Evolution of spin correlations in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ in an applied magnetic field
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The development of short- and long-range magnetic order induced in a frustrated zigzag ladder compound SrDy$_2$O$_4$ by an applied field is studied using neutron-diffraction techniques. In zero field, SrDy$_2$O$_4$ lacks long-range magnetic order down to temperatures as low as 60 mK, and the observed powder-neutron-diffraction (PND) patterns are dominated by very broad diffuse scattering peaks. Single-crystal neutron diffraction reveals that the zero-field magnetic structure consists of a collection of antiferromagnetic chains running along the $c$ axis and that there is very little correlation between the chains in the $ab$ plane. In an applied magnetic field, the broad diffuse scattering features in PND are gradually replaced by much sharper peaks, however, the pattern remains rather complex, reflecting the highly anisotropic nature of SrDy$_2$O$_4$. Single-crystal neutron diffraction shows that a moderate field applied along the $b$ axis induces an up-up-down magnetic order associated with a $\pm$-magnetization plateau, in which magnetic correlation length in the $ab$ plane is significantly increased, but it nevertheless remains finite. The resolution-limited $k=0$ peaks associated with a ferromagnetic arrangement appear in powder and single-crystal neutron-diffraction patterns in fields of 2.5 T and above.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In highly frustrated magnetic systems, the degeneracy of the ground state (due to the effective cancellation of the main exchange couplings) makes such systems susceptible to a variety of much weaker interactions [1–5]. These interactions (typically ignored in systems without appreciable frustration) often result in the formation of unusual magnetically ordered phases, both with short- and long-range correlations. The family of rare-earth (RE) strontium oxides, SrRE$_2$O$_4$, provides an excellent opportunity to study the interplay between the geometrical frustration of the exchange interactions, the dipolar coupling, and the single-ion magnetic properties of different RE elements [6]. The resulting magnetic ground states are often very complex [7] and consist of two different components corresponding to the two inequivalent positions of the magnetic RE ions in the unit cell (see Fig. 1 which depicts the positions of the magnetic Dy$^{3+}$ ions within SrDy$_2$O$_4$).

The local environment is different for the two RE sites in all the SrRE$_2$O$_4$ compounds, therefore, the magnetic moments on them, which are often Ising in nature, have different easy-axis directions. In SrEr$_2$O$_4$, a $k=0$ long-range antiferromagnetic order with magnetic moments parallel to the $c$ axis on one Er site and a quasi-one-dimensional (1D) short-range antiferromagnetic order with the moments along the $a$ axis on another Er site are found to coexist down to the lowest temperatures [8,9]. In SrHo$_2$O$_4$, the magnetic structure is similar to that of SrEr$_2$O$_4$, but the $k=0$ component has only a limited correlation range and the magnetic moments in the quasi-1D structure point along the $b$ axis [10,11]. In SrYb$_2$O$_4$, the structure is reported to be a noncollinear $k=0$ antiferromagnet in which the magnetic moments of two inequivalent Yb ions lie in the $ab$ plane, but have different moment sizes and directions [12]. The magnetic structure of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ at different temperatures is yet to be determined. This is the only compound in the family with a well-defined double phase transition: bulk property measurements give clear indications of magnetic ordering at 2.73 K and a further transition at 0.48 K [13].

In sharp contrast to the family members discussed above, SrDy$_2$O$_4$ does not show any signs of a magnetic phase transition down to the lowest experimentally available temperatures. In zero field, heat-capacity measurements indicate that this compound appears to be magnetically disordered down to at least 0.39 K [14], while powder neutron diffraction (PND) reveals only diffuse scattering peaks even at 50 mK [15]. However, heat-capacity [14] and magnetization [16] measurements both indicate a highly anisotropic behavior in an applied magnetic field, including a sequence of magnetically ordered phases for a field parallel to the $b$ axis. Recent ultrasound investigations mapped out the high-resolution $H$–$T$ phase diagrams for the magnetic field applied along the $b$ and $c$ axes [17] and suggested that for $H \parallel b$ the long-range-ordered magnetic state is contained within a “dome” extending up to 2.2–2.5 T at lowest temperatures and up to 1.5 K in a field of 1 T.

In this paper, we report the investigations on the nature of the field-induced magnetic ordering in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ using neutron-diffraction measurements performed on both powder and single-crystal samples. Our main results can be grouped into three categories: (i) zero field, where there is no long-range magnetic order, (ii) intermediate fields (up to and including 2 T) where a “ferrimagnetic” state is found, (iii) higher field (2.5 and 3 T), where a polarized “ferromagnetic” state is supported. Zero-field PND data are analyzed using the SPINVERT program [18], which implements a reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) algorithm. RMC analysis reveals the patterns of spin-spin correlations in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ and allows for a more detailed comparison with the magnetic structures of other SrRE$_2$O$_4$ members.

