CONSORT: Sam68 Is Directly Regulated by MiR-204 and Promotes the Self-Renewal Potential of Breast Cancer Cells by Activating the Wnt/Beta-Catenin Signaling Pathway

Lan Wang, MD, Han Tian, MD, Jie Yuan, PhD, Hongmei Wu, MD, Jueheng Wu, PhD, and Xun Zhu, PhD

Abstract: Breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs) are considered to be responsible for recurrence in breast cancer. The 68 kDa Src-associated protein in mitosis (Sam68) has been linked to the development and progression of breast cancer; however, the posttranscriptional regulation and role of Sam68 in BCSC self-renewal remain unclear.

Sam68 was ectopically overexpressed or knocked down using siRNA; the self-renewal potential of breast cancer cell lines was assessed using flow cytometry, in vitro mammosphere culture and a xenograft model in NOD/SCID mice. Activation of beta-catenin was assessed by immunohistochemical staining, Western blotting, and luciferase reporter gene assays. The ArrayExpress dataset GSE45666 was used to identify conserved microRNAs downregulated in breast cancer; real-time PCR, Western blotting, luciferase reporter assay, and xenografted tumor model were used to confirm miR-204 regulated Sam68.

We found that endogenous Sam68 expression correlated positively with the self-renewal potential of breast cancer cell lines. Overexpression of Sam68 promoted, whereas knockdown reduced, breast cancer cell self-renewal potential in vitro and tumorigenicity in vivo. The Wnt/beta-catenin pathway was identified as a functional mediator of Sam68-induced self-renewal in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cells. Furthermore, miR-204 was found to be frequently downregulated in human breast cancer and confirmed to directly target Sam68; miR-204 inhibited the self-renewal of breast cancer cell lines by targeting and suppressing Sam68.

Our study reveals that Sam68 is regulated by miR-204 and may play an important role in the self-renewal of BCSCs via activating the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Sam68 may represent a novel therapeutic target for breast cancer.
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Abbreviations: 3’-UTR = 3’-untranslated region, BCSC = breast cancer stem cell, CSC = cancer stem cell, IF = immunofluorescent, miRNA = microRNA, mut = mutant, NBEC = normal breast epithelial cell, PBS = phosphate-buffered saline, Sam68 = The 68 kDa Src-associated protein in mitosis, SP = side population, wt = wild-type.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the second cause of tumor-related deaths in females, and more than 400,000 women die due to breast cancer worldwide every year. Many patients suffer recurrence within 5–10 years after surgery or chemotherapy. Breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs) are considered to be the major source of breast cancer recurrence. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) influence tumor growth, metastasis, and recurrence due to their self-renewal potential and multidirectional differentiation ability, and act as the “seed cells” that continue to produce new cells in tumor tissue. Present study indicates that the removal of CSCs is required to completely eliminate a tumor. Additionally, high CSC expression of ATP-binding cassette, subfamily G member 2 (ABCG2) and ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B, member 1 (ABCB1), which can efflux chemotherapy drugs, are associated with chemoresistance, while activation of the notch pathway and Wnt/beta-catenin pathway are linked to radioresistance in breast cancer.

Characterization of the biological mechanisms leading to the development and self-renewal of BCSCs may have great clinical significance and reveal novel therapeutic targets for breast cancer.

