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ABSTRACT

We review our recent results on computation of the higher genus characters for vertex operator superalgebras modules. The vertex operator formal parameters are associated to local parameters on Riemann surfaces formed in one of two schemes of (self- or tori-) sewing of lower genus Riemann surfaces. For the free fermion vertex operator superalgebra we present a closed formula for the genus 2 continuous orbifold partition functions (in either sewings) in terms of an infinite dimensional determinant with entries arising from the original torus Szegö kernel. This partition function is holomorphic in the sewing parameters on a given suitable domain and possesses natural modular properties. Several higher genus generalizations of classical (including Fay’s and Jacobi triple product) identities show up in a natural way in the vertex operator algebra approach.
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1. Vertex Operator Super Algebras

In this paper (based on several conference talks of the author) we review our recent results [1-5] on construction and computation of correlation functions of vertex operator superalgebras with a formal parameter associated to local coordinates on a self-sewn Riemann surface of genus \( g \) which forms a genus \( g + 1 \) surface. In particular, we review result presented in the papers [1-5] accomplished in collaboration with M. P. Tuite (National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland).

A Vertex Operator Superalgebra (VOSA) [6-10] is a quadruple \( (V, Y, 1, \omega) \):

\[
V = V_1 \oplus V_T = \bigoplus_{z \in \mathbb{C}} V_z,
\]

\[
\dim V_z < \infty,
\]

is a superspace, \( Y \) is a linear map

\[
Y : V \rightarrow (\text{End} V)[[z, z^{-1}]],
\]

so that for any vector (state) \( u \in V \) we have

\[
u(k) 1 = \delta_{k,0} u, \quad k \geq -1,
\]

\[
Y(u,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(n) z^{n+1},
\]

\[
u(n) V_a \subset V_{a + p(n)}, \quad p(u)\text{-parity}.
\]

The linear operators (modes) \( u(n) : V \rightarrow V \) satisfy creativity

\[
Y(u,z) 1 = u + O(z),
\]

and lower truncation

\[
u(n)v = 0,
\]

conditions for \( u, v \in V \) and \( n \gg 0 \).

These axioms identity impy locality, associativity, commutation and skew-symmetry:

\[
(z_1 - z_2)^n Y(u, z_1) Y(v, z_2) = (-1)^{p(u,v)} (z_1 - z_2)^n Y(v, z_1) Y(u, z_2),
\]

\[
(z_0 + z_2)^n Y(u, z_0 + z_2) Y(v, z_2) w = (z_0 + z_2)^n Y(Y(u, z_0) v, z_2) w,
\]

\[
u(k) Y(v, z) - (-1)^{p(u,v)} Y(v, z) u(k) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j Y(u(j), v, z) z^{-j},
\]

\[
Y(u, z) v = (-1)^{p(v)} e^{z(l-1)} Y(v, -z) u,
\]

for \( u, v, w \in V \) and integers \( m, n \gg 0 \).
\[ p(u,v) = p(u) p(v). \]

The vacuum vector \( 1 \in V_{0,0} \) is such that, \( Y(1,z) = \text{Id}_W \), and \( \omega \in V_{\infty} \) the conformal vector satisfies \( Y(\omega,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}, \)

where \( L(n) \) form a Virasoro algebra for a central charge \( C \)

\[ L(m) L(n) = (m-n) L(m+n) + \frac{C}{12} (m^3 - m) \delta_{m,-n}. \]

\( L(-1) \) satisfies the translation property \( Y(L(-1) u, z) = \partial Y(u, z). \)

\( L(0) \) describes a grading with \( L(0) u = w(t) u \), and \( V_r = \{ u \in V \mid w(t) u = r \}. \)

### 1.1. VOSAs Modules

**Definition 1** A \( V \)-module for a VOSAs \( V \) is a pair \( (W, Y_w) \), \( W \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-graded vector space \( W = \bigoplus W_r \), \( \dim W_r < \infty \), \( W_{r+n} = 0 \) for all \( r \) and \( n < 0 \). \( Y_w : V \rightarrow \text{End}(W) \)

\[ Y_w : V \rightarrow \text{End}(W) \left[ \left[ z, z^{-1} \right] \right], \]

\[ Y_w (u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-1}, \]

for each \( u \in V \), \( Y_w : W \rightarrow W \). \( Y_w (1, z) = \text{Id}_W \), and for the conformal vector

\[ Y_w (\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}, \]

where \( L_w (0) w = rw \), \( w \in W_r \). The module vertex operators satisfy the Jacobi identity:

\[ z_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_w (u, z_1) Y_w (v, z_2) \]

\[ -(-1)^{\rho(w) \rho(v)} z_1^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_2-z_1}{z_1} \right) Y_r (v, z_2) Y_w (u, z_1) \]

\[ = z_1^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_2-z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_w (Y(u, z_0) v, z_2). \]

Recall that \( \delta (z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n \). The above axioms imply that \( L_w (n) \) satisfies the Virasoro algebra for the same central charge \( C \) and that the translation property

\[ Y_w (L(-1) u, z) = \partial Y_w (u, z). \]

### 1.2. Twisted Modules

We next define the notion of a twisted \( V \)-module \([8,11]\).

Let \( g \) be a \( V \)-automorphism \( g \), i.e., a linear map preserving \( 1 \) and \( \omega \) such that

\[ g Y(v, z) g^{-1} = Y(gv, z), \]

for all \( v \in V \). We assume that \( V \) can be decomposed into \( g \)-eigenspaces

\[ V = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathbb{C}} V^\rho, \]

where \( V^\rho \) denotes the eigenspace of \( g \) with eigenvalue \( e^{2\pi \rho} \).

**Definition 2** A \( g \)-twisted \( V \)-module for a VOSAs \( V \) is a pair \( (W^g, Y_g) \), \( W^g = \bigoplus W^g_r \), \( \dim W^g_r < \infty \), \( W^g_{r+n} = 0 \) for all \( r \) and \( n < 0 \). \( Y_g : V \rightarrow \text{End}(W^g) \)

\[ Y_g (v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_g (v, z), \]

with \( Y_g (\rho+1) w = w \), \( w \in W^g \), \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \) sufficiently large.

\[ Y_g (1, z) = \text{Id}_{W^g} \]

\[ Y_g (\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_g (n) z^{-n-2}, \]

where \( L_g (0) w = rw \), \( w \in W^g \). The \( g \)-twisted vertex operators satisfy the twisted Jacobi identity:

\[ z_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_g (u, z_1) Y_g (v, z_2) \]

\[ -(-1)^{\rho(w) \rho(v)} z_1^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_2-z_1}{z_1} \right) Y_g (v, z_2) Y_g (u, z_1) \]

\[ = z_1^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{z_2-z_0}{z_1} \right) Y_g (Y(u, z_0) v, z_2), \]

for \( u \in V^\rho \).

### 1.3. Creative Intertwining Operators

We define the notion of creative intertwining operators in \([3]\). Suppose we have a VOA \( V \) with a \( V \)-module \( (W, Y_w) \).

**Definition 3** A Creative Intertwining Vertex Operator \( \mathcal{Y} \) for a VOA \( V \)-module \( (W, Y_w) \) is defined by a linear map

\[ \mathcal{Y}(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(w) z^{-n-1}, \]

for \( w \in W \) with modes \( \phi(w) : V \rightarrow W \); satisfies creativity

\[ \mathcal{Y}(w, z) 1 = w + O(z), \]

for \( w \in W \) and lower truncation

\[ \phi(w) v = 0, \]

for \( v \in V \), \( w \in W \) and \( n \gg 0 \). The intertwining vertex operators satisfy the Jacobi identity:
for all $u \in V$ and $w \in W$.

These axioms imply that the intertwining vertex operators satisfy translation, locality, associativity, commutativity and skew-symmetry:

$\mathcal{Y}(L_w (1) w, z) = \partial \mathcal{Y}(w, z)$, 

$(z_1 - z_2) w \mathcal{Y}(u, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(w, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^w \mathcal{Y}(w, z_2) \mathcal{Y}(u, z_1)$,

$(z_0 + z_2)^{y} \mathcal{Y}(u, z_0 + z_2) \mathcal{Y}(w, z_2) v = (z_0 + z_2)^{y} \mathcal{Y}(w, z_2) \mathcal{Y}(u, z_0)v$,

$u_{\mathcal{Y}}(k) \mathcal{Y}(w, z) = \mathcal{Y}(w, z) u(k)$,

$\mathcal{Y}(w, z) v = e^{2\pi i (z_1 - z_2)} u_{\mathcal{Y}}(v, -z) w$,

for $u, v \in V, w \in W$ and integers $m, n \gg 0$.

