INTRODUCTION

Anxiety disorder and insomnia, the fairly common disease characterized by cognitive, emotional, and behavioral components, affect one-eighth population worldwide and have become an important research area in the field of psychopharmacology [1]. Nowadays, besides the use of psychotherapy, the use of sedatives such as benzodiazepines (sedusen, zolpidem...), barbiturate derivatives (phenobarbital...) come with sanative potential to manage sleeping disorders, and may also reduce anxiety [2]

However, some side effects associated with these drugs, such as insomnia, muscle relaxation and hepatotoxicity were reported [3] have made it limited for use in patients. Therefore, many researchers are trying to find a newer, more effective, and more tolerable alternative medicine. During the research and development of anxiety therapies, several traditional medicinal plants have been discovered to be effective against animal anxiety. [4]

Passiflora edulis Sims (PE), a perennial vine, has many good nutritional properties, is used as raw materials for processing special flavored beverages, foods, and medicines that are very beneficial to human health. The primary phytochemicals found in the passionflower are flavonoids such as vitexin, chrysin, luteolin, apigenin, kaempferol and quercetin derivative; alkaloids such as harmaline, harmol, harmol and harmalol; polyphenols, triterpenes, and its glycosides. Some other isolated plant constituents have been identified such as glycosides, carbohydrates, amino acids, benzopyrones, carotenoids, cyanogenic glycosides, polysaccharides. [5-7]

In traditional medicine, The PE has been used extensively for the treatment of some diseases like diuretic, anthelmintic, antidiarrheal, stimulant, tonic, and also in the treatment of hypertension, menopausal symptoms. On the other hand, PE showed positive effects in episodes of anxiety, insomnia, sleeplessness, and in depressive states. The use of PE in patients with chronic insomnia can be helpful in controlling sleep disorders, dementia, and degenerative brain diseases caused by insomnia through a sedative effect, thereby helping to improve a patient’s chronic insomnia. The literature data suggest that the passionflower itself, as well as preparations, helps reduce stress and be helpful in the treatment of insomnia, anxiety, and depression. [5]

Consequently, it seems to be a potential, effective and safe medicine in the treatment of stress reactivity, insomnia,
anxiety, and depression-like behaviors. This influenced us to design and conduct the present study to define the phytochemical and anxiolytic effect of this plant. In the present study, we have determined the phytochemical of PE extract and evaluated the efficacy of PE preparations in the treatment of insomnia and anxiety using Elevated Plus-Maze – EMP and Light/dark model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Collection and Preparation

*Passiflora edulis* Sims, Passifloraceae were collected in Tram Hanh, Dalat, Vietnam and identified by Medical – Biological Research Center, Lam Dong Medical College, then divided into 2 parts: aerial parts (A) and rhizomes (R) and washed to remove any dirt. It was cut into small pieces, dried in the sunlight for seven days and kept in an oven at 40°C for 12 hours, and ground into a coarse powder, which was stored in an airtight container and kept in a cool, dark, and dry place at room temperature in the Faculty of Pharmacy of Lam Dong Medical College until further preparations.

Extraction of Plant Materials

100 g of each coarse powder plant part was macerated in 500 mL of different solvents (ether ethylic, ethanol 90°, distilled water) in a 2 litter round bottom flask and kept for 48 hours before filtering, the plant solution was then filtered. The resulting filtrate was evaporated and concentrated to a paste. The paste was then was stored in the refrigerator below 10°C until required for further analysis.

Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis of Leaf and Rhizomes Parts of PE in Different Solvents

The ether ethylic, ethanol 90°, distilled water of leaf and rhizomes prepared extracts were analyzed for phytochemical constituents (phenol, alkaloids, flavonoids, etc.) using standard qualitative methods described by Tiwari et al. [8] with some modifications for detecting the presence of secondary metabolites: alkaloids, phenol, flavonoids, carbohydrates, triterpenes, saponin, and tannins. The visible color change or precipitate formation was taken into consideration for (+) strongly present, (++) present or (-) absent of particular active constituents. The values obtained are triplicates for all secondary metabolites determined.

