Regional Homogeneity within the Default Mode Network in Bipolar Depression: A Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study
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Abstract

Aim: We sought to use a regional homogeneity (ReHo) approach as an index in resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate the features of spontaneous brain activity within the default mode network (DMN) in patients suffering from bipolar depression (BD).

Methods: Twenty-six patients with BD and 26 gender-, age-, and education-matched healthy subjects participated in the resting-state fMRI scans. We compared the differences in ReHo between the two groups within the DMN and investigated the relationships between sex, age, years of education, disease duration, the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD) total score, and ReHo in regions with significant group differences.

Results: Our results revealed that bipolar depressed patients had increased ReHo in the left medial frontal gyrus and left inferior parietal lobe compared to healthy controls. No correlations were found between regional ReHo values and sex, age, and clinical features within the BD group.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that abnormal brain activity is mainly distributed within prefrontal-limbic circuits, which are believed to be involved in the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying bipolar depression.

Introduction

Bipolar affective disorder is a severe, chronic, recurrent, and often lifetime psychiatric illness, and patients with this disease spend the majority of their time in episodes of depression as opposed to euthymia or mania [1,2,3]. Because bipolar depression (BD) is associated with suicide, as well as significant functional impairment, morbidity, and mortality, efforts should be made to identify the neurobiological mechanisms that contribute to the diathesis for this phase of the illness. In contrast to the mania and euthymia episodes, the existing primary neuroimaging studies in BD patients using various tasks, Chen et al. (2011) pointed out that no single region has been consistently reported by more than two primary studies [4]. Recent research has suggested that brain activity in the resting-state reflects the baseline status of the brain and provides a promising aspect to investigate the pathophysiological characteristics of mental disorders (for reviews, see [5,6]).

Investigations from various groups suggest that an abnormality of the default mode network (DMN) may play a critical role in the neural circuitry mediating BD [7,8]. The DMN is a large-scale brain network that encompasses a specific set of brain regions, including the ventral medial prefrontal cortex, dorsal medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus, ventral anterior cingulate cortex, lateral temporal cortex, hippocampus and surrounding cortex (e.g., parahippocampal cortex), and the medial, lateral, and inferior parietal lobe [9,10,11,12]. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) studies have compared bipolar disorder patients in multiple episodes relative to healthy controls (HC) and report rather heterogeneous findings within above DMN brain areas, including atrophy in the prefrontal cortex [13,14,15], the frontal lobe [14], the cingulate cortex...
[16,17], and the hippocampus [18], as well as enlargement of the amygdala and temporal gyrus [14,19]. Existing studies using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) also document that bipolar disorder is related to activation of the DMN [20,21,22]. In addition, a recent meta-analysis provides evidence for functional and anatomical alterations in bipolar disorder, suggesting that an imbalance between cortical cognitive and limbic-related brain networks may serve as a neurobiological marker of bipolar disorder [23]. According to above studies, there is strong evidence that an abnormality of the DMN might also play a critical role in the neural circuitry mediating bipolar depression [7,8]. Despite the increasing knowledge of bipolar disorder, however, very little is known regarding resting-state regional brain activity within the DMN in depressed bipolar disorder relative to HC.

In the present study, we employed the regional homogeneity (ReHo) [24] approach to directly compare the resting-state brain activity between BD patients and HC subjects. Compared to the traditional seed-voxel approach as used in [22], the ReHo method focuses on the similarities or coherence of intraregional spontaneous low-frequency (<0.08 Hz) activity of the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal, which enables a novel perspective to understand the functional deficits in particular brain regions and provides more compatible information for integrating previous structural findings [24]. It may be potentially helpful to understand the level of coordination of regional neural activity in the resting-state and may be useful for revealing pathophysiological changes in human brain function [25,26,27]. As a piece of supporting evidence, Yan et al. (2010) revealed increased ReHo in the hippocampus of indigenous residents at Qinghai-Tibetan plateau under prolonged hypoxia exposure, suggesting that increased ReHo may be associated with the possible increase synchronizing ability in relevant regional neuronal activities as a mechanism to increase the blood supply to cope with chronic hypoxia [28]. This method has been widely applied to investigate many mental disorders, such as attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [29,30,31], depression [32,33,34,35,36], autism spectrum diseases [37], schizophrenia [38,39], Parkinson’s disease [27], and Alzheimer’s dementia [25].

