Direct labeling of nucleosides with 3-thiazolylcoumarin fluorescent dyes

Ia. B. Kuziv, I. Ya. Dubey
Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics, NAS of Ukraine
150, Akademika Zabolotnogo Str., Kyiv, Ukraine, 03143
dubey@imbg.org.ua

Aim. Preparation and study of nucleosides labeled with coumarin-based fluorophores without preliminary functionalization. Methods. Organic synthesis, absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy. Results. Direct labeling of 2'-deoxynucleosides with carboxy-modified 3-thiazolylcoumarins was performed. Coumarin conjugates were obtained by the reacting of active oxybenzotriazole esters of the dyes with nucleoside 5'-hydroxyl in the presence of a base, and with cytosine amino group. Their optical properties in methanol and phosphate buffer were studied. Conclusion. N- and O-acylation of pyrimidine nucleosides with coumarin derivatives allowed to obtain conjugates with bright blue emission.
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Introduction

Non-radioactive labeling of proteins, nucleic acids and other biomolecules allows their visualization and quantification to study the biological functions and dynamics. Applications of biomolecules carrying fluorescent reporter groups include research on their cellular transport, interactions with other molecules, bioimaging, medical diagnostics, etc. [1, 2].

Fluorescently labeled nucleosides are also an important tool of biomedical research. They are commonly used as building blocks in the synthesis (both chemical and enzymatic) of fluorescent oligonucleotide probes [1, 3–5]. At the same time, the fluorescent nucleosides themselves can be used in the studies of nucleic acids, enzymes of nucleoside and nucleic acid biosynthesis, and in diagnostics [4–11]. However, their synthesis often requires functionalized nucleoside derivatives, since native nucleosides contain functional groups (sugar hydroxyls, exocyclic amino and keto groups) of relatively low nucleophilicity, the selective modification of which is quite difficult. Thus, the labeling is usually performed with nucleosides functionalized with aliphatic amino, thiol, aldehyde or other reactive groups [1–5].
Nucleosides were functionalized and then labeled at various positions: 5’- [12], 3’- [13] and 2’-hydroxyl [6], exocyclic NH$_2$ [11, 14], C-5 of pyrimidine and C-8 of purine bases [5, 7–10, 15]. Specific functionalization is a complicated synthetic task. We believe that in many cases OH functions of sugar moiety and amino groups of nucleoside bases can be used for direct labeling the reporter molecules.

Selective modification of nucleoside amino groups has attracted great attention in early period of the development of nucleoside chemistry. Application of numerous active compounds was investigated. The first attempt to selectively block cytidine, 2’-deoxyctydine and their 5’-phosphates with active esters was performed with 2-chloromethyl-4-nitrophenyl benzoate [16]. It was noted that adenosine and guanosine containing less basic amino groups were unable to react. Other authors employed pentafluorophenyl [17] and p-nitrophenyl [18] benzoates to block 2’-deoxyctydine. Katritzky et al. performed the acylation of cytidine, adenosine and deoxyadenosine by benzotriazole-activated carboxyalkyl-modified fluorescent dyes [14]. We have previously studied active esters of amino acids as acylating agents for the nucleoside amino functions. Cytidine, adenosine and guanosine conjugates with amino acids were obtained from O-protected nucleosides [19]. Thus, active esters can be used for the acylation of exocyclic amino groups of nucleosides to prepare their conjugates.

Direct introduction of reporter groups at nucleoside hydroxyls is much more challenging, as these groups are not highly nucleophilic and thus require very active acylating agents. Most methods that are commonly used for the preparation of nucleoside esters are based on acyl halides or anhydrides. However, such reagents are not suitable for the attachment of labile reporting groups. Other esterification methods include transesterification of esters by alcohols under acidic or basic catalysis [20] and alkylation of carboxylic acids via Mitsunobu reaction [21].

Mild conditions of ester bond formation can be achieved by active ester approach. Direct O-acylation with these reagents is complicated by relatively low reactivity of aliphatic OH-groups and a low acylation efficiency of active esters, although there are numerous reports on their use in this reaction [22–27]. Hydroxyl esterification with active esters is frequently used in multistep syntheses of various classes of compounds [28–33].

