Planetary companions around the metal-poor star HIP 11952
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We carried out a radial-velocity survey to search for planets around metal-poor stars. In this paper we report the discovery of two planets around HIP 11952, a metal-poor star with [Fe/H] = −1.9 that belongs to our target sample.

Methods. Radial velocity variations of HIP 11952 were monitored systematically with FEROS at the 2.2 m telescope located at the ESO La Silla observatory from August 2009 until January 2011. We used a cross-correlation technique to measure the stellar radial velocities (RV).

Results. We detected a long-period RV variation of 290 d and a short-period one of 6.95 d. The spectroscopic analysis of the stellar activity reveals a stellar rotation period of 4.8 d. The Hipparcos photometry data shows intra-day variabilities, which give evidence for stellar pulsations. Based on our analysis, the observed RV variations are most likely caused by the presence of unseen planetary companions. Assuming a primary mass of 0.83 $M_\odot$, we computed minimum planetary masses of 0.78 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the inner and 2.93 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the outer planet. The semi-major axes are $a_1 = 0.07$ AU and $a_2 = 0.81$ AU, respectively.

Conclusions. HIP 11952 is one of very few stars with [Fe/H] < −1.0 which have planetary companions. This discovery is important to understand planet formation around metal-poor stars.
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1. Introduction

Current results of the exoplanet surveys strongly suggest a correlation between a star’s stellar metallicity and its probability of hosting planets, in particular for main-sequence stars (e.g., Fischer & Valenti 2005; Johnson et al. 2010). According to these studies, the detection rate of planets decreases with metallicity. However, the conclusions of Fischer & Valenti (2005) might be affected by an observational bias, since these authors did not have similar numbers of stars in their survey per bin of metallicity. Therefore, it is crucial to understand if either the high stellar metallicity triggers planet formation or the metal enhancement of stars is caused by the formation of planets.

In the last years, exoplanet surveys tried to bridge this gap, starting to include more metal-poor stars in their samples. Sozzetti et al. (2009) conducted a three-year RV survey to look for planets around metal-poor stars down to [Fe/H] = −2.0 and found no evidence for short-period giant planets within 2 AU from the central star. Santos et al. (2011) performed a similar survey, only down to [Fe/H] = −1.4 and found three moderately metal-poor stars hosting a long period giant planets ($P > 700$ d).

A hot Saturn and a hot Jupiter have been found transiting two moderate metal-poor stars, with [Fe/H] = −0.46 and −0.4, respectively (Bouchy et al. 2010; Simpson et al. 2011).

In June 2009 we started a survey to search for planets around metal-poor stars. The target sample includes 96 metal-poor A and F stars. Our target list includes stars with metallicities in the range $−4.0 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq −0.5$. As part of this work, Setiawan et al. (2010) found a planet around an extremely metal-poor red horizontal branch star with a short period of 16.2 d. We notice that its [Fe/H] = −2.1 is not included in the metallicity range covered by the surveys of Sozzetti et al. (2009) and Santos et al. (2011).

These recent observations have started to disclose the realm of planets at rather low stellar metallicities, indicating that metallicity may not be the main driver of planet formation. Clearly, more statistics is needed to obtain robust conclusions. In this framework, we report the detection of two planetary companions around HIP 11952 as a result of our RV planet survey around metal-poor stars.

The paper is organized as follows: Observations and data reduction are presented in Sect. 2. The stellar parameters of HIP 11952 are shown in Sect. 3.1 together with the most relevant information available for this star in the literature. In Sect. 3.2 we describe the RV and photometric analysis. The detection of the planetary companion is addressed in Sect. 4. Discussion and conclusions are given in Sects. 5 and 6, respectively.

2. Observations and data reduction

We observed HIP 11952 from August 2009 until January 2011 with FEROS at the 2.2 m Max-Planck Gesellschaft/European
Southern Observatory (MPG/ESO) telescope, located at ESO-La Silla observatory, during the MPG guarantee time. FEROS has a resolution of \( R = 48,000 \) and a wavelength coverage of 370–920 nm (Kaufer & Pasquini 1998). The long-term RV precision of FEROS was measured across a period of 6 years, from December 2003 until January 2010, using the standard RV precision of FEROS was measured across a period of 6 years, from December 2003 until January 2010, using the standard RV precision of FEROS.

