Transcription factors JunB and c-Jun are selectively up-regulated and functionally implicated in fibrosarcoma development
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Bovine papillomavirus transgenic mice develop skin tumors arising from dermal fibroblasts in a process comprised of three distinctive stages: mild and aggressive fibromatoses, and fibrosarcoma. In both tissue biopsies and derivative cell lines, the proto-oncogenes junB and c-jun are induced in the latter two stages, in contrast to junD and fos. Fibrosarcoma cell lines have increased AP-1 DNA-binding activity. Overexpression of junB or c-jun by transfection into the mild fibromatosis stage elicited changes in cell shape and anchorage independence, whereas junD did not. Similar transfections of normal skin fibroblasts had no effect. Thus, junB and c-jun represent progression factors whose activities are necessary at an intermediate stage of tumor development, subsequent to the initiation of aberrant proliferation.
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Numerous studies have provided evidence that multiple genetic or epigenetic alterations occur during neoplastic transformation (for review, see Fearon and Vogelstein 1990, Aaronson 1991, Bishop 1991, Solomon et al. 1991, Weinberg 1991). In one transgenic mouse model of this process, mice harboring the bovine papillomavirus type 1 (BPV-1) genome develop dermal fibrosarcomas in a pathway comprised of at least three stages (Lacey et al. 1986). Initially, two histological grades of hyperplasia arise: mild fibromatosis and aggressive fibromatosis. They differ by the increased density of dermal fibroblasts and overall thickness of the dermal layer in the aggressive condition. Subsequently, dermal fibrosarcomas develop, with a lower frequency than fibromatosis lesions. Tumors frequently arise out of areas of abnormal skin, and fibromatosis tissue can be visualized adjacent to the distinctive fibrosarcoma cells, suggesting that the fibromatoses are progenitors to the fibrosarcomas. All three pathological stages contain extrachromosomal BPV-1 DNA and express viral RNA. Notably, the aggressive fibromatosis cannot be distinguished from the fibrosarcomas by the levels of BPV-1 transcripts and oncogene products (Sippola-Thiele et al. 1989). Thus, the BPV-1 transgene seems insufficient to induce the complete fibrosarcoma phenotype, implicating additional cellular changes in neoplastic conversion of hyperplastic skin lesions into fibrosarcomas.

Cell cultures have been established from each skin pathology and found to represent in vitro many of the characteristics evident in the lesion from which they were derived (Sippola-Thiele et al. 1989). A cytogenetic analysis revealed two types of karyotypic defects in fibrosarcoma but not fibromatosis cells: Chromosome 14 is monosomic or involved in translocations [in 60% of fibrosarcomas], and/or chromosome 8 is trisomic or partially duplicated [in 70% of fibrosarcomas] (Lindgren et al. 1989). These observations imply a recessive locus on mouse chromosome 14, and genes with dominant properties on mouse chromosome 8. The commonly duplicated region among fibrosarcomas is the C region of chromosome 8, wherein two proto-oncogenes, junB and junD, are localized (Lindgren et al. 1989; Mattei et al. 1990). junB and junD belong to a family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes containing the sequence TGACTCA in their promoters [Ryder and Nathans 1988; Ryder et al. 1988, 1989; Ryseck et al. 1988, 1991; Hirai et al. 1989]. The third member of the jun family, c-jun, is localized on chromosome 4 (Mattei et al. 1990). Although the three cellular jun genes share extensive sequence homology, they have distinct tissue-specific expression patterns [Wilkinson et al. 1989]. c-jun is the cellular homolog of a viral oncogene [v-jun] carried by an avian sarcoma retrovirus [ASV17] that induces fibrosarcomas in chickens (Maki et al. 1987; Bohmann and Tjian 1989). v-jun can transform chick embryo fibroblasts, myoblasts, and neuroretinal cells in vitro [Bos et al. 1990; Garcia and Samarut 1990]. Notably, v-jun elicits fibrosarcomas and rhabdomyosarcomas in transgenic...
mice in a process that requires initial wounding (Schuh et al. 1990). Both the chromosome 8 duplication and the fibrosarcoma specificity of v-Jun motivated the evaluation of a possible involvement of jun genes in dermal fibrosarcoma development of BPV-1 transgenic mice.

