Neurorehabilitation Algorithms in Parkinsonism: Impact of Electrical Stimulations and Deep Oscillation on Autonomy and Quality of Life
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Abstract

Introduction: Parkinsonism (Prk) is a neurodegenerative disorder, considered as a socially important disease with serious decline in autonomy and quality of life of patients.

Objective: During last years, we estimated the efficacy of application of different physical modalities and neurorehabilitation methods on independence in activities of daily living and on quality of life of these patients.

The GOAL of current study was to evaluate qualitatively and quantitatively the impact of some preformed physical modalities [as Electrical Stimulations (ES) and Deep Oscillation (DO)] in the complex neurorehabilitation (NR) program in Prk-patients.

Materials & Methods: We observed 170 Prk-patients, covering the criteria of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS); randomized into five therapeutic groups (gr). In gr-1 we applied traditional physiotherapy (control group); In gr-2 a complex NR-programme, including physiotherapy, Ergotherapy & patients’ education.

In patients of next groups, we added preformed physical modalities: In gr-3-electrical stimulations (ES) for feet extensors and flexors; in gr-4-Deep Oscillation (DO) paravertebrally; in gr-5-ES and DO.

Results demonstrate statistically significant amelioration of UPDRS: Depression and Anxiety. Paravertebral pain, Rigidity (muscular and articular stiffness) and Prk-posture were most significantly influenced in gr-4 and gr-5. In gr-3 and gr-5 we perceived most important improvement of autonomy in different activities, Timed Up and Go test, etc.

Conclusion: We recommend our own NR programme, including Physiotherapy, Occupational therapy, ES and DO; useful for the autonomy in activities of daily living of Parkinsonic patients.
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Abbreviations

ADL: Activities of daily living
DO: Deep Oscillation
ES: Electrical Stimulations
ICD: International Classification of Diseases
ICF: International Classification of Functioning
NR: Neurorehabilitation
PD: Parkinsonic Disease
Prk: Parkinsonism
PRM: Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine
TUG: Timed Up and Go test
UPDRS: Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale
QoL: Quality of Life

Introduction

Parkinsonism (Prk) is a Neurodegenerative disorder, first described by Dr James Parkinson in 1817 as Shaking Palsy or Paralysis Agitans [1] and nowadays considered as a socially important disorder with inevitable progression and serious impact on autonomy and quality of life of patients [2].

Primary clinical patterns of Parkinsonic disease (PD) include: Static tremor, Muscle rigidity (Muscular and articular stiffness), Bradykinesia and Hypokinesia (including Hypomimia or Amimia), typical Prk-posture and Prk-gait, postural instability with pulsion phenomena (Antepulsion, Retropulsion, Lateropulsion) and frequent falls; Dysarthria and Dystonia [3,4]. There is a lot of non-motor autonomic and psychic signs and symptoms, especially Anxiety, depression, Apathy, Day-time somnolence and Insomnia; Pain, Fatigue, and (in some cases) dementia [3,5]. In advanced Prk-cases many movement
problems are observed, e.g. freezing and on-off phenomena; peak-of-dose-dyskinesias; etc.

 Routinely, the management of Prk includes predominantly drugs, functional neurosurgery and physiotherapy [4, 6-10]. The progressive degeneration with the subsequent early death of Dopaminergic neurons in the region of substantia nigra and the accumulation of the protein alpha-synuclein with the subsequent formation of Lewy bodies are the targets of many pharmaceutics (applied as Monotherapy or in combination): Levodopa, Dopamine agonists, MAO-B inhibitors, COMT-inhibitors, cerebro-protectors, ultimately-Vitamin B12, homocysteine, etc. Other authors propose transplantation of Dopaminergic tissue, use of Stem-cells, Gene-therapy or growth factor delivery in specific brain regions; stereotoxic thalamotomy, Deep brain stimulation, etc. For satisfaction of typical patients’ and families’ concern, some patients’ organizations and associations suggest supportive therapies (as physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, specific diet) and complementary therapies without sufficient scientific evidence (art-therapy, music therapy, Shiatsu, Reiki, massage, aroma-therapy, homeopathy, Bowen technique, etc.) [11].

 In this field, the holistic approach of Physical and rehabilitation medicine (PRM) and of Neurorehabilitation (NR) may be convenient. Traditionally, the rehabilitation in PD includes physiotherapy and, in some cases, training in everyday activities [12].

 During last years, our interest was oriented to the potential of Neurorehabilitation (NR) [13]. We elaborated a NR-program, adapted to dysfunctions and everyday problems of Prk-patients. The program includes Physiotherapy, Ergotherapy & patients’ education: exercises for paravertebral muscles and for extremities; soft tissue techniques for rigid muscles; balance, transfer and coordination training; grasp and gait training; speech and mimic exercises; training in activities of daily living [14].

