Genetic Engineering: A Promising Tool to Engender Physiological, Biochemical, and Molecular Stress Resilience in Green Microalgae

Freddy Guihéneuf†, Asif Khan† and Lam-Son P. Tran3,4*

1 Botany and Plant Science, School of Natural Sciences, Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland, 2 Research Group Germline Biology, Centre for Organismal Studies (COS), Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany, 3 Plant Abiotic Stress Research Group & Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, 4 Signaling Pathway Research Unit, RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Tsurumi, Japan

As we march into the 21st century, the prevailing scenario of depleting energy resources, global warming and ever increasing issues of human health and food security will quadruple. In this context, genetic and metabolic engineering of green microalgae complete the quest toward a continuum of environmentally clean fuel and food production. Evolutionarily related, but unlike land plants, microalgae need nominal land or water, and are best described as unicellular autotrophs using light energy to fix atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) into algal biomass, mitigating fossil CO₂ pollution in the process. Remarkably, a feature innate to most microalgae is synthesis and accumulation of lipids (60–65% of dry weight), carbohydrates and secondary metabolites like pigments and vitamins, especially when grown under abiotic stress conditions. Particularly fruitful, such an application of abiotic stress factors such as nitrogen starvation, salinity, heat shock, etc., can be used in a biorefinery concept for production of multiple valuable products. The focus of this mini-review underlies metabolic reorientation practices and tolerance mechanisms as applied to green microalgae under specific stress stimuli for a sustainable pollution-free future. Moreover, we entail current progress on genetic engineering as a promising tool to grasp adaptive processes for improving strains with potential biotechnological interests.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to their taxonomic and biochemical diversity, microalgae symbolize an unconventional source of molecules (Stengel et al., 2011). For example, the inherent nature of microalgae to accumulate lipids, carbohydrates, and secondary metabolites under abiotic stress can be applied in a biorefinery concept for generation of value-added compounds (Markou and Nerantzis, 2013). Nonetheless, transgenic microalgae impact diverse businesses such as energy, human and animal nutraceuticals, pharmaceuticals, health, beauty, and exquisite chemicals (Rasala et al., 2014). As naturalized for bacteria, yeasts, and plants, genetic engineering, therefore, constitutes promising strategy for studying abiotic stress responses in microalgae through novel phenotypes and specific traits. Conversely, microalgae as model photosynthetic organisms represent an ideal experimental
system to study major plant processes, as mimicking algal strategies to sense, respond and cope with abiotic stress can radically improve growth and metabolite productivity in plants. Over the years, significant advances in genetic manipulation of green microalgae have been achieved (Mayfield and Golden, 2015), as exemplified through production of omega-3 fatty acids, carotenoids, biofuels, as well as improved photosynthetic growth (Gimpel et al., 2015). Despite tremendous advances in sequenced genome, in particular for chlorophytes and heterokonts (i.e., diatoms), major obstacles feature need of additional well-established transformation vectors, potential inability to engineer and specifically localize transgenic proteins to sub-cellular locations and robust expression of multiple nuclear-encoded transgenes (Gong et al., 2011; Scranton et al., 2015). In this minireview, we discuss recent examples highlighting futuristic use of genetic engineering to design strains with potential biotechnological interests (Figure 1). Moreover, we surmise major abiotic stress resilience strategies envisaged in unicellular eukaryotic green microalgae.

