Retinoic acid modulates prolactin receptor expression and prolactin-induced STAT-5 activation in breast cancer cells in vitro
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Summary Two recent papers demonstrate that prolactin plays an important role in the induction and progression of mammary tumours. Retinoids have been shown to be potential inhibitors of breast carcinogenesis. We studied expression of prolactin receptor mRNA in human breast cancer cell lines using Northern blot and a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method. In all cell lines, all-trans and 9-cis-retinoic acid, as well as the retinoic acid receptor γ (RAR-γ) selective agonists CD2325 and CD437 (1 μM), were able to down-regulate prolactin receptor. After 1 h, a significant reduction was detectable and maximal effect was achieved after 24 h of treatment. Pretreatment with retinoic acid also reduced the prolactin-/prolactin receptor-dependent signal transduction and activation of transcription 5 (STAT-5) activation in T47D cells. Cycloheximide failed to abrogate the retinoic acid-induced decline in prolactin receptor mRNA levels, indicating that this effect was not dependent upon continuing protein synthesis. Similarly, no change in the stability of prolactin receptor mRNA was observed during 12 h of retinoic acid treatment. In conclusion, our results demonstrate that retinoids are able to inhibit the expression of prolactin receptor mRNA, which encodes an important growth factor receptor in breast cancer cells. This action could be responsible for the anti-tumour effects of retinoids.
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Endocrine therapy is a hallmark of breast cancer treatment. The principle of such therapies is primarily based on antagonism of oestriadiol, long considered the only mitogen for human breast cancers. In addition to steroids, prolactin (PRL) plays an important role in the induction and progression of mammary tumours (Welsch and Nagasawa, 1997; Vonderhaar, 1989; Bhatawdekar and Patel, 1997). Wennbo and co-workers (Wennbo et al, 1997) showed using transgenic mice overexpressing the bovine growth hormone (GH) and mice overexpressing the rat PRL – that the prolactin receptor (PRL-R) alone is sufficient for induction of mammary carcinomas in mice, whereas activation of the GH receptor is not sufficient for mammary tumour formation.

In vitro, primary cultures of human mammary epithelial cells display an absolute requirement for prolactin for growth and passage on tissue culture plastic or inside collagen gels (Malarkey et al, 1983). Prolactin induces the phosphorylation of tyrosine 694 of STAT-5 (signal transduction and activation of transcription), presumably as a consequence of activation of the JAK2 tyrosine kinase (Rui et al, 1994), and this has been demonstrated to be a prerequisite for DNA binding and gene activation (Gouilleux et al, 1994; Goffin and Kelly, 1996 and references therein).

Recently, it was shown that breast cancer cell lines produce and secrete PRL and that an auto- or paracrine loop mediated by PRL and PRL-R may be involved in the regulation of proliferation (Gimsburg and Vonderhaar, 1995). Not only in benign but also in malignant breast tissue, mRNA for PRL-R and PRL could be found (Clevenger et al, 1995; Shaw-Bruha et al, 1997). These recent data may be the explanation why dopaminagonists – inhibitors of the pituitary prolactin secretion – only showed modest effects in breast cancer (Anderson et al, 1993). In contrast, it could be shown that retinoic acid (RA) treatment, not only in vivo but also in vitro, was able to interfere with the tumour-stimulating activity of PRL: rats given N-methyl-N-nitrosourea and subsequently treated with the prolactin secretion-stimulating drug haloperidol responded with a significant increase in mammary carcinoma development when compared with control rats. RA treatment of haloperidol-treated rats significantly (P<0.001) blocked the PRL-mediated stimulatory effect on mammary carcinoma development (Welsh et al, 1984). In this model, retinoid treatment has no effect on PRL serum levels, indicating that the anti-tumour effect of retinoids must occur at the level of tumour cells stimulated by PRL.

In vitro, retinoids have been shown to inhibit the growth of human breast cancer cells (Koga and Sutherland, 1991; Marth et al, 1993; Gottardis et al, 1996; Widschwendter et al, 1997). Retinoids have been shown to protect against chemically induced breast carcinoma in animals and to reduce the proliferation of cultured breast cancer cells (Marth et al, 1986).

