Insights into a new alternative method with graphene oxide/polyacrylamide/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite for the extraction of six odor-active esters from Strong-aroma types of Baijiu
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**ABSTRACT**

Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) is the most commonly utilized technique for the extraction of odor-active esters (OAEs) in strong-aroma types of Baijiu (SAB). However, since the contents of different OAEs in SAB vary widely, it is still a puzzle to ensure that all OAEs to be thoroughly extracted by LLE without the problem of saturated adsorption. Herein, a novel approach of magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE), based on the magnetic graphene oxide nanocomposite modified with polyacrylamide (GO/PAM/Fe$_3$O$_4$), was employed for the efficient extraction of six OAEs from SAB. Compared with LLE, GO/PAM/Fe$_3$O$_4$ exhibited highly selective recognition properties and larger adsorption capacities for OAEs (ranging from 13.68 to 39.06 mg/g), resulting in better extraction performances for OAEs. Coupled with GC-MS, six OAEs in real SAB were successfully determined, with recoveries ranged from 70.1 ~ 90.0% and LODs at 0.08 ~ 1.35 μg/L. Overall, the MSPE-GC/MS is a promising alternative for accurate determination of OAEs in SAB.

**Introduction**

Strong-aroma types of Baijiu (SAB), which account for approximately 70% of the total Baijiu consumption, are among the foremost traditional distillates in China (Liu & Sun, 2018). In decades, numerous studies have demonstrated that aroma is one of the most important indicators that contribute to SAB quality and consumer acceptance. At present, more than 860 volatile compounds have been determined in SAB; moreover, new compounds continue to emerge with more advanced analytical techniques. Among them, 32 odorants proved to be the key compounds responsible for the unique aroma characteristics of SAB, with the aid of gas chromatography – olfactometry, quantitative measurement and flavor contribution analysis (J. Wang, Chen, Wu, & Zhao, 2022). Esters, which are mainly made by the esterification of alcohols and fatty acids, are among the foremost aroma components in strong-aroma types of Baijiu (SAB). According to the latest statistical data summarized by our group, esters achieved the highest proportion of the total mass of flavor substances in SAB, accounting for almost 30% (Sun, 2021). Nowadays, thanks to their lower odor threshold values, most of esters were regarded as the odor-active compounds and contribute greatly to the odors of SAB (Dong et al., 2019). Furthermore, many of these odor-active esters (OAEs) can also enrich the taste of baijiu together with acids, aldehydes and alcohols (Xu et al., 2022). Therefore, an accurate determination of OAEs plays a vital role in revealing its influence on the flavor of Baijiu.

Owing to the trace level of OAEs in SAB, a sample-preparation step is required to extract and enrich the target analytes prior to the instrumental analysis. Previously reported extraction methodologies of OAEs from SAB have mainly included liquid–liquid extraction (LLE), solid-phase microextraction (SPME), stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) and solid-phase extraction (SPE) (He, Yangming, Górska-Horzyczka, Wierzchicka, & Jelen, 2021; Niu, Yu, Xiao, Zhu, Song, & Zhu, 2015; Zhao et al., 2018). Among them, LLE has become the most commonly utilized technique for the extraction of OAEs in SAB. However, the main drawbacks of LLE are as follows: (i) The principle that “like dissolves like” is used for the extraction of target analytes from sample during LLE. But
the “impurities” with the same polarity can also be extracted when using organic solvents (e.g., dichloromethane, carbon tetrachloride, and n-hexane) for target esters extraction, leading to the excessively high background signal and false-negative results (unpublished data). (ii) The contents of different esters in SAB vary widely, ranging from tens of ppb to even hundreds of thousands of ppm, it is still a puzzle to ensure that all the above-mentioned esters to be thoroughly extracted by LLE without the problem of saturated adsorption. (iii) The operation of LLE is still complicated and time-consuming, since a series of steps such as sample dilution, extraction, drying, evaporation and redissolution were required to complete the preprocessing (Du et al., 2021). Hence, developing more simple, rapid, and efficient method for ester pretreatment is still of great value and demand.

Compared with traditional pretreatment technologies, magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE) has received increasing attention in trace analysis owing to its easy operation, excellent adsorption efficiency, rapid separation, and good reusability (Zhang, Liu, Cao, Yin, & Zhang, 2020). Recently, many studies have demonstrated that the functional modification for different targets can increase the extraction efficiencies of the targets and widen the application of MSPE. Notably, a variety of materials have been used to modify magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) and used as sorbents for MSPE such as carbon materials, organic polymer, organic skeleton compounds and graphene oxide (Karbalaei, Rajabi, & Fahimirad, 2020). Among them, MSPE based on the magnetic graphene oxide microsphere (Fe3O4/GO) has been demonstrated to be an effective approach for the extraction of ester compounds in food matrices. For instance, on account of its special nanostructures with huge specific surface area and good thermal/chemical stability, Fe3O4/GO nano-composite has been used to enrich three ester compounds from food samples by Xiao et al (Xiao et al., 2017). Moreover, Yin et al. successfully synthesized and applied three MNPs, including magnetic multiwalled carbon nanotubes (Fe3O4/MWCNTs), Fe3O4 and Fe3O4/GO, for the determination of eleven PAEs in four beverages, combined with HPLC (Yin et al., 2019). However, until now, it has yet to be emphasized that only magnetic particles modified with GO were deficient owing to their poor dispersion in the tested sample solutions (Guo et al., 2019).

