Incidence of base rot and wilt, sanitary severity and influence about seed pathology by cultivars of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)

**RESUMO:** O objetivo deste trabalho foi realizar uma avaliação temporal da incidência de podridão-do-colo e severidade fitossanitária, e relacionar o impacto na patologia de sementes de cultivares comerciais de feijoeiro-comum. Na safra 2015-2016, no município de Ipameri, Goiás, foram avaliados dez cultivares de feijão (BRS Estilo©, BRS Pérola©, IPR Tangará©, IPR Tuiuiú©, IPR Uirapuru©, IAC Milênio©, Imperador©, IAC F3 R2©, IAC OTG© e IPR Campos Gerais©) e distribuídos em cinco blocos, totalizando 40 unidades experimentais. Analisou-se temporalmente a incidência da fulva e podridão-do-colo e a severidade fitossanitária tomando dez amostragens aleatórias por bloco aos 21, 28, 56, 63 e 69 dias após o plantio. Ao final dos 120 dias, colheu-se um total de 20 plantas por cultivar, sendo extraídas 250 sementes de cada para aplicação do método "Blotter Test". Dos 21 aos 69 dias após o plantio, merecem destaque os cultivares BRS Pérola© e IPR Campos Gerais©, por apresentarem as menores incidências de murcha nos ciclos reprodutivo e vegetativo. O cultivar BRS Pérola© apresentou elevada qualidade fisiológica para todos os parâmetros avaliados. Em contrapartida, o cultivar Cramberry (OTG)© mostrou baixo potencial fisiológico nos testes de germinação e vigor.
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**ABSTRACT:** The objective of this work was to conduct a temporal evaluation of incidence of rot base and sanitary severity, and to relate the impact on the seed pathology of common bean cultivars. In the 2015-2016 harvest, in the city of Ipameri, Goiás, ten cultivars of common bean were evaluated (BRS Estilo©, BRS Pérola©, IPR Tangará©, IPR Tuiuiú©, IPR Uirapuru©, IAC Milênio©, Imperador©, IAC F3 R2©, IAC OTG© and IPR Campos Gerais©) and distributed into five blocks, totaling 40 experimental units. The incidence of wilt and base rot and sanitary severity were analyzed by taking ten random samples per block at 21, 28, 56, 63 and 69 days after planting. At the end of 120 days, a total of 20 plants were harvested per cultivar, and 250 seeds were harvested for application of the Blotter Test method. From 21 to 69 days after planting, the cultivars BRS Pérola© and IPR Campos Gerais© showed the lowest incidence of wilt in the reproductive and vegetative cycles. The cultivar BRS Pérola© showed the lowest incidence of wilt and sanitary severity. In the analysis of harvested seeds, the cultivar BRS Pérola© presented high physiological quality for all evaluated parameters. On the other hand, Cranberry (OTG)© showed low physiological potential in germination and vigor tests.
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INTRODUCTION

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. - Fabaceae) is cultivated by small and large growers, in different production systems and in all Brazilian regions, having great economic and social importance (AIDAR et al., 2003). It is recognized as a subsistence crop in small farms, but in recent years there has been increasing interest from producers in other classes, adopting advanced technologies which include irrigation and mechanized harvesting. In the 2014/2015 crop, common bean production accounted for 69.2% of the volume produced and was evenly distributed in the three annual harvests (CONAB, 2015).

The symptoms of base rot can often result in etiologic plasticity and irreversible damage in the field. Fusarium wilt is an important disease caused by a parasitic fungus that economically affects important species in the world, such as cotton, bananas, tomatoes, legumes and flowers. This pathogen was first identified infecting common bean in 1929 in the USA, and since then the species has been characterized as being *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli* (Fop). It penetrates the root tissues, and subsequently colonizes the vascular tissues, causing blockage of the phloem vessels, vascular discoloration and total wilt of the plant (XUE et al., 2015). The graybean rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* produce dark lesions in the epicotyls and hypocotyls of seedlings, provoking deaths in the pre and post emergence due to obstruction of the xylem and wilting vessels. In adult plants it causes root lesions of annular color, varying from red to brown, and produces dark to black mycelium, replete with microsclerotia. The stem presents through black longitudinal cracks that lead to defoliation and wilt (ABAWI; PASTOR-CORRALES, 1990).

NEEGARD (1977) pointed out that seeds with lower physiological properties are susceptible to greater degradation and infection by plant pathogens from the field before harvest, and in the soil at the time of planting, the same opposite idea is equivalent to those with better physiological properties. Approximately 90% of the cultures used in the feeding are propagated by seeds, among them the beans that can be affected by devastating pathogens transmitted through seeds. The inoculum present in the seed may result in a progressive increase of a given disease in the field (HENNING, 2005).

Many of the pathogens that cause diseases in common bean, if not all, can be transmitted and transported by the seeds. In this way, they are important vehicles for the dissemination or introduction of pathogens in an area. Contaminated bean seeds may introduce pathogens that do not yet exist in a region, or introduce a race of a pathogen that causes considerable crop damage (ITO et al., 2003).

