Thrombin modifies growth, proliferation and apoptosis of human colon organoids: a protease-activated receptor 1- and protease-activated receptor 4-dependent mechanism

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**
Thrombin is massively released upon tissue damage associated with bleeding or chronic inflammation. The effects of this thrombin on tissue regrowth and repair has been scarcely addressed and only in cancer cell lines. Hence, the purpose of the present study was to determine thrombin’s pharmacological effects on human intestinal epithelium growth, proliferation and apoptosis, using three-dimensional cultures of human colon organoids.

**EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH**
Crypts were isolated from human colonic resections and cultured for 6 days, forming human colon organoids. Cultured organoids were exposed to 10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹ of thrombin, in the presence or not of protease-activated receptor (PAR) antagonists. Organoid morphology, metabolism, proliferation and apoptosis were followed.

**KEY RESULTS**
Thrombin favoured organoid maturation leading to a decreased number of immature cystic structures and a concomitant increased number of larger structures releasing cell debris and apoptotic cells. The size of budding structures, metabolic activity and proliferation were significantly reduced in organoid cultures exposed to thrombin, while apoptosis was dramatically increased. Both PAR1 and PAR4 antagonists inhibited apoptosis regardless of thrombin doses. Thrombin-induced inhibition of proliferation and metabolic activity were reversed by PAR4 antagonist for thrombin’s lowest dose and by PAR1 antagonist for thrombin’s highest dose.

**CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**
Overall, our data suggest that the presence of thrombin in the vicinity of human colon epithelial cells favours their maturation at the expense of their regenerative capacities. Our data point to thrombin and its two receptors PAR1 and PAR4 as potential molecular targets for epithelial repair therapies.

**Abbreviations**
IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; NAC, N-acetylcysteine; PAR, protease-activated receptor; PFA, paraformaldehyde; RT, room temperature
Introduction

A new paradigm has been set up for the treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), shifting from resolving symptoms and inflammation per se (i.e. infiltration and activation of inflammatory cells), towards the objective of tissue repair and mucosal healing (Iacucci and Ghosh, 2016). Indeed, mucosal healing and epithelial repair are increasingly incorporated in the outcome measures of clinical trials (Shah et al., 2016). This new focus on epithelial regeneration and healing has shed new light on intestinal epithelial cell biology and drives a new area of research for the development of therapeutic approaches for IBD. The study of mediators that are released in the course of chronic intestinal inflammation and their effects on epithelial biology has become a major focus of research for drug development.

In that setting, thrombin appears as a most important candidate, since IBD patients exhibit abnormalities in coagulation and fibrinolysis, have an increased thrombin generation and a significant presence of this protease in colonic tissues (de Jong et al., 1989; Senchenkova et al., 2015). Therefore, the effects of thrombin on human epithelial tissues have to be carefully considered. Previous studies have investigated the effects of thrombin on intestinal cancer cell lines (Darmoul et al., 2003), demonstrating that thrombin provoked dramatic mitogenic responses, proliferation and increased cell migration. These studies suggested that thrombin could be a growth factor for human colon cancer. However, the effects of thrombin on intestinal epithelial have only been studied in cancer cells, which are known to overexpress thrombin receptors (Darmoul et al., 2003; Gratio et al., 2009). What are the effects of thrombin chronic exposure on non-transformed and non-cancerous human intestinal epithelial cells? Does thrombin modify epithelial proliferation, apoptosis of non-cancerous cells or regeneration in intestinal tissues? Does the presence of thrombin modify epithelial repair in the context of IBD?

The recent development of human colon organoid cultures allows pharmacological testing of compounds in three-dimensional (3D) primary cell cultures in complex human epithelia (Sato et al., 2011; Crespo et al., 2017). Indeed, organoid cultures are representative of the complex composition of intestinal epithelium, since within the same organoid, after a few days of culture, stem cells, progenitors and differentiated cells are detected (Sato et al., 2011). In the present study, we took advantage of this model to test the effects of thrombin on human healthy epithelium. This model replicates the cell composition of a physiological epithelium, and, therefore, pharmacological approaches using a more physiological model are also more relevant to predict a drug’s effects. Since renewal of damaged epithelium and epithelial repair implicate cell survival, growth and prolifération, our aim was to determine the effects thrombin has on these parameters in human colon organoid cultures and to study the potential involvement of the two active thrombin receptors: protease-activated receptor (PAR)1 and PAR4.

Methods

Human samples

Biological samples were obtained from five different patients treated at the Toulouse University Hospital. Patients gave informed consent and were included in the registered COLIC study (DC-2015-2443). Colonic samples were obtained from surgical resections of patients suffering from colorectal cancer. Tissues that were used were harvested in healthy zones at the margin of the resection and at least 10 cm away from the tumour. Characteristics and treatments of patients are provided in Supporting Information Table S1.