1.4. Example: Heisenberg Intertwining
Consider the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $M$, generated by weight one normalized Heisenberg vector $\alpha$ with modes obeying

$[\alpha(n), \alpha(m)] = n \delta_{n,-m}, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In [3] we consider an extension $\mathcal{M} = \cup_{a \in \mathbb{C}} M_a$ of $M$ by its irreducible modules $M_a$ generated by a $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous parameter $\alpha$ automorphism $g = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$.

We introduce an extra operator $q$ which is canonically conjugate to the zero mode $\alpha(0)$, i.e.,

$[\alpha(n), q] = \delta_{n,0}$.

The state $1 \otimes e^a \in \mathcal{M}$ is created by the action of $e^{aq}$ on the state $1 \otimes e^a$. Using $q$-conjugation and associativity properties, we explicitly construct in [3] the creative intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}(u, z) : M \to M_a$. We then prove:

**Theorem 1 (Tuite-Z)** The creative intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}$ for $\mathcal{M}$ are generated by $q$-conjugation of vertex operators of $M$. For a Heisenberg state $u$, 

$\mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^a, z) = e^{aq} \mathcal{Y}(e^a, z) \mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^0) Y(z, e^a) z^{\alpha a(0)}$

$Y_0(e^a, z) = \exp \left( -a \sum_{n \gg 0} a(zn) \frac{z}{n} \right)$.

The operators $\mathcal{Y}$ with some extra cocycle structure satisfy a natural extension from rational to complex parameters of the notion of a Generalized VOA as described by Dong and Lepowsky [7,12]. We then prove in [3].

**Theorem 2 (Tuite-Z)** $\mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^a, z)$ satisfy the generalized Jacobi identity

$\mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^a, z) v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma = e^{a q \beta} \mathcal{Y}(v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma) \mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^\alpha, z)$

$\mathcal{Y}(v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma) = \exp \left( -a \sum_{n \gg 0} a(zn) \frac{z}{n} \right)$.

for all $u \otimes e^a, v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$.

1.5. Invariant Form for the Extended Heisenberg Algebra
The definitions of invariant forms [8,13] for a VOSA and its $g$-twisted modules were given by Scheithauer [14] and in [2] correspondingly. A bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be invariant if for all $u \otimes e^a, v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$\langle \mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^a, z) v \otimes e^\beta, w \otimes e^\gamma \rangle = e^{a q \beta} \langle v \otimes e^\beta, \mathcal{Y}(u \otimes e^\alpha, z) w \otimes e^\gamma \rangle$,

$\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(u \otimes e^a, z) = \mathcal{Y}^\gamma e^{a q \beta} z \frac{(\lambda z^2)^{2\alpha(0)}}{(z^2)^{2\beta(0)}} (u \otimes e^a), \frac{z^2}{2}$.
We are interested in the Möbius map \( z \mapsto w = \frac{\rho}{z} \) associated with the sewing condition so that \( \lambda = -\xi \rho^2 \), with \( \xi \in \{ \pm \sqrt{-1} \} \). We prove in [3]

**Theorem 3 (Tuite-Z)** The invariant form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) is symmetric, unique and invertible with

\[
\left\langle v \otimes e^a, w \otimes e^b \right\rangle = \lambda^{-\alpha} \delta_{a \cdot \cdot b} \left\langle v \otimes e^a, w \otimes e^b \right\rangle.
\]

### 1.6. Rank Two Free Fermionic Vertex Operator Super Algebra

Consider the Vertex Operator Super Algebra (VOSA) generated by

\[
Y\left( \psi, z \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^z(n) z^{-n-1},
\]

for two vectors \( \psi^z \) with modes satisfying anti-commutation relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \psi^z(m), \psi^z(n) \right] &= \delta_{m+n,0}, \\
\left[ \psi^z(m), \psi^\dagger(n) \right] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The VOSA vector space \( V = \oplus_{k \geq 0} V_{k/2} \) is a Fock space with basis vectors

\[
\Psi(k,l) = \psi^z(-k_1) \cdots \psi^z(-k_s) \psi^\dagger(-l_1) \cdots \psi^\dagger(-l_t) 1,
\]

of weight

\[
\operatorname{wt} [\Psi(k,l)] = \sum (k_i + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum (l_j + \frac{1}{2}),
\]

where \( 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_s \) and \( 1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_t \) with \( \psi^z(k) 1 = 0 \) for all \( k \geq 0 \).

### 1.7. Rank Two Fermionic Vertex Operator Super Algebra

The conformal vector is

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi^z(-2) \psi^\dagger(-1) + \psi^\dagger(-2) \psi^z(-1) \right] 1,
\]

whose modes generate a Virasoro algebra of central charge 1. \( \psi^z \) has \( L(0) \)-weight \( \frac{1}{2} \). The weight 1 subspace of \( V \) is \( V_1 = \mathbb{C} a \), for normalized Heisenberg bosonic vector \( a = \psi^z(-1) \psi^\dagger(-1) 1 \), the conformal vector, and the Virasoro grading operator are

\[
\begin{align*}
[ a(m), a(n) ] &= m \delta_{m+n,0}, \\
\omega &= \frac{1}{2} a(-1)^2 1, \\
L(0) &= a(0)^2 \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n \neq 0} a(-n) a(n).
\end{align*}
\]

### 2. Sewing of Riemann Surfaces

#### 2.1. Basic Notions

For standard homology basis \( a_i, b_i \) with \( i = 1, \cdots, g \) on a genus \( g \) Riemann surface \([15,16]\) consider the *normalized differential of the second kind* which is a symmetric meromorphic form with \( \oint_{a_i} \omega^{(e)}(z,) = 0 \), has the form

\[
\omega^{(e)}(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \quad \text{for local coordinates } z_1 - z_2.
\]

A normalized basis of holomorphic 1-forms \( \nu_i \), the period matrix \( \Omega^{(e)}_{\nu_i} \), and normalized differential of the third kind are given by

\[
\nu_i^{(e)}(z) = \oint_{a_i} \omega^{(e)}(z, \cdot), \quad \oint_{a_i} \nu_j^{(e)} = 2\pi i \delta_{ij},
\]

\[
\Omega^{(e)}_{\nu_i} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{a_i} \nu_j^{(e)}(z), \quad \omega^{(e)}_{p_2 - p_1}(z) = \int_{p_2}^{p_1} \omega^{(e)}(z, \cdot),
\]

where \( \oint_{a_i} \omega^{(e)}_{p_2 - p_1} = 0 \), \( \omega^{(e)}_{p_2 - p_1}(z) - \frac{(z)}{z - p_a} \) for \( z \neq p_a \), \( a = 1, 2 \).

