MUSSES2020J: The Earliest Discovery of a Fast Blue Ultraluminous Transient at Redshift 1.063
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Abstract

In this Letter, we report the discovery of an ultraluminous fast-evolving transient in rest-frame UV wavelengths, MUSSES2020J, soon after its occurrence by using the Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC) mounted on the 8.2 m Subaru telescope. The rise time of about 5 days with an extremely high UV peak luminosity shares similarities to a handful of fast blue optical transients whose peak luminosities are comparable with the most luminous supernovae while their timescales are significantly shorter (hereafter “fast blue ultraluminous transient,” FBUT). In addition, MUSSES2020J is located near the center of a normal low-mass galaxy at a redshift of 1.063, suggesting a possible connection between the energy source of MUSSES2020J and the central part of the host galaxy. Possible physical mechanisms powering this extreme transient such as a wind-driven tidal disruption event and an interaction between supernova and circumstellar material are qualitatively discussed based on the first multiband early-phase light curve of FBUTs, although whether the scenarios can quantitatively explain the early photometric behavior of MUSSES2020J requires systematical theoretical investigations. Thanks to the ultrahigh luminosity in UV and blue optical wavelengths of these extreme transients, a promising number of FBUTs from the local to the high-z universe can be discovered through deep wide-field optical surveys in the near future.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Transient detection (1957); Transient sources (1851); Ultraviolet transient sources (1854); Time domain astronomy (2109); Compact objects (288); Wide-field telescopes (1800); Optical observation (1169)

1. Introduction

With the booming development of wide-field survey facilities and the growth of high-cadence time-domain surveys, studies of a vast range of extragalactic transients from gamma-ray to radio wavelengths have been widely carried out in recent years. The fast blue optical transient (FBOT) is a new transient type confirmed several years ago and subsequently investigated by several transient survey projects recently (Drout et al. 2014; Tanaka et al. 2016; Pursiainen et al. 2018; Tampo et al. 2020; Ho et al. 2021). The majority of FBOTs reach peak brightness of ~17 to ~20 mag in blue optical wavelengths within 10 days after the discovery. Although the origin of these peculiar objects is still under debate, the rapid evolution may be explained by an explosion of a stellar progenitor with an ultra-stripped envelope (Suwa et al. 2015; Moriya et al. 2017; Tolstov et al. 2019).

In 2018, the discovery of an extremely luminous and fast-evolving FBOT, AT 2018cow, challenged previous hypotheses as an unfeasible extended and low-mass envelope would be
required to explain such an unprecedented object (Prentice et al. 2018; Perley et al. 2019). Therefore, new mechanisms such as electron-capture collapse (Lytikov & Toonen 2019), a tidal disruption event (TDE) induced by an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH; Perley et al. 2019; Kuin et al. 2019), a common envelope jets supernova (Soker et al. 2019; Soker 2022), magnetar formation (Mohan et al. 2020), fallback accretion following the collapse of a massive star (Margutti et al. 2019), circumstellar material (CSM) interaction of a pulsational pair-instability supernova (Leung et al. 2020, 2021), and cooling emission powered by a shocked jet (Gottlieb et al. 2022) have been proposed while the nature of such kinds of extreme FBOTs is still under active debate. Although dozens of FBOT events have been reported in recent years, only a handful of them show a peak absolute magnitude above \(-20\) mag with a rest-frame rise time within about one week in optical/UV wavelengths and were discovered at relatively high redshifts (Vinkó et al. 2015; Ho et al. 2020; Perley et al. 2021), indicating the rarity of this extreme transient type. Hereafter, we suggest calling this type of transient as a “fast blue ultraluminous transient” (“FBUT”).

