Pseudoaneurysm of the Ascending Aorta Following Bentall Procedure
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Abstract

We report the case of young man with acute aortic dissection type A treated by Bentall operation. Postoperatively the patient was febrile and echocardiography revealed fluid collection around the aortic graft. Indicated surgery revealed sterile perigraft seroma which recurred after reoperation. Corticosteroids were therefore administered. Ten months after the Bentall operation the patient was treated for pneumonia with sepsis and incidentally an asymptomatic aortic pseudoaneurysm was revealed. Successful re-Bentall operation was performed and the patient finally discharged. We presume the postoperative perigraft seroma appearance and subsequent corticosteroid administration predisposed this patient to pseudoaneurysm development, tissue glue usage was also considered.
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Introduction

Aortic pseudoaneurysm (APA) is a rare but serious and complex complication of ascending aorta and/or aortic root prosthetic replacement which may lead to rupture and bleeding. APA following ascending aorta surgery is defined as a total or partial dehiscence of the vascular prosthesis from heart structures occurring after replacement of the ascending aorta. Although in the event of rupture, the mortality rate is high, patients often do not notice development of the pseudoaneurysm. If symptomatic, a pulsatile suprasternal mass, chest pain, dysphagia, and stridor may appear. The incidence is not well-known nor risk factors or the natural history of aortic pseudoaneurysm. The mechanisms of APA formation are also unclear though suture line under tension or infectious endocarditis is presumed. APA develops late, usually years after operation. APA usually rupture, hence prompt surgical intervention is necessary.

Case Report

The 42 years old caucasian man suffered acute aortic dissection type A and Bentall procedure with mechanical valve conduit (SJM graft prosthesis 23 mm) was performed. Remaining aorta tissue and the suture lines were reinforced by tissue glue (BioGlue®, CryoLife). The postoperative course was complicated by a recurrent fever of unknown etiology (completely negative laboratory tests). Infective endocarditis was considered, the antibiotic therapy was administered. The transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) was performed and revealed a collection of fluid surrounding the aortic graft with a tendency to progression (Figure 1). Explorative redo surgery was indicated and confirmed the sterile perigraft seroma, which recurred in the postoperative period. Extensive laboratory examination did not reveal either autoimmune or allergic disease; even the allergy to bovine collagen used in the manufacture of graft was tested. Then we administered the oral corticosteroids. Therefore the clinical status has promptly improved and perigraft seroma slowly resorbed. The patient was discharged with normal echocardiographic findings. 10 months later he was admitted to the emergency department with pneumonia and sepsis. We performed the TOE imaging, which revealed depression of left, as well as, right ventricular systolic function and an aortic pseudoaneurysm which was caused by dehiscence of graft prosthesis in a non-coronary sinus. During cardiac systole the graft was significantly compressed by the pseudoaneurysmal sac (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Perigraft collection after first Bentall procedure (indicated by arrow).

The clinical status was complicated by acute heart failure with low cardiac output due to septic cardiomyopathy. We considered APA as the possible source of sepsis due to its frequent infectious etiology, and the redo surgery was performed again, with technical difficulties. The pseudoaneurysmal sac surrounded more than half of the...
circumference of the aortic graft but there were no signs of active or previous endocarditis (Figure 3).

Figure 2: 3D TOE showed systolic compression of the aortic graft by pseudoaneurysm (indicated by arrow), causing low cardiac output and heart failure.

Original implanted mechanical conduit with APA sac was resected, coronary ostia buttons were released and re-Bentall operation was performed. The resected graft was analyzed using the PCR method, which did not reveal bacterial DNA. Patient recovery was long and complicated by pericardial tamponade, requiring another surgery. Finally, he was discharged stabilized and one year after reoperation he was clinically well, except for depression.

Discussion

Aortic pseudoaneurysm formation is considered a major complication of surgery on the ascending aorta. The mechanism of the APA formation is not clear. It may be a sequelae of suture line tension, graft infection, excessive use of biologic glue [1,2] or persistent bleeding into the space surrounding the aortic graft [3]. Potential sites for APA formation are aortic and coronary ostial suture lines, aortotomy, aortic cannulation sites and proximal or distal aortic suture sites [3,4]. The incidence is unknown. The retrospective study of Mohammadi [5] showed an incidence as high as 3% of ascending aorta surgery survivors. Typically, the onset of APA presentation is months but more often years after the surgery [4,6]. About 40% of patients were asymptomatic in the retrospective analysis of Malvindi [4] but only 7% in Mohammadi’s analysis [5].

Figure 3: Suction device inserted in pseudoaneurysmal sac.

If symptomatic, pulsatile suprasternal mass, chest pain, dyspnea, stridor, myocardial ischemia, fever or septicemia may appear [3,4]. APA also often compresses the superior vena cava, causing symptoms such as edema of the head, neck and arms, jugular veins distension, dyspnoea or dysphagia. In our case, APA also compressed the aortic graft prosthesis and presumably also decreased cardiac output. Although often mostly asymptomatic, this disease is still life-threatening. The infectious cause of the aortic pseudoaneurysm after cardiac surgery is widely accepted. Katsumata et al. report persistent febrile illness after the primary operation in 6 of 10 patients reoperated for the APA, operative tissue culture detected microbiological agent in only 3 cases (twice Corynebacterium sp., Staphylococcus sp. in one case) [7], Dumont et al. confirm infectious cause in 6 of 8 patients (all Staphylococcus sp.) [8]. Despite the expected infectious cause, there was no microbiological agent confirmed in our case. We assume the excessive use of antibiotics as the possibility of negative laboratory tests, but on the other hand, there was negative PCR analysis too. The use of corticosteroids after cardiac surgery in specific cases is common, and the Cappabianca meta-analysis even revealed, that steroid prophylaxis may reduce morbidity after cardiac surgery and does not increase the risk of postoperative infections [9]. Our experiences with the corticosteroids use are similar, e.g. in the postpericardiotomy syndrome therapy and we have seen no significant increase of postoperative infections or allogenic implanted material rejection. Dispite this, corticosteroid therapy in our case was probably one of the factors of graft rejection.

Conclusion

The presence of APA should be considered in all patients with previous aortic surgery and the symptoms listed above. In our case, the coincidence of pneumonia with sepsis led to the discovery of the APA. We presumed postoperative perigraft seroma appearance and subsequent corticosteroid administration predisposed the patient to pseudoaneurysm development, adverse reaction to tissue glue was also considered.
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