**Effects of miR-145-5p through NRAS on the cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion in melanoma by inhibiting MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways**
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**Abstract**

We aimed to detect the effects of miR-145-5p on the cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion in NRAS-mutant, BRAF-mutant, and wild-type melanoma cells, in order to figure out the potential mechanisms and provide a novel therapeutic target of melanoma. RT-qPCR and western blot were used to detect the expression of miR-145-5p and NRAS in melanoma tumor tissues and cells, respectively. Luciferase assay was performed to determine whether miR-145-5p directly targeted NRAS. After transfecting miR-145-5p mimics, miR-145-5p inhibitors, NRAS cDNA and NRAS siRNA into CHL-1, VMM917 and SK-mel-28 cells, functional assays were used to detect the proliferation, apoptosis, invasion and migration, including MTT, flow cytometry, Transwell and wound healing assays. In addition, xenograft models in nude mice were also conducted to verify the role of miR-145-5p in vivo. MiR-145-5p was able to suppress proliferation, invasion, and migration of VMM917 and CHL-1 cells and induce apoptosis by inhibiting MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways. However, aberrant expression of miR-145-5p and NRAS has little impact on the viability and metastasis of BRAF-mutant melanoma. The higher expression of miR-145-5p in xenograft models repressed the VMM917-induced and CHL-1-induced tumor growth observably and has little effect on SK-mel-28-induced tumor growth which was consistent with the results in vitro. Through targeting NRAS, miR-145-5p could suppress cell proliferation, invasion, and migration and induce apoptosis of CHL-1 and VMM917 melanoma cells by inhibiting MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways.

**Introduction**

Melanoma is one of the most aggressive malignant tumors and causes most skin cancer-related deaths worldwide [1, 2]. The incidence of melanoma has grown rapidly in the past few decades, there is estimated to be 76380 new cases for melanoma in United States in 2016 [3].
major risk factors of developing melanoma include ultraviolet exposure and fair feature like light hair, skin, and eye color [4]. Currently, although the early-stage melanoma could be treated with surgery effectively, the widespread metastatic potential and high-resistance to most treatments of advanced tumors made the prognosis of melanoma remain poor [5]. The median survival time of metastatic melanoma was 8–9 months and 3-year survival rate was estimated <15% [6].

BRAF, a member of the RAF kinase family of growth signal transduction protein kinase and NRAS, a member of the RAS superfamily of GTPases, were both upstream factors of MAPK (RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK) pathway which has been widely reported as an important role in controlling diverse biological functions including cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, and death [7]. According to previous reports, activating mutations in BRAF and NRAS are the first which occur in 40–60% and second most common mutations in melanomas, respectively [8, 9]. Both BRAF and NRAS mutations appear to confer a worse prognosis in the metastatic setting and cause more limitation in therapy compared with tumors without known driver mutations (WT) [10–12]. In addition, NRAS directly stimulates phosphatidylinositol3-kinase (PI3K) and impacts PI3K/AKT pathways which also take charge of the cardial signaling transduction and regulation for cellular process and played an important role in melanoma [13]. Influencing each other in multiple intersection points, MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways expressed positively or negatively at the same time suggesting a complex cross-talk [13]. In order to suppress the growth and improve angiogenesis of malignancy tumor, the two pathways should be specifically investigated as specific therapeutic targets [14]. So far, various inhibitor combinations have shown preclinical efficiency and are currently being evaluated in trials, but the outcomes are only modest and the combinations are limited for serious adverse events [15, 16].

MicroRNAs have emerged as critical mediators in cellular process through regulating gene expression [17]. Growing evidences suggested that the small noncoding RNAs could affect the melanoma tumor development and metastasis via different mechanisms. For instance, Zhou et al. found that miR-33a could suppress melanoma by targeting HIF-1α [18]. Ren et al. claimed that miR-135 posttranscriptionally regulated FOX01 to promote the proliferation of malignant melanoma cells [19]. Zehavi et al. presented that silencing of miR-377 would unleash NF-kB pathways through MAP3K7 thus promoting the metastatic potential and tumorigenic of melanoma cells [20]. Recently, miR-145(5p) was investigated as an efficient suppressor of malignant melanoma and the cellular mechanism has been illustrated differently in previous reports [21, 22]. Li et al. demonstrated that miR-145(5p) was downregulated in uveal melanoma (UM) cells and inhibited UM growth through regulating the expression of IRS-1 [23]. However, according to our investigation, there has not been any report that explores the potential relationship between miR-145-5p and MAPK or PI3K/AKT pathway in wild-type, NRAS-mutant or BRAF-mutant melanoma.

