The Mitochondrial Response to DNA Damage
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Mitochondria are double membrane organelles in eukaryotic cells that provide energy by generating adenosine triphosphate (ATP) through oxidative phosphorylation. They are crucial to many aspects of cellular metabolism. Mitochondria contain their own DNA that encodes for essential proteins involved in the execution of normal mitochondrial functions. Compared with nuclear DNA, the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is more prone to be affected by DNA damaging agents, and accumulated DNA damages may cause mitochondrial dysfunction and drive the pathogenesis of a variety of human diseases, including neurodegenerative disorders and cancer. Therefore, understanding better how mtDNA damages are repaired will facilitate developing therapeutic strategies. In this review, we focus on our current understanding of the mtDNA repair system. We also discuss other mitochondrial events promoted by excessive DNA damages and inefficient DNA repair, such as mitochondrial fusion, fission, and mitophagy, which serve as quality control events for clearing damaged mtDNA.

Keywords: mitochondrial DNA, DNA repair, mitochondrial fusion, mitochondrial fission, mitophagy

INTRODUCTION

The mitochondria provide most of the cell energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), which is executed by the electron transport chain (ETC) within the mitochondria. In addition, mitochondria provide other key metabolic intermediates to many other basic cellular processes, such as lipid biogenesis and the synthesis of amino acids (Zong et al., 2016).

In the 1960s, nucleic acids and DNA were discovered in the mitochondria (Saki and Prakash, 2017). The mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is circular, and its length is varied in different species, from 75~85 kb in Saccharomyces cerevisiae to around 16.5 kb in mammalian cells (Anderson et al., 1981; Foury et al., 1998). Although most of the mitochondrial proteins are transcribed in the nucleus, synthesized in the cytosol, and then transported into the mitochondria (Gray, 2012), the mtDNA is not non-sense. For example, in human cells, it encodes for 22 tRNAs and two ribosomal RNAs, as well as 13 polypeptides that comprise core subunits of the ETC Complex I, III, IV, and V, which are essential for the OXPHOS activity.
In mammalian cells, mtDNA is tightly linked with proteins to form complexes called nucleoids, which localize to the mitochondrial inner membrane (Chen and Butow, 2005; Holt et al., 2007). Some replication- and transcription-related proteins, such as mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM), DNA polymerase gamma (POLG), mitochondrial single-strand binding protein (mtSSB), and mtDNA helicase Twinkle, have been reported to interact with mtDNA (Garrido et al., 2003; Wang and Bogenhagen, 2006; Farge and Falkenberg, 2019).

Similar to nuclear DNA, the mtDNA is constantly assaulted by both exogenous and endogenous stresses, such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Alexeyev et al., 2013). However, evidence suggests that the mtDNA is more susceptible to certain stress-induced damages (e.g., oxidized DNA damages) than nuclear DNA due to its proximity to the sites of oxidative phosphorylation and lack of the protection by histones (Yakes and Van Houten, 1997; Druzhyna et al., 2008).

Excessive mtDNA damages, if not repaired efficiently, may increase ROS production, which in turn leads to mitochondrial dysfunction and provokes the pathogenesis of many human diseases, including Kearn-Sayre Syndrome, Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s disease (PD), cancer, and diabetes (Wallace, 2005; Nakabeppu et al., 2007; Tsang et al., 2018; Llanos-Gonzalez et al., 2020). Therefore, accurate maintenance of the mtDNA is essential for a healthy life. In this review, we discuss how mitochondria respond to DNA damages, with an emphasis on how such damages are repaired. We also discuss a series of mitochondrial quality control events, including fission, fusion, and mitophagy, which are important for clearing damaged mtDNA that cannot be efficiently repaired.

AN OVERVIEW OF THE mtDNA DAMAGE REPAIR

Compared with nuclear DNA repair mechanisms, which have been extensively studied, the mtDNA repair mechanisms are much less understood. Unlike nuclear DNA, mtDNA is multi-copied (Yasukawa and Kang, 2018). Due to such nature, it was previously thought that DNA repair mechanisms might not be present or necessary in the mitochondria. For many years, this had led to a hypothesis that damaged mtDNA was simply degraded without being repaired, while the remaining undamaged mtDNA served as the template for mtDNA synthesis (Druzhyna et al., 2008). This hypothesis was primarily based on the experiments showing that pyrimidine dimers induced by UV were not repaired in mammalian mitochondria (Clayton et al., 1974). Given that the nucleotide base repair (NER) is a highly efficient nuclear repair pathway for correcting a variety of DNA damages caused by UV radiation and many other environmental insults (Lee and Kang, 2019), these data suggest that the NER is absent for mtDNA damage repair.

