Synthesis and characterization of BaTiO₃/α-Fe₂O₃ core/shell structure
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Abstract: Multiferroic materials attracted a lot of attention in recent years because of their significant scientific interest and technological applications. The multiferroic core/shell powders have a better connectivity between the phases, resulting in superior dielectric and magneto electric properties. In this study, the influence of preparation condition on structure and properties of BaTiO₃/α-Fe₂O₃ core/shell composite materials was examined. The five samples were obtained by varying synthesis conditions, such as synthesized method (co-precipitation and sonochemical method) and pH values of solution. XRD and Raman spectroscopy analyses were performed in order to determine phase composition and structural changes within samples. Morphology modifications were examined by SEM and EDS analyses. Finally, effect of structural and microstructural changes on magnetic and electrical properties was detected and explained.
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1 Introduction

Multiferroic materials, exhibiting more than one ferroic property (ferromagnetism, ferroelectricity, and ferroelasticity) have drawn a wide scientific and technological attention in the present years for the fundamental research and due to the variety of possible practical applications [1,2]. Among them, materials that exhibit both magnetism and ferroelectricity (often
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termed ferroelectromagnetic multiferroics) are especially important, because they can be used in various micro-electronic devices, including microwave phase shifters, magnetically controlled electro-optic or piezoelectric devices, and broadband magnetic field sensors [3–5].

Up to now, various types of crystalline structures of single-phase ferroelectromagnetic multiferroics such as perovskites (ABO₃), bismuth layer perovskite-like oxides (AₓBiₓBaₐO₃₋ₓ₋ₓ), boracites (Me₃B₁₉O₁₃X), RMnO₃-type (R = Y, Ho, Er, Yb, Tu, Lu, Sc) hexagonal manganites, BaMeF₄-type (Me = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) hexagonal fluorites, hexagonal BaTiO₃-type compounds, tungsten-bronze-type niobiate compounds (e.g., Ba₆Nb₉FeO₃₀, Sr₆Nb₆FeO₃₀), as well as other compounds and solid solutions (e.g., FeS, Cu(HCOO)₂·4H₂O, Li(Fel₂Ta₁₋₂)O₃F) have been found [6–9]. However, single phase materials that exhibit a coupling of magnetism and ferroelectricity are relatively rare [10]. This is mainly attributed to the presence of transition metal d electrons, which are essential for magnetism, but hinder the tendency for off-center structural distortions which are necessary for ferroelectricity [11]. Therefore, an alternative approach for engineering enhanced ferroelectromagnetic effects is to introduce indirect coupling, via strain, which arises from the interaction of ferroelectric and ferromagnetic phases of different materials. The mechanism of the interaction can be described by the induced deformation of the piezoelectric (electrostrictive) phase upon applying an external electric field that is transmitted to the magnetostRICTive phase through the shared interface and causes a change in its magnetization (or vice versa). Strain coupling requires intimate contact between constituent phases and can be achieved for various types of composites including particulate bulk composites, core/shell structures, thin and thick films [1,2,12–15]. It was demonstrated that multiferroic core/shell structures have a better connectivity between the phases, resulting in superior dielectric and magnetoelectric properties [16].

Core/shell particles, in general, consist of a core as an interior material and a shell as an outer layer material. Both, core and shell could be made of different types of material inorganic or organic, so a large number of combinations are possible including inorganic/inorganic, inorganic/organic, organic/inorganic as well as organic/organic. Up to now, by using combined hydrothermal and annealing processes [2], sol-gel method [17] and co-precipitation [18], a various shapes of core/shell particles (spherical core/shell particles; hexagonal core/shell particles; multiple small core materials coated by single shell material; nanomtryushka material, etc. [19]) were synthesized.

