Quantum dynamics of Mn$^{2+}$ in dimethylammonium magnesium formate
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Dimethylammonium magnesium formate, [(CH$_3$)$_2$NH$_2$][Mg(HCOO)$_3$] or DMAMgF, is a model to study high temperature hybrid perovskite-like dielectrics. This compound displays a phase transition from para to ferroelectric at about 260 K. Using multifrequency electron spin resonance in continuous wave and pulsed modes, we herein present the quantum dynamic of Mn$^{2+}$ ion probe in DMAMgF. In the high temperature paraelectric phase, we observe a large distribution of the zero field splitting that is attributed to high local disorder and further supported by DFT computations. In the low temperature ferroelectric phase, a single structure phase is detected and shown to contain two magnetic structures. The complex EPR signals were identified by the means of Rabi oscillation method combined to crystal fields kernel density estimation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are constituted of two main building units: the framework consisting of metal centers connected to each other by organic linker molecules and the cation molecule in the cavity the dynamics of which are responsible for the dielectric transition. Previous works report a hybrid organic-inorganic metal-organic frameworks (MOF), such as [(CH$_3$)$_2$NH$_2$][M(HCOO)$_3$] (dimethylammonium metal formate) or DMAMF, M is divalent transition metal ions. Heat capacity and dielectric measurements of DMZnF indicated a phase transition at approximately 160 K. The order-disorder phase transition is a common property in these materials. At higher temperatures in the disordered phase the (CH$_3$)$_2$NH$_2^+$ (DMA$^+$, dimethylammonium) cation that is trapped within the cage is disordered, which means that the nitrogen from the amine group can occupy three locally equivalent positions by forming hydrogen bonds with oxygen atoms from the formate linkers. As the temperature is decreased, the long-range order is established due to the cooperative ordering of the cations at $T_c$. In the low temperature phase the nitrogen atoms freezes in to a single position in the cavity, while the metal-formate framework becomes more distorted. The Mg analogue (DMMF), is known to exhibit dielectric transition at exceptionally high $T_c$ of approximately 270 K. The single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies reveal that the high- and low-temperature phases of these compounds belong to the trigonal, $R3c$ (centrosymmetric) and the monoclinic, $Cc$ (non-centrosymmetric) space groups, respectively. The metal-formate frameworks of these materials consist of pseudo-cuboid cavities, each containing a single DMA$^+$ cation as shown in Fig. 1. DMMgF has been intensively investigated using heat capacity, dielectric, and XRD measurements. However, despite this huge effort the precise nature of the phase transition in DMMgF is still obscured. Among many other experimental methods, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy is well-suited to study structural phase transition. It used to detect the local environment of a paramagnetic center (e.g., local order parameter such as electric polarization) that can be influenced by the structural transformations. Although most of the MOFs do not contain any intrinsic paramagnetic center, they can be doped with a small amount of paramagnetic transition metal ions (e.g., Mn$^{2+}$) which act as local probes in the structure. In our previous studies we have employed continuous wave (CW) EPR spectroscopy to successfully investigate the phase transition in undoped DMAMnF and DMZnF:Mn$^{2+}$MOF. However, due to the strong magnetic dipolar and exchange interactions between the Mn$^{2+}$ centers the EPR spectrum of DMAMnF consists of a single broad line which is barely sensitive to the ordering of the DMA$^+$ cations. Also, slow dynamics of DMA$^+$ cation around the phase transitions in DMZnF:Mn$^{2+}$was investigated using S-Band (4 GHz) EPR. S-Band EPR spectra yielded clear signatures of the slow motion of both the formate and DMA$^+$ groups. EPR methods have also been used to characterize the low temperature phases and dynamics in Mn$^{2+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$ doped niccolite $[\text{NH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{NH}_3][\text{Zn(HCOO)}_3]$. Mn$^{2+}$ doping in such systems is the probe of choice for the local properties (crystal fields, motion). The large number of EPR transitions as well as the long coherence time allows us to have access to the quantum dynamics of the spins. Large spin ions like Mn$^{2+}$ ($s = 5/2$)
A suitable crystal for compound DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ was measured on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer at 220 K ($T_c=260$K) at the CuKα radiation ($\lambda=1.54184$ Å). Data collection reduction and multi-scan ABSPACK correction were performed with CrysAlisPro (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction). Using Olex2 the structures were solved by intrinsic phasing methods with SHELXT and SHELXL. The later was used for full matrix least squares refinement. All H-atoms were found experimentally and their coordinates and Uiso parameters were constrained to 1.5Ueq (parent atoms) for the methyls and to 1.2Ueq (parent atom) for the other carbons.

