Unexpected rise of ozone in urban and rural areas, and sulfur dioxide in rural areas during the coronavirus city lockdown in Hangzhou, China: implications for air quality
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Abstract
The outbreak of coronavirus named COVID-19, initially identified in Wuhan, China in December 2019, has spread rapidly at the global scale. Most countries have rapidly stopped almost all activities including industry, services and transportation of goods and people, thus decreasing air pollution in an unprecedented way, and providing a unique opportunity to study air pollutants. While satellite data have provided visual evidence for the global reduction in air pollution such as nitrogen dioxide (NO2) worldwide, precise and quantitative information is missing at the local scale. Here we studied changes in particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10), carbon monoxide (CO), NO2, sulfur dioxide (SO2) and ozone (O3) at 10 urban sites in Hangzhou, a city of 7.03 million inhabitants, and at 1 rural site, before city lockdown, January 1–23, during city lockdown, January 24-February 15, and during resumption, February 16–28, in 2020. Results show that city lockdown induced a sharp decrease in PM2.5, PM10, CO, and NO2 concentrations at both urban and rural sites. The NO2 decrease is explained by reduction in traffic emissions in the urban areas, and by lower regional transport in rural areas during lockdown, as expected. SO2 concentrations decreased from 6.3 to 5.3 μg m−3 in the city, but increased surprisingly from 4.7 to 5.8 μg m−3 at the rural site: this increase is attributed both to higher coal consumption for heating and emissions from traditional fireworks of the Spring Eve and Lantern Festivals during lockdown. Unexpectedly, O3 concentrations increased by 145% from 24.6 to 60.6 μg m−3 in the urban area, and from 42.0 to 62.9 μg m−3 in the rural area during the lockdown. This finding is explained by the weakening of chemical titration of O3 by NO due to reductions of NOx fresh emissions during the non-photochemical reaction period from 20:00 PM to 9:00 AM (local time). During the lockdown, compared to the same period in 2019, the daily average concentrations in the city decreased by 42.7% for PM2.5, 47.9% for PM10, 28.6% for SO2, 22.3% for CO and 58.4% for NO2, which is obviously explained by the absence of city activities. Overall, we observed not only the expected reduction in some atmospheric pollutants (PM, SO2, CO, NO2), but also unexpected increases in SO2 in the rural areas and of ozone (O3) in both urban and rural areas, the latter being paradoxically due to the reduction in nitrogen oxide levels. In other words, the city lockdown has improved air quality by reducing PM2.5, PM10, CO, and NO2, but has also decreased air quality by augmenting O3 and SO2.
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Introduction
In early December 2019, the novel coronavirus pneumonia named COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO) was initially identified in Wuhan, the capital city of Hubei province (Huang et al. 2020; Guan et al. 2020; WHO, 2019). As of May 28, 2020, COVID-19 had spread rapidly on a global scale with 5,491,678 confirmed cases, 349,190 confirmed deaths in 217 countries, areas or territories, as
reported by the WHO (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019). To curb the spread of COVID-19, Wuhan and other cities of Hubei province announced lockdowns on January 23 and 24, 2020, respectively, followed by other provinces in China, by declaring the highest level of a public health emergency on January 26, 2020 (Tian et al. 2020). As part of the national emergency response, the Chinese government established nationally strict curfews and isolation policies, named ‘lockdown’, for instance:

- extending the Spring Festival holiday,
- suspending all public transportation including city buses, subways, ferries and long-distance coaches,
- closing outbound channels at airports and railway stations,
- closing schools and entertainment venues,
- banning public gatherings,
- enhancing social distancing, and
- issuing stay-at-home orders and closing non-essential businesses.

Future analyses will evaluate the extent to which these approaches have been effective, and whether potential benefits have outweighed the costs, e.g., economic losses. Non-essential businesses in most areas resumed work after February 10, although non-essential businesses in Wuhan remained closed until March 20.

