Association of Inherited Thrombophilia with Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in a Population of Lebanese Women: A Case Control Study
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Abstract

Recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) complication is a challenge of reproductive medicine due to its often unknown etiology. A case-control study was carried out between June 2019 and April 2020 to examine the correlation between RPL and inherited thrombophilia (IT), namely mutations in factor V Leiden (FVL G1691A), prothrombin (FII G20210A), and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR C677T). A total of 120 Lebanese women with RPL was studied and compared, for the frequency of these mutations, to 100 healthy reproductive Lebanese women. The association between the zygosity status of the three tested mutations, the existence of more than one prothrombotic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and the increased risk of RPL were examined using Chi-square or two-tailed Fisher exact test, and the student t test. The predictive factors of RPL were analyzed using a multiple logistic regression model. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Our results showed statistically significant higher frequencies of FVL G1691A and FII G20210A mutations among the cases with RPL compared to the control group. Thus, RPL is associated with FVL G1691A and FII G20210A mutations. These mutations seem to increase the risk of RPL in the Lebanese women. Therefore, we suggest thrombophilia screening and adequate genetic counseling for women with RPL and at high-risk to plan for primary prevention, avoiding thromboembolic or obstetric accidents, and reducing the associated morbidity and mortality among Lebanese women.
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In obstetrics, IT was shown to be a risk factor for maternal venous thromboembolism (TE) (8). Despite of the increasing number of studies that showed an association between RPL and IT, conflicting results exist (9). In addition, much uncertainty exists regarding the utility of thrombophilia testing in the routine investigation of RPL (3).

This study aimed to determine the frequency of FVL G1691A, FII G20210A, and MTHFR C677T mutations in a population of Lebanese women with RPL history and also, survey its correlation with RPL. Between June 2019 and April 2020, this case-control study was carried out in several Obstetrics and Gynecology clinics located in the nine governorates of Lebanon. The women with RPL; who experienced two or more pregnancy losses prior to 20 weeks of gestation participated in our case group (n=120). And a group of 100 healthy Lebanese women with no history of pregnancy loss and with at least 2 successful pregnancies made our control group in this study. Both cases and control subjects were Lebanese women. Women with anatomical abnormalities, vaginal infections, and systemic diseases were excluded from the case group, whereas women with
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a history of pregnancy complications or miscarriage were excluded from the control group.

A standardized questionnaire was used to collect general data and to assess the medical history of all participants.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Beirut Arab University, Lebanon (IRB number: 2019H-0099-HS -R-0368). The procedures used in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of Beirut Arab University institutional research committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Three ml of venous blood was collected from each participant in Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid tubes for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Macherey-Nagel Nucleospin Blood kit (NucleoSpin blood; Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co KG, (740951.50, Germany). Amplification reactions were performed using the MJ MiniTM Bio-Rad thermal cycler, according to the protocol described in the ThromboStrip- Opegen kit (3.117.016.53.000, Operon, Zaragoza, Spain). The following coagulation genes: FVL G1691A, FII G20210A, and MTHFR C677T were simultaneously amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

The detection of mutations was performed using the ThromboStrip- Opegen kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, Hybridization of PCR products was performed at 42°C in a thermo-shaker adjusted to a speed of 450 rpm with a strip membrane bearing covalently-linked DNA probes that recognize each gene amplified by PCR. Following hybridization, several washes were done to eliminate nonspecific binding. The hybridization was then detected by incubating the membrane strip with a streptavidin-peroxidase conjugate, followed by the addition of peroxidase substrate (3,3’,5,5’-Tetramethylbenzidine or TMB). The probes for each gene, one for the normal sequence, one for the mutated sequence, and control probe lines of strip positioning, showed the pattern of each variant. Three possible results could be expected: no mutation, homozygous or heterozygous mutant.

Data were analyzed with a general linear model procedure of Statistical Package Software for Social Science (IBM SPSS, version 22.00, IBM Corp, Armonk, N.Y, USA). The Chi-square or 2-tailed Fisher exact test, and the student t-test were used. † Statistically significant, BMI; Body mass index, SD; Standard deviation, and TE; Thromboembolism.

Higher frequencies of FVL G1691A and FII G20210A mutations were observed in the study cases in comparison with the control group (Table 2). In contrast, no significant difference was shown in the frequency of MTHFR C677T mutation between the two groups, respectively (66.66 vs. 62%, P=0.57).

Data are presented as mean ± SD or n (%). Chi-square or two-tailed fisher’s exact test, and the student t-test were used. † Statistically significant.

