Chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of the essential oils from three ecotypes of *Zataria multiflora*
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**ABSTRACT**

*Background:* *Zataria multiflora* Boiss. is a traditional and popular spice in Iran. The effects of 3 ecotypes (ECTPs) of *Z. multiflora* essential oils (EOs) against most common causes of food-borne and nosocomial infections were evaluated. **Materials and Methods:** The antimicrobial activities of the EOs were examined by broth microdilution method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). The chemical compositions of the EOs from 3 ECTPs of *Z. multiflora* have been analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. **Results:** Analysis of the EOs indicated that 3 chemotypes were present in *Z. multiflora*, including carvacrol, thymol–carvacrol, and linalool, whereas previous studies have only found carvacrol and thymol. Inhibition studies showed that the tested EOs entirely inhibited the growth of yeasts at concentrations of less than 1 µL/mL. Moreover, the oils exhibited significant bacteriostatic and bactericidal activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria at concentrations ranging from 0.12 to 8 µL/mL. **Conclusion:** These results suggest that the EOs from *Z. multiflora* should be investigated further for possible use in antimicrobial products and food preservatives.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Zataria multiflora* Boiss. with the common Persian name “Avishan-e Shirazi” is a thyme-like essential oil (EO)-bearing plant that belongs to the Lamiaceae family and grows extensively wild in the central and southern parts of Iran, Pakistan, and Afghanistan.[¹] The dry aerial parts of the plant have been used for their flavor and preservative properties in the food products industry.[²] In Iran, *Z. multiflora* is mainly used in traditional folk remedies for its antiseptic, analgesic, and carminative (antiflatulence and intestine-soothing) properties.[³] It also has been reported that the EOs and extracts of *Z. multiflora* can stimulate innate immunity[⁴] and have antibacterial and antifungal activities.⁵ In addition, *Z. multiflora* EOs have been shown to cause inhibitory effects against radial fungal growth and aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* in cheese.[⁶] Moreover, the oil and extracts of *Z. multiflora* successfully inhibited the growth of bacteria associated with gastrointestinal infections, including *Staphylococcus aureus,*[⁷] enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli,*[⁸] *Salmonella Typhi* and Paratyphi,[⁹] *Shigella flexneri* and *Bacillus cereus.*[¹⁰] In the past 2 decades, the emergence of resistance to various antibiotics has accelerated dramatically. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) species, third-generation cephalosporin-resistant (TGCsR) *Escherichia coli*, imipenem and quinolone-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, antibacterial-resistant *Salmonella* and *Shigella* species, as well as azole-resistant *Candida* species are the top resistant pathogens responsible for food-borne or nosocomial infections.[¹⁰,¹¹]

To overcome antibiotic resistance, there is a great tendency toward using natural products and phytochemicals in the medicine and food industries. EOs, especially with known antibacterial effects, have the potential to be used in the food industry as a preservative, for spoilage prevention, and to increase the shelf life of products. Therefore, determining the antimicrobial properties of EOs might help to overcome microorganism resistance to antibiotics.
To the best of our knowledge, only a few published reports are available regarding the antimicrobial effects of the *Z. multiflora* EOs, especially against the above-mentioned resistant microorganisms. In the present study, the chemical constituents of 3 ecotypes (ECTPs) of *Z. multiflora* were studied and their components were compared with each other and to previously reported data. In addition, the antimicrobial effects of these ECTPs were evaluated against standard strains and clinical isolates of nosocomial infections as well as some food-borne agents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection of plant material**

Three ECTPs of *Z. multiflora* were used to extract the EOs. The plant ECTPs from which the EOs were extracted were collected from 3 different ecologic areas. The aerial parts of ECTP A, B, and C, including flowers were obtained from wild plants in Lamerd, Darab, and Zarghan regions in Fars province, Iran, respectively. Lamerd and Darab are about 855 m above the mean sea level with warm–dry climate, whereas Zarghan is about 1602 m and has a semi-arid climate.

