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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Diabetes mellitus (DM) has become the leading chronic disorders in nearly all countries due to urbanization, changing lifestyles and lack of physical activity. Neuropathy is the commonest and most debilitating complications of diabetes. Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) increases balance impairment, increasing fall risk. Consequences include decline in mobility, avoidance of activity, institutionalization and mortality; thus affecting one’s Quality of Life (Quality of Life). Previous studies have focused on balance impairment and fall risk in DPN patients and also on QoL separately. Thus, the aim of this study is to find the effect of balance impairment and fall risk on QoL.

Methods: A correlational study was done on 30 DPN patients, selected by purposive sampling technique. Subjects were screened for neuropathy using Toronto Clinical Neuropathy Scoring System (TCSS) and those fulfilling the inclusion criteria, underwent balance and fall risk assessment by Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and Timed Up and Go (TUG) Test respectively. Following which, neuropathy specific quality of life questionnaire (NeuroQoL) was administered to evaluate their QoL. Results obtained were statistically analysed using SPSS 16.0, MS Word and MS Excel. Pearson’s correlation was used to find the correlation between variables.

Results: The results showed statistically significant correlation between all the variables. Correlation between BBS and reduction in QoL (r=-0.540, p=0.002), TUG and reduction in QoL (r=0.531, p=0.003).

Conclusion: In conclusion, physical limitations such as balance impairment and greater fall risk significantly reduces DPN patient’s QoL.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder which is characterized by the presence of chronic hyperglycemia, resulting either from immune mediation (type 1), insulin resistance (type 2), gestation (gestational) or other causes (genetic, environmental defects, drugs or any infections) [1]. The chronic hyperglycemia is associated with long term damage, dysfunction and organ failure mainly of the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart and blood vessels [2]. DM is one of the largest global public health emergencies of the twenty-first century [3]. It is one of the most common chronic diseases in nearly all countries, and
include poor glycemic control and duration of diabetes [2]. Toronto Clinical Scoring System (TCSS) is a sensitive scoring system to diagnose the presence and severity of diabetic peripheral neuropathy and it correlates well with underlying structural damage in peripheral nerves as shown by the loss of myelinated nerve fibers. The severity of neuropathy is based on 3 domain scores that is; symptom scores, reflex scores and sensory test scores; the score range being 0-19. It is used as an inexpensive bedside screening tool in clinics and in clinical research trials [11, 25].

DPN affects nearly 60-70% of the individuals with diabetes and leads to increased risk of fall [14,15]. DPN may compromise balance during daily activities [16]. Berg Balance Scale (BBS) is a gold standard used to objectively determine a patient’s ability (or inability) to safely balance during a series of predetermined tasks of Activities of Daily Living (ADL). It is a 14-item list with each item consisting of five-point ordinal scale ranging from 0-4. However, it does not include the assessment of gait [17]. A fall is preceded by loss of balance, which may be recoverable in some individuals, but requires rapid responses and good strength of the lower limb muscles [18]. DPN gradually affects distal muscle strength and deteriorates normal walking function. Alterations in peripheral nerves is the chief contributor of balance impairment, gait instabilities and falls [9]. However, the risk of falls increases considerably with age and comorbidities and in DPN patients than in diabetics without DPN [19]. Nevertheless, the more likely an individual is to loose balance, the more likely they will at some point experience a fall [16]. The Timed Up and Go test (TUG) is a simple test used to assess a person’s functional mobility and requires both static and dynamic balance. It quantifies the risk of fall of an individual. TUG was developed from a more comprehensive test called the Get Up and Go test [20].

Patients with DPN have a five-fold increased risk of falling and the consequences include decline in mobility, avoidance of activity, institutionalization and mortality [21]. The economic consequences of diabetic foot problems are major, both to society as well as to the patients and
their families [2]. DPN has a profound effect on patient’s quality of life (QoL) affecting various physical and psychosocial aspects of their lives [22]. NeuroQoL (Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders) is a measurement system that evaluates and monitors the physical, mental and social effects experienced by adults and children living with neurological conditions. It is a multidimensional scale developed by Vileikyte et al (2003) to assess QoL of diabetic patients with peripheral neuropathy. It is a questionnaire comprising questions related to neuropathy symptoms as well as psychosocial functioning in six domains. The impact of each item is measured by marking against the scale [23].

