Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of sorafenib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in patients with advanced non–small cell lung cancer
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Summary Objectives Unsatisfactory efficacy of current treatments for advanced lung cancer has prompted the search for new therapies, with sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor, being one candidate drug. This phase I trial was conducted to evaluate drug safety and pharmacokinetics as well as tumor response of sorafenib in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with advanced non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Methods Eligible patients received paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) and carboplatin (area under the curve [AUC] of 6 mg min mL⁻¹) on day 1 and sorafenib (400 mg, twice daily) on days 2 through 19 of a 21-day cycle. Results Four of the initial six patients (cohort 1) experienced dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), resulting in amendment of the treatment protocol. An additional seven patients (cohort 2) were enrolled, two of whom developed DLTs. DLTs included erythema multiforme, hand-foot skin reaction, and elevated plasma alanine aminotransferase in cohort 1 as well as gastrointestinal perforation at a site of metastasis and pneumonia in cohort 2. Most adverse events were manageable. One complete and six partial responses were observed among the 12 evaluable patients. Coadministration of the three drugs had no impact on their respective pharmacokinetics. Conclusion The present study confirmed that sorafenib at 400 mg once daily in combination with carboplatin AUC 5 mg min mL⁻¹ and paclitaxel 200 mg/m² is feasible in Japanese patients with advanced NSCLC. The results of this study also showed that this combination therapy had encouraging antitumor activity and was not associated with relevant pharmacokinetic interaction in Japanese NSCLC patients.
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Introduction

Non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for ~75% of all lung cancers and is the most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Individuals with metastatic NSCLC are candidates for palliative systemic chemotherapy that confers only a limited survival benefit [2, 3]. The dismal outlook for patients with advanced NSCLC who receive currently available therapies has prompted a search for new, more effective chemotherapeutic agents and combination regimens. Target-based therapies are therefore being pursued as potential treatment alternatives.

Sorafenib (BAY 43-9006; Nexavar; Bayer HealthCare, Montville, NJ; Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Emeryville, CA), is an oral multikinase inhibitor that inhibits Raf serine-threonine kinases and several receptor tyrosine kinases
that function in tumor growth and angiogenesis [4]. The Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK signaling pathway plays a pivotal role in the regulation of tumor cell growth by relaying signals from the cell surface to the nucleus, with the components of this pathway, including Raf, thus representing potential targets for anticancer treatment [5, 6]. Sorafenib also targets the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 as well as platelet-derived growth factor receptor–β (PDGFR-β), the ligands for which (VEGF and PDGF) are proangiogenic factors essential for tumor growth and metastasis [4]. Sorafenib has recently been approved for treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States, Europe, and several other countries. Furthermore, sorafenib is currently undergoing clinical evaluation for a variety of additional cancers, including NSCLC.

Although several phase I clinical trials of sorafenib alone or in combination with other drugs have been conducted [7–19], no such phase I study for a specific type of lung cancer has been performed. The aim of the present phase I study was to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetics of sorafenib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in patients with advanced NSCLC.

Patients and methods

Patient selection

Eligible patients were 18 years of age or older with unresectable NSCLC, as confirmed histologically or cytologically, and with a life expectancy of at least 12 weeks. They were required to be naive to chemotherapy and to have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1. The eligibility criteria also included adequate bone marrow, hepatic, and renal function as well as normal blood coagulation parameters. Individuals were excluded if they had previous or concurrent cancer distinct in primary site or histology from NSCLC or any cancer curatively treated >3 years prior to study entry; clinically active or significant cardiovascular disease; human immunodeficiency virus infection, chronic hepatitis B or C, or other serious infections; a seizure disorder requiring medication; a history of organ allograft, substance abuse, or medical, psychological, or social conditions that might interfere with participation in the study; or allergy to the study treatment. Pregnant or breast-feeding patients were also excluded. All patients received information regarding the nature and purpose of the study, and they provided written informed consent in accordance with institutional guidelines. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kinki University Hospital.

