Farmers’ exposure to dusts and gases in modern Finnish cubicle cow houses

Kyösti Louhelainen, Juhani Kangas, Marjut Reiman
Kuopio Regional Institute of Occupational Health, PO Box 93, FIN-70701 Kuopio, Finland,
e-mail: kyosti.louhelainen@occuphealth.fi
Pentti Kalliokoski
University of Kuopio, Department of Environmental Sciences, PO Box 1627, FIN-70211 Kuopio, Finland

The occurrence of airborne dust, gases, microbes, endotoxin and bovine epithelial antigens (BEA, BDA20) was studied in 26 modern, mainly cubicle, cow houses. Air samples of total dust, total spores, endotoxin and bovine epithelial allergens were collected on membrane filters with portable or piston pumps and analyzed with appropriate methods. Concentrations of gases (ammonia, carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulfide) were measured with diffusion tubes. Airborne viable spores were collected with a cascade impactor on five selective culture media for the identification of xerophilic, mesophilic and thermotolerant fungi and thermophilic actinomycetes.

The geometric mean concentrations of total dust, BEA and BDA20 were 0.2–1.9 mg/m³, 5.2–9.7 µg/m³ and 50–260 ng/m³, respectively. The mean concentrations of ammonia and carbon dioxide were between 2.8–15 ppm and 2200–3200 ppm, respectively. The geometric mean of endotoxins was 19 ng/m³ and the concentrations of fungi were at the 10³–10⁴ cfu/m³ level.

In general, the variation in concentrations of total dust, viable fungi and endotoxin was large. The concentrations of total dust and fungi were lower than in earlier studies. Thus new cubicle houses provide a better working environment with regard to airborne hazards than the traditional cow houses.

Key words: agriculture, airborne health hazards, allergens, micro-organisms

Introduction

Farm workers are exposed to a variety of agents that can cause injury by inhalation. Epidemiological studies indicate a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms or diseases among farmers (Malmberg 1990, Terho et al. 1987, Tammilehto et al. 1994, Donham 1993). Symptoms of chronic bronchitis and farmer’s lung are still the most common respiratory complaints among dairy farmers (Tammilehto et al. 1994). In Finland, during recent years the dominant etiologic factor for occupational respiratory diseases among
farms has been cow dander, but microbial exposure also has caused hundreds of cases of farmer's lung (Karjalainen et al. 1996, 1997, Kauppinen et al. 1994, 1995).

The mean levels of total dust in cow houses have been low (range from 0.7 to 1.5 mg/m$^3$) (Louhelainen et al. 1987a, Virtanen et al. 1986, Virtanen et al. 1988) related to swineries (Louhelainen et al. 1987b, Gustafsson 1988, Donham et al. 1995). Thus other factors which are constituents of dust explain the etiology of respiratory diseases in the farming population. The airborne concentrations and serological studies of bovine epithelial antigen (BEA) and 20 kD bovine dander antigen (BDA20) have been reported mainly by Finnish researchers (Virtanen et al. 1986, Virtanen et al. 1988, Virtanen et al. 1992, Ylönen et al. 1990, Ylönen et al. 1994).

Several studies have concluded that the main sources of microbial dust in agriculture are hay, straw and grain (Kotimaa et al. 1987, Kotimaa 1990, Kotimaa et al. 1991). Straw samples liberate significantly larger numbers of spores than hay and grain do (Kotimaa 1990). *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris* has been the predominant microbe from straw and *Aspergillus umbrosus* from hay and grain. On farms where fodder was dried artificially the concentration of thermophilic actinomycetes was lower than on farms with a traditional storage system (Dalphin et al. 1991). Malmberg and coworkers concluded that allergic alveolitis was associated with high levels of exposure to mold spores on most weekdays for weeks at a time and that ODTS (organic dust toxic syndrome) was associated with extreme exposure on a single day (Malmberg et al. 1993).

In agriculture, endotoxins can be found in various materials and environments (Olenchock et al. 1990, Liesivuori et al. 1994).

Ammonia is the most harmful of the gases causing respiratory symptoms normally found in animal houses (Kangas et al. 1987, Donham 1991, Donham et al. 1995). In cow houses, the lowest concentrations of airborne ammonia have been found in facilities equipped with a low exhaust system of ventilation through the manure channels (Gustafsson 1988, Linnainmaa et al. 1993).