Results

Expression of JunB, c-Jun, and JunD proteins in the stages of fibrosarcoma development

Immunohistochemistry was performed to evaluate the levels of JunB, c-Jun, and JunD proteins in transgenic mouse skin at different steps of tumorigenesis and to investigate the possibility of alterations in the expression pattern of these proteins during the process of tumor development. Tissue from mice of two independent BPV transgenic lines (BPV1.69 and BPV69r1) was analyzed. An immunohistochemical analysis for the JunB, c-Jun, and JunD proteins in the various stages of this pathway is presented in Figure 1. All three jun proteins show predominant nuclear localization, as is characteristic of them. In normal skin, JunB is found abundantly expressed in the epidermis, whereas it is either undetectable or present at very low levels in the dermis [Fig. 1a]. The pattern of JunB expression in mild fibromatosis tissue was very similar to that of normal skin tissue [Fig. 1b]. In marked contrast, JunB was readily detectable in a majority of dermal fibroblasts of aggressive fibromatosis tissue [Fig. 1c]. A further increase of JunB protein was seen in fibrosarcoma tissue, where it was detected at high levels in virtually all of the more densely packed fibrosarcoma cells [Fig. 1d]. The expression pattern for c-Jun during the stages of tumorigenesis was similar to JunB. c-Jun was expressed at very low levels in dermal fibroblasts of normal skin and mild fibromatosis [Fig. 1e,f] and was clearly present at higher levels in both aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma tissue [Fig. 1g,h]. In contrast, JunD was found at abundant levels in both dermal and epidermal layers of normal skin and mild fibromatosis [Fig. 1i,j]. There was no indication of any increased JunD expression in aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma tissue [Fig. 1k,l]. Because all three Jun proteins are known to form heterodimers with c-Fos and related proteins to generate the AP-1 transcription factor complex (Cohen and Curran 1988; Halazonetis et al. 1988; Zerial et al. 1989), the levels of the Fos proteins were also examined. In all stages, Fos was present in dermal fibroblasts at clearly detectable and similar levels [data not shown]. Moreover, we analyzed expression of the proto-oncogene myc by immunostaining tissue sections from these four stages. Similar to the observations with JunD and Fos, Myc protein was detectable but the levels did not differ appreciably in any of the four skin conditions [data not shown]. The results indicate that JunB and c-Jun are specifically up-regulated in the advanced fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma stages, whereas JunD and Fos (and Myc) proteins are expressed at similar levels throughout tumorigenesis.

Increase of JunB and c-Jun proteins in aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma cell lines

The cultured cells representative of normal dermal fibroblasts and the three abnormal conditions were used to further characterize the observed up-regulation of c-Jun and JunB. Nuclear extracts from low-passage fibroblast cultures representing the various conditions of skin tumorigenesis were examined by Western blot analysis [Fig. 2]. JunB protein was expressed at very low [and sometimes undetectable] levels in normal fibroblasts and mild fibromatosis cultures [Fig. 2A, lanes N, MF]. Similarly, c-Jun protein was undetectable in normal fibroblasts and mild fibromatosis cultures [Fig. 2B, lanes N, MF]. However, both proteins could be readily detected in aggressive fibromatosis nuclear extracts [Fig. 2A and B, lanes AF]. JunB and c-Jun levels were increased even further in fibrosarcoma nuclear extracts [Fig. 2A and B, lanes FS]. In contrast, JunD protein was clearly present in all four cell types. JunD protein appeared to be decreased in fibrosarcoma nuclear extracts relative to normal fibroblasts and the two earlier stages of tumor development [Fig. 2C]. Finally, analysis of Fos showed it to be expressed at comparable levels in all four stages [Fig. 2D]. Thus, Western blotting analysis of the cell cultures derived from tissue biopsies confirms the increases in JunB and c-Jun in the later stages of fibrosarcoma development originally detected by immunohistochemical analysis of tissue sections.

