The provision of neuro-oncology and glioma neurosurgery during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: a single national tertiary centre experience
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Abstract

Background The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a significant disruption in the provision of healthcare globally. The aim of this study was to assess the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on the provision of neuro-oncology surgery and comparison with a similar 3-month period in 2019.

Methods Retrospective review of prospectively curated database of patients requiring neuro-oncology surgery at our tertiary referral centre between 1st March 2020 and 31st May 2020. We also analysed data for the same time period (1st March–31st May) in 2019 for comparison. Number and type of tumours operated on, postoperative morbidity and mortality, COVID-19-related complications and delays in treatment were recorded.

Results During the 3-month periods studied in 2020 and 2019, there were 127 and 139 admissions for neuro-oncological surgery, respectively. Sixty patients underwent surgery for gliomas during the 2020 period vs 56 in the 2019 period. We observed no increase in mean length of time from referral to inter-hospital transfer (mean of 76 h in 2020 vs 93 h in 2019 ($p = 0.10$)) or in mean length of time from admission to surgery in the acute admissions (2.39 days in 2020 vs 2.89 days in 2019). The postoperative 30-day morbidity and mortality rates were lower in 2020; 8.7% ($n = 11$) compared with 10.1% ($n = 14$) in 2019. There was one COVID-19-related death which occurred in a patient with B cell lymphoma with negative preoperative COVID-19 test.

Conclusion The provision of neuro-oncological surgery can be safely continued during respiratory illness epidemic or pandemic if a rigorous testing and staffing framework is implemented.
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Abbreviations

Abx Antibiotics
CN Cranial nerve
CNS Central nervous system
COVID-19 Coronavirus disease 2019
CSF Cerebrospinal fluid
DNET Dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumour
EVD External ventricular drain
GBM Glioblastoma multiforme
GI Gastrointestinal
GTR Gross total resection
HB House-Brackmann
HGG High-grade glioma
ICP Intracranial pressure
ICU Intensive care unit
KPI Key performance index
LOHS Length of hospital stay
MDM Multidisciplinary meeting
MRI Magnetic resonance imaging
NTR Near total resection
OR Odds ratio
PAPR          Powered air-purifying respirator  
P-LOHS        Postoperative length of hospital stay 
PPE           Personal protective equipment 
SALT          Speech and language therapy 
SARS-CoV-2    Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 
Sim           Simpson’s grade 
SMA           Supplemental motor area 
STR           Subtotal resection 
TSS           Transsphenoidal surgery 
WHO           World Health Organization 

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has resulted in a significant disruption in the provision of healthcare globally. The primary burden of the pandemic has been clearly evident through the mortality, and pressure on hospital resources, directly related to the disease. However, the pandemic has also had an indirect effect on non-COVID patients, as a result of shortage of resources, cancellation and postponement of scheduled, non-urgent and semi-urgent patient care. In addition, social distancing measures necessary for controlling transmission of COVID-19 have led to limitations on number of attendees at neuro-oncology multidisciplinary meeting (MDMs) and in some cases temporary cessation of these meetings at our unit, although this was mitigated through the use of video-conferencing technology. Patients undergoing surgery are a vulnerable cohort for exposure to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Furthermore, higher 30-day postoperative mortality rates and pulmonary complication rates have been reported in patients with peri-operative diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In a recent international cohort study, there was a higher reported 30-day mortality rate in the cohort having surgery for cancer in comparison with patients with benign or obstetrics diagnosis (OR = 1.55 [1.01–2.39]) [1].

For malignant tumours of the central nervous system (CNS) as well as benign tumours which are causing significant mass effect, prompt neurosurgical treatment is paramount. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the benefits of such prompt surgical intervention need to be balanced against the risks to patients and staff posed by the Coronavirus itself. Several guidelines have been published for the management of cancer patients, including those with intracranial neoplasms, during the COVID-19 pandemic [2, 3]. These guidelines had to be drawn up at the beginning of the pandemic based on little available evidence and have been constantly modified during the course of the pandemic. As a result, different centres have adopted different approaches to provision of cancer surgery [4, 5]. The aim of this study was to report the operative management of patients with CNS tumours at our centre during the peak COVID-19 pandemic period (March–May 2020) and to compare this with the same period in 2019.

