Giant Fungated Locally Advanced Breast Carcinoma Responded to Hypofractionated Radiotherapy Combined with Apatinib: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract: Locally advanced breast cancer (LABC) is frequently encountered in clinical practice. Primary systemic therapy is regarded as the cornerstone of LABC management to downstage the disease and enable surgery. However, multiple lines of systemic agents may fail to control tumor growth in a considerable number of patients, and few options remain available for such patients. Here, we present a case of triple-negative, right breast cancer that progressed aggressively despite 3 lines of standard chemotherapy. The patient suffered from severe skin ulceration, bleeding, pain, infection, and fungation. The small-molecular tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) apatinib was initiated, which targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2). The patient then underwent hypofractionated irradiation applied to the whole right breast at 40 Gy/8 fractions. The tumor responded dramatically to this combination, and a near-complete remission (CR) response was achieved 2 months after irradiation. Our case is novel and instructional and demonstrated the efficacy and safety of hypofractionated irradiation combined with antiangiogenesis for the treatment of intractable LABC, shedding light on this difficult situation. In the near future, large-scale clinical trials will be initiated to further explore this issue.
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Introduction

Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) accounts for 15%–20% of all invasive breast cancer (BC).1 Due to the lack of hormone receptor expression, including estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) expression, chemotherapy is the mainstay of TNBC treatment.2 However, TNBC often progresses even after several lines of standard chemotherapy regimens. Currently, the management of TNBC can be complicated, and no consensus regarding the treatment of TNBC refractory to standard chemotherapy exists. Here, we describe a locally advanced TNBC that responded positively to hypofractionated radiotherapy plus apatinib, a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) inhibitor following the failure to respond to multiple lines of intensive chemotherapy. Our case treatment strategy provided an option for achieving good short-term local control, which greatly alleviated patient suffering. Antiangiogenesis overcame radiation resistance, to a certain extent. Novel therapeutic avenues are
urgently necessary to maintain TNBC patients free of distant metastases and to achieve durable local remission because TNBC has the high propensity to metastasize preferentially to the viscera and relapse.\textsuperscript{1}

**Case Presentation**

A 67-year-old, Chinese woman was referred to a tertiary hospital in 2018 with a 2-year history of a mass in the right breast. The subsequent pathological analysis of biopsy tissue revealed invasive ductal breast carcinoma (IDBC) with immunohistochemical results of ER(-), PR(-), androgen receptor (AR)(-), HER2(3+), and Ki67(20%+). Five cycles of primary systemic therapy with TA (Docetaxel, 120 mg, and epirubicin, 130 mg, for 21 d in each cycle) were performed. However, the tumor grew fiercely, and skin ulceration occurred. In December 2018, the patient presented to our hospital. Breast ultrasound showed a huge hypoechoic mass, measuring approximately 117 mm × 45.6 mm, located in the right breast. The pathological results of a second biopsy from the right breast lump further confirmed IDBC, but the immunohistochemical results demonstrated triple negativity, with CK5/6(+) and Ki67(50%+) (Figure 1). The evaluation of bilateral axillary lymph nodes, lung, liver, and skeleton revealed no involvement of these tissues. Because the HER-2 immunohistochemistry result was once 3+, and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) results had not yet been reported, one cycle of TCH (Docetaxel, 110 mg, d2; Carboplatin, 550 mg, d2; and
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**Figure 1** The pathological results of the rebiopsy pathology of the right breast tumor performed in our hospital. (A) Hematoxylin–eosin-stained sections revealed that the tumor cells grew in a solid and patchy infiltrating manner (original magnification: 200×). (B–D) ER, PR, and HER-2 were negative for neoplastic cells by immunohistochemical analysis (original magnification: 200×). (E) CK5/6 was strongly expressed by tumor cells (original magnification: 200×). (F) Ki-67 was expressed in the nuclei of approximately 50% of tumor cells (original magnification: 200×).
Trastuzumab, 400 mg, d1) was performed, but the response to treatment remained progressive disease (PD). The reevaluation of pathology at the tertiary hospital and further FISH analysis confirmed no amplification of the HER2 gene. Trastuzumab was suspended, and the chemotherapy regimen was switched to NP (Vinorelbine, 36 mg, d1, 8; Carboplatin, 500 mg, d1), which continued to have no effect. The ulcer spread rapidly throughout the entire right breast. Apatinib, at a dose of 250 mg daily, was administered starting January 29, 2019, to March 3, 2019, resulting in a stable disease (SD) response.

