Microwave Permittivity of Trace $sp^2$ Carbon Impurities in Sub-Micron Diamond Powders
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ABSTRACT: Microwave dielectric loss tangent measurements are demonstrated as a method for quantifying trace $sp^2$-hybridized carbon impurities in sub-micron diamond powders. Appropriate test samples are prepared by vacuum annealing at temperatures from 600 to 1200 °C to vary the $sp^2/sp^3$ carbon ratio through partial surface graphitization. Microwave permittivity measurements are compared with those obtained using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Raman spectroscopy, and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). The average particle size remains constant (verified by scanning electron microscopy) to decouple any geometric dielectric effects from the microwave measurements. After annealing, a small increase in $sp^2$ carbon was identified from the XPS C 1s and Auger spectra, the EELS $\sigma^*$ peak in the C 1s spectra, and the D and G bands in Raman spectroscopy, although a quantifiable diamond to G-band peak ratio was unobtainable. Surface hydrogenation was also evidenced in the Raman and XPS O 1s data. Microwave cavity perturbation measurements show that the dielectric loss tangent increases with increasing $sp^2$ bonding, with the most pertinent finding being that these values correlate with other measurements and that trace concentrations of $sp^2$ carbon as small as 5% can be detected.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sub-micron diamonds allow the outstanding material characteristics of diamond relating to its electrical, thermal, chemical, and mechanical properties to be applied on microscopic scales. Some pertinent examples of this include transistors with excellent heat spreading, chemically inert particles for drug delivery, and mechanically stable micro-electro-mechanical system structures for nanoscale sensing applications. However, as diamond gets smaller, the surface area increases, and so does the volume of any non-diamond surface content, hydrogen terminations, and disordered, $sp^2$, and non-diamond carbons in the well-known core–shell model. Non-diamond functional group terminations are preferred for conjugating certain types of proteins, however, reconstructed $sp^2$ carbon terminations are considered a hindrance as this causes particle agglomeration, hence, there has been much research into rigorous purification methods. Hence, characterization of non-diamond impurities on nano-diamond is a prominent research area for assessing its quality in various applications.

One of the most popular methods to examine diamond particles is X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) because of its sensitivity to particle surfaces, its low sample volume requirement, and its ability to identify and infer carbon- and hydrogen-related terminations. Carbon-based impurities can be identified in a number of ways, one of which is to observe the C 1s spectrum. For $sp^2$ carbon, the C 1s peak is observed at a higher binding energy than $sp^3$ carbon, so one can obtain the $sp^2/sp^3$ carbon ratio either through observing shifts or by deconvolution of the two associated peaks. Although, the C 1s peak is generally used as a charge reference at 284.8 eV, associated with the thin layer of carbon that is formed when the sample is exposed to air (called the adventitious carbon peak), making any absolute shifts is difficult to determine. Furthermore, surface charging may shift the observed peak. More effective methods use different regions of the XPS spectra, including the valence band region and the derivative of the C KLL Auger spectra. Speranza and Laidani showed that the latter case minimizes the referencing error in determining the $sp^2/sp^3$ carbon ratio because it is a differential technique using the eV difference in maxima and minima.

Another notable spectroscopic method is scanning transmission electron microscopy using electron energy loss spectroscopy (STEM–EELS). In a similar fashion to XPS,
EELS is capable of probing both surface plasmons and inner core (C 1s) electrons. Bulk diamond exhibits a strong $\sigma^*$-plasmon peak at around 33 eV, with an additional surface plasmon at 22 eV. The $\pi^*$-plasmon peak in graphite is at a much lower energy loss of around 5–8 eV. However, the latter feature is challenging to measure as it is close to the zero loss peak and depends upon the experimental setup. Easier to obtain experimentally is the carbon K-edge loss spectra, resulting from the excitation of C 1s electrons to vacant $\pi^*$ and $\sigma^*$ orbitals. The former lower energy state is related to $sp^3$ (reported between 285 and 290 eV), and the latter higher state is related to $sp^2$ (reported at greater than 289 eV).

