Composted Cattle Manure Increases Microbial Activity and Soil Fertility More Than Composted Swine Manure in a Submerged Rice Paddy
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Livestock waste composts with minimum inorganic fertilizer as a soil amendment in low-input intensive farming are a feasible agricultural practice to improve soil fertility and productivity and to mitigate soil degradation. The key benefits of the practice rely on the activities of soil microorganisms. However, the role of different livestock composts [composted cattle manure (CCM) vs. composted swine manure (CSM)] on soil microbes, their activities and the overall impact on soil fertility and productivity in a flooded paddy remains elusive. This study compares the effectiveness of CCM and CSM amendment on bacterial communities, activities, nutrient availability, and crop yield in a flooded rice cropping system. We used deep 16S amplicon sequencing and soil enzyme activities to decipher bacterial communities and activities, respectively. Both CCM and CSM amendment significantly increased soil pH, nutrient availability (C, N, and P), microbial biomass, soil enzyme activities indicative for C and N cycles, aboveground plant biomass and grain yield. And the increase in above-mentioned parameters was more prominent in the CCM treatment compared to the CSM treatment. The CCM amendment increased species richness and stimulated copiotrophic microbial groups (Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Firmicutes) which are often involved in degradation of complex organic compounds. Moreover, some dominant species (e.g., Azospirillum zeae, Azospirillum halopraeferens, Azospirillum rugosum, Clostridium alkalicellulosi, Clostridium caenicola, Clostridium termitidis, Clostridium cellulolyticum, Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum, Pleomorphomonas oryzae, Variovorax boronicumulans, Pseudomonas xanthomarina, Pseudomonas stutzeri, and Bacillus niacini) which have key roles in plant growth promotion and/or lignocellulose degradation were enhanced under CCM treatment compared to CSM treatment. Multivariate analysis revealed that soil pH and available carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) were the major, while total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and available phosphorus (P) were the minor drivers of variation in bacterial communities. Overall, our observations suggest that CCM amendment is better than CSM amendment to improve soil fertility and crop yield in a submerged rice cropping system.
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INTRODUCTION

A major challenge to modern intensive agriculture is to achieve high productivity while sustaining soil health and biodiversity. The intensive use of synthetic fertilizers, especially nitrogen (N) to achieve high yield often leads to soil degradation and acidification, which, in turn, deteriorates soil fertility and decreases crop yield (Ju et al., 2009). Low-input agricultural systems which rely on the input of organic materials hold great promise not only to minimize the use of synthetic fertilizer, but also to improve crop productivity and to ensure ecosystem sustainability against nutrient mining and degradation of soil and water resources (Tilman et al., 2002; Kravchenko et al., 2017). Among the organic amendment, cattle and swine manure have been widely used in agricultural fields and the composted form of this manure is preferred over fresh manure to eliminate the risk of N loss via leaching and surface runoff, increase soil organic matter, suppress soil-borne pathogens and to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions (Darby et al., 2004; Evanylo et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2014; Escribano, 2016).

