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Treatment rationale and design of the PROLONG study: safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for elderly patients with non-small cell lung cancer
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Background: Pembrolizumab is recommended as first-line therapy for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and a Programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1) tumor proportion score (TPS) of ≥50% without driver mutations. However, the safety and efficacy were not investigated among patients who were ≥75 years old.

Methods: This open-label single-arm phase II study is designed to evaluate pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for patients who are ≥75 years old with advanced NSCLC and a PD-L1 TPS of ≥50% without driver mutations. The primary endpoint is progression-free survival, and the secondary endpoints are overall survival, objective response rate, safety, and quality of life. Recruitment started in October 2017 and is expected to continue for approximately 3 years.

Conclusions: Given the currently poor prognosis of elderly patients with advanced NSCLC, we hope that the findings of this study will facilitate more effective treatment in this setting.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related death (1), and is classified into two broad histological types: non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and small cell lung carcinoma. Patients with NSCLC, a performance status (PS) of 0–1, and no driver mutations historically received a platinum-based doublet with third-generation chemotherapy as a standard treatment. However, an antibody to programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), which are immune checkpoint inhibitors, have been approved for treating advanced NSCLC. A randomized open-label phase III trial (the KEYNOTE-24 study) compared pembrolizumab (the antibody to PD-1) and platinum-based doublet chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced NSCLC, no driver mutations and a PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) of ≥50% (2). The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS), which was better in the pembrolizumab group than in the chemotherapy group (median: 10.3 vs. 6.0 months, hazard ratio: 0.50, 95% CI: 0.37–0.68; \( P < 0.001 \)). In addition, several randomized phase III trials (the KEYNOTE-409, KEYNOTE-189, and IMpower-150 studies) compared platinum-doublet chemotherapy plus either PD-1/PD-L1 antibody or placebo every 3 weeks for 4 or 6 cycles, followed by PD-1/PD-L1 antibody or placebo in NSCLC patients (3-5). These studies showed that the primary endpoint, PFS or overall survival (OS), was significantly longer in the PD-1/PD-L1 antibody-combination group than in the placebo-combination group, irrespective of PD-L1 expression level. Thus, pembrolizumab or platinum doublet chemotherapy plus PD-1/PD-L1 antibody is recommended as first-line treatment in this setting (6).

However, these studies did not consider patients who were ≥75 years old. Lung cancer is primarily a disease of the elderly population, and the number of elderly patients aged 75 years or older with lung cancer is increasing (7). Previous in vitro and animal studies have shown that immune function weakens with increasing age (8,9). Therefore, immunotherapy is considered to be less effective in elderly patients. Actually, a previous study involving squamous cell carcinoma patients treated with nivolumab after 1st line treatment showed that median overall survival decreased in patients aged >75 years (5.8 months) compared to that in patients aged <65 years (8.6 months) (10). However, contrastingly, the efficacy of nivolumab, as various lines of treatment, was not associated with age in lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma and melanoma patients (11-13). From these observations, there is uncertainty as to whether age is associated with the efficacy of immunotherapy. Therefore, we need to investigate the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for patients aged ≥75 years with advanced NSCLC.

A randomized phase 3 trial (the IFCT-0501 trial) including elderly patients with NSCLC reported that the platinum-doublet chemotherapy regimen improved survival, compared with the single-agent monotherapy regimen (14). However, the rate of toxic deaths was slightly higher in the doublet chemotherapy group than in the monotherapy group; the overall rate of hematological toxicities was also higher in the doublet chemotherapy group. Therefore, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines suggest that systemic chemotherapy for elderly patients needs to be selected carefully to avoid adverse reactions (6). Furthermore, in studies that compared platinum-doublet chemotherapy plus either PD-1/PD-L1 antibody or placebo administration, grade 3 or higher adverse events have been reported to be more frequent in the PD-1/PD-L1 antibody-combination group than in the placebo group. On the other hand, the KEYNOTE-024 study revealed that pembrolizumab was associated with fewer severe adverse events, relative to platinum doublet chemotherapy (26.6% vs. 53.3%). These results suggest that pembrolizumab monotherapy may be safe for elderly patients with NSCLC.

AIM

We aimed to examine the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients who are ≥75 years old with untreated advanced NSCLC and a PD-L1 TPS of ≥50% in this study. Unless the patient has received pembrolizumab, single-drug third-generation chemotherapy is recommended for patients who are ≥75 years old with advanced chemotherapy-naïve NSCLC and no driver mutations, although this treatment was only associated with a PFS of approximately 4.3 months in the MILES and WJTOG 9904 studies (15,16). Therefore, it is urgently needed to investigate whether pembrolizumab is effective and safety for elderly patients.

Methods

Study design

This open-label single-arm phase II study will evaluate the
safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for Japanese patients who are ≥75 years old with advanced NSCLC and a PD-L1 TPS of ≥50% without driver mutations. Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the study. Twelve hospitals agreed to take part in this study, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of each hospital. We plan to recruit 26 patients between October 2017 and October 2020. The observational period is 1 year from the time of the final registration.

**Clinical measures**

We will collect:

(I) Baseline demographic and clinical information, including smoking status.

(II) Personal details and demographics including height, weight, and gender.

