Myocardial fibrosis, diastolic dysfunction and elevated liver stiffness in the Fontan circulation
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ABSTRACT
Introduction Single ventricle diastolic dysfunction and hepatic fibrosis are frequently observed in patients with a Fontan circulation. The relationship between adverse haemodynamics and end-organ fibrosis has not been investigated in adolescents and young adults with Fontan circulation.

Methods Prospective observational study of Fontan patients who had a cardiac catheterisation. Cardiac MRI with T1 mapping was obtained to measure extracellular volume (ECV), a marker of myocardial fibrosis. Hepatic magnetic resonance elastography was performed to assess liver shear stiffness. Serum biomarkers of fibrosis including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) were measured. Very high ECV was defined as >30% and elevated serum biomarkers as >75th percentile for each biomarker.

Results 25 Fontan patients (52% female) with mean age of 16.3±6.8 years were included. Mean ECV was 28%±5%. There was a significant correlation between ECV and systemic ventricular end-diastolic pressure (r=0.42, p=0.03) and between ECV and liver stiffness (r=0.45, p=0.05). Patients with elevated ECV demonstrated elevations in MMPs and TIMPs. Similarly, patients with elevated MMPs and TIMPs had greater liver stiffness compared with patients with normal levels of these biomarkers.

Conclusions In Fontan patients, cardiac magnetic resonance evidence of myocardial fibrosis is associated with diastolic dysfunction, increased liver stiffness and elevated circulating biomarkers of fibrosis. These findings suggest the presence of a profibrotic milieu, with end-organ implications, in some patients with Fontan circulation.

INTRODUCTION
Adolescents and young adults with Fontan circulation may develop circulatory insufficiency and multiorgan dysfunction while remaining asymptomatic. Diastolic dysfunction is increasingly recognised as a clinical or subclinical driver of Fontan circulatory insufficiency or even Fontan failure. In the absence of validated non-invasive measures, direct invasive ventricular and Fontan circuit pressure measurement, with or without manoeuvres to unmask occult circulatory dysfunction, is the gold standard to diagnose diastolic dysfunction. Fibrosis is a common final pathway in chronic myocardial disease, including in diastolic heart failure. In adults with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFrEF), myocardial fibrosis is intrinsically linked to ventricular stiffness and adverse remodelling. In Fontan patients, liver fibrosis is recognised as a nearly ubiquitous and time-dependent end-organ complication of the circulation and adaptive response to Fontan circulation.
may be worsened in the setting of diastolic dysfunction and elevated Fontan pressure. Thus, myocardial and hepatic fibrosis may offer a biologically plausible explanation for the common phenotype observed in many adult Fontan patients: diastolic dysfunction and Fontan-associated liver disease.

Myocardial extracellular volume (ECV) fraction measured by cardiac MRI (CMR) is a validated non-invasive measure of interstitial myocardial fibrosis and is associated with diastolic dysfunction in other patient populations. Further, myocardial ECV has been shown to be elevated in Fontan patients. Similarly, liver shear stiffness assessed by liver magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) is a non-invasive surrogate for liver fibrosis and has been shown to be elevated in Fontan patients. To date, the association of myocardial ECV, liver stiffness and Fontan haemodynamics has not been evaluated. In this study, we sought to investigate the relationships between invasive haemodynamics, myocardial ECV, liver stiffness (a surrogate for hepatic fibrosis) and circulating biomarkers of fibrosis.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

Informed consent was obtained from study participants or their parents/guardians. Assent was obtained from patients older than 11 years. Adolescents and adults with Fontan circulation who underwent cardiac catheterisation with rapid volume expansion testing between 2015 and 2017 were consented to undergo a CMR and to measure fibrosis laboratory biomarkers. Potential participants with contraindication to CMR were excluded. Patients were involved in the design of the study through the Children’s Heart Association of Cincinnati.

**Cardiac MRI**

CMR examinations were performed on 1.5-Tesla scanners (Ingenia; Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands). A gadolinium-based contrast agent, macrocyclic, intravenous contrast (gadoterate meglumine; Dotarem; Guerbet LLC, Princeton, New Jersey, USA) was administered at a dose of 0.2 mmol/kg. Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) images were acquired using a standard inversion recovery gradient echo pulse sequence.

