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Abstract

We traced experimentally transition from a single air filament to the superfilament under action of powerful loosely focused (NA ~ 0.0021) femtosecond beam. Two regimes were exploited with multifilament formation by artificial amplitude or intrinsic amplitude/phase front modulation of the beam having 10–60 critical powers $P_{cr}$. Transverse spatial structure and energy density in the filament were studied using wideband acoustic detection and beam mode imaging single shot techniques at different distances along the optical path. We showed that with intrinsic front modulation a single extremely long ionized channel is formed provided peak power $P$ of the initial beam does not exceed $20P_{cr}$. Its volumetric energy density is $\sim 1.5$–3 times higher than in the single filament, while linear energy density is almost 10 times higher. Artificial amplitude modulation leads to formation of either a single long filament or two closely spaced filaments at the same initial conditions. Maximal volumetric energy density was the same in both cases and slightly less than without this modulation. A few closely spaced filaments are generated at higher peak powers $P$ with volumetric and linear energy densities experiencing fast nonlinear increase with $P$. Highest linear energy density achieved was $600 \mu$J cm$^{-3}$, i.e. almost 100 times higher than that of the single filament with increase in energy 10 times only. The volumetric energy density also increases by a factor of 10 to $\sim 800$ mJ cm$^{-3}$ proving huge increase in intensity and electron density that is characteristic feature of the superfilamentation. These findings were supported by the numerical simulations based on the Forward Maxwell equation with resolved driver of the field that showed superfilament splitting and confirmed energy densities estimated from the experimental data.

1. Introduction

Filamentation of ultrashort laser pulses in gases is intensively studied for almost 30 years (see review papers [1–3] and references therein). The light filament is created due to dynamic balance between the focusing Kerr nonlinearity and the de-focusing field ionization impacts if the beam peak power $P$ exceeds critical power (in air $P_{cr} \sim 5$–10 GW), while its length and start position depend on the geometrical focusing, chirp, etc [4–8]. Intensity inside a filament is clamped to $\sim 5\times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ [9] and electron density during light propagation is limited to $\sim 10^{16}$–$10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ [10–12]. Tight focusing may lead to higher intensities and electron densities inside a filament [4], while multiple filaments appear if energy/peak power of a femtosecond pulse increase [13]. Here laser intensity and electron density inside a distinct filament are almost the same as in the single filament regime.
Merging of several filaments into an extreme single filament event with drastically higher fluence has been observed in [5, 14], exhibiting the appearance of ubiquitous rogue wave phenomenon [14]. A special superfila mentation regime has been introduced recently [15] in which loosely focused femtosecond beam, having peak power well above the critical one, creates a bundle of filaments, stochastically merging in a superfila ment near the geometrical focus. It was found that both linear energy deposition and electron density increase inside a superfila ment [16]: at \( F/30 \) focusing the linear energy deposition rises 30 times than laser pulse energy \( E \) increases ~40 times (from a few mJ corresponding to the single filament regime). The energy range of \( E \sim 5–20 \) mJ corresponds to creation and merging of a few filaments and here increase in the linear energy deposition was much steeper than at higher energies. Note, that there was no clear evidence that it was a single smooth superfila ment that took the whole energy in [5, 15, 16].

First results on the fusion of a regularized four lobe beam produced with amplitude or phase mask was studied in [17, 18] both experimentally and numerically. It was shown, that four loosely focused beams merge before the focal point and a long single filament is formed with the amplitude mask while phase discontinuity created with a phase mask prevents filament merging. In [19] we reported preliminary data on the linear energy density of a filament formed from the four-lobe beam. We showed that this density increases much faster, almost quadratically, than the full energy of the initial beam.

This paper presents experimental data on 3D spatial and energy properties of merging filaments created by femtosecond subTW loosely focused beam with peak power \( P \) varied from 0.1 to 60 \( P_c \). First, we discussed data obtained with a regularized four lobe laser beam obtained with an amplitude mask, and then data from ‘stochastic’ filamentation is presented, where separate filament formation is governed by an intrinsic amplitude and phase modulation of the laser beam. Using beam mode imaging and wideband acoustic techniques we traced merging of a few separate filaments followed by a complex behavior and decay at different peak powers. The filament created at the highest peak powers up to 60 \( P_c \) had very high energy density and can be treated as a superfila ment. Our experimental findings are supported by numerical simulations based on the forward Maxwell equation (FME) with resolved driver of the field.

