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SUMMARY
Soluble Aβ oligomers (oAβs) contribute importantly to synaptotoxicity in Alzheimer disease (AD), but the mechanisms related to heterogeneity of synaptic functions at local circuits remain elusive. Nearly all studies of the effects of oAβs on hippocampal synaptic plasticity have only examined homosynaptic plasticity. Here we stimulated the Schaffer collaterals and then simultaneously recorded in stratum radiatum (apical dendrites) and stratum oriens (basal dendrites) of CA1 neurons. We found that the apical dendrites are significantly more vulnerable to oAβ-mediated synaptic dysfunction: the heterosynaptic basal dendritic long-term potentiation (LTP) remained unchanged, whereas the homosynaptic apical LTP was impaired. However, the heterosynaptic basal dendritic plasticity induced by either spaced 10-Hz bursts or low-frequency (1-Hz) stimulation was disrupted by oAβs in a mGluR5-dependent manner. These results suggest that different firing patterns in the same neurons may be selectively altered by soluble oAβs in an early phase of AD, before frank neurodegeneration.

INTRODUCTION
Alzheimer disease (AD), the most common neurodegenerative disorder, is characterized by the initial subtle impairment of episodic memory followed by an insidious cognitive decline and devastating neurodegeneration. The typical histopathology of AD includes the accumulation of amyloid-β peptide (Aβ) in extracellular diffuse and fibrillar plaques, hyper-phosphorylated tau in intracellular neurofibrillary tangles, and the loss of neurons in the hippocampus, amygdala, and association cerebral cortices (Braak and Braak, 1991; Musiek and Holtzman, 2015). It has been demonstrated that Aβ accumulation in amyloid plaques may begin at least 1–2 decades before significant cortical tau pathology and the onset of initial clinical symptoms (Bateman et al., 2012; Maruyama et al., 2013). Accumulating evidence also supports the concept that Aβ acts in a common pathway for various molecular precipitants of AD (Eisele and Duyckaerts, 2016). Both postmortem examination and premortem positron emission tomography have shown that Aβ deposits may follow a pattern suggesting a “spread” to different brain regions over time (Villemagne et al., 2012), although a process of selective vulnerability of different classes of neurons cannot be ruled out (Walsh and Selkoe, 2016). The entorhinal cortex (EC) may be among the first regions affected by Aβ accumulation in the hippocampal formation (Harris et al., 2010). As for the neuronal loss, a histological study reported major losses in patients with terminal AD versus age-matched controls in CA1 (68%), subiculum (47%), and hilus (25%) (West et al., 1994; Bobinski et al., 1998; Rössler et al., 2002).

Consistent with the histopathological changes, clinical neuroimaging using high-resolution fMRI has shown that the hippocampus is already significantly damaged at the time of an AD (dementia) diagnosis: significant atrophy in all, or almost all, investigated subfields (La Joie et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013b; Boutet et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2015; de Flores et al., 2015), with the major atrophy generally located in CA1 (Mueller et al., 2010; La Joie et al., 2013; de Flores et al., 2015). Specifically, the apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons are first targeted, whereas the basal dendrites of CA1 neurons remain unchanged (Das et al., 2012; Engvig et al., 2012; Kerchner et al., 2012). In line with this clinicopathological evidence, several morphological studies show that soluble Aβ oligomers (oAβs) primarily affect the apical dendritic arbors, with no effect on basal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons in AD model mice (Alpár et al., 2006; Steele et al., 2014; Price et al., 2014).
The hippocampus is a well-studied region in the vertebrate brain, due to its complex structure and importance to learning and memory. Hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) serve as electrophysiological correlates of basic cellular mechanisms for learning and memory in mammals (Nicoll, 2017). Experimental findings suggest that distinct hippocampal subfields contribute to distinct aspects of the memory process (Stokes et al., 2015). For example, it has been shown that apical dendrites of CA1 help mediate spatial and working memory, whereas the basal dendrites are related to associative memory (Leuner et al., 2003; Mahmoud et al., 2015). The hippocampal CA1 region is a major site for studying synaptic plasticity. Most data obtained from this region were recorded at apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons. Moreover, there are extensive studies on Aβ-impaired hippocampal LTP, but only a few have examined LTD induction, with inconsistent results. We previously demonstrated that soluble human Aβs applied to wild-type (wt) mouse hippocampal slices could enable a weak low frequency stimulation (LFS, 1 Hz for 5 min) that normally fails to induce LTD to elicit a significant LTD (Shankar et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009). This phenomenon has been replicated by several other groups (Ma et al., 2012; Olsen and Sheng, 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014; Salgado-Puga et al., 2017).

