Radiological and clinical characteristics of 22 suspected COVID-19 patients with multiple negative RT-PCR testing
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Abstract

This study aims to investigate radiological and clinical characteristics of suspected COVID-19 patients with negative RT-PCR testing. 22 suspected COVID-19 patients with 2-5 negative RT-PCR testing were enrolled. The most common symptoms at onset included fever (18 [81.8%] patients), fatigue (16 [72.7%]) and cough (12 [54.5%]). 20 (90.9%) patients were with normal or decreased Leukocyte count, 17 (77.3%) with decreased Lymphocyte count, 16 (72.7%) and 18 (81.8%) with increased level of C-reactive protein and D-dimers, respectively. Ground-glass opacity (GGO) (22 [100%]), reticular/interlobular septal thickening (11 [50%]) and consolidation (14 [63.6%]) with predominantly bilateral and peripheral distribution were typical findings at initial CT scan. The CT score of right lower lobe was significantly higher than right upper lobe (P = 0.042). The total CT scores of group 2, 3, 4 (2nd week, 3rd week, 4th week and after) were significantly higher than group 1 (1st week) (P < 0.01, for all). After rapid progression at first week, the most extensive pulmonary involvement emerged at second week after symptom onset, then the involvement gradually decreased. Given the clinical symptoms, laboratory results and typical radiological manifestations, even suspected COVID-19 patients with multiple negative RT-PCR testing deserve great concern for active management.

Highlights

- Suspected COVID-19 patients with negative RT-PCR testing present typical clinical symptom, laboratory finding and chest CT
- Chest CT manifestations and temporal changes of suspected COVID-19 are characteristic and similar to confirmed COVID-19.
- Clinicians and radiologists should be alerted when encountering suspected COVID-19 patient with negative RT-PCR

Introduction

Since December 2019, an outbreak of a novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) began in Wuhan, Hubei province, China and led to a major concern of the potential for a pandemic because of the rapidly increased number of confirmed cases outside of China. (1, 2) The widespread human-to-human transmission of COVID-19 resulted in 113,702 confirmed cases in 109 countries/territories/areas with 4,012 deaths as of March 11, 2020. (2-4) Although the exact origin of remained unknown, most early reported cases had an exposure history to the city’s Huanan seafood wholesale market where wild animals were sold. (1, 5) The pathogen of COVID-19 was identified as a novel enveloped RNA beta-coronavirus named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). (6) Despite of the phylogenetic heterogeneity of SARS-CoV-2 from the known human-infected coronavirus such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), (7) infection of SARS-CoV-2 could also induce severe respiratory infection symptoms, even
acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), acute respiratory failure, and other serious complications. (1, 5)

Although the mortality of COVID-19 is lower than SARS and MERS, its stronger human-to-human transmission possibly overwhelm the healthcare systems. (6) Besides, the current lack of curative vaccine makes early diagnosis and efficient control of transmission route the most available way in the battle with COVID-19. As multiple studies have focused on the epidemiologic, laboratory and clinical features of COVID-19 in order to understand the evolution of COVID-19 and control disease spread, some early radiologists have also profiled the imaging patterns on chest computed tomography (CT). (8-12) In confirmed COVID-19 cases, the characteristics and distribution of pulmonary lesions were specific to some extent. For instance, ground glass opacity (GGO) and consolidation were the most common findings on chest CT, and the distribution of lung lesions were predominantly peripheral subpleural and bilateral pattern. (8-10) As the disease progressed, the involvement of lung extended and the frequency of complex signs such as "crazy-paving" pattern and "reverse-halo" sign increased. (13) In recovered cases, pulmonary abnormalities on chest CT showed the most extensive lesions approximately 10 days after initial onset of symptoms and gradually resolved. (11, 13, 14) Therefore, chest CT played a vital role in the diagnosis and evaluation of COVID-19.

At present, the confirmation of COVID-19 depends on positive detection of virus nucleic acid with real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of respiratory secretions from throat swabs or lower respiratory tract. (6, 7) However, clinical practice and published literatures have demonstrated that some patients with negative RT-PCR result for COVID-19 had typical clinical symptoms and chest CT findings of viral pneumonia, and the sensitivity of RT-PCR in detecting COVID-19 seemed lower than that of chest CT. (15, 16) In this study, serial chest CT images of 22 suspected COVID-19 patients with twice or more times negative RT-PCR results were characterized and evaluated for the radiological and clinical features.