In the current study, we aimed to explore the difference(s) within the DMN in the local functional connectivity, as reflected by ReHo, between BD patients and HC groups. We hypothesized that the local resting-state functional connectivity within the emotion-regulating circuit in the DMN would shed light on the pathogenesis of BD.

Results

The DMN Maps Determined by Group ICA

Figure 1 shows the DMN in both the BD and HC groups, as determined by the group ICA approach. The DMN consists of the bilateral medial prefrontal cortex, bilateral middle frontal gyrus, ventral anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral cingulate cortex/precuneus, lateral temporal cortex, hippocampus and surrounding cortex (e.g., parahippocampal cortex), and the medial, lateral, and inferior parietal lobe.

Two-sample t-tests between the BD and HC Groups Within the DMN

Two-sample t-tests revealed significant differences between the two groups in two brain areas within the DMN (Table 1 and Figure 2). Specifically, the BD group displayed significantly increased ReHo in the following locations: the left medial frontal gyrus and the left inferior parietal lobe.

To perform a correlation analysis between altered ReHo values and clinical measurements, the average ReHo values of all voxels within the above two regions were separately extracted. Both the left medial frontal gyrus and left inferior parietal lobe displayed marginally significant (0.05<p<0.10) correlation with the number of depressive episodes. No brain region demonstrated significant correlations with sex, patient age, educational years, HAMD score, or illness length within the BD group (Table 2).

Discussion

Here, using BOLD resting-state fMRI and the ReHo analytical method, we found abnormal brain activity in the BD group relative to the HC group in several brain regions within the DMN. Significantly increased ReHo in the BD group was mainly found in the left medial frontal gyrus and the left inferior parietal lobe. To our knowledge, this is the first study of the DMN in bipolar depression using the ReHo method.

It is worthwhile to analyze the local connectivity of the time series within a functional cluster, and ReHo reflects intrinsic coherent neuronal activity within spatially organized brain regions [27]. Increased ReHo may be relevant to reflect neural hyperactivity in a regional brain area and vice versa [24]. The medial frontal gyrus, the hub of the DMN, is important for the ability of the affective value of reinforcers, decision making, and expectation [40]. In the current study, ReHo was significantly increased in the left medial frontal gyrus in the resting-state in BD patients, which reflects the enhancement of the local synchronization of spontaneous neural activities in this region. ReHo abnormalities observed in this region may be relevant to high ability in bipolar disorder [41] and support our understanding of the findings of prefrontal overactivity in bipolar disorder during up- and down-regulation of negative affect [42]. Conversely, several previous resting-state functional neuroimaging studies have found decreased ReHo in the medial frontal gyrus in other psychiatric disorders, including social anxiety disorder [43], heroin-dependent individuals [44], major depressive disorder [33], and schizophrenia [39]. Moreover, Lai et al. (2011) demonstrated that first-episode drug-naive major depressive
disorder with panic disorder patients displayed increased ReHo in the medial frontal cortex after short-term duloxetine therapy [45]. This suggests that ReHo differences in the medial frontal gyrus regions may demonstrate differences in the neurobiological substrates between bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders or secondary to medication. Future studies examining first-episode drug-naïve and different mood state bipolar disorder participants will aid in the clarification of the mechanisms behind increased homogeneity in bipolar patients.