Most, if not all, studies of synaptic plasticity in the AD field have recorded input-specific homosynaptic plasticity that occurs only at the synapses that were active during the induction. However, the induction of plasticity at active synapses can also “spillover” to the neighboring synapses that were inactive during the plasticity induction, thereby producing changes in synaptic strength, referred to as heterosynaptic plasticity (Chistiakova et al., 2014). In addition to same-layer heterosynaptic plasticity, there are reports of neuron-wide heterosynaptic plasticity mediated by basal versus apical dendrites (Young and Nguyen, 2005; Hulme et al., 2012; Berberich et al., 2017). We could consider this cell-wide heterosynaptic plasticity as a current dipole change of the homosynaptic plasticity (Einevoll et al., 2013). Whether Aβs have any effect on the hippocampal heterosynaptic plasticity or current dipole has not been reported. That is to say, whether soluble Aβs have effects on the basal dendrites (stratum oriens) of CA1 and what the dynamic changes from apical to basal dendrites may be when the apical dendrite (in stratum radiatum) receives signal inputs have not been investigated. Here, by performing simultaneous recordings from basal and apical dendrites of CA1 in wt mice, we now report that LTP in basal dendrites remains unaffected when the LTD is impaired by Aβs in apical dendrites, whereas LTD was facilitated in both dendritic compartments. Interestingly, the basal dendritic LTP could be impaired by Aβs in a specific time-dependent manner.

**RESULTS**

**Dipole-like Field Potentials Recorded from the Laminar Dendritic Trees of CA1**

Synaptic potentials are generated as activated postsynaptic receptors enable current flow into neurons. At excitatory synapses, an EPSP (i.e. excitatory postsynaptic potentials, a postsynaptic potential changes caused by the flow of positively charged ions into the postsynaptic neuron) appears when positive ions flow intracellularly (active current sink) and exit the membrane at more distal locations (passive current source). As the hippocampal pyramidal neurons are arranged side by side in a columnar fashion, this structure could generate a dipole (equal but oppositely charged poles separated by a distance). To verify the basic electrical properties of the CA1 region in wt mouse hippocampus, we placed the recording electrodes in different positions of the laminar tree of CA1 pyramidal cells, with the stimulating electrode either on Schaffer collateral afferents (stratum radiatum) (Figure 1A left) or on basal dendrites (stratum oriens) (Figure 1A, right). The recording electrodes were placed on the distal (#1), middle (#2), and proximal (#3) basal dendrites (stratum oriens); pyramidal cell layer (#4); or proximal (#5), middle (#6), and distal (#7) apical dendrites (stratum radiatum) (Figure 1B, diagram on far left). We recorded the field EPSP (fEPSP) in three levels of stimulation intensities (15%-20% of maximum response as the low, 40%-50% as moderate, and 80% as the high). Our resultant recordings were consistent with such recordings performed in vivo (Kuo and Leung, 2017), in that negative extracellular potentials occur in apical dendrites and positive potentials occur in basal dendrites when the stimulus is delivered to the stratum radiatum, and positive extracellular potentials occur in apical dendrites and negative extracellular potentials occur in basal dendrites when the stimulus is delivered to the stratum oriens. To characterize the pattern of laminar synaptic plasticity in the hippocampal CA1 region, we chose the recording position #2 (middle; black star) for the basal and #6 (middle; black star) for the apical dendrites (Figure 1B).

**Soluble Aβ Oligomers Have No Effect on Heterosynaptic Basal Dendritic LTP**

It is well documented that soluble Aβs impair evoked LTP by high-frequency tetanus of the Schaffer collateral afferents in the stratum radiatum (apical dendrites) of the CA1 region. We have also found that the LTP of population spikes, which are recorded immediately adjacent to the soma (i.e., in the pyramidal cell layer), is
impaired by Aβs, similar to LTP impairment in the apical dendrites (Lei et al., 2016). To further explore the Aβ effect on the laminar compartments of the dendritic tree of CA1 neurons, we placed two recording electrodes on the basal dendrites (stratum oriens) and apical dendrites (stratum radiatum) simultaneously, to monitor synaptic activity in response to the stimulation of Schaffer collateral afferents (Figure 1A). We found that the magnitude of LTP of basal dendrites was significantly greater than that of apical dendrites (331% ± 44% versus 158% ± 6%, n = 8, p < 0.01) (Figures 2C versus 2A). This cell-wide heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP is also N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor dependent, similar to the homosynaptic (apical) LTP (Figure S1).

