DCE-MRI Quantitative Parameters as Predictors of Treatment Response in Patients With Locally Advanced Cervical Squamous Cell Carcinoma Underwent CCRT

Bing Liu ††, Zhen Sun ‡‡, Wan-Ling Ma †, Jing Ren †, Guang-Wen Zhang †, Meng-Qi Wei †, Wei-Huan Hou †, Bing-Xin Hou ‡, Li-Chun Wei ‡, Yi Huan †* and Min-Wen Zheng †*

1 Department of Radiology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi’an, China, 2 Department of Orthopedic, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi’an, China, 3 Department of Radiology, Longgang District People’s Hospital, Shenzhen, China, 4 Department of Radiation Oncology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi’an, China

Purpose: To evaluate the predictive value of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) quantitative parameters in treatment response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) for locally advanced cervical squamous cell carcinoma (LACSC).

Methods and materials: LACSC patients underwent CCRT had DCE-MRI before (e0) and after 3 days of treatment (e3). Extended Tofts Linear model with a user arterial input function was adopted to generate quantitative measurements. Endothelial transfer constant (Ktrans), reflux rate (Kep), fractional extravascular extracellular space volume (Ve), and fractional plasma volume (Vp) were calculated, and percentage changes 

ΔKtrans, ΔKep, ΔVe, and ΔVp were computed. The correlations of these measurements with the tumor regression rate were analyzed. The predictive value of these parameters on treatment outcome was generated by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to find the independent variables.

Results: Ktrans-e0, Kep-e0, ΔKtrans, and ΔVe were positively correlated with the tumor regression rate. Mean values of Ktrans-e0, Ktrans-e3, ΔKtrans, and ΔVe were higher in the non-residual tumor group than residual tumor group and were independent prognostic factors for predicting residual tumor occurrence. Ktrans-e3 showed the highest area under the curve (AUC) for treatment response prediction.

Conclusions: Quantitative parameters at e0 and e3 from DCE-MRI could be used as potential indicators for predicting treatment response of LACSC.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in women worldwide (1). Concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) is the primary choice for locally advanced cervical cancer patients, with significant benefits even for the advanced-stage disease (2). Although the alternative or novel treatment modalities could potentially improve treatment outcomes further, there is concern regarding treatment toxicity and complications in survivors (3). Moreover, even with the same clinical stage and pathological subtype, the prognosis differs among patients, which indicates tumor heterogeneity and distinct radio-sensitivity. Techniques providing composite prognostic factors like stage, grade, histology, and patients comorbidities would allow individualization of treatment (4). Techniques that reflect biological changes during the complex process of chemoradiotherapy are of great importance.

Tumor blood supply is normally through direct perfusion or/and vessel leakage. These vascular signatures impact radiosensitivity by regulating the generation of oxygen free radical, which is involved in the repair of radiation-mediated DNA damage (4). Tumor vascular characteristics affect the degree of exposure to chemotherapy drugs, as well as drug activity levels via measuring the intra-tumor pH and the ratio of the quiescent cells in the tumor (5). As a non-invasive examining technique, MRI is widely applied worldwide and is already a standard staging protocol for cervical cancer. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) is regarded as a potential predictive option due to its capacity in the reflection of the perfusion by enhancement pattern, permeability, and the intratumoral angiogenic activity in the tumors (6, 7). DCE-MRI is thus applied to provide physiologic information of the tumor as well as anatomic details that are valuable for radiotherapy treatment response. These advantages make DCE-MRI an ideal tool in tumor perfusion studies that require repeated imaging.

DCE-MRI is widely applied as a non-invasive technique that plays an important role in predicting treatment response in various diseases (6, 8). Several studies have shown a correlation between DCE-MRI semiquantitative measurements and tumor response in cervical cancer patients (9). To date, there is a paucity of information in the literature about the predictive value of DCE-MRI quantitative parameters in treatment response for cervical cancer patients treated with CCRT.

The current standard treatment protocol for locally advanced cervical cancer patients is CCRT, regardless of the histological subtype of the disease. Several studies reported that patients with cervical adenocarcinoma had a poor response rate from treatment and overall survival than patients with squamous cell carcinoma (10, 11). To exclude the influence of histological subtype, we only enrolled squamous cell carcinoma patients.