In most cases, active esters are obtained via the activation of carboxylic acids with phosphonium [23, 24, 26, 33] or uronium [24, 31, 32] coupling reagents or carbodiimides [22, 30] in the presence of such nucleophiles as 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) [23–26, 29, 32, 33], its aza-analog 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAT) [24, 29, 31], Oxyma reagent [27, 29, 30]. Alcohols can be also acylated by less reactive N-hydroxysuccinimide esters [28].

These esterification methods were used in the synthesis of amino acid esters [23, 27], depsipeptides [25, 28, 30], dendrimers [29], carbohydrate and glycoside derivatives [32, 33], and for the attachment of amino acids and nucleotides to polymer supports [24, 31, 34]. However, we were unable to find any papers describing the direct attachment of reporter groups to hydroxyl functions of nucleosides.

Previously we have synthesized a series of carboxyl-modified UV-excitable 7-substituted
3-hetarylcoumarins as biomolecular labeling reagents with bright blue fluorescence [35, 36]. In the present work, we have attempted to obtain their nucleoside conjugates by active ester approach without nucleoside functionalization with aminoalkyl or other reactive group.

Materials and Methods
N,N’-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) was obtained from Acros (Belgium), 2’-deoxynucleosides, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) were purchased from Fluka (Germany), DMSO from Aldrich (USA). 3’-O-benzoylthymidine dT(Bz) was prepared by the standard procedure [37]. Coumarin derivatives 1, 2, 9, 10 were obtained according to [35].

Solvents for synthesis were obtained from Macrochim (Ukraine). DMF was dried by distillation over CaO, P₂O₅ and stored over 3Å molecular sieves (Rathburn, UK). Dioxane was distilled over potassium hydroxide, DIPEA over sodium and stored over molecular sieves. Triethylamine was distilled over maleic anhydride and CaO. HOBT was dried in vacuum with P₂O₅. Methanol for spectroscopy (Labskan, Ireland) was additionally purified by distillation over KHSO₄ and K₂CO₃.

Phosphate buffers (PB) with certain pH were prepared by mixing solutions (0.1 M) of NaH₂PO₄, Na₂HPO₄ and Na₃PO₄ in appropriate ratios. [The] pH values were measured by pH-meter SevenEasy pH equipped with InLab 413 electrode (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).

Column chromatography was performed on Silica gel 60 (0.04-0.063 mm, ROSS, Belgium) or Silica 60M (0.04-0.063 mm, Macherey-Nagel, Germany). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Alugram Xtra Sil G/UV₂₅₄ plates (Macherey-Nagel) in systems CH₂Cl₂–MeOH 98:2 (A), CH₂Cl₂–MeOH 95:5 (B) and CH₂Cl₂–MeOH 9:1 (C). To visualize nucleosides, TLC plates were sprayed with a mixture H₂SO₄–HOAc–anisaldehyde–EtOH 5:1:5:90 (v/v) and heated at 105-110°C (blue spots).

NMR spectra were obtained with Varian VXR-300 (300 MHz) and Varian Gemini-2000 (400 MHz) instruments in DMSO-d₆ using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard; chemical shifts are given in ppm.

Chromato-mass-spectrometric analysis (LC-MS) was performed in the positive ion detection mode on Agilent 1100LC/MSD SL instrument (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with Zorbax-C18 Rapid Resolution HT Cartridge (2.1×30 mm, 1.8 µm) using a 0-100% gradient of acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid.

Fluorescence spectra were recorded with Quanta Master 40 spectrofluorimeter (Photon Technology, Canada) in 1×1 cm quartz cuvette. The slit width was 2.0 nm for excitation and emission, point time detection 0.1 s, sample concentration was in the range (0.5–1.5)×10⁻⁶ M. Emission was exited at absorption maximum and excitation was detected at emission maximum. The emission spectra of ionized forms of 7-hydroxycoumarin derivatives in methanol were recorded with excitation at 437 nm. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on UV-2802 spectrophotometer (Unico, USA) in 1 cm quartz cuvette in methanol or PB, with dyes and conjugates concentration in the range 4-35 µM (0.1-1.1 OD units). The stock solutions were prepared in methanol or DMSO. The fluorescence quantum yields of compounds (Φ) were determined by
common procedure using Coumarin-1 (Em<sub>max</sub> 445 nm) and Coumarin-314 (Em<sub>max</sub> 480 nm) as standards; their Φ values in EtOH are 0.50 and 0.58, respectively [38].

