Antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic study of extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* (harms) J. Léonard from Gabon
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Gabonese flora abounds in a significant reserve of plants in medical matter. Thus, medicinal plants occupy a significant place in African pharmacopeia. Aim of this work was to evaluate the antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic properties of extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* (Harms) J. Léonard.

**Methods:** The test for sensitivity to microorganisms was performed by the diffusion method, while the MICs and MBCs were evaluated by the microdilution technique. Antioxidant tests were performed by scavenging the DPPH and ABTS radicals. Anti-inflammatory activity was determined by protein denaturing and membrane stabilization methods. The cytotoxicity was evaluated on the tadpoles of the green frog.

**Results:** The antibacterial activity shows that the Gt F2 fraction and the water-acetone extract produced the greatest inhibitions. The water, water-ethanol and water-acetone extracts exhibited bactericidal effects on the majority of bacteria. In the case of trapping of the DPPH radical, the IC\textsubscript{50} values varied from 6.92 ± 0.48 to 16.64 ± 0.20 μg/mL. For the decolouration of ABTS, oxidation was mainly inhibited by the water-acetone, water-ethanol extracts and some fractions. The water and water-acetone extracts showed good inhibition of denaturation. The hemolysis test confirmed the good activities of the extracts. The lethal test showed that the LC\textsubscript{50} drops from 171.37 ± 9.25 to 58.25 ± 7.21 μg/mL after 24 and 96 h of exposure. In tadpoles exposed to 7.81 μg/mL of extracts, the first mortalities (12.5%) were observed on the second day of exposure. From the ninth day, the mortality rate increased (25%) until the 16th day.

**Conclusion:** Our results show that *Guibourtia tessmanii* may be a promising product for the isolations of molecules responsible for biological activities.
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Introduction

*Guibourtia tessmanii* (Harms) J. Léonard (Family: Fabaceae) is a medicinal plant used in Central Africa for the treatment of infectious diseases, worms and cardiovascular diseases [1] [2]. Infectious diseases of microbial origin are diseases caused by the development of bacteria or yeasts, some species of which are pathogenic [3]. During the last decade, great interest has been shown in the study of microbes, from a biological, nosological and therapeutic point of view. This importance given to the study of microbial diseases follows the appearance of resistance of strains to the most commonly used drugs [4].

Inflammation is an immune defense process of the body in response to an attack, the goal of which is to eliminate the pathogen and repair tissue damage [5]. At the tissue level, the inflammatory response is characterized by increased vascular permeability, increased protein denaturation and alteration of cell membranes. Inflammation is considered to be an important source of oxygen radicals produced directly by activated phagocytic cells during phagocytosis. Inflammation accelerates the production of oxygen species and decreases the antioxidant defense capacity, promoting the appearance of oxidative stress, an important factor in the development of neurodegenerative, cardiovascular and cancer diseases [6].

Medicinal plants are used in Africa as remedies for the treatment of various diseases because they contain components rich in therapeutic principles [7]. Gabon, with an exceptional biodiversity constitutes a vast reservoir of unexplored potential active molecules. As part of the valuation of the Gabonese flora, this study highlights the pharmacological and cytotoxic properties of a plant used in Gabon for microbial infections.

Material and methods

**Chemicals, reagents and media**

Dimethyl sulfoxide, quercetin, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl, 2, 2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid and Folin Ciocalteu reagent were purchased from FlukaChemika (Switzerland). Gallic acid, butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and other chemicals were from Sigma Chemical (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). All chemicals were used as analytical grade.

**Preparation of samples**

The plant material (stem bark of *Guibourtia tessmanii*) was collected in Oyem (northern Gabon), in June 2017 and identified in the National Herbarium (IPHAMETRA). The voucher specimen (SOC26: *Guibourtia tessmanii*) was deposited at the national Herbarium. The harvested bark was dried, crushed and used for extractions.

