Mammalian haploid embryonic stem cells (haESCs) serve as a powerful tool for genetic analyses at both the cellular and organismal levels. However, spontaneous diploidization of haESCs limits their use in these analyses. Addition of small molecules to the culture medium to control the cell cycle can slow down diploidization, but cell-sorting methods such as FACS are still required to enrich haploid cells for long-term maintenance in vitro. Here, acting on our observation that haploid and diploidized cells differ in diameter, we developed a simplified filtration method to enrich haploid cells from cultured haESCs. We found that regular cell filtration with this system reliably maintained the haploidy of mouse haESCs for over 30 passages. Importantly, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout and knockin were successfully achieved in the filtered cells, leading to stable haploid cell lines carrying the desired gene modifications. Of note, by injecting haESCs into metaphase II oocytes, we efficiently obtained live mice with the expected genetic traits, indicating that regular filtration maintained the functional integrity of haESCs. Moreover, this filtration system was also feasible for derivation of mouse haESCs from parthenogenetic haploid blastocysts and for human haESC maintenance. In conclusion, we have identified a reliable, efficient, and easy-to-handle technique for countering diploidization of haploid cells, a major obstacle in haESC applications.

HaESCs hold great advantages to reveal gene functions and mechanisms underlying rudimentary bioprocesses because they contain only one set of chromosomes (1–4). Advances in mammalian haESC-related techniques, from generating stable haESCs of different species (5–10) to producing semicloned (SC) mice through intracytoplasmic injection of androgenetic haESCs (AG-haESCs) (ICAHCI) into metaphase II oocytes (11–13), have been extending the utility of haESCs in a revolutionary way. Those successes bring genetic study from the cellular to the organismal level, provide more reliable and systematic analyses, and cut labor and time cost dramatically compared with regular genetic strategies. Recent thriving of CRISPR-Cas9 technology (14, 15) gives a major boost to haESC application, allowing haESCs to be used for genome-wide knockout-based screening and efficient site-specific knock-in (12, 16, 17). All of the improvements in haESCs techniques give researchers a versatile tool to study genes of interest.

Nevertheless, the major hurdle of haESC application is spontaneous diploidization of haploid cells along cell passages. Diploidization is one specific characteristic of haESCs (1) and may be related to endoreduplication (18) or prolonged metaphase during the cell cycle in haESCs compared with that in diploid ESCs (19, 20). Chemicals that accelerate G2/M transition have been successfully used to stabilize the haploid state for several weeks longer (20–22). However, detailed differences in mitosis between haploid cells and diploid cells and the molecular mechanisms underlying diploidization for generating haESCs with more stable haploidy remain to be uncovered (22, 23).

To date, haploidy maintenance in the long term still needs regular FACS to enrich haploid cells. This is a complicated procedure in which haESCs are first stained with Hoechst 33342 and then employed for haploid cell enrichment according to DNA content using a flow cytometer (24). In this study, we describe a simple but efficient filtration method for long-term haESC maintenance without hampering haESC physiology and functionality. This method provides an alternative way to maintain haploidy and will greatly promote the application of haESCs.

**Results**

**Long-term maintenance of mouse haESCs through filtration**

A cell flow cytometer can separate Hoechst 33342–stained haESCs into three groups according to DNA content: 1C (haploid cells in G0/G1 phase), 2C (haploid cells in G2/M phase and diploid cells in G0/G1 phase), and 4C (diploid cells in G2/M phase) (24). Cell size analyses showed that the average diameter of 1C, 2C, and 4C in mouse haESCs is 8.3 μm, 9.5 μm, and 10.8 μm, respectively (Fig. 1A), indicating an obvious size difference...
among cells with different DNA content. Parthenogenetic haESCs (PG-haESCs) have a similar size as AG-haESCs with same DNA content (Fig. 1A). These results suggest that 1C cells might be directly purified from a cell mixture by physical filtration based on their size. To test this, we assembled a filter using commercialized membranes with a pore size of 5 or 8 μm (Fig. 1B). A haESC suspension could be easily filtered through our device, and both 5- and 8-μm pore size membranes could be used efficiently to enrich haploid cells (Fig. 1C). Membranes with 5-μm pore size showed a higher efficiency compared with 8 μm pores, indicating that smaller pores block more large cells. To optimize the filtration conditions, we performed systematic experiments to test whether the cell concentration before filtration and pore size of the filter membrane would affect the filtration results. Cell suspensions with different concentrations were used for filtration, and filtrates were collected for analyzing the ratio of 1C cells. The results showed that haploid cells could be efficiently enriched from cell suspensions of different concentrations by using membranes with both a 5-μm and 8-μm pore size, and efficiency was higher in initial filtered drops, suggesting that if enough filtered cells were obtained for experiments, then the later drops could be discarded (Fig. S1, A–C). Meanwhile, membranes with a 5-μm pore size exhibited a relatively better enrichment efficiency compared with that 8-μm pore size, consistent with the results in Fig. 1C.