T1 maps were acquired with a breath-hold modified Look-Locker inversion recovery sequence in the short-axis plane at the midventricular level. ECV was calculated using the formula:

\[
ECV = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Haematocrit}}{1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\text{postcontrast myocardial T1}} - \frac{1}{\text{native myocardial T1}} - \frac{1}{\text{postcontrast blood T1}} - \frac{1}{\text{native blood T1}}
\]

Analysis was performed using commercially available software (Cvi42, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Canada). Myocardial contours were manually drawn by a paediatric cardiologist with 5-year experience in CMR. The ventricular free wall of the single ventricle was

| Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **All patients** | **Total n=25** | **Myocardial fibrosis** | **DMF+ (n=12)** | **DMF− (n=13)** | **P value** |
| **Gender** | | | | | |
| Female | 13 (52%) | 6 (50%) | 7 (54%) | 1.0 |
| Age at Fontan (years) | 4.1±3.4 | 44.0±2.7 | 4.1±1.6 | 0.9 |
| Age at CMR (years) | 18.5±6.9 | 0.67 | 19.0±6.9 | 0.67 |
| Age at catheterisation (years) | 16.3±6.8 | 16.0±7.1 | 16.0±7.1 | 0.66 |
| Time between Fontan and catheterisation (years) | 13.0±6.3 | 13.2±6.5 | 13.2±6.5 | 0.78 |
| Time between catheterisation and CMR (years) | 1.8±1.3 | 1.7±1.6 | 1.7±1.6 | 0.57 |
| **Ventricular morphology** | 0.61 |
| Left | 18 (72%) | 9 | 9 |
| Right | 6 (24%) | 3 | 3 |
| Biventricular | 1 (4%) | 1 |
| History of protein losing enteropathy | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| History of plastic bronchitis | 2 | 1 | 1 | NA |
| **Type of Fontan** | 0.80 |
| Atriopulmonary | 2 (8%) | 1 | 1 |
| Lateral tunnel | 13 (52%) | 7 | 6 |
| Extracardiac conduit | 10 (40%) | 4 | 6 |

Results are presented as mean±SD or frequency (%). CMR, cardiac MRI; DMF, diffuse myocardial fibrosis.
Congenital heart disease contoured; the intraventricular septum was not assessed due to the difficulty in differentiating the septum of the hypoplastic ventricle as previously described.9 To ensure accurate T1 measurements and exclusion of the blood pool signal, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn conservatively, keeping approximately 75% of the myocardial thickness within the ROI and excluding the blood pool, papillary muscles, chordae and trabeculations.

As ECV may vary depending on the sequence used and the CMR vendor, it is recommended to have institutional normal values. Our institutional normal values of ECV in patients without heart disease are 26%±2% (mean±SD).7 Patients with ECV >28% (more than one SD above the mean) were grouped as diffuse myocardial fibrosis (DMF+), whereas those with ECV ≤28% were grouped as not having diffuse myocardial fibrosis (DMF−). A second cut point of ECV >30% (two SD above the mean) was used to define patients with a very high ECV.

Cardiac catheterisation
Cardiac catheterisation was performed according to a standard institutional clinical Fontan protocol, with acquisition of comprehensive right and left heart haemodynamics. Oxygen consumption was directly measured in the catheterisation laboratory, when possible, and otherwise assumed.11 Following assessment of complete baseline haemodynamics, and prior to administration of radiographic contrast material, rapid volume expansion was performed by delivering 15 mL/kg of normal saline briskly via central venous access (typically administered over 2–5 min). Following a 5 min equilibration period, ‘stress’ haemodynamics were obtained.4 Invasive haemodynamic data collected included: Fontan pathway pressure that is measured in the Fontan conduit or Fontan baffle, ventricular end-diastolic pressures (EDPs), aortic saturation and pulmonary vascular resistance.

