Comprehensive bioinformatic analyses of IncRNA-mediated ceRNA network for uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma
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Background: Given that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) involved in the tumor initiation or progression of the endometrium and that competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) plays an important role in increasingly more biological processes, IncRNA-mediated ceRNA is likely to function in the pathogenesis of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC). Our present study aimed to explore the potential molecular mechanisms for the prognosis of UCEC through a IncRNA-mediated ceRNA network.

Methods: The transcriptome profiles and corresponding clinical profiles of UCEC dataset were retrieved from Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) databases respectively. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in UCEC samples were identified via “Edge R” package. Then, an integrated bioinformatics analysis including functional enrichment analysis, tumor infiltrating immune cell (TIIC) analysis, Kaplan-Meier curve, Cox regression analysis were conducted to analyze the prognostic biomarkers.

Results: In the CPTAC dataset of UCEC, a ceRNA network comprised of 36 miRNAs, 123 lncRNAs and 124 targeted mRNAs was established, and 8 of 123 prognostic-related Differentially Expressed long noncoding RNAs (DElncRNAs) were identified. While in the TCGA dataset, a ceRNA network comprised of 38 miRNAs, 83 lncRNAs and 110 targeted mRNAs was established, and 2 of 83 prognostic-related DElncRNAs were identified. After filtered by risk grouping and Cox regression analysis, 10 prognostic-related lncRNAs including LINC00443, LINC00483, C2orf48, TRBV11-2, MEG-8 were identified. In addition, 33 survival-related Differentially Expressed messenger RNA (DEmRNAs) in two ceRNA networks were further validated in the Human Protein Atlas Portal (HPA) database. Finally, six IncRNA/miRNA/mRNA axes were established to elucidate prognostic regulatory roles in UCEC.

Conclusions: Several prognostic lncRNAs are identified and prognostic model of IncRNA-mediated ceRNA network is constructed, which promotes the understanding of UCEC development mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets.
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Introduction

Uterine corpus endometrial cancer (UCEC), one of globally common gynecological malignancies, presents a possibly upward trend with the increase of obese women (1-3). The choice for treatment of UCEC has considerable exploration and development prospects for the perspective of molecular biology. In previous studies, risk factors including p53 expression (4), and estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) expression (5), as well as clinical treatment manners have been identified (6). The discovery of these factors provides access to take advantage of underlying therapeutic biomarkers for personalized treatment strategies. MiRNA is a family of small non-coding RNA molecules of about 21 to 25 nucleotides long. MiRNA inhibits translation of targeted mRNA or affects its stability by specific identifications, and down regulates its expression by combining at its 3’UTR site. The abnormal miRNA expression in development of tumors has been confirmed by many studies (7,8). LncRNA is known to play a role as key signal transduction mediators in the occurrence, progression and treatment of numerous malignancies (9-11). According to the ceRNA hypothesis (12), lncRNA is a molecular sponge of miRNA, which suppresses the activity of miRNA by binding with microRNA response element (MRE) and down regulates the expression of the target genes indirectly. Based on this argument, the ceRNA network has been extensively researched and verified in lung cancer (13), breast cancer (14) and so on, while there have been little discussion on lncRNA-mediated ceRNA networks of UCEC.

Therefore, in this study, we retrieved and analyzed lncRNA expression in UCEC from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) database separately and performed an integrated bioinformatics analysis including functional enrichment analysis, Tumor Infiltrating Immune Cell (TIIC) analysis, and constructed the UCEC-specific ceRNA network and figured out the underlying association between those ceRNAs and the progression of UCEC.

Methods

Data collection

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Transcriptional and clinical data of UCEC in both TCGA data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and CPTAC (https://cptac-data-portal.georgetown.edu/data-use-agreement) were retrieved. There were 555 samples downloaded from TCGA, containing 543 patients (543 UCEC tissues and 23 adjacent tumor tissues, which for further analyses) and 12 patients (excluded, for inadequate information), as well as 116 samples downloaded from CPTAC, containing 101 UCEC tissues and 15 adjacent tumor tissues, contributing to the UCEC and normal control group as a cohort. The clinical features of UCEC patients from 2 databases were respectively shown (Table 1). The clinical features of UCEC patients including age, gender, race, pathology stage, histological type and vital status were extracted. Transcriptome data were annotated with the Genecode website (https://www.gencodegenes.org/). No samples were excluded when to screen for differentially expressed RNAs (DERNAs, including three ones: differentially expressed long noncoding RNA (DELncRNA), differentially expressed microRNA (DEMIRNA), differentially expressed messenger RNA (DEmRNA)). Both databases were publically available and were released in compliance with ethical approvals; therefore, no further application from University Ethics Committee was obtained.

