Metabolism rather than obesity is associated with ischemic stroke: a cross-sectional study in rural Northeastern China
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Abstract
Little is known about stroke with different obesity phenotype as determined using the Adult Treatment Panel-III criteria with metabolic health or not. This study aimed to investigate the effects of metabolically healthy and unhealthy obesity on ischemic stroke in a general population. A total of 11,150 adults were examined using a multi-stage cluster sampling method to select a representative sample of individuals 35 years or older. Ischemic stroke was defined as history of a cerebrovascular event, as documented by doctors via either cranial CT or MR scan within the past 2 years. All subjects were categorized as having metabolically healthy non-obesity (MHNO), metabolically unhealthy non-obesity (MUNO), metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) or metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO) using the Adult Treatment Panel-III criteria. Stratified analysis were done based on different body mass index group. For the total population, multiple regression analyses revealed that individuals with MUNO and MUO were more likely to experience ischemic stroke compared with those with MHNO (OR 2.136, 95% CI 1.677–2.720; OR 2.712, 95% CI 1.798–4.092; all p < 0.001). The OR for ischemic stroke did not significantly differ between MHO and MHNO. Stratification based on different BMI group showed that, compared with people who were normal weight without Mes, participants who were in Mes with overweight or obesity had significantly higher OR for ischemic stroke (both p < 0.05); participants who were not in Mes with overweight or obesity did not showed OR significantly higher. Ischemic stroke is likely associated with poor metabolic health rather than with obesity itself.
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Background
Obesity has been described as a major risk factor for metabolic complications, such as atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD), as well as stroke (Van Gaal and Maggioni 2014; Danaei 2014; Mahmood et al. 2014). Recently, a subset of obese individuals has been identified as metabolically healthy (MHO) (Yang et al. 2015). Several studies have described MHO was an obese phenotype that does not have the burden of metabolic disorder (Boonchaya-anant and Apovian 2014; Engla 2010; Succurro et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2016). Based on this concept, metabolically unhealthy non-obesity (MUNO) and metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO) have been identified using the Adult Treatment Panel-III (ATP-III) criteria (Chen et al. 2016; Geetha et al. 2011). MHO has been found to be a lower risk factor for coronary artery calcification, chronic kidney disease and heart failure (Chang et al. 2014; Hosny et al. 2014). Little is known about the effects of metabolically healthy and unhealthy obesity on stroke. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the relation of...
different obesity phenotype to ischemic stroke in a general population with the hypothesis different phenotype has different influence on stroke.

Methods
Study population and sampling strategy
The methods used in this study have been previously published (Li et al. 2014). This study was conducted between January and August 2013 using a representative sample of individuals residing in rural areas of Liaoning Province located in Northeast China. This study adopted a multistage, stratified, random cluster sampling scheme. During the first stage, three counties (Dawa, Zhangwu, and Liaoyang) were selected from the eastern, southern, and northern regions of Liaoning Province, respectively. During the second stage, one town was randomly selected from each county (for a total of three towns). Finally, during the third stage, 6–8 rural villages from each town were randomly selected (a total of 26 rural villages were included). Participants that were pregnant or had a malignant tumor or mental disorder were excluded from the study. All eligible permanent residents aged ≥ 35 years from each village were invited to participate in the study (a total of 14,016 participants). Of them, 11,956 participants completed the study (85.3 % response rate). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of China Medical University (Shenyang, China). All procedures were performed in accordance with ethical standards. Written consent was obtained from all participants after they were informed of the objectives, benefits, medical information and confidentiality agreement of personal information. For participants who were illiterate, we obtained written informed consent from their proxies. Among the 11,956 participants, 706 were excluded from the analysis due to incomplete data. We used baseline data, and only participants with complete data on the variables analyzed in the study were included, resulting in a final sample size of 11,150 (5115 men and 6035 women).

Measurements and definitions
The data collection and measurement methods used in this study have been described previously (Yu et al. 2014; Chang et al. 2016).

