Two sexes, one body: intra- and intersex covariation of gamete phenotypes in simultaneous hermaphrodites
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Abstract
By harboring male and female functions in the same genome and expressing them in every individual, simultaneous hermaphrodites may incur sexual conflict unless both sex functions can evolve phenotypic optima independently of each other. The first step toward understanding their capacity to do so lies in understanding whether sex functions are phenotypically correlated within individuals, but remarkably few data address this issue. We tested the potential for intra- and intersex covariation of gamete phenotypes to mediate sexual conflict in broadcast-spawning hermaphrodites (the ascidians Ciona intestinalis and Pyura praeputialis), for which sex-specific selection acts predominantly on sperm–egg interactions in the water column. In both species, gamete phenotypes covaried within and across sex functions, implying that selection may be unable to target them independently because its direct effects on male gametes translate into correlated effects on female gametes and vice versa. This alone does not preclude the evolution of a different phenotypic optimum for each sex function, but imposes the more restrictive requirement that selection—which ultimately sorts among whole individuals, not sex functions—aligns with the direction in which gamete phenotypes covary at this level.

Introduction
Conflicting evolutionary interests of males and females over mating interactions can generate sexually antagonistic selection, with the potential to drive sexual arms races (adaptation and counter-adaptation in reproductive phenotypes) that can lead rapidly to reproductive isolation and speciation (Arnqvist and Rowe 2005; Chapman 2006; Parker 2006). Evolving sexual dimorphism, or sex-specific phenotypic optima, may ameliorate sexual conflict (Lande 1980; Bonduriansky and Chenoweth 2009), but what happens when such options are limited, as in hermaphrodite taxa that combine sexes (or sex functions) within individuals?

The lack of sexual dimorphism in simultaneous hermaphrodites (those expressing life-long hermaphroditism, our focus here unless otherwise stated) led Darwin (1874) to famously dismiss the role of sexual selection in their evolution. However, sexual selection arises ultimately from anisogamy (Parker et al. 1972; Schärer et al. 2012), which may have first evolved under a primitive form of sexual conflict (Parker 2006), and is certainly present in hermaphrodites. Even in sessile hermaphrodites (e.g., plants and marine invertebrates) with few opportunities to actively pursue mates or express sex roles behaviorally, sexual conflict has ample scope to manifest in primary sexual characteristics, such as the phenotypes of gametes themselves. It may even be more direct and intense in hermaphrodites than in separate-sexed species (gonochorists) because the expression of both male and female components of fitness by every individual means that no sex-related traits are masked from selection for one or more generations, as occurs in gonochorists (Michiels 1998; Bedhomme et al. 2009; Abbott 2011). Every generation, moreover, hermaphrodite individuals compete with all others in the population for their contribution to the next generation, whereas males compete only with males (and females with females) for this contribution in gonochorists (Charnov 1979; Leonard 2006). Hermaphrodites may therefore offer excellent systems for testing theories about sexual conflict, but progress is hampered by the lack of quantitative data on key traits underlying their mating interactions (Arnqvist and Rowe 2005; Schärer and Pen 2013). The vast majority of research into sexual conflict deals with gonochorists, and the exceptions involving animal hermaphrodites focus mainly on the
copulatory behaviors of pairwise-mating taxa, such as flatworms, sea slugs, and snails (see, e.g., Koene and Schulenburg 2005; Anthes and Michiels 2007; Schärer and Janicke 2009 and references therein), that are a subset of the hermaphrodite mating systems seen in the animal kingdom (Jarne and Auld 2006).

The challenge for simultaneous hermaphrodites is to resolve sexually antagonistic selection while harboring male and female functions in the same genome and expressing them in every individual (Charnov 1979; Michiels 1998; Leonard 2006), which may predispose hermaphrodites to sexual conflict unless sex functions can evolve phenotypic optima independently of one other (Parker 2006). Ultimately, such capacity depends on the degree to which sex functions covary genetically, and whether they do so in the direction that is favored by selection upon each (Abbott 2011), but to our knowledge, this remains untested for any animal taxon. A key first step lies in understanding whether sex functions are phenotypically correlated within individuals (Morgan 1994; Michiels 1998), but to date, there are remarkably few data addressing this issue. Here, we test the potential for intra- and intersex covariation of gamete phenotypes to mediate sexual conflict in simultaneous hermaphrodites with the ancestral mating strategy of broadcast spawning (Wray 1995; Levitan 1996). While traits must vary among individuals to evolve, nonindependence of traits owing to functional or developmental integration can constrain evolution by translating direct selection on one trait into correlated effects on others (in effect, restricting the distribution of phenotypic variance available to selection), and by setting upper bounds on the underlying genetic variance that directs any evolutionary response (Lande and Arnold 1983; Morgan 1994; Blows 2007).

