Fungal Diversity in Barley Under Different Storage Conditions
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The diversity of fungi in barley in simulated storage environments was analyzed. Barley was stored at different temperatures (15, 25, 35°C) and relative humidity (55, 65, 75, 85 RH) for 180 and 360 days. Alpha diversity, beta diversity, species composition, and species differences were analyzed using Illumina HiSeq technology. The fungal communities in all barley samples before and after storage belonged to 3 phyla, 18 classes, 39 orders, 71 families, 103 genera, and 152 species. The relative abundance of the dominant phylum Ascomycota was 77.98–99.19%. The relative abundance of Basidiomycota was 0.77–21.96%. At the genus level, the dominant genera of fungi in barley initially included Fusarium, Aspergillus, Microdochium, Alternaria, and Epicoccum. After 360 days of storage, the dominant genera became Epicoccum, Alternaria, Bipolar, Cladosporium, Fusarium, and Aspergillus. According to Venn diagrams and principal coordinates analysis, the fungal community diversity in barley initially was much higher than in barley stored at different temperatures and humidity. The application of PLS-DA could accurately distinguish between barley stored for 180 and 360 days. Some high-temperature and high-humidity environments accelerated storage. The dominant genera differed in different storage conditions and constantly changed with increasing storage duration. Epicoccum was one of the dominant genera after longer storage periods. This study provides theoretical support for optimizing safe storage conditions in barley.

Keywords: barley, high-throughput sequencing technology, fungal diversity, different storage environment, deoxynivalenol

INTRODUCTION

Barley is rich in protein, minerals, vitamins, dietary fiber, and other nutrients (Rashim et al., 2018), and for these reasons it has become the fourth largest cereal crop in the world (Felšöciiová et al., 2021). The main barley-producing countries are Russia, Canada, Australia, the European Union countries, and North America (Jansse et al., 2018). As it is not conducive to the formation of dough...
and due to its lack of taste and color, barley was historically mainly used as forage grass. With improved living standards, people began to pay more attention to the nutritional value of food. Replacing wheat flour with a certain proportion of barley flour can effectively improve nutrition (Narwal et al., 2017). Products with barley as raw materials have gradually appeared, and it is now very important to control their quality and safety. One of the many applications is the beer industry, where using barley or malt as a raw material has a long history (Windes et al., 2020; Brooke et al., 2022).

Bad practices during harvest and inadequate conditions for drying, handling, packaging, storing, and transporting may contribute to fungal growth (Rajeev et al., 2010). Fungal pollution is one of the main problems in barley storage. The fungi will grow in a suitable environment and decompose the nutrients in barley, and the resultant deterioration in quality may threaten the health of consumers (Marcus et al., 2016). While different storage conditions will play a decisive role in the growth and reproduction of the fungal genera, temperature and relative humidity (RH) are the main factors affecting the growth of fungi (Yang, 2021). Appropriate environmental conditions promote the growth and reproduction of fungi, and harmful environmental conditions will inhibit the growth of fungi and even lead to death (Gostincić et al., 2022). The most suitable growth temperature for most fungi is 15–35°C (Camardo et al., 2018). Controlling the storage temperature and limiting the growth and reproduction of harmful microorganisms can achieve safe storage. Mycotoxin are secondary metabolites produced by fungi under suitable conditions (Adányi et al., 2018). Barley is susceptible to contamination by mycotoxins (Maria et al., 2012), the most common being deoxynivalenol (DON) (Karolina et al., 2022), zearalenone (Mahato et al., 2021), sterigmatocystin (Morgan et al., 1986), aflatoxin (Kaale et al., 2021), and ochratoxin (Susana et al., 2008). DON, one of the most commonly detected mycotoxins, is mainly produced by Fusarium metabolism. Whether it has a certain correlation with Fusarium deserves further study.

