Disk-Jet quenching of the Galactic Black Hole Swift J1753.5-0127
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ABSTRACT

We report on radio and X-ray monitoring observations of the BHC Swift J1753.5-0127 taken over a ∼10 year period. Presented are daily radio observations at 15 GHz with the AMI-LA and X-ray data from Swift XRT and BAT. Also presented is a deep 2hr JVLA observation taken in an unusually low-luminosity soft-state (with a low disk temperature). We show that although the source has remained relatively radio-quiet compared to XRBs with a similar X-ray luminosity in the hard-state, the power-law relationship scales as ζ = 0.96 ± 0.06 i.e. slightly closer to what has been considered for radiatively inefficient accretion disks. We also place the most stringent limit to date on the radio-jet quenching in an XRB soft-state, showing the connection of the jet quenching to the X-ray power-law component; the radio flux in the soft-state was found to be < 21 µJy, which is a quenching factor of ≳ 25.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Galactic X-ray Binaries (XRBs) are a powerful tool for studying the production of relativistic jets from accretion disks. XRBs exhibit distinct spectral states over observable time-scales, allowing the study of the disk-jet relationship with vastly different accretion rates and disk topologies (reviewed by Fender 2010; Gallo 2015). Typical “hard-states” are characterised by a hard X-ray power-law spectrum (Γ ~ 1.5), with strong variability (~20–50% rms) and a compact radio counterpart. At high accretion rates, XRBs can transition to “soft-states”, with the spectrum becoming dominated by a multi-temperature blackbody component and a steepening of the hard power-law component; the compact radio counterpart becomes quenched in the soft-state and some sources release discrete knots of ejecta during the hard-to-soft transition.

Swift J1753.5-0127 (hereafter Swift J1753.5) was discovered by the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al. 2005) in 2005 (Palmer et al. 2005) as a hard-spectrum (γ-ray source) transient at a relatively high Galactic latitude (+12°). The source luminosity peaked within a week, at a flux of ~ 200 mCrab, as observed by the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) All Sky Monitor (ASM; 2–12 keV) (Cadolle Bel et al. 2007). The source was also detected in the UV, with the Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT; Still et al. 2005), and in the radio with MERLIN (Fender et al. 2005). An R ~ 15.8 optical counterpart was identified by Halpern (2005), who noted that it had brightened by at least 5 magnitudes (as it is not visible in the Digitized Sky Survey; DSS), thereby establishing Swift J1753.5 as a Low Mass X-ray Binary (LMXB) with a very faint, low-mass donor. Subsequent time-resolved photometry of the optical counterpart revealed R-band modulations on a period of 3.2h, which are indicative of the orbital period (P_orb) of the system (Zurita et al. 2008).

Almost immediately after its peak the X-ray flux of Swift J1753.5 started declining, but after ~ 100 days it remained roughly constant at ~ 20 mCrab (2–12 keV) for over 6 months rather than returning to quiescence as might have been expected for a typical Black Hole X-ray Transient BHXRT (Charles & Coe 2006). The source has still not re-
2 OBSERVATIONS

Since the initial discovery and outburst in May/June of 2005 (Palmer et al. 2005), the source has mostly remained in the low hard-state (with the exception of the aforementioned failed state transitions); however, towards the start of 2015 Swift J1753.5 appeared to enter an unusual low luminosity soft-state (Onodera et al. 2015). Estimates for the unabsorbed luminosity in the 0.7–78 keV band was found to be only ≈ 0.6% of the Eddington luminosity, even though the source clearly transitioned to the soft-state (Shaw et al. 2016). In this paper we analyse all the radio monitoring observations of this source since its initial discovery and report deep limits on the radio activity in the soft-state.

2.1 Archival radio observations (2005–2009)

Following the initial discovery of Swift J1753.5 in 2005 an extensive series of radio observations was reported by Soleri et al. (2010). Their work analysed a sub-set of observations that were coincidental with Swift and RXTE. Here we make use of the full set of radio fluxes which they reported in table A2, including the VLA at 1.4, 4.8 and 8.4 GHz, MERLIN at 1.7 GHz and WSRT 4.9 and 8.5 GHz. The initial observations caught a radio flare that peaked up to ∼ 3 mJy and decayed over a period of about 100 days, until steadying at a mean flux of ∼ 0.4 mJy. The radio spectrum between 1.4 and 8.4 GHz showed a mostly flat or (slight) suggestion of inverted spectrum, indicative of a self-absorbed synchrotron jet of energetic particles. The overall radio flare, decay and steady emission closely couple with the fluxes seen in the X-ray band.

