CASE REPORT

Development of active tuberculosis in patients treated with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs
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Background. Biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) have been shown to be highly effective in the treatment of rheumatic conditions, but may increase the risk of infections. Development of tuberculosis (TB) while on bDMARD therapy is of particular concern in high TB burden settings such as Western Cape Province, South Africa.

Objectives. To describe the diagnosis, management and outcome of patients who developed active TB while receiving a bDMARD.

Results. Ten patients who screened negative for TB prior to initiation of a bDMARD subsequently developed active TB. TB was diagnosed between 10 months and 9 years from bDMARD initiation, suggesting new infection, and included 6 cases of extrapulmonary TB. All patients required multiple tests to confirm the diagnosis of TB, and all were successfully treated.

Conclusions. TB can occur in patients on bDMARD therapy despite initial screening, and may have unusual, extrapulmonary manifestations that pose a diagnostic challenge.
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The aforementioned studies demonstrated that the risk of developing TB during treatment with a bDMARD may be substantially higher in SA than in developed countries with a low TB burden. However, to our knowledge there has been little further investigation of this relevant topic in our setting. This case series aimed to add to the limited existing knowledge by describing the diagnosis, management and outcome of patients who developed TB while receiving bDMARD therapy at a private rheumatology centre in the Western Cape.

Case series
The case series involved 10 patients with rheumatic conditions who developed active TB while receiving treatment with a bDMARD. All patients were seen at a private rheumatology centre in the Cape Winelands district of the Western Cape. The rheumatology centre has a patient base of ~3 000 individuals, of whom ~300 are on bDMARD treatment. The cases of TB presented in the current series were prospectively identified by the treating rheumatologists between 2006 and 2020. TB episodes were reported in SABIO and were also recorded in a practice database. Seven patients provided signed consent for the use of their medical information in the case series, and motivation for waiver of consent was accepted for the remaining 3 cases. The study was approved by a university health research ethics committee (ref. no. C21/07/020).

Patient demographic and clinical characteristics
Patient demographics, clinical characteristics and TB screening results are shown in Table 1. All patients had undergone mandatory TB screening prior to bDMARD initiation, of whom 8 had no screening results suggestive of TB: 3 patients had a negative tuberculin skin test (TST), a negative interferon gamma release assay (IGRA) test and a normal chest radiograph; 4 had a negative TST and a normal chest radiograph; and 1 had a negative TST and radiographic findings indicative of known interstitial lung disease but not of TB. Of the 2 remaining patients, 1 had a positive TST and radiographic findings suggestive of TB; however, these observations were consistent with a previous TB episode that had been successfully treated. The other patient had a positive TST and a normal chest radiograph and was prescribed isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) prior to commencing a bDMARD. This patient was the only one of the 10 patients who received IPT.

DMARD and steroid use among the patients are shown in Table 2. At the time of developing TB, 7 patients were receiving adalimumab, a TNF-α inhibitor. Two of these patients had previously been treated

---

**Table 1. Patient demographics, clinical characteristics, pretreatment TB screening and isoniazid prophylaxis**

| Patient no. | Age at TB diagnosis (years) | Gender | Rheumatic disease | Comorbidities | Pre-bDMARD TB screening | Isoniazid prophylaxis |
|-------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1           | 46                         | M      | axSpA            | Hypertension  | Negative Negative Normal | No                    |
| 2           | 61                         | F      | RA               | None          | Negative Negative Normal | No                    |
| 3           | 42                         | F      | RA               | None          | Negative Negative Normal | No                    |
| 4           | 82                         | F      | RA               | Lung fibrosis | Negative n/d ILD         | No                    |
| 5           | 22                         | F      | PsA              | Obesity       | Negative n/d Normal      | No                    |
| 6           | 40                         | F      | RA               | None          | Negative n/d Normal      | No                    |
| 7           | 36                         | M      | axSpA            | None          | Negative n/d Normal      | No                    |
| 8           | 52                         | F      | RA               | Asthma        | Positive n/d Suggestive of TB | No*                   |
| 9           | 50                         | M      | RA               | Hypertension  | Positive n/d Normal      | Yes                   |
| 10          | 29                         | F      | RA               | None          | Negative n/d Normal      | No                    |

TB = tuberculosis; M = male; F = female; axSpA = axial spondyloarthritis; RA = rheumatoid arthritis; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; PTB = pulmonary tuberculosis; bDMARD = biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; TST = tuberculin skin test; IGRA = interferon gamma release assay; n/d = not done; ILD = interstitial lung disease.

*TB screening results attributed to previous TB episode.

