Evidence for a Variable Ultrafast Outflow in the Newly Discovered Ultraluminous Pulsar NGC 300 ULX-1
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ABSTRACT

Ultraluminous pulsars are a definite proof that persistent super-Eddington accretion occurs in nature. They support the scenario according to which most Ultraluminous X-ray Sources (ULXs) are super-Eddington accretors of stellar mass rather than sub-Eddington intermediate mass black holes. An important prediction of theories of supercritical accretion is the existence of powerful outflows of moderately ionized gas at mildly relativistic speeds. In practice, the spectral resolution of X-ray gratings such as RGS onboard XMM-Newton is required to resolve their observational signatures in ULXs. Using RGS, outflows have been discovered in the spectra of 3 ULXs (none of which are currently known to be pulsars). Most recently, the fourth ultraluminous pulsar was discovered in NGC 300. Here we report detection of an ultrafast outflow (UFO) in the X-ray spectrum of the object, with a significance of at least 3σ, during one of the two simultaneous observations of the source by XMM-Newton and NuSTAR in December 2016. The outflow has a projected velocity of about 72000 km/s (0.24c) and a high ionisation factor with a log value of 3.9. This is the first time a detection of an ultrafast wind in a ULX was achieved using both soft and hard X-ray data simultaneously, and it is also the first detection of a UFO in a neutron star ULX. We find no evidence of the UFO during the other observation of the object, which could be explained by either clumpy nature of the absorber or a slight change in our viewing angle of the accretion flow.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (hereafter ULXs) are point-like, non-nuclear objects with an isotropic X-ray luminosity exceeding the Eddington luminosity of standard stellar (10 M⊙) black holes. Initially, it was thought that they could be powered by intermediate mass (100s-10000s M⊙) black holes accreting at sub-Eddington rates. This could still be true for a minority of these objects, especially the more luminous ones such as ESO 243-49 HLX-1 (Farrell et al. 2009; Webb et al. 2012).

However, recent evidence suggests that a majority of the population might in fact be formed of supercritical stellar mass accretors. Most ULXs have been shown to have a very low high-energy cutoff, seen above 5 keV in XMM-Newton and especially NuSTAR spectra (Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2014). This is inconsistent with standard models of sub-Eddington accretion, where a significant fraction of luminosity is expected above 10 keV, as observed in X-ray binaries and active galactic nuclei (AGN). More recently, it was discovered that 4 ULXs are powered by neutron stars thanks to their pulsating behaviour (Bachetti et al. 2014; Fürst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017; Carpano et al. 2018a). The existence of pulsating ultraluminous X-ray sources (PULXs) is a definite proof that supercritical accretion occurs in at least a fraction of the ULX population. At the same time, there is currently no strong evidence against the possibility that most other ULXs might in fact be neutron stars (Pintore et al. 2017; Walton et al. 2018).

Models of super-Eddington accretion (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Poutanen et al. 2007), as well as simulations...
(Narayan et al. 2017) predict a geometrically and optically thick disk around the compact object. An optically thin, evacuated funnel is formed along the rotation axis of the object. The opening angle of the funnel is thought to be dependent on the Eddington ratio of the object (King 2009). The excess radiation pressure is converted into kinetic energy of a fraction of the accreted matter, which is launched away from the system in the form of a mildly relativistic (0.1-energy of a fraction of the accreted matter, which is launched away from the system in the form of a mildly relativistic (0.1-0.3c) outflow. Simulations show that these outflows tend to cover a wide solid angle (∼0.3c) outflow. Simulations show that these outflows tend to cover a wide solid angle (∼0.3c) and are clumpy (Takeuchi et al. 2013). For a current idea of the structure of the system, see Fig. 13 of Pinto et al. (2017). In addition, recent simulations also predict the existence of collimated jets at relativistic velocities. The accretion geometry is even more complicated in the case of neutron star ULXs, due to the presence of strong magnetic fields which heavily affect the accretion flow near the central object. Consequently, an accretion column is formed, and is likely the source of most of the hard X-ray radiation (Mushtukov et al. 2017; Walton et al. 2018).

Such a configuration necessarily produces very different observational signatures when viewed under different inclination angles. At low inclination angles (looking down the evacuated funnel), the observer sees the innermost and hottest regions of the accretion flow, which produce the hardest radiation (mostly hard X-rays). At increasing inclination angles, the outflow begins to obscure the innermost regions, softening the overall spectrum of the object. At high inclination, the outflow becomes optically thick and the spectrum of the source is therefore very soft, possibly producing the spectrum of an ultraluminous supersoft source (Urqhart & Soria 2016).

