Durable Response to Osimertinib in a Chinese Patient with Metastatic Lung Adenocarcinoma Harboring a Rare EGFR L858R/D761Y Compound Mutation
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Abstract: Uncommon mutations account for 10–15% of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, in spite of the wealth of knowledge of the clinical significance and tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) sensitivity of these mutations, acquisition of deeper insights is limited by the paucity of case reports and cohort studies of the exceptionally rare mutations, including compound mutations. In the present case, we describe the clinical efficacy of icotinib and osimertinib in a metastatic lung adenocarcinoma patient carrying a highly uncommon EGFR L858R/D761Y compound mutation. The progression-free survival (PFS) with osimertinib treatment was much longer than that with icotinib (19 mo vs 8.2 mo), and the overall survival (OS) has currently exceeded three years. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of durable osimertinib response in an NSCLC patient with a rare EGFR L858R/D761Y mutation.
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Introduction

With an incidence of 39.6% in Asian Pacific patients, mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) constitute a major tumorigenic mechanism in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC).1 The most common actionable ones account for 80–90% of all EGFR mutations.2 These therapeutically relevant mutations, including the exon 19 deletion and the L858R mutation in exon 21, are mostly targets of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). The remaining 10–20% uncommon mutations make up a heterogeneous group of single (ie, G719X, S768I, L861Q) and compound gene alterations within exons 18–21.3 In recent years, a number of studies have reported the TKI sensitivity and clinical significance of EGFR compound mutations.4 Here, we present a case of advanced NSCLC harboring an EGFR L858R/D761Y compound mutation, in which osimertinib elicited a more durable response following progression on initial icotinib treatment.

Case Report

A 62-year-old female nonsmoker presented to our hospital with a two-month history of back pain. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan showed...
bone metastasis in the thoracolumbar vertebra (T4-5, L2), causing the back pain. Incidentally, a mass on the upper left lobe of lung was found along with mediastinal adenopathy. Histopathology review of the pulmonary mass suggested invasive adenocarcinoma. Next generation sequencing (NGS) was performed on circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) to profile the mutation status of 12 genes associated with known oncogenic driver mutations in NSCLC (EGFR, ALK, HER2, BRAF, KRAS, MET, ROS1, RET, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3 and PIK3CA). Only two mutations were found, namely EGFR-L858R in exon 21 and D761Y in exon 19 (allelic frequency, AF: 4.34%, Figure 1). The patient was accordingly treated with first-generation EGFR-TKI icotinib (150 mg, po, tid). Follow-up CT scans after three months revealed slightly decreased primary lesion and mediastinal lymph nodes compared with previous images. The efficacy of the first-line icotinib treatment was assessed as stable disease (SD) per RECIST version 1.1. After continued icotinib administration for another 6 months, the patient developed severe thoracalgia and dyspnea. Chest CT scans revealed a marked increase in tumor size that led to a re-evaluation of progressive disease. The PFS of icotinib was therefore 8.2 months (Figures 2 and 3).

NGS was performed again to examine the status of EGFR T790M and other mutations known to lead to icotinib resistance, but the genomic alterations were same as before and the AF was increased to 5.25%. Upon informed consent the patient was offered next generation EGFR-directed treatment with cetuximab and erlotinib.}

Figure 1 Next-generation sequencing identified an EGFR compound mutation consisting of L858R in exon 21 (A) and D761Y in exon19 (B) from plasma.
consent, osimertinib was administered at 80 mg once daily accompanied with zoledronic acid injection for bone metastases. CT scans 11 months later showed decreased primary pulmonary lesion. The best response of osimertinib treatment was SD and the PFS was 19 months (Figures 2 and 3). Both pleural effusion and plasma ctDNA were subjected to capture-based ultra-deep sequencing that target the exons and critical introns of 168 cancer-related genes (LungPlasma™, Burning Rock Biotech, China). In addition to the two EGFR mutations detected the previous sequencing tests (AF: 5.76% in pleural effusion and 4.34% in plasma), a mutation in TP53 R209fs was identified (AF: 2.52% in pleural effusion and 1.88% in plasma). Chemotherapy with bevacizumab plus pemetrexed was prescribed as third-line therapy as per standard of care. On the latest follow-up in April, 2020, neither of the primary and metastatic lesions presented notable change in size (Figures 2 and 3). There were no significant adverse events throughout the course of treatment. An informed consent was obtained for the publication of the case report.

