A MARTX Toxin \( rtxA \) Gene Is Controlled by Host Environmental Signals through a CRP-Coordinated Regulatory Network in \( Vibrio vulnificus \)
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**ABSTRACT** A multifunctional autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) toxin plays an essential role in the virulence of many pathogens, including a fulminating human pathogen \( Vibrio vulnificus \). H-NS and HlyU repress and derepress expression of the MARTX toxin gene \( rtxA \) in \( V. vulnificus \), respectively. However, little is known about other regulatory proteins and environmental signals involved in \( rtxA \) regulation. In this study, we found that a leucine-responsive regulatory protein (Lrp) activates \( rtxA \) by binding directly and specifically to the \( rtxA \) promoter, \( P_{rtxA} \). Phased hypersensitivity resulting from DNase I cleavage of the \( P_{rtxA} \) regulatory region suggests that Lrp probably induces DNA bending in \( P_{rtxA} \). Lrp activates \( P_{rtxA} \) independently of H-NS and HlyU, and leucine inhibits Lrp binding to \( P_{rtxA} \) and reduces the Lrp-mediated activation. Furthermore, a cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP) represses \( P_{rtxA} \) and exogenous glucose relieves the CRP-mediated repression. Biochemical and mutational analyses demonstrated that CRP binds directly and specifically to the upstream region of \( P_{rtxA} \), which presumably alters the DNA conformation in \( P_{rtxA} \) and thus represses \( rtxA \). Moreover, CRP represses expression of \( lrp \) and \( hlyU \) by binding directly to their upstream regions, forming coherent feed-forward loops with Lrp and HlyU. In conclusion, expression of \( rtxA \) is controlled by a regulatory network comprising CRP, Lrp, H-NS, and HlyU in response to changes in host environmental signals such as leucine and glucose. This collaborative regulation enables the elaborate expression of \( rtxA \), thereby enhancing the fitness and pathogenesis of \( V. vulnificus \) during the course of infection.

**IMPORTANCE** A MARTX toxin, RtxA, is an essential virulence factor of many pathogens, including \( Vibrio \) species. H-NS and HlyU repress and derepress, respectively, \( rtxA \) expression of a life-threatening pathogen, \( Vibrio vulnificus \). We found that Lrp directly activates \( rtxA \) independently of H-NS and HlyU, and leucine inhibits the Lrp-mediated activation of \( rtxA \). Furthermore, we demonstrated that CRP represses \( rtxA \) but derepresses in the presence of exogenous glucose. CRP represses \( rtxA \) not only directly by binding to upstream of \( rtxA \) but also indirectly by repressing \( lrp \) and \( hlyU \). This is the first report of a regulatory network comprising CRP, Lrp, H-NS, and HlyU, which coordinates the \( rtxA \) expression in response to environmental signals such as leucine and glucose during infection. This elaborate regulatory network will enhance the fitness of \( V. vulnificus \) and contribute to its successful infection within the host.
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Multifunctional autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) toxins are large secreted virulence factors produced by various bacterial genera, including *Aeromonas*, *Xenorhabdus*, *Photorhabdus*, and *Vibrio* (1, 2). MARTX toxins are composed of the effector domains that have cytopathic effects on host cells and the N-terminal and C-terminal repeat-containing regions that form a pore in the host cell membrane for the translocation of the effector domains (3, 4). With potent cytotoxic activities toward host cells, these MARTX toxins have been highly associated with virulence of many pathogens, especially in *Vibrio* species such as *Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio anguillarum*, and *Vibrio vulnificus* (4–6). Notably, the ability of *V. vulnificus* to cause diseases is strongly linked to the production of the MARTX toxin RtxA encoded by the rtxA gene in the rtxHCA operon (7). RtxA triggers cytoskeletal rearrangement, bleb formation, and actin aggregation of host cells (8). Such changes result in apoptotic and necrotic cell death and enable *V. vulnificus* to invade the host bloodstream (8–10). Furthermore, RtxA contributes to the survival of the pathogen during infection by antagonizing the phagocytic activity of host immune cells (11, 12). Although the structural and functional features of the MARTX toxins have been elucidated in *Vibrio* species (3–5, 12–15), work on the regulatory mechanisms and environmental signals involved in their expression is still limited.

In many bacterial pathogens, the expression of virulence factors is tightly regulated by environmental signals that the pathogens may encounter during all stages of infection (16). Accordingly, pathogens have evolved numerous transcriptional regulatory proteins to sense these signals and regulate the expression of virulence genes within the host (17, 18). A leucine-responsive regulatory protein (Lrp), as a global regulator, controls the expression of virulence factors in pathogens such as *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, *Xenorhabdus nematophila*, and *V. cholerae* (19–21). As a small nucleoid-structuring protein, Lrp binds to specific sequences in the large regions of a promoter and induces the bending or wrapping of DNA in a multimeric form to control the expression of target genes (22, 23). The transcriptional regulatory action of Lrp on target genes may be modulated by the binding of leucine, a small effector molecule known to affect the multimeric state of the protein (24, 25). Upon addition of leucine, the regulatory activity of Lrp can be enhanced, reversed, or unaffected, as represented in the different regulatory modes of Lrp for individual genes (24). As a result, Lrp regulates a variety of genes in response to changing conditions, such as the nutritional state of bacteria and host, and coordinates gene expression in cooperation with other regulatory proteins (19, 21, 24).

The cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP) is a central regulator of carbon and energy metabolism, which makes the expression of virulence factors metabolically coordinated (26, 27). The availability of carbon and energy sources in the environment is sensed by the carbohydrate phosphotransferase system (PTS). In the absence of glucose, the enzyme IIαGlu of PTS remains phosphorylated and activates adenylate cyclase which synthesizes cyclic AMP (cAMP), resulting in an increase of intracellular cAMP levels (26). cAMP is a signaling molecule that has a fundamental role in global regulation of genes involved in multiple cellular processes, including catabolic metabolism (28). CRP forms a complex with cAMP (cAMP-CRP complex) and then binds DNA to control gene expression. Therefore, the genes regulated by the cAMP-CRP complex are expressed in response to nutrient availability (29). In this way, CRP coordinates the expression of genes related to metabolism and pathogenesis and thus ensures optimal growth and virulence factor production in bacteria under changing environments. Accordingly, expression of virulence factors, such as cholera toxin (CT) and toxin coregulated pilus (TCP) of *V. cholerae*, type 3 fimbriae of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and plasminogen activator protease of *Yersinia pestis*, is regulated by CRP and affected by exogenous glucose (30–32).

