Association between Air Quality and Sedentary Time in 3270 Chinese Adults: Application of a Novel Technology for Posture Determination
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Abstract: This study investigated the association between ambient air quality and sedentary time in Chinese adults. The participants were 3270 Chinese users (2021 men and 1249 women) of wrist-worn activity trackers. The data of participants’ daily activities were collected from July 2015 to October 2015. A novel algorithm based on raw accelerometer data was employed to determine sedentary time. Personal data, including sex, age, weight and height, were self-reported by the participants. Data of air quality, ambient temperature and weather were collected from the data released by the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre and the China Central Meteorological Observatory and matched in accordance with the Global Positioning System and time information. Multilevel regression analyses were conducted to investigate the association between air quality and sedentary time and adjusted for gender, age, region, body mass index, weather, temperature, weekday/weekend and monitored wake time per day. Better air quality index levels and lower concentrations of fine particulate matter were significantly associated with approximately 20 and 45 min reduction in sedentary time, respectively. Poor air quality appears to be an independent factor associated with prolonged sedentary time in Chinese adults.
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1. Introduction

Sedentary behaviour is defined as any waking behaviour with a sitting, reclining or lying posture that requires an energy expenditure $\leq 1.5$ metabolic equivalents [1]. It is a distinct concept from physical inactivity, which refers to an insufficient physical activity level to meet the present physical activity recommendations [1]. Sufficient moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) means that an individual is not physically inactive but cannot guarantee the individual from accumulating a large amount of sedentary time. Several studies have reported that the negative health consequences of prolonged sedentary time may include obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (e.g., coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction and stroke) [2–4]. These consequences are suggested to be independent of those attributable to the lack of physical activity [5–7]. Moreover, strong evidence suggests that people who engage in high amounts of sedentary behaviour can be at increased risk of mortality regardless of their level of MVPA [6,8,9]. Although a study based on 155,054 Chinese adults showed that 81.3% of the men and 88.5% of the women were physically inactive [10], few studies have reported the sedentary time of Chinese adults. Therefore, given the limited data on sedentary behaviour in Chinese adults and the usually negative health consequences of sedentariness, investigations of sedentary time in China should be made, and correlates should be clarified to help design, tailor and evaluate sedentary behaviour interventions.
A socio-ecological model is widely applied in the conceptualisation of factors influencing sedentary behaviour [11–13]. This socio-ecological approach assumes that multiple levels of influence, including intrapersonal, interpersonal, organisational, environmental and policy, exist, thus emphasising the interrelationships between individuals and the social, physical and policy environments [13]. A large number of factors in the model, particularly individual correlates, have been verified by numerous empirical studies [12,14]. In terms of physical environmental factors, type of residence [15,16], density [17] and proximity [18] of green spaces; neighbourhood walkability [19,20]; and season [21] have been reported to be related to sedentary behaviour. To date, however, the associations between other physical environmental factors such as air quality with sedentary behaviour have not yet been sufficiently investigated.

Although air quality has generally improved in China, the current situation of air pollution in the country is still a cause for concern. In 2016, the annual mean concentration of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) was 47 µg/m$^3$ [22], which is 14.8% higher than the Chinese primary standard (40 µg/m$^3$) [23] and 213.33% higher than the American secondary standard (15 µg/m$^3$) [24]. Although the detrimental impact of air pollution on human health has been universally proven [25,26], knowledge about its effect on health behaviour is limited.

Several studies have investigated the association between air pollution and physical inactivity. These studies have shown that poor air quality may lead to decreased physical activity. Using data from the Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), Wen et al. examined the relationship between air quality index (AQI) media alerts and changes in outdoor activities amongst people with asthma and showed that media alerts may be associated with self-reported decreases in outdoor activities [27]. Two other cross-sectional studies based on data from the BRFSS indicated that increased levels of air pollution are related to reduced leisure-time physical activity amongst American adults [28,29]. This result was confirmed by a retrospective study in the United States, in which ambient PM$_{2.5}$ air pollution was observed to be associated with a modest but measurable increase in the leisure-time physical inactivity of individuals [30]. Similar findings amongst Chinese adults have been made. By analysing the data collected from 153 users of an exercise app and the AQI during the same period, Hu et al. concluded that people’s participation in outdoor exercise was impeded by air pollution severity [31]. Cohort studies of university retirees [32] and university students [33] living in Beijing, China, also revealed the same conclusions.

Despite the abundant literature concerning the relationship between air quality and decreased physical activity, we only found one empirical study involving the association between air quality and sedentary behaviour. In a population-based mail survey of 1332 Australian adults, researchers examined the associations between physical activity and sedentary behaviour with multiple individual-level and environmental-level variables; one of their findings was that air pollution was significantly associated with increased sedentary time [34].

