The qualitative and quantitative high-resolution computed tomography in the evaluation of interstitial lung diseases

Dalia Hasan 1, Hisham Imam 2, Hasan Megally 2, Hoda Makhlouf 3 and Reem ElKady 2,4*

Abstract

Background: High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) is the most accepted imaging tool for the detection, characterization, and monitoring of interstitial lung diseases (ILDs). The correct interpretation of HRCT findings still represents often a problem for the radiologists since there is wide interobserver variability. Therefore, a quantitative and noninvasive imaging method able to permit an accurate assessment of ILD is highly desirable. The purpose of this study is to compare the visual method and quantitative CT histogram in the evaluation of ILDs and to identify the best quantitative parameter in the prediction of severity of ILDs.

Results: There is a correlation between the HRCT score by the qualitative method and CT histogram parameters by the quantitative method in the evaluation of ILDs. Total lung volume inspiratory, mean lung density expiratory, and high attenuation area expiratory showed a significant correlation with the HRCT score.

Conclusion: The single best predictor of fibrosis severity in interstitial lung disease is HAAs % expiratory.
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Background

Interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) are considered as a group of diseases with different etiology and features [1]. High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) is the most accepted imaging tool for the detection, characterization, and monitoring of ILD [2–6]. The correct interpretation of HRCT findings still represents often a problem for the radiologists since there is a wide interobserver variability [7]. Therefore, a quantitative and noninvasive imaging method able to permit an accurate assessment of ILD is highly desirable [8, 9]. Compared to the conventional visual interpretation of HRCT lung findings, the automatic computer-based assessment may reveal better improvement in the objectivity, sensitivity, and repeatability of quantitative changes in the lung features [10]. In this study, we aim to compare the visual method and quantitative CT histogram in the evaluation of ILDs and to identify the best quantitative parameter in the prediction of severity of ILDs.

Methods

Patients

This study was approved by the institutional ethical committee, and informed consent obtained assuring respect for the confidentiality of the medical records.

Fifty patients (45 females and five males) were included in this prospective cross-sectional analytic study that took place over 2 years of duration.

Methods

Inspiratory and expiratory HRCT, as well as statistical and comparative analysis, was performed for all included patients.
MDCT technique

The scans were performed using a 64-multidetector computed tomography (MDCT).

All patients were scanned at the end of full inspiration and at the end of forced expiration calibrated according to the manufacturer’s guidelines using parameters of 120 kV and 140 mA without modulation, rotation time = 0.4 s, collimation = 64 × 0.5 mm, and helical beam pitch = 0.8. Axial slices were reconstructed for visual ILD scoring with 0.5-mm slices, 0.4-mm increment, and lung kernel (FC30), while for densitometry, 5-mm-thick slices with an increment of 2.5 mm and smooth kernel (FC03) were used. The scans covered the whole thorax. No contrast medium administrated.

Image evaluation and data analysis

MDCT images for each patient were reviewed on a picture archiving and communication system (PACS; GE Centricity, Milwaukee, WI) using a window setting for lung parenchyma (center, −600 HU; width, 1600 HU). Then, these images were evaluated during inspiratory and expiratory scans by both visual methods to detect parenchymal abnormalities and air trapping respectively and quantitative method using CT histogram to calculate quantitative CT indices of ILDs.

1) Visual assessment: as shown in Figs. 1 and 2

- Inspiratory images were evaluated for parenchymal abnormalities as follows:

  The parenchymal abnormalities on HRCT were coded and scored in all the images according to Warrick score (9) as follows:

  A point value was given to each abnormality as follows: ground-glass appearance = 1, irregular pleural margins = 2, septal/subpleural lines = 3, honeycombing = 4, and subpleural cysts = 5. In each patient, the “severity of disease” score was obtained by adding single point values (maximal severity score 15). An “extent of disease” score was obtained by counting the number of bronchopulmonary segments involved for each abnormality: one to three segments scored as 1, four to nine segments scored as 2, and more than nine segments scored as 3 (maximal extent score 15). The severity and extent of disease were then calculated as the total HRCT.
score (range from 0 to 30) (15 points to the severity and 15 points to the extent); then, grading of the degree of fibrosis is classified according to the HRCT score, mild fibrosis less than 10 and severe fibrosis more than 10.