However, more and more studies have shown that several other DNA repair pathways, including base excision repair (BER), direct reversal (DR), mismatch repair (MMR), and possibly double-strand break repair (DSBR), exist in the mammalian mitochondria (Kazak et al., 2012; Saki and Prakash, 2017; Figure 1). Key components of these pathways are encoded by nuclear genes and transported to the mitochondria after being synthesized in the cytosol.

BASE EXCISION REPAIR IN THE MITOCHONDRIA

Base excision repair is highly conserved from bacteria to human and has been considered as the primary repair pathway in the mitochondria, responsible for the removal of non-bulky DNA lesions caused predominantly by oxidation, alkylation, deamination, and methylation (Kazak et al., 2012; Krokan and Bjoras, 2013). Its basic process includes damaged DNA base recognition and removal, leaving an apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site (or called an abasic site), and subsequently the cleavage of the resulted AP site, end processing, gap filling, and ligation to complete the BER (Fortini et al., 2003).

At the initiation step of the BER, DNA glycosylases play important roles in damaged DNA recognition and removal (Jacobs and Schar, 2012). Seven of eleven known DNA glycosylases have mitochondrial targeting signal and have been identified in mammalian mitochondria (Sharma et al., 2019). They are categorized into two groups, namely the mono-functional glycosylases and bi-functional DNA glycosylases (Mullins et al., 2019). Mono-functional glycosylases include alkyladenine DNA glycosylase (AAG) (van Loon and Samson, 2013), MutY glycosylase homolog (MUTYH) (Ohtsubo et al., 2000), and uracil N-glycosylase (UNG) (Slupphaug et al., 1993). Bi-functional DNA glycosylases include 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase-1 (OGG1) (Nishioka et al., 1999), Nei-like 1 (NEIL1) and Nei-like 2 (NEIL2) (Han et al., 2019), and Nth-Like 1 (NTHL1) (Imai et al., 1998).

Mono-functional glycosylases lack lyase activity and cleave the N-glycosidic bonds to generate AP sites, which are further processed by apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1). Bi-functional DNA glycosylases with intrinsic lyase activity are capable of cleaving the abasic sites, and the DNA strand on the 3’ end of the AP site (Kazak et al., 2012; Stein and Sia, 2017). During the end processing, APE1 processes the ends generated by OGG1 and NTHL1 glycosylases (Hegde et al., 2008). The polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase (PNKP) processes the ends generated by the NEIL glycosylases (Tahbaz et al., 2012).

During short-patch BER (SP-BER), 5’-deoxyribose phosphate moiety created by APE1 is excised by polymerase γ (Pol Γ) with its lyase activity (Longley et al., 1998). Next, Pol Γ inserts a single nucleotide to fill the gap. In long patch BER (LP-BER), 2–6 nucleotides are incorporated at the nick by Pol γ through its strand displacement synthesis activity (Longley et al., 1998; Akbari et al., 2008). Then, the 5’ flap is possibly cleaved by flap endonuclease 1 (FEN1) (Liu et al., 2008). Previous reports have shown that other enzymes, including DNA replication helicase/nuclease 2 (DNA2) and 5’-exo/endonuclease (EXOG) are important for removing 5’ flaps during mitochondrial LP-BER (Duxin et al., 2009; Tann et al., 2011). In both SP-BER and LP-BER, DNA ligase III seals the
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Nick to complete the final ligation step of the mitochondrial BER (Simsek et al., 2011).

The single strand break repair (SSBR) pathway is also likely to be present in the mitochondria (Hegde et al., 2012). SSBR can be considered as a form of BER, because many of the proteins involved in BER are important for SSBR. The two pathways have common gap filling and ligation steps. Previously, poly-ADP-ribose polymerase 1 (PARP1), which is required for recognizing single-strand breaks, has been reported to localize to the mitochondria (Rossi et al., 2009). However, the exact role for PARP1 in mammalian mtDNA SSBR is still unclear, and conflicting results have been reported (Szczesny et al., 2014).

