Desquamative interstitial pneumonia: an analytic review with an emphasis on aetiology
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ABSTRACT: Desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP) is characterised by the accumulation of numerous pigmented macrophages within most of the distal airspace of the lung and, sometimes, the presence of giant cells. Diagnosis of DIP is not easy and requires surgical lung biopsy. DIP is usually associated with tobacco smoke. However, the association between smoking and DIP is less robust than that with respiratory bronchiolitis with interstitial lung disease or pulmonary Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis; approximately 10–42% of patients with DIP are nonsmokers. DIP can also occur in patients following exposure to certain inhaled toxins (occupational exposure) and drugs, and may occur in the context of certain viral illnesses and autoimmune diseases. In the context of DIP, occupational exposure should be systematically investigated.
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Desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP) was described by LIEBOW et al. [1] in 1965. Its name originated from the belief that the dominant histological feature was desquamation of epithelial cells [1, 2]; however, this is now recognised to be the accumulation of intra-alveolar macrophages, sometimes associated with giant cells [3]. Despite the inaccuracy of this term, the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society have retained the term DIP, mainly because of this disease’s rarity [4].

It is now fairly certain that DIP is very often associated with cigarette smoke and even with passive exposure. The incidence in smokers ranges from 58% to 91% [3, 5, 6]; thus, many patients with DIP are cigarette smokers. However, DIP is more frequently seen in nonsmokers than respiratory bronchiolitis associated with interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD), and has also been observed in pneumoconiosis, rheumatological disease and some drug reactions [7–9]. Thus, DIP is not always associated with exposure to tobacco smoke: occupational exposure to other agents should also be considered to avoid disregarding an occupational aetiology. In this article, we systematically review DIP and, in particular, the association between occupational exposure and DIP.

Epidemiological and clinical features

The average age at onset of symptoms of DIP is between 40 and 60 years [1, 3, 5, 6]. Most studies note a predominance of male patients, i.e. a 2:1 ratio to females [1, 3, 5, 6]. The most frequent presenting features of DIP are the onset of breathlessness during exercise and a persistent cough that is not always productive of sputum [1, 3, 5, 6]. Chest pain and systemic symptoms, including weight loss and fatigue, can also be present [1, 3, 5, 10]. Haemoptysis is rarely described [1, 3]. Occasionally, DIP may be diagnosed in an asymptomatic individual [3, 5, 6]. Bibasilar end-inspiratory crackles and cyanosis are frequently present, and clubbing is not rare [1, 3, 5, 6, 10]. Thus, DIP is classically characterised by an insidious onset of symptoms but may present as a fulminant or rapidly progressive disease [11–13]. The epidemiological and clinical features of DIP are summarised in table 1.

Pulmonary function tests

Pulmonary restriction is usually absent or slight, although diffusion is impaired in most patients with DIP [1, 3, 5, 6]. In the first description of DIP by LIEBOW et al. [1], total lung capacity was <80% predicted in only two out of 10 patients; in a study by YOUSEM et al. [5] mean total lung
capacity was 94% (range 43–133%). At rest, as during exercise, gas exchange is mostly impaired because alveolar–arterial oxygen pressure is increased [1]. Indeed, in the description of DIP by LIEBOW et al. [1], systemic arterial saturation at rest was 95% in eight out of 10 subjects and oxygen saturation diminished in all after mild exercise. In addition, the difference between alveolar–arterial oxygen pressure was elevated in all five patients in whom it was measured, ranging from 13 to 53 mmHg [1]. In the same study, carbon monoxide diffusing capacity was tested in seven patients and was found to be sharply reduced in six [1]. In the study by YOUSEM et al. [5], mean carbon monoxide diffusing capacity was 45% pred (range 32–78% pred). Significant obstructive impairment (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) <70%) is uncommon in DIP and is probably attributable to coexistent chronic bronchitis [3]. Cor pulmonale is rare [14].

### BIOLOGICAL ANALYSES

Biological analyses of DIP (blood cell count, sedimentation rate, renal function, liver function, immunological analysis, etc.) have been generally unrewarding. Where data are presented in published studies, they do not reveal specific significant abnormalities for DIP [1], although LIEBOW et al. [1] found polycythemia in six out of 16 cases caused by respiratory insufficiency. In general, levels of C-reactive protein are not described. Serum lysozyme level has been reported to be elevated some of the time [15]. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid invariably contains increased numbers of alveolar macrophages, a large proportion of which have granules of “smoker's pigment” consisting of intracellular yellow, golden-brown or black particles [16]. Increased numbers of neutrophils, eosinophils and lymphocytes have also been found [17–19], but these findings are not very specific.

