Phase diagram of the ABC model with nonequal densities
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Abstract
The ABC model is a driven diffusive exclusion model, composed of three species of particles that hop on a ring with local asymmetric rates. In the weak asymmetry limit, where the asymmetry vanishes with the length of the system, the model exhibits a phase transition between a homogeneous state and a phase-separated state. We derive the exact solution for the density profiles of the three species in the hydrodynamic limit for arbitrary average densities. The solution yields the complete phase diagram of the model and allows the study of the nature of the first-order phase transition found for average densities that deviate significantly from the point of equal densities.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 05.70.Ln, 64.60.Cn

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Systems that are driven out of equilibrium by an external field, such as temperature gradient or electric field, have been studied extensively in recent years. In the absence of a general theory, insight into their properties can be acquired by investigating simplified models. Studies of numerous driven models involving some conserved quantity have shown that their steady state typically exhibits algebraic decay of correlations [1–5] and in some cases long-range order and symmetry breaking in one dimension [6–10]. One particular model which has drawn much attention recently is the ABC model [9, 10]. This is a prototypical model for phase separation in one dimension. It consists of a periodic lattice of length $L$ where each site is occupied by one of the three species of particles, labelled $A$, $B$ and $C$. The model evolves by random sequential updates whereby particles on nearest neighbour sites are exchanged with rates

$$AB \xrightleftharpoons[q]{1} BA \quad BC \xrightleftharpoons[q]{1} CB \quad CA \xrightleftharpoons[q]{1} AC.$$ (1)

While for $q = 1$ the model relaxes to an equilibrium state with homogeneously distributed particles, it exhibits phase separation for any finite value of $q \neq 1$ in the limit of $L \to \infty$. 
Generically, for arbitrary choice of the number of particles of the three species, \(N_A, N_B\) and \(N_C\), the model does not obey detailed balance and it relaxes to a nonequilibrium steady state. A unique feature of the ABC model is that in the special case of \(N_A = N_B = N_C\), the dynamics obeys detailed balance with respect to an effective Hamiltonian with long-range interactions for arbitrary value of \(q\). This Hamiltonian provides a rare opportunity to gain insight into the mechanism behind phase separation in one dimension induced by a drive in the bulk.

The ABC model has been considered in the weak asymmetry limit where \(q\) approaches 1 in the thermodynamic limit as \(q = \exp(-\beta/L)\) [11]. When the rate of approach is faster than a critical value, namely for \(\beta < \beta_c\), the model reaches a homogeneous phase in the limit of \(L \to \infty\). For \(\beta > \beta_c\), the model reaches an ordered phase with three macroscopic domains, each predominantly occupied by one of the species. The phase transition has been studied in the hydrodynamic limit by analysing the linear response of the homogeneous phase to small inhomogeneous perturbations. In the case of equal densities, the transition was found to be continuous, taking place at \(\beta = \beta_c = 2\pi \sqrt{3}\). The transition remains continuous for small enough deviation from the condition of equal densities, and becomes first order beyond a tricritical point at larger deviations. Since the analysis was based on linear stability of the homogeneous phase, the full phase diagram of the model and the nature of the first-order transition could not be explored. This would require the knowledge of the density profiles of the three species in the ordered phase.

In this paper, we derive an exact expression for the steady-state density profiles of the ABC model for arbitrary values of average densities and \(\beta\) by solving the hydrodynamic equations corresponding to the evolution of the model. We use our results to investigate its phase diagram and the nature of the first-order phase transition. Beyond the tricritical point, we find a range of temperatures where both the homogeneous and ordered phases are locally stable. The phase to which the model eventually relaxes could in principle be determined by minimizing the large deviation function. Since this function is known only in the limit of weak drive \((\beta \ll 1)\) [11] and for small deviations from the homogeneous phase [12], we can only draw the stability limits of each phase. These limits define the region of parameter space where both phases are locally stable.

The ABC model has recently been generalized to include particle-nonconserving processes and its phase diagram has been analysed in the case of equal densities [13, 14]. The phase diagrams of the canonical (particle-conserving) and grand canonical (particle-nonconserving) ensembles have been shown to be inequivalent. This is in accordance with what is generally expected in equilibrium systems with long-range interactions. The study presented in this paper of the nature of the phase-separated state can be generalized to the case of the nonconserving ABC model with arbitrary densities. This would enable one to explore phenomena such as inequivalence of ensembles in a genuinely driven model which does not obey detailed balance [15].

The paper is organized as follows. We first present a brief review of the ABC model and previous studies of its phase diagram in section 2. We derive the steady state of the hydrodynamic equations of the model in section 3, and express it explicitly in terms of elliptic integrals in appendix A. In section 4, we study the resulting phase diagram of the model and compare it with results from Monte Carlo simulations. The low-temperature (strong drive) behaviour of the solution is derived in appendix B.

2. Phase diagram derived from stability analysis

In this section, we present the ABC model and review its properties and phase diagram, obtained in previous studies using stability analysis of the homogeneous phase.
In studying the ordered phase of the ABC model, one notes that for $q < 1$ the ordered phase is such that the domains are arranged clockwise as $AA \ldots ABB \ldots BCC \ldots C$, and counterclockwise for $q > 1$. Throughout this paper, we consider $q < 1$. The case of $q > 1$ is obtained by permuting for instance the labels of the $B$ and $C$ in a system where the drive is given by $q' = 1/q < 1$.

