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ABSTRACT

Objectives Dyslipidaemia is a metabolic disease influenced by environmental and genetic factors. Especially, family history related to genetic background is a strong risk factor of lipid abnormality. The aim of this study is to evaluate the association between the lipid profiles of adolescents and their mothers.

Design A cross-sectional study.

Setting The data were derived from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (IV-VI) between 2009 and 2015.

Participants 2884 adolescents aged 12–18 years and their mothers were included.

Primary outcome measures Outcome variables were adolescents’ lipid levels. Mothers’ lipid levels were the interesting variables. The lipid profiles included total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). We identified partial correlation coefficients (r) between the lipids. Multiple linear regressions were performed to identify the amount of change in adolescents’ lipid levels for each unit increase of their mothers’ lipids. The regression models included various clinical characteristics and health behavioural factors of both adolescents and mothers.

Results The mean levels of adolescents’ lipids were 156.6, 83.6, 50.4 and 89.4 mg/dL, respectively for TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C. Positive correlations between lipid levels of adolescents and mothers were observed for TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C (r; 95% CI: 0.271, 0.236 to 0.304; 0.204, 0.169 to 0.239; 0.289, 0.255 to 0.322; and 0.286, 0.252 to 0.319). The adolescent TC level was increased by 0.23 mg/dL for each unit increase of the mother’s TC (SE, 0.02; p<0.001). The beta coefficients were 0.16 (SE, 0.01), 0.24 (SE, 0.02) and 0.24 (SE, 0.02), respectively, in each model of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C (all p<0.001). The linear relationships were significant regardless of sex and mother’s characteristics.

Conclusions Mothers’ lipid levels are associated with adolescents’ lipids; therefore, they can serve as a reference for the screening of adolescent’s dyslipidaemia.

INTRODUCTION

Dyslipidaemia is a well-known risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) in individuals of all ages. In Korea, CVD is the second-leading cause of death after cancer. Triglyceride (TG) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) are the major components of metabolic syndrome (MetS). Likewise, the TG-to-HDL-C ratio, a predictor for small, dense, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), is an independent determinant of arterial stiffness in adolescents and young adults which can subsequently accelerate atherosclerosis and increase cardiovascular events in the second decade of life. Meanwhile, lipid level is strongly linked to the body mass index (BMI) which is one of the reliable indicators for obesity in adolescents. Paediatric obesity is affected by various family settings such as eating habits, lifestyle and education. The prevalence of paediatric obesity in South Korea has been increasing rapidly from 5.8% in 1997 to 11.5% in 2014, which is close to the 13.3% in the USA. This has increased interest in obesity-related disorders in adolescents, such as metabolic, cardiovascular and psychosocial complications. Obesity and dyslipidaemia are no longer the problems of adults alone; therefore, adequate screening and control of dyslipidaemia in adolescents has become important in South Korea.
In addition to obesity, various factors such as physical activity, economic status, education level, nutritional and dietary factors, sleep duration and psychiatric problems, among others, have been associated with lipid concentration. Meanwhile, family histories usually provide important information regarding paediatric diseases. Regarding the highly heritable traits of dyslipidaemia, several studies showed that there was a close relationship between the lipid concentrations of parents and their offsprings. This familial clustering implies that there may be common denominators, including health behavioural factors within a family, as well as genetic backgrounds. In the present study, we investigated clinical and health behavioural factors affecting adolescents’ lipid levels, and evaluated the association between the lipid profiles of adolescents and their mothers.

**METHODS**

**Data source**
This is a cross-sectional study using the secondary data of the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). KNHANES is an ongoing surveillance system conducted by Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) since 1998 that assesses health and nutrition status, and monitors health risk factors and the prevalence of chronic diseases. A special survey team visits four regions every week (192 regions per year) and conducts a health examination, health interview and nutrition survey. This includes a representative sample of the population selected using a stratified, multi-stage and clustered sampling method. Sampling units are district, survey area and household. Stratification variables are city/province, district and housing type. The sample is weighted to reflect sampling rate, response rate and population demographics in order to estimate health consciousness, health behaviour and nutritional status for the population.

Among 59,015 individuals who were surveyed in KNHANES between 2009 and 2015, we selected 4148 adolescents aged 12–18 years with available lipid profile data. Next, we obtained data for the mothers of these adolescents during the same survey period by matching household identification numbers. After the exclusion of 1264 individuals with missing information about adolescent’s or mother’s baseline characteristics or clinical findings, 2884 adolescents were eligible for the study (figure 1).