Our study species, Ciona intestinalis (Linnaeus, 1767) and Pyura praeputialis (Heller, 1878; both named by genus hereafter) are ascidians, the most primitive of living chordates (Lambert 2005). Nearly, all ascidians are simultaneous hermaphrodites and solitary forms, including Ciona and Pyura, spawn eggs, and sperm synchronously into the sea where fertilization and development occur. Egg size is under selection in both study species (Marshall et al. 2002; Marshall and Keough 2003b), and sperm morphology has demonstrable effects on fertilization success in other broadcast spawners (Fitzpatrick et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2013), making the integration of primary sexual characteristics within hermaphrodite individuals a prime battleground for sexual conflict during mating.

**Material and methods**

_Ciona_ and _Pyura_ are sessile as adults and live in aggregations on various submerged surfaces in coastal marine environments. Individuals are normally self-sterile (Lambert 2005) and at dawn or low tide, spawn sperm and eggs synchronously into the sea for cross-fertilization. There is little opportunity to choose or compete for mates beforehand (Evans and Sherman 2013). Fertilization is by no means assured and depends principally on rates of sperm-egg collision in the water column, which in turn depend on patterns of spawning that mediate gamete densities (e.g., the duration of gamete release and its timing relative to mates) plus the traits of gametes themselves (Marshall and Keough 2008; Lotterhos and Levitan 2010). For each species, individuals were sampled from a wild population in Port Phillip Bay (Victoria, Australia), fed for several days in darkened aquaria to prevent spawning, then dissected to harvest the accumulated gametes from the hermaphrodite gonads of 36 sexually mature specimens of _Ciona_ and 29 such specimens of _Pyura_.

**Harvesting of gametes**

_Ciona_ has a gelatinous body encased in a thin translucent tunic. When the tunic is removed, sexually mature individuals have a visible testis and ovary, plus conspicuous gonoducts (a spermiduct and oviduct running dorsally along the intestine from the gonads to the exhalant siphon) where ripe gametes pool before spawning (Christiaen et al. 2009). To harvest gametes from mature individuals, we pierced their gonoducts and gently extracted gametes using a 1 ml syringe, keeping sperm and eggs isolated. An individual’s eggs were dispensed into a dish of filtered seawater, covered, and refrigerated for 30 min before processing to allow the expansion of all accessory structures (see below; Berrill 1947; Levitan 1995; Marshall and Keough 2003a). An individual’s sperm were processed without delay, but kept refrigerated at high concentration in the syringe until use to limit deterioration. Individuals were blotted to remove excess water, and their weight without tunics (which were fouled by epibiota) recorded as a measure of body size including all somatic and gonadal tissue, minus previously sampled gametes.

_Pyura_ has a fleshy body encased in a tough opaque tunic. As this species does not accumulate gametes in gonoducts, we opened animals sagittally through their siphons, removed their tunics, and exposed the distinctive green ovaries and orange testes attached to the body walls of sexually mature individuals (Rius and Teske 2011). At this point, individuals were blotted and weighed without tunics to record body size including all somatic and gonadal tissue, plus subsequently sampled gametes (note that this minor difference in measures of body size between species was required by their differing anatomies, but is unlikely to affect our results because the sampled gametes contributed negligible weight to _Ciona_ individuals and
data from each species were ultimately analyzed separately). We then dissected the gonads of each *Pyura* into dishes, isolating testes and ovaries as much as possible, and gently pressed the tissue in a few drops of filtered seawater to liberate ripe gametes. We rinsed each suspension with filtered seawater through a 100-μm filter that retained eggs while passing sperm into a small beaker below (Crean and Marshall 2008). Gametes were then treated as above for *Ciona* until processing.

