Conjunctivitis in dupilumab clinical trials*
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Background Dupilumab blocks the shared receptor component for interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13. It is approved in the U.S.A. for patients aged ≥12 years with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis (AD) uncontrolled by topical prescription medicines or who cannot use topical medicines, for patients in Japan whose AD is uncontrolled with existing therapies, for patients with moderate-to-severe AD in Europe who are candidates for systemic therapy and for patients aged ≥12 years for maintenance treatment of moderate-to-severe asthma uncontrolled with their current medicines. AD trials have reported increased incidence of conjunctivitis for dupilumab vs. placebo.

Objectives To characterize further the occurrence and risk factors of conjunctivitis in dupilumab clinical trials.

Methods We evaluated randomized placebo-controlled trials of dupilumab in AD (n = 2629), asthma (n = 2876), chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) (n = 60) and eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE) (n = 47).

Results In most AD trials, dupilumab-treated patients had higher conjunctivitis incidence than placebo controls. Higher baseline AD severity and previous history of conjunctivitis were associated with increased conjunctivitis incidence. Conjunctivitis was mostly mild to moderate. Most cases recovered or resolved during the treatment period; two patients permanently discontinued dupilumab due to conjunctivitis or keratitis. Common treatments included ophthalmic corticosteroids, antibiotics, and antihistamines or mast cell stabilizers. Most cases were diagnosed by the investigators. In asthma and CRSwNP trials, the incidence of conjunctivitis was lower for both dupilumab and placebo than in AD trials; dupilumab did not increase the incidence compared with placebo. In the EoE trial, no patients had conjunctivitis.

Conclusions Conjunctivitis was more frequent with dupilumab treatment in most AD trials. In dupilumab trials in other type 2 diseases, incidence of conjunctivitis
was overall very low, and was similar for dupilumab and placebo. In AD, the incidence of conjunctivitis was associated with AD severity and prior history of conjunctivitis. The aetiology and treatment of conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients require further study.

What’s already known about this topic?

• Ocular disorders, including allergic conjunctivitis, are common in patients with atopic dermatitis (AD).
• In most dupilumab AD trials, dupilumab-treated patients had higher conjunctivitis incidence than those receiving placebo.
• Most cases were mild to moderate and recovered or were recovering during study treatment; study treatment discontinuation due to conjunctivitis was rare.
• Conjunctivitis incidence was very low and similar for dupilumab and placebo in clinical trials in asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and eosinophilic oesophagitis.

What does this study add?

• This analysis confirms and extends the results of the individual clinical trials.
• Baseline disease-related factors, including AD severity, prior conjunctivitis history and certain biomarkers (thymus and activation-regulated chemokine, IgE, eosinophils), were associated with increased incidence of conjunctivitis.
• Patients who responded well to dupilumab had reduced incidence of conjunctivitis.
• Further study is needed to elucidate the aetiology and treatment of conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients with AD.

Ocular surface disorders, such as allergic conjunctivitis, blepharitis and keratitis, are well-known ophthalmic complications in patients with severe atopic dermatitis (AD), with incidence rates of 32.4–55.8%. The incidence of ophthalmic complications increases with AD severity. Allergic conjunctivitis and other ocular surface disorders are also common comorbidities of other atopic disorders such as asthma and allergic rhinitis.

Dupilumab is a fully human VelocImmune-derived monoclonal antibody blocking the shared receptor component for interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13, thus inhibiting signalling of both IL-4 and IL-13.

Dupilumab is approved for subcutaneous administration at 300 mg every 2 weeks (q2w) for patients aged ≥ 12 years in the U.S.A. with moderate-to-severe AD inadequately controlled with topical prescription therapies or when those therapies are not advisable. It is also approved for the treatment of adult patients with AD not adequately controlled with existing therapies in Japan, and for use in adults with inadequately controlled, moderate-to-severe AD who are candidates for systemic therapy in the European Union. Dupilumab is also used as an add-on maintenance treatment in patients aged ≥ 12 years with moderate-to-severe asthma and an eosinophilic phenotype or oral corticosteroid dependence.

In clinical trials, dupilumab demonstrated efficacy and safety in AD, asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) and eosinophilic oesophagitis (EoE), demonstrating that IL-4 and IL-13 are key drivers of multiple type 2 inflammatory diseases.

Ocular surface disorders, including conjunctivitis, blepharitis, keratitis, eye pruritus and dry eye, are commonly reported adverse events (AEs) in dupilumab-treated patients with AD. Conjunctivitis of all aetiologies and phenotypes with or without eyelid or corneal involvement was dominant among all ocular AEs reported in dupilumab clinical trials in AD. To characterize conjunctivitis in patients treated with dupilumab, we reviewed the results of published clinical trials, and synthesized the available data on incidence rates, disease course and associated baseline characteristics of patients who developed conjunctivitis in randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trials of dupilumab in AD, asthma, CRSwNP and EoE.

