The Mandalovoo–Gurvansayhan terranes in the southern Gobi of Mongolia: new insights from the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section
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Abstract
The Bayankhoshuu Ruins section in southern Mongolia is characterized by strongly thrusted and folded sequences. Overall, three sections ranging from Ordovician to Carboniferous rocks were studied. Facies analysis combined with stratigraphic data provide improved lithostratigraphic descriptions of Palaeozoic successions in the Mushgai region. The overall marine sedimentary sequence is punctuated by volcanic rocks–basaltic lava of Silurian and Middle Devonian age and volcaniclastic bentonite and tuff in the Middle to Late Devonian and Mississippian suggesting an island arc setting. The Minjin Member of the Botuulkhudag Formation (Middle Devonian to Late Devonian) is primarily composed of thick basaltic and subaerial volcanic rocks with minor silicified siltstone and chert inclusions. Thicker successions of limestone occur in the Ordovician/Silurian, Early Devonian, and the Mississippian. The macrofauna is scarce, except distinct limestone horizons where different fossil groups were recognized. Microfossils, such as radiolarians and conodonts, are scarce and generally poorly preserved. However, based on the re-study of collections from earlier publications and new conodont data, a more detailed biostratigraphic record of the Khoyormod, Botuulkhudag, and Arynshand formations of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section can be developed. For instance, the Arynshand Formation likely ranges from the late Bispathodus ultimus conodont biozone to the Scaliognathus anchoralis–Doliognathus latus conodont biozone. A tectonic breccia occurs in the early Mississippian and is overlain by a red shale of remarkable thickness at the top of this formation which points to subaerial exposure in the early Mississippian (near the Tournaisian/Visean transition). Due to strong tectonic overprint and/or facies, some unconformities/hiatuses occur. Most strata are intensively folded and faulted, ranging from centimeter to meter scale. Overall, deposition likely occurred on either the Mandalovoo or Gurvansayhan Terrane.

This is a contribution to a special series on “The Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB) during Late Devonian: new insights from southern Mongolia.”
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Introduction and geological frame

The Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB) is the world’s largest Palaeozoic accretionary orogenic belt. The CAOB covers a huge area which extends from Kazakhstan in the west, through Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, northwestern China, the Altai-Sayan region and Transbaikalia in Russia, Mongolia, and northeastern China to the Russian Far East. The accretionary system includes oceanic, intra-oceanic, and numerous fragments of Precambrian microcontinents and collisional and post-collisional complexes as well as continental margin arc terranes. In the last two decades, significant progress has been made in understanding the complex plate tectonic dynamics by studies on geochronological, geochemical, and isotope dating preferably from migmatic and metamorphic rocks (Badarch et al. 2002; Windley et al. 2007; Xiao et al. 2010; Kröner et al. 2014; Xiao and Santosh 2014; Safonova et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2019, among others). Papers outlining the terranes and tectonic history of Mongolia do not necessarily have broad generalizations about the geology of specific areas. Although several petrological, geochemical, and structural studies were conducted to get a better understanding on the complex framework of the CAOB, detailed sedimentological and stratigraphical descriptions are scarce. The primary references for the geology of the studied area (Bayankhoshuu Ruins; Umnugobi Province, southern Mongolia) is published in the guidebook for the 2001 joint meeting of IGCP 410 and 421 (Minjin et al. 2001) and in later publications by Wang et al. (2003a, b, 2005).

The Bayankhoshuu Ruins section was chosen in order to compare Palaeozoic strata (primarily Devonian and Carboniferous successions) with successions in western Mongolia (Hushoot Shiveetiin gol section, Munkhjargal et al. this issue) and to generally increase the resolution of the biostratigraphy, lithostratigraphy, and sedimentology of this important section. In late July and early August 2019, the group measured sections from the Ordovician, Silurian to Devonian, and Carboniferous (Mississippian) near the Olon Ovoot gold mine (N 44° 09′ 44″; E 104° 22′ 28″). More than 1 km of section was measured (some parts of the section were summarized due to very rare facies differences), and about 610 m was measured in detail. Selected conodont samples were processed in the field with the hope of obtaining preliminary biostratigraphic information of critical intervals within the section. Overall, the fauna of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section is scarce and fossils are poorly preserved due to tectonic overprint except some distinct horizons in the lower Mississippian.

This contribution presents results of the fieldwork, which supply an insight into the complex geology of the southern Gobi of Mongolia and provide additional information on the placement of the succession within the Palaeozoic Terrane scheme for Mongolia. Furthermore, this report provides new information of the complex relationships between the sedimentary and volcanic sequences in the Bayankhoshuu Ruins area, southern Mongolia. Additionally, the team hopes that work in progress can also provide insight into global Palaeozoic events by providing detailed analyses of sequences that were previously known only generally.

Palaeozoic Terranes in Mongolia

The CAOB is the world’s largest Palaeozoic accretionary orogenic belt and likely evolved in a similar fashion to the modern Pacific. Windley et al. (2007) favored an island archipelago model similar to modern Indonesia for island arc terranes in the CAOB including Mongolia. This accretionary system has evolved over at least 800 million years, from the Neoproterozoic time until the Cenozoic (Safonova et al. 2017). The CAOB formed by the suturing of the Palaeo-Asian Ocean (PAO) and multi-stage Palaeozoic collisions of the East European, Siberian, North China, and Tarim cratons. The subduction of the PAO continued during the Middle and Late Palaeozoic before final closure of this ocean and its Turkestan and Junggar branches during the Late Palaeozoic to the Mesozoic (Windley et al. 2007; Donskaya et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2015; Safonova et al. 2017). Mongolia lies in the center of the CAOB. Badarch et al. (2002) recognized 44 different terranes—cratonic, metamorphic, passive margin, island arc, forearc/backarc, accretionary complex, or ophiolites ranging in age from the Proterozoic through the Phanerozoic. The Devonian was one of the primary times of terrane accretion. Traditionally, Mongolia is divided into a northern and southern domain by the Main Mongolian Lineament which separates dominantly Precambrian and Lower Palaeozoic rocks to the north from Lower and Upper Palaeozoic rocks to the south (Fig. I).

We conducted fieldwork in the northern part of the Gurvansayan Terrane (Fig. I, #8) or in the southernmost part of the Mandalovoo Terrane (Fig. I, #2) in the scheme of Badarch et al. (2002). The geographic location of the field area is on the terrane boundary as drawn by Badarch et al. (2002). These two terranes are separated from the Idermeg Terrane by the Gobi Altai Terrane (Fig. I, #1). The terrane descriptions below are summarized from Badarch et al. (2002).

The Idermeg Terrane formed in the Neoproterozoic to Cambrian and is interpreted to represent a Palaeozoic passive continental margin. The post-accretionary complexes include Devonian to Permian and Triassic–Jurassic volcanic and sedimentary rocks, and minor limestone. The backarc/
forearc basins contain dominantly Lower Palaeozoic thick volcaniclastic and sedimentary successions, and minor melanges of ultramafic and volcanic rocks. This terrane is mainly distinguished by their proximity to island arcs, by their composition and thickness of basin sediments, and by their geochemical signatures of volcanic rocks.

