Structural characterization of proton-pumping rhodopsin lacking a cytoplasmic proton donor residue by X-ray crystallography
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DTG/DTS rhodopsin, which was named based on a three-residue motif (DTG or DTS) that is important for its function, is a light-driven proton-pumping microbial rhodopsin using a retinal chromophore. In contrast to other light-driven ion-pumping rhodopsins, DTG/DTS rhodopsin does not have a cytoplasmic proton donor residue, such as Asp, Glu, or Lys. Because of the lack of cytoplasmic proton donor residue, proton directly binds to the retinal chromophore from the cytoplasmic solvent. However, mutational experiments that showed the complicated effects of mutations were not able to clarify the roles played by each residue, and the detail of proton uptake pathway is unclear because of the lack of structural information. To understand the proton transport mechanism of DTG/DTS rhodopsin, here we report the three-dimensional structure of one of the DTG/DTS rhodopsins, PspR from Pseudomonas putida, by X-ray crystallography. We show that the structure of the cytoplasmic side of the protein is significantly different from that of bacteriorhodopsin, the best-characterized proton-pumping rhodopsin, and large cytoplasmic cavities were observed. We propose that these hydrophilic cytoplasmic cavities enable direct proton uptake from the cytoplasmic solvent without the need for a specialized cytoplasmic donor residue. The introduction of carboxylic residues homologous to the cytoplasmic donors in other proton-pumping rhodopsins resulted in higher pumping activity with less pH dependence, suggesting that DTG/DTS rhodopsins are advantageous for producing energy and avoiding intracellular alkalinization in soil and plant-associated bacteria.

Microbial rhodopsins are a large family of photoreceptive heptahelical transmembrane (TM) proteins (1–3). They share a common structural architecture consisting of seven TM helices (TM1–7) and an all-trans-retinal chromophore, which is covalently connected to a conserved lysine via a protonated Schiff base linkage. More than 10,000 microbial rhodopsins have been identified by genomic and metagenomic analyses of diverse microorganisms (bacteria, archaea, algae, fungi, protists, etc.) as well as in giant viruses (2–6). Light absorption by microbial rhodopsin induces the isomerization of the retinal chromophore to the 13-cis form and is associated with various types of biological molecular functions, including light-driven ion pumps (6, 7), light-gated channels (8–10), light-dependent gene regulation (11, 12), and light-dependent enzyme (13) (Fig. 1). A new rhodopsin family, heliorhodopsin, which is distinct from all typical microbial rhodopsins and has an inverted protein orientation, was recently discovered (14). However, the function of heliorhodopsin remains unknown.

The most abundant microbial rhodopsin is light-driven outward proton (H+)–pumping rhodopsins, which convert light energy to the chemical potential of the H+ gradient (proton motive force) to promote ATP synthesis by ATP synthase and other H+–coupled transport events (15). The first light-driven outward H+ pump, bacteriorhodopsin (BR), was found in the extreme halophilic archaeon Halobacterium salinarum (formerly called Halobacterium halobium) (16). The photoexcited BR exhibited a cyclic reaction, called a photocycle, composed of several photointermediates. Multistep H+ transfer occurs during outward H+ pumping, and acidic residues in BR (BR Asp85, Asp96, Glu194, and Glu204) play critical roles (1, 17). First, several tens of microseconds after the photoisomerization of the retinal, H+ is transferred from the retinal Schiff base (RSB) to BR Asp85, which is deprotonated and stabilizes the protonated RSB as a counterion in TM3 in the dark state. Then, another proton is released from a complex composed of Glu194, Glu204, and a bound water molecule (proton-release group [PRG]) to extracellular solvent (18, 19). Next, H+ is transferred from the cytoplasmic Asp96 to the deprotonated RSB. Finally, after H+ uptake of Asp96 from the cytoplasmic solvent, an H+ transfer from Asp85 to PRG occurs at the final step of the photocycle; it has been suggested that Asp212, another counterion in TM7, participates in this long H+ transfer (20, 21).

