‘TigerPaw-NR’, a Root-knot Nematode-resistant, Habanero-type Pepper
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‘TigerPaw-NR’ is a new Habanero-type pepper (Capsicum chinense Jacq.) released 9 Jan. 2006 by the Agricultural Research Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). ‘TigerPaw-NR’ is homoygous for a dominant gene conditioning a high level of resistance to the southern root-knot nematode [Meloidogyne incognita (Kofoid and White)], the peanut root-knot nematode [M. arenaria (Neal) Chitwood], and the tropical root-knot nematode [M. javanica (Treub) Chitwood]. Root-knot nematodes are major pests of peppers in the United States, and all Habanero-type cultivars here-tofore available to commercial growers and home gardeners are susceptible (Fery and Thies, 1997). The increasing popularity of pungent peppers in the United States has created an intense interest in Habanero-type peppers, and the release of ‘TigerPaw-NR’ will provide commercial growers and home gardeners access to a root-knot nematode-resistant cultivar.

Origin

‘TigerPaw-NR’ is the product of a conventional backcross breeding procedure to transfer the dominant root-knot nematode resistance gene from a Scotch Bonnet accession into a classical Habanero-type background. The donor parent was PA-426 and the recurrent parent was PA-350. PA-426 is a M. incognita, M. arenaria, and M. javanica nematode-resistant, Scotch Bonnet-type germplasm line that was released by the USDA in 1997 (Fery and Thies, 1998b; Thies and Fery, 2001). PA-350, susceptible to root-knot nematodes, is a Habanero-type cultivar obtained from an heirloom collector. ‘TigerPaw-NR’ was derived from a single BC4F3 plant grown in 2002.
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‘TigerPaw-NR’ has a compact plant habit (height = 62 cm, width = 86 cm) and produces lantern-shaped, orange-colored fruit. The period from transplanting to first harvest of mature fruit ranges from 77 to 92 d in Charleston, SC. There are usually two pedicels per axil and the pedicel position at anthesis is intermediate. Flower petal color is white, corolla color is violet, and the stamens have white filaments and purple anthers. At full anthesis, the style is usually the same length or slightly longer than the stamen. The leaves are large and have a lanceolate shape. The stems and leaves are glabrous. There is no visible anthocyanin present on the stems, nodes, branches, petioles, or peduncles. The fruits are attached to the pedicel in a pendant manner (typically one fruit per cluster); the calyx margin shape is dentate; the annular constriction at the junction of the calyx and peduncle is absent; and the pedicels are short, curved, and slender. The fruits are deciduous, i.e., the pedicel and calyx usually remain on the plant at harvest.

The results of three replicated field studies conducted at Charleston, SC, indicate that the fruit characteristics and yield of ‘TigerPaw-NR’ are comparable to those of currently available Habanero-type cultivars (Table 1). Marketable yield ranged from 22,352 kg ha−1 (four harvest test) to 37,799 kg ha−1 (eight harvest test); the average marketable yield for the three studies was 27,555 kg ha−1. A typical fruit weighs 7.8 g and is campanulate or lantern-shaped (2.7 cm wide × 4.4 cm long) (Fig. 1). The shape of the peduncle attachment end of the fruit is truncate, the neck at the base of the fruit is absent, and the shape at the blossom end of the fruit is blunt. The fruit cross-section is slightly corrugated. The fruit wall is thin (1.7 mm). The color of immature fruit is green (Munsell color rating: 5.5 GY 4.8/5.3); the color of harvest-stage fruit is bright orange (Munsell color rating: 4.1 YR 6.0/9.6). The fruits are extremely pungent (348,634 Scoville heat units), and a typical fruit has three locules (average number of locules = 3.25).

