Altered Frequency and Phenotype of HLA-G-Expressing DC-10 in Type 1 Diabetes Patients at Onset and in Subjects at Risk to Develop the Disease
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Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a chronic autoimmune disease resulting in progressive destruction of β-cells. Several factors affecting lymphocyte and antigen-presenting cells, including dendritic cells (DCs), contribute to defective maintenance of tolerance in T1D. DC-10 are a subset of human DCs involved in IL-10-mediated tolerance. A precise monitoring of DC-10 in the peripheral blood is possible thanks to the discovery of specific biomarkers. DC-10, being cells that naturally express HLA-G, may be used for the appropriate staging of the disease. By enumerating and phenotypically characterizing DC-10 in the peripheral blood of subjects at different stages of T1D development—first-degree relatives (FDRs) of T1D patients, without (Abneg) or with (Abpos) autoantibodies, T1D patients at onset, and age-matched healthy controls (HCs)—we showed that DC-10 contain a high proportion of HLA-G-expressing cells as compared with monocytes. We reported that a low frequency of DC-10 during disease development is paralleled with the increased proportion of pro-inflammatory cDC2 cells. Moreover, DC-10 number and phenotype differ from Abneg FDRs, Abpos FDRs, and T1D patients compared with HCs, and DC-10 from T1D patients express low levels of CD83. Finally, multiple regression analysis, considering DC-10 and HLA-G-related parameters, showed that Abneg FDRs are more similar to subjects with autoimmunity than to HCs. This is the first demonstration that impairment in DC-10 number and phenotype, specifically CD83 expression, is associated
INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a T cell-mediated autoimmune disease characterized by progressive destruction of pancreatic β cells (1). Both genetic and environmental factors, by affecting lymphocyte activities (2) and antigen-presenting cells (APCs), contribute to defective induction/maintenance of tolerance in T1D. Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional APCs implicated in dictating the activation and differentiation of T cells. Conventional (c)DCs, or specialized subsets of DCs, termed tolerogenic (tol)DCs, induce or activate regulatory T cells leading to tolerance (3). Aberrant activation of cDCs or defects in tolDC activities contribute to breaking self-tolerance in T1D (4). Activated cDCs, which stimulate auto-reactive T cells and secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, and defective tolDCs have been reported in pancreatic islets and lymph nodes of T1D patients (5, 6). Moreover, cDC subsets have been described in the peripheral blood of T1D patients (4). The lack of specific biomarkers for identifying tolDCs has limited their identification and study in T1D patients.

DC-10 are a subset of HLA-G-expressing tolDCs, which induce adaptive T regulatory type 1 (Tr1) cells in vitro via the IL-10-dependent HLA-G/ILT4 pathway (7). HLA-G is a well-recognized immune-modulatory molecule that regulates innate and adaptive immune responses and promotes tolerance (8). Polymorphisms located at the 3‘ untranslated region (UTR) of HLA-G locus, which can arrange in a limited number of haplotypes and finely tune the HLA-G expression (9), have been associated with T1D (10–12). Moreover, the tolerogenic potential of DC-10 is associated with genetic variations of the HLA-G locus encoding for elevated levels of protein expression (13). The discovery that DC-10 are present in vivo (7, 14), modulate T-cell responses, induce Tr1 cells in vitro in a HLA-G-dependent manner, and are associated with tolerance (15) prompted us to postulate that DC-10 represent an important subset of naturally occurring HLA-G-expressing DCs involved in promoting tolerance in T1D than can be used as biomarker for staging the disease in conjunction with classical prognostic factors. The discovery of CD141, CD163, CD14, and CD16 as specific DC-10 biomarkers (14) allows a precise monitoring of DC-10 in the peripheral blood and tissue.