The pK<sub>a</sub> values for 7-hydroxyxoumarin conjugates were obtained from Henderson-Hasselbach equation [39]:

\[
\log\left(\frac{A_i - A_{HA}}{A_{A^-} - A_i}\right) = pHi - pK_a,
\]

where pHi is pH of a given buffer, <i>A</i><sub>i</sub> – absorbance of buffer with pH <i>i</i>, <i>A</i><sub>A^-</sub> – absorbance of the phenolate anion form of conjugate (pH above 9.5), <i>A</i><sub>HA</sub> – absorbance of conjugate’s acid forms (pH below 5.0) at certain wavelength (in this case, 440 nm). UV-Vis spectra were recorded at various pH, then the plots of \(\log\left(\frac{A_i - A_{pH4.7}}{A_{pH10} - A_i}\right)\) vs. pH were built and the pK<sub>a</sub> values were calculated.

**General protocol for the synthesis of deoxycytidine conjugates**

Reagent 1a, 1b, 2a or 2b (dried in vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and anhydrous HOBT were dissolved in dry DMF (dye concentration ~0.1 M), and DCC was added. After 4-hour activation, dry triethylamine and 2’-deoxycytidine hydrochloride were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for a day. Molar ratio between dC×HCl, NEt<sub>3</sub>, dye, HOBT and DCC was 1.0 : 1.0 : 1.2 : 1.45 : 1.38. When the coupling was complete (TLC control), the mixture was evaporated and dried in vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

**N-[1-[(2S,4R,5S)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-2-oxo-pyrimidin-4-yl]-2-[2-(7-hydroxy-2-oxo-chromen-3-yl)thiazol-4-yl]acetamide (4a).** Obtained from 1a (70 mg, 0.23 mmol). Conjugate 4a was eluted with 8% MeOH in chloroform. Yellow powder (70 mg, 72%). R<sub>f</sub> 0.33 (C). M.p. 224-226°C. 1H NMR: δ 11.17 (1H, s, NH (Cyt)), 8.83 (1H, s, H-4), 8.36 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-6 (Cyt)), 7.83 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-5), 7.58 (1H, s, H-4 (thiazole)), 7.21 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-5 (Cyt)), 6.87-6.79 (1H, m, H-6), 6.77 (1H, m, H-8), 6.11 (1H, t, J= 6.4 Hz, H-1’), 5.29 (1H, m, 3’-OH), 5.07 (1H, m, 5’-OH), 4.22, 3.85 (2×1H, 2m, H-3’, H-4’), 4.01 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 3.59 (2H, m, H-5’), 2.3, 2.02 (2×1H, 2m, H-2’, 2’’). LC-MS: m/z 512.9 [M+1]<sup>+</sup>.

**N-[1-[(2S,4R,5S)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-2-oxo-pyrimidin-4-yl]-4-[2-(7-hydroxy-2-oxo-chromen-3-yl)thiazol-4-yl]butanamide (4b).** Obtained from 1b (76 mg, 0.23 mmol). Labeled nucleoside 4b was eluted with 8% MeOH in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Yellow powder (67 mg, 65%). R<sub>f</sub> 0.33 (C). M.p. 169-171°C. 1H NMR: δ 10.89 (1H, s, NH (Cyt)), 8.86 (1H, s, H-4), 8.32 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-6 (Cyt)), 7.83 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-5), 7.42 (1H, s, H-4 (thiazole)), 7.23 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-5 (Cyt)), 6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-6), 6.79 (1H, s, H-8), 6.10 (1H, t, J= 6.2 Hz, H-1’), 5.28 (1H, s, broad, 3’-OH), 5.07 (1H, br.s, 5’-OH), 4.22, 3.85 (2×1H, 2m, H-3’, H-4’), 3.64-3.54 (2H, m, H-5’), 2.79 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 2.45 (CH<sub>2</sub>COO+DMSO,), 2.30-2.25(1H, m, H-2’ or H-2’’), 2.02 (3H, m, H-2’ or H-2’’, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>COO). LC-MS: m/z 540.8 [M+1]<sup>+</sup>.

