Nucleotide sugar dehydratases: Structure, mechanism, substrate specificity, and application potential
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Nucleotide sugar (NS) dehydratases play a central role in the biosynthesis of deoxy and amino sugars, which are involved in a variety of biological functions in all domains of life. Bacteria are true masters of deoxy sugar biosynthesis as they can produce a wide range of highly specialized monosaccharides. Indeed, deoxy and amino sugars play important roles in the virulence of gram-positive and gram-negative pathogenic species and are additionally involved in the biosynthesis of diverse macrolide antibiotics. The biosynthesis of deoxy sugars relies on the activity of NS dehydratases, which can be subdivided into three groups based on their structure and reaction mechanism. The best-characterized NS dehydratases are the 4,6-dehydratases that, together with the 5,6-dehydratases, belong to the NS-short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase superfamily. The other two groups are the less abundant 2,3-dehydratases that belong to the Nudix hydrolase superfamily and 3-dehydratases, which are related to aspartame aminotransferases. 4,6-Dehydratases catalyze the first step in all deoxy sugar biosynthesis pathways, converting nucleoside diphosphate hexoses to nucleoside diphosphate-4-keto-6-deoxy hexoses, which in turn are further deoxygenated by the 2,3- and 3-dehydratases to form dideoxy and trideoxy sugars. In this review, we give an overview of the NS dehydratases focusing on the comparison of their structure and reaction mechanisms, as well as their substrate specificities. Herein, we aim to underline common features of the enzymes and emphasize differences among them. We will first focus on the largest group (NS-SDR-type dehydratases) and afterward move on to the less abundant NS 2,3-dehydratase and NS 3-dehydratases. Finally, we end with a future perspective on their application potential.

Dehydratases (EC 4.2.1.x) are a group of lyase enzymes that catalyze the breakage of a carbon–oxygen bond, leading to unsaturated products via the removal of oxygen and hydrogen (in the form of water) from organic compounds. There are over 150 different dehydratase enzymes (https://enzyme.expasy.org/EC/4.2.1.x) active on a plethora of different substrates. In this review, we will only focus on the dehydratases that are active on nucleotide sugars (NS). These NS dehydratases are involved in the biosynthesis of deoxy and/or amino sugars (1), which will be further explained in the article. Based on their fold and catalytic mechanisms, the NS active dehydratases can be subdivided into three groups: the NS active short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (NS-SDR)–like (2, 3), the Nudix hydrolase superfamily–like (4–6), and the aspartame aminotransferase (ASAT)–related dehydratases (1, 7). The majority of NS dehydratases belongs to the SDR superfamily and has been classified in the extended SDR family (2). The NS-SDR superfamily members include three groups based on their product selectivity, namely, 4,6-dehydratases (either C5-retaining or C5-inverting) and the 5,6-dehydratases (3). Several 2,3-dehydratases have been identified in different antibiotic biosynthesis pathways. EvaA from Amycolatopsis orientalis is the best-characterized 2,3-dehydratase to date and belongs to the Nudix hydrolase superfamily (6). Multiple representatives of the 3-dehydratases have been identified, including E1, ColD, and SpnQ (7–9). They are related to ASATs and catalyze the deoxygenation of C3, but each uses a slightly different reaction mechanism (7–9).

This review aims to give the reader an overview of the different NS dehydratases. We set the scene by shortly discussing the biosynthesis routes of deoxy sugars in which dehydratases are of key importance, thus highlighting their biological importance and significance in finding new antimicrobial drugs. Afterward, we focus on the NS dehydratases’ structures and mechanisms, as well as their substrate specificities. Herein, we aim to underline common features of the enzymes and emphasize differences among them. We will first focus on the largest group (NS-SDR-type dehydratases) and afterward move on to the less abundant NS 2,3-dehydratase and NS 3-dehydratases. Finally, we end with a future perspective on their application potential.

Dehydratases are key enzymes for deoxy and amino sugar biosynthesis, conserved in all branches of life

Deoxy and amino sugars are mostly synthesized from the prevalent nucleotide hexoses, nucleoside diphosphate (NDP)–glucose (NDP-Glc), guanosine diphosphate (GDP)–mannose (GDP-Man), and uridine diphosphate (UDP)–N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) (Fig. 1, A–E) by undergoing several chemical reactions. In most cases, the first step is a 4,6-dehydration followed by a combination of epimerization, reduction, ketoisomerization, additional dehydration steps, and aminotransferase reactions, yielding a plethora of NDP-bound special deoxy and amino sugars (Fig. 1) (10). The activity of most aminotransferases requires the presence of a keto-group on the sugar ring (which is introduced through a dehydration step), thus connecting both biosynthesis routes.
To avoid becoming encyclopedic, we will only highlight a small portion of the examples. Nonetheless, to show their ubiquitous presence, we aimed at providing examples from the different domains of life.

**Two most common deoxy sugars: L-fucose and L-rhamnose**

Not surprisingly, the pathways leading to the two most common deoxy sugars, namely L-fucose (L-Fuc) and L-rhamnose (L-Rha) (Fig. 1, A–C), are highly conserved in all domains of life using homologs of the same enzymes. L-Rha can only be produced by bacteria, fungi, plants, and large DNA viruses, whereas L-Fuc is also synthesized by complex eukaryote-like mammals (10–16). For example, L-Fuc can be found as a constituent of Lewis blood group antigens and several human milk oligosaccharides and also in bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) layer and plant N-glycan structures.
L-Rha, on the other hand, is less abundant in animals but widely present in plants and bacteria. In plants L-Rha can be found in the primary and secondary cell wall in the sugar polymer pectin and also as a sugar moiety on glycosylated flavonoids (11, 18, 21, 22).

The biosynthesis of these two common deoxy sugars relies on an analogous two-step pathway, where GDP-Man and deoxythymidine diphosphate (dTDP)/UDP-Glc are the starting points, respectively (Fig. 1, A–C) (11, 14, 15, 23–28). The first step in both their biosynthesis pathways is performed by a 4,6-dehydratase eliminating the C6-OH and introducing a keto-group at C4, yielding a 4-keto-6-deoxy (4k6d) pathway intermediate. The next steps may differ. The GDP-L-Fuc pathway is conserved throughout all the different domains of life (10, 12, 14, 29, 30), and the GDP-4k6d-Man is converted to GDP-L-Fuc by a one-domain bifunctional 3,5-epimerase/4-reductase also known as GDP-fucose synthase (Fig. 1C) (14, 15, 31).

The L-Rha biosynthesis is less conserved and can differ depending on the organism. NDP-L-Rha can be synthesized from either UDP-Glc or dTDP-Glc (Fig. 1, A and B). Plants, viruses, and fungi are reported to utilize UDP-Glc as the starting substrate, whereas bacteria and some microalgae prefer (deoxy)thymidine as a nucleotide anchor, thus starting from (d)TDP-Glc (11, 16, 23–28). After the initial 4,6-dehydration step converting NDP-Glc to NDP-4k6d-Glc (16, 28, 32), consecutive 3,5-epimerization and 4-reduction steps are needed to yield NDP-L-Rha. These can be catalyzed by a single bifunctional (but two-domain) enzyme or by two separate enzymes. The latter is the case in bacteria (16, 28, 32, 33), whereas in plants and large DNA viruses, both activities are combined into a single bifunctional enzyme, called UDP-rhamnose synthase (25, 27, 34). In some cases, even trinuclear enzymes have been identified that combine all three activities (4,6-dehydratase, 3,5-epimerase, and the 4-reductase) into one protein to convert UDP-Glc to UDP-L-Rha (26). These (multidomain) bifunctional and trifunctional enzymes have likely arisen from gene fusion and duplication events (24).

Bacteria are true masters of rare deoxy and amino sugar biosynthesis as they have evolved to produce a plethora of highly specialized monosaccharides. To synthesize a wide array of dideoxy, trideoxy, tetradeoxy, and amino sugars, they encode additional 2,3- and 3-dehydratases whose products are consequently converted to extremely versatile and specialized monosaccharides (Figs. 1 and 2). Many of these special sugars end up in the LPS layer and capsule of gram-negative bacteria or in secondary metabolites (i.e., antibiotics).

Perspective: From biological function to the prospect of application

Deoxy sugars fulfill a wide range of biological functions in different organisms, where they can be found in glycan
structures, oligosaccharides, and glycosylated secondary metabolites. In this section we focus on some biological functions in bacteria since this is needed to highlight the NS dehydratases’ application potential. A detailed description of the physiological roles of L-Fuc in mammals and L-Rha in plants can be found elsewhere (11, 14, 16, 35, 36).

In short, we will focus on the role that NS dehydratases play in the search for new antibiotic targets, novel antimicrobial compounds, and diversification of existing antibiotics. Considering the increasing antibiotic resistance of pathogenic bacteria, the search for novel antibiotic agents is ongoing. Owing to the deoxy sugars’ roles in bacterial viability and virulence in both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, their biosynthesis pathways have been proposed as new targets for antibiotic design. 4,6-Dehydratases, particularly, have been investigated in that respect due to their early position in all deoxy sugar biosynthesis pathways (33, 37–43). However, critically speaking, 3- and 2,3-dehydratases could be more interesting antibiotic targets to avoid (unwanted) inhibition of the mammalian/human 4,6-dehydratases. On the other hand, bacterial deoxy sugar biosynthesis may also provide the key to broadening the range of exciting antibiotics by glycodiversification.