During the end processing step, besides of APE1 and PNKP, tyrosyl DNA phosphodiesterase 1 (TDP1) and aprataxin (APTX) have been found to be involved in the mitochondrial SSBR pathway (Hegde et al., 2012; Meagher and Lightowlers, 2014). In the mitochondria, TDP1 typically hydrolyzes the phosphodiester bond between a tyrosyl moiety and a 3′-DNA end. It can also hydrolyze other 3′-end DNA alterations including 3′-phosphoglycolate and 3′-abasic sites. Therefore, TDP1 may function as a general 3′-end-processing DNA repair enzyme to remove a variety of adducts that are poor substrates for APE1 (Das et al., 2010). APTX belongs to the histidine triad (HIT) superfamily of nucleotide hydrolases and transferases (Kijas et al., 2006). It removes 5′-adenylate (5′-AMP) group from DNA (Zheng et al., 2019). 5′-AMP group is an abortive DNA ligation product during DNA repair and replication, and it must be removed for the later DNA ligation. Previously, a slow rate of 5′-AMP removal was reported in the mitochondrial extracts compared with nuclear extracts (Akbari et al., 2015). Biochemical and cell biological analysis indicated it due to the lack of back up enzymes or repair mechanisms in mtDNA (Caglayan et al., 2017). All these results suggest that APTX is more critical in mtDNA repair than in the nuclear DNA repair.

Notably, mtDNA modifications are also involved in BER. For example, an enrichment of N6-methyldeoxyadenosine (m6A) in mammalian mtDNA has been recently reported (Hao et al., 2020). M6A could repress DNA binding and bending by TFAM, which possesses a greater affinity for oxidized lesions and inhibits the activity of OGG1, UNG, and APE1 (Canugovi et al., 2010). Increased m6A in the mtDNA affect the binding between TFAM and DNA so that the BER glycosylases are stimulated.

OTHER mtDNA DAMAGE REPAIR MECHANISMS

Direct Reversal

Direct reversal is responsible for the repair of DNA O-alkylated and N-alkylated damages caused by DNA alkylating agents (Fu et al., 2012). This repair process does not require excision, synthesis, and ligation. In mammalian cells, DR is performed by O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) or ALKBH (AlkB homolog) proteins in the nucleus (Ragg et al., 2000; Ahmad et al., 2015). MGMT repairs the O6-methylguanine lesions by removing methyl groups, whereas ALKBH repairs certain N-alkyl lesions via dealkylation. According to previous studies, mitochondrial localization of ALKBH has not been confirmed, and a protein with similar molecular weight to MGMT may localize to mammalian mitochondria (Myers et al., 1988). However, different groups have reported conflicting results, and
whether DR is present in the mammalian mitochondria remain to be further clarified (Cai et al., 2005).

**Mismatch Repair**

In 2003, MMR activity in rat liver mitochondrial extracts was reported (Mason et al., 2003). Notably, unlike nuclear MMR, there is no evidence that such activity relies on any well-known nuclear MMR proteins, such as MSH and MLH. Instead, it may depend on the Y-box binding protein YB-1 (de Souza-Pinto et al., 2009; Lyabin et al., 2014). This is supported by the facts that deleting YB-1 leads to both a decrease of MMR activity in the mitochondrial extracts and an increase of mtDNA mutations in YB-1-depleted cells. While these findings strongly suggest the presence of YB-1-dependent MMR in the mitochondria, more investigations are needed for better characterizing its detailed mechanism, especially the mitochondrial YB-1-associated cofactor(s) involved in this process.

**Double-Strand Break Repair**

Double-strand breaks (DSBs) are the most cytotoxic DNA lesions if not efficiently repaired (Stein and Sia, 2017). DSBs can be induced by ROS, replication stalling, or radiation. In the nucleus, DSBs are mainly repaired by two pathways, including homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathways (Pannunzio et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2018). HR is considered as an “error-free” repair pathway due to its use of homologous partner as the template to repair DNA lesions. Several lines of evidence support the presence of HR in the mitochondria. For instance, the recombination intermediates containing four-way junctions can be detected in human mitochondria (Pohjoismaki et al., 2009), and Rad51, a RecA homolog, has been reported to execute HR in mammalian mitochondria (Sage et al., 2010). In addition, Rad51 related proteins, e.g., XRCC3, also exist in human mitochondria and may participate in HR (Mishra et al., 2018).