In this study, we evaluated the presence and frequency of HLA-G-expressing DC-10 in the peripheral blood of first-degree relatives (FDRs) of T1D patients with negative (Abneg) or positive (Abpos) serology, T1D patients at onset, and age-matched healthy controls (HCs). We show that DC-10 number and phenotype differ from Abneg FDRs, Abpos FDRs, and T1D patients, compared with HCs. In addition, in T1D patients, the ratio between tolerogenic DC-10 and pro-inflammatory cDC2 is weighted toward the immunogenic compartment. Finally, comparison of six variables associated with DC-10 and HLA-G revealed that Abneg FDRs are different from HCs and that a progressive decrease of CD83+ DC-10 percentage is associated with disease development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Subjects

Adult HCs (>18 years) were admitted to the “Servizio Medicina Preventiva” at the San Raffaele University Hospital, Milan, Italy. Pediatric HCs (≤18 years) were children with no immune system-related diseases undergoing surgery only for congenital disease at the Orthopedic Pediatric Department at the San Raffaele Hospital. Both adult and pediatric HCs had no family members with T1D.

Adult T1D patients were recruited at the Endocrinology Department. For genetic analysis, both new-onset (blood withdrawal within 3 months from diagnosis) and long-standing adult T1D individuals were included in the study. Pediatric T1D subjects were enrolled at the Pediatric and Neonatology Department at the San Raffaele Hospital. Only children with a blood withdrawal within the first 10 days after diagnosis (new-onset T1D) were included in the study. The diagnosis of T1D was made based on sustained hyperglycemia (documented by repeated glucose measurements and HbA1c measurement), fasting C-peptide levels, 1.0 ng/ml, or the presence of at least one islet-specific autoantibody (16, 17).

FDRs of T1D patients were recruited under the umbrella of the Type 1 diabetes TrialNet Pathway to Prevention Trial (TN01) at the TrialNet Clinical Center of the San Raffaele Hospital. These subjects were divided in two groups based on the presence of circulating islet-specific autoantibodies (e.g., GAD65, IA2, mIAA, or ZnT8) at blood withdrawal: FDRs without (Abneg) or with at least one (Abpos) autoantibody.

Human peripheral blood was collected upon informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and with local ethical committee approvals: IRB#DRI-003 for HCs and T1D patients and IRB#NHPROT32803-TN01 for Abneg and Abpos subjects. For pediatric subjects, the informed consent has been provided by parents. All the individuals included in the study were European Caucasians, and samples were collected from 2016 to 2017. A full blood count laboratory test was performed for all the samples received. Subjects with ongoing infections were excluded from the analysis.

Characteristics of subjects enrolled in the study are listed in (Table 1) and in (Table 2).

Flow Cytometry Analysis

The frequencies of DC-10 and other monocye/myeloid populations, and the HLA-G, ILT4, CD83, and HLA-DR
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expression levels were assessed on EDTA peripheral blood within 6 h after blood withdrawal. In brief, 50 µl of antibody (Ab) mix was directly added to 180 µl of whole blood and incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. The samples were then incubated for additional 13 min at room temperature in the dark with lysis buffer (EDTA 1 mM, KHCO3 10 mM, and NH4Cl 150 mM) to perform red blood cell lysis, washed twice, and re-suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma, CA, USA) with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Lonza, Italy). Cells were identified using a multiparametric flow cytometry approach based on the combination of monoclonal Abs (Supplementary Table S1). Samples were acquired within 12 h after the staining using a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). Samples were processed and stained in batches to reduce technical variability. Moreover, to ensure a standardized sample analysis and a reliable mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) evaluation among samples, flow cytometer was calibrated daily using 8-peak rainbow calibration particles (Spherotech, IL, USA) before sample acquisition. MFI was evaluated on the whole gated populations. Data were analyzed with FCS express v6 (De Novo Software, Glendale, CA), and quadrant markers were set accordingly to the relative fluorescence minus one (MFI) staining performed for all the donors analyzed.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical methods applied for each set of data in Figures 1, 2 are reported in the related figure legend. For Figures 3, 4A, B, and Supplementary Figures S3, S4C and S5, comparisons among groups were performed with linear mixed-effects (LME) models to account for the presence of several subjects within the same family. In each analysis, to meet the assumption of normality of the residuals of the models, an appropriate transformation, indicated in the figure legend, was eventually applied to the response variable; and, when necessary, few outliers identified by the specific model were not included in that analysis. For the sake of completeness, these outliers have been included in the figures as black dots (Figures 3B, C, Supplementary Figures S3A and S5).
Multiple mixed-effects regression analyses were performed to identify potential predictive variables of T1D development, since these models can account for the presence of several individuals within the same family. The logistic mixed-effects model was employed for predicting the probability to be Abneg versus HCs. The cumulative link mixed model was used for predicting the probability to be: a subject at risk without (Abneg) or with autoimmunity (Abpos) or to be a person with T1D (considering the groups as ordered). For both analyses, the variables considered in the starting model were Age, % of DC-10, % of HLA-G+, % of CD83+ DC-10, and 3′UTR genotype (considering the comparisons of all genotypes with respect to DelC/DelC genotype). The final models were obtained with a backward procedure of variable selection.