The objective of this study was to investigate airborne health hazards during the working period in 26 modern, mainly cubicle, cow houses. The factors measured were total dust, bovine epithelial and dander allergens, microbial dust, endotoxins, ammonia, carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide.

**Material and methods**

A total of 26 farms were included in this study. They were chosen among about 80 farms provided by agricultural extension services. The main criteria for including a farm in the study were that the cow houses had to be new, the number of milking cows high (20–30) and it had to be a family farm. The cow houses were new, less than five years old; the mean year of construction or complete renovation was 1991, range 1989–93. Each farm was run by two persons and the mean number of milking cows was 22 (range 17–40). Twenty-three farms had cubicle houses (loose-housing barns), two had traditional tie stall barns and one had a cold cubicle barn. The cold cubicle (cold loose-housing barn) is the latest method of barn construction, but not yet common in Finland. The cow department is uninsulated and equipped with wooden walls and roof. The ventilation is natural with openings in the roof. The milking parlor is a separate warm and insulated room beside the cold barn. All warm barns had a mechanical system of ventilation, but only one farm had a detailed design for ventilation. The farms were situated in middle and southern Finland.

All except two of the barns had an automatic feeding system for milking cows, but the heifers had to be fed manually. The normal working tasks included cleaning the feeding table and feeding the cows with forage, fodder grain and dry hay. Hay for fodder was used on 23 of the
26 farms; straw was used for bedding on 6 farms, wood shavings on 13 farms; and seven of the farms did not use bedding at all.

Measurements were made during winter and early autumn between 1994–1995 in each cow house. The indoor temperatures and relative humidity measured in the barn varied from 7 to 17°C (mean 12.7°C) and 55 to 95% (mean 87%), respectively. At the time of measurement the temperature in the cold loose barn was –6°C. The outdoor temperature was from +2 to –20°C during farm visits.

The total dust was sampled gravimetrically according to the Finnish standard method (SFS 3860). For results below the limit of detection (0.1 mg/m² for area sampling and 0.5 mg/m² for breathing zone sampling according to the standard) half of the limit of detection has been used for interpreting the results (CEN 1995). Dust samples were collected on membrane filters (Millipore, USA) mounted in open-faced three-piece cassettes (Millipore, USA). Personal sampling was done at air flow rates of 2 ± 2.5 L/min (SKC, USA) and stationary sampling at flow rates of 20–25 L/min (Piston pump, Finland). Samples were taken during the whole working period, and therefore the sampling time varied from 1.5 to 3.5 hours. The sampling sites for stationary sampling in cubicle houses were located in the milking parlor and in the feeding aisle, and in tied barns they were located in the feeding and manure aisles. The purpose of the stationary samples was to evaluate spreading of the dust. For personal sampling 1–2 samples were taken. The pumps were weekly calibrated with a calibrator (Gilian, USA) and the pumps were checked visually during the measurements.

Samples for bovine epithelial antigen and specific bovine dander antigen were collected in the same way as samples for total dust. After sampling the filters were frozen at –20°C until analyzed. The samples were analyzed for BEA by a immunochemical method described by Virtanen et al. (1992) and for BDA20 with two-site immunometric assay described in detail by Ylönen et al. (1994).

Viable fungal spores were sampled with a six-stage Andersen impactor (Model 10–800, Andersen Inc., USA). Sampling volume was 50 to 100 liters. The culture media and incubation temperatures and times for analysis of viable spores were: xerophilic fungi on NaCl malt extract agar or on Dichloran Glycerol 18 (DG18) agar at +20°C for 7 days, mesophilic fungi on Hagem agar at +20°C for 7 days, thermotolerant fungi on Hagem agar at +40°C for 3–5 days, thermophilic actinomycetes on half-strength nutrient agar at +55°C for 3 days. Xerophilic fungi are low-moisture fungi found for example in hay. The optimum temperature range for mesophilic fungi is 20–25°C, whereas thermotolerant fungi are heat-tolerant up to 45°C and thermophilic fungi can grow at temperatures up to 60°C (Dix & Webster 1995). Viable spore counts were calculated as colony forming units per cubic meter of air (cfu/m³), and the fungal or bacterial group was identified with a light microscope. Three types of samples were taken, one background sample one hour before work, one during milking when hay, forage and fodder grain were also delivered to cows and heifers, and the third one two hours after the work was finished. The concentrations of total spores were determined by the CAM-NEA method described by Palmgren et al. (1986).