 Our objective was to combine different natural and preformed physical modalities and to estimate the efficacy of application of different neurorehabilitation methods on independence in activities of daily living (ADL) and on quality of life (QoL) of these patients. We must underline that the NR in PD is a team work of many medical specialists (medical doctors-specialists in Neurology, PRM, Gerontology) and health professionals (nurse, physical therapist, occupational therapist, speech therapist, neuropsychologist).

 The GOAL of current study was to evaluate qualitatively and quantitatively the impact of some preformed physical modalities [as Electrical Stimulations (ES) and Deep Oscillation (DO)] in the complex neurorehabilitation (NR) program in Prk-patients.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study design

Our controlled prospective randomized double-blind investigation was effectuated during last years on a total of 170 patients, divided into 5 groups (34 patients for each one),

#### Outcome measures

During the period of the study we checked all patients with PD, hospitalized in our PRM Department. A detailed clinical and functional examination was performed for every patient, including history of the disease, date of the diagnosis PD; signs and symptoms of the Prk-syndrome; presence of pain; functional status, pathokinésiologic analysis, independence in activities of daily living [15,16]. Special attention was paid to Hoehn and Yahr stage, pain evaluation, depression and anxiety; gait instability and activities limitations.

### Eligibility criteria for participants

Our 170 patients were with diagnosed PD (G20 according the International Classification of Diseases-ICD-revision 10), with impairments, activity limitations and participation restrictions (according the International Classification of Functioning-ICF); covering the criteria of the Unified Parkinsonic Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS). The mean value of Hoehn and Yahr staging before NR was 2.7. The mean value of the Timed Up and Go test (TUG) before NR was 12.6 seconds.

After detailed analysis of potential participants and with the objective to assure data uniformity, we decided to put strong inclusion and exclusion criteria, as follows:

#### Inclusion criteria

- Neurological diagnosis of idiopathic PD, proved by an university Neurological Clinic with clinical data and results of neuro-imagery (CT-scan or MRI) and neuro-functional investigations (electrodiagnostic, electroneurography, electromyography, incl. tremorogram);
- reduced autonomy in ADL [functional grip, gait, activities] and reduced quality of life.

#### Exclusion criteria

We excluded Prk-patients with comorbidities, e.g.cerebro-vascular disease (after stroke); advanced cardiac insufficiency, severe osteoarthritis in hip or knee joints, levels 4 or 5 after Hoehn &Yahr staging (reduced physical capacity to realize physiotherapeutic training); cognitive impairments (dementia); changes in the medication in the period of the investigation (2 weeks before and 1 month after NR).

According the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) 2010 statement [17], we present patients’ distribution and flow in the Table 1.

**Table 1: Flow diagram.**
We present the distribution of all 170 observed Prk-patients by age, by sex; by duration of the PD and by clinical syndrome in figures 1-4.

Our observed 170 Prk-patients were randomized into five therapeutic groups (gr)-one control group and four experimental groups. In gr-1 we applied traditional physiotherapy (control group). In patients of the experimental group we applied our own complex NR-program, including physiotherapy, Ergotherapy & patients’ education: analytic exercises for paravertebral muscles and for extremities; strengthening exercises and exercises for the range of motion of joints in upper and lower limbs; soft tissue techniques for rigid muscles; balance, transfer and coordination training; respiratory exercises; speech and mimic exercises; training in activities of daily living-postural control, position therapy, transfers, verticalization; grasp and gait training; self-service activities (bathing, eating, etc.). The complex NR-program must be exercised every day for 60 minutes, in front of a mirror; under the control of a physiotherapist.

The experimental group was divided into four sub-groups (gr-2, gr-3, gr-4, gr-5).

Patients of gr-2 received only this complex NR-program.

In patients of next groups, we added preformed physical modalities:

- in gr-3-electrical stimulations (ES) with pulses of low frequency electric currents for feet extensors and flexors; 10-20 mili-Ampers, 15-20 minutes;
- in gr-4-Deep Oscillation (DO) with parameters: 5-7 micro-Ampers, 15-30 minutes; frequency 140-200 Hz) paravertebrally;
- in gr-5-FES and DO.

The duration of the NR was one month (20 working days).

For database management we used parametrical analysis (t-test-analysis of variances ANOVA) and non-parametric distribution analysis (Wilcoxon signed rank test); performed using SPSS package. The treatment difference was considered statistically significant if the P value was <0.05.

Ethical aspects

Investigations were realized in accordance with the requirements for defense of patients’ rights (Declaration of Helsinki, 1964) and were approved by the respective institutional and Ethical Committees. Before the investigations, we explained to every one of patients the correspondent elements of the NR-program and of the design of investigations. Every patient signed an informed consent for the NR-course and for respective investigations.

We investigated our patients before and after NR-program and one month later in accordance to the respective Protocol.

Details of organization of the study, randomization and blinding

A simple randomization was used. Patients were sequentially numbered and randomized into five treatment groups of 34 each one.