**Results**

There were 13 male and 9 female patients with mean age of 50 years (ranging from 30-79 with standard deviation of 14 years) in this study. All patients had resident and/or exposure history including 6 (27.3%) medical staffs in the hospital. The most common clinical symptoms at onset were fever (18 [81.8%] patients), fatigue (16 [72.7%]) and cough (12 [54.5%]). 20 (90.9%) patients had normal or decreased Leukocyte count, 17 (77.3%) had decreased Lymphocyte count, 16 (72.7%) and 18 (81.8%) had increased level of C-reactive protein and D-dimers, respectively. All patients demonstrated consecutively at least twice (sampling interval of at least 24 hours) negative detection of virus nucleic acid for COVID-19 via RT-PCR. 20 (90.9%) patients underwent at least twice CT scans during the study period, except for 2 (9.1%) deceased cases with only initial CT scan. A total of 70 chest CT scans was performed. Each patient underwent an average of 3±1 CT scans (range from 1 to 6 times) with a mean interval of 7±4 days (range from 3 to 20 days). (Table 1)
Of the 22 patients, all (100%) had ground glass opacity (GGO), 11 (50%) had reticular and/or interlobular septal thickening including “crazy-paving” pattern, and 14 (63.6%) had consolidation at initial CT scan. 1 (4.5%) patients had “reverse halo” sign during follow-up CT scan. (Supplementary Material 1) The distribution of these lesions demonstrated obvious peripheral tendency with 15 (68.2%) predominantly subpleural, 1 (4.5%) random and 6 (27.3%) diffuse pattern. (Figure 1) The majority of patients had bilateral lesions (16[72.7%]) and multiple involved lobes (17[77.3%]). (Table 2) No patient had mediastinal lymphadenopathy and 2 (9.1%) patients had pleural effusion at initial or follow-up CT scan. Both lower lobes had relatively higher CT scores than those of middle and upper lobes. The CT score of right lower lobe was significantly higher than right upper lobe (P=0.042). (Table3)

Based on the grouping method, group 1, 2, 3 and 4 included 18, 11, 18 and 23 CT scans, respectively. The mean CT score of all groups was 8.14±4.58, with significantly higher CT score of group 2, 3, 4 than group 1 (p<0.01). Group 2 had the highest CT score of 10.00±4.58. (Table 4, Figure 2) According to the evolution of the chest CT features, 11 (50%) patients were determined as resolution and 9 (40.9%) were partial resolution, except for 2 (9.1%) deceased cases with only initial CT scan. Among patients with resolution, the typical process of chest CT manifestation was initial progression to peak level followed by reduced extent and decreased density of pulmonary lesions (Figure 3) or improvement from the most extensive lung involvement. Among patients with partial resolution, the latest chest CT always showed remaining reticular/interlobular septal thickening and/or consolidation. (Figure 4) The initial CT scans of the deceased cases showed relatively large involvement of the lung. (Supplementary Material 2)

Discussion

As the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) rapidly spreads throughout the world, suspected COVID-19 cases with consecutive negative RT-PCR results deserve high attention in the disease control and patient management. (4) According to the current diagnostic criterion, viral nucleic acid test via RT-PCR is the “gold standard” for the confirmation of COVID-19. However, given the limited number and time consuming process of RT-PCR kits in some medical centers and the possibility of false negative results via RT-PCR, clinicians and radiologists probably encounter and interpret suspected COVID-19 cases without timely viral nucleic acid test or with negative result. (7, 15, 16) Actually, COVID-19 is contagious even in incubation period regardless of the RT-PCR result. (3, 6) To avoid over-dependence on RT-PCR results, clinical and imaging analysis of suspected COVID-19 cases is particularly important. Chest CT is supposed to be a more sensitive method in detecting COVID-19 than RT-PCR. (14-16) In this study, we reviewed the clinical and chest CT characteristics of a cluster of patients with SARS-CoV-2 exposure history and fever and/or respiratory symptoms but without positive RT-PCR results for COVID-19. The typical chest CT manifestation of suspected COVID-19 cases may help medical institutions to isolate and treat patients at early stage.