Interestingly, soluble Aβs derived from Tris-buffered saline (TBS)-soluble cortical extracts of typical AD brains (Shankar et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011) that were applied to the brain slices 30 min before high-frequency stimulation (HFS) had no significant effect on the basal dendrites, although they inhibited apical dendritic LTP in a manner consistent with our previous reports (Shankar et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011) (basal: 317% ± 29%; apical: 130% ± 4%, n = 8, p < 0.01) (Figure 2C versus 2A). To confirm this finding, we applied another source of Aβs: soluble oligomers present in the conditioned medium (CM) of 7PA2 cells (CHO cells stably expressing the hAPP-V717F AD mutant) (Podlisny et al., 1995). This Aβ-rich CM fully inhibited apical dendritic LTP in the wt hippocampal slices (123% ± 6%, n = 11, versus 157% ± 8%, n = 9) (p < 0.01), but the LTP from basal dendrites again remained the same as the control (CHO- CM) (275% ± 18%, n = 11, versus 265% ± 21%, p > 0.05) (Figures 2E and 2F). These two sources of soluble Aβs demonstrate that the cell-wide heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP is insensitive to Aβ-mediated synaptic neurotoxicity.

**Figure 1. Basal and Apical Excitatory Postsynaptic Potentials Recorded in CA1**

(A) Schematic diagram of a mouse hippocampal slice illustrating the placement of electrodes used in these experiments. The stimulating electrode was placed in either stratum radiatum (s. radiatum) (left) or stratum oriens (s. oriens) (right). Two simultaneous recording electrodes were placed in mid-CA1, one in s. radiatum to record apical dendritic field EPSPs and one in s. oriens to record basal dendritic field EPSPs.

(B) Representative waveforms recorded from different positions along the laminar tree of CA1 pyramidal cells at three levels of stimulation intensities (low, moderate, high).

**Soluble Aβ Oligomers Facilitate both Basal Dendritic and Apical Dendritic LTD Induction**

Since the Aβs impaired the homosynaptic LTP but did not noticeably affect the heterodendritic LTP, we sought to determine whether the process of synaptic depression was altered. To investigate whether basal dendritic LTD has any difference from the apical dendritic LTD, we first verified the synaptic responses after a weak LFS (300 Hz, 1 Hz) in each compartment (Li et al., 2009). Consistent with previous reports, we found that a weak LFS failed to induce significant LTD in apical dendrites in control slice perfusate (Figure 3A, black). Likewise, the same stimulus did not induce synaptic depression in basal dendrites (Figure 3B, black). When soluble Aβ extracted from AD brain was added to the slices, the same weak LFS protocol induced significant LTDs in both dendritic compartments (apical: 88% ± 4%, n = 8, versus 101% ± 3%, n = 7, p < 0.01, Figure 3A; basal: 80% ± 5% versus 100% ± 6%, p < 0.01, Figure 3B). Similarly, the other source of soluble human Aβs obtained from cell-secreted medium (7PA2 CM) had the same effects on both compartments of the CA1 dendritic tree (apical: 77% ± 3%, n = 8, versus 102% ± 2%, n = 6, p < 0.0, Figure 3C; basal: 79% ± 3%, versus 99% ± 2%, p < 0.01, Figure 3D). As regards the mechanism by which Aβs
Figure 2. Soluble Aβ Oligomers Have No Effect on Heterosynaptic Basal Dendritic LTP

(A) Soluble Aβ-rich TBS extracts from AD brain inhibited apical dendritic LTP (red tracing) induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS, arrow), whereas the control brain TBS extract has no effect on this homosynaptic LTP (black tracing).

(B) Time course and all individual recordings of apical dendritic LTP monitored by single-pulse stimuli (every 20 s) during AD-TBS treatment. LTP was induced by HFS (100 pulses at 100 Hz) at time 30 min and maintained over 60 min.

(C) Same treatments and stimulations as in (A) but with simultaneous recordings from the stratum oriens layer (basal dendrites); here, evoked heterosynaptic LTP remained unaffected.

(D) Time course and all individual recordings of basal dendritic LTP just as in (B).

(E) CM of 7PA2 cells that is rich in soluble Aβs inhibited LTP (red tracing) induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS, arrow) in the apical dendrites.

(F) Heterosynaptic LTP recorded simultaneously from basal dendrites was not affected by the same treatment. The recording electrodes (see Figure 1) placed the positions of “2” (basal) and “6” (apical) dendrites, whereas the stimulation electrode aims to Schaffer collaterals. Inset traces are typical fEPSPs recorded before (gray) and after (black or red) HFS for each condition. Horizontal calibration bars: 10 ms; vertical bars: 0.5 mV.
facilitated LTD, we (Shankar et al., 2008) and others (Hu et al., 2014) have demonstrated that this is metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) dependent, so we applied the mGluR5 antagonist, SIB 1757 (3 μM) to the brain slices 10 min before 7PA2 CM administration. The αB-facilitated LTD was fully blocked in both CA1 subregions (apical: 97% ± 3%, n = 7, Figure 3E; basal: 103% ± 3%, n = 7, Figure 3F). In addition to such
evidence that mGluRs help regulate synaptic depression, synaptic localization of the GluA2R-lacking, calcium-permeable α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (CPAMPARs) may also be important for the expression of hippocampal LTD (Isaac et al., 2007; Sanderson et al., 2016). We used the CP-AMPAR selective antagonist Philanthotoxin 74 (10 μM) to block the GluA1 and GluA3 AMPAR activities. However, this antagonist failed to prevent oAβ-enhanced LTD in CA1 (Figure 52), suggesting that CP-AMPARs are not significantly involved in oAβ-mediated synaptic depression in CA1.