The data reduction was performed with a software package in the ESO-MIDAS environment available online at the telescope. The procedure of the RV computation from the FEROS spectra is described in Setiawan et al. (2003) and based on a cross-correlation technique. The spectra of HIP 11952 were cross-correlated with a template of an F dwarf star which best matches the spectral type of HIP 11952.

3. Analysis

In this section we present the analysis of the stellar parameters and RVs derived from the FEROS spectra.

3.1. The star HIP 11952

HIP 11952 (HD 16031; LP 710-89) was previously classified as an F0 dwarf star (e.g., Wright et al. 2003; Kharchenko & Roeser 2009) and as a giant G8 (e.g. Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006; Cenarro et al. 2007).

Our spectral classification was carried out by comparing the FEROS spectrum of HIP 11952 with spectra from the Indo-US library (Valdés et al. 2004) of metal-poor stars with different luminosity classes and spectral types. The FEROS spectrum was convolved to the resolution of the Indo-US spectral library (1 Å). Following the spectral classification criteria presented by Gray & Corbally (2009), we used some lines (Fe\( ^{ii} \), Ti\( ^{ii} \) which are sensitive to the luminosity class of the star as well as other iron (Fe\( ^{i} \)) and calcium (Ca\( ^{i} \)) lines which do not vary with the luminosity class (Fig. 1). From this comparison we concluded that HIP 11952 is an F2V star. However, this result has to be confirmed by an independent spectroscopic analysis of the stellar spectra, as we present below.

HIP 11952 is at a distance of 115.3 pc as derived from the parallax measurements given in the Hipparcos catalogue (Perryman 1997). Fundamental parameters of this star were determined using our high-resolution FEROS spectra. In particular, the metallicity of the star, effective temperature and surface gravity were computed using the synthetic spectra from the 1D ATLAS models (Kurucz 1993; Kurucz 2005), and the fit of the H\( \alpha \) line to the CO5BOLD 3D model atmosphere (Caffau et al. 2011). The atomic data of the iron lines are from the Large Program “First Stars” lead by R. Cayrel, optimized for metal-poor stars (see Sivarani et al. 2004). The first attempt of abundance analysis was based on 1D ATLAS model atmospheres computed using the version 9 of the code ATLAS (Kurucz 1993; Kurucz 2005) running under Linux (Sbordone et al. 2004; Sbordone 2005). We derived a temperature of 5960 K by fitting the H\( \alpha \) wing with a grid of synthetic spectra computed from ATLAS models, and a temperature of 6120 K when we used a grid of synthetic spectra based on 3D models.

By imposing an agreement between the iron abundance derived from the lines of Fe\( ^{i} \) and the lines of Fe\( ^{ii} \), we derived surface gravities log \( g \) of 3.8 and 4.0 for the two cases of \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5960 \) K and 6120 K, respectively. The uncertainty of the effective temperature derived from the fit of the H\( \alpha \) is 150 K, while the error in the surface gravity is 0.3. A microturbulence of 1.4 km s\(^{-1} \) was derived by minimizing the slope of the abundance versus equivalent width (EW) relation. The resulting iron abundance derived is [Fe/H] = −1.95 ± 0.09 for \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5960 \) K and [Fe/H] = −1.85 ± 0.09 for \( T_{\text{eff}} = 6120 \) K, respectively.

We thus obtained two possible parameter sets for this star: \( T_{\text{eff}}, \log g, [\text{Fe/H]} = (5960 \, \text{K}, 3.8, \approx -1.95) \) (1D ATLAS) and \( (6120 \, \text{K}, 4.0, -1.85) \) (3D MODELS). We measured an EW = 3.07 ± 0.03 mA of the Li feature at 670.7 nm, which implies an abundance A(Li) = 2.2 if we fix \( T_{\text{eff}} = 6120 \) K, and A(Li) = 2.1 in the case \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5960 \) K.

