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Abstract

With the motivation of giving a more precise estimation of the quantum Brauer group of a Hopf algebra \( H \) over a field \( k \) we construct an exact sequence containing the quantum Brauer group of a Hopf algebra in a certain braided monoidal category. Let \( B \) be a Hopf algebra in \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{YD} \), the category of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over \( H \). We consider the quantum Brauer group \( BQ(\mathcal{C}; B) \) of \( B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), which is isomorphic to the usual quantum Brauer group \( BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \) of the Radford biproduct Hopf algebra \( B \rtimes H \). We show that under certain symmetricity condition on the braiding in \( \mathcal{C} \) there is an inner action of the Hopf automorphism group of \( B \) on the former. We prove that the subgroup \( BM(\mathcal{C}; B) \) - the Brauer group of module algebras over \( B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) - is invariant under this action for a family of Radford biproduct Hopf algebras. The analogous invariance we study for \( BM(k; B \rtimes H) \). We apply our recent results on the latter group and generate a new subgroup of the quantum Brauer group of \( B \rtimes H \). In particular, we get new information on the quantum Brauer groups of some known Hopf algebras.
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1 Introduction

In the line of development of the theory of the Brauer group, which in its origins rested on algebras over a field without any further structure, a particular interest in the last dozen of years occupies the quantum Brauer group. It is the Brauer group of Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebras introduced in [5]. From the point of view of category theory the
mentioned development passed from the studies performed in the category of vector spaces – the original Brauer group of a field introduced in 1929; via the category of modules over a commutative ring \( R \); that of vector spaces (or modules over \( R \)) which are graded by an abelian group; the category of dimodules over a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra \( H \), called the Brauer-Long group and denoted by \( BD(R; H) \); the category of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over a Hopf algebra \( H \) with a bijective antipode – the quantum Brauer group \( BQ(R; H) \) – just to mention some of them. The categorical background of the Brauer group was accomplished in [16] and [23]. The latter work revealed that almost all the studied Brauer groups are Brauer groups of a certain braided monoidal category. When a Hopf algebra \( H \) is commutative and cocommutative, then a Yetter-Drinfel’d module over \( H \) is precisely an \( H \)-dimodule and the respective categories coincide. In this sense the quantum Brauer group generalizes the Brauer-Long group.

Not much progress has been achieved on the computation of the quantum Brauer group so far. This seems to be a difficult task. Though, the Brauer-Long group and its subgroups have extensively been studied [1, 9, 3, 4, 22, 6]. Furthermore, in [8] we gave a method to compute BM(\( R \); \( H \)) – the Brauer group of module algebras over a Hopf algebra \( H \) - where \( H \) is a quasitriangular Radford biproduct of a certain kind. It unifies several computations of this group performed in the last years for certain cases of \( H \). A first approximation of the quantum Brauer group itself was attained in [24]. There the following exact sequence is constructed:

\[
1 \rightarrow G(D(H)^*) \rightarrow G(D(H)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) \rightarrow \text{Y} \rightarrow BQ(R; H).
\]  

Here \( G(D(H)^*) \) and \( G(D(H)) \) are the groups of group-likes of the dual of the Drinfel’d double \( D(H) \) of \( H \) and of \( D(H) \), respectively, and \( \text{Aut}(H) \) is the Hopf automorphism group of \( H \). The Hopf algebra \( H \) is faithfully projective and has a bijective antipode. When \( D(H) \) is commutative, equivalently, when \( H \) is commutative and cocommutative, the map \( \text{Y} \) is injective. Consequently, the Hopf automorphism group can be embedded into the Brauer-Long group. This recovers Deegan-Caenepeel’s embedding result [1] Theorem 4.1] (originally, Deegan’s embedding theorem claims the corresponding group embedding for a finite abelian group in place of \( H \)). As pointed out in [24], the peculiarity of the quantum Brauer group is that it may be an infinite torsion group although the Hopf algebra is small and finite-dimensional. This is owed to the same feature of the automorphism group of a non-commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebra (whereas if \( H \) is a finite-dimensional commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, then \( \text{Aut}(H) \) is a finite group).

The idea in the present paper is to construct an analogous sequence to (1.1) for a Hopf algebra in a suitable braided monoidal category. Let \( H \) be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode over a field \( k \) and let \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Y}D \) be the (braided monoidal) category of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over \( H \). Consider a Hopf algebra \( B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), that is, a braided Hopf algebra. On the one hand, we have that the Radford biproduct \( B \rtimes H \) is a Hopf algebra over \( k \) and the sequence (1.1) can be applied to it. We will refer to this kind of application of (1.1) as to the ‘base level’. On the other hand, our idea is to, so to say, lift the sequence to one level higher, namely, to substitute the category \( \text{Vec} \) of vector spaces over \( k \) by \( \mathcal{C} \), and the Hopf algebra \( H \in \text{Vec} \) by the Hopf algebra \( B \in \mathcal{C} \). Let \( B \mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{C}) \) denote the category of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over \( B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \). In Theorem 5.1, the main theorem of the paper, we prove that if \( B \) is finite-dimensional and such that the braiding
Φ in C fulfills \( \Phi_{B,M} = \Phi_{M,B}^{-1} \) for all \( M \in C \) (we say that \( \Phi_{B,M} \) is symmetric), then there is an exact sequence of groups:

\[
1 \longrightarrow G_C(D(B)^*) \longrightarrow G_C(D(B)) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Aut}(C; B) \longrightarrow BQ(C; B).
\]

The groups involved in the sequence are categoric analogues of their counterparts from the sequence (1.1). When \( B \) is commutative and cocommutative in \( C \) we obtain Deegan-Caenepeel’s kind of result (Corollary 5.1). We construct our sequence performing most of the computations in braided diagrams in an arbitrary braided monoidal category \( \mathcal{E} \). Thus proving Theorem 5.1 we also obtain several more general results (the whole section 2 and section 3 until the map \( \Pi \) including Proposition 4.7). These contain some results on group-likes and the Drinfel’d double of a Hopf algebra in \( \mathcal{E} \), and some results on inner hom-objects in \( \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E} \). Observe that direct computations in the category \( \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{E} \) would give rather complicated expressions too involved to handle. This illustrates how powerful the theory of braided monoidal categories is.

We apply the sequence (1.2) to the family of Radford biproduct Hopf algebras \( B \rtimes H \) that we studied in [8]. Here \( H \) is quasitriangular and \( B \) is a module over \( H \). Then \( B \) can be made into a left \( H \)-comodule so that it becomes a Yetter-Drinfel’d module. In this particular case of a Radford biproduct the Hopf algebra \( B \rtimes H \) is called bosonization in [14]. We prove that in the named family of Hopf algebras \( \Phi_{B,M} \) is symmetric for every \( M \in C \). Moreover, \( G_C(D(B)) \) turns out to be trivial and \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \cong k^* \) (the group of units of \( k \)). Thus we obtain that \( k^* \) embeds into \( \text{BD}(C; B) \), the Brauer-Long group of \( B \) in \( C \). On the other hand, by [2] it is \( \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{B} \mathcal{YD}(C) \cong \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{B}_H \mathcal{YD}(C) \) as braided monoidal categories. This has for a consequence the group isomorphism \( BQ(C; B) \cong BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \). Since \( B \) is commutative and cocommutative in \( C \), we finally get \( k^* \hookrightarrow BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \). That the group of units of a field (modulo \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)) embeds into a quantum Brauer group was shown for Sweedler’s Hopf algebra in [21]. Our result generalizes this fact to the quantum Brauer group of every Radford biproduct Hopf algebra from our family.

In [8] it was proved that there is an inner action of the Hopf automorphism group of \( H \) on the quantum Brauer group of \( H \). We lift this result categorically to the Hopf algebra \( B \) in \( C \), with the assumption that \( \Phi_{B,B} \) and \( \Phi_{B,\mathcal{L}(A)} \) are symmetric for every Azumaya algebra \( A \) in \( \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{B} \mathcal{YD}(C) \), where \( \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{B} \mathcal{YD}(C) \rightarrow C \) is the forgetful functor, (Corollary 4.8). Observe that these symmetry conditions on the braiding are fulfilled in our family of Radford biproducts. Since \( B \) in this family is cocommutative, it is quasitriangular with the quasitriangular structure \( \mathcal{R}_B = 1_B \otimes 1_B \). Then every \( B \)-module is a trivial \( B \)-comodule and a Yetter-Drinfel’d module in \( C \). Therefore we may consider the subgroup \( \iota : \text{BM}(C; B) \hookrightarrow BQ(C; B) \), the former being the Brauer group of module algebras over \( B \) in \( C \). We show that \( \text{BM}(C; B) \) is stable under the action of \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \). This leads us to conclude that \( (\iota \otimes \Pi)(\text{BM}(C; B) \rtimes \text{Aut}(C; B)) \cong \text{BM}(C; B) \rtimes k^* \) is a subgroup of \( BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \), Proposition 5.2 Using the results we obtained in [8], in Corollary 6.3 we prove that there is an embedding

\[
(\text{BM}(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2m})) \times (k, +)^{r_1+\ldots+r_n} \rtimes k^* \hookrightarrow BQ(k; B \rtimes H)
\]

for certain natural numbers \( r_1, \ldots, r_n \).

At the base level, since \( B \rtimes H \) is quasitriangular, we may consider the subgroup \( \text{BM}(k; B \rtimes H) \) of \( BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \). We study under which conditions it is stable under the action of \( \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H) \). We prove that these are fulfilled by a certain subfamily
$H(m, n) = B \rtimes H'$ of Hopf algebras $B \rtimes H$. In Proposition 6.3 we obtain the following subgroup of its quantum Brauer group:

$$(BM(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}) \times (k, +)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rtimes GL_n(k)/U_{2m}) \hookrightarrow BQ(k, H(m, n)),$$

where $U_{2m}$ denotes the group of $2m$-th roots of unity. In particular, we get approximations for the quantum Brauer group of Sweedler’s Hopf algebra, Radford Hopf algebra and Nichols Hopf algebra.

The Taft algebra is a Radford biproduct Hopf algebra for which the symmetry condition in our main theorem is not satisfied. So we cannot approximate the quantum Brauer group on the level of the corresponding braided Hopf algebra, but we do compute the sequence (1.1) - on the base level - contributing thus to the list of examples treated in [24].

The paper is organized as follows. We first recall the necessary definitions and present some basic structures related to a Hopf algebra $B$ in any braided monoidal category $E$. The third section treats group-likes and the Drinfel’d double of $B$ in $E$. In the fourth one we proceed to construct the group map from the Hopf automorphism group of $B$ in $C$ to the respective quantum Brauer group and study when the former acts by an inner action on the latter. We omit much of the proofs in this part giving just a sketch of them in order to spare space and make the reading more fluent. The fifth section carries the construction of the exact sequence containing the above two groups. In the last section we apply the sequence to a family of Hopf algebras obtaining new results on the corresponding quantum Brauer groups.
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2 Preliminaries

Throughout let $k$ be a field and let $H$ be a Hopf algebra over $k$ with a bijective antipode $S$. A $k$-module $M$ which is simultaneously a left $H$-module and a left $H$-comodule is called a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module if it obeys the equivalent compatibility conditions:

$$h_{(1)} m_{[-1]} \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot m_{[0]}) = (h_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[-1]} h_{(2)} \otimes (h_{(1)} \cdot m)_{[0]}$$

$$\lambda(h \cdot m) = h_{(1)} m_{[-1]} S(h_{(3)}) \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot m_{[0]})$$

for $m \in M, h \in H$. In the literature sometimes the term $H$-crossed module or Yang-Baxter $H$-module is used. A Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra is a Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module $A$ which is a left $H$-module algebra and a left $H$-comodule algebra. Left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d modules and left $H$-linear and $H$-colinear maps form a braided monoidal category $C := H YD$. A Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra $A$ is then equivalently an algebra in $C$. A braiding $\Phi : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ in $C$ and its inverse are given by

$$\Phi(m \otimes n) = n_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(n_{[-1]}) \cdot m; \quad \Phi^{-1}(m \otimes n) = m_{[-1]} \cdot n \otimes m_{[0]}$$

for $m \in M, n \in N$. 