Considerable attention has been paid on the measurement of sedentary behaviour. Subjective methods, including self-report or proxy-report questionnaire, are widely applied in studies of sedentary behaviour; however, the validity and reliability of these responses are subject to recall error and social desirability bias [35]. For objective methods, accelerometers can be used to estimate the total time and breaks of sedentary behaviour [36], which are based on movement detection but are traditionally considered to be poorly able to distinguish amongst different postures, therefore misestimating the real sedentary time [37]. Accelerometers are also typically hip-worn devices, which leads to lower wear compliance than wrist-worn devices [38]. Sedentary Sphere, a novel algorithm, was developed to analyse data from wrist-worn triaxial accelerometers and determine postures, leading to better compliance in large samples [39]. By using the method, the direction of the activity monitor and the wrist position could be determined based on the gravitational component of the acceleration data. In brief, posture is determined according to the angle of arm elevation and the intensity of activities. For instance, if arm elevation is higher than 15° below the horizontal position and the intensity of activity is light or moderate, the data indicate a seated or reclining position and could
be identified as sedentary behaviours. This method was found to be valid in measuring sedentary time [40]. Moreover, as Sedentary Sphere is mainly based on the direction of the gravity component rather than the magnitude of accelerations, it could be applied to estimate sedentary time with different brands of wrist-worn accelerometers in different studies [41]. In addition, the cost of commercial activity monitors with Sedentary Sphere is lower than that of professional monitors, such as activPAL, therefore allowing a relatively larger sample size.

In the present study, a popular brand of activity tracker named Bong II (which is a light, convenient and wrist-worn triaxial accelerometer with Sedentary Sphere algorithm) was used to determine whether the sedentary time of Chinese adults is associated with ambient air quality. We hypothesised that people would spend more sedentary time in days with bad air quality than in days with good air quality.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

In this study, researchers contacted several manufacturers of wrist-worn accelerometers, introduced the research plan and sought for research cooperation. Hangzhou Gongke Technology Co., Ltd., the manufacturer of the Bong II accelerometer, agreed to participate. With the assistance of the manufacturer, an informed consent form that introduced the objective and content of the research as well as the benefits of participating in the study was sent to approximately 20,000 customers via the Internet. The participants would receive a personal sedentary behaviour report for free. Only users who endorsed the informed consent form were recruited to this study. The data were collected from the Bong II activity monitors and transmitted to the company via the Internet. Personal information, including age, sex, height and weight, was self-reported on the App of Bong II by participants when they first used the product. Researchers were unable to access the data until privacy information (name, address, telephone number, email, etc.) of participants was deleted.

A total of 4604 Chinese users of Bong II were recruited. The data of participants’ daily activities were collected from July 2015 to October 2015. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) participants aged 18 years or older; (2) wearing time ≥ 18 h/day; (3) wear duration ≥ 4 consecutive days; and (4) data of Global Positioning System (GPS) and air quality are available. The data of 3270 participants were finally included in the analysis. Figure 1 shows the number of participants in each province. The abbreviated names of provinces are in accordance to the authority file released by the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of China [42]. The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of College of Education at Zhejiang University.

Figure 1. Distribution of participants.
2.2. Measurement of Sedentary Behaviour

The sedentary time was measured using Bong II. It is 14 g in weight, 4 cm in length, 2 cm in width and 0.9 cm in thickness; it has an adjustable wristband and will not interfere with the participants’ activities. The product is waterproof, and the participants were encouraged to wear it on the nondominant wrist for 24 h a day. The price of Bong II is approximately 20 dollars.

The monitor has a triaxial accelerometer with a sampling frequency of 100 Hz and an output data rate of 1 to 15 s epoch based on the type of activities. The Bong software 2.0 was used to convert the raw 100 Hz files to 15 s epoch files containing x, y and z vectors (mean acceleration over the epoch, retaining the gravity vector) and vector magnitude values (summed over the epoch, corrected for gravity).

The algorithm applied in this study came from Sedentary Sphere, the efficacy of which has been proven valid and reliable for the classification of posture from a wrist-worn triaxial accelerometer in adults [39,41]. The details of the method were mentioned in previous studies [39,41]. Briefly, Sedentary Sphere follows a principle based on arm elevation to identify postures. If the data plotted on Sedentary Sphere in latitudes of elevation are greater than 15° below the horizontal position, this finding indicates that the wrist is elevated. Meanwhile, if the vector magnitude of the acceleration data suggests low intensity of activities, then a sitting or reclining posture can be identified. Meanwhile, if the data plotted on Sedentary Sphere in latitudes of elevation are less than 15° below the horizontal position during low physical activity level, then a standing position is indicated.

The 15 s epoch files were imported into the custom-built Excel spreadsheet developed by Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit [43], enabling the calculation of the most likely posture with the data of wrist triaxial accelerometers. The validity of measuring sedentary time in free-living adults by a wrist-worn triaxial accelerometer with Sedentary Sphere has been confirmed in several studies given the consistency of its results with those of activPAL, a small lightweight triaxial accelerometer generally considered to be the ‘gold standard’ in identifying sedentary behaviour [39–41,44,45]. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was reported to be 0.80 (95% confidence interval, 0.68–0.88) [40].

In the preliminary research on the reliability and validity of the activity tracker, 32 undergraduate students (18 males and 14 females) were required to wear the activity tracker on their nondominant wrist and activPAL on their right thigh for seven consecutive days in free-living settings, and the ICC between the sedentary time measured by the monitor and activPAL was 0.78 (95% confidence interval, 0.68–0.86) with a mean bias of +18 min. In a laboratory setting, the participants performed a series of required activities, and the accuracy of the wrist-worn activity tracker in classifying lying, sitting and upright activities was 89%. The sensitivity and specificity were 91.8% and 86.3%, respectively.

2.3. Air Quality

Daily data of air quality were collected from the data released by the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre and matched in accordance with GPS and time information recorded by the activity tracker. Data of air quality include the daily AQI used by the Chinese government [23], the 24 h average concentrations of particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters less than 10 and 2.5 µm (PM_{10} and PM_{2.5}) and the daily maximum 8 h average concentrations of ozone (O_3).

