Fluoroquinolone antimicrobial drugs are highly bioavailable, broad-spectrum agents with activity against gram-negative pathogens, especially those resistant to other classes of antimicrobial drugs. Australia has restricted the use of quinolones in humans through its national pharmaceutical subsidy scheme; and, through regulation, has not permitted the use of quinolones in food-producing animals. As a consequence, resistance to fluoroquinolones in the community has been slow to emerge and has remained at low levels in key pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli*. In contrast to policies in most other countries, this policy has successfully preserved the utility of this class of antimicrobial drugs for treatment of most infections.
Nalidixic acid, the first quinolone introduced into clinical practice, was developed in the 1960s; its use was largely confined to the treatment of urinary tract infections. After the development of several fluoroquinolone antimicrobial drugs, including ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin in the 1980s, and then ofloxacin and levofloxacin, and more recently gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin, the use of this class of antimicrobial drugs increased greatly worldwide. Estimates from the late 1990s suggested that quinolones were the most prescribed antibacterial agent worldwide (1). Soon after these drugs were registered, the government of Australia developed policies to restrict use of quinolone antimicrobial drugs by humans and to prevent their use in food-producing animals. These policies have been associated with low rates of resistance to this valuable antimicrobial drug class in Australia.

Usefulness of Fluoroquinolones

Quinolone antimicrobial drugs are commonly used as first-line empiric therapy for urinary tract infections, upper and lower respiratory tract infections, enteric infections, and gonococcal infection. They are particularly useful against deep infections caused by gram-negative bacteria, including those, such as *Pseudomonas* spp., that are resistant to other orally administered antimicrobial drugs. Specific quinolone antimicrobial drugs administered to pets and food-producing animals are known to transmit cross-resistance to humans (1).

Contribution of Fluoroquinolone Use to Fluoroquinolone Resistance

Quinolones act by inhibiting bacterial DNA gyrase and/or topoisomerase IV (2). Target modification is a common mechanism for resistance, in which ≥1 point mutations in the gyrA or parC genes generate unequivocal resistance. This mutation can be induced in vitro by exposure to antimicrobial drug concentrations of >8× the MIC (3). Other mechanisms can also mediate resistance, including decreased expression of porins, leading to decreased membrane permeability, and overexpression of antimicrobial drug efflux pumps (2). The transfer of quinolone resistance by mobile genetic elements has the potential to rapidly disseminate resistance, and its contribution to the spread of resistance is being increasingly recognized (4). Under certain circumstances, resistance to fluoroquinolones can emerge during treatment. Some studies have reported that < 50% of all patients taking quinolones for prostatitis are colonized with quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* strains (5) and have described quinolone resistance after treatment courses of as few as 3 days (6). Whether resistance is caused by de novo resistance mutations or amplification of resistant strains already present in low numbers is not known. Furthermore, even parenteral fluoroquinolones are actively excreted into the intestine and may select for resistance in normal intestinal flora.

Although other factors are likely to contribute to resistance in persons, ecologic data show an association between fluoroquinolone use and resistance. This finding is supported by differences between the usual habitats of certain bacterial species and the effect fluoroquinolone use has on resistance development. Because some bacteria, such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, are transmitted from human to human, resistance in these organisms is likely to indicate human use of antimicrobial drugs and consequent antimicrobial drug selection pressure. Resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae* and *S. Typhi* are also influenced by variations in global epidemiology of disease and in ease of availability of quinolone antimicrobial drugs, including over-the-counter access in Asia, where much higher levels of resistance have been documented (7). Resistance in *Campylobacter* spp. and non-typhoidal salmonella is more likely to reflect antimicrobial drug administration to food-producing animals (8). *E. coli* is widely distributed among humans, animals, water, and some foods; thus, selection pressure is likely to be exerted by antimicrobial drug use in human and agricultural sectors. This likelihood is supported by molecular typing studies in which researchers examined *E. coli* strains resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, quinolones, and extended-spectrum cephalosporins in humans and in commercial poultry products in the United States, where these antimicrobial drugs are or have been used in poultry production (9). The authors found that resistant strains in humans were more closely aligned with resistant isolates in poultry than to susceptible human strains, suggesting that the resistant strains in humans were most likely to be of poultry origin.