The medial frontal gyrus is involved in both emotion perception and cognitive regulation functions [4,21,46,47], and overactivity in this region may be responsible for the cognitive-emotional interference seen in BD. The abnormality of the medial frontal gyrus in BD patients has been reported in studies employing both emotional and cognitive tasks [4,48,49,50]. Fusar-Poli et al. (2012) performed a meta-analysis of different tasks used in fMRI studies of individuals at enhanced genetic risk for bipolar disorder and found that an increased neural response exists for several regions, including the left superior frontal gyrus, medial frontal gyrus, and left insula [47]. In the current study, we found increased ReHo in the left medial frontal gyrus in the BD group, which indicates that there is baseline brain activity impairment in BD patients, supplementing the existing knowledge revealed by various cognitive and emotional tasks. Moreover, Osuch et al. (2000) find a direct correlation between depression severity and regional cerebral metabolism in the bilateral medial frontal gyrus in mood disorders, including bipolar patients [51]. In this study, we found the left medial frontal gyrus was marginally related to the number of depressive episodes. However, we did not find correlations between ReHo values and HAMD scores in this brain region, but this negative finding may be due to the narrow range of depression scores in our subjects. This suggests that the abnormal ReHo in the left medial frontal gyrus may be a biomarker, either trait or state marker, which is related to the depression episode of bipolar disorder. Further studies are required to verify this speculation.

Chepenik et al. (2010) demonstrated a decreased negative correlation between the activity of the left ventral prefrontal cortex and the amygdala in bipolar disorder subjects [22]. A noticeable difference between our current findings, e.g., in the left inferior parietal lobe, and those reported by Chepenik et al., (2010) concerns the amygdala. The medial frontal gyrus area is a functional hub that is closely connected to the ventral lateral prefrontal cortex and subcortical networks (e.g., limbic systems including the amygdala and frontoparietal networks) [21,52], and converging neuroimaging evidence demonstrates the critical role of the medial frontal gyrus in emotional recognition and cognitive control [33,54,55]. Because local and global functional connectivity reveals the functional links (usually revealed by correlations) between a pair of regions within a region and the whole brain, respectively, we speculate that the impaired functional connectivity within the amygdala and inferior parietal lobe may due to dysfunction in the ventral prefrontal gyrus, especially the medial frontal gyrus, as found in the current study. Therefore, our findings do not conflict with or replicate existing functional connectivity studies. Instead, combining previous functional connectivity data and ReHo may increase our understanding of the impaired prefrontal limbic-related network underlying the neurobiology of bipolar disorder.

There are several limitations of the current study. First, the evaluation of the effects of medication is problematic in fMRI studies of medicated bipolar patients because the complete lifetime medication data (e.g., dose and duration) of the patients were difficult to obtain. Moreover, medications were not withdrawn at the time of the study due to ethical reasons [56]. Second, comparing BD patients with healthy controls cannot disentangle the differences between depressed and euthymic subjects from the differences between subjects with bipolar disorder and unaffected individuals. Given these limitations, future studies that include larger numbers of non-medicated subjects who are better balanced for age and take all of the above factors into account are warranted. In addition, to further clarify whether the ReHo abnormalities are shared by bipolar disorder patients in both
Table 2. Brain areas with significant between-group ReHo differences within the DMN and various clinical measures.

| Brain regions          | Side | Sex | Age | Education level | HAMD score | Number of depressive episodes | Illness length |
|------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Median frontal gyrus   | Left | 0.322 | −0.156 | −0.083 | −0.065 | 0.378 | 0.217 |
| Inferior parietal lobe | Left | 0.149 | 0.134 | −0.272 | −0.011 | 0.350 | 0.042 |

Abbreviations: HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. The values in the table are Pearson’s Correlation Coefficients. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048181.t002

depressed and euthymic episodes, future studies can compare patients in different episodes of BD with healthy controls.

In summary, we adopted ReHo to investigate the differences in resting-state brain activity between BD patients and healthy control subjects within the DMN. Our findings support a model of BD that involves dysfunction within prefrontal-limbic circuits, which may shed light on the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying BD.