As a result of the dynamical asymmetry, the model generically reaches a nonequilibrium steady state with nonvanishing currents of particles. The current of, say, the $A$ particles is proportional to the rate at which they perform a full clockwise trip minus the rate of the counter-clockwise trip, yielding

$$J_A \sim q^{N_B} - q^{N_C}. \quad (2)$$

The other currents are obtained by cyclic permutation of the $A$, $B$ and $C$ labels. While these currents vanish in the thermodynamic limit for arbitrary average densities, in the special case where $N_A = N_B = N_C = L/3$, the currents also vanish for finite systems with arbitrary length. In this case, the dynamics obeys detailed balance with respect to an effective long-range Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H} (\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} \frac{k}{L} (A_i B_{i+k} + B_i C_{i+k} + C_i A_{i+k}), \quad (3)$$

where $\xi = \{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$ denotes a microstate of the system such that $\zeta_i = A$, $B$ or $C$. The operators in the Hamiltonian are defined as

$$A_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \zeta_i = A \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and similarly for $B_i$ and $C_i$. The probability of a microscopic configuration is given by $P (\xi) \propto q^{\mathcal{H}(\xi)}$. The Hamiltonian yields a super-extensive energy which scales as $E \sim L^2$ with the system size, typical of systems with long-range interactions.

As mentioned in the introduction, the ABC model is often considered in the limit of weak asymmetry, $q = \exp (-\beta/L)$, where $\beta$ is regarded as the inverse temperature of the model [11]. This rescaling of the drive with $L$ corresponds to the Kac prescription for the rescaling of the temperature in long-range interacting systems [16]. It amounts to an effective rescaling of the energy so it becomes linear with the system size, thus comparable to the entropy, $S \sim L$. Study of this limit for the case of equal densities revealed a second-order phase transition at $\beta = 2\pi \sqrt{3}$ from the homogeneous state, where entropy dominates, to the ordered state which is dominated by the energy term.

The ABC model has also been studied on an interval, where zero flux boundary condition is considered [17–19]. In that case, the model obeys detailed balance also for nonequal densities and its steady state can be obtained using the same effective Hamiltonian (3). The steady-state density profiles of the three species in the phase-separated state of this model have been evaluated for arbitrary values of average densities [17]. In the special case of equal densities, the steady state of the model on an interval and that on a ring are related by a trivial mapping, allowing us to use the studies of the model on the interval as a point of reference for this work.

In the case of equal densities on a ring or arbitrary densities on an interval, where an effective Hamiltonian can be defined, it has been demonstrated that due to the weak anisotropy limit, local density correlations vanish for $L \to \infty$. Namely,

$$\langle X Y_{i+1} \rangle = \langle X \rangle \langle Y_{i+1} \rangle + O(1/L), \quad (5)$$

where $X, Y$ denote either $A, B$ or $C$ and $\langle \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average over the steady-state distribution. It has been argued that this lack of local correlation is valid also
for nonequal densities on a ring [17]. As a result of (5), the hydrodynamic equations [17, 20, 21] corresponding to this model are given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho_\alpha}{\partial \tau} = \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \rho_\alpha (\rho_\alpha + 1 - \rho_\alpha + 2) \right] + \frac{\partial^2 \rho_\alpha}{\partial x^2},$$

(6)

where \( \tau \) is the macroscopic time scale and \( \rho_\alpha(x) \) is the coarse-grained density profile of particles of type \( \alpha \) for \( x \in [0, 1] \). The index \( \alpha \) denotes the species and runs cyclicly over \( A, B \) and \( C \). The conservation of particles implies that \( \int_0^1 dx \rho_\alpha(x) = N_\alpha \equiv r_\alpha \), where \( r_\alpha \) is the average density of particle \( \alpha \). Since \( r_A + r_B + r_C = 1 \), it is convenient to express the densities in terms of two independent variables as

$$\begin{align*}
(r_A, r_B, r_C) &= \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right) + 2\Delta \left( \sin \phi, \sin \left( \phi + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right), \sin \left( \phi + \frac{4\pi}{3} \right) \right),
\end{align*}$$

(7)

where \( \Delta^2 = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\alpha=A,B,C} \left( r_\alpha - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \) is a measure for the deviation from equal densities and \( \phi \) is a phase variable.

It is easy to see that the homogeneous profile, \( \rho_\alpha(x) = r_\alpha \), is a solution of (6). Its stability with respect to small anisotropic perturbations revealed a critical line given by

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{1 - 36\Delta^2}}.$$

(8)

The homogeneous phase was found to be unstable at temperatures \( T = 1/\beta \) below this line [11]. Probing the region just below the critical line, infinitesimal perturbations around the homogeneous phase were found to be stable only when \( r_\alpha \) obey

$$S (r_A, r_B, r_C) = \left( r_A^2 + r_B^2 + r_C^2 \right) - 2 \left( r_A^3 + r_B^3 + r_C^3 \right) < 0.$$

(9)