Retinoids are known to possess antiproliferative, differentiative and immunomodulatory properties. The key molecules in retinoid action are the binding proteins CRABP I and II (cellular retinoic acid-binding protein), the retinoid receptors (RAR-α, RAR-β,
RAR-γ) and retinoid X receptors (RXR-α, RXR-β, RXR-γ), which are part of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor superfamily (Sporn et al, 1994). A growing body of evidence from clinical research supports the concept that retinoids are useful substances in the prevention and treatment of cancer. The RA-provoked growth effects were synergistically amplified by a combination with interferon γ (IFN-γ), and this was accompanied by up-regulation of the mRNA for nuclear receptor RAR-γ (retinoic acid receptor-γ) (Widschwendter et al, 1995, 1996). Similar effects were also achieved with the RAR-γ selective agonists CD2325 and CD437 (Widschwendter et al, 1997). In animals, administration of retinoids inhibits the initiation and promotion of mammary tumours induced by carcinogens (Moon and Mehta, 1990; Costa, 1993).

Anzano et al (1994) showed 9-cis-RA alone or in combination with tamoxifen is a very potent inhibitor of mammary carcinogenesis induced by N-nitroso-N-methyleurea in Sprague–Dawley rats. On the basis of these results, several clinical trials with retinoids have been carried out: tamoxifen and retinyl acetate caused an objective response rate in 39% of 33 patients with advanced breast cancer. In a phase I/II trial, treatment with tamoxifen plus fenretinide resulted in improvement or disease stabilization in 12 out of 15 patients (80%), with no significant adverse effects (Cobleigh et al, 1993). Fenretinide was well tolerated in a preventive trial for contralateral breast cancer comprising 2972 patients with minor side-effects observed during 5 years of treatment (Formelli et al, 1993; Costa et al, 1994). Two large adjuvant studies comparing tamoxifen plus fenretinide with tamoxifen alone started last year.

The mechanism of anti-tumour effects of retinoids is, however, not fully understood, and we were interested in whether retinoids may interact with PRL-mediated effects. We, therefore, studied the modulation of expression of PRL-R mRNA by retinoids in the human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, SKBR-3, T47D and BT-20 applying Northern blot and a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method. Because one of the most important mediators of PRL-regulated genes is STAT-5 (Welte et al, 1994), we were interested in whether this transcription factor is also modulated by RA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

9-cis-Retinoic acid and ATRA (all-trans-retinoic acid) were kindly provided by Professor Bollag (Hoffmann-La Roche, Basle, Switzerland). The RAR-γ selective agonists CD2325 and CD437 were donated by Professor Reichert (CIRD Galderma, Sophia Antipolis, France). For all experiments, 1 mM solutions were prepared in DMSO and further diluted in complete culture medium.

Cell culture

The MCF-7, BT-20, SKBR-3 and T47D human breast cancer cell lines were cultured as described previously (Widschwendter et al, 1995). The cell lines used in this study were generous gifts from Dr GC Buehring, School of Public Health, Berkley, CA, USA, and Dr NE Hynes, F Miescher Institute, Basle, Switzerland. Briefly, the cells were maintained in modified Eagle medium (MEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (both from Eurobio, Paris, France), 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin and 100 μg ml⁻¹ streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide in air. Exponentially growing cells (1×10⁶) were plated in 25 ml medium per 100-cm² flask. After reaching 80% confluence, cells were treated with substances or vehicle alone as control for indicated time and concentrations, detached with the help of trypsin (0.05%–EDTA (0.02%) in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), washed and pelleted.

Northern blot analysis

Northern blot analysis was carried out as recently described (Widschwendter et al, 1995). Briefly, total cellular RNA was extracted by the guanidine thiocyanate method. Ten micrograms of total RNA mixed with ethidium bromide was run on denaturing 1% agarose–formaldehyde gels and transferred to nylon membranes (Stratagen Flash Nylon Membranes, La Jolla, CA, USA) by Northern blotting. The sheet thus prepared was fixed and photographed under UV light (to demonstrate comparable RNA levels) and hybridized with the digoxigenin-labelled 310-bp DNA fragment which codes for the extracellular domain of the PRL-R (described below). Detection of digoxigenin-labelled nucleic acids by chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay on nylon membranes was carried out following manufacturer’s instructions (DIG Luminescent Detection Kit, Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicala, Vienna, Austria). Filters were exposed to autoradiographic films (Hyperfilm, Amersham, CEAB, Sweden) for 5 h.