Methods

Ethics Statement

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Beijing Anding Hospital, Capital Medical University, and Beijing Normal University Imaging Center for Brain Research. Each subject provided written informed consent.

Participants

The demographic and clinical data are presented in Table 3. The subjects in the BD group underwent the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) (SCID) [57], which was locally validated, to obtain an accurate diagnosis from two experienced psychiatrists (Dr Feng Li and Dr Yong-Jun Wang). Both of the psychiatrists completed a 2-week training program prior to the diagnostic assessment [58,59]. The inter-rater reliability of the SCID was tested and yielded satisfactory agreement. Inclusion criteria and for BD patients were as follows: 1) aged 18–60 years and ability to provide voluntary informed consent; 2) satisfied DSM-IV (SCID) criteria for bipolar disorder, were currently depressed (Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) score ≥7) [60] or in a depressive episode (17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD) [61] score ≥17), and had no history of schizophrenia, obsessive–compulsive disorder, or an anxiety disorder; 3) satisfied criteria to undergo an MRI scan based on an MRI screening questionnaire; and 4) were able to be managed as outpatients. All of our patients received normal brain MRI scans during the study to exclude the influence of other concomitant disorders. Twenty-six age-, sex-, and education-matched healthy subjects were recruited from the local area by poster advertisement and were excluded if they reported a first-degree relative with a mood disorder or for any exclusion criteria for MRI scanning. The Nonpatient Version Structured Interview from the DSM-IV was used to screen the healthy subjects to confirm the absence of a history of psychiatric or neurologic illness. All subjects were right-handed as measured by the Edinburgh Inventory [62].

Data Acquisition

MR images were acquired on a 3.0 Tesla MR scanner (Magnetom Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Each subject lay supine with their head snugly fixed by a belt and foam pads. The resting-state fMRI scanning sessions (including 33 axial slices, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FA = 90°, thickness/gap = 3.5/0.6 mm, in-plane resolution = 64×64, field of view (FOV) = 220×220 mm, matrix = 64×64, and 240 volumes) lasted 8 min. During the scan, subjects were instructed to relax with their eyes closed but not to fall asleep, which was later confirmed with a survey including the questions: 1) Did you fall asleep during the scanning?; 2) Did you keep both eyes closed during the scanning?; and 3) What were you thinking about during the scanning? The 3D-T1 session covered the entire brain: 128 sagittal slices, TR = 2530 ms, TE = 3.39 ms, slice thickness/gap = 1.33/0 mm, in-plane resolution = 256×192, inversion time (TI) = 1100 ms, FOV = 256×256 mm, and flip angle = 7°.

Data Analyses

All the participants displayed no abnormalities on the conventional MRI assessed by two experienced radiologists (Dr. Yu Zhang and Dr. Jie Dong). All data were analyzed using REST software (http://resting-fmri.sourceforge.net) and SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The first 10 time points were discarded due to scanner calibration and adaptation of the subject to the circumstances, leaving 230 time points for the preprocessing steps of slice timing, head motion correction, and spatial normalization with SPM8. We calculated the maximum excursion movement values for each of the translation planes (x, y, and z) and each of the rotation planes (roll, pitch, and yaw) for every participant. None of the participants had >2 mm maximum displacement in three planes or 2° angular motion during the entire fMRI scan. The 3D-T1 images were also spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template. Following this step, all images spatially normalized to the MNI template were then transformed into Talairach and Tournoux coordinates, and several sources of spurious variances (including the estimated motion parameters, linear drift, and global average BOLD signals) were removed from the data through linear regression [9,63]. It is reported that the respiratory frequency (0.1–0.5 Hz) and aliased cardiac frequency range (0.6–1.2 Hz) are relatively high [64]. Zuo et al., [65] also reported that low frequency oscillations (0.01–0.073 Hz) are primarily detected within the gray matter, and relatively high frequency oscillations (0.073–0.25 Hz) are primarily restricted to the white matter. Thus, band pass filtering (e.g., 0.01–0.08 Hz) was used for further ReHo analysis using REST software [65]. ReHo results were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 4 mm. A Kendall’s correlation coefficient (KCC) value (also called the ReHo value) was calculated to measure the similarity of the time series of a given voxel to its nearest 26 voxels. The formula to calculate the KCC value is explained in previous studies [24,37] and calculated as follows:

\[
W = \frac{\sum (R_i)^2 - n(R)^2}{\frac{1}{12}K^2(n^3 - n)},
\]

where W is the KCC value of that voxel, Ri is the sum rank of the
At each time point, \( R = \frac{(n+1)K}{2} \) is the mean of the Ri’s, K is the number of voxels within a measured cluster (K can be chosen among 7, 19, and 27, i.e., the number of nearest neighbors plus that given voxel), and n is the number for the ranks (i.e., the number for fMRI data volumes). Zang and colleagues [24] calculated the KCC value of every voxel in the brain, generating individual ReHo maps. Then, a mask (made from the MNI template to assure matching with the normalization step) was used to remove non-brain tissues and noise from the ReHo maps. To reduce the influence of individual variations in the KCC value, normalization of the ReHo maps was performed by dividing the KCC among each voxel by the averaged KCC of the entire brain [31,66]. The fMRI toolbox GIFT (http://mialab.mrn.org/software/) was used to perform the group independent component analysis (ICA) to select the DMN as a mask. There are three main stages of group ICA: data reduction, independent component separation, and back reconstruction. The outputs from these stages are multiple time courses, and every time course has an associated image map. The number of independent components was estimated from the fMRI data using the minimum description length (MDL) criteria. We obtained the common ICs and the corresponding time courses over all subjects after ICA separation using the Infomax algorithm, and back reconstruction of the ICs was performed based on the above results. Each subject component and time course were converted into z-scores. The independent components of the DMN were selected in both of the experimental groups. We selected the DMN according to several previous studies [67,68]. Using this group ICA analysis, the DMN that we delineated was divided into two parts: the anterior DMN (aDMN) and posterior DMN (pDMN) [69].

**Table 3.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants.

| Variables (Mean±S.D.) | Bipolar depression (n = 26) | Healthy controls (n = 26) | Statistics | p-value |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| Gender (M: F)          | 9:17                        | 10:16                     | \( x^2 = 0.083 \) | 0.77    |
| Age (years)            | 32.35±11.31                 | 31.92±12.19               | \( T(1, 50) = 0.132 \) | 0.90    |
| Age range              | 20–57                       | 20–58                     | –           | –       |
| Education level (years)| 12.32±2.0                   | 13.15±2.13                | \( T(1, 50) = 1.449 \) | 0.15    |
| HAMD score             | 19.65±2.48                  | –                         | –           | –       |
| Duration of disease (years) | 4.20±1.70                  | –                         | –           | –       |
| Number of depressive episodes | 3.44±1.45                  | –                         | –           | –       |
| On Antidepressants     | –                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| Citalopram             | 7                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| Sertraline             | 8                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| On Mood-stabilizer     | –                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| Lithium                | 19                          | –                         | –           | –       |
| Sodium valproate       | 6                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| Divalproex             | 15                          | –                         | –           | –       |
| On Antipsychotics      | 16                          | –                         | –           | –       |
| Quetiapin              | 10                          | –                         | –           | –       |
| Olanzapin              | 1                           | –                         | –           | –       |
| Risperidone            | 5                           | –                         | –           | –       |

Abbreviations: S. D., standard deviation; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048181.t003

