Seismic imaging of dyke swarms within the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (Sweden) and implications for thermal energy storage
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Abstract. There is a great interest and demand for green-type energy storage in Sweden for both short- and long-term (hours, days, weeks and seasons) periods. While there are a number of approaches proposed (e.g., compressed air, geothermal and thermal), only a few have commercially been demonstrated through upscaling projects. Among these, the thermal energy storage (TES) that stores energy (excess heat or cold) in fluids is particularly interesting. The excess energy can be stored underground in excavated caverns and used for large district heating and cooling purposes as well as for balancing and regulating electrical energy in power grids. For an upscaling underground TES project within the Tornquist suture zone of Scania in the southwest of Sweden, three high-resolution seismic profiles, each approximately 1 km long, were acquired. Geologically, the site sits within the southern margin of the Romeleåsen fault zone in the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (STZ), where dolerite dyke swarms of Carboniferous–Permian age are observed striking in the SE–NW direction for hundreds of kilometers both on land and in offshore seismic and magnetic data (from Scania to Midland Valley in the UK). These dykes, 10–50 m thick, in the nearby quarries (within both Precambrian gneiss and quartzite) express themselves mostly in a subvertical manner. They can therefore act as a good water/fluid barrier, which can be an important geological factor for any TES site. For the data acquisition, combined cabled and wireless recorders were used to provide continuity on both sides of a major road running in the middle of the study area. Bedrock depressions are clearly depicted in the tomograms, suggesting the possibility of zones of weaknesses, highly fractured and/or weathered, in the bedrock and confirmed in several places by follow-up boreholes. Several steeply dipping (60–65°) reflections were imaged down to 400 m depth and interpreted to originate from dolerite dykes. This interpretation is based on their orientations, strong amplitudes, regular occurrences and correlation with downhole logging data. In addition, groundwater flow measurements within the unconsolidated sediments and in bedrock suggest steeply dipping structures are the dominant factor in directing water mainly along a SE–NW trend, which is consistent with the strike of the dyke swarm within the STZ. To provide further insight on the origin of the reflections, even the historical crustal-scale offshore BABEL (Baltic and Bothnian Echoes from the Lithosphere) lines (A-AA-AB) were revisited. Clear multiphase faults and signs of intrusions or melt source in the lower crust are observed, as well as a Moho step across the Tornquist zone. Overall, we favor that the reflections are of dolerite origin and their dip component (i.e., not subvertical) may imply a Precambrian basement (and dykes) tilting, block rotation, towards the NE as a result of the Romeleåsen reverse faulting. In terms of thermal storage, these dykes then may be encountered during the excavation of the site and can complicate underground water flow should they be used as a fluid barrier in case of leakage.
1 Introduction

Demand and interest for renewable energy is rapidly increasing worldwide, although with some temporal fluctuations, in order to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and also to provide a sustainable, cheaper and alternative source of energy to the market (e.g., International Energy Agency, 2018; Alva et al., 2018; Inglesi-Lotza and Dogan, 2018). In Sweden, through a number of initiatives and cutting-edge technologies, a number of companies aim to upscale their ideas to provide large-district heating and cooling systems for both short- and long-term purposes. For example, the Skanska company has invented (Skanska Sverige AB, 2013; Pilebro et al., 2016) the idea of underground energy storage based on the mechanism that thermoses keep hot and cold water for a long period of time (thermal energy storage or TES). This builds on the physical principle of “hot water floating on cold water” (Håkansson, 2016), allowing excess energy to be collected and used later, or simply for balancing energy consumption between daytime and nighttime. The concept, however, requires field demonstration, large-scale underground developments (Skanska Sverige AB, 2013) and facilities where good control and suitable subsurface geology are present. Thermal energy is stored in a fluid (e.g., water) in tanks or an excavated cavern. The cavern then is insulated by suitable geology (impermeable rocks) or through concrete walls. Since different fluids can be considered for this purpose (e.g., fluids used in low-temperature systems), leakage and accidents must be avoided or leaked fluids must be safely and quickly removed in case of an accident. A suitable TES site should be close to consumers, in our case the cities of Lund and Malmö, and have access to excess heat or cold as well as electrical energy (e.g., European Spallation Source (ESS) in Lund).

To support such a development in the subsurface (at a depth of about 300 m), we conducted a seismic survey near the town of Dalby in Lund within the Scania Tornquist suture zone (Fig. 1) in southwest Sweden during August 2015. The site is situated close to the RFZ (Romeleåsen fault/thrust and flexure zone) with a complex geologic and tectonic history (Erlström and Sivhed, 2001). Near-vertical dykes are observed from several quarries in the area crosscutting granitic–gneissic–amphibiotic rocks and form distinct magnetic lineaments in the regional data (Fig. 1). These dykes likely have also acted as surfaces on which further faulting (until the present day) has occurred. They are doleritic (diabase) in composition, Permian in age (Torsvik et al., 2008) and can be followed through the Scania region of Sweden and through offshore seismic data reported from the southern North Sea (Heeremans et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 2017 and references therein).