**Oligomers Decrease Neurotransmission at Strong Intensities, Decrease PPF, and Delay the Latency of Peak Positive Responses in Basal Dendrites**

Soluble oAβs appear to have no significant effect on basal dendritic LTP, but they can still facilitate LTD in that subregion and disrupt the homosynaptic (apical) LTD as well as the LTD at soma (population spikes). To further explore these oAβ-mediated dipolar heterodendritic changes, we next measured the basal neurotransmission by input/output curve in basal dendrites compared with apical dendrites. Although basal neurotransmission did not change significantly in the apical dendrites (Figure 4A), the recorded fEPSPs from basal dendrites were significantly reduced in response to strong stimulus intensities when recorded 30 min after exposure to 7PA2 CM (Figure 4B), suggesting that the soluble oAβ can disrupt basal but not apical dendritic activity in response to strong stimulation.

Short-term forms of synaptic plasticity are crucial for regulating the temporal code and information processing between neurons in a network (Tsodyks and Markram, 1997). Accordingly, we recorded paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) in the apical and basal dendrites of CA1 simultaneously. The second pulse-evoked response increased significantly at every interstimulus interval (ISI) tested (20–200 ms, p < 0.01, n = 14) compared with the first pulse-evoked response, as expected. The PPF ratios did not show significant differences between the apical and basal dendrites (Figures 4C and 4D, black). In line with previous reports from our and other laboratories (Shankar et al., 2008; Schmid et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Cerpa et al., 2010; Talantova et al., 2013), the apical PPF did not change after soluble oAβ exposure (Figure 4C). However, the PPF in the basal dendrites was significantly lower in oAβ-rich 7PA2 CM than in the CHO- CM (ISI 60 ms, p < 0.05, or ISI 100 and 200 ms, p < 0.01, Figure 4D).

The longer ISI between the second pulse-induced and the first pulse-induced fEPSP decrease in the basal but not apical dendrites suggested that the oAβs may interrupt the excitatory conduction from apical to basal dendrites. To assess this, we measured the latent period (latency) of fEPSP (i.e., time from stimulus onset to onset of fEPSP) in the apical and basal dendrites and found that the latency difference between apical and basal dendrites was significantly longer in 7PA2 CM-treated group at all stimulation intensities (Figure 4E). These results suggest that soluble oAβs disrupt neuronal dipolar features in the hippocampus.

**Stimulation Time, Not Frequency, Determines the oAβ Impairment of Heterosynaptic Basal LTP**

Because oAβs impaired 100-Hz-induced LTP and facilitated 1-Hz-induced LTD, we sought to test whether oAβ has any effect on the modification threshold or sliding threshold (θm) frequency of 10 Hz, which represents the point of crossover between LTP and LTD (or LTD threshold) in frequency-response experiments (Bear, 1996; Hulme et al., 2012). Also, the 10-Hz frequency band of electroencephalogram (EEG) (α waves) was reported to be decreased in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD (Moretti., 2015), and it is an important signal in the understanding of cognitive processes (Başar and Güntekin, 2012). Previous reports using a single train of 900 pulses at 10 Hz did not alter the synaptic efficacy (Bear, 1996; Heynen et al., 1996). Here we used 10 trains of 10 pulse bursts in 10-Hz intensive (10-s interval between the trains) stimulation, and it induced a small but significant LTP in the apical dendrites (10-Hz-10 s: 134% ± 7% versus 100 Hz: 157% ± 8%, p < 0.05) but no difference in the basal dendrites (10 Hz-10 s: 290% ± 26% versus 100 Hz: 265% ± 25%, p > 0.05) (contrast Figures 5A and 5B versus 2E and 2F). Consistent with the regular 100-Hz HFS-induced LTP, soluble oAβs (7PA2 CM) did not inhibit the 10Hz-10s basal dendritic LTP (296% ± 27%, n = 7) but only inhibited the apical dendritic LTP (115% ± 4%, n = 7) (Figure 5A). To further explore this apparent temporal integration of the stimulation, we used the same 10-Hz and 10-pulse bursts for each train but increased the interval from 10 s to 30 s (to mimic the LTD protocol timing). We still obtained significant LTPs from both compartments of the CA1 dendritic tree. Interestingly, the heterodendritic basal LTPs were significantly impaired after 7PA2 CM treatment in this protocol (apical: 113% ± 4%, n = 9 versus 129% ± 7%, n = 17, p < 0.01, Figure 5C; basal: 143% ± 11%, n = 9 versus 317% ± 21%, n = 17, p < 0.01, Figure 5D). To assess if the 10-Hz-30-s-interval-induced LTP required mGluR5 activation like
LTD did, we added the mGluR5 selective antagonist SIB 1757 (3 mM) to the perfusion buffer 10 min before 7PA2 CM. Interestingly, this also reversed the oAβ effect on LTP in both dendritic compartments (apical: SIB + CHO- CM 120% ± 4%, n = 6 versus SIB+7PA2 CM 116% ± 4%, n = 7, p > 0.05, Figure 5E; basal: 263% ± 34%, n = 6 versus 245% ± 34%, n = 7, p > 0.05, Figure 5F). Certain other receptor antagonists such as a GABAB receptor antagonist (CGP 35348, 10 mM) or an H-channel blocker (ZD7288, 5 mM) could not affect the spaced 10-Hz burst-induced heterodendritic LTP (Figure S3). Taken together, these results confirm that oAβs inhibit heterodendritic LTP and facilitate LTD in a way that requires activation of mGluR5.

