Laser Microdissection and Spatiotemporal Pinoresinol-Lariciresinol Reductase Gene Expression Assign the Cell Layer-Specific Accumulation of Secoisolariciresinol Diglucoside in Flaxseed Coats

Jingjing Fang¹, Aïna Ramsay², Sullivan Renouard³, Christophe Hano³, Frédéric Lamblin³, Brigitte Chabbert⁴, François Mesnard⁵,⁶* and Bernd Schneider⁷†

¹ Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena, Germany, ² EA3900 – BioPI Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France, ³ Laboratoire de Biologie des Ligneux et des Grandes Cultures, UPRES EA 1207, Antenne Scientifique Universitaire de Chartres, Université d’Orléans, Chartres, France, ⁴ INRA, UMR614 Fractionnement des AgroRessources et Environnement, Reims, France, ⁵ UMR614 Fractionnement des AgroRessources et Environnement, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France

The concentration of secoisolariciresinol diglucoside (SDG) found in flaxseed (Linum usitatissimum L.) is higher than that found in any other plant. It exists in flaxseed coats as an SDG-3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaric acid oligomer complex. A laser microdissection method was applied to harvest material from different cell layers of seed coats of mature and developing flaxseed to detect the cell-layer specific localization of SDG in flaxseed; NMR and HPLC were used to identify and quantify SDG in dissected cell layers after alkaline hydrolysis. The obtained results were further confirmed by a standard molecular method. The promoter of one pinoresinol-lariciresinol reductase gene of L. usitatissimum (LuPLR1), which is a key gene involved in SDG biosynthesis, was fused to a β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene, and the spatio-temporal regulation of LuPLR1 gene expression in flaxseed was determined by histochemical and activity assays of GUS. The result showed that SDG was synthesized and accumulated in the parenchymatous cell layer of the outer integument of flaxseed coats.
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INTRODUCTION

Linum usitatissimum, a plant with multiple uses, has traditionally been cultivated for fiber and oil production. Flaxseed is a rich source of polyunsaturated fatty acids and lignans. These components contribute beneficial nutritional and health-related functions to a flaxseed diet (Oomah, 2001; Adolphe et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2011).
Flaxseed is by far the richest source of lignans in the plant kingdom. Between 6.1 and 28.8 mg/g of secoisolariciresinol diglucoside (SDG) (5 in Figure 1A), was reported as the predominant lignan in whole flaxseed. This SDG concentration is higher in flaxseed than in any other edible plant (Axelson et al., 1982; Thompson et al., 1991). Free SDG was almost undetectable in flaxseed at any developing stage (Ford et al., 2001; Hano et al., 2006). Directly after its formation, SDG is assembled into oligomeric lignan macromolecules (6 in Figure 1A), in which from one to seven SDGs are linked by 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaric acid (HMG) through ester bonds (Klosterman and Smith, 1954; Kamal-Eldin et al., 2001; Struijs et al., 2009). Here, these macromolecules are collectively designated the SDG-HMG oligomer complex.

The following biosynthetic pathway (see Figure 1A) of both SDG and SDG macromolecules in flaxseed, has been proposed: a dirigent protein-assisted coupling of two 6-coniferyl alcohol (1 in Figure 1A) units results in one molecule of (−)-pinoresinol (2 in Figure 1A). (−)-Pinoresinol is successively converted into (−)-lariciresinol and (−)-secoisolariciresinol (3 and 4 in Figure 1A). Then the latter molecule is glycosylated to SDG. Finally, SDG condenses with HMG-CoA to form the SDG-HMG oligomer complex (Umezawa et al., 1991; Ford et al., 2001; Hano et al., 2006; Ghose et al., 2014; Dalisay et al., 2015).

The L. usitatissimum pinoresinol-lariciresinol reductase (LuPLR1) gene encoding the enzyme responsible for the synthesis of the major enantiomer (+)-secoisolariciresinol is strongly expressed in the seed coats of developing flaxseed, suggesting that SDG is synthesized and accumulated in this tissue (Hano et al., 2006). This is in agreement with enhanced levels of SDG in mechanically obtained coat-enriched fractions of mature seeds (Madhusudhan et al., 2000; Wiesenborn et al., 2003) and with the MALDI mass spectrometry imaging data obtained by Dalisay et al. (2015). RNAi technology applied to down regulate LuPLR1 gene expression in flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) seeds has evidenced the direct in vivo implication of the LuPLR1 gene in the biosynthesis of (+)-SDG (Renouard et al., 2014). LuPLR2, another PLR gene, encoding a protein with an enantiospecificity opposite to LuPLR1 exists in flaxseeds (Hemmati et al., 2010). LuPLR2 is responsible for the synthesis of the minor (−)-secoisolariciresinol enantiomer. It is generally accepted knowledge that lignans accumulate in the seed coats and are absent in embryos.

