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Abstract

In this paper we review a selection of recent results obtained, in the area of QCD physics, from the CDF-II experiment that studies $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV provided by the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. All results shown correspond to analysis performed using the Tevatron Run II data samples. In particular we will illustrate the progress achieved and the status of our studies on the following QCD processes: jet inclusive production, using different jet clustering algorithm, $W(\rightarrow e\nu_e)+$ jets and $Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-)+$ jets production, $\gamma+b-$jet production, dijet production in double pomeron exchange and finally exclusive $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ production. No deviations from the Standard Model have been observed so far.

1 Introduction

The Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD) processes provide signals to test theoretical calculations and models and contribute major backgrounds to many other searches or measurements. Thus, their detailed understanding and modelling is of crucial importance. In particular, the current QCD physics program, at Tevatron, includes studies of jets with the goal of performing precision measurements to test and further constrain the validity of the Standard Model (SM). Jets can be defined as collimated sprays of particles originating, all in one point, from the fragmentation of a parton. The ability in reconstructing the jets allows to characterize and measure the energy of the parent partons. As jet calculations, at leading order and at higher orders, can vary the definition of a jet it is therefore important in order to compute the jet energy beyond the leading order. Jet energies are measured experimentally by adding the energy of the calorimeter cells associated to a cluster, using
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Well-defined algorithms for clustering calorimeter towers into jets is needed in order to make measurements and for comparison with theoretical predictions; different algorithms give different results.

During Run II, CDF-II have been studying alternative methods to the fixed cone-based jet clustering algorithm, the so-called JetClu [1] used during the Tevatron Run I (1992-1995). This is needed in order to avoid problems of infrared and collinear divergences due to soft partons and below/above threshold particle emission. Both MidPoint [2] and $k_T$ [3] jet reconstruction algorithms have been used in Run II (see Fig. 1).

The former is an improved version of the seed cone-based JetClu algorithm which reduces the sensitivity to infrared and collinear problems. The latter starts by finding pairs of nearby particles in the defined phase-space and then merges them together to form new pseudo-particles, continuing until a set of stable well-separated jets are found. This algorithm is infrared and collinear safe to all orders in perturbative QCD (pQCD). In addition to the energy from the primary parton, jets accrue soft contributions from the underlying event (UE) of beam remnants. These contributions become more important at smaller jet $p_T$ [9]. During Run I and Run II, the contribution from UE energy has been studied and a modification to Pythia [4] Monte Carlo (MC) has been determined (Tune A [5]) using CDF-II data. Pythia MC with the new set of parameters describes well the jet shapes measured in Run II [6].

2 The CDF-II Detector

CDF-II is a 5000 ton multi-purpose particle physics experiment [7] dedicated to the study of proton-antiproton collisions at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. It was designed, built and operated by a team of physicists, technicians and engineers that by now spans over 44 institutions and includes, approximately, more than 500 members. The history of the experiment goes back over 20
years. The CDF detector has been upgraded \cite{8} in order to be able to operate at the high radiation and high crossing rate of the Run II Tevatron environment. In addition, there have been several changes to improve the sensitivity of the detector to specific physics channels such as heavy flavor physics, Higgs boson searches and many others. Fig. 2a shows an isometric cutaway view of the final configuration of the CDF-II detector. The central tracking volume of the CDF experiment has been replaced entirely with new detectors (see Fig. 2), the central calorimeters has not been changed. These upgrades can be summarized as follows: a new Silicon System done of 3 different tracking detector subsystems: Layer00 installed directly on the beam pipe, a new Silicon Vertex Detector (SVX II), an Intermediate Silicon Layer detector (ISL); a new central tracker the Central Outer Tracker (COT) that is an open cell drift chamber able to operate at a beam crossing time of 132 $\text{ns}$ with a maximum drift time of $\sim 100$ $\text{ns}$; a scintillator based Time-of-Flight detector (TOF), new Plug Calorimeters and an extended upgraded Muon system that almost doubled the coverage in the central; A new Data Acquisition System (DAQ) has also been constructed in order to operate in the shorter bunch spacing conditions.

3 QCD Results from CDF-II

3.1 Inclusive jet production: Midpoint and $K_T$ Analysis

There are many important reason to study the inclusive jet production at Tevatron. As matter of fact it is a stringent QCD test up to $8 \div 9$ order
Figure 3: Jet Inclusive Cross section for jets defined using the $K_T$ algorithm. a) Cross section in five rapidity bins (black dots) as a function of $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ compared to NLO pQCD predictions (histogram). The shaded bands show the total systematic uncertainty on the measurement. b) Ratio of data to theory as a function of $p_T^{\text{jet}}$. The error bars (shaded band) show the total statistical (systematic) uncertainty on the data.

