Synthesis and Characterization of Carbon Aerogels Electrodes Modified by Ag$_2$S Nanoparticles
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In this work, electrodes based on carbon aerogels (CA) modified by Ag$_2$S nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized by sputtering and solid-vapor reactions. Nanoparticles were identified as silver sulfide (acanthite phase) and their size was controlled by the sputtering time (5s deposition- 8.65 nm ± 1.74 nm, 7s deposition- 12.78 nm ± 8.53 nm and 45 s deposition- 21.35 nm ± 10.07 nm). It was found that the electrodes properties vary according to the Ag$_2$S NPs sizes. All modified electrodes exhibited an improved REDOX reactions reversibility, enhanced optical properties (0.97 to 1.22 eV optical bandgap) and electrical conductivity (8.60-9.40 S/cm) when compared with pure carbon aerogel electrodes (1.4 eV, 8.20 S/cm).

Keywords: Carbon aerogel, Ag$_2$S nanoparticles, electrodes.

1. Introduction

Electrochemical biosensors are sensors that use electrochemical transducers (e.g. glass, metal, carbon electrodes, ion-selective electrodes) for detecting biological material or non-biological matrices. Despite electrochemical biosensors have existed for more than 60 years, their great potential for future biosensing techniques have been established and several types of electrochemical biosensors have been developed and commercialized for different purposes. This is due to their selective biochemical recognition as well as their high detection sensitivity coupled to their low cost compared with other common techniques as electrochemiluminescence or fluorescence. The electrode is a fundamental component of these type of biosensors and it is used a support for biomolecules immobilization and electron transfer. Significant research work is taking place focused on the development of carbon aerogel (CA) electrodes for biosensors. Carbon aerogel (CA) are at relatively low cost and they exhibit important properties such as electric double layer performance, porous structures, high surface area and transportation channels for electrolyte ions. These CA electrodes can be modified with different nanoparticles (e.g. Ni, Pd, Ag$_2$S, CuS, CdSe, WO$_3$, Au, PtNi, NiCo$_2$S$_4$) to enhance their electrical or electrochemical performance. Silver sulfide (Ag$_2$S) is an important nontoxic semiconductor recognized for its optical and electrical properties. Currently, different synthesis methodologies have been reported for this compound including, sol-gel, micro-emulsion, microwave, wet chemistry, organic metallic precursors, sputtering, and solid-vapor reactions. Some of the main challenges during the synthesis process are obtaining a narrow particle size distribution and good dispersion. In this work, electrodes based on carbon aerogel and Ag$_2$S NPs were synthesized by a simple methodology using sputtering and solid-vapor reactions. Low cost, low by-product formation, low processing temperatures, and narrow particle size distribution are advantages of this proposed synthesis methodology.

2. Material and Methods

The electrodes based on carbon aerogel modified with Ag$_2$S NPs were fabricated cutting 1cm x 1cm samples of carbon aerogel (READE-Grade II). Silver deposition on carbon aerogel was made by sputtering Denton Vacuum Desk-V with a 99.9% silver target from Ted Pella Inc. (P/N 91118). Solid-vapor reactions were used for the synthesis of Ag$_2$S NPs on the carbon aerogel electrodes. They were prepared placing 100ml of deionized water, 3g of sublimated sulfur (99.97%, Fermont-PQ09122) and the samples of carbon aerogel with silver in a baker covered by aluminum foil and tape. The baker was placed in an oven Quincy Lab-20-AF for 3 hours at 110 ºC to synthesis Ag$_2$S NPs. Possible reactions are shown in 1, 2 and 3.

\[2Ag + S^− \rightarrow Ag_2S + 2e^− \] (1)

\[Ag_2S + S^− \rightarrow Ag_2S^− + 2e^− \] (2)
To determine the NPs size (at least 200 measurements for each sample), a Keithley-2400 source meter was used to characterize electrical properties using a four-point probe method applying a sweep function with a ramp from 0 V to 1 V. A spectrometer with an integrating sphere Stellar Net were used to characterize optical properties. The Kubelka-Munk function was used to estimate the optical band gap energy making use of the diffuse reflectance spectra. A Xenon lamp generates wavelengths from 100 nm to 1000 nm. The bandgap was calculated using the spectra obtained, they were processed in origin software extrapolating the straight-line portion of the curves to zero absorption coefficient to estimate band gap energy of each electrode taking into consideration Kubelka-Munk function (4), used to estimate the optical band gap energy making use of diffuse reflectance spectra. A potentiostat “CorrTest model Cs350” was used to characterize the electrodes by cyclic voltammetry.