For these values, the model undergoes a continuous second-order transition at (8), whereas for other values of \( r_\alpha \), the transition becomes first order. The tricritical line, where the order of the transition changes, is given by \( S (r_A, r_B, 1 - r_A - r_B) = 0 \) and in terms of \( \Delta, \phi \) by

$$108 \sin (3\phi) \Delta^3 - 54\Delta^2 + 1 = 0.$$

(10)

The resulting phase diagram is shown in figure 1 for the case of two nonequal densities, defined by taking \( \phi = 7\pi/6 \) as

$$r_A = r_B = 1/3 - \Delta, \quad r_C = 1/3 + 2\Delta.$$

(11)

The critical line and tricritical point in the figure are based on the work of Clincy et al, while the upper stability line is drawn based on the results presented in the two following sections. Note that the phase diagram is not symmetric around \( \Delta = 0 \). At one end of the phase diagram, for \( \Delta = 1/3 \), we obtain \( r_C = 1 \) and hence no dynamics, whereas for \( \Delta = -1/6 \) we obtain the weakly asymmetric exclusion process with \( r_A = r_B = 1/2 \) [1].

While the critical line and the tricritical point can be found by expanding (6) near the homogeneous solution, studying the first-order transition and the stability limit of the phase-separated state requires the knowledge of exact density profiles. In this paper, we calculate the steady-state density profiles of the model and use them to analyse its complete phase diagram. An exact solution of (6) on an interval has been derived by Ayyer et al [17]. Following a similar derivation, we generalize their solution to the regime of nonequal densities of the periodic model. This allows us to study the nature of the first-order transition phase predicted by Clincy et al.
3. Density profiles for nonequal densities

In this section, we study the steady-state solutions of the hydrodynamic equations of the ABC model (6). Assuming time-independent solutions, we omit the time derivative from (6) and integrate over $x$ to yield

$$\frac{\partial \rho_\alpha}{\partial x} = -\beta [\rho_\alpha (\rho_{\alpha+1} - \rho_{\alpha+2})] - J_\alpha, \quad (12)$$

where the constants of integration, $J_\alpha$, are interpreted as the steady-state currents of particles. They can be shown to obey $J_A + J_B + J_C = 0$. In order to solve (12), we apply several transformations which are similar to those used by Ayyer et al. for the ABC model on an interval [17]. Multiplying (12) by $\rho_\alpha + 1$ and summing the three resulting equations yield

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho_A \rho_B \rho_C) = -J_A \rho_B \rho_C - J_B \rho_C \rho_A - J_C \rho_A \rho_B$$

$$= -J_A \frac{\partial \rho_C}{\beta \partial x} + J_C \frac{\partial \rho_A}{\beta \partial x}. \quad (13)$$

Integrating this equation over $x$ yields a simple relation between the density profiles,

$$\rho_A \rho_B \rho_C = K - Q_A \rho_C + Q_C \rho_A, \quad (14)$$

where $Q_\alpha \equiv J_\alpha / \beta$ and $K$ is a constant of integration. One can check that this equation is indeed invariant under cyclic permutations of $A, B$ and $C$ up to a change in the constant of integration, $K$. Equation (14) is a generalization of the relation obtained for the case of equal densities, where $\rho(x)$ has been shown to be constant in space [17, 21]. Using (14) in conjunction with $\rho_B = 1 - \rho_A - \rho_C$ allows us to express $\rho_A$ in terms of $\rho_C$ as

$$\rho_A = -\left(\rho_C^2 - \rho_C + Q_C\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\rho_C^2 - \rho_C + Q_C\right)^2 + 4Q_A \rho_C^2 - 4K \rho_C}. \quad (15)$$
Figure 2. The effective potential, $U(y)$, for $r_A = r_B \neq r_C$ and some values of $K, Q_A (QC = 0)$. (i), (ii) and (iii) represent the case where potential has two, three and four real roots. They correspond to the case of the model having no physical solution, a homogeneous solution and an ordered solution, respectively. The four roots of the potential in (iii) are denoted on the graph by \{a, b, c, d\}. (iv) depicts the limit of $K \ll 1, Q \ll 1$ which corresponds to the low-temperature limit ($\beta \gg 1$).

Inserting this expression back into (12) for $\alpha = C$ yields an explicit equation for $\rho_C$,

$$\frac{\partial \rho_C}{\partial x} = \pm \sqrt{(\rho_C^2 - \rho_C + Q_C)^2 + 4Q_A\rho_C^2 - 4K\rho_C}. \quad (16)$$

The plus and minus signs correspond to the two halves of the ring around the maximum of $\rho_C(x)$. Taking the square of this equation and writing it in the rescaled variables $t = 2\beta x$ and $y(t) = \rho_C(x)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}y'(t)^2 + U_{K, Q_A, Q_C}(y(t)) = 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$U_{K, Q_A, Q_C}(y) = -\frac{1}{8}y^2(1-y)^2 + \frac{2K + Q_C}{4}y - \frac{2Q_A + Q_C}{4}y^2 - \frac{Q_C^2}{8}. \quad (18)$$

Equation (17) can be viewed as an equation of motion of a zero-energy particle with mass 1 in a quartic potential. Equation (17) and the derivation below can be written in terms of either of the three species by cyclic permutation of $A, B$ and $C$. In the case of nonequal densities, the quartic potential changes under this permutation, yielding a different profile for each species.

