Comprehensive Evaluation of Endocytosis-Associated Protein SCAMP3 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Background: Secretory carrier membrane proteins 3 (SCAMP3) is an endocytosis-associated protein involved in regulating endosomal pathways and the trafficking of vital signaling receptors. This study aimed to comprehensively assess the role of SCAMP3 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by integrated bioinformatics analysis.

Methods: In this study, bioinformatics databases were used to explore the differential expression status and prognostic value of SCAMP3 gene in HCC, and bioinformatics analyses of survival data and interactors of SCAMP3 were conducted to predict the prognostic value of SCAMP3 in HCC.

Results: Using the TCGA data, our data shows that SCAMP3 mRNA expression is most significantly different between liver and hepatocellular carcinoma tissues and higher expression of SCAMP3 has unfavorable prognostic significance in HCC. Tumor grade, stage, and gender also showed a significant relevance with SCAMP3 expression. High SCAMP3 expression of males revealed significantly poorer survival and progression compared with low SCAMP3 expression of males. BioGRID statistics explores 79 unique interactions with SCAMP3 and multiple post translational modifications. Further analysis finds that SOCS2 may negatively correlate with SCAMP3, while GBA, MX1, and DDOST positively correlate with SCAMP3. Moreover, ncRNA analysis shows that SCAMP3 gene expression is positively associated with lncRNA SBF2-AS1 and negatively related with Has-miR-145. The expressions of SBF2-AS1 and Has-miR-145 are also significantly related with survival in HCC.

Discussion: SCAMP3 expression can be affected by multiple genes or ncRNAs expression that are associated with survival, thus suggesting that SCAMP3 can be used as a clinical diagnosis and prognostic biomarker in HCC.
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Introduction

Due to its higher invasive and metastatic potential, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most leading causes of cancer-related death globally and leads to poorer prognosis. Although considerable progress has been undertaken to elucidate the mechanism of hepatocarcinogenesis and to develop treatment techniques for HCC, the prognosis of HCC remains unclear. Therefore, to improve the survival rate of HCC patients, it is eagerly needed to detect novel biomarkers for early diagnosis and advanced treatment for HCC patients, as well as therapeutic strategies.
A previous study has shown that SCAMP3 is over-expressed in HCC tissues and associated with HCC progression. Expression profiles of genes by array-based comparative genomic hybridization show that the expression of SCAMP3 gene located on 1q22 is significantly up-regulated in HCC. SCAMP3 is a regulator of endosomal structure and composition, and plays a critical role in the biogenesis of multivesicular endosomes through an interaction with endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) proteins, and SCAMP3 knockdown can accelerate the degradation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) to promote receptor recycling. In addition, a report found that SCAMP3 was remarkably upregulated in gliomas compared with normal tissues and could act as an independent risk factor of glioma prognosis. SCAMP3 also has an important role in the invasion of Inflammatory Breast Cancer (IBC), suggesting the tumor-related role of SCAMP3.

Hence, in this study, we aimed to acknowledge the role of SCAMP3 in HCC based on the TCGA database. Integrated bioinformatics analysis was used to comprehensively evaluate the value of SCAMP3 as a clinical diagnosis and prognostic biomarker in HCC. Furthermore, the regulatory network of SCAMP3 and ncRNA-SCAMP3 interaction in HCC was also investigated.

Materials and Methods

Genes Expression Analysis

The mRNA levels of SCAMP3 in diverse cancer types were analyzed by UALCAN, GEPIA2, and STARBASE databases, which are publicly accessible online databases to facilitate novel discovery from genome-wide expression analyses.

UALCAN Database

UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) is a comprehensive and interactive online database that provides transcriptome data, methylation predication, clinical data of a series of cancers from TCGA database, and external links of other databases. In this study, UALCAN was used to analyze the mRNA expressions of related genes in hepatocellular carcinoma and their association with clinicopathologic parameters.

GEPIA2 (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis)

GEPIA2 (http://geopia2.cancer-pku.cn/) is an updated version of GEPIA that includes TCGA and GTEx’ RNA sequencing expression data to provide differential expression analysis, patient survival analysis, and correlation analysis by using a standard processing pipeline.

Starbase Analysis

mRNA-ncRNA interactions were analyzed by using Starbase database (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn), which is an open-source platform for studying the miRNA-ncRNA, miRNA-mRNA, ncRNA-RNA, and RNA-RNA interactions from CLIP-seq, degradome-seq, and RNA-RNA interactome data. This database was employed to analyze the expression association between mRNA or ncRNA and SCAMP3. Similarly, mRNA-SCAMP3 or ncRNA-SCAMP3 interactions with $R<0.1$ and $P$-value $<0.05$ were regarded as significant.

