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Abstract: A new temnospondyl, Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov., is described on the basis of an almost complete specimen from the lower Permian Prosečné Formation of the Czech Krkonoše Piedmont Basin. The exceptional preservation of the holotype allows a detailed description of the cranial and postcranial characters: Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov. is characterized by the presence of vomerine fangs located anteriorly to the posterior choana, much longer than wide tabulars – as long as the supratemporals, a deeply concave posterior margin of the skull table, extraordinarily elongated exoccipitals, anteriorly widened pterygoids and a parasphenoid cultriform process swollen at its mid-length. In order to clarify the relationship between this new taxon and Intasuchus silvicola of Konzhukova, 1956 from the lower Permian (late Kungurian) of the Russian Inta Basin, the latter is also redescribed here: Intasuchus silvicola exhibits a lacrimal entering the septomaxilla, a widened jugal, a posterolaterally widened interpterygoid vacuity, a subtemporal window elongated anteriorly up to the anterior orbital region, an elongated basipterygoid ramus which is as long as the orbit width, a vomer with a paracoanal tooth row, and an ectopterygoid of about the same length as the palatine. The description of Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov. and the revision of Intasuchus silvicola complete our knowledge on the origin and evolution of early stereospondylomorph temnospondyls during the lower Permian.
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Introduction

Temnospondyls represent a large group of fossil amphibians known by more than 300 species that exhibit a wide stratigraphical occurrence ranging from the Carboniferous to the Cretaceous (e.g., Ruta and Benton 2008). Among this group, the stereospondyls became more diversified during the Mesozoic (e.g., Fortuny et al. 2016). Stem stereospondyls, traditionally called stereospondylomorphs, are however the subject of intense debate concerning their phylogeny (e.g., Eltink et al. 2019). For example, the interrelationships of the Permian stereospondylomorphs Sclerocephalus, Cheliderpeton, Glanochton and Intasuchus from Laurussia (these taxa are known from Germany, the Czech Republic, France and Russia) have not been resolved (Ruta and Benton 2008, Schoch and Witzmann 2009b, Eltink et al. 2019). This is despite the fact that many well-preserved stereospondylomorph specimens have been described in the last decades (Milner 1978, Boy 1988, 1993, Werneburg 1992, Gubin 1997, Werneburg and Steyer 2002, Witzmann 2006, Witzmann and Schoch 2006, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a, b, Klembara and Steyer 2012). Yet some taxa still need to be revised: this is the case of Intasuchus silvicola from the lower Permian of the Russian Inta Basin, originally described by Konzhukova (1953, 1955, 1956), which is redescribed here in detail. In 2014, during palaeontological
exploration in the Czech Krkonoše Piedmont Basin, one of us (ŠŠ) found a new large temnospondyl skeleton near Arnultovice village in the lower Permian Prosečné Formation of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin (Text-figs 1, 2). The specimen MEBHK – P 82447 was then prepared by ŠŠ. It is deposited in the palaeontological collection in the Museum of Eastern Bohemia, Hradec Králové, the Czech Republic. The specimen is exquisitely preserved and consists of skull roof (Text-figs 3–5), palate (Text-fig. 6), mandible (Text-fig. 7) and many postcranial elements (Text-figs 8–11). The postcranial elements are disarticulated. Each postcranial element shows fine preservation of yellowish colour. The whole specimen lies on a calcareous claystone’s slab of around 26 cm × 56 cm. The slab consists of four pieces: one piece, containing only the skull, has been prepared from below to render the ventral portion of the palate view accessible. Traditionally, the genera *Cheliderpeton* and *Intasuchus* are included in the family Intasuchidae (Schoch and Milner 2000, Werneburg and Steyer 2002, Eltink et al. 2019). The description of the new skeleton from the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin and revision of *Intasuchus* allow another possible scenario to be proposed regarding the interrelationship of these stereospondylomorphs. In order to more clearly understand the relationships between the newly described *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. and other stereospondylomorphs, one taxon needed to be revised: *Intasuchus silvicola* from the Permian of Russia. Described in 1956, *I. silvicola* had indeed never been redescribed so far, a systematic revision was therefore necessary.

**Geological setting, age and material of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.**

The Krkonoše Piedmont Basin (the Czech Republic) is a significant upper Palaeozoic basin expanding across the north-eastern region of the Bohemian Massif in Czechia. It belongs to a system of intramontaneous basins which were formed in the early post-orogenic phase during the Westphalian and Saxonian times (Moscovian to Sakmarian; Martinek et al. 2006). The basin is filled by continental layers with a total thickness of 1,800 m, deposited during the upper Carboniferous (Westphalian D, Stephanian), lower Permian to Triassic. The lower Permian layers are represented by the Vrchlabí Formation (Asselian), Prosečné Formation (Asselian or Sakmarian; discussion see below) and Chotěvice Formation (Asselian – Sakmarian or Sakmarian – Artinskian; Prouza and Tásl er 2001, Opluštil et al. 2016, Schneider et al. 2020).

The new amphibian skeleton MEBHK – P 82447 comes from the Prosečné Formation, and more precisely from the lacustrine Kalná Lake sequence. The Kalná Lake covered an area of minimally 60 km² according to surface outcrops, but was most likely much larger. The sediments of the Kalná Lake form a 10 m to 20 m thick set of grey, varicoloured marlstones, claystones, siltstones and limestones (Blecha et al. 1999). They contain several fossiliferous layers which can be distinguished from each other by specific actinopterygian and amphibian associations (Štamberg 2012, 2014a, see below).

The type locality of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. near the village of Arnultovice (Text-fig. 1) belongs among the youngest fossiliferous horizons of the Kalná Lake (upper Kalná Horizon in the sense of Opluštil et al. 2016: fig. 8) in the upper part of the Prosečné Formation (Text-fig. 2a). The village of Arnultovice (3 km north from the town Hostinné) with the outcrop Arnultovice ‘Úvozová cesta v rokli na okraji lesa’ (‘Track in ravine on the edge of the forest’; coordinates 50° 33′ 58.599″ N; 15° 43′ 18.873″ E) exposes a 4 m thick set of sediments (Štaberg 2014b). The upper bed of the outcrop is a 40–50 cm thick massive and badly cleavable pinkish dolomitic limestone (Text-fig. 2b) with isolated scales of actinopterygians and coprolites. It represents nearshore-lake sediments. Below follows the main fossiliferous bed, a 90 cm thick pinkish laminated
Text-fig. 2. Position of the finding locality Arnultovice ‘Track in ravine on the edge of the forest’ in the Permian stratigraphy of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin. a: Idealised section of the Permian sediments of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin. Locality Arnultovice and dating of overlying ignimrites in Tatobity are indicated. After Opluštíl et al. (2016), based on data in Prouza and Tášler (2001), modified here. b: Detail of the outcrop ‘Track in ravine on the edge of the forest’ near Arnultovice with 40–50 cm thick massive badly cleavable pinkish dolomitic limestone (L) and laminated calcareous claystone (C) with fauna. c: Artificial outcrop of laminated calcareous limestone in the continuation of the beds in forested slope 30 m northward from the main locality.
The pinkish laminated calcareous claystone bed contains actinopterygians of the families Amblypteridae (\textit{Paramblypterus zeidleri} \cite{fritsch1879} and \textit{Paramblypterus} ‘type B’ sensu Štamberg 2014) and Aeduellidae, a chondrichthyan spine of \textit{Xenacanthus} sp., branchiosaurid amphibians, and the sphenacodontid \textit{Discosaurus pulcherrimus} \cite{fritsch1879}. This vertebrate association is similar to that of the Ruprechtice Horizon of the Olívětín Member, in the Intrasudetic Basin of Czechia, which includes the large stereospondylosaur \textit{Cheiliderpeton vranyi} Frč, 1877. This suggests that the here described skeleton originates from an artificial outcrop deposit in a semi-permanent lake \cite{blecha1999}. The main locality. Below this bed follows a pinkish claystone (Text-fig. 2c) on a forested slope 30 m northward from the geological setting, age and material of \textit{Intasuchus silvicola} Konzhukova, 1956

\textit{Intasuchus silvicola} comes from a locality near the ‘Greater Inta River’ (hence its name) in the Komí Republic of northeastern European Russia. The material was discovered during the 1950s by prisoners working in a coal mine at a depth of 100 meters \cite{gubin1989}. It is preserved in a grey bituminous sediment of the Intinskian Formation from the Uflimian, a Russian geological period that corresponds to the late Kungurian (Cisuralian, lower Permian) with a time range of 273–274 Ma \cite{schneider2020}. From this locality, Konzhukova (1956) described \textit{Intasuchus silvicola} (see below only for the holotype PIN 570/1) and the probable eropid \textit{Syndyodosuchus tetricus} (PIN 570/2 and PIN 570/6) based on well-preserved and similar sized cranial specimens. Therefore, it was necessary to study the type material in detail \cite{rw2012}. Additionally, Konzhukova (1956) reported isolated postcranial material of, in our opinion, up to now ‘uncertain affinity’.

