The genomics of phenotypically differentiated *Asellus aquaticus* cave, surface stream and lake ecotypes
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Abstract
Organisms well suited for the study of ecotype formation have wide distribution ranges, where they adapt to multiple drastically different habitats repeatedly over space and time. Here we study such ecotypes in a Crustacean model, *Asellus aquaticus*, a commonly occurring isopod found in freshwater habitats as diverse as streams, caves and lakes. Previous studies focusing on cave vs. surface ecotypes have attributed depigmentation, eye loss and prolonged antennae to several south European cave systems. Likewise, surveys across multiple Swedish lakes have identified the presence of dark-pigmented "reed" and light-pigmented "stonewort" ecotypes, which can be found within the same lake. In this study, we sequenced the first draft genome of *A. aquaticus*, and subsequently use this to map reads and call variants in surface stream, cave and two lake ecotypes. In addition, the draft genome was combined with a RADseq approach to perform a quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping study using a laboratory bred F$_2$ and F$_4$ cave × surface intercross. We identified genomic regions associated with body pigmentation, antennae length and body size. Furthermore, we compared genome-wide differentiation between natural populations and found several genes potentially associated with these habitats. The assessment of the cave QTL regions in the light–dark comparison of lake populations suggests that the regions associated with cave adaptation are also involved with genomic differentiation in the lake ecotypes. These demonstrate how troglomorphic adaptations can be used as a model for related ecotype formation.
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INTRODUCTION

Ecotype formation, wherein populations that are found in heterogeneous environments begin to differentiate in traits that are under divergent selection, is an early stage of the speciation continuum, which may further progress towards the formation of new species (Feder et al., 2012). Several well-known, but also newly emerging study systems are being used to elucidate the factors driving or constraining evolution and speciation (Feder et al., 2000; Herman et al., 2018; Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014). Together with modern technological advances in DNA sequencing, genome-wide patterns of differentiation between populations spanning the speciation continuum and under various environments and selection regimes are being studied. It is now possible to identify candidate barrier loci (i.e., loci that putatively contribute to reproductive isolation between diverging populations) using $F_{ST}$ scans, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping (Comeault et al., 2014; Peichl et al., 2001; Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014). Factors that have been found to contribute to genomic patterns of differentiation include whether the diverging populations are found in sympathy (i.e., have ongoing gene flow during speciation) or allopatry (no or minimal gene flow during speciation) (Butlin et al., 2008). Furthermore, the genetic architecture of traits under divergent selection may have an important role in determining the pace of genome-wide divergence (Feder et al., 2014; Kautt et al., 2020). Thus, systems well suited for the study of adaptation, and in particular those that are repeated over multiple different ecotypes, can be vital in understanding this early precursor to speciation.

A few well-studied examples of early-stage ecological divergence driven by divergent selection stemming from contrasting environments include stickleback fish (Colosimo et al., 2005), Astyanax Mexican tetra fish (Borowsky & Cohen, 2013), stick insects (Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014), Littorina snails (Ravinet et al., 2016) and Rhagoletis fruit flies (Doellman et al., 2019). Natural replicates, wherein niche specific adaptation occurs repeatedly over geography, such as on islands, or in lakes and caves, provide additional power to infer environment-driven divergence. Moreover, we can question whether the same genetic pathways are associated with traits under divergent selection across geography and estimate the predictability of evolution (Nosil et al., 2018). In this regard, studies of ecotype evolution across geography suggest that a small but significant portion of the genome experiences parallel change in nature and is more pronounced in recently diverged populations, which would often share higher amounts of standing genetic variation (e.g., Morales et al., 2019; Ravinet et al., 2016; Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014). One example includes the Mexican tetra fish, Astyanax mexicanus, which has colonized several unrelated cave systems where individuals have undergone body depigmentation and eye degradation among several other changes in response to this drastically different environment (Protas et al., 2007). Cave animals that have ancestral surface counterparts represent excellent systems to study evolutionary adaptations to this extreme environment, especially when coupling several independent cave systems (e.g., Herman et al., 2018). However, the relevance that such cave adaptations have to other ecotypes is less well known. For example, are the alleles that are selected during cave adaptation also relevant to the adaptation of other ecotypes or do these cave adaptations represent entirely novel mutations/alleles?

Here, we study genome-wide divergence between morphologically differentiated populations of Asellus aquaticus (Linnaeus, 1758) on the island of Gotland in Sweden (Figures 1 and 2), and in particular between cave and surface stream ecotypes. Importantly, besides the repeated and parallel nature of cave colonizations, A. aquaticus is also known for adapting to various lake habitats, some of which can be found across lakes, causing repeated parallel adaptation. In two south Swedish lakes, it has been established that A. aquaticus shifted from reed to novel stonewort habitats, being accompanied by parallel shifts in body pigmentation (Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby, et al., 2009; Hargeby et al., 2004, 2005), morphology (Eroukhmanoff & Svensson, 2009), sexual behaviour (Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby, et al., 2009; Karlsson et al., 2010) and predator-induced behavioural differences (Harris et al., 2011). In the reed habitat, dense stands of Phragmites australis dominate and are usually found alongside the shore itself, where they form dark puddles with high amounts of debris (Hargeby et al., 2007). The stonewort habitat, on the other hand, is dominated by Chara tomentosa and can be found further away from the shore in open waters (Hargeby et al., 2004, 2005). In Lake Horsan, also located on the island of Gotland off the eastern Swedish coast, the open water habitat contains no plants and is here referred to as the stony bottom habitat. Here, A. aquaticus individuals live slightly buried within the light-coloured calcareous sand. It appears that these two broad lake ecotypes, even if separated by small spatial scales, are subject to divergent selection stemming from the environment and probably exhibit reduced gene flow (Eroukhmanoff et al., 2011; Hargeby et al., 2005; Harris et al., 2011; Karlsson et al., 2010). In addition, a strong correlation has been found between A. aquaticus body pigmentation and background substrate colour in 29 Swedish lakes, supporting a role for cryptic pigmentation (Hargeby et al., 2005). Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby & Svensson (2009) further illustrated an additive genetic basis for the differentiated traits between these two ecotypes through heritability estimates in common garden settings.