**N-[1-[(2R,4R,5S)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-2-oxo-pyrimidin-4-yl]-2-[2-(7-methoxy-2-oxo-chromen-3-yl)thiazol-4-yl]acetamide**
(5a). Obtained from 2a (79 mg, 0.25 mmol). Labeled nucleoside 5a was eluted with 4-6% MeOH in chloroform. Crude material (~100 mg) was crystallized from dioxane-water mixture (4:3) to provide a yellow powder (66 mg, 62%). Rf 0.44 (C). M.p. 189-191°C. ¹H NMR: δ 11.17 (1H, s, NH (Cyt)), 8.91 (1H, s, H-4), 8.35 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-6 (Cyt)), 7.95 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-5), 7.64 (1H, s, H-4 (thiazole)), 7.21 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-5 (Cyt)), 7.14 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-8), 7.04 (1H, dd, J = 2.0 Hz, 8.7 Hz, H-6), 6.12 (1H, t, J= 6.7 Hz, H-1’), 5.28 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, 3’-OH), 5.05 (1H, t, J = 5.0 Hz, 5’-OH), 4.21, 3.86 (2×1H, 2m, H-3’, H-4’), 4.03 (2H, s, CH₂COO), 3.90 (2H, s, CH₃ (OCH₃)), 3.59 (2H, m, CH₂COO), 2.30, 2.02 (2×1H, 2m, H-2’, H-2”), LC-MS: m/z 527.2 [M+1]+.

N-[(2R,4R,5S)-4-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-2-oxo-pyrimidin-4-yl butanoyl oxymethyl]-5-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3-thiazol-4-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl] benzoate (5b). Obtained from 2b (42 mg, 0.12 mmol). Product 5b was eluted with 5-6% MeOH in CHCl₃, crystallized from aq. dioxane and dried in vacuum over P₂O₅. Yellow powder (26 mg, 50%). Rf 0.44 (C). M.p. 145-147°C. ¹H NMR: δ 10.86 (1H, s, NH (Cyt)), 8.91 (1H, s, H-4), 8.32 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-6 (Cyt)), 7.94 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-5), 7.44 (1H, s, H-4(thiazole)), 7.23 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-5 (Cyt)), 7.12 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-8), 7.03 (1H, dd, J = 2.4 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, H-6), 6.1 (1H, t, J= 6.4 Hz, H-1’), 5.25 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz, 3’-OH), 5.03 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz, 5’-OH), 4.22, 3.86 (2×1H, 2m, H-3’, H-4’), 3.90 (2H, s, OCH₃), 3.59 (2H, m, H-5’), 2.81 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂COO), 2.45-2.53 (2H, m, CH₂COO), 2.29 (1H, m, H-2’ or H-2”), 1.91 (3H, m, H-2’ or H-2”, CH₂CH₂CH₂COO). LC-MS: m/z 646.3 [M+1]+.

General procedure for O-esterification of nucleosides

Reagent 2a or 2b (dried in vacuum over P₂O₅) and anhydrous HOBT were dissolved in dry DMF (dye concentration ~0.1 M), and DCC was added. In 4 h, DIPEA and 3’-O-benzoyl-thymidine were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 days. Molar ratio between dT(Bz), dye, HOBT, DCC and DIPEA was 1.0 : 2.0 : 2.4 : 2.2 : 2.0. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, washed with aqueous citric acid, NaHCO₃ and water, dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated. Crude material was chromatographed on silica gel column.