### RADIOLOGICAL FEATURES

The appearance of chest radiographs is often normal and any abnormalities tend to be limited and nonspecific [1, 4]. Reported radiographic signs of DIP include widespread, patchy ground-glass opacification, with a greater predilection for the lower-lung zone and, sometimes, peripheral predominance [1, 4]. The ground-glass opacification sometimes has a granular or nodular texture [20]. Because of the low prevalence of DIP, its identification by high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) has been only characterised in one small series (n=22) [21]. Ground-glass attenuation was present on the computed tomograms of all of the 22 cases and was bilateral and moderately symmetric in ≥50% of these cases. It had a lower-zone distribution in the majority (73%) of cases, a peripheral distribution in 59% of cases and was patchy in 23% (figs 1 and 2). Distribution was diffuse and uniform in 18%. Irregular and linear opacities and reticular patterns were frequent (95%) but were limited in extent and usually confined to the base of lungs. They were associated with anatomical distortion, traction bronchiectasis and small peripheral cystic spaces, which are believed to represent dilated bronchioles and alveolar ducts. Honeycombing was seen in less than one-third of cases, and was usually peripheral and limited in extent [21]. KAWABATA et al. [19] suggest that DIP may progress to lung fibrosis. Indeed, long-term follow-up of 14 patients (mean

### TABLE 1

**Epidemiological and clinical features of desquamative interstitial pneumonia**

|                      | LIEBOW [1] | TUBBS [3] | YOUSEM [5] | RYU [6] | Total |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|
| **Cases n**          | 18         | 26        | 36         | 23      | 103   |
| **Males/females n**  | 10/8       | 17/9      | 26/10      | 11/12   | 64/39 |
| **Age at onset years** |
| Median (interquartile range) | 45 (16–63) | 51 (24–75) | 42 (17–67) | 46 (26–69) | 46 (16–75) |
| **Smoking history**  | NS         | 15/26 (58) | 30/33 (91) | 20/23 (87) | 65/82 (79) |
| **Mean smoking exposure pack-years (95% CI)** | 1 exposed to plastic fumes and dusts | NS | No environmental exposure or underlying disease identified |
| **Ocupational history, cases exposed** | 2 exposed to hairsprays | 1/26 (4) | 1/26 (4) | 1/26 (4) | 1/26 (4) |
| **Symptoms**         |            |           |            |         |       |
| **Dyspnoea**         | 18/18 (100) | 23/26 (88.5) | 29/34 (85) | 20/23 (87) | 90/101 (89) |
| **Cough**            | 14/16 (87.5) | 18/26 (70) | 26/32 (81) | 10/23 (43) | 68/97 (70) |
| **Sputum**           | 4/16 (25)  | NS        | 17/33 (52) | NS      | 21/49 (43) |
| **Weight loss**      | 6/10 (60)  | 1/26 (4)  | NS         | 4/23 (17) | 14/61 (23) |
| **Chest pain**       | 9/12 (75)  | 1/26 (4)  | NS         | 4/23 (17) | 14/61 (23) |
| **Haemoptysis**      | 1/16 (6.5) | 2/26 (8)  | NS         | NS      | 3/44 (7) |
| **Asymptomatic**     | 0/18 (0)   | 1/26 (4)  | 5/34 (15)  | 1/23 (4) | 7/101 (7) |
| **Physical signs**   |            |           |            |         |       |
| **Cyanosis**         | 7/10 (70)  | 3/26 (11.5) | NS        | NS      | 10/36 (28) |
| **Crackles<50%**     | 17/26 (65) | 5/9 (56)  | 13/23 (57) | 35/58 (60) |
| **Clubbing**         | 5/9 (55.5) | 12/26 (46) | 15/36 (42) | 6/23 (26) | 38/94 (40) |

Data are presented as n/N (%), unless otherwise stated. NS: not specified. #: clubbing sometimes disappeared after steroid therapy.
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months) by HRCT showed the development of new thin-walled cysts and honeycombing in five patients [19]. In the absence of contraindications, the appearance of extensive ground-glass attenuation on HRCT and the bronchoalveolar lavage are both nonspecific, and according to the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society and the British Thoracic Society, a surgical biopsy is advised in patients with suspected idiopathic interstitial pneumonia who does not show classic clinical and HRCT images of usual interstitial pneumonia [4, 22]. Thus, the diagnosis of DIP requires a surgical lung biopsy (thoracoscopic or open-lung procedure).

HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES

Histological features were initially described by Liebow et al. [1].

Gross features

Surgeons have described the DIP lung as stiff, indurated and somewhat nodular in some cases. In some parts, a coarser porosity than usual gives the scattered foci a finely honeycombed appearance, and the tissue completely lacks the soft, spongy characteristics of a normal lung [1].