The seismic survey had an initial objective of identifying depth to bedrock and if major bedrock undulations could be related to zones of weaknesses (fractured or/and altered) in

![Figure 1. (a) Aeromagnetic anomaly and (b) bouguer gravity maps of the Scania region of Sweden showing the location of the study area south of the town of Dalby. Magnetic lineaments clearly mark the location of Permian dyke swarms within the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (STZ), which is a horst structure within an active strike-slip tectonic setting. The inset map shows the interpreted location of the dyke swarms of the same generation within the STZ. Dyke orientations and ages are based on Phillips et al. (2017, and references therein). In this work, historical offshore BABEL (Baltic and Bothnian Echoes from the Lithosphere) lines A-AA-AB (green lines in the inset map) have also been revisited and results discussed. Aeromagnetic and gravity data were kindly provided by the Geological Survey of Sweden.](image-url)
bedrock. Nevertheless, given the high-fold seismic data acquired and rich reflectivity observed in the raw shot gatherers, reflection data processing complemented the refraction data analysis. In this work, we show a series of northeast steeply dipping reflections that surrounds the planned underground storage and speculate whether their origins are of dolerite dykes. Furthermore, we revisited the historical offshore BABEL (Baltic and Bothnian Echoes from the Lithosphere) lines A-AA-AB (BABEL Working Group, 1990, 1993; Fig. 1) in an attempt to check if these dykes were also observable. From this, we provide better images of a potential source region for the dykes and a necking (keel or step) zone at the Moho level across the Tornquist zone that was proposed by Mazur et al. (2015) as a result of a collision between two Precambrian terranes with different crustal thicknesses.

2 Geology of the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (STZ)

The Trans-European suture zone or Tornquist zone in general is a major crustal-scale boundary in Europe that separates the Precambrian East European Craton from the Phanerozoic orogens of southwestern Europe. In the Scania (Skåne) of Sweden and northern Denmark, it is a major strike-slip feature (Fig. 1), reactivated many times through its history and tectonic inversion, and referred to as the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (STZ), while in northern Poland it is referred to as the Teisseyre–Tornquist Zone (TTZ). It is traditionally thought to be the boundary of the lithospheric plate of Baltica that existed between the late Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic (Cocks and Torsvik, 2005). A crustal keel approximately 20 km wide associated with a Moho step has been inferred based on a major gravity low across the zone in Poland by Mazur et al. (2015); see also Thybo (2000), Grad et al. (2002), Malinowski et al. (2005) and Guterch and Grad (2006). Offshore seismic data suggest that the Tornquist zone extends to the southern Norwegian North Sea (Pe-grum, 1984). Based on the results from the BABEL offshore seismic data, a number of authors have proposed that the Tornquist zone is a listric fault under the Hanö Bay basin (e.g., Blundell, 1992; Erlström et al., 1997; Thybo, 2000; Meissner and Krawczyk, 1999). According to Meissner et al. (2002), during the Late Cretaceous, tectonic inversion of a rigid upper mantle led to largescale compressive tectonics. Within the Tornquist zone, this led to a series of reverse faults in the upper crust as a result of transferring stress in the lower ductile crust undergoing buckling to the upper crust (see also Sopher et al., 2016 and references therein). The Hanö Bay basin (see section of BABEL A-AA in Fig. 1) formed as a result of syn-inversion extension (pull-apart basin due to fault curvature or an extension) associated with significant strike-slip faulting in the Tornquist zone (Blundell, 1992).

Structurally, the most striking geological feature in the Scania area is the Romeleåsen horst, which stretches over 30 km along the STZ. It was formed by fault reactivations in the Tornquist zone during Mesozoic and Tertiary compression (Bergerat et al., 2007). The town of Dalby is situated on the southwestern margin of the Romelåsen reverse fault system. Together with the Vomb–Fyledalen fault system (reverse), the area between these fault systems is uplifted (Romele Ridge) and is the main reason for the gravity high (basement high) observed in the region (Fig. 1b).

Lithologically, in the Scania area, rocks are primarily composed of Proterozoic gneiss–amphibolite (nearly 50%–50% volumetrically) to sedimentary rocks of various ages. In the Dalby quarry north of the study area, amphibolites dip often towards the southwest as lens-shaped lenticular bodies (Fig. 2a). Evidence of faulting and hydrothermal activity is evident in the quarry. A dominant feature, however, is the Permo-Carboniferous doleritic (mafic) dykes crosscutting gneissic–amphibolitic rocks (Fig. 2a) and sometimes quartzitic (metamorphosed bedded sandstone) rocks in the area (Fig. 2b). They occur in a regular order, often 5–20 m thick, generally striking in the NW–SE direction, as evident in the magnetic data over the Scania area (Fig. 1a). While often these dykes are considered to be subvertical, some-
times, in field photos from nearby quarries, they appear to be steeply dipping (e.g., Bergerat et al., 2007). Phillips et al. (2017) observe the same generations of the dykes (320–270 Ma) in the southern Norwegian North Sea albeit as dipping reflections (35–50° north dipping) and argue for the role of tectonic inversion (faulting) and basin–basement flexure (block rotation) for their current geometry. They also suggest the dyke swarm is part of a major magmatic system (mantle plume) that has a radial shape extending for over 800 km connecting to those observed in the Midland Valley dyke suite (302–292 Ma) in the UK.

3 Seismic survey

3.1 Seismic data acquisition

Three high-resolution, 5 m shot and receiver spacing using 141–172 receivers, refraction and reflection seismic profiles were acquired over 5 days: 2 days for profile 4, 1.5 days for profile 2 and 1.5 days for profile 3 (Fig. 3). Profile 3 was not in the original plan but was acquired at the site because of its position and being parallel to profile 2, where geological structures (e.g., dyke systems) are favorable in this orientation instead of the perpendicular one. Some delays with the seismic source and noise due to wind caused problems when acquiring the data along profile 4. A Bobcat-mounted drop hammer (500 kg) was used to generate the seismic signal (e.g., Place et al., 2015). To provide continuity from one side of the road to another, 51 wireless recorders connected to 10 Hz geophones and operating in an autonomous mode were used (Fig. 3). In total, approximately 2.6 km long reflection seismic data were acquired.