**Table 2. Disease-modifying antirheumatic drug and steroid use at the time of TB diagnosis**

| bDMARDs | At TB diagnosis | sDMARDs at TB diagnosis | Steroid use at TB diagnosis |
|---------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1       | -              | Adalimumab              | None                       |
| 2       | Etanercept     | Adalimumab              | Methotrexate               | None                       |
| 3       | -              | Adalimumab              | Methotrexate               | Prednisone                 |
| 4       | Etanercept     | Adalimumab              | Methotrexate               | None                       |
| 5       | -              | Adalimumab              | Leflunomide                | None                       |
| 6       | -              | Adalimumab              | Methotrexate               | None                       |
| 7       | Etanercept     | Adalimumab              | None                       |
| 8       | Infliximab     | Rituximab               | None                       |
| 9       | -              | Etanercept              | Methotrexate               | None                       |
| 10      | Adalimumab     | Tobilizumab             | None                       |

TB = tuberculosis; bDMARD = biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; sDMARD = synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug.
with etanercept and 1 had previously been treated with etanercept and infliximab, which are also TNF-α inhibitors. The remaining 3 patients were receiving rituximab, etanercept and tocilizumab, respectively, at the time of developing TB. Rituximab is a B-cell-depleting bDMARD and tocilizumab is a monoclonal antibody interleukin-6 receptor inhibitor, so these bDMARDs represent the only two non-TNF-α inhibitors used by affected patients at the time of TB diagnosis. Six patients were receiving an sDMARD in addition to bDMARD therapy, and 2 patients were using steroids at the time of TB diagnosis (Table 2).

**Diagnosis**

Details of TB diagnosis are shown in Table 3. The time for which the patient had been receiving bDMARD therapy prior to developing active TB varied considerably, ranging from 10 months for patient 8 to 9 years 6 months for patient 7. However, 8 of the 10 patients had been on a bDMARD for at least 2 years 11 months at the time of diagnosis.

A notable feature of the case series was that 6 of the 10 patients had extrapulmonary manifestations of TB, including unusual sites of infection. Four patients had exclusively extrapulmonary TB: patient 1 developed TB of the peritoneum and mesenteric lymph nodes, which was diagnosed following a peritoneal biopsy and peritoneal fluid aspirate; patient 2 developed TB of the larynx and cervical lymph nodes, which was diagnosed following a vocal cord and cervical lymph node biopsy; patient 6 developed TB of the pleura, which was diagnosed following a chest radiograph, pleural biopsy and pleural fluid aspirate; and patient 7 developed TB of the mediastinal lymph nodes and possibly of the meninges, which was diagnosed following a lumbar puncture, chest computed tomography scan and mediastinal lymph node biopsy. Pulmonary TB was excluded by chest imaging in these 4 patients.

The other 2 patients with extrapulmonary TB had evidence of concurrent pulmonary involvement: patient 3 developed TB of the extensor tendon of the wrist and of the lungs, which was diagnosed following a biopsy of the synovium of the joint and a positive sputum culture; and patient 9 developed disseminated TB involving the lungs, axillary lymph nodes and pericardium, which was diagnosed following chest imaging, an axillary lymph node biopsy and a pleural tap. The remaining 4 patients had pulmonary TB only, in each case diagnosed following suggestive chest imaging and smear- or culture-positive sputum.

**Management and outcome**

Upon TB diagnosis, the bDMARD was stopped and TB treatment initiated. All patients were treated according to the TB drug regimen described in the national TB management guidelines, and most received sDMARD treatment throughout the course of TB treatment (Table 3). Seven patients completed the standard 6-month course of TB treatment, whereas treatment was extended in patients 4, 7 and 9 under the following circumstances. Patient 4 had pulmonary TB with radiographic evidence of cavitation and a history of previously treated TB. She had a delayed response to TB treatment and her treatment was therefore extended to 10 months. Patient 7 had mediastinal lymph node TB and possible TB meningitis. Upon suspension of adalimumab, he developed severe immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome and required corticosteroid therapy in addition to the anti-TB drugs. After 6 months of TB treatment, his mediastinal lymph nodes were still enlarged and his TB treatment was extended to 12 months. Patient 9 had disseminated TB and owing to a slow response his TB treatment was prolonged to 9 months of treatment, which resulted in complete cure. All 10 patients were successfully cured of TB with only one known complication, development of a post-TB aspergilloma in a lung cavity in patient 4.

Five patients resumed bDMARD therapy after completing TB treatment, including 2 on rituximab, 1 on abatacept, 1 on adalimumab and 1 on tocilizumab (Table 3). Patient 6 was trialled on abatacept but was subsequently switched to tocilizumab and then to rituximab, following poor efficacy and an infusion reaction, respectively. Patient 7 resumed treatment with adalimumab 3 months after completion of TB treatment and remained on adalimumab and INH preventive therapy until March 2020. At the time of his TB episode, TNF-α inhibitors were the only available bDMARDs for spondyloarthritides. When the interleukin-17A (IL-17A) inhibitor secukinumab was licensed in SA, his treatment was changed from adalimumab to secukinumab, which is thought to be associated with a lower risk of TB. The remaining 5 patients did not resume bDMARD therapy after the TB episode, but were successfully managed with various sDMARDs (Table 3). At most recent follow-up, all patients had achieved disease remission for their respective rheumatic conditions and had experienced no further episodes of TB.