Patients and methods

Studies

Eleven randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase II and phase III clinical trials were included in this analysis. This included 2629 patients in six AD trials: R668-AD-1021 (NCT01859988), LIBERTY AD SOLO 1 (NCT02277743), LIBERTY AD SOLO 2 (NCT02277769), LIBERTY AD CHRONOS (NCT02260986), LIBERTY AD CAFÉ (NCT02755649) and LIBERTY AD SOLO-CONTINUE (NCT02395133); 2876 patients in three asthma trials: DR112544 (NCT01854047), LIBERTY ASTHMA QUEST (NCT02755649) and LIBERTY ASTHMA VENTURE (NCT02528214); 2876 patients in three asthma trials: DR112544 (NCT01854047), LIBERTY ASTHMA QUEST (NCT02755649) and LIBERTY ASTHMA VENTURE (NCT02528214); 2876 patients in three asthma trials: DR112544 (NCT01854047), LIBERTY ASTHMA QUEST (NCT02755649) and LIBERTY ASTHMA VENTURE (NCT02528214); 2876 patients in three asthma trials: DR112544 (NCT01854047), LIBERTY ASTHMA QUEST (NCT02755649) and LIBERTY ASTHMA VENTURE (NCT02528214);
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End points and assessments
Clinical trial investigators (mostly dermatologists or allergists) diagnosed and reported conjunctivitis AEs, which were subsequently coded using Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) preferred terms (PTs). Unless otherwise specified, the term ‘conjunctivitis’ refers to the group of PTs that included the term ‘conjunctivitis’, namely conjunctivitis, allergic conjunctivitis, bacterial conjunctivitis, viral conjunctivitis, adenoviral conjunctivitis and atopic keratoconjunctivitis. All cases of conjunctivitis were included regardless of aetiology (including blepharoconjunctivitis, which was coded as the MedDRA PT conjunctivitis; and excluding blepharitis in the absence of conjunctivitis).

The study protocols did not require any specific query about ocular symptoms. AEs were generally reported by patients spontaneously, in response to the query ‘did you have any problems?’, or by investigators on physical examination during clinical visits. Investigators who reported conjunctivitis of unspecified aetiology were asked for more details, and whether they could use a more specific PT. In most cases, the aetiology remained unspecified or was designated ‘allergic’ by the investigator. Investigators differed in how they categorized conjunctivitis to type, as there were no prespecified classification guidelines. Most cases reported as infectious (e.g. viral or bacterial) did not have laboratory confirmation of infectious aetiology. Most cases were not referred to ophthalmologists or other eye specialists.

The impact of baseline demographic and disease characteristics; baseline serum levels of thymus and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC; CCL17), IgE and blood eosinophil counts; and efficacy responses on conjunctivitis incidence rates were summarized for the monotherapy pool, CHRONOS and CAFÉ. We evaluated the relationship between dupilumab concentration and conjunctivitis in pooled data from SOLO 1, SOLO 2 and CHRONOS.

Statistical analytical methods
These analyses evaluated all patients who received at least one dose of study drug by treatment and dose regimen received. Incidence rates described proportions of patients with at least one conjunctivitis event, without modelling or censoring for patient withdrawal or rescue medication use. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated based on a Cox regression model (with censoring after patients’ withdrawal), to describe the relative risk for time to first conjunctivitis event, comparing the dupilumab and placebo groups. Treatment groups were fixed effects and the analysis was stratified by study and baseline disease severity [Investigator’s Global Assessment (IGA) = 3 vs. 4 for AD-1021, SOLO, CHRONOS and CAFÉ, or IGA = 0 vs. 1 vs. 2 for SOLO-CONTINUE]. Medians, percentiles and 95% CIs were obtained from Kaplan–Meier estimates.

Relationships of baseline characteristics and treatment response with conjunctivitis incidence were evaluated using descriptive statistics. Results from post hoc analyses showing annualized rate ratios by various factors were extracted from a duration-adjusted Poisson model. Relationships between dupilumab concentration and conjunctivitis incidence were evaluated by dupilumab concentration (observed C\textsubscript{\text{trough}}, predicted C\textsubscript{\text{trough}} and AUC\textsubscript{\text{C19}}) in the placebo and dupilumab groups, based on pooled data from SOLO 1, SOLO 2 and CHRONOS, for weeks 0–16.

Results
Atopic dermatitis clinical trials
Incidence of conjunctivitis
The incidence and HR of treatment-emergent conjunctivitis were higher in dupilumab-treated vs. placebo-treated patients in the monotherapy pool, CHRONOS and CAFÉ. In the monotherapy pool, incidence rates (HR) for dupilumab vs. placebo after 16 weeks of treatment were 8.6% vs. 2.1% (HR 4.13, 95% CI 2.21–7.72) (Table 1). A similar pattern was seen when adjusting for patient exposure [29.98 vs. 7.29 patients, respectively, per 100 patient-years (PY)] (Table S2; see Supporting Information). In CHRONOS, incidence rates (HR) for dupilumab + topical corticosteroids (TCS) vs. placebo + TCS over the 52-week treatment period were 17.9% vs. 7.9%, respectively (HR 2.31, 95% CI 1.47–3.63) (Table 2). When adjusting for exposure, the rates were 21.44 vs. 9.24 patients per 100 PY, respectively (Table S2; see Supporting Information). The highest conjunctivitis rates were reported in CAFÉ, with incidence rates for dupilumab + TCS vs. placebo + TCS over the 16-week treatment period of 22.1% vs. 11.1%, respectively (HR 2.06, 95% CI 1.09–3.88) (Table 3). Duration-adjusted rates were 81.13 vs. 38.94 patients per 100 PY, respectively (Table S2; see Supporting Information).