Anxiolytic Evaluation

Animals

Swiss mice of either sex, weighing 20 - 24g obtained from Pasteur Institute of Nha Trang, were employed in the present study in different groups, each consisting ten mice. One group was used as control (saline, p.o), second for standard drug (diazepam 2 mg/kg, p.o) treatment, third, fourth, and fifth group for PE ethanol extract treatment (Test - 100, 200, 100 mg/kg, p.o), sixth, seventh, eighth for PE aqueous extract treatment (Test - 100, 200, 100 mg/kg, p.o). The animals were kept in standard cages and were maintained at room temperature with a natural day and night cycle. They were stabilized and allowed free access to food and water during the study period under the laboratory standard for at least one week prior to testing. Experiments were conducted from 9:00 to 16:00 in Medical – Biological Research Center, Lam Dong Medical College.

Elevated Plus-Maze – EMP

The Elevated Plus maze was built according to the description of Lister (1987) [9]. The model comprises of a plus sign maze having central platform with four arms: two closed (30 × 5 × 15 cm high walls) and two open arms (30 × 5 cm × 4 mm high walls to prevent the mice from slipping and falling off the edge) with a central arena (5 × 5cm). The maze was elevated to a height of 50 cm above the floor. Tests were performed in an isolated room to avoid any type of turbulence in the behavior of animals and lit by a 60 - watt red lamp for background lighting. During the experiment each mouse was placed in the central compartment facing one of the open arms. The number of times the animal entered into both, open and closed arms and duration(s) of the same were documented for 5 min. An entry was counted when all four paws of the mouse entered an open or closed arm. An increase in open arms entries and increase in time spent in open arms were interpreted as an index of potential anxiolytic activity.

Light-Dark Model - LDM

LDM comprises of a rectangular box (25cm ×25cm ×25cm) consists of two parts, dark compartment was covered from top and was pasted with black chart from inside, while lit compartment was open, pasted with white chart from inside, and was illuminated with 40 W light source kept 25 cm above the open box. The mice were allowed to move from one compartment to the other through a transit hole (5 cm×5 cm) in the bottom of the clapboard between the two compartments. An entry was counted when all four paws of the mouse entered an open or closed arm. An increase in open arms entries and increase in time spent in open arms were interpreted as an index of potential anxiolytic activity. The mice were treated with drugs and vehicles as respective groups and after one hour of treatment the mice were put into the center of the light compartment with their back to dark compartment and then transition behavior over 5 min was observed. Number of crossings between the light and dark area and total time spent in the illuminated part of the box were calculated. Every time before placing each animal, the maze was cleaned with 5% alcohol to eliminate the possible bias due the odor left by the previous animal [10].

Statistical Analysis

All the results were expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 10). The data were analyzed statistically using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's post hoc test for multiple comparisons. P < 0.05 was taken to be statistically
significant. Statistical analysis and graphing were done using GraphPad Prism 8 for Windows version 8.0.2.

RESULT

Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening of three different solvent extracts of each parts recorded (Table 1) presence of chemical constituents and showed that ethanol and water extract revealed the presence of alkaloids, phenolic, flavonoids, glycosides and carbohydrate while ether ethylic extract just showed the presence of alkaloids. The results also recorded maximum phytochemical constituents in ethanol extract than aqueous and ether ethylic extract. In addition, the leaf have a strong presence of alkaloids and flavonoids than rhizomes.

According to some references, flavonoids are reported to be the main constituents of PE such as apigenin, luteolin, kaempferol, quercetin and flavonoid glycosides such as vitexin, chrysin [5, 7]. Among those chemical constituents, Vitexins is a known flavonoids that have been shown protective effects on different models of neurological and psychiatric disorders, including ischemia injury and learning deficit [11]. Chrysin has been hypothesized to decrease anxiety via interaction with the GABA (A) receptor in laboratory rats as measured by elevated plus-maze assays [12]. Apigenin seems like helping the shortening of sleep latency through binding to benzodiazepine receptors in the brain [13]. On the other hand, flavonoids such as kaempferol and quercetin in polar herbsals extract have reported that glycosides derived of quercetin and kaempferol are possibly potentially responsible for the sedative and anxiolytic-like response [14] [15]. The indole alkaloids based on the beta-carboline ring system such as harman, harmin, harmalin, harmol and harmalol were found in PE and harmane was showed anti-anxiety and antidepressant effects in rats its mechanism may involve dopamine and serotonin [16]. These findings strongly suggest that PE is a herbal product having anxiety and insomnia in animals and help us to focus on samples of leaf ethanol and aqueous extracts, which have the most chemical constituents, especially flavonoids and alkaloids, for further analysis in the pharmacological evaluation research for the next stage.