**Rare deoxy sugars can be found in the glycolipid lipopolysaccharides of gram-negative bacteria**

dTDP-L-Rha, GDP-Fuc, and other deoxy and amino sugars, such as UDP-N-acetyl-quinovosamine (UDP-QuinAc), cytidine tyvelose (CDP-Tyv), UDP-N,N′-diacetylglucosamine, pseudaminic (Pse) acid, CDP-acequose (CDP-Abe), and CDP-paratose (CDP-Par) (Fig. 2), are the precursors of structural elements of the LPS layer of gram-negative bacteria. Examples of such pathogenic species include Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, Salmonella enterica (serovar Typhimurium), Escherichia coli, Shigella sp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Campylobacter jejuni, and Helicobacter pylori (44–50).

In gram-negative bacteria the cell wall consists of the inner membrane, the peptidoglycan layer, and an asymmetrical outer membrane (51, 52). Only the outer leaflet of the outer membrane contains glycolipid LPSs, whose structure consists of three components: lipid A, the core oligosaccharides, and the O-antigen (52, 53). Lipid A anchors the LPS structure into the outer membrane. A linker composed of 3-deoxy-a-D-manno-octulosonic acid modified with heptulose monosaccharides and hexose oligosaccharides connects the O-antigen to lipid A (18, 52). The O-antigen is a polysaccharide that is composed of an oligosaccharide repeat-unit with 2 to 6 monosaccharides (18, 52). The O-antigens are highly variable between different bacterial species and strains (54). Their heterogeneity between different strains allows the differentiation of E. coli, Salmonella, and other bacteria serotypes. O-antigen heterogeneity is achieved through the incorporation of different monosaccharides (including the mentioned rare deoxy sugars) and with variable glycosidic linkages between those (rare) monosaccharides (46, 55, 56).

In general, the LPS functions as an important barrier between the cell and its environment, protecting it against many toxins. Bacterial O-antigens, particularly, play important roles in host–bacteria interactions and virulence on different levels (18). O-antigens can protect the bacterial cell from complement recognition, cell lysis, and phagocytic engulfment and also allow pathogenic bacteria to attach to the epithelial cell surface and to mimic host-specific antigens to evade the immune system (18).

Several rare deoxy sugars, such as N-acetyl-QuinAc, Tyv, diacetylbacillosamine, Abe, and Par that are found in bacterial O-antigens but are absent in human glycan structures, have shown to impact the infection rate of those bacteria. The absence of the rare sugars in the O-antigens can result in lower infection rates (57–59). Therefore, the enzymes involved in biosynthesis pathways of rare deoxy/amino sugars have become prospective targets for novel small-molecule enzyme inhibitors. While other steps in the LPS biosynthesis have successfully been targeted by novel small-molecule inhibitors, little progress has been reported in the design of inhibitors targeting the biosynthesis pathways of the LPS NS building blocks. For more information on the precise LPS biosynthesis, modification, and potential targets of inhibitors, we would like to refer to reviews by Whitfield and Trent (2014) and Simpson and Trent (2019) that cover these topics in great detail (60, 61).

**Pse acid is essential for flagella assembly in H. pylori and C. jejuni**

In H. pylori and C. jejuni, flagella are also considered virulence factors as motility is required for host colonization. The modification of flagellin with Pse acid is necessary for the correct assembly of the flagella in both species (62–65). Pse acid is derived from UDP-GlcNAc through a six-step biosynthetic pathway starting with a 5-inverting 4,6-dehydratase (Fig. 2E) (38, 66, 67). When the flagellin glycosylation pathway is inhibited, the flagella of H. pylori and C. jejuni are truncated, leading to a reduced plaque formation on endothelial HeLa cell cultures (63, 64, 68). A Cj1121c (an amino-transferase downstream of the 4,6-dehydratase in the Pse acid pathway in C. jejuni) knockout strain was tested on CaCo-2 cell cultures (cell line of human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells) and live chicks (65). The knockout strain also produced truncated flagella (65). Despite showing better attachment to the CaCo-2 cells (than the wild-type strain), the Cj1121c knockout strain failed at evading the CaCo-2 cells (than the wild-type strain), the Cj1121c knockout strain failed at evading the CaCo-2 cells and was unable to colonize the chicken intestine (65). The inhibition of the Pse acid biosynthesis pathway may thus be an efficient target to fight H. pylori and C. jejuni infections.

**L-Rha as structurally important element of gram-positive and mycobacterial cell walls**

In gram-positive bacteria the cell membrane is surrounded by a thick peptidoglycan layer. The precise composition of the peptidoglycan layer is versatile but generally consists of polysaccharide chains that are cross-linked by short peptides (69). Wall teichoic acid (WTA) molecules, capsule polysaccharides,
and proteins are anchored to the peptidoglycan layer (70–72). WTA丝 intertwine and extend outside with the peptidoglycan layer, and play an important role in the physiology and virulence of gram-positive bacteria (70, 72). Typically, WTA丝 are covalently linked to the polysaccharide chain of the peptidoglycan layer through a phosphodiester bond (70, 72). Although the structure of WTA丝 differs among different gram-positive species, they generally are composed of a linkage unit (two hexoses) and polymer consisting of alditol-phosphate units (also called polyl units), whose hydroxyl groups can be substituted with different molecules (for example, d-alanyl or d-glucosyl groups) (70, 72). It was observed that some pathogenic species including *Streptococcus suis*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus mutants*, and *Bacillus anthracis* synthesize a rhamnose-rich polysaccharide with similar functions as WTA丝 (73–76). A comprehensive review of l-Rha-containing cell wall polysaccharides in gram-positive bacteria has been previously provided by Mistou et al. (2016) (76). dTDP-l-Rha is a precursor of the various l-Rha-containing cell wall polysaccharides. When the dTDP-l-Rha biosynthesis pathway was inhibited, *S. suis*, *S. pyogenes*, *S. mutants*, and *B. anthracis* showed lower viability presumably due to lower cell wall stability, which in turn had a negative impact on the bacteria’s virulence (32, 33, 77, 78). l-Rha biosynthesis enzymes are not encoded by mammalian cells and have therefore been proposed as target for novel antibiotic drugs. 5- (4-chlorophenyl) -2-furoic acid has been shown to successfully inhibit the dTDP- l-Rha biosynthesis in different *Streptococcus* strains and also in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (33).

The cell wall of *M. tuberculosis* is constructed differently from gram-positive bacteria. Here, the peptidoglycan layer is covalently attached to a polysaccharide consisting of a galactofuran layer that is in turn attached to the branched arabinofuran layer, which is functionalized with mycolic acid chains (79, 80). An l-Rhaα(1→α3)-GlcNAc-linker unit connects the peptidoglycan layer to the galactofuran layer (79, 80). dTDP-l-Rha is the precursor molecule of that linker unit. It was shown that the 4,6-dehydratase in the dTDP-l-Rha biosynthesis pathway (RmlB, Table 1) is essential for the growth of *Mycobacteria* because strains where RmlB was knocked out did not grow (81). Furthermore, 11 compounds that inhibit either RmlB or other enzymes further along in the l-Rha biosynthesis pathway have been identified (82). Important to note here is that those reported compounds are structural analogs of RmlB’s dTDP-Glc substrate, either resembling the nucleotide anchor or the sugar moiety. It remains therefore important to investigate whether these compounds might also interfere with the enzymes involved in mammalian l-Fuc biosynthesis, which would ideally be avoided to prevent unwanted inhibition of the host’s enzymes.

### Rare deoxy sugars are an important structural element of macrolide antibiotics

On the other hand, dehydratases are also involved in the natural antibiotic biosynthesis pathways. Some *Streptomyces* species and *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* synthesize macrolide antibiotics that belong to the polyketide antibiotics and are mostly active against gram-positive bacteria (83). Macrolide antibiotics inhibit protein biosynthesis by targeting the ribosomal 50S subunit (83). They are constructed from macrocyclic lactone rings of different sizes, to which one or multiple deoxy or amino sugars are attached (83). Such deoxy and amino sugars include l-oassamine, D-olivose, l-rhodinose, D-desosamine, mycarose, oleandrose, forosamine, and epivancosamine, which are derived from NDP-Glc or GDP-Man (9, 84–89) (Figs. 2 and 3). Typically, the sugar units of macrolide antibiotics have undergone deoxygenation by 4,6-; 2,3-; and 3-dehydratases in at least two positions delivering deoxy, trideoxy, and tetradeoxy sugars that can subsequently be converted to amino sugars (9, 84–89) (Fig. 2). As antibiotic resistance is on the rise, the search for modifications of existing macrolide antibiotics focuses on the substitution of functional groups on the lactone ring as well as the alteration of the carbon chain length forming the macrocyclic ring (83). Another approach is the alteration, exchange, or removal of the attached sugar moieties (83, 90). This taps into the concept of glycodeiversification (also called glycorandomization), which describes the idea of increasing the diversity of natural compounds by altering their carbohydrate structures and thereby modulating their biological and pharmacokinetic activities, which is a promising strategy for drug development (10, 91–93).