- Expiratory images were reviewed for the presence of air trapping.

2) Quantitative assessment: as shown in Figs. 3, 4, and 5

The lung density histogram of each CT was obtained using the GE software. The program uses a semi-automated thresholding technique to isolate the lungs from other tissues and structures. The radiodensity of the lung parenchyma isolated from the mediastinum and the

![Fig. 2](image1) An inspiratory axial MDCT image showing ground-glass and honeycombing appearance. **b, c** Expiratory axial MDCT images showing areas of air trapping as well as subpleural cyst

![Fig. 3](image2) CT histogram analysis **a** showing total lung volume (TLV) and mean lung density (MLD) between threshold densities – 250 and – 910HU, **b** showing the percentage of the lung occupied by high attenuation areas (HAAs %) about 31.3%, and **c** showing the percentage of the lung occupied by low attenuation areas (LAAs %) about 22.6%
Fig. 4 CT histogram analysis a showing total lung volume (TLV) and mean lung density (MLD) between threshold densities – 250 and – 910 HU, b showing the percentage of the lung occupied by high attenuation areas (HAAs %) about 23.7%, and c showing the percentage of lung occupied by low attenuation areas (LAAs %) about 34%

Fig. 5 CT histogram analysis a showing total lung volume (TLV) and mean lung density (MLD) between threshold densities – 250 and – 910 HU, b showing the percentage of the lung occupied by high attenuation areas (HAAs %) about 12.8%, and c showing the percentage of lung occupied by low attenuation areas (LAAs %) about 49.1%
Thoracic wall ranges between −250 and −910. Then, descriptive parameters of the computer analysis were obtained. The parameters derived from the lung density histogram during inspiratory and expiratory scans are as follows:

- Total lung volume (TLV) and mean lung density (MLD) of lung parenchyma ranged from −250 to −910 Hounsfield units (HU)
- Percentage of the lung occupied by high attenuation areas (HAAs; defined by attenuation values between −600 and −250 HU)
- Percentage of the lung occupied by low attenuation areas (LAAs; defined attenuation values between −850 and −910 HU)

**Results**

This study included 50 patients: 45 females and 5 males. Their age ranged between 24 and 69 years with a mean age of 49.66 years as shown in Table 1. All patients had progressive dyspnea and dry cough. The average HRCT score for all fifty cases ranged from 7 to 27 (14 patients recorded as mild fibrosis with the HRCT score about 8.57 ± 1.28 and 36 patients recorded as severe fibrosis with the HRCT score about 19.47 ± 4.81).

As regards the correlation between mild and severe fibrosis by qualitative measures and quantitative values for ILDs, the following parameters showed a significant correlation with HRCT score as follows: TLV inspiratory, MLD expiratory, and HAA expiratory as shown in Table 3. Then, by multiple regression analysis and operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, the single best predictor of fibrosis severity is HAAs % expiratory as shown in Tables 4 and 5.

**Discussion**

This study describes the correlation between the histogram-based quantitative evaluation of MDCT during inspiration and expiration and the visual-based qualitative method.

In this study, we used TLV (cc), MLD (HU), HAAs %, and LAAs % as histogram parameters during inspiratory and expiratory MDCT as well as HRCT score as the visual-based method in the evaluation of ILDs according to Warrick et al. [9]. The HRCT score was classified into mild and severe. In this study, the average HRCT score for all fifty cases measures about 16.42 ± 6.43, 14 patients recorded as mild fibrosis with the HRCT score about 8.57 ± 1.28 and 36 patients recorded as severe fibrosis with the HRCT score about 19.47 ± 4.81.

