Migration and Education: Challenges of Interculturality

Abstract: The teaching work implies facing constant challenges, in all academic senses, and among these, the challenges of cultural diversity in the classroom, where scientific, technological, social and cultural traditions of each person's place of origin coexist in the classroom. This paper evaluates epistemological proposals for intercultural teaching practices. Academic works developed to improve multicultural teaching practice are reviewed. The results show that there has not been a real and conscious debate on multiculturalism in the classroom and aspects related to migration and education. Anthropology has not taken a significant role in the subject.
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Migración y Educación: Desafíos de la Interculturalidad

Resumen: La labor docente implica enfrentar retos constantes, en todos los sentidos académicos, y entre esos, los retos de la diversidad cultural en el aula, donde conviven las tradiciones científicas, tecnológicas, sociales y culturales de los sitios de origen de cada persona en el aula. En este trabajo se evalúan las propuestas epistemológicas para las prácticas interculturales de la enseñanza. Se revisan los trabajos académicos desarrollados para mejorar la práctica docente multicultural. Los resultados muestran que no ha habido un debate real y consciente sobre la multiculturalidad en el aula y los aspectos relacionados con la migración y la educación. La antropología no ha tomado un rol significativo en el tema.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Real Academia de la lengua Española [1] defines interculturality as the relationship between cultures, that is, the way in which cultures interact in societies. However, this concept has nuances and controversies because social reality is neither so simple nor so receptive. Social groups are very diverse and their characteristics are very broad. Exchange allows the construction of a new identity, associated with the sharing of diverse knowledge, processes, arts, music, dialects [2].

Humanity has been by habit a natural hegemonic group, which achieves progress through the oppression of the weakest, destroying cultures, customs, populations to achieve their individual purposes [3]. Humanity itself has been evolving from the destruction of its own species, with the extinction of some groups and the overcoming of others. And as strange as it may seem, human cultures are hardly accepted among themselves, and there are more controversies than common successes.

Therefore, the concept of intercultural education is not a simple subject, it is full of interpretations, points of view, and a diversity of possible well-defined practices. Educators of intercultural spaces may have an appreciation of the difficulties of these activities in the exchange of cultures [2].

Intercultural education is a necessity in new times, where migrations are becoming more active and more diverse [4], [5]. In the last five years migrations from all over the world have been growing exponentially, and cultures have been inevitably mixing, but those cultures that by their nature are more robust and solid will prevail, or perhaps those that are more oppressive and aggressive, but in all cases, there will be an exchange and they will absorb each other, merging cultures and customs.

The training of intercultural teachers is not a simple task, even more so when the teacher's natural culture is not very receptive, or lacks prior knowledge of interculturality. The lack of intercultural receptivity does not distinguish between countries or nations, which means that regardless of the characteristics of the nations, there may be failures in intercultural education, because they are not standardized practices.

In this work the concepts of interculturality are approached, based on a bibliographic review and a contrast of educational practices. An evaluation of academic and scientific contents is made to know the fundamental criteria of migration and education, as a transcendent challenge of interculturality. The concept is analyzed from different angles in order to clarify the panorama, and with the possibilities of projecting a more appropriate educational scenario for the new times.

The first section describes the basic criteria of the research; the second presents the theoretical elements necessary to understand the concept of migration and education as strategic and fundamental axes of interculturality; the methodologies developed in different educational scenarios are then evaluated; finally, the results, conclusions and bibliographical references are presented.

II. INTERCULTURAL EDUCATION AND MIGRATION

The pluralism of cultures in Latin America has allowed economic activity and the diversity of peoples [6], even so, cultural differences, cultural biases, lack of acceptance of others and discrimination of people and countries within the same continent continue to prevail.

Devising an intercultural education in Latin America implies beginning by accepting the differences within each of the nations, as in the particular case of Ecuador where the population of the Sierra is not compatible with the coastal population, Indians and blacks [7], in a territory of only 283,560 km2, with this type of situation, it is difficult to think that other cultures with other customs and traditions can be accepted, and it is even more complex to devise an intercultural education in a scenario so marked by racism.

In 2010, Law No. 26561 was enacted in Peru, creating the Ministry of Culture and the Vice Ministry of Interculturality [8]. However, reports of racism and discrimination are alarming, and reveal high levels of racism in the Peruvian population (Fig. 1). As in the case of Ecuador, it is difficult to devise an intercultural education in a population with such high rates of racism.
Nations with high levels of racism and discrimination are less likely to establish intercultural educational methodologies, and are therefore more likely to remain with traditional methods and to bias educational processes to local trends.

Other authors [9] express that intercultural problems in Latin America are conditioned to poverty, diverse economic situations, and have been historically discriminated populations. Indigenous and Afro-Latino people are treated as minorities and their reasons for migration are always to survive difficult political situations, which makes them victims of poorly paid, low quality and precarious jobs.

Economic evolution has revealed discrimination when hiring personnel, as well as the exclusion of people for cultural, regional or poverty reasons, even when professional skills may be high.

Formal education is another core of iniquity [9], as it does not contemplate respect for cultural differences but focuses on the assimilation of people to the "national identity". Migrants become eternally discriminated against by social systems unwilling to respect their traditions, religions and ways of life.

A. Formal education and the challenges for efficient intercultural education

Formal education is made up of rules and methodological strategies that guide a curriculum and training directed towards an end; the culmination of a school period composed of subjects and programmatic content. Thus, formal education has specific programs to be fulfilled and provides for the recognition of the completion of the programs.

There is compulsory basic education, the characteristics of which are described in Figure 2.
However, some disadvantages can be highlighted:

• There is no recovery of lost time.
• There is no feedback of all the subjects that could not be seen previously.
• It requires discipline to comply with the demands of the subjects.
• It requires a lot of time to elaborate the planning.
• It is necessary to have a supervision of the contents that have been taught.

