Exploring the genetic architecture of feed efficiency traits in chickens
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Chicken feed efficiency (FE) traits are the most important economic traits in broiler production. Several studies evaluating genetic factors affecting food consumption in chickens are available. However, most of these studies identified genomic regions containing putative quantitative trait loci (QTL) for each trait separately. It is still a challenge to find common gene networks related to these traits. Therefore, here, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) was conducted to explore candidate genomic regions responsible for Feed Intake (FI), Body Weight Gain (BWG) and Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) traits and their gene networks. A total of 1430 broilers from an experimental population was genotyped with the high density Affymetrix 600K SNP array. A total of 119 associated SNPs located in 20 chromosomes were identified, where some of them were common in more than one FE trait. In addition, novel genomic regions were prospected considering the SNPs dominance effects and sex interaction, identifying putative candidate genes only when these effects were fit in the model. Relevant candidate genes such as ATRNL1, PIK3C2A, PTPRN2, SORCS3 and gga-mir-1759 were highlighted in this study helping to elucidate the genomic architecture of feed efficiency traits. These results provide new insights on the mechanisms underlying the consumption and utilization of food in chickens.

A major concern in current animal production is to increase productivity to meet the growing demands for animal protein in a more sustainable and efficient way, minimizing their impact on the environment and natural resources. An effective way to address this challenge is to improve the animal performance in terms of food consumption and its better use. Furthermore, improving grow-finish feed efficiency will reduce production cost and increase profitability. Thus, feed efficiency (FE) is one of the most important selection criteria implemented in breeding programs, playing a decisive role in the economic benefit of animal meat production. The FE depends on the relation between input—feed intake (FI) and output—growth or body weight gain (BWG) of an animal, and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) is widely used to measure it. Broiler chickens are the most efficient among the farm animals in terms of FE and body weight gain (BWG). Over the last few years, the broilers BWG has increased by 30.2 g per year at the same time that the FCR has reduced annually by 0.036%, contributing to an increase of 167% in poultry meat production, responsible for 35% of the total meat production in the last 30 years. All this success is due to the efforts of the poultry industry and breeding programs directed to meet market demand.

Understanding the genetic mechanisms underlying quantitative traits can be useful for defining priors for variances in genomic selection and for identifying candidate genes for marker assisted or gene based selection. In the last 20 years, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping studies have identified several chromosome regions that influence the phenotypic variability for many economically important traits in chicken. Several approaches such as linkage analyses, candidate gene association and gene expression studies have been performed to elucidate the genetic background underlying complex traits. For FI, FCR and BWG, 260 QTL have been mapped on various chromosomes. However, FE traits have a complex biology and are mediated by a large number of genes acting at the molecular level as signaling molecules, thus traditional QTL mapping studies and candidate gene approaches are insufficient to detect all the genetic mechanisms underlying their variation.
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In the last decade, the development of chicken SNP panels provided a powerful tool for genome-wide association studies (GWAS) allowing to detect small associated chromosomal regions, providing more precise estimates of the allele effects at the associated loci in farm animals. To date, GWAS has been widely used to map an increasing number of QTL, SNPs and candidate regions associated with FI, BWG, and FCR in beef cattle19,20 and swine8,21. In chickens, several GWAS have revealed many genes and genomic regions associated with production11,14,22–24, morphological traits13,25, aggressive behavior10, disease resistance26, and even with the intestinal microbial interaction27. Despite advances in knowledge of regions of interest in the chicken genome, few GWAS has been carried out to evaluate the genetic architecture of FE traits, and most of them ignore dominance, epistasis and sex interactions effects. Taken these effects into account may allow the identification of new candidate genes related to FE traits.

Therefore, this study aimed to identify new chromosomal regions influencing FE traits in a paternal broiler line through genome-wide scale analyses using the high density 600K SNP array. A single-marker GWAS was performed to identify genomic regions and candidate genes with additive, additive + dominance, dominance and sex interaction effects associated with FE traits comprised by FCR, FI, and BWG from 35 to 41 days of age.

### Results

#### Descriptive statistics and heritability estimates.

Descriptive statistics and heritability estimates. In the present study, records from approximately 1300 chickens from the broiler population studied were available for the three evaluated traits, with the following means from 35 to 41 days of age: 2.27 for FCR, 1091 g for FI and 489.3 g for BWG (Table 1). Males differed significantly from females for all evaluated FE traits, having higher FI and BWG and better FCR than females, as expected in broiler chickens (Table 1). The heritability estimates for all the evaluated traits were low, indicating a large variation due to the environment or the non-additive effects of the genes (Table 2). The genetic correlation (\( r_g \)) between FCR and BWG was high, negative and favorable. The FI was not correlated with FCR and the \( r_g \) between FI and BWG was not accurate (Table 2). Therefore, when selecting for heavier chickens, those have also a better feed conversion. The phenotypic correlation (\( r_p \)) between FI and BWG was positive, indicating a linear association between both traits, as well as the \( r_p \) between BWG and FCR, which also had a favorable linear association (Table 2).

