Diphenylarsinic acid sorption mechanisms in soils using batch experiments and EXAFS spectroscopy
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HIGHLIGHTS

• DPAA sorption data was found to fit the Freundlich equation.
• $K_f$ was significantly positive correlated with oxalate-extractable Fe$_2$O$_3$.
• Ligand exchange was the main mechanism for DPAA sorption on soils.
• Bidentate binuclear and monodentate mononuclear DPAA bonds were identified.
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ABSTRACT

Diphenylarsinic acid (DPAA) is a phenyl arsenic compound derived from chemical warfare weapons. Macroscopic and microscopic work on DPAA sorption will provide useful information in predicting the partitioning and mobility of DPAA in the soil-water environment. Here, batch experiments and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy were used to investigate the sorption mechanisms of DPAA. The DPAA sorption data from 11 soil types was found to fit the Freundlich equation, and the sorption capacity, $K_f$, was significantly and positively correlated with oxalate-extractable Fe$_2$O$_3$. The $K_f$ values of eight of the 11 untreated soils (1.51–113.04) significantly decreased upon removal of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides (0.51–13.37). When both amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides were removed from the untreated soils, the $K_f$ values either decreased or slightly increased (0.65–3.09). Subsequent removal of soil organic matter from these amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxide-depleted samples led to further decreases in $K_f$ to 0.02–1.38, with only one exception (Sulfic Aquic-Orthic Halosols). These findings strongly suggest that ligand exchange reactions with amorphous metal (hydr)oxides contribute most to DPAA sorption on soils. EXAFS data provide further evidence that DPAA primarily formed bidentate binuclear (2C) and monodentate mononuclear (1V) coring-sharing complexes with As-Fe distances of 3.34 and 3.66 Å, respectively, on Fe (hydr)oxides. Comparison of these results with earlier studies suggests that 2C and 1V complexes of DPAA may be favored under low and high surface coverages, respectively, with the formation of 1V bonds possibly conserving the sorption sites or decreasing the steric hindrance derived from phenyl substituents.
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1 Introduction

Diphenylarsinic acid (DPAA) (Fig. S1) is an organic arsenic (As) compound derived from diphenylchloroarsine and diphenylecyanarosine. These compounds were widely produced and used as chemical warfare agents during World Wars I and II (Kasperek, 1999). After the wars, these agents were naively disposed of via landfill or ocean dumping (Pearson and Magee, 2002; Radke et al., 2014). The leakage of DPAA from chemical warfare agents to soil and groundwater has aroused increasing concern following an incident in Kamisu (Japan), where DPAA-contaminated groundwater led to a clinical syndrome with prominent cerebellar symptoms in many residents (Ishii et al., 2004). The high persistence (Hempel et al., 2009), bioavailability (Arao et al., 2009) and toxicity (Ochi et al., 2004) of DPAA have been amply demonstrated. To fully understand the potential risks of DPAA in the soil-water environment, the sorption mechanisms of DPAA need to be urgently elucidated.

A previous study found that an Fe-rich Acrisol soil had a stronger sorption capacity toward DPAA when compared with a soil organic matter (SOM)-rich Phaeozem (Wang et al., 2013). After the removal of SOM from the Andosol, the amount of DPAA sorbed on the Andosol increased, likely due to the exposure of Fe/Al (hydr)oxides that may have been masked by SOM (Maejima et al., 2011). These results suggest that DPAA sorption on soils may be due mainly to ligand exchange reactions with hydroxyl groups on metal (hydr)oxides rather than hydrophobic interactions with SOM. A recent finding is that DPAA exists predominantly as amorphous and crystalline Fe/Al (hydr) oxide associated forms in clay mineral fractions of soils (Zhu et al., 2019a). However, the contributions of Fe/Al (hydr)oxides and their different degrees of crystallinity to DPAA sorption on soils are still unknown. Furthermore, in these studies only a limited number of soil types have been investigated and no direct molecular evidence of DPAA sorption mechanisms is yet available. Therefore, studies involving more diverse soils with varied physicochemical properties and surface characterization techniques are needed to further our understanding of DPAA sorption.

Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) is a powerful in situ technique that has been widely used to identify the coordination environment of inorganic As in soils (Wang and Mulligan, 2008). In recent years there has been research into the use of EXAFS to measure sorbed organic As on Fe (hydr)oxides. For monomethylarsenate (MMA) and dimethylarsenate (DMA), bidentate binuclear cornering-sharing (3C) complexes were identified on goethite (Shimizu et al., 2011). For DPAA, both 3C and monodentate mononuclear cornering-sharing (1V) complexes were observed on ferrihydrite (Tanaka et al., 2014), and similar DPAA 3C bonding was also found on goethite and hematite (Zhu et al., 2019b). However, the molecular environment of DPAA in soils can not be completely represented by the interactions with Fe (hydr)oxides. More EXAFS studies are still needed to elucidate the molecular sorption mechanisms of DPAA in soils.