For $H \parallel b$, an evolution of magnetic structure from collections of weakly correlated antiferromagnetic chains, through
FIG. 1. Positions of the magnetic Dy$^{3+}$ ions within SrDy$_2$O$_4$. Left panel depicts the honeycomblike arrangement of the magnetic ions with two crystallographically inequivalent Dy$^{3+}$ sites shown in green (Dy1) and red (Dy2). The box represents a crystallographic unit cell of the Pnam space group. Right panel emphasizes the zigzag ladders formed by ions on the Dy2 site which run along the c axis and shows some of the interionic distances labeled as $r_i$.

a much more correlated up-up-down phase corresponding to a magnetization plateau in intermediate fields, to an effective ferromagnetic state in higher fields is clearly observed in single-crystal diffraction experiments. Remarkably, the up-up-down phase with the magnetic moments parallel to $b$ axis is also clearly seen through the Rietveld refinement of the PND patterns in intermediate magnetic fields despite the inevitable presence of other magnetic phases in a polycrystalline sample exposed to an external magnetic field. We also find that the higher-field ferromagnetic state is not a fully polarized phase, as only magnetic moments on one Dy site participate in the formation of the field-induced magnetic order, while the moments on the other site remain largely disordered.

These findings should be helpful for the eventual development of realistic models of the magnetic interactions in the SrRE$_2$O$_4$ family of compounds. Some estimates of the interaction ratios in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ and SrHo$_2$O$_4$ were made from the crystal-field levels [15]. Further, the anisotropic next-nearest-neighbor model was applied to SrHo$_2$O$_4$ and the relevant parameters estimated [19]. For SrEr$_2$O$_4$, the latest estimates of the exchange and dipolar interactions were derived from the four-particle self-consistent model [20]. Despite all the above and the recent theoretical developments [21], it is fair to state that a full understanding of which interactions are dominant in each compound is still largely missing.

II. METHODS

A polycrystalline sample of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ was prepared from the high-purity starting materials SrCO$_3$ and Dy$_2$O$_3$ following previous reports [6,8]. Dysprosium enriched with the $^{162}$Dy isotope (95%) was used for the powder sample preparations in order to reduce the high neutron absorption associated with the presence of $^{161}$Dy and $^{164}$Dy isotopes in naturally occurring dysprosium. The single crystal of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ was grown by the floating zone technique using an infrared image furnace as reported previously [22]. Naturally occurring dysprosium was used for the single-crystal growth. Smaller crystals from the same growth were used in preceding magnetization [16] and heat-capacity [14] investigations.

High-resolution PND experiments were conducted using a wavelength of 1.594 Å on the D2B diffractometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin, France. Diffraction patterns were collected in zero field at various temperatures between 60 mK and 10 K as well as in an applied field of up to 2.5 T at a base temperature of 60 mK. A copper sample holder was used in order to provide better heat exchange at low temperatures. Additional high-resolution room-temperature measurements were performed on the D1A diffractometer to refine the scattering length of the Dy isotope.

Single-crystal neutron-diffraction measurements were made on the WISH diffractometer [23] at the ISIS facility at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (United Kingdom) in a range of temperatures from 60 mK to 10 K and in fields of up to 3 T. The sample (0.44 g) was fixed to an oxygen-free copper holder with the $b$ axis vertical defining the horizontal (h0l) scattering plane. With a continuous array of position-sensitive detectors available on WISH, substantial coverage of the out-of-plane scattering, essential for the results reported in this paper, was also possible, as we were able to reach the reflections between and including the (h1l) and (h1l) planes. Two sample positions, different by 35° in rotation around the vertical axis, were used in order to optimize the neutron flux around the (001) and (200) positions. For the experiments on both D2B and WISH diffractometers, a dilution refrigerator inside a vertical-field cryomagnet provided the necessary sample environment.

