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Abstract
The effects of Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs) on health are discussed. These effects produce public interest to biological action of EMFs. The cell effects of EMFs have to be investigated to understand the mechanism of the biological action of EMFs. Among such mechanisms three main points are discussed: EMF action on cell membranes; EMF action on free radical concentration in cell; the action of EMF on intracellular regulatory systems. The analysis of experimental results proves the multilateral nature of the impact of EMFs on cell.
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Introduction
The problem of the influence of Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs) on biological objects has a long history. Now this problem attracts keen public interest in connection to increase of “electromagnetic pollution” of environment. In this century by Banik S, Bandopadhyay S, Ganguly S; Vorst AV; Barnes FS, Greenebaum B; Furse C, Christensen DA, Durney CH are reviewed the main facts of EMFs effects on cells [1-4]. The medical applications of EMFs are reviewed by Rosch PJ, Markov MS, Andrá W, Nowak H; Markov MS [5-7]. Some of theoretical models proposed to explain the basic phenomena associated with cell exposure to EMF are presented in review articles [8-21].

Now some new data are emerging and the approaches to the problem of the action of electromagnetic factors on cells are changing in accordance to the new developments in biology as a whole. I would like to present the brief description of the main directions of research in this field.

The most important, in our opinion, are three primary biological mechanisms of action of EMFs on cell. First, the action on cell membranes, second, the action on free radical concentration in cell, and the third, the action of EMF on intracellular regulatory systems. The known effects of EMFs on numerous isolated enzyme reactions may acquire the biological meaning in their connection to the mentioned above mechanisms.

Traditionally, the biological effects of EMFs are divided in two groups: “thermal” and “non-thermal”. For microwave radiation the EMF irradiation with the level of surface power density less than 10 mW/cm² is assumed as not inducing the significant thermal effects in the biological objects and the effects of microwaves with surface power density more than 10 mW/cm² as “thermal” [22]. This classification is provisional, but it enables to separate the effects of EMFs related mainly with heating of biological tissue. In this work we will discuss non-thermal effects of EMFs. First, in order to show the importance of the issues discussed for humans we consider some of the effects of electromagnetic fields on human organism.

Effects of Exposure to Anthropogenic EMFs on Human Health
The environmental and health effects of electromagnetic radiation produced by mobile phones, mobile phone base stations, TV transmitting towers, and some medical equipment induce public concern. Some investigations analyzing the health effects of the electromagnetic radiation produced by these sources of electromagnetic radiation are observed below.

Electromagnetic fields of Radiofrequency (RF) range cover wide spectrum of frequencies. The ionosphere very effectively shields the earth’s biosphere from radiations of this type originating in space. Electromagnetic fields and radiation of high intensity may be generated by natural electrical phenomena such as those accompanying thunderstorms. However, in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 300 GHz, the intensity of natural fields and radiation is low. Exposure of the urban population in the USA to man-made microwave sources was found by Janes (1979) to vary from a very low value to as high as 100 µW/cm². The median exposure to the total microwave flux from external sources for this population was calculated to be 0.005 µW/cm². Although concern about microwave and RF effects and possible hazards arose first in highly developed countries, the problem is universal [23].

The mobile telephony is widespread in the modern world. The data about the usage of mobile telephony are presented in review [24]: “In 2010, cell phone subscribers in the U.S. numbered 287 million, Russia 220 million, Germany 111 million, Italy 87 million, Great Britain 81 million, France 62 million, and Spain 57 million”. The “microwave sickness syndrome” among people working with microwaves was first described in Soviet and Polish medicine, this syndrome includes fatigue, dermographism, headaches, insomnia, changes in blood pressure, tumors, impotence, skin symptoms and memory impairment, among others. The skepticism about this syndrome was expressed in the West, but now is admitted the possible neurological basis for this syndrome [24,25]. It was proved that there was a significant relation of some symptoms to measured EMF power density; this was highest for headaches. Authors express their opinion that despite very low exposure
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to HF-EMF, effects on wellbeing and performance cannot be ruled out, however, mechanisms of action at low EMF levels are unknown [26].