Endotoxin sampling and analysis is described in detail by Laitinen et al. (1994). One nanogram of endotoxin standard from Escherichia coli 0111:B4 corresponds to 12 endotoxin units.

Concentrations of airborne ammonia, carbon dioxide, and occasionally also hydrogen sulfide were measured with passive diffusion tubes (ammonia 20/a–D, carbon dioxide 500/a–D, hydrogen sulfide 10/a–D, Draeger, Germany) from feeding aisles or in the case in tied barns in manure aisle and in milking parlor. Sampling time for gases was the whole working period of the farmers, 1.5 to 3.5 h.

When the results were interpreted, the milking parlors were divided into 1) open-parlors open to the barn, separated only by a fence about 2 m high and 4–5 m long and 2) closed parlors totally separated from the rest of the barn.
Data analysis

Most of the statistical analyses were performed with log-transformed data, because the data was not normally distributed. When the GM (geometric mean) values were calculated for fungi and actinomycetes, 1 was added to each result because the results included zero values. The statistical significance of differences between microbial concentrations measured in three consecutive periods (before work, during milking and 2 h after milking) were tested by repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) for normal distribution and Friedman rank ANOVA for non-normal distribution. The differences in the concentrations of total dust, endotoxin, bovine epithelial antigens, and gases between the milking parlors and stationary and personal sampling sites were examined with the t-test for normal distribution or Mann-Whitney U-test for non-normal distribution. The correlations between the variables were tested with Pearson product moment correlation coefficient or with Spearman production moment regression.

Results

The geometric mean concentrations of total dust did not exceed the OEL (Occupational Exposure Level) of 5 mg/m³ for organic dust (Työministeriö 1996). Seven samples from a total 52 samples in area measurements and 15 samples from a total 45 personal samples were under the respective detection limits. There were no statistical differences in the total dust concentrations between sampling sites or between persons doing feeding and milking work (Table 1). The personal concentrations of total dust were 2 to 3 times higher than the area concentrations (t-test, p<0.001). The highest personal total dust concentration (14.5 mg/m³) was measured during feed delivery in a barn where the farmer had to take feed to the calves from a silo with a shovel.

The GM concentrations of BEA were at the same level in area sites and breathing zones in both tied houses and cubicle houses (Table 2). Concentrations of BDA20 antigen were 2 to 3 times higher in the breathing zone samples than in the area site samples in cubicles (t-test, p<0.001) (Table 2). However, no correlations were found between concentrations of total dust and BEA or BDA20 in open- or closed-type parlors either in the breathing zone samples or at stationary site samples.

In all 26 barns the GM of endotoxin concentration was 19 ng/m³. The endotoxin concentration was 5.5 ng/m³ in the cold cow barn, and in other barns the GMs varied from 16 to 27 ng/m³.

The concentrations of viable fungi varied strongly during different sampling periods (Table 3). For every group of microorganisms, in the closed parlors, the concentrations were 2–3 times higher during milking than during other measurement periods, but in the open parlors, in only with thermophilic actinomycetes. In addition, the concentrations of microorganisms in the cold barn parlor were at about the same level as in other parlors. Statistical differences (ANOVA or Friedman rank ANOVA, p<0.05) between measuring periods were found with both xerophilic (NaCl–Malt and DG18), mesophilic fungi and thermophilic actinomycetes in closed-type parlors, but not with any group of fungi or actinomycetes in open-type parlors. In the tied barns during milking, the geometric means of all microorganisms except thermophilic actinomycetes were about ten times higher than concentrations measured from all other cow houses. This difference was not noticed for before work or after work measurements.