Histology

DIP’s main feature is the presence of large numbers of macrophages within alveoli that have a diffuse distribution throughout the pulmonary acini [4, 5, 24]. These macrophages have abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and often contain a finely granular light-brown pigment [5]. The presence of a few multinucleated giant cells is common [1, 3]. The alveolar architecture is generally well maintained, although there is mild chronic inflammatory infiltrate within the interstitium. A moderate number of eosinophils may also be seen. Lymphoid aggregates may be present (fig. 2a–c) [1, 3, 4]. Interstitial fibrosis is usually mild. If present, loss of architecture and cystic airspace formation appear closer to emphysema than to the honeycomb change seen in usual interstitial pneumonia [25].

Epidemiological studies suggest that ~58–91% of cases occur in smokers and, in this context, DIP may be regarded as an excessive response to tobacco smoke. With the recognition of RB-ILD, DIP has come under scrutiny and many believe that RB-ILD and DIP comprise points along a spectrum. The histological findings for RB-ILD are an exaggerated inflammatory reaction with a marked increase in macrophages in and around respiratory bronchioles and minor interstitial thickening (fig. 2d–f). In theory, DIP shows uniform diffuse airspace filling by macrophages, with mild interstitial thickening and a mild chronic inflammatory infiltrate. In practice, the changes may not be entirely uniform. In given cases, one can find fields that qualify as RB-ILD and fields that qualify as DIP. However, although RB-ILD is a disease of smokers, there are several agents that can cause a DIP-like reaction, such as dust inhalation [26, 27], drug reactions [28] and inborn errors of metabolism like in Gaucher’s disease [29]. DIP is usually distinguishable from usual interstitial pneumonia, organising pneumonia, lymphoid interstitial pneumonia and diffuse alveolar damage because the significant accumulation of macrophages is not seen and other histopathological features are absent but, in rare cases, an overlap exists between DIP and nonspecific interstitial pneumonia. Indeed, Jankowich et al. [30] described a series of 10 patients with combined fibrosis and emphysema, in which two patients demonstrated a pattern of interstitial lung disease, on biopsy, characterised by intra-alveolar macrophage accumulation in association with marked alveolar septal fibrosis, consistent with a variant form of DIP with extensive fibrosis. Furthermore, Kawabata et al. [19]...
suggest that DIP may progress to lung fibrosis (radiological data).

Giant-cell interstitial pneumonia is DIP-like with more numerous multinucleated giant cells. It is associated with occupational exposure to hard metal dust; thus, occupational exposure should be explored and systematic mineralogical analysis of a biopsy sample is warranted though is not absolutely necessary for diagnosis.

AETIOLOGIES OF DIP

Radiological support for smoking-related interstitial lung disease was initially provided by Weiss [31, 32] and others, who found that a mild degree of "diffuse pulmonary fibrosis" was three times more common in smokers than in nonsmokers. Most authors have almost invariably associated DIP with smoking [4, 5, 21, 23, 33–35], although DIP is sometimes present in nonsmokers. In the series of Craig et al. [9], 40% of 20 patients were lifelong nonsmokers, yet in an older series of Tubbs et al. [3], 42% of 26 patients had no history of cigarette smoking. These findings suggest other aetiologies for DIP: environmental exposure to inorganic particles is a recognised cause of DIP [1, 8]. Thus, Abraham and Hertzberg [8] conducted a study in 1981 on 62 cases of biopsy specimen-proven cases of DIP. The biopsies were explored for inorganic particles by scanning electron microscopy and all inorganic particles were individually analysed using energy-dispersive X-ray analysis. Of the 17 cases quantitatively analysed, there were mean ± SEM 5.8 × 10^5 ± 1.1 × 10^5 particles per cm^2 and 0.8 × 10^6 ± 0.63 × 10^6 particles per cm^2 in controls (n=5) (p<0.001) [8]. Among the 17 patients, seven had an occupational history compatible with exposure to inorganic particles, and high concentrations of inorganic particles were found in their biopsies [8]. Thus, these authors showed that ~75% (of those with an available environmental history) had a history of dust or fume exposure, and that specific types of particle exposure were documented in 92% of cases. These findings show an association between DIP and exposure to some particles, suggesting an aetiological relationship [8].

Among 20 patients in a study by Craig et al. [9], two of the eight nonsmokers and one patient in the smoker group had been exposed to occupational dust as fire-extinguisher powder, diesel fumes, and beryllium and copper dust, respectively. In addition, Moon et al. [10] described a case of DIP in a nonsmoking patient who was exposed to solder fumes at work. However, there is no proof of a link between this occupational exposure and the occurrence of DIP; however, in light of the study by Abraham and Hertzberg [8], we can suggest that this link is highly probable.