In this study, we aimed to explore the antitumor effects of miR-145-5p and related mechanism in NRAS-mutant, BRAF-mutant, or wild-type melanoma. We analyzed the relationship between the miR-145-5p expression and clinic pathological factors of melanoma. By dual luciferase experiment we confirmed NRAS as a target of miR-145-5p. By manipulating the expression of miR-145-5p and NRAS in VMM917, SK-mel-28, and CHL-1, we verified the antitumor role of miR-145-5p and its suppressing impact on both MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways in CHL-1 and VMM917 cells which indicated that miR-145-5p might be one of the cross-talk points among two pathways. Finally, we established a tumor xenograft model in BALB/c-nude mice and confirmed the tumor-suppressive role of miR-145-5p in NRAS-mutant and wild-type melanoma in vivo. Therefore, our results demonstrated the aberrant expression of miR-145-5p in NRAS-mutant and wild-type melanomas which correlated with MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways and provided novel target for the therapy of NRAS-mutant and wild-type melanomas.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The melanoma tumor tissues and matched adjacent tissues were obtained from 83 patients (46 males and 37 females) aged from 24 to 72. These patients were admitted to the Fourth People’s Hospital of Shaanxi Province and Xijing Hospital of Fourth Military Medical University from June, 2014 to March, 2016 on informed consent and approval by the local ethical committee. No patients included in this study had received any radiotherapy or chemotherapy before the surgery. The samples were frozen immediately and stored at −80°C. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the 253rd Hospital of PLA and all patients provided written informed consent.

RT-qPCR

Total RNAs were extracted from cell lines or tissues using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA was subjected to reverse transcription using the reagent kit (Promega, Madison, WI). The quantitative test kit (Invitrogen) was used for RT-qPCR. The primers used in the study were designed, synthetized, and purified by Shanghai Genechem Co. Ltd. Primer sequences were listed in Table 1.
All the procedures were in strict accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction. Relative expression of miRNA was normalized against U6 snRNA, whereas mRNA was normalized against GAPDH.

**Mutation analysis**

Mutations in the tissues were determined as it has been described before [24]. Total DNA was extracted using proteinase K treatment followed by affinity-purification using the QiAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instructions and the concentration of the DNA samples was measured by NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo scientific, Wilmington, DE). Genomic DNA (20–100 ng) was amplified in a reaction volume of 25 μL containing 12.5 μL AmpliTaq Gold® 360 PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primer sequences used are listed in Table 2. The PCR products were purified using Multiscreen-HTS PCF Filter Plates (Merck, NJ) and then analyzed using ABI PRISM 3700 DNA analyzer (LifeTechnologies, Foster City, CA).

**Cell culture and transfection**

HEK293T cell line was purchased from Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and human malignant melanoma cell lines SK-mel-28 (BRAF V600E mutation), CHL-1 (Wild type), VMM917 (NRAS mutation) and normal human epidermal melanocytes (NHEMs) from BeNa Culture Collection. The STR profiles of these cells were authenticated at Gegene Tech, Shanghai. All the cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic and antimycotic solution at 37°C in an incubator (95% humidity, 5% CO2). MiR-145-5p mimics, miR-145-5p inhibitor, mimics control, NRAS cDNA, and NRAS siRNA were synthesized by GenePharma Inc. China. After genomic PCR amplification, they were, respectively, cloned into pcDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-copGFP (System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA) and verified by DNA sequencing. Recombinant lentiviruses were produced by transient transfection of HEK293T cells, along with package vectors, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After transfection for 48 h, the viruses were harvested and viral titers were determined. Then, CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 cells were infected with lentivirus at the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 15, in the presence of 4 μg/mL polybrene (Sigma, St Louis, MO), followed by puromycin selection (2 μg/mL). 48 h after infection, the cells were, respectively, sorted by flow cytometry and the efficiency was measured using RT-qPCR.