In order to achieve efficient intercultural education, it will be necessary to value individual cultures, which implies a reform of the curriculum and academic structures. This means that content must be redesigned to take into account the diverse traditions and cultures that may exist in the classroom. It can be observed that the main problem could be language, but teaching methodologies should be established that are adapted to the diversities of the classroom and that appropriate strategies for interculturality can be defined.

On the other hand, intercultural education comprises a teaching-learning modality based on the interpretation of realities, of productions, transmissions and applications of knowledge, and of ways of transforming and innovating. This requires a transdisciplinary practice, where academic knowledge is built from the demands of the actors who live the problems on a daily basis, who are able to share perspectives, knowledge and relevant interpretations to address the problems in an integrated manner [10].

III. METHODOLOGY

This work has been developed with the compilation of various academic and scientific studies that highlight the cultural, racial and intercultural situation in Latin America, with a view to a more pluralized and appropriate education for all cultural identities. For this purpose, a bibliographic search process was carried out to select suitable material, with reliable characteristics for its review (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Methodology of the bibliographic review, considering the selection of the material, the relevance of the information and the characterization of the data collected.

The inclusion criteria for this study are detailed in Table 1, where it is possible to appreciate that the source of publication was taken into consideration, as well as the subject matter and other aspects that make the information valid and reliable.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria

| Thematic               | Source                | Information          |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Formal education       | Scientific publications| Serious and respectful|
| Interculturality       | Books                 | Actual data          |
| Racism and discrimination| Digital newspapers    | Critique             |
| Migration              | Ministries Websites   | Latin America        |
Therefore, those works whose information was not focused on the topic of study were discarded, or those whose sources were not reliable, where the information had the necessary argumentation and the identification of the authors.

The review was focused on the most recent findings on migration and interculturality of Latin American peoples; however, it was possible to recognize that the problem of cultures in Latin America is not something recent, but dates back to ancestral times. Figure 4 shows the most relevant aspects that were taken into account for this bibliographic review. Information on poverty, labor conditions, language, clothing, discrimination and traditions were taken into account as fundamental axes in the search for information and its impact on educational teaching methodologies.

IV. RESULTS

Once the research process was carried out, the following results were obtained:

1. Formal education should be the best tool to establish effective intercultural criteria in all social sectors, however it is not a simple debate since education has nuances that are difficult to converge, among them we can mention teacher training, methodological processes and state policies that intervene in the culture of migrants to subject them to the "national culture", breaking any possibility of interculturalism.

2. In Peru, national discrimination reveals a deep social flaw, which undoubtedly will not accommodate intercultural education, Fig. 5 shows the sectors with the highest rate of discrimination in Peru.

![Fig. 4. Aspects describing interculturality, according to data from the literature review.](image)

![Fig. 5. Places with greater discrimination of Peruvians in Peru [8].](image)
The figure shows a high degree of discrimination in hospitals, which reveals a major social problem, since this is not just any place but a hospital where there should be the greatest receptiveness to differences and where all personnel should have the greatest acceptance of others. According to the Peruvian Ministry of Culture [8], the greatest discrimination is due to social, racial or economic differences.

3. Intercultural education must be supported by strong, serious and firm State policies that allow respect for different cultures and whose methodological structures are focused on the multicultural knowledge of nations, with a predominance of racial, religious and ancestral knowledge acceptance.

4. In November 2020, the United Nations Development Program [10] evaluated more than 300 Venezuelans and it was evidenced that only 6% are accepted in the formal labor market, but the most difficult thing that the survey revealed was that 43% of employers have had some kind of violence towards foreigners.

5. Indigenous and foreign populations are the most discriminated against in all of Latin America, representing a fragile sector in the search for employment and security of life.

6. The alarming figures of discrimination in Latin America suggest that education requires a profound reform that values and recognizes the intercultural importance of peoples, and that is centered on training for the future of nations and the consolidation of peoples.

7. The Peruvian Ministry of Culture [11], [8] identified the main reasons for discrimination (fig. 6) and it was possible to recognize that the highest percentage refers to physical features, which seems interesting considering that Peru and all of Latin America has varied features resulting from racial mixtures.

8. Research reveals that the places where discrimination is most common is in public spaces with 41.2%, followed by places of consumption such as supermarkets, food places and restaurants with 19.8%.

9. On the other hand, it was observed that the main aggressors are male (67%), although female aggression is not ruled out (33%).

10. The data revealed are alarming, even more so when it comes to focusing on inclusive, intercultural and pluralistic education, but the road seems longer than it should be, since recognizing the other does not seem to be a simple task in Latin America.

11. Intercultural appreciation should be seen as an advantage for nations and not as a threat, since diversity can promote new economic and scientific developments.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Once this work has been completed, it is possible to draw the following conclusions:

1. Achieving a balance between national identity and multicultural acceptance is a challenge for Latin American nations, and this entails the restructuring of an intercultural educational model, without discrimination and focused on the integration of races and cultures in a real way, and not only in the generation of ambiguous and inactive laws.

2. Intercultural education is holistic in nature, therefore it is not just another subject but a philosophy of education that must be immersed in the educational programs of the different categories. This means that it cannot be something superficial but something continuous in the teaching-learning process.

3. As individuals of a changing society, it is neces-
sary to recognize our own weaknesses regarding discrimination and racism, since they are the reflection of an irrational and unevolved behavior, even more so in the times humanity is living in and with the scientific and technological advances of the present.

Education itself must be rethought, not because academic activities should be made easier for students or because greater methodological strategies should be included to help in the completion of careers, but because humanity is being transformed by external factors that force societies to rethink their actions and define strategies for change.
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