Abstract. Adamantinoma is a biphasic tumor, with a low potential for malignancy, characterized by clusters of epithelial cells surrounded by a relatively bland spindle-cell osteofibrous component. The aim of the present study was to review the updated data regarding epidemiology; pathogenesis; clinical presentation; radiological, histopathological and ultrastructural findings; and treatment options of adamantinoma. In X-ray, it is usually seen as an eccentric and sometimes central, lobular, lytic lesion with sclerotic margins of overlapping radiolucency, and a characteristic ‘soap-bubble’ appearance. Magnetic resonance imaging seems to be the most appropriate examination for differential diagnosis between adamantinoma and other skeletal tumors. Histologically, adamantinoma is identified as classic adamantinoma or osteofibrous-like adamantinoma. Classic adamantinoma is classified into four patterns of growth: Basaloid, tubular, spindle cell, and squamous. The preferable treatment of this tumor type is en bloc resection within wide operative margins, which may include suspicious regional lymph nodes, with limb reconstruction and limb salvage.

According to the definition of the World Health Organization, adamantinoma is a miscellaneous malignant biphasic tumor and its characteristics are clusters of epithelial cells surrounded by a relatively bland spindle-cell osteofibrous component (1, 2). It is a tumor with low frequency (as it occurs in less than 0.5% of all primary skeletal tumors), in terms of age most often developing between the age of 20 and 50 years, and it is more common in men. It may be located in several bones; however, the mid shaft of the tibia, with or without fibula involvement, is its most common location (3-5). The main symptom reported by patients is pain (6). Treatment of adamantinoma includes extensive resection and reconstruction of bone defect with the use of autograft, allograft, bone transport, and endoprostheses (7).

In our previous article, we presented the epidemiological, clinical, radiological and pathological features as well as treatment options of adamantinoma (4). The aim of the present study was to review the updated data regarding history, incidence, epidemiology, origin and pathogenesis, clinical features, as well as radiological, histopathological and ultrastructural findings, new treatment strategies and prognosis of adamantinoma.

The History of Adamantinoma

Although adamantinoma is an unusual bone tumor, its origin has been debated for almost a century. The term adamantinoma comes from the ancient Greek word adamantinos, which means very hard, as the root word adamas means diamond. The first reported case of a primary skeletal tumor with epithelial characteristics, observed in the ulna, was by Maier (8) in 1900, while in 1913, Fischer (9), a physician from Germany, called this tumor ‘ adamantinoma’ as he observed that during embryonic development adamantine...
epithelium was located in both the tibia and intraoral enamel. Lederer and Sinclair (10) and Naji et al. (11) suggested that this type of tumor has a synovial origin. However, in 1957, Changus et al. presented the first evidence for the origin of adamantinoma, suggesting that this tumor may have originated from vascular tissue, and could therefore belong to angioblastomas (12). In addition, Llombart-Bosch and Ortuno-Pacheco also supported the previous theory, based on their ultrastructural studies, which showed that adamantinoma cells have basement membranes, microvilli and tonofibrils forming desmosomes, which are necessary for attachments between cells (13). In 1958, Jaffe reported that the origin of adamantinoma was from epithelial cells (14). Since then, several researchers have used immunohistochemistry to demonstrate that adamantinoma cells are keratin-positive, suggesting their epithelial origin (15), while most of them agree that there was a trapping of skin epithelium during the fetal period. This is reinforced by the fact that the skin is very close to the anterior border of the tibia and for this reason the tibia is the most common location of such tumors (16). Researchers have used ultrastructural studies, electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry to demonstrate that adamantinoma cells are keratin-positive, suggesting their epithelial origin (15, 17). Recently, there has been discussion about the relationship between adamantinoma and osteofibrous dysplasia, in regard to diagnosis and possible treatment methods which could be applied (3).

