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Effects of Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Supplementation on Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract: (1) Aim: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a prevalent disease worldwide. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFAs) bear anti-inflammatory action and can ameliorate hyperlipidemia. We wish to appraise the effects of n-3 PUFAs supplement on NAFLD. (2) Methods: We searched CENTRAL, Embase, and MEDLINE on 29 March 2020 for randomized control trials (RCTs) on the effects of n-3 PUFAs supplementation in treating NAFLD. The Cochrane Collaboration’s tool was used to assess the risk of bias of included RCTs. (3) Results: We included 22 RCTs with 1366 participants. The risk of bias of included RCTs was generally low or unclear. n-3 PUFAs supplementation significantly reduced liver fat compared with placebo (pooled risk ratio 1.52; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.09 to 2.13). n-3 PUFAs supplementation also significantly improved the levels of triglyceride, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, and body-mass index, with pooled mean difference and 95% CI being −28.57 (−40.81 to −16.33), −7.82 (−14.86 to −0.79), 3.55 (1.38 to 5.73), and −0.46 (−0.84 to −0.08), respectively. (4) Conclusions: The current evidence supports the effects of n-3 PUFAs supplementation in improving fatty liver. n-3 PUFAs supplementation may also improve blood lipid levels and obesity.
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1. Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is getting attention globally on account of its increasing prevalence [1]. Among 1.5 billion people in a recent study, the primary type of chronic liver disease was NAFLD (60%), succeeded by hepatitis B (29%), hepatitis C (9%), and alcoholic liver disease (2%) [2]. The spectrum of NAFLD ranges from non-alcoholic fatty liver, which is defined as simple steatosis with no or scanty inflammation, to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) [3], which may progress to fibrosis or even cirrhosis [4]. Liver cirrhosis is also considered end stage liver disease with presence of septal fibrosis and nodular parenchymal regeneration. Around 20% of NASH patients will develop cirrhosis over a lifetime [5]. NAFLD patients have increased mortality with increasing stages of liver fibrosis [6]. Approximately 33% of NASH patients present with advanced fibrosis and 20% may have regressed fibrosis during follow-up [7–10]. Previous evidence demonstrates associations of NAFLD with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [11,12]. Globally, 37.3% of T2DM patients suffer from NAFLD, [13]. Besides, several comorbidities including metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and extrahepatic cancer have been reported [14,15].
Many factors involving genetic, metabolic, environmental, and gut microbial environment are linked to the development of NAFLD [15–17]. Though many studies have investigated the pathophysiology of NAFLD, the delicate mechanism remains obscure. Both hepatic and adipose tissue insulin resistance have been related to NAFLD. Systemic insulin resistance decreases plasma adiponectin and increases leptin concentrations. Insulin resistance also results in an excessive flux of fatty acid [18] as a result of unopposed adipose tissue lipolysis [19]. Thus, aggravation of fat accumulation in the liver may occur [17,19,20]. Because high levels of fasting insulin and associated hepatic insulin resistance are usually present in NAFLD patients, they are also susceptible to T2DM. Moreover, the pathogenesis of NAFLD also involves the immune system. Kupffer cells are specialized macrophages which regulate the immune response of the liver. Kupffer cells activation contributes to the formation of NASH and liver fibrosis [17,21–23].

Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFAs) have been under heated discussion over the past two decades [24–26]. The n-3 PUFA family contain several long chain fatty acids, including α-linolenic acid (α-ALA), stearidonic acid (SDA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). Humans lack enzymes to introduce double bonds at the carboxyl end carbon beyond C-9 in fatty acid chain [24]. Hence, the n-3 PUFAs are so-called essential fatty acids as the human body cannot synthesize them de novo. The principal source of α-ALA is plants and it is derived mainly from seeds, nuts, and vegetable oil. SDA is a metabolic intermediate in the transformation of α-ALA to EPA and DHA [26,27]. The major n-3 PUFAs from the marine origin are EPA [26,27] and DHA in fish oils, while DPA is primarily derived from marine mammals. EPA and DHA effectively decrease the levels of triglyceride (TG) and very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL), which convert to low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and intermediate-density lipoprotein (IDL) [28,29]. Thus, n-3 PUFAs have been considered beneficial in preventing coronary artery disease [30].

Some studies proposed that dietary n-3 PUFAs ameliorate insulin resistance by regulating mitochondrial function or mediating anti-inflammatory effects [31,32]. Currently, the principal therapy for NAFLD is diet and lifestyle modifications to lose body weight, while improving liver steatosis and inflammation [33,34]. Pharmacotherapy is reserved for NASH patients, particularly with significant fibrosis. Pioglitazone or vitamin E or their combination therapy may be used in NASH, however no specific drugs are firmly recommended. For this reason, a systemic review and meta-analysis of marine n-3 PUFAs supplementation in NAFLD patients was conducted. The purpose of our research was to assess the effects of marine n-3 PUFAs by evaluating several aspects including hepatic steatosis, liver function, lipid profile, insulin resistance, anthropometric measurements, and safety.

2. Materials and Methods

We executed a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the efficacy and safety of marine n-3 PUFAs supplement in treating NAFLD. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-Analyses [35] guidelines were followed in the reporting of the current study.

