Individual empowerment in overweight and obese patients: a study protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obesity is a growing health problem in Europe and it causes many diseases. Many weight-reducing methods are reported in medical literature, but none of them proved to be effective in maintaining the results achieved over time. Self-empowerment can be an important innovative method, but an effectiveness study is necessary. In order to standardise the procedures for a randomised controlled study, a pilot study will be run to observe, measure and evaluate the effects of a period of self-empowerment group treatment on overweight/obese patients.

Methods: and analysis Non-controlled, experimental, pilot study. A selected group of patients with body mass index >25, with no severe psychiatric disorders, with no aesthetic or therapeutic motivation will be included in the study. A set of quantitative and qualitative measures will be utilised to evaluate the effects of a self-empowerment course in a 12 month time. Group therapy and medical examinations will also complete this observational phase. At the end of this pilot study, a set of appropriate measures and procedures to determine the effectiveness of individual empowerment will be identified and agreed among the different professional figures. Results will be recorded and analysed to start a randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed methodology.

Ethics and dissemination: This protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Udine in March 2012. The findings of the trial will be disseminated through peer-reviewed journals, national and international conference presentations and public events involving the local administrations of the towns where the trial participants are resident.

Trial Registration: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01644708.

BACKGROUND

According to WHO Europe, overweight and obesity are the growing health problems in Europe (in 2011, 52% of the adult population is overweight, of which 17% is obese).1 2 In Italy, in 2011, the per cent of Italian obese was 10%, with an increase, in respect to 2001, of 1.6%;3 on including the overweight Italians, the per cent rises to 40.2%.4 The proportion of obesity varies with age: the Cardiovascular Epidemiological Observatory recorded that in Italy, between 1998 and 2002, within the general population (35–74 years), 17% of the men and 21% of the women were obese, while 50% of the men and 34% of the women were overweight; in the senior population (65–74 years), 20% of the men and 32% of the women were obese, while 50% of the men and 40% of the women were overweight.5

In particular, 30% of the women in menopause were obese and 39% were overweight.5 Obesity causes many diseases—cardiovascular, type 2 diabetes, obstructive sleep apnoea, obesity hypoventilation syndrome, non-alcoholic steatosis, hepatitis, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, asthma, venous stasis, severe urinary incontinence, disabling arthropathy, depression or a serious deterioration in quality of life.5
Apart from genetic and endocrinological causes, obesity can be related to an inability to change health behaviours because of a low control over stress factors in the patient’s life.\(^7\)

With regard to therapy, Epicentro states that “the treatment consists of a reduction of the body weight, under close medical supervision, often at specialised centres and in the maintenance of a weight appropriate for one’s height thanks to proper nutrition.”\(^8\)

Diet, which is often studied in specific cases, should be supported by a suitable physical activity and possibly by behavioural therapy. According to the US guidelines,\(^9\) in more complex cases, pharmacological therapies can be used, based on dexphenfluramine, sibutramine or phentermine/phenfluramine, for periods ranging from 6 months to 1 year.\(^10\) Otherwise, surgery is the only recommended treatment in extreme cases for those patients suffering from acute obesity \(\text{BMI} > 35\) and other associated pathologies, that is, in patients having a high mortality risk, or who do not respond to other treatments.\(^8\)

**METHODS/DESIGN**

**Theoretical background**

Many methods are reported in medical literature, but none of them proved to be effective in maintaining the results achieved over time.\(^11\)

Motivational change remains a fundamental step towards the maintenance of a new lifestyle. Strengthening personal capabilities and self-esteem seem to be key strategies in motivating persons for change and facilitating their coping capacity.\(^12\)

Our institution, through an non-governmental organisation (NGO) called ‘Diamo Peso al Benessere’ (Let’s give weight to wellbeing), has recently introduced a new methodology based on self-empowerment of overweight/obese patients. Major stress is put on increasing self-esteem and coping capacities. Group discussion of individual psycho-socio-economical situation is conducted by an empowerment expert. Emphasis is given to the maximisation of personal resources and future planning capacity.