#### 2.2. Period matrix

\( \Omega^{(e)}(z) \) is symmetric with positive imaginary part *i.e.* \( \Omega^{(e)} \in \mathbb{H}_g \), the Siegel upper half plane. The canonical intersection form on cycles is preserved under the action of the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \) where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}).
\]

This induces the modular action on \( \mathbb{H}_g \)

\[
\Omega^{(e)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(e)} = \left( A\Omega^{(e)} + B \right) \left( C\Omega^{(e)} + D \right)^{-1}.
\]

#### 2.3. Sewing Two Tori to Form a Genus Two Riemann Surface

Consider \([1,17]\) two oriented tori \( \Sigma_a^{(i)} = \mathbb{C}/ \Lambda_a \) with \( a = 1, 2 \) for \( \Lambda_a = 2\pi i (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau_a \mathbb{Z}) \) for \( \tau_a \in \mathbb{H}_1 \), the complex upper half plane. For \( z_a \in \Sigma_a^{(i)} \) the closed disk \( |z_a| \leq r_a \) is contained in \( \Sigma_a^{(i)} \) provided \( r_a < \frac{1}{2} D(\tau_a) \)

\[
D(\tau_a) = \min_{z_a \neq \tau_a, z_a \neq 0} |z_a| = \text{minimal lattice distance}.
\]

Introduce a sewing parameter \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} \) and excise the disks \( |z_1| \leq |\varepsilon|/r_1 \) and \( |z_2| \leq |\varepsilon|/r_2 \) where

\[
|\varepsilon| \leq r_1 r_2 < \frac{1}{4} D(\tau_1) D(\tau_2).
\]
identify the annular regions $|z_1| \leq r_2 \leq |z_1| \leq r_1$ and $|z_1| \leq |z_1| \leq r_2$ via the sewing relation

$$z_1 z_2 = \epsilon, \quad z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = 0$$

gives a genus two Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(2)}$ parameterized by the domain

$$D^2 = \left\{(r_1, r_2, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{H}_1 \times \mathbb{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \left| \frac{1}{4} D(r_1) D(r_2) \right. \right\}.$$ 

2.4. Torus Self-Sewing to Form a Genus Two Riemann Surface

In [1] we describe procedures of sewing Riemann surfaces [17]. Consider a self-sewing of the oriented torus $\Sigma^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$, $\Lambda = 2\pi i (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_1$.

Define the annuli $A_a$, $a = 1, 2$ centered at $z = 0$ and $z = w$ of $\Sigma^{(1)}$ with local coordinates $z_1 = z$ and $z_2 = z - w$ respectively. We use the convention $\tau = 1$, $\overline{\tau} = 1$. Take the outer radius of $A_a$ to be

$$r_a < \frac{1}{2} D(q) = \min_{a, b, \lambda, \epsilon = 0} |\lambda|.$$

Introduce a complex parameter $\rho$, $|\rho| \leq r_2$. Take inner radius to be $|\rho|/r_\tau$, with $|\rho| \leq r_2$. $r_\tau$, $r_2$ must be sufficiently small to ensure that the disks do not intersect. Excise the disks

$$\left\{z_1, z_2 \in |\rho| r_\tau^{-1} \right\} \subset \Sigma^{(1)},$$

to form a twice-punctured surface

$$\Sigma^{(1)} = \Sigma^{(1)} \setminus \bigcup_{a=1,2} \left\{z_1, z_2 \in |\rho| r_\tau^{-1} \right\}.$$

Identify the annular regions $A_b \subset \Sigma^{(1)}$,

$$A_b = \left\{z_1 \left| \rho \right| r_\tau^{-1} \leq |z_1| \leq r_1 \right\}$$
as a single region $A = A_1 = A_2$ via the sewing relation

$$z_1 z_2 = \rho,$$
to form a compact genus two Riemann surface

$$\Sigma^{(2)} = \Sigma^{(1)} \setminus \{A_1 \cup A_2\} \cup A,$$

parameterized by

$$D^2 = \left\{(\tau, w, \rho) \in \mathbb{H}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \left| w - \lambda > 2 |\rho|^{1/2}, \lambda \in \Lambda \right. \right\}.$$ 

3. Elliptic Functions

3.1. Weierstrass Function

The Weierstrass $\wp$-function periodic in $z$ with periods $2\pi i$ and $2\pi i \tau$ is

$$\wp(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - \omega_{n,\tau})^2} - \frac{1}{\omega_{n,\tau}^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{n \neq 0 \text{ even}} (n-1) E_n(\tau) z^{n-2},$$

for $(z, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, $\omega_{n,\tau} = 2\pi i (m \tau + n)$. We define for $k \geq 1$,

$$P_k (z, \tau) = \left(\frac{-1}{k-1}\right) \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial z^{k-1}} P_1 (z, \tau)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} + \left(-1\right)^k \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{n-1}{k-1}\right) E_n(\tau) z^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$P_k (z, \tau) = \wp(z, \tau) + E_2 (z).$$

$P_k$ has periodicities

$$P_k (z + 2\pi i, \tau) = P_k (z, \tau),$$

$$P_k (z + 2\pi i \tau, \tau) = P_k (z, \tau) - \delta_{k1}.$$ 

3.2. Eisenstein Series

The Eisenstein series $E_n(\tau)$ is equal to 0 for $n$ odd, and for $n$

$$E_n(\tau) = -\frac{B_n(0)}{n!} + \frac{2}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{r^{n-1} q^i}{1-q^i},$$

where $B_n(0)$ is the $n$th Bernoulli number. If $n \geq 4$ then $E_n(\tau)$ is a holomorphic modular form of weight $n$ on $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$

$$E_n(\gamma \cdot \tau) = (c \tau + d)^n E_n(\tau),$$

for all $\gamma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right) \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, where $\gamma \cdot \tau = \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d}$. 
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$E_2(\tau)$ is a quasimodular form

$$E_2(\gamma \cdot \tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) - \frac{c(c\tau + d)}{2\pi i},$$

having the exceptional transformation law.

### 3.3. The Theta Function

We recall the definition of the theta function with real characteristics [18]

$$\theta^{(s)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right] (z|\Omega^{(s)}) =$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left( i\pi (m + \alpha) \cdot (m + \alpha) + (m + \alpha) \cdot (z + 2\pi i \beta) \right),$$

for

$$\alpha = (\alpha_j), \beta = (\beta_j) \in \mathbb{R}^g, z = (z_j) \in \mathbb{C}^g,$$

$$\theta_j = -e^{2\pi i \beta_j}, \phi_j = e^{2\pi i \alpha_j}, j = 1, \ldots, g,$$

$$\theta^{(s)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right] (z|\Omega^{(s)}) = e^{2\pi i \alpha r} e^{i\omega(z-r)} \theta^{(s)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha + r \\ \beta + s \end{array} \right] (z|\Omega^{(s)}),$$

for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

### 3.4. Twisted Elliptic Functions

Let $(\theta, \phi) \in U(1) \times U(1)$ denote a pair of modulus one complex parameters with $\phi = \exp(2\pi i \lambda)$ for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ we define “twisted” Weierstrass functions for $k \geq 1$ [19,20]

$$P_k \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (z, \tau) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \frac{n^{k-1} q^n}{1 - \theta^{n} q^n},$$

for $q = q_{2\pi i \tau}$ where $\sum$ means we omit $n = 0$ if $(\theta, \phi) = (1,1)$.

**Lemma 1 (Mason-Tuite-Z)** For $(\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1)$,

$$P_k \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (z, \tau)$$

is periodic in $z$ with periods $2\pi i \tau$ and $2\pi i$ with multipliers $\theta$ and $\phi$ respectively.

### 3.5. Modular Properties of Twisted Weierstrass Functions

Define the standard left action of the modular group for

$$\gamma = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

on $(z, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ with

$$\gamma \cdot (z, \tau) = \left( \frac{az + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{c\tau + d}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

We also define a left action of $\Gamma$ on $(\theta, \phi)$

$$\gamma \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta^* \phi^* \\ \theta \phi \end{array} \right].$$

Then we obtain:

**Theorem 4 (Mason-Tuite-Z)** For $(\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1)$ we have

$$P_k \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\gamma \cdot z, \gamma \cdot \tau) = (c\tau + d)^k P_k \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (z, \tau).$$

### 3.6. Twisted Eisenstein Series

We introduce twisted Eisenstein series for $n \geq 1$,

$$E_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\tau) = -\frac{B_n(\lambda)}{n!} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(r + \lambda)^n - r^n}{1 - \theta^r q^{r-1}},$$

for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

Note that

$$E_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\tau) = E_n(\tau),$$

the standard Eisenstein series for even $n \geq 2$, whereas

$$E_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\tau) = -B_n(0) \delta_{n,1} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{n,1}$$

for $n$ odd.