**Figure 4. Soluble Aβ Oligomers Disrupt Neuronal Network Integration**

(A and B) The input-output (I/O) curve in the pathways recorded from apical dendrites (A) and basal dendrites (B) during stimulation of Schaffer collaterals in the presence of control CHO- CM (black circles, n = 12) and oAβ-rich 7PA2 CM (red circles, n = 14).

(C and D) Paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) in the two compartments (C: apical dendrites, D: basal dendrites) was measured by varying the intervals (20, 40, 60, 100, 200, and 500 ms) between pairs of stimuli (interstimulus interval; ISI) 30 min after applying CHO- CM (black circles, n = 14) or 7PA2 CM (red circles, n = 12) treatments.

(E) Difference between the onset of first EPSP response recorded from apical dendrites (blue) and basal dendrites (red) (illustrated on the right as indicated by two vertical lines) under the oAβ-rich (red circles, n = 7) and control CM (black circles, n = 8) treatments.
Basal Dendrites Are less Sensitive to Aβ Oligomer-Mediated Synaptotoxicity

The heterodendritic LTP recorded from basal dendrites by stimulation of Schaffer collateral afferents may reflect the electrical properties of a neuron in that the hippocampal pyramidal cell has a dipole nature (Einevoll et al., 2013). To further confirm that basal dendrites are resistant to soluble oAβs, we placed the stimulation electrode in the same layer (stratum oriens) to record the homosynaptic basal dendritic LTP.

Figure 5. Time, Not Frequency, Determines the Aβ Oligomer Impairment of Heterosynaptic Basal LTP

(A–F) Homosynaptic apical dendritic LTP (A) and heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP (B) induced by 10-Hz burst stimulation (each burst interval is 10 s for 10 trains [arrows]) produced different responses to the oAβ-rich 7PA2 CM treatment (red circles, n = 10). Both apical (C) and basal (D) dendritic LTPs were inhibited by the 7PA2 CM (red circles, n = 12) when the same 10-Hz burst interval was spaced to 30 s instead of 10 s. Homosynaptic apical (E) and heterodendritic basal (F) 30-s-spaced 10-Hz burst LTPs were compared with and without the mGluR5 selective antagonist, SIB 1757 (3 μM) combined with either CHO-CM (black) or 7PA2 CM (red). The electrode placement is the same as Figure 2. Inset traces are typical fEPSPs recorded before (gray) and after (black or red) 10-Hz burst stimulations in each condition. Horizontal bars: 10 ms, vertical bars: 0.5 mV.

**Basal Dendrites Are less Sensitive to Aβ Oligomer-Mediated Synaptotoxicity**

The heterodendritic LTP recorded from basal dendrites by stimulation of Schaffer collateral afferents may reflect the electrical properties of a neuron in that the hippocampal pyramidal cell has a dipole nature (Einevoll et al., 2013). To further confirm that basal dendrites are resistant to soluble oAβs, we placed the stimulation electrode in the same layer (stratum oriens) to record the homosynaptic basal dendritic LTP.
In this experiment, we first verified that the oAβ-rich TBS extract from an AD brain decreased the conventional apical LTP. Indeed, consistent with our prior work (above), these soluble oAβs partially blocked homosynaptic apical dendritic LTP (158% ± 8%, n = 7, versus 134% ± 4%, n = 7, p < 0.05) (Figure 6A), whereas they had no effect on the heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP (270% ± 25%, versus 289% ± 20%, p < 0.05, Figure 6B). Interestingly, this same batch of AD brain oAβs had no effect on the homosynaptic basal dendritic LTP upon stimulation in the stratum oriens (190% ± 6%, n = 7, versus 181% ± 9%, n = 8, p > 0.05) (Figure 6C). Here, the heterodendritic apical dendritic LTP was not decreased (316% ± 28%, versus 290% ± 31%, p > 0.05) (Figure 6D). Consistent with previous reports (Haley et al., 1996; Sajikumar et al., 2007; Fan and Fu, 2014), we found that the magnitude of homosynaptic basal dendritic LTP (190%–217%; Figure 6C) was larger than that of homosynaptic apical LTP (157%–158%; Figures 2A, 2E, and 6A).