The all-out massive efforts of the Chinese government by sealing off all cities in a bid to curb the spread of the COVID-19 provide an unprecedented opportunity to study the possible effects of anthropogenic emission on air quality. NASA and European Space Agency (ESA) pollution monitoring satellites, i.e., the Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) on ESA’s Sentinel-5 satellite, detected significant decreases in NO₂ over China based on the data from January 1–20, 2020, before the quarantine, to February 10–25, during the quarantine (NASA 2020). There is evidence that the changes were at least partly related to the economic slowdown following the coronavirus outbreak. By comparison of NO₂ values in 2020 with the average values detected at this time of year from 2005 to 2019, NASA determined that NO₂ concentrations in eastern and central China in 2020 were 10–30% lower than those normally observed for this time period (NASA 2020).

On the other hand, despite reduction in activities at the outbreak of COVID-19, the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei cluster remained shrouded in smog during the Spring Festival holiday in 2020 despite the expectation that air pollution would remain low (MEE 2020; China Daily 2020). On Wednesday, February 5, 2020, hourly concentrations of PM₂.₅—fine particles with an aerodynamic diameter lower than 2.5 μm—in most districts in Beijing exceeded 200 μg m⁻³ with worsening haze over the Lantern Festival on Saturday, February 9 (MEE 2020). It was estimated that from January 24 to February 2, 2020, pollutant emissions, with 77% fewer freight vehicles and 39% fewer cars on the roads, decreased by at least 40% in the 28 major cities in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) cluster (MEE 2020). However, emissions from industries such as steel, nonferrous metals, glass, cooking and heating in the BTH cluster remained generally unchanged, despite a suspension of activities by many restaurants and labor-intensive factories (MEE 2020). There was a decrease of only 10% in emissions by steel plants and coal-burning power generation sectors with no decrease in other smokestack industries. About 10 million rural households in the BTH region relied on coal for heating, with some shift to cleaner energy like natural gas. Coal consumption for heating increased as reflected by more than 10% enhancement of CO concentrations, relative to last year in the BTH rural areas. Another major factor for poor air quality in the BTH region during the cold periods was the unfavorable meteorological conditions for pollutant dispersal, such as mixing layer heights of only 300 to 500 m, half to one-third of their normal heights in the same season in MEE (2020).

Elevated PM₂.₅ is a major factor controlling regional climate and human health (van Donkelaar et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2014a; Rosenfeld et al. 2019; Pope 2000; Seinfeld and Pandis 2016; Chen et al. 2013). The main driver of decreases in PM₂.₅ in China between 2013 and 2017 was strict emission control policies (Zhang et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2019). Efforts to improve air quality during large international events, such as the 2008 Beijing Olympics, the 2014 Beijing Asia–Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) summit, the 2015 Grand Military Parade, and the 2016 Hangzhou G20 summit, have included short-term closure of power plants and factories, restriction of traffic, and reduction in construction activities (Xing et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2016; Li et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017).

Hangzhou, the capital of Zhejiang Province situated in the Yangtze River Delta and one of the most famous and prosperous cities in China (Fig. 1), has a population of 7.03 million and covers an area over 16,000 km² (http://xzqh.mca.gov.cn/). Influenced by subtropical monsoon climate, Hangzhou has four distinctive seasons. Surrounded by mountains on three sides, this city belongs to basin geomorphology which does not favor pollution dispersal. Due to the implementation of pollution control strategies such as restructuring of industry and energy, coal consumption decreased from 14.6 million tons in 2005 to 13.4 million tons in 2013, despite doubling the numbers of vehicles from 1.1 to 2.5 million (http://www.hangzhou.gov.cn/col/col805867/).

Heavy photochemical pollution and haze episodes occur frequently with exceedances in 2013 of 38 days for O₃ and...
Fig. 1  