**Methods**

Skeleton of the type specimen MEBHK – P 82447 was prepared mechanically by one of us (ŠŠ) using a Krantz pneumatic needle. Photographs were taken with a Nicon D5100 camera. Drawings were prepared with a ‘camera lucida’ at a Motic binocular in large size. Original fossil work was carried out for all parts of the type specimen. Comparison with other stereospondylomorph material is mainly based on personal observation of original specimens. Used dimensions of some bones are explained in figures.

**Institutional abbreviations**

\begin{tabular}{ll}
MB & Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany \\
MEBHK – P & Museum of Eastern Bohemia in Hradec Králové, the Czech Republic \\
PIN & Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia \\
\end{tabular}

**Systematic palaeontology**

\textbf{Order Temnospondyli Zittel, 1888}

\textbf{Suborder Stereospondylomorpha Yates et Warren, 2000}

\textbf{Stereospondylomorpha incertae sedis}

\textbf{Genus Korkonterpeton gen. nov.}

\textbf{Type species.} \textit{Korkonterpeton kalnense} sp. nov.

\textbf{Etymology.} After the Celtic name Korkontoi (Kopkovtoro) for the Krkonoš Mountains, indicated by Ptolemais.

\textbf{Diagnosis.} Unique characters among stereospondylomorphs:

- (1) Vomerine fangs anteriorly to the choana.
- (2) Widened anterior region of the pterygoid clearly reaching the level of the posterior region of the choana.
- (3) Exoccipital very elongated posteriorly.
- (4) Elongated preorbital skull region measuring 2.4–2.5 times the length of the skull table (shared with \textit{Archegosaurus}).
- (5) Ventral premaxilla-maxilla-suture anteriorly to the choana (shared with \textit{Archegosaurus}).
- (6) Premaxillary snout region relatively wide (aSw/Sl > 0.33), box-like and blunt; with a moderately short premaxilla (shared with \textit{Glanochthon} and \textit{Sclerocephalus}).
- (7) Posterolateral margin of the skull curved medially, with the maximal skull width at the level of the anterior extremity of the quadratojugal (shared with \textit{Intasuchus}).
- (8) Small, sub-circular orbit (in large adults; shared with \textit{Cheiliderpeton vranyi} and \textit{Sclerocephalus}).
- (9) Relatively small teeth, of similar size on the ectopterygoid + palatine and maxilla + premaxilla, no large teeth on the ectopterygoid (shared with \textit{Archegosaurus}).
- (10) Ectopterygoid length twice that of the palate (shared with \textit{Glanochthon}).
Remark. Although we only know one species of Korkonterpeton up to now, the diagnosis of the genus is proposed here based on the most significant differences when comparing this specimen with related genera. This diagnosis would be adapted by the possible discovery of other species.

**Korkonterpeton kalnense** sp. nov.

**Text-figs 3–11**

**Holotype.** MEBHK – P 82447, a subcomplete skeleton corresponding to an adult individual (see below), and preserving a skull (length 15.7 cm) in dorsal and ventral views, and well preserved but disarticulated postcranial elements.

**Type horizon.** Pinkish laminated claystone of the upper Kalná Horizon, upper part of Prosečné Formation (Asselian to Artinskian, lower Permian).

**Type locality.** Outcrop ‘Track in ravine on the edge of the forest’ (coordinates 50° 33′ 58.599″ N; 15° 43′ 18.873″ E) near the village of Arnultovice, 3 km north of the town Hostinné, Krkonoše Piedmont Basin, Bohemia, the Czech Republic.

**Etymology.** After the finding layer of the upper Kalná Horizon, named after the main fossil locality Horní Kalná, near Arnultovice.

**Diagnosis.** Stereospondylomorph with the following unique character combination:

1. Tabular extremely elongated, as long as the supratemporal.
2. Posteromedian skull margin extremely concave, with very distant posterior tabular corner (ThI/Sl = 0.14).
3. Postparietal very short, half the length of that in related stereospondylomorphs.
4. Posterior margin of the quadratojugal and dorsomedial quadrate concave, with a steplike shelf region.
5. Cultriform process of the parasphenoid slightly swollen in its mid-region, its anterior third embedded in the posterozonal region of the vomer.
6. Sphenethmoid and epipterygoid ossified early.
7. Well-ossified, ventrally large hook-shaped quadrate, with an elongated anteromedial part.

Additional characters in contrast to those in *Cheliiderpeton vranyi*, but shared with a few other stereospondylomorph temnospondyls:

8. Elongated alary process of the premaxilla reaching the posterior level of the septomaxilla (shared with *Intasuchus* and *Sclerocephalus*).
9. Maxilla laterally straight, with a small lateral excursion of its margin for an enlarged tooth (shared partly with *Glanochthon laitrostris*).
10. Long suture of the maxilla with the nasal and the septomaxilla, same length as the orbit width (shared with *G. angusta*).
11. Lacrimal narrower than the alary process of the maxilla (similar with *Sclerocephalus*).
12. Postorbital skull table relatively short (Hi/Sl = 0.26; shared with *Glanochthon and Intasuchus*).
13. ‘Otic notch’ (squamosal embayment) reaching the level of the posterior margin of the supratemporal (shared with *G. angusta*).

Additional characters in contrast to those in a few stereospondylomorph species, but shared with others:

14. Posterior quadratojugal enclosing the posterior part of the squamosal (may be similar in *C. vranyi* and *Intasuchus*).
15. Dorsal part of the quadrate forming a narrow anteromedial directed bony-strap with a posterior process-like boss as the most posterior part of the skull (shared with archeosaurids and eropods).
16. Posterior process of the vomer very elongated almost up to the level of the posterior region of the palatine (similar in *Glanochthon*).
17. Ecrypterygoid posteriorly wide (shared with *Archegosaurus*).
18. Pterygoid with very short basipterygoid (shared with *Glanochthon and Archegosaurus*).
19. Anterior palatine ramus of the pterygoid medially curved (shared with *Archegosaurus* and *Intasuchus*).
20. Transversal process of the pterygoid laterally swollen (similar in *Glanochthon and Sclerocephalus*).
21. Basal plate of the parasphenoid short (similar in *Glanochthon and Sclerocephalus*).
22. Anterior tip of the parasphenoid cultriform process reaching the level of the anterior portion of the pterygoid (shared with *Archegosaurus* and *Intasuchus*).
23. Basipterygoid process of the basal plate anterolaterally directed and sutured with the basipterygoid process of the pterygoid (similar in *Intasuchus*).
24. Basal plate ventrally smooth (shared with *Glanochthon and Sclerocephalus*).

**Description.** Preservation. The skeleton of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen et sp. nov. is well preserved and has been fully prepared: its skull is large (compared to the size of the postcranial elements) and visible in dorsal and palatal views. The hemimandibles are also visible from both sides, labially and lingually. The postcranial skeleton is disarticulated but with well-preserved elements including the vertebral column (with the atlas) and ribs.

