Performance of MTBDRplus assay in detecting multidrug resistant tuberculosis at hospital level
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Abstract

Objective: Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) case finding progressively increased in Ethiopia mainly as a result of the utilization of World Health Organization (WHO)-endorsed rapid technologies including MTBDRplus assay. However, there is inadequate data on routine testing performance of the MTBDRplus assay. Consequently, the aim of the study was to assess the routine performance of the MTBDRplus assay in detecting MDR-TB at St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital.

Results: The sensitivity and specificity of MTBDRplus in detecting isoniazid (INH) resistance were 96.3 and 100%, respectively. While for rifampicin (RIF) 100% was recorded for both. Similarly, a sensitivity of 97.96% and a specificity of 100% was measured for detecting MDR-TB. Among 49 MTBDRplus RIF resistant isolates, 46 (93.9%) strains had rpoB mutation. S531L was the most common rpoB mutant (81.6% of RIF resistant cases). All MTBDRplus INH resistant isolates (n = 52) had S315T katG mutation.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be a major public health problem in Ethiopia. The country ranks seventh among the 22 high burden countries. According to the first population-based TB prevalence survey of Ethiopia, the estimated prevalence of smear positive and bacteriologically confirmed TB to be 108/100,000 and 277/100,000, respectively [1]. Moreover, the emergence of MDR-TB (resistance to at least isoniazid and rifampicin) largely affects the TB control program in the country. The estimated MDR-TB prevalence among the new and previously treated TB patients was 1.6 and 12%, respectively [2]. The development of MDR-TB can be caused by inadequate treatment, and the risk is higher in patients with the history of treatment failure and inappropriate treatment regimen [3]. Treatment based on drug resistance profiles ensures adequate treatment of the patients.

In the year 2014, 503 MDR-TB cases (39% of the estimated MDR-TB among the notified pulmonary TB cases) were reported from Ethiopia [2]. MDR-TB case finding progressively increased year-to-year as a result of the utilization of WHO-endorse rapid molecular assays; MTBDRplus assay and Xpert MTB/RIF assay. However, still more than half of the estimated MDR-TB cases remain undetected in the population. Accurate and rapid detection of MDR-TB cases benefit the patients to receive treatment with second-line regimens and consequently cuts the transmission. In contrary, inaccurately classifying TB patients as MDR-TB patients due to diagnostic test limitation unnecessarily exposes patients for second-line anti-TB drugs for the prolonged period; often 18–24 months. These drugs are highly associated with drug side effects relative to the first-line anti-TB drugs [4].

Until 2010, the National TB Reference Laboratory (NRL) of Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) was the only TB culture and drug susceptibility testing (DST) laboratory that served the entire population of Ethiopia. Later on, eight more TB culture laboratories had...
been established at the center and in regions. In 2008, WHO endorsed Genotype® MTBDRplus assay for rapid screening of patients at risk of MDR-TB [5]. This molecular line probe assay identifies *M. tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) and detects mutations that confer resistance to rifampicin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH). Mutations in *rpoB* gene (encodes RNA polymerase b-subunit) infer RIF resistance. Mutation in *katG* (encodes catalase peroxidase) and *inhA* (encodes enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase) genes infer high- and low-level resistance to INH, respectively [6]. The national diagnostic algorithm suggests to use the assay independently or parallel with phenotypic DST [7]. The test can be applied directly to smear-positive samples and indirectly in smear-negative samples [7]. The MTBDRplus assay has been utilized for rapid DST in all newly established TB culture laboratories with no phenotypic DST option including St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital Laboratory. The assay is evaluated in many geographic locations of Africa [8–12]; however, there is limited documented evidence on the diagnostic accuracy of the MTBDRplus assay in detecting MDR-TB in Ethiopia. Therefore, we assessed the routine performance of MTBDRplus assay for detection of MDR-TB at St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital Laboratory.

**Main text**

**Materials and methods**

**Setting**

The study was conducted at St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital. Since 1961 Gregorian calendar the hospital has been serving as a referral point throughout the country for the management of highly complicated TB cases particularly MDR-TB. Moreover, the hospital is the first health facility to start MDR-TB treatment in Ethiopia with its own biosafety level (BSL)-3 TB culture and DST laboratory.

**Clinical isolates**

Archived 72 mycobacterial clinical isolates from presumptive MDR-TB patients were utilized for phenotypic drug resistance analysis. The isolates were initially obtained from previously treated pulmonary specimens (sputum). Most isolates were from patients in Addis Ababa (60.5%) and the remaining from different regions of Ethiopia. The isolates were characterized for rifampicin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH) susceptibility using Genotype® MTBDRplus assay (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany) through the routine MDR-TB diagnostic service at St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital Laboratory during the year 2011/12 (Fig. 1). Forty-eight (66.7%) were found to be MDR-TB by MTBDRplus assay. Patients had received appropriate treatment completely relying on the test results of Genotype® MTBDRplus assay with no phenotypic DST confirmation. The isolates were kept frozen in BACTEC™ MGIT™ 960 Tubes (BD, Sparks, MD, USA) at − 80 °C. Thawed liquid isolates (100 µl) was inoculated onto duplicate slant Lowenstein Jensen (LJ) media and incubated at 37 °C while waiting for confluent growth. The resultant mycobacterial growth was used for *M. tuberculosis* identification test and phenotypic DST.

**Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and M. tuberculosis**

As described by Kumar et al. [13], the SD BIOLINE TB Ag MPT64 Rapid (Standard Diagnostics, Inc., Korea) was used for identification of *M. tuberculosis* complex (MTBC). For further confirmation of *M. tuberculosis*, Region-of-difference 9 (RD9) typing was performed as described by Parsons et al. [14] at Aklilu Lemma Institute of Pathobiology (ALIP).

**Phenotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST)**

Isolates identified as *M. tuberculosis* were analyzed using phenotypic conventional DST for RIF and INH resistance as described by Canetti et al. [15]. LJ based proportion method was used at critical concentrations (CC) 0.2 and 40 g/ml for INH and RIF, respectively. Final DST reading was taken after 6 weeks of incubation at 37 °C. The isolate was considered resistant if the proportion resistant *Bacilli* was higher than 1%. The phenotypic DST procedures were carried out, without the knowledge of MTBDRplus test results, at TB National Reference Laboratory (NRL) of Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI).

**MTBDRplus testing**

Genotype® MTBDRplus assay was carried directly from the specimen or indirectly from culture depending on the smear positivity of the pellet obtained from the processed specimen at St. Peter’s TB Specialized Hospital Laboratory following manufacturer’s instructions (Hain Lifescience). The procedure involved DNA extraction, multiplex amplification of target sequences with biotinylated primers, and DNA reverse hybridization. The test result was interpreted by considering the presence or absence of wild-type (WT) and mutant band on a strip. Absence of WT band or mutant band presence was an indication of resistance to an associated drug.

**Quality control**

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv (ATCC 27294) and *Mycobacterium bovis* (AF 2122/97) strains were used as positive controls. Molecular grade water was used as negative control for deletion typing. *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv was also included in every batch of ICA and phenotypic DST.
Data analysis
The sensitivity and specificity were calculated for assessing the routine performance of the MTBDRplus assay in comparing against the phenotypic DST. All the statistical analysis were performed using SPSS 20.0 Software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Inc, Chicago, II, USA).

Results
Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and M. tuberculosis
All of the Mycobacterial isolates were identified as MTBC using ICA and further confirmed to be M. tuberculosis (MTB) by deletion typing. The MTB identification performance of MTBDRplus assay was 100% in comparing against ICA and deletion typing. There was no M. bovis identified among the clinical isolates by deletion typing (Data not shown).

Performance MTBDRplus assay in detecting RIF and INH resistance and MDR-TB against phenotypic DST
Forty-nine (68.1%) clinical isolates were identified as MDR-TB by the gold standard phenotypic DST; however, 48 (66.7%) of them were MDR-TB using MTBDRplus assay. All MTBDRplus RIF resistant isolates were found to be resistant by the phenotypic DST. We had two INH resistant discordant isolates in comparing against the standard method. The isolates were reported as INH susceptible by MTBDRplus while INH resistant by phenotypic DST i.e. one isolate was INH resistant by
phenotypic DST but susceptible by MTBDRplus assay and the second isolate was MDR by phenotypic DST but it was RIF resistant only by MTBDRplus assay (Table 1).

**Mutations associated with rifampicin and isoniazid resistance**

Among the 49 MTBDRplus RIF resistant isolates, 46 of them had rpoB mutation. The predominant rpoB mutant was S531L (81.6% of RIF resistant cases). H526Y (8.16%) and D516V (2.04%) rpoB mutants were also detected. Three RIF resistant isolates did not show any of MTBDRplus assay incorporated rpoB mutations; however, wild types WT3/WT4/WT7 were missing. WT8 missing was repeatedly seen in isolates with S531L mutation (73.5%). All of the 52 MTBDRplus INH resistant isolates had S315T1 katG mutation and WT missing in katG at 315 codon. None of the isolates had a mutation in the inhA promoter region. Overall, S531L and S315T1 were the two most frequently associated mutants with RIF and INH resistance, respectively. Mutation patterns of INH and RIF drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates are presented in Table 2.