Oncomine

The ONCOMINE database (www.oncomine.org) is an interactive web resource based on cancer microarray, which aims to facilitate discovery from the gene-wide expression analyses. In this study, five different HCC data from the ONCOMINE database were used to analyze the transcriptional expression of SCAMP3 gene between different cancer tissues and the adjacent normal control samples. Difference of transcriptional expression was compared by Students’ $t$-test.

The Kaplan–Meier Plotter Survival Analysis

Prognostic values of related genes and ncRNA were analyzed by using Kaplan–Meier plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis), an online tool that includes gene expression data and clinical data on diverse cancer types from GEO and TCGA. In this report, we mainly utilize overall survival patient information to draw the survival curves of patients on the basis of high and low expression levels. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals and log-rank $P$-value were calculated to assess the statistical difference.

Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) Network Analysis Construction

Protein–protein interaction (PPI) was analyzed using BioGRID and STRING pathway analysis tools. Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets database (BioGRID, https://thebiogrid.org) was used to identify the known protein interaction network of SCAMP3. Further, the PPI network of SCAMP3 was further identified by the STRING network (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins).
LncRNA2Target

LncRNA2Target (http://123.59.132.21/lncrna2target/index.jsp) is developed to provide a comprehensive online resource of lncRNA-target relationships confirmed by immunoprecipitation assays, RNA pull-down assays and luciferase reporter assays.15

SCAMP3-miRNA Interaction Prediction

SCAMP3-miRNA interaction was predicted using four data-bases: miRWalk (http://mirwalk.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/),16 microRNA.org (http://www.microrna.org),17 miRDB (http://mirdb.org),18 and TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org).19

DIANA-LncBase V2

DIANA-LncBase v2 (http://carolina.imis.athena-innovation.gr/diana_tools/web/index.php?r=site%2FTools) provides a database of miRNA-lncRNA interactions through experimentally supported and in silico predicted miRNA Recognition Elements (MREs) on lncRNAs.20 In this study, we analyze the miRNAs of SCAMP3 and its related lncRNAs and construct the network of miRNA-lncRNA-SCAMP3. The Venny 2.1 Online Tool (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es) was used to find overlapping miRNAs targeted by SCAMP3 and SCAMP3-interacted lncRNAs. The miRNA-lncRNA-SCAMP3 regulatory network was depicted and visualized using Cytoscape software.

Statistical Analysis

All of the statistical analysis has been done by the bioinformatic tools themselves mentioned above. Difference of

Figure 1 SCAMP3 gene expression in different types of cancer diseases and normal tissues in the UALCAN database. (A) Transcriptional expression level of SCAMP3 gene in various cancer was analyzed in the UALCAN database. Blue: normal samples; Red: tumor samples. Red box: hepatocellular carcinoma samples and liver samples. TPM: Transcript per million. All abbreviations mentioned above about cancer names: see Table 1. (B) Box plot showing the correlation between SCAMP3 and Hepatocellular carcinoma in UALCAN database. Blue: normal samples; Red: tumor samples. ***P<0.001. (C) Kaplan–Meier survival curves revealing SCAMP3 expression and survival probability in hepatocellular carcinoma.
transcriptional expression was compared by Students’ t-test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**SCAMP3 Expression Associated with Patients Survival in Hepatocellular Carcinoma**

In order to assess SCAMP3 mRNA expression in various tumor types and corresponding normal tissues, three different databases, UALCAN, GEPIA, and Starbase, were used to analyze the difference expression of SCAMP3 between cancers and normal tissues based on TCGA data. First, TCGA data results obtained from UALCAN, GEPIA, and Starbase showed that the expression of SCAMP3 mRNA was only significantly up-regulated in liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LICH), cholangiocarcinoma (CHOL), and stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD) (Figures 1A and 2A and Table 1). Then, further analysis found that SCAMP3 mRNA expression was the lowest in normal liver tissues, while the staggering higher expression in SCAMP3 expression was exhibited in HCC \( (P < 0.001) \) (Figures 1B and 2B). In addition, five different HCC data from the ONCOMINE database also exhibited a different expression pattern of SCAMP3 between hepatocellular carcinoma and normal liver (Table 2). Further analysis found that HCC patients’ higher survival rate was significantly associated with low SCAMP3 expression (Figures 1C and 2C, Table 3). Taken together, these data indicate that SCAMP3 might be a potential specific prognosis marker for hepatocellular carcinoma.

