Probe Anomalous tqγ couplings through Single Top Photoproduction at the LHC
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Abstract

In this work we study the constraints on the anomalous tqγ (q=u, c) couplings by photon-produced leading single top production and single top jet associated production through the main reaction pp → pγp → pt → pW(→ ℓν)bj + X and pp → pγp → ptj → pW(→ ℓν)bj + X assuming a typical LHC multipurpose forward detectors in a model independent effective lagrangian approach. Our results show that: for the typical detector acceptance 0.0015 < ξ1 < 0.5, 0.1 < ξ2 < 0.5 and 0.0015 < ξ3 < 0.15 with a luminosity of 2 fb−1, the lower bounds of κtqγ through leading single top channel (single top jet channel) are 0.0130 (0.0156), 0.0218 (0.0206) and 0.0133 (0.0165), respectively, correspond to Br(t → qγ) ∼ 3 × 10−5. With a luminosity of 200 fb−1, the lower bounds of κtqγ are 0.0041 (0.0048), 0.0069 (0.0064) and 0.0042 (0.0051), respectively, correspond to Br(t → qγ) ∼ 4 × 10−6. We conclude that both channels can be used to detect such anomalous tqγ couplings and the detection sensitivity on κtqγ is obtained.
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1 Introduction

The top quark is the heaviest known elementary particle which makes it an excellent candidate for new physics searches. One possible manifestation of new interaction in the top quark sector is to alter its couplings to the gauge bosons. Such anomalous couplings would modify top production and decay at colliders. The most widely studied cases are the $t\bar{t}V$, with $V = \gamma$, $Z$, $g$, and $tbW$ three-point functions. In addition, the flavor change neutral current (FCNC) interactions $tqV$, with $q = u$, $c$, will also offer an ideal place to search for new physics. They are very small in the Standard Model (SM). For instance, while radiative B-meson decays have branching ratios of order $\text{Br}(b \to s\gamma) \sim 10^{-4}$, typical FCNC top quark decays, such as $t \to cZ$, $t \to c\gamma$ and $t \to cg$, are highly suppressed by GIM mechanism with SM branching ratios of order at most $10^{-14}$, $10^{-13}$ and $10^{-12}$\cite{1,2}, respectively, which in practice are impossible to be measured. In this instance any positive observation of these transitions would be signal presence of new physics. Actually, $t \to cV$ have been studied in various new physics models beyond the SM \cite{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}. There they often predict much larger FCNC top quark decay interactions which can be explored in future collider experiments.

In addition to the direct top quark decays, production of top quarks by FCNC interactions can also be used to probe such vertices. Studies have been presented at linear colliders \cite{18,19,20,21,22,23,24}, lepton-hadron colliders \cite{25,26,27,28}, as well as hadron colliders \cite{24,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40}, see also reference there in. In this paper, we study the $tq\gamma$ anomalous couplings through the leading single top photoproduction and single top jet associated photoproduction via the main reaction $pp \to p\gamma p \to pt \to pW(\to \ell\nu) b + X$ and $pp \to p\gamma p \to ptj \to pW(\to \ell\nu) bj + X$ assuming a typical LHC multipurpose forward detectors in a model independent effective lagrangian approach. Feynman diagrams for these processes present with anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings arise from the initial photon. Similar studies was presented in Ref.\cite{25} and tried to study $tq\gamma$ coupling through $\gamma b \to Wb$ at CLIC+LHC ep colliders while recently moved to the
photon-proton (γp) collision in Ref. [41]. In addition, feasibility studies of anomalous κ_{tqγ} via single top photoproduction at the LHC have also been carried out in Refs. [42, 43, 44]. Typically, our study will also include the single top jet associated production channel.

Photon-induced processes have been measured by CDF collaboration, i.e., the exclusive lepton pairs production [45, 46], photon photon production [47], dijet production [48] and charmonium (J/ψ) meson photoproduction [49], etc, through photon-photon (γγ) or γp interactions. Studies of these leptons, photon and heavy particle productions might be possible and open new field of studying γγ and γp collisions with very high energy but very low backgrounds. Indeed, ATLAS and CMS collaborations have programs of forward physics with extra updated detectors located in a region nearly 100m-400m close to the interaction point [50, 51]. Technical details of the ATLAS Forward Physics (AFP) projects can be found, for example, in Ref. [52]. A brief review of experimental prospects for studying photon induced interactions are summaries in Ref. [53]. By using forward detector equipment one can eliminate many serious backgrounds and this is one of the advantages of studying the photoproduction processes. We summarize some phenomenological studies on photon produced processes here: standard model productions [42, 43, 54], supersymmetry [55, 56], extra dimensions [57, 58, 59], unparticle physics [60], top triangle moose model [61], gauge boson self-interactions [62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71], neutrino electromagnetic properties [72, 73, 74], the top quark physics [41, 42, 43, 44] and triplet Higgs production [75], etc.

The possibility of adding forward proton detectors to both the ATLAS and CMS experiments has received quite some attention since the possibility of forward proton tagging would provide a very clean environment for new physics searches. Our paper is organized as follow: we build the calculation framework in Section 2 include a brief introduction to the anomalous tqγ couplings, Equivalent Photon Approximation implementation, general photoproduction cross section. Section 3 is arranged to present the selected processes and numerical results as well as the signal and background analysis. In Section 4 we present the bounds on anomalous tqγ couplings at the future LHC. Finally we summarize our conclusions in the last section.
2 Calculation Framework

The effective Lagrangian involving anomalous tqγ (q=u, c) couplings can be written as

\[ L = ie q^\mu q^\nu \Lambda \kappa_{tq\gamma} u A^\mu + ie c^\mu c^\nu \Lambda \kappa_{tc\gamma} c A^\mu + \text{h.c.}, \]  

(1)

where \( \Lambda \) is an effective scale which we set equal to the top quark mass \( m_t \) by convention. Usually the value of \( \Lambda \) should be at the TeV scale. For the other choice of \( \Lambda \) the results can be rescaled by \( (m_t \Lambda)^2 \). \( e, e_t \) are the electric charge of the electron and the top quark, respectively. \( \sigma_{\mu\nu} \) is defined as \( (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu) \) with \( \gamma_\mu \) the Dirac matrices. \( q^\nu \) is the photon 4-vector momentum. \( \kappa_{tq\gamma} \) and \( \kappa_{tc\gamma} \) are real and positive anomalous FCNC couplings.