Here, 11 soil types with a wide range of physicochemical properties were selected for batch sorption experiments. The first objective was to investigate the contributions of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr) oxides to DPAA sorption on these soils. A highly DPAA-contaminated soil (total As content >1000 mg/kg) collected from north-east China was selected for EXAFS analysis in order to overcome the problem of low signal of organic As compounds and high background noise, and to use material with DPAA concentrations within an optimal range for EXAFS analysis. Consequently, the second objective was to identify the coordination environment of DPAA in a highly DPAA-contaminated soil and provide direct molecular evidence for sorption mechanisms. The present study contributes toward our understanding of the sorption/desorption, bioavailability and transformation of DPAA, and will thus help in the development of appropriate and effective remediation methods for DPAA contamination.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Reagents

DPAA (97% purity) was provided by Wako Pure Chemical Ind. Ltd., Osaka, Japan. Phenylarsonic acid (PAA) (Fig. S1) was purchased from Aladdin Chemical Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. Standard solutions of MMA and DMA were obtained from the State Center for Standard Materials, Beijing, China. The methanol and formic acid used were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade and other reagents used were of analytical grade. All solutions were prepared with 18.2 MΩ/cm ultrapure water (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA).

2.2 Soil sampling and analysis

Eleven types of DPAA-free soils were selected because they are distributed in provinces with reported sites of chemical warfare agents (Deng and Evans, 1997) and because their physicochemical properties range widely. Soils were sampled in each plot from the surface (0–15 cm) of the soil profile, then air-dried and sieved (≤2 mm) in the laboratory. The soil types were classified using the Chinese soils taxonomy described by Gong et al. (2007). The pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), total P and SOM contents were measured using the standard methods described by Lu (2000). Four different solutions were used to separately extract Fe as follows: dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate (DCB) extraction measured amorphous and
crystalline Fe forms (DCB-Fe$_2$O$_3$), ammonium oxalate extraction measured amorphous Fe forms (oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$) (Lu, 2000), sodium pyrophosphate extraction measured Fe-humus complexes (Wada and Higashi, 1976); and HF-HNO$_3$-HClO$_4$ extraction dissolved total Fe (Pretorius et al., 2006). The concentration of extracted Fe was then determined using phenanthroline colorimetry according to the method described by Lu (2000). The amorphous and crystalline forms of Al (DCB-Al$_2$O$_3$) were extracted using DCB, while HF-HNO$_3$-HClO$_4$ extraction was used to measure total Al. The concentration of extracted Al was determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima 7000 DV, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Total As was extracted using HCl-HNO$_3$ digestion (GB/T 22105.2-2008, Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People’s Republic of China) and measured by atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS-930, Beijing Jitian Instrument Co., China). Soil particle size distribution was determined using a laser particle size analyzer (Malvern Mastersizer 2000F, Malvern, UK). Recoveries for the determination of SOM (Li et al., 2016), total Fe, Al (Li et al., 2014) and As were assessed previously in our laboratory through the analysis of geochemical reference materials and ranged from 95% to 105%. Selected physicochemical properties of the soils are presented in Table 1.

### 2.3 Soil preparation

Samples of the untreated soils (≤0.25 mm) were shaken with sodium oxalate-oxalic acid solution (0.2 mol/L, pH 3.20, soil:solution 1:25, w/v) in the dark for 2 h according to the method of Lu (2000), then washed once with Na$_2$CO$_3$ (2%, w/v), three times with NaCl (1 mol/L) and three times with ultra-pure water, respectively, according to the method of Hiradate and Uchida (2004). The treated soil samples were then freeze-dried and sieved to obtain soils deficient of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides. To remove the amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides, sodium citrate (0.3 mol/L, 100 mL), sodium bicarbonate (1.0 mol/L, 12.5 mL) and sodium dithionite (1 g) were added to 2-g aliquots of the collected soil samples; the mixture was kept at 80°C for 15 min with continuous agitation and then centrifuged. The above DCB procedure was followed according to the method of Lu (2000) and was repeated twice. The treated soil samples were also washed, freeze-dried and sieved to obtain soils deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides. Finally, to remove the SOM from the soils deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides, these soils were placed in tall beakers and then treated with H$_2$O$_2$ (6%, w/v) repeatedly (Hiradate and Uchida, 2004). The treated soil samples were then washed, freeze-dried and sieved to obtain soils deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides and SOM.

