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Abstract: Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is one of the most common procedures studied in the orthopaedic literature. In this regard, graft preparation is a key factor for successful outcomes. Although current methods to reconstruct the ACL are generally perceived to be successful, recent studies indicate that normal structure and function of the knee are fully restored in less than half of the patients. Therefore, biologically augmenting these scaffolds could provide a potential solution for improving healing times and biomechanical properties of the graft. The purpose of this Technical Note is to describe our preferred technique for an ACL graft preparation (quadriceps tendon) augmented with platelet-rich plasma.

Although anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction (ACLR) is the 6th most common orthopaedic procedure performed in the United States, with over 100,000 ACLRs each year,1 few advances have been made to reduce the healing time of the ACL graft and the subsequent development of degenerative joint disease.2-5 Unlike total joint replacements where rehabilitation has dramatically improved, allowing arthroplasty to become an outpatient surgery,6 return to activities after an ACLR have progressively increased to reduce failure rates (from 6 months to 1 year postoperatively).7 Moreover, ACLR quantitative imaging studies have shown that knee homeostasis is not reestablished until 2 years after surgery.8 In addition, 1 in 4 young athletic patients who sustain an ACL injury and return to high-risk sports have been reported to sustain another ACL injury early in the return-to-play period.9

Disruption of the ACL leads to altered knee joint function and significantly increases the risk for knee osteoarthritis (OA), with 60% to 90% of patients demonstrating evidence of knee OA 10 years after ACLR.10-15 While current methods to reconstruct the ACL are generally perceived to be successful, a recent meta-analysis study indicated that normal structure and function of the knee is fully restored in only 37% of patients.16 More concerning is that ACLRs do not reduce the risk of OA, since 42% to 90% have radiographic evidence of OA within 7 to 12 years after ACL surgery.17-22 For the above-mentioned reasons, there is a critical need to determine a faster and more effective approach of healing the ACL graft after reconstruction.

Although several graft preparation techniques have been reported, the body of literature regarding graft augmentation with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is limited. Therefore, the purpose of this Technical Note is to describe our preferred technique for an ACL graft preparation (quadriceps tendon) augmented with PRP. This Technical Note provides important pearls for performing a reproducible biologically augmented quadriceps tendon graft.

Technique

The advantages and disadvantages of the quadriceps tendon autograft are presented in Table 1, and a step-by-step approach with pearls for each part of the
Table 1. Advantages and Disadvantages of Quadriceps Tendon-Bone Autograft

| Advantages                                      | Disadvantages                                      |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| • Less harvest site morbidity compared with patella tendon autograft. | • Potential for suprapatellar pouch injury during quadriceps tendon harvest. |
| • Harvest of quadriceps tendon allows for larger graft cross-sectional area. | • Insufficient graft strength can occur if graft is not properly tensioned. |
| • Averts disruption of extensor mechanism. | • Can further weaken quadriceps strength in patient with quad strength deficiency. |

procedure is presented in Table 2. A graft preparation case example is demonstrated in Video 1.

Patient Positioning and Anesthesia

The patient is placed in the supine position on the operating table. After induction of general anesthesia, a bilateral knee examination is performed to evaluate for any concurrent ligamentous instability and to assess range of motion. A well-padded high-thigh tourniquet is subsequently placed on the operative leg. A small bump can be placed underneath the knee to maintain 20° to 30° of flexion. After the approach has been completed and before harvesting the quadriceps, the distal aspect of the table can be dropped to reach 90° of knee flexion.

Graft Harvest

With the knee flexed to 90°, an approximately 3 cm long longitudinal incision is made centered on the superior pole of the patella (Fig 1). Dissection is carried through the subcutaneous tissue to the quadriceps tendon paratenon layer with a 15 mm blade. A quadriceps harvest knife (Arthrex, Naples, FL) is used for graft harvest. The blade limits the depth of the cut to 7 mm (Fig 2). A “push” technique is performed from the superior pole of the patella proximally to the quadriceps tendon. Then the graft length is measured with the marks provided in the handle, from the proximal pole of the patella. A no. 15 blade knife is used to connect the 2 proximal vertical incisions just off the superior pole of the patella. It is important to identify a thin fat layer underlying the quadriceps tendon to avoid capsular damage and therefore fluid leakage.

