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Abstract: Background: Moral distress is characterized by biopsychosocial, cognitive, and behavioral effects experienced by clinicians when their values are compromised by internal or external constraints, which results in the inability to give the desired care to patients.
Objective: To assess predictors of moral distress among nurses working in Jimma University Medical Center, South West Ethiopia.
Methods: An institution-based cross-sectional study design was used. A simple random sampling technique was applied to select a total of 248 study participants. Data were collected using a structured self-administered questionnaire, which contains socio-demographic characteristics, Moral Distress Scale-Revised, personal factors, and organizational factors. The data were entered into Epi-data version 3.1 and analyzed by SPSS software version 20. Descriptive statistics, bivariate analysis, and multivariable logistic regression analysis were performed. Finally, $P$-value <0.05 was used to declare and include variables with statistically significant in predicting the outcome variable.
Results: More than two-thirds of the study participants 170 (68.5%) were females. The mean age of the respondents is 29 years. Among the study participants, 174 (70.16%) nurses had experienced a high level of moral distress. Sex, working hours, professional commitment, autonomy, and working environment were statistically significant predictors of moral distress.
Conclusions: More than two-thirds of the nurses were experiencing a high level of moral distress. This will affect the nursing service quality, nurses, the nursing profession, and the organization as a whole. This finding is critical for the study since the problem is happening in the presence of low nurse to patient ratio and low nursing care quality. Sex, working hours per week, professional commitment, autonomy, and working environment were identified as predictors of moral distress.
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1. Introduction
Moral distress is defined as the biopsychosocial, cognitive, and behavioral effects experienced by clinicians when their values are compromised by internal or external constraints; it results from the inability to provide the desired care to patients.\(^1\) It is a pervasive problem in the nursing profession. An inability to act in alignment with one’s moral values is detrimental not only to the nurse’s well-being but also to patient care and clinical practice as a whole.\(^2\)

Moral distress among nurses causes decreased job satisfaction, turnover, burnout, and psychological distress. Nurses are the ones who are affected by moral distress compared to other health care workers.
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This problem exists because of clinical conditions, environmental sites, and external and internal factors.4–6 Moral distress happens when the internal environment of nurses’ ideas, faith, individual personality, duty, communication challenges, and supposed obligations is mismatched with the requirements of the outside work environment.7,8 Because of moral distress, nurses experience physical and psychological problems and manifests most often with symptoms, such as frustration, anger, anxiety, guilt, loss of self-worth, depression, nightmares, and physical reactions, such as sweating, shaking, headaches, and crying.9 Healthcare providers who are frequently exposed to these situations feels as if they cannot carry out what they consider to be ethically right actions. Rather they are potentially focused on moral distress, which leads to job dissatisfaction, burnout, and leaving a job or even the profession.10

Studies in clinical settings have associated moral distress with low self-confidence and increased turnover. An estimated 880,000 nurses in the United States or one in three nurses experience moral distress.11–13 Moral distress has continuous effects on the nurse’s satisfaction, retention, and recruitment and has negative implications of patient care.14 This problem may affect nurses on their job environment or quality of nursing care, thus an examination of moral distress is a significant issue.15,16 Moral distress in the hospital environment affects nurses resulting in feelings, such as depression, emotional disequilibrium, affect patients, and exit from nursing profession.17,18 Emotional manifestations of moral distress, which has been seen as a psychological imbalance that nurses experience when facing barriers, impeded to perform interventions. The feeling of powerlessness can be increased with the development of guilty feelings among nursing professionals.19 Thus, further investigation of how various factors contribute to moral distress is very important. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the predictors of moral distress and describe the relationship of variables among the study subjects.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Study area and period

The study was conducted in Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC), Jimma Town, Jimma Zone, South West Ethiopia. Geographically, the study center is located in Jimma city 352 km southwest of the capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa. JUMC is the only teaching and referral hospital in this area providing services for approximately 15,000 inpatients, 160,000 outpatient attendants, 11,000 emergency cases, and 4,500 deliveries in a year. Currently, the hospital has more than 20 specialists of different categories, 27 GP doctors, 541 nurses (185 of them are diploma holders, 343 of them are bachelor degree (BSc) holders, and 13 of them are master degree (MSc) holders), 52 midwifery professionals, 17 anesthetist professionals, 68 pharmacists, and 54 laboratory technicians. All these professionals were included under the hospital administration. However, there are a lot of other professionals, but they were included under the academic administration of Jimma University. The study was conducted from April 9 to May 9, 2019.

2.2. Study design

The Institution-based cross-sectional study design was used in this study.