Until recently, the ultrafast outflows in ULXs were not proven observationally because they are unresolved with the current generation of X-ray CCD instruments. However, soft residuals were spotted in CCD spectra of many ULXs (Stobbart et al. 2006, and references therein). Middleton et al. (2015) showed that in the case of NGC 1313 X-1, these residuals vary in time and anticorrelate with the luminosity of the object. This result suggested that they are a signature of outflows and not of relativistic reflection or diffuse emission from nearby star formation. Pinto et al. (2016, 2017) used high resolution X-ray gratings onboard XMM-Newton to detect winds in 3 ULXs: NGC 1313 X-1, NGC 5408 X-1 and NGC 55 ULX. Kosec et al. (2018) studied 10 other ULXs and found that they show signatures similar to those of the 3 ULXs with outflows, but the current data quality was not sufficient to claim any significant detections. Instead, the first X-ray detection of a jet in a ULX (with a projected velocity of 0.34c) was achieved in NGC 5204 X-1. Other ULXs have also been shown to harbour jets thanks to radio studies (Middleton et al. 2013; Cseh et al. 2015).

At the moment, none of the neutron star ULXs are known to possess ultrafast outflows. This is probably caused by observational difficulties - out of the 3 PULXs known by the end of 2017, only 1 is suitable for a high-resolution X-ray analysis with the current generation of instruments. The remaining 2 are either too distant or located in a crowded field.

However, in January 2018, the 4th ultraluminous pulsar was discovered in NGC 300 (Carpulo et al. 2018b,a) and named NGC 300 ULX-1. The object was originally mis-

### Table 1. Log of the observations used in this work. Column (1) lists the instrument. Column (2) contains the observation ID and (3) the start time of the exposure. Column (4) lists the clean exposure time in ks.

| Instrument      | Obs ID            | Start time       | Exposurea (ks) |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| XMM-Newton      | 0791010101        | 2016-12-17 08:52 | 134/96/120    |
| XMM-Newton      | 0791010301        | 2016-12-19 08:44 | 77/46/65      |
| NuSTAR          | 30202035002       | 2016-12-16 15:31 | 163           |

*aXMM-Newton exposures are listed as RGS/PN/MOS exposure.

identified as a supernova and named SN2016da (Monard 2010; Elias-Rosa et al. 2010) but later identified as a likely supergiant B[e] high-mass X-ray binary (Binder et al. 2011; Lau et al. 2016; Villar et al. 2016). It has only recently been observed at ULX luminosities, and is experiencing an extremely fast spin-up (Kennea 2018), having spun-up from a period of 45 s to just 20 s in less than 2 years.

In this work, we perform a rigorous, in-depth analysis of the coordinated XMM-Newton and NuSTAR data from December 2016, searching for spectral signatures of an ultrafast outflow in this system. We use simple Gaussian line scans as well as automated searches with physical models of outflowing photoionised absorbers and quantify the statistical significance of detections with Monte Carlo simulations. We detect a variable UFO with a projected velocity of 0.24c in the spectrum of the object with a significance of more than 3σ.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains information about the observations of the source and data reduction. We present the methods and results in section 3 and discuss their implications in section 4. Finally, section 5 summarizes our findings.

### 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We used data from XMM-Newton and NuSTAR. There are multiple observations of the object by the Swift satellite, but its spectral resolution is not sufficient for a search for ultrafast wind in a ULX.

As of February 2018, there are two simultaneous observations of the source by NuSTAR and XMM-Newton taken very shortly within each other in December 2016. The XMM-Newton exposures are separated by a 10 hour window because of the satellite’s orbit. The first one is about 140 ks of raw time long, the exposure of the second one is about 80 ks of raw time. NuSTAR observed the object at the same time, for a total duration of about 320 ks (~160 ks exposure time). We extracted spectra for each of the XMM-Newton observations separately, without any stacking during the analysis, and also extracted 2 NuSTAR spectra from time intervals that are simultaneous with XMM-Newton observations to take into account possible variability of the object (albeit its count rate, ~0.9 counts/s with the PN instrument, is very similar during both observations). Further info about the exposures is shown in Table 1.

