Molecular cytogenetic identification of three rust-resistant wheat-Thinopyrum ponticum partial amphiploids
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Abstract

Background: Thinopyrum ponticum (2n = 10× = 70, J3JJJJJJJJJJJJ) is an important wild perennial Triticeae species that has a unique gene pool with many desirable traits for common wheat. The partial amphiploids derived from wheat-Th. ponticum set up a bridge for transferring valuable genes from Th. ponticum into common wheat.

Results: In this study, genomic in situ hybridization (GISH), multicolor GISH (mcGISH) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) were used to analyze the genomic constitution of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406, three octoploid accessions with good resistance to rust. The results demonstrated that the three octoploids possessed 42 wheat chromosomes, while SN0389 contained 12 Th. ponticum chromosomes and SN0398 and SN0406 contained 14 Th. ponticum chromosomes. The genomic constitution of SN0389 was 42 W + 12J3, and for SN0398 and SN0406 it was 42 W + 12J3 + 2 J. Chromosomal variation was found in chromosomes 1A, 3A, 6A, 2B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 1D and 5D of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 based on the FISH and mcGISH pattern. A resistance evaluation showed that SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 possessed good resistance to stripe and leaf rust at the seedling stage and adult-plant stage.

Conclusions: The results indicated that these wheat-Th. ponticum partial amphiploids are new resistant germplasms for wheat improvement.
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Background

Thinopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Barkworth & D.R. Dewey [syn. Agropyron elongatum (Host) P. Beauv., Lophopyrum ponticum (Podp.) A. Löve, Elytrigia pontica (Podp.) Holub] (2n = 10× = 70), a perennial Triticeae species that is closely related to wheat, has been used for more than half a century to enrich the wheat germplasm with desirable traits [3]. Many important genes have been successfully transferred to common wheat from Th. ponticum, including resistance to powdery mildew [18], stripe rust [12, 30], leaf rust [24], stem rust [7, 23], Fusarium head blight [9, 14, 26, 27] and wheat streak mosaic virus [17], as well as abiotic stress tolerance [4, 28], and even yield-related traits [16, 21]. Although it is widely used in wheat improvement, the genomic composition of Th. ponticum has been long debated. Past research suggests that Th. ponticum is a decaploid with the genome formula JJJJJJJJJJJJJ[22]. Using St genomic DNA from the diploid Pseudoroegneria strigosa as a probe and the E genomic DNA from Th. elongatum for blocking, Chen et al. [3] revealed that the genomic composition of Th. ponticum was JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ. The J genome of Th. ponticum is homologous to the J genome of the diploid Thinopyrum bessarabicum, while the J3 genome is a modified J genome of unknown origin [3].

Stripe rust and leaf rust are both severe foliar diseases in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) all over the world. Stripe rust is caused by the fungus Puccinia striiformis f. sp. Tritici, and it can cause severe yield loss in common wheat [25]. Leaf rust is caused by Puccinia recondita f. sp. Tritici, which is the most widespread and regularly occurring rust on wheat and can also cause yield losses up to 50% in extremely susceptible cultivars [6]. Breeding resistant cultivars is the most effective and economical means to control the disease [13]. At the present time, the rust-resistant wheat-Th. ponticum partial amphiploids can be used as important genetic resources for improving the rust resistance of common wheat.
time, many of the existing resistance genes have been overcome by newly emerged virulent isolates. Thus, it is necessary and pressing to exploit new resistant genes for wheat breeding.

Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) is widely used and is an effective means for detecting alien chromosomes and chromosome segments in wheat-alien species amphiploids, addition lines, and translocation lines. Multicolor GISH (mcGISH) is used to discriminate the A, B, D and E genomes of wheat - *Th. ponticum* addition, substitution and translocation lines [9, 10]. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), which uses repetitive DNA clones or oligonucleotides as a probe, is an extremely useful method for identifying chromosomes within a species or detecting intergenomic chromosome rearrangements in a polyploid species [5, 15, 19].

In this study, three novel wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploids were developed from derivatives of common wheat and *Th. ponticum*, and FISH, GISH and mcGISH analyses were used to identify their genomic constitution. Furthermore, the resistance to stripe and leaf rust of the three partial amphiploids was also identified.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

