Feasibility of primary anastomosis for right-sided colonic atresia: A case report
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ABSTRACT
Background: Colonic atresia (CA) is a rare cause of congenital intestinal obstruction. The management of CA is challenging because of its rarity.

Case Presentation: We present a case of right-sided CA in a term male baby weighing 3006 gm, without any other comorbidity. Type 1 atresia was seen at ascending colon and upon decompression, a reasonable caliber was achieved for a primary anastomosis following distal Cheatle slit and proximal tapering. Postoperative recovery was uneventful.

Conclusion: Primary anastomosis is a feasible option in right-sided CA when no major comorbidity is present and a normal distal colon with reasonable caliber is certain.

INTRODUCTION
Colonic atresia (CA) is a rare cause of congenital intestinal obstruction. It accounts for 5-15% of bowel atresia in neonates. The incidence is about 1 in 60,000 births.[1] The average of reported cases is about 1 to 2 cases per year in a tertiary center worldwide.[2] As it is rarely encountered, the management of CA is challenging and controversial. Various approaches have been suggested, including the staged procedure versus the single-stage procedure.

One of the predicting factors for surgical decision is the site of colonic atresia. Right-sided CA has a relatively shorter length and thicker proximal colon which is grossly dilated, worse in the case of the competent ileocecal valve. This carries a huge discrepancy for a primary colo-colic anastomosis and imposes a higher risk of anastomatic leak. Option of the primary colo-colic anastomosis with a proximal stoma has been reported to reduce the risk of anastomatic leak.[3] Some authors preferred to sacrifice the dilated colonic segment and had ileocolic anastomosis.[4,5] In this report, we are sharing a case of right-sided CA and a review of the reported series on right-sided CA to study the feasibility of primary anastomosis vs. staged procedure.

CASE REPORT
A full-term male baby weighing 3006 gm. was born of a 27-year-old mother who had a history of Hepatitis B and Chlamydial trachomatis infections. There were no antenatal visits. Breastfeeding was started soon after birth, however, he developed abdominal distension and bilious vomiting. His first stool (at day 1 of life) was a minimal whitish plug rather than meconium. Clinically, the baby was active and non-septic. The abdomen was distended with visible bowel loops. It was not tense nor discolored. The anus was at a normal position. A plain abdominal radiograph showed dilated bowel loops, absence of rectal gas, and no pneumoperitoneum (Fig.1a). Contrast enema showed features of microcolon, but the contrast was unable to pass beyond hepatic flexure (Fig.1b).

The baby underwent laparotomy on day 3 of life and was intraoperatively noted to have a type 1 colonic atresia at the ascending colon, 7cm from the ileocecal valve (Fig.2). Both proximal colon and distal ileum were grossly dilated. Upon resection at the level of the atresia, the ileocecal valve was seen wide open, and bowel decompression, including from the distal ileum, was easily done from the opening. Once decompressed, a reasonable discrepancy for primary anastomosis was noted; estimated at 3 to 4:1. A cheatle slit was performed at the distal segment...
followed by primary anastomosis. Few interrupted seromuscular tapering sutures were applied at the dilated proximal colon (the antimesenteric border was folded inward) using 4-0 braided synthetic absorbable sutures about 1 to 2 cm apart. As the contrast enema has shown a patent distal bowel, intraoperative testing to confirm its patency was not performed. The resected colonic segment showed the presence of normal ganglion cells. The baby was mechanically ventilated for 48 hours, and feeding commenced on day 6 post-surgery. The baby was discharged on day 11 post-surgery at ad libitum.

DISCUSSION

Colonic atresia (CA) is a congenital anomaly in which the colon is completely blocked or missing. The incidence is about 1 in 60,000 births. Since it is a rare condition, it is challenging for pediatric surgeons in terms of its diagnosis and management.[6] Disruption of intrauterine blood supply to the colon has been reported as the main cause of CA and usually happens at late gestation.[1,2] The vascular abruption can be either attributed to intrinsic or extrinsic factors. The intrinsic factor may be any thrombo-embolic event originating from the placenta and passing through the fetal circulation to occlude the mesenteric vessels. As for the extrinsic factor, any possible intrauterine mechanical bowel obstruction that may compromise the blood supply can potentially cause CA such as intrauterine internal hernias, volvulus, or tight gastrochisis.[7]

CA is typically described to have 3 types; Type I, II, and III. Type I is described as the atretic segment with an intact bowel wall with luminal obstruction by a membrane (as in our case), and in Type II, the atretic blind ends are connected by a fibrous cord with intact mesentery. Type III is atresia with separated blind ends with a mesenteric gap. Type III CA is reported to be the most common type of CA proximal to the splenic flexure compared to Type I or II in distal CA.[1,3]

The first survival of infants with CA was reported by Gaub in 1922. It was a case of sigmoid CA and managed by colostomy. The first primary repair was done by Potts in 1947 in transverse CA.[1,3,7,8] Since then, many case series have been reported regarding various surgical repairs of CA with variable outcomes. However, none of them discussed specifically the side of the atresia, in which we believe that the different side carries its own unique features which require a different surgical approach. From the literature search, we tabulated 36 cases of right-sided CA (Table 1). The majority of them were boys (n=23) and were diagnosed with type III CA (n=22). Out of these, twelve cases (33%) underwent primary anastomosis and three of them (25%) died, mostly from complications related to anastomotic leak or sepsis. Two out of 8 cases of the primary anastomosis with proximal diversion expired (25%), and only one diverted case expired (6.25%). In particular to the type of atresia, type I CA was present in 9 cases. Two of them had primary anastomosis, 4 had primary anastomosis with proximal diversion, while 3 were diverted.

