Food Handling Practices and Microbial Quality in Street Food
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Abstract The great relevance of evaluating the sanitary-hygienic conditions in the street food vending sites, as a means to identify risks and prevent food-originated diseases. In this paper, street food trades are analyzed in Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brazil. To accomplish this, a study of a cross-sectional, observational and descriptive kind was carried out from July 2016 to March 2017. Good practice checklists based on legislation and research were applied in that group of street vendors and 20 samples of the food commercialized were analyzed. The places visited presented average adequacy equal to 44%, as from the analysis of the checklists, various items pointing to the need for improvement, mainly in relation to sanitary license and environmental conditions. Coliforms at 35 °C, molds and yeasts, as well as Staphylococcus spp. were detected in all food samples analyzed, hot dogs standing out as the food with the highest microbial load. This indicates that the organizations must supervise sanitary conditions and offer to street food vendors education programs to contribute to adequate hygiene practices. This is essential to improve the quality of the foods sold in the streets and prevent foodborne diseases.
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1. Introduction

The number of people who choose to eat outside home is increasing. This type of food is gaining more space in the market due to the practicality and time saving it offers [1]. Thus, there was an increase consumption of meals in restaurants, snack bars and in street trades [2]. Street food can be located near to car parks, railway stations, hospitals, schools, office centers and marketplaces [3]. Street foods are popular among urban people because they are cheap, convenient and attractive [2,4]. Street foods are defined as “foods and beverages prepared and/or sold by vendors in streets and other public places for immediate consumption or consumption at a later time without further processing or preparation” [4].

Currently, street food is common in most cities and towns in developing and developed countries. In areas where the local economy is experiencing serious crises, it is possible to easily verify the progress of the itinerant food trade. People start adopting sale food as a source of extra income, which assists in the home economy and may be the only means of obtaining income from unemployed individuals. People with a low level of education and who have difficulties and restrictions on getting a job find mobile commerce an opportunity to generate income [2,3]. However, food marketed in the streets causes great concern to food safety agencies due to inappropriate and poor conditions of production and sale verified in some trades [5].

The control of food quality is fundamental, as inadequate hygiene practices of food handlers and food preparation environments can lead to contamination of food [8]. From 2007 to 2016, 6,632 outbreaks of Foodborne Illness (DOA) were reported in Brazil, 118,104 patients, 17,186 hospitalizations due to DOAs and 109 deaths; 43.8% of reported outbreaks occurred in the Southeast Region and the main signs and symptoms reported were diarrhea, abdominal pain, vomiting and nausea [9]. To prevent the occurrence of these diseases, it is necessary to implement good practices in food services. Norms and procedures for proper food handling should be considered in preparation [10]. Thus, the microbiological characteristics of ready-to-eat food may be a consequence of the practice or not of good practices, resulting in the inadequate sanitary quality of foods [10,11].

Street food trade is still unregulated in some places. A specific legislation regarding the preparation, preservation and sale of this type could help to guarantee food safety. In this sense, it is important to carry out studies to evaluate hygienic sanitary conditions of street food marketing, since they are, in most cases, perishable, ready for consumption and are a reality Brazil [12]. The objective of this study was to evaluate the hygienic and sanitary conditions of street food trades in the city of Vitória, ES, Brazil.
2. Material and Methods

A cross-sectional, exploratory and descriptive study was carried out, in which, from July 2016 to March 2017, 60 street food trades located in Vitória-ES were evaluated and each trade were visited once time. Since it is informal commerce without registration of the total quantity, the choice of locations was convenient. Itinerant sampling was performed to compose the study population. Wandering trades located in regions of great flow of people were chosen, such as in the vicinity of hospitals, leisure plazas, universities, schools and on the seashore of the city. The application of the checklist was carried out in point of sale, without prior notification. The researchers performed the information in an observational way following checklist’s items.

