The hypoxia-inducible factors HIF1α and HIF2α are dispensable for embryonic muscle development but essential for postnatal muscle regeneration
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Muscle satellite cells are myogenic stem cells whose quiescence, activation, self-renewal, and differentiation are influenced by oxygen supply, an environmental regulator of stem cell activity. Accordingly, stem cell-specific oxygen signaling pathways precisely control the balance between muscle growth and regeneration in response to oxygen fluctuations, and hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are central mediators of these cellular responses. However, the in vivo roles of HIFs in quiescent satellite cells and activated satellite cells (myoblasts) are poorly understood. Using transgenic mouse models for cell-specific HIF expression, we show here that HIF1α and HIF2α are preferentially expressed in pre- and post-differentiation myoblasts, respectively. Interestingly, double knockouts of HIF1α and HIF2α (HIF1α/2α dKO) generated with the MyoDCre system in embryonic myoblasts resulted in apparently normal muscle development and growth. However, HIF1α/2α dKO produced with the tamoxifen-inducible, satellite cell-specific Pax7CreER system in postnatal satellite cells delayed injury-induced muscle repair due to a reduced number of myoblasts during regeneration. Analysis of satellite cell dynamics on myofibers confirmed that HIF1α/2α dKO myoblasts exhibit reduced self-renewal but more pronounced differentiation under hypoxic conditions. Mechanistically, the HIF1α/2α dKO blunted hypoxia-induced activation of Notch signaling, a key determinant of satellite cell self-renewal. We conclude that HIF1α and HIF2α are dispensable for muscle stem cell function under normoxia but are required for maintaining satellite cell self-renewal in hypoxic environments. Our insights into a critical mechanism in satellite cell homeostasis during muscle regeneration could help inform research efforts to treat muscle diseases or improve muscle function.

Satellite cells are the stem cells of skeletal muscle and the cellular source of muscle development, growth, and regeneration (1–4). Satellite cells can not only undergo myogenic differentiation to supply myonuclei to growing and regenerating muscles but also self-renew to maintain the stem cell population (5–7). The balance between self-renewal and differentiation must be precisely controlled to maintain sustainable muscle growth and regeneration (8–10). Defective myogenic differentiation during embryonic development may lead to postnatal muscle atrophy, whereas insufficient self-renewal largely reduces satellite cell population and leads to failure of muscle regeneration in adults (11, 12). Cell fate determination is controlled by both cell intrinsic and extrinsic regulatory mechanisms including extracellular microenvironmental cues (13, 14).

Oxygen is absolutely critical for life and is one of the most important microenvironmental cues that regulates cellular energy metabolism and survival. During embryonic development, the fetus grows in a relatively less oxygenated or hypoxic environment (15, 16). In adults, satellite cells also reside in a hypoxic microenvironment (16). Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are the primary transcription factors mediating the cellular response to low O2 tensions or hypoxia (17). HIFs consist of O2-sensitive α-subunits and O2-insensitive β-subunits. Under normoxia, HIFα subunits are hydroxylated at the proline residue and subjected to ubiquitination and proteolytic degradation. Hypoxia blocks this hydroxylation and stabilizes HIFα subunits, which then form heterodimers with HIFβ units to generate the transcriptional active complex. The dimeric HIFα/HIFβ specifically binds to conserved hypoxia-responsive element to regulate transcription of target genes (17).

There are three known isoforms of HIFα subunits, namely HIF1α, HIF2α, and HIF3α (16–18). Whereas the functions of HIF1α and HIF2α are relatively well known, very little is known about the function of HIF3α due to its late discovery and low expression levels (18–22). Overall, HIFs play distinct and overlapping functions in various tissue and cell types.

In the adult skeletal muscle, exercise induces HIF1α expression, and myofiber-specific disruption of HIF1α results in a decrease in exercise endurance, suppression of oxidative metabolism, and attenuation of glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4)-

This work was supported by a research grant (#294644) from the Muscular Dystrophy Association (to S.K.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

This article contains supplemental Table 1 and Figs. 1 and 2.

