Research Progress of Antibody–Drug Conjugate Therapy for Advanced Gastric Cancer
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Gastric cancer is an intractable malignant tumor that has the fifth highest morbidity and the third highest mortality in the world. Even though various treatment options did much to ameliorate the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer, the survival time remained unsatisfactory. It is significant to develop new therapeutic agents to improve the long-term outcome. Antibody–drug conjugate is an innovative and potent antineoplastic drug composed of a specifically targeted monoclonal antibody, a chemical linker, and a small molecule cytotoxic payload. Powerful therapeutic efficacy and moderate toxicity are its preponderant advantages, which imply the inevitable pharmaceutical developments to meet the demand for individualized precision therapy. Nevertheless, it is unavoidable that there is a phenomenon of drug resistance in this agent. This article systematically reviewed the recent progress of antibody–drug conjugates in advanced gastric cancer therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is a common malignancy and remains a serious threat to human health worldwide. According to the 2021 statistics from the American Cancer Society, there were approximately 26,600 new GC cases and 11,200 deaths in the United States (1). Most GC patients were in the advanced stage when diagnosed and had a relatively poor prognosis (2). Compared with conventional medical treatments, antibody–drug conjugates (ADCs) have significant antitumorogenic ability and decreased systemic toxicity.

ADCs connect cytotoxic payloads to specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) through chemical linkers. The appropriate target antigens, which are supposed to be highly expressed in tumor cells, are most important in the optimization of ADCs (3). The humanized mAbs including IgG1, IgG2, and IgG4 subtypes are used to decrease the immunogenicity of ADCs. IgG1 is extensively used owing to the induction of antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) (4). Stable linkers can maintain the integrity of ADCs, which ensures that ADCs do not release cytotoxic drugs early before reaching the target antigen to minimize off-target toxicity. Once ADCs are internalized, the linkers should have the ability to cleave quickly and release efficient cytotoxic drugs into the tumor cells (5). Cytotoxic drugs mainly consist of DNA damaging agents and tubulin inhibitors. The former generally combines with DNA double helix resulting in inhibition of DNA synthesis,
DNA double-strand breaks, and apoptotic cell death. The latter commonly binds to microtubules to prevent microtubule polymerization, thereby blocking the cell cycle and inducing tumor cell apoptosis (6). ADCs bind to specific antigens on the surface of target cells after blood circulation, and the ADC-containing complex is internalized via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Because of the different extent of organelle acidification, the complex is transported to the lysosomes or endosomes. The acid-cleavable linker is usually cracked in the early endosome and the protease-cleavable linker is eventually degraded by various proteases in the late endosome or lysosome (7, 8). The released cytotoxic agents play a vital role in killing tumor cells. After the Fab segment of mAb binds to the antigenic epitope of tumor cells, its Fc segment binds to Fc receptors on the surface of killer cells (e.g., natural killer cells, macrophages) to initiate ADCC. It mediates killer cells to kill tumor cells directly, inhibits the downstream signal transduction of antigen receptors, and releases pro-apoptotic proteins including perforin and granzyme to induce cancer cell death (9–11).

ADCs have demonstrated prospective landscapes in clinical research of advanced gastric cancer (AGC) (12). The present review mainly focused on the latest advances in ADCs targeting five specific targets including human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), guanylyl cyclase C (GCC), Trop-2, etc. (Table 1).

**Table 1 | Selected ADCs in the review.**

| Name       | Target   | mAb          | Linker                | Payload    | Efficacy |
|------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| T-DM1 (13) | HER2     | Trastuzumab  | Non-cleavable MCC linker | DM1        | mOS: 7.9 months; mORR: 20.6% |
| DS-8201a (14) | HER2 | IgG1 mAb | Cleavable tetrapeptide linker | DXd | mORR: 51%; mOS: 12.5 months |
| RC48 (15) | HER2     | Hertuzumab  | Cleavable dipeptide linker | MMAE       | mORR: 24.8%; mPFS: 4.1 months; mOS: 7.9 months |
| TAK-264 (16) | GCC     | IgG1 mAb | Cleavable tetrapeptide linker | MMAE       | NA       |
| IMMU-132 (17) | Trop-2 | RS7         | Cleavable CL2A linker | SN-38      | NA       |
| LZ1904 (18) | CLDN18:2 | LZ1903     | Cleavable linker 9106-IM-2 | – | – |
| EV20/NMS-P945 (19) | HER3 | EV20       | Cleavable linker | NMS-P528 | – |

mAb, monoclonal antibody; mOS, median overall survival; mORR, median objective response rate; mPFS, median progression-free survival; NA, not available.

