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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to identify genomic regions associated with carcass traits in an experimental Nelore cattle population. The studied data set contained 2,306 ultrasound records for longissimus muscle area (LMA), 1,832 for backfat thickness (BF), and 1,830 for rump fat thickness (RF). A high-density SNP panel (BovineHD BeadChip assay 700k, Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) was used for genotyping. After genomic data quality control, 437,197 SNPs from 761 animals were available, of which 721 had phenotypes for LMA, 669 for BF, and 718 for RF. The SNP solutions were estimated using a single-step genomic BLUP approach (ssGWAS), which calculated the variance for windows of 50 consecutive SNPs and the regions that accounted for more than 0.5% of the additive genetic variance were used to search for candidate genes. The results indicated that 12, 18, and 15 different windows were associated to LMA, BF, and RF, respectively. Confirming the polygenic nature of the studied traits, 43, 65, and 53 genes were found in those associated windows, respectively for LMA, BF, and RF. Among the candidate genes, some of them, which already had their functions associated with the expression of energy metabolism, were found associated with fat deposition in this study. In addition, ALKBH3 and HSD17B12 genes, which are related in fibroblast death and metabolism of steroids, were found associated with LMA. The results presented here should help to better understand the genetic and physiologic mechanism regulating the muscle tissue deposition and subcutaneous fat cover expression of Zebu animals. The identification of candidate genes should contribute for Zebu breeding programs in order to consider carcass traits as selection criteria in their genetic evaluation.
Introduction

Beef cattle production in tropical and subtropical regions is predominantly based on *Bos indicus* (Zebu) breeds and their crosses with *Bos taurus*. Even though Zebu animals have adaptive advantages to tropical conditions over Taurine breeds, these animals still have lower reproductive efficiency, lower beef tenderness, higher age at slaughtering, lower proportion of fat in the carcass [1,2]. The challenge for livestock production system in (sub)tropical countries is producing beef to satisfy consumer demands for quantity and quality and at the same time.

The *longissimus* muscle area (LMA) is an efficient indicator of the carcass yield, carcass muscularity [3], carcass weight, fat and muscle traits in steers [4]. The subcutaneous fat covering over the *longissimus* muscle acts minimizing evaporative weight loss of the carcass in the cooler besides being an efficient carcass-finishing indicative [5]. Furthermore, subcutaneous fat thickness is related to beef quality through protecting the muscle from cold-shortening which occur after the slaughter in the carcass cooling process. The conventional refrigeration of carcasses after slaughter may result in tougher meat, thus, an adequate quality carcass must have enough fat thickness to guarantee its preservation and desirable quality for consumption [6]. In addition, the beef cattle market specifications require a fat thickness higher than the usually produced by Zebu breeds. However, the low propensity to subcutaneous fat deposition is a problem in Zebu breeds, which have lower proportion of subcutaneous and intramuscular fat percentage than *Bos taurus* breeds [7, 8].

Favourable genetic correlations between subcutaneous fat and reproductive traits reported by Caetano et al. [9] indicated that high subcutaneous fat deposition could denote early finishing and result in sexually more precocious animals. On the other hand, undesirable genetic correlation between subcutaneous fat with weight gain and residual feed intake have been reported [9, 10] and caused some concerns in the authors.

The use of genomic information has been a standard procedure for genetic evaluation in animal and plant breeding programs in order to improve quantitative traits. This procedure has been especially helpful for traits that are hard or expensive to measure and consequently not routinely recorded. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) aim to identify regions in the genome that are associated with phenotypes and have been applied in many traits including carcass traits [11]. A common method used for GWAS analyses is based on testing one marker at time as fixed effect. However, this approach assumes absence of population structure, which is very unlikely to happen when it is working on real data. An alternative to traditional GWAS consists to integrate all genotypic and phenotypic information available (from genotyped and ungenotyped animals) in one-step procedure (single-step GWAS) that allows the use of any model, and all relationships simultaneously [12].

Most of genomic studies for carcass traits have been applied on Taurine breeds in temperate regions [13]. Moreover, there is a need to study those traits in Zebu breeds under tropical conditions to elucidate the genetic architecture of carcass traits in these breeds. The purpose of this study was to identify associations between chromosomal regions with carcass traits such as *longissimus* muscle area (LMA), subcutaneous rump fat thickness (RF), and subcutaneous backfat thickness (BF) in an experimental Nelore cattle population.