2.1. Assessment of Good Handling Practices

The data were collected through a checklist of good practices structured with 35 items based on Resolution 216/2004 [13] and research developed by Torres [14] e Pierre [15]. The list is divided into two parts: trade identification and evaluation of hygienic and sanitary conditions. This list was composed of items related to the conditions of the trolleys (tents), equipment and utensils used in the preparation and manipulation of food (8 items); food handlers (6 items); good practices in food handling (17 items); environmental conditions surrounding the trade (3 items) and information related to the sanitary license (1 item). Each item had three possible answers: "C" - Conform/Adequate; "NC" - Not compliant / Inadequate; "NA" - Not apply.

2.2. Microbiological Quality of Food

After the application of the checklist, the main foods commercialized were identified and samples of these were collected. Food was collected during without prior notification and was obtained in the form of purchase. Researchers responsible for collecting the samples packed the food in previously sterilized plastic bags and transported in isothermal boxes. The samples were kept under refrigeration at 7°C ± 1°C, for a maximum of 24 hours until the analysis. Each food trades sold one of the foods selected for microbiological analysis.

The procedures used in this step were performed according to the methodology of the American Public Health Association (APHA), described in the Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods [16]. Sample (25 g) was weighed and 225 mL of 0.1% peptone water sterilized was added. After this, appropriate decimal dilutions were prepared and aliquots of those were transferred to specific culture media for the determination of each microbial group. Coliforms 35°C and Escherichia coli were performed by the Petrifilm™ technique (3M® Company, St. Paul, MN, USA), following the recommendations of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [17]. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h and result was expressed in CFU/g. For analysis of Staphylococcus spp., Baird Parker Agar with 1% potassium tellurite solution and 50% egg yolk emulsion was used. After inoculation, plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 hours. Molds and yeast were analyzed by the Petrifilm® YM (3M®) system and then were incubated at 25°C for 7 days. For analysis of Salmonella sp., the samples were pre-enriched in flasks containing 225 mL of 1% peptone water sterilized. After 24h incubation, the aliquots were transferred to enrichment broths in the selective liquid media (Rappaport Vassiliadis Broth and Selenite Cystine Broth). From each enrichment broth, an aliquot was streaked onto the previously dried surface of plates with Salmonella Shigella, and Brilliant Green Agar, so as to obtain isolated colonies. The plates were then incubated, inverted, at 36 ± 1°C for 18 to 24 h.

Descriptive statistics were performed using percentage values. An analysis was made as to the percentage of the adequacy of the 5 blocks in checklist. For the calculation of adequacy percentages, when "NA" was selected for any item, this was not included in evaluated items counted.

The results of the microbiological analyzes were expressed in CFU/g or CFU/mL. The results obtained were compared with the national standard established by legislation [18].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Good Handling Practices

In street food trades, 72.1% commercialized beverages (coconut water, soft drinks, juices, mineral water, coffee, beer and liquor), meats (hot dogs, steak, hamburgers, meats), candy, pies, cakes, and salted preparations. Other commercialized products such as cooked corn, pasta, and popcorn. In 40% of street food trades, there was only one food handler, 38% had two, and 22% had three or more. The average percentage of adequacy for checklist applied in street food trades was equal 44%. In addition to the general evaluation, the checklist was block classified (Figure 1). The block that received the lowest percentage of adequation was ‘Sanitary license’ (Figure 1).

In the area of most points of sale, the presence of garbage and animals was observed. Another inadequate item observed was the presence of uncovered dumps in 51.6% (n = 31) of street trades. In the same item, it was observed that in 11.6% (n = 7) of trades there were dumps in suitable conditions (lid, pedal and covered with plastic bags). Also, was observed there was no recipient for trash or existed bags or cardboard boxes. This fact is problematic since garbage accumulated and inadequately conditioned is a risk factor to attract insects and rodents for street food trades [19]. A similar failure was observed in the study conducted in Taubaté, São Paulo, Brazil in which only 18.4% of the street trades had a bin with a lid [19].

