The regulation of the cell wall by glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins in Arabidopsis

Ke Zhou*
Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, United States

A polysaccharides-based cell wall covers the plant cell, shaping it and protecting it from the harsh environment. Cellulose microfibrils constitute the cell wall backbone and are embedded in a matrix of pectic and hemicellulosic polysaccharides and glycoproteins. Various environmental and developmental cues can regulate the plant cell wall, and diverse glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins participate in these regulations. GPI is a common lipid modification on eukaryotic proteins, which covalently tethers the proteins to the membrane lipid bilayer. Catalyzed by a series of enzymic complexes, protein precursors are post-translationally modified at their hydrophobic carboxyl-terminus in the endomembrane system and anchored to the lipid bilayer through an oligosaccharidic GPI modification. Ultimately, mature proteins reach the plasma membrane via the secretory pathway facing toward the apoplast and cell wall in plants. In Arabidopsis, more than three hundred GPI-anchored proteins (GPI-APs) have been predicted, and many are reported to be involved in diverse regulations of the cell wall. In this review, we summarize GPI-APs involved in cell wall regulation. GPI-APs are proposed to act as structural components of the cell wall, organize cellulose microfibrils at the cell surface, and during cell wall integrity signaling transduction. Besides regulating protein trafficking, the GPI modification is potentially governed by a GPI shedding system that cleaves and releases the GPI-anchored proteins from the plasma membrane into the cell wall.
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GPI-anchored proteins in Arabidopsis

The GPI is a common and conserved lipid modification on eukaryotic proteins (up to 0.5% of total proteins), which covalently links the protein to the lipid bilayer through an oligosaccharide structure (Oxley and Bacic, 1999). Eukaryotes share a conserved GPI biosynthesis mechanism better revealed in mammalian cells. The GPI moiety's biosynthesis starts with a lipid molecule modification at the endoplasmic reticulum's rough side (ER), then the modified lipid molecule flips into the luminal side of the ER. Catalyzed by a series of enzyme complexes, an oligosaccharide structure consisting of a minimal backbone of three mannoses (Mans), one non-N-acetylated glucosamine (GlcN),
and inositol phospholipid, is added onto the modified lipid molecule (Stevens, 1995; Oxley and Bacic, 1999; Kinoshita and Fujita, 2016). A typical GPI-AP precursor possesses a secretory signal at the amino-terminus (N-terminus) that leads it to the ER lumen and a unique hydrophobic domain at the carboxyl-terminus (C-terminus) that is recognized while entering the ER lumen. Through a series of catalytic processes, the C-terminal hydrophobic region is hydrolyzed at the omega site, and an ethanolamine phosphate bond with the mannose of the GPI oligosaccharide structure replaces the peptide bond, connecting the GPI moiety covalently to the protein and with the membrane bilayers (Eisenhaber et al., 1998; Kinoshita, 2014; Kinoshita and Fujita, 2016) via an ADP-riboseylation factor (ARF)-mediated protein sorting system, GPI-APs apically target the sterol-rich microdomains in the plasma membrane of mammalian cells and expose the mature proteins to the external environment (Mayor and Riezman, 2004; Legler et al., 2005; Puig et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). Instead of being permanently tethered to lipid bilayers, GPI-APs could be released from the plasma membrane due to the cleavage catalyzed by the GPI-specific phospholipase (GPI-PLC) at the phospholipid phosphatidylinositol of the GPI oligosaccharide structure (Or ihashi et al., 2012; Fujihara and Ikawa, 2016).

