Impact of Genetic Variants of Long Noncoding RNA Metastasis-Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1 on Uterine Cervical Cancer
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Abstract

Genetic variants of long noncoding RNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (IncRNA MALAT1) have been reported to be associated with several cancers. Until now, no study reveals the associations between IncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms and cervical cancer (CC). The objectives of this study were to explore the correlations among MALAT1 polymorphisms and occurrence and clinicopathological parameters of CC, as well as patient 5 years survival in Taiwanese women. The study recruited 116 patients with cervical invasive cancer and 89 patients with cervical precancerous lesions, as well as 268 non-cancer control women. IncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 were selected and their genotypic frequencies were defined by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Our results revealed that there are no relationships between IncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants and occurrence of CC. The independent factor among IncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants and clinicopathological parameters were positive pelvic lymph node metastasis (p=0.001, HR: 10.94, 95% CI: 2.65-45.23). In conclusions, IncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants are not related to occurrence and clinicopathological characteristics of CC and patient 5 years survival in Taiwanese women. Pelvic lymph node metastasis could independently predict the patient 5 years survival among various MALAT1 polymorphisms and clinicopathological factors in CC.
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Introduction

Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) is a long noncoding RNA (IncRNA), which is defined as a group of RNAs more than 200 nucleotides with restricted or no coding capacity, because of lacking an intact open reading frame. But it can influence gene expression through various patterns including assembly of chromatin-modifying complex, micro RNA sponges, promotion or suppression of gene expression, promotion or repression of DNA methylation, involvement of cell migration, proliferation and invasion as well as apoptosis, and therefore may be concerned with a
Cancer of uterine cervix is the fourth most frequent malignancy in female individuals globally, which is responsible for near 604,000 new cases in 2020 [13]. It has been shown that the annual incidence of uterine cervical cancer (CC) in the world was estimated to be 14.0 per 100,000 populations [14]. However, in Taiwan, the age-standardized incidence rate of CC was reported to be 8.50 per 100,000 women, and ranked the eighth most frequent cancer among women in 2014 based on the data of the Health Promotion Administration of the Ministry of Health and Welfare as well as Annual Cancer Registry Report. The age-standardized mortality rate of CC was estimated to be 3.39 per 100,000 women in this year, accounting for the seventh leading cause of cancer mortality among female individuals in the country. Tumorigenesis of uterine cervix is a continuous multistep dysplasia process [15]. Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) may persist its progression into invasive cancer [16]. Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 1 (CIN 1) conforms to low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion in cytological counterpart (LSIL; also known as low-grade CIN or mild dysplasia for histologic term), in which mitotic and immature cells only exist in the lower third of the epithelium; whereas CIN 2 and CIN 3 are collectively as high-grade CIN for histologic term and referred to as high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion in cytological counterpart, (HSIL; including moderate dysplasia, severe dysplasia or carcinoma in situ for histologic term) where mitotic and immature cells respectively occur in the middle as well as upper thirds of the epithelium or whole epithelium, based on the Bethesda system [17, 18].

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is determined if a gene exerts a different allele in the single nucleotide between the members of a species or paired chromosomes in more than 1% of certain population [19]. The genetic variants can influence the expressions of gene by having impacts on the promoter area, exon and 3’-untranslated region, and then genetic susceptibilities occur, and thereafter influence the occurrence of diseases and cancers such as oral cancer [19-21]. Although some previous studies have investigated the associations of IncRNA MALAT1 rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 with breast cancer, [22] colorectal cancer [23] and esophageal cancers, [24] to date no research explores the relationships between these SNPs and CC. Therefore, we designed this study to define the relationships between genetic variants of IncRNA MALAT1 and the occurrence and clinicopathological parameters of CC and patient 5 years survival.

