Micromorphological Characterization of Zinc/Silver Particle Composite Coatings
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ABSTRACT The aim of this study was to evaluate the three-dimensional (3D) surface micromorphology of zinc/silver particles (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings with antibacterial activity prepared using an electrodeposition technique. These 3D nanostructures were investigated over square areas of 5 μm × 5 μm by atomic force microscopy (AFM), fractal, and wavelet analysis. The fractal analysis of 3D surface roughness revealed that (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings have fractal geometry. Triangulation method, based on the linear interpolation type, applied for AFM data was employed in order to characterise the surfaces topographically (in amplitude, spatial distribution and pattern of surface characteristics). The surface fractal dimension $D_f$, as well as height values distribution have been determined for the 3D nanostructure surfaces. Microsc. Res. Tech. 78:1082–1089, 2015. © 2015 The Authors published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Zinc coatings provide the most effective and economical way to protect substrates to corrosion. Their dense and adherent corrosion byproducts, leads a rate of corrosion considerably lower than the protected substrate (Zhang, 1996).

On the other hand, it has been known for long time that metals such as silver and copper exhibit antimicrobial properties. For instance, silver-based compounds used as wound dressings and biocide in hospitals and other health care facilities have been used as bactericidal agent since the 19th century. Currently, an increasingly important field of research in inorganic antimicrobial material development is the use of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) to enhance thermal resistance, stability and per-
applications in biomedical imaging research, and medicine (Moldovan et al., 2015; Talu, 2012a,b; Talu et al., 2014a,b). Fractal geometry and scaling concepts can concisely as well as more effectively describe the 3D surfaces (Dallaeva et al., 2014; Elenkova et al., 2015; Talu et al., 2014c; Talu et al., 2015a).

A fractal 3D surface exhibits topographical features that are independent of the measurement scale and is characterised by a spatial scale-invariance (statistical self-similarity, which takes place only in the restricted range of the spatial scales) (Talu and Stach, 2014f; Talu et al., 2014d,e, 2015b,c,d).

It is known for a mass fractal (object), its mass $M$ increases with its size (equivalent radius $r$) according to the relation (Pabst and Gregorova, 2007):

$$M \propto r^{D_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $D_m$ is the mass fractal dimension ($0 < D_m \leq 3$). On the other hand, a surface fractal (object) has a surface area $S$ increasing with its size (equivalent radius) proportional to $r^{D_f}$ (Pabst and Gregorova, 2007):

$$S \propto r^{D_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D_f$ is the surface fractal dimension (a fractional value within the range $2 < D_f < 3$) to globally estimate the 3D fractal surface complexity (Dallaeva et al., 2014; Talu et al., 2014d, 2015b).

For an Euclidian object (non-fractal with a smooth surface), $D_m = 3$, and $D_f = 2$; for mass fractal objects $D_m = D_f$, and for surface fractal objects $D_m = 3$, and $2 < D_f < 3$ (Pabst and Gregorova, 2007).

Several studies have reported morphological and electrochemical characterization of electrodeposited Zn–Ag nanoparticle composite coatings (Ahearn et al., 1995; Punith and Srivastava, 2013; Reyes-Vidal et al., 2015).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the 3D surface micromorphology of Zn/AgPs composite coatings with antibacterial activity prepared using an electrolytic bath with suspended Ag nanoparticles.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Analysis of the Stability of Silver Particles in Suspension

A common method for producing an evenly occlusion of inert particles during the electrodeposition process of a metal, is by using a stable suspension of that inert particles as a part of the electrolytic bath. Stable suspensions are formed when soluble compounds are adsorbed onto the inert surface particle (Chen et al., 2003; Nguyen and Schulze, 2004). Surfactants or dispersants are commonly used to surface modification due to its space structure and hydrophilic functional groups, can enhance the electrostatic repulsion and steric hindrance between nanoparticles.

The following procedure was used to analyze the influence of the dispersant (surfactant) cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) (98%, Spectrum lab, USA) on the stability of the silver nanoparticles in an electrolytic bath. First, 0.063 mg of AgNPs (99.9%, 50–60 nm, SkySpring Nanomaterials) was weighed and added to 25 mL of a base solution, $S_b$ (electrolytic bath) containing the following: 81.0 g L$^{-1}$ ZnCl$_2$ + 208.80 g L$^{-1}$ KCl + 25 g L$^{-1}$ H$_3$BO$_3$ + 0.75 g L$^{-1}$ sodium benzoate + 0.2 g L$^{-1}$ benzylideneacetone + 1.5 g L$^{-1}$ PEG + 2.8 g L$^{-1}$ triethanolamine, at pH = 5.0. Next, the dispersant CTAB of the desired concentration (mM), was added to the solution to evaluate the long-term dispersion stability. Several concentrations of CTAB in the electrolytic bath were tested. The aqueous suspension of AgPs was placed into cylindrical glass vial and set in the Turbiscan Lab analyzer (Formulaion, L’Unión, France). The transmission and back-scattered light sensors of this optical analyzer scanned the entire height (53 mm) of the aqueous AgPs suspension (25 mL) for 24 h. The stability analysis of the aqueous AgPs suspension was carried out as a variation of transmission ($\Delta T$) and backscattering ($\Delta BS$) profiles.

