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Trace mono-atomically dispersed rhodium on zeolite-supported cobalt catalyst for the efficient methane oxidation

Yuhui Hou1, Shinichi Nagamatsu1, Kiyotaka Asakura1, Atsushi Fukuoka1 & Hirokazu Kobayashi1

The partial oxidation of methane is a promising method for the efficient production of syngas. To implement this process using common stainless steel reactors, an inexpensive catalyst that functions at 650 °C or below is necessary. However, base metal catalysts typically require much higher temperatures, and they are deactivated by re-oxidation and coke formation. Here we report that modification of a zeolite-supported 3 wt% cobalt catalyst with a trace amount of mono-atomically dispersed rhodium (0.005 wt%) dramatically improves catalytic performance and durability. Cobalt/mordenite is nearly inactive due to the oxidation of cobalt, but the catalyst modified with rhodium continuously gives 85–86% methane conversion and 90–91% CO selectivity with an H2/CO ratio of 2.0 without serious coking at 650 °C. During the reaction, mono-atomically dispersed rhodium converts cobalt oxide to Co0 active species via hydrogen spillover. Use of the zeolite support is key to the high catalytic performance.

1Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Kita 21 Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 001-0021, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.K. (email: kobayashi.hi@cat.hokudai.ac.jp)
The conversion of methane to liquid fuels and chemicals by heterogeneous catalysis has attracted increased interest since the shale gas revolution, due to the enhanced availability of methane and increasing global demands of power sources. Methane-derived chemicals such as hydrocarbons and methanol are manufactured via syngas, a mixture of CO and H₂. The economical synthesis of higher alcohols from syngas to produce polymers, pharmaceuticals, and energy carriers is also a hot topic. Accordingly, the efficiency of syngas production is a critical factor to determine the economics of methane utilisation. Syngas is produced by the catalytic conversion of methane with H₂O, CO₂, or O₂, where the reaction becomes exothermic only when O₂ is involved. The partial oxidation of methane (POM) with O₂ to syngas allows the use of compact facilities in industry due to the favourable thermodynamic properties and because a water vapour supply is unnecessary. This is in contrast to the steam-reforming of methane that requires a very large-scale process to be economical.

Catalysts for POM to syngas can be classified into two types: noble metals and base metals. Noble metal catalysts such as Rh and Pt show higher catalytic activities and work at lower temperatures, compared to base metal catalysts, but noble metals are more costly. On the other hand, while base metals are inexpensive, they require pre-reduction, and high reaction temperatures above 800 °C (for the actual temperature of the catalyst bed) to achieve high selectivity. This high temperature exceeds the range in which common industrial stainless steel reactors can be used, specifically 650 °C or lower. Moreover, base metal catalysts are often deactivated by severe coking and re-oxidation during the reaction. Thus, these issues with base metals often result in a high long-term cost.

A combination of base metals and noble metals is one solution to overcome these obstacles. The addition of noble metals facilitates the reduction of base metals and inhibits their re-oxidation. Rh is particularly effective for this purpose. The amount of Rh on catalysts reported so far is typically more than 0.3 wt%. Although a catalyst of 0.005 wt% Rh–3.0 wt% NiO/Al₂O₃ showed higher activity and selectivity than monometallic Rh or Ni catalysts, it still required a temperature of 800 °C to maintain its activity. The catalyst was deactivated at lower temperatures, perhaps by re-oxidation due to the stabilisation of the oxide phase as NiAl₂O₄. Accordingly, it is a challenge to develop a base metal catalyst containing only a trace amount of noble metals for low-temperature POM.

In this work, we prepare highly dispersed Co particles (1.5 nm) modified with 0.005 wt% Rh on a mordenite (MOR) zeolite. The catalyst converts methane to syngas with up to 91% selectivity and 86% conversion at 650 °C with good durability. Mechanistically, mono-atomically dispersed Rh on Co particles maintains the Co⁰ state via hydrogen spillover during POM. The small Co⁰ particles (1.5 nm) not only provide high activity but also inhibit the formation of coke. The use of zeolite as a support is the key for good reducibility and high dispersion of Co. Other supports tested cannot achieve these features.