Now assume that $B$ is a Hopf algebra in $C$ (a braided Hopf algebra). This situation occurs in the Radford biproduct Hopf algebra $B \ltimes H$ where $H$ is an ordinary Hopf algebra [17]. Concretely, one has that $B \ltimes H$ is a bialgebra, with

$$\text{smash product: } (b \times h)(b' \times h') = b(h(1) \triangleright b') \times h(2)h'$$

$$\text{smash coproduct: } \Delta(b \times h) = (b(1) \times b(2)[\cdot_1]h(1)) \otimes (b(2)[\cdot_0] \times h(2))$$

and componentwise unit and counit, if and only if $B$ occurs in the Radford biproduct Hopf algebra $B \ltimes H$ for $H \ltimes B$. Moreover, if $B$ has a convolution inverse $S_B$ to $id_B$, then $B \ltimes H$ is a Hopf algebra with the antipode $S(b \times h) = (1_B \times S_H(b[\cdot_1]h))(S_B(b[\cdot_0]) \times 1_H)$.

**Remark 2.1** Note that in the definitions of the smash product and coproduct, the bialgebra compatibility of $B$ and the antipode for $B \ltimes H$ we used the same formulas as in [17] Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2] which are expressed in terms of our $\Phi^{-1}$ which usually is taken to be a braiding in $H \ltimes \mathcal{YD}$. Strictly speaking, we should change these definitions according to $\Phi$. However, when $B$ is commutative or cocommutative it is a Hopf algebra both in $(C, \Phi)$ and in $(C, \Phi^{-1})$. Our examples are of this form.

Let $B \mathcal{YD}(C)$ be the category of left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $B$-modules in $C$. An object $M \in B \mathcal{YD}(C)$ is a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module which additionally satisfies the compatibility condition (the analogue of [2,3] - instead of the ordinary flip the braiding $\Phi^{-1}$ appears):

$$(b(1) \triangleright (b(2)[\cdot_1] \triangleright m))[\cdot_1]_H \cdot (((b(1) \triangleright (b(2)[\cdot_1] \triangleright m))[\cdot_1]_H \triangleright b[\cdot_0]) \times (2.3)) = b(1)(b(2)[\cdot_1] \triangleright m[\cdot_1]_B) \otimes b[\cdot_0]m[\cdot_0]_B$$

for $m \in M$. Suppose both $S_B$ and $S_H$ are bijective. By the left version of [2 Proposition 4.2.3] there is an isomorphism of braided monoidal categories

$$B \mathcal{YD}(C) \cong B \ltimes H \mathcal{YD}.$$

An object $M \in B \mathcal{YD}(C)$ is a Yetter-Drinfel’d $B \ltimes H$-module by: $(b \times h) \cdot m = b \varepsilon(h \triangleright m)$ and $\lambda(m) = m[-1]_B \otimes m[0]_H \otimes m[0]_B$; whereas $N \in B \ltimes H \mathcal{YD}$ becomes an object in $B \mathcal{YD}(C)$ via: $h \triangleright n = (1_B \times h)n, b \varepsilon = (b \times 1_H)n, n[-1]_H \otimes n[0]_H = (\varepsilon \otimes H \otimes N)\lambda_B \ltimes (n)$ and $n[-1]_B \otimes n[0]_B = (B \otimes H \otimes N)\lambda_H(n)$ for $n \in N$. The Yetter-Drinfel’d compatibility condition and the braiding $\Psi : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ and its inverse in $\mathcal{D} : = B \ltimes H \mathcal{YD}$ are analogous of [2,3] and [2,5] where $H$ should be replaced by $B \ltimes H$.

### 2.1 The corresponding Brauer groups

The Brauer group of left-right Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over a Hopf algebra $H$ over a commutative ring $R$ was introduced in [5]. That is the Brauer group of the braided
monoidal category $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}D^{H^{\text{op}}}$, [23]. As we proved in [10], the categories $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}D^{H^{\text{op}}}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are braided monoidally isomorphic (assume $R$ is a field). Since the Brauer group is invariant under the equivalence of braided monoidal categories, the corresponding two Brauer groups are isomorphic. Let us review the key pieces in the construction of the Brauer group of $\mathcal{C}$. A Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-opposite algebra $A^{\text{op}}$ of a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra $A$ is $A^{\text{op}} = A$ as a vector space with the same $H$-structures whose multiplication is given via:

$$a^{\text{op}} \cdot_{\text{op}} b^{\text{op}} = b_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(b_{[-1]}) \cdot a$$

for $a, b \in A$. In fact, $A^{\text{op}}$ is the opposite algebra of $A$ in the category $\mathcal{C}$. A left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-Azumaya algebra (equivalently, an Azumaya algebra in $\mathcal{C}$) is a finite dimensional left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra $A$ for which the two Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebra maps:

$$F : A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}(A), \quad F(a \otimes b^{\text{op}})(c) = ac_{[0]}(S^{-1}(c_{[-1]}) \cdot b), \quad (2.7)$$

$$G : A^{\text{op}} \otimes A \rightarrow \text{End}(A)^{\text{op}}, \quad G(a^{\text{op}} \otimes b)(c) = a_{[0]}(S^{-1}(a_{[-1]}) \cdot c)b \quad (2.8)$$

are isomorphisms. Note that the multiplication on $A \otimes B$ for two algebras $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ is given (in terms of $\Phi$) by: $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa'_{[0]} \otimes (S_{H}^{-1}(a'_{[-1]}), b)b'$. The endomorphism ring $\text{End}(M)$ of any finite dimensional left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module $M$ is a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra with the $H$-structures given by:

$$(h \cdot f)(m) = h_{(1)}f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\lambda(f)(m) = f_{[-1]} \otimes f_{[0]}(m) = f[m_{[0]}]_{[-1]}S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \otimes f(m_{[0]})_{[0]} \quad (2.10)$$

for $h \in H, f \in \text{End}(M)$ and $m \in M$. Its Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-opposite algebra $\text{End}(M)^{\text{op}}$ is a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module algebra with the following left $H$-module and $H$-comodule structures:

$$(h \cdot f^{\text{op}})(m) = h_{(2)}f(S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \cdot m) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\lambda(f^{\text{op}})(m) = f_{[0]}^{\text{op}} \otimes f_{[-1]}^{\text{op}}(m) = S(m_{[-1]})f(m_{[0]}_{[-1]} \otimes f(m_{[0]})_{[0]}). \quad (2.12)$$

(The Yetter-Drinfel’d compatibility for $\text{End}(M)^{\text{op}}$ is proved using the left $H$-linear and $H$-colinear isomorphism $M \otimes M^* \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$, where $M \otimes M^*$ is a left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d $H$-module considered as a left $H^{\text{op}}$-module and $H^{\text{op}}$-comodule. Here $M^*$ – the linear dual of $M$ – is regarded with the structures (2.11) and (2.12) with $f^{\text{op}} \in M^*$.)

To consider the Brauer group of $\mathcal{D}$, replace the Hopf algebra $H$ by the Hopf algebra $B \rtimes H$ in the above construction. The braiding $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is replaced by the braiding $\Psi$ in $\mathcal{D}$. To outline the difference in the structures in $\mathcal{C}$ and in $\mathcal{D}$ we will denote the $B \rtimes H$-opposite algebra of $A$ by $\overline{A}$ and the Azumaya defining maps in $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\overline{F} : \overline{A} \otimes \overline{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(A), \quad \overline{G} : \overline{A} \otimes A \rightarrow \overline{\text{End}}(A).$$

Here $\otimes$ stands for the tensor product in $\mathcal{D}$. The algebra $\overline{A}$ has the same $B \rtimes H$-structures as $A$. 
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2.2 Preliminaries for the braided constructions

The computations in the category $B\mathcal{B}YD(\mathcal{C})$ may become rather complicated. We will simplify them by taking advantage of the powerful tool of the theory of braided monoidal categories and performing the computations using braided diagrams. We assume the reader is familiar with the theory. For the definition of basic algebraic structures in braided monoidal categories we refer to [2]. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a braided monoidal category with braiding $\Phi$ (the same notation as for the braiding in $\mathcal{C}$) and the unit object $I$. We assume $\mathcal{E}$ is strict. We use the following notation for the structures in $\mathcal{E}$ of an algebra $A$, a coalgebra $C$, a Hopf algebra $H$ with antipode $S$, a left $A$-module and a left $C$-comodule $M$, the braiding and a morphism $f: X \to Y$:

| Algebra | Coalgebra | Antipode |
|---------|-----------|----------|
| Unit $\eta_A = \begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Count $\varepsilon_C = \begin{array}{c} C \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Hopf $H$ |
| Multiplication $\nabla_A = \begin{array}{ccc} A & & A \\ & \downarrow & \\ & & A \end{array}$ | Comultiplication $\Delta_C = \begin{array}{ccc} C & & C \\ & \downarrow & \\ & & C \end{array}$ |

| Module | Comodule |
|--------|----------|
| $\mu_M = \begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow M \end{array}$ | $\lambda_M = \begin{array}{c} M \\ \downarrow C \\ \downarrow M \end{array}$ |

| Braiding | Morphism |
|---------|----------|
| $\Phi_{X,Y} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow Y \end{array}$ | $\Phi_{Y,X}^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \downarrow X \end{array}$ |

We will normally suppress the strings for the unit object $I$ as well as writing it when it appears as a domain or a codomain of morphisms. The sign “+” stands for the antipode $S$, whereas the sign “-” denotes its inverse. We will consider the comultiplication of the co-opposite coalgebra of $C \in \mathcal{E}$ to be given by $\Delta_{C^{\text{cop}}} = \Phi^{-1} \Delta_C$ rather than with the positive sign of the braiding - in order that the opposite co-opposite $B^{\text{op,cop}}$ of a bialgebra $B \in \mathcal{E}$ be a bialgebra, see [21, 1.3, 1.6], [10].

We will assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a closed category, meaning that the tensor functors have their (right) adjoints, which give rise to the inner hom-objects $[X,Y]$ with $X,Y \in \mathcal{E}$. The counit of the adjunction $(- \otimes X, [X,-])$ is denoted by $ev_{[X,Y]}: [X,Y] \otimes X \to Y$. An object $M$ is finite in $\mathcal{E}$ if the morphism $\db: M \otimes [M,I] \to [M,M]$ defined via $ev_{[M,M]}(db \otimes M) = M \otimes ev_{[M,I]}$ is an isomorphism. An object $M^* \in \mathcal{E}$ together with a morphism $e_M: M^* \otimes M \to I$ is called a dual object for $M$ if there exists a morphism $c_M: I \to M \otimes M^*$ such that $(M \otimes e_M)(c_M \otimes M) = \text{id}_M$ and $(e_M \otimes M^*)(M^* \otimes c_M) = \text{id}_{M^*}$. The morphisms $e_M$ and $c_M$ are called evaluation and coevaluation respectively and we denote them in braided diagrams by:

\[ e_M = M^* \otimes M \quad \text{and} \quad c_M = \begin{array}{c} M \\ \downarrow M^* \end{array}. \]

For a finite object $M$ it is actually $M^* = [M,I]$ and $ev_{[M,I]} = ev_{M^*}$. A morphism between finite objects $f: M \to N$ induces a morphism $f^*: N^* \to M^*$ so that $ev_{M^*}(f^* \otimes M) = ev_{N^*}(N^* \otimes f)$. A finite object $M$ is faithfully projective if $ev:[M,I] \otimes [M,M] M \to I$ induced by $ev_{[M,I]}$ is an isomorphism.
On inner hom-objects there is an associative pre-multiplication \( \varphi_{M,Y,Z} : [Y,Z] \otimes [M,Y] \to [M,Z] \) and a unital morphism \( \eta_{[Y,Y]} : I \to [Y,Y] \) such that
\[
\varphi_{M,Y,Y}(\eta_{[Y,Y]} \otimes [M,Y]) = \lambda_{[M,Y]} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{M,M,Y}([M,Y] \otimes \eta_{[M,M]}) = \rho_{[M,Y]}
\]
where \( \lambda \) and \( \rho \) are unity constraints. The morphisms \( \varphi_{M,Y,Z} \) and \( \eta_{[Y,Y]} \) are given via the universal properties of \( M,M \)-morphism such that \( \lambda \) has that an object
\[
B \quad \rightarrow \quad [B,M,M]
\]
\( \Rightarrow \)
\[
B \quad \rightarrow \quad [B,M,M]
\]
where the object \( [M,M] \) is equipped with an algebra structure via \( \varphi_{M,M,M} \) and \( \eta_{[M,M]} \).

