Stability of iron-bearing carbonates in the deep Earth’s interior
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The presence of carbonates in inclusions in diamonds coming from depths exceeding 670 km are obvious evidence that carbonates exist in the Earth’s lower mantle. However, their range of stability, crystal structures and the thermodynamic conditions of the decarbonation processes remain poorly constrained. Here we investigate the behaviour of pure iron carbonate at pressures over 100 GPa and temperatures over 2,500 K using single-crystal X-ray diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy in laser-heated diamond anvil cells. On heating to temperatures of the Earth’s geotherm at pressures to ~50 GPa FeCO₃ partially dissociates to form various iron oxides. At higher pressures FeCO₃ forms two new structures—tetrairon(III) orthocarbonate Fe₄³⁺C₃O₁₂ and diiron(II) diiron(III) tetracarbonate Fe₅³⁺Fe₄³⁺C₄O₁₃, both phases containing CO₄ tetrahedra. Fe₅C₂O₁₃ is stable at conditions along the entire geotherm to depths of at least 2,500 km, thus demonstrating that self-oxidation-reduction reactions can preserve carbonates in the Earth’s lower mantle.
late tectonics drives subduction of carbonate-bearing oceanic plates, that are responsible for recycling carbon from the surface down to the deepest regions of our planet. Indeed, geophysical, geochemical and petrological evidence suggest that sufficiently cold and/or fast subducting slabs can penetrate the transition zone and the Earth’s lower mantle, possibly even reaching the core–mantle boundary. Subducting plates are the major source of carbon influx inside the Earth’s mantle. Observation of carbonate inclusions in super-deep diamonds of lower mantle origin is evidence for their existence at depths greater than 700 km (refs 6–8). Untangling the behaviour of carbonates at extreme conditions, that is, determining their stability regions and properties, is a key to understanding the deep carbon cycle.

There are two major mechanisms that could affect carbonate phase stability and carbon oxidation state in the Earth’s interior—chemical reaction(s) with surrounding minerals or transformations (including self-oxidation-reduction) of carbonates themselves at specific pressures and temperatures. Previous studies on the Ca, Mg, Fe-bearing carbonates have established that they all undergo several high-pressure high-temperature (HPHT) phase transitions without decomposing in the pressure range up to 140 GPa and restricted temperatures. Investigations of the stability of MgCO₃ in the transition zone and upper part of the lower mantle as a function of oxygen fugacity demonstrated that carbon is expected to occur as diamond and carbides in the bulk mantle (when homogeneously distributed) rather than carbonates. However, in subducting slabs carbonates are expected to be stable due to the more oxidizing conditions compared to the surrounding mantle, which may preserve them to the bottom of the lower mantle. The presence of iron is crucial to the fate of high-temperature carbonates. Iron can radically change the thermodynamic stability of carbonate phases, thereby preserving them from breaking down. This behaviour may be a direct consequence of pressure-induced spin crossover, which has been observed to occur at ~43 GPa at room temperature to over 50 GPa at ~1,200 K (ref. 22) for the endmember FeCO₃. The presence of Fe-bearing carbonates in the lower mantle is supported by experimental evidence. Iron plays a fundamental role in the redox state of the mantle due to its ability to exist in multiple valence states, and its abundance in the mantle is sufficient to govern the redox state of other elements, carbon in particular.

Interest in the high-pressure behaviour of carbonates has been enhanced by recent reports of novel compounds containing tetrahedral CO₄ groups instead of the triangular planar CO₃ groups that occur at ambient pressure. Theoretical predictions indicate potential analogues between CO₄-bearing carbonates and silicates, but so far experimental information about structures of high-pressure carbonates is too limited (and indeed controversial) to speculate about their crystal chemistry.

In this study, we performed an experimental investigation of the high-pressure high-temperature behaviour of synthetic iron carbonate (FeCO₃). Experimental conditions of our work cover the entire mantle and reveal two novel compounds containing tetrahedral CO₄ groups, as well as the complex role of ferrous and ferric iron in stabilizing carbonates at extreme conditions. Our single-crystal X-ray diffraction data unambiguously establish the existence of at least one carbonate with a unique structural type (not known for silicates or other tetrahedral anion-bearing compounds), and demonstrate that the conditions in the Earth’s lower mantle do not lead to full decomposition of Fe-based carbonates due to self-oxidation-reduction reaction(s).

