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Purpose of review
Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) are severe inflammatory diseases of the central nervous system (CNS), with the presence of aquaporin 4 (AQP4)-specific serum antibodies in the vast majority of patients, and with the presence of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)-specific antibodies in approximately 40\% of all AQP4-antibody negative NMOSD patients. Despite differences in antigen recognition, the preferred sites of lesions are similar in both groups of patients: They localize to the spinal cord and to the anterior visual pathway including retina, optic nerves, chiasm, and optic tracts, and – to lesser extent – also to certain predilection sites in the brain.

Recent findings
The involvement of T cells in the formation of NMOSD lesions has been challenged for quite some time. However, several recent findings demonstrate the key role of T cells for lesion formation and localization. Studies on the evolution of lesions in the spinal cord of NMOSD patients revealed a striking similarity of early NMOSD lesions with those observed in corresponding T-cell-induced animal models, both in lesion formation and in lesion localization. Studies on retinal abnormalities in NMOSD patients and corresponding animals revealed the importance of T cells for the very early stages of retinal lesions which eventually culminate in damage to Müller cells and to the retinal nerve fiber layer. Finally, a study on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) barrier pathology demonstrated that NMOSD immunopathology extends beyond perivascular astrocytic foot processes to include the pia, the ependyma, and the choroid plexus, and that diffusion of antibodies from the CSF could further influence lesion formation in NMOSD patients.

Summary
The pathological changes observed in AQP4-antibody positive and MOG-antibody positive NMOSD patients are strikingly similar to those found in corresponding animal models, and many mechanisms which determine lesion localization in experimental animals seem to closely reflect the human situation.
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KEY POINTS

- The pathological changes observed in AQP4-
  antibodiespositive and MOG-antibodiespositive NMOSD
  patients are strikingly similar to those found in
  corresponding animal models.
- CNS antigen-specific CD4+ T cells play an important
  role for the initiation of the vast majority of tissue-
  destructive lesions. They open the blood–brain barriers
  and blood–retinal barriers for the entry of antibodies
  and complement factors, and help to create the
  proinflammatory milieu facilitating antibody-dependent
  cellular cytotoxicity and complement-mediated cellular cytotoxicity.
- The ratios between CNS antigen-specific T cells and
  pathogenic antibodies determine whether single large
  or multifocal small lesions form.
- Lesion localization is influenced by the amount of
  antigen available for T-cell activation within the CNS,
  by major histocompatibility complex and nonmajor
  histocompatibility complex genes, sex, age, and mode
  of sensitization.
- Diffusion of antibodies from the CSF to cortex and
  ventricular lining, and from the fenestrated endothelia
  of circumventricular organs might further influence
  lesion localization in NMOSD patients.

Patients with MOG-abs, as the long-term presence of
MOG-abs in the circulation of transgenic mice is also benign and does not cause any clinically or
pathologically detectable damage [10]. These observations already demonstrate the efficient separation
of antibodies from their targets by the blood–brain/ spinal cord barriers (BBB), which exclude about
99.9% of all antibodies from the CNS [11]. Hence,
the presence of pathogenic AQP4-abs and MOG-abs
in the serum is insufficient for the formation
of NMOSD-typical lesions. Moreover, even when anti-
bodies alone gain access to the CNS parenchyma,
due to BBB dysfunction in young AQP4-abspositive
rats [8], due to intraparenchymal antibody injection in mice [12], or due to an opening of the BBB by insufficiently activated CNS antigen-specific T cells
in AQP4-abspositive rats [13], AQP4-expressing astro-
cytes remain intact. Hence, an open BBB and
the presence of antibodies in the parenchyma are
insufficient for the induction of the large tissue-
destructive lesions seen in NMOSD patients. When
the BBB is bypassed by intraparenchymal injection
of both complement and antibodies, astrocytes are
destroyed by complement-mediated cellular cyto-
toxicity (CDCC), and myeloid cells are activated and
recruited to the site of tissue injury [12,14,15]. How
is this barrier overcome in vivo? Based on research
in experimental animals, we have a clear picture about
the cells and additional humoral factors required for
lesion formation.