This prospective study aimed to investigate the prognostic value of pre- and mid-treatment DCE-MRI quantitative parameters in the treatment prediction of patients with LACSC underwent CCRT.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Patients

This single-center prospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xijing Hospital and informed consent was obtained from all patients. From October 2018 to April 2019, 51 consecutive cervical cancer patients administered CCRT in the department of radiation oncology were prospectively recruited. The inclusion criteria were (1) histologic diagnosis of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, (2) planned to receive CCRT in our hospital, (3) the largest diameter of the cervical tumor was 1.0 cm or larger, and (4) no contraindications to DCE-MRI. All patients conducted DCE-MRI before and 3 days after CCRT. Three patients who changed treatment regimes were excluded. Thus, the final cohort analyzes 48 LASCs patients. Clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy

All patients were treated with a combination of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT). EBRT was delivered to the whole pelvis with 15-MV photon beams at a daily dose of 2 Gy, 5 times per week, for a total dose of 50 Gy. EBRT was accompanied by concurrent chemotherapy: six cycles of weekly cisplatin (30 mg/mm²) in 30 patients and three cycles of 5-fluorouracil (1,000 mg/mm²) plus cisplatin (60 mg/mm²) at 3 weeks intervals in 18 patients. ICBT was delivered twice a week in 4 fractions with a fractional dose of 7 Gy at point A. The median overall treatment time was 59 days (range 45–71 days). The selection of the chemotherapeutic regime was individualized according to local tumor extent, pelvic lymph node involvement, and general patient condition (12).

Imaging Protocol

DCE-MRI was carried out at two time points: before the start of treatment (e0) and after 3 days of CCRT (e3). All MRI was performed at 3.0 T MRI system (Discovery MR 750, GE Medical Systems, Chicago, IL, USA) with an eight-channel phased-array Torso coil. The bladder was half-filled to improve lesion visibility. The scanning range covered the whole pelvis. Scanning parameters were as follows: axial fast spin-echo (FSE) T1-weighted images (T1WI) (repetition time[TR]/echo time[TE] = 550/10 ms) and T2WI (TR/TE = 3,000/90 ms). Dynamic scanning was performed with an axial oblique fast spin-echo sequence (73° relative to the anterior-posterior direction) with a 3-mm-thick slice and a 3-mm-thick gap (Table 2). The injection was performed with 0.1 ml/kg of gadopentetate dimeglumine at a flow rate of 3.5 ml/s. The imaging time was 176 s, T1WI was repeated every 6 s, and T2WI was repeated every 12 s. Images were obtained using a 256 × 256 matrix. All data were reconstructed using a 512 × 512 matrix.

Table 1 | Baseline clinical characteristics of patients (n = 48).

| Characteristics | Overall (n = 48) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age (years), median (range) | 55 (29–67) |
| FIGO stage, n (%) |  
| IA | 1 (2.17%) |
| IB | 1 (2.31%) |
| II | 34 (70.83%) |
| III | 8 (16.67%) |
| IVA | 4 (8.33%) |
| Lymph node involvement, n (%) |  
| Negative | 29 (60.42%) |
| Positive | 19 (39.58%) |
| Overall treatment duration (days), Median (range) | 59 (45–71) |
| The interval between pretreatment DCE-MRI and initial therapy (days), Median (range) | 6 (2–9) |
pretreatment volume. Previous studies have shown that the enhanced images. We used the Extended Tofts Linear model to avoid cystic lesions with reference to T2WI, T1WI, and placement, which was carefully outlined around the tumor but tumor group, while patients with partial response, stable disease, and progressive disease were classified as residual tumor group.

**Image Analysis**

Visible tumors were outlined by two radiologists on the sagittal T2WI and T1 dynamic images. Tumor volume was calculated by multiplying the area of tumor outlined on each T2WI by slice thickness. The final tumor regression rate (%) was calculated according to the following equation: 100 × (pretreatment volume − volume at 1 month after the finish of CCRT)/pretreatment volume. Previous studies have shown that the extent of the tumor regression rate correlates with survival (4).

