Risk factor analysis for congenital heart defects in children
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Introduction

Congenital heart defects (CHDs) are the most common malformations, occurring in almost 1.0 in 100 births. We investigated an association between risk factors and CHDs, because epidemiological studies have reported conflicting results regarding risk factors and CHDs recently. The study of CHD frequency was conducted in Chernivtsi region (Northern Bukovina) on the basis of the medical genetic center. A retrospective method of research by studying registration genetic maps was used to analyze risk factors. 91 cards of infants suffering from CHD (47 boys and 44 girls) aged 0-1 living in the territory of Northern Bukovina were selected. In order to identify risk factors, 133 cards of healthy infants (77 boys and 56 girls) were used. The analysis of risk factors revealed that the female gender of a child is a risk factor for CHD development. The analysis of the ordinal number of pregnancy revealed that the second and the third pregnancies are probably risk factors for the development of this pathology. It was found in our study that folic acid intake during the first trimester prevented CHD development (OR 2.33). The study revealed that among stressful risk factors are: unplanned pregnancy (OR 3.13), out-of-wedlock pregnancy and stress during pregnancy. Maternal CHD increased the CHD development in offspring approximately by two times. Some factors, such as a woman doing hard physical work during pregnancy, having sedentary work during pregnancy, the mother being a housewife or having an incomplete secondary education (OR 3.61), the mother’s secondary education, the father’s incomplete secondary education (OR 18.62), the father serving in the army (OR 2.15) or being a student at the time of woman’s pregnancy (OR 2.97) were significant for CHD development in the fetal stage. A young age of the father (up to 43 years) was also considered as one of the risk factors. This article is expected to provide timely information on risk factors for CHD development to a wide range of medical staff, including pediatric and adult cardiologists, pediatricians, thoracic surgeons, obstetricians, gynecologists, medical geneticists, genetic counselors and other relevant clinicians.
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Over the last decade, there has been a deeper understanding of the hereditary causes of congenital heart defects, including detection of specific genetic inadequacies for certain types of defects (Jenkins et al., 2007). In addition, an analysis of literary sources has shown that a large number of non-hereditary risk factors were associated with a high risk of CHD development, including acute and chronic maternal diseases, influence of medications, environment and parental factors. Some known risk factors included the mother suffering from rubella; phenylketonuria; gestational diabetes; taking thalidomide; large doses of vitamin A or retinoids (Donofrio et al., 2014).

Numerous studies have examined the relationship between various maternal diseases, such as hypertension, diabetes, thyroid disease, and chronic upper respiratory tract infections during pregnancy with CHD (Van der Lugt et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013). There was evidence that maternal infection during pregnancy might be associated with miscarriage, stillbirth and birth defects in future generations (Kourtis et al., 2014; Wheeler et al., 2015).

As well as the most common diseases during pregnancy, the impact of colds and the mental state of pregnant women also deserve attention. The prevalence of antenatal depression or anxiety during pregnancy was noted to range 7.0–11.0 per 100 pregnant women in high-income countries (Gaynes et al., 2005; Steel et al., 2014) and 11.0–27.0 per 100 pregnant women in China (Liang et al., 2009). Psychosocial stress during pregnancy was considered a possible risk factor for chronic diseases in children (Tegethoff et al., 2008; Cookson et al., 2009).

However, most studies reported only acute infectious diseases and fever, not a specific disease, when analyzing the relationship between common diseases during pregnancy and CHD in children. It was difficult to differentiate independent and joint effects of the colds or fevers that a pregnant woman had (Ou et al., 2016). Regarding psychological factors, most studies have focused on psychological stress and anxiety (Janssen et al., 2016; Carroll et al., 2017). CHD is the leading cause of infant mortality due to congenital malformations. Several studies have shown a sharp decline in mortality and morbidity over the last century due to improvements in cardia surgery, anesthesia and pediatric services (Moons et al., 2010; Erikssen et al., 2015). Mortality from CHD was found to have decreased significantly in the whole world between 1990 and 2017, regardless of gender, age and region of residence. However, the mortality level has varied substantially around the world, primarily due to economic development and health care (Wu et al., 2020).