We obtained the object distance of 2 Mpc by averaging newer measurements on the NED database.
2.1 XMM-Newton

All the XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) data was downloaded from the XSA archive and reduced using a standard pipeline with SAS v16, CalDB as of January 2018. We use data from all X-ray instruments onboard XMM-Newton: the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC): PN (Strüder et al. 2001) and MOS (Turner et al. 2001) CCDs, and the Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS) detectors (den Herder et al. 2001). We filter all high-background periods, with a threshold of 0.5 counts/sec for PN data, 0.25 counts/sec for MOS and RGS data. Only events of PATTERN <= 4 (single/double) were accepted for PN data, and events of PATTERN<=12 were included in MOS datasets, according to standard procedures. The source regions for PN and MOS 1,2 were circles located on the ULX with a radius of 40 arcsec. Unfortunately, the position of the ULX is right on a chip gap of the PN chip, so the data might be partly compromised. This is why we also use MOS 1 and 2 data which are unaffected by this issue. However, the agreement between PN and MOS spectra is very good, hence the PN chip gap position is probably not a problem. The background regions were circles located in the same region of the chip (and avoiding the copper ring and out of time events on the PN chip), with a radius of 50 arcsec.

XMM-Newton was not pointed directly at the object and therefore we cannot use the default selection of source and background regions for the RGS detectors. The default source region is pointed on the X-ray binary NGC 300 X-1 (at a distance of about 1.1 arcmin from NGC 300 ULX-1). We chose a source region centred on the position of NGC 300 ULX-1, with a size such that it covers 90 per cent of the instrument PSF in the cross-dispersion direction. The background region is a rectangle shifted by 1.4 arcmin in the cross-dispersion direction, with a size that includes 95 percent of the PSF, avoiding the flux from NGC 300 X-1 and at the same time maximizing its area to constrain the background as well as possible.

All reduced data were converted from the OGIP to the SPEX format using the Trafo1 tool so they can be used by the SPEX fitting package. We grouped the PN, MOS 1 and MOS 2 data to at least 25 counts per bin using the GRPPHA tool. RGS data was binned by a factor of 3 directly in SPEX to oversample the spectral resolution by about a factor of 3. The spectral range used was: 0.3 to 10 keV for PN data, 0.3 to 9.8 keV for MOS, initially 7 Å (1.8 keV) to 26 Å (0.5 keV), and later only 7 to 20.5 Å (0.6 keV) for RGS data, mostly limited by the background level and the calibration uncertainties of the instruments.

2.2 NuSTAR

We reduced the NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) data following standard procedures using the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software (NUSTARDAS, v1.8.0) and instrumental calibration files from CalDB v20171204. We first cleaned the data with NUPIPELINE, using the standard depth correction, which significantly reduces the internal high-energy background, and also removed passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly (using the settings SAACALC=3, TENTACLE=-NO and SAAMODE=OPTIMIZED). Source and background spectra and instrumental responses were then produced for each of the two focal plane modules (FPMA/B) using NUPRODUCTS. Source products were extracted from circular regions of radius 40′, and background was estimated from larger regions of blank sky on the same detector as ULX-1. In order to maximise the signal-to-noise (S/N), in addition to the standard ‘science’ (mode 1) data, we also extracted the ‘spacecraft science’ (mode 6) data following the method outlined in Walton et al. (2016a). In this case, mode 6 provides ~10% of the good NuSTAR exposure.

3 METHODS AND RESULTS

In this section, we describe the methods we used to study the data and show the results of our analysis. Note that all the subsections except for the last one only use the data taken during the second XMM-Newton observation of the object (ID 0791010301) alongside with simultaneous NuSTAR data. We also performed the same analysis on the second dataset (ID 0791010101) but find no evidence for ultrafast wind.

3.1 Broadband modelling

We use the SPEX fitting package (Kaastra et al. 1996) for spectral fitting, and C-statistics (Cash 1979) as there are not enough counts per bin in RGS data for a $\chi^2$ analysis. All the model parameters are checked extensively with a proper error search in case there are multiple minima in the C-stat function. The statistical error intervals are calculated at 1σ level (68 per cent confidence).

We fit the broadband X-ray spectrum of the object with a phenomenological model which consists of a powerlaw ($p0$ in SPEX) plus a simple blackbody ($\text{bb}$) plus a color corrected blackbody ($\text{bb}_{\text{cc}}$). Similar spectral models have been used by Gladstone et al. (2009) and Walton et al. (2014) and describe the ULX continuum reasonably well. We also test alternative descritptions of continuum such as a disk blackbody component and find that the results of our analysis do not change significantly. All the emission is absorbed by Galactic and host galaxy (mostly) neutral interstellar medium (ISM) accounted for by the H0T component (see Kaastra et al. 2006, for an example of similar usage of the model). The Leiden/Argentine/Bonn Survey of Galactic HI (Kalberla et al. 2005) shows that there should be a column density of about $4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ towards the host galaxy NGC 300.

