New virus of the family Flaviviridae detected in lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus)
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Abstract
In this study, we determined the complete coding sequence of a putative new member of the family Flaviviridae, named “Cyclopterus lumpus virus” (CLuV), which is associated with a serious disease in lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus). The virus was present in all tissues tested, but pathology was primarily observed in the liver and kidneys. CLuV shows low but distinct similarity to the unassigned Tamana bat virus (TABV). Unlike other known members of the family Flaviviridae, translation of the entire CLuV polyprotein is dependent on a −1 ribosomal frameshift in the NS2A region.

The copepod parasite Lepeophtheirus salmonis is a cause of major expense in the production of farmed Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in Norway [1, 2]. One widely used approach to control this parasite is the use of commercially farmed or wild cleaner fish, i.e., wrasse species and lumpfish (Cyclopterus lumpus) [3, 4]. A range of different pathogens have been detected in wild and farmed lumpfish, including viruses, bacteria and parasites [5–14]. In 2015, a new disease emerged in culture facilities for lumpfish, resulting in more than 50% mortality among young fish. Preliminary studies of these lumpfish showed the presence of some bacteria and parasites, but also a pathology that could not be attributed to any known pathogens. To gain more knowledge about this emerging disease, total RNA was isolated from the most strongly affected tissues (liver and kidney) of one lumpfish, and the RNA was used for Illumina sequencing.

To determine the genome sequence of CLuV, liver and kidney samples from one lumpfish suffering from the putative new disease were homogenized in 1 ml of TRI Reagent® (Sigma-Aldrich) with a 5-mm bead for 7 min at 50 Hz in a TissueLyser LT (QIAGEN). Total RNA was extracted using a previously described protocol from [17], and one batch was kept at the laboratory for Sanger sequencing, while the other batch was sent to BaseClear for next-generation sequencing. Paired-end sequence reads (number of reads = 34,488,032) were generated using an Illumina...
HiSeq2500 system. FASTQ sequence files were generated using the Illumina Casava pipeline version 1.8.3. The quality of the FASTQ sequences was enhanced by trimming off low-quality bases using the “Trim sequences” option of the CLC Genomics Workbench version 9.5.1, and the quality-filtered sequence reads were assembled into 81,286 contig sequences using the “de novo assembly” option with a minimum allowed contig length of 120 base pairs. A BLASTN (version 2.6.0+) search was performed against the NCBI-NT database using a minimum e-value of 0.01, and the contigs were split into four files: a) vertebrates (48,545 contigs), b) viruses (2 contigs), c) others (529 contigs), and d) no BLAST hit (32,210 contigs). The sequences of the contigs were translated using ExPASy’s online translation tool (http://web.expasy.org/translate/), and the BLASTP algorithm of the BLAST suite was used to identify the putative amino acid sequences. One sequence (11,454 nt; accession no. MF776369) from the no-BLAST-hit group was identified as a possible new member of the virus family Flaviviridae. The complete coding sequence (10,451 nt) and parts of the non-coding 5′ and 3′ ends (242 and 467 nt, respectively) found by Illumina sequencing were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (in total, 11,160 nt).

RNA ligation was performed in an attempt to sequence the genome ends. Five μg of total RNA was incubated with 5 units of 5′ RNA pyrophosphohydrolase (NEB) in 40 μl of 1X NEBuffer 2 for 30 min at 37 °C [19], followed by RNA clean-up (RNeasy Mini Kit, QIAGEN). Four hundred ng of purified dephosphorylated RNA was ligated with 10 U of T4 RNA ligase (Thermo Scientific) in 20 μl of 1x reaction buffer with 0.1 mg of BSA per ml and 40 units of RNaseOUT™ (Invitrogen), for 1 h at 37 °C. Ligated RNA (2.5 μl) was used as template for reverse transcription (M-MLV RT, Promega) with primers annealing approximately 150-300 nt from the 3′ end. The cDNA was then subjected to nested PCR (Expand™ High Fidelity PCR System, Roche), yielding products traversing the ligated genome ends. Finally, the PCR products were gel purified (QIAquick® Gel Extraction Kit, QIAGEN) and sequenced by the Sanger method. Some of the resulting sequences partially reflected the results from the Sanger sequencing of the non-ligated genome, while the majority gave a much shorter product containing only small parts of both the 5′ and 3′ non-translated regions (73 and 29 nt, respectively), giving a total sequence of only 10,553
nt. This discrepancy between the sequencing of ligated and non-ligated genomic RNA could be due to secondary structures in the genome ends interfering with RNA ligation, or it could indicate the existence of a hitherto unreported second population of truncated flavivirus RNA species in infected cells.