In all the analyses, p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. All p-values of the analyses were reported in the figures and/or (Supplementary Tables S2, S5 and S7). Statistical analysis was performed using R 3.5.0 (http://www.R-project.org/).

RESULTS

The Frequency of Circulating DC-10 Is Stable in Children and Adults

Using the newly identified set of markers CD14, CD16, CD141, and CD163 (14), and the gating strategy shown in (Supplementary Figure S1A), we evaluated the frequency of circulating DC-10 in a cohort of 55 healthy donors (HCs). The distribution of classical (c) DC1 (CD11c+CD14+BDCA-3+), cDC2 (CD11c+BDCA-1+), and plasmacytoid (p)DCs (CD11c+BDCA-2+ subsets (Supplementary Figure S1B) was analyzed in parallel. The frequency of DC-10 was constant with respect to the age among the donors analyzed and represented on average 0.31% ± 0.15% (range 0.07%–0.70%) of CD45+ cells (Figure 1A). Similarly, the frequency of cDC1 and cDC2 subsets was stable in young and adult HCs being on average 0.45% ± 0.20% (range 0.05%–1.19%) and 0.23% ± 0.09% (range 0.06%–0.49%) of CD45+ cells, respectively. In line with previous studies (18), the frequency of pDC decreased with age (r = −0.3061, p = 0.0231) and represented on average 0.17% ± 0.08% (range 0.05%–0.45%) of CD45+ cells (Figure 1A).
DC-10 are a subset of CD14CD16+ cells (14); thus, we analyzed the frequency of circulating monocyte subsets according to the standard classification (19): classical (CD14highCD16low), CD14+CD16+, and non-classical (CD14lowCD16+) monocytes. The proportion of non-classical monocytes decreased with age (r = -0.2872, p = 0.0335) with an average of 0.44% ± 0.21% (range 0.16%–0.95%) in CD45+ cells, while the frequencies of classical and CD14+CD16+ monocytes were constant in young and adult HCs, with an average of 2.55% ± 1.65% (range 0.31%–6.74%) and of 1.36% ± 1.02% (range 0.25%–4.72%) in CD45+ cells (Figure 1B).

Overall, in healthy conditions, the distribution of DC-10 in the peripheral blood is constant in young and adults.

DC-10 Contain a Higher Proportion of HLA-G-Expressing Cells Than Do Total CD14+ Cells, CD11c+ Cells, and cDC1

In vitro differentiated DC-10 express HLA-G (7, 13); thus, we investigated whether this feature is shared by their in vivo counterpart. Circulating DC-10 from HCs expressed variable levels of HLA-G ranging from 0.03% to 24.37%, with the percentage of HLA-G-positive DC-10 correlating with HLA-G expression levels, indicated by the MFI (r = 0.4724, p = 0.0005) (Figure 2A).

CD14+ monocytes constitute the main subset of immune cells expressing HLA-G, although at low and variable levels [e.g., 0.12% ± 0.07% of HLA-G+CD14+ cells (20–24)]. In our cohort of HCs, circulating DC-10 expressed significantly higher HLA-G levels compared to those expressed by total CD14+ cells in terms of both percentage of HLA-G+ cells (2.19% ± 3.84% and 0.66% ± 0.93%, respectively, p < 0.0001) and levels of protein expression (MFI of HLA-G, 25.95% ± 10.82% and 26.23% ± 18.96%, respectively, p < 0.0001) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S2A).