We use all available data for this observation from RGS, PN, MOS (1 and 2) and FPM (A and B) detectors to constrain the broadband continuum as well as possible. A cross-normalisation constant is added for each instrument to account for small (<10 per cent) calibration uncertainties of each detector. The best-fit model is a powerlaw with a hard slope of $1.51^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$, a soft blackbody with a temperature of $0.231^{+0.008}_{-0.007}$ keV and a color-corrected blackbody with a temperature of $2.67^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ keV. We obtain the ISM column density of $2.71^{+0.11}_{-0.09} \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. The fit statistics is C-stat=1545.87 for 1347 degrees of freedom.

We note that the object appears to have an iron line at

---

1 http://var.sron.nl/SPEX-doc/manual/manualse100.html
6.7 keV in the MOS data, but this is not seen in either FPM or PN data.

3.2 Line search

Initially, we performed a simple Gaussian scan using the RGS data only. We aimed to find any possible narrow absorption and emission features that an outflow might imprint on the continuum spectrum of NGC 300 ULX-1. We used the EPIC broadband coverage to anchor the spectral continuum outside the RGS band, between 0.3 and 10 keV with the exception of the 7 to 26 Å region (approximately 0.5 to 1.8 keV).

The scan is done by adding a Gaussian line with a fixed energy/wavelength to the continuum model. We also fix its width/turbulent velocity to avoid too large line widths and also to ensure a simple C-stat parameter space. The model is then fitted for the line normalisation, which can be either positive or negative (to allow for both emission and absorption features). The statistical fit improvement \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) is saved. Afterwards, the line is moved to another fixed energy in a grid. The grid ideally slightly oversamples the energy resolution of the instrument. In the case of RGS, we choose steps of 300 km/s, which works out to about 2000 steps across the 7 to 26 Å wavelength range. To avoid unconstrained fits, we keep the continuum parameters frozen with the exception of the overall normalization of the broadband model (achieved by coupling individual component normalizations). This can be repeated for different line widths/turbulent velocities.

The statistical significance of detection of a feature can be approximately inferred from the \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) fit improvement - this is a fit for 1 additional free parameter (the line normalization) compared with the original continuum fit, hence an improvement of \( \Delta \text{C-stat} = 9 \) would give a significance of 3\( \sigma \). This approach however ignores the large amount of trials performed to find features across the whole energy grid (the look-elsewhere effect) and so it overestimates the real significance. The real significance of a feature must be obtained by running Monte Carlo simulations which can be very computationally expensive. Therefore, in this section we will use the \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) value as a proxy to the strength of the features, but this number should be taken very cautiously.

We perform the Gaussian line scan on the RGS data of the second XMM observation (ID 0791010301) of the object using 2 different turbulent velocities: 500 km/s and 5000 km/s. The results are shown in Fig. 1. We notice that there are no very strong features (\( \Delta \text{C-stat} > 15 \)) in the spectrum. However, there is an absorption signature at around 15.3 Å (0.81 keV) that could originate in blueligned oxygen VIII absorption. The rest-frame wavelength of this transition is 19 Å so the corresponding blueshift would be around 0.2c. Curiously, there is another, weaker absorption feature at 17.2 Å (0.72 keV), that, if produced by O VII ions, would give the absorber blueshift of around 0.2c as well (see Fig. 1), assuming that the resonant line at the rest-frame wavelength of 21.6 Å would dominate the absorption. This motivates us to follow-up with a more rigorous search, using a physical model of the absorber.

Additionally, there seem to be a few emission lines between 20 and 22 Å in the line scan, however they are quite narrow and located in the energy band (>20 Å) which is partially affected by the background. They are also not located on a rest-frame wavelength of any expected transition.

3.3 Physical model search

Finding two features that could correspond to the same blueshift encourages us to try to fit the features with a physical photoionised absorption model. We use the XABS model in SPEX to describe the absorption of outflowing photoionised gas (Steenbrugge et al. 2005) and find the best fit at a speed of \( 73000 \pm 1000 \) km/s (0.243 ± 0.003 c), with a column density of about \( 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\), the ionisation parameter with a log value of 2.1 and a turbulent velocity of \( \sim 2000 \) km/s. The \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) fit improvement is relatively modest at about 13.5.

However, upon closer inspection we also notice wiggles and features in the >2 keV PN spectrum. These could be unresolved absorption lines of higher energy transitions, not unlike those seen in some AGN, for example IRAS 13224-3809 (Parker et al. 2017a). This suggests the possibility that the wind might actually be much more ionised than the best-fit RGS solution and that more information could be hidden in the higher energy CCD data. For this reason, we use all the data available: PN, MOS 1 and 2 data from the second XMM-Newton observation of the object, plus we extract spectra from NuSTAR FPMA and FPMB instruments for the time duration of this observation.

