Comparison of curettage and bone grafting combined with elastic intramedullary nailing vs curettage and bone grafting in the treatment of long bone cysts in children
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Abstract
To compare the efficacy of curettage and bone grafting combined with elastic intramedullary nailing (EIN) vs curettage and bone grafting in the treatment of long bone cysts in children and to clarify the necessity of using EIN in the treatment of bone cysts.

Sixty-two patients were involved in this study from Jan. 2009 to Sept. 2017 (43 males, 19 females; 27 humeri, 35 femurs); the patients were assigned to an EIN group, comprising 30 patients who underwent curettage and bone grafting combined with EIN, or to a non–elastic intramedullary nailing (NEIN) group, comprising 32 patients who underwent curettage and bone grafting alone. The prognosis of the 2 groups was assessed with reference to the standard of Capanna.

Sixty-two patients were involved in this study from Jan. 2009 to Sept. 2017 (43 males, 19 females; 27 humeri, 35 femurs); the patients were assigned to an EIN group, comprising 30 patients who underwent curettage and bone grafting combined with EIN, or to a non–elastic intramedullary nailing (NEIN) group, comprising 32 patients who underwent curettage and bone grafting alone. The prognosis of the 2 groups was assessed with reference to the standard of Capanna.

No statistically significant differences in sex, age, location, activity, pathological fracture, cyst volume, operative time and intraoperative blood loss were found between the 2 groups (P > .05). The effective rate was 90.0% in the EIN group and 68.8% in the NEIN group, and the difference was statistically significant (P < .05).

Compared to simple curettage and bone grafting, curettage and bone grafting combined with EIN treatment can significantly improve the prognosis of children with bone cysts. It is recommended that EIN be added to bone cyst curettage and bone grafting.

Abbreviations: NTEN = non-titanium elastic intramedullary nailing, TEN = titanium elastic intramedullary nailing.
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1. Introduction
Simple bone cysts, also known as solitary bone cysts, are common benign bone cysts in children and adolescents. These cysts usually occur in extremity long-bone metaphyses. The average age of onset is 8 to 14 years old. Simple bone cysts mostly occur in males, and the male-to-female ratio is 2:1 to 4:1, pain caused by intercurrent pathological fracture is often the first symptom, and 63% to 87% of cysts are combined with pathological fracture before surgery. According to the Neer evaluation criterion, bone cysts can be divided into a latent stage and an active stage, in which the active stage accounts for 37.9% to 57.9%. The prognosis of the active stage is often worse than that of the latent stage. Although simple bone cysts are a benign disease, they often occur in the long bones of extremities and are easily combined with pathological fractures that lead to limb activity disorders. Therefore, it is urgent to explore a scientific and effective treatment.

At present, the treatment for simple bone cysts is mainly invasive therapy, including intracavitary injection therapy and operative treatment. Intracavitary injection therapy mainly includes hormone injection and autologous red bone marrow injection, and operative treatment mainly includes cyst-wall clearance, bone grafting, drilling decompression and intramedullary nail fixation. Single treatment or multiple treatments can be adopted. Among these approaches, curettage and bone grafting are common operative treatments; however, the postoperative effect is not satisfactory, and the reason may be related to the lack of continuous cystic cavity drainage after surgery. Elastic intramedullary nailing (EIN) has unique advantages: it not only can continue the drainage of the cystic cavity but also may effectively prevent pathological fracture or fracture displacement and reduce the immobile period of the affected limb. The aim of this study was to explore whether the use of EIN during curettage and bone grafting can effectively improve prognosis.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Objective of the study
From Jan. 2009 to Sept. 2017, 62 children with bone cysts were recruited from the Children’s Hospital affiliated with Chongqing...
Medical University. The operation methods were curettage and bone grafting combined with EIN or simple curettage and bone grafting. The postoperative follow-up time was from 12 to 93 months (27 months on average). The study was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital, and study subjects’ informed consent was obtained.

2.2. Study methods

2.2.1. Inclusion criteria.

(1) The cyst was a primary simple bone cyst;
(2) No other treatment was performed before the operation (such as hormone injection, autologous red bone marrow injection, and drilling decompression);
(3) The operative method was curettage + bone grafting or cyst curettage + bone grafting + EIN;
(4) The bone cyst was confirmed by postoperative pathological examination;
(5) The focus was located in the humerus or femur;
(6) The age of onset was less than 18 years old;
(7) The postoperative follow-up time was 1 year or more.