A similar GPI biosynthesis system has been described in plant cells (Beihammer et al., 2020). Interrupting the first mannosylation step of GPI moiety biosynthesis is lethal for the plant and significantly affects the cell wall composition (Gillmor et al., 2005), and loss of function of the protease that hydrolyzes their precursors at the omega domain and transfers an assembled GPI anchor to mature protein causes global and severe developmental defects (Bundy et al., 2016). These reports conclude that GPI-APs are essential for plant growth, and their GPI modification is necessary for their function. However, disturbed remodeling of the GPI modification of GPI-APs significantly affects their efficient transport and correct cellular localization without causing severe developmental defects (Bernat-Silvestre et al., 2022), which indicates that GPI anchoring plays a role in their sorting. Nevertheless, the GPI shedding system identified in mammals has not yet been found in plants. Plant GPI-APs have been best characterized in the model plant Arabidopsis, from which more than three hundred proteins are identified or predicted to possess GPI modification (Rorner et al., 2002; Rorner et al., 2003; Eisenhaber et al., 2003; Elortza et al., 2003). Previously, their participation in cell surface signaling transduction (Zhou, 2019a) and plasma membrane-cell wall nexus (Yeats et al., 2018) have been well-reviewed. Different from mammalian cells, GPI-APs tethering to the plasma membrane face toward the cell wall in plants, and many are documented to regulate the cell wall in Arabidopsis. Therefore, these cell wall-related GPI-APs are reviewed, and their mechanisms are discussed.

The GPI-anchored Arabinogalactan Proteins

Highly glycosylated (>90% of total molecular mass), hydroxyproline-rich arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs) might be the most complex and diverse glycoprotein family in plants (Chasan, 1994). A classical AGP is modified by a GPI anchor and glycosylated by N- and O-glycosylation at Pro-Ala-Ser-Thr (PAST) repeats where complex and heterogenous arabinogalactan glycans are added (Shpak et al., 1999; Ellis et al., 2010; Duruflé et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017). As the primary structural glycoprotein components of the plant cell wall, GPI-anchored AGPs have been well-reviewed recently (Silva et al., 2020; Hromadová et al., 2021). GPI-anchored AGPs participate in cross-linking of cell wall components through non-covalent (Lamport et al., 2005; Hijazi et al., 2014) or covalent (Tan et al., 2013) association between their glycan modifications and the hemicellulosic and pectic polysaccharides. Interestingly, GPI anchoring to the plasma membrane, the cross-linking with polysaccharidic components also allows AGPs to mediate the plasma membrane-cell wall integration (Palacio-Lopez et al., 2019) (Figure 1A).

Apoplastic calcium ions participate in the formation of an "egg-box" structure that enhances the cross-linking of pectic polysaccharides in the cell wall matrix (Lampugnani et al., 2018). Glycan modifications of GPI-anchored AGPs could also act as capacitors that accumulate calcium ions in the cell wall matrix through their gluconic acid (GlcA) carboxyls in a pH-dependent manner. Calcium ions are discharged into the cell wall matrix in a low pH environment, which could be supplied for pectin cross-linking and calcium ions influx (Lamport and Varnai, 2013; Lope z-Hernandez et al., 2020) (Figure 1A).

Besides classical AGPs, some chimeric Fasciclin-Like AGPs (FLAs) are also modified by GPI anchors, among which FLA4 (SOSS) is the most extensively studied for its participation in leucine-rich receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLKs) FEI1/2-mediated cell wall integrity (CWI) signaling pathway (Seifert, 2021). Apart from localizing to the plasma membrane, FLA4 could be released into the apoplast. Interestingly, GPI anchoring is required for the efficient transport to the plasma membrane via the secretory pathway, but not the function (Griffiths et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2017). Although no direct experimental evidence supports the interaction between FLA4 and FEI1/2, FLA4 is considered to act as a regulatory ligand that exhibits the CWI-related signal to activate a receptor complex containing FEI1/2 (Figure 1B). In this process, the glycosylation of FLA4 catalyzed by galactosyltransferases (GALT) is required (Basu et al., 2016). The activated receptor complex leads to hormonal and developmental alterations, consequently regulating cell wall-related genes (Seifert et al., 2014; Acet and Kadioglu, 2020; Seifert, 2021). Loss of function of FLA4 results in defective anisotropic cell expansion, thinner cell wall and affected cell
adhesion in root (Shi et al., 2003), and decreased cellulose deposit in seed mucilage (Harpaz-Saad et al., 2012). Interestingly, its GPI-anchored homologs, FLA11 and FLA12, are also supposed to be involved in CWI-related signal complexes that sense the mechanical stimuli and initiate the development of the secondary cell wall (Ma et al., 2022). Nevertheless, how they work as ligands relating to the CWI-related signal remains largely unknown.