2.1. Data Sources and Literature Search Strategy

The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, and Embase databases were searched from inception to 29 March 2020 for relevant trials. Our search strategy is presented in Table 1. No language or geographic restrictions were applied.
Table 1. Search strategy.

| Database | Search Strategy |
|----------|-----------------|
| #1       | MeSH descriptor: [Fatty Liver] explode all trees |
| #2       | (“nonalcoholic fatty liver disease”):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #3       | (NAFLD):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #4       | (liver and (fatty or steatosis or steatoses)) |
| #5       | #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 |
| #6       | MeSH descriptor: [Fish Oils] explode all trees |
| #7       | Fish Oil:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #8       | fish liver oil:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #9       | MeSH descriptor: [Cod Liver Oil] explode all trees |
| #10      | Cod Liver Oil:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #11      | MeSH descriptor: [Fatty Acids, Omega-3] explode all trees |
| #12      | Omega-3:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #13      | Omega 3:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #14      | MeSH descriptor: [Eicosapentaenoic Acid] explode all trees |
| #15      | EPA:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #16      | eicosapentaenoic acid:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #17      | eicosapentaenoate:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #18      | eicosapentaenoic acid:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #19      | MeSH descriptor: [Docosahexaenoic Acids] explode all trees |
| #20      | DHA:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #21      | docosahexaenoic acid:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #22      | docosahexaenoate:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched) |
| #23      | #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 |
| #24      | #5 AND #23 |
| #25      | #5 AND #23 |
| #26      | #5 AND #23 |
| #27      | #5 AND #23 |
| #28      | #5 AND #23 |
| #29      | #5 AND #23 |
| #30      | #5 AND #23 |
| #31      | #5 AND #23 |
| #32      | #5 AND #23 |

Embase

| #1       | ‘nonalcoholic fatty liver’/exp |
| #2       | ‘nonalcoholic fatty liver’/ti,ab |
| #3       | ’NAFLD’:ti,ab |
| #4       | liver:ti,ab AND (fatty:ti,ab OR steatosis:ti,ab OR steatoses:ti,ab) |
| #5       | #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 |
| #6       | ’fish oil’:ti,ab |
| #7       | ‘fish liver oils’:ti,ab |
| #8       | ’cod liver oil’:ti,ab |
| #9       | ’omega 3 fatty acid’:ti,ab |
| #10      | ’eicosapentaenoic acid’:ti,ab |
| #11      | ’icosapentaenoic acid’:ti,ab |
| #12      | eicosapentaenoate:ti,ab |
| #13      | ’docosahexaenoic acid’:ti,ab |
| #14      | docosahexaenoate:ti,ab |
| #15      | #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 |
| #16      | ’crossover procedure’/exp |
| #17      | ’double-blind procedure’/exp |
| #18      | ’single-blind procedure’/exp |
| #19      | crossover*:ti,ab |
| #20      | ’cross over’:ti,ab |
| #21      | placebo:ab,ti |
| #22      | double NEAR/3 blind |
| #23      | allocat*:ti,ab |
| #24      | trial:ti |
| #25      | ’randomized controlled trial’/exp |
| #26      | random*:ti,ab |
| #27      | #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23 OR #24 OR #25 OR #26 |
| #28      | ’animal’/EXP OR ’invertebrate’/EXP OR ’animal experiment’/EXP OR ’animal model’/EXP OR ’animal tissue’/EXP OR ’animal cell’/EXP OR ’nonhuman’/EXP |
| #29      | ’human’/EXP OR ’normal human’/EXP |
| #30      | #28 AND #29 |
| #31      | #28 NOT #30 |
| #32      | #27 NOT #31 |
| #33      | #5 AND #15 AND #32 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Database | Search Strategy |
|----------|----------------|
| MEDLINE  | 1 exp Fatty Liver/ |
|          | 2 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.ti,ab. |
|          | 3 NAFLD.ti,ab. |
|          | 4 (liver and (fatty or steatosis or steatoses)).ti,ab. |
|          | 5 or/1-4 |
|          | 6 exp Fish Oils/ |
|          | 7 fish oil.ti,ab. |
|          | 8 fish liver oil.ti,ab. |
|          | 9 exp Cod Liver Oil/ |
|          | 10 cod liver oil.ti,ab. |
|          | 11 exp Fatty Acids, Omega-3/ |
|          | 12 omega-3.ti,ab. |
|          | 13 omega3.tu,ab. |
|          | 14 exp Eicosapentaenoic Acid/ |
|          | 15 EPA.ti,ab. |
|          | 16 eicosapentaenoic acid.ti,ab. |
|          | 17 eicosapentaenoate.ti,ab. |
|          | 18 eicosapentaenoic acid.ti,ab. |
|          | 19 exp Docosahexaenoic Acids/ |
|          | 20 DHA.ti,ab. |
|          | 21 docosahexaenoic acid.ti,ab. |
|          | 22 docosahexaenoate.ti,ab. |
|          | 23 or/6-22 |
|          | 24 randomized controlled trial.pt. |
|          | 25 controlled clinical trial.pt. |
|          | 26 randomized.ab. |
|          | 27 placebo.ab. |
|          | 28 clinical trials as topic.sh. |
|          | 29 randomly.ab. |
|          | 30 trial.ti. |
|          | 31 or/24-30 |
|          | 32 exp Animals/not humans.sh. |
|          | 33 31 not 32 |
|          | 34 5 and 23 and 33 |

* MeSH: Medical Subject Headings; NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

2.2. Study Selection

We included studies that satisfied the inclusion criteria as follows: (1) RCT study design; (2) the participants were subjects with NAFLD; (3) the study intervention was marine n-3 PUFAs or fish oil supplement, while the comparator was placebo or another therapy. (4) If there were more than two groups with different therapeutic doses of n-3 PUFAs in one trial, we included the highest dose group in the analysis. Two authors (C.-H.L. and Y.F.) independently scanned the titles and abstracts and evaluated their eligibility. Discrepancies were settled by seeking the opinions of a senior author (C.-C.C.).