The mean total spore count during milking was 1.2 x 10⁴ spores/m³ (Table 3). The viable fungal spores of xerophilic, mesophilic, thermotolerant fungi and thermophilic actinomycetes consisted of about 1–77% of the total spores measured during milking. There was no correlation between the concentration of viable spores from any group of fungi and the total spore count. The prevalence of viable fungal groups or gen-
### Table 1. Concentrations of total dust during indoor farm work, mg/m$^3$. ($n =$ number of samples, AM = arithmetic mean, GM = geometric mean, s = stationary sampling site, bz = breathing zone sampling)

|                          | Feeding aisle, s | Milking parlor, s | Milking, bz | Feeding, bz |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          | n AM GM range    | n AM GM range     | n AM GM range | n AM GM range |
| cold barn                | 1 0.7            | 1 0.1             | 1 0.3       | 1 1.8       |
| tied barn                | 2 0.2 0.2 0.2 -0.3 | 2 0.2 0.2 0.2 -0.3 | 2 1.0 0.8 0.25-1.8 | 2 0.3 0.3 0.25-0.3 |
| cubicle                  | 23 0.8 0.3 0.05-11.7 | 23 0.3 0.2 0.05-1.2 | 17 1.1 0.6 0.25-4.5 | 23 1.9 0.8 0.25-4.5 |
| closed                   | 12 1.2 0.3 0.05-11.7 | 12 0.4 0.2 0.05-1.2 | 9 1.3 0.7 0.25-1.5 | 12 2.5 0.9 0.25-4.5 |
| open                     | 11 0.4 0.2 0.05-1.3 | 11 0.2 0.1 0.05-0.4 | 8 0.8 0.5 0.25-4.5 | 11 0.8 0.7 0.25-2.2 |

### Table 2. Concentrations of BEA (bovine epithelial antigen) and BDA2O (bovine dander antigen) in cow houses. (n = number of samples, AM = arithmetic mean, GM = geometric mean, s = stationary sampling site, bz = breathing zone sampling)

|                          | Feeding aisle, s | Milking parlor, s | Milking, bz | Feeding, bz |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          | n AM GM range    | n AM GM range     | n AM GM range | n AM GM range |
| **BEA, µg/m$^3$**        |                  |                   |             |             |
| cold barn                | 1 2.8            | 1 1.3             | 1 0.9       | 1 10.0      |
| tied barn                | 10.6 7.3 2.9-18.3 | 2 5.4 5.2 3.8- 7.0 | 2 6.5 4.6 1.1-18.4 | 2 23.4 9.7 2.1-44.8 |
| cubicle                  | 23 9.2 6.1 1.3-35.5 | 22 8.0 5.3 1.4-25.8 | 18 8.6 5.6 1.4-28.4 | 21 12.9 8.6 1.7-43.2 |
| **BDA2O, ng/m$^3$**      |                  |                   |             |             |
| cold barn                | 1 40             | 1 44              | 1 90        | 1 310       |
| tied barn                | 2 50 50 43-62    | 2 25 25 24-26     | 2 210 200 140-270 | 2 210 200 150-270 |
| cubicle                  | 23 124 85 12-320 | 22 99 76 21-450   | 18 240 200 63-550 | 21 320 260 60-700 |

The most prevalent fungus was *Aspergillus*, followed by *Cladosporium, Paecilomyces, Penicillium,* and *Wallemia sebi*. The most abundant species of thermoactinomycetes were *Thermoactinomyces candidus* and *T. vulgaris*. In addition, many yeasts were found on mesophilic media and on both types of xerophilic culture media.

Hydrogen sulfide was not detected in any of the cow houses. In two tied barns, the mean concentration of ammonia was 7 ppm in the feeding aisle and 11 ppm in the manure aisle. In the cold loose barn, the concentration of ammonia was under the limit of detection at both sampling sites. The concentrations of ammonia measured in all 26 cow houses were significantly lower in milking parlors or aisles than in feeding aisles (Mann-Whitney U-test, p<0.05). The mean concentrations of ammonia also differed between open and closed parlors in cubicle houses (Mann-Whitney U-test, p<0.05) (Table 5). The ammonia concentration was significantly lower (t-test, p<0.001) in closed parlors than in open parlors. The present OEL of 25 ppm was exceeded in only one cow house.