**Outcome variables and health behavioural factors**
Both adolescents’ and mothers’ lipid profiles consisted of total cholesterol (TC), TG, HDL-C and LDL-C. Outcome variables in the study were adolescents’ lipid levels. Mothers’ lipid levels, which represent genetic linkage, were the interesting variables. In order to examine their relationship, we adjusted various clinical and health behavioural factors of both adolescents and mothers. The level of LDL-C was calculated using the Friedewald equation. If the TG level was 400 mg/dL or more, measurement of LDL-C was performed by using the immunochemical method. Adolescents were divided into two age-groups based on whether they were high school students. In terms of obesity, we divided the study subjects into two groups using 85% cut-off of the body mass index (BMI) based on the age-groups and sex for adolescents, and divided them into three groups (<23, 23–24.9, ≥25 kg/m²) for mothers. The values of fasting glucose were also divided into two groups based on the level of impaired fasting glucose (≥100 mg/dL). Degree of stress was divided into three groups based on individuals’ perception. In addition, frequency of eating out, walking and exercise per week were investigated for adolescent health behaviours.

For mothers’ variables, we used data regarding smoking and alcohol habits, degree of education and family income, economic activity and frequency of eating out per week. Mother’s dyslipidaemia was defined based on TC level of 240 mg/dL or more, and included cases of individuals diagnosed or treated with dyslipidaemia even if the TC level was normal.

**Statistical methods**
Lipid profiles were analysed as continuous variables with mean and SD in both adolescents and their mothers. We checked whether the continuous variables were normally distributed, and used a log-scale depending on the results. Independent sample t-tests or one-way analysis of variances was used for categorical independent variables to analyse the relationship with adolescents’ lipid levels. The correlation of lipid levels between adolescents and their mothers was analysed using partial correlations (r) with 95% CI. The r values were interpreted as slight (>0–0.2), fair (>0.2–0.4), moderate (>0.4–0.6), substantial (>0.6–0.8) and almost perfect (>0.8). Next, multiple linear regressions with parameter estimates (beta coefficients) and SE were performed to identify the amount
change in adolescents’ lipid levels for each unit increase of their mothers’ lipids. We used survey-based statistical regression analyses, and the design-effect relating survey sampling was calculated. The regression models included clinical characteristics and health behavioural factors of both adolescents and mothers. In order to find the most adequate model fits for 16 possible combinations between four adolescents’ and their mothers’ lipid profiles, we calculated the adjusted R² values, which represent the explanatory power of the model. In addition, the beta coefficients were also determined in the subgroups by sex and mother’s characteristics (age-group, BMI, degree of education, economic activity and presence or absence of dyslipidaemia) using multiple linear regression. Lastly, sensitivity test was done on 4148 adolescents including 1264 subjects who had inadequate baseline information or missing mothers’ data to identify the baseline characteristics. All 2-sided p values <0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**Patient and public involvement**

This study is a population-based survey study. Patients and public were not involved.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics and their associations with adolescent lipid levels; p values were calculated considering log-transformed outcome values. The mean age of the study population was 14.7±1.9 years (range, 12–18 years), and 52.8% of the adolescents were male. A total of 9.3% of the individuals were overweight. The mean levels (ranges) of adolescents’ lipids were 156.6±27.0 (82–350), 83.6±46.4 (15–602), 50.4±9.8 (22–96) and 89.4±23.3 mg/dL (9–296), respectively, for TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C. HDL-C level was decreased in the older age-group (p=0.021). While TC, HDL-C and LDL-C levels were significantly higher in female adolescents than in their male counterparts, TG was not different (22–96) and 89.4±23.3 mg/dL (9–296). When TC and LDL-C, while HDL-C was negatively associated with BMI. The frequency of eating out and walking tended to be inversely associated with TC and LDL-C.

Exercise for more than 3 days per week was associated with increased TC and LDL-C levels compared with no exercise. With regard to mother’s variables, overall adolescents’ lipid levels tended to decrease as their mothers’ age increased, and other lipids apart from HDL-C tended to decrease when the mother’s BMI increased. Mothers’ increased alcohol consumption was also significantly associated with adolescents’ decreased HDL-C. Mothers’ education, working hours, frequency of eating out and family income did not affect adolescent lipid levels.

Figure 2 represents the amount of change in adolescents’ lipid levels with each unit increase in mothers’ lipids in the subgroups. In most subgroups, there were significant positive relationships between lipids in adolescents and mothers, with the exception of subgroups with relatively small sample sizes (table 3). The beta coefficients of TC, HDL-C and LDL-C were high in female adolescents compared with their male counterparts, whereas that of TG was higher in the male adolescents. When the lipid profiles were considered as binary outcomes, multivariate logistic regressions showed that adolescents’ dyslipidaemia was significantly associated with mothers’ dyslipidaemia (online supplementary table S2). Finally, the sensitivity test on 4148 adolescents showed comparable baseline characteristics with our study data (online supplementary table S3).
### Table 1  Relationship between baseline characteristics and adolescents’ lipid profiles