### 2.4 Batch sorption experiments

DPAA solutions at different initial concentrations ($C_i = 2, 4, 10$ and 20 mg/L) were prepared in NaNO$_3$ (0.01 mol/L) as the background solutions. Untreated or treated soil samples (2.0 g) and 20 mL of the DPAA background solutions were placed in Teflon tubes and shaken at 180 r/min and 25°C. The mixture was centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 10 min and the supernatants were collected after incubation for 72 h, during which time sorption equilibrium was reached, as indicated from our previous study (Wang et al., 2013). Analysis of the equilibrium concentrations of DPAA ($C_{eq}$, mg/L) was conducted by HPLCMS/MS equipped with an electrospray ionization source (Zhu et al., 2016b). The experimental data of DPAA sorption was calculated via the following equation:

$$Q_{ads} = (C_i - C_{eq})V/m,$$

where $Q_{ads}$ (mg/kg) is the amount of DPAA sorbed, $V$ is the volume of DPAA solution and $m$ is the mass of the dried soil.

$Q_{ads}$ was plotted against $C_{eq}$ and analyzed using the Freundlich equation as shown in Eq. (2).

$$Q_{ads} = K_fC_{eq}^n,$$

where $K_f$ (sorption coefficient) and $n$ (nonlinearity factor) are the constants related to sorption capacity and intensity, respectively (Petruzelli et al., 1985).

### 2.5 EXAFS analysis

A soil highly contaminated with DPAA (total As content>1000 mg/kg) was collected from a burial site of chemical warfare weapons in north-east China. A detailed physicochemical analysis of the soil properties was not possible due to the limited amounts of sample available. The highly DPAA-contaminated soil was air-dried and sieved (≤0.15 mm), then X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and EXAFS spectra were collected at the BL14W1 beamline of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The spectra of DPAA, PAA and inorganic As standards were collected in transmission mode, while the spectra of the highly contaminated soil sample, MMA and DMA solutions were collected in fluorescence mode due to their relatively low As concentrations.

Athena and Artemis interfaces from IFEFFIT software were used to analyze the XANES and EXAFS data. The detailed procedure is described in Zhu et al. (2019b), briefly, the spectra were averaged, backgrounds subtracted and then normalized. The radial distribution function (RDF) was obtained through the Fourier transformed (FT) $k^2$-weighted $\chi(k)$ EXAFS spectra in the $k$ range of 2.0–10.0 Å$^{-1}$. The peaks in RDF were related to shells around the central As atom which were fitted by specific atom
| Code | Chinese soils taxonomy (subgroup) | Location       | pH   | SOM (%) | CEC (cmol/kg) | DCB-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (g/kg) | DCB-Al$_2$O$_3$ (g/kg) | Oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (g/kg) | Total Fe (g/kg) | Total Al (g/kg) | Total P (g/kg) | Total As (mg/kg) |
|------|----------------------------------|----------------|------|---------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| S1   | Agri-Udic Ferrosols             | Yingtang, Jiangxi | 4.94 | 1.23    | 13.70         | 37.3                   | 7.35                   | 0.87                      | 55.1           | 58.3          | 0.598          | 16.1           |
| S2   | Gleyi-Stagnic Anthrosols        | Yingtang, Jiangxi | 4.85 | 3.86    | 10.48         | 20.5                   | 6.11                   | 1.17                      | 31.7           | 48.2          | 0.631          | 11.2           |
| S3   | Hapli-Udic Andosols             | Beihai, Guangxi  | 5.40 | 1.61    | 17.83         | 84.5                   | 1.52                   | 6.59                      | 137.6          | 123.2         | 0.778          | 8.5            |
| S4   | Sulfic Aqui-Orthic Halosols     | Haikou, Hainan   | 5.55 | 6.93    | 29.30         | 23.5                   | 0.31                   | 11.3                      | 42.1           | 69.1          | 0.376          | 7.9            |
| S5   | Rhodi-Udic Ferralosols          | Wenchang, Hainan | 4.97 | 5.71    | 17.15         | 86.5                   | 1.85                   | 3.30                      | 151.5          | 127.2         | 0.300          | 2.6            |
| S6   | Rhodi-Udic Ferralosols          | Wenchang, Hainan | 5.37 | 1.94    | 1.89          | 28.3                   | 1.89                   | 0.39                      | 25.2           | 27.3          | 0.084          | 14.9           |
| S7   | Hapli-Udic Ferralosols          | Dingan, Hainan   | 5.39 | 2.87    | 7.02          | 17.6                   | 0.07                   | 1.47                      | 28.7           | 79.7          | 0.062          | 3.4            |
| S8   | Ochri-Aquic Cambosols           | Binzhou, Shandong| 8.57 | 2.06    | 14.76         | 13.8                   | 0.02                   | 1.34                      | 24.7           | 62.6          | 0.846          | 10.1           |
| S9   | Hapli-Udic Argosols             | Yantai, Shandong | 4.99 | 3.03    | 14.25         | 14.7                   | 0.15                   | 2.07                      | 18.7           | 52.1          | 1.826          | 8.7            |
| S10  | Hapli-Udic Argosols             | Dalian, Liaoning | 5.43 | 1.37    | 9.05          | 20.6                   | 0.15                   | 0.99                      | 22.3           | 53.0          | 0.052          | 12.4           |
| S11  | Hapli-Udic Isohumosols          | Changchun, Jilin | 7.65 | 2.75    | 39.20         | 7.35                   | 2.50                   | 0.89                      | 35.2           | 71.6          | 0.659          | 12.8           |
type, coordination number (CN) of atoms in the shell, inter-atomic distance ($R$), and Debye-Waller factor ($\sigma^2$). For each EXAFS spectrum the first, second and third-neighboring contributions to the FT were extracted and back-transformed to obtain partial EXAFS spectra. The CNs for As-O and As-C paths were held constant during the EXAFS fitting, and the $\sigma^2$ for different As-C paths was set as one variable for the highly DPAA-contaminated soil sample and another variable for the DPAA standard, in order to reduce the number of adjustable parameters. The quality of the fit was represented by the $R$-factor which is given as the mean square difference between the measured and fit data on a point-by-point basis. An $R$-factor less than 0.02 generally indicates a good fit (Kelly et al., 2008). Athena was also used for linear combination fitting (LCF) of the As K-edge XANES spectra, and the LCF results of the highly DPAA-contaminated soil were used to determine the relative proportion each reference compound contributed to the soil sample.

