Discussion of tumor mutation burden as an indicator to predict efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors: A case report
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There are many treatment options for advanced lung cancer, among which immunotherapy has developed rapidly and benefited a lot of patients. However, immunotherapy can only benefit a subgroup of patients, and how to select patients suitable for this therapy is critical. Tumor mutation burden (TMB) is one of the important reference indicators for immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). However, there are many factors influencing the usage of this indicator, which will lead to considerable consequences if not treated well. In this study, we performed a case study on a male advanced lung squamous cell carcinoma patient of age 83. The patient suffered from “cough and sputum”, and did chest CT scans on 24 October 2018, which showed “a mass-like mass in the anterior segment of the right lung upper lobe, about 38mm×28mm”. He was treated with systemic chemotherapy; however, the tumor was still under progression. Although PD-L1 was not tested in gene testing, he had a TMB value of 10.26 mutations/Mb with a quantile value 88.63%. Thus, “toripalimab injection” was added as immunotherapy and the size of the lesion decreased. In summary, we adopted a clinical case as the basis to explore the value and significance of TMB in immunotherapy in this study. We hope that more predictive molecular markers will be discovered, which will bring more treatment methods for advanced lung cancer.
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Introduction

Lung cancer, also known as lung carcinoma, is one of the most prevalent malignant tumors worldwide (1, 2). Patients with lung cancer suffer frequently from recurrence and metastasis, while a few patients even cannot determine the original lesion (1, 3–5). Advanced lung cancer patients have diversified treatments. With the advancement of precision medicine and further exploration at the molecular level, molecular targeted drugs are widely used. It brings new treatment methods to lung cancer patients in addition to surgery and chemoradiotherapy. Targeted drug resistance cannot be avoided (6–11), and therefore, it is vital to actively find new treatments to prolong the survival time of patients.

In recent years, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have developed rapidly, and monoclonal antibodies CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 have greatly extended the survival time of advanced lung cancer patients (12, 13). However, the efficacies of ICIs depend on the genetics of specific patients. Thus, it is critical to identify indicators that could predict the performance of different ICIs on a patient. Widely used indicators for immunotherapy include programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), mismatch repair (MMR), microsatellite instability (MSI), and tumor mutation burden (TMB). TMB is defined as the total number of substitutions and insertions, deletion mutations per megabase in the exon-coding region of a tumor specimen. High TMB usually means more neoantigens, and thus, immune system will have more chance to identify tumor cells. However, since TMB is an exome-wide indicator, it is not specific for detecting one specific mutation and one ICI. Thus, sometimes the patients selected based on this criterion will not benefit from immunotherapy. As a result, there is still a debate on the usage of TMB, and it is critical to show some clinical cases with immunotherapy and high TMB. This kind of cases can further guide the appropriate usage of this important indicator and help to select beneficiaries of immunotherapy in advance. In this paper, we use one advanced lung squamous cell carcinoma case as a discussion of the significance of TMB.

Case study

The patient suffered from “cough and sputum”, and he underwent chest CT scans on 24 October 2018 which showed “a mass-like mass in the anterior segment of the right lung upper lobe, about 38mm×28mm” (Figure 1).

Lung biopsy: (the right upper lung) Squamous cell carcinoma infiltration is seen in the large amount of fibrous collagen tissue. Whole-body PET/CT: 1. Peripheral lung cancer of the anterior segment of the right lung upper lobe involves the adjacent pleura; 2. Multiple metastases in the right pleura. Diagnosis is “right upper lung squamous cell carcinoma (T3N0M1a stage IVA)”. Ten-gene detection of puncture tissue: 10-gene mutations such as EGFR were not detected.

The patient was given “paclitaxel liposome + nedaplatin”, “docetaxel + nedaplatin”, and “gemcitabine + nedaplatin” systemic chemotherapy on 28 November 2018. During the reexamination of the lung lesions, there was no significant reduction compared with the baseline. Reexamination of the chest CT scan on 28 May 2019 revealed that the lung lesions were slightly enlarged, but less than 20% (Figure 2). The patient refused to continue chemotherapy. Then the patient was given “recombinant human endostatin injection” for antitumor angiogenesis and “afatinib” second-line systemic therapy.

Reexamination of the chest CT scan on 21 December 2019 revealed that the lung lesions were significantly enlarged (49 mm × 34 mm) (Figure 3), and bone imaging revealed new bone metastasis. The progress of the disease was evaluated. The blood gene test did not find the mutant gene. PD-L1 was not tested, but TMB had 10.26 mutations/Mb, and the quantile value was 88.63%.
On 14 January 2020, the systemic chemotherapy of “paclitaxel liposome + nedaplatin” was given again, the “recombinant human endostatin injection” antitumor angiogenesis treatment was continued, and the “toripalimab injection” was added with immunotherapy and continuous follow-up. On 20 April 2020, reexamination of the chest CT scan showed that the right lung lesion shrunk to 33 mm × 30 mm (Figure 4).

Reexamination of the chest CT scan on 21 May 2020 showed that the right lung lesion shrunk to 31 mm × 21 mm (Figure 5). Due to multicyclic chemotherapy, the patient began to show bone marrow suppression. On 11 August 2020, the number of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) was detected to be 2. Chemotherapy was stopped, and the “recombinant human endostatin injection” combined with “toripalimab injection” was continued.