First and foremost, CD4+ T cells are needed. These cells are found in early active NMOSD lesions
defined by IgG and complement deposition on peri-
vascular astrocytic endfeet in a rosette-like pattern,
by ongoing AQP4 loss, or by neutrophil and macro-
phage recruitment and microglia activation [16].
Most importantly, some of these CD4+ T cells express the OX40 antigen as marker for recent acti-
vation [13]. T-cell activation within the CNS takes
place in the perivascular space of blood vessels and is
achieved via antigen presentation by major histo-
compatibility complex (MHC) class IIperivascular
macrophages/dendritic cells. When these so-called
antigen presenting cells encounter sufficient
amounts of a specific CNS protein or of its frag-
ments, when they are able to process this protein
to the small antigenic peptides recognized by spe-
cific CD4+ T cells in the context of MHC class II
products, and when they are able to adequately
present the MHC-peptide complexes to specific T
cells, T-cell activation will ensue. The efficiency of
this activation process strongly depends on the
amount of antigen available, and on the ease of
antigen processing and presentation [17,18]. The
activated CD4+ T cells in the CNS parenchyma do
not damage astrocytes [8,13,19,20] or myelin
sheaths [21,22] but open the BBB for the large-scale
entry of antibodies and complement. Then, AQP4-
abs can bind to astrocytic endfeet at the perivascular
glia limitans, fix complement, and initiate destruc-
tion of the astrocytes by CDCC [8,13,19,20]. Also
MOG-abs will find ‘their’ antigen [21,22]. In some
cases, this may cause only weak complement depo-
station on myelin sheaths, as seen in a recent human
patient [23] and in experimental mice after intra-
cerebral antibody and complement injection [24].
In other cases, this may cause robust complement
deposition on and subsequent destruction of myelin

Table 1. The essentials of antigen recognition by
pathogenic aquaporin 4-antibodies and pathogenic myelin
oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-antibodies

| The antibodies target antigens on the surface of cells (AQP4-abs) 
| or of myelin sheaths (MOG-abs) |
| AQP4-abs recognize conformational epitopes which are formed by 
| three extracellular loops of AQP4 and are further modified by 
| the formation of AQP4 tetramers and orthogonal arrays of 
| particles [107] |
| MOG-abs recognize conformational epitopes located at 
| extracellular loops connecting the β strands of MOG [108] |

abs, antibodies; AQP4, aquaporin 4; MOG, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein.
sheaths, as seen with the pathogenic murine mAb 8–18C5 in vivo [21,22] and with one human patient-derived MOG-IgG preparation in vitro [25]. Both mechanisms might form the basis for the observation that the clinical outcome of MOG-obs-associated disease is often [26–31], but not always [32**,33] better as compared with AQP4-abs-associated disease.

**Lesion formation is facilitated by the induction of a proinflammatory milieu**

For example, the local production of interferon-gamma by CNS infiltrating T cells could lead to an upregulation of complement factors, a downregulation of complement inhibitors, and an upregulation of the Fc gamma receptor III essential for antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) by activated macrophages and microglia [13,34]. CNS infiltrating T cells may also trigger the recruitment and activation of macrophages and microglia [17], which produce IL-1 facilitating lesion formation [35], or produce inducible nitric oxide synthetase (iNOS) amplifying tissue damage [36]. The extent to which astrocytes contribute to the local proinflammatory milieu in NMOSD is still unclear. When astrocytes survive the binding of AQP4-abs for a longer period of time, as seen in vitro in the absence of ADCC or CDCC [37], and in vivo in some intracerebrally AQP4-abs-injected animals with slow progression of tissue destruction [12,38,39], they can produce IL-6 and other chemokines [40,41] which may open the BBB in a T-cell-independent way [15]. However, most lesions in NMOSD patients [42] and corresponding T-cell-based rat models [8,13,19,20] develop rapidly [42], and astrocytes might not have enough time to significantly contribute to the formation of a proinflammatory environment.

Early lesions in NMOSD patients [16] and rodent models [8,14] contain high numbers of neutrophils. These cells favor the interactions of CNS antigen-specific T cells with the BBB at the earliest time points of lesion formation [43–45] and are important amplifiers of lesion formation and growth [14,46].

All the evidence summarized above places activated CNS antigen-specific T cells at the center stage of lesion formation in NMOSD.