One of the most often used ferroelectric material for core/shell multiferroic structured composite is BaTiO₃, due to its good chemical stability, high dielectric constant (around 4000–5000), and low loss in a wide frequency range [20,21]. Up to now, various core/shell-type multiferroic BaTiO₃/γ-Fe₂O₃, BaTiO₃/Fe₂O₄, CoFe₂O₄/BaTiO₃, Fe₂O₃/BaTiO₃, Fe₂O₃/Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃, CoFe₂O₄/BaTiO₃, in which BaTiO₃ was used either as a core or as a shell were synthesized [2,14,22–25].

Since electrical properties and therefore applications of BaTiO₃ based materials are sensitive to their microstructure, especially pore, grain size distribution, and grain boundary conditions, it is very important to understand the nature of these relations [26]. The problem of size-induced changes of the phase transition is of great importance, both from fundamental and application point of view. It is essential to know the temperature range of the stability of the ferroelectric phase in a volume with reduced spatial dimensions and the existence of a critical size for ferroelectric interaction, since they may be a technological limitation for miniaturization. It has been shown that the spontaneous polarization decreases with decreasing particle size and eventually disappears, that is, a size-driven phase transition takes place [27]. The ferroelectric critical size has been defined as the size at which the BaTiO₃ ferroelectric tetragonal-paraelectric cubic phase transition takes place. Experimental results show that the critical crystallite size depends on the powder preparation method and in some cases goes up to 100 nm. On the other hand, our investigation has shown that the applied mechanical activation can lead to the formation of nanocrystalline tetragonal structure, even for particles as small as ~30 nm [28]. Taking all this into account and since, up to now, no systematic analysis of the synthesis and properties of BaTiO₃/α-Fe₂O₃ core/shell structured materials has been performed, in this article, our research focuses on the effects of preparation condition on structure and properties of this type of ceramics.

2 Experimental

As starting reagents in experiments, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Sigma Aldrich), BaTiO₃ (Sigma Aldrich), and NaOH
(Sigma Aldrich) were used. All chemicals employed for the synthesis were analytically graded. Five samples, BTF1–BTF5, were synthesized. The detail description of synthesis process is given below.

BTF1 was synthesized by combination of co-precipitation and sonochemical method. Aqueous solution of NaOH (1 mol/L) was slowly added dropwise into the aqueous solution of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O which had been kept on a magnetic stirrer for vigorous stirring. The procedure kept going until the pH value of 12 was reached. Stirring was continued for 1 h. In addition, commercially BaTiO₃ was dispersed into such prepared solution and suspension was ultrasonicated for another hour. The used weight ratio of BaTiO₃/Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was 1.2. Afterward, the yield was washed with distillate water and followed by drying in an oven at 60 °C over night. Obtained materials were pulverized in a mortar, and subsequently heated in tube furnace at 300 °C for 1 h, with applied heating rate of 10 °C/min.

BTF2 was synthesized in the same way as BTF1, the difference was only in changes of weight ratio BaTiO₃ to Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O. In this sample, BaTiO₃/Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was set to value 0.8.

BTF3 was synthesized by co-precipitation method. In aqueous suspension of BaTiO₃, warm aqueous solution of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (at ~80 °C) was added. The solution of NaOH (1 mol/L) was slowly added dropwise into the mixture until reaching pH = 6. Obtained mixture was kept on magnetic stirring another 30 min. The yield was washed with distillate water and dried in an oven at 60 °C over night. Residue was pulverized in a mortar, and subsequently heated in tube furnace at 300 °C for 1 h, with applied heating rate of 10 °C/min. Weight ratio BaTiO₃/Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was set to 1.2.

Synthesis method applied for preparation of BTF4 was equivalent as for BTF3, but the weight ratio BaTiO₃/Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was set to value 0.8.

BTF5 was prepared as follows. In aqueous suspension of BaTiO₃, heat-treated (at ~80 °C) aqueous solution of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was added. The solution of NaOH (1 mol/L) was slowly added dropwise into the mixture until the mixture reached pH = 9. Obtained mixture was kept on magnetic stirring another 30 min. The yield was washed with distillate water and dried in an oven at 60 °C over night. Residue was pulverized in a mortar, and heated in furnace at 500 °C for 1 h, with employed heating rate of 10 °C/min. Weight ratio BaTiO₃/Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was set to 1.2.