**Theorem 5 (Mason-Tuite-Z)** We have

$$P_k \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (z, \tau) = \frac{1}{z^k} + (-1)^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \frac{n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} E_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\tau) z^{n-k}.$$
Theorem 6 (Mason-Tuite-Z) For \((\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1)\),
\[
E_k \left[ \phi \right] \text{ is a modular form of weight } k \text{ where }
\]
\[
E_k \left[ \gamma \cdot \phi \right] (\gamma \cdot \tau) = (c \tau + d)^k E_k \left[ \phi \right] (\tau).
\]

3.7. Twisted Elliptic Functions
In particular,
\[
P_i \left[ \phi \right] (z, \tau) = \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^k \phi^k}{(2\pi i)^k}.
\]

We define the prime form
\[
E^{(\theta)} (z, z') = \frac{\phi^\gamma \left[ \Omega^{(\theta)} \right]}{\phi^\delta \left[ \Omega^{(\theta)} \right]} \zeta(z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(z')^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\frac{-(z-z')dz}{dz'}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } z \neq z'.
\]

The prime form is anti-symmetric,
\[
E^{(\theta)} (z, z') = -E^{(\theta)} (z', z),
\]
and a holomorphic differential of weight
\[
\left( -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right) \text{ on } \Sigma \times \Sigma,
\]
and has multipliers 1 and \(e^{-\pi g \delta} \int_{x,y}^z \left( \gamma \right) \)
along the \(a_j\) and \(b_j\) cycles in \(z\) [21]. The normalized differentials
of the second and third kind can be expressed in terms of the prime form [18]
\[
\omega^{(\theta)} (z, z') = \partial_z \partial_{z'} \log E^{(\theta)} (z, z') dz dz',
\]
\[
\omega^{(\theta)} (z, z') = \partial_z \log \frac{E^{(\theta)} (z, p) d\zeta}{E^{(\theta)} (z, q) dz}.
\]

Conversely, we can also express the prime form in terms of \(\omega^{(\theta)}\) by [22]
\[
E^{(\theta)} (z, z') = \lim_{\rho \to z, \delta \to z'} \sqrt{(z-p)(z-q)} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \int_{x,y}^z \omega^{(\theta)} \right) dz dz'.
\]

4. The Prime Form
There exists a (nonsingular and odd) character \[\gamma \delta\] such
that [18,21,22]
\[
\partial_{\gamma} \phi \left( \gamma \delta \right) \left( \partial_{\gamma} \phi \right) = 0,
\]
\[
\partial_{\delta} \phi \left( \gamma \delta \right) \left( \partial_{\delta} \phi \right) \neq 0.
\]

Let
\[
\zeta(z) = \sum_{x,y} \partial_{\gamma} \phi \left[ \Omega^{(\theta)} \right] \left( \Omega^{(\theta)} \right) v^{(\theta)} (z),
\]
be a holomorphic 1-form, and let \(\zeta(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}\) denote the
form of weight \(\frac{1}{2}\) on the double cover \(\Sigma^{(\theta)}\) of \(\Sigma^{(\theta)}\).
The Szegö Kernel [18,21,22] is defined by
\[
S^{(g)}[\theta] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(g)} \right) = \frac{g}{[\alpha \beta]} \left(0 \mid E^{(g)}(z, z') \right) - \frac{1}{z-z'} \quad \text{for } z-z',
\]
with
\[
[\alpha \beta] (0) \neq 0, \quad \theta_j = -e^{-2\pi i \beta_j}, \quad \phi_j = -e^{2\pi i \alpha_j},
\]
\(j = 1, \ldots, g\), where \(E^{(g)}(z, z)\) is the genus \(g\) prime form. The Szegö kernel has multipliers along the \(a_i\) and \(b_j\) cycles in \(z\) given by \(-\phi_i\) and \(-\theta_i\) respectively and is a meromorphic \(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\)-form on \(\Sigma^{(g)} \times \Sigma^{(g)}\).

Finally, we describe the modular invariance of the Szegö kernel under the symplectic group \(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})\) where we find [21]
\[
S^{(g)}[\theta] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(g)} \right) = S^{(g)}[\phi] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(g)} \right),
\]
with \(\check{\theta}_j = -e^{-2\pi i \beta_j}, \quad \check{\phi}_j = -e^{2\pi i \alpha_j},\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\beta} & \check{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(AB^T) & \text{diag}(CD^T) \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\tilde{\Omega}^{(g)} = \left( A\Omega^{(g)} + B \right) \left( C\Omega^{(g)} + D \right)^{-1},
\]
where \(\text{diag}(M)\) denotes the diagonal elements of a matrix \(M\).

5.1. Modular Properties of the Szegö Kernel
Finally, we describe the modular invariance of the Szegö kernel under the symplectic group \(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})\) where we find [21]
\[
S^{(g)}[\theta] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(g)} \right) = S^{(g)}[\phi] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(g)} \right),
\]
with \(\check{\theta}_j = -e^{-2\pi i \beta_j}, \quad \check{\phi}_j = -e^{2\pi i \alpha_j},\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\beta} & \check{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(AB^T) & \text{diag}(CD^T) \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\tilde{\Omega}^{(g)} = \left( A\Omega^{(g)} + B \right) \left( C\Omega^{(g)} + D \right)^{-1},
\]
where \(\text{diag}(M)\) denotes the diagonal elements of a matrix \(M\).

5. Torus Szegö Kernel
On the torus \(\Sigma^{(1)}\) the Szegö kernel for \((\theta, \phi) \neq (1, 1)\) is
\[
S^{(1)}[\theta] \left(z, z' \mid \Omega^{(1)} \right) = P_{1}^{(1)} \left(z-z', \tau \right) dzz'^{1/2},
\]
where
\[
P_{1}^{(1)} \left(z, \tau \right) = \frac{g}{[\alpha \beta]} \left(0 \mid \Omega^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{\tau-z'^{-1}},
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\beta} & \check{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(AB^T) & \text{diag}(CD^T) \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \(\text{diag}(M)\) denotes the diagonal elements of a matrix \(M\).

6. Structures on \(\Sigma^{(2)}\) Constructed from Genus One Data
Yamada (1980) described how to compute the period matrix and other structures on a genus \(g\) Riemann surface in terms of lower genus data.

6.1. \(\omega^{(2)}\) on the Sewn Surface \(\Sigma^{(2)}\)
\(\omega^{(2)}\) can be determined from \(\omega^{(1)}\) on each torus in Yamada’s sewing scheme [17,23]. For a torus \(\Sigma^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda\), the differential is
\[
\omega^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = P_2(z_1 - z_2, \tau) dz_1 dz_2,
\]
\[
P_2(z, \tau) = \varphi(z, \tau) + E_1(\tau),
\]
for Weierstrass function
\[
\varphi(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=4} \left( k-1 \right) E_k(\tau) z^{k-2},
\]
and Eisenstein series for \( k \geq 2 \)
\[
E_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{c=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(\tau + c)}.
\]

\( E_k \) vanishes for odd \( k \) and is a weight \( k \) modular form for \( k \geq 4 \). \( E_2 \) is a quasi-modular form. Expanding
\[
P(z, z') = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k,l} C(k, l) z^{-k-l} z'^{-k-l},
\]
\[
C(k, l) = C(k, l, \tau) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(k+1)!}{(k-l)!(l-1)!} E_{k-l}(\tau),
\]
we compute \( \omega^{(2)}(z, z') \) in the sewing scheme in terms of the following genus one data, \( a = 1, 2 \)
\[
A_a(k, l, \tau, \varepsilon) = \frac{\omega^{(k+l)/2}(\tau, z)}{\sqrt{k+l}} C(k, l, \tau).
\]

### 6.2. A Determinant and the Period Matrix

Consider the infinite matrix \( I - A_{1,2} \) where \( I \) is the infinite identity matrix and define \( \det(I - A_{1,2}) \) by
\[
\log \det(I - A_{1,2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \det \left( (A_{1,2})^n \right),
\]
as a formal power series in \( \varepsilon \) [23].

**Theorem 7 (Mason-Tuite)**

a) The infinite matrix
\[
(I - A_{1,2})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{1,2})^n,
\]
is convergent for \( \varepsilon \) in \( \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon \).

b) \( \det(I - A_{1,2}) \) is non-vanishing and holomorphic on \( \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon \).

Furthermore we may obtain an explicit formula for the genus two period matrix \( \Omega = \Omega^{(2)} \) on \( \Sigma^{(2)} \) [23].