2.2.2. Surgical method and grouping. This study was divided into an EIN group and a non-elastic intramedullary nailing (NEIN) group.

The surgical methods of the NEIN group were as follows: after the administration of anesthesia, the children were maintained in the supine position and strictly sterilized and draped after location of the focus by the C-arm; the skin, subcutaneous tissue and deep fascia were incised, with attention paid to protecting the peripheral nerves. The periosteum and the focus were exposed layer by layer. The periosteum was gently peeled off to expose the cortex of the focus, followed by aspiration of the fluid in the cystic cavity for examination. The focal cortex was drilled, and a rectangular bone window was chiseled into the cyst wall; the cyst focus was cleared as far as possible without damaging the epiphysis. Next, the cyst wall was rinsed with a large amount of normal saline after inactivation with anhydrous alcohol, and the medullary cavity of the upper and lower sides of the cystic cavity was drilled through. The autogenous bone/allogeneic bone/artificial bone were arranged tightly along the gravity line of the trabecular bone to fill the cystic cavity, and the filling was satisfactory under the C-arm. After correctly counting the medical appliances, we used 1% povidone iodine and normal saline to clean the incision and sutured the wound layer by layer. Subcutaneous tissue and intracutaneous tissue were continuously sutured with the absorbable thread, 1 drainage tube was placed subcutaneously, and the brace was fixed externally.

The surgical method in the EIN group was similar to that in the NEIN group. After curettage and bone grafting, under direct vision, 1 or 2 elastic intramedullary nails (2.5 to 4.0 mm, Sanatmetal, Hungary) were inserted from the metaphysis, and their tips did not damage the growth plates of the bones. The postoperative pathological nails were fixed externally.

2.2.3. Evaluation criteria. Bone cysts were divided into an active stage and latent stage according to the Neer criteria before the operation.

(1) Active stage: the cyst was close to the epiphyseal line, and the distance was less than 0.5 cm;
(2) Latent stage: the cyst was relatively far from the epiphyseal line, and the distance was more than 0.5 cm.

According to the criteria of Capanna, the prognosis was divided into three categories: cured, partially healed and ineffective.

(1) Cured: cystic cavities were completely filled with bone substance without any residue, accompanied by thickening of the bone cortex;
(2) Partially healed: most of the cystic cavities were filled by bone substance and cured; the bone cortex margin was thickened, but a small part of the transparent areas remained;
(3) Ineffective: relapse or no response, i.e., there was a large area of osteolysis, and the cortical bone in the cyst wall was thin or showed no obvious change in the cyst after treatment;

2.2.4. Evaluation content and methods.

(1) Preoperation: the patient sex, patient age, disease location, cyst volume (the cyst volume was measured and calculated by Gobel’s method of measuring and calculating the volume of the bone tumor in pediatric sarcomas in 1987), whether there was pathological fracture or not and other characteristics were obtained by case history and imaging data;
(2) During the operation: operative time, intraoperative blood loss and operation methods were recorded.
(3) Postoperation: whether there was wound infection, recurrent fracture, or a focused prognosis was determined through imaging, out-patient case reviews and telephone follow-up.

2.2.5. Statistical method. Statistical analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS statistics 19.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for orderly graded data of the postoperative curette effect. The chi-square test was used for categorical data of gender and location. Age, cyst volume, intraoperative blood loss, operative time and healing time and other measuring data were tested by two-independent-sample t-tests. P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

From Jan. 2009 to Sept. 2017, 62 patients in our hospital were treated with curettage and bone grafting with (or without) elastic intramedullary nails, and their postoperative pathological examination results confirmed the diagnosis of bone cysts. The patients were divided into an EIN group (n = 30) and NEIN group (n = 32), among which there were 22 males and 8 females in the EIN group and 21 males and 11 females in the NEIN group; an average age of 8.1 years in the EIN group and 8.3 years in the NEIN group. Thirteen humeral cysts and 17 femoral cysts were included in the EIN group, and 14 humeral cysts and 18 femoral cysts were included in the NEIN group. In the EIN group, 13 patients were at the active stage; in the NEIN group, 15 patients were at the latent stage, and 17 patients were at the active stage. There were 15 cases of pathological fracture and 15 cases of nonpathological fracture in the EIN group, and there were 10 cases of pathological fracture...
The NEIN group was 17.1 cm$^3$. There were no significant differences in sex, age, location, activity, pathological fracture, or cyst volume between the 2 groups (see Table 1).