Identification of DEGs

The “edge R” was utilized to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the normal tissues and UCEC tumor tissues by the criteria [false discovery rate (FDR), adjusted P<0.01, and |log₂ FC| >2 (FC is fold change)]. All of the statistical tests were conducted and the heatmap and volcano plot were displayed by ggplot2 package in R software package (version:4.0.3; https://www.r-project.org/) and statistical significance was defined as a P value <0.05 unless otherwise stated.

Construction of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network

In this study, a total of 1,064 and 917 DEGs filtered by |log₂ FC| >3 from the two databases were subjected to perform PPI network analysis using Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING; https://string-db.org/) (15). An interaction with a combined score by default >0.4 was considered statistically significant. Cytoscape (version 3.8.2) is a bioinformatics software platform publically used for visualizing molecular interaction networks (16). To find hub genes actively participated in UCEC progression,
we employed the maximal clique centrality (MCC) algorithm to represent 20 key mRNAs with important biological functions via CytoHubba in Cytoscape (17).

### TIICs profiling

To characterize proportions of TIICs in UCEC, CIBERSORT (http://cibersort.stanford.edu/) algorithm in combination with a LM22 gene signature matrix was used to assess the relative fractions of 22 invasive immune cell subtypes in each UCEC tissues.

Additionally, to investigate the immune infiltration signatures of UCEC, gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) was performed by GSVA package in R (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GSVA.html) to calculate the score of immune infiltration in each sample on the basis of immune cell-specific gene expression levels. Standardized profiles of gene expression data in both TCGA and CPTAC databases were extracted and immune scores were evaluated, scoring types including different cell clusters and respective

### Table 1 (continued)

| Features | Variables | Patients (n, %) |
|----------|-----------|----------------|
| TCGA features | | |
| Age, years | >60 | 334 (61.51) |
| | ≤60 | 206 (37.94) |
| | NA | 3 (0.55) |
| Gender | Female | 543 (100.00) |
| Race | White | 372 (68.51) |
| | NA | 32 (5.89) |
| | Black or African American | 106 (19.52) |
| | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 9 (1.66) |
| | American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 (0.55) |
| | Asian | 20 (3.68) |
| Pathology stage | Stage I | 339 (62.43) |
| | Stage II | 51 (9.39) |
| | Stage III | 124 (22.84) |
| | Stage IV | 29 (5.34) |
| Primary diagnosis | Endometrioid carcinoma | 399 (73.48) |
| | Serous Cystadenocarcinoma | 133 (24.49) |
| | Other types | 11 (2.03) |
| Survival | Alive | 452 (83.24) |
| | Dead | 91 (16.76) |
| CPTAC features | | |
| Age, years | >60 | 64 (63.37) |
| | ≤60 | 37 (36.63) |
| Gender | Female | 101 (100.00) |
| Race | White | 59 (58.42) |
| | NA | 38 (37.62) |
| | Black or African American | 3 (2.97) |
| | Asian | 1 (0.99) |
| Pathology stage | Stage I | 75 (74.26) |
| | Stage II | 8 (7.92) |
| | Stage III | 15 (14.85) |
| | Stage IV | 3 (2.97) |

Staging is performed with the use of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) 2009 system. Stage I: tumor area limited to corpus uteri, including endocervical glandular involvement. Stage II: tumor area has invaded the stromal connective tissue of the cervix field but hasn’t extended over the uterus as well as endocervical glandular invasion. Stage III: tumor has invaded serosa, or adnexa, or vagina, or parametrium. Stage IV: tumor has invaded the bladder mucosa area, bowel mucosa area, or both, or distant metastasis. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; NA, not applicable; G1, highly differentiated and low grade, mild; G2, moderately differentiated and low grade, moderate; G3, lowly differentiated and high grade, severe.
expression values corresponding to different colors.

Regarding the results of the CIBERSORT algorithm, we also accumulated the percentage of each immune cell theoretically calculated from each sample (tumor tissues & adjacent tissues, totally 566 samples) and presented those top ranked immune cell types in bar plots. Worthy to point out, analyzing results of TIIC, with P values of >0.05 derived from CIBERSORT algorithm, were omitted before visualization in bar plots.

Construction of ceRNA network and extraction of survival-related lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA subnetwork

In this study, the lncRNA-mediated ceRNA (competing endogenous RNA) network of UCEC was constructed as lncRNA-miRNA and miRNA-mRNA pairs. To build a ceRNA network, following steps were conducted. Firstly, based on miRNAs provided by the miRcode website (http://www.mircode.org), lncRNA-targeted miRNAs simultaneously in our DEmiRNAs were filtered. Secondly, to identify the miRNA-targeted mRNAs, we searched miRTarBase (18) (https://mirtarbase.cuhk.edu.cn/-miRTarBase/miRTarBase_2019.php/index.php), miRDB (19) (http://www.mirdb.org/), TargetScan (20) (http://www.targetscan.org/) in combination to obtain targeted mRNAs. Finally, Cytoscape software v3.8.2 (https://cytoscape.org/) was adopted to visualize the UCEC-related ceRNA network. The paired interactions in above network were analyzed in two UCEC datasets from TCGA and CPTAC, respectively. Subsequently, we took the intersection of the two ceRNA networks.

