Decreased expression of CD63 tetraspanin protein predicts elevated malignant potential in human esophageal cancer
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Abstract. The tetraspanin CD63 has been described to have critical roles in multiple biological processes, including tumorigenesis and metastasis in several types of cancer. However, its role in esophageal carcinoma (EC) has not been reported. In the current study, immunohistochemistry was used to investigate CD63 expression in 106 esophageal cancer samples, 49 adjacent esophagus tissues and 17 normal esophagus mucosa tissues. The results revealed that the overexpression of CD63 was observed in esophageal cancer samples and negatively correlated with tumor stage and lymph node metastasis. To further evaluate the role of CD63 in esophageal carcinoma, the invasiveness of EC cells was analyzed using matrigel invasion assays and wound healing assays in vitro. Furthermore, it was found that CD63 knockdown increased the invasiveness of TE-1 cells through the upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) expression via promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition. The current data therefore suggested that low levels of CD63 expression may be involved in the tumor progression of esophageal carcinoma.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common malignant cancer in incidence and the sixth in cancer mortality worldwide (1). In China, esophageal cancer is the fourth most common cause of cancer-associated mortalities, and the majority of cases are squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (2). Generally, patients with esophageal cancer are diagnosed at a relatively late stage and have a very poor prognosis. The 5-year survival rate of esophageal cancer is <10% (3,4). The most important prognostic factors in esophageal carcinoma include the extent of the primary tumor and the metastasis of lymph nodes (5). An increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes are associated with a progressively poorer prognosis (6). The identification of more efficient biomarkers associated with lymph node metastasis is important for predicting the clinical outcomes in esophageal cancer.

CD63, also known as lysosome-associated membrane glycoprotein 3, melanoma-associated antigen ME491 or melanoma-associated antigen MLA1, is a member of the transmembrane-4 superfamily (TM4SF; tetraspan proteins), and is a cell surface glycoprotein (7-9). TM4SF molecules mediate signal transduction events that have a role in the regulation of cellular processes, including growth, adhesion motility and differentiation, and has been associated with tumor progression in human non small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, astrocytomas, pancreatic cancer and melanoma (10-13). The tetraspanin CD63 has been implicated in metastatic signaling pathways in several cancer types. Kondoh et al (14) suggested that CD63 may act as an anti-metastatic gene in human malignant melanoma. The precancerous lesions of melanoma exhibit intense CD63 expression, whereas the tissues from the melanomas with the dermis invasion or with distant metastasis are weakly stained (14). Radford et al (15) confirmed the suppressive role of CD63 in
melanoma invasion and progression, due to its association with β1 integrins and their interaction with specific extracellular matrix (ECM) substrates (fibronectin, laminin, and collagen). Sordat et al (16) has reported that substrate-immobilized anti-CD63 antibodies are able to enhance colon carcinoma cell migration and invasion. Seubert et al (17) revealed that CD63 overexpression enhanced the tumor cell-intrinsic metastatic potential by initiating β-catenin-dependent epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which contrasted the results of other studies. Kwon et al (18) suggested that CD63 negativity is able to predict poor prognosis for early-stage lung adenocarcinoma (15). Jang et al (19) reported that reduced CD63 expression contributes to the invasive and metastatic ability of human melanoma cells due to the increased cell motility, matrix proteolytic capability and ability to detach from the surrounding matrix. The results reported by Toricelli et al (20) revealed that a supramolecular complex containing CD63, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1) and β1-integrins increased anoikis resistance in melanoma cells by activating Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate-3-kinase signaling pathway, independently of protein kinase B phosphorylation.

Previous studies have suggested that the tetraspanin family may be involved in the metastasis of esophageal cancers. For example, TM4SF3, a member of the tetraspanin family, has been reported to be a pro-metastatic factor in esophageal carcinoma via upregulating ADAM12 m expression (21). Ectopic expression of the tetraspanin cell surface receptor uroplakin 1A inhibited cell proliferation, clonogenicity, cell motility and tumor formation by inhibiting nuclear translocation of β-catenin in esophageal carcinoma cells (21). Inactivation of its downstream targets, including Cyclin-D1, c-Jun, c-Myc, and matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP-7) (22). However, the role of the TM4SF member CD63 in esophageal cancer remains unclear.

The current study examined the expression of CD63 in primary esophageal cancer to examine its association with tumor stage and lymph node metastasis. The invasiveness of esophageal cancer cell lines following downregulation of CD63 expression was also investigated. In addition, the underlying mechanisms by which CD63 affects the invasiveness of esophageal carcinoma cells were examined.

