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ABSTRACT

Using freeze-fracture techniques, we have analyzed the glial-axonal junction (GAJ) between Schwann cells and axons in the peripheral nervous system, and between oligodendrocytes and axons in the central nervous system of the rat. We have identified a new set of dimeric-particles arranged in circumferential rows within the protoplasmic fracture faces (P-faces) of the paranodal axolemma in the region of glial-axonal juxtaposition. These particles, 260 Å in length, composed of two 115-Å subunits, are observed in both aldehyde-fixed and nonfixed preparations. The rows of dimeric-particles within the axonal P-face are associated with complementary rows of pits within the external fracture face (E-face) of the paranodal axolemma. These axonal particles are positioned between rows of 160-Å particles that occur in both fracture faces of the glial loops in the same region. We observed, in addition to these previously described 160-Å particles, a new set of 75-Å glial particles within the glial P-faces of the GAJ. These 75-Å particles form rows that are centered between the rows of 160-Å particles and are therefore superimposed over the rows of dimeric-particles within the paranodal axolemma. Our new findings are interpreted with respect to methods of specimen preparation as well as to a potential role for the paranodal organ in saltatory conduction. We conclude that this particle-rich junction between axon and glia could potentially provide an intricate mechanism for ion exchange between these two cell types.
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Over a century ago, Louis-Antoine Ranvier (31, 32, 33) described what has since been known as the node of Ranvier. Despite the limitations of Ranvier's techniques, he developed some hypotheses regarding the nature and function of this structure that are still widely accepted today. It continues to be the anatomist's hope that a carefully detailed description of a structure will help define aspects of its function. Since Ranvier's time, the use of new investigative techniques, in particular electron microscopy (EM), has increased our appreciation for the structural complexity of the node of Ranvier. Initially, thin-section EM was
the primary tool for examining the node. Recently, with the refinement of freeze-fracture techniques for showing intercellular junctions and other membrane specializations, the unique morphology of the node of Ranvier has been greatly elaborated (3, 11, 13, 20, 26, 36, 38, 39).

Attempts have been made to integrate the several independent descriptions of the glial-axonal junction (GAJ) (30) although several apparent discrepancies remain. There are at least three likely explanations for these discrepancies: (a) equivalent observations were made but variations in description may have led to different interpretations; (b) variations in freeze-fracture techniques and in the preparation of specimens may have led to different observations; or (c) variations inherent in the structure itself, perhaps depending on its physiologic state at the time of fixation, may have led to different observations. This paper describes morphologic differences associated with alternative methods of freeze-fracture techniques, and incorporates our new observations which together permit an internally consistent description of the glial-axonal junction.

Background

The paranode is defined as the region in which the cytoplasm-containing margins of the myelin lamellae abut the axon. The glial margin wraps around the paranodal axolemma in a helical fashion giving the axon a scolloped border. With freeze-fracture, the region of axolemma at the crest of the scallops (i.e., axolemma apposed to the interstices between the glial loop) consistently displays large particles on both the axonal E-face and axonal P-face (3, 11, 13, 20, 21, 27, 36, 39). In the troughs of the scallops, the glial loops and axolemma are intimately juxtaposed. In conventional thin-section preparations, the slightly undulate glial and axonal membranes in the region of close apposition are separated by ~20–30 Å (the GAJ cleft), and occasionally are bridged by electron-dense bars, best seen in lead-citrate but not in uranyl-acetate-stained preparations (4, 5, 7, 19, 22).

In freeze-fracture replicas of the region of juxtaposition, Livingston and co-workers (27) found the scolloped axonal E-face to manifest, 250- to 300-Å-wide bands after 5 min of etching, while the axonal P-face showed only scattered 50- to 100-Å particles with or without etching. The glial E-face was found to contain 100-Å particles in rows that were again 250–300 Å apart. The glial P-face showed some 100-Å particles, but only a hint of a linear arrangement. On the basis of their size and regular spacing, Livingston and co-workers hypothesized that the glial E-face particles were profiles of structures that could fit between the axonal E-face bands, possibly involved in a form of ion communication between the two cells. Fig. 1a summarizes their findings.

