ as low as 2–3, although calibration uncertainties are significant. In addition, the spatial distribution of the ratio matches well the theoretical diagnostic curves for NMED, where the lowest observed ratios correspond to strongly NMED plasmas. These theoretical curves for NMED are only weakly dependent on electron density and show strong sensitivity to $\kappa$, making the Fe XII ratio one of the best diagnostic options for
the NMED. In addition, the plasma multithermality was ruled out as the cause of the departure of the Fe XII ratio in active regions, since any DEM effects would only exacerbate the discrepancy.

Finally, based on theoretical arguments as well as existing literature, we concluded that TDI effects alone are likely insufficient to explain the observed discrepancies in the Fe XII ratio, although they cannot be ruled out.

Our measurements employed a new EIS calibration, which will be described in detail in a separate publication. The uncertainty inherent in the calibration limits the determination of $\kappa$ from our measurements. Nevertheless, the off-limb quiet Sun and active region are observed simultaneously, and the new calibration shows that the ratio in the quiet Sun is consistent with Maxwellian electrons, in accordance with independent previous measurements from EIS (Lőrinčík et al. 2020), but also X-ray instruments (Kuhar et al. 2018), which do not show presence of accelerated particles in quiet Sun regions. This indicates that the relative EIS/IRIS calibration is likely correct.

For the reasons listed above, we are left with NMED as the most likely, simplest cause of the anomalously low Fe XII $192.4\,\text{Å}/1349.4\,\text{Å}$ ratio in the observed active regions.

Using Equation (3.50) of Goedbloed & Poedts (2004) we calculated that the timescale $\tau_e$ for equilibration of the free electrons to a Maxwellian electron fluid is given by $\tau_e \approx 0.1\,\text{s}$, for the core of the distribution, using the values of temperature and density measured. Given that the Fe XII lines were observed with exposure times of tens of seconds, this suggest that the electrons must be continuously accelerated or re-accelerated over these timescales, otherwise they would return to equilibrium Maxwellian distribution within a fraction of second. Our observations could thus provide interesting new constraints on the nanoflare-based coronal heating models.

Observations with well-calibrated instruments in the future could use these or similar allowed-to-forbidden coronal line ratios to diagnose the presence of NMED. One attractive option is EUVST, as it will observe the same lines as the EIS SW channel, and UV lines with a high sensitivity, hopefully measuring the diagnostic ratios with a cadence of a fraction of a second.
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APPENDIX

A. BLENDING OF THE IRIS FE XII LINE

As the IRIS spectral range is rich in unidentified narrow lines due to photospheric/chromospheric lines, as well as molecular lines, we have analysed one full spectral IRIS atlas during a flare, and measured the intensities of all the known and unknown cool lines in the spectra. The observation was obtained on 2013-10-11 with a long dense IRIS raster that started at 23:55 UT.

Fig. 13 shows superimposed two spectra, one obtained on a moss region (pixel values 240:260 in solar X and 130:160 along the slit), and the other one at pixel coordinates 73 (solar X) and 192 (solar Y) on a flare ribbon, reduced by a factor of 20. It is clear that in the moss region the 1349.4 Å line is due to Fe XII, as it has the expected width. The spectrum in the ribbon is instead solely due to an unidentified narrow cool line, with a wavelength coincident with that of the Fe XII line. The spatial distribution of this unidentified line is quite different than that of most known lines such as the Cl I 1351.66 Å. It is similar to that of the strong C I lines at 1357.13, 1354.28 Å, but is actually closest in morphology to another unidentified line at 1350.69 Å. The ratios of the known C I lines are relatively constant, so a possible way to estimate the contribution of the unidentified line at 1349.4 Å is to consider the observed ratios in the ribbons with the C I lines. For example the ratio (in data number) with the C I 1354.28 Å ranges between 0.02 and 0.07.

B. EIS RADIOMETRIC CALIBRATION

Briefly, a DEM analysis was applied to off-limb quiet Sun observations close in time to the observations discussed here, to obtain the relative EIS calibration using the strongest coronal lines. The advantage is that the plasma is nearly isothermal with an isodensity in these cases and possible issues related to the presence of NMED are avoided. Also, this removes blending with cool lines from a few coronal lines. This is an extension of the method used by Warren et al. (2014), where strict isothermality was assumed. The established relative calibration for the short-wavelength (SW) channel was then used to calibrate the EIS spectra, for a direct cross-calibration with simultaneous SDO AIA 193 Å data, taking into account the different spatio-temporal resolutions, basically following the methods described in Del Zanna et al. (2011); Del Zanna (2013b). Good agreement (to within a few percent) between the AIA DN/s predicted from EIS, and those observed by AIA (re-scaled to the lower spatio-temporal resolution of EIS) is imposed, noting that a typical spatial scatter around 10% is normally found. We used the modelled AIA degradation as available in SolarSoft, with the option of the normalisation with SDO EVE. We also checked this AIA calibration against simultaneous SDO EVE observations, using the latest EVE calibration, which in itself relies on a comparison with a few sounding rocket flights and adjustments using line ratios, following the methods adopted for EIS (Del Zanna 2013a). In turn, the prototype EVE flown on the sounding rocket flights is regularly calibrated on the ground. The
The measurements of $\kappa$ done in Section 3.2.2 required prior determination of electron density. However, the electron densities is also subject to uncertainties of the measured line intensities, especially the photon noise. Note we do not consider the calibration uncertainty, since all lines used for measurements of $N_e$ are observed by the same channel of EIS.

The photon noise uncertainties are shown by gray stripes in the emissivity ratio plots on Figure 8. It is seen that the photon noise introduces uncertainty into the measurements of $\log(N_e [\text{cm}^{-3}])$ of about 0.1 dex for Fe XIII, and slightly larger, $\approx 0.15$ dex, for the Fe XII 186.9 Å and 192.4 Å pair of lines.

In Figure 14, we show the changes in the diagnostic diagram for the box AR (see also right panel Figure 11) that occur due to the 0.1 dex uncertainty in the measurements of $\log(N_e [\text{cm}^{-3}])$. This uncertainty in electron density is shown by different linestyles. It is seen that for the smallest considered value of $\kappa = 2$, the difference is negligible, while uncertainty in $\kappa$ slightly increases with increasing $\kappa$ (i.e., approaching Maxwellian). However, even then, the curves for $\kappa = 10$ and Maxwellian still do not overlap. Therefore, our determination that the NMED represent a viable explanation of the Fe XII 192.4 Å / 1349 Å ratio observed in the AR is not influenced in the uncertainties in the measurements of electron density.