The opportunistic human pathogen *V. vulnificus* is a causative agent of foodborne diseases from mild gastroenteritis to primary septicemia (33, 34). Infection by *V. vulnificus* is characterized by rapid dissemination and severe tissue destruction, leading to high mortality rates (34, 35). Despite the significant role of RtxA in the pathogenesis...
of V. vulnificus (8–12), relatively little is known about regulation of rtxA expression. Only two regulatory proteins, a histone-like nucleoid-structuring protein (H-NS) and HlyU, have been reported to control expression of rtxA. H-NS represses expression of rtxA by directly binding to multiple AT-rich regions in the rtxA promoter, P_{rtxA}. HlyU directly binds to P_{rtxA} and induces rtxA expression by relieving binding of H-NS (36). In the present study, we first identified Lrp as a positive regulator of rtxA transcription that directly binds to specific sequences within P_{rtxA}. Molecular genetic analysis revealed that Lrp-mediated activation of rtxA is independent of H-NS and HlyU. We investigated the effect of leucine on the regulatory mode of Lrp and found that leucine acts as an antagonist of the P_{rtxA} activation by Lrp. Furthermore, CRP represses rtxA expression, and glucose alleviates repression of rtxA caused by CRP. Biochemical and mutational analyses demonstrated that CRP binds directly and specifically to upstream regions in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region, which results in repression of rtxA. Interestingly, CRP also represses both lrp and hlyU by directly binding to their upstream regions, forming coherent feed-forward loops with Lrp and HlyU to regulate rtxA. Taken together, this study suggests that rtxA expression is elaborately regulated by host environmental signals, including leucine and glucose, through the CRP-coordinated regulatory network for the overall success of V. vulnificus during infection.

RESULTS

Lrp and CRP affect rtxA transcription in addition to H-NS and HlyU. It has been reported that H-NS represses but HlyU derepresses rtxA transcription in V. vulnificus (36). In an effort to identify other transcription factor(s) associated with the rtxA regulation, the rtxA transcript levels were compared in the wild type and various isogenic mutants lacking transcription factors which are known to affect the expression of virulence genes in V. vulnificus (27, 37–43). The rtxA transcript level in the lrp mutant was significantly lower than that in the wild type (Fig. 1A), indicating that Lrp may act as a positive regulator of rtxA expression. In contrast, the rtxA transcript level in the crp mutant was substantially higher than that in the wild type (Fig. 1A), indicating that CRP may act as a negative regulator of rtxA expression. Expression of rtxA did not differ in the wild type and the mutants lacking ToxR, IscR, AphA, AphB, an alternative sigma factor RpoS (42), or a quorum-sensing master regulator SmcR (43) (Fig. 1A), suggesting that rtxA might not be regulated by those transcription factors under the conditions
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**FIG 1** Expression of rtxA in V. vulnificus with different genetic background and sequence analysis of the P_{rtxA} regulatory region. (A) Total RNAs were isolated from the wild type (WT) and isogenic mutants grown to an A_{600} of 0.5 and used to determine the rtxA transcript levels. The rtxA transcript levels were determined by qRT-PCR analyses, and the rtxA transcript level in the wild type was set at 1. Error bars represent the standard deviations (SD). Statistical significance was determined by the multiple comparisons after one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (***, P < 0.0005; ns, not significant relative to the wild type). lrp, lrp mutant; crp, crp mutant; toxR, toxR mutant; iscR, iscR mutant; aphA, aphA mutant; aphB, aphB mutant; rpoS, rpoS mutant; smcR, smcR mutant. (B) The DNA sequence between rtxBDE and rtxCA is shown. The transcription start site of the rtxHCA operon is indicated in boldface type and by a solid bent arrow, and the positions of the putative −10 and −35 regions are underlined. The putative translational initiation codons of rtxB and rtxH are indicated in boldface type and by the dashed bent arrow, respectively. The sequences for the binding of Lrp (LRPB1, LRPB2, and LRPB3; white boxes) and CRP (CRPB1, CRPB2, and CRPB3; gray boxes) were determined in this study. The sequences for the binding of HlyU (HLYUB) and H-NS (HNSB1, HNSB2, HNSB3, HNSB4, and HNSB5) are indicated by black box and hatched boxes, respectively.
This observation led us to further analyze the roles of Lrp and CRP in the transcription of \(rtxA\) at the molecular levels. Figure 1B shows the structure of the \(P_{rtxA}\) regulatory region and the binding sites for the transcription factors involved in \(rtxA\) expression, as identified in this study and a previous report (36). Lrp activates \(rtxA\) expression by directly binding to \(P_{rtxA}\). The \(rtxA\) transcript levels were decreased in the \(lrp\) mutant and restored to the levels comparable to those in the wild type by introducing pZW1818 carrying a recombinant Lrp (Fig. 2A and B). These results confirmed that Lrp is a positive regulator of \(rtxA\) transcription. To examine whether Lrp directly binds to \(P_{rtxA}\), electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed. For this purpose, the 881-bp \(rtxBDE-rtxHCA\) intergenic region was divided into two 452-bp regions (referred to as probe 1 [positions /H11002 629 to /H11002 178] and probe 2 [positions /H11002 200 to /H11001 252] [positions from the transcription start site of \(rtxHCA\) ]; see Materials and Methods). The addition of Lrp to the radiolabeled DNA probe 1 and probe 2 resulted in a single retarded band of a DNA-Lrp complex in an Lrp concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 2C and D). The multiple bands observed with Lrp and probe 2 suggest either the binding of a multimeric Lrp to probe 2 or the multiple binding sites for Lrp in probe 2. Furthermore, Lrp at 720 nM was required for the full retardation of probe 1, while Lrp at 180 nM was sufficient for that of probe 2 (Fig. 2C and D). The results indicated that at least two binding sites for Lrp are present in the \(P_{rtxA}\) regulatory region, where the upstream binding site(s) in probe 1 has relatively weaker affinity for Lrp binding than the downstream site(s) in probe 2. The same but unlabeled DNA fragment, which was used as a self-competitor, showed the competition for Lrp binding in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2C and D), confirming the specific binding of Lrp to \(P_{rtxA}\).
To identify the binding sequences for Lrp in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region, DNase I protection assays were performed using the same DNA probes but labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM). When Lrp was added to the DNA probes, Lrp largely protected three regions extending from positions −453 to −387 (Lrp-binding sequence 1 [LRPB1], centered at −420), −298 to −219 (LRPB2, centered at −258.5), and −63 to −10 (LRPB3, centered at −36.5), respectively, from DNase I digestion (Fig. 2E to G). Combined with the EMSA results, these results suggested that Lrp directly binds to LRPB1 and LRPB2 with similar binding affinities but binds to LRPB3 relatively strongly. Notably, within the regions protected by Lrp, a periodic pattern of reduced cleavage followed by short regions of enhanced cleavage was observed (Fig. 2E to G). This pattern is known as phased hypersensitivity and is consistent with the DNA bending by a multimeric Lrp (23), suggesting that Lrp multimers induce bending of the P_{rtxA} regulatory region. Together, these results indicated that Lrp activates the rtxA transcription by binding directly and specifically to P_{rtxA}.