Current Progress on Genetic and Metabolic Engineering of Green Microalgae
What We Know and What Is Available?
The pursuit of stress-tolerant strains has seen an unprecedented surge of algal transgenesis for recombinant proteins, enhanced photosynthesis, and key metabolite pathways, as well as production of precious nutraceuticals, pharmaceuticals, and biofuels (Gangl et al., 2015). Coupled to this, advanced cultivation of novel species with genome-wide omics (genomics, transcriptomics, lipidomics, and metabolomics) has facilitated successful transformation of microalgae (e.g., Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Thalassiosira pseudonana, Porphyridium sp., Nannochloropsis gaditana, Ostreococcus tauri, Haematococcus pluvialis, etc.). Previously, lack of genome and transcriptome data, as well as efficient tools for algal transformation prevented their genetic manipulation. Consequently, algal transformation systems had to be revisited, developed anew, including methods to introduce transgenic DNA, identification of suitable promoters, distinct selectable markers and expression vectors, as well as needs for codon usage optimization (Qin et al., 2012; Hlavova et al., 2015). Despite gigantic successes being achieved, only a few algal species still show firm and stable expression of foreign proteins. Increasing evidence suggests intrinsic algal gene silencing mechanisms; albeit repressive histone H3 lysine methylation, DNA cytosine methylation, RNA interference and microRNA-mediated gene regulation for instable foreign transcript or protein degradation (Kim et al., 2015). Likewise, the knowledge of such transgene evading mechanisms will be useful in regulating efficient protein production in metabolically engineered microalgae. Additionally, the emergence of fast and reliable next-generation sequencing techniques have sped up genome sequencing programs and transcriptomic research (Morozova and Marra, 2008). As a result, it is now possible to directly correlate the ensuing transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic profile with respect to pre-determined environmental stimuli, bridging the gap between microalgal genotype and observed phenotype (Dong and Chen, 2013).

FIGURE 1 | Illustrated scheme for improving microalgal strains for potential biotechnological interests via genetic engineering. GMO, genetically modified organism.
In future, a combination of transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic studies will be crucial in demarcating gateways to metabolic flux for boosting algal strain-engineering strategies (Jones and Mayfield, 2012). In addition, a battery of bioinformatic softwares like Algal Functional Annotation Tool, GreenCut2, AlgaGEM, Bag2D, PredAlgo (de Oliveira Dal’Molin et al., 2011; Karpowicz et al., 2011; Lopez et al., 2011; Tardif et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2015), and in silico kinetic and metabolic reconstruction models are now available for gene/protein characterization, gene metabolic alterations, and predicting proteins subcellular localizations at a whole genome level in various algal species. For example, an in silico metabolic reconstruction map allowed characterization of light-induced metabolic response for >1000 genes in Chlamydomonas (Chang et al., 2011). Likewise, the Chlamydomonas resource center harbors a collection of ~300 plasmids and >2700 strains for sustaining research within diverse aspects of algal cell biology. Additionally, a genomewide Chlamydomonas insertional mutant library containing >20,000 mutants covering 10,301 genes (58% of the genome) and cataloged at Chlamydomonas resource center has been recently reported (Li et al., 2016).

Genetic Engineering: Progress and Future Prospects

With an eye toward the future, methods to engineer entire biochemical pathways or multigene traits via homologous/heterologous recombination and development of optimized cloning vectors are underway, as demonstrated by stable integration and subcellular localization of reporter proteins in the chloroplast and nuclear genomes of C. reinhardtii (Noor-Mohammadi et al., 2012; Rasala et al., 2014; Scranton et al., 2016). Such a strategy can be advantageous for foreign gene integration, omitting positional side effects and transcriptional/post-transcriptional gene silencing processes associated with nuclear expression (Kim et al., 2015). In addition, successful yet simple nuclear gene targeting systems have been described in Chlamydomonas (Zorin et al., 2009). Novel permutations of promoter and 5′UTR, like 16s rRNA promoter and atpA 5′UTR have also been illustrated for sufficient heterologous transgene expression (Rasala et al., 2011) and robust expression of transgenic proteins within the C. reinhardtii chloroplast (Tissot-Lecuelle et al., 2014). Examples of metabolic engineering in microalgae comprise codon optimized expression of genes hemH and lba in the chloroplast of C. reinhardtii for optimum bio-hydrogen generation (Wu et al., 2011). Recently, synthetic promoters were generated to drive robust nuclear gene expression in Chlamydomonas (Scranton et al., 2016).