Fibrosarcoma cell lines contain increased AP-1 DNA-binding activity

To test whether elevated levels of JunB and c-Jun alter a Jun-related function, their DNA-binding ability in nuclear extracts from the distinct cell cultures was quantified in a gel retardation assay. The AP-1 site is a heptamer sequence contained in the regulatory regions of various viral and cellular genes (Garcia-Carranca et al. 1988; Martin et al. 1989; Schönthal et al. 1988) and is specifically recognized by either Jun–Jun homodimers or Jun–Fos heterodimers (Bohmann et al. 1987; Angel et al. 1988; Halazonetis et al. 1988; Nakabeppu et al. 1988; Rauscher et al. 1988a,b; Hirai, et al. 1989; Ryseck and Bravo 1991). A radiolabeled oligonucleotide probe (33-mer) carrying the AP-1 consensus sequence [TGACTCA] was incubated with nuclear extract prepared from a normal skin fibroblast culture, two mild fibromatosis cultures, three aggressive fibromatosis cell lines, and four fibrosarcoma cell lines. Extracts from normal and mild fibromatosis cultures display similar, low AP-1 DNA-binding activity [Fig. 3A lanes 1,2]. A modest increase in activity was observed in one mild fibromatosis and all three aggressive fibromatosis lines [Fig. 3A, lanes 3–6]. In the fibrosarcoma nuclear extracts, an additional enhancement in the AP-1 DNA-binding activity was detected in all four fibrosarcoma lines tested [Fig. 3A, lanes 7–10]. The amount of protein–DNA complex was quantified by densitometry of the autoradiogram. The relative increase in specific DNA-binding activity in nuclear ex-
Figure 1.  (See facing page for legend.)
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Figure 2. Quantitative analysis of JunB, c-Jun, JunD, and c-Fos proteins in normal fibroblast (N), mild fibromatosis (MF), aggressive fibromatosis (AF), and fibrosarcoma (FS) cell lines by Western blotting. Nuclear extracts from one normal fibroblast (nf) cell line (nf23784), three mild fibromatosis cell lines (MF9268, MF14249, MF14246), three aggressive fibromatosis cell lines, BPV21, BPV3, BPV7, and five fibrosarcomas (BPV2, BPV11, BPV1, 855, BPV23) were prepared and analyzed. The analysis of nuclear extracts from the four representative cell lines each (nf 23784, MF9268, BPV21, BPV11) is shown. Twenty micrograms of the four nuclear extracts (Piette et al. 1988) was electrophoresed through each lane of four 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose. The blots were incubated with anti-JunB (A), anti-c-Jun (B), anti-JunD (C), and anti-Fos (D) antibodies. The specific band is indicated by the arrow. In the analysis of c-Jun (B), additional bands are present. These bands are nonspecific and have varied from experiment to experiment. The increase of bona fide c-Jun in fibrosarcoma was confirmed using a second anti-c-Jun-specific rabbit serum. Additional bands were also visible in the analysis of c-Fos (D). In this case, we suspect that the bands represent cross-reactivity to Fos-related polypeptides, because the IgGs were affinity-purified using an amino-terminal c-Fos peptide (see Materials and methods). To confirm that equal amounts of protein were analyzed in each lane, the protein blots were stained with 0.5% Ponceau S solution, before probing the blot with specific antibodies.

tracts was approximately threefold for aggressive fibromatosis and ninefold for fibrosarcoma, when compared with normal fibroblast.

To verify the specificity of DNA binding, competition experiments were performed with an excess of either an unlabeled mutant AP-1 oligonucleotide (AP-1*) or of the unlabeled oligonucleotide containing the AP-1 consensus sequence (Fig. 3B). In this experiment nuclear extracts from normal fibroblasts and fibrosarcoma cells were analyzed. A 100-fold molar excess of mutant AP-1* sequence (GAATTCG) could not compete away the binding achieved with the labeled AP-1-specific (TGACTCA) probe. However, unlabeled AP-1-specific oligonucleotide, at the same 100-fold molar excess, completely inhibited binding to the labeled AP-1 site, as evidenced by the absence of labeled protein-DNA complexes.

To investigate whether the enhanced AP-1 DNA-binding activity in fibrosarcomas consists of an increase in Jun–Jun homodimer or Jun–Fos heterodimer formation, nuclear extracts from an aggressive fibromatosis and one fibrosarcoma cell line were preincubated with increasing amounts of anti-Fos antibodies. As shown in Figure 3C.
Figure 3. AP-1 DNA-binding activity is elevated in fibrosarcomas. (A) The AP-1 DNA-binding activity in normal fibroblasts [N, lane 1; cell line n13784], mild fibromatosis [MF, lanes 2,3; cell lines MF9268, MF14249], aggressive fibromatosis [AF, lanes 4–6; cell lines BPV3, BPV7, BPV21], and fibrosarcomas [FS, lanes 7–10; cell lines BPV11, 855, BPV1, BPV23] was quantified by gel retardation analysis. Nuclear extracts were prepared by the method of Andrews and Failer (1991) and the gel retardation assay was performed as described in Materials and methods. (B) To test the specificity of the retarded complex, a 100-fold molar excess of either the unlabeled mutant AP-1* or AP-1-specific oligonucleotide was added to the reaction. (C) The AP-1-specific complex contains Fos. Nuclear extracts from either aggressive fibromatosis (AF) or fibrosarcoma (FS) were investigated for the presence of Jun/Fos heterodimers by using Fos-specific antibodies to supershift the DNA protein complex. The extracts were preincubated with either no (-) or increasing amounts of Fos-specific IgG (1, 5, 8 μg/reaction) for 1 hr on ice, and the gel retardation assay was then performed, as described in Materials and methods. (D) The AP-1-specific complex contains Jun. The extracts from an aggressive fibromatosis and a fibrosarcoma were preincubated with either no (-) or increasing amounts of pan-specific Jun antibodies (0.1 μl or 1 μl/reaction), and a gel-retardation assay was performed.