GPS times of the source impacts (microsecond accuracy) recorded on the cabled sensors (also 10 Hz geophones) were used to extract the data from the wireless recorders, and then these were merged together. Three shot records per source position were generated and vertically stacked to improve signal-to-noise ratio. Noise from the high-speed Road 11 and cars passing was at times significant but the vertical stacking of the repeated shots helped to partly cancel this noise. On occasion, due to the proximity to the Malmö airport, airplane noise was also significant and led to delays in the data acquisition. Table 1 summarizes some of the main acquisition parameters used in this study.

Figure 4 shows a collection of photos taken during the seismic survey and the equipment used. Although Road 11 runs through the middle of the study area, the seismic data show excellent quality particularly along profiles 2 and 3, and are slightly noisy, due to high wind, along profile 4.

Figures 5a and 6a show examples of shot gathers after vertical stacking of the three repeated shot records (three hits at every shot location) from profiles 2 and 3, respectively. The quality of the data is excellent and only some noise from the cars and wind in Fig. 5a is notable. The first breaks are clear in the merged cabled and wireless shot records, suggesting that refraction data analysis and velocity tomography are possible using the data. There are also weak signs of reflections in the data, which justify their processing and imaging as reflection seismic sections. As it will be presented later, most reflections dip towards the northeast, implying that the wireless recorders and shooting on the northern side of Road 11 were necessary to enable their imaging. Without shooting (in particular) and recording there, we would not have been able to provide images of most of the reflections observed in the data.
Table 1. Main seismic acquisition and refraction parameters of the Dalby data (August 2015). DGPS indicates differential GPS. Root mean square is indicated by rms.

| Spread parameters       | Profile 2                                      | Profile 3                                      | Profile 4                                      |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Recording system        | Sercel Lite                                   | Sercel Lite                                   | Sercel Lite                                   |
| Survey geometry         | Fixed                                         | Fixed                                         | Fixed                                         |
| No. of receivers        | 172 (2001–2122, 2501–2551)                    | 166 (3001–3113, 3501–3551)                    | 141 (4001–4141)                               |
| No. of shots            | 170 (three records/point)                     | 157 (three records/point)                     | 120 (three records/point)                     |
| Shot/receiver spacing   | 5 m                                           | 5 m                                           | 5 m                                           |
| Maximum offset          | ∼900 m                                        | ∼850 m                                        | ∼800 m                                        |
| Source type             | 500 kg Bobcat drop hammer                     | 500 kg Bobcat drop hammer                     | 500 kg Bobcat drop hammer                     |
| Geophone                | 10 Hz, spike                                  | 10 Hz, spike                                  | 10 Hz, spike                                  |
| Sampling interval       | 0.5 ms                                        | 0.5 ms                                        | 0.5 ms                                        |
| Record length           | 20 s (1 s used for processing)                | 20 s (1 s used for processing)                | 20 s (1 s used for processing)                |
| Wireless data harvesting| GPS time                                      | GPS time                                      | GPS time                                      |
| Total no. of traces     | 29,240                                        | 26,062                                        | 16,920                                        |
| Geodetic surveying      | DGPS                                          | DGPS                                          | DGPS                                          |

| Refraction parameters   |                                               |                                               |                                               |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| No. of first breaks     | 23,419                                        | 24,429                                        | 14,620                                        |
| Method                  | 2-D ray tracing and 3-D first break travel-time tomography | 2-D ray tracing and 3-D first break travel-time tomography | 2-D ray tracing and 3-D first break travel-time tomography |
| Cell sizes (x, y, z)    | 5 × 10 × 2 m                                  | 5 × 10 × 2 m                                 | 5 × 20 × 2 m                                 |
| No. of iterations       | 8                                             | 8                                            | 8                                             |
| rms                     | 2.4 ms                                        | 2.4 ms                                       | 2.7 ms                                       |

3.2 Reflection data processing

Signs of reflections in the raw shot gathers were encouraging and satisfied processing the reflection component of the data. Reflections along profile 4 have, for example, different characters and are shorter and more gently dipping, compared with those observed along profiles 2 and 3 (Fig. 5), suggesting that the main dip favors the orientation of profiles 2 and 3, i.e., striking NW–SE and dipping NE. Table 2 summarizes the key steps used for the reflection data processing of the seismic data. The 2-D processing was employed given the straight nature of the profiles. Several factors were considered during the processing. To avoid processing artifacts, the processing was kept simple and parameters were obtained carefully (e.g., Dehghannejad et al., 2012; Malehmir et al., 2017). The important processing steps were refraction static corrections (Figs. 5b and 6b), prestack data enhancement (Figs. 5c and 6c) and velocity analysis. Velocity analysis was important because of the steep nature of the reflections. Example raw shot gathers (from profiles 2 and 3) and how reflections were enhanced in shot gathers are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The processed shot gathers show a number of parallel steeply dipping reflections in both profiles. Data along the SW portion of profile 3 were excluded since they did not allow good imaging of the dipping reflections to the NE (not favoring this dip and quite noisy due to strong winds during the data acquisition). Because of this, we also tested a number of ways to enhance the quality of the reflections such as the use of normal amplitude stacking and diversity-based stacking.
Figure 5. (a) An example shot gather from profile 2 (after vertical stacking of the three repeated shot records) showing the quality of the first breaks and how merged cabled and wireless data were used for both refraction data analysis and reflection data processing. Note the high level of noise from Road 11 remained as surface-wave energy (steep or low velocity) but was also a noticeable reflection (dipping towards the northeast) in the raw data that became much stronger after (b) refraction static corrections, (c) prestack data enhancement (two sets of reflections) and (d) linear move-out (LMO) corrections (for display purpose). Two sets of reflections (marked by red arrows in panel d) are notable between receivers 2020–2040. The application of a median filter and dip filter (FK filter linked with linear move-out correction) helped to enhance these reflections in prestack gathers significantly.