The 68 kDa Src-associated protein in mitosis (Sam68) is a GTPase-activating protein (GAP)-related protein involved in intracellular signal transduction, cell proliferation, apoptosis, and other malignant processes. The protein expression level and posttranslational regulation of Sam68 may affect tumor progression. A recent study in spermatocytes found that alternative splicing of Sam68 affected its interaction with RNA polymerase II 215 kDa subunit (RNAPII) and played major role in spermatogenesis and male fertility. Another study showed that Sam68 influences the self-renewal of BCSCs by affecting the oncopogene serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1 (ASF). In vitro experiments showed that overexpression of Sam68 in breast cancer cells promoted cell proliferation and decreased the expression of the FOXO family, which is closely related to the self-renewal of stem cells. These findings indicate that Sam68 plays an important role in BCSCs; however, it remains unclear whether Sam68 can regulate the self-renewal capacity of BCSCs.
of beta-catenin and its downstream target genes c-myc (a proto-oncogene) and cyclin D1 is closely related to the development of breast cancer20 and thyroid cancer.19 Inhibition of beta-catenin expression can block development of the breast and pregnancy-induced mammary gland proliferation, suggesting that beta-catenin is a breast stem cell survival factor.20 Chen et al21 found that the expression of beta-catenin and self-renewal capacity of Sca1(+) mouse breast cancer cells increased after 2 Gy irradiation.

In the present study, we report that Sam68 promoted the self-renewal capacity of breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo through a mechanism linked to activation of the beta-catenin signaling pathway. Additionally, we identified that miR-204 is frequently downregulated in human breast cancer, directly targets the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) of Sam68 and modifies Sam68-induced self-renewal in breast cancer cells. In vivo xenograft formation assays supported the phenotype observed with miR-204-transfected cells and Sam68 replenished cells. Therefore, Sam68 may play a major role in the self-renewal of BCSCs and represent a novel therapeutic target for breast cancer.

METHODS

Cell Culture and Human Breast Cancer Specimens

The breast cancer cell lines, normal human breast epithelial cells (NBECs), and breast cancer specimens were established as previously described.22

Plasmids and Generation of Stably Engineered Cell Lines

The conserved miR-204 binding site in the full-length sequence of Sam68–3'UTR is from 1047 base pairs (bp) to 1055 bp. The region of human Sam68–3'UTR and Sam68–3'UTR-mutant, from 998 to 1179 was cloned into the pGL3-basic luciferase reporter plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI). pMSCV/Sam68 (with 3'-UTR or without 3'-UTR) overexpressing human Sam68 was constructed as previously described. MiR-204 was cloned into the bgl II/EcoR I sites of the PMSCV plasmid. Plasmids were prepared according to a previously described protocol.23 To knockdown Sam68, our previously reported human Sam68 siRNA sequence (5'-GGACCACAAAGGGAATACATC-3'; synthesized by Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA) was cloned and kept in our lab, and retroviral production and infection were performed as described previously.24

Transient Transfections

The negative control microRNA (miRNA), microRNA-204, microRNA-204 inhibitor or siRNA were transfected into cells cultured in 6-well plates using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sense strand sequences of the siRNA designed to target human TCF4 was 5'-AAGUCGAGAAAGGAAUCUGA-3' and 5'-UCAGAUGUCAACUCAAA-3' for LEF1.

RNA Extraction, Reverse Transcription, and Real-Time RT-PCR

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and real-time RT-PCR were performed according to standard methods as previously described.12 PCR primers were as follows: OCT4-forward: 5'-AGGATGTCACACACT-3'; OCT4-reverse: 5'-AAGATGTCACACACT-3'; TCF4-forward: 5'-AACCCTTGACTGTC4ACCT-3'; TCF4-reverse: 5'-AGGATGTCACACACT-3'; CD44-forward: 5'-ACCCATCCACAGCAGAGAGCTC-3'; CD44-reverse: 5'-GGGATGAAAGTGGTCTCTGCTTCTCTG-3'; Nanog-forward: 5'-ATGGGAGGGGGAACGAGGA-3'; Nanog-reverse: 5'-GATTGTGGGCGCTGAAAGAA-3'; C-MYC-forward: 5'-TTCCGGTGATGGAAAAACAGC-3'; C-MYC-reverse: 5'-CAAGAAGGCTGAGTTCCTC-3'; GAPDH-forward: 5'-GACTCATGACACAGTCATCCG-3'; GAPDH-reverse: 5'-AGAGGCAGGAGATAGTGGTCTC-3'.