Polyacrylamide (PAM) hydrogels are a kind of hydrophilic polymer, which have a high specific surface area and many tertiary and primary amine groups in their inner and surface structure (Viana et al., 2020). Due to its abundant amine groups, the PAM hydrogel can not only easily adsorb organic compounds with O- or N-containing functional groups through strong hydrogen bonds, but also, when PAM was introduced into GO, the COOH group of GO could protonate the –NH2 group of PAM to form NH3+-COO– ion pairs, which partly prevented the stacking of GO sheets, resulting in effective enhancement of the adsorption efficiency for the target analytes (Cheng et al., 2019). Currently, PAM hydrogels have been reported to significantly assist GO self-assembly into three-dimensional (3D) graphene macrostructures and improve the adsorption ability of heavy metal ions and dyes from aqueous solutions at the same time (C. Dong, Lu, Ou, Shen, Xing, & Zhang, 2018; Peng, Zhang, Huang, Jin, & Peng, 2019). OAEs (Fig. S1) are compounds with –COO– groups. The chemical structures of OAEs make them good candidates for MSPE extraction thanks to the presence of the –COO– groups, which provides a strong H-bonding with the –NH2 groups of GO/PAM. Furthermore, if the advantages of Fe3O4 could be combined in perfection, it would allow a more effective extraction of OAEs from SAB through additional electrostatic interactions. However, no relevant work has been reported dealing with the application of GO@PAM@Fe3O4 for the extraction of OAEs from SAB.

Given all this, the purpose of this work was to (i) synthesize a novel polyacrylamide-functionalized magnetic graphene oxide (GO/PAM/Fe3O4) material by a one-step radical polymerization and in situ chemical coprecipitation strategy and apply it to the extraction of six OAEs from SAB, (ii) illustrate the adsorption behavior and mechanism of GO/PAM/Fe3O4 towards six OAEs through adsorption isotherms and kinetics, and (iii) accurately quantify six OAEs in SAB by means of isotope internal standards followed by the calculation of OAVs and thus further verify the contribution of these esters to the SAB.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents

GO (98.0%) with a thickness of 0.7 ~ 1.2 nm and sodium chloride (99.5%) were purchased from Yuanye Biological Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Acrylamide (AM, 99.0%), ammonium persulfate (APS, 98.0%), N, N’-methylene bisacrylamide (MBA, 98.0%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, ≥ 96.0%), HPLC-grade dichloromethane (CH2Cl2, 99.9%), acetone (99.7%), ethyl acetate (99.9%), tetrachloromethane (CCl4, 99.5%), hexane (99.7%), and HCl solution (36.0% ~ 38.0%) were obtained from J&K Scientific Ltd. (Beijing, China). FeCl3 6H2O (99.5%), FeCl2·4H2O (99.95%), and ammonium solution (≥25.0% in H2O) were purchased from Aladdin Reagents Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ultrapure water was prepared through a Milli-Q system at 18.2 MΩ (Millipore, Bedford, MA).

The standards of ethyl pentoate, ethyl hexanoate, propyl hexanoate, butyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate, and hexyl hexanoate, with purities over 99%, were purchased from J&K Scientific Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). H2Et-Pentyl pentoate (IS1, ≥ 95%) and H2Prop-hexyl hexanoate (IS2, ≥ 95%) were used as isotope internal standards and obtained from Yuanye Biological Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The stock standard solutions of each compound were prepared in ethanol and stored at 4 °C until analysis. A freshly prepared ethanol—water solution at 15% alcohol by volume (ABV) was used as a synthetic model of Baijiu.

Preparation of GO/PAM/Fe3O4 nanocomposites

Synthesis of GO/PAM hydrogel

The GO/PAM hydrogel was synthesized by a one-pot free-radical polymerization (Peng et al., 2019). Typically, 30 mg of graphite powder was dispersed in a centrifuge tube containing 7.5 mL Milli-Q water and ultrasonicated for 1 h to exfoliate the GO. Subsequently, 1 g of AM, 7.5 mL of APS (44.0 mg/mL) and 0.02 g of MBA cross-linker were dissolved in the aforementioned GO solution and transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a condenser, a thermometer, and a magnetic stirrer. Then, the as-prepared mixture was stirred vigorously at 200 r/min. The GO/PAM hydrogels were eventually formed after reacting for 8 h at 63 °C. To dehydrate the aforementioned gel, GO/PAM was cut into 1 cm3 cubes before being washed with ultrapure water to pH 7.0; the water was replaced every 3 h to remove unreacted monomers and other impurities. Finally, the GO/PAM was dried in a −50 °C vacuum freeze drier for over 48 h (Fig. S2A).