Recently, a statistical study of fast transients was conducted by Ho et al. (2021) via the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. 2019a, 2019b; Graham et al. 2019). Their sample selection is based on transient light curves at the bright phase (i.e., the time spent above half-maximum brightness of the light curve) because the shallow imaging depth (\(\lesssim 21\) mag in \(r\) band) makes it hard for the survey to observe the fast transients in the early phase. Thanks to the deep and wide imaging capability of Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC), we are able to discover transients from an extremely early/faint phase at a large distance range with typical \(g\)-band observations down to 26 mag (5\(\sigma\)), making Subaru/HSC the most powerful facility for studying the rising behavior of various fast transients before the upcoming Vera C. Rubin Observatory Legacy Survey of Space and Time (Rubin/LSST; Ivezić et al. 2019).

Since 2014, a series of transient surveys aiming to discover supernovae (SNe) within a few days of their explosions have been carried out through Subaru open-use programs (Tanaka et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2017; Tominaga et al. 2019). The “MUlti-band Subaru Survey for Early-phase Supernovae” (MUSSES) is a representative project which is designed to catch the first light of SNe with Subaru/HSC. Here we report the earliest discovery of an FBUT, MUSSES2020J, during the MUSSES observing campaign in 2020 December. This Letter is structured as follows. An introduction to the MUSSES2020 campaign and the observations of MUSSES2020J is presented in Section 2. The characteristics of MUSSES2020J and its host galaxy are introduced in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Further discussion on the possible energy source and early photometric behavior of MUSSES2020J and our conclusions are given in Section 5. Throughout the paper we adopt the flat \(\Lambda\)CDM cosmology with Hubble constant \(H_0 = 70\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\ \text{Mpc}^{-1}\) and \(\Omega_m = 0.3\). All magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. Observations of MUSSES2020J

2.1. The MUSSES2020 Campaign

The MUSSES project was proposed in 2014. The major scientific goal of MUSSES is to identify the progenitor and explosion mechanism of Type Ia SNe (SNe Ia) by using multiband photometric information soon after SN explosions. The MUSSES project is composed of two parts, the Subaru/HSC multiband survey and the imaging/spectroscopic follow-ups with \(1\sim 10\) m class telescopes via the MUSSES global follow-up network. The formal observation of MUSSES started in 2016 (Jiang et al. 2017). The third MUSSES observing campaign (“MUSSES2020”), which includes 10 consecutive half-night Subaru/HSC observations (scheduled on UT 2020 December 11 to 20) was carried out from 2020 December to 2021 March. The survey monitored a \(\sim 31\) deg\(^2\) sky region (19 HSC pointings with a few percentage overlaps) selected from the HSC Subaru Strategic Program (HSC SSP; Aihara et al. 2018; Miyazaki et al. 2018) in \(g\) and \(r\) bands. As in previous MUSSES campaigns, we adopt different observing depths during the survey in order to make the best use of telescope time to study early-phase SNe. Standard image reduction procedures including bias, dark, flat, and fringe corrections, as well as astrometric and photometric calibrations against the Pan-STARRS1 (PS1) 3\(\sigma\) catalog (Tonry et al. 2012; Magnier et al. 2013) were done with the HSC pipeline, a version of the PS1 catalog (Tonry et al. 2012; Magnier et al. 2013). Image subtraction was applied using deep, coadded template images created from data taken by HSC SSP since 2014. The forced point-spread function photometry was performed at the positions of transients left in the template-subtracted images. We refer to Aihara et al. (2018) and Yasuda et al. (2019) for further details on the HSC data reduction.

2.2. Discovery and Follow-ups of MUSSES2020J

Although the MUSSES2020 Subaru/HSC survey was performed under poor weather conditions (with a survey completeness of \(\sim 33\%\)), 20 transients that show fast-rising behavior were discovered.\(^{22}\) Out of the 20 fast transients, an ultraluminous fast transient at host photometric redshift of \(\sim 1\) was discovered on UT December 11.31, the first night of the MUSSES2020 campaign. We designated this transient as MUSSES2020J (AT 2020afay), the 10th fast transient discovered in the MUSSES2020 campaign. From the Gamma-ray Coordinates Network circulars archive, no gamma-ray burst alert near the position of MUSSES2020J was reported before and during the MUSSES2020 HSC survey.