Other spectroscopic methods to measure amorphous impurities in nano-diamonds are challenging as the strong characteristic signatures from $sp^3$ carbon in high volume fractions effectively flood the spectrum. Prime examples of this include nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Raman spectroscopy, where, respectively, the 36.3 ppm $sp^3$ peak dominates over the 130–160 ppm range for $sp^2$ carbon and the 1332 cm$^{-1}$ diamond peak dominates the spectra over the broad D and G bands at around 1340 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$. However, numerous methods have been used to desensitize the presence of $sp^3$ carbon; changing the excitation wavelengths further toward the infrared (IR) region in Raman spectroscopy reveals many other features, and in other cases, increasing the sample exposure time increases the signal to noise ratio. For the inspection of trace amounts of $sp^2$ carbon impurities, the material probe needs to be insensitive to the crystalline structure of diamond.

Dielectric or impedance spectroscopy, which measures electrical conductivity and/or dielectric loss, is ideal in this case because the highly insulating nature of pure diamond implies that the dominant electrical contribution will be due to conducting impurities. Because there is such a large contrast in the electrical properties of $sp^3$ and $sp^2$ carbon, it is clear that their concentrations can be indirectly inferred. There is, however, a drawback to using impedance spectroscopy on powders (particularly in the dc to kHz frequency range), where electrodes that are in physical contact with the powdered samples are required. Although impedance spectroscopy gives a wideband electrical response of the material, an additional fabrication step is typically needed, such as incorporating the powder into a solid host material or producing films with electrodes fabricated in direct contact. However, one can extend the measurement toward MHz and GHz frequencies to perform microwave dielectric spectroscopy, whereby noncontact resonating structures can be used to infer the conductivity and dielectric properties of a material even in the presence of varying temperatures and gas atmospheres.

In particular, microwave cavity perturbation (MCP) has been highlighted as a potential technique for the examination of nano-diamond impurities owing to its high precision and fast sample analysis (in minutes, including sample preparation), although, its lower limits for evaluating trace quantities of $sp^2$ are yet to be tested.

MCP, unlike XPS, EELS, or Raman spectroscopy, is not a phase quantification technique and does not provide a fingerprint of the materials present. It is a technique that measures the complex permittivity and permeability of a material at microwave frequencies, typically using a hollow metal structure (the “cavity”) with well-defined resonances over the bandwidth from 1 to 10 GHz. This is achieved by perturbing the electromagnetic fields of the resonant cavity mode with a volume of the sample and measuring the change in the resonant frequency and quality factor ($Q = \text{resonant frequency}/\Delta f$). The dielectric and magnetic properties of the sample can be obtained through the first-order cavity perturbation equation for a porous powder sample:}

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff,1}} \approx \frac{\Delta f}{f_0} \frac{V_{\text{eff}}}{V_s} + 1$$

(1)

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff,2}} \approx \frac{\Delta BW V_{\text{eff}}}{f_0 V_s}$$

(2)

where $\varepsilon_{\text{eff,1}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{eff,2}}$ are the effective measured dielectric constant and loss, respectively, $V_s$ and $V_{\text{eff}}$ are the sample volume and the effective cavity mode volume, respectively, $\Delta BW = BW_0 - BW_s$ and $\Delta f = f_0 - f_s$ are the changes in the measured bandwidth and frequency, and $f_s$ is the unperturbed resonance frequency. Cavity $Q$ factors of greater than 8000 can be achieved at room temperature with orders of magnitude higher in the super-conducting regime, making the system extremely sensitive to electromagnetic field perturbations at resonance. For the current system, practical measurable differences in the perturbed and unperturbed frequencies and bandwidth of the cylindrical TM$_0$ mode at 2.5 GHz ($\varepsilon_{\text{cavity}} = 92 \text{ mm}, \varepsilon_{\text{sample}} = 2 \text{ mm}$) can be as low as 1 kHz. With a volume scaling ratio of $V_{\text{eff}}/V_s = 0.2898 \times (46 \text{ mm/1 mm})^3 = 613.2$, the random errors associated with the dielectric measurement is approximately $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$. The dominant contribution to the standard deviation of the measurement is, however, the systematic errors associated with the sample volume and packing density, typically around 5% in previous studies.