the anti-Fos IgG produced a supershift when added to the reaction, thus indicating that Jun–Fos heterodimers are present in the complex. Similar experiments with an anti-Jun family-specific antibody resulted in a complete shift of the AP-1 activity using nuclear extracts from an aggressive fibromatosis and a fibrosarcoma line (Fig. 3D). This complete supershift is in contrast to that seen with anti-Fos antibodies, wherein not all of the DNA-binding activity could be converted into a supershift band in fibrosarcoma nuclear extract. The difference in the supershifts suggests that the increased levels of Jun-B and c-Jun in fibrosarcomas result in the formation of appreciable amounts of Jun–Jun dimers in addition to Jun–Fos heterodimers.

Up-regulation of JunB, c-Jun, and JunD in the early stages of tumorigenesis

To assess whether the selective increases in JunB and c-Jun levels have functional roles in this tumorigenesis pathway, the cDNAs of JunB, c-Jun, and JunD were placed under the control of the Moloney murine sarcoma virus–long terminal repeat (MSV-LTR) and transfected into mild fibromatosis cells [line MF 14249]. The vector containing the neomycin-resistance gene was transfected as a control. After 2 weeks, 30 G418-resistant colonies were isolated for each construct and expanded into cell lines. In an initial study nuclear extracts from 10 randomly selected lines of each transfection were analyzed by Western blotting for expression of the product of the transfected Jun gene. The protein level varied greatly among the independently isolated colonies (data not shown). Three cell lines with the clearest increase in protein levels were selected from each Jun transfection for subsequent studies. The evaluation of expression in these selected lines is shown in Figure 4. The molecular weights of the endogenous and transfected Jun gene products are identical; therefore, the total amount of the respective Jun protein is compared with that in the parental cell line. All three MF/JunB lines showed an approximately threefold increase in Jun-B protein when compared with the parental MF cell line (Fig. 4A, lanes 2–4). The analysis of the MF/c-Jun lines showed abundant c-Jun (>10 x), in comparison with both control and nontransfected lines, where c-Jun is virtually undetectable (Fig. 2 and 4B). Similarly, JunD was clearly overex-
overexpression density, whereas junD and vector alone transfectants are similar to the parental mild fibromatosis cells.

Aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma cells are able to display anchorage-independent growth and form tumors in nude or syngenic mice (Sippola-Thiele et al. 1989). We therefore tested whether overexpression of a jun gene could allow mild fibromatosis cells to grow in soft agar. In contrast to mild fibromatosis cells transfected with the vector alone or with junD, colony formation was evident for both c-jun and junB-transfected mild fibromatosis cells (Fig. 6A). The MF/c-jun transfectants were particularly effective at forming colonies. Fourteen percent of the c-jun-transfected mild fibromatosis cells grew in soft agar, which exceeds the 9% seeding efficiency of fibrosarcoma cells. Aggressive fibromatosis cells were somewhat less effective, giving, on average, 6% colonies when $10^7$ cells were seeded. This efficiency is comparable to 5% value obtained with the junB-transfected mild fibromatosis cells. It is notable that the MF/c-jun colonies were typically larger in size than the MF/junB colonies (Fig. 6B), suggesting a more rapid growth rate in soft agar as well as on a solid substrate. The differential effects of c-jun and junB could be ascribed to the modest 3-fold increase in JunB levels in this assay, even after 2 months of incubation. The results suggest that overexpression of junB or c-jun in mild fibromatosis cells can only induce a subset of the transformed characteristics of the aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma cells.

A similar series of transfection experiments were conducted using normal dermal fibroblasts. Four to six neomycin-resistant clones from each jun transfection of a normal fibroblast culture (nfi23784) were selected for analysis. None of the junB-, c-jun-, or junD-transfected normal fibroblast cells showed changes in morphology, none formed colonies in soft agar; and none produced tumors upon subcutaneous injection (data not shown). Thus, the phenotypic changes conferred by up-regulation of JunB and c-Jun in these cells are not due to the oncogenic action of the viral oncogene, but instead are due to the expression of the wild-type genes.