**Growth stage.** The skull has a midline length of 15.7 cm, and shows clear dermal ornamentation consisting of large sub-circular polygons in growth zones, turning into well-marked ridges and grooves, towards the periphery of the bones. The endocranium is also well ossified (see below). Together with the fact that the ribs present uncinate processes and the vertebrae transverse processes (see also description below), this indicates that MEBHK – P 82447 corresponds to an adult individual (Steyer 2000b).

**Skull roof** (Text-figs 3–5, 14, Tab. 1). The skull is sub-triangular in outline, with a very elongated preorbital region (2.45 times the skull table length; Tab. 1: POI/HI = 2.45; POI/Sl = 0.60) and a short postorbital skull table (Hi/Sl = 0.26), in contrast to *C. vranyi*. The tip of the snout is relatively wide (aSw/Sl = 0.35), box-like and blunt, as in *Glanochthon* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b) and *Sclerocephalus* (Boy 1988, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a). The intranarial distance (INw/Sl = 0.19) is longer than the intraorbital (IOw/Sl = 0.17). The premaxilla is relatively short medially but it presents posteriorly a very elongated alary process that goes beyond the posterior margin of the external nostril. The septomaxilla is visible dorsally, but its dorsal contribution is
Text-fig. 3. The dorsal skull roof of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. in dorsal view, holotype MEBHK – P 82447. a: drawing with ornamentation; b: drawing with ornamentation omitted; c: tentative reconstruction; d: holotype. Abbreviations: eo – exoccipital, f – frontal, fpq – paraquadrate foramen, ifc.pt – postorbital part of the infraorbital sulcus, ifc.sb – suborbital part of the infraorbital sulcus, ior – infraorbital ridges, j – jugal, l – lacrimal, m – maxilla, n – nasal, ol – occipital lamella, p – parietal,
Text-fig. 4. Biometric measured distances on the dorsal skull roof of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., compare Table 1. Measurement abbreviations: aSw – anterior width of skull at level of maxilla-premaxilla sutures, Hi – postorbital midline length of skull from level of posterior margins of orbits, Hw – postorbital width of skull between lateral margins of supratemporals, INw – minimum intranarial width, IOw – minimum interorbital width, Jw – transverse width of jugal at maximum lateral lacrimal extent of orbit, Lw – maximum length of lacrimal, mSw – maximum transverse width of lacrimal, mSw – midlength width of skull at midlength level of orbits, Ow – maximum length of orbit, Poi – preorbital midline length of skull from level of anterior margins of orbits, PoI – minimum interorbital width of postorbital from posteriormost extent of orbit, Psw – maximum transverse width of postorbital at contribution to orbital margin, pSw – maximum posterior width of skull at level of posterolateral margins of cheeks, S – midline skull length, Thl – length of tabular horn between levels of posterior tabular corner and occipital midline margin, Ww – maximum transverse width of cheek from lateral margin of supratemporal anterior to otic notch.

The maximum width of the skull roof is at the level of the anterior portion of the quadratojugalal (pSw/Sl = 0.79), as in *Intasuchus* but in contrast to *Glanochthon* and *Cheliderpeton vranyi* (Werneburg and Steyer 2002). The quadrate is visible dorsally: its small dorsal contribution lies posterolaterally to the otic notch, and it contacts the quadratojugal laterally, the descendent lamina of the squamosal anteriorly, and the vertical pterygoid wall medially (Text-fig. 5a). The posteroangular portion of the quadrate forms the quadratojugal boss. This boss is also visible in the archegosaurids *Platyposaurus* *stuckenbergi* (PIN 3968/1; RW pers. obs.), *Melosaurus* *uralensis* (MB.Am.334a; RW pers. obs.), *Kashmirosaurus ornatus* (Werneburg and Schneider 1996: fig. 2F) and in the euryopid *Clamorosaurus borealis* (PIN 3950/1; RW pers. obs. and Gubin 1983: 114). In *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., the quadrate boss, together with the posteroangular portion of the quadratojugal, borders a very deep and concave condyle region of the skull roof. This region is so deep that the paraquadrate foramen is partly visible dorsally (Text-fig. 5a), as in the aquatic euryopid *Glaucropteron* (Text-fig. 5b and Werneburg and Berman 2012). This condyle region is also significantly posterior to the occiput.

The prefrontal is anteriorly narrow and elongated, extending more posteriorly than the postfrontal. The postfrontal anteriorly contacts the prefrontal. Its posterior extremity reaches the level of the pineal foramen. The parietal and supratemporal are relatively narrow and elongated, but the supratemporal is slightly shorter than that of *Glanochthon* or *C. vranyi*. In *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., this supratemporal does not contribute to the otic notch, as in *Glanochthon angusta* but not *Intasuchus silvicola*

The postparietal is very short. The tabular is very elongated (as long as the supratemporal). The posterior margin of the skull table is extremely concave (ThI/Sl = 0.14). These characters were unknown within stereospondylomorphs so far.

The dorsal skull roof shows an interesting strutted pattern composed of distinct ridges (Text-fig. 3): the prefrontal ridge (prr, Text-fig. 3b), the most developed, is longitudinal. It starts narrowly at the level of the premaxillar alary process and runs along the nasal and prefrontal where it widens posteriorly. This ridge is known in many temnospondyls (e.g., Sawin 1941, pm – premaxilla, po – postorbital, pp – postparietal, prf – prefrontal, prr – prefrontal ridge, psr – parietal-supratemporal ridge, pt – pterygoid, ptf – postfrontal, q – quadrate, qj – quadratojugal, sm – septomaxilla, sq – squamosal, st – supratemporal, t – tabular. Si – midline skull length.
Table 1. Biometric characters of adult stereospondylomorphs for comparison (see Text-fig. 4). Measurement abbreviations: aSw – anterior width of skull at level of maxilla-premaxilla sutures, Hl – postorbital midline length of skull from level of posterior margins of orbits, Hw – postorbital width of skull between lateral margins of supratemporals, INw – minimum intranarial width, IOw – minimum interorbital width, Jw – transverse width of jugal at maximum lateral lacrimal extent of orbit, Ll – maximum length of lacrimal, Lw – maximum transverse width of lacrimal, mSw – midlength width of skull at midlength level of orbits, Ol – maximum length of orbit, POl – preorbital midline length of skull from level of anterior margins of orbits, P0l – maximum posterior length of postorbital from postorbitalmost extent of orbit, P0w – maximum transverse width of postorbital at contribution to orbital margin, pSw – maximum posterior width of skull at level of posterolateral margins of cheeks, Sl – midline skull length, Thl – length of tabular horn between levels of posterior tabular corner and occipital midline margin, Ww – maximum transverse width of cheek from lateral margin of supratemporal anterior to otic notch.