Materials with a large permittivity produce proportionally large perturbations on the cavity resonances. Previous studies of nano-diamonds with varying impurities have shown large differences in the imaginary part of the complex permittivity in the 2–10 GHz range. The surface impurity concentration was controlled through the surface area by varying the particle sizes (from 10 to 1000 nm); hence, the volume of surface impurities is the largest in powders with smaller average particle sizes. The dielectric loss component was observed to being proportional to the $sp^3$ and disorder carbon concentrations, verified with other techniques. This work did not consider the size and geometric variation of the pure diamond cores and how it affects the observed permittivity. Considering diamond alone, a size-dependent dielectric permittivity may be observed as a consequence of the polarization-related effects from the dangling bonds of the diamond terminations.

We would expect systematic variations in the effective (i.e., measured) permittivity of a powder owing to geometrical factors. Assuming a narrow particle size distribution per sample, there will be large enhancements of local electric fields in the gaps between smaller particles, which give rise to enhanced polarization (owing to the increased external field). This is manifested as an increased effective permittivity of a powder sample consisting of small particles over one of the same volume but comprised of larger particles. The second is the particle shape, which is hard to quantify, but again results in much enhanced local electric fields in regions outside the particles where the surface has a small radius of curvature. The overall trend is for the effective permittivity to increase as the particle size is reduced while maintaining the total volume.

In our research, we mitigate any size- or shape-related effects by using diamond powders of the same average particle size and...
shape, here approximately 710 nm. A simpler method has been proposed to control the sp² concentration through vacuum annealing of diamond powders of the same particle size at temperatures from 600 to 1200 °C for a fixed time. The reason why such a large particle size is chosen is due to the fact that there is a much larger volume fraction of sp³ carbon compared to any other surface impurities (i.e., being more representative of a purer diamond powder). In this way, trace volumes of surface impurities can be introduced to test the limits of our MCP system. At temperatures greater than 800 °C, partial surface graphitization is expected as is commonly found in the literature, however, most studies tend to use much smaller particle sizes with a much larger initial volume fraction of sp² carbon. The higher peak situated at around 285 eV is observed in the Auger spectrum at 1200 °C (50 eV). Postprocessing (low pass filtering, spline interpolation, and differentiation) of the Auger spectra was carried out using a program written in National Instruments LabVIEW. Raman spectroscopy was conducted using a Renishaw inVia Reflex with a near-IR laser (λ = 785 nm, ×50 objective and power of 10%).

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Commercially available sub-micron diamond powder was used throughout (Van Moppes Syndia SYP 0.5-1). The median quoted size of these particles is 710 nm. This powder was annealed in a vacuum chamber at 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 °C for 1 h with the aim to partially graphitize the surfaces of the particles. The powder samples were spread out in a graphite crucible and sat atop a ceramic-topped sample heater, with the chamber evacuated to a pressure of ≤10⁻⁵ mbar before annealing, and the samples were left to cool down under vacuum before removing. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out after annealing to ensure that the particle size remained constant. The particles were first sputtered with a thin film of gold/platinum alloy (60%:40%) and then measured using a Carl Zeiss 1540X dual focused ion beam SEM system at 5 keV at 10⁻⁶ mbar. MCP measurements were conducted using the system detailed in previous nanodiamond studies. Briefly, the cavity is an aluminum cylindrical resonator (inner σ_cavity = 92 mm, h_cavity = 40 mm) which has six accessible resonant modes that can be used for complex permittivity measurements at discrete frequencies from 2.5 to 9.4 GHz. The resonant frequency and quality factor (from 3 dB bandwidth) were measured using a Keysight performance network analyser (N5232A). Complex permittivity was obtained using the first-order cavity perturbation equations, which relate the changes in the resonant frequency and quality factor to the dielectric constant and losses, respectively. A schematic of the MCP setup is given in Figure 1. XPS measurements were conducted using a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD system with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source operating at 144 W. Initial wide scans were performed with pass energies of 1 eV, and high resolution scans were performed with 0.5 eV. Postprocessing (low pass filtering, spline interpolation, and differentiation) of the Auger spectra was carried out using a program written in National Instruments LabVIEW. Raman spectroscopy was conducted using a Renishaw inVia Reflex with a near-IR laser (λ = 785 nm, ×50 objective and power of 10%).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. XPS. The XPS measurements of C 1s and O 1s and the differential of the Auger spectra are shown in Figure 2. The C 1s peak is normally dominated by the contribution from the C=C bonds and is conventionally used as a charge reference at 284.8 eV, as explained earlier. This makes identification of the carbon phases across the different samples challenging. The analysis given here for the C 1s peak assumes that any charge offsets are constant and systematic errors are the same across the measurements (all resultant spectra presented are shifted by 3.08 eV to allow the O 1s peaks to fall around the expected values of 532 eV), again highlighting the referencing limitation of measuring the sp²/sp³ carbon ratio using this method. For the starting material, the C 1s spectrum exhibits a convolution of two C peaks, indicative of both sp³ and surface sp² carbon phases. The higher peak situated at around 285 eV is associated with sp³ carbon, whereas the lower and broader features at around 284 eV are associated with sp² carbon. As the diamonds are annealed, the main sp² peak becomes less dominant while the lower binding energy peak grows, implicit of an increasing sp² concentration on the surface of the particles. At 1200 °C, however, the C 1s spectrum is similar to that of the starting material, indicating minimal change in the sp² carbon concentration. This is an intriguing result because it has otherwise been reported that increasing annealing temperature tends to increase graphitization.