**Results**

**Characterisation of catalysts.** We prepared 3 wt% Co/MOR, 0.005 wt% Rh/MOR, and 0.005 wt% Rh–3 wt% Co/MOR, denoted Rh–Co/MOR, by simple impregnation methods. The loading amount of Rh was optimised as 0.005 wt% (Supplementary Fig. 1). MOR was chosen as a typical zeolite in this study since MOR, beta, and ZSM-5 zeolites showed similar catalytic activities in a screening test (Supplementary Fig. 2). The zeolite framework structures were confirmed by physicochemical techniques. In the X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, all the samples exhibited only characteristic diffraction lines of MOR (Supplementary Fig. 3). The intensity and width of the peaks were similar before and after the impregnation of metal species. Diffraction peaks for Rh or Co species were not detected due to the low content of Rh (0.005 wt%), high dispersion, or amorphous nature. N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of pristine MOR and the catalysts (Supplementary Fig. 4) were all of the type I form, characteristic of microporous materials. The Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET)-specific surface area of original MOR was 520 m² g⁻¹, and the value decreased slightly after loading of Rh and Co (490–500 m² g⁻¹, Supplementary Table 1). MOR and the prepared catalysts had similar micropore volumes (0.18–0.19 cm³ g⁻¹). These results indicate no collapse or blockage of the MOR micropores.

High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) was employed to determine the particle size of the Co and Rh species. Bright dots were observed for Rh–Co/MOR (Fig. 1a) with a mean particle diameter of 1.5 nm (Fig. 1d). Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis at the bright dots showed strong signals of Co Kα (6.92 keV) and Lα (6.776 keV), but no signal of Rh (Supplementary Fig. 5), indicating that the particles predominantly consisted of Co. Furthermore, the dark spots gave only O, Al, and Si peaks ascribed to the MOR support (Supplementary Fig. 6). Rh species could not be detected due to their low concentration (0.005 wt%). Co/MOR had particles of Co species with an average diameter of 1.5 nm (Fig. 1b, e; EDX Supplementary Fig. 7), which was similar to that of Rh–Co/MOR. Thus, the co-impregnation of 0.005 wt% Rh did not change the dispersion of Co.

The oxidation state of the Co species on Rh–Co/MOR and Co/MOR was determined by Co 2p X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Fig. 2). Both samples exhibited four peaks assigned to Co oxide particles (Supplementary Table 2). The particles containing the Co ²⁺ species were present in close proximity or in isolation. Because of the low loading of Rh (0.005 wt%), Co/MOR had particles of Co species with an average diameter of 1.5 nm (Fig. 1b, e; EDX Supplementary Fig. 7), which was similar to that of Rh–Co/MOR. Thus, the co-impregnation of 0.005 wt% Rh did not change the dispersion of Co.

We studied the effect of the trace amount of Rh on the reduction of Co oxide by temperature-programmed desorption (TPR; Fig. 3). Original MOR and Rh/MOR exhibited no H₂ consumption peaks over the temperature range of 100–870 °C. The lack of a visible reduction peak for Rh/MOR was due to the low loading of Rh (0.005 wt%). Co/MOR showed two main peaks at around 320 and 780 °C. The former peak is assigned to the reduction of typical Co oxide. The latter peak is attributed to Co oxide species directly interacting with MOR, such as Co atoms at the boundary with the support in Co oxide particles. The temperature of the two peaks decreased by 50–100 °C by adding Rh. Hence, Rh assists in the reduction of Co oxide, which implies that Rh interacts with Co.

The TPR study motivated us to investigate whether Rh and Co species were present in close proximity or in isolation. Because of the very low concentration of Rh (0.005 wt%) and large excess of Co (3.0 wt%), fluorescence XAFS of Rh is the most promising source to obtain such information. Thus, we analysed Rh K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectra of two samples, pristine Rh–Co/MOR and Rh–Co/MOR reduced by H₂ without exposure to air, to reproduce the chemical state of the catalyst under POM (see below). The pristine Rh–Co/MOR gave an edge energy of 23,224 eV, similar to that of Rh₂O₃ (Fig. 4). The edge energy of 23,224 eV, similar to that of Rh₂O₃ (Fig. 4).
was shifted to 23,219 eV after the reduction, which was similar or slightly lower than that of Rh foil and reduced Rh/MOR (23,220 eV) (enlarged image: Supplementary Fig. 9). The negative shift is attributed to charge transfer from Co to Rh, as Rh has a higher electronegativity (\(\chi_{\text{PRh}} = 2.28\)) than Co (\(\chi_{\text{PCo}} = 1.88\)).