The FULLPROF suite of programs (including K-SEARCH and BASIREPS) was used for refinements of the magnetic phases. The SPINVERT program [18,25] was used to refine the zero-field magnetic diffuse scattering patterns. Here, the crystallographic unit cell is used to generate a supercell (15×15×40, total number of spins 72 000), and at first a random Ising spin is assigned to each Dy site (with moments on one site parallel to the $b$ axis, and parallel to the $c$ axis on the other) from which the sum

$$\chi^2 = W \sum_Q \left[ \frac{s I_{calc}(Q) - I_{expt}(Q)}{\sigma(Q)} \right]^2$$

is calculated. Here, $I(Q)$ is the magnetic scattering intensity, $\sigma(Q)$ is the experimental uncertainty, $W$ is an empirical weighting factor, and $s$ is the scale factor. An RMC algorithm is then used to fit the data by minimizing the sum of the squared residuals, i.e., by randomly flipping a spin and then recalculating $\chi^2$ until no more variation is observed. Generally, 100 moves were proposed per spin, and these moves were accepted or rejected based on the Metropolis algorithm until the best fit to the data is obtained. The value of the intensity scale factor $s$ was refined when fitting the base temperature data, and this was kept fixed when fitting the data for higher temperatures. Changing around the direction of the spins on the two crystallographically inequivalent sites (i.e., with moments on one site now parallel to the $c$ axis, and parallel to the $b$ axis on the other) makes no quantitative difference to $\chi^2$, and qualitatively the simulated single-crystal diffraction patterns look identical. The scattering patterns and spin correlations were calculated using the programs
spin configurations for each temperature. The difference plot included is for the base temperature of 0.06 K. The other data sets are consecutively offset by $T = -20$ units for clarity. The difference plot included is for the base temperature of 0.06 K.

SPINDIFF and SPINCORREL [18,25]. To minimize statistical noise, calculated quantities were averaged over 10 independent spin configurations for each temperature.

III. POWDER NEUTRON DIFFRACTION

A. Zero-field PND

PND patterns collected at $T = 0.06, 0.4, 0.8$, and $10$ K in zero field are displayed in Fig. 2. The data show very broad diffuse scattering features indicating that there are significant short-range correlations between the magnetic moments but no static magnetic order. At the lowest temperature, the magnetic scattering is dominated by a broad peak around $Q = (00\frac{1}{2})$, which decreases in intensity and shifts to higher $Q$ with increasing temperature. By warming up to 10 K, there are no distinct features in the scattering, however, there is still a difference between the obtained data and the form factor expected for purely paramagnetic scattering from Dy. Such broad features in reciprocal space cannot be fitted using conventional means such as Rietveld refinement, but as their symmetry is governed by the lattice and their modulation depends on the nature of the magnetic interactions, we have used the RMC method described in Sec. II to investigate the short-range correlations in SrDy2O4. The RMC method (like Rietveld refinements) does not give a unique magnetic structure “solution,” but suggests a model that is compatible with the data. The $Q$ range used for the RMC is 0.378 to 2.150 Å$^{-1}$, and two small nuclear Bragg peaks have been omitted from the refinements for all temperatures. The PND data and RMC fits, displayed in Fig. 2, show very good agreement, with $R_{wp} = 1.69\%$, $1.77\%$, $1.71\%$, and $1.59\%$ for 0.06, 0.4, 0.8, and 10 K, respectively. Such a good agreement further justifies the choice of Ising model for the moments on both Dy sites.

Calculations of the single-crystal scattering patterns from the refined RMC model are shown in Fig. 3. The magnetic intensity is most pronounced around the $(h0l)$ and $(0kl)$ scattering planes. At low temperatures, the correlation length along the $c$ axis is much longer than along both the $a$ and $b$ axes, and the scattering intensity is heavily modulated along both $h$ and $k$. The temperature evolution of the scattering shows that there is very little difference between PND patterns taken at 0.06 and 0.4 K (see Fig. 2). Consequently the calculated single-crystal scattering patterns are virtually identical for $T = 0.06$ and 0.4 K, therefore, the $T = 0.4$ K data are excluded from Fig. 3. On further temperature increase to 0.8 K, the lowest-$Q$ peak shifts to a higher scattering vector value and the others become less intense; the associated single-crystal scattering profile also becomes less intense and more indistinct, but the higher intensity around the $(h0\frac{1}{2})$, $(0k\frac{1}{2})$, and symmetry-related positions remains clearly visible. Finally, by 10 K almost all structure in the scattering profile disappears. For the $(hk0)$ scattering plane (not shown), the magnetic scattering is a lot more diffuse and thus the intensity is more smeared out even at the lowest temperatures. These observations suggest that the spin correlations for the Dy1 site are systematically weaker.
RMC refinements are shown in Fig. 4 for the two different Dy sites in SrDy$_2$O$_4$. The data are obtained by averaging the Dy$_2$ site are labeled in Fig. 1, right panel. The correlation as the fact that it is unpolarized neutron data, the RMC methods effects of neutron absorption may play a large role. Also, due to the index $i$ in $r_i$ refers to the set of the $i$th neighbors (some of them, up to $i = 6$, are labeled on the figure). The correlation function is split into two groups for spins pointing along the $b$ axis (Dy$_2$ site) and along the $c$ axis (Dy$_1$ site).