a Distributions of 11 air quality monitoring station locations in Hangzhou.  
b Time series of observed hourly concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, O$_3$ at urban and rural sites in Hangzhou city from January 1 to February 28, 2020. Comparing pollutant levels before lockdown and during lockdown, concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, CO, NO$_2$ displayed significantly decreases, while overall augmentations were observed for O$_3$ in both urban and rural areas. The patterns began to reverse after February 15 due to the resumption of work and production activities.
62 days for PM$_{2.5}$ for the secondary Chinese Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS): PM$_{2.5}$: 75 μg m$^{-3}$ for 24 h average and O$_3$: 75 ppbv for daily maximum 8 h (Zhang et al. 2018). During a severe haze episode from December 3–9, 2013, PM$_{2.5}$ mean concentrations reached as high as 293.4 ± 103.2 μg m$^{-3}$ (Yu et al. 2014). Local emissions, complex atmospheric conditions, and regional transport exert combined effects on air pollution occurrence in Hangzhou (Yu et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2020). On the other hand, Zhejiang, known for its thriving private sector, was the first province both to declare a highest level response to coronavirus on January 23, 2020 and to relax control measures and support work resumption (https://www.scmp.com/economy/chineseconomy/article/3049881/coronavirus-chinese-province-order-s-relaxation-excessive). As the capital of Zhejiang province, Hangzhou city declared the first-level public health emergency response on January 23, 2020 (http://www.zj.gov.cn/art/2020/1/26/art_1228996604_41859419.html).

A recent editorial underlines the importance and relevance of research in environmental chemistry to understand pandemics (Sharma et al. 2020). Here we study the impacts of the city lockdowns due to the COVID-19 outbreak on air quality in Hangzhou from January 1 to February 28, 2020. Our hypothesis was that air pollutants should have decreased during lockdown due to the absence of activities and traffic. This report reveals that, on the contrary, the concentrations of some air pollutants increased in both urban and rural areas.

**Experimental**

Hourly observational data of the six pollutants, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, O$_3$, at the monitoring sites from January 1 to February 28, 2020, were collected from the website of China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC) (http://www.mee.gov.cn/hjzl/dqhj/). In addition data were acquired at 11 air quality monitoring stations are set up in Hangzhou, as shown in Fig. 1a, including 10 urban sites (Binjiang, Xiasha, Wolongqiao, Zhejiangnon- gda, Zhaohuizhoubu, Hemuxiaoxue, Linpingzhen, Xixi, Yunqi and Chengxiangzhen), and one rural background site (Qiandaohu), a lake park located about 170 km away from Hangzhou center. Average concentrations at the 10 urban sites were estimated to represent urban conditions, while the concentrations at the rural site were used to represent rural conditions. According to the China National Ambient Air Quality Standard (CNAAAQS, GB3095-2012), the 24-hour average levels of each pollutant are regarded as valid only under if at least 20 h of hourly data are acquired. The 8 h-O$_3$ concentrations could be used only with more than 6 h available data for each 8 h period. In this study, the data in 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 were also used for comparison purpose.

Data of population (7.03 millions) and area (16,596 km$^2$) of Hangzhou city were acquired from the Civil Affairs Ministry of the People’s Republic of China (http://xzqh.mca.gov.cn/). The change of the Baidu Migration Scale Index to characterize the daily travel intensity index inside Hangzhou was downloaded from the public Baidu Maps website (http://qianxi.baidu.com/). Daily traffic density was calculated using the travel intensity index multiplied by population, and then divided by area.

**Results and discussion**

**Trends of air pollutants in early 2020**

**Overall trends**

Figure 1b shows the time series of hourly concentrations of six pollutants at the urban and rural sites from January 1 to February 28, 2020, with the corresponding statistical values summarized in Table S1. To analyze the temporal variations of concentration and impacts of the lockdown, the entire study period was divided into three periods: before lockdown (BL, January 1–23), during lockdown (DL, January 24–February 15), and resumption (February 16–28). Results show that when comparing pollutant levels before and during lockdown, concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, CO, NO$_2$ decreased significantly, as expected. However, results also show an overall increase in ozone (O$_3$) levels both in both urban and rural areas. After February 15, these trends began to reverse due to the resumption of work and production activities.

