Abstract
The methods for ankle arthrodesis differ significantly, probably a sign that no method is clearly superior to others. In the last ten years there is a clear favour toward internal fixation. We retrospectively evaluate the technique and evaluate the clinical long term results of external fixation in a triangular frame.

Patients and Methods: From 1994 to 2001 a consecutive series of 95 patients with end stage arthritis of the ankle joint were treated. Retrospectively the case notes were evaluated regarding trauma history, medical complaints, further injuries and illnesses, walking and pain status and occupational issues and the clinical examination before arthrodesis. Mean age at the index procedure was 45.4 years (18-82), 67 patients were male (70.5%). Via a bilateral approach the malleoli and the joint surfaces were resected. An AO fixator was applied with two Steinmann-nails inserted with approximately 8 cm distance in the distal tibia, one in the neck of the talus and one in the dorsal calcaneus. The fixator was removed after approximately 12 weeks. Follow up examination at mean 4.4 years included a standardised questionnaire and a clinical examination including the criteria of the AOFAS-Score and radiographs. Results: Due to different complications, 8 (8.9%) further surgical procedures were necessary including 1 below knee amputation. In 4 patients a non-union of the ankle arthrodesis developed (4.3%). The mean AOFAS score improved from 20.8 to 69.3 points.

Conclusion: Non-union rates and clinical results of arthrodesis by triangular external fixation of the ankle joint do not differ to internal fixation methods. The complication rate and the reduced patient comfort reserve this method mainly for infected arthritis and complicated soft tissue situations.

INTRODUCTION
Most authors see the arthrodesis of the ankle joint as the most feasible measure for posttraumatic arthritis of the ankle joint were conservative measures failed, especially as the joint conserving with total ankle arthroplasty cannot achieve equal functional medium and long term results [1, 30, 34, 38]. The methods for ankle arthrodesis differ significantly, probably a sign that no method is clearly superior to others. In the last ten years there is a clear favour toward internal fixation by screws with or without resection of the joint surfaces [23, 25, 33, 37, 42]. Some authors report arthroscopic methods in specific cases [39, 41]. The preference for internal fixation is often based on the gained comfort and compliance of the patients. On the other hand some authors quote a higher rate of non-union for the use of external fixators [4, 8, 14, 16, 24, 35]. Often the results of internal fixation are compared with historical studies or techniques using the Charnley-type external fixation with, compared to modern fixators [5], unstable frame fixator constructions [24, 40]. Studies directly comparing the technique were unable to find a significant difference in non union rates [6, 9, 13].

This was reason for us the retrospectively evaluate the technique and evaluate the clinical long term results.

Patients
From 1994 to 2001 a consecutive series of 95 patients with end stage arthritis of the ankle joint were treated. Retrospectively the case notes were evaluated regarding trauma history, medical complaints, further injuries and illnesses, walking, pain status, occupational issues and the clinical examination before arthrodesis. Mean age at the index procedure was 45.4 years [18-82], 67 patients were male (70.5%). In all cases the arthritic deformity was due to a posttraumatic condition. The index procedure took place on average 129 months (6-516 months) after trauma. The body mass index (BMI) was mean 27.2 kg/m².

Preoperatively 43 patients had a relevant mal-alignement (28 with isolated with a pes equinus ≥10°, 15 complex deformities with varus-or valgus component ≥10°). In 41 patients the range of motion (ROM) was decreased with a remaining ROM of ≤20°, in further 37 cases the remaining ROM was ≤10°. In 41 patients the range of motion (ROM) was decreased with a remaining ROM of ≤20°, in further 37 cases the remaining ROM was ≤10°. 6 patients had an ipsilateral motoric peroneal lesion, in 11 patients we saw arthritis of hip or knee of the ipsilateral and in 9 cases of the contra-lateral limb. One patient had an amputation of the lower leg due to trauma, one patient had a subtalar fusion of the ipsilateral limb. The treatment was funded by the workers injury compen-
sation scheme in 58 patients, the remaining 31 were covered by the regular health insurance.