Information on covariates, such as demographic characteristics, lifestyle risk factors, annual income and family history of chronic diseases, was collected during a single clinic visit by cardiologists and trained nurses through a face-to-face interview using a standard questionnaire. Before the survey was performed, all eligible investigators attended an organized training session that included the following subjects: the purpose of this study, the manner in which the questionnaire should be administered, the importance of standardization, the standard method of measurement, and the study procedures. After training, a strict test was used for evaluation, and only those who scored perfectly on the test became investigators. During the data collection process, a central steering committee in addition to a subcommittee for quality control ensured that all data were collected according to well-known standards.

Race was categorized as Han or other, which included some ethnic minorities in China, such as Mongol and Manchu. Educational level was divided into three categories, including primary school or below, middle school and high school or above. Self-reported sleep duration, which included nocturnal sleep and napping, was obtained from the questionnaire and was categorized as follows: ≤7, 7–8, 8–9 and >9 h/d. Annual income was categorized into three groups: ≤5000, 5000–20,000 and >20,000 CNY/year. Current smoking was defined as a history of 90 or more cigarettes and continued use.

Physical activities, which included occupational and leisure-time physical activities, were evaluated according to detailed descriptions (Hu et al. 2010). Occupational and leisure-time physical activities were merged and regrouped into the following 3 categories: (1) low, including light levels of both occupational and leisure-time physical activities; (2) moderate, including a moderate or high level of either occupational or leisure-time physical activity; and (3) high, including moderate or high levels of both occupational and leisure-time physical activities (De Backer and De 2004).

The body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. According to the American Heart Association guidelines, blood pressure (BP), which was measured three times at two-min intervals after more than 10 min of rest, was measured using a standardized automatic electronic sphygmomanometer (HEM-907; Omron, Japan). Caffeinated beverages and exercise were avoided for at least 30 min before the measurements were performed. The participants were seated appropriately during the measurements with the arm supported at the level of the heart. The mean of three blood pressure (BP) measurements was used in all analyses. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg and/or current use of antihypertensive medications, according to the JNC-7 report guidelines (Bakris et al. 2015).

Fasting blood samples, which were obtained in the morning after at least 12 h of fasting, were collected from the antecubital vein into Vacutainer tubes containing EDTA. Enzymatic reactions were used to analyze the
blood samples, including measurements of the fasting plasma glucose (FPG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglyceride (TG), and total cholesterol (TC) levels and other routine blood biochemical indexes, with an autoanalyzer. All laboratory equipment was calibrated, and blinded duplicate samples were used in our study.

Definitions
We used standard operating protocols to measure ATP-III components to define metabolic status (Chen et al. 2016; Manu et al. 2012), and the cut-off point for waist circumference was determined based on the WHO recommendations for the Asian population (Mi et al. 2015). Participants with 3 or more of these criteria were considered to have unhealthy metabolism (metabolic syndrome, Mes); subjects who were excluded from having unhealthy metabolism were defined as “metabolically healthy” (1) BP ≥ 130/85 mmHg and/or current use of antihypertensive medication; (2) WC ≥ 90 cm for men and ≥ 80 cm for women; (3) fasting glucose level ≥ 5.6 mmol/L and/or current use of antihyperglycemic medication; (4) HDL-C < 1.0 mmol/L for men and < 1.3 mmol/L for women; and (5) serum TGs ≥ 1.7 mmol/L.

A BMI ≥ 28 kg/m² was defined as obesity, as recommended for Asians by WHO experts (Mi et al. 2015), and a BMI < 28 kg/m² was defined as non-obesity. We used this definition alongside data on Mes to create four phenotypes: metabolically healthy non-obesity (MHNO), metabolically unhealthy non-obesity (MUNO), metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) or metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO).

For the stratification analysis based on different BMI group, consistent with the lower cut-off values recommended by WHO experts for Asians (Mi et al. 2015), we used the BMI to define underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–23.9 kg/m²), overweight (24–27.9 kg/m²) and obesity (≥28 kg/m²), with the under 18.5 category (n = 257) removed from the analysis.