Schnapp and Mugnaini (39) found all four membrane faces in the region of GAJ to contain complementary ridges and grooves. The axonal E-face in Schnapp's replicas was devoid of particles, but on rare occasions a “crystalline array” of paired particles ~65 Å in size was observed on the axonal E-face. The subunits constituting the array were small and difficult to resolve, suggesting that they protruded only slightly from the fracture face. The axonal P-face of his preparations contained some 80- to 150-Å particles that occasionally appeared clustered along the ridges of the P-face. The glial faces in this region of glial-axonal juxtaposition were observed to contain 100-Å particles in the grooves of the E-face and in the ridges of the P-face. On the basis of the smooth undulating character of the membrane faces, and a structural analogy with septate junctions, Schnapp and Mugnaini hypothesized, as did Ranvier (31), that the GAJ performed “a mechanical function... suggesting a strong anchorage of the myelin sheath on the axolemma” (reference 39, p. 230). This anchorage would be accomplished by a connection of the particles of the ridges and troughs with “extracellular septa.” Though they did “not wish to exclude the possibility that the GAJ might implement some sort of communication between the two cells,” analogous to the septate junction, they considered it unlikely that the membrane particles at the GAJ “provide intercellular channels” (reference 40, p. 230). Fig. 1b summarizes their findings.

Dermietzel’s description (11) of these faces in the cat central nervous system (CNS) shares some features of both of the other investigations. In this work, the axonal E-face in the region of close apposition displayed convex bands 180–240 Å wide which, with low-angle shadowing, were seen to be subdivided into diagonally arranged 40 to 50-Å particles. The complementary axonal P-face was occasionally “represented by parallel running rows of particles which surround particle-free space” (reference, p. 581). In this same region, the
FIGURE 1  (a, b, and c) Summary drawings presenting features of the GAJ as seen in earlier studies. Each three-dimensional projection reveals the same four fracture faces, some differing in interpretation. (a) Livingston and co-workers' (27) scheme of the paranodal region emphasized the junctional membrane specializations of the glial E-face (GL-EF) and axonal E-face (AX-EF). The glial E-face contained rows of 100-Å particles with a regular inter-row periodicity. The axonal E-face contained bands of 60- to 70-Å diagonal elements. The axonal P-face (AX-PF) and glial P-face (GL-PF) did not display evidence of an ordered junctional specialization but were reported to contain scattered 50 to 100-Å particles. (b) Schnapp and Mugnaini's (39) scheme of the paranodal region emphasized the nonparticulate character of the axonal E-face of GAJ. In this model, however, the axonal E-face displays the rarely seen crystalline array (40). At the crests of the scallops, both fracture faces of the axolemma exhibited some large particles. Within the scallops, particles 80-150 Å in diameter were observed exclusively in the P-face, while within the glial membrane 160-Å particles were observed in both fracture faces. The glial and axonal membranes were hypothesized to be separated by an extracellular band in which the fracture face particles were embedded. (c) Dermietzel's (11) scheme of the paranodal region emphasized a possible impression made on both membranes by an extracellular transverse band. The transverse bands are 180-240 Å wide and contain diagonal striations (for further details see text).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Standard Aldehyde-fixed Freeze-Fracture Preparations

Sprague-Dawley rats (Hiltop Lab Animals, Inc., Chatsworth, Calif.), from 1 to 30 wk of age, were anesthetized with 10 mg pentobarbital (Nembutal)/100 g body weight. The animals were sacrificed by rapidly replacing their blood by cardiac perfusion with warm oxygenated Krebs-Ringer's solution (with the following composition in mmol/liter: NaCl, 135; KCl, 5.00; MgCl₂, 1.0; CaCl₂, 2.0; Na₂HCO₃, 15.0; Na₂HPO₄, 1.0; glucose, 11.0) to which heparin (0.01%) and xylcaine (0.1%) had been added. (To
control for the possibility that xylocaine caused changes in membrane fracture faces, we have perfused animals without this drug and have observed no changes in the replicas. After most of the blood had been replaced (as judged by complete liver pallor over ~30 s), the animal was immediately fixed by continued cardiac perfusion with warm 0.15 M cacodylate-buffered, pH 7.2, 2.0% glutaraldehyde, 1% paraformaldehyde. The spinal cord with dorsal and ventral roots attached was quickly dissected free and placed in fresh cold (4°C) fixative for 1 h. After fixation, the dissection was removed from the fixative and placed in cold cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2, for 1 h. The tissue was then glycercinated in a stepwise fashion with cold glycerol solutions (5, 10, 20, and 30% glycerol in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer) to reach a final concentration of 30% glycerol over a period of 1 h where it was then held at 4°C for an additional 2 h.

Aldehyde-fixed, Suboptimally Frozen Preparations

To examine the effect of freezing with a nonuniform (suboptimal) rate of ice-crystal nucleation the above procedure was followed except that the tissue was kept in the final 30% concentration at 4°C for only an additional 1 h. The presence of "suboptimal freezing" was operationally defined by observing the presence of large (1,000-Å) ice-crystals.

Non-fixed Glycerol Cryoprotected Preparations

Animals were anesthetized as described above, then perfused with a 37°C solution of 20% glycerol in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2. The spinal cord with dorsal and ventral roots attached was quickly dissected free and placed in cold (4°C) cacodylate-buffered 20% glycerol solution for ½ h. The dissection was then placed in 4°C, 30% glycerol solution for an additional 2 h.