### Electrodeposition of Zn/AgPs Composites Coatings

To evaluate the influence of surfactant concentration on the degree of occlusion of AgPs in the Zn/AgPs coatings, the CTAB surfactant concentration was varied during coating obtention. Zn/AgPs composite coatings were formed for electrodeposition using a parallel plate cell with an inter-electrode distance of 5 cm. A Zn plate (99%, Atotech) was used as the anode, and a plate of AISI 1018 steel with an exposed area of $10 \times 15$ cm$^2$ was used as the cathode. The temperature of the electrolytic bath was held at 25°C. The composition of the electrolytic bath was formulated and optimized in our laboratory (Meas et al., 2009; Trejo et al., 2002).

The electrodeposition current density (0.021 A cm$^{-2}$ over 23 min) was selected on the basis of additional testing (not presented here) using a Hull cell. The electrodeposition of Zn/AgPs composite was carried out from a basic solution ($S_b$) + 2.5 mg L$^{-1}$ AgNPs + x mM of CTAB, where $x = 0$, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 10, or 50. All reagents were analytical grade, and the corresponding solutions were prepared with deionized water (18 MΩ cm$^{-1}$ resistivity). In all cases, the pH of the working solution was 5.0. The morphology of each coating was evaluated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Jeol Mod. JSM-6510LV) coupled to an energy dispersion spectrometer (EDS) analyzer (Bruker, Mod. Quantax 200), and the topography was analyzed using a profilometer (Veeco, Mod. Dektak 6M).

The identification of the deposited phases was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker diffractometer (Mod. D8 Advance, Bragg-Brentano arrangement) with Cu Kα-radiation ($\lambda = 1.54\AA$). The 2θ range of 30 to 98° was recorded at a rate of 0.2° s$^{-1}$.

### AFM Analysis

An atomic force microscope (AFM) (Digital Instruments, Mod. Nanoscope E, USA) and its own software was used in contact mode to image the deposited Zn/AgPs on the steel substrate. All AFM images of the samples were acquired at room temperature (25°C ± 1°C) and (44% ± 1%) relative humidity. These measurements were performed in air (ex situ) using silicon nitride AFM tips (Digital Instruments). The measurements were performed on scanning areas of $5 \times 5$ μm$^2$. The images were recorded at 2 Hz with a resolution of 512 x 512 pixels per image. The measurements were repeated for five times for each sample on...
different reference areas to validate the reproducibility of the features.

**Statistical Description of 3D Surface Roughness**

Detailed information on the objective parameters used to obtain information on the basic properties of the height values distribution of the surface samples, according Gwyddion 2.37 software, is reported in the Appendix.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 14 for Windows (Chicago, IL). One-way analysis of variance was used to test the differences between the two groups with Scheffé post-hoc tests for multiple comparisons. Differences with a $P$ value of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant. The average $D_f$ results were expressed as mean value and standard deviation.

**Fractal Analysis**

Fractal analysis could offer new parameters for characterizing fractal patterns or sets of the morphology of a fractal object (Tălu, 2012a). In this study, the fractal analysis was applied to the original AFM files using the cube counting method (derived directly from a definition of box-counting fractal dimension) with a
TABLE 1. The basic properties of the height values distribution (including its variance, skewness, and kurtosis) of the surface samples, for scanning square areas of 5 \(\mu\text{m} \times 5\ \mu\text{m} \).