**GPI-anchored SHV3 and SVL1**

Cellulose constitutes the backbone of the plant cell wall is built up by Urudine-5′-Diphosphate-Glucose (UDP-Glc) (Polko and Kieber, 2019). Generally, newly fixed carbon by photosynthesis is converted into sucrose, transported to sink organs via the phloem, and imported into the cytoplasm. In the cytoplasm, sucrose is cleaved into UDP-Glc and fructose by Sucrose Synthase (SUS) (Verbancic et al., 2018). Then UDP-Glc molecules are converted into β-(1→4)-D-glucan chains by the trans-plasma membrane Cellulose Synthase (CESA) subunits of the cellulose synthase complexes (CSCs) at the cell surface (McFarlane et al., 2014). In Arabidopsis root, cytoplasmic sucrose imported via a plasma membrane-localized Suc/H+ symporter is one of the significant regulators of cellulose biosynthesis, and the excessive cytoplasmic sucrose reduces the velocity of CSCs on the plasma membrane, and the cellulose biosynthesis through an unrevealed mechanism (Li et al., 2014; Verbancic et al., 2018). SHV3 and its homolog SVL1 encode GPI-anchored glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase-like (GPDL) proteins. When exogenous sucrose is provided in the medium, loss of functions of SHV3 and SVL1 significantly promotes the sucrose importation to inhibit the cellulose biosynthesis in the root, which could be suppressed by the loss of function of plasma membrane-localized Suc/H+ symporter (Yeats et al., 2016; Yeats and Somerville, 2016). The hyperpolarized plasma membrane H+ gradient of shv3/svl1 mutant root seems responsible for the excessive sucrose importation, which is also reported in the loss of function of a transmembrane Receptor-Like Kinase (RLK) FERONIA (FER) that mediates various cell wall-related singling transduction and response (Feng et al., 2018). It implies the involvement of SHV3 and SVL1 in signaling transduction during cell expansion that coordinates the proton pumping and the cellulose synthesis (Figure 1B). However, it is still unclear whether they chaperone relative transmembrane signaling transduction or act as ligands.

**GPI-anchored COBRAs**

Due to the association between CSCs and cortical microtubules beneath the plasma membrane through Cellulose Synthase Interactive 1 (CSI1), the synthesized cellulitic chains assemble microfibrils that align with the cortical microtubule arrays (Baskin and Gu, 2012; Watanabe et al., 2015; Bidhendi et al., 2020). Through hydrogen bonds and Van der Waals forces, the synthesizeic cellulotic chains assemble microfibrils that align with the cortical microtubule arrays (Cosgrove, 2014; Kubicki et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2021). The aligned cortical microtubule and cellulose microfibril arrays determine the anisotropic cell expansion (Sedbrook and Kaloriti, 2008; Crowell et al., 2010). COBRA (COB) and its homologs encode GPI-anchored proteins that possess active cellulose-binding domains (Roudier et al., 2002). COB predominately localizes on the plasma membrane and in the cell wall of the longitudinal sides of rapidly elongating root cells. COB on the plasma membrane aligns with the cortical microtubules, and its loss of function severely affects the anisotropic cell expansion in various organs (Schindelman et al., 2001; Roudier et al., 2002; Ko et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2013), primarily due to the disorientated cellulose microfibrils (Roudier et al., 2005). These results imply that COB may play a central role in a hypothesized complex that aligns the cellulose microfibrils with cortical microtubules (Figure 1A). Homologs of the COB are also reportedly involved in cellulose deposition in root hair tips (Li et al., 2022) and seed mucilage (Ben-Tov et al., 2018), as well as in cell-cell communication during fertilization (Li et al., 2013), but whether these involvements originate from affected cellulose microfibrils’ orientation or other mechanisms requires further investigations.