The plant materials used in this study included *Th. ponticum*, *Pseudoagroseria spicata* (StSt, 2n = 14), *Aegilops speltoides* (SS, 2n = 14), *Aegilops tauschii* (DD, 2n = 14), the common wheat cultivar Yannong15 (YN15) and three wheat-*Thinopyrum ponticum* partial amphiploids (SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406). Among them, *Th. ponticum* was provided by Prof. Zhensheng Li (formerly of the Northwest Institute of Botany at the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yangling, China). *Ps. spicata*, *Triticum urartu*, *A. speltoides* and *A. tauschii* were provided by Prof. Lihui Li from the Institute of Crop Science, Academy of Sciences, Yangling, China. The partial amphiploids SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 were selected from BC1F7 of common wheat *T. urartu* crossed with *Th. ponticum* by signal. The plant materials used in this study included *Th. ponticum*, *Pseudoagroseria spicata* (StSt, 2n = 14), *Aegilops speltoides* (SS, 2n = 14), *Aegilops tauschii* (DD, 2n = 14), the common wheat cultivar Yannong15 (YN15) and three wheat-*Thinopyrum ponticum* partial amphiploids (SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406). Among them, *Th. ponticum* was provided by Prof. Zhensheng Li (formerly of the Northwest Institute of Botany at the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yangling, China). *Ps. spicata*, *Triticum urartu*, *A. speltoides* and *A. tauschii* were provided by Prof. Lihui Li from the Institute of Crop Science, Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. The partial amphiploids SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 were selected from BC1F7 of common wheat Yannong15 crossed with *Th. ponticum*, based on the stability and good phenotypic characteristics, such as long spikes, advanced fluorescence, and so on. The amphiploids were maintained by selfing in our laboratory.

**Mitotic and meiotic studies**

The seeds were germinated at 25 °C on moistened filter paper in petri dishes for 24 h, were maintained at 4 °C for approximately 1 day, and were then transferred to 25 °C for approximately 12 h. Roots, of a length of 1–2 cm, were collected and immediately placed in ice water. After 24–32 h, these roots were fixed in Carnoy’s solution for 24 h and were then stored in 70% (v/v) ethanol. The root tips were squashed in acetic acid and were observed under a phase contrast microscope. When the

flag leaf of the wheat was spread, the young spikes were sampled, and the anthers, at metaphase I (MI) of meiosis, were fixed in Carnoy’s solution, dissociated in 1 M HCl at 60 °C for 6–8 min, and homogenized in 1% acetocarmine.

**Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH)**

Genomic DNA from *Ps. spicata* was labeled with Texas red-5-dCTP by the nick translation method and was used as a probe. Sheared genomic DNA from Yannong15 was used as the blocking DNA. The slides were counterstained with DAPI in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, USA). The detailed procedures of the chromosome spread preparation and hybridization are described by Bao et al. [1, 2]. The J5-genomic and J-genomic chromosomes were distinguished by the GISH signals [3, 29], and those with centromeres labeled by the red signals were the J5-genome and those with two arm ends of chromosomes labeled by signals were the J-genome.

**Multicolor genomic in situ hybridization (mcGISH)**

Total genomic DNA from *T. urartu*, *A. speltoides* and *A. tauschii* was isolated from the young leaves via a modified CTAB method. The total genomic DNA from *T. urartu* was labeled with fluorescein-12-dUTP, and the genomic DNA from *A. tauschii* was labeled with Texas-red-5-dUCP by the nick translation method. Total genomic DNA from *A. speltoides* was used as a blocker (at a ratio of 1:160). After hybridization, the slides were washed in 2× saline sodium citrate (SSC) and mounted in Vectashield mounting medium.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)**

Two probes were used in the multicolor FISH. pAs1 was labeled with fluorescein-12-dUTP, and the repeated DNA sequence, (GAA)8, was labeled with Texas-red-5-dUCP. Before hybridization, the two probes were mixed at a ratio of 4:1. The detailed procedures for the hybridization were previously described by He et al. [11]. Images were captured with an Olympus BX-60 fluorescence microscope equipped with a CCD (charge-coupled device) camera.

**Stripe rust and leaf rust resistance evaluation**

The stripe rust resistance of the three partial amphiploids, at the seedling stage, was evaluated with stripe rust race CYR32 in a greenhouse that had a favorable environment for stripe rust development at the Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jinan, China. At the adult-plant stage, stripe rust and leaf rust resistance were evaluated under natural conditions. YN15 (the susceptible cultivar for stripe rust and leaf rust) and *Th. ponticum* were planted as contrasts at the
same time. When the control variety YN15 was all fully infected, the evaluation results were scored according to the standard classification system with 6 scales from 0 to 4 as follows: 0 for no visible symptoms; 0, for necrotic flecks without sporulation; and 1, 2, 3, and 4 for strongly resistant, resistant, susceptible and strongly susceptible, respectively.

Results

Chromosomal constitution of three partial amphiploids

An analysis of the mitotic chromosomes showed that SN0389 contained a chromosome number of 2n = 54, and both SN0398 and SN0406 had a mitotic chromosome number of 2n = 56 (Fig. 1). The meiotic observations of the three partial amphiploids indicated that most of the chromosomes in the observed pollen mother cells of SN0389 formed 27 bivalents at meiotic MI, and SN0398 and SN0406 both formed into 28 bivalents, which proved that these three partial amphiploids exhibited high cytological stability.