### Bacterial deoxy/trideoxy/tetradeoxy sugar biosynthesis requires at least two different NS dehydratases

l-Colitose (l-Col, Fig. 1C) and Par/Tyv/Abe (Fig. 1D) are deoxy sugars found in the LPSs of some *E. coli*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *S. enterica*, and/or *Vibrio cholerae* strains (45, 94–96). Like in the GDP-l-Fuc and NDP-l-Rha biosynthesis, the first step is performed by a 4,6-dehydratase, after which a 3-dehydratase (ColD in the colitose pathways and E1 for Par/Tyv/Abe biosynthesis) removes the hydroxyl at C3 (Fig. 2) (94, 97). Finally, additional catalytic steps are required (e.g., reduction and/or epimerization) to obtain the final special sugars (94, 97). These deoxy sugars are then incorporated into polysaccharide structures and translocated to the cell surface to form the bacterial O-antigens as part of the LPS (45, 53, 94–96).

Moreover, several 2,3-dehydratases have been identified in different macrolide antibiotics biosynthesis pathways of various *Streptomyces* species and *S. spinosa* (9, 84–86, 88, 89). 2,3-Dehydratases convert the product of 4,6-dehydratases by removing the C2-hydroxyl group and introducing an additional keto-group at C3, thereby yielding a NDP-3,4-diketo-3,6-deoxyhexose intermediate, which undergoes further reductions,
dehydration, epimerization, and transamination reactions, yielding trideoxy, tetradeoxy, as well as amino sugars (Fig. 2) (6, 9).

**Different dehydratase reactivities on nucleoside diphosphate-N-acetylgalactosamine**

Four different dehydratases are active in pathways starting from UDP-GlcNAc or GDP-GlcNAc (Fig. 1, E and F), including retaining 4,6-dehydratase involved in the biosynthesis of UDP-Quinac, yeloamine, and UDP-N-acetyl-l-fucosamine (found in Bacillus cereus and P. aeruginosa, respectively) as well as in the biosynthesis of CMP-legionaminic acid (in C. jejuni and Legionella pneumophila) (98–102). The other dehydratases active on UDP-GlcNAc are the 5-inverting 4,6-dehydratases (performing an additional epimerization at C5) involved in the biosynthesis of Pse acid (in H. pylori and C. jejuni) (38) and also the 5,6-dehydratase (TunA in Streptomyces lysosuperificus) that yields UDP-6-deoxy-5,6-glycosene as an intermediate in the pathway for biosynthesis of tunicamycins (archetypal nucleoside antibiotics targeting the bacterial peptidoglycan biosynthesis and eukaryotic protein N-glycosylation) (103, 104).

As underlined by the aforementioned examples, dehydratases active on NS are present in all domains of life, where they play a key role in the biosynthetic pathways of bacterial (e.g., LPS, capsule, and other cell surface polysaccharides), mammalian (e.g., ABO antigens), and plant (e.g., cell wall polymers) glycans and saccharides (e.g., human milk oligosaccharide) and also for antibiotics and other secondary metabolite synthesis. A detailed description of these different pathways and other enzymes (e.g., reductases, ketoisomerases, aminotransferases, and acetyltransferases) involved in the deoxy and amino sugar biosynthesis, however, lies outside of the scope of this review.

**Nucleotide sugar dehydratases and natural evolution**

Although only a limited number of substrates (NDP-Glc, GDP-Man, and UDP/GDP-GlcNAc) are used by nature to expand the diversity of carbohydrate structures (and thus functions) in a process referred to as natural glycodiversification (105), more than 340 distinct appended carbohydrates (small selection shown in Fig. 1, A–E) have been found in natural products (106). The NS dehydratases highlighted here play a key role in the existence of many of these special carbohydrates due to the importance of both the deoxygenation and the introduced keto-functionality for consecutive chemical transformations (additional deoxygenation, amination, epimerization, reduction, etc.). Considering their position early in the biosynthesis pathways of unusual sugars, the wider diversity in specificities, and their broader phylogenetic distribution, one could speculate that the 4,6-dehydratases may have been among the first NS dehydratases to have come to existence. Further discussion on the evolution of NS dehydratases (or existence of the plethora of rare sugars), however, would become too speculative (certainly without additional ancestral analysis) and is thus out of the scope of our review. In the following sections, we will describe the application potential, structure, catalytic mechanisms, and substrate specificities of the presently known NS dehydratases.

**Classification & structure**

The NS-SDR-type dehydratases display a two-domain structure, which is typical for the extended SDR enzymes (2). The C-terminal domain takes on the Rossmann fold (alternating α-helices and β-sheets, which fold into a layer of β-sheets that is surrounded by multiple α-helices (107)) that contains the Glycine motif (Gx2,Gx1,G) involved in NAD+-cofactor binding and the catalytic dyad (Yx3K, Fig. 3, A and B) (2, 3). The N-terminal domain contains the NS-binding active site. The catalytic dyad can be extended to a triad ([ST]x2,Yx3K] with the conserved Ser/Thr in the NS-binding active site (Fig. 3, A and B and Table 1) whose importance during catalysis has been shown previously (3). For a detailed description of the extended SDR-fold structure, we refer to reviews by Kavanagh et al. (2) and Da Costa et al. (3).

Here, we will focus on the different active site structures that define the enzymes' substrate and product specificities. Within the NS-SDR enzymes, different signature sequence fingerprint motifs can be observed for each reactivity and thus also for the dehydratase specificities (3). These fingerprints have been summarized as the heptagonal box motif of the NS-SDR family. The seven walls of the heptagonal box surround the nucleotide sugar in the active site and determine the enzymes' substrate and product specificity (Fig. 3, A and B) (3). Based on these fingerprints, five different groups of NS dehydratases can be differentiated: four groups of 4,6-dehydratases with different NS substrates (i.e., dTDP/UDP-glucose, CDP-glucose, GDP-mannose, and UDP-GlcNAc/Glc, Fig. 1, A–E) and the fifth group of 5,6-dehydratases active on UDP-GlcNAc (Fig. 3 and Table 1) (3, 108). The 4,6-dehydratases in turn can be split up into two subgroups. Some 4,6-dehydratases are capable of an additional epimerization at C5 (C5-inverting), which differentiates them from the more common C5-retaining 4,6-dehydratases (38, 41).

The most important heptagonal box walls for all NS-SDR enzymes are the cyan and yellow walls, containing the catalytic dyad (Yx3K) and the additional acid/base residue(s) needed for dehydratase activity, respectively (3). It is interesting to notice that different additional acid/base residues achieve the same 4,6-dehydration, namely an Asp–Lys couple for CDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase, an Asp–Glu couple for both dTDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase, and UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase (UGND), or a single Glu in case of GDP-Man 4,6-dehydratase (GMD) (Table 1) (3).

**Mechanism**

**General mechanism: Nucleoside diphosphate-Glc 4,6-dehydratase (retaining)**

This section aims to give a generalized overview of the mechanisms involved in the conversion of NDP-glucose (NDP-Glc 1) to NDP-4k6d-Glc 4. The retaining NDP-Glc
Figure 3. Structure and active site architecture of the NS-SDR-type dehydratases and the comparison of their different reactivities. Two representative crystal structures were chosen, namely one 4,6-dehydratase (FlaA1) and one 5,6-dehydratase (Pen). The N-terminal end is colored blue, and the C-terminal end is colored red. A, crystal structure of an NS 4,6-dehydratase monomer from Helicobacter pylori (FlaA1, PDB-ID: 2GN4) with UDP-GlcNAc and NADP⁺ bound in the active site. B, crystal structure of an NS 5,6-dehydratase monomer from Bacillus thuringiensis (Pen, PDB-ID: 6BWC, note that S128 and...
dehydratases are dTDP-glucose 4,6-dehydratase, CDP-glucose 4,6-dehydratase, and UGND (Table 1). The reaction mechanism of NS-SDR 4,6-dehydratases shares common steps with other NS-SDR enzymes, of which the UDP-Glc 4-epimerase (GalE) is best understood (109).

Similar to GalE (109), 4,6-dehydratases are expected to undergo a conformational change upon substrate binding (110, 111). The conformational change is likely induced through binding of the nucleotide anchor (42), which causes the active site to be covered by an alpha helix (110, 111).

A key feature of all NS-SDR enzymes is the first catalytic step, the oxidation of the glycosyl C4 (3). A hydride is transferred between the NS substrate and enzyme-bound NAD(P)+ cofactor (3, 109, 112). The different 4,6-dehydratase reactions are also initiated through a C4-oxidation of their NS substrate by the NAD+ cofactor and the conserved tyrosine (Yx3K) (Fig. 3, A and B) (3, 42, 110). The nicotinamide ring of the NAD+ cofactor abstracts a hydride from the glycosyl C4 (110). Simultaneously, the catalytic tyrosine acts as the catalytic base and abstracts a proton from the C4-hydroxyl group (C4-OH) to complete the 4-epimerization (109). In the following paragraphs, we will discuss the details and peculiarities of the different dehydratase specificities that divert from this more classical retaining NDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase mechanism.

