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Abstract

Background: Low and middle income countries has recently implemented various reforms toward Universal Health Coverage (UHC). This study aims to assess the impact of Family Physician Plan (FPP) and Health Transformation Plan (HTP) on hospitalization rate in Iran.
Methods: We conducted an Interrupted Time Series (ITS) design. The data was monthly hospitalization of Mazandaran province over a period of 7 years. Segmented regression analysis was applied in R version 3.6.1.

Results: A decreasing trend by -0.056 for every month was found after implementation of Family Physician Plan, but this was not significant. Significant level change was appeared at the beginning of Health Transformation Plan and average of hospitalization rate increased by 1.04 (P<0.001). Also hospitalization trend increased significantly nearly 0.09 every month in period after Health Transformation Plan (P<0.001).

Conclusions: Family physician created a decreasing trend for hospitalization. Development of FPP to urban area of Iran will lead to health system efficiency. HTP with lower user fee in public hospitals and clinics as well as fee-for-service mechanisms, stimulated both level and trend changes in hospital admissions. Some integrated health policy is required to optimize the implementation of diverse simultaneous reforms in low and middle-income countries.
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Background

Universal Health Coverage (UHC) has become a key national aspiration in designing and implementing of health policies [1]. Strengthening Primary Health Care (PHC) was experienced in various models (i.e. introduction of family medicine scheme) as main strategy in process moving toward UHC in Iran and around the world [2-4]. Powerful evidence demonstrated that quality improvement in PHC reduces
secondary care admission and cost [5]. Family physician/general practice programs are a proven way to establish the referral systems for achieving health system efficiency toward UHC [6]. Hospitalization rate has been used frequently for evaluating the impacts of policy reforms on health system efficiency [7, 8]. In recent four decades Iran has established a network of primary health care facilities expanded thorough the country in order to improve the health of population with a priority devoted to rural and unprivileged areas. Based on national and international assessments the achievement was satisfactory, but it has been felt that more space is there to strengthen its PHC network [2].

Recent Primary Health Care Reforms in Iran

Iranian health system faced some critical challenges including high rate of noncommunicable diseases and high out-of-pocket payments [9]. In response to these challenges, family physician was implemented in rural area in 2005 [10]. Then, development of family physicians to the urban area began in 2013 in two provinces (Mazandaran and Fars). In these reforms a GP as family physician was contracted to serve an enlisted population and is paid on capitation basis. The services include a basic package of primary health care that formerly was provided by state employed physicians and related staffs of community health centers. In rural area all physicians are based in the community health centers but in urban area some private offices are in contract as well. Enrolled population in rural area require to pay up to 30% of tariffs when they use services through their family physicians, but in urban area such services is almost free of charge. Patients from both groups could benefit from inpatient and outpatient specialist services of public hospitals if they carry an issued referral letter from their family physicians. Family physicians could refer up to 10 percent of their patients for secondary care. In addition there are some other limitations such as items of drugs in each prescription, request for laboratory tests or images and so on. 80 percent of FP contract is paid monthly and the remaining 20 percent is subject to the results of seasonal assessment of their performance done jointly by staff of health insurance organization and district health authority [11].

Health Transformation Plan in Iran

After introduction of family physician scheme in Iran, Health Transformation Plan (HTP), with different objectives including facilitated with secondary care at public hospitals, reduction in out-of-pocket payments (co-insurance rates in public hospitals and specialty clinic), better quality of hospital services and so on was
implemented at country level in May 2014 [12]. In this reform, medical tariffs at public hospitals were heavily (three to more than five times) increased, but attendees were charged between 3 to 6 percent of their hospital bills whether they carry a referral letter or not. The justification for such reform was mainly to create incentive for both providers in particular physicians by sharing generated incomes with them and patients by subsidizing their bills by government allocated resources. High public resources (from government and insurer organizations) allocated to support the HTP [13].

Based on World Health Report 2000, conflicting evidence gathered about the impact of health systems to improve health [14], so comparative evaluation contribute to make judge and decision for rational and ethical resource allocation. This study aimed to assess the impacts of these two recent reforms on hospitalization rate in Iran.

**Methods:**

**Study Design**

This was a quasi-experimental design using Interrupted Time Series (ITS). ITS is the strongest quasi-experimental design for evaluating the impacts of health policies and reforms and does not require to comparison group [15, 16]. The outcome measure for the time series analysis was hospitalization rate across monthly intervals. As both reforms could have impacts on hospitalization rate, therefore the change on this indicator will be traced on three segments including the period before FPP, from the start of FPP to before the introduction of HTP, and the period after implementation of HTP.

**Data Sources**

Mazandaran is a province located in the north of Iran with 3,283,582 population. In this study we used data on insured people by Iran Health Insurance Organization (IHIO) in Mazandaran as the main financing agent for these two reforms. From provincial office of IHIO including the number of insured people and the number of inpatient records (Table 1). The study observations were determined as monthly hospitalization rate from March 2010 to February 2017.
Data analysis

A linear regression model is specified to explain study hypotheses as following equation.

\[ Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{time} + \beta_2 \text{interruption 1 (FFP)} + \beta_3 \text{time after interruption 1} + \beta_4 \text{interruption 2 (HTP)} + \beta_5 \text{time after interruption 2 (HTP)} + e \]

In this model:

- \( \beta_0 \) is the baseline level of hospitalization rate at the beginning of the time series
- \( \beta_1 \) is the pre-intervention slope prior to FFP
- \( \beta_2 \) is the change in level immediately after the FFP
- \( \beta_3 \) is the change in the slope from pre to post FFP
- \( \beta_4 \) is the change in level immediately after the HTP
- \( \beta_5 \) is the change in the slope from pre to post HTP
- \( e \) represents the value of residuals.

