Acromioclavicular Joint Dislocation with Ipsilateral Mid Third Clavicle, Mid Shaft Humerus and Coracoid Process Fracture – A Case Report
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What to Learn from this Article?
Polytrauma cases involving ipsilateral mid shaft clavicle, AC joint, shaft humerus and coracoid process are rare but the possibility of each of them must be ruled out in injuries around the shoulder.

Abstract

Introduction: The clavicle, humerus and acromioclavicular (AC) joint separately are very commonly involved in traumatic injuries around the shoulder. Acromioclavicular joint dislocation with distal clavicle fracture is a well recognized entity in clinical practice. AC joint dislocation with mid shaft clavicle fracture is uncommon and only few cases have been reported in literature. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report to describe an acromioclavicular dislocation with ipsilateral mid shaft clavicle, mid shaft humerus and coracoid process fracture. Fractures of the humerus and clavicle along with the acromioclavicular joint dislocation were fixed at the same setting.

Case Report: A 65-year-old male met with a high velocity road traffic accident. Plain radiographs showed displaced mid third clavicle fracture with acromioclavicular joint dislocation with mid shaft humerus fracture. Surgical fixation was planned for humerus with interlocking nail, clavicle with locking plate and acromioclavicular joint with reconstruction of coracoclavicular ligaments. Intraoperatively, coracoid process was found to have a comminuted fracture. The operative plan had to be changed on table as coracoclavicular fixation was not possible. So acromioclavicular joint fixation was done using tension band wiring and the coracoclavicular ligament was repaired using a 2-0 ethibond. The comminuted coracoid fracture was managed conservatively. K wires were removed at 6 weeks. Early mobilization was started.

Conclusion: In acromioclavicular joint injuries, clavicle must be evaluated for any injury. Although it is more commonly associated with distal clavicle fractures, it can be associated with middle third clavicle fractures. As plain radiographs, AP view are most of the times insufficient for viewing integrity of coracoid process, either special views like Stryker notch or CT scan may help in diagnosing such concealed injuries. When associated with fractures of the humerus and clavicle, anatomical restoration of acromioclavicular joint along with anatomical reduction and a rigid fixation of associated fractures is essential. Proper rehabilitation protocol is a must for achieving promising results. In our case, we were able to achieve a stable surgical fixation of both the fractures as well as AC joint, which enabled us to start early joint mobilization and rehabilitation.
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Introduction

The clavicle, humerus and acromioclavicular (AC) joint separately are very commonly involved in traumatic injuries around the shoulder.

Acromioclavicular joint dislocation with distal clavicle fracture is a well recognized entity in clinical practice [1]. AC joint dislocation with mid shaft clavicle fracture is relatively uncommon and only a few cases have been reported in literature so far [2,3]. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report to describe an acromioclavicular dislocation with ipsilateral mid shaft clavicle, mid shaft humerus and coracoid process fracture. Fractures of the humerus and clavicle along with the acromioclavicular joint dislocation were fixed at the same setting.

Case Report

A 65-year-old hypertensive male came to the emergency department with swelling and tenderness over left shoulder and left arm following a high velocity road traffic accident. He sustained a direct impact of the shoulder and arm of the left side over the ground. There was no open wound and no neurovascular deficit in the affected upper limb. Initially, a U shaped POP slab with sling was given. Plain radiographs of left shoulder and left arm showed displaced mid third clavicle fracture with acromioclavicular joint dislocation type 3 (Rockwood classification) with mid shaft humerus fracture [FIGURE 1,2,3].

After complete pre-anæsthetic check up and after obtaining informed consent, surgical fixation was scheduled. First humerus fixation with interlocking nail, followed by clavicle fixation with reconstruction plate and then reconstruction of coracoclavicular ligaments for stabilization of the acromioclavicular joint was planned.