To make the best use of the MUSSES follow-up resources, follow-up observations can be triggered only for transients satisfying specific criteria. Imaging follow-up observations of MUSSES2020J were successfully triggered by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) 3.5 m telescope and the 3.6 m Devasthal Optical Telescope (DOT) in the next several days after the Subaru observation. However, due to weather and moon phase influences, the MUSSES follow-up network mainly focused on nearby HSC fast transients between late 2020 December and early 2021 January, and we triggered the multiband follow-up observations of MUSSES2020J again on 2021 January 8. Data taken from follow-up observations were reduced in a standard manner for the photometry. Aperture photometry was then performed after image subtraction by matching the scale to the template image. Due to the faintness of MUSSES2020J and limited spectroscopic follow-up time, in addition to a spectroscopy of the host galaxy of MUSSES2020J in 2021 July, no spectroscopic observation was triggered for MUSSES2020J.

\(^{22}\) Details of other MUSSES2020 fast transients will be given in separate papers.
In 2021 November, we carried out HSC deep-imaging observations again at the MUSSES2020J field. By stacking HSC g-band (2096 s on-source time) and r-band (515 s on-source time) images taken on 2021 November 2–7 (UTC; about 160 days after the discovery in rest frame), we get 3σ nondetection limits of 27.4 mag and 26.2 mag, respectively. All photometric results are given in Table 1.

### 3. Characteristics of MUSSES2020J

Figure 1 presents multiband light curves of MUSSES2020J and some extragalactic transients that may be intrinsically related to MUSSES2020J. A very fast-rising light curve of MUSSES2020J was obtained during the Subaru/HSC survey (gray stripe in the figure), with a g-band brightness increase of a factor of about 23 in 5 days (observer frame). Follow-up observations show the brightness of MUSSES2020J kept increasing in the next 4 days after the last Subaru/HSC observation, indicating rise time as short as ~10 days in the observer frame.

Based on the HSC SSP multiband (grizyc) photometry, physical parameters such as the stellar mass \( M_* \) and star formation rate (SFR) of galaxies in the MUSSES2020 survey fields are estimated from the galaxy template-fitting code MIZUKI (Tanaka 2015; Tanaka et al. 2018), which performs a fitting with stellar population synthesis templates parameterized by the initial mass function, star formation history, dust attenuation, and metallicity. Given the shortest projected distance and the lowest photometric redshift (also the highest brightness) among all galaxies within 10\(^r\) from MUSSES2020J,\(^{23}\) we identify the nearest galaxy as the host galaxy of MUSSES2020J. A photometric redshift of \( \sim 0.97 \pm 0.13 \) (68% confidence level, \( \chi^2 = 3.74 \)) for the host galaxy gives an extremely high brightness and a very fast-rising light curve of MUSSES2020J.

In order to confirm the rest-frame photometric behavior of MUSSES2020J, we triggered a spectroscopic observation of the host galaxy of MUSSES2020J at the Gemini-North observatory, using GMOS (Hook et al. 2004) with the R400 +G5305 grating on UT July 18.55, 2021. A total of five exposures were acquired, each with a 1200 s exposure time. Standard CCD processing was accomplished with IRAF. The spectra were extracted using the optimal algorithm of Horne (1986). We fit a low-order polynomial to calibration-lamp spectra and establish the wavelength scale. Small adjustments derived from night-sky lines in the object frames were applied. We further employed our own IDL routines to correct for flux and remove telluric lines. Details of our spectroscopic reduction techniques are described by Silverman et al. (2012).