In all 26 cow houses the mean concentrations of carbon dioxide in feeding aisles and milking parlors or aisles were 2970 ppm and 2630 ppm,
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Table 3. Concentrations of viable and total fungi in cow houses.

| Sampling phase/ fungal group | Spore concentration (cfu/m³, spores/m³) | Number of samples | GM | Range |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------|
| **Before work**              |                                          |                   |     |       |
| Xerophilic, NaCl–Malt        | 1.5 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 110–7.7 \times 10^4 |
| Xerophilic, DG18             | 2.5 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 220–1.1 \times 10^4 |
| Mesophilic                   | 1.4 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 80–1.2 \times 10^3  |
| Thermotolerant              | 1.2 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 1–1.1 \times 10^3   |
| Thermophilic                 | 9.3 \times 10^0                          | 26                |     | 1–4.0 \times 10^3   |
| **During milking**           |                                          |                   |     |       |
| Xerophilic, NaCl–Malt        | 4.1 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 310–9.5 \times 10^4 |
| Xerophilic, DG18             | 6.0 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 160–9.9 \times 10^4 |
| Mesophilic                   | 3.8 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 77–1.5 \times 10^3  |
| Thermotolerant              | 2.7 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 1–9.5 \times 10^3   |
| Thermophilic                 | 2.4 \times 10^4                          | 26                |     | 1–1.8 \times 10^4   |
| CAMNEA, total spore count    | 1.2 \times 10^5                          | 12                |     | 2.5 \times 10^4–6.3 \times 10^5 |
| **2 h after work**           |                                          |                   |     |       |
| Xerophilic, NaCl–Malt        | 1.8 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 31–1.2 \times 10^4  |
| Xerophilic, DG18             | 3.4 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 100–1.2 \times 10^4 |
| Mesophilic                   | 1.8 \times 10^3                          | 26                |     | 20–1.3 \times 10^3  |
| Thermotolerant              | 1.8 \times 10^4                          | 26                |     | 1–3.0 \times 10^4   |
| Thermophilic                 | 8.4 \times 10^4                          | 26                |     | 1–8.6 \times 10^3   |

GM = Geometric mean

respectively. In cubicles, carbon dioxide concentrations did not differ significantly between sites (t-test, p<0.1) (Table 5). In tied barns the mean concentration of carbon dioxide was 5700 ppm in the feeding aisle and 4600 ppm in the manure aisle. Furthermore, the maximum value reached 6100 ppm. Due to the nearly outdoor conditions, in the cold cubicle barn the carbon dioxide concentration was only 900 ppm in the animal department and 1600 ppm in the milking parlor.

Table 4. Prevalence of fungi and actinomycetes in air samples (%) collected from cow houses

| Xerophilic fungi, (NaCl) | Xerophilic fungi, (DG-18) | Mesophilic fungi | Thermotolerant fungi | Thermophilic actinomycetes |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Aspergillus 100%         | Aspergillus 100%          | Aspergillus 98% | Aspergillus 53%       | Th. candidus 24%           |
| Cladosporium 38%         | Cladosporium 39%          | Cladosporium 16%| Acremonium 1%         | Th. vulgaris 32%           |
| Paecilomyces 22%         | Paecilomyces 43%          | Paecilomyces 28%| Paecilomyces 5%       |                           |
| Penicillium 26%          | Penicillium 85%           | Penicillium 68% | Penicillium 7%        |                           |
| Walleria sebi 2%         | Walleria sebi 57%         | Rhizopus 6%     | Trichoderma 2%        |                           |
| yeasts 60%               | Scopulariopsis 2%         | Hyalodendron 3% | Thermoascus 6%        |                           |
| sterile 9%               |                           | Trichoderma 2%  | yeasts 11%            |                           |
|                          |                           | Monilia 1%      | sterile 1%            |                           |
|                          |                           | yeasts 66%      |                       |                           |
|                          |                           | sterile 10%     |                       |                           |
Discussion

The farmers’ exposure to the airborne dust in cow houses have decreased compared to our previous studies (Louhelainen et al. 1987a, Virtanen et al. 1986, Virtanen et al. 1988), where the total dust levels ranged from 0.7 to 1.5 mg/m³ in the feeding aisles. Obviously one of reasons for this decrease is the development of feeding techniques in agriculture, in particular, the change from manual feeding of cows to totally automatic feeding systems. The farmers in the present study had to do some manual feeding especially for calves and heifers, and on two occasions also for cows. However, the manual feeding did not effect to the concentrations of total dust in the breathing zone of the farmers on those farms. The threshold value of 2.8 mg/m³ proposed by Donham (1995) as the amount of total dust that will produce adverse respiratory effects was rarely exceeded.

