Abstract
The golgin family gives identity and structure to the Golgi apparatus and is part of a complex protein network at the Golgi membrane. The golgin p115 is targeted by the GTPase Rab1a, contains a large globular head region and a long region of coiled-coil which forms an extended rod-like structure. p115 serves as vesicle tethering factor and plays an important role at different steps of vesicular transport. Here we present the 2.2 Å-resolution X-ray structure of the globular head region of p115. The structure exhibits an armadillo fold that is decorated by elongated loops and carries a C-terminal non-canonical repeat. This terminal repeat folds into the armadillo superhelical groove and allows homodimeric association with important implications for p115 mediated multiple protein interactions and tethering.

Introduction
Membrane trafficking in eukaryotic cells is an example for the modular organization of cellular activity. The formation and delivery of transport intermediates to specific cellular locations are complex processes that can be divided into several stages [1]. In this modular organization the first interaction of a vesicle and its target membrane is termed tethering. It depends on a heterogeneous group of proteins called ‘tethers’ [2]. They can be divided into multi-subunit tethering complexes and proteins containing an extended coiled-coil region.

The golgin p115, which forms stable homodimers, is recruited to membranes in a nucleotide-dependent manner by the guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) Rab1a [2,3] and belongs to the family of tethers containing a coiled-coil domain which plays an important role at the Golgi apparatus, due to essential interactions with the coat-protein complex I (COP I) subunit β-COP [7] and the conserved-oligomeric-Golgi complex (COG) subunit COG2 [8].

To understand how these different activities are combined in one p115 molecule, we embarked on its structure analysis. We used a construct comprising the globular head region of p115 (p115GHR, residues Asp54 to Tyr629) for crystallization (Fig. 1). The fragment lacks 53 N-terminal residues that are predicted to be disordered [9] and the C-terminal coiled-coil domain (p115CC).

Results and Discussion
Structure of the p115 globular head region
p115GHR consists of a multi-helical β-catenin-like armadillo fold arranged in a regular right-handed superhelix (Fig. 2A). We observe 10 classical armadillo repeat (ARM1-ARM10) [10–12] and one non-canonical repeat which we termed USO repeat, after the yeast homolog of p115, Uso1p. Each armadillo repeat is composed of three α-helices (H1–H3) and has a distinct hydrophobic core. ARM1 and ARM2 are connected by a highly acidic and flexible loop (residues 92–110), which is not visible in the electron density. Structure-based sequence alignment reveals a number of highly conserved amino acids (Fig. 2B).

The N-terminal region of p115GHR (Fig. 2C left) is remarkably similar to other armadillo-fold proteins [11,13] of different subfamilies (β-catenin, p120/catenin δ-1, and karyopherin-α/importin-α), although these proteins show low sequence conservation. The C-terminal region (ARM7-USO) of p115GHR differs from other members of armadillo-protein subfamilies (Fig. 2C right). The armadillo repeats exhibit long loops (5 to 13 residues) in ARM5-ARM9. This structural motif of elongated loops culminates in the formation of a short helix inserted in the H2-
In the crystal structure, a dimeric arrangement between p115 GHR monomeric in solution by gel-filtration experiments (not shown). Associated proteins (TAP) or vesicle docking proteins [14]. Described as general vesicular transport factors, transcytosis Uso1 head domain identifies a group of proteins which are head region of p115 from any other armadillo-fold protein. The (ARM7-USO, residues 343–629), which clearly distinguishes the (ARM1-ARM6, residues 54–342) and an Uso1 head domain structurally separate the protein in an armadillo helical domain groove.

**Interaction of p115 GHR and the COG complex subunit COG2**

Uso1p and p115 share a similar domain structure, a large globular head region with a long coiled-coil domain and an acidic patch on the C-terminus of the protein with an overall sequence identity of 25%. Two highly conserved homologous regions HR1 (residues 21–54) and HR2 (residues 200–247) were shown to bind to the appendix domain of the COP I subunit β-COP and the COG complex subunit COG2, respectively (see Fig. 1). HR1 is predicted unordered and missing in our structure. The HR2 is mapped to ARM4 and ARM5 of the N-terminal armadillo like helical domain. The armadillo fold is found in more than 240 proteins that mostly serve as scaffolds for the assembly of multiprotein complexes. They often mediate complex formation by polar interactions. Interestingly, the armadillo helical domain shows large negatively charged patches (Fig. 3a), and additionally we observe a conserved, highly charged surface patch of ARM4 in HR2 [15,16] which indicates that COG2 binding arises mainly from polar interactions [Fig. 3b].