The livestock waste composts with minimum inorganic fertilizer as a soil amendment in low-input intensive farming has been well recognized as a vital agricultural practice to improve soil fertility and productivity (Tilman et al., 2002; Kravchenko et al., 2017). For instance, Francioli et al. (2016) reported that, compared to chemical fertilizer, the integrated use of chemical fertilizer (22.0% lower than the recommended dose) along with 20t ha$^{-1}$ of farmyard manure (solid cattle manure with bedding) significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased soil organic matter, total nitrogen (TN) content and soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) while crop yield was at par. Li et al. (2017) reported that the application of NPK + cattle manure and NPK + swine manure increased total organic carbon (TOC) by 143.4 and 54.7%, TN by 134.0 and 78.3% and crop yield by 48.9 and 39.6%, respectively, compared to NPK fertilization. Noteworthy, the key benefits of the practices rely on changes in soil microbial community and their activities which play an integral role in nutrient mobilization and plant growth promotion (Mader et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2015). In a literature review, Allison and Martiny (2008) reported that soil microbial community is mostly sensitive to nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) fertilization. Nitrogen is considered to be the major limiting nutrient for primary production in many terrestrial ecosystems and increased N input often leads to higher net primary production (Geisseler and Scow, 2014). While net primary production in terrestrial ecosystems, including rice paddies ecosystems, is generally N limited, soil microorganisms may be carbon (C) or N limited (Chen et al., 2014; Geisseler and Scow, 2014). The higher productivity brought on by fertilization in agricultural systems increases inputs of organic materials in the form of root exudates, decaying roots, and aboveground residues, and thus, increases the pool of C source for soil microorganisms (Geisseler and Scow, 2014; Hartmann et al., 2015). Although it has been well documented that both CCM and CSM improve soil fertility and productivity, our understanding of the soil microbial community influenced by CCM and CSM amendment is lacking. The differences in nutrient composition and indigenous microbial community in cattle and swine manure and their impact on the changes in soil physicochemical properties likely alter the soil microbial communities and activities. It has been reported that the indigenous microbiome of the livestock manure may not influence the soil microbial community as most of the bacterial species in livestock manure originate from the guts of animals and these are less competitive in the soil environment (Unc and Goss, 2004; Sun et al., 2015). Nonetheless, the use of livestock waste composts to manage the soil microbial community for the presence of beneficial and absence of detrimental microorganism may be promising to improve soil fertility and productivity (Hartmann et al., 2015).

Past studies revealed that the amendment of livestock waste composts either alone or in combination with inorganic fertilizer, improved enzyme activities and bacterial diversity in soil (Sun et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Sun et al. (2015) reported that long-term application of NPK chemical fertilizers caused a significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease of bacterial diversity, whereas addition of either swine manure or cattle manure restored bacterial diversity. Li et al. (2017) suggested that the combined application of organic (cattle manure compost) and inorganic (NPK) fertilization, not only increased soil organic carbon (SOC) and TN but also enhanced the bacterial community which is implicated in the decomposition of complex organic matter and soil carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus transformations. While the effects of changes in nutrient availability due to fertilization on the soil microbial communities have received considerable attention, specific microbial taxa strongly influenced by cattle and swine manure compost fertilization and their down-stream influence on soil fertility and crop yield in flooded rice paddy systems needs further clarification.

Assuming that different livestock waste compost amendment has a different influence on soil nutrient availability and soil physicochemical properties, we hypothesized that these changes may strongly influence soil microbial community and their activities with positive cascade effects on soil fertility and productivity. Using a multidisciplinary approach that combined microbial community characterized by high-throughput sequencing and soil enzyme activities indicative of C, N, and P cycling, we aim to identify the most appropriate livestock waste compost amendment practice (CCM vs. CSM) to improve soil fertility and productivity in a flooded paddy. The objectives of our study were (i) to reveal the differences in soil bacterial communities in CCM and CSM amended soil, (ii) to assess the impact of different bacterial community on plant growth and C, N, and P acquisition, (iii) to explore the relationships between bacterial community composition and soil parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design and Sampling

The field experiment was conducted on Duryang experimental site (35° 06' N and 128° 07' E) of Gyeongsang National University, South Korea in 2014. The soil at the experimental site is classified as fine silty, mixed, mesic Typic Endoaquents
and have the following properties: pH (1:5 with H₂O) 5.46 ± 0.4, organic carbon 16.16 ± 0.4 g kg⁻¹, total N 1.22 ± 0.2 g kg⁻¹, available P 57.8 ± 2.8 mg kg⁻¹ and exchangeable K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ 0.21 ± 0.03, 5.25 ± 0.20, and 0.51 ± 0.01 cmol+ kg⁻¹, respectively.

