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Abstract

Background: The high prevalence of intestinal parasites indicated an increased morbidity in TB patients and emphasized the importance of continued stool analysis and treatment. Reducing the morbidity and mortality of TB and intestinal parasitosis co-infected persons requires an improved understanding of the prevalence of TB, intestinal parasites and their co-infection.

Objectives: This study determines the prevalence of Smear positive tuberculosis, intestinal parasites and their co-infection among tuberculosis suspects in Northwest Ethiopia.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted from March 2008-May 2008 among four hundred and fifteen tuberculosis suspects. Socio-demographic and clinical data was collected using structured questionnaire. Spot morning spot sputum sample were collected for direct AFB microscopy, and stool samples for direct saline microscopy and formol-ether concentration technique. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 16.0 software packages. Pearson chi-squared test, odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were used to measure the strength of an association. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The mean age the participants were 35.72 years for both sexes. Smear positive tuberculosis and intestinal parasites were diagnosed in 72 (17.3%) & 120 (28.9%) of the study subjects, respectively. Intestinal parasites were detected in 24(33.3%) of smear positive tuberculosis patients. "Hookworm" and Strongyloides stercoralis infection were common in smear positive tuberculosis patients, with prevalence of 8 (11.1%) and 5(6.9%), respectively. Smear positive TB patients were frequently co-infected with parasitic infection (X2=28.148, p=0.154) that will increase morbidity. Tuberculosis had significant association with shoe wearing (p=0.038) and finger nail (p=0.039). Bacillus Calmat and Guerin vaccination was also strongly associated with pulmonary tuberculosis infection (OR=0.262; 95%CI, 0.126-0.545, p=0.00).

Conclusion: The prevalence of smear positive tuberculosis and intestinal parasitosis co-infection predominantly "Hookworm" is relatively higher among tuberculosis suspects that may increase morbidity, so all tuberculosis suspects should be checked for parasitic infection and be treated accordingly.
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Background

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be the most important causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, and caused mainly by Mycobacterium tuberculosis [1,2]. Based on the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, 8.8 million new cases of TB and 1.1 million deaths occurred globally in 2010 [1]. The incidence of tuberculosis has been increasing dramatically throughout the world in the last decade. According to the Ministry of Health Hospital statistics data, TB is one of the leading cause of morbidity, the fourth cause of hospital admission, and the second cause of hospital death in Ethiopia [3].

The high rate of chronic malnutrition, widespread poverty, overcrowding, and high sero-prevalence of HIV infection has created an environment which made TB a formidable threat in Ethiopia [3]. Most patients with TB but without other illnesses absorb antimycobacterial drugs reliably [4,5]. The degrees of malabsorption appear to differ across populations [6-9].

Intestinal parasitic infections are among the most common infections in the world, being responsible for considerable morbidity and mortality [10-12]. Intestinal helminthes are estimated to account for the infection of over 1,400 million people globally and are among the most important health problems in the world, particularly in the developing countries [13]. Intestinal helminthic infections, such as ascariasis, trichuriasis and hook worm infections are also prevalent in Ethiopia [14]. The wide distribution of intestinal helminthiasis in Ethiopia is attributed to low socio-economic status and poor sanitation [15].

Over one third of the human population is currently infected with
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provide sputum sample were excluded. Those already started anti-TB treatment, with severe illness & unable to participate in protective immune responses [21].

Infection with intracellular parasites such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* induces Th1 immune response whereas intestinal parasites, mainly helminthes, elicit Th2 immune response. In sub Saharan Africa, where the prevalence of parasitic infections is very high, a dominant Th2 polarized immune response has been reported [22] and suggested to increase susceptibility to *M. tuberculosis*. Chronic helminthes infection can affect the ability of the host to control mycobacterial infections [23]. Co-infection also hastens progression of their disease [24-26].