**Dual luciferase reporter gene assay**

XL Site-directed Mutagenesis Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used to construct the mutated 3’ UTR of NRAS which did not contain the binding sites of miR-145-5p. The normal or mutant 3’ UTR sequences of NRAS were inserted into psiCHECK-2 luciferase vectors (Promega), respectively. After successfully constructed, the Luc-NRAS and Luc-NRAS-mut vectors were transected to the 293T cells together with miR-145-5p mimics or mimics control (diagnosed as NC group) using liposome 2000 kit (Invitrogen). The relative luciferase activities were measured using Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (Promega) in strict accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blot**

Proteins were extracted from samples using RIPA Kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, China). The protein concentration was quantified using Bradford method. Proteins were separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and thereafter transferred to PVDF membrane which was blocked in Tris-Buffered Saline Tween (TBST) containing 0.1% Tween-20 and 5% low-fat milk for 1 h. The primary antibody was added and incubated overnight at 4°C and then the secondary antibodies were added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Lastly, the ECL solution was applied to the membranes, and the membranes together with a film were placed in a visualizer for further analysis. The ImageJ software was used to measure the intensities. Primary antibodies for NRAS (ab154291), BRAF (ab151286), MEK1/2 (ab178886), pMEK1/2 (phospho s218, s222 and s226, ab78132), ERK1/2 (ab17942), pERK1/2 (phospho thr202 and thr204, ab214362), and GAPDH (ab9485) were all purchased from Abcam, whereas antibodies for PI3K-p110α (#4249), PI3K-p110β (#3011s), AKT (#9272), pAKT-ser473 (#4060), and
Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics of miR-145-5p and NRAS levels (n = 83).

| Variation          | MiR-145-5p levels | P value<sup>1</sup> | NRAS levels | P value<sup>1</sup> |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
|                    | Low n (%)         | High n (%)          | Low n (%)   | High n (%)          |
| Age                |                   |                     |             |                     |
| ≥60                | 15 (18.1)         | 17 (20.5)           | 17 (20.5)   | 15 (18.1)           |
| <60                | 27 (32.5)         | 24 (28.9)           | 25 (30.1)   | 26 (31.3)           |
| Gender             |                   |                     |             |                     |
| Female             | 17 (20.5)         | 20 (24.1)           | 20 (24.1)   | 17 (20.5)           |
| Male               | 25 (30.1)         | 21 (25.3)           | 22 (26.5)   | 24 (28.9)           |
| Tumor thickness    |                   |                     |             |                     |
| ≥4 mm              | 29 (34.9)         | 10 (12.1)           | 9 (10.8)    | 30 (36.1)           |
| <4 mm              | 13 (15.7)         | 31 (37.3)           | 33 (39.8)   | 11 (13.3)           |
| NRAS mutation      |                   |                     |             |                     |
| Mutant             | 19 (22.9)         | 10 (12.1)           | 18 (21.7)   | 11 (13.3)           |
| Wild type          | 23 (27.7)         | 31 (37.3)           | 24 (28.9)   | 30 (36.1)           |
| BRAF mutation      |                   |                     |             |                     |
| Mutant             | 16 (19.3)         | 17 (20.5)           | 18 (21.7)   | 15 (18.1)           |
| Wild type          | 26 (31.3)         | 24 (28.9)           | 24 (28.9)   | 26 (31.3)           |
| Tumor stage        |                   |                     |             |                     |
| I+II               | 17 (20.5)         | 27 (32.5)           | 28 (33.7)   | 16 (19.3)           |
| III+IV             | 25 (30.1)         | 14 (16.9)           | 14 (16.9)   | 25 (30.1)           |

NRAS, neuroblastoma RAS viral [V-ras] oncoprotein homolog; BRAF, V-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1. Bold values if statistically significant (P < 0.05).
<sup>1</sup>Chi-square test.

pAKT-thr308 (#2965), PTEN (#4005), Cyclin D1 (#2926), and p27 (#3686) were purchased from Cell Signaling. HRP labeled goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rabbit as secondary antibodies were purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology.