compared to the Dy$_2$ site at each temperature, such that on cooling the magnetic moments parallel to the $b$ axis (Dy$_2$ sites) develop significant correlations first and the moments parallel to the $c$ axis (Dy$_1$ site) require lower temperatures to establish considerable correlations. Therefore, the $k = 0$ structure on the Dy$_1$ sites in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ is effectively developing in the presence of local fields caused by the highly correlated moments on the Dy$_2$ sites.

The calculated maxima in the scattering intensity are a direct result of the Ising-type nature of the spins and reflect the fact that SrDy$_2$O$_4$ remains in a disordered, spin-liquid-like regime at all temperatures with highly correlated magnetic moments, which are inequivalent on the two Dy sites in this material. Direct comparison of the single-crystal diffraction data (see Sec. IV) and the scattering simulated for the different scattering planes is rather complicated as the Dy used in the WISH experiment is not isotopically enriched, and thus the effects of neutron absorption may play a large role. Also, due to the limited-$Q$ range of the data available for fitting, as well as the fact that it is unpolarized neutron data, the RMC methods used tend to overestimate the degree of “diffuseness” [18,25].

The radial spin correlation functions calculated through the RMC refinements are shown in Fig. 4 for the two different Dy sites in SrDy$_2$O$_4$. The data are obtained by averaging 10 independent spin configurations, although numerical variations between different configurations are rather small. In the adopted system of labeling the set of the $i$th neighbors with the index $i$ at a radial distance $r_i$, $r_1 = 3.43\,\text{Å}$ refers to the nearest-neighbor distance (legs of zigzag ladders), $r_2$ is the next-nearest-neighbors distance (rungs of the ladders), $r_4$ is the shortest distance between the moments on different ladders, $r_5 = 2r_1$ is the next-nearest-neighbors distance along the $c$ axis, and so on. Some of the interionic distances for the Dy$_2$ site are labeled in Fig. 1, right panel. The correlation

Fig. 4. Spin correlation $\langle S(0) \cdot S(r_i) \rangle$ as a function of the radial distance $r_i$ calculated from the refined RMC model for $T = 0.06\,\text{K}$. The index $i$ in $r_i$ refers to the set of the $i$th neighbors (some of them, up to $i = 6$, are labeled on the figure). The correlation function is split into two groups for spins pointing along the $b$ axis (Dy$_2$ site) and along the $c$ axis (Dy$_1$ site).

between the spins belonging to two different sites is identically zero because of the presumed strictly Ising character. The data suggest that for the Dy$_2$ site the nearest-neighbor correlations are definitively antiferromagnetic (automatically setting the $r_3$ correlations to be ferromagnetic), while the next-nearest correlations are significantly smaller but also antiferromagnetic. For the Dy$_1$ site, the correlations are somewhat weaker, with the nearest-neighbor correlations being marginally ferromagnetic ($r_1$) while the next-nearest correlations ($r_2$) are stronger and antiferromagnetic. The interladder correlation ($r_4$) is ferromagnetic for both sites and slightly more pronounced for the Dy$_1$ site. The average correlations beyond the distance of 20 Å are statistically insignificant. On warming to 0.4 K the spin-spin correlations remain very similar to what is calculated for $T = 0.06\,\text{K}$ while with the temperature increasing to 0.8 K, they tend to marginally decrease.

B. PND in an applied magnetic field

Figure 5 shows the evolution of the PND patterns of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ with an applied magnetic field at 0.06 K. The data are obtained by subtracting the 10 K diffraction pattern in order to isolate the magnetic signal from the lattice contribution as well as the sample environment. Given the discussion in the previous section, the 10 K data contain a considerable paramagnetic signal, which resulted in noticeable oversubtraction at low-scattering angles.