Study design

The study was designed as a single-center, open-label, non-placebo-controlled phase I trial to define the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and tumor response profile of sorafenib administered according to a dosing schedule of 18 days on and 3 days off and in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin chemotherapy in chemonaive patients with advanced NSCLC. The other phase I trial of sorafenib in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin chemotherapy had already confirmed the safety of sorafenib 400 mg twice daily in combination with paclitaxel at 225 mg/m² and carboplatin at area under the curve [AUC] of 6 mg min mL⁻¹ in a dose-escalation manner [16]. Based on this result, the starting doses of the present study were decided as follows; Paclitaxel (200 mg/m², infused over 3 h) and carboplatin (AUC 6 mg min mL⁻¹ during infusion for 30 min) were administered consecutively on day 1, and sorafenib (400 mg, twice daily) was administered for 18 days starting on day 2. There was a concern that sorafenib may inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes responsible for the clearance of paclitaxel. Based on this possible pharmacokinetic interaction and antagonistic effects, sorafenib administration was discontinued for two days (days 20 and 21) before the next administration of paclitaxel in both the present study and the other phase I trial [16]. This treatment cycle was repeated every 21 days until unacceptable toxicity, tumor progression, or death occurred. Carboplatin-paclitaxel chemotherapy was not allowed to exceed six cycles, after which sorafenib administration could continue until the occurrence of intolerable toxicity, disease progression. If fewer than two of the first six patients experienced dose limiting toxicity (DLT) in the first cycle, the dose level was to be recommended for subsequent clinical trials and an additional six patients were to be enrolled to the cohort.

Patient evaluation

All observations pertinent to the safety of sorafenib were recorded, including results of physical examinations, vital signs, adverse events, use of concomitant medications, and laboratory test data. Patients were routinely monitored for adverse events, which were recorded with severity and relation to study medication according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 3.0. Assessment of the chest and abdomen for tumors was performed radiologically (computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging) according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) [20]. The same radiological method was performed to maintain consistency of evaluation. Patients for whom antitumor efficacy (complete or partial response)
was observed or who had stable disease were continuously treated according to the study protocol. Measurements were repeated in patients with a complete or partial response at a time more than 4 weeks after the response criteria were first met in order to confirm tumor response according to RECIST.

Pharmacokinetics

To investigate the effect of paclitaxel-carboplatin on the pharmacokinetics of sorafenib, we collected blood samples on days 2 and 19 of treatment cycle 1 for cohort 1 and determined the plasma concentration of sorafenib. On both days, samples were collected at 0 h (pre–morning dose of sorafenib); at 0.5, 1, 3, 6, and 12 h (pre–evening dose); and at 24 h (pre–morning dose on day 3). After dosing on day 19, additional samples were collected at 48 and 72 h (before infusion of paclitaxel in cycle 2). The evening dose of sorafenib was not administered on day 19 of cycle 1 for the purpose of pharmacokinetic sampling. As a result of amendment to the treatment protocol for cohort 2, a modified schedule of blood sampling was adopted. For determination of the plasma concentration of sorafenib, blood samples were collected at the same time points in cycle 2 as in cycle 1, with the exception that the blood sample obtained at 12 h after the morning administration of sorafenib on day 2 was collected before the evening dose on day 2 in cohort 2. The concentration of sorafenib in plasma samples was determined with the use of a validated high-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) assay.

To investigate the effects of sorafenib on the pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel and carboplatin, we collected blood samples on day 1 of cycle 1 for cohort 1 and determined the plasma concentrations of carboplatin, paclitaxel, and the paclitaxel metabolite 6-hydroxy-paclitaxel. Samples were collected at 0 h, 1.5 h (during paclitaxel infusion), 3 h (within 5 min before completion of paclitaxel infusion), 3.5 h (within 5 min before completion of carboplatin infusion), as well as 4, 5, 7, 11, 24, and 48 h. The amended treatment protocol for cohort 2 was accommodated by collection of blood samples immediately before, 1.5 h after the start of, within 5 min before completion of, as well as 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 21, and 45 h after completion of paclitaxel infusion on day 1 of cycles 1, 2, and 3 for paclitaxel, and immediately before, within 5 min before completion of, as well as 0.5, 1, 3, 7, 20, 31, and 44 h after completion of carboplatin infusion on day 1 of cycles 1, 2, and 3 for carboplatin. The plasma concentrations of free (unbound) platinum derived from carboplatin, of paclitaxel, and of 6-hydroxy-paclitaxel were measured with the use of atomic absorption spectrophotometry and were validated by LC-MS/MS assays.