Biomarker analysis
Blood for serum biomarker analysis was obtained at the time of CMR. Plasma growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) and galectin-3 were determined with Quantikine kits from R&D systems (Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA); cross-linked carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CITP) and procollagen type I C-terminal propeptide (PICP) were assayed with Elisa kits from MyBioSource (San Diego, California, USA) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) were quantified with multiplex QuantiBodies Human MMP Array 1 from RayBiotech (Norcross, Georgia, USA).12 As there are no previously published normal values for these biomarkers in the Fontan population, subjects were categorised as having high levels of a biomarker when in the top quartile (>75th percentile) for each biomarker.

Liver MRE
Liver MRE was obtained for clinical purposes in some of the study population. For all MRE examinations, patients were kept NPO (nothing by mouth) for at least 6 hours before the examination. MRE was performed on 1.5 T MRI Philips scanners. The details of our institutional protocol have been previously described.13

| Table 2: Observed findings from catheterisation, CMR and MRE in patients with and without diffuse myocardial fibrosis |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Total** (n=25) | **Myocardial fibrosis** | **DMF+ (n=12)** | **DMF− (n=13)** | **P value** |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Ejection fraction (% CMR) | 54±7 | 56±5 | 53±8 | 0.17 |
| Haematocrit (%) | 45±4 | 45±5 | 45±4 | 0.89 |
| Native T1 values | 990±84 | 1025±60 | 958±93 | 0.04 |
| Baseline Fontan pressure (mm Hg) | 12±2 | 13±3 | 11±1 | 0.02 |
| Baseline ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 9±2 | 10±2 | 8±2 | 0.03 |
| Baseline pulmonary vascular resistance (iWu) | 1.2±0.5 | 1.3±0.6 | 1.2±0.4 | 0.55 |
| Baseline aortic saturation (%) | 93±3 | 92±3 | 94±2 | 0.13 |
| Baseline cardiac index (L/min/m²) | 3.4±0.8 | 3.3±0.7 | 3.4±0.9 | 0.7 |
| Change in ventricular EDP after stress (mm Hg) | 4.3±2.1 | 4.4±0.6 | 4.1±0.6 | 0.69 |
| Change in Fontan pressure after stress (mm Hg) | 3.4±1.9 | 3.7±2.2 | 3.0±1.3 | 0.33 |
| Change in cardiac output after stress (L/min/m²) | 0.0±0.9 | -0.1±0.2 | 0.1±0.3 | 0.65 |
| Stress Fontan pressure (mm Hg) | 15±3 | 17±3 | 14±1 | 0.008 |
| Stress ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 13±3 | 14±3 | 12±2 | 0.04 |
| Stress pulmonary vascular resistance (iWu) | 1.4±0.6 | 1.5±0.7 | 1.2±0.5 | 0.28 |
| Stress cardiac index (L/min/m²) | 3.3±1.2 | 3.1±1.0 | 3.5±1.3 | 0.44 |
| Liver Stiffness (n=17) | 4.1±0.7 | 4.5±0.3 (n=10) | 3.4±0.7 (n=7) | 0.066 |

Results are presented as mean±SD or frequency (%). P values in bold are statistically significant.
CMR, cardiac MRI; EDP, end-diastolic pressure; iWu, indexed Wood units; MRE, magnetic resonance elastography.
**Statistical analysis**

Two-sided Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to compare two groups of continuous variables if they were normally or non-normally distributed, respectively. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables. Univariate associations between normally distributed variables were estimated using the Pearson correlation coefficient (r), while Spearman rank-order correlation (rho) was used for non-normally distributed data. To study the association between fibrosis laboratory biomarkers and ECV, Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the prevalence of elevated biomarkers in the group with DMF+ or ECV, Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the prevalence of the association between fibrosis laboratory biomarkers and Alsaied T, et al. Open Heart 2020;7:e001434. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2020-001434

**RESULTS**

A total of 25 Fontan patients were included in the study. Mean age at the time of catheterisation with haemodynamic evaluation was 16.3±6.8 years, and 13 patients (52%) were female (table 1). The mean duration of Fontan circulation, at the time of catheterisation, was 13.0±6.3 years. Lateral tunnel was the most common Fontan type (52%), and the majority of patients (72%) had a single left ventricle. No patient had a fenestration at the time of the CMR.

