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The two actin-interacting protein 1 genes have overlapping and essential function for embryonic development in Caenorhabditis elegans

Shoichiro Ono, Kazumi Nomura, Sadae Hitosugi, Domena K. Tu, Jocelyn A. Lee, David L. Baillie, and Kanako Ono

INTRODUCTION

The actin cytoskeleton plays major roles in a number of dynamic processes, including cell migration, cytokinesis, morphogenesis, and muscle contraction (Pollard and Cooper, 2009). Actin filaments are often dynamic, and their assembly and disassembly are controlled by a number of actin-regulatory proteins. In particular, disassembly of actin filaments is critical for rapid cytoskeletal reorganization, as well as for persistent turnover of the actin cytoskeleton, because disassembly of actin filaments can be a rate-limiting step of actin filament turnover (Ono, 2007). Actin depolymerizing factor (ADF)/cofilin promotes actin filament turnover by severing and depolymerizing actin filaments (Bernstein and Bamburg, 2010). Severing of actin filaments by ADF/cofilin increases the number of uncapped filament ends where polymerization and depolymerization occur (Maciver et al., 1991; Ichetovkin et al., 2000; Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006). In addition, ADF/cofilin enhances dissociation of actin monomers from pointed ends of actin filaments (Carlier et al., 1997; Maciver et al., 1998). ADF/cofilin is conserved among eukaryotes, and knockouts of ADF/cofilin genes cause lethality in yeast (Lida et al., 1993; Moon et al., 1993), Drosophila (Gunsalus et al., 1995), Caenorhabditis elegans (McKim et al., 1994), and mice (Gurniak et al., 2005). Therefore ADF/cofilin–dependent regulation of actin filament dynamics is essential for supporting cell viability and embryonic development.

The function of ADF/cofilin is regulated by multiple mechanisms by posttranslational modifications and cooperation or competition with other actin-regulatory proteins (Van Trous et al., 2008). Among them, actin-interacting protein 1 (AIP1) is a conserved WD-repeat protein that has overlapping and essential function for embryonic development in C. elegans.
protein that cooperates with ADF/cofilin (Ono, 2003). Although AIP1 by itself has low affinity with actin filaments, it strongly enhances severing of actin filaments in the presence of ADF/cofilin (Aizawa et al., 1999; Iida and Yahara, 1999; Okada et al., 1999; Rodal et al., 1999; Ono et al., 2004). A recent study showed that AIP1 increases the actin monomer pool in cooperation with ADF/cofilin (Okreglak and Drubin, 2010). Correlative analyses of biochemical activity and in vivo phenotypes of mutant forms of AIP1 have shown that the activity of AIP1 to promote disassembly of ADF/cofilin-bound actin filaments is required for actin filament turnover in yeast (Clark et al., 2006; Clark and Amberg, 2007; Okada et al., 2006) and muscle actin organization in C. elegans (Mohri et al., 2004, 2006). AIP1 is also involved in cell migration and cytokinesis in Dicyostelium (Konzok et al., 1999) and mammalian cells (Kato et al., 2008). Thus the collaborative relationship between ADF/cofilin and AIP1 in actin regulation is conserved in eukaryotes. However, requirement of AIP1 for cell viability or development is not consistent in different organisms. Gene knockout or RNA interference (RNAi) depletion of AIP1 is lethal in Arabidopsis (Ketelaar et al., 2004), Drosophila (Ren et al., 2007), and mice (Kile et al., 2007). In contrast, a knockout of an AIP1 gene (unc-78) in C. elegans is homozygous viable and only causes phenotypes in striated muscle, with no detectable defects in embryonic development (Ono, 2001). Also, gene knockout of AIP1 is not lethal in yeast (Iida and Yahara, 1999; Rodal et al., 1999) and Dicyostelium (Konzok et al., 1999). Thus the function of AIP1 at levels of whole organisms is not clearly understood.

C. elegans has two functionally distinct ADF/cofilin isoforms, UNC-60A and UNC-60B, which are generated from the unc-60 gene by alternative splicing (McKim et al., 1994). UNC-60A only weakly severs actin filaments and strongly sequesters actin monomers, whereas UNC-60B strongly severs actin filaments and does not sequester actin monomers (Ono and Benian, 1998; Yamashiro et al., 2005). UNC-60A is widely expressed in many tissues and required for embryonic cytokinesis (Ono et al., 2003) and actin organization in the somatic gonad (Ono et al., 2008). UNC-60B is specifically expressed in striated muscle and required for assembly of myofibrils (Ono et al., 1999, 2003). An AIP1 protein is encoded by the unc-78 gene that cooperates with UNC-60B for myofibril assembly in striated muscle (Ono, 2001). In vitro, UNC-78 cooperates only with UNC-60B but not with UNC-60A to promote actin filament disassembly (Mohri and Ono, 2003). These results indicate that UNC-78 and UNC-60B collaborate in a muscle-specific manner. However, the C. elegans genome has a second, uncharacterized AIP1 gene, which we designated as aipl-1 (AIP1-like gene-1). A previous genome-wide study suggested that aipl-1 and unc-78 are functionally redundant (Tischler et al., 2006), but biochemical and cell biological functions of aipl-1 were unclear. In this study, we attempted to determine the function of aipl-1 and its functional relationship with unc-78 and ADF/cofilin isoforms. We found that AIP1-1 and UNC-78 have overlapping function by cooperating preferentially with the same ADF/cofilin isoform. Of importance, depletion of the two AIP1 proteins results in embryonic lethality, indicating that AIP1 proteins are required for C. elegans development. These results reveal a previously unknown essential function of AIP1 proteins in C. elegans and suggest that AIP1 proteins are important for morphogenesis of multicellular organisms rather than viability of individual cells.