Feltzing et al. (2001) derived a stellar age of 12.8 ± 2.6 Gyr by comparing the Strömgren photometry of HIP 11952 with the evolutionary tracks computed for the Strömgren metallicity ([m/H] = −1.54) of the star. We checked their result using the photometry provided by Hipparcos for HIP 11952 in the Bessell filters system (Bessell 2000) with the isochrones by Marigo et al. (2008) and Girardi et al. (2010), calculated for the Strömgren metallicity of the star. In the assumption that the dust extinction along the line of sight to HIP 11952 is not large, the Hipparcos photometry indicates, within its uncertainty, an age older than 10 Gyr for this star.

We used the isochrones by Marigo et al. (2008) and Girardi et al. (2010) computed for [m/H] = −1.54 and ages in the range 10–13 Gyr in order to consistently derive the mass and radius of HIP 11952. By comparing its photometry with the selected isochrones, we constrained its mass between 0.79 \( M_\odot \) and
From the relation between surface gravity, stellar mass and stellar radius:

$$\frac{R}{R_\odot} = \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot g_\odot}{M_\odot \cdot g}}$$

(1)

we derived a stellar radius of $1.6 \pm 0.1 R_\odot$, where the error is obtained from the errors propagation. The derived stellar mass and radius adopted in this work are: $0.83^{+0.04}_{-0.05} M_\odot$ and $1.6 \pm 0.1 R_\odot$.

Fundamental stellar parameters have also been estimated in previous studies (e.g., Masana et al. 2006; Charbonnel et al. 2005). The corresponding values are $T_{\text{eff}} = 6367$ K, a stellar radius $R_\star = 1.0 R_\odot$ and surface gravity log $g = 4.1$. Feltzing et al. (2001) reported $m = 0.785 M_\odot$. If we compile all literature values for the surface gravity given in Cayrel de Strobel et al. (2001) and use $R_\star = 1.0 R_\odot$, we obtain a mean value $m = 0.55 \pm 0.23 M_\odot$. Within the errors, these values are consistent with those we derived, although the stellar radius is smaller than the one we adopted. Finally, we compared the derived stellar parameters with those given by Casagrande et al. (2010). These authors measured log $g = 4.17$, [Fe/H] = $-1.74$ and $T_{\text{eff}} = 6186$ K. Within the uncertainties, these values are in good agreement with our determination.

Based on the surface gravity and radius derived from our analysis and the available literature data, HIP 11952 is more likely a star already evolved off the main-sequence, roughly sitting at the base of the subgiant branch.

Astrometric and photometric data of HIP 11952 can be found in public catalogues (e.g., Hipparcos). The astrometric variability is less than 5 mas, allowing the conclusion that an unseen stellar companion in the system can be ruled out. Nevertheless, older RV measurements from Eggen & Sandage (1959) combined with Carney & Latham (1987) suggested that HIP 11952 may be a spectroscopic binary SB1 (Fouts 1987). During the observation campaigns we obtained 77 RV measurements (Table 2). We applied the generalized Lomb-Scargle (GLS) periodogram (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) to the RV data in order to search for periodicities.

We found several signals in the periodogram, as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2. The four highest signals are marked with P1, P2, P3 and P4. The highest peak P1 has a false alarm probability (FAP) of $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ and corresponds to a period of $290 \pm 16$ d. We computed a Keplerian fit to the data, shown as the solid line in the upper panel of Fig. 2. The parameters of this fit are given in Table 3. After removing the 290 d signal, the peaks P2 and P3 disappear. However, the peak P4 remains, which means that this signal is not an alias of P1. The signal P4 corresponds to a period of 6.95 d with FAP = $8 \times 10^{-4}$. In the residual RV periodogram, we also observed a signal at 1.16 d (Fig. 3 upper panel), which is obviously a harmonic of the 6.95 d period (1/6.95 + 1/1.16 = 1.0). In the lower panel of Fig. 3 we have phase folded the RVs with $P = 6.95$ d and show a Keplerian fit with the parameters listed in Table 3. After excluding stellar activity as the cause for the RV variations in the next sections, we are going to interpret...
The Hatzes (1996). We measured the BVS of the stellar spectra and velocity span (BVS). A definition of the BVS is given, e.g., in the line profile asymmetry can be quantified by the bisector ve-

The projected rotational velocity is also related to the RV variations. We then searched for any periodicity that might be related to the RV vari-

3.3. Stellar rotation
A systematic investigation of the stellar activity is mandatory to avoid wrong interpretations of the observed RV variations. There are several possibilities to probe the stellar activity. The line profile asymmetry (bisector) and the Ca ii lines are known as reliable stellar activity indicators. These activity indicators, if they show periodic variations, can be used to determine the stellar rotation period. Besides the spectroscopic methods, photometric observations are also commonly used to find the stellar rotation period.