All obtained powders were characterized by XRD using a Philips PW-1050 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation and a step/time scan mode of 0.05 (°)/s.

Raman spectra were collected with a XploRA Raman spectrometer Horiba Jobin Yvon. The system employed a laser at 532 nm, with power of 0.25 MW at the sample. All measurements were performed in the range of 100–1600 cm⁻¹, using the spectrometer equipped with a 2400 lines/mm grating.

Microstructure investigations of the samples were performed using a JEOL JSM-6390 LV scanning electron microscope, coupled with EDS (Oxford Instruments X-MaxN). Powders were covered with gold in order to perform these experimental techniques. As a result, the existence of Au picked in EDS spectra was presented.

TEM measurements were conducted using JEM-1400 (120 kV) equipment. Samples were prepared by sonication of 0.5 mg dry powder in 1 mL of ethanol for 2 h. Suspensions were deposited onto carbons meshes.

Electrical measurements were performed on compacted ceramic material. Samples were pressed in the same diameter press tool and with same thickness. Monitoring was performed in air atmosphere using HIOKI 3532–50 LCR HiTESTER instrument. The applied frequency range was 50 Hz–5 MHz, and the temperature varied from 20 to 200 °C.

3 Results and discussion

The room temperature XRD patterns of all prepared samples (BTF1–BTF5) are presented in Fig. 1.

The all observed reflections are identified using JCPDS cards (075-2120 for BaTiO₃, 071-0729 for FeO(OH), 071-0729 for NaNO₂, 065-0390 for γ-Fe₂O₃, 084-0309 for α-Fe₂O₃, 076-1821 for β-Fe₂O₃, and 088-0315 for Fe₃O₄). Samples synthesized at pH = 12 (BTF1 and BTF2) show the presence of a few different phases of iron oxide, along with FeO(OH) and NaNO₂ phases, besides the BaTiO₃. All reflections of BaTiO₃ phase are sharp and intensive, indicating the tetragonal
Presence of NaNO₂ indicates that washing with distillate water wasn’t sufficient for total removing of the nitrates. Among the iron oxides, the α-Fe₂O₃, β-Fe₂O₃, and γ-Fe₂O₃ modifications of Fe₂O₃ phase, Fe₃O₄ were detected as well in the BTF1 and BTF2 samples. It is well known that the γ-Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) and the Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) are usually reported as spinel crystal structures at room temperature, with ferrimagnetic properties, but the latter contains both Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions, while in the former, all the iron ions are present in trivalent state and the charge neutrality of the unit cell sustains via partial Fe vacancy disorder. Actually, maghemite can be considered as an iron-deficient form of magnetite [29]. Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) belongs to the space group 3Rc and it is reported as canted antiferromagnetic (weak ferromagnetic) in the temperature range between its Morin and Néel temperatures. The maghemite is metastable compared with hematite, and phase transformation γ-Fe₂O₃ → α-Fe₂O₃ occurs approximately at 400 °C [30]. β-Fe₂O₃ has body-centered and cubic crystal structure, which belongs to the space group la₃. Its stabe form occurs only in nanosized dimensions of materials and it exhibits the phase transition into α-Fe₂O₃ during heating. β-Fe₂O₃ is the only phase of iron oxides that behaves paramagnetically at room temperature [31]. According to our XRD analyses of the BTF1 and BTF2 samples, significantly higher intensities of the barium titanate reflections comparing to the reflections of iron oxides are observed, and they are the consequence of the weight ratio of starting components that were used. The mass percentages of detected phases for all samples are given in Table 1. As it can be seen, with a decrease of pH value during
Table 1  Mass percent of all detected phases in the samples BTF1–BTF5