3. Results and Discussion

Ag₂S-CA electrodes were analyzed by SEM, XRD and EDS. NP’s were characterized as acanthite phase Ag₂S (JCPDS#14-0074) which correspond to the equilibrium phase and is in agreement with our previous work. Two broad reflections at approximately 2θ angle of 23 and 43° were also identified that correspond to the graphitic phase of the carbon aerogel (JCPDS#22-1069). It was observed that NPs size is smaller when the silver deposition time is lower since there is less silver to react with sulfur forming smaller NPs (Figure 1). Two parameters change when silver deposition time is modified: one is NPs uniformity and the other one is the NPs size average. The NPs size, as mentioned before, depends on the amount of silver deposition because of solid-vapor reaction is a bottom-up synthesis method. The sulfidation temperature and time play an important role to modify NPs size through the nucleation and growth process. NPs size and size distribution are parameters that must be considered when a working electrode is designed because when these parameters are modified, their optical and electric properties can be enhanced. Brus et al. found, through the measurement of redox potentials of organic molecules using electrodes of photo-excited semiconductors, that the performance of the electrodes depends on the size and distribution of the NPs.

Moreover, if the NPs size is in the quantum dots (QD) size range (case of 5s silver deposition), the optical properties are produced by the interaction between the plasmon of the surface of the QD and the incident electromagnetic wave occurring a quantum confinement on the electronic structure induced by QD size and shape. Also, QD will act as an efficient immobilization matrix of receptors (antibodies, enzymes, bacteria, among others). Hence, when a working electrode for electrochemical sensors doped with NPs is designed the morphology of the NPs should be uniform and exhibit the smallest possible size. In this case, the electrode with 5 seconds of silver deposition presents greater NPs uniformity and smaller average size than the other samples (Figure 1 and Table 1).

The absorption VIS-NIR radiation also depends on NPs size and size distribution on the electrode, thus, synthesis...
of NPs with narrow size distributions is essential to take advantage of optical properties and quantum confinement\(^3\). The electrode with 5s of silver deposition presents the maximum absorbance showing the best optical properties compared with the other samples (Figure 2). All samples present a maximum adsorption edge in a wavelength of \(\sim 525\text{nm}\) and a bandgap in the range of 0.97 to 1.40 eV which are in agreement to the bandgap values reported for similar systems (1.2- 1.8 eV)\(^38,39\). The reflectance and bandgap increases when the Ag\(_2\)S nanoparticles agglomeration occurs. On the other hand, the bandgap decreases, when the NPs radius is reduced due to their electronic structure\(^37\).

Table 2 shows a comparison of the electrical conductivity of the electrodes obtained in this work with the reported values by other researchers for carbon aerogel electrodes with similar densities since it is well known that the electrical conductivity increases with increasing carbon aerogel densities. It can be observed that the electrical conductivity values are between the reported ranges and the Ag\(_2\)S nanoparticles modification of the electrodes increase this value. Figure 3 represents the electric behavior, where it is also shown that the conductivity of carbon aerogel without NPs is lower than in the other samples. It is seen that with more silver deposition time, the electrode compound exhibits a larger conductivity but, as can be observed in optical characterization, if silver deposition time increases their optical properties decrease due to agglomerates of silver sulfide nanoparticles formed on the surface of carbon aerogel. Agglomerations of nanoparticles affect the light absorption but

![Figure 2. UV-VIS absorption spectra of the synthesized electrodes and pure carbon aerogel.](image1)

![Figure 3. I-V curve of the synthesized electrodes and pure carbon aerogel.](image2)