Lrp activates P_{rtxA} independently of H-NS and HlyU. We observed that the binding sites of Lrp in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region (LRPB1 and LRPB2) overlapped with those of H-NS and HlyU (36) (Fig. 1B). To understand how Lrp activates rtxA expression in the presence of H-NS or HlyU, we investigated whether Lrp interacts with H-NS or HlyU in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region. To this end, EMSAs were performed using reaction mixtures containing the radiolabeled DNA probe 1 and a fixed concentration of either H-NS or HlyU with various amounts of Lrp. As the concentrations of Lrp increased, the band representing the DNA-H-NS complex (B1) was gradually retarded, generating the band representing the DNA-H-NS-Lrp complex (B4) (Fig. 3A). This result suggested that Lrp binds to the P_{rtxA} regulatory region simultaneously with H-NS, rather than displaces H-NS. Similarly, EMSA with a fixed concentration of HlyU and increasing amounts of Lrp revealed that Lrp binds to the P_{rtxA} regulatory region simultaneously with HlyU, rather than displaces HlyU (Fig. 3B).

We confirmed that H-NS negatively and HlyU positively regulate rtxA expression at the translational as well as transcriptional levels (Fig. 3C to F). The relationship of Lrp with either H-NS or HlyU in the regulation of rtxA was further investigated. As shown in Fig. 3C and D, the rtxA transcript and RtxA protein levels in the hns lrp double mutant decreased compared with those in the hns mutant. Furthermore, the extent of the decrease in the rtxA transcript and RtxA protein levels caused by the lrp mutation was similar in the wild type and hns mutant (Fig. 3C and D). These results indicated that Lrp activates rtxA expression in an independent manner with H-NS. Similarly, the rtxA transcript and RtxA protein levels in the hlyU lrp double mutant decreased compared with those in the hlyU mutant (Fig. 3E and F). The extent of the decrease in the rtxA transcript and RtxA protein levels carried by the lrp mutation was not affected by the presence of HlyU (Fig. 3E and F), indicating that Lrp activates rtxA expression in an independent manner with HlyU. Western blot analysis revealed that the cellular levels of Lrp, H-NS, and HlyU were not significantly affected by one another (Fig. 3D and F), suggesting that these transcriptional regulators function cooperatively to regulate rtxA, rather than sequentially in a regulatory cascade. Taken together, these results indicated that Lrp binds to the P_{rtxA} regulatory region simultaneously with H-NS or HlyU and activates rtxA independently of H-NS and HlyU.

Leucine inhibits Lrp binding to and activation of P_{rtxA}. To investigate the effect of leucine on the regulatory mode of Lrp, we first examined whether leucine affects the DNA-binding activity of Lrp. EMSAs revealed that the addition of increasing amounts of leucine to the radiolabeled DNA probe 1 and probe 2 resulted in a concentration-dependent decrease of Lrp binding to DNA (Fig. 4A and B). The effects of different amino acids on the DNA-binding activity of Lrp were also examined. The addition of various amino acids decreased binding of Lrp in the order of leucine, methionine, isoleucine, and phenylalanine (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). In contrast, the addition of tryptophan and histidine did not alter the DNA-binding activity of Lrp (Fig. S1). Next, the P_{rtxA} activity of V. vulnificus cells grown with or without leucine was
determined in vivo using the rtxA-lacZ transcriptional fusion reporter. The presence of exogenous leucine significantly reduced the $P_{rtxA}$ activity in the wild-type strain but had no effect on the $P_{rtxA}$ activity in the $lrp$ mutant (Fig. 4C). The results indicated that leucine exhibits an antagonistic effect on the $P_{rtxA}$ activity, and the effect is mediated by Lrp. Together, the results suggested that leucine inhibits Lrp binding to $P_{rtxA}$ and reduces $P_{rtxA}$ activation.

**CRP represses but glucose derepresses $P_{rtxA}$**. Because the crp mutant showed significantly higher $rtxA$ transcript level than the wild type (Fig. 1A), we investigated whether CRP acts as a negative regulator of $rtxA$ expression. Consistent with the result, the $rtxA$ transcript and RtxA protein levels were increased in the crp mutant and restored to levels comparable to those in the wild type by introducing pKK1502 carrying a recombinant CRP (Fig. 5A and B). These results indicated that expression of $rtxA$ is negatively regulated by CRP. Furthermore, we also examined whether the expression of $rtxA$ in *V. vulnificus* is increased by exogenous glucose using the $rtxA$-lacZ transcriptional fusion reporter. Indeed, in both exponential and stationary phases, $P_{rtxA}$ activity was higher in the cells grown with 0.5% glucose than that in the cells grown without glucose (Fig. 5C), indicating that the $P_{rtxA}$ activity is induced in the presence of glucose.