The occurrence of ischemic stroke was determined by an epidemiological questionnaire and was defined as a history of cerebrovascular events, as demonstrated by either cranial CT or MR scan (within the past 24 months before inclusion).

Statistical analysis
As described in detail previously (Yu et al. 2014; Chang et al. 2016), descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables, including continuous variables (expressed as the mean and standard deviation) and categorical variables (expressed as numbers and proportions). Differences among categories were evaluated using the t test, ANOVA, a non-parametric test or the χ²-test as appropriate. Comparisons between groups were performed using Scheffe’s method. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify independent associations of different weights and metabolic statuses with ischemic stroke using different models, and odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 software, and P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Baseline characteristics of participants according to different obesity phenotypes
A total of 11,150 participants were evaluated in this cross-sectional study. The prevalence rates of the different obesity types were 59.4% for MHNO, 32.8% for MUNO, 1.7% for MHO and 6.1% for MUO. Among the obese population, the prevalence of MHO was 21.8%. There were significant differences in age, gender, race, education level, physical activity, annual income, current smoking status, sleep duration, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, body mass index (BMI) waist circumference (WC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL/L), triglycerides (TGs), and total cholesterol (TC) among our study groups (Table 1) (all P < 0.05).

Characteristics of participants with or without ischemic stroke
The results presented in Table 2 show that the subjects with ischemic stroke were significantly older and had a significantly higher BMI, WC, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and fasting plasma glucose, LDL, TC, and TG levels and a lower HDL level than those without stroke (all P < 0.001). In addition, a significantly higher number of subjects with ischemic stroke had a lower education level, annual income and physical activity level (all P < 0.001). However, there were no significant differences in race, sleep duration, mean weight or salt intake.

The prevalence of ischemic stroke according to different obesity phenotypes
The prevalence of ischemic stroke in our study was 3.2% (Table 2). As shown in Fig. 1, the prevalence of ischemic stroke among the total population was significantly increased for MUNO (4.9%) and MUO (4.8%) and was decreased for MHNO (2.0%) and MHO (1.7%). As shown in Fig. 2, among the females, the MUO group had the highest prevalence of ischemic stroke (5.6%), and the MHNO group had the lowest prevalence (1.4%) compared with the other three groups (p < 0.001); however,
among the males, the MUNO group had the highest prevalence of ischemic stroke (4.9 %), and the MHO group had the lowest prevalence (1.4 %) \( (p < 0.001) \).

**Multiple regression analyses of ischemic stroke and obesity phenotypes**

As shown in Table 3, multiple regression analyses of the total population adjusted for age, race, gender, education level, annual income, current smoking status, current drinking status, diet score, salt intake, physical activity, and sleep duration showed that the subjects with MUNO and MUO were more likely to experience ischemic stroke than those with MHNO (OR 2.136, 95 % CI 1.677–2.720; OR 2.712, 95 % CI 1.798–4.092; all \( p < 0.001 \)). However, multiple regression analyses by gender showed minor different results. Among the male population, after adjusting for the same factors mentioned above, the subjects with MUNO were more likely to experience ischemic stroke than those with MHNO (OR 1.855, 95 % CI 1.312–2.622; \( p < 0.001 \)); MUO males also had a higher OR for ischemic stroke than MHNO males, but the difference wasn't significant (OR 1.842, 95 % CI 0.888–3.819; \( p = 0.101 \)); compared with MHNO, the OR for ischemic stroke in MHO males was lower, but the