Problem Definition: Since DM has become the leading chronic disorders in nearly all countries due to urbanization, changing lifestyles and lack of physical activity. Neuropathy is one of the commonest and most debilitating complications of diabetes, the risk of which increases with time and poor glycemic control. Not only the patient, but it also traumatizes their families and societies in the form of foot ulcers and amputations, and increased healthcare expenditure. Neuropathy increases balance impairment and thereby increasing the risk of falls. It leads to increased institutionalization, reduced mobility and participation leaving a negative impact on one’s quality of life. But, there is a lack of evidence to know the effect of balance impairment and fall risk on one’s quality of life in patients with DPN. Therefore, quantifying balance impairment while performing activities of daily living (ADLs) may be considered as one of the closest proxies for determining the risk of falls and thus estimating its impact on the quality of life in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Thus correlating impaired balance and fall risk on quality of life in patients with DPN.

METHODS

Study Design: Correlational study.

Study Setting: Jnana Sanjeevini Hospital, Bangalore and Out Patient Department of R.V College of Physiotherapy.

Study Duration: six months.

Sampling Technique: Purposive Sampling Technique.

Sample Size Estimation: Sample size of n=30 was determined through power calculation based on prevalence rate of p=13.46% for T2DM patients with DPN, obtained from previous studies. Eliminating the type 1 error α= 5%, type 2 error β= 20% and mean standard error d= 20%, substituting in the formula:

\[ n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}}{\delta} \right)^2 pq^2 \]

Inclusion Criteria: (1) Subjects willing to participate and sign the written informed consent. (2) Age group between 40-60 years. (3) History of T2DM for >5 years. (4) Toronto CSS score ranging between 6-11 points. (5) Ability to walk independently.

Exclusion Criteria: (1) Inability to provide accurate medical history. (2) History of visual (including diabetic retinopathy) or vestibular impairment. (3) History of neuropathies of the non-diabetic cause. (4) Subjects under any neuro-protective drugs. (5) Presence of any other neurological condition which compromise balance. (6) Musculoskeletal ailments of lower extremity leading to pain and instability. (7) Presence of foot ulcers and / or any amputation.

Materials and Equipments: TCSS sheet, BBS scoring sheet, TUG test scoring sheet, NeuroQoL questionnaire form, two chairs (one with arm rest and one without arm rest), stopwatch or a timer, stepper, measuring tape, reflex hammer, tuning fork (128hz), 10g monofilament, hot and cold test tubes, clear walkway of 3m, 15ft, stationeries.

Ethical clearance: Permission to carry out the study was obtained from the concerned authorities of the above mentioned institutions. Ethical clearance from the Ethical Committee Review Board was obtained before carrying out the study. The test procedure was explained and signed informed written consent was obtained from each subject on their approval.

Procedure: Subjects were recruited as per the inclusion criteria. Test procedure was explained and signed informed written consent was obtained from each subject on their approval. Materials and equipments required for the procedure were arranged prior to the test. Demographic data of the subjects was collected.
and recorded. Data regarding the type of diabetes, history of diabetes, history of peripheral neuropathy, treatment history and any known co-morbidities and their undergoing treatment procedures was obtained from the patient’s medical records. Prior to the test, subjects were screened for the severity of DPN based on the scores of TCSS.

**Balance and Fall Risk Assessment:** Berg Balance Scale- Subjects were given clear instructions to perform the various tasks illustrated in the BBS one after another, under supervision. The 14 tasks included- sit to stand, sitting unsupported, standing unsupported, stand to sit, transfers, standing with eyes closed, standing with narrow base of support, forward reaching, retrieving objects from floor, turning to 180 degrees and 360 degrees, stool stepping and tandem standing. The whole procedure took 15 to 20 min to administer. Each task was rated from 0 (unable) to 4 (independent) based on their performance. Test results were interpreted as follows: 41-56 = low fall risk, 21- 40 = medium fall risk, 0-20 = high fall risk [21, 26].

Timed Up and Go test- Quantification of balance and functional mobility was achieved by TUG test. 3m distance was marked from the chair, on an even terrain in a well-lit environment. Subjects were made to sit in the chair with armrest and their backs supported against the backrest. Clear verbal instructions were given to - stand up from the chair, walk the distance as quickly and as safely as possible, cross the line marked on floor, turn around, walk back as quickly and as safely as possible, and sit down. The timer was started once the examiner said ‘GO’ and it was stopped once the subject sat down comfortably in the chair with his/her arms and back support. One practice trial and two test trials were conducted. During the test, examiner was standing close to the subjects, to steady them if necessary. Test results were interpreted as follows: ≤ 4-8sec = normal, 9-10 = low risk of fall, 11-13= medium risk of fall, > 13.5 sec = high risk of fall.[21, 28]

**Quality Of Life Assessment:** NeuroQoL- A NeuroQoL questionnaire was given to the subjects and instructed to fill it according to their perception of symptoms. The examiner translated the questions of the questionnaire in the language best understood to the patient, for those who did not understand English. The questionnaire comprised questions related to neuropathy symptoms as well as psychosocial functioning in six domains such as - pain and paraesthesia, reduced or loss of sensation, diffused sensory-motor symptoms, activity limitation, interpersonal problems and emotional burden. It has a five point scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always). The impact of each of these items was measured by asking the subjects to mark against each of these items on another scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 3 (a lot). Weighted score for each item on the respective domain was calculated by multiplication of the value against each item on 1-5 scale with the importance of it on 1-3 scale. Total value of scores in each domain was calculated by the mean of weighted items on the respective domain, with highest value corresponding to poor health related quality of life (HRQoL) [23].