In search of optimal methods for exogenous DNA delivery within rigid algal cell walls, a zinc oxide nanowire array microdevice system was recently elaborated for C. reinhardtii (Bae et al., 2015) with recorded efficiency 6.52 × 104- and 9.66 × 104-fold higher than traditional glass bead beating and electroporation methods. Besides, a biocointainment method for transplastomic microalgae was reported via codon reassignment in the chloroplast of C. reinhardtii (Young and Purton, 2015). Such a codon reassignment strategy can be potentially useful for solving imminent problems in chloroplast engineering of genes whose products are toxic to Escherichia coli cells. Similarly, a self-cloning-based (cloning DNA from a donor into a recipient, between which the natural exchange of DNA is possible) positive selection system for breeding and genetic transformation of Pseudothoristis ellipsoperda was developed (Kasai et al., 2015). Such recombinant microalgae are considered natural (non-GMO) in Japan and are not governed under the Cartagena domestic law with regards to GMO biosafety (Lusser et al., 2012; USDA Japan Reports, 2014). Such algal molecular breeding techniques may form basis for future large-scale cultivation in outdoor open pond cultivation (Ho et al., 2014).

Finally, reports for high level targeted genome editing in C. reinhardtii via zinc finger nucleases (Sizova et al., 2013), transcription activator-like effectors (TALE; Gao et al., 2014), and lately clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) system are emerging (Jiang et al., 2014). CRISPR-associated protein 9 (CAS9) is an RNA-guided DNA nuclease successfully practiced for targeted mutations into eukaryotic genomes (Dominguez et al., 2016). Although stable transformants of C. reinhardtii expressing the CAS9 protein could not be recovered, in future, such studies would serve as gold standard for dedicated nuclear, chloroplast, and mitochondria genome-editing strategies.

Microalgal Stress Response Strategies

Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Green Microalgae

Owing to incredible metabolic plasticity of microalgae (Stengel et al., 2011), numerous studies have outlined ramifications of abiotic stress influencing algal biology and metabolism. High light, temperature, salinity, metals, CO2 levels, and nutrient depletion affect growth, photosynthesis, and biochemical composition in a species-specific manner (Perales-Vela et al., 2006; Solovchenko and Khizin-Goldberg, 2013).

One of the most detrimental abiotic stressor damaging algae is high light intensity, when absorption of light energy surpasses the capacity for light utilization in photosynthesis. Several mechanisms termed as non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) exist, to avoid this photodamage (Allorent et al., 2013; Mayank et al., 2015). NPQ consists of three components: qE (energy-dependent quenching), qI (photoinhibitory quenching), and qT (light-state transition; Eberhard et al., 2008; Depauw et al., 2012). The qE increases the thermal dissipation of excessive light energy and represents the major photo-protective process characterizing vascular plants, green microalgae and diatoms (Müller et al., 2001; Roháček et al., 2014). In model green alga C. reinhardtii, NPQ is stimulated by variations in pH in the thylakoid lumen, in response to high light intensity, triggering the xanthophyll cycle (XC) and activating the PSII LH2 stress-related (LhcsR) proteins (Finazzi et al., 2006; Maruyama et al., 2014; Wobbe et al., 2016). The latter initiates an onset of NPQ by perceiving luminal pH environment and in a concentration-dependent manner aggravates fluorescence quenching; the XC plays key role in boosting light harvesting and photoprotection (Goss and
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Jakob, 2010). Nevertheless, studies of their NPQ and XC activities have suggested species-specific differences in process of excessive energy dissipation between six green microalgae (Quaas et al., 2015). Of interest, microalgal species like Dunaliella spp. and H. pluvialis display the capacity to synthesize and accumulate tremendous amounts of secondary carotenoids (β-carotene and astaxanthin, respectively) under stress (Lamers et al., 2012; Scibilia et al., 2015). In photosynthetic organisms, response to high light involves enormous changes in expression of light-regulated genes and their regulatory components (Lemoine and Schoefs, 2010). Over the years, dedicated gene expression studies with microalgae exposed to different light regimes were undertaken, unveiling peculiar molecular actors associated with light stress responses (e.g., Lhcx gene group in diatoms, known to be closely related to LhCSR genes of C. reinhardtii; Maruyama et al., 2014).