To clarify the coordination condition of the Rh atoms, we analysed extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of pristine and reduced Rh–Co/MOR. After Fourier transformation of the EXAFS oscillations (Supplementary Fig. 10), pristine Rh–Co/MOR gave two peaks at 1.6 and 2.4 Å as non-corrected distances (Fig. 5). The former peak is assigned to the Rh–O shell, but the latter is different from the Rh–Rh shell for Rh2O3. The curve-fitting analysis was successful when a Rh–Co shell was hypothesised for the latter peak, which indicated the presence of 6.4 O atoms at 2.03 Å and 3.4 Co atoms at 2.87 Å (Table 1). Rh–Rh and Rh–Co shells could be distinguished by the clear difference in backscattering amplitude and phase shift. Accordingly, trivalent Rh atoms are located on/in cobalt oxide particles. After the reduction, Rh–Co/MOR exhibited a peak at 2.2 Å as a non-corrected distance in the Fourier transform (Fig. 5), which was also different from that of Rh foil (2.5 Å). This is not due to the presence of the MOR support because reduced Rh/MOR had a peak at 2.5 Å. Assuming a Rh–Co shell, curve-fitting analysis of the EXAFS oscillation for Rh–Co/MOR gave a coordination number of 5.6 and an interatomic distance of 2.52 Å with high consistency (R factor = 0.8%). The low coordination number of Co and absence of a Rh–Rh shell indicate that Rh atoms are mono-atomically dispersed on the surface of Co metal nanoparticles (Supplementary Fig. 11). If Rh atoms were present in the bulk phase of Co metal particles, the coordination number would theoretically be 12, which is not the case.
**POM reaction.** The effect of co-impregnation of Rh and Co was evaluated in POM at 600 °C, space velocity (SV) of 60,000 mL h⁻¹ g⁻¹, and CH₄/O₂ ratio of 12.5 (Table 2). Definition of conversion, yield, and selectivity is available in Supplementary Note 1. Original MOR gave only 0.6% conversion of methane and 0.01% yield of CO (entry 1), showing no contamination of active species. Rh–Co/MOR gave the highest conversion of methane (15%) among the catalysts tested (entry 2). The reaction produced CO in 14% yield with 90% selectivity and CO₂ in 1.5% yield. Coke formation on the catalyst was 0.1 wt% after 18 h (Supplementary Table 3), corresponding to 0.0004% yield. Single component catalysts, Rh/MOR and Co/MOR, were significantly less active than Rh–Co/MOR under the same reaction conditions (entries 3 and 4). A physical mixture of Rh/MOR and Co/MOR containing the same amounts of Rh and Co as those in Rh–Co/MOR gave 9.7% conversion and 58% selectivity for CO (entry 5), clearly less active and less selective than Rh–Co/MOR. Accordingly, Rh and Co must be present in close proximity for high catalytic performance.

**Table 1 Fitting results of the Rh K-edge EXAFS spectra by FEFF simulations**

| Sample                  | Shell     | CN ²   | R ³ (Å) | σ ² (Å²) | R factor (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|--------------|
| Pristine Rh–Co/MOR      | Rh–O      | 6.4    | 2.03    | 0.0012   | 0.5          |
|                         | Rh–Co     | 3.4    | 2.87    | 0.0029   |              |
| Reduced Rh–Co/MOR       | Rh–Co     | 5.6    | 2.52    | 0.0041   | 0.8          |
| Reduced Rh/MOR          | Rh–Rh     | 4.8    | 2.66    | 0.0049   | 1.1          |
| Rh foil                 | Rh–Rh     | 12    | (2)     | 2.68 (2.68) | 0.0032  |
|                         | Rh–O₁₁₁    | 3.0   | (3)     | 2.03 (2.03) | 0.0019  |
|                         | Rh–O₂₁₂₂ubits | 3.0   | (3)     | 2.07 (2.07) | 0.0019  |
|                         | Rh–Rh₁₁₁   | 1.0   | (1)     | 2.72 (2.72) | 0.0018  |
|                         | Rh–Rh₂₁₂₂   | 3.0   | (3)     | 2.99 (2.99) | 0.0018  |