Depending on the values of $K, Q_A$ and $Q_C$, the potential may have two, three or four real roots, depicted in (i), (ii) and (iii) lines in figure 2, respectively. The four roots of the potential, denoted as \{a, b, c, d\}, can be shown to obey $0 \leq a < b < c < 1 < d$. In this case, the particle oscillates between $b$ and $c$ which is the only physical trajectory. This is because we require that both $0 \leq y(t) \leq 1$ and $U(y) \leq 0$. The case of three roots, when $b = c$, yields a constant trajectory in time which corresponds to the homogeneous solution, $\rho_C(x) = y(2\beta x) = r_C$.

The case where there are only two real roots does not correspond to any physical solution. The manifold which defines the region of $\{K, Q_A, Q_C\}$ space where the physical solution resides is thus obtained by inserting the homogeneous solution, $\rho_x(x) = r_x$, into (12) and (14) as

$$Q_{a, h} = r_a (r_{a+2} - r_{a+1}), \quad K_h = r_A r_B r_C + Q_{a, h} r_C - Q_{c, h} r_A. \quad (19)$$
and varying \( r_v \). In the space outside this manifold (for higher values of \( K, Q_A \) and \( Q_C \)), there is no physical solution, as depicted in line (i) in figure 2.

The trajectory of (17) between \( b \) and \( c \) for \( b < c \) yields the ordered profile of the ABC model corresponding to given values of \( K, Q_A \) and \( Q_C \). In order to relate these parameters to the original parameters of the problem, \( \beta \) and \( r_v \), we examine the period of oscillation of the particle between \( b \) and \( c \), which we denote as \( \Theta \). The periodic boundary condition of the profile, \( \rho_C(x+1) = \rho_C(x) \), imposes a constraint on the solution of the form \( y_m(t+2\beta) = y_m(t) \) or equivalently \( \Theta = 2\beta/m \). Here, the positive integer parameter \( m \) corresponds to the number of times the particle oscillates between \( b \) and \( c \) in a time interval of length \( 2\beta \). We argue in the next section that only the \( m = 1 \) solution describes the ordered steady state of the model. The periodic boundary condition may be written as

\[
1 = \int_0^1 dx = \int_0^{2\beta} \frac{dt}{2\beta} = \frac{m}{\beta} \int_b^c \frac{dy}{\sqrt{-2U_{K,Q_A,Q_C}(y)}}.
\]  

(20)

An additional constraint on \( y_m(t) \) comes from the total number of \( C \) particles,

\[
r_C = \int_0^1 dx \rho_C(x) = \int_0^{2\beta} \frac{dt}{2\beta} y_m(t) = \frac{m}{\beta} \int_b^c \frac{y dy}{\sqrt{-2U_{K,Q_A,Q_C}(y)}}.
\]  

(21)

The third constraint is obtained by dividing (12) by \( \rho_a \) and integrating the result over \( x \) using periodic boundary conditions. For \( \alpha = C \), the result yields the condition

\[
\frac{r_B - r_A}{Q_C} = \int_0^1 dx \rho_{C^{-1}}(x) = \int_0^{2\beta} \frac{dt}{2\beta} y_m^{-1}(t) = \frac{m}{\beta} \int_b^c \frac{y^{-1} dy}{\sqrt{-2U_{K,Q_A,Q_C}(y)}}.
\]  

(22)

which is related to the difference between \( r_A \) and \( r_B \) and the consequent current of \( C \) particles. In appendix A, we provide an analytic expression for (20)–(22) using elliptic integrals.

In the following section, we will analyse the phase diagram which arises from the solution above. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of two nonequal densities, \( r_A = r_B \neq r_C \), which yields the same qualitative behaviour as the more general case of three nonequal densities. For \( r_A = r_B \neq r_C \), we find that \( Q_C = J_C/\beta = 0 \), resulting from the symmetry of exchanging \( A \) and \( B \) species. This simplifies the form of the effective potential (18) and leaves us with only two constraints, (20) and (21), which take the form of

\[
\Theta = 2\beta/m = 2\pi K (1/k) /k,
\]  

(23)

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_+} = \frac{1}{3} + 2\Delta = \frac{1}{\alpha_-} \left[ 2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_-}{\beta k/m} \Pi(\alpha_-^2/\alpha_+^2 k^2, 1/k) - 1 \right].
\]  

(24)

Here \( \alpha_\pm, \pi \) and \( k \) are functions of \( K, Q_A \) given in appendix A and \( K(k) \) and \( \Pi(n, k) \) denote the complete elliptic integral of the first and third kind, respectively, whose definition is found in appendix A as well. The profile of the \( C \) particles is expressed by inverting the equation \( x = \int_0^1 dx' = \int_b^c \frac{dy}{\sqrt{-2U(y)}} \) as

\[
\rho_C(x) = \frac{1 + \text{sn}(2\beta x/\pi, k)}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_- \text{sn}(2\beta x/\pi, k)}.
\]  

(25)

where \( \text{sn} \) is the Jacobi elliptic function [22]. The dependence of the profile on \( m \) is hidden in the value of \( K, Q_A \) which set \( k, \pi, \alpha_\pm \). The resulting \( m = 1 \) profile for a specific value of \( \beta \) is shown in figure 3. In the following section, we study the behaviour of this solution and the
resulting phase diagram. We also examine its behaviour at low temperature \((1 \ll \beta \ll L)\) in appendix B and find that it conforms with our physical understanding of the model.