Organoid cultures

Tissue obtained was opened longitudinally and washed with Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS; Sigma-Aldrich #D8537, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). Then, the mucosa was removed and incubated in an antibiotic solution (gentamicin Sigma-Aldrich #G1397, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France, 1000×; normocin InvivoGen #ANT-NR-1, Toulouse, France, 500×; and fungizone Gibco #15290-018, Illkirch, France, 100×) for 5 min at room temperature (RT), four times. The mucosa was cut into 0.2- to 0.5-cm-long pieces and placed in a Petri dish containing cold DPBS before a series of three incubations in a 10 mM DTT (Roche #10197777001, Meylan, France) solution, for 5 min at RT. Pieces of tissue were transferred into a 8 mM EDTA (Ambion #L/N.1408029, Dardilly, France) solution and were left under gentle rocking for 1 h at 4°C. Supernatants were removed and replaced by 10 mL of DPBS. Mucosa fragments were then resuspended by vigorous manual up and down shaking (at least 15 repeated movements). Supernatants were recovered into a new 15 mL falcon tube and centrifuged for 2 min, 40× g at 4°C. Ten millilitres of DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen #12634-010, Illkirch, France) supplemented with 100× Glutamax-CTS (Invitrogen #A1286001, Illkirch, France), 100× HEPES (Gibco #15630-056, Illkirch, France) and 5% FBS was added to the pellets and centrifuged again for 2 min, 40× g at 4°C. This step was repeated twice. Then, crypts were counted in a 20 μL drop and the samples were centrifuged once again for 2 min, at 40× g at 4°C. Supernatants were removed, and fresh hES Matrigel (Corning #354277, Amsterdam, Netherlands) was added to the pellets, carefully avoiding air bubbles. Ten microlitres of Matrigel containing 20 crypts were plated in each well of a pre-warmed 96-well plate (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany γ-angiogenesis). Once the Matrigel had polymerized for 20 min, 70 μL of culture medium was added to each well. The culture medium was composed of 50% advanced DMEM/F12, 50% Wnt3a-conditioned medium [supernatants from L Wnt-3A cells (ATCC® CRL-2647™), 100× Glutamax-CTS, 100× HEPES, serum-free B27 (Life Technologies #12587-010, Villebon-sur-Yvette, France, 50×), N2 (Invitrogen #17502-048, Illkirch, France, 100×), N-acetylcysteine (NAC; Sigma #A9165-5G, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France 500×), nicotinamide (Sigma #N6036, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France 10 mM)], recombinant human epithelial growth factor (Gibco #PHG0314, Illkirch, France 50 ng·mL−1), human Noggin (Tebu #120-10C, Le-Perray-en-Yvelines, France 100 ng·mL−1), human R-spondin (R&D #4645RS, Lille, France 1 μg·mL−1), gastrin (Sigma G9145, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France 10 nM),...
SB202190 (Sigma # S7067, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) 10 μM, LY2157299 (Axon MedChem # 1941, Groningen, Netherlands 0.5 μM) and PGE2 (Sigma # P0409, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France 0.01 μM). The cultures were finally incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. The culture medium was changed every 3 days but without NAC and LY2157599.

**Treatments with thrombin and antagonists**

Thrombin (Sigma-Aldrich # T6884, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) diluted in 0.1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich # A9576, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) was added to culture media daily starting from day 3 and until day 6, at two different doses: 10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹. Forty-five minutes before each addition of thrombin to culture media, the PAR1 antagonist F16357 (Pierre Fabre Medicaments, Toulouse, France), PAR4 antagonist ML354 (Tocris # 5387, Lille, France) or their vehicle (0.02% DMSO) was added to the media, according to the protocol described in Supporting Information Figure S1. The doses of thrombin used were selected according to previously published studies investigating the effects of thrombin in cell culture systems (Harmon et al., 1986; Morganti et al., 2010). Similarly, at the doses selected, the PAR1 (10 μM), and PAR4 (140 nM) antagonists were proven to specifically inhibit these receptors in cell culture models (Planty et al., 2010; Young et al., 2010; Wen et al., 2014; Monjotin et al., 2016). All cultures were stopped at day 6 for analysis.

**Images of cultures and analysis**

Structures were imaged using an apotome microscope (HXP120; Zeiss Axio-observer, Marly-le-roi France; 10×, 0.45 NA) from the Toulouse-Purpan Imaging Core facility directed by Sophie Allart. Images were analysed using the ImageJ software from Fiji. For immunostaining, organoids were analysed with an inverted confocal microscope, Spinning Disk (Flash4 CSU-1×, 234 z 0.5 μm, with stream, 20× 0.75 NA, 234 z-planes and 1.5 μm spacing). 3D reconstruction and analysis for each organoid acquired were done with Imaris software (8.2, Bitplane).