In addition, it is important to note that there are several studies that consider the activity of complexes of biotic and abiotic agents during the bean cycle, interfering with important physiological and productive activities (PAZ LIMA et al., 2016). One of the strategies refers to the use of multivariate analyzes and consider the multiple agents in studies of bean varietal behavior.

The objective of this work was to conduct a temporal evaluation of the incidence of base rot/wilt and sanitary severity and influence about seed pathology of common bean commercial cultivars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in the agricultural year of 2016/2017, at the RC Cruz Experiment Station, Fazenda Esmeralda, highway BR 050, latitude: 17° 29' 31.35", longitude: 48° 12' 56.93", altitude: 908 m, in the city of Ipameri, GO. The soil was characterized as dystrophic red-yellow latosol.

Planting was performed on November 20, 2016, represented by ten bean cultivars (Cramberry IPR©, Imperador©, Pérola©, BRS Estilo©, Tangará©, F3F2©, Millemium©, Tuuíú©, Uirapuru© and Campos Gerias©), in different stages between 75 to 120 days, cultivated in four blocks, totaling 40 experimental units (EU). Each plot had the dimensions of 4 x 9 m, row spacing of 0.5 m of the lines (eight cultivation lines), with an area of 36 m² per plot, and scattered 0.5 m from the ends of the plots forming a useful area of 24 m².

Incidence and sanitary severity of common bean cultivars

In each block, it was measured the incidence of bean wilt and base rot [period of 21, 28, 56, 63 and 69 days after planting (DAP)], and of sanitary severity (period of 53, 63 and 69 DAP). The result was obtained by the calculation of the number of plants showing symptoms of base rot or wilt divided by the total of the ten plants evaluated - this proportion being randomly assessed ten times in each plot on different days. The sanitary severity was performed using an adapted severity scale (AZEVEDO, 1998). The percentage of area with sanitary damage that is represented by biotic (pest and disease) and abiotic damages in ten leaves per plot on different days of evaluation was identified (AZEVEDO, 1998) for the phytosanitary severity of common bean.

The area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated by integrating the disease progress curve for each treatment (incidence and sanitary severity x days), using the Equation 1:

$$\text{AUDPC} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{X_i + X_{i+1}}{2} \right) (t_{i+1} - t_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:

- $n$ is the number of severity evaluations;
- $X_i$ is the incidence an /or sanitary severity;
- $t_{i+1} - t_i$ is the number in days between consecutive evaluations (CAMPBELL; MADDEN, 1990).
The value of the AUDCP synthesized all the evaluations of incidence and/or sanitary severity in a single value. The infection rate (IR) was achieved by calculating the regression coefficient in the period between the evaluation days (X – DAP) with the incidence and/or sanitary severity (Y), obtaining the measurements of each one of repetitions. The measures of incidence of wilt or base rot and sanitary severity were plotted in order to construct progress curves. The common bean cultivars were identified according to the reaction types based on the AUDCP means.

**Pathology of seeds of commercial soybeans cultivars**

Seed collection occurred at 120 DAP in the experimental area of commercial bean cultivars. Twenty plants representing each block were randomly collected, and the seeds were separated from the pods. The physiological activity of the seeds was evaluated by the water content (WC), determined by the oven method at 105 ± 3°C for 24 hours (BRASIL, 2009), using two replicates with 50 grams each. The results were expressed as percentages and were calculated based on the wet mass (Bu). For the germination test (%), four replicates of 50 seeds arranged in rolls of paper were used, moistened with distilled water in an amount corresponding to 2.5 times the mass of the dry paper. The rolls were maintained in a germinator regulated at 25°C for nine days, and the results were expressed as percentage of normal seedlings. The first count was performed along with the germination test, counting the normal seedlings present on the fifth day after sowing. The accelerated aging was carried out with four sub-samples of 200 seeds for each treatment, using the Gerbox method, where 200 seeds were placed on the stainless-steel screen of a plastic box (Gerbox) with 40 mL of distilled water. After placing the lid, the boxes were taken to the germinator set at 41 ± 0.5°C, where they remained for 48 hours. After this period, the seeds were evaluated for germination and the results were expressed as a percentage.

All dependent variables from the field trial and seed analysis in the laboratory were submitted to parametric hypothesis tests (F test), with averages compared by the Tukey test at 5% probability and non-parametric test (Friedmann test), with means compared by the test Skot-Knott at 5% probability using the R program. All dependent variables were subjected to multivariate analysis using the main component method of program R.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Incidence and sanitary severity by common bean cultivars**

Through isolation in the culture medium, the soil diseases identified (Table 1) had two causal agents of vascular wilts, yellowing and rot of the colon represented by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* (samples collected at 21 DAP) and in the reproductive stage *Macrophomina phaseolina* (69 DAP) (ESTRELA et al., 2016). Among the main causative agents of vascular wilts, yellowing and base rot, BEDENDO (2011) emphasized the etiology and frequency of these two identified plant pathogens. One of the strategies of reduction of these

---

**Table 1.** Transformed averages (X + 10) of incidence of wilted and rotting base (%) on different DAP, AUDCP, GR, % day⁻¹ and reaction types in different bean cultivars grown in the 2015-2016 harvest.