2.3. Data Extraction

One author (C.-H.L.) extracted the data including surname of first author, numbers of participants, publication year, country, and outcome data of the intervention group and control group from the included trials. Our outcomes of interest included: (1) improvement of steatosis assessed via ultrasonography and/or histology; (2) the severity of steatosis detected by magnetic resonance imaging-proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF); (3) biochemical markers, such as liver function test [plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)] and lipid profiles [total cholesterol (TC), TG, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), and LDL]; (4) the severity of insulin resistance measured by homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance score (HOMA-IR) and fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels; (5) anthropometric parameters
such as obesity estimated by body mass index (BMI); and (6) adverse events (AEs). If the articles did not provide adequate data for analysis, we contacted the trialists to ask for detailed information. In studies that did not provide a standard deviation for change from baseline in continuous variables, a correlation coefficient of 0.5 was applied for imputation [36,37]. Another author (C.-C.C.) checked these data.

2.4. Risk of Bias Assessment

The Cochrane’s tool [38] was employed to evaluate the risk of bias of the included trials by two authors (C.-H.L. and Y.F.), while a third author (C.-C.C.) was responsible for confirming the judgement. Seven domains were judged as high, unclear, or low risk of bias in the RCTs: allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, random sequence generation, selective reporting, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, and others biases. If a study did not offer the data on AEs, it would have been rated with unclear risk of selective reporting bias.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All analyses were executed by utilizing the Review Manager software, version 5.4 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020). We anticipated clinical heterogeneity and thus chose the random-effects model. Dichotomous variables were presented as risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Continuous variables were presented as mean difference [39] or standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI when different scales were used to measure the same outcome. The statistical heterogeneity among different studies was measured by calculating the $I^2$ index. An $I^2$ of greater than 50% was regarded as moderate heterogeneity [34]. When the $p$ was < 0.05, it was defined as statistical significance. We also conducted a subgroup analysis on numbers of participants with improvement of steatosis measured by different methods including ultrasonography and histology.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Included Studies

Our search identified 721 publications after eliminating duplicates that the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flowchart is presented in Figure 1. After our initial screening by the titles and abstracts, 699 records were excluded. In the wake of inspecting the full text, 22 RCTs with 1366 participants were included. The characteristics of the included RCTs studies [3,40–60] are listed in Table 2.

| First Author, Year, Country | Participants | Intervention/Control | Outcome of Interest |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Argo, 2015, USA            | Steatohepatitis within 6 months. | A: (n = 17) n-3 fish oil (1050 mg EPA, 750 mg DHA, 300 mg other omega-3 s each day) for 12 months  
 B: (n = 17) placebo (predominantly soybean oil) | Anthropometric assessment  
 Histologic assessment  
 LFT  
 Insulin resistance and lipid profile  
 Markers of hepatocyte injury |
| Boyraz, 2015, Turkey       | Obese patients with NAFLD, persistently elevated serum aminotransferase levels | A: (n = 56) Diet; 1000 mg of PUFA per day and lifestyle intervention for 12 months  
 B: (n = 52) Diet, placebo, and lifestyle intervention | Anthropometric assessment  
 LFT  
 Insulin resistance and lipid profile  
 Liver ultrasonography |
| Chen, 2008, China          | NAFLD patients with abnormal lipid test | A: (n = 15) n-3PUFA, low dose (5 g/day) for 6 months  
 B: (n = 16) placebo | LFT  
 Lipid profile tests  
 Liver ultrasonography |
| Dasarathy, 2015, USA       | Well-controlled diabetes and NASH patients with NAS ≥ 4 within 6 months | A: (n = 18) 2160 mg EPA and 1440 mg DHA daily for 12 months  
 B: (n = 19) Placebo (corn oil) | Anthropometric assessment  
 LFT  
 Insulin resistance and lipid profile  
 Histologic assessment |
| First Author, Year, Country | Participants | Intervention/Control | Outcome of Interest |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Eriksson, 2018, Sweden     | NAFLD patients | A: (n = 14) PUFA of seal oil for (4 g) fat for each day; B: (n = 20) matching placebos | Lipid profile; Sugar and insulin resistance; Plasma levels of DHA, EPA and Oxidative stress biomarkers; MRI |
| Hu, 2015, China            | Patients who are pathologically diagnosed NAFLD | A: (n = 26) PUFA of seal oil for (4 g) fat for each day; B: (n = 17) placebo | LFT; Lipid profile and insulin resistance; Abdominal ultrasound; Histologic assessment |
| Janczyk, 2015, Poland      | Overweight or obese patients aged 50-60 years old | A: (n = 30) omega-3 PUFA (DHA and EPA in a 3:2 proportion [450–1300 mg/day]) for 6 months; B: (n = 34) placebo (sunflower oil) | Anthropometric measurements; LFT; Lipid profile and insulin resistance; Abdominal ultrasound |
| Kong, 2011, China          | NAFLD patients | A: (n = 20) PUFA of seal oil for (4 g), composed of EPA, DPA, DHA, each over 25% for 6 months; B: (n = 20) placebo (olive oil) | LFT; Lipid profile; CT of abdomen |
| Li, 2015, China            | Patients pathologically diagnosed with NASH | A: (n = 39) PUFA therapy group (daily 50 mL PUFA with 1.1 L of EPA and DHA) for 6 months; B: (n = 39) Normal saline | Anthropometric measurements; LFT; Lipid profile; Histological evaluation |
| Moulder, 2013 (Abstract), USA | NASH, proven by histology | A: (n = 6) a daily omega-3 for 12 months; B: (n = 7) placebo | NASH biomarkers; Insulin and lipid profile tests; Erythrocyte fatty acid levels; MRI of abdomen; Liver biopsy and histological evaluation |
| Nogueira, 2016, Brazil     | People with a proven histological diagnosis of NASH | A: (n = 27) Omega 3 fatty acids (0.315 g per capsule, 3 capsules daily); B: (n = 23) Mineral oil (2 ml per capsule) | Liver histopathology, biochemical tests, and anthropometric data |
| Oscarsson 2018, Sweden     | 40-75 years old, BMI of 25-40, TG ≥ 150 mg/dL, PDIFF-MRI ≥ 5.5% | A: (n = 20) 4 g Epanova capsule (no less than 3400 mg PUFA, contains DPA, DHA, EPA) for 3 months; B: (n = 21) Placebo | Anthropometric measurements; Glucose and insulin resistance; LFT; MRI of liver |
| Pacifico, 2015, Italy      | Age < 18 years, BMI > 85th percentile elevated ALT level; MRI-diagnosed NAFLD; liver biopsy consistent with NAFLD | A: (n = 25) DHA supplementation [250 mg/day] for 6 months; B: (n = 26) Placebo | Anthropometric measurements; Lipid profile and insulin resistance; LFT; Abdominal MRI; Echocardiographic parameters; Liver biopsy |
| Parker, 2019, Australia    | Men aged from 18 to 60 years, BMI ≥ 25.0-29.9 and WC > 94 cm | A: (n = 25) Fish oil group consume 4 × 500 mg capsules (588 mg EPA and 412 mg DHA), for 3 months; B: (n = 25) placebo group use 4 × 500 mg capsules containing olive oil | Anthropometric assessment; Lipid profile; LFT; Omega-3 Index; MRI and proton MRS |
| Qin, 2015, China           | NAFLD patients with hyperlipidemia | A: (n = 36) Fish oil group, 728 mg of EPA and 536 mg of DHA for 3 months; B: (n = 34) corn oil, each contained no EPA or DHA | Anthropometric parameters; Lipid profile and sugar; Insulin resistance; LFT; kidney parameters; Serum cytokines |
| Sanyal, 2014, North America| Patients with biopsy-confirmed NASH | A: (n = 64) EPA-E 2700 mg/day for 12 months; B: (n = 55) EPA-E 1800 mg/day for 12 months; C: (n = 55) Placebo | LFT; Serum lipids and insulin resistance; Liver biopsy and histological evaluation |
| Scorletti, 2014, North America | NAFLD patients confirmed by image or histology | A: (n = 51) Omacor (4 g/day) contains 1840 mg of EPA and 1520 mg of DHA for 15-18 months; B: (n = 52) Placebo group uses 4 g olive oil/day | Anthropometric parameters; LFT; Serum lipid tests and glucose level; Fibrosis markers; Quantification of erythrocyte enrichment with DHA + EPA; MRI of abdomen |
Table 2. Cont.