As the SM predictions for \( \Gamma(t \to q\gamma) \) are exceedingly small, we need only consider \( t \to q\gamma \) decays mediated by the anomalous tqγ interactions, which can be considered at the next-to-leading order (NLO)[76] and resulted for the final decay widths \( \Gamma(t \to q\gamma) \):

\[ \Gamma(t \to q\gamma) = \Gamma_0(t \to q\gamma) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left[ -3\ln \left( \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} \right) - 2\pi^2 + 8 \right] \right\} \]  

(2)

with the leading order (LO) decay width obtained from Eq.(1) as \( \Gamma_0(t \to q\gamma) = \frac{3}{32\pi^3} \lambda_{eW}^2 \frac{m_t}{\Lambda^2} \) with \( \alpha_{eW} = \frac{1}{137} \). It is convenient to relate the branching ratios \( \text{Br}(t \to q\gamma) \) to the FCNC partial widths of the top-quark as

\[ \text{Br}(t \to q\gamma) = \frac{\Gamma(t \to q\gamma)}{\Gamma(t \to W^+b)}. \]  

(3)

The decay width of the dominant top-quark decay mode \( t \to W^+b \) at the LO and the NLO could be found in Ref.[77], and is given below

\[ \Gamma(t \to W^+b) = \Gamma_0(t \to W^+b) \left( 1 + \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \left[ 2\left( 1 - \beta_W^2 \right) \frac{\left( 2\beta_W^2 - 1 \right) \left( \beta_W^2 - 2 \right) \ln(1 - \beta_W^2)}{\beta_W^4 \left( 3 - 2\beta_W^2 \right)} \right] \right) \]  

\[ -\left[ \frac{9 - 4\beta_W^2}{3 - 2\beta_W^2} \ln(\beta_W^2) + 2\text{Li}_2(\beta_W^2) - 2\text{Li}_2(1 - \beta_W^2) - \frac{6\beta_W^4 - 3\beta_W^2 - 8}{2\beta_W^2 \left( 3 - 2\beta_W^2 \right)} \right] \right\}, \]  

(4)

where \( \Gamma_0(t \to W^+b) = \frac{G_{Fm_W^2}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi} |V_{tb}|^2 \beta_W^4 (3 - 2\beta_W^2) \) is the LO decay width and \( \beta_W = (1 - m_W^2/m_t^2)^{1/2} \) is the velocity of the W-boson in the top-quark rest frame.

Present constraints on the FCNC tqγ couplings come from the following experimental bounds: The CDF collaboration[78] has performed a direct search for FCNC top
decays and has placed the 95% confidence level (C.L.) limits on the branching fractions $\text{Br}(t \rightarrow q\gamma) < 3.2\%$ ($q=u, c$), which gives the constraint of $\kappa_{tq\gamma} \leq 0.26$. The ZEUS collaboration\cite{79} provide at 95% C.L. the effective FCNC coupling $\kappa_{tq\gamma} < 0.174$ with the assumption of $m_t = 175$ GeV. The current limits from H1 collaboration are $\kappa_{tq\gamma} < 0.305$\cite{80}. These constraints will be improved significantly by the large top quark sample to be available at the LHC. In particular, both the ATLAS\cite{81} and CMS\cite{82} collaborations have presented their sensitivity to these rare top quark decays induced by the anomalous FCNC interactions\cite{83}.

In addition, both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations are considering the possibility of adding forward proton detectors in experiments. Different from usual proton-proton (pp) Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS), incoming protons dissociate into partons, jets will be made from the proton remnants which create some ambiguities and make the new physics signal detection suffer from incredible backgrounds, $\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma p$ collisions can provide more clean environment. In this case, the quasi-real photons emitted at very low virtuality from protons, leave the radiating proton intact, thus providing an extra experimental handle (forward proton tagging) to help reduce the backgrounds. Study the sensitivity of the anomalous FCNC interactions on the $\gamma\gamma$ or $\gamma p$ collisions will give complementary information for normal pp collisions. Deflected protons and their energy loss will be detected by the forward detectors with a very large pseudorapidity. Photons emitted with small angles by the protons show a spectrum of virtuality $Q^2$ and the energy $E_\gamma$. This is described by the Equivalent Photon Approximation (EPA)\cite{84} which differs from the point-like electron (positron) case by taking care of the electromagnetic form factors in the equivalent $\gamma$ spectrum and effective $\gamma$ luminosity:

$$\frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma dQ^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1}{E_\gamma Q^2} \left[ (1 - \frac{E_\gamma}{E})(1 - \frac{Q_{\text{min}}^2}{Q^2}) \right] F_E + \frac{E^2}{2E^2} F_M$$

with

$$Q_{\text{min}}^2 = \frac{M_p^2 E_\gamma^2}{E(E - E_\gamma)}, \quad F_E = \frac{4M_p^2 G_E^2 + Q^2 G_M^2}{4M_p^2 + Q^2},$$

$$G_E^2 = \frac{G_M^2}{\mu_p^2} = (1 + \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2})^{-4}, \quad F_M = G_M^2, \quad Q_0^2 = 0.71 \text{GeV}^2.$$
where $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant, $E$ is the energy of the incoming proton beam which is related to the quasi-real photon energy by $E_\gamma = \xi E$ and $M_p$ is the mass of the proton. $\mu_p^2 = 7.78$ is the magnetic moment of the proton. $F_E$ and $F_M$ are functions of the electric and magnetic form factors. The intact protons with some momentum fraction loss is described by the formula $\xi = (|p| - |p'|)/|p|$, which is defined as the forward detector acceptances.

