Enigma of cerebrospinal fluid dynamics

TWO HYPOTHESES ON CSF PHYSIOLOGY

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is a major part of the central nervous system (CNS) extracellular fluid, and fine regulation of its composition is vital to the brain’s health. Although CSF dynamics has been studied for an entire century, many of its aspects are still insufficiently understood. Today there are two hypotheses (1,2) on CSF physiology: a) traditional hypothesis and b) microcirculatory/microvessel hypothesis.

According to the traditional hypothesis, CSF is formed inside the brain ventricles, mostly by secretion from the choroid plexuses, and it circulates along the ventricles and subarachnoid space to be absorbed across the arachnoid villi into the dural venous sinuses, and/or via cranial and spinal nerves paraneural sheaths into the lymphatic system. Since substance exchange occurs between the CNS extracellular interstitial fluid (ISF) and CSF, it is assumed that CSF serves as a sink for the removal of various metabolites out of the CNS by its unidirectional pulsatile flow and absorption (3-5). This traditional hypothesis, with minor modifications, represents a common point of reference in scientific papers, review articles, and textbooks on the issue (6,7). Additionally, this hypothesis has been used to explain the yet clinically unsolved pathological states such as increased intracranial pressure and hydrocephalus.

This issue of the Croatian Medical Journal (CMJ) is dedicated to the CSF dynamics, and we hope that it will provide the readers with new data and views that can help them in their research projects. Due to the significance of the new CSF dynamics concept, this issue’s editorial is supplemented by a reprint of the article by Bulat and Klarica published in the Periodicum Biologorum in 2005, which first presented the new hypothesis on fluids physiology (11) (Supplementary material). This issue also offers several comprehensive reviews on different aspects of CSF functioning. Gato et al describe embryonic CSF before the development of the choroid plexuses and show different novel concepts of embryonic CSF (eCSF) functioning, while Bueno et al in their extensive and detailed review of a very early protective barrier (embryonic blood-CSF barrier) explain the control of internal milieu (components of eCSF) in a developing brain. Orešković and Klarica describe methodological errors of traditional and most widely accepted perfusion method for measuring CSF formation and absorption. The article by Nakada discusses a new concept of CSF physiology, describing the importance of Virchow-
Robin space and aquaporin 4 in the functioning of CNS, while that by Yamada describes novel aspects of CSF physiological and pathophysiological movement visualized by a new non-invasive MRI technique (Time-SLIP). Babić et al discuss the current status of a great variety of CSF biomarkers for the use in Alzheimer disease diagnostics and Krishnamurthy et al summarize the data about pathophysiological mechanisms of hydrocephalus development induced by an application of hyperosmolar solution into the CSF space, and hypothesize that impaired efflux at the blood-brain barrier will result in an increased concentration of different substances inside the brain interstitial and ventricular fluid, leading to hydrocephalus development.

This issue also presents reports on several cases that can in no way be explained by the generally accepted traditional hypothesis. Such is the case of idiopathic CSF “hypersecretion” (Trevisi et al) in a 6-month-old infant, which cannot be controlled using standard operative drainage techniques (the article also gives an overview of the available data regarding CSF formation). There is also the case of the oldest living patient with hydranencephaly, which raises some questions regarding the CSF turnover and homeostasis in a person with no brain parenchyma inside the supratentorial space (Radoš et al). Also, this issue includes a case of a severe aqueductal stenosis lasting for 5 years without any detectable CSF movements, which is not accompanied with hydrocephalus development (Radoš et al). In addition, Bechter and Shmitz describe time dynamics of contrast distribution from lumbar subarachnoid space into the psoas muscle tissue in one patient, and discuss the importance of their findings for pain research.

MARIN BULAT’S CONTRIBUTION TO THE PHYSIOLOGY AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF THE CEREBROSPINAL FLUID

Due to the importance of his research for disputing the traditional CSF physiology hypothesis, we dedicate a part of this editorial to the work of Prof. emeritus Marin Bulat (1936-2012), who started the CSF physiology research in Croatia (Figure 1, Figure 2).