Mounting

The dorsal and ventral roots were carefully cut from the spinal cord with an iridectomy scissors. The roots and spinal cord were sized to between 1.5 and 2 mm with a No. 10 scalpel blade. Roots were then stacked one or two high in small piles of a constant size. Fixation and Glycerol Cryoprotection, Subdivided into separate descriptions of the four fracture faces belonging to the glial and axonal membranes that contribute to the GAJ. With replicas that show fracture faces of both glia and axon together, we will illustrate the relative position of the junctional features. At the end of this section, a summary diagram is included to facilitate comparison of the axonal and glial faces as they appear after the different preparative procedures.

RESULTS

We have categorized our observations according to whether or not the specimens were fixed, etched, or optimally cryoprotected. Each category will be subdivided into separate descriptions of the four fracture faces belonging to the glial and axonal membranes.

Freeze-fracture Morphology of the Paranodal GAJ after Paraformaldehyde-Glutaraldehyde Fixation and Glycerol Cryoprotection, Without Etching

MORPHOLOGY OF THE AXONAL P-FACE WITHIN THE PARANOdal ZONE (WITH FIXATION AND WITHOUT ETCHING)

The P-face of the paranodal axolemma displays a shallow scalloped border beneath the overlying glial loops (Fig. 2). The width of the scallop varies with the length of the apposed glial loop. These scallops appear to be profiles of a wide (0.1-0.4 μm) helical indentation in the axolemma. The depth of the scallops varies over the length of the paranode, and in large nodes where many glial loops are retracted the scalloping may be discontinuous. Though some large, 100- to 350-Å particles can be found randomly distributed within the P-face of the paranodal axolemma, the greatest...
concentration of large particles is found between scallops where the axolemma is apposed to the interspaces between the glial loops (arrows, Fig. 2).

**REGION OF GLIAL-AXONAL JUXTAPOSITION: Particle Rows.** Within the scallops of the axonal P-face are rows of dimeric-, 260-Å-long particles in all nonetched replicas (Fig. 3a). These rows of particles are identifiable in the first five scallops nearest the nodal membrane of all nodes but appear less consistently in the remaining scallops. The rows adopt a narrow angle (10°-20°) relative to the scallop. The rows do not cross from one scallop to the next but rather terminate at the edge of the scallop in which they have begun (circle, Fig. 3a). In regions where the scalloping is absent, the particles are also absent. These particles are most regularly seen associated with scalloped regions close to the nodal membrane and less frequently seen within scallops towards the interparanodal boundary (Fig. 2).

**Dimeric-Particles.** The dimeric-particles within each row of the axonal P-face are spaced 200 Å apart. At higher magnifications, the particles forming the rows appear as a dimer composed of two similar elliptical subunits each 115 Å in length and 75 Å in width (Fig. 3b). Within each row the dimeric-particles appear diagonally oriented at an angle of ~45° with respect to the row. Where several rows appear within one scallop, the diagonally oriented particles in one row are colinear with dimeric-particles in an adjacent row along the 45° angle. The density of dimeric-particles in these regions of close packing is ~1,500/μm² (i.e., 3,000 of the 115- x 75-Å subunits/μm²).

This description is also consistent with our observation of the axonal P-face of the central nervous system nodes as seen in our spinal cord preparations (Fig. 3c). It should be noted that these particles are quite distinct from the random large particles described in previous studies (11, 27, 39). Though they are of approximately the same dimension and configuration as those infrequently described by Schnapp and Mugnaini (39), they are on a different fracture face.

**MORPHOLOGY OF THE AXONAL E-FACE WITHIN THE PARANODAL REGION (WITH FIXATION AND WITHOUT ETCHING)**

The E-face of the paranodal axolemma appears scalloped in a manner complementary to the axonal P-face. Large, 100- to 300-Å particles of the axolemmal E-face are generally concentrated in regions apposed to the interspaces between glial loops, as in the P-face (Fig. 4, large arrows). When the angle of platinum shadowing is close to the axon's longitudinal axis, circumferential ridges 350 Å in width are often visible. In regions of excellent cryoprotection, these ridges appear as faint undulations due to platinum deposition along the sides of the ridge (Fig. 5). These regions can be appreciated as rows of pits distributed the same as the dimeric-particles in the axonal P-face (Figs. 4 and 5). This undulating pattern in the axonal E-face is more pronounced in regions of suboptimal freezing (Fig. 6). This may be a product of membrane collapse due to dehydration resulting from ice crystal formation (37).