Moreover, HLA-G MFI on DC-10 was significantly higher compared with that on CD11c+ cells (32.55% ± 47.25%, Amodio et al. DC-10 Associated With T1D Development
Tolerogenic DC-10 Are Reduced in Type 1 Diabetes Patients at Onset and in Both Ab\textsuperscript{neg} and Ab\textsuperscript{pos} First-Degree Relative Subjects

Hypothesizing that DC-10 frequency could be altered in diseases characterized by breakage of tolerance, we investigated possible variations of DC-10 frequency in subjects with different stages of T1D. Glucose variability in long-standing T1D patients can affect myeloid and stem and progenitor cell compartments (25, 26); thus, we performed the analysis in pediatric new-onset T1D patients, in comparison with age-matched FDRs of T1D patients without or with autoantibodies (Ab\textsuperscript{neg} and Ab\textsuperscript{pos}, respectively), and age-matched HCs (Table 1). Circulating DC-10 were significantly lower as frequency and as absolute cell count in T1D patients (0.20% ± 0.13%, p = 0.0176; and 12.20% ± 9.44 cells/ml, p = 0.0005, respectively), Ab\textsuperscript{pos} FDRs (0.18% ± 0.10%, p = 0.0044; and 10.53 ± 6.96 cells/ml, p = 0.0002, respectively), and Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs (0.24% ± 0.19%, p = 0.0499; and 18.25 ± 18.87 cells/ml, p = 0.0118, respectively) compared with HCs (0.31% ± 0.15%, and 22.02 ± 12.22 cells/ml) (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table S2). No differences in the frequency of classical cDC2 were significantly higher in T1D patients compared with HCs (0.32% ± 0.13% and 0.22% ± 0.07%, respectively; p = 0.0025) (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table S2). Notably, the DC-10/cDC2 absolute cell number ratio was significantly higher in HCs (1.31 ± 0.92) compared with Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs (0.89 ± 1.59, p < 0.0001), Ab\textsuperscript{pos} FDRs (0.62 ± 0.45, p = 0.0017), and T1D patients (0.73 ± 0.60, p = 0.0005) (Figure 3C and Supplementary Table S2). No differences in the frequency of classical cDC1 and pDC were observed among the different cohorts (Supplementary Figure S3A and Supplementary Table S2). According to previous data (27, 28), the frequency of CD11c\textsuperscript{+}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{+} myeloid DCs was reduced in T1D patients (36.60% ± 10.15%) compared with that present in HCs (47.06% ± 13.45%, p = 0.0107) and in Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs (46.86% ± 14.00%, p = 0.0424) but was similar to that observed in Ab\textsuperscript{pos} FDRs (38.13% ± 14%) (Supplementary Figure S3B and Supplementary Table S2). Finally, in T1D patients, and in Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs and Ab\textsuperscript{pos} FDR subjects, the frequency of the different monocyte subsets was comparable with that of HCs, except for classical monocytes being significantly higher in Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs compared with HCs (3.57% ± 1.45% and 2.42% ± 1.65%, respectively; p = 0.0008) (Supplementary Figure S3C and Supplementary Table S2).

Overall, these findings indicate a specific reduction in the tolerogenic DC-10 compartment that is parallel to the increase of the pro-inflammatory cDC2 in T1D patients, Ab\textsuperscript{neg} FDRs, and Ab\textsuperscript{pos} FDRs.