**MTT assay**

Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells collected at logarithmic phase were seeded in 96-well plates (5 × 10^3 cells per well) and incubated for 24–72 h after transfection, then 10 μL MTT solutions (10 mg/mL) were added to each well. After 4 h of incubation, 100 μL DMSO was added to dissolve the MTT. The optical density (OD) was measured at 570 nm after oscillated for 10 min at room temperature.

**Cell apoptosis assay**

Forty-eight hours after transfection, Cell apoptosis was assessed using Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (BD Pharmingen, CA). The cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 1 × Binding Buffer at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL. 100 μL cell suspension was incubated with 5 μL Annexin V-FITC in the dark for 15 min at room temperature. The samples were analyzed on the flow cytometer after the addition of 400 μL of 1 × Binding Buffer.

**Wound healing assay**

Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell samples collected at logarithmic phase were seeded in a 6-well plate (2 × 10^5 cells per well) and incubated overnight. Sterile pipette tips (200 μL) were used to scratch a straight line on the surface of each cell culture in each well when cells grew to 80–90% confluency. The cells were washed by PBS for three times. Inverted microscope was used to observe the closure of scratches in different groups at time points 0 and 24 after incubation.

**Transwell assay**

At 24 h posttransfection in the 6-well plate, cells in the logarithmic phase were digested into single cell suspension and incubated in the DMEM without FBS. The microporous membrane of Transwell chamber was coated with 20 μL artificial Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, 0.5 g/L) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min until gelatinous. The lower chamber was filled with RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, whereas the upper chamber was filled with 200 μL cell suspension. After 36 h of incubation, the cells on the surface of the upper chamber were removed using cotton swabs. The membrane was then fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. The lower surface of the membrane was photographed and the cell number on the lower surface was counted. The experiments in each group were repeated 3 times.
In vivo tumor growth assay

Thirty-six 4-week-old male BALB/c nude mice weighted 16–18 g were purchased from laboratory animal center of Southern Medical University. The tumor growth models were constructed by injecting either CHL-1, WMM917, or SK-mel-28 cell suspensions (3 × 10⁶ cells) to the subcutaneous tissues of back of each mouse’s neck (6 mice in each group). A week after cell injection, all the tumors were intratumorally injected with miR-145-5p mimics or mimics control (2 mice in each group, 1 × 10⁸ units per mouse and twice a week for 2 weeks). The tumor volume was measured every two days and calculated as Volume = (D × d²)/2 (D represents the maximal diameter, d represents the minimal one). The mice were sacrificed 3 weeks after cell injection and all experiments were in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Ministry of Science and Technology, China. This study was approved by the Animal Care and Scientific Committee of the Fourth People’s Hospital of Shaanxi Province and Xijing Hospital of Fourth Military Medical University.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 21.0 program (SPSS Inc, USA). All measurement data were represented as the means ± standard deviation (SD). The differences between groups were analyzed using Student’s t test (only two groups) or one-way ANOVA (more than two groups). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Aberrant expression of miR-145-5p and NRAS in both melanoma tumor tissues and cells

The expression of miR-145-5p and NRAS in both tumorous and adjacent tissue specimens from 83 patients were measured using RT-qPCR. The miR-145-5p levels in melanoma tissues were lower than those in the matched adjacent normal tissues (P < 0.01, Fig. 1A). Inversely, the NRAS levels in melanoma tissues were higher than those in the matched adjacent normal tissues (P < 0.01, Fig. 1B). In addition, a negative correlation between miR-145-5p and NRAS was observed in melanoma tissues (P < 0.01, r² = 0.458, Fig. 1C). Then miR-145-5p and NRAS expression levels were evaluated in three melanoma cell lines including CHL-1 (wild type), VMM917 (NRAS mutation), and SK-mel-28 (BRAF mutation), and normalized to normal human epidermal melanocytes (NHEMs), all the melanoma
cell lines showed significantly lower levels of miR-145-5p with concurrent higher levels of NRAS \( (P < 0.01, \text{Fig. 1D}). \)

Then the melanoma patients were divided into two groups according to the median value of relative miR-145-5p or NRAS expression level. The relationship between clinicopathological characteristics and miR-145-5p level or NRAS level was shown in Table 2. Chi-square analysis revealed that miR-145-5p levels had little correlation with patients’ age, gender, BRAF mutation but were significantly associated with tumor thickness, NRAS mutation, and tumor stage \( (P < 0.05). \) And the enhance NRAS expression levels were also correlated inversely with increased tumor thickness and more severe tumor stage \( (P < 0.05). \) All these suggested a close connection among miR-145-5p, NRAS, and melanomas.

