Micro-Kelvin Resolution at Room Temperature Using Nanomechanical Thermometry
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ABSTRACT: Ultrahigh sensitivity temperature measurement is becoming increasingly relevant for different scientific and technological fields from fundamental physics to high-precision engineering applications. Here, we demonstrate the use of a nanomechanical resonator—free standing silicon nitride membranes with thicknesses in the nanoscale—for room temperature thermometry reaching an unprecedented resolution of 15 μK. These devices were characterized by using an interferometric system at high vacuum, where there are only two possible mechanisms for heat transfer: thermal conductivity and radiation. While the expected behavior should be to decrease the frequency of the mechanical resonance due to the thermoelastic effect, we observe that the nanomechanical response can be both positive and negative depending on the thermal flux: a heat point source always shifts the mechanical resonance to lower frequencies, while a distributed heat source shifts the resonance to higher frequencies.

INTRODUCTION

Temperature is a crucial variable for many different applications ranging from engineering1 to fundamental2 or applied scientific applications.3,4 Many processes must have either a monitored or a controlled temperature. In order to account for this wide collection of needs, the community has developed a large number of sensors and devices. Until recently, most of the accomplishments for measurement of temperature harness unique properties of materials, traditionally probed using electrical methods.5,6 However, despite the ubiquity of thermometers, the underlying technology remains unaltered over the last century: both the standard platinum resistance thermometer, developed in the 19th century, and the modern temperature sensors rely on resistance measurements of a thin metal film7 or a wire. Although resistance thermometers can measure temperature with uncertainties as small as 50 mK, they are sensitive to mechanical shock, thermal stress, and environmental variables such as humidity and chemical contaminants causing drifts and requiring in consequence expensive and time-consuming calibrations.8,9 Therefore, in recent years, there has been increasing interest in the development of new technologies able to produce new sensing devices to overcome these problems. According to the new definition of the Kelvin adopted in 2018 by the International System of Units, the temperature unit is now based on the exact value of the Boltzmann constant. However, thermodynamic temperature determination (based on the fundamental properties of thermal fluctuations in conductors) remains a complex and heavy task, for example, the resolution at room temperature of a commercially available Johnson noise thermometer is about 100 mK, reaching micro-Kelvin resolution at cryogenic operation temperatures.10 At low temperature (4 K), the measurement sensitivity can be improved by using a dc-SQUID magnetometer to determine the change in magnetization of a paramagnetic salt,11 reaching a resolution of about 100 pK, or by using the Coulomb blockade technique to measure the tunnel current in between metallic islands surrounded by an insulator.12 However, the applicability of these cryogenic techniques is very limited, and they need electrical interactions with the sample through electrical contacts.

The development of temperature sensors using optical or mechanical properties will eventually remove the need for electrical measurements, along with their inherent limitations. Furthermore, the advent of nanomechanics has brought numerous new applications, including temperature sensing13–16 by using the bimetallic effect. The difference in the
thermal expansion coefficient of the two layers bends the flexible structure as a consequence of an environmental temperature change. The same principle was successfully used to thermally actuate on these flexible structures by laser absorption or to monitor biological procedures. In order to decrease the noise of the bimetallic effect and consequently increase the measurement resolution, there were increasing efforts in nanomechanical devices made of one material. Because there is no static bending caused by the temperature change in these devices, the measurement principle is based on the study of the dependency of the mechanical resonance frequency as a function of temperature due to thermoelastic effects.