**Particulate matter**

In the urban area, hourly average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ decreased from 45.9 ± 31.3 μg m$^{-3}$ before lockdown to 29.6 ± 19.5 μg m$^{-3}$ during lockdown (Table S1). Similarly, at the rural site hourly PM$_{2.5}$ levels decreased from 25.0 ± 24.0 to 20.1 ± 16.9 μg m$^{-3}$. In the same way, mean PM$_{10}$ decreased significantly from 70.5 to 48.4 μg m$^{-3}$ in the urban area and from 31.0 to 24.1 μg m$^{-3}$ at the rural site. Then, both PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ levels rose to higher values after resumption. It is known that coal combustion, biomass burning, vehicle emissions, and industrial emissions are the main anthropogenic sources of PM$_{2.5}$ (Chow and Watson 2002; Cheng et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016a; Mehmood et al. 2018, 2020). Therefore, our observed reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Hangzhou city during lockdown is mainly due to limited industrial activity and traffic (Wang et al. 2012; Lyu et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). In the
Contrary to the dramatic decreases in NO\textsubscript{2} during the lockdown, mean concentrations of O\textsubscript{3} increased from 24.6 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} before lockdown to 60.6 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} during lockdown in the urban area, whereas a lower increase in O\textsubscript{3} levels from 42.0 to 62.9 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} occurred in the rural area (Table S1). Then, during the resumption, the mean O\textsubscript{3} concentrations increased slightly in both urban and rural areas. As a secondary pollutant formed by photochemical reactions of nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) (Seinfeld and Pandis 2016), O\textsubscript{3} will decrease with increase in NO\textsubscript{x} in the NO\textsubscript{x} sensitive regime. The unexpected increase in O\textsubscript{3} levels during lockdown with the decreases in NO\textsubscript{2} is explained by the weakening of chemical titration of O\textsubscript{3} by NO during the nighttime, resulting in increases in background O\textsubscript{3} concentrations, as shown in Fig. 2.

In 2020, from 20:00 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. local time, the mean O\textsubscript{3} concentrations for the non-photochemical reaction time period increased from 18.8 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} before lockdown to 53.7 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} during lockdown in urban areas, and from 35.6 to 58.0 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} in rural areas (Fig. 2). By comparison, in 2019, the mean O\textsubscript{3} concentrations for the same time periods increased from 10.0 to 35.9 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} in urban areas, and from 30.6 to 47.8 μg m\textsuperscript{-3} in rural areas. The higher levels during the lockdown time period are partly explained by higher atmosphere temperatures for late January/early February versus January 1–23. Apart from higher levels in 2020 for the whole time period, Fig. 2 shows meaningful higher O\textsubscript{3} concentrations at night in 2020 relative to those in 2019. This confirms indirectly the fact that the O\textsubscript{3} increase in 2020 during lockdown is due to the weakening of chemical titration of O\textsubscript{3} by NO during the nighttime, resulting in increases in background O\textsubscript{3} concentrations.

Comparisons of air quality between 2019 and 2020

Daily average concentrations of ambient PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10}, CO, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2} and daily maximum 8 h-O\textsubscript{3} concentrations in 2020 relative to those in the same period of 2019 in the urban and rural areas are displayed in Fig. 3 and Table S1. PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10} concentrations in the urban areas decrease sharply on January 24, and then remained below 75% during a few days January 24–27 (Fig. 3a,b). Similar drops of 96% for PM\textsubscript{2.5} and 95.6% for PM\textsubscript{10} were observed at the rural site on January 26. CO and SO\textsubscript{2} exhibited no significant change in the urban areas, whereas rising trends were observed at the rural site. This is explained by increasing biomass burning for residential heating or cooking in the rural area. At both urban and rural sites, NO\textsubscript{2} decreased and the maximum drop appeared during January 24–30, as expected. Daily maximum 8 h-O\textsubscript{3} concentrations were enhanced during almost all days in January and February in 2020 relative to 2019.
although the larger enhancements existed before lockdown in both urban and rural areas (Fig. 3).