In many investigations the frequency of micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations tests which indicate the level of genetic damage (genotoxic effects) are used. Micronuclei are emerging in cells after action of different factors (mutagenic factors) which influence the process of cell division or the process of origin of mutations. Chromosome aberrations are the impairments in chromosome structure visible in light microscope. The results of one of such investigations show that there was no significant difference of micronucleus frequency and chromosomal aberrations in human blood cells between the groups of people living around mobile phone base stations and control group [27].

Some investigations indicate an increased risk for cancer - acoustic neuroma and glioma after >10 years of mobile phone usage. Authors conclude that current standard for exposure to microwaves during mobile phone use is not safe for long-term exposure and needs to be revised [16,28]. But the increased cancer risk among mobile telephone users is not detected by some investigators. It was observed no increased risk for basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or melanoma of the head and neck, little evidence of an increased skin cancer risk was observed among mobile phone users after at least 13 years of mobile telephone usage [29].

The association between childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and power lines was revealed. This study emphasizes on risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia following close to overhead high voltage power lines. Authors propose consider legal limitation for building construction in at least 600 meters from high voltage power lines [30].

To investigate the risk of early childhood cancers associated with the mother’s exposure to radiofrequency radiation from mobile phone base stations (masts) during pregnancy. It was demonstrated that is no association between the risk of early childhood cancers and mother’s exposure to mobile phone base stations during pregnancy [31].

The epidemiological evidence suggests an association between occupational exposure to ELF-EMF and Alzheimer disease. However, some limitations affecting the results from this meta-analysis should be considered [32].

The possible health hazardous effects of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were also explored. While the whole data does not confirm a risk hypothesis, it suggests a need for further studies and prudent use in order to avoid unnecessary examinations, according to the precautionary principle [33]. In 2003, the FDA declared non-significant risk status for MRI clinical systems generating static fields up to 8T [34].

In spite of existing of some investigations indicating the negative health impact of EMF the general estimation of the EMF-induced health hazard was optimistic. The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection makes such conclusion based on the analysis of many epidemiologic investigations. Results of epidemiologic studies to date give no consistent or convincing evidence of a causal relation between RF exposure and any adverse health effect. On the other hand, these studies have too many deficiencies to rule out an association. In the last few years the epidemiologic evidence on mobile phone use and risk of brain and other tumors of the head has grown considerably. In opinion of members of International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, overall the studies published to date do not demonstrate a raised risk within approximately ten years of use for any tumor of the brain or any other head tumor [35]. Nevertheless, the WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluated the extremely low frequency magnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). Static magnetic fields and static and extremely low frequency electric fields could not be classified as to carcinogenicity to humans [36]. IARC has classified radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increased risk for glioma, a malignant type of brain cancer, which is associated with wireless phone use [37].

The problem of adequate assessment of EMF influence on human organism is very important. We believe that the state of individual cell in our organism is directly linked to the state of the whole organism. Stress-related changes in the cell nucleus are generally associated with transitions of main cell nucleus component chromatin from the diffused state euchromatin to more condensed state — heterochromatin. We propose to use euchromatin → heterochromatin transitions in cells of human buccal epithelium (cells from the surface of the cheek’s mucosa) to assess the EMF-induced effects. As a criterion for determination of changes in the state of human buccal epithelium cell under the EMF action we proposed the Heterochromatin Granule Quantity (HGQ) in the cell nucleus [38].

EMF Action on Cell Membranes

The interest of investigators to EMFs effects on the cell membranes was stimulated by the theoretical work [39]. The author drives a conclusion very interesting to biologists. It should be remembered that cells have a membrane of about 10^{-6} cm thickness which maintains a very strong dipolar layer. In the (from the point of view of physics) complicated shape of a cell surface, local vibrations of a part of the cell membrane are feasible such that the positive and the negative part of a particular section of the membrane vibrate against each other leading to an oscillating electric dipole. Its frequency is of the order 10^{11}-10^{12} sec^{-1} if a sound velocity in the layer of order 10^{10} cm/sec perpendicular to the surface is assumed [39]. Indeed in many experimental works the effects of microwaves upon biological membranes were observed. The increase of cell membrane permeability to sodium and potassium ions was demonstrated [40-42]. Interestingly, that the microwave-induced increase of permeability to sodium ions was found to be reversible and returns to normal level within 60 min [42]. As it was supposed that the increase of permeability to ions is due to “micro-thermal” effects in cell membranes induced by microwaves [40]. Now the corresponding calculations of EMF-induced thermal gradients in membranes are done. The calculation on the impact of electric field induced thermal gradients across the plasma membrane may be applied to either Pulsed Electric Fields (PEFs) or Alternating Current (AC) fields [43]. The so-called “resonance” effects of microwaves on membrane permeability, i.e. the effect may be induced by EMF of the strictly determined wavelength and not induced at near frequencies, also were discussed [44]. It was demonstrated that in cells of algae Nitellopsis obtusa exposed to microwaves at frequencies of microwaves of 49, 70 and 76 GHz the chloride transmembrane current increased by 200 - 400%, at frequencies of 41.5 but at 71 GHz it decreased [45].