Trogloborphic adaptations in A. aquaticus have independently occurred over multiple cave systems across Europe. The most studied and perhaps best adapted cave populations are those of the Postojna-Planina Cave system in Slovenia, where individuals exhibit elongated antennae, body depigmentation and the degradation of eyes among multiple other trogloomorphic characteristics (Fišer et al., 2019; Jemec et al., 2017; Konec et al., 2015). Similar trogloomorphic traits can also be found in A. aquaticus populations from Movile Cave in Romania (Turk et al., 1996), Molnár Janos Cave in Hungary (Pérez-Moreno et al., 2018) and Trebiciana Cave in Italy (Sket, 1994). Several studies using genetic and gene expression data mapped putative candidate genes associated with these traits in A. aquaticus (Gross et al., 2020; Mojaddidi et al., 2018; Protas et al., 2011; Stahl et al., 2015). To date, the only QTL mapping study
using a cave vs. surface A. aquaticus intercross was performed by Protas et al., (2011), who identified loci that were associated with eye development and body pigmentation. However, the study used a relatively small number of molecular markers, resulting in large confidence intervals for the detected QTL, often spanning half of the linkage group where the QTL were located and making candidate gene identification not possible. Further, the transcriptomes of Slovenian and Hungarian cave and surface ecotypes have been sequenced (Gross et al., 2020; Pérez- Moreno et al., 2018; Stahl et al., 2015). Interestingly, Lürg et al., (2019) showed that body pigmentation in lake populations can be plastic and is highly dependent on diet (i.e., high vs. low nutrient availability associated with dark and light morphs, respectively. These results highlight the possibility that pigmentation polymorphisms in A. aquaticus may be achieved through different mechanisms (i.e., genetic vs. plastic) or that plastic responses precede evolutionary responses (Levis & Pfennig, 2016).

In this work, we first generate a draft genome build for A. aquaticus using a cave individual from Lummelunda Cave on the island of Gotland, off the Swedish coast. This genome was subsequently used to map either whole genome (WGS) or reduced genome representation (RAD-Seq) DNA reads from cave vs. surface streams and reed vs. stony bottom lake ecotypes. We were then able to compare light- vs. dark-pigmented individuals in two entirely different and separate sites both located on the island of Gotland, that is cave vs. surface in Lummelunda and stony bottom vs. reed in Horsan Lake. This, in turn, enables us to study ecotype formation in lake and cave habitats for this system and to examine how these processes may have occurred. Genotype-phenotype associations were estimated using a QTL mapping approach in F$_2$ and F$_4$ intercross populations derived from a cave × surface intercross from animals derived from Lummelunda Cave and surface populations, and patterns of genomic variation among ecotypes were studied using comparative genomics (RADSeq) of natural populations. We then go on to assess differences between light and dark comparisons both between the two lake ecotypes, as well as between the cave/surface ecotypes. The identification of QTL in the cave/surface intercross population further allowed us to investigate the relevance such loci have for adaptation to other ecotypes as well as identify candidate genes associated with cave adaptations.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Natural populations

In June 2019, we collected cave specimens of *Asellus aquaticus* from Lummelunda Cave (LC), located on Gotland, Sweden (first noted in Gislén & Brinck, 1950). This cave was probably created before the last ice age by flowing water from Martebo mire located 11 km to the east of the cave (Lundevall, 1965). The stream flows through the cave system before reaching the surface again and draining into the Baltic Sea. We collected surface specimens of *A. aquaticus* from upstream (LU) and downstream (LD) of Lummelunda Cave (Figures 1 and 2). Both the surface populations, LU and LD, contained only dark-pigmented individuals, while the LC population consisted of mainly light-pigmented individuals with a few slightly darker-pigmented samples either washed in from the surface or found at low frequencies within the cave. Two additional differentiated surface ecotypes of *A. aquaticus* were collected from contrasting reed and stony bottom habitats in Lake Horsan (HR and HS, respectively), located ~40 km north of LC (described in Hargeby et al., 2005). Here, the two ecotypes are separated by small spatial distances (~50 m), but are, nevertheless, well differentiated with regard to body pigmentation. Again, with the exception of a few individuals, HS consisted of light-pigmented animals found within the light-coloured stony bottom (light-coloured rock environment mixed with fluffy calcareous precipitated flocks of calcium carbonate but no vegetation) of the lake in open waters. HR individuals, however, were dark-pigmented and found within dense dark-coloured puddles formed within reed stands alongside the shore. Previous studies from 29 Swedish lakes have shown that *A. aquaticus* body pigmentation often matches its substrate colour (Hargeby et al., 2005). Although not studied in this lake, reed and stonewort ecotypes from two other lakes, Krankesjön and Tåkern, show compelling evidence for adaptational crypsis and reduced gene flow (Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby, et al., 2009; Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby & Svensson, 2009; Eroukhmanoff et al., 2011; Eroukhmanoff & Svensson, 2011; Hargeby et al., 2004; Hargeby et al., 2005; Harris et al., 2011; Karlsson et al., 2010; Karlsson et al., 2016). Considering reported occurrences of the main predatory fish of this species, *Perca fluviatilis*, in Lake Horsan (Nyberg et al., 2014), it may be reasonable to assume that the open water stony bottom environment would exert higher fish predatory selective pressures similarly to the stonewort habitat in other lakes and that pigmentation may be cryptic. Additional information on the populations used in this study, including coordinate data and number of individuals, can be found in Figure 2.
2.2 | Cave × surface intercross

