Hybrid Ilizarov’s Method and Fibular Strut for Humeral Diaphyseal Nonunion: A Case Report after Multiple Failed Plating
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Abstract
Humeral diaphyseal fracture with non-union and failed implant in situ is a complex case. It is more challenging when the case is accompanied by infection, multiple ORIF, and osteopenic bone. Smoking and alcohol consumption synergically enhances delay in fracture healing and non-union. The various surgical procedures are prevalent and conducted by orthopedic surgeons for its management. We hereby present a complex case of non-union humeral shaft fracture with an implant in situ by the use of autologous fibula strut as a biological nail to fit the non-union gap stabilized by K-wire and hybrid Ilizarov’s external fixator for mechanical support in a single setting. During this process, radical bone debridement was done. At 9 months of follow-up, bone union was achieved and the implants were removed. The final functional outcome was graded as good according to QuickDASH shoulder score with improved shoulder and absence of pain at the non-union site.
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Introduction
Humeral shaft fractures constitute about 3% – 8% of all the fractures [1,2]. The incidence of humeral shaft fracture that undergoes non-union after Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF) accounts for 15% – 30% [2,3]. The most common classification of non-union has been proposed by Weber and Cech as hypertrophic and atrophic non-union. The most common treatment option for long bone non-union are intramedullary nailing, dynamic or locking compression plate with bone grafting, Ilizarov fixation followed by bone grafting with vivid clinical results.

Smoking, alcohols, diabetes mellitus, age, unstable primary osteosynthesis, open fractures, vessel injuries, and infections are the common risk factors for developing a non-union [4]. Osteopenia, osteoporosis, and soft tissue damage with extensive skin scar increases the likelihood of repeated fracture of metallic implantations in long bone such as femur, tibia, and humerus [5]. In infected non-union, the concept of management are implant removal, debridement, external fixation, subside infection, grafting, and / or internal fixation which is a challenging job for the orthopedic surgeons.

The purpose of this case report was to illustrate the outcome of free fibular strut grafting and application of the hybrid Ilizarov’s method in the treatment of atrophic non-union of humerus shaft fracture that has failed ORIF multiple attempts. This case report shall add evidence based operative procedure to the growing literature.
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Case Report

A 40-year-old man suffered an isolated closed left humeral shaft fracture with intact Distal Neurovascular System (DNVS) after a motorbike fall, 4 years ago (2017) (Figure 1). His denying history of hypertension, diabetes, and other chronic medical comorbidities. He had the habit of drinking and smoking a pack of cigarettes for 20 years. At a local institute, he was treated conservatively with long hanging cast. In 3 months, fracture healed with hypertropic non-union accompanied with limited range of activity and pain in the arm (Figure 2). He was surgically treated by Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF) with Dynamic Compression Plate (DCP) without postoperative complications (Figure 3).

Within 3 months, he again complained with pain on the arm and restricted movement of the shoulder. Upon X-ray examination, he sustained the screws backout and implant loosening (Figure 4). Thereafter, he was surgically managed at the same institute with Limited Contact Dynamic Compression Plate (LCDCP) with Iliac bone autografting (Figure 5). After 28 months (2019) from initial injury time, the patient presented in our department with pain in the arm, swollen, restricted Range of Motion (ROM) of shoulder and elbow and intact DNVS. There was no sinus discharge, or keloid scar.

On examination, his left biceps, triceps, and forearm muscles had atrophied. His left arm was shortened approximately 3 cm compared with the contralateral arm. The blood parameters evaluation showed increase in Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) and White Blood Cells (WBC) counts. The radiograph showed atrophic non-union of humerus diaphysis, loosening and lysis at the screw and plate surface (Figure 6).

We decided to manage this complex, infected and atrophic non-union of left humeral shaft fracture by radical debridement, fibular strut grafting and Ilizarov fixation in a single sitting.

Surgical Procedure

At first, the required autologous fibular strut was harvested (a length of 5-6 cm) with the distal fibula being left in place for ankle stability (Figure 7). This fibular strut, or “biological
"nail," was fashioned to fit the host humerus medullary canal as the biological strut should be inserted into the host bone as early as possible to maximize its viability.

The 4-5 cm anterior deltopectoral approach incision was adopted to expose the humeral shaft. The radial nerve was isolated between brachioradialis and brachialis. The broken plate was removed entirely. The radial nerve was seen hypertrophied with the fibrotic bands. Deep tissue was obtained for culture. The radical debridement around the bone was carried with excision of fibrous tissue and callus.

![Figure 4: AP and lateral radiographs suggestive of implant failure with loosening and refracture at the humeral diaphysis.](image1)

![Figure 6: AP and lateral radiographs suggestive of failed osteosynthesis with implant loosening and atropic non-union of left humeral diaphyseal.](image2)

![Figure 7: AP and lateral radiographs after left fibula resection.](image3)

The medullary canal was minimally shaved and reamed. The full-length fibular graft was inserted into the medullary cavity of the humerus proximally and distally. At the time of the procedure, a Kirschner wire was inserted through the proximal humerus passing through the fibular graft distally. These helped to intact the strut and increased the strength and minimize the displacement (Figure 8).