DC-10 From Type 1 Diabetes Patients and First-Degree Relatives Show an Altered Phenotype

We further characterized circulating DC-10 focusing on the expression of HLA-G and of ILT4, tolerogenic molecules associated with DC-10 regulatory activity (13, 14), and the activation marker CD83. A higher percentage of HLA-G+...
DC-10 was identified in Abneg FDRs (2.96 ± 3.28%, p = 0.0145) and in T1D patients (3.24% ± 3.51%, p = 0.0029) and, as a tendency, in Abpos FDRs (2.56% ± 2.23%, p = 0.0593) compared with HCs (1.11% ± 0.78%) (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S2). Notably, in Abneg FDRs, DC-10 expressed significantly higher levels of HLA-G (MFI of HLA-G 37.74% ± 14.16) than did HCs (MFI of HLA-G, 21.89 ± 6.41, p < 0.0001) and T1D patients (MFI of HLA-G 30.07 ± 17.90, p = 0.0005) (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S2). Moreover, despite the high variability, Abneg FDRs and HCs showed on average the highest percentage of CD83+ DC-10, which was significantly higher compared with that of T1D patients (42.17% ± 19.16% and 48.19% ± 20.59% vs. 26.61% ± 15.43%, p = 0.0063 and p < 0.0001, respectively) (Figure 4B, Supplementary Figure S4A and Supplementary Table S2). The percentage of CD83+CD11c+ and of CD83+ cDC1 cells was comparable among the groups analyzed (Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Table S2). Interestingly, the percentage of HLA-G+ DC-10 correlated with the percentage of CD83+ DC-10 in Abneg FDRs (p = 0.0073). The dependency between the percentage of HLA-G+ DC-10 and the percentage of CD83+ DC-10 was also detected in HCs (p = 0.0069) but not in Abneg FDRs or T1D patients (subjects with autoimmunity) (Figure 4C). No differences in the proportion of ILT4+ DC-10 were detected among the different cohorts (Supplementary Figures S4B, C and Supplementary Table S2).

These findings indicate that in T1D patients and Abneg and Abpos FDRs, despite the lower proportion, DC-10 expressed HLA-G at higher levels compared with DC-10 from HCs. Moreover, in subjects with autoimmunity (e.g., T1D patients and Abpos FDRs), DC-10, but not other myeloid cell subsets, are less activated compared with those present in subjects without autoimmunity (e.g., Abneg FDRs and HCs).

**Abneg First-Degree Relative Subjects Differ From Age-Matched Healthy Controls**

Our data indicate that in T1D patients at onset and in subjects at risk to develop the disease (FDRs), DC-10 number and phenotype are different from those in HCs. Moreover, in Abneg FDRs, despite that DC-10 are present at lower frequency compared with those in HCs, these cells expressed high levels of HLA-G (Figure 4A). An association between specific HLA-G genotypes/haplotypes and T1D has been previously demonstrated (10–12); thus, we analyzed nine specific variations of the 3′UTR HLA-G locus and inferred haplotypes and genotypes in the different cohorts of subjects (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4).

To further define differences between Abneg FDRs and HCs, we performed multiple regression analysis considering the following variables: age of the persons, % of DC-10, % of cDC2, % of HLA-G’DC-10, % of CD83+DC-10, and 3′UTR HLA-G genotype (considering the comparisons InsG/InsG, DelC/InsG, and UTR-3/X genotypes with respect to the DelC/DelC genotype) (Table 3). Multiple regression analysis identified all variables investigated to significantly predict the probability of being Abneg FDRs, with the only exception of the DelC/InsG vs. DelC/DelC comparison (Table 3), indicating that overall Abneg FDR subjects differ from HCs with respect to these parameters. These findings confirm and further support previous evidence that Abneg FDRs cannot be considered healthy, as they already have signs of metabolic and immunological alterations (29–31).

**The Frequency of CD83+ DC-10 Predicts the Probability to Be a Type 1 Diabetes Patient**

To define whether the same set of variables that distinguish Abneg FDRs from HCs can predict the probability to be an individual at risk without (Abneg) or with autoimmunity (Abpos) or a T1D patient, considering the groups as ordered, we employed a cumulative link mixed model. The analysis showed that only the percentage of CD83+ DC-10 can be considered a significant risk factor to develop the disease (coefficient of the model = −0.0881, p = 0.0373). Indeed, a progressive decrease of CD83+ DC-10 percentage is associated with disease progression (e.g., from Abneg FDRs, to Abpos FDRs, to overt disease). The observation that none of the other variables, including the % of DC-10 or the % of HLA-G’ DC-10, were retained in the final cumulative link mixed model while they were retained in the previous final model predicting Abneg FDRs vs. HCs indicates that Abneg FDRs share several similarities with Abpos FDRs and with T1D patients, while they differ from HCs with respect to these variables.