|                                | TC   |       |       | TG   |       |       | HDL-C |       |       | LDL-C |       |
|--------------------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                | Mean | SD    | P value* | Mean | SD    | P value* | Mean | SD    | P value* | Mean | SD    | P value* |
| **All (n=2884)**               |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| **Adolescent variables**       |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| Age (years)                    |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| 12–14                          | 156.9 | 26.4 | 0.359 | 84.0 | 47.0 | 0.021 | 50.8 | 9.8  | 0.932 | 89.4 | 23.3 |
| 15–18                          | 156.2 | 27.6 | 0.825 | 83.1 | 45.8 | <0.001 | 50.0 | 9.8  | 0.729 | 89.2 | 22.8 |
| Sex                            |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| Male                           | 154 (50.4) | 156.9 | 27.1 | <0.001 | 84.6 | 49.7 | 48.7 | 9.6  | <0.001 | 85.9 | 23.5 |
| Female                         | 1362 (47.2) | 162.3 | 25.9 | 0.208 | 82.4 | 42.3 | 52.4 | 9.7  | 0.016 | 93.4 | 22.5 |
| BMI†                           |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| <85%                           | 2617 (90.7) | 156.1 | 26.6 | 0.016 | 81.0 | 44.6 | 51.1 | 9.7  | <0.001 | 88.9 | 22.9 |
| ≥85%                           | 267 (9.3) | 160.7 | 30.7 | 0.016 | 109.1 | 55.5 | 44.2 | 8.0  | <0.001 | 94.6 | 26.3 |
| Glucose (mg/dL)                |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| ≤100                           | 2752 (95.4) | 156.4 | 26.8 | 0.047 | 83.4 | 46.2 | 50.4 | 9.8  | 0.987 | 89.3 | 23.1 |
| >100                           | 132 (4.6) | 159.6 | 32.1 | 0.047 | 86.8 | 49.9 | 50.5 | 10.0 | 0.438 | 91.7 | 27.7 |
| Stress level                   |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| Non                            | 476 (16.5) | 156.9 | 28.3 | 0.439 | 82.8 | 43.9 | 50.1 | 9.6  | 0.545 | 90.2 | 24.6 |
| Mild                           | 1714 (59.4) | 156.9 | 26.8 | 0.439 | 83.7 | 45.7 | 50.6 | 9.9  | 0.335 | 89.6 | 23.3 |
| Moderate                       | 694 (24.1) | 155.5 | 26.8 | 0.439 | 83.8 | 49.7 | 50.3 | 9.7  | 0.439 | 88.4 | 22.5 |
| Eating out/week                |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| ≥7                             | 1121 (38.9) | 154.8 | 26.3 | 0.032 | 81.0 | 40.4 | 50.1 | 9.7  | 0.471 | 88.4 | 22.9 |
| 5–6                            | 1676 (58.1) | 157.5 | 27.4 | 0.032 | 85.1 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 9.8  | 0.118 | 89.9 | 23.6 |
| 1–4                            | 66 (2.3) | 159.3 | 25.6 | 0.032 | 85.6 | 44.9 | 50.4 | 10.5 | 0.032 | 91.6 | 21.0 |
| <1                             | 21 (0.7) | 164.6 | 33.3 | 0.032 | 90.4 | 48.2 | 48.4 | 9.5  | 0.032 | 98.0 | 27.2 |
| Walking/day                    |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| 0–1 day                        | 321 (11.1) | 159.1 | 26.4 | 0.006 | 84.9 | 56.3 | 50.8 | 10.1 | 0.542 | 91.4 | 22.1 |
| 2–4 days                       | 502 (17.4) | 157.9 | 27.0 | 0.006 | 84.4 | 44.6 | 50.1 | 9.5  | 0.047 | 90.8 | 23.7 |
| 5–6 days                       | 760 (26.4) | 157.9 | 28.6 | 50.6 | 9.9  | 0.047 | 50.8 | 9.9  | 0.414 | 90.4 | 24.3 |
| 7 days                         | 1301 (45.1) | 154.6 | 26.2 | 0.047 | 82.8 | 43.6 | 50.2 | 9.8  | 0.047 | 87.8 | 22.7 |
| Exercise/week                  |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| Non                            | 1846 (64.0) | 157.3 | 26.8 | 0.108 | 84.4 | 47.0 | 50.8 | 10.0 | 0.021 | 89.5 | 22.8 |
| 1–2 days                       | 633 (22.0) | 155.7 | 27.5 | 0.108 | 81.9 | 45.5 | 49.5 | 9.1  | 0.382 | 89.7 | 24.0 |
| ≥3 days                        | 405 (14.0) | 154.7 | 27.4 | 0.108 | 82.2 | 45.0 | 50.1 | 9.8  | 0.021 | 88.2 | 24.5 |
| Mother variables               |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| Age (years)                    |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |      |       |         |
| 30–39                          | 505 (17.5) | 157.7 | 25.8 | 0.091 | 85.5 | 46.7 | 51.2 | 9.7  | 0.566 | 89.3 | 21.9 |
| 40–49                          | 2154 (74.7) | 156.7 | 27.4 | 0.091 | 83.3 | 46.7 | 50.4 | 9.9  | 0.023 | 89.6 | 23.7 |