Materials and methods

Tissue samples. A total of 106 esophageal carcinoma (EC) samples and 49 matched adjacent esophagus tissues were collected by endoscopic biopsies or surgical resection from 106 patients (Table 1), and 17 normal esophagus mucosa tissues were obtained from surgical resections of trauma patients. The age of the EC patients ranged between 32 and 78, and the mean age was 59.8. The age of the trauma patients ranged from 32 to 72, and the mean age was 57.2. No patient had received any other treatment prior to surgery. These tissues were obtained from the Gastrointestinal Center at the Jiangyin People’s Hospital, Medical School of University of Southeast of China (Jiangyin, China) from February 2010 to December 2012. This study was performed under the approval of the Ethics Committees of Jiangyin People’s Hospital and the patients gave written informed consent to participate.

| Table I. Clinicopathological data from 106 patients with EC. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinicopathological factor | No. of patients (%) |
| Sex | Male 62 (58.48) Female 44 (41.52) |
| Histologic type | SqC 58 (54.72) AdC 48 (45.28) |
| Stage | Early (I/II) 68 (64.15) Advanced (III/IV) 38 (35.85) |
| Tumor status | T1 18 (16.98) T2 38 (35.85) T3 22 (20.75) T4 28 (26.42) |
| Lymph node metastasis | N0 64 (60.38) N1 27 (25.47) N2 7 (6.60) N3 8 (7.55) |
| Distant metastasis | Negative 104 (98.1) Positive 2 (1.9) |

*Tumor (T) categories according to the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) Tumor Node Metastasis (TNM) classification of esophageal carcinoma. †Lymph node (N) categories according to the UICC TNM classification of esophageal carcinoma. sqC, squamous cell carcinoma; AdC, adenocarcinoma.

All diagnoses were based on pathological and/or cytological evidence. According to the classification criteria from the World Health Organization (23), the histological features of the specimens were evaluated by two senior pathologists. For immunohistochemistry, tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and maintained at -80°C immediately following endoscopic biopsies or surgical resection.

Immunohistochemistry. The expression patterns of CD63 in human esophageal cancer samples, the adjacent normal esophagus samples and the normal esophagus mucosa tissues were analyzed using immunohistochemistry. Sections of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue with a thickness of 6 µm were deparaffinized and heated in citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 95°C for 30 min as an antigen retrieval protocol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide, and non-specific-binding sites were reduced by incubating the sections at room temperature with 4% skim milk powder for 30 min. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with a rabbit monoclonal antibody to CD63 protein (cat. no. ab134045; dilution, 1:1,000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA USA). Biotinylated secondary antibody mouse anti-rabbit
immunoglobulin G-B (cat. no., sc-2491; dilution, 1:1,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was then added to the sections followed by incubation for 30 min at room temperature. An avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Nan tong, China) was added for an additional 30 min. Following treatment with a 3,3'-diaminobenzidine substrate-chromogen system (Dako; Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) for 8 min, counterstaining was performed with hematoxylin. All histological assessments were performed by the same pathologist. For statistical analysis, the sections with <10% of the whole tissue mass stained were classified as negative; the sections with 10-25% of the whole tissue mass stained were classified as weakly positive (+1); the sections with 25-75% of the whole tissue mass stained were defined as moderately positive (+2); the sections with >75% of the tissue stained positive were regarded as strongly positive (+3).

**Cell culture and transfection.** The human esophageal cancer cell line TE-1 was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg streptomycin; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cells were grown at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere and were transfected according to the manufacturer's protocol using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with CD63 siRNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX, USA).

**Western blot analysis.** Esophageal carcinoma TE-1 cells were divided into three groups: Control (with no transfection protocol), NC (cells transfected with empty plasmid), and siCD63 (cells transfected with small interfering RNA targeting CD63). Following treatment, cells were lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Triton X-100; 1% sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% SDS) and protease inhibitor cocktail (P8340; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cells were grown at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere and were transfected according to the manufacturer's protocol using the enhanced chemiluminescence system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chalfont, UK).

**In vitro migration assay.** Esophageal carcinoma TE-1 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and allowed to reach a confluent state, then were divided into three groups: Control (with no transfection protocol), NC (cells transfected with empty plasmid), and siCD63 (cells transfected with small interfering RNA targeting CD63). Following treatment, the monolayer was scratched with the tip of a 200 µl pipette. The floating and detached cells were removed by washing twice with PBS. Subsequently, fresh serum-free medium was added. At 0 and 24 h, images were captured to assess the extent of cell migration using an Olympus BX 40 Light Microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**In vitro invasion assay.** The invasive potential of TE-1 cells was assessed using 24-well Matrigel invasion chambers (pore size 8 µm, Corning Costar Corporation, Corning, NY, USA). Inserts were pre-coated with 40 µl Matrigel (1:4 dilution; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Then, 5x10⁴ cells/ml pre-transfected with CD63 siRNA in serum-free medium were added to the upper chambers. The lower chambers contained 500 µl medium supplemented 10% FBS. Following incubation for 24 h at 37°C, the cells remaining in the upper chambers were removed with PBS-moistened cotton swabs, and the invading cells on the underside of the insert filter were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and stained with Giemsa for 1 h at room temperature. Images of 5 random microscopic fields gathered by an Olympus BX 40 Light Microscope (Olympus Corporation) were captured to calculate the average number of invaded cells.