C. EPR spectroscopy

X-band (about 9.6GHz) EPR measurements were performed using two conventional Bruker spectrometers operating in continuous wave (cw) mode X-band (EMX - 9.6 GHz) and pulse mode X-band (E880 9.6 GHz). The cw spectrometer uses a standard 4102ST resonator (TE102) installed in an oxford cryostat ESR900. Low temperature measurements were performed using a cryogen free Bruker Stinger cryocooler allowing the temperature to reach 7K. The angular dependence was measured using an automatic goniometer. Magnetic field modulation ($f_m=100$ kHz) associated with lock-in detection was employed, resulting in the derivative of the signal. The amplitude of the modulation can be set up to 10 G and was carefully chosen to be below any linewidth to avoid overmodulation effect.

The pulse spectrometer was used to performed Rabi oscillations measurements on Mn$^{2+}$ ions using the sequence $P_R - \tau_1 - \pi/2 - \tau_2 - \pi - \text{echo}$ where $P_R$ is Rabi pulse which controls the coherent rotation of the spin and $\tau_1$ is a wait time longer than the coherence time in order to destroy the transverse magnetization $T_2$ but shorter than the relaxation time $T_1$ maintaining the longitudinal magnetization $\langle S_z \rangle$. The later is then recorded by the standard Hahn echo. Du to the large distribution of transitions, the $\pi/2$ pulse is selective in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$. However, it is more convenient in field sweep Rabi oscillation sequence since one want to excite just a small quantity of spin (the ones actually in resonance) in the spin packet. The measurements were performed at 7K using the Bruker MDS dielectric resonator overcoupled. The microwave field $h_{mw}$ was calibrated by measuring the transition of a $S=1/2$ standard.

High-field/high-frequency EPR (HF-EPR) experiments have been carried out using a homemade quasioptical superheterodyn setup developed at NHMFL. The spectrometer operates at 240 GHz and at temperature from RT down to 5 K. Angular dependence with respect of magnetic field direction is achieved using a manual goniometer every 18°.
FIG. 1. Crystal structure of the DMAMgF framework at \( T > T_c \) (left, HT phase - \( \overline{R} \bar{3}c \)) and \( T < T_c \) (right, LT phase - \( Cc \)). The Mg (in blue) and Mn probe (in pink) are in the center of the oxygen octahedron. The DMA\(^+\) are located in the formate cages and have three equivalent positions in the HT phase, represented by 3 nitrogens (green) while in the LT phase only one position is expected. For clarity the hydrogen atoms are not shown. The figures are realized with Blender \(^a\) using the structure investigated in this work.

\(^a\) 3D open source software: https://www.blender.org/

III. RABI DISTRIBUTION CALCULATION

To simulate the EPR spectra, we used the following Hamiltonian:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mu_b \vec{H}[g] \vec{S} + \vec{S}[A] I + \sum_k \sum_q B_k^q \hat{O}_k^q(\vec{S}) \quad (1)
\]

Here, the first term represents the Zeeman interaction with \([g]\) the g tensor and \(\mu_b\) is the Bohr magneton, the second represents the hyperfine interaction with the hyperfine constant tensor \([A]\) considered isotropic, while the last term represents the crystal-field interaction in the formalism of the extended Stevens operators \(\hat{O}_k^q(\vec{S})\) \((k = 2, 4, 6 \text{ and } q = -k,...,k)\). The \(B_k^q\) are real coefficients with the relations: \(3B_k^0 = D\) the axial anisotropy, \(B_k^2 = E\) the rhombic anisotropy, \(24B_k^4 = a\) the cubic contribution and \(F = 180B_k^4 - 36B_k^6\) the fourth order contribution. \(k = 6\) terms were considered small enough to be neglected. \([g]\) and \([A]\) are considered as scalar (isotropic) for Mn\(^{2+}\).