Results

Synthesis and structures of CO₄-bearing Fe-carbonates. Synthesis of FeCO₃ single crystals and their characterization at ambient conditions was described by Cerantola et al. HPHT experiments were performed in laser-heated diamond anvil cells (DACS) (see Methods section for details). We employed single-crystal X-ray diffraction as the primary method for sample characterization, and powder X-ray diffraction when analysis of single-crystal data were not possible. We used energy-domain Mössbauer spectroscopy (synchrotron Mössbauer source, SMS; see Methods section for details) as a complementary method of phase analysis and to determine the iron oxidation state.

Tetrairon(III) Orthocarbonate Fe₄⁺CO₄²⁻. We observed appearance of the new sharp spots in the diffraction pattern after laser heating of FeCO₃ single crystals at 1,750(100) K at 74(1) GPa (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (for example, Supplementary Fig. 1) data were collected on a temperature-quenched sample (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Reflections of one of the phases were indexed in a...
hexagonal unit cell (space group R3c, \#161). After the integration procedure the resulting data set contained 327 reflections with $I > 2\sigma (I)$. Structure solution using direct methods identified the phase as a novel iron carbonate with stoichiometry $\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12}$. Charge balance considerations show that all iron is ferric. Each carbon atom is surrounded by four oxygen atoms (C–O distances \(1.31–1.40\) Å at 74 GPa), forming isolated (that is, not linked to each other) tetrahedra (Fig. 2a,b). Thus, the new compound is referred to as tetrairon(III) orthocarbonate.

There are two structurally distinct iron positions in the phase: the Fe(1) atom is situated on a threefold axis, while Fe(2) occupies a general position (Supplementary Table 2). Fe(1) is surrounded by nine oxygen atoms where three of the oxygen atoms are much further away than the other six (\(2.30\) Å versus \(1.94\) and \(1.97\) Å at 74 GPa); hence this polyhedron can be considered to be a regular trigonal prism (Fig. 2a). The Fe(2) atom is located in a bicapped trigonal prism coordinated by oxygen. The individual (and average) Fe–O distances in both of the iron polyhedra in $\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12}$ are longer than in low-spin iron(III)-bearing oxides and compounds (\(\sim 1.8\) Å at corresponding pressures), which suggests that the phase contains high-spin iron. Although a precise characterization of the pure phase using Mössbauer spectroscopy is difficult due to the presence of other iron compounds (particularly iron oxides, see below) in the laser-heated samples, the available information supports the presence of iron in the high-spin state (see Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Note 1).

The threefold symmetry ring formed by corner- and edge-shared CO$_4$ – tetrahedra and three Fe(2)O$_8$-bicapped prisms is a notable characteristic of the tetrairon orthocarbonate structure (Fig. 2a). The rings form layers that are stacked along the $c$ axis (where each subsequent layer is rotated by 120° with respect to the original one, Fig. 2a). The trigonal Fe(1)O$_6$-prisms are connected via triangular bases that are located in the channels formed by stacked rings. We are not aware of any other compounds that form the same structure.

Diiron(II) Diiron(III) Tetracarbonate $\text{Fe}_2^{2+}\text{Fe}_2^{3+}\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13}$. Laser heating of FeCO$_3$ at temperatures above 1,750(100) K at pressures above 74(1) GPa resulted in formation of not only $\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and iron oxides (see below), but also a monoclinic phase (space group $\text{C}2/c$, \#15; Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on temperature-quenched samples at different pressures, where the best results were obtained for the experiment at 97(2) GPa (Fig. 1, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The chemical composition derived from the structure solution is $\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13}$, or more specifically $\text{Fe}^{2+}_2\text{Fe}^{3+}_2\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13}$. Each carbon atom is tetrahedrally coordinated by four oxygen atoms (C–O distances \(1.27–1.39\) Å at 97(2) GPa), and four CO$_4$ groups are linked in truncated chains (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 4b). Thus, we refer to the new compound as diiron(II) diiron(III) tetracarbonate.

The atomic arrangement of the structure is based on corner-linked infinite layers of Fe(2)O$_8$-bicapped prisms connected in a three-dimensional (3D) framework by dimers of edge-shared Fe(1)O$_7$ monocapped prisms and zigzag-shaped C$_4$O$_{10}^-_{\text{10}}$ chains (Fig. 2b). The average Fe–O distances in Fe(1)O$_7$ and Fe(2)O$_8$
polyhedra are similar to one another (\( \sim 1.96 \text{ Å} \) and \( \sim 2.02 \text{ Å} \), respectively, at 97(2) GPa) and longer than expected for low-spin ferric or ferrous iron\(^{26}\). Similarity in the sizes of iron polyhedra may indicate that Fe cations are in a mixed valence state (intermediate between +2 and +3) as proposed for high-pressure iron oxides\(^{26,27}\).