**GPI-anchored PMEs and PMEIs**

Pectin is a major polysaccharide component biosynthesized in the endoplastic membrane system and secreted into the cell wall matrix to provide structural support, cross-link cell wall components, mediate cell wall adhesion, and supply cell-related signal molecules (Ridley et al., 2001; Lehner et al., 2010; Cao, 2012; Peaucelle et al., 2012; Daher and Braybrook, 2015; Sista Kameshwar and Qin, 2018). The de-esterification at the C6 atom of galacturonic acid (GalA) of the homogalacturonan (HG) backbone is required for binding calcium ions to form an “egg-box” structure that cross-links and stiffens pectin (Lampugnani et al., 2018). The de-esterification is catalyzed by the pectin methyltransferases (PMEs), of which activity could be inhibited by the pectin methyltransferase inhibitors (PMEIs) (Peaucelle et al., 2012; Wormit and Usadel, 2018). Several PMEs and PMEIs are predicted to be GPI-anchored proteins through informatics and proteomic assays (Takahashi et al., 2016). Still, only PME1 is confirmed by experimental data, of which GPI anchoring is crucial for its secretion to the cell wall matrix where it functions (De Caroli et al., 2011) (Figure 1A). PME1 is supposed to be functional in the cell wall matrix to inhibit the PME activity, and it does partially localize there, which implies its release from the plasma membrane. Whether
the release originates from the cleavage of GPI anchoring is unclear.

**GPI-anchored PMR6**

Pectate lyases (PLs) and Pectate lyase-like proteins (PLLs) are reported to be involved in pectin degradation and potentially release of pectic oligosaccharides for cell wall-related signaling response (Palusa et al., 2007; Cao, 2012; Chen et al., 2021). Among 27 predicted pectate lyase–like members in *Arabidopsis*, PMR6 is the only one modified by the GPI anchoring. PMR6 is required for general plant growth and powdery mildew susceptibility. Its loss of function alters the composition of the plant cell wall and exhibits higher resistance to powdery mildew (Vogel et al., 2002). It is hypothesized that PMR6 is required to promote fungal growth, or the loss of function of PMR6 activates specific defenses response inhibiting fungal infection (Figure 1A). Nevertheless, we know very little about PMR6 and its GPI anchoring.

**GPI-anchored LORELEI and LLGs**

Chaperoning extracellular domains of transmembrane Receptor-like Kinases to recognize extracellular signal
molecules at the cell surface is one of the typical functions of GPI-APs (Zhou, 2019a). Not only chaperoning the delivery of FER to the plasma membrane, the homolog of GPI-anchored LORELEI (LRE) LLG1 associates with the extracellular domain of FER to form a receptor complex at the cell surface to recognize the signaling polypeptides RALF23 (Xiao et al., 2019). They trigger a rapid apoplastic alkalization that could significantly inhibit the expansin-dependent acidic cell wall expansion (Cosgrove, 2005). Besides the signaling polypeptides, the LRE/LLG1-FER complex could recognize the pectic signal originating from damaged cell wall integrity and trigger a calcium-dependent cell wall rescue (Duan et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2018). Interestingly, LLG1 also chaperones a membrane-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) FLS2 to recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and to trigger the transient calcium ions (PRRs) FLS2 to recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and to trigger the transient calcium ions influx, and Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) cascades (Shen et al., 2017; Chi et al., 2021) (Figure 1B). These reports imply that LRE and its three homologs may combine with different RLKs to recognize diverse ligands.