The AQI was initially divided into six categories according to the recommendation of the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China: excellent (AQI = 0–50), good (AQI = 51–100), lightly polluted (AQI = 101–150), moderately polluted (AQI = 151–200), heavily polluted (AQI = 201–300) and severely polluted (AQI > 300). However, the three most-polluted categories were combined into one because of the paucity of heavily polluted and severely polluted days. Therefore, in this analysis, the AQI was finally divided into four categories as follows: excellent (AQI = 0–50), good (AQI = 51–100), lightly polluted (AQI = 101–150) and moderately and heavily polluted (AQI > 150). Concentrations of air pollutants were also divided into four categories in accordance with the AQI.
2.4. Covariates

Age was divided into four categories (18–29, 30–39, 40–49 and above 50). Height and weight were used to determine body mass index (BMI) levels.

Given the evidence that air pollution differs between weekends and weekdays [46] and sedentariness may also differ in a similar pattern [47], a weekday/weekend classification was listed in the potential covariates. The region is also a possible covariate. Thus, provinces were divided into the north and south by a most widely accepted boundary in China, which is intensively applied in studies investigating the regional differences [48,49].

Daily data of ambient temperature and weather were obtained from the China Central Meteorological Observatory and matched in accordance with GPS and time information. The temperature was categorised into quartiles. Weathers were divided into sunny, cloudy and overcast and rainy days.

As variations in wearing time of the activity tracker and wake time are likely to cause differences in recorded sedentary time, monitored wake time was added as a covariate in the analyses.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Two-level linear regression analyses were conducted to investigate the association of air quality and sedentary time. The records of each participant in each day were nested within individuals. Between days, the association between air quality and sedentary behaviour was anticipated to exhibit temporal autocorrelation. Thus, the repeated covariance type was set as first-order autoregressive structure. We used restricted maximum likelihood estimation of variance components.

Firstly, a null model was conducted to determine the necessity of multilevel analysis. Secondly, we investigated the unadjusted and adjusted associations between sedentary time and individual factors, including age, gender, BMI and region, and other potential covariates, such as weather, temperature and weekday/weekend classification. Finally, both unadjusted and adjusted associations between sedentary time and air quality were investigated. The full model included all variables mentioned above. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics v20.0 with a significance level of \( p = 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Sedentary Time and Potential Covariates

The descriptive characteristics of 3270 included participants are shown in Table 1. A total of 2021 males and 1249 females were included in this study. The mean age was 30.54 years with a range from 18 years to 86 years. The majority of participants had a normal weight. The mean monitored duration was 11.43 days, longer than the required monitored time in most previous studies [50–53]. A person-day means a monitored day of a participant, and a total of 37,361 person-days were recorded, which is the sum of the numbers of monitored days of all participants. The mean sedentary time in males was 585.56 min/day, slightly higher than 562.65 min/day in females.

| Age group (%) | Total | Male | Female | \( t/\chi^2 \) | \( p \) |
|---------------|-------|------|--------|-------------|-------|
| 18–29         | 1763  | 1089 | 674    | 4.86        | 0.182 |
| 30–39         | 1171  | 723  | 448    |             |       |
| 40–49         | 247   | 145  | 102    |             |       |
| Above 50      | 89    | 64   | 25     |             |       |

Table 1. Descriptive information of the study participants.
Table 1. Cont.

| BMI level (%) | Total | Male | Female | t/χ² | p |
|---------------|-------|------|--------|------|---|
| Underweight   | 757 (23.1) | 376 (18.6) | 381 (30.5) | 104.04 *** | 0.000 |
| Normal        | 2175 (66.5) | 1386 (68.6) | 789 (63.2) | 14.84 *** | 0.000 |
| Overweight    | 327 (10.0) | 258 (12.8) | 69 (5.5) | -6.73 (11.27) | -28.83, 15.37 | 0.550 |
| Obese         | 11 (0.3) | 1 (0.0) | 10 (0.8) | -60.02 (33.78) | -126.27, 6.22 | 0.076 |

Sedentary time/day, minute; mean (SD) 576.89 (146.93) 585.56 (149.22) 562.65 (141.94) 14.84 *** 0.000

*** p < 0.001.

The mean sedentary time per day was 585.56 min (standard deviation (SD): 149.22) in men and 562.65 min (SD: 141.94) in women based on the data collected by the activity tracker. Chinese adults spent nearly 10 h per day on sedentary behaviour. Table 2 shows the associations between sedentary time and potential covariates. The results show that significant association exists between gender and sedentary time (min/day). In the adjusted models, women spent approximately 25 min lesser sedentary time than men (p = 0.000). Weather is also significantly associated with sedentary time. On rainy days, sedentary time was 6.73 min longer than sunny days and 5.84 min longer than cloudy and overcast days (p = 0.003 and 0.002, respectively). Moreover, sedentary time was 47.43 min longer in weekdays compared with weekends (p = 0.000).