Western Blotting Analysis

Western blotting analysis was performed according to standard methods as previously described.12 The following primary antibodies were used: anti-Sam68 (sc-333, dilution, 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Delaware Ave Santa Cruz, CA), anti-beta-catenin, anticyclin D1, anti-p21cip, anti-p27kip1, anti-p-Rb, anti-total-Rb, anti-p-akt, antiotal-takt, anti-c-Myc (1:1000, Millipore, Billerica, MA), anti-P-84, anti-c-Myc (1:500, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), anti-ctubulin, anti-TCF-4, anti-LEF1, and anti-GAPDH (1:1000, Sigma, Saint Louis, MO). Nuclear extracts were prepared using the Nuclear Extraction Kit (Active Motif), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Mammosphere Culture

One thousand cells were seeded in suspension in serum-free DMEM-F12 as described by Song et al.25 Cultures were fed once every 3 days. On day 20, the length and width measurements of the mammospheres were obtained using Zeiss Axiovision software (Carl Zeiss Co. Ltd, Jena, Germany).

Hoechst 33342 Staining and Flow Cytometry

To identify and isolate side population (SP) cells, the cells were dissociated and resuspended at 1 × 106 cells/ml in DMEM supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, preincubated at 37°C for 30 minutes without or with 100 mM verapamil (Sigma). If verapamil was used in the subsequent steps, it was included at 50 mM. Cells were labeled with 2.5 mg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) in staining media at 37°C for 90 minutes, incubated on ice for 10 minutes, washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then analyzed (20,000 cells per experiment with three replication) on a FACStar plus (BDIS) cell sorter equipped with dual Coherent I-90 lasers.

Immunofluorescent Assay

Immunofluorescent (IF) staining was performed on cells cultured on cover slips using an anti-human beta-catenin monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:200 dilution). DAPI was used to stain the nuclei. Images were acquired using a laser scanning microscope (Axioskop 2 Plus, Carl Zeiss Co. Ltd).

Luciferase Reporter Assay

Cells were seeded in triplicate in 24-well plates and allowed to settle for 24 hours, then transfected with the indicated plasmids plus 1 ng of the pRL-TK Renilla plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 Reagent (Life Technologies), and assayed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions 48 hours later.
Xenograft Tumor Model

NOD/SCID mice (4–5 weeks of age, 18–20 g) were purchased from the Center of Experimental Animal of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-sen University approved all experimental procedures. The mice were randomly divided into groups (10 mice/group). Each mouse was inoculated in situ with SKBR-3-vector (or SKBR3-NC or SKBR-3-miR-204 + Sam68-vector) cells in the left breast and SKBR-3-Sam68 (or SKBR-3-miR-204 or SKBR-3-miR-204 + Sam68-ORF or SKBR-3-miR-204 + Sam68–3'-UTR) cells in the right mammary pad; the different groups of mice were inoculated with different number of cells: 1 × 10^5 cells/mouse, 5 × 10^3 cells/mouse, 1 × 10^3 cells/mouse, 1 × 10^2 cells/mouse, and 10 cells/mouse. On day 50, the animals were euthanized and the tumors were excised and weighed.

RESULTS

Endogenous Sam68 Expression Positively Correlates With the Self-Renewal Potential of Breast Cancer Cell Lines

The proportion of SP cells was significantly higher in the breast cancer cell lines than the normal breast cell lines NBEC1 and NBEC2 (Fig. 1A). Western blotting was used to assess the total level of Sam68 expressed in each cell line (Fig. 1B). As shown in Figure 1C, a positive correlation was observed between the expression of Sam68 and the proportion of SP cells (r = .634, P = 0.028).

Sam68 Promotes the Self-Renewal Potential of Breast Cancer Cell Lines

To further evaluate the function of Sam68 in vitro, SKBR-3 and MCF-7 breast cancer cells stably overexpressing Sam68 or in which Sam68 was knocked down were established (Fig. 2A). As shown in Figure 2B, overexpression of Sam68 increased, whereas knockdown of Sam68 reduced, the proportion of SP cells. Additionally, Sam68-overexpressing cells formed a higher number of more bulky mammospheres, while Sam68-knockdown cells formed a lower number of smaller mammospheres (Fig. 2C).