The genomes of members of the family *Flaviviridae* typically consist of a single positive-strand RNA of 9.5-12.5 knt that encodes a large polyprotein precursor that is cleaved into three structural (C, prM, and E) and seven non-structural (NS) proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) [20]. In the case of CLuV, the polyprotein is apparently encoded by two ORFs instead of the canonical single ORF. However, if the two ORFs were indeed translated separately, a large part of NS2A would not be translated. Programmed -1 ribosomal frameshifting is common for many members of the *Flaviviridae*, mostly to synthesize additional functional proteins, e.g., the overlapping fifo ORF in the NS2A/2B-coding region of insect-specific flavivirus genomes and the antigenic hepatitis C virus alternate reading frame protein (ARFP), but also to divert a proportion of the ribosomes for the synthesis of proteins encoded nearer the 5' end [21–24]. For programmed -1 ribosomal frameshifting to occur, a “slippery” heptanucleotide consensus sequence and a downstream stimulatory RNA structure, separated by a spacer region (5-9 nt), must be present [23]. Indeed, just before the 3' end of ORF1, there is a heptanucleotide sequence (4032G_GGU_UUU CCU_UAG 4047) fitting the consensus motif X_XXY_YYZ, where XXX can be any three identical nucleotides, YYY can be either AAA or UUU, Z can be either A, C or U, and underscores denote the reading frame. The consensus sequence is followed by 5 nt of spacing (4041CCU_UUU) and completed by a predicted stem-loop structure (4046GCUUUCCGCUUUAGCTCGCGACUGAACG 4075). Translation can then continue in the -1 frame, resulting in a complete flavivirus polyprotein.

Assuming that a ribosomal frameshift occurs, the best match for the 3483-amino-acid long CLuV polyprotein with Protein BLAST (NCBI) was unequivocally the TABV polyprotein, but a potential transmembrane region was not detected (177IALLALVMA_YFKVTREMILLIII 199). Given their similarities, the lengths of the putative CLuV proteins were estimated based on sequence alignment of CLuV with TABV (Vector NTI Suite 9.0.0). The putative capsid protein, C, was estimated to be 130 aa long. There were no significant hits for C in the GenBank database. The putative prM protein was estimated to be 208 aa long. This protein also did not match any proteins in the GenBank database. The putative capsid protein, C, was estimated to be 130 aa long. There were no significant hits for C in the GenBank database. The putative prM protein was estimated to be 208 aa long. This protein also did not match any proteins in the GenBank database.

The putative NS1 protein is 329 aa long, and the sequence shows 37% identity to the NS1 protein of TABV. No domains, repeats, motifs or features could be predicted with confidence for NS1. Assuming that a ribosomal frameshift occurs, the continuous NS2A/NS2B polyprotein contains three putative transmembrane regions (401 aa long), one in NS2A (239-256) and two in NS2B (286-308 and 367-389), compared to five such regions in TABV. NS2A/NS2B from CLuV does not match any proteins in the GenBank database.

The putative 618-aa NS3 protein shows 39% sequence identity to TABV NS3. The NS3 protein of flaviviruses is hydrophilic, and the N-terminal part contains regions that have similarities to serine proteases, while the C-terminal domain contains regions with similarity to members of the DEAD family of RNA helicases. A region with strong similarity to the flavivirus DEAD domain (within a DEXDc domain, aa 1769-1932) is found in CLuV at position 1778-1918. The protein has a helicase C motif at position 339-460 and a putative single-stranded-nucleic-acid-binding domain (R3H) at position 319-383, as found in NS3 of other flaviviruses [27]. The flavivirus protease motif GXSGXP is also present in NS3 from CLuV as 128GDSGSP 133. Conserved motifs in NS3, based on alignment of CLuV with TABV are presented in Table 1. It was not possible to identify motifs IV and V in the helicase/NTPase by alignment of CLuV sequences with those of members of *Flaviviridae*. However, using a SMART search a sequence stretch containing motifs IV and V was identified as a helicase-C domain.

Phobius and SMART searches identified eight putative transmembrane regions in the putative polyprotein NS4A-2K-NS4B (416 aa long). Three of these were located in the putative NS4A protein (128 aa long), one in 2K (25 aa long), and four in NS4B (263 aa long). A BLAST search using NS4A, 2K and NS4B, did not give any match with existing protein sequences. However, eight transmembrane regions were also predicted in this polyprotein in TABV. The NS5 protein of flaviviruses is a basic and hydrophilic protein that exhibits methyltransferase and RdRp activity. A protein matching NS5 is found at position 2624-3483 (859 aa). The conserved methyltransferase and RdRp motifs in NS5 are shown in Table 1.
To elucidate the evolutionary relationship between CLuV and known members of the Flaviviridae, reference sequences of Flaviviridae members were downloaded from the GenBank database, and Vector NTI Suite 9.0.0 was used to obtain multiple alignments of these sequences. To perform pairwise comparisons of the putative NS5 sequence from CLuV with those of other flavivirids, the multiple sequence alignment editor GeneDoc (www.psc.edu/biomed/genedoc) was used for manual adjustment of the sequence alignments. Ambiguously aligned regions were removed using Gblock [28]. This resulted in a sequence alignment of 751 amino acids (aa). Phylogenetic relationships were determined using the maximum-likelihood (ML) method available in TREE_PUZZLE 5.2 (http://www.tree-puzzle.de), employing the VT [29] model of amino acid substitution. The tree was constructed based on approximate maximum-likelihood values using the selected model of substitution and rate heterogeneity. The robustness of each node was determined using 10,000 replicates. A phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2) was drawn using TreeView [30] and showed that CLuV has the closest evolutionary relationship to TABV. TABV is considered as a potential member of the genus Flavivirus, but it is so distinct that it might be the founding member of a new genus [26]. Although CLuV is more closely related to TABV than to other members of the family Flaviviridae, the distance between CLuV and TABV is of such a proportion that CLuV could well be the first described virus of yet another genus.

