Conotoxins as Sensors of Local pH and Electrostatic Potential in the Outer Vestibule of the Sodium Channel
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ABSTRACT We examined the block of voltage-dependent rat skeletal muscle sodium channels by derivatives of \( \mu \)-conotoxin GIIIA (\( \mu \text{CTX} \)) having either histidine, glutamate, or alanine residues substituted for arginine-13. Toxin binding and dissociation were observed as current fluctuations from single, batrachotoxin-treated sodium channels in planar lipid bilayers. R13X derivatives of \( \mu \text{CTX} \) only partially block the single-channel current, enabling us to directly monitor properties of both \( \mu \text{CTX}- \)bound and -unbound states under different conditions. The fractional residual current through the bound channel changes with pH according to a single-site titration curve for toxin derivatives R13E and R13H, reflecting the effect of changing the charge on residue 13, in the bound state. Experiments with R13A provided a control reflecting the effects of titration of all residues on toxin and channel other than toxin residue 13. The apparent pKs for the titration of residual conductance are shifted 2–3 pH units positive from the nominal pK values for histidine and glutamate, respectively, and from the values for these specific residues, determined in the toxin molecule in free solution by NMR measurements. Toxin affinity also changes dramatically as a function of pH, almost entirely due to changes in the association rate constant, \( k_{\text{on}} \). Interpreted electrostatically, our results suggest that, even in the presence of the bound cationic toxin, the channel vestibule strongly favors cation entry with an equivalent local electrostatic potential more negative than -100 mV at the level of the “outer charged ring” formed by channel residues E403, E758, D1241, and D1532. Association rates are apparently limited at a transition state where the pK of toxin residue 13 is closer to the solution value than in the bound state. The action of these unique peptides can thus be used to sense the local environment in the ligand–receptor complex during individual molecular transitions and defined conformational states.

KEY WORDS: single-channel biophysics • lipid bilayers • peptide toxins • proton block • ion permeation

INTRODUCTION

Under physiological conditions, the degree of protonation of protein side chains plays a key role in determining the catalytic properties of metabolic enzymes, transporters, and ion channels. Among the “P-loop” family of cation channels (e.g., Moczydlowski, 1998), two general kinds of effects of protonation are seen: block of ion conduction through the open channel (or a decrease in maximal conductance in whole-cell recordings) and changes in gating function, with titration of specific side chain carboxylate (Asp, Glu) or imidazole (His) groups being implicated in several cases (De Biasi et al., 1993; Lopes et al., 2000, 2001; Kehl et al., 2002). Changes in pH may also have an important influence on interactions of channels with natural ligands (Vivaudou and Forestier, 1995) or drugs (Hille, 1977a,b; Schwarz et al., 1977; Uehara and Hume, 1985; Zamponi et al., 1993a,b).

In many cases, effects on gating are probably electrostatically induced by titration of fixed charges on the channel protein in the vicinity of the voltage sensor (Frankenhaeuser and Hodgkin, 1957; Hille, 1968; Hille et al., 1975). In some cases, such as the bacterial channel, KcsA, and certain plant channels, protons may play a more direct role in triggering transitions between open and closed conformations of the channel (Heginbotham et al., 1999; Hoth and Hedrich, 1999; Green, 2002).

Although it is now known that the selectivity filter of potassium channels is lined by backbone carboxyl groups (Doyle et al., 1998; Morals-Cabral et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001), P-loop residue side chains appear to play a much more direct role in specifying ion conduction and selectivity in voltage-gated Ca and Na channels (Backx et al., 1992; Heinemann et al., 1992; Chen et al., 1996; Sun et al., 1997). For this reason, the detailed effects of protonation might be expected to differ between K channels and Na and Ca channels. The predominant means of inhibition of T-type Ca channels by protonation has been attributed to gating effects (Delisle and Satin, 2000). An earlier, detailed analysis of the effect of protonation on L-type single channel conductance led to the conclusion that the protonation site was separate from the pore but coupled to it by a conformational change (Pietrobon et al., 1989;
Prod’hom et al., 1989). A later study gave evidence for pH-sensitive transitions between different conductance states resulting from titration of selectivity filter residues (Chen et al., 1996).

In 1968, Hille argued that direct protonation of individual Na channels was responsible for part of the proton-induced block of Na currents (Hille, 1968). Subsequently, a variety of studies have provided evidence for both gating shifts (Hille et al., 1975; Zhang and Siegelbaum, 1991) and direct pore block mechanisms (Woodhull, 1973; Begenisich and Dankó, 1983; Daumas and Andersen, 1993), or a combination of the two (Benitated et al., 1997). Pore block is likely to depend in part on protonation of selectivity filter residues (Sun et al., 1997). A recent analysis, however, shows by mutagenesis that “outer ring” carboxylates, 3–4 residues C-terminal to the selectivity filter, contribute to a complex protonation site (Khan et al., 2002).

In the present work, we make use of pore-blocking μ-conotoxins (Cruz et al., 1985, 1989; Moczydlowski et al., 1986; Lancelin et al., 1991; Sato et al., 1991; Becker et al., 1992) to reversibly introduce a titratable residue into the vestibule of the toxin–channel complex. Derivatives (R13X) of μ-conotoxin GIITA (μCTX),* in which Arg-13 is substituted by a residue with a side chain that is titrated in a pH range close to physiological pH, provide unique opportunities for observation of effects of protonation in a defined conformational/functional state. We studied the action of R13H and R13E derivatives, using R13A as a control possessing a nontitratable side chain in this position (Fig. 1). Bound times for these peptide toxins are typically ≥1s, which, together with the unique conductance of the bound (partially blocked) state for a given toxin, makes “blocked” and unblocked events easily distinguishable from the channel closed and open times that are limited by channel gating conformational transitions. On yet another timescale, protonation of the channel blocks ion conduction through the pore with rapid kinetics beyond the resolution of our recording system, and is thus seen as a pH-dependent decrease in the amplitude of single-channel current, as in the work of Daumas and Andersen (1993). Thus, although we do not resolve the kinetics of proton block, per se, we were able to continuously monitor the probability of proton block of toxin-bound and -unbound channels. Toxin-binding kinetics depend strongly on pH when a titratable residue is present in position 13. By analyzing the pH dependence, and determining the effective pKs for block of the single channel current, and for toxin binding, we show that the pK of the critical toxin residue 13 is substantially higher than that measured in free solution.