Figures 1B,C shows the anatomical structure of mature flaxseed coats. It consists of an outer integument with layers of muclaginous cells (MCs), parenchymatous cells (PCs), and sclerified cells (SCs) and a flat layer of compressed cells (CCs), and the inner integument comprising the brown cell (BC) and the endosperm cell (EC) layers. A previous study performed in our lab (BIOPI) using antibodies against secoisolariciresinol, the aglycone of SDG, suggested accumulation of SDG mainly in the SCs of the outer integument of mature flaxseed (Attoumbré et al., 2010). The same method was applied to detect SDG in immature flaxseed at different developmental stages (Attoumbré et al., 2011). MALDI mass spectrometry imaging experiments localized SDG and SDG-HMG in the outer integument of developing and mature flax seeds (Dalisay et al., 2015).

Further localization studies to better understand the site of synthesis and accumulation of SDG at a cellular level are presented here. Knowledge of cell-type specific localization of metabolites in seed coats would shed light on the putative ecological function of lignans, especially of the SDG oligomer complex, in defending the seeds against pathogens and/or their physiological role in seed development and germination. In addition, knowing the biosynthetic capacity of special cells in the seed coat would allow studying regulatory processes involved in individual steps of lignan biosynthesis in these cells. Understanding regulation of the lignan biosynthetic pathway would potentially open the chance to establish biotechnological production of lignans.

Laser microdissection (LMD) has been used to harvest specific tissues or cells from plant materials for transcript and protein analysis (Hölscher and Schneider, 2008; Nelson et al., 2008; Rajhi et al., 2011) and enabled micro-spatial metabolic profiling studies (Schneider and Hölscher, 2007; Nakashima et al., 2008; Hölscher et al., 2009). In this work, LMD was employed to sample the materials from different layers of both developing and mature flaxseed coats. After SDG was released by alkaline hydrolysis, NMR and HPLC methods were applied to identify and quantify SDG in these samples by comparing data with those of the standard compound isolated from flaxseed coats.

The LuPLR1 gene, which is involved in converting (−)-pinoresinol into (−)-lariciresinol and then into (+)-secoisolariciresinol, is expressed in flaxseed coats (Hano et al., 2006). To confirm the results obtained by LMD, flax transgenic plants containing the LuPLR1 gene promoter upstream from the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene (Jefferson et al., 1987) were prepared. The histochemical staining of GUS was used to determine the location of LuPLR1 gene expression in different developmental stage seeds, as well as LuPLR1 transcript was detected in different parts of wild type flaxseed by the reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Moreover, fluorescence spectrophotometry was applied to quantify GUS activities in various parts of the flaxseed to obtain temporal information about LuPLR1 gene expression.

In this article, we describe the cell layer-specific detection of secondary metabolites by using chemical and molecular methods in parallel to elucidate both the spatial distribution of SDG as well as the temporal production of SDG in flaxseed during maturation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wild Type and Transgenic Flaxseed

Seeds of L. usitatissimum cv. Barbara were obtained from the cooperative Terre de Lin (Fontaine le Dun, France) and Laboulet semences (Airaines, France). Flax plants used for LMD were raised in the greenhouse of the Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology in Jena, Germany. The plants were grown in soil under greenhouse conditions (day 22–24°C, night 18–20°C; 30–55% relative humidity; the natural
photoperiod was supplemented with 14 h illumination from Phillips Sun-T Agro 400 Na lights). Bolls were harvested at 25 days after flowering (DAF) in order to obtain immature seeds.

Transgenic flax plants were obtained as described (Renouard et al., 2012). In brief, the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (pGV2260) was used for transformation. The construct contained an 895 bp fragment of the LuPLRI gene promoter.
(accession number AY654626) cloned upstream from the GUSint reporter gene (which contains an intron) into the HindIII-XbaI sites of pGIBin19 plasmid; a transcriptional fusion with the GUSint reporter gene was then created.

Transgenic flax plants and wild type plants were grown in the greenhouse of the Centre de Ressources Régionales en Biologie Moléculaire (CRRBM) of the University of Picardie Jules Verne under the conditions mentioned above. Seeds were harvested at different developmental stages for further gene expression studies: S0 (ca 4 DAF, embryo not visible); S1 (ca 10 DAF, embryo 0.5–1 mm); S2 (ca 16 DAF, embryo 2–3 mm); S3 (ca 22 DAF, embryo 4–5 mm, green seed), S4 (ca 28 DAF, embryo 5 mm, seed coat turning brown), S5 (mature seed ca 40 DAF, embryo 5 mm, brown seed coat).

**Purification of SDG**

Before chemical and molecular methods were used to elucidate the spatial and temporal distribution of the SDG in flax seed coats, an overall phytochemical reinvestigation was undertaken. In order to optimize analytical procedures and to obtain SDG as a reference material, seeds were separated into seed coats and embryos. Powder obtained by grinding mature seed coats (535 mg) was defatted with n-hexane (10 ml, 3 h × 3). The residue was hydrolyzed with 20 ml 20 mM NaOH (50% MeOH and 50% H₂O solution) overnight in a water bath at 60°C; then the solution was centrifuged at 13,200 rpm (Centrifuge 5415R, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), and the supernatant was evaporated. The residual aqueous solution was neutralized with 1% (V/V) acetic acid to pH 7.0, and loaded on a Discovery DSC-18 SPE cartridge (10 g, 60 ml. Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA), which was conditioned with 20 ml MeOH and then equilibrated with 20 ml H₂O before using. The eluate of 40 ml H₂O was discarded and the eluate of 40 ml 75% aqeous MeOH was collected and dried below 40°C in vacuum. The extract was purified on a Merck-Hitachi preparative HPLC system (L-6200A gradient pump, L-4250 UV/Vis detector. Hitachi, Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) using a Purospher RP18e column (5 μm, 250 × 10 mm. Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Flow rate 3.5 ml min⁻¹; UV detection at 280 nm. The following linear gradient of H₂O (solvent A) and MeCN (solvent B) was applied: 0 min: 25% B, 35 min: 40% B, 37 min: 95% B, 42 min: 95% B, and 44 min: 25% B, followed by a 5 min equilibration step. SDG (5.4 mg, Rₖ 19.1 min) was isolated, and its structure was confirmed by comparing NMR data with those in literature (Chimichi et al., 1999).