Incidence

Adamantinoma is a very rare, low-grade, slow-growing malignant tumor representing less than 0.5% of all primary skeletal tumors (0.33-0.48%) (4, 18). Regarding gender, it is found more often in men, with a ratio of five to four (3, 4, 6, 16). Commonly affected patients are those between the age of 20 to 50 years; although adamantinoma presents earlier in females, more specifically between 11 and 30 years old, while in males between 20 to 50 years, although the range of ages is from 3 to 86 years (19, 20). However, the tumor is rare in childhood (21). Adamantinoma is divided into two types: classic adamantinoma, where the mean patient’s age is about 40 years, and differentiated adamantinoma, where the patients are up to 20 years old (22). In addition, it is possible the true prevalence of adamantinoma is underestimated, as in previous years, these tumors might have been confused with osteofibrous or fibrous dysplasia (23). From all the reported cases, 97% were described in long tubular bones, mostly in the diaphyses; adamantinoma rarely forms more than one or two foci (4). Its most common location is in the tibia (80-85%), less often it is found in humerus, ulna, radius, femur, fibula and rarely in the spine, ribs, carpal or metatarsal bones or calcaneum (24-30).

Origin and Pathogenesis

As already mentioned, adamantinoma is a biphasic tumor, usually consisting of epithelial cells that stain positively for keratins 14 and 19 inside spindle-celled fibrous tissue (20); it is then a tumor of epithelial origin. In recent years, several hypotheses have been made regarding the origin of this tumor type. Fischer supported the notion of congenital epithelial cell implantation (9), while other researchers supported traumatic implantation (31, 32) or articular origin (10, 11). To this day, the pathogenesis of this tumor type is still debated but the prevailing theory is that during embryonic development, the skin basal epithelium is displaced and is supported by the predominant involvement of the anterior tibia because the bone formed by cartilage is located closer to the surface of the skin (16). In a recent immunohistochemical study of the extracellular matrix of adamantinoma, it is stated that a mesenchymal–epithelial transformation was responsible for the origin of the epithelial component, as it might have come from the fibrous component of the tumor (23, 33). The main histological patterns of classic adamantinoma include basaloid, tubular, spindle-cell and squamous patterns. On the other hand, differentiated adamantinoma presents as osteofibrous dysplasia-like, regressive, juvenile and intracortical (20).

Clinical Features

Most authors agree that the first symptoms of adamantinoma are swelling and pain (reported up to 72% of patients), which may be accompanied by palpable bulge, more often on the anterior surface of the tibia (19). However, the initial symptoms are non-specific and depend on the anatomical location of the lesion and its extent. Thirty percent of patients may live with the above symptoms for many years, 5 years or more, without proceeding to a medical examination. This tumor causes pathological fracture in 16-23% of patients (34), while the presence of trauma has been reported in about 25% to 60% of cases (5, 34). Adamantinoma is a malignant tumor and can metastasize, mainly to the lungs and adjacent lymph nodes. According to the medical literature, two cases of paraneoplastic hypercalcaemia have been reported, which were associated with pulmonary metastasis of the tumor (35); when there is a spinal localization, neurological symptoms can be manifested (36).