All intercross (F₁, F₂, F₄) offspring were derived from a single parental pair, consisting of a cave male and a surface female. A total of 26 F₁ offspring, 83 F₂ and 79 F₄ were produced. Lummelunda animals from an earlier collection in 2014, including cave (LC) and surface (LU) ecotypes, were reared in the laboratory within rearing aquaria (transparent 5-L polypropene boxes) under 15°C and a 12-hr/12-hr light–dark photoperiod. The animals were kept in natural lake water, which was routinely collected from Lake Täkern in Sweden and filtered through 100-µm nets to avoid introducing wild invertebrates (e.g., predators). Water was stored in 25-L tanks for at least 96 hr to eliminate possible chemical cues from other animals. Water was changed every 2 weeks and decaying elm (Ulmus sp.) and alder (Alnus sp.) leaves were added in excess amounts (Graça et al., 1993).

Additionally, the animals were given shoots of Elodea canadensis, which was reared in the laboratory (Marcus et al., 1978). Besides the food providing substrate and shelter, each rearing aquarium was supplemented with two-footed bricks 10 × 10 cm in size for additional shelter and area for periphyton. The tanks were observed daily and animals found in amplexus were isolated. Once pairs of both cave and surface stream animals were noted, the male and female were carefully separated. New pairs were constructed in which a male and a female were combined in a mixed cave + surface setting. If the pairs within a mixed setting formed amplexus, they were transferred to a rearing aquarium. The pairs were then monitored every second day. Once the pair separated, the female was inspected for a filled egg pouch, and if this was present, the male was removed and frozen at −20°C. The female was checked at regular intervals for eggs vs. empty pouch. If young were found in the aquaria, the female was removed and stored at −80°C. The F₁ offspring were left to interbreed, and we collected F₂ (n = 83) and F₄ (n = 16) samples. This included all F₂ offspring and the most extreme light- and dark-pigmented F₂ individuals (those that were determined to be the lightest and darkest; see pigmentation phenotyping below). These F₂ samples presumably provide higher QTL detection power through two additional recombination events in the F₄ individuals, which is expected to improve the resolution of the QTL analysis (Darvasi & Soller, 1995).

2.3 | Phenotypic measurements

Prior to cold storage, individual A. aquaticus samples were photographed using a Canon D3100 camera. Pictures were taken of single live animals in Petri dishes filled with 10 ml of water, but also of dry dead animals (in the case of the F₂ population). In both cases, a photograph was taken within a light tent with external illumination on both sides of the tent. A white colour checker was used to standardize mean RGB values and a scale bar was used to standardize length measurements. Furthermore, all length measurements used in the QTL interval mapping were standardized to body length. RAW format files were first converted to TIFF format and the images were processed using ImageJ version 1.x (Schneider et al., 2012).

Our primary interest was in body pigmentation, as this trait clearly differentiated the ecotypes collected in this study (Figure 1). We measured pigmentation using the selection and measure tools in ImageJ. Mean RGB values for the left and right side of the dorsal body were measured to avoid sampling the dark-coloured digestive tract in the centre (as in Hargeby et al., 2004). We measured additional traits that did not obviously differ among the collection sites but had been shown to differ between ecotypes in other cave and lake populations (Hargeby & Erlandsson, 2006; Konec et al., 2015). These included the surface area of the animal, length of the distalmost article of the peduncle of antenna II, body length and the width of the 1st to 7th body segments (all phenotypic measurements of the cave × surface intercross can be found in Table S1 and are schematically presented in Figure S1). Therefore, our QTL analysis primarily focused on size-related traits, body pigmentation and antennae length. As opposed to the degradative nature of pigmentation and eye loss in cave environments, antennae length may be an example of a constructive change. This was shown to be the case in comparisons between Slovenian surface and cave individuals of A. aquaticus (Konec et al., 2015; Mojaddidi et al., 2018). The length of the distalmost article of the peduncle of antenna II was used, as the total flagellar antennae is sensitive and prone to breaking (Maruzzo et al., 2007, 2008). Finally, the sex of animals was noted where possible, by identifying the sex-specific shape of the gonopods on the ventral side of the body, under a stereomicroscope.

2.4 | DNA extraction and sequencing, genome assembly, RADseq data processing, gene annotation and contamination

A detailed description of these methods is presented in the Supporting Information. In brief, chromium linked reads generated in this study were assembled using Supernova version 2.1.1 (Weisenfeld et al., 2017) (the assembly report of this run can be found in Table S2 and the final genome assembly statistics in Table S3). BLAST screens for contamination and gene annotation outputs can be found in Tables S4–S7. For comparative purposes, multiple genome builds were conducted using the WGS data (Table S8). Finally, processing statistics of the RADSeq data, including sequence qualities and amount of reads mapping to the genome, can be found in Table S9.