**Relationship Between Patients’ Clinicopathological Features and SCAMP3 mRNA Expression in HCC**

The relationship of SCAMP3 mRNA expression with clinicopathological characteristics of HCC patients was analyzed by UALCAN. As is shown in Figure 3, mRNA expression of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with HCC patients’ individual cancer stages, tumor grades, and ages. The highest mRNA expression of SCAMP3 was found in stage 3 (Figure 3A), when the highest mRNA expression of SCAMP3 was found in tumor grade 4 (Figure 3B).

---

Figure 2 SCAMP3 gene expression in different types of cancer diseases and normal tissues in the GEPIA database. (A) Transcriptional expression level of SCAMP3 gene in various cancers was analyzed in the GEPIA database. Grey: normal samples; Red: tumor samples. (B) Box plot showing the correlation between SCAMP3 and Hepatocellular carcinoma in the GEPIA database. Grey: normal samples; Red: tumor samples. \( * P < 0.0001 \). (C) Kaplan–Meier survival curves revealing SCAMP3 expression and survival probability in hepatocellular carcinoma.
Table 1 SCAMP3 mRNA Expression in Various Cancers and Normal Tissues in Starbase Database

| Cancer         | Full Name                  | Cancer Number | Normal Number | Cancer Express | Normal Express | Fold Change | P - value | FDR     |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| BRCA           | Breast Invasive Carcinoma  | 1104          | 113           | 46.12         | 22.39         | 2.06        | 4.00E-61  | 1.70E-59|
| LIHC           | Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma | 374          | 50            | 44.85         | 11.8          | 3.8         | 1.30E-50  | 1.10E-47|
| LUSC           | Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma | 501          | 49            | 37.91         | 25.05         | 1.51        | 4.70E-17  | 3.70E-16|
| KICH           | Kidney Chromophobe         | 65           | 24            | 18.35         | 31.50         | 0.59        | 1.60E-15  | 3.10E-14|
| LUAD           | Lung Adenocarcinoma        | 526          | 59            | 40.47         | 24.05         | 1.68        | 2.40E-15  | 2.80E-14|
| STAD           | Stomach Adenocarcinoma     | 375          | 32            | 35.69         | 21.99         | 1.62        | 3.80E-06  | 1.30E-13|
| UCEC           | Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma | 548      | 35            | 40.93         | 21.41         | 1.91        | 3.90E-15  | 6.70E-14|
| CHOL           | Cholangiocarcinoma         | 36           | 9             | 60.41         | 10.06         | 6           | 8.30E-14  | 3.00E-12|
| PRAD           | Prostate Adenocarcinoma    | 499          | 52            | 37.69         | 29.24         | 1.29        | 9.30E-09  | 9.30E-08|
| ESCA           | Esophageal Carcinoma       | 162          | 11            | 32.48         | 18.65         | 1.74        | 4.50E-07  | 1.60E-05|
| COAD           | Colon Adenocarcinoma       | 471          | 41            | 30.14         | 21.77         | 1.38        | 4.60E-06  | 2.40E-05|

Abbreviation: FDR, false discovery rate.

Table 2 Significant Changes in Transcription Level of SCAMP3 between HCC and Normal Liver Analyzed by the ONCOMINE Database

| Types of HCC vs. Liver | Fold Change | P-value | t-test Ref |          |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Hepatocellular Carcinoma vs. Normal Liver | 2.536       | 1.42E-28 | Chen Liver  |          |
|                        | 2.269       | 3.71E-10 | Roessler Liver |       |
|                        | 2.116       | 1.95E-09 | Roessler Liver 2 |     |
|                        | 1.103       | 4.89E-8 | Guichard Liver |          |
|                        | 1.109       | 2.08E-19 | Guichard Liver 2 |       |
| CHOL                   | 13.238      | 8.921   |            |          |
| PRAD                   | 21.680      | 7.086   |            |          |
| ESCA                   | 10.822      | 0.00033 |            |          |
| COAD                   |              |        |            |          |

Furthermore, the results from a Kaplan–Meier plotter showed that higher combinatorial mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 were associated with poorer overall survival (OS) in liver cancers patients with stage 1+2 or stage 3 (Supplementary A and B), when SCAMP3 mRNA expression showed the correlation with favorable OS of HCC patients with tumor grade 3 or grade 4 (Supplementary C and D). In addition, as age increased, the mRNA expression of SCAMP3 tended to be higher (Figure 3C). Similarly, a significant correlation between mRNA expression of SCAMP3 and lymph node metastasis was also found (Figure 3D). Interestingly, statistical analysis revealed the expression levels of SCAMP3 and patients’ gender was significantly correlated and lower expression of SCAMP3 in males was also associated with higher survival rate (Figures 3E and F). In a word, the results above suggest that mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 were remarkably associated with clinicopathological parameters in HCC patients.