In SOLO-CONTINUE, patients who responded well to dupilumab in either SOLO 1 or SOLO 2 were randomized to 36 weeks of treatment at their original dose regimen or longer-interval regimens (every 4 weeks, q4w; or every 8 weeks, q8w) or placebo. In contrast to the other AD trials, in SOLO-CONTINUE there was no apparent difference in conjunctivitis incidence and HR between dupilumab and placebo (4.7% vs. 4.9%; HR 1.00, 95% CI 0.33–2.98; 7.25 vs. 7.45 patients per 100 PY) (Table 4 and Table S2; see Supporting Information).

In the monotherapy pool, CHRONOS and SOLO-CONTINUE, conjunctivitis incidence rates were similar across
dupilumab dose regimens within each study. In CAFÉ, incidence rates were numerically lower for dupilumab 300 mg qw than for 300 mg q2w + TCS (Table 3).

### Conjunctivitis severity, treatment and resolution

Conjunctivitis AEs were mostly mild to moderate. Severe conjunctivitis was reported in < 0.5% of dupilumab-treated (dupilumab combined) and ≤ 0.3% of placebo-treated patients (Fig. 1). One patient in the 300-mg qw group in the monotherapy pool permanently discontinued the study drug due to conjunctivitis, and one patient in the 300-mg qw + TCS group in CHRONOS permanently discontinued the study drug due to allergic keratitis (MedDRA PT; the verbatim term was ‘worsening of allergic keratoconjunctivitis’).

Most cases were resolved or resolving by the end of the treatment period (Tables S5–8). The most common treatments were ophthalmic preparations of corticosteroids, antibiotics, and antihistamines or mast cell stabilizers. The proportion of patients who required continued or intermittent ongoing therapy for conjunctivitis could not be determined with the available data.

### Onset of conjunctivitis

Numerical differences between placebo and dupilumab in new conjunctivitis events were apparent after week 2 in the monotherapy pool, after about weeks 6–8 in CHRONOS and after about weeks 4–8 in CAFÉ (Fig. 2). For the monotherapy pool and CAFÉ, new conjunctivitis events appeared at a

*Table 1* Proportion of patients with at least one adverse event of conjunctivitis during the treatment period in atopic dermatitis trials, and hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dupilumab vs. placebo: monotherapy pool

| MedDRA preferred term | Patients with ≥ 1 event: n (%) and HR vs. placebo (95% CI) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Placebo (n = 517)                                     | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w (n = 519) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw (n = 518) | Dupilumab combined (n = 1047) |
| Total                 | 11 (2.1)                                               | 49 (9.3)                        | 41 (7.9)                        | 90 (8.6)                        |
| Conjunctivitis        | 3 (0.6)                                                | 443 (2.30–8.51)                 | 380 (1.95–7.40)                 | 413 (2.21–7.72)                 |
| Allergic conjunctivitis | 5 (1.0)                                            | 6.84 (2.04–22.9)               | 6.69 (1.99–22.5)               | 6.77 (2.10–21.9)               |
| Bacterial conjunctivitis | 2 (0.4)                            | 16 (3.0)                        | 12 (2.3)                        | 28 (2.7)                        |
| Viral conjunctivitis  | 1 (0.2)                                                | 3.37 (0.70–16.2)               | 3.94 (0.84–18.6)               | 3.64 (0.83–15.9)               |
| Atopic keratoconjunctivitis | 0 (0.0)                           | 2.96 × 10^2 (0.00–NC)         | 2.77 × 10^2 (0.00–NC)         | 1.70 × 10^2 (0.00–NC)         |

The HR and its 95% CI are from a Cox regression model, which includes treatment groups as fixed effects, stratified by study identifier and baseline disease severity (Investigator’s Global Assessment = 3 vs. 4). MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; NC, not calculable.

*Table 2* Proportion of patients with at least one adverse event of conjunctivitis during the treatment period in atopic dermatitis trials, and hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dupilumab vs. placebo: CHRONOS

| MedDRA preferred term | Patients with ≥ 1 event: n (%) and HR vs. placebo (95% CI) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Placebo + TCS (n = 315)                                 | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w + TCS (n = 110) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw + TCS (n = 315) | Dupilumab + TCS combined (n = 425) |
| Total                 | 25 (7.9)                                               | 15 (13.6)                        | 61 (19.4)                        | 76 (17.9)                        |
| Allergic conjunctivitis | 15 (4.8)                                      | 1.76 (0.93–3.33)                 | 2.51 (1.57–3.99)                 | 2.31 (1.47–3.63)                 |
| Bacterial conjunctivitis | 5 (1.6)                                      | 12 (10.9)                        | 47 (14.9)                        | 59 (13.9)                        |
| Conjunctivitis        | 5 (1.6)                                                | 2.33 (1.09–4.98)                 | 3.16 (1.77–5.66)                 | 2.95 (1.67–5.20)                 |
| Atopic keratoconjunctivitis | 5 (1.6)                                  | 1 (0.8)                          | 9 (2.9)                          | 11 (2.6)                         |
|                       | 0 (0.3)                                                | 0.56 (0.07–4.79)                 | 1.56 (0.51–4.76)                 | 1.30 (0.43–3.87)                 |
|                       | 0 (0.0–NC)                                            | 2 (0.6)                          | 2 (0.5)                          | 1.42 (0.13–15.7)                 |