| Common Beans cultivars | Incidence (%)* | AUDCP* | IR* | Reaction Types** |
|------------------------|---------------|--------|-----|------------------|
| 1. BRS Estilo          | 5.0 b 5.0 a 0.0 c 4.5 b 4.5 a | 147.7 ab -0.0550 cd | Susceptible |
| 2. BRS Pérola          | 3.3 c 1.0 b 1.2 c 3.0 c 3.0 b | 78.4 cd 0.0350 ab | Resistance |
| 3. IPR Tangará         | 5.0 b 1.5 b 4.7 a 1.5 c 1.5 b | 141.1 ab -0.0100 bc | Susceptible |
| 4. IPR Tuuiú           | 3.7 c 2.5 a 2.1 b 2.0 c 2.0 b | 112.4 bc -0.0050 bc | Susceptible |
| 5. IPR Urâpurú         | 5.0 b 2.5 a 3.1 b 1.5 c 3.0 b | 142.1 ab -0.0650 cd | Susceptible |
| 6. IAC Milênio         | 10.0 a 3.0 a 1.1 c 4.0 b 4.0 a | 137.5 ab -0.0350 bc | Susceptible |
| 7. Imperador           | 5.0 b 5.0 a 2.1 b 0.5 c 0.5 b | 152.6 a -0.1400 d | Susceptible |
| 8. IAC F3 R2           | 10.0 a 1.0 b 0.0 c 1.0 c 1.0 b | 57.9 cd -0.0900 cd | Resistance |
| 9. IAC OTG             | 6.7 b 0.0 b 2.1 b 8.5 a 8.0 a | 139.4 ab 0.0750 a | Susceptible |
| 10. IPR Campos Gerais  | 6.7 b 0.5 b 0.0 c 0.0 c 0.0 b | 24.0 d -0.0700 cd | Resistance |
| Valeu F<sub>9,190</sub> | 9.168** 2.399** 6.940** 3.230** 2.595** 36.567** 50.129** | | |
| Variation Coefficient  | 10.58 17.67 10.38 19.99 21.03 35.5 36.0 | | |

* Averages followed by the same vertical letters do not differ from each other to the Skott-Knott and Tukey test at p ~ 0.05; ** The reaction types were pointed based on the AUDCP means.
The highest incidences of the disease were variable on the different days evaluated, reaching a range of 0-10% of symptoms in the assessed area (Table 1). At 21 DAP, the cultivars BRS Pérola® and IPR Tuíuiú® presented statistically the lowest incidence of symptoms of the disease (colic rot, wilt and yellowing). At 28 DAP, cultivar BRS Pérola® continued to stand out, alongside IPR Tangará®, IAC F3 F2®, IAC OTG® and IPR Campos Gerais® for statistically presenting the lowest incidence (resistance) of the disease. At 56 DAP, after three evaluations, the cultivar BRS Pérola® continued to stand out, now along with BRS Estilo®, IAC Milênio®, IAC F3 R2® and IPR Campos Gerais® for statistically presenting the lowest incidence (resistance) of the disease.

At 63 DAP, after four evaluations, the cultivar BRS Pérola® continued to stand out, now with IPR Tangará®, IPR Tuíuiú®, IPR Uirapuru®, Imperador®, IAC F3 R2® and IPR Campos Gerais® for statistically presenting the lowest incidence (resistance) of the disease. Commercially described in the portfolio of cultivars, Imperador® cultivar, in addition to maintaining resistance to anthracnose, bacteriosis and fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *M. phaseolina*) presents precocity, with a cycle of 75 days, considering the sowing phase at harvest (IAC 2018).

And finally, at 69 DAP, most of the cultivars presented a statistically lower incidence of the disease, except BRS Estilo®, IAC Milênio® and IAC OTG®. From 21 to 69 DAP, the cultivars BRS Pérola® and IPR Campos Gerais® deserve special mention because they presented statistically the smallest means of wilt incidence (resistance), both in the reproductive and in the vegetative cycle. However, the cultivar IAC Milênio® deserves special attention because it presents statistically the highest averages of disease incidence (Susceptibility) during the cycle. IAC OTG® showed the highest growth rate (GR, % / day), being IPR Campos Gerais® the cultivar with the lowest rate (Table 1). Instead of evaluating genotypes, CÂNDIDA et al. (2009) recognized the 12 DAP, bean lines from crosses Milhionário 1732© x Macanudo© and FT-Tarumã© x Macanudo©. concluding that the inheritance of resistance to fusarium wilt was oligogenic with genetic effect (additive genetic variance). It was greater than the environmental due to heritability cultivars, valuing the durable and stable resistance of cultivars. RAVA et al. (1996) recognized IAPAR 44©, Millionário 1732®, FT Tarumã®, Serrano®, São José® and Rico 1735®, cultivars not tested in this work, as resistant to fusarium wilt in different Brazilian cultivation regions, as well as the cultivar Pêrola® identified in this paper. Although *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *phaseoli* presented little genetic variability (CÂNDIDA et al., 2009), the plant pathogen *M. phaseolina* showed wide morphological and genetic variability of isolates (many breeds) from Mexico (MAYÉK-PERES et al., 2001). In the field of production, resistant cultivars were harvested in mixed and dispersed infections (ESTRELA et al., 2016).