GISH, mcGISH and FISH were used to analyze the genomic constitution of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406. The results of the GISH (Fig. 1-A1) and FISH (Fig. 1-A3) analyses revealed that SN0389 had 42 wheat chromosomes and 12 Th. ponticum chromosomes, including six pairs of J₆-genome chromosomes (Fig. 2). SN0398 contained 42 wheat chromosomes and 14 Th. ponticum chromosomes (Fig. 1-B1, B2, B3), including six pairs of J₅-genome chromosomes and one pair of J-genome chromosomes (Fig. 2). The genomic constitution of SN0406 (Fig. 1-C1, C2, C3 and Fig. 2) was similar to SN0398. According to the configuration and signal of the alien chromosomes, the alien chromosomes of SN0389 were different compared to SN0398 and SN0406, while some of the alien chromosomes in SN0398 were probably identical to that in SN0406. For example, the J₅-4*, J₆-6* and J-1*

---

**Fig. 1** GISH, McGIS and FISH patterns of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406. GISH patterns of SN0389 (a1), SN0398 (b1) and SN0406 (c1): *Ps. spicata* (St) genomic DNA labeled with Texas-Red-5-dCTP was used as the probe, and YN15 genome DNA was used to block. McGISH patterns of SN0389 (a2), SN0398 (b2) and SN0406 (c2): A-genomic DNA was labeled with green fluorescence, D-genomic DNA was labeled with red fluorescence and B-genomic DNA (gray) was used to block. As a result, Th. ponticum chromosomes showed purple signals. The FISH patterns of SN0389 (a3), SN0398 (b3) and SN0406 (c3): red signals were (GAA)₈ and green signals were pAs₁.
chromosomes in SN0389 were similar with the J S-4#, J S-6# and J-1# chromosomes in SN0406 (Fig. 2). But as Th. ponticum contained 14 pairs of J S and 21 pairs of J chromosomes, and there were lack of specific in situ hybridization signals and specific molecular markers of each pairs of chromosomes in Th. ponticum, so it’s difficult to identify the alien chromosomes in these three amphiploids.

**Wheat chromosome variation of three partial amphiploids**

The wheat chromosome variation in the three partial amphiploids was analyzed using FISH and McGISH signals, and the results of the common wheat parent YN15 were used as a comparison (Fig. 3). For the A-genome chromosomes, the results showed that the additional red (GAA)₈ signals were detected at the terminal of 1AL in SN0389 (Fig. 3-E). Additional, apparently green, signals of the pAs1 probe were also found on 3AS of SN0389. Moreover, the green signal present in the terminal of 6AS in YN15 disappeared in the three partial amphiploids, and a pair of (GAA)₈ signals of 6AL in SN0389 and SN0406 was absent (Fig. 3-E).

The red signals of (GAA)₈ in the B-genome chromosomes of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 were also changed a lot. For example, the (GAA)₈ signals at the long arm of 2B were absent in SN0398 and SN0406, while the red signal still remained in SN0389, and the absence was also observed on the satellite of 6B in SN0389. Additional red signals were observed on 7BL of the three partial amphiploids. Furthermore, the (GAA)₈ signal on the terminal of 6BL in SN0389 was different from the other three materials and approached the end of 6BL in SN0389. Moreover, part of the 5B short arm in SN0398 and SN0406 was absent, compared with that of YN15 and SN0389. A few green signals of pAs1 were present on the 6BL chromosomes in YN15, while they were not observed in the three germplasms (Fig. 3-E).

For the D-genome chromosomes in SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406, variations occurred in the 1D, 2D and 5D chromosomes. First, the red signals of (GAA)₈ on 1DS were absent in SN0389, while they were preserved in YN15, SN0398 and SN0406. Additionally, the green signals of pAs1, near the terminal of 2DL, were also absent in SN0398 and SN0406. Moreover, the pAs1 signal near the centromere of the 5D chromosomes in SN0389 and SN0398 was absent (Fig. 3-E).

**Phenotypic evaluation of three partial amphiploids**

The stripe rust resistance of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 at the seedling stage was evaluated in a greenhouse, while stripe and leaf rust resistance was evaluated under natural conditions (Table 1, Additional file 1: Figure S1). The results showed that SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 showed good resistance to stripe rust race CYR32 in the seedling stage. At the adult-plant stage, these three partial amphiploids were immune to stripe rust and showed good resistance to leaf rust.
in the field. While its common wheat parent YN15 was susceptible to stripe rust and leaf rust and *Th. ponticum* was immune, we deduced that the resistance of partial amphiploids was derived from *Th. ponticum*.