The plant species were identified and authenticated by Dr. A.R. Khosravi, a plant taxonomist, at Shiraz University, Herbarium, Shiraz, Iran. Voucher specimens (No. 24984, 24985, and 24986) were deposited in the herbarium.

**EO preparation**

At full flowering stage, the aerial parts of the ECTPs were hydrodistilled for 2.5 h, using an all-glass Clevenger-type apparatus, according to the method outlined by the British Pharmacopoeia. The sample oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored in sealed vials at 4°C before gas chromatography and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analysis.

**EO analysis by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry**

The EOs were analyzed by GC–MS. The analysis was carried out on a Thermoquest–Finnigan Trace GC–MS instrument equipped with a DB-5 fused silica column (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm). Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at the constant flow of 1.1 mL/min; the split ratio was the same as for GC–MS. The oven temperature was raised from 60°C to 250°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held for 10 min. The injector and detector (FID) temperatures were kept at 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Semi-quantitative data were obtained from FID area percentages without the use of correction factors.

**Identification of EO components**

Retention indices (RI) were calculated by using retention times of n-alkanes (C6–C24) that were injected after the oil at the same temperature and conditions. The compounds were identified by comparing their RI with those reported in the literature, and their mass spectrum was compared with those reported in Wiley Library.

**Determination of antimicrobial activities**

**Microorganisms**

The antifungal activities of the EO against 5 American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) strains of fungi, including *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10261), *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 750), *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258), *C. glabrata* (ATCC 90030), and *C. parapsilosis* (ATCC 4344) were determined. In addition, the antimicrobial activities of the EO against 40 clinical isolates of yeasts identified by polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR–RFLP) were also examined. The antibacterial activities of the EO against standard species of *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923 and ATCC 700698), *E. faecalis* (ATCC11700), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (ATCC 43894), *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *S. flecneri* (NCTC 8516), *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (ATCC 14028), and clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa* collected from the Dr. Faghihi Hospital (Shiraz, Iran) were also determined in this study. The susceptibility of all clinical isolates of bacteria and fungi against select antibiotics were examined by microdilution and disk diffusion methods.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration**

MICs were determined using the broth microdilution method recommended by the CLSI with some modifications. Briefly, for determination of antimicrobial activities against yeast, serial dilutions of the EOs (0.007–32.0 µL/mL) were prepared in 96-well microtiter plates using RPMI-1640 media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) buffered with MOPS (Sigma). To determine the antibacterial activities, serial dilutions of the EOs (0.03–128.0 µL/mL) were prepared in Muller–Hinton media (Merek, Darmstadt, Germany). Test yeasts or bacteria strains were suspended in media and the cell densities were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standards at 530 nm wavelength using a spectrophotometric method.

**Gaseous column**

Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at the constant flow of 1.1 mL/min; the split ratio was the same as for GC–MS. The oven temperature was raised from 60°C to 250°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held for 10 min. The injector and detector (FID) temperatures were kept at 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Semi-quantitative data were obtained from FID area percentages without the use of correction factors.

**Identification of EO components**

Retention indices (RI) were calculated by using retention times of n-alkanes (C6–C24) that were injected after the oil at the same temperature and conditions. The compounds were identified by comparing their RI with those reported in the literature, and their mass spectrum was compared with those reported in Wiley Library.

**Determination of antimicrobial activities**

**Microorganisms**

The antifungal activities of the EO against 5 American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) strains of fungi, including *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10261), *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 750), *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258), *C. glabrata* (ATCC 90030), and *C. parapsilosis* (ATCC 4344) were determined. In addition, the antimicrobial activities of the EO against 40 clinical isolates of yeasts identified by polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR–RFLP) were also examined. The antibacterial activities of the EO against standard species of *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923 and ATCC 700698), *E. faecalis* (ATCC11700), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (ATCC 43894), *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *S. flecneri* (NCTC 8516), *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (ATCC 14028), and clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa* collected from the Dr. Faghihi Hospital (Shiraz, Iran) were also determined in this study. The susceptibility of all clinical isolates of bacteria and fungi against select antibiotics were examined by microdilution and disk diffusion methods.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration**