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population according to different obesity phenotypes

| Variables                  | MHNO        | MHO         | MUNO        | MUO         | \( P \) |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| n (%)                      | 6620 (59.4) | 184 (1.7)   | 3666 (32.8) | 680 (6.1)   |       |
| Age (year)                 | 52.9 ± 10.7 | 49.7 ± 9.6  | 55.9 ± 10.2 | 52.9 ± 9.9  | <0.001|
| Race                       |             |             |             |             | 0.002 |
| Han                        | 6264 (94.6) | 172 (93.5)  | 3517 (96.0) | 632 (92.9)  |       |
| Other\(^a\)                | 356 (5.4)   | 12 (6.5)    | 149 (4.0)   | 48 (7.1)    |       |
| Current smoking status     | 2584 (39.0) | 33 (17.9)   | 1123 (30.6) | 178 (26.2)  | <0.001|
| Current drinking status    | 1696 (25.6) | 32 (17.4)   | 640 (17.5)  | 128 (18.8)  | <0.001|
| Sleep duration (h/d)       | 7.3 ± 1.7   | 7.6 ± 1.5   | 7.2 ± 1.8   | 7.3 ± 1.6   | 0.004 |
| TC (mmol/L)                | 5.1 ± 1.0   | 5.2 ± 0.9   | 5.5 ± 1.2   | 5.5 ± 1.3   | <0.001|
| TGs (mmol/L)               | 1.2 ± 0.8   | 1.2 ± 0.6   | 2.4 ± 1.9   | 2.5 ± 2.2   | <0.001|
| HDL-C (mmol/L)             | 1.5 ± 0.4   | 1.4 ± 0.3   | 1.2 ± 0.3   | 1.2 ± 0.3   | <0.001|
| LDL-C (mmol/L)             | 2.8 ± 0.8   | 3.1 ± 0.8   | 3.1 ± 0.9   | 3.3 ± 0.9   | <0.001|
| FPG (mmol/L)               | 5.5 ± 1.1   | 5.3 ± 0.6   | 6.5 ± 2.1   | 6.5 ± 2.0   | <0.001|
| SBP (mmHg)                 | 135.8 ± 22.1| 138.7 ± 21.7| 150.1 ± 22.5| 153.9 ± 22.8| <0.001|
| DBP (mmHg)                 | 79.3 ± 11.1 | 81.8 ± 11.3 | 85.7 ± 11.5 | 88.8 ± 11.7 | <0.001|
| Mean height                | 161.1 ± 8.0 | 157.7 ± 10.7| 159.8 ± 8.3 | 160.4 ± 8.4 | <0.001|
| Mean weight                | 60.4 ± 9.4  | 81.6 ± 10.2 | 66.1 ± 9.8  | 83.2 ± 10.2 | <0.001|
| WC (cm)                    | 78.0 ± 7.9  | 95.4 ± 9.2  | 86.6 ± 7.3  | 99.0 ± 7.9  | <0.001|
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))          | 23.2 ± 2.7  | 33.0 ± 4.5  | 25.8 ± 2.5  | 32.2 ± 2.2  | <0.001|
| Diet score                 | 2.4 ± 1.1   | 2.3 ± 1.0   | 2.2 ± 1.1   | 2.3 ± 1.2   | <0.001|
| Salt intake                | 6.4 ± 3.8   | 6.1 ± 3.6   | 6.5 ± 3.8   | 6.4 ± 3.9   | 0.099 |
| Educational level (%)      |             |             |             |             | <0.001|
| Primary school or below    | 3080 (46.5) | 80 (43.5)   | 2086 (56.9) | 329 (48.4)  |       |
| Middle school              | 2919 (44.1) | 86 (46.7)   | 1267 (34.6) | 278 (40.9)  |       |
| High school or above       | 621 (9.4)   | 18 (9.8)    | 313 (8.5)   | 73 (10.7)   |       |
| Physical activity (%)      |             |             |             |             | <0.001|
| Light                      | 1737 (26.2) | 40 (21.7)   | 1296 (35.4) | 224 (32.9)  |       |
| Moderate                   | 4533 (68.5) | 136 (73.9)  | 2130 (58.1) | 414 (60.9)  |       |
| Severe                     | 350 (5.3)   | 8 (4.3)     | 240 (6.5)   | 42 (6.2)    |       |
| Annual income (CNY/year)   |             |             |             |             | 0.045 |
| ≤5000                      | 809 (12.2)  | 23 (12.5)   | 499 (13.6)  | 61 (9.0)    |       |
| 5000–20,000                | 3629 (54.8) | 100 (54.3)  | 1959 (53.4) | 391 (57.5)  |       |
| >20,000                    | 2182 (33.0) | 61 (33.2)   | 1208 (33.0) | 228 (33.5)  |       |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD or as n (%)

