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Abstract

Motivated by the form of recent theoretical results, a quantitative test for an important dynamical particle hole asymmetry of the electron spectral function at low energies and long wavelengths is proposed. The test requires the decomposition of the angle resolved photo emission intensity, after a specific Fermi symmetrization, into odd and even parts to obtain their ratio $R$. A large magnitude $R$ is implied in recent theoretical fits at optimal doping around the chemical potential, and I propose that this large asymmetry needs to be checked more directly and thoroughly. This processing requires a slightly higher precision determination of the Fermi momentum relative to current availability.
1. **Introduction:** The search for a microscopic theory of the normal state of the cuprates is one of the main themes in condensed matter physics for the last two decades. The recent suggestions of describing the normal state in terms of theories with a quantum critical point [1] have also created wide interest in other branches of physics such as string theory and quantum gravity [2]. An initial theoretical objective is the derivation of the normal state low energy long wavelength single electron spectral function $\rho_G(\vec{k}, \omega)$ (or equivalently $A(\vec{k}, \omega)$), encoding the complete set of symmetries.

In this paper I discuss the behavior of $\rho_G(\vec{k}, \omega)$ under a dynamical particle hole transformation simultaneously inverting the wave vector and energy relative to the chemical potential $\mu$ as:

$$(\vec{k}, \omega) \rightarrow - (\vec{k}, \omega), \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{k} = \vec{k} - \vec{k}_F. \quad (1)$$

Invariance under this transformation has often been invoked in analyzing Angle Resolved Photoemission (ARPES) data[3]. It is an emergent symmetry of the Fermi liquid in the sense of Ref. (4), arising when correction terms of $O(\omega/\varepsilon_F)^3$ are neglected[5]. Fermi liquids without disorder at intermediate coupling are invariant [6] under Eq. (1), as are most other contemporary theories of cuprates that I am aware of.

On the other hand two recent theories, the extremely correlated Fermi liquid theory (ECFL) proposed by the author Ref. (7), and the hidden Fermi liquid theory due to Casey and Anderson (CA) Ref. (8), yield a spectral function that lacks invariance under Eq. (1). In Ref. (9) a comparison between the ECFL spectral function and a large set of data at optimal doping shows excellent agreement and provide a useful parametrization of the data.

To quantify the asymmetry: for optimally doped cuprates, in an energy range of $\pm25\text{meV}$ around $\mu$, the theories and the fits of Ref. (9) (extrapolated to lower $\omega$) yield an asymmetry ratio $\mathcal{R}$ (defined below Eq. (3)) between $\sim 7\%$ to $10\%$. Since a large asymmetry makes a decisive ruling on the allowed theories, we propose the direct experimental measurement of this effect and indicate a procedure for the same.

I first discuss a Fermi symmetrization procedure quite distinct from the symmetrization in [3, 10]. I construct an object $S_G(\vec{k}, \omega)$ (Eq. (2)) from the observed ARPES intensity and find expressions for this in the Fermi liquid and the ECFL model. I further show how the momentum dependence of the dipole transition probability and the Fermi liquid parameter $Z_k$ can be absorbed into the constants.

The $S_G(\vec{k}, \omega)$ function is detailed for a simplified version of ECFL, providing an idealized
picture of the predicted asymmetry effect in cuprates. I further discuss a related asymmetry of the tunneling conductance in the normal state, and also the expected angle integrated spectrum. Within the simplified ECFL model, where the quasiparticle peaks are sharp over a large fraction of the zone, these exhibit unusual and possibly measurable features.

2. Fermi symmetrization Our first goal is to formulate a procedure for isolating terms in the spectral function near the Fermi energy that are linear in wave vector and frequency \( \sim \xi_k - \omega \) (with \( \xi_k = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{k_F} \)) found in the recent work Ref. (7). The ARPES intensity is given in terms of the spectral function within the sudden approximation by the expression

\[
I(\vec{k}, \omega) = M(\vec{k}) f_\omega \rho_G(\vec{k}, \omega),
\]

where \( M(\vec{k}) \) is the dipole transition probability which is expected to be a smooth function of \( \vec{k} \) and independent of \( \omega \). It also contains the Fermi function for occupied states

\[
f_\omega = \frac{1 + \exp(\beta \omega)}{1 + \exp(\beta \omega)} - 1,
\]

a non symmetric function of \( \omega \).

