Synthesis and Antidepressant Activity Profile of Some Novel Benzothiazole Derivatives
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Abstract: Within the scope of our new antidepressant drug development efforts, in this study, we synthesized eight novel benzothiazole derivatives 3a–3h. The chemical structures of the synthesized compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic methods. Test compounds were administered orally at a dose of 40 mg/kg to mice 24, 5 and 1 h before performing tail suspension, modified forced swimming, and activity cage tests. The obtained results showed that compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h reduced the immobility time of mice as assessed in the tail suspension test. Moreover, in the modified forced swimming tests, the same compounds significantly decreased the immobility, but increased the swimming frequencies of mice, without any alteration in the climbing frequencies. These results, similar to the results induced by the reference drug fluoxetine (20 mg/kg, po), indicated the antidepressant-like activities of the compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h. Owing to the fact that test compounds did not induce any significant alteration in the total number of spontaneous locomotor activities, the antidepressant-like effects of these derivatives seemed to be specific. In order to predict ADME parameters of the synthesized compounds 3a–3h, some physicochemical parameters were calculated. The ADME prediction study revealed that all synthesized compounds may possess good pharmacokinetic profiles.
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1. Introduction

Depression is a common and serious disability-causing mental disorder with high incidence and recurrence [1,2]. It is estimated by the World Health Organization that in the future it will become the second major cause of disability after cardiovascular diseases [2]. Depression is characterized by several clinical symptoms, including sadness, loss of interest or pleasure, disturbed sleep and appetite, feelings of tiredness, low self-esteem or poor concentration [1]. Currently used antidepressant drugs alleviate the symptoms of major depression, but these treatment approaches have many limitations such as requirement of long times to produce therapeutic responses, low response rates, and various side effects [3,4], therefore, there is a strong demand to develop novel antidepressant agents with greater efficacy and fewer adverse effects.

Benzothiazole belongs to a class of bicyclic heterocyclic compounds, formed with a fused benzene and thiazole moiety containing nitrogen and sulphur in its structure [5]. The benzothiazole core has attracted continuing interest for drug development studies, because it has demonstrated a wide spectrum of pharmacological activities such as anticancer [6–8], antiviral [9], antibacterial [7], antituberculosus [10], antimalarial [11], antifungal [7,12], antihelmintic [13], antileishmanial [14], antiinflammatory [15], antidiabetic [16], antioxidant [17], antiasthmatic [18], and immunomodulatory...
properties [19]. Apart from these activities, the benzothiazole moiety has also attracted attention in central nervous system (CNS)-related drug discovery studies. Several pharmacological activities such as analgesic [20,21], anticonvulsant [22,23], anti-Alzheimer [24,25], anticholinesterase [26,27], MAO inhibitory [28], adenosine receptor antagonist [29], and neuroprotective effects [30,31] of benzothiazole derivatives have been reported. Furthermore, there are approved drugs that contain a benzothiazole core. For example, riluzole is used for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Besides, another benzothiazole-based drug, pramipexole, has been approved for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease [32]. In addition to these CNS-related pharmacological effects, various benzothiazole-based compounds have been shown to possess remarkable binding affinities to serotonin transporters, 5HT1A, and also 5HT2A receptors [33,34], which are important sites of action for antidepressant activity. The antidepressant-like activities of several benzothiazole derivatives have been shown by various animal model such as forced swimming and tail suspension tests (TST) [33,35].

Based on the previous papers reporting the antidepressant-like potential of the benzothiazole scaffold, in this study, we synthesized novel compounds containing this ring system and investigated their potential antidepressant-like effects.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Target molecules 3a–3h were synthesized in three steps as shown in Scheme 1. Initially, 5,6-dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-amine (1) were prepared via reaction of 3,4-dimethylaniline, potassium thiocyanate, and bromine. In the second step, compound 1 was acetylated with chloroacetyl chloride to afford 2-chloro-N-(5,6-dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl) acetamide (2). Finally, compound 2 was reacted with piperazine or piperidine derivatives in acetone to obtain target compounds (3a–3h).

| Comp. | Comp. |
|-------|-------|
| 3a    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3b    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3c    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3d    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3e    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3f    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3g    | ![](N-4.png) |
| 3h    | ![](N-4.png) |

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the compounds 3a–3h.
Structure elucidations of the final compounds were performed by FT-IR, $^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and HRMS spectroscopic methods. In the IR spectra, significant stretching bands due to N-H, C=O bonds at 3315–3238 cm$^{-1}$ and 1705–1685 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, were observed. The stretching absorption belonging to the 1,4-disubstituted benzene unit was determined at 839–831 cm$^{-1}$. In the $^1$H-NMR spectra, N-H and -CH$_2$ protons in the amide group observed as a singlet at 12.01–11.84 ppm and 3.38–3.01 ppm, respectively. The -CH$_3$ protons gave a singlet peak between 2.24–2.32 ppm. The C4 and C7 protons of the benzothiazole ring gave peaks at 7.70–7.36 and 7.53–7.20 ppm as a singlet, respectively. In the $^{13}$C-NMR spectra carbon of C=O group was assigned to the peaks at 169.62–171.27 ppm. All measured mass and isotope scores were compatible with calculated values for the compounds 3a–3h.