**Classification of cultures**

Structures were classified according to the presence of rounded or immature cells and budding between the harvesting and the 6 days of culture, as described by the intestinal stem cell consortium (Stelzer et al., 2012) (a nomenclature for intestinal _in vitro_ cultures). With regard to columnar structures, the cell polarity was defined by the polarized labelling of β-actin and β-catenin. A columnar cell was defined by a thickness >18 μm. Releasing structures were defined by a broken epithelium and the presence of cell debris inside and outside of the structure. For all structures, the diameters around the structure were measured four times, and measurements obtained were averaged. For budding structures, only the rounded core of the structure was measured for diameter; individual budding zones were not included in the diameter measurements.

**Metabolic activity assay**

The CellTiter-Glo® 3D reagent (Promega, #9683, Charbonniere-Les-Bains, France) kit was used to measure extracellular ATP, as an indication of mitochondrial metabolic activity within the cultures (Zanoni et al., 2016). The reagent was added to the culture medium of each well (volume 1:1) and incubated for 30 min at RT, protected from light, as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Luminescence was measured with a luminometer (Varioskan Flash – Thermo Scientific, Illkirch, France). A minimum of three wells per condition was analysed and measured and was calculated using an ATP standard curve. Because image acquisition of cells was not possible in the whole well, the ATP concentrations were normalized to the total surface area occupied by the cultured cell structures, as observed by microscopic analysis using bright field imaging using an apotome microscope. Therefore, the cell surface area was used to control for amount of tissue variation.

**Apoptosis assay**

Apoptosis was quantified using the NucView 488 caspase-3 kit (Ozyme, 1 mM in 1× PBS # BTM10403, Saint-QuentinFallavier, France), at day 6, where active caspase-3 is detected as a measure of apoptotic cells. As per the manufacturer’s instructions, the NucView 488 reagent was added to culture medium of each well (volume 1:1) and incubated for 30 min at RT, and protected from light. Nuclei were stained using DAPI, as previously described (Gobbetti et al., 2015). The fluorescence emitted was measured with a confocal microscope (Spinning Disk – CSU-X1 – Flash4 camera – 20×, 0.75 NA). Ten organoids were analysed per condition with 234 z-planes and 1.5 μm spacing, except for the second patient (thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357 10 μM, only two organoids were analysed) and the third patient (for the thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ condition, no organoid was analysed). The 3D reconstruction and analysis of number of caspase-3-positive cells (stained by DAPI as previously described; Cenac et al., 2010) in the total number of cells in each organoid were done with Imaris software 8.2, Bitplane. Only caspase-3-positive cells present within the lining of the organoid epithelium were counted; positive cells present in the lumen were not considered.

**Proliferation measurements**

Organoids were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS at 37°C for 20 min. Organoids were permeabilized with 0.5% triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS at RT for 20 min and were incubated with a blocking buffer with 3% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS 1.5 h at 37°C. Organoids were then incubated for 2 h at 37°C with primary antibody anti-Ki67, mouse Ig G1 (Dako, #M7240, Les-Ulis, France 1/100). Next, the primary antibody was washed out three times for 5 min, and organoids were incubated with the secondary antibody, AlexaFluor 568 donkey anti-mouse (Life Technologies, # A10038, Villebon-Sur-Yvette, France 1/500) for 1.5 h at 37°C and then stained for 20 min with DAPI (Invitrogen, Illkirch, France). Plates were mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Eurobio, Les-Ulis, France), and organoids were analysed with an inverted confocal microscope, Spinning Disk (Flash4 sCMOS, 234 z 0.5 μm, with stream, 20× 0.75 NA). All organoids were analysed for each condition with 234 z-planes and 1.5 μm spacing, more precisely as follows:

- First patient: control: 8 organoids analysed; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹: 7 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹: 6 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 10 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 10 organoids; thrombin
Fifth patient: control: 10 organoids analysed; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 10 organoids; ML354 140 nM: 7 organoids; and F16357 10 μM: 10 organoids.

Second patient: control: 10 organoids analysed; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹: 8 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 9 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 5 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 9 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 8 organoids; ML354 140 nM: 10 organoids; and F16357 10 μM: 5 organoids.

Third patient: control: 10 organoids analysed; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹: 8 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹: 6 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 5 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 6 organoids; and thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 5 organoids.

Fourth patient: control: 7 organoids analysed; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹: 10 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 5 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 6 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 10 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 6 organoids; ML354 140 nM: 10 organoids; and F16357 10 μM: 8 organoids.

Fifth patient: control: 10 organoids analysed; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹: 10 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 5 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and ML354: 6 organoids; thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 10 organoids; thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ and F16357: 6 organoids; ML354 140 nM: 10 organoids; and F16357 10 μM: 8 organoids.