1 Both authors contributed equally to this work.

2 To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: skuang@purdue.edu.

3 The abbreviations used are: HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; EDL, extensor digitorum longus; CTX, cardiotoxin; DPI, days post injury; TA, tibialis anterior; 4-OH-TMX, 4-hydroxyl tamoxifen; Ad, adenovirus; NICD, Notch1 intercellular domain; dKO, double knockout; qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR.
HIF1α and HIF2α in muscle regeneration

mediated glucose uptake (23, 24). Global deletion of HIF2α in mice results in ectopic fat deposition in the skeletal muscle (25). Interestingly, HIF1α and HIF2α play distinct roles in fast and slow myofibers. HIF1α plays a role in fast myofiber formation (26, 27). In contrast, HIF2α acts as a positive regulator of slow fiber type through acting downstream of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator 1α (PGC-1α) (25). HIF3α mRNA level is elevated in skeletal muscle after acute exposure to hypoxia or after intermittent hypoxia training, and HIF3α RNAi-treated rats had improved exercise endurance (28). These data suggest that HIFs play key roles in maintaining metabolic and contractile functions of myofibers in adult muscles.

Emerging studies also point to a role of HIF1α in muscle progenitors (myoblasts) in vitro. HIF1α protein is stabilized in cultured myoblasts under hypoxia (20), but the function of HIF1α in myoblasts has been controversial. For example, Gustafsson et al. (31) and Majmundar et al. (30) reported that hypoxia-induced HIF1α accumulation inhibited myoblast differentiation. By contrast, Ono et al. (29) reported that HIF1α knock down inhibited myoblast differentiation under normoxia conditions (30, 31). These results underscore the context-dependent function of HIF1α and further suggest that HIF1α may also function as signaling regulators in addition to their canonical role as a transcription factor (23, 30, 32). Recently, it was reported that HIF1α inhibited ischemia-induced muscle regeneration through inhibiting Wnt signaling (30).

Together, despite the wealthy knowledge of HIF1α and HIF2α in post-differentiation myofibers, the function of HIF1α and HIF2α in muscle stem cells in vivo is poorly understood. In this study we used MyoDCre knockin mice to drive co-deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α, in order to determine the function of HIF1α/HIF2α in embryonic myoblasts. We further used tamoxifen-inducible Pax7CreER mice to drive HIF1α/HIF2α deletion in postnatal satellite cells. We provided the first evidence that HIF1α and HIF2α are dispensable for normal development of skeletal muscles but necessary for proper regeneration of adult muscles after acute injury. Therefore, HIFs play context-dependent roles in embryonic myoblasts and postnatal satellite cells.

Results

MyoDCre-mediated double knock-out of HIF1α and HIF2α did not affect muscle development

Previous studies have shown that HIF1α are indispensable for embryonic development, and global loss of HIF1α leads to lethality (16, 33, 34). HIF2α-deficient mice develop severe vascular defects and show developmental arrest between E9.5 and E12.5 depending on the genetic background (33, 35). Hence, the specific function of HIFs in muscle development remains unclear. As Pax3Cre-mediated deletion of HIF1α results in apparently normal skeletal muscles (30), we sought to examine whether HIF1α and HIF2α play redundant roles in muscle development. To achieve this we developed the HIF1α and HIF2α double knock-out mouse model using the muscle-specific MyoDCre as a driver (MyoD-HIFdKO). Because MyoD is specifically and ubiquitously activated in early embryonic myoblasts, this model should result in deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α in all muscle progenitors and mature myofibers (7, 10).