2.2. Pharmacology

In this study, based on the antidepressant activity potential of benzothiazole-based compounds, we searched for potential antidepressant-like effects of the newly synthesized benzothiazole derivatives 3a–3h through TST and modified forced swimming tests (MFST). Activity cage tests were also incorporated into the experimental protocol to evaluate any possible effect of the test compounds on the spontaneous locomotor activities of mice. Test compounds were administered to the mice at a dose of 40 mg/kg, which was previously reported for benzothiazole-based compounds [33].

As represented in Figure 1, the immobility time of the mice treated with compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h were lower than the corresponding values of the control group in TST [F (9, 50) = 12.29; $p < 0.001$]. Fluoxetine, used as a reference drug, also reduced the immobility time of animals, as expected. However, compounds 3a, 3b and 3e were ineffective in TST (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image.png)

Figure 1. The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) and fluoxetine (20 mg/kg) on the immobility time of the mice in TST. Significant differences versus control values, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Values are given as mean ± SEM, (n = 6).

As shown in Figure 2, like the reference drug fluoxetine, administration of compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h significantly decreased the immobility [F (9, 50) = 14.23; $p < 0.001$] (Figure 2) but increased the swimming frequencies [F (9, 50) = 12.54; $p < 0.001$] (Figure 3) of mice without affecting the climbing behavior [F (9, 50) = 1.11; $p > 0.05$] in the MFST (Figure 4). However, compounds 3a, 3b and 3e were ineffective in MFST (Figures 2–4).
Figure 2. The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) and fluoxetine (20 mg/kg) on the immobility frequencies of the mice in MFST. Significant differences versus control values, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Values are given as mean ± SEM, (n = 6).

Figure 3. The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) and fluoxetine (20 mg/kg) on the swimming frequencies of the mice in MFST. Significant differences versus control values, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Values are given as mean ± SEM, (n = 6).

Figure 4. The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) and fluoxetine (20 mg/kg) on the climbing frequencies of the mice in MFST. Values are given as mean ± SEM, (n = 6).
Total number of horizontal \( [F(8, 45) = 0.79; p > 0.05] \) (Figure 5) or vertical \( [F(8, 45) = 0.85; p > 0.05] \) (Figure 6) spontaneous locomotor activities of the animals did not alter following the administration of the test compounds.

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) on the horizontal locomotor activities of the mice in activity cage test. Values are given as mean ± SEM, \((n = 6)\).

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** The effects of the test compounds 3a–3h (40 mg/kg) on the vertical locomotor activities of the mice in activity cage test. Values are given as mean ± SEM, \((n = 6)\).

TST and MFST, rapid and validated animal tests, are widely used for screening the antidepressant-like activity [36]. In both of these tests, animals are exposed to a short-term and inescapable stress. Mice that are hanged from their tails in TST or forced to swim in a restricted space in MFST, initially carry out escape behaviors and then experience an “immobile posture” reflecting the behavioral despair phenomenon. The immobility behavior is accepted to associate with the depressive-like state of the animals and thus, agents reducing duration or frequency of the immobility are supposed to possess antidepressant-like effect [36–39].

In our study, in the TST and MFST, compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h induced significant decreases in the duration (Figure 1) and the frequencies (Figure 2) of immobility behaviors of mice, respectively. These findings pointed out the antidepressant-like effects of compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h.

MFST, superior to TST, allows to establish correlations between the “analyzed behaviors” and the “involved neurotransmitter systems”. Namely, decrease in the immobility with simultaneous increase in the swimming behavior is related to the enhanced serotonergic neurotransmission. On the
other hand, agents acting on the noradrenergic system reduce immobility with a corresponding increase in the climbing behavior [36,40,41]. In this study, compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h, similar to serotonergic agent fluoxetine, induces significant decrease in the immobility and increase in the swimming frequencies of mice (Figures 2 and 3). These findings pointed out that serotonergic rather than noradrenergic system plays a significant role in the antidepressant-like effects of compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h.

It is known that agents that alter locomotor activity counts of animals may induce false positive or false negative results in TST and MFST [42,43]. In the present study, in order to clarify whether the antidepressant-like effects of the tested compounds are associated with a possible change in the locomotor activities of mice, we performed activity cage tests. Obtained results revealed that test compounds did not induce any alteration in the total number of horizontal or vertical locomotor activities of the mice (Figures 5 and 6). These data indicate that observed antidepressant-like effects of the test compounds were specific.

Most of new drug candidates fail in clinical trials owing to their toxicological profiles and reduced ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) properties. The late-stage failures significantly cause to growing cost of new drug development. The capability to identify problematic issues early can intensely reduce the amount of missed time and funds, and rationalize the overall development progression. Therefore, pharmacokinetic properties of new drug candidates are extremely vital and should be assessed as early as possible in the drug development process. In this context, ADME prediction can be used to focus lead optimization to improve the preferred features of a compound [44].

In this study, predictions of ADME parameters of synthesized compounds 3a–3h were carried out using the QikProp 4.8 software [45]. This software calculates the violations of Lipinski’s rule of five [46] and Jorgensen’s rule of three [47], which evaluate the ADME properties of new drug candidates, and is essential for the optimization of a biologically active compound. The theoretical calculations about the CNS score; number of rotatable bonds (RB) molecular weight (MW), molecular volume (MV), number of hydrogen donors (DHB), number of hydrogen acceptors (AHB), octanol/water partition coefficient (logP), aqueous solubility (logS), apparent Caco-2 cell permeability (PCaco), number of likely primer metabolic reactions (PM), percent of human oral absorption (%HOA), polar surface area (PSA) are presented in Table 1 along with the violations of rules of three (VRT) and five (VRF). According to Lipinski’s rule of five, all compounds 3a–3h abide to the rules causing no violation. Moreover, these compounds meet Jorgensen’s rule of three with no more than one violation. Besides, it can be seen that all results of the rules of three and five are within the recommended ranges. CNS scores (1–2) of the compounds (Table 1) indicate that all compounds are able to cross through CNS which is essential for antidepressant drugs. However, the compounds 3a and 3b, carrying piperidine moiety, were inactive. This finding suggests that piperazine ring system has more contribution to antidepressant activity than piperidine moiety.