Pharmacokinetic parameters, including the AUC, maximum concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$), and elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$), for sorafenib, paclitaxel, and carboplatin were calculated by noncompartment analysis as previously described [17].

Results

Patient demographics

A total of 13 chemonaive patients with advanced NSCLC was enrolled in the study, six in cohort 1 and seven in cohort 2. The baseline demographics for all patients are shown in Table 1. Histological diagnosis revealed that the most common histology was adenocarcinoma (eight patients, or 61.5%), followed by large cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (each with two patients, or 15.4%).

DLT

Table 2 summarizes the dosing regimens for evaluated cohorts together with DLTs. The first six patients enrolled in cohort 1 were treated with 400 mg of sorafenib twice daily (days 2 to 19) combined with paclitaxel at 200 mg/m² and carboplatin at an AUC of 6 mg min mL⁻¹ (30-min infusion). Four of these six patients experienced DLTs during the first cycle of treatment (two with erythema

Table 1 Patient demographics

|                          | No. of patients |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Total enrolled           | 13              |
| Cohort 1                 | 6               |
| Cohort 2                 | 7               |
| Age (years)              |                 |
| Median                   | 66              |
| Range                    | 41–76           |
| Sex                      |                 |
| Male                     | 9               |
| Female                   | 4               |
| ECOG performance status  |                 |
| 0                        | 4               |
| 1                        | 9               |
| Disease stage            |                 |
| IV                       | 13              |
| Histology                |                 |
| Adenocarcinoma           | 8               |
| Large cell carcinoma     | 2               |
| Squamous cell carcinoma  | 2               |
| Undifferentiated carcinoma | 1            |

ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
multiforme of grade 3, one with a hand and foot skin reaction of grade 3, and one with elevation of plasma alanine aminotransferase [ALT] of grade 3). One of the patients diagnosed with erythema multiforme developed a rash of grade 1 on the arms, thigh, and hip on day 5; by day 15, the rash had spread to the entire body with development of pruritus (grade 3), and histopathologic analysis of skin biopsy specimens revealed superficial dermal vasodilation as well as perivascular lymphocyte and plasma cell infiltration, consistent with erythema multiforme (Fig. 1a, b). The second patient also developed a localized rash of grade 1 that appeared in the right lower part of the abdomen on day 5 and had spread to the entire body with the development of a high fever on day 12; histopathologic analysis of skin biopsy specimens again supported a diagnosis of erythema multiforme. Both patients responded well to steroid therapy and improved.

Given that the incidence of DLT at the adopted dose level exceeded that predefined for the maximum tolerated dose, a modified dose level consisting of 400 mg of sorafenib once daily (days 2 to 19) combined with paclitaxel at 200 mg/m² and carboplatin at an AUC of 5 mg min mL⁻¹ (60-min infusion) was evaluated for the seven additional patients of cohort 2. None of these seven patients experienced DLT during cycle 1. Intrapatient escalation of sorafenib dose was allowed if the patient did not experience DLT in cycle 1 of cohort 2; the dose of sorafenib was thus increased to 400 mg twice daily from day 2 to day 19 in subsequent courses. Among the seven patients who received sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily combined with paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) and carboplatin (AUC of 5 mg min mL⁻¹), two individuals developed DLT: one a perforation of the small bowel of grade 4 and one pneumonia of grade 3. The patient with gastrointestinal perforation, who had metastases in the left adrenal gland and small intestine, developed abdominal pain, fever, and peritonitis 26 days after initiation of sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily and required emergency surgery. He recovered after surgery, and pathological examination of the surgical specimen confirmed the presence of tumor cells at the site of perforation. Given the marked tumor response of the patient on radiographic examination, the perforation event was likely associated with the antitumor effect of the study treatment.