The range of concentration of BEA varied from 1.1 to 44.8 μg/m³ at different sampling sites. However, the concentration of BEA was similar at stationary sites and in the breathing zone. The BEA concentrations of the present study were at same level as those measured previously (Virtanen et al. 1988) when arithmetic mean concentrations varied from 5 to 23 μg/m³ in the breathing zone and from 5 to 13 μg/m³ at stationary sites in five cow houses. The concentrations of BDA20 were from one third to half lower at the stationary sites than in the breathing zones of farmers. The range of concentrations of BDA20 between measuring sites was as large as with BEA. In this study in stationary sites the arithmetic means of concentrations of BDA20 were lower than in the previous study (Ylönen et al. 1994), where concentrations ranged from 266 to 295 ng/m³. Somewhat surprisingly, however, there was no correlation between BEA and BDA20. The exposure of farmers to bovine antigens is at the same level in new cubicle houses as in older cow houses. A few probable reasons can be suggested: mechanical ventilation usually decreases the relative humidity and thereafter increases the secondary dust emission from surfaces, and secondly, although the distance between the cow and the milker is greater in a milking parlor, the allergen particles are small in diameter and are emitted easily. In addition, the larger number of cow and heifers may produce more airborne allergenic material than a few cows in smaller cow houses. Most of the cases of occupational asthma and rhinitis in Finland are caused by cow dander in agricultural work. The concentrations of cow dander are consistent in different studies, and it can be speculated that the present levels of bovine allergens can induce adverse health effects for the farming population.
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The geometric means of endotoxin concentrations ranged from 17 to 27 ng/m³. On one farm the endotoxin concentration was very high, 4830 ng/m³. On that farm the concentrations of microbial dust were also elevated. Even excluding the highest concentration, the results of this study are still about twice as high as those in an earlier study (16 ng/m³ of endotoxins) of dairy farms (Liesivuori et al. 1994). However, these concentrations were low compared to other agricultural environments, e.g. swine houses or poultry yards (Olenchock et al. 1990, Liesivuori et al. 1994).

The concentrations of viable airborne fungi and actinomycetes measured were at the order of 10³–10⁸ cfu/m³ which is lower than those in previous Finnish studies (Kotimaa et al. 1984, 1987, Pasanen et al. 1989, Hanhela et al. 1995). The concentrations of different microbes were higher, but not in all cases significantly higher, during milking than before work or 2 hours after work. In open parlors, however, the concentrations rose further and the highest levels of microbes were found 2 hours after work. The concentrations of all types of microbes measured were significantly lower in the closed-type milking parlor than in the open-type parlor. The obvious reason for this is the closed space, which prevents air from the cow barn from entering the parlor. The prevalences of the predominant fungi (Aspergillus, Penicillium, Wallemia sebi, Cladosporium and yeasts) were similar to those found in earlier Finnish study (Hanhela et al. 1995). In addition, in this study Paecilomyces was found more frequently. Thermophilic actinomycetes, which have been considered to be causative agents of farmer’s lung, were found on only a few occasions and in low concentrations compared with earlier findings on Finnish farms (Kotimaa et al. 1984). No correlation was found between microbial concentrations and the type of methods used to dry and store hay (data not shown). These results may be due to modern farm practices in which there is little use of hay for feed, little use of straw for bedding, or no bedding at all. The worst sources of airborne spores are thus eliminated or their role is clearly lessened (Pasanen et al. 1989, Kotimaa 1990, Kotimaa et al. 1991, Dalphin et al. 1991).