**Manual Separation of Mature Seeds**

The work flow involved in separating mature seeds and 25 DAF seeds is shown in **Figure 2**. Flaxseed was manually separated under a binocular microscope Stemi DV4 (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Jena, Germany). Mature seeds were cut longitudinally around the equator into two halves and embryos were removed from seeds by using a needle. The seed coats contain two parts, outer and inner integuments, which attach to each other loosely (see Supplementary Figure S1A). It was easy to separate the outer and inner integuments by a very fine forceps and a needle under a microscope (see Supplementary Figure S1B). Material of the MC layer can be picked by a needle under the microscope and separated from the rest of the seed coat (see Supplementary Figure S1C).

**Laser Microdissection**

The basic work flow of LMD has been reported (Moco et al., 2009). The materials (outer integument for a mature seed or a whole developing seed) were fixed vertically in Jung tissue freezing medium (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Nussloch, Germany), and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Serial cryosections (25 μm thickness) were prepared at −24°C using a cryostat microtome (Leica CM1850, Bensheim, Germany) and directly mounted on PET-Membrane FrameSlides (MicroDissect GmbH, Herborn, Germany).

The laser dissections were performed on the Leica LMD 6000 microdissection system (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a nitrogen solid state diode laser of a short pulse duration (355 nm). The settings were as follows: 20× magnification, laser intensity of 90, laser moving speed of 1 (the slowest). The cut materials were collected in the cap of a 0.5 ml centrifuge tube by gravity. The pictures were taken by an integrated camera HV-D20P (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Alkaline Hydrolysis of Separated Samples**

Manually separated samples were transferred into 20 ml glass vials. Then 4 ml 20 mM NaOH (in MeOH/H₂O 1:1) was added. After magnetic stirring at ambient temperature for 3 h, the solutions were neutralized with 1% (V/V) acetic acid. Laser-microdissected samples were transferred into HPLC vials (1.5 ml), 1 ml 20 mM NaOH (in MeOH/H₂O 1:1) was added and the samples were hydrolyzed correspondingly in a water bath at 60°C. The hydrolysis solutions were dried in vacuum < 40°C.

**NMR Analysis of Alkaline Hydrolyzed Samples**

The manually separated samples were extracted with 600 μl MeOH-d₄ (99.96%, Deutero GmbH, Kastellaun, Germany) in an ultrasonic bath for 2 min and filtered into 5 mm diameter NMR tubes. The LMD samples were prepared in the same way but with 90 μl solvent and 2 mm NMR tubes. ¹H NMR and 2D spectra (¹H, ¹H COSY and HSQC) were recorded at 300 K in a Bruker Avance 500 NMR spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm cryogenic TCI probe (Bruker-Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with 1024 scans. The residual HDO signal was suppressed using the PURGE sequence (Simpson and Brown, 2005). The residual signals of MeOH-d₄ at δH 3.31 and δC 49.00 were used as chemical shift references (Gottlieb et al., 1997).

**HPLC Analysis of Alkaline Hydrolyzed Samples**

Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent series HP1100 (binary pump G1312A, autosampler G1367A, diode array detector (DAD) G1315A, 200–700 nm) (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). A LiChrospher RP18 column (5 μm, 250 × 4 mm. Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was used with a linear binary gradient of H₂O (solvent A) and MeCN (solvent
B), both containing 0.1% (V/V) trifluoroacetic acid, with a flow rate of 0.8 ml min$^{-1}$ at 25$^\circ$C as follows: 0 min: 5% B, 35 min: 25% B, 37 min: 95% B, 47 min: 95% B, 50 min: 5% B, and 60 min: 5% B. The injection volume was 5 $\mu$l. The HPLC eluate was monitored by DAD at 280 nm.

**Lignin Analysis**

Entire integuments of mature flaxseed were ground (grinder MM301, Retsch, Germany) and ultrasonicated for 10 min in MeOH / $H_2$O 1:1 to extract the lignan macromolecule. The residue was then submitted to thioacidolysis. Another sample was submitted to thioacidolysis without prior extraction. The monomer composition of the labile ether lignin fraction was determined by thioacidolysis, which specifically disrupts the non-condensed intermonomer linkages (alkyl-aryl ether). The reaction was performed using 10 mg teguments and ethanethiol/BF$_3$ etherate/dioxane reagent as detailed previously (Lapierre et al., 1986). Tetracosane was added as an internal standard. After 4 h, the mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 × 25 ml). Guaiacyl (G) and syringyl (S) thioethylated monomers were determined as their trimethylsilyl derivatives using a gas chromatograph equipped with a fused silica capillary DB1 column (30 m × 0.3 mm) (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) and flame ionization detector. The temperature gradient was 160–280$^\circ$C at 2$^\circ$C min$^{-1}$.