Material and Methods

Data

The analysed Nelore cattle data set was provided by the APTA Beef Cattle Center—Institute of Animal Science (IZ), Sertãozinho, SP, Brazil. This herd has three experimental lines: a selection line (NeS) which has been selected for yearling weight since 1978; the traditional line (NeT)
which has been submitted to the same selection criterion as NeS but, eventually, receives animals from other herds; and a control line (NeC) selected for average yearling weight (stabilizing selection). Ethics committee of the School of Agricultural and Veterinarian Sciences (FCAV), Sao Paulo State University (UNESP) and APTA Beef Cattle Center—Institute of Animal Science approved this study.

The data set contained pedigree information on 9,529 animals, of which 2,306 had ultrasound records for *longissimus* muscle area (LMA), 1,832 for backfat thickness (BF), and 1,830 rump fat thickness (RF). The ultrasound animals were born from 1996 to 2013. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for all the studied traits.

The herd management consisted on keeping the animals on pasture until seven months of age, when they were weaned. After this period, males and females (females born in 2004, 2005 and from 2008 to 2011) were submitted to a performance test on feedlot.

Serial ultrasound data were collected in vivo at 12 and 18 months of age for males and females, respectively. The difference among the age of phenotypic ultrasound measurement was mainly due the differed after weaning management applied for each sex. The animals were scanned by the PIE MEDICAL—Aquila equipment with probe of 7 inches and 3,5MHz, and then, the images were analysed using the Echo Image Viewer 1.0 (Pie Medical Equipment B. V., 1996) software. The probe was perpendicularly positioned between the 12th and 13th ribs on the left side to obtain the LMA and BF phenotypes. To obtain the RF phenotype, the probe was positioned at intersection of *Gluteus Medius* and *Biceps Femoris* muscles, which is located between ilium and ischium bones.

**Genotyping Procedure**

DNA was extracted from blood samples which were collected in 9 ml K$_2$EDTA Vacutainer blood collection tubes (BD Diagnostics) by jugular venepuncture. These samples were inverted to mix and prevent clotting, immediately placed in isothermic boxes, and transferred to the laboratory. During sampling animals were handled by qualified professionals who observed the procedure of well-being and safety of all animals.

A high-density SNP panel (BovineHD BeadChip assay 700k, Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) was used for genotyping. SNP markers with minor allele frequency (MAF) and call rate less than 2% and 98%, respectively, were excluded. Also, samples with a call rate less than 90% were not considered in analyses. After genomic data quality control, 437,197 SNPs from 761 animals were available, of which 721 had phenotypes for LMA, 669 for BF, and 718 for RF trait (Table 1).

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics for *longissimus* muscle area (LMA), backfat thickness (BF) and rump fat thickness (RF) traits.**

| Traits      | Mean (SD)          | Np       | Ngp    |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|--------|
|             | Male              | Female   | Male   | Female | Male   | Female |
| LMA (cm$^2$) | 53.59(8.86)       | 47.90(7.98) | 1,384  | 922    | 471    | 250    |
| BF (mm)     | 1.93(0.92)        | 2.54(1.49) | 1,002  | 830    | 437    | 232    |
| RF (mm)     | 4.40(1.84)        | 5.76(2.92) | 916    | 914    | 469    | 249    |

SD = Standard deviation; Np = number of animals with phenotypic records; Ngp = number of genotyped animals with phenotypic records.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169860.t001
included as random effects. Analyses were performed using GIBBS2f90 [14, 15]. The genetic and residual variance were obtained as follows:

\[
\text{var} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H \sigma^2_a & 0 \\ 0 & I \sigma^2_e \end{bmatrix}
\]  

where \( \sigma^2_a \) and \( \sigma^2_e \) are total additive genetic and residual variances, respectively, \( a \) is the vector of direct additive genetic effects, \( e \) is a vector of residual effects, and \( H \) is a matrix that combines pedigree and genomic relationships, and its inverse consists on the integration of additive and genomic relationship matrices, \( A \) and \( G \), respectively [15]:

\[
H^{-1} = A^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G^{-1} - A^{-1}_{22} \end{bmatrix}
\]  