\(^{a}\) CNY: China Yuan (1 CNY = 0.157 USD), BMI: body mass index, WC: waist circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, FPG: fasting plasma glucose, TC: total cholesterol, TGs: triglycerides, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
difference was not significant, either (OR 0.782, 95% CI 0.100–5.642; \( p = 0.782 \)). Among the female population, multiple regression analyses adjusted for the same factors mentioned above revealed that females with MUNO and MUO were more likely to experience ischemic stroke than females with MHNO (for MUNO, OR 2.528, 95% CI 1.762–3.626; for MUO, OR 3.592, 95% CI 2.117–6.094; all \( p < 0.001 \)); in addition, the OR for ischemic stroke did not significantly differ between females with MHO and females with MHNO.

Results from stratification based on different BMI group
As shown in Table 4, for both males and females, people who had Mes with normal weight had higher prevalence of ischemic stroke than those who were normal weight but did not have Mes (both \( p < 0.05 \)). Similarly, for all the participants, people who had Mes with overweight had higher prevalence of ischemic stroke than those who were overweight without (both \( p < 0.05 \)). The female participants with obesity and Mes had significantly higher OR for ischemic stroke than those who were obesity without Mes (\( p < 0.05 \)). The male participants with obesity and Mes had higher OR for ischemic stroke than those who were obesity without Mes, but the difference was not significantly.

As shown in Table 3, compared with those who were normal weight without MeS, after adjusting for the same factors mentioned above, participants who had Mes in overweight or obesity had significantly higher OR for ischemic stroke (all \( p < 0.05 \)); Participants who didn’t have Mes in overweight or obesity also higher OR for ischemic stroke, but the difference was not significant.

Discussion
The main finding of this study was that individuals with MUO or MUNO were more likely to experience ischemic stroke than those with MHNO, and differences were observed between the genders.

This is the first study to investigate the prevalence rates of ischemic stroke among different obesity phenotypes

Table 2 Characteristics of study participants with or without ischemic stroke

| Variables                                      | Ischemic stroke |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                               | Yes             | No              | \( p \)  |
| n (%)                                         | 353 (3.2)       | 10,797 (96.8)   |        |
| Age (year)                                    | 63.3 ± 8.5      | 53.5 ± 10.5     | \(<0.001\) |
| Race                                           |                 |                 | 0.443   |
| Han                                            | 332 (94.1)      | 10,253 (95.0)   |        |
| Other*                                         | 21 (5.9)        | 544 (5.0)       |        |
| Current smoking status                         | 106 (30.0)      | 3812 (35.3)     | 0.041   |
| Current drinking status                        | 41 (11.6)       | 2455 (22.7)     | \(<0.001\) |
| Sleep duration (h/d)                           | 7.1 ± 2.1       | 7.3 ± 1.7       | 0.122   |
| TC (mmol/L)                                    | 5.4 ± 1.0       | 5.2 ± 1.1       | 0.001   |
| TGs (mmol/L)                                   | 2.1 ± 1.9       | 1.6 ± 1.5       | \(<0.001\) |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                                 | 1.3 ± 0.4       | 1.4 ± 0.4       | \(<0.001\) |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                                 | 3.2 ± 0.8       | 2.9 ± 0.8       | \(<0.001\) |
| FPG (mmol/L)                                   | 6.5 ± 2.1       | 5.9 ± 1.6       | \(<0.001\) |
| SBP (mmHg)                                     | 160.5 ± 27.0    | 141.0 ± 23.0    | \(<0.001\) |
| DBP (mmHg)                                     | 86.9 ± 11.9     | 81.9 ± 11.7     | \(<0.001\) |
| Mean height                                    | 158.4 ± 8.0     | 160.6 ± 8.2     | \(<0.001\) |
| Mean weight                                    | 63.9 ± 10.3     | 64.1 ± 11.4     | 0.784   |
| WC (cm)                                        | 85.6 ± 9.4      | 82.3 ± 9.8      | \(<0.001\) |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                    | 25.4 ± 3.6      | 24.8 ± 3.7      | 0.001   |
| Diet score                                     | 1.9 ± 1.2       | 2.3 ± 1.1       | \(<0.001\) |
| Salt intake                                    | 6.7 ± 3.9       | 6.4 ± 3.7       | 0.23    |
| Educational level (%)                          |                 |                 | \(<0.001\) |
| Primary school or below                        | 245 (69.4)      | 5330 (49.4)     |        |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD or as n (%)