2.2 AMI-LA monitoring (2013–2015)

Between January 2013 and July 2015 the Arcminute Microkelvin Imager Large Array (AMI-LA) aperiodically monitored Swift J1753.5, with a typical cadence of 1–2 weeks. Each epoch lasted a few hours and was scheduled around local transit of the telescope. The array consisted of eight 12.8 m dishes with baselines ranging from 18 to 110 m located in Cambridge, UK and was built by the Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory (AMI Consortium: Zwart et al. 2008). During this period AMI-LA operated at a frequency range of 13.9–17.5 GHz, with the analogue XF correlator providing five usable channels each with a bandpass of 0.72 GHz giving a total bandwidth of 3.6 GHz.

Data calibration was performed using the python drive-ami pipeline (Staley & Anderson 2015a,b), which uses the NRAO tool reduce to automatically flag for interference, shadowing and hardware errors, conduct phase and amplitude calibrations, and Fourier transforms the data into uv-FITS format (see Perrott et al. 2013). Imaging was performed within the Common Astronomy Software Application (CASA; McMullin et al. 2007) produced by NRAO, using the clean task driven by the drive-ami wrapper. The resultant maps were then loaded and analysed by the Transient Pipeline (Trap: Swinbank et al. 2015) in order to extract the flux density of each epoch.

2.3 New JVLA observation in May 2015

Swift J1753.5 was observed with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) on 2015 May 13 07:32–09:15 UT
Swift operated in windowed timing (WT) mode for each of these observations, eliminating the possibility of photon pile-up on the CCD. Data were reduced using the HEASOFT v6.16 task XRTPipeline and count rates extracted from a circular region 20 pixels in radius ($\approx 47''$). The background count rate was extracted from an annulus centred on the source with inner and outer radii of 80 and 120 pixels, respectively. Spectra were grouped to have a minimum of 20 counts per energy bin, allowing us to use the $\chi^2$ statistic when fitting models to the data.

All spectral fits were performed using XSPEC v12.8.2 (Arnaud 1996) which uses the $\chi^2$ minimisation technique to determine the best fit model. The interstellar absorption is accounted for by the TBABS model with Wilms et al. (2000) abundances and photo-ionisation cross-sections described by Verner et al. (1996). We also included a systematic error of 3% for the Swift-XRT spectra, given the uncertainties of the response matrix (SWIFT-XRT-CALDB-09). We fitted two models to each spectrum, an absorbed power-law (POWERLAW) and an absorbed disk-blackbody plus power-law (DISKBB+POWERLAW), with the best-fit model determined using an F-test. Once this had been done, we extracted the unabsorbed flux with the XPSEC model cflux and determined the 90% confidence intervals for each fit parameter.

A Swift-XRT observation of Swift J1753.5 was performed on 2015 May 13 10:15–10:33 UT, 1 hr after the Jvla observation. The total exposure time was 1058s. The spectrum was extracted using similar methods to those described above and is well constrained with an absorbed disk-blackbody plus power-law. We measure the unabsorbed X-ray flux (0.6–10 keV) to be $F = 1.09 \pm 0.37 \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with a photon index of $\Gamma = 4.29^{+0.71}_{-0.28}$, an inner disk temperature $K_{Ti} = 0.31_{-0.03}^{+0.05}$ keV and $N_H = 4.1^{+1.8}_{-0.9} \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 1.02). The soft-state spectrum is shown as black in Figure 1 and compared to a typical hard-state spectrum in red.

3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Radio and X-ray lightcurve over ~ 10 years

The lightcurve shown in Figure 2 initially shows the outburst of 2005, with an increase in both X-ray and radio luminosity by an order of magnitude compared to the subsequent long-term average. The X-ray emission flared to almost $10^{-8}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in both the 1-10 keV and 15-150 keV band with a slow decay over ~ 100 days. Likewise the radio emission showed a quick rise to just over 3 mJy followed by a slow decay to around 0.4 mJy.