Without positive RT-PCR results, the enrolled suspected COVID-19 cases still showed some according laboratory features including normal or reduced counts of lymphocytes at early stage, elevated C-reactive protein and D-dimers in the majority of patients. Together with the exposure history and clinical
symptoms, suspected COVID-19 cases were determined. In practice, chest CT was the routine method to
detect the pulmonary abnormality of suspected cases. In this study, all of the patients presented ground
glass opacity (GGO) and over half of the patients had consolidation and reticular/interlobular septal
thickening at the initial scan. This result was in accordance to earlier investigations with confirmed
COVID-19. GGO, consolidation and interstitial abnormality were the most common findings of either
confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients. (9, 10, 17-19) As the time interval between initial CT scan and
symptom onset were various, the pattern of CT manifestation were different as well. The 3 kinds of
lesions were often mixed and tended to present simultaneously, especially in patients with extensive
involvement. In patients with just symptom onset, focal GGO was the common findings on chest CT. (11-
14) Additionally, pulmonary involvement was always characterized by a tendency of bilateral multiple
lobes involvement and a predominant distribution in peripheral and lower parts of the lung. (10, 17) Our
results demonstrated that the CT score of right lower lobe was significantly higher than right upper lobe,
indicating more extensive involvement of lower lobe. Meanwhile, some other radiologic signs such as
mediastinal lymphadenopathy were not present in this series of patients and pleural effusion was found
in only one patient at initial CT scan. Based on the typical chest CT findings, clinicians and radiologists
would be more confident to distinguish a suspected COVID-19 from other pulmonary infection.

Despite of lack of supportive RT-PCR results, serial CT imaging of suspected COVID-19 patients could
reveal the evolution of the disease and help to monitor disease changes. A total of 70 CT scans was
evaluated using a CT score system for the extent of the pulmonary involvement in suspected COVID-19
patients during the follow-up. According to the changes of extent and pattern of pulmonary lesions, most
patients showed an improvement from the peak level of the disease, except for 2 deceased cases. The
extent of disease on CT scans increased markedly from the first week to the second week after symptom
onset, then decreased gradually during the third week and after. The time course of suspected COVID-19
on chest CT was similar to previous investigations on confirmed cases. The peak level of the disease
emerged at the second week after symptom onset. (11, 14) In this cluster of CT scans, the general CT
scores after the second showed no marked decrease because some patients did not fully improve during
follow-up. In the progressing stage, besides of the enlarged pulmonary lesions, the pattern of lung lesions
became more complex including more extensive consolidation and reticular/interlobular septal
thickening, and other signs such as reversed halo sign emerged. The findings were largely similar to
earlier radiologic investigative effort on confirmed COVID-19 patients. (9, 13) In the improving stage, some
patients showed almost complete disappearance of GGO, consolidation and interstitial abnormality, while
others showed incomplete absorption of these lesions possibly due to the insufficient observation period.
In some cases, the pulmonary lesions on follow-up CT scans could presented as shifting from one
location to another or “wane and wax”. This might be due to the treatment during progressing stage. The
evolution of extent and pattern of lung lesions on chest CT had some features and could be used to
evaluate the condition of suspected COVID-19 patients.

We acknowledged several limitations in this study. Firstly, the small sample size could not represent all
conditions of suspected COVID-19 and larger sampled studies would be needed to understand the natural
history of the disease. Secondly, not all the radiological outcomes of each individual were observed in
this study because of limited period, further studies on prognosis would be more helpful for patient management. Thirdly, the possible medical intervention before in-patient of some patients might affect the interpretation of CT images.

In conclusion, our investigative effort demonstrated that the GGO, consolidation and interstitial abnormality with predominantly bilateral and peripheral distribution was the most common pattern for suspected COVID-19 patients with negative RT-PCR results. Most cases showed a recovering process from suspected COVID-19 on chest CT, despite of 2 deceased cases. The CT score system showed most extensive pulmonary involvement at second week after symptom onset. In the progressing stage, increased extent of mixed pulmonary lesions was found on chest CT. In the improving stage, gradual absorption of pulmonary lesions was the common finding. In some cases, the pulmonary lesions on follow-up CT scans could presented as shifting from one location to another or “wane and wax”, which might be due to the treatment during progressing stage. Considering the exposure history, clinical symptoms and laboratory results, for patients with typical CT findings of viral pneumonia, even if the viral nucleic acid test via RT-PCR is negative, clinicians and radiologists should also be alerted and take appropriate preventive measures.

Methods And Materials

Patients

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan. The requirement for informed patient consent was waived by the ethics committee for this retrospective study. This study was performed in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) methodology. The inclusion criteria of this study were based on the preliminary diagnosis and treatment protocols from the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China,(20) including 1. travel/residence history in regions with reported COVID-19 cases or exposure history to infected/symptomatic patients within 14 days before the onset of illness; 2. clinical manifestations including fever and/or respiratory symptoms, normal or decreased count of leucocytes and decreased count of lymphocytes at early stage of the disease, radiological characteristics of viral pneumonia (any 2 of the 3 manifestations); and 4. real-time fluorescence polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) revealed at least twice negative detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid. Throat swab specimens were collected for real-time RTPCR analysis for all cases. A convenience sampling approach was used to enroll the patients. The clinical data including gender, age, initial symptoms and laboratory results were recorded and analyzed and the chest CT images of these patients were reviewed from 15 January 2020 to 27 February 2020.