Fe\(_{3}C_{4}O_{13}\) is isostructural with recently reported Mg\(_{1.6}Fe_{2.4}C_{4}O_{13}\) (ref. 24) obtained by annealing Mg-bearing natural siderite at 141 GPa and 2,650 K. Indeed there is an entire family of tetrasilicates containing four-member Si\(_{4}O_{13}\)\(^{10}\) groups (ref. 28 and references therein), as well as germanates, vanadates and arsenates.

FeCO\(_{3}\) behaviour at high pressures and high temperatures. Recent studies of FeCO\(_{3}\), at pressures up to 20 GPa, have shown that while it melts above ~6 GPa, below this pressure the phase dissociates to the mixture Fe\(_{5}O_{4}\) + C + CO\(_{2}\) before melting. Heating FeCO\(_{3}\), single crystals at 28.7(1) GPa and 1,550(100) K does not result in any phase or structural changes or destruction of the single crystal (Fig. 3b). Heating the same sample at higher temperatures (2,250(100) K) causes complete recrystallization of the material (Fig. 3c), thus indicating melting. Mössbauer spectra of the samples quenched from the molten state show unambiguously the presence of FeCO\(_{3}\) as well as iron oxides: \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_{2}O\(_{3}\) (hematite) at pressures below ~25 GPa and HP-Fe\(_{3}O\(_{4}\) (ref. 26) above ~31 GPa (Figs 1 and 4b,c,f,g; Supplementary Tables 1,4 and 5).

We observed a similar behaviour for FeCO\(_{3}\) upon heating to ~51 GPa (Fig. 1, Supplementary Tables 1 and 5). However, while the degree of carbonate decomposition appears to increase at increasing pressure (at a given temperature) and increasing pressure (at a given temperature), we cannot quantify the process based on our existing data. Nevertheless it is clear that all experiments performed below ~51 GPa produced only partial decomposition of the carbonate. Even heating above ~2,450 K for up to one hour always showed diffraction lines of recrystallized FeCO\(_{3}\) and/or its presence in SMS spectra. However, we cannot exclude that heating at sufficiently high temperature (and for a sufficiently long time) could result in complete breakdown of FeCO\(_{3}\).

Fe\(^{2+}\) in FeCO\(_{3}\) is known\(^{17–21}\) to undergo spin crossover from high to low spin at about 40 GPa. Although the goal of this work was not to investigate the pressure–temperature dependence of spin crossover, Mössbauer spectroscopy (SMS) was able to show the temperature effect on the spin state at different pressures (examples of spectra are given in Fig. 4). The corresponding data points are shown on Fig. 1 and are reasonably consistent with the data reported by Lin et al.\(^{22}\) and Liu et al.\(^{23}\) for magnesio-siderite Mg\(_{0.35}Fe_{0.65}CO_{3}\).

Heating FeCO\(_{3}\) at 74(1) GPa and 1,750(100) K resulted in the formation of multi-domains of both tetrairon orthocarbonate Fe\(_{4}C_{3}O_{12}\) and diiron(II) diiron(III) tetracarbonate, Fe\(_{4}C_{4}O_{13}\).

![Figure 3 | Selected 2D diffraction images of FeCO\(_{3}\) before and after heating.](image)

Figure 3 | Selected 2D diffraction images of FeCO\(_{3}\) before and after heating. Single-crystal diffraction images were collected at 28.7(1) GPa at (a) ambient temperature before heating, (b) after heating at 1,550(100) K and (c) 2,250(100) K. Melting and recrystallization of starting material is evidenced by the appearance of FeCO\(_{3}\) powder diffraction rings after heating to the highest temperature. Appearance of diffraction lines of HP-Fe\(_{3}O\(_{4}\) indicates incongruent melting of FeCO\(_{3}\). Blue ellipsoids indicate diamond diffraction spots from the diamond anvils and yellow squares mark the single-crystal diffraction spots of FeCO\(_{3}\). Numbers designate hkl-indices of diffraction lines belonging to FeCO\(_{3}\) (black), neon pressure transmitting medium (magenta) and HP-Fe\(_{3}O\(_{4}\) (red).