Furthermore, when subcutaneously implanted into NOD/SCID mice, Sam68-overexpressing SKBR-3 cells formed significantly higher numbers of larger tumors compared to vector-transfected SKBR-3 cells (Fig. 2D); as few as 100 Sam68-overexpressing cells could form tumors in NOD/SCID mice. Taken together, these assays demonstrated that ectopic overexpression of Sam68 enriched the self-renewal potential of breast cancer cells.

Sam68 Activates the Wnt/Beta-Catenin Signaling Pathway in Breast Cancer Cell Lines

Real-time RT-PCR demonstrated that overexpression of Sam68 increased, whereas knockdown of Sam68 reduced, the
expression of c-MYC, cyclin D1, MMP-7, TCF4, CD44, Nanog, OCT4, and SOX2 in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 breast cancer cells (Fig. 3A). Subcellular fractionation followed by Western blotting and IF staining assays demonstrated that overexpression of Sam68 resulted in substantial nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 breast cancer cells, while knockdown of Sam68 reduced nuclear translocation of beta-catenin. These results suggested that Sam68 might contribute to activation of the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway (Fig. 3B and C). In agreement with this observation, overexpression of Sam68 markedly increased the transactivation activity of beta-catenin in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cells, as indicated by beta-catenin reporter gene assays based on the TCF and LEF genes (Fig. 3D). Conversely, knockdown of Sam68 reduced TCF/LEF transcription activity in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cells (Fig. 3D). These data suggested that Sam68 enhances the nuclear translocation of beta-catenin and consequently promotes transcription of TCF/LEF.
The Wnt/Beta-Catenin Pathway Is a Functional Mediator of Sam68-Induced Self-Renewal in Breast Cancer Cell lines

To further validate the role of beta-catenin in the Sam68-induced self-renewal potential of breast cancer cells, we blocked the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway by knocking down TCF4 or LEF1 in Sam68-overexpressing SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cells. Inhibition of beta-catenin signaling not only reduced the protein expression (Fig. 4A) and transcriptional activity of TCF/LEF (Fig. 4B), but also abrogated the self-renewal ability induced by overexpression of Sam68 (Fig. 4C). On the other hand, activation of beta-catenin signaling by ectopically over-expressing TCF4 or LEF1 in Sam68-knockdown cells mimicked the effects of overexpressing Sam68, as it increased the proportion of SP cells, mammosphere formation in suspension culture and transcriptional activity of TCF/LEF (Fig. 4B–D). Taken together, these results indicate that Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is a functional mediator of Sam68-induced self-renewal in breast cancer cells.

Overexpression of Sam68 Is Associated With Activation of the Beta-Catenin Pathway in Human Breast Cancer

Immunohistochemical staining was performed to further explore the clinical relevance of these findings in human breast cancer. An association was observed between the Sam68 expression level and beta-catenin nuclear expression in the 158 clinical specimens tested (Fig. 5A). As shown in Figure 5B, breast cancer samples with high Sam68 expression showed higher levels of beta-catenin activation (37/44 samples; 84.1%) than those with low Sam68 expression (40/114 samples; 35.1%; Figure 5B; \( P < 0.05 \)). These data indicate that over-expression of Sam68 is associated with activation of beta-catenin in human breast cancer.
FIGURE 4. Inhibition of beta-catenin activity attenuates the Sam68-induced breast cancer stem cell renewal phenotype. (A) Western blotting analysis to confirm the knockdown or overexpression of TCF4 or LEF1 in the indicated cells. (B) Luciferase-reporter assay of TCF/LEF transcriptional activity in the indicated cells. Experiments in A to I were repeated at least 3 times with similar results; error bars in A, B, C, and D are mean ± SD. *P < 0.05. (C) Representative micrographs (left) and quantification (right) of mammosphere formation. (D) Detection of the proportion of SP cells by flow cytometry.
MicroRNA-204 Is Frequently Downregulated in Human Breast Cancer and Directly Targets the 3′-UTR of Sam68