Marin Bulat began his scientific career at the Rudjer Bošković Institute under the mentorship of Prof. Zlatko Supek. In his master’s (1964) and PhD thesis (1966), which determined the course of his scientific work, he explored the role of serotonin and its metabolites inside the CSF system and the brain. His early papers describe the serotonin passage from CSF into the brain by means of diffusion process (without any restrictions) depending on the concentration gradient (12,13). Serotonin is very quickly metabolized after its passage from CSF into the brain tissue and its metabolite 5-HIAA quickly disappears from the site of its formation. He showed that serotonin did not distribute inside the CSF.
and that its lumbar CSF concentration merely reflected the changes inside the surrounding spinal cord tissue (14,15). Namely, the 5-HIAA concentration changes after its application into the cisterna magna did not influence its concentration inside the lumbar subarachnoid space. However, based on the classic hypothesis of CSF physiology, increased concentration inside the lumbar subarachnoid space would be reasonably expected. As he noticed that an increase in metabolic transformation inside the spinal cord tissue led to an increase in the concentration inside the surrounding CSF, he concluded that the changes of 5-HIAA concentration inside the lumbar CSF reflected only the local metabolism inside the spinal cord tissue (14,16).

On the basis of these observations, an idea developed that substances were not distributed throughout the CSF according to the classic hypothesis of CSF physiology. When molecules with different molecular weight were monitored, such as radioactive water, organic acids (5-HIAA, "H – benzylpenicillin, phenolsulphonphtalein) or inulin inside the CSF system and CNS, it was observed that they were distributed in all directions and that the distribution intensity depended on the rate of their elimination into the CNS capillaries (9,17,18).

According to the generally accepted hypothesis, the CSF is secreted inside the brain ventricles and flows unidirectionally along the subarachnoid spaces to be absorbed into the dural venous sinuses. However, a small molecule like water, which constitutes 99% of CSF bulk, does not flow unidirectionally along the CSF spaces since it is rapidly absorbed into the adjacent microvessels (19).

The distribution of substances with long residence time (inulin, proteins, etc) caused by to-and fro pulsations will always, after their application into the lateral ventricles (LV), create an illusion of a unidirectional bulk CSF circulation (from LV to cisterna magna, cortical and spinal subarachnoid space). On the contrary, after application of these substances into other parts of the CSF system, they are distributed in all directions and that the distribution intensity depended on the rate of their elimination into the CNS capillaries (9,17,18).

In his fight against the conventional notions, Prof. Bulat found inspiration and strength in the thought of Claude Bernard, one of the most distinguished physiologists and medical scientists of the nineteenth century: "When we meet a fact which contradicts a prevailing theory, we must accept the fact and abandon the theory, even when the theory is supported by great names and generally accepted." He never gave up, and he managed to show his students and colleagues the way to persevere in science. He used to say that science is like a candle that burns low, and that this flame should be preserved and carried on with extreme attention, scientific integrity, and hard persistent work. He passed on this difficult task to all of us who are now, together with our foreign colleagues, trying to save that flame of science and to pass it on to new generations.

Instead of conclusion let’s thank Prof. emeritus Marin Bulat with another famous sentence by Claude Bernard: “A man of science rises ever, in seeking truth; and if he never finds it in its wholeness, he discovers nevertheless very significant fragments; and these fragments of universal truth are precisely what constitutes science.”
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Fluid filtration and reabsorption across microvascular walls: control by oncotic or osmotic pressure? (secondary publication)

The article represents a secondary publication identical to previously published paper Bulat M, Klarica M. Fluid filtration and reabsorption across microvascular walls: control by oncotic or osmotic pressure? Period Biol. 2005;107:147-52. Published with permission from Periodicum biologorum.

Aim. Relationships between hydrostatic and oncotic (colloid osmotic) pressures in both capillaries and interstitium are used to explain fluid filtration and reabsorption across microvascular walls. These pressures are incorporated in the Starling oncotic hypothesis of capillaries which fails, however, to explain fluid homeostasis when hydrostatic capillary pressure is high (in feet during orthostasis) and low (in lungs), or when oncotic plasma pressure is significantly decreased in experiments and some clinical states such as genetic analbuminaemia.