For the urban area, before the lockdown period, January 1–23, 2020/2019 decreases amounted to 24.8% for PM$_{2.5}$, 19.8% for PM$_{10}$, 29.2% for SO$_2$, 14.1% for CO and 13.7% for NO$_2$. By comparison, the decreases were much higher during the lockdown period, January 24–February 15: 42.7% for PM$_{2.5}$, 47.9% for PM$_{10}$, 22.3% CO and 58.4% for NO$_2$;
ary 15, larger enhancement percentages of $O_3$ were observed from the differences in meteorological conditions for each With similar decrease percentages of $NO_2$ at the urban sites during the whole period at all sites relative to those in 2019. natural sources such as soil, lightning, and wildfire. $NO_x$ plants, industries and residential combustion, and from genic combustion sources including transportation, power

except for $SO_2$ (28.6%) (Table S1). Higher decreases after January 24 reveal the reductions in industrial activities and traffic in Hangzhou. The similar $SO_2$ changes are in agreement with the contribution of coal combustion for heating and firework emissions during lockdown.

For the rural area, only $PM_{2.5}$, $PM_{10}$, and $NO_2$ showed significantly higher decreases of $-18.5\%$, $-39.6\%$, $-48.0\%$ during the lockdown period, respectively, compared with the corresponding 4.4%, $-27.0\%$, $-4.8\%$ before lockdown (Table S1). Whereas $SO_2$ and $CO$ exhibited weak decreases during lockdown, $O_3$ concentrations exhibited an increase during the whole period at all sites relative to those in 2019. With similar decrease percentages of $NO_2$ at the urban sites ($-58.4\%$) and rural site ($-48.0\%$) during January 24–February 15, larger enhancement percentages of $O_3$ were observed at the urban (22.2%) relative to rural (15.7%) sites in 2020 in comparison to those in 2019. Moreover, the weekly mean concentrations of $NO_2$ and $PM_{2.5}$ in 2020 were consistently lower than those in the same periods of 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, due to the emission reductions because of the city lockdown (see Fig. 3b) in addition to the possible effects from the differences in meteorological conditions for each year.

**Correlations between $NO_2$ and city traffic index in Hangzhou**

$NO_x$, including NO and $NO_2$, are major precursors of $PM_{2.5}$ and $O_3$, and are primarily emitted as NO from anthropogenic combustion sources including transportation, power plants, industries and residential combustion, and from natural sources such as soil, lightning, and wildfire. $NO_x$ is an excellent tracer of human activity (Chen et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2014). Our results show that $NO_2$ concentrations in both urban and rural areas in Hangzhou dropped sharply during the lockdown (Figs. 1, 2). Higher temperatures, stronger sunlight and increasing precipitation in February can decrease $NO_2$ relative to those in January for fixed emissions in Hangzhou.

To understand the impact of traffic flows on the $NO_2$ in Hangzhou, Fig. 4a displays changes in daily traffic density against the changes in daily $NO_2$ mean concentrations in 2020 relative to those in 2019. We found a significant positive correlation (correlation coefficient $(r)=0.51$) between the change in traffic density and $NO_2$ in the urban area. This finding reinforces the hypothesis by which traffic reduction is at least partly responsible for $NO_2$ decreases. By contrast, traffic density and $NO_2$ is very weakly correlated at the rural site $(r=0.18)$. This suggests that $NO_2$ does not mainly originate from local traffic in rural areas, but may come from long-distance transport. It has been shown that regional transport of $NO_2$ and VOCs from urbanized upwind areas can lead to high $O_3$ levels (Sikder et al. 2011; Monteiro et al. 2012; Yu et al. 2006; Li et al., 2015). Moreover, $NO_2$ exhibits a strong correlation with $PM_{2.5}$ in the urban area of Hangzhou $(r=0.51, P<0.05)$ (Fig. 3d), indicating local vehicle emissions are an important source of $PM_{2.5}$.

**Inter-species correlations in the observations**

Negative correlations are found between daily maximum 8-h $O_3$ and mean $NO_2$ levels in both urban and rural areas (Fig. 4b). This suggests a VOC-limited regime of $O_3$ formation prevailing during winter time periods in Hangzhou, in agreement with conclusions reported in Shanghai and Nanjing (Ding et al. 2013; Gu et al. 2020). Notably, a significantly weaker positive response is observed under low $NO_2$ levels, below 20 $µg\ m^{-3}$, showing the shift of $O_3$ production to the transition regime or even $NO_x$-limited regime, especially in the rural area. At low VOC/$NO_x$ ratios, chain termination reaction of OH and $NO_2$ produces a VOC-limited regime. Conversely, at high VOC/$NO_x$ ratios, the primary chain termination and propagation reactions involve OH and VOCs, and oxidation of NO by $HO_2$ and $RO_2$, respectively, producing an $NO_x$-limited regime (Seinfeld and Pandis 2016).