For a consequence of the adjunction isomorphisms \( \mathcal{E}(B \otimes M, M) \cong \mathcal{E}(B, [M, M]) \) one has that an object \( M \in \mathcal{E} \) is a left \( B \)-module if and only if there is an algebra morphism \( \theta : B \rightarrow [M, M] \) in \( \mathcal{E} \). If \( \mu : B \otimes M \rightarrow M \) is the structure morphism, then \( \theta \) is the unique morphism such that
\[
ev_{[M,M]}(\theta \otimes M) = \mu. \tag{2.13}
\]
Suppose that \( \Phi_{B,B} \) is symmetric, that is, \( \Phi_{B,B} = \Phi_{B,B}^{-1} \). Then if \( M \) is a left \( B \)-module, so are \( M^* \) and \( [M, M] \) where the action of the latter is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{B,B} & : [M, M] \otimes [M, M] \rightarrow [M, M] \\
\Phi_{B,M} & : [M, B] \rightarrow [M, M] \\
\Phi_{M,B} & : [B, M] \rightarrow [M, M]
\end{align*}
\]

A \( B \)-action on \([M, M]\) is said to be strongly inner if there is an algebra morphism \( \theta : B \rightarrow [M, M] \) such that the identity \( \theta \theta \) holds. Then the following is obvious:

**Lemma 2.2** Let \( M \) be an object in \( \mathcal{E} \).

1. A \( B \)-action on \( M \) induces a strongly inner action on \([M, M]\).

2. If \([M, M]\) is a \( B \)-module algebra and the \( B \)-action on \([M, M]\) is strongly inner, then \( \Phi_{B,B} \) defines a \( B \)-action on \( M \) which induces the \( B \)-action on \([M, M]\).

Suppose now that \( M \) and \( N \) are faithfully projective and that the \( B \)-actions on \([M, M]\) and \([N, N]\) are strongly inner. Let \( \theta_M \) and \( \theta_N \) denote the corresponding algebra morphisms. Recall that the \( B \)-action \( \mu_{M \otimes N} \) on \( M \otimes N \) is given by \( \mu_{M \otimes N} = (\mu_M \otimes \mu_N)(\mu_B \theta_{B,M} \otimes N)(\Delta_B \otimes M \otimes N) \), and let \( \theta_{M \otimes N} \) be the corresponding algebra morphism. Let
\[
\omega : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \rightarrow [M \otimes N, M \otimes N] \tag{2.16}
\]
denote the algebra isomorphism proved in \( \text{[2.3, Proposition 2.3]} \), it is given by \( ev_{[M \otimes N, M \otimes N]}(\omega \otimes M \otimes N) = (ev_{[M, M]} \otimes ev_{[N, N]})([M, M] \otimes \Phi_{[N,N],M} \otimes N) \). Then by \( \text{(2.13)} \), naturality and the definition of \( \omega \) we have: \( ev_{[M \otimes N, M \otimes N]}(\theta_{M \otimes N} \Delta_B \otimes M \otimes N) = ev_{[M \otimes N, M \otimes N]}(\theta_M \otimes \theta_N) \Delta_B \otimes M \otimes N \), which by the universal property of \( ev_{[M \otimes N, M \otimes N]} \) yields:
\[
\theta_{M \otimes N} = \omega(\theta_M \otimes \theta_N) \Delta_B. \tag{2.17}
\]
If $M$ is a left $B$-comodule, then so is $[M, M]$ with the coaction given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
&M, M \otimes M \\
\otimes  &
\end{align*}
\]

if $\Phi_{B,B}$ is symmetric. Note that (2.15) and (2.18) generalize (2.9) and (2.10).

The Yetter-Drinfel’d compatibility conditions (2.3) and (2.4) generalized to any Hopf algebra $B$ in $\mathcal{E}$ in braided diagrams read as:

One may easily show that equipped with the regular action and with the adjoint coaction:

\[
\begin{align*}
&B = &\begin{align*}
&\begin{array}{c}
&M \\
&B
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\end{align*}
\]

$B$ itself is an object in $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ if $\Phi_{B,B}$ is symmetric.

Throughout we will write $\Phi_{B,M}$ is symmetric for all $M \in B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ by abuse of notation, when strictly speaking we should say for all $M \in \mathcal{E}$. Indeed, through the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}$ every $M \in B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ is an object in $\mathcal{E}$, and every $N \in \mathcal{E}$ can be equipped with trivial $B$-(co)module structures to make an object in $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$. We proved in [10] that if $\Phi_{B,M}$ is symmetric for $M \in B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$, then the expressions (2.19) and (2.20) are equivalent and $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ is a braided monoidal category. Its tensor product we denote by $\otimes$. The braiding $\Psi : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ in $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ and its inverse are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{M,N} = &\begin{align*}
\otimes
\end{align*}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that these generalize (2.5). The opposite algebra of any algebra $A$ in $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ we denote by $\overline{A}$. When $\Phi_{B,A}$ is symmetric it can be shown that $\overline{A}$ is an algebra in $B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ with the same $B$-structures as $A$. For $M \in B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ one has that $[M, M] \in B^B\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ with the structures (2.15) and (2.18).
We finally outline the basic facts about the Brauer group \( \text{Br}(\mathcal{E}) \) of \( \mathcal{E} \) from [23]. An algebra \( A \in \mathcal{C} \) is called an Azumaya algebra if the functors \( A \otimes - : \mathcal{C} \to A_{\otimes}A^{\text{op}}\mathcal{C} \) and \( - \otimes A : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_{A^{\text{op}}\otimes A} \) establish \( \mathcal{C} \)-module equivalences of categories. For a characterization of an Azumaya algebra in terms of the morphisms which generalize (2.7) and (2.8) see [23, Theorem 3.1] and [11, Theorem 5.1]. For any faithfully projective object \( M \in \mathcal{E} \) one has that \( [M, M] \) is an Azumaya algebra in \( \mathcal{E} \). If \( A \) is an Azumaya algebra in \( \mathcal{E} \), then so is \( A^{\text{op}} \). If \( A \) and \( B \) are Azumaya algebras in \( \mathcal{E} \), then so is \( A \otimes B \). Two Azumaya algebras \( A \) and \( B \) are said to be Brauer equivalent if there are faithfully projective objects \( M, N \in \mathcal{E} \) so that \( A \otimes [M, M] \cong B \otimes [N, N] \) as algebras in \( \mathcal{E} \). The equivalence class of \( [M, M] \) for a faithfully projective object \( M \in \mathcal{E} \) is the unit in \( \text{Br}(\mathcal{E}) \) and the inverse of the class of an Azumaya algebra \( A \) is the class of its opposite algebra \( A^{\text{op}} \). The Brauer group of the category of left-left Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over \( B \) in \( \mathcal{E} \) is denoted by \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) := \text{Br}(B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E})) \) and is called the quantum Brauer group. In [10] we proved that \( B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \) is braided monoidally isomorphic to the category \( D(B)\mathcal{E} \) of left modules over the Drinfel’d double \( D(B) = (B^{\text{op}})^* \bowtie B \) in \( \mathcal{E} \) when \( B \) is finite in \( \mathcal{E} \) and if \( \Phi_{B, M} \) is symmetric for all \( M \in B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \). As a matter of fact, the latter symmetricity condition on \( \Phi \) is unavoidable in order to define any monoidal functor between \( D(B)\mathcal{E} \) and \( B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \), given that a left \( B^{*} \)-module structure gives rise to a left \( B \)-comodule structure and vice versa in any such a functor. An isomorphism functor \( \mathcal{F} : D(B)\mathcal{E} \cong B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \) is given by:

\[
\mathcal{F}(M) = \bigoplus_{B}^{M} \bigoplus_{M}^{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(N) = \bigoplus_{N}^{B^{*}} \bigoplus_{B^{*}}^{N} \quad \tag{2.22} \tag{2.23}
\]

with \( M \in D(B)\mathcal{E} \) and \( N \in B^{\text{op}}\mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \). Consequently, we have isomorphic Brauer groups: \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) \cong \text{Br}(D(B)\mathcal{E}) = \text{BM}(\mathcal{E}; D(B)) \). As a consequence of Lemma 2.2 we have:

**Corollary 2.3** Suppose that \([M, M]\) is a \( D(B) \)-Azumaya algebra. It is \([M, M] = 1 \) in \( \text{BM}(\mathcal{E}; D(B)) \) if and only if the \( D(B) \)-action on \([M, M]\) is strongly inner.

**Proof.** The condition \([M, M] = 1 \) in \( \text{BM}(\mathcal{E}; D(B)) \) means that there is a \( D(B) \)-module \( N \) so that the \( D(B) \)-action on \([N, N]\) is strongly inner (with an algebra morphism \( \theta : D(B) \to [N, N] \)), and that \([M, M] \cong [N, N] \) as \( D(B) \)-module algebras (with a \( D(B) \)-module algebra isomorphism \( \varphi : [M, M] \to [N, N] \)). Then the algebra morphism \( \varphi^{-1}\theta \) makes the \( D(B) \)-action on \([M, M]\) strongly inner. The converse follows by Lemma 2.2. \( \square \)

We recall that the right center of an algebra \( A \in \mathcal{E} \) is an object \( A \) together with a (mono)morphism \( i_{r} : A \to A \in \mathcal{E} \) such that \( \nabla_{A}(i_{r} \otimes A) = \nabla_{A}\Phi_{A, A}(i_{r} \otimes A) \), and if \( T \) with \( t : T \to A \) is another pair fulfilling \( \nabla_{A}(t \otimes A) = \nabla_{A}\Phi_{A, A}(t \otimes A) \), then there is a unique morphism \( \overline{T} : T \to A \) such that \( i_{r}\overline{T} = t \). Similarly one defines the left center of \( A \) (compare [11, Definitions 3.1 and 3.2, identities (8) and (9), Corollary 3.4]). An Azumaya algebra is left and right central, meaning that its left and right centers are trivial (isomorphic to \( I \)). [11, Proposition 5.3].

### 3 Group-likes of a braided Hopf algebra

In this section \( B \) denotes a finite Hopf algebra in a braided monoidal category \( \mathcal{E} \). We recall that an algebra morphism \( B^{*} \to I \), or equivalently, a coalgebra morphism \( I \to B \)
in $\mathcal{E}$ is called a group-like on $B$, \cite{21}. Note that any group-like $g$ is convolution invertible, its convolution inverse being $Sg$ or $gS$ depending on whether we consider $g$ as a coalgebra or an algebra morphism, respectively. The group of group-likes on $B$ will be denoted by $G(B)$. The set of algebra morphisms $B \rightarrow I$ we will denote by $\text{Alg}(B, I)$ and the set of coalgebra morphisms $I \rightarrow B$ by $\text{Co-Alg}(I, B)$.