**MORPHOLOGY OF THE E-FACE OF THE JUXTANEURONAL GLIAL LOOP (WITH FIXATION AND WITHOUT ETCHING)**

The E-face of the glial loop contains rows of large, 160-Å particles coursing at a 10°–20° angle to the axis of the winding glial loops (Fig. 7). The particle rows are 360 Å apart. The particles in each row have an equal tendency to fracture with the E-face as with the P-face, but where several particles are present in the glial E-face they are separated by 200 Å (center-to-center). Tilting the replica in the eucentric goniometric stage shows that these large particles are tall enough to extend across the 20- to 30-Å space of the glial-axonal junction.

**MORPHOLOGY OF THE P-FACE OF THE JUXTANEURONAL GLIAL LOOPS (WITH FIXATION AND WITHOUT ETCHING)**

The glial loop P-face contains an equal fraction of the same large (160-Å) particles as described for glial E-face (Fig. 8). A row of 75-Å particles is centered between the rows of larger particles in the P-face. The 75-Å particles are spaced ~100 Å apart. In some replicas, the rows composed of these smaller particles adopt a ropelike appearance (Fig. 10).

**RELATIVE POSITION OF FEATURES ON GAJ FACES (WITH FIXATION AND WITHOUT ETCHING)**

In fortunate replicas where the fracture plane has jumped between glial and axonal faces, it is possible to compare positions of features on the axonal face with positions of features on the glial face. Because of distortions inherent in the projection of a nonhorizontal surface (i.e., the paranode) onto a horizontal photographic plate, the evalu-
tion of the relative position of these elements necessitates stereoscopy, or evaluation of images determined to be flat projections.

**Axonal P-Face and Glial E-Face:** The dimeric-particle rows of the axonal P-face are found to be positioned between the rows of 160-Å particles in the glial E-face (Fig. 9). The particles composing the rows within the two faces are identically spaced (200 Å). A precise analysis of the relative positions was performed by extrapolating the relative position of particles in one set of rows with respect to particles in the other set of rows by using transparent overlays. This analysis showed that the 160-Å particles are positioned between the rows of dimeric-particles. Here they reside adjacent to the ends of dimeric-particles and are co-linear with the diagonally oriented particles of adjacent rows. This positioning is illustrated in Figs. 9 and 19.

**Axonal E-Face and Glial P-Face:** The pits corresponding to the dimeric-particles of the axonal P-face are preserved in only the most lightly shadowed areas. Where such areas were found with an adjacent glial P-face exhibiting the rows of 160-Å particles and rows of 75-Å particles, an analysis similar to that described for the two complementary faces (see above) can be performed (Fig. 10; see diagram Fig. 19). This analysis showed that the rows of pits left on the axonal E-face by the dimeric-particles are immediately juxtaposed to the rows of 75-Å particles of the glial P-face and are centered between the larger rows of 160-Å particles. Occasionally, in this position, juxtaposed to the rows of 160-Å glial particles, there is a ridge in the axonal E-face, positioned between the rows of pits (see Fig. 19). The presence of these axonal E-face ridges is enhanced by short periods of etching (see below).

**Freeze-fracture Morphology of the Paranodal GAJ after Fixation and Glycerol Cryoprotection, with Etching**

We etched to determine the relationship between the new features of the glial-axonal junction reported above and those reported earlier in studies where etching was employed to enhance certain features (3). These etched preparations provide another image of the GAJ from which the alignment of elements between glia and axon fracture faces may be determined.

**Morphology of the Axonal P-Face within the Paranodal Zone (after Fixation and Etching)**

Whereas the scalloped appearance of the axonal P-face remains with etching (up to 5 min), the dimeric-particle rows within the scallops are increasingly obscured with increasing length of etching. After 2 min of etching, the dimeric attribute of the particles is difficult to assess in regions where it was always evident in nonetched preparations (Fig. 11). With more prolonged etching (5 min), the particle rows begin to adopt the appearance of ridges with troughs intervening, making the fracture face within the scallop appear slightly undulated. In those preparations etched for 5 min, <20% of the scallops neighboring the nodal axolemma displayed clear dimeric-particle rows.

**Morphology of Axonal E-Face within the Paranodal Zone (after Fixation and Etching)**

The scallops in the axonal E-face are seen with and without etching. Large particles remain visible predominantly between scallops in regions where the axolemma is apposed to the interstices between glial loops (Fig. 12).

---

**Figure 2** An axonal P-face (AX-PF) after fixation but without etching. The paranodal region (PN) of the axon is scalloped beneath the overlying glial loops (GL). Large, 100- to 300-Å particles appear in greatest concentration between the scallops (arrows) and in the nodal region (N). 12-d-old rat. Bar, 1 μm. × 50,000.