**Statistical Analysis:** The statistical software SPSS 16.0 was used for the analysis of the data and MS-Word 2013 and MS-Excel 2013 have been used to generate graphs, tables, etc. Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis has been carried out. Results on continuous measurements are presented on Mean ± SD (Min-Max) and on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%). Pearson’s correlation coefficient was applied with a confidence interval set at 95% between BBS and Neuro QoL and between TUG and Neuro QoL. Significance was assessed at 5% level of significance.

Correlation range:
- Weak correlation (anything Å ±0.25)
- Intermediate correlation (between ±0.26 to ±0.75)
- Strong correlation (between ±0.76 to ±0.99)
- Perfect correlation (±1).

**RESULTS**
Total sample size of 30 (100%) subjects, out of which there were 10 (33%) male subjects and 20 (67%) female subjects in this study (table 1). The Mean ± SD of age distribution was found to be 52.26±4.94 (table 2). 43.33% of the subjects had Mild DPN and 56.67% of them had Moderate DPN (Table 3 and fig 1).
Table 1: Gender Distribution.

| Age   | Male | Female | Total | Percentage |
|-------|------|--------|-------|------------|
| 40-45 | 3    | 0      | 3     | 10%        |
| 46-50 | 1    | 8      | 9     | 30%        |
| 51-55 | 3    | 7      | 10    | 33.33%     |
| 56-60 | 3    | 5      | 8     | 26.67%     |

Table 2: Age Distribution.

| Gender | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| Male   | 10        | 33%        |
| Female | 20        | 67%        |
| Total  | 30        | 100%       |

Table 3: Neuropathy Distribution

| TCSS (Neuropathy) | Frequency | %    |
|-------------------|-----------|------|
| 6-8 (Mild)        | 13        | 43.33%|
| 9-11 (Moderate)   | 17        | 56.67%|

Fig. 1: Neuropathy Distribution.

Fig. 2: Severity of DPN and Balance impairment

Fig. 3: Severity of DPN and fall risk

Fig. 4: Balance and Reduction in QoL

Fig. 5: Fall risk and Reduction in QoL.

Table 4: Correlation of the Data.

|         | BBS | QA  | QB  | QC  | QD  | QE  | QF  | Q28 | Q29 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Pearson correlation (r) | 1   | -0.619 | -0.603 | -0.762 | -0.428 | -0.645 | -0.663 | -0.54 | 0.588 |
| p-value | 0   | 0.018 | 0   | 0   | 0.001 | 0   | 0   | 0.002 | 0.001 |

|         | TUG | QA  | QB  | QC  | QD  | QE  | QF  | Q28 | Q29 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Pearson correlation (r) | 1   | 0.56 | 0.463 | 0.567 | 0.587 | 0.623 | 0.475 | 0.531 | -0.548 |
| p-value | 0   | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0   | 0.008 | 0   | 0.003 | 0.002 |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

The above table 4a shows the correlation between balance impairment and neuropathy specific quality of life of individuals with DPN. Table 4b shows the correlation between fall risk and neuropathy specific quality of life of DPN patients. QA TO QF shown in the above table corresponds to different domains of the self-administered questionnaire NeuroQoL, which are as follows- QA (pain and paraesthesia), QB (loss of sensations) QC (diffused sensory-motor symptoms), QD (activity limitation), QE (interpersonal problems) and QF (emotional burden). While Q28 and Q29 corresponds to Reduction in QoL and Overall QoL, respectively.
Table 4a shows a significant negative correlation between balance impairment and QoL. The correlation was strongly negative for QC (diffused sensory-motor symptoms). However, the correlation between BBS and Q28 (r=-0.540, p=0.002) was significantly negative, which suggests that higher the balance maintaining capacity, lesser is the reduction in QoL in DPN patients. Conversely, the correlation between BBS and Q29 (r= 0.588, p=0.001) was significantly positive, suggestive of higher the balance maintaining capacity, better is the overall QoL of DPN patients.

Table 4b shows a significant positive correlation between fall risk and QoL. The correlation between TUG and Q28 (r=0.531, p=0.003) was significantly positive, which suggests that higher the fall risk, greater is the reduction in QoL in DPN patients. Conversely, the correlation between TUG and Q29 (r=-0.548, p=0.002) was significantly negative, suggestive of higher the fall risk, poorer is the overall QoL of DPN patients.