The high susceptibility of some evaluated bean cultivars can be explained by the selection of plants in the absence of the pathogen or resistance in the parents that gave rise to them (MARINGONI; LAURETTI, 1999). The source of resistance in studies of inheritance in Mexico from beans to *M. phaseolina* is found in BAT 477 (HERNANDEZ-DELGADO et al., 2009), a genotype not studied in this work.

During the growing cycle, the rate of growth of the disease ranged from 0.8% of wilt incidence (day 1) (cultivar) to 10.5% incidence of wilt.day⁻¹, that is, possibly the rate of infection or growth ranged due to varietal difference or inoculum variation in the evaluated area (Table 1). This rate is reduced when the amount of inoculum of the soil is decreased by protecting the infection site, preventing the pathogen from infecting the root (SALES JUNIOR et al., 2005).

The reaction groups wilted, yellowing or base rot represented 50% of the cultivars classified as resistant and 50% classified as susceptible, and none of the cultivars showed no symptoms of the disease in the field (Table 1). This work highlights the reaction of resistance to multiple agents associated with the symptoms described above, as some studies by MARINGONI; LAURETE (1999), LIMA et al. (2005), ALZETE-MARIN et al. (2005), PAZ LIMA et al. (2016), ESTRELA et al. (2016), which act on the bean colon, as well as the resistance to *M. phaseolina* (CRUCIOL; COSTA, 2017) and *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *phaseoli* (XUE et al., 2015). It has been considered in literature that in both bean and soybean crops, *M. phaseolina* epidemics will become emerging and worrying in the production fields, and there is a need for the recognition of cultivars resistant to this important plant pathogen (BEDENDO, 2011).

The cultivar that showed the highest sanitary severity at 56 DAP was IAC F3 R2®. From the 63 DAP on the cultivar IAC OTG® presented the highest severity parameters (Table 2) and GR (% / day). When evaluating AACCPD, the largest area of progression of severity was for the cultivar IAC OTG® (Fig. 1).

PEREIRA et al. (2008) have described that in order to obtain resistant strains, it is necessary to first identify sources of disease resistance; soon after, to carry out the crossing of promising cultivars to incorporate the resistance in susceptible recommended cultivars or to increase the resistance in the ones available; and finally, to select the resistant individuals in segregating populations.

When we consider complexes of organisms in this experiment that infect or parasitize the main photosynthetic organ of bean cultivars, it is worth mentioning BRS Pêrola® as it presents statistically the lowest sanitary severity (Table 2).

When evaluating, in Table 2, the sanitary severity, which are the damages caused by biotic agents (pests and diseases) and abiotic agents, at 56 DAP the lowest leaf severity was
observed for cultivars BRS Pérola©, IPR Tuiuí© and IPR Uirapuru©. At 63 DAP, also, a lower sanitary severity was observed in BRS Pérola©, accompanied by a larger number of cultivars, as IPR Tangará©, IPR Tuiuí©, IPR Uirapuru©, IAC Milênio© and IAC F2 R2. At 69 DAP, the lowest sanitary severity was observed in cultivars BRS Pérola©, IPR Tangará© and IPR Campos Gerais©.

The AUDCP and GR measurements indicated the IPR Campos Gerais©, as it presented a differential reaction of greater resistance to pest attack in diseases in the aerial system than in the root system (Fig. 1).

The values of AUDCP of sanitary severity in the three evaluated days allowed to recognize IPR Campos Gerais©, BRS Pérola©, IPR Tangará©, IPR Uirapuru©, and IAC Milênio© as the cultivars with smaller area of injured tissue (Fig. 1A). As with AUDCP, the growth rate of sanitary severity was also statistically lower for the cultivars IPR Campos Gerais© (lower growth rate), IPR Tangará©, IPR Uirapuru© (higher growth rate) (Fig. 1B).

SALA et al. (2006) report that the study of the physiological variability of the pathogen is of great importance for a breeding program, since the existence of breeds of the pathogen assumes that a genotype identified as resistant in a growing region may or may not maintain this trait in another region. RIBEIRO; HAGEDORN (1979) observed similar pathogenicity of isolates from USA and Holland, which were different from Brazilian isolates. As for bean resistance, RIBEIRO; FERRAZ (1984) tested 51 cultivars and obtained 14 with high resistance index, or may not maintain this trait in another region. RIBEIRO; HAGEDORN (1979) observed similar pathogenicity of isolates from USA and Holland, which were different from Brazilian isolates. As for bean resistance, RIBEIRO; FERRAZ (1984) tested 51 cultivars and obtained 14 with high resistance index, and another ten showed moderate levels of resistance.

The AUDCP allowed to recognize the cultivar BRS Pérola© as it presented statistically the lowest mean AUDCP of the incidence of vascular wilt and AUDCP sanitary severity, showing that the resistance to both disturbances is probably controlled by the same gene in this cultivar (Table 1).

The rate of infection when comparing the vascular incidence with the sanitary severity was lower, ranging from 0-10 % day⁻¹ for the first and 0-4 % day⁻¹ for the second (Table 1, Fig. 1B).