Technique

Patient was induced under general anaesthesia and was positioned in beach chair semi sitting position with sandbag below ipsilateral scapula. Painting and draping of the whole limb and the shoulder girdle was done. First, humerus shaft was fixed by closed intramedullary interlocking nail with proximal and distal locking screws under image guidance. Clavicle was then exposed using a transverse incision, centered over the fracture and along the length of the clavicle. A precontoured 3.5 mm locking plate (7 hole) was applied on the anterosuperior surface of the clavicle and anatomical reduction was achieved. Coracoclavicular ligaments were then exposed for assessment. While doing so, coracoid process was found to be fractured. The fracture pattern was comminuted but undisplaced. The operative plan had to be changed on table, as coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction was not possible. Hence, acromioclavicular joint fixation was done by extending the incision in ‘S’ shaped manner anteriorly, directed towards the acromioclavicular joint. The fibres of deltoid and trapezius were split to expose the joint. At this point, fibres of the torn capsule of the acromioclavicular joint were visible and were removed using a nibbler. Reduction of the joint was achieved and two 1.5 mm smooth Kirschner wires were introduced from the acromion to the lateral third of clavicle transversely, taking care of the inferomedial structures [FIG. 4]. The wires were bent at ninety degrees at the lateral border of acromion and reinforcement was done using a stainless steel wire in figure of eight manner. The torn fibres of the coracoclavicular ligament were sutured using a 2-0 ethibond. The comminuted coracoid fracture was managed...
Postoperatively, arm pouch was given and three week immobilization was advised [FIGURE 5]. Pendulum exercises were started at three weeks. At six weeks the Kirschners wires were removed and gentle passive range of motion exercises were started followed by active range of motion exercises as per pain tolerance of the patient.

At three months, signs of union of humerus and clavicle were seen on radiographs and reduction of the AC joint was maintained with no postoperative complications. Patient was able to resume his daily activities with no tenderness at any fracture sites. At six months, union was radiologically complete [FIGURE 6.7] and patient was able to do 180 degrees of forward flexion, 160 degrees of lateral elevation, external rotation of 90 degrees, and internal rotation up to T12 level. Functional assessment as done by Constant and Murley scoring system was found to be 92 at the end of six months.

**Discussion**

The shoulder joint is vulnerable to injuries from sports, falls and other accidents. As the shoulder girdle is subjected to compression force directed from laterally, the main strut maintaining position is the clavicle and its articulations. As the force exceeds the capacity of this structure to withstand it, failure can occur in one of three ways. The acromioclavicular (AC) articulation may fail, the clavicle may break, or the sternoclavicular joint may dislocate [4]. The usual mechanism of injury for mid shaft transverse humerus fracture is either bending force with direct blow or fall on outstretched hand.

Although enough literature is there to suggest conservative treatment for midshaft clavicle [5], shaft humerus [6], and AC joint dislocation [7], in rare cases like this one where integrity of all the three are disturbed, surgical intervention is better to obtain a stable fixation and early joint mobilization [8,9,10].

Plating is a standard surgical treatment option for displaced mid shaft clavicle fractures which was the chosen option here [11]. For fracture shaft humerus, intramedullary nailing was the preferred option over plating in such a polytrauma case as it entails less surgical dissection, less operative time and minimal blood loss [8]. The operative treatment options for AC joint injuries are intra-articular AC joint fixation, extra-articular coracoclavicular repairs and ligament reconstruction [12]. In rare circumstances like the present one, where there is comminuted fracture of the coracoid process along with AC joint dislocation, intra articular AC joint fixation with coracoclavicular ligament repair was chosen as the preferred option. For AC joint fixation, both Kirschners wires and cortical screws have been used but literature suggests that Kirschners wire are better over cortical screws as screws caused more osteolysis of distal clavicle than pins [13].

For coracoid fractures, both operative and nonoperative methods of treatment have been described. Results seem to be similar in both groups. Therefore, most authors recommend nonoperative treatment for coracoid process fractures [14,15]. Furthermore, as in our case, the coracoid fracture was comminuted, and therefore it was managed conservatively.

**Clinical Message**

Such polytrauma cases involving ipsilateral mid shaft clavicle, AC joint, shaft humerus and coracoid process are rare but the possibility of each of them must be ruled out in injuries around shoulder.
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