The highest mortality rates from CHD were in low-income countries in Africa and Asia. Moreover, the tendency to increased CHD mortality occurred mainly in countries with underdeveloped economics, such as North Korea and Pakistan. On the contrary, CHD mortality in developed countries decreased significantly over the same period as reported in previous studies (Jortveit et al., 2016; Mandelarakis et al., 2016). This inequality indicates that in low-income countries, more attention should be paid to primary prevention and timely diagnosis of CHD to alleviate the burden of these defects. In addition, more than 80% of CHD deaths occurred in children under the age of 5, underscoring the importance of CHD prevention and early CHD screening.

Although, in recent decades advances in cardiovascular medicine and surgery have dramatically reduced mortality and allowed most patients to reach adulthood, CHD remains the leading cause of death from congenital defects and is a heavy burden worldwide (Bourma & Mulder, 2017; Liu et al., 2019). Thus, our objective was to study the risk factors for CHD development in children of Northern Bukovina.

Materials and methods

The study of CHD frequency was conducted in Chernivtsi region (Northern Bukovina) on the basis of a population genetic center. Reports of the Chernivtsi Regional Diagnostic Center of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine were used, specifically form No. 49-health. “Report on the provision of medical and genetic care”, approved by order No. 141 of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine dated 16.06.1993 (2007–2016). Also data contained in the statistical yearbooks of Chernivtsi region (2000–2019) concerning the number and morbidity of children in the region were analyzed. To analyze risk factors for CHD development we used a retrospective method of research by studying registration genetic maps between 2000 and 2019, which were approved by order of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine dated 13.12.1999. 91 cards of children suffering from CHD (47 boys and 44 girls) aged 0-1 living in the territory of Northern Bukovina were selected. In order to identify risk factors, 133 cards of healthy infants (77 boys and 56 girls) were used. The control group was formed on a population basis, as only those children whose parents lived permanently in Northern Bukovina were subject to registration.

The following data were collected from the parents: age (number of years) at the time of pregnancy, social status, the parents’ bad habits, level of education of both mother and father, place of residence and place of work; somatic morbidity, ordinal number of pregnancy, placental insufficiency, threats of miscarriage, polyhydramnios or oligohydramnios, nuchal cord occurrence; a woman’s gynecological health, history of abortion and miscarriages in the anamnesis; planned or unplanned pregnancies; folic acid intake in the first trimester of pregnancy; presence of stress; presence of TORCH infection during pregnancy; taking contraceptives and other medications.

The frequency of CHD cases was calculated as the ratio of CHD cases registered by the medical and genetic service during this period of time to the number of births and multiplied by 1000. The strength of association of the analyzed signs was determined using the value of the odds ratio (OR), which was carried out according to the standard formula.

A confidence interval (CI) was calculated for OR at 95% significance level. If the odds ratio was less than 1, then the risk decreased, if = 1, then there was no risk, if more than 1, then the risk was present (Fletcher et al., 1998). All data were analyzed by nonparametric methods of variational statistics using a computer program MedCalc (2006).

Results

The analysis was conducted concerning CHD prevalence in Northern Bukovina for two periods: between 2000 and 2019 (Table 1). The frequency dynamics of CHD in newborns between 2000 and 2009 was viewed as a sinusoid with the highest rate in 2008 (7.72‰), which exceeded the average by two times. The lowest rate in the first observation period was in 2000, which may be due to improved prenatal diagnosis. In the next observation period between 2010 and 2019 the maximum number of CHDs occurred in 2019, which exceeded the average by 1.5 times, and the minimum in 2017.

Table 1

| Year | CHD prevalence (per 1000 newborns, ‰) of Bukovina 2000–2019 |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2000 | 0.78                                                        |
| 2001 | 1.56                                                        |
| 2002 | 3.72                                                        |
| 2003 | 2.43                                                        |
| 2004 | 3.21                                                        |
| 2005 | 3.42                                                        |
| 2006 | 4.14                                                        |
| 2007 | 4.31                                                        |
| 2008 | 7.72                                                        |
| 2009 | 5.63                                                        |

Risk factors in children with congenital heart defects were analyzed. The most important risk factors for CHD development are presented in Table 2. The analysis of risk factors revealed that female gender of the child is a risk factor for CHD development (OR 1.29). The analysis of the ordinal number of pregnancy revealed that the second (OR 1.59) and the third (OR 1.74) pregnancies are probable risk factors for the development of this pathology. Previous miscarriages, unplanned pregnancies in the anamnesis and out-of-wedlock pregnancies are also risk factors for CHD development.