Among the piperazine bearing compounds 3c–3h, compound 3e was ineffective. Comparing the structural distance of these compounds, it was thought that extended side chain on the 4th position of the piperazine may cause an activity decrease in compound 3e. On the other hand, due to the preliminary pharmacological activity screening, a single dose (40 mg/kg) of the compounds was administered to animals and a dose-response relationship was not established. Therefore, investigating potential effect of different doses of compound 3e may be beneficial in further studies.

Antidepressant-like potency of compounds 3c, 3d, 3f–3h also different from each other (Figures 1 and 2). Although the difference did not reach the statistical significance, compounds 3f, 3g and 3h, carrying phenyl or benzyl substituents on 4th position of piperazine, seemed to be more active than compounds 3c and 3d. This may be caused by the better penetrance of compounds 3f, 3g and 3h to the CNS; since these compounds have higher scores of logP, a predictive indicator of lipophilicity and membrane penetration, than 3c and 3d.
Table 1. Some physicochemical parameters of the compounds 3a–3h used in prediction of ADME profiles.

| Comp | RB | CNS | MW   | MV   | DHB | AHB | LogP | LogS | PCaco | PM | %HOA | PSA | VRF | VRT |
|------|----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 3a   | 3  | 1   | 303.42 | 1023 | 1   | 6   | 2.58 | −3.55 | 729.17 | 5  | 93.28 | 49.84 | 0   | 0   |
| 3b   | 3  | 1   | 317.45 | 1056 | 1   | 6   | 2.78 | −3.78 | 712.35 | 5  | 94.29 | 49.82 | 0   | 0   |
| 3c   | 3  | 2   | 318.44 | 1065 | 1   | 8   | 1.43 | −2.01 | 152.72 | 6  | 74.38 | 57.29 | 0   | 0   |
| 3d   | 4  | 2   | 332.46 | 1128 | 1   | 8   | 1.83 | −2.39 | 161.61 | 6  | 77.18 | 58.61 | 0   | 0   |
| 3e   | 3  | 1   | 398.50 | 1256 | 1   | 7   | 3.93 | −5.42 | 680.86 | 5  | 100.00 | 56.25 | 0   | 0   |
| 3f   | 5  | 2   | 412.52 | 1309 | 1   | 8   | 3.33 | −3.98 | 175.24 | 7  | 86.57 | 55.97 | 0   | 1   |
| 3g   | 5  | 2   | 428.98 | 1337 | 1   | 8   | 3.58 | −4.35 | 175.24 | 7  | 88.07 | 55.97 | 0   | 1   |
| 3h   | 8  | 1   | 465.66 | 1562 | 1   | 10  | 3.26 | −3.51 | 39.60  | 9  | 74.63 | 61.00 | 0   | 1   |

RB: Number of rotatable bonds (recommended value: 0–15); CNS: Predicted central nervous system activity on a −2 (inactive) to +2 (active) scale; MW: Molecular weight (recommended <500 g/mol); MV: Molecular volume in cubic angstroms using a probe with a 1.4 Å radius (recommended value: 500–2000); DHB: Estimated number of hydrogen bonds that would be donated by the solute to water molecules in an aqueous solution (recommended value: 0–6); AHB: Estimated number of hydrogen bonds that would be accepted by the solute from water molecules in an aqueous solution (recommended value: 2–20); LogP: Predicted octanol/water partition coefficient (recommended value: −2–6.5); LogS: Predicted aqueous solubility (recommended value: −6.5–0.5 mol dm$^{-3}$); PCaco: Predicted apparent Caco-2 cell permeability in nm/sec. Caco-2 cells are a model for the gut-blood barrier. QikProp predictions are for non-active transport (recommended value: <25 poor; >500 great); PM: Number of likely primer metabolic reactions (recommended value: 1–8); %HOA: Predicted human oral absorption on 0 to 100% scale. The prediction is based on a quantitative multiple linear regression model. This property usually correlates well with Human Oral-Absorption, as both measure the same property (recommended value: >80% is high, <25% is poor); PSA: Van der Waals surface area of polar nitrogen and oxygen atoms and carbonyl carbon atoms (recommended value: 7–200); VRF: Number of violations of Lipinski’s rule of five. The rules are: 7 < PSA < 200, MW < 500, logP < 5, DHB ≤ 5, AHB ≤ 10. Compounds that satisfy these rules are considered druglike. (The "five" refers to the limits, which are multiples of 5); VRT: Number of violations of Jorgensen’s rule of three. The three rules are: logS > −5.7, PCaco > 22 nm/s, PM < 7. Compounds with fewer (and preferably no) violations of these rules are more likely to be orally available.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

All chemicals were purchased either from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) or Merck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and used without further chemical purification. Melting points of the synthesized compounds were determined by a MP90 digital melting point apparatus (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA) and were uncorrected. $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-$d_6$ by a Bruker digital FT-NMR spectrometer (Bruker Bioscience, Billerica, MA, USA) at 300/75 MHz. The IR spectra were obtained on an IR Prestige-21 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). LC-MS-MS studies were performed on a Shimadzu IT-TOF-LC-MS-MS system. The purities of compounds were checked by TLC on silica gel 60 F254 (Merck KGaA).