Surprisingly, the MyoD-HIFdKO mice were born at a normal Mendelian ratio and did not exhibit any morphological abnormality. Specifically, the initial body weight and postnatal growth of MyoD-HIFdKO mice were completely normal (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, the weight and size of various muscles were identical between control and MyoD-HIFdKO mice (Fig. 1B). Muscle morphology was also indistinguishable between the two groups (Fig. 1C). Finally, we measured myofiber number and size of extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles and did not find any differences between control and MyoD-HIFdKO mice (Fig. 1D). These results suggest that HIF1α and HIF2α are dispensable for skeletal muscle development and postnatal growth under normal oxygen conditions.
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Knock-out of HIF1α and HIF2α in satellite cells impedes muscle regeneration

The normal development and growth of skeletal muscles in the MyoD-HIFdKO mice suggest that coordinated angiogenesis and myogenesis may have ensured adequate oxygen supply and rendered HIF1α and HIF2α dispensable for embryonic myogenesis. By contrast, ischemic low oxygen levels (hypoxia) typically occur after muscle injury and during muscle regeneration (36). Indeed, examination of HIF1α and HIF2α expression indicates that HIF1α protein and mRNA levels rise after cardiotoxin (CTX)-induced muscle injury, peaking at 2–3 days post injury (DPI) when active myoblast proliferation occurs (Fig. 2, A and B). However, HIF2α levels decrease dramatically upon injury-induced myofiber degeneration and gradually return to the normal level at the completion of muscle regeneration (Fig. 2C). The in vivo dynamics of HIF1α and HIF2α during muscle regeneration suggest that HIF1α is mainly expressed in myoblasts, but HIF2α is mainly expressed in myofibers. Consistent with this notion, the mRNA level of HIF1α is much higher than that of HIF2α in proliferating myoblasts (Fig. 2D). In contrast, the mRNA level of HIF2α is much higher than that of HIF1α in post-differentiation myotubes (Fig. 2D). These results suggest that regenerative muscles are challenged by hypoxia, and HIF1α and HIF2α may play stage-specific functions in myoblasts and myofibers, respectively.

To identify the role of HIF1α and HIF2α in satellite cell-mediated muscle regeneration, we used satellite cell-specific Pax7CreER to drive double knock-out of HIF1α and HIF2α (Pax7CreER-HIFdKO) (8–10). In this model, HIF1α and HIF2α should be specifically knocked out in satellite cells after tamoxifen induction (i.p. injection). This model also circumvents the cofounding effects of HIF1α/HIF2α KO in myofibers in the MyoD–HIFdKO mice. The control group includes HIF1αf/f and HIF2αf/f mice similarly injected with tamoxifen. To confirm the efficiency of tamoxifen-induced knock-out, we measured the HIF1α and HIF2α mRNA levels in satellite cells isolated from tamoxifen-induced mice (supplemental Fig. S1). This analysis showed that HIF1α and HIF2α were efficiently knocked out in myoblasts.

After tamoxifen-induced deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α in satellite cells, CTX was injected into tibialis anterior (TA) muscles to induce ischemic muscle injury in both control and Pax7CreER–HIFdKO mice. Samples were collected 7 and 21 days post CTX injection (Fig. 3A; see Fig. 5A). Compared with those of control mice, the CTX-injected TA muscles of Pax7CreER–HIFdKO mice exhibited decreased sizes and significantly lower weights at 7 DPI (Fig. 3B and C). In addition, TA muscles of Pax7CreER–HIFdKO mice were poorly regenerated, manifested by fewer newly regenerated, central nucleated myofibers (Fig. 3D). We also measured the regenerated and non-regenerated areas from cross sections of control mice and Pax7CreER–HIFdKO mice. Pax7CreER–HIFdKO mice exhibited a smaller regenerative area as well as a larger non-regenerative region than the littermate control mice (Fig. 3E). However, no morphological differences were observed in regenerted muscles between the control and Pax7CreER–HIFdKO groups at 21 DPI (see Fig. 5, B and C). Thus, satellite cell-specific HIF1α and HIF2α deficiency delayed muscle regeneration after acute injuries.