**Statistical Analyses**

One-sample t-tests were performed with family wise error (FWE) corrected (\( p = 0.05 \)) for group ICA to identify the DMN (Figure 1). To explore the ReHo differences between the BD group and healthy control group, a second-level, random-effect, two-sample t-test was performed on the individual normalized ReHo maps in a voxel-by-voxel manner within the DMN. Although gender and age were not significantly different between groups, it was included as a covariate to avoid any undetected gender and age effects. Voxels with \( p < 0.01 \) (corrected) and a cluster size \( > 486 \text{ mm}^3 \) (12 voxels) were considered to show significant differences between the two groups. We then extracted the mean ReHo values of the clusters that differed between the two groups. Linear correlations were calculated between the mean ReHo values across all voxels in the abnormal areas in the BD group and clinical (age, sex, and educational years, illness length, number of depressive episodes, and HAMD score) variables.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge Beijing Normal University Imaging Center for Brain Research and Prof. Yu-Feng Zang for their contributions in MRI data acquisition.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: CYW XM CHL FL YJW CLT. Performed the experiments: FL YJW CLT. Analyzed the data: CHL TTF XW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FL YJW SFL TLC CLT YZ JD LY. Wrote the paper: CHL XW.

**Table 3.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants.
References

29. Cao Q, Zang Y, Sun L, Sui M, Long X, et al. (2006) Abnormal neural activity in bipolar disorder. J Ment Health 15: 157–167.

28. Yan X, Zhang J, Shi J, Gong Q, Weng X. (2010) Cerebral and functional structural correlation of large-scale brain networks in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. J Affect Disord 119: 17–25.

26. Shukla DK, Keehn B, Muller RA. (2010) Regional homogeneity of fMRI time series in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 13: 1–15.

25. Wu QZ, Li DM, Kuang WH, Zhang TJ, Lui S, et al. (2011) Abnormal regional spontaneous neural activity in treatment-refractory depression revealed by resting-state fMRI. Hum Brain Mapp 32: 1290–1299.

24. Zhang Z, Liao W, Chen H, Mamtani D, Ding JR, et al. (2011) Altered functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default network. Neuroimage 103: 59–68.

23. Cui Q, Liao W, Ding J, Feng Y, Zuo J, et al. (2011) Disrupted regional homogeneity in treatment-resistant depression: A resting-state fMRI study. Psychiatry Res 183: 49–56.

22. Maiza O, Razafimandimby A, Brazo P, Lecardeur L, Delamillieure P, et al. (2010) Frontal regional homogeneity increased and temporal adaptation with chronic hypoxia exposure: a multi-modal MRI study. Brain 134: 2912–2928.

21. Chai XJ, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Shinn AK, Gabrieli JD, Nieto Castanon A, et al. (2010) Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in bipolar I disorder and schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 15: 2009–2017.

20. Stanfield AC, Moorhead TW, Job DE, McKirdy J, Sussmann JE, et al. (2009) Voxel-based morphometry of childhood-onset bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 132: 344–355.

19. Andrews-Hanna JR, Reidler JS, Sepulcre J, Poulin R, Buckner RL. (2010) Functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default network. Neuron 65: 446–448.

18. Bora E, Fornito A, Yucel M, Pantelis C (2010) Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 55: 648–655.

17. Tost H, Cunningham G, Androutsos C, Frangou S (2008) Structural brain hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in children with familial bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 11: 135–144.

16. Lyoo IK, Lee HK, Jung JH, Noam GG, Renshaw PF (2002) White matter hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in children with psychiatric disorders. Compr Psychiatry 43: 361–368.

15. Chui HC, Tomita R, Kato K, Ohta Y, Takeda S, et al. (2011) Frontal regional homogeneity changes in heroin-dependent individuals: resting-state functional MRI imaging study. Radiology 261: 531–539.

14. Haldane M, Cunningham G, Androutsos C, Frangou S (2008) Structural brain changes of response inhibition in Bipolar Disorder I. J Psychopharmacol 22: 136–143.

13. Maziade M, Cunningham G, Androutsos C, Frangou S (2008) Structural brain correlates of response inhibition in Bipolar Disorder I. J Psychopharmacol 22: 136–143.

12. Stanfield AC, Moorhead TW, Job DE, McKirdy J, Sussmann JE, et al. (2009) Structural abnormalities of ventrolateral and orbitofrontal cortex in patients with familial bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 11: 135–144.