The **Gobi Altai Terrane** is a narrow terrane, located south of the Idermeg Terrane. It consists of Cambrian (?) greenschist facies metamorphosed sandstone, mudstone, tuff, and minor volcanic rocks, Ordovician to Silurian sandstone, argillite, shallow-marine fossiliferous limestone, minor conglomerate, olistostrome, Devonian–Mississippian conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, fossiliferous limestone, pillow basalt, andesite and tuff, overlain by Pennsylvanian, Permian, Carboniferous volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The Ordovician–Silurian sandstones are mostly mature and quartzitic. Uppermost Silurian conglomerates contain plutonic and volcanic clasts.

The **Mandalovoo Terrane** and the Gurvansayhan Terrane (Fig. 1) are island arc terranes and consist mainly of ophiolites, tholeiitic to calc-alkaline volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks. The Mandalovoo Terrane is a narrow belt which lies to the south of the Idermeg Terrane. It contains a stratigraphic succession of Ordovician to Carboniferous volcanic and sedimentary rocks including Ordovician and Silurian sandstone, argillite, fossiliferous limestone, Lower–Middle Devonian conglomerate, sandstone, shallow-marine fossil-rich limestone, felsic tuff, Upper Devonian pillow basalt, andesite, tuff, volcaniclastic sandstone, chert, and Mississippian (Lower Carboniferous) marine sedimentary rocks. Geochemical data from pillow lavas indicate that the basalts were erupted in a subduction zone setting.

---

**Fig. 1** Topographic map of Mongolia with location of the main Mongolian lineament and the southern structural units/terranes south of the main Mongolian lineament. Modified after Badarch et al. (2002). Asterisk marks the research area.
(Lamb and Badarch 2001). Palaeomagnetic results suggest that the Devonian volcanic arc was near the Palaeoequator and drifted 40° northwards and was rotated 75° clockwise (Fig. 2; Safonova et al. 2017).

The Gurvansayhan Terrane lies south of the Mandalovoo Terrane and is bordered by the Zoolen accretionary wedge in the southwest (Fig. 1). The terrane is composed of ophiolites, melanges, Ordovician–Silurian greenschist facies metamorphosed sandstone, argillite, chert, volcaniclastic rocks, Upper Silurian–Lower Devonian radiolarian chert, theloleitic pillow basalt, andesite, tuff, Middle Devonian–Mississippian volcaniclastic rocks, chert containing Frasnian conodonts, and minor olistostrome with coral limestone clasts. The major and trace element geochemistry of Devonian basalt indicate volcanism in an arc environment (Ruzhentsev et al. 1992; Lamb and Badarch 2001). The structure of the terrane is complex and dominated by imbricate thrust sheets, dismembered blocks and melanges containing pillow lavas, fossiliferous limestone, sandstone, gabbro, diabase dykes, and amphibolite. The terrane is overlain by Carboniferous, Permian, Jurassic, and Cretaceous sandstone, gabbro, diabase dykes, and amphibolite. The terrane is modified by Helo et al. (2006), the Idermeg Terrane formed a continental block.

CAOB and intra-oceanic arc systems

Safonova et al. (2017) recognized 21 intra-oceanic arc systems in the CAOB associated with the closure of the Palaeo-Asian Ocean and its branches. Intra-oceanic arcs (IOAs) form at Pacific-type convergent margins, in the upper plate, when the subducting plate submerges to the depths of melting, i.e., to ca. 50–100 km. Based on publications by Badarch et al. (2002) and Helo et al. (2006), Safonova et al. (2017) identified an intra-oceanic arc in the Gurvansayhan–Zoolen terranes dating from the Late Silurian–Late Devonian (Fig. 3, #17). The Gurvansayhan–Zoolen arc extends for a distance of more than 800 km, making it one of the longest intra-oceanic arc systems in the CAOB. The Gurvansayhan island arc terrane is dominated by Silurian–Devonian island arc theloleites and andesites and Middle Devonian volcaniclastic rocks. The arc units are covered by middle Carboniferous terrigenous sediments. The Zoolen Terrane was regarded as accretionary by Badarch et al. (2002), but Helo et al. (2006) interpreted it as an island arc.

The Zoolen Terrane is ca. 500 km long and up to 80 km wide. The volcanic rocks of the Gurvansayhan and Zoolen terranes are dominated by andesitic and basaltic varieties of boninitic, tholeiitic, and calc–alkaline series. The basalts have low TiO2 (0.5–1.3 wt%) and high Mg, indicating near primary mantle-derived compositions. The andesites are moderately fractionated with Mg numbers of 50–60. There are andesites with high MgO (7.1 wt%) and SiO2 (60 wt%), i.e., similar to boninites (Helo et al. 2006). The calc–alkaline volcanics (andesites to rhyolites) are characterized by differentiated REE and concave HREE patterns which is typical of thick and mature island arcs. The tholeiitic basalts have low Th/Nb (0.3) and low-enriched LREE (Helo et al. 2006) suggesting a very small amount of recycled sediments that is typical of immature island arcs (Stern and Scholl 2010). The Gurvansayhan and Zoolen volcanics are enriched in light REE and depleted in HFSE. Conclusively, the geochemical data in combination with high initial εNd-values of ca. + 6 to + 9 (Helo et al. 2006; Table 2) are consistent with a juvenile intra-oceanic arc. There are also volcanic varieties compositionally similar to adakites, which, together with boninites,
suggest a forearc setting. The volcanic rocks from adjacent terranes (Gobi Altai) also have high initial εNd values (+6 to +10) and exhibit calc-alkaline, LREE-enriched island arc as well as tholeiitic LREE-depleted back-arc basin signatures of predominantly juvenile composition (Helo et al. 2006). The formation of the Gurvansayhan and Zoolen arc terranes is attributed to the evolution on the South Mongolian branch of the Palaeo-Asian Ocean (Zonenshain et al. 1975; Dobretsov et al. 1995; Buslov et al. 2001).

Material and methods

Most units which contain sediments were measured bed by bed at centimeter scale. A large number of rock samples were taken for microfacies analysis, geochemical analysis, and biostratigraphy. For the report presented herein, biostratigraphy is mainly based on conodonts (including collection data reported from that area) and radiolarians. Fifty-one conodont samples of approximately 2–4 kg each were taken from calcareous rock and limestone and processed by standard methods (see Jeppson and Anehus 1995). This process was repeated until samples were dissolved. Washed residues were dried in an oven and later sieved and separated into three fractions. Overall, conodonts are scarce and not well preserved and very often lack age-diagnostic species except those conodonts found in pure limestone in the Mississippian. In addition, we carefully examined previously published collections stored in Mongolia. As a result of new biostratigraphic data and revision of previous conodont collections, we can improve the biostratigraphy of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section. Conodont zonation schemes follow Lane et al. (1980), Corriga et al. (2009), Hartenfels (2011), and Spalletta et al. (2017). As it is common practice in conodont studies, only Pa elements were identified. Chert samples were processed but yielded few radiolarian species. All conodont and radiolarian samples will be stored at the Mongolian University of Sciences and Technology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, under repository numbers (MUST-RCSP-CON-0041 to CON-0064 MUST-RCSP-RAD-0001 to RAD-0013).