The microwave-induced effects (frequency of 37.5; 18.75 and 36.64 GHz) of increase of permeability of cell membranes to cytological stains (vital dyes) in living human buccal epithelium cells were reported [46,47]. Interestingly, that microwave-induced change in permeability to vital dye may recover in 2 hour period [47].

The effects of exposure to a 50 Hz magnetic field (maximum of 41.7 to 43.6 mT) on the membrane protein structures of living HeLa cells...
were studied using attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy. One min of such exposure shifted peak absorbance of the amide I band to a smaller wave number, reduced peak absorbance of the amide II band, and increased absorbance at around 1600 cm⁻¹. These results suggest that exposure to the ELF magnetic field has reversible effects on the peptide linkages, and changes the secondary structures of cell membrane proteins [48].

The experiments were also held in model membranes [49]. The suspension of egg lecithin multimellar vesicles (liposomes) was exposed to 900 MHz microwave radiation for 5 h. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of the radiation for the investigated liposome sample was 12 ± 1 W/kg. Liposomal changes were monitored using a light scattering technique. Optical anisotropy of the liposome sample decreased dramatically upon exposure to microwave radiation, indicating structural changes in acyl chain packing. Infrared (IR) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹H NMR) studies showed an increased damage upon exposure to microwaves. The changes observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the microwave exposed sample indicated hydrolysis of carboxylic and phosphoric esters. IR study showed conformational changes in the acyl chains of the lipids upon microwave exposure. However, both IR and ³¹P NMR did not show any appreciable changes in the head group part of the lipids [49].

A critical evaluation of three theories that describes the effects of weak electromagnetic fields on channel proteins in the cell membrane was done [50].

**EMF Action on Free Radical Concentration in Cell**

A free radical is a molecule or atom that has unpaired valence electrons. Free radicals are formed in cells and are involved in many intracellular processes. These compounds are capable to react with the cell structures causing their deterioration, so their excess is unfavourable. In particular, the free radical excess induces mutations and apoptosis – the programmed cell death. The “radical pair mechanism” is proposed to explain the phenomenon of “compass” in birds [51,52].

The role of Static Magnetic Field (SMF) in production of free radicals in cell is discussed in the review [11]. Authors propose that the primary cause of changes in cells after incubation in external SMF is disruption of free radical metabolism and elevation of their concentration. Such disruption causes oxidative stress and, as a result, damages ion channels, leading to changes in cell morphology and expression of different genes and proteins and also changes in apoptosis and proliferation [11]. The review of microwave effects on free radical formation in connection to male reproductive system one can find in [13]. Many investigations are analysing the microwave-induced effects on free radical concentration in cell, the problem of so-called oxidative stress. This problem attracts public concern in connection with interest to possible hazards of using mobile telephones. The aspects of the problem of EMF-induced free radicals in connection with neurodegenerative diseases are reviewed in review [53]. Some of experimental investigations studying the microwave-induced changes in the free radical situation in the cell are presented below.

Rats were exposed to 900 MHz EMF for 7 days (1 h/day). In other experimental groups, rats were exposed to EMF and pretreated with of Ginkgo biloba extract (Gb). Subsequently, oxidative stress markers and pathological changes in brain tissue were examined for each group. Oxidative damage was registered in the experimental group but these alterations were prevented by Gb treatment. Furthermore, Gb prevented the EMF-induced cellular injury in brain tissue which was demonstrated by histopathology methods [54].