Acidic residues homologous to BR Asp85 and Asp96 are conserved in other H+–pumping rhodopsins, whereas PRG is...
not necessarily conserved. These two residues and BR Thr89, which are also important for the H⁺-pumping function, are called the DTD motif (7, 22). In other outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsins from bacteria, called proteorhodopsin and xanthorhodopsins, BR Asp96 is substituted with a glutamic acid residue (DTE motif) (23, 24). In addition, Exiguobacterium sibiricum rhodopsin uses lysine residue as a nonacidic H⁺ donor (DTK motif) (25). In contrast to these outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsins, a new family of outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsins without a cytoplasmic donor residue was found (26, 27). In these rhodopsins, glycine residue is in a position homologous to BR Asp96, giving rise to their name “DTG rhodopsin” (Fig. S1) (3, 6). Another outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsin, SpaR, is phylogenetically very close to DTG rhodopsin but has a serine residue at the position homologous to BR Asp96 (DTS motif) (28). Therefore, we refer to these rhodopsins without a cytoplasmic donor as “DTG/DTS rhodopsin.” Owing to the lack of a cytoplasmic donor, H⁺ directly binds from the cytoplasmic solvent to the RSB, so that the rate of reprotonation is proportional to the external H⁺ concentration (26, 27). On the other hand, it has been argued that a conserved histidine residue at the position of BR Thr46 in TM2 works as a new proton donor. Although the mutations of this histidine residue in the best-characterized DTG rhodopsin from Pseudomonas putida, PspR, to an arginine residue or a tyrosine residue (PspR H37R and H37Y) slowed down the reprotonation of RSB, no significant effect was observed for a histidine-to-asparagine (H37N) mutant. The complex results of the histidine mutants suggest that the proton-uptake process of DTG/DTS rhodopsins is not a simple event and that a more complex mechanism is involved. To understand the mechanism of H⁺ uptake by DTG/DTS rhodopsins in detail, we performed a three-dimensional structural analysis of PspR using X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Results

The X-ray crystallographic structure of PspR was obtained at 2.84 Å resolution using the lipidic cubic phase (LCP) method (Fig. 2 and Table S1). In the crystal, PspR showed a trimeric structure similar to that of BR (Fig. 2A). Whereas the cytoplasmic side of TM5 of PspR is shorter than that of BR by two α-helical turns, other parts of the TM of PspR overlap well with the structure of BR (Fig. 2B). However, the cytoplasmic side of the TMs of PspR was significantly displaced from that of BR (Fig. 2B, blue arrows), whereas no large displacement was observed on the extracellular side. This structural difference on the cytoplasmic side is related to the rearrangement of the structure because of the loss of cytoplasmic donor in PspR. In particular, the cytoplasmic side of TM7 from PspR Ala212–Arg222 moves away from TM1, creating larger cytoplasmic cavities compared with BR (Fig. 2C, dashed circles). These cytoplasmic cavities would increase the accessibility of water from the cytoplasmic milieu to the RSB region and would be
related to the direct binding of H\(^+\) to the RSB at the last stage of the photocycle.

Similar to many outward H\(^+\)-pumping rhodopsins, the H\(^+\) transport pathway of Psp\(_R\) is thought to exist in the hydrophilic interhelical region surrounded by TM2, TM3, TM6, and TM7, including the RSB-binding site (1, 17, 26–34). Hence, we focused on the amino acid residues consisting of this interhelical region (Fig. 3). Two carboxylates (Psp\(_R\) Asp73 and Asp206) (Fig. 3B) are present on the extracellular side of the RSB, stabilizing the protonated RSB via electrostatic interactions in the dark. While a hydrogen bond is present between Asp73 and Thr77, Asp206 is also hydrogen bonded with two tyrosine residues, Tyr48 in TM2 and Tyr179 in TM6. These hydrogen bonds are believed to stabilize the negative charges of the counterions. In BR, homologous counterions are bridged by three internal water molecules (Wat401, 402, and 406) (Fig. 3C, right) with protonated RSB via electrostatic interactions in the dark. While a hydrogen bond is present between Asp73 and Thr77, Asp206 is also hydrogen bonded with two tyrosine residues, Tyr48 in TM2 and Tyr179 in TM6. These hydrogen bonds are believed to stabilize the negative charges of the counterions. In BR, homologous counterions are bridged by three internal water molecules (Wat401, 402, and 406) (Fig. 3B, right) with protonated RSB, Thr89, and Arg82. In contrast, two water molecules exist in this region in Psp\(_R\) (Wat1 and 2) (Fig. 3B, left). The difference in the numbers of water molecules altered the orientation of the counterions in Psp\(_R\) compared with BR. As a result, the hydrogen-bonding distance between Wat1 and the counterions in Psp\(_R\) is shorter than that between Wat402 and counterions in BR, whereas the distance between Asp73 and Thr77 in Psp\(_R\) is longer than that between Asp85 and Thr89 in BR.