**Table 1**

| Dehydratase                  | Abbrev. | Other names | Catalytic triad (incl. acid/base) | Acid | Base | References |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------------|
| 4-epimerase                  | GalE    |             | [ST]x3YxK                        |      |      | (3, 109, 128, 129) |
| UDP-Glc 4-epimerase          |         |             | [ST]DEx3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Glu  | (3, 42, 130) |
| dTDP/UDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase | UGD, dTGD | DesIV, R141 | [ST]TxE3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Lys  | (3, 131, 132) |
| CDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase      | CGD     |             | [ST]TxE3YxK, K                    | Glu  | Water (+Glu) | (3, 115) |
| GDP-Man 4,6-dehydratase      | GMD     |             | [ST]TxE3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Glu  | (3, 115) |
| UDP-GlcNac 4,6-dehydratase   | UGNDr   |             | [ST]TxE3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Glu  | (3, 115) |
| UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase   | WPbM, Pf |             | [ST]TxE3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Glu  | (3, 115) |
| UDP-glucosamine synthase     | SQDi, Abl |             | [ST]QxE3YxK, K                    | Asp  | Glu  | (3, 115) |

C4-oxidation is followed by the dehydration and reduction of the glycosyl C6 (28, 111, 113). The additional catalytic acid and base accomplish the elimination of water (dehydration) and generate a 4-keto-5,6-glycosene intermediate 3 (28, 42) (Fig. 3, black). Interestingly, the catalytic acid and base responsible for this dehydration are distinct for the different dehydratase specificities (Table 1) (3). For the dehydration of C6, the catalytic acid of the yellow wall (typically: Asp, Fig. 3, A and B) protonates C6-OH, thereby eliminating water from C6 (42). Subsequently, the neighboring catalytic base (typically: Glu or Lys) abstracts a proton from the C5, generating the NDP-4-keto-5,6-glycosene intermediate 3. The last catalytic step is the reduction of the glycosyl C6. A hydride will be transferred back to C6 from the cofactor, while the proton is transferred back to C5 by the catalytic base (Glu or Lys) (114). The original conformation at C5 is retained (retaining activity) (28). The final NDP-4k6d-hexose 4 product is formed and leaves the active site (Fig. 3, green) (114). In the following paragraphs, we will discuss the details and peculiarities of the different dehydratase specificities that divert from this more classical retaining NDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratase mechanism.

**Dehydration mechanism in GDP-Man 4,6-dehydratase only uses one additional catalytic residue**

The GMD is also a retaining 4,6-dehydratase, yielding GDP-4k6d-Man 4 from GDP-Man 1 (115). Overall, the oxidation of C4 and the dehydration and reduction of C6 are catalyzed similarly as in other NS-SDR 4,6-dehydratases. However, this enzyme displays a more parsimonious dehydration mechanism in comparison to the NDP-Glc 4,6-dehydratases, as was shown by the Nidecky group (115). GMD contains only one additional catalytic residue (Glu) that serves as catalytic acid and
indirectly transfers a proton to C5 via a water molecule in the active site (Fig. 3, green) (115).

**C5-inverting UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase**

Rather interestingly, some UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratases are known to possess a C5-inverting activity (UGND), yielding UDP-4k6d-l-IdoNAc 5 (sometimes also called UDP-4k6d-l-AltNAc 5, Fig. 3, orange) (38, 40). In this case, the proton is transferred back at the opposite side of the C5 (and the hydride to C6), resulting in the additional C5-epimerization step (38, 40). To date, however, it could not be determined which active site residues may be involved in this additional 5-epimerization (38, 40). It could merely be shown that the proton that is transferred back to C5 during the epimerization step is derived from water inside the active site (38).

**UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratases without the catalytic tyrosine (Mx3K)**

WbpM from *P. aeruginosa* and PglF from *C. jejuni* are other special UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase variants. WbpM is particularly interesting as its structure can be subdivided into two regions: the C-terminal domains with the typical NS-SDR dehydratase characteristics and an N-terminal region that acts as membrane anchor and targets WbpM to the inner membrane of *P. aeruginosa* (116). It was shown that the two WbpM regions could be split up and that the C-terminal region is fully functional as 4,6-dehydratase when linked to a His-tag instead (41, 116).

In the C-terminal 4,6-dehydratase region of WbpM and in PglF, the typical catalytic Tyr has been substituted by a methionine (Met) (41, 67, 116). It was demonstrated that both WbpM and PglF are still active without the catalytic Tyr in the cyan wall (Table 1) (41, 67, 116).

The M438Y variant of WbpM was created and compared to the FlaA1 Y141M variant (an inverting UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase, Table 1) (41). Interestingly, both variants retained some activity. Contrary to the author’s expectations, the *Kₐ* and *kₐ* values of WbpM M438Y decreased (compared to the wild-type WbpM), despite the variant containing the typical NS-SDR catalytic diad (YX₃K) (41). From the analysis of both variants, it was hypothesized that the Met would still act as catalytic base with a higher local p*Kₐ* in the active site (than Tyr of FlaA1) (41). However, in a later study on the crystal structure of PglF, the new catalytic mechanism for the 4,6-dehydratases containing the Mx₃K dyad was proposed (67). It was found that the Met does not act as a general base as previously suggested based on the WbpM studies (41, 67). Instead, it was shown that the combination of Thr395 and Asp396 from the yellow wall removes the proton from the C4-OH (C4-oxidation) (67). The neighboring Lys (Lys397) is involved in the dehyrdratation and reprotonation of C6 (67).

**5,6-Dehydratase yields an exo-glycal product**

TunA from *S. hyosuperificus* was the first reported UDP-GlcNAc 5,6-dehydratase (103, 104). The 5,6-dehydratase activity was later also observed in two more enzymes, namely in Pen from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, also a UDP-GlcNAc 5,6-dehydratase (117), and in the UDP-sulfoquinovose synthase in the absence of sulfite (converts UDP-Glc) (118).

The first two steps during catalysis of TunA are identical to those in 4,6-dehydratases. The final reduction step, however, proceeds differently: the proton is transferred back to C4 and the hydride to the keto-functionality (103). This altered reduction results in the formation of an exo-glycal product, NDP-6-deoxy-5,6-glycosene 6, where a double bond is formed outside the ring between C5 and C6 (103) (Fig. 3, pink). When comparing TunA to 4,6-dehydratases, the conserved Asp/Glu acid/base couple has been substituted by Cys/Glu. The active-site Cys likely allows the altered reactivity of TunA (103). Although expected to act as a general acid, the cysteine’s higher p*Kₐ* may prevent unwanted protonation. The Cys may also provide more favorable hydrophobic interactions with the formed C=C double bond (103).

Quite interestingly, Pen’s catalytic triad and acid/base residues (TDKx₃Yx₃K) differ from those of TunA (TCEx₃Yx₃K) and correspond to those in the UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratases (TDKx₃Yx₃K, Table 1 and Fig. 3, red) (62, 117). Considering the enzyme’s active site architecture, the catalytic mechanism likely shares common steps with the UDP-GlcNAc 5-inverting 4,6-dehydratases (62). The oxidation of C4 and water elimination at C6 are proposed to apply the same mechanism (62, 117).

Strikingly, there seems to be one common difference between both UDP-GlcNAc 5,6-dehydratases (TunA and Pen) compared to the inverting UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratases (*i.e.*, PseB [formerly Cj1293] from *C. jejuni*) (62). In TunA and Pen, the nicotinamide ring of the NAD(P)⁺ cofactor is angled toward C4 of the GlcNAc moiety, whereas it is parallel to the glycosyl ring in PseB (62, 117). The differently angled nicotinamide ring causes an increased distance to the glycosyl C6 that might prevent reprotonation at C6 in the 5,6-dehydratases, which is the essential step to complete 4,6-dehydration (62).

**Modified dehydratase mechanism for UDP-sulfoquinovose synthase results in 5,6-dehydration in the absence of sulfite**

The UDP-sulfoquinovose synthase (AgI3 (119) or SQD1 (120)) normally converts UDP-Glc and sulfite to UDP-sulfoquinovose (Fig. 3, purple). This activated form of sulfoquinovose is required for its incorporation into glycoconjugates or glycoprotein N-glycans (118–120). Recent structure determination and point mutation studies of AgI3 allowed in-depth mechanistic analyses (118). Its complex reaction cycle is suggested to be a modified dehydratase mechanism that includes the following steps: oxidation, dehyrdratation, enolization, sulfite addition, and reprotonation (118). Different reaction products can be formed dependent on the type and the amount of substrate. In the absence of sulfite, this enzyme acts as a 5,6-dehydratase yielding UDP-Glc-5,6-ene 7 instead of the UDP-sulfoquinovose 8 if sulfite is present (118) (Fig. 3, purple).
2,3-Dehydratases from the Nudix superfamily

Classification & structure

Multiple dTDP-Glc 2,3-dehydratases have been identified and are involved in the production of highly deoxylgenated dTDP-hexoses during antibiotic biosynthesis (84–89, 121). The identified dTDP-Glc 2,3-dehydratases include among others Gra27, TylX3, OleV, OssT, and Urds, which are involved in granaticin, tylosin, oleandomycin, ossamycin, and urdamycin biosynthesis, respectively, and occur in different Streptomyces species (84–88). Other examples are SpnO from Saccharopolyspora spinosa (spinosyn biosynthesis) (121) and EvaA from A. orientalis (chloroeremomycin biosynthesis) (89).