**Lemma 3.1** Let $M \in \mathcal{E}$ be faithfully projective and let $\sigma, \tau : B \rightarrow [M, M]$ define two $B$-module structures on $M$. The respective $B$-modules we denote by $M_{\sigma}$ and $M_{\tau}$. The induced $B$-module structures on $[M_{\sigma}, M_{\sigma}]$ and $[M_{\tau}, M_{\tau}]$ coincide if and only if $\tau^{-1} \ast \sigma$ factors through a group-like on $B^*$.

**Proof.** The fact that $[M_{\sigma}, M_{\sigma}] = [M_{\tau}, M_{\tau}]$ as $B$-modules means that the right hand-side of (2.14) with $\theta = \sigma$ equals to the analogous diagram with $\theta = \tau$. This is equivalent (compose to it: $(\tau S \otimes -)(\Delta_B \otimes [M, M])$ from above and then $\nabla_{[M,M]}$ from below) to $\ast$ in:

\[
\begin{align*}
B A & \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A \\
A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A
\end{align*}
\]

where $A = [M, M]$. The morphism $\chi := \tau S \ast \sigma : B \rightarrow A$ is convolution invertible with the convolution inverse $\sigma S \ast \tau$. Note that (3.24) implies $\ast$ in:

\[
\begin{align*}
B A & \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A = \bigoplus B A \\
A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A & \bigoplus A
\end{align*}
\]

Observe that the above identity means that $\chi$ factors through the right center of $A$. But $A$ is Azumaya, so $\chi$ factors through $\overline{\chi} : B \rightarrow I$. Being $\sigma$ and $\tau$ algebra morphisms, so becomes $\overline{\chi}$ and we have proved the direct sense.

For the converse, note that we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
B \\
A
\end{array} & = \bigoplus \bigoplus \\
A I & = \bigoplus B
\end{align*}
\]
for some algebra morphism $\lambda : B \to I$. Let us write this symbolically as $\sigma = \tau \ast \lambda$. Then $\sigma^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \ast \tau^{-1}$ and we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Definition 3.2} & \quad \text{An automorphism } \varphi \text{ of } B \in \mathcal{E} \text{ is called inner if there exists a convolution invertible morphism } g : I \to B \text{ fulfilling (3.26). An automorphism } \psi \text{ of } B \in \mathcal{E} \text{ is called co-inner if there exists a convolution invertible morphism } \lambda : B \to I \text{ fulfilling (3.27).}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(3.26)} & \quad B \\
\text{(3.27)} & \quad B
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, a group-like $g$ on $B$ - viewed as an element of Co-Alg($I, B$) - induces an inner automorphism $\overline{g} = \varphi$ in (3.26), and a group-like $\lambda$ on $B^*$ - viewed as an element of Alg($B, I$) - induces a co-inner automorphism $\overline{\lambda} = \psi$ in (3.27). We have that $\overline{\lambda}$ commutes with $\overline{g}$. To prove this we apply the bialgebra property and use the fact that $g$ and $\lambda$ are (co)algebra morphisms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{For the third and the fourth equality note that in the third diagram the factors } \lambda g, \lambda g^{-1} : I \to I \text{ cancel out and in the fourth diagram so do } \lambda^{-1} g, \lambda^{-1} g^{-1} : I \to I.
\end{align*}
\]
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Moreover, the assignment \( G(B) = \text{Co-Alg}(I, B) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}; B), g \mapsto \overline{g} \) is a group morphism:

\[
g g' = \overline{gg'} = \overline{g} \cdot \overline{g'} = \overline{gg'}.
\]

**3.1 Group-likes and the Drinfel’d double**

In [10, Section 4] we studied the Drinfel’d double \( D(B) = (B^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes B \) of a finite Hopf algebra \( B \in \mathcal{E} \) when \( \Phi_{B,B} \) and \( \Phi_{B,B^*} \) are symmetric. As a matter of fact we have:

**Lemma 3.3** [10, Lemma 4.3] The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( \Phi_{B,B} \) is symmetric;

(ii) \( \Phi_{B^*,B^*} \) is symmetric;

(iii) \( \Phi_{B,B^*} \) is symmetric.

Until the end of this section we will assume that \( \Phi_{B,B} \) is symmetric. We recall that \( (B^{\text{op}})^* \) is a left \( B \)-module and that \( B \) is a right \( (B^{\text{op}})^* \)-module via:

\[
\eta_{B^{\text{op}} \otimes B^{\text{op}}} = \eta_{B^{\text{op}}} \otimes \eta_B \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{B^{\text{op}} \otimes B} = \varepsilon_{B^{\text{op}}} \otimes \varepsilon_B \quad (3.28)
\]

Here \( \overline{ev} : B \otimes B^* \to I \) is the evaluation \( \overline{ev} = ev_{B^*,B} \Phi_{B,B^*} \). The Hopf algebra \( D(B) \) is endowed with the codiagonal comultiplication, componentwise unit \( \eta \) and counit \( \varepsilon \) (i.e. \( \eta_B^* \otimes \eta_B \) and \( \varepsilon_B^* \otimes \varepsilon_B \) respectively), multiplication (3.29) and antipode (3.30):

\[
\nabla_{D(B)} = (3.29) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{D(B)} = (3.30)
\]

In \( \nabla_{D(B)} \) note that \( (B^{\text{op}})^* \cong B^* \) as algebras. From (3.30) it follows:

\[
S_{D(B)}(\eta_{B^*} \otimes B) = \eta_{B^*} \otimes S_B. \quad (3.31)
\]
For a left $D(B)$-module $M$ in $\mathcal{E}$ we will consider the identity (3.32). An object $M \in \mathcal{E}$ is a left $D(B)$-module if and only if it is a left $B$- and a $B^*$-module satisfying (3.33) ([10, Lemma 4.1]).

The actions (3.28) induce trivial actions on the group-likes on $(B^{op})^*$ and $B$, as we show next. Let $g \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B), \lambda^* \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*)$ and let $\mu$ and $\nu$ denote the two actions depicted in the diagrams (3.28), respectively. We identify $g \triangleright \lambda^* = (I \xrightarrow{g \otimes \lambda^*} B \otimes B^* \xrightarrow{\mu} B^*)$ and $g \triangleleft \lambda^* = (I \xrightarrow{g \otimes \lambda^*} B \otimes B^* \xrightarrow{\nu} B)$. Then $\triangleright$ and $\triangleleft$ define a left $G(B)$-action on $G(B^*)$ and a right $G(B^*)$-action on $G(B)$, respectively. Moreover, we have:

With these actions we regard the bicrossproduct of the groups $G(B^*)$ and $G(B)$.

**Proposition 3.4** Let $B \in \mathcal{E}$ be a finite Hopf algebra and let $D(B)$ denote its Drinfel’d double in $\mathcal{E}$. There is a group isomorphism:

$$G(B^*) \times G(B) \cong G(D(B)).$$

**Proof.** First of all, note that there is a set-theoretic bijection $\text{Co-Alg}(I, B^* \otimes B) \cong \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*) \times \text{Co-Alg}(I, B)$. We define $\Gamma : \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*) \times \text{Co-Alg}(I, B) \to \text{Co-Alg}(I, D(B))$ by:

$$\Gamma((\lambda^*, g)) =$$
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for \((\lambda^*, g) \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*) \times \text{Co-Alg}(I, B)\). To prove the compatibility of \(\Gamma\) with the product take \(\kappa^*, \lambda^* \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*)\) and \(g, h \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B)\). We compute:

\[
\Gamma((\kappa^*, g)) \ast \Gamma((\lambda^*, h)) = D(B)\]

Now we define the map

\[
\Theta : G(D(B)) \rightarrow G(B^*) \times G(B) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}; B)
\]

by \(\Theta((\lambda, g)) = \overline{g}\overline{\lambda}\). It is a group map, because \(\overline{g}\) and \(\overline{\lambda}\) commute, the assignment \(g \mapsto \overline{g}\) is a group one for all \(g \in G(B), \lambda \in G(B^*)\), and the actions \(\triangleright\) and \(<\) are trivial.

Consider the group \(S(B) = \{(\lambda, g) \in G(D(B)) \mid \overline{g} = \overline{\lambda}\}\). In the following lemma we will identify \(D(B)^* = (B^{op})^* \rtimes B^* \cong B^{op} \rtimes B^*\), and henceforth we will consider \(G(D(B)^*) = G(B) \times G(B^*)\), that is: \(\text{Alg}(D(B), I) = \text{Alg}(B^*, I) \times \text{Alg}(B, I)\) as sets.

**Lemma 3.5** For \(g \in G(B), \lambda \in G(B^*)\) the following are equivalent:

(i) \(g \triangleright\lambda \in G(D(B)^*)\);

(ii)\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{B & B^* \\
\lambda & }
\end{array}
\]

(iii)\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{B & \lambda \\
\lambda & B}
\end{array}
\]

(iv) \((\lambda, g) \in S(B)\).

Consequently, \(S(B) \cong G(D(B)^*)\).

**Proof.** We consider \(g^* \in \text{Alg}(B^*, I)\) and \(\lambda \in \text{Alg}(B, I)\). Then clearly (i) is equivalent to \(\ast\) in:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{B & B^* \\
\lambda & \}
\end{array}
\]

**Remark.**
By (3.29), (ii) is equivalent to ⋆ in:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & B' &  \\
\downarrow & \downarrow &  \\
\text{(3.29)} & \text{(3.29)} & \\
\end{array}
\]

By the universal property of \(ev_{B^*}\) this is equivalent to:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I & B &  \\
\downarrow & \downarrow &  \\
\text{(3.35)} & \text{(3.36)} & \\
\end{array}
\]

Composing to this: \((B \otimes –)(\Phi_{I,B} \otimes B)\Phi_{B,B} \Delta_B\) from above and then \(\nabla_B\) from below – which is an invertible process – we get that it is equivalent to (3.35), which by the (co)associativity and the antipode rule (use also that \(\Phi_{B,B}\) is symmetric) is further equivalent to (3.36):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I & B &  \\
\downarrow & \downarrow &  \\
(3.35) & (3.36) & \\
\end{array}
\]

“Multiply” (3.36) from the left by \(g^{-1}\), that is, compose it from below by \(\nabla_B(g^{-1} \otimes –)\) – it is equivalent to:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B &  \\
\downarrow &  \\
\text{(3.36)} & \\
\end{array}
\]
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Finally, “multiply” this by $\lambda^{-1}$ from the left, i.e. compose it from above with $(\lambda^{-1} \otimes -)\Delta_B$ and observe that $\overline{\lambda} = \overline{g}$.

**Remark 3.6** We defined the morphism $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ in (3.26), and consequently the subgroup $S(B)$ of $G(D(B))$, differently than in [24]. Our version of the map is a group one (and not an anti-group map) and consequently so is $\Theta$ in (3.34).

## 4 The quantum automorphism group and the quantum Brauer group

In this section we will define a group morphism $\Pi : \text{Aut}(C; B) \to \text{BQ}(C; B)$. It will send an automorphism $\alpha$ of $B$ in $C$ to the class of $\text{End}(B_{\alpha^{-1}})$ in the quantum Brauer group of $B$ in $C$. Here $B_{\alpha} = B$ as an object in $C$ with the $B$-module and comodule structures twisted by $\alpha$. As a matter of fact, we will prove that $[B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}]$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$ for any closed braided monoidal category $\mathcal{E}$, a faithfully projective Hopf algebra $B \in \mathcal{E}$ and its arbitrary automorphism $\alpha$. The great deal of the construction of the map $\Pi$ we will perform in $\mathcal{E}$ making use of braided diagrams. We will suppose that $\Phi_{B,B}$ is symmetric.