Table 2: Prevalence of FVL G1691A, FII G20210A, and MTHFR C677T variants in cases with RPL and the control group

| Variable          | Cases (n=120) | Control (n=100) | P value |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| FVL G1691A mutation | 25 (20.83) | 9 (9) | 0.01† |
| FII G20210A mutation | 10 (8.33) | 2 (2) | 0.03† |
| MTHFR C677T mutation | 80 (66.66)| 63 (62) | 0.57  |
| >1 mutation       | 28 (23.33) | 10 (10) | 0.009† |

Data are presented as n (%). Chi-square test was used. RPL; Recurrent pregnancy loss and †; Statistically significant.

The frequency of occurrence of more than one mutation in the same subject was significantly higher in the cases with RPL history compared to the control group, respectively (23.33% vs. 10%, P=0.009).

In addition, the frequency in heterozygous women for the FII (AG) mutation was significantly higher in the case group than the control group (6.66% vs. 1%, respectively), (P=0.03). In contrast, no statistical difference was observed between our groups in the FVL (AG) (14.16% vs. 8%, P=0.15) and MTHFR (CT) (56.66% vs. 57%, P=0.96) heterozygosity frequency, respectively (Table 3).

Moreover, the frequency of homozygotes (AA) was significantly higher in the cases with RPL than the control group (6.66% vs. 1%, P=0.03). However, no statistical difference was observed in the frequencies of homozygotes for the FII (AA) mutation (1.66% vs. 1%, P=0.67) and MTHFR (TT) mutation (10% vs. 5%, P=0.16) in the case and the control groups, respectively.

Multiple logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and to measure the predictive factors...
of RPL. *FVL G1691A* and *FII G20210A* mutations seem to increase the risk of RPL by almost 3-fold and > 4-fold (OR: 2.70, 95% CI: 1.17 to 6.00; OR: 4.45, 95% CI: 0.95 to 20.82, respectively). The *MTHFR C677T* mutation was not associated with an increased risk for RPL (OR: 1.17, 95% CI: 0.67 to 2.04). Data are summarized in Table 4.

**Table 3:** Genotype distribution of *FVL G1691A, FII G20210A* and *MTHFR C677T* in women with RPL and the control group

| Variable | Genotype | Cases (n=120) | Controls (n=100) | P value |
|----------|----------|---------------|------------------|---------|
|         | GG       | 95 (79.16)    | 91 (91)          | 0.01†   |
| *FVL G1691A* | AA       | 8 (6.66)      | 1 (1)            | 0.03†   |
|         | AG       | 17 (14.16)    | 8 (8)            | 0.15    |
|         | Total mutation | 25 (20.83)   | 9 (9)            | 0.01†   |
|         | GG       | 110 (91.66)   | 98 (98)          | 0.03†   |
| *FII G20210A* | AA       | 2 (1.66)      | 1 (1)            | 0.67    |
|         | AG       | 8 (6.66)      | 1 (1)            | 0.03†   |
|         | Total mutation | 10 (8.33)     | 2 (2)            | 0.03†   |
|         | CC       | 40 (33.33)    | 38 (38)          | 0.47    |
| *MTHFR C677T* | TT       | 12 (10)       | 5 (5)            | 0.16    |
|         | CT       | 68 (56.66)    | 57 (57)          | 0.96    |
|         | Total mutation | 80 (66.66)    | 62 (62)          | 0.47    |

RPL: Recurrent pregnancy loss and †: Statistically significant.

**Table 4:** Predictive factors of RPL in the multiple logistic regression analysis

| Variable | Cases (n=120) | Control (n=100) | OR       | 95% CI |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| *FVL G1691A* mutation | 25            | 9               | 2.70†    | 1.17-6.00† |
| *FII G20210A* mutation | 10            | 2               | 4.45†    | 0.95-20.82† |
| *MTHFR C677T* mutation | 80            | 63              | 1.17     | 0.67-2.04 |
| > 1 mutation | 28            | 10              | 2.73†    | 1.25-5.96† |
| Hypertension | 19            | 7               | 2.49†    | 1.00-6.21† |

RPL: Recurrent pregnancy loss, OR; Odds ratio, CI; Confidence interval, and †; Statistically significant.

In this study, a relatively high prevalence of *FVL G1691A, FII G20210A*, and *MTHFR C677T* variants has been observed in our groups, case and control, (20.83% vs. 9%, 8.33% vs. 2%, and 66.66% vs. 62%, respectively) which was in line with previous reports on the Lebanese population (10, 11). Similar results were seen in related ethnic populations such as Palestinian, Jordanian, Turkish, Syrian, Greek, and Greek-Cypriot, suggesting that eastern Mediterranean populations have a relatively high prevalence of these mutations (12-15).

