Evaluation of Immune Response to rσB Protein of Avian reovirus (ARV) in Chicken
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ABSTRACT

Avian reovirus (ARV) is a non-enveloped double stranded RNA virus of poultry and is associated with significant economic losses to the poultry industry throughout the world. The virus is responsible for different clinical manifestations in poultry out of which most important is viral arthritis/tenosynovitis. Protein σB, an outer capsid protein of ARV contains group specific neutralizing epitopes and induces strong immune response in natural infection in chicken. We have evaluated the immunogenicity of full length rσB fusion protein in chicken. Six, 4 week old, SPF chickens (Gr. A) were inoculated with 50 µg of rσB protein emulsified with Freund’s incomplete adjuvant (FIA). Control birds (Gr.B and C) received FIA alone and PBS respectively. Blood samples were collected at 0 d.p.i, 7 d.p.i and 21 d.p.i to evaluate the level of cytokine expression towards rσB. Serum neutralization test (SNT) was performed to analyze antibody response. There was significant up regulation of IFN-γ and TNF-α 7 d.p.i in group A birds. There was significant elevation in (P < 0.05) neutralizing antibody titre up to 6.3 in group A birds on 21 d.p.i, whereas there was no detectable neutralizing antibody response in control birds. There was significant increase in CD4+ Th cell population and reached up to 27.06% on 7 d.p.i. The rσB fusion protein of ARV was able to generate good immune response in birds after primary immunization. This result suggests that rσB fusion protein is a good candidate for preparation of subunit vaccine against ARV infection.
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Introduction

Avian reovirus (ARV) belongs to the genus Orthoreovirus in the family Reoviridae and was first isolated from birds in 1954 (Fahey and Crawley, 1954). Virus particles are 70 nm to 80 nm in size, non-enveloped and have icosahedral symmetry with a double-shelled arrangement of surface protein. The genome of the virus is dsRNA with 10 segments. Depending on their electrophoretic mobility the genome segments are divided into three size classes large (L1, L2, L3), medium (M1, M2, M3), and small (S1, S2, S3, S4) (Spandidos and Graham, 1976). Similarly, proteins encoded by the genome also fall into 3 size classes, as follows: λ (large), μ (medium) and σ (small). The ARV genome...
encodes for 8 structural and 4 nonstructural proteins. The 8 structural proteins are: 3 λ proteins (λA, λB and λC) encoded by L segments, 2 µ proteins (µA and µB) encoded by M segments, and 3 σ proteins (σA, σB and σC) encoded by S segments (Varela et al., 1996). Four nonstructural proteins µNS, σNS, are encoded by M3 and S4 segments respectively, while S1 segment encodes for 2 additional nonstructural proteins p10 and p17 (Bodelón et al., 2001).

The σB protein encoded by the S3 gene segment is a major outer capsid protein of ARV is analogous to σC protein of the mammalian reoviruses (Arnauld et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2007). It is mainly involved in cell fusion (Ni and Ramig, 1993) and contains group specific neutralizing epitopes. The σB protein is 367 amino acid long, with molecular mass of about 41.47 kDa (Wickramasinghe et al., 1993).

Currently vaccination for ARV is mainly comprise of live attenuated vaccine in young chicks followed by inactivated vaccine for breeders intended to protect the young chicks by maternal antibodies. But both these vaccination strategies got disadvantages of their own including stability, maintenance of cold chain, duration of immunity etc. So there is an urgent need to develop a new vaccine to overcome these issues. Subunit vaccine has several advantages over the conventional one in terms of elimination of cold chain, enhanced efficiency of vaccination and ability to combine antigens with other vaccines (Nkando et al., 2016). In this study we have evaluated the immune response to σB fusion protein of ARV in birds for further use of the protein as possible vaccine candidate.

Different immunological parameter including cytokine expression studies and evaluation of antibody response to σB at different time interval was undertaken. Expression levels of different cytokines in response to σB protein were evaluated by real time PCR. To confirm the results of real time PCR competitive ELISA was performed for IFN-γ and TNF-α, being the two most important cytokine produced by CD4+ cells. CD4+ and CD8+ cell response was analyzed by flow cytometry. Antibody responses to σB in different group of birds were evaluated by m-SNT.