Because the primary objective of the fieldwork was to characterize the sedimentary sequence in more detail than previously reported (Minjin et al. 2001, Wang et al. 2003a, b, 2005), sampling of the Minjin Member was undertaken as an ancillary part of the fieldwork. Facies and microfacies types (FT) were mainly compared on the basis of the classical models provided by Wilson (1975) and Flügel (2004); the description of siliciclastic rock follows the classification published in Folk (1954), Pettijohn et al. (1973), and Tucker (2001). For this overview, 57 thin sections of 7.5 × 11 cm in size were used. Thin sections are stored at Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum Frankfurt, Germany, under repository numbers (SMF 99332–SMF 99391). All samples were taken along detailed measured sections and were incorporated into the lithological logs presented herein. Some sections
represent compiled sections, and samples were taken where visual differences in composition or texture could be observed. GPS readings (± 3 m) record each sample location.

Results

Regional geology—Bayankhoshuu Ruins area

The different formations of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section are briefly described in stratigraphical order from the oldest to youngest successions based on published data (Guidebook for the 2001 joint meeting of IGCP 410 and 421, Minjin et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2003a, b, 2005) and on new data obtained during fieldwork in 2019. In Fig. 4, we present a geological map of the area, which is based on published data (Minjin et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2005) and data that resulted from this study. We focused on Ordovician/Silurian, Middle to Late Devonian, and Mississippian rocks in order to get a better understanding of the complex relationships between the sedimentary and volcanic sequences as well as on events in the Palaeozoic of Mongolia. Biostratigraphy is mainly based on conodonts and some radiolarians, which generally support the Ordovician/Silurian to the Mississippian.

The different formations of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section are briefly described in stratigraphical order from the oldest to youngest successions based on published data (Guidebook for the 2001 joint meeting of IGCP 410 and 421, Minjin et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2003a, b, 2005) and on new data obtained during fieldwork in 2019. In Fig. 4, we present a geological map of the area, which is based on published data (Minjin et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2005) and data that resulted from this study. We focused on Ordovician/Silurian, Middle to Late Devonian, and Mississippian rocks in order to get a better understanding of the complex relationships between the sedimentary and volcanic sequences as well as on events in the Palaeozoic of Mongolia. Biostratigraphy is mainly based on conodonts and some radiolarians, which generally support conodont data.

The color alteration of conodonts (CAI; Epstein et al. 1977) is high in most samples and ranges from CAI 4.5 to CAI 6. Conodonts found in the Mississippian exhibit lower CAI values, around CAI 3.5 to 4.0. Most samples are barren but conodonts were found in a limited number of samples. Many conodonts are fractured and incomplete. Due to the facies setting and diagenesis (silicification, recrystallization), processed samples yield large volumes of residue.

The location of the sections we investigated in detail are shown in Fig. 4. Most strata are intensively folded and faulted. We have sampled three sections (A to C; see Fig. 4), ranging from the Late Ordovician to Silurian (Fig. 5) along a road west of the Olon Ovoot gold mine and a cross section near the Bayankhoshuu Ruins (Middle Devonian to Early Carboniferous) further in the north (Fig. 4). The latter one is subdivided into different sections which are characterized by a mixture of sedimentary rocks, volcanic rocks (basalts, pillow basalts,andesites, felsic volcanics), and volcaniclastic rocks (bentonites). The overall lithology of the rocks indicates some sort of island arc setting rather than a passive margin setting. Geographically, the locality is south of the Main Mongolian Lineament by 100 km. The section is not consistent with deposition on the Idermeg Terrane or the Gobi Alta Terrane. Deposition likely occurred on either the Mandalovoo or Gurvansayhan Terrane. The geographic location of the field area is on the terrane boundary as drawn by Badarch (2002). Both terranes have similar origins.

Khoyormod Formation

The Khoyormod Formation outcrops 400 m west from the Bayankhoshuu Ruins (Fig. 4) and downhill from outcrops of Upper Jurassic volcanic rocks and was first described by Minjin (2001). The reported thickness of this member is about 400 m, but our measured section of the formation only records a few tens of meters (Fig. 5), perhaps the result of thrusting. Parts of the Khoyormod Formation are exposed west of the field camp in section A (Fig. 5). This formation is represented by an alternation of gray, greenish-gray mudstone, sandstone with limestone lenses, and some fossiliferous limestone. These limestones contain crinoids and corals such as Karagemia altaica and Khangailites hetermorphosus. The fauna is widely distributed in Upper Ordovician carbonate facies in Mongolia.

A number of limestone samples were taken for conodont biostratigraphy (Fig. 5), but all samples were barren except sample BR-C-2. Conodont fauna from the Late Ordovician Khoyormod Formation did not yield diagnostic conodonts of the Amorphognathus ordovicicus biozone, which is attributed to the Ordovician Extinction Event (Hirnantian) but yielded one conodont element of Walliserodus cf. amplissimus or Hamarodus sp. The predominance of Walliserodus amplissimus species represents a characteristic species which occurs in the Hirnantian in Europe (e.g., Ferretti and Serpagli 1999; Rodriguez-Canero et al. 2010). Sediments are characterized by an alternation of crinoidal grainstone, echinoderm packstone, wackestone, and floatstone (Fig. 6a–d; Fig. 7a, b). Crinoidal grainstone layer can reach a remarkable thickness of several decimeters to meters. The entire section is fractured from millimeter to decimeter size and is characterized by rocks which underwent strong tectonic strain and overburden which resulted in solution seams and brecciated fabric (Fig. 6a–c). It is interesting to note that facies change occurs in the middle part of the sampled Khoyormod Formation. Gray limestones with iron-stained micritic matrix with hematite nodules conformably overlay micritic limestone and crinoidal grainstone (Figs. 5 and 6d).

Mandalovoo Formation

Gavuu Member: The Gavuu Member comprises micritic limestone (up to 100 m thick), nodular limestone, and intercalations of thin-bedded siltstone. The limestone yielded corals such as Favosites gothlandicus, F. kennihoensis minus, and Catenipora minuta indicating a Llandovery age (Minjin et al. 2001). Other fauna, such as conodonts (e.g. Ozarkodina inclinata inclinata) are reported from the same authors.

In our section the Gavuu Member is represented by a reduced sedimentologic record and exhibits mainly massflow deposits in section “B” which are covered by thin-bedded siltstone, sandstone, crinoidal grainstone, and basalt (Fig. 5).
Conodont samples are barren and macrofauna, such as corals, are scarce. But conodonts from the area were described by Vorozhbitov (1997). This author described the conodonts *Ozarkodina excavata excavata* and *Kockelella ranuliformis* from the Gornyi Altai as well as from the Gavuu Member in the Havtsal section in the Mushgai area, which is an important determination. Whereas *Ozarkodina excavata excavata* is recognized as a long-ranging species (e.g., Klapper and Murphy 1975), *Kockelella ranuliformis* is the name-bearing species for the *Kockelella ranuliformis* conodont biozone in the Sheinwoodian (early Wenlock; e.g., Corriga et al. 2009). The fauna from the Mushgai area suggests a stratigraphical range from Llandovery to early Wenlock for the Gavuu Member. The stratigraphical range of the conodont species *Kockella ranuliformis* is important in terms of events in the Late Palaeozoic insofar as the Ireviken Event (late Telchian–Sheinwoodian) coincides with a profound change in the pattern of sedimentation, from the shale-dominated units of the *Pterospathodus amorphognathoides* conodont biozone worldwide to the pure carbonates of the overlying *Kockelella ranuliformis* biozone, which has been interpreted to reflect the largest-magnitude eustatic event of the entire Silurian (Johnson 1996; Barrick 1997; Salzmann 2001; Zhang et al. 2017). This lithologic change has been interpreted