Methods and materials

All neuro-oncological cases operated on at our centre since January 2012 have been prospectively entered into a dedicated database. This includes patient demographics, details of surgical procedures performed, extent of resection based on post-operative imaging, adjuvant treatment received, histology of the tumour and any postoperative neurological complications. From this prospective database, we chose 2 periods to study: 1st March to 31st May 2020 (the peak period for COVID-19 pandemic in Ireland) and 1st March to 31st May 2019. Patient records were also reviewed to document any delayed neurological complications and any non-neurological complications including COVID-19-related complications. There were several changes made to the peri-operative managements of all surgical patients our centre during the pandemic in 2020. We have outlined these changes in Fig. 1.

As part of a dynamic quality improvement programme, there are a number of key performance indices (KPI) at our institution, including relevant KPIs for management of referrals. The KPI for inter-hospital transfers for neuro-oncology referrals is for admission to our unit from referring hospitals to be completed within 5 working days (Monday–Friday) or 7 days (including weekends), from the time of referral.

Data analysis

The data presented is mainly descriptive; hence analyses have been presented as proportions and percentages. Paired t test analyses were performed to compare the means of waiting times and length of hospital stay between two cohorts.

Results

The total number of admissions for neuro-oncology surgery in March to May 2020 and March to May 2019 were 127 and 139, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Glial tumours represented 47% (n = 60) of operated tumours in 2020 vs 40% (n = 56) in 2019. Metastases represented 23% (n = 29) of tumours in 2020 vs 13% (n = 18) in 2019. Meningiomas accounted for 10% (n = 13) of tumours in 2020 vs 14% (n = 20) in 2019. No transphenoidal pituitary surgery was performed during the period of interest in 2020 vs 19 pituitary tumours operated on during the period of interest in 2019. The extent of tumour resection for certain tumour categories during 2020 and 2019 is presented in Table 3.
There were 95 acute admissions due to CNS neoplasms during the 3-month period of interest in 2020, in comparison with 80 acute admissions in the 2019 period. Patient demographics, histological diagnoses, time intervals between referral and inter-hospital transfer as well as between transfer and surgery are presented in Table 1.

In 2020, 88 (92.6%) of the acute admissions were through inter-hospital transfers, which was similar to the 2019 figure of 75 (93.75%). In comparison to 2019, there was less delay in inter-hospital transfer of these patients in 2020 (mean wait time of 76 h in 2020 vs 93 h in 2019 \( p = 0.10 \)), with only 4.4% \( (n = 4) \) of patients breaching our key performance index (KPI), in comparison to 9.6% \( (n = 7) \) in 2019, for time taken for inter-hospital transfer of neuro-oncology patients. After weekend days were accounted for, the mean waiting time from admission to operation was less in 2020 (mean = 2.39 days SD = 1.87) compared with the 2019 period (mean = 2.89 days, SD = 2.06), \( t(172) = 1.65, p = .0499 \) (Table 1).

The mean overall length of hospital stay (LOHS) was shorter in 2020 (9.3 days) compared with 2019 (11.4 days); however this did not reach statistical significance \( (p = 0.054) \). There was no difference between the mean postoperative length of hospital stay (P-LOHS) between the 2 years (6.1 and 7.5 days for 2020 and 2019 respectively). In 2020, 53.7% \( (n = 51) \) of patients were discharged home from our institution, and the rest required ongoing in-patient rehabilitation. In 2019, 56.3% \( (n = 45) \) were discharged home. A 6.3% \( (n = 6) \) 30-day morbidity rate was recorded in 2020, including one surgical site infection, in comparison to 8.75% \( (n = 7) \) 30-day morbidity in 2019, which also included one surgical site infection resulting in sepsis. Table 4 contains a summary of

### Table 1 Demographics and comparison of acute (urgent) neurosurgical oncology cases between 2019 and 2020

| Urgent admissions | 2020 | 2019 |
|------------------|------|------|
| Gender           |      |      |
| Male             | 48 (50.5%) | 36 (45%) |
| Female           | 47 (45.5%) | 44 (55%) |
| Mean Age (years) | 55   | 58.4 |
| Inter-hospital transfers | 88(92.6%) | 75 (93.8%) |
| Mean Transfer waiting time (hours) | 76  | 93  |
| Met KPI for transfer time |      |      |
| Yes | 91 (95.8%) | 73 (91.3%) |
| Mean time from admission to surgery (days) | 2.39 | 2.89 |
| Glial tumours    |      |      |
| Pilocytic astrocytoma | –   | 3 (7.7%) |
| Astrocytoma, WHO 2 | 3 (6%) | 2 (5.13%) |
| Oligodendroglioma, WHO 2 | 3 (6%) | – |
| Astrocytoma, WHO 3 | 1 (2%) | 1 (2.56%) |
| Astroblastoma    | –    | 1 (2.56%) |
| Gliosarcoma      | –    | 1 (2.56%) |
| GBM              | 43 (86%) | 31 (79.49%) |
| Non-glial tumours|      |      |
| Pituitary tumours| –    | 10 (24.39%) |
| Metastatic tumours| 25 (55.56%) | 15 (36.59%) |
| Others*          | 20 (44.4%) | 16 (39.02%) |