Currently available methods for analyzing microbial flora can be considered in three categories, namely, the culture method, traditional molecular biology methods, and high-throughput sequencing technology (Hu et al., 2021). The culture method mainly uses different growth cycles of microorganisms to separate and identify cultured microorganisms, but cultured microorganisms often account for only one-tenth of the total number (Dewhirst et al., 2010). Traditional molecular biology methods mainly include Deformation Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (Aydin et al., 2015), Random Amplification Polymorphic DNA (Kermani et al., 2016), and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (Camarinha-Silva et al., 2015). Although such methods can obtain accurate microbial diversity information, they have limitations in exploring the high abundance of microflora. Compared with the above traditional techniques, high-throughput sequencing technologies with high flux, high sensitivity, and good accuracy can determine millions or even tens of millions of DNA sequences simultaneously in a short time range to cover a complex microbial community. The technology has been applied to analyze microbial communities in feces (Holzhausen et al., 2021), fermented sausage (Zhang et al., 2021a), intestinal flora (Bornbusch et al., 2021), and soil (Caillon et al., 2021), and has been applied in medical science (Li et al., 2021).

Investigations of fungal diversity during storage include analyses of maize in storage for 12 months, which found that Aspergillus, Fusarium, Wallemia, Sarocladium, and Penicillium were the main genera (Wang et al., 2019). The main genera found in rice samples in North China and the Yangtze River valley were Campylobacter and Bacteroides (Ban et al., 2021). The main fungal species in stored wheat grains in India were Aspergillus flavus, Rhizopus oryzae, and Eurotium amstardam (Kumari et al., 2019). However, to the best of our knowledge there are few reports on the structural changes of barley microbial flora and the correlations between genus and mycotoxin under different storage conditions. Therefore, studying the structural changes of the barley fungal community under different storage conditions can identify not only the optimal growth conditions of microorganisms but also apply these to control the storage environment and ensure the safety of grain storage. This study also aims to provide a solid basis for realizing safe storage of barley, reducing grain storage loss, and improving grain storage quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Saturated Salt Solution

Storage environment humidity was simulated manually (Jang and Lee, 2021). A 55% RH environment was prepared with saturated magnesium nitrate solution (695 g/L); a 65% RH environment was prepared with saturated sodium nitrite solution (460 g/L); a 75% RH environment was prepared with saturated sodium chloride solution (360 g/L); and an 85% RH environment was prepared with saturated potassium chloride solution (342 g/L).

Storage of Barley

Samples (500 g) of barley (variety: CK15) were packed in 12 sterile 1 L beakers, then transferred to 2 L beakers each containing different saturated salt solutions, then placed in a constant temperature incubator at three temperatures (15, 25, 35°C). Samples were taken at 180 and 360 days for subsequent analysis. The sampling method involved multipoint sampling at the upper, middle, and lower layers of the container to obtain more accurate information on community structure. Samples were named based on temperature–RH environment–storage days, and CK15 as the initial barley name.

Determination of the DON Content

An ELISA kit (Enzyme-linked Biotechnology Co., Shanghai, China) was stored in a cryogenic refrigerator, moved to room temperature (20–25°C), and balanced for more than 1 h. Samples of weight 2 g were placed in a 50 mL centrifuge tube, 20 mL of deionized water added for 5 min, and centrifuged at 4,000 r/min for 10 min at room temperature; 0.5 mL supernatant was removed and mixed with 0.5-mL compound solution. Then, 50 µL of the above solution was taken in each microwell of the kit;
each sample was made in parallel, and 50 µL of enzyme marker and antibody working liquid were added at 25°C for 30 min. After washing, 50 µL of substrate solutions A and B were added and reacted at 25°C for 15 min. Finally, 50 µL of suspension liquid was added, the absorbance value was measured at 450 nm, and the corresponding amount of mycotoxin was calculated.

**DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification**

Microbial community genomic DNA was extracted from barley samples using the E.Z.N.A.™ DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, United States) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The DNA extract was checked on 1% agarose gel, and DNA concentration and purity were determined with NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States). The hypervariable region ITS1 of the fungal ITS rRNA gene were amplified with primer pairs ITS1F (CTTGGTATTTAGAGGAAAT) and ITS2R (GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC) by an ABI GeneAmp® 9700 PCR thermocycler (ABI, CA, United States). The PCR amplification of ITS rRNA gene was performed as follows, namely, initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 27 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 45°C for 50 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s, and single extension at 72°C for 10 min, and end at 10°C. The PCR mixtures contain 5 × TransStart FastPfu buffer 4 µL, 2.5 mM dNTPs 2 µL, forward primer (5 µM) 0.8 µL, reverse primer (5 µM) 0.8 µL, TransStart FastPfu DNA Polymerase 0.4 µL, template DNA 10 ng, and finally ddH₂O up to 20 µL. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate. The PCR product was extracted from 2% agarose gel and purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, United States) according to the instructions of the manufacturer and quantified using Quantus™ Fluorometer (Promega, United States).

**Illumina MiSeq Sequencing**

Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq PE300 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). The data presented in the study are deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) repository, accession number SUB11386891.

**Statistical Analysis of Biological Information**

The raw data were first quality-filtered to obtain high-quality sequences. Using the UPARSE (Edgar, 2013) software, statistical analysis of biological information was carried out on a 97% similar operation classification unit (OTU). Exploitation Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Classifier (Wang et al., 2007) species classifications were annotated for each sequence. The sequences were then flattened to standardize the data for subsequent analyses. Alpha diversity was analyzed by Mothur software using Shannon, Simpson, Sobs, Ace, Chao, and Coverage as metrics (Adler et al., 2013). Community composition (Ji et al., 2017) was investigated using Heatmap graphs (Zhou et al., 2017) and Venn diagrams (Sun et al., 2021) to analyze differences in species composition. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) explored beta diversity based on the Bray-Curtis distance algorithm (Mitter et al., 2017). Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) was used to detect noticeable differences in sample grouping (Zhao et al., 2017). The differential microbiota of barley after two-stage storage were analyzed using STAMP (Ye et al., 2017).

**RESULTS**

**Alpha Diversity Analysis in the Barley Fungal Community**

The rarefaction curves present the diversity of the samples at different sequencing numbers and also indicate whether the amount of sequencing data for the samples is reasonable. As shown in Figure 1, the rarefaction curve gradually flattened out and the sequencing data reached saturation, to cover the vast majority of species in the fungal community in barley.

The higher the Sobs, Shannon, Ace, and Chao values, the more extraordinary the community richness and diversity in the sample, while the more significant the Simpson values, the smaller the community diversity; together, these comprehensively reflect the microbial alpha diversity. Community richness reflects the number of species in the community; community diversity comprehensively reflects richness and uniformity. As shown in Table 1, all samples had coverage values above 0.9994, covering most of the sequences in the sample with an extremely low probability of being undetected. Samples stored at 35°C–75% RH (RH) for 180 days were lowest in Simpson index and highest in Shannon and Sobs indices, indicating abundant community species, but minimum values were observed in samples stored at 25°C–55/65% RH, indicating low diversity in the community. High community diversity at 360 days was observed in samples stored at 35°C–65% RH, while diversity remained low samples stored at 25°C–65% RH. At 35°C–75/65% RH, species indices were average, and these environments were suitable for most fungi, while at 25°C–65/55% RH, species compete to produce dominant genera.

![FIGURE 1 | Rarefaction curves of barley samples under different storage conditions. Each curve represents a sequencing sample.](image-url)
Species Composition Analysis in Barley Analysis of the Fungal Community Composition

According to the results of the sequencing analysis, the fungal communities in the barley samples were divided into 1 domain, 1 kingdom, 3 phyla, 18 classes, 39 orders, 71 families, 103 genera, and 152 species according to the taxonomic methods. As shown in Figure 2, after 360 days of storage the fungal communities mainly contained Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. Among them, the relative abundance of Ascomycota as the dominant fungi accounted for 77.98–99.19% and Basidiomycota accounted for 0.77–27.96%. Compared with the initial barley, at 180 days of storage the relative abundance of Basidiomycota changed greatly only in barley samples stored at 35°C–75/85% RH, increasing to 6.31–6.58% after 180 days of storage, and then to 70.73% by 360 days. During storage under the conditions of 15°C–65/75% RH, 25°C–65/75/85% RH, and 35°C–55% RH, the relative abundance of Basidiomycota did not change significantly compared with the initial barley. Thus, both high temperature and long storage duration affected the growth of Basidiomycota.