2.6 Statistical analysis

DPAA sorption isotherms were processed using the Origin 8.5 software package (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA). Pearson’s correlation was used to determine the relationships between $K_f$ and the selected soil physicochemical properties. All statistical analyses were carried out using the IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 software package (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Correlation between DPAA sorption and soil properties

The sorption isotherms of DPAA on 11 types of untreated soils are shown in Fig. 1. It was found that the amounts of DPAA sorbed increased with increasing equilibrium concentration of DPAA, and the data obtained from batch experiments were well described by the Freundlich equation ($R^2 > 0.93$). All fitting parameters are presented in Table 2. Previous studies also reported that DPAA sorption data on Acrisols, Phaeozems (Wang et al., 2013), Fluvisols and Andosols (Maejima et al., 2011) were best represented by the Freundlich isotherms. The Pearson correlation matrix for $K_f$ with the selected soil physicochemical properties is shown in Table 3. It can be seen that $K_f$ was significantly and positively correlated with oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ ($r = 0.911$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that ligand exchange reactions with hydroxyl groups on amorphous Fe (hydr) oxides contribute significantly to DPAA sorption on soils. However, no significant correlation was found between $K_f$ and DCB-Fe$_2$O$_3$ ($r = 0.226$, $p > 0.05$) or total Fe ($r = 0.257$, $p > 0.05$; Table 3), reflecting the importance of the speciation of Fe (hydr)oxides in DPAA sorption on soils. Additionally, a less significant correlation was observed...
between $K_f$ and SOM ($r = 0.770$, $p < 0.01$; Table 3), suggesting that SOM promotes DPAA sorption either through hydrophobic interactions (Arroyo-Abad et al., 2011), and/or through inhibiting the crystallization of Fe (hydr)oxides (Schwertmann, 1966; ThomasArrigo et al., 2018) and hence increasing the contributions of amorphous Fe (hydr)oxides. A significant Pearson’s correlation between SOM and oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ ($r = 0.643$, $p < 0.05$; Table 3) further supports the latter hypothesis but more experimental data are required to give a definitive answer.