**Discussion**

The expression and functional roles of the transcription factors JunB, c-Jun, and JunD during multistep skin tumorigenesis induced by BPV oncogenes have been evalu-
Figure 5. Change in cell morphology induced by the expression of \textit{jun} genes in mild fibromatosis (MF) cells. Cells were seeded at \(1 \times 10^5\) cells/100-mm dish in DMEM plus 5% calf serum and grown for 3 days. Photographs were taken under phase contrast. The magnification of each photograph is 113\(\times\).

ated. Immunohistochemical analysis of tissue biopsies representative of the normal condition and the three stages of tumor development revealed a pronounced increase in the \textit{JunB} and \textit{c-Jun} products in advanced pre-neoplastic lesions [aggressive fibromatosis] and in solid tumors [the fibrosarcomas]. On the other hand, \textit{JunD}, Fox, and \textit{c-Myc} were expressed at similar levels in normal skin and in all skin pathologies. Biochemical analysis of cell cultures derived from the four stages confirmed the up-regulation of \textit{JunB} and \textit{c-Jun}. In contrast, \textit{JunD} levels may actually decrease in the fibrosarcoma cell lines.

The assessment of possible involvement of the \textit{jun} proto-oncogenes in this tumorigenesis pathway was motivated by the localization of \textit{junB} and \textit{junD} to a region of chromosome 8 that is duplicated in 70\% of the fibrosarcomas [Lindgren et al. 1989]. The implication was partially confirmed by the up-regulation of \textit{junB}. However, \textit{c-Jun}, which is located on chromosome 4, was also elevated, whereas \textit{junD} on chromosome 8 was not. Moreover, fibrosarcoma cells lacking chromosome 8 duplications nevertheless evidenced up-regulation of \textit{junB} and \textit{c-Jun}, further strengthening the conclusion that the mechanisms governing this up-regulation are more complex than mere gene duplication. One can speculate that regulatory interactions between \textit{c-Jun} and \textit{JunB} [and their genes] may be involved. \textit{junB} and \textit{c-jun} could also be influenced by other events, which include increased expression of the BPV oncogenes [Sippola-Thiele et al. 1989], and, by consequence, possible activation of the
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**Figure 6.** Growth of jun-transfected mild fibromatosis (MF) cells in soft agar. (A) Quantitative analysis of colony formation upon seeding 1 x 10^4 cells into soft agar in 60-mm dishes, as described in the Materials and methods. After 2–3 weeks of incubation, colonies were stained with MTT and counted. The data represent three independent experiments. (B) Representative colony size of mild fibromatoses cells transfected with junB [a], c-jun [b], or junD [c] or untransfected mild fibromatosis cells [d]. Magnification, 81 x.

PDGF receptor by the BPV5 E5 oncoprotein [Petti et al. 1991], which in turn could signal up-regulation of c-jun and junB, as has been observed in other systems with serum and PDGF [Quantin and Breathnach 1988; Ryder and Nathans 1988; Ryder et al. 1988]. Additional factors could be the observed mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene, (E. B.-Wetzel and D. Hanahan, unpubl.) or release of basic fibroblast growth factor [bFGF] [Kandel et al. 1991] a potential autocrine growth factor. It is notable that the up-regulation of junB and c-jun typically does not appear to be focal or sectoral but, rather, seems uniform in the aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma tissue. The pattern might indicate involvement of a diffusible factor in the activation of junB and c-jun.

**Transformation and transcriptional activation by jun proteins**

Members of the jun and fos family have been implicated previously in cellular transformation, either by mutational alterations of the coding sequence or by increased expression of otherwise normal jun or fos gene products [for review, see Vogt and Tjian 1988; Herrlich and Ponta 1989, Vogt and Bos 1990, Angel and Karin 1991; Lewin 1991]. c-jun was identified by homology to v-jun, the oncogene captured in an avian sarcoma virus (ASV17) [Maki et al. 1987]. Transgenic mice carrying the v-jun oncogene under control of the widely expressed H-2K^k major histocompatibility complex promoter develop dermal fibrosarcomas and rhabdomyosarcomas, but only after skin wounding [Schuh et al. 1990]. The v-jun fibrosarcoma phenotype is similar to that of BPV-1 transgenic mice, where tumors also tend to arise in areas of skin irritation or wounding. In BPV-1 transgenic mice, however, wounding does not appear to be a strict prerequisite nor is it sufficient for the induction of neoplasia.