The water-ethanol (30/70, v/v), water-acetone (30/70, v/v) and water (100%) extracts were prepared from the dry powder of *Guibourtia tessmanii*. Each sample (25 g) was mixed with 250 mL of appropriate solvents. The aqueous extracts were boiled for 60 min. All extracts were filtered, concentrated and lyophilized. The extracts obtained were stored at 4°C until they were used for the various tests.

The different partitioned extracts (fractions) were obtained by flash chromatography as a function of the increasing polarity gradient. The dichloromethane/methanol solvent system (1:0–0:1) was chosen as the elution mode, varying in each case the polarity of the solvent. The fractions of the same nature were grouped together by the thin layer chromatography method.

**Bacterial germs tested**

The bacterial carrier used in our study consisted of six reference bacterial strains (*Escherichia coli* 105182 CIP, *Listeria innocua* LMG 135668 BHI, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25293 BHI, *Enterococcus faecalis* 103907 CIP, *Bacillus cereus* LMG 13569 BHI, *Shigella dysenteriae* 5451 CIP) and three clinical strains are *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Salmonella typhi*,

**Antibacterial activity**

The diffusion method has been used to study the sensitivity of microorganisms to plant extracts. Bacterial colonies were used to prepare the inoculum in order to have a density equivalent to that of 0.5 McFarland. The flood inoculated agar was left for 10 min. Wattman 1 paper discs, sterilized and impregnated with a concentration of extract (100 μg / mL), were placed on the agar plate. Petri dishes were incubated for 18–24 h at 37°C. Gentamicin, Ampicillin, Tetracycline, Amoxicillin and Doxycycline were used as positive controls.

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of crude extracts and fractions were determined by the microdilution method on 96-well microplates [8]. A series of seven dilutions of each extract (double dilutions ranging from 0.0049 to 5 mg / ml) were made in Muller Hinton Nutrient Broth (Liofilchem, Italy).

To determine the minimum bactericidal concentration, nutrient agar was inoculated with 100 μL of the contents of the wells (concentrations greater than or equal to MIC). The MBC is determined after a 24 h incubation at 37°C.

Antibacterials were considered to be bactericidal, those with MBC / MIC ratios equivalent to 1 or 2 and bacteriostatic if the MBC / MIC ratio was equivalent to 4 or 16 [8, 9].

**Antioxidant activity assay**

**DPPH (Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) assay**

The measurement of the anti-radical activity was conducted according to the method of Blois [10] as
50% inhibition (IC 50) was determined by the dose-response curve. Heat induced hemolysis and hypotonicity was induced on the one hand by heat on the human red blood cell hemolysis (HRM) method. This membrane stabilization test was evaluated by the method described by Ngoua-Meye-Misso et al. [13]. Briefly, a mixture of fresh chicken egg albumin (0.1 mL), pH 6.4 saline phosphate buffered saline (0.9 mL) and varying concentrations of the crude extracts and fractions (0.91, 1.81, 3.62, 7.25, 14.5, 25, 50 and 100 μg/mL). The absorbance at 517 nm was read and the antioxidant activity was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Radical scavenger activity} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs DPPH} - \text{Abs sample}}{\text{Abs DPPH}}\right) \times 100
\]

**ABTS (azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonate)) method**
The ABTS test is based on the ability of an antioxidant to stabilize the ABTS⁺ radical by transforming it into ABTS⁺⁺ [12]. To 60 μL of extract, 2.94 mL of ABTS⁺⁺ solution were added. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min in the dark. Vit C and BHA were used as references. After incubation, the absorbance was measured in a spectrophotometer at 734 nm. The percent inhibition (PI) was calculated by the following method:

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs control} - \text{A}}{\text{Abs control}}\right) \times 100
\]

A₀: Absorbance of ABTS⁺⁺ radical + ethanol, A: Absorbance of ABTS⁺⁺ radical + sample extract or standard.