3.2 Role of metal (hydr)oxides in DPAA sorption on soils

Figure 1 shows that in eight of the 11 untreated soils, the sorption isotherms of DPAA were shifted downward by the removal of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides, an effect not observed for S6, S8 and S11; the Rhodi-Udic Ferralsols, Ochri-Aquic Cambosols and Hapli-Udic Isohumosols, respectively. The $K_f$ values in these eight soils lacking amorphous metal (hydr)oxides (0.505–13.370) were significantly lower than in the untreated soils (1.512–113.042), especially in S4 where the $K_f$ value decreased from 113.042 to 3.682 (Table 2). These results agree with the Pearson’s correlation analysis in that $K_f$ was strongly and positively correlated with oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Table 3), indicate that amorphous metal (hydr)oxides provide the majority of the sorption sites for DPAA on the soils. The findings in the present study correspond well with our previous study, which demonstrated that amorphous ferrihydrite was more efficient in sorption of DPAA compared with crystalline goethite and hematite (Zhu et al., 2019b). This effect may have resulted from the high surface area, and thereby high sorption capacity, of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides compared with crystalline ones (Dixit and Hering, 2003). For soil samples S6, S8 and S11, the $K_f$ values from untreated samples (2.754, 1.046 and 0.323, respectively) were only slightly increased by the removal of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides (3.225, 1.070 and 2.006, respectively) (Table 2). This contrasting effect, when compared with the other eight soils might be explained by the low availability of amorphous Fe (hydr)oxides in S6, S8 and S11, and is possibly due to the covering of the low content of oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ by the relatively high content of SOM (Table 1) (Prietzel et al., 2007; Regelink et al., 2014); once the amorphous metal (hydr)oxides have been removed, the DPAA-sorption sites of SOM and clay minerals become exposed and the DPAA is available for extraction.

The sorption isotherms of DPAA on soils after the removal of both amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides are presented in Fig. 1, and the Freundlich parameters are listed in Table 2. It can be seen that in S1, S2, S3, S6 and S11 the $K_f$ values in soils deficient of both amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides decreased by 13%–80% compared with the soils deficient of amorphous-only metal (hydr)oxides (Table 2). These results strongly suggest that both amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides contribute to DPAA sorption on soils. However, in S5, S7, S8, S9 and S10 the $K_f$ values in soils deficient of both amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides (0.744–3.092) increased only slightly compared with their soils deficient of amorphous-only metal (hydr)oxides (0.505–1.780) (Table 2). The discrepancy may be explained by differences in the removal efficiency of more active, amorphous metal (hydr)oxides using DCB and oxalate treatments (McKeague and Day, 1966). In addition, in all the soils mentioned above (S1–S3, S5–S11) the $K_f$ values decreased to $\leq$3.092 by the removal of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides from untreated soils, suggesting that amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides provide most sorption sites for DPAA. However, the $K_f$ value of S4 remained at 84.256 despite the

### Table 2: Freundlich equation parameters for DPAA sorption on 11 types of soils

| Code | Untreated soil | Soil deficient of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides | Soil deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides | Soil deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides and SOM |
|------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|      | $K_f$ | n    | $R^2$ | $K_f$ | n    | $R^2$ | $K_f$ | n    | $R^2$ |
| S1   | 7.432 | 0.712 | 0.997 | 3.020 | 0.962 | 0.959 | 1.619 | 1.062 | 0.965 | 1.114 | 1.096 | 0.923 |
| S2   | 42.292 | 0.567 | 0.970 | 1.132 | 1.054 | 0.955 | 0.984 | 1.161 | 0.969 | 1.377 | 1.130 | 0.995 |
| S3   | 39.737 | 0.800 | 0.950 | 13.370 | 0.675 | 0.966 | 2.679 | 0.708 | 0.986 | 0.205 | 1.561 | 0.981 |
| S4   | 113.042 | 0.634 | 0.984 | 3.682 | 1.102 | 0.999 | 84.256 | 0.373 | 0.913 | 0.742 | 1.330 | 0.997 |
| S5   | 30.694 | 0.762 | 0.936 | 1.780 | 1.523 | 0.962 | 2.649 | 0.956 | 0.983 | 1.214 | 0.857 | 0.975 |
| S6   | 2.754 | 0.816 | 0.986 | 3.225 | 1.184 | 0.997 | 0.989 | 1.104 | 0.998 | 0.024 | 2.334 | 0.981 |
| S7   | 3.701 | 0.758 | 0.954 | 0.505 | 1.372 | 0.976 | 0.744 | 1.117 | 0.974 | 0.819 | 1.331 | 0.988 |
| S8   | 1.046 | 0.988 | 0.984 | 1.070 | 1.124 | 0.987 | 3.092 | 0.902 | 0.961 | 0.798 | 0.897 | 0.877 |
| S9   | 3.636 | 0.736 | 0.982 | 1.249 | 1.088 | 0.978 | 1.745 | 0.940 | 0.960 | 1.324 | 1.131 | 0.974 |
| S10  | 1.512 | 1.207 | 0.931 | 0.724 | 1.223 | 0.989 | 0.777 | 1.223 | 0.967 | 0.890 | 1.301 | 0.988 |
| S11  | 0.323 | 0.916 | 0.972 | 2.006 | 1.039 | 0.982 | 0.649 | 1.226 | 0.998 | 0.123 | 1.175 | 0.979 |