Real-time PCR
Total RNA was extracted from organoid cultures using TRIzol Plus RNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, Illkirch, France) as previously described (D’Aldebert et al., 2011). Real-time PCR was performed with PAR1 and PAR4 gene-specific primers: 5’AAGAAAGTTCCGATCCCAGC3’ and 5’TTTTAACCTCC CAGCAGTC3’ for PAR1; 5’TGCGTGGATCCCTTATCT AC3’ and 5’CCTGCGCCGACCTTGTCC3’ for PAR4. The relative expression of targeted genes was compared with that of hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase.

PAR1 immunohistochemistry
As for Ki67 immunostaining, organoids were fixed with 3.7% PFA (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS at 37°C for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.5% triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS at RT for 20 min and were incubated with a blocking buffer at 3% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) in PBS for 1.5 h at 37°C. Organoids were then incubated overnight with anti-PAR1 primary antibody (AB111976; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at a concentration of 1:500 as previously described (Desormeaux et al., 2018). After being washed, organoids were incubated with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor) for 1 h, washed and mounted with Prolong Gold Reagent containing DAPI (Molecular Probes, Leiden, Netherlands), for nucleus staining. Controls for the specificity of the antibody include incubation in the absence of secondary antibody and in the presence of immunizing peptides (not shown).

Randomization, blinding and statistical analysis
All organoids present in wells were counted. For all experiments, plates were prepared so that all conditions were randomly present in each plate. Organoid counts and measures were performed by an observer unaware of the treatments.

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 6.0 software. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni multiple comparisons test. Significance was accepted for P < 0.05. Post hoc tests were run only if F achieved P < 0.05 and when no significant variance inhomogeneity was observed. The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis et al., 2018).

Nomenclature of targets and ligands
Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (Harding et al., 2018), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18 (Alexander et al., 2017a,b).

Characterization of organoid cultures
Human colon crypts were purified from fresh tissue samples obtained from colectomies harvested in healthy zones of tissues from patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer (Supporting Information Table S1). Isolated crypt fragments (Figure 1A, day 0 panel) were cultured in 3D Matrigel matrix for 3 and 6 days. Within the first day, the crypt architecture disappeared (not shown) to form organoid structures composed of an epithelial cell monolayer and a central lumen. At day 3 of culture, three types of structure were observed: ‘cystic’, ‘columnar’ and ‘releasing’ structures (Figure 1A, day 3 panel). Cystic structures were characterized by flat epithelial cells organized to delineate a clear lumen (Figure 1A). Epithelial cells comprising cystic organoids are typically immature and undifferentiated with high proliferative and self-renewal capacities (Ramalingam et al., 2012; Stelzer et al., 2012). Columnar structures were characterized by thicker epithelial cells, still monolayered, but with a columnar shape for all epithelial cells, similar to the shape of cells found in the native crypts (Figure 1A). Nuclei in columnar cells were stained on the basal portion of the cells. Epithelial cells from columnar structures typically undergo both proliferation and limited differentiation (Ramalingam et al., 2012). The lumen of these structures could appear clear, or contain cellular debris. Releasing structures were characterized by their large size, the columnarity of the cells composing their shape and the presence of a crater that seems to allow the release of intraluminal debris (Figure 1A). At day 6 of culture, the same three types of structure were observed (Figure 1A, day 6 panel), and in addition, ‘budding’ structures were present. Budding structures are characterized by excrences of new crypts and exemplify fully mature and differentiated organoids with both proliferative and differentation capacities. These types of structures are called colonoids and were rare in our culture conditions (6 days of culture).
The size of each type of structure was measured at day 6 of culture (Figure 1B) and showed a trend of increasing size from the most immature structures (cystic) to the most mature structures (releasing and budding).

**Effects of thrombin exposure on human colon organoid cultures**

Thrombin was added to the human organoid cultures daily from day 3 to day 6 of the culture (Supporting Information Figure S1). For the two doses of thrombin used (10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹), no difference in the total number of organoids was observed (not shown). Similarly, the size of cystic, columnar or releasing organoids was not changed by the addition of thrombin (Figure 2A). However, at the dose of 10 mU·mL⁻¹, the addition of thrombin to human colon organoid cultures caused a significant decrease in the size of budding organoids, while the higher dose of 50 mU·mL⁻¹ had no effect (Figure 2A).

While chronic incubation of human colon organoid cultures with thrombin had no effect on the percentage of columnar organoids present in the culture, thrombin (both 10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹) significantly affected the number of cystic organoids and increased the proportion of releasing organoids (Figure 2B). No significant effect was observed on the proportion of budding organoids in the presence of thrombin, but a trend towards an increased number of budding structures in the presence of thrombin could be noticed (Figure 2B). Taken together, these data suggest that thrombin exposure pushes colon organoid cultures towards maturation.