Furthermore, on the foundation of overall ceRNA network, we firstly conducted survival analyses and Cox regression analyses of these genes, both results of which were considered as hub genes in our ceRNA network. Thereafter, we input these hub genes to visualize their lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory relationships via Cytohubba (http://hub.iis.sinica.edu.tw/cytohubba) in Cytoscape. Eventually, we constructed a novel ceRNA subnetwork composed of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA pairs, which may provide prognostic molecular values.

Survival analysis and lncRNA-mediated prognostic model construction

On one hand, survival R was operated for survival analysis of all DERNAs in the ceRNA network. Kaplan–Meier (K-M) curves were plotted with DERNAs by log-rank test. On the other hand, we identified the lncRNAs linked with total survival (P<0.05) to act as prognostic lncRNA signature candidates and then imported them for Cox regression analyses. According to the median risk score, the UCEC samples were divided into the high-risk and low-risk groups. To evaluate the accuracy of models survival-related DElncRNAs, we carried out the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (UCEC data in CPTAC using 3 years as the predicted time, UCEC data in TCGA using 5 years as the predicted time), along with the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) analysis at a criterion of AUC >0.7. Besides, we further retrieved analyses of survival-related lncRNAs in the GEPIA database (GEPIA; http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) by P values ≤0.1 (although 0.11 was also considered as significant in this study). Subsequently, we compared these results of survival-related lncRNAs with our analyses thus to validate and prove its reliability.

Validation of dysregulated mRNAs via HPA and GEPIA databases

The Human Protein Atlas Portal (HPA) (www.proteinatlas.org) (21) which contains different genes in specific cancer types and publicly available information of Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was used for survival analyses. Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA), an interactive website, composed of 9,736 patients and 8,587 normal samples from TCGA and GTEx projects (The Genotype-Tissue Expression project) were utilized for the analysis of RNA sequencing expression. According to prognostic lncRNA-mRNA or mRNA-miRNA signatures in the lncRNA-mediated network, we took 33 mRNAs resulted from UCEC data of TCGA into two external databases mentioned above for further retrieval and analysis.

Functional and pathway enrichment analysis

To analyze functions represented in two profiles of identified DEmRNAs in the ceRNA network, Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and Genomes (KEGG) were performed by cluster Profiler R package and plotted by GO plot R package and KEGG plot R package. Three methods were utilized to enrich meaningful biological pathways by the standard of P value less than 0.05.

Statistical analysis

A total of statistical tests in our experiments were done with...
R (https://www.r-project.org/) and statistically significant values were defined as P values <0.05 unless otherwise stated.

Results

Differentially expressed RNAs in UCEC

In a study of 566 tissue samples (including 543 UCEC tissues and 23 normal tissues) collected from TCGA (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/publications/publication guide lines), “edge R” (adjusted P<0.01 and |log$_2$FC| >2) was adjusted to identify the DERNAs, including DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs, DEmRNAs. A total of 1,513 up-regulated DEmRNAs, 914 down-regulated DEmRNAs, 686 up-regulated DElncRNAs, 330 down-regulated DElncRNAs, 124 up-regulated DEmiRNAs, and 50 down-regulated DEmiRNAs were identified in TCGA database. Similarly, a total of 116 samples were identified with the same criteria in the CPTAC database, including 2,463 DEmRNAs, 1,741 DElncRNAs and 204 DEmiRNAs. The heatmap and volcano plot of those highest dysregulated DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs were shown in Figure 1 and the top 10 up and down regulated DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs were shown in Table S1.

Construction of PPI network

The 1,981 DEmRNAs (|log$_2$FC| >3) were further selected to construct PPI network to select hub genes that play crucial roles in UCEC genesis. Given the large number of DEmRNAs in this module, we used MCC algorithm in “Cytohubba” of Cytoscape software to visualize and select hub genes in the PPI network. The top 20 high score genes in in CPTAC database, including 2,463 DEmRNAs, 1,741 DElncRNAs and 204 DEmiRNAs. The heatmap and volcano plot of those highest dysregulated DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs were shown in Figure 1 and the top 10 up and down regulated DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs were shown in Table S1.

TIICs enrichment analysis

Using CIBERSORT algorithm, we evaluated 101 tumor transcriptome profiles from CPTAC database and 543 tumor transcriptome profiles from TCGA database (Figure 3A,3B). In addition, we also performed ssGSEA analysis by GSVA package to score the corresponding TIICs in each simple sample, and finally we found that TIICs in both CPTAC and TCGA database sources expressed well (Figure 3C,3D).