Despite the increasing number of reported NS 2,3-dehydratase homologs, only EvaA is well characterized, and we will thus focus on EvaA in the following section. EvaA belongs to the Nudix hydrolase superfamily, which stands for “NDP linked to some other moiety, X” (6, 122). Members of the Nudix hydrolase superfamily are often referred to as “housekeeping genes” as they are involved in the degradation of toxic metabolites (4, 122). Enzymes of the Nudix hydrolase superfamily are characterized by a 23-amino-acid signature motif which is located in a helix–loop–helix structural motif (Gx5Ex7REUxKEx2U, U = I, L or V) (4). EvaA forms a dimer, of which each subunit folds into two domains with two separate NS-binding pockets A and B (Fig. 4A) (6). Pocket A functions as the active site, while pocket B is an inactive leftover from a gene duplication event (6).

Mechanism

A catalytic mechanism for this 2,3-dehydratase was proposed based on an analogous two-step reaction for the formation of dTDP-2,6-dideoxyhexoses (88). This two-step reaction is a part of the granaticin, oleandomycin, and tylosin biosynthesis pathways that also start from dTDP-4-keto-Glc 4 (Figs. 2 and 4) (6, 88, 123). Despite the lack of amino acid residues within 3.5 Å of the substrate analog in the EvaA crystal structure, it was suggested that an active site catalytic base abstracts a proton from C3, yielding an enolate intermediate 9 (6). In the following step, the proton would be delivered to C2-OH, which causes the elimination of water at C2 (6). Afterward, the catalytic base abstracts a proton from C3-OH and delivers it back to C2 to yield the dTDP-3,4-diketo-2,6-dideoxy hexose 10 (Fig. 4B) (6). Mutagenesis of the active site residues E139 and E190 from pocket A (Fig. 4A) led to a complete loss of activity, indicating that both residues may be involved in catalysis (6). Mutation of E352 and D353 in pocket B retained activity of the enzyme, indicating that only pocket A was participating in catalysis (6).

Figure 4. Structure and mechanism of the Nudix hydrolase superfamily dehydratases. A, crystal structure of NS 2,3-dehydratase monomer from Amycolatopsis orientalis (EvaA) harboring dTDP-L-fucose in the active site (pocket A) and dTDP-L-rhamnose in the second remnant substrate-binding pocket B (PDB-ID: 4J7G). Inside pocket A, the residues E139 and E190 are highlighted since they are likely involved in catalysis of EvaA. B, the active site base from EvaA abstracts a proton from C3 that is delivered to C2-OH, causing the elimination of water at C2. Afterward, the catalytic base abstracts a proton from C3-OH and delivers it back to C2 yielding the dTDP-3,4-diketo-2,6-dideoxy hexose. (Figure adapted from Kubiak et al., 2013). dTDP, deoxythymidine diphosphate.
3-Dehydratases: Relatives of aminotransferases

Classification & structure

Different NS 3-dehydratases with three different substrate preferences have been identified in the biosynthetic pathways of complex bacterial deoxy and amino sugars. E1 from Y. pseudotuberculosis (7, 124), ColD from different pathogenic gram-negative bacteria (8, 94, 96, 125), and SpnQ from S. spinosa and S. poga (9, 121) are well characterized. Other NS 3-dehydratases have been identified, but not further characterized in vitro, in the biosynthesis pathways of urdamycin and osamycin (84, 85).

E1, ColD, and SpnQ are homologs of ASATs, which are illustrated by typical ASAT properties in their protein structures and reaction mechanisms (7, 125). Fittingly, E1 has been titled the “crossroads of dehydration, amino transfer, and epimerization” by Smith et al. (7). The crystal structures of E1 and ColD have been solved at high resolutions (1.9 Å and 1.8 Å, respectively, Fig. 5A) (8, 96, 124, 125). NS 3-dehydratases are dimeric proteins that take on a mixed β-strand fold that is surrounded by α-helices, which strongly resembles the overall fold of ASATs (7, 124, 125). The number of β-sheets varies depending on the enzyme (seven β-strand in E1, eight β-strand in ColD, Fig. 5A). The large buried surface area harbors the active site that is mainly constructed by one subunit and complemented with a loop from the second subunit (124, 125). The dipole moment from one helix points its positive end toward the pyridoxamine 5’-phosphate (PMP) cofactor and helps to bind it in the structure (124, 125). E1 and SpnQ additionally coordinated an iron–sulfur cluster [2Fe-2S] that is absent in ColD (9, 124–126). Both enzymes harbor a [2Fe-2S]-binding motif in their sequence that is characterized by the presence of four cysteines surrounding the PMP cofactor (Fig. 5A) (9, 124, 126). The most important difference compared to ASATs is the substitution of the conserved catalytic lysine with a histidine inside of the active site (Fig. 5A) (9, 124, 125). The catalytic histidine acts as catalytic base and acid throughout catalysis and likely initiates the reaction through proton abstraction from the cofactor that leads to the expulsion of the C3-OH (125).

Mechanism

E1 and ColD catalyze the C3-deoxygenation of CDP-4k6d-Glc and GDP-4k6d-Man 4, respectively, yielding NDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-hexose 13 as the product (94, 124). SpnQ catalyzes the formation of dTDP-4-keto-2,3,6-trideoxy-Glc 13 by eliminating the C3-OH from dTDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-Glc 4 (9). In all three enzymes, the reaction starts analogously with the formation of a Schiff-base 11 between the PMP cofactor and their NDP-4k6d-hexose/NDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-hexose substrate (9, 124, 125). Subsequently, a proton is abstracted from C4 of PMP by the catalytic histidine, which leads to the expulsion of the C3-OH and the formation of an NDP-3,4,6-dehydratase 12 (Fig. 5B) (9, 124, 125). The following steps for the reduction of the intermediate differ between E1, ColD, and SpnQ.

In ColD the 3,4-aminomannose-intermediate-cofactor-complex 12 is hydrolyzed yielding pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) and an enamine sugar (125). The amino group at C4 is eliminated by tautomerization followed by hydrolysis generating the final GDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-mannose product 13 and NH4+ (Fig. 5, green) (125). A transamination reaction with glutamate as an amino donor regenerates the PMP cofactor (125).

In E1, the CDP-3,4-glucose intermediate 12 is reduced by a two-step electron transfer cascade that involves a second [2Fe-2S]-cluster containing enzyme called E3 (Fig. 5, yellow) (124). The NADH cofactor from E3 transfers an electron to E3’s flavin adenine dinucleotide cofactor to start the reaction. Two electrons are subsequently transferred between the iron–sulfur clusters from E3 to E1 leading to the reduction of the CDP-3,4-glucose intermediate to CDP-4-keto-3,6-deoxy-Glc 13 (7, 124).

In SpnQ the electron transfer may proceed similarly as in E1, with the difference being that ferredoxin/ferredoxin reductase and flavodoxin/flavodoxin reductase pairs are used instead of the E1-specific enzyme E3 (Fig. 5, purple) (9). Interestingly, without a reductase present, SpnQ shows an aminotransferase activity when glutamate and PLP are added in sufficient amounts (Fig. 5, purple) 14 (9). When ColD and E1 are applied to similar conditions, the transferase activity was not observed (9). In the H220K variant in which the conserved histidine in E1 was substituted with a lysine (as typically conserved in ASATs), however, a transamination reaction occurred (127). But the reaction was rendered “non-catalytic,” as the PLP cofactor could not be regenerated for subsequent reaction cycles, possibly due to disturbed cofactor binding in the H220K variant (127).

Conclusion

Although the concept of enzymatic glycodiversification of natural products has first been described about 2 decades ago, we are still far from reaching that goal (10, 91). Despite well-characterized representatives of each NS dehydratase group and our good understanding of the catalytic mechanisms, neither biocatalysis nor efficient biotransformation pathways to produce deoxy/amino sugars on large scale under industrial conditions have been reported yet. More data mining and biochemical characterization of putative enzymes will be necessary to identify thermostable and promiscuous in biocatalysis and our good understanding of the catalytic mechanisms, neither biocatalysis nor efficient biotransformation pathways to produce deoxy/amino sugars on large scale under industrial conditions have been reported yet. More data mining and biochemical characterization of putative enzymes will be necessary to identify thermostable and promiscuous individuals and also to identify possible new dehydratase specificities. Engineering studies could help to further understand the precise catalytic mechanism of existing ones, like the determination of the residues involved and the mechanism of the additional 5-epimerization of the inverting UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratases. One of the main hurdles in that process is the availability of the NS substrates and the instability of pathway intermediates. Typical biochemical and kinetic parameters (temperature and pH optimum, KM and kcat) are the basis of enzymology and biocatalysis. Although the characterization of most 4,6-dehydratases is still relatively easy, as dTDP-/UDP-/GDP-Glc, UDP-GlcNAc, and GDP-Man are
Figure 5. Structure and mechanism of the 3-dehydratase. A, crystal structure of NS 3-dehydratase monomer from *Escherichia coli* (ColD). GDP-perosamine is covalently bound to the pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) cofactor and is trapped in the structure (PDB-ID: 3B8X, please note that K188 was mutated back to H188 using the PyMOL mutagenesis tool in the display of the active site). B, reaction mechanisms of the different 3-dehydratases. The catalyzed reaction of 3-dehydratases starts with the formation of a Schiff base. E1, ColD, and SpnQ form an NDP-3,4-hexose-intermediate 12. Subsequently, the pathways split, and the following steps are catalyzed differently by the enzymes. It can be differentiated between a ColD-like and an E1/SpnQ-like pathway. In the ColD-like pathway, the intermediate is hydrolyzed. In the E1/SpnQ-like pathway, a reductase is used to regenerate the cofactor and release the product. Additionally, SpnQ can perform a transamination reaction when sufficient PLP and glutamate are added to the reaction mix. (Figure adapted from Hong et al., 2006 & 2008 (9, 121)). NDP, nucleoside diphosphate; NS, nucleotide sugar.
commercially available (CDP-Glc, however, is not), enzymes further along in the biosynthesis pathways are, naturally, difficult to characterize due to the lack of substrates. Without the knowledge of the aforementioned biochemical and kinetic parameters of all involved enzymes, it is virtually impossible to optimize a biocatalytic pathway to the point where rare sugar (and/or glycoside) synthesis becomes economically feasible. Metabolic engineering may also be a helpful tool to synthesize rare sugars. The lack of substrates also hinders the development of enzyme inhibitors as new antibiotic agents. High-throughput screening of the enzymes involved in deoxy sugar biosynthesis is usually limited by the substrate cost and also by the lack of appropriate screening methods.