To locate any possible solutions, we perform an automated scan with the XABS model, which is parametrised by the following main parameters: the column density and the systematic velocity of the absorber, the ionization state of the gas, and the turbulence velocity of the gas. We use the broadband continuum obtained in section 3.1, which in this case must be completely freed (as we anticipate noticeable continuum parameter differences after finding the best-fit absorber). Then we add a XABS component with a fixed ionisation parameter and turbulent velocity, at a specific (fixed) blueshift. These parameters are kept fixed to reduce the chance of running into a local minimum of the C-stat function during fitting and missing the global one. Afterwards, we fit for the column density of the absorber and recover the statistical fit improvement in \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \), similarly as in the Gaussian line scan case. The blueshift of the absorber is then changed according to a reasonably spaced grid (ideally again using the spectral resolution of the best instrument). The same search is repeated for a grid of ionisation parameters and a grid of turbulent velocities. This way we are sure we are not missing any parameter space inside our grids, albeit at a higher computational cost. A proxy to the significance of an absorber detection is again given by the \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) value, which does not take into account the number of trials. The real significance must be obtained by running Monte Carlo simulations where a fake spectrum is simulated using the continuum model as a template, and scanning it with the same physical model search. The resulting statistical significance is then 1 minus the ratio of occurrences of fake lines (generated by Poisson noise) with the same or higher \( \Delta \text{C-stat} \) fit improvement with respect to the total number of MC simulations. In this case, the Monte Carlo simulations are very computationally expensive, but they are accurate (see section 3.4).

The parameter space we search through is the following:
The results of the 1000 km/s turbulence velocity search are shown in Fig. 2. One can immediately notice the large fit improvement of about $\Delta$ C-stat=9 and -9. Position of the rest-frame wavelength of emission lines of the O VIII and O VII ions (taking the average of the triplet wavelength) is shown with green and blue dashed lines. Green and blue dashed arrows show the potential blueshift of the absorber if the potential features are produced by O VII and O VIII absorption.

We explore this solution in more detail by direct fitting in SPEX using all the available data. The best-fit solution shows the absorber outflowing at a projected speed of $71900^{+2700}_{-1200}$ km/s (0.240$^{+0.009}_{-0.004}$ c). Its column density is $1.2^{+1.9}_{-0.6} \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, ionisation parameter is $log \xi = 3.92^{+0.19}_{-0.13}$ and turbulent velocity is $800^{+1000}_{-500}$ km/s. The continuum parameters are: powerlaw slope of 1.61$^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$, blackbody temperatures 0.231$^{+0.007}_{-0.008}$ keV and 2.73$^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ keV, and ISM column density of $(3.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. We find the statistical fit improvement of $\Delta$ C-stat=27.59. The spectrum fitted with the photoionized absorber is shown in Fig. 3 (CCD data) and Fig. 4 (RGS data). The strongest features of the absorber are iron absorption at 8-9 keV and oxygen absorption at 0.8 keV. We assume Solar abundances of elements (Lodders et al. 2009) when fitting the XABS model.

To explore whether each detector agrees with this wind solution, we remove one instrument in turn from our analysis and perform the continuum and the continuum + wind spectral fit, calculating the $\Delta$ C-stat fit improvement between these 2 fits. Removing RGS data reduces our fit improvement upon adding the wind to broadband continuum to $\Delta$ C-stat=22.31. Removing PN data alone reduces the fit improvement to $\Delta$ C-stat=21.76, removing both MOS detectors decreases it to just $\Delta$ C-stat=17.44 and finally removing only FPM data decreases the fit improvement to $\Delta$ C-stat=21.33. The fact that removing any of the individual instruments from the analysis reduces the fit improvement $\Delta$ C-stat suggests that the wind signatures are present in all instruments, and hence they are not just Poisson noise.

The ultrafast wind is variable on relatively short timescales of tens of ks (see section 3.5) and it is possible that the wind is only present for a fraction of the observation (albeit the lightcurves do not show any significant flux change during the observation). To explore this possibility, we split the observation into halves by exposure time. Then we fit each half separately with the continuum and the continuum + wind model (as done with full data). We find that the wind signatures are slightly stronger in the second half of the observation, but the fit improvement is much lower due to decreased statistics. Hoping to increase the S/N ratio, we also cut out the first quarter and only fit the last 3 quarters of the observation exposure. This does not help either, the fit improvement is still weaker compared to the result achieved using the full dataset.