Pharmacokinetic analysis was conducted using Omnicon-Fused Imaging (GE Healthcare, Life Science, Shanghai, China). User Arterial input function (AIF) was conducted by placing the ROI on the external iliac artery on the axial plane when reaching peak arterial enhancement. The largest slice of the visible tumor on the axial plane was selected for arbitrarily ROI placement, which was carefully outlined around the tumor but avoiding cystic lesions with reference to T2WI, T1WI, and enhanced images. We used the Extended Tofts Linear model to generate endothelial transfer constant (Ktrans), the reflux rate (Kep), the fractional extravascular extracellular space (EES) volume (Ve), and the fractional plasma volume (Vp) (15). Based on the e0 and e3 DCE-MRI, the relative change in quantitative parameters were calculated, which were presented as ΔParameter (%) was calculated based on the following equation: 100 × (parameter-e3 − parameter-e0)/parameter-e0.

**Tumor Response Assessment**

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST 1.1V) was used for treatment response assessment. Tumor response was assessed one month after the whole CCRT, which was conducted by treating doctors from the Department of radiation oncology. Patients with complete response were classified as non-residual tumor group, while patients with partial response, stable disease, and progressive disease were classified as residual tumor group.

**Statistical Analysis**

Quantitative parameters were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The zero values of Ktrans were ruled out to exclude non-perfused/necrotic regions, where the pharmacokinetic model is not applicable.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Prism Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA). Spearman’s correlation coefficient (r) was conducted to assess the correlation between quantitative parameters and tumor regression rate. Mann-Whitney U test was conducted to compare parameters between residual and non-residual tumor group. The predictive value of parameters was calculated by the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the independent variables. A two-tailed P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

A total of 48 patients were eventually enrolled in this prospective study. Clinical characteristics for these patients are presented in Table 1. The median interval between pretreatment DCE-MRI and initial therapy was 6 days (range 2–9 days). The mean area from the ROIs was 11.2 cm² (range 2.3–35.8 cm²) in the pretreatment MRI scans and 4.3 cm² (range 0–8.4 cm²) in the I month after CCRT scans. The mean tumor volume before treatment was 45.64 cm³ (range 11.3–192.7 cm³) and 19.84 cm³ (range 0–92.84 cm³) at 1 month after CCRT. Mean tumor regression rate was 68.77% (ranged 41.77%–100%). One month after CCRT, 33 patients were categorized as non-residual tumor group and 15 patients were categorized as residual tumor group.

**Quantitative Parameters in Non- and Residual Tumor Group Patients**

The correlation between quantitative parameters and treatment outcome of LACSC to CCRT are presented in Table 2. The non-residual tumor group had higher pre- and mid-treatment Ktrans and Ve changed more significantly compared with the residual tumor group. The delayed DCE-MRI and color maps are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Tumor regression rate was positively correlated with Ktrans-e0 (r=0.576, P<0.001), Kep-e0 (r = 0.528, P < 0.001), Ktrans-e3 (r = 0.617, P = 0.025), ΔKtrans (r = 0.507, P < 0.001) and ΔVe (r = 0.542, P < 0.001). Details are presented in Figure 3.

**The Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve, Univariate, and Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of Quantitative Parameters**

The quantitative parameters exhibited good prognostic value to predict residual tumor occurrence, which was further validated by the ROC as presented in Table 3 and Figure 4. Ktrans-e3 showed the best predictive ability. When setting cut-off value of
K_{trans-e3} to 1.48, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 81.82%, 80.00%, 81.82%, and 80.00%, with area under curve (AUC) of 0.753 (P = 0.04).

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that K_{trans-e0}, K_{trans-e3}, and ΔV_e were independent prognostic factors for residual tumor occurrence. The lower K_{trans-e0}, K_{trans-e3}, ΔK_{trans}, and ΔV_e had higher risk ratios for residual tumor occurrence. Details are presented in Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

CCRT is the primary option for the management of local advanced cervical cancer. Due to tumor heterogeneity, different curative responses were found with the same treatment regimen. Early knowledge of treatment response is clinically significant and enables modification of treatment regimen in early the stage of applied treatment, which prevents unnecessary toxicity or prolonged ineffective consequence in treatment resisted patients.