In a 11 years’ time (2001–2011), more than 594 patients, allocated into 45 groups, according to the annual therapeutic approach promoted by the NGO ‘Diamo Peso al Benessere’, were reported to have lost and maintained a considerable amount of weight (average BMI from 35.43 to 32.17, with an overall 9.2% reduction, 8.97 kg each) (tables 1 and 2). A 5-year follow-up on 149 patients showed the maintenance and an increased reduction of the weight (table 3).

Those results could lead to a real clinical change and have a possible high impact on people’s health; however, since the scientific methodology was not duly recorded and standardised, the planning of an effectiveness study was decided on the next 80 included patients.

The aim of this pilot study is, then, to observe, measure and evaluate the clinical effects, over a period of 1 year, of a self-empowerment group treatment on overweight/obese patients.

**Conceptual background**

The concept of empowerment is defined in a sufficiently broad way by Rappaport.\(^13\) Empowerment is described as a process focused on action, through which persons, organisations and communities acquire mastery over their own lives.\(^14\)\(^15\)

Self-empowerment is a social process recognising, promoting and strengthening the patient’s ability to satisfy his own needs, involving a mobilisation of the resources needed in order to feel in control of his own life.\(^16\)\(^17\)

This personal power grows with self-understanding and the change must take place on the individual level. Furthermore, self-empowerment depends not only on the need to develop a sense of choice and control but also on the need to (i) feel secure and connected and (ii) develop a sense of meaning and coherence.\(^18\)\(^19\)

In the context of our mental health institution, we utilise the concept of self-empowerment in which the person’s power is considered essential for the

**Table 1**

| Mean BMI at baseline and after 1 year of self-empowerment group treatment | (data for all patients and according to BMI category at baseline) |
|---|---|
| All patients, \(n=594\) | Baseline: 35.43, Post-treatment: 32.17 |
| Normal BMI (18.50-24.99), \(n=11\) | Baseline: 23.43, Post-treatment: 22.43 |
| Overweight (25.00-29.99), \(n=85\) | Baseline: 28.07, Post-treatment: 25.84 |
| Mild obesity (30.00-34.99), \(n=218\) | Baseline: 32.42, Post-treatment: 26.65 |
| Severe obesity (35.00-39.99), \(n=159\) | Baseline: 37.13, Post-treatment: 33.78 |
| Morbid obesity (\(\geq 40.00\)), \(n=120\) | Baseline: 44.99, Post-treatment: 40.06 |
The relationship of the person with his environment and with his facing the external world.20 21

The theoretical foundation of self-empowerment includes the following key attributes:

▸ The person as a value.
▸ The behaviour as a consequence of the personal perception of success or failure.
▸ The personal empowerment as a measure of change and of self-efficacy, allowing to pass from a perspective of need to a perspective of desire.
▸ The group as a space in which to activate these processes on the conscious level and ‘here and now’.
▸ The group is also seen as a support, reference framework and a time/space allowing both identity and participation.

Recent research demonstrated that over the short term, overweight/obese persons can lose weight, whatever diet or programme they comply with. The problem is to maintain the weight loss (and/or to continue losing weight) after the treatment programme is over.22–24

Overweight and obesity, as a result also of unhealthy lifestyles, can be addressed and tackled only if patients are determined to participate.25 The persons reaching this service had already experimented with many diets, specialists’ interventions, educational therapies, individual psychotherapies and even weight-loss surgery, with poor long-term effectiveness.

This therapy proposal was therefore worked out and tested as being different from the others, and mainly based on the motivational aspects of personality.

Our hypothesis is that self-empowerment helps people in improving quality of life both in terms of physical and psychical well-being and in terms of weight loss, maintaining the results achieved over time.

Before starting an effectiveness study and in order to standardise the procedures, a partnership was created with the Regional School for the Training in Primary Care that provided medical expertise to standardise health change evaluation. General practitioners in training were allocated within the mental health department.
and given the responsibility to perform the clinical evaluation of the overweight and obese patients.

