Self-Assembly of Aminocyclopropenium Salts: En Route to Deltic Ionic Liquid Crystals

Juri Litterscheidt, Jeffrey S. Bandar,* Max Ebert, Robert Forschner, Korinna Bader, Tristan H. Lambert,* Wolfgang Frey, Andrea Bühlmeyer, Marcus Brändle, Finn Schulz, and Sabine Laschat*

Dedicated to Professor Franz Effenberger on the occasion of his 90th birthday

Abstract: Aminocyclopropenium ions have raised much attention as organocatalysts and redox active polymers. However, the self-assembly of amphiphilic aminocyclopropenium ions remains challenging. The first deltic ionic liquid crystals based on aminocyclopropenium ions have been developed. Differential scanning calorimetry, polarizing optical microscopy and X-ray diffraction provided insight into the unique self-assembly and nanosegregation of these liquid crystals. While the combination of small headgroups with linear p-alkoxyphenyl units led to bilayer-type smectic mesophases, wedge-shaped units resulted in columnar mesophases. Upon increasing the size and polyphilicity of the aminocyclopropenium headgroup, a lamellar phase was formed.

Introduction

Since the groundbreaking synthesis of triphenylcyclopropenium ions by Breslow in 1957, interest in these small nonbenzoid aromatic rings has been steadily rising.[1] The unique combination of aromatic stability with ring strain, and the possibility to tailor the physical and chemical properties using the substituents attached to the cyclopropenium, provides highly interesting materials from both theoretical and experimental or application-oriented perspectives.[2-4] Among the differently substituted cyclopropenium cations, the aminocyclopropenium ions first described by Yoshida in 1971,[5] have received special attention.[6] Most recent work has focused on their use as phase transfer, Lewis acid or organocatalysts,[7-10] electrophotocatalysts,[11] ligands for catalytic metal complexes,[12-15] ionic liquids,[21-25] persistent radical cations,[26] redox active polymers for redox flow batteries,[27-32] fluorescent materials,[33-35] aromatic cations in hybrid halide perovskites,[36] biologically active compounds such as transfection agents,[37-39] and nanoparticles.[40,41] Surprisingly, the self-assembly of cyclopropenium compounds into liquid crystalline phases has not been reported.[42] We hypothesized that mesomorphic self-assembly should be promoted by the planarity and charge delocalization of the aminocyclopropenium ion, which resembles an extended, or “deltic” guanidinium ion.[43] Indeed, guanidinium ions are known building blocks that support mesomorphism of ionic liquid crystals (ILCs).[44-47] ILCs are anisotropic fluids with long-range orientational order caused by Coulombic interactions between cationic headgroups and counterions, nanosegregation between immiscible parts (that is, an ionic headgroup, rigid core, and lipophilic tail), minimization of free volume complemented by van der Waals interactions, π–π, and hydrogen bonding interactions.[44,42] As ILCs have been reported to serve as a link between neutral liquid crystals and polyelectrolytes,[48] insight into the structure–property relationships of aminocyclopropenium-derived ILCs should enable better tuning of the corresponding polyelectrolytes carrying aminocyclopropenium units for both batteries and fuel cells,[27-32,49-50] as well as gene-delivery vectors.[51,52] (Figure 1). The manipulation of the three substituents of the deltic guanidinium headgroup of aminocyclopropenium-based ILCs...
should not only provide a general understanding and tailoring of the bulk self-assembly of these aromatic cations, but should also lead to ordered oligomeric aminocyclopropenium-salt-based catholytes in redox flow batteries.[27–32] Moreover, the recently reported propensity of cyclopropenium cations to form closely bonded dimers with short π–π distances of only 3.22 Å (as compared to 3.3–3.8 Å for other arenes)[33] should further enforce mesomorphic self-assembly. Such cyclopropenium-derived liquid crystals would complement the series of known liquid crystalline Hückel aromatic cyclopentadienyl anions and benzenes,[34] providing insight into the requirements of 3-membered π-systems to form stable mesophases.

Herein we report, for the first time, that ILCs can be obtained from aminocyclopropenium derivatives, whose phase geometry and temperature range is controlled by the headgroup.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of aminocyclopropenium salts and related compounds**

At the outset of our study, we chose a 4-alkoxyphenyl and wedge-shaped 4-{[(3,4,5-trialkoxybenzoyl)oxy]phenyl} moiety as different mesogenic cores for attachment to the aminocyclopropenium headgroups because these core units are known to promote mesophase formation in guanidinium ILCs.[55,56] The synthesis of the cyclopropenium transfer reagents 3α–c is shown in Scheme 1.