**HLA-G UTR-3 Is More Represented in Abneg First-Degree Relative Subjects**

The observations that specific 3′UTR HLA-G genotypes can predict the probability of being Abneg FDRs, and that Abneg FDRs are more similar to subjects with autoimmunity, prompted us to perform an additional HLA-G genetic analysis to explore if HLA-G genetic variations represent risk factors for developing T1D. To this aim, we enlarged the analysis by including adult subjects (Table 2), and by genotyping both the 3′UTR and promoter (PROMO) regions of HLA-G. The frequency of UTR-3 allele was significantly higher in Abneg FDRs (20.1%)
compared with HCs (8.3%, \( p = 0.0283 \); Figures 5A, B, Supplementary Table S5) and comparable with the frequency assessed in \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs (10.6%, \( p = 0.2603 \)) and in T1D patients (11.6%, \( p = 0.5331 \)). We also analyzed 28 different single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the PROMO region of HLA-G gene (Supplementary Table S6). We inferred almost a hundred different haplotypes, of which 16 was already described, six with a frequency >0.5%, and 78 with a frequency <0.5%, which clustered in four major PROMO families (data not shown). Among them, we observed a significantly higher frequency of the PROMO-0104 allele in \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs compared with HCs (17.5% vs. 7.1%, \( p = 0.0390 \)) (Supplementary Figure S6 and Supplementary Table S7). These results, in conjunction with the high frequency of UTR-3 in \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs, confirm previous data on the linkage disequilibrium between the 3′ UTR-3 and the PROMO-0104 alleles (9). However, probably the smaller sample size of \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs and T1D groups did not allow to define the protective role of PROMO-0104 alleles versus disease progression, although it was present with a lower percentage in these two groups with respect to \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs (for \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs: 6.7%, \( p = 0.0664 \); for T1D: 8.1%, \( p = 0.2073 \)).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we report that naturally occurring DC-10 contain a higher frequency of HLA-G-expressing cells compared with CD14\(^+\), CD11c\(^+\), and cDC1 cells. Moreover, we show, for the first time, that the frequency and number of circulating DC-10 are reduced in pediatric T1D patients at onset and in individuals at risk of developing the disease, while the proportion of pro-inflammatory cDC2 cells increases in these groups. In addition, the frequency of CD83\(^+\)DC-10 progressively decreases from \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs to \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs, to T1D patients, and a low proportion of CD83\(^+\)DC-10 is associated with disease progression. Multiple regression analysis of variables related to DC-10 and HLA-G confirms that \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs differ from healthy subjects and are more similar to T1D patients and to \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs.

Thus far, the presence and the frequency of DC-10 have been described in the peripheral blood of adults (7, 13, 14, 32). The present study reveals that, similar to other myeloid DC subsets, the frequency of circulating DC-10 is stable throughout life and represents on average the 0.3% of total leucocytes, while the proportion of plasmacytoid DCs decreased in adults (18). The expression of HLA-G is a specific feature of in vitro differentiated DC-10 (7), and high HLA-G expression is a key determinant for their tolerogenic activity (13). Here, we show that, although the frequency of HLA-G\(^+\) DC-10 is variable among the donors analyzed, DC-10 contain a higher proportion of HLA-G-expressing cells compared with CD14\(^+\) monocytes, previously described to be the major myeloid population expressing/secretion HLA-G (21, 22, 33, 34), and to other DC subsets, such as CD11c\(^+\) and cDC1 cells.

