3D inversion of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly in South China and its geological significance
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Abstract
The Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is the most striking regional aeromagnetic anomaly in South China. It is characterized by a continuous large-scale linear magnetic anomaly and contains long-wavelength constituents which could still be detected on satellites. However, Sichuan Basin is covered by 4 to 10 km thick non-magnetic sedimentary layers from Neoproterozoic to Cenozoic, which conceals the magnetic sources in the Precambrian basement and makes it difficult to explore the geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly. In this study, we applied 3D inversion to the magnetic data, and then compared our inverted susceptibility model with geological maps. We found that the spatial distribution of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly controls the range of the rigid basement beneath Sichuan Basin and it is surrounded by the widespread Neoproterozoic magmatic complexes. Based on our analysis, we proposed that the geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly might be related to the craton-scale Neoproterozoic magmatic event, which has played an important role in the stabilization of the basement and the evolution of the deep crust in Sichuan Basin.
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Introduction
There is a large linear aeromagnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin, South China, extending continuously more than 1000 km with a broad width of ca. 100 km. Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly contains long-wavelength signals which decay slowly with distance and could be detected on satellites. The sources for long-wavelength magnetic anomalies are generally interpreted as large-scale mafic–ultramafic rocks with strong magnetization in the mid-lower crust, e.g., the Bangui magnetic anomaly in Central African Republic (Regan and Marsh 1982), Mackenzie River magnetic anomaly in western Canada (Pilkington and Saltus 2009) and the magnetic anomaly of the North American Midcontinent Rift system (Hinze et al. 1992).

Sichuan Basin is covered by non-magnetic 4 to 10 km thick sedimentary layers, and the magnetic source is not exposed on surface, so the strongly magnetized rocks for the magnetic anomaly should be in the Precambrian basement of Sichuan Basin. Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly reveals the magnetization difference of the basement, and it has attracted the interests of many researchers to study its origin (Zhang et al. 1995; Luo 1998; Gu and Wang 2014; Xiong et al. 2015). Some researchers thought it might reflect the strongly magnetized intrusions beneath the basin (Luo 1998; Guo et al. 2016); however, there is no direct proof to determine its geological origin. There are no drilling data on the magnetic anomaly to figure out the properties of these strongly magnetized rocks. The geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is still not clear.

Regional magnetic anomalies could reflect the deep structure of the buried basement. They are always formed by large-scale magmatic activities and related...
to significant tectonic events in geological history. Therefore, the formation of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly should be related to important Precambrian geological events and influence the crustal evolution of the basement. In this work, we studied the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly by 3D inversion; then we discussed our inversion results with other geodata and further analyze its geological significance.

Geological settings

Sichuan Basin is in the Yangtze Terrane of the South China Craton, which constitutes the Yangtze Terrane in the northwest and the Cathaysia Terrane in the southeast (Fig. 1). The basement of Sichuan Basin is formed in Precambrian period and covered by 4 to 10 km thick layered sedimentary successions from late Neoproterozoic to Cenozoic (Dong et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2016).

During Precambrian Period, there are multi-stage magmatic activities and tectono-thermal events happened in the Yangtze Terrane, recording the formation of the antient continent-nucleus, cratonization, crustal remelting and growth (Zheng and Zhang 2007). The Archean Kongling complex lies near Yichang in the eastern part of Sichuan Basin, which is the oldest basement exposed in Yangtze Terrane and represents the initial formation of the continent-nucleus of the Yangtze Terrane (Zheng et al. 2006; Gao et al. 2011). The zircon data of the Kongling complex also reflect the crustal reworking in Paleoproterozoic and the formation of the uppermost crust of the Yangtze Terrane (Zheng et al. 2006). On surface, the outcrops of the Archean and Paleoproterozoic rocks are very limited in spatial distribution. There are widespread Neoproterozoic magmatic rocks exposed in the periphery of the Yangtze Terrane, which indicates that the Yangtze Terrane had experienced extensive remelting and formed massive juvenile crust during Neoproterozoic, the same period as the formation and break-up of the supercontinent Rodinia, generating a lot of magmatic intrusions and volcanic eruptions around the Yangtze Terrane (Li et al. 2003a, 2003b, 2008; Zheng et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009, 2010).