HIF1α and HIF2α deficiency decreases satellite cell number during muscle regeneration

As satellite cells are the main contributors of muscle regeneration (37), we next investigated if the delayed muscle regeneration is associated with reduced satellite cell number or function. To assess the satellite cell numbers, we used Pax7 and
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**Figure 3. Impaired muscle regeneration in Pax7CreER-HIFdKO mice at 7 days post injury.** A, experimental design. Tamoxifen (TAM) was i.p.-injected daily into Pax7CreER-HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f and HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f mice for 5 days to activate CreER and induce deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α. Muscle injury was induced by injection of CTX. Saline injection into the contralateral TA muscles was performed as non-injury controls. Samples were collected 7 days post injury. B, representative photos of TA muscles 7 days after injury. C, relative weight of TA muscles after regeneration, as shown in B. n = 6 pairs. D, representative images of whole muscle sections (scale bar: 500 μm) and magnified regenerated regions (scale bar: 50 μm). E, quantification of regenerated and non-regenerated areas based on cross sectional areas, as depicted in D. n = 5 pairs. Error bars represent S.D.*, p < 0.05 (Student’s t-test, two-tailed).

CD34 to label satellite cells (38, 39). Pax7-positive cells were measured in both non-injured (saline-treated) and injured (CTX-treated) TA muscles of Pax7CreER-HIFdKO and control mice (Fig. 4A). In the non-injured muscles, the abundances of Pax7+ cells were identical between control and Pax7CreER-HIFdKO muscle (Fig. 4B), suggesting that co-deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α does not affect satellite cells in non-injured resting muscles. In the injured muscles, however, there were significantly fewer numbers of Pax7+ cells per TA cross-sectional areas in Pax7CreER-HIFdKO mice compared with control mice at 7 DPI (Fig. 4B). To confirm this observation, we also counted Pax7+/CD34+ satellite cells in fresh-isolated EDL myofibers (Fig. 4C). Consistent with the TA muscle cross-section results, the numbers of satellite cells per myofiber were identical between control and Pax7CreER-HIFdKO mice in non-injured muscles, but the Pax7CreER-HIFdKO mice had significantly fewer satellite cells after CTX injury at both 7 and 21 DPI (Fig. 4D; see Fig. 5D). These results indicate that although co-deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α does not reduce satellite cells in non-injured muscles, it impairs injury-induced satellite cell proliferation or self-renewal, leading to a reduced number of satellite cells during and after muscle regeneration.

**HIF1α and HIF2α deficiency inhibits self-renewal but promotes differentiation of satellite cells**

To understand if the reduced number of satellite cells in the Pax7CreER-HIFdKO mice during and after regeneration is due to deficiencies in proliferation or self-renewal (4, 40), we performed cell culture assays. To acutely induce HIF1α and HIF2α deletion in cultured myoblasts, we isolated myoblasts from Pax7CreER-HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f and HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f mice and then treated the primary myoblasts with 4-hydroxyl tamoxifen (4-OH-TMX, 40 nM) for 2 days. This treatment led to a >95% reduction in the mRNA levels of HIF1α and HIF2α (supplemental Fig. S1C).

After 72 h of culture, we labeled cells with Pax7 and MyoD (Fig. 6A) and classified the cell status into self-renewal (Pax7+/MyoD+), proliferating (Pax7+/MyoD+), and differentiating (Pax7+/MyoD−) subpopulations (8–10). Under normoxia conditions (21% O2), no differences in cell status distribution were observed between the control and HIF1α/HIF2α dKO groups (Fig. 6B). Under hypoxia (1% O2) conditions, however, HIF1α/ HIF2α dKO myoblasts exhibited significantly reduced self-renewal but increased differentiation without affecting proliferation (Fig. 6B). Consistent with our previous results (41), a higher rate of self-renewal was found in the hypoxia group (22%) compared with the normoxia group (11%) in control myoblasts. However, the hypoxia-enhanced self-renewal was largely diminished by HIF1α and HIF2α dKO (Fig. 6B), indicating that hypoxia promotes self-renewal through HIF1α and HIF2α.