Synthesis of GO/PAM/Fe3O4

A chemical coprecipitation method was used for the magnetization of the synthesized GO/PAM because of its easy application and high-volume capability. This method is based on the coprecipitation of water-soluble Fe2+ and Fe3+ ions in a basic medium. As shown in Fig. S2B, FeCl3·6H2O (0.5406 g) and FeCl2·4H2O (0.1988 g) were dissolved in 20.0 mL of HCl solution (0.4 M) and transferred to a 250.0 mL round bottom flask. After a 15.0 min ultrasonic dispersion treatment at 35 °C, 0.21 g of the as-prepared GO/PAM was well dispersed in 20.0 mL ultrapure water. Then, this dispersion was added dropwise into the FeCl2/FeCl3/HCl solution and stirred with a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for 1 h. After stirring, 160.0 mL of a 1.25 M ammonia solution were added dropwise at a rate of 10 d/min to adjust the solution pH ranging from 10 ~ 12 to the solution, and then the aforementioned reaction was stirred vigorously at 90 °C for 4 h. After magnetic particles formed, the as-prepared mixture was washed with ultrapure water until pH 7.0, separated with a neodymium magnet several times to remove the residual GO/PAM, and then dried in a 60 °C oven for approximately...
12 h.

**Characterization of the GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄**

The morphologies and dimensions of the synthesized materials were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi Su-8020, Tokyo, Japan). A Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (AVATAR-370 FT-IR, Thermo Nicolet, Waltham, MA) in the range of 400 ~ 4000 cm⁻¹ was applied to characterize the sorbents mentioned above. The crystal structural analysis of the as-prepared materials was carried out on an D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (Brooke, Germany), using Cu Kα radiation over the angular ranging from 5° to 80°. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Thermo ESCALAB 250 Xi spectrometer with an Al Kα X-ray source (hv = 1486.6 eV). The magnetic properties of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ were analyzed with a vibrating sample magnetometer (Squid-VSM, Quantum Design, USA) at 27°C by cycling the field between ~50 and 50 kOe. Zeta potential measurements for GO and GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ were determined on a Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, United Kingdom).

**Optimization of the MSPE conditions**

To obtain the best adsorption efficiency of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ for extracting OAEs, several crucial parameters that may affect the performance of MSPE investigated, including (a) the amount of sorbent (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mg), (b) ionic strength (0, 0.1, 0.5, 2.0 and 4.0 mol/L), (c) the pH value of the sample solution (2, 4, 6.5, 8, 10), (d) extraction time (5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 min), and (e) desorption solvent (acetone, ethyl acetate, CH₂Cl₂, CCl₄ and hexane). The extraction efficiency of the developed adsorbent was evaluated from the enrichment factors (EFs) achieved by spiking six standard OAEs in synthetic SAB sample to obtain the final concentration of 2.0 mg/L. The EFs of six OAEs were defined as follows:

\[
EF(\%) = \frac{C_d}{C_0} \times 100
\]  

where \( C_d \) is the concentrations of six OAEs in the desorption solution after MSPE extraction, and \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration for the analyte in the synthetic SAB sample (2.0 mg/L).

**Sample preparation and MSPE procedure**

**Sample preparation**

Five SAB samples originating from Luzhoulaojiao Distillery Co., Ltd. (Sichuan Province, P. R. China) were used in this work and labeled SAB-1, SAB-2, SAB-3, SAB-4, and SAB-5. The aforementioned SAB samples were all prepared by dissolving them in 15% ABV with ultrapure water; the pH of the final sample solution was adjusted to 6.5 with 0.1 M NaOH and then subjected to the MSPE procedure.

**Magnetic solid phase extraction procedure**

For the MSPE procedure, 5.0 mL of the adjusted SAB solution was loaded into a 10.0 mL centrifuge tube. Then, the samples were spiked with the respective isotopically labeled internal standards to give final concentrations of 20.0 mg/L and saturated with NaCl. Next, 10.0 mg of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ was dispersed in the aforementioned sample solution, and the mixture was shaken by IKA VORTEX 2 vortex agitators at 2800 rpm for 15.0 min to reach adsorption equilibrium. Subsequently, an external magnet was attached at the side of the centrifuge tubes to separate the GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ from the adsorbed analyte from the solution. Thereafter, 0.5 mL of CCl₄ was added and ultrasonicated for 15 min at 40°C to elute the analytes from the adsorbents. Finally, a 1.0 μL aliquot of eluate was injected into the GC-MS system for analysis.

**GC-MS analysis of OAEs**

Six OAEs were quantified by GC-MS (Trace 1300 GC-ISQ LT GC-MS system, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The chromatographic separation was achieved on a DB-WAX capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm film thickness, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) using helium (99.999%) as carrier gas with a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The front inlet was performed with a split ratio of 10:1 at 250°C for MSPE (1.0 μL injected). The oven temperature was initially held at 45°C, then raised to 80°C at 10°C/min and held for 5.0 min, finally ramped at the rate of 10°C/min to 245°C and held for 2.0 min. For the MS conditions, the temperatures of the transfer line and ionization source were 240°C and 230°C, respectively. The mass spectra were collected in electronic impact mode (EI, 70 eV voltage), and the acquisitions were performed over a m/z scan range of 45 to 450 amu at 0.2 s intervals. A selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode was used in MS analysis during OAEs quantification and the ions for both unlabeled and labeled ethyl pentanoate, ethyl hexanoate, propyl hexanoate, butyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate and hexyl hexanoate resulted in values of 89, 88, 88, 104, 99, 56, 88, and 117 (detailed in Table S1).