The concentration of ATP release per surface of total organoids was used as a measure of metabolic activity/cell survival in organoid cultures. Thrombin incubation (both at 10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹) significantly reduced the metabolic activity of human colon organoid cultures (Figure 3). Apoptosis was significantly increased in epithelial cells lining within organoids exposed to both doses of thrombin (Figure 4). Immunostaining for apoptotic cells was particularly strong in the lumen of the structures (microphotographs on the panel) both in control conditions and after thrombin exposure. Such staining corresponds to physiological anoikis. However, in the case of thrombin exposure, apoptotic cells were also present within the cell lining of organoid structures (right panel photomicrograph). The percentage of proliferative cells (Ki67-positive cells) was significantly diminished in the presence of thrombin (both doses) (Figure 5).

**Thrombin-induced effects on human colon organoid cultures are mediated by the activation of both PAR1 and PAR4**

First, we investigated the presence of PAR1 and PAR4 in the material used. Both PAR1 and PAR4 mRNAs were detected in crypts isolated from human intestinal tissues (not shown). RT-PCR experiments showed that PAR1 and PAR4 mRNAs were present in 6 day organoid cultures, and this expression was significantly increased by exposure of cultures to increasing doses of thrombin (Figure 6A). Immunohistochemistry performed on organoids after 6 days of culture revealed that PAR1 was expressed on almost all epithelial cells (Figure 6B). Compared with the localization of the nucleus stained by DAPI, PAR1 expression appeared mostly on the basolateral side (Figure 6B). The addition of PAR1 or PAR4 antagonists alone to the cultures had no effect on the size of organoid subtypes (Supporting Information Figure S2), or on the types (cystic, columnar, releasing or budding) of organoids present.
in the culture (Supporting Information Figure S2). Thrombin-induced decrease in per cent of cystic structures was reversed similarly by PAR1 and PAR4 antagonist treatment, independently of the dose of thrombin used (Figure 7A for the dose of 50 mU·mL⁻¹, not shown for the 10 mU·mL⁻¹ thrombin dose). Thrombin-induced increase in per cent of releasing structures was significantly reversed by PAR1 but not by PAR4 antagonist treatment, independently of the dose of thrombin used (Figure 7B for the dose of 50 mU·mL⁻¹, not shown for the 10 mU·mL⁻¹ dose). PAR4 antagonist treatment significantly decreased the per cent of budding structures observed in the presence of thrombin at the dose of 50 mU·mL⁻¹ (Figure 7C). Thrombin had no effect on the per cent of columnar structures (Figure 2B), and treatments with PAR1 or PAR4 antagonist did not change this observation (data not shown). PAR1 or PAR4 antagonists had no effect on the size of organoids exposed to thrombin, regardless of the dose of thrombin used (Supporting Information Figure S3). The decreased metabolic activity induced by the lowest dose of thrombin was inhibited by a pre-incubation with the PAR4 antagonist ML354 but not by the PAR1 antagonist F16357. In contrast, the effect of the highest dose of thrombin (50 mU·mL⁻¹) was only inhibited by the PAR1 antagonist and not by the PAR4 antagonist (Figure 7D). The effects of all doses of thrombin on apoptosis were completely abolished by both the PAR1 and the PAR4 antagonists, indicating that both receptors play a role in thrombin-induced apoptosis, regardless of the dose (Figure 7E). Thrombin-induced decrease in percentage of proliferative cells was inhibited by the PAR4 antagonist for the low dose of thrombin (10 mU·mL⁻¹) but not by the PAR1 antagonist (Figure 7F). The effects of the highest dose of thrombin (50 mU·mL⁻¹) on

Figure 2
Effects of thrombin (THRB) on organoid characteristics. (A) Diameter (μm) of cystic, columnar, releasing and budding organoids at 6 days of culture and after 3 days of exposure to thrombin at 10 or 50 mU·mL⁻¹ or to vehicle. (B) The percentage of the different types of structures, at 6 days of culture and after 3 days of exposure to thrombin at 10 or 50 mU·mL⁻¹ or to vehicle. Data are means ± SEM with n = 5 different individuals from which tissues were harvested, and 70 organoids were analysed per condition. *P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni multiple comparisons test).
the percentage of proliferative cells in organoid cultures were inhibited by the PAR1 but not by the PAR4 antagonist (Figure 7F).