The exposure of rats to electromagnetic radiation produced by GSM mobile phone induced in plasma a significant decrease in enzymes that defend cell from the elevation of free radical level: Catalase (CAT) and Superoxide Dismutase (SOD). The effect of acute doses of EMF on the rat's antioxidant status is significantly higher than that of fractionated doses of the same type of radiation [55].

In experiment on male guinea pigs exposed from 890- to 915-MHz EMF (217-Hz pulse rate, 2-W maximum peak power, SAR 0.95 w/kg) of a cellular phone for 12 h/day (11-h 45-min stand-by and 15-min spiking mode) for 30 days the changes in free radical marker malonic aldehyde (MDA) and antioxidant substances were shown. It was found that the MDA level increased (P<0.05), glutathione level and CAT enzyme activity decreased (P<0.05), and vitamins A, E and D(3) levels did not change (P>0.05) in the brain tissues of EMF-exposed guinea pigs. It was concluded that electromagnetic field emitted from cellular phone might produce oxidative stress in brain tissue of guinea pigs [56].

In experiments on Wistar female rats exposed to PC irradiation of monitor of Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) type the changes in eye corneal and lens tissues were investigated. In corneal tissue, MDA levels and CAT activity were found to increase in the computer group compared with the control group. Regarding lens tissue, MDA levels SOD activity and glutathione peroxidase activity (GSH-Px – the enzyme protecting cell from oxidative damage) were found to increase, as compared to the control group. The authors suppose that results of this study suggest that computer monitor radiation leads to oxidative stress in the corneal and lens tissues, and that vitamin C may prevent oxidative effects in the lens [57].

If the human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMC) were exposed to the EMF of 900 MHz radiofrequency at a Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of ~0.4W/kg longer than two hours, the apoptosis (the programmed cell death) is induced. The authors suppose that the activation of free radicals - Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) is triggered by the conformation disturbance of lipids, protein, and DNA induced by the cell exposure [58].

In experiments with Wister rats located in the vicinity of the base station, estimated distance was less than 10 m the changes in free radical concentration were investigated. The frequency of radiation was 1800 MHz, SAR 0.95-2 W/kg for 40 and/or 60 days continuously. The study demonstrates slight decrease in the activity of glutathione reductase, lipid peroxidation as measured by MDA and total cholesterol in all tissues investigated when rats were exposed to radiation emitted from base station in 40 days. These parameters decreased when the period of exposure was extended to 60 days [59].

In experiments with the primary cultured cortical neurons exposed to pulsed electromagnetic fields at a frequency of 1800 MHz modulated by 217 Hz at an average SAR of 2 W/kg the oxidative damage was demonstrated [60]. At 24 h after exposure the radiation induced a significant increase in the levels of 8-hydroxyguanine (8-OHdG), a common biomarker of DNA oxidative damage, in the mitochondria of neurons. Concomitant with this finding, the copy number of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and the levels of mitochondrial RNA (mtRNA) transcripts showed an obvious reduction after RF exposure. Each of these mtDNA disturbances could be reversed by pretreatment with melatonin, which is known to be an efficient antioxidant in the brain. Together, these results suggested that 1800 MHz RF radiation could cause oxidative damage in mtDNA in primary cultured neurons. In authors’ opinion the oxidative damage of mtDNA may account for the neurotoxicity of RF radiation in the brain [60].
The investigation of EMF of mobile telephone on oxidation situation in rat sperm was done [61]. The frequency of the cell phone was 900 MHz, pulse GSM mode. The specific absorption rate was estimated to be 0.9 W/kg. The MDA concentration, SOD, CAT, and GPx activity were determined in sperm samples. The result shows a significant MDA increase in the mobile phone-exposed group as compared with the control ones. The reduction in GPx and SOD activity and an increase in CAT activity were observed after animal exposure to microwaves. Authors conclude that overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) under microwave field exposure. Authors propose hypothetical scheme of action of EMF on enzyme histone kinase activity, micronuclei, cell cycle, and antioxidant enzymes via increase of intracellular concentration of free radicals as a primary mechanism [61].