There is precedent for participation of cellular jun and fos proto-oncogenes in transformation, and previous studies have suggested that changes in the relative abundance of Jun/Fos proteins might be influential [Schütte et al. 1989a,b, Castellazzi et al. 1990 1991]. The experiments described in this report have demonstrated that AP-1 DNA-binding activity is increased significantly in fibrosarcomas when compared with normal skin fibroblast nuclear extracts. The Fos levels are high in all four stages, whereas JunB and c-Jun levels rise in the latter two stages, coconitant with increased AP-1-binding activity. The supershift experiment with anti-Fos antibodies indicates that a substantial fraction of the new AP-1 activity is in the form of Jun/Fos heterodimers, because Fos proteins cannot bind DNA. Yet it appears that the levels of JunB and c-Jun are rising to exceed those of Fos not only to produce Jun/Fos heterodimers, but also c-Jun and JunB homo- and heterodimers, which might have functionally distinct activity or specificity from that of Jun/Fos heterodimers. This suggestion is consistent with other observations of differential activity between Jun/Fos and Jun/Jun dimers [Halazonetis et al. 1988; Nakaeppu et al. 1988, Schütte et al. 1989b, Diamond et al. 1990, Doucas et al. 1991, Ryseck and Bravo 1991]. It is also intriguing that the levels of JunD appear to decrease in the fibrosarcomas, suggesting that JunD might antagonize or be down-regulated by JunB and c-Jun.

**Jun genes as cooperating oncogenes and a secondary event**

To address the functional significance of JunB and c-Jun
up-regulation in this tumorigenesis pathway, normal fibroblasts and mild fibromatoses were transfected with the cDNAs of the three different jun genes. Overexpression of c-jun and, to a lesser degree, junB, conferred on mild fibromatoses the ability to grow in soft agar, a phenotype characteristic of aggressive fibromatosis and fibrosarcoma cells that probably represents the anchorage independence of invasive tumor cells. In contrast, junD transfections did not allow mild fibromatoses cells to grow in soft agar. The cell phenotype of normal fibroblasts was unaffected by any of the jun transfections. One possible explanation for the lack of effect on the normal cell type lies in the expression of the BPV-1 oncoproteins. The BPV-1 oncoproteins E5 and E6 are not expressed in fibroblasts obtained from normal transgenic skin but are expressed at low levels in mild fibromatoses cells. It is therefore conceivable that BPV1 E5 and/or E6 proteins can cooperate with JunB or c-Jun in transformation and, moreover, that their actions must precede those of the Jun proteins, which are only effective upon already aberrantly proliferating cells. The inability of c-jun to transform normal dermal fibroblasts is consistent with the lack of a tumorigenic phenotype when c-jun is overexpressed in a variety of tissues in transgenic mice, including dermal fibroblasts (F. Hilberg and E.F. Wagner, pers. comm.).

It is of note that several key properties of the fibrosarcomas were missing in both junB- and c-jun-transfected mild fibromatoses cells. Fibrosarcoma cells are small spindle-shaped cells that grow in a crisscross pattern; they are not contact inhibited, and they rapidly form tumors upon transplantation into nude or histo-compatible mice. None of these qualities was observed in jun-transfected mild fibromatoses cells. Thus, up-regulation of JunB and c-Jun appears to evoke functions that are related to anchorage independence and consequent growth in soft agar but is not sufficient for the complete tumor cell phenotype. It is conceivable that several independent genetic and/or epigenetic alterations may play distinct roles in the progression to fibrosarcomas. Recent studies of this transgenic mouse model have indicated that the increases in JunB and c-Jun are not the only changes occurring during fibrosarcoma formation. The localization of bFGF, a growth factor with angiogenic properties, is changed from its normal, cell-associated state to the exported form in aggressive fibromatoses tissue in vivo. The fibrosarcoma stage, duplication of chromosome 8 and/or loss of chromosome 14 is observed. The levels of c-Jun and JunB are elevated, as is the associated DNA-binding activity for the AP1 site. The release of the growth factor, bFGF, is observed, correlating with neovascularization of aggressive fibromatoses tissue in vivo. In the fibrosarcoma stage, duplication of chromosome 8 and/or loss of chromosome 14 is observed. The levels of c-Jun and junB are increased further, and there is an additional increase in AP-1 DNA-binding activity. The functional analysis presented herein suggests that up-regulation of junB/c-jun induces a component of the malignant phenotype. Because these proto-oncogenes encode gene regulatory factors, it is evident that there should now be a focus on understanding more completely their roles as progression factors during this multistep tumorigenesis process. Finally, it will be of interest to examine the expression and possible involvement of junB and c-jun in human fibrosarcomas and other mesenchymal tumors.

Materials and methods

Transgenic mice

Generation of BPV1.69 and BPV.69rl transgenic mice and their tumor phenotype has been described previously [Lacey et al. 1986; Lindgren et al. 1989].