From the late Neoproterozoic, the Sichuan Basin becomes a stabilized cratonic basin and acts as a rigid block resisting subsequent tectonic compressions. The sedimentary layers inside Sichuan Basin are less-deformed and kept sub-horizontal, but the crust surrounding the Sichuan Basin has gone through intense deformation from Mesozoic to Cenozoic: in the northern margin of the Sichuan Basin, the Dabashan thrust fault belt was formed during the N–S convergence between the North and South China Craton in Jurassic (Dong et al. 2013); the westward subduction of the Paleo-Pacific plate beneath the South China caused the broad thrust-fold belt in eastern Sichuan Basin (Zhang et al. 2011); the eastward extrusion of Songpan-Ganzi Terrane of Himalayan–Tibetan plateau reactivated the Longmenshan thrust fault belt in the western margin of Sichuan Basin, and

Fig. 1 Topography in Sichuan Basin. The range of our study area is framed by a red rectangle in the simplified tectonic maps of South China at the lower right corner. The main thrust faults in the western and northern Sichuan Basin are marked. The range of the Sichuan Basin is circled by a black dashed line.
the famous Longmenshan fault is still active and causes earthquakes until now (Zhang et al. 2009).

**Magnetic anomaly data**

Figure 2a is the total-field magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin with a spatial resolution of 2 arc (~3.5 km) at 4 km altitude. The Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is the largest one in South China, which is a wide linear magnetic anomaly extending continuously more than 1000 km with a main NE trending in the western to middle part and SE trending in the easternmost part. The highest value of the magnetic anomaly reaches 360 nT. The magnetic high anomaly has a broad width of ca. 100 km and changes slowly. The obvious magnetic low is almost parallel to the magnetic high on the north with the lowest value -240 nT, which is mostly a manifestation of oblique magnetization. The magnetic data are collected in EMAG2 (Earth Magnetic Anomaly Grid, 2 arc-minute resolution, https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/emag2.html), which is compiled from satellite, airborne and marine magnetic data. The magnetic anomaly in Fig. 2a mainly reflects the short-medium wavelength signals acquired by aeromagnetic surveys.

Reduction to the pole (RTP) is to reduce the influences of oblique magnetization. In oblique magnetization, the locations of magnetic anomalies would shift laterally over the sources and the shape of magnetic anomalies would be skewed (Blakely 1995). In our study area, the inclination of geomagnetic field is ca. 48° and the declination is ca. 0°, i.e., the magnetic anomalies are caused by oblique magnetization. It is noted that if we would like to compare magnetic anomalies with geological maps or other geodata, RTP is necessary so that the magnetic anomalies can better reflect their source locations. Compared to Fig. 2a, the location of the RTP magnetic anomaly in Fig. 2b migrates northward ca. 50 km. The magnetic low accompanying the magnetic high on the north decreases a lot and the shape of the magnetic high becomes more symmetrical.

Figure 2c is the total-field long-wavelength magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin, obtained by satellite magnetic surveys. The data in Fig. 2c are extracted from the MF7 model (http://geomag.colorado.edu/magnetic-field-model-mf7.html), which is produced using CHAMP satellite measurements and compiled at WGS84 ellipsoid altitude (close to the Earth’s surface). At hundreds of kilometers height of satellites, the short-medium wavelength
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magnetic anomalies have mostly attenuated, only the long-wavelength parts still exist and could be detected by the magnetometer on satellites. Figure 2d is the magnetic anomaly in Fig. 2c after RTP. The trending and spatial scopes of the long-wavelength magnetic anomaly in Fig. 2c, d are consistent with those of the aeromagnetic anomaly in Fig. 2a, b.