#### Genome-wide association analysis.

In the quality control, 70,552 SNPs failed in the call rate, 121,199 failed the MAF criteria and 13,434 were excluded based on the HWE test. The 15,305 SNPs located in the sex chromosome Z were included in the analysis, as well as the 4791 SNPs with undefined positions, which were assigned as located in chromosome 0. From the 1430 genotyped samples, 22 were removed in the quality control. The parental generation, which was used for pedigree checking, and the offspring without records for the evaluated traits were also removed from further analysis. Hence, after the quality control, a total of 375,776 SNPs and approximately 1300 samples remained for the final association analysis (Supplementary Table S1). The QQ plots and their respective inflation factors (lambda) are shown in Supplementary Fig. S2.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of feed conversion ratio (FCR), feed intake (FI) and body weight gain (BWG) from 35 to 41 days of age for the broiler population used in this study. N number of records. CV coefficient of variation. a–b Means in same row with different letters are significantly different (\( p < 0.001 \)).

| Trait | N | Mean (SD) | CV% | Min | Max |
|-------|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| FCR   | 1264 | 2.27 (0.38) | 16.74 | 1.42 | 3.62 |
| FI (g) | 1296 | 1091 (147.18) | 13.49 | 544 | 1590 |
| BWG (g) | 1293 | 489.30 (105.01) | 21.46 | 128 | 802 |

**Table 2.** Heritability estimates (bold, diagonal), genetic correlations (above diagonal) and phenotypic correlations (below diagonal) for feed conversion ratio (FCR), feed intake (FI) and body weight gain (BWG). Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Trait | FCR | FI | BWG |
|-------|-----|----|-----|
| FCR   | 0.181 (0.054) | 0.249 (0.240) | –0.797 (0.094) |
| FI    | 0.023 (0.031) | 0.130 (0.047) | 0.360 (0.025) |
| BWG   | –0.790 (0.022) | 0.562 (0.021) | 0.133 (0.048) |
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In the present study, records from approximately 1300 chickens from the broiler population studied were available for the three evaluated traits, with the following means from 35 to 41 days of age: 2.27 for FCR, 1091 g for FI and 489.3 g for BWG (Table 1). Males differed significantly from females for all evaluated FE traits, having higher FI and BWG and better FCR than females, as expected in broiler chickens (Table 1). The heritability estimates for all the evaluated traits were low, indicating a large variation due to the environment or the non-additive effects of the genes (Table 2). The genetic correlation (\( r_g \)) between FCR and BWG was high, negative and favorable. The FI was not correlated with FCR and the \( r_g \) between FI and BWG was not accurate (Table 2). Therefore, when selecting for heavier chickens, those have also a better feed conversion. The phenotypic correlation (\( r_p \)) between FI and BWG was positive, indicating a linear association between both traits, as well as the \( r_p \) between BWG and FCR, which also had a favorable linear association (Table 2).
in the model (Table 3). The 119 associated SNPs revealed 105 putative candidate genes regulating the FE traits (Supplementary Table S2).

**Feed intake (FI).** We observed a total of 47 SNPs associated with FI in 13 different chromosomes with the different SNP effects fit in the model (Fig. 1a–e, Supplementary Table S2). Of those, 4 were significantly associated at 5% genome-wide, 9 SNPs had moderate association and 44 SNPs had suggestive association, some of them were associated with more than one SNP effect fit in the model. Using the A + D SNP effect in the model, two significant SNPs, the rs14845471 and rs15384208, were identified in GGA 1 (Fig. 1c). The SNP rs14845471 is located near the Zinc Finger Protein 384 ($\texttt{ZNF384}$) gene. When the SNP additive (A) effect was fit within each sex separately (A_sex) in the model, one significant SNP was identified in GGA 7 (rs317066057) (Fig. 1b) and with the SNP dominance (D) effect fit in the model, another SNP was detected in GGA 14 (rs14069483) (Fig. 1e).