Radiological Features

Radiographic imaging of adamantinoma is important for its diagnosis (4). On plain X-ray (Figure 1), it usually appears as an eccentric and sometimes central, lobular lytic lesion, with sclerotic margins of overlapping radiolucency, with
Poor or sharp delination, in the two distal thirds of the diaphysis or metaphysic of a long bone (16). Adamantinoma is usually appeared with a characteristic ‘soap-bubble’ appearance; due to aforementioned multifocal radiolucencies which are surrounded by ring-shaped densities. When a pathological fracture exists, then a periosteal reaction may also be present. The tumor is usually inside the cortex, although in about 15% of cases it may exit from the cortex and expand into the nearby soft tissues (21). Other characteristics of the tumor are its size, which on average it is about 11 cm, and its longitudinal orientation. Concerning its appearance on X-ray, adamantinoma has to be distinguished from osteofibrous and fibrous dysplasia which are surrounded by ring-shaped densities. When a pathological fracture exists, then a periosteal reaction may also be present. The tumor is usually inside the cortex, although in about 15% of cases it may exit from the cortex and expand into the nearby soft tissues (21). Other characteristics of the tumor are its size, which on average it is about 11 cm, and its longitudinal orientation. Concerning its appearance on X-ray, adamantinoma has to be distinguished from osteofibrous and fibrous dysplasia. The radiological appearance of the above lesions may be similar, therefore it is sometimes difficult to distinguish adamantinoma from them (37). However, location in the diaphysis, extension towards the bone marrow, single or multiple nodular lesions in one or more foci, and intense and homogeneous enhancement are familiar signs of adamantinoma, while dysplasias are characterized by cortical involvement without canal extension (16). Computed tomography (Figure 2) more accurately shows the osteolytic formation, the involvement of the cortex and tumor extension to the nearby soft tissues, while if can also reveal pulmonary metastases, without detecting intraosseous tumor extension (38). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), as it is specialized for revealing lesions of soft tissues, seems to be the most appropriate examination for the differential diagnosis between adamantinoma and other skeletal tumors, such as fibrous or osteofibrous dysplasia, osteosarcoma, Ewing’s sarcoma and metastatic carcinoma (39, 40). Adamantinoma demonstrates low signal intensity on T1-weighted spin-echo images and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images but the above findings are not specific as they can also be seen in other tumors. MRI may reveal expansion of the tumor inside the medullary cavity or in adjacent tissues, which is essential for the accurate determination of tumor boundaries and the preoperative planning for appropriate surgery (16). Two morphological patterns on MRI have been described, a solitary lobulated focus and a pattern of multiple small nodules in one or more foci (39). Regarding nuclear medicine imaging, adamantinoma is characterized by increased blood flow, increased blood concentration and accumulation of technetium-99m in the tumoral region, while a bone scan may reveal involvement of the fibula (41).

**Histopathological Features**

Regarding histopathology, adamantinoma is distinguished into osteofibrous-like adamantinoma and classic adamantinoma,
although it generally presents many histological types (42). Osteofibrous-like adamantinoma has a better outcome than classic adamantinoma (43). Classic adamantinoma is classified into four patterns of growth namely basaloid, tubular, spindle-cell and squamous (22). The basaloid pattern consists of solid masses of basaloid cells. The tubular pattern displays a line of cuboidal epithelial cells with central discohesion. The spindle-cell pattern exhibits uniform spindling; although it displays staining for cytokeratin, which indicates a possibility of sarcomatoid carcinoma, the differential diagnosis is not challenging due to the fact that adamantinoma does not exhibit significant cytological atypia in contrast to carcinoma. The squamous pattern may resemble squamous carcinoma, but once again, the atypia escorting carcinoma solves this matter of differential diagnosis (42). Compared to classic adamantinoma, which has a dominant epithelial component, osteofibrous-like adamantinoma is composed mainly of osteofibrous tissue. The diagnostic criteria for osteofibrous-like adamantinoma is the predominance of the osteofibrous tissue containing small clusters of epithelial cells and cytokeratin-positive staining (43), while the diagnosis of osteofibrous-like adamantinoma versus osteofibrous dysplasia can only be achieved via detection of a small number of epithelial cells. Adamantinoma exhibits a low mitotic rate. Several studies have also shown that foci of calcification, giant cells, xanthoma and spindle cells can be found in adamantinoma (4, 23).