CÂNDIDA et al. (2009), when evaluating the genetic control of fusarium wilt in common beans, describe that the ones selected for having a lower severity score will have a reduced number of lesions caused by the fungus in the leaf, resulting in a decrease in inoculum source and in the chance of dissemination of the disease. On the other hand, strict selection indexes decrease the genetic variability of the selected population, which is not advisable in a breeding program. Although fusarium wilt resistance is an important criterion to be considered in the development of common bean cultivars, other characteristics are also evaluated, hence the relevance of genetic variability.

When we observed the degree of correlation between the variables used to evaluate vascular wilt, yellowing and / or base rot, the dependent variables that most explained the differences between common bean cultivars were the incidence of wilt at 56 DAP (X56DAP), the area values below the disease progress curve (AACPD), the incidence of the disease at 69 DAP (X69DAP - major effect) and the incidence of the disease at 21 DAP (Fig. 2A). The cultivar 5 (IPR Uirapuru©) was the most influenced by the incidence of the disease at 69 DAP. The cultivars (6) IAC Milênio©, (8) IAC F3 R2©, (9) IAC OTG© and (10) IPR Campos Gerais© were the most influenced by the incidence of wilt at 56 DAP; area below the disease progress curve, incidence of disease at 69 DAP and incidence of disease at 21 DAP (Fig. 2A). When we observed the degree of correlation between the variables used to evaluate sanitary severity, the dependent variables that most explained the differences among common bean cultivars were sanitary severity at 56 DAP (X56DAP - major effect), sanitary severity at 69 DAP (X69DAP) and GR - small effect. The cultivars (2) BRS

### Table 2. Transform average by (x+10) of sanitary severity in DAP, in different common bean cultivars cultivated in 2015-2016.

| Common bean cultivars | Sanitary Severity (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                       | 56 DAP | 63 DAP | 69 DAP |
| 1. BRS Estilo©        | 10.7   | c      | 33.8   | b      | 33.8   | bc     |
| 2. BRS Pérola©        | 4.5    | d      | 16.7   | c      | 16.7   | d      |
| 3. IPR Tuiuí©         | 11.7   | c      | 13.7   | c      | 13.3   | d      |
| 4. IPR Tuiuí©         | 6.8    | d      | 23.7   | c      | 23.7   | cd     |
| 5. IPR Uirapuru©      | 4.9    | d      | 18.4   | c      | 18.4   | cd     |
| 6. IAC Milênio©       | 12.0   | c      | 18.9   | c      | 18.9   | cd     |
| 7. Imperador©         | 17.7   | b      | 39.2   | b      | 39.2   | b      |
| 8. IAC F3 R2©         | 31.6   | a      | 21.4   | c      | 21.4   | cd     |
| 9. IAC OTG©           | 13.4   | c      | 65.5   | a      | 65.5   | A      |
| 10. IPR Campos Gerais©| 9.8    | c      | 12.6   | c      | 12.0   | d      |

**F value**  
- F₉₋₁₉₀ = 19.342**  
- F₉₋₁₉₀ = 18.409**  
- F₉₋₁₆₀ = 17.842**

CV: 16.04  
21.6  
22.5

*Averages followed by the same vertical letters do not differ from each other to the Skott-Knott and Tukey test at p ~ 0.05.*
Pérola©, (10) IPR Campos Gerais© and (9) IAC OTG© were the least influenced by sanitary severity at 56 DAP, sanitary severity at 69 DAP and growth rate (Fig. 2B).

GOMES (2014) reported that *M. phaseolina* can infect bean plants at various stages of growth, and depending on the stage of the plant in which the infection starts, many components of crop yield may be affected. This fact was also verified in the experiment carried out by CRUCIOL; COSTA (2017), who observed that the diameter of the lap, leaf width, leaf length and number of leaves were also affected by *M. phaseolina* in different evaluation periods.

When we observed the degree of simultaneous correlation between the variables used to evaluate vascular wilt, yellowing
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**Figure 1.** Epidemiological parameters obtained from sanitary severity in different commercial common bean cultivars. (A) AUDCP - Area below the disease progress curve, (B) GR - Growth rate (% day\(^{-1}\)); averages followed by the same vertical letters do not differ from each other to the Tukey test at \( p \sim 0.05 \).
Incidence of base rot and wilt, sanitary severity and influence about seed pathology by cultivars of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)

and / or base rot and sanitary severity, the dependent variables that most explained the differences between common bean cultivars were the averages of area values (AUDCP.I) as well as sanitary severity (AUDCP.S). The cultivars (5) IPR Uirapuru®, (6) IAC Milênio®, (1) BRS Estilo®, and (3) IPR Tangará® showed the least influence of the area below the wilt disease progression curve (AUDCP.I) and sanitary severity [AUDCP (Fig. 2C)]. Some measures can be adopted to control these diseases, especially in non-infested soils, as the use of healthy seeds, crop rotation, adequate use of irrigation and resistant cultivars. To obtain bean varieties with resistance to such pathogens, it is necessary to know the resistance levels present in several genotypes that could be used as resistance donor progenitors in crossing with susceptible materials. It is of interest to have bean genotypes with good levels of resistance to various pathogens, which considerably facilitate the incorporation of these genes into susceptible cultivars. In many cases the resistance level of bean genotypes to specific pathogens is known, but their behavior against other pathogens is unknown (MARINGONI; LAURETTI, 1999).