**FIG 3** Lrp activates $rtxA$ expression independently of H-NS and HlyU. (A and B) Interaction of Lrp with either H-NS (A) or HlyU (B) in the binding to $P_{rtxA}$. A 452-bp DNA probe of the $P_{rtxA}$ regulatory region (probe 1; 5 nM) was radioactively labeled and then incubated with increasing amounts of Lrp in the presence of 1,000 nM H-NS (A) or 100 nM HlyU (B). The DNA-protein complexes were separated by electrophoresis. B1, DNA-H-NS complex; B2, DNA-HlyU complex; B3, DNA-Lrp complex; B4, DNA-H-NS-Lrp complex; B5, DNA-HlyU-Lrp complex; F, free DNA. (C to F) Total RNAs and proteins were isolated from the *V. vulnificus* strains grown to an $A_{600}$ of 0.5 and used to determine the $rtxA$ transcript and RtxA, OmpU, Lrp, H-NS, HlyU, and DnaK protein levels. (C and E) The $rtxA$ transcript levels were determined by qRT-PCR analyses, and the $rtxA$ transcript level in the wild type was set at 1. Error bars represent the SD. Statistical significance was determined by the Student's $t$ test (***, $P < 0.0005$; **, $P < 0.005$; *, $P < 0.05$). (D and F) The secreted levels of RtxA and OmpU (as an internal control) and cellular levels of Lrp, H-NS, HlyU, and DnaK (as an internal control) were determined by Western blot analysis. Molecular size markers (Bio-Rad) are shown in kilodaltons. WT, wild type; hns, hns mutant; lrp, lrp mutant; hns lrp, hns lrp double mutant; hlyU, hlyU mutant; hlyU lrp, hlyU lrp double mutant.
exogenous glucose. We further investigated whether the production of RtxA is also increased upon the addition of exogenous glucose. As shown in Fig. 5D, the RtxA level was increased in wild-type *V. vulnificus* cells grown with 0.5% glucose compared with that in the cells grown without glucose. Moreover, the addition of exogenous glucose did not affect the production of RtxA in the *crp* mutant (Fig. 5D), confirming that the induction of *rtxA* in the presence of exogenous glucose is mediated by CRP. The combined results indicated that *rtxA* expression is repressed by CRP but derepressed by exogenous glucose.

**CRP directly binds to the upstream region of P*rtxA*:** To examine whether CRP directly regulates *rtxA* by binding to P*rtxA*, EMSAs were performed. The addition of CRP to radiolabeled DNA probe 1 resulted in a single retarded band of a DNA-CRP complex in a CRP concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the addition of CRP to radiolabeled DNA probe 2 resulted in two retarded bands (Fig. 6B), indicating that CRP binds to at least two binding sites in probe 2. The combined results suggested that at least three binding sites of CRP are present in the P*rtxA* regulatory region. DNase I protection assays revealed that CRP protected two regions extending from positions −336 to −297
CRP-binding sequence 1 (CRPB1), centered at −316.5) and −145 to −127 (CRPB2, centered at −136), respectively, from DNase I digestion (Fig. 6C and D). Upon an increase in the CRP concentrations, another additional region extending from positions −118 to −92 (CRPB3, centered at −105) was protected from DNase I digestion (Fig. 6D). Several nucleotides showed enhanced cleavage, which is frequently observed in the DNase I protection assay of CRP-binding sites (27, 44), indicating that CRP binding alters the DNA conformation in P_{rtxA} (Fig. 6C and D). Combined with the EMSA results (Fig. 6A and B), these results suggested that CRP represses the expression of rtxA by directly binding to specific regions within P_{rtxA}. It is noteworthy that all three binding sites of CRP are located in the upstream region of P_{rtxA}, which is unusual for a negative regulator.

**Direct binding of CRP to P_{rtxA} leads to the repression of rtxA.** To investigate whether the CRP-binding sites located upstream of P_{rtxA} are effective for CRP binding, we introduced mutations in the CRP-binding sequences as shown in Fig. 7A to C. First, the binding of CRP to P_{rtxA} carrying the wild-type or each mutated CRP-binding sequence was examined. CRP bound to radiolabeled DNA probe 1 carrying the wild-type CRP-binding sequence 1, resulting in a single retarded band of the DNA-CRP complex in a CRP concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 7A, wtCRPB1). When the DNA probe carrying the mutated CRP-binding sequence 1 was used, however, the binding of CRP to DNA decreased, as a reduced amount of retarded bands was detected compared to the DNA probe with the wild-type CRP-binding sequence (Fig. 7A, mtCRPB1). Similar results were obtained with the DNA probe 2 carrying the wild-type
or mutated CRP-binding sequence 2 (Fig. 7B, wtCRPB2 and mtCRPB2). The results indicated that the CRP-binding sites located upstream of P\textsubscript{rtxA} are effective for CRP binding. In contrast, the binding of CRP to the mutated CRP-binding sequence 3 was not significantly altered compared with that to the wild-type CRP-binding sequence (Fig. 7C, wtCRPB3 and mtCRPB3), suggesting that the binding of CRP to CRPB3 is less sequence specific than CRPB1 or CRPB2.

Next, we assessed the activity of P\textsubscript{rtxA} carrying the wild-type or each mutated CRP-binding sequence in vivo using the rtxA-lacZ transcriptional fusion reporters. Consistent with the EMSA results, the P\textsubscript{rtxA} activity in wild-type V. vulnificus cells was increased when either CRPB1 or CRPB2 was mutated but was not altered when CRPB3 was mutated (Fig. 7D). When both CRPB1 and CRPB2 were mutated (mtCRPB1/2), the P\textsubscript{rtxA} activity in wild-type V. vulnificus cells was higher than that in the cells with either mtCRPB1 or mtCRPB2, respectively (Fig. 7D). These results indicated that CRP binding to CRPB1 and CRPB2 is responsible for the rtxA repression in vivo and has an additive effect on the repression of rtxA. We obtained the same results using the strains that carry one copy of the wild-type or mutated CRP-binding sequence in P\textsubscript{rtxA} fused to promoterless lacZ on the chromosome (Fig. S2). Furthermore, the presence of exogenous glucose induced P\textsubscript{rtxA} activity in the wild-type strain, while it had no effect on P\textsubscript{rtxA} activity in the crp mutant (Fig. 7D). This result was consistent with the observation that induction of rtxA by exogenous glucose is mediated by CRP (Fig. 5D). Finally, the effect of CRP on the transcription of rtxA was analyzed by in vitro transcription assay. The transcription level of rtxA was decreased by CRP in the presence of cAMP but was not

FIG 6 Specific binding of CRP to the P\textsubscript{rtxA} regulatory region. (A and B) Each 452-bp DNA probe of the P\textsubscript{rtxA} regulatory region (probe 1 for panel A and probe 2 for panel B; 5 nM) was radioactively labeled and then incubated with increasing amounts of CRP as indicated. For competition analysis, various amounts of the same but unlabeled DNA fragment were used as a self-competitor and added to the reaction mixture containing the 5 nM labeled DNA before the addition of 600 nM (A) or 400 nM (B) CRP. The DNA-protein complexes were separated by electrophoresis. B, bound DNA; F, free DNA. (C and D) The same DNA probes of each P\textsubscript{rtxA} regulatory region (40 nM) were labeled with 6-FAM, incubated with increasing amounts of CRP as indicated, and then digested with DNase I. The regions protected by CRP are indicated by gray boxes (CRPB1, CRPB2, and CRPB3), respectively. The nucleotides showing enhanced cleavage are indicated by asterisks. Nucleotide numbers shown are relative to the transcription start site of rtxA.
affected by CRP in the absence of CAMP (Fig. 7E and Fig. S3), confirming that CRP inhibits transcription of *rtxA* when CAMP is present. The combined results demonstrated that CRP represses *P_{rtxA}* by directly binding to its upstream region.