To investigate the factors that may lead to upregulation of in breast cancer, we investigated whether miRNAs target this gene using TargetScan. Based on analysis of seed region of that are conserved between mammals and vertebrates, we identified several miRNAs that may target Sam68. Using the ArrayExpress dataset GSE45666, we observed that miR-204 was the only miRNA that was significantly downregulated in 101 breast cancer tissues compared to 15 tumor-adjacent normal breast tissues (Fig. 6A and B). The expression of miR-204 was significantly lower in the 15 breast tumor tissues for which the paired adjacent normal tissues were included in the GSE45666 dataset (P < 0.001; Fig. 6C). Additionally, real-time PCR revealed that miR-204 was significantly downregulated in all nine breast cancer cell lines tested, including SKBR-3, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, ZR-75-30, and BT549 cells, compared with normal breast epithelial cells (NBECs; Fig. 6D). In confirmation of these in vitro analyses, real-time PCR revealed that miR-204 was significantly downregulated in 7 freshly isolated human breast cancer samples compared to the paired adjacent noncancerous tissues from the same patients (Fig. 6E). Taken together, these data indicated that miR-204 is frequently downregulated in human breast cancer. The ability of miR-204 to regulate the expression of Sam68 was confirmed using real-time PCR and Western blotting. As shown in Figure 6F and G, both the mRNA and protein expression of Sam68 were significantly reduced in miR-204-transfected cells and significantly increased in miR-204-inhibitor-transfected cells. The critical proteins of the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway and AKT pathway, which were verified to be regulated by Sam68 protein, were also modified by the expression of miR-204. These findings suggest a conclusive effect of miR-204 on the expression of Sam68 (Fig. 6G). IF staining assays demonstrated that the overexpression of miR-204 resulted in the substantial nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin in SKBR3 and MCF7 breast cancer cells, while the inhibition of miR-204 reduced the nuclear translocation of beta-catenin (Fig. 6H). A dose-dependent reduction of luciferase activity of the Sam68-3′-UTR was observed after treatment with miR-204 (Fig. 6I). Taken together, these experiments indicated that miR-204 directly targets the Sam68 3′-UTR (Fig. 6I).

MiR-204-Reduced Self-Renewal Can Be Attenuated by Supplementation of Sam68

To understand the role of miR-204 in self-renewal, the proportion of SP cell was examined in breast cancer cells. As shown in the Figure 7A, transfection of the miR-204 decreased the proportion of SP cells, whereas transfection of the miR-204 inhibitor increased the proportion of SP cells. When miR-204-overexpressing SKBR-3 cells were subcutaneously implanted into NOD/SCID mice, they formed significantly higher numbers of larger tumors compared to NC-transfected SKBR-3 cells (Fig. 7D and E), which supported the inhibitory effect of miR-204 in the self-renewal of breast cancer cells. The effect of Sam68 open reading frame (ORF, without the 3′-UTR) and Sam68–3′-UTR (ORF with the 3′-UTR) were examined in the miR-204-overexpressing cells to investigate the effect of Sam68 in miR-204-reduced self-renewal. The luciferase activity of the Sam68 reporter in miR-204-transfected cells could be rescued by overexpressing Sam68-ORF but not by overexpressing the Sam68–3′-UTR reporter (Fig. 7B). Furthermore, the effect of miR-204 to reduce the proportion of SP cells was only abrogated by the supplementation of Sam68-ORF, http://links.lww.com/MD/A552. As shown in Figure 7D and E, a xenograft tumor assay showed that the combination of Sam68 and miR-204 significantly increased the rate and the weight of tumor formation, while the combination of Sam68–3′-UTR and miR-204 had no effect on tumor formation compared with miR-204 alone. Taken together, our results suggest that the suppression of Sam68 plays an important role in miR-204-induced self-renewal.