**Discussion**

The first feature of the current case series was the time period between initiating a bDMARD and development of active TB, which ranged from 10 months to >9 years among the patients. TB occurring within the first 6 months of initiating a bDMARD is normally regarded as reactivation TB, whereas the longer time frames of the current series are suggestive of new-onset TB. The likelihood of new-onset TB is also supported by the fact that patients screened negative for latent TB prior to initiating a bDMARD or were prescribed IPT as indicated. TB screening carries the risk of false-negative results, especially in the case of TST tests among patients with rheumatic conditions, who would have been receiving immune-suppressing sDMARDs. However, the screening appears to have been adequate for the current patients, and the minimum TB screening requirements of the South African Rheumatism and Arthritis Association (SARAA) guidelines – a TST and a chest radiograph – were adhered to in all cases.

The approach to IPT for patients initiating bDMARD therapy may differ between the private and public sectors in SA. Only one of the current private sector patients was initiated on IPT, following a positive TST, and the IPT had a fixed duration of 9 months. However, in some public hospitals in SA, patients may receive IPT throughout the duration of treatment with a bDMARD. This approach is presumably seen as a necessary precaution in view of the relatively high underlying TB risk in the public sector population. The SARAA guidelines note that 9 months of IPT may be appropriate for patients at very high risk of TB who are due to commence anti-TNF-α therapy, irrespective of TST or IGRA test results. However, at present there is little evidence with which to evaluate the risks and benefits of long-term IPT while receiving a TNF-α inhibitor or a non-TNF-α inhibitor. This constitutes an important area for further study.

A further feature of the current case series was that 6 of 10 patients had extrapulmonary manifestations of TB, including a number of unusual sites of TB disease such as the extensor tendon, the larynx and the meninges. Reports suggest that typically only 15% of all TB cases in SA are classified as extrapulmonary, so the proportion in the current series is abnormally high. However, this observation is in keeping with previous reports of increased extrapulmonary TB among bDMARD users, including 38% of cases with extrapulmonary TB in the SABIO study.
| Patient no. | Time on bDMARD | Organ involvement | Diagnostic investigations and results | Management during TB episode | Management after TB episode |
|------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|            | TB diagnosis   |                 |                                      | sDMARDs         | bDMARD                   | sDMARDs         |
|            |                | Management       |                                      | TB treatment (mo) |                          | TB treatment (mo) |                          |
|            |                | during TB episode|                                      | sDMARDs         |                          | sDMARDs         |                          |
|            |                | Management       |                                      | bDMARD         |                          | bDMARD         |                          |
| 1          | 4 y 11 mo      | Peritoneum       | Peritoneal biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Sulfasalazine | -                        | Methotrexate     |
|            |                |                  | Peritoneal fluid ADA: 66.3 U/L |                          |                          | Sulfasalazine     |
|            |                |                  | Ultrasound scan and macroscopic appearance: suggestive of TB |                          |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | CXR: normal |                          |                          |                          |
| 2          | 5 y 6 mo on etanercept, 6 mo on adalimumab | Larynx, Cervical LNs | Vocal cord biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Sulfasalazine | -                        | Methotrexate     |
|            |                |                  | Cervical LN biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Methotrexate |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | CXR: normal | Chloroquine |                          | Chloroquine |
| 3          | 2 y 11 mo      | Extensor tendon, Lungs | Synovial biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Sulfasalazine | Rituximab               | Methotrexate     |
|            |                |                  | Sputum culture: positive | Methotrexate |                          |                          |
| 4          | 6 y            | Lungs            | Sputum smear: positive | Sulfasalazine | Rituximab |                          |
|            |                |                  | CT scan: suggestive of TB | Methotrexate |                          |                          |
| 5          | 4 y            | Lungs            | Sputum smear: positive | Methotrexate | -                        | Methotrexate     |
|            |                |                  | CXR: suggestive of TB | Chloroquine |                          |                          |
| 6          | 2 y 11 mo      | Pleura           | CXR: pleural effusion | Sulfasalazine | Abatacept, then tocilizumab, then rituximab | Methotrexate |
|            |                |                  | Pleural biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Chloroquine |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | Pleural fluid PCR: positive |                          |                          |                          |
| 7          | 3 y 9 mo on etanercept, 3 y 3 mo on infliximab, 2 y 6 mo on adalimumab | Mediastinal LNs, Meninges | CT scan: enlarged mediastinal LNs | Sulfasalazine | Adalimumab, then secukinumab | - |
|            |                |                  | Mediastinal LN biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Mediastinal LN biopsy ZN: positive |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | Mediastinal LN biopsy PCR: positive | Mediastinal LN biopsy PCR: positive |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | CSF lymphocytes: 132/μL |                          |                          |                          |
| 8          | 10 mo          | Lungs            | Sputum culture: positive | Sulfasalazine | -                        | Leflunomide |
|            |                |                  | CXR: suggestive of TB | Chloroquine |                          |                          |
| 9          | 1 y            | Disseminated TB – lungs, axillary LNs, pericardium | CXR: suggestive of TB | Sulfasalazine | Tocilizumab | Methotrexate |
|            |                |                  | CT scan: bilateral pleural effusions, pericardial effusion and fibronodular parenchymal infiltrate | Methotrexate |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | Mediastinal LN biopsy histology: suggestive of TB | Chloroquine |                          |                          |
|            |                |                  | Pleural tap ADA: raised ADA |                          |                          |                          |
| 10         | 2 y 10 mo on adalimumab, 1 y 3 mo on tocilizumab | Lungs | CXR: left upper lobe infiltrate | Sulfasalazine | -                        | -                          |
|            |                |                  | Bronchial washings smear: positive |                          |                          |                          |