‘TigerPaw-NR’ is homoygous for a dominant gene that conditions resistance to root-knot nematodes (Fery and Thies, 1998a). ‘Carolina Cayenne’ is a highly resistant cultivar whose resistance is derived from the C. annuum cayenne-type cultivar Carolina Hot (Fery and Dukes, 1986). Fery and Dukes (1996) reported that the resistance in ‘Carolina Hot’ is conditioned by two genes, one dominant and one recessive; they demonstrated that the dominant gene in ‘Carolina Hot’ is allelic to the single dominant gene (V) that conditions the M. incognita resistance in the C. annuum cv. Mississippi Nemahete. The V gene was first described in 1957 (Hare, 1957). It needs to be noted that the root-knot nematode resistance exhibited by both ‘Carolina Hot’ and ‘Mississippi Nemahete’ has remained effective for decades; ‘Carolina Hot’ was much of the southern root-knot nematode resistance in the C. annuum cv. Carolina Cayenne (Fery and Thies, 1998a). ‘Carolina Cayenne’ is a highly resistant cultivar whose resistance is derived from the C. annuum cayenne-type cultivar Carolina Hot (Fery and Dukes, 1986). Fery and Dukes (1996) reported that the resistance in ‘Carolina Hot’ is conditioned by two genes, one dominant and one recessive; they demonstrated that the dominant gene in ‘Carolina Hot’ is allelic to the single dominant gene (V) that conditions the M. incognita resistance in the C. annuum cv. Mississippi Nemahete. The V gene was first described in 1957 (Hare, 1957). It needs to be noted that the root-knot nematode resistance exhibited by both ‘Carolina Hot’ and ‘Mississippi Nemahete’ has remained effective for decades; ‘Carolina Hot’ was much of the southern root-knot nematode resistance in the C. annuum cv. Carolina Cayenne (Fery and Thies, 1998a). ‘Carolina Cayenne’ is a highly resistant cultivar whose resistance is derived from the C. annuum cayenne-type cultivar Carolina Hot (Fery and Dukes, 1986). Fery and Dukes (1996) reported that the resistance in ‘Carolina Hot’ is conditioned by two genes, one dominant and one recessive; they demonstrated that the dominant gene in ‘Carolina Hot’ is allelic to the single dominant gene (V) that conditions the M. incognita resistance in the C. annuum cv. Mississippi Nemahete. The V gene was first described in 1957 (Hare, 1957). It needs to be noted that the root-knot nematode resistance exhibited by both ‘Carolina Hot’ and ‘Mississippi Nemahete’ has remained effective for decades; ‘Carolina Hot’ was much of the southern root-knot nematode resistance in the C. annuum cv. Carolina Cayenne (Fery and Thies, 1998a). ‘Carolina Cayenne’ is a highly resistant cultivar whose resistance is derived from the C. annuum cayenne-type cultivar Carolina Hot (Fery and Dukes, 1986). Fery and Dukes (1996) reported that the resistance in ‘Carolina Hot’ is conditioned by two genes, one dominant and one recessive; they demonstrated that the dominant gene in ‘Carolina Hot’ is allelic to the single dominant gene (V) that conditions the M. incognita resistance in the C. annuum cv.
released in the late 1950s (Martin and Crawford, 1958) and ‘Mississippi Nemaheart’ was released in the mid-1960s (Hare, 1966).

The new cultivar has exhibited a high level of resistance to the southern root-knot nematode in all greenhouse tests; the numbers of galls and egg masses on the roots have always been minimal. The results of an eight-replicated complete-block experiment with eight replications (five plants per plot; seeds planted on 27 Feb. 2004; seedlings transplanted into a steamed-pasteurized mixture of soil, sand, and peat on 17 Mar. 2004; soil surrounding roots of each seedling was infested with 3000 *M. incognita* race 3 eggs on 9 Apr. 2004; and roots of all plants removed from the growth media on 7 Jun. 2004 for evaluation for galling and egg masses). The NaOCl procedure described by Hussey and Barker (1973) was used to extract *M. incognita* eggs from a fibrous root sample taken from each plot.

*Rated on a scale of 1 to 5: 1 = no galls; 2 = light galling, 1% to 25% of root system galled; 3 = moderate galling, 26% to 50% of root system galled; 4 = heavy galling, 51% to 80% of root system galled; and 5 = severe galling, 81% to 100% of root system galled.

*Rated on a scale of 1 to 5: 1 = no egg masses evident, 2 = scattered egg masses covering 1% to 25% of root system, 3 = moderate number of egg masses covering 26% to 50% of root system, 4 = numerous egg masses covering 51% to 80% of root system, and 5 = extremely large number of egg masses covering 81% to 100% of root system.

*Nematode egg data were transformed by $x' = \log_{10}(x + 1)$ to normalize the data before analysis. Data were analyzed using the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and means were separated using Duncan’s multiple range test, $P \leq 0.05$."

### Table 2. Average root-gall index, average egg mass index, and average number of eggs per gram fresh root tissue

| Pepper accession | Gall index | Egg mass index | No. eggs/g fresh root tissue |
|------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| PA-426           | 1.02 b     | 1.02 b         | 419 b                        |
| PA-350           | 4.51 a     | 4.51 a         | 25,316 a                     |
| TigerPaw-NR      | 1.09 b     | 1.09 b         | 701 b                        |

"Randomized complete-block experiment with eight replications (five plants per plot; seeds planted on 27 Feb. 2004; seedlings transplanted into a steamed-pasteurized mixture of soil, sand, and peat on 17 Mar. 2004; soil surrounding roots of each seedling was infested with 3000 *M. incognita* race 3 eggs on 9 Apr. 2004; and roots of all plants removed from the growth media on 7 Jun. 2004 for evaluation for galling and egg masses). The NaOCl procedure described by Hussey and Barker (1973) was used to extract *M. incognita* eggs from a fibrous root sample taken from each plot."

### Availability

Protection for ‘TigerPaw-NR’ is being sought under the Plant Variety Protection Act. Genetic material of this release will be deposited in the National Plant Germplasm System where it will be available for research purposes, including the development and commercialization of new cultivars. It is requested that appropriate recognition of source be given when this germplasm contributes to research or development of a new breeding line or cultivar.
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