Image acquisition and evaluation

All patients underwent chest CT using two commercial multi-detector CT scanners (Philips Ingenuity Core128, Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands; SOMATOM Definition AS, Siemens Healthineers, Germany). All of the initial CT scans were performed at 1.25mm or 1.5mm slice thickness. Some follow-
up CT scans were performed at 5mm slice thickness. All CT images were reviewed by two radiologists (L. W., W.W.) using the institutional digital database system (Vue PACS, Carestream Health, Canada). Image evaluation was performed independently and final decisions reached by consensus are reported.

For each patient, the initial and follow-up chest CT were evaluated for the presence of the major radiological signs including ground glass opacity (GGO), consolidation, and reticular/interlobular septal thickening. The numbers and location of involved lung lobes were recorded. The distribution of the lesions was described as predominantly subpleural (involving mainly the peripheral one-third of the lung), random (without predilection for subpleural or central regions), or diffuse (continuous involvement without respect to lung segments). Each of the five lobes was assessed for the degree of involvement on a 6-score scale: 0, no involvement; 1, <5% involvement; 2, 25% involvement; 3, 26%-49% involvement; 4, 50%-75% involvement; 5, >75% involvement. An overall lung “total CT score” was reached by summing the five lobe scores (range from 0 to 25). Based on the time interval between the onset of symptoms and the CT scans, we designated four groups of initial and follow-up CT scans in our study: group 1 (CT scans performed ≤ 7 days after symptom onset); group 2 (CT scans performed >8 to 14 days after symptom onset); group 3 (CT scans performed >14 to 21 days after symptom onset); and group 4 (CT scans performed >21 days after symptom onset).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Software (version 26; IBM, New York, USA). Quantitative data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (range) and categorical data were presented as frequency (percentage). The CT score of different lobes were compared using paired t test. The total CT score of different groups was described using the box plots. The total CT score across different groups were compared using independent t test. A p-value of <0.05 was defined as statistical significance.

**Abbreviations**

**COVID-19** 2019 Coronavirus Disease

**RT-PCR** reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction

**CT** computed tomography

**SARS-CoV-2** severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

**SARS-CoV** severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

**MERS-CoV** Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus

**ARDS** acute respiratory distress syndrome

**GGO** ground glass opacity
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Table 1 Demographic of the patients
| Characteristic                        | Number of patients (%) (N=22) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Gender**                           |                                |
| Male                                 | 13 (59.1%)                     |
| Female                               | 9 (40.9%)                      |
| **Age**                              | 50±14 (30-79)                  |
| **Initial symptoms**                 |                                |
| Fever                                | 18 (81.8%)                     |
| Maximum temperature                  |                                |
| ≤37.3°C                              | 4 (18.2%)                      |
| 37.3-38°C                            | 5 (22.7%)                      |
| 38.1-39°C                            | 9 (40.9%)                      |
| ≥39°C                                | 4 (18.2%)                      |
| Cough                                | 12 (54.5%)                     |
| Phlegm                               | 3 (13.6%)                      |
| Chest distress or polypnea           | 9 (40.9%)                      |
| Myalgia                              | 6 (27.3%)                      |
| Chill or Rigor                       | 5 (22.7%)                      |
| Fatigue                              | 16 (72.7%)                     |
| Diarrhea                             | 2 (9.1%)                       |
| Headache or dizziness                | 4 (18.2%)                      |
| Dyspnea or chest pain                | 4 (18.2%)                      |
| Loss of appetite                     | 12 (54.5%)                     |
| Poor sleep                           | 4 (18.2%)                      |
| **Laboratory results**               |                                |
| Leukocyte count (G/L)                | 6.26±4.46 (2.29-19.67)         |
| ≤10                                  | 2 (9.1%)                       |
| ≥4                                   |                                |
| Neutrophil count (G/L)               | 4.62±4.65 (1.05-18.43)         |
| ≤6.3                                 | 3 (13.6%)                      |
| ≤1.8                                 | 5 (22.7%)                      |
| Lymphocyte count (G/L)               | 1.12±0.49 (0.47-1.96)          |
| ≤1.5                                 | 17 (77.3%)                     |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L)            | 30.09±43.4 (3.26-161.66)       |
| ≥10                                  | 16 (72.7%)                     |
| D-dimers (mg/L)                      | 4.01±5.99 (0.23-20)            |
| ≥0.5                                 | 18 (81.8%)                     |
| Times of negative RT-PCR testing     | 3±1 (2-5)                      |
| Numbers of CT scans                  | 3±1 (1-6)                      |
| The interval between the adjacent scans (d) | 7±4 (3-20) |
### Table 2 CT findings of the patients at initial scan