| First Author, Year, Country | Participants                                                                 | Intervention/Control                                                                 | Outcome of Interest                                      |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Sofi, 2010, Italy                                       | Patients with NAFLD characterized by ultrasonography                         | • A: \( n = 6 \) olive oil enriched with n-3 PUFA (830 mg 470 mg EPA and 140 mg DHA) for 12 months  
  • B: \( n = 5 \) a similar package of olive oil                              | Anthropic parameters  
  Physical activity  
  Serum lipids and insulin resistance  
  Oxidative stress markers  
  Fatty liver evaluation                                                     |
| Song, 2020, China                                      | Adult participants diagnosed fatty liver by ultrasonand and were dyslipidemic status | • A: \( n = 21 \) 450 mg EPA + 1500 mg DHA/day for 3 months                         | Anthropic measurements  
  Liver enzymes  
  Serum lipids and glucose level  
  CT examination  
  Cytokine determination  
  Serum DHA and EPA concentration analysis                                     |
| Spadaro, 2008, Italy                                   | NAFLD patients diagnosed by ultrasonography with increased in ALT levels for ≥6 months | • A: \( n = 18 \) 2 g PUFAs/day plus dietary treatment for 6 months                | Anthropic measurements  
  Liver enzymes  
  Serum lipids and insulin resistance  
  Ultrasound                                                                      |
| Tobin, 2018, USA                                       | Adults with NAFLD and BMI between 18–39.9 kg/m²                                | • A: \( n = 81 \) 1380 mg EPA and 1140 mg DHA, respectively, for 6 months         | Anthropic data  
  Lipid profile  
  RBC fatty acid content  
  RBC EPA + DHA, EPA, and DHA values  
  MRI-PDFF liver fat percentage                                                  |
| Zhu, 2008, China                                       | Patients (age 18–65) with NAFLD with dyslipidemia, elevated liver enzymes      | • A: \( n = 66 \) 2 g n-3 PUFA from seal oils, 3 times a day for 6 months           | Anthropic data  
  Lipid profile  
  Liver ultrasound                                                                    |