**MiR-145-5p directly targeted NRAS and suppressed the expression of NRAS in melanoma cells**

In order to explore whether NRAS expression was affected by miR-145-5p, we transfected miR-145-5p mimics, miR-145-5p inhibitor, and mimics control, respectively, into CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 cells. Forty-eight hours after lentivirus infection, miR-145-5p expression measured by RT-qPCR was reduced by the miR-145-5p inhibitor lentivirus (in miR inhibitor group) with a variable knock-down efficiency compared with cells infected by mimics control lentivirus (in NC group) or cells without any treatment (in empty group) and remarkably enhanced by the miR-145-5p mimics (in miR mimics group) in all cell lines (Fig. 2A). Meanwhile, it was found that expression of NRAS was significantly downregulated by over-expression of miR-145-5p in miR mimics group and upregulated by miR-145-5p inhibitor in miR inhibitor group compared with NC groups in CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 \( (P < 0.05, \text{Fig. 2B}). \)

Next, we carried out dual luciferase reporter gene assay and verified the direct relationship between miR-145-5p and NRAS. The binding site in 3’ UTR sequence of NRAS and the sequence of NRAS mutant were shown in Figure 2C. As shown in Figure 2D, the luciferase activity was significantly decreased in HEK293T cells cotransfected with miR-145-5p mimics and Luc-NRAS vectors than NC group \( (P < 0.05). \) There was no difference in cells cotransfected with miR-145-5p mimics and Luc-NRAS-mut vectors compared with NC group \( (P > 0.05). \)

**MiR-145-5p functions as a tumor suppressor in CHL-1 and VMM917 melanoma cells**

As previously described (Fig. 2A), the level of miR-145-5p was higher in miR mimics groups and lower in miR
inhibitor groups compared with NC groups and empty groups in CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 cells. MTT assay was performed to investigate the role of miR-145-5p on melanoma cells’ viability, OD values measured at 570 nm positively correlated with cell numbers. As shown in Figure 3A, overexpression of miR-145-5p significantly suppressed cell proliferation, whereas miR-145-5p knockdown enhanced the proliferation of CHL-1 and VMM917 cells (P < 0.05). However, the changing expression of miR-145-5p had little impact on the proliferation of SK-mel-28 (P > 0.05), which suggested a resistance mechanism against miR-145-5p expression in SK-mel-28 cells. Furthermore, miR-145-5p overexpression resulted in increased population of apoptotic cells of CHL-1 and VMM917, whereas miR-145-5p knockdown led to decrease in apoptosis of CHL-1 and VMM917 (P < 0.05, Fig. 3B). Still no significant changes about apoptosis rate in SK-mel-28 could be observed (P > 0.05). The role of miR-145-5p in migration and invasion was also measured with wound healing assay and Transwell assay, respectively. As shown in Figure 3C–D, miR-145-5p mimics was able to significantly suppress the migration and invasion of CHL-1 and VMM917 cells and downregulation of miR-145-5p significantly increased the number of migratory and invasive cells. However, different levels of miR-145-5p did not affect the migration or invasion of SK-mel-28 cells. Collectively, these results provided sufficient experimental evidences that miR-145-5p functioned as a tumor suppressor in wild-type and NRAS-mutant melanoma cells.