**Manual Dissection of Developing Seeds for Gene Expression Studies**

For GUS activity assays, immature seeds of stages S2, S3, and S4 were cut longitudinally with a scalpel and the embryos were removed with a needle. S0 and S1 early stages (embryo too small) and S5 (mature seeds) were used as a whole. For RT-PCR experiments, S3 developing seeds (wild type) were cut longitudinally on one side with a scalpel; the embryos were removed with a needle, and inner and outer integuments were separated under binocular microscope using fine forceps.

**Quantitative GUS Activity Assay**

β-Glucuronidase activity of immature seeds (whole seeds, manually separated seed coats and embryos) was estimated as described by Renouard et al. (2012) using 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-glucuronide (4-MUG, Sigma) as substrate. Immature seeds of stages S2, S3, and S4 were cut longitudinally with a scalpel and the embryos were removed with a needle, GUS activities of seed coats and embryo were measured separately. Whole seeds were used for earliest stages (S0 and S1, embryo too small), and latest stage S5 (mature seeds).

**Histochemical GUS Assays**

Seeds (harvested from transgenic plants grown in the greenhouse) at different stages of development (S1, S2, S3, and S5) were cut transversally and subjected to histochemical staining for GUS activity as described by Jefferson et al. (1987) and modified (Kosugi et al., 1990) to avoid background that could be due to non-specific endogenous GUS activity (i.e., with 20% MeOH in 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid (X-Gluc) solution). A K$^+$ ferricyanide/ferrocyanide mixture (2 mM of each) was also added to the incubation buffer to prevent the diffusion of the indoxyl derivative before its oxidative dimerization. Semi-thin sections were then obtained as described by Hawkins et al. (2002). Briefly, GUS-stained samples were fixed in

---

**FIGURE 2** | Schematic representation of workflow involved in separating mature and 25 DAF flax seeds. Mature flaxseed was divided into embryos and seed coats; seed coats are further separated into two parts, A and B. A contains ECs and BCs, and B consists of CCs, SCs, PCs and MCs. LMD was applied to cut B into two subparts, B1 and B2, which comprise SCs and CCs, PCs and MCs, respectively. Some material was isolated from MCs as part C. After sample preparation, 25 DAF seed coats were directly dissected into three parts, I (MCs), II (MCs + PCs) and III (ECs–SCs). DAF, days after flowering; LMD, laser microdissection; MC, mucilaginous cell; PC, parenchymatous cell; SC, sclerified cell; CC, compressed cell; BC, brown cell; EC, endosperm cell.
formalin acetic alcohol (FAA) [10% formalin (37% formaldehyde stabilized with MeOH), 5% glacial acetic acid, 60% EtOH] for 24 h, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Semi-thin sections (5 µm) were made on a RM 2145 rotary microtome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), then deparaffinized and counter-stained with periodic acid Schiff to visualize the cell walls in each tissue before permanent mounting and microscopic observation. Pictures were taken with a Nikon Coolpix 5400 digital camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The same experiments were conducted on different stage wild type seeds as negative control.

**RESULTS**

**Manual Separation and Laser Microdissection of the Seed Coat Cell Layers**

First, the embryo was removed from the mature seed and embryo extracts were analyzed by HPLC and NMR, demonstrating the absence of SDG (data not shown). Then the seed coats were manually separated into two parts (see Supplementary Figure S1B), A (inner integument, 10.5 mg) and B (outer integument, 13.0 mg). Part A contains layers of ECs and BCs, and part B comprises four layers, from inner to outer: CCs, SCs, PCs and MCs (Figures 1B,C, 2 and 3A,B). Material from MCs
(1.8 mg) was manually collected from seed coats as part C (see Supplementary Figure S1C). Part B obtained by manual separation was cryosectioned. LMD was used to dissect cell layers of part B into two subparts B1 (CCs + SCs, 380 µg) and B2 (PCs + MCs, 440 µg) (Figures 3E–H). The material includes the supporting polyethylene terephthalate (PET) membrane of the frame slide, which was unavoidably cut together with the cells. LMD turned out to be excellent for dissecting seed coats because of the rigid architecture of their cell walls and relatively low water content. The characteristic cell shape and the autofluorescence of seed coat cells were used to identify the target material without staining.

Immature seeds were harvested at 25 DAF. At that developmental stage, the ultrastructure of seed coats (Figures 3C,D) is not yet fully developed and manually separating cell layers is very difficult. Hence, the entire seed was subjected to cryosectioning. LMD was used to dissect seed coats into three different parts: I (MCs, 200 µg), II (PCs + MCs, 250 µg) and III (from SCs to ECs, 570 µg) (Figures 3I–L). Again, the collected masses contain a portion of the PET slide membrane. The material sampled by LMD was subjected to HPLC and NMR analyses. Some blank membrane pieces with fixed mass were collected and analyzed as control. No clear signals in NMR spectra and peaks in HPLC chromatograms were observed.