We suggest that this shift reflects the ability of the channel vestibule, even in the presence of the bound, cationic toxin, to strongly concentrate protons. We interpret this shift of pKs as a reflection of a substantial electrostatic potential difference between the channel vestibule and the free bathing solution.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Peptide Synthesis**

Peptide synthesis has been described previously (Hui et al., 2002). Briefly, linear peptides were produced by solid phase synthesis using 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) chemistry. Coupling of Fmoc amino acids was performed using the HBTU/HOBt/DIPEA method on an Applied Biosystems 431A synthesizer. The raw peptides were air oxidized and purified as described previously (Chang et al., 1998). During oxidation, cyclization was monitored by analytical HPLC and usually complete after 2–3 d at 4°C. After folding of the peptide by air oxidation, toxin derivatives were purified to near homogeneity by HPLC (~95%, based on analytical HPLC). Active toxin derivatives were isolated as a single major peak. The identity of purified peptides was confirmed by quantitative amino acid analysis and, in some cases, by electrospray mass spectroscopy molecular weight determination. The proper folding of the toxins was confirmed by 1D and, in some cases, 2D NMR (see Results).

**1D and 2D NMR**

NMR spectra were recorded at 25°C on a Bruker Avance DMX500 spectrometer operating at a proton frequency of 500.13 MHz and a carbon-13 frequency of 125.76 MHz. All spectra were obtained in aqueous (D$_2$O or D$_3$O) solution (450 μL) contained in 5-mm tubes with DSS added as a chemical shift reference. 1D NMR spectra of peptides in H$_2$O solution (5% D$_2$O added to provide a lock signal) were recorded using excitation sculpting with pulsed magnetic field gradients (Hwang and Shaka, 1995). The spectra were recorded using the following parameters: 8,000 data points, 128 scans, 6,000-Hz sweepwidth with a 2.68-s recycle time. Spectra were zero killed to 16 K and apodized using an exponential line-broadening of 0.3 Hz. Proton-detected 2D HMQC
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*Abbreviations used in this paper: BTX, batrachotoxin; μCTX, μ-conotoxin GIITA."
The high concentrations of toxin required for our experiments (in the μM range) raise the possibility of a nonspecific effect. To ensure that the block was not due to aberrant changes in toxin structure, 1D proton NMR was used to check that the folded structures did not deviate qualitatively from that of the wild-type toxin. The proton chemical shifts for the R13X derivatives are generally similar to that of the wild-type toxin, with the exception of the shifts of D12 and Q14, for which some change would be expected in response to substitution at the adjacent position-13. Qualitative NOE data indicates that the basic secondary structure remained the same in all cases tested. Others (Sato et al., 1991; Wakamatsu et al., 1992) have also reported that the R13A and R13K derivatives fold normally.

**Vesicle Preparation and Incorporation of Channels into Bilayers**

Rat sarcosomial vesicles were isolated as described in Guo et al. (1987), with some modifications. Muscle tissue was obtained from the fore and hind limbs of adult rats and homogenized in t-tubule buffer (0.1 g/ml sucrose, 2 mg/ml sodium azide, 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.4). Cellular debris and contractile protein were removed by filtering through a gauze pad, low-speed centrifugation and 0.6-M KCl treatment. The membrane-containing fraction was separated from the fore and hind limbs of adult rats and homogenized in t-tubule buffer (0.1 g/ml sucrose, 2 mg/ml sodium azide, 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.4) with an aperture of 90–200-μm diameter separating the crosed gradient. The pellet was homogenized in a small volume (<5 mL) of 0.5 M sucrose, 20 mM HEPES solution. The protein concentration (1–3 mg/ml) was determined by the Lawry method using known concentrations of bovine serum albumin for control (absorbance at 205 nm). The preparation was divided into 50 μl aliquots and stored at −80°C. Prior to usage, an aliquot was pretreated with 50 μM batrachotoxin to activate voltage-dependent sodium channels and stored at −20°C (Khororov, 1985). Generally, the preparation was used 2–30 d after pretreatment.

Channels were incorporated into neutral lipid bilayers (48 μg/μl 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine and 12 μg/μl 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine dissolved in decane) painted onto the aperture, at different initial pH recording conditions. After incorporation, control records were corrected for missed blocked events, as described above, before determining the rate constants. These time constants were corrected for missed blocked events, as described above, before determining the rate constants. The rate constants were taken as:

\[
k_{off} = \frac{1}{\tau_{blocked}}
\]
where $\tau_{\text{blocked}}$ is the time constant for the blocked events, $\tau_{\text{unblocked}}$ is the time constant for the unblocked ("open") events, and $[\text{Tx}]$ is the applied toxin concentration. The dissociation constant, $K_d$, was independently determined from the block probability:

$$K_d = \frac{[\text{Tx}] \times (1 - P_b)}{P_b} = \frac{[\text{Tx}] \times \tau_{\text{blocked}}}{\tau_{\text{unblocked}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\tau_{\text{unblocked}}$ and $\tau_{\text{blocked}}$ are the total times spent in the unblocked and blocked state, respectively, and $P_b$ is the fraction of time the channel is in the blocked state. Because this method does not rely on the presence of a large number of events, $K_d$s were determined when there were $\geq 20$ (unblocked and blocked) events. The $K_d$ estimated from the ratio $k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ was generally similar. The voltage dependence of the kinetic parameters was fit to:

$$k = k(0 \text{mV}) e^{-\Delta FE/RT},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $k$ is the parameter, $k(0 \text{mV})$ is the parameter at 0 mV, $R$ is the gas constant, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, $T$ is the temperature ($22^\circ C$), $E$ is the applied voltage, and $\delta z$ is the apparent valence (a product of the amount of charge, $z$, and the fractional electrical
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**Figure 2.** Block of single-channel current by $\mu$CTX derivatives with titratable or nontitratable residues at position 13. (A) Current traces for R13E, R13A, R13H, and the unblocked (Control) channel at pH 6–8. R13E pH 7.0 and 8 traces are from a single experiment; R13A pH 6.0 and 7 traces are from a single experiment; and R13H traces for all pHs from a single experiment. The control traces represent three different experiments. Concentrations of R13E were 50 $\mu$M at pH 6.0 and 100 $\mu$M at pH 7.0 and pH 8, with unblocked conductances of 16, 20, and 21 pS, respectively. For R13A, concentration was 21 $\mu$M at all pHs (13, 17, and 18 pS for pH 6, 7, and 8, respectively). For R13H, they were 11 $\mu$M at all pHs (14, 17, and 18 pS for pH 6, 7, and 8, respectively). Traces shown here were sampled at 1 kHz and digitally filtered (low pass) at 10 Hz. (B) Conductances as a function of pH. Conductance of the unblocked channel, and the residual conductances of the channel bound by either R13E, R13A, or R13H. Conductances were determined from linear fits of current-voltage plots from 3–30 experiments for the unblocked channel, 1–9 for R13E, 1–6 for R13A, and 1–15 for R13H. The unblocked conductance was fit with a single-site titration curve with $pK = 5.29 \pm 0.03$. 
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distance, δ, through which it moves) (Woodhull, 1973). Values are reported as mean ± SEM.

RESULTS

It is immediately apparent from the single-channel recordings that both the kinetics of µCTX block and the amplitude of single channel currents are strongly dependent on pH (Fig. 2). Toxin binding is visibly favored by acidification, with pH dependence being more dramatic for R13E and R13H than for R13A (Fig. 2A). This immediately suggests the importance of the residue 13 charge, as titration of channel residues would tend to make the net vestibule charge more positive and therefore generally less favorable for binding of the cationic toxin. Single channel conductance decreases with acidification regardless of whether or not

with both R13E and R13H, but has no significant effect for R13A. F_{res}(0 mV) was defined as the fraction residual current at 0 mV determined from the linear fits. This parameter was used to compare the block for different toxin-pH combinations. (B) F_{res}(0 mV) follow simple titration curves only for R13E and R13H. In contrast, the residual current for R13A is nearly constant down to pH 6, below which it may decrease slightly (an arbitrary smooth curve is drawn through the R13A data points). For comparison, F_{res}(0 mV) for R13N, R13W, and R13O at pH 7.0 are shown (from Hui et al., 2002). Data for each point were lumped from 1 to 15 experiments for each toxin. (C) The rectification of the control and residual single channel currents is weakly dependent on pH. The ratio of the residual conductances at negative and positive voltages clearly shows the outward rectification property of the toxin-bound channels. (Any value of the conductance ratio ≠ 1 reflects a nonlinear single-channel i-E relation; values < 1 reflect accentuated proton block at negative voltages.) This behavior is also exhibited by the unblocked channel for pH < 6, as reported previously by Daumas and Andersen (1993). The rectification is strongest at low pH, and diminishes as pH increases.

Figure 3. pH titration of the single channel current and residual current in the presence of bound toxin. (A) The residual current displays a weak, yet significant voltage-dependence, as shown by a plot of the fraction residual current. Within the voltage range, the data are fairly linear and do not appear to be dramatically influenced by the toxin used. Increasing pH increases residual currents
the channel is bound—and partially blocked—by toxin (Fig. 2 B). The apparent pK for titration of the single channel conductance (5.29 ± 0.03) is close to the value of 5.5 determined for proton block of \(^{22}\)Na influx through BTX-modified channels in two different cell lines (Huang et al., 1979). Daumas and Andersen (1993) reported a single-site apparent pK of ~4.9 in symmetric 1 M NaCl, in a bilayer study of BTX-activated rat brain Na channels. Recent estimates for cloned skeletal muscle channels (Na1.4) expressed in amphibian oocytes or mammalian cells, made in the absence of BTX, tend to cluster in the range of 5.9–6.1 (Benitah et al., 1997; Sun et al., 1997; Khan et al., 2002). We speculate that small shifts in pK may arise both from BTX modification and from changes in ionic strength (see also the section below on NMR measurements of side chain pKs in conotoxin derivatives).

**Titration of Residue 13 Modulates the Fractional Block of Single Channel Current**

We showed previously that R13X derivatives of \(\mu\)CTX induce discrete, partial block of the unitary current through sodium channels (Becker et al., 1992) and that the fractional block of current is linearly dependent on the charge on residue 13 (Hui et al., 2002). Superposed on the effect of residue 13 charge, a steric contribution was identified. We examined here the residual current for derivatives with titratable substitutions, glutamate and histidine, using alanine as a control with a nontitratable side chain. This allowed us to reexamine the effect of changing the charge with minimal size change. Consistent with our previous study, at pH 7, R13H (basic) blocked most effectively, followed by R13A (neutral) and then R13E (acidic; Fig. 2 A). To focus on the effect of titration of the toxin, we examined the dependence of \(F_{\text{m}}(0)\), the fractional residual current when the toxin is bound to the channel, on pH (Fig. 3, A and B). \(F_{\text{m}}(0)\) changes after a single site titration curve for R13E and R13H, with little change as pH varies for R13A. These R13A data suggest that, in the range examined, there is no substantial titration of any residue important to determining \(F_{\text{m}}(0)\) other than toxin residue 13. Thus, we interpret the data in Fig. 3 B as a direct reflection of the effect of titrating residue 13 on the fractional residual current. Apparent pKs for titration of R13E (7.0 ± 0.1) and R13H (7.8 ± 0.3) are shifted 2–3 pH units positive from “typical” values shown by these side chains in proteins (Table 1), suggesting a higher proton activity in the vestibule than in free solution.