The high prevalence of intestinal parasites indicated an increased morbidity in TB patients and emphasized the importance of continued stool analysis and treatment [27]. Reducing the morbidity and mortality of TB and intestinal parasitosis co-infected persons requires an improved understanding of the prevalence of TB, intestinal parasites and their co-infection among tuberculosis suspects. Both TB and parasitic infections have been studied extensively, but information is scarce regarding the level of TB and intestinal parasitosis co-infection in co-endemic regions. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of smear positive tuberculosis, intestinal parasitosis and their co-infection among tuberculosis suspected patients to generate useful information of public health importance.

Materials and Methods

Study setting

The study was conducted at Gondar University Hospital and Poly Clinic in Gondar town. Gondar is located 742 km far from Addis Ababa to the North West Ethiopia. Its elevation is 2,200 m above sea level. The hospital is a tertiary level teaching and referral hospital with 400 beds for inpatients, and renders referral health services to over 5 million inhabitants and also Poly clinic is a health center gives health services to many peoples in Gondar town, North West Ethiopia.

Study design and participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted from March, 2008 to May, 2008 at Gondar University Hospital and Poly Clinic in Gondar town. A total of 415 TB suspects attending Gondar University Hospital laboratory and poly clinic laboratory for microscopic examination of sputum for Acid fast bacilli (AFB) were enrolled in this study. An individual with cough of two weeks or more were considered as TB suspect.

Study population

All individuals with cough of two weeks or more visiting Gondar University Hospital and poly clinic were included whereas, individuals already started anti-TB treatment, with severe illness & unable to provide sputum sample were excluded.

Socio-demographic data collection

Socio-demographic and other information with known risk factors were collected using a structured questionnaire by doctors through interview during the patient visit and Bacillus Calmat and Guerin (BCG) vaccination status was observed by nurses using the presence of BCG scar as an indicator from all study subjects. The presence or absence of a BCG scar is often used as an indicator of previous vaccination in clinical settings as well as surveys performed by health institutions such as the Expanded Program on Immunization to assess vaccine uptake [28].

Sputum sample collection and processing

Spot morning spot sputum was collected from all study participants and the sample was delivered to the Gondar Hospital bacteriology laboratory and to the poly clinic laboratory for microscopic examination using conventional Ziehl-Neelsen staining method. A smear was prepared and dried, then heat-fix the dried smear, cover the smear with carbol fuchsin stain, heat the stain until vapor just begins to rise and wait for 5 minute. Then wash off the stain with clean water, cover the smear with 3% v/v acid alcohol for 3 minute and wash with clean water, cover the smear with methylene blue stain for 1 minute and wash off the stain with clean water. Finally wipe the back of the slide clean, air-dry and the stained slides were examined microscopically using oil-immersion objective for AFB.

Stool sample collection and processing for parasite examination

The appropriate amount of stool sample was collected from all study participants and delivered to the Gondar Hospital Parasitology laboratory and to the poly clinic laboratory for parasitic examination using direct saline microscopic method and formal-ether concentration technique. For direct saline method an applicator stick was used to mix about 50 mg of faeces with one or two drops of normal saline placed on a clean slide. A uniform thin suspension was made and cover with cover slip. The entire film was screened systematically for the presence of helmithova ovar and larvae or protozoan cysts and trophozoites. In addition, using an applicator stick about 1 g of faeces was placed in a clean 15 ml conical centrifuge tube containing 7 ml formalin saline for formal-ether concentration technique. The resulting suspension was filtered through a sieve into another conical centrifuge tube. The debris trapped on the sieve was discarded. After adding 3 ml of diethyl ether to the formalin solution, the contents were centrifuged at 3, 200 rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant was poured away and the tube was replaced in its rack. Smear of the sediment was made on clean glass slide and covered by cover slip. Then, the entire area under the cover slip was systematically examined using 10x and 40x objective lenses.

Data processing and analysis

Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version 16 statistical program. Simple descriptive statistics was used to explain socio-demographic, prevalence rate of smear positive TB, intestinal parasite and their co-infection. Chi-squared test was used to compare the study variables for the presence or absence of association in all cases. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were used to measure the strength of an association. A P-value of <0.05 was considered indicative of a statistically significant difference.