| Trait | SNP effect | SNP ID | GGA | Position (bp) | P value |
|-------|------------|--------|-----|---------------|---------|
| BWG   | A          | rs316558717 | 5   | 38,947,151    | 5.51e−06 |
| BWG   | A          | rs14992480  | 13  | 3,320,197     | 6.86e−06 |
| BWG   | A_sex      | rs317588919 | 6   | 28,493,301    | 7.20e−07 |
| BWG   | A + D      | rs318194294 | 2   | 62,758,807    | 9.74e−06 |
| BWG   | A + D_sex  | rs312928242 | 12  | 8,058,572     | 5.02e−06 |
| FCR   | A          | rs13853250  | 1   | 38,041,526    | 5.63e−06 |
| FCR   | A          | rs314384897 | 6   | 29,216,961    | 9.29e−06 |
| FCR   | A          | rs159365253 | 8   | 26,495,993    | 8.54e−06 |
| FCR   | A          | rs314893988 | 8   | 25,324,177    | 8.69e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs318126183 | 4   | 46,851,357    | 1.16e−07 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs316219360 | 1   | 195,411,003   | 5.89e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs316420587 | 1   | 194,786,435   | 7.07e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs14513380  | 5   | 9,756,115     | 5.8e−06  |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs14727166  | 11  | 3,384,475     | 4.86e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs312879906 | 2   | 76,462,592    | 2.08e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs313066651 | 4   | 5,995,991     | 4.61e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs13642049  | 4   | 5,496,189     | 5.77e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs15936573  | 8   | 26,507,284    | 7.19e−06 |
| FCR   | A + D      | rs313312956 | 12  | 8,077,730     | 7.29e−06 |
| FCR   | D          | rs318126183 | 4   | 46,851,357    | 6.04e−08 |
| FCR   | D          | rs314727166 | 11  | 3,384,475     | 1.35e−06 |
| FCR   | D          | rs314487130 | 1   | 194,771,508   | 5.27e−06 |
| FCR   | D          | rs316219360 | 1   | 195,411,003   | 6.28e−06 |
| FCR   | D          | rs4325026   | 3   | 21,827,815    | 9.55e−06 |
| FCR   | D          | rs314377290 | 4   | 35,113,081    | 6.98e−06 |
| FI    | A          | rs313589731 | 1   | 27,315,930    | 8.50e−06 |
| FI    | A          | rs12763768  | 1   | 102,001,483   | 9.50e−06 |
| FI    | A          | rs166682850 | 7   | 11,676,924    | 8.46e−06 |
| FI    | A          | rs317640967 | 21  | 5,762,426     | 7.63e−06 |
| FI    | A_sex      | rs317066057 | 7   | 16,224,389    | 7.16e−07 |
| FI    | A_sex      | rs317640967 | 21  | 5,762,426     | 7.08e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs14845471  | 1   | 77,114,645    | 1.20e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs15384208  | 1   | 110,917,887   | 1.63e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs14846017  | 1   | 77,666,765    | 2.19e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs315107540 | 1   | 112,553,707   | 4.22e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs313589731 | 1   | 27,315,930    | 8.5e−06  |
| FI    | A + D      | rs312763768 | 1   | 102,001,483   | 9.50e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | r14069483   | 14  | 1,426,739     | 3.28e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs14846017  | 1   | 77,666,765    | 6.16e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs317066057 | 7   | 16,224,389    | 5.94e−06 |
| FI    | A + D      | rs314874196 | 21  | 362,229       | 9.20e−06 |
| FI    | D          | rs14069483  | 14  | 1,426,739     | 5.11e−07 |

Table 3. SNPs with 5% genome-wide significance (in bold) and with moderate association with body weight gain (BWG), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and feed intake (FI) traits identified with different SNP effects fit in the model.
Many identified SNPs were unique to each different SNP effect fit in the model, while others were identified with more than one SNP effect fit in the model. The results are summarized in Fig. 1f. Perhaps unexpectedly, we found very few SNPs associated with FI, which had their effects influenced by the sex of the chicken. Out of a total of 4 significantly associated SNPs, only 1 seemed to be caused by SNP × sex interaction captured when the A_sex SNP effect was fit in the model (Fig. 1b). From the 9 SNPs with moderate association, 4 were influenced by sex (Table 3, Fig. 1b,d).

A total of 35 known genes and 14 uncharacterized genes were found associated with FI (Supplementary Table S2). The Fig. 2a–f shows the main gene ontologies and networks. Two microRNAs (miRNA) were also identified associated with FI, gga-mir-6672 and gga-mir-1641. The genes identified in the functional analysis are mainly related to the activity of peptidases such as Cysteine and channel regulation activity (Fig. 2a), and are involved in biological processes related to forebrain development and neuron differentiation (Fig. 2d).