Three treatments, i.e., control (C), composted cattle manure (CCM), and composted swine manure (CSM) were laid out in a split-plot design and replicated three times. The recommended rates of mineral fertilizers (N-P₂O₅-K₂O = 90–45–58 kg ha⁻¹) were applied (Rural Development Administration [RDA], 2010) in all treatments (including control). The mineral NPK fertilizers were applied in the form of urea, fused superphosphate and potassium, respectively. The basal mineral fertilizers applied 1 day before transplanting were 45 kg N ha⁻¹, 45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Tillering fertilizer (22.5 kg N ha⁻¹) was broadcasted about 2 weeks after rice transplanting and panicle fertilizer (22.5 kg N ha⁻¹, 18 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) 6 weeks after transplanting. A day before the transplanting, composted cattle and swine manures was applied on an equal N basis (5 Mg ha⁻¹ CCM and 6.35 Mg ha⁻¹ CSM) in the respective fields. The composting process is described in the Supplementary Materials and the physicochemical properties of CCM and CSM are given in the Supplementary Table S1. Thirty days old rice (Oryza sativa Japonica L. CV. Dongjin) seedlings were transplanted and water level in all field plots was maintained at 5–7 cm throughout the experiment.

The soil samples were collected at the flowering stage of rice. The selection of the flowering stage for soil sampling is based on the fact that it is considered a key point of time for crop-induced changes in the soil microbial community since root growth and root exudation of rice reaches its peak near the flowering stage (Reichardt et al., 1997; Breidenbach et al., 2016). To study soil bacterial community, soil samples were collected from the rhizosphere region from each replicate plot and pooled into one composite sample. The rhizosphere soils were stored at −20°C until analysis. For soil chemical analysis and soil enzyme activities, eight soil cores (5 cm diameter and 20 cm depth) were randomly collected from each replicate plot and pooled into one composite sample. After root fragments and stones were removed, the soil was homogenized and passed through a 2 mm sieve. The freshly collected soil samples were used for the analysis of soil enzyme activities, whereas the air dried soil samples were used for soil chemical analysis.

**Soil Biochemical Analysis**

Total organic C and TN in soil were measured according to Bremer and Mulvaney (1982) and Yeomans and Bremer (1988), respectively. The available P in soil was extracted with NaHCO₃ and determined using the molybdenum blue method (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965). Exchangeable K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ were extracted with 1 N NH₄Cl (pH 7.0) and analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 660, Kyoto). Readily mineralizable carbon (RMC) content and ninhydrin nitrogen content (NRN) which are indicative for available C and N, respectively (Inubushi et al., 1991), were estimated as reported by Das and Adhya (2014). MBC content of the soil was estimated by modified chloroform fumigation extraction method (Witt et al., 2000).

**Enzyme Fingerprinting Using APIZYM Assay**

Soil enzyme activities indicative of C, N, and P cycling were measured using the semi-quantitative APIZYM system (Biomerieux, United States) as described by Martinez et al. (2016). The enzyme activities α-glucosidase, β-glucosidase, α-galactosidase, β-galactosidase, α-mannosidase, α-fucosidase, β-glucuronidase, esterase, lipase, and N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase activities were measured to study C cycling. The enzyme activities aminopeptidase, e.g., leucine-aminopeptidase and cysteine-aminopeptidase, and protease, e.g., trypsin and chymotrypsin activities were measured to study N cycling. And the enzyme activities phosphohydrolase, acid and alkaline phosphomonoesterase activities were measured to study P cycling. Soil suspensions were prepared by adding 5.0 g of fresh soil in 50 ml of saline solution (0.85% NaCl) and homogenized in a horizontal shaker (IKA® HS 501, United States) for 10 min. The soil suspensions were centrifuged at 2000 × g for 15 min and an aliquot (90 µl) of the supernatant was dispensed into microcupules containing different dehydrated chromogenic substrates for different enzymes (Supplementary Table S2). The APIZYM strips were covered and incubated at 30°C for 48 h followed by the addition of 30 µl of each reagent (ZYM A and ZYM B) to each microcupule to develop chromogenic substrates. After 5 min, color development was evaluated and a numerical value ranging from 1 to 5 (1 = 5 nM, 2 = 10 nM, 3 = 20 nM, 4 = 30 nM, and 5 = 40 nM) was assigned according to the color chart provided by the manufacturer. The results were reported as reactions of low intensity (0–1.9), moderate intensity (2–3.9), and high intensity (4–5) as described by Bonilla et al. (2015).