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics review board of the University of Gondar, College of Medicine and Health Sciences. Permission to conduct the study was also obtained from...
Gondar University Hospital and Gondar Poly Clinic administrators. Informed verbal consent was obtained from each study participants. Any information obtained at each course of the study was kept confidential.

**Results**

**Socio-demographic characteristics**

The study comprised 415 TB suspects (232 males and 183 females). The age range of the study participants was between 2 and 80 years and with a mean age of 35.72 years. Among the study participants, 53.5% were married and the proportion of urban vs. rural was 36% whereas monthly income <200 birr and participants using pipe water source comprised 41.9%, and 41.0%, respectively. BCG vaccination status showed that 130 (31.3%) of the study subjects had been vaccinated with BCG. Among the vaccinated participants 9 (6.9%) were smear positive for TB (Table 1).

**Prevalence of Smear Positive TB and Associated Risk Factors among TB Suspects**

Out of 415 TB suspects enrolled in the study, 72 (17.3%) were smear positive for AFB (smear positive TB) whereas 343 (82.7%) were smear negative for AFB. Tuberculosis had significant association with shoe wearing (p=0.038), finger nail (p=0.039) and BCG vaccination (p=0.00).

BCG vaccination was strongly associated with TB infection (OR=0.262; 95%CI, 0.126-0.545). However, there was no evidence of significant association with sex (p=0.396) and residence area of the patients (p=0.290) (Table 2).

**Prevalence of Intestinal Parasites and Risk Factors among TB Suspects**

Out of the 415 TB suspects, 295 (71.1%) had no intestinal parasites, whereas 120 (28.9%) had intestinal parasites with *Ascaris lumbricoides* accounting for the overwhelming majority 32 (7.7%). Mixed parasitic infections was observed in 17 (4.1%) of the study groups (Table 3). The prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection for male was 1.14 times (OR=0.262; 95%CI, 0.126-0.545). However, there was no evidence of significant association with sex (p=0.396) and residence area of the patients (p=0.290) (Table 2).

**Prevalence of Smear Positive Tuberculosis and Intestinal Parasites Co-infection**

Intestinal parasites were detected in 24 (33.3%) smear positive pulmonary TB patients and 96 (27.9%) pulmonary TB negative patients. The predominant parasites detected in smear positive TB patients and TB negative patients were *Hookworm* 8 (11.1%) and *Ascaris lumbricoides* 28 (8.2%), respectively. (Table 5) Smear positive TB patients were frequently co-infected with parasitic infection (X2=28.148, p=0.154) that will increase morbidity.

**Discussion**

This study identified 17.3% of smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases. The result of this study is lowered as compared to studies conducted in Tanzania and South India, which were 81.2% and 54%, respectively. Part of the differences between the prevalence in our study and other studies may be due to the difference in diagnostic methods for detecting AFB. In South India the diagnostic methods for detecting AFB were Purified Protein Derivative (PPD) and culture while, in Tanzania the diagnostic methods were both microscopy and culture [29,30].

This study demonstrates that BCG vaccination status had a protective effect against tuberculosis infection, which is in line with the study conducted in Brazilian children who had been BCG vaccinated at birth (using the presence of a BCG scar as an indicator) had a significantly lower prevalence of pulmonary TB infection than those who had not been vaccinated [31]. BCG vaccination and monthly income had significant association with TB infectivity, however no evidence of significant relation between TB positivity with age and sex. This finding is in line with the study conducted in Tanzania and Gondar University Hospital [30,32].

The prevalence of TB and intestinal parasites co-infection was 5.7%. This study also showed, among TB suspects studied, 28.9% had intestinal parasites. The result is lowered as compared to another hospital-based cross-sectional study conducted in Gondar University Hospital, which was 40.9% [27]. This may possibly due to the study subject differences that were TB suspects in the present study unlike known pulmonary TB patients. In this study, *Hookworm* was found to be the predominant intestinal parasite in smear positive TB patients; this result is concordant with the finding of other study done in South India [29]. This could be due to majority of the study subjects were came from rural areas, where shoe wearing is not a common habit.
Risk factors & TB status