Another occupational cause of DIP could be exposure to nylon filaments in textile works. Indeed, Loughed et al. [36] described a series of five employees from a small textile plant that employed 88 workers. Two of these five patients were ex-smokers and three were never-smokers. The plant manufactured upholstery fabric by gluing finely chopped nylon filament ("Rock") to cotton/polyester fabric using a latex adhesive. Lung biopsy samples demonstrated DIP associated with diffuse alveolar damage. The unusual clustering of these cases within a small textile plant suggests a link with the occupational environment [36]. The authors of this study suggested a potential role for mycotoxins inhaled from the work environment (aflatoxins from Fusarium spp. cultured

![FIGURE 2. a-c) This lung biopsy from a 55-year-old male patient, who was a nonsmoker, shows a typical desquamative interstitial pneumonia pattern. Tissue sections show a) diffuse involvement of the lung by intense macrophage accumulations within almost all of the distal airspace, b) without significant thickening of alveolar septa and sparse inflammatory infiltrate (*). Macrophages do not contain dusty pigment. c) Immunohistochemical analysis with CD163 antibody shows the macrophage nature of the cells. d-f) Lung biopsy from a 66-year-old female, who was a heavy smoker, showing a respiratory bronchiolitis/interstitial lung disease pattern. d) Macrophage accumulation is diffuse but predominantly peribronchiolar and f) in respiratory bronchioles. Alveolar septa are slightly thickened. e) The cytoplasm of most macrophages contains an abundant dust-brown pigment.](image-url)
from specimens taken from the work environment) [37]. It is reported that aflatoxins may be toxic to the lungs [36–41]; however, only one of these studies described interstitial lung disease related to aflatoxin toxicity [36–42]. Thus, the imputability of aflatoxin remains very uncertain.

Another case of DIP has been described in a 38-year-old male textile worker [42]. This was a biopsy specimen-proven case, with severe restrictive syndrome: FEV1 and FVC were 55% predicted, and FEV1/FVC was 99%. The patient was a heavy smoker (50 pack-years) but after remaining off work for 5 weeks and without changing his smoking practices he felt somewhat better, and his FEV1 and FVC increased substantially to 84% pred without any other treatment. This suggests the probable role of toxins within his workplace [42]. Thus, DIP, in some cases, could be an occupational disease of textile workers.

Finally, DIP has been described in tungsten carbide production in biopsy specimens, as reviewed by Liebow and Carrington [2].

Thus, there is a strong hypothesis that DIP can be caused by occupational exposure. We suggest that this should be systematically explored, and think that mineralogical analysis of biopsy samples is necessary when a case of occupation-associated DIP (exposure to inorganic dust) is suspected.

In addition, DIP has been associated with connective tissue disease [43, 44], rheumatoid arthritis [45], drugs such as sirolimus [46], infection [47–49], and users of large amounts of marijuana [50]. All known causes of DIP other than tobacco smoking are summarised in table 2.

| Causes                                      | Ref.       | Comments                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Occupational exposure to inorganic particles | [1, 2 8–10] | Nature of particles: Si, Mg, Ti, Fe, Ni, Pb, Cr, Au, Ag, Al, K, Ti, BaS, Be, Cu. Occupational exposure to inorganic dust. |
| Exposure to mycotoxins                       | [37–43]    | Occupation: tool grinder, arc polisher, tyre manufacturing worker, plastic machinist, Al arc welder, worker exposed to fire-extinguisher powder, diesel fumes. |
| Connective tissue diseases                   | [44, 45]   | Occupational exposure to aflatoxin (textile worker)                                                       |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                        | [46]       | DIP following concurrent CMV and Aspergillus pneumonias in a renal transplant recipient (who did not receive sirolimus) |
| Sirolimus                                   | [47]       | Association of DIP and HCV infection. Association of DIP and CMV infection in a baby.                       |
| Infection                                   | [48–50]    |                                                                                                             |
| Use of marijuana                             | [51]       |                                                                                                             |

CMV: cytomegalovirus; HCV: hepatitis C virus.

CONCLUSION

DIP is usually associated with exposure to tobacco smoke but is sometimes present in nonsmokers, which strongly suggests occupation-associated DIP. Thus, occupational exposure of infectious or iatrogenic origins and connective tissue disease should be systematically explored.

Little is known about the differences between occupation-associated DIP, smoke-related DIP and idiopathic DIP. We found only one study that focused on the clinical differences between smokers and nonsmokers with DIP: the authors were
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