Excluded for this study were patients with septic arthritis of the ankle joint. Six patients (6.3%) were lost to follow up and were therefore excluded.

METHODS

Via a bilateral approach the malleoli and the joint surfaces were resected. An AO fixator was applied with 4 Steinmann nails. Two Steinmann-nails were inserted with approximately 8 cm distance in the distal tibia, one in the neck of the talus and one in the dorsal calcaneus. Compression was then applied between talus and distal tibial (Fig. 1 and 2a, b). We aim for a neutral position of the arthrodesis with a maximum of 5° valgus under slight dorsalisation of the talus.

During the procedure the patients received single shot antibiotic prophylaxis. Postoperatively, subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin was prescribed until full weight bearing was achieved. Partial weight bearing with approximately 10 kg for 12 weeks followed. Patients were discharged after mean 7.8 days [5-14]. When bony union of at least 3 cortices was confirmed on radiographs the external fixator was removed and under protection of a walking cast. The weight was increased over a 4 week period. Finally an arthrodesis boot was fitted.

Follow up examination at mean 4.4 years (26-115 months) included a standardised questionnaire and a clinical examination including the criteria of the AOFAS-Score as described by Kitaoka [20] and radiographs. The follow up examination was performed by an examiner that was not involved in the operative care of the patients. The AOFAS score gives scores from 0 to 100. A score of 90-100 is judged as an excellent result, 75-89 as good, 60-74 as fair and below 60 as poor.

Statistical analysis included means, variance analysis chis square and T-test with significance testing, p-values <0.05 where deemed as significant. The software program WinStat 3.1 (Kalmia Co. Inc.,USA) was used for statistical evaluation.

RESULTS

In all cases the index procedure was possible although in 2 cases soft tissue contracture meant that a pes equinus position of approximately 15° was required.

In two cases a further cancellous bone transplant was performed at 6 and 9 weeks for unsatisfactory bony union. After mean 12.3 (8–16) weeks radiographs confirmed satisfactory union and the fixator was removed.

There were no cases of deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism detected in the postoperative period. In 9 cases (10.1%) we saw a reversible irritation of the dorsal cutaneous nerve. In 18 cases patients de-
veloped a pin tract infection (20.2%) which healed under conservative measures. In one case (1.1%) a bony infection around a pin site developed making 3 surgical procedures for treatment necessary. One patient suffered a fracture of the tibia at the site of the proximal tibial pin site 5 months after removal of the fixator due to a minor trauma. In 4 patients a non-union of the ankle arthrodesis developed (4.5%). In one patient a further procedure was successful, one patient (1.1%) with a previous Lisfranc amputation and a difficult soft tissue situation at the amputation site opted for a below knee amputation. Two patients refused further surgical intervention and were fitted with orthopaedic boots. A risk factor analysis for development of non-union showed no significance for the possible risk factors diabetes, nicotine abuse, age and BMI > 30.

Overall there were thereby 8 (8.9%) further surgical procedures necessary in this series.

At the follow up examination a mean leg length discrepancy of -12 mm (0 to -17 mm) was measured. Lower leg circumference difference was measured on average with -41 mm (-5 to -110 mm). In 31 cases radiographic signs of arthrosis in the subtalar joint had developed (35.2%), two patients had a subtalar fusion between index procedure and follow up (Fig. 3).

The mean AOFAS score (Diagram 1) improved from 20.77 (Std.dev. 16.2) to 69.3 at follow up (Std.dev. 21.5, p = 0.004 - 30, T-test). The largest improvements were found regarding to pain and walking distance. Whilst preoperatively only one patient had mild pain, at follow up 54 patients had no or only mild pain. The maximal walking distance improved from mean 675 metres to mean 3245 m (T-test, p < 0.025 – 18).

Statistical analysis of the insurance status showed patients that had an injury related to their occupation to be on average 5 years younger, the difference was statistically not significant (mean 44.8 years vs. 49.8 years; p = 0.071). Also the preoperative AOFAS score showed no statistical difference. There was a statistical difference in the AOFAS score at follow up. Patients insured under a workers injury compensation scheme had a mean score of 63.6 compared to 75.1 for the remaining (T-test, p = 0.027).