RESULTS

A second AIP1 isoform is encoded by aipl-1 and expressed in neurons, body wall muscle, and spermatheca

The C. elegans Genome Sequencing Consortium predicted that a putative gene on chromosome V, K08F9.2 (originally designated as temporally assigned gene (tag)-216), encodes a protein that is highly homologous to AIP1 proteins. We sequenced an Expressed Sequence Tag clone, yk1621e12, from Yuji Kohara’s laboratory (National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan) and confirmed that the exon–intron structure of this gene was correct as predicted. The sequence of the encoded protein (600 amino acids; GenBank accession number CAB03187.1) is 66% identical to that of UNC-78 (Supplemental Figure S1). Therefore we designated this gene as actin-interacting protein-1–like gene-1 (aipl-1) (Supplemental Figure S1). We previously identified four residues on UNC-78 (E126, D168, F182, and F192) that are important for its actin-filament-disassembly activity (Mohri et al., 2004, 2006), and all of them are conserved in AIP-1 (Supplemental Figure S1, arrows). The three-dimensional structure of AIP-1 was homology-modeled based on the crystal structure of UNC-78 (Protein Data Bank code 1PEV) (Mohri et al., 2004) (Supplemental Figure S2). The two structures are nearly identical, except for minor differences in two loops in blades 5 and 10 (Supplemental Figure S2, arrows). However, these loops are away from the residues that are required for actin disassembly, and it is not clear whether the differences in these loops are significant for their functions.

Phylogenetic analysis of AIP1 proteins among eukaryotes suggests that UNC-78 and AIP-1 relatively recently diverged after nematodes separated from other species (Figure 1). Most species have only one AIP1 gene in the genome. The African clawed frog, Xenopus laevis, has two AIP1 isoforms: AIP1a, the originally cloned AIP1 (XAIPL1) (Okada et al., 1999), and AIP1b (GenBank accession number AAH41232.1). These are most likely derived from the pseudotetraploid genome of this species. The green plant Arabidopsis thaliana also has two AIP1 isoforms. AIP1-1 is specifically expressed in reproductive tissues, whereas AIP1-2 is ubiquitously expressed (Allwood et al., 2002). However, whether the two Arabidopsis AIP1 isoforms are functionally different is unknown. The phylogenetic tree suggests that there are no isoform-specific correlations between any of the C. elegans and Arabidopsis or Xenopus AIP1 proteins (Figure 1).

Expression pattern of aipl-1 was examined by a promoter-reporter analysis. The 2-kb upstream sequence of the aipl-1 gene was fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP), and the construct was introduced in wild-type worms. Expression of GFP was initially detected in embryos at the comma-to-1.5-fold stages (310–350 min after first cell division) in the neurons, the intestine, and the body wall muscle (Figure 2, A–C). In older embryos, expression of GFP is gradually diminished in the body wall muscle (Figure 2, G–I), whereas it persisted in the neurons and intestine (Figure 2, G–I). In adult worms, expression of GFP was detected in the intestine (Figure 2M), the spermatheca (Figure 2O), and some of the head neurons (Figure 2, Q, S, and U). This pattern was different from that of unc-78, which is expressed in the pharynx and the body wall muscle from embryos (Figure 2, D–F and J–L) to adults (Figure 2, N, R, T, and V) and the spermatheca, the myoepithelial sheath, the uterus, and the vulva only in adults (Figure 2, N and P) (Mohri and Ono, 2003; Mohri et al., 2006). In the body wall muscle, expression of UNC-78 was first detected at the 1.5-fold stage, but its level was very low (Mohri and Ono, 2003). Thus expression patterns of aipl-1 and unc-78 overlap in the body wall muscle and the spermatheca. We attempted to generate a specific antibody against AIP1-1 using a synthetic peptide corresponding to residues 388–399 that is distinct from UNC-78. However, we were not able to obtain specific antibody that reacts with the AIP1-1 protein in three independent attempts using six rabbits (unpublished data). These results demonstrate that the two AIP1 isoforms are very similar in their sequences but expressed in different tissues with some overlaps.
sortium isolated a deletion allele aipl-1(ok1019). aipl-1(ok1019) has a deletion of 2 kb in the aipl-1 gene. The remaining sequence codes for only one-third of the protein from the N-terminus. Because of the tightly packed β-propeller structure of AIP1 (Mohri et al., 2004)