|         | BaTiO3 | α-Fe2O3 | β-Fe2O3 | γ-Fe2O3 | Fe3O4 | FeO(OH) | NaNO2 |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| BTF1    | 50.4   | 12.5    | —       | 16.5    | 3.9   | 3.7     | 13.1  |
| BTF2    | 62.7   | 10.8    | 10.4    | —       | 2.2   | 6.6     | 7.2   |
| BTF3    | 90.6   | 9.4     | —       | —       | —     | —       | —     |
| BTF4    | 94.9   | 5.1     | —       | —       | —     | —       | —     |
| BTF5    | 90.4   | 9.6     | —       | —       | —     | —       | —     |

During the synthesis, a significantly lower amount of iron compounds was formed. So, in the samples BTF3–BTF5, only the hematite and BaTiO3 phases were present, although samples synthesized at lower pH purer phase composition was obtained. Furthermore, an interesting phenomenon was noticed during observation of amount of formed iron-based oxides. It was detected that in the samples prepared at the same pH value and same procedure, but with higher weight ratio of BaTiO3 to Fe(NO3)3 (sample BTF1 with respect to BTF2, as well as the sample BTF3 with respect to BTF4), the higher mass percentage of the formed iron-oxide phases in the final obtained samples occurred. It should be noticed that the coating, not only changes the interactions of the particles with the surrounding environment but also allows modification of the particle properties. Therefore, new functionalities can be imparted to the cores depending on the properties of the shell. Although, in some multiferroic BaTiO3 based core/shell structures such as CoFe2O4/BaTiO3, BaTiO3 can act as a shell, it has been found that in some other core/shell composites, BaTiO3 may act as a nucleus for the shell formation as well [32,33]. In the case of the BTF1 sample, the last conclusion could be also made even just for the ferrimagnetic phases and therefore it could be assumed that the particles of BaTiO3 act as nucleus centers for synthesis of ferrimagnetic phases and promote their formation.

The Raman spectra (Fig. 2) of the investigated samples showed peaks of barium titanate, two iron oxides (hematite: α-Fe2O3 and magnetite: Fe3O4) and one oxyhydroxide (lepidocrocite: γ-FeOOH). The presence of BaCO3 was observed only in BTF1 sample, while the occurrence of the strongest line of γ-Fe2O3 (maghemite) could only be indirectly perceived [29,34,35]. The NaNO2 modes [36] weren't detected, although the presence of NaNO2 was ascertained by X-ray diffractometry. This is probably caused by the fact that X-ray method shows the averaged results of a given volume, while Raman provides more local images corresponding to the thin surface layer of the sample. Namely, NaNO2, which was detected by X-ray diffractometry, is probably related to the residual NaNO2 within the agglomerates, and hence it is not removed by washing with distilled water. It seemed that the residual NaNO2 wasn’t sufficiently near to the surface of these agglomerates in order to be detected by Raman measurement. The appearance of peak at ~303 cm⁻¹, in all samples, confirmed the existence of BaTiO3 tetragonal modification [37–39].