Compared with HR, NHEJ is more error-prone and results in large deletions. DNA end-joining activity has been observed in mitochondrial extracts prepared from mammalian cells, where both cohesive and blunt-ended DNA molecules can be repaired (Lakshmipathy and Campbell, 1999). Breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1) and p53-binding protein 1 (53BP1) oppositely affect the extent of DNA end resection and are key determinants for the choice of HR or NHEJ (Panier and Campbell, 2000; Coene et al., 2005; Sage et al., 2010). However, whether DSB plays a major role in the mtDNA damage repair and whether the mitochondrial HR and NHEJ exert pathophysiological functions in disease contexts remain to be further elucidated.

**THE MITOCHONDRIAL RESPONSE TO UNREPAIRED DNA DAMAGES**

To maintain a healthy mitochondrial network, mammalian cells have developed several mechanisms to respond to unrepaired DNA damages, including trans-lesion synthesis, mitochondrial fusion and fission, and mitophagy. The interactions between some of them have also been reported (Figure 2).

**Mitochondrial DNA Damage Tolerance Pathway: Trans-Lesion Synthesis**

Trans-lesion synthesis (TLS) can bypass the DNA replication block caused by DNA damages (Waters et al., 2009; Sale, 2013). It is an error-prone process, in which the polymerases with low fidelity are involved (Ma X. et al., 2020). In TLS, nucleotides are incorporated to repair DNA lesions but convert them to DNA mutations. It requires DNA polymerase selection and switching (Friedberg et al., 2005). A group of specialized DNA polymerases termed TLS polymerases are utilized in this process, which are categorized into several families (Sale, 2013; Ma X. et al., 2020). Rev1, Polk, Polh, and Polζ belong to the best characterized Y-family polymerases, while polymerase zeta (Polζ) is a B-family polymerase (Yang and Gao, 2018). In mammalian mitochondria, there are evidence that REV3, the catalytic subunit of Polζ, localizes to the mitochondria (Singh et al., 2015). REV3 associates with POLG and prevents the mtDNA damage. PrimPol is another TLS polymerase that has been detected in the mammalian mitochondria (Garcia-Gomez et al., 2013; Rudd et al., 2014). This enzyme has both DNA primase activity and DNA polymerase activity. It is capable of bypassing DNA lesions such as 8-oxoG (Guilliam et al., 2015).

**Mitochondrial Fusion, Fission, and Mitophagy**

If mtDNA damages cannot be repaired, they will accumulate and subsequently evoke mtDNA quality control events, including mitochondrial fusion, fission, and mitophagy (Youle and van der Bliek, 2012). Mitochondrial fusion and fission are essential for cell metabolic activities as well as re-distribution of mtDNA (Tilokani et al., 2018). Both fusion and fission are mediated by GTPases (Hopkins et al., 2007). Specifically, Mitofusin 1 (Mfn1), Mitofusin 2 (Mfn2), and Optic Atrophy 1 (OPA1) are involved in the regulation of mitochondrial fusion (Delettre et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2003; Olichon et al., 2003; Detmer and Chan, 2007), and Drp1 is involved in mitochondrial fission (Fonseca et al., 2019).

Damaged and undamaged mtDNAs yield a heteroplasmic mixture of normal and mutant mitochondrial genomes within the same cell (Wonnapinij et al., 2008; Aryaman et al., 2018). Mitochondrial fusion allows the mitochondria with normal mtDNA to compensate for defects in the mitochondria with damaged mtDNA (Nakada et al., 2001; Ono et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2015). Through fusion, essential functional and structural components (e.g., proteins and lipids) in the two types of mitochondria can be diffused and shared, thereby rescuing the mitochondria with damaged mtDNA and mitigating the effects...
of environmental stresses on the mitochondria if the stress level is below a critical threshold (Stewart and Chinnery, 2015).

Mitochondrial fission allows mtDNAs to be divided into two mitochondria and provides a chance for a mitochondrion to refuse with another mitochondrion (Tilokani et al., 2018). It is suggested that fission divides a mitochondrion into two parts and distributes the damaged mtDNA and other harmful components asymmetrically to eliminate the part with most seriously damaged mtDNA but preserve the healthier part with normal mtDNA (Meyer et al., 2017).