**Trial design**

This pilot study aims at observing, for a period of 1 year, the effects of individual empowerment on a set of qualitative (sense of coherence and well-being) and quantitative (clinical and laboratory) indicators in a group of overweight and obese persons.26

**Sample size**

A selected group of 80 overweight/obese patients will be included in the study. All highly motivated persons asking to enter the self-empowerment groups will be assessed for inclusion. The sample size is considered adequate to verify the research hypothesis.

**Inclusion criteria**

The study will include all adults (18 years and older), with a BMI >25, entering the new ‘therapy groups’ of the project. People will access the project either by

A. Spontaneous access through the ‘Support Service’ run by the Association or by

B. Referral to the service by general practitioners or specialists.

Before being included in the therapy groups, participants will undergo an inclusion phase of three individual in-depth interviews with an expert. The interviews are considered essential to assess patient’s motivation to change (Likert scales 0–10 on importance of the problem+self-confidence to change) and to strengthen their willingness to cope. Each of these aspects is in-depth evaluated in different sessions. A final interview, with request to sign the informed consent form and an agreement to follow the group sessions for 1 year, closes the inclusion phase.

**Exclusion criteria**

Minors, patients who must lose weight in a short time because of planned surgery, those who suffer from severe health problems (severe stroke outcomes, severe respiratory disease, heart or kidney failure, neoplasms and severe psychiatric pathologies), those who want to lose weight only for aesthetic reasons, those who cannot guarantee regular weekly attendance for the treatment and those who, at the same time, use other means for losing weight (weight-loss surgery, psychoanalysis or other nutritional regimens).

**Measures**

- Quantitative and qualitative measures will be collected.
- Main quantitative measures: body weight and waist circumference.
- Secondary quantitative measures: blood pressure, blood sugar levels, total blood cholesterol values, blood triglyceride values, creatine levels, benzodiazepines and antidepressants intake.
- In order to evaluate the presence of sleep apnoea and depression, frequently associated with obesity, the following questionnaires will be self-administered: Berlin sleep questionnaire27
- Hamilton rating scale for depression.28
- Qualitative measures: the following scales are used to translate complex qualitative issues, more linked to the salutogenic model of health into quantitative measures—Antonovsky’s sense of coherence scale and a four-point Likert scale on empowerment.29–32

**Protocol of intervention**

Four groups of 20 people each will be created. The group meetings, lasting for 1.5 h, will take place every week, always on the same day and at the same time, for 1 year. During each meeting, the participant ‘weighs him/herself’ and registers the weight in his/her daily diary. The same expert who performed the interviews will also be dedicated to the group conduction. Expert’s major competences are psychotherapy, groups conducting and self-empowerment training.

The intervention is based on the following:

- Taking account of suffering living with over-running of ‘personal internal killers’.
- Identification, activation, maximisation of personal strengths and resources.
- Adding and experimenting new possibilities through motivation to take action.
- Shifting from a needs-based life to a positive approach to life, based on pleasure and desire.
- Valorisation of successful experiences.
- Utilised methods are as follows:
  - Written therapeutic agreement, to define cornerstones of the intervention.
  - Circle time.33
  - Brainstorming.34
  - Daily diary.35
  - The ‘Daisy of Possibilities’.21
  - Individual letters.35

**T 0 Baseline**

All patients will follow these procedures:

- Complete family, pharmacological history, cardiovascular risk assessment and physical examination, performed by a medical doctor.
- Computer-assisted filing (Excel spread sheet) of data: BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure (two measurements in 10–15 min) and non-invasive oximetry.
- Questionnaires administration: Antonovsky’s sense of coherence, Berlin test on sleep apnoea and Hamilton Depression Test.
- Recording of existing blood test in the previous 3 months: blood cells count, glycaemia, thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, urine test, glycate haemoglobin and creatine clearance.
- First group meeting with introducing the method.
- Handing over a personal daily diary.
T 2
▶ A 2-month follow-up.
▶ Meetings dealing with ‘perceptive harmonisation’ (getting to know one’s own body) will be added to the group activities. This activity will consist of 10 weekly meetings led by experienced physiotherapists.