Detected modes of hematite in BTF1 powder include not only the A1g mode at 223 cm⁻¹, Eg mode at 406 cm⁻¹, and Eγ at 609 cm⁻¹, but also the 2E mode at ~1315 cm⁻¹, where the last one presents overtone originated from the 2nd order scattering (marked as SOS in Fig. 2) [34]. However, the strongest mode of γ-Fe2O3 phase, which usually occurs as a very broad peak at ~1360 cm⁻¹, may give a certain contribution to the peak at ~1315 cm⁻¹ as well. The Eγ mode of hematite at ~288 cm⁻¹ is poorly noticeable, because of its overlapping with the broad mode of barium titanate which occurs at 255–260 cm⁻¹. It can be assumed that the peak at 245 cm⁻¹ mostly originates from vibrations in γ-FeOOH phase, because the strongest line of γ-FeOOH appears at that position, but one of the weaker hematite lines may additionally contribute to the mentioned peak. Raman line of the magnetite at 658 cm⁻¹, which is the strongest line in the pure magnetite spectrum, was also observed in the BTF1 powder [29,34,35]. Among the barium titanate modes in the BTF1 powder, several peaks were noticed. Peaks at 303 and 514 cm⁻¹ are clearly visible, where
the former is characteristic of the tetragonal phase of BaTiO$_3$ and corresponds to the set of modes: B$_{1}$ + E (2LO) + E (3TO), while the latter can be attributed to E (4TO) + A$_{1}$ (3TO) modes. The weak and broad peak at 715 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to A$_{1}$ (3LO) + E (4LO) modes [37–39] of BaTiO$_3$, while the peak at 255–260 cm$^{-1}$ originates from A$_{1}$ (2TO) mode. In addition, very weak feature of the BaCO$_3$ strongest mode [35] situated at 1064 cm$^{-1}$ was also observed in the considered spectra. It probably comes from the carbonate formed on the surface, which is common in the preparation of barium titanate and other systems based on BaTiO$_3$. Due to its low concentrations, BaCO$_3$ was not detected by X-ray diffractometry.

In the BTF2 sample, hematite E$_g$ modes and 2E mode are less pronounced with respect to the barium titanate modes, indicating the lower amount of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, which is in good agreement with results obtained by X-ray analyses presented in Table 1. Contribution of maghemite phase doesn’t exist, or is present in a negligible amount. The Raman peak of magnetite is also very weakly manifested. All these observations are consistent with the results of X-ray diffraction. It should be noticed that the mode at 303 cm$^{-1}$, characteristic for BaTiO$_3$ tetragonal modification, decreases in intensity, indicating the loose of vibration energy with an increase of the stress due to core/shell coupling [39–41]. Since, this mode disappears with the phase transition of tetragonal barium titanate crystal structure into the cubic one, it could be concluded that its decrease for the BTF2–BTF5 samples will result in the change of the tetragonality within BaTiO$_3$ lattice.

In the powders BTF3 and BTF4, Raman lines of iron oxides are the least detectable. It is in accordance with X-ray analysis which shows the highest percentage of BaTiO$_3$ for these powders. Actually, among the lines of iron oxides only a few modes of hematite are observed in the form of the weak features, with a barely noticeable trace of the strongest magnetite and lepidocrocite lines. The amounts of the last two mentioned phases were below the limit of detection by X-ray diffractometry, as it can be seen from Table 1.

Raman spectrum of the BTF5 sample confirmed the change in BaTiO$_3$/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ ratio with respect to the BTF4 powder, in terms of the re-increase of hematite share.

Microstructure of the starting BaTiO$_3$ powder (Fig. 3) shows that smaller particles, with average diameter of 200–300 nm, dominate. Further, the presence of soft agglomerates is evident, and their sizes are 1–1.2 μm.

SEM, EDS, along with mapping of elements and TEM images, for the BTF1 sample, are presented in Fig. 4. According to TEM analysis, the presence of needle shaped shell around core particles was observed (Fig. 4(d)). The existence of different iron oxides was confirmed by XRD analyses (Fig. 1). Furthermore, according to SEM analysis, the existence of agglomerates has been noticed as well. The remained NaNO$_2$ was proven by EDS and showed in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). Mapping of the elements indicate the formation of the almost uniform coating of BaTiO$_3$ powder with synthesized oxides.

SEM and TEM images of the BTF2 sample (Fig. 5(a)) indicate more uniform distribution of smaller (Fe–oxides) particles around BaTiO$_3$ core. The remains of NaNO$_2$ weren’t detected in EDS of this sample, probably due to the selection of the shooting spot and smaller amounts of residual sodium nitrate. Also, it is noticeable that shell particles haven’t dominantly needle shaped. Having in mind that for the samples BTF1 and BTF2 all other synthesis parameters are identical, we concluded that the amount of added ferric nitrate determinates shape of the shell particles. TEM image has confirmed almost uniform coating of core with shell. The average size of the well separated core particles is ~100 nm, and shell thickness is approximately 20 nm. Better coupling between interior core and exterior shell (without dominantly needle-shape) parts can be also observed.