![Figure 4 | Selected synchrotron Mössbauer spectra from high P-T treatment of FeCO\(_{3}\).](image)

Figure 4 | Selected synchrotron Mössbauer spectra from high P-T treatment of FeCO\(_{3}\). (a–d) Spectra collected at the indicated pressures at room temperature before heating; (e–h) Spectra collected during or after heating at the indicated temperatures. Subspectra are shaded as follows: blue doublet—high-spin ferrous iron, red singlet—low-spin ferrous iron; green sextet—\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_{2}O\(_{3}\) and orange sextet—HP-Fe\(_{3}O\(_{4}\) (Supplementary Fig. 5). Note that in a the intensity (amount) of high-spin ferrous iron increases with increasing temperature. The appearance of HP-Fe\(_{3}O\(_{4}\) in g and h is the result of progressive decomposition of FeCO\(_{3}\) upon melting at high pressure. Continuous lines are fitted with the full transmission integral (Methods section). Percentages on the left of each spectrum indicate the relative absorption.
diiron(III) tetracarbonate Fe$_4$C$_4$O$_{13}$ (green). Neon was used both as a quasi-hydrostatic pressure medium and a pressure standard (Methods section).

Re (light blue), tetrairon(III) orthocarbonate Fe$_4$C$_3$O$_{12}$ (red) and diiron(II) diiron(III) tetracarbonate, Fe$_4$C$_4$O$_{13}$ reveal the presence of further reflections which do not belong in any obvious way to the previously identified carbonates. While in some cases it was not possible to identify phase(s) unambiguously due to close overlapping of reflections and/or their low amount, for most data points we were able to determine lattice parameters and even refine structure(s). In all cases, the appearance of Fe$_4$C$_4$O$_{13}$ upon heating at pressures above $\sim$74 GPa was associated with the formation of orthorhombic (space group Cmcn) CaIrO$_3$-structured $\eta$-Fe$_4$O$_9$ (ref. 26) and/or orthorhombic (space group Bnnm) CaTi$_2$O$_7$-type structured HP-Fe$_4$O$_9$ phase$^{26,31}$ (Fig. 1, Supplementary Tables 1 and 5). Additionally, in several experiments after heating at different pressures but at temperatures above $\sim$2,200 K, we observed monoclinic (space group C2/m) Fe$_4$O$_7$ (ref. 26).

Samples recovered after experiments at pressures above $\sim$30 GPa at temperatures higher than $\sim$1,800 K show strong broad features in Raman spectra that are typical for nanodiamond$^{32}$ and sometimes a band at 1,330 cm$^{-1}$ that is characteristic for diamond (Supplementary Fig. 3), even though in situ X-ray measurements were not able to detect the presence of diamond (or any other carbon phases) after laser heating of FeCO$_3$ crystals. The formation of diamond upon heating of FeCO$_3$ at high pressures and temperatures has been unambiguously documented in previous studies$^{12,29,30}$.

Discussion

The increase of carbon co-ordination number from three (CO$_3$ triangles) to four (CO$_4$ tetrahedra) under compression is the obvious consequence of rules known for decades$^{33}$. Numerous theoretical studies have predicted the formation of CO$_4$-bearing carbonates at pressures ranging from over 80 GPa to 150 GPa$^{34-37}$ depending on chemical composition and computational methods. Different configurations, from isolated tetrahedra to pyroxene-like chains, were anticipated for compounds with carbon tetra-coordinated by oxygen. In general, theoretical analysis of possible carbonates with condensed CO$_4$ groups suggests that there should be analogues with silicates, but expected variations of C–O–C angles are much smaller than for Si–O–Si angles in silicates$^{36,38}$.

We are not aware of dedicated theoretical studies of pure iron CO$_4$-bearing carbonates. However, the predicted$^{36}$ structure of Mg-carbonate containing three-membered rings C$_3$O$_6^{6-}$ made of CO$_4$ tetrahedra was used to index peaks in powder diffraction data of HPHT Fe- and Fe/Mg carbonates$^{12,16}$. The same structural model has been used to interpret infrared spectra of magnesioiderite$^{39}$. However, important structural details predicted by theory$^{39}$ and obtained by powder X-ray diffraction experiments$^{12,16}$ are not in agreement (even reported space groups are different). Moreover, it is not obvious how reliable structural information can be extracted based on LaBail fits with large unit cells$^{13}$ or from powder X-ray diffraction of complex mixtures of different phases: for example, $\alpha$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ (hematite) was one of the phases reported to co-exist with carbonates at 88 GPa (ref. 12) while it is well documented by now$^{26}$ that above $\sim$50 GPa iron(III) oxide adopts different structures.