| Lesions                                              | Number of patients (%) (N=22) |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ground glass opacity (GGO)                          | 22 (100%)                    |
| Reticular/interlobular septal thickening             | 11 (50%)                     |
| Consolidation                                        | 14 (63.6%)                   |
| **Distribution pattern of lesions**                  |                              |
| Peripheral                                           | 15 (68.2%)                   |
| Random                                               | 1 (4.5%)                     |
| Diffuse                                              | 6 (27.3%)                    |
| **Number of involved lobes**                         |                              |
| One lobe                                             | 5 (22.7%)                    |
| Two lobes                                            | 2 (9.1%)                     |
| Three lobes                                          | 1 (4.5%)                     |
| Four lobes                                           | 1 (4.5%)                     |
| Five lobes                                           | 13 (59.1%)                   |
| **Involved lobes**                                   |                              |
| Right upper lobe                                     | 14 (63.6%)                   |
| Right middle lobe                                    | 13 (59%)                     |
| Right lower lobe                                     | 20 (90.9%)                   |
| Left upper lobe                                      | 16 (72.7%)                   |
| Left lower lobe                                      | 18 (81.8%)                   |
| Unilateral                                           | 6 (27.3%)                    |
| Bilateral                                            | 16 (72.7%)                   |

### Table 3 CT scores of the involved lobes at initial scan

| Lobe            | Score (mean ± standard deviation) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Right upper lobe| 1.41±1.26                         |
| Right middle lobe| 1.45±1.37                       |
| Right lower lobe| 2.18±1.181                        |

| Lobe            | Score (mean ± standard deviation) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Left upper lobe | 1.86±1.32                         |
| Left lower lobe | 2.27±1.35                         |
| All lobes       | 9.18±5.94                         |

### Table 4 Total CT scores of different groups
| Group                                      | Score (mean ± standard deviation) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Group 1 (≤7 days after symptom onset)      | 5.06±4.22                         |
| Group 2 (>7 to 14 days)                    | 10.0±4.491                        |
| Group 3 (>14 to 21 days)                   | 9.39±4.792                        |
| Group 4 (>21 days)                         | 8.70±3.743                        |

**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Serial chest CT images of a 32 years old male patient with cough for 5 day. RT-PCR showed negative results for SARS-CoV-2 on January 30, February 4, 2020, respectively. A. Multiple subpleural ground-glass opacity (GGO) affecting bilateral lungs. B. Progression of the disease from multiple GGO to consolidation. C and D. Absorption of consolidation in bilateral lungs.

**Figure 2**
Total CT score of lung involvement at various timepoints from symptom onset. Bars show the total CT scores on CT scans from patients in group 1 (CT scans performed ≤7 days after symptom onset, n=18); group 2 (CT scans performed >8 to 14 days after symptom onset, n=11); group 3 (CT scans performed >14 to 21 days after symptom onset, n=18); and group 4 (CT scans performed >21 days after symptom onset, n=23).
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Figure 3

Serial chest CT images of a 34 years old male patients with fever for 1 day. RT-PCR showed negative results for SARS-CoV-2 on January 28, February 1 and 11, 2020, respectively. A. Focal ground-glass opacity (GGO) affecting the right lower lobe. B and C. Enlarged GGO with consolidation and interlobular septal thickening. D. Absorption of consolidation and interlobular septal thickening with enlarged GGO. E. Absorption of GGO.
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Figure 4

Serial chest CT images of a 69 years old male patient with fever and fatigue for 4 day. RT-PCR showed negative results for SARS-CoV-2 on January 30, February 2, 2020, respectively. A. Subpleural and diffuse ground-glass opacity (GGO) with interlobular septal thickening affecting the right lower lobe and left lower lobe, respectively. B. Increased consolidation with interlobular septal thickening in bilateral lungs. C. Partial absorption of consolidation with remaining interlobular septal thickening and reticular opacity. D. Continued absorption of consolidation in bilateral lungs.
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