| Measurement and indexes | Sclerocephalus | Cheliderpeton | Glanochthon | Korkonerpeton | Intasuchus | Memonomenos | Archegosaurus |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
|                         | Sclerocephalus | Cheliderpeton | Glanochthon | Korkonerpeton | Intasuchus | Memonomenos | Archegosaurus |
|                         | nobilis       | vrayi        | angusta     | kdnau        | angusta     | silvicola    | decheni       |
|                         | bavaricus     | jogi-        | latirostris | silvicola    | decheni     |              |               |
|                         | Boy 1993      | schneideri   |            |              |             |              |               |
|                         | Boyschoh and  | Steyer 2002  |             |              |             |              |               |
|                         | Witzmann 2009a|              |             |              |             |              |               |
|                         | fig. 1        | fig. 2       | here        | here         | fig. 1f     | here         | fig. 1f       |
|                         | fig. 2        | fig. 2       | here        | here         | fig. 14a    | here         | fig. 14a      |
|                         | fig. 2        |              | fig. 14d    |              | fig. 14c    |              |               |
|                         | fig. 2        |              | here        |              |              |              |               |
|                         | fig. 2        |              | fig. 2      |              |              |              |               |
|                         | fig. 2        |              |              |              | fig. 2      |              | fig. 4        |
| S                       | 21.0 cm       | 18.0 cm      | 12.0 cm     | 24.0 cm      | 20.0 cm     | 13.0 cm      | 16.0 cm       |
|                         | 15.2 cm       | 19.0 cm      | 13.6 cm     | 14.0 cm      | 15.7 cm     | 16.6 cm      | 14.4 cm       |
|                         | 14.0 cm       | 16.0 cm      | 17.0 cm     | 18.0 cm      | 19.0 cm     | 20.0 cm      | 22.0 cm       |
| pSw/Sl                  | 0.95          | 0.95         | 0.96        | 0.87         | 0.87        | 0.88         | 0.91          |
|                         | 0.81          | 0.82         | 0.79        | 0.84         | 0.66        | 0.68         | 0.67          |
|                         | 0.43          | 0.43         | 0.28        | 0.30         | 0.34        | 0.35         | 0.31          |
|                         | 0.31          | 0.36         | 0.37        | 0.36         | 0.37        | 0.37         | 0.37          |
|                         | 0.09          | 0.07         | 0.15        | 0.11         | 0.15        | 0.16         | 0.14          |
|                         | 0.23          | 0.22         | 0.19        | 0.22         | 0.19        | 0.19         | 0.16          |
|                         | 0.19          | 0.19         | 0.17        | 0.15         | 0.15        | 0.17         | 0.14          |
|                         | 0.05          | 0.03         | 0.03        | 0.03         | 0.13        | 0.13         | 0.13          |
|                         | 0.06          | 0.09         | 0.07        | 0.07         | 0.09        | 0.11         | 0.11          |
|                         | 0.06          | 0.11         | 0.11        | 0.11         | 0.11        | 0.11         | 0.11          |
|                         | 0.05          | 0.06         | 0.06        | 0.07         | 0.06        | 0.08         | 0.06          |

Measurement and indexes: Sclerocephalus nobilis (Boyd 1993), bavaricus (Werneburg 1992), jogi-schneideri (Boy 1993), vrayi (Werneburg and Steyer 2002), latirostris (Boyd 1993), silvicola (Konzhukova 1956), angusta (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b), decheni (Witzmann 2006).
The intraorbital ridge (ior, Text-fig. 3b) is also longitudinal. It starts at the level of the pre- and postfrontal suture, runs along the suture between the frontal, the pre-and postfrontal, and ends posteriorly on the anterior part of the parietal, as in *C. vranyi* (Text-fig. 14e), but in contrast to *Glanochthon latirostris* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b: fig. 4B). It is not clear if it is a continuation of the prefrontal ridge. The parietal-supratemporal ridge (psr, Text-fig. 3b) is transversal: it runs anteriorly along the parietal-postparietal suture and laterally reaches the supratemporal, as in *Intasuchus* (Text-fig. 14c) and eryopids (Sawin 1941, Boy 1990, Werneburg 2007, Werneburg et al. 2010). In *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., the premaxillar ridge is also transverse and runs anteriorly along the premaxilla-maxilla suture. Only the postparietal ridge is very narrow and typically runs along the posterior skull table margin.

The lateral line sulci are not well developed in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.: only the narrow infraorbital sulcus is relatively marked but partly visible.
Text-fig. 6. The ventral palate with braincase of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., holotype MEBHK – P 82447. a, c, d: in situ-record, b: tentative reconstruction. Abbreviations: acif – furrow of arteria carotis interna, bo – basioccipital, bp – basal plate of parasphenoid, ch – choane, ec – ectopterygoid, eo – exoccipital, ept – epipterygoid, faci – foramen of arteria carotis interna, f.stp – stapedial foramen, m – maxilla, mt – maxillary teeth, pc – cultriform process of parasphenoid, pl – palatine, plt – palatine
only on the postorbital and suborbital regions (ifc.pt unique deeply marked and ifc.sb respectively, Text-fig. 3b).

**Palate** (Text-figs 6, 15). The palate is well preserved and reveals interesting details concerning the dentition: the premaxilla bears about nine teeth, the third last ones being the largest – they are also larger than the anterior teeth of the maxilla. The fifth tooth of the maxilla, at mid-length of the choana, is a tusk that is supported by a small lateral expansion of the bone. Posteriorly to this tusk, are about 25 maxillary teeth decreasing progressively in size. Medially, at the level of the choana, the maxilla also widens, as in *Intasuchus*.

The vomer is a very large and developed bone, covering most of the palatal surface of the snout. The vomerian tusks are double and located in a circular small depression surrounded by a bony crest. Surprisingly, they are anterior to the choana, and even anterior to the premaxilla-maxilla suture, a unique character within stereospondylomorphs. The vomer and the pterygoid are covered by numerous small denticles arranged in clusters. The postero medial process of the vomer is very elongated along the cultriform process of the parasphenoid: it reaches the level of the posterior tip of the palate, as in *Glanochthon* but not in *Intasuchus*.

The choana is very narrow and slit-like, as in all stereospondylomorphs (Text-fig. 15), but it is narrower than that of *Sclerocephalus* and shorter than that of *Archegosaurus*.

The ectopterygoid, twice the length of the palatine, bears 8–10 relatively small teeth, except for the third and fourth which have the same diameter as the vomerian tusks (Text-fig. 6a–c). The posterior width of the bone is similar to the anterior width, as in *Archegosaurus* (Witzmann 2006: fig. 3b), but its posterior part is wider than in *Glanochthon* and *Intasuchus* (Text-fig. 15a, c).

The palatine is of similar length to the choana. It bears 3–5 teeth, the two anterior ones being the palate tusks. These tusks are however smaller than those of *Glanochthon* or *Intasuchus*.

The pterygoid has a very short basipterygoid ramus in contrast to *Intasuchus*. Its quadrate ramus is ventrally very narrow and sutures posteriorly with the very elongate quadrate. The palate ramus is curved medially and its anterior extremity is very wide (2/3 the width of the vomer) and bilobed: its anterolateral lobe is anterior to the posterior tip of the choana. This palate ramus is similar in proportion to that of *Intasuchus*, but much wider than that of *Glanochthon*. The transversal process of the pterygoid is laterally swollen.

The parasphenoid has a relatively short bas.al plate in comparison with other stereospondylomorphs. The cultriform process is narrow but slightly widens at half its length, posteriorly to the vomer and anteriorly to the sphenethmoid. The anterior third of the cultriform process is embedded in the vomer (contra the anterior half in *Sclerocephalus* and *Glanochthon*; Schoch and Witzmann 2009a, b). The anterior tip of the cultriform process reaches the same level as the posterior extremity of the choana (in *Sclerocephalus* and *Glanochthon*, it reaches half the length of the choana). In *Korkoneterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., the basipterygoid process of the parasphenoid is located anterolaterally on the basal plate, as in *Intasuchus* but not in *Glanochthon* nor in *Sclerocephalus*. At the base of this basipterygoid process, a fine groove for the carotid artery is visible: it ends anteriorly by the carotid foramen. The basipterygoid process of the parasphenoid is ventrally smooth as in *Glanochthon*, but not in *Intasuchus*.

**Braincase** (Text-fig. 6). The sphenethmoid and epitypetrogyoids are well ossified (the epitypetrogyoids are missing at this ontogenetical stage in *Glanochthon* and *Archegosaurus*; Witzmann 2006, Schoch and Witzmann 2009b). The sphenethmoid lies dorsally above the cultriform process: it has an elongate rhombic shape with an anteriorly wider region, as in *Sclerocephalus haueseri* GOLDFUSS, 1847 (where this bone is still shorter; Schoch and Witzmann 2009a: fig. 6B) but not in the eryopids (where it is arrowhead-like; Sawin 1941, Werneburg 2007, Werneburg and Berman 2012).