**Observed CMR and haemodynamic data**

CMR and catheterisation-derived haemodynamic data are detailed in table 2. The mean ECV was 28%±5%. No patient had LGE. The mean ventricular ejection fraction was 54%±7%. At catheterisation, the baseline Fontan pressure was 12±2 mm Hg, and the baseline ventricular EDP was 9±2 mm Hg. The baseline cardiac index was 3.4±0.8 L/min/m², and the pulmonary vascular resistance was 1.2±0.5 index Wood units. Following rapid volume expansion testing, mean stress Fontan pressure was 15±3 mm Hg, and mean stress ventricular EDP was 13±3 mm Hg.

**Associations with cardiac ECV and clinical factors**

Cardiac ECV had no significant correlation with heart rate at CMR, ventricular end-diastolic volume or end-systolic volume, or ventricular ejection fraction (table 2). There was no significant difference between patients with single right ventricle and single left ventricle (28.6±3.0 vs 27.5±3.3, p=0.46) in ECV. Twelve patients (48%) were DMF+. There was no significant difference in any of the baseline characteristics between the patients categorised as DMF+ and DMF-. A stronger correlation was appreciated between ECV and stress Fontan pressure (r=0.53, p=0.007) and EDP (r=0.42, p=0.03) (figures 1 and 2). A stronger correlation was appreciated between ECV and stress Fontan pressure (r=0.53, p=0.007) and stress EDP (r=0.45, p=0.02). There was no correlation between ECV and cardiac index or pulmonary vascular resistance at baseline or after rapid volume expansion (table 3 and figure 1).

**Myocardial fibrosis and serum fibrosis biomarkers**

Patients categorised as DMF+ did not demonstrate significant differences in circulating levels of serum fibrosis biomarkers when compared with DMF- patients (online supplemental table 1). However, a significantly higher proportion of patients with very high ECV demonstrated elevated MMP1, MMP2, MMP3, MMP8, MMP10, MMP13, TIMP 1 and TIMP4 levels compared with the remainder of the cohort (figure 3, online supplemental table 2). No association was found between ECV and serum biomarkers when each was evaluated as a continuous measure (online supplemental table 1).

| Table 3 | Association of ECV with clinical, catheterisation and MRI variables |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| **Pearson correlation coefficient with ECV** |
| **P value** |
| All patients (n=25) |
| Weight | 0.01 | 0.73 |
| Age at CMR (years) | 0.02 | 0.47 |
| Age at catheterisation (years) | 0.02 | 0.42 |
| Time between Fontan and CMR (years) | 0.02 | 0.46 |
| Time between catheterisation and CMR (years) | 0.02 | 0.42 |
| Heart rate at CMR | 0.08 | 0.23 |
| Liver stiffness | 0.45 | 0.05 |
| End-diastolic volume | 0.04 | 0.39 |
| End-systolic volume | 0.07 | 0.29 |
| Ventricular ejection fraction | 0.02 | 0.53 |
| Fontan type | 0.66* |
| Ventricular morphology | 0.46* |
| Baseline Fontan pressure (mm Hg) | 0.42 | 0.03 |
| Baseline ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 0.44 | 0.03 |
| Baseline pulmonary vascular resistance (iWu) | 0.01 | 0.68 |
| Baseline cardiac index (L/min/m²) | 0.06 | 0.37 |
| Stress Fontan pressure (mm Hg) | 0.45 | 0.02 |
| Stress ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 0.53 | 0.007 |
| Stress pulmonary vascular resistance (iWu) | 0.07 | 0.26 |
| Stress cardiac index (L/min/m²) | 0.07 | 0.23 |

*Wilcoxon test p value. Bold numbers indicate p value ≤0.05. CMR, cardiac MRI; ECV, extracellular volume; iWu, indexed Wood units.
Liver stiffness and fibrosis measures