Discussion
This case reported the clinical efficacy of icotinib and osimertinib in a patient with metastatic NSCLC carrying an EGFR L858R/D761Y double mutation. The PFS with osimertinib treatment is much longer than that with icotinib (19 mo vs 8.2 mo) and the OS is more than 3 years as of the time of manuscript preparation. EGFR D761Y is an exceptionally rare mutation in lung cancer and other solid tumors. Previous research has suggested an association between D761Y and acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI, but suffers from lack of evidence due to paucity of relevant cases. Marissa et al reported about...
an NSCLC patient with an OS of 13 months on gefitinib treatment. \textit{EGFR} D761Y mutation was identified upon autopsy in a brain metastasis, suggesting a potential association between this mutation and resistance to gefitinib. Cell viability assays confirmed this association in vitro, where sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs decreased in cells harboring L858R/D761Y mutation.\textsuperscript{6} Two other investigations further reported the role of D761Y in not only acquired but also primary resistance to gefitinib.\textsuperscript{7,8} Interestingly, an in vitro study has shown that despite displaying resistance to first-generation reversible EGFR-TKIs, L858R/D761Y mutant cells were sensitive to irreversible inhibitors, especially the third-generation EGFR-TKIs.\textsuperscript{9} In this case, we reported about a lung adenocarcinoma patient carrying L858R/D761Y double mutation and showing more favorable response to osimertinib than to icotinib, thereby providing clinical evidence supporting the in vitro findings. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of durable osimertinib response in NSCLC patient with an \textit{EGFR} L858R/D761Y compound mutation.

Icotinib is a highly specific and selective reversible EGFR-TKI with proven noninferior efficacy to gefitinib as second-/third-line treatment in patients with advanced NSCLC, as well as superior efficacy as first-line treatment when compared with chemotheraphy in treating \textit{EGFR}-mutant NSCLC.\textsuperscript{10,11} A recent clinical trial has demonstrated that high-dose icotinib improved median PFS and objective response rate (ORR) in patients with NSCLC harboring \textit{EGFR} L858R mutation with acceptable tolerability.\textsuperscript{12} In our patient, icotinib at standard dosage showed an acceptable efficacy in treating L858R/D761Y-mutant lung adenocarcinoma, although the response was less beneficial than that to osimertinib. The underlying molecular mechanisms remain to be elucidated, but could hinge on the location of the D761Y mutation site. The \textit{EGFR} tyrosine kinase domain is comprised of an N-terminal lobe, a C-terminal lobe, and within the cleft of the two lobes an active ATP-binding site, which is vulnerable competitive binding with reversible EGFR-TKIs. Substitution of the Lys858 amino acid residue with an arginine could reduce the affinity for ATP and the competition for binding sites, leading to an enhanced receptor dimerization and activity.\textsuperscript{7} The D761Y mutation (2281G $\rightarrow$ T in the DNA sequence) is located on the edge of exon 19 and predicted to be part of the $\alpha$-C-helix adjacent to the ATP-binding site.\textsuperscript{6} It is therefore conceivable that this mutation may negatively regulate the binding affinity to reversible EGFR-TKIs. In this scenario, ATP-independent, irreversible TKIs would elicit more favorable clinical outcomes, as their affinity to the ATP-binding site remains unaffected by the D761Y mutation. Further biochemical and cellular studies are warranted to test this hypothesis.

In conclusion, we present a case of an exceptionally rare compound \textit{EGFR} L858R/D761Y mutation in a patient with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma treated serially with icotinib and osimertinib monotherapies. Notably, this is to our knowledge the first report of durable osimertinib response in treating advanced NSCLC carrying a double L858R/D761Y mutation in \textit{EGFR}. Since clinical trials are not likely due to the scarcity of this compound mutation, single case reports and prospective case series, along with biochemical characterizations, are all the more important in establishing an evidenced-based treatment strategy for treating patients carrying this highly uncommon mutation.
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