Materials and methods

Enrolled female subjects

This study was designed to consecutively recruit 125 patients with invasive cancer and 98 women with precancerous lesions of uterine cervix from the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in Chung Shan Medical University Hospital in Taichung, Taiwan, from February 1994 to February 2015. Meanwhile, 325 female individuals with no previous CC and precancerous lesions who received routine healthcare in the outpatient department of the hospital were recruited as the controls. The 125 CC patients were staged based on the 2009 FIGO. The clinicopathological parameters of CC, including stage, pathologic type, cell grading, stromal invasion depth, tumor diameter, parametrium and vagina invasions as well as pelvic lymph node metastasis, were collected. The studied subjects were assessed for the distribution of 3 IncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338. However, only those subjects whose 3 genetic variants of IncRNA MALAT1 could be all determined were included into analysis. Therefore, 116 patients with cervical invasive cancer, 89 patients with cervical precancerous lesions and 268 control women were recruited for the frequencies of the 3 IncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants. For the patients having CC or precancerous lesions, cervical biopsy was performed under colposcopy supervision and pathological report was returned with final diagnosis of invasive CC or moderate, severe dysplasia or carcinoma in situ. These patients were categorized as cervical neoplasia group. Near 90% of CIN 1 has been found to regress to normal. However, high-grade CIN has been revealed to progress into invasive cancer in considerable rate [25], and is therefore regarded as cervical precancerous lesions. The control groups were categorized as enrolling female individuals without self-reported history of cancer of any site and without
cervical neoplasias according to the normal cytological report from cervical Papanicolaou smear and further delineated by normal colposcopic report in the general examination. Included patients received the routine treatment protocols, which accord with guidelines provided by National Comprehensive Cancer Network. The Institutional Review Board in the Chung Shan Medical University Hospital approved this research (CSMUH number: CS18208). All participants had informed consents.

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction from the blood specimens of all participants and selection of lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants

Laboratory staff performed venipuncture techniques to obtain blood specimens from all participants. The specimens were collected into Vacutainer tubes mingled with ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid, and immediately stored at 4 °C. Thereafter, DNA was extracted from white blood cells according to previous study [26, 27]. The obtained DNA was dissolved in pH 7.8 TE buffer. Then, it was quantified by the measurement of OD260. The OD260/OD280 ratio was determined and the range of 1.8-2.0 conformed to our criteria and was regarded as pure in order to prevent its cross reactivity from the current homologous RNA in the specimens. The final products were refrigerated at -20 °C and applied as templates for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Based on the data of the international HapMap project and the previous research of Zhao et al. [23], three genetic variants of lncRNA MALAT1 rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 were selected. Furthermore, these SNPs of lncRNA MALAT1 gene were associated with the progression of the various cancers [28-31]. Genotyping of these 3 lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms was determined using the TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay with an ABI StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System according to previous report [28]. The results were further assessed with SDS version 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

In order to compare the age distribution of the all participants, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed and post hoc analysis was further done by Tamhane test. Chi-square or Fisher’s tests were performed to relate lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms with clinicopathological parameters including clinical stage, pathologic type, cell grading, cervical stromal invasion depth, tumor diameter, as well as parametrium and vagina invasions and pelvic lymph node metastasis. In univariate analysis, the Kaplan-Meier curve model was applied to assess the significances of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms and clinicopathological variables for patient survival in relation to survival time, which were associated with 5 years survival of CC patients. The log-rank test was done to distinguish the differences among them. In multivariate analysis, the impacts of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms and these clinicopathological factors on 5 years survival of these patients were evaluated using the Cox proportional hazard model corresponding survival time. The hazard ratios (HRs) were then defined. The SPSS, version 18.0 and WinPepi Software, version 10.0 were applied for statistical analysis. P <0.05 was determined having statistically significant difference.

Results

There was statistically different age distribution between patients with cervical neoplasm and control women (50.7 ± 13.5 vs. 43.5 ± 9.6, p<0.001). Based on the ANOVA with Tamhane test as post hoc analysis, the age distributions were significantly different between patients with CC and patients with precancerous lesions (55.8 ± 12.3 vs. 44.2 ± 12.1, p<0.001) as well as between CC patients and control females (55.8 ± 12.3 vs. 43.5 ± 9.6, p<0.001). But, there was no significant difference for the age distribution between patients with precancerous lesions and control females (44.2 ± 12.1 vs. 43.5 ± 9.6, p=0.953).

Minor allele frequency of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms all > 5% in control Taiwanese women. Genotypic distribution of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphism rs3200401 met the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium [$\chi^2$ value, 0.806, p=0.668; degree of freedom (d.f.)=2] in control women. The distributions of rs619586 and rs1194338 all conformed to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2$ value, 1.510, p=0.470 and $\chi^2$ value, 0.769, p=0.681; respectively).
No significant difference was found for the genotypic frequencies of C/C, C/T and T/T in lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variant rs3200401 between patients with cervical neoplasias and control women (p=0.929). The distributions of other lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants, rs619586 and rs1194338 revealed no significant difference between patients with cervical neoplasias and control women (p=0.341 and 0.62, respectively; Table 1). Although age was controlled for adjustment, there were no significantly different genotypic frequencies of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms between patients with cervical neoplasias and control women (p=0.995, 0.523, and 0.749, respectively; Table 1).