Methods. To explain fluid homeostasis we propose osmotic counterpressure hypothesis of capillaries which claims: 1) during water filtration across microvascular wall in arterial capillary, the plasma osmolytes are sieved (retained) so that plasma oncotic counterpressure is generated, 2) this oncotic counterpressure rises along the length of capillary and when it reaches capillary hydrostatic pressure the water filtration is halted, and 3) in venous capillaries and postcapillary venules where hydrostatic pressure is low, the oncotic counterpressure is instrumental in water reabsorption from interstitium what leads to dissipation of oncotic counterpressure. According to modified van't Hoff’s equation the generation of oncotic counterpressure depends on plasma concentration of osmolytes and their restricted passage (reflection coefficient) across microvascular wall in comparison to water.

Results. Plasma NaCl makes 83% of plasma osmolarity and shows restricted passage across the walls of cerebral and peripheral continuous capillaries, so that Na and Cl are the most important osmolytes for generation of oncotic counterpressure. Our calculation indicates that at various rates of water filtration the oncotic counterpressure of NaCl acts as negative feedback control: higher hydrostatic pressure and water filtration rate create higher oncotic counterpressure which opposes filtration and leads to higher water reabsorption rate. Furthermore, our analysis indicates that fluid volume changes in arterial capillaries are proportionally 100 times larger than in interstitial fluid.

Conclusion. The osmotic counterpressure hypothesis explains fluid homeostasis at high, mean and low capillary hydrostatic pressures. Plasma proteins and inorganic electrolytes contribute 0.4% and 94% to plasma osmolarity, respectively, so that plasma proteins have low oncotic (oncotic) pressure and despite high restriction of their passage across microvascular wall they contribute little to build up of oncotic counterpressure in comparison to electrolytes. However, absence or very low concentration of plasma proteins increases microvascular wall permeability to water and osmolytes compromising build up of oncotic counterpressure leading to development of interstitial oedema.
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INTRODUCTION

Microvascular vessels include arterial and venous capillaries and postcapillary venules where filtration and reabsorption of water volume and exchange of solutes take place. Microvascular walls are made of single layer of flattened endothelial cells and intercellular junctions which are covered intraluminally by a negatively charged coat called glycocalyx (1,2). In the development of concept of fluid filtration and reabsorption it was assumed that water and all plasma solutes except proteins pass freely across microvascular walls. This concept is known as Starling hypothesis of capillaries named in honour of E.H. Starling who first proposed it in 1896 (3). The hypothesis was elaborated in 1963 by Landis and Pappenheimer (4), and with small modifications it represents an important chapter in contemporary textbooks of physiology. The Starling hypothesis is used to explain maintenance of fluid homeostasis in body and development of fluid disbalance in various pathological conditions leading to interstitial oedema.

The Starling hypothesis of microvessels claims that rate of fluid filtration and reabsorption of fluid volume ($J_v$, volume/time) across microvascular walls is regulated by hydrostatic pressure in the capillary ($HP_c$) and interstitium ($HP_i$) and oncotic or colloid osmotic pressure of proteins in the capillary ($COP_c$) and interstitium ($COP_i$) according to the equation [4]:

$$J_v = L_p \left[ (HP_c - HP_i) - (COP_c - COP_i) \right]$$  \[1\]