Muscle satellite cells natively reside in a microenvironment surrounded by the sarcolemma and basal lamina (42). To mimic the impact of hypoxia on satellite cell self-renewal in a physiological microenvironment, we isolated EDL myofibers from Pax7CreER-HIFdKO and HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f mice. After culturing under either hypoxia or normoxia conditions for 72 h, satellite cells formed clusters of myoblasts, which were stained with Pax7 and MyoD to determine cell states (Fig. 6C). Consistent with our primary myoblast culture results, both control and HIF1α/HIF2α dKO satellite cells formed identical proportions of self-renewal (Pax7+/MyoD+), proliferating (Pax7+/MyoD+), and differentiating (Pax7+/MyoD−) cells under normoxia conditions (Fig. 6D). Under hypoxia conditions, however, HIF1α/HIF2α dKO reduced the proportion of self-renewal satellite cells and increased the proportion of differentiating cells without affecting the proportion of proliferating cells (Fig. 6D). Together, these results demonstrate that HIF1α/HIF2α dKO reduces self-renewal of satellite cells under hypoxia conditions.

**HIF1α and HIF2α promote satellite cell self-renewal through Notch signaling**

Previous studies have shown that hypoxia activates Notch signaling, which subsequently promotes self-renewal and inhibits differentiation of myoblasts (31, 41). We, hence, hypothesized that HIF1α and HIF2α may promote satellite cell self-renewal through enhancing Notch signaling. To test this hypothesis, we induced deletion of HIF1α and HIF2α by adenovirus (Ad)-Cre/GFP transduction into HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f myoblasts. Ad-GFP was used in parallel as a control (supplemental Fig. S2A). At 24 h after adenovirus infection, the relative mRNA levels of HIF1α and HIF2α were dramatically reduced by the Ad-Cre infection (supplemental Fig. S2B). Ad-Cre also dramatically decreased the levels of HIF1α protein after stab-
lizing with CoCl₂ (Fig. 7, A and B). These results confirm the high efficiency of Ad-Cre-mediated KO of HIF1α and HIF2α.

We next evaluated the extent of Notch activation based on the level of Notch1 intercellular domain (NICD), which is proteolytically cleaved after Notch activation (43, 44). Under normoxia conditions, NICD levels were similar between Ad-GFP and Ad-Cre-treated groups (Fig. 7A), suggesting that HIF1α/H9251 and HIF2α/H9251 dKO do not affect Notch activation under normoxia. However, after 6 h of CoCl₂ treatment (to stabilize HIFs and mimic hypoxia), NICD levels in the Ad-Cre-treated group were significantly lower than that in the Ad-GFP group (Fig. 7B). These results suggest that deletion of HIFs blunts hypoxia-induced activation of Notch in myoblasts. To further confirm this notion, we examined the expression of Notch target genes. In the Ad-GFP-treated control myoblasts, Hes2 and Hey2 were significantly increased, whereas Hes6 was dramatically decreased by CoCl₂ treatment, indicating that hypoxia activates Notch signaling (Fig. 7C). By contrast, CoCl₂ treatment had no effects on the expression of Notch target genes in Ad-Cre-treated HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts (Fig. 7D). Furthermore, whereas CoCl₂ treatment significantly increased the level of Pax7 in control myoblasts, it had no effect on Pax7 expression in HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts (Fig. 7E).

To further define Notch signaling as a downstream target of HIF1α/HIF2α, we performed a luciferase reporter assay. Murine C2C12 myoblasts were transfected with the Renilla luciferase reporter (TP-1) containing the Rpbj binding domain that mediates the transcriptional activity of NICD. The transfected cells were cultured under normoxia and hypoxia in the absence or presence of FM19G11, a pharmacological inhibitor of HIF1α/HIF2α. Hypoxia up-regulated the TP-1 luciferase activity in the absence of FM19G11 (Fig. 7F). However, when HIF1α/HIF2α activity was suppressed by FM19G11, hypoxia could no longer activate the TP-1 luciferase activity (Fig. 7F). These results together demonstrate that HIF1α and HIF2α expression or activity is required for hypoxia-induced activation of Notch signaling and up-regulation of Pax7, the key transcriptional determinant of muscle stem cell fate.