3.1.1. Synthesis of 5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-amine (1)

3,4-Dimethylaniline (0.04 mol, 4.84 g) and KSCN (0.048 mol, 4.66 g) in glacial acetic acid (10 mL) were cooled in an ice bath. Bromine (0.048 mol, 2.47 mL) in glacial acetic acid (10 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The iced-water (50 mL) was added in the mixture and then pH was adjusted to 11 using sodium hydroxide pellets. The precipitate was filtered, dried and recrystallized from ethanol [27].

3.1.2. Synthesis of 2-Chloro-N-(5,6-dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl) Acetamide (2)

5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-amine (1, 0.032 mol, 5.7 g) and triethylamine (0.038 mol, 3.85 mL) in THF (50 mL) were allowed to stir on an ice bath. Chloroacetyl chloride (0.032 mol, 3.58 g) in THF (10 mL) was added drop by drop. After this stage, the content was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. THF was evaporated and the product was recrystallized from ethanol [27,48].
3.1.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-substituted piperazin/piperidine-1-yl) Acetamides 3a-3h

2-Chloro-N-(5,6-dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl) acetamide (2, 0.001 mol, 0.25 g), potassium carbonate (0.001 mol, 0.138 g) and appropriate piperazine/piperidine derivative (0.001 mol) were dissolved in acetone. The solution was refluxed at 40 °C for 12 h. Acetone was evaporated, residue was washed with water, filtered, dried and recrystallized from ethanol [27].

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(piperidin-1-yl) acetamide (3a). Yield: 78%. m.p. 167.2 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3273 (N-H), 1705 (C=O). ¹H-NMR δ (ppm): 1.38–1.52 (7H, m, piperazine CH₂), 2.24 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.45–2.51 (3H, m, piperidine CH₂), 3.20 (2H, s, CH₂), 7.45 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.62 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.84 (1H, s, NH). ¹³C-NMR δ (ppm): 19.96, 20.19, 24.10, 26.02, 54.38, 62.65, 120.89, 121.75, 129.61, 131.82, 134.54, 147.98, 159.44, 171.20 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₆H₂₃N₃O: 304.1466; found: 304.1478.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl) acetamide (3c). Yield: 88%. m.p. 209.7 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3277 (N-H), 1688 (C=O). ¹H-NMR δ (ppm): 0.88 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.16–1.32 (3H, m, piperidine), 1.51–1.55 (2H, s, piperidine), 1.97–2.05 (2H, m, piperidine), 2.24 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.20 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.87 (2H, s, piperidine), 7.20 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.36 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.87 (1H, s, NH). ¹³C-NMR δ (ppm): 19.98, 20.22, 21.45, 30.05, 33.17, 53.96, 62.68, 120.89, 121.75, 129.61, 131.82, 134.54, 147.98, 159.44, 171.20 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₇H₂₅N₅O: 318.1625; found: 318.1635.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl) acetamide (3b). Yield: 83%. m.p. 252.5 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3275 (N-H), 1705 (C=O). ¹H-NMR δ (ppm): 0.88 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.16–1.32 (3H, m, piperidine), 2.21–2.33 (10H, m, piperazine CH), 2.32 (2H, s, CH₂), 7.43 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.60 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.84 (1H, s, NH). ¹³C-NMR δ (ppm): 19.95, 20.20, 46.26, 53.07, 55.16, 61.99, 120.80, 121.72, 129.68, 131.62, 134.41, 148.08, 158.85, 171.16 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₇H₂₅N₅O: 318.1576; found: 319.1587.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (3d). Yield: 85%. m.p. 146.4 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3244 (N-H), 1685 (C=O). ¹H-NMR δ (ppm): 1.54 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.25 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.31 (3H, s, CH₂), 2.39 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH₂), 2.50 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH₂), 3.30 (2H, s, CH₂), 7.53 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.70 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.86 (1H, s, NH). ¹³C-NMR δ (ppm): 12.48, 20.01, 20.17, 52.05, 52.75, 53.12, 60.74, 121.34, 121.92, 129.28, 135.24, 147.48, 156.96, 169.62 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₇H₂₄N₄O₃S: 333.1730; found: 333.1744.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-4-fluorobenzyl)piperazin-1-yl)acetamide (3f). Yield: 81%. m.p. 120.6 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3238 (N-H), 1693 (C=O), 831 (1,4-disubstituted benzene). ¹H-NMR δ (ppm): 2.24 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.29 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.38 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH₂), 2.50 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH₂), 3.23 (2H, s, CH₂), 3.44 (2H, s, CH₂), 7.09–7.15 (2H, m, fluorobenzene C-H), 7.30–7.34 (2H, m, fluorobenzene C-H), 7.42 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.59 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.84 (1H, s, NH). ¹³C-NMR δ (ppm): 19.95, 20.19, 52.97, 53.12, 61.61, 62.01, 115.30 (d, J = 20.9 Hz), 120.77, 121.70, 129.69,
131.05 (d, J = 7.9 Hz), 131.55, 134.36, 134.90 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 148.10, 160.15, 161.68 (d, J = 240.7 Hz), 171.27 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]^+ calcd. for C_{22}H_{25}N_{4}OFS: 413.1799; found: 413.1806.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperazin-1-yl) acetamide (3g). Yield: 77%. m.p. 115.2 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3238 (N-H), 1693 (C=O), 839 (1,4-disubstituted benzene). \(^1\)H-NMR \(\delta\) (ppm): 2.25 (3H, s, CH\(_3\)), 2.31 (3H, s, CH\(_3\)), 2.40 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH\(_2\)), 2.50 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH\(_2\)), 3.30 (2H, s, CH\(_2\)), 3.45 (2H, s, CH\(_2\)), 7.31 (2H, d, J = 8.58 Hz, 1,4-disubstituted benzene), 7.37 (2H, d, J = 8.58 Hz, 1,4-disubstituted benzene), 7.52 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.69 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.85 (1H, s, NH). \(^{13}\)C-NMR \(\delta\) (ppm): 19.99, 20.16, 52.91, 53.02, 60.70, 61.53, 121.32, 121.90, 128.58, 129.29, 131.01, 131.86, 132.84, 135.20, 137.79, 147.50, 157.08, 169.66 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]^+ calcd. for C_{22}H_{25}N_{4}OSCl: 429.1495; found: 429.1510.