*Figure 2.* Main component of sanitary variables evaluated on common bean cultivars. (A) Incidence (%) on different DAP; AUDCP.I: area under the disease curve progress; GR: growth rate; (B) Severity (%) by DAP; AUDCP.S: area under the disease curve progress by severity sanitary; GR: growth rate by plant disease; (C) Interaction between dependent variables for cultivars ranking. 1. BRS Estilo®, 2. BRS Pérola®, 3. IPR Tangará®, 4. IPR Tuiuiú®, 5. IPR Uirapuru®, 6. IAC Milênio®, 7. Imperador®, 8. IAC F3 R2®, 9. IAC OTG® and 10. IPR Campos Gerais®.
Seed pathology by common bean cultivars

The ANOVA-test rejected the hypothesis of nullity of five dependent physiological variables analyzed to differentiate bean cultivars (Table 3).

The highest value of moisture content was statistically similar among cultivars (Table 3).

Statistically the highest averages of % germination (PRE) were presented by the cultivars Pérola©, Uirapuru©, F2F3© and Campos Gerais©. The cultivars with the lowest mean germination percentage were BRS Estilo©, Cranberry (OTG)©, Imperador©, Milênio©, Tangará© and Tuiuiú©, differing from others (Table 3).

Regarding the first count (FC), statistically the highest average was observed for the cultivars Pérola©, Tangará© and BRS Estilo©. The cultivar Cranberry (OTG)© presented the lowest average of the first count (Table 3).

In relation to Accelerated Aging (AA), the highest averages were presented by the cultivars Pérola©, Tangará© and Campos Gerais© differing statistically from the others analyzed. Statistically the lowest accelerated aging was observed in the cultivar Cranberry (OTG)© (Table 3). This fact can be explained due to the exposition to high humidity and temperature, therefore their germinative potential was not altered. MARCOS FILHO (2015) pointed out that lower germination values occurred due to aging, as well as lower seeds vigor and, consequently, a greater drop in viability. A different result was found by PINTO (2015) in the study that evaluated the performance of bean cultivars of the commercial group from Rio de Janeiro, where IAC Milênio© showed the highest germination drop during storage from 91% to 66%, respectively, and also presented low values in AA, from 57 to 44%.

The bean cultivars that presented the best physiological activities were those that had the highest values in relation to the physiological criteria evaluated. In this way it can be said that the cultivar Pérola© presented the greatest physiological activity, standing out statistically against the evaluated criteria. Also, the cultivar Campos Gerais© had the best physiological activity using different methods of seed analysis (Table 1).

It is worth mentioning that the reaction in the field of cultivar Campos Gerais© is considered susceptible to fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum), rust (Uromyces appendiculatus) and anthracnose (Colletotrichum lindemuthianum).

When analyzing the dependent variables together, the cultivar Uirapuru© deserves to be highlighted as it presents statistically the highest averages of PRE, WC and GS. The cultivar Uirapuru© is considered susceptible to fusarium wilt (F. oxysporum), rust (U. appendiculatus) and anthracnose (C. lindemuthianum).

The cultivar Pérola© also presented statistically the highest averages of GS, FC and AA. It is important to note its super resistance to rust (U. appendiculatus) and fusarium wilt (F. oxysporum), and a lower incidence of diseases. Cranberry (OTG)© showed the lowest PRE, FC and AA averages (Table 3). NEERGARD (1977) pointed out that the seeds with the lowest physiological properties, such as those mentioned above, are susceptible to greater degradation and infection by field pathogens before harvest. At the time of planting, the same opposite idea is equivalent to those with better physiological properties.

The null hypothesis was rejected for the dependent variables INC %, % of Aspergillus flavus, % of Penicillium sp., % of Fusarium oxysporum, and % of Macrophomina phaseolina, with no rejection for the dependent variable % of Fusarium solani (Table 4).

The cultivars Uirapuru© and Campos Gerais©, respectively, presented the lowest and highest mean of INC % (Table 4), which represent the amplitudes of higher occurrence of

| Common bean cultivars | PRE (%) | WC (%) | GS (%) | FC (%) | AA (%) |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Campos Gerais©        | 24.2    | a      | 7.32   | 91.5 ab| 69.5 bc| 82.0 ab|
| BRS Estilo©           | 16.3    | d      | 7.87   | 81.5 bc| 76.0 ab| 60.0 de|
| OTG©                  | 19.1    | c      | 7.54   | 74.0 c | 21.5 d | 15.0 q |
| F2F3©                 | 19.7    | c      | 7.31   | 92.0 ab| 57.0 bc| 39.5 f |
| Imperador©            | 18.8    | c      | 7.72   | 76.0 bc| 53.5 bc| 36.5 f |
| Milênio©              | 24.4    | a      | 7.90   | 76.5 bc| 48.5 c | 56.5 e |
| Pérola©               | 23.0    | b      | 7.90   | 96.5 a | 91.5 a | 89.5 a |
| Tangará©              | 23.1    | b      | 7.70   | 90.5 bc| 83.0 a | 83.0 ab|
| Tuiuiú©               | 24.3    | a      | 7.80   | 84.0 bc| 66.5 bc| 70.5 cd|
| Uirapuru©             | 24.8    | a      | 8.11   | 91.0 ab| 69.0 bc| 75.0 bc|
| F Value               | F2,61 = 47.93** | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CV %                  | 1.04    | nd     | 8.00   | 16.70  | 7.25   |