Using the latter symmetricity assumption, one may prove that (4.37) defines an action on $B_{\alpha}$. It is easily seen that (4.38) makes $B_{\alpha}$ a left $B$-comodule.

\begin{equation}
B_{\alpha} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
B
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

(4.37)

\begin{equation}
B_{\alpha} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
B
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

(4.38)

Equipped like this, $B_{\alpha}$ is almost a Yetter-Drinfel’d module. Namely, using that $\Phi_{B,B}$ is symmetric, one proves that $B_{\alpha}$ satisfies (4.39). A similar identity we have for $B_{\alpha}^*$, (4.40). The proof of the latter is somewhat involved, one inserts twice the antipode identity at appropriate places, and uses the fact that $\Phi_{B,B}^*$ is symmetric.

\begin{equation}
B_{\alpha} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
B
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

(4.39)

\begin{equation}
B_{\alpha}^* \quad = \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
B
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{equation}

(4.40)

Even though $B_{\alpha}$ is not a Yetter-Drinfel’d module, its inner hom-object is. One proves this identifying $[B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}] \cong B_{\alpha} \otimes B_{\alpha}^*$ and applying (4.39) and (4.40). Thus $[B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}]$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{E})$.
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4.1 Two Azumaya algebras in $\mathcal{E}$

In this subsection we will prove that $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \otimes [B_\alpha, B_\alpha]$ and $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \otimes [B_\alpha, B_\alpha]$ are Azumaya algebras in $\mathcal{E}$. This will be used in the construction of the announced group map.

Let $B'_\alpha = B_\alpha$ as a left $B$-module in $\mathcal{E}$ with a left $B$-comodule structure given by

$$B'_\alpha \otimes B'_\alpha = B'_\alpha \otimes B'_\alpha$$

(4.41)

Here $\alpha^{-1}$ stands for $\alpha^{-1}$. Note that (4.41) and (4.39) yield (4.42). Similarly, from (4.41) and the proof of (4.40) one obtains (4.43).

We will prove that $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \otimes [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \cong [B'_\alpha \otimes B'_\alpha, B_\alpha \otimes B'_\alpha]$ and $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \otimes [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \cong [B'_\alpha \otimes B_\alpha, B'_\alpha \otimes B_\alpha]$ as algebras in $B_BYD(\mathcal{E})$. To do this we will construct three algebra isomorphisms in $B_BYD(\mathcal{E})$.

**Lemma 4.1** Let $\theta : B \to [B_\alpha, B_\alpha]$ be the algebra morphism from (2.13) and suppose that $\Phi_{B,B}$ and $\Phi_{B,E}$ are symmetric for all inner-hom objects $E \in B_YD(\mathcal{E})$. The morphism

$$\zeta : [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \to [B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op}$$

defined via

$$\zeta = \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
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Proof. We only sketch the proof. One proves that $\zeta$ is an $H$-module and $H$-comodule algebra morphism. Similarly, one proves that the morphism

$$\xi : [B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op} \to [B_\alpha, B_\alpha]$$

defined by

is $B$-colinear. Then it is easy to see that $\zeta$ and $\xi$ are inverse to each other. Since $\zeta$ is a $B$-linear and $B$-colinear algebra isomorphism and we know that $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha]$ and hence $[B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]$ is a Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebra, it follows that $[B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op}$ is a Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebra, too.

\[\square\]

Lemma 4.2 It is:

$$[B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op} \cong [B'^*_\alpha, B'^*_\alpha]$$

as Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebras.

Proof. By the parts 3) and 2) of [23] Corollary 2.2 we have that $[B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op} \cong [B'^*_\alpha, B'^*_\alpha]$ as algebras in $\mathcal{E}$. The proof of this relies on the canonical Morita contexts in $\mathcal{E}$. Though, with the structures (2.15) and (2.18) we have that the canonical Morita contexts are indeed contexts in $B^*\mathcal{E}$ and $B\mathcal{E}$, so that $[B'_\alpha, B'_\alpha]^{op} \cong [B'^*_\alpha, B'^*_\alpha]$ as $B$-module and comodule algebras, hence as Yetter-Drinfel’d module algebras.

\[\square\]

Lemma 4.3 Let $M$ be a faithfully projective left $B$-module and a left $B$-comodule in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $[M, M]$ is an algebra in $B^*_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and let $N$ be $B_\alpha$ or $B'^*_\alpha$. Assume that $\Phi_{B,B}$ and $\Phi_{B,E}$ are symmetric for all inner-hom objects $E \in B^*_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Then there is an algebra isomorphism

$$[M, M] \otimes [N, N] \cong [M \otimes N, M \otimes N]$$
in $B^*_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Let $\omega_{M,N} : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [M \otimes N, M \otimes N]$ be the algebra isomorphism (2.16). Let $\omega^1 : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [M \otimes N, M \otimes N]$ denote the corresponding algebra isomorphism in $B^*_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, given by $\ev_{[M, M] \otimes [N, N]}(\omega^1) = (\ev_{[M, M]} \otimes \ev_{[N, N]})([M, M] \otimes \Psi_{[N, N], [M, M] \otimes N})$, where $\Psi$ is the braiding in $B^*_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Furthermore, consider the (iso)morphism $\varpi : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [M \otimes N, M \otimes N]$ formally equal to $\omega^1$. Note that the compatibility of both $\varpi$ and $\omega^1$ with multiplication means one and the same thing, while their compatibilities with left $B$-module and comodule structures comprehend different identities. This is own to different $B$-module and comodule structures of $M \otimes N$ and $M \otimes N$, and hence of their corresponding inner-hom objects. Using (4.39) and (4.43) and that $\Phi_{B,[N, N]}$ is symmetric, one may prove that $\varpi$ is left $B$-colinear, and thus a $B$-comodule algebra isomorphism. It is not $B$-linear, however, we will construct a new $B$-linear algebra isomorphism $\hat{\sigma} : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [N \otimes M, N \otimes M]$ so that the $B$-action on $[N \otimes M, N \otimes M]$ pulled along $\varpi \hat{\sigma}^{-1}$ provides $[M \otimes N, M \otimes N]$ with a new $B$-action with respect to which $\varpi$ becomes a $B$-module morphism.

By the assumption the $B$-action on $[M, M]$ is strongly inner and so far we know that so is the $B$-action on $[N, N]$, for $N$ being both $B_\alpha$ and $B'^*_\alpha$. Let $\theta_M, \theta_N$ and $\theta_{M \otimes N}$ be the
corresponding algebra morphisms. Now consider \( \sigma : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [N, N] \otimes [M, M] \) defined by:

\[
\sigma = E_M E_N \quad \text{with the inverse} \quad \sigma^{-1} = E_N E_M
\]

Here \( E_M = [M, M] \) and \( E_N = [N, N] \). This is shown to be an algebra morphism without any symmetricity assumptions on the braiding. Then \( \tilde{\sigma} := \omega_{N,M} \sigma : [M, M] \otimes [N, N] \to [N \otimes M, N \otimes M] \) is an algebra morphism, too. One proves that \( \tilde{\sigma} \) is \( B \)-linear using \( (2.17) \) and that \( \Phi_{E_M, B} \) is symmetric. Then the \( B \)-action on \( [M \otimes N, M \otimes N] \) becomes strongly inner via the algebra morphism \( \omega \sigma^{-1} \theta_{N \otimes M} : B \to [M \otimes N, M \otimes N] \). With this new action \( \omega \) becomes \( B \)-linear by the construction. Thus it is a \( B \)-module and comodule algebra isomorphism which makes \( [M \otimes N, M \otimes N] \) a Yetter-Drinfel’d module.

4.2 A group map to the quantum Brauer group

Let \( \alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}; B) \). We define \( K = B_\alpha \otimes B_\beta \) and \( L = K \otimes B_\gamma \), with \( \gamma = (\beta \alpha)^{-1} \), both with the usual left \( B \)-comodule structures, whereas their structures of left \( B \)-modules are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
B \otimes B_\alpha \otimes B_\beta & \quad \text{and} \quad B \otimes K \otimes B_\gamma
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. Then, inserting the antipode rule at an appropriate place and using that \( \Phi_{B,B} \) is symmetric, one may show that \( K \) obeys:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
B \otimes K \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
K \otimes B_\gamma
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

As a byproduct of the proof of (4.45) one obtains an identity which changing \( \alpha \) to \( \gamma^{-1} \) and \( \beta \) to \( \gamma \) yields that \( L \) is a Yetter-Drinfel’d module. This means that \( [L, L] \) represents the unit in the quantum Brauer group \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) \). Similarly, putting \( \beta = \alpha^{-1} \) we conclude from (4.45) that \( B_\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha^{-1}} \) is a Yetter-Drinfel’d module, hence \([B_\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha^{-1}}, B_\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha^{-1}}]\)
represents the unit in \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) \) as well. Once we prove that \([B_a, B_a] \) is an Azumaya algebra in \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) \) for any \( \alpha \), by Lemma 4.3 we could draw the following conclusions on the classes in \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{E}; B) \):

\[
[B_a, B_a] = [B_a \otimes B_a^{-1}, B_a \otimes B_a^{-1}] \Rightarrow [[B_{a^{-1}}, B_{a^{-1}}]] = [[B_a, B_a]]^{-1}
\]

\[
[K, K] = [K \otimes B_a, B_a] = [L, L] \Rightarrow [[K, K]] = [[B_{\gamma}, B_{\gamma}]]^{-1} = [[B_{\gamma^{-1}}, B_{\gamma^{-1}}]].
\]

Note that the last identity because of the isomorphism \([B_a, B_a] \otimes [B_{\beta}, B_{\beta}] \approx [B_a \otimes B_{\beta}, B_a \otimes B_{\beta}] \) yields:

\[
[[B_a, B_a]] \cdot [[B_{\beta}, B_{\beta}]] = [[B_{\beta a}, B_{\beta a}]]. 
\] (4.46)

When we applied Lemma 4.3, note that we used that \( \Phi_{B_a, M} \) is symmetric for \( M \) being \( B_a \) and \( B_a \otimes B_{\beta} \) for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}; B) \). Identifying \([M, M] \approx M \otimes M^* \) and having in mind the braiding axiom \( \Phi_{B_a, \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C} \otimes \Phi_{B, \mathcal{C}})(\Phi_{B_a, \mathcal{C}}) \), observe that it suffices to assume that \( \Phi_{B,a} \) (and \( \Phi_{B,a} \)) is symmetric.

Let us now interpret the above results for \( C = \frac{H}{H} \mathcal{Y}D \), assuming that \( \Phi_{B,a} \) is symmetric. Let \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}; B) \) and denote \( E_a = [B_a, B_a] = \text{End}(B_a) \). We saw that it is an algebra in \( \frac{H}{H} \mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{C}) \approx \frac{B \times H}{B \times H} \mathcal{Y}D \).

**Proposition 4.4** With assumptions as above the algebra \( E_a = [B_a, B_a] \) is an Azumaya algebra in \( \frac{B \times H}{B \times H} \mathcal{Y}D \).

**Proof.** Consider the Azumaya defining morphism \( F : E_a \otimes E_a \rightarrow \text{End}(E_a) \) in \( \frac{B \times H}{B \times H} \mathcal{Y}D \). If \( \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \text{Ker} F \), then for all \( c \in E_a \) we have: \( \sum_i a_i c_{[0]}(S_{B \times H} c_{[-1]} b_i) = 0 \).