### Table 1. Average NPs size and optical band gap of the electrodes.

| Time of silver deposition | Average size of Ag\(_2\)S NPs | Optical band gap |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| A) 0s                     | -----------------------------| 1.40eV          |
| B) 5s                     | 8.65 nm ± 1.74 nm             | 0.97eV          |
| C) 7s                     | 12.78 nm ± 8.53 nm            | 1.18eV          |
| D) 45s                    | 21.35nm ± 10.07nm             | 1.22eV          |

### Table 2. Comparison of carbon aerogel densities and electrical conductivities.

| Sample                                                                 | Density (g cm\(^{-3}\)) | Electrical conductivity (S / cm) | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Pristine carbon aerogel                                               | 0.15                     | 2.27                            | 41        |
| Carbon aerogels via pyrolyzing (700-1100oC) resorcinol–formaldehyde (RF) | 0.14-0.18                | 0.4-0.46                        | 42        |
| Carbon aerogel resorcinol–formaldehyde (Supercritical fluid drying and carbonization) | 0.1-0.4                  | 1.5-11.75                       | 43        |
| Carbon aerogels via pyrolyzing resorcinol–formaldehyde (RF) (Modified ambient dried technique) | 0.45-0.85                | 5.80-15.84                      | 44        |
| RF (resorcinol formaldehyde) organic aerogels                            | 0.50                     | 13.2                            | 45        |
| Pristine carbon aerogel (Reade grade II via pyrolyzing resorcinol–formaldehyde*) | 0.4 *                    | 8.20                            | This work |
| Carbon aerogel (Reade grade II via pyrolyzing resorcinol–formaldehyde*) with Ag\(_2\)S nanoparticles (8.65 nm ± 1.74 nm) | 0.4 *                    | 8.60                            | This work |
| Carbon aerogel (Reade grade II via pyrolyzing resorcinol–formaldehyde*) with Ag\(_2\)S nanoparticles (12.78 nm ± 8.53) | 0.4*                     | 8.60                            | This work |
| Carbon aerogel (Reade grade II via pyrolyzing resorcinol–formaldehyde*) with Ag\(_2\)S nanoparticles (21.35 nm ± 10.07) | 0.4*                     | 9.40                            | This work |

*Information according to the supplier (Reade grade II).
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Figure 4. Cyclic voltammetry of the synthesized electrodes and pure carbon aerogel.

Figure 5. Comparison of the synthesized electrodes and pure carbon aerogel voltammograms at a scan rate of 25 mV/s.

improve the electric conductivity of electrodes. All samples maintained a linear relation because of voltage is directly proportional to the electric current intensity and resistance has not variations because always a constant temperature was kept.

Figures 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4D show the voltammograms of electrodes of pure carbon aerogel and the electrodes with NPs size of 8.65nm ±1.74 nm, 12.78nm ± 8.53nm and 21.35nm ± 10.07nm, respectively. The modified electrodes with NPs voltammograms show isopoints (crossing points of the voltammograms at different scan rate), good reversibility in redox reactions, and peaks intensity proportional to scan rate. In the pure carbon aerogel case, a capacitive effect is observed, the redox reactions are not favorable and there is not isopoint presence. Figure 5 shows a comparison of the different electrodes at 25 mV/s scan rate where the voltammograms shape difference between the pure aerogel and the NPs modified electrodes is evident. The behavior shown by the electrodes proved that Ag$_2$S NPs improve electron transfer and confers good electrochemical properties as reported by other research works where carbon aerogel and NPs have been used.

4. Conclusions

CA electrodes with Ag$_2$S NPs have been successfully prepared by a simple solid-vapor reaction technique. The average Ag$_2$S NPs size are in the range of 8.65nm to 21.35nm. The Ag$_2$S NPs on carbon aerogel increase the absorption of visible and near-infrared light, improve electric conductivity and REDOX reactions reversibility. If Ag$_2$S agglomerated nanoparticles are present, the optical properties are largely affected. The electrode with the best optical and electrical properties was obtained with ~5s of silver deposition on carbon aerogel. It showed a homogeneous morphology of Ag$_2$S with an average size of NPs of 8.65nm ± 1.74nm, a bandgap reduction of 30.7% and an electric conductivity increment of 12.7% compared to the pure carbon aerogel electrodes. Cyclic voltammetry analysis shows that these electrodes could be successfully used for electrochemical sensors.
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