The antitumor effect of miR-145-5p in CHL-1 and VMM917 melanoma cells is mediated by NRAS

To verify the effect of NRAS on the antitumor effect of miR-145-5p in both CHL-1 and VMM917 cells, the expression of NRAS was manipulated using plasmid overexpressing NRAS or containing NRAS siRNA. Both CHL-1 and VMM917 cells were randomly divided into five groups: negative control group (NC group, transfected with mimics control), miR mimics group (transfected with miR-145-5p mimics), miR inhibitor group (transfected with miR-145-5p inhibitor), mimics+NRAS group (cotransfected with miR-145-5p mimics and NRAS cDNA) and inhibitor+siNRAS group (cotransfected with miR-145-5p inhibitor and NRAS siRNA). Measured by RT-qPCR, the expression of NRAS was effectively upregulated by NRAS and downregulated by siNRAS compared with miR mimics or miR inhibitor groups, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 4A). Next, the effect of NRAS knockdown or NRAS overexpression in CHL-1 and VMM917 cells was examined using the methods described previously. As shown in Figure 4B–E, the promoting effect of miR-145-5p inhibitor on proliferation, migration, and invasion as well as the suppressing effect of miR-145-5p inhibitor on apoptosis was almost completely abrogated by NRAS siRNA. In addition, the ectopic overexpression of NRAS significantly attenuated the suppression of cell viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion, and enhanced apoptosis of CHL-1 and VMM917 induced by miR-145-5p mimics. Taken together, the antitumor effects of miR-145-5p in CHL-1 and VNN917 was effectively mediated by NRAS.

The role of miR-145-5p on the MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways in CHL-1 and VMM917

To investigate the molecular mechanism associated with the antitumor role of miR-145-5p, Western blot analysis was performed and cellular environment was studied. After transfected with negative control, miR-145-5p mimics, miR-145-5p inhibitor, or cotransfected with miR-145-5p and NRAS cDNA or miR-145-5p inhibitor and NRAS siRNA, cells were harvested 48 hours later and divided into five groups including NC group, miR mimics group, miR inhibitor group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group. After that, 150 μg total proteins were extracted from each group and separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The expression of PTEN showed the reverse outcome that increased in miR inhibitor group compared with NC group (P > 0.05). The role of miR-145-5p on the MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathway was performed and cellular environment was studied. After transfected with negative control, miR-145-5p mimics, miR-145-5p inhibitor, or cotransfected with miR-145-5p and NRAS cDNA or miR-145-5p inhibitor and NRAS siRNA, cells were harvested 48 hours later and divided into five groups including NC group, miR mimics group, miR inhibitor group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group. Total proteins were extracted and protein levels related to MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathway were measured. As shown in Figure 5, the levels of NRAS and BRAF significantly decreased in miR mimics and increased in miR inhibitor group compared with NC group (P < 0.05). Albeit the level of ERK1/2 and MEK1/2 had little difference among NC group, mimics group, and miR inhibitor group, the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and MEK1/2 was attenuated in miR mimics group and enhanced in miR inhibitor group (P < 0.05). However, the influences of miR-145-5p mimics and inhibitor could be impaired by overexpression of NRAS or knockout of NRAS, respectively. According to the semiquantitative results, the protein levels had little change among NC group, mimics+NRAS group and inhibitor+siNRAS groups which also verified the mediating role of NRAS in miR-145-5p’s functions (P > 0.05). In conclusion, miR-145-5p efficiently suppressed the MAPK pathway by directly downregulating NRAS and then abrogating the phosphorylation of MEK1/2 and ERK1/2.

Next, we detected the expression levels of PI3K, AKT, PTEN and the corresponding phosphorylated proteins in CHL-1-and VMM917, and showed the results in Figure 6. Although the level of PI3K (p100β) and AKT had no significant difference among groups, expressions of pAKT (both ser473 and thr308) and PI3K (p100α) significantly decreased in miR mimics group and increased in miR inhibitor group compared with NC group (P < 0.05). The expression of PTEN showed the reverse outcome that PTEN was upregulated in miR mimics group and downregulated in miR inhibitor group compared with NC group.
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et al. [26] demonstrated that miR-542-3p is downregulated in vitro and reduced tumor growth in vivo. Fan et al. [16] and miR-203 suppressed melanoma cells proliferation and migration. Poell et al. [25] proved that aberrant miRNA expression contributes to melanoma carcinogenesis and development via directly downregulating multiple target genes. Zou et al. [35] and Yu et al. [34] proved that miR-145 could target NRAS signaling to suppress tumor angiogenesis and growth in breast cancer and colorectal cancer, respectively.