**Figure 3** (a, b, and c) Axonal P-faces after fixation but without etching. (a) Rows of particles (arrows) are seen coursing at a narrow angle across the scallop. The termination of a row at the edge of a scallop is encircled. 150-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å. × 100,000. (b) At higher magnifications, the particles are seen to be composed of two similar elliptical subunits diagonally oriented at an angle of 45° with respect to the row. Regions between scallops contain large irregular particles. 150-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å. × 125,000. (c) High-power micrograph of equivalent particles in the axonal P-face (AX-PF) of the rat central nervous system demonstrating the generality of this specialization. The overlying glial E-face (GL-EF) is also shown. 150-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å. × 125,000.
After 2 min of etching, indented bands, ~250 Å in width, are found within the scallops (corresponding to the rows of pits left by the dimeric-particles of the axonal P-face). These indented bands are separated from each other by a ridge ~100 Å wide (Fig. 13). After 5 min of etching, these ridges appear to correspond to the broader, ropelike diagonal features of Livingston and co-workers' (27) preparations (Fig. 14).

**Morphology of the E-face of the Juxtaneuronal Glial Loops (after Fixation and Etching)**

After etching for 2 min, the rows of 160-Å particles in the glial E-face appear less distinct (Fig. 11). With 5 min of etching, the particle rows appear as undulations with occasional particulate interruptions.

**Morphology of the P-face of the Juxtaneuronal Glial Loops (with Fixation and Etching)**

As with the glial E-face, etching results in an undulated appearance of glial P-face, occasionally interrupted by large 160-Å particles (Fig. 15). The rows of smaller 75-Å particles visible in the nonetched glial P-face (above) were not visible after etching.

**Relative Position of Features on GAJ Faces after Etching (with Fixation and Etching)**

**Axonal P-face and Glial E-face:** The rows of the axonal P-face are found to be positioned between the 160-Å glial particle rows as in the nonetched material.

**Axonal E-face and Glial P-face:** Because a distinctive and defined fine structure is seen in the axonal E-face of etched specimens, it is of value to compare the relationship between etched features and glial P-face features in replicas where both are exposed. We find the ropelike ridges of the axonal E-face to be centered in direct apposition to the 160-Å particle rows on the glial P-face (Figs. 10, 11, and 15, as well as Figs. 18 and 19).

**Freeze-fracture Morphology of the Paranodal GAJ after Glycerol Cryoprotection without Fixation and without Etching**

The axonal P-face displays scallops in which the rows of dimeric-particles are less linear, and more irregular than those seen in fixed material (Fig. 16). Occasionally, rows within one scallop are not parallel to one another. In some areas, dimeric-particles and large (200-Å) particles appear dispersed across the axonal P-face. This more random distribution of particles often results in the interruption of the dimeric-particle rows.

---

**Figure 4** An axonal E-face (AX-EF) after fixation but without etching. The paranodal region (PN) of the axon is scalloped beneath the overlying glial loops. Large, 100- to 300-Å particles (filled arrowheads) appear most concentrated between scallops. Rows of pits are distributed as were rows of dimeric-particles in axonal P-face. These pits are seen to be oriented along two axes. The one marked by open arrows represents the circumferential axis of impressions of the rows of dimeric-particles while the one marked by closed arrows represents the second axis of co-linearity between the diagonally disposed particles of adjacent rows. 14-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å. × 55,000.

**Figure 5** A stereopair of micrographs of a paranodal region of the axonal E-face (AX-EF) after fixation but without etching. The two images can be fused with the unaided eye (with a little practice) or with a stereo viewer. (Two-lens stereo viewers of satisfactory quality [×2] are available from Abrams Instrument Corp., Lansing, Mich.) When this is done, a three-dimensional view of the replicated fracture face can be seen and the rows of pits corresponding to rows of dimeric-particles (large arrows) are more readily visualized. Small arrows point to individual pits. 150-d-old rat. 5° tilt; × 82,500 or 145,000 with a ×2 stereo viewer.

**Figure 6** An axonal E-face (AX-EF) frozen at a suboptimal freezing rate after fixation but without etching. The undulated and crosshatched pattern in the axonal E-face may be due to membrane collapse onto an extracellular structure. Glial loops (GL) contain large ice-crystals. 150-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å. × 125,000.
**Morphology of the Axonal E-face within the Paranodal Zone (without Fixation and without Etching)**

Without fixation, the paranodal axonal E-face is scalloped as it was with fixation. A few large (200- to 300-Å) particles appear more concentrated at the tops of the scallops. As with the fixed material, this fracture face displays circumferential ridges 350 Å wide when the direction of shadowing is nearly parallel to the axon's longitudinal axis.

**Morphology of the E-face of the Juxtaneuronal Glial Loop (without Fixation and without Etching)**

The nonfixed glial E-face displays rows of large (160-Å) particles as it did in fixed material.