**Immunophenotypic Features**

Adamantinomas are immunohistochemically positive for keratins 14 and 19 (44). The epithelial component exhibits co-expression of cytokeratins 5, 14 and 19, epithelial membrane antigen and vimentin (16). The stromal component displays immunohistochemical positivity for vimentin. Adamantinomas lack the immunohistochemical expression of keratins 8 and 18, which differentiates them from many other skeletal or soft-tissue tumors (4). In addition, the immunoreactivity pattern of keratin is independent of histological subtype, although there is a notable variety in the differentiation pattern, which led to the suggestion that all subtypes of adamantinoma have a common histogenesis (16). It is remarkable that the same pattern is observed in local recurrence and metastasis, however, there are significant differences from other musculoskeletal tumors with known epithelial elements, such as chordomas and epithelioid or synovial sarcomas (44). P63 is also a reliable marker for the diagnosis of adamantinoma (40). In classic adamantinoma, immunohistochemistry can also detect E-, P- and N-cadherin, as well as osteonectin, but not osteopontin and osteocalcin (45). As is also seen in osteofibrous dysplasia, adamantinoma cells immunohistochemically express receptor activator of nuclear factor kB ligand, macrophage colony-stimulating factor and osteoclastogenic factors, which may be responsible for the osteolysis which is described in the referred lesions (46). Other researchers studied the proliferation marker Ki67, epidermal growth factor, epidermal growth factor receptor and fibroblast growth factor type 2 and concluded that the epithelial component is the primary proliferating tumor cell population capable of stimulating reactive fibrous growth (47). In another study, DNA flow cytometry and p53 immunohistochemistry were examined, in which the results were aneuploid and significant p53 immunoreactivity only in nuclei of cells of epithelial phenotype (48). In addition, studying lung metastases, epithelial cells which were positive for cytokeratin were found in the metastases, in contrast with the osteofibrous stromal component. From the above studies, Jain et al. reported that adamantinoma contains a malignant epithelial component with a reactive osteofibrous layer, or there is a proliferating benign fibrous element where the malignant epithelial component develops (16).

**Ultrastructural Features**

Ultrastructural analysis reveals cell membranes with hemidesmosomes, a basal membrane encircling the epithelial, cells and perinuclear tonofilaments (49). Electron microscopy can also detect microvilli representing tubular lamina between the cells, as well as rarer desmosomes and junctions. Moreover, cells with epithelial properties can be occasionally found in the stromal tissue (4). In addition, the stromal component consists of fibroblasts and less commonly of myofibroblasts, while spindled and round-to-stellate epithelial cells mixed with fibroblasts were observed within stroma (33).

**Genetic Studies**

Cytogenetic studies detected trisomies of chromosomes 7, 8, 12, 19 and/or 21 in classic and osteofibrous-like adamantinoma (50, 51). The same aneuploidies, beside that of chromosome 19, have also been found in cases of osteofibrous dysplasia, shedding more light on the relationship between the two entities (50). The extra chromosomes are found only in the epithelial component of adamantinomas (4). Mutations of p53 revealed via immunohistochemical staining or by loss of heterozygosity analysis are also restricted to epithelial cells, underlining that the neoplastic behavior of the tumor is most probably attributed to its epithelial component (4). Adamantinoma-like Ewing sarcoma, which is a rare type of Ewing sarcoma which combines histological features of adamantinoma, can be diagnosed with molecular analysis aiming to identify the t(11;22) Ewing sarcoma fusion protein (EWSR1–FLI1) translocation (23, 40), as it is difficult to diagnose with the use of other methods due to their resemblance to other more common tumors (52). Finally, in a recent study, researchers...
combined whole-exome sequencing and copy-number variation techniques and found that in 38% of adamantinomas the chromatin remodeling-related gene histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2D (KMT2D) was “recurrently altered” (18).

**Differential Diagnosis**

Although adamantinoma is a rare tumor, it may resemble a multitude of other tumors and conditions, including (16, 53, 54):