ALT: alanine aminotransferase; BMI: body mass index; CT: computed tomography; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid; DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; LFT: liver function test; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MRS: magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NAS: NAFLD activity score; NASH: nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; OM-3CA: omega-3 carboxylic acids; PDFF: proton density fat fraction; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid; RBC: red blood cell; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; ULN: upper limit of normal; WC: waist circumference.
| Trial          | Random sequence generation (selection bias) | Allocation concealment (selection bias) | Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) | Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) | Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) | Selective reporting (reporting bias) | Other bias |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Argo 2015     | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Boyraz 2015   | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Chen 2008     | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Dasarathy 2015| ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Eriksson 2018 | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Hu 2015       | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Janczyk 2016  | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Kong 2011     | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Li 2016       | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Moulder 2013  | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Nogueira 2016 | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Oscarsson 2018 | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Pacifico 2015 | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Parker 2019   | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Qin 2015      | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Sanyal 2014   | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Scarioti 2014 | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Soj 2010      | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Song 2020     | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Scadeno 2008  | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Tobin 2019    | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |
| Zhu 2008      | ?                                         | ?                                      | ?                                                        | ?                                             | ?                                       | ?                                   | ?         |

**Figure 2.** Risk of bias assessment of included trials. Green dots represent low risk of bias, with yellow and red for unclear and high risk of bias, respectively.
3.2. Effect of Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (n-3 PUFAs) on Liver Fat and Histology

There were five RCTs illustrating the number of participants in the liver steatosis improvement among the n-3 PUFAs and control groups. NAFLD patients receiving n-3 PUFAs supplementation more frequently achieved improvement in liver fat compared with the placebo-treated patients (pooled RR 1.52; 95% CI 1.09 to 2.13). Of these five trials, steatosis was measured by ultrasonography in four RCTs, while only one RCT evaluated steatosis by histology (Figure 3A). A subgroup analysis showed greater improvement in liver steatosis by ultrasonography in the n-3 PUFAs group than controls (pooled RR, 1.67; 95% CI: 1.21 to 2.29); while on histology, no difference in liver fat improvement was noted between the n-3 PUFAs and placebo groups (RR 0.84; 95% CI 0.43 to 1.65).

There were five RCTs investigating the severity of steatosis via MRI-PDFF, with considerable heterogeneity among them ($I^2 = 84\%$). The meta-analysis revealed that the n-3 PUFAs group was more likely to reach amelioration in PDFF compared with the placebo group; however, it did not reach significant difference (Figure 3B). The pooled mean difference (MD) was $-2.57$ (95% CI $-5.64$ to $0.50$).

Four studies reported measurements of NAFLD activity score (NAS) in the n-3 PUFAs group and controls. There was moderate heterogeneity across these trials ($I^2 = 69\%$). The meta-analysis showed no difference in NAS between the n-3 PUFAs and control groups (Figure 3C). The pooled MD was $0.06$ (95% CI $-0.67$ to $0.79$).

We also analyzed the change in steatosis and fibrosis separately under histology. There were five RCTs reporting the steatosis and fibrosis alteration between the n-3 supplement and control groups. There was remarkable heterogeneity across these trials (steatosis: $I^2 = 83\%$; fibrosis: $I^2 = 70\%$). Although, the meta-analysis revealed improvement of steatosis and fibrosis in the n-3 PUFAs supplement group, however without significant difference (Figures 3D, E). The pooled MD was $-0.16$ (95% CI $-0.47$ to $0.15$) in steatosis and $-0.23$ (95% CI $-0.52$ to $0.06$) in fibrosis.

(A) Effect on liver fat by subgroups of ultrasonography and histology.

(B) Effect on liver fat by proton-density fat fraction.
(C) Effect on NAFLD activity score (NAS).

| Study or Subgroup | Omega 3 | Control | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|------------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
|                  | Mean    | SD      | Total Weight   | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Dasarathy 2015   | -0.7    | 1.1     | 18 1.41 19 25.6% | 1.00 [0.18, 1.81] |
| Li 2015          | -0.62   | 1.6     | 26 0.58 17 19.1% | -0.20 [-0.57, 0.17] |
| Nogueira 2015    | -0.04   | 1.7     | 27 0.2 12 23 25.7% | 0.16 [0.65, 0.97] |
| Sanfilipo 2014   | -1.52   | 6.4     | 64 -1.52 45 55.5% | 0.00 [-0.55, 0.55] |
| Total (95% CI)   | 115     | 104     | 100 0.06 [0.06, 0.06] |
| Heterogeneity    | Tau^2 = 0.37, CHI^2 = 9.70, df = 3 (P = 0.02); I^2 = 59% |

Test for overall effect: Z = 3.19 (P = 0.07)

(D) Effect on steatosis.

| Study or Subgroup | Omega-3 | Control | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|------------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
|                  | Mean    | SD      | Total Weight   | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Dasarathy 2015   | -0.23   | 0.76    | 18 -0.59 18 10.0% | 0.36 [0.12, 0.60] |
| Li 2015          | -0.5    | 0.2     | 38 0.2 17 19.1% | -0.50 [-0.59, -0.41] |
| Nogueira 2016    | -0.1    | 0.8     | 27 0.2 12 23 17.6% | -0.30 [-0.72, 0.12] |
| Sanfilipo 2014   | -0.35   | 0.76    | 64 -0.35 55 21.7% | 0.30 [0.27, 0.33] |
| Total (95% CI)   | 174     | 153     | 100 -0.16 [-0.47, 0.15] |
| Heterogeneity    | Tau^2 = 0.10, CHI^2 = 23.61, df = 4 (P < 0.0001); I^2 = 83% |

Test for overall effect: Z = 4.22 (P < 0.01)

(E) Effect on fibrosis.