Compound 1 was converted into tris(dimethylamino)cyclopropenium chloride 2, according to a procedure by Yoshida.[5] Subsequent saponification of 2 using a method by Curnow,[25,56] followed by treatment with oxaly chloride, yielded the desired target 3α in 38% yield over two steps. The corresponding diisopropylamino-substituted 3b was obtained in 93% yield by nucleophilic displacement of 1 with 2 equivalents of diisopropylamine, following the method by Yoshida.[5,57] Reacting tetrachlorocyclopentadiene 4 with bis(2,4-dimethoxybenzyl)amine in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of K₂CO₃ gave reagent 3c[41] in 80% yield with 20% of the separable threefold-substituted cyclopropenium chloride 5.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of target cyclopropenium transfer reagents 3α–c.](image)

To access cyclopropenium ILCs 7, 4-alkoxyanilines 6a–d[55a] were treated with cyclopropenium chloride 3α in the presence of LiCl and Hüning’s base in CH₂Cl₂ for four days. After chromatographic purification, the resulting product was submitted to salt metathesis with NaBF₄ in EtOH to yield the cyclopropenium tetrafluoroborates 7a–c in 31–41% yield (Scheme 2). Under similar conditions, ammonium trifluoroacetate 9 derived from the corresponding Boc-protected precursor[55b] was treated with reagents 3a or 3b to provide the wedge-shaped tetrafluoroborates 10a or 10b in 14% and 16% yields, respectively (Scheme 2). Presumably, the acylated phenol of 9 reduces the nucleophilicity of the nitrogen atom relative to compounds 6–8, thus leading to diminished yields of 10. It should also be emphasized that efforts undertaken to optimize the yields were not extensive.

To assess the influence of the unique aminocyclopropenium headgroup on the liquid crystalline self-assembly process, structurally related guanidinium salts 12a and 12b, and known compound 13a and 13b[55b] with a similar core unit and side chain lengths as well as the same counterion, were chosen as reference compounds (Scheme 2). As described earlier for related ILCs,[55a] guanidinium tetrafluoroborates 12a and 12b were prepared from the corresponding guanidinium chlorides through salt metathesis.

Furthermore, the trimethylammonium salt 14 was prepared to compare this ILC, carrying a small spherical headgroup, with derivatives carrying much larger planar delocalized guanidinium or aminocyclopropenium headgroups. Compound 14 was obtained from 15 in 68% by acidic removal of the N-Boc group and methylation with an excess of methyl iodide.

The reaction of trifluoroacetate 9 with cyclopropenium salt 3c afforded the desired aminocyclopropenylium chloride 10c in 8% yield. The disubstituted derivative 11 was also isolated in 4% yield; its formation was unexpected because of the bulky substituents, although similar bis(cyclopropenium)-substituted amines carrying iPr groups have been reported in the literature.[58,59]

Fortunately, single crystals of 8a with a C12 side chain were obtained, which were suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis (Figure 2). Derivative 8a is oriented in a linear extended all-trans conformation in the solid state. The distance N1H1...F3 between the NH as H-donor and F3 of the tetrafluoroborate anion as H-acceptor is 2.05 Å. A weak interaction between C21H21, C17H17, and C6H6 as H-donors, and the F atoms of BF₄⁻ as H-acceptor with H...F distances ranging between 2.36 Å and 2.55 Å, were found. Interdigitation of the alkyl chains is visible in the cell plot. A hydrophobic interaction between the chains, which are stacking perpendicular to the ac diagonal, was not observed (Supporting Information).[60]

**Mesomorphic properties of cyclopropenium salts and related compounds**

The mesomorphic properties of the aminocyclopropenium salts 7, 8, 10, and ammonium salt 9 were investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), polarizing optical
microscopy (POM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The corresponding guanidinium ILCs 12, known \(12a, 12b\) and ammonium salt 14 were considered for comparison. The DSC results are summarized in Table 1. The DSC curves are shown in Figures S1–S8 (Supporting Information).

Phenoxy bis(dimethylamino)cyclopropenium tetrafluoroborate 7a with a \(C_{12}\) side chain showed only isotropic melting.
at 98 °C upon heating. No evidence for additional phase transitions was detected during subsequent cooling/heating cycles. In contrast, 7b bearing a C14 side chain revealed endothermal melting at 103 °C and a clear transition at 117 °C upon heating. During subsequent cooling, an isotropic to mesophase transition at 117 °C and a crystallization at 82 °C was visible. Derivative 7c with a C16 side chain showed similar behavior with phase transitions at 106 °C and 140 °C upon heating, and 140 °C and 84 °C upon cooling, indicating some hysteresis because of supercooling. Under the POM, Maltese cross textures and a strong tendency for homeotropic alignment were observed (Figures 3a,b), suggesting the presence of smectic A (SmA) mesophases.