**NMR Analysis Results of Alkaline Hydrolyzed Samples**

Secoisolariciresinol diglucoside was released from the separated samples by alkaline hydrolysis. In the $^1$H NMR spectra (Figure 4), SDG is readily determined by the diagnostic SDG signals of the trisubstituted phenyl ring ($\delta_{H-5/5'}$: 6.64; $\delta_{H-2/2'}$: 6.58; $\delta_{H-6/6'}$: 6.56) and the doublet of the proton at the anomeric center of glucose ($\delta_{H-1''/1''''}$: 4.23). Intense signals of SDG appeared in the NMR spectra obtained from extracts of the outer integument (B) of the mature seed coats. However, SDG can hardly be observed in part A (ECs + BCs) and C (MCs). In LMD samples, SDG was the major component of B2 (MCs + PCs), and SDG was not found in B1 (CCs + SCs). In the immature seeds (25 DAF), SDG was detected mostly in part II, which corresponds to MCs + PCs. A trace of SDG was found in part III (from SCs to ECs), and no SDG was observed in part I (MCs).

**HPLC Analysis Results of Alkaline Hydrolyzed Samples**

Secoisolariciresinol diglucoside concentrations in seed coat samples were quantified using HPLC-DAD. The chromatograms (280 nm) of extracts from cells of mature seeds and 25 DAF seeds are shown in Supplementary Figure S2, and the concentration values, which were calculated by using an SDG linearity equation, are listed in Table 1. The HPLC and NMR data are consistent. In mature seed coats, part B contains 3.1% (w/w) SDG, and the concentrations of SDG in parts A and C were clearly below 0.1% (calculated as 0.01% in A and 0.06% in C). SDG was detected only in B2 in a concentration of 3.1%. In 25 DAF seed coats, SDG was mostly found in part II, most of whose material was from developing PCs.

**Quantification of LuPLR1 Gene Expression by β-Glucuronidase (GUS) Activity Assay**

LuPLR1 promoter transcriptional activity was estimated by measuring GUS activity in seeds of transgenic plants (LuPLR1 promoter-GUS reporter gene construct) (Figure 5). Enzyme activity was detectable as early as S0, then increased during phases of seed development to reach a maximum at S3 and finally decreased during the seed maturation phase (S4, S5). Manual separation at stages S2, S3, and S4 revealed that activity was mainly localized in seed coats.

**Cell-Specific LuPLR1 Gene Expression by Histochemical GUS Assay**

Histochemical GUS assay performed on developing seeds at stages S1, S2, S3 and mature seeds revealed strong GUS activities localized in the outer integument (Part B) of S1, S2, and S3 but not in mature seeds. No enzyme activity could be detected in the inner integument (Part A) and the embryo regardless of the developmental stage (Figures 6A–D).
Localization of Secoisolariciresinol Diglucoside (SDG) contents of seed coat cell layers determined by HPLC after alkaline hydrolysis.

| Part     | Cell layer | Separated material amount (mg) | Peak area (mAU) | SDG amount (µg) | SDG concentration (%) |
|----------|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Mature seeds Manual separation | A | EC + BC | 10.50 | 3.64 | 1.6 | <=0.1 |
| B | OC + SC + PC + MC | 13.00 | 1418.22 | 400.0 | 3.1 | |
| C | MC | 1.80 | 1.69 | 1.0 | <0.1 | |
| LMD | B1 | CC + SC | 0.38 | ND | | |
| B2 | PC + MC | 0.44 | 46.11 | 13.5 | 3.1 | |
| 25 DAF seed LMD | I | MC | 0.20 | 0.74 | 0.7 | 0.4 | |
| II | PC + MC | 0.25 | 24.00 | 7.3 | 2.9 | |
| III | EC-SC | 0.57 | 2.98 | 1.4 | 0.2 | |

SDG concentrations were calculated as the percent of SDG amounts in separated material amounts. ND means no detectable peak in chromatogram.

FIGURE 5 | GUS activities from embryo and seed coats in the developing seeds of flax plants stably transformed with an 895-bp LuPLR1 promoter-GUS reporter gene construct are shown. S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 correspond to ca 4, 10, 16, 22, 28, and 40 days after flowering, respectively, and WT represents the activity measured in an S3 wild type whole seed.

Semi-thin sections of seeds preliminary assayed for GUS activity allowed more precise localization of LuPLR1 promoter transcriptional activity. GUS activity was mainly localized in the PCs, no matter what developmental stage was studied (S1, data not shown; S2 and S3) except for the mature seeds, in which no staining could be detected (Figures 6B–F). Weak GUS activity could be detected in the MCs of S2 developing seeds. Staining was also observable in SCs and in CCs from S3 seed coats. No GUS activity was observed in BCs and ECs regardless of the development stage considered. In the seeds of wild type plants, no GUS staining could be observed in any cell layer and at any developmental stage studied (data not shown).

RT-PCR Detection of LuPLR1 Transcript in Wild Type Plants

Since gene expression was highest in S3 (ca 22 DAF) of transgenic seeds and then dropped, this developmental stage was used to detect LuPLR1 transcript by RT-PCR in manually separated outer integument (Part B), inner integument (Part A) and embryo. LuPLR1 transcript was mainly detected in the outer integument of transgenic seeds. The results shown in Figure 7 indicate that LuPLR1 is more expressed in the outer integument as its transcript was intensely detected in this tissue. Only a weak LuPLR1 signal was detected in the inner integument, while no transcripts could be detected in the embryo, confirming the results of quantitative GUS assays.