Antiserum

Characterization of JunB-, c-Jun-, and JunD-specific antibodies used for immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis has
been described (Kovary and Bravo 1991). Each selectively recognizes only the protein toward which it was generated, and not the other related Jun proteins. The anti-c-Fos antibodies used for immunohistochemistry and the gel retardation experiment were a gift of R. Franz (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory). The anti-c-Fos antibodies used in Western blot analysis, a gift from M. Nicklin and E.F. Wagner (L.M.P., Vienna, Austria), were generated against a amino-terminal peptide [sequence SGFNADYE-ASS-RC] and affinity purified by passage over a peptide column. The anti-c-Jun antibodies (AB-1 and AB-2) used for immunohistochemistry were purchased from Oncogene Sciences. Additional anti-c-Jun antibodies used for confirmatory Western blot analysis were a gift from C. Pfarr, G. Spyrou, and M. Yaniv [Institute Pasteur, Paris, France]. Anti-c-Myc antibodies were a gift from G. Ramsay and J.M. Bishop [University of California, San Francisco].

**Cell cultures**

Cell cultures were established either from BPV1.69 or BPV.69rI transgenic mice. Skin or tumor tissue was minced into small pieces with a scissors and incubated in 0.1% Dispase and 0.02% collagenase in PBS at 37°C under continuous agitation for 45 min. The cells were plated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) plus 5% bovine calf serum containing penicillin and streptomycin. Normal skin fibroblast and mild fibromatosis cultures were routinely seeded at 1 x 10^5 cells/100-mm dish and maintained for <20 passages. The derivation of immortal aggressive fibromatosi and fibrosarcoma cells has been described previously (Sippola-Thiele et al. 1989). These cells were maintained much as the normal fibroblasts and mild fibromatosis cultures.

**Expression vectors**

The complete coding regions of mouse c-jun, junB, and junD were cloned into the mammalian expression vector pMexneo, which utilizes a MSV–LTR and a polyadenylation signal of SV40 (Martin-Zanca et al. 1989). The constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Skin or tumor tissue was infiltrated in a solution of 15% sucrose and PBS at 4°C overnight. Unfixed tissue was then mounted in tissue Tek OCT embedding medium on a dry ice block. Tissue was sectioned at 10 μm using a cryostat microtome at -30°C and permeabilized in 0.25% NP-40 and PBS at 4°C for 1 hr. Immunostaining, sections were rehydrated in PBS for 10 min and permeabilized in 0.25% NP-40 and PBS for 15 min. The sections were then washed three times for 5 min each in PBS and treated with blocking solution [3% goat serum, 3% bovine serum albumin, 3% fetal bovine serum (FBS)] for 30 min and incubated with the primary antibody. Each antisem was titrated on cryosections of BPV1.69 skin and fibrosarcoma tissue. Dilutions of 1:500, 1:800, 1:1000, 1:2000, and 1:10,000 were tested. Antibodies were diluted in binding buffer (DMEM, 10% FBS, 50 mM HEPES at pH 7.4) and incubated with sections for 4 hr or overnight at room temperature. The sections were washed three times with PBS plus 3% goat serum for 5 min each, and a 1:200 dilution of peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies was applied (Accurate Chemical and Scientific Corp.) for 1 hr at room temperature. After several wash steps with PBS plus 1% goat serum, the immunocomplexes were visualized by treatment with a solution of 0.25 mg/ml of diaminobenzidine, 3 mg/ml of nickel sulfate, and 0.003% H_2O_2. The substrate reaction was stopped after 6–8 min by washing the sections in H_2O. Slides were then taken through graded alcohol into xylene and mounted with coverslips using Entellan mounting compound. The sections were evaluated on a Nikon Microphot-FX microscope, and photographs were taken using Kodak Technical Pan film [ASA 25].

**Transfections**

Transfections were performed using the calcium phosphate DNA coprecipitation method. Low-passage normal fibroblast or mild fibromatosis cells from BPV-1 transgenic mice were seeded at a density of 1 x 10^6 cells/10-cm culture dish in DMEM plus 10% FBS and grown overnight. Ten micrograms of pMexneo, pMexneo-junB, pMexneo-c-jun, or pMexneo-junD was used for transfection of each 10-cm dish. Forty-eight hours after transfection, each plate of cells was trypsinized and reseeded into four 10-cm culture dishes containing G418 (500 μg/ml, Gibco). On average, 30 independent G418-resistant clones for each transfection type were isolated 2–3 weeks later and expanded into cell lines.