On account of 22 immune cell components in UCEC and relevant controlled samples by CIBERSORT algorithm, two pairs of bar plots were then visualized. In CPTAC malignant tissue samples, there were abundant CD8 T cells and plasma cells and other TIICs. In CPTAC normal tissue samples, those TIICs were T cells (CD8), mast cells (resting), plasma cells, T cells (CD4 memory resting), NK cells (resting) well infiltrated in order. While in TCGA malignant tissue samples, naive CD4 cells, T cells (CD8 cells), plasma cells, activated NK cells, and macrophages M0 were well infiltrated. In TCGA controls’ samples, those well infiltrated ones were listed as T cells (CD8 cells), monocytes, mast cells (resting), plasma cells and naïve B cells (Figure 3E,3F).

Construction of ceRNA Network and hub lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA subnetwork

In order to better comprehend the interactions of mRNAs, lncRNAs, and miRNAs in UCEC, we constructed an lncRNA-mediated ceRNA regulatory network. To begin with, 1,741 DElncRNAs in CPTAC database succeeded to match with 123 lncRNAs in the miRCODE database. Considering 123 of 1,741 DElncRNAs could interact with DEmiRNAs, 36 miRNAs in both miRCODE and CPTAC database were selected to construct lncRNA-miRNA pairs. Meanwhile, to interplay with 204 DEmiRNAs acquired from CPTAC database, we retrieved 1,420 mRNAs in three databases (miRTarBase, miRDB and TargetScan). The 1,420 miRNA-targeted mRNAs predicted in these databases were intersected with 2,463 DEmRNAs thus to obtain 124 miRNA-targeted mRNAs belonging to CPTAC database. Finally, an lncRNA-mediated ceRNA network consisting of 36 miRNAs, 123 lncRNAs and 124 mRNAs was achieved (Figure 4A). Meanwhile, the same workflow of UCEC-specific ceRNA network construction was repeated in data from TCGA. We obtained an lncRNA-mediated ceRNA network consisting of 38 miRNAs, 83 lncRNAs and 110 mRNAs (Figure 4B).

Furthermore, cytohubba was applied to visualize our extracted hub genes composing of lncRNA, miRNA and mRNAs and derived regulatory ceRNA network thus to identify potentially prognostic molecular pathways of
Figure 1 DERNAs between UCEC and normal tissues in the CPTAC and TCGA database. Volcano plot of UCEC-specific lncRNAs (A), mRNAs (B) and miRNAs (C) from CPTAC and lncRNAs (D), mRNAs (E), miRNAs (F) from TCGA. Red dot indicated high expression, and blue dot indicated low expression. Grey showed that those genes showed no difference between cancer and non-cancer tissues. The horizontal axis represented samples while above the horizontal axis lists sample clusters. The vertical axis represented RNAs. Red denoted up-regulated RNAs, and purple denoted down-regulated RNAs. DERNAs, different expressed RNAs; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; lncRNA, long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA; miRNA, microRNA.
UCEC in CPTAC and TCGA (Figure 4C,4D). A total of 6 hub lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory relationships from 2 databases were shown (Table 2). Moreover, coincident ceRNA results in the overall ceRNA network from both CPTAC and TCGA databases were shown in the Venn diagram (Figure 4E).

**Identification and validation of prognostic lncRNAs in ceRNA networks**

In order to figure out the effects of interactions for survival between lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs, we imported survival-related data of UCEC and genes in ceRNA to analyze its prognosis. Survival R were operated for DERNAs significantly correlated with overall survival (OS) in the ceRNA network (P<0.05), the results of which were plotted by Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curves (Figure 5A-5W).

As shown in CPTAC, 4 survival-related DELincRNAs on the level of 3-year survival were identified in DELincRNA-mediated ceRNA networks, including FREM2-AS1, HPYR1, LINC00028, MIR205HG (Figure 5A-5D). Similarly, 19 survival-related DELncRNAs, 9 DEmiRNAs and 33 DEMRNAs on the level of 5-year survival were revealed in the ceRNA network for TCGA. Figure 5E-5W illustrated Kaplan-Meier curve analyses about 10 lncRNAs of 19 survival-related DELncRNAs, 5 mRNAs of 33 DEMRNAs and 2 of 9 DEmiRNAs derived from TCGA database. Besides, we successfully validated 5 survival-related lncRNAs in GEPIA database by P values ≤0.1 (Figure 6A-6E).