**CRP directly represses *lrp* and *hlyU* expression.** It is still possible that CRP indirectly regulates *rtxA* expression by modulating the cellular levels of other transcription factors. For example, CRP can negatively regulate *rtxA* expression by repressing the expression of *Lrp* or *HlyU*, the positive regulators of *rtxA*. Surprisingly, the *lp* transcript and Lrp protein levels were significantly increased in the *crp* mutant and restored to the
levels comparable to those in the wild type by complementation of the crp gene (Fig. 8A and B), indicating that CRP represses lrp expression. To examine whether CRP represses lrp by directly binding to its upstream region, EMSA was performed. The addition of CRP to the radiolabeled DNA resulted in a single retarded band of the DNA-CRP complex in a CRP concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 8C). The same but unlabeled DNA fragment, which was used as a self-competitor, showed the competition for CRP binding in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 8C), confirming the specific binding of CRP to the upstream region of lrp. This result suggested that CRP directly represses lrp expression.

In a similar way, the hlyU transcript and HlyU protein levels were substantially increased in the crp mutant and restored to the levels comparable to those in the wild type by complementation of the crp gene (Fig. 8D and E), indicating that CRP also represses hlyU expression. Furthermore, EMSA revealed that CRP binds directly and specifically to the upstream region of hlyU (Fig. 8F). The combined results suggested that CRP directly represses expression of both lrp and hlyU, presumably resulting in the negative regulation of rtxA. Moreover, the cellular level of CRP was not altered by the mutation in hns, lrp, or hlyU (Fig. S4), suggesting that the expression of crp is not
controlled by H-NS, Lrp, or HlyU. These results implied that CRP can also indirectly repress rtxA by forming coherent feed-forward loops with Lrp and HlyU. In conclusion, H-NS, HlyU, Lrp, and CRP constitute a regulatory network for rtxA transcription in V. vulnificus. CRP elaborately controls rtxA not only by directly binding to P_{rtxA} but also by coordinating the components of the rtxA regulatory network through the repression of lrp and hlyU. This collaborative regulation perhaps contributes to the precise expression of rtxA in response to environmental signals such as leucine and glucose within the host.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, Lrp was newly identified as a positive regulator of rtxA transcription in V. vulnificus (Fig. 1 and 2). Although the binding sites of Lrp in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region overlapped with those of H-NS and HlyU (Fig. 1B), Lrp binds to P_{rtxA} simultaneously with H-NS and HlyU (Fig. 3A and B). Consistent with this, Lrp activates rtxA expression independently of H-NS and HlyU (Fig. 3C to F). When acting as the sole transcriptional activator, Lrp can stimulate promoter activity by mediating protein-protein interactions and/or remodeling DNA structure (45). Based on our findings, one possible explanation for the Lrp-mediated activation of rtxA is that the formation of a multicomponent complex containing Lrp multimers and DNA bending could mediate the protein-protein interactions between Lrp and RNA polymerase (RNAP). Because the formation of the P_{rtxA} DNA-Lrp complex is hindered in the presence of leucine (Fig. 4A and B), it is reasonable to hypothesize that leucine might inhibit the interactions between Lrp and RNAP and thus the activation of rtxA. Indeed, exogenous leucine significantly reduced P_{rtxA} activity when Lrp was present (Fig. 4C). Accordingly, the regulatory mode of Lrp for rtxA in response to exogenous leucine is found to be the reciprocal mode, in which leucine inhibits the regulatory activity of Lrp (24).

Among a wide variety of environmental signals, nutrient availability is an important factor that pathogens monitor and integrate for the modulation of virulence gene expression (17, 18). In V. cholerae, the production of CT and TCP is negatively regulated by CRP, suggesting that intraintestinal glucose levels could affect the ability of the pathogen to colonize and cause diarrhea in the host (26). In enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli, the differential regulation of heat-labile toxin (LT) and heat-stable toxin (ST) by CRP also suggests that the glucose levels in the lumen of the small intestine might determine where and when each enterotoxin is expressed maximally (46). In this study, we found that the expression of rtxA in V. vulnificus is repressed by CRP (Fig. 5A and B). Because intracellular cAMP levels are tightly regulated by cAMP-synthesizing enzyme adenylate cyclase and cAMP-degrading enzyme cAMP phosphodiesterase in V. vulnificus (47), the addition of exogenous glucose would not completely deplete the intracellular cAMP levels. Thus, the presence of exogenous glucose might not fully alleviate the repression of rtxA as much as seen in the crp mutant. Despite this, the expression of rtxA is clearly induced by exogenous glucose (Fig. 5C and D), implying that the production of RtxA could increase in response to glucose in the host. It has been suggested that RtxA promotes the growth of V. vulnificus in the early stage of infection and dissemination of the bacteria from the small intestine to other organs in mice (10, 15). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that, for successful pathogenesis, rtxA expression is favored in glucose-rich environments such as the duodenum and jejunum where carbohydrates are digested to monosaccharides, rather than in glucose-poor environments such as the ileum (46, 48). Induction of rtxA in the early stage of infection could provide the pathogen with the benefit of surviving from phagocytosis during infection (11, 12). Moreover, these findings are consistent with the observation that people whose glucose levels are higher due to diabetes or chronic liver disease are at high risk of infection by V. vulnificus (33).