In short, NS dehydratases are a group of intriguing enzymes, found in all domains of life and of major importance for different biological functions. Building on a strong basis of previous fundamental research, an interesting yet challenging future awaits these enzymes, for example, in the fields of applied biological chemistry (e.g., antibiotic synthesis) and systems biocatalysis (e.g., specialty carbohydrate synthesis).

Acknowledgments—The authors gratefully acknowledge the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen) for financial support via the DeoxyBioCat project (grant no. G0A7520N).

Author contributions—U. V. and K. B. conceptualization; U. V. and K. B. investigation; K. B. and T. D. resources; U. V. writing—original draft; K. B. writing—review and editing; U. V. and K. B. visualization; K. B. and T. D. supervision; T. D. project administration; K. B. and G. D. supervision; L. Fuc, L-fucose; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; GDP-Man 4,6-dehydratase; ASAT, aspartame aminotransferases; dTDP, deoxyglucosamine; Glc, glucose; GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; GMD, GDP-Man 4,6-dehydratase; 1-Fuc, L-fucose; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; 1-Rha, 1-rhamnose; Man, mannose; NDP, nucleoside diphosphate; NS, nucleotide sugar; NS-SDR, NS active short chain dehydrogenase/reductase; Par, paratose; PLP, pyridoxal phosphate; PMP, pyrophosphate; Pse, pseudaminic; QuiNAc, N-acetyl-glucosamine; PyGlu, pyruvate; UDP, uridine diphosphate; UGND, UDP-GlcNAc 4,6-dehydratase; WTA, wall teichoic acid.

References

1. Romo, A. J., and Liu, H. W. (2011) Mechanisms and structures of vitamin B 6-dependent enzymes involved in deoxy sugar biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1814, 1534–1547
2. Kavanagh, K. L., Jörnvall, H., Persson, B., and Oppermann, U. (2008) Medium- and short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase gene and protein families: The SDR superfamily: Functional and structural diversity within a family of metabolic and regulatory enzymes. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65, 3895–3906
3. Da Costa, M., Gevaert, O., Van Overveldt, S., Lange, J., Joosten, H. I., Desmet, T., and Beerens, K. (2021) Structure-function relationships in NDP-sugar active SDR enzymes: Fingerprinters for functional annotation and enzyme engineering. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 48, 107075
4. McLennan, A. G. (2006) The Nudix hydrolase superfamily. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 63, 123–143
5. Mildvan, A. S., Xia, Z., Azurmendi, H. F., Saraswat, V., Legler, P. M., Massiah, M. A., Gabelli, S. B., Bianchet, M. A., Kang, L. W., and Amzel, L. M. (2005) Structures and mechanisms of Nudix hydrolases. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 433, 129–143
6. Kubiak, R. L., Thoden, J. B., and Holden, H. M. (2013) Structure of EvaA: A paradigm for sugar 2,3-dehydratases. *Biochemistry* 52, 2078–2088
7. Smith, P., Szu, P. H., Bui, C., Liu, H. W., and Tsai, S. C. (2008) Structure and mutagenic conversion of E1 dehydrase: At the crossroads of dehydration, amino transfer, and epimerization. *Biochemistry* 47, 6329–6341
8. Cook, P. D., and Holden, H. M. (2008) GDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-d-mannose 3-dehydratase, accommodating a sugar substrate in the active site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 4295–4303
9. Hong, L., Zhao, Z., and Liu, H. W. (2006) Characterization of SpnQ from the spinosyn biosynthetic pathway of *Saccharopolyspora spinosa*: Mechanistic and evolutionary implications for C-3 deoxygenation in deoxysugar biosynthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128, 14262–14263
10. Thibodeaux, C. J., Melançon, C. E., and Liu, H. W. (2008) Natural-product sugar biosynthesis and enzymatic glycodiversification. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 47, 9814–9859
11. Jiang, N., Dillon, F. M., Silva, A., Gomez-Cano, L., and Groteveld, E. (2021) Rhamnose in plants - from biosynthesis to diverse functions. *Plant Sci.* 302, 110687
12. Picante, F., Gaglianone, M., Laugieri, M. E., and Tonetti, M. G. (2015) The autonomous glycosylation of large DNA viruses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 29315–29328
13. Schneider, M., Al-Sharefi, E., and Haltiwanger, R. S. (2017) Biological functions of fucose in mammals. *Glycobiology* 27, 601–618
14. Becker, D. I., and Lowe, J. B. (2003) Fucose: Biosynthesis and biological function in mammals. *Glycobiology* 13, 41R–53R
15. Foster, D. W., and Ginsburg, V. (1961) Biosynthesis of L-fucose by mammalian tissue. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 54, 376–378
16. Wagstaff, B. A., Zorzoli, A., and Dorfmüller, H. C. (2021) NDP-rhamnose biosynthesis and rhamnolipase: Building diverse glycoconjugates in nature. *Biochem. J.* 478, 685–701
17. Strasser, R. (2016) Plant protein glycosylation. *Glycobiology* 26, 926–939
18. Lerouge, L., and Vanderleyden, J. (2002) O-antigen structural variation: Mechanisms and possible roles in animal/plant-microbe interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 26, 17–47
19. Guberman, M., Bräutigam, M., and Seeberger, P. H. (2019) Automated glycan assembly of Lewis type I and II oligosaccharide antigens. *Chem. Sci.* 10, 5634–5660
20. Bode, L. (2015) The functional biology of human milk oligosaccharides. *Early Hum. Dev.* 91, 619–622
21. Mohnen, D. (2008) Pectin structure and biosynthesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 266–277
22. Bozzo, G. G., and Unterlander, N. (2021) In through the out door: Biochemical mechanisms affecting flavonoid glycoside catalysis in plants. *Plant Sci.* 308, 110904
23. Wagstaff, B. A., Rezjek, M., Kuhauodomlarp, S., Hill, L., Mascia, L., Nepogodiev, S. A., Dorfmüller, H. C., and Field, R. A. (2019) Discovery of an RmIC/D fusion protein in the microalga *Pinnularia parvus* and its implications for NDP-L-rhamnose biosynthesis in microalgae. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 9172–9185
24. Yin, Y., Huang, J., Gu, X., Bar-Peled, M., and Xu, Y. (2011) Evolution of plant nucleotide sugar interconversion enzymes. *PLoS One* 6, e27995
25. Bockhaus, N. J., Ferek, J. D., Thoden, J. B., and Holden, H. M. (2020) The high-resolution structure of a UDP-L-rhamnose synthase from *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* Mimivirus. *Protein Sci.* 29, 2164–2174
26. Yin, S., Liu, M., and Kong, J. Q. (2016) Functional analyses of OcRhS1 and OcUER1 involved in UDP-L-rhamnose biosynthesis in the microalga *Pinnularia parvus* and its implications for NDP-L-rhamnose biosynthesis in microalgae. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 9172–9185
27. Oka, T., Némoto, T., and Igami, Y. (2007) Functional analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana RHM2/MUM4, a multidomain protein involved in UDP-D-glucose to UDP-L-rhamnose conversion. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 5389–5403
28. Dong, C., Beis, K., Giraud, M.-F., Blankenfeldt, W., Allard, S., Major, L. L., Kerr, I. D., Whitfield, C., and Naismith, J. H. (2003) A structural
perspective on the enzymes that convert dTDP-D-glucose into dTDP-L-rhamnose. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 31, 532–536
29. Tonetti, M., Zanardi, D., Gurnon, J. R., Fruscione, F., Armirotti, A., Damonte, G., Sturla, L., De Flora, A., and Van Etten, J. L. (2003) Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus 1 encodes two enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of GDP-L-fucose and GDP-D-rhamnose. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 21559–21565
30. Bonin, C. P., Potter, I., Vanzini, G. F., and Reiter, W. D. (1997) The MUR1 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana encodes an isomerase of GDP-D-mannose-4,6-dehydratase, catalyzing the first step in the de novo synthesis of GDP-L-fucose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 94, 2085–2090
31. Beerens, K., Gevaert, O., and Desmet, T. (2022) GDP-mannose 3,5-epimerase: A view on structure, mechanism, and industrial potential. Front. Mol. Biosci. 8, 784142
32. Gokey, T., Halavaty, A. S., Minasov, G., Anderson, W. F., and Kuhn, M. (2020) Mechanistic and structural studies into the biosynthesis of GDP-L-fucose. Biochem. Soc. Trans.
33. van der Beek, S. L., Zorzoli, A., Çanak, E., Chapman, F. N., Lucas, K., van der Wees, J. G., de Vries, J. C., Chapman, R. N., Lucas, K., Halvorsen, I. C., and Tanner, M. E. (2008) Mechanistic studies on PseB of pseudaminic acid biosynthesis: A UDP-GlcNAc C6 dehydratase/C4 reductase. Front. Mol. Biosci. 26, 89–99
34. Li, X. (2020) Functional analysis of PpRHM1 and PpRHM2 involved in O-antigen resistance, and oral virulence of Shigella O antigens and its genetic basis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 390, 984–991
35. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
36. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
37. Li, X. (2020) Functional analysis of PpRHM1 and PpRHM2 involved in O-antigen resistance, and oral virulence of Shigella O antigens and its genetic basis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 390, 984–991
38. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
39. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
40. Liu, B., Knirel, Y. A., Feng, L., Perpelev, A. V., Senchenkova, S. N., Wang, Q., Reeves, P. R., and Wang, L. (2008) Structure and genetics of Shigella O antigens. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 627–653
41. Liu, B., Knirel, Y. A., Feng, L., Perpelev, A. V., Senchenkova, S. N., Wang, Q., Reeves, P. R., and Wang, L. (2008) Structure and genetics of Shigella O antigens. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 627–653
42. Rocchetta, H. L., Burrows, L. L., and Lam, J. S. (1999) Genetics of O-antigen biosynthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63, 523–553
43. Rocchetta, H. L., Burrows, L. L., and Lam, J. S. (1999) Genetics of O-antigen biosynthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63, 523–553
44. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
45. Li, H., Liao, T., Debowski, A. W., Tang, H., Nilsson, H., Stubbs, K. A., Marshall, B. J., and Benghezal, M. (2016) Lipopolysaccharide structure and biosynthesis in Helicobacter pylori. Helicobacter 21, 445–461
46. Liu, B., Knirel, Y. A., Feng, L., Perpelev, A. V., Senchenkova, S. N., Wang, Q., Reeves, P. R., and Wang, L. (2008) Structure and genetics of Shigella O antigens. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 627–653
47. Liu, B., Knirel, Y. A., Feng, L., Perpelev, A. V., Senchenkova, S. N., Wang, Q., Reeves, P. R., and Wang, L. (2008) Structure and genetics of Shigella O antigens. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 627–653
74. Caliot, É., Dramsi, S., Chapot-Chartier, M.-P., Courtin, P., Kulakauskas, M. Li, W., Xin, Y., McNeil, M. R., and Ma, Y. (2006) RmlB and rmlC genes are essential for growth of mycobacteria. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 723–732.