Safety

All 13 enrolled patients were evaluable for safety analysis. Treatment-emergent adverse events (Table 3) occurred in all patients, the most common being hematologic or dermatologic in nature, sensory neuropathy, anorexia, and nausea. Neutropenia of grade 4 occurred in nine (69%) patients (four in cohort 1 and five in cohort 2). Hand-foot skin reaction occurred in five patients (three in cohort 1 and two in cohort 2), hypertension in four patients (two in cohort 1 and two in cohort 2), elevated plasma lipase in four patients (three in cohort 1 and one in cohort 2), and erythema multiforme in three patients (two in cohort 1 and one in cohort 2).

Antitumor activity

Tumor response was evaluated in 12 of the 13 patients (Fig. 2), with the remaining patient in cohort 2 not being available for assessment of such response. One patient in cohort 1 had a confirmed complete response, and six patients (three in each cohort) had a confirmed partial response; the overall response rate was thus 58% (95% confidence interval of 28 to 85%). Five patients, two in cohort 1 and three in cohort 2, had stable disease. Cavitation of lung lesions was observed in one patient (Fig. 1c, d). The median time to disease progression was 5.7 months (95% confidence interval of 4.3 to 20.1 months).
Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic analysis for sorafenib in the presence of paclitaxel and carboplatin (Table 4) was based on the patients in cohort 1 (cycle 1) and cohort 2 (cycles 1 and 2) after administration of a single dose (day 2) or multiple doses (day 19). The increases in mean $C_{\text{max}}$ from days 2 to 19 were consistent with those in mean $AUC_{0-12}$, likely reflecting the long mean $t_{1/2}$ (20.4 to 26.8 h on day 19). In cohort 2, the increases in the mean values of $AUC_{0-12}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ in cycle 2 (400 mg, twice daily) compared with those in cycle 1 (400 mg, once daily) were consistent with the increase in sorafenib dosing. At steady state, after multiple administrations of sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily together with paclitaxel and carboplatin, the mean values of $AUC_{0-12}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ in cohort 1 (cycle 1, day 19) were 31.3 mg h L$^{-1}$ and 4.6 mg/L, respectively, and those in cohort 2 (cycle 2, day 19) were 39.1 mg h L$^{-1}$ and 5.9 mg/L, respectively.

Given that treatment was discontinued after cycle 1 in four of the six patients in cohort 1, the effects of multiple doses of sorafenib on the pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel and carboplatin were evaluated in cohort 2. Pharmacokinetic analysis for paclitaxel and carboplatin was performed during cycle 1 before sorafenib administration and during cycles 2 and 3 after sorafenib administration (Table 4).

Small increases in the mean AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ values for blood vessels and the epidermal-dermal junction. Liquefaction degeneration in basal epidermal layers and cavernous transformation in part of the epidermal squamous cell layer were also observed. c, d Computed tomography revealed a solid tumor without cavitation in the right lung of a patient at baseline (c), whereas the same tumor showed marked central cavitation on day 19 of cycle 1 (d).

Fig. 1 Development of erythema multiforme and tumor cavitation in patients with advanced NSCLC treated with sorafenib in combination with carboplatin-paclitaxel. a A rash, initially localized to the arms, thigh, and hip, spread to the entire body. b Hematoxylin-eosin staining of a skin lesion from the patient shown in (a) revealed infiltration of inflammatory cells, mostly lymphocytes, around superficial dermal
Table 3  Numbers of patients with treatment-emergent adverse events including those with a CTCAE worst grade of 3 or 4