Notes: a) $K_f$ means sorption coefficient, $n$ means nonlinearity factor, $R^2$ means correlation coefficient of Freundlich isotherm.
removal of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides (Table 2) and this might be due to the following reasons: 1) the incomplete removal of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides by the DCB treatment; removal can alter depending on variation of aging and crystallization of soil (Lu, 2000); 2) the high content of Fe-humus complexes (Fig. S2) in S4, which have a strong affinity toward phenyl As (Peng et al., 2016), and this organic-complexed Fe can be extracted by pyrophosphate and oxalate but not DCB (McKeague et al., 1971). The $K_f$ value of S4 decreased to only 0.724 with the removal of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides and SOM (Table 2), which further strengthens the latter hypothesis.

Based on these results, it can be inferred that DPAA, which has two As-O groups, would have been sorbed by amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides to make inner-sphere complexes via ligand exchange reactions. It is widely known that metal (hydr)oxides also provide most sorption sites for As in soils (Cancès et al., 2005), and thus these As compounds compete with DPAA for the same sorption sites. Our results show that $K_f$ was negatively correlated with total As ($r = -0.279$), although such correlation did not reach a significant level ($p>0.05$) (Table 3). We propose that the increased steric hindrance caused by phenyl substitution, and thereby the decreased availability of hydroxyl groups on metal (hydr)oxides (Adamescu et al., 2010), may have more influence on DPAA sorption compared with the competitive sorption of As in soils.

### 3.3 Role of soil organic matter in DPAA sorption on soils

Figure 1 shows that the sorption isotherms of DPAA were shifted downward by the removal of SOM from all soils deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides, except for those of S2, S7, S9 and S10. Concurrently, the $K_f$ values decreased for most soils deficient of amorphous and crystalline metal (hydr)oxides with the removal of SOM but increased slightly for those of S2, S7 and S10 (Table 2). Many discrepancies in the role of SOM in the sorption of phenyl As have been reported and factors related to the role of SOM, namely competitive sorption (Zhu et al., 2016a), covering sorption sites on Fe/Al (hydr)oxides (Maejima et al., 2011), forming SOM-Fe-As complexes (Fu et al., 2016) and hydrophobic interactions (Arroyo-Abad et al., 2011) should be considered, and the contribution of each factor to the sorption of phenyl As may depend on soil type.

Overall, the changes in the $K_f$ values of untreated and treated soils (Table 2) show that amorphous metal (hydr)oxides contributed more to DPAA sorption compared with crystalline metal (hydr)oxides and SOM. Despite the limited contribution of SOM to DPAA sorption, there was a significant positive correlation between $K_f$ and SOM (Table 3) as pointed out earlier. These results agree with well-established evidence that SOM can inhibit the crystallization of Fe/Al (hydr)oxides (ThomasArrigo et al., 2018) and thus indirectly promote DPAA sorption on soils.

### 3.4 Direct evidence for DPAA association with Fe (hydr)oxides by EXAFS

XANES has been widely used as a powerful tool to identify the speciation of As in contaminated soils (Cancès et al., 2008). The XANES spectra of As-containing reference compounds and a highly DPAA-contaminated soil are presented in Fig. 2(a). The LCF of As XANES spectra (Fig. 2(b)) indicated a mixture of DPAA and inorganic As(V), with the percentages of 88.7% and 11.3%, respectively, demonstrating that As was present mainly as DPAA in the highly contaminated soil.

The $k^3$-weighted, whole EXAFS functions of the highly DPAA-contaminated soil and the corresponding RDFs are

### Table 3 Pearson correlation matrix ($r = Pearson correlation coefficient$) of DPAA sorption with selected physicochemical properties of soils ($n = 11$)

|     | $K_f$ | pH   | SOM  | CEC  | DCB-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | DCB-Al$_2$O$_3$ | Oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Total Fe | Total Al | Total As | Total P |
|-----|-------|------|------|------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|     |       |      |      |      |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| $K_f$ | 1     |      |      |      |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| pH  | -0.277 | 1    |      |      |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| SOM | 0.770** | -0.216 | 1    |      |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| CEC | 0.367 | 0.369 | 0.394 | 1    |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| DCB-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 0.226 | -0.122 | 0.138 | -0.056 | 1    |                |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| DCB-Al$_2$O$_3$ | -0.021 | -0.550 | -0.158 | -0.036 | 0.097 | 1              |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| Oxalate-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 0.911** | 0.030 | 0.643* | 0.436 | 0.326 | -0.285 | 1              |                |                  |         |         |         |         |
| Total Fe | 0.257 | -0.047 | 0.231 | 0.151 | 0.970** | 0.088 | 0.361 | 1              |         |         |         |         |
| Total Al | 0.243 | 0.135 | 0.282 | 0.317 | 0.805** | -0.155 | 0.417 | 0.898** | 1         |         |         |         |         |
| Total As | -0.279 | 0.006 | -0.577 | -0.044 | -0.378 | 0.505 | -0.373 | -0.451 | -0.679* | 1         |         |         |         |         |
| Total P | -0.098 | 0.028 | -0.064 | 0.219 | -0.119 | -0.013 | 0.008 | -0.079 | -0.036 | 0.032 | 1 |