Where \( A^{-1} \) is the inverse of relationship matrix based on pedigree information, \( G^{-1} \) is the inverse of genomic relationship matrix, which was constructed and scaled as described by VanRaden [16], and \( A^{-1}_{22} \) is the inverse of pedigree-based relationship matrix for genotyped animals. The \( H \) matrix was built scaling \( G \) based on \( A^{-1}_{22} \) considering that the average of diagonal of \( G \) is equal to average of the diagonal of \( A^{-1}_{22} \) and average of off diagonal \( G \) is equal to average off diagonal \( A^{-1}_{22} \).

The general model can be represented as follows:

\[
Y = Xb + Za + e,
\]  

where \( Y \) is the vector of phenotypic observations, \( X \) is an incidence matrix of phenotypes and fixed effects, \( b \) is the vector of fixed effects, that included contemporary groups (sex and year of birth), and age of ultrasound measurement as a covariable (linear and quadratic effects), \( Z \) is an incidence matrix that relates animals to phenotypes. The principal components analyses made based on genomic relationship matrix (G) revealed population sub-structure (Fig 1).

Thus, the linear effect of the two principle components was considered in the model as covariable to correct for sub-structure of population as suggested by Price et al. [17].

Assumptions were:

\[
E[y] = Xb, \quad \text{var}[y] = Z\Sigma Z' + R
\]  

with \( \Sigma = \text{var}(a) = H\sigma^2_a \) and \( R = I\sigma^2_e \) in the single-trait model, where \( H\sigma^2_a \) is the additive genetic variance and \( I\sigma^2_e \) the residual variance, \( H \) is described above and \( I \) is the appropriate
identity matrix. An inverted chi-square distribution was used for the prior values of the direct and residual genetic variances.

The posterior conditional distributions of \( \beta \), \( a \), and \( e \) effects were sampled from a multivariate normal distribution. The analysis consisted of a single chain of 300,000 cycles with a "burn-in" of 100,000 cycles, taking a sample every 10 iterations. Thus, 20,000 samples were used to obtain the parameters. Chain convergence was assessed by visual inspection. The posterior estimates were obtained retrospectively using the POSTGIBBSF90 program [14].

**Single Step Genome Wide Association Study (ssGWAS)**

The analyses were performed using the single-step GWAS methodology [12] considering the same linear animal model used to estimate the (co) variance components before mentioned. The animal effects were decomposed in genotyped (\( a_g \)) and ungenotyped (\( a_n \)) animals as described by Wang et al. [12], with the animal effect of genotyped animal:

\[
ag = Zu, \quad (5)
\]

where \( Z \) was a matrix that related genotypes of each locus and \( u \) was a vector of marker effects. The variance of animal effects was assumed as:

\[
\text{var}(a_g) = \text{var}(Zu) = ZDZ'\sigma^2_u = G\sigma^2_a, \quad (6)
\]

where \( D \) was a diagonal matrix of weights for variances of markers (\( D = I \) for GBLUP), \( \sigma^2_u \) was the genetic additive variance captured by each SNP marker when the weighted relationship matrix (\( G' \)) was built with no weight.

Thus, the SNP effects were obtained following equation, as described by Wang et al. [12]:

\[
\hat{u} = \lambda DZ'G^{-1} \hat{a}_g = DZ'[ZDZ']^{-1} \hat{a}_g \quad (7)
\]

where \( \lambda \) was defined by VanRaden et al. [18] as a normalizing constant, as described below

\[
\lambda = \frac{\sigma^2_u}{\sigma^2_a} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} 2p_i(1-p_i)} \quad (8)
\]

\( M \) was the number of SNPs and \( p_i \) was the frequency of the second allele in the \( i \)-th SNP.