Therefore we first formulate a Fermi symmetrized object:

\[
S_G(\vec{k}, \omega) \equiv f_\omega \tilde{f}_\omega \rho_G(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{M(\vec{k})} \tilde{f}_\omega I(\vec{k}, \omega),
\]

where \( \tilde{f}_\omega = 1 - f_\omega = f_{-\omega} \). We may now decompose \( S_G(\vec{k}, \omega) \) under Eq. (1) into its antisymmetric \( S_G^{-s}(\vec{k}_F | \vec{k}, \omega) \) and symmetric \( S_G^s(\vec{k}_F | \vec{k}, \omega) \) combinations respectively

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ S_G(\vec{k}_F + \vec{k}, \omega) \mp S_G(\vec{k}_F - \vec{k}, -\omega) \right].
\]

We will also define the important asymmetry ratio:

\[
R_G(\vec{k}_F | \vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{S_G^{-s}(\vec{k}_F | \vec{k}, \omega)}{S_G^s(\vec{k}_F | \vec{k}, \omega)},
\]

where normalization factors cancel out, giving a dimensionless function of order unity. Its magnitude can therefore be compared across different systems. We will quote \( R_G \) and \( S_G^s \) below for various theoretical models; \( S_G^{-s} \) can be reconstructed from Eq. (3).

3. Dynamical particle hole symmetry of the Fermi Liquid theory. We begin by considering \( S_G \) for the Fermi liquid theory. The spectral function of a Fermi liquid \( \rho_G^{FL}(\vec{k}, \omega) \) is given in terms of a smooth background plus a quasiparticle peak as in Eq. (4). Near the Fermi surface we can linearize various objects in \( \vec{k} \) and \( \omega \). With \( \vec{v}_{k_F} \) the Fermi velocity vector at \( \vec{k}_F \), the quasiparticle piece is specified by three parameters (i) renormalization factor \( Z_{\vec{k}} \), with a linear dependence \( Z_{\vec{k}} = Z_{k_F} [1 + c_1(\vec{k}, \vec{v}_{k_F})] \), (ii) the quasiparticle energy \( E_{\vec{k}} \) vanishing linearly at the Fermi surface \( E_{\vec{k}} = \frac{m}{m^*} (\vec{k}, \vec{v}_{k_F}) \) with an effective mass renormalization \( \frac{m}{m^*} \) and (iii) the line width \( \gamma_{\vec{k}} \propto [E_{\vec{k}}^2 + (\pi k_B T)^2] \) vanishes symmetrically at the Fermi surface. Thus near the Fermi surface:

\[
\rho_G^{FL}(\vec{k}, \omega) \sim \rho_G^{(bg)}(\vec{k}, \omega) + \frac{Z_{\vec{k}}}{\pi} \frac{\gamma_{\vec{k}}}{\gamma_{\vec{k}}^2 + (\omega - E_{\vec{k}})^2}.
\]
For $\vec{k}$ close to the Fermi surface, the background part is neglected compared to the large quasiparticle part. Defining the quasiparticle peak part

$$Q(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{Z_{\vec{k}p}}{4\pi \cosh^2(\beta \omega/2)} \frac{\gamma_{\vec{k}p}}{\gamma_{\vec{k}p}^2 + (\omega - m^*(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\vec{k}p}))^2},$$

we write the Fermi symmetrized functions of $\vec{k}, \omega$:

$$\{S_{G_{FL}}, R_{G_{FL}}\} = \{Q(\vec{k}, \omega), c_1(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{\vec{k}p})\},$$

where we retained only terms linear in $\vec{k}, \omega$ beyond the quasiparticle peak term $Q(\vec{k}, \omega)$. Observe that to $O(\omega^2)$ the asymmetry ratio $R$ is independent of $\omega$. The requirement of neglecting the background is necessary, since it is hard to make a general statement about the $(k, \omega)$ dependence of the background part. Therefore the discussion becomes sharp only in situations where the peak term overwhelms the background part- thus forcing us to low temperatures. The same issue also impacts the synchrotron data adversely compared to the laser ARPES data, if we interpret the former to have more substantial elastic scattering correction as argued in Ref. (9).