where $L_p$ is hydraulic conductivity of the microvascular wall. Positive $J_v$ means fluid movement out of capillary (filtration), negative into capillary (reabsorption). The effects of the Starling pressures on fluid filtration and reabsorption are shown in a simplified way in Fig. 1 along capillary of 1000 μm length. $HP_c$ is 30 mmHg at the arterial end but due to resistance to blood flow it falls to 10 mmHg at the venous end of the capillary. $HP_i$ tends to force fluid outwards through the capillary wall. $HP_i$ is omitted in Fig. 1 since it is close to zero, i.e. few mmHg positive or negative (subatmospheric) in most tissues. $COP_c$ is 25 mmHg and it tends to cause reabsorption of fluid from interstitium which is opposed by $COP_i$ of 8 mmHg. Thus, when COP ($8 \text{ mmHg}$) is subtracted from COP ($25 \text{ mmHg}$) the reabsorptive oncotic pressure ($COP_r$) of 17 mmHg is obtained (Fig. 1). According to the Starling oncotic hypothesis the filtration of fluid takes place in arterial part of the capillary where $HP_c > COP_r$, whereas reabsorption of fluid occurs in venous capillary and postcapillary venules where $COP_r > HP_c$. Furthermore, it is assumed that COP does not change significantly since volumes of filtered and reabsorbed fluid are relatively small, and that a significant part of filtered fluid is absorbed in the lymphatic capillaries.

There are some data, however, which indicate that COP might not be a decisive factor in regulation of fluid filtration and reabsorption. In the development of the Starling oncotic hypothesis it was assumed that only plasma proteins show restricted passage across capillary walls, while all other plasma solutes pass relatively freely and cannot exert a significant osmotic pressure between plasma and interstitium. However, it is known that cerebral capillaries are negligibly permeable to inorganic ions (5,6) and that peripheral continuous capillaries restrict significantly passage of these solutes (7,8), what should be incorporated into any comprehensive hypothesis of capillaries. The values of $HP_c$ in Fig. 1 are used arbitrary at heart level but these values may be much higher or lower so that COP, might not be able to control fluid filtration and reabsorption. In humans in upright position the $HP_c$ in feet nailfolds is above 90 mmHg so that COP, by itself could not prevent fast development of feet oedema (2,9). In the lung capillaries the $HP_c$ is about 7 mmHg, i.e. much lower than COP, of 17 mmHg, and it is not clear how filtration of fluid can take place (10). When plasma proteins were decreased 65% by plasmapheresis in rabbits, no brain water increase was observed indicating that the Starling oncotic hypothesis is not operative in cerebral capillaries (11). In addition, in patients with genetic analbuminaemia the COP, is decreased by 50% without development
of oedema what is difficult to explain by the Starling hypothesis (4,12).

In attempt to resolve these problems of the Starling oncotic hypothesis, we propose the osmotic counterpressure hypothesis of the capillaries which claims that not COP, but plasma osmotic pressure changes in the capillaries (OPc) are instrumental in regulation of water filtration and reabsorption. However, plasma proteins are important for maintenance of normal permeability of microvascular walls to water and solutes (4,13,14), but they contribute little to plasma effective osmotic pressure (see below).

**ASSUMPTIONS OF THE OSMOTIC COUNTERPRESSURE HYPOTHESIS**

Osmolarity is defined as concentration of osmotically active particles (osmolytes), and is usually expressed in milliosmoles per litre of water (mosm/l). Plasma and interstitial fluid osmolarities are about 300 mosm/l (15), excluding kidney as special organ which is not considered here. Plasma Na and Cl constitute 142 and 108 mosm/l, respectively (15), what makes 83% of plasma osmolarity, while contribution of other inorganic ions (HCO₃, K, Ca, Mg, HPO₄, H₂PO₄, SO₄) is 11%. Thus, while inorganic ions contribute 94% to plasma osmolarity, contribution of proteins and other organic substances (glucose, urea, aminoacids, lactate, creatine) is only 0.4% and 4.3 %, respectively. Since all mentioned plasma osmolytes are hydrophilic substances their passage across cell membrane and microvascular wall may be restricted, while plasma lipophilic substances (e.g. CO₂ and O₂) diffuse easily through membranes and do not contribute to effective osmotic pressure.