From Fig. 5 it follows that in lower applied fields (up to 0.3 T) the arrangement of the magnetic moments in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ is similar to what is observed in zero field; there are only very short-range correlations between the moments. New, significantly sharper scattering features appear in fields around 0.5 T, while in fields above 2.0 T the scattering pattern consists only of resolution-limited peaks. Thus, the observed magnetic peaks can be separated into two different types through their field dependencies. The first type are the peaks which start to appear with field increasing above 0.5 T and the
intensity of which then starts to decrease in fields above 1 T. These peaks are absent in the pattern measured at 2.5 T. The intensity of the second type of the peaks continues to increase with increasing field. From the positions of the Bragg peaks of this second type, a magnetic propagation vector $k = 0$ can be determined. The first type of magnetic peaks originates from an antiferromagnetic component to the magnetic order which is only stable at intermediate values of the magnetic field and which is more pronounced at 0.8 K than at 0.06 K. Although in the refinements these highly structured antiferromagnetic features are treated as regular Bragg peaks, their width is not resolution limited, therefore, they do not imply the presence of a long-range magnetic order. The single-crystal diffraction data shown below (see Sec. IV) provide more in-depth information on the magnetic correlations in the intermediate fields.

The magnetic propagation vector $k' = [0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}]$ was determined from the position of nine magnetic reflections in a difference pattern created by subtracting the zero-field data at 10 K from the 0.8 K data at 1.5 T. As there are no relics of the magnetic peaks created through the magnetic propagation vector $k'$ in the spectrum recorded at 0.06 K and 2.5 T, the corresponding data can be treated assuming a single magnetic phase. For $k = 0$, magnetic symmetry analysis was used to determine the irreducible representations (IR) and their basis vectors (BV) for the two Dy sites. Different possibilities were tested against the data showing that a ferromagnetic structure with moments aligned along the $b$ axis gives the best fit to the measured intensities. From the single-crystal magnetization measurements [16], however, it is known that at 2.5 T and 0.5 K the values of magnetization are $M \approx 2.0 \mu_B$ for $B \parallel a$, $M \approx 6.0 \mu_B$ for $B \parallel b$, and $M \approx 2.5 \mu_B$ for $B \parallel c$. It is therefore very likely that for a powder sample, after averaging over all directions of an applied field, only the strongest component of magnetization ($B \parallel b$) becomes dominant, while all other magnetization components become indistinguishable from the background. In fact, a model with ferromagnetic structures on two different Dy sites pointing along $b$ and $c$ axes returned a fit to the measured intensities only marginally worse than the $M \parallel b$ model.

A refinement of the 0.06 K and 2.5 T data was done using the atomic coordinates determined from the high-resolution D1A data for the nuclear phase and taking into account the extra reflections coming from the copper contained in the dilution refrigerator insert through a LeBail fit. This refinement allowed us to extract a scale factor which was then used for refinements of the difference data sets containing solely the magnetic scattering contribution. Figure 6(a) displays the corresponding data set at 10 K in zero field. Magnetic moment values of 6.0(1) and 1.3(1)$\mu_B$ were determined for the two Dy sites.

This result could be compared with previous observations, e.g., the SrEr$_2$O$_4$ or SrHo$_2$O$_4$ compounds [8–10] where it was shown that only one out of the two Er or Ho sites possesses a sizable magnetic moment (the PND data are insufficient to determine which of the two sites has the higher magnetic moment). However, the comparison is not straightforward, as we are comparing the in-field behavior of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ with zero-field properties of SrEr$_2$O$_4$ and SrHo$_2$O$_4$. The in-field behavior of the latter two compounds is yet to be understood.

As indicated above, the application of a magnetic field leads to the appearance of magnetic reflections originating from two different magnetic propagation vectors. Within the range of temperature and field values covered in our measurements, there is no scattering pattern containing only the antiferromagnetic contribution with $k' = [0 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}]$; there is always a contribution from $k = 0$. Both contributions have to be taken into account in a refinement.

Magnetic symmetry analysis within space group $Pnam$ for $k = [0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}]$ shows that the two Dy sites are each split into two independent orbits each having the same two allowed IRs. As every IR is composed of three BVs and a phase factor between the orbits has to be refined, a total of 14 parameters are in theory available to describe the magnetic structure of this antiferromagnetic component. This number of variables is too large in view of the limited number of magnetic reflections and, therefore, the magnetic moment values on the orbits
TABLE I. Irreducible representations (IR) and their basis vectors (BV) for \( k' = [0 \frac{1}{3} 1] \) for the Wyckoff position 4c of the space group \( Pnam \). R (I) stands for real (imaginary) component of the BV.