SEM image of the sample BTF3 (Fig. 6(a)) shows significantly smaller amount of formed Fe$_2$O$_3$ and considerably more particles BaTiO$_3$ without shell. This is probably due to lower pH of synthesis and the absence of additional ultrasound treatment. The chemical reaction between the Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and added NaOH within this preparation conditions hasn’t

![Fig. 3 SEM image of pure BaTiO$_3$.](image-url)
completed. EDS (Fig. 6(b)) confirms presence of all introduced elements (Ba, Ti, O, and Fe). According to the mapping of the elements, and the formed Fe₂O₃ is not evenly dispersed over the BaTiO₃. TEM image of the BTF3 (Fig. 6(d)) shows non-uniform distribution of shell around core (dark part of the image). The existence of agglomerates is clearly visible, along with areas without shell.

Fig. 4  (a) SEM; (b) EDS; (c) mapping of elements; (d) TEM for the sample BTF1.
Fig. 5 (a) SEM; (b) EDS; (c) mapping of elements; (d) TEM for the sample BTF2.
Fig. 6  (a) SEM; (b) EDS; (c) mapping of elements; (d) TEM for the sample BTF3.
The main characteristic of the microstructure for the BTF4 (Fig. 7(a)) is an obviously smaller number of particles which are covered by shell particles. It is in a good correlation with XRD and Raman measurements data (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Table 1), where it is shown that the smaller amount of iron-oxide phase was formed. Mapping (Fig. 7(c)) confirmed that Fe wasn’t uniformly arranged on the surface of BaTiO$_3$. TEM image (Fig. 7(d)) verifies the existence undeveloped core/shell structures which is in a good agreement with SEM and EDS analyses, as well as with the data obtained by XRD and Raman.

Morphology of the BTF5 sample, showed in Fig. 8(a), is very similar with microstructure of BTF3 (Fig. 6(a)). The presence of formed core/shell structure is observed, along with pure BaTiO$_3$ particles and agglomerates. Mapping of detected elements indicates areas where Fe$_2$O$_3$ is localized. Figure 8(d) presents TEM micrograph of the BTF5 sample. It is noticeable that spherically shaped shell particles are strongly bonded to core, thus indicating strain effects noticed within Raman spectra. Non-uniform distribution, around cores, is clearly detected.

The dependence of magnetization versus temperature for all five samples is shown in Fig. 9. $M$–$T$ curves show that the value of ferroelectric transition temperature ($T_{FE}$) is in the range 100–120 ℃, as a result of the coupling between the ferromagnetic and ferroelectric order parameters [1,5,6]. The most pronounced transition was observed within BTF2 and BTF3 samples.

It has been noticed that the highest $T_{FE}$ has been detected for BTF1 sample. This indicates that for this sample, bonds between core and shell are not strong enough and the strain isn’t pronounced so much. The shifting of $T_{FE}$ toward lower values (for BTF2–BTF5) indicates stronger coupling between the magnetism and ferroelectricity in these samples [6,42]. Similar behavior, that strain originated from BaTiO$_3$ core strongly affects the electrical properties of Fe$_2$O$_3$ shell, and vice versa, was noticed by Koo et al. [14] and Curecheriu et al. [43]. Coupling between magnetic and ferroelectric phases is reflected mostly in values of ME coupling coefficient. Mahajan et al. [44] found maximum value of ($d\varepsilon/dH$) of 140 μV/(cm-Oe) at about 1500 Oe for cobalt ferrite–barium titanate composites. $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and BaTiO$_3$ composite materials obtained by solid state reaction show maximum value of 0.05% for magnetocapacitance at 4000 Oe. It can be concluded that low value of magnetocapacitance can originate from the presence of large number of interfaces, poor connectivity between BaTiO$_3$ grains and magnetic phases [45].