Quantitation of RNA

Total RNA was isolated as previously described (Widschwendter et al, 1995). Quantitation of RNA was performed as described previously (Doppler et al, 1991). Briefly, an aliquot corresponding to 400 ng of RNA was reverse transcribed. PCRs were performed in a volume of 25 μl. The primers used were: 5′-TGC ACC ACC AAC TGC TT A GCA-3′ and 5′-GAA GTC GTC GGA GGA CAC CTG-3′ for glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), yielding a 405-bp fragment spanning positions 513–918 of human cDNA (Tso et al, 1985), and 5′-ACT TAC ATA GTG CAG CCA GAC C-3′ and 5′-TGA ATG AGG AAG GTA GCT AGA GGA CAC CTG-3′ for PRL-R, yielding a 310-bp fragment spanning positions 363–673 of human cDNA and recognizing the extracellular domain of PRL-R (Boutin et al, 1989). Thirty cycles were performed in a thermocycler. The amplification profile involved 30 s at 94°C, 15 s at 95°C, 75 s at 55°C and 1 min at 73°C. From the 21st cycle, the 73°C step was extended by 10 s every cycle. PCR products were run on a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. Quantification of yield was performed by video imaging using the Bioprofil Program (Version 4.01; Vilber Lourmat).

Results of PRL-R were then normalized against the amount of GAPDH cDNA detected in the corresponding samples.

Statistics

Differences in the median yield of cDNA were analysed by the Wilcoxon U-test (Sachs, 1992).

PRL-R protein determination

PRL-R protein was determined by incubating membrane proteins of MCF-7 cells with 100 000 c.p.m. iodinated human growth hormone, in the presence or absence of a 1000-fold excess of
unlabelled ovine PRL according to an assay described by Bonneteau et al (1987). Specific binding was calculated as the difference between the c.p.m. bound in the absence and the presence of the excess unlabelled PRL.

Whole-cell extracts

Cells were scraped off the dishes in cold PBS, pelleted and extracted by three cycles of freezing and thawing in 2–3 volumes of 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 400 mM potassium chloride, 10% glycerol supplemented with 5 μg ml⁻¹ aprotinin, 5 μg ml⁻¹ leupeptin, 1 μM pepstatin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), 5 μM sodium fluoride, and 0.5 μg ml⁻¹ okadeic acid. Extracts were clarified at 17 000 g for 15 min. Protein concentrations were 2–7 μg ml⁻¹.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Assays were performed by a method similar to that described by Welte et al (1994). Oligonucleotides were purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, radioactively labelled with [γ-³²P]ATP (>6000 Ci mmol⁻¹) and T4 polynucleotide kinase, and purified by phenol extraction and Sephadex G50 chromatography. After treatment for 5 min at 95°C, complementary oligonucleotides were annealed. Cellular extracts were incubated with oligonucleotide (25 000 c.p.m., 10–35 fmol) on ice (30 min) in a 20-μl reaction volume containing 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.6, 2 mM sodium phosphate, 0.25 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM magnesium chloride, 80 mM potassium chloride, 2% glycerol, 0.25 mM unlabelled single-stranded oligonucleotide and 50 μg ml⁻¹ poly(dI–dC). The single-stranded oligonucleotide was included to compete for the binding of unspecific proteins binding to single-stranded DNA. Two microlitres of loading buffer (25% Ficoll 400, 0.25% bromophenol blue) was added. Binding of STAT-5 was assessed by autoradiography. The amount of specific DNA–protein complexes was measured using a densitometer to quantify the intensity of the bands.

RESULTS

Effects of retinoids on expression of PRL-R mRNA

We detected PRL-R mRNA expression in all four cell lines evaluated. Expression level was highest in T47D cells, lower in MCF-7 and SKBR-3 and lowest in BT-20 cells (results not shown). Major PRL-R mRNA transcripts of 13.7, 3.4 and 2.6 kb were detected in the T47D cell line (Figure 1A). Treatment of the breast cancer cell lines with 1 μM of four different retinoic acid analogues (ATRA, 9-cis-RA, CD2325 and CD437) for 24 h resulted in a significant reduction of PRL-R mRNA (P<0.01) (Figure 1A and B). The activity of the four different retinoids was statistically not distinguishable.