Difference of effective osmotic pressure (ΔOP) between two compartments separated by membrane or microvascular wall is calculated according to the modified van’t Hoff equation (16):

\[
ΔOP (\text{mmHg}) = ΔC_{\text{mosm}} \cdot σ \cdot RT \quad [2]
\]

where \(ΔC_{\text{mosm}}\) is concentration difference of osmolytes (mosm/l), \(σ\) is reflection coefficient of osmolytes, \(T\) is absolute temperature (ºK) and \(R\) is universal gas constant (0.06236 mmHg per mosm/l and degree ºK). At normal body temperature of 37 ºC (310 ºK), \(RT\) (0.06236 x 310) equals 19.3 mmHg per mosm/l (16). Reflection coefficients (σ) of osmolytes indicate how they are «reflected» from microvascular walls during water passage under hydrostatic or osmotic pressure, and theoretically they may range from 1 representing complete impermeability (100% «reflection») down to 0, for a solute permeability equal to that of water (σ = 0) (2). As discussed below, the inorganic ions such as Na and Cl have σ significantly higher than 0 (water), so that they should affect filtration and reabsorption of water. In consideration of transepithelial movement of water and osmolytes it is usually assumed that hydrostatic pressure drives water through specific water-only pathway, while water and small osmolytes are driven through small pores and proteins through a few large pores.

Equation [2] indicates that osmotic pressure (OP) of osmolytes in linearly related to their concentration, what is not the case for plasma proteins. For calculation of COP of plasma proteins Landis and Pappenheimer developed an empirical equation (4):

\[
COP (\text{mmHg}) = 2.1c + 0.16c^2 + 0.009c^3 \quad [3]
\]

where \(c\) is concentration of proteins expressed in grams per 100 ml of plasma. As fluid begins to be filtered through wall of arterial capillary, its composition is determined by the rates at which different plasma osmolytes can move by convention or diffusion in comparison to water. Concentration of a plasma osmolyte with \(σ > 0\) should increase during water (σ = 0) filtration in arterial capillary since proportionally more water than osmolyte should pass across capillary wall (17). In such a way concentration of osmolyte should increase in arterial capillary creating an osmotic counterpressure (OCp) which opposes the water filtration. Thus, OCp is osmotic pressure increase in arterial capillary above normal OP present in systemic blood circulation. According to Equation [2] this OCp depends on plasma concentration and σ of the osmolyte, as well as on water filtration rate. The estimation of water filtration rates in peripheral continuous capillaries are 1 - 4% of the plasma volume flow depending on HPc (1,4), while this rate should be lower in cerebral capillaries (6). Taking a range of capillary water filtration rates and known σ of plasma osmolytes, the capillary osmotic counterpressure (OCp) opposing water filtration can be calculated (see below).

**OSMOTIC COUNTERPRESSURE IN CEREBRAL CAPILLARIES**

Cerebral capillaries form the blood-brain barrier and are characterized by endothelial cells with tight intercellular junctions which encircle completely each endothelial cell. Water permeability of cerebral capillaries is relatively high (18), while the passage of proteins and
electrolytes is very limited (6), so that reflection coefficient (σ) of proteins is 0.999 (1), and σ of Na and Cl about 0.98 could be estimated (6, 19, 20). If we take plasma concentration of Na 142 mosm/l (see above), at 0.2% water filtration rate this number of milliosmols would be contained in 0.998 l of plasma due to water loss. When concentration of Na is recalculated per l of plasma, we obtain (142 mosm/0.998 l) 142.285 mosm/l or an increase of 0.285 mosm/l. Similar calculation for Cl shows that its normal concentration of 108 mosm/l would rise to 108.216 mosm/l, or an increase of 0.216 mosm/l. Thus, total increase of Na and Cl osmolarity (0.285 + 0.216) is 0.501 mosm/l. For calculation of osmotic pressure this value should be multiplied by osmotic coefficient for NaCl which is 0.93 (16), so we obtain (0.501 x 0.93) 0.466 mosm/l. Taking σ = 0.98 for NaCl (see above) calculated osmotic counterpressure in cerebral capillaries (OcPc) according to the Equation [2] is: 0.466 x 0.98 x 19.3 = 8.81 mmHg.