| IR   | BV1 | BV2 | BV3 |
|------|-----|-----|-----|
| \( x,y,z \) | R 100 | 010 | 001 |
| I 000 | 000 | 000 |
| \( -x + \frac{1}{2},y + \frac{1}{2},z + \frac{1}{2} \) | R \( -\frac{1}{2}00 \) | 0\( \frac{1}{2}0 \) | 00\( \frac{1}{2} \) |
| I \( \frac{1}{2}00 \) | 0\( \frac{-1}{2}0 \) | 00\( \frac{-1}{2} \) |

It is again not possible to determine from the PND data which of the two Dy sites carries the large magnetic moment. Crystal-field calculations [15] on \( \text{SrDy}_2\text{O}_4 \) proposed the existence of a strong Ising anisotropy with the magnetic moments on the Dy1 site predominantly lying along the \( c \) axis and those of the Dy2 site in the direction of the \( b \) axis. As our refinement shows the moment to be aligned along the direction of the \( b \) axis, we assume the Dy2 site carries the large magnetic moment. The phase between the two moments on the two orbits of the Dy2 site refines to \( \phi^2/2\pi = 0.34(1) \), Fig. 6(b) displays a plot of the refinement.

Taking the \( k' = [0 \frac{1}{3} 1] \) contribution on its own the magnetic structure would resemble an up-down configuration of the magnetic moments on the two legs of the honeycomb structure. At 0.8 K and 0.5 T the relative sizes of the magnetic moments for the Dy1 site were set to zero. The refinement displays the magnetic structure where the small values of this sine-wave modulated configuration of \( \text{SrDy}_2\text{O}_4 \) at 0.8 K in an applied magnetic field of 0.5 T as obtained through the Rietveld refinement of the PND data.

FIG. 7. Magnetic structure of \( \text{SrDy}_2\text{O}_4 \) at 0.8 K in an applied magnetic field of 0.5 T as obtained through the Rietveld refinement of the PND data.

TABLE II. Results of the two-phase refinements of the difference data sets for 0.8 K/0.5 T and 0.8 K/1.5 T with 10 K/0 T subtracted.

| \( k = 0 \) | Ferro || \( b \) | \( k' = [0 \frac{1}{3} 1] \) | BV1 | BV2 | BV3 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.8 K/0.5 T | | | | | |
| Dy1 | -0.5(1) | Dy1 \( \phi/2\pi \) (Dy11 - Dy12) = -0.30(9) | 0.6(2) | -0.6(4) |
| Dy2 | -2.1(1) | Dy2 \( \phi/2\pi \) (Dy21 - Dy22) = -0.34(9) | 5.8(1) | -1.4(4) |
| \( R_{Mag} = 24\% \) | \( R_{Mag} = 20\% \) | | | |
| 0.8 K/1.5 T | | | | | |
| Dy1 | -0.7(1) | Dy1 \( \phi/2\pi \) (Dy11 - Dy12) = -0.35(5) | 0.8(1) | |
| Dy2 | -3.8(1) | Dy2 \( \phi/2\pi \) (Dy21 - Dy22) = -0.33(5) | 8.2(1) | |
| \( R_{Mag} = 12\% \) | \( R_{Mag} = 14\% \) | | | |
in order to highlight the main features of the structure. A refinement of the data taken at 0.8 K with a higher magnetic field of 1.5 T resulted in the values listed in Table II. The up-up-down configuration is retained, however, the magnetic moment values (4.4 and −7.9μB) now differ considerably as the ferromagnetic contribution becomes more important.

In general, there is not enough justification for the introduction of an amplitude-modulated magnetic structure in SrDy2O4. These structures are common in the RE metallic compounds (SrDy2O4 is an insulator) or at intermediate temperatures, where thermal fluctuations are important (while the proposed structure is for temperatures as low as 0.8 and 0.06 K). The implication here is that the proposed structure returns the best fit to the observed PND patterns, but it is not necessarily the true magnetic structure of SrDy2O4 in an applied field. Although the magnetic moments on the identical Dy sites are most likely to be equal in size in the actual structure, the main complication in the PND analysis is the highly anisotropic response of the system to an applied magnetic field. This response is more adequately tested with the single-crystal diffraction techniques.

IV. SINGLE-CRYSTAL NEUTRON DIFFRACtion

A. Zero-field results

Figure 8 shows the zero-field intensity maps of single-crystal diffraction at base temperature T = 0.06 K. Similar to what has been observed in SrEr2O4 [9] and SrHo2O4 [11], in reciprocal space there are two-dimensional (2D) planes of scattering intensity, which after projection onto a particular scattering plane form “rods” at (hk0), (hk±1/2), and similar positions. These 2D scattering features correspond to the formation of spin chains running along the c axis with well-developed intrachain antiferromagnetic correlations and almost no correlations between the chains. From the very limited width of the “rods” along the [001] direction, approaching the resolution limit of the diffractometer, it follows that the antiferromagnetic correlations along the chains extend over many unit cells.