The average interatomic C–O distance in the CO$_3^{2-}$ ion in magnesite-structured FeCO$_3$, MnCO$_3$, and CoCO$_3$ (refs 17,40) extrapolated to 75 GPa is $\sim$1.24 Å, and is $\sim$1.26 Å$^{3}$ in dolomite-III. In iron ortho- and tetracarbonates the average C–O distance is $\sim$1.35 Å, where the larger value is consistent with the increase of coordination number on transformation and quantitatively similar to borates (the typical difference in ionic radius of B$^{3+}$ in...
triangular BO$_3$ and BO$_4$ tetrahedra is ~0.1 Å$^3$. Notably, the average O–O distance (2.20(2) Å) in the CO$_4^-$ group in iron orthocarbonate at ~74 GPa is, for example, almost the same as in magnesite-structured Fe, Mn and Co carbonates (~2.15 Å) and in dolomite-III (~2.17 Å) (refs 17,40). This observation indicates that is not the size of the carbon cation, but rather the oxygen–oxygen contacts that define the size of CO$_4^-$ tetrahedra.

The shapes of CO$_4^-$ tetrahedra in HP-carbonates are far from ideal. The polyhedron is especially distorted in tetracarbonates Fe$_4$C$_3$O$_{12}$ with dissimilar individual C–O distances (varying from 1.254 to 1.385 Å at 103.7(2) GPa) and O–C–O angles (varying from 98 to 115° with bond angle variance of 61.4 degree$^2$, see Supplementary Note 2 for definition and reference). While orthosilicates display a great variety of geometries, such distortion of SiO$_4$ units is not unusual and garnets in particular show bond angle variabilities in the same range (57° for pyrope, for example, ref. 41).

In tetracarbonate there are two non-equivalent CO$_4^-$tetrahedra, two ‘outer’ and two ‘inner’ that form four-membered C$_9$O$_{13}^{10^-}$-truncated chains (Fig. 2c,d). In both Mg-bearing$^{24}$ and pure iron tetracarbonate the ‘inner’ tetrahedra are significantly more distorted than the ‘outer’; the bond angle variances are 35.9 degree$^2$ compared to 13.1 degree$^2$ for pure iron tetracarbonate at 97(2) GPa, and 146.2 compared to 19.0 degree$^2$ for the Mg-bearing phase at 135 GPa (ref. 24). Indeed the same tendency is observed in tetrasilicates (ref. 28 and references therein).

Moreover, the Si–O–Si angles in tetrasilicates are ~122° and 125–143° (for ‘outer’-‘inner’ and ‘inner’-‘inner’ tetrahedra, respectively$^{28}$), while for tetracarbonates the corresponding C–O–C angles are ~113° and 122–125°. Thus, the analogous structural behaviour of silicates and CO$_4^-$based carbonates is obvious: based on experimental observations there is no reason that ‘high-pressure’ carbonate structures should be more limited than those of silicates. Moreover, iron(III) orthocarbonate, Fe$_5$C$_3$O$_{12}$, with its unique structure is an example of the diversity of atomic arrangements that are possible in high-pressure CO$_4^-$bearing carbonates.

Heating FeCO$_3$ above 1,750(100) K at pressures to at least ~50 GPa (Fig. 1) resulted in partial decomposition of the material with formation of iron oxides ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ below ~25 GPa and high-pressure orthorhombic HP-Fe$_3$O$_4$ at higher pressure). These observations are in agreement with results obtained in large volume apparatus: for example, according to Kang et al.$^{30}$ FeCO$_3$ partially decomposes on melting according to the following reaction:

\[ 6\text{FeCO}_3 = 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + C + 5\text{CO}_2 \] (1)

Formation of tetraciron(III) orthocarbonate, Fe$_8$C$_4$O$_{12}$, at pressures above ~74 GPa (Fig. 1) may be described by the simple equation:

\[ 4\text{FeCO}_3 = \text{Fe}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12} + C \] (2)

According to equation (2) and known equations of state of FeCO$_3$ (with low-spin Fe$^{3+}$) and diamond$^{17,42}$ the gain in volume on decomposition of FeCO$_3$ is ~4%. Thus, redox reaction (2) may be driven by a volumetric effect.