Notes: a) * means significant level at $p < 0.05$, ** means significant level at $p < 0.01$. 


included in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The $k^3$-weighted EXAFS spectra of the three nearest neighboring shells were extracted, and the results are presented in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The two fitting approaches yielded similar results, which are listed in Table 4. The $k^3$-weighted EXAFS spectra of DPAA, together with the contributions of the first and second shells, can be found in our previous study (Zhu et al., 2019a).

When the RDF of the highly DPAA-contaminated soil (Fig. 3(b)) was compared with that of the DPAA standard (Zhu et al., 2019a), both yielded an intense peak at 1–2 Å, which is related to the contributions of As-O and As-C_1 (the nearest C) in the mixed, first-neighbor shell. The fitted inter-atomic distances for As-O and As-C_1 (Table 4) were 1.69 and 1.89 Å, respectively. A broad peak was located at 2–3.3 Å in the RDF of the soil sample (Fig. 3(b)) in contrast to the DPAA standard, and the second shell was fitted with four C atoms at As-C_2 (the second-nearest C) distance of 2.79 Å (Table 4). The fitted As-O, As-C_1 and As-C_2 distances in the present study correspond well with the EXAFS data (Tanaka et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2019a, 2019b) and density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Tanaka et al., 2014) reported in the literature.

However, fitting the highly DPAA-contaminated soil sample only with these two shells yielded a relatively high $R$-factor (0.039) and other abnormal fitting parameters (data not shown), and inclusion of As-Fe paths at distances around 3.4 and 3.6 Å was necessary to obtain a good fit of the RDF with the $R$-factor of 0.017 (Table 4). Consequently, our EXAFS data indicate that the third-shell peak arises from the scattering from neighboring Fe atoms, and

---

**Fig. 2**  (a) The normalized As K-edge XANES spectra of the highly DPAA-contaminated soil and As-containing reference compounds, and (b) linear combination fit for the highly DPAA-contaminated soil, spectra denoting the fractional contributions of the components were used to generate the fitted spectra.

**Fig. 3**  (a) The As K-edge EXAFS spectra and (b) $k^3$-weighted Fourier-transformed (FT) spectra of the highly DPAA-contaminated soil.
the highly DPAA-contaminated soil sample was satisfactorily fitted by 2.15 Fe atoms at an As-Fe$_1$ distance of 3.34 Å, and 1.43 Fe atoms at an As-Fe$_2$ distance of 3.66 Å (Table 4). The fitted As-Fe distances at 3.34 and 3.66 Å correspond to As linked to the surface of Fe (hydr)oxides by forming $^2C$ and $^1V$ complexes, respectively, according to Fendorf et al. (1997). These types of DPAA complexes have been observed in DPAA sorption onto various Fe minerals (Tanaka et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2019b) and clay mineral fractions of soils (Zhu et al., 2019a).

3.5 Comparison with previous EXAFS data for inorganic and phenyl arsenics

Our EXAFS data demonstrate the formation of DPAA $^2C$ and $^1V$ complexes in a highly DPAA-contaminated soil. It is well recognized that inorganic As mainly forms $^2C$ and $^1V$ complexes on Fe (hydr)oxides, with $^1V$ complexes favored at low surface coverage but $^2C$ at high surface coverage (Fendorf et al., 1997; Morin et al., 2008). The DFT calculations further demonstrate that $^2C$ complexes were more energetically favored over $^1V$ complexes for inorganic As sorption onto Fe (hydr)oxides (Sherman and Randall, 2003). However, for DPAA, when comparing the results between soil clay mineral fractions (Zhu et al., 2019a) and a highly DPAA-contaminated soil, similarly in a comparison between ferrihydrite conducted by our previous study (Zhu et al., 2019b) and that by Tanaka et al. (2014), both $^2C$ and $^1V$ complexes were observed at a

Fig. 4  The partial $k^3$-weighted $\chi(k)$ EXAFS functions of (a) first, (b) second and third neighboring shells of As for the highly DPAA-contaminated soil.