Table 2. Associations between sedentary time (minutes) and potential covariates.

| Unadjusted | Adjusted ¹ |
|------------|------------|
|            | Estimate (SE) | 95% CI | p | Estimate (SE) | 95% CI | p |
| Age        |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| 18–29      | 12.51 (9.88) | -6.86, 31.89 | 0.205 | 9.76 (10.81) | -11.45, 30.96 | 0.367 |
| 30–39      | 4.04 (10.00) | -15.57, 23.64 | 0.686 | 0.54 (10.91) | -20.87, 21.94 | 0.961 |
| ≥50 (ref)  | -6.73 (11.27) | -28.83, 15.37 | 0.550 | -7.40 (12.32) | -31.58, 16.77 | 0.548 |
| Gender     |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| Male (ref) | -21.90 (3.29) *** | -28.35, -15.45 | 0.000 | -24.69 (3.71) *** | -31.96, -17.43 | 0.000 |
| Female     | -6.73 (11.27) | -28.83, 15.37 | 0.550 | -7.40 (12.32) | -31.58, 16.77 | 0.548 |
| BMI        |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| Underweight| -13.36 (28.67) | -69.57, 42.85 | 0.641 | -53.87 (33.49) | -119.53, 11.79 | 0.108 |
| Normal     | -12.95 (28.54) | -68.92, 43.00 | 0.650 | -60.57 (33.36) | -125.99, 4.85 | 0.070 |
| Overweight | -11.19 (28.93) | -67.91, 45.53 | 0.699 | -60.02 (33.78) | -126.27, 6.22 | 0.076 |
| Obese (ref)| -6.73 (11.27) | -28.83, 15.37 | 0.550 | -7.40 (12.32) | -31.58, 16.77 | 0.548 |
| Region     |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| North      | -5.77 (3.50) | -12.63, 1.10 | 1.00 | -6.51 (4.12) | -14.60, 1.58 | 0.115 |
| South (ref)| -             | -       | -0.000 | -6.73 (2.28) ** | -11.24, -2.23 | 0.003 |
| Weather    |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| Sunny      | -8.04 (2.30) *** | -12.55, -3.52 | 0.000 | -6.73 (2.28) ** | -11.24, -2.23 | 0.003 |
| Cloudy and overcast Rain (ref)| -3.67 (1.89) | -7.39, 0.05 | 0.053 | -5.84 (1.88) ** | -9.53, -2.16 | 0.002 |
| Weekday/weekend |             |       |    |               |       |   |
| Weekdays   | 48.57 (1.44) *** | 45.74, 51.39 | 0.000 | 47.43 (1.69) *** | 44.12, 50.74 | 0.000 |
| Weekends (ref)| -            | -       | -0.000 | -6.73 (2.28) ** | -11.24, -2.23 | 0.003 |
| Temperature|             |       |    |               |       |   |
| <20        | 2.95 (3.18) | -3.27, 9.18 | 0.353 | -0.57 (3.49) | -7.42, 6.28 | 0.870 |
| 20–22.1    | 10.51 (2.76) *** | 5.09, 15.92 | 0.000 | 5.54 (3.06) | -0.47, 11.55 | 0.071 |
| 22.1–25    | 6.12 (2.51) * | 1.20, 11.05 | 0.015 | 4.13 (2.76) | -1.29, 9.54 | 0.135 |
| ≥25 (ref)  | -             | -       | -0.000 | -6.73 (2.28) ** | -11.24, -2.23 | 0.003 |

¹ The adjusted model included all seven variables. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

3.2. Air Quality and Sedentary Time

The ambient air quality during monitored days is presented in Table 3. PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10} and O\textsubscript{3} are the major air pollutants in China. The mean concentrations of PM\textsubscript{2.5} at 24 h, PM\textsubscript{10} at 24 h, and O\textsubscript{3} at 8–24 h are 38.69, 64.02 and 124.43 $\mu$g/m\(^3\), which are higher than the national primary limits (10.54%, 28.04% and 24.43%, respectively) [23]. In the majority of the monitored days, the air quality was excellent (31.3%) and good (51.1%). However, several of the monitored days were still lightly polluted (16.5%) and moderately and heavily polluted (1.2%).
Table 3. Descriptive statistics of air quality 1.

| AQI Categories, Days (%) | Days (%) |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Excellent (AQI = 0–50)   | 11,680 (31.3) |
| Good (AQI = 50–100)     | 19,088 (51.1) |
| Lightly polluted (AQI = 100–150) | 6155 (16.5) |
| Moderately and heavily polluted (AQI > 150) | 438 (1.2) |

| PM$_{2.5}$ Levels, Days (%) | Days (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 0–35 µg/m$^3$              | 18,594 (49.8) |
| 35–75 µg/m$^3$             | 16,485 (44.1) |
| 75–115 µg/m$^3$            | 2112 (5.7) |
| >115 µg/m$^3$              | 170 (0.5) |

| PM$_{10}$ Levels, Days (%) | Days (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 0–50 µg/m$^3$               | 13,087 (35.0) |
| 50–150 µg/m$^3$             | 23,630 (63.2) |
| 150–250 µg/m$^3$            | 626 (1.7) |
| >250 µg/m$^3$               | 18 (0.0) |

| O$_3$ Levels, Days (%)      | Days (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 0–160 µg/m$^3$              | 23,960 (64.1) |
| 160–200 µg/m$^3$            | 7716 (20.7) |
| 200–300 µg/m$^3$            | 5423 (14.5) |
| >300 µg/m$^3$               | 262 (0.7) |

1 The classification of AQI and concentrations of air pollutants are according to the Ambient Air Quality Standards in China.