**Morphology of the P-face of the Juxtaneuronal Glial Loop (without Fixation and without Etching)**

The nonfixed glial P-face displays an equal portion of the 160-Å particles forming rows that are shared with the glial E-face. The rows of smaller (75-Å) particles are also still seen between the rows of 160-Å particles in the glial P-face as in the fixed (but not in the etched) preparations.

**Freeze-fracture Morphology of the Paranodal GAJ after Glycerol Cryoprotection and Etching, without Fixation**

With increasing times of etching, the nonfixed material changes in a manner similar to that noted after the etching of fixed material. In summary, after etching there is a decrease in the distinctiveness of particles on the axonal P-face and both glial faces, while there is an increase in the distinctiveness of a banded pattern in the axonal E-face (Fig. 17). This banding of the axonal E-face appears to correspond to the circumferential ridges seen in the nonetched preparations.

A diagrammatic representation of the four fracture faces after the different preparative procedures is presented in Fig. 18.

**Freeze-fracture Morphology of the Node of Ranvier in the Above Preparations**

In contrast to the paranodal faces, the appearance of the nodal E-face and P-face in all of the above preparations is qualitatively quite similar. The nodal faces of all fixed preparations are found to contain heterogeneously-sized particles of sizes and densities per square micron similar to those described by previous workers (12, 13, 21). In unfixed preparations, the E-face appears to con-
tain a greater proportion of the elongate (100-Å x 250-Å) particles than the fixed nodal E-face.

Summary of Results

(a) The scalloped axonal P-face in our fixed and nonfixed preparations displays circumferential rows of diagonally oriented dimeric-particles. Where several rows appear within one scallop, the diagonally oriented dimeric-particles in one row are colinear with the diagonal orientation of the dimeric-particles in an adjacent row. (This line of colinearity between dimeric-particles is at an angle of 45° to the row.)

(b) The dimeric-particle rows of the axonal P-face are positioned between the rows formed by the 160-Å particles in the glial E-face. The center-to-center spacing between particles in both glial and axonal rows is equivalent (200 Å).

(c) The 160-Å glial particles are intercalated between adjacent rows of axonal dimeric-particles and lie on the line of colinearity between the rows of diagonally oriented axonal particles.

(d) The nonetched glial P-face displays rows of small (75-Å) particles between the previously reported rows of 160-Å particles in both fixed and unfixed preparations.

(e) With increased etching, the particle rows on the axonal P-face and both glial faces become obscure, lending an undulated appearance to the fracture faces.

(f) The axonal E-face in the paranodal region shows a system of ridges and troughs without etching only if the platinum shadowing is at a low angle and is perpendicular to the ridges.

(g) The axonal E-face ridges are juxtaposed to the glial P-face rows of large (160-Å) particles.

(h) After etching, the axonal E-face demonstrates bands composed of pitted oval subunits. The orientation, size, and location of these pitted bands indicate that they are complementary to the newly described dimeric-particles, of the axonal P-face.

(i) These observations are consistent for both central nervous system and peripheral nervous system tissue.

DISCUSSION

Attempts to integrate the observations from earlier studies of paranodal structure have met with difficulties (cf. reference 30). Our observations demonstrate that variations in specimen preparation techniques (i.e., fixation, cryoprotection, angle of fracture plane relative to angle to axon's longitudinal axis, etching, and tilt angle of replica relative to photographic plate) can account for differences among earlier observations.

Fixation

The node of Ranvier has been found by some investigators to be a labile structure (6). Changes in the presence and partitioning in fracture faces depending on variations in fixation have been reported in other systems (10). Though our dimeric-particles on the axonal P-face are present with and without fixation and though there is no clear change in particle partitioning, the stability of the circumferential rows is definitely enhanced by aldehyde-fixation. It is possible that the fixation procedures used by other investigators resulted in movement of particles either into less observable positions, into less identifiable configurations, or even into another fracture face (10, 39). In addi-
tion, there may be species-related differences in the partitioning of the dimeric particles seen here in P-faces of rat peripheral and central nerves and a possibly related "crystalline array" associated with the axonal E-faces of turtle CNS tissue as noted by Schnapp and co-workers (40).

**Cryoprotection**

Freezing of tissue can result in ice-crystal damage when the rate of freezing is less than that necessary for rapid homogeneous nucleation of ice crystals. The rate necessary for such freezing can be lowered to readily obtainable values by diminishing the sample size and by cryoprotection of the tissue. Cryoprotection of peripheral nerve tissue with a 30% glycerol soak for 2 h appears to result in excellent preservation and minimal tissue damage when conventional freezing techniques are employed. Extracellular ice-crystal formation is one example of a frequently encountered problem when the rate of freezing is below that necessary for optimal vitrification. With the formation of extracellular ice-crystals, tissue dehydration, and membrane collapse can occur. Collapse of glial and axonal membranes can result in the observations of undulated fracture faces. When we intentionally froze specimens in a less than optimal manner (see Materials and Methods), paranodal membranes showed undulated faces and obscured membrane particles. A balance has to be achieved, however, since fracturing characteristics may be affected by very long glycerol incubations.