Little correlation was observed between daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ and maximum 8-h $O_3$ concentrations (Fig. 4c). Here, we displayed boxplots of maximum 8-h $O_3$ concentrations versus daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The initial $PM_{2.5}$ bin was 5 $µg\ m^{-3}$ and rose to 10 or 15 $µg\ m^{-3}$ under high $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. Overall, median maximum 8-h $O_3$ concentrations increased along with daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ at low to moderate $PM_{2.5}$ levels, then declined for daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations $>40\ \mu g\ \text{m}^{-3}$ as shown in Fig. 4c. The positive correlation between $PM_{2.5}$ and $O_3$ is associated with the conversion of gaseous organics to $PM_{2.5}$ under OH and $O_3$ oxidation, forming secondary organic aerosols (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). The observed decline in $O_3$ driven by high $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations could be attributed to reduced solar radiation due to extinction by particulate matter, depositional
sink of HO₂ and NOₓ radicals, and chemical titration of NO (Buysse et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Yu et al., 2014).

**Conclusion**

We investigated the effects of city lockdowns on air quality based on ground-based observations in both urban and rural areas in Hangzhou. Results indicate that during lockdown relative to the period before lockdown, concentrations of PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, CO, and NO₂ displayed significantly decreasing trends, as expected, while an overall rising trend was observed for O₃ in both urban and rural areas, which is explained by the ‘absence’ of NOₓ. The sharp decreases in the NO₂ concentrations in the urban area during the lockdown were mainly a result of direct traffic emission reductions, whereas in the rural area decreases were mainly from less regional transport. SO₂ mean concentrations in the urban area decreased from 6.3 to 5.3 µg m⁻³, as expected, but increased in rural areas from 4.7 to 5.8 µg m⁻³, a consequence of increases in coal combustion for heating and firework emissions. Mean O₃ concentrations increased significantly from 24.6 before lockdown to 60.6 µg m⁻³ during lockdown in the urban area, whereas the corresponding rural O₃ levels modestly increased from 42.0 to 62.9 µg m⁻³. Here the O₃ increase in the urban areas is explained by the reduction in NOₓ emissions. Overall, the city lockdown improved air quality.
by reducing PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, CO, and NO$_2$, but also decreased air quality by augmenting O$_3$ in both urban and rural areas and SO$_2$ in the rural area in Hangzhou.
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Fig. 4 a Scatter plots and linear regressions of the changes in daily traffic density against changes in daily concentrations of NO$_2$ during January and February in 2020 relative to 2019 at urban and rural sites. b Scatter plots and linear regression of daily (24-h) NO$_2$ against maximum 8-h O$_3$ (MDA8 O$_3$) during January 1–February 28, 2020, at urban and rural sites. c Scatter plots of 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ against MDA8 O$_3$ and boxplots of MDA8 O$_3$ binned by daily (24-h) PM$_{2.5}$ (at least three data points required) during January 1–February 28, 2020 at urban and rural sites. The boxes represent the 75th, 50th, and 25th percentiles from top to bottom, the whiskers and solid squares denote 1.5 times of IQR and mean values, respectively. d Scatter plots and linear regression of 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ against 24-h NO$_2$ during January 1–February 28, 2020 at urban and rural sites. The significant positive correlation ($r=0.51$) between the change in traffic density and NO$_2$ in the urban area of Hangzhou in Fig. 4a further explains NO$_2$ decreases during lockdown in 2020 due to direct traffic emission reductions. A weak positive correlation between the change in traffic density and NO$_2$ at the rural site in Fig. 4a indicates that NO$_2$ in the rural area did not arise mainly from direct traffic emissions rather from regional transport.
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