| Parameters                  | ZnAgPm1 samples | ZnAgPm2 samples | ZnAgPm3 samples | ZnAgPm4 samples | ZnAgPm5 samples | ZnAgPm6 samples | ZnAgPm7 samples |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Maximum height (\(\mu\text{m}\)) | 0.5892           | 0.6927           | 0.1519           | 0.3399          | 0.4558          | 0.2669          | 0.1820          |
| Minimum height (\(\mu\text{m}\)) | 0.0              | 0.0              | 0.0              | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0             |
| Median height (\(\mu\text{m}\)) | 0.2743           | 0.2656           | 0.0525           | 0.1880          | 0.1861          | 0.1285          | 0.0859          |
| \(R_s\) (Sa) (\(\mu\text{m}\)) | 0.0411           | 0.0727           | 0.0133           | 0.0386          | 0.0521          | 0.0342           | 0.0178          |
| Rms (Sq) (\(\mu\text{m}\))   | 0.0633           | 0.0949           | 0.0188           | 0.0496          | 0.0666          | 0.0418           | 0.0249          |
| Skew (Ssk) (-)              | 0.63             | 0.35             | 1.31             | 0.0006          | 0.475           | -0.0092          | 1.37            |
| Kurtosis (Sku) (-)          | 3.78             | 0.722            | 4.09             | 0.213           | 0.358           | -0.209           | 3.2             |
| Inclination \(\theta\) (\(^{\circ}\)) | 0.5            | 0.7              | 0.1              | 1.0             | 1.1             | 0.2              | 0.2             |
| Inclination \(\varphi\) (\(^{\circ}\)) | 35.4            | 18.8             | -5.4             | -1.3            | 174.1           | 2.5              | 167.1           |

The representative 3D topographic AFM images, for scanning square area of 5 \(\times\) 5 \(\mu\text{m}^2\), of the (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings surfaces is shown in Figure 1: (a) ZnAgPm1 (0.0 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (b) ZnAgPm2 (4.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (c) ZnAgPm3 (5.6 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (d) ZnAgPm4 (6.0 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (e) ZnAgPm5 (6.4 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (f) ZnAgPm6 (9.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles); (g) ZnAgPm7 (14.1 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of Ag particles). They are shown in 3D mode, in perspective view, with the vertical (height) scale (in nanometers [nm]) displayed in coding colors, according to the palette described by the respective side-bar on the right hand side of the AFM image.

The basic properties of the height values distribution of the surface samples (including its variance, skewness, and kurtosis), computed according Gwyddion 2.37 software, is described in detail in Gwyddion 2.37 software.

To study the surface by the wavelet analysis, a two-dimensional discrete wavelet transform was conducted in MATLAB with the use of Daubechies wavelet (the mother wavelet with order 5). The wavelet transform was carried out in MATLAB. The representative 3D topographic AFM images, for scanning square area of 5 \(\times\) 5 \(\mu\text{m}^2\), of the (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings surfaces is shown in Table 2.

| Parameters | ZnAgPm1 samples | ZnAgPm2 samples | ZnAgPm3 samples | ZnAgPm4 samples | ZnAgPm5 samples | ZnAgPm6 samples | ZnAgPm7 samples |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \(D\)      | 2.16 ± 0.005    | 2.19 ± 0.005    | 2.23 ± 0.005    | 2.18 ± 0.005    | 2.21 ± 0.005    | 2.14 ± 0.005    | 2.22 ± 0.005    |
| \(R^2\)    | 0.992           | 0.993           | 0.994           | 0.991           | 0.992           | 0.993           | 0.992           |

DISCUSSION

Analyzing the AFM images of (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings fractal surfaces with statistical surface parameters, a specific 3D pattern of nanoasperities distribution is observed for different group of samples that occur at the micrometer- and nanometer-scale (Fig. 1).

The highest value of the maximum height is 0.6927 \(\mu\text{m}\) (second group), and the smallest value is 0.1519 \(\mu\text{m}\) (third group). The first group has the highest median height of 0.2743 \(\mu\text{m}\), in contrast to the third group, wherein the median height is the lowest (0.0525 \(\mu\text{m}\)). The second group has the highest values of Sa (0.0727 \(\mu\text{m}\)) and Sq (0.0949 \(\mu\text{m}\)), whereas the lowest values belongs to the third group: Sa (0.0133 \(\mu\text{m}\) and Sq (0.0188 \(\mu\text{m}\)). The biggest skewness (Ssk), qualifying the symmetry of the height distribution, is in the seventh group 1.37, and the smallest in the sixth group (-0.0092). The greatest value of the Kurtosis (Sku), qualifying the flatness of the height distribution, is for the third sample (4.09), and the smallest for the sixth one (-0.299). The inclination \(\theta\) is the largest in the fifth group (1.1\(^{\circ}\)), and the lowest in the third one (0.1\(^{\circ}\)).
The parameter inclination $\phi$ has the highest value, 174.1°, in the fifth group, and the smallest, equal to $-5.4^\circ$, in the third group.