**Adsorption mechanism studies**

Batch experiments were performed to evaluate the adsorption characteristics of six OAEs with an optimum pH (6.5) and at room temperature (298 K, 25°C). 10.0 mg of the GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ sorbent was added to 5.0 mL of a mixed ester solution with concentrations ranging from 10.0 ~ 100.0 mg/L, and the mixture was subjected to constant agitation (180 rpm) at 25°C. After adsorption, the ester-loaded adsorbents were magnetically separated from the solution at intervals of 5, 10, 15, 40, 60, 90 and 120 min. Finally, the amounts of six OAEs in the filtrate were determined according to previous methods with modifications (Dong et al., 2019) and are detailed in the Supplementary data. The adsorption capacities for the aforementioned OAEs were expressed as \( q_i \) (mg/g) at time \( t \) and calculated using the following equation:

\[
Q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m}
\]

where \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) (mg/L) are the concentrations of the six OAEs at the initial time and time \( t \), respectively; \( V \) (mL) is the volume of the tested solution; and \( m \) (mg) is the weight of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄.

**Statistical analysis**

All chemical analyses in this work were carried out in triplicate, and the results are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Significant differences among samples were estimated by employing one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and unpaired Student’s t-tests. F-tests and p-values were calculated using SPSS software ver. 19.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). The means were considered significantly different at a p-value < 0.05 (Table S2).

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄**

As shown in Fig. 1A, GO has a transparent sheet structure with a rough surface and contains some wrinkles, which could potentially improve the interaction with Fe₃O₄ and PAM chains. After functionalization of GO with PAM (shown in Fig. 1B), it is clear that the PAM flake crystals successfully assembled on the graphene oxide nanosheet (Ploychompoo, Liang, Zhou, Wei, & Luo, 2021). In addition, as shown in Fig. 1C, the surface of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ was homogeneously covered with monodisperse Fe₃O₄ spheres and partially aggregated Fe₃O₄, resulting in GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ having a rougher surface than GO/PAM. The above result demonstrated that the GO sheets were eventually uniformly coated with Fe₃O₄ and PAM particles (Senoy, Guo, Ouyang, Lu, Yang, & Li, 2020).

XRD measurements were employed to identify the crystalline phase and structure of GO, GO/PAM and GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄. As shown in Fig. 1D,
a sharp diffraction peak at the 2θ position of 11.4°, which is indexed to the (001) plane of GO (Manousi, Deliyanni, Rosenberg, & Zachariadis, 2021). The GO/PAM hydrogel presented a broad non-crystalline diffraction region between 10° and 29°, indicate the successful imregnation of the PAM into the graphite oxide layers. In terms of GO/PAM/Fe3O4, seven peaks appeared at 2θ values of 18.4°, 30.2°, 35.7°, 43.4°, 53.8°, 57.2°, and 62.7°, which are characteristic peaks of Fe3O4, are attributed to the (1 1 1), (2 2 0), (3 1 1), (4 0 0), (4 2 2), (5 1 0), and (4 4 0) planes, respectively (Filho, Brito, Silva, Streck, Bohn, & Fonseca, 2021). However, it must be noted that the sharp characteristic peak of GO (2θ of 11.4°) did not appear in both GO/PAM and GO/PAM/Fe3O4 nanocomposite which attributed to the complete exfoliation of GO sheets in the polymer or the partial exfoliation leaving a small amount of crystalline GO.

To further evaluate the functionalization of PAM and Fe3O4 on the surface of GO, XPS measurements of GO/PAM/Fe3O4 were obtained. As shown in Fig. 1E, the scan XPS spectra of the aforementioned nanocomposite appeared at binding energies of approximately 285, 532, 398, and 712 eV attributed to C 1s, O 1s, N 1s, and Fe 2p, respectively. Among them, the detection of the new characteristic peaks of N 1s and Fe 2p indicated that the PAM and magnetic particles successfully covered the surface of GO, XPS measurements of GO/PAM/Fe3O4.

The magnetic properties of the as-prepared GO/PAM/Fe3O4 were verified by the magnetization curve measured by VSM. As shown in Fig. 1H, the magnetic hysteresis loops of the aforementioned sorbent showed almost zero coercivity and remanence, which demonstrated that the synthesized GO/PAM/Fe3O4 possessed superparamagnetic properties with a saturated magnetization value of 31.3 emu/g. After the extraction step, the sorbent prepared in this study could be easily separated from the tested SAB sample within 10 s using an external magnet (shown in the inset in Fig. 1H). These results indicated that the as-prepared GO/PAM/Fe3O4 was suitable as an absorbent for the MSPE process.

**Optimization of the MSPE conditions**

**Effect of the adsorbent amount**

The amount of magnetic adsorbent added seems to directly connect with the quantity of adsorbed analytes and to affect the extraction efficiency; therefore, to optimize the GO/PAM/Fe3O4 amount, a series of amounts (10.0 ~ 50.0 mg) were added to the sample solution. As shown in Fig. 2A, the maximum extraction efficiency of six OAEs was achieved when only 10.0 mg of the aforementioned sorbent was used. However, with a further increase in the quantity of the tested sorbent from 10.0 to 50.0 mg, the extraction efficiencies of esters remained stable. This might be due to aggregation between GO/PAM/Fe3O4, leading to a reduction in the effective adsorption surface area. Therefore, 10.0 mg of GO/PAM/Fe3O4 was chosen for the subsequent study.