Diiron(II) diiron(III) tetracarbonate, Fe$_4$C$_4$O$_{13}$, appears on heating of FeCO$_3$ above ~74 GPa, but at temperatures significantly higher than needed to form tetraciron(III) orthocarbonate (Fig. 1). The experiment at ~110 (Fig. 5) GPa indeed demonstrates that tetracarbonate forms after further heating of already synthesized orthocarbonate. Thus, we can conclude that tetracarbonate is a product of the chemical evolution of orthocarbonate. Some schemes that may lead to formation of tetracarbonate are the following:

\[ 13\text{Fe}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12} + 9\text{C} = 12\text{Fe}_2\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13} + 4\text{Fe} \] (3)

or

\[ 7\text{Fe}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12} + 3\text{C} = 6\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13} + 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \] (4)

Equations (3) and (4) suggest that carbon (as a decomposition product of FeCO$_3$ according to equation (2) or as diamond from the anvils) reduces Fe$^{3+}$. However, this process is unlikely because carbon (diamond) cannot reduce iron at pressures above ~25 GPa (refs 15,26). Moreover, we did not observe evidence of pure iron or its alloys (or carbides) in reaction products, which adds to the arguments against reaction (3).

Another process which could lead to formation of tetraciron tetracarbonate is

\[ 8\text{Fe}_2\text{C}_3\text{O}_{12} = 6\text{Fe}_4\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13} + 4\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{O}_2 \] (5)

In this case iron is reduced by oxygen. The same phenomenon was observed in studies of iron(III) oxide at pressures above ~70 GPa (ref. 26). Moreover, together with iron tetracarbonate we observed (Fig. 1, Supplementary Tables 1 and 5) CaIrO$_3$-structured η-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and possible products of its further decomposition$^{24,26}$: monoclinic (space group C2/m) Fe$_2$O$_3$, monoclinic (space group C2/m) Fe$_5$O$_7$, and orthorhombic (space group Bbmm) CaTi$_2$O$_4$-type structured HP-Fe$_4$O$_6$ phases. These observations support the schematic process described by equation (5) and imply that the presence of iron oxides among products of HPHT treatment of iron carbonate(s) above 74(1) GPa is not a signature of their full breakdown, but rather an indication of the intrinsic process of formation of CO$_4^-$bearing phase(s) containing iron in different oxidation states.

While only reaction (5) explicitly depends on oxygen fugacity, all other processes described above may be affected by different redox conditions (and could play a role in buffering reactions in more complex processes involving iron-bearing carbonates). In view of the recently reported$^{26,43}$ fundamental changes in the chemical behaviour of the iron-oxygen system at pressures above ~70 GPa and high temperatures, our results are also calling for detailed investigations of redox processes in the lowest part of Earth’s lower mantle and core–mantle boundary.

Heating magnesio-siderite (Fe$_{0.66-0.0.33}$Mg$_{0.33}$)CO$_3$ at pressures of ~50(1) GPa and 1400(100) K resulted$^{13}$ in formation of a new phase which Liu et al.$^{13}$ called ‘siderite II’ and described as orthorhombic. The same phase was reported$^{13}$ at pressures up to ~120 GPa and temperatures up to 2,200 K. Liu et al.$^{13}$ provided us with the powder X-ray diffraction pattern collected at 90 GPa after heating at 2,200 K. We fit this data using the Rietveld method (as implemented in the GSAS package) using the model of hexagonal tetraciron orthocarbonate Fe$_8$C$_4$O$_{12}$ (Supplementary Fig. 4). The good quality of the fit (Supplementary Fig. 4) unambiguously confirms that ‘siderite II’ has the structure of tetraciron orthocarbonate. The quality of the powder X-ray diffraction data does not allow the occupancy of cation positions to be refined so we do not know how much magnesium is incorporated in the phase. However, the absence of reflections of any other phases (apart from the gold standard and Ne pressure transmitting medium) suggests that at least 35% of magnesium may be incorporated in the structure of orthocarbonate.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data on iron-bearing carbonates subjected to high pressures and high temperatures are very limited. Studies of ankerite$^9$ up to about 60 GPa reveal the formation of a phase with non-planar CO$_3^-$ groups as a tendency to increase the co-ordination number of carbon. Magnesium-siderite exposed to pressures and temperatures corresponding to the top of the Earth’s D$''$ layer (135 GPa and
2,650 K) was shown to transform to iron(II)-bearing dimagnesium diron(III) tetracarbonate $\text{Mg}_6.6\text{Fe}_2.4\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13}$. It contains tetrahedrally coordinated carbon units, corner-shared in truncated $\text{C}_4\text{O}_{13}^-$ chains, and up until the present work it was the only unambiguously proven case of carbonate with CO$_4$ groups.