Discussion

Our study found that in maternal age groups from 26 to 35 years and under 18 years, the risk of CHD development in their children was higher than in the group aged over 35 years. In the maternal age group from 26 to 35 years the probability of CHD presence was 1.44 (95% CI 0.59–3.53).
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The most important risk factors for congenital heart disease in children of Northern Bukovina

| Risk factor | Odds ratio | Confidence interval | Probability of difference |
|-------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Female gender of a child | 1.29 | 0.75–2.20 | – |
| Birthing in winter | 0.90 | 0.52–1.57 | – |
| Birthing in spring | 0.90 | 0.50–1.97 | – |
| Birthing in summer | 1.17 | 0.58–2.12 | – |
| Birthing in autumn | 1.09 | 0.58–2.05 | – |
| Parents living in the countryside | 1.01 | 0.58–1.76 | – |
| Number of pregnancies: | | | |
| 1 pregnancy | 1.06 | 0.55–2.06 | – |
| 2 pregnancy | 1.59 | 0.77–3.28 | – |
| 3 pregnancy | 1.74 | 0.94–3.22 | – |
| Previous abortions | 0.37 | 0.12–1.18 | – |
| Miscarriages | 1.53 | 0.65–3.67 | – |
| Unplanned pregnancy | 3.13 | 1.79–5.68 | <0.001 |
| Out of wedlock pregnancy | 1.48 | 0.29–7.49 | – |
| Intake of folic acid in the first trimester | 2.33 | 1.31–4.13 | <0.05 |
| Medication intake during pregnancy | 1.54 | 0.68–3.49 | – |
| Stress during pregnancy | 3.61 | 1.21–10.78 | <0.05 |
| Mother suffering from anemia during pregnancy | 0.76 | 0.45–1.30 | – |
| Threat of miscarriage | 0.38 | 0.21–1.69 | <0.05 |
| Gestosis in the first half of pregnancy | 0.90 | 0.49–1.63 | – |
| Gestosis in the second half of pregnancy | 2.17 | 1.10–4.29 | <0.05 |
| Presence of TORCH infections in pregnant women | 0.69 | 0.31–1.56 | – |
| Chronic folic acid insufficiency | 1.13 | 0.41–3.15 | – |
| Polyhydramnios | 1.16 | 0.45–2.99 | – |
| Glycogenosis | 3.38 | 1.09–10.5 | <0.05 |
| Social factors: | | | |
| Couple living with parents | 1.75 | 1.01–3.01 | <0.05 |
| Insufficient family income | 1.45 | 0.82–2.56 | – |
| Family living near highways | 1.70 | 0.95–3.05 | – |
| Age difference of the couple is more than 10 years | 0.84 | 0.32–2.21 | – |
| Mother’s age at the time of pregnancy: | | | |
| Under 18 years old | 1.46 | 0.09–23.57 | – |
| Between 18 and 35 years | 1.44 | 0.59–3.53 | – |
| Over 35 years | 0.64 | 0.25–1.65 | – |
| Mother’s education: | | | |
| Secondary education | 2.37 | 1.33–4.21 | <0.001 |
| Incomplete secondary education | 3.61 | 0.91–14.35 | – |
| Secondary special education | 0.91 | 0.51–1.63 | – |
| Higher education | 0.34 | 0.18–0.63 | – |
| Influence of physical factors during pregnancy | 0.72 | 0.37–1.37 | – |
| Mother’s social status as a worker | 1.21 | 0.66–2.21 | – |
| Hard physical work of mother during pregnancy | 2.28 | 1.29–6.11 | <0.001 |
| Sedentary work of mother during pregnancy | 2.26 | 0.62–8.24 | – |
| Constant psycho-emotional stress at mother’s work during pregnancy | 1.13 | 0.55–2.30 | – |
| Mother being an official employee | 0.64 | 0.35–1.16 | – |
| Mother being a student | 0.70 | 0.31–1.57 | – |
| Mother being a housewife | 1.63 | 0.89–3.01 | – |
| Influence of chemical factors during pregnancy | 1.92 | 0.11–3.33 | <0.05 |
| Influence of biological factors during pregnancy | 0.96 | 0.56–1.66 | – |
| Mother smoking before pregnancy | 1.42 | 0.63–3.18 | – |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | 0.69 | 0.30–1.58 | – |
| Mother abusing coffee during pregnancy | 0.62 | 0.32–1.19 | – |
| Mother abusing alcohol during pregnancy | 1.07 | 0.29–3.94 | – |
| Mother having chronic diseases of the cardiovascular system | 0.48 | 0.19–1.20 | – |
| Mother having chronic diseases of the gastrointestinal tract | 0.23 | 0.03–1.87 | – |
| Mother having thyroid diseases | 0.30 | 0.08–1.05 | <0.001 |
| Mother suffering from other chronic diseases | 2.67 | 1.07–6.67 | <0.05 |
| Mother came down with SARS in the first trimester of pregnancy | 0.72 | 3.13–24.31 | <0.001 |
| Treatment of maternal infertility | 0.83 | 0.24–2.91 | – |
| Mother having CHD | 2.25 | 0.20–25.21 | – |