**DNA Extraction, PCR-Amplification, and Illumina Sequencing**

The total genomic DNA from soil (0.8 g) was extracted using a PowerSoil® DNA isolation kit (MO BIO, Carlsbad, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification was carried out using primer pair 515F (‘5’-GTGCCAGCMGCGGTAA-3’) and 806R (‘5’-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3’) generating an about 280 bp ampiclon from the variable V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Caporaso et al., 2012). Both primers contain Illumina adapter sequences while the reverse primer was barcoded with an 8-base sequence to facilitate multiplexing. PCR amplification was performed in 25 µl reactions containing 2.5 µl 10× AccuPrime PCR buffer II (including dNTPs) (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, United States), 0.4 µM of both forward and reverse primers, 10 ng template DNA, and 0.2 U AccuPrime High Fidelity Taq Polymerase. Triplicates of amplification were made for each sample and mixed after PCR amplification to
minimize potential biases from amplification. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 55°C for 15 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen) and quantified using Picogreen dsDNA assay (Invitrogen, United States). The purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar concentrations and loaded on a MiSeq Reagent Kit V2, and dual index sequencing of paired-end 250 bp was run on an Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). The 16S-rRNA gene sequences have been deposited in the GenBank short-read archive with accession number SRP101980 and under BioProject PRJNA379346.

Bioinformatics and Statistical Analysis

Raw sequences were processed using the FastX Toolkit and the QIME pipeline (Caporaso et al., 2012). Barcodes were recombined from paired-end reads, and forward reads were used for downstream analysis. All sequences were trimmed and low-quality sequences were removed. Quality filtering settings were as follows: minimum 30 quality scores over at least 75% of the sequence read; no ambiguous bases allowed; 1 primer mismatch allowed. Chimeras were further removed from the aligned 16S reads using a UCHIME algorithm (Edgar, 2010). The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were identified based on 97% sequence similarity using a UCLUST algorithm (Edgar, 2010) in QIME and the Greengenes database was used to assign taxonomy to each OTU (DeSantis et al., 2006). Additionally, we generated a species-level classification using ‘ClassifyReads’ a proprietary algorithm in MiSeq Reporter Metagenomics Workflow that provides species level classification for paired-end reads. This process involves matching short subsequences of the reads (called words) to a set of 16S reference sequences. The accumulated word matches for each read were used to assign reads to a particular taxonomic classification.

Permutational multivariate analysis of variances (PERMANOVA) and analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was carried out to test the significant differences in bacterial community composition. The response ratio (RR) was employed to illustrate the changes in bacterial relative abundance (Shade et al., 2013). Mantel test, variation partitioning analysis (VPA) and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) were performed to evaluate the linkages between the bacterial community and soil variables. To construct a CCA model, the predictor soil variables were selected based on their biological importance and p-values of single-factor CCA models and the variance inflation factor (VIF) criterion (VIF < 20). All the analyses were performed using functions in the Vegan package (v. 1.15-1) in R v. 2.8.1 (Oksanen et al., 2012). Estimates of α-diversity such as Shannon diversity index, Margalef’s Richness and Pielou’s Evenness were performed using Mothur (v.1.28.0). Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test was used to test differences in soil biochemical properties among the treatments.

RESULTS

Soil Biochemical Properties

Each of the livestock waste comports (i.e., CCM and CSM) amendment significantly (p < 0.05) increased soil pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, available P and exchangeable K+ as compared to the control (Table 1) and the increase was more prominent in the CCM treatment than the CSM treatment. Compared to CSM, CCM amendment significantly increased MBC by 15.8%, RMC by 14.7%, NRN by 7.5%, and available P by 6.8%. CCM amendment decreased C/N ratio compared to other treatments, however, the decrease was not significant.

Plant Growth and Yield Attributes

The grain yield, straw yield, total aboveground biomass, 1,000 grain weight and harvest index were significantly (p < 0.05) increased by CCM and CSM amendment compared to that of the control treatment. Among the measured plant growth and yield attributes, grain yield, total aboveground biomass, and harvest index were significantly increased (p < 0.05) in CCM treatment over that of CSM treatment (Table 1).