Lignin Analysis

Chemical characterization and quantification of lignin were performed on material from mature seed coats. Thioacidolysis was used as previously described for flax stem material (Day A. et al., 2005). Before and after extraction with MeOH, no syringyl lignin was found; only guaiacyl lignin was quantified (non-extracted sample: 22.8 ± 4 µmol g⁻¹ sample; MeOH-extracted sample 15.3 ± 1 µmol g⁻¹ sample). Although these values are low, they indicate the occurrence of lignin or lignin-like structures in the flax seed coat. The tri-substituted aromatic rings of the guaiacyl lignin are identical with those of the SDG.

DISCUSSION

SDG Localization in Mature and Immature Flaxseed

The cell layer-specific localization of SDG in flaxseed coats and the temporal progression of SDG production during maturation have been investigated by chemically analyzing microdissected samples and gene expression. As previously reported (Hano et al., 2006; Attoumbré et al., 2010, 2011), and also indicated by our NMR and HPLC analyses, SDG accumulates in seed coats, not in embryos.

Based on the NMR and HPLC analyses of samples from mature seed coats collected by manual separation, a high concentration of SDG (3.1%) was located in the outer integment (part B) but only a trace concentration (0.06%) was found in the layer of mucilaginous cells (MCs, part C). Because the constituents of MCs are pectins (Naran et al., 2008), and no phenolics have been found there before, it seems reasonable to assume that the trace of SDG detected in MCs resulted from contamination by material from the adjacent PC layer displaced during manual separation. In the two subparts divided from B by LMD, SDG was detected only in B2, which contains MCs and PCs, but not in B1, which contains CCs and SCs (Figure 4, Table 1; Supplementary
Figure S2). The concentration of SDG in the inner integument (part A), which was as low as 0.01%, was probably due to contamination, again originating from PCs during manual separation. Therefore, according to chemical analysis, all SDG in mature seeds was thought to locate in the PC layer of the outer integument.

The NMR and HPLC results (Figure 4, Table 1; Supplementary Figure S2) obtained from 25 DAF seeds indicated that the highest level of SDG was found in part II of the seed coats and minor levels were found in parts III and I of the seed coats. Thus, the PC layer is the major location of SDG in developing seeds already; around 78% of the total amount of SDG in 25 DAF seeds was detected in this cell layer. Unlike mature seeds, seeds in the early developing stage do not contain a complete PC layer. The PC layer, which is around 80 µm wide measured in the middle of 25 DAF seeds,
supports the suggestion that structures other than SDGs could be structures in the flax seed coat. Our finding that flax seed our data indicate the occurrence of G lignin or lignin-like rich in guaiacyl moieties (G lignin) (Day A. et al., 2005) and (2013). Versus lignin would be very helpful, notably the use of the KM2 2011). To confirm this hypothesis, the use of specific antibodies cross-react with the antibodies used (Attoumbré et al., 2010, p et al., 2001), may affect the interaction with the antibodies SDG through HMGA to SDG-HMG oligomer complexes (Ford 2010, 2011) the specificity of the antibodies is relative to the other lignans up to 32.8%, the specificity of the antibodies to SDG-HMG complex, as a hapten for immunization. Moreover, considering that cross-reactivity for SDG was 22.1% and for SDG is responsible for the synthesis of the major (+)-secoisolariciresinol enantiomer (87%) while a minor quantity of the (−)-secoisolariciresinol enantiomer (13%) is synthesized by LuPLR2 (Hemmati et al., 2010). The very weak β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity detected in embryos has to be attributed to non-specific GUS that has already been reported in seeds of a number of plants (Hu et al., 1990; Hänisch et al., 1995). GUS activities measured at different developmental stages in the diets and embryos of transgenic flax seeds containing the LuPLR1 gene promoter were in accordance with those of previously published semi-quantitative RT-PCR experiments (Hano et al., 2006), confirming that the SDG biosynthetic pathway is active already at S0 and reaches a maximum during S1, S2, and S3 (Figure 5). RT-PCR employed for the detection of the LuPLR1 transcript in three separated parts (embryos, inner and outer integuments) of S3 seeds from wild type plants indicated that LuPLR1 transcript is mainly detected in the outer integument, which also has been observed in transgenic plants. The weak amplification signal in the inner integument could be attributed to tissue contamination during manual dissection, confirming data of NMR and HPLC analyses. Co-localization of SDG and LuPLR1 expression suggests that glucosylation of (+)-secoisolariciresinol (4 in Figure 1A) also occurs in the PCs, which both synthesize (+)-secoisolariciresinol and accumulate SDG.

Generally, data on histolocalization of LuPLR1 promoter-driven GUS expression at development stages S1 – S3 show that PCs are the major location of SDG synthesis, which LMD / HPLC and NMR data on SDG accumulation in mature and 25 DAF flaxseed also show. Histochemical assay did not detect GUS in mature seeds. This is consistent with data showing that GUS activity is very weak in S5 flaxseed, suggesting that SDG synthesis is completed before maturity.