The epitypetroyd (Text-fig. 6a, c–d) has a broad base, a poorly ossified end and a slender shaft. The unpaired basibranchial is similar in shape but much larger than the epitypetroyd (the basibranchial is recorded in *Glanochthon*, *Sclerocephalus* and *Archegosaurus*: see Witzmann 2006, Schoch and Witzmann 2009, b). The basioccipital is relatively short and sutures the basal plate of the parasphenoid at the two-third region of its posterior margin. The exoccipital, which forms the occipital condyle, is very elongate posteriorly, a unique character within stereospondylomorphs.

The quadrate is ventrally a large hook-shaped and well-ossified bone. Its medial suture with the quadrate ramus of the pterygoid is very elongate and oblique. As described above, this bone dorsally presents a quadrato boss.

**Visceral skeleton** (Text-fig. 6a, c, d). The stapes is preserved in the right otic notch: it has a wide footplate (width 8 or 13 mm) and an apparently relatively short and slender shaft (recorded length 19 mm). The quadrate process is not visible here (contra *Sclerocephalus* and *Archegosaurus*; Witzmann 2006, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a).

**Mandible** (Text-fig. 7). The mandible is well preserved, with its left hemimandible complete: it is relatively long (length = 205 mm) and shallow (height = 37 mm) compared with others stereospondylomorphs. The surangular is clearly visible but its suture with the angular remains difficult to detect precisely. The dorsolabial portion of this surangular is straight and very slightly ornamented. The surangular process is very flat. The articular is a relatively small bone (length = 18 mm) within the complete hemimandible. Dorsolingually, it forms an elongated and deeply concaved joint socket (Text-fig. 7c). Just ventrally to this socket, the articular-prearticular suture runs antero posteriorly straight in lingual view. The foramen for the chorda tympani lies ventrally to this suture, in the posterior portion of the prearticular: this foramen is very shallow but relatively elongate (length = 10 mm). Labially, the angular and the postepithelial are well ornamented. The mandibular sulcus is

---

tooth, pm – premaxilla, pmt – premaxillary teeth, prf – prefrontal, ps – parasphenoid, pt – pterygoid, q – quadrate, qj – quadratojugal, sph – sphenethmoid, stp – stapes, v – vomer, vt – vomerian tooth. St – midline skull length.
clearly visible in the posterior region of the hemimandible, in labial view it consists of a smooth straight groove at the level of the angular-surangular suture. Three other larger grooves are also visible on the labial surface of the surangular: they represent the accessory mandibular sulcus, as in *Archegosaurus decheni* (Witzmann 2006: figs 21, 22). The splenial is relatively short (length = 22 mm) in labial view. The dentary is the longest bone of the hemimandible.
(length = 173 mm). It is slightly ornamented labially. The left dentary bears 21 preserved teeth, whose general size decreases posteriorly along the lower jaw. However, the anterior third and fourth teeth are smaller than the posterior ones. The largest tooth of the most anterior dental exhibits an anterior blade. In conclusion, the mandibular anatomy of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. is very similar to that of the others stereospondylomorphs (e.g., Boy 1993, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a).

**Postcranium** (Text-figs 8–11). The postcranial skeleton is only represented by its axial skeleton. The anterior vertebrae and ribs are well preserved. The atlas-axiscomplex is especially completely recorded (Text-figs 8–9, 10a, b). The atlas consists of a transversely narrow intercentrum fused to the neural arch. The notochord opening dorsally divides the centrum into two lateral lobes with the exoccipital facets in anterior view, as in *Platyoposaurus stuckenbergi* (Gubin 1991: fig. 27). The thin low neural spine of the atlas consists of two processes that do not meet dorsally. A rudimentary transverse process is exposed on the concave lateral flank of the neural arch, as in *Sclerocephalus* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009a). The postzygapophysis is substantial for articulation with the axis. The prezygapophysis of the atlas is very distinctively exposed with an oval shape in anterior view. The proatlas is also preserved: its small neural arch has a globular bellied shape (Text-figs 8, 9). It was clearly in articulation with the atlas, as in *Eryops* (Moulton 1974), *Sclerocephalus* (Boy 1988, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a) and *Archegosaurus* (Witzmann and Schoch 2006).

The axis bears a very robust neural spine, which is much more massive in anterior or posterior view than that of the atlas. It has an enlarged dorsal top and strong transverse processes. The first anterior rib articulates with the axis: this rib is very short and shows no clear uncinate process. The second rib articulates with vertebrae III and is more elongated than the first rib. It has enlarged ends and a very small hook-like uncinate process (Text-fig. 9). The third, fourth and following anterior ribs are larger and have particularly elongated uncinate processes. Usually 13 anterior thoracic ribs with large uncinate processes are known in *Sclerocephalus* (Boy 1988), but this number may be lower in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. The
posterior ribs, shorter and narrower than the anterior ones, have no processes. The neural arch of vertebra III (Text-fig. 11a) shows oval and sculptured elements on the lateral side of its neural spine. The top of this spine is greatly enlarged as a transversal process. This transversal process laterally presents the diapophysis and a pleurocentral facet in posterior view. The vertebrae V–VIII also have expanded transversal processes and very narrow neural spines. The lateral flanks of these spines are smooth. The dorsal top of the spines is extremely expanded and forms a large oval, rough surface with a rugose margin (Text-fig. 11b). The smaller and more posterior vertebrae are illustrated in lateral view in Text-fig. 10c–e. The centra are well ossified. The intercentra are large and form robust crescent shaped elements. Their dorsal surface is rugose, whereas their ventral and lateral surfaces are smooth and slightly concave. The parapophyses are fully ossified. The paired, narrow-oval pleurocentra have smooth and concave lateral flanks. The pattern of these centra is clearly rhachitomous and very similar to those of stereospondylomorphs and eryopids (Moulton 1974, Boy 1988, 1990, Witzmann and Schoch 2006, Werneburg 2007, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a,).

The ventral scalation is poorly preserved. Only a few spindle-like ventral scales are recorded (Text-fig. 9, below the right quadratejugal).

Comparison with *Memonomenos dyscriton* Steen, 1938. Another stereospondylomorph anterior skeleton is known from the Czech Krkonoše Piedmont Basin: *Memonomenos dyscriton* Steen, 1938, from the early Asselian Rudnik Horizon (Vrchlabí Formation) of Košťálov, 36 km west of Trutnov. Milner (1978), Schoch and Milner (2000) and Witzmann (2006) placed this species in the genus *Archegosaurus*, later Schoch and Witzmann (2009b) established the validity of the genus *Memonomenos* as well as its close relationships with *Intasuchus*. However, this species most likely belongs to the Archegosauridae, not to Intasuchidae according to our observations (see Tab. 1): its posterior and middle skull width, its posterior skull table, its jugal/cheek and nostrils are indeed narrow, as is the case in the Archegosauridae. However, *Memonomenos dyscriton* differs from *Archegosaurus decheni* in having a wider anterior skull, a smaller ratio between the pre- and postorbital length (POI/Hl = 1.91), a shorter lacrimal, more elongated nostrils, and a slightly wider jugal. Two characters of *M. dyscriton* may be unique: the similar intranarial and intrasub orbital distances (INw/Sl = IOw/Sl = 0.13), and the relatively narrow jugal (Jw/Sl = 0.06).

Family *Intasuchidae* Konzhukova, 1956

Type genus, *Intasuchus* Konzhukova, 1956.

Emended diagnosis. Unique character combination in Stereospondylomorpha:

1. Lacrimal entering the septomaxilla (shared with the Eryopidae; the contact of both bones in the late adult *Cheliderpeton* is uncertain; compare Text-fig. 14d, e).
2. Wide jugal (Jw/Sl = 0.16; similar only in *Sclerocephalus*).
3. Interpterygoid vacuity widened postero-laterally.
4. Subtemporal window elongated anteriorly up to a point in the anterior half orbit.
5. Pterygoid with very elongated basipterygoid ramus which is as long as the orbit is wide, and with relatively long contact with the parasphenoid.
6. Vomer with a parachoanal tooth row but without a tusk present.