2.3. Sample size determination

A total of 248 study participants were taken, and the sample size was determined using a standard of sample size calculation formula for a single population.

2.4. Sampling procedure and technique

A list of nurses working in JUMC was obtained from the human resource office of JUMC to obtain the study population. Out of 541 nurses, 248 nurses were calculated as a sample size by proportional allocation in each ward. A simple random sampling technique was applied. The first unit was selected by using the lottery method of sampling.

2.5. Data collection tools

Data were collected by using structured self-administered questionnaires adapted from different sources. The questions were grouped into socio-demographic characteristics, Hamric’s Moral Distress Scale-Revised (MDS-R), personal factors, and organizational factors. The socio-demographic characteristics contained nine items. MDS-R instrument was adapted from an instrument developed by Hamric (1967), which contained nine items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.74).14,21 Personal factors (professional commitment scale instruments) were adapted from Blau (2003), which contained six items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.81). Organizational commitments scale was adapted from the instrument developed by Meyer and Allen (1988, 1990), which contained five items, Cronbach’s alpha
The empowerment scale was adapted from the instrument developed by Spreitzer (1995, 1996), which contained five items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.80). Autonomy in practice scale was adapted from the instrument developed by Dempster, Dempster Practice Behaviors Scale (1990), which contained four items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.92). Job satisfaction scale instrument was adapted from Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaires from Portuguese Hospital Workers, which contained seven items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.85) (71, 73).

The entire above personal factor variables contained a total of 27 items, which were measured by a 4-point Likert scale. Organizational factors (Nursing working environment scale instrument) were adapted from the Practice Environment Scale of Nursing Work Index for nurses, which contained 16 items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.89). Perceived organizational support scales were adapted from an instrument developed by Eisenberger et al. (1986), which contained five items, Cronbach’s alpha (0.79). Perception toward the quality of nursing care scale was adapted from an instrument developed by Ledoux (2015), which contained one item, Cronbach’s alpha (0.72). All of the above organizational factors’ variables contained a total of 22 items and were measured by the 4-point Likert scale.

2.6. Data collection personnel

Four BSc nurses were data collectors and two MSc nurses were supervisors for the data collection process. Two data collectors came from Agaro hospital, one from Shebe Health Center, and the remaining one from Limu Genet Hospital. The supervisors came from Mizan Tepi University. These persons were recruited based on their experience in data collection.

2.7. Data collection method and procedures

Data were collected using a structured self-administered questionnaire. The data were collected in three shifts, that is, in the morning, afternoon, and night. Each selected nurse received an explanation about the purpose of the study. The supervisors supervised the data collectors daily. Finally, the principal investigator collected and compiled the collected data each day.

2.8. Data quality control

The principal investigator has given training to the data collectors and the supervisors for 2 days to ensure the quality of the data before the time of data collection. The supervisors and the principal investigator closely followed and monitored data collection procedures. The pre-test was done using 5% of the total sample size (12 participants) at Shenen Gibe General Hospital. The quality of the collected data was checked for its completeness, clarity, and coherence each day by the supervisors and the principal investigator.

2.9. Data processing and analysis

The collected data were entered into Epi-data Version 3.1, and then it was exported into SPSS Version 20. Descriptive statistics, bivariate analysis, and multivariable logistic regression analysis were used to describe, identify candidate variables, and determine predictor variables, respectively. Finally, the adjusted odds ratio at 95% CI with P-value <0.05 was considered a statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

Among the 248 study population to whom questionnaires were distributed, all respondents returned their questionnaires that make a 100% response rate. The majority of the participants were young adults (85.1%). The mean age of the respondents was 29 ± 5.85 years. Among the study participants, 68.5% of them were females and more than half of them were married. Half of the participants were orthodox (50.8%). Out of the total study participants, 175 (70.6%) of them were degree holders in the nursing profession. Among the 248 participants, 50.4% of them were 2–4 years of professional experience in the current units. More than half of the participants (73.0%) worked for 42 h/week. In addition, 66.53% of the participants earned a monthly income in the range of 2,628–5,000 birrs (Table 1).

3.2. Level of moral distress

Percentage mean score of the level of moral distress = Actual mean score ÷ Maximum potential score × 100 = (21.89 + 36) ÷ 100 = 60.8.

Out of 248 participants, 70.16% of the participants had a high level of moral distress and only about one-third of the study participants developed a low level of moral distress (Figure 1).