Along profile 3, we concluded that the diversity stack provided a more superior image than the normal amplitude stack (Fig. 7) likely because the noise level (wind and noise from car traffic) was higher when data were acquired along this profile. Along profiles 2 and 4, the normal amplitude stack was used.

Reflection seismic sections can be presented as unmigrated and migrated seismic sections. When reflections have considerable dip, migration should be done to bring them to their actual locations in the 2-D space (assuming no out-of-the-plane effect). To migrate stacked sections, velocity data are needed; we used a constant velocity of 4000 ms$^{-1}$ for both migration and time-to-depth conversion. This implies that reflections may be slightly steeper (on the order of 5°) and deeper if this velocity was too low, and velocities on the order of 5000 ms$^{-1}$ should have been used instead (Yilmaz, 2001). Migration along profile 4 was done using a phase-shift algorithm, while for the other two profiles a finite-difference algorithm was used. Unmigrated seismic sections are shown for data quality control purposes and the reflections observed there do not represent actual locations of the geological features nor are dips true. Along profile 3, on the portion where data were excluded, prior to the migration, dummy traces were added to allow the steeply dipping reflections to be imaged in the muted region.

3.3 Refraction data analysis and correlation with borehole data

In our study, both refraction data analysis (for refraction static corrections) and tomographic methods were employed. First breaks were picked manually and corrected where needed. We estimate an error on the order of 2 ms (based on reciprocal times) in the picking accuracy. Along profile...
2, approximately 23 500 first breaks, profile 3 approximately 24 500 first breaks and profile 4 approximately 14 500 first breaks (Table 1) were used for the first break travel-time tomography (Fig. 8). A diving-wave finite difference based 3-D travel-time tomography code (Tryggvason et al., 2002 and references therein) was used for this purpose. Cells along the profile directions were 5 m, perpendicular 10 m and at depth 2 m. Eight iterations were used to invert the data. A smooth 2-D velocity model ranging from 300 to 5000 ms$^{-1}$ with constant gradient honoring the topography was used as the starting model. We used a velocity of 300 ms$^{-1}$ to represent the air velocity and in order to avoid rays channeling above the topography during the iterations. Smoothing constraints were used but gradually relaxed towards the final iteration numbers. The final velocity models show rms values around 2–3 ms as shown in Fig. 8. These rms values are acceptable given the estimated error in the picking.

The final tomographic velocity models are shown in Fig. 9 for all the three profiles. There is a good correspondence between low-velocity depressions and bedrock surface depressions, as indicated by the drilling results conducted after the seismic survey suggesting that the tomographic velocity models can be used to constrain the bedrock surface with a good level of confidence. Rocks in general show an average low velocities (3000–4000 ms$^{-1}$) except in a few places and in particular at a major topographic depression (ditch) in the study area (B2 and B3 in Fig. 9). This is unusual for crystalline-type rocks (Salisbury et al., 2003; Malehmir et al., 2013) and may imply an overall average-to-poor quality of rocks in this area; however, it is expected from our observations in the nearby quarry and rocks situated within the highly tectonized Tornquist zone. The velocity model of profile 4 does not show significant velocity variations but shows a good correspondence where profile 2 is intersected but less where profile 3 is intersected. Where profile 3 is intersected, the velocity model of profile 4 better resolves the bedrock surface.

At places where bedrock is intersected at greater depths than usual, for example, at 25 m depth by borehole HB5 (e.g., A2 in Fig. 9a), small-valley looking bedrock is clearly observed in the tomograms, suggesting a possibility for major weakness zones (likely highly fractured and/or weathered) in the bedrock. This interpretation is supported by both drill cuttings and low velocities observed in these regions.

### Table 2. Key reflection processing steps (Globe Claritas™ processing software was used for the processing). Terms in the table are defined as follows: SEGD: Society of Exploration Geophysicists field data, CDP: common depth point, FK: frequency–wavenumber domain, NMO: normal moveout, AGC: automatic gain control, FX: frequency space domain.