| Study or Subgroup | Omega-3 | Control | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|------------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
|                  | Mean    | SD      | Total Weight   | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Dasarathy 2015   | -0.07   | 0.95    | 18 0.06 19 15.3% | -0.13 [-0.79, 0.54] |
| Li 2015          | -0.1    | 0.4     | 26 0.4 17 20.4% | -0.10 [-0.34, 0.14] |
| Nogueira 2016    | 0.1     | 0.7     | 27 0.1 9 23 19.5% | 0.00 [-0.45, 0.45] |
| Sanfilipo 2014   | 0.35    | 0.76    | 64 0.01 55 0.6% | 0.34 [0.18, 0.50] |
| Total (95% CI)   | 174     | 153     | 100 -0.23 [-0.52, 0.06] |
| Heterogeneity    | Tau^2 = 0.08, CHI^2 = 13.48, df = 4 (P = 0.0001); I^2 = 78% |

Test for overall effect: Z = 1.55 (P = 0.12)

Figure 3. Effects of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on liver fat and histology. (A) Effect on liver fat by sonography and histology. (B) Effect by proton-density fat fraction. (C) Effect on NAFLD score (NAS). (D) Effect on steatosis. (E) Effect on fibrosis.

We also analyzed the change in steatosis and fibrosis separately under histology. There were five RCTs reporting the steatosis and fibrosis alteration between the n-3 supplement and control groups. There was remarkable heterogeneity across these trials (steatosis: I^2 = 83%; fibrosis: I^2 = 70%). Although, the meta-analysis revealed improvement of steatosis and fibrosis in the n-3 PUFAs supplement group, however without significant difference (Figure 3D,E). The pooled MD was −0.16 (95% CI −0.47 to 0.15) in steatosis and −0.23 (95% CI −0.52 to 0.06) in fibrosis.

3.3. Effect of n-3 PUFAs on Hepatic Enzyme Parameters

In the analysis on hepatic enzymes, 16, 18, and 10 RCTs reported data on AST, ALT, and GGT, respectively. Statistical heterogeneity was shown among these studies (AST: I^2 = 85%; ALT: I^2 = 77%; GGT: I^2 = 76%). The meta-analysis demonstrated a non-significant trend for improvement in hepatic enzymes in the n-3 PUFAs group when compared with controls. The pooled MD was −2.03 (95% CI: −5.78 to 1.72) for AST, −2.39 (95% CI: −7.54 to 2.76) for ALT, and −2.26 (95% CI: −6.08 to 1.55) for GGT, respectively (Figure 4A–C).
(A) Effect on aspartate aminotransferase.

(B) Effect on alanine transaminase.

(C) Effect on gamma glutamyl transpeptidase.

Figure 4. Effect of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on hepatic enzyme parameters. (A) Effect on aspartate aminotransferase. (B) Effect on alanine transaminase. (C) Effect on gamma glutamyl transpeptidase.
3.4. Effect of n-3 PUFAs on Serum Lipid Profiles

There were 18, 15, 13, and 12 RCTs providing data for TG, TC, HDL, and LDL, respectively. As for TG and TC domains, significant heterogeneity existed among these studies (TG: $I^2 = 64$% TC: $I^2 = 66$%). The meta-analysis demonstrated a remarkable decrease of TG and TC in the n-3 PUFAs group, with the pooled MDs being $-28.57$ (95% CI: $-40.81$ to $-16.33$) and $-7.82$ (95% CI: $-14.86$ to $-0.79$), respectively (Figure 5A,B). As for HDL and LDL domains, there was significant heterogeneity across the trials (HDL: $I^2 = 70$%; LDL: $I^2 = 53$%). The meta-analysis demonstrated remarkable elevation of HDL (MD $3.55$, 95% CI $1.38$ to $5.73$), but no significant change in LDL (MD $=1.07$, 95% CI $-5.52$ to $3.38$) associated with n-3 PUFAs supplement when compared to placebo (Figure 5C,D).

(A) Effect on total cholesterol.

(B) Effect on triglyceride.

(C) Effect on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
3.5. Effect of n-3 PUFAs on Fasting Blood Sugar and Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance

There were 13 and 12 RCTs reporting measurement of FBS and HOMA-IR values, respectively. Moderate heterogeneity was detected across studies on HOMA-IR (I^2 = 52%), but not on FBS (I^2 = 22%). The meta-analysis demonstrated that n-3 PUFAs supplement did not significantly improve FBS and HOMA-IR. The pooled MD was −0.34 (95% CI: −0.78 to 0.09) in HOMA-IR (Figure 6A) and 0.1 (95% CI: −1.42 to 1.62) in FBS (Figure 6B).

(A) Effect on homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance.

| Study or Subgroup | Omega-3 Mean | SD | Omega-3 Total | Mean | SD | Control Mean | SD | Control Total | Mean Difference | IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------|----|--------------|------|----|--------------|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Argi 2016         | 2.6 ± 2.57   | 17 | 2.6 ± 2.57   | 2.6 ± 2.57 | 17 | 2.6 ± 2.57   | 2.6 ± 2.57 | 2.6 ± 2.57 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Boyaz 2015        | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 17 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 17 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Dassadny 2015     | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 20 | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 20 | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Eriksson 2018     | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 14 | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 14 | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Hu 2015           | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Jakobczyk 2015    | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Ostergren 2016    | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Paczkowski 2016   | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Qian 2015         | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Root 2014         | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Skaife 2019       | −0.7 ± 0.7   | 10 | −0.7 ± 0.7   | −0.7 ± 0.7 | 10 | −0.7 ± 0.7   | −0.7 ± 0.7 | −0.7 ± 0.7 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |

Total (95% CI) 335

Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 23.33; Chi^2 = 23.24, df = 11 (P = 0.02); I^2 = 53%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.55 (P = 0.12)

(B) Effect on fasting blood sugar.