Association Between the Expression Levels of the Interactor of SCAMP3 and Hepatocellular Carcinoma

To comprehensively assess the prognostic value of SCAMP3, the association between the expression levels of the interactor of SCAMP3 and patients’ survival in hepatocellular carcinoma was analyzed. The BioGrid database displayed 73 unique interactors of SCAMP3 (Figure 4A). Combined literature and STRING database, the data showed that SOCS2 was negatively associated with SCAMP3, while GBA, MTX1, and DDOST had the most similar expression pattern and positive correlation with SCAMP3. Further analysis illustrated that SOCS2 was significantly down-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and associated with patients’ survival (Figures 4B and C). Moreover, co-expression analysis for the SOCS2-SCAMP3 interactions by starBase and GEPIA databases determined their negative correlation (Figure 4D), while the analysis data released that GBA, MX1, and DDOST were significantly up-regulated in

Table 3 SCAMP3 mRNA Expression was Associated with Survival (Only P-value<0.05 was Exhibited)

| Cancer | Cancer Full Name         | Cancer Number | Median | coef | HR | P-value |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------|--------|------|----|---------|
| ACC    | Adrenocortical Carcinoma | 79            | 34.11  | 1.42 | 4.12 | 0.00033 |
| LIHC   | Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma | 369 | 39.82 | 0.42 | 1.53 | 0.018 |
Figure 3 The relationship between SCAMP3 mRNA expression and tumor stages, grades, age, lymph node metastasis, and genders of HCC patients in the UALCAN database. (A) mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with patients’ individual cancer stages; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. (B) mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with patients’ tumor grades; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. (C) mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with patients’ age; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. (D) mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with patients’ lymph node metastasis; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. N0: No regional lymph node metastasis; N1: Metastases in 1 to 3 axillary lymph nodes. (E) mRNA expressions of SCAMP3 was significantly correlated with patient’s gender; *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. (F) Lower expression of SCAMP3 of male patients was also associated with higher survival rate in HCC patients by Kaplan-Meier curve.
hepatocellular carcinoma and their higher expression was unfavorable prognostic (Figures 5A and B). Moreover, GBA, MX1, and DDOST had higher positive correlation with SCAMP3 (Figure 5C). Therefore, we speculated that SCAMP3 might be a critical factor regulated by SOCS2 or GBA, MX1, and DDOST to be involved in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Correlation Between ncRNA Targets of SCAMP3 and Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been demonstrated to play a central role in transcription regulation, posttranscriptional regulation, and translational regulation, as well as several biological processes and disease development, including cancer. Therefore, to reveal the lncRNA targets of SCAMP3, LncRNA2Target, and Starbase were utilized to uncover lncRNA interactors of SCAMP3, the result showed that SBF2-AS1 and LINC00922 might act as lncRNA interactors of SCAMP3 to regulate hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis and progression. In addition, the LncRNA-miRNA-mRNA network was analyzed though the ENCORI database and found that SBF2-AS1 and LINC00922 might serve as a competing endogenous RNA of has-miR-1321 that targets SCAMP3 (Figure 6A). Consistently, SBF2-AS1 was significantly up-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (Figure 6B) and Kaplan–Meier plotter analysis determined that higher expression of SBF2-AS1 revealed significantly poorer survival and progression.
than those in the lower expression group ($P=0.00015$, Figure 6C). Furthermore, co-expression analysis illustrated that there was a positive interaction between SCAMP3 and SBF2-AS1 (Figure 6D), suggesting that SBF2-AS1 might interact with SCAMP3 to regulate hepatocarcinogenesis. Furthermore, in order to uncover whether SCAMP3 also acts as a target gene of miRNAs, four databases (miRWALK, miRDB, microRNA.org and TargetScanHuman) were used to identify miRNAs targeting SCAMP3. The predicted results showed that has-miR-128-3p, has-miR-145-5p, has-miR-5195-3p, and has-miR-216a-3p might target the SCAMP3 gene, but only has-miR-145-5p expression in hepatocellular carcinoma was down-regulated (Figure 7A) and was significantly associated with the patients’ survival in hepatocellular carcinoma, revealing that low expression of has-miR-145-5p was correlated with poor survival of patients (Figure 7B). Moreover, the mRNA expression of has-miR-145-5p was significantly related to HCC patients’ individual cancer stages, tumor grades, nodel metastasis status, and TP53 mutation tumor grades, and, as tumor grade increased, the mRNA expression of CBXs tended to be higher (Figures 7C–F), indicating that has-miR-145-5p might target SCAMP3 to regulate migration and invasion in HCC.