The EPR simulations were performed using a hybrid method. The conventional cw EPR spectra were simulated using the Matlab package Easyspin v5.2.28\(^35\).

The Rabi mode distributions were computed using a database approach. Due to the large anisotropy and the disoriented nature of DMAMgF:Mn\(^{2+}\) at low temperature, the full dynamical density matrix model developed in ref. \(^{[36]}\) for \(n\)photon transitions should have been too heavy to implement and unnecessary. Due to

sis sets \(^{[30],[31]}\) and the decontracted def2-TZVP/J Coulomb fitting basis sets for all atoms. The spin-spin contribution to the zfs was calculated on the basis of the UNO determinant.\(^{[32]}\)
the large zfs expected in this family of compound\textsuperscript{[31,12]} only the 1-photon transitions are expected to occur (n-photon transitions are expected when $D \sim h_{mw}^{\text{\scriptsize{1.5}}}$ with $h_{mw}$ the microwave field). Using the first order Fermi golden rule, the Rabi frequency of a transition $m$ to $n$ is $\langle m | S_z^+ | n \rangle$. The database of the Rabi frequencies was constructed as the following. The static field orientation is set first, then, after diagonalization of the Hamiltonian, all transitions fields are computed (regardless of the intensity), the Rabi frequency of each transition is calculated by the Fermi’s golden rule and the intensity of the transition simply equals the square of the Rabi frequencies. Orientations, resonance fields, transitions and Rabi frequencies are collected in the database. The treatment of the data is then realized using Pandas module of Python 3.8. We use the kernel density estimation (KDE)\textsuperscript{[35]} to reconstruct the Rabi oscillation distribution:

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_h(x-x_i) \tag{2}$$

where $K$ is the kernel function, $h$ the bandwidth and $n$ the number of samples. This method can be seen as an extension of the histogram method which counts the number of occurrences around a value. In our analysis we used the Gaussian kernel function. Since we have access to the transitions, field resonances and orientations, we can identify the nature and distribution of all transitions.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. HT Phase

Continuous wave EPR studies have been previously reported for the LT phase of the Zn compound, DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$\textsuperscript{[4,12]} and a particular focus has been made on the dynamics in the HT phase\textsuperscript{[3]}. Here we are interested in determining focus on how the change from Zn to Mg, which highly affects the structural phase transition temperature, can also have effects on the dynamics probed by EPR. Figure 3(a) shows the EPR signal of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ for $H//[102]$ at room temperature. The signal is composed of 6 lines corresponding to the $m_i$ nuclear spin projection of $^{55}$Mn. The separation between lines is 93.6 G which corresponds to 262.3 MHz or 87.5$\times$10$^{-4}$ cm$^{-1}$ and is a classical value reported for Mn$^{2+}$ ions\textsuperscript{[39]}. In solid-state EPR and for single crystal measurements, the intensity and linewidth of the 6 line pattern is expected to be comparable while in our case the lines at lowest and highest field (corresponding to $m_i = \pm 5/2$ ) are clearly sharper than the ones present in the intermediate field region. Moreover no forbidden transition\textsuperscript{[40]} is resolved while it is usually observed in Mn$^{2+}$ ions in anisotropic crystal field. This behavior was also observed\textsuperscript{[35]} in DMAZnF:Mn$^{2+}$ and was attributed to the slow motion regime\textsuperscript{[41,38]} caused by