As shown in Figure 3, the fungal community composition in the initial barley sample was relatively rich; it mainly comprised Fusarium (24.58%), Aspergillus (21.97%), Microdochium (18.50%), Alternaria (13.74%), and Epicoccum (13.50%). After 180 days of storage, the dominant genera changed, and the relative abundance changed to Epicoccum, Bipolaris, Fusarium, Alternaria, and Cladosporium (in descending order). After 360 days, there were no new dominant genera, only slight differences in relative abundance, ranging from Epicoccum, Alternaria, Bipolaris, Cladosporium, and Fusarium in descending order. Epicoccum was the dominant genus in barley storage. Cladosporium was present throughout the storage process and showed no significant changes in its low relative abundance. Alternaria showed the same trend but with high relative abundance. Storage for 180 days in the 25°C environment had similar consequences: Bipolaris dominated, and the relative abundance of Fusarium was very low. Fusarium was present in the initially harvested barley stored directly without treatment, resulting in similar fungal community composition in the 15°C–55% RH environment, and an obvious Fusarium was detected. Gibberella was abundant only at 25°C–55% RH–180 days. The 35°C–85% RH–360 days sample did not present the dominant genera of other samples, and mainly included Aspergillus and unclassified_o_Eurotiales. Low species diversity was observed at 25°C–65% RH, mainly composed of two genera with relative abundance of 95.34%. Species were abundant at 35°C–65/75% RH, consistent with the previously mentioned alpha diversity and indicating that multiple microorganisms began to grow in 35°C–65/75% RH. In conclusion, the dominant genera in the initial barley samples changed after storage, among which only Epicoccum and Bipolaris spores were retained. Almost all storage conditions contained Epicoccum, indicating that it was the dominant genus during storage.

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 3).
In Figure 4, differences among species in the barley samples under different storage conditions are not noticeable. The species with high relative abundances were concentrated in a few fungi genera, and the vast majority of the storage conditions only had changes in the species abundance. The 25°C–55% RH after 180 and 360 days of storage did not cluster with other barley samples at 25°C–65% RH and 35°C–85% RH, and differed slightly in species composition, while the remaining barley could be divided into three clusters, namely, Fusarium, Epicoccum, and Bipolaris. It can be seen that the community structure of barley fungi changed under different conditions, and the dominant genera were significantly replaced.

Analysis of Fusarium Species in Association With DON

Figure 5 shows the correlation between Fusarium and DON content at 180 and 360 days, respectively. First, Fusarium decreased in relative abundance and was gradually replaced by other dominant genera after a long period of storage, and the overall community diversity increased with storage duration, but the overall fungal diversity of the whole storage stage was not changed. Fusarium species were respectively 31, 16, 4.5, and 28% in 15°C–55% RH and 35°C–65/75/85% RH at 180 days, while the DON content in the barley samples was also high. Therefore, there was a correlation between Fusarium species and DON, and DON content increased with the relative abundance of Fusarium species. The correlation still existed after 360 days of storage.

The DON content in barley showed different increasing trends under different storage conditions. The DON content was highest at 75% RH, followed by 85% RH, 65% RH, and it was lowest at 55% RH. After 360 days of storage at 15°C, the trend of increasing DON was similar in the environments of 85% RH and 65% RH, while the DON content under 85% RH at 25°C was higher than that at other temperatures. The highest DON content during the whole storage period was observed in the 35°C–75% RH environment.

Analysis of the Differences in Fungal Communities

Figure 6 shows that core and differential species existed in fungal communities of barley initially and after storage in different conditions. Considering each humidity condition separately, the numbers of differential species were greater, up to 41, in the initial barley samples compared with the samples after storage. At different temperatures, the numbers of core species were similar, while the numbers of differential species decreased. These results indicate that the fungal communities changed significantly after different storage durations in comparison to those in the initial barley samples. Multiple new species appeared, and community diversity increased significantly. Humidity conditions affected the communities more than did temperature. The samples stored
FIGURE 4 | Heatmap distribution of fungal communities in barley under different storage conditions. Heatmap analysis was performed on the top 20 species of the barley samples, representing the abundance of different species in the sample by the color block color gradient.

at 25°C–75% RH had more differential species than samples stored in other environments, and storage at 25°C had more significant effects on fungal community variability. At 55% RH fewer differential species were observed and communities were more uniform. Fungal communities in barley stored at 35°C–55% RH differed more distinctly when compared with those in the other three humidity storage conditions. To sum up, the numbers of different species after storage indicated that storage in different environments changed the barley fungal communities.