**Method and results**

To study the deep structure of the magnetic sources, we converted the magnetic anomaly grid data into a sub-surface susceptibility model. For regional magnetic anomalies, Curie depth should be considered as the bottom of the magnetic sources. In this study, we used 3D inversion to the magnetic data constrained by the Curie depth as the source bottom.

**Method**

In this study, we adopt 3D inversion of the magnetic data proposed by Li and Oldenburg (1996), which has been widely used in ore-related geophysical exploration to create quantitative models of magnetic sources (Oldenburg et al. 1997; Roy and Clowes 2000; Silva et al. 2001; Fuller et al. 2004; Aitken and Betts 2009; Lü et al. 2013).

This inversion method assumes that the magnetic anomaly is caused by induced magnetization only. The sub-surface space is discretized into many rectangular cells with unknown susceptibilities. The inversion problem is formulated as an optimization problem. This method minimizes a tradeoff between data misfit and a model norm subject to a positivity constraint. The objective function of this method is

\[
\min \| \mathbf{W}_d (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{Gm}) \|_2^2 + \mu \| \mathbf{W}_m \mathbf{Z}\|_2^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{m} \geq 0, \quad (1)
\]

where \( \mu \) is a regularization parameter, \( \mathbf{d} \) the observed data, \( \mathbf{m} \) the model, \( \mathbf{G} \) the sensitivity matrix, \( \mathbf{W}_d \) a diagonal data weighting matrix whose diagonal elements are reciprocals of estimated noise standard deviations, and \( \mathbf{W}_m \) a model weighting matrix that consists of a weighted sum of zeroth and first-order finite difference matrices. \( \mathbf{Z} \) is a diagonal depth weighting matrix used to avoid recovered models concentrating in the shallow surface. Its \( j \)-th diagonal element is \( z_j^{-\beta/2} \), where \( z_j \) represents the depth of the \( j \)-th cell. \( \beta \) is a parameter related to the decay rate of the magnetic field. It is generally set to three in 3D inversion to mimic the decay rate of magnetic data produced by a cell at depth. The positivity constraint can not only produce a physically meaningful model because most rocks have positive susceptibilities, but also reduce the redundant structures in the recovered models. For more mathematical details about this method, please refer to Li and Oldenburg (1996).

**The bottom boundary of magnetic sources in Sichuan Basin**

For regional magnetic anomalies, we should use the Curie depths to constrain the bottom boundary of magnetic sources. Crustal temperature increases with depth, when the temperature exceeds Curie points of ferromagnetic minerals, mainly magnetite in crust, these ferromagnetic minerals turn to be paramagnetic and their host rocks could no longer generate magnetic anomalies. The Curie temperature of magnetite in crust is 580 °C; therefore, the isotherm of 580 °C is considered as the bottom of magnetic sources.

Wang et al. (2000) estimated the crustal geothermal structure in Sichuan Basin by calculating the deep heat flow and using the one-dimensional heat conducting equation, and the estimated Curie depth in Sichuan Basin is 30–50 km. An and Shi (2007) calculated 3D thermal structure beneath China; they adopted surface temperature from ground stations and upper mantle temperature estimated from S-wave velocities as the top and bottom boundary conditions, and the Curie depth of the Sichuan Basin in their results is ca. 40 km. Xiong et al. (2016a) estimated the Curie depth of the Chinese continent using the latest compiled aeromagnetic data by power spectral method; the Curie depth in Sichuan Basin is 30–40 km. Hou (1989) also used aeromagnetic anomalies to estimate the Curie depth in Sichuan Basin, which is 36–50 km. The estimated Curie depth in Sichuan Basin is in the range of 30–50 km deep, which is around the Moho in Sichuan Basin, 42–50 km, in deep-reflection seismic profiles (Dong et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2016). The real Curie depth should be an uneven surface, but we do not have enough geothermal data to constrain the Curie depth at every place. To simplify the calculation, we just chose a constant value to represent the Curie depth. In our work, to study the properties and distribution of the magnetic sources in Sichuan Basin, especially for the long-wavelength magnetic anomaly, of which the sources are always large or deep, we took 45 km (almost the deepest estimated Curie depth around the Moho) as the Curie depth to constrain the bottom boundary in 3D inversion.