2.5 | Mitochondrial COI phylogenies

To establish the relationship between A. aquaticus populations from Gotland with previously studied European populations (Konec et al., 2015), we extracted a fragment of the COI gene from the intercross founder male and female DNA sequences (WGS data). Further, we used a subset of samples from the Slovenian and Romanian cave and surface populations to show that the cave colonization on Gotland is probably another independent event in this species. Maximum-likelihood trees using a simple UPGMA tree as a starting point were
constructed using the Lummelunda Cave male, Lummelunda surface female and sequences retrieved from NCBI (listed in supplements of Konéc et al., 2015). All trees were built using the 
payne, phangorn
and phytools packages (Paradis & Schliep, 2019; Revell, 2012) in R
version 3.1.4 (R Core Team, 2014) and visualized using ggtree (Yu,
2020). Only the male and female parents of our cave × surface inter-
tercross, from which we generated WGS data, were used for the COI
comparison. The consensus sequences of the male and female were
established by using the de novo assembly and the variant call file
(VCF) with vcf-consensus (Danecek et al., 2011).

2.6 | Population genomic parameter estimates

The 1,187 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) generated from
A. aquaticus natural populations were used to calculate population
genomics summary statistics and pairwise FST values among our
populations. An AMOVA FST estimate was obtained using the popu-
lations module in stacks version 1.4 (Catchen et al., 2013). Using FST
and Fc values for each SNP within each population, 95% confidence
intervals were calculated using the groupwiseMean function of the
rcompanion package (Mangiafico & Mangiafico, 2017) in R version
3.1.4. As a measure of genetic variation, we calculated nucleotide
diversity (π) and expected heterozygosity for each population. A
one-way ANOVA was used to assess significance for nucleotide
diversity differences among populations, followed by a post-hoc
analysis, where populations were assigned significance groupings
using Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test within the
agricolae R package (Mendiburu & Simon, 2015).

2.7 | Population structure

To establish the relationships among our natural populations based
on the 1,187 SNPs, we conducted two separate clustering analyses.
First, we ran a Bayesian implementation in structure version 2.3.4
(Pritchard et al., 2000) to determine how many clusters are best
supported by our data. An admixture model was used with 10 repli-
cates for each K value (number of groups) in the range from K = 2 to
K = 10, and a burn-in period of 100,000 and 100,000 Markov chain
Monte Carlo (MCMC) repetitions after initial burn-in. The number of
clusters was estimated using the delta K method (Evanno et al.,
2005) implemented in structure harvester (Earl, 2012). Replicate
runs using Bayesian statistics commonly produce slightly variable
output (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007). To utilize the 10 replicates,
we aligned the output using the LargeKGreedy model in clump
version 1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007), with 1,000 random input
orders taken before calculating mean values of membership prob-
abilities. Graphical output was generated using distruct version 1.1
(Rosenberg, 2004).

Second, a principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted on
the same data set as implemented within the R package ade-
genet using the function dudi.pc (Jombart & Ahmed, 2011). Allele
frequencies were scaled to mean zero with the ScaleGen function
and missing genotypes were replaced by zeros. Population sizes
used for testing population structure are given in Figure 2.

2.8 | Testing A. aquaticus migration models
between habitats

We looked for evidence of ongoing gene flow between the sur-
face and cave samples by testing several migration models in the
three populations sampled at Lummelunda using migrate-n version
4.4.3 (Beerli & Palczewski, 2010). A further subset of SNPs was ex-
tracted from the Lummelunda populations with vcf-tools as follows:
minGQ: 20, max missingness: 0.1, inter-SNP distances of at least
1,000 bp, which resulted in 114 higher quality and well-represented
SNPs among our samples (sample sizes are given in Figure 2). This
software uses Bayesian inference of the Kingman’s coalescent to
estimate model parameters such as effective population size and
migration rate. Due to the large homogeneity in our genetic data,
we did not expect to estimate accurate parameters, but rather we
were interested in which general model is best supported (i.e., gene
flow vs. no gene flow). We compared the following models: (1) di-
rectional migration going from LU to LC to LD, (1a) same as 1 except
that LD receives migrants from both LC and LU, (2) directional mi-
igration in the opposite direction, that is from LD to LC to LU, (3) no
gene flow model emphasizing that LC diverged from LU and that LD
diverged from LC, (3a) same as 3 except that LD diverged from LU
and not LC, (3b) including both divergence events from 3 and 3a, and
(4) each population sends and receives migrants from each of the
other populations with model 4a denoting the same as model 4 but
with emphasized symmetrical gene flow between all populations.
These models are graphically presented in Figure S2. For each model
four heated chains were used with the following scheme: 1, 1.5, 3
and 1,000,000. The sampling prior increment was 20 with a burn-in
of 1,000. The number of steps analysed was 10,000. Prior migra-
ion rates were generated from a uniform distribution ranging from
2,500 to 3,500, while prior thetas were generated from a uniform
distribution ranging from 0 to 0.1.

2.9 | Differentiated loci among A. aquaticus
ecotypes

To compare genomic differences between light- and dark-pigmented
A. aquaticus in Horsan and Lummelunda, we computed allele fre-
quency differences in each site separately (sample sizes in Figure 2).
Significance was assigned using a randomization test by randomly
shuffling population IDs and calculating allele frequency differ-
ces 10,000 times to produce a null distribution. Estimated allele
frequency differences falling above the 0.975 or below the 0.025
quantile of the null distribution were considered statistically signifi-
cant. Next, we correlated allele frequency differences between light
and dark individuals in Lummelunda (LC vs. LU) with allele frequency
differences between light and dark individuals in Horsan (HS vs. HR) using (i) all SNPs, (ii) all SNPs with significant allele frequency differences and (iii) only SNPs with significant allele frequency differences that are shared by the two localities. We additionally computed the percentage of loci whose allele frequency differences are in the same direction in both localities (i.e., both negative and both positive). The PL (genotype probability) field from our gatk-generated VCF file was extracted with the R package vcfr version 1.12.0 (Knaus and Grünwald, 2017) and allele frequencies were computed as:

\[ p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( g_i p \left( g_i | D_i \right) \right)}{2n} \]

where \( n \) = number of individuals, \( g1:3 = [0,1,2] \) (number of alternative allele copies in a homozygote, heterozygote and alternative homozygote, respectively), and \( P(g_i | D_i) \) is the probability of genotype \( j \) given the sequence data \( D \) at locus \( i \). Genotype probabilities provide the advantage of incorporating genotype uncertainty as opposed to genotype calls (Nielsen et al., 2011).