We also tested if activation of Notch signaling can rescue the self-renewal defects of the HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts. To activate Notch signaling in myoblasts, we co-cultured myoblasts with OP9 cells that overexpress Dll1 (45, 46), a Notch ligand. After co-cultured for 72 h under hypoxia, we examined the cell status of myoblasts based on Pax7 and MyoD (Fig. 8A). Consistent with our hypothesis, HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts had self-renewal and differentiation ratios identical to the con-
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**Discussion**

Given the important role of HIFs in mediating hypoxia signaling, it is plausible to assume that their absence should affect muscle progenitor cell function during development. However, previous studies have shown that Pax3-driven knock-out of HIF1α in embryonic myoblasts does not muscle development (30), raising the possibility that HIF2α may have compensated the HIF1α loss-of-function. However, our results demonstrate that myoblast-specific HIF1α/HIF2α dKO mice exhibited normal muscle development and normal myofiber size and number in the adult. These results suggest that HIF1α and HIF2α are dispensable for normal muscle development. At this stage, whether HIF3α plays a compensatory role in the absence of HIF1α and HIF2α has yet to be determined.

After muscle injury, skeletal muscle progenitor cells are challenged by hypoxia due to combined reduction of oxygen supply (caused by degeneration of blood vessels) and higher oxygen (or energy) demand during regeneration (36). Our data, consistent with previous studies show that HIF1α expression is elevated during muscle regeneration, whereas HIF2α is initially decreased but slowly increases during regeneration. These results indicate that HIFs may play stage-dependent roles during muscle regeneration. Our finding that satellite cell-specific HIF1α/HIF2α dKO mice exhibit delayed muscle regeneration is consistent with the reduced (but not completely absence of) satellite cells. Furthermore, our in vitro study demonstrates that hypoxia promotes satellite cell self-renewal in wild type myoblasts but not in HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts. These results indicate that hypoxia-enhanced self-renewal requires HIF1α and HIF2α.

Our previous research has demonstrated that hypoxia promotes satellite cell self-renewal and enhances the efficiency of myoblast transplantation (41). Additionally, the hypoxia-induced enhancement of self-renewal was highly dependent on activation of Notch signaling. Hypoxia also activates Notch signaling in other tissues (22, 47). In the present study we further show that hypoxia activates Notch signaling through HIF1α and HIF2α. Our conclusion is based on strong data showing that HIF1α/HIF2α dKO pharmacological inhibition of HIF1α and HIF2α abolishes hypoxia-elicited activation of Notch signaling and subsequent up-regulation of Pax7, the molecular determinant of self-renewal in satellite cells (12). In this regard the inability of hypoxia to activate Notch signaling underscores the self-renewal deficiencies of HIF1α/HIF2α dKO satellite cells.

In the present study we demonstrate that the HIF-Notch axis controls satellite cell self-renewal during adult muscle regeneration. We show for the first time that injury-induced increase of HIF1α and HIF2α contributes to the maintenance and long term homeostasis of satellite cells through promoting their self-renewal. Interestingly, we found that HIF1α and HIF2α are both dispensable for embryonic myogenesis. There are two potential explanations for this. First, even though developing embryos are in general exposed to a hypoxic environment, all cells in the embryo are not under the same hypoxic condition. It has been reported that cells in various regions of the embryo are challenged by different levels of hypoxia (48). Thus, embryonic muscle progenitors may be sufficiently oxygenated to render HIFs dispensable. As HIFs mediate hypoxia-induced activation
of Notch signaling, an alternative possibility is that embryonic myoblasts exhibit sufficiently high levels of Notch signaling even in the absence of HIF1α and HIF2α. Supporting this notion, we show that activation of Notch signaling by Dll1 ligands rescues the self-renewal deficiencies of HIF1α/HIF2α dKO myoblasts. Indeed, it has been shown that muscle progenitors in embryonic stage intrinsically express high level of Notch (49). During injury, however, a temporal switch from Notch to Wnt signaling is required for normal adult myogenesis (50). Hence, hypoxia-induced activation of Notch may be necessary for switching a subpopulation of activated satellite cells to self-renewal, preventing excessive myogenic differentiation and maintaining a sustainable pool of satellite cells. The inability to activate Notch signaling in the HIF1α/HIF2α dKO satellite cells thus underlies the self-renewal defects. Our finding broadens the understanding of the mechanisms underlying satellite cell homeostasis during muscle regeneration. Such knowledge may lead to insights into development of novel therapies to treat muscle diseases or to improve muscle functions.