**Measurement of gamete phenotypes**

The eggs of broadcast-spawning ascidians vary within and among species in two respects. First is in the quantity and quality of yolk in the oocyte, which is the entire maternal contribution to postzygotic performance (Marshall et al. 2002; Marshall and Keough 2003b). Second is in the accessory structures coating the oocyte, which have mainly prezygotic functions (e.g., increasing the effective target size for sperm, blocking self-fertilization and polyspermy, aiding species recognition; Lambert 2005; Podolsky 2004, 2001). In our species, these structures comprise a membranous chorion (in mammals, the zona pellucida) enclosing a layer of test cells in perivitelline fluid, plus a layer of follicle cells on the chorion surface (Fig. 1A and B). For each individual (the units of replication in our analytical design), we photographed 15 freshly harvested, healthy eggs (the sources of sampling error in our design) using a digital camera mounted to a compound microscope at 1000× magnification. We measured the cross-sectional areas of oocyte and egg coat (the chorion and perivitelline space) using the image-processing software, ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). For *Ciona*, we also measured the mean length of three follicle cells per egg, which are prominent in this species but only minor structures in *Pyura* (Fig. 1).

Compared with internal fertilizers, sperm of externally fertilizing ascidians are small and simple. Each spermatozoan comprises an awl-shaped nucleus carrying the paternal genome and a simple tail providing motility, but lack the mid-piece typical of other taxa. Instead, the cell is powered by a single mitochondrion (flanking the nucleus and forming with it the sperm head; Fig. 1C), which is shed during egg coat penetration and may provide the necessary force for this process (Lambert 1982; Franzen 1992). As for eggs, we photographed 15 freshly harvested spermatozoa per individual, measuring each cell’s maximum head length, maximum head width, and tail length (Fig. 1C) in ImageJ.

**Statistical analyses**

To test for intra- and intersex covariation in gamete phenotypes across individuals of each species, we analyzed gamete traits in multivariate linear mixed models implemented with restricted maximum likelihood in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). We first modeled the set of traits measured on both species (sperm head length, sperm head width, sperm tail length, egg oocyte area, and egg coat area), later adding mean follicle-cell length to a species-specific model for *Ciona*. Traits were corrected for individual body size by modeling weight as a covariate. We compared models using likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) based on a $\chi^2$ distribution with degrees of freedom as the number of parameters by which models differed.

The first model was

$$Y = Xb + Z_{ind}\delta_{ind} + e \quad (1)$$

where $Y$ is the vector of observations for gamete traits (scaled to a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 for each trait to express all traits in common units for multivariate analysis), $X$ is the design matrix for the fixed effect of individual body size ($b$), and $Z_{ind}$ is the design matrix for the random effect of individual, regardless of species. The phenotypic variance of gamete traits across individuals ($\delta_{ind}$) was modeled as an unstructured covariance matrix, and the error term ($e$) was partitioned to predict the residual variance among gametes for each trait (which

![A] Egg of *Ciona intestinalis*  
[B] Egg of *Pyura praeputialis*  
(C) Typical spermatozoan of both species
fit the data significantly better than modeling a pooled error term; LRT: $\chi^2(4) = 71.6, P < 0.01)$.

The second model was

$$Y = Xb + Z_{\text{ind(Ciona)}}\delta_{\text{ind(Ciona)}} + Z_{\text{ind(Pyura)}}\delta_{\text{ind(Pyura)}} + \epsilon$$

(2)

which differed to model 1 by predicting the phenotypic variance of gamete traits across individuals of Ciona and Pyura separately, as is more biologically realistic (note that our initial fitting of model 1 to pooled data served only as a null model against which to formally test for species-specific trait variation). Model 2 fit the data significantly better than model 1 (LRT: $\chi^2(15) = 38.1, P < 0.01$), confirming that overall patterns of variation in gamete traits differed between species and leading us to fit a separate model 1 for each. At this point, the mean follicle-cell length of eggs was introduced as an additional trait in the Ciona model.

Next, we further partitioned each model’s error term to predict the trait-specific residual variance among gametes for every individual. This added a substantial number of covariance parameters to both models, but our data were sufficient to do so since each trait was scored on 15 gametes at this level. The fit of both models was significantly improved by such partitioning (LRT for Ciona: $\chi^2(170) = 417.5, P < 0.01$; LRT for Pyura: $\chi^2(140) = 219.9, P < 0.01$), implying that the within-individual variability of gametes differed across individuals of each species. Last, we tested the significance of all individual-level covariance parameters (elements of $\delta_{\text{ind}}$ for each species) using LRTs. Tests were one-tailed for variances, two-tailed for covariances, and corrected for multiplicity (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995; Fry 2004).