Table 4 reports both unadjusted and adjusted associations between sedentary time and air quality. The results indicate a significant association between sedentary time and air quality. In days with excellent, good and lightly polluted air quality, people spent approximately 20 min lesser sedentary time than in moderately and heavily polluted days (Table 4). The sedentary time in days when the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ is above 115 µg/m$^3$ was approximately 45 min longer than in other days with lower concentration of PM$_{2.5}$.

Table 4. Associations between air quality and sedentary time (minutes).

|                      | Unadjusted |                  |                  | Adjusted 1 |                  |                  |
|----------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
|                      | Estimate (SE) | 95% CI           | p                | Estimate (SE) | 95% CI           | p                |
| AQI                  |             |                  |                  |            |                  |                  |
| Excellent            | −12.21 (6.56) | −25.08, 0.65     | 0.063            | −19.20 (8.63) * | −34.91, −3.49    | 0.017            |
| Good                 | −17.44 (6.51) ** | −30.20, −4.69    | 0.007            | −22.92 (7.94) ** | −38.48, −7.35    | 0.004            |
| Lightly polluted     | −9.10 (6.48)  | −21.79, 3.60     | 0.160            | −21.28 (7.91) ** | −36.78, −5.78    | 0.007            |
| Moderately and heavily polluted (ref) | - | - | - | - | - |
| PM$_{2.5}$            |             |                  |                  |            |                  |                  |
| 0–35 µg/m$^3$        | −36.80 (10.64) *** | −57.65, −15.94   | 0.001            | −45.35 (12.21) *** | −69.29, −21.41   | 0.000            |
| 35–75 µg/m$^3$       | −36.77 (10.63) *** | −57.61, −15.93   | 0.001            | −45.74 (12.19) *** | −69.64, −21.84   | 0.000            |
| 75–115 µg/m$^3$      | −33.13 (10.80) ** | −54.29, −11.96   | 0.002            | −45.54 (12.35) *** | −69.74, −21.33   | 0.000            |
| >115 µg/m$^3$ (ref)  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PM$_{10}$            |             |                  |                  |            |                  |                  |
| 0–50 µg/m$^3$        | −32.81 (32.87)  | −97.24, 31.62    | 0.318            | −32.59 (32.60)  | −96.49, 31.31    | 0.318            |
| 50–150 µg/m$^3$      | −36.31 (32.85)  | −100.68, 28.07   | 0.269            | −35.65 (32.57)  | −99.48, 28.18    | 0.274            |
| 150–250 µg/m$^3$     | −26.47 (32.92)  | −90.99, 38.06    | 0.421            | −31.07 (32.66)  | −95.08, 32.94    | 0.341            |
| >250 µg/m$^3$ (ref)  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| O$_3$                |             |                  |                  |            |                  |                  |
| 0–160 µg/m$^3$       | −3.74 (8.25)   | −19.83, 12.34    | 0.648            | −6.17 (10.57)   | −26.89, 14.55    | 0.560            |
| 160–200 µg/m$^3$     | −2.68 (8.30)   | −18.94, 13.58    | 0.747            | −6.14 (10.63)   | −26.98, 14.69    | 0.563            |
| 200–300 µg/m$^3$     | 3.93 (8.16)    | −12.05, 19.92    | 0.629            | −5.53 (10.50)   | −26.11, 15.04    | 0.598            |
| >300 µg/m$^3$ (ref)  | - | - | - | - | - | - |

1 Adjusted for gender, weather, temperature, weekday/weekend and monitored wake time per day. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.
4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to investigate the association between air quality and sedentary time in Chinese adults, providing evidence for future environmental risk assessment and health behaviour intervention. A wrist-worn activity tracker with an algorithm modified from Sedentary Sphere was utilised to monitor sedentary time. Multilevel analyses were conducted with adjustment for individual factors, temperature, weather and weekday/weekend classification.

The results indicated that air quality was independently associated with sedentary time in Chinese adults. This finding corresponds to several previous studies to some extent. For example, Hu et al. reported that people’s participation in outdoor exercise decreases as air pollution severity increases [31], and Salmon et al. determined that air pollution was significantly associated with an increase in sedentary time [34]. This result also supported the assumption of the socio-ecological model that air quality is an important physical environmental correlate of sedentary behaviour [11]. There are several possible explanations for this finding. Directly, air pollutant inhalation would impair vascular and lung function and decrease exercise performance [54,55], leading to less active time. Indirectly, smog appearance [30], media alerts [27] and mood [13] could play an intermediary role in this relationship. When the ambient air quality is poor, people may cancel their plans of outdoor activity and instead stay indoors sedentarily because of concerns about the potential negative effects of air pollution on health [56]. Further studies are needed to explore more plausible mechanisms.

The finding suggests that better AQI levels were significantly associated with approximately 20 min reduction in sedentary time, and lower levels of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution were associated with approximately 45 min reduction in sedentary time. We compared these magnitudes of effects with those of several other environmental factors of sedentary time in previous studies. Compared with long day length and good weather conditions, short day length and poor weather conditions, including high precipitation and low temperatures, were associated with 15 min longer sedentary time [57]. Notably, women living in medium- and high-walkable neighbourhoods reported significantly 14 and 17 min less TV viewing time per day compared with those residing in low-walkable neighbourhoods [19]. This comparison indicated that the magnitude of the effect of air quality may be equal to or larger than some other factors at the environmental level.