N-(5,6-Dimethylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-(2-(dimethylamino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl) acetamide (3h). Yield: 74%. m.p. 132.1 °C. FTIR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3257 (N-H), 1693 (C=O). \(^1\)H-NMR \(\delta\) (ppm): 2.12 (6H, s, CH\(_3\)), 2.24 (3H, s, CH\(_3\)), 2.31 (3H, s, CH\(_3\)), 2.34 (2H, s, CH\(_2\)), 2.35 (2H, s, CH\(_2\)), 2.41 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH\(_2\)), 2.50 (4H, br.s., piperazine CH\(_2\)), 3.28 (2H, s, CH\(_2\)), 7.52 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H7), 7.69 (1H, s, benzothiazole, H4), 11.85 (1H, s, NH). \(^{13}\)C-NMR \(\delta\) (ppm): 19.99, 20.16, 46.01, 53.11, 53.48, 56.36, 57.08, 60.76, 121.34, 121.90, 129.29, 132.87, 135.22, 147.48, 157.01, 169.63 (C=O). HRMS (m/z): [M + H]^+ calcd. for C_{19}H_{29}N_{5}OS: 376.2174; found: 376.2166.

3.2. Prediction of ADME Parameters

In order to predict ADME parameters of synthesized compounds (3a–3h), some physicochemical parameters were calculated by using the QikProp 4.8 software (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, USA) [45].

3.3. Pharmacology

3.3.1. Animals

Experiments were realized with adult BALB/c mice (Source: Anadolu University, Center for Animal Experiments and Research, Eskişehir, Turkey, 30–35 g). All animals were maintained under controlled environmental conditions (temperature 25 ± 1 °C, relative humidity 65% and 12 h light-dark cycle-lights on at 8:00 AM). Animals were acclimatized to laboratory conditions 24 h before the onset of experiment. The animals were maintained and treated during the experiments in accordance with the instructions of the Local Ethical Committee on Animal Experimentation of Anadolu University, Eskişehir, Turkey, which approved this study. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used in the experiments.

3.3.2. Drugs and Treatments

Test compounds were administrated to the mice at a dose of 40 mg/kg [33]. As test compounds were dissolved in sunflower oil, control groups received the same volume of it. In order to validate the experiments, fluoxetine (20 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company), a classical antidepressant drug, was used as a positive control. Test compounds, fluoxetine and vehicle were administrated to animals in a constant 10 mL/kg volume of body weight, through an oral gavage three times 24, 5 and 1 h before the experiments [43].

3.3.3. Behavioral Experiments

Tail Suspension Test

The TST was realized according to method described previously [39]. Acoustically and visually isolated mice were individually suspended 30 cm above the floor by their tail using adhesive tape (placed approximately 1 cm from the tip of the tail). After initial escape-oriented movements, mice
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Hung passively and become completely motionless which is defined as immobile posture. The total duration of immobility (s) was recorded during the last 4 of 6 min test duration [49].

Modified Forced Swimming Test

The MFST was performed as described previously [40,50]. Mice were placed individually in a transparent glass cylinder (12 cm in diameter, 30 cm height), which was filled with water to a height of 20 cm. The temperature of the water was adjusted to 25 ± 1 °C. Two swimming sessions were performed: an initial 15-min pretest period and 24 h afterwards a 5-min test period. During the 5-min test, one of the following behavioral categories over a 5-s interval were scored [40,51]:

1. Immobility: Mouse was in an upright position on the surface with its front paws together and making only those movements required to keep the head above the water.
2. Swimming: Mouse was moving in horizontally throughout the swim chamber and crossing into another quadrant.
3. Climbing: Mouse was making vertical movements with its forepaws along the side of the swim chamber.

The water in the cylinder was changed after the test was performed for each mouse to avoid the influence of alarm substances. After both swimming sessions, the animals were removed from the cylinders, dried with towels, and returned to their home cages.

Activity Cage Test

The locomotor activities were evaluated individually for each animal with activity cage device (Ugo Basile, No. 7420, Varese, Italy). Parts located on two opposite sides of the device produce infrared (IR) beams. Horizontal and vertical movements of the animals were disrupted the IR light beams to the photocells and these interruptions were recorded automatically for 4 min by apparatus. The floor of the apparatus was cleaned with ethanol solution between tests [52,53].