EMSAs and DNase I protection assays revealed that CRP directly binds to specific sequences in the upstream region of P_{rtxA} (Fig. 6), which is unusual as a negative regulator. Nevertheless, several transcription factors, including CRP, have been reported to bind upstream of promoters and repress transcription (29, 49). In V. cholerae, CRP
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negatively regulates the rtxBDE operon, encoding the components of the secretion system for RtxA, by directly binding to the upstream region of the promoter (29). Similarly, in enterotoxigenic E. coli, CRP directly represses the eltA gene encoding LT by binding to the upstream region of the promoter (46). V. vulnificus CRP also binds to the upstream region and represses P_{rtxA} and thus, we further examined whether the CRP-binding sites determined by the DNase I protection assays are effective for CRP binding (Fig. 7). Because H-NS binds to the sequences that overlapped with the CRP-binding sites in the upstream region of P_{rtxA} (Fig. 1B), a deletion assay of the CRP-binding sites without deletion of the H-NS-binding sites was not possible. Therefore, site-directed mutational analyses of the CRP-binding sequences and in vitro transcription assay were performed to define whether CRP binding leads to the repression of P_{rtxA}. The results demonstrate that CRP directly binds to the upstream region of P_{rtxA} and represses rtxA expression (Fig. 7). One possible hypothesis for this unusual repression is that the upstream-bound CRP interacts with the C-terminal domains of the RNAP α subunit, thereby restraining RNAP from escaping from the initiation complex in P_{rtxA} (49). This hypothesis can be supported by the enhanced cleavage that suggests the DNA conformation change in P_{rtxA} by CRP binding, as shown in the Dnase I protection assay results (Fig. 6C and D). In addition, CRP represses the expression of lrp and hlyU by directly binding to their upstream regions (Fig. 8). However, the mutation of crp in the absence of Lrp and HlyU still increased rtxA expression, and the extent of the increase in the rtxA transcript levels caused by the crp mutation was similar in the wild type and hlyU lrp double mutant (Fig. 5A; see also Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). This result suggests that the role of CRP in rtxA regulation would be mostly direct rather than indirect via controlling Lrp and HlyU. Again, this result supports the hypothesis that CRP binding might directly lead to the inhibition of promoter clearance of RNAP, instead of another hypothesis that CRP inhibits the rtxA activation mediated by Lrp and HlyU. Taken together, these results indicate that CRP plays a direct role in rtxA expression as a negative regulator and forms complex coherent feed-forward loops along with Lrp and HlyU for the coordinated regulation of rtxA (50).

CRP, Lrp, H-NS, and HlyU constitute a complex regulatory network for rtxA transcription as depicted in Fig. 9A. H-NS represses rtxA by directly binding to multiple sites in the P_{rtxA} regulatory region, while HlyU directly binds to P_{rtxA} and relieves the repression of H-NS (36). Lrp directly activates rtxA, whereas CRP represses rtxA directly and indirectly through the repression of lrp and hlyU (Fig. 9A). Intriguingly, while CRP negatively regulates the expression of RtxA, CRP positively regulates the expression of other exotoxins, VvhA, VvpE, and PlpA, in V. vulnificus (27, 35, 42). As shown in Fig. 9B, this differential regulation is likely to determine which exotoxins are expressed spatially and temporally in V. vulnificus during the course of infection. For example, the expression of RtxA is predicted to be high in the early stage of infection such as in the upper small intestine and upon invasion into the bloodstream where glucose levels are relatively high. The production of RtxA could have an essential role in the invasion of V. vulnificus from the intestine to the bloodstream (8, 15), as well as in the survival of the pathogen from immune clearance (11, 51). The expression of rtxA will decrease in the later stage of infection where glucose levels are relatively low due to absorption by the enterocytes in the upper and mid small intestine (48) or consumption by the invading V. vulnificus in blood. The decreased expression of rtxA may be facilitated by responding to other signals such as leucine at any rate. Furthermore, the production of VvhA, VvpE, and PlpA in the later stage of infection might cause cell damage and accelerate the cell death process (8, 35, 52). Altogether, this spatiotemporal expression of virulence factors regulated by CRP will enhance the in vivo fitness of V. vulnificus. Coordinated regulation of rtxA by multiple transcription factors, including CRP and Lrp, enables the elaborate expression of rtxA in response to environmental and metabolic stimuli, further contributing to the successful infection of V. vulnificus within the host.
FIG 9 A regulatory network controlling the rtxA expression and a spatiotemporally differential expression of exotoxins in V. vulnificus. (A) A regulatory network comprising transcriptional regulators CRP, Lrp, HlyU, and H-NS controls rtxA expression. H-NS represses rtxA, and HlyU relieves the repression of H-NS. Lrp activates rtxA directly and indirectly through the repression of lrp and hlyU in coherent feed-forward loops. (B) The V. vulnificus exotoxins may be expressed spatially and temporally as the bacteria move through the small intestine during the course of infection. In the early stage of infection, relatively high glucose levels in the duodenum and jejunum lead to the inactive form of CRP (CRPapo) and thereby prevent the CRP-mediated repression of rtxA. Thus, the expression of rtxA is predicted to be high, and the produced RtxA could contribute to the invasion of V. vulnificus from the small intestine to the bloodstream. In the later stage of infection, relatively low glucose levels in the ileum stimulate the synthesis of cAMP, resulting in the formation of active CAMP-CRP complex (CRPcAMP) and thereby prevent the CRP-mediated repression of rtxA. Thus, the expression of rtxA is predicted to be high, and the produced RtxA could contribute to the invasion of V. vulnificus from the small intestine to the bloodstream. In the later stage of infection, relatively low glucose levels in the ileum stimulate the synthesis of cAMP, resulting in the formation of active CAMP-CRP complex (CRPcAMP). Therefore, the expression of rtxA is decreased, and this decreased rtxA expression may be facilitated in response to other signals such as leucine at any rate (not shown). In contrast, the expression of vvhA, vvpE, and plpa is increased, causing further damage to host cells. This spatiotemporal and precise expression of the exotoxins will provide V. vulnificus with benefits in the pathogenesis during infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, plasmids, and culture conditions. The strains and plasmids used in the present study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Unless stated otherwise, the V. vulnificus and E. coli strains were grown at 30°C in heart infusion (HI) medium supplemented with 2.5% (wt/vol) NaCl and at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium, respectively. Growth of the V. vulnificus strains was monitored spectrophotometrically at 600 nm (A600). When necessary, antibiotics were added to the medium at the following concentrations: 3 μg/ml chloramphenicol and 2 μg/ml tetracycline for V. vulnificus and 20 μg/ml chloramphenicol and 10 μg/ml tetracycline for E. coli.