**Colony-forming assay in soft agar**

Cells (1 x 10^4 or 1 x 10^5) were mixed with 3 ml of 0.36% Difco agar containing DMEM plus 5% bovine calf serum and plated in triplicates into 60-mm culture dishes on top of 3 ml of 0.72% hard agar layer. The cells were refed with an additional 3 ml of soft agar medium after 1 and 2 weeks of incubation. After 3 weeks, the colonies were stained with 200 μl per dish of 5 mg/ml 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) [Sigma] in PBS for 4–6 hr, and colonies were counted.

**Tumorigenicity assay**

Tumorigenicity was tested by injecting the abdominal region of Scid, B6D2F1, or athymic mice subcutaneously with 2 x 10^6, 1 x 10^7 or 1 x 10^8 cells in 0.5 ml of DMEM per animal. The mice were scored weekly for tumor formation and volume for 3 months.

**Preparation of nuclear extracts**

Large-scale nuclear extracts were prepared as described in Piette et al. (1988). These extracts were used for the Western blotting analyses shown in Figure 2. Alternatively, when multiple cell lines were being compared in gel-shift assays or Western blotting analyses of jun-transfected mild fibromatosis cells, a microscale nuclear extract was prepared according to the technique described by Andrews and Faller (1991).

**Western blot analysis**

The protein concentration of the nuclear extracts was determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Determination Assay. Twenty micrograms of protein was loaded into each lane of a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis at 45 V overnight, the gel was equilibrated in transfer buffer (DMEM, 10% FBS, 50 mM HEPES at pH 7.4) and incubated with sections for 4 hr or overnight at room temperature. The sections were washed three times with PBS plus 3% goat serum for 5 min each, and a 1:200 dilution of peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies was applied (Accurate Chemical and Scientific Corp.) for 1 hr at room temperature. After several wash steps with PBS plus 1% goat serum, the immunocomplexes were visualized by treatment with a solution of 0.25 mg/ml of diaminobenzidine, 3 mg/ml of nickel sulfate, and 0.003% H_2O_2. The substrate reaction was stopped after 6–8 min by washing the sections in H_2O. Slides were then taken through graded alcohol into xylene and mounted with coverslips using Entellan mounting compound. The sections were evaluated on a Nikon Microphot-FX microscope, and photographs were taken using Kodak Technical Pan film [ASA 25].
Bossy–Wetzel et al.

1:1000; c-Jun antiserum, 1:800] and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature under constant agitation. The filter was then washed four times for 5 min each, with PBS, 3% nonfat milk, and 0.1% Tween 20. The second antibody, a goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Accurate) was incubated at a 1:50,000 or 1:25,000 dilution in blocking solution for 30 min to 1 hr. The filter was then washed extensively [four times, for 5 min each] with PBS, 3% nonfat milk, and 0.1% Tween 20 and then twice with PBS only. To detect the immunocomplexes, the ECL Western blotting detection system (Amersham) was used according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The nitrocellulose filter was then exposed to XAR-5 Kodak X-ray film for periods ranging from 10 sec to a maximum of 2 min.

Gel-retardation experiment

Five micrograms of protein from the microscale nuclear extract [Andrews and Fallar 1991] was incubated on ice for 15 min with 1 μg of poly[d(I-C)] poly[d(I-C)] in binding buffer (20 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 6.25% Ficoll] and 0.1 μg/μl of BSA in a final reaction volume of 25 μl. Then 20,000 cpm (0.1 ng) of 32P-end-labeled double-stranded oligonucleotide containing the AP-1 consensus sequence 5’-CTAGACTAAGGGTACTCAGACTGCCGCTGCA-3’ was added to each reaction and incubated for an additional 15–30 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was loaded immediately on a 6%, 0.5 x TBE polyacrylamide gel and run at 250 V for 0.5 x TBE buffer at 4°C for 1.5–2 hr. The gel was subsequently fixed [10% acetic acid, 20% methanol], dried, and exposed overnight to Kodak XAR-5 X-ray film. To test specificity of DNA binding a 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled mutant oligonucleotide 5’-AAATAAGTTCCGATTAATTTGATATTGAAAA-3’ or a 100-fold molar excess of the AP-1-specific oligonucleotide was added to the reaction before adding the radiolabeled probe. If Jun- or Fos-specific antibodies were added to the reaction, nuclear extract and antibodies were preincubated for 1 hr in binding buffer on ice. Then 32P-labeled oligonucleotide containing the AP-1 consensus sequence was added and incubated for an additional 20 min at room temperature.
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**JunB/c-jun contribute to fibrosarcoma development**
Transcription factors junB and c-jun are selectively up-regulated and functionally implicated in fibrosarcoma development.
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