**Theorem 8 (Mason-Tuite)** \( \Omega = \Omega(\tau, \tau_2, \varepsilon) \) is holomorphic on \( \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon \) and is given by
\[
2\pi i \Omega_{11} = 2\pi i \tau_1 + \varepsilon \left( A_2(I - A_{1,2})^{-1} \right)(1,1),
\]
\[
2\pi i \Omega_{22} = 2\pi i \tau_2 + \varepsilon \left( A_1(I - A_{1,2})^{-1} \right)(1,1),
\]
\[
S^{(i)}(x, y) = \left[ S^{(i)}(x, y) + O(\rho) \right],
\]
for \( x, y \in \Sigma^{(i)} \) where \( S^{(i)}(x, y) \) is defined for \( \kappa \neq \frac{1}{2} \), by
\[
S^{(i)}(x, y) = \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{(1)}(x-w, \tau) \partial S^{(1)}(y, \tau)}{\partial \bar{S}^{(1)}(x, \tau) \partial \bar{S}^{(1)}(y-w, \tau)}
\]

with similar expression for \( S^{(i)}(x, y) \) for \( \kappa = -\frac{1}{2} \).

### 6.3. Genus Two Szegő Kernel on \( \Sigma^{(2)} \) in the \( \varepsilon \)-Formalism

We may compute \( S^{(2)}(\varepsilon)(x, y') \) for \( \varepsilon = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \) in the sewing scheme in terms of the genus one data
\[
F_a(k, l, \tau, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(k+l)!} C(k, l, \tau) \theta_a.
\]
\( S^{(2)} \) is described in terms of the infinite matrix \( I - Q \) for
\[
Q = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \xi F_1 \\
-\xi F_2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi = \sqrt{-1}.
\]

**Theorem 9 (Tuite-Z)**

a) The infinite matrix \( (I - Q)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n \) is convergent for \( \varepsilon \) in \( \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon \).

b) \( \det(I - Q) \) is non-vanishing and holomorphic on \( \mathcal{D}^\varepsilon \).

### 6.4. Genus Two Szegő Kernel in the \( \rho \)-Formalism

It is convenient to define \( \kappa \in \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \) by \( \phi = -e^{2\pi i \kappa} \).

Then we prove [1] the following

**Theorem 10 (Tuite-Z)** \( S^{(i)}(x, y) \) is holomorphic in \( \rho \) for \( |\rho| < \kappa \phi \) with
\[
S^{(i)}(x, y) = S^{(i)}(x, y) + O(\rho),
\]
for \( x, y \in \Sigma^{(i)} \) where \( S^{(i)}(x, y) \) is defined for \( \kappa \neq \frac{1}{2} \), by
\[
S^{(i)}(x, y) = \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{(i)}(x-w, \tau) \partial S^{(i)}(y, \tau)}{\partial \bar{S}^{(i)}(x, \tau) \partial \bar{S}^{(i)}(y-w, \tau)}
\]

Let \( k_a = k + (-1)^a \kappa \), for \( a = 1, 2 \) and integer \( k \geq 1 \). We introduce the moments for \( S^{(i)}(x, y) \):
\[ G_{ab}(k,l) = G_{ab}\left[\theta(0)\right](\kappa;k,l) \]
\[ = \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2 + k_2^2 - 1)}}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa)} \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa)} (x_\kappa)^{-k_1} (y_\kappa)^{-k_2} S_{k_1}^{(l)}(x_\kappa, y_\kappa) \, dx_\kappa \, dy_\kappa, \]

with associated infinite matrix \( G = \left( G_{ab}(k,l) \right) \). We define also half-order differentials

\[ h_a(k,x) = h_a\left[\theta(0)\right](\kappa;k,x) = \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1^2 - 1)}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa)} y_\kappa^{-k_1} S_{k_1}^{(l)}(x,y_\kappa) \, dy_\kappa, \]
\[ \bar{h}_a(k,y) = \bar{h}_a\left[\theta(0)\right](\kappa;k,y) = \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}(k_2^2 - 1)}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa)} x_\kappa^{-k_2} S_{k_2}^{(l)}(x_\kappa,y) \, dx_\kappa \]

and let \( h(x) = (h_a(k,x)) \) and \( \bar{h}(y) = (\bar{h}_a(k,y)) \), denote the infinite row vectors indexed by \( a, k \). From the sewing relation \( z_1 z_2 = \rho \), we have

\[ \frac{1}{dz_\kappa} = (1)^{\xi} \xi \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\pi_x}, \]

for \( \xi \in \{ \pm \sqrt{-1} \} \), depending on the branch of the double cover of \( \Sigma^{(1)} \) chosen. It is convenient to define

\[ T = \xi GD^0, \]

with an infinite diagonal matrix

\[ D^0(k,l) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta \end{bmatrix} \delta(k,l). \]

Defining \( \det(I - T) \) by the formal power series in \( \rho \)

\[ \log \det(I - T) = \text{Tr} \log(I - T) = -\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(T^n), \]

we prove in [1].

**Theorem 11 (Tuite-Z)**

a) \( (I - T)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n \) is convergent for \( |\rho| < r_1 r_2 \),

b) \( \det(I - T) \) is non-vanishing and holomorphic in \( \rho \) on \( D^0 \).

**Theorem 12 (Tuite-Z)** \( S(k,y) \) is given by

\[ S(k,y) = S_{k_1}^{(l)}(x,y) + \xi \bar{h}(x) D^0(I - T)^{-1} \bar{h}(y). \]

7. Genus One Partition and n-Point Functions

7.1. The Torus Partition Function for a Heisenberg VOA

For a VOA \( V = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n \) of central charge \( c \) define the genus one partition (trace or characteristic) function by

\[ Z_{V}^{(1)}(q) = \text{Tr}_{\omega} \left( q^L q^{-C/24} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{dim} V_n \, q^{n-c/24}, \]

for the Heisenberg VOA \( M \) commutation relations with modes

\[ [a(m), a(n)] = m \delta_{m-n}, \]

\[ Z_M^{(1)}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \quad \text{for} \quad \eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n); \]

7.2. Genus One Twisted Graded Dimension

We define the genus one partition function for the VOSA by the supertrace

\[ Z_{V}^{(1)}(\tau) = \text{STr}_{\omega} \left( q^{L^0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) = \text{Tr}_{\omega} \left( \sigma q^{L^0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) \]

\[ = q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( 1 - q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2, \]

where \( \sigma u = e^{2\pi i \alpha} u \).

More generally, we can construct a \( \sigma u \)-twisted module \( M_{\alpha \tau} \) for any automorphism \( g = e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha}{24}} \) generated by the Heisenberg state \( \alpha \in V \). We introduce the second automorphism \( \bar{h} = e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha}{24}} \) and define the orbifold \( \sigma g \)-twisted trace by

\[ Z_{V}^{(1)} \left[ \bar{h} \right] (q) = \text{STr}_{M_{\sigma \alpha}} \left( q^{L^0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \right), \]

and find for \( \theta = e^{2\pi i \alpha} \),

\[ Z_{V}^{(1)} \left[ \bar{h} \right] (q) = q^{(h \bar{h})/2} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - \theta q^{-1}) \left( 1 - \theta q^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( 1 - \theta q^{1+\frac{1}{2}} \right), \]

\[ = q^{(h \bar{h})/2} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - \theta q^{-1}) \left( 1 - \theta q^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( 1 - \theta q^{1+\frac{1}{2}} \right). \]
7.3. Genus One Fermionic One-Point Functions

Each orbifold 1-point function can be found from a generalized Zhu reduction formulas as a determinant.