**Anti-inflammatory activities**

**Anti-protein denaturation test**
This test was carried out according to the method used by Ngoua-Meye-Misso et al [13]. Briefly, a mixture of fresh chicken egg albumin (0.1 mL), pH 6.4 saline phosphate buffered saline (0.9 mL) and varying concentrations of the crude extracts and fractions (1.9 mL) was run so that endings become 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 μg/mL. Then, the mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min and then heated at 70 °C for 5 min. Distilled water served as a negative control. The absorbances were measured at 660 nm. Diclofenac sodium was used as a reference drug and similarly processed for the determination of absorbance.

\[
\text{Inhibition (％)} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs control} - \text{Abs sample}}{\text{Abs control}}\right) \times 100
\]

Abs = absorbance, the concentration of the extract for 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was determined by the dose-response curve.

**Membrane stabilization test**
The membrane stabilization test was evaluated by the human red blood cell hemolysis (HRM) method. This hemolysis was induced on the one hand by heat on the other hand by distilled water [14] with some modifications.

**Preparation of the erythrocyte suspension**
Fresh whole blood (3 mL) collected from healthy volunteers in EDTA tubes was centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. A volume of normal saline equivalent to that of the supernatant was used to dissolve the red blood cells. The volume of dissolved red blood cells obtained was measured and reconstituted as a 10% v/v suspension with isotonic buffer solution (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). The buffer solution contained 0.2 g of NaH₂PO₄, 1.15 g of Na₂HPO₄ and 9 g of NaCl in 1 L of distilled water. Reconstituted red blood cells (re-suspended supernatant) were used.

**Heat induced hemolysis and hypotonicity**
Samples of the extracts used were dissolved in an isotonic phosphate buffer solution on the one hand and in distilled water (hypotonic solution) on the other hand, at different concentrations (31.25; 62.5; 125; 250 and 500 μg/mL). Sodium diclofenac was used as a reference. The negative control contained 1 mL of distilled water. A volume of 1 mL of erythrocyte suspension was added to each tube; the mixture was incubated at 54 °C for 20 min in a water bath for isotonic solutions and at 4 °C for 10 min for hypotonic solutions. After incubation, the tubes were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C and the hemoglobin content of the supernatant was estimated using the spectrophotometer (Evolution 60S, USA) at 540 nm. The percentage inhibition by the extract was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{Inhibition of hemolysis} = \left(\frac{1 - \text{DO sample}}{\text{DO control}}\right) \times 100.
\]

Where DO sample = absorbance of the sample; DO control = absorbance of the control.

The concentration of the extract for 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was determined by the dose-response curve.

**Cytotoxicity of aqueous extracts on green frog tadpoles (Rana clamitans, laurateille)**

**Tadpole sampling**
Green frog (R. clamitans) tadpoles were captured in July 2020 in a body of water near a river. Upon arrival at the laboratory, the tadpoles were placed in glass basins containing reconstituted water. For the lethal and sublethal tests performed, the reconstituted water was prepared according to the method, slightly modified, of [15] by adding to each liter of ionized water the following salts 1.25 g NaCl; 1.25 g KCl; 0.73 g CaCl₂. After a period of laboratory acclimatization, the organisms were subjected to the extracts. For the tests carried out, the water was
completely renewed every 2 days and the tadpoles were fed with boiled spinach at a rate of 0.5 g/tadpole.

**Lethal and sublethal testing**

In order to choose the sublethal concentrations to which the tadpoles should be exposed, the lethal test was carried out [16]. During this test, 180 tadpoles distributed in 30 pools were exposed for 96 h to 10 concentrations distributed algebraically: control (1.95 μg/mL) and concentrations of 1.95; 3.9; 7.81; 15.62; 31.2; 62.5; 125; 250; 500; 1000 μg/mL. The experiments were performed in triplicate for each experiment. The number of dead tadpoles was counted after 24, 48, 72 and 96 h of exposure.