The photometric redshift fitting and a combined 1200 s × 5 GMOS spectrum of the host galaxy of MUSSES2020J are given in the upper and lower panels of Figure 2, respectively. The spectrum does not show any AGN features. Notably, a bimodal emission feature that has been detected in all 1200 s exposures around the observed wavelength of 7690 Å shows good consistency with the [O II] \( \lambda \lambda 3726.1 \) and \( \lambda 3728.8 \) lines at a redshift of 1.063. We note that the emission feature cannot be [O III] \( \lambda \lambda 4959, 5007 \) as the width of the emission feature of the host at a corresponding redshift should be much narrower than the observed width of [O III] \( \lambda \lambda 4959, 5007 \). Given the robust detection of the emission-line doublet, hydrogen emissions such as H\(\alpha\) or Ly\(\alpha\) can be excluded. By adopting the host redshift of 1.063, MUSSES2020J shows a much higher peak luminosity than that of AT 2018cow at comparable

---

\(^{23}\) According to the photo-z information, the second nearest galaxy, which is a dwarf galaxy with a similar photo-z to that of the nearest one, is already \( \geq 20 \) kpc (about 4 times larger than the size of the galaxy) away from MUSSES2020J.
wavelengths, breaking the UV luminosity record of FBOTs. On the other hand, multiband follow-up observations in early January 2021 confirmed that the brightness of MUSSES2020J decreased to \( \sim 23 \) mag in both \( g \) and \( r \) bands, corresponding to a decreasing speed of more than 1.3 mag in 10 days in the rest frame. The light curve of MUSSES2020J

Figure 1. Light curves of MUSSES2020J, FBUTs (Vinkó et al. 2015; Perley et al. 2019; Ho et al. 2020; Perley et al. 2021; here we classify Dougie as an FBUT due to the extremely high luminosity and fast light-curve decline in UV), SN 2018gep (Ho et al. 2019a), and normal FBOTs discovered by previous HSC and PS1 observations (broken lines; 3\( \sigma \) \( g \)-band nondetection limits are given as open triangles; Tanaka et al. 2016; Tampo et al. 2020; Drout et al. 2014). Light curves of some optically selected TDEs and candidates (Gezari et al. 2012; Arcavi et al. 2014; Blagorodnova et al. 2017) are plotted with transparent symbols for comparisons. Galactic extinction of MUSSES2020J has been corrected, assuming an extinction to reddening ratio \( R_V \) of 3.1 (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). The gray stripe indicates the period of the MUSSES2020 Subaru/HSC observation. The 3\( \sigma \) nondetection limits of 27.4 mag (\( g \)) and 26.2 mag (\( r \)) of Subaru/HSC observations at about 160 days (rest frame) after the discovery are not shown on the plot. Transients with comparable rest-frame central-wavelength light curves are given by similar colors, and redder colors represent observations in redder rest-frame wavelengths.

Figure 2. Upper panel: a host spectrum modeled by HSC SSP multiband photometries (blue points; Tanaka 2015). Red pointings are synthesized photometries with the model spectrum. Lower panel: A combined 1200 s \( \times \) 5 host spectrum taken by Gemini North/GMOS on 2021 July 18 (UTC). A bimodal emission feature around observed wavelength 7690 Å (right zoom-in inset) was detected in all 1200 s exposures (left zoom-in inset). The central wavelengths of 7686.3 Å and 7691.6 Å of the gray stripes correspond to the [\( \text{O II} \)] \( \lambda \) 3726.1 and \( \lambda \) 3728.8 lines at a redshift of 1.0628, respectively.
The real rise time might be slightly longer than the observation-constrained rise time if there is a “plateau-like” phase after the observed g-band peak, though such a feature has not been seen in UV wavelengths of FBOTs.