The higher fractal dimension $D_f$ (average ± standard deviation) of 3D surfaces, as a measure of global scaling property, was found for the third group (2.23 ± 0.005), while the lower value was found for sixth group (2.14 ± 0.005). It can therefore be concluded that the smoothest surface is the third group, but the most regular one is the sixth group. A

---

**Fig. 2.** Contour map of the (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings surfaces (index 1) and the wavelet horizontal details coefficients of the analyzed surface (index 2): (a) ZnAgPm1 (0.0 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (b) ZnAgPm2 (4.3 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (c) ZnAgPm3 (5.6 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (d) ZnAgPm4 (6.0 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (e) ZnAgPm5 (6.4 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (f) ZnAgPm6 (9.3 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles); (g) ZnAgPm7 (14.1 mg cm$^{-3}$ of Ag particles). Scanning square areas of 5 $\mu$m × 5 $\mu$m. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
significant correlation ($P < 0.05$) is observed and indicates that applied method is also correct in the analysis on samples 3D morphology.

The analysis of diagrams shown in Figure 2 reveals that all samples show a non-homogeneous surface with a specific 3D morphology that can be estimated by the wavelet transform. To analyze the difference between the values of wavelet decomposition coefficients for all analyzed surfaces, further study was conducted. The study involved more accurate analysis of changes of the values of wavelet decomposition coefficients. To do that a colormap of the values of the wavelet decomposition coefficients was generated for each analyzed surface.

The analysis of the diagrams shown in Figure 3 indicates that in most cases values of coefficients are quite similar on the whole analyzed surface.

In the case of diagrams shown in Figures 3a and 3g referring to samples ZnAgPm1 (0.0 mg cm$^{-2}$ of Ag particles and ZnAgPm7 (14.1 mg cm$^{-2}$ of Ag particles) there are more areas where values of wavelet decomposition coefficients change significantly. Nevertheless, one should note that coefficient values are relatively uniform besides the areas of sudden signal change.

The most uniform values of coefficients are in the case of the samples ZnAgPm6 (9.3 mg cm$^{-2}$ of Ag particles) shown in Figure 3f and ZnAgPm4 (6.0 mg cm$^{-2}$ of Ag particles) shown in Figure 3d. For these samples it is easy to notice that values of decomposition coefficients are similar to one another on the major parts of the surface and there are few areas where sudden change of the signal occurs.
Diagrams shown in Figures 3b, 3c, and 3e indicate that for the samples: ZnAgPm2 (4.3 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles), ZnAgPm3 (5.6 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles), and ZnAgPm5 (6.4 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles) the differences between mutual values of wavelet decomposition coefficients are the highest ones. It is also easy to notice that these values are located isotropically (along the horizontal line).

**CONCLUSION**

Characteristic 3D topographic fractal parameter contributes substantially to evaluate the 3D surface micromorphology of (Zn/AgPs), which directly or indirectly influences the physical and the antibacterial effects properties and to provide a compact representation of complex morphologic information.

This study confirms the results obtained by Punith Kumar and Srivastava (2013), which demonstrated that the (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings surface morphology, depends on the material composition.

Our results suggest that AFM, the statistical surface roughness parameters, fractal analysis, and wavelet transform may provide additional insight in the surfaces engineering design and into the nature of the physical transformations that take place in the composite (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings. The height values distribution and fractal geometry-based parameters (fractal dimension \(D\)) have potential as tools for quantifying and identifying different 3D geometrical patterns in (Zn/AgPs) composite coatings, which could be extended to develop mathematical theoretical models which help to study structure, simulation of dynamics at interfaces, and thermodynamics processes at nanometer level.

**APPENDIX**

The basic properties of the height values distribution, including its variance, skewness, and kurtosis, computed according the Gwyddion 2.37 software and ISO 25178-2 is defined as follows:

**Fig. 3.** Distribution of values of wavelet decomposition coefficients on the surface: (a) ZnAgPm1 (0.0 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (b) ZnAgPm2 (4.3 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (c) ZnAgPm3 (5.6 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (d) ZnAgPm4 (6.0 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (e) ZnAgPm5 (6.4 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (f) ZnAgPm6 (9.3 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles); (g) ZnAgPm7 (14.1 mg cm\(^{-3}\) of Ag particles). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
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- RMS value of the height irregularities: this quantity is computed from data variance.
- $R_v$ value of the height irregularities: this quantity is similar to RMS value with the only difference in exponent (for RMS this exponent is $q=2$, for $R_v$ value is computed with exponent $q=1$ and absolute values of the data (zero mean).
- Height distribution skewness: computed from 3rd central moment of data values. Negative skew indicates a predominance of valleys, while positive skew is seen on surfaces with peaks.
- Height distribution kurtosis: computed from 4th central moment of data values. For spiky surfaces, $Sk > 3$, for bumpy surfaces, $Sk < 3$, perfectly random surfaces have kurtosis of 3.
- Mean inclination of facets in area: computed by averaging normalized facet direction vectors.
- Variation, which is calculated as the integral of the absolute value of the local gradient.
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