DISCUSSION

Self-renewal is a crucial stem cell function, as stem cells need to persist for the entire lifetime of the organism. Sphere-forming assays under nonadherent cell culture conditions and detection of side-population (SP) cells by flow cytometry are
FIGURE 6. MicroRNA-204 is downregulated in human breast cancer and reduces the stem cell phenotype in breast cancer via directly targeting the Sam68 3'-UTR. Predicted miR-204 target sequence and mutated miR-204 sequence in the 3'-UTR of Sam68. (B) Expression of miR-204 in 101 breast tumor tissues (tumor) compared with 15 adjacent breast normal tissue samples (adjacent) in the GSE45666 dataset. (C) Expression of miR-204 in 15 breast tumor tissues (tumor) and the paired adjacent normal tissues (adjacent) in the GSE45666 database. (D) Real-time PCR analysis of miR-204 expression in normal breast epithelial cells (NBEC1) and breast cancer cell lines. (E) Expression of miR-204 in 7 freshly isolated breast tumor tissues (tumor) and the paired adjacent normal tissues (adjacent). Average miR-204 expression was normalized to U6. Each column represents the mean of 3 independent experiments. (F) Expression of Sam68 mRNA in the indicated cells. (G) Western blotting analysis the expression of Sam68 and its related proteins in the indicated cells. (H) Subcellular localization of beta-catenin was assessed by immunofluorescent staining in the indicated cells. (I) Luciferase assays on the indicated cells were transfected with the pGL3 control reporter, pGL3-Sam68–3'-UTR reporter, or pGL3-Sam68–3'-UTR-mut reporter and increasing amounts of miR-204 plasmid (20 and 50 nM), as indicated. Error bars represent mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *P < 0.05.
used to evaluate the quantity and activity of CSCs in tumor tissues in vitro.\textsuperscript{27,28} Researches on the molecular mechanisms of the regulation of BCSCs may lead to the identification of novel molecular targets that could potentially improve breast cancer treatment. A number of BCSC markers have been identified. For example, overexpression of the oncogene erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (\textit{ERBB2}) at the same time as reducing the expression of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN can enhance expansion of the stem cell population in breast cancer cell lines.\textsuperscript{29} CD44 was considered to be a acknowledged stem cell marker in many different organs and pathologies,\textsuperscript{30,31} and may also be a promising therapeutic target for breast cancer.\textsuperscript{32} In situ hybridization for aldehyde dehydrogenase, cytosolic 1-like (\textit{ALDH1}) in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens identified this stem/progenitor cell marker as a useful prognostic factor for poor clinical outcome in 577 patients with breast carcinoma from 2 different patient populations.\textsuperscript{29} However, no stem cell marker has yet been identified as a potential target for the elimination of BCSCs. Our previous study found that siRNA-mediated knockdown of endogenous \textit{Sam68} expression inhibited the proliferation and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells in vitro.\textsuperscript{12} This study indicates that \textit{Sam68} plays a significant role in the self-renewal of stem cells in breast cancer, as overexpression of \textit{Sam68} increased mammosphere formation and the proportion of SP cells in SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cells; therefore, \textit{Sam68} may represent a potential target for elimination of BCSCs during breast cancer therapy.