T 6
▶ A 6-month follow-up.
▶ The appointments will be agreed upon with the persons about 1 month in advance. A presentation letter for respective general practitioner will be prepared; participants will be invited to bring the results of any tests undergone under the previous 3 months.
▶ Physical examination plus Hamilton Depression Test questionnaire.
▶ Collection of narrative reflections about each personal life path.
▶ Participation in plenary meetings (all of the groups together) for ‘knowledge sharing’ with experts.

T 12
▶ Pharmacological intake evaluation, cardiovascular risk assessment and physical examination.
▶ Computer-assisted filing (Excel spread sheet) of data: BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure (two measurements in 10–15 min) and non-invasive oximetry.
▶ Questionnaires administration: Antonovsky’s sense of coherence, Berlin test on sleep apnoea and Hamilton Depression Test.
▶ Recording of existing blood test in the previous 3 months: blood cells count, blood glucose, TSH, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, urine test, glycate haemoglobin and creatine clearance.

Follow-up
Participants will sign an agreement that they will participate in all the follow-ups. NGO’s staff will call each patient to remind the importance of attending the sessions.

Statistical analysis
A descriptive analysis of all the parameters collected, both the baseline data and those from 6-month and 12-month follow-ups will be performed.

For continuous variables (age, weight, BMI, etc.), indicators such as the mean, standard deviation, minimum, median and maximum values will be calculated. The categorical data will be presented by means of frequency tables (n, %).

The changes in the parameters and in the distribution of categorical variables pertaining to those from the 6-month and 12-month follow-ups will be recorded. McNemar’s test will be used on the categorical variables, with a level of significance for the p value fixed at <0.05. This will allow the recording of any differences in the distributions of the main factors of interest. T tests will be used for paired data for assessing changes in the categorical variables (Table 4).

As drop-out rates are expected, particularly among non-respondents, the potential for selection bias will be tested by comparing baseline patient characteristics between respondent and non-respondent. Indeed, an intention to treat approach will be used; this means that patients who will be lost to follow-up will be censored at the time of the last visit they attended and included into the analysis. Survival analysis techniques will be used by using Cox analysis for binary outcomes and generalised models for continuous variables. Missing data will be also handled by using the Last Observation Carried Forward method.

DISCUSSION
Our assumption is that self-empowerment method can be a resource to enable people to manage stressful events, to discover external/internal resources, to mobilise them and to promote effective coping by finding solutions, in a health-promoting manner.

In overweight and obese patients, according to self-empowerment, losing weight is not the principal aim, but a desirable outcome of personal awareness and hopefulness.

We decided to evaluate not only physical and clinical parameters but also humanistic patient-oriented outcomes in order to stress the importance and novelty of this new methodology. In particular, the SOC questionnaire seems to be applicable for measuring people’s ability to maintain health despite stress (coping), one objective of the self-empowerment approach.

At the end of this pilot study, we are expecting to identify a set of appropriate measures and procedures to determine the effectiveness of self-empowerment. Results will be recorded and analysed to start a randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed methodology.
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Table 4 Synoptic table of the tools used

| Tool                                   | Month 0 | Month 6 | Month 12 |
|----------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Daily diary                            | X       |         |          |
| Form about motivation for change       |         | X       |          |
| Form about self-empowerment            |         |         | X        |
| Questionnaire about emotional states   |         |         | X        |
| Questionnaire about sense of coherence |         |         | X        |
| Test about perceived health            |         | X       | X        |
| Berlin test                            | X       |         |          |
| Audit of alcohol consumption           |         | X       | X        |
| Test for depression                    |         | X       | X        |
| Approval rating form                   | X       |         |          |
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