It is interesting to note that the hydrodynamic equations of the ABC model \((6)\) can be solved by considering moving steady-state solutions of the form \(\rho_{\alpha}(x, \tau) = \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha}(x + v\tau)\). However, such solutions did not appear in the numerical relaxation of \((6)\) as well as in its critical expansion around the homogeneous phase [11]. In Monte Carlo simulations, we have observed an average drift of the ordered phase that vanishes with the size of the system. A similar observation has recently been published in [23]. This may mean, although it remains to be proven, that moving solutions are unstable stationary solutions of the ABC dynamics. We therefore restricted our derivation to the case of \(v = 0\).

4. Phase diagram for nonequal densities

In this section, we examine the behaviour of \(K, Q_A\) as we change the values of \(\beta\) and \(r_{\alpha}\) for the case of two nonequal densities. Their values are obtained by inverting the integral conditions given in \((20)\) and \((21)\), which are written in an explicit form in \((23)\) and \((24)\).

For all values of \(\beta\), the hydrodynamic equations \((6)\) have a stationary solution of the form \(\rho_{\alpha}(x) = r_{\alpha}\), which corresponds to the homogeneous values of \(K = K_h\) and \(Q_{\alpha} = Q_{h,\alpha}\) given in \((19)\). As discussed in section 2, this solution becomes unstable below the critical line, \(\beta > \beta_c = 2\pi\sqrt{3}/\sqrt{1 - 36\Delta^2}\). In this regime, we expect to find an ordered solution. Figure 4 displays \(T = 1/\beta\) computed according to \((23)\) where \(Q_A\) is set for a given value of \(K\) through \((24)\). For small values of \(\Delta\), in figure 4(a), we find a second-order transition at \(T = 1/\beta_c\) between the homogeneous phase and the \(m = 1\) ordered phase where \(K < K_h\). This behaviour persists up to the tricritical point \((9)\), which in the case of two nonequal densities takes the simpler form of \(\Delta = 1/(3 + 3\sqrt{3}) \simeq 0.122\). In figure 4(b), we see that beyond the tricritical point, the \(m = 1\) ordered phase appears also at \(T > 1/\beta_c\). This is because the relation between \(K\) and \(\beta\) under a fixed value of \(\Delta\) is non-monotonic. As a result, the model is expected to undergo a first-order transition between the two phases at a value of \(\beta\) between the two stability limits. The discontinuity in \(K\) at the transition implies that this is a transition from a homogeneous state to an ordered state with a finite amplitude of modulation.
Figure 4. The temperature, $T = 1/\beta = 2/m_0(K, Q_\lambda)$, computed as a function of $K$ for $m = 1, 2, 3$ for two nonequal densities, $r_A = r_B \neq r_C$. $Q_\lambda(K, \Delta)$ is set by (24). The dashed line denotes the critical point $\beta = 2\pi \sqrt{3/\sqrt{1-36\Delta^2}}$. (a) and (b) are calculated for $\Delta = 0.12$ and $\Delta = 0.14$, respectively, depicting the case where $\Delta$ is below and above the tricritical point.

In order to compute the first-order transition point, one has to know the full large deviation function (LDF) of the ABC model, which is not known. We may still draw the stability limits of the two phases defined by the critical temperature and the minimum of $K(\beta)$ in the ordered phase. The resulting stability lines are shown in figure 1 for the case of two nonequal densities.

In figure 5, we examine the first-order transition using Monte Carlo simulations. The algorithm for the simulation is straightforward. At each step, a site is selected at random and an exchange step is attempted where the particle in the chosen site may be exchanged with its neighbour to the right with probability given by (1). We measured the parameter $\langle \rho_A \rho_B \rho_C \rangle$ and compared it to that obtained from the hydrodynamic solution by integrating (14) over $x$.

In the simulation, it was measured by counting the number of $ABC$ triplets in the lattice after each $L$ exchange attempt and averaging the result over many such time steps. In figure 5, we plot the simulation results for different values of temperatures around the first-order transition and for various system lengths. For each value of $T$, the simulation is initiated in the fully ordered phase and runs for a time period which was sufficient to observe transitions between the two phases. The number of $ABC$ triplets is averaged over the entire second half of the simulation where the system is unaffected by its initial state. The figure displays a first-order phase transition, smoothened by finite-size effects. The transition occurs below the critical point as suggested by our analysis. Near the transition point, we observe slow fluctuations of the system between the two phases, as depicted in figure 6. This implies that figure 5 might contain some errors near the transition point due to insufficient sampling time. We do not expect the transition point in the $L \to \infty$ limit to obey Maxwell’s construction since the horizontal axis is not the conjugate variable of $T$. The latter can only be derived from full LDF of the model. Figure 5 also displays a good agreement with the theoretical values for
Figure 5. The density of ABC triplets as measured in simulation as a function of $T = 1/\beta$ in comparison with the theoretical values (solid line). The simulation was performed for the case of two nonequal densities with $\Delta = 0.14 > \Delta_{TCP} \simeq 0.122$ and various values of $L$. The dashed line denotes the value of $\beta = 19$ for which the time evolution of $\langle \rho_A \rho_B \rho_C \rangle$ is plotted in figure 6.