**Theorem 13 (Mason-Tuite-Z)**

For a Fock vector $\Phi\Psi$, we have

$$Z_{i}^{(1)}[h]_{g}(\Psi[k,l],q) = \det \left[ C[\theta] \right]_{g} Z^{(1)}_{i} [h]_{g}(q).$$

7.4. Genus One n-Point Functions for VOA

In general, we can define the genus one orbifold $n$-point function for $V$ by

$$[a(m),Y(h,b,z)] = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left( \frac{m}{j} \right) Y(a(j)h,z)z^{m-j},$$

where $a$ is a function of $m$. The supertrace property for $P_{1}$-functions:

$$P_{1}[\theta](z_{1}-z_{2},\tau) = \frac{1}{z_{1}-z_{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C[\theta](k,l)z_{1}^{k-1}z_{2}^{l-1},$$

$$P_{1}[\theta](z,\tau) = \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{n^{k-1}q^{n}}{1-q^{n}}.$$

**Theorem 14 (Mason-Tuite-Z)**

For any $V$ and $n$, we have

$$\left[ a(m),Y(h,b,z) \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left( \frac{m}{j} \right) Y(a(j)h,z)z^{m-j},$$

where $a$ is a function of $m$. The supertrace property for $P_{1}$-functions:

$$P_{1}[\theta](z_{1}-z_{2},\tau) = \frac{1}{z_{1}-z_{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C[\theta](k,l)z_{1}^{k-1}z_{2}^{l-1},$$

$$P_{1}[\theta](z,\tau) = \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+1} \frac{n^{k-1}q^{n}}{1-q^{n}}.$$

7.6. General Genus One Fermionic $n$-Point Functions

The generating two-point function (for $(\theta,\phi) \neq (1,1)$) is given by

$$Z_{i}^{(n)}[h]_{g}(\Psi[k,l],\Psi[k,l],q) = P_{i}[\theta](z_{1}-z_{2},q)Z^{(1)}_{i} [h]_{g}(q).$$

**Theorem 15 (Mason-Tuite-Z)**

$$Z_{i}^{(1)}[h]_{g}(\Psi[k,l],\Psi[k,l],q) = \det MZ^{(1)}_{i} [h]_{g}(q).$$

**Theorem 16 (Mason-Tuite-Z)**

For $n$ Fock vectors

$$\Psi^{(a)} = \Psi^{(a)[-k^{(a)},-1^{(a)}]}$$

and

$$\Psi^{(a)} = \Psi^{(a)[-k^{(a)},-1^{(a)}]}_{a}.$$
for \( k^{(a)} = k^{(a)}_1, \ldots, k^{(a)}_n \) and \( l^{(a)} = l^{(a)}_1, \ldots, l^{(a)}_n \) with 
\[ a = 1, \ldots, n. \]
Then for \( (\theta, \phi) \neq (1, 1) \) the corresponding \( n \)-point functions are non-vanishing provided

\[
\sum_{a=1}^n (s_a - t_a) = 0,
\]
and

\[
Z_{\tau}^{[1]} \left[ \frac{f}{g} \right] \left( \{\Psi^{(1)}(z_1), \ldots, \Psi^{(n)}(z_n)\}, \tau \right)
= \varepsilon \det M \cdot Z_{\tau}^{[1]} \left[ \frac{f}{g} \right](\tau),
\]
where \( \varepsilon \) is certain parity factor. Here \( M \) is the block matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
C^{(11)} & D^{(12)} & \cdots & D^{(1n)} \\
D^{(21)} & C^{(22)} & \cdots & D^{(2n)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
D^{(n1)} & \cdots & \cdots & C^{(nn)}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
Z_{\tau}^{[1]} \left[ \frac{f}{g} \right] \left( u, z_2; v_1, x_1; \cdots; v_n, x_n, \Omega; z_1; \tau \right)
= \text{STr}_{\exp} \left( f \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} u \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} v \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} x \right),
\]

where \( q = \exp(2\pi i \tau) \), \( q_1 = \exp(x_1) \), \( q_{j,k} = \exp(z_j) \), \( j, k = 1, 2; 1 \leq k \leq n \), for variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) associated to the local coordinates on the torus, and \( \Omega \) is dual for \( u \) with respect to the invariant form on \( V_{\sigma g_2} \). The super-trace over a \( V \)-module \( N \) is defined by

\[
\text{STr}_N(X) = \text{Tr}_N(\sigma X).
\]

For an element \( u \in V_{\sigma g_2} \) of a VOSA \( g \)-twisted \( V \)-module we introduce also the differential form

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{(1)} \left[ \frac{f}{g} \right] \left( u, z_2; v_1, x_1; \cdots; v_n, x_n, \Omega; z_1; \tau \right)
= Z_{\tau}^{[1]} \left[ \frac{f}{g} \right] \left( u, z_2; v_1, x_1; \cdots; v_n, x_n, \Omega; z_1; \tau \right) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1},
\]

associated to the torus intertwined \( n \)-point function.

### 7.8. Torus Intertwined Two-Point Function

The rank two free fermion VOSA \( V(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}) \) \[10\] is generated by \( \psi^+ \) with

\[
\left[ \psi^+ \left( m \right), \psi^- \left( n \right) \right] = \delta_{m-n, 1}, \left[ \psi^+ \left( m \right), \psi^+ \left( n \right) \right] = 0, \left[ \psi^- \left( m \right), \psi^- \left( n \right) \right] = 0.
\]

The rank two free fermion VOSA intertwined torus \( n \)-point function is parameterized by \( \theta_1 = -e^{2\pi i \alpha_1} \), \( \phi_1 = e^{2\pi i \beta_1} \), \( \phi_2 = -e^{2\pi i \kappa} \), \( \sigma \) \[2, 4\] where

\[
\sigma g_1 = e^{2\pi i \alpha}, \sigma g_2 = e^{2\pi i \beta}, \sigma g_3 = e^{2\pi i \kappa}, \sigma g_4 = e^{2\pi i \phi_1},
\]

for real valued \( \alpha, \beta, \kappa, (\theta, \phi) \neq (1, 1) \).

For \( u = 1 \otimes \varepsilon \), \( \varepsilon \in V_{\sigma g_2} \) and \( v_i = 1, i = 1, \ldots, n \) we obtain \[4\] the basic intertwined two-point function on the torus
We then consider the differential form

\[
G^{(1)}_n \left[ f_1, g_1 \right] (x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n) \equiv \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \left[ f_1, g_1 \right] \left( e^x, w, \psi^+, x_1, \psi^-, y_1, \psi^+, x_n, \psi^-, y_n \right),
\]

associated to the torus intertwined \(2n\)-point function

\[
Z^{(1)} \left[ f_1, g_1 \right] \left( e^x, w, \psi^+, x_1, \psi^-, y_1, \psi^+, x_n, \psi^-, y_n \right),
\]

with alternatively inserted \(n\) states \(\psi^+\) and \(n\) states \(\psi^-\) distributed on the resulting genus two Riemann surface \(\Sigma^{(2)}\) at points \(x_i, y_i \in \Sigma^{(2)}, i = 1, \ldots, n\). We then prove in [4].

**Theorem 17 (Tuite-Z)** For the rank two free fermion vertex operator superalgebra \(V\) and for \((\theta, \phi) \neq (1, 1)\) the generating form is given by

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\omega, \tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \mathcal{F}^{(1)}(\omega, \tau).
\]

is the basic intertwined two-point function on the torus, and \(n \times n\)-matrix

\[
S^{(1)}_n = \left[ S^{(1)}_{ij}(\theta, \phi) \right] (x_i, y_j, \tau, \omega),
\]

with elements given by parts of the Szegö kernel.

8. Genus Two Partition and \(n\)-Point Functions

8.1. Genus Two Partition Function in \(\varepsilon\)-Formalism

We define the genus two partition function in the earlier sewing scheme in terms of data coming from the two tori, namely the set of 1-point functions \(Z^{(1)}_u(u, \tau)\) for all \(u \in V\). We assume that \(V\) has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form—the Li-Zamolodchikov metric. Define

\[
Z^{(2)}_u(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{u \in V^{(1)}} Z^{(1)}_u(u, \tau) Z^{(1)}_{\bar{u}}(\bar{\tau}, \tau_2).
\]

The inner sum is taken over any basis and \(\bar{\tau}\) is dual to \(u\) wrt to the Li-Zamolodchikov metric.

8.2. Genus Two Partition Function for the Heisenberg VOA

We can compute \(Z^{(2)}_u\) using a combinatorial-graphical technique based on the explicit Fock basis and recalling the infinite matrices \(A_1, A_2\).

**Theorem 18 (Mason-Tuite)** a) The genus two partition function for the rank one Heisenberg VOA is

\[
Z^{(2)}_m(\tau_1, \tau_2, e) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau_1) \eta(\tau_2)} \left( \det (I - A_1 A_2) \right)^{1/2};
\]

b) \(Z^{(2)}_m(\tau_1, \tau_2, e)\) is holomorphic on the domain \(D^*\);

\[
c) Z^{(2)}_m(\tau_1, \tau_2, e)\] is automorphic of weight \(-1\);

d) \(Z^{(2)}_m(\tau_1, \tau_2, e)\) has an infinite product formula.