**Statistical analysis.** Mean ± standard error of the mean of several independent experiments were calculated. One-way analysis of variance was applied to analyze the data, and then the significance of differences among different groups was evaluated by Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test. Statistical tests were performed using Graph-Pad PRISM version 6.0 (Graph-Pad Software, San Diego, CA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Expression of CD63 is upregulated in esophageal cancer tissues.** To investigate the variation in expression levels between the normal and cancerous esophageal tissues, CD63 protein expression levels were evaluated by immunohistochemistry staining in 106 paraffin-embedded human esophageal cancer tissues, 49 adjacent esophagus tissues and the 17 normal esophagus mucosa tissues. Overall positive staining for CD63 was frequently observed in the cytoplasm of EC tissues, whereas no staining of CD63 was observed in the adjacent esophagus tissues and the normal esophagus mucosa tissues (Fig. 1A). The sections were subsequently scored by a pathologist; there were significant differences in CD63 expression between esophageal cancer tissues, adjacent esophagus tissues and the 17 normal esophagus mucosa tissues (Fig. 1B, P<0.01). This demonstrated that CD63 expression...
was increased in esophageal carcinoma and may be a regulator in the development of EC.

**Association between **CD63** expression and clinicopathological factors.** The CD63 expression differences in the EC tissues in different clinical stages were subsequently analyzed. The average CD63 expression level in earlier stage (I and II) esophageal carcinoma was higher than the level in advanced stage (III and IV) (Fig. 2; P<0.01). CD63 expression levels were also found to be associated with lymph node metastasis in EC cases. Weak positive staining of EC tissues was detected in patients with lymph node metastasis. However, in the patients without metastatic lymph nodes, the CD63 staining was strongly positive (Fig. 3). This suggests that CD63 may have an important role in the lymph node metastasis of EC.

**Downregulation of** CD63 **promotes migration of EC cells.** To investigate the role of reduced CD63 expression in the migration of EC, the esophageal cancer cells TE-1 were transfected with CD63-silencing RNA. Western blot analysis revealed that CD63 protein expression was markedly reduced by the siRNA transfection (Fig. 4A). Matrigel invasion assays were performed to explore the effect of CD63 on the invasiveness of TE-1 cells. As presented in Fig. 4B and C, the ability of TE-1 cells to invade through the Matrigel and membrane was increased by CD63 knockdown when compared with the control cells or the empty plasmid transfected cells. The CD63 siRNA transfected TE-1 cells exhibited a ~2-fold higher invasiveness than the control cells. *In vitro* wound healing assays were performed to detect the motility of TE-1 cells,
which is another important characteristic of metastatic cells. As presented in Fig. 4D and E, CD63 knockdown noticeably reduced the wound area, suggesting that CD63 knockdown enhanced the migration capability of esophageal cancer.

CD63 knockdown enhances invasiveness of EC cells via promoting EMT. To explore the mechanisms underlying the effect of CD63 on the invasiveness of EC, TE-1 cells were transfected with CD63 siRNA or empty plasmid. After 48 h of incubation, western blotting assays were used to detect the expression of EMT-associated proteins and MMPs. E-cadherin was established as the epithelial marker, and vimentin as the mesenchymal marker. As presented in Fig. 5, TE-1 cells transfected with CD63 siRNA exhibited down-regulation of E-cadherin expression and upregulation of vimentin when compared with the control cells or the empty plasmid transfected cells. The matrix metalloproteinase MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression was increased by CD63 knockdown. These results suggest that CD63 knockdown regulates the invasiveness of esophageal cancer cells via promoting EMT.

Discussion

The prognosis of esophageal carcinoma remains poor despite advances in aggressive treatment for EC (3,4), due to late diagnosis and the rapid metastasis of cancer cells (24,25). The present study investigated CD63 as a potential marker for the migration capability of esophageal carcinoma.