It is clear from the table that most of the authors favored staged surgery rather than primary anastomosis and had better survival. The option of primary anastomosis has to be very selective and should be individualized based on the pathology and associated anomalies.[3] Isolated CA without any associated defects, as in our case, with good birth weight, is an example of a candidate for primary anastomosis.[8] In our case, we opted for primary anastomosis in view of the factors mentioned; along with the acceptable discrepancy after decompression and presence of incompetent ileocecal valve to ensure that no progressive dilatation of proximal colon is present after the surgery to compromise the anastomosis.[1,3,7,9] Primary anastomosis carries a great advantage of having single surgery and single anesthesia, as supported by a series by Cox.[3] In
cases where the primary colo-colic anastomosis is not favorable, a single staged repair can be achieved via resection of the proximal colon as reported by Gobran (2013) and Dassinger (2009) but it carries the morbidity of losing ileocecal valve such as malabsorption.[4]

Another important consideration for primary anastomosis in such cases is to deal with the bowel discrepancy. The primary anastomosis may be technically difficult with the presence of a huge discrepancy of proximal and distal bowel. Cox (2005) also suggested primary anastomosis to be done when the lumen discrepancy is less than 3:1 without distal bowel functional or mechanical obstruction. Few methods have been described in the literature for tackling this discrepancy, and Cheatle slit is one such example. It is performed by making a small incision longitudinally on the antimesenteric border of the smaller caliber bowel to achieve a wider opening as close as the other larger bowel end. This will effectively alter the circumference of the small caliber bowel so that end-to-end anastomosis is possible.[10] Apart from this, end-to-oblique anastomosis is another method where the distal segment is resected at a 45-degree angle to increase the circumference size.[8] Besides that, another strategy is by making the larger caliber bowel smaller using the plication method or tapering.[10]

| Table 1: Details of various reported cases of right-sided colonic atresia cases |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Age | Sex | Weight | Site | Type | Associated anomaly | Outcome |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cox et al. (2005) [3] | - | M | Right transverse colon | I | Colostomy | Anorectal agenesis | Survived |
| - | M | Right transverse colon | Ila | Primary anastomosis and covering ileostomy | Two rectal stenosis | Rectal dilatations, Survived |
| - | F | Ascending colon | II | Resection, ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| Haroon (2010) [12] | 4d | M | Ascending colon | I | Colo-colic anastomosis, ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| - | M | Hepatic flexure | IIla | Double-barrel ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| - | F | Hepatic flexure | IIla | Primary colo-colic anastomosis | Nil | Survived |
| - | F | Hepatic flexure | IIla | Double-barrel ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| Haxhija (2011) [8] | - | F | Hepatic flexure | IIla | Double-barrel ileostomy | Volvulus of ileocaecal region | Survived |
| - | M | Ascending colon | IIla | Double-barrel ileostomy | Gastrochisis | Survived |
| - | M | Caecum | IIla | End ileostomy | Gastrochisis | Survived |
| - | F | Ascending colon | IIla | End-jejuno-ileostomy and colostomy | Gastrochisis & volvulus | Died |
| - | M | Hepatic flexure | IV | End-to-end colostomy | High jejunal atresia | Survived |
| - | M | Ascending colon | IV | End-ileostomy | High jejunal atresia | Survived |
| Bilal Mirza (2013) [11] | 2d | M | Ascending colon | I | Colocolic anastomosis and covering ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| 1d | F | Ascending colon | I | Colocolic anastomosis and covering ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| 3d | F | Ascending colon | III | Colocolic anastomosis and covering ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| 1d | M | Ascending colon | I | Colo-colic anastomosis with covering ileostomy, pyloroduodenal anastomosis | Pyloric atresia | Succumbed to sepsis |
| 3d | F | Hepatic flexure | III | Colocolic anastomosis and covering ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| 2d | M | Hepatic flexure | III | Double-barrel ileostomy | Nil | Survived |
| Gobran 1d | M | Ascending | II | Colocolic | Nil | Anastomotic |
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| Date | Gender | Weight | Location | Procedure | Comorbidities | Outcome |
|------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| 2013 | M      | 3.0kg  | Ascending colon | Primary anastomosis | Nil | Survived |
| 2013 | F      | 2.5kg  | Hepatic flexure | Primary resection and anastomosis | Nil | Survived |
| 2013 | M      | 2.6kg  | Right colon | Hartmann’s colostomy | Nil | Survived |
| 2013 | M      | 2.0kg  | Ascending colon | Ileocolostomy | Ileal perforation & Meckel diverticulum | Survived |
| 2013 | M      | 2.1kg  | Ascending colon | Primary anastomosis and proximal ileostomy | Nil | Sepsis, died |
| 2013 | F      | 2.1kg  | Ascending colon | Primary anastomosis, Ladd’s procedure, and abdominal wall defect closure | Gastrochisis | Died |
| 2013 | M      | 3.0kg  | Ascending colon | Colostomy | Nil | Survived |

The normality of the distal colon also needs to be ensured before proceeding with primary anastomosis such as the presence of another distal colonic atresia or other anorectal anomalies, as well as the rare possibility of Hirschsprung disease. Careful perineal examination at birth is mandatory. Having a contrast enema done prior to the surgery will confirm the patency of the distal bowel; otherwise, a patency test needs to be done intraoperatively. Hirschsprung disease associated with CA has been reported.[4] In cases where Hirschsprung disease is a suspicion, it is recommended that frozen section should be done intraoperatively or otherwise to consider staged procedure by performing colostomy and subsequently to plan for rectal biopsy.[3,11]
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