MICs were determined using the broth microdilution method recommended by the CLSI with some modifications. Briefly, for determination of antimicrobial activities against yeast, serial dilutions of the EOs (0.007–32.0 µL/mL) were prepared in 96-well microtiter plates using RPMI-1640 media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) buffered with MOPS (Sigma). To determine the antibacterial activities, serial dilutions of the EOs (0.03–128.0 µL/mL) were prepared in Muller–Hinton media (Merek, Darmstadt, Germany). Test yeasts or bacteria strains were suspended in media and the cell densities were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standards at 530 nm wavelength using a spectrophotometric method.
The qualitative and quantitative compositions of the EOs of the full flowering, aerial parts of *Z. multiflora* ECTPs are presented in Table 1. GC–MS analyses showed that the main constituents of the EOs from ECTPs A and B were carvacrol (82.7%) and thymol-carvacrol (38.88%/27.16%), whereas that of ECTP C was linalool (87.35%).

The antibacterial activities of *Z. multiflora* EOs against the tested bacteria are shown in Table 2. The EOs inhibited the growth of all Gram-positive cocci at concentrations of 0.12–4 µL/mL. Furthermore, the EOs exhibited bactericidal activity (MBC) for all of the above-mentioned Gram-positive cocci with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) about half of those of ECTPs B and C. No significant differences in inhibitory concentrations were found between antibiotic-resistant and -susceptible strains. All of the *E. coli* strains were susceptible to *Z. multiflora* EOs at concentrations of 0.12–8 µL/mL, while the EOs only inhibited the growth of about half of the isolates of *P. aeruginosa* at concentrations of 2–128 µL/mL. In addition, the EOs had bactericidal activity against all of the strains of *E. coli* and some strains...
Table 2: Antibacterial activity (MIC and MBC) of essential oils distilled from *Zataria multiflora*'s ecotypes

| Bacteria (number of strains) | ECTP A | ECTP B | ECTP C |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                             | MIC90 (µL/mL) | MBC (µL/mL) | MIC90 (µL/mL) | MBC (µL/mL) | MIC90 (µL/mL) | MBC (µL/mL) |
|                             | GM* (range)   | GM* (range)   | GM* (range)   | GM* (range)   | GM* (range)   | GM* (range)   |
| Gram positive               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Methicillin-resistant       | 0.557 (0.12–1) | 2.83 (1–4) | 1.414 (1–2) | 5.04 (2–8) | 1.414 (1–2) | 3.56 (2–8) |
| S. aureus (6)               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Methicillin-sensitive       | 0.442 (0.12–1) | 2.444 (1–4) | 0.89 (0.5–1) | 3.174 (2–4) | 1.122 (1–2) | 4.49 (2–8) |
| S. aureus (6)               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Vancomycin-resistant        | 0.5 (0.5)   | 1 (1)    | 2.828 (2–4) | 2.828 (2–4) | 1.414 (1–2) | 2 (2) |
| *E. faecalis* (2)           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Vancomycin-sensitive        | 0.435 (0.25–1) | 1.148 (1–2) | 1.319 (1–2) | 1.64 (2–4) | 2 (1–4) | 4 (4) |
| *E. faecalis* (5)           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Vancomycin-resistant        | 0.42 (0.25–0.5) | 1 (1)   | 0.84 (0.5–2) | 2.378 (1–4) | 1.19 (1–2) | 6.72 (4–8) |
| *E. faecium* (4)            |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Gram negative               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Third-generation            | 1.148 (0.5–2) | 1.319 (0.5–4) | 0.757 (0.5–2) | 1 (0.5–4) | 3.031 (2–8) | 4.59 (2–8) |
| cephalosporin-resistant     |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| *E. coli* (6)               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Third-generation            | 0.659 (0.12–1) | 0.659 (0.12–1) | 1.515 (0.25–2) | 2.297 (0.25–4) | 2.297 (1–4) | 3.031 (2–4) |
| cephalosporin-sensitive     |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| *E. coli* (5)               |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Multidrug-resistant         | 64 to ≥128 | 64≥128 | 32≥28 | 64≥128 | 32 to ≥128 | 64 to ≥128 |
| *P. aeruginosa* (6)         |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Sensitive strain            | 64 to ≥128 | ≥128    | 32≥128 | 32≥128 | 32 to ≥128 | 64 to ≥128 |
| *P. aeruginosa* (5)         |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| *Sh. flexneri* NCTC 8516    | >0.12     | >0.12   | 0.06   | 0.12   | 0.5       | 1 |
| S. enterica ATCC 14028      | >0.12     | >0.12   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 2         | 2 |