Table 2: Properties of FBUT and Candidates

| Name          | α (J2000)   | δ (J2000)  | Redshift | Rise time | Peak Mag | Offset [arcsec] | Offset [kpc] | Ref   |
|---------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-------|
| MUSSES2020J   | 02:36:10.52 | −03:10:59.0 | 1.063    | 5–6 days  | 22.72 ± 0.10 (g) | 0.12–0.21     | 0.97–1.70    | This paper |
| AT 2018cow    | 16:16:00.22 | +22:16:04.9 | 0.014    | <2 days   | 20.80 ± 0.05 (g) | 5.9           | 1.7          | (1), (2)   |
| AT 2018lug    | 02:00:15.19 | +16:47:57.3 | 0.271    | >2 days   | 21.34 ± 0.05 (g) | 0.28±0.13     | 1.16±0.54   | (3)       |
| AT 2020xnd    | 22:20:02.014| −02:50:25.35| 0.243    | >5 days   | 21.21 ± 0.05 (g) | ...           | ...          | (4)       |
| Dougie        | 12:08:47.87 | +43:01:20.1 | 0.191    | ~8 days   | 22.76 ± 0.19 (R) | 1.3           | 4.1          | (5)       |

Notes.

a Rest-frame rise time of light curves at specific optical bands. Corresponding rest-frame central wavelengths are given in parentheses.

b Galactic extinction-corrected (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) absolute magnitudes in specific observed optical bands.

(1) Perley et al. (2019); (2) Bietenholz et al. (2018); (3) Ho et al. (2020); (4) Perley et al. (2021); (5) Vinkó et al. (2015).

c A 1σ offset range is ±0′′–0′′4 considering the astrometric uncertainties of both the host nucleus and the transient.

evolved much faster than those of superluminous SNe that have comparable peak luminosities and is also much brighter and slower than that of the fast-evolving luminous broad-lined Type Ic SN 2018gep at similar wavelengths (Figure 1; Ho et al. 2019a).

In contrast to previously discovered FBUTs whose rise time was roughly estimated based on the last nondetection of the objects, multiband rising-phase light curves obtained from Subaru/HSC and follow-up facilities enable us to pin down the rise time and study the rising-phase behavior of FBUTs for the first time. The deep HSC detection constrains the rise time of MUSSES2020J to about 5–6 days based on the observed peak epoch in the rest-frame UV wavelengths, suggesting a much higher energy output than that of AT 2018cow.

Although a large fraction of HSC time was weathered out during the MUSSES2020 campaign, we fortunately observed the color evolution from a very early time, thanks to the fast brightening of MUSSES2020J (Figure 3). The color is overall blue with relatively slow variance, as is consistent with previous samples of FBUTs. It is worth noting that the color evolution of MUSSES2020J changes its behavior around the peak epoch; the color evolves from red to blue in the premaximum phase and gets redder afterward. The early light curve and especially the early color evolution of MUSSES2020J, as obtained for the first time for FBUTs, will be key to constraining the physical model of FBUTs. A detailed discussion of the physical properties derived from the early multiband photometry is given in Section 5. Basic information on FBUTs is summarized in Table 2.

4. The Host Galaxy and the Location of MUSSES2020J

With the host redshift of 1.063 derived from the Gemini/GMOS spectroscopy, we further investigate the host properties by fixing the spec-z of the host with MIZUKI. The estimated stellar mass and SFR are about $5.65^{+3.05}_{-1.87} \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and $3.65^{+1.58}_{-1.13} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (68% confidence level), respectively. A specific star formation rate (SSFR) of $0.65^{+0.72}_{-0.36}$ Gyr$^{-1}$ also suggests a modest star-forming activity for the host galaxy at a redshift of about 1 (Pearson et al. 2018). The key properties of the host galaxy are summarized in Table 3.