The two AIP-1 genes, unc-78 and aipl-1, have overlapping and essential function in embryonic development

To determine the function of aipl-1, we characterized aipl-1 mutant phenotypes. On our request, the C. elegans Gene Knockout Con-
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Summary of phenotypes. Depletion of the two AIP1 isoforms causes embryonic lethality. Embryos from wild-type (A, B) or unc-78(gk27) (C, D) worms that had been treated with control RNAi (A, C) or aipl-1(RNAi) (B, D) were incubated for 24 h on NGM agar plates. Normally, they hatch and become larvae. However, embryos from unc-78(gk27) with aipl-1(RNAi) were arrested and did not hatch (D). The inset in D is a high-magnification differential interference contrast image of an embryo that was arrested at the twofold stage. Bar in inset, 10 μm. Bar at the bottom of the figure, 1.0 mm.

(Figure 1A), this deletion allele is unlikely to generate a functional protein. Thus aipl-1(ok1019) is a putative null or strong loss-of-function allele. Nonetheless, aipl-1(ok1019) homozygotes were superficially indistinguishable from wild type. Morphologically, no abnormality was detected under a dissecting microscope in aipl-1(ok1019) homozygotes from embryos to adults (unpublished data). Organization of actin filaments in various tissues also appeared to be normal as examined by staining with phallolidin (unpublished data).

To determine whether the two AIP1 isoforms, AIP1-1 and UNC-78, have overlapping functions, we examined double knockdown or knockout phenotypes. unc-78(gk27) is an unc-78-null allele, and unc-78(gk27) homozygotes are viable but exhibit disorganization of actin filaments in the body wall muscle (Ono, 2001). We crossed unc-78(gk27) with aipl-1(ok1019), but no viable double homozygotes were generated in their F2 progeny (unpublished data), suggesting that an aipl-1 unc-78 double mutant is lethal. To facilitate phenotypic characterization, aipl-1 was depleted by RNA interference in wild-type or unc-78(gk27) worms, and embryonic phenotypes were determined. Embryonic lethality was measured by incubating embryos from wild-type or unc-78(gk27) worms with control RNAi or aipl-1(RNAi) treatment for 24 h on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates (Figure 3). Most of embryos from wild-type worms with control RNAi or aipl-1(RNAi) became larvae with very low lethality of 0.77% (3/289) for control RNAi (Figure 3A) and 0.45% (2/447) for aipl-1(RNAi) (Figure 3B). Embryos from unc-78(gk27) worms with control RNAi also hatched with low lethality of 1.2% (5/425) (Figure 3C). However, embryos from unc-78(gk27) with aipl-1(RNAi) were 100% (921/921) lethal (Figure 3D), and most of them were arrested at the twofold or threefold stage (450–520 min old) (Figure 3D, inset; Table 1). These phenotypes were similar to the Pat (paralyzed, arrested elongation at twofold) phenotype in severe muscle-affecting mutations (Williams and Waterston, 1994).

Because the body wall muscle expresses both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 (Figure 2), we further characterized actin organization in embryonic muscle. In wild-type embryos with control RNAi, actin initially accumulated in continuous lines in muscle cells at the 1.5-fold stage (420-min-old embryo) (Supplemental Figure S3B), and the line of actin became wider and more intense as the myofibril assembly continued during subsequent embryonic development (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure S3, B–D). This pattern of actin assembly was indistinguishable from that for wild-type embryos under standard culture conditions (Epstein et al., 1993). RNAi of aipl-1 in wild-type embryos did not cause detectable changes in actin organization (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure S3, I–L). In the unc-78-null mutant with control RNAi, actin was assembled into myofibrils in a normal pattern (Figure 4G and Supplemental Figure S3, E–H), as reported previously for the unc-78-null mutant under standard culture conditions (Ono, 2001). However, RNAi of aipl-1 in the unc-78-null mutant caused formation of actin aggregates as early as the twofold stage (450-min-old embryos) (Figure 4J and Supplemental Figure S3O). In arrested embryos, actin filaments were highly disorganized.
tal Figure S3, N–P). UNC-60B colocalized with actin but unevenly distributed in these aggregates (Figure 4, J–L). These results suggest that the two AIP1 isoforms redundantly regulate the function of UNC-60B in embryonic muscle.