11. Ha TH, Ha K, Kim JH, Choi JE (2009) Regional brain gray matter abnormalities in patients with bipolar II disorder: a comparison study with patients previously untreated with lithium. Neuropsychopharmacology 34: 446–448.

10. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, et al. (2001) A default mode of brain function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 676–682.

9. Greicius MD, Krasnow B, Reiss AL, Menon V (2003) Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 253–258.

8. Ongur D, Lundy M, Greenhouse I, Shinn AK, Menon V, et al. (2010) Default mode network abnormalities in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 183: 69–76.

7. Tost H, Cunningham G, Androutsos C, Frangou S (2008) Structural brain changes of response inhibition in Bipolar Disorder I. J Psychopharmacol 22: 136–143.

6. Zhang Z, Liao W, Chen H, Mantini D, Ding JR, et al. (2011) Altered functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default network. Neuroimage 65: 550–562.

5. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL. (2008) The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1124: 1–38.

4. Chen CH, Suckling J, Lennox BR, Ooi C, Bullmore ET (2011) A quantitative analysis of default mode network asymmetry in aging. Neuroimage 52: 505–517.

3. Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Schettler PJ, Endicott J, Maser J, et al. (2002) The long-term natural history of the weekly symptomatic status of bipolar I disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 59: 537–540.

2. Judd LL, Schettler PJ, Akiskal HS, Coryell W, Maser J, et al. (2003) Long-term epidemiological and clinical correlates of duration in mood episodes in recurrent major depression: findings from the longitudinal investigation of depression genetics (LIDOG) study. J Affect Disord 76: 1–11.

1. ReHo in Rest BD
56. Mak AK, Wong MM, Han SH, Lee TM (2009) Gray matter reduction associated with emotion regulation in female outpatients with major depressive disorder: a voxel-based morphometry study. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 33: 1184–1190.
57. First M, Spitzer R, Gibbon M, Williams J (1997) Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders. Amer Psychiatric Publishing.
58. Zhou RY, Zhang YH, Peng B, Lie XH, Zhu CM (1997) Comparison of three diagnostic criteria for the diagnosis of schizophrenia and mood disorders. Chin J Psychiatry 30: 45–49 (in Chinese).
59. Phillips MR, Zhang J, Shi Q, Song Z, Ding Z, et al. (2009) Prevalence, treatment, and associated disability of mental disorders in four provinces in China during 2001–05: an epidemiological survey. Lancet 373: 2041–2053.
60. Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA (1978) A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry 133: 429–435.
61. Hamilton M (1967) Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. Br J Soc Clin Psychol 6: 278–296.
62. Oldfield RC (1971) The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. Neuropsychologia 9: 97–113.
63. Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, et al. (2005) The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 9673–9678.
64. Cordes D, Haaghton VM, Arfanakis K, Carew JD, Turski PA, et al. (2001) Frequencies contributing to functional connectivity in the cerebral cortex in “resting-state” data. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 22: 1326–1333.
65. Zuo XN, Di Martino A, Kelly C, Shohzad ZE, Greer DG, et al. (2010) The oscillating brain: complex and reliable. Neuroimage 49: 1432–1445.
66. Paakkal JJ, Rahko J, Long XY, Moalanen I, Tervoven O, et al. (2010) Alterations in regional homogeneity of resting-state brain activity in autism spectrum disorders. Brain Research 1321: 169–179.
67. Greicius MD, Flores BH, Menon V, Glover GH, Solvason HB, et al. (2007) Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression: abnormally increased contributions from subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus. Biol Psychiatry 62: 429–437.
68. Greicius MD, Srivastava G, Reiss AL, Menon V (2004) Default-mode network activity distinguishes Alzheimer’s disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 4637–4642.
69. Kim DY, Lee JH (2011) Are posterior default-mode networks more robust than anterior default-mode networks? Evidence from resting-state fMRI data analysis. Neurosci Lett 498: 57–62.