**Ilizarov fixation**

The hybrid Ilizarov ring external fixator configuration
was preconstructed before surgery by measuring the length and height of the X-rays films and the affected arm. After the placement of the fibular graft, the immediate Ilizarov ring was installed. The proximal ring was fixed with the three Schanz screws over the proximal humerus, mid-ring was fixed to the mid-shaft humeral diaphysis, and the distal ring was fixed using 2 Schanz screws and one 1.8 mm k wire drilled over the distal humerus. The ring was position just anteriorly to the elbow to facilitate the elbow range of motion. Special care was taken to avoid nerve injuries while drilling the Ilizarov trans-osseous implant. The installation was verified with the C-arm image intensifier. The wound closure was carried out and pin sites were cleaned and dressed in a sterile manner.

**Post-operative care**

At each clinical visit, the patient was informed and given instructions about the pin site care and sterile dressing with 0.5% chlorhexidine solution and mupirocin ointment. The pin site was inspected at each visit for swelling, erythema, purulent drainage, or pain. The result of deep tissue culture was negative. However, intravenous third generation prophylactic antibiotics was used for 5 days. Active and passive joint mobilization of elbow, hand, wrist as tolerated was instructed. Ultrasonic wave therapy was also done to increase the osteogenic activity. X-rays (AP, Lateral, Oblique views) were taken to observe the bone compression, callus formation.

The results of the treatment were assessed on the basis of clinical and radiographic evidence of union, time to union, and the function of the extremity. The complete bone union took place after 9 months. The Ilizarov fixator was removed as a daycare elective operative procedure and the patient was discharged on the same day with pain management medications, oral antibiotics, and long arm slab (Figure 9). A postoperative sling was used to protect the arm for a week. Then Sarmeinto bracing was applied in the affected arm. Pin site dressing was done every alternative day. The QuickDASH score after one month was found to be 25 which were clinically satisfying with no disability. This score was designed be useful in patients with any musculoskeletal disorder of the upper limb. The scores range from 0 (no disability) to 100 (most severe disability) [6]. The patient had improved shoulder abduction, improved movement in elbow flexion and extension, shoulder joint strength, and absence of pain at the non-union site (Figure 10).

**Discussion**

Humerus fracture is one of the long bone fractures and is still managed conservatively with good functional outcome. Due to the fact that, shortening up to 3 cm, rotation of less than 30 degree and angulation up to 20 degree are acceptable for non-operative management with functional bracing [7]. However, non-union occurs up to 10 % in such fractures after conservative treatment and further treatment of such condition can be burdensome with complications [8]. After repeated implant failures, non-union is often resistant to treatment. Moreover, infected humeral non-union is more challenging relative to uninfected cases [9]. In such case, bone is often osteoporotic and osteopenic and fixation is difficult to achieve due to implant loosening and inhibition of osteogenesis [5]. The development of this non-union...
also synergized by smoking and alcohol abuse, poor patient compliance and irregular follow-ups. The smoking and alcohol consumption negatively affects in the bone fracture healing [10]. Smoking has a negative impact on Bone Mineral Density (BMD), reducing calcium absorption and lowering levels of vitamin D, changes hormone levels, and reduces body mass [11]. The increase of non-union and rate after plating in proximal humerus fractures with smoking was found in a clinical study [12]. The risk for development of non-union after ORIF was 3.9-fold higher in heavy smokers (>20cigarettes per day) [13].

We utilized fibular strut with Ilizarov fixation for successful bone union to achieve clinical outcomes. We believe that fibula graft worked as a biological nail that engaged with the host bone firmly. The additional ring fixator helped as mechanical protection to minimize the displacement and infection. Fibula graft is a fresh cancellous autograft with the properties of osteoconduction, osteogenic, and osteoinduction. The property of autogenic cancellous bone can function as a good structure, which might facilitate mesenchymal stem cells associated with bone regeneration, adding the osteogenic activity to the necrosis area and benefiting the repair of the defects [14]. We must be conscious that non-vascularized fibula graft has the risk of absorption, necrosis, and takes time to incorporate. Thus, well debridement and immediate insertion of graft are essential to retain the available bone properties. The non-vascularized grafts are inferior about integration, resistance to bacterial infection, and hypertrophy compared to vascularized grafts [15]. However, there is evidence in the literature that even non-vascularized bone grafts are also capable of remodeling and integrating into the host bone [16,17]. Likewise, the integration of avascular grafts could be attributed to periosteal hypertrophy leading to new bone formation around the graft and eventual bony integration of the graft in some cases [18].

Patients with non-union with multiple implant failures previously treated with ORIF by plating exhibits metal reaction leading to metallosis with implant breakage, metal debris, bony erosion with extensive bone loss, scalloping around screws, and osteopenia [2]. Such cases differ from routine procedures as it is difficult to manage to predict the favorable outcome. In a case like ours, complications such as multiple implant failure, poor bone quality, infections and non-union exist. Therefore, the surgical correction with DCP or LCP or double plating may not provide a reliable outcome. Instead, it could cause extensive soft tissue stripping leading to vessel and nerve damage. Therefore, we intended to use Ilizarov technique as it has been successfully established as a gold standard for treating all types of non-unions, defects and bone infection [19]. The application of ring fixator enables the conversion of incipient deformity either intraoperatively or gradually postoperatively [20]. Even though, it has disadvantages of bulky apparatus on the arm, impingement of the apparatus skin, pin tract infection, associated vessel and nerve damage while K-wire insertion, time-consuming, and social hesitation.

In summary, the treatment goal of non-union, infected diaphyseal fracture of the humerus can be achieved with biological stimulation using fibula strut, mechanical stabilization using Ilizarov ring fixator in the arm, and early mobilization for better clinical outcome.
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