Text-fig. 9. Posterior skull with anterior postcranial skeleton of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. in dorsal view. Abbreviations: atl – atlas, ax – axis, f.pq – quadratojugal foramen, na – neural arch, patl – preatlas, pp – postparietal, q – quadrate, qb – quadrate boss, qj – quadratojugal, r – rib, sq – squamosal, st – supratemporal, t – tabular, tp – tabular process, up – uncinated process of rib.
Ectopterygoid of similar length as the palatine (similar in Sclerocephalidae) and posteriorly reaching a point in the anterior half of the orbit.

**Content.** *Intasuchus Konzhukova*, 1956, from the lower Permian of the Russian Inta-region.

**Remark.** Although we only know the genus *Intasuchus* within the Intasuchidae up to now, the diagnosis of the family is newly proposed here based on its most important differences compared with related families and genera. This diagnosis will be adapted following by the possible erection of other genus or genera.
**Genus Intasuchus Konzhukova, 1956**

**Type species:** *Intasuchus silvicola Konzhukova, 1956*

**Emended diagnosis.** Unique characters among stereospondylomorphs:

1. Intranarial distance smaller than the intraorbital one.

2. Posterior part of the postfrontal extremely elongated and reaching a level clearly posterior to the pineal foramen.

Characters in contrast to distinct stereospondylomorph genera, but shared with others:

3. Preorbital length 2.35 times that of the postorbital region (similar in *Glanochthon*, Schoch and Witzmann 2009b).

---

**Text-fig. 11.** Neural arches of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. a: vertebra III in posterolateral view, b: vertebra VI or VII in anterolateral view, c: vertebra V or VI in posterior view, d: vertebra VIII in anterior view with intercentrum and pleurocentrum.

Abbreviations: dap – diapophysis, fpc – facet for pleurocentrum, ic – intercentrum, na – neural arch, pc – pleurocentrum, poz – postzygapophysis, prz – praerygapophysis, rds – roughened dorsal surface of neural arch, trp – transversal process of neural arch.
Text-fig. 12. The skull of *Intasuchus silvicola* Konzhukova, 1956. a, c: dorsal skull roof, in situ-record and tentative reconstruction, b, d: ventral palatal skull, in situ-record and tentative reconstruction. Abbreviations: bo – basioccipital, bp – basal plate of parasphenoid, ch – choane, ec – ectopterygoid, f – frontal, ior – intraorbital ridges, j – jugal, l – lacrimal, lr – lacrimal ridge, m – maxilla, n – nasal, ol – occipital lamella, p – parietal, pc – cultriform process of parasphenoid, pl – palatine, pm – premaxilla, po – postorbital, pp – postparietal, prf – prefrontal, prr – prefrontal ridge, ps – parasphenoid, psr – parietal-supratemporal ridge, pt – pterygoid, ptf – postfrontal, ptr – postorbital-tabular ridge, q – quadrate, qj – quadratojugal, sm – septomaxilla, soc – supraoccipital sulae, sq – squamosal, st – supratemporal, stp – stapes, t – tabular, v – vomer. Sl – midline skull length.
(4) Premaxillary region narrow (aSw/St = 0.31) and pointed, with a relatively elongated median portion (shared with Cheliderpeton; Werneburg and Steyer 2002).

(5) Maxilla slightly concave laterally (comparable with Glanochthon; Schoch and Witzmann 2009b).

(6) Maximal width of the skull at the level of the pineal foramen and the anterior region of the quadratojugal (pSw = 0.80, similar in Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov.).

(7) ‘Otic notch’ (squamosal embayment) very deep and wide. The short suture between the squamosal and the supratemporal starts posteriorly at half the length of the supratemporal (similar in late adult Cheliderpeton).

(8) Narrow anterior part of the nasal (shared with Archegosaurus and the late adult Cheliderpeton).

(9) Ventral premaxilla-maxilla-suture at the posterior end of the choana (shared with Glanochthon and Sclerocephalus; Schoch and Witzmann 2009a, b).

(10) Paracoanal vomerine tooth row on a higher elevation parallel to the medial choana (shared with Archegosaurus).

(11) Vomerine fangs very close to the anteromedian margin of the choana (shared with Glanochthon and Sclerocephalus).

(12) Ectopterygoid with one or two large fangs (shared with Sclerocephalus and similar in size to Glanochthon).

(13) Anterior part of the pterygoid reaching a level posterior to the choana (shared with Glanochthon, Sclerocephalus and Archegosaurus).

(14) Narrow basal plate of the parasphenoid (shared with Archegosaurus).

(15) Basal plate of the parasphenoid with a central, ovoid and elevated patch covered by denticles (shared with Archegosaurus).

Remark. Although we only know the unique species Intasuchus silvicola Konzhukova, 1956 within Intasuchus.
up to now, the new diagnosis of the genus proposed here is based on the most important differences when compared to related genera. This diagnosis will be adapted by the possible discovery of other species.

**Intasuchus silvicola Konzhukova, 1956**

*Text-figs 12, 13*

**Holotype.** PIN 570/1, housed in Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.

**Type horizon.** Intinskian Formation.

**Age.** Ufimian, late Kungurian (273–274 Ma, after Schneider et al. 2020), Cisuralian, lower Permian.

**Type locality.** Coal mine near ‘Greater Inta River’, Komi Republic, northeastern European Russia.

**Emended diagnosis.** Unique characters among stereospondylomorphs:

1. Lacrimal very elongated and longer than the nasal.
2. Parietal and supratemporal relatively short and wide.
3. Elongated alary process of the premaxilla reaching posteriorly to a level in the middle region of the septomaxilla (similar in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.).
4. Anteriorly wide and blunt prefrontal, reaching anteriorly a level in the anterior region of the frontal (shared with late adult *C. vranyi*).
5. Posteriorly narrow squamosal, but not going beyond the posterior region of the tabular (similar in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* sp. nov. and *C. vranyi*).
6. Very short tabular (shared with *Glanochthon*).
7. Tabular – supratemporal suture lying at the level of the posteromedian margin of the skull table (as in *G. angusta*).
8. Elongated postparietal (shared with *Glanochthon* and *Cheliderpeton*).
9. Slightly concave posterior skull margin (as in *C. vranyi*).
10. Palatine with two pairs of fangs – the largest teeth of dentition (shared with *Glanochthon*).
11. Anterior palatine ramus of the pterygoid medially curved (shared with *Archegosaurus* and *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.).
12. Anterior tip of the paraphenoid cultriform process reaching the level of the anterior region of the pterygoid (shared with *Archegosaurus* and *Korkonterpeton kalnense* sp. nov.).
13. Basipterygoid process of the basiplate large, anterolaterally directed and long sutured with the basipterygoid process of the pterygoid (similar as in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.).

**Comparative description.** General morphology. The holotype represented by the skull of *Intasuchus silvicola* Konzhukova, 1956 is well preserved in dorsal and palatal views (Text-fig. 13), only the posterolateral cheek and the quadrate region are missing. The skull has a midline length of 16.6 cm. The dermal ornamentation of the skull roof is exquisitely preserved: it consists of a dense pattern of central polygons and radial ridges on the margin of the bones. Together with the strong degree of ossification, this well-developed ornamentation pattern suggests an adult stage for this specimen (Steyer 2000b). The natural relief of the skull roof is also well preserved.

The new cranial reconstruction of *Intasuchus* proposed here (Text-fig. 12c, d) is very different from those of Konzhukova (1956) and Gubin (1984) which are incorrect because both authors used the specimen No. PIN 570/2 (Syndyodosuchus) for the posterior region and did not take into account the enormous width of the jugal.