In conclusion, we have described the histopathology and genome of a flavivirus associated with a newly reported disease in farmed lumpfish. In CLuV, the polyprotein is encoded by two seemingly separate ORFs. However, unlike the additional ORFs reported for several other members of the Flaviviridae, translational slippage appears to be required for translation of the complete CLuV polyprotein, and especially the NS2A protein. The potential biological consequences of such a change in the expression pattern of a protein necessary for the production of mature viral particles remain to be investigated. The replacement of NS2A with a mutant containing a single amino acid substitution severely attenuated the production of flavivirus Kunjin virus [31]. Whether separate translation of the two CLuV ORFs would have a similar effect on the production of infectious virus particles requires further study. The presence of relatively large amounts of viral RNA in all tissues suggests that production of virions in these tissues is efficient. The fast spread of disease in lumpfish rearing tanks suggests that the virus might be spread by horizontal transmission and that CLuV, like TABV, could be

| Table 1 Conserved motifs in the non-structural proteins NS3 and NS5 of CLuV |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NS3             |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Serine protease | Box 1           | Box 2           | Box 3           | Box 4           |
| TABV GTLTTQYHVTCG | DYACYFGPW       | QGSGTP          | ARDADGLTPVS7AGHT-VPLQ |
| CLuV NIFHTMYHITKG | DWITYGGPW       | GDSGSP          |                 |
| Helicase/NTPase | Motif I         | Motif IA        | Motif II        | Motif III       |
| TABV VLRCGAGKTR  | LVLVPTRVVAEANYV-LKD | NWQLHVIT67CPEFET-LALHN | MYLTATG      |
| CLuV VLRCGAGKTR  | LLLAPRTVAGEMY-EALKD | NYKNIFVDEAHMQDPMT-TIALLG | FPMATATGW  |
| TABV IVYFVASGPEANIAKGL | GLLILTNISEMGAN | SKIQRGRIVG     | |
| CLuV RRSITFVPTIKKAEELYT | KPIAIFATNIAEVG | SKASTTQRRGR    | |
| Methyltransferase | Motif 1         | Motif 2         |                 |                 |
| TABV VVDGGCGGAGF | DTFVMDIGES     |                 |                 |                 |
| CLuV ILELGCSSGGF | DWVVMDIGEQ     |                 |                 |                 |
| RNA-d-RNApol    | Motif A         | Motif B         | Motif C         | Motif D         |
| TABV NWVQDDTAGWDT | GTVTTYSMNTITN   | SGDDCLLV        | LKFINSTGFIRKDVPRH |
| CLuV KAVIQQDTAAWDT | GTVTTYAMNTITN   | SGDDMLFM        | LKVINSGLFPKRGLTT   |

Cluv-ep-Probe: ACC ACC CTC CAT TAC GTG A, Cluv-ep-R: CGA CGT TAT GGG CTG CTG AAA showed the presence of the virus in all analyzed tissues from infected lumpfish (n = 37), with the highest amount detected in the liver (Ct value, 7.9). When wild and farmed lumpfish were screened using this assay, the virus was not detected in healthy fish (n = 22) or in fish where disease was caused by other pathogens (n = 34).

Real-time RT-PCR analyses using an assay (CLuV-EP) targeting the putative envelope protein gene (Cluv-ep-F: GCC GAG ACC TAT ATA ACT TGG AGA GA, Cluv-ep-R: GCC GAG ACC TAT ATA ACT TGG AGA GA) showed the presence of the virus in all analyzed tissues from infected lumpfish (n = 37), with the highest amount detected in the liver (Ct value, 7.9). When wild and farmed lumpfish were screened using this assay, the virus was not detected in healthy fish (n = 22) or in fish where disease was caused by other pathogens (n = 34).
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considered a potential no-known-arthropod-vector (NKV) flavivirus [32]. Additional experiments are required to determine whether the virus is simply released from infected fish and transmitted orally to the next host or if transmission occurs via an arthropod vector. Furthermore, it needs to be ascertained that AFLV is indeed the causative agent of the reported disease in lumpfish. During the screening of wild and farmed lumpfish, AFLV was only detected in lumpfish suffering from the putative new disease and not in healthy lumpfish or in lumpfish suffering from other diseases. For future studies, detection of AFLV non-structural proteins by immunohistochemistry or their corresponding mRNAs by in situ hybridization could be used to investigate whether replication of the virus occurs in the affected tissues.
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