FIG. 3. (color online) (a) First derivative with respect to the field of the EPR signal of a single crystal of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ recorded at X-band and room temperature (red). The blue line below represents the simulation of the spectrum in the slow motion regime with a correlation time $\tau_c = 2 \times 10^{-9}$s. (b) EPR signal recorded on the same crystal at $f_{mw} = 240$ GHz and at room temperature. The blue line is the rigid limit spectrum simulation using a distribution of axial anisotropy $\Delta D$.  

the DMA$^+$ movement around the Mn$^{2+}$ ion. Using this model\textsuperscript{[51]} we managed to simulate the experimental data within DMAZnF:Mn$^{2+}$. Indeed, by decreasing the temperature, the DMA$^+$ slow down and when its correlation time $\tau_c$ is longer than the time scale of the measurement (i.e. $> 1/f_{mw}$), the system is considered frozen and can be described by the rigid model. However, in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$, $T_c$ (263 K) is higher than in the Zn counterparts (173 K) and the structure of the system changes before reaching the frozen regime. To observe the frozen regime we have to increase significantly the frequency. Fig. 3(b) shows the EPR signal of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ recorded at $f_{mw} = 240$ GHz and at room temperature. Contrary to the X-band measurements, all of the six nuclear isotope lines for transitions $m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ have the same intensity suggesting that we have reached to rigid limit of EPR. However, whatever the orientation of crystal is, no satellite lines corresponding to $m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ and $m_s = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2$.
±1/2 were resolved indicating a large distribution of the crystal field parameters. To simulate the spectrum of Fig. 3(b) we used the crystal field parameters of the DMAZnF:Mn²⁺ compound \( D = B_D^0/3 = 250 \text{ MHz} \) but we have to set a distribution of the crystal field parameter \( \Delta D = 260 \text{ MHz} \) which seems inconsistent since \( \Delta D \) is usually less than 10% of \( D \). To explain this large value of \( \Delta D \) it is worth to mention that, in the HT phase, the system is locally highly disordered. Indeed, the Mn²⁺ ion is surrounded by 8 DMA⁺ cations which all have 3 different positions giving rise to \( 3^8 = 6561 \) configurations of the crystal field which are responsible for the large zfs distribution.

To support and rationalise the experimental findings about \( \Delta D \), DFT calculations were conducted. To do so, we employed a methodology similar to that from our previous study on DMAZnF:Mn²⁺[14] and worked with a minimal model consisting in one Mn²⁺ ion bound to 6 formate anions and surrounded by 8 DMA⁺ cations[24]. The resulting metal cluster displays a quasi-octahedral coordination geometry. Based on the high temperature single crystal XRD structure of DMAMgF that identified three equivalent positions of the nitrogen in each DMA+, we have considered several configurations in which the Mn-N distances for 6 DMA⁺ can take values of 4.495, 5.121 and 5.679 Å while the 2 remaining DMA⁺ d display Mn-N distances of 5.698 Å. This provides a random sampling of the different situations and allows to determine the distribution of the zfs parameter, which was found to be \( \Delta D \approx 234 \text{ MHz} \). The computed value is in fair agreement with the experimentally estimated value of 260 MHz and our calculations adequately reproduce the increased value for the zfs distribution when comparing DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ to DMAZnF:Mn²⁺ (\( \Delta D_{DFT} \approx 125 \text{ MHz} \) and \( \Delta D_{exp} \approx 150 \text{ MHz} \)). While there is no clear evidence for an effect from the Mg, our results confirm the influence from the DMA⁺ cations on the crystal-field effect on the Mn²⁺ as observed in the case of DMAZnF:Mn²⁺.