Soil Enzyme Activities

Each of the livestock waste comports induced an increase in enzyme activities responsible for C and N cycle and the increase was more prominent in the CCM treatment than the CSM treatment (Table 2). On the contrary, a decrease in enzyme

### TABLE 1 | Soil biochemical properties and yield attributes under different fertilization regimes.

|                     | Control | CCM     | CSM     |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| pH                  | 5.46b   | 6.37a   | 6.10a   |
| TOC (g kg⁻¹)        | 16.16b  | 20.52a  | 18.52a  |
| TN (g kg⁻¹)         | 1.22b   | 1.60a   | 1.41a   |
| C/N ratio           | 13.3a   | 12.8a   | 13.1a   |
| MBC (mg kg⁻¹)       | 337c    | 464a    | 401b    |
| RMC (mg kg⁻¹)       | 187c    | 273a    | 238b    |
| NRN (mg kg⁻¹)       | 5.52c   | 6.90a   | 6.42b   |
| Available P (mg kg⁻¹) | 71.5c | 103.8a | 97.2b |
| Exchangeable cation (cmol+ kg⁻¹) | 0.26b | 0.38a | 0.34a |
| K⁺                  | 5.53a   | 5.63a   | 5.67a   |
| Mg²⁺                | 0.53a   | 0.56a   | 0.54a   |

Grain yield (Mg ha⁻¹) | 6.30c | 7.73a | 7.18b |
Straw yield (Mg ha⁻¹) | 8.13b | 8.80a | 8.67a |
Total aboveground biomass (Mg ha⁻¹) | 14.43c | 16.53a | 15.90b |
1,000 grain weight (g) | 21.17b | 23.27a | 23.07a |
Harvest index (%)      | 43.66c | 46.78a | 45.32b |

Values in the same row within the same parameters followed by different letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 according to Tukey’s HSD test. CCM, composted cattle manure; CSM, composted swine manure; TOC, total organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; RMC, readily mineralizable carbon; NRN, ninhydrin nitrogen content; microbial biomass carbon. Harvest index is the ration of grain yield to the total aboveground biomass.
activities responsible for P cycle was observed in CCM and CSM treatments compared to the control treatment. The highest overall enzyme activities were observed in CCM followed by CSM, control and bare soil (Table 2).

**Bacterial Community Structure**

The phylum Proteobacteria dominated in the bare soil as well as in the fertilized soil, comprising 39.0, 39.2, 44.3, and 54.2% in the bare soil, control, CSM, and CCM treatments, respectively. Besides Proteobacteria, the other dominant phyla in the bare soil were Firmicutes (11.67%), Actinobacteria (15.8%), Bacteroidetes (5.5%), and Acidobacteria (0.75%) and in the CSM treatment were Firmicutes (15.8%), Actinobacteria (15.7%), Bacteroidetes (9.9%), and Acidobacteria (1.5%) (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S3). Significant increase in Alphaproteobacteria (p < 0.01), Betaproteobacteria (p < 0.05), Firmicutes (p < 0.01), and Bacteroidetes (p < 0.05) and decrease in Actinobacteria (p < 0.05) and Acidobacteria (p < 0.05) in the CCM treatment compared to the control was observed. Whereas, significant increase in Gammaproteobacteria (p < 0.01), Bacteroidetes (p < 0.01), and Gemmatimonadetes (p < 0.01) and decrease in Acidobacteria (p < 0.05) in the CSM treatment compared to the control treatment was recorded (Supplementary Table S3). Proteobacteria and Firmicutes increased by 22.4 and 41.5% in the CCM treatment compared to the control, whereas 48.2% decrease in Gammaproteobacteria and 48.2% decrease in Aciddobacteria in the CSM treatment compared to that of the control treatment was noticed (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S4). Tremendous variation at the species level within the treatments was also observed. The species *Azospirillum zeae*, *Azospirillum halopraeferens*, *Azospirillum rubosum*, *Clostridium alkalicellulosi*, *Clostridium caenicola*, *Clostridium termitidis*, *Clostridium cellulolyticum*, *Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum*, *Pseudomonas oryzae*, *Pseudomonas xanthomarina*, *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, and *Bacillus niacin* which have a potential role in plant growth promotion and/ or lignocelluloses degradation increased more in the CCM amendment than in control and CSM treatments (Supplementary Table S5).