Materials and Methods

Protein

The pARV-σB clone in One Shot® Mach1™-T1R (Invitrogen, USA) E. coli cells (Kumar et al., 2016) maintained in Division of Veterinary Biotechnology, ICAR-IVRI, Izatnagar, U.P. was revived and induced with 1 mM IPTG at different time interval. The expressed σB protein was purified using Ni-NTA super flow cartridge, (Qiagen, USA) as per manufacturer’s protocol. The protein was dialysed against PBS for 12 h followed by 4 h after addition of fresh PBS. The protein was quantified using Nano Drop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Birds

Fertile SPF chicken eggs were procured from Venkateswara hatcheries Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra. The chickens were not immunized for any disease and were fed according to their age. Vitamin and mineral supplement was given to the birds. Birds were reared as per institutional guideline.

Immunization

4 week old chickens were randomly divided into 3 groups of 6 birds each. chickens in Group A were immunized with 50 µg of σB in 350 µL of PBS emulsified with equal
volume of Freund’s incomplete adjuvant (FIA), Group B birds received 350 μL of sterile PBS emulsified with equal volume of FIA, Group C birds received only 700 μL of sterile PBS via I/M route in the breast muscle at multiple sites. Group B and C birds served as control.

**Collection of sample**

All the birds were bled on 0 d.p.i, 7 d.p.i and 21 d.p.i via jugular vein or wing vein. The blood with anticoagulant was collected for cytokine assay and FACS, another fraction was used for separation of serum to analyze antibody response.

**Antibody response to σB protein**

Blood was collected from immunized and control groups were monitored for antibody response by micro-serum neutralization test (m-SNT). The m-SNT was performed as described previously (Giambrone, 1980) with slight modification. Briefly, the serum samples were incubated at 56°C for 30 min, followed by serial two-fold diluted in increasing order from 1:2 to 1:1024 in sterile PBS. Then 50 μL of each dilution of serum was transferred to a flat bottomed tissue culture microtiter plate.

Next, 50 μL of cell culture adapted ARV containing 100 TCID$_{50}$ of virus was added followed by incubation at 37 °C at 5% CO$_2$ tension for 2 h. Then 100 μL of BHK-21 cell suspension containing approximately 2 X 10$^8$ cells/ mL was added to each well and incubated for 72 h. The plates were examined under inverted microscope for appearance of cytopathic effect (CPE). The neutralization titer was determined as the dilution of serum giving 50% neutralization end point. The SNT antibody titers were expressed as log$_2$ reciprocal of highest dilution of the serum showing neutralization.

**Analysis of mRNA expression level of IFN-γ and TNF-α**

After inoculation 1 mL of heparinized blood was collected aseptically from test and control groups at different time intervals. PBMC was separated at each interval using Histopaque-1.077 g/ml (Sigma, USA) following standard protocol. RNA was extracted from PBMC using TRI Reagent® (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and the purity and concentration of the RNA was analyzed in a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Complementary DNA was synthesized from 1 μg of RNA using Revert Aid First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol.

Cytokine specific primers for IFN-γ, TNF-α and housekeeping gene GAPDH (Nang et al., 2011) were used for analysis of expression level at different time interval and groups. Real time PCR was performed in Stratagene Mx3005P Real Time Thermal Cycler (Agilent Technologies, USA) and results were analyzed using MxPro QPCR software. Real time PCR reaction mixture contained 5 μL of EvaGreen qPCR Mastermix (G Biosciences®, USA), 0.5 μL each of forward and reverse primers and 1 μL of cDNA as template, NFW was added to make the volume up to 10 μL.

The thermal profile used was 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 sec, annealing at 60 °C for 15 sec and extension at 72 °C for 25 sec; after an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min. For each gene of interest real time PCR was performed in duplicate.

No template control (NTC) where no cDNA was added to the reaction mixture was kept to rule out any reagent contamination. Housekeeping gene GAPDH was kept to normalize the expression level of these cytokines and TLRs.
Competitive ELISA for estimation of cytokine concentration

ELISA for cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α was performed using competitive ELISA kit (Blue Gene Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) as per manufacturer’s instructions.

Analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ response by flow cytometry

Flowcytometric analysis of PBMCs was done for enumeration of CD4+ and CD8+ cells at different time interval. 100 µL of PBMC containing approximately $10^6$ Nos. of cells were stained with 10 µL (0.1 mg/mL) of mouse anti-chicken CD4: FITC (AbD Serotek, USA) and mouse anti-chicken CD8: RPE monoclonal antibodies (AbD Serotek, USA) separately.