**Skull roof** (Text-figs 12a, c, 13a, 14, Tab. 1). The skull has an extremely elongated preorbital region measuring 2.35 times the length of the postorbital region (Tab. 1: POl/Sl = 2.35; POl/Si = 0.57). This postorbital region is indeed short (Hi/Si = 0.24). The premaxillary snout region is narrow and pointed (aSw/Sl = 0.31). The premaxilla is moderately elongated, 1.4 times longer than the narial length. These two last characters are also seen in *Cheliderpeton vranyi* (Werneburg and Steyer 2002), but not in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., *Glanochthon* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b) and *Sclerocephalus* (Boy 1988, Schoch and Witzmann 2009a). The distance between the nostrils is very short (INw/Sl = 0.14) and smaller than the intraorbital distance (IOw/Sl = 0.18; in contrast to most other stereospondylomorphs, and only shared with *Archegosaurus*). The alary process of the premaxilla is elongated and posteriorly reaches a level in the middle region of the septomaxilla. The dorsal septomaxilla is relatively small but may be continued ventrally. Its posterior extremity is posterolaterally directed, in contrast to *Glanochthon*. The maxilla is slightly concave laterally, as is the case in *Glanochthon* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b). It is dorsally relatively short and not in contact with the quadratejugal in dorsal view. The maxilla has no contact with the nasal, because the lacrimal enters the septomaxilla. The lacrimal is a very elongated and relatively wide. These two last characters are rarely seen in stereospondylomorphs except in the late adult *C. vranyi*. The nasal is narrow (in contrast to *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.). The intraorbital region (IOw/Sl = 0.18) and the frontals are also narrow. The orbit is large in size (Ou/Sl = 0.19) and elongate in shape; it is the most elongated orbit within stereospondylomorphs (similar only in *Archegosaurus*). The postorbital region is short (H/Si = 0.24), in contrast to *C. vranyi*. The prefrontal is anteriorly wide and blunt, and it reaches the level of the anterior part of the frontal (shared with the late adult *C. vranyi*). The nasal is narrow (in contrast to *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.). The anterior part of the frontal forms an embayment in the anteromedial part of the supratemporal. These two last characters are unique in stereospondylomorphs. The postorbital is wide (Pw/Poi = 0.91) and relatively short. The jugal is anteriorly and especially posteriorly very wide (Jw/Si = 0.16), in contrast to all other stereospondylomorphs.
Therefore, the maximal width of the skull is at the level of the pineal foramen and the anterior part of the quadratojugal (mSw = 0.73), as in *Korkonerpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. At this level, the posterolateral region of the jugal and the anterolateral region of the quadratojugal are missing, but it is possible to reconstruct the width of the skull according to the well-preserved palatal bones (Text-fig. 12b): the posterior margin of the skull probably runs medially to the posterolateral region of the quadratojugal. The posterior margin of the quadratojugal and the quadratojugal are not preserved. The squamosal is posteriorly narrow and does not extend posteriorly further than the posterior region of the tabular (in contrast to *Glanochthon*). The ‘otic notch’ (squamosal embayment) is very deep and wide. The short suture between the squamosal and the supratemporal starts posteriorly at midlength of the supratemporal (in contrast to *Glanochthon* and *Korkonerpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., but similar in the late adult *C. vranyi*). The jaw joints are clearly posterior to the occiput. The parietal and supratemporal are relatively short and wide, in contrast to all other stereospondylomorphs. The anterolateral region of the parietal and the anteromedial region of the supratemporal present embayments for the large posterior region of the postfrontal. The tabular is very short, in contrast to
Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov. The suture between the tabular and supratemporal lies at the same level as the occipital margin of the skull, as in G. angusta. The postparietal is elongated (in contrast to Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov.) and laterally expanded in an embayment of the postrostral part of the supratemporal (in contrast to all other stereospondyli). The posterior skull margin is slightly concave because of the short distance between the posterior extremity of the tabular corner and the occipital midline margin (Trh/Sl = 0.06; as in C. vranyi).

The natural relief of the dorsal skull roof is very well preserved (Text-figs 12a, c, 13a) and shows several ridges: the longitudinal ridge (prl) starts just below the posterior part of the alary process of the premaxilla, and runs along the anterior orbital margin of the prefrontal where it becomes progressively wider. It continues around the medial orbital margin of the pre- and postfrontal and becomes the intraorbital ridge (ior) up to the anterolateral end of the postorbital. The postorbital-tabular ridge (ptr) runs from the postorbital along the lateral margin of the supratemporal up to the tabular horn. At mid-length of the supratemporal, it becomes the parietal-supratemporal ridge (psr) which runs to the pineal foramen. Additionally, a curved lacrimal ridge (lr) lies on the anterior part of the lacrimal. This pattern of dorsal ridges looks similar to that of the eryopids but here it is less complex, especially on the postorbital region (Sawin 1941, Werneburg 2007: figs 2a, 6, 7). A few transverse ridges between the longitudinal ridges are also missing on the preorbital region of Intasuchus and stereospondyli in general. In eryopids, the lacrimal ridge runs more longitudinally and diagonally across the whole lacrimal. An elongated longitudinal ridge from the nasal up to the tabular (without transverse ridges) is known in Glanochthon latirostris (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b: fig. 4B), Archegosaurus decheni (Witzmann 2006: figs 4a, 5a) and the archegosaurus Collidosuchus ichudinovi (Gubin 1991: fig. 1a).

Lateral line sulci are only impressed on the pre- and postfrontals. They are part of the supraorbital sulcus which is deeply marked.

Palate (Text-figs 12b, d, 13b, 15). Most of the palatal bones are preserved as well as the dentition. The premaxilla bears ten teeth; the first three and the last two are small, but numbers 5–8 are almost as large as the palatine fangs. The maxillary bears about 25 teeth; the anterior ones are middle-sized and the posterior ones smaller. No maxillary teeth are enlarged. The ventral side of the maxilla is medially enlarged next to the choana, which is similar to that of Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov.

The vomer is narrow and elongated. A diagonally arranged pair of fangs, the size of the premaxillar middle-sized teeth, is visible near the anteromedian margin of the choana. This correlates with the ventral premaxilla-maxilla suture in the posterior end of the choana. These two last characters are also seen in the other stereospondyli but not in Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov. The vomer and the pterygoid are covered with numerous small denticles. The concave posterior margin of both vomers borders a very narrow part of the interpterygoid vacuities. In Intasuchus, the posterior process of the vomer is not developed, contra many other stereospondyli. It is not clear if the anterior palatal depressions are present. A paracoanal vomerine tooth row is located on an elevation parallel to the medial choana: it contains five teeth the same size as the small premaxillary and maxillary teeth and small denticles anteriorly. A paracoanal vomerine tooth row is missing in Sclerocephalus, Glanochthon and Korkonterpeton kalnense gen. et sp. nov., but well known in Archegosaurus decheni (Witzmann 2006).

---

Text-fig. 15. Comparison of ventral palatal skull reconstructions from related stereospondyli amphians. a: after Schoch and Witzman 2009b, b: holotype MEBHK – P 82447, c: holotype PIN 570/1. Abbreviations: apd – anterior palatal depression, bp – basal plate of parasphenoid, ch – choane, ec – ectopterygoid, eo – exoccipital, pc – cultriform process of parasphenoid, pl – palatine, ps – parasphenoid, pt – pterygoid, q – quadrate, v – vomer. Sl – midline skull length.
The choana is very narrow and elongated. The length of this slit-like opening is twice that of the nostril, as is the case in several stereospondylomorphs but not in *Sclerocephalus* where it is wider, and not in *Archegosaurus* where it is longer.

The ectopterygoid, of similar length as the palatine, reaches posteriorly the level of the anterior half of the orbit. In all other stereospondylomorphs this bone is longer and clearly extends posteriorly behind the orbit. The ectopterygoid has 8–9 teeth. The first and probably second (missing) teeth are large fangs the same size as the largest premaxillary teeth, only the palatine fangs are larger. The other posterior teeth on the ectopterygoid are small, but larger than the laterally neighbouring posterior maxillary teeth. The shape and dentition of the ectopterygoid is more similar to that of *Sclerocephalus* than to *Glanochthon* or *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.