* Averages followed by the same vertical letters do not differ from each other to the Tukey test at p ~ 0.05.
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There were differences between the INC % averages associated with the analyzed seeds (Table 4). The highest INC % associated with seeds of the commercial cultivars was observed in F2 F3©, and the lowest incidence was observed in BRS Estilo©, Cranberry (OTG)©, Pérola©, Tangará© and Uirapuru© (Table 4). It is important to emphasize that these microorganisms associated with the characteristic of being parasitic or pathogenic require inoculation tests; a taxon is only considered pathogenic when it is classically recognized in culture and in specific literatures (KIMATI et al., 2005). The percentage of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium* sp., classically mycotoxigenic and storage fungi (NEEGARD, 1977), were statistically more frequent in the cultivars Campos Gerais© and Pérola© (only incidental in the first), differing from the others (Table 4).

Processing methods, as well as agronomic practices, do not eliminate or completely prevent mycotoxins in foods produced by the genus *Penicillium* sp., *Aspergillus* sp. and *Fusarium* spp. detected (Table 4). Thus, prevention and harvesting with low moisture content is the most efficient way to control the production of these alkaloids (PAULA JUNIOR et al., 2004).

As for the *Penicillium* fungus, it can be observed that the storage temperature of the cultivars may have contributed to its development in some cultivars, and in others the storage temperature of the cultivar seeds was ± 5 °C. As NEEGARD (1977) reports, the optimum temperature for the growth and development of most storage fungi lies between 28 and 35 °C, the maximum and the lowest being respectively 50-55 °C and 0-5 °C.

BERGAMIM FILHO et al. (1995) stated that the damage caused by the species of *Aspergillus* sp. and *Penicillium* sp. are variables such as loss of germination, seed discolouration, seed mass heating and toxin production. The activity of fungi decays readily with the reduction of temperature, and some species of *Aspergillus* spp. can reach their population 10 to 20 times faster when the temperature is 15 °C to 32 °C (CARVALHO; VON PINHO, 1997).

**Table 4.** Transforms Averages √(X+10) by microorganism incidence (INC %) and incidence by *Aspergillus flavus*, *Penicillium* sp., *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Fusarium solani* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* on beans cultivars*.

| Bean Cultivars | INC %  | % *Aspergillus flavus* | % *Penicillium* sp. |
|---------------|--------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Campos Gerais© | 5.2 a  | 2.8 a                  | 4.8 a               |
| BRS Estilo©   | 1.2 c  | 0.0 b                  | 0.1 c               |
| Cranberry (OTG)© | 1.2 c  | 0.3 b                  | 0.1 c               |
| F2F3©         | 0.7 c  | 0.5 b                  | 0.7 c               |
| Imperador©    | 0.7 c  | 0.1 b                  | 0.4 c               |
| Milênio©      | 2.6 b  | 0.2 b                  | 1.4 b               |
| Pérola©       | 2.8 b  | 2.2 a                  | 1.9 b               |
| Tangará©      | 2.0 b  | 0.0 b                  | 0.6 c               |
| Tuiuiú©       | 1.7 b  | 0.0 b                  | 0.1 c               |
| Uirapuru©     | 0.0 d  | 0.0 b                  | 0.0 c               |

Value F: $F_{2.611} = 9.37^{**}$, $F_{2.611} = 17.73^{**}$, $F_{2.611} = 11.84^{**}$

CV %: 5.69, 4.14, 5.52

| Bean Cultivars (Cont.) | % *Fusarium oxysporum* | % *F. solani* | % *Macrophomina phaseolina* |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Campos Gerais©         | 0.2 b                  | 0.3 a         | 0.0 b                      |
| BRS Estilo©            | 0.3 a                  | 0.8 a         | 0.1 b                      |
| Cranberry (OTG)©       | 0.7 a                  | 0.1 a         | 0.1 b                      |
| F2F3©                  | 0.0 b                  | 0.0 a         | 0.0 b                      |
| Imperador©             | 0.0 b                  | 0.1 a         | 0.4 b                      |
| Milênio©               | 0.7 a                  | 0.7 a         | 0.3 b                      |
| Pérola©                | 0.0 b                  | 0.2 a         | 0.0 b                      |
| Tangará©               | 0.3 b                  | 0.3 a         | 1.2 a                      |
| Tuiuiú©                | 0.8 a                  | 0.6 a         | 0.2 b                      |
| Uirapuru©              | 0.0 b                  | 0.0 a         | 0.0 b                      |

Value F: $F_{2.611} = 2.21^{**}$, $F_{2.611} = 1.44^{ns}$, $F_{2.611} = 2.97^{**}$

CV %: 4.65, 4.89, 4.08

*Averages followed by the same vertical letters do not differ from each other to the Skott-Knott Test at $p < 0.05$. 
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microorganisms associated with the seeds. The others statistically presented their means located between these two commercial common bean cultivars.
The control is based on care during the harvesting operations, on the cleaning and drying of the grains and on the sanitation of bulk carriers, silos and mechanical equipment. Harvesting as soon as the ideal moisture content is reached also helps to prevent the development of fungi and the consequent production of toxins (BIANCHINI et al., 2005).