75. McCarty, M. (1952) The lysis of group A hemolytic streptococci by penicillin. J. Exp. Med. 96, 569–580.

76. Mistou, M. Y., Sutcliffe, I. C., Black, G. W., and Harrington, D. J. (2008) Bioinformatic insights into the biosynthesis of the group B carbohydrate in Streptococcus agalactiae. Microbiology 154, 1354–1363.

77. van der Beek, S. L., Le Breton, Y., Ferenbach, A. T., Chapman, R. N., van Herwaarden, I., Beech, P. J., McVie, K. S., van Sorge, N. M., and Dorfmueller, H. C. (2015) GacA is essential for group A streptococcus and defines a new class of monomeric dTDP-4-dehydrohamnose reductases (RmlD). Mol. Microbiol. 98, 946–962.

78. Bischer, A. P., Kovacs, C. J., Faustoferri, R. C., and Quivey, R. G. (2020) Disruption of L-rhamnose biosynthesis results in severe growth defects in Streptococcus mutans. J. Bacteriol. 202, e00728-19.

79. Brennen, P. J., and Nikaido, H. (1995) The envelope of mycobacteria. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 64, 29–63.

80. Brennen, P. (2003) Structure, function, and biogenesis of the cell wall of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Tuberculosis 83, 91–97.

81. Li, W., Xin, Y., McNeil, M. R., and Ma, Y. (2006) RmlB and rmlC genes are essential for growth of mycobacteria. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 342, 170–178.

82. Ma, Y., Stern, R. J., Scherman, M. S., Vissa, V. D., Yan, W., Jones, V. C., Zhang, F., Franzblau, S. G., Lewis, W. H., and McNeil, M. R. (2001) Drug targeting Mycobacterium tuberculosis wall synthesis: Genetics of dTDP-rhamnose synthetase enzymes and development of a Microtiter plate-based screen for inhibitors of conversion of dTDP-glucose to dTDP-rhamnose. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 45, 1407–1416.

83. Dinos, G. P. (2017) The macrolide antibiotic renaissance. Br. J. Pharmacol. 174, 2967–2983.

84. Bilyk, O., Samborskyi, M., and Leadlay, P. F. (2019) The biosynthetic pathway to oasycamin, a macrocyclic polyketide bearing a spiroacetal moiety. PLoS One 14, e0215958.

85. Hofmann, J., Ichinose, K., Domann, S., Faust, B., Trefzer, A., Dräger, G., Kirschning, A., Fischer, C., Künzle, E., Bearden, D., Rohr, J., and Bechthold, A. (2000) The NDP-sugar co-substrate concentration and the enzyme expression level influence the substrate specificity of glycosyltransferases: Cloning and characterization of deoxyxoyogobiosynthetenic genes of the urdamycin biosynthetic gene cluster. Chem. Biol. 7, 821–831.

86. Aguirrezabalaga, I., Olozo, C., Allende, N., Rodriguez, L., Braha, A. F., Méndez, C., and Salas, J. A. (2000) Identification and expression of genes involved in biosynthesis of oleandrose and its intermediate olivose in the oleandomycin producer Streptomyces antibioticus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44, 1266–1275.

87. Chen, H., Agnihotri, G., Guo, Z., Que, N. L. S., Chen, X. H., and Liu, H.-W. (1999) Biosynthesis of mycarose: Isolation and characterization of enzymes involved in the C-2 deoxygenation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 8124–8125.

88. Draeger, G., Park, S. H., and Floss, H. G. (1999) Mechanism of the 2-deoxygenation step in the biosynthesis of the deoxyhexose moieties of the antibiotics ganamycin and oleandomycin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 2611–2612.

89. Chen, H., Thomas, M. G., Hubbard, B. K., Losey, H. C., Walsh, C. T., and Burkart, M. D. (2000) Deoxysugars in glycopeptide antibiotics: Enzymatic synthesis of TDP-L-epivascomamine in chloroeremomycin biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 11942–11947.

90. Agouridas, C., Denis, A., Auger, J. M., Benedetti, Y., Bonello, A., Breton, F., Chantot, J. F., Dussart, A., Fromentin, C., D’Ambrières, S. G., Lachaud, S., Laurin, P., Le Martret, O., Loyau, V., and Tessot, N. (1998) Synthesis and antibacterial activity of ketolides (6-O-methyl-3-oxoerythromycin derivatives): A new class of antibacterials highly potent against macrolide-resistant and -susceptible respiratory pathogens. J. Med. Chem. 41, 4080–4100.

91. Williams, G. J., Gantt, R. W., and Thorson, J. S. (2008) The impact of enzyme engineering upon natural product glycodiversification. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 12, 556–564.

92. Langenhan, J. M., Griffith, B. R., and Thorson, J. S. (2005) Neo-glycorandomization and chemoenzymatic glycorandomization: Two complementary tools for natural product diversification. J. Nat. Prod. 68, 1696–1711.

93. Goel, B., Tripathi, N., Mukherjee, D., and Jain, S. K. (2021) Glyco- randomization: A promising diversification strategy for the drug development. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 213, 113156.

94. Alam, J., Beyer, N., and Liu, H. W. (2004) Biosynthesis of colistin: Expression, purification, and mechanistic characterization of GDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-d-mannose-3-dehydratase (ColD) and GDP-L-collitol synthase (ColG). Biochemistry 43, 16560–16566.

95. Reeves, P. R., Cunneen, M. M., Liu, B., and Wang, L. (2013) Genetics and evolution of the Salmonella galactose-initiated set of O antigens. PLoS One 8, e69306.

96. Cook, P. D., and Holden, H. M. (2007) A structural study of GDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-d-mannose-3-dehydratase: Caught in the act of geminal formation. Biochemistry 46, 14215–14224.

97. Hallis, T. M., Lei, Y., Que, N. L. S., and Liu, H. W. (1998) Mechanistic studies of the biosynthesis of parafusurate: Purification and characterization of GDP-parafoesurase. Biochemistry 37, 4935–4945.

98. Hwang, S., Aronov, A., and Bar-Peled, M. (2015) The biosynthesis of UDP-d-QuInAc in Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579. PLoS One 10, e0137390.

99. Huuloone, E. F., Poon, K. K. H., McNally, D. J., Brisson, J. R., and Lam, J. S. (2005) Biosynthesis of UDP-N-acetyl-L-fucosamine, a precursor to the biosynthesis of lipopolysaccharide in Pseudomonas aeruginosa serotype O11. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 19535–19542.

