Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is characterized by episodes of obstruction (partial or total) of the upper airway during sleep secondary to a collapse of the structures of the upper airway during inspiration. OSAS manifests itself as a reduction (hypopnea) or complete cessation (apnea) of airflow despite continued respiratory efforts. OSAS is diagnosed by clinical history and polysomnography. OSAS is defined by an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) $> 15$ or an AHI $> 5$ with daytime and nighttime symptoms. The apnea severity is classified as mild (AHI 5 to 15), moderate (AHI 15.01 to 30), or severe (AHI $> 30.1$).

One of the most common clinical signs of sleep apnea is snoring. It is estimated that $\sim 45\%$ of men and $30\%$ of women...
over 65 snore. Other usual symptoms associated with OSAS are excessive daytime sleepiness, nocturnal awakenings, fatigue, and headache upon waking in the morning.

A lack of adequate ventilation results in an oxyhemoglobin desaturation and, in severe cases, hypercapnia. OSAS is associated with a variety of pathophysiological changes that impair cardiovascular function including increased inflammatory markers and blood pressure peaks during sleep. There is increasing evidence that OSAS increases the incidence of hypertension, stroke, myocardial infarction, and premature death.

The prevalence of OSAS varies depending on the population studied and the diagnostic criteria used. Young et al found that population prevalence was 9% in women and 24% men (using as criterion only AHI > 5) in subjects aged 30 to 60 years. However, the prevalence drops to 4% in men and 2% in women when taking into account the complaint of excessive daytime sleepiness with AHI > 5.

Another study by Young and colleagues estimated that the prevalence of mild OSAS may vary from 3 to 28% of the adult population, whereas moderate to severe OSAS (AHI ≥ 15) may range from 1 to 14%. Importantly, the authors argued that OSAS is underdiagnosed in a high percentage of cases in which there is no complaint of excessive daytime sleepiness. This study also demonstrated that OSAS is more prevalent in men than women (ratio of 2 to 3:1), that there is an increased prevalence among the elderly (especially those over 65 years), and that pregnancy is a risk factor for OSAS.

Bixler et al found that the prevalence of OSAS was 3.9% among men and 1.2% among women (3.3:1) considering an AHI ≥ 10. The prevalence in women before menopause (or use of hormone replacement therapy) was 0.6 versus 2.7% in women after menopause (and without hormone replacement therapy).

Clearly other factors that increase the risk for OSAS, including age, structural abnormalities in the upper airway, use of sedatives and alcohol, and probably familial history. It is also the consensus that the prevalence of OSAS increases in the obese population (BMI > 30). In a study published in Japan involving 275 men, the prevalence of severe OSAS (AHI > 30) was 1 in 6 individuals with metabolic syndrome (BMI > 30 associated with dyslipidemia and/or hyperglycemia), whereas the prevalence was only 1 in 40 individuals without the metabolic syndrome.

Tufik et al in his study of 1,042 volunteers undergoing overnight polysomnography showed that 32.8% of residents of São Paulo presented the criteria for OSAS according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AHI > 15 or AHI > 5 with daytime and nighttime symptoms). Prevalence estimates are higher among men and increase in both sexes with age. OSAS was also more prevalent in overweight and obese men and women. This same study showed that 55% of the population suffer from drowsiness, 38.9% from fatigue, and 20.5% reported snoring. AHI below 5 was present in 61.8% of patients, 21.3% presented with AHI between 5 to 14.9 and 16.9% had an AHI ≥ 15. The AHI ≥ 15 was more common among older individuals and men.

Overweight and obese men and women were also more likely to have an AHI > 15, and men with high socioeconomic status and women are less likely to have a AHI > 15.

These variations are partly a result of the lack of homogeneity in epidemiologic studies. Some studies, for instance, were conducted in groups of preselected populations (e.g., industrial workers or clinically referred patients) and included a large number of individuals with suspected OSAS due to the frequency of snoring. Also, some previous studies did not include patients over 60 years of age.

The vast majority of OSAS studies are dedicated to assessing the prevalence of OSAS in the population, and this has great value for understanding the disease. The aim of this study is to analyze the epidemiologic profile of patients referred to the sleep medicine clinic who were previously selected in the otorhinolaryngology ward of a university hospital, as well as the treatment determined for each patient.

### Materials and Methods

This is a cross-sectional and individualized study covering 57 patients who were referred from the general ear, nose, and throat (ENT) clinic to the sleep medicine clinic between April 2007 and January 2012. All patients signed an informed consent and the study was approved by the ethics committee (protocol number 0807/11).

All patients came to the clinic with their respective roommates and their polysomnography report, were questioned about the possible symptoms, and had a complete ENT examination. In addition, patients completed the Epworth scale of daytime sleepiness and a specific protocol for patients with snoring and sleep apnea.

### Results

It was found that 68% of patients were men (n = 39) and 32% were women (n = 18). The higher incidence in males is consistent with the literature (► Fig. 1). The average age of participants was 47.86 years.

Regarding the classification of OSAS (► Fig. 2), 16% of patients had primary snoring, 14% mild OSAS, 18% moderate OSAS, and 52% severe OSAS. The highest prevalence of moderate and severe OSAS was consistent with the literature (► Fig. 1). The average age of participants was 47.86 years.
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patients). Snoring was reported by 90% of patients, the most prevalent symptom.