Out of 248 participants, 50% of the nurses were not committed to their profession and their organization. Concerning professional autonomy more than half of the study participants (54.8%) were not autonomous. On the other hand, 138 (55.6%) nurses were not empowered and more than half of them (52.42%) did not have job satisfaction.
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3.3. Bivariate analysis
Socio-demographic variables on bivariate analysis with a P-value of <0.25 (sex, level of education, working hours per week, and monthly income) were selected as candidate variables for the final multivariable logistic regression analysis (Table 2).

3.4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis
According to this study, male nurses were 2.4 times (AOR: 2.42, 95% CI: 1.13–5.18) more likely to develop moral distress than females. The nurses who were committed to their profession were 2 times (AOR: 1.98, 95% CI: 1.05–3.72) more likely to develop a high level of moral distress than nurses who were not committed to their profession. The nurses who were not autonomous were 3 times (AOR: 3.20, 95% CI: 1.89–2.64) more likely to develop a high level of moral distress than nurses who worked in a positive working environment (Table 3).

4. Discussion
The finding of this study revealed that about three-fourths (70.16%) of the study participants had a high level of moral distress. This finding clearly indicated that more than two-thirds of nursing care provided by nurses in the hospital was compromised due to decreased moral values among nursing professionals. On the other hand, the problem is very serious and needs further intervention when it was related with nurse-to-patient ratio and nurse-to-population ratio in developing countries such as Ethiopia because in sub-Saharan Africa healthcare professionals to population ratio is low, more specifically nurse-to-patient ratio is much lower. The finding of this study showed variation compared with the study done in the United States. This discrepancy might be due to differences of factors that can predispose nurses to moral distress and also might be due to differences in feelings of unmet moral values among nurses in the United States and Ethiopia.

The finding of this study showed that sex was identified as a predictor of moral distress. Male nurses experienced a high level of moral distress compared with female nurses. The finding might be related to poor public value and low self-esteem among male nurses in developing countries.

In other words, this study indicated that working hours per week were also a significant predictor of moral distress. This finding was consistent with the study done in Iran, the United States, and the Netherlands. The similarity might be related to the effect of work overload that may predispose nurses to moral distress irrespective of geographical, economic, and developmental differences. According to this study, nurses who were not committed to their profession experienced moral distress than the committed ones. This finding was similar to the study done in the United States. This might be due to professional commitment where a positive relationship with the moral behavior of the nurses by virtue of professional characteristics and

| Variables | F | % |
|-----------|---|---|
| Age, years | | |
| Young adult (18–35) | 211 | 85.1 |
| Adult (36–45) | 31 | 12.5 |
| Middle age (45–60) | 6 | 2.4 |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 78 | 31.5 |
| Female | 170 | 68.5 |
| Religion | | |
| Orthodox | 126 | 50.8 |
| Muslim | 79 | 31.9 |
| Protestant | 40 | 16.1 |
| Others | 3 | 1.2 |
| Marital status | | |
| Single | 100 | 40.3 |
| Married | 138 | 55.7 |
| Divorced | 6 | 2.4 |
| Windowed | 4 | 1.6 |
| Level of education | | |
| Diploma | 61 | 24.6 |
| Degree | 175 | 70.6 |
| MSc and above | 12 | 4.8 |
| Working experience, years | | |
| 0–2 | 76 | 30.6 |
| 2–4 | 125 | 50.4 |
| 4–6 | 47 | 19.0 |
| Working hours per week | | |
| 42 h/week | 181 | 73.0 |
| 84 h/week | 67 | 27.0 |
| Monthly income, birrs | | |
| 2,628–5,000 | 165 | 66.5 |
| 5,001–9,028 | 83 | 33.5 |

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants in the study of predictors of moral distress among nurses working at JUMC, South West Ethiopia, April 2019 (n = 248).
### Level of Moral Distress among the study Participants

#### Figure 1. Level of moral distress among nurses working at Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma Zone, Jimma Town, South West Ethiopia, April 2019 ($n = 248$).