In the present study, DCE-MRI was used to investigate the possibility to predict short-term response to CCRT and to improve diagnostic potency in cervical squamous cell carcinoma patients. Studies have shown that cervical squamous cell carcinoma had a better response rate from CCRT, took shorter time to achieve complete response, had better overall survival, and disease-free survival than adenocarcinoma (10, 16). Thus, we only enrolled patients with cervical squamous cell carcinoma, to exclude the influence by histology type.
Tumor regression rate was chosen as a short-term endpoint due to its close relationship with local control and outcome of cancer management (17, 18). Here, we found that quantitative DCE-MRI parameters $K_{\text{trans}}$, $K_{\text{ep}}$, $K_{\text{trans}}$, $\Delta V_e$, and $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$ positively correlated with tumor regression rate in LACSC. Accumulating evidence has shown the correlation between DCE-MRI parameters and tumor regression. Several studies concluded that pretreatment parameters $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $K_{\text{ep}}$ were positively correlated with tumor regression rate (4, 19, 20), which is inconsistent with our results. However, Park et al. reported that pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ positively correlated with tumor volume at 4 weeks of initiating CCRT (21). To our knowledge, $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $K_{\text{ep}}$ reflect the permeability of tumor tissue, this property has a positive influence on oxygenation within tumor tissue, which results in higher radiation sensitivity. What’s more, hypoxia is a known cause of clinical radioresistance for cervical cancer (22). Therefore, tumors with higher permeability tend to respond to better treatment outcomes and thus lead to higher tumor regression rate.

Additionally, our results revealed that mid-treatment $K_{\text{trans}}$, $K_{\text{ep}}$, and the increase of $K_{\text{trans}}$ positively correlated with tumor regression rate as well. The non-residual tumor group showed higher $K_{\text{trans}}$ at e3, together with $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$. Mid treatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ value represents early treatment response to CCRT, which could be interpreted as $K_{\text{trans}}$ reflect the effectiveness of oxygen and chemotherapy drugs between plasma and interstitial space of the tumor. Higher mid-treatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ value can be explained as increasing levels of permeability due to the disintegration of tumor cell and capillary membranes, which are a consequence of chemoradiotherapy.

$V_e$ reflects the extravascular extracellular space, and lower $V_e$ value could be interpreted as higher cellularity (23). The increase of $V_e$ may reflect the enlargement of fractional EES, which indicates the decrease in cell density. Several studies concerning $V_e$ and its correlation with treatment response showed different results. Ellingsen et al. reported that pretreatment $V_e$ showed no association with hypoxia in cervical cancer xenografts (24). Kim et al. showed that the early increase of $V_e$ was associated with tumor regression in cervical cancer patients underwent CCRT (25). Also, Cheng et al. reported similar results in lung carcinoma that an early increase in $V_e$ is correlated with tumor control (26). The above studies supported our results. However, Park et al. reported that pretreatment $V_e$ negatively correlated with tumor volume at 1 month after the end of treatment (21). Their results suggested that higher pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ and lower pretreatment $V_e$ tended to result in a larger tumor volume at 4 weeks of CCRT. They argued this was caused by secondary inflammation related to ongoing treatment. We hold the opinion that $V_e$ represents a direct estimation of EES to which a contrast agent or drug can be delivered. The increase of $V_e$ was the result of a decrease of tumor cell density and enlargement of the distribution space, which enables more contrast agent and chemotherapy drugs to be delivered, leading to a higher tumor regression rate.

DCE-MRI parameters have been extensively used in predicting tumor response to radiotherapy and chemotherapy (27), to non-invasively investigate tumor microvascular structure and heterogeneity, thus providing additional information to potentially improve sensitivity to the treatment regimen. We incorporated two time-points to investigate if DCE-MRI...
quantitative parameters can predict treatment response, and revealed that $K_{\text{trans}-e0}$, $K_{\text{trans}-e3}$, $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$, and $\Delta V_e$ could be biomarkers to predict treatment response for LACSC. Several studies are partially consistent with our results. Tao et al. reported that pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $K_{\text{ep}}$ were significantly higher and $V_e$ were lower in responders than the non-responder group in non-small cell lung cancer (19). Kim et al. found in breast cancer pretreatment DCE-MRI parameters showed no difference, while after two cycles of NACT, the change of $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $K_{\text{ep}}$ were significantly higher in good responder group (28). Wong et al. reported in advanced head and neck cancer that larger fractional increase in $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $V_e$ in responders versus non-responders at week 2 of treatment (29). Several studies are showing conflicting results. Andersen et al. reported that pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ and $V_e$ were positively correlated with progression-free survival for cervical cancer patients (30). Zheng et al. reported that $K_{\text{trans}}$ was higher and $V_e$ was lower in non-residual group cervical cancer patients (31). Semple et al. proved that pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}$ correlated with the response in cervical cancer patients (32). We found that $K_{\text{trans}-e0}$, $K_{\text{trans}-e3}$, $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$ were significantly higher in non-residual tumor group patients, which supported the hypothesis that better permeability represented better material exchange, thus better oxygenation and higher radiation sensitivity (33). Low oxygen level within tumor tissue, i.e., hypoxia causes therapeutic resistance via reducing the generation of oxygen free radical, which interferes with the repair of DNA damage induced by
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**FIGURE 3** | The plot of $K_{\text{trans}-e0}$, $K_{\text{ep}-e0}$, $K_{\text{trans}-e3}$, $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$, and $\Delta V_e$ with tumor regression rate, showing that tumors with better treatment response exhibited better permeability and perfusion.