| Event category          | CTCAE term                          | Cohort 1 | Cohort 2 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
|                         | (n=6)                               |          | (n=7)    |
|                         | CTCAE grade                        |          | CTCAE grade |
|                         | Any | 3 | 4 | Any | 3 | 4 |
| Allergy/immunology      | Any | 3 | 4 | Any | 3 | 4 |
| Blood/bone marrow       | Allergic reaction                  | 2        | 2        | 1 |
| Lymphopenia             | 5  | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Neutrophils             | 5  | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Leukocytes              | 5  | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Platelets               | 3  | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Cardiac, general        | Hypertension                        | 2        | 2        | 1 |
| Constitutional symptoms | Weight loss                         | 1        | 4        | 1 |
| Dermatology/skin        | Erythema multiforme                 | 2        | 2        | 1 |
| Hand-foot skin reaction | 3  | 1 | 2 |
| Rash/desquamation       | 4  | 5 |
| Gastrointestinal        | Anorexia                            | 5        | 6        | 3 |
| Dehydration             | 2  | 1|
| Nausea                  | 4  | 5 | 1 |
| Infection               | Febrile neutropenia                 | 1        | 1        | |
| Metabolic/laboratory    | ALT                                  | 3        | 1        | 1 |
|                        | AST                                  | 2        | 1        | 1 |
|                        | Hypokalemia                          | 1        | 1        | |
|                        | Hypophosphatemia                     | 2        | 2        | |
|                        | Lipase                               | 4        | 2        | |
|                        | 3  | 2 | 1 |
| Neurology               | Neuropathy, motor                    | 1        | 1        | |
|                        | Neuropathy, sensory                  | 4        | 6        | 2 |
| Pulmonary/upper respiratory | Dyspnea                         | 1        | 1        | |

CTCAE Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, GI gastrointestinal, NOS not otherwise specified, ALT alanine aminotransferase, AST aspartate aminotransferase

Fig. 2  Tumor response. Ten of the 12 evaluable patients showed tumor shrinkage, with one individual manifesting a complete response (−100%)
paclitaxel were observed with progress of the cycles; however, these changes were not significant based on the inclusion of 1.00 in the 90% confidence interval for the ratio of AUC or $C_{\text{max}}$ in cycles 2 or 3 to the corresponding value in cycle 1. Similar results were obtained for 6-hydroxy-paclitaxel (data not shown). There were also no significant differences in the mean AUC or $C_{\text{max}}$ values of free platinum when standard chemotherapy was administered with or without sorafenib.

**Discussion**

We have investigated the effects of sorafenib, an oral multikinase inhibitor, in combination with standard chemotherapy (paclitaxel and carboplatin) in chemonaive individuals with advanced NSCLC. Our results show that sorafenib can be integrated with the combination of paclitaxel and carboplatin. In the present study, the dose of carboplatin had to be capped one dose level lower (AUC of 5 mg min mL$^{-1}$) than is typical for administration of paclitaxel and carboplatin alone, because four out of six patients developed DLTs in cohort 1.

Two of the patients with DLTs in cohort 1 experienced erythema multiforme of grade 3. Previous studies have reported that most patients receiving sorafenib as monotherapy manifested dermatologic toxicities, mostly of grade 1 or 2, including rash or desquamation (18 to 66%), hand-foot syndrome (25 to 62%), and alopecia (18 to 53%) [15, 21, 22]. Erythema multiforme was reported to occur in only 0.1 to <1% of patients [22, 23]. In the two cases of erythema multiforme in the present study, skin rashes occurred within a week after initiation of sorafenib treatment and spread to the entire body without organ dysfunction. Histopathologic examination of skin specimens supported the diagnosis of erythema multiforme. Steroid treatment and discontinuation of sorafenib resulted in marked improvement of the patients within days. A drug lymphocyte stimulation test was performed for both patients, with the results being positive for sorafenib and
negative for both paclitaxel and carboplatin, suggesting that the exanthematous rashes were caused by drug allergy to sorafenib rather than by dose-dependent toxicity. Indeed, serious erythema multiforme was not observed in any of the seven patients in cohort 2, for whom sorafenib was administered at 400 mg twice daily in cycle 2 and subsequent cycles. The only differences between the treatment regimen in cohort 1 and that of cycle 2 and subsequent cycles in cohort 2 were the dose (AUC) and infusion time of carboplatin, which were 6 mg min mL⁻¹ over 30 min and 5 mg min mL⁻¹ over 60 min, respectively, and pharmacokinetic analysis revealed that the triplet regimen had no significant effects on the pharmacokinetics of the individual agents. These data thus suggest that the sorafenib-related erythema multiforme observed in cohort 1 was likely the result of classic skin hypersensitivity to the drug.