Then in particular for \( c = 1_{E_a} \) we find: \( \sum_i a_i b_i = 0 \). From Subsection 4.1 we know that \( E_a \otimes E_a \) is isomorphic to an endomorphism ring in \( \mathcal{C} \), hence it is simple. Thus if \( \text{Ker} F \neq \{0\} \) then \( \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \) can be arbitrary. Taking \( \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i = a \otimes 1 \) for some \( a \neq 0 \) we get \( a = 0 \), contradiction. Hence \( F \) is injective and since \( E_a \otimes E_a \) and \( \text{End}(E_a) \) have equal dimensions, it is an isomorphism. Similarly, one proves that \( \overline{F} \) is an isomorphism, hence \( E_a \) is an Azumaya algebra in \( \frac{B \times H}{B \times H} \mathcal{Y}D \).

Now the identity (4.46) implies:

**Proposition 4.5** The map

\[
\Pi : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}; B) \rightarrow \text{BQ}(\mathcal{C}; B), \quad \Pi(\alpha) = [\text{End}(B_{a^{-1}})]
\] (4.47)

is a group map.

Before proving our main theorem, let us next show that there is an inner action of \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}; B) \) on \( \text{BQ}(\mathcal{C}; B) \). Let us go back for a moment to our general monoidal category \( \mathcal{E} \) and a Hopf algebra \( B \in \mathcal{E} \). Let \( A \) be an Azumaya algebra in \( \frac{H}{H} \mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \) and take \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}; B) \). We define \( A(\alpha) := A \) as an algebra with the \( B \)-(co)module structures given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
B A(\alpha) \\
A(\alpha) \\
A(\alpha)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
B A(\alpha) \\
A(\alpha) \\
B A(\alpha)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Then it is easily seen that \( A(\alpha) \) is an algebra in \( \frac{B}{B} \mathcal{Y}D(\mathcal{E}) \).
Proposition 4.6 For any Azumaya algebra $A$ in $B\gamma D(E)$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(E; B)$ we have $A \bullet [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \cong [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \circ A(\alpha^{-1})$ as algebras in $B\gamma D(E)$ if $\Phi_{B,B}$ and $\Phi_{B,A}$ are symmetric.

Proof. As before, we identify $[B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \cong B_\alpha \circ B_\alpha^*$. Then one may prove that the morphism $\varphi : A \circ [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \to [B_\alpha, B_\alpha] \circ A(\alpha^{-1})$ given by:

![Diagram](image)

is left $B$-linear and colinear and an algebra morphism.

In the case of $E = C$ we may claim the following:

Lemma 4.7 Let $A$ and $A'$ be two Azumaya algebras in $C$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(C; B)$. Then $A(\alpha)$ is an Azumaya algebra in $C$ and $A(\alpha) \circ A'(\alpha) \cong (A \circ A')(\alpha)$ as algebras in $C$.

Proof. The first part is proved similarly as we proved lately that $E_\alpha = \text{End}(B_\alpha)$ is an Azumaya algebra in $B\gamma H \gamma D$ as $\alpha$ preserves the unit. The proof of the second part is straightforward and easy.

Corollary 4.8 Assume that $\Phi_{B,B}$ and $\Phi_{B,A}$ are symmetric for all Azumaya algebras $A$ in $B\gamma D(C)$. Then there is an inner action

$$BQ(C; B) \otimes \text{Aut}(C; B) \to BQ(C; B), \quad ([A], \alpha) \mapsto [A(\alpha)]$$

given by (4.48).

Proof. The definition of the action makes sense by the first part of the above lemma. Observe that $[A(\alpha)] = [\overline{A}(\alpha)]$ in $BQ(C; B)$, since the module structure (twisted by $\alpha$) and the algebra structure are independent. Then if $A \sim A'$, it is $1 = [(A \circ \overline{A})(\alpha)] = [A(\alpha)] [\overline{A}(\alpha)] = [A(\alpha)] [A'(\alpha)]^{-1}$, which implies $A(\alpha) \sim A'(\alpha)$, by the second part of the above lemma. The properties of the action are checked straightforwardly. Recall that it is $[E_\alpha]^{-1} = [E_\alpha^{-1}]$ in $B\gamma D(C)$. Then Proposition 4.6 interpreted for $E = C$ yields that $[E_\alpha] A [E_\alpha]^{-1} = [A(\alpha)]$ in $BQ(C; B)$.

5 A sequence for the quantum Brauer group

In this section the braided monoidal category $E$ from the previous pages is replaced by $C$. Whenever two strings cross each other in the braided diagrams in the next proves, it
represents the braiding $\Phi_{B,A}$. In the next proof we will identify the groups $BQ(C; B)$ and $BM(C; D(B))$. This imposes a symmetry condition on the braiding, as we commented before Corollary 2.3. Even without this identification $\Phi_{B,A}$ has to be symmetric for all algebras $A \in B(YD(C)$ in order that $A$ be an algebra there, as we stressed in the paragraph after (2.21). Our main result is:

**Theorem 5.1** Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra over a field with a bijective antipode and set $C = H YD$. Suppose $B$ is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra in $C$ so that $\Phi_{B,M}$ is symmetric for all $M \in C$. There is an exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \xrightarrow{} G(D(B)^*) \xrightarrow{} G(D(B)) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{Aut}(C; B) \xrightarrow{\Pi} BQ(C; B). \quad (5.49)$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.5 and the definition of $S(B)$ we have that $G(D(B)^*)$ is a subgroup of $G(D(B))$ and that the sequence is exact at $G(D(B))$. Suppose that $\alpha^{-1} \in \ker \Pi$, that is $[E_\alpha] = 1$ in $BM(C; D(B))$. Due to Corollary 2.3 the $D(B)$-action on $E_\alpha$ is strongly inner, let $\theta : D(B) \to E_\alpha$ be the corresponding algebra morphism in $C$. Denote by $\theta_B$ the restriction of $\theta$ to $B$, that is $\theta_B = (B \xrightarrow{\eta_B \otimes B} D(B) \xrightarrow{\theta} E_\alpha)$. The $B$-action on $E_\alpha$ induced by $\theta_B$ via (2.13) induces a new $B$-action $\mu$ on $E_\alpha$ given by (2.14):

Note that here $S$ stands for the antipode on $D(B)$. So, this newly induced $B$-action on $E_\alpha$ coincides with the original one. By Lemma 3.1 there is an algebra morphism $\lambda : B \to I$ such that:

$$B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\mu} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\lambda} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\theta_B} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\eta_B \otimes B}_{\Theta} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\mu} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\lambda} B E_\alpha \xrightarrow{\theta_B} B E_\alpha. \quad (5.50)$$

Symmetrically, let $\theta_{B^*}$ be the restriction of $\theta$ to $B^*$, that is $\theta_{B^*} = (B^* \xrightarrow{B^* \otimes \eta_B} D(B) \xrightarrow{\theta} E_\alpha)$. The same way as above, we have that the newly obtained $B^*$-action on $B_\alpha$ via $\theta_{B^*}$ induces the same $B^*$-action on $E_\alpha$ as the original one (the restriction of the $D(B)$-action on $E_\alpha$, which is induced by the $B^*$-action on $B_\alpha$ that comes form the left $B$-coaction on $B_\alpha$. 23
In this way we get that there is an algebra morphism $g^* : B^* \to I$ such that:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(B^{op})^* \ B_\alpha & \to & B^* \ B_\alpha \\
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]

In the second equality above we used the fact that $(B^{op})^* \cong (B^*)^{cop}$ as coalgebras, [10 Remark 4.5], and that $\Phi_{B^*,B^*}$ is symmetric. Using (3.29) one may compute the identity:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B^* \ B \ \\
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]

Let us now act with $D(B)$ on $B_\alpha$ via the $B^*$- and $B$-actions (5.50) and (5.51), recall (3.32). Because of the above identity we get:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]

Acting on both sides above by $\varepsilon$ we obtain:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\oplus \\
\oplus \\
\end{array}
& \to & \\
\end{array}
\]
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The second and the last diagram in the above computation by the universal property of $ev_{B^*}$ yield (5.52), which applied to $B \otimes \eta_B$ yields (5.53):

Composing $(- \otimes B)\Phi_{B,B} \Delta_B$ to (5.53) from above and then $\nabla_B$ from below, by the (co)associativity and the antipode rule we end up with:

This finally implies:

proving that $\alpha^{-1} = g(\lambda)^{-1} = \Theta(\lambda^{-1}, g)$, i.e. $\alpha^{-1} \in \text{Im } \Theta$.

The final step in the proof is to show that $\text{Im } \Theta \subseteq \text{Ker } \Pi$. Take $\alpha^{-1} \in \text{Im } \Theta$, where $\alpha = (g^*)^{-1} \lambda$ for $g^* \in \text{Alg}(B^*, I)$ and $\lambda \in \text{Alg}(B, I)$. We will prove that the $D(B)$-action on $E_\alpha$ is strongly inner. By Corollary 2.3 this will finish the proof. We give a new $B$-action $\mu$ on $B_\alpha$ given by the second diagram in (5.50) and a new $B^*$-action $\nu$ on $B_\alpha$ given by the second diagram in (5.51). They indeed define actions, since $g^*$ and $\lambda$ are algebra morphisms. After a long computation which we omit for practical reasons one may check that the identity (3.33) holds, i.e. these actions make $B_\alpha$ a $D(B)$-module. We further define maps $\theta_B : B \to E_\alpha$ and $\theta_{B^*} : B^* \to E_\alpha$ by $ev_{[B_\alpha, B_\alpha]}(\theta_B \otimes B_\alpha) = \mu$ and $ev_{[B_\alpha, B_\alpha]}(\theta_{B^*} \otimes B_\alpha) = \nu$, respectively. Since $\mu$ and $\nu$ are actions, the morphisms $\theta_B$ and $\theta_{B^*}$ are algebra morphisms. Now $\beta : D(B) \to E_\alpha$ defined via $\beta = \nabla_{E_\alpha}(\theta_{B^*} \otimes \theta_B)$ is an
algebra morphism, because the actions \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) make \( B_\alpha \) a \( D(B) \)-module:

\[
\begin{align*}
(B'^\ast) \ast B \ast B \ast B_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\theta_{B^\ast} \circ \theta_B} B_\alpha \\
\n
\end{align*}
\]

Then there is a strongly inner action of \( D(B) \) on \( E_\alpha \), Lemma 2.2, 1). Finally, this action coincides with the original one, induced by the original \( B \)- and \( B^\ast \)-action (i.e. left \( B \)-coaction) on \( B_\alpha \). Indeed, we defined \( \theta_B \) (resp. \( \theta_{B^\ast} \)) so that the \( B \)-action \( \mu \) (resp. \( B^\ast \)-action \( \nu \)) on \( B_\alpha \) defers from the original one by a group-like \( \lambda \) (resp. \( g^\ast \)), see (3.25), and apply Lemma 3.1.

**Remark 5.2** In the above theorem, if both \( H \) and \( B \) have bijective antipodes, the isomorphism of braided monoidal categories (2.24) yields the group isomorphism \( BQ(C; B) \cong BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \). Though, for the automorphism group a similar claim is not true in general. The relation between \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \) and \( \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H) \) will be illustrated by an example in Subsection 6.2.

For (co)commutative Hopf algebras \( B \) in \( C \) a part of the symmetricity condition in Theorem 5.1 is fulfilled, so these may serve as candidates for the application of our theorem:

**Proposition 5.3** [19] If \( B \) is a commutative or cocommutative Hopf algebra in any braided monoidal category \( \mathcal{E} \) with braiding \( \Phi \), then \( \Phi_{B,B} = \Phi_{B,B}^{-1} \).