Continued
| Table 1 | Continued |
|---------|-----------|
| No. (%) | TC Mean | SD | P value* | TG Mean | SD | P value* | HDL-C Mean | SD | P value* | LDL-C Mean | SD | P value* |
| 50–59   | 225 (7.8) | 153.1 | 26.1 | 82.0 | 43.0 | 0.486 | 0.063 | <0.001 | 87.6 | 22.1 | 0.475 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <23     | 1430 (49.6) | 156.6 | 26.4 | 82.2 | 42.9 | 51.1 | 9.7 | 89.0 | 22.3 | 0.124 |
| 23–24.9 | 684 (23.7) | 155.6 | 26.7 | 81.9 | 44.6 | 50.1 | 9.7 | 89.1 | 23.2 | 0.138 |
| ≥25     | 770 (26.7) | 157.4 | 28.5 | 87.6 | 53.4 | 49.5 | 10.0 | 90.5 | 25.1 | 0.138 |
| Smoking status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non     | 2648 (91.8) | 156.4 | 27.1 | 83.4 | 46.8 | 50.5 | 9.8 | 89.2 | 23.3 | 0.409 |
| Ex-     | 89 (3.1) | 159.2 | 26.1 | 82.1 | 41.1 | 49.8 | 9.5 | 92.8 | 22.7 | 0.175 |
| Current | 147 (5.1) | 158.3 | 27.3 | 87.8 | 40.7 | 48.9 | 9.6 | 91.7 | 23.9 | 0.138 |
| Drinking status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non     | 718 (24.9) | 155.4 | 27.0 | 82.7 | 47.5 | 50.8 | 9.8 | 88.0 | 23.1 | 0.392 |
| ≤1/month | 1250 (43.3) | 157.0 | 27.2 | 83.2 | 46.3 | 50.2 | 9.7 | 90.2 | 23.6 | 0.455 |
| ≥2/month | 916 (31.8) | 156.8 | 26.9 | 84.8 | 45.6 | 50.4 | 9.9 | 89.4 | 23.0 | 0.455 |
| Education level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Elementary | 96 (3.3) | 155.5 | 27.5 | 84.9 | 47.5 | 49.8 | 9.8 | 88.7 | 24.9 | 0.848 |
| Middle   | 177 (6.1) | 157.1 | 28.5 | 84.5 | 46.0 | 49.9 | 8.8 | 90.3 | 24.6 | 0.168 |
| High     | 1624 (56.3) | 157.0 | 27.6 | 85.2 | 48.6 | 50.3 | 9.9 | 89.6 | 23.9 | 0.455 |
| University | 987 (34.2) | 155.9 | 25.8 | 80.6 | 42.3 | 50.8 | 9.7 | 89.0 | 21.8 | 0.918 |
| Income (1000) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <1000   | 219 (7.6) | 157.9 | 28.6 | 87.9 | 49.3 | 50.0 | 9.5 | 90.2 | 24.6 | 0.333 |
| 1000–1999 | 696 (24.1) | 154.7 | 24.7 | 84.2 | 50.9 | 49.9 | 9.5 | 88.0 | 21.3 | 0.495 |
| 2000–2999 | 976 (33.8) | 156.9 | 27.2 | 83.3 | 45.3 | 50.8 | 9.8 | 89.5 | 23.7 | 0.323 |
| ≥3000   | 993 (34.4) | 157.3 | 28.1 | 82.4 | 43.4 | 50.6 | 10.1 | 90.2 | 23.9 | 0.445 |
| Working hours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non     | 1674 (58.2) | 156.5 | 26.4 | 83.2 | 46.4 | 50.3 | 9.8 | 89.6 | 22.7 | 0.936 |
| Full-time | 906 (31.4) | 156.7 | 27.9 | 84.0 | 47.4 | 50.6 | 9.5 | 89.2 | 24.4 | 0.873 |
| Part-time | 299 (10.4) | 156.3 | 27.9 | 84.3 | 42.9 | 50.3 | 10.5 | 89.0 | 23.2 | 0.643 |
| Eating out/week | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| >7      | 370 (12.8) | 155.5 | 27.9 | 80.2 | 40.0 | 51.1 | 9.7 | 88.3 | 25.8 | 0.443 |
| 5–6     | 615 (21.3) | 157.1 | 28.5 | 83.5 | 43.4 | 50.0 | 9.7 | 90.4 | 24.1 | 0.630 |
| 1–4     | 1278 (44.3) | 156.0 | 26.5 | 83.5 | 46.8 | 50.4 | 10.0 | 88.9 | 22.5 | 0.399 |
| <1      | 621 (21.5) | 157.7 | 26.1 | 85.7 | 51.6 | 50.5 | 9.5 | 90.1 | 22.6 | 0.355 |