When cervical neoplasias patients’ group was further categorized into subgroups of patients with invasive cancer and those with precancerous lesions, there were still no significantly different in the genotypic frequencies of C/C, C/T and T/T in lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variant rs3200401 among invasive cancer, precancerous and control subgroups (p=0.974; Table 2). It also revealed no significant difference in the genotypic frequencies of other lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants, rs619586, and rs1194338 (p=0.285 and 0.406, respectively; Table 2).

Even after controlling for age, the risks of precancerous lesions and invasive cancer of uterine cervix were not associated with genotypic frequencies of these lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants (Table 2).

The associations of lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants with clinicopathological parameters of CC, including stage, pathologic type, cell grading, stromal invasion depth, tumor diameter, parametrium and vagina invasions as well as pelvic lymph node metastasis, were further investigated. However, it revealed that no associations were found between genotypic distributions of rs3200401 and clinicopathological variables (Table 3). Similarly, no relationships were found among rs619586 and rs1194338 as well as these parameters (Table 3).

In univariate analysis, C/T+T/T and T/T were not related to 5 years survival in CC patients separately using C/C and C/C+C/T as references in lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphism rs3200401 (p=0.675, HR: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.29-2.25; p=0.260, HR: 3.01, 95% CI: 0.40-22.6, respectively; Table 4). Other genetic variants rs619586, and rs1194338 were also not related to 5 years survival in CC patients. However, HRs with worse 5 years survival could be revealed in CC patients with deep stromal invasion (p=0.009, HR: 4.00, 95% CI: 1.30-12.28), bigger tumor (p=0.011, HR: 3.50, 95% CI: 1.25-9.82), positive parametrium invasion (p=0.034, HR: 2.67, 95% CI: 1.03-6.89) and positive pelvic lymph node metastasis (p<0.001, HR: 8.11, 95% CI: 2.89-22.78; Table 4).

### Table 1. Genetic variant distributions of long noncoding RNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 in female patients with cervical neoplasias and control females in Taiwan

| Genetic variants | Controls (n=268) | Cervical neoplasias (n=205) | ORs (95% CIs) | P value | AORs (95% CIs) | Adjusted P values |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| rs3200401        |                 |                             |               |          |                |                  |
| C/C              | 176             | 137                         | 1.00          | 0.929    | 1.00           | 0.995            |
| C/T              | 85              | 62                          | 0.94 (0.63-1.39) | 0.748 | 0.98 (0.65-1.49) | 0.939 |
| T/T              | 7               | 6                           | 1.10 (0.36-3.35) | 0.865 | 1.04 (0.33-2.33) | 0.953 |
| rs619586         |                 |                             |               |          |                |                  |
| A/ A/            | 230             | 182                         | 1.00          |          | 1.00           | 1.00             |
| A/G              | 38              | 23                          | 0.77 (0.44-1.33) | 0.342 | 0.83 (0.47-1.48) | 0.523 |
| G/ G             | 0               | 0                           | u.a.          |          | u.a.           | u.a.             |
| A/ A/            | 230             | 182                         | 1.00          |          | 1.00           | 1.00             |
| A/G & G/ G       | 38              | 23                          | 0.77 (0.44-1.33) | 0.83 (0.47-1.48) | 0.523 |
| A/ A & A/ G      | 268             | 205                         | 1.00          |          | 1.00           | 1.00             |
| G/ G             | 0               | 0                           | u.a.          |          | u.a.           | u.a.             |
| rs1194338        |                 |                             |               |          |                |                  |
| C/ C             | 112             | 85                          | 1.00          | 0.626    | 1.00           | 0.749            |
| C/ A             | 127             | 92                          | 0.96 (0.65-1.41) | 0.815 | 1.00 (0.67-1.51) | 0.993 |
| A/ A             | 29              | 28                          | 1.27 (0.71-2.30) | 0.425 | 1.26 (0.67-2.34) | 0.473 |
| C/ C             | 112             | 85                          | 1.00          | 0.943    | 1.00           | 0.805            |
| C/ A & A/ A      | 156             | 120                         | 1.01 (0.70-1.47) | 0.348 | 1.00 (0.71-1.55) | 1.05 (0.71-1.55) |
| C/ C & C/ A      | 239             | 177                         | 1.00          |          | 1.00           | 1.00             |
| A/ A             | 29              | 28                          | 1.30 (0.75-2.27) | 0.447 | 1.26 (0.70-2.25) | 0.447 |

Statistical analysis: logistic regression model, chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests.