**Table 1** Stripe rust and leaf resistance evaluation of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406

| Materials | Stripe rust CYR32 Seedling stage | Stripe rust Adult-plant stage | Leaf rust Adult-plant stage |
|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| *Th. ponticum* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| YN15 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| SN0389 | 0; | 0 | 0; |
| SN0398 | 0; | 0 | 0; |
| SN0406 | 0; | 0 | 0; |

**Discussion**

Although *Th. ponticum* is closely related to wheat, it is difficult to obtain excellent germplasm materials directly by the hybridization between *Th. ponticum* and common wheat. Thus, wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploids, which contain the complete genomes of wheat but an incomplete genome (a set of chromosomes) of *Th. ponticum*, are used as crucial intermediate materials in the transfer of desirable genes from *Th. ponticum* to common wheat [3]. Several wheat-*Th. ponticum* amphiploids have been obtained, analyzed and exploited as alien sources of disease resistance in wheat improvement [3, 8, 12, 24, 31].

In this study, we identified three novel wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploids with good rust resistance independent of stage. These three octoploid *Trititrigia* were developed in the common wheat cultivar YN15
background, and they had good phenotypic characteristics, such as long spikes, advanced fluorescence, and higher cross-compatibility with wheat. Therefore, they could be used as bridge parents to cross with wheat to develop addition, substitution or translocation lines in order to provide new rust resistance germplasms for wheat breeding.

At both stages, YN15 was highly susceptible to stripe rust and leaf rust, whereas the three octoploid Trititrigia were immune at both stages. This indicated that SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406 possessed a resistant gene to rust that was derived from Th. ponticum. An analysis of the mitotic chromosomes showed that SN0389 had 42 wheat chromosomes and 12 Th. ponticum chromosomes, while SN0398 and SN0406 had 42 wheat chromosomes and 14 Th. ponticum chromosomes. The results indicated that the alien chromosome of SN0389 was 12J. The alien chromosomes in SN0389 and SN0406 were not from a single genome of J or J, and the alien chromosome constitution of SN0398 and SN0406 was 12J + 2 J. Since there were 12 or 14 alien chromosomes in these three octoploid Trititrigia, it was difficult to deduce which chromosome the rust resistance gene was located on. We had hybridized the amphiploid with YN15, thus try to screen addition lines with different alien chromosomes in the derivative generations. Then it will be easier to identify which alien chromosome carrying the rust resistance gene. As there were lack of specific FISH or GISH signals and specific molecular markers of each chromosomes in Th. ponticum now, more work is needed to make that conclusion.

It is generally believed that only euploid amphiploids are genetically stable, while aneuploids often result in the loss of the added alien chromosomes [20]. Nevertheless, our results here show that the chromosome number of SN0389 was 2n = 54, and it only contained 12 alien chromosomes. However, the meiotic studies showed that SN0389 had a regular meiotic behavior after it was self-pollinated for several generations, and its chromosome number was still 2n = 54. Similar phenomena are also observed in partial amphiploid lines obtained from wheat × Th. ponticum and wheat × Th. intermedium hybridizations [8, 10, 24]. For example, the partial amphiploid BE-1 contains 16 chromosomes derived from Th. ponticum and 40 wheat chromosomes, and the substituted wheat chromosome pair, as identified by FISH, was 7D [24]. Lines Zhong 1 (2n = 52) and Zhong 2 (2n = 54) both contain the complete wheat, A, B and D genomes but with 10 and 12 Th. intermedium chromosomes, respectively [10]. Further research on the composition of these alien chromosomes and its compensation effect will be helpful in understanding the genetic relationship between the genome of Th. ponticum and wheat as well as be a benefit for transferring valuable traits from Th. ponticum into wheat.

Using the in situ hybridization pattern of FISH and mcGISH, chromosome variations in wheat were also detected. In this study, the structural variations also occurred in chromosomes 1A, 3A, 6A, 2B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 1D and 5D of SN0389, SN0398 and SN0406. The results indicated that during the formation of the partial amphiploids, various intergenomic rearrangements occurred. Some of the chromosome recombinations were caused by introgressed chromosome segments from Th. ponticum into common wheat chromosomes, while the introgressed segments were too small to detect by GISH. The other reason for the structural variations in the wheat chromosomes might also be that they were generated by recombination between different wheat chromosomes, such as homeologous chromosome recombination between the A-, B-, and D- genome genomic chromosomes that was interfered by the existence of the Th. ponticum chromosomes.

Conclusions

Three partial amphiploids with good resistance and different phenotypic traits were obtained in this study. The chromosome composition of the wheat-Th ponticum partial amphiploid was studied by means of GISH, mcGISH and FISH. As a good source for improving disease resistance, these amphiploids could be used as promising crossing partners in wheat breeding programs, and resistant progenies of this partial amphiploid could be used as rust resistance sources in wheat improvement.
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