Low Use of Quinolone by Humans and Prohibition of Its Use in Food-producing Animals in Australia

Three quinolones are available for systemic use in humans in Australia: norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. Other quinolones have been available in the past (nalidixic acid, enoxacin, trovafloxacin, and gatifloxacin) but have been withdrawn from the market for a variety of reasons. In Australia, national guidelines for antimicrobial drug use in humans have been published and expanded since 1976. Indications for antimicrobial use are reviewed by a panel of infectious diseases experts approximately every 3 years (10). These guidelines are widely promulgated and generally accepted as a standard for prescribing antimicrobial drugs in the community and in hospitals.
The use of quinolone antimicrobial drugs in Australia has been actively constrained by guidelines that recognize their status as a reserve antimicrobial drug. For example, in the current guidelines, ciprofloxacin is not listed as an option in the management of lower urinary tract infection, and it is listed as a treatment for acute pyelonephritis only when resistance to all other recommended drugs is proven or the causative organism is *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

For treatment of foot infections in persons with diabetes, ciprofloxacin is only recommended as an alternative for patients with penicillin hypersensitivity; the drug is listed for water-related infections caused by *Aeromonas* spp., but is not listed for treatment of wounds caused by other organisms. For respiratory infections, moxifloxacin is not listed as an option for the empiric treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in outpatients, except in patients who have severe penicillin hypersensitivity; ciprofloxacin is listed as an option to treat Legionella infection and in directed therapy for infections in which a susceptible pathogen has been identified. In almost all other countries, quinolones have been freely available and used for a broad range of indications as first-line therapy and have been promoted in treatment guidelines for conditions such as community-acquired pneumonia and uncomplicated urinary tract infections (11,12).

Australia has a subsidized outpatient pharmaceutical plan, the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS). Relatively expensive drugs (more than A$30, in 2010 dollars) are not used widely unless prescribed by doctors according to indications listed by PBS. After 1988, ciprofloxacin use was subsidized by the PBS for “serious infections for which no other oral antimicrobial agent is appropriate.” In response to growing expenditures in the early 1990s, the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee consulted with the National Health and Medical Research Council Working Party on Antibiotics, which suggested that specific indications would result in a more targeted use of quinolones. This suggestion was subsequently adopted by the PBS, and the list of indications underwent several modifications over the years, eventually leading to the PBS authority indications listed in Table 1.

The quinolones used in the treatment of respiratory infections, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin, were approved for use in Australia in 2001. The Expert Advisory Group on Antimicrobial Resistance, the successor to the Working Party on Antibiotics, advised the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee on the listing of moxifloxacin. For a few years, moxifloxacin was available only with authorization for treatment of *S. pneumoniae* pneumonia with proven penicillin resistance and was voluntarily

| Drug/route of administration | PBS listed indication                                                                 | Consumer cost in A$ | Generic available |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| **Ciprofloxacin**            |                                                                                      |                      |                   |
| Oral                         | Respiratory tract infection proven or suspected to be caused by *P. aeruginosa* in severely immunocompromised patients | 25.20                | Yes              |
|                              | Bacterial gastroenteritis in severely immunocompromised patients                      | 34.20                |                   |
|                              | Infections proven to be caused by *P. aeruginosa* or other gram-negative bacteria resistant to all other oral antimicrobial drugs | 5.60                 |                   |
|                              | Joint and bone infections, epididymo-orchitis, prostatitis, or perichondritis of the pinna suspected or proven to be caused by gram-negative or -positive bacteria resistant to all other appropriate antimicrobial drugs |                      |                   |
|                              | Gonorrhea                                                                             |                      |                   |
| Topical, ear                 | Treatment of chronic suppurative otitis media in Aborigines or Torres Strait Islanders >1 mo of age | 24.51                | No               |
|                              | Treatment of chronic suppurative otitis media in a patient <18 y of age with perforation of the tympanic membrane | 19.38                |                   |
|                              | Treatment of chronic suppurative otitis media in a patient <18 y of age with a grommet in situ | 5.60                 |                   |
| Topical, eye                 | Bacterial keratitis                                                                   | 33.71                | No               |
| Ofloxacin                    |                                                                                      |                      |                   |
| Topical, eye                 | Bacterial keratitis                                                                   | 35.40                | No               |
| Norfloxacin                  |                                                                                      | 31.68                | Yes              |
| Oral                         | Acute bacterial enterocolitis; complicated urinary tract infection                    | 17.16                |                   |
| Moxifloxacin†                |                                                                                      | 70.65                | No               |