For \( B \) which is both commutative and cocommutative, Theorem 5.1 generalizes Deegan-Caenepeel’s embedding result to the case of a braided Hopf algebra, [4, Theorem 4.1]. Before seeing this we recall that the left and the right center of an algebra are a priori two different objects, but in a symmetric monoidal category they coincide, [11, Proposition 3.7]. Though, a group-like \( \lambda \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B) \) on a Hopf algebra \( B \) in any braided monoidal category \( \mathcal{E} \) factors through the left center \( Z_l(B) \) of \( B \) if and only if it factors through the right center \( Z_r(B) \) of \( B \). This is so, because the domain of \( \lambda \) is \( I \), so by naturality we have: \( \Phi_{B,B}(\lambda \otimes B) = B \otimes \lambda = \Phi_{B,B}^{-1}(\lambda \otimes B) \).
Corollary 5.4 The assumptions are like in Theorem 5.1. Moreover, suppose that \( G(B) = \text{Co-Alg}(I, Z(B)) \) and \( G(B^*) = \text{Co-Alg}(I, Z(B^*)) \), i.e. that the group-likes on \( B \) and \( B^* \) factor through the coalgebra morphisms \( I \rightarrow Z(B) \) and \( I \rightarrow Z(B^*) \) to the left/right center of \( B \) and \( B^* \), respectively. Then the map \( \Pi : \text{Aut}(C; B) \rightarrow \text{BQ}(C; B) \) is injective.

Consequently, for \( B \) commutative and cocommutative \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \) can be embedded into the Brauer-Long group \( \text{BD}(C; B) \).

Proof. Let \( g \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B) \) and \( \lambda^* \in \text{Co-Alg}(I, B^*) \) be arbitrary. The condition that \( g \) and \( \lambda^* \) factor through the right center of \( B \) and left center of \( B^* \), respectively, mean that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (I) at (0,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$I$};
\node (B) at (1,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$B$};
\node (Z) at (2,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$Z(B)$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (I) at (0,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$I$};
\node (B) at (1,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$B$};
\node (Z) at (2,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$Z(B^*)$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

This yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (I) at (0,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$I$};
\node (B) at (1,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$B$};
\node (Z) at (2,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$Z(B)$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
& \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (I) at (0,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$I$};
\node (B) at (1,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$B$};
\node (Z) at (2,0) [shape = circle, draw = black] {$Z(B^*)$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

which by Lemma 3.5 means that \( g \bowtie \lambda \in G(D(B^*)) \). Then due to Proposition 3.1 it follows: \( G(D(B)) = G(D(B^*)) \). From the exactness of the sequence in Theorem 5.1 we conclude that \( \Theta \) is a trivial map, hence \( \Pi \) is injective. The final statement follows from the fact that for a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra \( B \) in \( C \) the category \( \frac{B}{B} \text{YD}(C) \) coincides with that of \( B \)-dimodules in \( C \). \qed

6 Examples

In \[24\] there was constructed an exact sequence of the form of (5.49) where instead of \( C \) the category \( R \text{M} \) of modules over a commutative ring \( R \) was considered. Our sequence enables one to regard braided Hopf algebras, in particular those arising from a Radford biproduct \( B \rtimes H \), where \( H \) is a Hopf algebra over a field and \( B \) is a Hopf algebra in the category of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules over \( H \). In this section we treat some examples of this sort.

6.1 A family of examples

In \[8\] we studied the Brauer group \( BM(E; B) \) of the braided monoidal category \( B \mathcal{E} \) of left modules over a Hopf algebra \( B \) in \( \mathcal{E} \), where \( \mathcal{E} \) is a braided monoidal category. We computed this group for the family of Hopf algebras studied in \[15\] Section 4 and defined as follows. Let \( n, m \) be natural numbers, \( k \) a field such that \( \text{char}(k) \nmid 2m \) and \( \omega \) a 2\( m \)-th primitive root of unity. For \( i = 1, ..., n \) choose \( 1 \leq d_i < 2m \) odd numbers and set \( d_{\leq n} = (d_1, ..., d_n) \). Then

\[
H(m, n, d_{\leq n}) = k\langle g, x_1, ..., x_n | g^{2m} = 1, x_i^2 = 0, gx_i = \omega^{d_i}x_i g, x_i x_j = -x_j x_i \rangle
\]
is a Hopf algebra, where $g$ is group-like and $x_i$ is a $(g^m, 1)$-primitive element, that is, $\Delta(x_i) = 1 \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes g^m$ and $\varepsilon(x_i) = 0$. The antipode is given by $S(g) = g^{-1}$ and $S(x_i) = -x_i g^m$. We proved that $H(m, n, d^{\leq n})$ decomposes as the Radford biproduct:

$$H(m, n, d^{\leq n}) \cong B \times H(m, n - 1, d^{\leq n-1})$$

(6.54)

where the braided Hopf algebra is the exterior algebra $B = K[x_n]/(x_n^2)$. The isomorphism is given by $G \mapsto 1 \times g, X_i \mapsto 1 \times x_i, X_n \mapsto x_n \times g^m$. We have that $B$ is a module over $H = H(m, n - 1, d^{\leq n-1})$ by the action $g \cdot x_n = \omega^d x_n$ and $x_i \cdot x_n = 0$ for $i = 1, ..., n - 1$. It becomes a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{H}$ with $x_n$ being a primitive element, i.e., $\Delta_B(x_n) = 1 \otimes x_n + x_n \otimes 1$, $\varepsilon_B(x_n) = 0$ and $S_B(x_n) = -x_n$. The Hopf algebra $H(m, n, d^{\leq n})$ is quasi-triangular with the family of quasi-triangular structures $\mathcal{K}$ (6.4) on p. 69:

$$\mathcal{R}_s^n = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^j \otimes g^{st} \right)$$

(6.55)

where $0 \leq s < 2m$ is such that $sd_i \equiv m \mod 2m$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_s^n$ is triangular if and only if $s = m$. As it is well known (12), every left $H$-module $M$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^H\mathcal{Y}D$ with the coaction

$$\lambda(m) = \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{(1)} m, \quad m \in M$$

(6.56)

- we denote here $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_s^{n-1}$ for brevity - and $(\mathcal{H}, M, \Phi_\mathcal{R})$ can be seen as a braided monoidal subcategory of $(\mathcal{H}^H\mathcal{Y}D, \Phi)$. Here $\Phi$ is given by (2.5), whereas $\Phi_\mathcal{R}$ and its inverse are given by:

$$\Phi_\mathcal{R}(m \otimes n) = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} n \otimes S^{-1}(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}) m; \quad \Phi_\mathcal{R}^{-1}(m \otimes n) = \mathcal{R}^{(2)} n \otimes \mathcal{R}^{(1)} m.$$ 

(6.57)

Thus $B$ becomes a Hopf algebra in $(\mathcal{H}^H\mathcal{Y}D, \Phi)$. The above braided embedding of categories induces a group embedding $BM(k; H) \hookrightarrow BQ(k; H)$.

**Remark 6.1** Note that in this paper we used $\Phi$ as in (2.5) and (2.21), which usually is the inverse of the braiding. As Schauenburg observed in (19), if $(B, \nabla, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra in $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$, then $(B, \nabla \Phi^{-1}, \Delta)$, and similarly $(B, \nabla, \Phi^{-1} \Delta)$, is a Hopf algebra in $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi^{-1})$. So if $B$ is commutative or cocommutative in $\mathcal{E}$ (with whatever sign of the braiding), it is irrelevant which of the two braidings one takes.

We have that Corollary 5.4 applies to the described family of Hopf algebras. First of all let us prove that $\Phi_{B,M}$ is symmetric for any $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Take $m \in M$ and let us check if $\Phi(b \otimes m) = \Phi^{-1}(b \otimes m)$ (see (6.57) and (6.55)). For $b = 1$ the computation is easier, we do here the case $b = x_n$. We find:

$$\Phi_\mathcal{R}(x_n \otimes m) = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^j \cdot m \otimes S^{-1}(g^{st}) \cdot x_n \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^j \cdot m \otimes g^{st} \cdot x_n \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^j \cdot m \otimes \omega^{-st} x_n \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{2m-1} (\omega^{-(j+sd_n)t}) \cdot g^j \cdot m \right) \otimes x_n \right)$$

$$= g^{-sd_n} \cdot m \otimes x_n$$

28
(the sum in the bracket in the penultimate expression is different from 0 only for \( j = -sd_n \pmod{2m} \), when it equals 2m). Similarly, it is:

\[
\Phi_{R}^{-1}(x_n \otimes m) = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^{st} \cdot m \otimes g^{j} \cdot x_n \right) \\
= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt} g^{st} \cdot m \otimes \omega^{d_{2j} t} x_n \right) \\
= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{2m-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} (\omega^{d_{2j} t}) \right] g^{st} \cdot m \otimes x_n \right) \\
= g^{sd_n} m \otimes x_n.
\]

Recall that \( sd_i \equiv m \pmod{2m} \) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Hence \( g^{sd_n} = -1 \) and the two expressions we computed above are equal.

The group of group-likes of \( D(B) \) is isomorphic to \( G(B^*) \times G(B) \). Obviously, \( G(B) \) is trivial and it is easily seen that so is \( G(B^*) = \text{Alg}(B; k) \). In this case the sequence (5.49) collapses to the group embedding of the Hopf automorphism group of \( B \) in \( C \) into the Brauer-Long group of \( B \)-dimodule algebras in \( C \), \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \hookrightarrow \text{BD}(C; B) \). Any bialgebra automorphism \( f \) of \( B \) in \( C \) is given by \( f(1) = 1 \) and \( f(x_n) = \xi x_n \) for some \( \xi \in k^* \). Then we obtain a group embedding:

\[
k^* \cong \text{Aut}(C; B) \hookrightarrow \text{BD}(C; B). \tag{6.58}
\]

Moreover, since both \( H \) and \( B \) are finite dimensional and have bijective antipodes, we have braided monoidal isomorphism of categories \( D(B \times H), \mathcal{M} \cong \text{Alg}^{B \times H}_{B \times H} \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D} \) and Remark 5.2 applies, so that we get:

\[
\text{BD}(C; B) \cong \text{BQ}(C; B) \cong \text{BQ}(k; B \rtimes H) \cong \text{BM}(k; D(B \rtimes H)). \tag{6.59}
\]

In [24] Example 7] it was shown that \( k^*/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) embeds into the quantum Brauer group of the four-dimensional Sweedler’s Hopf algebra \( H_4 \). By our result \( k^* \) embeds into the quantum Brauer group of all the family of Radford biproducts presented above.