**Body weight gain (BWG).** For BWG, a significantly associated SNP, 4 SNPs with moderate association and 19 SNPs with suggestive association were found in 16 chromosomes with the different SNP effects fit in the model (Fig. 3a–e; Supplementary Table S2). Only one significantly associated SNP (rs317588919) was identified using the A_sex SNP effect and it is located in GGA 6 near the Attractin Like 1 (ATRNL1) candidate gene (Fig. 3b). Also, the model considering the SNP effect fit separately in each sex, A_sex and A + D_sex, revealed several SNPs with suggestive association with BWG on the sex chromosome Z (Fig. 3b,d). The number of common and specific SNPs identified with each different SNP effect fit in the model is shown in Fig. 3f.

The gga-mir-1759 miRNA was identified as associated with BWG using the A + D_sex SNP effect in the model. Near the SNPs associated with BWG, 25 positional candidate genes were found, of which 4 were also associated with FI: the Calmodulin Binding Transcription Activator 1 (CAMTA1), ENSGALG00000029785, ENSGALG00000033533 and ZNF384 genes (Fig. 2e). The CAMTA1 gene was enriched in the biological process of neuromuscular process controlling balance, heterocycle metabolic process and positive regulation of transcription (Fig. 2d). The major molecular functions enriched with the BWG genes are involved with proteolytic functions (Fig. 2b).
Feed conversion ratio (FCR). In the present study, 2 SNPs significantly associated, 16 SNPs with moderate association (Table 3) and 42 suggestively associated with FCR were found in 17 chromosomes when the
different SNP effects were fit in the model (Supplementary Table S2 and Fig. 4a–e). The rs318126183 was the most significant SNP for FCR identified with the A + D (p = 1.16e−07) and D (p = 6.04e−08) SNP effects fit in the model, having a moderate association with the A + D_sex SNP effect (p = 2.24e−05). This SNP is located next to the uncharacterized gene ENSGALG00000011221 (Supplementary Table S2). For FCR, the main enriched molecular functions for the candidate genes identified were related to the regulator activity of channels and phosphotransferase (Fig. 2c). Two miRNAs, gga-mir-1730 and gga-mir-1744, were associated with FCR trait. The genes identified were enriched in 6 biological processes involved with morphogenesis of an epithelium and neuron cellular homeostasis (Fig. 2d). The phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 3-kinase C2 domain-containing alpha polypeptide (PIK3C2A) gene that was associated with this trait appears to interact with several other genes identified as regulating FCR and FI in the gene network analysis (Fig. 2f).

Discussion

In this study, the heritability estimates for all the FE traits were low. This could possibly be due to the short period that the records were measured. Individual FCR is a difficult and expensive trait to measure in poultry breeding programs, because it needs the evaluation of individual records of feed intake in addition to body weight gain. Therefore, a short evaluated period is commonly used comprising the pre-slaughtered period. Nevertheless, these traits remain as important selection criterion in breeding programs because feed costs represent about 70% of the total cost of the broiler production. According to some authors28,29, in broilers, information on FCR is abundant possibly due to the producers’ direct association of costs and profits to the amount of feed. In the current study, the lowest heritability estimate found was for FI (0.13 ± 0.047), which was lower than that reported by Gaya et al.29 (0.20 ± 0.03). The heritability estimate for BWG (0.133 ± 0.048) was similar to those reported by Pakdel et al.30 (0.16 ± 0.05). Furthermore, the heritability estimate of FCR (0.18 ± 0.056) was similar to that reported by Gaya et al.29 (0.16 ± 0.03). Cruz et al.32 also observed low magnitude of the heritability estimates of FCR (0.09 ± 0.04), BWG (0.10 ± 0.04) and FI (0.18 ± 0.05) from 35 to 41 days of age when evaluating these and other performance and carcass traits in the same paternal broiler line used in the present study. Analyzing the genomic heritability of an F2 Chicken Resource...
Population, Moreira et al. observed lower estimates (from 0.0002 ± 0.0002 to 0.07 ± 0.0143) than those found in the current study for FCR, BWG, and FI.

Feed efficiency is a complex trait affected by feed and growth factors. FCR, defined as the amount of feed consumed per unit of weight gain, is a trait composed by the initial and final body weights and FI. Based on the heritability and genetic correlation estimates, selection for low FCR will improve FE with an expected correlated response in increased BWG. The positive genetic correlation between FI and BWG indicates that fast growing broilers have been consuming increased amount of feed due to their greater appetite, as also shown by Aggrey et al. comparing residual feed intake and BWG.