Based on experimental models of CNS inflammation, we know
- that the availability of an antigen for T-cell activation determines the site of lesion formation [47],
- that the activation of CNS antigen-specific T cells is necessary for lesion induction [13,17,18], and
- that the ratio between CNS antigen-specific T cells and pathogenic antibodies determines whether single large lesions resembling typical lesions in NMOSD or multiple sclerosis (MS), or multifocal small lesions resembling lesions in acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, form [21].

We also know that the sites of lesion formation are further affected by MHC and non-MHC genes, by sex, and by the mode of sensitization [48], and that the MHC haplotype may determine whether T cells recognize an antigen in its posttranslationally modified and/or unmodified form [49].

In the next part of this article, we will show how the knowledge obtained from experimental models translates to mechanisms of lesion localization in NMOSD.

**MECHANISMS DRIVING LESION LOCALIZATION IN SPINAL CORD AND OPTIC NERVES**

The amounts of CNS antigens available for antigen presentation, T-cell activation, and antibody binding are the most important factors driving lesion formation in NMOSD, as spinal cord and optic nerves have higher AQP4 expression levels than the brain, both in humans and rats [50]. Moreover, the pathological changes in the spinal cord in the early course of NMOSD, that is the formation of perivascular lesions with AQP4 loss around radial vessels in the posterior and lateral columns, and the growth of lesions at the gray/white matter junction [51**], are very similar to the changes observed in AQP4-abspositive Lewis rats with T-cell-induced CNS inflammation [13,19,20,51**,52,53].

In NMOSD patients, these lesions will then fuse with each other over time, extend towards the central spinal cord gray matter, and become larger in spinal cord gray matter, as this site contains higher numbers of AQP4-expressing astrocytes, translating to a higher availability of AQP4 for antigen presentation, T-cell activation, and antibody binding. Further lesion growth eventually culminates in spinal cord necrosis and atrophy [51**].

The mechanisms underlying initiation and evolution of spinal cord lesions in NMOSD also recapitulate important aspects of the formation of brain lesions in MS [51**]: In AQP4-obspositive NMOSD, initial lesions preferentially form in the posterior and lateral columns which have a poor circulatory reserve, whereas in MS brains, plaques preferentially develop in hypo-perfused CNS white matter [51**,54–57].

In AQP4-abspositive NMOSD patients, astrocyte-destructive lesions with subsequent axonal damage also form in the anterior visual pathway containing the optic nerves, the chiasm, and the optic tracts, resulting from the extension of meningeal inflammatory infiltrates and AQP4 loss into the pial septa and parenchyma of these structures [58**]. Lesions
with AQP4 loss were also observed in the optic nerve and chiasm of AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} T-cell-induced rat models of NMOSD [8].

Genetic differences between AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patient cohorts influence lesion location during onset attack. For example, longitudinally extensive spinal cord lesions were seen more frequently in UK whites, than in Afro-Caribbean or Japanese patients, whereas optic neuritis was more often found in Japanese patients than in UK whites or Afro-Caribbeans [59]. Genetic differences also translated to disease severity, with more severe onset attacks, a higher frequency of relapses, and a worse disease outcome in the UK cohort than in Japanese patients, and with more brain and multifocal lesions in Afro-Caribbean patients [59]. Also sex and age influence the disease outcome, with males and young onset patients being more likely to become visually disabled than females and old onset patients [59].

Optic neuritis is the most prominent disease manifestation in MOG-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patients, who often present with simultaneous optic neuritis and myelitis at onset [60], with optic neuritis at relapse [32\textsuperscript{**}], and with bilateral simultaneous optic neuritis [26]. In MRI, optic neuritis is associated with perineural soft tissue enhancement seen in up to 30\% of cases [32\textsuperscript{**},61–63]. MOG-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patients have spinal cord lesions in lower segments than AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patients, that is in the thoracic/lumbar cord and conus [26,64–66], and have either a normal brain MRI, or show nonspecific white matter lesions [26]. Lesions surrounding the third and fourth ventricle, subcortical lesions, and lesions in the cerebellar peduncle may occur, but are rare [26].