To further identify DELncRNAs with prognostic features in a more accurate way, multi-Cox regression analyses and corresponded ROC curves were carried out. After eliminating some samples lacking in survival time, 94 complete samples in CPTAC were divided into the high-risk (n=47) and low-risk (n=47) groups (cutoff value =-0.78) and 543 samples with complete survival information in TCGA into the high-risk (n=272) and low-risk (n=272) cohort by median value (cutoff value=−0.18; one sample of survival data was just in the median and counted in both groups). We performed a multi-factor COX regression analysis (Figure 7A,7B) and a global survival analysis of the model (Figure 7C,7D) thus separately identified two lncRNAs of 3-year survival data in CPTAC and eight lncRNA prognosis candidates of 5-year survival UCEC data in TCGA by P<0.05. ROC curves tested the influence on their lncRNA signatures associated with OS in UCEC. Area under ROC curve of 3-year survival rate (AUC) and 5-year survival rate (AUC) were respectively 0.967 and 0.751 (Figure 7E,7F).

Besides, multivariate cox regression analysis of totally 10 prognostic lncRNAs associated with OS in UCEC tumor tissues generated from 2 databases were shown in Table 3. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis derived from TCGA database, 8 lncRNAs including FAM41C, MIRT7_3HG, LINC00483, ABHD11_AS1, LINC00443, OXCT1_AS1, PRICKLE2_AS2 and GLIS3_AS1 were identified to construct the OS prediction model. OS-related prediction model= (0.017169325* expression value of FAM41C) + (0.003483874* expression value of MIR7_3HG) + (0.010635045* expression value of LINC00483) + (8.26E-04* expression value of ABHD11_AS1) + (0.007147984* expression value of TRBV11_2) + (0.027816351* expression value of...
Figure 3 The immune infiltration landscape in UCEC. (A,B) Estimation of 22 immune leucocytes fractions with different colors in UCEC by CIBERSORT algorithm. The vertical axis represented the cell proportions. (C,D) The score of 31 immune signatures in each sample by heatmap by ssGSEA. (E,F) The landscapes of 22 immune cell components (malignant tissues & normal ones) were derived from CIBERSORT algorithm and then visualized in the bar plot. UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; ssGSEA, single sample gene set enrichment analysis.
Figure 4 Overall construction of lncRNA-mediated ceRNA network in UCEC from CPTAC (A) and TCGA database (B). LncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs were respectively represented as diamonds, rounded rectangles, and ellipses. The red indicated high expression while the blue indicated low expression. Regulatory ceRNA sub-network construction of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA pairs in UCEC, arranged by Cytohubba, from CPTAC (C) and TCGA database (D). Likewise, lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs were respectively represented as diamonds, rounded rectangles, and ellipses. Meanwhile, the red indicated high expression, while the blue for low expression. In addition, the orange represented potentially prognostic regulatory thus important DEGs in ceRNA subnetwork, which was also explicitly shown in Table 2. (E) Venn diagram of genes completely involved in the overall ceRNA network in CPTAC and TCGA database. The overlapped area in the middle indicated intersected genes of two databases. CeRNA, competing endogenous RNA; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; lncRNA, long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA; miRNA, microRNA; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium.
value of TRBV11_2) + (0.027816351* expression value of LINC00443) + (0.027816351* expression value of OXCT1_AS1) + (0.273785608* expression value of PRICKLE2_AS2) + (9.53E-04* expression value of GLIS3_AS1). We divided the 543 UCEC cases into the high- and low-risk groups according to the median values of the OS-related prediction model.

In the multivariate Cox regression analysis derived from CPTAC database, 2 lncRNAs including MEG8 and TRBV11_2 were identified to construct the OS prediction model. OS-related prediction model= (0.007280976* expression value of MEG8) + (0.027816351* expression value of TRBV11_2). We divided the 94 UCEC cases into the high- and low-risk groups according to the median values of the OS-related prediction model.

Validations of survival analysis and mRNA expression at the transcriptional level

To further demonstrate the prognostic significance of 33 mRNAs screened from the ceRNA network, we selected external databases for survival analysis and validation with IHC images. Firstly, we input 33 screened mRNAs into HPA database (version 20.1; https://www.proteinatlas.org/about/assays+annotation#tcga_survival) to validate whether they were associated with the prognosis of UCEC. Consequences revealed that 8 mRNAs (CBX2, CCL22, CCNE1, DLX4, IGFBP5, NR3C1, SOX11, POLQ) highly expressed in UCEC were closely related with its prognosis (log rank P values <0.001). Subsequently, we retrieved OS analyses of 8 mRNAs generated from GEPIA by filtered criteria of P values ≤0.1 (although 0.11 was also considered as significant in this study) and verified 5 mRNAs (CCNE1, CCL22, NR3C1, IGFBP5 and POLQ).

Based on two previous steps for external verifications, two IHC images of the last screened mRNAs (CCNE1, NR3C1) in the HPA database approved the same results (Figure 8A). Survival validations of 5 mRNAs including CCNE1, CCL22, NR3C1, IGFBP5 and POLQ from GEPIA were shown in the Figure 8B-8F. In this study, we identified 5 survival-related mRNAs, there were no related IHC samples of CCL22, IGFBP5 and POLQ but CCNE1 and NR3C1 to further validate in the HPA database. The translational expression level of CCNE1 and NR3C1 was positively linked with disease status, as they were up-regulated in UCEC samples.