| TABLE 3 | Values of quantitative parameters for predicting residual tumor occurrence. |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|          | AUC    | Cut-off | Sensitivity(%) | Specificity(%) | PPV(%) | NPV(%) | P value |
|----------|--------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| $K_{\text{trans}-e0}$ (min⁻¹) | 0.750  | 1.21    | 87.50          | 72.50          | 92.11   | 50.00  | 0.03*   |
| $K_{\text{trans}-e3}$ (min⁻¹) | 0.753  | 1.48    | 81.82          | 80.00          | 81.82   | 80.00  | 0.04*   |
| $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}$ (%) | 0.734  | 45.57   | 72.22          | 70.43          | 79.63   | 71.48  | 0.04*   |
| $\Delta V_e$ (%)          | 0.711  | 36.4    | 77.78          | 68.67          | 82.35   | 60.00  | 0.02*   |

AUC, area under the curve; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; *p < 0.05.
radiotherapy, thus transferring tumor cells into subtypes with more resistance to treatment regimens (34, 35). The non-residual tumor groups showed higher permeability before and early during treatment, resulting in higher radio-sensitivity and access to chemotherapy drugs. Tumors with a higher level of perfusion and permeability are likely to be better oxygenated and therefore more sensitive to radiation. Moreover, higher perfusion also results in a higher concentration of chemotherapy drugs within the tumor. Evidence showed that low $V_e$ was negatively correlated with progression-free survival, indicating that patients with high cell density had a more aggressive disease, which also supported our results.

Finally, we showed that day 3 of CCRT could be a time point to detect treatment response using DCE-MRI quantitative parameters. To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the complementary value of DCE-MRI quantitative parameters on the 3rd day of CCRT for response prediction in patients with LACSC. Previous studies applied the time point of week 1, week 2, or week 4 (34, 35). Early detection of treatment response is important since it can avoid unnecessary toxicity and treatment-related complications. Early prediction of response during treatment may enable early modification of treatment (i.e., radiation dosage intensification or discontinuation) (28). We noticed that AUC of mid-treatment $K_{\text{trans}}-e^3$ was higher than pretreatment $K_{\text{trans}}-e^0$, probably indicated that the closer relation between mid-treatment parameter and treatment outcome.

There are several limitations in this single-center retrospective study. Firstly, the follow-up period was short, and overall survival or progression-free survival were not analyzed.
Thus, we did not evaluate the correlation between pre- or mid-therapy DCE-MRI parameters and those clinical endpoints. Secondly, more time points should be set to get a full view of the dynamic change of quantitative parameters during the whole treatment process. Thirdly, further investigation should be done to investigate the correlation between oxygenation and treatment response.

In conclusion, our preliminary results showed that quantitative parameters at e0 and e3 from DCE-MRI could be used as a potential indicator for predicting treatment response of LACSC. The mean value of $K_{\text{trans}}^e$-e0, $K_{\text{trans}}^e$-e3, $\Delta V_e$ is potentially applicable for treatment response prediction. $K_{\text{trans}}^e$-e0, $K_{\text{ep}}$-e0, $\Delta K_{\text{trans}}^e$, and $\Delta V_e$ can be used for predicting tumor regression rate. Further studies are needed to clarify the possibility to detect heterogeneity directly by MRI techniques. Our study therefore strongly suggests that DCE-MRI may be a useful tool for individualizing therapy of LACSC.
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