**KPI** key performance index, **WHO** World Health Organization

*Includes neurocytoma, lymphoma, germinoma, ependymoma, haemangioblastoma, medulloblastoma, meningioma, schwannoma and solitary fibrous tumours
recorded morbidities. There was one postoperative mortality each in 2019 and 2020, and both were as a consequence of rapid tumour progression.

Only 1 patient tested positive for COVID-19 preoperatively. This patient underwent delayed surgery. This patient, with a background history of high-grade B cell lymphoma, was referred from another institution following an incidental finding of a right parietal lesion identified on surveillance MRI. The patient had a negative test for SARS-CoV-2 at the referring hospital 24 h prior to inter-hospital transfer. Although the patient was asymptomatic, a repeat test was performed 24 h before the proposed surgery, according to protocols at our unit. This repeat yielded a positive result. The surgery was deferred, and the patient was discharged home, advised to self-isolate, and was subsequently re-admitted from home after 21 days. Repeat testing was negative. A craniotomy and gross total resection (GTR) was performed with all operating room staff in full PPE.

### Elective admissions

There were 32 elective admission for CNS tumours in 2020, in comparison with 59 in 2019. Patient demographics, histological diagnoses, time interval between admission and surgery are presented in Table 2. After weekend days are accounted for, the mean waiting time from admission to operation was longer by 1 day in 2020 (mean = 2.2 days (1.7 – 2.9 days) vs mean (2019) = 1.2 days (1.07 – 1.41 days)) (Table 2). The mean overall length of hospital stay was shorter in 2020 (7.3 days) compared with 2019 (10.6 days); however there was no statistical significance to this observation ($p=0.13$). All patients were discharged home, with the exception of one patient who was discharged to a rehabilitation facility in 2020. A 9% ($n = 30$) 30-day morbidity rate was recorded in 2020, with no surgical site infections, in comparison to 10% ($n = 6$) 30-day morbidity in 2019, including 2 surgical site-related infections (Table 4). There was one 30-day mortality recorded in 2020. This was a patient who had a left frontal diffuse large B cell lymphoma, confirmed on biopsy. The patient had a negative preoperative test for the SARS-CoV-2 virus. The patient was discharged home on the 8th postoperative day, however, presented to another hospital on the 18th postoperative day with respiratory symptoms and was subsequently diagnosed with COVID-19. The patient subsequently died of COVID-19-related complications on the 30th postoperative day.

### High-grade glioma (HGG) subgroup

Gliomas accounted for 47.24% ($n = 60$) of neuro-oncological tumours treated during the time period of interest in 2020, of which 85% ($n = 51$) were high-grade glial tumours (Astrocytoma, WHO 3 and 4). During the same time period

---

**Table 2** Demographics and comparison of elective neurosurgical oncology cases between 2019 and 2020

| Elective admissions | 2020 | 2019 |
|---------------------|------|------|
| Gender              |      |      |
| Male                | 15 (46.9%) | 31 (52.5%) |
| Female              | 17 (53.1%) | 28 (47.5%) |
| Mean Age (years)    | 50.5 | 46 |
| Mean time from admission to surgery (days) | 2.2 (1.7–2.9) | 1.2 (1.07–1.41) |
| Glial tumours       |      |      |
| Pilocytic astrocytoma | – | 1 (5.9%) |
| Astrocytoma, WHO 2  | 2 (20%) | 6 (35.3%) |
| Oligodendrogloma, WHO 2 | 1 (10%) | – |
| Astrocytoma, WHO 3  | 4 (40%) | 1 (5.9%) |
| Astroblastoma       | – | 1 (5.9%) |
| GBM                 | 3 (30%) | 8 (47%) |
| Non-glial tumours   |      |      |
| Pituitary tumours   | 0 | 9 (21.43%) |
| Metastatic tumours  | 4 (18.18%) | 3 (7.14%) |
| Others*             | 18 (81.82%) | 30 (71.43%) |

