Neck circumference is associated with carotid intimal-media thickness but not with coronary artery calcium: Results from The ELSA-Brasil
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Abstract  Background and aims: It is uncertain whether neck circumference can be a risk indicator for subclinical atherosclerosis. We aimed to investigate their relationships measured by coronary artery calcium (CAC) and common carotid intima-media thickness (cc-IMT) with neck circumference in ELSA-Brasil.

Methods and results: In cross-sectional and sex-specific analyses of 2266 women (50.6 ± 8.4 yrs) and 1886 men (50.7 ± 9.0 yrs) with both cc-IMT and CAC, free from previous cardiovascular disease at baseline, we built logistic models using diverse cut-off points for CAC score (0 vs >0, 100 vs ≥100, <400 vs >400 Agatston units) and cc-IMT (<75th percentile vs ≥75th; <90th percentile vs ≥90th) as dependent variables, after which adjustments for age and traditional cardiovascular risk factors were made. Mean neck circumference was 33.6 (±2.4 cm) for women and 38.8 (±2.6 cm) for men. In fully adjusted models including sociodemographic, cardiovascular risk factors and body-mass index and waist circumference, for each 1 standard deviation increase in neck circumference we found an odds ratio (OR, 95% CI) for IMT above the 75th percentile of (1.52, 1.16; 1.99) for women and (1.66, 1.28; 2.14) for men, and above the 90th cc-IMT percentile [1.66 (1.19; 2.32) for men but not for women [1.21 (0.80; 1.82)]. We found no association between neck circumference and CAC using different cut-off points (p > 0.05 for all).

Conclusion: Neck circumference was significantly and independently associated with cc-IMT but not with CAC in women and men, indicating a possible effect of perivascular fat tissue on atherosclerosis.

© 2016 The Italian Society of Diabetology, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition, and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Obesity is a global epidemic of our time with increased mortality and morbidity [1]. Despite its tight connection with other cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome, it is now clear that different patterns of fat distribution confer heterogeneous additional cardiovascular risk beyond body-mass index (BMI) itself [2–5]. Body fat
distribution is a matter of interest for more than 50 years now [6,7], yet only recently the study of specific fat depots effects on cardiovascular risk has gained attention [8–11]. Neck circumference can be described as a marker of upper body subcutaneous fat deposit, which has been independently associated with several cardiometabolic risk factors such as total cholesterol [9,10], HDL-cholesterol [9,10], LDL-cholesterol [8,10], triglycerides [8,10,11], systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels [8–10] and fasting glucose [8,10]. These associations were reported in observational studies of diverse populations as significant even after multivariate adjustment including BMI and waist circumference. Changes in neck circumference were also correlated with changes in cardiovascular risk profile [12]. Some studies have also associated neck circumference with measures of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance, as well [4,8,13].

Common carotid intima-media thickness (cc-IMT) is a noninvasive measure that has been used as a surrogate outcome for atherosclerotic disease [14] in population-based [15] and clinical studies [16]. More recently, it has been proposed as a potential predictor of individual cardiovascular risk in clinical practice [17]. As another noninvasive measure of subclinical atherosclerosis, coronary artery calcification (CAC) have also been used for risk prediction of cardiovascular events. The presence and extent of CAC is a well-known predictor of future risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and also for all-cause mortality in individuals with no previous history of CVD [18,19].

The association between neck circumference and both these measurements of subclinical atherosclerosis has been studied in the Framingham Heart Study offspring, where the neck circumference was correlated to internal carotid intima-media thickness (IMT), a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis. In multivariable models, a 1–SD increase in neck circumference was associated with a 0.071 mm increase in internal carotid IMT and with a 0.025 mm increase in common carotid IMT. Both associations remained significant after multivariable adjustment including BMI [20]. Pokharel et al., evaluated cross-sectionally the relationship between neck circumference and subclinical atherosclerosis measured by CAC and carotid plaques in a middle-aged population of retired national football league players. Although they found a high prevalence of CAC and carotid plaques, they did not find any association of these subclinical atherosclerosis indexes with neck circumference [21].

The Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil) is an ongoing prospective cohort study of civil servants with relatively high prevalence of overweight and obesity. Thus, we decided to investigate neck circumference as a potential marker of subclinical atherosclerosis indexes through its association with both cc-IMT and CAC in the ELSA-Brasil São Paulo site.

Methods

ELSA-Brasil is a multicenter prospective cohort, which enrolled 15,105 civil servants aged 35–74 years (54% women) from 6 Brazilian cities (Belo Horizonte, Porto Alegre, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador, São Paulo and Vitória [22–24]). The study aims to investigate factors associated with the development and progression of CVD, diabetes, and other chronic conditions. Baseline assessment consisted of an evaluation lasting approximately 7 h, which included in-person interviews conducted by trained personnel [25]. These standardized interviews were focused on sociodemographic characteristics, health, and medical history, use of medication, as well as, clinical and laboratory measurements [26]. Approvals were obtained from the institutional review boards of all the centers, and all the subjects signed an informed consent form.

Design and population study

Of the 15,105 participants in ELSA-Brasil, CAC was done in a subsample of 4412 at the research center of Sao Paulo. We included in this analysis all individuals with complete data on cc-IMT, CAC and neck circumference measurement at baseline. We excluded 260 participants with known previous CVD (coronary heart disease, myocardial revascularization, heart failure and stroke), leaving 4152 participants that were included for this analysis.

Common carotid intima-media thickness

The technique for cc-IMT measurement has been previously applied in other population-based studies [27,28], and it has been published in the ELSA-Brasil [29]. The protocol was performed using a Toshiba (Aplio XG™) with a 7.5 MHz linear transducer. IMT was measured in the outer wall of a pre-defined carotid segment of 1 cm in length from 1 cm below carotid bifurcation, during three cardiac cycles. The carotid images during three cardiac cycles were obtained and sent to the centralized reading center in Sao Paulo. We used MIA™ software to standardize the reading and interpretation of carotid scans as previously described. IMT measurements are presented as the maximum values for the thickness of the right and left arteries measured at the far wall.

Coronary artery calcium score

All participants underwent a CAC examination performed with a 64-detector computed tomography scanner (Brilliance 64, Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands). After the scout images, each patient underwent an ECG-gated prospective calcium score examination with a tube potential of 120 kV and a tube current adjusted to body habitus. Images were reconstructed in 2.5 mm slice thickness using standard filtered back projection. The CAC was expressed as Agatston units 20, and the percentile was evaluated in a blinded fashion by an experienced cardiologist using semiautomatic software (Calcium Scoring, Philips Workstation). CAC severity was further categorized as 0 or >0, <100 or ≥100, and <400 or ≥400.
**Anthropometric variables**

Height and weight were measured using a standardized scale, and the body-mass index was calculated by dividing body weight with the squared height in meters (kg/m²). Waist circumference (cm) was measured using standardized procedures and equipment. Neck circumference was measured with an inelastic tape (mm) immediately above the cricoid cartilage and perpendicular to the long axis of the neck, with the participant in a sitting position. All measurements were performed by trained personnel.

**Laboratory measurements**

After overnight fasting (8–14 h) plasma sample was collected in all participants. Fasting glucose was determined enzymatically by the hexokinase method. Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-cholesterol) and triglycerides were determined by the enzymatic colorimetric method. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated by the Friedwald’s equation or directly when triglyceride levels were greater than 400 mg/dL.

**Other explanatory variables**

We evaluated age, sex (elementary, high-school, and college), smoking status (never, former, and current), self-reported skin color (white, brown, black Asian and native), leisure-physical activity (light, moderate and intense) and regular alcohol consumption (never, former, and current).