---

**Fig. 4** Geological map of the working area (Mushgai, southern Mongolian Gobi, Umnugobi Province, Mandalovoo area) and the sections investigated (the stippled line represents those parts of the section we have summarized). Study area #1 is composed of three sections (hills A–C, Ordovician to Silurian rocks; see lithological log Fig. 5); area #2 is composed of three sections (Lower Devonian to Mississippian rocks; see lithological logs Figs. 8, 11, and 12).
Fig. 5 Compiled lithological log of three hills (sections A to C, #1 in Fig. 4) along the road west of the Olon Ovoot gold mine (Khoyormod Formation, Ordovician; Mandalovoo Formation, Gavuu Member, Silurian), Bayankhoshuu Ruins area, Umnugobi Province. Sample numbers: “C” = conodont samples, “S” = sedimentology/facies, “GC” = samples for geochemistry.
as the largest worldwide regression of the Silurian (Johnson 1996; Barrick 1997). Johnson (2006) pointed out that the Ireviken Event took place during the whole regression which is supported by a hiatus and a sequence boundary reported from East Baltic area by Nestor et al. (2002) and Loydell et al. (2003). In our section, this transition may correlate with the transition from micritic limestones to mass-flow deposits (Fig. 5), but the diagnostic conodonts such as Kockella ranuliformis have not been found in this section so far. Further studies on detailed stratigraphy and geochemical analysis are necessary (work in progress) to confirm the biostratigraphic results by Vorozhbittov (1997).

Greywacke beds can reach thicknesses of several meters and exhibit characteristic sedimentological features such as graded bedding, load casts, and flute casts (Fig. 7c). The Hanyndoloon Member is composed of green mudstone, sandstone, and greywacke, the latter having a thickness of about 600 m. The lower and upper contacts of this formation are not exposed and are believed to be of tectonic origin (Minjin et al. 2001). According to Minjin et al. (2001), the Hanyndoloon Member has a stratigraphical range from Ludlow to Pridoli. This part of the section was not sampled in detail (see stippled line in Fig. 4) and is not shown in the lithological logs.

**Orgol Formation**

The Orgol Formation was first described and named by Minjin et al. (1993). Wang et al. (2003a,b, 2005) preferred to use the name Olonovoot Formation based on new conodont findings in samples M-9 to M-12 (Wang et al. 2005) of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section. The base of this formation is...
mainly composed of “conglomeratic limestone” which has a thickness of 70–100 m.

The stratigraphical range of this formation is still in discussion. Several spot samples with different fauna were taken along a cross section near the Bayankhoshuu Ruins (e.g., Wang et al. 2003a, b; Wang and Chuluun 2004), but the exact sample position in older publications remains uncertain in some cases as the authors took spot samples to get an idea on the stratigraphy; a detailed measured section was beyond their aim. However, tabulate and rugose corals reported from bioherms of the Orgol Formation such as *Favosites socialis*, *Pachyfavosites subnittellus*, *P. gicinger*, *Thamnopora solida*, *Striatopora longa*, *Cladopora* sp., *Hellaepora* sp., *Lecomptia* sp., *Caliopora* sp., and rugosans such as *Spongophylloides perfecta*, and *Spongophylum halysitoides* indicated a Lochkovian age. Another spot sample comes from the lowermost limestone layer of the section sampled by Wang (see N 44° 22' 60", E 104° 17' 76", Wang et al. 2003a, b) which yielded the important conodont species *Caudicriodus woschmidtii* which indicates also Lochkovian age. Similar results were reported by Minjin and Tumenbayar (2001). The *Caudicriodus woschmidtii* (or *C. hesperius*) conodont biozone has been recognized as the lowermost unit of the Devonian, and its base as the Pridoli/Lochkovian boundary. Wang et al. (2003a, b) found the conodont *Pandorinellina exigua* which suggested an early Emsian age as the earliest occurrence of this species is from the base of the early Emsian (Murphy et al. 2004). The disadvantage of the identification by Wang et al. (2003a, b, Plate 1, Fig. 6) is that the authors did not figure the critical parts of the Pa elements that are necessary for identification, the basal views of the Pa elements. Thus, a stratigraphic range into the Emsian is questionable. From our point of view, the Orgol Formation is of Lochkovian in age which is overlain by the Pragian to Emsian Dungenee Formation. Whether the contact between both formations is conformable or whether it is a tectonic contact remains questionable.
Dunbegene Formation

The Dunbegene Formation can be divided into two units. A lower unnamed sandstone unit is composed of sandstone and some grayish limestone layers (50 m thick). From the fossiliferous limestone, the following fossils are reported: brachiopods (Cymostrophia (?) sp.), tabulate corals (Favosites admirabilis, Caliopora sp., Coenites sp.), rugose corals (Pseudomicroplasma compositum), crinoids, bryozoans, and gastropods. The fauna indicates a Pragian to Emsian age. The thickness of this succession is about 400 m (Fig. 4).

The upper part of the Dunbegene Formation is named the Murugtsug Member and is composed of dark-gray, greenish-gray, gray, massive-bedded, and medium-thin-bedded fossiliferous limestones. The following fossils are reported (Minjin et al. 2001): crinoids such as Syndetocrinus natus, Pandocrinus pander, Crotalocrinites cf. rugosus, brachiopod (Atypa sp.), tabulate corals (Favosites admirabilis, Pachyfavosites preplacenta, P. subnitellus, P. gicinger, Plicatomorus giganteus, Gerphuropora multiplicata, Yacuttiopora sp., Thamnopora diserta, T. solida, Coenites dunginensis, C. sp., Caliopora sp.), and rugose corals (Pseudomicroplasma compositum, Embolophyllum aggregatum, Spongophyllodes tomasae, S. dubrovensis, and Spongophyllum halysitoides).

Botuulkhudag Formation

The Botuulkhudag Formation (Fig. 8) is divided into the Takhuul and Minjin members. The Takhuul Member conformably overlies the Dunbegene Formation (Fig. 8). The base is Eifelian in age whereas the upper boundary is not well defined (Wang et al. 2005). The total thickness of the Botuulkhudag Formation is 610 m.

Takhuul member: The Takhuul Member (Figs. 8 and 9a–h) in our section starts with siltstone overlain by a fine- to medium-grained arkosic sandstone which is composed of quartz, feldspar, and some lithic clasts (Fig. 9a).

The clasts contain less than 10% of matrix. Quartz grains and lithoclasts are angular to subangular and the sediment is moderately sorted. The majority of the Takhuul Member is composed of a polymict conglomerate with fine rounded pebbles and boulders (ranging from 5 to 40 cm in diameter, Figs. 7e and 9b); coarse- to fine-grained, gray to brownish calcareous sandstone; sandstone with sandstone pebble; reddish to brownish siltstone; and limestone. Limestone boulders often contain excellently preserved Early Devonian corals (Fig. 9b; Minjin et al. 2001) and may represent reworked material from the Orgol Formation. Occasionally, corals are overgrown by stromatoporoids (Fig. 9b). Due to strong recrystallization, species-level classification of the stromatoporoids is poorly constrained.