In relation to % of *F. oxysporum*, a more diversified species of the genus, an important decomposer associated to cultural residues and vascular plant pathogen, the cultivars BRS Estilo®, Cranberry (OTG)®, Milênio® and Tuiuiú® presented statistically the highest incidence (Table 4). BRS Estilo® and Tuiuiú® are highly susceptible in the field to *F. oxysporum*, thus proving the plant’s transmissibility to the seeds. With regard to the *F. solani*, another species causing vascular wilts which belongs to a complex associated with fusarium wilt in bean, there was no significant difference between the means of its incidence on cultivar seeds (Table 4).

As for the incidence of *Macrophomina phaseolina*, which is an important pathogen that causes charcoal rot in, in addition to tipping in pre- and post-emergence beans (BIANCHINI et al., 2005), it was noted a difference between the average of the analyzed cultivars, being the highest incidence in Tangará® (Table 4). Therefore, every 100 seeds of the cultivar Tangará® planted with 1 or 2 seedlings will present symptoms of this plant pathogen. This is a direct damage to the crop, and an inoculum distribution in the area in the later off-season, increasing its destructive power (BEBENDO, 2011). There was no incidence of *M. phaseolina* in Campos Gerais®, F2F3®, Pérola® and Uirapuru®, revealing the tolerance of these cultivars regarding the incidence of *M. phaseolina* (Table 4).

The bean cultivar that statistically manifested the greatest amount of fungus genera was Campos Gerais® (Table 4). Therefore, it was the cultivar with greater transmissibility of the species of genus of fungi.

Except for the incidence of *M. phaseolina* discussed above, the other cultivars presented satisfactory sanitation conditions. The different cycles of cultivars and genetic load influence the incidence of plant pathogens (NEEGARD, 1971).

Some of these fungi, although of common occurrence, are not considered important, as they originate diseases of little gravity from the economic point of view. However, it should be noted that other fungi, such as *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, *Colletotrichum capsici*, *C. truncatum* (causal agents of the coffee stain) and *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* (anthracnose), may cause severe damage to the crop (BIANCHINI et al., 2005).

The anthracnose, prompted by the fungus *C. lindemuthianum*, which was not detected in the seeds, is one of the most important diseases of the bean crop, affecting susceptible cultivars established in places with moderate to cold temperatures and high humidity worldwide. Possibly due to the predominance of hot and humid temperatures in the Midwest (Ipameri, GO), the pathogen was not detected in the evaluated seeds. In Brazil, this disease occurs in the main producing states and losses can be of the order of 100%, when infected seeds are sown and the environment conditions are favorable to them (RAVA et al., 1994).

In the field the cultivar Imperador® maintained resistance to anthracnose, bacteriosis and wilt of *Fusarium oxysporum*, and presents precocity, with a cycle of 75 days, considering the sowing phase at harvest. The cultivar BRS Estilo® is highly susceptible to *Fusarium oxysporum*, rust, bacteriosis, angular spot and bean golden mosaic, and it does not tolerate *F. solani*. And the cultivars Tangará®, Campos Gerais®, Tuiuiú® and Uirapuru® are susceptible to *F. oxysporum*, rust and anthracnose. The cultivar Pérola® presents a reaction in the field of resistance to rust and the common mosaic. Under field conditions, it is moderately resistant to fusarium wilt and angular blight.

**CONCLUSION**

The cultivars BRS Pérola®, IPR Tangará®, IPR Tuiuiú® and IPR Uirapuru® presented a lower incidence of the disease.

The cultivars IPR Campos Gerais®, BRS Pérola®, IPR Tangará®, IPR Uirapuru® and IAC Milênio® presented a lower area of tissue damaged by biotic and abiotic agents.

Based on the parametric tests, the cultivar BRS Pérola® was more resistant to the incidence of vascular wilt and foliar stains by biotic and abiotic agents.

The germplasm *Aspergillus flavus*, *Penicillium* sp., *Fusarium solani*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* were identified.

The cultivar Pérola® presented high physiological quality for all evaluated parameters. On the other hand, Cranberry (OTG)® showed low physiological potential in germination and vigor tests. The cultivars Cranberry (OTG)® and Campos Gerais® showed the lowest and highest incidence of pathogens, respectively.

The fungi *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium* sp. showed greater variability of fungi genus occurrence in the cultivars in relation to the other taxonomic category.

It was not possible to identify the relationship between the physiological and sanitary quality of the evaluated lots. But the identification of cultivars that genetically present the highest incidence and occurrence of associated plant pathogenic fungi represent an important epidemiological information that relates the genetic reaction of the cultivar with the transmissibility to plant pathogens.
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