The molecular mechanisms underlying the self-renewal of BCSCs are not yet fully understood. The WNT pathway, Notch signaling and Hedgehog signaling pathway are known to regulate the self-renewal of normal stem cells.\textsuperscript{33,34} \textit{Sam68} is an RNA binding protein with Src homology (SH) 2 and 3 domain binding sites, and can be coprecipitated with p85 PI3K.\textsuperscript{35} \textit{AKT} can activate beta-catenin by inhibiting GSK3beta.\textsuperscript{36} We previously demonstrated that silencing \textit{Sam68} in breast cancer cells was associated with an attenuation of Akt/GSK-3beta signaling.\textsuperscript{12} The cytoplasmic localization of \textit{Sam68} has been observed previously in renal cancer and breast cancer, which was significantly correlated with poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{12,37} Therefore, \textit{Sam68} is involved in multiple biological mechanisms not only in the nuclei, but also in cytoplasm. In this study, we reported that \textit{Sam68} promotes the nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin, facilities Wnt/beta-catenin signaling activation and upregulates TCF/LEF transcription activity in breast cancer cells; however, the mechanism that underlies these findings is not clear. Multifunctional kinase GSK-3 is required for the regulatory APC/Axin/GSK-3 complex, which phosphorylates beta-catenin and leads to beta-catenin ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation through the beta-TrCP/Skp pathway.\textsuperscript{38} In previous studies, \textit{Sam68} promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and tumorigenicity through the activation of Akt/FOXO signaling; meanwhile, the phosphorylation level of GSK-3beta is enhanced.\textsuperscript{12,37} Accordingly, phosphorylated inactivated GSK-3beta is recruited to the cytomembrane by Wnt.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7}
\caption{\textit{In vivo} assays indicated the inhibitory effect of miR-204 and the neutralizing effect of \textit{Sam68} on tumorigenesis. (A) The proportion of SP cells decreased in cells transfected with the miR-204 and increased in cells transfected with the miR-204 inhibitor. (B) Related \textit{Sam68} reporter activity in the indicated cells. (C) Images of excised tumors 50 days after injection. (D) The weight of excised tumors measured on day 50 by electronic weighing. *P < 0.05.}
\end{figure}
receptors with the scaffold proteins APC and Axin. Sequentially stabilized beta-catenin is translocated to the nucleus, where it binds to LEF/TCF transcription factors, displacing corepressors and recruiting additional coactivators to Wnt target genes. Furthermore, the crosstalk between PI3K/Akt and Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway through GSK-3β has been reported in colon cancers,39 bladder cancers,40 and gastric cancers.41 Therefore, we speculated that Sam68 could affect the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. In this study, we investigated how Sam68 regulated the self-renewal potential of breast cancer cells, and observed that overexpression of Sam68 enhanced the nuclear translocation of beta-catenin in both breast cancer cell lines and clinical specimens. Our observations may have potential implications for the critical role of beta-catenin in cell progressions.

Given the potentially important role of Sam68 in BCSCs, we investigated why Sam68 is expressed at low levels in NBECs and frequently overexpressed in breast cancer cells. miRNAs, a class of small noncoding RNAs, inhibit gene translation or facilitate mRNA degradation to repress expression of their target genes.42,43 Using TargetScan, we identified several miRNAs with conserved binding sites in the 3′-UTR Sam68 which could potentially regulate Sam68. Analysis of the ArrayExpress dataset GSE45666 revealed that only miR-204 was significantly downregulated in breast cancer, and we confirmed that the expression of miR-204 was significantly reduced in breast cancer cell lines and freshly isolated human clinical samples. Furthermore, both the mRNA and protein expression and activity of a Sam68 3′-UTR luciferase reporter gene were downregulated by transfection of the miR-204 and upregulated by transfection of the miR-204 inhibitor, demonstrating that miR-204 directly targets the 3′-UTR and regulates the expression of Sam68. Additionally, the miR-204 inhibitor, but not the mutant miR-204 sequence, reduced the proportion of SP cells, indicating that miR-204 may functionally regulate BCSCs via Sam68. Therefore, the present study indicates Sam68 may represent a potential therapeutic target for elimination of BCSCs.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that Sam68 regulates the enrichment of self-renewing BCSCs via activation of the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway, and that miR-204 directly regulates Sam68. Sam68 and miR-204 may represent candidate therapeutic targets to enable the elimination of BCSCs, which may allow the development of innovative therapies for breast cancer.
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