There appeared to be two so-called “failed state-transitions” (Soleri et al. 2013; Yoshikawa et al. 2015) that occurred around MJD 55400 and 56000 that showed the source to increase in soft flux but no significant increase was seen at harder bands. Unfortunately no radio observations were available during those epochs.

2 http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/files/SWIFT-XRT-CALDB-09_v16.pdf
Between 2013 and mid-2015 the AMI-LA telescope intensively monitored the source every few days. During the hard state, the flux maintain a time-averaged value of 0.3 mJy with an rms-variability (after correcting for noise) of 0.14 mJy; this behaviour was typical for the level post-2005 flare, assuming there was no major change to the spectral index. The source remained in this state until January 2015 when the flux suddenly quenched below the $3\sigma$ monitoring detection limit ($<150\ \mu\text{Jy}$ bm$^{-1}$). This corresponded to a soft-state transition seen in the X-rays; the hard X-ray emission dropped by about an order of magnitude and the soft X-rays slightly increased (see Shaw et al. 2016, for a detailed description of this low luminosity soft-state). Swift J1753.5 remained in the radio-quenched soft-state for $\sim 170$ days before returning to the hard-state in July of 2015. Two weeks later we stopped monitoring with AMI-LA due to a scheduled correlator upgrade.

3.2 The X-ray / Radio Correlation of Swift J1753.5

We correlated the radio and X-ray flux over the entire $\sim 10$ yr dataset by matching epochs that are quasi-contemporaneous. A maximum separation limit of three days was used to produce a reasonably large sample that did not significantly increase $\chi^2$ in our fits. All observations correspond to the hard or quiescent state (with exception of the deep JVLA soft-state epoch reported here, which is omitted from our analysis). We omitted the 1.7 GHz observations reported by Soleri et al. (2010) as the MERLIN measurements are inconsistent with the overall spectrum and appear unusually low, which could be due to calibration errors (reanalysis of this data are beyond the scope of this paper).

The correlation was performed using data from both the soft 0.6–10 keV X-ray band measured by Swift-XRT and the hard 15–50 keV X-ray band measured by Swift-BAT. Figure 3 shows the radio relationship against the hard and soft bands in the upper and lower panels respectively. The
Figure 3. The X-ray / radio flux correlation. The dotted lines show a powerlaw relationship of $\zeta = 0.7$ and 1.4 and the solid line shows the best fit. Upper panel shows the sample correlated with daily Swift-BAT data. Lower panel shows the radio sample correlated with measurements taken with the Swift-XRT. Marked in both panels is the limit of a deep JVLA observation taken in the soft-state.

3.3 Soft-state quenching of the radio jet

Our deep JVLA radio observation of Swift J1753.5 places the most stringent quenching factor on the soft-state radio flux from a transient BH XRB. Previous works studying the radio quenching have only used the apparent XRB Radio-X-ray luminosity relationship to estimate the analogous hard-state radio flux. For example, Russell et al. (2011) have so-far placed the deepest constraints on the radio flux of a persistent soft-state BH XRB; the soft-state radio flux density of 4U1957+11 was measured to be significantly less (3$\sigma$) than 11.4 $\mu$Jy ($F_{\text{5 GHz}} < 5.7 \times 10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) and the corresponding unabsorbed X-ray flux was measured as $F_{1-10\text{ keV}} = 8.8 \times 10^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, thus making $F_{\text{radio}} / F_{\text{X-ray}} > 1.5 \times 10^{3}$. The inferred hard-state radio flux is between 3.7 – 9.2 mJy, based on the assumption the source traces the upper-radio branch of the Radio-X-ray luminosity relationship and a distance of 7–22 kpc. If correct, the resultant radio quenching factor would be $\sim 2.5$ orders of magnitude, implying that jets in the soft-state can be utterly suppressed.