We denote the photoproduction processes as

$$pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow p + \gamma + q/\bar{q}/g \rightarrow p + i + j + k + ... + X$$

with $q=u, d, c, s, b$ and $i, j, k, ...$ the final state particles. The hadronic cross section at the LHC can be converted by integrating $\gamma + q/\bar{q}/g \rightarrow i + j + k + ...$ over the photon and quark spectra:

$$\sigma = \int \sqrt{\xi_{\max}} \xi(z_{\min}, \xi_{\max}) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_{Q_{\min}^2}^{Q_{\max}^2} \frac{dN_\gamma}{dx_1dQ^2} G_{g,q/p}(x_2, \mu_f) \cdot \int \frac{1}{\text{avgfac}} |M_n(\hat{s} = z^2s)|^2 \frac{2\hat{s}(2\pi)^{3n-4}}{z_2} d\Phi_n,$$

where $x_1$ is the ratio between scattered quasi-real photons and incoming proton energy $x_1 = E_\gamma/E$ and $\xi_{\min}(\xi_{\max})$ are its lower (upper) limits. $x_2$ is the momentum fraction of the proton momentum carried by the gluon (quark). The quantity $\hat{s} = z^2s$ is the effective center-of-mass system (c.m.s.) energy with $z^2 = x_1x_2$. $M_{\text{inv}}$ is the total mass of the related final states. $2z/x_1$ is the Jacobian determinant when transform the differentials from $dx_1dx_2$ into $dx_1dz$. $G_{g,q/p}(x, \mu_f)$ represent the gluon (quark) parton density functions, $\mu_f$ is the factorization scale. $\text{avgfac}$ is the times of spin-average factor, color-average factor and identical particle factor. $|M_n|^2$ presents the squared n-particle matrix element and divided by the flux factor $[2\hat{s}(2\pi)^{3n-4}]$. $d\Phi_n$ and $\Phi_n$ are the n-body phase space differential and its integral depending on $\hat{s}$ and particle masses.
3 The Processes and Numerical Results

We implement the anomalous interaction vertices deduced from the Lagrangian (see in Eq. (1)) into FeynArts and use FeynArts, FormCalc and LoopTools (FFL) packages\cite{85, 86, 87} to create the amplitudes and perform the numerical calculation for both the signal and background. We adopt CT10\cite{88} PDF for the parton distributions for collider physics and BASES\cite{89} to do the phase space integration while Kaleu\cite{90} to cross check. We take the input parameters as $M_p = 0.938272046$ GeV, $\alpha_{ew}(m_Z^2) - 1|_{\overline{MS}} = 127.918$, $m_Z = 91.1876$ GeV, $m_W = 80.385$ GeV\cite{91} and we have $\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - (m_W/m_Z)^2 = 0.222897$. For the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$, we take $\alpha_s = 0.118$. We set the factorization scale to be $\mu_f = \mu_0 = m_t/2$. Throughout this paper, we set the quark masses as $m_u = m_d = m_c = m_s = m_b = 0$ GeV, $m_e = 0.510998910$ MeV, $m_\mu = 105.658367$ MeV. The top quark pole mass is set to be $m_t = 173.5$ GeV. By taking $\alpha_{ew}(m_Z^2) - 1|_{\overline{MS}} = 127.918$ and $\alpha_s(m_t^2) = 0.1079$, we obtain $\Gamma_t = 1.41595$ GeV from Eq. (1). The colliding energy in the pp c.m.s. is assumed to be $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at future LHC with its luminosity taken to be a running parameter. Based on the forward proton detectors to be installed by the CMS-TOTEM and the ATLAS collaborations we choose the detected acceptances to be\cite{62, 63, 92}

- CMS – TOTEM forward detectors with $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$
- CMS – TOTEM forward detectors with $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$
- AFP – ATLAS forward detectors with $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$

which we simply refer to $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$ and $\xi_3$, respectively.

3.1 Direct Single Top Photoproduction

The first single top photoproduction with anomalous tq$\gamma$ interactions we consider is the direct leading single top production via the process

$$pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pt \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b + X \quad (9)$$
Figure 1: Partonic Feynman diagrams for $\gamma q \rightarrow W(\rightarrow \ell \nu_l) + b$ with $q=u, c$. Black blobs represent the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings parameterized by Eq.(1).

where $q=u$, $\bar{u}$, $c$, $\bar{c}$. The Feynman diagrams for the subprocess $\gamma q \rightarrow t \rightarrow W(\rightarrow \ell \nu_l)b$ is presented in Fig.1(2) corresponds to the signal and Fig.1(1,3,4) correspond to its irreducible background. The black blobs in these figures represent the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings parameterized by Eq.(1) and the anomalous FCNC couplings $\kappa_{tu\gamma}$ ($\kappa_{tc\gamma}$). Full effects of the top quark leptonic decay modes ($t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow \ell \nu_lb$, with $\ell = e, \mu$) are taken into account ($\tau$ leptons are ignored).

In this case, the studied topology is simply one of a tagged b-jet, one isolated, either positive or negative, lepton $\ell^{\pm}$, and a missing transverse momentum from the undetected neutrino. In addition to the irreducible background from Fig.1(1,3,4), the main background comes from associated production of W boson and the light jets with jet faking a b-jet. Though jet charge can be a possibility for labeling jets, it is not well measured experimentally, we can not use charge to separate them. In our analysis, we assume a b-jet tagging efficiency of $\epsilon_b = 60\%$ and a corresponding mistagging rate of $\epsilon_{\text{light}} = 1\%$ for light jets (u, d, s quark or gluon) and $\epsilon_c = 10\%$ for a c-jet, consistent with typical values assumed by the LHC experiments[93].