Both UNC-78 and AIPL-1 show preference for the same ADF/cofilin isoform in actin filament disassembly

We previously demonstrated that UNC-78 preferentially cooperates with UNC-60B (muscle ADF/cofilin) but not with UNC-60A (nonmuscle ADF/cofilin) in actin filament disassembly in vitro (Mohri and Ono, 2003). Using recombinant AIPL-1 protein, we found that AIPL-1 preferentially cooperated with the same ADF/cofilin isoform as UNC-78 in actin filament disassembly (Figure 5). Both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 were produced as glutathione-S-transferase (GST)–fusion proteins and tested for their effects on actin filament disassembly by sedimentation assays. Cleavage of GST from AIPL-1 was not successful due to poor digestion by thrombin (unpublished data). However, the activity of GST-UNC-78 to disassemble UNC-60B–bound actin filaments is indistinguishable from that of UNC-78 with no tag sequence (Mohri et al., 2004). Therefore we reasoned that GST-AIPL-1 also possessed similar activity to AIPL-1 with no tag.

Effects of GST-AIPL-1 and GST-UNC-78 on actin filament disassembly were also analyzed kinetically by light scattering measurements. When F-actin (25 μM) was diluted to 4 μM actin, light scattering of the F-actin solution was gradually decreased due to spontaneous depolymerization to restore an equilibrium state (Supplemental Figure S3P). These phenotypes indicate that aipl-1 and unc-78 have redundant functions in organized assembly of actin filaments in embryonic muscle.

Furthermore, mislocalization of UNC-60B, a muscle-specific ADF/cofilin isoform, was also synergistically enhanced by depletion of the two AIP1 isoforms. In wild-type embryos with control RNAi, UNC-60B was specifically expressed in the body wall muscle and localized to the diffuse cytoplasm (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S3, A–D), as previously reported in wild-type embryos under standard culture conditions (Ono et al., 1999). RNAi of aipl-1 in wild-type embryos did not cause detectable changes in UNC-60B localization (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure S3, I–L) except for the appearance of small aggregates of UNC-60B in late embryos (Figure S3L). In unc-78-null mutant with control RNAi, many aggregates of UNC-60B were formed in late embryos (Supplemental Figure S3H), whereas UNC-60B localization up to the twofold stage was normal (Figure 4H and Supplemental Figure S3, E–G). However, RNAi of aipl-1 in the unc-78-null mutant caused formation of UNC-60B aggregates as early as the comma stage (Supplemental Figure S3M), and the extent of UNC-60B aggregation was enhanced as the embryos became older (Figure 4K and Supplemental Figure S3, N–P). UNC-60B colocalized with actin but unevenly distributed in these aggregates (Figure 4, J–L). These results suggest that the two AIP1 isoforms redundantly regulate the function of UNC-60B in embryonic muscle.

Both UNC-78 and AIPL-1 show preference for the same ADF/cofilin isoform in actin filament disassembly

We previously demonstrated that UNC-78 preferentially cooperates with UNC-60B (muscle ADF/cofilin) but not with UNC-60A (nonmuscle ADF/cofilin) in actin filament disassembly in vitro (Mohri and Ono, 2003). Using recombinant AIPL-1 protein, we found that AIPL-1 preferentially cooperated with the same ADF/cofilin isoform as UNC-78 in actin filament disassembly (Figure 5). Both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 were produced as glutathione-S-transferase (GST)–fusion proteins and tested for their effects on actin filament disassembly by sedimentation assays. Cleavage of GST from AIPL-1 was not successful due to poor digestion by thrombin (unpublished data). However, the activity of GST-UNC-78 to disassemble UNC-60B–bound actin filaments is indistinguishable from that of UNC-78 with no tag sequence (Mohri et al., 2004). Therefore we reasoned that GST-AIPL-1 also possessed similar activity to AIPL-1 with no tag.

Effects of GST-AIPL-1 and GST-UNC-78 on actin filament disassembly were also analyzed kinetically by light scattering measurements. When F-actin (25 μM) was diluted to 4 μM actin, light scattering of the F-actin solution was gradually decreased due to spontaneous depolymerization to restore an equilibrium state.
Essential function for AIP1 isofoms

Figure 6B, green line) did not alter the effect of UNC-60A. However, 1 μM GST-AIPL-1 (Figure 6B, red line) slightly suppressed the rate of UNC-60A-induced depolymerization. Nonetheless, in the sedimentation assays, GST-AIPL-1 did not alter the extent of UNC-60A-induced actin depolymerization (Figure 5G), suggesting that GST-AIPL-1 slowed actin depolymerization in the presence of UNC-60A without affecting the equilibrium levels of depolymerization. The sedimentation assays also indicated that GST-AIPL-1 cosedimented with F-actin in the presence of UNC-60A (Figure 5C), suggesting that apparent suppression of UNC-60A-induced depolymerization by GST-AIPL-1 might be artificial due to increased light scattering by side binding of GST-AIPL-1. UNC-60B increased light scattering of F-actin (Figure 6C, black line) because it binds to the side of actin filaments and increases the thickness of the filaments (Ono and Benian, 1998; Yamashiro et al., 2005).