In contrast to the high similarity of the RSB region between Psp\(_R\) and BR, a significant difference was observed on the extracellular side. In BR, Glu194 and Glu204 make the PRG with water molecules on the extracellular surface (Fig. 3C, bottom). BR Glu204 is substituted with Thr198 in Psp\(_R\), and the Psp\(_R\) Glu188 homologous to BR Glu194 forms a different type of hydrogen bond with Arg70, Tyr71, and a water molecule (Fig. 3C, top), suggesting that the H\(^+\) release process of Psp\(_R\) would be different from BR. To investigate the role of Psp\(_R\) Glu188 in the H\(^+\) release process, we measured the H\(^+\)-pumping activity of Psp\(_R\) E188Q and E188A. The pumping activities of Psp\(_R\) E188A and E188Q were 35% to 40% of Psp\(_R\) WT (Fig. 4), suggesting the significance of Glu188 in the proton release process of Psp\(_R\).

The cytoplasmic cavity of the interhelical region surrounded by TM2, TM3, TM6, and TM7 consists of many hydrophilic residues (His33, His37, Tyr91, Tyr213, and Ser217). This is in contrast with BR, in which the cytoplasmic region is more tightly packed by hydrophobic residues in the dark (35). A water molecule was observed near Gly84 (“G” of DTG motif) (Fig. 3D). This water molecule formed hydrogen bonds with two histidine residues, His33 and His37, characteristic of DTG/DTS rhodopsins in TM2 and Tyr213 in TM7. Interestingly, His37 forms two more hydrogen-bonding networks: His37–water–main-chain carbonyl of Lys210 and His37–Ser217. Ser217 is not highly conserved (an alanine is most conserved in DTG/DTS rhodopsins at this position), whereas Tyr213 is conserved in 95% DTG/DTS rhodopsins (Fig. S2). Looking the residue at this position in other microbial
rhodopsins, a phenylalanine is present in BR (Phe219), and a homologous tyrosine is conserved only in sodium pump rhodopsins in addition to DTG/DTS rhodopsins. We expected that the Tyr213 characteristic of DTG/DTS rhodopsins would play an important role in its H+-pumping function and compared the H+-pumping activity of \( \text{PspR} \, \text{Y213F} \) and \( \text{Y213A} \) mutants with that of the \( \text{PspR} \, \text{WT} \). The activities of these mutants, however, were almost identical to the WT (Fig. 4, A and B). Therefore, Tyr213 would not be critical for H+ uptake by \( \text{PspR} \). To obtain further insights into this residue, we carried out a laser flash photolysis experiment to determine the photocycles of these mutants. As a result, the photocycle of \( \text{PspR} \, \text{Y213F} \) was also similar to that of the WT, whereas the decay of the M2 intermediate representing the deprotonated state of RSB was significantly slower (Fig. 4 C). Hence, Tyr213 appears to partly contribute to the acceleration of the H+-uptake process of \( \text{PspR} \), but it is not critical to generate substantial differences in the activity.

