ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare early versus interval tonsillectomy in cases of peritonsillar abscess.

Study Design: Comparative study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out at ENT Department of Combined Military Hospital, Mardan from January 2017 to January 2018.

Materials and Methods: A total 50 patients were selected from ENT outpatient department. All the cases were randomly divided into two groups of 25 each. Group A underwent early tonsillectomy after initial incision and drainage, Group B underwent interval tonsillectomy after 6 weeks. Both the groups were compared in terms of perioperative blood loss, operation time, ease of dissection and postoperative complications including pain and hemorrhage. Tonsillectomy was done with bipolar cautery in all the cases.

Results: The mean age was 30.22 ± 8.25. Out of 50 patients, 42 (84%) were males and 8 (16%) were females. The mean operative time of surgery in group A (early tonsillectomy) was 45.04±5.78 minutes compared to 32.72±4.37 minutes for group B (interval tonsillectomy (p=0.00). Mean post-operative pain in group A was 3.68±2.12 compared to group B where mean score was 3.36±1.93 (p=0.579). There were 3 cases of mild perioperative blood loss, 19 cases of moderate and 3 of severe perioperative blood loss in group A. There were 18 cases of mild perioperative blood loss, 7 cases of moderate and no case of severe perioperative blood loss in group B (p=0.00). Dissection was found to be significantly easier in group B (interval tonsillectomy). There were 7 cases of post-op secondary hemorrhage in group A compared to 3 in group B (p=0.289). All these cases of secondary hemorrhage were managed conservatively.

Conclusion: Interval tonsillectomy is a safer procedure as compared to early tonsillectomy in terms of perioperative blood loss, operative time, dissection with almost similar post-op pain and similar risk of post tonsillectomy hemorrhage.
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Introduction

Peritonsillar abscess (PTA), also known as quinsy, is simply defined as localized bacterial infection of the head and neck that occurs usually as a complication of tonsillitis, leading to accumulation of pus between the capsule of palatine tonsil and muscles of pharynx.\(^1\)\(^\text{4}\) As this area consists of loose connective tissue, such infection leads to the formation of pus or purulent material in this region. Due to extensive spread of inflammation it may extend to soft palate, walls of pharynx and to the base of the tongue.\(^1\)\(^\text{5}\) The causative bacteria of PTA include group A streptococcus, anaerobic and gram-negative rods. It is seen that 90% of cultures contain anaerobic bacteria and that of 25 to 40% contain group A streptococci.\(^6\) The etiology is still unknown.

The symptoms of peritonsillar abscess are somehow similar to streptococcal pharyngitis and tonsillitis. Symptoms include difficulty in swallowing saliva, sore throat usually worse on one side, difficulty in opening mouth, fever, dysphasia and swollen gland in the throat and ear pain on the side of sore throat.\(^7\) Difficulty in swallowing is due to inflammatory edema.\(^8\) Although peritonsillar abscess can occur in
The diagnosis of PTA requires clinical history and through physical examination, transoral ultrasound and CT scan. If this condition is not adequately treated or remains untreated it can become potentially life threatening, leading to severe and serious conditions like parapharyngeal abscess, airway obstruction, mediastinitis, sepsis and erosion of the internal carotid artery. Airway obstruction may occur due to severe inflammation resulting in infection surrounding the area of head and neck region. To prevent airway obstruction and perforation of abscess into parapharyngeal spaces, proper and adequate diagnosis and treatment must be done.

The treatment consists of antimicrobial therapy and drainage of abscess followed by tonsillectomy. Peritonsillar abscess can be drained through incision drainage or needle aspiration. Tonsillectomy is one of the most acceptable surgeries performed worldwide. To prevent recurrence and complications of peritonsillar abscess, tonsillectomy is best option to be performed. Immediate tonsillectomy, also known as abscess tonsillectomy or hot tonsillectomy, is a procedure done on emergency basis without any needle aspiration, incision or drainage. Early tonsillectomy is carried out after a few days, within a week if the procedure is performed after needle aspiration, incision or drainage at the interval of 4 to 6 weeks it is termed as delayed or interval tonsillectomy.