A more robust way of obtaining the sp²/sp³ carbon ratio from XPS spectra is to examine the Auger spectrum and obtain the “D-value” or “D-parameter” obtained from the first differential of the C KLL Auger spectrum; the D-parameter is taken as the energy difference between the maximum and minimum points. This approach is more appropriate than observing the C 1s shifts because the Auger spectrum does not
The differentials of the Auger spectra with the associated D-values for each sample are plotted in Figure 2, where similar trends to the C 1s spectra are noticed, with the highest D-value observed at 1000 °C. The anticipated rise in the sp² concentration with the annealing temperature is again apparent, whereas the anomalous decrease at 1200 °C remains. In addition to the changing sp² concentration, the peak O 1s intensity varies in a similar fashion, with the O 1s/C 1s peak ratio loosely indicative of hydrogenation as oxygen is substituted for hydrogen.

3.2. Raman Spectroscopy. The Raman spectroscopy measurements are shown in Figure 3. The strong peak at approximately 1332 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of the F₂g vibrational mode in sp³ carbon, hence indicating a large volume fraction of diamond most commonly witnessed for microcrystalline diamond. This peak may be obscured by additional D and G bands, associated with the aromatic A₁g breathing mode of disordered sp² carbon and the E₂g bond stretching of sp³ carbon pairs, respectively, characteristic of graphitic carbon, although in this instance, these bands are of minute intensity as compared to the diamond peak, as shown in Figure 3. Through linear extrapolation of a background baseline at higher wavenumbers, the inset in Figure 3 reveals a broad dispersion of bands around the diamond peak from 1320 to 1600 cm⁻¹, where the D and G bands would be present. This band is most noticeable at the annealing temperature of 1000 °C and diminishes with decreasing temperature, whereas the band of the 1200 °C sample is again less than that at 1000 °C. This is most likely through the formation of small volumes of surface-
disordered sp² carbon, albeit too small and sporadically distributed to produce a discernible D or G band. Additionally, the background levels vary among the samples, generally attributed to the photoluminescence (PL) of heavily hydrogenated samples. The varying PL background correlates with the XPS O 1s findings in that as well as any increase in sp² carbon, hydrogenation is also taking place to some extent during the annealing process.

3.3. STEM–EELS. The C 1s spectra of the core electrons in the diamond particle are shown for the untreated sample in Figure 4. The edge at approximately 293 eV corresponds to the electron transitions to the σ* orbitals for sp³ carbon, which is typically within the range of 289–294 eV.26,27 Furthermore, the π* peak, characteristic of sp² carbon and usually situated at lower binding energies of around 285 eV, was not found, indicating no measurable sp² layer on this particle. Although a survey of the powder sample revealed no evidence of sp², that is, not to say that it is not present, it is merely in such low volume concentrations of the bulk powder that it is difficult to identify; whereas XPS is able to identify because of a larger sample probing spot.