To further confirm whether the heterodendritic LTD could be recorded by stimulation of basal dendrites, we recorded the homosynaptic LTD from stratum oriens and heterodendritic LTD from stratum radiatum. In line with the stimulation of Schaffer collateral afferents, both the homosynaptic basal dendritic LTD and heterosynaptic apical LTD were also facilitated by 7PA2 CM treatment upon stimulation in stratum oriens (basal: 76% ± 6%, n = 7, in 7PA2 CM, versus 99% ± 2%, n = 6, in CHO- CM, p < 0.01; apical: 72% ± 4%, n = 7, in 7PA2 CM, versus 103% ± 2%, n = 6, in CHO- CM, p < 0.01) (Figure 5A). These results further support our above-mentioned findings that oAβs significantly facilitate both homosynaptic and heterosynaptic LTDs.

**DISCUSSION**

AD is characterized by memory loss, cognitive decline, and devastating neurodegeneration, not only as a result of the extracellular accumulation of Aβ and intracellular accumulation of tau but also as a...
consequence of a multifactorial dysfunction and loss of synapses. Recent high-resolution human fMRI studies demonstrated that hippocampal subfields are specialized in different learning processes and can undergo selective damage in early stages of AD in patients. Specifically, the apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons are first targeted, whereas the basal dendrites of CA1 neurons remain unchanged (Das et al., 2012; Engvig et al., 2012; Kerchner et al., 2012). Several studies also report that soluble Aβ primarily affects the apical dendritic arbors, with little or no effect on basal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons in 3D-like mouse models of Aβ accumulation (Alpár et al., 2006; Steele et al., 2014; Price et al., 2014). The present study uses dual recording to assess Aβ effects on synaptic plasticity and dynamic changes in network interactions in the dendritic trees of CA1 neurons. Our results show that soluble Aβ extracted from human AD brain has no effect on the heterodendritic basal dendritic LTP but still facilitates the heterodendritic basal dendritic LTD. The apical dendrites are more vulnerable to Aβ-mediated synaptotoxicity. Using a 10-Hz burst stimulation, we found that the Aβs impair the heterodendritic basal dendritic LTD at a spaced interval (30 s), not at an intensive interval (10 s). Mechanistically, soluble Aβ impairment of heterodendritic plasticity is mediated in part by the activation of mGluRs.

Most LTP recordings examine homosynaptic LTP that occurs at synapses that were active during the induction. However, certain synapses that are not directly active by the afferent stimulation during the induction could be active by “spillover,” inducing a heterosynaptic plasticity. Such plasticity is usually recorded from the same layer of hippocampus but with different afferent input; however, several reports defined the neuron-wide heterosynaptic plasticity as heterodendritic plasticity (Young and Nguyen, 2005; Hulme et al., 2012). Heterosynaptic plasticity has a strong stabilizing effect on synaptic weights (the amount of influence the firing of one neuron has on another) and neuronal circuits. It helps preserve the ability of a neuron with plastic synapses for further learning. A possible signal that may trigger cell-wide heterosynaptic plasticity is an increase of intracellular calcium concentration caused by back-propagating action potentials. Due to the dipole nature of hippocampal pyramidal neurons as to their field potentials (Einevoll et al., 2013), it is likely that when the apical dendrites are depolarized, the basal dendrites will be hyperpolarized, resulting from the current flow. The present study also demonstrates that the latency of onset of basal dendritic fEPSP/PS (population spike) is longer after Aβ exposure, suggesting that Aβs can interrupt the current propagation, or otherwise interfere with membrane electrical properties. The result showed that the Aβs cause the heterosynaptic response delay (Figure 4E).

LTP in apical (stratum radiatum) and basal (stratum oriens) dendrites of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons are known to differ in induction and maintenance (Kramar and Lynch, 2003; Sajikumar et al., 2007; Navakkode et al., 2012; Fan, 2013). Several reported molecular mechanisms may differ in the distribution and/or fine tone of synaptic plasticity between apical and basal dendritic spines (Brzdak et al., 2017). Another reason may be the patterns of innervation, e.g., CA1 stratum oriens receives more input from ipsilateral CA2 and contralateral CA3, whereas CA1 stratum radiatum receives more input from the ipsilateral CA3 and small portions of local CA2 (Shinohara et al., 2012). In our slice study, the innervations from the contralateral hippocampus are cut off, meaning that a larger proportion of inputs to stratum oriens are missing, when compared with stratum radiatum, so we considered our basal dendritic LTP to be a cell-wide heterosynaptic LTP. The basal dendrites being shorter and less branched permit for less attenuation of signal (Henze et al., 1996) and thus can be induced to have a greater LTP and are more resistant to the Aβ-mediated synaptotoxicity.