The genetic correlation found for FI and FCR, considering the period from 35 to 41 days, were different than those found for these traits by other authors, but similar to that found by Cruz et al. (0.39 ± 0.23). These differences may be due to the nature of the pleiotropic relationship between FI and FCR, which may be dependent on age. Consequently, the molecular, physiological and nutritional factors that control FI and FCR may also depend on the time of development. As with other studies, the correlations of both FI and FCR cannot be predicted accurately because of the inherent problem of FCR being a ratio trait.

The poultry geneticists have used GWAS extensively as a powerful tool for elucidating the genetic mechanisms that determine FE. In recent years, several studies have identified different QTLs controlling traits in chickens such as FI, FCR, and Body Weight or Weight Gain. However, many of the differences between screened loci that putatively influence FE are breed-, age-, or breeding area-specific. In our study, new regions and candidate genes not yet described were identified, demonstrating the complexity and the large number of biological pathways involved in the regulation of these traits. In addition, most of the studies used approaches to identify only the additive genetic variation, due to their applicability in breeding programs, forgetting the other forms of gene interaction that regulate these traits, such as dominance and sex interaction effects which are addressed in our study. According to Hayes et al., when non-additive effects are considered in the model, predictions could be improved, because the genetic architecture of traits contributes to the accuracy of models. With the emergence of new technologies such as gene editing, the identification of genes with non-additive genetic influence on the regulation of FE traits may now have an application in animal breeding.
programs of the future. To our knowledge, the study presented here is the first GWAS exploring different genetic effects in feed efficiency traits in chickens with the 600K SNP array.

In the present study, several SNPs with dominance effect related to FI, BWG and FCR were identified. Among these markers, two had significant dominance effects for FCR (Fig. 4e), and one showed significant dominance effect for FI (Fig. 4e), while the rest of the SNPs had only moderate suggestive association. Although this number is low, these results show convincing evidence that dominance has an important role in the genetic control of FE traits. Our results also suggest that additive effects contribute more to feed efficiency genetic variation than do dominance effects and are in agreement with other studies. Li et al. showed that additive model and the combined additive and dominance models produced the majority of significant SNPs in feed-related traits. Recently, Darwish et al. demonstrated for eggshell blueness chickens that the contribution of genotypic effects of some SNPs were further divided into additive and dominant effects depending on the trait.

Our results have shown that additive or additive + dominance effects of several SNPs were influenced by the sex of the chicken, highlighting their importance in FE traits, mainly for BWG and FCR (Figs. 3f, 4f). When the SNP effects were fit separately in each sex, novel genomic regions were prospected. Moreover, putative candidate genes, such as the Sortilin Related VPS10 Domain Containing Receptor 3 (SORCS3), were identified only when this effect was taken into account. The SORCS3 gene encodes a type-I receptor transmembrane protein that is expressed in neurons of the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus and regulate biological processes such as control energy balance and orexigenic peptide production. A study in mice showed that combined deficiency of SORCS3 and SORCS1 may result in a state of chronic energy excess.

Several biological processes related to the development and functioning of the brain were enriched with the candidate genes identified in the GWAS for these traits (Fig. 2d). For FCR, genes involved with Neuron cellular homeostasis (GO:00070050) were observed, while genes that act on the Forebrain development (GO:0030900) and Neuron differentiation (GO:0030182) were identified for FI. One of the genes enriched for the Neuron differentiation is the Trafficking Protein Particle Complex 9 (TRAPP9) that may function in neuronal cell differentiation and has been associated with diseases related to Intellectual Disability-Obesity-Brain in humans. It is already known that FI and energy metabolism regulate the energy balance and expenditure, as well as the hormone secretion, where the brain has the important role of inducing and maintaining the homeostasis.

An interesting candidate gene revealed from the GWAS for FCR was the PIK3C2A. This gene showed several potential interactions with other genes associated with FCR and FI (Fig. 2f) and seems to be an important regulator of biological processes common to these traits. The protein encoded by this gene acts in signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation, cell survival, cell migration, intracellular protein trafficking and has an important function in insulin signaling and secretion. PIK3C2A is involved with insulin secretion, which is regulated by the insulin receptor and it also participates in the exocytosis of insulin granules. In birds, the regulation of circulating levels of insulin and its involvement with the adipose tissue deposition is not completely understood, and it is still not known if this regulation is similar as it is in mammals. However, it was observed that fed or feed-deprived chickens with lesions of the ventromedial hypothalamus present elevated circulating insulin levels, which could lead to the development of metabolic obesity.