**Inversion results**

First, it should be assessed that the data in our study area meets the assumption of the method: the magnetic anomaly is dominated by induced magnetization. After RTP, the magnetic low of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly almost disappears and the magnetic high becomes more symmetrical, both implying the direction of the source magnetization is mainly the same as that...
of the present-day geomagnetic field. If strong remanent magnetization exists with a direction not parallel to the present-day geomagnetic field, the RTP of the magnetic anomaly would not give out these improvements in the shape of anomaly. Thus, the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is likely to be dominated by induced magnetization or at least the source magnetization has the same direction as the present-day geomagnetic field. Since there is no rock sample from the magnetic source of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly, it is difficult to determine whether this magnetic anomaly is caused by induced or remanent magnetization. If there exists remanent magnetization with the same direction as the present-day geomagnetic field, then the susceptibility in the inversion results should be considered as equivalent susceptibility, not the real susceptibility, but this would not influence the inversion results.

In our study, the subsurface space was divided into $420 \times 260 \times 18$ cells with side lengths of 1.5 arc-minute ($\approx 2.5$ km). During inversion, the source depth is constrained by the Curie depth 45 km around the Moho, so we set the susceptibility as zero below the Curie depth. After inversion, we got the sub-surface susceptibility distribution model to 45 km deep. Figure 3a is the observed total-field magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin. Figure 3c is the calculated magnetic anomaly by our inverted model with a misfit of 1.97 nT; the misfit is the root mean square of the difference between the observed data in Fig. 3a and the calculated data in Fig. 3c, and its distribution is shown in Fig. 3d. To reduce the calculation time, we used the observed data after adaptive down-sampling to conduct 3D inversion. The locations of the data points after down-sampling are overlaid on the observed data with black dots, as shown in Fig. 3b.

The inversion results are shown by horizontal slices at different depths—15 km, 25 km, 35 km and 45 km, as shown in Fig. 4a–d. Figure 5a displays the results by an iso-surface with a high susceptibility value of 0.03 SI. Figure 5b–d shows three vertical slices across the magnetic sources in NW–SE direction, perpendicular to the main trending of the magnetic anomaly, and their locations are shown in Fig. 5a by red dashed lines.

Discussion
Uncertainty of the inversion results
The main source for the uncertainty of the inversion of magnetic data is the non-uniqueness of potential data, which means that there are infinite mathematic
solutions for one set of magnetic data measured on a surface. Magnetic anomaly is affected jointly by the source scale, shape, depth and magnetization. In 3D inversion of magnetic data, the inverted parameters, such as the depth and susceptibility of magnetic sources, are coupled. If we would like to determine one parameter
reliably, accurate constraints on the other parameters are needed. For large-scale regional magnetic anomalies, the rock magnetization data are often insufficient, because we cannot directly measure the magnetization of inaccessible deep crustal rocks. In addition, it is possible that for a large magnetic body extending tens of kilometers deep, the source rocks have non-uniform magnetization, which makes the case more complicated. Hence, it is difficult to get enough information to constrain the parameters of entire magnetic sources, and the uncertainty of the inversion results is inherent.

In our study, the magnetic sources in Sichuan Basin are deep-seated in its basement, but we do not have any rock magnetization data of the magnetic sources until now. The real Curie surface should be an uneven one, but the estimated Curie depths have a great uncertainty by different methods, so during inversion, we just used a constant Curie depth 45 km to constrain the bottom boundary of the magnetic sources. Thus, the inverted source depths do not represent the absolute source depths, and our inverted susceptibility model is just a solution under the certain constraints, but the inverted model is very helpful to analyze the magnetic source quantitatively.