* Cervical neoplasias consist of precancerous lesions and invasive cancer of the uterine cervix.

+ The adjusted p values as well as adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and their 95% confident intervals (95% CIs) were defined by logistic regression model after controlling age.

u.a., unavailable.
Table 2. Genetic variant distributions of long noncoding RNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 in female patients with uterine cervical invasive cancer or precancerous lesions and control individuals in Taiwan

| Genetic variants | Controls (n =268) | Pre-cancerous lesions (n =89) | Invasive cancer (n =116) | P value | AORs (95% CIs) | Ad. P values | AORs (95% CIs) | Ad. P values |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| rs3200401        |                   |                             |                         |         |                |              |                |              |
| C/C              | 176               | 59                          | 78                      | 0.974   | 1.00           | 1.00         | 1.00           |              |
| C/T              | 85                | 28                          | 34                      | 0.99 (0.59-1.66) | 0.967 | 0.94 (0.55-1.61) | 0.831 |              |
| T/T              | 7                 | 2                           | 4                       | 0.84 (0.17-4.16) | 0.830 | 1.32 (0.35-4.98) | 0.679 |              |
| rs619586         |                   |                             |                         |         |                |              |                |              |
| A/A              | 230               | 76                          | 106                     | 0.265   | 1.00           | 1.00         | 1.00           |              |
| A/G              | 38                | 13                          | 10                      | 1.04 (0.53-2.06) | 0.909 | 0.62 (0.28-1.38) | 0.240 |              |
| G/G              | 0                 | 0                           | u.a.                    | u.a.    | u.a.           | u.a.         | u.a.           | u.a.         |
| A/A               | 230               | 76                          | 106                     | 0.265   | 1.00           | 1.00         | 1.00           |              |
| A/G & G/G        | 38                | 13                          | 10                      | 1.04 (0.53-2.06) | 0.909 | 0.62 (0.28-1.38) | 0.240 |              |
| A/A & A/G        | 268               | 89                          | 116                     | u.a.    | 1.00           |              | u.a.           |              |
| G/G              | 0                 | 0                           | u.a.                    | u.a.    | u.a.           | u.a.         | u.a.           | u.a.         |

Statistical analysis: multinomial logistic regression models, chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests.

*Adjusted p values and adjusted odds ratios with their 95% CIs were defined by multinomial logistic regression models after controlling for age between patients with uterine cervical precancerous lesions and control females.

*Adjusted p values and adjusted odds ratios with their 95% CIs were defined by multinomial logistic regression models after controlling for age between patients with uterine cervical invasive cancer and control females.

*Used as a reference for comparison to assess the odds ratios of other genotypes.

AORs, adjusted odds ratios; 95% CIs, 95% confidence intervals; Ad. p, adjusted p; u.a., unavailable.

Table 3. Associations between genotypic distributions of long noncoding RNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 and clinicopathological parameters of the patients with cervical invasive cancer