2. Experimental arrangement and methods

A TW Ti:Sa femtosecond laser system (805 nm, 50 fs, 10 Hz) was used in our experiments. Laser beam (0.8 cm FWHM) was loosely focused in air by the plane concave lens L1 with the focal distance \( F = 312 \) cm at 800 nm wavelength (see figure 1). The opaque plate AM with four closely spaced holes (4.2 mm in diameter each) was placed into the laser beam just before the lens thus creating a four-lobe beam. It causes twofold attenuation of the laser pulse energy. A calibrated photodiode PD monitors energy \( E \) after the lens by measuring scattered radiation from the mirror M1. This energy was controlled using halfwaveplate inserted into the beam before the compressor.

Two complementary methods were used to study transverse spatial structure and energy characteristics along a filament. First was imaging of the filament energy flow at a given distance from the lens. The wedge W1 was placed in the beam to slice out few percent of the laser pulse energy at a desired distance \( L \) from the lens. The wedge surface was imaged to the CCD (The Imaging Source dmk 23fv024) by the lens L2 to measure spatial energy distribution in its focal plane. The input surface of the wedge was damaged after a few laser shots. Hence the wedge was moved after each laser shot. To keep all the diagnostics within the same geometry and simplify

![Figure 1. Experimental setup. M1–mirror, L1, L2–lenses, AT–acoustic transducer, W1–wedge, AM–amplitude mask.](image)
measurements procedures, the distance \( L \) from the focusing lens to the slicing wedge was changed by moving the lens along the optical axes.

To assess energy density inside a filament we used recently elaborated wideband acoustic detection technique [20]. An acoustic transducer AT (a 110 \( \mu \)m thick 6 mm in diameter polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) polymer film with working bandwidth up to 6 MHz) was placed 1 cm before the wedge 3–4 mm apart from the beam optical axis. The electronic signal from the transducer was digitized by the fast ADC (PLX39054 PCI PC card with digitization frequency 500 MHz, 8-bit resolution, Rudnev-Shilyaev Corporation) in each laser shot. This setup allows to deduce both transverse spatial structure of a filament and energy density inside it from the acoustic signal. The transverse spatial resolution \( \delta x \) of this technique is limited to the minimum temporal width of the acoustic pulse \( \tau_{\text{min}} \), \( x = c \tau_{\text{min}} \) where \( c \) stands for the adiabatic sound velocity. The quantity \( \tau_{\text{min}} \) depends on the detector spectral bandwidth and air humidity. In [20] a simple model accounting for all impacts and well describing temporal form of an experimental acoustic signal from a femtosecond filament in air assuming a cylindrical heat source was presented and justified. The cylindrical Gaussian heat source
\[
H(t, r) = H_0 e^{-\left(r/w_0\right)^2} \delta(t)
\]
was used to estimate pressure signal \( p(t) \) at the transducer. To evaluate the electrical signal from the piezoelectric transducer transmission coefficient of an acoustic wave at the air-PVDF interface
\[
T = 2Z_{\text{PVDF}}/(Z_{\text{air}} + Z_{\text{PVDF}}) \approx 2 \quad \text{was used} \quad (Z_{\text{PVDF}} = \rho_{\text{PVDF}} c_{g3}(\text{PVDF})/\text{air} \) is the acoustic impedance with \( \rho \) stands for a density.
\[
W = \pi H_0 w_0^2
\]
where \( g_{33} \) is the voltage piezoelectric coefficient and \( h \) is the film thickness. Since the first critical angle of incidence of an acoustic wave at the air-PVDF interface is \( \sim 7.3^\circ \), only \( \sim 1.2 \) mm wide area of the 6 mm transducer effectively generates the electric signal. This determines also the longitudinal resolution of the acoustic technique.