In this work, we have studied the effect of temperature change on nanometer-scale thickness silicon nitride membranes. The thermoelastic effect shifts the mechanical resonance of the membranes to lower frequencies as expected by the theory; however, when the stress comes into play, the resonance shift could be positive or negative depending on the flux direction. The dimensions of the used silicon nitride membranes are 20μm × 20 μm × 20 nm (f₀ = 8 MHz, Q ∼ 4–5 × 10⁴), 150 μm × 150 μm × 50 nm (f₀ = 1.28 MHz, Q ∼ 5–6 × 10⁴), and 400 μm × 400 μm × 100 nm (f₀ = 360 kHz, Q ∼ 4–5 × 10³). The extraordinary low noise of the frequency measurement, with an Allan deviation of about 10⁻⁶, allows for a temperature resolution of 15 μK at room temperature for an integration time of about 800 ms, which constitutes an improvement of 4 orders of magnitude with the state-of-the art Johnson noise thermometer and is comparable to optical thermometers based on whispering gallery modes.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The membranes were fabricated by using wet back-etch starting from a silicon wafer with a low stress silicon nitride layer on both sides. A square is opened on the wafer back side by photolithography, subsequently followed by dry etch. The exposed silicon was subsequently wet-etched from the back side until it was stopped by the low stress silicon nitride of the front side, revealing the crystallographic planes of the silicon, as shown in Figure 1a. The mechanical modes of the released membranes were optically measured by using a homemade Michelson interferometer, as shown in Figure 1b. A collimated laser beam (Toptica Photonics AG, TopMode 633 nm) is split up into two beams by means of a beam splitter: one directed to a reference mirror and one focused on the membrane, which is placed in a high vacuum chamber (final working pressure 10⁻⁷ mbar) by means of a long working distance microscope objective (Mitutoyo 20 × 0.42 NA). The reflected laser beams are recombined and collected by a photoreceiver. The signal coming out from the photoreceiver is amplified and analyzed by a spectrum analyzer. The samples are mounted on a 3D position stage (Attocube systems AG) allowing to sweep the laser across the sample with a precision of 50 nm. The amplitude maps, obtained by measuring the mechanical amplitude spectra at different points along the membrane, are used to visualize the mechanical mode shape, as shown in Figure 1c, showing a very good agreement with the expected mechanical mode profile simulated by finite element method (FEM, Comsol Multiphysics) by using the following values for the Young’s modulus: E = 250 GPa, mass density, ρ = 3100 kg·m⁻³, and Poisson’s ratio, ν = 0.23. Thanks to the closed loop functionality of the position system, it is possible to focus the laser spot always on the same point during the whole experiment with a position repeatability of 50 nm, for example, at the membrane center point while changing the laser power, as shown in Figure 1d. The measured spectra clearly show a dependency of the mechanical resonance frequency of the silicon nitride membrane with the laser power. The lower the power, the higher the mechanical resonance frequency. In Figure 1d, we show 6 different spectra obtained at 510, 300, 150, 50, 10, and 5 μW, with the corresponding frequencies ranging from 1282.1 up to 1282.9 kHz.

In order to gain insights about the physical process behind the frequency shift as a function of laser power, we have performed finite element simulations (Comsol Multiphysics). There are two opposite effects when illuminating a free-standing mechanical structure with a laser: radiation pressure and bolometric effects. Radiation pressure is the result of
the inelastic momentum transfer between the photons and the mechanical structure. It depends on the laser power, wavelength, and material refractive index. The most general way to calculate the radiation pressure force is by integrating Maxwell's stress tensor over a closed path surrounding the flexible structure, \[ \mathbf{T} = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{T}_{ij} \text{d}l, \]

where the Maxwell stress tensor is given by \[ \mathbf{T}_{ij} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}_{i} \mathbf{E}_{j} + \mu \mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{H}_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} (\epsilon \mathbf{E}^2 + \mu \mathbf{H}^2), \]

where \( \mathbf{n} \) is a unit vector normal to the integration path pointing outward, \( \mu \) is the permeability, and \( \epsilon \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, which are those obtained by solving Maxwell's equations. The calculations show that the radiation pressure is negligible for the used laser powers. Therefore, the mechanical frequency shift must be caused by thermal effects. The thermal profile over the membrane is calculated by solving the following equation

\[
\rho C_{p} \frac{dT}{dt} + \mathbf{u}_{\text{trans}} \cdot \nabla T + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}_{s}) = -\alpha \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{Q}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density, \( C_{p} \) is the specific heat capacity at constant stress, \( T \) is the absolute temperature, \( \mathbf{u}_{\text{trans}} \) is the velocity vector of translational motion, \( \mathbf{q} \) is the heat flux by conduction, \( \mathbf{q}_{s} \) is the heat flux by radiation, \( \alpha \) is the coefficient of thermal expansion, \( S \) is the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor, and \( Q \) contains all the additional heat sources. Please note that the right-hand term accounts for thermoelastic effects in solids. For the silicon nitride membrane, \( \alpha_{\text{SiN}} = 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}, C_{\text{pSiN}} = 700 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \), and for the silicon frame, \( \alpha_{\text{Si}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}, C_{\text{pSi}} = 710 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \).