8.3. Genus Two Fermionic Partition Function

Following the definition for the bosonic VOA we define for \(h_u, g_u\)

\[
Z^{(2)}_m[h][g](q_1, q_2, e) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{u \in V^{(1)}} Z^{(1)}_m[h](u, q_1) Z^{(1)}_{\bar{u}}[g]\left(\bar{\pi}, q_2\right).
\]

The inner sum is taken over any \(V^{(1)}\) basis and \(\bar{\pi}\) is dual to \(u\) with respect to the Li-Zamolodchikov square
bracket metric. \( Z^{(1)}_{\rho}(h, g)(u, q, \epsilon) \) is the genus one orbifold 1-point function. Recall that the non-zero 1-point functions arise for Fock vectors

\[
\Psi[k, l] = \psi^*[-k_1] \cdots \psi^*[-k_n] \psi [-l_1] \cdots \psi [-l_m],
\]
such that

\[
m = \text{wt} \Psi[k, l] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (k_i + l_i + 1),
\]

\[
Z^{(1)}_{\rho}[h, g](\Psi[k, l], q) = \det \left( C[\phi] Z^{(0)}_{\rho}(h, g)(q) \right).
\]

The Li-Zamolodchikov metric dual to the Fock vector is

\[
\overline{\Psi}[k, l] = (-1)^m \Psi[l, k].
\]

Recalling the infinite matrix \( Q \) we find

**Theorem 19 (Tuite-Z)**

a) The genus two orbifold partition function is

\[
Z^{(2)}_{\rho}[h, g](v_i, x_i; v_j, x_j; \tau, w, \rho) = \sum_{k \in \text{even}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho^k Z^{(1)}_{\rho}[f_i, g_1](u, w; f_j, 0; \tau),
\]

where \((f, g) = ((f_i), (g_1))\), where \( f \) (respectively \( g \)) denotes the pair \( f_1, f_2 \) (respectively \( g_1, g_2 \)). The sum is taken over any \( V_{\alpha_{ij}} \)-basis.

In particular, we introduce the genus two partition function

\[
Z^{(2)}_{\rho}[h, g](v_i, x_i; v_j, x_j; \tau, w, \rho) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^2} Z^{(1)}_{\rho}[f_i, g_1](u, w; f_j, 0; \tau),
\]

where \( Z^{(1)}_{\rho}[f_i, g_1](u, w; f_j, 0; \tau) \) is the genus one interwined two point function.

**Remark 1** We can generalize the genus two \( n \)-point function by introducing and computing the differential form associated to the torus \( n \)-point function containing several intertwining operators in the supertrace as well as corresponding genus two \( n \)-point functions.

Similar to the ordinary genus two case \([2]\), we define the differential form \([4]\) associated to the \( n \)-point function on a genus two Riemann surface for \( \nu_i \in V \) and \( x_i \in \Sigma^{(2)} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) with

\[
|x_i| \geq |\rho|/f_2, \quad |x_i - w| \geq |\rho|/f_1,
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{\rho}[h, g](v_i, x_i; v_j, x_j; \tau, w, \rho) \equiv Z^{(2)}_{\rho}[h, g](v_i, x_i; v_j, x_j; \tau, w, \rho) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{dv}^{(n)}[v_i].
\]

9. Generalizations of Classical Identities

9.1. Bosonization

The genus one orbifold partition function can be alternatively computed by decomposing the VOSA into Heisenberg modules \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \) indexed by \( a(0) \) integer eigenvalues \( m \), i.e., a \( \mathbb{Z} \) lattice \([26]\). Let \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in L \) be lattice elements of the rank one even lattice, \( \alpha + \cdots + \alpha = 0 \), and \( \epsilon(\alpha, \alpha') \)-cocycle. Then

**Theorem 20 (Tuite-Mason)**
Then the genus one twisted partition function is given by

\[
Z \left[ \frac{h}{g} \right](\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m e^{2 \pi i m^2_tr} \left( \frac{q}{\eta(\tau)} \frac{1}{2} \right) \Theta^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] \left( \Omega^{(2)} \right) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m(m-1)/2}.
\]

Comparing to the fermionic product formula we obtain the classical Jacobi triple product formula:

\[
\prod_{r>0} \left( 1-q^r \right) \left( 1+zq^{r-1} \right) \left( 1+z^{-1}q^r \right) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m(m-1)/2}.
\]

9.2. Genus Two Jacobi Triple Product Formula

The genus two partition function can similarly be computed in the bosonized formalism to obtain a genus two version of the Jacobi triple product formula for the genus two Riemann theta function [19]

\[
Z^{(2)} \left[ \frac{h}{g} \right](q, q_2, c) = \Theta^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] \left( \Omega^{(2)} \right) Z^{(2)}_m(q, q_2, c),
\]

for an appropriate character valued genus two Riemann theta function

\[
\Theta^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] \left( \Omega^{(2)} \right) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{a(m+u) + b(m+u)} \cdot
\]

Comparing with the fermionic result we thus find that

\[
G^{(2)}_{2n,\beta} \left( f; z_1, \ldots, z_n; z'_1, \ldots, z'_n; \tau \right) = \frac{e^{2 \pi i (u+1/2)(\beta+1/2)}}{\eta(\tau)} \left( \sum_{j \neq i} \eta \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_j - z'_j, \tau \end{array} \right] \prod_{1 \leq j < 2n} K^{(1)} \left( z_j - z, \tau \right) K^{(1)} \left( z'_j - z'_j, \tau \right) \right) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 2n} K^{(1)} \left( z_i - z'_j, \tau \right).
\]

Comparing this to fermionic expressions for \((\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1)\), we obtain the classical Frobenius elliptic function version of generalized Fay’s trisecant identity [21]:

**Corollary 1 (Mason-Tuite-Z)** For \((\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1)\), we have
9.5. Generalized Fay’s Trisecant Identity

We may generalize these identities using [26]. Consider the general lattice \( n \)-point function. We have [19], For integers \( m_i, n_j \geq 0 \) satisfying \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} n_j \), we have

\[
Z^{(t)}_{p} \left( f; \{1 \otimes e^{m_i}, z_i \}, \ldots \{1 \otimes e^{m_k}, z_k \}, \{1 \otimes e^{n_i}, z'_i \}, \ldots \{1 \otimes e^{n_s}, z'_s \}; \tau \right) = e^{2\pi i (\alpha + 1/2) / \eta(\tau)} \cdot \frac{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z_i - z_j, \tau) K^{(t)}_{n_k} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}. \]

Comparing this to the expression for \( n \)-point functions we obtain a new elliptic generalization of Fay’s trisecant identity:

\[
\det (P) = \frac{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z_i - z_j, \tau) K^{(t)}_{n_k} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}. \]

Corollary 2 (Mason-Tuite-Z) For \( (\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1) \), we have

\[
\det (M_B) = \frac{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z_i - z_j, \tau) K^{(t)}_{n_k} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}{\prod K^{(t)}_{n} (z'_i - z'_j, \tau)}. \]

Here \( M_B \) is the block matrix

\[
M_B = \begin{pmatrix} D^{(1)} & \cdots & D^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{(1)} & \cdots & D^{(n)} \end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( D^{(ab)} \) the \( m_a \times n_b \) matrix

\[
D^{(ab)}_{ij} = D \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (i, j, \tau, z_a - z'_b),
\]

for \( 1 \leq a \leq r \) and \( 1 \leq b \leq s \), and \( D \)-functions are given by the expansion

\[
P^{(a)}_{ij} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (z + z_i - z_j, \tau) = \sum_{k, l} D^{(a)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (k, l, z) z_i^{1-k} z_j^{l-1}. \]

10. Genus Two Intertwined Partition and \( n \)-Point Functions

In [4] we then prove:

**Theorem 22 (Tuite-Z)** Let \( V_{\sigma_i}, i = 1,2 \) be \( \sigma_i \)-twisted \( V \)-modules for the rank two free fermion vertex operator superalgebra \( V \). Let \( (\theta, \phi) \neq (1,1) \). Then the partition function on a genus two Riemann surface obtained in the \( \rho \)-self-sewing formalism of the torus is a non-vanishing holomorphic function on \( D^\rho \) given by

\[
Z^{(2)}_{p} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] (\tau, w, \rho) = Z^{(1)}_{p} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_i \\ g_i \end{array} \right] (e^w, w, e^\tau, 0; \tau) \det (1 - T),
\]
where \( Z^{(1)} \left[ f \atop g \right] (e^x, w; e^{-x}, 0; \tau) \) is the intertwined \( V \)-module \( V_{xg} \) torus basic two-point function, and \( b(\kappa) \) is some function.