Enrichment analyses of functional pathways

To elucidate the biological functions represented in two profiles of identified DEmRNAs, we performed enrichment analyses mainly by “cluster profiler”, with the standard of P<0.05. In this study, GO analyses disclosed that top significant GO terms (P<0.05) commonly obtained from UCEC data in CPTAC, and TCGA database (Figure 9A-9D). The KEGG results derived from DEmRNAs in TCGA database were shown (Figure 9E-9H). The KEGG analyses revealed that what closely related to DEmRNAs originated from CPTAC and TCGA database were mainly enriched in pathways such as “cellular senescence”, “proteoglycans in cancer” and “microRNAs in cancer”. The top 20 GO and KEGG results for TCGA and CPTAC database were provided in Table S2.

Discussion

Recently, with the increase of obese women, UCEC has become one of the leading gynecologic tumors (22). Although some diagnostic markers like CA125, CA199, and CEA are clinically used, survival results are not optimistic after routine diagnosis and therapy. Therefore, it is worthy to discover and analyze biomarkers for prognosis prediction of UCEC. LncRNAs have increasingly seized the attention of cancer research fields because of serving as regulating biomarkers (23). But due to experimental complexity, functional studies related to lncRNAs have limitations to carry out in comparison with those of protein-encoding
RNAs. As is illustrated in accumulating researches, molecular mechanisms underlying ceRNA network provide an explanation for carcinogenesis and its associated development. LncRNAs act as key components of ceRNA family, through miRNA response elements (MREs), compete with molecules binding to the same miRNAs to achieve regulation of expression levels between each other.

As our lncRNA-mediated ceRNA network of UCEC respectively constructed from the CPTAC and TCGA databases indicated, there were a total of 23 lncRNAs,
Figure 6 Kaplan-Meier curves of survival-related lncRNAs validated in GEPIA database. Graphs of lncRNAs including MEG8 (A), DGCR5 (B), GLIS3-AS1 (C), TPTEP1 (D), and UPK1A-AS1 (E). lncRNA, long noncoding RNA; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis.

In our present study, MIR205HG and ADARB2-AS1 were significantly correlated with survival. High expression of MIR205HG was found at the first time to predict a good prognosis, while high expression of ADARB2-AS1 had an opposite effect on the survival outcome of patients. Dong et al. showed that lncRNA MIR205HG depleted SRSF1 to increase KRT17 expression (26), while KRT17 silencing impaired cervical cancer cell proliferation and migration and activated apoptosis. LncRNA MIR205HG also acts as a ceRNA to accelerate tumor growth and progression in cervical cancer through spongiform Mir-122-5p (27). ADARB2-AS1 has been reported as a prognostic related lncRNA in UCEC (28), which again echoed the reliability of our results.

In addition, in order to make our results convincing, we put lncRNA biomarkers into GEPIA for external verification. The results showed that 5 highly expressed lncRNAs of DGCR5, GLIS3-AS1, UPK1A-AS1, MEG8, TPTEP1 indicated poor prognosis, which is in agreement with our results. To clarify our findings, we comprehensively analyzed survival results of lncRNA-regulated mRNAs in GEPIA and HPA. Through these external databases, we also concluded that high expression of CCL22, CCNE1, IGFBP5, NR3C1 and POLQ genes were associated with poor prognosis of UCEC. Furthermore, we mirrored methods in foregoing study (29) to identify our mRNA results and obtained poor survival results of NR3C1.
Figure 7 LncRNA signature prognostic risk models for UCEC. For CPTAC dataset, Kaplan-Meier curves showed that low-risk group had a lower mortality rate than high-risk group (P=0.0046<0.05) (A). While for TCGA dataset, Kaplan-Meier curves showed that low-risk group had a lower mortality rate than high-risk group (P<0.0001) (B). The high expression level of MEG8 and TRBV11_2 in CPTAC dataset were significantly associated with worse OS in Kaplan-Meier curves (both P<0.05) (C). The high expression level of the eight lncRNAs in TCGA dataset was significantly associated with worse OS in Kaplan-Meier curves (D). The ROC curves of OS-related predictive signatures in CPTAC dataset (AUC: 0.967) (E). The ROC curves of OS-related predictive signatures in TCGA dataset (AUC: 0.751) (F). TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; AUC, area under the curve; ROC, receiver operating characteristic curves; OS, overall survival.
Table 3 Multivariate Cox regression analysis of totally 10 prognostic lncRNAs associated with overall survival (OS)