The TM4SF is a group of cell surface proteins with four transmembrane domains. CD63 was the first tetraspanin to be characterized and it was found to be located on human chromosome 12q13 (26). Various studies have demonstrated that CD63 regulates intracellular transport and localization by interacting with a number of proteins (27,28). CD63 is a member of the tetraspanin proteins, and is a cell surface glycoprotein. CD63 has been reported to be upregulated in
breast cancer, astrocytoma and melanoma, and is involved in various biological processes (18,26,29). In the present study, the protein levels of CD63 in EC tissues were revealed to be significantly increased compared with adjacent tumor tissues or the normal esophagus mucosa tissues. Furthermore, the tetraspanin CD63 has been suggested to be associated with the biological behavior of solid tumors, and has particularly been implicated in metastatic signaling pathways (30). The hematogenous metastasis of CD63-negative human melanoma cells in nude mice may be reduced by CD63 transfection (15). Kwon et al (18) suggested that CD63 negativity has a potential as a biomarker for predicting poor prognosis in earlier stage lung adenocarcinoma. Jung et al (31) identified CD63 as a cell surface-binding partner for TIMP-1, regulating the polarization and survival of breast epithelial cells. However, the expression pattern and clinicopathological roles of CD63 have not been investigated in EC in previous studies. In the current study, CD63 negativity was significantly associated with advanced tumor stage and a greater number of metastatic lymph nodes in EC. Intensely immunostained samples were identified in earlier stage (I and II) EC. In advanced stage (III and IV) EC, the samples were weakly immunostained. A previous study has demonstrated that CD63 expression is weaker as the stage advances in melanoma (14), consistent with the findings of the current study in esophageal cancer. Lymph node metastasis is one of the most important prognostic factors in EC, and the number of metastatic lymph nodes is consistently associated with the long-term outcomes of patients with EC (6). Regarding a potential correlation with lymph node metastasis, the current study revealed that CD63 protein expression was weaker as the number of metastatic lymph nodes increased. To further elucidate the role of CD63 in EC progression, wound healing migration and matrigel invasion assays were performed to detect the invasiveness of the TE-1 esophageal cancer cell line. Following siRNA-induced CD63 knockdown, the invasiveness of TE-1 cells was enhanced. In the current study, CD63 suppressed the metastasis of EC cells. In a previous study, CD63 was originally described as being involved in cancer metastasis (30). Tominaga et al (32) suggested that RPN2-mediated CD63 glycosylation regulates breast cancer cell malignancy, including drug resistance and invasion. Jang et al (19) reported that reduced CD63 expression contributes to the invasive and metastatic ability of human melanoma cells, due to increased cell motility, matrix proteolytic capability and ability to detach from the surrounding matrix. CD63 has also been implicated in regulating the functions of the tumor development-associated protein, membrane-associated type-1 MMP in ECM turnover, thereby increasing cell invasiveness and metastasis (33). The association between CD63 and integrins, including α4β1, α3β1, α6β1, LFA-1 and β2, was reported to be involved in increased tumor cell motility and metastasis, which mediates binding to the ECM (34-37). CD63 potentially interacts with numerous other proteins such as CD9, CD81 and β1 integrins, contributing to the downstream cell signaling pathway (38). The association between CD63 and β1 integrins has been observed in human melanoma cells, and integrins were described as having major roles in the invasive capacity acquisition of cancer cells (30). TIMP-1 was identified to regulate the integrin signaling complex via its interaction with CD63 on the cell surface (31). CD63 associated with CD9 has also been revealed to suppress the motility and metastasis of mouse melanoma cells by downregulation of CD9 expression (39). It has been reported that a complex in the surface membrane of melanoma cells formed by CD63, CD9 and CD81 was involved in signal transduction (38). Seubert et al (17) reported that CD63 increased the tumor cell intrinsic metastatic potential by initiating β-catenin-dependent EMT and then affecting cell plasticity in human ovarian carcinoma, human gastric carcinoma and mouse melanoma cells. The current study identified the pro-metastatic role of downregulated CD63 expression in esophageal cancer, and examined the underlying mechanism. In addition, the epithelial marker E-cadherin was downregulated and the mesenchymal maker vimentin was upregulated by CD63 knockdown, suggesting that CD63 may function as a potent inhibitor of metastasis in EC via regulating the EMT. MMP family proteins are involved in the breakdown of ECM and participate in the process of cancer metastasis (40). A number of studies have reported that EMT-inducing transcription factors are directly or indirectly involved in cancer cell metastasis through various signaling cascades, with the ultimate consequence of the upregulation of metastatic proteins, including MMPs (41). The present study identified that MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression levels were increased by CD63 knockdown, suggesting that CD63 knockdown-induced EC invasion may be due to MMP-2 and MMP-9 overexpression through the activation of EMT signaling pathway. However, the underlying mechanism by which CD63 activates EMT in EC remains to be investigated.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge the present study revealed for the first time that CD63 expression was upregulated in EC tissues, and was negatively correlated with tumor stage and lymph node metastasis. CD63 knockdown enhanced EC cell invasion, and was mediated by MMP-2 and MMP-9 overexpression through the activation of EMT signaling pathway. This indicates that CD63 may be a promising biomarker to predict the risk of EC progression.
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