Experimental procedures

Animals

All animal experiments were approved by the Purdue Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were housed in the animal facility with free access to water and standard rodent chow. All experimental mice were C57BL/6 from The Jackson Laboratory under catalog no. 012476 (Pax7CreERT2), no. 014140 (MyoDCre),

Figure 6. HIF1α/HIF2α deficiency inhibits self-renewal of satellite cells under hypoxia. A, representative images of Pax7CreERT2-HIFdKO and control primary myoblasts cultured under 21% O2 (normoxia) or 1% O2 (hypoxia) for 48 h and labeled with Pax7 (purple) and MyoD (green). Scale bar: 50 μm. B, percentage of self-renewed (Pax7+ MyoD−), proliferating (Pax7+ MyoD+), and differentiated (Pax7+ MyoD+) cells. Cells collected from five pairs of control and HIFdKO mice were used for analysis. For each batch of cells, 10 random areas were analyzed. C, represent images of freshly isolated myofibers after cultured under normoxia and hypoxia for 72 h and stained with Pax7 (purple) and MyoD (green). Scale bar: 25 μm. Single fibers were collected from 4 pairs of control and dKO mice, and 25 fibers were analyzed for each animal. D, number of myoblast clusters and cells/clusters. E, percentage of cells at different status at classified in B. Average values of each animal are shown. Scale bar: 50 μm. Error bars represent S.D., *p < 0.05 (Student’s t test, two-tailed).
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Figure 7. HIF1α and HIF2α are required for hypoxia-induced activation of Notch signaling and up-regulation of Pax7. Primary myoblasts from HIF1αf/fHIF2αf/f mice were cultured in control medium (CoCl2-containing medium (10 μM, to stabilize HIF1α/HIF2α and mimic hypoxia) and infected by adenovirus-GFP (Ad-GFP) or adenovirus (Cre-GFP), abbreviated as AdCre, at −100 multiplicity of infection. A, relative protein levels of NICD in control myoblast; α-tubulin serves as the loading control. Please note that HIF1α bands are not shown because HIF1α is undetectable under normoxia. Relative protein abundance is quantified by densitometry using ImageJ after normalizing to α-tubulin levels and shown in the lower bar graph, n = 3 pairs. B, relative protein levels of NICD and HIF1α in HIF dKO myoblast; α-tubulin serves as the loading control. Relative protein abundance is quantified by densitometry using ImageJ after normalizing to α-tubulin levels and is shown in the lower bar graph, n = 3 pairs. C and D, relative mRNA levels of Notch target genes in AdGFP (C) and AdCre (D) transduced cells were measured by qPCR. E, relative mRNA levels of Pax7. F, relative Rbpj binding region luciferase activity in C2C12 cells under normoxia and hypoxia, with (+) or without (−) HIF1α/HIF2α inhibitor (FM19G11, 150 μM). For each group in C–F, six replicates were used for statistical analysis. Error bars represent S.D. *, p < 0.05 (Student’s t test, two-tailed).

Muscle injury and regeneration

CTX (50 μl, 10 μM, Sigma) was injected into the front of hind limb containing TA and EDL muscles to induce muscle regeneration. Regenerative TA and EDL muscles were harvested on the 7th and 21th day after CTX treatment.

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining

Muscles were frozen on dry ice in isopentane and 10-μm-thick cross-sections were cut with a Leica CM1850 cryostat (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Sections were stained in hematoxylin for 5 min followed by eosin staining for 2 min. Slides were then dehydrated with a sequential alcohol gradient (75, 95, and 100%) for 1 min each and cleared in xylene for 5 min and mounted with xylene-based mounting medium (Source Mount, catalogue no. 9277722). Images were captured with a Nikon D90 digital camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) installed on a Nikon (Diaphot) inverted microscope after mounting.