| LncRNA    | Coef   | HR     | 95% CI_LL | 95% CI_HL | P value |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| FAM41C    | 0.0171 | 1.0173 | 1.0075    | 1.0272    | 5.27E-04|
| MIR7_3HG  | 0.0034 | 1.0035 | 1.0012    | 1.0058    | 0.0027  |
| LINC00483 | 0.0106 | 1.0107 | 1.0035    | 1.0179    | 0.0034  |
| ABHD11 AS1| 8.26E-04| 1.0008| 1.0003    | 1.0013    | 2.35E-04|
| LINC00443 | 0.0071 | 1.0072 | 1.0014    | 1.0129    | 0.0142  |
| OXCT1 AS1 | 0.0269 | 1.0273 | 1.0127    | 1.0439    | 3.40E-04|
| PRICKLE2 AS2 | 0.2738 | 1.3149 | 1.1348    | 1.5254    | 3.02E-04|
| GLIS3 AS1 | 9.53E-04| 1.0009| 1.0002    | 1.0017    | 0.0118  |

| LncRNA (in CPTAC) |
|-------------------|
| MEG8              |
| TRBV11 -2         |

TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; lncRNA, long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA; miRNA, microRNA; Coef, regression coefficient in our multivariate Cox analysis; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; LL, lower limit; HL, higher limit.

and CCNE1 by validations of IHC images, which suggested their tumor promoter roles. Some researches have verified that CCNE1 amplification is associated with aggressive potential in UCEC tumorigenesis (30-32). CCNE1, known as Cyclin E1, is a member of Cyclins to function as regulators of CDK kinases. The protein encoded by Cyclin belongs to the highly conserved Cyclin family, characterized in its dramatic periodicity in protein abundance through cell cycle. With respect to other carcinoma progression, patients with over-expressed CCNE1 were reportedly at increased threat for poor endings of cervical cancer (33) and triple-negative breast cancer (34). Functioned as regulatory genes in the downstream, mRNA CCNE1 and NR3C1 brought potential reference values for our presently identified lncRNA-mediated ceRNA pathways.

Furthermore, on the basis of overall ceRNA network, we constructed a novel prognostic ceRNA subnetwork, which were composed of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA axes. We firstly conducted survival analysis and multivariate analysis on lncRNAs in the ceRNA network derived from TCGA database, and identified them as hub genes in the following subnetwork. We firstly identified these key lncRNAs in the ceRNA network of TCGA, then paired their corresponding key miRNAs. Then we matched the key miRNAs with survival-related mRNAs thus to construct the survival-related subnetwork. For survival analysis in CPTAC database, although we failed to identify survival-related mRNAs and miRNAs, we surprisingly discovered that survival-related mRNAs and miRNAs in TCGA database also existed in the ceRNA network constructed by CPTAC database. Therefore, we chose to use these mRNAs, miRNAs and key lncRNAs derived from CPTAC to jointly construct survival-related subnetworks of CPTAC. In our constructed ceRNA subnetwork, there were 6 lncRNA-mediated lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA axes to role in survival outcomes of UCEC patients. A novel survival-related lncRNA DGCR5 could up-regulate CCNE1 expression by binding to miR195, miR383 and miR424, although DGCR5 had not been directly recorded in UCEC tumorigenesis procedures. DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 5 (DGCR5), a molecular sponge to regulate cancerous signaling pathways, has been previously discovered to be extremely dysregulated in various tumors and induce the malignant phenotypes of organs such as liver, pancreas and lungs, etc. Except for DGCR5, lncRNA LINC00443, LINC00483, C2orf48, TRBV11-2 and MEG8 were identified by multivariate Cox regression analysis, which reveals more accurate ability to predict prognosis.