¹Coordination number
²Interatomic distance
³Debye-Waller factor
⁴$^{S_{\text{Rh}}^{2}} = 0.70$, determined by curve-fitting analysis of Rh₂O₃ EXAFS to be consistent with the crystallographic data
⁵$^{S_{\text{Rh}}^{2}} = 0.88$, determined by curve-fitting analysis of Rh foil EXAFS to be consistent with the crystallographic data
⁶Crystallography

*CN, σ², and ΔE were fixed to be the same for Rh–O 1st and 2nd shells and the difference in R was fixed at 0.04 Å, based on crystallographic data. $^{a}$σ² and ΔE were fixed to be the same for Rh–Rh 1st and 2nd shells. CN for Rh–Rh₂₁₂₂ was fixed at three times larger than that for Rh–Rh₁₁₁, and the difference in R was fixed at 0.27 Å, based on crystallographic data.

---

**Fig. 3** Temperature-programmed reduction profiles of different samples. Positive peaks indicate the consumption of H₂

**Fig. 4** Rh K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectra. Edge jumps have been normalized to be 1

**Fig. 5** Fourier transform of Rh K-edge k³-weighted extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectra. Assignment for shells is shown in gray characters
The influence of the support was studied by using SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$. Their characterisation data are shown in Supplementary Figs. 12–17. The three Rh–Co catalysts achieved 8%–10% conversion of methane and CO selectivity of ca. 60% (Table 2, entries 6–8), significantly lower than those by Rh–Co/MOR (15% conversion, 90% selectivity, entry 2). SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ produced large particles of Co$_2$O$_3$ (12–13 nm), which led to rapid deactivation by serious coking in POM. For example, Rh–Co/SiO$_2$ produced 2.7 wt% of coke on the catalyst within 2 h. It is known that Co particles of 10–30 nm are active for methane decomposition to C and H$_2$. Additionally, Co species on Rh–Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ were barely reducible (no reduction peak at low temperature in TPR; Supplementary Fig. 17) as reported previously, thus showing low activity in POM. It is notable that Rh–Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ had highly dispersed Co species (TEM; Supplementary Fig. 16) and produced only a small amount of coke (0.12 wt% after 18 h), which is similar to Rh–Co/MOR. Accordingly, metallic Co is active for POM, and high dispersion is crucial to inhibit coke formation.

We carried out the pre-reduction of catalysts with H$_2$ at 700 °C in order to elucidate the effect of the oxidation state of Co on POM (Fig. 6). Rh–Co/MOR gave almost the same catalytic performance after the pre-treatment. In contrast, the pre-reduction of Co/MOR drastically increased CO selectivity to 91%, although the conversion of methane was only 7.6%, perhaps because of the re-oxidation of Co metal. The CO selectivity decreased to 2.5% within 10 min, which was similar to that for the non-reduced catalyst (2.5%). Accordingly, Co$^0$ is the active species, but it is readily re-oxidised in the absence of Rh under the reaction conditions. Similar deactivation was observed for the physical mixture of Rh–Co/MOR and Co/MOR (Rh/MOR + Co/MOR in Fig. 6). Only Rh–Co/MOR possessed similar activity before and after the reduction. The Co 2p XPS measurement indicated Co$^0$ as the predominant species for spent Rh–Co/MOR (Fig. 2c), which was in contrast to the spent Co/MOR bearing only Co$^{3+}$ (Supplementary Fig. 18). Hence, Rh–Co/MOR readily produces and preserves metallic Co in the POM reaction.