**Effect of ionic strength**

The influence of ionic strength on the extraction efficiencies of six OAEs was investigated by changing the sodium chloride (NaCl) concentration from 0 ~ 4.0 mol/L (saturated). As shown in Fig. 2B, the EFs of the six OAEs increased significantly (p = 0 ~ 2.45 × 10-3, shown in Table S2) with increasing NaCl concentration from 0 to 4.0 mol/L. This might be explained by the salting-out effect. Generally, the addition of salt would decrease the solubility of target OAEs in the aforementioned synthetic model of SAB, which led to an enhancement of the adsorption efficiency of analytes onto GO/PAM/Fe3O4 (Senosy et al., 2020). Therefore, 4.0 mol/L NaCl was added for further study.

**Effect of the sample pH**

The pH of the sample solution is crucial to the extraction efficiency of six OAEs, as it would affect the existing forms of targets, the charged species, and the density of the GO/PAM/Fe3O4 surface. In this work,
Fig. 2 shows the effect of pH values ranging from 2.0 to 10.0 on the adsorption capacity of the six esters. The optimized adsorption efficiency (enrichment factors, EFs ranging from 224.0 ~ 552.0%) was achieved when the pH was adjusted to 6.5. The following reasons might explain this observation. (i) Esters are known to undergo reversible or irreversible hydrolysis reactions in acidic or alkaline conditions, leading to the formation of an acid and an alcohol or a carboxylate and an alcohol, respectively (Eq. (3)) (Zhan, Landry, & Ornstein, 2000). More importantly, the aforementioned reaction could easily occur when the pH was in the range of 2 ~ 4 or above 10. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 2C, when the pH decreased from 4 to 2 or increased from 8 to 10, the extraction efficiencies of the six OAEs declined as a whole.

\[
\text{RCOOR} \overset{H_2O}{\rightleftharpoons} \text{RCOOH} + \text{ROH} \quad (H^+ \text{condition}) \\
\text{RCOOR} \overset{OH^-}{\rightleftharpoons} \text{RCOO}^- + \text{ROH} \quad (OH^- \text{condition}) 
\]

(ii) It could be speculated that the six OAEs were adsorbed on the...
surface of GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 mainly through hydrogen bonding. However, more oxygen-containing groups (such as COOH and OH) on the surfaces of the as-prepared sorbent were ionized as the pH increased from 4 to 10 (as seen in Fig. 2D, the point of zero charge of GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 was at a pH of 2.4), which resulted in a gradual weakening of the electrostatic force between the analytes and the adsorbent; more importantly, the extraction efficiencies were significantly reduced as well (p = 2.55 × 10^{-4} ~ 0.021, Table S2). Based on the results mentioned above, the optimum pH was employed at 6.5 for the subsequent experiments.

**Effect of the extraction time**

The effect of extraction time on the extraction efficiency of the six OAEs was studied by increasing the time from 5.0 to 30.0 min. As shown in Fig. 2E, partition equilibrium for all OAEs could be rapidly achieved within 15.0 min, with EFs ranging from 698.3 ~ 866.4%; however, the EFs of the aforementioned six analytes remained almost constant from 15.0 ~ 30.0 min. Therefore, 15.0 min was selected for the subsequent experiments. During the MSPE procedure, adsorption takes place in a short time, which indicates that the synthesized GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 has a large surface area; hence, rapid mass transfer occurred between the adsorbents and the SAB solution.

**Effect of desorption solvents**

After the extraction step, the analytes adsorbed on the magnetic GO/PAM sorbent were desorbed using various organic solvents (including acetone, ethyl acetate, CH_2Cl_2, CCl_4 and hexane) under ultrasonication. As illustrated in Fig. 2F, the best EFs were obtained when CCl_4 was chosen as the desorption solvent. This is due to the polarity of the solvent, which largely determines the solubility of analytes. Since CCl_4 (partition coefficient, log P = 2.83) and the target analytes (log P = 2.2 ~ 4.76) have similar polarities, it can most effectively disrupt the interactions between the six OAEs and GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4, and can hence displace the analytes from the as-prepared sorbent. This phenomenon is consistent with the results described by numerous researchers (Dong et al., 2019). Consequently, CCl_4 was selected as the optimum eluent solvent.

**Adsorption kinetics**

Kinetic assessment is crucial to elucidate the path and mechanism as well as the rate of adsorption between target analytes and the adsorbent. In this study, the adsorption kinetics of GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 for six OAEs were investigated at 298 K (25 °C) to determine the adsorption equilibrium time, and the results are shown in Fig. S4A. The uptake kinetics of the six OAEs were very rapid within 15 min and then increased slowly until adsorption equilibrium was reached. To elucidate the mechanism of adsorption, a pseudo-first-order (PFO) model and a pseudo-second-order (PSO) model were used to fit the kinetic data. Two models can be expressed as follows (Liang, Lu, Li, Li, & Zhu, 2020):

**PFO model:**

\[
\ln (Qe - Qt) = -kt + \ln Qe
\]

**PSO model:**

\[
\frac{t}{Qe} = \frac{1}{k_1Q_{max}} + \frac{1}{Q_{max}}t
\]

where Q(t) (mg/g) is the amount of adsorbed compounds at time t and Qe (mg/g) is the adsorption capacity of compounds at the equilibrium state. k_1 (1/min) and k_2 (g/(mg-min)) represent the adsorption rate constants of PFO and PSO, respectively.