After a multidisciplinary consultation, radical radiotherapy (RT) was recommended. At this time, the entire right breast had disappeared, replaced by a huge, fungated, cauliflower-like mass, measuring approximately 110 mm × 95 mm with a foul smell (Figure 2A). A hypofractionated irradiation of 40 Gy/8 fractions was delivered to the tumor, using a biological effective dose (BED) of 60 Gy (Varian 21EX, Varian Corporation, USA). The detailed RT technique was as follows: three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT), 6 MV (Eclipse 13.6 planning system, Varian Corporation, USA); the V20 and mean dose of the right lung were 7.03% and 392.4 cGy respectively. The patient’s quality of life was improved dramatically, including reduced bleeding and pain and the cessation of the foul odor. After RT, she continued apatinib for 28 days, from March 16 to April 12, at a dose of 250 mg daily. Then, apatinib was suspended because the patient could not afford the expense. Surprisingly, the huge tumor demonstrated a near-complete remission (CR) response at the 2-month follow-up after RT (Figure 2B). No hematologic toxicities, hypertension, proteinuria, or hand-foot syndrome occurred. The patient only complained of mild fatigue (grade 1) according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), V4.03, criteria. However, this patient passed away in August 2019 due to brain metastasis. At that time, recurrence in the chest wall was detected.

**Discussion**

The treatment for TNBC is challenging due to the lack of identified molecular targets, and TNBC tends to be characterized with as highly proliferative with rapid progression. Recently, few breakthroughs have been detailed in this field, which remains restricted to the application of conventional, cytotoxic chemotherapies. However, in our patient, the tumor progressed fiercely, despite the use of multiple lines of standard chemotherapy agents, such as anthracyclines, taxanes, and platinum. Under such circumstances, disease management becomes rather difficult, with limited options.

RT plays an irreplaceable role in the treatment of BC; however, the role of RT in LABC requires further exploration. Yee et al retrospectively reviewed 43 inoperable LABC cases, although TNBC was excluded from this analysis. RT delivered to the breast, chest wall, or related regional lymph nodes contributed to a median local progression-free survival (PFS) of 12 months. Eighty-four percent of the cohort demonstrated a good response to RT, with tumor shrinkage during the 3 months after RT. Of 24 evaluable cases, ulceration and bleeding were significantly relieved in 13 patients. Coelho et al retrospectively analyzed 57 LABC individuals who were refractory to primary systemic therapy. RT of 50 Gy/25 fractions
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Figure 2 The effect evaluation between pre-RT and 2 months after RT. (A) The fungated, cauliflower-like tumor occupied the entire right breast before RT. (B) The tumor shrank significantly and eventually fell off 2 months after RT.
downsized the tumors in 75.4% patients, allowing the performance of mastectomy. Those candidates who were eligible for mastectomy had an obvious overall survival (OS) advantage compared with those who were not eligible (49 vs 18 months). Kosma et al performed hypofractionated RT, with concurrent 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) treatment, for 17 locally, far-advanced BC patients and attained an objective response rate (ORR) of 70%. The hypofractionated chemoradiotherapy schema used in this study was as follows: 4–5 Gy per fraction, twice per week; 5-FU, 300 mg/m², administered intravenously 1 hour before each RT fraction. For unresectable cohorts, hypofractionated RT conferred long-term local control, associated with mild side effects and convenience.

Conflicting views have been reported regarding the response of TNBC to RT. Post-mastectomy radiation therapy (PMRT) trials revealed that the absolute decrease in the local recurrence rate associated with PMRT in TNBC was 17%, less than the 48% reported for the luminal B and the 29% reported for the luminal A subtypes, which was interpreted as an indication of the relative radioresistance of TNBC compared with hormone receptor-positive cohorts. Similarly, among breast-conserving BC candidates, the addition of RT resulted in reduced benefit and local failure reduction among ER-poor individuals. In contrast, TNBC patients, who are defective in the breast cancer 1 (BRCA1) pathway, were considered to be inherently more radiosensitive. These controversial results can be partly explained by the heterogeneity associated with TNBC, which has diverse subtypes and unclear biological mechanisms. The BRCA1/2 mutation status of our patient was unknown because she refused to undergo BRCA1/2 testing.

In our patient, the tumor was so large and refractory to multiple regimens that RT alone did not achieve an optimal effect. The satisfactory short-term efficacy achieved following RT in our case was the result of two components. First, the hypofractionated scheme of applying 40 Gy/8 fractions achieved better control of tumor. Compared with conventional RT fractions, hypofraction has the advantage of better tumor control. Early-passage cells treated with a hypofractionated irradiation scheme showed less proliferative and invasive abilities. The proportion of tumor stem cells was relatively low, suggesting increased sensitivity to hypofractionated RT. Second, the administration of apatinib played a synergistic role in combination with RT. Apatinib is a novel, small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that binds to the intracellular segment of VEGFR2, exerting a certain anti-metastatic effect in BC. In a retrospective study of 24 multi-drug-resistant, advanced BC patients, reported by Lü er al, apatinib monotherapy administered at 500 mg daily achieved an ORR of 41.7% and a PFS of 4.7 months. VEGFR2 has been shown to be upregulated greatly in TNBC. For heavily pretreated, metastatic TNBC individuals, apatinib at 500 mg daily contributed to an ORR of 10.7% and a median PFS of 3.3 months. In both studies, apatinib was administered until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. However, in our patient, the short-term application of apatinib made the local control of tumor and prevention of distant metastasis greatly compromised. Importantly, the inhibition of the VEGF pathway helped to prevent the proliferation of hypoxic cells, which is generally regarded as a critical issue for radioresistance. Additionally, the activation of the VEGF and VEGFR2 pathway resulted in increased vascular cell permeability, followed by increased interstitial fluid pressure, which aggravated hypoxia. Anti-VEGFR2 was revealed to reduce the avidity of endothelial cells after RT, which was closely associated with relapse following RT (Figure 3).