In male Fischer-344 rats exposed to 900 MHz microwave radiation (SAR = 5.9 x 10^{-4} W/kg) and 1800 MHz microwave radiation (SAR = 5.8 x 10^{-4} W/kg) for 30 days (2 h/day) the significant impairment in cognitive function and induction of oxidative stress in brain tissues was registered [62,63].

As one can see, the microwave irradiation produces the oxidation stress in different tissues of laboratory animals and antioxidants added to animal nutrition may to definite extent prevent such consequences of microwave exposure. As antioxidants were used the extract of Ginkgo biloba [54], vitamin E and vitamin A [55], vitamins A, E and D [56], vitamin C [57], and even garlic [64].

The low-energy EMFs of Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) also induce the oxidative stress [65-68], but such effect is not always registered [69,70]. The prolongation of ELF exposure can result in adaptation to this factor [70].

It was supposed that the cell membranes may be the target of ROS [71] so the membrane permeabilization may be induced by the EMF-resulted ROS overproduction. At the same time the highly significant relationships was established between SAR, the oxidative DNA damage bio-marker, 8-OH-dG, and DNA fragmentation after microwave (1.8 GHz SAR from 0.4 W/kg to 27.5 W/kg) exposure of human spermatozoa [72]. About the analogous oxidative damage induced by EMF in rats mitochondrial DNA it was mentioned above [60]. So, the oxidative stress produced by EMFs may be the cause different negative consequences of EMF – micronuclei formation [61,73-75] and DNA strand breaks [76-78].

The Action of EMF on Intracellular Regulatory Systems

The fact that EMFs may regulate gene expression was demonstrated in many experimental works. Mainly the interest of researchers is concentrated on genes coding the proteins connected with the process of regulation of gene activity, for instance, the proteins c-jun, c-myc; or proteins connected with stress reaction of cells, first of all so-called heat shock proteins, for instance, hsp-70; or very important regulatory proteins p53 and p21. There are evidences of microwave exposure (1.71 GHz) influence on hsp70, c-jun, c-myc, and p21 levels in p53-deficient cells, but not in wild-type cells [79]. The EMF-induced changes of the transcript level of cell cycle regulatory and apoptosis-related genes were shown [80,81]. The 3.5 fold upregulation of mRNA stress-related transcription factor bZIP after exposure to 900 MHz microwaves was shown [82]. After the exposure of human lens epithelial cells to 1800-MHz GSM-like radiation for 2 h (SAR - 1.0, -3.5 W/kg) four proteins were upregulated. The heat-shock protein 70 (HSP70) and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNPK) were among upregulated proteins [83]. The proteomic analysis revealed fourteen proteins specifically up-regulated by EMF (GSM 1800, 2 W/kg) in Jurkat cells. The examples of proteins specifically up-regulated by EMF are: heat shock protein 70, ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 14 and 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B [84]. Evidences of EMF-induced changes in transcriptome (all transcripts synthesized by one cell or group of cells, including mRNA and non-coding RNA) and proteome (all proteins synthesized by one cell or group of cells) one may find in [84-86].

The EMF-induced changes in transcriptome and proteome are not registered in several studies. For instance, to seek alterations in gene transcription in bone marrow cells following in vivo exposure of juvenile mice to power frequency magnetic fields, young (21-24-day old) C57BL/6 mice were exposed to a 100 μT 50 Hz magnetic field for 2 h. A pilot experiment with 6 exposed (E) and 6 non-exposed (NE) mice identified four candidate responsive transcripts (two unknown transcripts (AK152075 and F10-NEU), phosphatidylinositol binding clathrin assembly protein (Picalm) and exportin 7 (Xpo7)). A larger experiment compared 19 E and 15 NE mice using two independent QRT-PCR assays and repeated microarray assays. No significant field-dependent changes were seen, although Picalm showed a trend to significance in one QRT-PCR assay [87].

The experiments in which the EMF-treated cells not revealed the specific changes in transcription or proteome changes are described in [88-96]. The differences in results in genomic and proteomic experiments with EMF-treated cells may be connected with methodological problems [97]. In our opinion the existence of results not revealing the EMF-induced changes in transcription not denies the results of experiments in which such changes were shown. What theoretic explanations of EMF-induced gene activity regulation are proposed?