Only 7% of patients had normal weight (BMI 18 to 25), 2% were overweight (BMI 25 to 30), 37% had grade I obesity (BMI 25.1 to 30), 9% grade II obesity (BMI 30.1 to 35), and 45% grade III obesity (BMI > 35; (► Fig. 3).

According to Friedmann stage (► Fig. 4), only 9% of the patients were classified as grade I, 35% were considered grade II, 54% as grade III, and 2% as grade IV.

In this study, we analyzed the treatment adopted in each case (► Fig. 5). The majority of patients (46%) were treated with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). Surgery (uvulopalatopharyngoplasty [UPPP] or lateral pharyngoplasty) was given to 19% of patients, the mandibular advancement oral appliance was designed for 14% patients, 7% were given roncoplastic injection, and 7% received positional therapy. A new type of polysomnography was administered to 5% of patients. The oral appliance was given to 2% of the patients because of roncoplastic injection treatment failure.

Discussion

Sleep medicine is a new science, and knowledge has evolved exponentially in recent years. Within this concept, the study of OSAS, the most common sleep disturbance in the general population including all age groups, is of utmost importance. Therefore, knowing that most patients are undiagnosed, we decided to determine and analyze the epidemiologic profile of patients with snoring and sleep apnea in the database of a university hospital.

When analyzing the sex distribution of patients studied, there is a predominance of males, with a similar distribution to most studies (2:1).3 Snoring was the most common patient complaint (90%), which corroborates the need to consider it as a factor for investigating respiratory sleep disorders.8

Excessive daytime sleepiness, unrefreshing sleep, fatigue on waking, morning headaches, and irritability were markedly common in our patients, confirming the significant interference of OSAS on quality of life, social relationships, family, labor, and the risk of accidents.1

These data alert health professionals to intensify efforts in the diagnosis and treatment of this important disease. Taking into account the classification of severity of OSAS, we found the following distribution: 16% primary snoring, 14% mild OSAS, 18% moderate OSAS, and 52% severe OSAS. Moderate and severe OSAS makes up 70% of this population, an alarming percentage considering the pronounced proven mortality in patients with moderate and severe OSAS.2 The high prevalence of moderate and severe cases may be due to the fact that these patients are more symptomatic, and therefore seek medical attention more often.

A minority of patients (~ 7%) were within the normal BMI (BMI < 25), which highlights the association between obesity and severe OSAS.7,8

We found that most patients (46%) were treated with CPAP, which is considered the gold standard for treatment of OSAS.4,5 Intraoral device was indicated for 14% of patients and only 19% of patients were treated surgically; of these, 64% underwent the lateral pharyngoplasty.

There were no indications of any surgical procedure in patients with a BMI > 35 (obesity class II or III) due to success rates in this group decreasing mainly due to a sharp narrowing pharyngeal and fat accumulation in pharyngeal tissues. However, 93% of patients included in this study were overweight or obese, indicating a high incidence of OSAS in this group people.7,8

In the group of patients undergoing roncoplastic injection, 2 (40%) had mild OSAS and 3 (60%) had primary snoring, which is consistent with the indication in the literature for palatal procedures for the treatment of this pathology. No patient in this group was classified as grade I Friedmann, which would indicate UPPP.9–12

Roncoplastic injection is a procedure with a mechanism of action similar to palatal implants and radiofrequency...
ablation, which cause sclerosis (hardening) of the soft palate, which prevents vibration.\textsuperscript{11,12}

In the group of patients who underwent UPPP, none were classified as grade III Friedmann (due to low success rates in this group). This procedure was performed in five patients, two of whom were classified as grade I and two as grade II. In this group of patients, two had severe OSAS, one had moderate OSAS, one had mild OSAS, and one had primary snoring. This heterogeneous distribution shows that the AHI is less important than the Friedmann classification to indicate a UPPP.\textsuperscript{9–12}

Patients classified as Friedmann II who underwent UPPP also underwent nasal surgery (septoplasty and turbinectomy) and suffered from primary snoring or mild OSAS. The main complaint of these patients regarding sleeping was social embarrassment caused by snoring, and none reported excessive daytime sleepiness or fatigue on awakening. Nasal obstructions did not influence the pathophysiology of OSAS, and there was no contraindication to nasal and pharyngeal procedures being performed at the same time.\textsuperscript{8–10}

Regarding the lateral pharyngoplasty, patients who underwent this procedure had higher AHI and were classified as having moderate or severe OSAS. In this group of six patients, four had severe OSAS and two had moderate OSAS. Regarding the Friedmann classification, only one patient in this group was classified as grade I. The lateral pharyngoplasty surgical option was used when the patient had moderate or severe OSAS, bulky rear pillar, or larynx position cranial to naso-broglaryngoscopy and when the anatomy was not favorable for the realization of UPPP.\textsuperscript{10–12}

The maxillomandibular advancement was not performed because there was no formal indication.\textsuperscript{10}

**Conclusion**

From the data analyzed in this study, we conclude that the epidemiologic profile of patients seen at the sleep medicine clinic in a university hospital are mostly obese men with moderate or severe OSAS. Snoring and daytime excessive sleepiness were the most common symptoms. The surgical procedures employed in this service (rhinoplasty injection, UPPP, and lateral pharyngoplasty) were given following the recommendations of the available literature. We also noted the reduced indication of surgical treatment, especially UPPP, which is directly related to the fact that most patients were not classified as grade I in the Friedmann scale.
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