| Risk factors          | Smear positive N=72 (%) | Smear negative N=343 (%) | Total N=415 (%) | P-value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|
| Sex                   |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Male                  | 37 (15.9)               | 195 (84.1)               | 232 (55.9)      | 0.396   | 0.802 (0.482-1.335) |
| Female                | 35 (19.1)               | 148 (80.9)               | 183 (44.1)      |         |             |
| Residence area        |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Urban                 | 22 (14.8)               | 127 (85.2)               | 149 (35.9)      | 0.290   | 0.745 (0.431-1.288) |
| Rural                 | 50 (18.8)               | 216 (81.2)               | 266 (64.1)      |         |             |
| BCG Vaccination Status|                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Present               | 9 (6.9)                 | 121 (93.1)               | 130 (31.4)      | 0.000   | 0.262 (0.126-0.545) |
| Absent                | 63 (22.1)               | 222 (77.9)               | 285 (68.7)      |         |             |
| Previous TB exposure  |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Yes                   | 21 (20.8)               | 80 (79.2)                | 101 (24.3)      | 0.294   | 1.354 (0.768-2.385) |
| No                    | 5 (1.6)                 | 263 (98.4)               | 314 (75.7)      |         |             |
| Shoe wearing          |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Yes                   | 51 (15.4)               | 280 (84.6)               | 331 (79.8)      | 0.038   | 0.546 (0.307-0.973) |
| No                    | 21 (25.0)               | 63 (75.0)                | 84 (20.2)       |         |             |
| Soap usage            |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Yes                   | 17 (13.9)               | 105 (86.1)               | 122 (29.4)      | 0.236   | 0.701 (0.388-1.264) |
| No                    | 55 (18.8)               | 238 (81.2)               | 293 (70.6)      |         |             |
| Fingernail            |                         |                          |                 |         |             |
| Trimmed              | 15 (11.6)               | 114 (88.4)               | 129 (31.1)      | 0.039   | 0.529 (0.287-0.974) |
| Untrimmed            | 57 (19.9)               | 229 (80.1)               | 286 (68.9)      |         |             |

TB - Tuberculosis; BCG - Bacillus Calmette-Guérin; OR - Odds Ratio

Table 2: Tuberculosis infection and associated risk factors among 415 tuberculosis suspects attending Gondar University Hospital and Poly Clinic, North West Ethiopia, 2008.

Intestinal Parasites Identified

| Helminthes                  | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Ascaris lumbricoides        | 32        | 7.7     |
| Hookworm                    | 26        | 6.3     |
| Strongyloides stercolaris   | 11        | 2.7     |
| Schistosoma mansoni         | 10        | 2.4     |
| Trichuris trichuria         | 3         | 0.7     |
| Hymenolepis nana            | 3         | 0.7     |
| Taenia species              | 1         | 0.2     |
| Protozoans                  |           |         |
| Entamoeba histolytica cyst  | 6         | 1.4     |
| Entamoeba histolytica trophozite | 5 | 1.2 |
| Giardia lamblia cyst        | 4         | 1.0     |
| Giardia lamblia trophozite  | 2         | 0.5     |
| Mixed parasitic infection   | 17        | 4.1     |
| Overall                     | 120       | 28.9    |

Table 3: Prevalence of intestinal parasites among 415 tuberculosis suspects attending Gondar university hospital and poly clinic, Northwest Ethiopia, 2008.

Variables & Intestinal parasite Positive N=120 (%) & Intestinal parasite negative N=295 (%) & Total N=415 (%) & p-value & Pearson X2