According to the results of this study, people tend to prolong their sedentary time in moderately and heavily polluted days. Therefore, in these days, it is particularly necessary to remind people not to spend too much time on sedentary behaviour and perform more other indoor activities. Given that air quality is an important factor of sedentary time, the government and the public should make efforts to reduce air pollution not only for its direct impairment on health [25,26] but also for its association with unhealthy behaviours. However, considering that the exact amount of sedentary time a person would have to reduce in order to achieve meaningful health benefits is still unclear due to the preference to categorisation of sedentary time in previous studies [58], further studies are needed to investigate whether the magnitudes of effects of improved air quality are large enough to help people gain a significantly decreased mortality.

Admittedly, this study presents limitations. Firstly, the map (Figure 1) shows that the sample is not balanced amongst provinces. The majority of participants were in the east and the middle. Thus, the sample might not be entirely representative for the entire country. However, participants were from 33 out of 34 provinces, autonomous regions and municipalities of China, and the majority of Chinese population reside in the east and the middle [59]. Thus, the participants in this study could still reflect the current situation of Chinese adults to some extent. Secondly, although the sample size was relatively large, the monitored days were unevenly distributed in each air quality level. Moreover, buyers of the wrist-worn activity tracker could share several common characteristics, such as socio-economic status and exercise habits, such that the participants are not entirely representative of all Chinese citizens. In addition, this study is unable to distinguish contexts of sedentary behaviour, such as occupational, traffic-related and leisure sedentariness, which limits further analyses. Finally, one limitation of Sedentary Sphere is that its classification accuracy for sitting postures was reported
to be approximately 60%, with the majority of misclassifications occurring during the sitting postures that did not involve any accompanying hand movement [39,41]. However, although the accuracy rate of Sedentary Sphere is lower than that of activPAL and ActiGraph, the effects of this weakness can be attenuated if the sample size is sufficient. Regardless, the relationship between air quality and sedentary behaviour requires further investigation with the assistance of novel data processing technologies.

The strengths of this study lay in its novel posture determination algorithm, relatively large sample size, well-matched air quality data and multilevel analyses. Firstly, instead of determining sedentary time merely based on energy expenditure, Sedentary Sphere was applied and assisted in estimating sedentary time. In addition, the affordable cost of this commercial wrist-worn activity tracker with acceptable validity allowed a relatively large sample size in our study. A total of 3270 participants from 33 of 34 provinces in China were included in the analysis. However, only few previous studies applied this novel technology. The application of Sedentary Sphere in the current study showed its potential to expand more possibilities for future studies. Moreover, in previous studies investigating the relationship between air quality and physical activity, self-reported physical activity data were commonly matched to monthly average air quality parameters. By contrast, in the current study, daily data of sedentary time and parameters of 24 h air quality where sedentary behaviours occurred were accurately matched, leading to better precision. Besides, unlike previous studies [27–29,31], we used multilevel modelling including a within-subject component, which increases the power of this study and helps make more causal interpretations.

5. Conclusions

Air quality appears to be an important factor associated with sedentary time of Chinese adults. People spent significantly longer sedentary time in moderately and heavily polluted days than in other days with better air quality.

Author Contributions: X.W. conceived and designed the study, collected the data, oversaw the data analysis and edited the manuscript. Y.M. played a role in data analyses and wrote the manuscript. B.Y. played a large role in data collection and data analysis. S.F. and Y.L. helped write the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Hangzhou Gongke Technology Co., Ltd. and all the participants for their support in this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Tremblay, M.S.; Aubert, S.; Barnes, J.D.; Saunders, T.J.; Carson, V.; Latimercheung, A.E.; Chastin, S.F.M.; Altenburg, T.M.; Mai, J.M.C. Sedentary behavior research network (SBRN)—Terminology consensus project process and outcome. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2017, 14, 75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Hu, F.B.; Li, T.Y.; Colditz, G.A.; Willett, W.C.; Manson, J.E. Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. JAMA 2003, 289, 1785–1791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Maher, C.A.; Mire, E.; Harrington, D.M.; Staiano, A.E.; Katzmarzyk, P.T. The independent and combined associations of physical activity and sedentary behavior with obesity in adults: Nhanes 2003–2006. Obesity 2013, 21, E730–E737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Owen, N.; Bauman, A.; Brown, W. Too much sitting: A novel and important predictor of chronic disease risk? Br. J. Sports Med. 2009, 43, 81–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Shilpa, D.; Liza, S. Sedentary behavior and physical activity are independent predictors of successful aging in middle-aged and older adults. J. Aging Res. 2012, 2012, 190654.
6. Biswas, A.; Oh, P.I.; Faulkner, G.E.; Bajaj, R.R.; Silver, M.A.; Mitchell, M.S.; Alter, D.A. Sedentary time and its association with risk for disease incidence, mortality, and hospitalization in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann. Intern. Med. 2015, 162, 123–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
1. Dunn, D.W.; Barr, E.G. Television viewing time and mortality: The Australian diabetes, obesity, and lifestyle study (AusDiab). *Circulation* **2010**, *122*, 384–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Grøntved, A.; Hu, F.B. Television viewing and risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* **2011**, *305*, 2448–2455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Zhang, Y.; Jiang, C.; Wang, M.; Zou, J. Characteristics of the Chinese population whose physical exercise is "only sedentariness" in leisure time. *Shandong Sports Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *37*, 104–109.