We may similarly compute the genus two partition function in the \( \rho \)-formalism for the original rank one fermion VOSA \( V \left( H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \) in which case we can only construct a \( \sigma \)-twisted module. Then we have [4] the following:

**Corollary 3 (Tuite-Z)** Let \( V \) be the rank one free fermion vertex operator superalgebra and \( f_i, g_i \in \{ \sigma, 1 \} \), \( a = 1, 2 \), be automorphisms. Then the partition function for \( V \)-module \( V_{xg} \) on a genus two Riemann surface obtained from \( \rho \)-formalism of a self-sewn torus \( \Sigma^{(i)} \) is given by

\[
Z_{\text{rank1}}^{(0)} \left[ f \atop g \right] (e^x, w; e^{-x}, 0; \tau) \det(I - T)^{1/2},
\]

where \( Z_{\text{rank1}}^{(0)} \left[ f \atop g \right] (e^x, w; e^{-x}, 0; \tau) \) is the rank one fermion intertwined partition function on the original torus.

### 10.1. Genus Two Generating Form

In [4] we define matrices

\[
S^{(2)} = (S^{(2)}(x_i, y_j)), \quad S^{(1)} = (S^{(1)}(x_i, y_j)),
\]

\[
H^+ = (h(x_i))((k, a)), \quad H^- = (\overline{h}(y_j))((l, b))^T.
\]

\( S^{(2)} \) and \( S^{(1)} \) are finite matrices indexed by \( x_i, y_j \) for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \); \( H^+ \) is semi-infinite with \( n \) rows indexed by \( x_i \) and columns indexed by \( k \geq 1 \) and \( a = 1, 2 \) and \( H^- \) is semi-infinite with rows indexed by \( l \geq 1 \) and \( b = 1, 2 \) and with \( n \) columns indexed by \( y_j \). We then prove

**Lemma 2 (Tuite-Z)**

\[
\det \begin{bmatrix} S^{(1)} & \xi H^+ D^{(2)} \\ H^- & I - T \end{bmatrix} = \det S^{(2)} \det(I - T),
\]

with \( T, D^{(2)} \).

Introduce the differential form

\[
G^{(2)} = \left[ f \atop g \right] (x_i, y_j, \ldots, x_n, y_n)
\]

\[
= F^{(2)} \left[ f \atop g \right] (\psi^*, \psi^-; \psi^*, y; \tau, w, \rho),
\]

associated to the rank two free fermion VOSA genus two \( 2n \)-point function

\[
Z^{(2)} = \left[ f \atop g \right] (\psi^*, x_i; \psi^-, y_j; \psi^*, x_n; \psi^-, y_n; \tau, w, \rho),
\]

with alternatively inserted \( n \) states \( \psi^* \) and \( n \) states \( \psi^- \). The states are distributed on the genus two Riemann surface \( \Sigma^{(2)} \) at points \( x_i, y_j \in \Sigma^{(2)}, i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then we have

**Theorem 23 (Tuite-Z)** All \( n \)-point functions for rank two free fermion VOSA \( V_{xg} \) on self-sewn torus are generated by the differential form

\[
G^{(2)} = \left[ f \atop g \right] (x_i, y_j), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n
\]

and \( Z^{(2)} = \left[ f \atop g \right] (\tau, w, \rho) \) is the genus two partition function.

### 10.2. Modular Invariance Properties of Intertwined Functions

Following the ordinary case [20,27,40] we would like to describe modular properties of genus two “intertwined” partition and \( n \)-point generating functions. As in [27], consider \( \hat{H} \subset Sp(4, \mathbb{Z}) \) with elements

\[
\mu(a, b, c) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ a & 1 & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

\( \hat{H} \) is generated by \( A = \mu(1, 0, 0), B = \mu(0, 1), \) and \( C = \mu(0, 0, 1) \) with relations

\[
[ A, B ] C^{-2} = [ A, C ] = [ B, C ] = 1.
\]

We also define \( \Gamma_1 \subset Sp(4, \mathbb{Z}) \) where \( \Gamma_1 \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) with elements

\[
\gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_1, b_1, c_1 = 1.
\]

Together these groups generate

\[
L = \hat{H} \rtimes \Gamma_1 \subset Sp(4, \mathbb{Z}).
\]

From [27] we find that \( L \) acts on the domain \( D^\rho \) of
as follows:
\[
\mu(a,b,c) \cdot (\tau, w, \rho) = \left( \tau + \frac{2\pi i a \tau + 2\pi i b \rho}{c \tau + d_i \rho}, \frac{w}{c \tau + d_i \rho} \right),
\]
\[
\gamma_1 \cdot (\tau, w, \rho) = \left( \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d_i \rho}, \frac{w}{c \tau + d_i \rho} \right).
\]

We then define [4] a group action of \( \gamma_1 \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) on the torus intertwined two-point function
\[
Z^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] \gamma_1(u, w; v, 0; \tau) = Z^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] \left( u, \gamma_1 \cdot w; v, 0; \gamma_1 \cdot \tau \right),
\]
with the standard action \( \gamma_1 \cdot \tau \) and \( \gamma_1 \cdot w \), and
\[
\gamma_1 \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1^{n_1} g_1^{h_1} \\ f_1^{n_2} g_1^{h_2} \end{array} \right],
\]
and the torus multiplier \( \epsilon^{(1)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] \in U(1) \), [1,19]. Then we have [4]

**Theorem 24 (Tuite-Z)** The torus intertwined two-point function for the rank two free fermion VOSA is a modular form (up to multiplier) with respect to \( L \)
\[
Z^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] \gamma_1(u, w; v, 0; \tau)
= \epsilon^{(1)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] \left( c \tau + d_i \right)^{nu+nv+k^2} Z^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right] (u, w; v, 0; \tau),
\]
where \( u, v \in V_{\sigma g} \).

The action of the generators \( A, B \) and \( C \) is given by [1]
\[
A \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \\ g_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ f_1 g_2 \sigma \\ g_2 \end{array} \right],
B \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \\ g_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_2 \\ f_2 g_2 \sigma \\ g_2 \end{array} \right],
C \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \\ g_2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 g_2 \sigma \\ g_2 \end{array} \right].
\]

In a similar way we may introduce the action of \( \gamma \in L \) on the genus two partition function [4]
\[
Z^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] \gamma(\tau, w, \rho)
= Z^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] \left( \tau, w, \rho \right),
\]
\[
\gamma_1 \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1^{n_1} g_1^{h_1} \\ f_1^{n_2} g_1^{h_2} \end{array} \right].
\]

We may now describe the modular invariance of the genus two partition function for the rank two free fermion VOSA under the action of \( L \). Define a genus two multiplier \( \epsilon^{(2)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] \in U(1) \) for \( \gamma \in L \) in terms of the genus one multiplier as follows
\[
\epsilon^{(2)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] = \epsilon^{(1)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right],
\]
for the generator \( \gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1 \). We then find [4]

**Theorem 25 (Tuite-Z)** The genus two two-point function for the rank two VOSA is modular invariant with respect to \( L \) with the multiplier system, i.e.,
\[
Z^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] \gamma(\tau, w, \rho) = \epsilon^{(2)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] Z^{(2)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] (\tau, w, \rho).
\]

Finally, we can also obtain modular invariance for the generating form
\[
G^{(2)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] (x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n),
\]
for all genus two \( n \)-point functions [4].

**Theorem 26 (Tuite-Z)** \( G^{(2)}_n \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{array} \right] (x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n), \)
is modular invariant with respect to \( L \) with a multiplier.
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