| Steps | Profile 2 | Profile 3 | Profile 4 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | Read SEGD data | Read SEGD data | Read SEGD data |
| 2     | Zero-time correction (automatic and manually corrected) | Zero-time correction (automatic and manually corrected) | Zero-time correction (automatic and manually corrected) |
| 3     | Vertical shot stacking | Vertical shot stacking | Vertical shot stacking |
| 4     | Geometry setup (CDP spacing 2.5 m) | Geometry setup (CDP spacing 2.5 m) | Geometry setup (CDP spacing 2.5 m) |
| 5     | First break picking | First break picking | First break picking |
| 6     | Refraction static corrections | Refraction static corrections | Refraction static corrections |
| 7     | Elevation static (4000 ms$^{-1}$, 95 m) | Elevation static (4000 ms$^{-1}$, 95 m) | Elevation static (4000 ms$^{-1}$, 95 m) |
| 8     | Band-pass filter (20–40–180–220 Hz) | Band-pass filter (20–40–180–220 Hz) | Band-pass filter (20–40–160–280 Hz) |
| 9     | Deconvolution (22 m gap) | Deconvolution (22 m gap) | Deconvolution (22 m gap) |
| 10    | FK filter | FK filter | FK filter |
| 11    | Median filter (linked to NMO) | Median filter (linked to NMO) | Median filter (linked to NMO) |
| 12    | AGC (200 ms) | AGC (200 ms) | AGC (200 ms) |
| 13    | Residual static corrections | Residual static corrections | Residual static corrections |
| 14    | NMO corrections (30 % stretch mute) | NMO corrections (30 % stretch mute) | NMO corrections (30 % stretch mute) |
| 15    | Stack (normal) | Stack (diversity) | Stack (normal) |
| 16    | FX decon | FX decon | FX decon |
| 17    | Balance amplitude | Balance amplitude | Balance amplitude |
| 18    | Padding | Padding | Padding |
| 19    | Migration (finite difference, 4000 ms$^{-1}$) | Migration (finite difference, 4000 ms$^{-1}$) | Migration (phase shift, 4000 ms$^{-1}$) |
| 20    | Time-to-depth conversion (constant 4000 ms$^{-1}$) | Time-to-depth conversion (constant 4000 ms$^{-1}$) | Time-to-depth conversion (constant 4000 ms$^{-1}$) |
| 21    | Export for plotting and 3-D visualization | Export for plotting and 3-D visualization | Export for plotting and 3-D visualization |
4 Follow-up drilling, ground magnetic survey and downhole logging

Immediately after the seismic survey and for better understanding the hydrogeological conditions (baseline) at the site, a series of boreholes (Fig. 3) was drilled. A rotary percussion-drilling rig was used for this purpose, which did not allow core sampling but rather drill cuttings to be analyzed. This choice was justified economically and for the purpose of hydrological studies requiring wide-diameter boreholes. Before performing pump tests and estimating hydrological parameters, a number of boreholes were downhole logged using natural gamma, caliper (borehole diameter), density and resistivity probes. Immediately after this, the holes had to be sealed off with no possibility to conduct more surveys given their positions in an operating farm. Downhole logging results were provided to us for this study for HB2, HB3 and HB5 (Fig. 3). For all the other boreholes, depth to bedrock was provided as shown in Fig. 9. Important drilling observations were also provided. We will mention later that a suitable situation would have been to measure magnetic susceptibility and core drilling in the area. Inclined core drilling was planned to be a follow-up stage; however, it did not continue due to issues with land accessibility. It would be important for the seismic interpretations to distinguish Permian mafic dykes from faults and amphibolites. Caliper would be useful if severe faulting is encountered, as well as resistivity and density measurements. Density between dolerite and amphibolite is usually similar, and they both should show low gamma radiations. Ground total-field magnetic survey using a 2-D profiling approach along the seismic profiles was also conducted and used in this study.

5 Results and interpretation

Several northeast-dipping, approximately 60–65° reflections were imaged down to 400 m depth thanks to the close shot and receiver spacing strategy of the data acquisition as well
Figure 7. Comparison between (a) normal amplitude stack and (b) diversity-based stack on the data along profile 3 illustrating much better quality imaging of the reflections obtained using diversity stack. Note that the northeastern-most reflection marked by a red arrow is very notable in panel (b). Dashed lines provide a possible projection of the reflections towards the surface. Data on the southernmost portion of the profile were noisy and hence excluded from the stacking.

as long offsets provided by the use of wireless recorders. These reflections often show coherent character but on occasion such as along profile 4 are discontinuous and have different appearances. Figures 10 and 11 show unmigrated and migrated seismic sections of profiles 2 and 3, respectively. For profile 4 (Fig. 12), the migrated section is quite noisy; thus, results along this profile need to be carefully interpreted along with the stacked section. Tomographic velocity models are also plotted together with the reflection seismic sections to check if velocity anomalies are associated with any of the reflections.

A careful inspection of the results suggests subparallel reflections dipping about 60–65° towards the NE along both profiles 2 and 3; they have similar characters except close to the central parts of profile 2 slightly towards its SW parts (Fig. 10b). One particular reflection, R3, is associated with a topographic depression. Reflections along profile 4 have gentler dips, as one would expect, given the steep character of the reflections in the perpendicular direction (profiles 2 and 3). They may, however, suggest the general strike is not perpendicular to the direction of profiles 2 and 3. Reflections along profile 4 are shorter and have a disturbed character in the middle of the profile. This may be due to the noisy nature of the data along this profile or suggest different geological structures than those observed along profiles 2 and 3. Along profile 4, some evidence of down faulting can be seen from the discontinuity of the reflections and their bivergent-wedge appearance (Fig. 12). This may be due to the Protopigne zone, which strikes through the area in a NNE–SSW direction. Movements along this fault zone are earlier (0.9–1 Ga) than in the Tornquist zone but may very well have influenced tectonic setting in the area in conjunction with the movements along the Tornquist zone.