A practical limitation in these experiments arises from the proton-induced block and gating shifts. The voltage dependence of gating, as estimated from \(V_{1/2}\), is also shifted to as high as approximately −40 mV at pH 5. These two effects reduced our ability to resolve the amplitude of single channel fluctuations, especially at negative potentials, with the small residual currents for the toxin derivatives R13H and R13A, so in these cases residual current data were used only down to pH 6. However, discrete binding events for these two toxins were identifiable by eye and were examined down to pH 5.5.

**Proton Access to the Pore Is Only Weakly Impeded by Bound Toxin**

With R13A or other derivatives bound, reduction in residual current after acidification was apparent, indicating that protons continue to have ready access to the vestibule (Fig. 2 B). However, reduction of the R13A residual conductance went roughly in parallel with proton block of unbound channels, resulting in the observed minimal dependence on pH of \(F_{\text{m}}(0)\) for R13A (Fig. 3 B). Moreover, the residual current for R13E and R13H was noticeably smaller at low compared with high pH, indicating that at least residue 13 was being titrated. Since low pH is expected to favor the protonated form of the substituted residue, these results provide rigorous confirmation of the residue 13 charge dependence of current block by \(\mu\)CTX.

The presence of these highly charged, partially blocking toxins in the vestibule was shown previously to induce weak rectification of residual current (see also Fig. 3 A), presumably resulting from an asymmetric electrostatic barrier to sodium permeation (Hui et al., 2002). To determine if proton accessibility was likewise impeded, we examined whether rectification of the residual current was pH dependent.

Fig. 3 A shows that the residual current is generally slightly larger at 40 mV than at −40 mV. This trend is slightly pH dependent, with higher pH reducing the difference. Fig. 3 C presents the rectification explicitly as the ratio of conductance determined at negative and positive potentials, especially at low pH, indicating that at least residue 13 was being titrated. Since low pH is expected to favor the protonated form of the substituted residue, these results provide rigorous confirmation of the residue 13 charge dependence of current block by \(\mu\)CTX.

**Table 1**

| Residue substituted for R13 | Apparent pKs of Toxin Residues and of Block |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Glu                        | Nominal: 4.3–4.5                             |
|                            | NMR (free toxin in solution): 3.85 ± 0.04    |
|                            | \(F_{\text{m}}\) (bound toxin): 7.0 ± 0.1     |
|                            | Kinetics: \(K_d, k_{\text{on}}\)             |
| His                       | 6.0–7.0                                     |
|                            | 5.65 ± 0.02                                 |
|                            | 7.8 ± 0.3                                   |
|                            | 6.4 ± 0.1, 6.4 ± 0.1b                       |

*a* From Table 1 of Creighton (1993). Ranges taken from various model compounds. Sidechain pKs for free amino acids are 4.1 (Glu) and 6.0 for His.

*b* Approximate pKs from \(K_d\) and \(k_{\text{on}}\), respectively, from the limited dataset (Fig. 6).
both toxin-bound and -unbound channels. The fact that the rectification ratio is decreased over the whole pH range confirms that the toxin binding, per se, induces some rectification. The differing ranges over which rectification changes with pH for the different derivatives reaffirms that the effective pK for the titration of the conductance depends on the identity of the residue that has been introduced into the vestibule by toxin binding, with histidine facilitating proton block over alanine and glutamate. Clearly, however, protons have free access to the vestibule regardless of the chemical identity of residue 13, despite the fact that the different substituents, glutamate, alanine, and histidine differ substantially in their efficacy at blocking Na current through the channel. This allows us to use these titratable substituents as direct measures of the effective local pH, and by inference, local electrostatic potential.

The fact that the presence of bound toxin does not preclude or severely inhibit free access of protons to the pore may seem counterintuitive, but it is not surprising in the light of the substantial residual current carried by Na+ in the presence of the toxin derivatives. It could reflect in part the finite range of electrostatic effects of the toxin charges—an intravestibular space constant for electrostatic effects was previously estimated at ~8 Å (Hui et al., 2002). This is consistent with the small effects of other toxin charges on the residue 13 titration detected in the NMR measurements (see data in the four corner panels of the figure).
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below), and also with the possibility that there may be alternate pathways for protons to enter the channel (see final section of Discussion). An alternative scenario has been proposed to account for the independent titration of two neighboring acidic sites in the pore of a cyclic nucleotide–gated channel (Root and MacKinnon, 1994) in which charged sites are associated with counter ions most of the time, and thus do not interact electrostatically.

pH Dependence of Toxin Binding Affinity

High affinity pore block of cation channels generally appears to depend on positive charges on the blocker. In the case of charybdotoxin and related toxins blocking potassium channels, the on rate is reduced by neutralizations of certain residues (Stocker and Miller, 1994). Moreover, a protruding lysine residue is known to compete with potassium binding at an external site in the permeation pathway. Neutralization of this residue severely disrupts binding. This lysine is analogous to arginine-13 in μCTX. From the single-channel traces we see that the kinetics of toxin binding is clearly dependent on residue 13 charge (Fig. 2). The weakest block was observed for R13E, with $K_d$ in the order of tens of μM at pH 7. R13H was ~10-fold more potent, with R13A in between the two. So, although arginine-13 is important for μCTX binding, its neutral substitution does not completely disrupt the interaction (Sato et al., 1991; Becker et al., 1992; French and Dudley, 1999).