Beta Diversity Analysis in the Barley Fungal Community

The PCoA of fungal communities in barley used the species abundance table to identify the potential principal components affecting differences in sample community composition by reducing dimensionality based on Euclidean distance mapping. In contrast to the results expressed in Figures 7C,D, Figures 7A,B show significant differences within groups without large differences between groups, and with an overlap between samples, indicating that RH was the main factor affecting microbial growth. Controlling the exact temperature increased the RH, and the fungal community varied greatly. In Figure 7C, 75% RH and 85% RH differed significantly, and the results by temperature showed that growth conditions in high humidity were optimized for distinctly different fungal species at each temperature. The samples stored at 55% RH had the smallest differences (Figure 7D). In low humidity, microbial growth was inhibited, and temperature had less influence. Thus, environmental humidity was the primary condition controlling the influence of temperature on the growth of microorganisms.

Analysis of the Differences Between the Groups

The PLS-DA can ignore random differences within groups, highlight the systematic differences between groups, and identify whether there are apparent differences in sample grouping. Figure 8 shows that the duration of storage was clustered into two distinct groups, indicating significant differences between 180 and 360 days of storage. However, the differences between initial barley and stored barley were more significant. In addition, according to the discrete sample point distribution, the flora composition at 360 days was quite different. The difference in barley stored at high temperature and high humidity for 180 days was smaller than that stored at low temperature and low humidity for 360 days. These results indicated that high-temperature and high-humidity environment could accelerate the rot of grain and reduce the storage life.

Significance Test of Differences Between the Two Groups

In Figure 9, the statistical significance of differences in species abundance between microbial communities in barley with different storage duration was assessed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. STAMP analysis revealed that the differential microflora
FIGURE 5 | Correlation between the Fusarium and DON content different storage conditions. Correlations between samples and species were analyzed using Circos software to obtain the proportion of dominant species in each sample. The bar width of each genus represents the proportion of the genus in the sample [A] storage 180 days; [B] storage 360 days]. On the right is the DON content after storage for 180 days [C] and 360 days [D].

FIGURE 6 | Venn diagrams of OTU distributions in barley under different storage conditions. The Venn diagrams show the common and differential OTU numbers. The different environmental conditions adopt different colors. The numbers within overlapping colors indicate the number of OTUs in common between two or more samples. The numbers within non-overlapping colors represent the number of species that differ. (A–D) Venn diagrams of initial barley and barley stored at 55, 65, 75, and 85% RH. (E–G) Venn diagrams of initial barley and barley stored at 15, 25, and 35°C.
at the genus level after 180 and 360 days of storage of barley, according to the figure, were not significantly different in the dominant flora, only in some differential characteristic genera (unclassified_o_Eurotiales, unclassified_k_Fungi, Udeniomyces, Cercospora, Paraphoma, Genolevuria, and Sarocladium). There was no difference between the two storage durations in the genus of Epicoccum, and the relative proportions of Alternaria, Cladosporium, and Aspergillus were higher in 180 d; the genera Bipolaris, Fusarium, and Gibberella had the advantage at 360 days.