Another study found that the PIK3C2A gene affects the pathway of leptin hormone. The leptin gene was recently identified in chickens, and it is responsible for informing to the hypothalamus that appetite should be inhibited. Alliouachene et al. observed that when PIK3C2A gene was inactivated, male mice showed early onset of leptin resistance and also a defect in leptin signaling in the hypothalamus leading to a mild, age-dependent obesity. In chickens, the gga-mir-1759, an important gene involved in triglyceride synthesis and adipocyte differentiation, has previously been described as a potential regulator of the feed efficiency traits, mainly for BWG and FCR (Figs. 3f, 4f). When the SNP effects were fit separately in each sex, novel genomic regions were prospected. Moreover, putative candidate genes, such as the Sortilin Related VPS10 Domain Containing Receptor 3 (SORCS3), were identified only when this effect was taken into account. The SORCS3 gene encodes a type-I receptor transmembrane protein that is expressed in neurons of the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus and regulate biological processes such as control energy balance and orexigenic peptide production. A study in mice showed that combined deficiency of SORCS3 and SORCS1 may result in a state of chronic energy excess.

Several biological processes related to the development and functioning of the brain were enriched with the candidate genes identified in the GWAS for these traits (Fig. 2d). For FCR, genes involved with Neuron cellular homeostasis (GO:00070050) were observed, while genes that act on the Forebrain development (GO:0030900) and Neuron differentiation (GO:0030182) were identified for FI. One of the genes enriched for the Neuron differentiation is the Trafficking Protein Particle Complex 9 (TRAPP9) that may function in neuronal cell differentiation and has been associated with diseases related to Intellectual Disability-Obesity-Brain in humans. It is already known that FI and energy metabolism regulate the energy balance and expenditure, as well as the hormone secretion, where the brain has the important role of inducing and maintaining the homeostasis.

An interesting candidate gene revealed from the GWAS for FCR was the PIK3C2A. This gene showed several potential interactions with other genes associated with FCR and FI (Fig. 2f) and seems to be an important regulator of biological processes common to these traits. The protein encoded by this gene acts in signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation, cell survival, cell migration, intracellular protein trafficking and has an important function in insulin signaling and secretion. PIK3C2A is involved with insulin secretion, which is regulated by the insulin receptor and it also participates in the exocytosis of insulin granules. In birds, the regulation of circulating levels of insulin and its involvement with the adipose tissue deposition is not completely understood, and it is still not known if this regulation is similar as it is in mammals. However, it was observed that fed or feed-deprived chickens with lesions of the ventromedial hypothalamus present elevated circulating insulin levels, which could lead to the development of metabolic obesity.

Another study found that the PIK3C2A gene affects the pathway of leptin hormone. The leptin gene was recently identified in chickens, and it is responsible for informing to the hypothalamus that appetite should be inhibited. Alliouachene et al. observed that when PIK3C2A gene was inactivated, male mice showed early onset of leptin resistance and also a defect in leptin signaling in the hypothalamus leading to a mild, age-dependent obesity. In chickens, the gga-mir-1759, an important gene involved in triglyceride synthesis and adipocyte differentiation, has previously been described as a potential regulator of the feed efficiency traits, mainly for BWG and FCR (Figs. 3f, 4f). When the SNP effects were fit separately in each sex, novel genomic regions were prospected. Moreover, putative candidate genes, such as the Sortilin Related VPS10 Domain Containing Receptor 3 (SORCS3), were identified only when this effect was taken into account. The SORCS3 gene encodes a type-I receptor transmembrane protein that is expressed in neurons of the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus and regulate biological processes such as control energy balance and orexigenic peptide production. A study in mice showed that combined deficiency of SORCS3 and SORCS1 may result in a state of chronic energy excess.

Several biological processes related to the development and functioning of the brain were enriched with the candidate genes identified in the GWAS for these traits (Fig. 2d). For FCR, genes involved with Neuron cellular homeostasis (GO:00070050) were observed, while genes that act on the Forebrain development (GO:0030900) and Neuron differentiation (GO:0030182) were identified for FI. One of the genes enriched for the Neuron differentiation is the Trafficking Protein Particle Complex 9 (TRAPP9) that may function in neuronal cell differentiation and has been associated with diseases related to Intellectual Disability-Obesity-Brain in humans. It is already known that FI and energy metabolism regulate the energy balance and expenditure, as well as the hormone secretion, where the brain has the important role of inducing and maintaining the homeostasis.
the regulation of food intake and nutrient metabolism. The interrelationship processes between FI and energy homeostasis affect the complex biology of the FCR and consequently the BWG. These signals are integrated by peripheral nerves and brain centers, such as hypothalamus and brain stem, and regulate central neuropeptides modulating feeding and energy expenditure. Our results suggest that non-additive effects are important to the genetic architecture of FE. Dominance effects play a relevant role, mainly for BWG and FCR (Figs. 3f, 4f). The understanding of the genetic interaction of these FE traits brings a new vision of their genetic regulation and the involved biological pathways, making it possible to develop new approaches for breeding programs in the future through the selection of the most relevant target genes.