Isolation and culture of single fibers

Intact EDL muscles from 10-week-old mice were digested in 0.2% collagenase I (Roche Applied Science) dissolved in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMED, Sigma) for 30 min at 37 °C with gentle shaking every 5 min. Single fibers were dissociated by gently pipetting with glass pipettes. Isolated single fibers were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for immunostaining or cultured in horse serum-coated dishes in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.1% fibroblast growth factor-basic for 3 days.

Isolation and culture of primary myoblasts

Mouse hind limb muscles were minced and digested in 2.5 ml of collagenase/dispase solution (10 μg/ml collagenase B, 2.4 units/ml dispase in PBS, Roche Applied Science) for 30 min. Digested cells were harvested and cultured in growth media (F-10 Ham’s medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 4 ng/ml fibroblast growth factor-basic, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) on the collagen-coated dishes at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Primary myoblasts were used for analysis after purification by 2–3 times of pre-plating. To induce the knock-out of HIF1α and HIF2α in primary myoblasts, Pax7CreER-HIFdKO and MyoD-HIFdKO, respectively.

Immunofluorescence

Muscle cryosections, single fibers, or cultured cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then were blocked in blocking buffer (5% goat serum, 2% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100) for 1 h and then were incubated with primary antibodies (overnight at 4 °C) and then with secondary antibodies (1 h, room temperature).
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Introduction of hypoxia

Hypoxia was induced as previously described (41, 51). Briefly, cells were cultured in a gas-tight modular incubator chamber flushed with a custom gas mixture containing 5% air, 5% CO2, and 90% N2, 30 p.s.i/min for 2.5 min each day to achieve 1% O2 hypoxic environment in the chamber. Alternatively, CoCl2 (10 μM) were used to stabilize HIF1α and mimic hypoxia stimulation. In this case cells were treated with CoCl2 for 6 h.

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted by TRIzol (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 4 μg of RNA was converted into cDNA by random hexamer primers with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis was performed with a SYBR Green PCR kit in a Roche Lightcycler 480 system (Roche Applied Science). All primers used are listed in supplemental Table 1. Gene expression was calculated with the 2^ΔΔCt relative quantification method and normalized to 18S.

Western blot

Total protein from cells and tissues was extracted with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (pH 8.0, 50 mM Tris-HCL, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS). Protein concentration was determined by BCA Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce). Equal amounts of proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA). Membranes were then blocked in 5% milk and incubated with diluted primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C followed by secondary antibodies (all commercially available and validated by the manufacturers). Secondary antibodies used were Alexa568 goat anti-mouse IgG1 and Alexa486 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen). Images were captured with Coolscan HQ CCD camera (Photometrics) driven by IP Lab software (Scanalytics Inc) using Leica DMI 6000B fluorescent microscope (Mannheim, Germany). Quantification of myofiber size was conducted by Image J software (freely available from National Institutes of Health). Self-renewal assay was conducted as previously described (41). Briefly, the relative intensity of Pax7 and MyoD immunofluorescence was used to classify the cell cycle state of myoblasts. If the merged photos show Pax7 single positive then cells were classified as self-renewal, MyoD single positive cells were classified as differentiation, and double positive cells were considered as proliferation.

Luciferase assay

C2C12 cell lines were transfected with Renilla and Rpbj binding domain luciferase reporter (TP-1). Cells were then treated in three parallel groups. Group 1 cells were maintained under normoxia for 48 h without FM19G11 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, catalog #sc-364490), a pharmacological inhibitor of HIF1α/
HIF1α and HIF2α in muscle regeneration

HIF2α. Group II cells were cultured at 1% O2 for 48 h without FM19G11. Group III cells were cultured at 1% O2 and treated with 150 μM FM19G11.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean and S.D. Numbers of repeats represent biological repeats (number of mice or batches of primary cells) unless otherwise indicated. Samples were randomized during data collection. Investigators are not blinded to the group allocation during data acquisition. All analyses were conducted with Student’s t test with a two-tail distribution; comparisons with values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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