In this study, we directly examined the importance of residue 13 charge by titration of R13E and R13H. Lowering the pH strongly enhances binding by the R13E and R13H, but only relatively weakly affects the binding of R13A, consistent with the major contribution of residue 13 to high affinity binding (Figs. 2, 4, and 5). The voltage-dependence of the equilibrium dissociation constant was not systematically pH dependent (Fig. 4) and is similar to that reported for wild-type toxin (unpublished data; Cruz et al., 1985; Becker et al., 1992), further indicating that the mutations did not cause dramatic changes in toxin-channel structure.

We plot the parameters determined at $E = 0$ mV—the preexponential component of Eq. 4—against pH to ascertain how the titration of the toxins affects affinity and the kinetics of binding (Fig. 5 A). In the case of R13E and R13H, a 2 U increase in pH results in a ~10-fold increase in $K_d$. This is not surprising, since the high pH lowers residue 13 charge, which has a direct impact on affinity. The $K_d$ values increase exponentially with pH and do not saturate within the range examined. Interestingly, the change in affinity can be explained almost completely by the variation of the association rate, which also shows a simple exponential dependence on pH. The dominant role of the association

![Figure 5](https://example.com/f5.png)

Figure 5. The pH dependence of the association rate constant determines the pH dependence of toxin binding. (A) The $K_d$ of block is pH dependent, and is more strongly so for the titratable toxins, R13E and R13H. This is consistent with an electrostatic component in toxin block contributed by residue 13. With a nontitratable A13 side chain, R13A shows little or no pH dependence. The effect of pH on $K_d$ residues in the on rate and not off rate, with a clear correlation between higher pHs and slower binding rates. It is noteworthy that $k_{on}$ for R13A appears to show a similar, but distinctly weaker, trend, probably arising from the combined titration of pore carboxylate residues and D2 and D12 on the toxin. In contrast, $k_{off}$ does not display a clear dependence on pH. (B) The combined data for all three derivatives shows a high correlation between $\log k_{on}$ and $-\log K_d$ in a Brønsted plot (slope 1.0 ± 0.1).
The rate constant in determining the pH dependence is emphasized in Fig. 5B, where the combined data for all three derivatives are superposed in a Brønsted plot. The correlation between binding and pH suggests that the association rate is dominated by simple electrostatic forces. In contrast, when all the derivatives were considered collectively, there was no obvious trend shown by the dissociation rate constant, which is consistent with the idea that short range forces determine dwell times for the toxin bound to the channel.

Somewhat unexpectedly, R13A kinetics showed a similar pH dependence (see Fig. 5B), albeit much weaker than for the other two toxin derivatives. Although the equilibrium dissociation constant did not significantly change, the on and off rates both appear to decrease with pH. These changes reflect the net result of titration of any pH-sensitive groups on either channel or toxin, other than the residue 13 side chain. The equilibrium dissociation constant for R13A shows no convincing trend with pH changes, but this, in fact, reflects approximately parallel decreases in $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ with increasing pH. Simplistically, this would be consistent with $k_{on}$ being dominated by protonation of toxin residues (favoring association), and $k_{off}$ being dominated by protonation of the channel (favoring dissociation). This might arise if the two rate constants were limited at different points along the reaction coordinate, consistent with, but not demanding, the notion that the association rate constant is controlled primarily by long-range interactions, whereas the dissociation rate constant is limited by short range interactions.

To resolve the complication that multiple charges influence binding kinetics, we normalized the kinetic parameters from R13E and R13H with respect to R13A. Assuming that the effects of residue 13 are independent of those responsible for changes in R13A binding, this normalization isolates the effects of residue 13. In support of that assumption, the normalization results in an R13H dose–response curve that appears to saturate in a manner consistent with a single site titration, contrasting nonnormalized results (compare Figs. 5 and 6). Moreover, the approximate pK for R13H binding ($pK = 6.4 \pm 0.1$) is between free solution values determined by NMR and that for titration of the bound state represented by $F_{res(0)}$ (see following section and Table I). This supports the hypothesis that the R13H starts in bulk solution with an apparent pK of ~6 for His-13 and moves progressively into a proton-enriched environment as it approaches its receptor, where, in the bound state, the apparent pK is ~8.

**μCTX Residue 13 as a pH Sensor—Calibration by NMR Determinations of pK for E13 and H13**

Although the nominal pK for histidine and glutamate range from ~6–7 and 4.3–4.5, respectively (Creighton, 1993), within the toxin derivatives they are likely different because of the abundance of basic side chains on the toxin. The pKs for the histidine and the glutamate
in the R13H and R13E mutants, respectively, were determined by recording 1D proton NMR spectra in D$_2$O solution at various pH values. The pH was measured using a Corning pH meter, model 140, equipped with an Orion mini pH electrode that could be inserted directly into the NMR sample tube. The pH of the samples was adjusted by microliter additions of 0.1 M solutions of DCl or KOD (D = $^2$H). After measurement of the pH, spectra were recorded with presaturation of the residual water. The chemical shifts, referenced to DSS (0 ppm), were measured for the His 2H and 4H protons for the R13H mutant and for the glutamate gamma protons for the R13E mutant. In the latter case, overlap with other signals was observed at some pH values but it was still possible to measure the chemical shift with reasonable precision. In the case of the R13E mutant, the carbon-13 shift of the glutamate gamma carbon was also determined from proton-detected 2D HMQC spectra (Fig. 7 A). Carbon chemical shifts were referenced to DSS (−1.6 ppm). The pK was determined in each case by a single site dose response curve fit of the observed chemical shifts as a function of pH (Fig. 7 B). The pKs of both residues determined in this manner were 3.85 ± 0.04 for E13 and 5.65 ± 0.02 for H13, at least two units lower than estimates from the residual current (6.98 and 7.85, respectively). Moreover, the apparent titration range of these two residues flanks that for titration of the channel conductance (pK = 5.29 ± 0.03; Fig. 2 B).