A bovie electrocautery is then used to mark the periosteum for a 20 mm long by 10 mm wide bone plug from the superior pole of the patella. A small oscillating saw (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI) with a 10 mm wide blade is then used to score the cortex. Care must be taken to angle the longitudinal cuts 30° toward the midline of the patella, thereby forming a trapezoidal bone plug while avoiding damage to the patellar chondral surface and avoiding a patellar fracture (Fig 3). Then the proximal horizontal cut is made by aiming the saw blade 45° obliquely toward either of the longitudinal cuts, to avoid cutting beyond the longitudinal cuts, thus reducing the risk of creating a potential stress riser in the patella. If sufficient saw cuts have been made, the patellar plug should be easily removable (Figs 4 and 5). The graft is then carefully removed from the knee and brought to the back table for preparation.

Graft Preparation

Although several preparation techniques are available, most of the grafts are prepared so that they easily pass through a 10 mm sizer. The patellar bone plug should be approximately 20 mm in length and 9 mm wide. It should be trimmed to the appropriate size using any combination of a saw, rongeur, and scissors. Excess bone is now saved for grafting into the bone plug harvest site and the tibial tunnel at the end of the case. A 1.6 mm K-wire is used to drill a hole in the cancellous part of the plug, parallel to the cortical surface, followed by shuttling a no. 1 PDS Suture (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ). The bone-tendon junction of the femoral bone plug is marked with a sterile marking pen, which will assist with graft orientation during graft passage and fixation. The tibial end of the graft is then whipstitched with the no. 2 FiberWire (Arthrex) with a Krackow locking technique (Fig 6).

Biological Augmentation of the Graft

The graft is then augmented with PRP at 7% (Angel System, Arthrex). The graft is first injected with calcium chloride and fibrin as a sealant on the surface and then intrasubstance throughout the tendon. Next, the PRP is injected in the same manner (surface and intratendon) with a 22 G needle. Lastly, the tendon is tubularized with a no. 2 FiberWire (Arthrex) to maintain the PRP within the tendon. For this purpose, continuous nonlocking sutures are used from the patellar bone block to the distal aspect of the graft (Fig 7). The graft is then resized to ensure that the volume of the graft is still acceptable for the size of the reconstruction tunnels.

Rehabilitation Protocol

Following surgery, patients were allowed to bear weight immediately and there were no restrictions on range of motion. Crutches were used as needed for the first 2 weeks postoperatively or until the patient was comfortable walking without assistance. A supervised physical therapy program was prescribed for 3 months following the concepts of periodization (range of motion, muscular endurance, strength, and power phases could be developed based on the patients’ return to play timelines). For the first phase, after suture removal (1 week), patients transitioned to a stationary

---

Table 2. Graft Preparation

| Graft Preparation Techniques | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|
| • Harvest of quadriceps tendon allows for larger graft cross-sectional area. | • Potential for suprapatellar pouch injury during quadriceps tendon harvest. | • Insufficient graft strength can occur if graft is not properly tensioned. |
| • Averts disruption of extensor mechanism. | • Can further weaken quadriceps strength in patient with quad strength deficiency. | |