For the 600 °C sample, a π* peak is found at lower binding energies to the σ* edge on the surface of the particle. At 800 and 1000 °C, the amplitude of the π* peak significantly increases and decreases again at 1200 °C with position. The trace sp² layer thickness can be estimated by varying the position where the spectrum was recorded on the edge of the particle, as shown by the STEM images in Figure 4. Using a modification of the Cuomo expression25 to compensate that π* is not really zero on diamond spectra, the sp²/sp³ carbon ratio can be obtained as follows

$$I_{\pi*} = 0.54 \times \frac{3x}{4-x} + 0.067$$

where I is the peak intensity and x is the sp²/sp³ carbon ratio, revealing that the 1000 °C sample has the largest estimated sp² thickness. Although these data are conclusive in determining that sp² bonding is present on the particle surfaces and varies with the annealing temperature, it is still difficult to quantify this as a representative measurement because it is dependent on the local properties at the point where the spectra are obtained.

3.4. SEM. The SEM measurements are shown in Figure 5. The purpose of these measurements is to demonstrate that the particle size and morphology do not differ greatly as each of the powders are annealed. It is clear that the overall particle size and geometry of the particles do not change, and hence any differences in the XPS, Raman, or MCP measurements will be due to an electromagnetic property of the material. This measurement is important as any significant geometrical changes in the particles can potentially affect the MCP measurements; different particle shapes produce different

![Figure 3. Raman spectra (top) of annealed sub-micron diamond powders using a near-IR laser. All spectra are normalized to the peak value. For analyzing the D and G bands, a baseline background (dotted) is taken through a linear fit in the higher wavenumber region. A clear diamond peak (approximately 1332 cm⁻¹) is evident in all samples, whereas the inset shows the background subtracted spectra in the D and G band regions showing signs of broad D and G bands (around 1320–50 and 1580 cm⁻¹, respectively). This implies small changes in sp² carbon with the largest D and G envelope labelled at 1000 °C. In addition, the background PL (bottom), taken as the intensity at 1200 cm⁻¹, is loosely indicative of sample hydrogenation.](image-url)
electric dipole moments owing to local electric field modiﬁcations rather than material property variations, and the observed measurements of the effective (i.e., measured) complex permittivity will vary accordingly.

Thus far, it has been determined that the graphitization of these particles increases with the annealing temperature and the particle geometry does not change, however, the 1200 °C sample does not follow the annealing trend; further discussion on this is in section 4. Having such well-established material properties allows us to make firm correlations with the microwave complex permittivity measurements.

3.5. MCP. The MCP measurements of the effective dielectric constant, dielectric loss, and loss tangent (\(\tan \delta\)) are shown in Figure 6. The first two are calculated using eqns 1 and 2, whereas the loss tangent is simply achieved by taking the quotient of the imaginary and real parts

\[
\tan \delta = \frac{\varepsilon''}{\varepsilon'} \approx \frac{-\Delta BW}{\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_0} - 2\Delta f}
\]

See the reference for more details on the experimental setup.\textsuperscript{40} The effective values here mean that no attempt has been made to calculate the intrinsic permittivity of the powder material using effective medium theory or by normalizing using the density, as is done in ref 40, as we expect the trends to be visible in the measured data and any changes in the bulk density across the sample to be immeasurable.

The results show that the dielectric constant has minimal correlation with any of the other measurements; in accordance with 1, the dielectric constant is proportional to the shift in the
frequency and is depicted in the MCP traces in Figure 6 by the various frequency shifts from the unperturbed measurement (quartz sample container). The loss tangent, however, varies in the same manner as the measurements obtained using XPS (D-value and C 1s shift), EELS (C 1s sp\(^2\) carbon layer thickness), and Raman spectroscopy (approximate D- and G-band regions), with an increase from 600 to 1000 °C as well as the unexpected decrease in loss at 1200 °C. Dielectric loss is depicted by an increase in the bandwidth as per \( \varepsilon'' \), though easier to identify on the trace is the loss tangent, which is shown as a decrease in the transmitted power within the resonator (therefore, the sample introduces an insertion loss as it perturbs the electric field of the resonant mode). These findings demonstrate that the measured microwave absorption in these diamond powders is strongly related to an increasing sp\(^2\) carbon volume or sample hydrogenation and is not due to any changes in the size or shape, corroborating results found in previous studies.