In summary, this study provides novel insights into the genetics of feed efficiency traits, revealing possible genetic architecture and potential biological pathways interaction between FI, BWG and FCR traits in chickens. A total of 33 genomic regions in 13 chromosomes, containing 41 candidate genes, were found associated with FE traits at 5% significant and moderate genome-wide levels. Key positional candidate genes have been found: ATRNL1, PIK3C2A, PTPRN2, SORCS3 and gga-mir-1759.

The results also suggest pleiotropic effects of these QTL and contribute to our understanding of the genetic basis of FI, BWG and FCR traits in chickens. Therefore, this study offers important knowledge of the potential candidate genes to improve the accuracy of early selection of birds with best feed efficiency traits in the future.

Material and methods

Chicken population and phenotype measurement. The chickens used in this study were from an experimental population (TT), generated from the expansion of a paternal broiler pure line TT, developed for genomics studies by the Embrapa Swine and Poultry National Research Center. For the expansion of this line, 20 males were mated with 92 unrelated females (1:5) to generate approximately 1500 chicks from five incubations, resulting in approximately half of each sex. A complete pedigree with 18 generations from 1991 to 2008, containing a total of 2139 individuals was used. The chicks were identified with metallic rings at birth for pedigree control and raised in collective boxes until 35 days of age according to the procedures for commercial broilers. For the evaluation of individual FE traits, chickens were transferred to individual feed cages, where they remained from 35 to 41 days of age. The chickens had free access to water and a corn and soybean meal-based diet throughout the experimental period. Broiler diet containing 3150 kcal/kg of metabolizable energy (ME) and 21% of crude protein (CP) was provided from 1 to 21 days, 3200 kcal/kg ME and 20% CP from 22 to 35 days, and 3200 kcal/kg ME and 18.5% CP from 36 to 41 days of age. Previous genomic studies have been performed in this population, and more details can be found in Marchesi et al.

Recorded phenotypic data were individual start and final weights (BW35 and BW41), total FI, and total BWG from 35 to 41 days of age. The FCR was calculated as the ratio of FI and BWG. The removal of discrepant values and the descriptive statistics of the phenotype file were conducted in the R software.

DNA extraction, genotyping and quality control. The extraction of the genomic DNA was performed using PureLink Genomic DNA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) kit. The extracted DNA was quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and diluted to a concentration of 10 ng/μL.

Genomic DNA samples from 1430 chickens (652 males and 778 females), of which 113 (20 sires and 93 dams) are the progenitors (born in 2007) and the remaining are the offspring (born in 2008), were genotyped with the 600K Affymetrix Axiom high-density (HD) chicken genotyping array in the "Centro de Genômica Funcional, ESALQ," University of São Paulo, Brazil. The genotypes were initially read and edited using the Axiom Analysis Suite from Affymetrix with a DishQC removal value of 0.82. In this study, the data quality control was performed using PLINK v1.07 software, excluding SNPs with call rate below 98%, with significant deviations (p < 10^-6) from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, and with minor allele frequencies (MAF) lower than 2%. Samples that presented call rates lower than 90% were also excluded. The classical multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis was used to detect population structure in PLINK v1.07 software.

Genetic and phenotypic parameter estimates. The environmental effects considered in the genetic analysis model were studied through the least square method. The group effect (sex and hatch) and the linear effect of the covariate body weight at 35 days of age (BW35) were significant (P < 0.05) regarding the traits related to FE from 35 to 41 days of age.

Genetic parameter estimates for the traits studied were performed using the restricted maximum likelihood method (REML) in a multivariate animal model using the WOMBAT software described by Meyer. The animal model proposed for the multitrait analysis was:

\[ y = Xb + Za + e \]  

where y is the vector of the dependent variable; X is the incidence matrix for fixed effects, correlating elements from b and y; b is the fixed effects vector containing the groups of animals from the same incubations (1 to 5) and sex (1 or 2), and the linear covariate BW35; Z is the incidence matrix for the direct genetic random effect, correlating elements from a and y; a is the random effect vector for the direct additive genetic effect, and e is the random residual effects vector. Both a and e are assumed to be normal distributed with mean zero and variance \( \sigma_a^2 \) and \( \sigma_e^2 \), respectively. The \( \sigma_e^2 \) is the additive genetic and \( \sigma_e^2 \) is the error variances, A is the numerator relationship matrix constructed with the pedigree information and I is an identity matrix with order equals to the number of observations.
**Genome-wide association analysis.** The linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNP pairs with a maximum distance of 5 Mb were measured by r² values for the TT population for each chromosome using PLINK v1.07 software⁵².