*P values were calculated considering log-transformed outcome values.
†Based on BMI (kg/m²) for age percentiles in male and female subjects.
BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.
| Table 2 | Multivariate analyses of four regression models for adolescent and mother’s lipid profiles |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Mother's lipids (beta coefficient)** | **TC & TC** | **TG & TG** | **HDL-C & HDL-C** | **LDL-C & LDL-C** |
| β | SB | SE | P value* | β | SB | SE | P value* | β | SB | SE | P value* | β | SB | SE | P value* |
| 0.229 | 0.268 | 0.015 | <0.001 | 0.161 | 0.215 | 0.020 | <0.001 | 0.240 | 0.294 | 0.016 | <0.001 | 0.236 | 0.284 | 0.016 | <0.001 |
| **Adolescent variables** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Age (years)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12–14 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| 15–18 | −0.168 | −0.003 | 1.071 | 0.671 | −0.788 | −0.009 | 1.970 | 0.515 | −0.476 | −0.024 | 0.388 | 0.213 | 0.539 | 0.012 | 0.920 | 0.865 |
| **Sex** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 13.317 | 0.246 | 1.035 | <0.001 | 1.767 | 0.019 | 1.845 | 0.004 | 2.936 | 0.150 | 0.378 | <0.001 | 9.954 | 0.213 | 0.892 | <0.001 |
| **BMI (%)†** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <85 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| ≥85 | 10.931 | 0.117 | 1.950 | <0.001 | 29.963 | 0.187 | 3.575 | <0.001 | −5.514 | −0.163 | 0.563 | <0.001 | 10.299 | 0.128 | 1.642 | <0.001 |
| **Glucose (mg/dL)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ≤100 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| >100 | 4.240 | 0.033 | 2.743 | 0.157 | 3.483 | 0.016 | 4.322 | 0.404 | 0.448 | 0.010 | 0.817 | 0.734 | 2.768 | 0.025 | 2.334 | 0.343 |
| **Stress level** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| Mild | −0.117 | −0.002 | 1.370 | 0.943 | 1.583 | 0.017 | 2.229 | 0.531 | 0.521 | 0.026 | 0.459 | 0.348 | −0.979 | −0.021 | 1.206 | 0.423 |
| Moderate | −2.199 | −0.035 | 1.561 | 0.162 | 1.739 | 0.016 | 2.731 | 0.730 | 0.103 | 0.005 | 0.533 | 0.893 | −2.552 | −0.047 | 1.349 | 0.053 |
| **Eating out/week** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ≥7 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| 5–6 | 2.599 | 0.047 | 1.025 | 0.017 | 2.939 | 0.031 | 1.763 | 0.329 | 0.107 | 0.005 | 0.374 | 0.782 | 2.030 | 0.043 | 0.896 | 0.039 |
| 1–4 | 2.142 | 0.012 | 3.110 | 0.480 | 3.127 | 0.010 | 5.402 | 0.687 | 0.036 | 0.001 | 1.225 | 0.975 | 1.397 | 0.009 | 2.666 | 0.574 |
| <1 | 8.908 | 0.028 | 6.882 | 0.255 | 6.660 | 0.012 | 9.111 | 0.360 | −0.848 | −0.007 | 1.800 | 0.673 | 8.283 | 0.030 | 5.553 | 0.152 |
| **Walking/week** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0–1 day | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| ≥2 days | −1.422 | −0.020 | 1.799 | 0.410 | −0.319 | −0.008 | 3.566 | 0.820 | −0.371 | −0.014 | 0.658 | 0.774 | −0.864 | −0.014 | 1.547 | 0.464 |
| 5–6 days | −1.349 | −0.022 | 1.693 | 0.292 | −1.070 | −0.010 | 3.453 | 0.817 | −0.092 | −0.004 | 0.626 | 0.966 | −1.119 | −0.021 | 1.430 | 0.208 |
| 7 days | −3.466 | −0.064 | 1.554 | 0.024 | −2.035 | −0.022 | 2.291 | 0.921 | −0.021 | −0.001 | 0.984 | 0.932 | −3.143 | −0.067 | 1.316 | 0.007 |
| **Exercise/week** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
| ≥3 days | 1.528 | 0.023 | 1.210 | 0.208 | −2.743 | −0.024 | 2.074 | 0.132 | −0.374 | −0.016 | 0.416 | 0.501 | 2.361 | 0.042 | 1.034 | 0.013 |
| **Mother variables** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Age (years)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30–39 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | | | | | | | | | |
Table 2  Continued