Blood pressure was obtained in the sitting position after a minimum rest period of 5 min. Three consecutive readings were obtained for each participant, with a one-minute interval between each one. The mean of the two last measurements was defined as the office blood pressure. Hypertension was defined as the use of medication to treat hypertension, a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg. Diabetes was defined as the presence of one of the following: medical history of diabetes, use of medication to treat diabetes, fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL, 2-h glucose post load test ≥200 mg/dL or HbA1C ≥6.5%. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as presence of one of the following: medical history of dyslipidemia, use lipid-lowering agents or LDL-C >130 mg/dL.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were reported as absolute values and percentages, and continuous variables as means and standard deviations (±SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). As neck circumference and both subclinical atherosclerosis measures differ significantly between sexes, we chose to perform the analysis separately by sex. CAC and cc-IMT were analyzed as dependent variables in corresponding models. CAC scores were categorized to binary variables using different cut-offs (0 vs. >0, <100 vs. ≥100, <400 vs. ≥400). A similar procedure was performed for cc-IMT values, using two different cut-offs based on the sample distribution (<75th vs. >75th percentile; < 90th vs. >90th percentile). Binary logistic models were built using CAC score and cc-IMT as dependent variables according to the diverse cut-off points described above. For these models, neck circumference values were standardized to mean “zero” and standard deviation of “one”. Subsequent adjustments were made for traditional cardiovascular risk factors as age, race, education, smoking, hypertension, diabetes, high LDL-C, and use of lipid-lowering agents. Further, we constructed regression models that included BMI, waist circumference, and both BMI and waist circumference individually. Finally, we ran a model including all those variables, BMI, waist circumference (including an interaction term of these two). The main analysis was run excluding participants with a positive previous history of cardiovascular disease. However, we also ran a sensitivity analysis including those participants. We did not evaluate plaques as part of this analysis. We chose to dichotomize IMT above percentile 75 and percentile 90 because this strategy permits the use of logistic regression models both for IMT and CAC A two-tailed level of significance was set at 5%. Analyses were carried out using the SPSS 20.0 statistical package.

**Results**

Of the 4152 participants from ELSA-Brasil in Sao Paulo, 2266 were women (50.6 ± 8.4 yrs), and 1886 were men (50.7 ± 9.0 yrs). Main characteristics of our study population are shown in Table 1. Participants included in our study were mostly White, overweight, sedentary and never smokers. Mean neck circumference was 33.6 ± 2.4 cm and 38.8 ± 2.7 cm for women and men, respectively.

Table 2 shows crude and adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 1–SD neck circumference with subclinical atherosclerosis in logistic models. CAC was not associated with neck circumference in the adjusted models. When we compared participants above the 75th percentile for cc-IMT to those below that percentile, however, ORs for cc-IMT 75th (95% CI) were 1.63 (1.39–1.90) for women and 1.54 (1.34–1.76) for men. The association of the cc-IMT 75th with neck circumference remained significant for women 1.71 (1.40; 2.08) and for men 1.83 (1.54; 2.16) after multivariate adjustment for known traditional risk factors such as age, race, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and smoking. The further adjustment only for BMI, only for waist circumference and for both BMI and waist circumference decreased the strength of the association for both men and women, but it remained significant. For the association between neck circumference and the cc-IMT 90th percentile the ORs remained significant for both sexes after multivariable adjustment for sociodemographic and cardiovascular risk factors for women. However, for men further adjustment for only BMI and WC or both decreased the strength minimally but did not change our findings [1.66 (1.19; 2.32)] while for women the ORs remained...
found a significant association between neck circumference and c-IMT even after adjustment for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, hypertension treatment, diabetes, total cholesterol-to-HDL-C ratio, smoking and BMI in 3274 participants. Interestingly, other adiposity measures as body-mass index and waist circumference showed that the associations with c-IMT were attenuated in both magnitude and significance after additional adjustment for NC [20]. Our current results for cc-IMT extend these findings to a larger sample from a geographically different population. However, further comparisons with our results are hampered by different statistical analysis strategy between studies. The Framingham Heart Study used linear regression models to investigate the association between adiposity measurements and cc-IMT. Because CAC scores have a zero-inflated distribution, the use of CAC scores as the dependent variable without a proper transformation in linear regression models would violate model assumptions. To avoid potential bias due to such a violation, we categorized both cc-IMT values and CAC scores and used logistic regression to assess associations and to enhance comparability across different outcome measures.