Figure 6. The density of ABC triplets as a function of time given by the number of Monte Carlo sweeps for $L = 4800$, $\Delta = 0.14$ and $\beta = 19$. The upper and lower dashed lines denote the theoretical values of the homogeneous and ordered phases, respectively. The dotted line denotes the unstable ordered solution which, as expected, does not appear in the simulation.

$\langle \rho_A \rho_B \rho_C \rangle$ above and below the transition point, which confirms the validity of the mean-field approximation (5).

Figure 6 depicts the fluctuations of the system between the ordered and disordered phases for $L = 4800$ at a temperature close to the first-order transition point. The figure shows significant and long-lived fluctuations around the ordered phase. A thorough investigation of their nature showed that they are not related to any known meta-stable state of the model and that they decay as the size of the system is increased. We avoid, however, using larger systems since they would require much longer simulation time to display transitions between the two phases.

In figure 4, we find that for $1/2 \beta_c < T < 1/\beta_c$, $m = 1$ is the only stable solution, whereas the $m > 1$ profiles are unstable. At lower temperatures, the latter become stationary states and may theoretically be the ground state of the model. In the case of equal densities, this
possibility has been ruled out by showing that the $m = 1$ profile has the lowest free energy for all $T < T_c$ [17]. For nonequal densities, a similar analysis would require the knowledge of the full LDF of the ABC model. Here, however, the fact that $m = 1$ is the ground state of the model can be argued by noting that the $m$th solution corresponds to an ordered state with particles segregated into $3m$ domains. Since lower temperatures (stronger drive) favour segregation, it is natural to assume that the most segregated state, $m = 1$, remains stable for $T < 1/2\beta_c$. We therefore consider it to be the steady-state solution of the model throughout the ordered phase. This argument is supported by Monte Carlo simulations of the model, where only the $m = 1$ profile was observed below the transition point.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have derived an exact expression for the steady-state density profile of the ABC model on a ring. The derivation is based on a hydrodynamic description of the model which has been suggested to be exact in the thermodynamic limit [17]. The solution is valid for the case where the average densities of each species are not equal and the model is thus out of equilibrium. Using this solution, we have studied the first-order phase transition whose existence was suggested by Clincy et al. The transition is of first order since there is a finite range of temperatures where the model has two stable phases. The transition point is located where the large deviation function of the two phases is equal. However, since this function has not yet been found, we can only draw the stability limits of the two phases which define the coexistence region. Monte Carlo simulation of a specific point in parameter space confirmed that the first-ordered phase transition occurs within the coexistence region, above the previously known critical temperature. The simulations also yielded good agreement with the hydrodynamic solution in regions where only one phase is stable.

This study opens the door for future studies of the ordered phase in the ABC model with arbitrary values of average densities. We plan to employ the solution obtained here in order to study the inequivalence of ensembles in the nonconserving ABC model with nonequal densities [15] and compare the results with those previously obtained for the case of equal densities [13, 14].
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Appendix A. Analytic expression of the mean-field solution

In this appendix, we obtain an analytic expression for the relation between the parameters of the effective potential $K$, $Q_A$, $Q_C$ and the parameters of the model, $\beta$, $r_a$. We derive the explicit expression for the general case and then present its simpler form for the case of two nonequal densities, $r_a = r_B \neq r_C$, mostly used in this study.

A.1. Three nonequal densities

In section 3, we mapped the mean-field dynamics of the ABC model to the motion of a particle in a quartic potential,

$$U_{K,Q_A,Q_C}(y) = -\frac{1}{8}y^2(1-y)^2 + \frac{2K + Q_C}{4}y - \frac{2Q_A + Q_C}{4}y^2 - \frac{Q_C^2}{8}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)
The parameters of the potential $K, Q_A, Q_C$, are linked to the parameters of the model, $\beta$ and $r_a$, through three conditions (20)–(22) which involve integration over the trajectory of the particle.

We begin with (20) which can be expressed in terms of the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind defined here in the Jacobi form [22],

$$F(x, k) = \int_0^x \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}. \quad (A.2)$$

Following a similar derivation as in [17], we introduce a Möbius transformation that maps the roots of the potential,

$$U_{K, Q_A, Q_C}(y) = 0, \quad \forall y \in \{a, b, c, d\}, \quad (A.3)$$

onto the poles of the integrand in (A.2),

$$\{a, b, c, d\} \mapsto \{-1, -1/k, 1/k, 1\}. \quad (A.4)$$

The transformation is given by

$$z = f(y) = \frac{d - a \alpha_+ y - 1}{d + a \alpha_- (\alpha_+ - \frac{\alpha_+}{\frac{d}{a} + \frac{2\alpha_+}{k}}) y + \{1 + \frac{2\alpha_+}{\alpha_+ + \frac{\alpha_+}{\frac{d}{a} + \frac{2\alpha_+}{k}}\}} \equiv Ay + B \quad (A.5)$$

where

$$\alpha_\pm = \frac{\pm(bc - ad) + \sqrt{(a-b)(a-c)(b-d)(c-d)}}{bc(a + d) - ad(b + c)}, \quad (A.6)$$

and

$$k = \frac{1 + \alpha_+(b - a - a\frac{2\alpha_+}{\frac{d}{a} + \frac{2\alpha_+}{k}})}{1 - \alpha_+(b - a - a\frac{2\alpha_+}{\frac{d}{a} + \frac{2\alpha_+}{k}})}. \quad (A.7)$$