Based on a series of reasons, we argue the steeply dipping reflections mainly originated from the Permian dolerite dykes. These include the following. (1) The reflections are subparallel and favor the orientations observed in the magnetic data (Fig. 1a) and occasionally match the magnetic highs observed in the ground measurements (e.g., R2 in Figs. 10 and 11). (2) They have strong seismic amplitudes as observed already in the shot gathers and appear in a regular order of 100 m apart (Fig. 2); this can be expected from 10 to 50 m thick dolerite dykes given their high density and velocity (e.g., Juhlin, 1990; Planke and Cambray, 1998; Salisbury et al., 2003; Planke et al., 2005). (3) We rule out a gneissic–amphibolitic origin because they are rather part of the medium and do not appear to be isolated-individual entities to be imaged (Fig. 2a); they are nearly volumetrically equally present and hence can only act as a medium for other geological features to be imaged using seismic frequencies. (4) With 2-D considerations and possibly better adjustments of velocities during the migration and time-to-depth conversion, the reflections fit regions of decrease in natural gamma (e.g., Malehmir and Bellefleur, 2010) and increase in density observed in the downhole logging data (see the insets in Figs. 10 and 11). For example, along profile 2, density and natural gamma logs (Fig. 10c) show a reasonable correlation with the position of reflection R2 (5 m vertically thick zone). Resistivity logs show a drop in the resistivity but likely suggest the dyke–country rock contact is highly water bearing and thus electrically conductive. The caliper log shows no variations in this region, helping to exclude a possibility for a fault at this location in conjunction with a high-density zone. Borehole HB5 also shows a drop in the natural gamma (15 m vertically thick zone) associated with the reflection R4 along profile 2 (Fig. 10b). Along profile 3, borehole HB3 shows a vertically thicker region where reflection R2 may be connected to a reduced natural gamma zone (Fig. 11c), likely a dolerite dyke.

To help better understand the 3-D geometry of the reflections, we first visualized them in 3-D and then picked the reflections as observed in the migrated seismic sections (Fig. 13a). Visualization was done in conjunction with the tomography results (Fig. 13b). Again, a careful inspection of this figure suggests a major NW–SE trend for most of the re-
Figure 8. Plots of the travel-time residuals (rms misfit) obtained after eight iterations for (a) profile 2, (b) profile 3 and (c) profile 4. The first picked breaks as a function of offsets along profiles 2–4 are shown in panels (d)–(f), respectively. Note that profile 4 is shorter than profiles 2 and 3. Different colors represent different data density.

Figure 9. First break travel-time tomography model along (a) profile 2, (b) profile 3 and (c) profile 4, showing the undulating nature of bedrock (dashed line) and correlation with the bedrock surfaces identified from follow-up drilling at the site. Low-velocity depressions are notable in areas labeled by A2–3, B2–3, C2–C3 and E3 particularly along profile 2. The poorest quality rocks and deepest bedrock were observed at the location of A2 (HB5), where down to 33 m depth only highly altered rocks were observed from the drill cuttings (i.e., no core drilling was performed).
Figure 10. (a) Ground total-field magnetic, (b) unmigrated and (c) migrated (also time-to-depth-converted) reflection seismic sections along profile 2. The tomographic velocity model is projected onto the migrated section for direct comparison. Red arrows mark the main reflections. They may extend at depth but we are unable to image them due to the short length of the profile. Downhole logging results from boreholes HB2 and HB5 suggest that the reflections R2 and R3 are associated with the zone of reduced natural gamma, high density and low resistivity. The caliper log suggests no change in the borehole diameter. Based on these, we argue that the reflections originated from dolerite dykes.

Figure 11. (a) Ground total-field magnetic, (b) unmigrated and (c) migrated (also time-to-depth-converted) reflection seismic sections along profile 3. The tomographic velocity model is projected onto the migrated section for direct comparison. Red arrows mark the reflections. They may extend at depth but we are unable to image them due to the short length of the profile. Downhole logging results from borehole HB3 suggest that the reflection R2 is associated with the zone of reduced natural gamma. The caliper log suggests no change in the borehole diameter. Based on these, we argue that the reflection originated from a dolerite dyke.

6 Discussion

A fundamental surprise in this study is why the dolerite dykes, if our interpretation is correct, are inclined and not subvertical as observed in most quarries in the north of the study area (e.g., Fig. 2b). Through a series of arguments, we further discuss this and what this may imply in terms of geology and its significance for developing the thermal storage site in the study area. Prior to this, we discuss resolution of the seismic data based on our judgment of how thick they are from the boreholes and frequency content of the data. Given a dominant frequency of 100 Hz and a background velocity of 4000 ms$^{-1}$, we estimate a vertical resolution on the order of 10 m. The detection limit would be then on the order of a couple of meters. This implies dykes of 1–2 m thick can still be detected but not resolved. Judging from the thicknesses observed on the logging data (5–20), it appears we are unable to fully resolve the top and bottom of the dykes; hence, we are inclined to suggest the seismic data have at best a vertical resolution on the order of 15–20 m. With high resolution in this study, we also refer to the fine source and receiver spacing, as well as the reasonably high seismic fold (75–90) used.
Figure 12. (a) Ground total-field magnetic, (b) unmigrated and (c) migrated (also time-to-depth-converted) reflection seismic section of profile 4. The tomographic velocity model is projected onto the migrated section for direct comparison. Red arrows mark the reflections that appear to be divergent and in the middle of the profile more horizontal. The central part of the profile shows indications of down faulting.