Aluko et al [20] found that 73.8% of the trades visited in Nigeria shared utensils among raw and cooked products. Monteiro [21] observed that in 31.2% of the eastern region of Belo Horizonte-MG there were no dumps with a lid. Establishments without signs of insects and rodents are characteristics that demonstrate hygienic and sanitary conditions and care aimed at the prevention of consumer health.
In the evaluation of the "food handlers" block, it was observed that 95% (n = 57) of the evaluated trades presented inadequacy in the execution of hand washing before handling food. Elsewhere, vendors used strategies such as gloves and alcohol gel before returning to food handling. According to legislation [13], handlers must adopt procedures that minimize the risk of contamination of prepared foods by antisepsis of the hands and by the use of disposable utensils or gloves [8]. The absence of hand washing and food and money handling by the same trader lead to the highest risk of contaminated hands [12]. Silva et al. [22] found that 22.6% of vendors did not disinfect their hands while working and 80.2% touch food and money at the same time. This situation was commonly observed in the Vitoria trades since in 40% (n = 24) of the evaluated trades there was only one seller.

Commercialization place is a critical point for possible contamination of street food since it can present insufficient environmental conditions and can attract insects and rodents [2]. The absence of insect and vector protection was additional identified fault in the food production. Due to environmental contamination and nonexistence of running water or potable water storage, the food safety conditions in these locations are unreasonable [23].

Regarding personal hygiene, it was observed that in only 41.7% (n = 25) of the sales points there was adequacy. In these places was observed inappropriate conditions about personal hygiene. They do not cover mustaches, beard or long hair with hair net and have long nails. Some of the vendors wear jewelry while handling food. A similar result was found in a study by Brandalize et al [24] which evaluated itinerant trades in the city of Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil, and observed 44.9% of food handlers compliance with this item. According to Resolution 216/2004 [13], food handlers must have short and unglazed nails; and before food handling, all personal adornment objects must be removed.

In the evaluation of the block referring to "trolleys (tents), equipment and utensils" it was observed that in 98% (n = 53) of the points of sale, the hygiene of the utensils was not carried out during the food commercialization. In addition, in 62% (n = 36) of the itinerant trades, utensils such as pickers, spoons, and knives were exposed to environmental conditions without protection against possible contamination. Poorly cleaned surfaces and benches represent a risk of food contamination [2,8,19]. Therefore, the hygiene surfaces are considered critical in street food and care should be applied to prevent contamination and proliferation of microorganisms [16]. It is important that the utensils are covered during storage to prevent them from coming in contact with dust suspended by the wind and insects landing on them [25].

Regarding the evaluation of good practices in food handling, in 73% (n = 44) of street food places the activities of the vendors can favor cross-contamination because were not standardized. Cross-contamination risk harms public health [26].

Performed with the required frequency in 48% (n = 29) of the street trades. This fact, according to Brandalize et al. [24], can contribute to the accumulation of residues. We observed that 63% of the street traders evaluated did not carry out the cleaning preparation area. In a research conducted in Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil, in 70% of the visited points were observed not satisfactorily hygienization procedure [15].

In this study was observed that most of the itinerant trades (90%) did not keep the license to operate the vehicle or equipment in a place visible to the public. In a study conducted by Silva et al. [27] it was found that 75% of street vendors operated without health surveillance regulations. In this way, health surveillance assesses the condition of establishments and existing protection practices for risk prevention [28]. Therefore, itinerant trades, because they provide health-related services, require the sanitary license for proper functioning. In establishments that do not exist licensing with the sanitary surveillance, control and inspection actions do not occur, so the final product may present health risks to society [28].

Despite the importance of the sanitary food control, there is no specific federal legislation for itinerant
commerce in Brazil, and sanitary control of this segment is the responsibility of municipalities. In Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brazil, there is legislation for food trade. However, there are no specific regulations for the preparation, preservation and sale of such foods.