Elevated Plus-Maze – EMP

EPM is one of the most widely test currently used to evaluate the sedative and anxiolytic effects of drugs [17], [18]. This experiment is based on the mouse’s instinct to be defensive and to love to explore. In the EPM test, the self-defense instinct manifests itself by seeking shelter in the closed arm, while the discovery instinct manifests itself by entering the open arm. Normally, when placed in an Elevated Plus-Maze instrument, due to height anxiety, rats have less enter open arm areas and often seek safe zone in closed arms. However, when taking medication to reduce anxiety, rats no longer have a fear of heights and will prefer to go out with open hands, due to their instinct to love to explore.

ANOVA of the number of entries and the times spent by mice in the open arms (Table 2 and Figure 3.) of elevated plus maze test showing significant (P<0.01) differences between the groups. Post hoc comparisons made with Tukey’s test revealed animals that received ethanol leaf extract of PE (200-300 mg/kg, p.o) and aqueous leaf extract of PE (200-300 mg/kg, p.o.), and diazepam 2mg/kg increased significantly (P<0.001) the number of entries and the time spent in the open arms compared to the control group but interestingly not at 100 mg/kg of all ethanol and aqueous extracts.

The number of entries and the time spent in the open arm of the group treated with ethanol leaf extract of PE at 300 mg/kg body weight was higher (p < 0.001) than that of the treatment with lower doses (100, 200 mg/kg) and aqueous leaf extract of PE at 100 - 300 mg/kg body weight but lower (p<0.001) than Diazepam at 2 mg/kg body weight. Furthermore the number of entries of the group treated with ethanol extract of PE at 200 mg/kg body weight did not show the different significantly (p>0.1) than aqueous leaf extract of PE at 200 mg/kg body weight and aqueous extract of PE at 300 mg/kg body weight treatment.

In our EPM study, the Ethanol and aqueous leaf extract at 200 – 300 mg/kg showed significantly an anxiolytic effect by increasing the time spent on open arms and the number of entries in open arms, compared to the control group. The effect of ethanol leaf extract 300 mg/kg PE on the EPM test was highest but lower than 2 mg/kg diazepam. In addition, in doses of 200, and 300 mg/kg for identifying antianxiety, PE leaf ethanol extract significantly (p < 0.01) increases the time spent and number of entries in the open arm as compared to control. As the dose of PE increases, the effect also increased at doses of 200, and 300 mg/kg of ethanol leaf extract.

Light-Dark Model – LDM

In addition, the effect of PE was also evaluated using LDM, a popular screening tool in research of anxiolytic or anxiogenic agents [25]. According to Bourin and Hascoet [10], the light/dark test is based on the innate aversion of rodents to brightly illuminated areas and on the spontaneous exploratory behavior of rodents in response to mild stressors including novel environment and light. Accordingly, this test may be useful to predict anxiolytic-like or anxiogenic-like activity in mice.

The ANOVA of the time spent (s) in lighted box in Light-Dark Model (Table 3 and Figure 2.) test showing significant (P<0.001) differences between the groups but there were no significantly differences in the number of transition was observed. In the light/dark exploration test, the time spent (s) in lighted box increased significantly (P<0.001) in groups treated by ethanol and aqueous of PE extract at dose 200-300 mg/k, and diazepam 2mg/kg compared to the control group.

The ethanol and aqueous extract at doses of 200-300 mg/kg significantly (p<0.01) increased the time spent in the light
box with peak effect at the doses of 300 mg/kg of ethanol extract compared to control (25.70 ± 3.40 seconds) but lower than Diazepam (2mg/kg p.o). There was no significantly difference (p>0.1) between the three groups, ethanol leaf extract 200 mg/kg and aqueous leaf extract 200 and 300 mg/kg.

The present study demonstrated that the oral administration of PE at 200 and 300 mg/kg doses could significantly increase the time the animals spent in the lighted area without altering the total number of transitions in between the compartments. As expected, diazepam also exhibited similar patterns of effects of PE in these models. Due to the genetic or strain variation of the animals used in LDM studies, it has been concluded that simply the time spent in the lighted area, but not the total number of transitions [19]. Therefore, our results suggest that PE may possess anxiolytic potentials along with its sedative properties. Although this research has reached its goals, it should be tested at higher doses than 300 mg/kg in future studies.