Microalgae further picture a suite of adaptive mechanisms in response to changes in salinity, i.e., passive “osmometer” behavior. Such a profile largely depends on physical and chemical characteristics of the cell wall membrane, regulation of water fluxes, mechanisms to equilibrate intracellular ionic levels using ion-selective channels and carriers, and synthesis or degradation of low-molecular-weight organic solutes (Kirst, 1990). The halotolerant green alga, Dunaliella salina, has been described as a model alga for deducing response of plant cells to salt stress (Cowan et al., 1992). Employing a proteomic approach for salinity stress induced proteins in Dunaliella, Liska et al. (2004) decoded up-regulation of major Calvin cycle enzymes, starch mobilization enzymes and enzymes involved in redox energy generation; factors involved in protein production and degradation; and an analog of bacterial Na⁺⁺-redox transporters. The results are suggestive of Dunaliella’s response to high salinity by enhancing photosynthetic CO₂ consumption and allocating carbon and energy budget for synthesis of glycerol, its osmoprotective component. On the same lines, salt-stress up-regulated the carotenoid ketolase (BKT) in Chlorella zogingiensis and enhanced the accumulation of canthaxanthin and astaxanthin. High salinity also stimulated the generation of reactive oxygen species, which in turn triggered the up-regulation of distinct carotenogenic genes and increased build-up of antioxidant carotenoids (Li et al., 2009).

Changes in temperature are known to strongly affect growth, photosynthetic activity and biochemical composition in microalgae (Geider, 1987; Renaud et al., 2002; Claquin et al., 2008). Indeed, higher temperatures often result in decline in protein production and rise in lipid and carbohydrates levels. An increase in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) might be one of the ways microalgae adjust to low-temperature and preserve membrane fluidity (Jiang and Gao, 2004). Interestingly, few microalgae exhibit high temperature tolerance up to 40–42°C (Hanagata et al., 1992; Sakai et al., 1995; de-Bashan et al., 2008). Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are molecular chaperones, crucial during heat shock response and ensuing adaptive homeostases against environmental stresses. 17 small HSPs have been identified from complete genome sequences of five diverse algae: C. reinhardtii, Cyanidioschyzon merolae, Ostreococcus lucimarinus, O. tauri, and T. pseudonana (Waters and Rioflorio, 2007). However, sequence analysis reveals that the diversity and abundance of algal small HSPs does not fully correlate with acclimation to extreme temperatures. Recently, two small HSPs have been shown to be up-regulated and activated at a threshold temperature of ~36°C in C. reinhardtii (Kobayashi et al., 2014). These results stress the advantage of an exclusive temperature-sensing system in the evolution and resilience to elevated temperatures among microalgae.

**Mechanisms of CO₂ Concentration and Heavy Metal Tolerance**

Rise in atmospheric CO₂ and decrease in oceanic, dissolved inorganic carbon during eco-genesis enabled photoautotrophic organisms to formulate sophisticated CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs). Such mechanisms enhance CO₂ uptake by increasing CO₂ concentration around ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), an enzyme of the chloroplast stroma that catalyzes the entry of CO₂ into the Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle to maintain sufficient photosynthesis (Raven, 2010). To date, numerous published reports have described low-CO₂-acclimation/adaptation within some cyanobacteria and unicellular eukaryotes; but, information regarding high-CO₂ tolerance processes remains elusive. One CO₂ mitigation strategy consists of selecting and developing strains that stand rigorously high CO₂ levels to couple microalgal-mediated CO₂ fixation and production of biofuels and other secondary metabolites (Bhola et al., 2014). Since CO₂ tolerance varies greatly between species, microalgae have been arbitrarily divided into CO₂-sensitive (inhibited by <2–5% CO₂) and CO₂-tolerant (surviving up to 20% CO₂) groups (Miyachi et al., 2003). An example of such CO₂-tolerant species includes chlorophytes Chlorococcum sp. KR-1 and Chlorococcum littorale that demonstrate rapid growth at 40 and 60% CO₂, respectively (Iwasaki et al., 1996; Sung et al., 1999). Principal factors responsible for high CO₂ tolerance in such extremophile symbiotic species constitute state transitions in photosynthetic apparatus that augment ATP generation, enhanced activity of H⁺-ATPase for pumping protons out of the cell, hasty shutdown of CCMs, adjustment of fatty acid composition and diversion of excess photosynthates to generation of energy-rich compounds, such as triacylglycerols (Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg, 2013).