Consistent with our results, the *FII G20210A* mutation was reported and identified as a risk factor for early RPL (16), and the *FVL G1691A* mutation as a common risk factor associated with early and late RPL (17, 18).

In addition, our results are supported by a meta-analysis whose findings show an increased risk of venous TE in pregnancy with *FVL G1691A* and *FII G20210A* carrier state (19).

Similarly, in Saudi Arabia, *FVL G1691A* and *FII G20210A* mutations were found to increase significantly the risk of RPL (20), which is in agreement with other findings in Iran and Turkey (17, 21). However, contradicting findings were reported in these same countries showing no correlation between the occurrence of RPL and mutations in *FVL* and *FII* (22, 23).

Moreover, regional and ethnic variations have been shown to affect the risk of RPL associated with *FVL G1691A* mutation. Indeed, a significant correlation has been found between *FVL G1691A* mutation and RPL in studies conducted in Asia, Africa, Europe, and the Middle-east, rather than Latin and North America (24). Our study supports this finding and identifies the *FVL G1691A* mutation as a risk factor for RPL in the Lebanese population.

Our case group showed a significant higher prevalence of heterozygous *FII (AG)* mutation in comparison with the control group, supporting Foka et al. (25) study that an increased frequency of *FII G20210A* was reported in women with RPL. Homozygous *FII (AA)* mutation was observed in our study cases with RPL and the control group at a prevalence of 1.66% and 1%, respectively. However, the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.67). Although, it is well established that the heterozygous and homozygous types of *FII G20210A* mutation predispose to a 3 to 8, and 18 to 80 times higher risk of thrombotic events, respectively (26), in our study homozygous *FII (AA)* mutation was not found to be a risk factor for RPL. This could be explained by the fact that RPL is a multifactorial condition, and one risk factor could not be enough for its occurrence.

Interestingly, when analyzing the frequency of women heterozygous for the *FVL G1691A* mutation in both groups, heterozygous *FVL (AG)* mutation alone, was not found to be a risk factor for RPL (P=0.1512). However, in contrast to our findings, a recent study conducted in Turkey, as well as other reports and meta-analyses, confirm that an increased risk of RPL was reported in women carriers of the *FVL G1691A* mutation (17, 18).

Our results suggest that homozygous *FVL (AA)* mutation could increase the risk of RPL, supporting previous study that showed an increased risk of developing venous TE during pregnancy with the *FVL G1691A* mutation, and largely when women bear the homozygous type of the mutation (8).

Assessing the prevalence of *MTHFR C677T* variant, it was not found to be a significant risk factor for RPL even in homozygosity pattern (P=0.57), that was in contrast to a study that showed homozygous women for the *MTHFR (TT)* mutation had a 2-3 fold-increased risk of early fetal loss in comparison to CC genotype women (27).

In addition, our study has shown an increased risk for RPL in women presenting more than one mutation, which was in agreement with previous findings that showed women with concurrent polymorphism for the three tested
mutations are at a greater risk for RPL in comparison with women with a single mutation (28).

In the present study, FVL G1691A and FII G20210A mutations were found to be associated with almost 3-fold and > 4-fold increased risk of RPL, respectively. However, the MTHFR C677T mutation was not associated with an increased risk for RPL. These data were in accordance with a previous report in which women with FVL G1691A or FII G20210A mutations, but not MTHFR C677T mutation had higher risks of developing RPL (24).

Surprisingly, our results are in contrast with a previous report on the Lebanese population, where no association has been found between adverse pregnancy outcomes and FVL G1691A, FII G20210A, and MTHFR C677T mutations (11). The described inconsistency could be due to differences in the type of obstetric complications, control selection, and inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, thrombophilia is a multifactorial disorder involving both genetic and environmental risk factors.

This gene-environment interplay could affect the pathogenesis of thrombophilia and could result in biased estimates even though confounding factors were controlled in our study. The influence of unknown confounders cannot be ruled out. This could be the most important limitation of our study, in addition to limited data collected from the study participants due to timing and convenience.

In our study, a statistically significant association has been found between RPL and mutations in FVL and FII in Lebanese women. However, even though an increasing number of studies have found such a correlation, yet, there is no consensus for genetic testing and counseling in the RPL cases. Altogether, our results could offer a strong argument in support of a change in current practices. Therefore, thrombophilia screening could be advocated for women at high risk of thrombotic episodes, allowing a better prognosis. Finally, early diagnosis of thrombophilia, genetic counseling, and gynecological monitoring could be of high benefit to prevent pregnancy complications in women with RPL and/or at high risk by proposing adequate therapeutic management and prophylaxis.
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