*PBS, Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme; GB, general beneficiaries; CB, concessional beneficiaries, including pensioners; NA, no longer available in PBS.
†Price to consumer varies between retail outlets.
‡Data from 2011.
withdrawn from the PBS by the manufacturer for marketing reasons. Moxifloxacin continues to be promoted by industry for use in hospitals and on the private (non-PBS) market, but estimated community use remains low (Figure 1). No applications for use in Australia have been made for other quinolones used widely elsewhere in the world, including oral ofloxacin and levofloxacin.

Although some quinolones have been approved for use in companion animals (Table 2), they have not been approved for use in food-producing animals in Australia. The National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (now the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority) sought advice on the administration of enrofloxacin to pigs in the mid-1990s. The Expert Advisory Group on Antimicrobial Resistance advised that quinolones were an antimicrobial drug class of high importance in humans and should never be licensed for food-producing animals because of the risk for drug resistance in enteric pathogens and their potential transmission to humans through the food chain. This was supported by similar international guidance published at the time (13); the application was subsequently withdrawn. A range of quinolones have been registered in Australia for dogs and cats, but typing studies in Australia have so far demonstrated that quinolone-resistant E. coli found in humans are generally different from those in companion animals (14).

In other countries where fluoroquinolones are used in food production, they are also often added to the drinking water provided for many food production animals, including poultry. In many middle-income countries, particularly those in Asia and Latin America, many quinolones antimicrobial drugs are licensed for use in cattle and poultry, and exposure in these animals is probably frequent and widespread (8,13). In the United States, quinolones were widely used in poultry until Food and Drug Administration officials withdrew approval for this use in 2005 because of the unacceptable resistance risk (15). In Europe, quinolone use remains a small proportion of antimicrobial drugs used in food animals (16).

Low Resistance Rates in Australia Compared with Other Countries

Data for bacterial resistance are available from longitudinal studies performed by the Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (www.agargroup.org/), a network of 30 participating laboratories representing all states and mainland territories that periodically perform nationally representative studies of bacterial isolates from hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients. Quinolone resistance rates in disease-causing isolates of E. coli have remained consistently low in Australia. Before 2006, studies included isolates from both community-acquired and hospital-acquired E. coli infections and showed a rise in the percentage of isolates from 1992 (0.4%) to 1998 (1.0%) to 2006 (4.9%) (17). Since then, surveillance of isolates from community-acquired infections has shown resistance in 89 (4.1%) of 2,155 strains tested in 2008 (18) and 108 (5.2%) of 2,092 strains tested in 2010 (Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance, unpub. data). This finding is in contrast to data published regarding the United States, where resistance in urinary isolates increased from 3% to 17% during 2000–2010 (19), and Europe, where resistance correlated with antimicrobial drug use was described in as high as 45% of isolates in 2008 (20) (Figure 2). Similar ecological correlations have been observed between the use of quinolones in pigs and poultry and quinolone resistance in E. coli from humans (21).

Quinolone resistance in locally acquired Campylobacter spp. is relatively uncommon in Australia compared with most other countries. Several case–control studies in Australia showed that the proportion of disease caused by resistant Campylobacter spp. was low (0% in 2003 and 2.6% in 2006) and mainly attributable to resistant strains in returned travelers (22). Quinolone resistance in isolates of Campylobacter spp., Salmonella spp., and E. coli from a variety of food-producing animals and products is rare in Australia (23,24). Notably, there is no importation of fresh meat into Australia. This finding is in contrast to the situation in other countries where bacterial resistance in humans, food-producing animals, and products emerged.
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in the 1990s, coincident with the increase in quinolone use among humans and animals, and led to the US Food and Drug Administration’s 2005 withdrawal of approval of quinolones for use in poultry production (15). Resistance rates >80% in Campylobacter spp. have been reported in some countries, such as Thailand (25). Quinolone resistance in Campylobacter spp. emerged in the United Kingdom after the licensing of enrofloxacin for veterinary use (13). This finding is consistent with those of the rapid emergence of resistance in Campylobacter spp. in chickens treated with quinolone (26).