| Parameters* | rs3200401 | rs619586 | rs1194338 |
|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Clinical stage |          |          |           |
| stage I*     | 45        | 20       | 64        | 1       | 57        | 8       | 65        | 0       | 27        | 38       | 58        | 7       |
| stage II     | 33        | 17       | 47        | 3       | 48        | 2       | 50        | 0       | 25        | 25       | 40        | 10      |
| P value      | 0.713     | 0.016    | 0.183     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.366   | 0.167     |         |
| Pathologic type |        |          |           |
| squamous cell carcinoma* | 67      | 35       | 99        | 3       | 93        | 9       | 102       | 0       | 44        | 58       | 85        | 17      |
| adenocarcinoma | 11     | 3        | 13        | 1       | 13        | 1       | 14        | 0       | 8         | 6        | 13        | 1       |
| P value      | 0.544     | 0.407    | 1.000     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.323   | 0.693     |         |
| Cell grading |          |          |           |
| well (grade 1)* | 13    | 6        | 19        | 0       | 18        | 1       | 19        | 0       | 8         | 11       | 17        | 2       |
| moderate & poor (grades 2/3) | 65  | 32       | 93        | 4       | 88        | 9       | 97        | 0       | 44        | 53       | 81        | 16      |
| P value      | 0.905     | 1.000    | 1.000     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.794   | 0.733     |         |
| Stromal invasion depth |     |          |           |
| ≤10 mm*     | 41        | 17       | 57        | 1       | 50        | 8       | 58        | 0       | 25        | 33       | 50        | 8       |
| >10 mm      | 32        | 18       | 48        | 2       | 48        | 2       | 50        | 0       | 24        | 26       | 43        | 7       |
| P value      | 0.459     | 0.595    | 0.103     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.610   | 0.975     |         |
| Tumor diameter |        |          |           |
| ≤4 cm*      | 44        | 20       | 63        | 1       | 56        | 8       | 64        | 0       | 26        | 38       | 56        | 8       |
| >4 cm       | 33        | 17       | 47        | 3       | 48        | 2       | 50        | 0       | 26        | 24       | 41        | 9       |
| P value      | 0.756     | 0.318    | 0.182     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.226   | 0.413     |         |
| Parametrium |          |          |           |
| no invasion* | 51        | 21       | 71        | 1       | 64        | 8       | 72        | 0       | 31        | 41       | 64        | 8       |
| invasion     | 26        | 16       | 39        | 3       | 40        | 2       | 42        | 0       | 21        | 21       | 33        | 9       |
| P value      | 0.326     | 0.141    | 0.320     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.473   | 0.136     |         |
| Vagina      |          |          |           |
| no invasion* | 51        | 21       | 71        | 1       | 64        | 8       | 72        | 0       | 31        | 41       | 63        | 9       |
| invasion     | 26        | 16       | 39        | 3       | 40        | 2       | 42        | 0       | 21        | 21       | 34        | 8       |
| P value      | 0.326     | 0.141    | 0.320     | u.a.    | u.a.      | 0.473   | 0.344     |         |
| Pelvic lymph node |        |          |           |
| no metastasis* | 58    | 26       | 81        | 3       | 76        | 8       | 84        | 0       | 38        | 46       | 74        | 10      |
| metastasis   | 19        | 11       | 29        | 1       | 28        | 2       | 30        | 0       | 14        | 16       | 23        | 7       |
| P value      | 0.566     | 1.000    | 1.000     | u.a.    | 0.893     | 0.144   |           |         |
In multivariate analysis, lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants C/T+T/T and T/T were not related to 5 years survival in CC patients separately using C/C and C/C+C/T as references in lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphism rs3200401 (p=0.612, HR: 0.65, 95% CI: 0.12-3.44; p=0.409, HR: 3.12, 95% CI: 0.21-46.36, respectively; Table 5). Other genetic variants rs619586 and rs1194338 were also not related to 5 years survival in CC patients. The only independent factor among LncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants and clinicopathological parameters were positive pelvic lymph node metastasis (p=0.001, HR: 10.94, 95% CI: 2.65-45.23; Table 5).

Discussion

It was revealed that lncRNA MALAT1 was correlated with gynecological cancers. MALAT1 may activate cancer cell proliferation and reduce apoptosis by targeting miR-503-5p in ovarian cancer [32, 33]; as well as is related to cancer risk and promote cell migration and invasiveness in endometrial cancer [34, 35]. Moreover, it has been shown that MALAT1 may promote cell migration and invasiveness, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in CC [10-12]. Han et al. reported that MALAT1/miR-202-3p/periostin axis was associated with the regulation of the cell migration in CC [11]. Wang et al. revealed that MALAT1 regulated the cell apoptosis via BRWD1 and PI3K/AKT pathway in CC [12]. Cancer susceptibility has been revealed to be correlated with various SNPs in lncRNAs by genome-wide association studies [36, 37]. In addition, disease associated genetic variants may be situated in noncoding regions, comprising intergenic, intronic, and regulatory regions [38, 39]. LncRNAs expression may be influenced by SNPs occurring in the regulatory regions of lncRNAs, which can enhance or disrupt the binding of transcription factors to DNA [40, 41]. LncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants rs3200401 and rs619586 are two tagSNPs and rs1194338 is situated in the promoter region of MALAT1. Because lncRNAs SNPs may influence the
gene expression and until now no study investigates the relationships between these MALAT1 SNPs and uterine CC, this study is conducted to associate their relationships.