Allele frequencies, as estimated above, were also used to compare the cave population to two neighbouring surface populations independently. Specifically, we computed allele frequency differences between LC and LU, and between LC and LD. We reasoned that shared differences between these two comparisons may be attributed to the cave habitat through a genotype–environment association.

2.10 Genetic map build and QTL analysis

First, a genetic map using \( F_2 \) and \( F_4 \) intercross progeny was generated. After filtering for missing data, identical genotypes and loci exhibiting segregation distortion (not conforming to 1:3:1, \( p < 1 \times 10^{-10} \)), 506 SNPs in 67 individuals were used for the construction of the genetic map. Linkage groups (LGs) were formed based on the recombination fraction and LOD scores of markers using the FormLinkageGroups function in r/qtl (thresholds used: min lod: 5.7, max rf: 0.35). This resulted in 43 LGs but only the first 14 were retained, while the rest of the markers were grouped into an LG named "un." These LGs contained three or fewer markers. The 14 LGs contained 435 markers and spans 3,997 centimorgans (cM) with on average 9 cM spacing in between markers (Table S10 and Figure S3).

For the QTL interval mapping, we included \( F_2 \) (\( n = 83, 42 \) males and 32 females) and \( F_4 \) (\( n = 16, 2 \) males and nine females) individuals. Sex and batch were included as covariates and models both with and without sex interaction were tested. \( F_2 \) and \( F_4 \) were assigned two different batch IDs to account for fixed differences that may be present between the two generations, as they were by definition reared in separate batches. Genotype–phenotype associations were quantified using LOD scores and LOD significance thresholds were established by running 1,000 permutations. QTL \( p \) values for the trait of interest <.05 were considered significant and a 1.8 LOD drop method was used to determine QTL confidence intervals.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Mitochondrial COI phylogenies

Maximum-likelihood trees created using a fragment of the COI gene from Lummelunda with other publicly available European populations showed that the samples from Gotland clustered most with Swedish and Danish populations (Figure 3a). Although bootstrap support values for some of the external nodes were low, they tended to increase drastically within the internal nodes. As the phylogeny of these populations has been extensively studied elsewhere, we were only interested in which populations cluster closest to the Gotland populations studied here. Both our cave male and surface female COI sequences most closely resembled the UP3 COI haplotype, which was found in previous studies from Swedish samples collected near Uppsala (Figure S4). Further, when using only a subset of samples which compared cave and surface populations from Slovenia and Romania with those studied here, cave and surface populations clustered together by geography and not by habitat (Figure 3b). However, our Swedish populations clustered closer to the Romanian populations than the Slovenian ones.

3.2 Population genomic parameter estimates

Genetic variation of Asellus aquaticus from Lummelunda and Horsan was estimated through expected heterozygosity, nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)), inbreeding coefficient (\( F_{Is} \)) and \( F_{ST} \) (Tables 1 and 2). Genetic diversity was consistently the lowest in LC (Lummelunda Cave) and the highest in HS (Horsan Stony bottom). Mean \( F_{ST} \) values based on these 1,187 SNPs were generally low (Table 2). The two most genetically differentiated populations were LC and HS (between-site \( F_{ST} \): 0.051), while the two least differentiated were LD and LC (within-site \( F_{ST} \): 0.038).

3.3 Spatial genetic population structure

Although the delta K method best supported \( K = 7 \). After sequentially increasing \( K \), however, we found that \( K \geq 4 \) was in accordance with the geographic distribution of the samples and minimum
additional information was gained by further increasing K (Figure S5). In all cases, the different populations showed large homogeneity. The two Horsan populations are distinguishable from the three populations found in Lummelunda (Figure 4a). Within Lummelunda, however, LC and LD grouped closer together and were spatially closer to one another. A similar trend was found when conducting PCAs on the same data set (Figure 4b). Horsan and Lummelunda form the two main clusters, but within- and between-locality relationships were also present in this global PCA conducted on all five populations. To further resolve the relationship between populations within each site separately, additional PCAs confirmed differential clustering between HR and HS in Horsan, and between LC, LD and LU in Lummelunda (Figure S6). Depending on the PC axes being used, we find support for both the clustering of LD–LC and LU–LD, that is the two spatially closer sites and the two surface sites, respectively.
3.4 | Migration models

The comparison of migration models revealed that the full gene flow models 4 and 4a best fit our data (Table 3). Interestingly, the second best model is model 1a, directional gene flow from LU to both LC and LD, which makes the most sense given the direction in which these streams flow. The “no gene flow” models, 3, 3a and 3b, showed the weakest support.