Note, that two main impacts set the maximum volumetric energy density \( H_0 \) inside a filament—ion’s energy stored due to ionization (proportional to the free electron density) and energy of molecular vibrational and rotational excitations [21]. If the filament-to-transducer distance is much larger than the initial energy deposition radius \( w_0 \), the analytical solution obtained for the forward problem of the optoacoustic tomography depends mainly on the \( H_0 \) and \( w_0 \) quantities. Thus, this model provides estimate for the beam radius \( w_0 \) \( (H_{\text{ac}} = \text{M}) \) volumetric energy density \( H_0 \) and linear energy density along the filament, \( W = \pi H_0 w_0^2 \) in each laser shot by fitting an experimental single shot acoustic trace. The linear energy density is also essential reflecting laser pulse losses along its optical path.

Test calculations showed that the width of a pulse obtained from the transducer is determined by three main impacts: the initial source radius \( w_0 \), the absorption coefficient \( \alpha \) (mostly due to air humidity) and spectral response of the transducer. The minimal spatial resolution \( \delta x \) (at \( w_0 = 0 \)) was assessed as \( \sim 50 \mu \text{m} \) in this experiment. So, we estimated the \( w_0 \) parameter first and then fitted the temporal envelope by adjusting the \( H_0 \) value for each acoustic trace.

The single filament regime was achieved in two ways: (i) without plate AM at \( E \sim 2–3 \) mJ and (ii) with plate AM in the beam at \( E \sim 10–20 \) mJ closing three holes of four in the plate. The fit gives \( H_0 = 50 \pm 10 \) mJ cm\(^{-3}\) and \( w_0 = 60 \pm 10 \mu \text{m} \), or \( W = 5 \pm 2 \mu \text{J} \) cm\(^{-1}\) (in perfect coincidence with previous data [22, 23]) for data obtained without the plate. An acoustic signal obtained in the single filament regime with AM inside the beam was one order of magnitude weaker and wider. Here the fit gives \( H_0 = 15 \pm 5 \) mJ cm\(^{-3}\) and \( w_0 = 250 \pm 50 \mu \text{m} \), or \( W = 30 \pm 5 \mu \text{J} \) cm\(^{-1}\). This can be easily explained since focusing conditions were different: \( F/D \sim 400 \) and 1000 without the plate and with the plate in the beam respectively. Following data presented in [4] an electron density (that is proportional to the energy density per cubic cm) increases for a tighter focused beam, while the diameter of a filament decreases.

### 3. Experimental results and discussion

#### 3.1. Filament merging with the amplitude mask

First, we checked beam transformation along the optical path in the small energy regime \( E < 0.25 \) mJ \( (P \leq P_{\text{cr}}) \) with the amplitude mask inserted (figure 2, upper row). Each frame was obtained in a single shot. The beam preserves its four-lobe structure till the distance \( L \sim 250 \) cm, i.e. 60 cm before the geometrical focus of the lens (see the frame at \( L = 240 \) cm). Diffraction causes formation of the weak additional lobe in the center and some links between the initial lobes due to energy flow. The structure changes drastically at \( L \sim 280 \) cm. The four-lobe picture rotates by 45° and the central lobe becomes almost as bright as others. Near the geometrical focus, at \( L = 290 \) and 300 cm, and even after the focus at \( L = 330 \) cm the central lobe is the brightest one. The initial four-lobe picture restores at longer distances with low intensity lobe in the center. There were no acoustic signals from the transducer in this regime.

The beam profiles before the geometrical focus are well described by the simple diffraction integral (beam waist length is \( \sim 10–15 \) cm). These profiles close to the focal plane and after it are more complex since slight
impact from the Kerr nonlinearity competes with diffraction: the \( B \)-integral acquired along the beam waist amounts to a few \( \pi \) in this regime.