For the direct leading single top production, we impose a cut of pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$ for the final state particles since central detectors of the ATLAS and CMS have a pseudorapidity coverage 2.5. The general acceptance cuts for both the signal and
background events are:

\[ p_T^{\text{jet}} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}, \ p_T^{b} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}, \ p_T^{\ell} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}, \ E_T^{\text{miss}} \geq 25 \text{ GeV}, \]

\[ |\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 2.5, \ |\eta^{b}| < 2.5, |\eta^{\ell}| < 2.5, \]

\[ \Delta R(jj) > 0.4, \Delta R(jb) > 0.4, \Delta R(\ell j) > 0.4, \Delta R(\ell b) > 0.4, \]

where \( \Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2} \) is the separation in the rapidity-azimuth plane. \( p_T^{\text{jet,ell}} \) are the transverse momentum of jets and leptons and \( E_T^{\text{miss}} \) is the transverse missing energy of the neutrino. These general cuts are the basic cuts we apply in our calculation except special cases where addressed.

Figure 2: The transverse momentum distributions for the jet \( p_T^{\text{jet}} \) of \( pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_j)j \) \( (\ell = e, \mu) \) with basic cuts in Eq.(10). The anomalous coupling is chosen to be \( \kappa_{tu\gamma} = \kappa_{tc\gamma} = \kappa = 0.01(0.03) \). The forward detector acceptance is chosen to be \( 0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5 \). The b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the light jets are taken into account.

The transverse momentum differential cross sections of the final state jets \( p_T^{\text{jet}} \) are given in Fig.2. The anomalous coupling is chosen to be \( \kappa_{tu\gamma} = \kappa_{tc\gamma} = \kappa = 0.01(0.03) \) and the forward detector acceptance is chosen to be \( 0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5 \). The b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the light jets and the basic kinematical cuts are
Figure 3: The transverse momentum distributions for the jet ($p_{T}^{\text{jet}}$) of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu)j$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) with basic cuts in Eq. (10) plus invariant mass (W+jet) cut ($150 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 200 \text{ GeV}$[left panel], $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$[right panel]). The anomalous coupling is chosen to be $\kappa_{t\gamma} = \kappa_{c\gamma} = \kappa = 0.01(0.03)$. The forward detector acceptance is chosen to be $0.0015 < \xi_{1} < 0.5$. The $b$-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the light jets are taken into account.

taken into account. In the $p_{T}$ distribution we can clearly see a resonance in the signal which correspond to the top quark. In order to improve the signal to background ratio we can apply an invariant mass cut on the W-jet system around the top quark mass. To determine the invariant mass of the W-jet system, we follow Ref. [25, 32] and reconstruct $p_{t} = p_{\ell} + p_{\nu} + p_{b-jet}$. The transverse momentum of the neutrino can be deduced from the missing transverse momentum. The longitudinal component of the neutrino momentum is given by

$$p_{L}^{\nu} = \frac{\chi p_{T}^{\ell} \pm \sqrt{p_{T}^{\ell} (\chi^2 - p_{T}^{\ell} p_{T}^{\nu})}}{p_{T}^{\ell}}$$

(11)

where $\chi = \frac{m_{t}^2}{2} + p_{T}^{\ell} \cdot p_{T}^{\nu}$ and $p_{L}(p_{T})$ refer to the longitudinal and transverse momenta, respectively. In Fig. 3 the differential cross sections for signal and background processes are given using invariant mass cut $150 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 200 \text{ GeV}$[left panel] and $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$[right panel] in addition to the basic cuts in Eq. (10). We see that the invariant mass cut can reduce the W-jet background obviously while make the signal reduce slightly. To see how the cross sections for signal and background depend on the
final jet ($p_T^{\text{jet}}$) cuts, we present this dependence in Tab.1 with the invariant mass cut taken to be $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$. We find that for small value of $\kappa$, for example, $\kappa = 0.01$, larger $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ cut can reduce the background cross section essentially while make the signal reduce slightly. This can be seen directly by comparing differential cross sections in Fig.2 and Fig.3. We also calculate the statistical significance (SS) for the signal and background on different values of $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ cuts in Tab.2 with the following formula:

$$SS = \sqrt{2[(S + B)\log(1 + \frac{S}{B}) - S]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $S$ and $B$ are the numbers of signal and background events, respectively. $\mathcal{L}$ presents the luminosity of future 14 TeV LHC. $(S, B) = \sigma_{(S,B)} \times \mathcal{L} \times \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is the overall detection efficiency of 0.3 by using this photonproduction channel at the LHC. We can see for $\kappa = 0.01$, statistical significance can be improved with the $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ cuts become larger while for $\kappa = 0.05$, statistical significance is reduced slightly. In Fig.4[left panel], we present the pseudorapidity of final state jet. Parameters and kinematical cuts applied are the same as in the right panel of Fig.3. We can find the difference between the signal and the background. Still, the Wb background is quite small while production of Wc is much larger. However, both of them are smaller than that of Wj contribution. We also reconstruct the top quark in Fig.4[right panel] with $\kappa_{tu}\gamma = \kappa_{tc}\gamma = \kappa = 0.03$. Dotted, dashed and solid curves present the signal, background and their sum respectively. Sharp resonance around 173.5 GeV can be reconstructed direct related to the top quark mass.