**Table 3** Extents of resection (With intra-operative and postoperative imaging correlations)

|                | 2020 | 2019 |
|----------------|------|------|
| High-grade glioma |      |      |
| Biopsy         | 19 (37.25%) | 16 (39%) |
| STR            | 17 (33.3%) | 15 (36.6%) |
| NTR            | 7 (13.76%) | 2 (4.9%) |
| GTR            | 8 (15.69%) | 8 (19.5%) |
| Meningioma     |      |      |
| Sim 1          | 2 (15.4%) | 1 (5%) |
| Sim 2          | 9 (69.2%) | 10 (50%) |
| Sim 3          | 1 (7.7%) | 3 (15%) |
| Sim 4          | 1 (7.7%) | 5 (25%) |
| TSS (STR)      | – | 1 (5%) |
| Others         |      |      |
| Biopsy         | 14 (19.2%) | 10 (13.7%) |
| STR            | 8 (11%) | 28 (38.4%) |
| NTR            | 12 (16.4%) | 3 (4.1%) |
| GTR            | 39 (53.4%) | 32 (43.8%) |

*Includes colloid cyst, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumour, lymphoma, germioma, ependymoma, myxopapillary Ependymoma, haemangioblastoma, meningioma, schwannoma, endo-dermal cyst and chondrosarcoma.
in 2019, 40.3% (n = 56) of patients had glioma, of which 78.6% (n = 44) were high grade (including Astrocytoma WHO 3 and 4, Astroblastoma and Gliosarcoma) (Tables 1 and 2). In 2020, 16.7% (n = 10) of patients undergoing surgery for glioma were admitted electively from home vs 30.4% (n = 17) in 2019. For HGG patients transferred from other hospitals, there was no significant difference in the mean time to transfer completion for patients with HGG between 2020 and 2019 (mean times of 86.3 and 87.6 h, respectively). The overall mean time from admission to surgery for patients with HGG was 2.3 days in 2020, in comparison to 2.8 days in 2019 following correction for weekend hospital stays. There was a statistically significant difference in the overall mean length of hospital stay between the 2 years (7.7 days in 2020 vs 10.7 days in 2019, p = 0.03); however, there was no difference in the length of postoperative hospital stay (4.4 days in 2020 vs 5.6 days in 2019, p = 0.15). Fifty-two percent of HGG patients admitted urgently (non-elective) were discharged home postoperatively in 2020 vs 50% in 2019, and the rest were repatriated back to their referring hospitals for continued in-patient rehabilitation. The 30-day postoperative morbidity rate following HGG surgery in 2020 was 3.9% (n = 2, one patient had a pulmonary embolism and the other developed a supplemental motor area (SMA) syndrome), with no surgical site infections (Table 4), and 1 patient died from complications of their tumour progression postoperatively. The 30-day post-operative morbidity for the same period in 2019 was 7% (n = 3) overall, including 1 surgical site infection. One patient developed severe cerebral oedema 11 days after biopsy of a right frontal GBM, requiring emergency decompression and debulking of the tumour. The third patient had a large

### Table 4 Summary of postoperative morbidities

| Diagnosis | Morbidity | Management |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| **2020**  |           |            |
| **Urgent cases** |           |            |
| 1 GBM | Pulmonary embolism | Anticoagulation |
| 2 Central neurocytoma | Hydrocephalus | EVD |
| 3 Oligodendroglioma, WHO 2 | Dysphasia | SALT |
| 4 Metastatic adenocarcinoma (Breast Primary) | Symptomatic haematoma | Conservative |
| 5 Vestibular Schwannoma | HB-3 Facial weakness | Supportive |
| 6 Oligodendroglioma, WHO 2 | Surgical site infection (Sepsis) | Abx, EVD, Craniectomy |
| **Elective cases** |           |            |
| 1 Astrocytoma, WHO 3 | SMA syndrome | Physiotherapy |
| 2 Astrocytoma, WHO 2 | CSF leak | Wound re-suturing |
| 3 Vestibular Schwannoma | HB-1 facial weakness | Supportive |
| **2019**  |           |            |
| **Urgent cases** |           |            |
| 1 Metastatic adenocarcinoma (GI primary) | Pneumonia | Antibiotics |
| 2 GBM | Severe cerebral oedema | Surgical decompression |
| 3 Pituitary Adenoma | Epistaxis | Conservative |
| 4 Haemangioblastoma | Pseudomeningocele | Conservative |
| 5 Meningioma WHO 1 | Subdural haematoma | Craniectomy |
| 6 GBM | Intracranial haemorrhage | Craniectomy |
| 7 Pituitary Adenoma | Diabetes Insipidus | Desmopressin |
| **Elective cases** |           |            |
| 1 Endo-dermal Cyst | Hearing loss | Supportive |
| 2 Meningioma, WHO 1 | CSF Rhinorrhoea | Lumbar drain |
| 3 GBM | Surgical site infection | Surgery, Abx |
| 4 Ependymoma, WHO 2 | Spinal cord Herniation | Surgery |
| 5 Meningioma WHO 1 | Surgical site infection, Meningitis | Abx |
| 6 Meningioma WHO 1 | Hemiparesis, Airway obstruction, CN VI and VII palsy | Supportive |