The following iterative process described by Wang et al. [12] was used considering \( D \) to estimate the SNP effects: 1. \( D = I \); 2. To calculate GEBVs for all animals in data set using ssGBLUP; 3. To calculate the SNP effect: \( \hat{u} = \lambda DZ'G^{-1} \hat{a}_g \); 4. To calculate the variance of each SNP: \( d_i = \hat{u}^2 2p_i(1-p_i) \), where \( i \) is the \( i \)-th marker; 5. To normalize the values of SNPs to keep constant the additive genetic variance; 6. To calculate the \( G \) matrix; 7. Exit, or loop to step 2.

The effects of markers were obtained by 2 iterations from step 2 to 6 as showed by Wang et al. [12]. The percentage of genetic variance explained by \( i \)-th region was calculated as described by Wang et al. [19]:

\[
\frac{\text{var}(a_i)}{\sigma^2_a} = \times 100\% = \frac{\text{var}(\sum_{j=1}^{50} z_i u_j)}{\sigma^2_a} \times 100\% \quad (9)
\]

where \( a_i \) is genetic value of the \( i \)-th region that consists of 50 consecutive SNPs, \( \sigma^2_a \) is the total genetic variance, \( Z_i \) is vector of SNP content of the \( j \)-th SNP for all individuals, and \( \hat{u}_i \) is marker effect of the \( j \)-th within the \( i \)-th region.

Analyses were performed using BLUPf90 family software [14] modified to include genomic information [15]. The results were presented by the proportion of variance explained by each window of 50 SNPs with average of 280 Kb.
Search for Associated Genes

The chromosome segments that explained more than 0.5% of additive genetic variance were selected to explore and determine possible quantitative trait loci (QTL). The Map Viewer tool of bovine genome was used for identification of genes, available at “National Center for Biotechnology Information” (NCBI - http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) in UMD3.1 bovine genome assembly and Ensembl Genome Browser (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html). The classification of genes according to its biological function, identification of metabolic pathways and enrichment of genes was performed using the "Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v. 6.7" (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/), GeneCards (http://www.genecards.org/), and UniProt (http://uniprot.org).

Results

The posterior distribution for heritabilities estimated in this study are shown in Table 2. The known genes located in the associated windows are presented per each studied trait in Tables 3–5. A total of 12, 18 and 15 different windows were found associated to LMA, RF, and BF traits, respectively. In addition, many uncharacterized genes (LOC) were found in those regions associated to all studied traits. However, as mentioned by Fragomeni et al. [20], GWAS results should be carefully interpreted avoiding to determine an association as a causative effect since many QTL have been described for different traits but just a few of them have been validated by others studies. General information about all results of ssGWAS for all the studied traits are described in S1–S3 Files.

A total of 28 known genes and 15 uncharacterized genes were found associated to LMA (Fig 2 and Table 3). The first cluster from enrichment analysis for LMA was related to processes that stop, prevent or reduce the rate of cell death by apoptotic process (S1 Table). In addition, the second cluster was related to DNA repair, mechanisms that minimize acute damage to the cell’s overall integrity and response to DNA damage stimulus. Both processes are dependent on each other, because failures in DNA repair will increase the rate of cell death.

A total of 42 known genes and 23 uncharacterized genes (located on BTA1, BTA2, BTA7, BTA9, BTA10, BTA11, BTA14, BTA16, BTA21, and BTA29) were found in those windows associated to BF (Fig 3 and Table 4). The clusters obtained by the genes enrichment analysis for BF were related to ATP-binding, transcription regulation, and protein amino acid phosphorylation (S2 Table). Many genes that are related to lipids and fat expression were found in the windows associated with BF.

A total of 32 known genes and 19 uncharacterized genes (located in BTA2, BTA5, BTA6, BTA9, BTA13, BTA14, BTA15, BTA19, and BTA20) were found in the windows associated to RF (Fig 4). Some of those regions have been reported to be associated with lipid production.