When the pKs were remeasured in the presence of 200 mM NaCl, they were each shifted by about 0.35 pH units. This indicates that there is relatively little influence on the residue 13 pK from the other charges on the toxin, but that protonation is favored slightly by screening the additional positive side chains of the toxin at higher salt. The single channel conductance titration curve (Fig. 2 A) may reflect an analogous small shift toward lower pH compared with values that would have been obtained in the lower ionic strength solutions used in oocyte and mammalian cell studies. However, these shifts are small compared with the differences between the NMR-determined pKs and the
values determined from the single channel measurements. Thus, it appears that the pKs for titration of $F_{\text{res}}$ (Fig. 3 B) and the normalized binding kinetics (Fig. 6) are largely determined by local conditions in the vestibule of the channel.

**DISCUSSION**

**Overview**

The major experimental findings of this study are that: (a) Increasing the charge on $\mu$CTX residue 13 by protonation increases the toxin's fractional block of the single Na channel current. (b) Proton block of unitary current occurs readily, though with measurably different characteristics, in both toxin-bound and unbound channels. (c) Protonation of residue 13 increases binding affinity by increasing the association rate constant. (d) Effective pKs for titration of kinetic parameters (equilibrium $K_d$ and association rate constant, $k_{a,n}$) and the residual current shifted positively from the pK for titration of residue 13 in free solution. We believe that the simplest interpretation of these results is that protons are electrostatically concentrated in the vestibule, even in the presence of the bound toxin, and that a titratable residue at position 13 of the toxin provides a molecular-scale sensor of local pH in the vestibule.

**Separating the Contributions of Charge and Size to Single-channel Block**

Previously, we reported that the residual current in $\mu$CTX-bound sodium channels was directly affected by the charge of toxin residue 13 (Hui et al., 2002). In that study, different amino acid substitutions were made of toxin residue 13, so we could not definitively isolate the electrostatic and steric contributions to current block. Moreover, we also found a significant, secondary effect of residue 13 size on the residual current. Consistent with our previous study, the residual current of $\mu$CTX blocked channels is dependent on the charge of toxin residue 13 for the titratable derivatives. In addition, the pH dependence of the residual current (for R13E and R13H) is consistent with the titration of a single site, likely residue 13.

In the previous study, $F_{\text{res}}(0)$ for the residue 13 $\mu$CTX derivatives was shown to depend most dramatically on the charge of residue 13 ($0.199 \pm 0.002$ per unitary charge), and secondarily on side chain size (length dependence, $0.060 \pm 0.007$ per Å, in a range of ~4–8 Å). Since protonated and unprotonated forms of titratable residues, glutamate and histidine, differ by a single proton (~1.1 Å) that is delocalized over the functional group, the size contribution to any changes in current block would be $<0.06 \times 1.1 = 0.066$. Hence, any change in fractional block $>0.066$ is probably due to a charge change of residue 13. With R13E, pH titration of the residual current resulted in a 0.17 change in $F_{\text{res}}$, indicating a charge change in residue 13. Moreover, in its "protonated" form, R13E resembles R13N in its degree of current block. With R13H, however, pH titration of the residual current results in a 0.07 change in $F_{\text{res}}$. Although this might plausibly be explained solely by steric effects, there are three reasons to suggest otherwise. First, the pH dependence conforms to a single site titration, consistent with the involvement of only a single residue. Second, the pH range tested covers that of the pK of histidine reported in free solution and other proteins. Third, the titration profile saturates at both ends within the test range, which (in conjunction with the second point) suggests that a histidine was titrated during the experiments.

Thus, we conclude that the change in current block by R13E and R13H resides mostly in electrostatic contributions from residue 13. The pKs of these residues estimated from the titration of $F_{\text{res}}$ are 7.0 ± 0.1 and 7.8 ± 0.3, respectively. These values exceed the NMR determinations of pK for these residues by 3.15 and 2.15 pH units, respectively. Apparently, the effective pKs of residue 13 in R13E and R13H are different when the toxin is bound to the channel from their values in free solution. A simple rationale for this observation is that the local pH within the toxin-bound pore is different from that in free solution.

**Electrostatic Concentration of Protons in the Channel Vestibule**

It is not surprising that the pH in a cation-selective channel vestibule might be lower than in the bathing solution. Although the lipid used in our system may be "neutral," the high degree of glycosylation, and the presence of pore-lining side-chain carboxylates in the channel, contribute to a negative surface potential that would locally concentrate cations. The presence of a bound, positively charged $\mu$CTX molecule in the pore would be expected to moderate this local concentration of cations, contributing to a reduction in the unitary current. With our $\mu$CTX residue 13 derivatives, we attempted to measure the local electrostatic effect by estimating the local proton concentration in the toxin-bound vestibule of the channel, based on the titration of fractional residual current. To simplify the comparison of different toxin variants, we used the fractional residual current, interpolated to 0 mV (Hui et al., 2002) as a standard measure of the single channel current in the toxin-bound state.

$F_{\text{res}}(0 \text{ mV})$ appears to follow a single site titration for R13E and R13H, while there was no significant pH dependence for R13A ($P = 0.27$; Fig. 3 B). For R13E, $F_{\text{res}}(0 \text{ mV})$ titrated with pK = 6.98 ± 0.09, showing upper and lower bounds of 0.390 ± 0.006 and 0.555 ± 0.008, respectively. This indicates that the protonated form of R13E functionally resembles R13N at neutral
pH, with an $F_{\text{res}}(0 \text{ mV}) = 0.38$, which is consistent with the van der Waal’s volumes for the glutamate (109 Å$^3$) and asparagine (96 Å$^3$). For R13H, titration proceeded with a pK = 7.85 ± 0.36, bounded at 0.141 ± 0.006 and 0.22 ± 0.02 on the lower and upper ends, respectively. In this case, the protonated form of R13H yields a residual current similar to R13O (Fres(0 mV) = 0.13), also consistent with the similar van der Waal’s volumes for histidine (118 Å$^3$) and ornithine (117 Å$^3$). The neutral form, however, appears to resemble R13W (Fres(0 mV) = 0.19; 163Å$^3$), perhaps indicating some special role of the ring structures in steric block.