The MOS and PN spectra of the source also show hints of emission features, maybe with a P-Cygni profile. To see if they can be fitted with a consistent systematic velocity, we perform automated scans using physical emission models. We use a photoionised emitter model, PHOTEMIS in XSPEC, to represent emission from photoionised outflowing gas. Similarly, we use a collisionally ionised emitter model, CIE in SPEX, to describe emission from shocked gas. We run the...
automated scans in the same fashion as the photoionised absorber search. However, none of these show a significant statistical fit improvement. The maximum improvement obtained was $\Delta C_{\text{stat}} \sim 14$ only.

### 3.4 Significance of the wind detection

In the previous section, we show the possible detection of a relativistic outflow in the spectrum of NGC 300 ULX-1. However, it is not straightforward to rigorously assess its statistical significance. We obtained a relatively large $\Delta C_{\text{stat}}$ value of 27.59, which by itself would give a very high $\sigma$ significance, but it does not take into account the number of trials undertaken to find this solution. We will try to obtain the proper significance using 2 different approaches: with theory and with Monte Carlo simulations.

In theory, a search for spectral features on a noisy continuum can be viewed as follows: we have a number of free parameters in addition to broadband continuum, which we fit for in each step. In our case, there are 3 variables: the column density of the absorber, its ionisation parameter and its turbulent velocity (here we leave the last 2 variables free to vary, such automated search can be done and works with our data). These are the 3 additional degrees of freedom compared to a continuum fit. Then we move the systematic velocity of the absorber and repeat the fit. Effectively, we perform $N$ trials for a presence of an absorber in our spectrum, where $N$ is the number of systematic velocity bins in our grid. This increases the null hypothesis probability of receiving a fit improvement, i.e. interpreting Poisson noise as a real detection.

To assess the null hypothesis probability, we perform the $\chi^2$ test. We group the RGS data by 20 counts per bin to achieve Gaussian-like statistics. For this part only, we stack the RGS detectors into a single spectrum to avoid overbinning any narrow spectral features. Data from the remaining instruments are already binned up so we can use $\chi^2$ statistics instead of C-stat when fitting. Repeating the same direct fit to all data as in the previous section, we achieve an even higher fit improvement of $\Delta \chi^2 = 29.68$ upon adding the photoionised absorber to broadband continuum. Our single trial p-value for such $\Delta \chi^2$ with 3 degrees of freedom is about $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ (obtained by integrating the $\chi^2$ probability density function). This is the probability of obtaining such fit improvement from spectral features introduced by noise only, if we performed just one measurement. We repeat the trial $N=401$ times (120000 km/s velocity range divided into bins spaced by 300 km/s), which brings up the null hypoth-
We instead devise a new approach, in which we separate the ionisation parameter space into 3 intervals, where $\log \xi$ is left free to vary: 1.5 to 2.5, 2.5 to 3.5 and 3.5 to 4.5, and free the turbulent velocity (but limit it to between 250 and 5000 km/s). Then we perform the automated search on the same simulated dataset in the 3 different $\xi$ intervals. Finally, the highest $\Delta$C-stat fit improvement is recovered out of these 3 scans at each systematic velocity. This way we speed up the search process considerably, as well as reasonably assure no sensible parameter space is left unexplored (such as the fit falling into a local C-stat minimum and not discovering the global one). We made sure that this procedure finds the same features in real data as the original automated search. In the end, the required computational time to run 2000 Monte Carlo simulations with the wind model $xabs$ is of the order of 10000 CPU hours.

Out of the 2000 performed MC simulations, none has a $\Delta$C-stat fit improvement higher than 27.59, which was the highest $\Delta$C-stat value found in the real data $xabs$ search using both the grid and the sped-up 3 $\xi$ interval approach. This means, taking into account the total number of simulations,
that the significance of the detection is significantly higher than $3\sigma$. If the actual significance was $3\sigma$ (corresponding to a false alarm probability of 0.27 per cent), we would expect 6 detections equal or stronger than real data. However, further simulations would be necessary to quantify the actual significance of the detection. Do note a good consistency between the result from Monte Carlo simulations and our theoretical estimate. If the significance of the detection is indeed around $3.4\sigma$, we only expect about 1 fake detection every 2000 simulations.

3.5 The first XMM observation

We extracted a spectrum of the object using all instruments during the first observation of XMM-Newton (0791010101). A NuSTAR spectrum was also obtained for the same time interval. The flux of the source is very similar to its flux during the first observation, and the best-fit continuum spectral parameters are practically identical to those measured during the second observation (using the same continuum model). We perform the same Gaussian line scan and a physical wind search as we did with the second observation but find no signs of the outflow at all. There is no evidence for outflow neither at the same systematic velocity, nor at any similar velocity for any reasonable ionisation parameter $\xi$ and turbulent velocity $v$. The upper limit (1\sigma) on the column density of an absorber with the same ionisation, systematic and turbulent velocity as in the other observation is $0.2 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Curiously, there seems to be a strong iron line at 6.7 keV in the PN data for this epoch, however it is not seen in either MOS nor FPM data. Note that this is the reverse of what is seen in the second observation, where an iron line is present in MOS data but not present in PN data.