ECTP: ecotype, MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration, MBC: Minimum bactericidal concentration, *Geometric mean

of *P. aeruginosa* at concentrations of 0.12–8 µL/mL and 4–128 µL/mL, respectively.

The antimicrobial activities of *Z. multiflora* EO against yeasts are shown in Table 3. For the clinical and standard yeasts tested, the MICs for the EOs were 0.003–0.5 µL/mL. Among the examined EOs, ECTP A had the highest fungicidal activity with MFC values ranging from 0.03 to 0.25 µL/mL, followed by ECTP B (MFC: 0.03–1 µL/mL) and ECTP C (MFC: 0.25–4 µL/mL).

**DISCUSSION**

The composition of the EOs may vary greatly depending on the geographic region from which they are collected. The chemical composition of *Z. multiflora* EO has already been reported. Based on GC–MS analyses of the oils collected from different regions of Fars province in this study, 3 distinct chemotypes were identified. Similar to the majority of earlier studies, we found carvacrol (82.7%) as the major ingredient of the EO extracted from the aerial parts of *Z. multiflora* collected from the Lamerd region (ECTP A). The higher concentration of carvacrol in this study as compared to those of previous reports may reflect variations due to geographical location from which the plants were collected. Alternatively, it may be due to differences in collecting fresh plants from fields as was done in this study vs purchasing them from herbal stores as in some studies, which may lead to the loss of parts of their volatile compounds.

Similar to previous reports, a combination of 2 phenolic compounds, including carvacrol and thymol as the main constituents, was identified in the *Z. multiflora* EO collected from the Darab region (ECTP B), whereas very low amounts of thymol (<0.1%) were detected in the EO from the Lamerd region (ECTP A). Moreover, we found linalool (87.35%) as the main component of the EO collected from the Zarghan region (ECTP C). The identification of a linalool chemotype (ECTP C) in this study is in agreement with the study by Mohagheghzadeh et al. that reported high concentrations of linalool (60.39%) and linalyl acetate (8.55%) in the *Z. multiflora* EO collected from Kolahghazi (near Isfahan, Iran), which introduced an alcoholic chemotype as well as phenolic ones. **Candida** species are associated with mucocutaneous infections and currently are considered as the fourth most
common causes of bloodstream infections. During the past several years, resistance to traditional triazole antifungal drugs, such as itraconazole and fluconazole, among clinical isolates of Candida has increased dramatically, justifying demands for novel antifungals.\(^2\) The MICs and MFCs of the EOs of the 3 ECTPs of Z. multiflora were determined for the examined yeasts, showing strong anti-Candida activity of Z. multiflora EO with high thymol and carvacrol concentrations.\(^2\) The lower MICs and MFCs of the examined EOs in this study as compared with that of a previous report\(^2\) may be due to differences in the oil constituents or in the method used to assay antimicrobial activity (they used a macrodilution method and Sabouraud dextrose broth instead of a microdilution method and RPMI). Although the EO concentrations that caused inhibition were higher than those of antifungal drugs, the results are of interest because the EO is a mixture of components and not a pure compound.\(^2\) As the 0.1% Z. multiflora cream was used successfully in the treatment of vaginal candidiasis,\(^2\) the tested EOs may be potentially valuable as natural treatments of mucocutaneous candidiasis and geotrichosis.