The HR and its 95% CI are from a Cox regression model, which includes treatment groups as fixed effects, stratified by baseline disease severity (Investigator’s Global Assessment = 3 vs. 4). MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; NC, not calculable; TCS, topical corticosteroids.
Table 3 Proportion of patients with at least one adverse event of conjunctivitis during the treatment period in atopic dermatitis trials, and hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dupilumab vs. placebo: CAFÉ.

| MedDRA preferred term | Placebo + TCS (n = 108) | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w + TCS (n = 107) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw + TCS (n = 110) | Dupilumab + TCS combined (n = 217) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total                 | 12 (11:1)               | 18 (16:4)                            | 48 (22:1)                           |
| Allergic conjunctivitis | 7 (6:5)               | 2.69 (1:38–5:26)                     | 1.47 (0:71–3:06)                    | 2.06 (1:09–3:88)                   |
| Conjunctivitis         | 3 (2:8)                 | 2.34 (0:96–5:68)                     | 1.40 (0:53–3:68)                    | 1.87 (0:81–4:30)                   |
| Bacterial conjunctivitis | 2 (1:9)               | 4:28 (1:21–15:2)                     | 2.70 (0:71–10:2)                    | 3.44 (1:02–11:56)                  |
| Viral conjunctivitis   | 1 (0:9)                 | 0.50 (0:05–5:57)                     | 0 (0–NC)                            | 0.25 (0:02–2:77)                   |
| Adenoviral conjunctivitis | 0                    | 0.99 (0:06–15:8)                     | 0 (0–NC)                            | 0.49 (0:03–7:88)                   |

The HR and its 95% CI are from a Cox regression model, which includes treatment groups as fixed effects, stratified by baseline disease severity (Investigator’s Global Assessment = 3 vs. 4). MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; NC, not calculable; TCS, topical corticosteroids.

Table 4 Proportion of patients with at least one adverse event of conjunctivitis during the treatment period in atopic dermatitis trials, and hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dupilumab vs. placebo: SOLO-CONTINUE

| MedDRA preferred term | Placebo (n = 82) | Dupilumab 300 mg q8w (n = 84) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw or q2w (n = 167) | Dupilumab combined (n = 338) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total                 | 4 (4:9)         | 4 (4:6)                       | 9 (5:4)                              | 16 (4:7)                      |
| Conjunctivitis        | 2 (2:4)         | 2 (2:3)                       | 6 (3:6)                              | 10 (3:0)                      |
| Allergic conjunctivitis | 1 (1:2)       | 0:99 (0:14–7:10)              | 0:51 (0:30–7:46)                     | 0:24 (0:27–5:65)              |
| Bacterial conjunctivitis | 1 (1:2)      | 2:05 (0:19–22:7)              | 1:02 (0:09–11:2)                     | 1:26 (0:15–10:8)              |

The HR and its 95% CI are from a Cox regression model, which includes treatment groups as fixed effects, stratified by baseline disease severity (Investigator’s Global Assessment = 3 vs. 4). MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; q8w, every 8 weeks; q4w, every 4 weeks; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; NC, not calculable.

Relatively constant rate throughout the 16-week studies (Fig. 2a,c). In CHRONOS, new cases appeared to level off around weeks 20–24 and were relatively uncommon after week 44 (Fig. 2b). The mean time to the first event was similar between dupilumab dose regimens in these studies (Fig. 2).

Baseline characteristics of patients with and without conjunctivitis

Patients who reported conjunctivitis during the treatment period had more severe AD at baseline than patients who did not report conjunctivitis, regardless of assigned treatment group (dupilumab or placebo) (Fig. 3 and Table S3; see Supporting Information). In addition, prior history of conjunctivitis (Fig. 4 and Table S3), as well as higher baseline levels of the serum biomarkers TARC and IgE and higher baseline circulating eosinophil counts (all suggestive of higher disease severity), were associated with conjunctivitis in both dupilumab- and placebo-treated patients (Figs S1 and S2 and Table S3).

Conjunctivitis and response to dupilumab

The relationship between treatment response and conjunctivitis incidence varied between treatment groups and studies. Patients who achieved high-level efficacy outcomes [IGA 0/1 or ≥ 75% improvement from baseline Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI 75)] in the monotherapy pool were less likely to develop conjunctivitis than those who did not achieve those outcomes. In CHRONOS and CAFÉ, this pattern was apparent only in the dupilumab q2w and placebo groups (Figs S1 and S2; see Supporting Information).
Dupilumab exposure and conjunctivitis

Pooled data from baseline to week 16 in SOLO 1 and 2 and CHRONOS showed a trend suggesting that conjunctivitis incidence may decrease with higher trough concentrations of dupilumab (Table S4; see Supporting Information).

Asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and eosinophilic oesophagitis

In all asthma trials, incidence rates of conjunctivitis were low, with no apparent differences between dupilumab- and placebo-treated patients (Tables 9–11). In DRI12544, seven of 611 (1.1%) dupilumab-treated and two of 158 (1.3%) placebo-treated patients developed conjunctivitis. In QUEST, 22 of 1263 (1.7%) dupilumab-treated and 15 of 634 (2.4%) placebo-treated patients developed conjunctivitis. In VENTURE, only one of 103 (1.0%) dupilumab-treated and one of 107 (0.9%) placebo-treated patients developed conjunctivitis (Tables 9–11).

In the CRSwNP trial, no dupilumab-treated patients and one of 30 (3%) placebo-treated patients developed conjunctivitis (Table 12). In the EoE trial, no patients developed conjunctivitis.

In all of these trials, all cases were mild or moderate (Fig. S5; see Supporting Information), and nearly all patients recovered by the end of the treatment period (Table S5).

Discussion

This analysis confirmed that patients with AD treated with dupilumab had a greater incidence of conjunctivitis (8.6–22.1%) than placebo-treated patients (2.1–11.1%) in most of the randomized, placebo-controlled AD clinical trials included...
in this analysis, except for SOLO-CONTINUE. This is consistent with the higher rates of external eye disorders, including conjunctivitis, blepharitis, keratitis, eye pruritus and dry eye, described for patients with AD in the dupilumab prescribing information. Patients with AD were more likely to develop conjunctivitis if they had higher baseline AD

Table 5 Resolution of conjunctivitis events during the treatment period: monotherapy pool

| Event                                      | Placebo qw (n = 517) | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w (n = 529) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw (n = 518) | Dupilumab combined (n = 1047) |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Overall number of conjunctivitis events    | 12                   | 57                              | 46                            | 103                             |
| Recovered/resolved                         | 11 (92, 62–100)      | 35 (61, 48–74)                  | 32 (70, 54–82)                | 67 (65, 55–74)                  |
| Not recovered/resolved                     | 1 (8, 0–38)          | 14 (25, 14–38)                  | 6 (13, 5–26)                  | 20 (19, 12–28)                  |
| Recovered/resolved with sequelae           | 0                    | 0                               | 1 (2, 0–12)                   | 1 (1, 0–5)                      |
| Recovering/resolving                       | 0                    | 7 (12, 5–24)                    | 6 (13, 5–26)                  | 13 (13, 7–21)                   |
| Unknown                                    | 0                    | 1 (2, 0–9)                      | 1 (2, 0–12)                   | 2 (2, 0–7)                      |

Data are resolution of events, presented as a [%, 95% confidence interval (CI)]. CIs are generated from the exact or Clopper–Pearson method. qw, every week; q2w, every 2 weeks.

Table 6 Resolution of conjunctivitis events during the treatment period: CHRONOS

| Event                                      | Placebo + TCS (n = 315) | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w + TCS (n = 110) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw + TCS (n = 315) | Dupilumab + TCS combined (n = 425) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Overall number of conjunctivitis events    | 29                      | 23                                  | 91                                  | 114                                 |
| Recovered/resolved                         | 27 (93, 77–99)           | 18 (78, 56–93)                      | 81 (89, 81–95)                      | 99 (87, 79–92)                      |
| Not recovered/resolved                     | 1 (3, 0–18)              | 3 (13, 3–34)                        | 7 (8, 3–15)                        | 10 (9, 4–16)                        |
| Recovered/resolved with sequelae           | 0                       | 0                                   | 1 (1, 0–6)                         | 1 (1, 0–5)                          |
| Recovering/resolving                       | 1 (3, 0–18)              | 2 (9, 1–28)                         | 2 (2, 0–8)                         | 4 (4, 1–9)                          |

Data are resolution of events, presented as a [%, 95% confidence interval (CI)]. CIs are generated from the exact or Clopper–Pearson method. TCS, topical corticosteroids; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week.

Table 7 Resolution of conjunctivitis events during the treatment period: CAF

| Event                                      | Placebo + TCS (n = 108) | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w + TCS (n = 107) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw + TCS (n = 110) | Dupilumab + TCS combined (n = 217) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Overall number of conjunctivitis events    | 15                      | 37                                  | 19                                  | 56                                  |
| Recovered/resolved                         | 11 (73, 45–92)           | 14 (38, 22–55)                      | 15 (79, 54–94)                      | 29 (52, 38–65)                      |
| Not recovered/resolved                     | 2 (13, 2–40)             | 13 (35, 20–53)                      | 2 (11, 1–33)                       | 15 (27, 16–40)                      |
| Recovered/resolved with sequelae           | 1 (7, 0–32)              | 1 (3, 0–14)                         | 0                                   | 1 (2, 0–10)                         |
| Recovering/resolving                       | 1 (7, 0–32)              | 8 (22, 10–38)                       | 2 (11, 1–33)                       | 10 (18, 9–30)                       |
| Unknown                                    | 0                       | 1 (3, 0–14)                         | 0                                   | 1 (2, 0–10)                         |

Data are resolution of events, presented as a [%, 95% confidence interval (CI)]. CIs are generated from the exact or Clopper–Pearson method. TCS, topical corticosteroids; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week.