Studies on the frequency of myeloid cells in T1D patients have been limited to pro-inflammatory cells, including DCs (27, 28, 35). We scrutinized for the first time the presence and the frequency of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory APCs (e.g., DC-10) in pediatric \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs and \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs and in T1D patients at onset. We showed a reduced frequency and number of DC-10 in T1D patients and in subjects at risk to develop the disease compared with HCs. DC-10 reduction is paralleled with the increased frequency of pro-inflammatory cDC2, and the ratio DC-10/cDC2 progressively decreases from HCs to \( Ab^{neg} \) FDRs, \( Ab^{pos} \) FDRs, and T1D patients. The DC-10 contraction is specific since CD14\(^+\)CD16\(^−\) monocytes, containing DC-10, are present at comparable frequency in the different cohorts analyzed independently from the stage of the disease.

The increased cDC2 frequency in T1D patients seems to be in contrast with two recent publications showing a reduction in the proportion of myeloid DCs in T1D patients compared with HCs (27, 28). However, the latter analysis was performed using different gating strategies and combinations of markers: myeloid DCs were defined as lin-HLA-DR\(^−\)CD123\(^−\)CD11c\(^+\) cells. Using the same strategy, we confirmed the reduced frequency of HLA-DR\(^−\)CD11c\(^+\) cells in T1D patients compared with HCs. Notably, with our detailed analysis, which allows the segregation of myeloid HLA-DR\(^−\)CD11c\(^+\) cells in CD1c/BDCA-1- and CD141/BDCA-3-expressing DCs, we showed the specific expansion of the former compartment, known to be the main...
producers of IL-12, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), IL-8, and IL-10, and inducers of cytotoxic T cells (36–38). This result supports the hypothesis that the enriched frequency of pro-inflammatory myeloid DCs is associated with the activation and differentiation of auto-reactive T cells sustaining T1D development. Moreover, our results suggest that the decrease of DC-10 and the DC-10/cDC2 ratio weighted toward the immunogenic compartment could be indicators of break of tolerance.

DC-10 from Abpos FDRs and T1D patients express higher levels of HLA-G, in terms of percentage both of positive cells and of protein expression, compared with HCs. Notably, the percentage of DC-10 expressing CD83 decreases significantly in T1D patients and, as a tendency, in Abpos FDRs when compared with both healthy subjects and Abneg FDRs. Correlation analysis between the percentage of HLA-G+ DC-10 and CD83 on DC-10 revealed a dependency between these two parameters in Abneg FDRs and in HCs but not in Abpos FDRs and in T1D patients. This result suggests a possible correlation between DC-10 phenotype and function that it is lost during disease progression. Multiple regression analyses supported this hypothesis, since it revealed that the percentage of CD83+ DC-10 not only discriminates between Abneg FDRs and HCs but also represents a risk factor for developing T1D. Indeed, a reduction of CD83+ DC-10 percentage is associated with disease progression. The downregulation of CD83 on DCs resulted in a lower stimulatory capacity (39); therefore, we can speculate that, despite the high expression of HLA-G, DC-10 in Abpos FDRs is more similar to Abneg FDRs and to T1D patients, from the cumulative link mixed analysis, demonstrating that the percentage of CD83+ DC-10 not only discriminates between Abneg FDRs and HCs but also represents a risk factor for developing T1D. Indeed, a reduction of CD83+ DC-10 percentage is associated with disease progression.

In conclusion, for the first time, we show an increase of the pro-inflammatory arm of the myeloid immunity (cDC2) and a reduction of the tolerogenic compartment (DC-10) in individuals at risk of developing T1D and in T1D patients at onset. Interestingly, the monitoring of the myeloid compartment, and, in particular, of CD83+ DC-10, might be used to follow changes in the immunological status from the absence of signs of autoimmunity to the overt disease. Moreover, the high frequency of HLA-G UTR-3, previously shown to be associated with HLA-G expression levels, in individuals at risk to develop T1D without signs of autoimmunity (Abneg FDRs) could represent a protective factor toward the disease development. These results pave the way to additional longitudinal studies, based on longer follow-up and including larger cohorts of subjects, to investigate the functional role of DC-10 in controlling/preventing T1D development and to further define if HLA-G genetics, in addition to the HLA-DR/DQ screening, can be used as an additional risk/protection factor for disease development.
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