HIP 11952 itself is not a star with high stellar activity. It is inferred from the absence of emission cores in Ca ii K (13934) and H (13967). Furthermore, no Hα emission line was observed in the spectra. The projected rotational velocity is also relatively low ($\upsilon \sin i = 5.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) compared to other F-type dwarf stars (de Medeiros & Mayor 1999). Finally, using the relation $P/\sin i = 2\pi R_s/\upsilon \sin i$, the maximum stellar rotation period is found to be $15.7 \pm 2.5 \text{ d}$.

3.3.1. Line profile asymmetry
The line profile asymmetry can be quantified by the bisector velocity span (BVS). A definition of the BVS is given, e.g., in Hatzes (1996). We measured the BVS of the stellar spectra and searched for any periodicity that might be related to the RV variation. In the GLS periodogram of BVS we found, however, no significant peak. Thus, we cannot use the bisector to determine the stellar rotation period. We then searched for a correlation between BVS and RV to find out whether the observed RV variation is due to rotational modulation. We computed a correlation coefficient $c = 0.1$ between the RV and BVS (Fig. 4). This value indicates that the RV variation is not correlated with the BVS. However, it does not give any hint about the stellar rotation period.

3.3.2. Ca ii analysis
As mentioned before we found no emission cores in the Ca ii H & K lines (13934, 3968) which are, in general, excellent stellar activity indicators to probe the rotational modulation of the star. Nevertheless, we investigated to possibility to use Ca ii K lines to find any indication of stellar activity. We calculated the stellar activity index, known as $\delta$-index, similar to the method described in Vaughan et al. (1978) and Santos et al. (2000). We found a small periodicity of 36 d in the periodogram, but the error bar of the individual measurement is large. This value is also close to the typical observational window of about one month. Thus, also by considering $P/\sin i$ value, we do not adopt this as the stellar rotation period.

We exploited the capability of FEROS to investigate the Ca ii lines λ8498, 8662, following the technique presented e.g. by Larson (1993), where Ca ii λ8662 was used to determine the stellar rotation period. Setiawan et al. (2010), for example, used the equivalent width (EW) variations of Ca ii λ8498 as a stellar
Fig. 4. The figure shows the measurements of BVS, plotted against residual RVs. The plot shows no correlation between BVS and RV. We computed a correlation coefficient $c = 0.1$.

Fig. 5. Upper panel: the measurements of Ca\textsuperscript{ii} $\lambda$3934 S-index. Lower panel: the GLS periodogram of Ca\textsuperscript{ii} $\lambda$3934 shows a clear peak corresponding to a period of $P = 36$ d. However, the error bar of the individual measurement is large and the period is close to the observational time window of about 1 month.

3.3.3. Photometric data

Photometric $V$ band observations of HIP 11952 are available in the Hipparcos catalogue. Unfortunately, the data set is very sparse, with 72 photometric measurements over a time span of 893 d. The minimum time-interval between data points is $\approx 0.014$ d, and the typical photometric errors are $\approx 0.02$ mag. Due to long-term gaps of several days in the data set, periodicities of a few days cannot be reliably detected. The sampling allows for the detection of very short-term (few hours) as well as long-term (20 d) variations.

We searched for periodicity in the photometric data using a combination of two periodogram analysis techniques: the Scargle periodogram (Scargle 1982) and the CLEAN algorithm (Roberts 1987). The combination of these two techniques provides a reliable period detection as outlined in several rotational period and variability studies (e.g., Rodríguez-Ledesma et al. 2009).

Based on the Scargle periodogram, we detected significant signals at $P_1 = 0.072$, $P_2 = 0.33$ and at $P_3 = 2$ d. When the CLEAN algorithm is applied, the 2 d signal is removed and therefore, we concluded that it is probably a false peak or alias due to the clumpy data sampling. All other peaks in Fig. 6 have larger FAPs. We have also computed the statistical F-test and a derived FAP from it (Scholz et al. 2004; Rodríguez-Ledesma et al. 2009). The FAP represents the probability that the period found is caused by variations in the photometric noise, and therefore it is independent of the periodogram analysis. The FAP derived for the 0.072 and...
The photometric observations of HIP 11952 show two peaks at $P = 0.3$ and $P = 0.072$ d.