In this research, no significant differences occurred in the genotypic frequencies of three lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 between Taiwanese women with cervical neoplasias and controls. Even after cervical neoplasia patients’ group was categorized into invasive and precancerous subgroups as well as age was adjusted, no significant genotypic frequencies of these MALAT1 variants were still found among them. To the best of our knowledge, no study investigates the relationships between MALAT1 polymorphisms and the development of CC. However, in ovarian cancer, the heterozygous A/G and the homozygous mutant G/G were related to risk reduction as compared to the wild type AA in MALAT1 rs619586 [42]. Hong et al. found that C/T in the codominant model and C/T+T/T in the dominant model in MALAT1 rs3200401 were related to the elevation of gastric cancer risk in male patients but not in female patients [43]. By the contrast, Peng et al. revealed that genotype C/T in MALAT1 rs3200401 was related to reduction of breast cancer risk in patients who were older than 50 years, as compared to C/C+T/T [22]. The genetic variants in MALAT1 rs3200401 are situated in the MALAT1 nucleotides 6008-7001, which can be used for the binding sites of serine and arginine rich splicing factor 2 [44]. MALAT1 rs3200401 has been proposed that it can interact with serine/arginine-rich proteins and then modulates the alternative splicing of pre-miRNAs. The genetic variation in rs3200401 probably modulates the expression of cancer-associated gene and therefore affects cancer development.

MALAT1 has been demonstrated to promote cell migration and invasiveness in uterine CC [10, 11]. We therefore delineated the associations of lncRNA MALAT1 polymorphisms rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 with clinicopathological variables of CC. However, MALAT1 SNPs were not found to be related to clinicopathological parameters of CC in this study. In contrast, MALAT1 rs3200401 has been found to be correlated with histological type of gastric cancer [43]. In addition, female patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who had the genotypes C/A+A/A in MALAT1 rs1194338 exhibited a lower risk of vascular invasion and a risk of high Child–Pugh grade (B or C) [30]. Moreover, Ji et al. found that MALAT1 genetic variants rs3200401 and rs619586 were not related to TNM staging and metastasis of HCC in a Southern Chinese population [45].

In univariate and multivariate analyses, lncRNA MALAT1 SNPs had no significant impact on 5 years survival in CC patients. Increased HRs with worse 5 years survival could be revealed in CC patients with deeper stromal invasion, bigger tumor, positive parametrium invasion and positive pelvic lymph node metastasis. Furthermore, after adjusting these SNPs and clinicopathological factors through multivariate analysis, positive pelvic lymph node metastasis was demonstrated to be the only independently worse predictor of 5 years survival among these variables in CC patients in Taiwanese women. Other studies also corroborate this finding [46, 47], and 5 years survival rate significantly reducing from 85%-90% in positive pelvic lymph node metastasis to 30%-50% in negative lymph node metastasis in CC patients [48]. However, it has been revealed that genotypes C/T and C/T+T/T in MALAT1 rs3200401 were related to reduce the risk of death in non-small-cell lung cancer [49].

To our knowledge, the research may be the first one to investigate the roles of lncRNA MALAT1 genetic variants rs3200401, rs619586 and rs1194338 in uterine CC. However, this study has some limitations. First, the research was a hospital-based cohort study and occurrence of selection bias was inevitably possible. Second, this study only included the population of central Taiwan and did not recruit residents from other regions, and only contained those subjects whose 3 genetic variants of lncRNA MALAT1 could be all determined. The enrolled sample size therefore may not be large enough to obtain a statistical significance, especially for precancerous groups, thus restricting the possible subgroup analysis. The external validity of this research may be limited. Third, because the occurrence ages of cervical precancerous lesions and invasive cancer are inherently different, the age distributions of these diseases are different. Therefore, logistic regression models with adjustment were applied to reduce the influence of age. Fourth, female individuals in the control group were enrolled from the outpatient clinic of our hospital for general examination. Because of their conservative attitude, routine examination for human papillomavirus infection (HPV) was not popular. The impact of HPV cannot be included to assess.
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