In North America, quinolone resistance in pneumococci increased after levofloxacin was added to respiratory infection guidelines and its use became widespread (27). Resistance remains relatively low (28), probably reflecting the minimal use of quinolone in children, among whom pneumococcal carriage is more common than among adults. However, trends in ciprofloxacin-resistant S. pneumoniae closely parallel increased quinolone use in Canada, demonstrated by an increase in resistance from 0.6% in 1997 to 7.3% in 2006, and higher rates in elderly persons (29). In a similar manner, in Spain, ciprofloxacin resistance rose from 0.6% during 1991–1992 to 3.0% during 1997–1998, and was associated with an increase in quinolone use (30). By comparison, results from surveys in 2005 and 2007 by the Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance demonstrated low levels of antimicrobial drug resistance in Australia, demonstrated by moxifloxacin resistance detected in 0.1% of 1,775 isolates in 2005 and 0.2% of 1,814 isolates tested in 2007 (31).

An exception to the generally low rates of resistance in Australia is quinolone resistance in gonococci, which threatens to erode the effectiveness of treatment programs. In 2009, the Australian Gonococcal Surveillance Programme reported that 43% of tested gonococci were resistant to ciprofloxacin; however, ≈33% of those infections were acquired overseas (32). Resistance rates remain low in the Northern Territory, where acquisition is almost all local.

Conclusions

Causation is sometimes defined by the counterfactual, or in this case, asking, “what would have happened if restriction had not occurred?” This question cannot conclusively be answered by ecologic data, and other factors are also likely to contribute to the observed heterogeneity between and within countries (33). However, it is notable that Australia, among the few countries to have successfully limited the use of quinolones in humans and prohibited their use in food-producing animals by public policy, and moreover has a safe water supply and a lack of imported uncooked meats from countries with high levels of resistant bacteria, remains among countries where quinolone resistance is low among virulent pathogens, such as E. coli. The link between fluoroquinolone use and resistance is supported by in vitro studies (34), analogy with other evolutionary processes, experimental studies in

Table 2. Quinolone antimicrobial drugs available for use in companion animals in Australia under the authority of the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme

| Drug (date registered) | Indication |
|------------------------|------------|
| Difloxacin (2001)      | Treatment of infections in dogs caused by difloxacin-sensitive organisms |
| Enrofloxacin (2004)    | Treatment of urinary and respiratory tract infections, deep pyodermas, wounds, abscesses, and discharging sinuses in dogs caused by enrofloxacin-susceptible bacteria |
| Orbifloxacin (1999)    | Treatment of diseases in dogs and cats caused by orbifloxacin-sensitive bacteria |
| Ibafaxacin (2007)      | Treatment of urinary tract, respiratory tract, skin, and soft tissue infections in dogs and cats caused by ibafloxacin-sensitive bacteria |

Figure 2. Quinolone use data for Europe from the European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Consumption initiative for antibiotic use in ambulatory care settings and European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System. Use data for Australia from the Australian Group on Antibiotic Resistance (community isolates) and Drug Utilization Sub-Committee Drug Utilization Database (Commonwealth of Australia). Line represents logit-modeled relationship between resistance and usage, weighted by number of isolates tested. Usage rate calculated on the basis of medication use of 1,000 persons per day. DDD, defined daily dose; E. coli, Escherichia coli.
humans and animals (5,6), and ecologic studies showing a temporal association and a dose-response relationship between fluoroquinolone use and resistance (20).