Concentrations of ammonia were lower in closed-type parlors than in open-type parlors. In addition, ammonia concentrations were lower in milking parlors than in the feeding aisles, and this difference was larger on farms with closed-type parlors. The concentrations of ammonia equaled our previous results (Kangas et al. 1987, Linnainmaa et al. 1993) which ranged from 0.2 to 35 ppm. The correlations between ammonia levels in milking parlors and in feeding aisles were significant on all 26 farms, in all cubicle houses and open-type houses (Pearson coefficients between r = 0.69–0.74, p<0.01), but in closed-type parlors no such correlation was found. Visual investigation with smoke revealed that in several cubicles the ventilation did not remove airborne gases effectively. For example, the inlet air went directly to the outlet channels without mixing, the heat exchangers were blocked by dust, and the outlet fans were insufficient to remove indoor air. Concentration of ammonia is a function of ventilation efficiency but other factors, such as the shape of inlets and outlets, are also involved (Gustafsson 1998, Linnainmaa et al. 1993). The lowest concentrations of ammonia were found in cowhouses from which air was removed only through the manure channels. The correlation of ventilation rate with the concentration of ammonia has been found to be low (Linnainmaa et al. 1993). The lower concentrations of ammonia in milking parlors compared to feeding aisles can be explained by better ventilation of milking parlors, and in particular, by closed space in the closed type parlors. According to Donham et al. (1995), ammonia in swine houses is an environmental predictor of decrease in pulmonary function, namely decreased FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume in one second) at the level of 7.5 ppm or more. That threshold is only one-fourth of the present OEL (25 ppm) of ammonia. However, this finding is difficult to apply to cow houses because of different exposure patterns, e.g. low concentrations of dust compared to the swine houses.
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All concentrations of carbon dioxide, except one, were lower than the OEL of 5000 ppm. However, the limit recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (3000 ppm) was occasionally exceeded in feeding aisles and also in open-type milking parlors in cubicles. In our previous studies the mean levels of carbon dioxide in tie stalls have been about 1500 ppm and 3100 ppm (Kangas et al. 1987, Linnaimaa et al. 1993). The correlation between carbon dioxide levels in milking parlors and in feeding aisles was significant in all houses and also in open- and closed-type parlors (Pearson coefficient between r=0.80–0.86, p<0.01). Donham (1991) proposed that carbon dioxide would be a good substitute indicator of the relative amounts of ammonia, bacteria, endotoxins, and total microbes in swineeries. In this study no such correlations were found except between carbon dioxide and ammonia in all 23 cubicle houses and in open-type barns. The correlation between carbon dioxide and ammonia in feeding aisles was less significant (Pearson coefficient r=0.44, p<0.05) in all cow houses than in cubicle barns (Pearson coefficient r=0.55, p<0.01). In milking parlors or aisles there was no correlation between carbon dioxide and ammonia in all barns measured, but in cubicle barns the correlation was significant (Pearson coefficient r=0.60, p<0.01). Airborne exposure especially to gases was low in the one cold cubicle studied. However, there might be other factors, such as low temperatures, slipperiness and ergonomics in cold, which have an adverse effect on farmers.

The occupational exposure of farmers to gases and dusts in cubicle barns has been rarely reported previously in the literature. The results show that the exposure to several hazards is lower than in older, tied cow houses, but gas exposure have remained at the same level. The main reasons for the decrease are the automatic feeding systems for cows, the automatic ventilation systems and minor use of material containing organic dusts. Occupational respiratory diseases are, however, common among farmers and further research is needed to study the relationship between exposure and diseases. To improve the environmental conditions in the cow houses studied, emphasis should be placed on design of the buildings - especially the ventilation. Only one farm had a proper design for ventilation. Ventilation affects many environmental exposures, namely ammonia, carbon dioxide, temperature, relative humidity and to a small extent also dust. Another measure for improvement is provision of additional heat, which is needed in cow houses during wintertime. Warming the supply air increases the rate of ventilation and reduces air impurities and relative humidity. Although working conditions have become more comfortable and organic and biological exposures have decreased, there are still exposures that threaten farmers’ health.
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Tuutkimuksessa selvitettiin viljelijöiden altistumista kaasuille ja pöilyille nykykaikaisissa navetoissa. Tutkimuksessa oli mukana 23 pihattoa, yksi kylmäpihatto ja kaksi parsinavetta. Navetoissa oli keskimäärin 22 lypsyhämää. Tutkittavat ilman epäpuhtaudet olivat kokonaispöly, lehmän karva- ja hilseallergeneet, mikrobit, endotoksiinit sekä kaasuista amoniakki, hiilihiilioksidit ja rikkivyöhykkeitä. Mittaukset tehtiin sisäruokintakaudella lehmien ruokinta- ja lypsytoihin.