\( \text{PspR} \, \text{Leu81} \) in TM3 is completely conserved in DTG/DTS rhodopsins (Fig. S2) and is located near the water molecule connecting His37 and the main-chain carbonyl of Lys210 (Fig. 3D). This leucine residue is also conserved in many other microbial rhodopsins. The mutation of homologous leucine residue in BR (Leu93) slows the photocycle by two orders of magnitude (36). In addition, cryotrapping and femtosecond time-resolved X-ray crystallographic structural analyses have observed the rotation of the side chain of Leu93 during the photocycle (37, 38). The mutation of homologous leucine residue in light-driven inward H+-pumping rhodopsin, schizorhodopsin, results in the loss of function and direct connection between RSB and cytoplasmic solvent, even in the dark (39). These results indicate the importance of this leucine residue in H+ transport. Next, we investigated the effect of the \( \text{PspR} \, \text{L81A} \) mutation. Unexpectedly, the mutant showed 1.9-fold larger activity than that of \( \text{PspR} \, \text{WT} \) (Fig. 4). The photocycle of \( \text{PspR} \, \text{L81A} \) showed a large accumulation of the long-lived O-like intermediate equilibrating with M intermediate (Fig. S3), which is observed with only a small amount of accumulation for the WT (26). The excitation of the O-like intermediate by the long-wavelength component of light could result in a shortcut of the photocycle by the photo-induced conversion from the O to the initial state and a higher turnover rate of the H+ pumping. To confirm this hypothesis, the pumping activity of \( \text{PspR} \, \text{WT} \) and \( \text{L81A} \) was assayed with a narrower excitation wavelength (\( \lambda = 535 \pm 10 \) nm), at which the O-like intermediate is not excited. As a result, the pH change induced by \( \text{PspR} \, \text{L81A} \) was considerably smaller than that induced by WT, indicating that the photoreisomerization of the O-like intermediate shortcuts the photocycle and enhances the H+-transporting rate (Fig. S3).

Finally, Gly84 was mutated to Asp or Glu, which mimics the carboxylic acid at the proton-donor position in DTD-type and DTE-type H+-pumping rhodopsins. Surprisingly, \( \text{PspR G84D} \) exhibited H+-pumping activity significantly higher than that of WT, and the activity of G84E was even stronger (Fig. 4, A and B), suggesting that Asp and Glu can enhance the H+-pumping
activity compared with natural glycine residue. The transient absorption change of PspR G84E was similar to that of the WT (Fig. 4D). Its rate of the decay of the M-intermediate, however, was less dependent on pH, represented by the slower lifetimes in Figure 4E than that of the WT; therefore, the turnover rate of the photocycle of PspR G84E is faster than that of WT at pH ≥7.

Discussion

DTG/DTS rhodopsins are the first light-driven outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsins without a proton donor residue at a position homologous to BR Asp96 (recently, a viral rhodopsin distant from DTG/DTS rhodopsins with an identical motif was also reported to have an outward H⁺-pumping function (34)). Although a previous study suggested direct H⁺ uptake from the cytoplasmic milieu to the RSB and substantial involvement of His37 in this process, no detailed structural insights were obtained.

The X-ray crystallographic structure obtained in this study showed that PspR forms a trimer similar to that of BR (Fig. 2A). Halophilic archaean rhodopsins (BR, sensory rhodopsin, and halorhodopsin in the phylogenetic tree in Fig. 1) and their close relatives from bacteria, other archaea, and eukaryotes (bacterial halorhodopsin, Anabaena sensory rhodopsin/xenorhodopsin, and fungal and algal rhodopsins in the phylogenetic tree in Fig. 1) are known to exist as trimers (40–43). The DTG/DTS family is phylogenetically close to them, suggesting that these trimeric rhodopsins evolved from a common origin (trimeric ancestor).