Microalgae also possess molecular machinery that allows them to distinguish between non-essential and essential heavy metals (Perales-Vela et al., 2006). This ability makes microalgae better suited for treatment of heavy metal polluted water, employing algal-based biotechnologies for waste-water remediation (Suresh Kumar et al., 2015). Indeed, microalgae take up and store toxic metals from the environment (Perales-Vela et al., 2006). For instance, microalgae can preferentially synthesize peptides capable to bind heavy metals. These peptides, as part of organometallic complexes, are additionally partitioned inside vacuoles to aid pertinent control of the cytoplasmic concentration of metal ions, thus nullifying their potential toxic effect (Cobbett and Goldsborough, 2002). C. reinhardtii has been described as a model photosynthetic eukaryotic for investigating heavy metal tolerance or homeostasis due
to molecular and genetic tools available for this species (Hanikenne, 2003). For example, phytochelatins (PC), low-molecular weight peptides, containing sulfur rich cysteine amino acid have been elicited as major intracellular cadmium (Cd) chelators in C. reinhardtii (Hu et al., 2001). In Dunaliella tertiolecta, PC, inducibly synthesized by zinc treatment, can function both in detoxifying heavy metals and alleviation of oxidative stress (Tsuji et al., 2002). Thioredoxins (TRxs) are also known to accord heavy metal detoxification in Chlamydomonas as exemplified by two TRX genes being differentially stimulated by Cd and mercury (Lemaire et al., 1999). As glutathione (GSH)-heavy metal adducts serve as substrates for PC synthase, an earlier study centered on two strains of Scenedesmus acutus (wild type and Cr$^{6+}$ tolerant) reported the constitutive cysteine concentration higher in the Cr$^{6+}$-tolerant strain (Torricelli et al., 2004). When the cells were subjected to Cd$^{2+}$, the tolerant strain had increased levels of reduced GSH and class III metallothioneins (MtIII) as compared to the wild-type strain. Finally, proline (Pro) has also been reported to play a dominant role in alleviating environmental stress including heavy metal stress in plants, microorganisms and microalgae (Siripornadulsil et al., 2002).

Clearly, abiotic stress has the ability to reorient a holistic and sometimes specific microalgal metabolism as an efficient means for increasing the production of selected compounds. Keeping this in mind we highlight in the next section recent advances in microalgal engineering which, when combined with sophisticated physiological and biophysical approaches, may enhance microalgal stress resilience under disparate conditions.

### Examples of Stress-Resilience in Microalgae via Genetic Engineering

Despite most engineering strategies favoring increased production of high value compounds (e.g., antioxidant pigments and PUFAs) and biofuel-molecules (e.g., hydrogen and triacylglycerol), recent works have been driven to develop resilient strains with applications like CO$_2$ sequestration and heavy metal biomitigation (Table 1). For example, a moth bean δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS) gene has been expressed in C. reinhardtii, with transformants displaying 80% higher free-Pro levels, rapid growth at toxic Cd concentrations,

### TABLE 1 | Examples of green algal species aptly transformed to date together with methods applied and trait(s) enhanced for proficient metabolic or genetic engineering.