(III) Date of diagnosis.

(IV) NSCLC clinical stage, including the subtypes of NSCLC, tumor site, and number of metastases.

(V) Confirmation of eligibility.

(VI) Confirmation of written informed consent.

(VII) Comorbidity, ECOG performance status.

**Treatment**

Patients will receive intravenous pembrolizumab (200 mg every 3 weeks) for 35 cycles or until the patient experiences radiologic disease progression, experiences treatment-related adverse events of unacceptable severity, withdraws their consent, or treatment is terminated at the discretion of the investigator.

**Patient population, key eligibility criteria**

**Inclusion criteria:**

(I) Age of ≥75 years at the time of informed consent.

(II) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0–1.

(III) Histologically confirmed stage IV or recurrent NSCLC.

(IV) No sensitizing epidermal growth factor receptor mutations or anaplastic lymphoma kinase translocations (analysis of BRAF gene mutation or ROS-1 gene arrangement is not mandatory. However, we will collect data of the status of these genes).

(V) A PD-L1 TPS of ≥50% (22C3 pharmDx assay).

(VI) No previous systemic therapy.

(VII) Estimated life expectancy of >3 months.

(VIII) Adequate organ function.

(VIIX) Provision of written informed consent.

**Exclusion criteria:**

(I) Uncontrollable pleural effusion, ascites, or pericardial fluid.

(II) Multiple cancers.

(III) Untreated central nervous system metastases and/or carcinomatous meningitis.

(IV) Evidence of severe or uncontrolled systemic disease.

(V) Evidence of interstitial pneumonia during chest computed tomography (CT).

(VI) Any major surgical procedure (as defined by the investigators) within 28 days of the first pembrolizumab dose.
(VII) Underwent radiotherapy within 4 weeks before the first pembrolizumab dose
(VIII) Active autoimmune disease that has required systemic treatment using corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive medications.

**Patient registration**

After the patient’s eligibility has been confirmed and informed consent has been obtained, the patient will be registered and begin receiving treatment. Patient recruitment began in October 2017 and is expected to continue for approximately 3 years.

**Evaluation of response and safety**

Patients must undergo various pre-treatment evaluations: a CT or magnetic resonance imaging scan of the brain, CT scans of the chest and abdomen, a bone scan or positron emission tomography scan, and electrocardiography. Patients will undergo tumor assessments at baseline and then every 3 cycles. The tumor response and/or radiologic disease progression will be evaluated based on version 1.1 of the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (17). Adverse events will be recorded using version 4.0 of the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (18).

Quality of life will be assessed using EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-LC13, with patients completing the questionnaires immediately after providing informed consent and then again before the third cycle, the sixth cycle, and week 18.

**Statistical design**

Primary endpoint is PFS. The Kaplan-Meier method will be used to analyze PFS, which is defined as the interval from the first day of therapy to the first instance of treatment failure, which includes, death and disease progression.

Secondary endpoints were overall survival, overall response rate, disease control rate, safety and QOL. The statistical methods are following:

- Overall response rate: the response rate (CR + PR ratio) is calculated, and the 95% confidence interval is also calculated.
- Disease control rate: the disease control rate (CR + PR + SD ratio) is calculated, and the 95% confidence interval is also calculated.
- Safety: the adverse events observed during protocol treatment are summarized by type and grade.

Overall survival: the cumulative survival rate is calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and we will calculate the 95% confidence interval.

QOL: changes in QOL is analyzed for PPS with reference to pre-treatment.

**Sample size**

The sample size was determined based on an expected median PFS of 4.3 months for previous single-drug treatments (15,16), an expected median PFS of 10.3 months in the present study, a two-sided alpha value of 0.05, and power of 0.8. Based on these parameters, 24 patients will need to be enrolled within the first 3 years, with an additional 1 year of follow-up. Allowing for dropouts, 26 subjects will be enrolled.

**Ethics & dissemination**

**Ethics and informed consent**

The trial received ethical approval from the institutional review board of Hiroshima University Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan (number C-207-2, the last edition ver 2.1 22/ Mar/2018) and was approved by the ethics committee of each participating institution. In addition, this study protocol conforms to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and has been registered in the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry database (UMIN0000029602). All patients will provide written informed consent before enrollment.

**Trial monitoring and oversight**

Monitoring will be conducted in accordance with “Standard Procedure for Monitoring in Clinical Research” and “Clinical Research Monitoring Implementation Manual” owned by the Hiroshima University Hospital General Medical Research Promotion Center. Once a year, the status of obtaining consent and the occurrence of adverse events will be submitted to the principle investigator and the administrator of the facility to which the principle investigator belongs.

**Dissemination**

The subgroup analysis of KEYNOTE-024 study showed that the progression-free survival benefit of the pembrolizumab in the patients who are ≥65 years old. In addition, this study included patients over the age of 75, the
oldest was 90 (2). However, to the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first clinical trial to investigate the safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for patients who are ≥75 years old with advanced NSCLC and a PD-L1 TPS of ≥50%. We hope that the findings will facilitate more effective treatment in this setting. In addition, this study will identify the frequent and severe immune-related adverse events in this population.

**Study status**

This study was opened to recruitment in October 2017. As of April 2019, 15 subjects have been enrolled.
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