Thus, at water filtration rate of 0.2% an OcPc of NaCl about 9 mmHg is generated in arterial capillaries. The rate of water filtration can increase or decrease depending on changes of HPc. In Table 1. are shown some values of OcPc of NaCl at different rates of water filtration. These values of OcPc are not permitted to run down by diffusion and/or convention of NaCl across capillary wall since they are continuously maintained by plasma flow and fluid filtration.

It can be calculated that no significant oncotic counter-pressure in plasma is generated during 0.2% water filtration rate. If concentration of plasma proteins is 7 g/100 ml, than at water filtration rate of 0.2%, this concentration would increase to 7.014 g/100 ml due to water loss. When these concentrations of proteins are included in Equation [3], the calculated COPc are 25.627 and 25.706 mmHg, respectively. Thus, calculated oncotic counterpressure of plasma proteins (25.706 – 25.627) is 0.079 mmHg which does not change when multiplied by σ for proteins which is 0.999 (see above). Such a small OcPc of plasma proteins is not physiologically significant.

HPc in cerebral capillaries is not known, but hydrostatic pressure in pial arterioles (25 μm d.) penetrating in brain parenchyma is 55 mmHg in cats (21), while pressure in pial venules (100 – 200 μm d.) leaving parenchyma is 4 mmHg in rats (22). This suggests that a relatively high axial gradient of hydrostatic pressure along microvascular bed is present, where filtration and reabsorption of water occur. Since HPc falls (Fig. 1) and OcPc of NaCl rises along length of the capillary due to filtration of water and retention (sieving) of NaCl, at a point these pressures should become equal so that filtration equilibrium is reached, i.e. water filtration is brought to halt (Fig. 2). When such hypertonic plasma is delivered to venous capillaries and postcapillary venules, where HPc is lower than OcPc, osmotic reabsorption of water from interstitial fluid into these vessels takes place (Fig. 2). Due to water reabsorption the hypertonic plasma is diluted and finally normalized in postcapillary venules (not shown in Fig. 2), i.e. OcPc, is dissipated. Thus, according to our osmotic counterpressure hypothesis normal osmolarity in systemic blood circulation changes in microvessels: increase of osmolarity (OcPc) is generated in arterial capillaries due to water filtration and NaCl sieving (retention), while in venous capillaries and postcapillary venules this increased osmolarity (OcPc) is dissipated due to water reabsorption so that normal plasma osmolarity is delivered to veins. In the other words, when HPc > OcPc, filtration of wa-

| Cerebral capillaries | OcPc of NaCl | Filtration rate | Skeletal muscle capillaries | OcPc of NaCl |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 0.10%                | 4.40 mmHg    | 0.25%          | 5.63 mmHg                  |
| 0.20%                | 8.81 mmHg    | 0.50%          | 11.28 mmHg                 |
| 0.40%                | 17.66 mmHg   | 1.00%          | 22.66 mmHg                 |
| 0.80%                | 35.46 mmHg   | 2.00%          | 45.79 mmHg                 |
| 1.00%                | 44.41 mmHg   | 4.00%          | 93.49 mmHg                 |

FIGURE 2. Schematic presentation of osmotic counterpressure hypothesis of capillaries. HPc, hydrostatic capillary pressure; OcPc, capillary oncotic counterpressure. Fluid filtration takes place when HPc > OcPc, while fluid reabsorption occurs when HPc < OcPc. For explanation see text.
ter takes place, whereas when OcPc > HPc reabsorption of water occurs leading to isosmolar plasma.

To keep this analysis simple we omit here the contribution of other plasma osmolytes except NaCl to development of OcPc during water filtration. Namely, other plasma inorganic and organic osmolytes (see above) should contribute to development of OcPc since they show limited capillary permeability (5,6). Various transport processes across microvascular walls such as facilitated influx of D-glucose (1) and aminoacids (23) or active efflux of organic acids (24,25,26), as well as transport of some inorganic ions (27), could contribute to a long-term maintenance of osmotic homeostasis in the brain. We assume that water filtration does not change significantly volume and osmolarity of interstitial fluid because cerebral capillaries form a dense and interconnected networks of vessels so that simultaneous filtration and reabsorption of water takes place everywhere between numerous adjacent capillary branches preventing significant changes of volume and osmolarity in interstitium (see below). It should be mentioned that no lymphatic system is present in brain so that only blood microvessels are instrumental in water and solutes reabsorption.