The loss tangent is displayed as a function of the frequency over the 2.5 to 9.4 GHz range in Figure 6. The observed frequency dependence of the loss tangent is minimal; however, there are some small variations in the general trend. The starting powder has the lowest loss tangent with minimal frequency dependence. As the sp\(^2\) concentration increases, a negative gradient with the frequency starts to emerge, most prominently at 1000 °C. The general increase in tan \( \delta \) is related to the volume increase in non-diamond carbon impurities, and an inverse frequency dependence can be modelled as an enhancement in the long-range, free-charge conduction; as described in the previous work, we expect that \( \tan \delta \approx \frac{\sigma_{\text{static}}}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \omega} \), where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the free space permittivity and \( \sigma_{\text{static}} \) is the long-range, free-charge conductivity. The presented data here are not significant enough to be attributed to any noticeable long-range charge conduction. It is worth noting here that the standard deviation error bars denote error between the different prepared samples and not across the band. The overall frequency-dependent characteristic was found to be similar among all samples; thus, the error bars are more indicative of absolute offsets in the whole data.

**4. DISCUSSION**

The most pertinent outcome of these experiments is that the MCP dielectric loss tangent correlates with all other measured findings from two contributions, sp\(^2\) carbon concentration and the extent to which the sample is hydrogenated. For the case of a varying sp\(^2\) carbon concentration, the unclear Raman results must first be discussed. Initially, the absence of clear D and G bands in the Raman spectra suggests that graphitization has not occurred at any of the temperatures, especially because the excitation wavelength of the laser is in the near-IR region and is therefore particularly sensitive to the presence of sp\(^2\) carbon. However, this would disregard all of the XPS and EELS measurements, which suggest that the sp\(^2\)/sp\(^3\) carbon ratio is changing. A more reasonable explanation is that the vacuum annealing process introduces small volumes of sp\(^2\) carbon impurities, spuriously distributed on the diamond crystallites, as verified by EELS. These volumes are so small that discernible D and G bands cannot be detected, but instead...
a broad feature encompassing both of them is measured. An indication of sp\(^2\)/sp\(^3\) carbon concentration from Raman is generally given through either the peak ratio of the G band and the diamond peak or a ratio of the integration of their respective areas. Through observation, it is clear that the broad feature in Figure 3 inset between 1300 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) peaks at 1000 °C, however, the accuracy is heavily dependent upon the arbitrary classification of the Raman baseline level. For the sizes of the particles used here, they are much larger than those used in other annealing studies (5–50 nm range\(^{28}\)), and so the sp\(^2\) growth rate is dramatically slower, producing a much smaller volume fraction of sp\(^2\) carbon. The sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy in this case is heavily weighted toward large volumes of periodic and crystalline contributions. Typical penetration depths of near-IR lasers are on the order of micrometers, whereas XPS and EELS are point surface techniques with probing depths in the nanometer range, making Raman spectroscopy more sensitive to the bulk particle. This explains why both XPS and EELS measurements are more capable of detecting a changing sp\(^2\)/sp\(^3\) carbon concentration in this sample set. However, MCP also probes the bulk, but unlike Raman spectroscopy, it is much less sensitive to the insulating crystalline diamond contribution, and hence a correlation between the sp\(^2\) indicators from XPS and EELS and MCP is found.

The counter argument for the observed changes in the MCP results is in the sample hydrogenation. This may have occurred from water vapor present on the chamber walls prior to the annealing stages, which is fully conceivable as per vacuum annealing experiments by Ginés et al.\(^{47}\) As is shown in the XPS O 1s/C 1s ratio and the Raman rising PL background, these hydrogenation indicators show that with increasing annealing temperature, the sample is further hydrogenated until it saturates at 1000 °C and decreases at 1200 °C. Hence, a correlation similar to that of the sp\(^2\) indicators is obtained, and one could argue that the measured increase in the microwave loss tangent is a consequence of hydrogenation, namely hole conduction from the ambient surface conduction phenomenon in hydrogenated diamond.\(^{44}\) Hydrogenated diamond has been demonstrated to drastically affect the dc conductivity of pure diamond, decreasing the dc resistivity from 10\(^7\) to 10\(^5\) Ω cm, and thus \(\sigma = 1\) mS/m.\(^{44}\) The low-frequency long-range conductivity of the untreated powder has been measured in our previous work\(^{40}\) giving a similar value, implying that these samples are already hydrogenated before treatment, congruent with the initial PL background in Figure 3. Because both sp\(^2\) carbon and hydrogenation indicators are present, the question is, which mechanism is most likely to have a larger impact on the microwave dielectric properties?