In a series of works of R. Goodman and M. Blank and co-authors some mechanisms of the regulatory action of EMFs on gene activity were proposed. The activation of binding of both HSF and AP-1 to DNA is induced by magnetic fields [17]. The genes response to EMFs is regulated by with Electromagnetic Response Elements. A 900 base pair segment of the c-myc promoter, containing eight nCTCTn sequences, is required for the induction of c-myc expression by Electromagnetic (EM) fields. Similarly, a 70 bp region of the HSP70 promoter, containing three nCTCTn sequences, is required for the induction of HSP70 expression by EM fields. These sequences appear to act as Electromagnetic Field Response Elements (EMREs), since the ability of an EM field to induce stress proteins gradually disappears as the EMREs are mutated [18]. The EMF may interact directly with electrons in DNA and the electron transfer would result in gene expression [19]. Because of the low energy required, interaction with electrons in H-bonds may be the initial perturbation that leads double stranded DNA to come apart and begin the complex process of transcription to messenger RNA [20]. This model is detailed in [21]. Transfer of charge in electromagnetic field could contribute to separation of base pairs in DNA. An increase in local charge can cause separation of small groups of base pairs, and the low electronegativities of CTCT bases associated with the response to EMF increase the likelihood of electron displacement. EMF initiated DNA separation can set in motion the inter-connected biochemical signaling pathways that are activated in the stress response [21].

The EMF-induced effects on calcium concentration in cell are very interesting in connection with the role of calcium as an intracellular signal messenger [98] and the regulator of gene activity [98-101]. The calcium concentration increases in cell under the action of EMFs [102,103]. During the chronic exposure the calcium ions are released from the membrane, which are membrane bound and are released into...
the cytosol [104]. The influence of Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) magnetic fields on the transport of Ca\(^{2+}\) was studied in a biological system consisting of highly purified plasma membrane vesicles. Vesicles were exposed for 30 min at 32°C and the calcium efflux was determined in a biological system consisting of highly purified plasma membrane vesicles. After 30 min the vesicular Ca\(^{2+}\) content was decreased to approximately 50% of the initial value. Static magnetic fields ranging from 27 to 37 mT and time varying magnetic fields with frequencies between 7 and 72 Hz and amplitudes between 13 and 114 mT (peak) were used. At suitable combinations of static and time varying magnetic fields directly interact with the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel protein in the cell membrane, and it could be quantitatively confirm the model proposed in [105].

Calcium plays a leading role in EMF-induced cell differentiation, as it is shown in the works cited below. Exposure to 50 Hz EFs significantly enhanced proliferation in human neuroblastoma IMR32 (+40%) and it is shown in the works cited below. Exposure to 50 Hz EFs significantly enhanced proliferation in human neuroblastoma IMR32 (+40%) and it is shown in the works cited below. Exposure to 50 Hz EFs significantly enhanced proliferation in human neuroblastoma IMR32 (+40%). Exposure to 50 Hz EFs significantly enhanced proliferation in human neuroblastoma IMR32 (+40%).

The recent review [108] provides support for a pathway of the biological action of ultralow frequency and microwave EMFs, nanosecond pulses and static electrical or magnetic fields via EMF activation of voltage-gated calcium channels which leads to rapid elevation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), nitric oxide and in some cases at least, peroxynitrite. Potentially therapeutic effects may be mediated through the Ca\(^{2+}\)/nitric oxide/cGMP/protein kinase G pathway. Pathophysiological effects may be mediated through the Ca\(^{2+}\)/nitric oxide/peroxynitrite pathway.

In works of research group of Kharkiv National University the EMFs-induced condensation of chromatin in cell nuclei was demonstrated. Chromatin – the main component of cell nucleus in the period when cell is not dividing is presented in two forms – euchromatin (the main part of chromatin) and the heterochromatin (the condensed, non-active chromatin). The simple method for determination of the portion of euchromatin and the heterochromatin (the condensed, non-active chromatin) and the heterochromatinization is one of the “safe” cell reactions to EMF which is always registered and which we can observe immediately after applying of EMF. The investigation of molecular mechanisms underlying this reaction can give new important information about the primary mechanism of EMF action on cell.
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