Recent studies suggested that miR-145(5p) was downregulated and could serve the function of a tumor suppressor in several cancer types, such as prostate cancer [27], colon cancer [28], gastric cancer [29], and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) [30], as well as in malignant melanoma [31]. Potential mechanisms have been investigated as miR-145(5p) regulated tumorigenesis by modulating various signal pathways. Zheng et al. [32] proved miR-145 acts as a tumor suppressor and its downregulation in tumor tissues may contribute to the progression of breast cancer through a mechanism involving ROCK1. Zou et al. [33] and Yu et al. [34] proved that miR-145 could target NRAS signaling to suppress tumor angiogenesis and growth in breast cancer and colorectal cancer, respectively. However, the regulation mechanism of miR-145 in melanoma has not been fully understood. Noguchi et al. [22] found that the suppression role of miR-145 in malignant melanoma might be fulfilled by decreasing c-MYC and FSCN1 expression. Interestingly, Dynoodt et al. [35] illustrated the suppression role of miR-145 without targeting FSCN1 in melanoma, and indicated that the genes and pathways which are independent from FSCN1 would be possibly involved in migration and invasion of melanoma cells.

In this study, we found that miR-145-5p was significantly downregulated in melanoma tumors and cell lines including CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 cells. By manipulating the miR-145-5p levels in these cell lines, we proved the antitumor role of miR-145-5p on the growth and metastasis of CHL-1 and VMM917 cells, suppressing tumor proliferation, enhancing apoptosis, attenuating migration, and invasion which was consistent with previous studies. Using mice models, we also verified that miR-145-5p efficiently inhibited tumor growth in vivo which was induced by CHL-1 and VMM917.

The role of miR-145-5p on tumor growth in vivo

To determine the effects of miR-145-5p on tumorigenesis in vivo, we injected CHL-1, VMM917, and SK-mel-28 cells subcutaneously into nude mice for xenografting. After tumor formation, miR-145-5p mimics or mimics control was intratumorally injected into mice and diagnosed as mimics group or NC group, respectively. The visible tumors which were constructed with CHL-1 and VMM917 cells showed the reduction in tumor size in mimics groups compared with NC group. The tumor growth rate of miR mimics group in CHL-1-injected or VMM917-injected mice was remarkably lower compared with that of NC group after 13 days or 11 days, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 7A). However, there were no significant differences in mice constructed with SK-mel-28 cells. Western blot results (Fig. 7B) also confirmed the decreasing phosphorylation of ERK and AKT by injecting miR-145-5p mimics in CHL-1-induced and VMM917-induced tumor tissues, whereas no difference was observed in SK-mel-28-induced tumors. Taken together, miR-145-5p could suppress the wild-type and NRAS-mutant melanoma, but could not affect BRAF-mutant melanoma in vivo, which was consistent with our findings in vitro.

Discussion

Dysregulation of miRNA frequently occurs in many types of tumors including melanoma. It has been widely studied that aberrant miRNA expression contributes to melanoma carcinogenesis and development via directly downregulating multiple target genes. Foell et al. [25] proved that miR-16 and miR-203 suppressed melanoma cells proliferation in vitro and reduced tumor growth in vivo. Fan et al. [26] demonstrated that miR-542-3p is downregulated in melanoma cell lines and clinical tissues, inhibits cell migration, invasion, and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) in vitro, and delays metastasis in vivo via down-regulating the proto-oncogene serine/threonine protein kinase, PIM1.

© 2017 The Authors. Cancer Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
Figure 4. The antitumor effect of miR-145-5p in CHL-1 and VMM917 melanoma cells is mediated by NRAS. By cotransfecting NRAS cRNA with miR-145-5p mimics or NRAS siRNA with miR-145-5p inhibitor into CHL-1 and VMM917 cells, the expression of NRAS was manipulated and the effects of NRAS expression on CHL-1 and VMM917 cells were detected. (A) 48 hours after infection and sorting, the expression level of NRAS was measured using RT-qPCR. (B) MTT assay was performed to detect the proliferation of indicated cell lines. (C) Annexin V staining and Flow cytometry sorting was performed to detect the cell apoptosis rate of indicated cell lines. (D) Wound healing assay was used to detect migration of indicated cell lines. (E) Transwell assay was used to detect invasion of indicated cell lines. All data were presented as mean ± SD from three independent experiments.