These fossiliferous wackestones (Fig. 9d–f) represent the majority of the boulders. Overall, the polymict conglomerate is composed of well-rounded sedimentary rocks of various sizes. Interestingly, the matrix itself is a polymict conglomerate as well (Fig. 9c) containing angular to well-rounded clasts of sandstone, siltstone, and limestone. The conglomerate covers a large area and has a thickness of 30 m (Fig. 8). The coarsening upward (siltstone–sandstone–conglomerate) sequence at the base of the Takhuul Member requires a high-energy environment. Deposition of conglomerates occurred most probably along a beach with strong waves. Furthermore, sedimentological analysis (size of samples, composition, and age) suggest an environment with a certain morphology/rapid uplift (cobble-size rocks) and/or sea-level changes of an appropriate magnitude. Whether this succession was linked with tectonic events (e.g., amalgamation of terranes) requires more detailed studies on other sections. The transition to micritic limestones at the top of this formation is not exposed (Fig. 8). Micritic limestones are covered by a thin-bedded calcareous sandstone with angular to rounded clasts (Fig. 9g). This succession is overlain by a black shale followed by limestones, shale, and a sandstone at the top of the Takhuul Member (Figs. 8 and 9h). According to Minjin et al. (2001), the Takhuul Member is of Eifelian in age. The earliest Eifelian was a time of relatively high eustatic sea-level (middle part of T-R cycle Ic sensu Johnson et al. (1985), Johnson and Sandberg (1989), and Walliser (1996)) related to the transgressive “Basal Chotec Event” (see Chlupac and Kukal 1986). Whereas the basal part of our section represents an overall shallow-water succession (coarsening-upward sequence), the transition from micritic limestone to a black shale represents deeper water environment, which might be associated with the “Basal Chotec Event.” At the time of writing this report, this is a hypothesis based on the remarkable lithological shift that requires more detailed stratigraphical and geochemical studies (work in progress). The Takhuul Member is conformably overlain by the Minjin Member. The transition to the next younger member was placed above a thick-bedded quartzitic sandstone with the first bentonite bed of this section (Fig. 8).

Minjin member: According to earlier descriptions (e.g., Minjin et al. 2001, Wang et al. 2005), the Minjin Member (Figs. 10, 11, and 12) is subdivided into two parts. The lower part is composed of dark-green tuff and lava with dark-gray lenticular limestones and is assigned to Givetian (Minjin et al. 2001). The middle and the upper parts of the Minjin Member are not composed of shale and sandstone as described in the guidebook (Minjin et al. 2001), but are siliceous mudstone, siltstone, and chert. The Minjin Member of the Botuulkhudag Formation is primarily a basaltic sequence with minor sediments except in the middle part of the section (Fig. 11) where radiolarian chert and siltstone occur. The Minjin Member in our section begins with an alternation of shale and bentonite. The latter can reach a remarkable thickness of several meters.
The lower part of the Minjin Member is about 330 m thick, a detailed log is given for the sediments between the pillow basalt (section continues from BR-GC-20, Fig. 11).
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Fig. 8 Lithological log of the Botuulkhudag Formation, Takhuul Member, and the lower part of the Minjin Member (cross section near the Bayankhoshuu Ruins, Umnugobi Province). Base of the section: N 44° 23′ 39.5″, E 104° 18′ 14.2″; end of the section: N 44° 23′ 41.0″, E 104° 18′ 13.6″. Note that a detailed lithological log of the section within the basalts is shown in Fig. 11. Sample numbers: “C” = conodont samples, “S” = sedimentology/facies, “GC” = samples for geochemistry.
This section is covered by fine-grained basalt and pillow basalt. At the base of this succession, which has a thickness of approximately 330 m, isolated micritic limestone boulders occur, which were sampled for conodonts but were barren. Thus, we refer to Minjin et al. (2001) who sampled the same limestone (sample BR-C-38 and BR-C39 in our section) and reported an early Givetian age of that part of the section.

Within the thick basalt succession (see Fig. 8), we have sampled a section in detail (Fig. 11) which is mainly composed of an alternating sequence of siliceous mudstone, siltstone, chert, and volcaniclastic rock (Figs. 8b–d, 9, and 11). The latter ones show components of magma as well as previously cemented rocks, such as cherts. Thin sections and hand samples exhibit normal and inverse gradation (Fig. 10d). This succession is covered by large pillow basalts (Fig. 10e) which reach diameters larger than 2 m. This continuous succession (see #2 of Fig. 4) ranges from the Givetian to ?early Frasnian based on conodonts and radiolarians found in the underlying and overlying sediments.

The overlying unit (Fig. 12) is composed of shale, pyroclastic rock and silicified siltstone and chert. Pyroclastic rocks, which can reach a thickness of several meters, provide evidence of strong subaerial volcanism in the Late Devonian.

Based on field observations and laboratory analysis, radiolarians are found in this part of the section in samples BR-F-3 to BR-F-6 (Fig. 12). The radiolarian assemblage (Fig. 13a–m)
is not very diverse and poorly preserved as a result of metamorphism (recrystallization) but points to a Late Devonian age.

Representatives of the genus *Stigmosphaerostylus* (not figured herein) found in samples BR-F-3 and BR-F-4 are not helpful biostratigraphically as this genus has a long stratigraphical range from the Early through Late Devonian. *Trilonche minax* (Fig. 13l, m; sample BR-F-6) is part of the *Trilonche minax* assemblage and ranges from the uppermost Givetian to lower Frasnian as reported from the Gamilaroi Terrane in the New England Orogen, Eastern Australia (Aitchison et al. 1999, 2017). In Thailand and Laos, this species is found in Frasnian sections (Thassanapak et al. 2012; Wonganan and Caridroit 2005). However, this species is also known from Givetian as well as from Frasnian and Famennian sections in Russia, China, and the USA (Foreman 1963; Nazarov 1975; Wang et al. 2003a,b). *Helenifore robustum* (Fig. 13d–k; sample BR-F-3) occurs in late Frasnian worldwide (e.g., Wang et al. 2003a,b). The radiolarian stratigraphy is supported by conodonts found in samples BR-F-4 and
The conodont species *Polygnathus angustidiscus* (Fig. 13p, q; sample BR-F-4) and *Polygnathus pollocki* (Fig. 13n, o; sample BR-F-5; Fig. 12) range from the Middle Devonian (Givetian) to the Late Devonian (Frasnian) (e.g., Narkiewicz and Bultynck 2016). *Polygnathus pollocki* has been reported in many studies from Upper Devonian rocks such as from Poland by Balinski (1979) and Racki (1992) ranging from the *transitans* to the *punctata* conodont biozone (late Frasnian to middle Frasnian), and from the Canning Basin, Australia, where this species...
ranges into the early Famennian (Druce 1976). Along with the radiolarian data, this part (BR-F-3 to BR-F-6) of the Minjin Member suggests a late Frasnian age.