As shown in Table 1, the slopes, intercepts, and correlation coefficients (R^2) of these plots are summarized. The high R^2 values for the PSO model (0.9992 ~ 0.9999) demonstrate that the model was better for describing OAEs adsorption by the GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 sorbent (Fig. S4B). Moreover, the experimental results showed that the adsorption capacities (Qe) of the adsorbent for six OAEs were between 3.66 and 4.52 mg/g. Compared to those calculated by the PFO model, the PSO model predicted an appropriate theoretical Qe (3.58 to 4.46 mg/g) close to the experimental Qe. These results showed that adsorption mainly depends on the adsorption capacity of the surface position (Fraga et al., 2020).

**Adsorption isotherms**

Equilibrium studies were performed to determine the association among six OAEs concentration and amount of OAEs adsorbed at a constant temperature is usually recognized as adsorption isotherms study. In this work, the adsorption experiments of isotherms were conducted by changing the OAEs concentrations (10 ~ 100 mg/L, pH = 6.5) at 298 K. As observed from Fig. S4C, the absorptivity of six OAEs indicated that with increasing concentration, the amount of OAEs absorbed on GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 also increased until reaching equilibrium. This phenomenon can be ascribed to that the active sites of GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4 are sufficient for six OAEs molecules occupation at the saturation concentration of OAEs, but has no ability to allow the extra OAEs molecules in the solutions with higher concentration.

In the interest of better evaluating the adsorption performance of GO/PAM/Fe_3O_4, the Langmuir (Eq.6) and Freundlich (Eq.7) isotherm models were used to simulate adsorption isotherm data (Pourjavadi, Nazari, Kohestanian, & Hosseini, 2019).

**Langmuir isotherm model:**

\[
\frac{1}{Qe} = \frac{1}{KLQ_{max}} + \frac{1}{Q_{max}}C_e
\]

**Freundlich isotherm model:**

\[
\ln Qe = \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e + \ln K_f
\]

where Qe (mg/g) represents the adsorption capacity of esters at equilibrium; C_e (mg/L) is the concentration of OAEs in the synthetic model of SAB after reaching equilibrium; and Q_{max} (mg/g) denotes the

| Model                  | Equation and Parameters | Ethyl hexanoate | Ethyl pentanoate | Ethyl octanoate | Hexyl hexanoate | Propyl hexanoate | Butyl hexanoate |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Pseudo-first-order kinetics | Q_e exp (mg g^{-1}) | 3.66 | 3.74 | 4.16 | 3.83 | 4.52 | 3.88 |
|                         | k_1 (mg g^{-1})        | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.03 | 0.84 |
|                         | k_2 (g min^{-1} mg^{-1}) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
|                         | R_1^2                  | 0.5540 | 0.4907 | 0.4801 | 0.5066 | 0.6030 | 0.4531 |
| Pseudo-second-order kinetics | Q_e exp (mg g^{-1}) | 3.66 | 3.74 | 4.16 | 3.83 | 4.52 | 3.88 |
|                         | k_2 (g min^{-1} mg^{-1}) | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.99 | 0.48 | 0.26 |
|                         | R_2^2                  | 0.9994 | 0.9992 | 0.9997 | 0.9998 | 0.9999 | 0.9995 |
| Langmuir isotherm       | Q_{max} (mg g^{-1})    | 39.06 | 24.21 | 15.17 | 13.68 | 21.14 | 18.28 |
|                         | k_1 (L mg^{-1})        | 0.0041 | 0.0031 | 0.0180 | 0.0211 | 0.0205 | 0.0158 |
|                         | R^2                    | 0.9960 | 0.9943 | 0.9989 | 0.9967 | 0.9991 | 0.9956 |
|                         | R_1                   | 0.75 ~ 0.96 | 0.76 ~ 0.97 | 0.36 ~ 0.85 | 0.32 ~ 0.83 | 0.38 ~ 0.83 | 0.39 ~ 0.86 |
|                         | 1/n                   | 0.8613 | 1.0325 | 0.6400 | 0.5949 | 0.6116 | 0.6365 |
|                         | R^2                   | 0.9688 | 0.9545 | 0.9775 | 0.9552 | 0.9794 | 0.9494 |
maximum adsorption capacity. $K_L$ is the Langmuir constant related to the adsorption energy; $K_F$ and $1/n$ are the Freundlich constants; $1/n$ represents the degree of heterogeneity of the adsorbent surface.