**Discussion**

The present study investigated the effects of thrombin on the biology of the human intestinal epithelium, with the aim of determining whether exogenous thrombin could eventually modify epithelial growth and/or renewal. We demonstrated that exogenous thrombin favoured organoid maturation, also induced severe apoptosis, and reduced the metabolic activity and proliferation of human intestinal epithelial cells. Overall, our data suggest that the presence of thrombin in the vicinity of epithelial cells is detrimental to epithelial renewal. Furthermore, we demonstrated that these detrimental effects of thrombin are mediated by the activation of both PAR1 and PAR4, the two functional thrombin receptors. We have previously demonstrated that PAR1 is expressed in human and mouse colon crypts and organoids (Nasri et al., 2016). The presence of PAR4 in stem cells/progenitors has not been previously demonstrated, but our results revealed an active role for PAR4 in these cells. These findings are particularly relevant in the context of IBD, where large amounts of thrombin are present in a patient’s intestinal tissues (de Jong et al., 1989). Potentially, thrombin could be present both on the basolateral side of epithelial cells as per blood vessel rupture and in the lumen upon bloody diarrhoea associated with IBD. Our data point to a basolateral effect of thrombin and its two receptors PAR1 and PAR4, as potential molecular targets for epithelial repair therapies. Indeed, previous studies have demonstrated a role...
**Figure 5**
Effects of thrombin (THRB) on organoid cell proliferation. The % of proliferative cells (Ki67 red staining) over total cells (cyan DAPI nucleus staining) per organoid cultured for 6 days and exposed for the last 3 days of culture to thrombin (10 or 50 mU·mL⁻¹) or vehicle. Data are means ± SEM with n = 5 different individuals from which tissues were harvested. Individual sign shape represents data generated from the culture of the same patient tissue. *P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni multiple comparisons test). Photomicrographs are representative pictures of Ki67 staining in control condition (vehicle exposure) (left panel), thrombin 10 mU·mL⁻¹ incubation (middle panel) or thrombin 50 mU·mL⁻¹ (right panel) incubation. Scale bar is 50 μm.

**Figure 6**
PAR1 and PAR4 expression in human colon organoid cultures. (A) Relative mRNA expression of PAR1 and PAR4 in 6 day organoid cultures exposed to vehicle (control) or to thrombin (10 and 50 mU·mL⁻¹). (B) Photomicrographs representative of PAR1 immunostaining (red staining) and nucleus staining (blue staining with DAPI) in 6 day cultures of human colon organoids (two different z stack). Data in (A) are means ± SEM of n = 5 different individuals from which tissues were harvested. *P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni multiple comparisons test). Scale bar is 50 μm in (B).
for PARs and PAR1 in particular in inflammation and in models of IBD (Vergnolle, 2004; Cenac et al., 2005; Vergnolle, 2009; Vergnolle, 2016).

Most importantly, the model we used is a model originating from the human colon and, replicating at its best, a human intestinal epithelial organ. This technology is based on the isolation of fresh intestinal crypts, which are then cultured in three dimensions. The intestinal stem cells present in the isolated crypts proliferate and enter into a differentiation process, recreating a complex epithelium, containing possibly all the cell types that compose the colonic epithelium (enteroendocrine cells, goblet cells, enterocytes, etc.) (Sato et al., 2011). This model is nowadays considered as the best model to assess the effects of pharmacological treatments on human intestinal epithelial growth and regeneration (Cruz-Acuna et al., 2017). Indeed, cultured organoids are proposed for regenerative therapies as their transplantation can contribute to tissue repair and regeneration after injury (Fordham et al., 2013). Above all, this model offers an unparalleled strategy for recapitulating important features of human epithelial tissues and for testing pharmacological approaches.

Thrombin is massively present in the vicinity of intestinal epithelium in patients suffering from IBD. Indeed, bleeding is often associated with tissue injury, and bloody diarrhoea is a common symptom in IBD patients. In addition, studies have reported that IBD patients exhibit a threefold higher risk for development of systemic thrombosis than the general population. An increased number of platelet aggregates and enhanced thrombin generation have been measured in IBD patients (Senchenkova et al., 2015). The concentration of thrombin in tissues and blood from IBD patients is in the same range of concentrations we used in the present study, and the same concentrations of thrombin are classically used in in vitro models of platelet activation (de Jong et al., 1989; Morganti et al., 2010). Therefore, the effects of thrombin on human intestinal organoid cultures we observed could well be informative of the endogenous effects of thrombin in pathological situations such as IBD. In addition, by using the organoid model, we can speculate that the level of expression of thrombin receptors in intestinal epithelial cells is closer to physiological concentrations than those in cancer intestinal epithelial cell lines, which are known to overexpress PARs (Darmoul et al., 2003; Gratio et al., 2009). Therefore, thrombin’s effects on human intestinal organoid cultures could be considered as representative of physiological or pathophysiological effects.