**Results**

On average relative to Ciona, Pyura produced sperm with longer bodies and shorter tails (Fig. 2A), and eggs with greater area of oocyte proportional to coat (Fig. 2B). In both species, gamete phenotypes varied significantly across individuals: We not only detected significant variation in all focal traits per sex function, but we also detected significant covariation within and across them that was often, although not always, species-specific and often involved the same traits (Table 1).

Within sex functions of Ciona, both the length and width of the sperm head covaried positively with tail length, but were themselves uncorrelated. Thus, sperm with longer tails had longer or wider bodies, but were not necessarily larger overall. No covariation between egg traits was detected. Across sex functions, sperm head width and tail length covaried positively with the area of egg coat, such that individuals producing longer, wider sperm also produced thicker-coated eggs (Table 1a).

Within sex functions of Pyura, sperm head width and tail length again covaried positively (as in Ciona), whereas the areas of oocyte and egg coat covaried negatively, implying that eggs with larger oocytes had thinner coats and vice versa. Across sex functions, sperm head width and tail length covaried negatively with the area of egg coat (contrasting with the signs of their covariances in Ciona), such that individuals producing longer, wider sperm also produced thinner-coated eggs (Table 1b).

**Discussion**

We demonstrate intra- and intersex covariation of gamete phenotypes in Ciona and Pyura, two broadcast-spawning
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Table 1. The phenotypic variance of gametes across hermaphrodite individuals. White blocks present the variance of each focal trait per sex, plus intrasex covariances and correlations (italicized). Gray blocks present intersex covariances and correlations (italicized). Predicted values are followed by standard errors and are in bold when significant (P < 0.05).

| Trait          | Sperm traits       | Egg traits        |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|                | Head length (µm)   | Head width (µm)   | Tail length (µm) | Oocyte area (µm²) | Coat area (µm²) | FC length (µm) |
| (a) *Ciona intestinalis* |                    |                   |                 |                  |                |               |
| Sperm traits   | Head length        | 0.29 ± 0.08       | 0.33 ± 0.22     | 0.69 ± 0.13      | −0.01 ± 0.19   | 0.08 ± 0.19   | 0.16 ± 0.19   |
|                | Head width         | 0.06 ± 0.04       | 0.11 ± 0.05     | 0.50 ± 0.21      | 0.12 ± 0.24    | 0.50 ± 0.19   | 0.42 ± 0.20   |
|                | Tail length        | 0.18 ± 0.06       | 0.08 ± 0.04     | 0.23 ± 0.07      | 0.28 ± 0.19    | 0.50 ± 0.15   | 0.16 ± 0.19   |
| Egg traits     | Oocyte area        | 0.00 ± 0.08       | 0.03 ± 0.06     | 0.10 ± 0.07      | 0.52 ± 0.14    | 0.02 ± 0.18   | 0.23 ± 0.18   |
|                | Coat area          | 0.03 ± 0.08       | 0.13 ± 0.06     | 0.18 ± 0.08      | 0.01 ± 0.10    | 0.59 ± 0.15   | 0.00 ± 0.18   |
|                | FC length          | 0.07 ± 0.09       | 0.11 ± 0.06     | 0.06 ± 0.08      | 0.14 ± 0.11    | 0.00 ± 0.11   | 0.67 ± 0.17   |
| (b) *Pyura praeputialis* |                |                   |                 |                  |                |               |
| Sperm traits   | Head length        | 0.16 ± 0.06       | −0.21 ± 0.25    | 0.09 ± 0.26      | 0.10 ± 0.24    | −0.08 ± 0.26  |               |
|                | Head width         | −0.03 ± 0.04      | 0.18 ± 0.06     | 0.51 ± 0.21      | 0.20 ± 0.24    | −0.49 ± 0.21  |               |
|                | Tail length        | 0.02 ± 0.04       | 0.09 ± 0.05     | 0.17 ± 0.07      | 0.19 ± 0.24    | −0.62 ± 0.18  |               |
| Egg traits     | Oocyte area        | 0.02 ± 0.05       | 0.05 ± 0.06     | 0.04 ± 0.06      | 0.28 ± 0.09    | −0.59 ± 0.18  |               |
|                | Coat area          | −0.02 ± 0.05      | −0.09 ± 0.05    | −0.12 ± 0.05     | −0.14 ± 0.06   | 0.20 ± 0.07   |               |

FC, follicle cell.