DISCUSSION

Peripheral neuropathy is the most common complications of diabetes which has an extensive impact on patient’s health and health related quality of life. Falls are marked as a dangerous health issue in DPN especially in the geriatric population [9]. The findings of this study are consistent with previous studies which observed that the balance impairments and fall risk increase with the increasing severity of DPN [3]. Previous studies have proved that balance impairments in DPN patients occurs due to deficits of systems that control balance. Interruption of the afferent and efferent neuron function leads to reduced proprioception and tactile sensation with deterioration of somatosensory, visual and vestibular systems which in-turn causes postural instability and larger postural sway. Muscle strength reduction in postural and lower limb muscles, associated with relatively high glucose levels may contribute to impaired balance [9]. A study conducted by Menz et al (2004) suggests, the diminished sensory feedback with further contributions of vision impairments, muscle weakness, and lack of neuromuscular control of distal joints in neuropathic patients results in increased risk of falls.

In the present study it was found that both balance impairment and fall risk had a statistically significant strong correlation with the reduction in patient’s neuropathy specific QoL, which is consistent with the study findings of Porjan et al. (2012) which stated that patients with DM have statistically significant impairment of all aspects of QoL. In the present study, it was also found that there exists a statistically significant positive correlation between balance ability and QoL and a statistically significant negative correlation between fall risk and QoL. The findings of present study observed that the correlation between balance ability and the diffused sensory-motor symptoms aspect of QoL was the strongest, which is in agreement with the study conducted by Dr. AS Ahmed (2017).

The study conducted by Irshad et al (2017), regarding the diffused sensory-motor symptoms, found that 30% of people with DPN, experience muscle weakness, loss of ankle reflexes, and decreased balance, coordination and gait control and indicated that weakness in the feet was considered the highest problem, followed by instability when standing or walking. Difficulty in maintaining the balance may be related to the instability in the muscles [22]. The balance and gait characteristics change as one’s age progress and the presence of DPN in elderly population it plays a significant role in incidence of falls [35]. Static as well as dynamic balance are both affected in DPN patients [22]. Vileikyte et al. (2009) showed that impaired balance had the strongest association with the depressive symptoms which were due to perceptions of diminished value of the self, as a result of inability to perform family roles [36].

Al-Shehri et al (2014) reported that the presence of DPN significantly affects patient’s QoL, especially physical function. The findings of present study are supported by the previous studies which states that the impact of physical limitations from DPN (due to impaired balance and high fall risk) has the same prevalent effect on psychosocial well-being (health related QoL) [22].

Peripheral neuropathy is the most common complications of diabetes which has an extensive impact on patient’s health and health related quality of life. Falls are marked as a dangerous health issue in DPN especially in the geriatric population [9]. The findings of this study are consistent with previous studies which observed that the balance impairments and fall risk increase with the increasing severity of DPN [3]. Previous studies have proved that balance impairments in DPN patients occurs due to deficits of systems that control balance. Interruption of the afferent and efferent neuron function leads to reduced proprioception and tactile sensation with deterioration of somatosensory, visual and vestibular systems which in-turn causes postural instability and larger postural sway. Muscle strength reduction in postural and lower limb muscles, associated with relatively high glucose levels may contribute to impaired balance [9]. A study conducted by Menz et al (2004) suggests, the diminished sensory feedback with further contributions of vision impairments, muscle weakness, and lack of neuromuscular control of distal joints in neuropathic patients results in increased risk of falls.
CONCLUSION
Balance impairment and fall risk significantly increases with the increasing severity of neuropathy. This study concluded that, physical limitations such as balance impairment and greater fall risk has significantly reduced patient’s QoL. It leaves a negative impact on their QoL, by reducing their participation in ADLs, leisure activities and limiting their societal participation. All of these provoke depressive symptoms in DPN further reducing their activity level and thus reducing their QoL.

Future Scope: As we can see that balance impairment and fall risk significantly reduces patient’s QoL, interventional strategies must be developed to improve balance and prevent falls among DPN patients to improve their QoL.

Limitations: Glycemic index of the patients was not considered. NeuroQoL questionnaire is more subjective evaluation of QoL. An objective evaluation of QoL would give us a better understanding of their neuropathy related QoL.

ABBREVIATIONS
DM – Diabetes Mellitus
T2DM – Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
DPN – Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy
TCSS or Toronto CSS – Toronto Clinical Scoring System
BBS – Berg Balance Scale
ADL or ADLs – Activities of Daily Living
TUG – Timed Up and Go
QoL – Quality of Life
NeuroQoL – Neuropathy specific Quality of Life
HRQoL – Health Related Quality of Life
SD – Standard Deviation
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