The rods in SrDy2O4 are almost perfectly flat and show only very small modulations in their position and intensity as a function of h, but there is a noticeable variation of intensity as a function of k. Broad intensity maxima are observed around the k = ±0.5 while for k = 0 and ±1, the rods are barely visible. This tendency (the signal is more intense outside of the horizontal scattering plane) is also preserved in an applied magnetic field (see next section) and was not observed in other compounds in the SrRE2O4 family where the scattering rods were clearly visible in the (h0l) plane for SrHo2O4 and the (0kl) for SrEr2O4. In both SrEr2O4 and SrHo2O4, magnetic ions on only one crystallographic site, RE2, participate in the formation of the antiferromagnetic chains (or ladders), while the moments on another site, RE1, tend to form the k = 0 antiferromagnetic structures. Interestingly, the RMC calculations (Sec. III A) also indicate a small increase in intensity of the “rods” outside of the k = 0 plane, as can be seen in Fig. 3, bottom panel.

Additional measurements of diffraction intensity taken at 1.7 K have shown that the magnetic diffuse scattering signal in zero field has largely disappeared at this temperature.

B. In-field results

Given that the diffuse signal is more pronounced outside of the (h0l) scattering plane, Fig. 9 traces the field dependence of the magnetic intensity in the (hkl) plane for −0.65 < k < −0.35. At T = 60 mK, the measurements were taken in a field from 0 to 3 T with a step-size of 0.5 T. The data taken at 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 T look almost identical (they are represented by the left-side panel in Fig. 9). In these fields, the rods move to the (hk ½) positions, where n = 1,2,4,5,7... and gain considerable intensity modulation along the h direction. The maxima in intensity correspond to integer values of h, but the width of the diffuse scattering features along this direction remains considerably larger than the instrument’s resolution. From fitting the diffuse scattering features to overlapping Gaussian peaks we estimate that the magnetic correlation length along the h direction as approximately 7 Å [26]. There is only a marginal increase (not more than 5%) in the correlation...
length along the $h$ direction for the field increasing from 0.5 to 1.5 T.

Given the substantial coverage of the out-of-plane scattering available on the WISH diffractometer, we were also able to locate more accurately the positions of the intensity maxima in the $k$ direction. Figure 10 shows the intensity maps for the $(hk\ell)$ planes for $l = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$ and demonstrates that in the intermediate-field regime the scattering remains diffuse (there are no properly formed Bragg peaks observed), but becomes much more localized for the $k$ as well as the $h$ directions. The magnetic correlation length is similar for these two directions. There is a pronounced undulation in the positions of the intensity maxima away from the zero-field $k = -\frac{1}{2}$ line, however, due to a finite width it is difficult to distinguish between the incommensurate positions of the type $k = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta$, with $\delta \approx 0.15$ and the $k = -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}$ predicted by the magnetic propagation vector $k' = [0\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}]$ (see Sec. III B).

A field of 2.0 T marks the transition into a more polarized phase, and the pattern collected in this field (right-hand panel in Fig. 9) contains almost resolution-limited features. Above this field, in 2.5 and 3.0 T, the diffuse scattering signal completely disappears from the $(h\frac{1}{2}l)$ scattering plane. In these higher fields, no diffuse scattering signal was detected anywhere in reciprocal space accessible on the WISH diffractometer. Instead, the higher-field data contain well-defined resolution-limited Bragg peaks in the $(h0\ell)$ plane with $h$ = even integer, $l$ = any integer, as well as in the $(h1\ell)$ and $(h\ell1)$ planes with $h$ and $l$ being any integer numbers.

Additional measurements performed at 1.7 K showed that the intensities of the high-field magnetic Bragg peaks at this temperature are practically identical to those measured at base temperature 0.06 K. This observation is in agreement with the $H$-$T$ phase diagram proposed from the ultrasound measurements [17], where the critical field for the transition to the high-field phase is temperature independent in the range 0.05 to 1.8 K. Presumably, much higher temperatures are required to induce significant thermal disorder in the high-field magnetically polarized phase.