| Age                        | Intestinal parasite Positive N=120 (%) | Intestinal parasite negative N=295 (%) | Total N=415 (%) | p-value | Pearson X2 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|
| <14                        | 11 (2.7)                              | 8 (1.9)                                | 19 (4.6)        | 0.001   | 257.272    |
| 15-29                      | 47 (11.3)                             | 99 (23.9)                              | 146 (35.2)      |         |            |
| 30-44                      | 34 (8.2)                              | 100 (24.1)                             | 134 (32.3)      |         |            |
| >45                        | 28 (6.6)                              | 88 (21.2)                              | 116 (28.0)      |         |            |
| Sex                        | 64 (15.4)                             | 168 (40.5)                             | 232 (55.9)      | 0.207   | 25.984     |
| Male                       | 64 (15.4)                             | 168 (40.5)                             | 232 (55.9)      | 0.207   | 25.984     |
| Female                     | 56 (13.5)                             | 127 (30.6)                             | 183 (44.1)      |         |            |
| Residence area             | 30 (7.3)                              | 119 (28.7)                             | 149 (36.0)      | 0.005   | 41.172     |
| Urban                      | 90 (21.7)                             | 176 (42.4)                             | 266 (64.1)      |         |            |
| Rural                      |                                      |                                        |                 | 0.000   | 49.133     |
| Shoe wearing               |                                      |                                        |                 |         |            |
| Yes                        | 79 (19.0)                             | 252 (60.7)                             | 331 (79.7)      | 0.048   | 130.278    |
| No                         | 41 (9.9)                              | 43 (10.4)                              | 84 (20.3)       |         |            |
| Water source               |                                      |                                        |                 | 0.048   | 130.278    |
| Pipe                       | 36 (8.7)                              | 134 (32.3)                             | 170 (41.0)      |         |            |
| Protected spring & well    | 13 (3.1)                              | 24 (5.8)                               | 37 (8.9)        |         |            |
| Unprotected spring & well  | 53 (12.8)                             | 102 (24.6)                             | 155 (37.4)      |         |            |
| River                      | 18 (4.3)                              | 35 (8.4)                               | 53 (12.7)       |         |            |

Table 4: Associated risk factors for intestinal parasitic infection among tuberculosis suspects attending Gondar University hospital and poly clinic, Northwest Ethiopia, 2008.
Table 5: Type and frequency of intestinal parasites among TB suspects by TB

| Intestinal Parasites Identified | Smear positive N (%) | Smear negative N (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| **Helminthes**                 |                      |                      |
| Ascaris lumbricoides           | 4 (5.6)              | 28 (8.2)             |
| Hookworm                      | 8 (11.1)             | 18 (5.2)             |
| Strongyloides stercolaris      | 5 (6.9)              | 6 (1.7)              |
| Schistosoma mansoni            | 3 (4.2)              | 7 (2.0)              |
| Trichuris trichuria            | 1 (1.4)              | 2 (0.6)              |
| Hymenolepis nana              | 0 (0.0)              | 3 (0.9)              |
| Taenia species                | 0 (0.0)              | 1 (0.3)              |
| **Protozoa**                   |                      |                      |
| Entamoeba histolytica cyst     | 0 (0.0)              | 6 (1.7)              |
| Entamoeba histolytica trophozote| 0 (0.0)          | 5 (1.5)              |
| Giardia lamblia cyst           | 1 (1.4)              | 3 (0.9)              |
| Giardia lamblia trophozote     | 0 (0.0)              | 2 (0.6)              |
| Mixed parasitic infection      | 2 (2.8)              | 15 (4.3)             |
| **Overall**                    | 24 (33.3)            | 96 (27.9)            |

**TB** – Tuberculosis

Table 5: Type and frequency of intestinal parasites among TB suspects by TB status at Gondar University hospital and Poly Clinic, Northwest Ethiopia, 2008.

Limitations of the Study

Different immunological parameters were not performed to show the role of parasitic infestation in the pathogenesis of tuberculosis and lack of mycobacterial culture in our study, reduces the number of TB bacilli to determine the rates of TB disease.

Conclusion

The prevalence of smear positive TB and intestinal parasite infection among TB suspects in Gondar was 17.3% and 28.9%, respectively. Smear positive TB and intestinal parasite co-infection prevalence was 5.7% and the prevalence of Hookworm infection is relatively higher in smear positive TB patients that may increase morbidity. Tuberculosis had significant association with monthly income and BCG vaccination. Age, residence area, shoe wearing habit and water source had significant association with monthly income and BCG vaccination.
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