- Fibrous dysplasia: Similar histological and radiological (lucent patches typically having ground glass appearance) appearance and typical location in the tibia; difficult differential diagnosis from osteofibrous dysplasia-like adamantinoma.
- Giant cell tumor: Pain with swelling; soap bubble appearance on X-ray; multinucleated giant cells on microscopy.
- Aneurysmal bone cyst: In X-ray, a well-defined radiolucent eccentric cyst; on microscopy, fibrous tissue is present.
- Unicameral bone cyst: Asymptomatic; radiolucent cyst on X-ray; on microscopy, fibrous tissue is present.
- Chondrosarcoma: Pain; radiolucent area with central flecks of calcification on X-ray; on microscopy, lobules of highly atypical cells are apparent.
- Chondromyxoid fibroma: Asymptomatic; on X-ray, eccentric lytic lesion with well-defined sclerotic margins is seen; on microscopy, patches of myxomatous tissue with stellate cells, parts of hyaline cartilage, and fibrous tissue are noted.
- Hemangioendothelioma: Pain and swelling; on X-ray, lytic and poorly demarcated lesions with soap bubble appearance and sclerotic margins; on microscopy, solid nests of round, polygonal, or spindle-shaped cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm are found.
- Other: Like angiosarcoma, eosinophilic granuloma, epithelial metastasis, Ewing sarcoma, intraosseous synovial sarcoma, nonossifying fibromas, osteomyelitis

**Treatment**

Generally, adamantinomas are slowly growing tumors. In the past, the treatment of choice was amputation; however, with the progress of medicine, new surgical techniques are nowadays used for reconstructions following en bloc resection of the lesion (19, 55). As adamantinoma is a rare tumor, in the literature there are no definitive guidelines concerning the treatment (56). Moreover, as the initial therapy is of critical importance, an attentive biopsy has to be carried out avoiding curettage. The preferable treatment of the tumor involves its en bloc resection within wide operative margins, which may include suspicious regional lymph nodes, with limb reconstruction and limb salvage (57). In addition, there are several strategies aiming to fill in the defect of the excised bone such as distraction osteogenesis, intercalary allografts, vascularised fibular autografts and custom-made prostheses or endoprostheses (16, 17, 58). When the tumor is located in the distal fibula, primary ankle arthrodesis can be a reasonable choice (26). Performing an amputation as a treatment of adamantinoma does not increase survival in comparison with the previous surgical techniques, however, when a local recurrence occurs or there is no other option available, amputation could be accepted. Radiation therapy and chemotherapy are considered as ineffective treatments regarding this specific tumor. The incidence of adamantinoma-related death ranges from 12% to 17% (4). Many researchers reported high 5- or 10-year survival rates after en bloc resection of the tumor with limb salvage. In a review of 70 patients, the 10-year survival rate was reported to be as high as 87.2% (59), while the 5-year survival rate was higher at 95-98.8% (6, 23). The biological behavior of an adamantinoma is highly unpredictable (30) and generally recurrence presents with a more progressive behavior (20). About 15% of the patients develop metastases to the lungs and lymph nodes, although rarely is the skeleton, liver and brain affected (1, 60, 61). Moreover, cutaneous metastasis has been reported (62), while local recurrence or distant metastases appeared even up to 36 years after diagnosis (63). Risk factors for recurrence or metastasis are a medical history reporting symptoms of less than 5 years, male gender, young age, pain at presentation, lack of squamous differentiation and increased epithelium-to-stroma ratio (64). When adamantinoma metastasizes the prognosis is poor, although multikinase inhibitors, such as sunitinib, may prolong survival (65). It is important to adopt a long-term follow-up as early diagnosis is essential for the treatment and prognosis of recurrence and metastases (43).

**Conclusion**

Adamantinoma is a rare tumor of the long bones, and the tibia is the most common manifestation. Regarding histopathology, adamantinoma is distinguished into osteofibrous-like adamantinoma and classic adamantinoma, and generally is presented with many histological types. Although it belongs to the group of tumors of low malignancy, it might metastasize to lungs and to neighboring lymph nodes, while rarely to other bones, liver and brain. When adamantinoma metastasizes, the prognosis is poor. The preferable treatment of the tumor involves its en bloc resection within wide operative margins, which may include suspicious regional lymph nodes, with limb reconstruction and limb salvage. Distraction osteogenesis, intercalary allografts, vascularised fibular autografts and custom-made prostheses or endoprostheses can be used to bridge the defect of the excised bone. When a local recurrence occurs or there
is no other option available, amputation remains an alternative. Finally, long-term follow-up is important as early diagnosis is essential for treatment and prognosis.
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