A meta-analysis by Hackshaw et al. (2011) assessed the effect of the mother’s smoking on the spectrum of congenital defects, including heart defects (OR 1.09; 95% CI 1.02–1.17). A meta-analysis of studies published between 1971 and 2011 (23 case-control studies, 5 cohort studies, and 5 cross-sectional studies) found a positive correlation for all combined CHDs (OR 1.11; 95% CI 1.02 – 1.21). Our study found an effect of maternal smoking before pregnancy (OR 1.42; 95% CI 0.63 – 3.38) (Lec & Lupu, 2013). The Baltimore-Washington Infant Study concluded that the mother smoking more than one pack of cigarettes a day led to certain CHDs: ventricular septal defect and pulmonary artery stenosis (women over 34 years) (Correa-Villaseñor et al., 1993). The study by Poliklia & Fried-
Some particular factors, such as hard physical work of the mother caused by secondhand smoking at home and at work led to the increase of nicotine levels in the hair (Li et al., 2015).

The study revealed that the intake of folic acid during the first trimester prevented CHD development (OR 2.33; 95% CI 1.31–4.13, P < 0.05). A Hungarian randomized trial (Bottó et al., 2000) and the Atlanta case-control study showed a similar correlation in which CHD risk in offspring was reduced by 60% and 25% for mothers who took folic acid in the first trimester of pregnancy (Scuonl et al., 1998). The analysis of pregnant women who had SARS during the first trimester found that this indicator was not a risk factor for CHD development (OR 0.72, 95% CI 3.13–24.31, P < 0.001). However, the study of Shi et al. (2014) showed that mothers who had a history of SARS in the first trimester had an increased chance of CHD developing in their children (OR 3.717; 95% CI 1.63–8.50) (Abgari et al., 2016). Our study demonstrated that CHD probability in children was increased with mothers who had a history of previous miscarriages (OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.65–3.67), gestosis in the second half of pregnancy (OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.10–4.29, P < 0.05), chronic feto-placental insufficiency (OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.41–3.15), polyhydramnios (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.45–2.99) and dehydration (OR 3.38, 95% CI 1.09–10.5, P < 0.05). Thus, regular fetal screening is strongly recommended, especially in high-risk pregnancies. However, some researchers Hasan et al. (1997) did not find such a correlation.

Maternal stress can often be a risk factor for developmental anomalies, including CHD. The study found that among stressful risk factors were unplanned pregnancy (OR 3.13, 95% CI 1.79–5.48, P < 0.001), out-of-wedlock pregnancy (OR 1.48, 95% CI 0.28–7.49); stress during pregnancy (OR 3.61, 95% CI 1.21–10.78, P < 0.05). In a study by Liu et al. (2009) maternal stress during the first trimester of pregnancy was a significant risk factor for CHD development (OR 3.93; 95% CI, 1.94–7.94). However, some researchers did not find a connection between stress during pregnancy and CHD development (Mateja et al., 2012).

Some social factors, such as couples living with parents (OR 1.75, 95% CI 1.01–3.01, P < 0.05); insufficient family income (OR 1.45, 95% CI 0.82–2.56); families living near highways (OR 1.70, 95% CI 0.95–3.05) are also considered as risk factors in CHD development. In a study by Chou et al. (2016) maternal congenital heart defects increased risk of CHD development in offspring by about three times, which is consistent with other studies (Liu et al., 2013) as well as with our data (OR 2.25, 95% CI 0.20–25.21). Apparently genetic predisposition plays an important role.

Taking medication during pregnancy, especially in the first trimester increased the risk of CHD in offspring by 1.5 times (OR 1.54, 95% CI 0.68–3.49). A study by Li et al. (2018) found that women of childbearing age who had taken cold medications, antibiotics, drugs containing salicylic acid, antifungals, and other drugs during early stages of pregnancy increased the risk of their children developing CHD.