The parameters $\alpha_-\alpha_+, A, B, C, D$ and $k$ are functions of $K, Q_A, Q_C$ through $a, b, c$ and $d$. Let $t(y)$ be the time it takes the particle to move from $b$ to $y$. Using the transformation above, it may be expressed as

$$t = 2 \int_a^y \frac{dy}{\sqrt{-2U(y)}} = \kappa \int_{-1/k}^{f(y)} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}$$

$$= \kappa [F(1/k, k) + F(f(y), k)], \quad (A.8)$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{2\sqrt{C^2 - A^2}(C^2 - k^2A^2)}{AD - BC}. \quad (A.9)$$

Equation (20), whereby the period of oscillation obeys $\Theta = 2\beta/m$, can be expressed by setting $f(y) = 1/k$ in (A.8). For that end we first note that the integral in this equation can be brought to a simpler form in the new coordinates $w = kz$,

$$F(1/k, k) = \int_0^{1/k} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{dw}{\sqrt{(1 - w^2/k^2)(1 - w^2)}} = \frac{1}{k} K(1/k), \quad (A.10)$$

where $K(1/k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. Using this form, the condition of $\Theta = 2\beta/m$ can be written as

$$\frac{2\kappa}{k} K(1/k) = \beta. \quad (A.11)$$
The second condition (21) can be written in the form of

\[
\frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^\beta y_m(t) \, dt = \frac{m}{\beta} \int_0^c \frac{y \, dy}{\sqrt{2U(y)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{mx}{\beta} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{-B + Dz}{A - Cz} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{mx}{\beta} \int_0^1 \frac{2}{AC} \frac{AD - BC}{1 - Cz^2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{2mxD}{\beta C} \left[ \left(1 - BC/AD\right) \Pi \left( \frac{C^2}{A^2}, \frac{1}{k} \right) - F \left( \frac{1}{k}, k \right) \right].
\] (A.12)

Here \( \Pi(n, x, m) \) is the incomplete elliptic integral of the third kind [22] defined as

\[
\Pi(n, x, k) = \int_0^x \frac{dz}{(1 - nz^2)\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}.
\] (A.13)

The third integral condition (22) is given by replacing \( A \) with \( B \) and \( C \) with \( D \) in (A.12),

\[
\frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^\beta y_m^{-1}(t) \, dt = \frac{m}{\beta} \int_0^c \frac{y^{-1} \, dy}{\sqrt{2U(y)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{mx}{\beta} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{-A + Cz}{B - Dz} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{2mxC}{\beta D} \left[ \left(1 - AD/BC\right) \Pi \left( \frac{D^2}{B^2}, \frac{1}{k} \right) - F \left( \frac{1}{k}, k \right) \right].
\] (A.14)

As in (A.10), these results can be written in terms of a complete elliptic integral using the transformation \( w = kz \),

\[
\Pi(n, 1/k, k) = \int_0^{1/k} \frac{dz}{(1 - nz^2)\sqrt{(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2)}}
\]

\[
= \int_0^{1} \frac{dw/k}{(1 - zw^2/k^2)\sqrt{(1 - w^2)(1 - w^2)\sqrt{(1 - w^2)}}} = \frac{1}{k} \Pi \left( \frac{n}{k^2}, \frac{1}{k} \right).
\] (A.15)

Here \( \Pi(n, k) \) is the complete elliptic integral of the third kind. The two integral conditions (21) and (22) are thus given by

\[
\frac{D}{C} \left[ 2 \frac{1 - BC/AD}{\beta k/mx} \Pi \left( \frac{C^2}{k^2A^2}, \frac{1}{k} \right) - 1 \right] = r_C,
\]

\[
\frac{C}{D} \left[ 2 \frac{1 - AD/BC}{\beta k/mx} \Pi \left( \frac{D^2}{k^2B^2}, \frac{1}{k} \right) - 1 \right] = r_B - r_A.
\] (A.17)

In order to obtain \( K(\beta, r_a), Q_A(\beta, r_a) \), and \( Q_C(\beta, r_a) \), one has to invert (A.11), (A.16) and (A.17). Once this is done, the profile can be computed by inverting (A.8). The result may be expressed using the Jacobi elliptic function, \( sn(x, k) \), defined by the equation \( F \left( sn(x, k), k \right) = x \). The profile of \( C \) particles is then given up to translations of \( x \) by

\[
\rho_C(x) = \frac{-B + Dsn \left( 2\beta x / \kappa, k \right)}{A - Csn \left( 2\beta x / \kappa, k \right)}.\]

(A.18)

Note that the dependence on \( m \) is hidden in \( k, \kappa, \alpha_k \). The two other profiles, \( \rho_A(x) \) and \( \rho_B(x) \), are obtained from (15) and \( \rho_A(x) + \rho_B(x) = 1 - \rho_C(x) \).
A.2. Two nonequal densities

For convenience we write explicitly the solution for the case of two nonequal densities, which is studied extensively in this paper. The form of the solution in this case is very similar to that obtained for equal densities in [17].