[(2S,3R,5R)-2-[[2-[(7-methoxy-2-oxo-chromen-3-yl)thiazol-4-yl]acetoxymethyl]oxymethyl]-5-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxy-pyrimidin-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl] benzoate (6a). Obtained from 1a (64 mg, 0.2 mmol). Labeled nucleoside 6a was eluted with 1% methanol in chloroform. Yellow powder (42 mg, 65%). Rf 0.43 (A), 0.87 (B). M.p. 204-207°C. ¹H NMR: δ 13.19 (1H, s, NH (Thy)), 8.83 (1H, s, H-4), 7.98 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, Ph-2,6), 7.87 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, 5-H), 7.7-7.48 (5H, 2m, H-4 (thiazole), Ph-3,4,5, H-6 (Thy)), 7.12 (1H, s, H-8), 7.02 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-6), 6.26 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-1’), 5.43 (1H, m, H-3’), 4.51-4.35 (3H, m, H-4’, H-5’), 4.06, 3.98 (2H, 2d, J = 16.8 Hz, CH₂COO), 3.90 (3H, s, CH₃ (Thy)). LC-MS: m/z 646.3 [M+1]+.

[(2R3R,5S)-2-[4-[(7-methoxy-2-oxo-chromen-3-yl)thiazol-4-yl]butanoyloxyethyl]-5-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-
pyrimidin-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl] benzoate (6b). Obtained from 1b (70 mg, 0.20 mmol). Product was isolated in a gradient of MeOH in CHCl₃ (0-1%). Yellow powder (48 mg, 70%). Rf 0.43 (A). M.p. 179-181°C. ¹H NMR: δ 11.36 (1H, s, NH (Thy)), 8.88 (1H, s, H-4), 8.01 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, Ph-2,6), 7.92 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.69 (1H, m, Ph-4), 7.51-7.58 (3H, m, Ph-3,5, H-6 (Thy)), 7.42 (1H, s, H-4 (thiazole)), 7.11 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, 8-H), 7.03 (1H, dd, J = 2.4 Hz, 8.8 Hz, H-6), 6.28 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-1’), 5.46 (1H, m, H-3’), 4.37 (3H, m, H-4’, H-5’), 3.91 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.82 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₂COO), 2.43-2.55 (m, CH₂CO, H-2’, H-2’’), 2.01 (2H, quint, J = 7.6 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₂COO), 1.77 (3H, s, CH₃ (Thy)).

LC-MS: m/z 674.2 [M+1]+.

Results and Discussion

N-acylation of nucleosides

Our previous studies on N-acylation of O-protected nucleosides with active esters [have] indicated that HOBT derivatives were the most efficient reagents, whereas pentafluorophenyl esters were less active [19]. In the present work we have studied the acylation of unprotected deoxycytidine by HOBT esters of carboxy-coumarins 1-2 (1.2 eq.) in DMF at room temperature. Active esters were prepared using DCC as activating reagent (Scheme 1). They were found to be selective for exocyclic NH₂ over less nucleophilic sugar hydroxyls, so the level of O-acylation was low. Smooth coupling reaction allowed obtaining the conjugates 4-5 in good yields (65-72% for methoxycoumarin derivatives 4a,b, 50-62% for 7-hydroxy analogs 5a,b).

We have also tested the acylation of unprotected purine nucleosides by 2b under reported condition. In case of adenosine, a major product was formed, but the reaction was very slow (about 20% conversion in a week, by TLC). This is in agreement with the published data [17, 19]. In attempted labeling of free guanosine the reaction was also slow and the mixture of products was formed containing O-acylated compounds. Some authors suggest that amino functions of purine nucleosides do not require protection in oligonucleotide synthesis due to their low reactivity [40]. We did not isolate labeled purine nucleosides because of their low yields.

5’-Hydroxyl acylation

O-acylation is usually carried out under basic catalysis with tertiary amine, such as triethylamine, DIPEA, 4-dimethylaminopyridine, etc. As it was mentioned above, carboxyl activation can be performed by phosphonium or uronium coupling reagents to form acyl intermediates able to react with hydroxyl groups. This approach provides high acylation yields (90-100%), but high cost of reagents limits their use. Activation by less expensive carbodiimides in the presence of nucleophilic additives like HOBT [22] is very popular, despite lower yields and slower acylation.