The structure of the RSB region in PspR is similar to that in BR (Fig. 3B). Previously, the hydrogen-bonding network around the RSB was studied by low-temperature difference FTIR spectroscopy (26). Based on the smaller number of O–D stretching (str.) bands of D₂O observed for PspR than for BR, the number of water molecules around RSB appeared to be smaller in the former. This is consistent with the smaller number (two) of water molecules hydrogen bonding with the RSB and the counterions in the structure of PspR, compared with three in BR (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, Dr Kandori and co-workers (44) found that all outward H⁺-pumping rhodopsins have strongly hydrogen-bonded water, which exhibits an O–D str. band at <2400 cm⁻¹, which is critical for the H⁺-pumping function. The O–D str. band of strongly hydrogen-bonded water was observed for both PspR and BR. The band of PspR appeared at higher wavenumber (2255 cm⁻¹) than that of BR (2171 cm⁻¹) indicates that the hydrogen bond, which was suggested to be between Wat402 and Asp85 in the structure of BR (45), is weaker in PspR. The distance between Wat1 (homologous to Wat402 in BR) in PspR and Asp73 (2.4 Å), however, is shorter than Wat402–Asp85 in BR (2.5 Å). Although this would seem to contradict the result of FTIR, the
angle between the RSB–water–counterion of BR (107.6°) is closer to the ideal tetrahedral angle than \( \text{PspR} \) (94.0°) (Fig. S4).

This tight angle between the RSB–water–counterion in \( \text{PspR} \) would weaken the hydrogen bond between Wat1 and Asp73.

Although His37 is highly conserved in DTG/DTS rhodopsin, a complicated effect of the mutation was reported previously; whereas, long-lived M-intermediate was observed for \( \text{PspR} \) H37Y and H37R, the H37N mutant showed a photocycle identical to that of the WT. In the structure of \( \text{PspR} \), many cavities connecting the cytoplasmic surface and the central part of the protein existed around His37 (Fig. 5). Hence, if the tilting of TM6 occurs during the \( H^+ \)-uptake process, as observed in BR (46–48), a large amount of water molecules may flow into to enable direct \( H^+ \) transfer from the cytoplasmic solvent to the RSB. The photocycle of \( \text{PspR} \) H37N suggests that, even if His37 acts as a proton donor to the RSB like BR Asp96, it has only minor effects. On the other hand, the large decrease in the rate of \( H^+ \) binding, that is, the \( M_2 \)-decay, observed upon substitution with a large basic residue (H37R) (26), may be caused by the disruption of the hydrogen-bonding network and cytoplasmic cavities to maintain the entrance of water molecules. To prove these hypotheses, structural analysis of the photo-intermediate in the future will give us more direct insight.

The mutation of Gly84 to Asp or Glu, that is, the conversion of the motif to DTD and DTE, resulted in approximately twofold and fourfold increases in activity compared with the WT, respectively (Fig. 4, A and B). The less pH-dependent photocycle of \( \text{PspR} \) G84E and its faster turnover rate at pH ≥7 than that of the WT suggests that the Asp and Glu introduced upon G84D and G84 mutations, respectively, partly function as a proton donor to the RSB, similar to carboxylic proton donors in natural DTD-type and DTE-type proton-pumping rhodopsins, which play a role to keep the rate of \( H^+ \) uptake constant over a wide pH range (49). Why does DTG/DTS rhodopsin have a glycine residue, even though proton donors are advantageous for efficient \( H^+ \) pumping? DTG/DTS rhodopsins are found mainly in soil and plant-associated bacteria (26). Their habitats are often alkalized, so that these bacteria use specialized pH-homeostasis systems to avoid intracellular alkalinization (50). Although DTD/DTE-type proton-pumping rhodopsins are efficient pumps, they continue to transport \( H^+ \) if the cells are illuminated under easily alkalinizing conditions (51). In contrast, the \( H^+ \)-pumping activity of DTG/DTS rhodopsins strongly depends on the intracellular pH (Fig. 4). As a result, they can regulate their activity to energize the cells under normal conditions and can avoid unnecessary intracellular alkalinization in soil and plant-associated bacteria. The proton transport mechanism of \( \text{PspR} \) without the cytoplasmic donor residue altering its activity under different conditions provides new insights into active ion transport by membrane proteins and a new basis for the development of next-generation optogenetic tools, which can control the neural activity with avoiding side effects by strong alkalinization of the cell body.