| TC & TC | TG & TG | HDL-C & HDL-C | LDL-C & LDL-C |
|--------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| ♂     | SE     | P value*      | ♂     | SE     | P value*      | ♂     | SE     | P value*      |
| β      | β      | SE            | β      | SE     | P value*      | β      | SE     | P value*      |
| BMI (kg/m²) |         |               |         |         |               |         |         |               |
| <23    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| ≥23-24.9 | −1.637 | −0.026        | 1.159  | 0.141  | −3.390        | 0.194  | 0.031  | 2.034        |
| ≥25    | −2.467 | −0.040        | 1.221  | 0.024  | −4.209        | 0.002  | 0.612  | 0.208        |
| Smoking status | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| Non    | 1.855  | 0.015         | 2.321  | 0.372  | −2.802        | 0.013  | 3.551  | 0.966        |
| Current | 1.614  | 0.010         | 2.537  | 0.510  | −3.711        | 0.014  | 4.464  | 0.901        |
| Drinking status | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| Non    | 0.056  | 0.001         | 1.306  | 0.934  | 2.098         | 0.021  | 2.282  | 0.438        |
| Education level | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| Elementary | 1.689  | 0.015         | 3.314  | 0.652  | 1.770         | 0.009  | 5.778  | 0.588        |
| Middle  | −0.329 | −0.006        | 2.822  | 0.936  | 1.296         | 0.014  | 5.062  | 0.629        |
| High    | −1.680 | −0.029        | 2.911  | 0.638  | −1.693        | 0.017  | 5.212  | 0.860        |
| University | −1.680 | −0.029        | 2.911  | 0.638  | −1.693        | 0.017  | 5.212  | 0.860        |
| Income (1000) |         |               |         |         |               |         |         |               |
| <1000   | −1.700 | −0.027        | 2.010  | 0.521  | −1.408        | 0.013  | 3.858  | 0.592        |
| 1000–1999 | −0.414 | −0.021        | 1.106  | 0.778  | −0.355        | 0.005  | 0.715  | 0.934        |
| 2000–2999 | 0.419  | 0.007         | 1.976  | 0.748  | −1.328        | 0.014  | 3.682  | 0.775        |
| ≥3000   | 0.821  | 0.014         | 2.030  | 0.658  | −1.818        | 0.019  | 3.697  | 0.793        |
| Working hours | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| Non    | 0.834  | 0.014         | 1.159  | 0.484  | 3.312         | 0.033  | 2.202  | 0.162        |
| Part-time | 0.279  | 0.003         | 1.592  | 0.986  | 0.496         | 0.003  | 2.649  | 0.658        |
| Eating out/week | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           | Ref    | Ref    | Ref           |
| ≥7     | 1.637  | 0.025         | 1.754  | 0.381  | 3.492         | 0.031  | 2.735  | 0.309        |
| <1     | 1.652  | 0.025         | 1.763  | 0.263  | 4.206         | 0.037  | 3.188  | 0.534        |

*P values were calculated considering log-transformed outcome values.
†Based on BMI (kg/m²) for age percentiles in male and female subjects.
BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; S.B., standardised beta; SE; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; ♂, beta.
DISCUSSIONS

There is significance in that our study analysed linear relationships of TC, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C, respectively, with the amount change in adolescents’ lipid levels for each unit increase in their mothers’ lipids. We adjusted for various health behavioural factors of adolescents and their mothers, as well as used a large national database. Moreover, we found that relationships between lipids of adolescents and their mothers were significant regardless of sex and mother’s characteristics.

Atherosclerosis is triggered by childhood obesity associated with lipid abnormalities, rather than by obesity itself.20 The prevalence of dyslipidaemia was 6.5% in Korea by the cut-off of National Cholesterol Education Programme and American Heart Association guidelines.21 Meanwhile, the most frequent components among five MetS criteria in adolescence were high TG (21.2%) and low HDL-C (13.6%).22 When cut-off values of a recent guideline were applied to our data,23 the percentages of abnormal TC (≥200 mg/dL), TG (≥130 mg/dL), HDL-C (<40 mg/dL) and LDL-C (≥130 mg/dL) were 6.6%, 11.9%, 13.3% and 5.0%, respectively. Atherogenic dyslipidaemia, characterised by the combination of high TG and small dense LDL-C and low HDL-C, was a common form of dyslipidaemia in young individuals (aged, 2–18 years) and had a strong familial aggregation.24 Even taking into consideration the argument that a higher cut-off level of TG (≥150 mg/dL) is appropriate for Korean adolescents,25 the rate of high TG observed in the present study was 7.7%. That is, our data showed a more considerable proportion of abnormal TG and HDL-C in adolescents compared with other lipid parameters. Thus, the present study provides further evidence that dyslipidaemia, especially atherogenic dyslipidaemia, is a big problem in Korean adolescents, with the concern that it leads to CVD during the remainder of the lifespan.