Again, there are striking similarities in plaque distribution between MOG-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patients and corresponding MOG-sensitized Brown Norway (BN) or Dark Agouti (DA) rats: Also in these animals, simultaneous lesions in both spinal cord and optic nerve, and bilateral loss of myelin from the optic nerves were seen, and there were cases with optic neuritis or with myelitis only [48]. Few animals developed destructive transverse myelitis or displayed additional small lesions in the cerebellar peduncles, the medulla oblongata, or other sites in the brain [48]. A very similar phenotype was observed in transgenic C57BL/6 mice expressing MOG-specific receptors on B and T cells [67,68]. In MOG-sensitized experimental animals, a profound influence of MHC and non-MHC genes on disease outcome and lesion localization was noted. For example, MHC genes determined the extent of demyelination [48,69], whereas non-MHC genes influenced the incidence of optic nerve involvement [48]. Genetic differences accounted for the higher incidence of simultaneously occurring lesions in spinal cord and optic nerves in BN vs. DA rats [48], and for the differences in response to the sensitization protocol [48]. Later work in congenic rat strains revealed that most of these differences were due to the influence of MHC class II genes [69,70]. Also sex differences became apparent: When DA rats were sensitized with the same protocol, 17/39 female rats and 0/11 male rats had lesions both in spinal cord and optic nerves [48].

To date, we have too little information about genetic differences in MOG-\textsuperscript{abs positive} patients to determine whether MHC or non-MHC genes influence lesion location. We only know that optic neuritis is the most prominent disease manifestation in several different ethnicities [26,27,32\textsuperscript{**},61,63,71,72].

**MECHANISMS DRIVING LESION LOCALIZATION IN THE RETINA**

For the longest time, retinal changes observed in AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} NMOSD patients, that is the thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and the formation of cysts in the inner nuclear layer (INL), were considered a result of secondary retrograde degeneration after optic neuritis [73]. However, a recent study on human retinas indicated that the retina can also be a primary target in AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} NMOSD [58\textsuperscript{**}]. This study revealed thinning of the RNFL and neuronal loss in the retinal ganglion cell layer of patients with a history of preceding optic neuritis, and ascribed these pathological changes to secondary retinal degeneration after optic neuritis [58\textsuperscript{**}]. However, they also identified retinal Müller cells as novel primary targets [58\textsuperscript{**}]. In three NMOSD retinas, Müller cells displayed loss of AQP4 reactivity in the absence of complement deposition. In addition, Müller cells and horizontal cells in the INL were reduced in numbers [58\textsuperscript{**}] which might provide a pathological explanation for the presence of microcystic INL abnormalities seen by optical coherence tomography in NMOSD patients [74]. Despite loss of AQP4 reactivity from Müller cells, AQP4 reactivity of astrocytes in the RNFL remained intact. There was no evidence for inflammatory T cells or B cells in these retinas [58\textsuperscript{**}]. Subsequent studies in AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} NMOSD patients demonstrated foveal thinning irrespective of optic neuritis [75\textsuperscript{*}], even in different ethnic groups [75\textsuperscript{*},76\textsuperscript{*},77\textsuperscript{*}]. Hence, the retina is a primary target in AQP4-\textsuperscript{abs positive} NMOSD.

As Lewis rat models closely mimic the earliest steps of lesion formation in the spinal cord [8,20,51\textsuperscript{**},78\textsuperscript{*}], they were used to identify the earliest steps of lesion formation in the retina. These animals showed retinal pathology only at sites of
T-cell infiltration, suggesting that T cells opened the blood–retinal barriers (BRB) for the entry of antibodies. Müller cells showed ongoing loss of AQP4 reactivity, which required the presence of AQP4-abs, but was unrelated to CDCC or ADCC, whereas astrocytes in the RNFL remained intact [78,79]. At these earliest time points of retinal damage, the infiltrating T cells also recruited macrophages and activated microglial cells which expressed iNOS and were found in close vicinity to dysfunctional/damaged axons in the RNFL, indicating that not only Müller cells, but also the RNFL can be primary targets in NMOSD.

A second experimental model, in which the BRB was by-passed either by intravitreal injection of AQP4-abs in living rats, or by the addition of AQP4-abs (without complement or leukocytes) to retinal explant cultures [80*], most likely reflects more advanced stages of retinal damage: Also in this model, retinal astrocytes continued to express AQP4, whereas Müller cells completely lost AQP4 reactivity which culminated in a secondary loss of retinal ganglion cells and a thinning of the ganglion cell complex 30 days after intravitreal injection of AQP4 [80*]. These changes occurred independently of T-cell infiltration.