Seventeen patients (68% of the study population) had a liver MRE with stiffness measurements obtained; the mean liver stiffness was 4.1±0.7 kPa. There was a positive correlation between cardiac ECV and liver stiffness (r=0.45, p=0.05). Patients categorised as DMF+ had significantly higher liver stiffness compared with DMF− patients (p=0.006) (table 2). Patients with elevated (>75th percentile) MMP1, MMP3, MMP8, MMP10, MMP13 and TIMP4 had higher liver stiffness measurements compared with patients with fibrosis biomarkers in the bottom three quartiles (figure 4, online supplemental table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the associations between myocardial fibrosis, invasive haemodynamics, liver stiffness and circulating biomarkers of fibrosis in adolescents and young adult patients with Fontan circulation. In this single-centre investigation, we found that ECV, a measure of myocardial fibrosis, was significantly associated with baseline and stress Fontan haemodynamics, including both Fontan pathway pressure and single ventricle EDP. Furthermore, ECV was associated with multiple serum biomarkers of fibrosis as well as MRE-derived liver stiffness. Patients with elevated circulating fibrosis biomarkers also had significantly increased liver stiffness. Our study is the first to relate myocardial fibrosis, diastolic dysfunction and increased liver stiffness in patients with a Fontan circulation and suggests that these maladaptive circulatory and end-organ changes may occur in the setting of a profibrotic milieu.

In an older Fontan population, Rathod et al showed that LGE, a sign of macroscopic focal myocardial scarring, was common in Fontan patients and was associated with ventricular dysfunction and arrhythmia. No patient in our study was noted to have LGE, likely due to the younger age of this cohort, with a shorter duration of exposure to Fontan circulation. LGE imaging detects focal fibrosis and is based on differences in gadolinium volume of distribution between diseased and normal territories of the myocardium. Therefore, LGE is insensitive to the presence of diffuse myocardial fibrosis, which is likely to manifest at an earlier stage of pathologic myocardial remodelling in Fontan circulation. On the contrary, increased ECV correlates strongly with histologically quantified interstitial myocardial collagen.

The measurement of ECV is becoming an important component in the assessment of many cardiovascular diseases and has been demonstrated to have significant prognostic implications in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, pulmonary hypertension and HFrEF. Our group has previously suggested that Fontan failure may be a unique form of HFrEF, what may be termed ‘Fontan HFrEF’, and the emerging data are supportive of this concept. In the first study of ECV in the Fontan circulation, Kato et al showed that CMR-derived ECV determination is feasible and reproducible in Fontan patients and are associated with abnormal myocardial strain, but not ejection fraction.
in children. In our older population, ECV correlated with systemic ventricular EDP both at baseline and after rapid volume expansion, suggesting that ECV is associated with diastolic dysfunction in the Fontan circulation. Diastolic dysfunction is an under-recognised aetiology of Fontan circulatory failure. ECV is therefore a promising non-invasive measure of adverse cardiac remodelling in Fontan patients.

There are some technical issues regarding the measurement of ECV that bears discussion. We were careful to report our ECV data in comparison with institutional norms, as it has been shown previously that such measurements can differ by MRI vendor and institution. Interestingly, however, myocardial ECV values in the present study were similar to those reported by Kato et al.\textsuperscript{9} despite utilising different CMR vendors. Furthermore, the reproducibility of myocardial ECV across institutions has been improving, as demonstrated in a recent large multicentre study of aortic stenosis.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore, it is likely that these data will be generalisable to the broader Fontan population.

While ECV was broadly associated with key Fontan haemodynamics in our population, the pathogenesis of diffuse myocardial fibrosis is likely multifactorial. Potential contributing factors include: altered preload at the different stages of single ventricle palliation, multiple exposures to cardiopulmonary bypass and circulatory arrest, ventricular volume overload due to systemic-to-pulmonary shunts, systemic-pulmonary collaterals and valvar regurgitation, and increased afterload, both focally (in cases of aortic arch obstruction) and broadly (due to chronically increased arterial stiffness and adverse vascular remodelling).\textsuperscript{18,19} As a result of these multiple factors, myocardial fibrosis is likely activated via multiple signalling and cellular pathways.\textsuperscript{20}