When \( r_A = r_B \neq r_C \), it easy to see from symmetry that \( Q_C = J_C / \beta = 0 \). As a result, our solution depends only on two parameters \( K, Q_A \) which are set by \( \beta, \Delta \). The latter is defined by

\[
\frac{1}{\kappa_1} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta},
\]

(A.19)

Note that this form is identical to the one defined in the case of equal densities [17].

We now express using elliptic integrals the two conditions that determine mapping between \( K, Q_A \) and \( \beta, \Delta \). The first condition is identical to (A.11),

\[
2\pi K (1/k) / k = \beta,
\]

(A.24)

where \( \kappa \) takes the simpler form of

\[
\kappa = \frac{2(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_+ b)(1 - \alpha_+ c)(1 - \alpha_+ d)}}.
\]

(A.25)

The second condition (21) is given in this case as

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_+} \left[ 2 - \frac{1 + \alpha_- / \alpha_+}{\beta k / \kappa m} \prod \left( \frac{\alpha_+^2 / \kappa_m^2}{\alpha_+^2 / \kappa_m^2} \right) - 1 \right] = r_C = \frac{1}{3} + 2\Delta.
\]

(A.26)

The functions \( K(\beta, \Delta) \) and \( Q_A(\beta, \Delta) \) are obtained by inverting (A.24) and (A.26). As in the previous section, we use the result to express the profile of the \( C \) particles,

\[
\rho_C(x) = \frac{1 + \text{sn} (2\beta x / \kappa, k)}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_- \text{sn} (2\beta x / \kappa, k)}.
\]

(A.27)

The two other profiles, \( \rho_A(x) \) and \( \rho_B(x) \), are again obtained from (15) and \( \rho_A(x) + \rho_B(x) = 1 - \rho_C(x) \).
Appendix B. Asymptotic behaviour at low temperatures

In this section, we study the behaviour of the hydrodynamic solution of the model at low temperature ($L \to \infty, 1 \ll \beta \ll L$) for the case of two nonequal densities, $r_A = r_B \neq r_C$. This form will be especially useful in future studies of a generalized ABC model with nonconserving dynamics [15]. The $T = 0$ limit corresponds to $K = Q_A = 0$. Inserting this into (A.22), (A.23) and (A.25), we obtain

$$k = 1, \quad \alpha_\pm = 1, \quad \kappa = 4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.1)

For $k = 1$, the elliptic integral in (A.24) diverges, corresponding to the limit of $\beta \to \infty$. The elliptic integral in (A.26) diverges as well, maintaining a finite value of $r_C$.

To study the low-temperatures behaviour, we assume that $K(\beta, \Delta)$ and $Q_A(\beta, \Delta)$ vanish for exponentially to leading order as

$$K \sim e^{-\beta/3}, \quad Q_A \sim e^{-\beta/3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.2)

In order to find the coefficients $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, we first examine the behaviour of (A.24). Here we analyse only the behaviour of the $m = 1$ solution, which is considered to be the ground state of the model (see section 4). We expand $\kappa$ and $k$ around their value at $T = 0$ as

$$\kappa = 4 + \kappa_1(K, Q_A), \quad k = 1 + k_1(K, Q_A),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.3)

where $\kappa_1$ and $k_1$ are functions whose form is not written explicitly in order to avoid lengthy expressions. They obey $0 < \kappa_1 \ll 1$ and $0 < k_1 \ll 1$. Expanding (A.24) to leading order in these functions [24], we find that

$$\ln(k_1) = -\beta/4 + O(1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.4)

The condition coming from the average density (A.26) involves an additional function,

$$\alpha_-/\alpha_+ = 1 - a_1(K, Q_A),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.5)

which can be shown to obey $k_1 \ll a_1 \ll 1$. Expanding (A.26) in $a_1$ and $k_1$ to leading order [24], we obtain that

$$\ln(k_1) - 3 \ln(a_1) = 12\Delta \ln(a_1) + O(1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.6)

Solving (B.4) and (B.6) to lowest order in $K$ and $Q_A$ yields

$$K \sim e^{-\beta(1/3 - \Delta)}, \quad Q_A \sim \begin{cases} e^{-\beta(1/3 - \Delta)} & \Delta \geq 0 \\ e^{-\beta(1/3 + 2\Delta)} & \Delta < 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.7)

which agrees with the form of $K \sim e^{-\beta/3}$ found in the case of equal densities [19].

In order to interpret this result, we observe the behaviour of (14) whereby

$$\rho_A(x)\rho_B(x)\rho_C(x) = K - Q_A \rho_C(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.8)

and hence

$$\int_0^1 dx \rho_A(x)\rho_B(x)\rho_C(x) \sim e^{-\beta \min(\frac{1}{3} - \Delta, \frac{1}{3} + 2\Delta)} = q_{\min(N_A, N_B, N_C)}^{\min(N_A, N_B, N_C)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.9)

In the limit of $\beta \to \infty$, the number of triplets of $ABC$ is governed by the probability of an event where in the fully separated state two particles of different species meet in the domain of the third species. This probability scales as $q_{\min(N_A, N_B, N_C)}^{\min(N_A, N_B, N_C)}$, because this event occurs with the highest probability in the smallest domain.
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