A study Tappazero et al. (2016) examined the effects of particular chemicals on pregnant women and found an increased risk of developing certain CHDs, the same data were found in a study by Carmichael (2014). Our study showed that the influence of chemical factors during pregnancy on CHD development was significant (OR 1.92, 95% CI 0.11–3.33, P < 0.05).

Some particular factors, such as high physical work of the mother during pregnancy (OR 2.82, 95% CI 0.62–8.24, P < 0.001), sedentary work of a pregnant woman (OR 2.26, 95% CI 0.62–8.24, P < 0.05), the mother being a housewife (OR 1.63, 95% CI 0.89–3.01), the mother’s incomplete secondary education (OR 3.61, 95% CI 0.91–14.35), the mother’s secondary education (OR 2.37, 95% CI 1.33–4.21, P < 0.001), the father’s incomplete secondary education (OR 18.62, 95% CI 2.36–146.06), the father serving in the army at the time of his partner’s pregnancy (OR 2.15, 95% CI 1.19–3.87, P < 0.05) or the father being a student at the time of his partner’s pregnancy (OR 2.97, 95% CI 0.27–33.21) are significant in CHD development in a fetal stage.

There is a high risk of CHD associated with a low level of maternal education, which persisted after adjusting for other risk factors, suggesting that certain environmental factors associated with maternal education (possibly due to individual socioeconomic status) are relevant and require further research. Studies in the United Kingdom (Vrijheid et al., 2000) and Canada (Agha et al., 2011) found a higher risk of ATC associated with parents’ low socioeconomic levels.

The study by Su et al. (2015) did not find a general connection between the father’s age and the prevalence of combined CHD in offspring. The young age of the father (under 43 years) was a risk factor in our study (OR 3.28, CI 1.19–9.06, P < 0.05). A real explanation for the effect of young age is that unhealthy lifestyles and the presence of bad habits such as smoking and alcohol consumption may predominate among young couples (Kazama et al., 2004).

Mother’s usage of alcohol during pregnancy is associated with the development of congenital defects in children (Polifka & Friedman, 2002; Brent, 2004). Adverse effects of alcohol on the fetus include a range of structural anomalies and behavioural disorders (Autti-Ramo et al., 2006) and lead to an increase in the number of newborns with fetal alcohol syndrome (Shillingford & Weiner, 2001). Shillingford & Weiner (2001) reported that atrial septal defects were the most common heart defects these newborns had.

The study by Martinez-Frias et al. (2004) conducted in Spain found that the highest risk of developing CHD was in the group with the highest prenatal alcohol exposure (absolute alcohol intake was over 92 g per day). Cigarette smoking is a well-known teratogenic risk factor for congenital defects and can affect the development of certain organ systems (Lee et al., 2013). Nicotine can strongly affect sperm activity and cause chromosomal abnormal, which can affect fetal development and lead to heart defects (Correa et al., 2015). In addition, parental smoking can cause passive smoking by the mother, which can also increase the risk of CHD development (Liu et al., 2017). Our study showed the father’s smoking as a risk factor for developing CHD (OR 1.58, CI 0.92–2.69).