The efficacy and safety of T-DM1 in HER2+ AGC patients were investigated by the GATSBY study (phase II/III, NCT01641939). The results showed that the median overall survival (mOS) [mOS: 7.9 vs. 8.6 months, HR: 1.15, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.87–1.51] and median progression-free survival (mPFS) [mPFS: 2.7 vs. 2.9 months, HR: 1.13, 95% CI: 0.89–1.43; objective response rates (ORR): 20.6% vs. 19.6%] were not improved compared with taxane. As for the safety of T-DM1, it had a lower incidence of grade ≥3 treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs). The most frequent grade ≥3 TRAEs were hematological diseases including anemia (26%) and thrombocytopenia (11%). There were eight (4%) deaths in the T-DM1 group and one death due to pulmonary alveolar hemorrhage (13) (Table 2). Similarly, for the subgroup analysis of Japanese patients screened in the GATSBY study, it was in line with the overall population and there was no improvement in survival benefits (mOS: 11.8 vs. 10.0 months, HR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.52–1.72; mPFS: 2.3 vs. 2.9 months, HR: 1.33, 95% CI: 0.80–2.20; ORR: 22.5% vs. 33.3%) (26). In conclusion, T-DM1 did not show significant efficacy and safety in the treatment of HER2+ AGC patients. The failure of these results could be related to the high heterogeneity of HER2 expression and the difference in HER2 expression between metastatic and primary lesions.

**Trastuzumab Deruxtecan**

Trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201a) has distinctive characteristics, which is an advantageous treatment option for patients with HER2-low expressing GC (27). The linker is enzymatically tetrapeptide-based and the upregulated lysosomal cathepsins can make the linker selectively cleaved. The payload, topoisomerase I inhibitor (DXd), is a superior derivative of exatecan. Its DAR is approximately 7–8 (28). Due to the remarkable results of the DESTINY-Breast01 trial (NCT03248492) (29), DS-8201a has been approved for the therapy of HER2+ breast cancer patients who have previously received at least two prior therapies in December 2019 (30).

There were various studies on DS-8201a as a treatment for HER2+ GC patients. A phase I trial (NCT02564900) was designed to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy and clinical safety of DS-8201a for AGC/GEJ patients. As for the safety profile, the most common any-grade AEs were concentrated on gastrointestinal reactions and hematological disorders including nausea (70%), decreased appetite (68%), and anemia (41%). The most frequently occurring grade ≥3 AEs were decreased neutrophil count (31%) and anemia (30%).
The effectiveness of DS-8201a is shown by the following results: the ORR was 43.2% (95% CI: 28.3%–59.0%), the disease control rate (DCR) was 79.5% (95% CI: 64.7%–90.2%), the median time to response (mTTR) was 1.4 months (95% CI: 1.3–1.6), the median duration of response (mDOR) was 7.0 months (95% CI: 4.4–16.6), and the mPFS was 5.6 months (95% CI: 3.0–8.3) (28). From the above results, DS-8201a has demonstrated a relatively secure safety and preliminary antitumor ability. One hundred and eighty-seven HER2+ AGC/GEJ patients were enrolled in the DESTINY-Gastric01 study (NCT03329690) to receive DS-8201a (125 patients) or chemotherapy (62 patients). Compared with chemotherapy, DS-8201a improved the ORR (51% vs. 14%) and improve antitumor capacities in humans (34).