Table 2. Variance components, heritability estimates and their confidence interval for longissimus muscle area (LMA), backfat thickness (BF), and rump fat thickness (RF) carcass traits.

| Traits | $\sigma^2_a$ | $\sigma^2_e$ | $h^2$ | 95% Confidence Interval for $h^2$ |
|--------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|
|        | mean ± SD   | mean ± SD   | mean ±SD | CL  | CU   |
| LMA    | 17.94±2.29  | 19.80±1.56  | 0.47±0.05 | 0.44 | 0.51 |
| BF     | 0.21±0.04   | 0.56±0.03   | 0.28±0.05 | 0.24 | 0.31 |
| RF     | 0.80±0.14   | 1.75±0.11   | 0.31±0.05 | 0.28 | 0.35 |

$\sigma^2_a =$ additive genetic variance; $\sigma^2_e =$ residual variance; SD = standard deviation; $h^2 =$ heritability; CL, 95% = lower limit for 95% confidence intervals; CU, 95% = upper limit for 95% confidence intervals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169860.t002
Table 3. Identification of genes based on additive genetic variance explained by windows of 50 adjacent SNPs for *longissimus* muscle area.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Genes* | Var (%) |
|------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| BTA1       | 5646552–5785986 | GRIK1; LOC101907301 | 1.06    |
| BTA1       | 4012541–4421333  | KRTAP7-1; LOC101906373; LOC101907866; LOC101907950; LOC785105 | 0.51    |
| BTA6       | 69231499–69368947 | CWH43; DCUN1D4; LOC101905687 | 0.75    |
| BTA7       | 89201585–8948769 | LOC104968974; RASA1; CCNH | 0.74    |
| BTA8       | 31762825–31969601 | LOC782470; LOC104969317 | 0.68    |
| BTA14      | 80670924–80817593 | RALYL | 0.67    |
| BTA15      | 74119235–74308370 | LOC101905687; LOC104974311; API5 | 0.61    |
| BTA15      | 74571595–74924022 | HSD17B12; ALKBH3; C15H11orf96 | 4.93    |
| BTA15      | 76538448–76894000 | CHST1; LOC104974325; LOC104974324; SLC35C1; CRY2; MAPK8IP1; C15H11orf94; PEX16; GYLT1B; PHF21A | 0.98    |
| BTA21      | 21279610–215959190 | RLBP1; LOC104975343; TICRR; KIF7; PLIN1; PEX11A; WDR93; LOC104975344 | 0.82    |
| BTA24      | 48873432–49085371 | CTIF | 0.50    |
| BTA28      | 33644873–33873100 | LOC101907374; DLG5 | 0.89    |

*Official gene symbol (assembly UMD_3.1, annotation release 103); Var: Additive genetic variance explained by the 50 adjacent SNPs windows.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169860.t003

Table 4. Identification of genes based on additive genetic variance explained by windows of 50 SNPs for backfat thickness.

| Chromosome | Position (bp) | Genes* | Var (%) |
|------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| BTA1       | 27032026–27189437 | ARHGAP31; TMEM39A; POGLUT1; TIMMDC1; LOC104970865; CD80 | 0.64    |
| BTA2       | 99181462–99535059 | LOC104974311 | 0.51    |
| BTA2       | 11972033–119790546 | HTR2B; PSMD1; ARM9 | 1.35    |
| BTA7       | 104518902–104847810 | LOC786544; GIN1; LOC101905525; PPI5K2; LOC101905593; C7H5orf30; LOC786544 | 0.70    |
| BTA9       | 40405496–40651230 | METTL24; CDC40; WASF1; LOC104969538 | 0.51    |
| BTA10      | 41293230–41672195 | LOC104973133 | 0.54    |
| BTA11      | 26550217–26891283 | SLC3A1; LOC101906743; PREPL; CAMKMT | 0.52    |
| BTA11      | 70031657–701252506 | LOC101905929; LOC104968430 | 0.66    |
| BTA14      | 21074886–21224382 | PRKDC; MCM4; LOC10139903 | 1.62    |
| BTA14      | 22714764–22909901 | PCMTD1; LOC104974020; ST18 | 0.70    |
| BTA14      | 24376195–24543370 | XKR4 | 0.61    |
| BTA14      | 24874608–25102663 | LYN; RPS20; MOS; PLAG1; CHCHD7 | 1.89    |
| BTA14      | 25036969–25492467 | PANK; LOC101907687 | 0.62    |
| BTA16      | 60839894–61165403 | LOC100292981; LOC101902436; LOC104972944; MIR2285X; RASAL2; LOC101902850 | 0.74    |
| BTA21      | 6054709–6291692 | LOC104975304; LOC100031305; LOC101907131; ASB7; LINS; CERS3 | 0.66    |
| BTA29      | 46115170–46387810 | DOC2G; NUDT8; TBX10; LOC508879; UNC93B1; ALDH3B1; NDUF88; TCIRG1; CHKA; SUV420H1; LOC104976291 | 2.59    |