We have simulated a gaussian laser beam focused on the silicon nitride surface, as shown in Figure 2a. The absorbed power is translated into a heat source, and the temperature distribution of the whole structure is calculated. In order to mimic the experimental conditions, the membrane boundaries are considered as a heat sink at room temperature. Because the experiments were carried out at high vacuum, in the simulations, we take into account only two thermal transport mechanisms: the heat loss by radiation and the thermal conductivity through the structure. Therefore, the temperature is maximal at the illumination region and exponentially decays until reaching room temperature at the membrane borders. The temperature of the illuminated region depends linearly on the laser power, as can be seen from the lower chart in Figure 2a. As it was anticipated by the power-dependent measurements, the mechanical resonance frequency of the membrane depends on the temperature. Therefore, the measured resonant frequency depends on the coordinates of the focused laser spot on the membrane, as shown in Figure 2b. According to previous works in literature, given the isotropy in the thermal transport properties of silicon nitride, by sweeping a laser spot at fixed power (1500 \( \mu \text{W} \)), we experimentally observe a radial dependence of the resonance frequency, being the minimal at the membrane center (\( \Delta f = -0.7 \text{ kHz} \)), as shown by the right colormap in Figure 2b. It is possible to simulate these experimental results by combining three different physical models in FEM software (Comsol Multiphysics): electromagnetic waves to calculate the absorbed power by the material, thermal transport to calculate the temperature distribution at the membrane, and structural dynamics to calculate the resonance frequency of the membrane when shining at a single point. By sweeping this shining point all along the membrane, we can reconstruct the experimental results, as shown in the left colormap in Figure 2b. These experiments are performed at different laser powers ranging from 150 up to 1500 \( \mu \text{W} \), as shown in Figure 2c. For comparison in between the different laser powers, we plot the resonance frequencies by sweeping over the same line across the membrane while increasing the power. The frequency shift when the laser spot is focused at the membrane center depends linearly on the laser power, as shown in Figure 2d.

In order to perform the temperature calibration, we have introduced a heat source inside the vacuum chamber and a...
thermocouple, as it was previously described in Figure 1b. The heat source is placed in thermal contact with the silicon frame; therefore, the silicon is now hotter than the membrane. When the system temperature rises, we observe the opposite effect on the mechanical properties of the membrane compared with the laser local heating; the mechanical resonance is shifted to higher frequencies as temperature increases. The thermal process is very slow, with a time constant of the order of seconds, as shown in Figure 3a. With the aim of shedding light on this effect, we have to perform time-dependent simulations of the heat flux in the whole structure, as shown in Figure 3b. Now, the heat source is the bottom side of the silicon wafer. Because the chip is located inside a high vacuum chamber, the heat flux is only due to conduction and radiation. Therefore, at the stationary state, the silicon chip frame is hotter than the suspended silicon nitride membrane. The difference in the thermal expansion coefficient of the membrane and the frame
introduces a tensile stress in the membrane shifting the resonance to higher values.

In order to reach the stationary state, the heat must be conductively transported from the bottom wafer side.29 In Figure 3c, we show the time evolution of the simulated temperature profile along the cut line, which is shown in Figure 3b. The time the heat needs to flow from the bottom wafer side to reach the membrane depends on the material thermal transport properties. Considering that the heat flux is only determined by conductivity and heat loss by radiation, the time to reach the stationary temperature is significant. By solving eq 1, we can obtain the time dependency of the temperature difference and therefore the frequency dependency with time, as shown in Figure 3a in the upper chart. The frequency sensitivity20–32 can be calculated as

\[
S_i(T) = \left. \frac{1}{f(T)} \frac{\partial f(T)}{\partial T} \right|_{T=T_0} = \frac{\alpha E}{2 \sigma}
\]  

(2)

where \( E \) is the Young’s modulus, \( \sigma \) is the initial stress, and \( f \) is the resonance frequency of the membrane, which can be calculated as

\[
f = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2L} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\rho}}
\]  

(3)

where \( L \) is the length of the square, and \( \rho \) is the mass density of the structural material of the membrane, silicon nitride in this case.

From eq 2, the mechanical frequency sensitivity to a temperature change is inversely proportional to the initial stress of the structural material. The larger the stress, the lower is the sensitivity. Figure 3d shows the simulated normalized frequency response of a square silicon nitride membrane of 150 \( \mu m \) lateral size and 50 nm thickness. We have simulated the stationary frequency resonance for the fundamental mechanical mode, while changing the initial stress for different temperatures increases by using FEM calculation, generating a 3D surface. While the frequency increases with the initial stress, according to eq 3, its variation with temperature (the slope representing the sensitivity) decreases, which agrees with our theoretical modeling (eq 2).