We have studied the interaction of HOBT esters of carboxyalkyl-coumarins with nucleoside hydroxyl. 3’-O-benzoylethymidine was used as a model nucleoside with a single OH group. Dyes were activated with DCC-HOBT system in DMF (Scheme 1). Then nucleoside and DIPEA base were added; 2-fold molar excess of the dye over nucleoside was used.
In addition to sugar hydroxyl, DIPEA can deprotonate also the phenolic OH of 7-hydroxycoumarins. As a result, 5’-O-labeling with coumarin reagents 1a,b was not very efficient (40% conversion in 1.5 days and ~60% in two weeks). The main reason of low yields could be the acylation of phenolic hydroxyl of compounds 1 and/or 7 by active ester upon DIPEA addition, with possible polycondensation. Compounds 7a,b were not isolated due to formation of complex reaction mixture and almost identical Rf values of dyes and conjugates complicating their chromatographic separation.

Almost full conversion of nucleoside upon its reaction with active ester of 3b with acetyl-protected phenolic OH was achieved in 1.5 days. However, partial loss of rather labile Ac group in the presence of such strong base as DIPEA was observed.

In contrast, coupling reactions between 7-methoxycoumarins 2a,b and dT(Bz) were smooth and efficient. Labeled nucleosides 6a and 6b were isolated in 65 and 70% yield, respectively.

All conjugates were characterized by NMR and LC-MS. Their purity was >95%.

In NMR spectrum of compound 6a the proton signals of methylene group of thiazole-CH2-CO fragment appear as a doublet with a large coupling constant (J = 16.8 Hz). Thus CH2 protons in a short linker between dye and nucleoside are non-equivalent (AB system), perhaps due to restricted rotation around
C4’-C5’, C5’-O, CH2-COO or thiazole-CH2 bonds. This may result from the interaction of three large hydrophobic fragments of the molecule (dye, thymine base and benzoyl group). Proton non-equivalency is not observed in the longer propylene linker of 6b.

**Spectral properties of conjugates**

The spectral characteristics of compounds are presented in Table 1. UV-Vis spectra of conjugates are superpositions of dye and nucleoside absorption bands. The spectra of thymidine conjugates in methanol and phosphate buffer have two maxima in UV region, whereas those of 2’-deoxycytidine have three (Fig. 1, 2). In general, spectral properties of conjugates (shape, position and intensity of long-wavelength absorption and emission bands, quantum yields) are close to those of corresponding free dyes. The absorption (main band), excitation and emission spectra of coumarin fragments in compound 4a-6a, 5b, 6b well coincide with the spectra of free dyes 1-2 and reference dyes 9-10 with blocked COOH group. However, in case of 4b there are spectral differences typical for intramolecular interactions (see below).

The excitation of 7-hydroxycoumarin conjugates 4a,b in MeOH at small long-wavelength shoulder above 430 nm results in the emission band shift from 455-458 to 483-484 nm (Fig. 2). This is due to the presence of some amount of ionized forms with different spectral properties. These forms become predominant in basic aqueous medium (pH above 9-9.5); this is common for hydroxycoumarins [41].

Both UV-Vis and fluorescent spectra of hydroxycoumarins are pH-dependent, in contrast to methoxy-analogs (Fig. 3–5). The shapes and positions of the main absorption bands of 4a and 1a are very close at pH 6.3 and 10. However, the main band of 4b is red-shifted for 8 nm in comparison with 1b (Fig. 3) that can be due to the interaction of cytosine and dye fragments in 4b.

In phosphate buffer (pH 6.3 and 10) excitation and emission spectra of conjugate 4a are

---

**Fig. 1.** Normalized absorption (Abs) and emission (Em) spectra of conjugates 6a and 6b in methanol (λex 380 nm).

**Fig. 2.** Normalized absorption and emission spectra of 4a and 4b in MeOH. Fluorescence was excited at 380 or 437 nm.
Excitation spectrum of 4a coincides with its UV-Vis spectrum. Its quantum yield is rather close to that of 1a at pH 6.3, but it is two times lower at basic pH (Table 1).

A strong decrease of the quantum yield of 4b in comparison with 1b is observed (about 2- and 6-fold at pH 6.3 and 10, Table 1). The excitation spectrum of 4b at pH 10 is blue-shifted for ~8 nm relative to its absorption band (Fig. 4, top). This spectrum, however, is identical with the excitation spectrum of the dye 1b, for which the excitation and absorption spectra are identical. The emission bands of the conjugate and 1b coincide (Fig. 5, bottom). These effects could be explained by the existence of 4b in two main conformational forms: open and “contact pair” between coumarin and cytosine moieties. In the open form 4b behaves similarly to free dye or 4a containing shorter dye-nucleoside linker, whereas in the “contact pair” the fluorescence is quenched.