TB = tuberculosis; bDMARD = biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; sDMARD = synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; LNs = lymph nodes; ADA = adenosine deaminase; CXR = chest radiograph; ZN = Ziehl-Neelsen; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; CT = computed tomography; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; - = this type of DMARD was not prescribed.
Increased extrapulmonary TB may suggest poor containment of TB in the lungs, and would be in keeping with reduced TNF-α function to contain TB in the lung via granuloma formation. Of the 8 patients who were receiving a TNF-α inhibitor at the time of TB diagnosis, 6 had extrapulmonary involvement and 2 had exclusively pulmonary TB. Conversely, the 2 patients receiving non-TNF-α inhibitors both developed exclusively pulmonary TB. We are not aware of studies that have directly assessed the relative risk of extrapulmonary TB when receiving TNF-α inhibitors v. non-TNF-α inhibitors. However, the current observations were consistent with previous reports of a large proportion of extrapulmonary TB among individuals who developed TB while receiving a TNF-α inhibitor. For example, a study from the BSRBR reported that 25 of 40 (62%) of TB cases in the anti-TNF-α cohort were extrapulmonary. Extrapulmonary TB can present a diagnostic challenge, and cases in the current series required a number of investigations before TB was diagnosed. Nevertheless, all cases in the series, both pulmonary and extrapulmonary, were ultimately histologically and/or bacteriologically confirmed and successfully treated with a minimal number of complications. Little is known about the safety of resuming bDMARD therapy after a TB episode. However, 5 patients in the current case series did resume treatment with a bDMARD, albeit with precautions. In most cases, this involved selection of a non-TNF-α inhibitor bDMARD, which is associated with lower risk of TB. More specifically, 4 patients who had been on a TNF-α inhibitor prior to the TB diagnosis were switched to a non-TNF-α inhibitor. Only one patient resumed bDMARD therapy with a TNF-α inhibitor after the TB diagnosis. However, this patient remained on concurrent IPT to reduce the risk of TB before later switching to secukinumab, an IL-17a inhibitor. Notably, non-TNF-α inhibitor bDMARDs were licensed for use in RA at an earlier stage than for other rheumatic conditions such as axSpA and PsA and influenced the DMARD treatment options for each patient over the course of the study period. Nevertheless, both those resuming bDMARDs and those reverting to sDMARDs achieved disease remission and have had no further TB episodes to date.

A strength of the current case series was that we were able to provide detailed information on screening and diagnostic test results along with pre- and post-TB DMARD use among the patients involved. A limitation was that we were not able to obtain reliable information on steroid use prior to initiation of bDMARD therapy. Two of the patients were known to be using steroids at the time of TB diagnosis, a factor that may help to explain TB susceptibility in these patients. Nevertheless, both those resuming bDMARDs and those reverting to sDMARDs achieved disease remission and have had no further TB episodes to date.

Conclusions

The current case series adds to limited existing knowledge on the development of active TB while receiving bDMARD therapy in a high TB burden setting. It highlights that ostensibly new-onset TB may occur several years after bDMARD initiation and have unusual extrapulmonary manifestations that pose a diagnostic challenge. Clinicians managing patients on bDMARD therapy are therefore encouraged to maintain a high index of suspicion for TB, even if initial screening tests were negative. Finally, the cases presented offer encouraging evidence that TB can be successfully treated and certain bDMARD therapies resumed.

Teaching points

• Active TB developed up to several years after bDMARD initiation.
• Some patients had extrapulmonary TB with uncommon disease sites.
• Multiple diagnostic tests were required.
• All patients were successfully treated.
• Several patients resumed bDMARD therapy after completion of TB therapy.
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