The incubation of organoid cultures in the presence of thrombin concomitantly reduced the percentage of cystic structures and increased the percentage of releasing structures. The squamous shape of cells in cystic structures is indicative of early immature structures, which have not yet acquired the columnar cell shape characteristic of mature colonic epithelium. Our data thus suggest that thrombin is able
to push organoid cultures towards a more mature phenotype. Although not significant, there was a trend towards an increased proportion of budding structures after thrombin exposure, in agreement with a pro-maturation effect of thrombin. The low number of budding structures at 6 days of culture might explain this lack of significance for thrombin’s effects. These morphological evidences of a pro-maturation effect of thrombin should be completed by measuring differentiation markers. So far, we have investigated the mRNA expression of the two mucus proteins MUC2 and MUC5, but no effect of thrombin on their transcription could be detected in organoid cultures (not shown). In the future, more markers of all differentiated intestinal epithelial cells should be followed. Interestingly, concomitant to the pro-maturation effect, increased apoptosis was observed in organoid cultures in response to thrombin exposure. This was evidenced both by an increased staining for caspase-3 activity and by an increased number of releasing organoids: that is, structures full of apoptotic cells that are expelled from the organoid. Although thrombin could favour the acquisition of a more mature intestinal epithelium phenotype, overall thrombin did not favour organoid growth, as the size of cystic, columnar and releasing organoids was not modified by thrombin exposure. On the contrary, thrombin reduced the diameter of budding organoid structures. These structures are considered as the most mature organoid structures. Indeed, they present undifferentiated budding zones that correspond to the crypts and more differentiated zones at the top of those neo-formed crypts. The fact that thrombin exposure reduced the diameter of budding structures but not of cysts or columnar structures could suggest that thrombin inhibits the proliferation of a specific population of progenitors present in the developing buds. Indeed, we observed that thrombin exposure reduced the number of proliferative cells. Interestingly, at the lowest dose of thrombin used (10 mU·mL⁻¹, the same dose that lowered the diameter of budding structures), the anti-proliferative effect of thrombin was dependent on PAR4 activation. This could suggest that PAR4 activation slows organoid growth by inhibiting proliferation. However, at a higher dose of thrombin (50 mU·mL⁻¹), which had no effect on budding structure diameters, proliferation was still inhibited, but this time by a mechanism involving PAR1 activation and not PAR4 activation. This suggests that a compensatory mechanism might maintain the size of budding organoids in the presence of this high dose of thrombin, despite the anti-proliferative effects induced by PAR1 activation. In a previous study, we observed that PAR1-activating peptides increase the size of murine organoids in part by pushing differentiation processes (Nasri et al., 2016). This could constitute the compensatory mechanism maintaining the size of budding organoids exposed to high doses of thrombin. Taken together, these data provide evidence that thrombin has an anti-proliferative effect that involves both PAR1 and PAR4 but differentially depending on the dose of thrombin.

The same differential involvement of PAR1 and PAR4 was observed for the effects of thrombin on metabolic activity measured in organoid cultures. At low doses of thrombin, PAR4 activation seemed to be involved, while at higher doses of thrombin, PAR1 activation seemed to be responsible for thrombin’s effects. It is well known that not the same concentrations of thrombin are required to activate PAR1 and PAR4 on platelets (Kahn et al., 1998; Ramachandran and Hollenberg, 2008). However, in contrast to our findings, higher concentrations of thrombin are necessary to activate PAR4 because of the lack of a hirudin-like thrombin binding sequence on PAR4, while lower concentrations of thrombin are sufficient to activate PAR1, which possesses this binding sequence (Xu et al., 1998). This apparent contradiction could be explained by the forms of thrombin that are present in the culture. While we added α-thrombin resuspended from lyophilized powder to the organoid cultures, this form of thrombin might not remain stable in organoid cultures, and depending on the presence of epithelial proteases, α-thrombin could be degraded to a certain extent to β-thrombin and/or γ-thrombin. α-Thrombin preferably binds and cleaves PAR1, but γ-thrombin is a much less potent agonist for PAR1 and more potent for PAR4 activation (Nystedt et al., 1994; Xu et al., 1998). Thus, depending on the concentration of thrombin we add and also depending on the presence of thrombin-cleaving proteases in our culture conditions, different forms of thrombin at different concentrations could be present and activate differentially PAR1 and PAR4. Indeed, in previous studies, we demonstrated that human intestinal epithelium is a major source of proteases (Motta et al., 2011; Motta et al., 2012; Rolland-Fourcade et al., 2017), and these proteases, if released by organoid cultures, could cleave thrombin. Another potential explanation could be the occurrence of crosstalks with other members of the PAR family. For instance, PAR3 has been shown to act as a co-factor for PAR4 activation (Nakanishi-Matsui et al., 2000). Depending on the expression of PAR3 together or not with PAR4 at the cell surface or intestinal epithelial cells, PAR1 or PAR4 activation might be favoured. Although PAR2 is not considered as a thrombin receptor, recent work from the Hollenberg’s laboratory has demonstrated that thrombin can signal through PAR2 (Mihara et al., 2016). We have previously shown that activated PAR2 strongly reduced the proliferation of immature cells, although without inducing apoptosis or decreasing stem cell capacities in mouse cultured organoids (Nasri et al., 2016). Thus, PAR2 could be another player, activated at high concentrations of thrombin (Mihara et al., 2016), interfering with proliferation and metabolic activity. Further experiments with selective peptidic agonists for the different PARs would bring important pharmacological information on the effects of each PARs. However, even the use of peptidic agonists is a limiting factor as tethered ligand peptides do not reproduce all signalling pathways triggered by PAR-activating proteases (Ramachandran and Hollenberg, 2008).