It is intriguing that Co$^0$ is formed and preserved in the metallic state by a trace amount of Rh on Rh–Co/MOR in POM. EXAFS and XPS analyses indicate that Rh$^{3+}$ exists within Co oxide particles before the reaction starts. At the beginning of the reaction, zero-valent Rh is produced by the consumption of a stoichiometric amount of methane. The reduced Rh catalysts POM to syngas in small quantities (Table 2, entry 3) and liberates atomic H species either by the dissociation of H$_2$ formed in POM or from intermediates of POM. The H species can be used for the reduction of Co oxide species. However, most Co oxide particles do not contain any Rh atoms. The average number of Rh atoms per Co particle is only 0.11 (Rh:Co atomic ratio = 1:1050, one particle contains ca. 120 Co atoms). To explain this, we propose that atomic H species spill over onto the MOR support and reduce neighbouring Co oxide particles to Co metal (Fig. 7). Choi and co-workers demonstrated this hydrogen spillover on an aluminosilicate zeolite, A, in the presence of Pt. The spillover was enhanced by surface Brønsted acid sites, and even a physical mixture of Pt/HA and Al-rich oxide allowed the interparticle hydrogen spillover onto Al-rich oxide to be used for hydrogenation reactions. These results indicate a high mobility of spillover H species on proton-type zeolite. In our case, many Co particles are present within a distance of 2–3 nm to each other on one proton-type MOR particle (Fig. 1a). Thus, it is plausible that the spillover H reduces Co oxide to Co$^0$ and then maintains its zero-valent state during the reaction. This idea is supported by the TPR experiments that showed a decrease in the reduction temperature of Co when a trace amount of Rh was present (Fig. 3).

**Table 2** Catalytic performance of prepared samples in the POM under steady state

| Entry | Catalyst | Conv. CH$_4$ (%) | Yield CO (%) | Yield CO$_2$ (%) | Sel. CO (%) |
|-------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1     | MOR      | 0.60            | 0.01         | 0.59            | 2          |
| 2     | Rh–Co/MOR| 15              | 14           | 1.5             | 90         |
| 3     | Rh/MOR   | 5.7             | 2.2          | 3.4             | 39         |
| 4     | Co/MOR   | 4.6             | 0.11         | 4.5             | 2.5        |
| 5     | Rh/MOR + Co/MOR$^a$ | 9.7           | 5.6          | 4.0             | 63         |
| 6$^b$ | Rh–Co/SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ | 10           | 6.3          | 3.9             | 62         |
| 7$^b$ | Rh–Co/SiO$_2$ | 8.4           | 5.3          | 3.1             | 63         |
| 8     | Rh–Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ | 8.5           | 5.0          | 3.5             | 59         |

Reaction conditions: 600 °C, CH$_4$/O$_2$/He = 50/4/46, SV = 60,000 mL h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$, 0.1 MPa. Loading amounts of Rh 0.005 wt%, Co 3.0 wt%.

$^a$Physical mixture (1:1). SV = 30,000 mL h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$

$^b$No steady state due to rapid deactivation by coke formation.

$^c$Si/Al ratio is the same as that of MOR (45)
The long-term stability of catalysts is crucial for the POM reaction. Therefore, a durability test of Rh–Co/MOR was performed at a temperature of 650 °C, CH4/O2 ratio of 2, and SV of 1,200,000 mL g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. The Rh–Co/MOR catalyst steadily provided 85–86% methane conversion and 90–91% CO selectivity over 50 h (Fig. 8). The ratio of H2/CO was 2.0 in the product, which is the stoichiometric ratio for methanol production and FT synthesis. The produced amount of CO divided by the number of total Co atoms was 120,000 and that of Rh atoms reached 130,000,000, indicating very high productivity. It is noteworthy that neither a structural change of the support nor aggregation of the metal species were observed by XRD (Supplementary Fig. 19) or STEM (Fig. 1c) after the 50 h reaction.