0.33 d detected peaks are 5% and 11%, respectively. Figure 8 shows the phase folded light curves with the periods of 0.072 and 0.33 d.

Due to the quality of the data set, however, it is difficult to ensure the significance of these periods. Our analysis suggests some evidence for short-term photometric variations, which might be interpreted as possible pulsation modes in this F-type star.

Based on the analysis of the stellar activity indicators, we assume a stellar rotation period of 4.8 d, as derived from the Ca II 8662. Additionally, there might be evidence for stellar pulsations with intra-day periodicities.

We computed the orbital solution by using a two-components Keplerian model. In Fig. 9 we show the calculated orbital fit and residual velocities. The orbital parameters are given in Table 3. With a derived primary mass of 0.83 $M_\odot$, we calculated the minimum masses of the companions $m_2 \sin i = 0.78 M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the inner and $m_2 \sin i = 2.93 M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the outer companion. The orbital semi-major axes are 0.07 AU and 0.81 AU, respectively. The planetary orbits have moderate eccentricities of 0.35 and 0.27, which seem to be not unusual, based on the statistics of the eccentricity of exoplanets (see e.g., http://www.exoplanet.eu).

When calculating the orbital solutions, we obtained a relatively large $\sigma(O-C)$ value. A possible explanation to this is the presence of another unseen companion or RV jitter due to the interaction between the two companions. Because of the absence of the emission cores in Ca II H & K, the large $\sigma(O-C)$ is most likely not due to the intrinsic stellar variability.

### Table 3. Orbital parameters for HIP 11952b and c.

| Parameter | Unit | HIP 11952b | HIP 11952c |
|-----------|------|------------|------------|
| $P$ | d | $290.0 \pm 16.2$ | $6.98 \pm 0.01$ |
| $T_0$ | JD | $5402.0 \pm 1.3$ | $5029.2 \pm 0.04$ |
| $e$ | | $0.27 \pm 0.10$ | $0.35 \pm 0.24$ |
| $\omega_1$ | deg | $59.3 \pm 2.5$ | $61.2 \pm 6.6$ |
| $K_1$ | m s$^{-1}$ | $105.2 \pm 14.7$ | $100.3 \pm 19.4$ |
| $m_2 \sin i$ | $M_{\text{Jup}}$ | $2.93 \pm 0.42$ | $0.78 \pm 0.16$ |
| $a$ | AU | $0.81 \pm 0.02$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| $V_0$ | km s$^{-1}$ | $24.365 \pm 0.01$ |  |
| $\sigma(O-C)$ | m s$^{-1}$ |  | $70.22$ |
| $\chi^2$ | | | $1.3$ |

### 4. Planetary companion

Since the long-period and short-period RV variations have different characteristics from those of the stellar activity indicators, we concluded that they are most likely caused by the presence of unseen companions.

When calculating the orbital solutions, we obtained a relatively large $\sigma(O-C)$ value. A possible explanation to this is the presence of another unseen companion or RV jitter due to the interaction between the two companions. Because of the absence of the emission cores in Ca II H & K, the large $\sigma(O-C)$ is most likely not due to the intrinsic stellar variability.
We investigated the residual velocities and found a significant signal at \( \pm 40 \) days. However, the amplitude of the residual RV variations is in the order of the error bars. Moreover, the window function shows also a peak close to this period. To detect further low-amplitude RV variations, more intensive high-precision RV measurements are needed.

From the knowledge of \( P_{\text{rot}}/\sin i = 15.7 \) d (Table 1) and the rotation period \( P = 4.82 \) d, we derived an inclination angle of the stellar rotation of 18°. Assuming that the orbital inclination of the companion does not differ much from the stellar rotation inclination angle, we estimated true companion masses of 2.5 and 9.5 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \).