The purpose of our study is to compare early tonsillectomy and interval tonsillectomy and compare pre and post-operative complications of early versus interval tonsillectomy.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was parallel group, comparative, triple blinded study conducted at ENT Department of Combined Military Hospital, Mardan. The study duration was one year from January 2017 to January, 2018. The study intended to treat peritonsillar abscess patients comparing the two management approaches. Management for peritonsillar abscess were assessed and compared in two groups; one getting early tonsillectomy and the other group of patients had to undergo interval tonsillectomy. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Board. Informed consent was taken from patients after explaining the study to them.

The Research Coordinator at the ENT OPD enrolled and assigned eligible patients using randomly generated sequences in opaque sealed envelopes. The details of the series were unknown to any of the investigators or the coordinator. A total of 50 (25 in each arm) patients were randomly assigned to one of the two parallel groups after taking informed consent, initially in 1:1 ratio, to receive either one of the two management approaches for peritonsillar abscess. Study investigators, research coordinators, statistician and the patients were blinded to this allocation. These patients, selected from the ENT outpatient department, were seen by otorhinolaryngologists in the outpatient department and were diagnosed clinically. In peritonsillar abscess, affected tonsil looks swollen, edematous and erythematous. Uvula is deviated to opposite side, there is trismus and severe odynophagia along with hot potato voice.

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. Clinically diagnosed cases of peritonsillar abscess who had not undergone any procedure for abscess drainage.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Patients who had chronic liver diseases, chronic renal diseases or immunocompromised condition.
2. Patients who had any bleeding or clotting disorder.

In all cases of quinsy, immediate intravenous antibiotic Augmentin was started followed by incision and drainage under local anesthesia by spraying 10% lignocaine spray. The group A patients underwent early tonsillectomy on the 3rd/4th day and group B patients underwent interval tonsillectomy after 6 weeks. Both the groups were compared for perioperative blood loss, operation time, ease of dissection and postoperative complications including pain and hemorrhage. Tonsillectomy was done with bipolar cautery in all the cases. Cautery uses electrical current to heat metal wire that is applied to target tissue in order to burn or coagulate the specific area of tissue. Blood loss during surgery was assessed meticulously. Before starting operation, good amount of ribbon gauze along with cotton was taken, weighed and sterilized. Suction bottle and rubber tube was cleaned and emptied.
blood lost during surgery was collected in suction bottle. Once tonsils were removed, they were squeezed into gauze. All the packs and cotton balls were kept in physical balance and weighed. Blood collected in suction bottles was also measured. Blood weighed in gauze and cotton balls was converted into milliliters by dividing weight by specific gravity, which is 1.055. Perioperative blood loss was categorized into mild, moderate and severe depending on the amount of blood loss. Blood loss of 50 ml or less was considered mild, 50 to 100 ml blood loss was considered moderate and more than 100 ml blood loss was termed severe. Operation time was calculated in minutes and recorded for all cases. Dissection was categorized into 'Easy Dissection', 'Moderately difficult Dissection', 'Difficult Dissection' and 'Very Difficult Dissection' depending upon the situation during tonsillectomy. Dissection was termed easy when plane of dissecting the tonsil was easily dissectible. It was termed moderately difficult when plane of dissection was more difficult to find while dissecting out the tonsil. Similarly, difficult dissection was labelled in those cases where plane of dissection was even more difficult to find. Dissection was termed very difficult when plane of dissecting the tonsil was almost impossible to find to dissect out the tonsil. 

Results

The age range was from 16 to 45 years with mean age 30.22 ± 8.25. The number of males were 42. Both the groups were comparable in terms of age and gender. Mean age of cases in group A was 29.44±7.82 and in group B was 31±8.75 (Table 1).

| Group | N  | Mean Age | Standard Deviation |
|-------|----|----------|--------------------|
| A     | 25 | 29.44    | 7.82               |
| B     | 25 | 31.00    | 8.75               |
| Total | 50 | 30.22    | 8.29               |

Mean operative time of surgery in group A (early tonsillectomy) was 45.04±5.78 minutes. In comparison, operative time of surgery in group B (interval tonsillectomy) was 32.72±4.37 minutes (Table 2). The difference was statistically significant.

