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Abstract

Background: Osteosarcoma remains a challenging condition to treat especially in resource poor countries. With recent advances in limb salvage procedures and chemotherapy, hopes for improved survival in patients have been renewed. Problems of late presentation, delay diagnosis, lack of resources for treatment affects the outcome of patients in our sub-region.

Objectives: We aim to document the prognostic factors determining outcome in patients with osteosarcoma in our sub-region.

Methodology: It was a retrospective study of cases of osteosarcoma seen at a tertiary hospital in North west Nigeria from January 2014 and June 2015. A pro-format was used to obtain data from patients’ clinical records, ward records, and theatre operation register. Statistical analysis was done with IBM SPSS 20.

Results: There were twenty-one cases of histological confirmed osteosarcoma with age range of between 7 and 35 years and a mean age of 20.2±7.90. There were 15 (71.4%) males and 6 (28.6%). All the patients were of the Hausa/Fulani ethnic group. Seventy-one percent of patient were of low socioeconomic status. Majority of patients (90.5%) presented with pain and swelling of the affected limb and 71.4% presented within 6 months of onset. The distal femur was the most affected in 52.4% of cases. Cross tabulation and correlation of sex, socioeconomic status, duration of symptoms, region affected and Enneking stage of the disease with the disease showed a statistical significance of p=0.005 (sex) and p=0.03 (Enneking stage). There was a mortality rate of 19% with fate of 48% of patients were unknown.

Conclusion: The study showed that most patients with osteosarcoma were young male individuals of low socioeconomic status with advance disease and a poor prognostic outlook.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a malignant osteoid producing tumour and is the commonest primary bone tumour affecting mainly children and adolescents in the second decade of life [1]. There are many variants with contrasting features and prognosis. The classic variety which is highly malignant constitute more than 75% of cases and is common in younger age group (8-25 years) while the low grade parosteal variant is common in older age group (10-45 years) [1,2]. Secondary osteosarcomas occur in bones affected by preexisting abnormalities such as Paget’s disease, radiation lesions, bone infarct, fibrous dysplasia or osteomelitits [3]. Among primary osteosarcomas, these precursor conditions are not observed. Among older children and adolescents (12-18 years), they are the third most common type of neoplasia, preceded by leukaemia and lymphoma. Their etiology remains unknown, but it is believed that the period of their greatest incidence coincides with the growth spurt of puberty. This period is characterized by rapid growth of the long bones, suggesting a correlation between this phase [3,4].
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Methodology

It was a retrospective study of cases of osteosarcoma seen at a tertiary hospital in North west Nigeria from January 2014 and June 2015. A pro-format was used to obtain data from patients’ clinical records, ward records, and theatre operation register. These were patients seen and managed in our facilities. Statistical analysis was done with IBM SPSS 20 and results presented in tabular and graphical forms.

Results
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of the patients (90.5%) presented with pain and swelling of the affected limb while pathological fractures and bleeding ulcerations were seen in 4.8% cases respectively (Figure 2). The duration of symptoms was such that 71.4% presented within 6 months of onset while 28.6% presented between 6 to 12 months after the onset of symptoms. The distal femur was the most affected in 52.4% of cases followed by the proximal tibia 19%, proximal humerus 19%, pelvis 4.8% and distal tibia 4.8%. Radiological evaluation showed that 90.5% were Osteoblastic and 9.5% were Osteolytic. Enneking staging showed that 81% were stage 3 and 19% were stage 2. Thirty-three per cent of patient had a form of amputation while 67% had no surgery or limb salvage. Twenty-four per cent of the patients had adjuvant chemotherapy while 9.3% had neo-adjuvant chemotherapy (Table 1). Follow-up was done for up to 12 months during which 67% were loss to follow-up and only 19% were regular on follow-up. The mortality showed that 4 (19%) were dead at 3 months and the fate of 10 (48%) were unknown. Thirty-three per cent were alive at six months of follow-up. Cross tabulation and correlation of sex, socioeconomic status, duration of symptoms, region affected and Enneking stage with the disease showed a statistical significance of p=0.005 (sex) and p=0.03 (Enneking stage) (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Clinical studies that aims to identify prognostic factors in the overall survival of patients with osteosarcoma have been published but results have been varied as a result of non harmonisation of the various methodologies [5]. The number of cases seen in our study over a 16 month period showed an average of 1.3 cases per month. This is an indication of the rarity of the condition as our facility being a referral centre with a radiotherapy facility is sufficiently equipped to manage these cases in the sub-region. Overall, the age distribution of these tumours in our study was unimodal unlike the bimodal pattern described in the literature [3,6]. The first and larger peak of incidence is found to occur during the second decade of life and the average age of the patients in our series was in the second decade. Patients with primary osteosarcoma are in the first peak and the results from our series are concordant with this picture. The second and smaller peak is seen among patients over 40 years of age, and has been found to be secondary to a pre-existing bone abnormality like pagets’ disease. The variables of age and gender have been shown to be prognostic factors in some series using univariate analysis alone [5]. However, some studies have stated that male gender was a poor prognostic factor and others have indicated that age significantly influenced the survival of their patients in a double analysis (univariate and bivariate) [6]. In the present study, age was not found to be a significant prognostic variable, in agreement with other studies but sex was found to be significant (p=0.005) with the male gender having a poorer prognosis.