Asked if they would opt for this kind of treatment again, 76 patients (85%) would, 5 were undecided and 8 would opt against it.

DISCUSSION

The external compression arthrodesis as described by Charnley [8] was the standard method for a long while. High rates of non union [11, 14, 16, 24, 35] led to biomechanical [27, 29, 30, 32, 33] and clinical comparison with internal fixation methods [6, 24]. The best biomechanical results were achieved with plate or nail osteosynthesis [2, 31].

Biomechanical studies showed the Charnley type external fixator to have inferior stability especially regarding rotational forces [31, 36]. Calandrucio [7], Hagen [15] und Berman [3] achieved a significant improvement in stability via a three point fixation using 2 more Steinmann-nails and thereby improved union rates.

With this technique we saw a non-union rate of 4.5% in our series; this is comparable to internal arthrodesis with non union rates of about 4% (Table 1).

The pin site infection rate of 20% is in its rate in accordance with other studies [5, 19, 22, 24, 27, 35, 40] and is in our view one of the main disadvantages of this method as it often requires admission and active
Table 1. reported non-union rates after internal arthrodesis of the ankle joint.

| Authors          | Year | Non-union/All Patients | Percent |
|------------------|------|------------------------|---------|
| Morgan et al. [26] | (1985) | 5/101                  | 5%      |
| Breitfuß et al. [5]  | (1989) | 0/25                   | 0%      |
| Myerson/Quill [29] | (1990) | 1/33                   | 3%      |
| Moeckel et al. [24] | (1990) | 2/40                   | 5%      |
| Ther mann et al. [40] | (1996) | 2/28                   | 7.1%    |
| Crosby et al. [10] | (1996) | 3/42                   | 7.1%    |
| Rockett et al. [33] | (1997) | 1/14                   | 7.1%    |
| Monroe [25]        | (1999) | 2/29                   | 6.8%    |
| Buchner/Sabo [6]   | (2003) | 1/23                   | 4%      |
| All               |       | 17/345                 | 4%      |

One patient suffered a pathologic fracture at a tibial pin site; this problem also has been reported after internal screw fixation [22]. An arthrodesis site infection is a known problem after internal fixation [6, 14, 15, 24], no such complication occurred in our series. The loss of alignment was a known problem of Charnley type external fixation [5, 14, 35], with a triangular setup of the fixator, no secondary loss of correction occurred.

The surgical techniques for ankle arthrodesis differ, in this series as in others, the destroyed joint surfaces are removed together with subchondral bone [26], others only remove the cartilage with or without drilling of the sclerotic bone [17, 29, 33, 40]. Judging by the results there is no sign that one method is superior to another. Some authors recommend to salvage the medial malleolus [17, 40], others always perform arthrodesis under usage of the osteomised distal fibula [17, 21, 22, 28, 35]. Again our results suggest that this is not necessary, the correction of a deformity might actually be hindered by remaining malleoli [30, 38]. The perfusion of the talus is sometimes quoted as a rationale for salvage of the medial malleolus [17, 40]. We saw no cases of osteonecrosis of the talus in our series.

In studies directly comparing internal and external osteosynthesis methods, the complication rates of external methods are generally reported higher but the clinical results at follow up do not differ significantly [6, 24, 40]. For the AOFAS-Score after internal osteosynthesis results between 67 to 76 points are reported [6, 40, 12], which is in accordance with the 69.3 we found in our series. Interesting here was the significantly worse outcome after occupational accidents, a fact that has not been reported in the literature. We have no medical explanation for this finding.

CONCLUSION

Non-union rates and clinical results of resection arthrodesis by triangular external fixation for posttraumatic arthrosis of the ankle joint do not differ to internal fixation methods. The complication rate and the reduced patient comfort reserve this method mainly for infected arthrosis and complicated soft tissue situations. Clinical results are worse after accidents covered by a workers compensation scheme.
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