Table 8 Resolution of conjunctivitis events during the treatment period: SOLO-CONTINUE

| Event                                      | Placebo (n = 82) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw (n = 84) | Dupilumab 300 mg q4w (n = 87) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw or q2w (n = 167) | Dupilumab combined (n = 318) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Overall number of conjunctivitis events    | 4 (100)         | 4 (80, 28–99)               | 2 (50, 7–93)                  | 9 (82, 48–98)                      | 15 (75, 51–91)              |
| Recovered/resolved                         | 4               | 4 (80, 28–99)               | 2 (50, 7–93)                  | 9 (82, 48–98)                      | 15 (75, 51–91)              |
| Not recovered/resolved                     | 1 (20, 1–72)    | 2 (50, 7–93)                | 1 (9, 0–41)                   | 4 (20, 6–44)                       |                             |
| Recovered/resolved with sequelae           | 0               | 0                           | 0                             | 0                                  |                             |
| Recovering/resolving                       | 0               | 0                           | 1 (9, 0–41)                   | 1 (5, 0–25)                        |                             |

Data are resolution of events, presented as a [%, 95% confidence interval (CI)]. CIs are generated from the exact or Clopper–Pearson method. qw, every 8 weeks; q4w, every 4 weeks; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week.

© 2019 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists.
severity, high levels of certain biomarkers or self-reported history of conjunctivitis. By contrast, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials of dupilumab in asthma, CRSwNP and EoE had low and similar incidence rates of conjunctivitis in dupilumab- (0–1–7%) and placebo-treated (0–3–3%) patients.28–33 In all trials, most cases of conjunctivitis were

Fig 2. Time to first conjunctivitis event: (a) monotherapy pool, (b) CHRONOS and (c) CAFÉ. q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; TCS, topical corticosteroids. Symbols represent patients who discontinued study treatment.
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Levels of certain biomarkers (TARC, IgE and eosinophils) increase with AD severity,\textsuperscript{12,37,38} thus, it is not surprising that both baseline AD severity and increased biomarker levels were associated with increased conjunctivitis incidence in these studies. AD severity has been associated with increased incidence of conjunctivitis,\textsuperscript{3,4} and IgE levels are increased in patients with both AD and ocular complications.\textsuperscript{1,4}

High-level efficacy responses (IGA 0/1, EASI 75) showed an apparent association with lower conjunctivitis incidence in dupilumab-treated patients in the monotherapy pool; however, this trend was inconsistent or reversed in CHRONOS and CAFÉ. Fewer patients with severe AD at baseline achieved IGA 0/1 and EASI 75. Increased disease severity is associated with higher incidence of comorbidities and higher AD biomarkers, so these predictors may be interrelated.

The pharmacokinetic data suggested, somewhat paradoxically, that lower dupilumab exposure might be associated with mild or moderate, and most resolved while continuing dupilumab treatment.

Multiple factors (disease based and treatment based) are associated with incidence of conjunctivitis in these studies. Baseline AD severity and prior conjunctivitis history are most likely independent risk factors for conjunctivitis irrespectively of treatment, as incidence increases with baseline severity and prior history in placebo-treated patients (as well as in dupilumab-treated patients). CAFÉ, which had both the highest baseline level of AD severity and the highest rates of prior conjunctivitis history among all the AD trials, also had the highest rates of conjunctivitis. CAFÉ was a later trial in this series, in which there might have been higher awareness of conjunctivitis as a potential AE. In contrast, conjunctivitis rates were lowest in SOLO-CONTINUE, in which high-level responders to dupilumab from SOLO 1 and SOLO 2 were rerandomized to continued dupilumab treatment or placebo.

Fig 3. Baseline characteristics and annualized events rate for patients’ first events of conjunctivitis (event rate per 100 patient-years; PY) for baseline Investigator’s Global Assessment (IGA) = 3 or 4. (a) Monotherapy pool, (b) CHRONOS and (c) CAFÉ. Rates were estimated from Poisson regression with treatment as fixed factors. Log values of duration of treatment were used as offset variables. RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval; q2w, every 2 weeks; qw, every week; TCS, topical corticosteroids.

© 2019 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists.
increased incidence of conjunctivitis compared with higher dupilumab exposure. This finding would not be expected if there was a positive dose–response relationship between dupilumab exposure and occurrence of conjunctivitis. Furthermore, 80% of cases resolved while continuing on dupilumab treatment. Thus, further study is warranted to understand better the underlying cause of the imbalance in events between treatment groups.