Generation and complementation of deletion mutants. The isogenic hns mutant EJ151, hlyU mutant ZW141, lacZ mutant MO6ΔlacZ, and crp mutant D10201 were constructed previously (35, 42, 53, 54) and used in this study (Table S1). For construction of an isogenic lrp mutant, the lrp gene was inactivated in vitro by deletion of the open reading frame (ORF) of lrp (324 of 495 bp) using the PCR-mediated linker-scanning method as described previously (44). Briefly, pairs of primers, LRPD-F1 and -R1 or LRPD-F2 and -R2, were used for amplification of the 5’ amplicon and 3’ amplicon, respectively (Table S2). The 324-bp deleted lrp gene was amplified by PCR using the mixture of both amplicons as the templates and LRPD-F1 and -R2 as the primers. The resulting 324-bp-deleted lrp gene was ligated into Spel-SphI-digested pDM4 (55) to create pZW1817 (Table S1). Similarly, pGR1907 carrying the S01-bp-deleted toxR gene on pDM4 was constructed using the pairs of primers TOXRD-F1 and -R1 and TOXRD-F2 and -R2 (Table S2). E. coli S17-1 xpir strain (56) containing pZW1817 was used as a conjugal donor to the V. vulnificus MO6-24/O wild type, hns mutant, hlyU mutant, or lacZ mutant to generate the lrp mutant ZW181, hns lrp double mutant ZW191, hlyU lrp double mutant ZW192, or lrp lacZ double mutant ZW193, respectively (Table S1). Similarly, E. coli S17-1 xpir strain containing pGR1907 was used as a conjugal donor to the wild type to generate the toxR mutant GR192 (Table S1). E. coli S17-1 xpir strain containing pBS0907 (57), which was constructed previously to carry a mutant allele of V. vulnificus crp on pDM4, was used as a conjugal donor to the lacZ mutant or hlyU lrp double mutant to generate the crp lacZ double mutant ZW194 or hlyU lrp crp triple mutant ZW195, respectively (Table S1). The conjugation and isolation of the transconjugants were conducted using the methods described previously (58).

To complement the mutations, pKK1502 carrying the crp gene on the broad-host-range vector pH0311 (59) was used in this study (Table S1) (35). Similarly, the lrp gene was amplified by PCR using a pair of primers LRPC-F and -R1 listed in Table S2 and cloned into pH0311 to create pZW1818 (Table S1). The plasmids were transferred into the appropriate mutants by conjugation as described above.

RNA purification and transcript analysis. Total RNAs from the V. vulnificus strains were isolated using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). For quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR), the concentrations of total RNAs were measured using a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), and cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of the total RNAs using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Real-time PCR amplification of the cDNA was performed using a
CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) with pairs of specific primers (Table S2), as described previously (60). Relative expression levels of the transcripts were calculated using the 16S RNA expression level as an internal reference for normalization (60).

**Western blot analysis.** The *V. vulnificus* strains were harvested and fractionated into cells and supernatants by centrifugation. The supernatants were concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 (cutoff, 30 kDa; Millipore, Burlington, MA). RtxA and OmpU in the supernatant concentrates were detected by Western blot analysis using mouse anti- *V. vulnificus* RtxA monoclonal antibody (61) and rabbit anti- *V. vulnificus* OmpU antibody (53). The cells were lysed using B-PER bacterial protein extraction reagent with enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and residual cell debris was removed by centrifugation to obtain clear cell lysates. Lrp, H-NS, HlyU, CRP, and DnaK in the clear cell lysates were detected by Western blot analysis using rabbit anti- *V. vulnificus* Lrp antibody (62), rabbit anti- *V. vulnificus* H-NS antibody (53), rabbit anti- *V. vulnificus* HlyU antibody (60), rabbit anti- *V. vulnificus* CRP antibody (35), and mouse anti-E. coli DnaK antibody (Enzo Life Science, Farmingdale, NY) as described previously (35, 60).

**Protein purification.** To overexpress H-NS, HlyU, and CRP, pKK1636 carrying the *hns* gene on pET-28a(+) (Novagen, Madison, WI) (53), pZW1610 carrying the *hlyU* gene on pProEX-HTa (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) (60), and pHK0201 carrying the *crp* gene on pRSET A (Invitrogen) (42) were constructed previously and used in this study (Table S1). Similarly, the *lrp* gene was subcloned into pET-28a(+) using a pair of primers LRPP-F and -R listed in Table S2 to create pZW1903 (Table S1). The resulting His<sub>6</sub>-tagged Lrp, H-NS, HlyU, and CRP were expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (33) and purified by affinity chromatography according to the manufacturer's procedure (Qiagen).

**EMSA and DNase I protection assay.** For EMSA, the 881-bp *rtxBDE-rtxHCA* intergenic region containing *P<sub>rtxH</sub>* was divided into two 452-bp regions (positions −629 to −178 for probe 1 and positions −200 to +252 for probe 2 from the transcription start site of *rtxHCA* [36]). Each 452-bp DNA probe was amplified with PCR using unlabeled *PrtxA*<sub>1-F</sub> and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP-labeled *PrtxA*<sub>1-R</sub> or unlabeled *PrtxA*<sub>2-F</sub> and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP-labeled *PrtxA*<sub>2-R</sub> as primers, respectively (Table S2). Similarly, the 424-bp *lrp* upstream region and the 572-bp *hlyU* upstream region were amplified by PCR using unlabeled *Plrp-F* and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP-labeled *Plrp-R* or unlabeled *PhlyU-F* and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP-labeled *PhlyU-R* as primers, respectively (Table S2).

The radiolabeled DNA probes were incubated with the purified Lrp, H-NS, or HlyU for 0.5 h at 25°C in a 20-μl reaction mixture containing 1× Lrp binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl [pH 8.0], 20 mM KCl, 100 μM bovine serum albumin [BSA], 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and 10% glycerol) and 0.1 μg of poly(dI-dC) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as described previously (21). Similarly, the DNA probes were incubated with the purified CRP for 0.5 h at 37°C in a 20-μl reaction mixture containing 1× CRP binding buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.5], 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM CAMP) and 0.1 μg of poly(dI-dC) as described previously (57). For competition analysis, the same but unlabeled DNA fragment was used as a self-competitor DNA. Electrophoretic analysis of the DNA-protein complexes was performed as described previously (60). When necessary, various concentrations of I-leucine were added to the reaction mixture before incubation.