As shown in Figure 4, MUSSES2020J is located very close to the center of the host galaxy. Given that the coordinate scatter of MUSSES2020J is mainly on the northwest side of the host nucleus and the 1σ astrometric uncertainty regions of some exposures of MUSSES2020J do not overlap with those of the galaxy center determined by HSC SSP, we judge that MUSSES2020J is a near-nuclear transient located ~0″12–0″21 (~0.97–1.70 kpc at $z = 1.063$) from the host nucleus. However, due to the astrometric uncertainties of both...
we cannot completely rule out that central engines objects are very likely required to either power FBUTs as band spots are centers of the host galaxy measured from HSC SSP broadband images (green). The coordinates of MUSSES2020J in g and r bands are shown by blue and green spots, respectively. Due to the faintness of MUSSES2020J in the first two nights, here we only present coordinates measured from observations from the third night. The 1σ astrometric uncertainty regions of each measurement are denoted by transparent colors. The entire selected area is denoted by a white box at the center of the HSC g, r, and i composite multicolor thumbnail image of the host galaxy shown in the bottom-right corner. Blue and red crosses in the box indicate the locations of MUSSES2020J (g band) and the host nucleus (i band), respectively.

Table 3
Properties of the MUSSES2020J Host Galaxy

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Phot-z    | 0.97 ± 0.13 |
| Spec-z    | 1.0628 ± 0.0003 |
| Luminosity Distance (Mpc) | 7125.6 |
| M_5 (M_*) | 5.65 ± 0.65 x 10^9 |
| SFR (M_*/yr^-1) | 3.69 ± 0.13 |
| SSFR (Gyr^-1) | 0.63 ± 0.36 |

the galactic nucleus and MUSSES2020J from HSC SSP and MUSSES2020J observations (Figure 4), which gives a 1σ offset range of 0"-0.40, we cannot completely rule out that MUSSES2020J is a nuclear transient.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

5.1. Origin(s) of MUSSES2020J and Other FBUTs

Previous studies have shown that the ultrahigh luminosity and fast-evolving light curves of FBUTs cannot be powered by 56Ni decay through canonical SN explosion scenarios. Follow-up multiwavelength observations further suggest that compact objects are very likely required to either power FBUTs as central engines (Perley et al. 2019; Mohan et al. 2020; Pasham et al. 2021; Uno & Maeda 2020a) and/or facilitate X-ray emissions (Margutti et al. 2019; Gottlieb et al. 2022; Soker 2022). In the following, we discuss possible energy sources of MUSSES2020J suggested by the observational properties of MUSSES2020J and its host galaxy. We leave a systematical theoretical investigation of possible scenarios of MUSSES2020J to a separate paper (K. Uno et al. 2022, in preparation).

By applying a blackbody fit to the multiband light curves of MUSSES2020J, the estimated blackbody radius reveals an interesting behavior (middle panel in Figure 5). It increases quickly toward the peak luminosity at first. Around the peak, it decreases and then increases again at a slower rate than the initial phase. The initial rise corresponds to an average velocity of ~100,000 km s^-1 by conservatively assuming a rest-frame time interval of 2 days between the transient occurrence and the second-night HSC observation (i.e., the first multiband observation). In the postpeak phase following the drop, the corresponding velocity is ~30,000 km s^-1.

The velocity of the photospheric expansion in the postpeak phase has a similarity to what was inferred for AT 2018cow in its earliest phase (Perley et al. 2019). The behavior is however different, i.e., there is a further increase of the photosphere in MUSSES2020J while there is a decrease in AT 2018cow. This
may be related to the slower evolution of MUSSES2020J than AT 2018cow. Even more interesting is the extremely high velocity in the initial phase of MUSSES2020J (~0.3c), which may be related to a subrelativistic component, similar to what was inferred for AT 2018cow from radio emission (Ho et al. 2019b).