**Dimeric arrangement of the p115 globular head region**

p115, like other golgins, is a stable homodimer with an N-terminal globular head domain and a C-terminal coiled-coil domain of 45 nm length as determined by rotary-shadowing electron microscopy [17]. We have observed p115GHR to be monomeric in solution by gel-filtration experiments (not shown). In the crystal structure, a dimeric arrangement between p115GHR molecules results from their packing along a dyad axis (Fig. 4A).

Depending on the orientation, the crystallographic dimer has a single-head or double-lobed globular appearance (Fig. 4B). The extended loops point towards the exposed surface, and the large superhelical groove of one molecule is covered by the groove of the second molecule in the dimeric arrangement. Interestingly, the p115GHR groove is less charged compared to β-catenin and karyopherin-α (Fig. 5) which there serves as a binding site for interaction partners in these proteins. In the dimeric p115GHR assembly as observed in the crystal the monomers are twisted around each other, keeping the USO helices, which form the interface of the head dimer, in the center. The USO helix and the USO repeat helix H2 are part of the dimer interface which covers only 635 Å² (~2.6%) of the total 24,000 Å² of solvent-accessible surface (SAS). This contact area is relatively small, indicating that in solution the globular head domains might be connected flexibly, if at all.

**A Model for p115 full length protein**

Although the observed crystallographic dimer might not exactly reflect the protein structure in the cell, we suggest a model of the overall fold of the full-length p115 (Fig. 6). We note the distinct shape similarity between the dimer arrangement of p115GHR and EM images of intact dimer p115 [17] and Uso1p [18]. In agreement with this observation, the C-termini of both p115GHR monomers are aligned in parallel in the crystal structure which would allow continuation into the coiled-coil of p115.

The different members of armadillo subfamilies like β-catenin, karyopherin-α and p115GHR define a conserved architecture and provide a scaffold for the assembly of protein complexes with different functions. Interestingly, the C-terminal region of p115GHR, in comparison to full-length β-catenin [19] shows how the architecture of an armadillo domain is altered to serve in, what we propose, a hinge-linkage between the subunits of the dimeric p115 head domain. Further high-resolution structures of p115GHR/CC and binding partner complexes combined with characterization of structure based mutants in cell-based assays will be required to understand how p115 carries out its tethering function.

**Materials and Methods**

**Protein expression and purification**

A fragment of the human p115 gene, encoding amino-acid residues 54–628 (p115GHR), was cloned into the bacterial
expression vector pGEX-4T1 (GST Gene Fusion System, GE Healthcare) and expressed in Superior Broth (SB) medium with 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. p115 GHR was purified with GST-affinity chromatography subjected to size-exclusion chromatography after tag cleavage by thrombin and concentrated to 20.0 mg ml⁻¹. Selenomethionine-labeled p115 was produced by using metabolic inhibition of the methionine pathway according to the protocol of Van Duyne et al., [20].
Crystallization and data collection

p115<sup>GHR</sup> crystals were grown at 4°C by the sitting-drop method using a semi-automated dispensing system [21]. Crystals for X-ray measurements were obtained in 25% PEG 550 MME, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5. The best crystals were flash-cooled at 100 K in mother liquor containing 20% sucrose. Data from a native crystal to 2.2 Å and a crystal from selenium-labeled p115<sup>GHR</sup> to 2.8 Å resolution were collected at 100 K at the Protein Structure Factory beamline 14.2 of the Freie Universitdt Berlin [21] at BESSY (Berlin, Germany). The same space group, C2, was obtained for

Figure 3. Interaction of p115<sup>GHR</sup> and the COG complex subunit COG2. (A) Electrostatic surfaces of p115<sup>GHR</sup>. The amino terminus of the molecule is at the top of the figure. Blue indicates positive charge and red negative charge at the level of 10 kT/e. (B) Conserved residues of p115 and the yeast homolog Uso1p form a highly charged surface of exposed helices which define the COG2 binding site. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004656.g003
the native and selenomethionyl proteins, with one molecule per asymmetric unit. Data were reduced and scaled using HKL2000 [22]. Data collection statistics are listed in Table 1.