In a dose-escalation and dose-expansion phase I study (NCT02881190), RC48 was recognized as a prospectively tolerable and potential agent for HER2+ AGC therapy. The results indicated that the most tolerated dose (MTD) was uncertain, and the recommended phase 2 dose (RP2D) was 2.5 mg/kg. As for the toxicity analysis, the overall incidence of any-grade AEs was 94.7%. The dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) had dose-dependent properties and the incidence of DLT-associated AEs was 5.3%. The most generally occurring TRAEs were mild blood/lymphatic system/gastrointestinal disorders and the most common grade ≥3 TRAEs were neutropenia (19.3%), leukopenia (17.5%), and hypesthesia (14.0%). It was worth mentioning that these GC patients (HER2: IHC2+/FISH− vs. IHC2+/FISH+ vs. IHC3+) had different responses (ORR: 35.7% vs. 20% vs. 13.6%), which implied that RC48 also improved the survival benefit of patients with HER2-low expressing GC (35). Furthermore, the results of a phase II trial (NCT03556345) showed that patients who received RC48 got prospective effectiveness (ORR: 24.8%, 95% CI: 17.5%–33.3%; mDOR: 4.7 months, 95% CI: 3.4–6.9 months; DCR: 42.4%, 95% CI: 33.6%–51.6%; mPFS: 4.1 months, 95% CI: 3.7–4.9 months; mOS: 7.9 months, 95% CI: 6.7–9.9 months). As reported, the incidence rates of any-grade and grade ≥3 AEs were 100% and 56.8%, respectively. There was no death associated with RC48 (15) (Table 2).

RC48 consists of the novel mAb hertuzumab, a cleavable dipeptide linker, and the microtubule inhibitor monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) with a DAR of approximately 4 (32). MMAE plays a multifunctional role in inhibiting tubulin polymerization, resulting in efficient tumor regression. Hertuzumab has a superior affinity to other HER2-targeted mAbs which indicates a more efficient combination (33). It has been confirmed that RC48 can selectively deliver MMAE to the targeted tumor tissue in in-vitro and in-vivo trials. The targeted transport and release of RC48 can reduce systemic side effects and improve antitumor capacities in humans (34).
for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic GC/GEJ in June 2021.

**ADC Targeting GCC: TAK-264**

GCC plays an important role in regulating intestinal fluid and ion secretion and inhibiting cell proliferation. GCC mRNA is overexpression in the peripheral blood and tumor tissues (36). It is the anatomically privileged localization that makes the high expression level of malignancy and a low expression level of normal tissue (37). TAK-264, a novel emerging ADC, consists of an IgG1 mAb, the payload MMAE, and a protease-cleavable linker (peptide maleimide-caproyl-valine-citrulline). TAK-264 has been investigated for its preliminary efficacy in killing GCC-overexpressing animal models (38).

The safety and clinical benefit of TAK-264 for advanced gastrointestinal cancer (GI) patients were investigated in a phase I study (NCT01577758). As reported, the MTD was 1.8 mg/kg and all patients had different grades of AEs. The most common TRAEs were nausea and decreased appetite (each with 41%), fatigue (32%), and diarrhea (27%). The most generally occurring grade ≥3 TRAEs included neutropenia (22%) and hypokalemia and febrile neutropenia (each with 7%). Of the 41 total enrolled patients, 1 patient achieved partial response, while 3 cases achieved stable disease. The mPFS of the overall population was 44 days (95% CI: 39–83). These outcomes indicated that TAK-264 had limited activity and a well-tolerated safety profile (16) (Table 2). Furthermore, a clinical trial (NCT02391038), designed to identify the efficacy of TAK-264 in Asian GI/GEJ patients, has reached similar conclusions (39). Even though the above findings demonstrated the finite clinical benefit of TAK-264, this agent was still full of prospects owing to its high expression frequency in GI cancer.

**ADCs Targeting Trop-2**

Trop-2, also known as tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2 (TACSTD2), has oncogenic properties which promote the process of tumor cell proliferation and metastasis. About 56% of GC patients who exhibited overexpression of Trop-2 were associated with a poor prognosis (40). Sacituzumab govitecan (IMMU-132) was the first Trop-2-targeted ADC approved by the FDA for the treatment of metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC), which was comprised of the RS7, the payload SN-38, and a cleavable CL2A linker with a DAR of 7.6 (41–43).