As presented in Fig. S4D–SS and Table 2, the adsorption behavior of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ for six OAEs follows the Langmuir model more than the Freundlich models. The regression coefficients ($R^2$) obtained using the Langmuir model (0.9943 – 0.9991) was higher than that calculated using the Freundlich equation (0.9494 – 0.9794). According to Langmuir model, the adsorption process on the surface of adsorbent done at specific homogeneous sites. No more adsorption process will proceed after the occupation of all available sites present on adsorbent molecule (Nazir et al., 2021). Therefore, these indicated that the adsorption of six OAEs occurred on the adsorbent’s surface, and the adsorption process was a single-layer chemical adsorption process. Moreover, based on the Langmuir data, the $Q_{max}$ values of six OAEs were calculated to range from 13.68 to 39.06 mg/g. Moreover, we calculated the separation factor $K_L$, as the main characteristic of the Langmuir model using the Eq. (8).

$$RL = \frac{1}{1 + K_LC_0} \tag{8}$$

Based on the results of Table 1, the separation factor $K_L$ of six OAEs ranges from 0.32 to 0.97, indicating the favorability of the adsorption of six OAEs by the novel proposed nanocomposite (Li et al., 2019).

**Possible extraction mechanisms**

It is well known that the chemical structures of the adsorbent play an important role in the adsorption phenomenon. In this work, the presence of active groups, such as amine, amide, hydroxyl, and carboxyl in the structure of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ might affect the tested OAEs adsorption. Considering its sensibility to the functional groups, the FT-IR spectra of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ shows the presence of the vibration of the C=O stretching vibrations of the N–H (or O–H) groups, and the bending vibration of the –NH₂ in GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄, shifted to 3330 cm⁻¹, 1610 cm⁻¹, and 1580 cm⁻¹, which are related to the stretching vibrations of the N–H (or O–H) groups, and the bending vibration of the –NH₂ in GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄, shifted to 3330 cm⁻¹, 1610 cm⁻¹, and 1610 cm⁻¹ after the adsorption of six OAEs, respectively, signifying that the H-bonding interaction occurred among the active sites of six OAEs and the amine groups and hydroxyls groups of GO/PA-M/Fe₃O₄ (Hu et al., 2016). Besides, the carbonyl stretching peaks of six OAEs at 1735 cm⁻¹ (curves i in Fig. 3B) disappeared and a new peak at 1658 cm⁻¹ appeared (curves ii in Fig. 3B), which clearly indicated that the –COOR groups of the tested OAEs were connected with the amine groups in the surface modification of GO, forming –O=C–O–HN–hydrogen-bond (T. Lu, Xue, Shao, Gu, Zeng, & Luo, 2016). Based on the results mentioned above, the improvement in the adsorption efficiency between GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ and the analytes was mainly dependent on hydrogen bonding.

**Stability of the prepared GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄**

The reusability and stability of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ for the adsorption of OAEs were tested in several successive runs, with the as-prepared sorbent being washed with 5 mL of CCl₄ and 5 mL of ultrapure water for three times and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 6 h before the next use. The experimental results demonstrated that the adsorption feature of GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ was apparently stable (<5%) after the repeated application of the above 5 cycles of sorption and desorption of the OAEs, indicating good reusability of the nanocomposite for ester adsorption.

**Analytical performance of the proposed methods**

Under the optimal conditions, the analytical properties of the established MSPE-GC/MS method were assessed by evaluating its linearity, LODs, LOQs, recoveries and precision. The calibration curves were constructed using the following equation: $y = ax + b$, where the peak area ratios ($y$) were plotted against the concentration ratios ($x$) of the standards of the target compounds to the internal standards. As illustrated in Table S1, satisfactory correlation coefficients ($R^2 \geq 0.9932$) were obtained within the range of 20.0 ~ 400.0 mg/L for ethyl penta-noate, 100.0 ~ 2000.0 mg/L for ethyl hexanoate, 1.0 ~ 60.0 for propyl hexanoate, butyl hexanoate and hexyl hexanoate, and 5.0 ~ 80.0 mg/L for ethyl octanoate. Moreover, the LODs based on S/N = 3 were found to be 0.08 ~ 1.35 µg/L, while the LOQs (S/N = 10) were from 0.25 ~ 4.50 µg/L. The recovery studies were applied to evaluate the accuracy and precision with two spiking concentrations for OAEs in SAB samples (shown in Table S1). The results indicated that the average recoveries of ethyl hexanoate, butyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate, and hexyl hexanoate were in the range of 70.1% ~ 90.0%, with relative standard deviations (RSDs, n = 3) from 2.0 to 9.8%.

In addition, a comparative study of the present method with other reported methods for the determination of six OAEs in different Baijiu and wine samples is demonstrated in Table 2. It can be seen that the accuracy and precision of the proposed method are comparable to those of previous methods. Moreover, the LODs of the method are lower or comparable to that of other techniques due to the high surface area area of synthesized GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄. Based on a comparison of the extraction time, the current method is greatly shorter than that of the most existing methods, which indicate that our method is more rapid and cost-effective. Therefore, the GO/PAM/Fe₃O₄ based MSPE method demonstrated a high potential for analyzing trace ester compounds from Baijiu.