| Study or Subgroup | Omega-3 Mean | SD | Omega-3 Total | Mean | SD | Control Mean | SD | Control Total | Mean Difference | IV, Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------|----|--------------|------|----|--------------|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Argi 2016         | 3.4 ± 0.4    | 17 | 3.4 ± 0.4    | 3.4 ± 0.4 | 17 | 3.4 ± 0.4    | 3.4 ± 0.4 | 3.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Boyaz 2015        | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 17 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 17 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Dassadny 2015     | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 20 | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 20 | 1.5 ± 1.5    | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Eriksson 2018     | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 14 | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 14 | 0.3 ± 0.3    | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Hu 2015           | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Jakobczyk 2015    | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Ostergren 2016    | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Paczkowski 2016   | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Qian 2015         | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 ± 0.1    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Root 2014         | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 ± 0.4    | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |
| Skaife 2019       | −0.7 ± 0.7   | 10 | −0.7 ± 0.7   | −0.7 ± 0.7 | 10 | −0.7 ± 0.7   | −0.7 ± 0.7 | −0.7 ± 0.7 | 0.00 (P = 0.89) |

Total (95% CI) 462

Heterogeneity: Chi^2 = 15.48; df = 12 (P = 0.22); I^2 = 22%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.13)

Figure 6. Effect of omega-3 fatty acid on fasting blood sugar and HOMA-IR. (A) Effect on homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance. (B) Effect on fasting blood sugar.
3.6. Effect of n-3 PUFAs on Body Mass Index

There were 14 RCTs providing data on BMI. There was no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 44\%$). The meta-analysis demonstrated a greater decrease of BMI in the n-3 PUFAs group than the control (MD $-0.46$, 95% CI $-0.84$ to $-0.08$) (see Figure 7).

- **Figure 7.** Effect of omega-3 fatty acid on body mass index.

### Adverse Events

There were 15 RCTs reporting AEs following n-3 PUFAs supplementation. The reported AEs were mild or moderate and generally well tolerated by patients, with nausea, mild abdominal discomfort, increased fecal frequency, epigastria, and defecation being reported. No serious adverse events occurred among the included RCTs. However, the treatment periods in the included studies were all shorter than two years.

### Discussion

Our study is an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs on the effects of n-3 PUFAs supplement in treating NAFLD. In the present study, the liver fat in the n-3 PUFAs group was markedly improved compared to the control group, especially when detected by abdominal ultrasonography. PDFF, which was measured by MRI in five RCTs, was also more likely to decrease in the n-3 PUFAs group than the placebo group; however, no significant difference was detected between the two groups (MD $-2.57$, 95% CI $-5.64$ to $0.50$).

Clinically, evidence of imaging or histology is needed for diagnosis of NAFLD. Ultrasonography is the most popular and is available globally with good sensitivity (84.8%) and specificity (93.6%) [61]. Positive findings include hyperchogenicity of the liver parenchymal tissue, brighter liver in contrast to the spleen and kidney, and blurring of vascular margins. Abdominal ultrasonography cannot detect trivial hepatic steatosis and cannot easily distinguish simple steatosis, NASH, or hepatic fibrosis [62]. Since histology is the golden standard of NAFLD diagnosis, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure with potential related complications. However, a liver biopsy could only obtain specimens of about 1/50,000 of the liver, of which accuracy may be reduced due to sampling error [63,64]. As for a histological perspective in our meta-analysis, there were no differences between both groups in NAS, fibrosis, and steatosis. In 15 of the 22 RCTs, the treatment period was ≤ 6 months (median: 6 months; range: 3 to 18 months). Histologically, the steatosis and fibrosis may take a longer period to achieve remarkable improvement; while in an animal study, a 3-week period of n-3 PUFAs supplement improved liver fat [65]. To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first to examine the benefit of n-3 PUFAs on liver fat by different kinds of measurements. Hence, RCTs with a longer n-3 PUFAs treatment course and follow-up period may be warranted for seeing the effect on tissue fat or fibrosis.

### Table 7

| Study/Subject | Omega 3 | Control | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
|               | Mean    | SD      | Total Weight    | IV, Random, 95% CI |
|               | Mean    | SD      | Total Weight    | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Omega 3       |         |         |                 |                 |
| Ango 2015     | -0.5    | 0.4     | 17              | -0.73           | 17              | 0.5% |
| Bonnet 2015   | -0.6    | 0.3     | 18              | -0.73           | 18              | 1.0% |
| Darayh 2015   | -0.7    | 0.4     | 18              | -0.73           | 18              | 1.0% |
| Hu 2015       | -0.2    | 0.3     | 17              | -0.73           | 17              | 0.5% |
| Li 2016       | -0.3    | 0.4     | 17              | -0.73           | 17              | 0.5% |
| Minyard 2013  | -1.5    | 0.5     | 15              | -0.73           | 15              | 0.5% |
| Painette 2015 | -1.6    | 0.2     | 16              | -0.73           | 16              | 0.5% |
| Parker 2010   | -0.1    | 0.5     | 25              | -0.73           | 25              | 0.5% |
| Qin 2015      | -0.3    | 0.7     | 23              | -0.73           | 23              | 0.5% |
| Samadi 2014   | -0.2    | 1.7     | 23              | -0.73           | 23              | 0.5% |
| Scerrrtts 2014| -0.9    | 5.4     | 50              | -0.73           | 50              | 0.5% |
| Song 2020     | -0.2    | 0.6     | 21              | -0.73           | 21              | 0.5% |
| Shadizar 2008 | -1.0    | 5.4     | 18              | -0.73           | 18              | 0.5% |
| Tabin 2016    | -0.2    | 4.9     | 21              | -0.73           | 21              | 0.5% |