A total of 495 patients with metastatic epithelial cancer (including gastric adenocarcinoma, mTNBC, and other 16 malignant tumors) received IMMU-132 in the IMMU-132-01 study (NCT01631552). The result of this phase I/II basket trial showed preliminary therapeutic activity (17, 44) (Table 2). SKB-264 is the other Trop-2-targeted ADC, which is optimized with proprietary cytotoxicity. It consists of a belotecan-derived payload and a novel stable conjugation chemistry with a DAR of 7.4. Preclinical research data indicated that SKB-264 had impressive anticancer activity in Trop-2-positive GC animal models, which also had good safety and tolerability (45). Further clinical trials are still needed to confirm the treatment effects on the Trop-2-overexpressing AGC patients.

**Other ADCs Under Development**

CLDN18.2 participated in maintaining the tight junction between cells and affected the permeability of paracellular ions (46). Its characteristic of being a stomach-specific isofrom made it a prospective target for the treatment of GC (47). LZ1904, a component of CLDN18.2, has shown significantly selective antitumor ability in vitro, which was worthy of further trials in vivo. However, the clinical trials of CLDN18.2 were in the initial stages (18).

The overexpression of HER3 in AGC was associated with unsatisfactory prognosis. EV20/NMS-P945, a novel ADC targeting HER3, was composed of the EV20; the thienoindole (TEI) NMS-P528, a derivative of doxorubicin; and a cleavable linker. The preclinical study found that EV20/NMS-P945 had good anticancer activity on GC cells and mouse xenograft tumor models, which indicated that this agent could be a potent tool against HER3-expressing AGC (19).

**DRUG RESISTANCE TO ADCS**

Drug resistance was the main hindrance to ADC treatment. The mechanism of resistance of ADCs was complex and diverse (48). Firstly, the downregulation or deletion of target antigens could be recognized by the mAb component of ADCs. Loganzo et al. proved that increasing the cycle exposure of ADCs could significantly reduce the level of antigen expression (49). In other aspects, van der Velden et al. illustrated that if drug exposure was reduced, the efficacy of ADC would be reduced due to the high expression of antigens (50). Secondly, ADCs released cytotoxic payloads through chemical or enzymatic cleavage functions in lysosomes. Pandiella et al. demonstrated that it was a disordered lysosomal proteolytic activity that resulted in drug resistance to T-DM1 (51). In addition, Hamblett et al. found that the reduction of lysosome transporters such as SLC46A3 inhibited the efficacy of numerous cytotoxic drugs (52). Thirdly, overexpression of drug efflux pumps was also associated with drug resistance (53). In addition, there were several potential mechanisms of resistance including internalization and transport pathways, apoptotic dysregulation, signaling pathways, etc. (54).

**OUTLOOK AND SUMMARY**

Gastric cancer is a highly heterogeneous tumor, which needs novel treatment methods to improve the prognosis of patients. HER2 heterogeneities of GC including organizational heterogeneity, spatial heterogeneity, and temporal heterogeneity were investigated (55). In recent years, immune checkpoint inhibitors and chimeric antigen receptor T cells (CAR-T) have shown positive efficacy in AGC. The regimen of pembrolizumab combined with trastuzumab and chemotherapy has received accelerated approval from the FDA based on its...
excellent effectiveness, which has become a first-line anti-HER2 therapy for GC (56).

ADCs, emerging as innovative and potential anticancer drugs, have markedly improved the prognostic efficacy and medication safety in AGC patients (57). Multiple ADCs including DS-8201a and RC48 have achieved gratifying outcomes and were approved for the treatment of HER2-positive AGC. Meanwhile, preclinical studies and various clinical trials have indicated encouraging findings in different targets other than HER2 (58). Nevertheless, it was undeniable that several issues were supposed to be resolved. The limitations such as the AEs of ADCs were correlated with off-target payloads. It is worth to optimize each ADC component (including antibody, conjugation site, linker, etc.) to improve its efficacy and safety (59).

In conclusion, ADCs are valid and well-tolerated anticancer drugs, whose development is a great breakthrough in tumor therapy and the linchpin of AGC treatment. In the future, optimizing each ADC component and better understanding potential modifications can make ADCs individualized and accurate. The research and development of ADCs will further improve the prognosis of AGC patients.
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