Gastroschisis is an abdominal wall defect usually to the right of the umbilical cord with eversion of abdominal contents without a protective peritoneal covering. This is a common defect with a generally well-defined set of risks and complications; notably premature delivery, prolonged hospitalization, associated bowel atresia, delayed enteral feeds, and total parenteral nutrition (TPN) dependence.\(^1,2\) We report an infant with gastroschisis who had an atypical postoperative course secondary to panhypopituitarism due to septo-optic dysplasia with panhypopituitarism.
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**Case**
A Caucasian male baby with gastroschisis identified on the 20 week ultrasound was born to a 22-year-old primigravida mother. Induction of labor at 34 weeks gestation was elected due to increasing bowel dilation. At the time of birth, he was at the 51st percentile for weight, 33rd percentile for length, and 40th percentile for head circumference on the Fenton growth chart. He was taken to the operating room at 2 hours of life for primary closure of a small 1.5-cm defect without any bowel resection. Postoperatively, he developed warm shock that did not improve with volume or inotropes. His cortisol level at the time was 0.5. With the addition of hydrocortisone his hemodynamics improved. By
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**Introduction** Gastroschisis is considered to be an isolated abdominal wall defect that is infrequently associated with other anomalies.

**Case** This case describes an infant with gastroschisis who developed refractory shock after an uncomplicated surgery for bowel atresia. He was found to have adrenal insufficiency secondary to septo-optic dysplasia with panhypopituitarism.

**Conclusion** Gastroschisis and septo-optic dysplasia arise from vascular disruptions, therefore presence in the same infant can be more than just a coincidence. While this is not a common occurrence, our case illustrates the need for a high index of suspicion with an unusual clinical course.
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postoperative day 4 he was no longer requiring inotropic support. Empiric antibiotics were stopped after 48 hours when all cultures were negative.

In anticipation of prolonged bowel dysmotility, TPN was initiated on DOL 7 and by DOL 13 he was tolerating 6 hours a day off TPN. On DOL 17 he began having hypoglycemia associated with TPN cycling. Despite decreasing the amount of time off TPN, glucose was still as low as 23. This resolved when TPN was infused continuously. Hypoglycemia occurred again after his second surgery when he was transitioning from TPN to bolus enteral feeds. This corrected when he was put on continuous nasogastric feeds. Due to the recurrence of hypoglycemia, endocrine laboratory work was pursued. This revealed multiple pituitary hormone deficiencies with central hypothyroidism and adrenal insufficiency. With a normal TSH level but inappropriately low in the setting of low T4, hypothyroidism had been missed on newborn screening. An examination performed by endocrine also noted a stretched penile length that was just short of the reference range for his correct gestational age. Baby was started on physiologic hydrocortisone on DOL 83thyroid and growth hormone replacement therapy was started on DOL 85. By DOL 88 he was tolerating full enteral bolus feeds without any hypoglycemia.

Due to his hormone deficiencies a brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed on DOL 102. This showed volume loss of the corpus callosum, hypoplasia of the optic nerves, and optic chiasm. Also, identified was an ectopic posterior pituitary bright spot (Fig. 1) located outside of its normal location in the sella turcica (Fig. 2) along with a hypoplastic anterior pituitary gland. These MRI findings were consistent with SOD. Genetics was consulted and they did not recommend genetic testing due to the sporadic nature of SOD. Baby was discharged home on DOL 117 taking all feeds by mouth. Follow-up appointments were made with multiple subspecialties.

Discussion

Gastroschisis effects approximately 4.4 per 10,000 births in the United States and is ranked in the top six most expensive birth defects by the Center for Disease Control. After delivery babies can remain in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) for a month or more waiting for bowel function to resume and for feeds to be tolerated. In general, outcomes are good because of the rare association of gastroschisis with other congenital anomalies. Studies have been performed that show the contrary. One study utilized a database of 348 NICUs in North America. They found that of 4,687 infants born with gastroschisis in a 5-year period, 365 (8%) had at least one additional congenital anomaly. Of the nonisolated cases, 4.5% had an additional neurological anomaly. SOD is a clinical diagnosis defined by the presence of two out of three characteristics. The triad consists of optic nerve
hypoplasia, pituitary hormone abnormalities, and midline brain defects. This is a rare anomaly and is present in 1 out of 10,000 births.\(^9\) Given the sporadic nature of both SOD and nonisolated gastroschisis, one would think an association between the two is few and far between. However case reports do exist, and multiple studies of gastroschisis outcomes have described the two anomalies occurring together.\(^4,10–15\)

Historically, the development of gastroschisis has been attributed to a vascular disruption.\(^7,16\) Likewise, a vascular origin has been hypothesized to be cause of SOD. This is supported by the fact that the three components of SOD arise from different embryonic tissue and develop during different weeks of gestation. More convincingly, the three abnormalities are within the vascular supply of a common artery.\(^6\) In addition to this similarity, both gastroschisis and SOD occur more frequently in younger mothers.\(^17,18\) These common factors suggest something the young mother experiences or is exposed to increases the risk of both anomalies developing in their fetus.

Our case is an example of why being aware of the association between gastroschisis and SOD is important. Having a higher index of suspicion of adrenal insufficiency in the setting of refractory shock will lead to faster restoration of perfusion. But more importantly, it would lead to earlier diagnosis and treatment of thyroid and growth hormone deficiencies. Both of these hormones are vital to the growth and neurologic development of neonates.
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