During the sublethal test, lasting 16 days, 60 tadpoles were divided into 9 pools and exposed to four concentrations, namely the control; 1.95; 3.9 and 7.81 μg/mL. At the end of each exposure period, the mass and stage of development of the tadpoles were determined [17].

The percentage mortality (corrected mortality) was obtained using the following formula.

\[ CM = \frac{M2 - M1}{100 - M1} \times 100 \]

CM: % corrected mortality; M2: % mortality in the treated population; M1: % mortality in the control population.

**Quantitative study of phenolic compounds**

**Determination of total phenols** The total phenol content of the crude extracts or fractions was determined by the method of Folin-Ciocalteu [18]. Absorbance was measured at 735 nm. All experiments were carried out in triplicate and phenolic compounds were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/100 g of extract.

**Determination of total flavonoids** Aluminium trichloride method was used to determine the flavonoid content and absorbance was measured after 10 min at 435 nm. The flavonoid content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalent (QE)/100 g of extract [19].

**Determination of the total tannins** Tannin content was determined by using Standard method for determining the tannins in sorghum [20]. Absorbance was measured at 525 nm and tannic acid was used as a standard. The tannin contents were expressed in mg of tannic acid equivalent (TAE)/100 g of extract.

**Determination of the total proanthocyanidins** The determination of proanthocyanidins was carried out by the HCl-Butanol method [20, 21]. Absorbance was read at 550 nm and apple procyanidin was applied as standard. Proanthocyanidin levels were expressed in apple procyanidins equivalent (APE).

**Determination of the total alkaloids** The determination of the total alkaloids was determined based on the reaction with bromocresol green [22]. The absorbance was measured at 417 nm. All analyses were done in triplicate and results were expressed atropine equivalent per gram of extract.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of triplicate independent experiments and analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t-test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Antimicrobial activity of extracts of Guibourtia tessmanii (hamrs) J. Léonard**

The results of the antimicrobial activity of the fractions and extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* were shown in Fig. 1a. The results showed the Gt F2 fraction produces the largest zones of inhibition on bacterial strains such as *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* 103,907 CIP and *B. cereus* LMG 13569 BHI. Water-acetone extracts also showed very high inhibitions on *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* 105,182 CIP and *S. thyphimurium*. The Gt F1 fraction was shown to be more active on *L. innocua* LMG 135668 BHI and *P. aeruginosa*. The extracts tested show very moderate inhibition on the majority of microbial strains. The zones of inhibition of the standards (Fig. 1b) are relatively larger than those of the extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii*.

The MICs and MBCs of the *Guibourtia tessmanii* extracts on microorganisms have been summarized in Fig. 1c. The water, water-ethanol and water-acetone extracts exhibited bactericidal effects on the majority of bacterial strains with the exception of *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* 105, 182 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25293 BHI. The Gt F1 and Gt F2 fractions showed bactericidal effects on all the reference strains and a clinical strains (*S. thyphimurium*). The Gt F4 fraction exhibited a bactericidal effect on *L. innocua* LMG 135668 BHI; *S. aureus* ATCC 25293 BHI; *E. faecalis* 103,907 CIP; *B. cereus* LMG 13569 BHI; *S. dysenteria* 5451 CIP; *P. aeruginosa*; *S. enterica* and *S. thyphimurium*.

**Antioxidant activities of Guibourtia tessmanii (hamrs) J. Léonard extracts**

The antioxidant activities of *Guibourtia tessmanii* extracts are summarized in Table 1. In the case of trapping the DPPH radical, the IC50 values vary from 6.92 ± 0.48 μg/mL (water-acetone extract) to 16.64 ± 0.20 μg/mL.
mL (water extract). The water-ethanol, water-acetone extracts; and the fractions Gt F 1, Gt F 2 and Gt F 3 did not show significant differences with vitamin C (IC$_{50}$ = 7.12 ± 0.60 μg/mL) and Butylated Hydroxyanisole (IC$_{50}$ = 6.59 ± 0.30 μg/mL). However, the water extract and the Gt F 4 fraction showed a significant difference with the standards (Vitamin C and Butylated Hydroxyanisole).