GUS activity observed in some MCs at S2, and in some SCs and CCs at S3, suggesting that SDG in layers of non-PCs is due to the delocalization of PC material during cryosectioning and mounting. Otherwise, GUS would be detected in all MCs, SCs or CCs. The literature offers several other possible explanations of visible GUS staining in partial SCs and CCs is filled with liquid-like material. This could explain how a small amount of SDG is found in part I, because the material in PCs may easily delocalize during cryosectioning or the preparation of the slides, and LMD. Material diffusion may also cause the boundary between SCs and PCs to become indistinct, another reason may be SDG detected in part III of 25 DAF seeds.

This detection of high levels of SDG in PCs is slightly contradictory with a previous study performed in our lab (BIOPI). An innovative immunolocalization approach was developed to detect SDG in flaxseed (Attoumbré et al., 2010) and tended to show that lignans were mainly accumulated in the secondary cell walls of SCs. As suggested by Dalisay et al. (2015), it is likely that the reported immunoreaction is not very specific to lignans including the SDG-HMG oligomer complex. In fact, this study used polyclonal antibodies which were developed using secoisolariciresinol (which occurs in flaxseed coats in very low levels only), not SDG nor the SDG-HMG complex, as a hapten for immunization. Moreover, considering that cross-reactivity for SDG was 22.1% and for other lignans up to 32.8%, the specificity of the antibodies to SDG used was relatively low. As pointed out in Attoumbré et al. (2010, 2011) the specificity of the antibodies is relative to the substituent at the aromatic ring and at C-8 /C-8′ of the lignan side chains. Therefore, the ester-type bond, which connects SDG through HMGA to SDG-HMG oligomer complexes (Ford et al., 2001), may affect the interaction with the antibodies and monolignols (coniferyl alcohol, p-coumaryl alcohol) may cross-react with the antibodies used (Attoumbré et al., 2010, 2011). To confirm this hypothesis, the use of specific antibodies versus lignin would be very helpful, notably the use of the KM2 antibodies specific of 8-8′ linkage developed in Kiyoto et al. (2013).

Flax lignin has been reported as highly condensed and rich in guaiacyl moieties (G lignin) (Day A. et al., 2005) and our data indicate the occurrence of G lignin or lignin-like structures in the flax seed coat. Our finding that flax seed coats contain guaiacyl units but no syringyl units in the lignin supports the suggestion that structures other than SDGs could be responsible for the positive reaction of antibodies raised against SDG reported (Attoumbré et al., 2010). Another explanation for the positive immunolabelling in SCs (Attoumbré et al., 2010) could be that the PLR product (aglycone SECO) might have been synthesized but not converted to a diglucosylated and complexed form (SDG-HMG); from this form, it could be incorporated in the cell wall in a post-lignification “infusion” process.

Another point to be mentioned is the poor solubility of SDG and SDG oligomers in water (Zhang et al., 2009) which may result in leakage from PCs to their neighbor cells, i.e., SCs during the ethanol dehydration steps applied by Attoumbré et al. (2010); meanwhile, SDG may stick to the lignified SC walls as these are more hydrophobic than the walls of PCs.

Location of SDG Biosynthesis

Two enzymatic assays (quantitative and histochemical) combined with semi-quantitative RT-PCR measurements confirmed that LuPLR1 is expressed mainly in the seed coats. LuPLR1 is responsible for the synthesis of the major (+)-secoisolariciresinol enantiomer (87%) while a minor quantity of the (−)-secoisolariciresinol enantiomer (13%) is synthesized by LuPLR2 (Hemmati et al., 2010). The very weak β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity detected in embryos has to be attributed to non-specific GUS that has already been reported in seeds of a number of plants (Hu et al., 1990; Hänisch et al., 1995). GUS activities measured at different developmental stages in the coats and embryos of transgenic flax seeds containing the LuPLR1 gene promoter were in accordance with those of previously published semi-quantitative RT-PCR experiments (Hano et al., 2006), confirming that the SDG biosynthetic pathway is active already at S0 and reaches a maximum during S1, S2, and S3 (Figure 5). RT-PCR employed for the detection of the LuPLR1 transcript in three separated parts (embryos, inner and outer integuments) of S3 seeds from wild type plants indicated that LuPLR1 transcript is mainly detected in the outer integument, which also has been observed in transgenic plants. The weak amplification signal in the inner integument could be attributed to tissue contamination during manual dissection, confirming data of NMR and HPLC analyses. Co-localization of SDG and LuPLR1 expression suggests that glucosylation of (+)-secoisolariciresinol (4 in Figure 1A) also occurs in the PCs, which both synthesize (+)-secoisolariciresinol and accumulate SDG.

Generally, data on histolocalization of LuPLR1 promoter-driven GUS expression at development stages S1 – S3 show that PCs are the major location of SDG synthesis, which LMD / HPLC and NMR data on SDG accumulation in mature and 25 DAF flaxseed also show. Histochemical assay did not detect GUS in mature seeds. This is consistent with data showing that GUS activity is very weak in S5 flaxseed, suggesting that SDG synthesis is completed before maturity.