As it has been noted before, stress plays a critical role; the sensitivity of the nanomechanical thermometry is inversely proportional to the membrane initial stress. Based on the geometry measured by scanning electron microscopy, and the mechanical resonance frequency of the fundamental mode, it is possible to calculate the stress of each membrane (140 ± 5 MPa for the 400 \( \mu m \) membrane, 200 ± 5 MPa for the 150 \( \mu m \) membrane, and 230 ± 10 MPa for the 20 \( \mu m \) membrane). Note that the smaller the membrane, the higher is the structural stress. This is due to the fabrication process and the stress release by suspended structures.27 In Figure 4a, we show the relative frequency shift for different membranes as a function of temperature for an incident laser power of 50 \( \mu W \). The slope of this measurement gives us the responsivity of the system, \( R = \frac{(\delta f)}{\delta \delta f} \). The largest responsivity is shown by the larger membrane (0.0025 K\(^{-1}\) for the 400 \( \mu m \) membrane, blue symbols in Figure 4a) and the smallest responsivity by the 20 \( \mu m \) membrane, 0.0015 K\(^{-1}\) (green symbols in Figure 4a), the 150 \( \mu m \) membrane being an intermediate response, 0.002 K\(^{-1}\) (red symbols in Figure 4a). The shadowed areas correspond to the FEM simulated response, the variability being due to the abovementioned variability in the structural stress. As can be seen from Figure 4a, the agreement between the simulations and the experiments is excellent. The stress dependency of the sensitivity (eq 2) has been simulated by FEM, as shown in Figure 4b, which is very similar for all simulated membranes. Actually, the observed small differences correspond to second-order variations in geometry. Note that from the 20 to the 400 \( \mu m \) membrane, the thickness increases by a factor of 5. Finally, the performance of the thermal sensor is characterized by the minimum measurable frequency variation, that is, the frequency noise of the system. The way to calculate the noise level of the readout system is through the Allan deviation calculation, \( \sigma_{\text{Allan}}(\tau) = \sqrt{\alpha_{\text{Allan}}^2(\tau)} \), where \( \alpha_{\text{Allan}}^2(\tau) \) is defined as the Allan variance, which is calculated from the average of the frequencies measured in a temporal integration time \( \tau \), \( \sigma_{\text{Allan}}^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int (f(t + \tau) - f(t))^2 dt \). According to previous works in literature,33,34 the frequency stability improves as the driving voltage of a piezo shaker placed close to the sample is increased, which leads to a decrease in the Allan deviation, as shown in Figure 4c. The Allan deviation reaches a value of \( 4 \times 10^{-8} \) for the 400 \( \mu m \) membrane, \( 3 \times 10^{-8} \) for the 150 \( \mu m \) membrane, and \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) for the 20 \( \mu m \) membrane for integration times of about 800 ms in all the cases. For the 150 \( \mu m \) membrane, given the fundamental resonance frequency of 1.2 MHz, this Allan variance means that we have a frequency instability of \( \delta f = 36 \) mHz RMS. By taking into account the value of the responsivity, the temperature resolution is given by \( \delta T = \frac{\delta f}{f R} \), reaching a minimal value of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \) K = 15 \( \mu K \) for the 150 \( \mu m \) membrane.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have experimentally demonstrated the use of a nanomechanical resonator for ultrahigh thermometry resolution at room temperature, with a value of about 15 \( \mu K \). The working principle is based on the low-noise measurement of the effect of the temperature rise on the mechanical resonance frequency of suspended low-stress silicon nitride membranes. These membranes were experimentally characterized by using a Michelson interferometer in high vacuum, where there are only two possible mechanisms for heat transfer: thermal conductivity and radiation. While the expected behavior should be to decrease the mechanical resonance frequency due to the thermoelastic effect, we realized that the nanomechanical response of the membrane to a temperature rise can be both positive and negative depending on the temperature distribution and the generated stress in the structure. A heat point source located on the membrane always shifts the fundamental mechanical resonance to lower frequencies, while a distributed heat source over the silicon wafer, which serves as a supporting frame for the silicon nitride membrane, shifts the resonance to higher frequencies.
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