| Variables            | Level of moral distress | COR (95% CI) | $P$-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|
|                      | High (N, %)             | Low (N, %)   |           |
| **Age**              |                         |              |           |
| Young adult          | 156 (73.9)              | 55 (26.1)    | 1.42 (0.25–7.96) | 0.691 |
| Adult                | 14 (45.2)               | 17 (54.6)    | 0.41 (0.07–2.59) | 0.344 |
| Middle age           | 4 (66.7)                | 2 (33.3)     | 1         | 0.879 |
| **Sex**              |                         |              |           |
| Male                 | 63 (80.8)               | 15 (19.2)    | 2.23 (1.17–4.26) | 0.015 |
| Female               | 111 (65.3)              | 59 (34.7)    | 1         | 0.999 |
| **Religion**         |                         |              |           |
| Orthodox             | 69 (54.8)               | 57 (45.2)    | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.999 |
| Muslim               | 68 (86.1)               | 11 (13.9)    | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.999 |
| Protestant           | 34 (85.0)               | 6 (15.0)     | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.999 |
| Others               | 3 (100.0)               | 0 (0.0)      | 1         | 0.001 |
| **Marital status**   |                         |              |           |
| Single               | 70 (70.0)               | 30 (30.0)    | 1.00 (0.24–4.13) | 1     |
| Married              | 97 (70.3)               | 41 (29.7)    | 1.01 (0.25–4.12) | 0.985 |
| Divorced             | 4 (66.7)                | 2 (33.3)     | 1.03 (0.58–6.75) | 0.879 |
| Windowed             | 3 (75)                  | 1 (25)       | 1         | 0.001 |
| **Level of education** |                       |              |           |
| Diploma              | 45 (73.8)               | 16 (26.2)    | 14.10 (2.80–71.20) | 0.001 |
| Degree               | 127 (72.6)              | 48 (27.4)    | 13.20 (2.80–62.60) | 0.001 |
| MSc and above        | 2 (16.7)                | 10 (83.3)    | 1         | 0.375 |
| **Working experience, years** |             |              |           |
| 0–2                  | 29 (38.2)               | 47 (61.9)    | 0.45 (0.07–2.66) | 0.453 |
| 2–4                  | 68 (54.4)               | 57 (45.6)    | 0.88 (0.41–1.89) | 0.999 |
| 4–6                  | 27 (57.5)               | 20 (42.6)    | 1         | 0.063 |
| **Working hours per week** |                 |              |           |
| 42 h/week            | 97 (53.6)               | 84 (45.4)    | 2.36 (1.35–4.18) | 1     |
| 84 h/week            | 22 (32.8)               | 45 (67.2)    | 1         | 1     |

(Continued)
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| Variables                        | Level of moral distress | COR (95% CI)     | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------|
|                                 | High (N, %)             | Low (N, %)       |         |
| Monthly income, birrs           |                         |                  |         |
| 2,628–5,000                     | 123 (74.5)              | 42 (25.5)        | 1.84 (1.02–3.13) | 0.042 |
| 5,001–9,028                     | 51 (61.5)               | 32 (38.5)        | 1       |
| Professional commitment         |                         |                  |         |
| Not committed                   | 93 (75)                 | 31 (25)          | 1.59 (0.92–2.73) | 0.077 |
| Committed                       | 81 (65.3)               | 43 (34.7)        | 1       |
| Organizational commitment       |                         |                  |         |
| Not committed                   | 88 (70.4)               | 37 (29.6)        | 1.10 (0.66–1.97) | 0.637 |
| Committed                       | 84 (68.3)               | 39 (31.7)        | 1       |
| Autonomy                        |                         |                  |         |
| Not autonomous                  | 81 (59.6)               | 55 (40.5)        | 2.75 (1.74–4.57) | 0.068 |
| Autonomous                      | 39 (34.8)               | 73 (65.2)        | 1       |
| Empowerment                      |                         |                  |         |
| Not empowered                   | 103 (74.6)              | 35 (25.4)        | 1.6 (0.94–2.79)  | 0.086 |
| Empowered                       | 71 (64.5)               | 39 (35.5)        | 1       |
| Job satisfaction                 |                         |                  |         |
| Not satisfied                   | 97 (74.6)               | 33 (25.4)        | 1.57 (0.91–2.71) | 0.109 |
| Satisfied                       | 77 (65.3)               | 41 (34.7)        | 1       |
| Working environments            |                         |                  |         |
| Not conducive                   | 96 (74.4)               | 33 (25.6)        | 1.5 (0.89–2.64)  | 0.128 |
| Conducive                       | 78 (65.5)               | 41 (34.5)        | 1       |
| Perception toward the quality of nursing care |     |                  |         |
| Poor perception                 | 100 (72.5)              | 38 (27.5)        | 1.28 (0.74–2.21) | 0.375 |
| Good perception                 | 74 (67.3)               | 36 (32.7)        | 1       |
| Perception of organizational support |                   |                  |         |
| Poor perception                 | 92 (70.2)               | 39 (29.8)        | 1.01 (0.60–1.74) | 0.980 |
| Good perception                 | 82 (70.1)               | 35 (29.9)        | 1       |

Note: The Odds ratio at 95% CI with P-value < 0.25 was a candidate for the final regression model.