### 6.2 A larger subgroup of the quantum Brauer group

In Corollary 4.8 we proved that there is an action of \( \text{Aut}(C; B) \) on \( \text{BQ}(C; B) \) given by \( \mu : (A, \alpha) \mapsto [A(\alpha)] \) via (4.8), if \( \Phi_{B,B} \) and \( \Phi_{B,A} \) are symmetric for all Azumaya algebras \( A \) in \( \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{D}(C) \). Suppose we are given a subgroup \( \iota : Q \hookrightarrow \text{BD}(C; B) \) invariant under \( \mu \). Then the subgroup generated by \( Q \) and \( \Pi(\text{Aut}(C; B)) \) is \( (\iota \otimes \Pi)(Q \rtimes \text{Aut}(C; B)) \). Here \( \rtimes \) is the semi-direct product of groups. We want to study for which cases of \( B \rtimes H \) from Subsection 6.1 the Brauer group \( \text{BM}(C; B) \) is invariant under \( \mu \). Observe that the above symmetricity condition is satisfied. Since \( B \) is cocommutative, it is quasitriangular with the quasitriangular structure \( \mathcal{R}_B = 1_B \otimes 1_B \) (for the categorical definition of the quasitriangular structure see [13] Section 2.5], the result is obvious). Consequently, every \( B \)-module in \( C \) is a trivial \( B \)-comodule and a Yetter-Drinfel’d module over \( B \) in \( C \) (for this categorical version of the classically known fact see [2] Lemma 5.3.1], the formula for the comodule structure in \( C \) is a categorification of (5.36)). Hence we may consider the subgroup \( \text{BM}(C; B) \) of \( \text{BQ}(C; B) \). To examine the invariance of \( \text{BM}(C; B) \) under the
action $\mu$ we study when the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
BM(C; B) \times k^* & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BM(C; B) \\
\iota \times \text{id} & & \iota \\
BQ(C; B) \times k^* & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BQ(C; B)
\end{array}
\]

For any $\alpha \in k^*$ and $[A] \in BM(C; B)$ it is easily seen that $\iota([A(\alpha)])$ and $(\iota([A]))(\alpha)$ have the same $B$-actions. Their $B$-coactions are the same if and only if $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_B^{(2)}) \otimes \mathcal{R}_B^{(1)} a = \mathcal{R}_B^{(2)} \otimes \alpha(\mathcal{R}_B^{(1)} a)$ for all $a \in A$. By the form of $\mathcal{R}_B$ this is clearly fulfilled. Hence we have:

**Proposition 6.2** Let $B \rtimes H$ be as in Subsection 6.1. There is a subgroup

\[
BM(C; B) \times k^* \hookrightarrow BD(C; B) \cong BQ(k; B \rtimes H).
\]

The braided embedding of categories $\mu_M \hookrightarrow C$ induces a braided embedding $BM(HM) \cong_B (HM) \hookrightarrow_B C$. Then the Brauer group $BM(HM; B) \cong BM(k; B \rtimes H)$ that we studied in [8] is a subgroup of $BM(C; B)$. This implies: $BM(k; B \rtimes H) \times k^* \hookrightarrow BQ(k; B \rtimes H)$. Applying [8, Theorem 6.11] we obtain:

**Corollary 6.3** Let $B \rtimes H$ be as in Subsection 6.1. For each $j = 1, \ldots, n$ set $I_j = \{1 \leq i < j : d_i \equiv -d_j \pmod{2m}\}$. Let $r_j = |I_j|$ if $d_j \neq m$ and $r_j = |I_j| + 1$ otherwise. Then there is a subgroup:

\[
(BM(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2m}) \times (k, +)^{r_1 + \cdots + r_n}) \times k^* \hookrightarrow BQ(k; B \rtimes H).
\]

We may apply Corollary 4.8 also on another level. Namely, for $C = \text{Vec}$ - take $H$ to be trivial. Let us call this **base level**. The symmetricity conditions are trivially satisfied. Then we recover [6, Theorem 4.11] for the field case. We now want to study for which cases of $B \rtimes H$ from Subsection 6.1 the subgroup $BM(k; B \rtimes H)$ of $BQ(k; B \rtimes H)$ is invariant under $\mu$. For this purpose we analyse when the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
BM(k; B \rtimes H) \times \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BM(k; B \rtimes H) \\
\iota \times \text{id} & & \iota \\
BQ(k; B \rtimes H) \times \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BQ(k; B \rtimes H)
\end{array}
\]

Take $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H)$ and $[A] \in BM(k; B \rtimes H)$. As above, $\iota([A(\alpha)])$ and $(\iota([A]))(\alpha)$ have the same $B \rtimes H$-actions. We find that their $B \rtimes H$-coactions are the same if and only if $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_L^{(2)}) \otimes \mathcal{R}_L^{(1)} a = \mathcal{R}_L^{(2)} \otimes \alpha(\mathcal{R}_L^{(1)} a)$ for all $a \in A$, where $L = B \rtimes H$.

In (6.54) the quasitriangular structure $\mathcal{R}_s^{n-1}$ extends from $H$ to $H(m, n, d \leq n)$. The extension is given by $\mathcal{R}_L = (\kappa \otimes \kappa)\mathcal{R}_s^{n-1}$, which lies in $(B \rtimes H) \otimes (B \rtimes H)$, here $\kappa : H \to H(m, n, d \leq n)$ is the Hopf algebra embedding. Then for $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H)$ the upper rectangular commutes if and only if $\alpha^{-1}(1_B \otimes \mathcal{R}_H^{(2)} \otimes (1_B \otimes \mathcal{R}_H^{(1)} a = (1_B \otimes \mathcal{R}_H^{(2)} \otimes \alpha(1_B \otimes \mathcal{R}_H^{(1)} a$ for all $a \in A$. By (6.55) this is fulfilled if and only if:

\[
\sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt}\alpha^{-1}(1_B \otimes g^{st}) \otimes (1_B \otimes g^j)a = \sum_{j,t=0}^{2m-1} \omega^{-jt}(1_B \otimes g^{st}) \otimes \alpha(1_B \otimes g^j)a. \tag{6.60}
\]
Lemma 6.4 Let $H(m, n, d^{≤n}) \cong B \rtimes H(m, n - 1, d^{≤n-1})$ be the Hopf algebra described in (6.34) and set $H = H(m, n - 1, d^{≤n-1})$. Then

$$\text{BM}(k; B \rtimes H) \rtimes \Pi(\text{Aut}(B \rtimes H))$$

is a subgroup of $\text{BQ}(k; B \rtimes H)$ if (6.60) is fulfilled for every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(B \rtimes H)$ and $a \in A$, where $A$ is any $B \rtimes H$-module Azumaya algebra.

Consider the Hopf algebras $H(m, n, m^{≤n})$ with $d_1 = \ldots = d_n = m$ and denote them by $H(m, n)$. From [18 Proposition 11] we know that $\text{Aut}(H(m, n)) \cong GL_n(k)$ if $m > 1$ or $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Every $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H(m, n))$ is identified with $\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $GL_n(k)$ and it is $\alpha(g) = g$. Then (6.60) is fulfilled. On the other hand, for a consequence of [8 Theorem 6.11] we have:

$$\text{BM}(k; H(m, n)) \cong \text{BM}(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2m}) \times \left( k^* \right)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$  

Moreover, in [24 Example 8], the base level of the sequence (5.49) is presented for $H(m, n)$. There it is computed: $G(D(H(m, n))) \cong U_{2m} \rtimes U_{2m}$ and $G(D(H(m, n))^{\ast \ast}) \cong U_{2m}$, where $U_{2m}$ denotes the group of $2m$-th roots of unity. Note that $\Pi(\text{Aut}(L))$ is the quotient group of $\text{Aut}(L)$ modulo $G(D(L))/G(D(L))^{\ast \ast}$ for $L = H(m, n)$. Then by Lemma 6.4 we have:

Proposition 6.5 There is a subgroup

$$(\text{BM}(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2m}) \times \left( k^* \right)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rtimes GL_n(k)/U_{2m} \hookrightarrow \text{BQ}(k; H(m, n)).$$

This improves the result of Corollary 6.3 for the subfamily $H(m, n)$ of $H(m, n, d^{≤n}) \cong B \rtimes H(m, n - 1, d^{≤n-1})$. As particular instances of $H(m, n)$ one obtains Sweedler’s Hopf algebra $H_4 = H(1, 1)$, Radford Hopf algebra $H_m = H(m, 1)$ and Nichols Hopf algebra $E(n) = H(1, n)$, being:

$$H_4 = k\langle g, x | g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, gx = -xg \rangle, \quad H_m = k\langle g, x | g^{2m} = 1, x^2 = 0, gx = -xg \rangle$$

$$E(n) = k\langle g, x_1, \ldots, x_n | g^2 = 1, x_i^2 = 0, gx_i = -x_i g, x_i x_j = -x_j x_i \rangle \quad (6.61)$$

with $g$ group-likes and $x_i$’s $(g^m, 1)$-principal elements for the respective values of $m$. By the above proposition we then have the subgroups:

$$\text{(BW}(k) \times \left( k^* \right)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rtimes \text{BQ}(k; H_4)$$

$$\text{(BM}(k; k\mathbb{Z}_{2m}) \times \left( k^* \right)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rtimes GL_n(k)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \hookrightarrow \text{BQ}(k; H_m)$$

The first identity appears in [25 Example 5.11], whereas the third one gives a larger subgroup of $\text{BQ}(k; E(n))$ than the one from [7 Theorem 4.6], where the subgroup $\left( k^* \right)^{n(n+1)/2} \rtimes GL_n(k)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ was identified.

Let us summarize that the automorphism groups on the base level and on the braided level may be very different. For the family of Hopf algebras $H(m, n)$, which we may consider as:

$$H(m, n) \cong k[x_n]/(x_n^2) \rtimes (k[x_{n-1}]/(x_{n-1}^2) \rtimes \ldots \rtimes (k[x_1]/(x_1^2) \rtimes k\mathbb{Z}_2)),$$

as we presented above, it is: $\text{Aut}(H(m, n)) \cong GL_n(k) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$, whereas in Subsection 6.1 we showed that $\text{Aut}(C; B) \cong k^* \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Span}\{x_1\})$, with $B = k[x_n]/(x_n^2)$. Accordingly, in the base level we have $G(D(H(m, n))) \cong U_{2m} \rtimes U_{2m}$, while the group of group-likes in the braided case $G(D(B))$ is trivial.
6.3 A counter-example

The Taft algebra is a Radford biproduct $B \times H$ where the braiding in $C = H \text{YD}$ does not obey the symmetricity condition in Theorem 5.1 even for $\Phi_{B,B}$. We recall that the Taft algebra is

$$T_n = k\langle g, x | g^n = 1, x^n = 0, gx = \omega xg \rangle$$

where $n \geq 2$ is a natural number such that $\text{char}(k)$ is coprime to $n$, and $\omega$ is an $n$-th primitive root of unity. The structure of a Hopf algebra on $T_n$ is such that $g$ is group-like, $x$ is $(g,1)$-primitive, with $S(x) = -xg^{-1}$. When $n = 2$ note that we recover Sweedler’s Hopf algebra $H_4$. Taft algebra is isomorphic to a Radford biproduct

$$T_n \cong k[x]/(x^n) \times k\mathbb{Z}_n$$

(send $G \mapsto 1 \otimes g$ and $X \mapsto x \otimes g$), where $g \cdot x = \omega x$. Here $H = k\mathbb{Z}_n$ has the quasitriangular structure as in (6.55) where $2m$ is replaced by $n$ and $1 \leq s < n$. However, this quasitriangular structure does not extend to $T_n$ if $n > 2$.

Even though we may not apply Theorem 5.1, we will compute the sequence (5.49) on the base level for $T_n$. In [20, Section 2] the groups of automorphisms of $T_n$ and group-likes of $T_n$ and its dual are computed:

$$G(T_n) = \langle g \rangle, \quad G(T_n^*) = \{\lambda_i \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}, \quad \text{Aut}(T_n) \cong k^*,$$

where $\lambda_i(g) = \omega^i$, $\lambda_i(x) = 0$, and any $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(T_n)$ is such that $\varphi(g) = g$ and $\varphi(x) = \alpha x$, for some $\alpha \in k^*$. Then $G(D(T_n)) \cong G(T_n^*) \times G(T_n) \cong U_n \times U_n$. One may easily see that for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\lambda_i$ it is:

$$g^i(h) = \lambda_i(h) = \begin{cases} h, & h = g \\ \omega^{-i}x, & h = x. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $G(D(T_n)^*) \cong S(T_n) = \{(g^i, \lambda_i) \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\} \cong U_n$. Putting all ingredients together we obtain the exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow U_n \longrightarrow U_n \times U_n \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow \text{BQ}(k; T_n).$$
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