Independent of the trigger, the generic response to activation of fibrosis pathways is the local and generalised release of several MMPs and their tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) involved in the degradation of collagen.\textsuperscript{12} MMPs and TIMPs are primarily produced by fibroblasts, which can be located in the myocardium, the...
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Liver or other tissues. Their elevated levels may reflect the increased number of proliferating myocardial fibroblasts in other cardiomyopathies. While it remains to be determined whether these markers are cause or consequence (chicken or egg) of myocardial fibrosis, they are important markers of a profibrotic milieu in other conditions associated with myocardial fibrosis and, indeed, may improve in response to treatment with antifibrotic agents like mineralocorticoids antagonists. In our study, we related high levels of these profibrotic markers to the most severe phenotype of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in Fontan patients. Importantly, though, the elevation in serum fibrosis biomarkers correlated only with very high ECV—and not simply elevated ECV—suggesting that ECV may be more sensitive in the detection of myocardial fibrosis when compared with circulating biomarkers. Of note, many fibrosis biomarkers, including other collagen structural proteins (CITP, PICP), glycoproteins (galectin 3) and growth factors (GDF-15), were not elevated in the very high ECV group. Some of these biomarkers like GDF-15 have been shown recently to be associated with Fontan-related events in other studies. This may reflect heterogeneity of the fibrosis mechanisms present in the Fontan population in general, or this study cohort specifically.

We also found a positive association between ECV and liver shear stiffness measured by MRE. Increased liver stiffness is an imaging biomarker that correlates with increasing liver fibrosis but may also be present in the setting of liver congestion, prior to the development of fibrosis. Our findings suggest that there is an association between hepatic and cardiac fibrosis in Fontan patients. There are several possible explanations for these findings: (1) progressive cardiac fibrosis and diastolic dysfunction result in adverse haemodynamics, which in turn hasten the progression in liver stiffness and fibrosis; (2) liver fibrosis results in activation of cardiac fibrosis as is well described in ‘cirrhotic cardiomyopathy’, which is also associated with a phenotype of diastolic dysfunction; or (3) there is a profibrotic milieu in Fontan patients, which drives the development of cardiac and hepatic fibrosis and is associated with increased circulating MMPs and TIMPs. In support of the latter, MMPs and TIMPs have been shown in other populations to be elevated in both cardiac and hepatic fibrosis (figure 5).

Elucidating the precise mechanism of both hepatic and myocardial fibrosis will require more detailed molecular studies and could provide therapeutic targets for diastolic dysfunction that could delay or prevent Fontan circulatory failure.

Limitations
While the ‘deep phenotyping’ of a Fontan cohort presented herein offers an in-depth analysis of fibrosis, there are important limitations present. First, the cohort size is small and derived from a single centre. Further multicentre studies will be needed to validate our results in other Fontan cohorts. Second, due to the use of CMR, patients with pacemakers and defibrillators were excluded. These devices are used in up to 13% of patients with Fontan circulation and are frequently associated with worse clinical outcomes; excluding these patients...
elminates the opportunity to better assess if fibrosis is a mechanism of their deterioration. Third, sicker patients and patients with symptoms could be over-represented in this study, as these patients are more likely to be evaluated by cardiac catheterisation. However, during the study period, we implemented a Fontan management protocol that included the use of routine surveillance cardiac catheterisation, which we suspected helped to offset this concern. Furthermore, not all patients had liver MRE in this cohort although we found many significant associations in the small group who had MRE. Lastly, this cross-sectional study did not evaluate for longitudinal changes in Fontan haemodynamics, myocardial fibrosis or liver stiffness. This will be the target of future research.

CONCLUSION

In adolescents and young adults with Fontan circulation, adverse resting and stress haemodynamics—indicative of diastolic dysfunction—are associated with myocardial fibrosis. The presence of elevated circulating MMPs and their tissue inhibitors are associated with both myocardial fibrosis and increased liver stiffness. These findings suggest the presence of a profibrotic milieu in some patients with Fontan circulation, which is associated with diffuse myocardial fibrosis, diastolic dysfunction and elevated liver stiffness.
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