6.1 Hydrogeology of the site

Two different hydrological measurements were conducted to check underground water flow in the study area. The first one measured the groundwater table in the sediments through existing boreholes and wells (Fig. 14a), and the second one measured the groundwater table through boreholes that intersected the bedrock (Fig. 14b). The motivation was to check if any particular pattern in the groundwater flow can be associated with the geological structures in the area. As one can expect, the measurements in the sediments (Fig. 14a) suggest a groundwater flow dominantly towards the lower elevations (water table at about 53 m elevation) in the area with the central part of profile 4 being on a hill (red contours showing the water table at about 82 m elevation). The measurements in the bedrock, however, provided much more interesting results, a pattern for the groundwater flow dominantly towards the SE (Fig. 14b) and an orientation consistent with the directions of the dykes between reflections R1 and R2 (Fig. 1a) and a bit more complicated between reflections R3 and R4. We think the interpreted down faulting system (a fault running in NE–SW, also observed on local magnetic map of the area, not shown here) intersected in the central part of profile 4 has some control over this in the region between reflections R3 and R4. Overall, reflections (R1–R4) reasonably match the orientation of the water flow, although this study cannot alone prove that the dykes can be steeply dipping or subvertical; both scenarios would satisfy such an observation.

6.2 Magnetic susceptibility transects across the dykes

Because no further downhole logging was possible in the boreholes drilled in the study area, we decided to revisit the rock quarry north of the site (Figs. 2a and 3) to conduct a series of magnetic susceptibility measurements in order to check if amphibolites and dolerite dykes have comparable magnetic properties. Dolerite dykes showed much stronger magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 15) than the amphibolites and hence should be identifiable on this basis – their magnetic properties (as also evident on the magnetic map). One important observation is also that the amphibolites tend to generally gently dip towards the SW (Figs. 2a and 15), and this is inconsistent with the reflection orientations we observed in the data. The two dolerite dykes observed in Fig. 15 crosscut the gneissic and amphibolitic rocks, and the major one (approximately 5 m thick) appears also to be dipping at about 60–65° towards the NE. In these measurements, two impor-
Figure 14. Hydrological measurements conducted at the site to better understand groundwater flow in (a) sediments and (b) bedrock, and if they can be connected to any underlying geology controlling their patterns. Red contours indicate high water table values and blue lower water table values. Water table values (ranging from 53 to 82 m elevation) are shown at a few places. The reflections observed in the seismic data are labeled as R1–R4. In the case of bedrock, a clear NW–SE groundwater flow is notable in the region where R1–R2 are observed, which may indicate the role of the steeply dipping dykes in this region. Dashed lines are the peaks of the magnetic lineaments extracted from the aeromagnetic data (Fig. 1a) from the study area. We interpret a local fault running near and parallel to profile 2 and responsible for the NE–SW trend of the water flow in the bedrock between reflections R3 and R4.

Figure 15. Magnetic susceptibility measurements (average of three to five readings at each point is shown) across a section of the rock quarry north of the study area showing how strongly magnetic (orders of 3–25 higher) the Permian dolerite dykes are compared to the other country rocks (e.g., amphibolite and gneiss) in the area. The main dyke observed here has a NE dip component similar to those observed in the reflection seismic data. Magnetic susceptibilities are shown as $10^{-3}$ SI units (e.g., 22.8 becomes 0.0228 in the SI unit). An SM20 handheld susceptibility meter was used for these measurements.

6.3 Revisiting BABEL offshore seismic lines A-AA-AB

It became immediately evident to us to check if other similar types of datasets can also provide information on the deeper geometry of the Permian dykes within the Tornquist zone. We decided to revisit the BABEL offshore seismic data from...
Figure 16. Reprocessed (only poststack processing) and migrated seismic section of BABEL offshore lines A-AA-AB (BABEL Working Group, 1993) across the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone (a) Hanö Bay basin area. The whole seismic section is shown in panel (b), including a clear Moho step under the Hanö Bay basin. Clear faults are evident in the Hanö Bay basin and show a throw offset as much as 200–500 m and a multiphase history (both reverse and normal faulting). NE-dipping reflections are dominant in the lower crust projecting to the Tornquist zone. The original work did not present such a clear Moho keel and migration was done on line drawings likely using a 1-D or constant velocity model (BABEL Working Group, 1990).

the Hanö Bay basin and check if any evidence of dyke emplacement could be found in the Precambrian basement. Sediments in the Hanö Bay basin are of Mesozoic age and therefore cannot contain any Permian dykes. They can, however, provide information on faulting history within the Tornquist zone. Processed stacked sections of lines A-AA-AB (Fig. 1) from the BABEL Working Group (1990) were merged and used for this purpose. Low frequencies judged to be noise below 5 Hz and remaining from the original stacks were first filtered out; coherency of the reflections was then slightly improved using a FX deconvolution filter (50 traces as filter length), and then migration using a 2-D velocity model was attempted. To check the migration process, we used a number of diffractions observed on the northeastern parts of the merged section as well as the rough geometry of the basement producing edge diffractions or bow ties and examined if they collapsed to a point and did not look too over- or under-migrated. This was particularly important for the area under Hanö Bay given the rapid change from sedimentary to crystalline rocks. Figure 16 shows the resulting image for the whole section of 13 s data and the top 2 s for the Hanö Bay basin region. While multiples are still evident in the seismic section, the Precambrian basement manifests itself as a highly undulating surface (Fig. 16a). Two short segments of reflections are clearly notable around 700 ms time (between the red dashed lines) and likely have basement origins (about 200 ms or approximately 200–500 m throw). The faults also appear to show a multiphase history with both compression (thrusting) and extension (normal faulting). Apart from this, there is no evidence of steep dykes in the basement.