We make a few remarks next. (1) The coefficient $c_1$ vanishes in theories where the self energy is $\omega$ dependent but $\vec{k}$ independent. To the extent that we can experimentally identify a $\omega$ independent but $k$ dependent term as in Eq. (6), one can say that the Fermi liquid spectrum possesses the dynamical particle hole invariance. (2) The momentum dependence of the dipole transition probability $M(\vec{k})$, if any, can be absorbed into $c_1$ in Eq. (6) by Taylor expansion. This implies that the expression Eq. (6) is valid for the $S, R$ constructed from the ARPES intensities directly (i.e. omitting the $1/M$ term in Eq. (2)). The important asymmetry ratio $R$ gets rid of the overall scale factors. Therefore its magnitude is a meaningful quantitative measure of the asymmetry. (3) It follows that the frequency independence of $R$ is also true for any theory where the Dyson self energy $\Im m \Sigma(k, \omega)$ is even (i.e. not necessarily quadratic) in $\omega$, such as the marginal Fermi liquid [11] and also various refinements of the RPA. Subleading corrections of the type $\omega \times T^2$ or $\omega^3$ in $\Im m \Sigma(k, \omega)$ [5], as well as intrinsic particle hole asymmetric density of states terms can lead to a non trivial $R$. However these are estimated [5, 6] to be an order of magnitude smaller than the predicted asymmetry of the theories discussed next.

4. The asymmetry ratio in ECFL: In the recent work on the ECFL[7] $\rho_G(\vec{k}, \omega)$, is the product of a Fermi liquid spectral function $\rho_{FL}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ and a caparison fac-
tor $\left(\{1 - \frac{n}{2}\} + \frac{\xi_k - \omega}{\Delta_k(\omega)} + \eta(\bar{k}, \omega)\right)$, explicitly containing a linear dependence on the energy $\omega$. This important term redistributes the dynamical spectral weight within the lower Hubbard band, in such a way as to preserve the Fermi volume. In a further approximation of the formalism, a simplified ECFL theory emerges where we obtain explicit analytical results. In this version $\eta(\bar{k}, \omega)$ is negligible and the coefficient $\Delta$ is a constant determined by the number sum rule. In Ref. (9, 12), the simplified ECFL was tested against data on the High $T_c$ cuprate $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$. The test spans a substantial range of occupied energies $\sim 1$ eV, with quantitative fits in the 0.25 eV energy range. The remarkably close agreement between data and theory over the broad range of data sets appears to vindicate the form of the spectral function. The test proposed in this work is somewhat complementary, it is over a smaller energy range $\sim 2k_B T$, probing the asymptotic low energy region centered around the Fermi energy.

With the assumption of a smooth $k$ dependence of $\eta(\bar{k}, 0)$ and $\Delta(\bar{k}, 0)$ in the expression for the spectral function [13] and $p = d_0 + (1 - \frac{n}{2})$, we obtain

$$S_{\text{ECFL}} \sim Q \times [p + d_1 \bar{k} \cdot \bar{v}_{\bar{k}F} + d_2 \omega + \frac{(\bar{k} \cdot \bar{v}_{\bar{k}F} - \omega)}{\Delta(\bar{k}F)}].$$

Here the term $d_0$ arises from Taylor expanding $\eta(\bar{k}F, 0)$ and also the shift of the chemical potential from the free value, $d_1$ from the momentum dependence of $Z_k$ and this term can also absorb the momentum dependence of $M(k)$, and $d_2$ from the frequency dependence of $\eta(k, \omega)$. We can thus compute the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts $\{S_{\text{SECFL}}^s, R_{\text{SECFL}}\}$ as:

$$\sim \{ p \frac{d_1}{p} \bar{k} \cdot \bar{v}_{\bar{k}F} + \frac{d_2}{p} \omega + \frac{(\bar{k} \cdot \bar{v}_{\bar{k}F} - \omega)}{p \Delta(\bar{k}F)} \}. \quad (7)$$

The asymmetry ratio $R$ therefore has a linear $\omega$ and $\bar{k}$ dependence. Using the frequency dependence as the signature, one should be able to distinguish between the results of Eq. (7) and Eq. (6).

The simplified ECFL model (SECFL) is described in detail in Ref. (12), where we write the spectral function near the Fermi energy $\rho_{\text{SECFL}}^{\text{peak}}(\bar{k}_F + \bar{k}, \omega)$ as:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{Z_k^2}{\Gamma_k} \frac{\Gamma_k}{\Gamma^2_k + (\omega - E_{FL,k})^2} \frac{n^2}{4\Delta_0} \{\varepsilon_0 + \xi_k - \omega\}. \quad (8)$$
FIG. 1: Top inset shows the large predicted asymmetry $R_{SECFL}^{a-s}$ versus $\xi$ in the small energy range of 150 meV. Similar magnitudes are found as functions of $\omega$ at various $\xi$. The figure shows $S_{SECFL}^{a-s}$ from Eq. (9), versus $\xi$ (main) $\omega$ (inset) in eV at various $\omega$ (main) $\xi$ (inset). Arrows indicate the direction of increasing energies. We used $n = 0.85$, $\eta = .05$ eV, $\Delta_0 = .0796$ eV here.