*Official gene symbol (assembly UMD_3.1, annotation release 103); Var: Additive genetic variance explained by the 50 SNPs windows.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169860.t004
These findings confirm the polygenic nature of fat deposition. The clusters obtained by the genes enrichment analysis of RF are related to ATP binding, response to hormone stimulus and intracellular signalling cascade (S3 Table).
A window located on BTA14 (at 24.87–25.11 Mb) was associated to both fat deposition traits, BF and RF, where the LYN, RPS20, MOS, PLAG1, and CHCHD7 genes are located.

**Discussion**

The confidence interval for posterior distribution of heritability was very accurate for all studied traits; the range was always within 4 points from the mean. This narrow posterior distribution indicates a good adjustment of the H matrix to the structure of analysed population. Heritability values showed that a great part of phenotypic variance was due to additive genetic effects. Thus, it is important to identify the genes involved in these traits expression, especially major effects genes.

Several known genes that have their functions previously reported associated to the expression of energy metabolism were confirmed associated with fat deposition in this study. In addition, genes such as the ALKBH3 and HSD17B12, which are related in fibroblast death and metabolism of steroids, were found associated with longissimus muscle area.

The ALKBH3 gene (found in a window that most explained additive genetic variance of LMA located in BTA15) codifies an intrinsic DNA repair protein that suppresses transcription associated DNA damage at highly expressed genes [21]. Even though this gene has not been associated for those traits in a cattle population, Nay et al. [22] reported that deleting it in mice made fibroblasts more susceptible to death. Still in this same associated window, the HSD17B12 gene is located. This gene is a member of the hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase superfamily, involved in the metabolism of steroids, retinoids, bile and fatty acids that apparently can be related in metabolic pathways involved in tumor [23]. Considering that tumor results from uncontrolled cells multiplication, it could be related to DNA repair.

The HTR2B gene, located on BTA2 (Table 4), was found in a window associated to BF. This gene has been previously associated to fat deposition in humans [24] and it is known that it encodes one of the several different receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin). The serotonin hormone acts as a neurotransmitter, a hormone, and a mitogen. Two candidate genes were found in the window that explained the greatest part of genetic variance (BTA29): ALDH3B1 and CHKA genes. The ALDH3B1 gene had its function related to oxidation of lipid-derived aldehydes generated in the human plasma membrane [25] and is associated to diabetes in humans [26]. The biological process of the CHKA gene is related to lipid biosynthesis and it has been reported differentially regulated in mice by high fatty acids levels [27]. Even though some genes found in the associated windows have not been directly associated to the expression of these traits in previous researches, it is important to discuss a bit about their functions.

The XKR4 gene (placed in a window associated with BF located on BTA14) was previously reported by Porto Neto et al. [27] to be associated with RF in a recent genome-wide association study in Belmont Red and Santa Gertrudis breeds. The authors found association between RF and three SNPs within XKR4 gene (P < 0.001), one of the SNPs alone explained 5.9% of the
additive genetic variance. This gene has also been reported as a candidate gene for residual feed intake, average daily feed intake and average daily gain \[28\]. In addition, Bastion et al. \[29\] suggested the XKR4 gene participates in the regulation of prolactin secretion in cattle.