Methods

Catalyst preparation. A proton-type MOR with an Si/Al ratio of 45 [JRC-ZHM09, Catalysis Society of Japan (CSJ)] was used in this study. Co(NO3)$_2$.6H$_2$O (0.0764 g, 262 μmol) and 3.88 mM RhCl$_3$ aq. (62.8 μL, 0.24 μmol) were dissolved in 25 mL of water, and then 500 mg of MOR was added into the solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The resulting powder was treated under He flow at 550 °C for 30 min in advance. Rh K-edge XAFS measurements were carried out in a quartz reactor (inner diameter 91 mm) at 91% CO selectivity with an H2/CO ratio of 2.0 at 650 °C and SV of 1,200,000 mL g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, and maintains its activity for at least 50 h. The produced amount of CO divided by the number of total Co atoms is 120,000. Consequently, Rh–Co/MOR maintains high activity in POM despite having only a trace amount of Rh. Good reducibility and high dispersion of Co achieved by the MOR support are keys to the high catalytic performance, as other catalyst supports do not provide such attractive features. Zeolites interact with Co species but do not make mixed oxides such as CoAl$_2$O$_4$, which might result in their favourable features.

Discussion

The main features of this work are as follows: trace Rh-decorated Co particles with an average diameter of 1.5 nm are formed on MOR zeolite (Rh–Co/MOR) by a simple impregnation method. Rh–Co/MOR is more active, selective, and durable in syngas formation than its monometallic counterparts or their physical mixture. Co oxide on Rh–Co/MOR is spontaneously reduced to form Co$^0$ active sites in POM, whereas Co/MOR and the physical mixture of Rh/MOR and Co/MOR are not reduced and quickly re-oxidise after H2 reduction. Controlled experiments and spectroscopic studies suggest that mono-atomically dispersed Rh reduces Co oxide to Co$^0$ and preserves the zero-valent state in POM via hydrogen spillover for Rh–Co/MOR. Regarding the effect of the catalyst support, Rh–Co bimetallic catalysts using SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$ as supports have poor durability or lower activity. Large particles of Co (>10 nm) formed on SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ produce coke, thus showing that the highly dispersed Co species on MOR is essential to inhibit coke formation. Al$_2$O$_3$ produces barely reducible cobalt species, giving a lower fraction of Co$^0$ active species. Thanks to the favourable features of Rh–Co/MOR, the catalyst gives 85–86% methane conversion and 90–91% CO selectivity with an H$_2$/CO ratio of 2.0 at 650 °C and SV of 1,200,000 mL g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, and maintains its activity for at least 50 h. The produced amount of CO divided by the number of total Co atoms is 120,000. Consequently, Rh–Co/ MOR maintains high activity in POM despite having only a trace amount of Rh. Good reducibility and high dispersion of Co achieved by the MOR support are keys to the high catalytic performance, as other catalyst supports do not provide such attractive features. Zeolites interact with Co species but do not make mixed oxides such as CoAl$_2$O$_4$, which might result in their favourable features.

Partial oxidation of methane. The POM was conducted in a quartz fixed-bed flow reactor (inner diameter 91 mm). The temperature inside the catalyst bed was monitored by a thermocouple (Pt/PtRh10). The catalyst was heated to the desired temperature under He flow (9.2 mL min$^{-1}$). Afterward, the reaction gas mixture (typically, CH$_4$ 10.0 mL min$^{-1}$, O$_2$ 0.8 mL min$^{-1}$, He 9.2 mL min$^{-1}$) was fed into
the reactor. A low concentration of O2 was used to avoid the formation of hot spots\(^{27}\), and only a small increase in temperature in the catalyst bed occurred (5–20 °C, depending on reaction conditions). When performing the reaction at a very high SV of 1.2 × 10^6 mL h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\), the catalyst was diluted twice with MOR (5 mg catalyst, 5 mg MOR) to obtain reliable data. Products were analysed by an on-line gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-8A, thermal conductivity detector, Shimarbon ST and molecular sieve 5 A packed columns). The carbon balance calculated from the amounts of methane, CO, and CO\(_2\), determined by an internal standard method using \(\text{C}_2\)H\(_4\), was 98.5–99.5% in the POM by Rh–Co/MOR under our reaction conditions. No other carbon-containing products were observed except for a trace amount of coke, quantified with a total organic carbon analyser (TOC-V, Shimadzu). Therefore, selectivity for CO was defined as the ratio of CO/CO\(_2\) to obtain better accuracy even at low conversions of methane. Details of the calculations are available in Supplementary Note 1.

Data availability. Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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