5. Discussion

A fundamental parameter of HIP 11952 is the stellar metallicity. The metallicity issue here is particularly interesting, since according to the theory of planet formation via core-accretion processes, planetary companions around such metal poor stars like HIP 11952 are not expected. The majority of the planet host main-sequence stars are metal rich (Fig. 10, upper panel).

For dwarf stars, the metallicity generally reflects the initial metallicity at star formation. Assuming that HIP 11952 is a dwarf or a turn off star, its initial low metallicity makes HIP 11952 unusual among the planetary systems discovered so far (Fig. 10).

The both planetary companions around HIP 11952 belong to only few planets that have been discovered in low metallicity systems ([Fe/H] < \(-1.5\)), comparable to HIP 13044 reported by Setiawan et al. (2010). Note that, so far also only few planets have been detected with host star's metallicities \(-1 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -0.5\). This group includes \(-60\%\) main-sequence and \(-40\%\) giants. From the current statistics, about \(50\%\) of the giant planet-host stars have sub-solar metallicities.

Whether the metallicity of a giant reflects its initial metallicity is still under debate. The convective envelopes in giant star are much more extended than in main-sequence stars (e.g. Pasquini et al. 2007) and they might alter their surface chemical abundances.

The presence and formation of planets around metal-poor stars, in particular those with metallicities [Fe/H] < \(-1.5\) are still poorly understood. According to the core accretion theory (e.g., Safronov 1969; Pollack et al. 1996) high metallicity is required for the formation of planets. Alternatively, planets around metal-poor stars could form by gravitational disk instability processes (e.g., Boss 1998) or other mechanisms (see e.g., Nayakshin 2011). According to Nayakshin (2011) planets around such metal-poor stars have no solid cores and may form as a result of the second collapse (H2 dissociation) of their embryos and radial migrations. Further discoveries of planets around metal-poor stars can provide more constraints on currently different planet formation theories.

The planets around HIP 11952 have orbits with moderate eccentricities. To examine the dynamical stability of the system, numerical simulations are necessary to find stable planetary configurations, see e.g., Barnes & Quinn (2004). Following their calculations, systems with two or more planets in large separated orbits are fully stable. The orbits of HIP 11952b and c are in a 42:1 ratio and thus far beyond the 10:1 resonance. Therefore, the system HIP 11952 is most likely fully stable.

Finally, HIP 11952 and its planets are among the oldest planetary systems known. HIP 11952 is also older than typical stellar ages in the Galactic thick disk. A possible connection to a metal-poor stellar stream reported by Arifyanto & Fuchs (2006) is an interesting aspect since it suggests that HIP 11952 might actually belong to a part of the thick disk that has been accreted from a disrupted former satellite galaxy, similar to HIP 13044. The age estimation of 12.8 ± 2.6 Gyr given by Feltzing et al. (2001) is 1 Gyr older than that of HD 114752 which has an estimated age of 11.8 ± 3.9 Gyr. HIP 11952’s age is close to the one of HE 1523-0901, which is the oldest star (13.2 ± 2.7 Gyr) known so far (Friel et al. 2007). The old stellar age is supported by the very low metallicity of HIP 11952 which is typical of Population II stars.

6. Conclusions

We observed RV variations of HIP 11952. The spectroscopic and photometric analysis of the star show that the periodic RV variations are not caused by the intrinsic stellar variability. Based on our analysis, we detected two planetary companions around the metal poor star HIP 11952 with orbital periods of 6.95 d and 290 d. We found evidence for intra-day stellar pulsations and observed a stellar rotation of 4.82 d. We computed the companion’s minimum mass of \( m_1 \sin i = 0.78 M_{\text{Jup}} \) for the inner planet and \( m_2 \sin i = 2.93 M_{\text{Jup}} \) for the outer one. Additional high-precision RV measurements are necessary to improve the orbital solution and put more constraints on the eccentricities. Further RV observations might also reveal the presence of other low-mass companions in the system. From the metal abundance analysis that we carried out, we obtained an average [Fe/H] = \(-1.90 \pm 0.06\) from Fe i and Fe ii, respectively, which makes HIP 11952b and c the first planets discovered around a dwarf or subgiant star with [Fe/H] < \(-1.5\). This discovery is also remarkable since the planetary system most likely belong to the first generation of planetary systems in the Milky Way.
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