**Discussion**

In our study, MTBDRplus had the sensitivities of 96.3, 100 and 97.96% in detecting INH resistance, RIF resistance and MDR, respectively and had 100% specificity for each resistance types (INH, RIF, and MDR). The sensitivity of INH resistance detection was comparable with studies reported from Africa; Ethiopia (91.7 and 91.7%, *p* > 0.05) [12, 16], Uganda (88%, *p* > 0.05) [8] and South Africa (94.2 and 85.7%, *p* > 0.05) [9, 11]. However, lower INH sensitivity (80.8 and 62.07%, *p* < 0.05) were also reported by other studies in Uganda [10] and South Africa [11], respectively. The MTBDRplus assay was unable to detect two INH resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates in the routine testing. These discordant cases and lower sensitivity in some areas could be due to a rare mutation

### Table 1 Performance MTBDRplus assay in detecting RIF resistance, INH resistance and MDR-TB against phenotypic DST

| Drug resistance | LJ R | LJ S | LPA R | LPA S | Sensitivity, % (95% CI) | Specificity, % (95% CI) | PPV, % (95% CI) | NPV, % (95% CI) |
|-----------------|------|------|-------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| MDR (RIF&INH)  | 49   | 23   | 48    | 24    | 97.96 (89.15–99.95)       | 100 (85.18–100.00)       | 100 (92.60–100.00) | 95.83 (78.88–99.89) |
| INH             | 54   | 18   | 52    | 20    | 96.3 (87.25–99.55)        | 100 (81.47–100.00)       | 100 (93.15–100.00) | 90 (68.3–98.77) |
| RIF             | 49   | 23   | 49    | 23    | 100 (92.72–100.00)        | 100 (85.18–100.00)       | 100 (92.72–100.00) | 100 (85.18–100.00) |

LJ Lowenstein Jensen DST, LPA line probe assay DST, R resistant, S sensitive, CI confidence interval

### Table 2 Mutations associated with INH and RIF drug resistant *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates

| Phenotypic DST | RpoB susceptibility pattern | | | Phenotypic DST | INH susceptibility pattern | | | | | | | | RpoB gene | Mutant | RpoB gene | Mutant | INhA gene | Mutant | Frequency |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| R              | 530–533 (WT8)               | S531L                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 35 |
| R              | 510–513 (WT2)               | S331L                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |
| R              | 516–519 (WT4)               | S331L                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 2 |
| R              | 513–517 (WT3)               | D516V                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 2 |
| R              | 513–517 (WT3)               | –                           | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |
| R              | 513–517 (WT3)               | S331L                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |
| R              | 526–529 (WT7)               | –                           | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 2 |
| R              | 526–529 (WT7)               | HS26Y                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 2 |
| R              | 526–529 (WT7)               | S531L                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |
| R              | 526–529 (WT7)               | HS26Y                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |
| R              | –                           | HS26Y                       | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 4 |
| S              | –                           | –                           | R                           | 315                         | S315T1                      | –                            | –                            | 1 |

* MTBDRplus INH susceptible and INH resistant by phenotypic DST
that was not incorporated in the MTBDRplus assay strips or by mutations in other genomic loci of the katG and inhA genes [17].

The sensitivity of detecting RIF resistance of MTBDRplus was similar to the report from Ethiopia (100 and 88.2%, p > 0.05) [16], Uganda (100%, p > 0.05) [10], South Africa (98.9 and 100%, p > 0.05) [9, 18]. However, fairly low RIF resistance sensitivity was reported in South Africa (85.7%, p < 0.05) [11], and M TB strains with mutations outside of the 81 base-pair region of rpoB could be more predominant in that specific study setting. In this study, 100% of RIF resistant cases were detected using MTBDRplus assay in routine testing, and RIF resistance was highly associated with mutations in rpoB gene of the assay. This ensures all patients identified as RIF resistance had received appropriate treatment with second-line regimen as per the national programmatic management of drug-resistant TB guidelines [7]. The guideline recommends that all RIF resistant patients should be treated as MDR-TB cases using second-line anti-TB drugs.

The sensitivity in detecting MDR-TB is in agreement with most studies in Africa; Ethiopia (100 and 96.4%, p > 0.05) [12, 16], Uganda (92.3%, p > 0.05) [10] and South Africa (95.6%, p > 0.05) [9]. However, the report from South Africa (84.6%, p < 0.05) [19] is slightly different.

In agreement with other studies in Ethiopia [12, 16, 19, 20], we found the most common mutation of rpoB gene at codon 531 (81.6% of RIF resistant cases) and katG gene at codon 315 (100% of INH resistant cases) for RIF and INH resistance, respectively. Target gene mutation analysis can be considered as a drug-resistant testing option in the Ethiopian context.

In general, MTBDRplus assay had good routine testing performance in detecting resistance to INH, RIF, and MDR-TB of MTB in comparing against conventional LJ based DST at the hospital level. No single patient was erroneously classified as RIF resistant/MDR and received second-line regimen because of the assay limitation and/or technical incompetence. The MTBDRplus assay can be considered to be implemented in hospital settings as far as the safety requirements are fulfilled.

Limitations

The study was conducted on the stored M. tuberculosis isolates. Few of the stored isolates did not grow following subculture and their phenotypic susceptibility test result was unavailable to compare against the MTBDRplus assay.
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