Certain antiangiogenic agents can transiently normalize the abnormal structures and functionality of the tumor vasculature, increasing the efficiency of oxygen and drug delivery. Therefore, chemotherapy or RT applied during the normalization window will result in increased efficacy. However, determining the correct dose, time, and duration of various antiangiogenic agents is fundamental to inducing a normalization effect. Apatinib administered at 250 mg daily for 5 days was able to induce vascular normalization in animals. However, this type of vascular normalization effect of apatinib varies from person to person. In our patient, the application of apatinib (250mg daily for 34 days) before RT might play a role in normalizing tumor blood vessels, which contributed to enhance the RT efficacy to a certain extent.

Unfortunately, our patient died of brain metastasis only 5 months after RT and experienced local failure. She only took apatinib for 4 weeks after RT, without any further treatment. This outcome highlights that a novel and effective systemic treatment strategy is urgently necessary to exert anti-metastatic efficacy and strengthen the role of local treatment. Compared with other subtypes, TNBC is more immunogenic, which indicates that TNBC might obtain additional benefits from immunotherapy. Impassion-130 was the first clinical trial to report the
positive results of immunotherapy for TNBC. Atezolizumab, a programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitor, has been approved as the first option, combined with Nab-paclitaxel, for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic PD-L1**+** TNBC.[26,27] According to the report by Kim, in a human TNBC xenograft mouse model, the administration of natural killer (NK) cells plus RT dramatically suppressed pulmonary, liver, and lymph node metastases.[28] Our patient was unable to afford the cost of immunotherapy. According to the study by Jiang et al, transcriptome-based data further classified TNBC into four settings: luminal androgen receptor (LAR); immunomodulatory (IM); basal-like immune-suppressed (BLIS); and mesenchymal-like (MES).[29] The LAR subtype had a high frequency of mutations associated with cell cycle activation and HER-2, suggesting that a CDK4/6 inhibitor or an anti-HER-2 approach might be important.[29] Immunotherapy might play a critical role in IM because immunoreactive cells and immune activators are significantly enriched in this subtype.[29] BLIS demonstrated a high frequency of BRCA1/2 germline mutations and genomic instability. Platinum and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors have been shown to be effective for BLIS, with high homologous recombination repair defect scores.[29] MES is associated with the enrichment of tumor stem cell-related genes and angiogenesis-related pathway activation. Strategies that target tumor stem cells or angiogenesis might work.[29] For our patient, her refusal to allow a comprehensive analysis of the genomic landscape hampered our ability to understand the nature of her tumor and prescribe individualized and truly effective precision management strategies.

**Conclusion**

The treatment of LABC that is refractory to multiple lines of systemic treatment approaches, especially the TNBC subtype, is challenging and requires special attention. Hypofractionated RT plus antiangiogenesis conferred a satisfactory, short-term efficacy with minimal toxicity, as described by our case. This combination is promising due to the synergistic effect and improved RT sensitivity induced by the administration of a VEGF pathway inhibitor, shedding light on this difficult situation. Based on these findings, novel modalities, such as immunotherapy and targeted therapy, that aim to eradicate metastasis and enhance local control are essential for transforming this short-term effect into long-term efficacy. Genomic and

**Figure 3** The role of the VEGFR2 inhibitor in the reversal of radioresistance. Apatinib, which acts on the intracellular segment of VEGFR2, inhibited downstream signal activation despite the binding between VEGF with VEGFR2 in vascular endothelial cells, preventing the activation of the following pathways: the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway, which promotes EC proliferation; and the PI3K/AKT pathway, which inhibits EC apoptosis and promotes EC survival. The activation of VEGF/VEGFR2 could increase the intracellular calcium concentration, activating endothelial nitric oxide synthase, and promoting the production of nitric oxide and prostacyclin. Thus, vascular cell permeability greatly increased, followed by an increase in the interstitial fluid pressure, which is further aggravated by hypoxia. The VEGFR2 inhibitor overcame radiation resistance by inhibiting the proliferation and survival of ECs and reducing hypoxia.

**Abbreviations:** BAD, Bel-2 associated death promoter; COX-1/2, cyclooxygenases; DAG, sn-1,2-diacylglycerol; EC, endothelial cell; ERK 1/2, extracellular regulated kinases 1 and 2; Gab1, Grb2-associated binder-1; IP3, inositol (1,4,5)-trisphosphate; eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; NO, nitric oxide; PDK1/2, phosphoinositide-dependent kinases 1 and 2; PGII, prostacyclin; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PIP2, phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate; PIP3, phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate; PKB, protein kinase B; PKC, protein kinase C; cPLA2, cytosolic phospholipase A2; PLCγ1, phospholipase Cγ1; Shb, Src Homology 2 domain-containing adapter protein B.
transcriptomic profiles will deepen our understanding of TNBC and facilitate the tailoring of precision treatments.
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