The second transition near 200 ℃ was noticed, and is attributed to ferromagnetic transition which is very close to the value obtained by Deka et al. [42]. Furthermore, the highest values of magnetization were observed for BTF2 and BTF3 samples.

The variation of the capacitance of the samples BTF2 and BTF3 as a function of frequencies is shown in Fig. 10. At high frequencies, the capacitance is of order of magnitude $10^{-11}$–$10^{-10}$ F, but it increases significantly at lower frequencies, reaching the value of $10^{-8}$ and $10^{-6}$ F at $10^2$ Hz, for the BTF3 and BTF2 respectively. Observed trend of capacitance enhancement and the dispersion observed at lower frequencies are common features of the BaTiO$_3$–magnetic composites and it indicates that extrinsic phenomena, such as Maxwell–Wagner interfacial polarization and conductivity in the magnetic phases contribute to the capacitance value. Contributions are dominantly appearing at low frequencies, similar as it was shown in literature [43,45]. The apparent capacitance of composites increases rapidly with decreasing frequency, because of conductivity effects. Taking into account that samples were prepared in the same way (same die tool, same applied pressure, and same weight, etc.), the higher capacitance of BTF2 sample was expected because of significant differences in iron oxides amount within samples BTF2 and BTF3, as shown in Table 1.

At higher frequencies, where the charge defects aren’t active any more, capacitance gravitates toward its intrinsic value. Partially minor values of capacitance of comparison to pure BaTiO$_3$, is expected due to the large number of the non-ferroelectric grain boundaries as well as reduction of tetragonality [43], and detected by Raman measurements.

Effect of changes in $Q$ factor with increase in heating temperature for BTF2 and BTF3 samples is presented in Fig. 11. The broad peak obtained for the sample BTF2 between 110–120 ℃ is characteristic of ferroelectric transition of BaTiO$_3$. For the BTF3 sample, this peak was shifted to the lower temperatures, indicating some coupling between the magnetism and ferroelectricity within the sample, which was confirmed by magnetization measurement. Further, it was found that partially Fe substitution in BaTiO$_3$ ceramics, the
Fig. 7  (a) SEM; (b) EDS; (c) mapping of elements; (d) TEM for the sample BTF4.
Fig. 8  (a) SEM; (b) EDS; (c) mapping of elements; (d) TEM for the sample BTF5.
most probably on contact surface between BaTiO3 core and iron oxide shell, drastically reduces temperature of ferroelectric transition [6,42]. The broad hump of $Q$ factor within the BTF2 sample is very similar as it is found by Deka et al. [42] for sample with addition of Fe in BaTiO3 matrix, due to lower amount of dielectric phase of BaTiO3. However, the sharp pick for the sample BTF3 at 95 °C is a consequence of higher amount of dielectric phase, over 90% (Table 1).

Fig. 11  Changes of $Q$ factor with annealing temperature.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, the influence of preparation condition on structures and properties of BaTiO3/α-Fe2O3 core/shell composite materials were investigated. The changes in phase composition and ratio of observed phases were detected by XRD analyses. The results pointed out that with decrease of pH value during synthesis, significantly lower amount of iron compounds was formed. However, for samples synthesized at lower pH, purer phase composition was obtained. Raman spectroscopy was performed in order to detect modifications of lattice vibrations with alteration in preparation condition. It confirmed the global mentioned trend in phase alternations detected by XRD and indicated the reduction of BaTiO3 tetragonality in BTF2–BTF5 samples. Changes in morphology were investigated by SEM, TEM, and EDS measurements. The observed results demonstrated almost uniform coating of BaTiO3 powder with synthesized Fe oxides for sample BTF1. Magnetization and electrical measurements indicated some coupling effect between the ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity. Furthermore, the temperatures of ferroelectric and ferromagnetic transition were determined.
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