Pictures obtained at higher energies \( E \sim 6-8 \text{ mJ} \) (\( P \sim 12-16 \text{ P}_c \)) are quite different (see figure 2, second row). Note, that peak power of \( \sim 15 \text{ P}_c \) should lead to formation of (in average) two to three distinct filaments in the freely propagation regime of filamentation [1]. Strong transverse energy flow is obvious at \( L \sim 270 \text{ cm} \) already, while at \( L > 280 \text{ cm} \) only the bright central spot survived due to a single filament formation. Those pictures are very like the picture, obtained in the single filament regime with three holes of four in the AM closed (not shown), but the minimum beam radius is smaller. This single spot picture preserves till \( L \sim 330 \text{ cm} \), and even at longer distances the beam profile with the central bright spot is still far from the initial four-lobe structure. Hence, filaments merge in a single exceptionally long filament in this regime.

Further increase in energy to \( E \sim 10-12 \text{ mJ} \) causes filament breaking into a few after the focal area (see figure 2, bottom row). The number and brightness of individual filaments stochastically change from shot to shot, hence this row in figure 2 shows the most typical frames. Here energy passing through a single hole of the amplitude mask is enough to form a separate filament at \( L \sim 240 \text{ cm} \). Those filaments merge into one as early as at \( L \sim 270 \text{ cm} \) with a huge energy reservoir around. This single filament breaks into a few due to additional energy flow from the reservoir and then refocusing forces the new spot-like filament at \( L \sim 290 \text{ cm} \) with very weak reservoir around. This filament lasts for quite a long distance, but its cross section is quite complex (see figure 2, images at \( L = 300 \) and \( 330 \text{ cm} \), bottom row) and contains a few closely packed light channels united by a bright energy reservoir. Hence, we observed quite a complex picture that should be further considered from the energy density inside a filament assessed with acoustics data.

Figure 3 shows sample acoustic signals obtained at different positions along the optical path simultaneously with beam profiles (at the same distance \( L \)). A few-spike temporal structure of acoustic signals at \( L < 270 \text{ cm} \) well corresponds to the beam profile frames. Amplitude of a spike was few times less than in the single filament regime. A huge single acoustic pulse was observed at \( 280 \text{ cm} < L < 320 \text{ cm} \). Its amplitude was \( \sim 5 \text{ times larger than that from a single filament without the amplitude mask and } \sim 15 \text{ times larger than signals at } L < 270 \text{ cm} \).

Figure 4(a) shows how the average amplitude of the acoustic signal depends on the distance \( L \). A maximum amplitude is plotted here for \( L < 270 \text{ cm} \), where a few peaks were detected in the acoustic signal. One can see that at \( L < 270 \text{ cm} \) this amplitude was the same as in the case of the single filament regime, but then it increases \( \sim 15 \text{ times and keeps almost constant at } 280 \text{ cm} < L < 320 \text{ cm} \). Finally, it drops down to the amplitude corresponding to the standard single filament regime. Hence, the length of the filament with high energy density is almost \( 40 \text{ cm} \), and this is twice longer than the Rayleigh length.

Figure 4(b) gathers data on the radius of a filament. This radius abruptly drops to \( \sim 150 \text{ \mu m} \) at \( L \sim 270 \text{ cm} \) according to the acoustic data and then steadily increases till \( L \sim 320 \text{ cm} \), where the amplitude of an acoustic signal became too small to be used for fitting procedures. Note that radius of a filament \( w_0 \) strongly depends on the numerical aperture of the focusing lens [4], i.e. an initial laser beam diameter. Hence, we increased \( w_0 \) from 60 to 120–150 \( \mu m \) introducing the amplitude mask. The radius assessed from beam mode measurements is smaller (\( \sim 120 \text{ \mu m} \)) for \( 270 \text{ cm} < L < 320 \text{ cm} \). This can be explained from different origin of the two measurements: the beam mode measurement gets the instantaneous spot containing electromagnetic energy, while the acoustic detection reveals transversal size of the heat source created by the light. Note that this source is formed over few ns through ionization/recombination and molecular excitation relaxation [21]. The beam

![Figure 2](image-url). Spatial mode of a filament created with the four-hole amplitude mask at different distances \( L \) from the focusing lens for the laser pulse energy \( E = 0.2 \text{ mJ} \) (upper row), \( 7 \text{ mJ} \) (second row), \( 10-12 \text{ mJ} \) (bottom row).
radius at longer distances, estimated from the beam mode measurement, grows slower than it is predicted by the 
diffraction theory due to the post-filamentation [24].