Using human AD brain extracts rich in Aβs, we found that the basal dendritic homosynaptic LTP is unaffected, whereas the apical homosynaptic LTP is partially impaired, suggesting that basal dendrites are less sensitive to the Aβ-mediated synaptic dysfunction when compared with the apical dendrites. We have seen no reports on Aβ effects on neuron-wide heterosynaptic LTPs in vitro, but a similar report can be found in vivo (Hu et al., 2009). In contrast to the effect of soluble Aβs on the basal dendritic LTP, a facilitated LTD could be recorded in both heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTD (Schaffer collateral stimulation) and homosynaptic basal dendritic LTD (stratum oriens stimulation) after Aβ administration. Similarly, using 10-Hz burst stimulation, where the total pulses were the same and only the train intervals were 10 or 30 s, we found that Aβs only impaired the more widely spaced (30 s) burst-induced heterosynaptic LTP. The Aβ-disrupted heterosynaptic plasticities by both longer timing conditioning stimulations (i.e., LFS lasts for 5 min, spaced 10-Hz burst lasts for 4.5 min) were also mGluR5 dependent. The longer stimulation triggered synaptic currents may influence escape of charged glutamate from the cleft (Sylantyev et al., 2008), therefore consistently activates the postsynaptic mGluRs and mGluR-dependent activation of the MAPK cascade can lead to AMPAR internalization (Casimiro et al., 2011).
Learning-induced LTP is a heterosynaptic phenomenon that requires inputs from other neural structures (Zhu et al., 2011). Mechanistically, it has been shown that activation of β-adrenergic receptors generates long-lasting enhancements of heterosynaptic plasticity (Connor et al., 2011). In this regard, we previously reported that an enriched environment (EE) in wt mice significantly protects hippocampal LTP against the effects of soluble oAβs via activation of β-adrenergic receptors (Li et al., 2013a). In accordance, EE may delay the onset of AD in humans and can ameliorate the memory deficits of AD model animals (Li et al., 2013a). This could be due to EE enhancing both homosynaptic and heterosynaptic plasticities. Our present results suggest that heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP is resistant to oAβ-induced synaptotoxicity, similar to the resistance to oAβ-induced toxicity of homosynaptic apical LTP in EE mice. It has been reported that EE counteracts the age-dependent shift of EEG spectral power toward slow oscillations (δ and θ rhythms) (Mainardi et al., 2014). Compared with age-matched healthy control subjects, patients with MCI and AD exhibit an increase in the relative power of slow oscillations (δ and θ rhythms) associated with a decrease in relative power of fast oscillations (α, β, and γ rhythms) (Moretti, 2015). The alpha activity is significantly decreased in patients with cognitive impairment and AD, suggesting that soluble oAβ interferes with the spontaneous neuronal network that generates the alpha oscillations (Başar and Güntekin, 2012; Moretti, 2015). Therefore, the frequency and time-dependent heterosynaptic plasticity impairment induced by soluble oAβs suggest that certain neuronal firing patterns could be affected by soluble oAβ in the earlier phase of AD.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Transparent Methods and four figures and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2018.07.018.
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Soluble Aβ Oligomers Impair Dipolar Heterodendritic Plasticity by Activation of mGluR in the Hippocampal CA1 Region
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**Transparent Methods**

**Animals**
The Harvard Medical School Standard Committee on Animals approved all experiments involving mice used for electrophysiology. All mice (male and female, 6~8 weeks old) contained a mixed background of C57Bl/6 and 129. Animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room on a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle and had ad libitum access to food and water.

**Cellular Aβ preparations**
Secreted human Aβ peptides were collected and prepared from the conditioned media (CM) of a CHO cell line (7PA2) that stably expresses human APP751 containing the V717F AD mutation (Podlisny et al., 1995) Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 200 mg/ml G418 for selection. Upon reaching ~95% confluency, the cells were washed and cultured overnight (~15 h) in serum-free medium. CM was collected, spun at 1500 × g to remove dead cells and debris, and stored at 4°C. The CM was concentrated 10-fold with a YM-3 Centricon filter (Walsh et al., 2005). Aliquots of concentrated 7PA2 CM were stored at −80°C.