**Experimental procedures**

**Phylogenetic analysis of DTG/DTS rhodopsins**

For the phylogenetic analysis of DTG/DTS rhodopsins, the amino acid sequences of 63 microbial rhodopsins (Fig. 1) were aligned by ClustalW (52). The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method (53). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 32.89314902 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method (54) and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The analysis involved 63 amino acid sequences. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair. There were a total of 378 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, version 6.0) software (55).

**Construction of DNA plasmids for the expression of \( \text{PspR} \)**

The gene encoding \( \text{PspR} \) with codons optimized for \( \text{Escherichia coli} \) \((E. coli)\) expression was synthesized by GenScript and cloned into NdeI–XhoI site of pET21a (+) vector (Novagen, Merck KGaA). The plasmid was transformed into \( E. coli \) C43 (DE3) strain (Lucigen). For mutagenesis, the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis method (Agilent Technologies) was used according to a standard protocol. The sequences of the primers used in mutagenesis are listed in Table S2.

**Protein expression and purification**

\( E. coli \) cells harboring the \( \text{PspR} \)-cloned plasmids were cultured in 2× YT medium containing 50 \( \mu \)g/ml ampicillin. The expression of C-terminal 6× His-tagged proteins was induced by 0.1 mM IPTG in the presence of 10 \( \mu \)M all-trans-retinal (Toronto Research Chemicals) for 4 h at 37 °C. The
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harvested cells were sonicated (Ultrasonic Homogenizer VP-300N; TAITEC) for disruption in buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) and 5 mM MgCl₂. The membrane fraction was collected by ultracentrifugation (CP80NX; Eppendorf Himac Technologies) at 142,000 g for 1 h. The proteins were solubilized in a buffer containing 50 mM MES–NaOH (pH 6.5), 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 3% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside (DDM) (ULTROL Grade; Calbiochem). The solubilized proteins were separated from the insoluble fractions by ultracentrifugation at 142,000 g for 1 h. The proteins were purified using a Co-NTA affinity column (HiTrap TALON crude; Cytiva). The resin was washed with buffer containing 50 mM MES–NaOH (pH 6.5), 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% DDM. The proteins were eluted in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0), 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% DDM. The eluted proteins were dialyzed in buffer containing 20 mM Hepes–NaOH (pH 7.0), 100 mM NaCl, 0.05% DDM for crystallization, and 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, and 0.05% DDM for laser flash photolysis to remove imidazole.

Crystalization of PspR

Concentrated PspR (34 mg/ml protein) was mixed with monoolein (Nu-Chek Prep) in a protein:lipid ratio of 2:3 (v/v) using the LCP method at 23 °C. The mixed sample was dispensed onto glass sandwich plates in a 50 nl drop and was overlaid with 800 nl of reservoir solution consisting of 0.1 M MgCl₂, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 4.0), and 31% PEG-300 by Mosquito LCP (TTP Labtech Ltd). The crystals were harvested directly from the LCP bolus, flash-cooled, and stored in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray diffraction data collection and structure determination

X-ray diffraction data were collected from a single crystal at a cryogenic temperature (100 K) on BL-1A beamline (λ = 1.0800 Å) at the Photon Factory. The collected data were processed to 2.84 Å using XDS software (56). The structure was solved by molecular replacement with Molrep (57) as a search model for the homology model built using SWISS-MODEL (58) based on Rubrobacter xylanophilus rhodopsin (Protein Data Bank ID: 6KFQ). The atomic model was built using Coot (59) and iteratively refined using the Phenix (60). Translation/rotation/screw refinement was performed in the late stages of refinement. The refined structures were validated using the RAMPAGE (61).