In a retrospective study of 522 retired NFL players (mean age 54 years) [21], Pokharel and colleagues reported no significant association between CAC and neck circumference after multivariable adjustment for age, race, systolic blood pressure, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein [OR for 1–SD increase in NC is 1.11 (95% CI, 0.94–1.31)]. Except for the similar mean age, our study population included both sexes regardless of physical activity profile. Therefore, we wish to note that caution must be exercised in comparing these two studies, as neck circumference in retired National Football players may be relatively less reflective of regional adiposity compared to a sample of non-athletes of both sexes. Although, mean values of neck circumference in football league players were higher than in men of ELSA-Brasil (43.2 cm in NFL players vs. 38.9 in ELSA-Brasil men), it is probable that neck circumference in NFL athletes might have a higher percentage of lean mass compared to the neck circumference from ELSA-Brasil participants. We were not able to find any other study that compared neck circumference with CAC.

It is very likely that CAC and cc-IMT measure different phenomena in the same way that the relationship between cIMT and carotid plaques [28–30]. A high cc-IMT could reflect not only a pathologic intimal thickening that is the first step of atherosclerosis process but also the hypertrophy of the media layer [14]. These differences may explain, at least partially, the heterogeneous association between neck circumference and both surrogates of the atherosclerotic disease described in this study. Unfortunately at this moment in our study we do not have a measure of discrete plaques in the carotid or coronary arteries. However, Pokharel et al. did not find any association between NC and CAC results similar to ours. In their study, carotid plaques were not associated with neck circumference either [21].

### Table 1 Main characteristics of studied population according to sex.

|                        | Men (N = 1886) | Women (N = 2266) |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Age, years             | 50.7 (9.0)    | 50.6 (8.4)       |
| Race (%)               |               |                  |
| White                  | 1064 (57.4)   | 1359 (60.6)      |
| Brown                  | 459 (24.7)    | 429 (19.1)       |
| Black                  | 247 (13.3)    | 317 (14.1)       |
| Asian                  | 58 (3.1)      | 122 (5.4)        |
| Native                 | 27 (1.5)      | 16 (0.7)         |
| Education, years (%)   |               |                  |
| Elementary             | 367 (19.5)    | 226 (10)         |
| High-school            | 780 (41.4)    | 939 (41.4)       |
| College                | 739 (39.2)    | 1101 (48.6)      |
| Leisure-time physical activity (%) |         |
| Light                  | 1355 (74.7)   | 1784 (81.7)      |
| Moderate               | 270 (14.9)    | 245 (11.2)       |
| Vigorous               | 190 (10.5)    | 154 (7.1)        |
| Smoking habit          |               |                  |
| Never                  | 910 (48.3)    | 1320 (58.3)      |
| Former                 | 661 (35)      | 602 (26.6)       |
| Current                | 315 (16.7)    | 344 (15.2)       |
| Regular alcohol intake |               |                  |
| Never                  | 96 (5.1)      | 393 (17.3)       |
| Former                 | 387 (20.5)    | 423 (18.7)       |
| Current                | 1403 (74.5)   | 1450 (64)        |
| Hypertension (%)       | 642 (34.1)    | 567 (25)         |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)  | 424 (22.5)    | 364 (16.1)       |
| LDL-cholesterol ≥ 130 mg/dL | 961 (51)    | 1063 (46.9)      |
| Use of lipid-lowering agents | 201 (10.7) | 261 (11.5)       |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 27.1 (4.4)    | 27.3 (5.1)       |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 93.6 (11.6)   | 86.4 (12.2)      |
| Neck circumferencea (cm) | 38.8 (2.7)    | 33.6 (2.4)       |
| cc-IMT ≥ 75th percentile | 531 (28.2)   | 422 (18.6)       |
| cc-IMT ≥ 90th percentile | 228 (12.1)   | 142 (6.3)        |
| CAC (Agatston units)b  |               |                  |
| CAC (%)                | 0 (0–26.8)    | 0 (0–0)          |
| 0                      | 1155 (61.2)   | 1849 (81.6)      |
| 1–99 (%)               | 453 (24)      | 315 (13.9)       |
| 100–399 (%)            | 177 (9.4)     | 80 (3.5)         |
| ≥400 (%)               | 101 (5.4)     | 22 (1.0)         |