Interestingly, both the PAR1 or PAR4 antagonist alone (in the absence of thrombin) decreased metabolic activity by one-third in organoid cultures (Figure 7D, time 0). One can speculate that some proteases are present in intestinal epithelial cells and could constitutively activate PAR1 and PAR4 in steady states, lowering metabolic activity. Trypsin-3, a protease known to activate PAR1 and PAR4 (Knecht et al., 2007), has recently been shown to be present in intestinal epithelial cells (Rolland-Fourcade et al., 2017); this protease could be responsible for this effect.

It is important to note, however, that the cell assay that was used in the present study to measure ATP levels is also reported as an indicator of cell viability. In order to fully discuss
the effects of thrombin on metabolic activity, other parameters such as glycolysis, oxygen consumption or NADH activity should be measured.

The most striking effect of thrombin on human colon organoid cultures was on the induction of apoptosis. Apoptosis is a physiological process in intestinal epithelium, where highly differentiated cells at the top of the crypt undergo apoptosis in order to be renewed. However, excess of apoptosis could be harmful to the mucosa, leading to an increased permeability and an increased presence of immature cells (Blander, 2016). It has been shown that IBD is associated with increased epithelial cell apoptosis and increased permeability, the aberrant epithelial cell death being responsible at least in part, for the delayed mucosal healing (Blander, 2016). Considering the high amounts of thrombin present in tissues from IBD patients, and the pro-apoptotic effects we observed for thrombin in human intestinal organoid cultures, it is plausible to think that in IBD patients, the presence of thrombin in the vicinity of the epithelium participates to the increased apoptosis. Indeed, here by counting only apoptotic cells present within the epithelium lining of organoids, our results point to direct pro-apoptotic effect of thrombin on functional epithelial cells and not only an increased anoikis. This clearly suggests a detrimental effect for thrombin on functional epithelium. Further, we demonstrated that both PAR1 and PAR4 activations are involved in the mechanism of thrombin-induced apoptosis in intestinal epithelial cells. In previous studies, we have demonstrated that PAR1 activation provoked an increased permeability due to aberrant apoptosis. This was demonstrated both in vitro in epithelial cancer cell line cultures and in vivo in a mouse model (Chin et al., 2003). The results from the present study definitively point to the possible same mechanism in non-transformed complex human epithelium and add to the mechanism the possible involvement of PAR4.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrated the multiple effects of thrombin in human intestinal epithelium, identifying PAR1 and PAR4 as important receptors mediating these effects. While the pharmacological approach used here suggests that the regulation of PAR1 and PAR4 activation by thrombin could be subtle in human intestinal epithelial cells, depending on the concentration of surrounding thrombin, all our data point to a detrimental effect of thrombin and its receptors on epithelial growth and potential healing. These findings seem particularly relevant in the context of IBD, where thrombin is largely present in the vicinity of epithelial cells, and suggest that thrombin inhibition, PAR1 and PAR4 blockade could constitute a possible therapeutic intervention in IBD to favour epithelial regrowth and barrier function. However, it is important to note that our study was performed in healthy tissues, and different responses to thrombin could be expected in tissues from IBD patients. Furthermore, by favoring differentiation and maturation, thrombin through the activation of PAR1 and PAR4 could also exert positive effects on epithelial repair. Further studies are necessary to investigate the effects of thrombin and PAR activation in organoid cultures from IBD patients, discriminating between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.
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Figure S1 Schedule of experiments. Kinetic scheme of organoid cultures, from crypt isolation (day 0), to the addition of thrombin (10 or 50 mU.ml⁻¹) or vehicle, in the presence or not of PAR1-, PAR4- antagonists or their vehicle (from day 3 to day 6). All analyses were performed at day 6.

Figure S2 Effects of PAR1 and PAR4 antagonists on organoid characteristics. A – Organoid diameter (μm) of cystic, columnar, releasing and budding organoids at 6-days of culture and after 3 days of exposure to PAR1 or PAR4 antagonist alone. B – Repartition of the different types of structures, at 6-days of culture and after 3 days of exposure to PAR1 or PAR4 antagonist alone. Data are means ±SEM with n=5 individuals from which tissues were harvested. One-way ANOVA was performed and F did not achieve P < 0.05.

Figure S3 Effects of PAR1 and PAR4 antagonists on the effects of Thrombin on organoid diameter. Organoid diameter (μm) of cystic, columnar, releasing and budding organoids at 6-days of culture and after 3 days of exposure to Thrombin 10 mU.ml⁻¹ (A), or Thrombin 50 mU.ml⁻¹ (B), and in the presence of vehicle, PAR1 or PAR4 antagonist. Data are means ±SEM with n = 5 individuals from which tissues were harvested. One-way ANOVA was performed and F did not achieve P < 0.05.

Table S1 Characteristics and outcomes of control patients (NS: not-specified; NSAIDS: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).