3.3.4. Statistical Analyses

All analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 6.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The data used in statistical analyses were acquired from 6 animals for each group. Statistical analysis of the behavioral tests was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), which was followed by Tukey’s post hoc comparison test. All experimental results are presented as mean (s) ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M). Probability values less than 0.05 (p < 0.05) were accepted as significant.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the antidepressant-like activities of some novel benzothiazole derivative compounds were examined using some in vivo methods. The obtained results indicated that compounds 3c, 3d, and 3f–3h possess antidepressant-like effects in the TST and MFST, with no accompanying effect on locomotor activities of the animals. Moreover, theoretical prediction of ADME properties of the synthesized compounds indicated that all compounds seem to have good pharmacokinetic profiles. In addition to the potent intrinsic pharmacological activities of the tested benzothiazole derivatives, the good pharmacokinetic profiles of these compounds make them interesting drug candidates. However, detailed studies are required to clarify the pharmacological mechanisms underlying the observed antidepressant-like action. Moreover, comprehensive preclinical, and also clinical studies are needed to validate antidepressant efficacy of these compounds.

Acknowledgments: This study was financially supported by Anadolu University Scientific Projects Fund, Project No: 1705S312.

Author Contributions: Ü.D.Ö. and Ö.D.C. designed the research, conducted the animal experiments; Ü.D.Ö., C.K. and Ö.D.C. performed the statistical evaluation, discussed the pharmacological results. U.A.Ç. performed
the synthetic work. Ü.D.Ö. mainly wrote the manuscript and was also responsible for the correspondence of the manuscript. All authors discussed, edited and approved the final version.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Pytka, K.; Podkowa, K.; Rapacz, A.; Podkowa, A.; Zmudzka, E.; Olczyk, A.; Sapa, J.; Filipak, B. The role of serotonergic, adrenergic and dopaminergic receptors in antidepressant-like effect. Pharmcol. Rep. 2016, 68, 263–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Wang, G.L.; He, Z.M.; Zhu, H.Y.; Gao, Y.G.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, H.; Zhang, L.X. Involvement of serotonergic, noradrenergic and dopaminergic systems in the antidepressant-like effect of ginsenoside Rb1, a major active ingredient of Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2017, 204, 118–124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Chandrasekhar, Y.; Ramya, E.M.; Navya, K.; Phani Kumar, G.; Anilakumar, K.R. Antidepressant-like effects of hydrolysable tannins of Terminalia catappa leaf extract via modulation of hippocampal plasticity and regulation of monoamine neurotransmitters subjected to chronic mild stress (CMS). Biomed. Pharmacother. 2017, 86, 414–425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Zhang, Q.; Guo, F.; Fu, Z.W.; Zhang, B.; Huang, C.G.; Li, Y. Timosaponin derivative YY-23 acts as a non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonist and exerts a rapid antidepressant-like effect in mice. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2016, 37, 166–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Hroch, L.; Aitken, L.; Benek, O.; Dolezal, M.; Kuca, K.; Gunn-Moore, F.; Musilek, K. Benzothiazoles-scaffold of interest for CNS targeted drugs. Curr. Med. Chem. 2015, 22, 730–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Yurttaş, L.; Özkay, Y.; Akalin-Ciftci, G.; Ulusoylar-Yildirim, S. Synthesis and anticancer activity evaluation of N-[4-(2-methylthiazol-4-yl)phenyl]acetamide derivatives containing (benz)azole moiety. J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem. 2014, 29, 175–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Lad, N.P.; Manohar, Y.; Mascarenhas, M.; Pandit, Y.B.; Kulkarni, M.R.; Sharma, R.; Salkar, K.; Suthar, A.; Pandit, S.S. Methylsulfonyl benzothiazoles (MSBT) derivatives: Search for new potential antimicrobial and anticaner agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2017, 27, 1319–1324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Turan-Zitouni, G.; Özkay, Y.; Özdemir, A.; Kaplançıklı, Z.A.; Altıntop, M.D. Synthesis of some benzothiazole based piperazine-dithiocarbamate derivatives and evaluation of their anticancer activities. Lett. Drug Des. Discov. 2011, 8, 830–837. [CrossRef]

9. Akhtar, T.; Hameed, S.; Al-Masoudi, N.A.; Loddo, R.; La Colla, P. In vitro antitumor and antiviral activities of new benzothiazole and 1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thione derivatives. Acta Pharm. 2008, 58, 135–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Netalkar, P.P.; Netalkar, S.P.; Budagumpi, S.; Revankar, V.K. Synthesis, crystal structures and characterization of late first row transition metal complexes derived from benzothiazole core: Anti-tuberculosis activity and special emphasis on DNA binding and cleavage property. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 79, 47–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Sarkar, S.; Siddiqui, A.A.; Saha, S.J.; De, R.; Mazumder, S.; Banerjee, C.; Iqbal, M.S.; Nag, S.; Adhikari, S.; Bandypadhyay, U. Antimalarial activity of small-molecule benzothiazole hydrazones. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2016, 60, 4217–4228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Yurttaş, L.; Özkay, Y.; Duran, M.; Turan-Zitouni, G.; Özdemir, A.; Canturk, Z.; Küçükoğlu, K.; Kaplançıklı, Z.A. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity evaluation of new dithiocarbamate derivatives bearing thiazole/benzothiazole rings. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 2016, 191, 1166–1173. [CrossRef]