From multivariate Cox regression analysis in our constructed ceRNA network, we totally identified 10 lncRNA prognostic signature candidates to predict the survival events of UCEC patients. As shown in our
ceRNA subnetwork diagram for TCGA, lncRNAs such as LINC00443, C2orf48, LINC00483 could regulate DLX4 and NR3C1 expression by binding to miR-183. LINC00443, LINC00483 and C2orf48 were previously proved to promote carcinoma progression (35), the same consequence of which were validated by our experiment. DLX4 has been shown to cause tumor migration, invasion, and metastasis (36). Previous one in vivo study on UCEC reported that DLX4 promoted cell proliferation, migration, and suggested poor prognosis, which is consistent with our findings (37). NR3C1 encodes glucocorticoid receptors to affect glucocorticoid response and participates in other transcription regulatory procedures. Former studies on miRNA-mRNA regulatory network in UCEC found that over expression of mRNA NR3C1 led to poor prognosis (38,39). Previously in vivo experiments proved that over-expressed LINC00483 promoted UCEC tumorigenesis, the mechanism of which was mainly to sponge with miR-508-3p to regulate RGS17 expression levels (40). In other cancer researches, LINC00483 also acted as a strong...
Figure 9 GO and KEGG functional pathways for DEmRNAs in UCEC. The GO chord (A: CPTAC, B: TCGA) for top 30 DEmRNAs and their GO terms. The GO circle (C: CPTAC, D: TCGA) and the outer circle represented the expression (|log₂ FC|) of DEmRNAs in each enriched GO terms. To be specific, red dots on respective GO term indicated the up-regulated DEmRNAs. Blue dots indicated the down-regulated DEmRNAs. The inner circle represented the significance of GO terms (log10-adjusted P values). The bar plot (E: CPTAC, F: TCGA) and dot plot (G: CPTAC, H: TCGA) of 10 pathways lncRNA-related DE.mRNAs from KEGG pathway analyses. For the bar plot, color intensity represented the significance of ranked functional pathways top to bottom while the horizontal axis represents the enriched mRNAs. For the dot plot, the bubble size was ordered by the number of enriched mRNAs and the color from red to blue also represented its significance. GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; CPTAC, Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; mRNA, messenger RNA.
ceRNA molecule and exhibited its regulatory ability to mediate tumor progression and prognosis, such as lung adenocarcinoma (41) and gastric cancer (42). Our clinical survival and transcriptome results revealed that patients with over-expression of LINC00443, C2orf48 and LINC00483 had poor prognostic outcomes. The two lncRNAs filtered in multi-Cox regression analysis in CPTAC, TRBV11-2 and MEG8 provided potential pathways to explain ceRNA regulatory network despite of none associated reports for UCEC. Firstly, they could up-regulate SOX11 expression by binding to hsa-mir-363 to bring about poor prognosis, and survival related mRNA SOX11 hypermethylation was reported as a tumor biomarker in UCEC (43). Secondly, MEG8 competed with has-mir-424 to CBX2 and CCNE1 expression as well as competing with has-mir-183 to up-regulate DLX4 and NR3C1 expression. Therefore, we predicted that TRBV11-2 and MEG8 worked as prognostic ceRNAs to up-regulate SOX11, CCNE1 and NR3C1 expression thus resulting in poor prognosis, suggesting that these lncRNAs could promote UCEC development. Furthermore, LINC00028 existed in our survival analysis results rooted from both databases, and the over-expression of LINC00028 indicated a poor prognosis. Besides, LINC00028 has been reported to be involved in ceRNA regulatory network of osteosarcoma recurrence (44). However, its mechanisms related to UCEC pathogenesis remains unclear.

As illustrated in former studies (45,46), UCEC carcinogenesis is promoted by cell cycle acceleration. Similarly, our KEGG analyses in both databases indicated that DEmRNAs were mostly enriched in pathways such as microRNAs in cancer, cellular senescence and proteoglycans in cancer. Deoxynucleobonucle, and deoxyRNA were identified in tumor and adjacent normal tissue samples in a large cohort of UCEC patients.

TIIC patterns and relevant therapies has captured more recognition, acting as therapeutic targets. Therefore, patterns of immune infiltration before the construction of ceRNA network were analyzed, and significant expressions were founded in CD8 T cells and plasma cells and other TIICs, among UCEC tissues and the adjacent ones, awaiting for more thoroughly data mining.

Noteworthy to be emphasized, there are several limitations in our analyses. Firstly, even though we identified the molecular mechanism of ceRNA from 566 samples in TCGA and 116 samples in CPTAC database, there was still a limited sample size for more reliable biomarkers that hindered us from incorporating data profiles originated from CPTAC and TCGA database into a comprehensive study ideally. Secondly, when conducting TIIC analyses, it came a problem that malignant tissue counts and the control ones (<40) vary drastically, so that analyses of statistical difference between them were unreasonable. Thirdly, although it is generally believed that distinct variability exists in lncRNA expressions of UCEC patients when grouped by different pathological stages, we focused on DElncRNAs between controlled groups versus the whole UCEC tumor tissue ones. This shortcoming of our protocol was determined by not only unfairly distributed cohorts of different pathological grades, but also potentially little positivity of statistical significance reached on the premise of grouped samples.

In further study, more attentions are warranted to be paid to association between pathological stages and prognostic molecular biomarkers when having balanced distribution of UCEC samples at various pathological stages, thus to be more convincing and meaningful. Concerning the pilot study limited by failure to closely link the analysis of the two databases, multi-centric studies are supposed to carry out to support our new researches inevitably.

**Conclusions**

Using data obtained from CPTAC and TCGA, we screened out lncRNA prognostic signatures on the basis of ceRNA completely composed of hub genes. Besides, an lncRNA-mediated ceRNA network reveals the molecular mechanism that facilitates UCEC pathological progress. LncRNAs including DGCR5, LINC00443, C2orf48, LINC00483, TRBV11-2 and MEG8 involved in lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory network were identified as promising diagnostic, therapeutic or prognostic biomarkers. Further studies are warranted to explore meaningful biological functional pathways underlying these lncRNA roles for UCEC.
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