---
Table 2. Step-by-Step Process

| Step | Pearls |
|------|--------|
| Patient Positioning | - Patient is placed in the supine position on the operating table.  
- Bilateral knee examination is performed to evaluate for any concurrent ligamentous instability and to assess range of motion.  
- A well-padded high-thigh tourniquet is subsequently placed on the operative leg, which is then placed into a leg holder.  
- The foot of the operating table is then lowered, allowing the surgeon to freely manipulate the knee as needed. |
| Graft Harvest | - With the knee flexed to 90°, an approximately 3 cm long longitudinal incision is made centered on the superior pole of the patella.  
- A quadriceps harvest knife (Arthrex) is used for graft harvest.  
- Graft length is measured with the marks provided in the handle, from the proximal pole of the patella.  
- Bovie electrocautery is then used to mark the periosteum for a 20 mm long by 10 mm wide bone plug from the superior pole of the patella.  
- A small oscillating saw (Stryker) with a 10 mm wide blade is then used to score the cortex.  
- If sufficient saw cuts have been made, the patellar plug should be easily removable. The graft is then carefully removed from the knee and brought to the back table for preparation. |
| Graft Preparation | - In general, grafts are prepared so that they easily pass through a 10 mm sizer.  
- The patellar bone plug should be approximately 20 mm in length and 9 mm wide. It should be trimmed to the appropriate size using any combination of a saw, rongeur, and scissors.  
- A 1.6 mm K-wire is used to drill a hole in the cancellous part of the plug, parallel to the cortical surface, followed by shut-tling a suture to facilitate graft passage.  
- The bone-tendon junction of the femoral bone plug is marked with a sterile marking pen, which will assist with graft orientation during graft passage and fixation.  
- The tibial end of the graft is then whipstitched with the no. 2 FiberWire (Arthrex) with a Krackow locking technique. |
| Biological Augmentation | - Whole blood from the patient is placed into centrifuge tube. Care must be taken to not contaminate the sample during transfer from the 60 mL syringe to the centrifuge tube. For PRP to be augmented in a unilateral procedure, 60 mL of blood is drawn from a peripheral vein in the arm.  
- The whole blood sample is taken to the centrifuge and centrifuged in the Angel system set up at 7%.  
- The final preparation of 5-6 mL of diluted PRP is loaded automatically in a sterile syringe.  
- PRP should be injected along the entire length of the graft and be injected into multiple depths of the graft.  
- The PRP should be activated with calcium chloride during the injection. Two milliliters of calcium chloride injected into the conical tube of final PRP product is sufficient for activation. |

PRP, platelet-rich plasma.

bike. Starting with 10 minutes per day with no resistance and slowly increasing the time (by 1-2 minutes). Once the patient reached 30 minutes of continuous biking, he/she was allowed to increase resistance every 2 days. The length of each subsequent phase depended on the time frame of the rehabilitation program but was no shorter than 6 weeks. With range of motion restored, the treatment emphasized at week 8 shifted to the development of a muscular endurance base. A transition in training emphasis to the development of muscular strength was made at week 15 before muscular power developed at week 21.
Discussion

This Technical Note describes our preferred method for quadriceps tendon autografts, biologically augmented with PRP for ACLRs. Graft selection for ACLR is primarily based on surgeon experience and patient factors, such as gender, age, patient size, and activity level. Quadriceps, patellar tendon, and hamstring autografts for ACLR have been supported in the literature with good functional outcomes and low graft rupture rates.23

In a recent systematic review by Kurz et al.24 reporting on the credibility and quality of meta-analyses addressing graft choice in ACLR, the investigator reported limited credibility of some of the included studies, with a trend toward earlier studies having limited methodological “rigor.” More high-quality studies are needed to evaluate graft choice in ACLRs and improve surgical techniques, coupled with biological approaches to ultimately improve the return to activities of the patients. Historically, most knee arthroscopists debated the indications and benefits of patellar tendon and hamstring autografts. In this regard, in a systematic review of 22 studies comparing ACLR grafts, patellar tendon autografts had superior rotational stability but a higher complication rate when compared with quadrupled hamstring autografts.25 Webster et al.26 performed a randomized controlled study evaluating outcomes following hamstring autograft versus patellar tendon autografts in ACLR. They reported no difference in graft failure rate; however, more patients who received patellar autografts were able to return to biweekly sport. Currently, a recent hype for the use of quadriceps tendon autograft has promoted further research into this graft. Belk et al.27 reported that patients undergoing primary ACLR

![Fig 1. Following standard sterile patient prepping and draping, an approximately 2.5 cm incision centered over the superior pole of the patella should be marked (right knee).](image)

![Fig 2. The surgical assistant should use 2 retractors (army navys) to allow the surgeon to visualize the trajectory of the quadriceps tendon on a right knee. The surgeon then uses the graft harvester to push proximally along the tendon until the desired graft length is achieved. Note that the blade limits the depth of the cut to 7 mm.](image)

![Fig 3. Senn retractors are used to retract along the inferior aspect of the inferior aspect of the right knee while the surgeon uses the 10 mm oscillating saw to make the initial graft harvesting cuts. Care must be taken to angle the longitudinal cuts 30° toward the midline of the patella, thereby forming a trapezoidal bone plug while avoiding damage to the patellar chondral surface and avoiding a patellar fracture. Then the proximal horizontal cut is made by aiming the saw blade 45° obliquely toward either of the longitudinal cuts, to avoid cutting beyond the longitudinal cuts, thus reducing the risk of creating a potential stress riser in the patella.](image)

![Fig 4. A Kocher clamp is used to pull tension on the graft to allow the surgeon to further free the proximal aspect of the graft from the medial and lateral aspects of the tendon. The desired length of the graft is usually 9 cm.](image)
with either a quadriceps tendon, bone–patellar tendon–bone, or hamstring autograft experience improvement in clinical outcomes. QT patients experienced less knee laxity postoperatively compared with HT patients, although no significant differences were found in graft failure rate between groups.