Fig. 4 shows the temperature dependence of the peak-peak linewidth \( \Delta H_{pp} \) of the smallest field line \( (m_I = -5/2) \) for DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ (this work) and DMAZnF:Mn²⁺ (from Ref. 5) in the HT phase. In DMAZnF:Mn²⁺, \( T_c =170\ \text{ K} \) while in DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ \( T_c =260\ \text{ K} \) that’s why the temperature range of the HT phase in DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ is more limited. In X-band and in slow regime, the temperature dependence of \( \Delta H_{pp} \) is the barely the same in the two compounds showing that the correlation time \( \tau_c \) of the DMA⁺ cation is weakly affected by the nature of the metal, Zn or Mg, as pointed out in Ref. [9]. In the rigid limit the linewidth of the \( m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2 \) transition in DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ is about two times smaller than in DMAZnF:Mn²⁺ at high frequencies although the working frequency used to investigate DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ was 240 GHz while it was 34 GHz for DMAZnF:Mn²⁺. The distribution of the crystal field does not affect this transition, and a distribution of g-factor should have as effect to increase the linewidth when the frequency is increased.

**B. LT Phase**

1. **CW-EPR**

![FIG. 4. Peak-to-peak linewidth of the rightmost EPR line in DMAMgF:Mn²⁺, recorded between 300 and 260 K at X-band and 240 GHz. The data for DMAZnF:Mn²⁺ are extracted from Ref. 5. The vertical dashed line is the structural transition temperature.](image)

When the temperature is below \( T_c =263\ \text{ K} \), the system undergoes a structural phase transition from disordered trigonal \( R3c \) to ordered monoclinic \( Cc \). Fig. 5 shows the EPR spectrum of DMAMgF:Mn²⁺ powder at \( T = 100\ \text{ K} \). The signal is now more resolved than in the HT phase due to an apparent decreasing of the \( \Delta D \) value. Using eq. 1, we simulate the spectrum with the following parameters: \( B_{01}^0 = 110 \text{ MHz}, B_{02}^0 = 10 \text{ MHz}, B_{03}^0 = 0.5 \text{ MHz}, B_{04}^0 = 0.7 \text{ MHz}, \)
\( B_2^1 = 0.5 \text{ MHz} \) and \( A = 264 \text{ MHz} \). While second order crystal field parameters are provided with a good approximation, the fourth order ones have to be taken with caution since the transitions \( m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2 \) and \( m_s = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2 \) are not perfectly resolved. Nevertheless, the crystal field parameters are in the range of what is expected for this family of material. The crystal field distribution \( \Delta D \) is about 50 MHz, much less than the one needed to simulate the HT phase. In the LT phase, the DMA\(^+\) cations now have only one possible orientation induced by the ferroelastic phase. The residual \( \Delta D \) is thus due to local inhomogeneity.

Fig. 6 shows the angular dependence of the X-band EPR signal of a single crystal of DMAMgF:Mn\(^{2+}\) recorded at \( T = 100 \text{ K} \) with a resolution of 1°. Most of the transitions are between 3000 G and 3500 G and are therefore impossible to resolve. However, the highest anisotropic transitions, \( m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2 \), are visible on the edges of the spectra. The triangles point to the maximum of resonance fields and are found at 18° and 108° for the blue ones and at 55° and 145° for the red ones. The angular separation between these two magnetic substructures is 53° which corresponds to the angle between the two MnO\(_6\) orientation resolved by XRD (see Fig. S16). It is worth noticing that, in DMAZnF:Mn\(^{2+}\), six substructures was necessary to follow the angular dependence of the EPR. Single crystal XRD data obtained at 100 K reveals the presence of a two component twin with a minor domain of only 5% weight. (Fig. S15).

To confirm that DMAMgF:Mn\(^{2+}\) has mostly a single ferroelastic domain, we performed high frequency/field EPR (240 GHz \( \approx 8.87 \text{ T} \) at \( T = 5 \text{ K} \)). At this temperature and for this field, the Boltzmann statistic populates mostly the lowest energy levels \( m_s = -5/2 \). The transitions \( m_s = -5/2 \leftrightarrow -3/2 \) are mainly visible, while the others are either weak or absent. Thus, the spectra are "cleaned" and easier to read. Fig. 7 shows the angular dependence of DMAMgF:Mn\(^{2+}\) at \( f = 240 \text{ GHz} \). Blue and red triangles represent the resonance fields and the lines correspond to the simulated structure of the resonance field using Eq. (1).
magnetic structures. If one consider that changing the metal ion in DMAXF from Zn to Mg modify the elastic property of the MOF, the same argument can be used to explain the dramatic increase of $T_c$ in the DMAMgF compared to DMAZnF.