In the following calculation, we apply $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 35 \text{ GeV}$ and $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$. In Fig.5, we present the signal cross sections of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) as functions of the anomalous $\kappa_{tq}\gamma$ couplings and three forward detector acceptance: $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. Compare different acceptance regions we see that although lines correspond to $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$ and $\xi_3$ have almost the same features, $\xi_1$ and $\xi_3$ do not differ much from each other while both of them are much larger than cross section of $\xi_2$. We observe from these figures that cross sections are large for high values of $\kappa_{tq}\gamma$ and are sensitive to the anomalous couplings as expected. The SM backgrounds for the main reactions are $\sigma_B = 2.4985 \text{ fb}$ for $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $11$
| Cross Section dependence on $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ Cuts |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| $\sigma (\text{pb})$ | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 25$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 35$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 45$ GeV |
| Signal ($\kappa = 0.01$) | $2.5850 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.3548 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.0561 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Signal ($\kappa = 0.05$) | $0.6461 \times 10^{-1}$ | $0.5888 \times 10^{-1}$ | $0.5141 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $W_b$ | $0.6678 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.5323 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.3788 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $W_c$ | $0.7819 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.6161 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.4541 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $W_j$ | $2.4038 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.8824 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.3776 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Table 1: Signal and background cross section dependence on $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ cuts. Forward detector acceptance is chosen to be $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$. The anomalous couplings $\kappa_{\nu_{\tau} \gamma} = \kappa_{t_{c_{\gamma}}} = \kappa = 0.01(0.05)$. Basic cuts, the invariant mass cut $160$ GeV $< M_{Wj} < 180$ GeV, the b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the c, $\bar{c}$ and light jets are taken into account.

| Statistical Significance(SS) dependence on $p_T$ Cuts |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| $\text{SS}(\kappa = 0.01)$ | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 25$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 35$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 45$ GeV |
| $\mathcal{L} = 2 fb^{-1}$ | $1.00608$ | $1.02037$ | $1.02168$ |
| $\mathcal{L} = 10 fb^{-1}$ | $2.24966$ | $2.28163$ | $2.28454$ |
| $\mathcal{L} = 200 fb^{-1}$ | $10.0608$ | $10.2037$ | $10.2168$ |
| $\text{SS}(\kappa = 0.05)$ | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 25$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 35$ GeV | $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 45$ GeV |
| $\mathcal{L} = 2 fb^{-1}$ | $13.0858$ | $12.8506$ | $12.3932$ |
| $\mathcal{L} = 10 fb^{-1}$ | $29.2606$ | $28.7348$ | $27.7121$ |

Table 2: Statistical significance(SS) dependence on $p_T^{\text{jet}}$ cuts. Forward detector acceptance is chosen to be $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$. The anomalous couplings $\kappa_{\nu_{\tau} \gamma} = \kappa_{t_{c_{\gamma}}} = \kappa = 0.01(0.05)$. Basic cuts, the invariant mass cut $(160$ GeV $< M_{Wj} < 180$ GeV), the b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the c, $\bar{c}$ and light jets as well as the detector simulation effects are taken into account.
Figure 4: The pseudorapidity distributions for the jet ($y_{jet}$) of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu)j$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) with basic cuts in Eq. (10), $p_T^{jet} > 35$ GeV plus invariant mass (W+jet) cut ($160$ GeV < $M_{Wj}$ < $180$ GeV) [left panel] and the reconstruction of the top quark mass [right panel].

Figure 5: The total cross sections of signal processes $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu)b$ as functions of the anomalous $\kappa_{tg\gamma}$ coupling and three forward detector acceptance regions: $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. 
\[ \sigma_B = 0.3311 \text{ fb for } 0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5 \text{ and } \sigma_B = 2.3117 \text{ fb for } 0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15. \] From this point, we see deviation of the anomalous cross section from the SM backgrounds are obvious which might detectable from future experiments.

### 3.2 Single Top Jet Associated Photoproduction

Figure 6: Partonic Feynman diagrams for \( \gamma q/g \rightarrow W(\ell \nu_l)bj \) with \( q = u, c, j = q, \bar{q}, g \). Black blobs represent the anomalous \( tq\gamma \) couplings parameterized by Eq.(1).

The second single top photoproduction with the anomalous \( tq\gamma \) interactions we examined via the main processes

\[
\begin{align*}
pp & \rightarrow \gamma q \rightarrow t\bar{g} \rightarrow W^+bg \rightarrow \ell^+\bar{\nu}_lbg \\
pp & \rightarrow \gamma \bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{t}g \rightarrow W^-\bar{b}g \rightarrow \ell^-\nu_l\bar{b}g \\
pp & \rightarrow \gamma g \rightarrow tq \rightarrow W^+b\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell^+\bar{\nu}_l\bar{b}q \\
pp & \rightarrow \gamma g \rightarrow \bar{t}q \rightarrow W^-\bar{b}q \rightarrow \ell^-\nu_lb\bar{q}
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

with \( q = u, c \), where we simply refer to these processes as \( tj \) productions. The main reactions include parton level photon-quark collision \( \gamma q \rightarrow t\bar{g} \) and photon-gluon collision \( \gamma g \rightarrow tq \). The motivation for the study of \( tj \) process is that: first, \( tj \) associated production is another interesting single top photoproduction through \( \gamma p \) collision at the LHC in addition to the direct single top photoproduction, both study on them would provide
complementary information from one to the other; second, although an additional particle appear in the final state, another \( \gamma g \) collision mode may also appear. Since the larger value of gluon parton distribution function, it will be interesting to find out how this tj channel works to detect the anomalous tq\( \gamma \) couplings. Some Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig.6(1-4). Same as before that the black blobs in these figures represent the anomalous tq\( \gamma \) couplings. Still we concentrate on the semi-leptonic decay of the single top quark, taking \( \ell = e, \mu \). Both the process and its charge-conjugate state are implied. As can be seen, the studied topology of our signal in this case therefore give rise to the \( \ell j j E_T \) signature characterized by two jets, one of them tagged as a b-jet, one isolated, either positive or negative, lepton \( \ell^\pm \), and a missing transverse momentum \((E_T)\) from the undetected neutrino. From this point we can see that the main SM background processes come from mainly two types: the irreducible background and the reducible ones.

