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ABSTRACT

Objective: Laparoscopic repair of ventral incisional hernias is feasible and safe. Polypropylene mesh is often preferred because of its ease of handling and lower cost. Complications like adhesion and fistula formation can occur. The goal of this study was to determine whether bowel adhesions and their attendant complications could be prevented by interposition of omentum.

Methods: Thirty patients underwent laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair with polypropylene mesh. Omentum was always positioned over the loops of bowel for protection. At a mean follow-up of 14 months, 20 patients underwent ultrasonic examination using the previously described visceral slide technique to detect adhesions.

Results: The mean size of the hernias in the study was 50.3 cm², and the mean size of the mesh applied was 275 cm². Thirteen patients (65%) had no sonographically detectable adhesions. Five patients demonstrated adhesions between the mesh and omentum, 1 patient developed adhesions between the left lobe of the liver and the mesh, and only 1 case of bowel adhesion to the edge of the mesh was found.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair with polypropylene mesh and omental interposition is not associated with visceral adhesions in the majority of patients. Polypropylene mesh can be used safely when adequate omental coverage is available.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia (VIH) repair has gained wide acceptance and increased popularity over the past decade. Multiple studies have documented the safety, technical feasibility, and efficacy of this technique.1-5 The extremely low rate of wound infection and recurrence are among the most important advantages of the laparoscopic approach. Because the prosthetic mesh is placed intraabdominally, many surgeons use expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) grafts because of the low adhesive potential.6-7 High cost, inferior handling characteristics, and poor incorporation into the tissues are among the drawbacks of ePTFE mesh. Another product, polypropylene (PP) mesh has been used by some authors without any immediate deleterious effect.8,9 Nevertheless, long-term complications, such as severe adhesions, obstruction, and fistula formation, have been reported with PP.10,11 These complications seem to occur when the PP mesh is placed in direct contact with the serosal surface of the bowel. However, if omentum is interposed between the mesh and viscera, adhesions should not involve loops of bowel and should be restricted to omentum only. Using this technique, Franklin et al8 reported no adhesion-related complications in over 170 patients undergoing laparoscopic VIH repair during a 30-month follow-up.

METHODS

Between 1999 and 2001, patients undergoing laparoscopic VIH repair were prospectively enrolled in a surgical database. In 30 patients, PP mesh (Prolene, Ethicon Corporation, Somerville, NJ) was used for the hernia repair; omentum was interposed between the mesh and underlying bowel. The mesh was secured in place with a hernia stapler along the edges and nonabsorbable transcutaneous sutures at the corners, taking care to ensure that the mesh overlapped the fascial defect by at least 3 cm in each direction. At a mean follow-up of 14 months, all treated patients were offered an abdominal ultrasound examination. Twenty patients agreed and were studied using an Acuson xp/10, 7 MHz linear transducer, supplemented by a curvilinear transducer when appropriate. A visceral slide technique was used specifi-
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cally to detect adhesions. At the time of follow-up, all patients were also examined for hernia recurrence, wound infection, and seroma formation. Satisfaction with the procedure (completely/somewhat/not satisfied) and pain at the operative site (mild/moderate/severe) were assessed by using a standard questionnaire.

**Visceral Slide**

The visceral slide technique has been validated in previous studies. This method is based on the demonstration of intestinal movement at the abdominal wall interface during real-time ultrasound imaging. Such movement may occur spontaneously as a result of respiratory excursions or may be induced by manual compression. During longitudinal surface scanning, a normal spontaneous visceral slide may range from 2 cm to more than 5 cm in distance. Detection of a visceral slide that measures less than 1 cm is considered abnormal due to adhesions.

**RESULTS**

The demographics of all patients undergoing ultrasonic examination are specified in Table 1. No detectable omental or bowel adhesions were found in more than half of the patients (Figure 1). In some cases, mesh appeared to be somewhat corrugated, but normal visceral slide was detected in each instance (Figure 2). Five patients had omentum that appeared to be densely adherent to the PP mesh, as detected by the lack of a normal visceral slide. In one of these patients, the bowel was visibly tethered underneath the omentum but was not adherent to the mesh. One patient had tethered bowel at the edge of the hernia repair with thickened omentum underlying the mesh. In another patient, the left lobe of the liver appeared to be adherent to the mesh. The falciform ligament had been divided to complete the hernia repair in that case. No infections were noted.

Nine patients had no pain at the surgery site, while 10 patients stated they experienced mild to moderate pain with activity, usually near the edges of the repair (Figure 3). Only 2 patients complained of severe pain at the site of repair. One of these patients described severe pain with activity even though she had been pain-free for 1 year after surgery. This patient was noted to have a small recurrence of the hernia just lateral to the edge of the mesh (Figure 4). Her initial repair 3 years earlier was accomplished using the separation of components technique, which led to a subsequent recurrence. The mesh used for this repair measured 760 cm. The patient refused further surgery.