4. Owen, N.; Sugiyama, T.; Eakin, E.E.; Gardiner, P.A.; Tremblay, M.S.; Sallis, J.F. Adults' sedentary behavior determinants and interventions. *Am. J. Preventive Med.* **2011**, *41*, 189–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Rhodes, R.E.; Mark, R.S.; Temmel, C.P. Adult sedentary behavior: A systematic review. *Am. J. Preventive Med.* **2012**, *42*, e3–e28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. O'Donoghue, G.; Perchoux, C.; Mensah, K.; Lakerveld, J.; Van der Ploeg, H.; Bernaards, C.; Chastin, S.F.; Simon, C.; O'Gorman, D.; Nazare, J.A. A systematic review of correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults aged 18–65 years: A socio-ecological approach. *BMC Public Health* **2016**, *16*, 163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Prince, S.A.; Reed, J.L.; Mctfredige, C.; Tremblay, M.S.; Reid, R.D. Correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults: A systematic review. *Obesity Rev. Off. J. Int. Assoc. Study Obesity* **2017**, *18*, 915–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Van Uffelen, J.G.; Heesch, K.C.; Brown, W. Correlates of sitting time in working age Australian women: Who should be targeted with interventions to decrease sitting time? *J. Phys. Act. Health* **2012**, *9*, 270–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Uijtdewilligen, L.; Twisk, J.W.; Singh, A.S.; Chinapaw, M.J.; Van Mechelen, W.; Brown, W.J. Biological, socio-demographic, work and lifestyle determinants of sitting in young adult women: A prospective cohort study. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2014**, *11*, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Storgaard, R.L.; Hansen, H.S.; Aadahl, M.; Glumer, C. Association between neighbourhood green space and sedentary leisure time in a Danish population. *Scand. J. Public Health* **2013**, *41*, 846–852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Astell-Burt, T.; Feng, X.; Kolt, G.S. Greener neighborhoods, slimmer people? Evidence from 246,920 Australians. *Int. J. Obesity* **2012**, *33*, 444–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Sugiyama, T.; Salmon, J.; Dunstan, D.W.; Bauman, A.E.; Owen, N. Neighborhood walkability and TV viewing time among Australian adults. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2007**, *33*, 444–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Kozlo, J.; Salles, J.F.; Conway, T.L.; Kerr, J.; Cain, K.; Saelens, B.E.; Frank, L.D.; Owen, N. Sedentary behaviors of adults in relation to neighborhood walkability and income. *Health Psychol. Off. J. Div. Health Psychol. Am. Psychol. Assoc.* **2012**, *31*, 704–713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Rich, C.; Griffiths, L.J.; Dezateux, C. Seasonal variation in accelerometer-determined sedentary behaviour and physical activity in children: A review. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2012**, *9*, 49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People’s Republic of China: Ambient Air Quality Standards. Available online: http://www.mep.gov.cn/hjzlj/dqwrfdz/dqwrfdxzjdh/ (accessed on 29 May 2018).

16. Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People’s Republic of China: Daily Report on Air Quality of United States. Available online: https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table (accessed on 29 May 2018).

17. Mannucci, P.M.; Harari, S.; Martinelli, I.; Franchini, M. Effects on health of air pollution: A narrative review. *Intern. Emerg. Med.* **2015**, *10*, 657–662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. World Health Organization. Ambient (Outdoor) Air Quality and Health. Available online: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs313/en/ (accessed on 30 May 2018).