GUS activity observed in some MCs at S2, and in some SCs and CCs at S3, suggesting that SDG in layers of non-PCs is due to the delocalization of PC material during cryosectioning and mounting. Otherwise, GUS would be detected in all MCs, SCs or CCs. The literature offers several other possible explanations of visible GUS staining in partial SCs and CCs.
at S3 of seed development as the result of LuPLR1 promoter transcriptional activity. Expression of LuPLR1 in SCs could be related to the fact that these cells are undergoing lignification. It has been proven using immunolocalization and in situ hybridization techniques in Forsythia intermedia, that lignan biosynthetic genes such as dirigent proteins and PLR genes are expressed in xylem and other lignifying tissues (Burlat et al., 2001; Kwon et al., 2001). In flax, stem cells display a contrasting cell wall structure with a lignified inner tissue and a hypopolignified outer stem including bast fiber which accumulate substantial amount of (neo)lignan glucosides. This differential allocation of (neo)lignans and lignin into these tissues was associated with different gene expression patterns and interestingly three PLR-like genes were associated with the progressive formation of xylem tissue and extensive lignification of the cell wall (Huis et al., 2012). In Arabidopsis, AtPRR1, a LuPLR1 ortholog encoding for a pinoresinol reductase, is co-expressed with genes involve in secondary cell wall biosynthesis and its gene promoter activity was increased by SND1 and MYB46, two important master regulators of secondary cell wall formation (Zhao et al., 2015). Moreover it has been demonstrated that the overexpression of two Pinus taeda MYB transcription factors (PtMYB1 and PtMYB8), controlling the gene expression of monolignol biosynthetic genes, results in the upregulation of two PLR-like encoding genes in Picea glauca (Bomal et al., 2008). Lignans such as pinosylvin can also be found in a methanol-soluble oligolignol fraction of lignifying xylem (Morreel et al., 2004) or in differentiating tracheary elements (Tokunaga et al., 2005) and are therefore able to be incorporated into the lignin polymer. In some Pinaceae species, lignans (8-8’ linked) and neo-lignans (8-5’ linked) are known to be infused in the heartwood after lignification, increasing its resistance and durability (Gang et al., 1999). The hydrophilic knotwood extracts of different coniferous species have been also shown to contain mainly lignans, among which larciresinol, secoisolariciresinol or matairesinol can be found in variable amounts according to the species (Willförr et al., 2003).

Applications of LMD in Plant Metabolite Studies

The conventional histological methods to study the spatial distribution of metabolites in microscopic plant samples make use of in situ labeling and (or) staining techniques. Due to the structural analogy among metabolites of many plants, the specificity of histological method is relative low. Recent developments in mass spectrometry (Svatoš, 2011) and Raman imaging (Freudiger et al., 2008) are promising tool in metabolic profiling. However, these techniques mostly focus on metabolites on sample surfaces and do not allow detection of three-dimensional distribution of metabolites within the tissue. NMR, though representing the most informative analytical method and being able to provide data on three-dimensional spatial distribution, is of limited suitability to identify metabolites directly from plant samples, due to its moderate sensitivity. In order to take advantage of its superior properties for metabolic profiling, NMR has to be combined with appropriate sampling methods. LMD was used for sampling plant material for DNA, RNA and protein analyses (Kehr, 2001, 2003; Day R.C. et al., 2005; Nelson et al., 2006, 2008) and to dissect plant material for the analysis of both primary metabolites (Angeles et al., 2006; Obel et al., 2009; Thiel et al., 2009; Schiebold et al., 2011) and secondary metabolites (Kajiyama et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007; Schneider and Hölscher, 2007; Hölscher et al., 2009; Abbott et al., 2010). As presented here, LMD combined to NMR and HPLC, allows for the quantitative spatio-temporal analysis of metabolites in plant cells. Future advances in LMD, such as improvement in target cell-recognizing software, multi-target sampling, hyphenation with sensitive detectors, together with NMR sensitivity enhancement, will enable more efficient cell-specific identification and quantification of metabolites in plant tissue.

CONCLUSION

Laser microdissection was applied for the first time in combination with chemical treatment, and two analytical methods, NMR and HPLC, to elaborate the spatio-temporal location of metabolites in plants on the cellular level. This work also shows that LMD is able to provide material sufficient for multiple analytical manipulations. The study constitutes a technical advance for the better understanding of the regulation of SDG biosynthetic pathway in flaxseed. Not only it allowed cell layer-specific location and time course analysis of SDG synthesis but also could be a powerful tool for future transcriptomic studies (Hölscher and Schneider, 2008; Nelson et al., 2008; Matas et al., 2011; Olofsson et al., 2012), the isolation of transcription factors controlling SDG synthesis in the PC layer being a great challenge.

The ecological role of flax lignans in the developing and mature seed still awaits to be identified. However, the location of the SDG polymer complex in the parenchymatic cells just below the external MC layer suggests chemical defense against insects and microorganisms. An additional physiological function of lignans in seed development or germination is possible. It is also plausible that the SCs, supplementary to their role in providing the flaxseed scaffold, represent a mechanical defense barrier against invading organisms. Further studies are required in order to reveal the benefit to the flax plant of producing and accumulating lignans in the seed coat.
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