The results of the satisfaction survey are shown in Figure 5. The reasons for less than complete satisfaction include unexpected postoperative pain in 1 patient and moderate crampy postoperative pain in a second patient. One patient had problems with a trocar site, and another patient felt that an area of attenuated fascia with underlying mesh constituted a recurrence.

**Table 1. Patient Demographics**

| Parameter                  | Value          |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Female/Male                | 18/2           |
| Age (yrs)                  | 52 (28–74)     |
| Mean BMI                   | 34.9 (23.9–47.8)|
| ASA II/III                 | 15/5           |
| Recurrent                  | 7 (35%)        |
| Mean Size of Hernia (cm)   | 50.3 (6–171)   |
| Mean Size of Mesh (cm)     | 275 (64–760)   |
| Mean Length of Stay (days) | 1.9 (1–4)      |
| Return of Bowel Function (days) | 1.6 (1–3)    |
DISCUSSION

The use of prosthetic materials for the repair of a ventral incisional hernia has significantly decreased the number of recurrences for this condition. Moreover, the laparoscopic approach has helped to reduce the number of infections, recurrences, and the length of hospital stay.1,2,7,14

Polypropylene is easier to handle intraoperatively and less costly than ePTFE. It has good tensile strength and tissue integration. However, expedient tissue integration may produce clinically significant adhesion formation and enterocutaneous fistulization. The formation of adhe-

Figure 2. Ultrasonogram of the patient after laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair: mesh (arrow) appears to be corrugated. No seroma or recurrence is detected.

Figure 3. The incidence of persistent postoperative pain (%) at the repair site.

Figure 4. Ultrasonogram of the patient with the recurrent hernia: omental herniation (thick arrow) is seen just lateral to the mesh (thin arrow).
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In recent years, increasing adhesion development was noted from day 1 to day 7 after placement of the prosthesis, but surfaces that were already covered with mesothelial cells did not show progression. Thereafter, the bowel had a diminished tendency to form adhesions even in the absence of omentum. Another animal model was used to compare adhesion formation after open and laparoscopic placement of mesh. The study demonstrated that the area of mesh covered with adhesions and the grade of the adhesions were significantly greater in both groups undergoing celiotomy compared with the adhesion formation in the laparoscopic group. On histologic examination, the vascularity of the animals that underwent incision through skin and fascia was increased and slower to regress than in the laparoscopically treated animals.

In the clinical setting, PP mesh has been used previously for open VIH repair with a very low rate of enterocutaneous fistula formation, but fistulas have been reported as late as 15 years after the procedure. In laparoscopic VIH repair, PP has been combined with omental interposition as protection against bowel adhesions. No complications were reported during up to 84 months of follow-up. Colocutaneous fistula after laparoscopic VIH hernia repair with intraperitoneal PTFE has also been reported; in this case, the laparoscopic staples or external postoperative trauma may have played a role.

The present study used the omental interposition technique as well. Ultrasound was used to detect adhesion formation at a time when this process was complete. Visceral slide detection by ultrasound was described by Kodama et al. in 1992 and was again validated in a French study with intraoperative observation and was noted to have a sensitivity of 77%, specificity of 74%, and a negative predictive value of 84%.

The data in our study were prospectively collected, but the overall number of patients was modest. Nonetheless, a low recurrence and infection rate was confirmed as noted in other laparoscopic herniorrhaphy studies, and no mesh-related complications have been noted to date. Only 1 case of bowel adhesions to the edge of the mesh was found using ultrasound scanning at 24-month follow-up. This could be related to inadequate omental protection. Sixty-five percent of our patients did not have adhesions of bowel or omentum detectable on ultrasound examination. The results of this study are important but somewhat limited by the fact that the results of individual sonographic examinations could not be independently verified. The negative predictive value of the visceral slide method is approximately 80%; therefore, it is possible that some patients who were deemed free of adhesions might indeed have had mild or filmy adhesions not detectable by ultrasound. In any case, these adhesions, even if present, are unlikely to have any clinical impact, because no visceral complications have been observed.

Late postoperative pain seemed to be mostly related to the suture points during strenuous activity. Although it was noted by half of the patients, it usually did not require medication and did not interfere with work or recreational activities. Still, postoperative pain management remains an important aspect of laparoscopic VIH repair. We have now gone to the use of absorbable monofilament material for the transfascial sutures.

Figure 5. The results of the satisfaction survey following laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair.
CONCLUSION

Our early results indicate that laparoscopic ventral incisional hernia repair with PP mesh and omental coverage is not associated with sonographically detectable visceral adhesions in most patients. Moreover, visceral complications such as bowel obstruction or enterocutaneous fistula do not occur. Further accrual of patients and careful follow-up is needed to better understand the problem of ventral hernias and their repair with mesh.
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