On 10 August 2020, reexamination of the chest CT scan revealed that the lung lesions have not changed much from the previous one (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

It is critical to identify prognostic biomarkers that can predict the efficacy of a treatment, as well as the recurrence and survival of cancer patients. Many studies have focused on identifying these biomarkers (14–18), among which TMB received more and more attention. TMB refers to the sum of substitution, insertion, and deletion mutations in the coding region of the evaluated tumor cell genes (19). We can also simply think of how many cell genes in the tumor tissue have mutated. If tumor cells have more gene mutations (i.e., high TMB), they are more likely to produce abnormal proteins; these proteins are called neoantigens. Studies have shown that every 150 non-synonymous mutations produce one to two neoantigens, and these antigens can be the immune system that sees through and activates the body’s T-cell immune response (20–24). TMB is a pan-cancer immunotherapy biomarker, which has proven to be useful in almost all cancers including lung cancer, colorectal...
cancer, melanoma, endometrial cancer, cervical cancer, and bladder cancer.

Previous studies often used PD-L1 as a molecular marker for screening immune expression benefits (25). However, it was found in the phase III clinical trial of CheckMate 026 that even if the PD-L1 expression level is greater than 50%, patients cannot fully benefit from nivolumab treatment. In another study which based on this result, in the subgroup with high TMB, nivolumab had an ORR of 47%, while chemotherapy combined with nivolumab was 28%, and PFS was 9.7 months for the former and 5.8 months for the latter. It shows that nivolumab is significantly better than chemotherapy (26).

From a genetic point of view, the more non-synonymous the mutations, the more the neoantigens may be recognized by the autoimmune system, and the final immunotherapy effect will be stronger. Therefore, the higher the TMB, the more benefited from ICIs (27, 28). Both CheckMate 568 phase II and CheckMate 227 phase III studies have also confirmed that regardless of the level of PD-L1 expression, nivolumab combined with ipilimumab has benefited populations. However, the use of TMB as a molecular marker to predict efficacy can only be used in patients with TMB ≥10 mut/Mb; it was found that PFS was longer than that in the chemotherapy group, and the ORR was also higher. Therefore, we suggest that TMB ≥10 mut/Mb should often be considered as an effective cutoff index for screening patients who benefit from immunotherapy (29, 30).

A number of studies have shown that lung cancer patients with high TMB have better efficacy and prognosis after they used ICIs. In 2018, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network even included TMB in its lung cancer guidelines. Yarchoan et al. published an article in the New England Journal in 2017. The study compared the ORR value of TMB in the treatment of 27 kinds of tumors with PD-1 or PD-L1. The results showed that TMB was related to the ORR value of 55% of patients, and the efficacy prediction of lung cancer is more...
The linear relationship formula: overall effective rate of cancer = 10.8$log(X)$-0.7, where “$X$” represents the number of somatic DNA mutation load per megabase (21). In the CheckMate 026 study, nivolumab- and platinum-containing chemotherapy was used in the first-line treatment of advanced lung cancer. WES was used to measure TMB, and the patients were divided into three groups (<100 mutations, 100–242 mutations, ≥243 mutations); the results showed that the high TMB subgroup (≥243 mutations) patients treated with nivolumab had higher ORR and longer PFS than the chemotherapy group (31). In February 2018, Annals of Oncology published two studies of CheckMate 017 and CheckMate 057. After 3-year minimum follow-up, whether it is squamous or non-squamous NSCLC, the OS value of the nivolumab group was significantly prolonged than that of the docetaxel group (HR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.61–0.81), and they got benefits from continued survival (32). In addition, an article published on Nat Genet in 2019 (16) brought light to the prediction of the efficacy of TMB as ICIs. This is the largest clinical study on both of them so far. It includes 1,662 patients who have received ICIS treatment and also covers 10 types of malignant tumors. The study found that in most patients, the overall survival rate of 20% patients with TMB in the high is higher than that in 80% patients with TMB in the low, which confirms that TMB can be used as a biomarker for predicting the efficacy of ICIs. It has contributed to exploring the threshold of TMB.

The above paragraphs mentioned the use of WES to measure the TMB value, but the current clinical testing is mainly based on major genetic companies’ Panel, which may be closely related to the low price. It causes many uncertain factors, such as different test reagents, or different numbers of genes, and different set standard parameter values. However, regardless of the TMB detection method, the level of TMB expression needs to be considered related to many factors. Chalmers et al. (33) have found that there is a significant correlation between TMB expression and age. The study showed that median TMB at age 10 was 1.67 mutations/Mb, and median TMB at age 88 was 4.50 mutations/Mb. At the same time, the study also predicted the TMB of between age 10 and age 90 according to a linear model, and the final difference was 2.4-fold. Rizvi et al. (34) also studied the efficacy of pembrolizumab in the treatment of advanced lung cancer and found that it is closely related to smoking, changes in DNA repair pathways, and high expression of neoantigens in tumor tissues. These factors are also related to high TMB.

To sum up, immunotherapy has brought all cancer treatments into another era of precision medical care, with unlimited potential. The current research on its efficacy is generally considerable, and most patients can benefit from it. However, research on indicators for predicting its efficacy is still at the tip of the iceberg, and there is no clear single molecular marker that can determine the efficacy of ICIs. Therefore, it is hoped that more studies that can effectively predict molecular markers are in full
swing. At present, it is certain that the combination of immunotherapy and existing treatment methods will be a key subject of lung cancer treatment research. At the same time, we are also looking forward to more new methods, but how to optimize the allocation of these combinations has a long way to go.
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