Since protons continue to have access to the pore of the toxin–channel complex, and since the fractional residual current for R13A was not significantly changed by pH, Fig. 3 B allows an estimate of the effective pK of residue 13 for R13E and R13H. These estimates, ~7 for E13 and ~8 for H13, are higher than values commonly observed in proteins (4.3–4.5 for glutamate and 6–7 for histidine; Creighton, 1993), as well as our own NMR determinations. If the chemical properties of the side chains are unchanged, the data would be consistent with local pH > 2 pH units lower than bath pH.

**Estimating the Local Electrostatic Potential in the Channel Vestibule**

Protons induce a fast block of the sodium channel that is observed as an apparent reduction in the single channel current (Benitah et al., 1997). The wild-type sodium channel is blocked by protons with an apparent pK between 4 and 5 (Woodhull, 1973), and only ~0.5 U higher for batrachotoxin-activated channels (Huang et al., 1979). Although a complete titration curve of the sodium channel activity was not attempted in this study, our data are sufficient to estimate a pK of 5.29 ± 0.03 (Fig. 2 B), which compares favorably with the previous determinations.

A noteworthy finding in our present study is that although the $\mu$CTX derivatives partially occlude Na ions from permeating through pore, they do not prevent protons from blocking the channel. This is demonstrated by the lack of pH apparent dependence of $F_{\text{res}}$ for R13A, indicating that the channel is being titrated normally even with the toxin bound to it. Since toxin residue 13 binds closest to the selectivity filter, it should sense the local pH within the pore’s vestibule. On this basis, we estimated the pH difference between bath and vestibule solution by comparing the pK of the titratable toxins (R13E and R13H) in free solution and channel-bound configurations. The results indicate that the pH at the channel vestibule is at least 2 U lower than in bulk solution, which is also supported by the pH dependence of the kinetics. This means that, when referenced to local pH rather than the value in solution, the proton block site on sodium channels may actually have a pK of ~5.3 – 2 = 3.3, a value more typical of a carboxylate group.

The proton concentration difference between pore and free solution can be used to estimate the potential within the pore. The difference between the two concentrations can be described by the Boltzmann equation:

$$[H]_p = [H]_\infty \exp(-z_H \psi F/RT),$$

where $[H]_p$ is the proton concentration within the pore, $[H]_\infty$ is the concentration in free solution, $z_H = +1$ is the charge of a proton, $\psi$ is the local potential in the channel pore, and RT/F = 25.3 mV at 20°C. Eq. 5 simplifies to:

$$\psi = -(\Delta pH \times RT/F)/(0.434z_H),$$

so that the local potential can be estimated directly from the difference in pH between pore and free solution ($\Delta$pH). We define $\psi$ as an equilibrium parameter at the pK of the group being titrated; in general, its value will depend on the whole electrostatic environment, including the complete array of toxin and channel charges in the neighborhood. It should be noted that any conformational changes, changes in charge, or shifts in position of different charged groups could affect the estimated value of $\psi$. With $\Delta pK \sim 2$, the local potential is approximately ~117 mV, favoring concentration of cations in and near the vestibule. This is a surprisingly large value, especially given the presence of the toxin, and is approximately twice the magnitude estimated in two different studies (Green et al., 1987; Khan et al., 2002). Despite the care and attention to detail in these analyses, there are several possible reasons for the quantitative discrepancies. Green et al. (1987) used an analysis of conductance-concentration relations with TEA and Ba$^{2+}$ as ion substitutes to maintain ionic strength to estimate the surface potential near the pore mouth. A later study (Naranjo and Latorre, 1993) concluded that estimates of surface charge (and hence, potential) by this general approach are quite sensitive to the choice of ionic conditions. A further complication is that the particular model for ion permeation, to which the calculation of surface potential is coupled, also influences the quantitative outcome. In the study by Khan et al. (2002), the estimate of local potential near vestibular protonation sites of ~58 mV, was clearly identified as a conservative lower limit. This was based on their experimental estimates of the change in pK for titration of channel conductance per unit charge change introduced by point mutations in the “outer charged ring” of the pore. If one uses their upper limit of $\Delta pK = 0.4$ per unit charge, rather than the lower limit of 0.25, then the estimated potential near...
the titration site is essentially identical to our own estimate in the vicinity of bound toxin residue 13. If all of these different analyses were accurately sampling precisely the same space, this would leave unanswered the question of why the presence of a bound conotoxin should not appear to substantially increase the local potential. However, the different assays used in these studies almost inevitably sample a somewhat different local potential on an atomic scale. Consequently, we are not convinced that there is a fundamental conflict reflected by the different numerical results. Furthermore, in studies by Karlin and collaborators, the reaction rates of charged methanesulfonate reagents with selectively inserted cysteine residues were used to map the potential in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor channel (Pascual and Karlin, 1998; Wilson et al., 2000). Their estimates of local potential within the pore ranged as negative as \(-230 \text{ mV}\), with extremely steep potential gradients \((\Delta \psi > 100 \text{ mV} \text{ over } \sim10 \text{ Å})\). This not only makes our own estimates of local potential at a defined location in the Na channel vestibule look relatively modest, but also emphasizes the point that potential estimates made with atomic scale probes can be expected to be exquisitely sensitive to their position on a scale of Å.

In our own study, the shifts in residue 13 pK from solution to the bound-state vestibule \((\text{pK}_{\text{Frex}} \cdot \text{pK}_{\text{NMR}})\) were 3.15 and 2.15 for glutamate and histidine respectively. This difference is intriguing, and may result in part from the fact that the titrations occur over different pH ranges, and hence in the context of different charge arrays, for the two derivatives. Any conformational differences between these two situations would further complicate the interpretation of the difference in pK shifts for glutamate and histidine. As a convenient working hypothesis, and to give some quantitative sense for the energetic implications of our results, we interpret the shifts in purely electrostatic terms. We see this as no less useful—and no more rigorous—than the interpretation of voltage dependence of gating or channel block in terms of effective gating charge or electrical distance to a blocking site. We do, however, consider the estimate of a local potential as a useful interpretive device.