Regulation of PRL-R mRNA in MCF-7 cells

Time- and dose-dependent regulation of PRL-R mRNA was observed (Figure 2A and B). A marked drop of message was detected even after treatment for only 1 h using 1 μM 9-cis-RA or after treating cells with 10⁻¹⁰ M 9-cis-RA for 24 h. To examine whether the retinoid-induced decrease in PRL-R expression was dependent on continuing protein synthesis, cycloheximide was introduced in our experiments (Figure 2C). Cycloheximide alone caused a slight decrease in mRNA expression to 90% of vehicle-treated control. Treatment with 9-cis-RA for 3 h decreased PRL-R mRNA levels to a similar extent in the absence and presence of cycloheximide, which demonstrated that, over this time frame, inhibition of expression by 9-cis-RA does not require continuing protein synthesis. Retinoids may also potentially destabilize PRL-R mRNA. To examine this possibility, cells were treated with the transcription inhibitor actinomycin D in the presence and absence of 1 μM 9-cis-RA, and the rate of the resulting decline in PRL-R mRNA levels was measured over a 12-h period (Figure 2D). The rate of decline in PRL-R mRNA levels was almost identical in vehicle- and RA-treated cells, which indicated that the decline in PRL-R mRNA levels could not be accounted for by a RA-induced destabilization of PRL-R mRNA.

Regulation of PRL-R protein by 9-cis-RA in MCF-7 cells

To evaluate whether retinoid-induced suppression of PRL-R mRNA results in a diminished concentration of PRL-R protein on the cell surface, we performed radioligand binding assays. Treating cells for either 24 or 48 h with 1 μM 9-cis-RA resulted in at least a 40% decrease of PRL-R protein (Figure 3).

Effects of pretreatment of T47D cells with 9-cis-RA on PRL-induced STAT-5 activation

To demonstrate that suppression of PRL-R mRNA and protein is also functionally important for PRL-dependent intracellular events, we studied modulation of STAT-5 activation, which is known to be triggered by the hormone-bound PRL-R. Using band-shift assays, a 15-min PRL pulse activates STAT-5. Pretreatment of T47D cells with 9-cis-RA for 1, 5 and 10 h suppresses PRL-mediated STAT-5 activation in a time-dependent fashion (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

This study showed that retinoids suppress PRL-R expression. PRL plays a major role in the induction and progression of mammary tumours in rodents (Welsch and Nagasawa, 1997; Wenbeno et al, 1997) and in primates (Ng et al, 1997). In addition, human breast cancer cell lines regularly express PRL-R, and proliferation is induced by PRL in bovine lactogen-depleted culture medium (Biswas and Vonderhaar, 1987). In addition to its role as a lactogenic hormone, PRL is also known to trigger, together with other steroidal hormones, the proliferation of normal breast tissue, and has been described as activating the transcription of growth-related genes (Doppler et al, 1994). Most recently, it was reported that breast cancer cell lines synthesize and secrete biologically active PRL (Clevenger et al, 1995; Ginsburg and Vonderhaar, 1995). Therefore, an auto- or paracrine loop mediated by PRL and PRL-R may be involved in the regulation of proliferation of human breast cancer cells (Bhatavdekar and Patel, 1997; Shaw-Bruha et al, 1997). In organ culture experiments, this auto/paracrine effect of PRL is most efficiently regulated by PRL-R, which may be involved in the regulation of proliferation of human breast cancer cells (Bhatavdekar and Patel, 1997; Shaw-Bruha et al, 1997). In organ culture experiments, this auto/paracrine effect of PRL could be confirmed (Wenbeno et al, 1997). Looking for substances interfering with this autostimulating system, we found retinoids to down-regulate PRL-R mRNA and protein in breast cancer cell lines. The same effect has been observed for sodium butyrate (Ormandy et al, 1992) and phorbol ester (Ormandy et al, 1993), both substances known to be antiproliferative in breast cancer cell lines. RA and analogues are known to inhibit growth of breast cancer cells alone or
in combination with other biological response modifiers amplifying their antiproliferative potency (Marth et al, 1986). Recently, we and others (Widschwendter et al, 1995; Fanjul et al, 1996) demonstrated RAR-γ to be involved in retinoid-mediated antiproliferative effects. Synthetic retinoid analogues CD437 and CD2325, which demonstrated RAR-γ selectivity and a strong antiproliferative potency in breast cancer cells (Shao et al, 1995; Widschwendter et al, 1997), showed the same effect on PRL-R expression as did natural substances.

In a paracrine autocrine loop, it is difficult to verify that the retinoid-mediated down-regulation of the PRL-R is responsible for the proliferation inhibition, but comparing PRL-R expression levels and responsiveness to RA treatment we could find the following: in this study, we used two oestrogen receptor-positive (T47D and MCF-7) and two oestrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cell lines (SKBR-3 and BT20). The SKBR-3 cell line is the only known oestrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cell line which is RA sensitive. The SKBR-3 and MCF-7 cell lines have similar PRL-R expression levels and response patterns to treatment with RA, whereas the second oestrogen receptor-negative cell line, BT-20, expresses the PRL-R at a very low level and is not responsive to treatment with RA. This fact supports our hypothesis that RA-mediated PRL-R down-regulation is in part responsible for the retinoid-mediated proliferation inhibition.