Structure determination and refinement
For structure determination of p115^{GHR}, selenium-peak wavelength data to 2.8 Å resolution were used for single-wavelength anomalous diffraction phasing (SAD) to determine the positions of 15 selenium sites. Initial phases were calculated and improved using PHENIX [23]. The initial model was automatically built with ARP/wARP [24] and manually improved using the program COOT [25]. The model was placed into the unit cell of the higher-resolution native protein and subsequently refined using REFMAC5 [26]. During several rounds of iterative model building and refinement (including TLS), the model was extended to 553 residues per asymmetric unit, and three polyethylene glycol and 123 water molecules were placed into the electron density. The p115^{GHR} structure has a final $R_{work} = 21.9\%$ and $R_{free} = 26.9\%$, and the quality of the model was excellent as assessed with the program Molprobity [27]. The coordinates and diffraction amplitudes were deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code 2w3c. Refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Figure production
All pictures were prepared using PyMOL [28] and the APBS tool [29]. The sequence alignment was prepared with ClustalW [30].

Figure 4. Dimeric arrangement of the p115 globular head region. (A) B-factor representation ("S-" and "W-view") of p115^{GHR} molecules aligned by crystal symmetry. The intermolecular contact area (blue) is among the most rigid parts of the structure. (B) Depending on the orientation, the crystallographic dimer of p115^{GHR} has a single-head ("O-view") or double-lobed globular appearance ("W-" and "V-view").

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004656.g004
Figure 5. Electrostatic surfaces comparison of the superhelical grooves of the $p^{\text{GHR}}$, $\beta$-catenin and karyopherin-$\alpha$. Blue indicates positive charge and red negative charge at the level of $10 \text{kT/e}$. The amino terminus of the molecule is at the top of the figure.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004656.g005

Figure 6. Model of the overall fold of the full-length $p^{115}$. Surface and cartoon representations of a model of the full-length general vesicular transport factor $p^{115}$ generated by manually fitting a coiled-coil of appropriate length to the C-termini of $p^{\text{GHR}}$ in the crystallographic dimer. The different views of the $p^{115}$ model closely resemble published electron micrographs of $p^{115}$ and Uso1p.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004656.g006
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

|                          | Native p115<sup>GHR</sup> | Selenomethionyl p115<sup>GHR</sup> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| **Data collection**      |                             |                                  |
| Resolution (Å)           | 19.85-2.2                   | 83.62-2.8                        |
| Wavelength (Å)           | 0.91841                     | 0.97965                          |
| Images                   | 1–160                       | 1–130                            |
| Detector                 | MarCCD 165 mm               | MarCCD 165 mm                    |
| Space group              | C2                          | C2                               |
| Observed reflections     | 145,857                     | 59,377                           |
| Independent reflections  | 43,877                      | 21,841                           |
| <I>/<I>(<I>)>            | 10.2                        | 17.3                             |
| Redundancy               | 3.3                         | 2.7                              |
| Completeness: ov./l.s. (%) | 90.5/82.5                  | 98.5/98.2                        |
| Cell a, b, c (Å)         | 175.55, 68.89, 85.75        | 179.56, 63.09, 85.68             |
| α, β, γ (%)              | 90, 108.74, 90              | 90, 111.15, 90                   |
| R<sub>sym</sub> (%)      | 6.7/43.0                    | 6.4/22.3                         |
| **Refinement**           |                             |                                  |
| R<sub>work</sub>/R<sub>free</sub> (%) | 21.94/26.94               |                                  |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 4439                      |                                  |
| Number of water molecules | 123                        |                                  |
| rms deviation from ideal geometry: |                  |                                  |
| Bond lengths (Å)         | 0.012                       |                                  |
| Bond angles (°)          | 1.45                        |                                  |
| Torsion angles (°)       | 5.67                        |                                  |
| Number of residues       | 553                         |                                  |
| Overall mean B value (Å<sup>2</sup>) | 43.39                  |                                  |
| Ramachandran statistics: |                             |                                  |
| Residues in favored regions (%) | 95.4 (526/549)         |                                  |
| Residues in allowed regions (%) | 100 (549/549)           |                                  |
| Residues in disallowed regions (%) | -                        |                                  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004656.t001
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