Two additional DLTs (hand-foot skin reaction and elevation of ALT, both of grade 3) were observed in cohort 1, both of which were manageable and resolved by treatment interruption and remedial therapy. Although the study treatment was discontinued after the first cycle in the four patients with DLTs in cohort 1, one patient showing a partial response received three cycles of carboplatin-paclitaxel-sorafenib and an additional 13 cycles of sorafenib maintenance monotherapy, and another patient showing a complete response received four cycles of the combination therapy and an additional 23 cycles of sorafenib monotherapy. A previous phase I study of sorafenib combined with paclitaxel and carboplatin for advanced solid tumors (mostly malignant melanoma) recommended doses for future trials of sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily, carboplatin at an AUC of 6 mg min mL⁻¹, and paclitaxel at 225 mg/m². In a recently completed randomized phase III study of advanced NSCLC, patients were randomly assigned to treatment either with sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily plus carboplatin (AUC of 6 mg min mL⁻¹) and paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) or with carboplatin and paclitaxel alone [24]. The present study suggests that the dose of sorafenib tolerated by Japanese patients is likely to be lower than that tolerated by Western patients when this agent is combined with standard doses of carboplatin and paclitaxel.

We examined the pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel, carboplatin, and sorafenib in order to detect any relevant drug-drug interactions. The pharmacokinetics of sorafenib in the present combination study were similar to those described in previous monotherapy [7, 17] and combination [16] trials, in which there was no evidence of drug-drug interactions. Neither of the carboplatin doses administered in the present study (AUC of 5 or 6 mg min mL⁻¹) appeared to affect the pharmacokinetics of sorafenib. Furthermore, we have shown for the first time that administration of sorafenib at 400 mg twice daily had no effect on the pharmacokinetics of carboplatin. Whereas small increases in the AUC and C_max values of paclitaxel and 6-hydroxy-paclitaxel were observed after sorafenib administration at 400 mg twice daily, these increases were not statistically significant. Paclitaxel is primarily metabolized in the liver by the CYP2C8 pathway to 6-hydroxypaclitaxel and is also metabolized by CYP3A4 [25]. Although we are not able to exclude possible inhibition by sorafenib of the metabolic clearance of paclitaxel, the observed increase in paclitaxel exposure was not associated with increased clinical toxicity. Together, our pharmacokinetic results suggest that concomitant administration of sorafenib, carboplatin, and paclitaxel had no significant impact on the pharmacokinetics of any of these three drugs in this treatment schedule, although our finding on pharmacokinetics will need to be reproduced in larger cohorts of patients treated with this combination.

Although tumor evaluation was not the primary objective of our study, the combination treatment yielded promising results, with one complete response and six partial responses observed among the 12 evaluable patients. Despite this substantial antitumor activity observed in the present study, a phase III trial (ESCAPE: Evaluation of Sorafenib, Carboplatin, and Paclitaxel Efficacy) of 926 patients with advanced NSCLC receiving first-line therapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin in the absence or presence of sorafenib failed to show an improvement in efficacy with the addition of sorafenib to the standard combination chemotherapy [26]. Indeed, a subset analysis of the 219 patients with squamous histology was suggestive of a detrimental effect of sorafenib inclusion. The complete response and all partial responses in our phase I study occurred in patients with non-squamous NSCLC. Although the biological basis for a possible ethnic difference in sorafenib efficacy and toxicity remains unknown, further investigation are warranted to identify the patients who are more likely to benefit from this agent.

In conclusion, in combination with carboplatin AUC 5 mg min mL⁻¹ and paclitaxel 200 mg/m², administration of sorafenib at 400 mg once daily was confirmed to be feasible in Japanese patients with advanced NSCLC. There was no relevant pharmacokinetic interaction and the observed antitumor activity was encouraging in this study.
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