The bacterial α-diversity estimation revealed that both of the livestock waste compost amendment significantly (p < 0.05) increased Margalef’s richness and Shannon Diversity indices compared to the control and the increase was more prominent

| Enzyme | Enzymatic profiles of soil based on the hydrolytic activities assessed by the APIZYM system. |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C cycle |                                                                                                 |
| α-Glucosidase | Bare soil | Control | CCM | CSM |
| β-Glucosidase | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| α-Galactosidase | 1.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| β-Galactosidase | 1.3 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| α-Mannosidase | 1.3 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| α-Fucosidase | 0.7 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| N cycle |                                                                                                 |
| Leucine-aminopeptidase | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Cysteine-aminopeptidase | 1.3 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Trypsin | 0.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Chymotrypsin | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| P cycle |                                                                                                 |
| Phosphohydrolase | 1.7 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Acid phosphomonoesterase | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Alkaline phosphomonoesterase | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Total enzyme activities | 16.3 | 29.3 | 46.0 | 34.3 |

Values are the mean of three replicate the observations. Different font type indicates different intensities of the enzymatic activities: white, low intensity (0.0 to 1.9); light gray, moderate intensity (2.0 to 3.9); and dark gray, high intensity (4.0 to 5.0). CCM, composted cattle manure; CSM, composted swine manure.
The relative abundance of major phylogenetic groups (A) and genera (B) in rhizosphere soil as influenced by fertilization. The relative abundance is presented in terms of percentage in total bacterial sequences per sample. Significantly altered phylogenetic groups and genera were presented in term of response ratio at 95% confidence interval. CCM, composted cattle manure; CSM, composted swine manure.

in the CCM treatment than the CSM treatment. In contrast, a significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease in Pielou's evenness in CCM and CSM treatments than the control treatment was observed (Table 3).

### Linking Bacterial Community to Soil Variables

Mantel test analyses indicated that soil pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and AP were significantly correlated with soil bacterial communities (Table 4). Among the measured soil variables, soil pH, RMC, NRN, and MBC have the strongest influence on the bacterial communities. CCA showed that bacterial communities from different treatments were distinctly grouped and pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and AP were important soil attributes controlling bacterial community structure as they were significantly correlated with CCA axis 1 ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 2). Moreover, Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Euryarchaeota were significantly and positively correlated while Actinobacteria, Archaea, Chlorobi, Cyanobacteria, Euryarchaeota, Gemmatimonadetes, Planctomycetes, Caldivirinae, Chloroflexi, Nitrospira, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Deltaproteobacteria were significantly and negatively correlated with soil bacterial communities.

| Environmental variables (units) | r-value |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Soil pH                         | 0.52**  |
| TOC ($g \, kg^{-1}$)            | 0.35*   |
| TN ($g \, kg^{-1}$)             | 0.33*   |
| C/N ratio                       | −0.16 ns|
| RMC ($mg \, kg^{-1}$)           | 0.54**  |
| NRN ($mg \, kg^{-1}$)           | 0.48**  |
| Available P ($mg \, kg^{-1}$)   | 0.31*   |
| Exchangeable K ($cmol^{+} \, kg^{-1}$) | 0.12 ns |
| Exchangeable Ca ($cmol^{+} \, kg^{-1}$) | 0.06 ns |
| Exchangeable Mg ($cmol^{+} \, kg^{-1}$) | 0.09 ns |

1. Permutations, 9,999 (using the relative abundances of OTU as input in the analysis). **Significant at $p < 0.01$, *Significant at $p < 0.05$. RMC, readily mineralizable carbon; NRN, ninhydrin nitrogen content; TOC, total organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen.
Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, and Cyanobacteria were significantly and negatively correlated with pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and AP (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S6). The genera *Magnetospirillum*, *Clostridium*, *Bacillus*, *Azospirillum*, *Pseudomonas*, *Pedobacter*, *Flavobacterium*, and *Variovorax* were significantly and positively correlated, while *Megasphaera* significantly and negatively corrected with pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and AP (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S7). Partial CCA-based variation portioning analysis indicated soil variables, i.e., pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and AP explained 11.2, 8.3, 8.1, 12.0, 12.2, 11.9, and 7.6%, respectively, and their interaction explained 6.4% of the observed variance, while 28.7% of the variation was unexplained ($p = 0.01$).