Regulatory controls are probably the most effective, albeit crude, tool available to restrict antimicrobial drug use. Australia has a long tradition of prescriber education through the National Prescribing Service and Therapeutic Guidelines. However, the effectiveness of educational initiatives is not clear because of the lack of published formal evaluations. Bulletins and letters about serious adverse events associated with flulocoxacin were sent to general practitioners by the Therapeutic Goods Administration, but they had minimal impact on prescribing volumes. Only subsequent restrictions imposed by the Therapeutic Goods Administration and PBS resulted in a 30% reduction in flulocoxacin use and 50% reduction in reported adverse hepatic events during 1994–1995 (35). However, the effectiveness of restriction of public subsidies as a tool to restrict human antimicrobial drug use is likely to be eroded as the price of antimicrobial drugs decreases (36). This measure is also not useful in influencing use of antimicrobial drugs in hospitals. To date, the relatively high cost of fluoroquinolones, such as ciprofloxacin, relative to other subsidized antimicrobial drugs, has meant that quinolone use has been restricted in Australia.

Data support the assertion that quinolone use in the community is low and use in hospitals in Australia is moderate. The Drug Utilization Sub-Committee Drug Utilization Database is used to estimate total outpatient antimicrobial drug use. This estimate was obtained by combining Medicare Australia data for government-subsidized medicines (PBS and Repatriation Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme) with an estimate of nonsubsidized medicines, which is calculated from prescriptions dispensed from a validated sample of community-based pharmacies (37). Total use is converted to a rate of defined daily doses per person by using internationally standardized methods (38).

Although data from the early 1990s showed that retail sales for all antimicrobial drugs in Australia was higher than in countries in Europe, recent data show that quinolone use in Australia has remained lower than in countries in Europe (37) (Figure 2). The National Antimicrobial Utilization Surveillance Project collects data on broad-spectrum antimicrobial drug use in 32 hospitals. Usage data is based on purchasing data and suggests that although overall hospital use of these drugs in Australia is higher than that in Denmark, the Netherlands, and Sweden, quinolone use in Australia is similar to or lower than use in hospitals in these countries (39).

Have the limitations on prescribing quinolone in Australia had deleterious effects on human and animal health? Quinolones can still be prescribed when they are necessary or the indicated preferred treatment. Difficulties could be theorized where appropriate empirical therapy is delayed. However, in most of circumstances, empirical regimens in national prescribing guidelines indicate use of β-lactam agents, aminoglycosides, or macrolides and recommend quinolones only when microbiological confirmation of etiology and susceptibility testing demonstrates they are appropriate. The few circumstances where quinolones would be preferred empiric therapy (severe community-acquired pneumonia in patients with immediate hypersensitivity to penicillin) are usually accounted for in local stewardship protocols for severely ill patients in hospitals.

Regarding controls for food-producing animals, although the potential for antimicrobial resistance remains a criterion for Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority registration, external advice from the Expert Advisory Group on Antimicrobial Resistance ceased in 2004. Since then, other valuable classes of antimicrobial drugs used in humans have been registered for animal use, including third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins. Furthermore, there is no requirement for postmarketing surveillance of resistance for newly registered antimicrobial drugs in humans or animals. In an attempt to reestablish a coordinated and comprehensive approach to antimicrobial drug resistance in Australia, we recently proposed the formation of a national antimicrobial drug resistance management body to implement national surveillance, coordinate education and stewardship programs, implement infection-prevention and control policies, support research, and advise regulatory authorities (40). Our proposal is in concert with other recent international calls for urgent action on antimicrobial drug resistance.

We believe that this single example of coordinated public policy points the way toward ensuring that drug prescribing is controlled and appropriate. Antimicrobial drugs are unique because their use leads toward their inevitable ineffectiveness as resistance develops. There are few new agents in development, so there is an imperative to preserve the effectiveness of the currently available antimicrobial drugs for as long as possible. We believe that these precious drugs should be regulated differently from all other drugs and that a single regulating body should be used to license and restrict their use to the most appropriate circumstances in both human and animal health and agriculture. The evidence that the control of quinolone prescribing in Australia has led to the continued usefulness of this class of drugs as valuable antimicrobial agents is compelling, and it serves as a call for countries to review the way that antimicrobial agents are regulated and subsidized so that we can continue to treat infections in the future.
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