Next we asked whether filtration could be used to maintain the haploidy of mouse haESCs stably for long-term in vitro culturing. To do this, we used two haploid cell lines, H19-DMR-AGH (O48) and H19-DMR-IG-DMR-AGH-OG3 (408), generated previously (12). As expected, the haploidy of both cell lines could be well maintained with regular filtration using membranes with 5- or 8-μm pore size for over 30 passages (Fig. 1D and S1D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that filtration can serve as an effective method to maintain mouse haESCs.

**Filtrated haESCs are functional**

Having demonstrated that filtration can be efficiently employed to enrich haploid cells, we next examined whether haESCs maintained by regular filtration are functionally equivalent to those from regular FACS enrichment. We first tested the proliferation and colony formation abilities of the filtered cells. To do this, we plated cells obtained by different strategies and counted the total cell and colony numbers after 3 and 5 days, respectively. Compared with haploid cells enriched by FACS with or without Hoechst 33342 staining, filtered cells had better cell vitality and colony formation ability in the first passage (Fig. 2, A and B). Moreover, cells enriched by FACS need more time to recover from the damage caused by the FACS procedures, but filtered cells required less time to recover, indicating that there is less damage caused by filtration. These results imply that filtration might avoid the potential damage induced by the low cytotoxicity of Hoechst staining (25, 26) and trauma attributable to FACS purification.

AG-haESCs carrying both H19-DMR and IG-DMR deletions (DKO-AG-haESCs) could be used as the sperm replacement to...
efficiently produce SC mice through ICAHCI (12). We then tested whether DKO-AG-haESCs maintained by regular filtration are still feasible for generation of SC mice. To do this, DKO-AG-haESCs, after multiple rounds of filtration, were used as donors for ICAHCI. The results indicated that, as expected, all tested cells were capable of efficiently generating SC mice (Fig. 2, C and D), further confirming that haESCs enriched by filtration preserve normal function as those from FACS.

**Generation of mutant mice using DKO-AG-haESCs enriched by filtration**

Because AG-haESCs are a feasible tool for genetic modifications using CRISPR-Cas9 and ICAHCI to give pups carrying the corresponding genetic traits (12, 16), we tested whether filtration could be used in place of FACS during this process. We first attempted induction of large deletion in a gene of interest, Iqgap2 (27, 28). We transfected constructs expressing Cas9 and two sgRNAs targeting exon 17 and exon 30, respectively, which may cause a 35-kb deletion (Fig. 3A). The results showed no significant difference in transfection efficiency and generation of mutant cell lines between cells enriched by filtration and FACS (Fig. 3B and Table S1). A total of 21 stable haploid cell lines were generated through the filtration method. DNA sequencing analyses indicated that four of 21 carried an expected mutation of 35-kb deletion. We next tested whether, through filtration, a precise point mutation could be inserted into Crygc by transfection of both CRISPR and donor DNA with the 1-bp deletion in filtered DKO-AG-hESCs (23, 29, 30) (Fig. 3C). As expected, haploid cell lines with the point mutation could be efficiently generated in filtrated cells (Table S1). Finally, we performed ICHACI using filtered haploid cells carrying a 35-kb deletion in Iqgap2 from the cell line of 2-1 as donors. Of 215 transferred SC embryos, a total of 42 live pups were born naturally (Fig. 3D), consistent with our previous observations that pregnant females carrying SC embryos from DKO-AG-haESCs could deliver pups by themselves (12, 16). Genotyping analyses indicated that all of them carried heterozygous deletion in Iqgap2 (Fig. 3E). Taken together, these point out that our enrichment method does not hamper the vitality and functional integrity of haESCs and that filtration is a reliable procedure to maintain haploidy.