GPI-anchored ZET and ZETH

GPI-anchored ZERZAUST (ZET) and its homolog ZETH contribute to organogenesis that relies on a trans-plasma membrane receptor STRUBBELIG (SUB) (Fulton et al., 2009). SUB is a non-cell-autonomous atypical Receptor-Like Kinase that controls tissue morphogenesis and responses to damaged cell wall integrity. Interestingly, the intracellular kinase domain is not required for its functions (Chaudhary et al., 2020; Chaudhary et al., 2021). Loss of function of ZET results in severe defect in the outer integuments development, floral organs morphogenesis, and stems anisotropic elongation, for which altered cell wall composition and structure might be responsible (Vaddepalli et al., 2017). ZET predominantly localizes longitudinally within the apoplast of lamella junctions in a non-cell-autonomous fashion and can spread locally through the apoplast. GPI anchoring is dispensable for its localization and function but is required for an efficient transport (Fulton et al., 2009; Vaddepalli et al., 2017; Vaddepalli et al., 2019) (Figure 1B). The apoplastic localization and dispensability of GPI anchoring imply that ZET might act as a ligand that exhibits the damaged cell wall integrity rather than chaperone SUB-mediated signaling transduction. However, we know very little about this.

GPI-anchored SKU5 and SKSs

Organ spiral growth ubiquitously exists in the plant world, and Arabidopsis roots exhibit slight left-handedness that originates from naturally inclined root cell elongation (Smyth, 2016; Buschmann and Borchers, 2020). Besides cortical microtubule arrays (Furutani et al., 2000; Thitamadee et al., 2002; Ishida et al., 2007; Galva et al., 2014), root spiral growth of Arabidopsis has also been reported to be related to the cell wall (Buschmann and Borchers, 2020). SKU5 is expressed in rapid-growing organs and encodes a GPI-anchored protein structurally related to the multiple-copper oxidases. In the root, SKU5 could be found in the cell wall and attached to the plasma membrane but mainly accumulated longitudinally in lamella junctions of the epidermis and cortex at the elongation zone. Possibly due to the slightly reduced elongation rate of root epidermal cells compared with inner layers, the root’s natural left-handedness is aggravated in sku5 mutant (Sedbrook et al., 2002). Further investigations on the loss of functions of SKU5 and its homologs conclude their involvement in the anisotropic expansion of root epidermal cells, possibly through regulating cell wall structure (Zhou, 2019b). Nevertheless, the regulation of cell wall structure by SKU5 and its homologs and the importance of GPI anchoring in this process are still largely unknown (Figure 1A).

Discussions

Mature GPI-APs are anchored to the plasma membrane and exposed to the cell wall matrix in plant cells, which endows them with unique features in regulating the cell wall. This review summarizes the broad involvements of GPI-APs in the cell wall regulations in Arabidopsis, including acting as structural glycoproteins of the cell wall, regulating cellulose biosynthesis and cellulose microfibrils orientation, controlling pectin cross-linking by providing calcium, handling pectin esterification and metabolism, and serving as ligand or co-receptor to mediate cell wall-related signaling transduction.

In Mammalian cells, besides covalently tethering GPI-APs to the lipid bilayer, the GPI modification acts as a sorting signal that apically drives GPI-APs to cholesterol-rich microdomains in the plasma membrane via an ARF-mediated secretory pathway (Puig et al., 2019). In Arabidopsis, a similar role of the GPI anchor has also been identified in FLA4, PMEI1, ZET, COBL10, and LRE/LLGs. However, the GPI-mediated sorting system and its contribution to cell wall regulation are largely unknown in plants.

Although the GPI-specific phospholipase that cleaves the GPI anchor and releases the GPI-APs from the plasma membrane has not been identified in plants, experimental evidence supports its existence. Most GPI-APs summarized in this review are found partially localized in the cell wall matrix besides attached to the plasma membrane. For those GPI-APs supposed to be functional in the apoplast or the cell wall matrix, such as FLA4, PMEI1, and ZET, GPI anchoring is not required for their function. In contrast, GPI anchoring is required for...
those GPI-APs supposed to be functional at the plasma membrane, such as LRE/LLGs (Li et al., 2015) and COB/COBs. It implies an unidentified GPI shedding system that regulates the alteration of GPI-APs from plasma membrane-associated proteins to apoplastic or cell wall proteins. However, we know very little about it.
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