Homoplasmy in mtDNA is rarely observed because of inherited or sporadic mutations that result in heteroplasmy (Wei et al., 2020). A broad range of heteroplasmy among different cell types and tissues are observed. The dynamics of heteroplasmy is one of the most challenging aspects of mtDNA disease. The mechanisms regulating heteroplasmy shifts are still needed to be elucidated. In T cell lineage, purifying selection occurs and heteroplasmy ratio is dramatically reduced (Walker et al., 2020). This process may enrich for certain mtDNA sequences and eliminate the pathogenic mutant mtDNA.

Irreparably damaged mtDNA may trigger mitophagy, a process through which depolarized or damaged mitochondria are selectively degraded by autophagy (Lemasters, 2005; Pickles et al., 2018). During autophagy, unwanted cellular materials are sequestered by double-membrane autophagosomes and delivered to lysosomes for degradation (Wollert, 2019). In normal cells, autophagy is utilized to clear and recycle almost all types of cellular materials, including proteins, lipids, damaged organelles, and etc. Mitophagy is an important mitochondrial quality control mechanism to maintain a healthy mitochondrial network (Ma K. et al., 2020). In mammalian cells, two pathways of mitophagy have been described. The first is an ubiquitin-mediated pathway, in which PINK1 (PTEN-induced putative protein kinase 1) has been shown to play an important role by recruiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase Parkin from the cytosol to the mitochondria with damaged DNA (Narendra et al., 2008; Matsuda et al., 2010; Pickrell and Youle, 2015). The other pathway is a receptor-mediated pathway, in which mitophagy receptors on the mitochondria with at least one LC3-interacting region have been identified. Some examples are BCL2 interacting protein 3 (BNIP3) (Chourasia and Macleod, 2015), FUN14 domain containing 1 (FUNDC1) (Chen M. et al., 2016), and mitochondria inner membrane protein Prohibitin 2 (PHB2) (Wei et al., 2017). These receptors mediate mitophagy by binding with LC3 to recruit the autophagosome (Yoo and Jung, 2018).

Previous reports also suggest that mitophagy may be linked to mitochondrial fission and fusion (Shirihai et al., 2015; Yoo and Jung, 2018). For example, overexpression of Opa1 or dominant-negative mutant of Drp1 can inhibit mitophagy (Twig et al., 2008). An interaction between the PINK1/Parkin pathway and the fission/fusion machinery has also been reported (Yu et al., 2011; Murata et al., 2020). It is proposed that fission is prerequisite for mitophagy by segregating the damaged part of a mitochondrion and targeting it for autophagic degradation. It is suspected that the damaged mtDNA and unwanted debris should distribute unevenly in the mitochondria to achieve such...
asymmetric fission (Zorov et al., 2017). However, the underlying mechanism remains largely unknown.

As above-discussed, the UV-radiation induced mtDNA damages cannot be repaired by mtDNA repair mechanisms. However, the mitochondria with photo-damaged mtDNA undergoes mitophagy (Kim and Lemasters, 2011). It was confirmed that mitophagy can be induced by different types of mtDNA damage stimuli, and it at least partially depends on DNA damage response pathways (Furda et al., 2012). It should be noted that the modulation of mitophagy after DNA damage is independent of the type of mtDNA damage stimuli. Recently, it has been suggested that Spata18, a p53 inducible protein, is critical in mitophagy after mtDNA damage (Dan et al., 2020). Knocking down Spata18 suppresses mitophagy, promotes mtDNA damage, and attenuates mtDNA repair. To better understand the mitophagy process in response to mtDNA damage, it is important to identify related proteins and figure out how a mitochondrion, or its part, with damaged mtDNA can be selectively removed.

**MITOPHAGY IN IMMUNITY AND HUMAN DISEASES**

The interplay of mitophagy with mitochondrial dynamics is critical for maintaining mitochondrial homeostasis in normal and stressed conditions. Damaged mitochondria may either induce innate immunity or trigger cell death to drive aging-related diseases when mtDNA damages are beyond repairable (Ma K. et al., 2020).