**Angle of Fracturing**

We do not see any qualitative differences in the membrane faces of specimens fractured at different angles. However, the presence of different faces, depending upon angle of cleavage relative to the nerve axis, suggests that propagation of the fracture plane can vary with different forms of cleavage.

**Etching**

A possible “decoration” effect and membrane collapse are two changes in membrane morphology hypothesized to occur with etching. Using ultrahigh vacuum (10^-9 Torr) freeze-fracture, Gross and co-workers (17) introduced pure water vapor after freeze-fracturing specimens and demonstrated decoration of specific regions of membranes. With the loss of water from tissue samples that have been fractured, membranes have been shown to collapse onto extracellular structures (37). The similarities between our etched and suboptimally frozen specimens (see above) suggest that the changes in the morphology of axonal E-faces that occur with etching are probably due to membrane collapse onto an extracellular structure. The presence of extracellular densities in the GAJ along with our observations of the size and position of the glial 160-Å particle rows suggest that it is collapse onto these structures that convolutes the axonal E-faces.

**Differences in Tilt Angle of Replicas Relative to the Photographic Plate**

When one is analyzing the relative position of particles on different membrane faces, micrographs can be misinterpreted if not viewed stereographically. The goniometric stage provides for the taking of stereo electron micrographs and these allow precise relative positioning of features on the glial and axonal fracture faces. Therefore, we consider our matching of features between glia and axon fracture faces to supersede earlier observations.

---

**FIGURE 15** An axonal E-face (AX-EF) and glial P-face (GL-PF) after fixation and 5 min of etching. Matching the rope-like ridges of the etched axonal E-face (open arrows) with the rows of 160-Å particles of the glial P-face (closed arrows) demonstrates that the ridges are superimposed over the rows of 160-Å particles (see Figs. 18 and 19). 8-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å × 125,000.

**FIGURE 16** An axonal P-face (AX-PF) without fixation and without etching. Within the scallops, the rows of dimeric-particles are less linear and more irregular than those seen in fixed material (cf. Fig. 3a). In some areas (circled), dimeric-particles and large (200-Å) particles appear to interrupt the dimeric-particle rows. 14-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å × 75,000.

**FIGURE 17** An axonal E-face (AX-EF) without fixation and after 5 min of etching. The rope-like bands (arrows) within the scalloped paranodal region (PN) are clearly demarcated from the nodal region (N) of the axolemma. 14-d-old rat. Bar, 1,000 Å × 75,000.
Synthesis of the Four Membrane Faces into a Model of the Paranodal Structure

The complementary appearance of glial E-faces and P-faces allows for their matching. This facilitates reconstruction of the relationship among all four faces involved in the GAJ. Because the glial E-faces and P-faces display rows of 160-Å particles spaced at multiples of 200 Å, we concur with other workers that, in the intact glial membrane, particles occur in a single row with a regular spacing of 200 Å. Upon cleavage, there is an approximately equal tendency for the particles to fracture with either the E-face or P-face (partition coefficient of unity). This suggests that the macromolecule represented by the intramembrane particle has a
roughly equal association with both sides of the
glial membrane (24). The dimeric-particle rows
within the P-face of the axolemma, as well as rows
of pits within the E-face of the axolemma, lie
between the 160-Å particle rows of the glial faces.
The rows of 75-Å particles in glial P-faces are
superimposed above the rows of dimeric-particles
in the axonal P-face.

Experiments with lanthanum staining of the
G AJ demonstrate nonlanthanum-permeated
spaces, 140- to 190-Å wide, separated by a distance
similar to the spacing of glial particle rows (11,
19). These non-lanthanum-permeated spaces ap-
pear to stain with lead in other thin-section prep-
arations (5, 23). These findings coupled with later
observations of large 160-Å glial particles suggest
that a macromolecular bridge crosses the narrow
gap (20-30 Å) between glia and axon. In support
of this connection, the 160-Å glial particles appear
to span the extracellular space when viewed ster-
eographically at high tilt. Fig. 19 is a model for
the G AJ that represents a synthesis ofthese data.
This model highlights the adjacent relationship
between the dimeric-particles of the axolemma
and the 160-Å glial particles, as well as the direct
superposition of the smaller rows of 80-Å glial
particles over these same dimeric-particles.