For the decoloration of ABTS, the oxidation was mainly inhibited by the water-acetone, water-ethanol extracts; and the fractions Gt F 1, Gt F 2 and Gt F 3 compared to the standards which showed no significant difference with the aqueous extracts and the Gt F 4 fractions.

**Anti-inflammatory activity of Guibourtia tessmanii (harms) J. Léonard extracts**

The anti-inflammatory activity study shows that the water and water-acetone extracts exhibited good

---

**Table 1** Antioxidant activity of Guibourtia tessmanii J. Léonard extracts by DPPH and ABTS methods

| Plant species            | Extracts                  | DPPH IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) | ABTS IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| **Guibourtia tessmanii** | Water-acetone extract     | $^a$6.92 ± 0.48          | $^a$4.13 ± 0.54        |
|                          | Water-ethanol extract     | $^a$7.74 ± 0.63          | $^a$4.02 ± 0.79        |
|                          | Water extract             | $^b$16.64 ± 0.20         | $^b$10.54 ± 0.52       |
|                          | Fraction Gt F 1           | $^a$7.26 ± 0.50          | $^a$3.60 ± 0.30        |
|                          | Fraction Gt F 2           | $^a$7.22 ± 0.00          | $^a$3.26 ± 0.40        |
|                          | Fraction Gt F 3           | $^a$8.50 ± 1.10          | $^a$4.50 ± 0.60        |
|                          | Fraction Gt F 4           | $^b$15.00 ± 2.00         | $^b$12.60 ± 1.00       |
| **Standards**            | Vitamin C                 | $^a$7.12 ± 0.60          | $^a$4.01 ± 0.55        |
|                          | Butylated Hydroxyanisole  | $^a$6.59 ± 0.30          | $^a$4.26 ± 0.25        |

In each column, the assigned values of different alphabetic letters (a, b) indicate significantly different yields ($P < 0.05$)
inhibition of ovalbumin denaturation compared to the water-ethanol extract which indicated a significant difference from standards \((IC_{50} = 97.20 \pm 2.37 \mu g/mL)\) (Table 2). The hypotonic solution-induced hemolysis test shows that the three extracts studied exhibited good activities with IC\(_{50}\)s ranging from 78.65 ± 7.53 to 84.26 ± 6.32 \(\mu\)g/mL. For heat-induced hemolysis, all extracts exhibited very good antihemolytic activity with IC\(_{50}\)s ranging from 78.74 ± 25.00 to 86.56 ± 8.04 \(\mu\)g/mL. These results are not significantly different from the standard (Diclofenac sodium).

Cytotoxicity of aqueous extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* (harms) J. Léonard

**Lethal test**

The results of the lethal tests, presented in Table 3, show that the LC\(_{50}\) drops from 171.37 ± 9.25 \(\mu\)g/mL to 58.25 ± 7.21 \(\mu\)g/mL after 24 and 96 h of exposure. The rapid decrease in the LC\(_{50}\) value during the first two days reflects the variation in the number of deaths which was maximum after 24 h and 48 h of exposure (22 and 23 deaths respectively) while only 14 lethals were recorded for the two other periods. After 96 h of exposure, no tadpole had survived at concentrations of 15.62; 31.2; 62.5; 125; 250; 500 and 1000 \(\mu\)g/mL while they were all alive in the basins containing only reconstituted water (controls) and the lowest concentrations of the aqueous extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* (1.95 and 3.9 \(\mu\)g/mL).

**Mortalities during sublethal tests**

In the sublethal test, a high rate of mortality, relatively distributed among the selected concentrations, occurred in the tadpoles throughout the duration of the exposure (16 days). In tadpoles exposed to 7.81 \(\mu\)g/mL of extracts, the first mortalities (12.5%) were observed on the second day of exposure. From the ninth day, the mortality rate increased (25%) until the 15th day (Fig. 2). Individuals exposed to 3.9 and 1.95 \(\mu\)g/mL aqueous extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* exhibited from days fourteenth and fifteenth a mortality rate of 12.5% until the last day of the study.