There is a discrepancy with Salaffi et al. [11] who stated that the average total HRCT score equals 12.1 ± 6.9. The potential increase in the average HRCT score in the current study is explained by that 72% of cases classified as severe fibrosis showing high grade of HRCT score and greater mean of decline in forced vital capacity.

| Table 1 Demographic data |
|-------------------------|
| Age (years)             | Range | Mean ± SD       |
|                         | 24–69 | 49.66 ± 14.94  |
| Sex                     |       |
| Female                  | 45 (90%) |
| Male                    | 5 (10%)  |
| Duration of complaint (years) | 1–15 | 5.66 ± 3.46 |

| Table 2 HRCT score of the examined cases |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Range Mean ± SD                         |
| HRCT score                              | 7–27 | 16.42 ± 6.43 |
| HRCT score (mild) (n = 14)              | 7–10 | 8.57 ± 1.28  |
| HRCT score (severe) (n = 36)            | 11–27| 19.47 ± 4.81 |

| Table 3 The correlation between quantitative values for ILDs and mild and severe fibrosis by qualitative measures |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameters                                    | HRCT Mild (n = 14) | HRCT Severe (n = 36) | P value |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| TLV (cc) inspiratory                          |                   |                     | 0.040*  |
| Range                                         | 4001–12,937       | 4714–10,485         |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 9087.64 ± 2786.62 | 7649.94 ± 1878.84  |         |
| MLD (HU) inspiratory                          |                   |                     | 0.888   |
| Range                                         | −794 to −748      | −949 to −683        |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | −771.21 ± 16.01   | −768.77 ± 63.12     |         |
| HAA % inspiratory                             |                   |                     | 0.272   |
| Range                                         | 12.8–21.4         | 5.6–39.9            |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 18.72 ± 3.52      | 21.06 ± 7.53        |         |
| LAA % inspiratory                             |                   |                     | 0.903   |
| Range                                         | 14–49.8           | 13–60               |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 32.76 ± 14.98     | 32.13 ± 16.78       |         |
| TLV (cc) expiratory                           |                   |                     | 0.607   |
| Range                                         | 3775–11,963       | 4443–11,581         |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 8289.85 ± 2601.1  | 7924.36 ± 2089.21   |         |
| MLD (HU) expiratory                           |                   |                     | 0.036*  |
| Range                                         | −790 to −746      | −805 to −692        |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | −769.35 ± 16.12   | −749.97 ± 32        |         |
| HAA % inspiratory                             |                   |                     | 0.006*  |
| Range                                         | 13.2–25.4         | 14.6–35.5           |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 19.17 ± 3.85      | 23.89 ± 5.68        |         |
| LAA % inspiratory                             |                   |                     | 0.348   |
| Range                                         | 13.5–48.2         | 13.4–62             |         |
| Mean ± SD                                     | 33.44 ± 14.45     | 38.18 ± 16.36       |         |

*Significant correlation at p value < 0.05
(FVC) than in Salaffi et al. study [11] in that most of their patients were classified as mild fibrosis evident by a mild decline in FVC; also, there was a difference in sample size: his sample size was seventy-nine patients, while in the current study, fifty patients only were included.

The total lung volume (TLV) inspiratory in this study was significantly low in severe fibrosis compared to mild fibrosis with $P$ value 0.040. This agreed with Ohkubo et al. [12] who stated that lung volume showed a significant negative correlation with the severity of lung fibrosis. On the other hand, TLV expiratory showed no significant correlation between mild and severe fibrosis.

Mean lung density (MLD) inspiratory in ILDs in this study showed no significant correlation with fibrosis score; this agreed with Tanizawa et al. [13] who stated that MLD (HU) inspiratory failed to correlate with fibrosis score. This is explained by that as honeycombing or low attenuation area (LAA) expands, fibrosis score increases, whereas MLD may remain unchanged or decrease. The reason is that increments in LAA (including honeycombing) offset any fluctuations in HAA, while MLD expiratory in this study showed a significant correlation with fibrosis score with $P$ value 0.036. To our knowledge, no previous studies are available for comparison of this point.

High attenuation areas (HAA %) inspiratory showed weak correlation with no significant difference with fibrosis score, and this agreed with Tanizawa et al. [13] who stated that HAA % inspiratory correlated weakly with fibrosis score ($|rs| = 0.23$). This can be explained by that as fibrosis score increases, honeycombing or low attenuation area (LAA) expands and offsets any fluctuations in HAA. In this study, HAA expiratory showed a significant correlation with fibrosis score with $P$ value 0.006. No previous studies are available for comparison.