Implications of Structure on Function at the
Node of Ranvier

A model for saltatory conduction was first pro-
posed by Lillie in 1925 (26). The model developed
into a hypothesis for impulse conduction in mye-
linated nerves reviewed early by Frankenhaeuser
(16). Most of the transmembrane ion movement
in this model is thought to occur at the node, with
myelin acting passively to increase transmembrane
resistance and decrease membrane capacitance
throughout the internodal region. Though this
type of theory received considerable support from physi-
ologic studies, modern recordings with bipolar-
electrodes allow the determination of the position
of transmembrane current only to within ~0.1 mm
(34). Thus, the electrophysiologic activity is lo-
calized to a range that is several orders of magni-
tude larger than the size of the node. Despite
limitations in the precision of electrophysiologic
measurements, a theory of saltatory conduction
with the bare nodal membrane as the site of inward
current gained general acceptance. As discussed
above, early thin-section and freeze-fracture stud-
ies were interpreted to support the role of the node
in transmembrane ion movement, and the role of
the glial cell as contributing to the insulation of the
internodal region. More recent analyses of the
structure of the node of Ranvier have complicated
this interpretation (1, 18). Staempfli’s (41) mor-
phometric measurement of nodal membrane ca-
pacitance was found to differ by 10- to 30-fold
from that calculated on the basis of electrophysi-
ologic measurements. These investigators con-
cluded that more area than the bare node must be
involved in saltatory conduction.

Using electrophysiologic estimates of the con-
ductance of single sodium channels in frog mye-
linated nerves, Nonner and co-workers (29) esti-
ated that if all Na⁺ current were restricted to the
nodal region there would be ~5,000 channels/μm²
of frog nodal membrane. After elimination of slow
inactivation of sodium conductance with L einurus
scorpion venom, noise spectra analysis (8) dem-
onstrates 10⁵ sodium channels/note. Pharmacolo-
logical measurements of radioactive saxiotoxin
bindings suggest the concentration of sodium
channels in the rabbit nodal membrane to be
~8,000–10,000/μm² (35). These pharmacological
measurements also assumed that the binding com-
ponent was contained only in the bare nodal re-
gion. On the basis of estimates of a size of 250,000
daltons for a single tetrodotoxin (TTX) binding
component (Na channel?) from Electrophorus elec-
tricus (2, 25), its solubility and other physical
properties, one would expect the Na channel to be
exposed by freeze-fracture as a particle ~70–100
Å in diameter.

With the above correlations, we could expect to
find the nodal membrane to contain 5,000–12,000,
~70- to 100-Å particles/μm², if the sodium chan-
nels were confined to this region. However, quan-
titative analysis of bare nodal membrane fracture
faces (12, 13, 21) yields a total of little more than
2,000 heterogeneously-sized particles. The number
of these heterogeneous particles that could partic-
ipate as sodium channels is further diminished by
recent immunohistochemical studies (44) showing
localization of Na/K ATPase (a 90- to 100-Å
freeze-fracture particle [9]) to nodal membrane.
Thus, if the sodium channel is confined to
the nodal region at the estimated density of 5,000–
12,000, it either is not exposed by fracturing or
exists in a multimeric form.

In summary, these studies suggest that the bare
nodal membrane is too small to exclusively contain
the sodium channel. The ability of the myelinated
frog nerve to produce an action potential when the
nodal membrane is bathed in a low sodium (0.05 mM) solution (42) implies that the sodium necessary for the inward current must come from a source other than the extracellular fluid around the node. These observations coupled with the high concentration of sodium in the paranodal glial loops (15) have led us to propose that this is the source of sodium for the early current of an action potential. The importance of the paranodal organ in the production of an action potential is further strengthened by evidence indicating a differential sensitivity of the nodal complex to precisely positioned laser damage (28, 42). Small lesions in the paranodal region result in loss of early sodium current during excitation (28). These studies suggest a possible alteration in our conception of the role of different membrane regions in salutatory conduction.

Certainly the function of the paranodal region as a simple source of nerve insulation is not implied in its structure. Why should such a large portion of the axonal surface (1/2 to 1/3 of the internodal area) be involved in a leaky (as shown by tracer studies) junction when a series of tight junctions would accomplish a task of insulation more readily? The elaborate axonal E-face observed by Livingston and co-workers (27) suggested a more specialized function for the paranodal axolemma. The size of our dimeric-particles is compatible with the hypothesis that they are sodium channels. Though it is not reasonable to directly compare the density from earlier studies since the earlier estimates are predicated on the confinement of the sodium channel to the nodal membrane, it is pertinent to compare absolute number of channels predicted by the earlier density estimates for a comparably sized node. These comparisons show a good match of total number of subunits of dimeric-particles and predicted number of sodium channels in exemplary nodes.

We hypothesize that the proximity of the dimeric-particles to the glial membrane specializations implies a functional interaction between the glia and axon. This evidence along with our recent studies on the localization of sodium to the glial loops suggests an intimate communication with possible direct or indirect sodium exchange between these cells.
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