According recommendations [18,19] the investigation was realized by a multi-professional team; including: a medical doctor-specialist in Physical and rehabilitation medicine (PRM) and in Neurology (author 1). The physical therapy complex was performed by a physical therapist, with sub-specialization in the field of Neurological Rehabilitation (co-author 2). A specialist in Information Technologies performed the statistical analysis (co-author 3). The medical doctor provided the exams of patients (before, during and after treatment) without information concerning the NR-complex. The physical therapist oriented every first patient to gr 1, every second patient-to gr 2, etc. The mathematician had not information about patients’ personal...
data and the NR-complex; he had only investigations’ results. The details of the series were revealed to investigators after the end of the study.

Results and Analysis

The comparative analysis of the results demonstrates statistically significant amelioration (in all experimental groups) concerning: brady-hypo-kinesia; gaitstability, pulsionphemonena (especially retroulsion); Hoehn and Yahr scale; depression and anxiety; autonomy and quality of life of Prk-patients. Paravertebral pain, rigidity (muscular and articular stiffness) and Prk-posture were most significantly influenced in gr-4 and gr-5. In gr-3 and gr-5 we perceived most important improvement of data of Timed Up and Go test (TUG) and of autonomy of patients in differentactivities.

During the evaluation of the dynamics in the severity of PD using the Hoehn and Yahr Staging before and after NR (Figure 5), we observed a translation of the Gauss curvature of distribution towards the minor levels, respecting the diminution of the Wilcoxon meridian.

The most important result for us is the augmentation of patients’ autonomy in self-service activities (movements in bed, bathing, dressing, eating, mobility at home, etc.) and home-based and transport activities (food preparation, home hygiene, activities with upper and lower extremities, transportation, etc.). Next Figures 6 & 7 present the efficacy of NR on patients’ autonomy. The scale of evaluation is between zero and five (complete dependence 0 to complete independence 5). We can observe positive results in autonomy-in all experimental groups (gr-2 to gr-5).

Psychological condition of PRK-patients was assessed through the Express Scales of Zung-for Depression (D) and Anxiety (A). Next Figure 9 presents the values of Zung-D-before NR (B.NR) and after NR (A.NR). Before NR the results of Zung-D were in the field of moderate depression (48-55 points). In experimental groups we observed a translation of results of Zung-D to the levels of mild depression (41-47 points) or no depression (under 40 points). Reduction of depression was stable during the follow-up (one month after the end of the NR-course). The observed correlation with the pain relief probably objectivizes the efficacy of pain reduction.

The gait stability, the velocity of reaction and of gait were significantly improved in all experimental groups, especially in gr-3 and gr-5 (with electrical stimulations) (Table 2) (Figure 10).

Discussion

Neurorehabilitation and use dependent neuroplasticity

We made a composition, clinical application and approbation of complex neurorehabilitation algorithms in Prk-patients. The goal was to evaluate the efficacy of application of different natural and preformed physical modalities and NR-methods on independence in
activities of daily living (ADL) and quality of life of patients with this socially important neurodegenerative disease.

We proved that neurorehabilitation can be useful in Prk-patients, but only in case of systematic and prolonged NR-courses, adapted to the individual patient, in the concrete moment of progression of this neurodegenerative disease. In these cases, we must apply the SMART-approach (Specific; Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timebound). We must choose the NR tools with potential to rewire cerebral functions and to excite the formation of new connections and pathways, respectively to stimulate the brain reorganization and adaptation to the ‘new’ situation (appearance of a damaged locus in the cerebral tissue), in other terms - to help functional recovery through potentiation of use-induced and use-dependent neuroplasticity. We must apply the “neurorehabilitation puzzle” - a synergic combination of different physical modalities (electric currents, movement, activities, etc.). For functional evaluation in NR we must apply neurological scales and the International Classification of Functioning (ICF).

Practical Issues from our Study

- Rehabilitation is a functional therapy, based on detailed functional assessment.
- NR is a cheap and useful option for increase the patients’ quality of life.
- Electrical stimulations are useful for gait stability of Prk-patients and for their autonomy in ADL.
- Deep Oscillation is an effective preformed physical modality with significant anti-pain effect.
- The patient education must be adapted to the needs of every concrete patient, including other types of patients, excluded from our study (e.g. Prk due to other causes: cerebro-vascular disease, etc.).

Future Directions

We consider that, in the future, investigators must observe the efficacy of other preformed physical modalities on Prk-cases. A comparison between different physical factors can be useful for the management protocol in this type of patients.

Conclusion

Physiotherapy and Ergotherapy are useful for the autonomy of Prk-patients. Preformed physical modalities can ameliorate the efficacy of NR: Electrical Stimulations are useful for gait stability, Deep Oscillation -for pain relief and correction of depression.

The complex NR-program is effective for improvement of the neuromuscular coordination, respectively for the autonomy of patients in everyday life.

In conclusion, we recommend our own NR program, including physiotherapy, occupational therapy, patient education, electro stimulations and deep oscillation.
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