13. Ammerkar, N.D.; Bhongade, B.A.; Bhusari, K.P. Synthesis and biological evaluation of some 4-(6-substituted-1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl)amino-1,3-thiazole-2-amines and their Schiff bases. Arab. J. Chem. 2015, 8, 545–552. [CrossRef]

14. Dar, A.A.; Shadab, M.; Khan, S.; Ali, N.; Khan, A.T. One-pot synthesis and evaluation of antileishmanial activities of functionalized S-alkyl/aryl benzothiazole-2-carbothioate scaffold. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 3149–3160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Kharbanda, C.; Alam, M.S.; Hamid, H.; Javed, K.; Bano, S.; Dhulap, A.; Ali, Y.; Nazreen, S.; Haider, S. Synthesis and evaluation of pyrazolines bearing benzothiazole as anti-inflammatory agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2014, 22, 5804–5812. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Moreno-Díaz, H.; Villalobos-Molina, R.; Ortiz-Andrade, R.; Diaz-Coutiño, D.; Medina-Franco, J.L.; Webster, S.P.; Binnie, M.; Estrada-Soto, S.; Ibarra-Barajas, M.; León-Rivera, I.; et al. Antidiabetic activity of N-(6-substituted-1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl)benzenesulfonamides. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2008, 18, 2871–2877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Karali, N.; Güzel, O.; Ozsoy, N.; Oz bey, S.; Salmon, A. Synthesis of new spirolindolines incorporating a benzothiazole moiety as antioxidant agents. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 1068–1077. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Costanzo, M.J.; Yabut, S.C.; Almond, H.R., Jr.; Andrade-Gordon, P.; Corcoran, T.W.; De Garavilla, L.; Kauffman, J.A.; Abraham, W.M.; Recacha, R.; Chattopadhyay, D.; et al. Potent, small-molecule inhibitors of human mast cell tryptase. Antiangiostatic action of a dipeptide-based transition-state analogue containing a benzothiazole ketone. *J. Med. Chem.* 2003, 46, 3865–3876. [PubMed]

19. Khan, K.M.; Mesiak, M.A.; Abdalla, O.M.; Rahim, F.; Soomro, S.; Halim, S.A.; Mustafa, G.; Ambreen, N.; Khalid, A.S.; Taha, M.; et al. The immunomodulation potential of the synthetic derivatives of benzothiazoles: Implications in immune system through in vitro and in silico studies. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2016, 64, 21–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Hamdy, N.A.; Abdel-Aziz, H.A.; Kamel, G.M.; Fakhr, I.M. Convenient synthesis, anti-inflammatory, analgesic and ulcerogenic activities of some new bis-hydrazones and pyrazole derivatives. *Acta Pol. Pharm.* 2013, 70, 469–480. [PubMed]

21. Kaplançıklı, Z.A.; Altıntop, M.D.; Turan-Zitouni, G.; Özdemir, A.; Can, O.D. Synthesis and analgesic activity of some acetamide derivatives. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2012, 27, 275–280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Ali, R.; Siddiqui, N. New benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl-aminoacetamides as potential anticonvulsants: Synthesis, activity and prediction of molecular properties. *Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim)* 2015, 348, 254–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Liu, D.C.; Zhang, H.J.; Jin, C.M.; Quan, Z.S. Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel benzothiazole derivatives as potential anticonvulsant agents. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Keri, R.S.; Quintanova, C.; Marques, S.M.; Esteves, A.R.; Cardoso, S.M.; Santos, M.A. Design, synthesis and neuroprotective evaluation of novel tacrine-benzothiazole hybrids as multi-targeted compounds against Alzheimer’s disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2013, 21, 4559–4569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Özkay, U.D.; Can, O.D.; Özkay, Y.; Öztürk, Y. Effect of benzothiazole/piperazine derivatives on intracerebroventricular streptozotocin-induced cognitive deficits. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2012, 64, 834–847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Mohsen, U.A.; Kaplançıklı, Z.A.; Özkay, Y.; Yurtaş, L. Synthesis and evaluation of anti-acetylcholinesterase activity of some benzothiazole based new piperazine-dithiocarbamate derivatives. *Drug Res.* 2015, 65, 176–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Demir Özkay, U.; Can, O.D.; Sağlık, B.N.; Çevik, U.A.; Levent, S.; Özkay, Y.; Ilgın, S.; Atlı, Ö. Design, synthesis, and AChE inhibitory activity of new benzothiazole-piperazines. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 26, 5387–5394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Kaya, B.; Sağlık, B.N.; Levent, S.; Özkay, Y.; Kaplançıklı, Z.A. Synthesis of some novel 2-substituted benzothiazole derivatives containing benzylamine moiety as monoamine oxidase inhibitory agents. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2016, 31, 1654–1661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Firooznia, F.; Cheung, A.W.; Brinkman, J.; Grimsby, J.; Gubler, M.L.; Hamid, R.; Marcopulos, N.; Ramsey, G.; Tan, J.; Wen, Y.; et al. Discovery of benzothiazole-based adenosine A2B receptor antagonists with improved A2A selectivity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, 21, 1933–1936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Anzini, M.; Chelini, A.; Mancini, A.; Cappelli, A.; Frosini, M.; Ricci, L.; Valoti, M.; Magistretti, J.; Castelli, L.; Giordani, A.; et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of amidine, guanidine, and thiourea derivatives of benzothiazole derivatives containing benzylamine moiety as monoamine oxidase inhibitory agents. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2014, 350, 25–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Carboni, S.; Hiver, A.; Sznyrdalewicz, C.; Gaillard, P.; Gotteland, J.P.; Vitte, P.A. AS601245 (1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl)[2-[2-(3-pyridinyl)methyl]amino]-4-pyrimidinyl)acetetonitrile): A c-Jun NH2-terminal protein kinase inhibitor with neuroprotective properties. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2004, 310, 25–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Sharma, P.C.; Sinhmam, A.; Sharma, A.; Rajak, H.; Pathak, D.P. Medicinal significance of benzothiazole scaffold: An insight view. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2013, 28, 240–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Wang, S.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, S.; Xu, X.; Liu, X.; Liu, B.F.; Zhang, G. Synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of benzoxazole/benzothiazole-containing 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine derivatives as potential antidepressants. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 24, 1766–1770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Zhu, X.Y.; Etukala, J.R.; Eyunni, S.V.; Setola, V.; Roth, B.L.; Aborodeppey, S.Y. Benzothiazoles as probes for the 5HT1A receptor and the serotonin transporter (SERT): A search for new dual-acting agents as potential antidepressants. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2012, 53, 124–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Siddiqui, N.; Rana, A.; Khan, S.A.; Ahsan, W.; Alam, M.S.; Ahmed, S. Analgesic and antidepressant activities of benzothiazole-benzamides. *Biomed. Pharmacol. J.* 2008, 1, 297–300.