This succession is conformably overlain by the uppermost part of the Minjin Member which is characterized by an alternation of silicified siltstone, chert, and thin-bedded pyroclastic rock.
Unfortunately, we do not have any radiolarian data from this part of the formation. Both samples (BR-F-7 and BR-F-8) were barren. However, it seems likely that the Minjin Member ranges into the Famennian as we have found diagnostic conodonts of the Famennian, such as *Palmatolepis cf. gracilis gracilis* in younger parts of the section (Fig. 12, sample BR-C-42; Fig. 15b). This species ranges from the Upper *rhomboidea* biozone (Klapper and Ziegler 1979) to the ?Upper *praesulcata* biozone (Ji and Ziegler 1993). According to the revised Late Devonian Conodont Zonation by Spalletta et al. (2017), the species ranges from the *Palmatolepis gracilis gracilis* biozone to the ?*Protognathodus kockeli* biozone. That means that the Minjin Member in our section has a stratigraphical range from the Middle Devonian (Givetian) to the Upper Devonian (Late Famennian). This unit is covered by siltstone and two thick-bedded layers of pyroclastic rock.

In the next unit, we have found conodonts at the base of the Arynshand Formation. Minjin et al. (2001) suggested that a hiatus occurs around the D/C boundary. From the sedimentological point of view, Upper Devonian rocks in our section are...
disconformably overlain by Mississippian rock (see next section) which seems to be a result of thrusting rather than a hiatus. Evidence of subaerial exposure and/or non-deposition, which is reported from areas close to this section (Wang et al. 2005), seems unlikely based on facies characteristics of Upper Devonian rocks which represent deep-water facies such as siltstone and radiolarian chert (sample BR-S-29, Fig. 13a).

**Arynshand Formation**

This formation was established by Aleseeva (1993) and is widely distributed in the Mushgai area with a thickness of 150 to 250 m. The Arynshand Formation is primarily composed of limestone, but in contrast to earlier publications (e.g., Ariunchimeg and Nyamsuren 2001; Wang et al. 2005), it also contains bentonites, pyroclastic volcanics, crinoidal grainstone, and red shale in the upper part (Figs. 11 and 14c, d). In earlier publications, this formation was interpreted as ranging from Late Devonian to Early Carboniferous (Minjin et al. 1993). In later publications, Minjin et al. (2001), Wang et al. (2005) and Wang and Minjin (2004) concluded that the lower part of the Arynshand Formation at the Bayankhoshu Ruins section ranges from the sandbergi biozone to the Lower crenulata biozone and that the D/C boundary was not exposed in the thin-bedded limestone due to a hiatus between the Arynshand Formation and the underlying rocks of the Botuulkhudag Formation (Minjin Member). In the Muruurgsug section nearby (about 300 m west of this section), the Devonian–Carboniferous transition was reported based on the conodonts (Siphonodella praeasulcata and Siphonodella sulcata were found). Unfortunately, the conodonts were not illustrated and thus the D/C transition in this area remains questionable. Aristov (1998) reported from the same section even younger conodonts ranging from the duplicata biozone to the Upper crenulata–isosticha biozone (zonation scheme after Sandberg et al. 1978).

The lower part of this formation starts with limestones, thin shale, and a bentonite bed. In the middle part of the Arynshand Formation several layers of pyroclastic rocks and another bentonite occur (Fig. 12). This succession is overlain by grayish, micritic limestone and crinoidal grainstone (sample BR-S-38, Figs. 11 and 14c) at the top. Separating this interval and the massively bedded limestone above, a breccia occurs. Scholle et al. (1993) have shown that timing of solution-breccia formation can be problematic whether the breccias were formed synsedimentary or during late diagenesis. Very early in the diagenetic evolution of sediments, desiccation cracks developed in the host sediment. As a result of incomplete solution collapse, a dense network of fractures is formed (e.g., Assereto and Benelli 1971; Pomoni-Papaioannou and Karakitsios 2002), and owing to progressive evaporite dissolution, an enlargement of the cracks can result to in situ brecciation. The collapse structure in our section can be characterized as a monomictic tectonic fault breccia (Fig. 14d–e) which may also lead to subaerial exposure and karst in a later stage. The breccia consists of mainly angular, millimeter- to several decimeter-sized clast-supported and matrix-supported clasts. Strong fracturing concerns the whole rock as well as individual clasts. Microfractures differ in width, orientation, and filling, and the matrix consists of small pieces of rock fragments which are bound together by sparry calcite (Fig. 14d). These features support a fault breccia.

This succession is overlain by red shale which yields some large boulder of older crinoidal grainstone (Fig. 14e, g). It seems likely that this unit represents paleokarst and subaerial exposure in the early Mississippian (close to the Tourmaian/Visean boundary; see conodont record).

Our biostratigraphic results from recent fieldwork and review of the conodont collection by Wang et al. (2005) differ from the results in earlier publications (see above) and provide a more precise biostratigraphic framework. A formation should be a mappable unit, and thus, the Arynshand Formation starts with the first limestone which lies disconformably upon the Minjin Member (Fig. 12). The first conodont sample BR-C-40 did not yield conodonts, but the following sample BR-C-41 yields Polygnathus cf. longiposticus (Fig. 15c, sample BR-C-41). This species has a stratigraphical range from the ?Bispathodus ultimus biozone to Tourmaian (Spalletta et al., 2017). The next conodonts were found in sample BR-C-42: Palmatolepis cf. gracilis gracilis (Fig. 15b), Polygnathus inornatus (Fig. 15e), and Polygnathus communis cf. renatae (Fig. 15d). Polygnathus cf. gracilis gracilis ranges from the Palmatolepis gracilis gracilis biozone to the ?Protognathodus kockeli biozone (Spalletta et al. 2017), Polygnathus communis cf. renatae ranges from the Bispathodus ultimus biozone to Tourmaian, and Polygnathus inornatus ranges from the Bispathodus costatus biozone to Tourmaian (Spalletta et al. 2017). Thus, this assemblage most probably is late Famennian in age (Lower praeasulcata biozone according to the biozonation by Ziegler and Sandberg (1990) or the Bispathodus ultimus biozone published in Spalletta et al. 2017). Sample BR-C-43 (Fig. 15) yields an assemblage including Siphonodella cf. duplicata the name bearing species of the duplicata biozone. Neither Siphonodella sulcata nor Protognathodus species (such as Pr. kuehni or Pr. kockeli) was found which would be helpful to define the D/C boundary. From the sedimentological point of view, there was no evidence of a Hangenberg Crises and related characteristic lithology such as black shales or black limestone (which is not necessarily the case in all D/C sections worldwide and depend on facies, but characteristic lithologies are frequent; Kaiser et al. 2015; Königshof et al. in press), but this part of the section was thrusted and strongly folded. Thus, our interpretation is that the D/C boundary is not exposed in this section due to strong tectonic overprint. Sample BR-C-44 yielded Polygnathus cf. tenusierratus M1 (Fig. 15m) which occurs in the Lower to Upper duplicata biozone (Corradini et al. 2003). The next younger unit was found...
in sample BR-C-47. *Bispathodus stabilis* (Fig. 15 p), *Polygnathus purus purus* (Fig. 15s), some Siphonodellid species, and the index species *Siphonodella crenulata* correspond to the Lower *crenulata* biozone.