XRD experiments with 7b showed a distinct reflection in the small-angle region at 2θ = 2.2°/38.7 Å, which was assigned as the (001) layer reflex, and a broad halo around 2θ = 15–26°, which is typical for the molten alkyl chains of the LC self-assembly (Figures 4a,b).

Temperature-dependent XRD measurements provided the smectic layer distance of 7b and 7c as a function of temperature (Figure 4c). The ratio of the experimentally determined smectic layer distance l_{001} of 39.6 Å (at 105 °C), with respect to the calculated molecular length of 27 Å for 7b using the Avogadro program,\cite{62} suggested a SmA bilayer-type arrangement with considerable interdigitation.

Increasing the steric bulkiness of the aminocyclopropenium head group resulted in complete loss of mesomorphism, as observed for phenoxy bis(diisopropylamino)cyclopropenium tetrafluoroborates 8 (Table 1). These derivatives were non-mesomorphic with melting points ranging from 113–114 °C. In the solid state a similar ionic sublayer was found for derivative 8a, as compared to the mesophase packing of 7b and 7c with less bulky head groups. Although direct correlations between solid-state structures and mesophase structures have to be made with great care, crystallographic data might provide some useful insight into mesomorphic self-assembly or rationale for the absence of mesomorphism.\cite{62,63} Despite the hydrogen bond NH–F3 between the aminocyclopropenium N–H unit and the fluoro group of the counterion, which should promote liquid crystallinity, interdigitation of the alkyl chains, and thus, van der Waals interactions are less pronounced in compound 8a. Moreover, π–π stacking was absent in the solid-state structure, in contrast with the corresponding mesogenic guanidinium salts.\cite{64} These counterbalanced effects, in particular the interdigitation present only in small compartments (Supporting Information, Figure S15), together with the steric bulkiness of the cationic headgroup, seem to disfavor mesomorphic self-assembly.

For comparison, the mesomorphic properties of the guanidinium tetrafluoroborates 12a and 12b with the same chain lengths and counterion as the corresponding aminocyclopropenium tetrafluoroborates 7a and 7b were studied. POM investigations of the guanidinium derivatives showed Maltese crosses (Supporting Information, Figures S9d,e). XRD studies revealed a sharp (001) reflex and a broad halo (Supporting Information, Figures S13 and S14). The layer distance calculated from the (001) reflex decreased with

Figure 2. a) ORTEP drawing of the structure of 1,2-bis(diisopropylamino)cyclopropenium tetrafluoroborate 8a in the solid state and b) packing diagram viewed along the b axis.

Table 1: Phase transition temperatures (°C) and enthalpies ΔH (kJ mol⁻¹) of cyclopropenium salts 7, 8, 9, 10, and reference ILCs 12–14 for comparison.\cite{60}

| Compound | Cr | T (°C) | ΔH (kJ mol⁻¹) | Mesophase | T (°C) | l | Cycle | Ref. |
|----------|----|--------|---------------|-----------|--------|---|-------|------|
| 7a       | 0  | 98 (39.6) | – – | – | 2nd H |
| 7b       | 0  | 103 (49.5) | SmA | 117 (0.8) | 3rd H |
| 7c       | 0  | 106 (54.3) | SmA | 140 (0.9) | 3rd C |
| 8a       | 0  | 113 – – | – | – | POM |
| 8b       | 0  | 114 – – | – | – | POM |
| 8c       | 0  | 114 – – | – | – | POM |
| 9        | 0  | 55 (81.2) | Col | 63 (15.4) | 1st H[6] |
| 10a      | 0  | 47 (68.3) | Col | 169 (0.7) | 1st H |
| 10b      | 0  | 5 (14.5) | Col | 169[6] | 1st C |
| 10c      | 0  | 121 (22.9) | – | – | 1st H[6] |
| 12a      | 0  | 103 (1.6) | SmA | 112[6] | 2nd H |
| 12b      | 0  | 102 (2.0) | SmA | 112[6] | 2nd C |
| 13a      | 0  | 93 (50.0) | SmA | 123 (0.8) | 2nd H |
| 13b      | 0  | 91 (52.1) | SmA | 149 (1.2) | 2nd H |
| 13c      | 0  | 51 (54.5) | Col | 146 (0.5) | 1st H[55b] |
| 14       | 0  | 33 (32.7) | Col | 228[5b] | 1st H[55b] |