2. Pulsed EPR

Despite our efforts to resolve all EPR lines in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ using low and high frequency EPR, the large number of lines in Mn$^{2+}$ makes it difficult to identify them completely. By means of pulsed EPR, we measured the field sweep Rabi oscillations. The Rabi spectroscopy adds another dimension to the EPR spectrum. While for a fixed field, the EPR intensity might contain many transitions with unresolved contribution, the Rabi frequency of each transition is often unique. On Fig. 8(a) we show the 1D echo field and on Fig. 8(b) we show the contour plot of the field sweep fast Fourier transform (FFT) of Rabi oscillations recorded on a single crystal of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ at $T = 7$ K with $h_{mw} = 4.8$ G. The red dashed line corresponds to the frequency expected for a $S = 1/2$ spin. Clearly, the 2D Rabi field sweep helps to resolve many more transitions. The distribution in the frequency dimension is due to the damping of the oscillation while the distribution in the field dimension is due to the $\Delta D$. Qualitatively, the broadly distributed frequencies are related to the $m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ and $m_s = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2$ transitions which are sensitive to $\Delta D$ while the narrow distributed ones are related to $m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ which are insensitive to the crystal field. Moreover, in first approximation, the Rabi frequency in a large spin system is given by the adapted Fermi Golden rule:

$$F_R(S, m_s) = \sqrt{S(S+1) - m_s(m_s+1)} \times F_R(S = 1/2)$$

such as: $F_R(S = 5/2, m_s = -5/2) = \sqrt{5} \times F_R(S = 1/2) = 30.2$ MHz, $F_R(S = 5/2, m_s = -3/2) = \sqrt{8} \times F_R(S = 1/2) = 38.8$ MHz and $F_R(S = 5/2, m_s = -1/2) = \sqrt{5} \times F_R(S = 1/2) = 40.5$ MHz.

While the agreement with this model is fair (but not exact) for $m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ and $m_s = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2$ it fails for $m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2$. To understand the distribution of Rabi frequencies in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ we developed a kernel density estimation of all transitions and all orientations in the crystals. Using the crystal field parameters found in the previous section, we computed the fields of resonance for each (allowed and forbidden) transitions accessible from $H = 260$ mT to $H = 430$ mT.

For each pair of resonance field/transition we computed the amplitude of transition probability ($A_{tr}$) in the direction perpendicular to the field orientation that is imposed by the experimental condition since the cavity force the microwave polarization to be perpendicular to the static field. The Rabi frequency is then $F_R = \frac{\mu_B h_{mw}}{h} A_{tr}$, with $g$ is the g-factor (close to 2), $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton, $h$ the Planck constant and $h_{mw}$ the microwave field. This series of Rabi frequencies is then computed for all orientations. We discretize the space using an icosphere to avoid over-density of orientations by using a simple equally spaced Euler’s angles. The calculation included 20609 orientations and using a threshold of $10^{-2}$ to suppress the far too low frequency Rabi oscillations we obtained 1 346 898 sets of data containing resonance fields, probability amplitude, transition identification and Euler angles.