GST-UNC-78 rapidly decreased light scattering of F-actin in the presence of UNC-60B (Figure 6C, green lines) in a dose-dependent manner. GST-AIPL-1 similarly decreased light scattering of F-actin in the presence of UNC-60B (Figure 6C, red lines) in a dose-dependent manner. The activity of GST-AIPL-1 was weaker than that of GST-UNC-78, as the depolymerization curve of 1.0 μM GST-AIPL-1 (Figure 6C, red solid line) was nearly identical to that of 0.5 μM GST-UNC-78 (Figure 6C, green dash-dot line). This difference in their activities was consistent with slightly weaker activity of GST-AIPL-1 than that of GST-UNC-78 in the sedimentation assays (Figure 5G). However, again, the sedimentation assays indicated that GST-AIPL-1 cosedimented with F-actin in the presence of UNC-60B (Figure 5D). Therefore there is possibility that the apparent difference in depolymerization kinetics between UNC-78 and AIPL-1 is artificial due to counteracting enhancement of light scattering by filament binding. Nonetheless, this kinetic analysis provided additional biochemical evidence that both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 preferentially enhance UNC-60B-bound actin filaments.

AIPL-1 cooperates with UNC-60B in actin filament organization in vivo

To determine the in vivo functional relationship between AIPL-1 and UNC-60B, we tested whether an aipl-1 mutation enhances an unc-60B mutant phenotype. In wild-type embryos at the twofold stage (450 min old), actin became aligned in continuous myofibrils in the body wall muscle (Figure 7A). unc-60B(r398) is a weak loss-of-function allele, and the mutant embryos at an equivalent stage showed relatively minor disorganization of

FIGURE 5: Both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 cooperate with the same ADF/cofilin isoform to promote actin filament disassembly in vitro. (A–F) Actin filament disassembly activity of GST-AIPL-1 and GST-UNC-78 were examined by F-actin sedimentation assays. F-actin (10 μM) was incubated with various concentrations (0–5 μM) of GST-AIPL-1 (A, C, E) or GST-UNC-78 (B, D, F) in the absence of ADF/cofilin (A, B) or in the presence of 20 μM UNC-60A (C, D) or 20 μM UNC-60B (E, F) for 30 min at room temperature. The mixtures were ultracentrifuged and fractionated into supernatants (s) and pellets (p) and analyzed by SDS–PAGE. Positions of bands of AIP1 (GST-AIPL-1 or GST-UNC-78), actin, UNC-60A, and UNC-60B are indicated on the right. (G) The results were quantitatively analyzed by densitometry. Percentages of actin in the pellets are plotted as a function of the concentrations of GST-AIPL-1 or GST-UNC-78. Data shown are mean ± SD of three independent experiments.
UNC-60B–bound actin filaments (Figures 5 and 6). Aggregates of consistent with the biochemical activity of AIPL-1 to disassemble quently formed in the muscle cells (Figure 7H). This phenotype is 60B remained in the cytoplasm, aggregates of UNC-60B were fre-

---

**FIGURE 6:** Both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 cooperate with the same ADF/cofilin isomorph to promote the rate of actin filament disassembly in vitro. Kinetics of actin filament disassembly was analyzed by light scattering assays. F-actin was diluted to 4 μM in the absence of ADF/cofilin (A) or in the presence of 5 μM UNC-60A (B) or 5 μM UNC-60B (C). Experiments were performed in the absence of GST-AIPL-1 or GST-UNC-78 (black lines) or in the presence of GST-UNC-78 (green lines) or GST-AIPL-1 (red lines). Light scattering (arbitrary units) is plotted as a function of time (seconds).

---

actin filaments with a few discontinuations of the actin bands (Figure 7D). aipl-1(ok1019) (aipl-1-null) embryos had normally assembled actin filaments in the body wall muscle (Figure 7G). However, an unc-60B(r398) aipl-1(ok1019) double mutant showed an enhanced phenotype with a number of actin aggregates in the body wall muscle (Figure 7J).

The aipl-1 mutation also affected the localization of UNC-60B (Table 1). In wild-type embryos, UNC-60B was specifically expressed in the body wall muscle and localized to the diffuse cytoplasm (Figure 7B). In the aipl-1–null mutant, although the majority of UNC-60B remained in the cytoplasm, aggregates of UNC-60B were frequently formed in the muscle cells (Figure 7H). This phenotype is consistent with the biochemical activity of AIPL-1 to disassemble UNC-60B–bound actin filaments (Figures 5 and 6). Aggregates of UNC-60B were not found in the unc-60B(r398) aipl-1(ok1019) double mutants (Figure 7K). Previously, we showed that the unc-60B(r398) mutation reduces affinity of UNC-60B with F-actin (Ono et al., 1999, 2001). Therefore our interpretation is that the mutant UNC-60B protein remained localized in the diffuse cytoplasm with low affinity with actin in the myofilibrils even in the absence of AIPL-1. These results suggest that localization of only F-actin–bound UNC-60B is affected by AIPL-1.