The palate is more elongated than the choana and has space for 4 very large teeth. It bears two pairs of fangs which are the largest teeth in the skull. The palatine dentition is comparable with that of *Glanochthon*, but differs from *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.

The pterygoid may have a very elongated basipterygoid ramus in contrast to all other stereospondylomorphs. This elongation of the basipterygoid ramus is putative, it is based on the median suture of the skull between the vomers in an approximately natural position to the maxillary tooth arcade as an indication of the natural margin of the skull. The quadrate ramus is only partly preserved. The palatine ramus is curved medially and has a broad anterior part, which reaches about two-thirds of the vomer width (in contrast to *Glanochthon*, but similar in *Sclerocephalus* and *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov.). The suture between the vomer and the pterygoid is very elongated. The anteriolateral palatine ramus clearly ends posteriorly to the choana (in contrast to *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., but known from *Glanochthon*).

The parasphenoid has a narrow basal plate. The probably narrow cultriform process only contacts the postmedian part of the vomer (i.e., no embayment of the vomer is visible). Both characters contrast with *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., *Glanochthon* and *Sclerocephalus*. The anterior tip of the cultriform process reaches the level of the anterior pterygoid posteriorly to the choana, in contrast to *Sclerocephalus*, *Glanochthon* and *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. The large basipterygoid process of the basal plate is anterolaterally directed and long sutured with the basipterygoid process of the pterygoid (similar in *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., but different in *Glanochthon* and *Sclerocephalus*). A fine groove for the carotid artery runs almost parallel to the medial margin of the basipterygoid process of the basal plate. The basal plate has an oval elevated patch covered by a denticle field between the basipterygoid processes. The narrow shape of the basal plate together with the denticle field is known from *Archegosaurus decheni* (Witzmann 2006) and the archegosaurid *Platyoposaurus stuckenbergi* (Konzhukova 1955). The dorsal region of the basal part of the cultriform process appears through the left orbit in the specimen as preserved (Text-figs 12a, 13a). The fossa hypophysialis and three foramina are visible. The pair of outstanding ones may be for the anterior arteria carotis cerebralis (compare Gubin 1991: figs 13, 14).

The interpterygoid vacuity is posterolaterally very wide (in contrast to all stereospondylomorphs). The subtemporal fenestra is relatively narrow and anteriorly elongated (compare with the length of the ectopterygoid).

**Braincase** (Text-figs 12b, d, 13b). The ventral basioccipital is sutured anteriorly with the basal plate of the parasphenoid. The exoccipital and quadrate are not preserved.

**Visceral skeleton** (Text-figs 12b, 13b). The stapes has a wide footplate and a short preserved, slender shaft. The quadrate process is not visible. The basibranchial is not preserved.

**Remarks on stereospondylomorph relationships**

Even if the aim of this article is to provide a full description of *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. and a redescription of *Intasuchus silvicola* and *Cheliderpeton vranyi* in the family Intasuchidae on the basis of three apparent shared characters: presence of sensory line canals on the nasal and lacrimal, frontal shorter than nasal, and absence of a triangular posterior process on the premaxilla. However, *Intasuchus silvicola* and *Cheliderpeton vranyi* do not have sensory line canals on the nasal and lacrimal, and only the largest specimens show a frontal shorter than a nasal – an ontogenetically influenced character which is also present in other stereospondylomorphs such as *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. or *Cheliderpeton vranyi* (see Text-fig. 14 for comparison). Moreover, a triangular posterior process of the premaxilla is clearly present in *Intasuchus silvicola*. Consequently, the characters used by Eltink et al. (2019) to define their ‘Intasuchidae’ are considered here as artifactual because they are not shared by these taxa. In their phylogeny, Eltink et al. (2019) put also *Glanochthon latirostris* in the family Sclerocephalidae: we agree with most of their synapomorphies but we also observed them in other taxa (*Memonomenos, Cheliderpeton*, and few eryopoid genera too). The absence of the ossified basioccipital may be ontogenetically influenced.

Concerning the phylogeny of Schoch and Witzmann (2009b), we preliminary included *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. in their matrix and corrected the coding of *Intasuchus silvicola* following our redescription, but we obtained a global polytomy for all the considered stereospondylomorphs. It seems therefore that the relationships of these taxa involved many homoplasies and they still need works to be completely solved.

Interestingly, the first stereospondylomorph temnospondyls are only known in the early Permian of western and central Europe so far: together with *Korkonterpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov., they are *Cheliderpeton vranyi* and *Sclerocephalus stambergi* (Klembara and Steyer 2012) from Czechia as well as *S. haueseri* (Boy 1993), *S. bavaricus*.
(Boy 1993), *S. jogischneideri* (Werneburg 1992), *S. nobilis* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009a), *Glanochthon angusta* and *G. latirostris* (Schoch and Witzmann 2009b) from Germany. A *Cheliderpeton*-like genus has also been mentioned in the Permian of France (Steyer et al. 2000). Compared with other stereospondylomorphs such as *Archegosaurus* (Witzmann 2006) from Germany and *Memonomenos* (Milner 1978) from Czechia, all these taxa are considered as stem-stereospondylomorphs in most of the phylogenies (e.g., Schoch and Witzmann 2009a, b, Klembara and Steyer 2012, Eltink et al. 2019).

This apparent restricted occurrence of the stem-stereospondylomorphs within European intramountaneous basins may be linked with their mainly aquatic lifestyle that could reduce their migration capacity. Yet their apparent increasing number during the earliest Permian (Asselian) may be linked with the apparent decreasing number of sharks (excl. orthacanthids) as possible predators from the same palaeolake deposits. These conditions may have favoured the radiation of the early stereospondylomorphs during the early Permian. The group may originate in the Carboniferous, but the Carboniferous paleolake deposits are rarer and less investigated.

**Conclusions**

*Korkonerpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. is described on the basis of an exquisite nearly complete specimen from the lower Permian of the Krkonoše Piedmont Basin, Czechia. The outline and the shape of the skull are very distinct in stereospondylomorphs. The new genus *Korkonerpeton* is characterized by a unique combination of the following characters: a relatively wide premaxillary region, box-like and blunt; a straight lateral maxillary margin; a medially curved posterolateral margin of the skull; and a maximal skull width at the level of the anterior region of the quadrate. The unique characters defining the new species *Korkonerpeton kalnense* are: length of the tabular much greater than the width, tabular length as long as the supratemporal; a very short postparietal, only half the length of that in related stereospondylomorphs; a concave posterior margin of the quadrate and dorsomedial part of the quadrate with a steplike shelf region; a slightly swollen parabasal process reaching the anterior level of the orbit, and with an anterior third embedded in the postmedian region of the vomer; well ossified sphenethmoid and epipubercinal; and a ventrally large hook-shaped quadrate.

The genera *Cheliderpeton* and *Glanochthon* share a number of characters with *Korkonerpeton*: a narrow jugal, a very short basipterygoid ramus on the pterygoid, an ectopterygoid twice the length of the palatine, and an ectopterygoid tooth arcade with identical sized teeth (without a separated tusk pair). They may belong to single family we have to test in the future.

To investigate these stereospondylomorph relationships, it was also necessary to revise *Intasuchus silvicola*, which was therefore redescribed here for the first time. This taxon shows drastic differences compared to *Cheliderpeton*, *Glanochthon* and *Korkonerpeton*: this suggests that the Russian *Intasuchus silvicola* belongs to its proper family *Intasuchidae* KonzuKov, 1956.

A complete phylogeny of the stereospondylomorph temnospondyls will allow to precise the relationships of *Korkonerpeton* and *Intasuchus* with their relatives, but this is out of the scope of this article which aimed to firstly provide a precise anatomical description of *Korkonerpeton kalnense* gen. et sp. nov. and secondly a redescription of *Intasuchus silvicola*.
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