The average operative time was 98.2 minutes in the EIN group and 94.4 minutes in the NEIN group ($P = .700$); the intraoperative blood loss was 35.2 ml in the EIN group and 35.2 ml in the NEIN group ($P = .296$). One case of postoperative wound infection was noted in the EIN group, which was improved after treatment with debridement and anti-infection agents, and no wound infections were observed in the NEIN group; furthermore, there were no cases of recurrent fractures in the EIN group and 5 cases of recurrent fractures in the NEIN group (see Table 2).

In the EIN group, 17 cases were cured, 10 cases were partially healed, and 3 cases were ineffective. The effective rate was 90.0% (effective rate = (cured + partially cured) / total number of people). In the NEIN group, 10 cases were cured, 12 cases were partially healed, and 10 cases were ineffective. The effective rate was 68.8%. There was a significant difference in curative effects between the two groups ($P = .013$). The effective rate in the EIN group was higher than that in the NEIN group (see Table 3). The typical cases of the EIN group are shown in Figure 1, and the typical cases of the NEIN group are shown in Figure 2.

### Table 1
Comparison of general data between the 2 groups of pediatric patients.

| Parameter          | EIN group | NEIN group | $P$ value |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Number of patients | 30        | 32         | –         |
| Humerus Femur      | 13        | 14         | .974      |
| Age (years)        | 8.1 ± 2.7 | 8.3 ± 3.4  | .804      |
| Male               | 22        | 21         | .511      |
| Female             | 8         | 11         |           |
| Active             | 13        | 15         | .779      |
| Latent             | 17        | 17         |           |
| Fracture           | Yes       | 15         | 10        | .133 |
|                    | No        | 15         | 22        |       |
| Volume (cm$^3$)    | 18.8 ± 11.7 | 17.1 ± 11.3 | .580 |

$P$ values for differences between the two groups were computed using the $t$ test for continuous numeric data or the chi-square test for categorical data.

EIN = elastic intramedullary nailing, NEIN = non-elastic intramedullary nailing.

Statistically significant.

and 22 cases of nonpathological fracture in the NEIN group; the average cyst volume in the EIN group was 18.8 cm$^3$, and that in the NEIN group was 17.1 cm$^3$. There were no significant differences in sex, age, location, activity, pathological fracture, or cyst volume between the 2 groups (see Table 1).

The average operative time was 98.2 minutes in the EIN group and 94.4 minutes in the NEIN group ($P = .700$); the intraoperative blood loss was 35.2 ml in the EIN group and 35.2 ml in the NEIN group ($P = .296$). One case of postoperative wound infection was noted in the EIN group, which was improved after treatment with debridement and anti-infection agents, and no wound infections were observed in the NEIN group; furthermore, there were no cases of recurrent fractures in the EIN group and 5 cases of recurrent fractures in the NEIN group (see Table 2).

In the EIN group, 17 cases were cured, 10 cases were partially healed, and 3 cases were ineffective. The effective rate was 90.0% (effective rate = (cured + partially cured) / total number of people). In the NEIN group, 10 cases were cured, 12 cases were partially healed, and 10 cases were ineffective. The effective rate was 68.8%. There was a significant difference in curative effects between the two groups ($P = .013$). The effective rate in the EIN group was higher than that in the NEIN group (see Table 3). The typical cases of the EIN group are shown in Figure 1, and the typical cases of the NEIN group are shown in Figure 2.

### Table 2
Comparison of intraoperative and postoperative conditions.

| Parameter              | EIN group | NEIN group | $P$ value |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Operative time (min)   | 98.2 ± 43.6 | 94.4 ± 33.0 | .700 |
| Intraoperative blood loss (ml) | 44.8 ± 35.5 | 35.2 ± 28.1 | .296 |
| Healing time (months)  | 10.6 ± 6.8 | 18.4 ± 13.4 | .018 $^*$ |
| Wound infection        | 1         | 0          |           |
| Recurrent fracture      | 0         | 5          | –         |

$P$ values for differences between the two groups were computed using the $t$ test for continuous numeric data.

EIN = elastic intramedullary nailing, NEIN = non-elastic intramedullary nailing.

$^*$ Statistically significant.

### Table 3
Comparison of curative effects between the 2 groups of pediatric patients.

| Parameter          | EIN group | NEIN group | $P$ value |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Cured              | 17        | 10         | –         |
| Partially healed   | 10        | 12         | –         |
| Ineffective rate   | 3         | 10         | –         |
| Effective rate     | 90.0%     | 68.8%      | .013 $^*$ |

The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for orderly graded data of the postoperative curative effect.