It has been reported that dyslipidaemia was associated with increased odds of dyslipidaemia in first-degree relatives (OR=2.2).26 This familial clustering is, in turn, caused by both genetic backgrounds and shared environmental factors within a family. A previous study found that genes contribute more than the environment to familial correlation of lipids and obesity.15 In this regard, numerous genetic determinants regulating lipid concentrations have been investigated.27 In addition, an animal study demonstrated that maternal dyslipidaemia affected the offspring’s lipid levels by activation of endogenous cholesterol synthesis.28 Whatever the cause or, a family history must be a major risk factor for adolescent’s dyslipidaemia. Meanwhile, even in the subgroup of mothers who had normal TC levels and had never been diagnosed with dyslipidaemia, the positive relationships in lipids between the adolescents and their mothers were significant for all lipid parameters. These findings may reflect environmental impacts such as healthy diet, exercise habits, and efforts to improve lifestyles within families, rather than just a hereditary influence. Of course, there may also be an impact from other genetic factors such as diabetes or hypertension in first-degree relatives.26 Interestingly, the beta coefficient was higher in adolescents with non-obese mothers compared with those with obese mothers. It is possible that the genetic background of
Table 3 Subgroup analyses based on sex and mother's characteristics

|                  | TC & TC | TG & TG | HDL-C & HDL-C | LDL-C & LDL-C |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|
|                  | β* SB SE P value | β* SB SE P value | β* S.B. SE P value | β* S.B. SE P value |
| **Sex**          |         |         |               |               |
| Male             | 1522 (52.8) 0.221 0.258 0.021 <0.001 0.199 0.245 0.021 <0.001 0.215 0.273 0.020 <0.001 | 0.228 0.274 0.021 <0.001 | | |
| Female           | 1362 (47.2) 0.244 0.299 1.510 <0.001 0.122 0.181 0.020 <0.001 0.271 0.331 0.022 <0.001 | 0.250 0.312 0.021 <0.001 | | |
| **Mother variables** |         |         |               |               |
| Age (years)      |         |         |               |               |
| 30–39            | 505 (17.5) 0.228 0.274 0.036 <0.001 0.150 0.186 0.040 <0.001 0.224 0.278 0.038 <0.001 | 0.247 0.315 0.035 <0.001 | | |
| 40–49            | 2154 (74.7) 0.239 0.273 0.018 <0.001 0.164 0.210 0.017 <0.001 0.250 0.302 0.018 <0.001 | 0.250 0.292 0.018 <0.001 | | |
| 50–59            | 225 (7.8) 0.099 0.127 0.053 0.062 0.157 0.291 0.039 <0.001 0.207 0.287 0.051 <0.001 | 0.058 0.081 0.048 0.230 | | |
| BMI (kg/m²)      |         |         |               |               |
| <25              | 2114 (73.3) 0.249 0.288 0.018 <0.001 0.185 0.221 0.018 <0.001 0.250 0.313 0.017 <0.001 | 0.265 0.315 0.017 <0.001 | | |
| ≥25              | 770 (26.7) 0.172 0.202 0.030 <0.001 0.129 0.183 0.025 <0.001 0.180 0.189 0.034 <0.001 | 0.168 0.203 0.030 <0.001 | | |
| Education level  |         |         |               |               |
| Elementary       | 96 (3.3) 0.154 0.185 0.111 0.171 0.212 0.287 0.105 0.047 0.056 0.064 0.110 0.016 0.136 0.185 0.098 0.171 | | | |
| Middle           | 177 (6.1) 0.222 0.240 0.073 0.003 0.241 0.055 0.379 <0.001 0.133 0.187 0.060 0.028 0.279 0.316 0.065 <0.001 | | | |
| High             | 1624 (56.3) 0.226 0.264 0.021 <0.001 0.141 0.190 0.019 <0.001 0.257 0.314 0.020 <0.001 0.226 0.268 0.021 <0.001 | | | |
| University       | 987 (34.2) 0.233 0.278 0.026 <0.001 0.174 0.209 0.028 <0.001 0.247 0.296 0.027 <0.001 0.253 0.314 0.025 <0.001 | | | |
| Dyslipidaemia†   |         |         |               |               |
| No               | 2587 (89.7) 0.259 0.257 0.019 <0.001 0.190 0.232 0.017 <0.001 0.255 0.305 0.016 <0.001 0.263 0.273 0.018 <0.001 | | | |
| Yes              | 297 (10.3) 0.121 0.182 0.040 0.003 0.096 0.189 0.032 0.003 0.151 0.222 0.045 0.001 0.137 0.224 0.035 <0.001 | | | |
| Economic activity|         |         |               |               |
| No               | 1679 (58.2) 0.202 0.240 0.020 <0.001 0.186 0.251 0.019 <0.001 0.258 0.325 0.019 <0.001 0.205 0.250 0.019 <0.001 | | | |
| Yes              | 1205 (41.8) 0.267 0.308 0.024 <0.001 0.121 0.159 0.024 <0.001 0.214 0.251 0.025 <0.001 0.280 0.332 0.023 <0.001 | | | |

The other covariates were adjusted for these regressions.