[a] Phases observed: crystalline (Cr), smectic A (SmA), columnar rectangular (Col), columnar hexagonal (Colh), isotropic liquid (I). [b] Heating rate 5 K min⁻¹. [c] Determined by POM, in the DSC no transition was observed. [d] Additional Cr–Cr transitions. [e] Cr–Cr transition at 54 °C (3.6 kJ mol⁻¹). [f] Decomposition upon reaching isotropic melt. H denotes heating, C denotes cooling.
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increasing temperature. Together, this indicates a SmA phase for these derivatives.

Comparing the results for 7 and 12, both are forming SmA phases, showing that the bis(dimethylamino)cyclopropenium cation behaved as an extended deltic guanidinium cation with a slightly decreased mesophase stability and temperature range. Notably, the smectic layer arrangement is favored despite the increase of the cross-sectional area of the head group of 7, as compared to the guanidinium derivatives 12.

Subsequently, the mesomorphic properties of wedge-shaped gallic acid phenyl-ester-based cyclopropenium salts 10 and the synthetic precursor 9 were investigated. Ammonium salt 9 presented a mesophase between 59 °C and 64 °C. Although under the POM textures (Supporting Information, Figure S9a, b) were visible upon repeated heating and cooling, DSC analysis revealed thermal decomposition. Therefore, the phase transition temperatures were taken from the first heating. XRD experiments showed several reflexes in the small-angle region. These were assigned as (10), (11), (04), (40), (42), (50), and (52) reflexes, indicating a columnar rectangular (Col.) mesophase with p2mm symmetry (Supporting Information, Figure S10). Derivative 10a displayed an endothermal melting transition at 47 °C and an endothermal clearing transition at 169 °C upon heating, and an exothermal crystallization at 5 °C upon cooling. The isotropic to mesophase transition temperature of 169 °C was taken from POM experiments. Under the POM, mosaic textures were observed (Figure 3c), suggesting either a planar aligned columnar phase or a lamellar phase.

The small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) profile of 10a displayed three sharp reflections with a ratio of 1:1:√3:1:√4, which were indexed as (10), (11) and (20) reflections of a hexagonal columnar lattice with p6mm symmetry, and a lattice parameter of 49.0 Å was calculated. In the wide-angle region a broad halo around 4.5 Å was observed (Table 2; Supporting Information, Figure S11). Taking the value of 4.5 Å for the average chain distance into the calculation of the number of molecules per columnar repeat (Z), Z = 5 was obtained. In contrast, the increased bulkiness of the 1,2-bis(diisopropylamino)cyclopropenium headgroup in derivative 10b strongly disfavored mesomorphic self-assembly. When cyclopropenium chloride 10c was heated in the DSC, an endothermal Cr–Cr transition at 54 °C and an endothermal melting transition at 103 °C was measured. No clearing transition could be detected until decomposition occurred, but POM revealed a clearing temperature of 112 °C. In the cooling cycle the corresponding phase transitions were observed at 112 °C (POM) and 102 °C (DSC), indicating enantiotropic behavior. Bâtonnet textures with homeotropic domains under the POM (Figure 3d) suggested the presence of a SmA mesophase. In the small-angle regime a sharp reflection at 2θ = 1.8°/49.9 Å and a reflex at 2θ = 3.6°/24.8 Å
were observed that were assigned as (001) and (002) smectic layer reflexes (Figure 5b). The wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) profile showed a broad halo around 4.2 Å (Figure 5a). As a consequence of increasing decomposition of the sample with prolonged exposure time, temperature-dependent layer spacings could not be determined. Presumably, the dimethoxybenzyl (DMB) protecting groups were cleaved under these conditions.\[^{[67]}\]

Structurally related guanidinium derivatives were considered for comparison. Compounds 13a and 13b are already known\[^{[27]}\] and demonstrated a columnar hexagonal (Colh) mesophase. For derivative 13a with triflate anion, an enantiotropic mesophase was observed between 51°C and 146°C. Derivative 13b, with tetrafluoroborate anion, had a broader mesophase range because of a lower melting point at 33°C and a much higher clearing temperature at 228°C, leading to decomposition upon clearing. Derivative 14, with a trimethyl ammonium head group, was also investigated. DSC analysis revealed a mesophase between 31°C and 139°C. XRD studies, together with POM textures (Supporting Information, Figures S9c and S12) revealed the presence of a Colh mesophase.