Table 9 Incidence of conjunctivitis in asthma: phase IIb (DRI12544)

|                  | Placebo  | 200 mg q4w (n = 150) | 300 mg q4w (n = 157) | 200 mg q2w (n = 148) | 300 mg q2w (n = 156) | Combined (n = 611) |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Patients with ≥1 event, n (%) | 2 (1-3)  | 3 (2-0)               | 2 (1-3)              | 2 (1-4)              | 0                    | 7 (1-1)           |
| Conjunctivitisa  | 1 (0-6)  | 3 (2-0)               | 1 (0-6)              | 1 (0-7)              | 0                    | 5 (0-8)           |
| Allergic conjunctivita | 1 (0-6)  | 0                     | 1 (0-6)              | 1 (0-7)              | 0                    | 2 (0-3)           |

q4w, every 4 weeks; q2w, every 2 weeks. aMedical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.© 2019 The Authors. British Journal of Dermatology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists.
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Currently, there is no consensus on optimal approaches to prevent and manage conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients. Ophthalmic preparations of corticosteroids, antibiotics, and antihistamines and mast cell stabilizers were the most common treatments used for conjunctivitis in these trials. Case series and case reports have described several therapeutic approaches used successfully in clinical practice for treatment of conjunctivitis associated with dupilumab without discontinuation of dupilumab, including hyaluronic acid eyedrops, topical tacrolimus, TCS (e.g. fluorometholone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone), artificial tears, compounded oily-ciclopisin eyedrops and antibiotic–TCS combination therapies. As long-term treatment with ophthalmic TCS can prevent and manage conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients with AD, particularly as conjunctivitis was not associated with dupilumab in trials in other type 2 diseases. The exact pathogenesis of conjunctivitis events observed during dupilumab treatment is unknown. Ocular comorbidities have high prevalence in patients with AD compared with the overall population. Thus, it is possible that pre-existing ocular disorders and a dupilumab–AD disease-specific interaction may be responsible for this increased incidence in dupilumab-treated patients with AD, particularly as conjunctivitis was not associated with dupilumab in trials in other type 2 diseases. Allergic conjunctivitis is a common comorbidity in asthma and allergic rhinitis, as well as in AD. Both lesional and nonlesional skin in AD are characterized by epithelial barrier dysfunction, including abnormalities in keratinocyte terminal differentiation, keratinocyte lipid production and tight junctions, which all contribute to increased transepidermal water loss. Ocular surface epithelia have impaired barrier function in patients with AD. Although barrier dysfunction is also observed in affected mucosal barrier tissues of other type 2 indications, patients with AD more frequently have ocular disease. If barrier abnormalities in AD differentially affect conjunctival tissues compared with other type 2 diseases, this could in part explain the differences in conjunctivitis incidence rates between AD trials and trials of other type 2 diseases.

A Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.

### Table 10 Incidence of conjunctivitis in asthma: LIBERTY ASThma QUEST

|                        | Placebo 1-14 mL (n = 313) | Dupilumab, 200 mg q2w (n = 631) | Placebo 2 mL (n = 321) | Dupilumab, 300 mg q2w (n = 632) | Combined (n = 634) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Patients with ≥ 1 event, n (%) | 6 (1.9)                   | 8 (1.3)                         | 9 (2.8)                | 14 (2.2)                        | 15 (2.4)          |
| Conjunctivitis*         | 1 (0.3)                   | 2 (0.3)                         | 4 (1.2)                | 4 (0.6)                          | 5 (0.8)           |
| Allergic conjunctivitis* | 4 (1.3)                   | 5 (0.8)                         | 4 (1.2)                | 8 (1.3)                          | 8 (1.3)           |
| Viral conjunctivitis*   | 1 (0.3)                   | 1 (0.2)                         | 0                     | 2 (0.3)                          | 1 (0.2)           |
| Bacterial conjunctivitis* | 0                        | 0                               | 1 (0.3)               | 0                               | 1 (0.2)           |

q2w, every 2 weeks. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.

### Table 11 Incidence of conjunctivitis in asthma: LIBERTY ASThma VENTURE

|                        | Placebo (n = 107) | Dupilumab 300 mg q2w (n = 103) |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Patients with ≥ 1 event, n (%) | 1 (0.9)           | 1 (1.0)                        |
| Conjunctivitis*         | 0                 | 1 (1.0)                        |
| Allergic conjunctivitis* | 1 (0.9)           | 0                               |

q2w, every 2 weeks. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.

### Table 12 Incidence of conjunctivitis: chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (ACT12340)

|                        | Placebo (n = 30) | Dupilumab 300 mg qw (n = 30) |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Patients with ≥ 1 event, n (%) | 1 (3.3)         | 0                            |
| Conjunctivitis*         | 1 (3.3)         | 0                            |

qw, every week. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities preferred terms.