**Phenols and alkaloids content**

The total polyphenol content of the water-ethanol extracts, water-acetone and the Gt F\(_1\) fraction are significantly higher compared to the other extracts and fractions studied. The content of flavonoids is significantly more abundant in water-acetone extracts, in Gt F\(_1\), Gt F\(_2\) and Gt F\(_3\) fractions compared to other plant extracts. The water-acetone and water-ethanol extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* have the highest tannins and proanthocyanidins content. The alkaloid content is low for each extract compared to the content of phenolic compounds (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The antimicrobial activities of total extracts and fractions of bark extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* were demonstrated in this study. The results obtained show that the extracts have inhibitory effects on the growth of the majority of the bacterial strains tested. These inhibitions may be due to the presence of phenolic compounds in plant extracts [23]. The sensitivity of *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *E. coli* 105,182 CIP, *E. faecalis* 103,907 CIP, *S. dysenteriae* 5451 CIP and *S. enterica* to the bark of *Guibourtia tessmanii* could

\[\text{Table 2} \quad \text{Anti-inflammatory activity of} \quad \text{*Guibourtia tessmanii* J. Léonard extracts} \]

| Samples            | Protein denaturation test | Membrane stabilization test | Heat hemolysis IC\(_{50}\) (\(\mu\)g/mL) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                    | IC\(_{50}\) (\(\mu\)g/mL)| Hemolysis by hypotonicity IC\(_{50}\) (\(\mu\)g/mL)|                                      |
| *Guibourtia tessmanii* |                          |                            |                                          |
| Water-acetone extract | 102.25 ± 10.21           | 84.26 ± 6.32               | 86.56 ± 8.40                            |
| Water-ethanol extract | 180.27 ± 5.27            | 80.28 ± 7.56               | 82.35 ± 9.25                            |
| Water extract       | 92.70 ± 4.45             | 78.65 ± 7.53               | 78.74 ± 2.50                            |
| Standard            | 97.20 ± 2.37             | 79.56 ± 2.01               | 86.86 ± 5.10                            |

In each column, the assigned values of different alphabetic letters (a, b, c) indicate significantly different yields \((P < 0.05)\)

| Table 3 | Lethal concentration \((\text{LC}_{50})\) of aqueous extract of *Guibourtia tessmanii* for green frog tadpoles (Rana clamitans) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Species stage of development | LC\(_{50}\) (\(\mu\)g / mL) depending on the duration of exposure |
|         | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | 96 h |
| Rana clamitans Tadpoles (0.91 g) | EP | 171.37 ± 9.25 | 61.99 ± 5.02 | 56.25 ± 5.01 | 58.25 ± 7.21 |
| Bufo melanostictus Tadpoles (0.1 g) | EP | 22.42 | 19.81 | 11.91 | 8.18 |

EP Embryonic phase, REG Regression of external gills, APBM Appearance and progression of buds of the hind limbs
explain its use in traditional medicine in the treatment of diarrhea.

The high content of polyphenols may contribute to the antioxidant power of *Guibourtia tessmanii*. These antioxidants can act according to two major mechanisms, either by transfer of hydrogen atoms or by transfer of electrons [24]. Thus, the plant’s ability to reduce free radicals DPPH and ABTS is relatively the same. The results of our study on antioxidant activity are compatible with the work of Fernández-Agulló [25].