Hermaphrodites in which sex-specific selection acts predominantly on sperm–egg interactions in the water column (Lotterhos and Levitan 2010; Evans and Sherman 2013). Thus, despite abundant variation in gamete phenotypes, selection may be unable to target sex functions independently because their covariation translates direct selection on sperm phenotype into correlated effects on egg phenotype and vice versa (Lande and Arnold 1983; Morgan 1994). This alone does not preclude the evolution of a different phenotypic optimum for each sex function, but imposes the more restrictive requirement that selection—which ultimately sorts among whole individuals, not sex functions—aligns with the direction in which gamete phenotypes covary at this level (Blows 2007).

Such covariation could be an intrinsic property of hermaphroditism—for example, because an individual’s sex functions share a genetic basis, or because each individual must allocate a finite level of resources between these functions (Charnov 1979; Bedhomme et al. 2009). Alternatively, it could be shaped extrinsically by the selection pressures operating in the mating environment, including selection exerted by the gametes of other individuals. For our study species, mating environments range from sperm-saturated to sperm-limited, exposing both sex functions to selection for increased fertilization success (Crean and Marshall 2008; Levitan 2008). For sperm, too few data currently exist to generalize about what constitutes a successful phenotype (Evans and Sherman 2013), but head–tail covariation in sperm of both *Ciona* and *Pyura* suggests that selection may target them as functionally integrated units, as has been demonstrated in several broadcast-spawning gonochorists (Fitpatrick et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2013). For eggs, fertilization success often covaries with the effective target size they present to sperm (Levitan 1996; Marshall and Keough 2003b), which increases the probability of sperm–egg collision in the water column and is maximized with the least energetic cost by expanding the accessory structures of the egg coat (Podolsky 2001, 2004). Unlike the oocyte, these structures are assumed to be independent of postzygotic performance and evolve more strictly in response to mating interactions (Levitan 1996; Podolsky 2004)—an assumption that is supported here for *Ciona*, in which the areas of egg coat and oocyte were decoupled, but not for *Pyura*, in which their covariation links the pre- and postzygotic selection pressures acting on eggs.

Hermaphroditism aside, theory and data suggest that the evolutionary interests of the sexes in broadcast spawners may well be in conflict (because sperm are selected to secure fertilizations rapidly, whereas eggs are selected to slow fertilization rates in order to avoid polyspermy) over the continuum of sperm availability in the water column (Bode and Marshall 2007; Levitan 2008). The added problem unique to hermaphrodites is that synchronous release of sperm and eggs exposes both of an individual’s sex functions to the same mating environment simultaneously and forces it to compete for fertilizations with all other individuals in the population including potential...
mates (Leonard 2006; Abbott 2011). Thus, our key result, the detection in both our study organisms of covariation between sperm morphology and the egg coat with which sperm must interact before penetrating the oocyte, may have important implications for sexual conflict in hermaphrodites. It raises the possibility of conflicting sex-specific selection on gamete phenotypes within an individual’s lifetime and requires such selection to be accommodated or resolved intra-individually. Intriguingly, although Ciona and Pyura belong to evolutionarily divergent groups within the ascidians, intersex covariation involved the same gamete traits in both species but changed direction between them. It would be interesting to know whether this is mere coincidence or a signal that these particular traits play a functional role in the evolution of reproductive isolation in such mating systems.

In conclusion, our study offers a novel perspective on the potential for gamete phenotypes to be a source of sexual conflict during mating and fertilization in simultaneous hermaphrodites. Understanding whether such potential translates into actual conflict (and how hermaphrodites might resolve this) requires a better understanding of the extent to which these phenotypes are intrinsically (e.g., genetically) versus extrinsically (e.g., environmentally) controlled, the specific selection pressures acting on them and whether such pressures act against gamete covariation at the genetic level, then currently exists for any animal taxon. Doing so, however, will not only help to clarify the taxonomic range of sexual conflict, but can provide a more unified view of this phenomenon by highlighting emergent properties in how it arises, manifests and is resolved in different mating systems.
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