The cells were incubated at room temperature in dark. Then the cells were washed with 2 mL PBS and centrifuged. The pellet was resuspended in 200 µL of PBS. The stained cells were acquired in a FACS Calibur™ flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). A total of 10,000 events in the lymphocyte gate (based on forward and side scatter) were recorded from each sample and percentage variations in lymphocyte subpopulation were analyzed by FITC and RPE fluorescence at FL-1 and FL-2 channel using Cell Quest™ Pro Software (BD Biosciences, USA).

Statistical analysis

The result of m-SNT and kinetics of CD4+ and CD8+ cells of all three groups were analysed by Kruskal–Wallis test by IBM® SPSS® 20.0. The P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Result of TLR and cytokine expression studies were analyzed using the REST 2009 software, originally developed by Pfaffl, 2001 (Fig. 1).

Results and Discussion

Antibody response to σB protein

At 0 d.p.i there was no detectable neutralizing antibody titer in either test or control groups as measured by mSNT. The GMT of serum neutralizing antibody in Gr. A birds raised significantly ($P<0.05$) from 3.5 on 7 d.p.i reaching 6.3 and on 21 d.p.i. There was no detectable neutralizing antibody response to ARV in control groups.

Cell mediated immune response

Cytokine

There was significant increase in IFN-γ and TNF-α expression level in Gr. A chicken on 7 d.p.i, but in case of control birds there was no change in expression level of these cytokines.

These results was supported by both Real Time PCR and cytokine specific competitive ELISA (Fig. 2).

Analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ cell percentage in PBMC

In Gr. A the mean±SD percentage of CD4+ cells in PBMC raised significantly ($P < 0.05$) from 11.83 ± 1.56 % in 0 d.p.i to 28.96±0.89 % on 7 d.p.i followed by 17.39 ± 0.44% on 21 d.p.i. Whereas in Gr. B and C there was no significant change in CD4+ cell percentage and ranged between 8.68 ± 1.23% -14.82 ± 0.01% and 10.2 ± 1.52%-12.67 ± 0.31% respectively (Fig. 3).

The CD8+ cells did not show any significant change in proportion throughout the study and ranged between 3.30 ± 0.87% -5.855 ± 0.67%.
**Fig. 1** Graphs represent the level of expression of mRNA of four cytokine transcripts in different groups at different time interval. The expression level was calculated by Pfaffl method using REST 2009 software after test samples were standardized with endogenous housekeeping (GAPDH) gene and calibrator (uninfected controls). The data was analysed with Scheirer-Ray-Hare technique. (*) indicates $P<0.05$
Fig. 2 Graphs represent the level of expression of IFN-γ and TNF-α in different groups at different time interval in cytokine specific competitive ELISA. (*) indicates $P<0.05$
Fig. 3 Graphs representing Mean±SD percentage of PBMC populations leveled by antibodies against (a) CD4$^+$ and (b) CD8$^+$ T lymphocytes of different groups of birds at different time intervals. (*) indicates $P<0.05$. 
**Fig. 4** Histogram representing the population of CD4\(^+\) cell population in different groups at different time interval

**Fig. 5** Histogram representing the population of CD8\(^+\) cell population in different groups at different time interval
ARV is a widely distributed virus of poultry. It causes considerable economical loss to the poultry industry (Lu et al., 2015). It mainly affects the broiler birds, but the layer birds, duck, goose, turkey etc also gets affected by the virus. It causes significant economic losses to the poultry industry. The immunization with potent and efficacious vaccine is the most cost effective and efficient method to control viral disease of man and animal. The goal of immunization is to expose animals to a virus or viral gene product so that they will develop an immune response against the particular pathogen. The vaccines used against ARV are of inactivated and live attenuated in nature. The chicks are vaccinated with live attenuated vaccine and the breeders are vaccinated with inactivated vaccines. The maternal antibody protects the chicks against ARV infection during early days of their life.

Both cell mediated and humoral immune response plays its role in providing protection to the chicken against ARV, though humoral immune response is more important than CMI response (Shapouri et al., 1997). Humoral immunity is mediated by antibodies and it functions to neutralize and eliminate extracellular antigens. Protein antigens activate the B cell response by a complex process and require participation of several other cells. Protein antigens are first processed in APCs and recognized by helper T lymphocytes, which play an important role in B cell activation and are powerful inducer of heavy chain class switching and affinity maturation. B lymphocytes recognize antigens in lymphoid follicles and encounter Th cells at the edge of follicles. Then B cell proliferation and differentiation begins at the interface of B-cell rich zones and T-cell rich zones. The antibody secreting cells that develop as a consequence reside in lymphoid organs, and the secreted antibody enters the blood.