Low attenuation areas (LAA %) in inspiratory and expiratory phases in this study showed no significant correlation with fibrosis score, as this study not only depends on IPF patients diagnosed by ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT guidelines, but the included patients were any type of interstitial lung disease (where honeycombing areas are not a constant finding). This result has disagreed with Nakagawa et al. [14] who concluded that LAA % correlated significantly with fibrosis score; this is explained by that only IPF patients were included in their study according to ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT guidelines for the diagnosis and management of IPF that established HRCT key features of UIP patterns, such as subpleural/basal predominance, honeycombing with or without traction bronchiectasis.

In the study done by Tanizawa et al. [13], IPF and non-IPF patients were included. They stated that cystic areas (CA%) correlated weakly with fibrosis score ($rs = 0.35$) and CA% corresponds mainly with diagnosis and HRCT features and was significantly higher in patients with definite UIP pattern.

As regards the predictive power of histogram parameters in severe fibrosis, using univariate and multiple regressions then receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, the HAA % expiratory was the best predictor of ILD severity in HRCT by using cutoff values > 21.5 HU, giving sensitivity 66.68%, specificity 85.71%, accuracy 72%, and area under the curve (AUC) 0.753 at $P$

### Table 4 Logistic regression analysis for prediction of severe HRCT in patients with interstitial lung disease

| Variable       | Simple logistic regression analysis | Multiple stepwise logistic regression analysis |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                | OR   | 95% CI | $P$ value | AOR  | 95% CI | $P$ value |
| TLV inspiratory| 1    | 0.999–1 | 0.050     | 1    | 0.999–1 | 0.050     |
| MLD expiratory | 1.026| 1.001–1.052 | 0.045 | 1.026| 1.001–1.052 | 0.045 |
| HAA expiratory | 1.218| 1.042–1.423 | 0.013 | 1.218| 1.042–1.423 | 0.013 |

### Table 5 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for the prediction of severe interstitial lung disease

| Variable       | Optimal cutoff | AUC   | $P$ value | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV   | NPV   | Accuracy |
|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|----------|
| TLV inspiratory| $\leq 8988$    | 0.653 | 0.111     | 69.44       | 71.43       | 86.2  | 47.6  | 70       |
| MLD inspiratory| $> -761$       | 0.621 | 0.134     | 52.78       | 85.71       | 90.5  | 41.4  | 62       |
| HAA inspiratory| $> 21.4$       | 0.673 | 0.060     | 58.33       | 100         | 100   | 48.3  | 70       |
| LAA inspiratory| $> 498$        | 0.506 | 0.944     | 30.56       | 100         | 100   | 35.9  | 50       |
| TLV expiratory | $\leq 7159$    | 0.548 | 0.625     | 44.44       | 85.71       | 88.9  | 37.5  | 56       |
| MLD expiratory | $> -781$       | 0.690 | 0.011     | 83.33       | 50          | 81.1  | 53.8  | 74       |
| HAA expiratory | $> 21.5$       | 0.753 | < 0.001   | 66.68       | 85.71       | 92.3  | 50    | 72       |
| LAA expiratory | $> 48.2$       | 0.585 | 0.325     | 33.33       | 100         | 100   | 36.8  | 52       |

AUC area under the curve, PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value
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value < 0.001 and 95% CI 1.042–1.423 and odds ratio 1.218. This is explained by the additional value for ex- 
piratory CT in the evaluation of ILDs.

Limitations
The diagnosis of pulmonary fibrosis was based on radio-
logical findings, not by histological examination. The 
sample size was relatively small (conducted at a single 
institution). Also, no previous studies could be detected 
about the quantitative method in the expiratory phase; 
therefore, it is necessary to validate our evaluation in 
future studies.

Conclusion
TLV inspiratory, MLD expiratory, and HAA expiratory 
showed a significant correlation with the HRCT score. 
HAAs % expiratory was the best predictor for ILD sever-
ity among all CT histogram parameters by using a cutoff 
value > 21.5% giving sensitivity 66.68% and specificity 
about 85.71%.
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Additional file 1. Fibrosis score used in this study.
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