Figure 4(c) shows energy density inside the filament. It reveals two stages of the united filament formation:
the filament formed at 270 < L < 290 cm possesses high volumetric energy density (and this corresponds to the
first merging event, see also figure 2 second and bottom rows at L ~ 270 cm), but its linear energy density is not
high, while at L ~ 290 cm refocusing causes increase both in volumetric and linear energy densities. This lasts till
L ~ 310 cm, that corresponds to the linear focus of the initial laser beam. Maximum linear energy density W is as
high as 130 μJ cm⁻¹ (compare to 5 μJ cm⁻¹ for a single filament), while energy density per unit volume H₀ is
100 mJ cm⁻³ that is slightly higher than that for a single filament without the amplitude mask (60 mJ cm⁻³).
Increase in H₀ means twice higher electron density, that in its turn assumes intensity of laser radiation inside the
united filament is higher than the clamping intensity [9]. Huge increase in W here is mainly due to larger radius
of the united filament than the single filament’s radius.

Note that at higher energies E this filament becomes more complex (see figure 2): one can see formation of a
few closely packed filaments, well pronounced refocusing events, etc. Acoustic data shows that energy density is
even higher here and increases with the energy E (see the next section).

3.2. Stochastic filamentation
In this part we will consider data obtained in the regime of ‘stochastic’ filamentation, where the peak laser power
exceeds the critical one significantly (P ~ 10–60 Pₘ), while multiple filamentation is governed by intrinsic
modulations of the laser beam amplitude (and/or phase) front. This study was done without any special amplitude mask before the focusing lens. The energy $E$ changes from 0.2 to 30 mJ. We observed three distinct regimes of filamentation. At low energies $E < 3$ mJ a single filament was created (figure 5, upper row). It starts $\sim 10$ cm before the linear focus and lasts $\sim 10–15$ cm. Energy density inside this filament increases drastically with the pulse energy $E$ (see below) and already at $E \sim 5–8$ mJ a united filament is formed (figure 5, second row) with carrying $\sim 3$ times higher energy density $H_0$. In most cases a single light channel is formed, but sometimes it breaks up into two. Only one filament survives due to energy dissipation at longer distances. The filament created at higher energies $E \sim 16–20$ mJ (figure 6, third and bottom rows) appears as early as at $L \sim 270$ cm,
but it breaks up to a few closely packed filaments before the linear focus. Still, only one filament survives at longer distances $L$.

Figure 6(a) shows how an amplitude of acoustic signal changes with distance $L$ at three characteristic energies $E$ of 2.5, 7, and 16 mJ. A single filament is formed near the linear focus for $E = 2.5$ mJ, while at higher energies—well before. Data plotted in figures 6(b)–(d) was calculated from acoustic data. In the second regime of filamentation (5 and 7 mJ curves in figures 6(b)–(d)) the filament radius reaches its minimum at $L \sim 290$ cm, i.e. at the linear focus position, and is almost independent on the energy $E$. The density $H_0$ reaches its maximum earlier, almost at the beginning of the filament, and this depends on the energy $E$; maximum is located closer to the focusing lens for higher energies. The energy density decreased after the maximum and does not depend on the energy $E$ for $L \sim 310–320$ cm. The same is valid for the linear energy density. It is worth mention that both volumetric and linear densities increased very fast with energy (see curves for a small $E$). Hence this second regime of stochastic filamentation corresponds to the formation of the united filament as in the previous section, but density $H_0$ is even higher due to smaller $w_0$.

The filament becomes much longer with further increase in the energy $E$. It starts at $L \sim 290$ and 270 cm for energies of 10 and 15 mJ. For the highest energy of 16 mJ the radius $w_0$ at $L \sim 270–290$ cm is almost constant and equal to that at lower energies (in the second regime). Growth in the $w_0$ from 100 to 140 $\mu$m at $L \sim 290–300$ cm is due to formation of an additional closely spaced filament. Obvious oscillations of the $w_0$ can be due to some refocusing and energy exchange between filaments. Volumetric energy density increases with the energy $E$ from 20 to 400 mJ cm$^{-3}$ (for $E = 2.5$ and 15 mJ respectively, i.e. plasma concentration rises 20 times. The linear energy density increases by two orders, from few $\mu$J cm$^{-1}$ to sub mJ cm$^{-1}$ level, due to increase in the overall filament radius. Hence here we observed transition to the superfilament. Its length estimated from the energy density curves is around 40 cm (from 290 to 330 cm) at $E = 15$ mJ and 20 cm at $E = 7$ mJ.