**DISCUSSION**

Although CCM and CSM amendment as an organic input in low-input intensive farming has been recognized as a highly valuable management practice to improve soil fertility and productivity, our understanding on which livestock compost is better to improve soil quality and productivity in rice cropping system is lacking. Our present study contributes toward understanding the effect of CCM and CSM amendment on soil fertility and productivity, with a focus on the response of bacterial communities in soil.

Our study revealed that CCM amendment was more effective in improving soil properties such as soil pH, TOC, TN, MBC, RMC, NRN, and available P than CSM with the same rate of application (Table 1). MBC is often used as an indicator of soil fertility since it responds promptly to soil changes (Brookes, 2001). The increase in MBC content in the CCM amended soil than the CSM amended soil could be attributed to the incorporation of easily degradable organic matter and other nutrients, which stimulates the growth of the autochthonous microorganisms of the soil. This is further supported by the increased species richness in the CCM amended soil than the CSM amended soil. The high availability of substrate in the CCM amended soil likely increased the species richness by promoting copiotrophic microorganisms, whose predominance, in turn, reduced evenness (Hartmann et al., 2015). The positive effect of microbial biomass by organic inputs has been well documented in rice cropping system (Liu et al., 2009; Nayak et al., 2012). The increase in C, N, and P availability and the buildup of microbial biomass in the CCM amended soil is expected to enhance productivity and indeed we observed significantly higher above ground biomass, grain yield, and harvest index in the CCM fertilized soil than the CSM fertilized soil.

Soil enzyme activities have been suggested as sensitive indicators of soil fertility since they catalyze the principal biochemical reactions (i.e., nutrient cycling, degradation of organic nutrient, and xenobiotics) that are essential for the maintenance of soil fertility (Nannipieri et al., 2012; Burns et al., 2013). The increased activities of C and N cycling enzymes in CCM and CSM amended soil compared to the control soil (Table 2) suggested that the CCM and CSM amendment would be a vital strategy to improve soil C and N turnover and fertility. The increased activities of these enzymes further indicated higher turnover rates of soil C and N and fertility in the CCM amended soil than that of CSM amended soil. The increased substrate availability and C demand (low TOC/TN ratio) for autochthonous microorganisms in the CCM amended soil could be the reason for higher C cycling enzyme activities in the CCM amended soil compared to the CSM amended soil. Enhanced C and N cycling enzyme activities as a consequence of livestock manure application have been reported in paddy soils (Zhang et al., 2015; Francioli et al., 2016). Interestingly, a decrease in P-cycling enzyme activities in both the livestock compost amended soil compared to the control soil was
Recent high throughput based studies suggested that decomposition of lignocellulosic biomass and soil enzyme activities, assessing microbial diversity has only recently become more accurate in the light of high throughput sequencing. One of the preeminent aspects of high throughput sequencing approach is the potential to identify the keystone species, influencing ecosystem functions (Hartmann et al., 2015). Alphaproteobacteria and Firmicutes were more abundant in the CCM treatment compared to control and CSM treatments. Members of both phyla have been considered copiotrophs, i.e., organisms that tends to grow faster in environments which are rich in nutrients, particularly carbon (Fierer et al., 2007). It is likely that the high availability of C, N, and P in the CCM treatment than other treatments, promoted the growth of the species belonging to these two phyla. A relatively higher abundance of Betaproteobacteria in the CCM treatment compared to the CSM treatment might be due to the greater carbon availability in the CCM treatment because Betaproteobacteria has been reported to respond quickly to carbon availability (Fierer et al., 2007; Das et al., 2016). Although, Alphaproteobacteria comprises bacteria with diverse physiological properties, the members of this class are well known for their role in N₂-fixation (Lopes et al., 2014). With relatively high Alphaproteobacterial abundance, N₂-fixation may substantially increase in the CCM treatment compared to control and CSM treatments. This was further supported by a noticeable increase in well known N₂-fixing genera (Azospirillum and Magnetospirillum) in the CCM treatment than control and CSM treatments. The members of Firmicutes are generally considered as efficient degrader of lignocellulosic biomass and are consistently responded to organic amendment (Hartmann et al., 2015; Bonanomi et al., 2016). The significant increase in Firmicutes and the increase in the dominant genera, i.e., Clostridium and Bacillus in the CCM treatment compared to the CSM treatment, suggested that decomposition of lignocellulosic biomass might be favored by CCM amendment than CSM amendment. Besides Clostridium and Bacillus, Pedobacter and Flavobacterium which play a major role in lignocellulose degradation (Jimenez et al., 2016; Lopez-Mondejar et al., 2016) were significantly more abundant in the CCM treatment than in control and CSM treatments. Unlike Proteobacteria and Firmicutes, Acidobacteria exhibited much low abundance in the CCM treatment compared to control and CSM treatments. Notably, the relative abundance of Acidobacteria was much higher in the bare soil (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S2). Acidobacteria are generally considered as oligotrophs, i.e., an organism that can live in an environment that offers low levels of nutrients (Fierer et al., 2007). It is likely that the low nutrient availability promoted their growth in the bare soil while high nutrient availability diminished their growth in the CCM amended soil. Recent high throughput based studies reveal that Acidobacterial abundance decreased significantly with organic fertilizer amendment, including livestock manure amendment (Herzog et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2015; Francioli et al., 2016). Actinobacteria, which play a major role in the degradation of organochemicals (Bonanomi et al., 2016), were more abundant in the control treatment than CCM and CSM treatments. Some of the recent studies also showed a higher abundance of Actinobacteria in the conventional/NPK fertilized soil than the organic manure amended soil (Bonanomi et al., 2016; Francioli et al., 2016). The phyla Gemmatimonadetes and Verrucomicrobia were higher in abundance in the CSM treatment than the CCM treatment. The paucity of the cultured representative of these phyla makes it difficult to ascertain their probable role in the ecosystem. However, owing to their relatively high abundance and prompt response to the fertilizer management (Bergmann et al., 2011; Xuan et al., 2012), further research on their ecology and role in the environment is necessary.