\textbf{pH Dependence of Toxin Binding}

The estimate of residue 13 pK in R13E and R13H from binding kinetics could, in principle, have been affected by proton block of the sodium channel. For example, at low pH, TTX shows reduced binding affinity whereas at high pH the toxin affinity saturates (Ulbricht and Wagner, 1975; Huang et al., 1979; Ulbricht et al., 1986). The reduction in TTX affinity appears to involve a site lying \(\sim40\%\) through the membrane voltage drop (Ulbricht et al., 1986), with pK identical to the channel. So, the pH dependence of this small guanidinium toxin seems to reside not in its own titration, but rather...
in the competition with protons for a common site. It is less likely, however, that the pH dependence of the R13E and R13H μCTX mutants can be solely ascribed to this mechanism. First, although μCTX has some functional similarity to TTX (i.e., both are site 1 toxins; Catterall, 1992), it is unlikely to penetrate as deeply as do protons. Second, μCTX has more extensive interactions with the channel vestibule than TTX. In particular, although the guanidinium toxins appear to share the need for a charged outer ring on the channel (Noda et al., 1989; Terlau et al., 1991; Kontis and Goldin, 1993; Lipkind and Fozzard, 1994; Stephan et al., 1994), TTX binding requires a phenylalanine or tyrosine adjacent to the selectivity filter residue in domain I (Backx et al., 1992; Satin et al., 1992). In contrast, μCTX affinity is not particularly sensitive to point mutations in the DEKA filter (Dudley et al., 1995; Chang et al., 1998), but it is affected by mutations of residues in the outer charged ring and even outside the pore loops (Li et al., 1997; Chahine et al., 1998; Chang et al., 1998; Li et al., 2000, 2003). These differences between the actions of μCTX and TTX suggest that the former does not compete in a simple manner with proton block. Finally, there is a clear correlation between residue 13 charge in the bound state, and toxin equilibrium dissociation constant and association rate constant (Fig. 8). Overall, the data suggest that the pH dependent changes in the kinetics of μCTX result from titration of side chains of both the toxin and/or channel, with a major influence from toxin residue 13.

Residue 13 is a crucial determinant of μCTX block of sodium channels (Lancelin et al., 1991; Sato et al., 1991; Becker et al., 1992). Arguably, the need to use μM concentrations of a toxin might raise questions about its specificity. All residue 13 derivatives require relatively high concentrations (from submicromolar to submillimolar) for substantial binding. However, there is evidence that the block is specific. First, the discrete events that we observe as partial block of the unitary current exhibit a single conductance, suggesting that these toxin derivatives bind to a specific site on the channel. Second, the concentration of applied toxin does not affect the residual current; instead, it is consistent with a single toxin binding site (unpublished data). Third, the fractional residual current is pH dependent only for toxins with a titratable residue 13, and the titration is consistent with a single proton binding site. The fact that a residue 13-dependent titration is seen with residues so chemically different as glutamate and histidine is consistent with maintenance of a fixed toxin orientation in the pore despite the different substitutions. Collectively, these points indicate that the μCTX residue 13 derivatives bind with a one-to-one stoichiometry to the sodium channel, analogous to the wild-type toxin.

**The Permeation Pathway**

Ion permeation through highly selective cation ion channels has long been thought to involve the independent (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952) or single-file (Hodgkin and Keynes, 1955) motion of ions through discrete pathways, dependent on the channel type and the conditions. In the case of voltage-dependent potassium channels, this pathway involves as many as four discrete electronegative ion binding sites, with high flux achieved by a billiard-ball mechanism (Morals-Cabreral et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001; Miller, 2001). In voltage-dependent calcium channels, a similar mechanism has been proposed with two sites (Tsien et al., 1987; Ellinor et al., 1995). In voltage-dependent sodium channels, probably two sites are needed to account for the available data (French et al., 1994), but significant double occupancy appears likely only at very high sodium concentrations (Ravindran et al., 1992), despite difficulties in the interpretation of complex datasets (Naranjo and Latorre, 1993). If the acidic residues of the selectivity filter (aspartate and glutamate in domains I and II, respectively) contribute to one of these sites, then perhaps the outer ring of charged residues (lying three residues away) contributes to the second one. In support of this, neutralization of one of the outer ring charges (E758C) exhibits a reduced conductance (Chiamvimonvat et al., 1996).

Recent models indicate that μCTX interacts intimately with the outer charged ring of the sodium channel, particularly E758, and that even the native toxin may not completely sterically occlude the pore (Hui et al., 2002). Interestingly, the partial block that we see with R13A is similar to the reduced conductance of E758C channels, providing further support for the intimate interaction between R13 and E758. Consistent with the role of E758 in conduction, and from toxin-channel coupling studies (Chang et al., 1998), binding appears to be displaced off-center from the pore axis so that the toxin does not completely plug the pore, in contrast to the case for charybdotoxin and agitoxin block of potassium channels (Miller, 1995; MacKinnon et al., 1998). Taken together, μCTX R13X derivatives appear to block one portion of the permeation pathway, but they leave space for ions to traverse. The more complete block by the native μCTX may result from strategic charge placement, rather than complete steric occlusion. In contrast, TTX and STX block at the selectivity filter (Penzotti et al., 1998), leaving no current (French et al., 1984; Moczydlowski et al., 1984a,b). Perhaps there are multiple roads entering the pore, approaching one selectivity filter. This possibility is raised by a low resolution three-dimensional structure (Catterall, 2001; Sato et al., 2001). It is difficult, however, to see how a molecule of the size of μCTX could essentially completely block single channel current in the
4-port structure of Sato et al. (2001). A complete understanding doubtless will require further functional studies and a high resolution structure.
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