The irreducible background comes from the SM process \( pp \to p\gamma p \to W(\to \ell\nu)bj \), which yields the identical final state. In order to get the anomalous tq\( \gamma \) coupling effects, we need to simulate all the signal contributions listed in Eq.(13) precisely as well as these irreducible backgrounds and their interference. The total cross section for these reactions thus can be split into three contributions

\[
\sigma = a_0 + a_1 \kappa_{tq\gamma} + a_2 \kappa_{tq\gamma}^2 
\]  

(14)

where \( a_0 \) is the SM prediction, the term \( a_1 \) linear in \( \kappa_{tq\gamma} \) arises from the interference between SM and the anomalous amplitudes, whereas the quadratic term \( a_2 \) is the self-interference of the anomalous amplitudes. Here we still assume \( \kappa_{tqy} = \kappa_{tcy} = \kappa \). Indeed, our results show that the irreducible background without anomalous couplings (refer to Wbj productions) are small. One reason is the kinematical cuts we have applied (see below) and the other thanks to the small CKM matrix \( V_{qq'} \) where q and q’ are not the same generation. Here we consider all the possibilities including the mix generation cases.

Potentially reducible backgrounds come from various other SM processes that yield different final states which are attributed to the tj signature due to a misidentification of one or more of the final state objects. The most important reducible background processes
Table 3: Signal and Background cross sections after the application of the cuts in Eqs. (10) and 150 GeV < m(\ell\nu_j) < 200 GeV. The b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the c, \overline{c} and light jets are taken into account.

| Process      | Measurable Cross Section[fb] |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| tj(\kappa = 0.01) | 1.1563                       |
| Wb_j         | \sim 0.04                    |
| Wc_j         | \sim 1.43                    |
| Wj_j         | \sim 0.89                    |
| Zjj          | \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})        |
| WW_j         | \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})        |
| WZjj         | < 10^{-5}                   |

The second kind of backgrounds result from Z-bosons decays to leptons, where one lepton is outside the detector coverage (|\eta^\ell| > 2.5) and fakes missing energy. In this case we consider all the Zb_j, Zc_j and Zj_j productions. The third kind of possibility comes from a W^+W^-j production with one W boson decay leptonic and the other W boson decay hadronic and a jet falls outside of detection. Other kinds of backgrounds result from \gamma q/g \rightarrow WZjj where Z boson decay to neutrinos detected as missing energy. Or single top production like rq \rightarrow tbq', with q, q' present light quarks. Just like a Wj production with W couples to a top and b quark. And finally decay to \ell^\pm\nu_j j_j with a jet falls outside of detection. These contributions are quite small and can be safely neglected thus not considered in our calculation.

Tab. 3 summarises the signal and background cross sections after the application of the basic cuts in Eq.(10). However, for the tj production, since the extra jet in this case will be in forward region already, we do not impose the |\eta| < 2.5 in this calculation. In addition to the invariant mass cut of the b-jet, the charged lepton and the neutrino system (m(\ell\nu_j)) close to the top mass has also been included. So that we can require
the final state to be consistent with the \( t\bar{t}(\ell\nu_j) \) production. Since we have two jets in the final state, we require a random one satisfy \( 150 \text{ GeV} < m(\ell\nu_j) < 200 \text{ GeV} \) will pass into our selections. During calculation, we consider all the backgrounds listed in Tab.3 except the ones that can be safely omitted. We can notice in Tab.1 that the ratio of the dominant \( W_j \) background and the \( W_c \) background is about a factor three. One would expect a similar ratio for the \( W_{jj} \) and \( W_{cj} \) backgrounds in Tab.3 or at least the \( W_{jj} \) background should dominant over the \( W_{cj} \) one. However, it is not the case in Tab.3. Same as the signal, the main reactions for the \( W_{jj} \) and \( W_{cj} \) backgrounds also include parton level photon-quark collision \( \gamma q \rightarrow W_{jj}(W_{cj}) \) and photon-gluon collision \( \gamma g \rightarrow W_{jj}(W_{cj}) \). For the contribution from photon-quark collision, before considering the rejection factors for the \( c, \bar{c} \) and light jets, \( W_{jj} \) cross sections are order of 30 times larger than \( W_{cj} \) as expected. After considering the rejection factors the ratio of these photon-quark collision contribution for \( W_{jj} \) and \( W_{cj} \) is about a factor three. This is the same as for the ratio of the \( W_j \) and \( W_c \) backgrounds as shown in Tab.1. However, dominant contribution for \( W_{jj} \) and \( W_{cj} \) production indeed come from photon-gluon collision. Though contribution for \( \gamma g \rightarrow W_{cj} \) and \( \gamma g \rightarrow W_{jj} \) are of the same order, they are much larger than contribution from \( \gamma q \) collisions. In this case, total contribution for \( W_{jj} \) is only order of six times over \( W_{cj} \). After consider the rejection factors, we thus get final order of results as shown in Tab.3.

To see how the cross sections and statistical significance depend on the \( m(\ell\nu_j) = M_{W_j} \) cut, we also require \( 160 \text{ GeV} < m(\ell\nu_j) < 180 \text{ GeV} \) and compare it with the former case \( (150 \text{ GeV} < m(\ell\nu_j) < 200 \text{ GeV}) \) in Tab.4. We see by applying the invariant mass of \( 160 \text{ GeV} < m(\ell\nu_j) < 180 \text{ GeV} \), the signal is reduced slightly while the backgrounds can be reduced obviously thus leading to a better signal over background ratio and higher statistical significance. The statistical significance for different values of \( L \) is presented in the Tab.4. In the following calculation we apply \( 160 \text{ GeV} < m(\ell\nu_j) < 180 \text{ GeV} \).