The youngest part documented in this section by conodonts (sample BR-C-50, Fig. 12) represents the *Scaliognathus anchoralis*-Doliognathus latus* biozone. This biozone is defined by the presence of *Scaliognathus anchoralis* and *Doliognathus latus*. The latter one was not found, but two subspecies of *Scaliognathus anchoralis*, *Scaliognathus anchoralis anchoralis* and *Scaliognathus anchoralis europensis* (Fig. 15q–u), were identified. This unit is covered by red shales with a tectonic breccia at the base suggesting a syntectonic fault breccia, followed by shales and red shales with limestone clasts, which is most likely a result of subaerial exposure around the Tournaisian/Visean boundary. Conodont data suggest that the Arynshand Formation ranges from the *Bispathodus ultimus* conodont biozone (late Famennian) to the *Scaliognathus anchoralis*-

![Fig. 14 Microfacies/lithology of the Botuulkhudag Formation, Minjin Member, and examples from the Arynshand Formation:](image)

- a micritic limestone with radiolarians. In the middle part of the thin section, a thin intercalation of silstone occurs deposited as distal turbidite or as a result of bottom currents in basin facies setting (Minjin Member, sample BR-S-28); b Wackestone with rare crinoids, base of the Arynshand Formation (Early Mississippian, sample BR-S-31); c moderately sorted crinoid packstone, syntaxial rim cement (arrows) indicate continuous growth of crinoid calcite under burial conditions (sample BR-S-38); d monomict breccia at the upper part of the Arynshand Formation; some calcite veins postdate brecciation (sample BR-S-39); e Field photograph of limestone breccia with red shales; f red shales at the top of the Arynshand Formation, photo taken around sample BR-F-9; g poorly sorted, densely packed crinoid packstone which shows strong compaction and pressure solution indicated by stylolitic boundaries between crinoid fragments (sample BR-S-38)
Doliognathus latus biozone (Mississippian, corresponds to the transition of the Tournaisian/Visean boundary in Belgium) in the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section.

Tal Formation

The Tal Formation is composed of siliciclastic rock and limestone. The formation is distributed in the northern part of the region and has a thickness of 500 m. Lithologically, the Tal Formation is subdivided into three parts: the lower part (Shand Member) is characterized by fine-grained clastics, 52 m in thickness; the middle part (Bayanhoshuu Member) is predominantly composed of carbonates with a thickness of 50 m yielding badly preserved fossils; and the upper part (Muusukhait Member) is composed of dark-gray, black siltstone, clay slate containing pyrite, and fine-grained sandstone with thin bituminous limestone layers, with an exposed thickness of over 300 m. According to Suetenko et al. (1977), the

Fig. 15 Conodonts from Ordovician and Devonian/Carboniferous: a Walliserodus cf. amplissimus or Hamarodus sp. b Palmatolepis cf. gracilis gracilis Branson & Mehl, 1934a incomplete and highly altered species. c Polygnathus cf. longiposticus Barnson & Mehl 1934a. d Polygnathus communis cf. renatæ, Corradini et al. 2003. e Polygnathus inornatus Branson & Mehl, 1934b. f Siphonodella sp. (cf. duplicata?). g Siphonodella cf. duplicata, h Bispathodus cf. spinulicostatus (Branson 1934). i Polygnathus sp. j Siphonodella cf. duplicata. k Gnathodus pseudosemiglaber Thompson & Fellows, 1970. l Polygnathus cf. longiposticus. m Polygnathus cf. tenuiserratus M1, Corradini et al. 2003. n Siphonodella crenulata Cooper 1939. o Siphonodella sp. p Bispathodus stablis Branson & Mehl 1934a. q Scaliognathus cf. anchoralis europensis Lane & Ziegler 1983. r Siphonodella sp. s Polygnathus purus purus 'Voges 1959. t Scaliognathus anchoralis anchoralis' Barnson & Mehl 1941. u Scaliognathus anchoralis europensis Lane & Ziegler 1983. v Polygnathus purus purus Voges, 1959. Scale bars = 100 μm
lower part of this formation has tectonic contacts on both sides whereas Nyamsuren (1998) reported that the contact between the Arynshand Formation and the Tal Formation is conformable, which is shown in the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section. The interpretation by Nyamsuren (1998) is not likely as in the upper part of the Arynshand Formation limestone breccias and red shales occur which point to subaerial exposure rather than a continuous succession. The stratigraphical range of the Tal Formation is unclear. Unfortunately, the three conodont samples which were taken from the Tal Formation on the other side of the mountain ridge did not yield conodonts.

Discussion

All sections are strongly tectonized at all scales from centimeter to kilometer, and many carbonates are diagenetically altered, but not as severely as the western Mongolian sections of the Baramnuruai Terrane (Munkhjargal, Königshof, Hartenfels et al. this issue). Thrusting at different scales occurs frequently which may explain the thickness differences of some formations in the BayankhoshuuRuins area. Tectonic stress and alteration also occur in conodont samples. Some conodont elements exhibit alteration or surface structures which are caused by hydrothermal fluids. Those samples show “high” CAI values (CAI 6) and recrystallization features at the surface and are not useful to estimate palaeotemperatures but provide information on regional metamorphism and tectonic stress (e.g., Epstein et al. 1977, Königshof 2003).

Overall, terrigenous sediments are not common in the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section, indicating deposition some distance from an emergent hinterland. Two intervals of conglomerates with clasts primarily consisting of older carbonate pebbles to cobbles (and larger) indicate subaerial exposure of the carbonates with formation of high-energy deposits likely close to a coastline. Although many of the cobbles are rounded, cobbles size is not consistent with long-distance transport. Conglomerates in the Takhul Member of the Botuulkhudag Formation have both Silurian and Devonian corals in the cobbles.

The Bayankhoshuu Ruins section has at least three distinct intervals of carbonate development—Upper Ordovician/Lower Silurian, Lower Devonian, and Lower Carboniferous. The presence of a thick carbonate sequence such as in the Carboniferous requires a palaeogeographic position close to a palaeoequatorial realm. Palaeomagnetic results (Safonova et al. 2017) suggest that the Devonian volcanic arc was near the palaeoequator and drifted 40° to the north which seems unlikely for the early Mississippian. Crinoidal grainstone is most frequent in the Ordovician and less common in Lower Carboniferous carbonates, but benthic fauna is rare with the exception of corals present in distinct layers, but these are not common.

Two distinct types of volcanic activity are observed in the section. Basalts consistent with back arc spreading are dominant in the Middle to Late Devonian of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section. The Devonian basalts are very thick. The presence of pillow structures in the Devonian basalts indicates active sea floor extrusion. Pyroclastic rocks and bentonites prove periodic subaerial extrusion and occur preferably in the Middle to Late Devonian and are of small importance in the Mississippian. Prolonged volcanic activity particularly in the Late Devonian is reported from many places around the world and has been suggested to be a driver of ecological collapse at the D/C boundary (e.g., Moreno et al. 1996; Paschall et al. 2019; Racki et al. 2018; Racki 2019). Intercalations of deep-water (radiolarian-rich) siltstone and chert indicate multiple periods of sea floor spreading. The section contains more than 20 bentonite layers, some more than 2 m thick in the outcrop. The bentonites indicate a more distant, more explosive volcanic source likely associated with subduction rather than back arc development.