In adult worms, the aipl-1 mutation did not enhance the unc-60B mutant phenotype. Actin organization in the body wall mus-

---

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we identified a second AIP1 gene, aipl-1, in C. elegans and demonstrated that the two AIP1 genes, aipl-1 and unc-78, have overlapping and essential function for embryonic development. Gene knockout of either one of the AIP1 isoforms was homozygous viable. However, simultaneous ablation of the two AIP1 genes caused embryonic lethality. AIP1-depleted animals were arrested at a late embryonic stage and failed to elongate their bodies due to severe disorganization of myofilibrils in the body wall muscle.
In vitro, both AIPL-1 and UNC-78 cooperated with UNC-60B, a muscle-specific ADF/cofilin isoform, in actin filament disassembly, but not with UNC-60A, a nonmuscle ADF/cofilin isoform. These biochemical observations are consistent with the phenotypic observations that AIP1 proteins are essential for actin organization in muscle but not in early embryogenesis, in which UNC-60A plays an essential role. These results demonstrate that AIP1 proteins are essential for viability in C. elegans and suggest that isoform-specific and tissue-specific cooperation of AIP1 and ADF/cofilin is important for morphogenetic events that involve actin filament reorganization.

Our results strongly suggest that AIP1 proteins play a critical role in assembly of myofibrils in embryonic muscle cells. Previously, we demonstrated that unc-78 encodes an AIPL1 protein that is required for organized actin assembly into myofibrils (Ono, 2001; Mohri et al., 2006). However, an unc-78–null mutant is homozygous viable and shows only mild phenotypes in embryonic muscle (Ono, 2001). In this study, we demonstrated that depletion of two AIP1 isoforms causes embryonic arrest with severe disorganization of actin filaments in the body wall muscle. This phenotype is similar to the Pat phenotypes that are caused by defects in critical components for the function of the body wall muscle (Williams and Waterston, 1994).

Mutations in components of the attachment structures (integrin, vinculin, perlecan) and thin and thick filaments (myosin heavy chain A, tropomyosin, troponin) are known to cause the Pat phenotypes (Moerman and Williams, 2006), but no regulators of actin dynamics had been known as a Pat gene. Even a null mutation of UNC-60B (a muscle-specific ADF/cofilin) is homozygous viable and does not cause a Pat phenotype (Ono et al., 2003). This is probably due to the presence of UNC-60A (a nonmuscle ADF/cofilin), which may be sufficient to support embryonic viability (Ono et al., 2003; Ananyev et al., 2004), although the precise role of UNC-60A in the body wall muscle is unknown. Although aipl-1 is expressed in neurons, the Pat phenotype of the unc-78(ok78) aipl-1(RNAi) animals is unlikely to be caused by a neuronal defect. The aipl-1–null mutant did not show a detectable motility defect, and severe neuronal defects such as presynaptic defects in unc-13 mutants do not cause a Pat phenotype (Richmond et al., 1999).

Enhancement of unc-60B mutant phenotypes by depletion of unc-78 or aipl-1 strongly suggests that UNC-60B and the two AIP1 isoforms cooperate for organized assembly of actin filaments, and our biochemical data suggest that promotion of actin filament disassembly by UNC-60B and the two AIP1 isoforms is important for their in vivo function. These are consistent with the observations that UNC-60B and actin accumulate in abnormal aggregates in the absence of AIP1 proteins (Figure 4, J–L), suggesting that AIP1 proteins are required for disassembly of UNC-60B–bound actin filaments in vivo.

Essential function of ADF/cofilin for myofibril assembly and maintenance has also been demonstrated in vertebrates (Ono, 2010), but the function of AIPL1 in vertebrate muscle is unknown. Recently, AIPL1 has been shown to be important for myofibril formation in Drosophila striated muscle (Schnorrer et al., 2010), but a role for ADF/cofilin in Drosophila muscle is unknown. Mammalian cofilin-2 (CFL2) is predominantly expressed in striated muscle (Ono et al., 1994; Thiron et al., 2001; Vartiainen et al., 2002), and knockdown of cofilin induces disorganization of myofibrils in cultured myocytes (Skwarek-Maruszewska et al., 2009). A mutation in the human CFL2 gene causes nemaline myopathy (Agrawal et al., 2007). Although mammals have three ADF/cofilin isoforms, only a single gene for AIPL1 (also known as WDR1) is present in each mammalian genome. Mutations in the mouse AIPL1 gene (WDR1) cause blood disorders, and a severe loss-of-function mutation is embryonic lethal (Kile et al., 2007). Therefore it is of great interest to see whether the WDR1-mutant mice exhibit any phenotypes in skeletal and cardiac muscles.