a Mean (standard deviation).
b Median (interquartile range).

Additionally, we repeated the same analysis including all individuals with previous cardiovascular disease as part of the sample. The results did not materially change (Supplementary Table 3).

### Discussion

Neck circumference was significantly associated with cc-IMT for both sexes in this subpopulation from ELSA-Brasil, but not with CAC. Compared to previous reports of Brazilian data, average NC was exactly the same as observed in a previous study for men; but for women, ELSA-Brasil values were lower (33.6 cm in ELSA-Brasil vs. 35.9 cm in previous data) [27]. Our results for cc-IMT were similar to previous data from the Framingham Heart Study. They
In the Framingham Heart Study, Kathiresan et al. tried to establish the inter-relation across subclinical atherosclerosis measurements detected by three different imaging techniques. They assessed abdominal and thoracic aortic plaques using cardiovascular magnetic resonance, CAC and thoracic aortic calcification by electron beam computed tomography and cc-IMT by ultrasound in 292 participants with no previous cardiovascular disease [31]. They assessed abdominal and thoracic atherosclerosis measurements detected by three different imaging techniques. They found a significant, positive, but weak correlation between CAC and cc-IMT. Also, they identified participants having a high atherosclerosis level for each test if the value was in the top 20% of the sex-specific distribution. For men and women, both CAC and c-IMT identified 30 participants with high atherosclerosis but only 13 participants were classified at high atherosclerosis level by both methods [31]. Another possibility to explain the positive association between neck circumference and cIMT could occur in mediastinal brown fat depots. A third limitation is that we have no measures of carotid artery calcium score. c-IMT: common carotid intima-media thickness. Reference levels are CAC = 0 (for CAC > 0), CAC < 100 (for CAC > 100), CAC < 400 (for CAC > 400), cc-IMT < 75th percentile (for cc-IMT < 75th percentile) and cc-IMT ≤ 90th percentile (for cc-IMT > 90th percentile).

**Table 2** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (95% CI) for the association between one standard deviation in neck circumference and the markers of subclinical atherosclerosis, stratified by sex.