### 3.3. Energy scalings in different regimes

Figure 7 presents data for energy scaling of $w_0$, $H_0$ and $W$ parameters in different regimes of filamentation measured at distance $L$, where mode beam measurements showed united filament or a few closely spaced filaments. Each point in the graphs corresponds to a single shot acoustic measurement. The threshold for a single filament formation, $E_{th} \sim 2$ mJ, is clearly visible, especially from the $H_0(E)$ dependence at low energies $E$ (figure 7(b)). The filament radius, as well $H_0$ and $W$ densities exhibit weak increase with the energy $E$ up to 7–8 mJ in the stochastic regime. The filament radius is larger if the AM is introduced. As it was mentioned above this is due to lower numerical aperture of initial four beams passed through the AM. The radius $w_0$ has huge
scattering if the amplitude mask is inserted. Further analysis showed that there are two groups of data points in figure 7(a) in this regime with a single or double filament formation (shown with black circles). Note that the full energy of four beams after the AM is plotted in figure 7, hence energy in a single beam here is 2–4 mJ only.

The density $H_0$ of the united filament created with the amplitude mask (or by stochastic process at arbitrary low energies $E < 7$ mJ) is 1.5–3 times larger than that in a single filament regime (figure 7(b)). The ‘threshold’ of a single light channel breaking into a bundle of a few ones without the AM is $E \sim 8–10$ mJ, or $P \sim 20 P_{cr}$ in our experimental configuration. This threshold seems much lower with the AM inserted: two groups of data points have almost the same mean energy $E$, while the $H_0$ density is the same, and coincides with the $H_0$ value for the stochastic data at $E < 8$ mJ. The linear energy density $W$ experiences fast, nonlinear growth with the energy $E$ (figure 7(c)). This dependence can be fitted with a linear function in the semi-logarithmic scale, except for a group of data points obtained with the amplitude mask. Here one group of data points satisfies the abovementioned ‘linear’ dependence, while for the second group linear density is much higher since the radius is almost twice larger.

Energies above 14–15 mJ ($P > 30 P_{cr}$) are enough to create a superfilament. Arbitrary large radius scattering in figure 7(a) again is due to formation of a bundle of closely spaced filaments, but maximum volumetric energy shows fast growth with the energy, $E^{1.5}$ (figure 7(b)), that is related to huge increase both in the laser field intensity and electron density. The linear energy density $W$ increases even faster as $E^{2.8}$ (figure 7(c)), because of simultaneous increase in the superfilament radius as $E^{0.8}$.

3.4. Numerical simulations

Formation of a few filaments in the superfilamentation zone with huge linear energy density for the pulse power of $\sim 20 P_{cr}$ and higher (figures 2, 5) was observed for the first time. Hence, its reproduction in the numerical simulations is an excellent test for the modern filamentation paradigm. Numerical simulations were based on the FME [25, 26] with the resolved driver of the field using the solver in the $(x, y, z, t)$ domain described in [17].

Figure 7. Deduced acoustic source radius (a), volumetric (b) and linear (c) energy densities dependences on the laser pulse energy $E$ in different regimes of filamentation: single filament (green dots, 308 cm), strong filament (cyan dots, 308 cm), complex superfilament (orange dots, 302 cm) and with the four-hole amplitude mask (magenta dots, 292 cm).
We performed simulations in the stochastic case: the spatial distribution of the field \( E(t, x, y, z = 0) \) at the focusing lens was chosen as stochastically perturbed Gaussian:

\[
E(t, x, y, z = 0) = E_0 \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\alpha_0^2} \right) \times \left[ 1 + \xi(x, y) \right] \times e^{-t^2/2\tau_0^2} \cos \left( \omega_0 t + \frac{k_0(x^2 + y^2)}{2f} \right).
\]