A window located on BTA14 (at 24.87–25.11 Mb) was associated to both fat deposition traits, BF and RF (Table 4 and Table 5). In this associated window were found the PLAG1, CHCHD7, MOS, RPS20, LYN, and RDHE2 (also known as SDR16C5) genes. There are evidences that these genes are directly associated with the expression of many traits in beef cattle. Independent studies have reported association between PLAG1 gene with fat, residual feed intake, carcass, performance and reproductive traits in beef cattle breeds including Nelore, Japanese Black and Korean cattle \[30–35\]. The PENK gene, also located in a window on BTA14 (at 25.20–25.49 Mb), was previously reported associated with residual feed intake in a swine population \[36\]. The windows that these two genes, PENK and PLAG1, are located (at 24.87–25. 10 Mb and 25.20–25.49 Mb of BTA15) explained a great part of additive genetic variance of both fat deposition traits, BF and RF. Thus, once they are juxtaposed and with possible physical disequilibrium, it is hard to say whether these genes have independent function or the associated windows where they are located are in linkage disequilibrium. Karim et al. \[37\] reported that causative mutation in the PLAG1–CHCHD7 intergenic region affected the bovine stature in a European breed. Nishimura et al. \[30\] re-examined this region in a Japanese Black cattle founding three QTL for carcass weight and strongly suggested that it was identical to the causative variation reported by Karim et al. \[37\]. There are evidences that these genes have influence on human height \[38, 39\].

Even for similar traits such as BF and RF, which are highly phenotypic \((0.61)\) and genetic \((0.79 ± 0.05)\) correlated \[40\] differing in the site of fat deposition, they cannot be considered the same trait. Thus, differences in the genomic regions that influence these traits were found here, which might be due epigenetic effect that may regulate them. Li et al. \[41\] concluded that methylation differences between adipose depots are dependent on their location. The genes that act depositing lipids in several regions are not always the same, the methylation depends on the region where these genes are located.

Genes related with fat deposition were found in windows that explained a great part additive genetic variance of RF trait. The CAPN5 and MYO7A (located at 57.29–57.43 Mb of BTA15) genes are associated with blood cholesterol level in humans and with abdominal fat in chicken \[42, 43\]. In addition, the LUM gene (located at 20.99–21.12 Mb of BTA5) was related with omental fat deposition in humans \[44\]. The BAI3 gene, placed in a region of BTA9 acts on the fusion of myoblast and it is expressed on extracellular matrix \[45\] that participates in the formation of adipose tissue.

Some others genes had their expression identified in skeletal muscle and performance capability on adipose tissue, since that tissue are juxtaposed and have many genes with common acting. According to Wang et al. \[46\], the RPS6KB1 gene (located at 10.84–11.30 Mb of BTA19) is sub expressed in skeletal muscle of calves which were experimental fed with high levels of protein. In addition, the DCN gene (located at 20.99–21.12 Mb of BTA5) operates in intramyocellular space co-acting with myostatin gene \[47\] and it is in extracellular matrix with highly potential to contribute for fat deposition \[48\].

Considering that longissimus muscle area, backfat thickness and rump fat thickness traits are governed by many variants with small effects, the results in the present study confirm the polygenic effect of these traits. On the other hand, it is important to highlight that while several DNA regions with larger effects have been detected, some of these may not be due to variants but could be mark chromosome segments from important ancestors or just be sampling noise. In this study, 437,197 SNPs effects were predicted from about 700 data points (DGVs). With so few points, there is a statistical possibility that a limited number of SNP could provide good
prediction as shown by cross-validation just by chance. Stam [49] pointed out that the number of independent chromosome segments due to small effective population size is small. Therefore, it is possible that GWAS as performed here may have a limited resolution and could be subjected to high sampling noise. However, our results are very consistent and many genomic regions with known genes that have their functions already described were confirmed associate to the studied traits. Besides the known genes, many uncharacterized genes were found in the associated windows. In this manner, information about the associations found in this study might be used in future studies with the intention of characterization of those genes. Such studies would be used to confirm the association between genes in those chromosomal regions and carcass traits.

The results presented here should help to better understand the genetic and physiologic mechanism regulating the muscle tissue deposition and subcutaneous fat cover expression of Zebu animals. The analysed data set contained information from proved animals of an experimental herd, which have progenies participating in many breeding programs in several regions of Brazil. Despite the polygenic nature of the studied traits, some genes found in the associated windows, such as \textit{ALKBH3} and \textit{HSD17B12} are more likely to be related to \textit{longissimus} muscle area, as well as the \textit{PLAG1}, \textit{CAPN5}, \textit{MYO7A} and \textit{XKR4} genes, which are possibly associated with fat deposition in Nelore cattle. Thus, the identification of these genes should contribute for future studies to validate them to use as candidate genes for Zebu breeding programs.
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