Table 4  Structural data on molecular environment of As derived from EXAFS data$^{a)}$

| Sample                  | Shell | CN   | Atomic distance(Å) | $\sigma^2$(Å$^2$) | $R$-factor | Reference           |
|-------------------------|-------|------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|
| DPAA                    | As-O  | 2.00 | 1.70(0.02)         | 0.0030            | 0.009      | Zhu et al. (2019a)  |
|                         | As-C$_1$ | 2.00 | 1.99(0.03)         | 0.0010            |            |                     |
|                         | As-C$_2$ | 4.00 | 2.87(0.06)         | 0.0010            |            |                     |
| Highly DPAA-contaminated soil | As-O  | 2.00 | 1.69(0.03)         | 0.0040            | 0.017      | This study          |
|                         | As-C$_1$ | 2.00 | 1.89(0.13)         | 0.0030            |            |                     |
|                         | As-C$_2$ | 4.00 | 2.79(0.13)         | 0.0030            |            |                     |
|                         | As-Fe$_1$ | 2.15(0.27) | 3.34(0.16) | 0.0030 |            |                     |
|                         | As-Fe$_2$ | 1.43(0.32) | 3.66(0.17) | 0.0030 |            |                     |

Notes: a) Numbers in bold font were fixed during fitting and uncertainties are listed in parentheses. CN means coordination number, $\sigma^2$ means Debye-Waller factor, $R$-factor indicates quality of the fit.
relatively high surface coverage, while only $^2C$ complexes were seen at a relatively low surface coverage. It is suggested that DPAAn $^2C$ complexes may be favored at low surface coverage while $^{1}V$ complexes appear to be in a greater proportion at higher surface coverage. The formation of $^{1}V$ complexes at high surface coverage can, on the one hand, conserve the sorption sites on Fe (hydr)oxides, and on the other hand, decrease the steric hindrance derived from two phenyl substituents. A similar steric effect was seen for $p$-arsanilic ($p$ASA; Fig. S1), and has been reported elsewhere (Depalma et al., 2008), in which only $^{1}V$ bonds were found on Fe (hydr)oxides (Adamescu et al., 2014). The steric hindrance derived from two phenyl groups may explain the contrasting effects of surface coverage on the sorption structure of DPAAn and inorganic As. More experimental data and computational work are still required to predict the thermodynamic favorability of different DPAAn complexes on various surfaces found in the soil-water environment, and to further elucidate the overall sorption mechanisms of DPAAn.

3.6 Environmental relevance of the findings

The current study reveals that the content of oxalate-extractable Fe$_2$O$_3$ was one of the major factors determining the sorption capacity of DPAAn in soils. This result suggests that, on the one hand, an increased activation of Fe (hydr)oxides in the soil-water environment, likely where organic matter accumulates (Schwertmann, 1966), would possibly decrease the mobility and bioavailability of DPAAn; whereas, on the other hand, DPAAn associated with amorphous Fe (hydr)oxides, which are more likely to be reduced in organic-rich environment compared with crystalline ones (Weber et al., 2010), could represent the potentially mobilizable pool of DPAAn under flooded conditions. These results highlight the need for further exploration on the temporal change of DPAAn concentrations in organic- and Fe-rich soils under drying/wetting conditions. In addition, contrasting effects of SOM on DPAAn sorption on soils were observed in the present study, and it is suggested that SOM may alter DPAAn sorption through competitive sorption, covering sorption sites on Fe/Al (hydr)oxides, hydrophobic interactions, forming SOM-Fe-DPAAn and inhibition of Fe/Al (hydr)oxide crystallization, and this needs to be taken into consideration when predicting the fate, mobility and bioavailability of DPAAn in organic-rich soils.

4 Conclusions

Here, we have investigated the sorption mechanisms of DPAAn on 11 soil types. The results show that the $K_f$ values were significantly decreased by the removal of amorphous metal (hydr)oxides from untreated soils, and thereby indicate that amorphous Fe (hydr)oxides were one of the major factors determining DPAAn sorption on soils. EXAFS data provide direct evidence that DPAAn mainly formed $^2C$ and $^{1}V$ complexes on Fe (hydr)oxides in a highly DPAAn-contaminated soil. A combination of batch experiments and EXAFS results demonstrate the importance of DPAAn association with Fe (hydr)oxides in its sorption on soils. It is suggested that DPAAn $^{1}V$ complexes appear to be at a greater proportion at high surface coverage, which can on the one hand conserve sorption sites on Fe (hydr)oxides, and on the other hand decrease the steric hindrance derived from phenyl substituents.
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