100. Hwang, S., Li, Z., Bar-Peled, Y., Aronov, A., Ericson, J., and Bar-Peled, M. (2014) The biosynthesis of UDP-d-FucNAc-4N-(2)-oxoglutarate (UDP-Yeloosamine) in Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579: Pat and Pyl, an aminotransferase and an ATP-dependent Grasp protein that ligates 2-oxoglutarate to UDP-4-amino-sugars. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 35620–35632.

101. Schoenhofer, I. C., Young, N. M., and Gilbert, M. (2017) Biosynthesis of legionaminic acid and its incorporation into glycoconjugates. Methods Enzymol. 597, 187–207.

102. Hassan, M. I., Lundgren, B. R., Chaumun, M., Whitfield, D. M.,Clark, B., Schoenhofer, I. C., and Boddy, C. N. (2016) Total biosynthesis of legionaminic acid, a bacterial sialic acid analogue. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 128, 12197–12200.

103. Wyszynski, F. J., Lee, S. S., Yabe, T., Wang, H., Gomez-Escribano, J. P., Bibb, M. J., Lee, S. J., Davies, G. J., and Davis, B. G. (2012) Biosynthesis of the tunicamycin antibiotics proceeds via unique exo-glycal intermediates. Nat. Chem. 4, 539–546.

104. Wyszynski, F. J., Hesketh, A. R., Bibb, M. J., and Davis, B. G. (2010) Dissecting tunicamycin biosynthesis by genome mining: Cloning and
heterologous expression of a minimal gene cluster. Chem. Sci. 1, 581–589

105. Thibodeaux, C. J., Melançon, C. E., and Liu, H. W. (2007) Unusual sugar biosynthesis and natural product glycode diversification. Nature 446, 1008–1016

106. Elshahawi, S. I., Shaaban, K. A., Kharel, M. K., and Thorson, J. S. (2015) A comprehensive review of glycosylated bacterial natural products. Chem. Soc. Rev. 44, 7591–7697

107. Shin, W. H., and Kihara, D. (2019) 55 Years of the Rossmann fold. Methods Mol. Biol. 1958, 1–13

108. Tiwari, P., Singh, N., Dixit, A., and Choudhury, D. (2014) Multivariate analysis reveals additional function impacting residues in the SDR superfamily. Proteins 82, 2842–2856

109. Beis, K., Allard, S. T. M., Hegeman, A. D., Murshudov, G., Philp, D., and Naismith, J. H. (2003) The structure of NADH in the enzyme dTDP-D-glucose dehydratase (RmlB). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 11872–11878

110. Hegeman, A. D., Gross, J. W., and Frey, P. A. (2001) Probing catalysis by Escherichia coli dTDP-glucose-4,6-dehydratase: Identification and preliminary characterization of functional amino acid residues at the active site. Biochemistry 40, 6598–6610

111. Borg, A. J. E., Beers, K., Pfeiffer, M., Desmet, T., and Nidetzky, B. (2021) Stereo-electronic control of reaction selectivity in short-chain dehydrogenases: Decarboxylation, epimerization, and dehydration. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 61, 43–52

112. Allard, S. T. M., Giraud, M. F., Whitfield, C., Graninger, M., Messner, P., and Naismith, J. H. (2001) The crystal structure of dTDP-D-glucose 4,6-dehydratase (RmlB) from Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, the second enzyme in the dTDP-L-rhamnose pathway. J. Mol. Biol. 307, 283–295

113. Allard, S. T. M., Cleland, W. W., and Holden, H. M. (2004) High resolution X-ray structure of dTDP-glucose 4,6-dehydratase from Streptomyces venezuelae. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 2221–2220

114. Pfeiffer, M., Johansson, C., Krojer, T., Kavanagh, K. L., Oppermann, U., and Nidetzky, B. (2019) A parsimonious mechanism of sugar dehydrogenation by human GDP-mannose-4,6-dehydratase. ACS Catal. 9, 2962–2968

115. Creuzenet, C., and Lam, J. S. (2001) Topological and functional characterization of WbpM, an inner membrane UDP-GlcNAc C6 dehydrogenase essential for lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol. Microbiol. 41, 1295–1310

116. Li, Z., Hwang, S., Ericson, J., Bowler, K., and Bar-Peled, M. (2015) Pen and pal are nucleotide-sugar dehydratases that convert UDP-GlcNAc to UDP-6-deoxy-d-GlcNAc-5,6-ene and then to UDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-L-AntNAc for CMP-pseudaminic acid synthesis in Bacillus thuringiensis. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 691–704

117. Zolghadr, B., Gasslerhuber, B., Windwarder, M., Pabst, M., Kracher, D., Kernn, M., Zayni, S., I., Hofinger-Horvath, A., Ludwig, W., Haltrich, D., Oostenbrink, C., Obinger, C., Kosma, P., Messner, P., and Schäffer, C. (2015) UDP-sulfogalactose formation by Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. Extremophiles 19, 451–467

118. Meyer, B. H., Zolghadr, B., Peyfoon, E., Pabst, M., Panico, M., Morris, H. R., Haslam, S. M., Messner, P., Schäffer, C., Dell, A., and Albers, S. V. (2011) Sulfoquinovose synthase - an important enzyme in the N-glycosylation pathway of Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. Mol. Microbiol. 82, 1150–1163

119. Mulichak, A. M., Theisen, M. J., Essigmann, B., Benning, C., and Garavito, R. M. (1999) Crystal structure of SQD1, an enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of the plant sulfolipid headgroup donor UDP-sulfogalactose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96, 13097–13102

120. Hong, L., Zhao, Z., Melançon, C. E., Zhang, H., and Liu, H. W. (2008) In vitro characterization of the enzymes involved in TDP-D-foreamine biosynthesis in the spinosyn pathway of Saccharopolyspora spinosa. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 4954–4967

121. Bessman, M. J., Frick, D. N., and O’Handley, S. F. (1996) The MutT proteins or “Nudix” hydrolases, a family of versatile, widely distributed, “housecleaning” enzymes. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 25059–25062

122. He, X. M., and Liu, H. W. (2002) Formation of unusual sugars: Mechanistic studies and biosynthetic applications. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 71, 701–754

123. Smith, P., Lin, A., Zhu, P. H., Liu, H. W., and Tsai, S. C. (2006) Biosynthesis of a 3,6-dIDEOXYhexose: Crystallization and X-ray diffraction of CDPD-6-deoxy-L-threo-4-hexulose-3-dehydrase (E1) for ascarlyosyl biosynthesis. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun. 62, 231–234

124. Cook, P. D. (2006) The structure of GDP-4-keto-4-deoxy-d-mannose-3-dehydratase: A unique coenzyme B6-dependent enzyme. Protein Sci. 15, 2093–2106

125. Agnihotri, G., Liu, Y., Paschal, B. M., and Liu, H. (2004) Identification of an unusual [2Fe-2S]-binding motif in the CDPD-6-deoxy-D-glycero-L-threo-4-hexulose-3-dehydrase from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis: Implications for C-3 deoxygenation in the biosynthesis of 3,6-dideoxyhexoses. Biochemistry 43, 14265–14274

126. Wu, Q., Liu, Y. N., Chen, H., Molitor, E. J., and Liu, H. W. (2007) A retro-evolution study of CDPD-6-deoxy-D-glycero-L-threo-4-hexulose-3-dehydrase (E1) from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis: Implications for C-3 deoxygenation in the biosynthesis of 3,6-dideoxyhexoses. Biochemistry 46, 3759–3767

127. Thoden, J. B., Frey, P. A., and Holden, H. M. (1996) Molecular structure of the NADH/UDP-glucose abortive complex of UDP-galactose 4-epimerase from Escherichia coli: Implications for the catalytic mechanism. Biochemistry 35, 5137–5144

128. Beers, K., Soetaert, W., and Desmet, T. (2012) Characterization and mutational analysis of the UDP-Glc(Nac) 4-epimerase from Marinithermus hydrothermals. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 7733–7740

129. Ferek, J. D., Thoden, J. B., and Holden, H. M. (2020) Biochemical analysis of a sugar 4,6-dehydratase from Acanthamoeba polyphaga Mimivirus. Protein Sci. 29, 1148–1159

130. Koropatkin, N. M., and Holden, H. M. (2005) Structure of CDPD-4,6-dideoxy-D-glucose from Salmonella typhimurium complexed with CDPD-d-xylose. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 61, 365–373

131. Vogan, E. M., Bellamacina, C., He, X., Liu, H., Ringes, D., and Petsko, G. A. (2004) Crystal structure at 1.8 Å resolution of CDPD-4,6-dideoxyhexose dehydratase from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Biochemistry 43, 3057–3067

132. Cho, A. R., Lee, S. J., Kim, B. G., and Ahn, J.-H. (2016) Biosynthesis of three N-acetylamino sugar-conjugated flavonoids using engineered Escherichia coli. Microb. Cell Fact. 15, 182

133. Ishiyama, N., Creuzenet, C., Lam, J. S., and Berghuis, A. M. (2004) Crystal structure of WbpI, a genuine UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine 4-epimerase from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 22635–22642

134. Creuzenet, C., Schur, M. J., Li, J., Wakarchuk, W. W., and Lam, J. S. (2000) FlaA1, a new bifunctional UDP-GlcNAc C6Dehydratase/C4 reductase from Helicobacter pylori. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 34873–34880

135. Creuzenet, C. (2004) Characterization of ClL1293, a new UDP-GlcNAc C6 dehydratase from Campylobacter jejuni. FEBS Lett. 593, 136–140