36. Oliveira, C.E.; Sari, M.H.; Zbrowski, V.A.; Araujo, P.C.; Nogueira, C.W.; Zeni, G. 3′-Methoxyl-diphenyl diselenide elicits an antidepressant-like effect in mice without discontinuation anxiety phenotype. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2017, 154, 31–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Can, Ö.D.; Özkay, Ü.D.; Üçel, U.I. Anti-depressant-like effect of vitexin in BALB/c mice and evidence for the involvement of monoaminergic mechanisms. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2013, 699, 250–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Cryan, J.F.; Mombereau, C.; Vassout, A. The tail suspension test for assessing antidepressant activity: Review of pharmacological and genetic studies in mice. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2005, 29, 571–625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Steru, L.; Chermat, R.; Thierry, B.; Simon, P. The tail suspension test: A new method for screening antidepressants in mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 1985, 85, 367–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Cryan, J.F.; Markou, A.; Lucki, I. Assessing antidepressant activity in rodents: Recent developments and future needs. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2002, 23, 238–245. [CrossRef]

41. Santiago, R.M.; Barbiero, J.; Martynhak, B.J.; Boschen, S.L.; da Silva, L.M.; Werner, M.F.; Da Cunha, C.; Jorgensen, W.L.; Duffy, E.M. Prediction of drug solubility from structure. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2003, 52, 192–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Brocardo, P.S.; Budni, J.; Kaster, M.P.; Santos, A.R.; Rodrigues, A.L. Folic acid administration produces an antidepressant-like effect in mice: Evidence for the involvement of the serotoninergic and noradrenergic systems. *Neuropharmacology* 2008, 54, 464–473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Demir Özkay, Ü.; Yurtta¸s, L.; Özkay, Y.; Üçel, U.I.; Can, Ö.D.; Öztürk, Y. Synthesis of new 1-phenyl-2-(4-substituted-piperazin-1-yl)-propanol derivatives and evaluation of their antidepressant-like effects. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 2013, 36, 802–811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Van de Waterbeemd, H.; Gifford, E. ADMET in silico modelling: Towards prediction paradise? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2003, 2, 192–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. QikProp, version 4.8; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, NY, USA, 2016.

46. Lipinski, C.A.; Lombardo, F.; Dominy, B.W.; Feeney, P.J. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2001, 46, 3–26. [CrossRef]

47. Jorgensen, W.L.; Duffy, E.M. Prediction of drug solubility from structure. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2002, 54, 355–366. [CrossRef]

48. Demir Özkay, Ü.; Özkay, Y.; Can, Ö.D. Synthesis and analgesic effects of 2-(2-carboxyphenylsulfanyl)-N-(4-substitutedphenyl)acetamide derivatives. *Med. Chem. Res.* 2011, 20, 152–157. [CrossRef]

49. Can, Ö.D.; Demir Özkay, Ü.; Kryan, H.T.; Demirci, B. Psychopharmacological profile of Chamomile (*Matricaria recutita* L.) essential oil in mice. *Phytomedicine* 2012, 19, 306–310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Detke, M.J.; Lucki, I. Detection of serotoninergic and noradrenergic antidepressants in the rat forced swimming test: The effects of water depth. *Behav. Brain Res.* 1996, 73, 43–46. [CrossRef]

51. Palotai, M.; Telegdy, G.; Tanaka, M.; Bagosi, Z.; Jászberényi, M. Neuropeptide AF induces anxiety-like and antidepressant-like behavior in mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2014, 274, 264–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Can, Ö.D.; Özkay, U.D. Effects of Hypericum montbretti extract on the central nervous system and involvement of GABA (A)/Benzodiazepine receptors in its pharmacological activity. *Phytother. Res.* 2012, 26, 1695–1700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Votava, M.; Hess, L.; Silva, J.; Krsiak, M.; Agová, V. Dexmedetomidine selectively suppresses dominant behavior in aggressive and sociable mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2005, 523, 79–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds 3a–h are available from the authors.