**Rock magnetization**

In our inverted model, the susceptibilities of the magnetic sources in Sichuan Basin is approximately in the range of 0.03–0.142 SI, equivalent to the magnetization 1.2–5.68 A/m, reflecting massive strongly magnetized rocks in the deep crust of Sichuan Basin. However, the Sichuan Basin is covered by 4 to 10 km thick sedimentary layers, which could be considered as non-magnetic, so there are no strongly magnetized rocks exposed at the corresponding places of the magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin. There are no drilling data on the high magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin, so we could not directly verify the reasonability of the rock magnetization in our inverted model.

Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly contains long-wavelength constituents, which decay slowly with distance and have deep-seated magnetic sources. For long-wavelength magnetic anomalies, their sources are always interpreted as strongly magnetized rocks in mid-lower crust and even the uppermost mantle (Wasilewski and Mayhew 1982; Brown et al. 2014; Ferré et al. 2014; Friedman et al. 2014; McEnroe et al. 2018). Based on the modeling for the long-wavelength magnetic anomalies and rock magnetism, Mayhew et al. (1985) proposed that the magnetization of the magnetic sources is commonly 2–6 A/m. Li (2014) studied the rock magnetism of the exposed rocks and xenoliths of the lower crust in North China Craton, among which the mafic granulite has the strongest induced magnetization 2.82 A/m. Xiong et al. (2016b) published the susceptibilities of the igneous rocks related to aeromagnetic anomalies in the Chinese continent; the mafic–ultramafic rocks commonly have a high magnetic susceptibility and cause large-scale linear positive magnetic anomalies; the mean value of 14654 mafic–ultramafic rocks is 0.05063 SI, equivalent to the magnetization 2 A/m. Therefore, we think that the strongly magnetized rocks revealed by magnetic anomaly in the basement of Sichuan Basin are more likely mafic–ultramafic.

**Geological significance**

Since there is no direct proof to figure out the geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly, we compared our inverted model with geological maps and found two possible related clues: (1) the range of the magnetic anomaly controls the distribution of the rigid basement beneath Sichuan Basin; (2) the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is mainly surrounded by widespread Neoproterozoic magmatic complexes.

**The rigid basement and main faults**

The basement of Sichuan Basin has different properties from the other parts of South China Craton, resembling a stable and rigid block which could resist the deformation of tectonic compressions; the details are as follows: (1) the sedimentary successions from Neoproterozoic to Cenozoic inside the Sichuan Basin are less-deformed and kept as sub-horizontal layers; (2) around the Sichuan Basin, there formed a series of significant faults during the tectonic compressions, e.g., the Longmenshan thrust fault belt in the west of Sichuan Basin and the Dabashan thrust fault belt in the northeast of Sichuan Basin (Zhang et al. 2011); (3) seismic tomography results show that the high-velocity anomaly beneath Sichuan Basin exists down to 300 km, indicating that there is a deep lithospheric root in Sichuan Basin, different from the rest of South China (Huang and Zhao 2006); (4) the mean heat flow of Sichuan Basin is 53 mW/m² (Hu et al. 2000; Wang 2001; Xu et al. 2011), lower than that of South China Craton 64 ± 13.9 mW/m² (Hu et al. 2000).