3.2. Microbiological Quality of Food

The main foods traded by street vendors in Vitória-ES, identified from the checklist, were savory snacks, coconut water, and hot dogs. It was observed that savory snacks (like ‘coxinha’, ‘kibe’, esfiha and others commonly consumed in Brazil) were prepared at home and sold on the streets. For coconut water, frequently marketed in coastal cities of Brazil, this was obtained from the coconut that was cut at the time of the commercialization and put in equipment to promote cooling. Hot dogs were assembled at the time of sale, but the stuffing and sauces were prepared before commercialization. It is important to note that, in many occasions, these trades do not have an appropriate place for food storage. These situations may have favored the occurrence of microorganisms in the food analyzed (Table 1).

There is no established standard legislation for coliforms at 35°C. However, these microorganisms are important because they can indicate the hygienic and sanitary conditions during product processing or post-processing. These microorganisms can be transmitted by the hand of food handlers with unsatisfactory hygiene habits, by insects or water. However, they can be destroyed by heat.

The presence of coliforms 35°C was confirmed in all food groups analyzed. This result points to failures in food handling. In agreement with this microbiological result, the checklist of good practices showed that in 95% (n = 57) of the evaluated sites there was no adequacy for the correct hygiene of the hands before handling food. This problem probably contributed to the obtained results.

The highest load of this microbial group was identified in hot dogs. In a study conducted by Ferretti and Alexandrino, in Terra Boa, Paraná, Brazil, 100% of the hot dogs analyzed had coliforms at 35°C [28]. In a study developed by Alves, it was verified the presence of coliform contamination at 35°C in 30% of the samples of hot dogs of ambulant trades of the city of Uberaba-MG [29].

The maximum permitted limit by the legislation for coliforms 45°C is 10² MPN/g. In the present study, E. coli analysis was conducted. However, none of the analyzed samples present these microorganisms. Kothe et al. [30] observed unsatisfactory levels of coliforms at 35°C. Results indicated unsatisfactory levels of foodborne pathogens in food sold in Beirut, Lebanon.

The microbiological results of the present study showed a higher level of contamination in hot dogs. Although they are not considered high-risk preparation, since the elaboration process is simple, many steps are not performed and involve little handling. In addition, the ingredients that make up this sandwich are generally industrialized, which may generate less risk, and the sausage is heated and kept warm for snacking. However, due to manipulation failures, the hot dogs had the highest microbial load for Staphylococcus spp, and coliforms at 35°C. Results indicated unsatisfactory levels and this fact could be related to improper environmental conditions, money handling and inappropriate methods of storage.

Microbiological food quality is an important factor to define safety. The presence of foodborne pathogens causes risk on public health [33]. The many complexities include the exposure of raw supplies to unprotected environmental conditions and bad practices can favor the unsatisfactory quality of food [33,34].

Table 1 - Microbiological analysis results (CFU/g or CFU/mL) of foods sold in street trades of Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brazil.

| Food           | Coliform a 35°C | E. coli | Staphylococcus sp. | Molds and yeasts | Salmonella sp. |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Savory Snacks  | <10⁴ - 1,5x10⁴  | Nd      | 1x10⁴ - 1,6x10⁴    | 8,0x10⁴ - 2,4x10⁴| Absent         |
| (n=10)         |                 |         |                    |                  |                |
| Coconut Water  | 9,6x10² - 2,5x10⁴ | Nd  | <10² - 2,0x10⁴     | <10² - 1,4x10⁴   | Absent         |
| (n=6)          |                 |         |                    |                  |                |
| Hog dog        | 2,3x10² - 2,5x10⁴ | Nd  | 4,3x10⁴ - 1,7x10⁴  | 1,2x10² - 5,4x10³ | Absent         |
| (n=6)          |                 |         |                    |                  |                |
*Nd = not detect.
4. Conclusion

The sanitary and hygienic conditions of the itinerant trade were considered inadequate due to failures in handling and storage practices as well as the microbiological contamination. The main inadequacy detected by the checklist was related to the absence of a sanitary license and environmental conditions. The results of this research may contribute to alert the population and health authorities about the risk of street food in the city of Vitória-ES. There is also a need for capacity-building of retailers, the development and application of adequate sanitary standards for the street food.
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