of magnitude; it is a measurement sensitive to the structure of the parton distribution functions (PDF); it helps to add constrains on gluon structure of the PDFs at high $-x$; it’s a test sensitive to distances up to $10^{-19}$ m and it is an important tool for searching for New Phenomena. The increase in center of mass energy, from 1.80 (Run I) to 1.96 TeV (Run II), results in a larger kinematical range for measuring the jet production. The inclusive jet production cross section have been measured at CDF-II using two different jet definitions: the $k_T$ algorithm and the MidPoint cone algorithm. The jets were selected with $p_T^{\text{jet}} \geq 54$ GeV/c in five different jet rapidity regions: $|y| < 0.1$, $0.1 < |y| < 0.7$, $0.7 < |y| < 1.1$, $1.1 < |y| < 1.6$, and $1.6 < |y| < 2.1$ [9]. The results shown in this paper are based on 1.04 fb$^{-1}$ and are recently updated results. The experimental data are in agreement with next-to-leading order (NLO) calculations (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). In particular the CDF-II measurements of the inclusive jet cross section, using the $k_T$ algorithm, show that this algorithm works well in hadron collider environment in the $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ range studied.

3.2 W/Z boson + jets production

The production of $W/Z+$jets provides a good test of pQCD, in a multi-jet environment, since the presence of the $W/Z$ ensures that the event has a high $Q^2$. More importantly, $W/Z+$ jets is a possible signature for many new and important processes such as the production of top pairs and single top quark production, the Higgs boson, and Supersymmetric particles. QCD production
of $W/Z+$jets is a large background for many of these searches, and therefore, it is important to measure its cross section. QCD Matrix Element (ME) calculations are used to describe the hard scattering in $W/Z+$jet events, and then Parton Showering Monte Carlo (PS) is used in order to simulate the soft radiation and hadronization. An overlap in phase space between $W/Z + n$ partons and $W/Z + (n + 1)$ partons can lead to double counting when combining MC samples to obtain $W/Z + n$ jets.

### 3.2.1 $W(\rightarrow e\nu_e)+$jets production

CDF-II has measured the $W(\rightarrow e\nu_e)+$jets cross section for $W$ plus at least 1, 2, 3 and 4 jets, as a function of the jet transverse energy ($E_{T}^{jet}$). We also measured the $W(\rightarrow e\nu_e)+$jets cross section for events with two or more jets, as a function of the dijet invariant mass ($M(j_1, j_2)$), and as a function of the distance in the $\eta - \phi$ plane between the leading jets ($\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\phi_{j_1} - \phi_{j_2})^2 + (\eta_{j_1} - \eta_{j_2})^2}$). In order to be model independent, the analysis have been performed in a restricted $W$ kinematics phase space. Events were selected requiring the presence of an isolated electron, in the fiducial rapidity region $|\eta(e)| < 1.1$ with $E_{T} > 20.0$ GeV, the presence of missing transverse energy ($E_{T}$) with $E_{T} > 30$ GeV [9], and finally the presence of jets having $|\eta(jet)| < 2.0$ and $E_{T}^{jet} > 15.0$ GeV. The reconstructed transverse $W$ mass was required to be $M_{T}^{W} > 20.0$ GeV. Jets were reconstructed using the JetClu algorithm and corrected at hadron level; no underlying event corrections were applied. The comparison between data and theoretical calculations...
CDF Run II Preliminary

Figure 5: \(W(\rightarrow e\nu_e)+\text{jets}\) production. a) Differential cross-section for the leading jet in \(\geq 1\) jet events, second jet \(\geq 2\) jets events, third jet \(\geq 3\) and so on. b) Integrated cross-sections from the previous plot. Here the bin is the minimum \(E_T\) above which the cross section is integrated.

The Monte Carlo normalized to the data show a good agreement with the theoretical predictions as shown in Fig. 5 and in Fig. 6.

3.2.2 \(Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-)+\text{jets production}\)

Between the QCD analysis, CDF-II is also studying the \(Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-)+\text{jets}\) production. As matter of fact a precise measurement of \(Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-)+\text{jets}\) cross section is fundamental in order to estimate the \(Z(\rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e)+\text{jets}\) irreducible background. At the present we are looking for a Monte Carlo simulation that properly describes the final event topology. In Fig. 7a we show the comparison of the jet production, as a function of the \(p_T^{\text{jet}}\), in the data and in Pythia Tune A Monte Carlo simulation. Fig. 7b gives the differential shape of the jets, plotted in steps of \(\Delta R = 0.1\), using the calorimeter towers. Jets have been reconstructed using the MidPoint Algorithm with \(R = 0.7\). Events have been selected requiring only one reconstructed vertex in the event. This analysis is in progress further results will come soon.