In the case of tetraborate orthocarbonate, incorporation of magnesium may significantly expand its pressure–temperature stability field at the expense of the diron diron tetracarbonate phase. It may mean that Mg, Fe-orthocarbonate might remain stable along the geotherm.

Modern estimates indicate the concentration of carbon in altered oceanic crust to be in the range of 500–600 p.p.m. (ref. 5 and references therein). A recent study concludes that between none and almost all of the carbonates in subducting slabs are subducted into the mantle. The most plausible scenario however is that a relatively small amount of carbon is recycled into the convecting mantle based on a careful re-evaluation of carbon fluxes in subduction zones, where carbon is preferentially stored in the oceanic mantle and the crust. Our experiments cleverly show that along an average sample path temperature profile (Fig. 1), Fe-carbonates will not melt during subduction. Still, recent reports suggest that the majority of slab geotherms intersect a deep depression along the melting curve of carbonated systems. It may mean that Mg, Fe-orthocarbonate might remain stable along the geotherm.

Methods

Sample preparation. Single crystals of $^{57}\text{FeCO}_3$ were grown from $^{57}\text{FeCO}_3$ powder at 18 GPa and 1,600 °C in a 1,200-t Summito press at Bayerisches Geoinstitut (Bayreuth, Germany). $^{57}\text{FeCO}_3$ powder was synthesized using $^{57}\text{Fe}$-oxalate ($^{57}\text{FeO}_2$) as a precursor, which in turn was obtained via chemical reactions starting from $^{57}$Fe-metal (see ref. 20 for more details). Mössbauer spectroscopy and single-crystal diffraction data confirm that synthetic samples are free of ferric iron.

Single crystals with an average size of 0.015 × 0.015 × 0.005 mm$^3$ were pre-selected on a three-circle Bruker diffractometer equipped with a SMART APEX CCD detector and a high-brilliance Rigaku rotating anode (Rotor Flex FR-D, Mo-Kα radiation) with Osmic focusing X-ray optics. Selected crystals together with small ruby chips (for pressure estimation) were loaded into BIX90-type DACs and European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) high-pressure membrane cells. Diamonds with culet sizes of 250 μm and 120 μm in diameter were used to generate pressures up to ~75 GPa and ~110 GPa, respectively. Neon was used as a pressure transmitting medium and was loaded at Bayerisches Geoinstitut and at ESRF.

X-ray diffraction. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted on the ID09a beamline at ESRF, Grenoble, France (MARC555 detector, $\lambda = 0.4126 \text{ Å}$); on the ID27 beamline at ESRF (PerkinElmer flat panel detector, $\lambda = 0.3738 \text{ Å}$); and on the 13-IDD beamline at the advanced photon source (APS), Chicago, USA (MAR165 CCD detector, $\lambda = 0.3344 \text{ Å}$). The X-ray spot size was determined on beamline settings and varied from 3 × 2.5 μm$^2$ (13-IDD) to 10 × 10 μm$^2$ (ID09a), where typically a smaller beam was used for laser heating experiments. A portable double-sided laser heating system was used for experiments on ID09a (ESRF) to collect in situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction data, while a state-of-the-art stationary double-sided laser heating setup at IDD-13 (APS) was used to collect temperature-quenched single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. Crystals (as a rule about 10 μm in diameter) were completely immersed in laser radiation and there was no measurable temperature gradient within the samples. In the case of prolonged heating experiments the temperature variation during the heating did not exceed ±100 K. Pressures were calculated from the positions of the X-ray diffraction lines of Ne (ref. 50). X-ray diffraction images were collected during continuous rotation of DACs typically from 38° to 38° on ω, while data collection experiments were performed by narrow 0.5–1° scanning of the same ω range.

Integrated patterns (Fig. 5) from powder XRD experiments were processed using Fit2D (ref. 51) and indexed using the Rietveld method implemented in the GSAS and EXPULI packages. 