In this work, we assume that the electrical properties of sp\(^2\) carbon are far superior to that of hole transport in hydrogenated diamond. This is a reasonable hypothesis, graphitic carbon is known to have a very high dielectric loss tangent in the microwave frequency range and so microwave
measurement systems are highly sensitive to this material. This is a significant result, with the correlation between the D-value and loss tangent shown in Figure 7. For the XPS D-value, a simple linear model can be used to quantify the surface sp² carbon concentration in trace amounts, giving a small percentage increase in sp² carbon from approximately 17%–31% after annealing, as shown in Figure 7. With MCP plotted against this, tan δ of 0.016 is extrapolated to 100%. The error bars in Figure 7 show that the sp² concentration can be resolved with a maximum standard deviation of ±5%. However, it must be made clear that the linear model given in Figure 7 is not linear at higher concentrations than those measured here (less than approximately 31%) because applying it to previously measured nano-diamonds yields sp² concentrations of greater than 200%. Comparing the MCP standard deviation of ±5% with XPS, fine scans achieved with 0.1 eV resolution translate to a conservative 2% sensitivity for a 0–100% sp³ range of 13.7–21.2 eV. Whereas XPS in this regard is more sensitive than the MCP system used here, the setup of MCP is much simpler. The MCP system can also be improved by designing a higher quality factor cavity resonator; for example, by increasing the conductivity of the cavity metal by using copper instead of the currently used aluminum cavity and by allowing greater changes in the bandwidth to be measured for tan δ measurements.

Regarding the 1200 °C sample, which did not exhibit as much sp² carbon as the 1000 °C sample, it has seemingly shown the completely opposite result to what was anticipated; its inferred sp² concentration from XPS, EELS, and MCP measurements did not increase at temperatures higher than 1000 °C, the Raman PL background reduced to values similar to the starting material, and its dielectric loss characteristic as a function of frequency marginally increases, as opposed to decreasing as per the inverse frequency dependence in the long-range conduction model. The observed increase in the dielectric loss with increasing frequency is intriguing, as conventionally, one would only observe such increases when a material’s permittivity approaches dielectric relaxation. However, this is generally complemented with a decrease in the real part of the permittivity with frequency, which is not observed. One explanation for the anomalous 1200 °C sample is related to the water vapor on the chamber walls at the start of the experiment in that the sample is saturated with the desorbed hydrogen at 1000 °C to the point that at a higher temperature of 1200 °C, this hydrogenation facilitates in etching off the sp³ bonding. The sp² carbon growth kinetics is less reported for diamonds approaching the micrometer size as opposed to those readily used to synthesize nano-sized onionlike carbon (<10 nm). Regardless of the obscure growth kinetics for this diamond sample at this temperature, the XPS, Raman, EELS, and MCP results are all correlated, and so this discrepancy does not affect the overall conclusions of this work.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The MCP measurements shown here demonstrate that trace amounts of sp² carbon impurities on sub-micron-sized diamonds can be detected with standard deviation errors as low as ±5%. The microwave loss tangent demonstrates a linear relationship with the sp² concentration, with surface sp²/sp³ carbon ratios of up to 31% obtained using the XPS D-value. Additional indicators also verified the presence of a varying sp² carbon ratio, including changes in the XPS C 1s spectra, and a small and broad region encompassing the D and G bands in the Raman spectra. In addition, varying hydrogenation was detected in the particles through a rising PL background in Raman and a varying XPS O 1s/C 1s ratio. This needs further investigation, in particular, at a fixed sp² carbon concentration, to understand its effect on MCP measurements. Practically, this work demonstrates potentially new avenues in materials science using microwave dielectric spectroscopy for quality control in the production of sub-micron-sized diamond powders. However, when used in isolation, one must exercise caution because it does not identify phases within a material and should be used in complement to others.
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