We first describe the Rabi and field distribution for the "allowed" transitions ($\Delta m_s = \pm 1$ and $\Delta m_I = 0$ ). Fig. 7 shows the Rabi frequencies and magnetic field distributions for the 30 allowed transitions. First, the field distribution follows what we expected for a Mn$^{2+}$ ion in a moderate crystal field: $m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ are spread on large distribution of the field, $m_s = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2$ are slightly less distributed while $m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow +1/2$ are fairly insensitive to the crystal field and induce the narrow lines observed on Fig. 8. More surprisingly, the Rabi frequency distribution is less intuitive. The transitions $m_s = \pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ are weakly distributed around 2.2 $\times F_R(S = 1/2)$ whatever $m_I$ is, confirming the valid-
FIG. 9. Rabi frequency (left) and resonance field (right) distributions calculated in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ using the crystal field parameters extracted from CW measurements for all allowed transitions ($\Delta m_s = \pm 1$ and $\Delta m_I = 0$). The frequency distribution is presented vertically to help the comparison with the experimental data. The unit is set to be proportional to the Rabi frequency of the $S = 1/2$ isotropic caliper.

Now we consider the case of Rabi frequencies and resonant field distributions of the "forbidden" transitions. The calculation method is based on the first order Fermi's golden rule and so only one photon is involved in the resonance mechanism. The multiple photon transition $J_{\text{IIT}} \neq 0; \Delta m_I = 1$ are not taken into account. However such transitions are induced only when $h_{\text{new}} = D$ which is far from being the case here. The "forbidden" transitions that we are considering are thus $\Delta m_s = \pm 1$ and $\Delta m_I \neq 0$. It appears that the transitions $m_s = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ and $m_I = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ are weakly distributed in field, which is expected for such transition but we also observe that the Rabi frequency distribution is centered at $1.6 \times F_R(S = 1/2)$ making these transitions highly probable.

To confront the model, we have measured the field sweep Rabi oscillations of a powder of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$. After some signal processing (baseline correction, zero filing) the FFT is presented in Fig. 10 (a). We then simulated the distribution of both frequencies and resonance fields of a Mn$^{2+}$ ion with the crystal field parameters extracted from CW-EPR of...
DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ and a microwave field of $h_{mw}$ = 1.8 G. Our simulation describes rather well the experimental data. We should note that the apparent slope in the Rabi frequencies around 15 MHz in Fig. 10(a) is due to the nuclear zeeman of protons $\omega_N$ (42.57 MHz/T) which induce an amplification of the Rabi intensity in case of Hartman-Hahn conditions. Without fitting parameters we can describe the experimental data but the strength of this method is to help of the identification of transitions. Fig. S6 and S7 show the frequency and field distribution of all principal transitions.

To simulate the single crystal field sweep Rabi frequency distribution presented in Fig. 8, we just replaced the Euler angles sweep by the crystal field parameter $D$ distribution in order to simulate the broadening. We used a Gaussian distribution with width of 40 MHz corresponding to the strain of $D$ extracted from EPR measurements. We then calculate the field sweep Rabi frequency distribution for two orientations separated by 58° which correspond to the angle between the 2 magnetic domains observed in Fig. 6 and presented in Fig. S8. The agreement between theory and experiment (Fig. 8) is fairly good thus confirming the presence of two magnetic structures that are disoriented by about 60°. We also note that very low modes at about 2.5 MHz are visible experimentally but not displayed in our model. We believe these modes are related to the recently observed quantum rotor tunneling of methyl group in DMAZnF$^{50}$ which has not been taken into account in our model.

V. CONCLUSION

We employed the electron spin resonance technique to investigate the dynamics of the electron spin of a Mn$^{2+}$ ion used as a probe in the multiferroic compound DMAMgF. In the high temperature phase, the X-band cw-EPR study demonstrated that the correlation time describing the motion of DMA$^+$ in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$ is similar to that observed in the Zn analogue. The high frequency EPR data revealed a large zfs distribution in DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$. Using a wide range of configurations and DFT computations, we were able to support this finding and fairly estimate this distribution. In the low temperature phase, we observed a single elastic phase containing two magnetic structures in agreement with single crystal XRD analysis. The complex EPR structure observed in the LT phase was then solved using pulse EPR combined to the field sweep Rabi oscillations method. A model based on the crystal field and the kernel density estimation of all possible transitions and orientations finally provided an accurate description of the complicated EPR structure of DMAMgF:Mn$^{2+}$.
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