The succession from the Minjin Member of the Botuulkhudag Formation to the Arynshand Formation (Figs. 8, 10, and 11) is a potentially important sequence. Conodont data from limestone blocks in the basalt prove a Givetian (Middle Devonian) age to the volcanic rocks (Minjin et al. 2001). Although none of us in the field are experts in igneous petrology, we were able to delineate some textural and compositional changes in the volcanic sequence. The lower part of the basalts is finely crystalline with no evidence of pillows. Pillow structures appear above. Basalts in the Minjin Member represent at least two intervals of extrusion as they are separated by a sedimentary sequence some tens of meters thick. Felsic volcaniclastic units are also found in this part of the sequence (Fig. 10). Basalts in the upper part of the Minjin Member show numerous pillow structures, some very large (Fig. 10e). Color changes suggest changes in composition of the magma although this remains to be confirmed by lab work. According to Lamb and Badarch (2001), geochemical data from the pillow lava of the Mandalovoo Terrane show that they were erupted in a subduction zone setting. Volcaniclastic successions which can reach a remarkable thickness (Fig. 11) occur in the Late Devonian part of the Botuulkhudag Formation (Minjin Member). The subaerial to marine volcanic activity within the South Gobi Zone suggests the development of an intra-oceanic basin (Lamb and Badarch 1997). The entire section exhibits different subaerial and submarine volcanic phases (Fig. 16).

A more or less complete section from the basalts in the Minjin Member to the limestone of the Arynshand Formation was pieced together in the field. The sedimentary sequence above the basalts is composed of a deep-water (below storm wave base) succession—siliceous mudstone, siltstone, or chert (Fig. 16). A remarkable thick succession of about 9 m thick pyroclastic rocks in the upper part of the Minjin Member suggests explosive subaerial eruptions. Radiolarians which have been found in the overlying siliceous siltstone point to a late Frasnian age. Based on
The lower part of the Arynshand Formation is composed of thin-bedded limestone, micrite with some crinoidal grainstone. Separating this interval and the massively bedded limestone above is a small interval of red shale with a breccia at the base suggesting a syntectonic fault breccia. In contrast to paleokarst collapse structure which are characterized by polymict, angular to subrounded clasts, this sediment is composed of angular to subangular, strongly fractured monomict clasts (reddish marls with small shell hash). Thin sections show that calcite veins clearly postdate brecciation.

The red shales on top of that succession exhibit large rounded blocks of crinoid limestones, and a subaerial exposure/paleokarst seems likely in that case rather than a tectonic breccia. It is probable that subaerial exposure is linked with a remarkable eustatic sea-level drop and/or tectonic processes (terrane collision). The youngest conodonts found in the Arynshand Formation below the fault breccia represent the conodont *Scaliognathus anchoralis–Doliognathus latus* biozone. A drop in sea level during the early Mississippian (corresponds to the latest Tournaisian or the Tournaisian/Famennian boundary in Belgium = early Mississippian) which resulted in extensive limestone dissolution and karstification at surface and subsurface levels was described by Schönlaub et al. (1991) from the Carnic Alps. This paleokarst is associated with the unconformity that separates the Devonian to Lower Carboniferous limestone sequences from the overlying flysch deposits of the Hochwipfel Formation. In terms of the currently used conodont zonation, this event occurred during the *Scaliognathus anchoralis–Doliognathus latus* biozone.

Interestingly, the paleokarst observed in this study coincided with a regressive cycle reported from the Madison Limestone in North America. The Madison Limestone represents a second-order super sequence that internally consisted of six third-order sequences (Westphal et al. 2004; Katz et al. 2007; Oehlert et al. 2019). The beginning of the second-order regressive cycle is roughly correlated with the base of the *Scaliognathus anchoralis–Doliognathus latus* biozone, but there is no evidence of exposure horizon or karstic surfaces which is similar to coeval sections in Belgium (Hance et al. 2002). Thus, it seems plausible that karstification observed in the early Mississippian of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section represents a local event. By the end of Tournaisian time, the eastern margin of the Russian Platform experienced uplift, exposure, and increased volcanism associated with collision of volcanic arcs and Uralian microcontinents to the east (Kirikov 1988; Ulmsheik 1988). Guy et al. (2014) described various sections in the south Gobi Zones in southern Mongolia such as a section from the Noyon Uul syncline which is in the west of our study area. In their summary of lithostratigraphic units, they have shown an unconformity/hiatus which occurs in the Early Carboniferous but details on stratigraphy are not given. So, it seems questionable where this event can be linked with the unconformity observed in the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section.

---

**Fig. 16** Stratigraphic chart of formations/members of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section combined with tectonic and volcanic events (unconformity/hiatus, basalts/pyroclastic deposits) of southern Mongolia, Mandal Ovoo area (Umnugobi Province) based on published data (Minjin et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2003, 2005) and our results. The right column shows a composite lithological log of the investigated sections. The succession is mainly composed of pelagic/hemipelagic sediments and exhibits different subaerial and submarine volcanic phases. For more details, see figures and explanations in the text.
Lower in the section (*Siphonodella isosticha*–Upper *Siphonodella crenulata* biozone to Lower *Gnathodus typicus* biozone), one of the largest δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) excursions in the Phanerozoic in the early Mississippian were reported from various regions (e.g., Bruckschen et al. 1999; Saltzman et al. 2004; Oehlert et al. 2019). As this critical period is also exposed in the studied section (see conodont record above), geochemical studies are necessary to prove evidence of this important δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) Excursion in the early Mississippian of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section.

Conclusions

- Although many more conodont samples are necessary in order to provide a better biostratigraphic record, this report provides important sedimentological and stratigraphical descriptions from the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section (Mushgai area, southern Mongolian Gobi). We could improve the stratigraphy of some formations such as the Khoyormod Formation, the Botuulkhudag Formation (particularly the Minjin Member), and the Arynshand Formation.

- The Bayankhoshuu Ruins section is characterized by strongly thrust and folded sequences which contain relatively scarce fossils except some distinct horizons. However, based on this study, the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section ranges from the Late Ordovician (Khoyormod Formation) to the early Mississippian (Arynshand Formation).

- Due to the general rareness of fossils, poor preservation, and strong tectonic overprint, it was not possible to pinpoint Palaeozoic event layers, but we provide more detailed information on the placement of the succession within the Palaeozoic Terrane scheme for Mongolia.

- The Bayankhoshuu Ruins section exposes mainly deep-water (hemipelagic and pelagic) deposits composed of limestones, siltstones, and cherts; shallow-water sediments are less frequent.

- Red shales occur in the lower part of the early Mississippian Arynshand Formation suggesting subaerial exposure/paleokarst which corresponds to the latest Tournaisian or the Tournaisian/Viséan boundary in Belgium. An equivalent of this unconformity was described from the Carnic Alps.

- The marine sedimentary succession is interjected by volcanic rocks—basaltic lava of Silurian and Middle Devonian age and volcaniclastic bentonite and tuff in the Middle to Late Devonian and Mississippian which can reach a remarkable thickness. Deposits of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section suggest an island arc setting. Overall, deposition likely occurred on either the Mandalovoo or Gurvansayhan Terrane.

- Late Devonian and Mississippian rocks of the Bayankhoshuu Ruins section are not comparable with the shallow-water Hushoot Shiveetii gol section (Barunnuhurai Terrane) in western Mongolia where a reasonably abundant and diverse macrofauna has been described (Munkhjargal, Königshof, Hartenfels et al. this issue; Crônier et al. this issue; Nazik et al. this issue; Waters et al. this issue), but facies settings of both sections are characterized by very strong volcanic activity, particularly in the Late Devonian.
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