3.3 \(\gamma+b-\text{jet production}\)

CDF-II is searching, starting from Run I, for events containing \(\gamma+b\)-jet. This class of analysis is interesting both for QCD studies both for searching for new phenomena, in particular, in the light stop scenario or looking for techniomega production. The search, that we present here, is based on 340 pb\(^{-1}\) of data, collected requiring the presence, in the events, of one isolated \(\gamma\), in the fiducial rapidity region \(|\eta(\gamma)| < 1.1\) with \(E_T^\gamma > 26.0\) GeV, one \(b\)-jet.
Figure 6: $W(\to e\nu_e)+\text{jet}$ kinematics for $W+ \geq 2\text{ jets}$, where both jets have a minimum $E_T^{\text{jet}}>15\text{ GeV}$. 

a) First-second jet invariant mass differential cross section. b) First-second jet $\Delta R$ differential cross section.

(a positively signed displaced secondary vertex) with $|\eta(b)|<1.5$, $E_T^b>20.0\text{ GeV}$ and $\Delta R(\gamma,b) \equiv \sqrt{(\phi_\gamma - \phi_b)^2 + (\eta_\gamma - \eta_b)^2} > 0.7$.

We fit the secondary vertex mass in the data in order to determine the $b$–jet fraction. To estimate the background from fake $\gamma+b$–jet we use preshower detector information, to calculate the number of fake photons in our sample, and we multiply this by the $b$–jet fraction, previously evaluate using a representative background data sample. The calculated cross-section is given in the table below, where we quote the differential and inclusive cross-sections for $\gamma+b$–jet production, within the kinematical range specified in the table, given as a function of photon transverse energy ($E_T^\gamma$). The first error shown is statistical, the second is the systematic uncertainty.

### Table: Photon $E_T^\gamma$ for $\sigma(\gamma+b)$

| Photon $E_T^\gamma$/GeV | $\sigma(\gamma+b)$ $|\eta_\gamma|<1.1$, $|\eta_b|<1.5$, $E_T^b>20$/$\text{pb}$/GeV |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 26-28                   | $2.93\pm0.48^{+1.04}_{-1.21}$                               |
| 28-31                   | $3.09\pm0.44^{+0.72}_{-0.68}$                               |
| 31-35                   | $1.46\pm0.23^{+0.33}_{-0.28}$                               |
| 35-43                   | $1.23\pm0.17^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$                               |
| 43-70                   | $0.23\pm0.09^{+0.04}_{-0.08}$                               |
| > 26                    | $42.0\pm3.8^{+5.8}_{-5.0}$                                  |

#### 3.4 Dijet production in DPE

One of the most important questions, in hard diffractive processes, is whether or not they obey QCD factorization. In other words, whether the pomeron has a universal, process-independent PDF. Results on diffractive Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) from the $ep$ collider HERA show that QCD factorization...
3.5 Exclusive $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ production

There are SM processes in which hadrons do not dissociate in the interaction. Without hadron dissociation, there are no underlying events then we deal with very clear exclusive processes.
CDF-II studied and observed two of this exclusive channels: the exclusive production of two photons via QCD (gluon exchange) and the electron pair production via QED (trough two-photon exchange).

We have observed 16 exclusive $e^+e^-$ events with a background estimate of $2.1^{+0.7}_{-0.3}$. Each event has an $e^+e^-$ pair ($E_T(e) > 5$ GeV, $|\eta(e)| < 2$) and nothing else observable in the CDF-II detector. The measured cross section is $\sigma = 1.6^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ (stat) $\pm 0.3$ (sys) pb, while the predicted cross section is $1.711 \pm 0.008$ pb. The kinematical properties of the events are consistent with the predictions of the LPAIR Monte Carlo.

We also have evidence for 3 exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ events, with a background estimate of $0.0^{+0.2}_{-0.0}$. Each event has two photons ($E_T^\gamma > 5$ GeV, $|\eta(\gamma)| < 1$) and nothing else observable in the CDF-II detector. The measured cross section for these events is $\sigma = 0.14 \pm 0.14$ (stat) $\pm 0.03$ (sys) pb and agrees with the theoretical prediction of $0.04$ pb with a factor $3 \div 5$ of theoretical uncertainty.

4 Summary

CDF-II has a broad QCD analysis program: jets, photons, bosons + jets, heavy-flavor jets, diffractive physics. The inclusive jet production have been measured with both $k_T$ and MidPoint algorithm. Both the measurements are based on 1 fb$^{-1}$ of data, considering 5 different rapidity regions, up to $|y| = 2.1$. A careful treatment of non perturbative effects have been taken into account. Underlying event effects have been proved to be well under control. For central jets, $p_T^{jet}$ reach have been extended by 150 GeV/c compared to Run I. Good agreement have been found with NLO QCD calculations. Forward jet information can be used in future PDF global fits in order to better
constrain the gluon PDF at high-$x$. Good agreement, with the Theoretical expectations, have been found in all the CDF-II analysis described in this paper. We also discussed exiting observation such as: $e^+e^-$ production via QED exchange, $\gamma\gamma$ production via QCD exchange and finally exclusive dijet production in DPE.
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