**pK**ₐ determination

To determine the basicity of hydroxycoumarin conjugates we have used a Henderson-Hasselbach method [39]. In the titration experiments UV-Vis spectra were recorded in a broad range of pH (Fig. 5). From these data, the pKₐ values for 4a and 4b were obtained (7.3 and 7.2, respectively).

Thus, we have synthesized a series of dye-nucleoside conjugates with intense blue fluo-
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**Table 1. Optical properties of compounds.**

| Compd | Solvent | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) (ε, $\times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$) | $E_{\text{max}}$ (detected at), nm | $E_{\text{max}}$ (excited at), nm | $\Phi$ |
|-------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| 1a    | MeOH    | 261 (7.1) – 382 (28) 382 (450) 457 (380) 483 (437) 0.84 |
| 1b    | “”     | 260 (7.0) – 382 (27) 382 (450) 457 (380) 482 (437) 0.85 |
| 2a    | “”     | 257 (7.4) – 378 (29) 381 (450) 453 (380) 0.71 |
| 2b    | “”     | 259 (6.4) – 379 (25) 380 (450) 453 (380) 0.65 |
| 3b    | “”     | 247 (6.0) 290 (4.6) 364 (24) 436 (358) 0.67 |
| 4a    | “”     | 250 (16) 302 (8.6) 383 (21) 455 (380) 483 (437) 0.84 |
| 4b    | “”     | 251 (19) 300 (9.3) 384 (26) 458 (380) 484 (437) 0.83 |
| 5a    | “”     | 250 (20) 301 (9.4) 380 (29) 451 (380) 0.78 |
| 5b    | “”     | 251 (22) 300 (10) 379 (28) 455 (380) 0.79 |
| 6a    | “”     | 262 (17) – 380 (31) 381 (450) 452 (380) 0.73 |
| 6b    | “”     | 263 (17) – 379 (26) 382 (453) 453 (380) 0.81 |
| 9a    | “”     | 257 (7.6) – 378 (30) 380 (450) 449 (380) 0.81 |
| 9b    | “”     | 259 (7.1) – 378 (27) 380 (450) 453 (380) 0.80 |
| 10a   | “”     | 260 (7.0) – 382 (28) 382 (450) 454 (380) 482 (437) 0.76 |
| 10b   | “”     | 261 (7.7) – 383 (29) 382 (450) 457 (380) 482 (437) 0.85 |
| 4a    | PB, pH 4.7 | 249 (15) 300 (9.4) 379 (20) 380 (480) 476 (378) 0.64 |
| 4b    | “”     | 251 (17) 299 (9.0) 385 (23) 386 (470) 471 (385) 0.35 |
| 1a    | PB, pH 6.3 | 262 (6.8) – 380 (26) 381 (455) 481 (380) 0.82 |
| 1b    | “”     | 262 (6.5) – 381 (25) 381 (455) 481 (380) 0.76 |
| 4a    | “”     | 248 (16) 300 (9.9) 380 (19) 380 (455) 479 (380) 0.66 |
| 4b    | “”     | 251 (18) 299 (9.5) 387 (23) 388 (455) 473 (388) 0.32 |
| 1a    | PB, pH 10 | 281 (7.8) – 424 (38) 425 (485) 483 (425) 0.71 |
| 1b    | “”     | 282 (7.4) – 425 (35) 425 (485) 484 (425) 0.69 |
| 4a    | “”     | 245 (16) 296 (13) 429 (29) 425 (483) 483 (426) 0.35 |
| 4b    | “”     | 248 (17) 299 (13) 433 (35) 425 (483) 484 (425) 0.12 |

rescence. Direct coupling of thiazolylcoumarin reagents to NH$_2$-group of 2'-deoxycytidine and 5’-hydroxyl of 3’-benzoylthymidine was performed under mild conditions. Active esters react selectively with dC amino group, whereas the acylation of OH group requires the presence of a base.
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