Initially, we surmised that the bulky 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl protecting group might deteriorate any liquid crystalline self-assembly, in particular considering the size misfit of headgroup and core unit. However, the results demonstrate that nanosegregation is favored because of polyphilic interactions. Presumably, a smectic bilayer-type organization is realized by a π–π stacked electron-rich aryl layer, followed by a charged layer, where the cyclopropenium cations are counterbalanced by the tetrafluoroborate anions, followed by an aryl layer of the gallic acid phenyl esters and a hydrophobic layer. Notably, derivative 11 carrying two cyclopropenium headgroups was non-mesomorphic. Thus, the combination of steric hindrance and Coulomb repulsion of two cations in a close vicinity seems to disfavor liquid crystallinity.

**Conclusion**

We demonstrate, for the first time, that aminocyclopropenium salts self-assemble into liquid crystalline mesophases. Nanosegregation of immiscible parts, electrostatic interactions, and volume requirements of both the head group and hydrophobic parts play a major role in controlling the mesophase stability. The geometry of the mesophase was determined by the effective volume of headgroup versus hydrophobic part, in agreement with Israelachvili’s packing model for lyotropic liquid crystals.\[^{[28]}\] Thus, aminocyclopropenium salts with small N,N-dimethylamino substituents and

---

**Table 2:** XRD data of phenylalkoxybenzoate based ILCs 7b and 7c, 9, 10a and 10c, 12a and 12c, and 14.

| Compound | Mesophase                  | Lattice parameter [Å] | d Values [Å] | Miller indices |
|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
|          |                            |                       |  |                |
| 7b       | SmA at 105°C               | –                     | 39.61        | (001)          |
| 7c       | SmA at 115°C               | –                     | 4.4          | halo           |
| 9        | Col, at 60°C, p2mm         | a = 57.90             | 52.34        | (01)           |
|          |                            | b = 52.34             | 38.83 (38.83)| (11)           |
|          |                            |                       | 14.17 (14.47)| (41)           |
|          |                            |                       | 13.06 (13.09)| (04)           |
|          |                            |                       | 11.95 (11.92)| (24)           |
|          |                            |                       | 10.47 (10.47)| (05)           |
|          |                            |                       | 9.76 (9.85)  | (25)           |
| 10a      | Col, at 160°C, p6mm        | a = 49.00             | 42.44        | (10)           |
|          |                            |                       | 24.25 (24.50)| (11)           |
|          |                            |                       | 21.12 (21.22)| (20)           |
|          |                            |                       | 4.5          | halo           |
| 10c      | SmA at 115°C               | –                     | 49.92        | (001)          |
|          |                            |                       | 24.79 (24.96)| (002)          |
| 12a      | SmA at 95°C                | –                     | 36.61        | (001)          |
| 12b      | SmA at 95°C                | –                     | 39.58        | (001)          |
| 14       | Col, at 31°C, p6mm         | a = 52.43             | 45.41        | (10)           |
|          |                            |                       | 22.75 (22.70)| (20)           |
|          |                            |                       | 4.5          | halo           |

---

**Figure 5.** a) WAXS and b) SAXS profile of 10c at 171°C (a) and 115°C (b). Inset: the respective diffraction image.
a single alkoxy chain attached to the aryl unit (7b and 7c) form lamellar geometries (Figures 6 and 7), which is similar to the corresponding guanidinium salts 12a and 12b. With increasing steric demand of the headgroup mesomorphism is lost (for example, N,N-diisopropylamino 8a–c). Precursor 9 with a polar ammonium headgroup was capable of forming a Col mesophase with p2mm symmetry. On the other hand, wedge-shaped aminocyclopropenium salts with N,N-dimethylamino groups self-assemble into micellar-like columnar geometries (for example, 10a; Figure 7), which is similar to trimethylammonium (14) and guanidinium salts 13a and 13b. Again, mesomorphism was lost with more sterically demanding headgroups (diisopropylamino). However, when the headgroup surpasses a certain size requiring a similar volume as the hydrophobic part and provides additional polyphilic interactions, lamellar mesophases were found again (for example, 10c). These results are in good agreement with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on pyridinium ILCs, which revealed that only those compounds with a relatively large volume ratio of cation to anion form stable SmA phases.[69]

In conclusion, aminocyclopropenium ILCs serve as well-defined model compounds to study self-assembly and nano-segregation, which are important in polyelectrolytes used for battery materials. These ILCs bridge the gap between low molecular weight organocatalysts and polymeric electrolytes, and thus, contribute to the general utility of 3-ring aromatic compounds.
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