The meaning of the observed scattering patterns is qualitatively rather transparent. On application of moderate fields along the $b$ axis, the zero-field collection of uncorrelated antiferromagnetic chains in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ is replaced by ferromagnetic up-up-down chains, which have significantly more developed interchain correlations. This intermediate-field regime corresponds to the development of a magnetization plateau extending from about 0.2 to 2.0 T [16] where the arrangement of the magnetic moments remains practically constant. Despite an increased correlation length (7 Å is long enough to link the Dy2 ions in the different ladders), full long-range magnetic order is still missing in this regime.

The appearance of magnetic Bragg peaks at integer positions in higher fields can be explained by the field-induced ferromagnetic arrangement of the magnetic moments on the Dy2 sites along the field direction. For the $(h\ell1)$ plane, the intensity of all nuclear peaks due to Dy atoms is extremely small because of a destructive interference between the Dy1 and Dy2 sites. The intensity becomes significant as soon as the scattering amplitude from the two sites is allowed to differ. One therefore has to conclude that only the Dy2 sites become fully ordered in fields above 2 T while the Dy1 sites remain largely disordered, with the reported excitation gap of 4 meV [15] for the Dy1 sites a much stronger field is required to induce a notable moment on them along the $b$ axis.

A full refinement of the magnetic structure in high fields is challenging, as the number of the observed reflections is relatively small and the effects of the wavelength-dependent absorption and extinction are difficult to quantify. We therefore limit ourselves to a qualitative comparison of the observed Bragg peaks intensity to the intensity calculated for a simple model, in which the magnetic moments on the Dy2 sites are presumed to be fully polarized along the field while the ordered moment on the Dy1 sites is exactly zero. The intensity is calculated presuming unit-length moments on the Dy2 sites along the field direction. For the $(h\ell1)$ plane, the intensities of the high-field magnetic Bragg peaks at this temperature are calculated presuming unit-length moments on the Dy2 sites, normalized to the magnetic form factor for the Dy$^{3+}$ ions.

FIG. 10. Single-crystal neutron-diffraction intensity maps for SrDy$_2$O$_4$ at $T = 0.06$ K in an applied field of 1.0 T for (a) $(hk\frac{1}{3})$ and (b) $(hk\frac{2}{3})$ scattering planes.

FIG. 11. Graphical representation of the magnetic intensity in the $(h\ell1)$ (top panel) and $(h0\ell)$ (bottom panel) scattering planes in a field of 3 T. The area of the circles represents the observed (red) and calculated (black) intensity of the magnetic Bragg peaks. The magnetic intensity is obtained by subtracting the high-temperature background from the $T = 0.06$ K data. The calculated intensity is normalized to the magnetic form factor for the Dy$^{3+}$ ions.
the experimental data and the calculations for such a model. The most noticeable disagreement in the (h1l) plane is perhaps in the intensity of the (012) and (014) peaks, which are observed experimentally but absent in the calculations, while in the (h0l) plane the intensities of the observed peaks at (±4 0 ±1) are systematically lower than the calculated ones. Allowing for partial polarization of the Dy1 site (small moments all pointing either along the field or along the c axis) does not improve the agreement. In fact, in order to produce nonzero intensity for the (012) and (014) peaks one has to split the Dy1 and/or Dy2 sites and presume different magnetic moments on Dy1:1:Dy1:2 and/or Dy2:1:Dy2:2 sites. This kind of splitting, however, also generates nonzero intensity for the (h0l) peaks with odd values of h, which are systematically absent in the WISH data. More accurate data collection using a single-wavelength diffractometer might be required in order to fully refine the field-induced structure of SrDy2O4.

V. SUMMARY

We have probed the development of the low-temperature magnetic correlations in SrDy2O4 using neutron-diffraction techniques. In zero field, SrDy2O4 demonstrates only short-range magnetic order down to the lowest experimentally available temperature, 0.06 K. The RMC analysis of the neutron-diffraction patterns observed on a powder sample reveals significant differences in the spin-spin correlations between the Dy3+ ions belonging to two crystallographically inequivalent sites, similarly to what has previously been observed in other members of the SrRE2O4 family. The formation of one-dimensional spin chains (or ladders) running along the c axis on the Dy2 site has also been observed in a single-crystal neutron-diffraction experiment.

Application of an external magnetic field at low temperatures initially results in increased correlations in the short-range-order regime and then in the appearance of Bragg-type features in the diffraction patterns corresponding to the formation of a longer-range magnetic order. Despite the natural limitations of the magnetic structure determination from PND in an applied magnetic field, Rietveld refinement gave clear indications as to the nature of the field-induced structures. The evolution from a short-range order to a nearly ordered up-up-down-down configuration and then to a field-polarized state is most clearly seen in the single-crystal neutron-diffraction experiment for H || b direction.
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