19. Wen, X.J.; Balluz, L.; Mokdad, A. Association between media alerts of air quality index and change of outdoor activity among adult asthma in six states, bfrss, 2005. *J. Community Health* **2009**, *34*, 40–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Roberts, J.D.; Voss, J.D.; Knight, B. The association of ambient air pollution and physical inactivity in the United States. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e90143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Wen, X.J.; Balluz, L.S.; Shire, J.D.; Mokdad, A.H.; Kohl, H.W., III. Association of self-reported leisure-time physical inactivity with particulate matter 2.5 air pollution. J. Environ. Health 2009, 72, 40–44. [PubMed]
30. An, R.; Xiang, X. Ambient fine particulate matter air pollution and leisure-time physical inactivity among us adults. Public Health 2015, 129, 1637–1644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
31. Hu, L.; Zhu, L.; Xu, Y.; Lyu, J.; Imm, K.; Yang, L. Relationship between air quality and outdoor exercise behavior in china: A novel mobile-based study. Int. J. Behav. Med. 2017, 24, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Yu, H.; An, R.; Andrade, F. Ambient fine particulate matter air pollution and physical activity: A longitudinal study of university retirees in beijing, china. Am. J. Health Behav. 2017, 41, 401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Yu, H.; Miao, Y.; Gordon, S.P.; Zhang, R. The association between ambient fine particulate air pollution and physical activity: A cohort study of university students living in beijing. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2017, 14, 136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Salmon, J.; Owen, N.; Crawford, D.; Bauman, A.; Sallis, J.F. Physical activity and sedentary behavior: A population-based study of barriers, enjoyment, and preference. Health Psychol. Off. J. Div. Health Psychol. Am. Psychol. Assor. 2003, 22, 178–188. [CrossRef]
35. Adams, S.A.; Matthews, C.E.; Ebbeling, C.B.; Moore, C.G.; Cunningham, J.E.; Fulton, J.; Hebert, J.R. The effect of social desirability and social approval on self-reports of physical activity. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2005, 161, 389–398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Matthews, C.E.; Chen, K.Y.; Freedson, P.S.; Buchowski, M.S.; Beech, B.M.; Pate, R.R.; Troiano, R.P. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003–2004. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2008, 167, 875–881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Atkin, A.J.; Gorely, T.; Clemes, S.A.; Yates, T.; Edwardson, C.; Brage, S.; Salmon, J.; Marshall, S.J.; Biddle, S.J. Methods of measurement in epidemiology: Sedentary behaviour. Int. J. Epidemiol. 2012, 41, 1460–1471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Freedson, P.S.; John, D. Comment on “estimating activity and sedentary behavior from an accelerometer on the hip and wrist”. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2013, 45, 962–963. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Rowlands, A.V.; Olds, T.S.; Hillsdon, M.; Pulford, R.; Hurst, T.L.; Eston, R.G.; Gomersall, S.R.; Johnston, K.; Langford, J. Assessing sedentary behavior with the geneactiv: Introducing the sedentary sphere. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2014, 46, 1235–1247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Pavey, T.G.; Gomersall, S.R.; Clark, B.K.; Brown, W.J. The validity of the geneactiv wrist-worn accelerometer for measuring adult sedentary time in free living. J. Sci. Med. Sport 2016, 19, 395–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Rowlands, A.V.; Yates, T.; Olds, T.S.; Davies, M.; Khunti, K.; Edwardson, C.L. Sedentary sphere: Wrist-worn accelerometer-brand independent posture classification. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2016, 48, 748–754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of People’s Republic of China: China Internet Domain Name System. Available online: http://www.miit.gov.cn/n1146295/n1652858/n1652930/n4509607/c8072462/content.html (accessed on 30 May 2018).
43. Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit. Sedentary Sphere: A Method for the Analysis, Identification and Visual Presentation of Raw Acceleration Data from Triaxial Accelerometers (Geneactiv, Activinsights, Cambs, UK). Available online: http://www.l dlpa. bru. n i hr. ac.uk/ Sedentary_Sphere-5483.html (accessed on 23 August 2018).
44. Davies, G.; Reilly, J.J.; McGowan, A.J.; Dall, P.M.; Granat, M.H.; Paton, J.Y. Validity, practical utility, and reliability of the activpal in preschool children. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2012, 44, 761–768. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Dowd, K.P.; Harrington, D.M.; Donnelly, A.E. Criterion and concurrent validity of the activpal professional physical activity monitor in adolescent females. PLoS ONE 2012, 7, e47633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Marr, L.C.; Harley, R.A. Spectral analysis of weekday-weekend differences in ambient ozone, nitrogen oxide, and non-methane hydrocarbon time series in california. Atmos. Environ. 2002, 36, 2327–2335. [CrossRef]
47. Clemens, D.; Nicole, G.; Wirth, M.D.; Hand, G.A.; Shook, R.P.; Stephanie, B.; Blair, S.N. The association of physical activity during weekdays and weekend with body composition in young adults. J. Obesity 2016, 2016, PMC4855007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Wu, D. A study on north-south differences in economic growth. Geogr. Res. 2001, 20, 238–246.
49. Chen, Z. Regional development difference from the south to the north in east and middle China. Geogr. Res. 1999, 18, 80–87.
50. Vallance, J.K.; Boyle, T.; Courneya, K.S.; Lynch, B.M. Accelerometer-assessed physical activity and sedentary time among colon cancer survivors: Associations with psychological health outcomes. *J. Cancer Surviv. Res. Pract.* 2015, 9, 404–411. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Walker, R.G.; Obeid, J.; Nguyen, T.; Ploeger, H.; Proudfoot, N.A.; Bos, C.; Chan, A.K.; Pedder, L.; Issenman, R.M.; Scheinemann, K.; et al. Sedentary time and screen-based sedentary behaviors of children with a chronic disease. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 2015, 27, 219–225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Healy, G.N.; Wijndaele, K.; Dunstan, D.W.; Shaw, J.E.; Salmon, J.; Zimmet, P.Z.; Owen, N. Objectively measured sedentary time, physical activity, and metabolic risk: The australian diabetes, obesity and lifestyle study (Ausdiab). *Diabetes Care* 2008, 31, 369–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Matthews, C.E.; Ainsworth, B.E.; Thompson, R.W.; Bassett, D.R., Jr. Sources of variance in daily physical activity levels as measured by an accelerometer. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2002, 34, 1376–1381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Matthews, C.E.; Ainsworth, B.E.; Thompson, R.W.; Bassett, D.R., Jr. Sources of variance in daily physical activity levels as measured by an accelerometer. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2002, 34, 1376–1381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Cutrufello, P.T.; Rundell, K.W.; Smoliga, J.M.; Stylianides, G.A. Inhaled whole exhaust and its effect on exercise performance and vascular function. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 2011, 23, 658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Qian, X.; Xu, G.; Li, L.; Shen, Y.; He, T.; Liang, Y.; Yang, Z.; Zhou, W.W.; Xu, J. Knowledge and perceptions of air pollution in ningbo, china. *BMC Public Health* 2016, 16, 1138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Wu, Y.T.; Luben, R.; Wareham, N.; Griffin, S.; Jones, A.P. Weather, day length and physical activity in older adults: Cross-sectional results from the european prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) norfolk cohort. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0177767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Rezende, L.F.M.; Rey-López, J.P.; Matsudo, V.K.R.; Luiz, O.C. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes among older adults: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2014, 14, 333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. National Bureau of Statistics of China. China Statistical Yearbook 2015. Available online: http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2015/indexch.htm (accessed on 18 July 2018).

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).