| Algal species          | Transfection method | Modification          | Genes                  | Trait(s) engineered                                                                 | Reference                  |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Chlamydomonas reinhardtii | Glass beads         | RNAI three target genes | DGAT                   | 24 and 37% reduction in TAGs with two genes 34% increase in TAGs with one gene       | Deng et al., 2012          |
|                        | Glass beads         | Nuclear overexpression | PSY                    | 2.6-fold increase in lutein                                                         | Couso et al., 2011         |
|                        | –                   | Insertional mutagenesis | CHT7                   | Repressor of cellular quiescence under nitrogen-replete conditions                    | Tsai et al., 2014          |
| Biologistics           | Chloroplast expression | hemH & lba            |                        | Increase in hydrogen production                                                      | Wu et al., 2011            |
| Electroporation        | Nuclear expression   | P5CS (moth bean)      |                        | 80% higher free-Pro levels, rapid growth under Cd toxicity, four-fold more binding to Cd | Siripornadulsil et al., 2002 |
| Glass beads            | RNAI LHC gene family | 20 genes encoding LHCI, LHCI, CP26 and CP29 down-regulated | 24                        | Antenna-size reduction Increased competence for cell cultivation under high light intensity | Mussgnug et al., 2007 |
| Chlorella ellipsoidea  | Electroporation      | Nuclear overexpression | Lipogenesis            | 52% increase in total lipids                                                       | Zhang et al., 2014         |
| Chlorella minutissima  | Electroporation      | Nuclear overexpression | Five genes encoding TAG enzymes | Twofold increase in TAGs with all five genes No change with individual gene        | Hsieh et al., 2012         |
| Dunaliella salina      | Electroporation      | RNAI                  | P5CS                   | 72% reduction of mRNA                                                               | Sun et al., 2008           |
| Haematococcus pluvialis | Biolistics         | Nuclear overexpression | PDS                    | 26% increase in astaxanthin                                                          | Steinbrenner and Sandmann, 2006 |
| Nannochloropsis oceanica | Electroporation    | Nuclear overexpression | Δ12-des                | Nitrogen-starvation induced increase deposition of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) in TAG | Kaye et al., 2015         |

CHT7, compromised hydrolysis of triacylglycerols; Δ12-des, Δ12-desaturase; DGAT, Acyl-CoA:diacylglycerol acyltransferase; hemH, ferrochelatase gene; lba, leghemoglobin gene; LHC, light-harvesting antenna complexes; P5CS, δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase; PDS, phytoene desaturase; PSY, phytoene synthase; TAG, triacylglycerol.
and tremendous binding at four-fold higher Cd concentration compared to wild-type cells (Siripornadulsil et al., 2002). The results propose role of free-Pro as an antioxidant in Cd-stressed cells with resulting higher GSH levels facilitating enhanced phytochelatin synthesis and sequestration of Cd. Expeditious transgenesis has been reported using such microalgae to enhance heavy metal sensitivity and binding specificity for contaminated wastewaters and sediments (Rajamani et al., 2007). Much interest has also been diverted toward engineering small and large subunits of Rubisco (rbcS and rbcL) as targets for wastewaters and sediments (Rajamani et al., 2007). Much transgenesis has been reported using such microalgae to enhance and tremendous binding at four-fold higher Cd concentration (Guihéneuf et al., Genetic Engineering for Stress-Resilient Green Microalgae).

CONCLUSION

Genetic manipulation of microalgae can provide feasible respite by meeting the immediate and long-term demands for food and fuel production on a sustainable basis. A clear step toward the realization of this goal is the development of microalgae-microbial fuel cells (mMFCs) that channelize the solar energy to electrical energy via algal metabolic pathways (Lee et al., 2015). Besides, genetic engineering of microalgae would supplement land plants and industrial biotechnology for value-added products, thereby saving land and air pollution. Already much is achieved through reinforced tools, including rapid sequencing of algal genomes, control of environmental stress conditions, as well as mining of new genes for enhancing lipid and bioactive productions, reducing photo-inhibition, and manipulation of Rubisco- and Calvin-cycle enzymes for metabolic engineering. The field of algal genetic engineering will require renewed attention both at basic and applied levels to envision a prosperous future.
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