All the patients in our series were of a particular ethnic group and this may be reason for further research as other ethnic groups also resides in this region. Perception about the disease coupled with other social factors may determine overall survival in patients with the disease. Socioeconomic status (SES) being a measure of education, income and occupation has been shown to be a strong predictor of an individuals’ morbidity or mortality with regards to diseases with education being the most significant factor [7]. Individuals and caregiver with low SES have difficulty in understanding the disease entity. This is also responsible for the delay in presentation, patronage of traditional bone setters (TBS) and refusal to accept treatment options. Patronage of TBS for all forms of musculoskeletal diseases abound in this region thereby delaying proper diagnosis and treatment [8].

The duration of presentation did not have a significant correlation with mortality and this has been collaborated by other studies which suggest that the stage of the disease at the time of its initial presentation depends more on the biological properties of the tumor than on late diagnosis. It is however important to state that early presentation, diagnosis and institution of treatment in tumours that has increase tendencies for metastasis will improve metastasis free survival [6,9].

Review of the literature shows that the femur is the most frequent site, followed by the tibia and humerus. In the our study, we also found that these sites were the most affected locations. Some studies have demonstrated that axial tumours as a prognostic factor have a relatively greater adverse effect than do those of the appendicular skeleton as the only patient with pelvic tumour in our series died during evaluation. Axial tumours may cause a diagnostic dilemma as result of their location [10-12]. With regards to the long bone, some authors reported that the prognosis for tumours located in the femur was worse [13,14].

---

**Table 1.** Showing the treatment modalities offered.

| Treatment     | Frequency | Per cent |
|---------------|-----------|----------|
| Chemotherapy  |           |          |
| Neoadjuvant   | 2         | 9.5      |
| Adjuvant      | 5         | 23.8     |
| None          | 14        | 66.7     |
| Surgery       |           |          |
| Amputation    | 14        | 67       |
| No Surgery    | 7         | 33       |

**Table 2.** Correlation between sex, socioeconomic status, duration of symptoms, region affected, Enneking stage with mortality.

|                          | Mortality | Total  | Chi Square |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|
|                          | < 3 months| Unknown| Alive at 6 months |
| Sex                      |           |        |             |
| Male                     | 4         | 10     | 1           | 15 | 0.005 df=1 |
| Female                   | 0         | 0      | 6           | 6  |
| Socioeconomic Status     |           |        |             |
| Low SES                  | 3         | 6      | 6           | 15 | 0.75 df=1  |
| Middle SES               | 1         | 4      | 1           | 6  |
| Duration of Symptoms     |           |        |             |
| < 6 Months               | 3         | 5      | 7           | 15 | 0.44 df=1  |
| 6-12 Months              | 1         | 5      | 0           | 6  |
| Region Affected          |           |        |             |
| Proximal humerus         | 0         | 3      | 1           | 4  | 0.43 df=1  |
| Distal femur             | 3         | 5      | 3           | 11 |
| Proximal tibia           | 0         | 1      | 3           | 4  |
| Distal tibia             | 0         | 1      | 0           | 1  |
| Pelvis                   | 1         | 0      | 0           | 1  |
| Enneking stage           |           |        |             |
| Stage 2                  | 0         | 0      | 4           | 4  | 0.03 df=1  |
| Stage 3                  | 4         | 10     | 3           | 17 |

---

Figure 2. Presentation.
By contrast, some authors observed a worse prognosis for tumours in the humerus [15]. Our study did not show any significant relationship between the anatomical site of tumour and mortality (p=0.43).

Clinical complaints of pain and swelling were frequently encountered. Pain is considered to be a warning symptom, except in cases of pathological fracture [10,11]. In our study, pain was present in all the patients with 5% each having a pathological fracture or bleeding ulcer. Our pathological fracture rate of 4.8% was less than the rate of 7 to 17%, in the literature. The Enneking stage of the tumour had a significant relationship with mortality (p=0.03). Stage 3 Enneking denotes a metastatic disease and the aim of treatment was palliative [16-19]. Local regional control was achieved through radical surgery with little chance for limb salvage. Chemotherapy rate was less than 50% either from outright refusal by patients or that they were too poor to afford the chemotherapeutic agent. The patients that had neo-adjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy did well during the 12 months follow-up. The presence of metastases is directly related to a worse prognosis regarding survival [20-23]. A mortality rate of 19% was confirm in our study even though a significant number of patients’ were lost to follow up.

A major limitation to our study was the high number of patients that were lost to follow-up. The major reason being that most do not have the resources to undertake treatment in a society with no health insurance while others may have succumbed to the disease

Conclusion

The study showed that most patients with osteosarcoma are young males individuals, low socioeconomic class with advance disease and a poor prognostic outlook. Health education on the need to seek help for all forms of musculoskeletal disease would ensure that osteosarcoma is diagnosed early and treatment instituted. Chemotherapeutic agents needs to be subsidize by the government.
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