In Fig.7 we plot the transverse momentum \( (p_T^{\ell, \text{jet}}) \) and rapidity \( (y^{\ell, \text{jet}}) \) distributions for the charged leptons and the leading jet. Here two jets are ordered on the basis of their transverse momentum while the leading one means the one with larger transverse
Cross Section and Statistical Significance dependence on $M_{Wj}$ [GeV] Cuts

| $\sigma$(fb) | $150 < M_{Wj} < 200$ | $160 < M_{Wj} < 180$ |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Signal($\kappa = 0.01$) | 1.1563 | 1.1067 |
| Background | 2.3624 | 1.0543 |

SS

| $L$ | $150 < M_{Wj} < 200$ | $160 < M_{Wj} < 180$ |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|
| $L = 2fb^{-1}$ | 0.5428 | 0.73013 |
| $L = 10fb^{-1}$ | 1.21391 | 1.63261 |
| $L = 200fb^{-1}$ | 5.42879 | 7.30126 |

Table 4: Signal and background cross section dependence on $M_{Wj} = m(\nu_{lj})$ cuts. Forward detector acceptance is chosen to be $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$. The anomalous couplings $\kappa_{t\nu\gamma} = \kappa_{t\gamma} = \kappa = 0.01$. Other cuts include basic cuts in Eq.(10) and the invariant mass cut $150 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 200 \text{ GeV}$ ($160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$). The b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the c, $\bar{c}$ and light jets, as well as the detector simulation effects are taken into account.
Figure 7: The transverse momentum ($p_{T}^{\ell,jet}$) and rapidity ($y^{\ell,jet}$) distributions for the charged leptons, $\Delta R(jj)$ distribution of final two jets as well as the reconstruction of top mass for $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)jj$ ($\ell = e, \mu$). The anomalous coupling is chosen to be $\kappa_{tq\gamma} = 0.03$. Basic cuts in Eq. (10) and the invariant mass cut $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180 \text{ GeV}$ are considered. The b-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the c, $\bar{c}$ and light jets are taken into account.
momentum. $\Delta R(jj)$ distribution of final two jets as well as the reconstruction of top quark mass are also presented. The anomalous coupling is chosen to be $\kappa_{tq\gamma} = 0.03$. We see that the background and signal contributions can be well separated. The $p_T^{\ell,\text{jet}}$ distribution can be enhanced at very low $p_T$ regions while reduced at high regions. $y^{\ell,\text{jet}}$ peaks not far from $y = 0$ and enhanced obviously in this region. From $\Delta R$ distribution of final two jets we see the background production peaks slightly at $\Delta R(jj) = 3$ while keep almost flat in the front and middle while the signal peaked obviously around $\Delta R(jj) = 3$. Finally, the reconstruction of top quark mass is presented in the last picture of Fig.7. We can clearly see a resonance which corresponds to the top quark with mass of about 173.5 GeV. Dotted and dashed line present the signal and background, and are shown in a ”zoomed in” range of 160 $\sim$ 180 GeV in the little figure. No matter from which one, signal and background distributions show different features that can be used to separate them.

Figure 8: The total signal cross sections of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu_\ell)bj$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) as functions of the anomalous $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$ coupling and three forward detector acceptance regions: $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5, 0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$.

In Fig.8 we present the total signal cross sections of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu_\ell)bj$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) as functions of the anomalous $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$ coupling and three forward detector acceptance regions: $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5, 0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. The behavior of
Table 5: The total background cross sections for both $pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_{\ell})b$ and $pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_{\ell})bj$. Basic cuts, invariant mass cuts, the $b$-tagging efficiency and the rejection factors for the $c$, $\bar{c}$ and light jets are taken into account.

Their productions and their dependence on detector acceptance are the same as that of $pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_{\ell})b$: $\xi_1$ and $\xi_3$ do not differ much from each other while both of them are much larger than cross section of $\xi_2$. Here we present the total background cross sections in Tab.5 for both $pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_{\ell})b$ and $pp \to p\gamma p \to pW(\to \ell\nu_{\ell})bj$ which are needed later in the following data analysis.

4 Bounds for future LHC and the Conclusion

We follow Ref.[41] exactly to obtain the sensitivity limits. Typically, the limits are achieved by assuming the number of observed events equal to the SM background prediction, $N_{\text{obs}} = \sigma_B \times L \times \epsilon$, with $L$ for a given integrated luminosity and $\epsilon$ the detection efficiency. $\sigma_B$ is the cross section of SM background prediction. As can be seen, the SM background events can be less or larger than 10 for different values of the luminosity and different types of the detector acceptances. We thus estimate the sensitivity limits on the anomalous $tq\gamma$ coupling through these two single top photoproduction channels by using two different statistical analysis methods depending on the number of observed events $N_{\text{obs}}$. For $N_{\text{obs}} \leq 10$, we employ a Poisson distribution method. In this case, the upper limits of number of events $N_{\text{up}}$ at the 95% C.L. can be calculated from the formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\text{obs}}} P_{\text{Poisson}}(N_{\text{up}}; k) = 1 - \text{CL}. \quad (15)$$
Values for limits candidate $N_{up}$ can be found in Ref. [91]. The expected 95% C.L. limits on $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$ can then been calculated by the limits of the observed cross section. The integrated luminosity $\mathcal{L}$ will be taken as a running parameter. For $N_{obs} > 10$, a chi-square ($\chi^2$) analysis is performed with the definition

$$\chi^2 = \left( \frac{\sigma_{tot} - \sigma_B}{\sigma_B \delta} \right)^2$$

where $\sigma_{tot}$ is the cross section containing new physics effects and $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ is the statistical error with $N = \sigma_B \times \mathcal{L} \times \epsilon$. The parameter sensitivity limits on anomalous $tq\gamma$ coupling as a function of the integrated luminosity can then be obtained.

![Graph showing 95% C.L. lower bounds for the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings as functions of various integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances of $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. Bounds obtained by using channel $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b$.](image)

Figure 9: 95% C.L. lower bounds for the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings as functions of various integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances of $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. Bounds obtained by using channel $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b$.

We present the 95% C.L. sensitivity limits on the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings as functions of various integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances of $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$ in Fig.9 by using channel $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b$ and in Fig.10 by using channel $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell)b_j$. Choosing which statistical analysis method depends on the number of observed events. Difference of our final results from Ref. [11] mainly due to the different choice of kinematical cuts and the
Figure 10: 95% C.L. lower bounds for the anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings as functions of various integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances of $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$. Bounds obtained by using channel $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu)bj$.