XRD data analysis. Integration of the reflection intensities and absorption corrections were performed using CrysAlisPro software. Diffraction images were converted to the native CrysAlisPro format ‘ESPERANTO’. An instrument model was refined to calibrate the diffractometer. For this purpose we performed data collection using a standard sample of the instrument model for Fit2D software was done using powder standards, that is, LaB$_6$ (NIST SRM 660a) and CeO$_2$ (NIST SRM 674b) and for CrysAlisPro software we used a standard ortho-ene-year calibration single crystal (Mg$_{1.93}$Fe$_{0.06}$Si$_{1.93}$Al$_{0.06}$O$_6$, Pbcn, $a = 8.8117(2), b = 5.18320(10), c = 18.2391(1)$, already mounted in a DAC). The resulting unit cell data set was then refined using the Mn3-py sites in CrysAlisPro for images collected with MAR165 (13-IDD beamline at APS and ID27 beamline at ESRF) and Perkin Elmer (ID27 beamline at ESRF) detectors. For images collected with a MAR555 detector (ID09a) we utilized the traditional peak hunting procedure. To obtain the unit cell parameters of the measured phase(s) we proceeded with the automatic indexing of the peaks. The best performance of the automatic indexing method can be achieved on a small set of reflections (~20–30) belonging only to a single crystal. One has to manually select those reflections that build a 3D lattice in the reciprocal space, where the obtained unit cell was then refined against the whole batch of reflections and the UB matrix obtained in this way was used. Once the unit cell parameters were defined using the data reduction that is necessary to extract the reflection intensities from the experimental images. By default, after data reduction, CrysAlisPro applies frame scaling, absorption corrections and searches for the space group analysing the systematic absences. This operation requires the following integration parameters: correct data ranges, DAC’s opening angle, integration box size, reflection profile fitting mode (2D or 3D) and background evaluation mode. If the reflection profiles were split over several frames, the 3D profile fitting was used, the 2D option otherwise.

Data from laser-heated samples (noisy data) required the smart background option to be used. Data reduction output files (including intensity and absorption (if necessary) were inspected manually to check for correct data ranges, DAC’s opening angle, integration box size, reflection profile fitting mode (2D or 3D) and background evaluation mode. If the reflection profiles were split over several frames, the 3D profile fitting was used, the 2D option otherwise.

MOES data. Energy-domain Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements were carried out at the nuclear resonance beamline ID18 (ref. 56) at ESRF using the SMS. The SMS is based on a nuclear resonant monochromator employing pure nuclear reflections of an iron borate ($^{57}\text{FeBO}_3$) crystal. The source provides $^{57}$Fe resonant radiation at 14.4 keV within a bandwidth of 15 eV which is tunable in energy over a range of ±30 eV (ref. 57). The beam of γ-radiation emitted by the SMS was focused to a 10 μm × 10 μm spot size, fully within the size of the Fe-carbonate crystals. During each laser heating experiment we measured the Mössbauer signal intensity.
spectrum of the sample before and after heating. We did not measure the Mössbauer spectrum of the sample during heating with exception of the experimental performance in the spin crossover pressure range, in between ~40 and ~50 GPa (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The small cross section of the beam and its high intensity allow for rapid collection of Mössbauer data. The collection time for one FeCO3 spectrum before heating was ~20 min, whereas after heating (depending on the sample composition) the collection time varied from 30 min to 6 h (the latter for the new HP-carbonates). The velocity scales of all Mössbauer spectra were calibrated relative to 25 mm-thick γ-Fe foil, and all spectra were fitted using the software package MossA (ref. 58). Lorentzian lines were used to fit all Mössbauer spectra and a linear function was applied to model the background. All spectra were fit using the full transmission integral to avoid distortion of the area ratios due to the high loading of 57Fe in our samples. In this way we were able to obtain an accurate determination of iron distribution in the different investigated samples such as the high spin and low spin abundance and site population in HP-carbonates.

Data availability. The X-ray crystallographic co-ordinates for structures reported in this article have been deposited at the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) under deposition number CSD 423930-423931. This data can be obtained free of charge from FIZ Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany (fax: (+49)7247-808-666; e-mail: crysdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de) through the hyperlink: https://www.fiz-karlsruhe.de/en/leistungen/kristalllographie/kristallstrukturdepot/order-form-request-for-deposited-data.html
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