a, b, c
p < 0.05 compared with NC group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group in CHL-1 cells, respectively. d, e, f
p < 0.05 compared with NC group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group in VMM917 cells, respectively.
compared with adjacent tissues. Using dual luciferase reporting assay, we confirmed miR-145-5p directly targeted NRAS and downregulated NRAS expression. Mutations in NRAS are found not only in 15–20% of malignant melanomas, but also in several other cancer types [36–38]. The NRAS protein constitutively activates downstream signaling pathways including the MAPK, PI3K/AKT/mTOR and Ral pathways, associated with uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor growth [9, 39]. By regulating NRAS expression levels in CHL-1 and VMM917, the tumor suppressing effect of miR-145-5p mimics was abrogated with overexpression of NRAS and the tumor promoting effect of miR-145-5p inhibitor was attenuated with knockout of NRAS. All these suggested the antitumor role of miR-145-5p was mediated by NRAS. This result was consistent with the research of Kent et al. who reported this tumor-promoting feel-forward pathway between miR-145 and RAS in RAS-mutant pancreatic cancer, and the down-regulation of miR-145 required the RAS-responsive element-binding protein (RREB1), which repressed the miR-145 promoter [40].

Figure 5. MiR-145-5p suppressed NRAS/BRAF/MEK/ERK pathway by targeting NRAS in CHL-1 and VMM917 melanoma cells. (A) Western Blot analysis demonstrated that the levels of proteins in NRAS/BRAF/MEK/ERK pathway including NRAS, BRAF, MEK1/2, phosphorylation of MEK1/2, ERK1/2 and phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in indicated groups of CHL-1 cells was regulated by miR-145-5p and NRAS. (B) Western Blot demonstrated that the levels of proteins in NRAS/BRAF/MEK/ERK pathway in indicated groups of VMM 917 cells was regulated by miR-145-5p and NRAS. (C–D) Semiquantitative analysis of the expression of proteins using ImageJ. All data were presented as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. a,b,c\(P<0.05\) compared with NC group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group in CHL-1 cells, respectively. d,e,f\(P<0.05\) compared with NC group, mimics+NRAS group, and inhibitor+siNRAS group in VMM917 cells, respectively.
To further investigate the regulatory function of miR-145-5p on MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathway, we also investigated the level of involved proteins in CHL-1 and VMM917 cells with different treatments and found overexpression of miR-145-5p decreased on PI3K (p110α) and phosphorylation of ERK1/2, MEK1/2, and AKT, also an increase on PTEN level. The proposed mechanism was shown in Figure 8. In several types of tumors, MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways served partially overlapping functions and cooperate to promote resistance to apoptosis and tumor progression [41]. It is known that the two pathways are interconnected through “vertical” and “lateral” feedback loops occurring at multiple levels along the signaling cascades, and their dysregulation through genetic and epigenetic mechanisms could cooperate in the transformation and progression of experimental and human tumors. Based on those mechanisms, the combination of PI3K and MAPK inhibitors was introduced as a potential therapeutic in various cancers, which means that miR-145-5p could be an efficient target for the melanoma therapy [42, 43].

Intriguingly in our study, the variation in miR-145-5p had little change in the SK-mel-28 (BRAF mutant) cell line. Oncogenic mutations in the BRAF gene has been found in approximately 50–70% of metastatic melanoma and leads to a hyperactive BRAF kinase which results in uncontrolled cell proliferation and oncogene addiction [44, 45]. It has
been accepted that targeted therapy for metastatic melanoma hinges on BRAF mutational status [46]. There used to be an era before BRAF inhibitor the presence of a BRAF mutation in the setting of metastatic disease has been associated with a poorer prognosis compared with patients whose tumors lack BRAF mutations [47]. The strong activation of BRAF mutation and the resistance to single modification may explain the little effect of miR-145-5p in SK-mel-28 cells.

Overall, despite the unknown mechanism of how miR-145-5p interacts with different pathways in SK-mel-28, we proved that miR-145-5p could suppress the viability, proliferation, migration, and invasion of CHL-1 and VMM917 cells and inhibit the MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways by regulating NRAS. We recommend miR-145-5p as a potential therapeutic target with melanoma clinically.
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