**Applications of filtration in derivation of mouse PG-haESCs and in maintaining human haESCs**

We also explored the potential use of filtration in generating haESCs from haploid PG blastocysts obtained by artificial activation. PG haploid blastocysts were cultured in a standard ESC culture system supplemented with 2i (MEK and GSK inhibitors) (11, 31). Eight ESC lines were generated and subjected to filtration to enrich for haploid 1C cells, leading to the establishment of PG-haESC lines (Fig. 4A).
Finally, we tested whether filtration could be adopted for maintenance of human haESCs, which we generated previously (8). To this, we first performed size analyses and found that the diameters of 1C, 2C, and 4C in human haESCs are 9.7 μm, 10.8 μm, and 11.9 μm (Fig. 4B), respectively. We then chose a filter membrane with 8-μm pore size for filtration of human haESCs. Consistently, our method could well preserve the haploidy of human haESCs (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that filtration has a broad use with haESCs from different species.

Discussion

Mammalian haESCs have been proven to be invaluable for genetic analysis (1–4, 32). However, self-diploidization, an intrinsic feature of haESCs, limits their broad application because of a requirement of frequent FACS to enrich haploid cells regularly. FACS is large device–dependent, high-cost, and complicated, which may generate some unexpected mutations by Hoechst, laser, and voltage in sorted cells (25, 26). Here we establish a new strategy to isolate haploid cells from diploidized cells through filtration, which is an easy, efficient, stable, and reliable method for long-term maintenance of haESCs. We only tested 5- and 8-μm pore membranes in this study, but considering the size and plasticity of haploid cells, with choices of 6- or 7-μm pore membranes if commercially available, we might achieve a better balance between efficiency and yield. Nevertheless, our method enriches mouse and human haploid cells at relatively high ratios, and enriched cells by filtration preserve not only their vitality well but also their functional integrity. In addition, filtration has several obvious advantages over FACS, such as convenient handling, less physical damage to cells, no cell toxicity, and economy. Our method works on both mouse haESCs and human haESCs, and with proper pore size membranes, it can also be applied to haESCs from other species.

During the preparation of this work for publication, an independent group also reported filtration-mediated enrichment of mouse PG-haESCs (33). In comparison with their separation unit using hydrostatic pressure to separate cells, our filter is assembled much easier, and the filtration process is simpler, steadier, and more reliable. All parts of the filter can be subjected to sterilization. Meanwhile, beside mouse haESCs, we...
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also demonstrated that filtration can be used to maintain human haESCs. Moreover, we explored the use of filtration to derive haploid cell lines from blastocysts and establish genome-modified AG-haESCs, followed by generation of SC mice with corresponding genetic traits through ICAHCl. Interestingly, we showed that both 5-μm and 8-μm pore size membranes can be adopted for filtration. In contrast, Freimann and Wutz (33) reported that 5 μm did not work in their system. One potential reason could be that the pressure induced by syringe in our device is higher than their hydrostatic pressure. Actually, in our system, a 5-μm pore size membrane allows us to obtain haploid cells at high efficiency without causing detectable damage (Fig. 2, A and B).

In summary, filtration is an efficient and simple method for maintenance of haESCs. A future study needs to be done to fine-tune the filtration procedures. In the meantime, we hope that the method we have developed will facilitate the application of haESCs in genetic analysis.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture

Mouse haESCs were cultured in a standard ESC culture system supplemented with 2i (MEK and GSK inhibitors) (11, 12, 31). Cells were dissociated by 0.05% trypsin–EDTA and passed regularly every 2–3 days. Human haESCs were cultured in mTeSR™1 (Stem Cell) with 10 μM Y-27632 (Selleck), disassociated by Accutase, and passed regularly every 4–8 days. Plates were coated with Matrigel matrix (Corning, with a 1:100 dilution) overnight before passage to increase attachment of cells. Two mouse AG-haESCs, H19<sup>ΔDMR</sup>-JG<sup>ΔDMR</sup> AGH and H19<sup>ΔDMR</sup>-JG<sup>ΔDMR</sup>-AGH-OG3 (12), and one human haESC, hPGES2 (8), were used.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

HaESCs were dissociated into single cells and incubated with 15 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 in a 37 °C water bath for 5 min. Sorting was then conducted by using FACS Aria II (BD Biosciences). When sorting haploid cells without Hoechst staining, we used the same cells that were stained with Hoechst 33342 to help us to gate cells containing 1C in the forward-scattered light (FSC) and side-scattered light (SSC) coordinate plane system.

HaESC diameter measurement

FACS was performed to purify 1C, 2C, and 4C peaks. Cell diameters were then measured by using Countess<sup>™</sup> (Invitrogen).

Filtration

A filter was composed of a 25-mm filter holder (Millipore, SX0002500) and a membrane with 5-μm pores (Millipore, TMPTP02500) or 8-μm pores (Millipore, TETP02500). The filter holders were sterilized by autoclaving, and membranes were sterilized by 25-kilogram γ-rays. The concentrations of cell suspensions used for filtration affect the distribution of cell numbers and percentages of 1C in filtered drops (Fig. S1, A–C). For a 5-μm-pore membrane, 2 ml of cell suspension with a concentration about 1 × 10<sup>6</sup>/ml was prepared, and the initial approximately 20 drops were collected for plating in a well of a 96-well plate. For an 8-μm-pore membrane, 1 ml of cell suspension with a concentration about 5 × 10<sup>5</sup>/ml was prepared, and the initial approximately 10 drops were collected and plated in a well of a 96-well plate. Cells were passaged to a well of a 6-well plate 2–3 days later. When enriching human haESCs, we used 8-μm filters and collected enough filtered cells to plate in a well of a 48-well plate. Movie S1 shows the workflow of filtration.

Cell state evaluation

Haploid cells enriched by filtration and FACS were collected to evaluate cell proliferation and colony-forming abilities. For cell proliferation ability, 45,000 cells enriched by each method were cultured in a well of a 24-well plate. After 3 days, cells were dissociated and counted by Countess<sup>™</sup>. For colony-forming efficiency, 4000 cells were plated into a well of a 12-well plate. After 5 days, the colony number in each well was estimated.

Animal use and care

All animal procedures were performed under the ethical guidelines of the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology.

Derivation of PG-haESCs from mouse PG blastocysts

Oocytes of B6D2F1 (C57BL/6 × DBA2) were obtained from superovulated females and activated for 5 h in activation medium. Embryos were then cultured in EmbryoMax<sup>®</sup> KSOM plus glucose (Millipore) at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air until blastocysts formed. After removing the zona pellucida by acid Tyrode’s solution, each blastocyst was transferred into a well of a 96-well plate covered with feeder cells. After around 1 week, colonies were passaged to 96-well plates. About 4 days later, cells were passaged to 24-well or 12-well plates. Filtration experiments were then performed to enrich haESCs (34).

CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene manipulation in haESCs

SgRNAs targeting Iqgap2 were connected to pX458, which expresses green fluorescent protein. The sgRNA of Crygc was connected to the pX330-mCherry plasmid, which expresses red fluorescent protein (Addgene, 98750) (29). When constructing the double-stranded DNA donor, the sequences of the left arm and right arm were amplified from the genome and inserted into the EcoR V site of the pMD19T vector (Takara) using the Seamless Cloning Kit (Beyonite). AG-haESCs were transfected with corresponding plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher). 20–48 h after transfection, haploid cells expressing green or red fluorescent protein were enriched by FACS and plated into a well of a 6-well plate at a low density. Single colonies were picked and passaged to a well of a 96-well plate after 5–8 days. Filtration was performed for enrichment of haploid cells. Related sequences are listed in Table S2.

ICAHCl and embryo transfer

ICAHCl and embryo transfer were performed as described previously (12).
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