Mittaukseen navetoissa kokonaispölypitoisuudet olivat keskimäärin pieniä, alle 2 mg/m³, kun työsuojeluluviranomaisten antama suositusarvo on 5 mg/m³. Tämä on mm. kehintyneiden työmenetelmien ansiota. Esimerkiksi automaattisten ruokintalaitteiden käyttö vähentää pölyly on altistumisessa. Vähentynyttä kumina viehänän käyttö vähentää ilman epäpuhtauskaa ja vahvuttaa osaltaan myös kokonaispölypitoisuuteen. Rehun otto siilosta ja jakelu vaskoille olivat syynä saumaisiin suurin pölypitoisuuteihin.

Lehmän karva- ja hilseallergeenipitoisuudet on mitattu vähän navetoissa. Hilseallergi aihetteutti viljelijöille vuosittain satoja ammattitaitoja kuten nuhaa, astmata ja ihottumia. Tutkimuksessa mitattiin kahta allergenia, joiden pitoisuudet olivat erittäin pieniä kokonaispölypitoisuuksina verrattuna. Allergeenipölyä oli viljelijöiden hengitysvyöhykkeellä, ruokintapölydällä ja lypsyasemalla, joten viljelijöitä altistuu tälle pölylle kaikkialta navettoista.

Tutkimuksessa mitattiin viiden erityyppisen mikrobiryhmän pitoisuksia. Kaikkin mikrobien pitoisuudet olivat pienempiä kuin aiemmissa suomalaisissa navetoissa tehdyissä tutkimuksissa. Ruokinnanaikeen homeitipitoisuus oli keskimäärin 4000–6000 itiötä /m³, kun suurimmat pitoisuudet olivat noin 150 000 itiötä/m³. Mikrobioiden läheinä olivat kuivaheimä, rehu ja olkiokuvike. Endotoksiinien on tietyjien bakterien soluseinässä. Koska lehmätolilla käytetään bakteereja sisältäviä materiaaleja, navetan ilmassa on myös endotoksiinia. Sen pitoisuutta on mitattu aiemmin vain yhdessä tutkimuksessa Suomessa. Kummasakin tutkimuksessa keskimääräinen pitoisuus oli suuren piirtein sama. Suurin yksittäinen pitoisuus oli erittäin suuri verrattuna suositeltuun raja-arvoon.

Amoniakkkipitoisuudet olivat pienempiä suljetuilla lypsyasemilla. Ruokintakäytävät mitatut pitoisuudet olivat yhtä suuria kuin vanhemmista navetoista mitattut. Nykykaikaisen navettojen keskimääräinen hiilihiilioksidipitoisuus ei ole pienentynyt vanhempiin tuotantorakennuksiin verrattuna. Rikkivyöhykkeëä ei osoitettu mitattavissa.

Ilmanvaihto vaikuttaa mm. kaasupitoisuuteihin. Kaasupitoisuuteihin voidaan päättää, että ilmanvaihto on merkittävä. Vihreät ja luonnonkukat toimivat yhteisöllä tuotaan ilmaan poistamaan kaasuja. Lisäksi navettojen suhteellinen koeste on keskimäärin yli 85 %. Tutkimusta voidaan käyttää tuotannossa, sillä se voi osoittaa, että yksityiskohtainen ilmanvaihtosuunnitelma on tarpeen.

Yhteenvedotana voidaan todeta, että viljelijöiden altistuminen ilman epäpuhtauksille on vähäisempi uusissa navetoissa kuin vanhoissa navetoissa. Kaasu- ja mikrobipitoisuudet olivat suuremmilla lypsyasemilla pienempiä kuin avonsailla. Kuitenkin viljelijät altistuvat epäpuhtauksille sittemmin, ja ilmanvaihdon tulee mukaan erityisesti ilmanvaihto, jotta se toimii tehokkaasti.