3.5 | Ecotype formation within two separate locations

We were interested in how genetic differences between light and dark populations compared between Lummelunda and Horsan. Individuals from HS and LC are both light-pigmented, but they live in drastically different environments, with biotic and abiotic selective pressures probably differing largely between the cave and open water lake environments. The correlation between allele frequency differences between light- and dark-pigmented A. aquaticus from Horsan and Lummelunda was strongly negative when using all 1,187 SNPs ($r = -0.42, p = 2.2e-16$) (Figure 5a). Allele frequency differences for 34% of the SNPs went in the same direction in both locations. Two additional subsets of these SNPs were used to evaluate allele frequency difference correlations and direction: (1) all SNPs that were significantly different in one and the other location (Figure 5b), and (2) all SNPs that were significantly different in both locations only (Figure 5c). The correlation was strongest when using subset 2 ($r = -0.79, p = 2.3e-15$), which also resulted in the fewest number of SNPs exhibiting allele frequency differences in the same direction at both localities (9%). In total, 128, 255 and 66 SNPs exhibiting significant allele frequency differences between light and dark populations were found in Horsan, Lummelunda and in both localities, respectively. Gene and scaffold information can be found in Table S11.

In an attempt to associate genotype to environment, we additionally compared allele frequency differences between LC-LU and LC-LD within Lummelunda, with the expectation that shared differences may reflect genomic regions associated with the cave environment. When correlating LC-LU with LC-LD allele frequency differences, we found a strong correlation using all three SNP sets; all SNPs ($r = 0.70, p = 2.2e-16$) and only shared significant SNPs ($r = 0.89, p = 2.2e-16$) (Figure 5d-f). Percentages of these differences going in the same direction were 82%, 87% and 100%, respectively. In total, 321, 286 and 187 SNPs exhibiting significant allele frequency differences between light and dark Lummelunda populations were found in LC-LU, LC-LD and in both comparisons, respectively. This highlights the presence of a shared genetic component between the two surface populations which is not shared in the cave population. Gene and scaffold information can be found in Table S12.

3.6 | QTL interval mapping

We examined A. aquaticus trait-genotype associations using a non-parametric interval mapping approach in qtl. The interval mapping

| Model | Model parameters | Log (ml) | LBF | Model-ranking |
|-------|------------------|----------|-----|---------------|
| 1     | *0* ***0 00*     | 1,562.58 | -388.15 | 4             |
| 1a    | *0* ***0 00*     | 1,703.30 | -247.43 | 3             |
| 2     | **0 00 00**      | 1,462.35 | -488.38 | 5             |
| 3     | *d 0 00*         | 257.18   | -1,693.55 | 8             |
| 3a    | *d 0 00*         | 298.19   | -1,652.54 | 6             |
| 3b    | *d d 00*         | 263.19   | -1,687.54 | 7             |
| 4     | *** *** ***      | 1,950.73 | 0.00 | 1             |
| 4a    | *s s s ss*       | 1,751.30 | -199.43 | 2             |

Model parameters: as used to run the software; Log (ml): marginal likelihoods; LBF: natural log Bayes factors.
was carried out on a cave (LC) × surface (LU) intercross only. In total, we observed 10 significant QTL for the various traits. Our main focus was on traits that were differentiated among the ecotypes but also on traits that are potentially under different regimes of selection in these environments, such as antennae length in cave and surface environments. The two strongest QTL found were associated with body pigmentation and surface area. Pigmentation QTL were located on linkage groups LG1, LG2 and LG7 (Table 4). The marker found on LG2 was significantly associated with mean white-standardized RGB values with and without sex interaction, explaining 37% of the phenotypic variation (Table 4; Table S13). Furthermore, one QTL associated with relative antennae II segment length was found on LG11, explaining 35% of the phenotypic variation (Table 4; Table S13). In total, we identified 20 genes within the confidence intervals of our QTL. Of these, three were associated with body pigmentation, two with antennae length and six with size-related traits (Table 4).

3.7 | Differentiation of QTL regions in wild populations

In comparing LOD scores with \( F_{ST} \) values within QTL confidence intervals, we found that regions associated with most of the analysed traits were also differentiated in natural populations at least two-fold higher than the average across the entire genetic map; average \( F_{ST} \) in Horsan: 0.08, average \( F_{ST} \) in Lummelunda: 0.14. Our results indicate that QTL regions associated with body pigmentation, antennae length and a part of size-related traits showed peaks of differentiation in both Lummelunda and Horsan (Figure 6). QTL regions on LG2 and LG5 that were found associated with surface area, however, showed differentiation peaks only in Lummelunda. A few traits from Table 4 are not present in Figure 6 either due to having a small number of markers or high amounts of missing \( F_{ST} \) data in natural populations.

4 | DISCUSSION

Asellus aquaticus has evolved distinct ecotypes in numerous heterogeneous environments and over small spatial scales (Hargeby et al., 2005; Protas et al., 2011). We studied genome-wide differences among ecotypes collected from surface stream and cave habitats in Lummelunda, and from stony bottom and reed habitats in Lake Horsan. Population structure analyses indicated that our collection sites formed a relatively homogeneous cluster with embedded signals of spatial genetic structure. Furthermore, several genes related to traits previously shown to be differentiated between these ecotypes in other caves and lakes were found using a QTL mapping approach and comparing allele frequencies among the studied populations. In particular, we found that body pigmentation and antennae length QTL were differentiated between the ecotypes in both Lummelunda and Horsan, indicating that these loci appear to at least partly regulate ecotype formation in these populations. Allele