Members of some dominant genera Magnetospirillum, Azospirillum, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, and Variovorax which have a key role in plant growth promotion (Supplementary Table S5) increased more in the CCM treatment than in control and CSM treatments. Identification of plant growth promoting bacteria at the lowest taxonomic (species) level was further explored because the taxonomy comparison at lowest level could be more appropriate to reveal differences (Shokralla et al., 2012). We found that some dominant species including Azospirillum zeae, Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum, Pleomorphomonas oryzae, Azospirillum halopraefrens, Variovorax boroniculamalus, Pseudomonas xanthomarina, Bacillus niacin, Pseudomonas stutzeri, Azospirillum rugosum, and Azospirillum picis which have been reported as key players in plant growth promotion (Supplementary Table S5) were remarkably more abundant in the CCM treatment than other treatments; suggesting compared to the CSM amendment, the CCM amendment may enrich plant growth promoting bacteria in the flooded rice cropping system. The proliferation of plant growth promoting bacteria as a result of the organic amendment, including livestock manure amendment into the soil has been reported in several recent studies (Li et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017).

Soil properties play key roles in shaping microbial community structure and composition (Sun et al., 2015). In our study, from several soil variables, soil pH, available C (RMC), available N (NRN), and MBC were the major, while TOC, TN, and available P were the minor factors affecting the bacterial community structure. Noteworthy, compared to TOC and TN, available C and N had the strongest influence on the shift in soil bacterial community under livestock waste composts management in a flooded rice cropping system. The nutrient availability, particularly C and N and soil pH have been considered as the main driving factors for shift in soil microbial community under different fertilization regimes in different cropping systems, since these soil attributes may select some keystone species over others (Sun et al., 2015; Francioli et al., 2016). Overall, our observation revealed that compared to CSM amendment, CCM amendment enhanced nutrient availability (mostly C, N, and P) and improved soil pH and in turn
increased microbial biomass, species richness and promoted the proliferation of certain species which have key roles in the decomposition of complex organic matters and plant growth promotion. These changes, in turn, were eventually reflected in an enhanced aboveground biomass and grain yield under CCM amendment compared to CSM amendment in a flooded rice cropping system.
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