While current methods to reconstruct the ACL are generally perceived to be successful, a recent meta-analysis study indicated that normal structure and function of the knee is fully restored in only 37% of patients. More concerning is that ACLRs do not reduce the risk of OA, since 42% to 90% have radiographic evidence of OA within 7 to 12 years after ACL surgery. All these factors has led to an increased interest in discovering methods to augment the biological responsiveness of cartilage and ligamentous cells in ACL surgery, assuming that the success of ACLR depends heavily on biological processes that could improve the outcomes and ensure optimal clinical results. One promising regenerative approach is the use of PRP, and therefore the body of literature is exponentially increasing to determine factors that can help improve the results of this procedure.

PRP contains various growth factors, including transforming growth factor β-1, fibroblast growth factor-2, insulin-like growth factor, epidermal growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and vascular endothelial growth factor, that have demonstrated positive effects on cell proliferation, cell migration, angiogenesis, and extracellular matrix production in numerous cell types both in vivo and in vitro models. The primary cell in the ACL is the fibroblast. The fibroblast has receptors for many of the growth factors released by platelets, including PDGF, transforming growth factor β, and fibroblast growth factor. PDGF stimulates fibroblast growth, migration, and biosynthetic activity. In light of such potential, the possibility of applying this biological product (which has all these growth factors) to enhance ACL reconstructive surgery appears attractive: PRP might not only promote a better and faster ligamentization of the graft used for ACLR and reduce the proinflammatory factors released immediately after surgery, but also might contribute to a better integration of the graft within the bone tunnels, thus avoiding their enlargement and failure over time.

Regarding ACL graft maturation, there is some promising evidence that the addition of PRP to the graft or tunnels could be a synergic factor in acquiring maturity more quickly than grafts with no PRP. Previous literature suggested a tendency toward this outcome, but the small sample size numbers probably made it difficult to obtain statistically significant differences between the groups. Radice et al. performed a study using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and also found that the use of a 9 platelet concentrate reduced the average time to achieve a normal MRI signal intensity value from 369 to 177 days. Qualitatively, it has been observed that grafts treated with concentrated platelets (3×) showed higher clinical results.
arthroscopic ratings for synovial coverage, graft width, and graft tension in the platelet-treated group when compared with the controls.\textsuperscript{38} Histology revealed that the ligament maturity index\textsuperscript{41} was significantly greater in the platelet-treated grafts.\textsuperscript{38} Other studies have found no differences between the grafts treated with platelets versus untreated grafts in any outcome measures.\textsuperscript{39,40,42} In an animal model it was also observed that PRP was able to produce superior biomechanical properties such as a higher tensile load and linear stiffness of the graft.\textsuperscript{35} The majority of studies evaluating graft-bone healing have found no improvements in healing at the graft-bone junction.\textsuperscript{36,39,43} A review of the literature suggested that the use of platelet concentrate may improve the rate at which grafts achieve low-signal intensity on MRI or an improved ligament maturity index on histology\textsuperscript{36-38}, however, none of them showed an improvement of clinical or patient-oriented outcome even at 2 years\textsuperscript{29,36,40} or significantly improved bone-tendon healing.\textsuperscript{36,39,43} Ventura et al.\textsuperscript{44} reported a difference in MRI signal density in ACL grafts augmented with growth factors intraoperatively 6 months following hamstring autograft reconstruction compared with controls. Similarly, Vogrin et al.\textsuperscript{45} suggested a positive effect of PRP application to ACL hamstring autografts on anterior laxity measures at 3 and 6 months following reconstruction.

In conclusion, augmented quadriceps tendon graft with PRP constitutes a safe procedure that has shown good short-term outcomes. Well randomized clinical trials, adequately powered with longer follow-up, are needed to determine the real effect of the difference of biologically augmented grafts for ACLR surgery.
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