W-jet background simulations. We recalculate the process of $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pWb$ in Ref.[41] and get the same results following their discussions. This can be a check for both calculations. By applying the input parameters listed above and kinematical cuts, i.e., $p_T^{jet} > 35$ GeV for leading single top channel and the invariant mass cut $160 \text{ GeV} < M_{Wj} < 180$ GeV for both channels, our results show that: for the typical detector acceptance $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$, $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$ with a luminosity of $2$ fb$^{-1}$ at the future LHC, the lower bounds of $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$ through leading single top channel (single top jet channel) are $0.0130$ ($0.0156$), $0.0218$ ($0.0206$) and $0.0133$ ($0.0165$), respectively, correspond to $\text{Br}(t \rightarrow q\gamma) \sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$. With a luminosity of $200$ fb$^{-1}$, the lower bounds of $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$ are $0.0041$ ($0.0048$), $0.0069$ ($0.0064$) and $0.0042$ ($0.0051$), respectively, correspond to $\text{Br}(t \rightarrow q\gamma) \sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$, see in Tab[4] for more details. We find that for the typical detector acceptance $0.0015 < \xi_1 < 0.5$ and $0.0015 < \xi_3 < 0.15$, leading single top photoproduction is the better channel to test anomalous $tq\gamma$ couplings than single top jet channel. While for $0.1 < \xi_2 < 0.5$, single top jet channel becomes better. Compare these two single top...
95% C.L. lower bounds for the anomalous tqγ couplings $\kappa_{tq\gamma}$

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{pp} & \rightarrow & p\gamma p & \rightarrow & pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell) b & \text{pp} & \rightarrow & p\gamma p & \rightarrow & pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell) bj \\
\mathcal{L}[\text{fb}^{-1}] & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\
2 & 0.0130 & 0.0218 & 0.0133 & 0.0156 & 0.0206 & 0.01655 \\
200 & 0.0041 & 0.0069 & 0.0042 & 0.0048 & 0.0064 & 0.0051 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 6: 95% C.L. lower bounds for the anomalous tqγ couplings as functions of various integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances of 0.0015 < $\xi_1$ < 0.5, 0.1 < $\xi_2$ < 0.5 and 0.0015 < $\xi_3$ < 0.15. Bounds obtained by using channel pp → pγp → pW(→ $\ell\nu\ell$)b and channel pp → pγp → pW(→ $\ell\nu\ell$)bj.

Photoproduction processes pp → pγp → pW(→ $\ell\nu\ell$)b and pp → pγp → pW(→ $\ell\nu\ell$)bj, both channels can be used to test the anomalous tqγ couplings. These parameter limits (bounds) are also comparable with the other phenomenological studies\[26, 27, 28, 41, 95, 96\] and much better than the constraints from experiments\[78, 79, 80, 83\]. Notice that in Fig.9 and Fig.10, we also present the bounds obtained when the luminosity become larger than 200 fb$^{-1}$, see, up to 1000 fb$^{-1}$. However, we should mention here that as the luminosity become larger, identify the signal under the high pileup running conditions will be challenge: the hadronic background of multiple pp interactions will be so large that any γp process will be completely swamped. This can be a drawback of γp productions. In this case a more detailed study on the experimental effects, i.e., pileup rejection factors, should also be considered. These will and might significantly reduce the constraints on the bounds obtained. However, in our phenomenological study, we keep all the results up to high luminosity with the discussion been focused only up to 200 fb$^{-1}$. Full detector simulation is beyond the scope of this analysis.
5 Summary

In this work, we examine the anomalous tqγ (q=u, c) coupling through photon-produced leading single top production and single top jet associated production through the main reaction \( pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pt \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu_l)b + X \) and \( pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow ptj \rightarrow pW(\rightarrow \ell\nu_l)bj + X \) assuming a typical LHC multipurpose forward detectors in a model independent effective lagrangian approach. Full effects of the top quark leptonic decay modes (\( t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow \ell\nu_l b, \text{with } \ell = e, \mu \)) are taken into account. We have employed Equivalent Photon Approximation (EPA) for the incoming photon beams and performed detailed analysis for various forward detector acceptances (\( \xi \)). We analyse their impacts on both the total cross sections and some key distributions. The full background analysis are considered. Finally, we present the 95% C.L. sensitivity limits on the anomalous tqγ couplings as functions of different integrated luminosity and forward detector acceptances through both channels. With our input parameters and kinematical cuts, results show that: for the typical detector acceptance 0.0015 < \( \xi_1 < 0.5 \), 0.1 < \( \xi_2 < 0.5 \) and 0.0015 < \( \xi_3 < 0.15 \) with a luminosity of 2 fb\(^{-1}\), the lower bounds of \( \kappa_{tq\gamma} \) through leading single top channel (single top jet channel) are 0.0130 (0.0156), 0.0218 (0.0206) and 0.0133 (0.01655), respectively, correspond to \( \text{Br}(t \rightarrow q\gamma) \sim 3 \times 10^{-5} \). With a luminosity of 200 fb\(^{-1}\), the lower bounds of \( \kappa_{tq\gamma} \) are 0.0041 (0.0048), 0.0069 (0.0064) and 0.0042 (0.0051), respectively, correspond to \( \text{Br}(t \rightarrow q\gamma) \sim 4 \times 10^{-6} \). We see that for the typical detector acceptance 0.0015 < \( \xi_1 < 0.5 \) and 0.0015 < \( \xi_3 < 0.15 \), leading single top photoproduction is the better channel to test anomalous tqγ couplings than single top jet channel. While for 0.1 < \( \xi_2 < 0.5 \), single top jet channel becomes better. We conclude that both channels can be used to detect such anomalous tqγ couplings and the detection sensitivity on \( \kappa_{tq\gamma} \) is obtained.
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