Here \( \omega_0 \) and \( k_0 \) are the driver frequency and wavenumber corresponding to the wavelength of 800 nm, \( 2\alpha_0 = 0.5 \text{ mm} \) is the beam diameter at the \( e^{-1} \) level, \( 2\tau_0 = 55 \text{ fs} \) is the pulse duration at the \( e^{-1} \) level, \( f = 10 \text{ cm} \) is the geometrical focal length and the field amplitude \( E_0 \) corresponds to the pulse energy of 5 mJ, i.e. the pulse power of about \( 10P_{cr} \). The beam radius and focal length were chosen to have nearly the same numerical aperture in our calculation as in our experiments simultaneously making calculations easier. The noise \( \xi(x, y) \) has the Gaussian autocorrelation function with the correlation radius of \( 0.1\alpha_0 \). The initial transversal distribution of the radiation fluence is shown in figure 8 at \( z = 0 \).

Plasma channels are formed at \( z \approx 0.5f \), the maximal plasma density of \( 4 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) is achieved at \( z = 0.7f \), i.e. before the geometrical focus. In the adequate agreement with the experiment the multi-string light and plasma structures are formed before the geometrical focus. These structures merge into the single filament after the geometrical focus: at \( z = 1.1f \) the sole axially symmetrical filament survives.

Figure 9 shows how maximal intensity as well as volumetric and linear energy densities change with \( z \) (note logarithmic scale for the latter quantity). Energy densities were calculated from electron densities in the filament, while beam profile imaging along a filament provided additional visualization of the filament transverse spatial structure.

4. Conclusions

Hence, our study sheds light onto transition from a single filament to the superfilament in air. We traced merging of a few separate filaments created under loose focusing of 5–60 critical power femtosecond beam. Two different regimes of initial filaments formation were used: (i) amplitude modulation with the special mask and (ii) stochastic filamentation from intrinsic amplitude and phase modulations. Volumetric and linear energy densities inside a filament were assessed using wideband acoustic detection of sound waves from the filament, while beam profile imaging along a filament provided additional visualization of the filament transverse spatial structure.
Nonlinear increase in the volumetric and linear energy densities inside a filament was observed in both regimes of filamentation. A single nice light channel can be formed before the linear focus due to a strong nonlinear interaction between separate filaments created by the amplitude mask. Its diameter is a larger than the diameter of a single filament created without the mask, while electron density inside the united filament exceeds density of a single filament 1.5–2 times. Bundle of a few separate closely spaced filaments can also be created in this regime with larger radius but almost the same maximal volumetric energy density. The linear energy density of the united filament increases from a few $\mu J cm^{-1}$ (an ordinary filament) to more than 100 $\mu J cm^{-1}$ here. This filament lasts for long distances and then gradually turned into an ordinary filament due to power dissipation.

The stochastic filamentation of the loosely focused beam exhibits different scenario. Here the volumetric energy density first sharply increased with the laser pulse energy $E$, and this corresponds to formation of a single filament with intensity clamping. The volumetric and linear energies densities experience weak increase with the energy $E$ by a factor of 2–3. Hence the filament formed in this regime has higher laser intensity and electron density than a simple filament.

An additional closely spaced filament appeared if the peak power exceeds $\sim 20 P_{cr}$. Note, that onset of the multifilamentation of a freely propagating beam usually appears at lower peak powers of $\sim 10 P_{cr}$. Even more complicated transverse spatial structures were observed at higher peak powers with formation of a few closely spaced filaments. The volumetric and linear energy densities scale with peak power as $E^{1.5}$ and $E^{2}$ in this regime and reach as high as 800 mJ cm$^{-3}$ and 400–600 $\mu J cm^{-1}$ respectively. That means huge increase in an electron density due to higher laser field intensity inside the filament, and this is the onset of the superfilamentation.

Complex spatial structure of a superfilament created at peak powers of $20 P_{cr}$ and higher should be considered for any special use. The results obtained are essential for modern applications of the superfilamentation regime such as terahertz generation, remote sensing and lasing, LIBS, discharge guiding, etc.
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