The anti-inflammatory activity of *Guibourtia tessmanii* showed inhibition of protein denaturation for all aqueous and water-acetone extracts. As part of the study of the mechanisms of anti-inflammatory activity, the ability of the extract to inhibit protein denaturation was investigated. Indeed, according to the work of Chandra et al. [26], the extract of seeds of black cumin seeds tested showed an inhibitory effectiveness of thermal denaturation, as well as the stabilizing power of ovalbumin. Protein stabilization by black seed extracts involved polyphenols and their metabolites which acted as modulators of inflammation signaling pathways [26]. This corroborates the results found because *Guibourtia tessmanii* also having a high content of polyphenols also had anti-inflammatory activity. This anti-inflammatory activity of plant extracts was confirmed by a test for stabilizing the membranes of erythrocytes. The results showed that at different concentrations of extracts, human erythrocyte membranes were protected against lysis and heat induced by hypotonic solution.

The extracts of *Guibourtia tessmanii* have shown effective biological activities. This study required the performance of cytotoxicity tests.

The results obtained show a variable sensitivity of frog tadpoles leading to low mortality rates from one concentration to another. The results also show that the cytotoxicity is progressive over time since an increase in mortality was recorded as the exposure time progresses, sometimes reaching a maximum mortality rate of 100% for the highest doses high.

The differences between the LC50 determined for *R. clamitans* and the values found in the literature for tadpoles and adults of other anuran species (Table 3) could be explained by the interspecific variations in sensitivity to toxic substances, the age of the exposed individuals and the physico-chemical conditions of exposure during the lethal tests. Differences in LC50 values can therefore be attributed to differences in sensitivity between stages of development and to interspecies variations in tolerance.

**Table 4** Total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, total tannins content, total proanthocyanidins content and total alkaloid content of extracts from *Guibourtia tessmanii* J. Léonard

| Extracts | Yield (%) | TPC (mg GAE/100 g of extract) | TFC (mg QE/100 g of extract) | TTC (mg TAE/100 g of extract) | TPRC (mg APE/100 g of extract) | TAC (mg AE/100 g of extract) |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Gt WAE   | 10.36     | 357 ± 20.25                   | 10628 ± 21.46                | 629.04 ± 6.85                 | 708.44 ± 0.37                 | 756.25 ± 22.05               |
| Gt WEE   | 5.04      | 935.33 ± 12.42                | 781.63 ± 15.25               | 947.56 ± 8.89                 | 308.44 ± 2.96                 | 508.36 ± 23.57               |
| Gt WE    | 0.86      | 206.44 ± 15.67                | 673.32 ± 22.64               | 797.93 ± 5.43                 | 715.11 ± 8.15                 | 659.10 ± 33.25               |
| Gt F1    | 0.75      | 563 ± 28.56                   | 26550 ± 10.56                | 152 ± 27.5                    | 786.25 ± 18.50                | 626.26 ± 39.05               |
| Gt F2    | 0.82      | 758 ± 9.30                    | 1989 ± 15.33                 | 525 ± 17.5                    | 525 ± 16.20                   | 469.25 ± 10.39               |
| Gt F3    | 0.67      | 598.80 ± 17.35                | 202 ± 13.15                  | 274.40 ± 5.25                 | 258 ± 10.35                   | 226.39 ± 29.00               |
| Gt F4    | 0.51      | 952.50 ± 20.20                | 856.51 ± 20.25               | 329.60 ± 9.56                 | 255.25 ± 26.90                | 326.10 ± 35.26               |

**Fig. 2** Mortalities recorded during the sublethal test in tadpoles exposed to aqueous extract of *Guibourtia tessmanii* for 16 days.
Conclusion
Our work focused on highlighting the antimicrobial, anti-free radical, anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic properties of stem bark of Guibourtia tessmanii. The antimicrobial activity showed that the Gt F2 fraction produced the highest zones of inhibition with bactericidal effects on the majority of bacterial strains. Antioxidant activities revealed reducing responses of the ABTS and DPPH radicals. Protein denaturation and membrane stabilization tests also revealed anti-inflammatory activity comparable to diclofenac sodium. The cytotoxicity of the aqueous extracts showed relatively low mortality. This study validates the traditional use of Guibourtia tessmanii for the relief of various conditions.
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