Comparison of the unc-60B–null and AIPL1-depletion phenotypes also raises a new question. The unc-60B–null mutant is homozygous viable, whereas depletion of the two AIP1 isoforms is embryonic lethal. Our in vitro studies indicate that the interaction of UNC-78 or AIPL-1 with actin filaments is dependent on UNC-60B (Figure 5).

**FIGURE 7:** AIPL-1 cooperates with UNC-60B in organizing sarcomeric actin filaments in embryonic body wall muscle. Twofold-stage embryos of wild-type (A–C), unc-60B(r398) (D–F), aipl-1(ok1019) (G–I), and unc-60B(r398) aipl-1(ok1019) (J–L) worms were stained for actin (A, D, G, J) and UNC-60B (B, E, H, K). Merged images are shown in C, F, I, and L (actin in green and UNC-60B in red). Bar, 10 μm.
(Mohri and Ono, 2003). UNC-60B alone can enhance actin filament disassembly and promote actin turnover in vitro (Yamashiro et al., 2005), but the strong AIP1-depletion phenotypes indicate that AIP1 proteins are required for mediating rapid actin turnover in vivo. On the other hand, viability of the unc-60B-null mutant should be supported by UNC-60A or AIP1 proteins or cooperation of them. However, our in vitro studies show that neither AIP1 isoform cooperates with UNC-60A in actin filament disassembly. In the unc-60B-null mutant, UNC-60A is not overexpressed in the body wall muscle (Ono et al., 2003), and compensatory expression of UNC-60A is not a likely mechanism of maintaining viability. A possible mechanism is that AIP1 proteins have an ADF/cofilin-independent function and support viability in the absence of UNC-60B. However, an ADF/cofilin-independent function of AIP1 proteins is unknown. In the absence of ADF/cofilin, AIP1 proteins only weakly interact with F-actin in vitro and show no actin-severing activity (Mohri and Ono, 2003; Ono et al., 2004). Another possible mechanism is that UNC-60A and AIP1 proteins cooperate in vivo in the presence of an unknown factor that allows their functional interaction. Coronin is a candidate factor that promotes cooperation of UNC-60A and AIP1 proteins. Coronin is a WD-repeat protein and enhances actin filament turnover synergistically with ADF/cofilin (Brieher et al., 2006; Cai et al., 2007b; Kueh et al., 2008; Gandhi et al., 2009) and AIP1 (Ishikawa-Ankerhold et al., 2010). In vitro, coronin is reported to enhance binding of ADF/cofilin to F-actin (Brieher et al., 2006), although discrepant results have also been reported (Cai et al., 2007a). C. elegans has a coronin gene (cor-1) that encodes multiple splice variants (Yonemura and Mabuchi, 2001), but its function is not understood. Thus, functional analysis of coronin in C. elegans may reveal an additional regulatory mechanism for actin turnover during embryonic development.

Our results demonstrated overlapping functions of AIP1-1 and UNC-78 in the body wall muscle. However, expression patterns of the two AIP1 isoforms are different in other tissues, suggesting that they also have distinct functions. AIP1-1 is expressed in the head neurons and the intestine, whereas UNC-78 is in the pharynx and the vulva. Although AIP1-1 specifically cooperates with UNC-60B (ADF/cofilin) in actin filament disassembly in vitro, UNC-60B is not detected in the head neurons and the intestine by immunohistochemistry (Ono et al., 2003). Instead, UNC-60A is expressed in these tissues (Ono et al., 2003). Again, these observations suggest that AIP1-1 has an ADF/cofilin–independent function or that a third factor enhances functional cooperation of AIP1-1 with UNC-60A. Nonetheless, we did not detect any phenotypes in the neurons and the intestine in the aip-1–null mutant, and the function of aip-1 in nonmuscle tissues is unknown.

We demonstrated that AIP1 proteins are essential in C. elegans. This is consistent with lethal phenotypes caused by AIP1 mutation or knockdown in Arabidopsis (Ketelaar et al., 2004), Drosophila (Ren et al., 2007), and mice (Kile et al., 2007). However, AIP1 is not essential in budding yeast (Iida and Yahara, 1999; Rodal et al., 1999) and Dictyostelium (Konzok et al., 1999). AIP1 is required for cell proliferation in Drosophila wings (Ren et al., 2007), and AIP1 knockdown moderately increases the rate of cytokinesis failure in Dictyostelium and mammalian cultured cells (Konzok et al., 1999; Kato et al., 2008). However, in AIP1-depleted C. elegans, early embryogenesis was superficially normal. Rather, lethality was caused by severe muscle defects that arrested body elongation during embryogenesis. Thus, although AIP1 proteins are involved in fundamental cell biological events, including cytokinesis and cell migration, its role apparently becomes more critical in multicellular organisms in which tissue-specific reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton is required for complex morphogenetic processes. Therefore, analysis of functions of ADF/cofilin and AIP1 during development of other tissues and organs in multicellular organisms may reveal new regulatory mechanisms of morphogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode strains