**DISCUSSION**

Different genera of fungi dominated in different storage environments due to differences in requirements for growth of different fungi. The analyses of species composition in this study showed that the dominant fungi genera before and after storage included Epicoccum, Alternaria, Bipolaris, Cladosporium, Fusarium, and Aspergillus. Because the dominant genera change during the storage process, they can be classified into field fungi, storage fungi, and intermediate transition fungi, according to their respective advantages at different stages of management of contaminated crops (Francis, 2017). Field fungi can colonize the ripening grains on standing crops in the field prior to harvesting. This group includes species of the genera Alternaria and Fusarium (Lacey, 1989). Fusarium moniliforme was detected in a soybean study contaminated with field fungi (Liu et al., 2017). Storage fungi can be present in small numbers prior to harvesting or can contaminate grains during harvesting and increase in numbers during storage as the environmental conditions favor the growth of these fungi. Storage fungi mainly include species of the genera Aspergillus and Penicillium (Flannigan, 1978; Miller, 1995). In one study, the dominant species in stored rice mainly included A. flavus and Penicillium fellutanum (Oh et al., 2007). Transitional fungi, including Aureobasidium, Geotrichum, and Cladosporium are mainly affected by specific environments (Francis, 2017). Temperature, humidity, and other conditions due to geographic location can all contribute. The Venn diagrams showed that changes in temperature and humidity did not significantly affect the relevant core species, and the differences in temperature and humidity were influential in only a smaller
range of species. A variety of fungal species were obtained by sequencing, but most fungi would not grow and reproduce; only a few core microorganisms were still developing (Xie et al., 2022). Under some special external environmental conditions, the dominant genus will change and have adverse effects (De Filippis et al., 2018). The PLS-DA revealed that the diversity of the fungal community in the barley was greater initially than after storage in any of the environmental conditions. It might be that the fungi in barley produced their dominant flora according to different temperatures and humidity in the early stage of storage, and those dominant flora continued to grow at the later stage of storage (Zhang et al., 2021b). Therefore, the fungal communities of the initial barley were farthest from those of the stored barley.

Epicoccum was the dominant genus in stored barley, but different storage conditions significantly impacted its abundance. It is a silk spore fungus found widely in ambient air, soil, and rotten vegetation (Braga et al., 2018). But Epicoccum is both a plant pathogen and an effective biological control agent (John et al., 2021). For example, Epicoccum dendrobiunum is effective against Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (Bian et al., 2021). The horizontal community composition map of genera after 180 days of storage showed that the relative abundance ratio in the 65% RH and 85% RH environments increased slightly compared with those in other humidity environments, and the growth of the coccus was also favored at 15°C. After 360 days of storage, 15°C was still the optimal growth temperature for Epicoccum, and the abundance was higher than at other temperatures, but the optimal humidity growth environment changed to 65% RH and 85% RH in a 25°C environment, which differed from the previous optimal condition for growth. Perhaps, such an environment is favorable for the growth of many fungal microbes, resulting in increased community diversity. Competition and specific antagonism among different species finally reduced the abundance of Epicoccum (Del Frari et al., 2019).

Alternaria was also a dominant genus in barley storage, and its abundance increased with storage duration. It is a fungal genus prevalent in the environment (Woudenberg et al., 2013), mostly pathogens that can accumulate toxic metabolites in spoiled crops (Patriarca, 2016). Evenly distributed across samples at 180 days, relative abundance was 0.84–21.70% at 180 days and 0.90–72.79% at 360 days, mainly in the 15°C–75/85% RH and 25°C–55/65% RH environments. The results of this study are somewhat different from those of previous studies (Tralamazza et al., 2016; Bretträger et al., 2022). Alternaria is not the most dominant strain, possibly due to differences in samples and varieties; Cladosporium species are widely distributed in soil and food (Tralamazza et al., 2012). Cladosporium was identified as a common endophytic fungus (Iturrieta-González et al., 2021), with a similar distribution pattern to Alternaria, and the relative abundance increased with storage duration. The low temperature of 15°C was conducive to the growth of Cladosporium, and its relative abundance ratio changed from 1.04–20.96% to 1.74–28.66%. Previous results also found that temperature changes may influence the colonization and growth of fungi directly through the physiology of individual organisms (Sindt et al., 2016).