Total (95% CI): 4.83

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.16; Chi² = 23.07, df = 13 (p = 0.04); I² = 44%

Test for overall effect Z = 2.40 (p = 0.02)
As shown in Figure 3, five RCTs (Boyraz, 2015; Spadaro, 2008; Argo, 2015; Eriksson, 2018; Li, 2016) showed benefit of n-3 PUFAs on hepatic steatosis either by image or tissue proof [40, 41, 43, 45, 56]. Except for the Eriksson 2018 trial, which did not provide data of liver enzymes and lipid profile, we could see that the participants in the n-3 PUFAs supplementation group among the four other RCTs have significant amelioration or a trend for improvement in liver enzymes, lipid profile, and BMI. However, there is no consistent improvement in FBS or HOMA-IR among these RCTs. According to Li et al., people receiving n-3 PUFAs supplementation for 6 months had significant improvement in histological steatosis, liver enzymes, lipid profile, and BMI compared to the control group (normal saline). In that study, both groups were instructed to perform modest physical exercise for ≥ 5 days per week, while low-fat, cholesterol, and carbohydrate diets were given. The quality and quantity of exercise and diet might have affected the result of the studies. Thus, standardized food intake and exercise protocols should be employed in future trials.

Two RCTs that showed improvement in hepatic steatosis (Boyraz, 2015, Spadaro, 2008) were rated as having a high risk of bias for multiple reasons [41, 56]. The Boyraz et al. study recruited 138 adolescents, however only 108 completed the protocol; so we rated it as high attrition risk. However, no obvious adverse effect was described with the protocol. The Spadaro 2008 trial was rated with a high risk of performance bias because the experimental group received PUFAs capsules twice a day, but the control group only received a dietary treatment. However, all the abdominal ultrasonography was performed by an operator blinded to the treatment allocation of the participants.

In the biochemical data of liver enzymes and metabolic status, we found significant improvement of TC, TG, HDL, and BMI. However, n-3 PUFAs supplementation did not show a remarkable benefit for AST, ALT, GGT, LDL, HOMA-IR, or FBS. Compared with the earliest systemic review of n-3 PUFAs supplement on NAFLD, similar results that there was a significant benefit for hepatic fat improvement and a trend of AST, ALT improvement were shown in the RCTs [66]. In respect of liver enzymes, our investigation showed a trend towards favoring n-3 PUFAs treatment on AST, ALT, and GGT. This is in accordance with the previous meta-analysis of RCT made by Yu et al., except ALT. However, the ALT effect in the previous meta-analysis revealed low heterogeneity and a fixed-effect model was applied [67]. In previous investigations, a high ratio of an omega-6/omega-3 diet in NAFLD patients may cause lipid proliferation and lipogenesis, which may trigger hepatosteatosis and further inflammation [18, 66, 68]. As for the FBS level and HOMA-IR, there was a different consequence between our study and a former review [18]. Imamura et al. reported that PUFAs could significantly ameliorate sugar level, hemoglobin A1C, and HOMA-IR compared to saturated fat. According to the study, the metabolic effect could be associated with omega-6, total PUFAs (mixed omega-3/omega-6), and not omega-3 alone. This result could explain, to some extent in our research, why the metabolic status did not show a remarkable effect because the placebo was omega-6 in some RCTs. However, the treatment dose and duration could not be described in the study. Compared to the previous research, our systematic review included seven more RCTs and provides the most updated research evidence [43, 44, 46, 48, 50, 55, 57].

n-3 PUFAs help cell membrane phospholipid fatty acid composition alteration, restriction of nuclear factor kappa B (a pro-inflammatory transcription factor), and activation of the anti-inflammatory transcription factor NR1C3 that may be achieved in the reduction of chronic diseases [69, 70]. In metabolic aspects, n-3 PUFAs help to lower the plasma levels of TG, particularly in hypertriglyceridermia by inhibiting TC, TG, and VLDL synthesis in the liver. In one previous study [71], the recommended dose of n-3 PUFAs as an effective and safe option for TG reduction is > 3 g/day. In the present meta-analysis, we found that n-3 PUFAs supplement significantly improves the plasma levels of TC, TG, and HDL as well as the BMI in patients with fatty liver. There are 15, 18, 13, and 14 included studies with sufficient data of TC, TG, HDL, and BMI, respectively. In 60% (9/15), 89% (16/18), 77% (10/13), and 71% (10/14) of the included RCTs, the n-3 PUFAs group significantly improved in TC, TG, HDL, and BMI when compared to the controls. In most of these trials (TC: 89% (8/9), TG: 88% (14/16), HDL: 90% (9/10); BMI: 80% (8/10)), the treatment course was at least 6 months.
There were some limitations in our study. First, heterogeneity was observed among the RCTs, which might have been related to differences in ethnicity, ages (only children and adolescents were included in two studies), and sex (only male patients were included in one study). Second, although 22 RCTs were included, the sample size was generally small, with less than 30 in each group in 14 of the included studies. Only 5 RCTs could offer data on post-treatment histology. Third, there were various treatment doses, durations, and even regimens of therapy. Further research on the dose-response of n-3 PUFAs for fatty liver is warranted.

5. Conclusions

The current evidence supports the benefit of n-3 PUFAs supplementation in improving liver fat, especially on ultrasonography. n-3 PUFAs supplementation may improve the plasma levels of TC, TG, and HDL as well as BMI. Future RCTs with a large population and adequate length of outcome tracing are warranted to confirm the benefit and safety of n-3 PUFAs supplementation in treating NAFLD.
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