**OSMOTIC COUNTERPRESSURE IN PERIPHERAL CAPILLARIES**

The most abundant peripheral capillaries are those with continuous uninterrupted endothelial cells connected by intercellular junctions. These capillaries are found in skeletal, smooth and cardiac muscles, skin, lungs and connective tissues (1). Some studies gave somewhat different permeabilities of continuous capillaries for inorganic ions although it seems probable that these permeabilities in various organs are similar (28). In determination of σ for various osmolytes in microvessels two factors seems to be especially important: composition of perfusate and rate of perfusion. When capillaries are perfused with protein-free perfusate an increase in hydraulic conductivity and osmolytes permeability of microvascular walls is observed indicating that this structure lose its selectivity (4,13,14). In addition, if rate of perfusion is not sufficiently higher than permeability-surface product of microvessels for the solute studied, its reflection coefficient is underestimated (7,8).

In skeletal and heart muscle σ of NaCl is 0.50 or higher (7,8). If water filtration rate in skeletal muscles is 1% of plasma volume flow, normal Na plasma concentration of 142 mosm/l should increase to 143.434 mosm/l and Cl from 108 mosm/l to 109.091 mosm/l, respectively, due to water loss. Thus, total increase of Na and Cl (1.434 + 1.091) is 2.525 mosm/l. When this value is multiplied by osmotic coefficient for NaCl which is 0.93, we obtain 2.348 mosm/l. Taking σ for NaCl 0.50, the OcPc for NaCl according Equation [2] is: 2.348 x 0.50 x 19.3 = 22.66 mmHg.

Thus, at 1% water filtration rate the OcPc of NaCl of about 23 mmHg is generated. In Table 1 is shown OcPc at different water filtration rates caused by different HPc. When OcPc of NaCl reaches the same value as HPc along the length of arterial capillaries the water filtration should be halted, while in venous capillaries and postcapillary venules the OcPc should assist in water reabsorption from interstitium as suggested above for cerebral capillaries (Fig. 2). When water filtration rate in skeletal muscle capillaries is 1%, concentration of plasma proteins 7 g/100 ml and σ of plasma proteins 0.90 (1), the calculated oncotic counterpressure (see above) is 0.4 mmHg, a very small contribution to OcPc of NaCl.

As already mentioned HPc in feet capillaries of humans in upright position is somewhat above 90 mmHg (9). Assuming 4% water filtration rate in such a case, an OcPc of above 90 mmHg would be generated as can be seen in skeletal muscle capillaries in Table 1, what should prevent medically relevant development of feet oedema. On the contrary, the HPc in pulmonary capillaries is low, about 7 mmHg (10), indicating that both rate of water filtration and generated OcPc should be also low. However, pulmonary capillaries have great density so that rate of water filtration and reabsorption could be considerable per gram of tissue. Furthermore, we assume that intermittent changes of HPc as occur in vasomotion and pulse pressure should contribute to fine tuning of fluid filtration and OcPc according to metabolic needs of tissues. Since in peripheral tissues the lymphatic system is present a part of filtered fluid is absorbed in lymphatic capillaries and returned to bloodstream by lymph flow.

To get an insight in osmotic power of total plasma OPc in comparison to COPc of plasma proteins we can calculate their effective osmotic pressures which would develop across microvascular wall assuming that interstitial fluid is pure water. Taking plasma osmolarity 300 mosm/l and σ = 0.50 for all plasma osmolytes, the effective OPc would be according to Equation [2]: 300 x 0.50 x 19.3 = 2895 mmHg, or 116 times higher than COPc of plasma proteins which is 25 mmHg. If we take σ = 0.10 for all plasma osmolytes, the effective OPc would be 579 mmHg, or 23 times greater than COPc. This analysis indicates that
osmotic power of total plasma osmolarity is much greater than COP, and that OcP, but not COP or COP, should control fluid filtration and reabsorption across microvascular walls as elaborated above.