Observationally, although the UV brightness of MUSSES2020J is even brighter than that of AT 2018cow, the slower light-curve evolution makes it possible for the prevailing origin scenarios of FBUTs to explain MUSSES2020J by varying input parameters (e.g., the strength of the magnetic field and spin period of the magnetar model; the mass-loss rate and the position where the wind is launched in the wind-driven scenario). We caution that a strong motivation for interpreting previous FBUTs via SN- or stellar-mass compact object-related scenarios is the possible relation with active star-forming environments. However, the near-nuclear location in the normal low-mass galaxy of MUSSES2020J may suggest that some FBUTs do not rely on the high star-forming environment and might relate to the central part of the host galaxy.25

If the high star-forming environment is not necessary, all FBUTs discovered in low-mass galaxies yield another possibility: an intrinsic connection between FBUTs and IMBHs or low-mass supermassive black holes (SMBHs). Then, IMBH-/SMBH-induced TDEs via the wind-driven scenario could be promising energy sources of FBUTs. Moreover, off-nuclear locations of previous FBUTs cannot rule out the possibility of an IMBH TDE origin as lower-mass IMBHs can also be formed far away from host nuclei (e.g., centers of globular clusters), which indeed is in line with previous estimates of a ~10^4 M_☉ IMBH TDE as a possible origin for AT 2018cow (Perley et al. 2019; Uno & Maeda 2020b). By applying a similar procedure for MUSSES2020J, we can infer a possible range of the BH mass from the best-fit result of the wind-driven TDE scenario (Uno & Maeda 2020a; K. Uno et al. 2022, in preparation) and conclude that it is consistent with a BH mass of about 1 × 10^6 M_☉, as expected from the M_BH-M_*, correlation derived from nearby early and late-type galaxies (gray shaded region in Figure 3 of Greene et al. 2020).26

In addition to the wind-driven TDE scenario, prominent UV emission might be associated with a synchrotron emission possibly from a (hidden) relativistic jet (Zauderer et al. 2011). Early-phase SEDs of MUSSES2020J derived from HSC g- and r-band observations suggest that a UV spectral index α (F_ν ∝ ν^α) was initially negative (α ~ -0.4 on observation day 2 of HSC observations) and later became positive (α ~ 0.2 and 0.7 on observation days 3 and 6, respectively). This is against such a possibility; it is generally expected that the synchrotron flux index changes from positive to negative as the emitting region evolved from optically thick to thin, i.e., opposite to what is observed. We caution that it is still possible that (only) the earliest UV emission is powered by the synchrotron emission from a relativistic jet, while the later and bluer emission is powered by thermal emission, probably from a nonrelativistic component.

25 Note that AT 2020txd also can be a (near)-nuclear FBUT given the large astrometric uncertainty of its host galaxy (Perley et al. 2021).

26 We caution that whether such a correlation is still tenable at z ~ 1 is not yet clear.

5.2. Further Implications from the Early Photometric Evolution of MUSSES2020J

Even though the wind-driven TDE scenario can reasonably explain the photometric behavior of MUSSES2020J, it is hard to exclude models that also have flexible input parameters (e.g., magnetar central engine, mass accretion with a BH formed by a failed SN) and answer whether all FBUTs discovered so far have the same origin/mechanism, due to the limited constraints from our follow-up observations. For example, a g-band nondetection limit of about 200 times fainter than the peak at t ~ 160 days is still too shallow to provide a tight constraint due to the ultrafast evolution of FBUTs. Nevertheless, the red color of the early-phase MUSSES2020J might not have been considered by previously proposed scenarios due to the absence of early color information of other FBUTs. Indeed, the blue-to-red evolution, as opposed to the observed evolution, is generally expected in a system that launches an optically thick material, irrespective of the model details. Given its optically thick nature, the photosphere will be formed at the outer edge of the expanding materials, and the increasing photospheric radius generally leads to a temperature decrease. This is what is seen in the so-called cooling-envelope emission that describes the earliest emission from Type II SNe (Nakar & Sari 2010; Rabinak & Waxman 2011). The observed red-to-blue evolution thus poses a challenge for theoretical interpretation and inversely may shed light on uncovering the nature of FBUTs. The magnetar scenario may have the potential to explain such a behavior by realizing a rapid increase in the bolometric luminosity to compensate the photosphere expansion, while this may require fine-tuning of the model parameters. As an alternative scenario, we may consider the situation that the photosphere would not expand substantially, which could be realized in the SN–CSM interaction and wind-driven scenarios; the photosphere is formed within either the CSM or materials ejected before the main outburst.