We used segmented analysis for estimating both immediate (level) and long-term (trend) impacts of interventions. Our sample size was 84 observation points, 19 observations before first interruption (FFP), 19 observations between two interruptions and 48 observation points after second interruption (HTP).

We conducted several diagnostic assessments. To detect autocorrelation between residuals we used Durbin Watson test which we corrected using the Praise method. We also used Augmented-Dickey-Fuller statistic to determine if the series was stationary. We estimated the Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic to check the normality of the residuals. Bartlett test was used to assess the homogeneity of variance between residuals. All analyses were done in R version 3.6.1.

### Table 1: Population and hospitalizations in the Mazandaran province during the study period

| Parameter                                      | 2011  | 2012  | 2013  | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Population covered by Iranian Health Insurance | 1628919 | 1682767 | 1708193 | 1577596 | 1674328 | 1676317 | 1432290 |
| Total number of hospitalization                | 126862 | 129861 | 124561 | 132715 | 152478 | 155358 | 151698 |
Table 2: Parameter estimates from the segmented regression in two interrupted model

| Parameter                      | Coefficients | Standard Errors | t-statistic | Confidence Interval | P Value |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|
| Initial level                  | 6.321        | 0.265           | 23.823      | 6.851               | 0.000   |
| Initial Trend                  | 0.011        | 0.024           | 0.473       | 0.060               | 0.637   |
| Change in level after FPP*     | 0.085        | 0.348           | 0.244       | 0.781               | 0.807   |
| Trend after FPP                | -0.056       | 0.033           | -1.679      | 0.067               | 0.097   |
| Change in level after HTP**    | 1.045        | 0.284           | 3.668       | 1.615               | 0.000   |
| Trend after HTP                | 0.088        | 0.023           | 3.777       | 0.134               | 0.000   |

*FPP Family Physician Plan
**HTP Health Transformation Plan
Discussion

We applied segmented regression in interrupted time series design to assess the impact of two major reforms in Iranian health systems on hospitalization rate. Segmented regression was recommended as a practical approach for assessing the impact of health policy change in low and middle-income countries [17]. In recent years various studies used segmented regression to assess the impact of different health policies on drug prescribing [18], drug utilization [19], hospital quality measures [20], maternal and child care [21], outpatient care [22], and inpatient care [8] in different counties.

The same with expected impacts of provider-continuity polices [23], the results indicated a decreasing trend of hospitalization rate after the implementation of FPP. These findings are different to the result of a study about the effect of FPP on hospitalization in rural area in Iran [8]. Rashidian, et al reported that FPP in Iranian rural area has led to a significant increase of hospitalization rate. Rashidian, et al. have mentioned “access effect” and have argued that, unmet need in the rural area out-weighted the effect of FPP on hospitalization. Yet, after a decade, the improvement of health care accessibility in Iranian rural area and also different sets of public and private health facilities in urban area in Iran omitted the “access effect”
of urban FPP and lead to decrease in the trend of hospitalization rate. In other words, the implementation of FPP in two different contexts resulted in different impacts on hospitalization rate. Another study in the United-States also confirmed access to effective primary care result in lowering the rate of hospital admissions [24].

After 9 years from beginning of rural FPP and 19 months from urban FPP, third reform known as Health Transformation Plan (HTP) was started in Iran [2]. Based on HTP components, it was expected that, the hospitalization rate may be affected after HTP. Therefore we entered HTP as second interruption in the analysis and the results demonstrated that implementation of HTM lead to significant changes in the terms of level and trend in hospitalization rate. In similar study in Fars province, Bayati, et al. [25] reported an insignificant increase of hospitalization service after HTP. Piroozi, et al. [22] also assessed the impact of HTP on specialist outpatient visit rate in Kurdistan province in Iran and have reported an increased rate for outpatients visit after beginning of HTP. Since that, outpatient service and inpatient care are considered as complementary goods rather than substitute services in health care markets [26], an increased level and trend of outpatients specialty visits have stimulated inpatient services after HTP in Iran. Furthermore, lower co-insurance in public hospitals and clinics as well as fee-for-services payment mechanisms have increased inpatients care utilization that could be a sign of provider’s induced demand or consumer’s moral hazards [27].

In brief, the results of this study demonstrated different impacts of FPP and THP on secondary care utilization in Iran. FPs as gatekeepers by filtering attendees reduced inpatient care utilization through more logical referral pattern, but the increasing impacts of HTP on hospitalization would be controversial, from addressing unmet needs to the stimulating moral hazards. Considering the implementation of family physician in both rural and urban areas of Mazandaran province before HTP, the impact of HTP on hospitalization rate might be different in other provinces without family physician in urban area.

The present study encountered some limitations. We have only used the data of hospitalized patients who were insured by IHIO and not all hospitalization occurred in the study period.
Conclusion

Family physician created a decreasing trend for hospitalization. Development of FPP to urban area of Iran will lead to health system efficiency. HTP with lower user fee in public hospitals and clinics as well as fee-for-services payment mechanism stimulated both level and trend of hospital admissions. More integrated health policy is required to optimize the implementation of diverse simultaneous reforms in low and middle-income countries.
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