Cumulatively, data from animals and patients suggest that the opening of the BRB by inflammatory T cells is the first, important step for the induction of retinal damage, whereas further tissue damage might then progress in a T-cell-independent way. How long T cells are needed, and whether primary T-cell-induced inflammation may sufficiently activate astrocytes in the RNFL to produce IL-6 and thus cause a secondary opening of the BRB for the entry of antibodies [40,41] remains to be seen.

Also MOG-abs<sup>positive</sup> patients [81**,82,83] show a thinning of the RNFL, and a reduction in volume of the ganglion cell and inner plexiform layers, without any significant differences in parameters to AQP4-abs<sup>positive</sup> patients [81**]. In most cases, retinal damage in MOG-abs<sup>positive</sup> patients occurs in eyes with a previous history of optic neuritis [81**,82] and could thus reflect secondary degeneration, especially as the retina does not contain MOG expressing cells. Remarkably, however, a reduction in RNFL thickness was also observed in two fellow eyes without clinically evident previous optic neuritis [81**]. The mechanisms underlying this finding are currently unresolved.

MECHANISMS CAUSING THE PRESENCE OF ANTIBODIES IN THE CEREBROSPINAL FLUID

AQP4-ab are detectable in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of most AQP4-abs seropositive NMOSD patients, most often when the patients had an acute disease relapse within 30 days prior to lumbar puncture [84]. This suggests that the antibodies reached this compartment in the course of CNS inflammation, possibly due to an opening of leptomeningeal vessels or subarachnoid veins by inflammatory T cells [85]. However, AQP4-abs titers in the CSF were also often proportional to those found in the serum, at the ratio of 1 (CSF) to 500 (serum) [86] which implies passive entry of antibodies from the serum. In rare instances, AQP4-abs are also synthesized in the CSF by plasmablasts [53,84], but it remains unclear whether these cells can access this compartment on their own, or whether they just survive there after onset attack or disease relapse. Recently, the choroid plexus was suggested as possible port of entry for pathogenic antibodies to the CSF [87**]. Choroid plexus epithelial cells form the blood–CSF barrier, express AQP4 molecules on their basolateral surface, and were identified as targets for AQP4-abs and complement molecules entering the choroid stroma from the fenestrated endothelium of the choroid plexus vasculature. In this study, profound reduction or even loss of AQP4 reactivity by choroid plexus epithelial cells was seen, but the choroid plexus did not show any signs of damage [87**], and a final proof for antibody access from the choroid plexus to the CSF is still missing.

MOG-abs in the CSF are also found in MOG-abs seropositive patients. In many cases, these antibodies seem to reach the CSF from the periphery [88**], as there is only little evidence for intrathecal immunoglobulin synthesis [89,90].

Independently of the origin of AQP4-abs or MOG-abs found in the CSF, these molecules could reach several different target sites in the brain and could then further modify lesion localization in NMOSD.

MECHANISMS DRIVING THE FORMATION OF CORtical LESIONS

AQP4-<wbr/>abs from the CSF could bind to the pial glia limitans, where loss or decrease of AQP4 reactivity was observed in ~90% of NMOSD cases studied [87**]. In the cerebral cortex, it coincided with vacuolation of pial and subpial tissue, and with the formation of enlarged spaces between astrocytic processes [87**]. Diffusion of AQP4-<wbr/>abs within the underlying cortex could then be the pathological driver of the loss of AQP4 reactivity in cortical layer I, and the associated neuronal loss in cortical layers II–IV observed in NMOSD patients with cognitive impairment [87**,91].

Juxtacortical lesions may also occur in MOG-abs<sup>positive</sup> NMOSD patients [27], in which – to our
knowledge – subcortical demyelination has not been described yet. However, in MOG-sensitized rats and marmoset monkeys, MOG-abs are involved in cortical demyelination, depending on particular combinations of MHC class I and class II isotypes and alleles [92,93].