![Figure 5](image-url)
TABLE 4 QTL interval mapping results showing significant QTL for *Asellus aquaticus* phenotypic traits

| Trait       | Chr | Pos  | LOD | r²  | Lower CI | Upper CI | Interaction | Genes                                                                 |
|-------------|-----|------|-----|-----|----------|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Surface area| 2   | 783  | 4.3 | 7.7 | 774      | 807      | Sex         | NA                                                                    |
| Surface area| 5   | 66   | 15  | 36.4| 64       | 69       | 5@66.0:11@2.0, 5@66.0:1@625.0| g001010(VCP_APIME), g001020, g001030, g001040(Interleukin2)          |
| Surface area| 11  | 2    | 9.5 | 19.6| 0        | 13       | 5@66.0:11@2.0 | g002700, g0002150(bloc1_2)                                            |
| Surface area| 1   | 625  | 10.1| 21  | 620      | 629      | 5@66.0:1@625.0| g002520, g000720, g000730(NOP58_MOUSE), g000740                      |
| Antennae II | 4   | 18   | 4.7 | 17.3| 5        | 35       | 4@18.0:11@31.4 | g001140(PF04106.7)                                                    |
| Antennae II | 11  | 31   | 8.6 | 35.6| 26       | 37       | 4@18.0:11@31.4 | g000150(PF10046.4), g000650(SALM_DROME)                               |
| Pigmentation| 1   | 616  | 7.8 | 15.6| 605      | 622      | 1@616.1:2@119.0 | g002520, g000720, g000730(NOP58_MOUSE), g000740                      |
| Pigmentation| 2   | 119  | 11.9| 26.9| 115      | 124      | 1@616.1:2@119.0 | g001880, g001890.                                                     |
| Pigmentation| 7   | 81   | 4.8 | 8.9 | 73       | 89       | Sex         | NA                                                                    |
| Segments 1-7| 4   | 249  | 3.7 | 23.6| 234      | 258      | None        | g001880, g001890, g002020                                             |

All length and area measurements were standardized to full body length, while mean RGB values were standardized to a white colour checker. Chr, chromosome; Pos, position; LOD, logarithm of odds indicating strength of association; r², total phenotypic variation explained; Lower CI, start position of confidence interval; Upper CI, end position of confidence interval; Interaction, markers and/or variables with which the QTL is interacting.

4.1 Genetic variation in *Asellus aquaticus* on the island of Gotland

Genetic diversity and divergence among the *A. aquaticus* ecotypes on Gotland were measured using common population structure approaches, as well as F<sub>st</sub> and nucleotide diversity comparisons. The F<sub>st</sub> values obtained using the approach with ongoing gene flow well. The striped vs. unstriped ecotypes of the famous Timme stick insects showed median F<sub>st</sub> values of 0.05 based on WGS data (Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014). In Lummelunda, the two spatially closer populations from different locations were lower when found within cave and surface populations in Lummelunda. Gene flow with surface counterparts but also with cave populations presumably facilitated the adaptation to extreme environments such as caves.

In support of restricted gene flow, we detected overall substantial genetic population structure, albeit with F<sub>st</sub> values among the frequency differences between light- and dark-pigmented populations in Lummelunda and Horsan and further evidence that the genetic basis of ecotype formation potentially occurs in the same regions between both ecotype pairs.
collection sites being low. Further support for restricted gene flow stems from the presence of distinct body pigmentation and lower nucleotide diversity found in LC (indicating that LC consists of smaller population sizes and/or strong selective pressures but is not being fully genetically replenished by gene flow from the surface). We expect that at least some degree of differential adaptation has occurred to the LC and HS habitats even if there were ongoing gene flow. This would limit admixture through reinforcement (Nosil et al., 2003) and would place this system in a speciation-with-gene-flow context, with the selection/migration ratio governing divergence until sufficient reproductive isolation is achieved, at which point other forces such as drift may begin to aid in genome-wide divergence (Feder et al., 2012).

4.2 | Genetic variation associated with cave vs. surface habitats in Lummelunda

The interesting convergent feature of body pigment and eye loss in cave environments spans across the tree of life, including numerous species of millipedes (e.g., Liu & Wynne, 2019; Vahtera et al., 2020), crustaceans (e.g., Carlini & Fong, 2017), insects (overview provided in Howarth, 2009), fish (e.g., Protas et al., 2006), amphibians (e.g., Hervant et al., 2001) and mammals (e.g., Simoes et al., 2019). Similarly, body pigment and eye loss have been found in several A. aquaticus cave populations including Lummelunda (Konec et al., 2015; Protas et al., 2011). Here, we identified six genes within our body pigmentation QTL confidence intervals. However, only one was functionally annotated: NOP58. This gene also fell into the confidence interval of a size-related QTL (surface area) and is involved in ATPase binding and ribosome biogenesis in mice (Abel et al., 2021).

The detection of our body pigmentation QTL is in accordance with Protas et al., (2011), who also found a main effect pigmentation QTL on LG2 using a cave × surface Asellus aquaticus intercross from Slovenia.

Furthermore, we found three genes associated with antennae length, one of which was annotated as SALM. Biological processes associated with SALM are numerous, with several potentially interesting functions in the context of cave adaptations. For example, in Drosophila melanogaster, it has been shown to be involved in antennal

---

**FIGURE 6** A comparison of LOD scores obtained from a QTL interval mapping approach using a cave × surface Asellus aquaticus intercross with the differentiation index \( F_{ST} \) of natural populations in these same genomic regions. Blue and red lines denote \( F_{ST} \) values between light and dark populations in Lummelunda and Horsan, respectively, while the black line denotes LOD scores for that particular trait.
Joint development (Dong et al., 2002) and compound eye photoreceptor cell differentiation (Mollereau et al., 2001). Prolonged antennal length has also been reported in more than one A. aquaticus cave population as compared to their surface counterparts (Prevorcnik et al., 2004; Turk et al., 1996). In the Lummelunda Cave population (LC), around 10% of individuals show no visible eye pigmentation (our personal observations). Finally, VCP, InterLeukin2 and the BLOC-1 protein complex were found within confidence intervals of surface area-associated QTL. Among these, InterLeukin2 was found to be involved in adaptive immune responses in humans (Weinberg & Parkman, 1990) and deficiency of the BLOC-1 protein complex resulted in eye pigmentation defects in D. melanogaster (Cheli et al., 2010).