Genome-wide association analysis using single marker information was performed for FI, BWG, and FCR using the Qxpak 5.0 software⁵⁹, which employs a maximum likelihood approach to test the association between SNP and trait, performed one SNP at a time. Qxpak allows both the additive and dominance effects of the SNP to be fitted in the model. Thus, the GWAS was carried out according to the analysis procedure described by Bolormaa et al.⁶⁰ and Li et al.³⁸, based on the following linear mixed model:

\[ y = Xb + w_1 \alpha_i + w_2 \beta_i + Za + e \]  

(2)

where \( y \) is the vector of phenotypic records, \( X \) is a design matrix, \( b \) is a vector of fixed effects consisting of sex, hatch and the covariate BW35, \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are vectors containing the additive (−1, 0, 1) and dominance (0, 1, 0) genotype codes of each animal at the \( i \)th SNP, respectively, and \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \) are the scalar regression coefficients for the additive and dominance effects, respectively, \( Z \) is an identity matrix, \( a \) is a vector of random animal additive (polygenic) effects, and \( e \) is a vector of random residual effects. The assumptions for this model were the same as previously described for model 1.

Three separate analyses were performed for each of the 375,776 SNPs: (I) the additive effect of the SNP was fit in the model while the fixed dominance effect \( w_2 \beta_i \) was dropped from the model; (II) the additive and dominance effects of the SNPs were fit in the model and both \( w_1 \alpha_i \) and \( w_2 \beta_i \) were retained in the model; and (III) the additive and dominance effects of the SNPs were fit as previously described in (II), but here the significance of the dominance effect was tested after fitting the additive effect.

The hypothesis tests were performed comparing the full model (with the SNP effect as alternative hypothesis) with the reduced model (with no SNP effect as null hypothesis) when the additive and the additive + dominance effects of the SNPs were fit in the model. In addition, we also used the SNP additive effect as reduced model to test for the dominance effect when fitting the additive + dominance effects in the model. Qxpak⁵⁹ computes the likelihood for each SNP and retains the one with the maximum likelihood. The difference in likelihoods between the complete and the reduced model were tested using likelihood ratio test (LRT) with 1 degree of freedom (df) if only the additive effect was fit or 2 df if the dominant effect was also included in the model.

To describe sex differences in the genetic effects and in the amount of variance explained by each SNP, the additive and the additive + dominance effects of the SNPs were fit within each sex separately in model (2), and were denoted as \( A \_sex \) and \( A + D \_sex \). To test the hypothesis of SNP × sex interaction, a reduced model with no SNP effect was compared to the previously mentioned full model.

Since Bonferroni correction is highly conservative due to the high LD in genetic data, it may produce too stringent a threshold and many false negative results. Therefore, we calculated the number of effectively independent SNPs using the—indeppairwise parameter in the PLINK v1.07 software⁵⁸ with a window size of 25 SNPs, a step of 5 SNPs, and an r² threshold of 0.2, resulting in 24,959 independent tests. Therefore, the threshold for the Bonferroni 5% genome-wide significance was a P-value of 2 × 10⁻⁶ (0.05/24,959). We also used the P-value of 1 × 10⁻⁵ for a moderate association as recommended by the Wellcome Trust Case and Control Consortium (WTCCC)⁶¹ and a suggestive association with P-value of 4 × 10⁻⁵ (1/24,959). Manhattan plots and quantile–quantile plots (QQ-plot) were created using the R software⁵⁸. Inflation factor was also calculated using R.

**Gene identification and enrichment analysis.** The SNP positions were updated according to the newest release from Ensembl annotation of the Gallus gallus 5.0 genome version. From the LD data analysis, the SNPs with the lowest p-value in each region in LD (r² ≥ 0.3) with other significant SNPs were identified using the Perl homemade script. The identification of the genes near to significant SNPs was obtained using the Ensembl tool Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) and the UCSC genome browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/). The classification of genes according to its biological function, identification of metabolic pathways and enrichment of genes was performed using DAVID v. 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/tools.jsp), and statistically significant results were considered when false discovery rate (FDR) was lower than 0.20. A gene network of predicted functional proteins was constructed using the STRING 10.0 software⁶².

**Ethics statement.** The protocols and the use of animals for this research were approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA) from the Embrapa Swine and Poultry National Research Center under the protocol # 011/2010.

**Data availability**
The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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