MECHANISMS DRIVING LESION LOCALIZATION TO THE VENTRICULAR LINING AND MEDULLA

Both in AQP4-abspositive and MOG-abspositive NMOSD patients, periventricular lesions may be found [26,27], most commonly around the third and fourth ventricle and the aqueduct of Sylvius [26,60,94]. A similar distribution of lesions is also seen in several different AQP4-abspositive [8,19,20] or MOG-abspositive [48,95] rat models. In these animals, areas of astrocyte and myelin loss have a perivascular pattern typical for T-cell-induced lesions. This lesion pattern is also observed in AQP4-abspositive NMOSD patients [16]. An additional entry site of AQP4-ads was suggested by a recent study which demonstrated that AQP4-ads from the CSF may target the ependymal lining of ventricles. The authors observed morphological changes of ependymocytes and even loss of AQP4 reactivity from these cells, associated with subependymal gliosis and glial nodule formation [87**]. They also noted complement deposition on ependymal cells in 38% of their NMOSD cases [87**]. It remains unclear, whether these complement molecules derive from the CSF which they might have accessed in the course of CNS inflammation, or whether they derive from perivascular inflammatory lesions formed close by, or whether they leaked from vessels with decreased barrier function in response to astrocytic IL-6 production [40]. In any case, the resulting damage of ependymal cells could open the gates for the entry of AQP4-ads from the CSF, causing or facilitating the formation of periventricular lesions [87**].

As ependymocytes do not express MOG, this additional mechanism of periventricular lesion formation will not act in MOG-abspositive patients.

The medulla, finally, represents a special case for lesion formation

Medullary lesions are frequently observed in AQP4-abspositive NMOSD cases, where they provide the pathological substrates for clinical symptoms like intractable hiccup and vomiting/nausea observed in about 40% of NMOSD patients [96]. Pathological studies of the medulla in AQP4-abspositive NMOSD cases [97] and corresponding experimental models [8,20] revealed the formation of typical vasulocentric lesions with rosette-like complement deposition at many different sites in the medulla, and also from vessels adjacent to the area postrema. Moreover, MRI studies also documented an extension of lesions from the upper spinal cord in some [98], but not all [99] NMOSD patients. Jointly, these observations suggest that the vast majority of antibodies use a BBB opened by inflammatory T cells as entry route. However, the NMOSD-typical pattern of perivascular complement deposition was also documented in the area postrema, which contains fenestrated endothelial cells [95]. Medullary lesions may also occur in MOG-abspositive patients, where they were seen by some [98], and not by other studies [26,27]. The observed lesions located to the dorsal medulla/area postrema, and were also associated with intractable nausea and vomiting [100**]. It remains to be seen whether T cells are involved in these processes as well, or whether the area postrema provides an additional entry site for antibodies into the medulla.

MECHANISMS DRIVING OR PREVENTING LESION FORMATION OUTSIDE THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

AQP4 is also expressed on syncytiotrophoblasts of the placenta, and symptoms like preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, and stillbirth indicative of placental dysfunction are observed with increased frequency in AQP4-abspositive NMOSD (for review refer to [101]). In fact, up to 43% of pregnancies in these patients are ended by miscarriages [102]. The underlying mechanism was elucidated in experimental animals, which revealed that AQP4-ads bind to syncytiotrophoblasts and activate complement, eventually culminating in placentitis. Severely inflamed placentas become necrotic, causing stillbirths and miscarriages, whereas less inflamed placentas are compatible with fetal survival [103]. There are many additional AQP4-expressing cells throughout the body, for example, cells of the lacrimal glands, salivary gland duct cells, Claudius, Hensen, and inner sulcus cells of the ear, olfactory epithelial cells, parietal acid-secreting cells of the stomach, airway cells of the lung, collecting duct principal cells of the kidney, and fast twitch fibers of skeletal muscles [104], to which pathogenic AQP4-ads also bind [9]. And yet, lesion formation at these sites is exceedingly rare, and so far only documented histologically for muscles of a single AQP4-abspositive patient with recurrent myalgias and hyperCKemia [105]. The most likely explanation for this lack of extra-CNS lesions in AQP4-abspositive NMO patients is the coexpression of AQP4 with complement regulatory proteins in peripheral organs [37].
Also MOG is expressed outside the CNS, as intracytoplasmatic antigen in Schwann cells [106]. However, as pathogenic MOG-abs do not recognize their antigen inside living cells, MOG-abs^positive^ patients are spared from additional, organ-specific autoimmune diseases.

**CONCLUSION**

The pathological changes observed in AQP4-^abs^positive^ and MOG-^abs^positive^ NMOSD patients are strikingly similar to those found in corresponding animal models, suggesting shared mechanisms for lesion formation and localization.
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