4 DISCUSSION

We performed an analysis of the simultaneous XMM-Newton and NuSTAR data of the pulsating ultraluminous X-ray source NGC 300 ULX-1, which was taken in December 2016. We detect an ultrafast outflow in the spectrum of this object with a significance of more than $3\sigma$. The fact that this significance is achieved by using 4 different instruments strengthens the credibility of the result. In addition, the significance of the detection decreases upon removing any instrument from the analysis - which suggests that all the 4 different instruments individually prefer the wind solution. It is currently not possible to quantify the precise significance as this would require an extensive number of MC simulations. However, the current number of simulations performed already gives a very tight limit on the p-value of our detection.

This is the first detection of an ultrafast wind in a pulsating ULX. At the same time, it is the first time that a detection of a UFO was achieved in a ULX using both soft and hard X-ray detectors simultaneously, using a single physical model of the outflow. Walton et al. (2016b) achieved a $3\sigma$ detection of a possible iron K component to the wind in NGC 1313 X-1 (Pinto et al. 2016), but did not show a consistent fit of both soft and hard features at once.

Detection of a strong wind is consistent with the presence of a super-Eddington accretion flow beyond the magnetosphere of the neutron star. The wind might be launched from this optically and geometrically thick part of the disk. If this is the case, the fact that the absorption is seen in both low- and high-energy X-ray data likely suggests that the absorption from this wind is imprinted on the emission from both the disk and the central accretion column (Walton et al. 2018).

Comparing with other ULXs with known outflows, the speed of 72000 km/s (about 0.24c) does not stand out as other ULXs have winds at 0.20-0.27c. A turbulent velocity of about 1000 km/s is also similar to what is observed in other objects. The ionisation parameter $\xi$, however, which is about $log \xi = 3.9$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm), is higher than most best-fit solutions for wind in the 3 other ULXs. The only exception is NGC 1313 X-1, where an outflow with $log \xi = 4.5$ is allowed. In fact, the ionisation parameter is more similar to values measured in active galactic nuclei with known UFOs, e.g. IRAS 13224-3809 (Parker et al. 2017b; Pinto et al. 2018).

The fact that we observe the wind in only one of the two observations suggests variability on relatively short timescales of tens of ks. XMM-Newton observed the source on 2016-12-17, followed by a second observation on 2016-12-19. The time interval between the end of the first and the beginning of the second exposure was less than 10 hours. Such fast variability suggests a clumpy wind, in agreement with recent simulations (Takeuchi et al. 2013; Kobayashi et al. 2018). Alternatively, the viewing angle of the system might have changed to introduce obscuring material into the line of sight, however the change must have been small enough not to affect the broadband continuum, which is very similar during both observations. This seems unlikely. Wind variability has also been observed in NGC 1313 X-1 (see Fig. 2 of Pinto et al. 2016).

Since we know the velocity and the ionisation parameter of the outflow, we can calculate its mechanical energy in comparison with the X-ray luminosity of the object, fol-
lowing the steps in Pinto et al. (2017). The wind power can be expressed as \( E_{\text{kin}} = 0.5 Mu^2 \) \( \Omega \) is the wind velocity.

The outflow rate \( M \) can then be determined using the definition of the ionisation parameter \( \xi \):

\[ M = 4\pi \xi L_{\text{ion}} \mu m_{\text{H}} \mu \]

where \( \Omega \) is the solid angle of the outflow as a fraction of \( 4\pi \), \( L_{\text{ion}} \) is the ionising luminosity, and \( C_{\nu} \) is the volume filling factor of the wind defining how clumpy it is. \( m_{\text{H}} \) is the hydrogen (proton) mass and \( \mu \) the mean atomic weight (\( \sim 1.2 \) if taking solar abundances). We obtain the following for the mechanical power of the outflow:

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} = 2\pi \xi L_{\text{ion}} \mu m_{\text{H}} \mu^2 k_{\text{B}} T \]