In Fig. 6a, the thrust faults are overlaid on the inversion results displayed by an iso-surface of susceptibility = 0.03 SI. It shows that the thrust faults in the west and northeast of the Sichuan Basin are spatially related to the range of the magnetic sources. The famous Longmenshan thrust fault belt is in the western margin of the Sichuan Basin, which is still active because of the eastern extrusion of the Songpan-Ganzi Terrane. The trending and location of Longmenshan fault are extremely consistent with those of the magnetic sources beneath Sichuan Basin. Dabashan thrust fault belt is formed by the N–S compression between the North China Craton and Yangtze Terrane on the northeastern margin of Sichuan Basin, including the thrust faults from Dabashan fault to...
Ankang fault. Dabashan fault is the northern boundary of the Sichuan Basin, and the shallow crust from Dabashan fault to Ankang fault has been deformed intensely and fractured, but the high-resolution seismic-reflection profile across the Dabashan fault belt (Dong et al. 2013) shows that the rigid basement beneath the Sichuan Basin extends beyond the Dabashan fault to the Ankang fault.

In Fig. 2d, the boundaries of the long-wavelength magnetic anomaly fit well with the faults around Sichuan Basin in the western and northeastern margins, which indicates that the long-wavelength constituents mainly control the spatial distribution of the rigid basement beneath Sichuan Basin.

The distribution of the magnetic anomalies in South China Craton has the similar circumstance. Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly is the largest and most continuous one; the rest magnetic anomalies in South China Craton are far smaller than Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly and distributed discretely. This spatial coincidence of the magnetic anomaly and rigid basement in Sichuan Basin indicates that their origins may have genetic connection. Therefore, we think that the geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly may be related to the last stabilization of the basement; on the other hand, the rigid basement could protect the magnetic anomaly from later tectonic destructions and let the magnetic anomaly preserved well.

Neoproterozoic magmatic rocks
The basement of Sichuan Basin is stabilized during Precambrian period and the horizontal sedimentary layers began from Neoproterozoic, which indicate that the last reactivation of the basement in Sichuan Basin happened in Neoproterozoic. In the periphery of the basin, the area of outcrops of the Neoproterozoic magmatic rocks is the largest. Figure 6b shows the distribution of these Neoproterozoic rocks overlaid on the inversion results. The main bodies include Kangding complex (Du et al. 2007; Lin and Dong 2013), Pengguan complex (Zhang et al. 2008), Hannan-Micangshan complex (Ling et al. 2003, 2006) and Huangling complex (Ji 2014). These Neoproterozoic magmatic complexes are formed during 830–740 Ma, composed of granitoids with minor synchronous mafic intrusions, and their formation is always related to the underplating of the mantle-derived mafic magma (Li et al. 2003a, b, 2008; Zheng et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009, 2010).

Inside the Sichuan Basin, there is a Well W117 drilling through the 3.6-km-thick sedimentary layers to the basement rocks, and its location is shown in Fig. 6b with a red star. The basement rocks drilled by Well W117 are mainly granites and granodiorites, of which the Rb–Sr whole rock isochron age is 740.99 Ma (Luo 1986), and the zircon U–Pb dating of granitoid sample is 794 ± 11 Ma (Gu et al. 2014) and 814 Ma (He et al. 2017). So, the basement rocks inside the basin are also formed during Neoproterozoic, the same period as the widespread magmatic complexes around Sichuan Basin. The influence of this Neoproterozoic tectono-thermal event is craton scale, not only forming massive intrusions around the basin, but also reactivating the basement inside the basin.

These Neoproterozoic magmatic rocks are distributed in the periphery of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly. Near these outcrops, there are some small branches of the magnetic anomaly. The inversion results show that these small branches are spatially connected to the main magnetic anomaly in Sichuan Basin, indicating the origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly may be related to this large-scale Neoproterozoic magmatic event, which played an important role in the evolution of the Sichuan Basin.
Conclusion
Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly reveals massive strongly magnetized rocks in the basement. We applied 3D inversion to the magnetic data to get the sub-surface susceptibility model. By comparing our inverted model with geological maps, we proposed that the geological origin of the Sichuan Basin magnetic anomaly might be related to the craton-scale Neoproterozoic magmatic event. To further explore the origin of this large magnetic anomaly, more constraints from geophysical detection, dating of the basement rocks and rock magnetism would be needed.

Abbreviation
RTP: Reduction to the pole.
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