**Preparation of Aβ isolated from AD cortex**
Aβ from TBS extract of human AD cortical tissue was prepared as previously described (Shankar et al., 2008). While the TBS extract from non-AD human cortical tissue as a control brain TBS extract. Briefly, frozen human temporal or frontal cortices containing white and grey matter were weighed. Freshly prepared, ice cold Tris-buffered saline (TBS) consisting of 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 was added to the frozen cortex at 4:1 (TBS volume: brain) and homogenized with 25 strokes at a setting of 10 on a mechanical Dounce homogenizer. The homogenate was spun at 175,000 g in a TLA100.2 rotor on a Beckman TL 100. The supernate (called TBS extract) was aliquoted and stored at −80 °C.
Hippocampal slice preparation

Mice (C57BL/6 × 129) were euthanized with isoflurane at 8~10 wk of age. Brains were quickly removed and submerged in ice-cold oxygenated sucrose-replaced artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) cutting solution (206 mM sucrose, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO₄, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM D-glucose, pH 7.4, 315 mOsm. Transverse slices (350 μm thickness) from the middle portion of each hippocampus were cut with a vibroslicer. After dissection, slices were incubated in ACSF that contained the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2 KCl, 2 MgSO₄, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 10 D-glucose, pH 7.4, 310 mOsm, in which they were allowed to recover for at least 90 min before recording. A single slice was then transferred to the recording chamber and submerged beneath continuously perfusing ACSF that had been saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Slices were incubated in the recording chamber for 20 min before stimulation under room temperature (~26°C).

Electrophysiological recordings

We used standard procedures to record field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSP) in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. A bipolar stimulating electrode (FHC Inc., Bowdoin, ME) was placed in the Schaffer collaterals to deliver test and conditioning stimuli. Two borosilicate glass recording electrodes filled with ACSF were positioned in stratum radiatum (apical dendrites) and stratum oriens (basal dendrites) of CA1, 200~300 μm from the stimulating electrode. fEPSP in the CA1 region were induced by test stimuli at 0.05 Hz with an intensity that elicited a fEPSP amplitude 40-50% of maximum. Test responses were recorded for 30-60 min prior to beginning the experiment to assure stability of the response. Once a stable test response was attained, experimental treatments (Aβ oligomers, and/or other compounds) were added to the 10 mL ACSF perfusate, and a baseline was recorded for an additional 30 min. To induce LTP, two consecutive trains (1 s) of stimuli at 100 Hz separated by 20 s were applied to the slices, a protocol that induced LTP lasting approximately 1.5 hr in wild-type mice of this genetic background. Another LTP protocols were 10 Hz bursts stimulation consist of 10 pulses 10Hz bursts, 10 trains separated by 10 sec (intensive)
or 30 sec (spaced). To induce LTD, 300 pulses were delivered at 1 Hz. The field potentials were amplified 100x using an Axon Instruments 200B amplifier and digitized with Digidata 1322A. Data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz. Traces were obtained by pClamp 9.2 and analyzed using the Clampfit 9.2 program. LTP and LTD values reported throughout were measured at 60 min after the conditioning stimulus unless stated otherwise. In this study, “n” indicates the brain slice number. Paired-pulse responses were monitored from 20 to 200 ms inter-stimulus intervals. The facilitation ratio was calculated as fEPSP2 slope/fEPSP1 slope. Two-tailed Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to determine statistical significance.

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| DL-AP-5 | Tocris Bioscience | Cat. No. 3693/10 |
| SIB 1757 | Tocris Bioscience | Cat. No. 1215/10 |
| Philanthotoxin 74 | Tocris Bioscience | Cat. No. 2770/1 |
| CGP 35348 | Tocris Bioscience | Cat. No. 1245/10 |
| ZD 7288 | Tocris Bioscience | Cat. No. 1000/10 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** | | |
| Mouse: C57BL/6J wild-type | The Jackson Laboratory | Stock No: 000664 | Black 6 |
Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. (Related to Fig. 2.)

The cell-wide heterosynaptic basal dendritic LTP is NMDAR-dependent, similar to the homosynaptic (apical) LTP. The HFS induced LTP could be blocked by NMDA receptor antagonist, AP-5 (50 µM) recording from both apical (A) and basal (B) dendrites. Similarly, activation of NMDA receptors by removing the Mg^{2+} from the perfusate prevent HFS induced LTP in both apical (C) and basal (D) dendrites. Grey bar represent the Mg^{2+} free ACSF.
Soluble Aβ oligomers facilitated hippocampal LTD in both apical (A) and basal (B) dendrites are not require for calcium-permeable AMPA receptor.

Soluble Aβ oligomers impaired hippocampal spaced-10 Hz LTP in basal dendrites are not involve in GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors and H-channel. As the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor selective antagonist, CGP 35348 (10 µM) or H-channels blocker (ZD7288, 5 µM) failed to prevent 7PA2 CM impaired LTP.
**Figure S4.** (Related to Fig. 6.)

Both the homosynaptic basal dendritic LTD (left) and heterosynaptic apical LTD (right) were facilitated by 7PA2 CM treatment upon stimulation in stratum oriens.