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of socio-demographic variables among nurses working at Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma Zone, Jimma Town, South West Ethiopia, April 2019 (n = 248).

common professional and ethical principles shared by nurses all over the world. The finding from this study showed nurses who were not autonomous experienced a high level of moral distress than autonomous nurses. This finding is in line with the study done in Iran.35,36 The similarity might be due to the close relationship between moral value and having the right to self-determination among nurses. Both of these variables are the internal drive that the professionals have internally and want to practice them in the real work life when conditions are favorable.

In this study, the working environment was a significant predictor of moral distress among nurses. Nurses who were working in an unfavorable working environment experienced more moral distress than nurses who were working in a conducive working environment. This study was consistent with the study done in Sweden25 and the United States.28,37 The similarity might be due to a strong relationship among the working environment, job performance, moral value, and moral distress in a given healthcare organization. A conducive working environment may increase job performance, job satisfaction, and decrease moral distress, and thereby increase nursing care quality.

In this study, job satisfaction was negatively correlated with moral distress. This finding was consistent with the study done in Japan38 and the United States.13,39 This might be due to the indirect or reverse relationship between the two variables.

5. Conclusions
Moral distress is a challenging phenomenon all over the world with different levels of severity, and it is the
current problem and the major challenging issue among nurses. The problem has also similar characteristics in this study area too. The finding revealed that more than two-thirds of the nurses experienced high levels of moral distress. This finding is critical for the study since the problem is happening in the presence of a low nurse-to-patient ratio and a low nursing care quality. Sex, working hours per week, professional commitment, autonomy, and working environment were identified as predictors of moral distress. These factors can be a leading cause of the decreasing nurses’ sense of well-being, the increasing nurses turnover, and the negative effects on the quantity and quality of patient cares. Due to the presence of lower nurse-to-patient and nurse-to-population ratios and low nursing care quality, the existing nursing services were compromised due to moral distress among nurses. The results of this study showed that the level of moral distress was high. Therefore, it is necessary to revise working hours, provide a supportive environment in the hospital, and consider different strategies that can help to address issues related to low professional commitment and professional autonomy to decrease moral distress.
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| Variables                        | Level of moral distress | COR | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------|---------|
|                                 | High | Low |              |         |
| Sex                              |      |     |              |         |
| Male                             | 63   | 15  | 2.23         | 2.42 (1.13–1.88) | 0.023  |
| Female                           | 111  | 59  | 1            | 1       |
| Monthly income, birrs            |      |     |              |         |
| 2,628–5,000                      | 123  | 42  | 1.84         | 0.52 (0.15–1.75) | 0.288  |
| 5,001–9,028                      | 51   | 32  | 1            | 1       |
| Level of education               |      |     |              |         |
| Diploma                          | 45   | 16  | 14.10        | 0.89 (0.08–10.29) | 0.925  |
| Degree                           | 127  | 48  | 13.20        | 0.87 (0.10–7.22)  | 0.893  |
| MSc and above                    | 2    | 10  | 1            | 1       |
| Working hours per week           |      |     |              |         |
| 42 h/week                        | 97   | 84  | 2.40         | 1.96 (1.85–2.93) | 0.041  |
| 84 h/week                        | 22   | 45  | 1            | 1       |
| Job satisfaction                 |      |     |              |         |
| Not satisfied                    | 97   | 33  | 1.57         | 1.36 (0.71–2.62) | 0.358  |
| Satisfied                        | 77   | 41  | 1            | 1       |
| Professional commitment          |      |     |              |         |
| Not committed                    | 93   | 31  | 1.59         | 2.01 (1.08–1.92) | 0.029  |
| Committed                        | 81   | 43  | 1            | 1       |
| Autonomy                         |      |     |              |         |
| Not autonomous                   | 81   | 55  | 2.75         | 3.20 (1.89–2.64) | 0.015  |
| Autonomous                       | 39   | 73  | 1            | 1       |
| Empowerment                      |      |     |              |         |
| Not empowered                    | 103  | 35  | 1.62         | 1.74 (0.91–3.34) | 0.095  |
| Empowered                        | 71   | 39  | 1            | 1       |
| Working environments             |      |     |              |         |
| Negative                         | 96   | 33  | 1.53         | 1.98 (1.05–3.72) | 0.035  |
| Positive                         | 78   | 41  | 1            | 1       |

*Note: Adjusted odds ratio at 95% CI with P-value < 0.05 was of statistical significance.*

**Table 3.** Multivariable logistic regression analysis of predictors of moral distress among nurses working at Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma Zone, Jimma Town, South West Ethiopia, April 2019 (n = 248).
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