**Discussion**

Secretory Carrier Membrane Protein 3 (SCAMP3) is an endocytosis-associated protein that contributes to the regulation of EGFR trafficking and ubiquitin-mediated receptor degradation as well as endosomal sorting via
interaction with ESCRT proteins. Moreover, SCAMP3 plays a role in the process of multivesicular endosome biogenesis. Previous studies have released the role of SCAMP3 as a tumor-related protein in inflammatory breast cancer, glioblastoma multiforme. In this study, we comprehensively evaluated the diagnostic and prognostic values of SCAMP3 in hepatocellular carcinoma patients by comprehensive bioinformatic analysis. Our results demonstrate that SCAMP3 is significantly highly expressed, and the most dramatic difference in hepatocellular carcinoma specimens compared with normal liver tissues and others tumors. Simultaneously, the expression of SCAMP3 is also correlated with age, gender, stage, and grade of hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Importantly, higher SCAMP3 expression associated with poorer survival and progression, indicating that SCAMP3 may be a statistically significant prognostic biomarker. Interaction regulatory network analysis shows that some interactors of SCAMP3, such as SOCS2, GAB, MTX1, and DDOSt also correlated with poorer survival and progression, suggesting that incorporation of SCAMP3 expression with these interactors could improve the predictive accuracy for survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Previous global gene expression profiling of HCC tissue samples showed that SCAMP3 mRNA expression level was significantly up-

Figure 6 SBF2-AS1 expression was associated with SCAMP3 expression and patients' survivals. (A) ENCORI database predicts the LncRNA-mRNA-SCAMP3 network that was visualized by cytoscape. (B) Box plot showing the correlation between SBF2-AS1 and Hepatocellular carcinoma. Purple: normal samples; Orange: tumor samples. ***P<0.001. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curves revealing the expression of SBF2-AS1 and survival probability in hepatocellular carcinoma. (D) starBase database analyses the co-expression of the RNA-RNA interactions.
regulated when compared to normal tissues. Therefore, how high expression of SCAMP3 affects hepatocarcinogenesis urgently needs to be understood.

It has been demonstrated that receptor-mediated endocytosis is important for tumorigenesis and progression that needs receptor-mediated signals cascade for survivability, invasion, and metastasis. Membranes receptor tyrosine kinases, such as EGFR, are critical for the activation of cellular signaling pathways and tumor progression. As an endocytosis-associated protein, SCAMP3 has been explored to regulate EGFR degradation and its signaling system via regulation of multivesicular endosomes pathway to control tumorigenic progression.

Results from our study showed that SCAMP3 expression can be regulated by multiple regulators, including ncRNA. SOCS2 may act as a negative regulator of SCAMP3. Low expression of SOCS2 is significantly associated with the presence of intrahepatic metastasis and poor survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. In addition, SOCS2 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase to be involved in ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. Based on the above, we speculate that hepatocellular carcinoma may inhibit the expression of SOCS2 to block SCAMP3 ubiquitylation for degradation. Furthermore, ncRNA data elucidates that SCAMP3 can interact with LncRNA SBF2-AS1 and miRNA miR-145-5p, all whose expression are significantly correlated with survival, suggesting that SCAMP3, SBF2-AS1, and miR-145-5p may construct a regulatory network to regulate the migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells, however, further experimental authentication needs to be done for explaining the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) regulatory mechanism.

**Conclusion**

By comprehensive bioinformatics analysis, this study expands to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic values of SCAMP3 in hepatocellular carcinoma. High expression of SCAMP3 is closely correlated with poorer survival, and SCAMP3 may act as a central downstream factor regulated by SOCS2, SBF2-AS1, and miR-145-5p to affect tumor invasion and migration. These results suggest that SCAMP3 may act as a specific prognostic biomarker in hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the mechanism of SCAMP3 as tumor-related protein in hepatocellular carcinoma and how SCAMP3 is regulated by ubiquitylation and ncRNA is needed to further elucidate.
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