where $\varepsilon_0 = \Delta_0 \frac{4}{m^*} (1 - \frac{n}{2})$. Here $E_k^{FL} = Z_k \xi_k$, in view of the form of the self energy $\Phi$. To leading order, we can set $Z_k \rightarrow Z_F$ independent of $k$, and $\xi_k = \vec{k}.\vec{v}_{kF}$, $E_k^{FL} = Z_F \vec{k}.\vec{v}_{kF}$, and set $\Gamma_k = \eta + \pi C_\Phi[(\pi k_B T)^2 + (E_k^{FL})^2]$, where $\eta$ is the elastic broadening introduced in Ref. (9) (distinct from $\eta(\vec{k}, \omega)$). For the model Eq. (8), we can set $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{kF}$ and thus obtain the leading behavior near the Fermi energy of $\{S_{SECFL}^a, R_{SECFL}^a\}$ as:

$$\sim \left[ (1 - \frac{n}{2}) Q(\vec{k}, \omega), \frac{\vec{k}.\vec{v}_{kF} - \omega}{\varepsilon_0} \right],$$

(9)

where $Q(\vec{k}, \omega)$ is obtained from Eq. (5) by replacing $m/m^* \rightarrow Z_F$ and $\gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k Z_k$. Note that e.g. at $\vec{k} = 0$ and any convenient $\omega_0$, $|R(0, \omega_0)| = \omega_0/\varepsilon_0$, and thus its magnitude yields the important energy scale $\Delta_0$. We emphasize that Eq. (7) is more generally true within the ECFL approach. We display $S_{SECFL}^a$ in the Fig. (1) for a model calculation based in the simplified ECFL model with a flat density of states Ref. (12) Sec.(IV.F). The values of the basic parameters in all figures are as follows: $T = 180K$, $\omega_c = .25$ eV, $C_\Phi = 1(eV)^{-1}$. Notice the distinctive increasing linear behavior with $\vec{k}$ and a decreasing linear one with $\omega$, as in Eq. (7) and Eq. (9).
FIG. 2: (I) the predicted AIP spectrum showing a shallow minimum at $\omega \sim -0.2$ eV, and a rise as the binding energy $|\omega|$ increases. The rise is greater as the particle density $n$ increases (orange to red). Inset (II) reveals the role of elastic scattering width $\eta$ (black to red). Inset (III) shows the local DOS relevant to the tunneling conductance, for the same parameters as in (II) with a remarkable rising piece near zero bias.

5. Single particle tunneling into the extremely correlated state: In the simplest model of tunneling in the $t$-$J$ model, the conductance is given in terms of the local density of states (DOS) $\rho_G^{(local)}(\omega) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \rho_G(\vec{k}; \omega)$. Its convolution with $f_\omega$ and $f_\omega$ gives half the occupied $n_2$ and the unoccupied $(1-n)$ densities, thus providing useful sum-rules for tunneling[14]. The sum rule imply asymmetry between adding particles and holes and thus a downward sloping conductance[15, 16]. Recent experiments in the overdoped regime[17, 18] display the same asymmetry, providing strong confirmation that $t$-$J$ model type extreme correlations are operative at high hole doping levels as well, and not just near half filling. More detailed information on the frequency dependence is clearly of experimental interest. We note that the angle integrated photo emission (AIP) technique obtains the local DOS $\times f_\omega$, and provides a complementary view to tunneling. Fig. (2) presents the results from the simplified ECFL model for both the (local) DOS and DOS $\times f_\omega$ at various densities and elastic scattering parameter $\eta$. It shows an overall decrease of the local density of states with energy. Interestingly the tunneling curve in the inset (III) shows an upturn followed by
a rising piece near \( \omega \sim 0 \), and the AIP curve shows a related shallow minimum at \( \omega \sim -2 \) eV.

To understand the unusual result, consider integrating the spectral function in Eq. (8) over \( \xi_k \). As discussed in Ref. (9, 12), when the energy is less than \( \sim 1 \) eV, the quasiparticles become sharp and this integral can be estimated by replacing the Fermi liquid Lorentzian by \( \delta(\vec{k}, \vec{v}_F \cdot \vec{k}_F - \frac{m^*}{m} \omega) \). This yields the quasi particle peak contribution:

\[
\lim_{\omega \leq \varepsilon_0} \rho_{G, Peak}^{(\text{local})}(\omega) \sim \left \{ \varepsilon_0 + \left( \frac{m^*}{m} - 1 \right) \times \omega \right \}.
\]

Since \( m \leq m^* \), it follows that the slope is positive and hence the rising conductance! In the general version of ECFL, different parts of the Fermi surface contribute according to the weight of \( 1/\Delta(\vec{k}_F) \). We expect the resulting average to be less favorable to a rising term than in the simplified ECFL model.