### Table 2

Comparison of the proposed method with other reported methods in the determination of OAEs from different samples.

| Analytical method | Sample Matrix | Organic solvent consumption | Extraction time (min) | LOD (µg/L) | Recoveries (%) | RSDs (%) | Ref. |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|
| 1LE-GC-MS         | Gujnggong Baijiu | 360 mL of CH₂Cl₂ | 240.0 | 3.8 – 43.5 | 85.0 – 104.0 | 1.0 – 4.4 | (Zhao et al., 2016) |
| 2LE-GC-MS         | Langyatai Baijiu | 150 mL of CH₂Cl₂ | 240.0 | 0.4 – 5.3 | 85.0 – 97.0 | 0.5 – 19.9 | (Du et al., 2021) |
| 3SPME-GC-MS       | Daxuaxiang Bajiu | 100 mL of anhydrous disthyethyl ether | 70.0 | 0.3 – 0.6 | 89.0 – 96.1 | 8.8 – 10.2 | (Wang, Li, Qi, Li, & Zhao, 2018) |
| 4SPME-GC-MS       | Wine            | ——                  | 25.0 | 5.8 – 7.2 | 93.0 – 101.0 | 4.6 – 11.5 | (Paula Barros, Moreira, Elias Pereira, Leite, Moraes Rezende, & Guedes de Pinho, 2012) |
| 5SIBE-GC-MS       | Sherry brandy   | ——                  | 100.0 | 8.5 – 158.0 | 101.0 – 108.0 | 5.6 – 17.9 | (Delgado, Durán, Castro, Natera, & Barroso, 2010) |
| 6SPE-MS           | Wine            | 1.3 mL CH₂Cl₂ | 35.0 | 0.2 – 0.3 | 85.0 – 90.0 | 6.3 – 9.2 | (Andujar-Ortiz, Moreno-Arribas, Martín-Alvarez, & Pozo-Bayón, 2009) |
| 7MSPE-GC-MS       | Luzhouaojiao Bajiu | 0.5 mL of CCl₄ | 15.0 | 0.08 – 1.35 | 70.1 – 90.0 | 2.0 – 9.8 | In this work |
Concentrations of six OAEs in 5-SAB determined by GO/PAM/Fe$_3$O$_4$-Based MSPE-GC/MS.

| NO. | Ester odorants | SAB-1 (MC ± SD, mg/L) | RSD n = 3, % | SAB-2 (MC ± SD, mg/L) | RSD n = 3, % | SAB-3 (MC ± SD, mg/L) | RSD n = 3, % | SAB-4 (MC ± SD, mg/L) | RSD n = 3, % | SAB-5 (MC ± SD, mg/L) | RSD n = 3, % | $^a$OT (µg/L) | OAV |
|-----|----------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| 1   | Ethyl pentanoate| 71.5 ± 1.5             | 2.1         | 28.6 ± 0.1             | 0.3         | 66.5 ± 0.9             | 1.4         | 59.6 ± 0.1             | 0.2         | 105.2 ± 0.7             | 0.7         | 26.8$^b$   | 1006–3972 |
| 2   | Ethyl hexanoate | 9080.5 ± 3.6           | 3.6         | 8524.3 ± 3.3           | 3.3         | 1471.3 ± 5.2           | 77.2        | 1612.4 ± 3.7           | 3.7         | 5522.5 ± 5.7            | 0.1         | 55.3$^b$    | 29142–164115 |
| 3   | Propyl hexanoate| 7.5 ± 0.1              | 1.3         | 2.8 ± 0.1              | 3.6         | 2.3 ± 0.1              | 4.3         | 2.3 ± 0.1              | 4.3         | 5.8 ± 0.1              | 1.7         | 12800.0$^b$ | 0.2–1 |
| 4   | Butyl hexanoate | 22.5 ± 0.1             | 0.4         | 9.3 ± 0.1              | 1.1         | 7.0 ± 0.2              | 10$^2$      | 7.1 ± 0.4              | 0.6         | 20.9 ± 0.2             | 1.0         | 678.0$^b$   | 10–33 |
| 5   | Ethyl octanoate | 33.1 ± 0.3             | 0.9         | 17.0 ± 0.2             | 1.2         | 24.7 ± 0.2             | 0.8         | 18.6 ± 0.1             | 0.5         | 56.4 ± 0.9             | 12.9$^c$    | 1319–4383 |
| 6   | Hexyl hexanoate | 28.2 ± 0.3             | 1.1         | 8.9 ± 0.3              | 3.4         | 5.1 ± 0.4              | 10$^2$      | 4.4 ± 0.1              | 2.3         | 38.4 ± 0.5             | 0.5         | 1890.0$^d$ | 2–20 |

$^a$MC ± SD = Mean Concentration ± standard deviations; $^b$OT = Odor Threshold; $^c$Odor threshold reported in (Fan & Xu, 2011); $^d$Odor threshold reported in (Gao, Fan, & Xu, 2014); $^e$Odor threshold reported in (Dong et al., 2019).
range of 70.1% ~ 90.0%, with RSDs from 2.0 to 9.8%. In addition to easier sample preparation process, the LOD and LOQ of 0.08 ~ 1.35 μg/L and 0.25 ~ 4.50 μg/L, respectively, were achieved, which were comparable or superior to the reported methods. Finally, the developed method was successfully applied in the analysis of six OAEs in real SAB samples. Overall, the newly developed MSPE-GC/MS assay has a potential to be a useful alternative to existing quantitative determination procedures for OAEs analysis.
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