Our approach towards finding genomic regions associated with the cave environment, essentially by taking significant and shared allele frequency differences between LC–LU and LC–LD, resulted in the detection of 74 annotated genes. Some of these show potential functions that may be important for life in caves: EMS, GRH, SPT6, LUSH, TLE4, CUPA2, CUPA3 and CHH4. The EMS gene is involved in brain development as well as head and brain segmentation (Hirth et al., 1995). Specifically, it controls antennal and mandibular segment identity in D. melanogaster (Peel, 2004). Next, GRH is involved in chitin-based cuticle development (Kim & McGinnis, 2011) and nervous system development (Cenci & Gould, 2005) through regulation of dopamine synthesis (DCC gene; De Luca et al., 2003). The neuronal dopamine pathway has been shown to be associated with temperature adaptations in Drosophila simulans (Jakišić et al., 2020) and is well known to be involved in melanin synthesis and pigmentation (Lemonds et al., 2016). The neuronal dopamine pathway has been shown to be associated with temperature adaptations in the cave is well suited as a model for studies of habitat adaptation and in parallel contexts (Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby, et al., 2009; Hargeby et al., 2005; Karlsson et al., 2010; Konc et al., 2015; Re et al., 2018). Our work expands this potential by adding a genetic analysis of uncharacterized cave

4.4 | Cave adaptation QTL and their role in lake ecotype formation

Given the potential for either parallel adaptation or the selection of low-frequency alleles that are common to both cave/surface and lake populations, one of the aims of this study was to identify whether some of the same loci underpin these different ecotypes. We find that in the case of the QTL identified in the cave (LC) × surface stream (LU) intercross, these QTL regions appear to show significant FST differences in both the cave (LC) × upstream (LU) and the stony bottom (HS) × reed (HR) lake comparisons. In particular, similar FST patterns in the QTL regions are seen in both the cave/surface and stony bottom/reed lake comparisons. This indicates first that the loci identified in the intercross are indicative of the loci that are segregating in the wider populations from which they were sampled, and second that these loci also appear to be under selection in stony bottom/reed lake ecotype formation. Although it is impossible to know if the same alleles are being selected in these cases from the current data, it does indicate that the same genomic regions are being selected on during the adaptation of these different ecotypes. The analysis of pairwise allele frequency differences between the two light–dark comparisons showed a negative correlation (i.e., an SNP allele with a higher frequency in the light (HS) lake population had a lower frequency in the light cave (LC) population). However, this analysis utilized relatively few SNPs and is thus not identifying the actual haplotype under selection and certainly not the causal variant. Therefore, any correlation (positive or negative) is more indicative that these regions appear to be under selection and gives no information with regards to which actual alleles are being selected at these loci.

4.5 | Concluding remarks

Previous work has demonstrated how A. aquaticus is well suited as a model for studies of habitat adaptation and in parallel contexts (Eroukhmanoff, Hargeby, et al., 2009; Hargeby et al., 2005; Karlsson et al., 2010; Konc et al., 2015; Re et al., 2018). Our work expands this potential by adding a genetic analysis of uncharacterized cave

scaffolds containing 45 annotated genes that are potentially related to the light–dark ecotype differentiation. Some genes found on scaffolds exhibiting differences between HR and HS included FERH, NRT, L2CC, TUT4, TRIM50, DHX37, Eif4g1 and NINAC. These genes were associated with a diverse range of functions, including oocyte maturation (TUT4), testis development (DHX37), starvation response (TRIM50) (Fusco et al., 2018; McEreavey et al., 2020; Morgan et al., 2017), resistance to iron toxicity (FERH; Geiser et al., 2003), larval metabolism, cuticle formation and responses to hypoxia (L2CC; Eveleth & Marsh, 1986; Lee et al., 2008), fear responses (Eif4g1; Ramirez-Valle et al., 2008) and visual perception (NINAC; Stephenson et al., 1983).

4.3 | Genetic variation associated with reed and stony bottom habitats in Lake Horsan

Similar to the above, the comparison of allele frequencies between light and dark lake ecotypes can also potentially identify heterogeneous patterns of differentiation along the genome, some of which may be attributed to their ecology and ecotype formation (Ferchaud & Hansen, 2016; Nosil et al., 2009). These SNPs were located in
and lake populations on Gotland. Further, although they are largely homogeneous, we demonstrate that cave and lake ecotypes have distinct genetic differentiation patterns at least in allele frequencies, which resulted in the identification of several interesting candidate genes associated with these habitats. We found several QTL associated with body pigmentation, antennae length and animal size, and showed that these same regions appear differentiated between natural populations.

One of the unique features of the *A. aquaticus* system that we present here is that the same trait (i.e., body pigmentation) is possibly under different selection regimes in the different populations (i.e., either predator induced or due to the absence of light in lake populations and cave populations, respectively). Given this differential selection, it is possible to address whether the same loci are targeted by selection in these separate ecotype formation events. Although the QTL regions identified in the cave intercross population here do appear to show $F_{ST}$ signatures that could indicate ongoing selection in the lake ecotype comparison, the study lacks the resolution to identify whether these are the exact same loci being selected upon. Future studies will elucidate this and help to address whether parallel selection can still select the same loci even with a different underlying root selection pressure. In particular, genome-wide association and artificial selection studies using WGS data can more precisely test how the genetic architecture of this species responds to selection on a genome-wide level.
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