Risk factors for CHD development from the father’s side were: social status of the father as a worker (OR 2.21, CI 1.23–3.99, P < 0.05); hard physical work of the father before conception (OR 2.27, CI 1.31–3.96, P < 0.05); influence of chemical factors on the father before conception (OR 1.41, CI 0.81–2.45). Studies that evaluated the effects of chemical agents or drugs on the father of a child with CHD were analyzed. The effect of chemicals or drugs on parents was found to be closely associated with an increased risk of CHD (OR 2.15, CI 1.53–3.02) (Fleming et al., 2014). Certain occupations of fathers, such as factory workers, janitors, painters and plywood factory workers may increase the risk of development of CHD (Fleming et al., 2014; Ruter et al., 2010). However, conflicting results have been shown in studies on the connection between the father being a firefighter and CHD risk in the offspring (Selevan et al., 2000). In addition to the above mentioned risk factors, there are also several studies on other factors from the father’s side, such as chronic diseases, viral infections etc., which revealed that the father having a viral infection (OR 2.46, 95% CI 1.13–5.53) or taking antibiotics (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.28–7.84) might also increase CHD risk (Nie et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017). The presence of chronic diseases in the father (OR 2.40, 95% CI 1.22–4.72), especially chronic diseases of the cardiovascular system (OR 3.013, 95% CI 0.46–32.11) is shown to be among risk factors for CHD development. The following factors in parents are largely associated with an increased risk of CHD: family history of CHD (OR 5.23), the mother having chronic diseases (OR 4.19), environmental pollution at the mother’s place of residence (OR = 3.63), chronic disease of the father (OR 4.87) (Jiayu Peng et al., 2019). Our study also identified certain risk factors that provoked CHD development, i.e. the mother suffering from other...
chronic diseases (OR 2.67, 95% CI 1.07–6.67, P < 0.05). The pedigree analysis identified CHD risk factors associated with previous generations having the following diseases: diabetes in the fourth generation on the mother’s side (OR 10.19, 95% CI 4.03–25.75, P < 0.001), diseases of the cardiovascular system in the third generation (OR 2.93, 95% CI 1.28–6.67, P < 0.05) and the fourth generations (OR 1.96, 95% CI 0.86–4.46) on the mother’s side, cancer in the third generation on the mother’s side (OR 5.11, 95% CI 1.91–13.66), diseases of the cardiovascular system in the third generation on the father’s side (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.04–3.89), congenital heart defects on the father’s side (OR 4.47, 95% CI 0.21–96.41), congenital heart defects from previous pregnancies (OR 3.94, 95% CI 0.21–75.29), thyroid diseases on the mother’s side (OR 2.22, 95% CI 0.85–6.07) and on the father’s side (OR 13.58, 95% CI 3.91–47.8).

The mother’s having pregestational diabetes increases the risk of CHD. Maternal diabetes is usually associated with a wide range of CHDs: transposition of the great vessels, non-chronosomal atrioventricular septal defects, double outlet right ventricle, tetralogy of Fallot, hypoplastic left heart syndrome and cardiomyopathy. Recent studies have shown that long-term maternal diabetes increased the risk of congenital cardiovascular disorders (Nielsen et al., 2005).

The tetragenic mechanism of maternal diabetes has not been fully studied and is probably multifactorial (Hornberger, 2006; Nold & Georgieff, 2004). It is possible that presence of increased osmolarity and abnormal levels of ketones, imbalance of amino acids and fatty acids may contribute to CHD development. High glucose levels in the blood can cause congenital malformations, inhibiting glycolysis, i.e. the main process of energy production during embryogenesis (Nold & Georgieff, 2004). Hyperglycemia has a direct effect on the proliferation and migration of neural crest cells, which are important for heart development. A recent study by Roest et al. (2007) showed a high incidence of cardiovascular malformations in embryos whose cardiac neural crest cells experienced elevated glucose levels. Another study reported that abnormal glucose levels in embryos disrupted the expression of Pax-3, a developmental control gene that is an important factor in the transcription of cardiac neural crest cells (Epstein et al., 2000).

The effects of preconception diabetes begin during embryonic development in the first trimester, and continues to affect the fetus in the second and third trimesters and the newborn in the perinatal and neonatal periods (Hornberger, 2006).

The risk of developing CHD can be significantly reduced due to a good control of blood glucose levels. On the contrary, the mother having a long-term type 1 diabetes as well as poor glycemic control before and during pregnancy increase the risk of congenital malformations (Khalidzicva et al., 2003).

Conclusions

The risk factors for CHD development in offspring may vary, namely the mother having chronic diseases, CHDs, chronic stress, the mother’s age (under 18 years and between 26 and 35 years), social status (incomplete secondary and secondary education), exposure to chemical factors, the father suffering from chronic diseases, especially of the cardiovascular system. Also, such risk factors as pedigree analysis showing presence of diseases of the cardiovascular system, thyroid diseases both on the mother’s and father’s sides, cancer on the mother’s side and congenital heart defects from previous generations should be taken into account.

The results of this study can be used in family counseling before conception and in identifying high-risk pregnant women. Women with relevant risk factors should eliminate them as much as possible before conception to minimize the risk of congenital heart defects in their children.

It is important to encourage fertility at a certain age, to form healthy lifestyle habits, starting with quitting smoking and drinking and trying to avoid exposure to chemical and environmental factors.

Prenatal screening is recommended for high-risk pregnant women, if necessary, using invasive methods. Early detection of congenital heart defects also allows medical staff to optimally prepare and provide care during pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium.
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