Previous reports suggest that the mtDNA is implicated in the regulation of inflammation and innate immunity, and a major mediator for these processes is the "cGAS-STING" pathway (West et al., 2015; Chen Q. et al., 2016). For instance, internalized bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) can trigger the mitochondrial membrane localization of active GSDMD, which in turn stimulates the release of mtDNA into the cytosol (Huang et al., 2020). The released mtDNA can be detected by the cyclic AMP-GMP synthase (cGAS), which generates cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) to activate the pro-inflammatory stimulus of interferon genes (STING), eventually leading to increased production of type I interferons (IFNs).

In cancer cells, the mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) has been shown to promote the cytosolic release of mtDNA, the activation of the cGAS-STING pathway, and the production of type I IFNs, which collectively facilitate an effective radiation therapy (Yamazaki et al., 2020); whereas Bcl2-dependent mitophagy can deplete MOMP, thereby limiting the release of mtDNA and associated immune responses.

Age-related accumulation of mtDNA mutations in human somatic and germ cells have also been reported (Arbeitshuber et al., 2020). Replication errors are likely the main sources of *de novo* mtDNA mutations. Accumulation of certain types of mtDNA mutations may trigger cell death and lead to age-related diseases, such as PD, Cockayne syndrome (CS), and Werner syndrome (WS) (Palikaras et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2019; Lopes et al., 2020). Parkinson's disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder with movement problems due to the loss of dopamine-producing neurons (Kalia and Lang, 2015). Interestingly, most of PD-related proteins have been shown to lead to dysfunctional mitochondria and/or accumulated damaged mitochondria (Martin-Jimenez et al., 2020). Some of them, including PINK1 and Parkin and LRRK2, play important roles in the execution of mitophagy (Vives-Bauza et al., 2010; Wallings et al., 2015). These facts not only establish a strong link between mitophagy and the pathogenesis of PD, but also suggest that manipulating mitophagy may have diagnostic/therapeutic values.

Werner syndrome is a human premature aging disease caused by mutations in the gene encoding for Werner protein (WRN), which is an important DNA helicase involved in DNA repair (Fang et al., 2019). WRN regulates the transcription of nicotinamide nucleotide adenyllytransferase 1 and NAD biosynthesis. Accordingly, decreased NAD+ levels and impaired mitophagy have been reported in WS patient samples.

In CS patient samples, there is a reduction in the activation of AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) and its downstream target, autophagy protein unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase 1 (ULK1), suggesting dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics and impaired mitophagy (Okur et al., 2020). Reduced NAD+ metabolism level was discovered in all three diseases. NAD+ precursors can be used for treatment by promoting mitophagy via increasing the levels of key mitophagy-related proteins, such as PINK1, ULK1, and DCT-1 (Aman et al., 2020). Mitochondrial quality is improved and mitochondrial defects are reversed. These results further implicate that promoting mitophagy can be a promising approach to treat some age-related diseases.

**CONCLUSION**

We have known that cells are continuously exposed to both internal and external stresses, which may cause mtDNA damages. In response to such damages, the mitochondria have developed multiple ways to maintain its DNA integrity and function. Nevertheless, we still lack a comprehensive understanding of how distinct pathways/mechanisms act together to maintain a functional mitochondrial genome.

In this review, we have mainly focused on the mitochondrial response that repairs, attenuates, or eliminate mtDNA damages. Compared with nuclear DNA repair pathways, the pathways for repairing mtDNA damages are less understood. Many nuclear DNA repair proteins, especially those found in the nuclear BER pathway, are also found in the mitochondria (Supplementary Table 1). Previous data suggest that BER is the major mtDNA repair pathway, whereas NER has not been confirmed in the mitochondria. DR, MMR and DSBR may exist in the mitochondria. However, key components involved in these pathways have not been fully elucidated. To that end, further efforts are needed to identify the proteins/enzymes participating in distinct mtDNA damage repair processes.

Mitochondria have multiple quality control pathways to maintain its function in response to stresses. Herein, we have briefly discussed several such pathways, including TLS,
mitochondrial fission, fusion, and mitophagy. TLS can also be considered as a post-replication repair pathway. It utilizes damaged mtDNA as template to replicate with low-fidelity polymerases. Mitochondrial fusion and fission are dynamic to balance mtDNA damage compensation and elimination, and mitophagy is primarily responsible for the elimination of mitochondria with damaged mtDNA. Mis-regulation of such mtDNA damage responses has been linked to the immunity and pathogenesis of human diseases, including neurodegenerative disorders and cancer. In-depth understanding of these processes will provide insights for developing novel treatment strategies.
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