In this paper we place a comparable tight constraint on the soft-state upper radio flux limit for a source with a known hard-state radio flux. Swift J1753.5’s soft-state radio flux was found to be significantly less (3$\sigma$) than $< 21 \mu$Jy ($F_{\text{5 GHz}} < 1.05 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) and the unabsorbed soft X-ray flux for this epoch was $F_{1-10\text{ keV}} = 3.37 \times 10^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Thus the soft-state radio to X-ray flux ratio of $F_{\text{radio}} > 3.2 \times 10^{4}$ is within an order of magnitude to 4U 1957+11. Scaling the X-ray to radio flux (using the empirical flux relationship found in figure 3) corresponds to a hard-state flux of $F_{\text{radio}} \approx 600 \mu$Jy (N.B. the mean hard-state flux is $\sim 300 \mu$Jy). Thus we find a direct quenching factor of $\sim 25$.

We show the radio luminosity limits of Swift J1753.5 and 4U 1957+11 in Figure 4. Given the estimated distance to the two sources, the soft-state quenching factor relative to the upper-branch is approximately the same (within the uncertainty of the distance). Shown as a solid blue line is the powerlaw scaling of $\zeta = 0.7$ and 1.4 from GRS1915+105...
Figure 4. The X-ray/radio luminosity relationship for 25 XRBs. The solid lines show two different powerlaw relationships scaled from the brightest known XRB GRS1915+105. Also shown as dotted lines is the “standard” powerlaw relationship ($\zeta = 0.7$) normalised by four different decades. For detections of Swift J1753 we assume a distance of 8 kpc.

(i.e. a 10 $M_\odot$ BH accreting at $\sim 0.1 L/L_{Edd}$). The soft-state jet is shown to quench at least an order of magnitude more than the so-called “radiative-efficient” branch of GRS1915+105 (Rushton et al. 2010), if it were accreting at the $\sim 0.01 L/L_{Edd}$ rate of Swift J1753.5 and 4U 1957+11.

It is important to note that Swift J1753.5 is a more ‘canonical’ transient XRB than 4U 1957+11 as the latter is a persistent soft X-ray emitter whilst the former a typical XRB transient that has transitioned across different X-ray states (although the soft-state for Swift J1753.5 is unusually low – see Shaw et al. 2016). Also the radio emission from Swift J1753.5 is clearly under-luminous compared to typical hard-state XRBs (see section 3.2) and the quenching factor with respect to the upper-branch of the empirical relationship is similar to 4U 1957+11. Moreover, the radio emission from 4U 1957+11 has never been detected, thus we do not yet know the typical radio flux (assuming the source could ever produce a radio emitting jet).

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

XRBs have a well-established, albeit complex phenomenological relationship between the state of the accretion disk and the jet luminosity. To first-order approximation there appears to be a correlation with the hard X-rays and the compact jet. Fender et al. (2004) showed that as XRBs trace a hysteresis around the Hardness Intensity Diagram (HID), they display distinctly different modes of jet activity; hard-states are associated with compact jets, soft-states with jet suppression and transitions from hard to soft-states can eject knots of discrete plasma. Intermediate states, such as those seen in Cygnus X-1 (Rushton et al. 2012), have also shown a reduction in the jet luminosity and size (although not a complete quenching). Furthermore, within the hard-state it has been shown that a non-linear relationship exists between the luminosity of the jet and the accretion disk. For example, Corbel et al. (2003) initially showed that for the BH XRB GX 339-4 the radio emission scales with the X-rays with a powerlaw index of $\zeta \sim 0.7$. Then, by accounting for distance, it was shown that a sample of BH XRBs follows a similar luminosity relationship (e.g. Gallo et al. 2003, 2006). Moreover, it has been suggested this relationship is
Although evidence of a disk-jet relationship is ubiquitous, significant scatter in the X-ray/radio relationship has also been found. Some BHCs have shown a scaling relationship much closer to that proposed for radiatively efficient neutron stars (Körding et al. 2006). GRS1915+105 is known to have a much more luminous radio to X-ray ratio than other XRBs and Rushton et al. (2010) found the variability in the hard (“plateau”) state to be significantly steeper, with a powerlaw index of $\zeta = 1.7 \pm 0.3$; Swift J1753.5 on the other hand is significantly radio-quiet compared to the “standard” GX 339-4 relationship. Evidence for a transition in the radio/luminosity of about $\zeta \approx 1.4$ was found for H 1743-322, which showed a possible transition from $\zeta = 0.6$ to 1.4 around a critical luminosity of about $L_X = 10^{35} - 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Coriat et al. 2011). XTE J1752-23 also hinted at a transition where at low radio/X-ray luminosity the ratios are similar to GX 339-4, but at higher luminosities the radio jet is apparently under-luminous if tested against the “fundamental-plane”. Moreover, Gallo et al. (2014) performed a cluster and linear regression analysis on the hard and quiescent state of these XRBs (including a total of 24 systems). They found that a two cluster solution was favoured if the uncertainty of the luminosities is less than a factor of $\sim 2$; however, if one includes data collected on Cygnus X-1 there is no significant evidence for a bimodal distribution of tracks.