* Amount change in adolescents’ lipid levels for each unit increase in their mothers’ lipids.
† Included cases diagnosed and/or treated with dyslipidaemia and cases with cholesterol level above 240 mg/dL.
BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; S.B., standardised beta; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.
non-obese dyslipidemic mothers affected the lipid levels of their offspring. However, the mean BMI of dyslipidemic mothers was higher than that of non-dyslipidemic mothers (24.7 kg/m² vs 23.2 kg/m²). Moreover, the beta coefficient was also higher in adolescents with non-dyslipidemic mothers than in those with dyslipidemic mothers. Thus, it is more likely that the mothers’ perception of dyslipidaemia influences the adolescents’ lipid levels. Of course, this interpretation requires consideration of relationships between lipids and characteristics in mothers. Awareness of dyslipidaemia was relatively low despite its higher prevalence worldwide. A mother’s perception of lipid levels could affect her children’s lipids through efforts related to lifestyle and diet changes. A recent Korean study highlighted education and counselling in order to change health behaviour in addition to awareness of dyslipidaemia. Our results from subgroup analyses support these previous studies and highlight the influence of the mother’s perception of dyslipidaemia and resultant lifestyle changes.

There is no doubt that lifestyle modification plays a central role in lipid control. Moreover, considering the high rates of abnormal TG and HDL-C and the restricted indications of lipid-lowering agents in youth, lifestyle changes should play a larger role in adolescent patients. Our results showed that frequent walking was negatively associated with TC and LDL-C levels, which is predictable. Meanwhile, frequent eating out was associated with decreased TC and LDL-C, a finding that conflicts with the general notion that eating out induces a high calorie intake or overeating. Eating out was defined as all foods except home-cooked dishes in this survey, thus including school meals as well as dining out and delivery foods. Actually, the frequency of eating out showed a great discrepancy between adolescents and mothers in this study. Thus, school foods may compensate for negative effects of eating out by providing regular and well-balanced meals. The positive correlation between exercise and lipid levels, which is also an unexpected result, seems to be influenced by exercise intensity. Exercise frequency alone was not sufficient to explain the effect of exercise adequately; thus, the strength and duration of exercise should be considered. Our data regarding health behavioural factors should be more detailed and concrete. However, it is certain that health behavioural habits influence the lipid levels of adolescents, and therefore adolescents with dyslipidaemia and their families should be encouraged to improve their lifestyles.

Cholesterol levels in children and adolescents are highly dependent on age and sex. Our data showed that the levels of TC, LDL-C and HDL-C were higher in female adolescents that in males. In addition, the beta coefficients per unit increase of mother’s TC, LDL-C and HDL-C were also prominent in female subjects. It is possible that mothers with female offspring are either more obese and dyslipidemic or otherwise. However, mother’s mean BMI was similar between male and female adolescents (23.3 ± 3.2 and 23.5 ± 3.3 kg/m², respectively, p = 0.161); furthermore, the rate of mother’s dyslipidaemia showed no statistical difference between male and female adolescents (10.8% vs 9.8%, respectively, p = 0.573). Thus, the difference in beta coefficient by sex may be due to a distinct difference in lipid levels by sex. This is supported by our result that the TG level was higher in male than in female adolescents and the beta coefficient of TG was also higher in male adolescents.

This study has several limitations. First, because it is a survey-based study, our data are vulnerable to recall bias. Second, as it is a cross-sectional design, there was no causal relationship. This factor will be particularly important in consideration of the impacts due to environmental factors. Further well-designed cohort studies are warranted. Third, individuals who responded to the national survey could have greater health concerns. They may have better health behavioural habits or family members with chronic diseases. However, this survey was uniformly performed in all regions of Korea and targeted all age-groups; thus, our data can be considered nationally representative. Fourth, the nutritional factors, which were not considered in the analyses because of insufficient information and large missing values, can be significant confounding factors. Further studies based on detailed surveys for health behavioural factors and nutritional elements are needed. Fifth, we did not evaluate the father’s lipid levels. If the father’s lipid levels had also been considered, the genetic backgrounds of lipids might be emphasised more. Sixth, various comorbidities such as hypothyroidism, Cushing’s disease, liver disease and nephrotic syndrome, among others, as well as long-term use of steroid can affect lipid level, and these could be also confounding factors. However, these chronic diseases are extremely rare during the adolescent period, and thus could be negligible. Finally, the results of our study need to be evaluated with caution as they might be vulnerable to family-wise type I error due to the multiple tests involved in our analysis. However, even considering this, the p values for the associations are sufficiently significant. Additionally, R² indicates just how well the model explains variability of the response data. Although we chose four models, which showed high R², it does not mean accurate representation of goodness of fit for the models.

In conclusion, a mother’s lipid levels were positively associated with her adolescents’ lipid levels because of both genetic and environmental factors within the family. Adolescent dyslipidaemia creates a large risk factor burden for cardiovascular diseases; therefore, timely screening for dyslipidaemia is important, especially for indicated adolescents. Our positive correlation between lipids of adolescents and their mothers supports that the mother’s lipid level is an appropriate reference for the screening of the adolescent’s dyslipidaemia.
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