CNY China Yuan (1 CNY = 0.157 USD), BMI body mass index, WC waist circumference, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, FPG fasting plasma glucose, TC total cholesterol, TGs triglycerides, LDL-C low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Fig. 1 The prevalence of ischemic stroke among the total population

Fig. 2 The prevalence of ischemic stroke for different groups by gender
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| \( p <0.05 \)                  |

MHNO, MHO, MUNO, MUO
using the ATP-III criteria for defining metabolic health. Previous studies have examined the associations of different obesity phenotypes with diabetes and CVD. Researchers reported that compared with metabolically healthy, normal-weight individuals, MHO individuals are more likely to have metabolic risk factors and incident diabetes but not CVD/stroke after several years of follow-up (Appleton et al. 2013). In our study, we similarly found that MHO was not associated with an increased prevalence of ischemic stroke; however, Sarah did not analyze the other obesity phenotypes, such as MUO. Hinnouho et al. analyzed the risks of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes in individuals with different body mass indexes and metabolic health statuses. They reported that the MHO phenotype is associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes than the metabolically unhealthy obese phenotype but that the risk of CVD is equally elevated for both obesity phenotypes (Hinnouho et al. 2015). In our study, we obtained different results, finding that not only MUO but also MUNO were associated with an increased prevalence of ischemic stroke among the total population. However, Hinnouho et al. did not conduct separate subgroup analysis of ischemic stroke.

In order to confirm the effect by Mes, we did stratification based on different BMI group. Results showed that, compared with people who were normal weight without Mes, participants who were in Mes with overweight or obesity had significantly higher OR for ischemic stroke; participants who were not in Mes with overweight or obesity did not showed OR significantly higher. These results evidenced that it was Mes increased the risk for ischemic stroke.

Previous study indicated many factors could associated with ischemic stroke such as age, smoking, excessive drinking including BMI (Long et al. 2016; Al-Rubeaan et al. 2016). However, after adjusted the related factors mentioned above, our study still confirm it was metabolic abnormalities not BMI itself increase the risk of ischemic stroke.

Some studies have examined the mechanisms of the association with CVD with obesity phenotypes. Karunakaran Indulekha et al. have analyzed the associations of adipokines and inflammatory and oxidative stress markers with obesity phenotypes. They have found that the metabolically obese phenotype is characterized by

### Table 3 Multiple logistic regression analyses for ischemic stroke

|                      | Total OR (95% CI) | Males OR (95% CI) | Females OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Grouped by Mes and obesity\(a\) |                   |                  |                    |
| MHNO                 | 1.000 (reference) | 1.000 (reference) | 1.000 (reference)  |
| MHO                  | 1.121 (0.348–3.615) | 0.752 (0.100–5.642) | 0.782 (0.389–7.012) |
| MUNO                 | 2.136 (1.677–2.720) | <0.001           | <0.001             |
| MUO                  | 2.712 (1.798–4.092) | <0.001           | 1.651 (0.389–7.012) |
| Grouped by BMI and Mes\(b\) |                   |                  |                    |
| Normal weight and no Mes | 1.000 (reference) | 1.000 (reference) | 1.000 (reference)  |
| Normal weight and Mes | 1.843 (0.946–3.592) | 2.256 (1.269–4.011) | 0.006 |
| Overweight and no Mes | 1.209 (0.755–1.934) | 1.571 (0.817–3.022) | 0.176 |
| Overweight and Mes    | 1.975 (1.241–3.143) | 2.920 (1.779–4.791) | <0.001 |
| Obesity and no Mes    | 1.559 (0.642–3.787) | 1.602 (0.540–4.750) | 0.395 |
| Obesity and Mes       | 2.166 (1.282–3.659) | 3.964 (2.373–6.621) | <0.001 |