Proton transport activity assay

E. coli cells expressing rhodopsins were collected by centrifugation (4800g, 2 min, 20 °C) (CF15RF; Eppendorf Himac Technologies) and washed with unbuffered 100 mM NaCl. The cells were equilibrated three times with rotational mixing in unbuffered 100 mM NaCl for 10 min at room temperature. Finally, the cells were suspended in 7.5 ml of unbuffered 100 mM NaCl, and absorbance at 600 nm was adjusted to 2. The cell suspension was placed in the dark in a glass cell at 20 °C and illuminated at λ > 500 nm from the output of a 300 W xenon light source (MAX-303; Asahi Spectra) through a long-pass filter (Y-52; AGC Techno Glass) and a heat-absorbing filter (HAF-505-50H; SIGMAKOKI). Light-induced pH changes were measured using a pH electrode (9618S-10D; HORIBA). To evaluate the effect of light quality on the ion transport activity, a bandpass filter at 530 ± 5 nm (HQBP530-VIS; Asahi Spectra) was used instead of the Y-52 filter. The measurements were repeated under the same conditions after the addition of 10 μM carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone. To quantitatively compare the ion transport activity, the amount of protein was determined by measuring the near-UV absorption of retinal oxime generated by the hydrolysis reaction between the RSB in the proteins and hydroxylamine. Briefly, E. coli cells expressing rhodopsins were washed with a solution containing 133 mM NaCl and 66.5 mM Na₂HPO₄ (pH 8). The washed cells were treated with 1 mM lysozyme and a small amount of DNaseI for 1 h and then disrupted by sonication. To solubilize rhodopsins, 3% DDM was added, and the samples were stirred overnight at 4 °C. The rhodopsins were bleached with 50 mM hydroxylamine and illuminated with visible light (λ > 500 nm) from the output of a 300 W xenon lamp (MAX-303; Asahi Spectra) through a long-pass filter (Y-52; AGC Techno Glass) and a heat-absorbing filter (HAF-505-50H). The absorption changes upon the bleaching of rhodopsin by the hydrolysis reaction between the retinal and hydroxylamine and the formation of retinal oxime were measured using a UV–visible spectrometer (V-750; JASCO). The molecular extinction coefficient of rhodopsin (ε) was calculated as the ratio between the absorbance of rhodopsin and retinal oxime (ε = 33,900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and the amount of rhodopsin expressed in E. coli cells was determined by the absorbance of bleached rhodopsin and their ε. The relative ion transport activities were normalized to the relative amounts of expressed proteins.

Light flash photolysis

The detail of laser flash photolysis system was previously reported (22, 62). PspR WT and mutants were purified and reconstituted into a mixture of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidyl-ethanolamine (Avanti Polar Lipids) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidyl-sphingomyelin (Avanti Polar Lipids) (molar ratio = 3:1) with a protein-to-lipid molar ratio of 1:50 in 100 mM NaCl and 20 mM Hepes–NaOH (pH 7.0), and DDM was removed by Bio-Beads (SM-2; Bio-Rad). The buffer of the sample solution was exchanged with 6-mix buffer (10 mM trisodium citrate, 10 mM MES, 10 mM Hepes, 10 mM Mops, 10 mM Ches, 10 mM N-cyclohexyl-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid, and 650 mM NaCl) at different pH values (from pH 5 to 9) to determine the pH dependence of the photocycle. The absorption of the protein solution was determined by the absorbance of bleached rhodopsin and their ε. The absorption of bleached rhodopsin and retinal oxime (ε = 33,900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. The sample was illuminated with a beam of second harmonics of a nanosecond-pulsed Nd:YAG laser (λ = 532 nm, 5.7 mJ/cm², 0.025–1 Hz) (INDI40; Spectra-Physics). The time evolution of
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the transient absorption change was obtained by observing the intensity change of the output of an Xe arc lamp (L9289-01; Hamamatsu Photonics), monochromated by a monochrometer (S-10; SOMA OPTICS) and passed through the sample, after photoexcitation by a photomultiplier tube (R10699; Hamamatsu Photonics) equipped with a notch filter (532 nm, bandwidth = 17 nm) (Semrock) to remove the scattered pump pulse. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, 100 to 200 signals were averaged. The signals were global fitted with a multiexponential function to determine the lifetimes of each photointermediate.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. The atomic coordinates and structure factors of PspR were deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession code 7W74 (https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7w74/pdb).
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