|                  | CAC > 0 | CAC > 100 | CAC > 400 | cc-IMT > 75th percentile | cc-IMT > 90th percentile |
|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Women**        |         |           |           |                         |                         |
| Crude            | 1.13 (0.97; 1.33) | 1.14 (0.85; 1.52) | 1.47 (0.82; 2.65) | 1.63 (1.39; 1.90) | 1.52 (1.20; 1.94) |
| Age-adjusted     | 1.19 (0.99; 1.43) | 1.17 (0.86; 1.61) | 1.56 (0.83; 2.94) | 1.92 (1.60; 2.29) | 1.67 (1.28; 2.18) |
| Model 1          | 1.21 (1.01; 1.47) | 1.24 (0.89; 1.72) | 1.47 (0.76; 2.67) | 1.89 (1.57; 2.29) | 1.52 (1.15; 2.01) |
| Model 2          | 1.07 (0.87; 1.31) | 1.10 (0.73; 1.55) | 1.27 (0.61; 2.64) | 1.71 (1.40; 2.08) | 1.23 (0.91; 1.66) |
| Model 2 + BMI    | 1.06 (0.81; 1.38) | 1.31 (0.83; 2.09) | 0.99 (0.38; 2.62) | 1.49 (1.15; 1.94) | 1.34 (0.91; 1.98) |
| Model 2 + WC     | 0.96 (0.73; 1.23) | 1.19 (0.74; 1.92) | 0.84 (0.31; 2.23) | 1.58 (1.21; 2.06) | 1.14 (0.76; 1.71) |
| Model 2 + BMI + WC| 0.99 (0.75; 1.30) | 1.26 (0.77; 2.05) | 0.86 (0.32; 2.35) | 1.52 (1.16; 1.99) | 1.21 (0.80; 1.82) |
| **Men**          |         |           |           |                         |                         |
| Crude            | 1.08 (0.95; 1.22) | 0.98 (0.82; 1.16) | 1.03 (0.79; 1.35) | 1.54 (1.34; 1.76) | 1.49 (1.24; 1.78) |
| Age-adjusted     | 1.21 (1.05; 1.39) | 1.10 (0.91; 1.34) | 1.23 (0.91; 1.64) | 1.90 (1.60; 2.22) | 1.77 (1.46; 2.16) |
| Model 1          | 1.22 (1.05; 1.41) | 1.09 (0.89; 1.34) | 1.21 (0.88; 1.66) | 1.99 (1.69; 2.34) | 1.85 (1.50; 2.28) |
| Model 2          | 1.10 (0.94; 1.29) | 0.93 (0.75; 1.15) | 1.05 (0.76–1.47) | 1.83 (1.54; 2.17) | 1.75 (1.40; 2.19) |
| Model 2 + BMI    | 0.92 (0.73; 1.15) | 0.75 (0.55; 1.01) | 1.03 (0.64; 1.65) | 1.66 (1.29; 2.13) | 1.62 (1.18; 2.25) |
| Model 2 + WC     | 0.95 (0.76; 1.19) | 0.68 (0.51; 0.92) | 0.99 (0.62; 1.58) | 1.58 (1.21; 2.06) | 1.73 (1.26; 2.38) |
| Model 2 + BMI + WC| 0.90 (0.72; 1.14) | 0.68 (0.50; 0.94) | 0.99 (0.61; 1.64) | 1.66 (1.28; 2.14) | 1.66 (1.19; 2.32) |

CAC: coronary artery calcium score. cc-IMT: common carotid intima-media thickness. Reference levels are CAC = 0 (for CAC > 0), CAC < 100 (for CAC > 100), CAC < 400 (for CAC > 400), cc-IMT < 75th percentile (for cc-IMT > 75th percentile) and cc-IMT ≤ 90th percentile (for cc-IMT > 90th percentile).

Model 1 adjusted for age (continuous) ethnicity, education, smoking, physical activity and alcohol intake.

Model 2 model 1 plus hypertension, diabetes, high LDL-C and use of lipid-lowering agents.

Bold denotes statistical significance.
excellent reproducibility and variability of carotid structural parameters assessed with transcutaneous ultrasound [41,42] and we followed a strict protocol, with centralized reading and a computerized edge-tracking multiframe image processing method to ensure correct IMT measurements in our study. We also did not perform a reproducibility study for CAC. As studies with multidetector tomography already had shown a high agreement, we preferred to not duplicate scans and their associated radiation exposure for methodological reasons. This strategy has also been chosen in other large cohorts as the German Heinz Nixdorf Recall study [43]. As a strength, we analyzed a well-defined population with two measurements of subclinical atherosclerosis using different cut-offs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare neck circumference to cc-IMT and CAC in the same participants. We believe our findings have important clinical implications given that neck circumference could be used as a simple and inexpensive tool to identify individuals at high cardiovascular risk. This is of particular importance at early clinical stages of cardiovascular diseases. Future studies should focus on neck circumference’s ability to predict cardiovascular events beyond other well-established anthropometric measurements as BMI and waist circumference.

In conclusion, neck circumference was significantly associated with cc-IMT, but not with CAC in both sexes. These findings are hypothesis generating, and further research is needed to support the role for neck circumference as a marker of local atherosclerosis.
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