For DNase I protection assay, the same DNA probes of each 452-bp *P<sub>rtxH</sub>* regulatory region were amplified by PCR using unlabeled *PrtxA*<sub>1-F</sub> and 6-FAM-labeled *PrtxA*<sub>1-R</sub> or unlabeled *PrtxA*<sub>2-F</sub> and 6-FAM-labeled *PrtxA*<sub>2-R</sub> as the primers, respectively (Table S2). The binding of purified Lrp or CRP to the labeled DNA was performed as described above. DNase I digestion of the DNA-protein complexes was performed as described previously (63). The digested DNA products were precipitated with ethanol, eluted in sterilized H<sub>2</sub>O, and then analyzed using an ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with PeaScan software v1.0 with the manufacturer's procedure.

**Construction of an *rtxA-lacZ* transcriptional fusion reporter and *β*-galactosidase activity assay.** The 753-bp *P<sub>rtxA</sub>* regulatory region (positions −526 to +227 relative to the transcription start site of *rtxHCA*) was amplified using a pair of primers *PrtxA*<sub>1-F</sub> and -R (Table S2) and then fused to promoterless *lacZ* (274 bp upstream from the translation start site of *lacZ*) of pPKI2lacZ (64) to create pZW1517 (Table S1). *E. coli* S17-1 *λpir* strain containing pZW1517 was used as a conjugational donor to the *lacZ* mutant, *lrp lacZ* double mutant, or *crp lacZ* double mutant as described previously (58). The *P<sub>rtxA</sub>* activity of the *V. vulnificus* cells was determined by measuring the *β*-galactosidase activity. The *β*-galactosidase activity was determined by the chloroform/sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) method described previously by Miller (65).

**Site-directed mutagenesis of CRP-binding sequences.** The sequences of the wild-type CRP-binding sites (wtCRPB) determined by the DNase I protection assay were mutagenized using the PCR-mediated linker-scanning method with the mutagenic primers carrying the substituted nucleotides (Table S2) (66). For site-directed mutagenesis, pairs of primers, *PrtxA*<sub>1-F</sub> and CRPB<sub>1</sub>-mt-R or CRPB<sub>1</sub>-mt-F and *PrtxA*<sub>2</sub>-R, were used for amplification of the 5′ ampiclon and 3′ ampiclon of the mutated CRP-binding sequence 1 (mtCRPB1), respectively. Then, the mtCRPB1 was amplified by PCR using the mixture of both amplicons as the templates and *PrtxA*<sub>1-F</sub> and *PrtxA*<sub>2-R</sub> as the primers. Similarly, the mutated CRP-binding sequence 2 and 3 (mtCRPB2 and mtCRPB3) were created using the mutagenic primers listed in Table S2, respectively. All the mutations in the mtCRPBs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The DNA probes of the *P<sub>rtxA</sub>* regulatory region carrying wtCRPB or mtCRPB were amplified using unlabeled and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP-labeled primers as described above and then used for EMSA.

For *β*-galactosidase activity assay, the reporter plasmid pZW1930 with promoterless *lacZ* fused to *P<sub>rtxA</sub>* carrying mtCRPB1 was constructed as described above (Table S1). Similarly, the reporter plasmids pZW1931, pZW1936, and pZW2001 with promoterless *lacZ* fused to *P<sub>rtxA</sub>* carrying either mtCRPB2, mtCRPB3, or mtCRPB1/2, respectively, were constructed (Table S1). *E. coli* S17-1 *λpir* strain containing...
pZW1517, pZW1930, pZW1931, pZW1936, or pZW2001 was used as a conjugal donor to the lacZ mutant or crp lacZ mutant as described above. The activity of Prx\textsubscript{A} \textsubscript{DE} carrying wtCRPB or mtCRPB in the V. vulnificus cells was determined by measuring the \beta-galactosidase activity as described above.

In vitro transcription assay. In vitro transcription was performed as described elsewhere (67). Briefly, the 881-bp rtxBDE-rtxHCA intergenic region containing Prx\textsubscript{A} \textsubscript{DE} was amplified by PCR using Pt\textsubscript{rxa} \textsubscript{P1-F} and Pt\textsubscript{rxa} \textsubscript{P2-R} as primers (Table S2). One microgram of the template DNA was incubated with the purified CRP in the presence or absence of 1 mM cAMP for 0.5 h at 37°C in a 36-μl reaction mixture containing RNA polymerase reaction buffer (New England BioLabs [NEB]). Then, the mixture was supplemented with nucleotide triphosphate (NTP) mixture (0.5 mM each NTP), 40 units of RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen), and 1 unit of E. coli \textalpha-\textgamma-saturated RNA polymerase (Enzynomics, NEB), and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The template DNA was digested with 1 unit of Dnase I (Promega, Madison, WI) for 0.5 h at 37°C, and the RNA transcript was purified using an RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen). The purified RNA was annealed with the HEX-labeled DNA standard generated with unlabeled Prtx\textsubscript{A} \textsubscript{P2-F} and HEX-labeled Prtx\textsubscript{A} \textsubscript{P2-R} as primers (Table S2). One microgram of the template DNA was incubated with the purified RNA polymerase reaction buffer (NEB), and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The template DNA was digested with 1 unit of Dnase I (Promega, Madison, WI) for 0.5 h at 37°C, and the RNA transcript was purified using an RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen). The purified RNA was annealed with the \textgamma-carboxy-2,4,4,5,7,7-hexachlorofluorescein (HEX)-labeled Pt\textsubscript{rxa} \textsubscript{rtxR} (Table S2) and then extended using SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 42°C according to the manufacturer’s procedure. A 389-bp HEX-labeled DNA standard generated with unlabeled Pt\textsubscript{rxa} \textsubscript{P2-F} and HEX-labeled Pt\textsubscript{rxa} \textsubscript{rtxR} (Table S2) was added to each sample to a final concentration of 0.1 ng/μl. The samples were analyzed using an ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with Peak Scanner software v1.0 (Applied Biosystems) as described above.

Data analyses. Averages and standard deviations (SD) were calculated from at least three independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed by the Student’s t test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as indicated in the figure legends using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).
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