OR odds ratio, 95% CI 95% confidence interval, MHO metabolically healthy obesity, MHNO metabolically healthy non-obesity, MUNO metabolically unhealthy non-obesity, MUO metabolically unhealthy obesity, Mes metabolic syndrome

\(p<0.05\) was considered to be significant and typed in italics

### Table 4 Prevalence of ischemic stroke according to different BMI group by Mes or not

|                      | Prevalence of ischemic stroke |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | no Mes | Mes | \(P\)  |
| Total                |        |     |
| Normal weight        | 73 (1.9 %) | 41 (5.1 %) | <0.001 |
| Overweight           | 48 (2.2 %) | 95 (4.8 %) | <0.001 |
| Obesity              | 10 (2.1 %) | 73 (5.0 %) | 0.005 |
| Male                 |        |     |
| Normal weight        | 50 (2.6 %) | 13 (5.6 %) | 0.009 |
| Overweight           | 32 (2.7 %) | 34 (4.6 %) | 0.022 |
| Obesity              | 6 (2.7 %) | 24 (3.9 %) | 0.384 |
| Female               |        |     |
| Normal weight        | 23 (1.3 %) | 28 (4.8 %) | <0.001 |
| Overweight           | 16 (1.6 %) | 61 (4.9 %) | <0.001 |
| Obesity              | 4 (1.5 %) | 49 (5.8 %) | 0.005 |

Mes Metabolic syndrome
altered adipokine and inflammatory profiles, which could indicate that individuals with this phenotype are at high risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease (Karunakaran et al. 2015). Scott Ahl et al. have reported that ADPN is associated with better metabolic health in both non-obese and obese white individuals. They have concluded that ADPN and peripheral adiposity play key roles in determining metabolic health, independent of BMI (Ahl et al. 2015). However, the mechanisms underlying the relationships between stroke and the different obesity phenotypes require further targeted research.

In addition, there is a novel result of our study. Among the females, the MUO group had the highest prevalence of ischemic stroke, whereas among the males, the MUNO group had the highest prevalence. Moreover, multiple regression analyses by gender showed that ischemic stroke was more likely to affect the males with MUNO, whereas it was more likely to affect the females with MUNO or MUO. These results indicate that metabolism and not obesity itself causes CVD, in agreement with other studies (Millan-Nunez et al. 2016; Stojanović et al. 2015; Turk et al. 2015). However, the differing results according to gender require further investigation. According to previous studies, the effects of hormones should be considered because estrogen in women is known to be associated with adipokines (Frankenfeld et al. 2004; Tworoger et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2003).

Our study has some limitations. First, it is a cross-sectional study; thus, we could not distinguish between cause and effect, and further longitudinal study should be conducted to verify our conclusions. Second, the definition for obesity and unhealthy metabolism was based on criteria for Asians by WHO and ATP-III components respectively, so they could not be representative globally. Finally, we used BMI as a criterion for obesity, but BMI only describes overall body mass and does not distinguish between fat and muscle or differentiate among different fat distributions. We also used WC, which is a better representation of metabolic abnormalities, as an indicator of obesity-related metabolic abnormalities.

Conclusions
Our findings indicate that ischemic stroke is likely related to poor metabolic health rather than to obesity itself. Thus, controlling metabolic abnormalities may be an effective way to decrease the risk of ischemic stroke. Furthermore, particularly for males, greater consideration should be given to those in the metabolically unhealthy non-obesity category.
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