Based on previous epidemiologic studies, E. coli O157:H7 accounts for many food-borne outbreaks in different countries. In this study, the EOs inhibited the growth of this strain at concentrations of 0.12, 0.25, and 2 µL/mL for ECTPs A, B, and C, respectively, which can be best compared to the study reported by Fazlara et al. on the same strain.\(^6\) Similar to the study by Abbasgholizadeh et al., the EOs showed bactericidal effects against the clinical isolates of TGCsR and TGCsS. E. coli at concentrations ranging from 0.12 to 8 µL/mL.\(^2\) In addition, the EOs exhibited inhibitory and bactericidal activities against S. Typhimurium from the EO of Z. multiflora, which is rich in thymol and carvacrol.\(^2\) Moreover, they reported that Z. multiflora EO had strong antibacterial activity against S. Typhimurium, which is most comparable with the ECTP B in the present study.\(^2\)

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the 4 most common causes of nosocomial infections, often causing postsurgical wound contamination. It is also considered as one of the main etiologic agents of food-borne infections.\(^1\) In addition, there is major concern about this species due to the fast development of methicillin resistance. Similar to previous reports,\(^7\) the growth of the standard and clinical isolates of MRSA and MSSA was inhibited by ECTPs A, B, and C of Z. multiflora EOs at concentrations of 0.55 to 1.41 µL/
ml, respectively. In another study, Mahboubi and Ghazian found a chemotype of the Z. multiflora oil rich in thymol/ carvacrol that significantly inhibited the growth of both MRSA and MSSA at concentrations ranging from 0.06 to 1 µl/mL, which is comparable to the ECTP B results found in this study.\[^{28}\] Interestingly, the tested EOs had bactericidal activities and killed all of the S. aureus at concentrations less than 8 µl/mL. Moreover, it has been shown that the EO significantly prevented production of staphylococcal enterotoxin C during the manufacturing process of white brined cheese at concentrations as low as 5 µl/100 ml.\[^{28}\] Hence, it might be used as a preservative additive in the food industry.

Vancomycin-resistant E. faecium is a problematic pathogen with few treatment options. All the tested ECTPs exhibited strong antimicrobial activities against vancomycin-resistant E. faecium as well as both vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis (VREF) and vancomycin-sensitive E. faecalis (VSEf).\[^{4}\] The MICs of ECTP A of Z. multiflora EO against VREFs and VSEFs in this study were much lower than those reported by Ravaneshad et al., which used commercial EO and a macrodilution method.\[^{29}\]

Among Gram-negative bacteria, P. aeruginosa appears to be the least sensitive to the EOs. In the present study, the tested EOs (ECTPs of Z. multiflora) killed 30%–50% of the susceptible and multidrug-resistant strains of P. aeruginosa at concentrations of up to 128 µl/mL (with MIC values ranging from 32 to 128 µl/mL).

The MICs and MBCs of the EOs against the examined Gram-negative bacteria were almost the same, whereas the MBCs of Gram-positive bacteria were 2–4 times higher than their corresponding MICs. One of the main characteristics of EOs is their hydrophobicity, which enables their incorporation into the cell membrane.\[^{17}\]

Among the tested ECTPs, ECTP A had the lowest MICs followed by ECTP B and ECTP C against both susceptible and resistant strains. ECTP A and B were both rich in phenolic monoterpenes, including carvacrol and thymol. It has been shown that these phenolic monoterpenes have hydroxyl groups at different positions around the phenolic ring and exhibit their antimicrobial activities through disruption of the cytoplasmic membrane, which leads to leakage of ions and ATP.\[^{18}\]

**CONCLUSION**

Among the studied ECTPs, ECTP A with high concentration of carvacrol showed better antimicrobial activities than ECTP B and C. As the food industry tends to reduce the use of chemical preservatives in the food products, the EO of Z. multiflora with potential active antimicrobial properties might be considered as a natural source for the maintenance or extension of the shelf life of products. In addition, delectable taste of the EO at the concentrations needed for antimicrobial properties was a bonus to its antimicrobial effects. On the other hand, these EOs might also be considered for developing antibiotics and disinfectants for controlling infections caused by nosocomial pathogens. As these tests have all been done in vitro, the next step maybe is to further investigate in animal models to see if infection can be inhibited by these EOs.
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