The high velocity indicated by the earliest-phase photosphere evolution through the blackbody fit (middle panel of Figure 5) might suggest that the photosphere is formed within a relatively small amount of the high-velocity material (~0.3c), which might have been ejected preceding the main outburst. As for the peculiar evolution of the photospheric radius toward the g-band peak, it is hard to judge if the behavior is real, due to the large uncertainty from the blackbody fit.27 However, if this evolution is real, it might suggest that the high-velocity ejecta become transparent and the position of the photosphere “jumps” to the inner and slower material (the main ejecta with ~0.1c) before the peak. If the inner ejecta are not yet optically thin, the photosphere expands again but with a slower velocity than previously. This could be viewed as a variant of the wind-driven scenario, at least qualitatively. We note that there is a possibility that the earliest emission could be associated with a nonthermal emission from a relativistic jet (Section 5.1), but the above argument applies even in such a case. More comprehensive theoretical investigations will answer whether a specific physical process is necessary to interpret the early photometric evolution of MUSSES2020J, which will provide stringent constraints on the theoretical models of FBUTs (K. Uno et al. 2022, in preparation).

27 Also note that blackbody-fit parameters measured from just a few bands are susceptible to any emission/absorption lines that may be present.
5.3. Searching for FBUTs in the Era of Deep Wide-field Optical Surveys

As proved by the higher redshifts (except for AT 2018cow) of previously discovered FBUTs and the early discovery of MUSSES2020J during a short-term HSC survey (a 10 half-night survey with ~33% completeness), their extremely high brightness in the UV and blue optical wavelengths makes FBUTs promising targets in upcoming deep wide-field optical surveys. In particular, given a more prominent time dilation at higher redshift, the intraday variability of high-z FBUTs can be systematically investigated by daily cadence deep-imaging surveys.

In the coming few years, the new-generation deep-imaging optical survey facilities such as the 2.5 m Wide Field Survey Telescope (WFST), led by the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) and Purple Mountain Observatory (PMO), and the Vera C. Rubin LSST will start scientific observations. The excellent site (Deng et al. 2021) and the superior survey capability make WFST the most powerful u-band survey facility in the northern hemisphere, and dozens of FBUTs at a wide redshift coverage can be expected from a deep high-cadence u-band survey of WFST in a few years. With the dramatically increasing number of FBUTs discovered in the near future, we will be able to not only figure out the origin of this newly confirmed extreme transient class but perhaps also offer a novel approach to investigating the formation and evolution of IMBHs/SMBHs in the era of deep wide-field time-domain surveys.

5.4. Summary

In this Letter we report the discovery of an ultraluminous fast transient, MUSSES2020J at redshift 1.063, soon after its occurrence with Subaru/HSC. The ultraluminous fast-evolving light curve and a low-mass host galaxy share similarities with previously discovered fast blue ultraluminous transients (FBUTs) whose peak brightnesses are comparable to or even higher than that of AT 2018cow. A near-nuclear location of MUSSES2020J might imply a possible connection between the energy source of MUSSES2020J and the central part of the host galaxy. The color behavior and physical properties derived from the early multiband light curve suggest that previously proposed scenarios such as the wind-driven scenario and the SN–CSM interaction can at least qualitatively explain the general features of MUSSES2020J. More detailed theoretical work focusing on the multiband light-curve fit is required to answer the origin of this extreme transient. Given their ultrahigh luminosities in the UV and blue optical wavelengths, a promising number of FBUTs from the local to the high-z universe can be discovered through upcoming deep wide-field optical surveys.
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