Wild-type strain N2 and VC701 aip-1(ok1019) were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (Minneapolis, MN). aip-1(ok1019) was outcrossed with wild-type worms three times before the experiments. unc-78(gk27) was described previously (Ono, 2001). unc-60B(r398) was described previously (McKim et al., 1988), and an additionally outcrossed strain was used (Yu and Ono, 2006). An unc-60B(r398) aip-1(ok1019) double mutant was generated by standard crosses and isolating recombinants that have both mutations on chromosome V. Nematodes were grown under standard conditions at 20°C as described previously (Brenner, 1974).

Promoter analysis

Promoter::GFP constructs for analysis of the aip-1 promoter were made using fusion PCR as previously described (Hobert, 2002).
Promoter-containing sequences (1961 or 2961 base pairs from the initiation codon) were amplified by PCR and fused upstream of the GFP-coding region in the pPD95.67 GFP-coding cassette (kindly provided by Yuji Kohara) by PCR and cloned into pGEX-2T (Amersham, England). The PCR constructs (30 ng/μl) and a transformation marker pChe361 [dpy-5(e1)] (Thacker et al., 2006) (100 ng/μl) were injected into the syncytial region of the gonad of the wild-type strain N2. Transgenic F1 worms with dominant roller phenotype were injected into the syncytial region of the gonad of the target strain dpy-5(e907) (Thompson, 1974). Briefly, adult worms were placed in M9 buffer. Then the total number of beats in 30 s was recorded.

Proteins
Rabbit muscle actin was prepared from rabbit muscle acetone powder (Pel-Freez Biologicals, Rogers, AR) as described (Pardee and Spudich, 1982). Recombinant UNC-60A, UNC-60B (Ono and Benian, 1998), and GST-UNC-78 (Mohri et al., 2004) were purified as described. To produce recombinant GST-AIPL-1, the entire coding region of AIPL-1 was amplified from the cDNA clone yk1612e12 (kindly provided by Yuji Kohara) by PCR and cloned into pGEX-2T between the BamHI and Smal sites. The insert was verified by DNA sequencing. The E. coli strain BL21(DE3) was transformed with the expression vector and cultured in M9ZB medium containing 50 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C until A600 reached 0.6 cm⁻¹. Then, the culture was cooled, and protein expression was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl thiogalactoside (IPTG) for 5 h. The proteins were purified by affinity chromatography using glutathione-sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). The protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL), and their purity was confirmed by 15% SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.
Tris-HCl, and 0.2 mM DTT, pH 8.0. Fractions containing pure GST-CA). Bound proteins were eluted with 10 mM glutathione, 20 mM applied to a Glutathione-Uniflow Column (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). Bound proteins were eluted with 10 mM glutathione, 20 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, overnight at 4°C and stored at −20°C.

F-actin sedimentation assay

Sedimentation assays were performed as described previously (Mohri et al., 2004). Briefly, 10 μM F-actin was incubated with various concentrations of GST-AIP1-1, GST-UNC-78, UNC-60A, and/or UNC-60B in F-buffer (0.1 M KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5) for 30 min at room temperature and centrifuged in a TLA-100 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) at 80,000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatants and pellets were adjusted to the same volumes and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) and scanned by an Perfection V700 scanner (Epson, Long Beach, CA) at 300 dots per inch, and the band intensity of actin was quantified by ImageJ.

Light scattering assay

F-actin (25 μM stock) was diluted to 4 μM in F-buffer without or with UNC-60A, UNC-60B, GST-UNC-78, and/or GST-AIP1-1, and light scattering at an angle of 90° and a wavelength of 400 nm was measured with an F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies, Tokyo, Japan). Slit width was set at 5 and 2.5 nm for excitation and emission, respectively.

Transgenic expression of GFP-AIPL-1

Transgenic expression of GFP-AIPL-1 was driven by the myo-3 promoter (Okkema et al., 1993). The entire coding sequence of AIPL-1 was amplified from the AIPL-1 cDNA (yk1612e12) by PCR and cloned at the EcoRI–Nhel sites of pPD118.20, an expression vector with the myo-3 promoter and the GFP coding sequence (provided by Andrew Fire, Stanford University, Stanford CA). The entire coding region was sequenced to confirm the insert and the absence of PCR-induced errors. Transgenic nematodes were generated as described previously (Mello and Fire, 1995). The plasmid vector at described previously (Mello and Fire, 1995). The plasmid vector at
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