Bipolaris was an important plant pathogen (Manamgoda et al., 2014), which mainly existed in the early storage stage. Its relative abundance in 15°C–75% RH, 35°C–55% RH, and 25°C decreased after 360 days of storage, mainly in 25°C–75% RH, up to 67.48%. Studies have shown that Bipolaris can maintain vitality during long-term storage. It can survive for 4–12 months without outside interference (Tanaka et al., 2001). Interestingly, the relative abundance of Fusarium was low in the presence of Bipolaris. However, 25°C was the optimal growth temperature for Fusarium, raising the question of whether there was a specific inhibition effect between the two. Some scholars showed that some secondary metabolites of Bipolaris have an antifungal effect (Afra et al., 2019). This could explain the limited growth of Fusarium observed in this study even when the environment was suitable. This suggests the possibility that different types of microbial metabolites inhibited growth during storage (Yoenou et al., 2015).

Fusarium was considered to be one of the most pathogenic, phytotoxic, and mycotoxin-producing groups of microorganisms in the world (Podgórska-Kryszczuk et al., 2022). Fusarium causes Fusarium head blight (FHB) on wheat, barley, and other grains (Hao et al., 2020). During infection, Fusarium produces DON (Yao and Long, 2020), which contaminates grain and functions as a virulence factor to promote FHB spread throughout the wheat head (Palacios et al., 2021). The fungal community composition in the 15°C–55% RH environment was similar to that in the initial barley, and Fusarium was detected, perhaps because the low-temperature and low-humidity environment was not conducive to the growth and reproduction of microorganisms (De Ligne et al., 2019). The replacement of fungi genera is slow, and some field fungi do not shift to storage fungi. After 360 days of storage, the pattern of distribution of the Fusarium genus changed so that it mainly existed in the 25°C–85% RH and 35°C–75% RH environments. This, combined with the previously described storage distribution patterns, suggests that humidity is
FIGURE 9 | The difference between the two groups of dominant genera (A) and the genera are significantly different between the two groups (B). The bar length of each genus represents the proportion of the genus in the sample (red: 180 days of storage; blue: 360 days of storage).

The main factor affecting Fusarium (Romero et al., 2022). The DON content was used as the index to evaluate the presence of Fusarium, and a positive correlation was found between the DON content and the relative abundance of Fusarium. It can be noted that first, with increases in storage temperature and relative environmental humidity, mycotoxin will accumulate (Patriarca, 2019). Second, harvested barley is accompanied by a fungal system in the field growing environment (Manna and Kim, 2017). DON is a secondary metabolite of Fusarium, which gradually produces metabolites after a period of storage where toxic fungi have an excellent growth opportunity, and their metabolism will increase (Xu et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

In this study, Illumina HiSeq high-throughput sequencing was used to analyze the fungal diversity in barley samples stored in 12 different storage conditions for 180 and 360 days. The fungal communities in the barley belonged to 3 phyla, 18 classes, 39 orders, 71 families, 103 genera, and 152 species. Compared with the initial barley, the community composition and the relative abundance of species changed after storage under different conditions. The initially dominant genera Fusarium, Aspergillus, and Microdochium were replaced by Epicoccum, Alternaria, Bipolaris, and Cladosporium.

The relative abundances in the fungal communities changed under all storage conditions, with the lowest species diversity under 25°C–65% RH, and more abundance under 35°C–65/75% RH. In contrast, species with high abundance were concentrated in a few genera, and samples in the vast majority of storage conditions only changed in species abundance. Correlation analysis showed a positive correlation between Fusarium and DON content. The differential and core fungal species in the initial and stored barley were illustrated using Venn diagrams. PCoA showed significant differences among fungal communities in barley under different temperature and humidity conditions before and after storage. RH has a greater impact on microorganisms than temperature. PLS-DA showed that the fungal communities of the two storage durations had distinctive classification characteristics. Some high-temperature and humidity conditions accelerated barley spoilage and fungal propagation. The species composition diagram showed that 15°C–55% RH was similar to the initial barley composition, which would be a good storage condition. Therefore, to ensure the quality of barley and the health of consumers, barley should not be stored in a high-temperature and high-humidity environment. Barley should be stored in a dry and low-temperature environment to avoid the adverse influence of high temperature and high humidity on grain. For example, in an environment of 15°C–55% RH. This study highlighted changes in fungal diversity in barley under different storage conditions.
The lessons learned from this study are critical for safe storage and loss mitigation.
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