Looking into the deeper parts of the section (Fig. 16b), we clearly realize the Moho definition (transition from a highly reflective lower crust to transparent solidified, seismically homogenous upper mantle) around 12 s (approximately 45–50 km depth) and a clear Moho step (keel of approximately 5–10 km high and 30–40 km wide) under the Hanö Bay basin (slightly inclined towards its southwest half). While there are a number of NE-dipping reflections projecting towards the location of the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone in the lower–middle crust, we cannot be sure if these are dykes or frozen magma chambers that fed the dykes in the upper crust within the Tornquist zone. Some strong dipping reflections are also evident under the Hanö Bay basin (marked with arrows) in the crystalline middle–upper crust but again not evident if
they can be connected to the dolerite dykes within the Tornquist zone in similar character and geometry as observed on the magnetic data, or they represent local dykes intruded into the crust.

6.4 Tectonic model and implication for thermal storage

There are two scenarios that may explain imaging the Permian dykes in the seismic data. Firstly, they are not subvertical everywhere within the Tornquist zone and they quickly turn steeply dipping in the subsurface as also observed at a few quarries in the area (see Fig. 15, where a dolerite dyke is labeled in the middle of the figure; see also Sivhed et al., 1999). Secondly, and according to our preferred scenario, the region immediately south of the quarry is strongly influenced by the RFZ (thrusting during the Cretaceous–Neogene compressive tectonic regime), so it has led to a block rotation of the basement rocks including the Permian dykes (Fig. 17). This has turned the dykes from being subvertical in most places to steeply dipping and tilted towards the NE. The study area is located nearly at the edge of the RFZ, and hence it is possible that the seismic profile crosses the fault zone or is very close to it. Such a scenario is also consistent with what is suggested by Sivhed et al. (1999) and Erlström and Sivhed (2001): immediately south of the RFZ, faults and dykes dip towards the NE as a result of basement tilting. Again, for the reasons mentioned earlier, we think that the reflections originated from the Permian dykes and not faults nor amphibolite lenses. Figure 17 provides a simplified tectonic sketch of our preferred scenario illustrating this interpretation.

The main implication of our interpretation of the dipping dolerite dykes instead of the subvertical ones is that the storage site (if it has a diameter greater than 100–200 m) may be intersected by one of these dykes. Given that the dyke–country rock contacts are likely highly water bearing, they can have a great influence on the underground water flow and hydrogeology of the subsurface. If these dykes intersect the storage, then the dykes would not act as a barrier for the water (to contain the water or fluids to be used for the thermal energy storage) but rather complicate potential leakage and fluid removal in case any leakage occurs. If building a storage site would become a reality again, core drilling, a 3-D seismic survey and appropriate downhole logging (including magnetic susceptibility) should be done. Crosshole and vertical seismic profiling (VSP) measurements can also be done to provide greater details in the subsurface. Given that Phillips et al. (2017) and this study both observe the dolerite dyke swarm, N–NE-dipping, large-scale high-fold seismic transects across the Tornquist zone would be important, since they may imply a major magma source region towards the south, which fed a more than 500 km radius dyke swarm system (Fig. 1).

7 Conclusions

In this study, we have acquired three high-resolution seismic profiles with a primary objective of characterizing an underground thermal energy storage site in the southwest of Sweden within the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone. A number of boreholes were drilled following the seismic study and provided a better constraint for the interpretation of the seismic data. Clear refracted and reflected arrivals were identified in the data and allowed a clear delineation of bedrock surface and structures within it that are important for the geological storage and tectonic evolution of the site. We argue that majority of the reflections, 60–65° NE dipping, originated from the Permian dolerite dykes, some of which acted as planes where thrusting occurred. They spatially appear in a regular
order and are consistent from one profile to another. Given that in most places these dykes are observed as subvertical, these seismic reflection images would then be the first time they are shown in such a steeply dipping character. We think the steeply dipping dykes have been tilted from a subvertical position due to the thrusting of the RFZ immediately located south of the Dalby rock quarry. Its implication for locating a thermal storage can be that these dykes would then be encountered if the storage has a radius larger than 100 m. The dyke–country rock contacts are likely highly water bearing and hydraulically conductive; hence, the dykes may not act as a barrier but rather a fluid leaking zone. Most of these dykes have also intruded into the earlier faults formed during extension/riifting phases within the Tornquist zone, implying they may potentially be zones of weaknesses for construction purposes.

To complement this study, historical offshore BABEL seismic data across the Sorgenfrei–Tornquist Zone were also revisited. The new processing work conducted on poststack sections of lines A-AA-AB led to a better recognition of the Moho and its necking character (5–10 km of Moho step across 30–40 km wide zone) under the Tornquist zone. While several others have suggested this, it is greatly evident in the revisited seismic section in this study because of better handling the poststack migration and improving coherency of the reflections. A number of faults and their kinematics (normal and reverse) have also been inferred within the Hanö Bay basin providing compelling evidence of the multiphase history of the Tornquist zone (tectonic inversion). Moreover, a series of NE-dipping reflections observed in the lower crust projecting towards the Tornquist zone is interpreted to be related to the dyke swarm within the Tornquist zone although this cannot be proved in this study and requires further studies.
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