The GMT of serum neutralizing antibody in Gr. A birds raised significantly ($P<0.05$) from 3.5 on 7 d.p.i reaching 6.3 and on 21 d.p.i. There was no detectable neutralizing antibody response in Gr. B and Gr. C birds (Fig. 6). There was significant increase in antibody titers in serum of ducks immunized with recombinant *Riemerella anatipestifer* outer membrane protein A and CpG ODN adjuvant starting on day 7 after initial immunization. The antibody titers peaked on Day 14 and
remained high for at least 35 days after initial immunization (Chu et al., 2015). Cell mediated immune responses encompasses T cell response and plays an important role in protection from disease caused by viruses (Zajac and Harrington, 2008). Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are involved in conferring immunity to viral infection through the action of secreted cytokines and cytolytic activity, respectively (Ulmer et al., 1998) (Figs. 4 and 5). Inactivated vaccine preparations and subunit vaccines in general induce CD4+ T-cell responses but not CD8+ cytototoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) (Ulmer et al., 1998). CD4+ T cells differentiate into subsets of effector cells Th1 and Th2 that respond to antigen by producing cytokines that function mainly to activate macrophages and B lymphocytes. One of the important cytokine produced by Th1 cells is IFN-γ. IFN-γ is a potent activator of macrophages, induces expression of class II MHC molecule on APCs, and also stimulates production of antibodies that stimulate the phagocytosis of microbes. Antigen stimulated CD4+ T cells also produce TNF-α, causes up regulation of vascular endothelial cell adhesion molecule expression, resulting in recruitment of more T cells and other leukocytes, including blood neutrophils and monocytes into site of infection (Jersmann et al., 2001). TNF-α is also expressed by activated macrophages, monocytes, neutrophils, activated lymphocytes and NK cells, and plays a pivotal role in regulating the synthesis of other pro-inflammatory cytokines. TNF-α helps in proliferation and differentiation of T cells, B cells, macrophages, NK cells, and fibroblasts (Channappanavar et al., 2012). TLRs are components of innate immune system and usually expressed by macrophages and DCs and they are important sensors of foreign microbial components (PAMPs). Upon sensing the molecules TLRs initiate downstream signaling event leading to production of cytokine, chemokine and other inflammatory mediators (Li et al., 2010). There was significant increase in IFN-γ and TNF-α expression level in Gr. A bird on 7 d.p.i., but in case of control birds there was no change in expression level of these cytokines. These results was supported by both Real Time PCR and cytokine specific competitive ELISA. Similarly here was significant up regulation of IFN-γ and TNF-α in-vitro response to recombinant FIP-gsi protein of Ganoderma sinensis in mouse spleen cells (Li et al., 2009). In another study it has been shown that there was significant up regulation of mRNA level of IFN-γ in chickens experimentally infected with ARV (Shen et al., 2014). The increase in mRNA expression of IFN-γ 7 dpi may be related to increase in CD4+ Th1 cell subset as evident by flowcytometry and reported by earlier studies (Kano et al., 2009). Sharafeldin et al., (2015) also reported that there was increase in IFN-γ followed by experimental ARV infection in chicken 7-14 d.p.i. The increase IFN-γ may be due to increase in CD4 T cell 7 d.p.i. In another study it was demonstrated that there was significant increase in IFN-γ level 7 day post vaccination with marek disease vaccine (Abdul-Careem et al., 2008). Chu et al., 2015 demonstrated that there is significant up regulation of Th1 cytokine IFN-γ followed by immunization with subunit vaccine containing recombinant Riemerella anatipestifer outer membrane protein A and CpG ODN adjuvant.

In Gr. A the mean±SD percentage of CD4+ cells in PBMC raised significantly ($P < 0.05$) from 11.83 ± 1.56 % in 0 d.p.i reaching peak on 7 d.p.i 28.96 ± 0.89% followed by 17.39 ± 0.44% on 21 d.p.i the CD4% was Whereas in Gr. B and C there was no significant change in CD4+ cell percentage and ranged between 8.68 ± 1.23% -14.82 ± 0.01% and 10.2 ± 1.52%-12.67 ± 0.31% respectively. The CD8+ cells did not show any significant change in proportion throughout the study and ranged between 3.30 ± 0.87% -5.855 ± 0.67%. There was significant increase in
CD4⁺ T lymphocytes from day 14 post immunization in ducks immunized with recombinant *Riemerella anatipestifer* outer membrane protein A and CpG ODN adjuvant (Chu et al., 2015).
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