Plasma proteins and some other blood components are important for maintenance of integrity and normal permeability of microvascular walls. When microvessels are perfused with protein-free or blood-free perfusate the hydraulic conductivity and permeability to plasma solutes of microvascular walls increases several times (13,14). Under such conditions it is expected that and OcP of NaCl and other plasma osmolytes should decrease what would compromise normal filtration and reabsorption of fluid across microvascular wall and lead to development of interstitial oedema.

Our hypothesis of role of NaCl in regulation of water volume passage across microvascular walls is supported by some experimental and clinical observations. When hyperosmolar NaCl solution is applied intravascularly, the absorption of water from interstitium in skeletal muscles is greatly increased (29). In addition, hyperosmolar NaCl solution applied intravascularly in patients with increased intracranial pressure leads to augmented water reabsorption from brain parenchyma and fall of increased intracranial pressure (30,31). Restricted passage of NaCl in comparison to water across microvascular walls, as suggested by our osmotic counterpressure hypothesis, explains these observations.

Fenestrated capillaries are present in some tissues such as exocrine and endocrine glands, gastrointestinal mucosa and kidney (1). These vessels are characterized by circular fenestrae or pores that penetrate the endothelium which are usually closed by a very thin diaphragm. Fenestrated capillaries show high permeability to water and inorganic ions (32). Since we were not able to find published data of of inorganic ions in those vessels it is impossible to guess at this time whether high water filtration rate could lead to such increase of their osmolarity and OcP, which would reach the filtration equilibrium. However, such a possibility should not be a priori excluded.

CAPILLARY OSMOTIC COUNTERPRESSURE AS NEGATIVE FEEDBACK CONTROL

Our osmotic hypothesis indicates that the OcP acts as negative feedback control which opposes the water filtration: higher HP, and water filtration rate create higher OcP (Table 1), which halts water filtration (Fig. 2). Furthermore, this OcP in venous capillaries and postcapillary venules is instrumental in water reabsorption what dissipates OcP. Thus, the water filtration and reabsorption rate (Jv) can be expressed by following relation:

\[ J_v = L_p (\Delta H P_{c,i} - \Sigma O c P) \]  [4]

where \( \Delta H P_{c,i} \) is difference of HP, and HP, and \( \Sigma O c P \) is sum of counterpressures of all plasma osmolytes with \( \sigma > 0 \). As already discussed this \( \Sigma O c P \) is mostly due to NaCl and other inorganic ions which constitute 94% of total plasma osmolarity.

The question arises how a sudden increase of HP, and water filtration rate from 1% to 4% would be reflected in interstitial fluid volume. In man volumes of blood and plasma are 5 l and 3 l, respectively, volume of blood in the capillaries is 4% of total blood volume (0.20 l of blood and 0.12 l of plasma) (10,33) while volume of interstitial fluid is 12 l. When 1% of capillary plasma volume (0.0012 l) is filtered into 12 l of interstitial fluid, the interstitial fluid volume would increase by 0.01%, while at 4% filtration rate (0.0048 l) this increase would be 0.04%. Thus, volume fluid changes in the arterial capillaries are proportionally 100 times or two order of magnitude larger than those in interstitial fluid. Since filtration and reabsorption of fluid are simultaneous processes, such minute increases of interstitial fluid volumes should be easily compensated by fluid absorption. Due to such minute changes of interstitial fluid volume we assume that osmolarity and pressure of interstitial fluid change very little in comparison to such changes in microvessels.

In conclusion, our osmotic counterpressure hypothesis of the capillaries suggests that osmotic counterpressure of plasma osmolytes is the main regulator of water filtration and reabsorption across microvascular walls and principal controller of interstitial fluid volume in physiological conditions. However, when permeability of microvascular walls is increased due to various pathological processes including significant hypoproteinaemia, the reflection coefficient of plasma osmolytes and their osmotic counterpressure should decrease while hydraulic conductivity of microvascular should increase leading to development of interstitial oedema.
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