Besides, we should be cautious in extrapolating the dose obtained from animal studies to humans, it may be predicted that the effective dose for a 60 kg adult man would be 1.5 g dry ethanol extract of PE base on the body surface area (BSA) method [20]. This corresponds to an infusion of approximately 29 g of PE leave in 100 ml ethanol, considering the yield of the extract. However, the optimum therapeutic dose for human would require further studies, evaluating the effect of the extract in a clinical situation.

**Table 1.** Results of phytochemical screening for extracts of different plant parts of Passifloraedulis

| Parts plan | Extract   | Yields (%) | Alk | Fla | Phe | Anth | Sapo | Gly | Tan | Car | Cou |
|------------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Leaf       | Aqueous   | 4.32       | +   | +   | ++  | -    | +    | -   | +   | -   | -   |
|            | Ethanol   | 5.23       | ++  | ++  | ++  | -    | -    | +   | -   | +   | -   |
|            | Ether ethylic | 2.26 | +   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| Rhizome    | Aqueous   | 3.78       | +   | +   | +   | -    | +    | -   | -   | +   | -   |
|            | Ethanol   | 4.85       | +   | +   | +   | -    | -    | +   | -   | -   | +   |
|            | Ether ethylic | 2.43 | +   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -   | -   | -   | -   |

Alk: Alkaloids, Fla: Flavonoids, Phe: Phenolics, Anth: Anthranoids, Sapo: Saponins, Car: Carbonhydrat, Tan: Tannins, Cou: Coumarins, - negative test, + positive test. (+++) strongly present, (++) present or (-) absent

**Table 2.** The time spent and number of entries by mice on EPM in open and closed arms

| Treatment | Time spent on open arms (s) | Number of entries on open arms (s) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Control (Saline p.o) | 6.900 ± 0.77 | 1.80 ± 0.25 |
| 2. Diazepam (2mg/kg p.o) | 78.00 ± 2.66 | 9.30 ± 0.70 |
| 3. Ethanol 300 (300 mg/kg p.o) | 65.60 ± 1.65 | 6.80 ± 0.42 |
| 4. Ethanol 200 (200 mg/kg p.o) | 34.70 ± 1.15 | 4.30 ± 0.37 |
| 5. Ethanol 100 (100 mg/kg p.o) | 7.70 ± 0.817 | 1.90 ± 0.31 |
| 6. Aqueous 300 (300 mg/kg p.o) | 40.30 ± 1.19 | 4.50 ± 0.50 |
| 7. Aqueous 200 (200 mg/kg p.o) | 28.50 ± 2.09 | 3.90 ± 0.38 |
| 8. Aqueous 100 (100 mg/kg p.o) | 7.100 ± 1.70 | 2.80 ± 0.55 |

Results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., n = 10 each group; ***p < 0.001 vs. control; **p < 0.05 vs. control

**Figure 1.** Elevated Plus-Maze test
Table 3. Light dark test

| Treatment                  | Time in the light box | No. of transition |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Control (p.o)              | 25.70 ± 3.40          | 15.50 ± 1.26      |
| Diazepam (2mg/kg p.o)      | 123.3 ± 6.26          | 17.70 ± 2.14      |
| Ethanol 300 (300 mg/kg p.o)| 96.20 ± 3.45          | 16.70 ± 1.75      |
| Ethanol 200 (200 mg/kg p.o)| 75.50 ± 3.26          | 15.80 ± 1.42      |
| Ethanol 100 (100 mg/kg p.o)| 25.80 ± 3.07          | 13.60 ± 0.92      |
| Water 300 (300 mg/kg p.o)  | 59.20 ± 3.35          | 14.40 ± 1.75      |
| Water 200 (200 mg/kg p.o)  | 50.20 ± 4.98          | 16.30 ± 1.65      |
| Water 100 (100 mg/kg p.o)  | 25.70 ± 3.23          | 13.50 ± 1.61      |

Results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M., n = 10 each group; ***p < 0.001 vs. control; **p < 0.05 vs. control

Figure 2. Light dark test

CONCLUSION

The above research results are the basis for us to make appropriate decisions in the selection of suitable extraction solvents, dosage and pharmaceutical techniques to bring optimal sedative effects for the sedative and anxiolytic tea bags extracted from PE and to conduct further studies on anxiolytic and sedative effect of pharmaceutical products in the future.
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