6. Other theories: Casey and Anderson Ref. (8)(CA) provide a spectral function that may be Taylor expanded at finite \( T \) and low enough energies as follows. With \( q = 1 - \frac{1}{4} n^2 \) depending on the filling \( n \), and \( \Gamma_k = A(k_B T) + C \hat{v}_{k_F}^2 \hat{k}^2 \), their expressions yield:

\[
\{ S_{CA}^s, R_{CA}^{n-s} \} = \{ Q', \cot(q\pi/2) \frac{(v_F \hat{k} - \omega)}{\Gamma_0} \}.
\]

with \( Q' = \text{const} \times \frac{\sin(q\pi/2)}{4\pi \cosh^2(\beta \omega)/2} \left[ \Gamma_0^2 + (\omega - v_F \hat{k})^2 \right]^{q/2} \). Therefore this work also implies a non trivial \( R \) with a linear \( \omega, \hat{k} \) dependence, similar in form to that in ECFL, although with a non Lorentzian peak factor replacing the \( Q \) factor in Eq. (7). It is seen that the asymmetry of this theory as well as that of the ECFL theory vanishes continuously at low particle density \( n \to 0 \). An important characteristic energy \( \Delta^*(x, T) \), say the inverse of the slope of the linear in \( \omega \) term in \( R \) contains much physics. In the CA theory \( \Delta^*(x, T) \propto \Gamma_0 \) vanishes at all densities \( x \) as \( T \to 0 \), thereby defining a line of quantum critical points. On the other hand in the ECFL calculations, the energy \( \Delta^*(x, T \to 0) \) is non zero but much smaller than the (bare) Fermi energy. However it could vanish at a specific filling \( x_c \); as \( \Delta^*(x_c, T \to 0) \to 0 \), thereby locating an isolated quantum critical point.

Other contemporary theories have a different prediction from the ECFL and CA. The popular marginal Fermi liquid model[11] for the spectral function has a Dyson self energy that is symmetric under the transformation Eq. (1). Therefore it leads to an \( \omega \) independent asymmetry ratio at small energies, as in the usual Fermi liquid[6]. A similar \( \omega \) independent \( R \) occurs for the RPA and its many variants emphasizing fluctuation contributions.
FIG. 3: Symmetry extraction illustrated for the simplified ECFL model. Here $n = 0.85$, $\eta = 0.05$ and $\Delta_0 = 0.0796$ with $\omega$ (ordinate) and $\xi$ (abscissa) in eV. (A) shows the spectral function $\rho_G$, (B) $\rho_G f_\omega$, (C) $\rho_G f_\omega \overline{f}_\omega$ (D) the symmetrized object $S_\rho^g$ (E) the antisymmetrized object $S_{\rho}^{a-s}$ showing a peak and a trough, and (F) the asymmetry ratio $R_G$ from Eq. (3).
7. Conclusions  The program of extraction of the asymmetry ratio from the “ideal” spectral weight is summarized in Fig. (3). A window of size $\sim 2k_BT$ in $\omega$ and $v_F\hat{k}$ is highlighted in this construction. It is proposed that a careful examination of the ARPES intensity along these lines would determine the existence of dynamical particle hole asymmetry. This asymmetry also relates to the difference in velocities (and amplitudes) of quasi particles and quasi holes, of the type that are invoked in explaining the peculiar sign of the Hall effect in the mixed state[19]. We thus expect it to be important in Hall and analogous transport contexts such as thermopower. This search is complementary, as well as a pre-requisite, to the detailed characterization of the symmetric part $S^s$. Specifically I propose that the search for a non trivial (i.e. $\omega$ linear) asymmetry ratio $R$ is important for identifying the correct underlying theoretical description of the cuprates.

In order to implement the transformation Eq. (1) on the experimental data, we need a high resolution in frequency as well as momentum. Since the bare Fermi velocities are high $\hbar v_F \sim 5$ eV \AA, the momentum resolution becomes critical. An error $\Delta \xi \sim 15 - 20$ meV can lead to quite incorrect conclusions. Thus in order to draw unambiguous conclusions we require $\Delta k \sim .001(\AA)^{-1}$, i.e. $\Delta \xi \sim 5$ meV or better, thereby posing an interesting challenge to the experimental ARPES community.
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