EIN = elastic intramedullary nailing, NEIN = non-elastic intramedullary nailing.

Statistically significant.

4. Discussion

Many methods are available to treat simple bone cysts, but the best method has not yet been determined. As a traditional surgical method, different treatment effects have been reported for curettage and bone grafting. In this research, the traditional curettage and bone grafting method was used for patients in the NEIN group, and in the postoperative follow-up, 22 cases showed a significant treatment effect while 10 cases exhibited recurrence, reflecting a poor treatment effect, with an effective rate of 68.8%. Oppenheim et al. obtained a similar result in his research in which 37 children with simple bone cysts who underwent curettage and bone grafting were followed up for 4 years, 16 of whom experienced recurrence, yielding a total recurrence rate of 43.2%. In this study, 12 cases were in the active stage and 25 cases were in the latent stage, and the recurrence rate was higher in the active-stage cases than that in latent-stage cases and higher in children younger than 10 years than that in children older than 10 years. In particular, 7 of the 8 children younger than 10 years old in the active stage recurred. Penimalli et al. included 19 children with bone cysts who underwent curettage and bone grafting, and 14 patients recurred after the operation, with a total recurrence rate as high as 73.7%. However, some studies have reached different conclusions. In the study of Kokavec et al., 19 patients with bone cysts were all treated with curettage and bone grafting, and only 3 patients recurred during the follow-up after surgery, yielding an effective rate of 84.2%. Traub et al. included 8 cases of bone cysts and used curettage and bone grafting for treatment. During the 5-year follow-up after the operation, no patients recurred, yielding an effective rate of 100%. Other related studies have also achieved good curative effects.

In the past decade, EIN has become popular in the treatment of bone cysts due to its satisfactory effect in long bone fractures in children. In 2000, Roposch et al. reported that among 32 pediatric patients, only 2 cases exhibited recurrence, and the effective rate was 93.8%. EIN also resulted in a good effect in the studies of Li et al. and De Sanctis et al. Moreover, Mavčić et al. reported that the use of EIN for bone cysts not only improved the cure rate by reducing recurrence but also prevented pathological fractures as confirmed in his research in which no patients suffered pathological fracture in the EIN group, while 5 cases sustained pathological fractures after surgery in the NEIN group. However, some studies have also shown that the recurrence rate of bone cysts treated with EIN alone is high. Traub et al. included 8 children treated with EIN alone in their research, and 4 children recurred during the 5-year follow-up, with an effective rate of only 50%.
Compared with curettage and bone grafting alone, can the combination of this method with EIN provide better outcomes? In this research, the combination of curettage and bone grafting with EIN was used in 30 pediatric patients, and 27 cases experienced a positive treatment effect while 3 cases experienced recurrence, yielding an effective rate of 90.0%, which is significantly higher than that in the NEIN group. Hou et al[25] reached a similar conclusion in their study of 19 patients, including 7 in the EIN group and 12 in the NEIN group. In the postoperative follow-up, the effective rate was 85.7% in the EIN group compared with 66.7% in the NEIN group. In addition, with the combination of curettage and bone grafting and EIN, Luo et al[26] also achieved a good effect in treating bone cysts.

Two possible explanations may account for the differences among study results, including small sample sizes and excessive confounding factors. The advantage of this study is that it used direct control between groups with large sample sizes, and therefore, the conclusion is relatively reliable. The prognosis of simple bone cysts is affected not only by the operation method but also by factors such as sex, age of onset, location of onset, lesion activity and capsule volume.[8,10,25,27,28] In this study, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups in gender, age of onset, location of onset, lesion activity, pathological fracture and capsule volume, which excludes the influence of other confounding factors on the results. However, this study was a retrospective case analysis, and the study group and the control group were not randomly divided, making it somewhat deficient.

The results of this study show that EIN combined with curettage and bone grafting can significantly improve the effective rate of bone cyst treatment compared with curettage and bone grafting alone. The reason may be that elastic intramedullary nails can maintain a continuously open capsule cavity, thus effectively reducing the pressure in the capsule cavity and creating favorable conditions for healing.

Therefore, the use of EIN can effectively improve the prognosis of bone cysts without serious complications after surgery. Accordingly, EIN should be added as a standard procedure in
the treatment of curettage and bone grafting in children with simple bone cysts.
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