*Abx* antibiotics, *CN* cranial nerve, *CSF* cerebrospinal fluid, *EVD* external ventricular drain, *GI* gastrointestinal, *GTR* glioblastoma multiforme, *HB* House-Brackmann, *SALT* speech and language therapy, *SMA* supplementary motor Area, *WHO* World Health Organization
haematoma adjacent to the surgical cavity a few hours follow-
ing debulking for a right temporal GBM. The patient subse-
sequently required a decompressive craniectomy and a
prolonged hospital stay before transfer back to the referring
hospital for rehabilitation. There was one mortality in 2019 as
a result of GBM progression.

Discussion

Bernhardt et al. presented a pragmatic consensus-based frame-
work for prioritization and decision-making in the manage-
ment of patients with high-grade glioma in the setting of po-
tential severe strains on neurosurgical resources during the
COVID-19 pandemic. These included prioritizing patients
with neurological deficits, altering surgical intents to decomp-
ression rather than gross total resection (GTR) and even
commencing radiation and chemotherapy in elderly patients
without tissue diagnosis [5]. These recommendations were
appropriately made to ensure protection of patients and
healthcare providers against SARS-CoV-2 infection and also
to provide the best possible care for patients in the face of
stretched healthcare resources during the pandemic.

However, it is important that we modify our guidelines as
we learn more about the pandemic.

In our centre, we implemented several rigorous changes to
staffing and preoperative screening policies and prioritization
of cases during the pandemic, as outlined in detail in the
“Methods and materials” section. Our data presented in this
paper demonstrate that comparable volumes of neuro-
oncological surgery were carried out during the pandemic in
comparison to the same period in 2019. In particular, patients
with malignant brain tumours received standard care, with no
compromise in the extent and proportions of radical (gross
total and near total) resections during the pandemic (Table 3).
This was achieved without any demonstrable in-
crease in morbidity or mortality, although one patient with
high-grade B cell lymphoma and negative preoperative
COVID-19 test subsequently developed SARS-CoV-2 infec-
tion which resulted in his death 30 days after his neurosurgical
procedure.

We have also demonstrated that neuro-oncological surgery
for urgent cases can be performed during the pandemic within
similar time frames achieved in non-pandemic times. In fact,
in our patient cohort, the time interval between patient referral
and inter-hospital transfer was shorter during the pandemic
compared to a similar period during 2019. This is likely to
be due to the reduction in demand for neurosurgical beds as a
result of the significant decrease in non-oncological neurosurg-
y (e.g. degenerative spinal disease) during the pandemic. It
should also be pointed out that although the numbers of pa-
tients undergoing surgery for malignant brain tumours were
similar in 2020 and 2019, the same was not true for benign
tumours. During the 3-month period of the pandemic included
in this study, we only operated on benign tumours if they were
associated with significant mass effect on the brain or other
critical structures such as the optic apparatus. This was partic-
ularly noticeable with regard to transsphenoidal surgery for
pituitary adenomas. During the 3-month period studied
(March to May 2020), no transsphenoidal operations were
performed due to initial reports suggesting a very high risk
of SARS-CoV-2 infection in operating room staff associated
with transnasal procedures. From June 2020, we have com-
enced transsphenoidal surgery with all staff in the operating
room wearing powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR).
Further studies are required to quantify the risk of SARS-
CoV-2 infection during transnasal procedures.

During the peak of the pandemic, there was only 1 patient
who tested positive preoperatively for Coronavirus at our unit
following a prior negative test at the referring hospital. This
patient had no COVID-19 symptoms. They were discharged
home for self-isolation and re-admitted electively for surgery
after 14 days of isolation and a negative test. There were no
cases of nosocomial COVID-19 infections reported in our
surgical staff.

Conclusion

The provision of neuro-oncological surgery can be safely con-
tinued during respiratory illness epidemic or pandemic if a
rigorous testing and staffing framework is implemented.
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