Substituting in the fitted and known variables, the kinetic power is \( \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} \sim 600 \xi L_{\text{ion}} \). Assuming a fairly large solid angle fraction of \( \Omega \sim 0.5 \) (wind at the inclination angles of between 40° and 80° from the rotation axis, as seen from the central object) and a volume filling factor of \( C_{\nu} \sim 0.3 \) as found in simulations (Takeuchi et al. 2013), we reach \( \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} \sim 90 L_{\text{ion}} \). We can compare this value with the X-ray luminosity \( L_{\text{X}} \) of the PULX. For an order of magnitude estimate, we assume that half of the X-ray luminosity ionises the wind, ignoring any possible strong beaming. Most of the ULX flux is usually found in the X-ray band so we do not need to account for any other ionising radiation. That means \( L_{\text{ion}}/L_{\text{X}} \sim 0.5 \), which results in \( \dot{E}_{\text{kin}}/L_{\text{X}} \sim 50 \). Then taking \( L_{\text{X}} \sim 3 \times 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (obtained by fitting the X-ray spectrum), means that the total kinetic power is \( \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} \sim 10^{40} \text{erg s}^{-1} \). This value must be compared to outflows in any sub-Eddington systems of stellar mass. However, it is similar (although on the higher end) to the values necessary to inflate ionised superbubbles many ULXs and microquasars are often located in, which require a mechanical inflating power of \( 10^{39} \) to several \( 10^{40} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (Pakull & Mirioni 2002; Pakull et al. 2010; Cseh et al. 2012). It is possible that in this case (a neutron star ULX), a larger fraction of the X-ray flux is beamed into the evacuated funnel and the ratio of the ionising luminosity \( L_{\text{ion}} \) to the X-ray luminosity \( L_{\text{X}} \) is much smaller, which would bring down the kinetic power \( \dot{E}_{\text{kin}} \) to \( 10^{39} - 10^{40} \text{erg s}^{-1} \). Some level of anisotropy is in fact required for the system to pulse at all. In addition, the kinetic energy needed to inflate the W50 bubble around the Galactic microquasar SS433 (possibly a misaligned ULX, see Fabrika et al. 2015) is a few times \( 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (Margon 1984). In this case, however, most of the kinetic energy is likely provided by its radio jets.

NGC 300 ULX-1 is one of two (the other one being NGC 7793 P13) currently known, easily observable neutron star ULXs. The two remaining PULXs are either too far or contaminated by close X-ray sources. The source is still active as of February 2018 at a luminosity of about \( 2 \times 10^{39} \) \text{erg s}^{-1} \), and is a fascinating object, showing an ultrafast outflow appearing out of nothing on timescales of less than 100ks, in addition to pulsations with an extremely high pulsed fraction (Carpano et al. 2018a). We therefore strongly encourage further observations of the source with both high-resolution and broadband X-ray instruments.

The high ionisation factor of the ultrafast wind (\( \log \xi = 3.9 \)) and its projected velocity of 0.24c means that most of its spectral features are in the \( >2 \) keV energy band. A majority of these features such as Mg, Si, S Ly\( \alpha \) absorption lines is unsolvable with the current generation of X-ray instruments with the exception of Chandra gratings, which do not have sufficient collecting area (and therefore need long exposure times). The lines will however be easily resolved with the microcalorimeter onboard XARM (Hitomi replacement, Takahashi et al. 2010), thus making it a prime instrument for studies of highly ionised outflows in ULXs.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

We performed a detailed, high spectral resolution and broadband search for an ultrafast outflow in the recently discovered pulsating ultraluminous X-ray source NGC 300 ULX-1. Our findings can be summarised as follows:

- We detect a UFO in this object with a significance of more than 3\( \sigma \) during one of the two XMM-Newton observations of the source, including simultaneous NuSTAR data.
- We observe a UFO in both soft X-ray data (driven by RGS gratings), and hard X-ray data (PN, MOS and FPM detectors). Removing any of the instruments from the simultaneous analysis reduces the statistical significance of the detection.
- The projected velocity of the outflow is 71900 \( \pm \) 2700 \( \text{km/s} \) (0.240 \( \pm \) 0.008 \( c \)), with a turbulent velocity of 800 \( \pm \) 500 \( \text{km/s} \) and a high ionisation factor of 3.92 \( \pm \) 0.19. Its velocity is similar to outflows in other ULXs, but the ionisation parameter \( \xi \) is more alike the outflows observed in active galactic nuclei.
- Curiously, we find no signs of the wind during the other observation of the source by XMM-Newton (with simultaneous NuSTAR coverage), which ended just 10 hours before the start of the second one. This could mean that either the wind is clumpy, or that the viewing angle of the system changed slightly, without affecting the overall broadband X-ray spectrum.
- Further observations of the source are necessary to determine the process that drives the variability of the wind, and to place tighter constraints on its physical parameters as well as its interaction with the rest of the accretion flow in the system.
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