| Group | N  | Mean Operative Time (Minutes) | Standard Deviation |
|-------|----|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| A     | 25 | 45.04                         | 5.78               |
| B     | 25 | 32.72                         | 4.37               |
| Total | 50 | 38.88                         | 8.03               |

Pain was assessed in all cases of both groups and categorized as mild, moderate and severe along with assigning a certain number from 0 to 10. Mean post-operative pain in both groups was calculated, in group A it was 3.68±2.12 compared to group B where mean score was 3.36±1.93. This difference of pain was not significant (p=0.579) as shown in Table 3.

| Group | N  | Mean Pain Score | Standard Deviation |
|-------|----|-----------------|--------------------|
| A     | 25 | 3.68            | 2.12               |
| B     | 25 | 3.36            | 1.93               |
| Total | 50 | 3.52            | 2.02               |

There was a significant difference of perioperative blood loss in both groups (p=0.000), as shown in Table 4. There was significantly more blood loss in group A as compared to group B. There were 3 cases of mild perioperative blood loss in group A as compared to 18 cases in group B, 19 cases of moderate loss in group A versus 7 in group B and 3 cases of severe perioperative blood loss versus none in group B. Similarly, dissection during tonsillectomy was significantly (p<.001) more difficult in group A as compared to group B (Table 4). There was no case of primary postoperative hemorrhage in both
groups. There were 7 cases of secondary hemorrhage in group A patients as compared to 3 cases in group B, but this difference was insignificant \((p=0.0289)\). All these secondary hemorrhage cases were managed conservatively, and none needed electrocoagulation under general anesthesia which is required in extreme or refractory cases.

| Comparison | Categories | Groups | Total | \(P\) value |
|------------|------------|--------|-------|------------|
| Perioperative Blood Loss | Mild | A | 3 | 18 | 21 | \(<0.001\) |
| | Moderate | A | 19 | 7 | 26 | \(p=0.013\) |
| | Severe | A | 3 | 0 | 3 | \(p=0.289\) |
| Ease of dissection | Mild | A | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | Moderately difficult | A | 7 | 15 | 22 |
| | Difficult | A | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| | Very difficult | A | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Postoperative Pain | Mild | A | 16 | 16 | 32 | \(p=0.871\) |
| | Moderate | A | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| | Severe | A | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Secondary Hemorrhage | Yes | A | 7 | 3 | 10 | \(p=0.289\) |
| | No | A | 18 | 22 | 40 |
| Total | | A | 25 | 25 | 50 |

**Discussion**
Peritonsillar abscess is more common in males than females. Our study showed the same pattern. The age range of our patients was 16 to 45 years with mean 30.22±8.29. Other studies also reported similar age groups. Javed M et al. showed the average age to be 30.9. Males comprised 63% of our participants. We used bipolar cautery for tonsillectomy, which causes less blood loss. According to our findings, in early tonsillectomy tissues were friable and plane for dissecting out tonsil was difficult to find because of continuous oozing of blood and friable tissues. It took almost double the time to remove the infected tonsil as compared to the opposite normal tonsil and perioperative blood loss was also more than that in delayed tonsillectomy. In delayed tonsillectomy, dissection is also difficult as compared to normal tonsil. Ghauri SM et al. and Javed M et al. had shown more perioperative blood loss in late tonsillectomy as compared to early tonsillectomy but their results were not significant. There was no case of primary hemorrhage after tonsillectomies, however there were 7 cases of secondary mild post-op hemorrhage in group A versus 3 in group B \((p=0.289)\) in our study. All these cases settled on conservative measures, i.e. admission, intravenous antibiotics, fluids and bed rest.

The benefit of performing early tonsillectomy is shortened hospital stay as the patient gets incision and drainage in same admission while delayed tonsillectomy requires two separate admissions. Post-op bed rest is advised after both procedures.

**Limitation of Study**
The surgeries for peritonsillar abscess were performed by two different ENT specialists. The results could be different owing to different surgical skills of the ENT specialists.

**Conclusion**
The study concluded that interval tonsillectomy is a better option as compared to early tonsillectomy (less perioperative blood loss, less operative time, easy dissection and almost similar postop pain and similar risk of post tonsillectomy hemorrhage).
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