Although our analysis for Swift J1753.5 clearly shows the source to be on the lower-branch of the $L_{\text{radio}}/L_{\text{X-ray}}$ relationship, it is not clear if the source prefers a similar powerlaw relationship to that of GRS1915+105 or neutron stars (i.e. $\zeta \sim 1.4$). Rather the scatter in the scaling relationship could be upper-bound variability albeit with a normalisation about an order of magnitude lower (to illustrate this in Figure 4 we show $\zeta \sim 0.7$ at four different decades in blue dotted lines). Therefore, while we cannot rule out variations in the radiative efficiency, that leads a different scaling of the observed X-ray luminosity with accretion rate, we note other parameters (e.g. such as Doppler boosting) could also have an important role at these Eddington ratios.

Jet activity is not found in the soft X-ray states and suppression occurs when the temperature of the black body disk increases. However, it is not clear if the jet-quenching mechanism is linked to a relative change in the disk to hard powerlaw ratio or only an absolute drop in the hard powerlaw component. To help constraint this we use an XMM-Newton/NuSTAR measurement of the source studied in this paper during a different epoch. Shaw et al. (2016) fitted a hard power law component of $\Gamma = 1.79$ with a flux of $9.85_{-0.24}^{+0.35} \times 10^{-11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (scaled to the 0.6-10 keV band) during a soft-state, which was about $9\%$ of the total flux (the rest was dominated by the BB and an additional soft power-law component). If we assume the same fractional flux for the hard powerlaw during our JVLA soft-state observation, then the inferred powerlaw flux was $\sim 6 \times 10^{-11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. This flux is a factor of $\sim 10$ less than the typical hard state level when the radio is around $300\mu$Jy. Thus if the radio flux scales just with the powerlaw component, we expect $L_{\text{radio}} = 300/10^5 \mu$Jy, which equates to 60 and 12 $\mu$Jy for $\zeta = 0.7$ and 1.4 respectively. Although this is an estimate, it tentatively suggests that the hard powerlaw to radio flux does not scale with the standard $\zeta = 0.7$ relationship.

Soft-states have been seen to occur at accretion rates of $0.1 - 100\% m_{\text{Edd}}$ (Dunn et al. 2010), therefore one may consider jet suppression to only occur at high accretion of up to (and possibly beyond) the Eddington accretion rate. However, Shaw et al. (2016) measured the soft state to be at an unusually low accretion rate ($\sim 0.6\% m_{\text{Edd}}$), we can therefore rule out a requirement that the accretion rate has to be larger than $\sim 1\% m_{\text{Edd}}$ for jet quenching to occur.

The deep JVLA observations in the soft-state of Swift 1753.5 show the most accurate radio quenching of a transient BH XRB. Unlike the weak radio component seen in a soft-like state of Cygnus X-1, which may have been a failed state-transition (Rushton et al. 2012), there is no radio emission associated with the soft-state of Swift 1753.5. Although we compare this result to the limit measured for 4U 1957+11 (Russell et al. 2011), it is important to note that that source has never been detected in the radio; thus the quenching factor for 4U 1957+11 is an estimate based on assuming the hard state flux follows the upper branch of the disk-jet relationship, which is not known for 4U 1957+11 and can vary widely between sources. However, since the jet flux is known for Swift J1753.5, our estimate can be much more accurate.
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