An important aspect of these analyses is that, based on existing data, and in the absence of ophthalmological or microbiological evaluation, it was not possible to identify events that were specific or pathognomonic for dupilumab vs. placebo, or to confirm whether the events were infectious, allergic or idiopathic. Data on specific clinical features of ocular AEs were not collected in these trials, and inconsistencies in how MedDRA PTs were assigned limit the utility of comparison of conjunctivitis PTs between dupilumab and placebo. Case reports have described common features of conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients from clinical practice, including conjunctival redness, hyperaemia, blepharitis, dryness, irritation, discharge, itch, stinging, burning, tearing, foreign-body sensation, occasional decrease in bilateral visual acuity and ectropion. Further study into the clinical characteristics of conjunctivitis associated with dupilumab is warranted, and ongoing.

Thus, it is possible that pre-existing ocular disorders and a dupilumab–AD disease-specific interaction may be responsible for this increased incidence in dupilumab-treated patients with AD, particularly as conjunctivitis was not associated with dupilumab in trials in other type 2 diseases.8–33 Allergic conjunctivitis is a common comorbidity in asthma and allergic rhinitis, as well as in AD. Both lesional and nonlesional skin in AD are characterized by epithelial barrier dysfunction, including abnormalities in keratinocyte terminal differentiation, keratinocyte lipid production and tight junctions, which all contribute to increased transepidermal water loss. Ocular surface epithelia have impaired barrier function in patients with AD. Although barrier dysfunction is also observed in affected mucosal barrier tissues of other type 2 indications, patients with AD more frequently have ocular disease. If barrier abnormalities in AD differentially affect conjunctival tissues compared with other type 2 diseases, this could in part explain the differences in conjunctivitis incidence rates between AD trials and trials of other type 2 diseases.
Several hypotheses have been proposed for mechanisms driving conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients with AD, including alterations in cytokine activity leading to (variously) increased Demodex mites, increased OX40 ligand activity, eosinophilia, disruption of an immune-mediated response of conjunctival-associated lymphoid tissue, decreased IL-13-related mucus production and decreased IL-13-related scarcity of conjunctival goblet cells; it is possible that multiple mechanisms may be in effect.\textsuperscript{40–43,54–59} In addition, different mechanisms may affect the development of conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients with AD vs. those with asthma or other type 2 diseases. Further investigation is needed, including collection of ocular samples, to characterize the molecular, cellular and inflammatory changes in the eye during these events, as well as during and after recovery or resolution.

There are several limitations to this analysis. Firstly, there was no prespecified ocular assessment in these trials; patients were not examined by ophthalmologists at enrollment or during the trials. Thus, overall changes from baseline and/or objective differentiation of changes in ocular symptoms over time could not be determined. Secondly, conjunctivitis AEs were reported by the trial investigators (typically dermatologists or allergists). Patients were usually not referred to ophthalmologists, and few AEs reported as viral or bacterial conjunctivitis had microbiological confirmation. Nonetheless, within the limits of diagnostic accuracy of the investigators, the data show that dupilumab-treated patients had higher rates of conjunctivitis. Thirdly, there are limitations related to classification of AEs by MedDRA. Lower-level terms with higher specificity are very numerous (>100), so the analysis had to be done at the PT level; for example, the lower-level terms of ‘blepharoconjunctivitis’ would default to the PT of conjunctivitis. For the purpose of signal detection, it was necessary to cluster multiple PTs to avoid this fragmentation. In addition, during AE coding, the MedDRA PTs of ‘conjunctivitis’ and ‘conjunctivitis allergic’ were sometimes used interchangeably; therefore, these two terms should be considered equivalent. MedDRA coding of conjunctivitis AEs may result in classification of these events as either the MedDRA system organ class of ‘eye disorders’ or as ‘infections and infestations’, depending on the verbatim report of the investigator. Fourthly, history of conjunctivitis was self-reported by patients, with likelihood of recall bias, as different symptoms from patients’ history could be misinterpreted by the patients and/or investigators as conjunctivitis. Fifthly, the increasing incidence of conjunctivitis reported in the placebo groups of the different AD trials over time suggests that both an ‘awareness bias’ of the trial investigators in the later AD trials and a possible initial underdiagnosis of conjunctivitis in earlier trials may have influenced apparent increases in reported incidences of dupilumab-associated conjunctivitis across studies over time. Finally, conclusions on the incidence of conjunctivitis in CRSwNP and EoE are limited by the small number of patients in the proof-of-concept trials included in this analysis.\textsuperscript{32,33} These indications are still in development; future analyses will incorporate data from larger ongoing and future studies.

In conclusion, the higher incidence of conjunctivitis in dupilumab-treated patients with AD appears to be associated with several disease-based factors, including baseline AD severity and previous history of conjunctivitis. Dupilumab treatment was not associated with increased incidence of conjunctivitis in asthma, CRSwNP and EoE trials. In most cases, conjunctivitis AEs were mild to moderate. Most cases resolved or were resolving while patients continued their dupilumab treatment, but the data do not allow for determination of the proportion of patients who required continued or intermittent ongoing therapy for conjunctivitis. For timely appropriate intervention, we recommend referral for a detailed eye examination in patients who develop this complication while on dupilumab treatment. Further studies, including mechanistic investigations of ocular or conjunctival samples, are needed to characterize clinical phenotypes and understand the aetiology of the observed conjunctivitis AEs in the setting of dupilumab treatment, and to develop guidance for prevention and management.
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