RA treatment, not only in vitro but also in vivo, was able to interfere with the tumour-stimulating activity of PRL: rats given
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N-methyl-N-nitrosourea and subsequently treated with the prolactin secretion-stimulating drug haloperidol responded with a significant increase in mammary carcinoma development when compared with control rats. RA treatment of haloperidol-treated rats significantly ($P<0.001$) blocked the PRL-mediated stimulatory effect on mammary carcinoma development (Welsch et al., 1984). In this model, retinoid treatment has no effect on PRL serum levels, indicating that the anti-tumour effect of retinoids must occur at the level of tumour cells stimulated by PRL. Very recently, a paper was published by Ng et al. (1997) in which they report the following: treatment of ageing monkeys with growth hormone (GH) resulted in a fourfold increase in mammary glandular size and epithelial proliferation index. GH activates the GH receptor and the PRL-R. The GH receptor was not detected in mammary epithelium, whereas the PRL-R concentrates in the mammary epithelium. This group could not distinguish whether GH could stimulate proliferation directly by acting through the epithelial PRL-R, or indirectly by increasing insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) which then acts through its cognate receptor in mammary epithelium. The theory that PRL-R mediates proliferation was very recently supported by Wennbo et al. (1997). They showed – using transgenic mice overexpressing the bovine GH and mice overexpressing the rat PRL – that the PRL-R alone is sufficient for induction of mammary carcinomas in mice, whereas activation of the GH receptor is not sufficient for mammary

**Figure 2** Regulation of PRL-R mRNA expression in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. (A) MCF-7 cells were treated with 1 μM 9-cis-RA for indicated times. (B) Dose dependency of the 9-cis-RA action after 24 h. (C) MCF-7 cells were treated for 3 h with vehicle, 1 μM 9-cis-RA, 20 μg ml⁻¹ CHX or with the combination 9-cis-RA and CHX. (D) MCF-7 cells were treated with 5 μg ml⁻¹ actinomycin D (ActD) and vehicle (⃣) or 5 μg ml⁻¹ ActD and 1 μM 9-cis-RA (⃣⃣) for various times. PRL-R mRNA was measured as described in Materials and methods.
tumour formation. These recent data gave, at least in part, some evidence that suppression of PRL-R by retinoids could play an important role in the prevention or treatment of breast cancer. The only strategy to test whether retinoic acid has an impact on the in vivo situation would be to treat the monkeys concomitantly with retinoic acid to measure breast size, proliferation index and PRL-R expression.

Suppression of PRL-R mRNA by retinoids will probably appear to be on the level of transcription because it occurs independent of protein de novo synthesis or modulation of mRNA stability. Sodium butyrate and phorbol ester also inhibit PRL-R gene expression by a transcriptional mechanism that does not require continuing protein synthesis (Ormandy et al, 1992, 1993). The mechanism of this transcriptional regulation is still unclear. A recent study by Moldrup et al (1996) showed that the PRL-R promoter contains a perfect repeat of a motif, AGGTCA, common among the nuclear receptors; it is separated by one nucleotide. Nuclear factors (e.g. hepatocyte nuclear factor 4) are thought to bind as homodimers to this element, often in competition with other nuclear receptors (RARs and RXRs). One attractive model explaining the rapid suppression of PRL-R, even at very low doses of RA, could be the interference between the above-mentioned nuclear pathways. To investigate the functional importance of this process, we studied the modulation of a PRL-dependent intracellular signal transduction pathway by retinoids, namely the activation of STAT-5, a factor known to be activated by PRL (Welte et al, 1994). Pretreatment of T47D cells with 9-cis-RA suppresses PRL-mediated STAT-5 activation, demonstrating a functional effect of RA-mediated down-regulation of PRL-R.

We have demonstrated that retinoids are able to down-regulate PRL-R in breast cancer cells. The functional importance of this process was shown by suppressing PRL-induced activation of STAT-5 by RA pretreatment. In view of previous data demonstrating PRL to be an important growth factor in breast cancer cells, we hypothesize that down-regulation of PRL-R is, in part, responsible for RA-dependent proliferation inhibition in breast cancer cell lines.
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