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Ursolic acid restores sensitivity to gemcitabine through the RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 axis in pancreatic cancer cells and in a mouse xenograft model
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Abstract

Gemcitabine (GEM) is a first-line drug for pancreatic cancer therapy, but GEM resistance is easily developed in patients. Growing evidence suggests that cancer chemoprevention and suppression are highly associated with dietary phytochemical and microbiota composition. Ursolic acid (UA) has anti-inflammatory and anticancer effects; however, its role in improving cancer drug resistance in vivo remains unclear. In this study, the aim was to explore the role of UA in managing drug resistance-associated molecular mechanisms and the influence of gut microbiota. The in vitro results showed that receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), nuclear factor kappa B p65 (NF-κB/p65), and multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1) protein levels were significantly increased in GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer cells (named MIA PaCa-2 GEMR) compared to MIA PaCa-2 cells. Downregulation of RAGE, pP65, and MDR1 protein expression not only was observed following UA treatment but also was seen in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells after transfection with a RAGE siRNA. Remarkably, the enhanced effects of UA coupled with GEM administration dramatically suppressed the RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 cascade and consequently inhibited subcutaneous tumor growth. Moreover, UA could increase alpha diversity and regulate the composition of gut microbiota, especially in Ruminiclostridium b. Taken together, these results provide the first direct evidence of MDR1 attenuation and chemosensitivity enhancement through inhibition of the RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo, implying that UA may be used as an adjuvant for the treatment of pancreatic cancer in the future.
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1. Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the most aggressive and lethal cancer due to a lack of standard screening guidelines for patients at the early asymptomatic stage [1, 2]. There are numerous treatment options for pancreatic cancer, such as surgical resection, neoadjuvant therapy, chemotheraphy, radiation therapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy [3]. Nevertheless, severe side effects, complications, and drug resistance are observed and considered an important part of cancer care [4]. In the absence of effective and safe therapeutic strategies, perhaps the incidence and mortality of pancreatic cancer will escalate and become the second leading cause of cancer-related death by 2030 [5]. Thus, there is a strong need for additional prevention and treatment tools for pancreatic cancer.

Ursolic acid (UA) is a natural pentacyclic triterpenic compound that is widely found in Hedyotis diffusa, Prunella vulgaris, Rosmarinus officinalis, coffee, and fruit peels, particularly in apple peels [6]. Several studies have revealed the anticancer properties of UA, including restraint of cancer progression through cell proliferation inhibition, motility suppression, tumor microenvironment modulation,
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and cell death induction [7-11]. Moreover, no toxicological effects were observed in a 90-day oral toxicity study in male and female rats receiving up to 1000 mg/kg/daily UA via oral gavage [12]. This evidence indicates the safety of UA in cancer prevention and treatment.

To date, drug resistance is the major problem in pancreatic cancer therapy and is one of the main challenges for the extremely poor prognosis of pancreatic cancer [13]. Gemcitabine (GEM) is a cytidine analog that is the standard drug for pancreatic cancer chemotherapy and acts as a competitive substrate of deoxycytidine triphosphate (dCTP) to block cell cycle progression and DNA synthesis [14]. However, the intrinsic and environment-mediated drug resistance of GEM frequently leads to treatment failure as well as recurrence in patients after potentially curative resection [15]. Thus, targeting GEM efflux could be an effective strategy for pancreatic cancer treatment.

The receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) is a transmembrane and multi-ligand component of the immunoglobulin superfamily, which has been shown to promote pancreatic tumorigenesis by leading to the activation of multiple downstream signaling cascades [16]. Moreover, numerous studies have demonstrated that a positive feedback loop between RAGE and NF-κB is found and results in maintaining RAGE activation and proliferation signaling in pancreatic cancer [17, 18]. However, little is known about the role of RAGE in the chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer. Besides, accumulating evidence has revealed that multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1) plays a crucial role in GEM efflux and consequently increases GEM resistance ability in cancers [19, 20]. The study provided compelling evidence that RAGE-initiated ERK/Drp1 signaling triggers chemoresistance and regrowth in dying colorectal cancer cells [21]. In line with this, our recent results demonstrated that RAGE upregulation promotes the development of GEM-resistant cells and is abolished by quercetin treatment [22].

The gut microbiota has been shown to be highly associated with pancreatic health and disease, but clarification of the detailed mechanisms contributing to the pathologies have been slow to emerge [23]. Recently, studies revealed that the gut microbiome promotes pancreatic cancer progression and tumor-immune escape mechanisms via decreasing monocyte differentiation, which consequently causes T-cell anergy [24]. Hence, targeting the microbiome may protect against tumorigenesis.

Until now, the capacity of UA to regulate GEM resistance-associated mechanisms and the microbiome is still unclear. Therefore, exploring the role of UA in modulating both MDR1 expression through the RAGE/NF-κB axis and microbiota dysbiosis may provide a benefit to pancreatic cancer treatment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

UA, GEM, sodium bicarbonate, penicillin-streptomycin (PS), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), glycine, trishydroxymethylaminomethane (Tris), isopropanol, Tween 20, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and other chemicals were of analytical grade and were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). High-glucose DMEM, Opti-MEM, horse serum, and fetal bovine serum were obtained from Gibco/Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). A MDR1 antibody (for in vivo experiments), as well as RAGE and NF-κB/p65 antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Phospho-NF-κB/p65 (Ser 536; sc-101752) and phospho-IκB-α (Ser 32/36; sc-101713) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA). MDR1 antibody (for in vitro experiments) was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). β-actin (AC-15) antibody was purchased from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, CO, USA). Peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) and peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) were purchased from GeneTex (Irving, CA, USA). A RAGE siRNA and Dharmacon transfection reagent were purchased from GE Healthcare (Lafayette, CO, USA).

2.2. Cell culture

The human pancreatic carcinoma cell line MIA PaCa-2 was obtained from the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC; Hsinchu, Taiwan). The cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum, 2.5% v/v horse serum, 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. A GEM-resistant cell line (MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells) was established by incrementally increasing GEM concentrations (from 0.05 μM to 0.5 μM) in culture medium for developing a cellular model that tolerated 0.5 μM GEM [22]. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% v/v CO2 and 95% v/v air.

2.3. Cell viability analysis

Cells were placed into 96-well microtiter plates overnight and then were treated with different concentrations of UA or GEM. After treatments, an MTT assay was performed as described in our
previous report [22], and the optical densit (OD) at 570 nm was measured by a FLUOstar Galaxy spectrophotometer (BMG LABTECH, Ortenberg, Germany). Cell viability is presented as a percentage relative to the control.

2.4. Xenograft tumor model

Four-week-old female BALB/c nude mice were purchased from the National Laboratory Animal Center (Taipei, Taiwan) and were kept in specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions. All mice had ad libitum access to a chow diet (LabDiet 5001 Rodent Diet; Newco Distributors Corporation, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, USA) and distilled water. The mice were kept in a regular environment with the following conditions: light-dark cycle (12:12 h), humidity (65% ± 5%), and room temperature (22°C ± 2°C). All mice were given one week to accommodate the environment and diet. The protocols of animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of National Chung Hsing University (IACUC Approval no: 106-107R).

In this study, the beneficial effects of UA on chemosensitivity enhancement were evaluated in a GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer xenograft mouse model. MIA PaCa-2 \(^{\text{GEMR}}\) cells were resuspended at a final concentration of 3 × 10^6 cells per 100 μL of serum-free DMEM culture medium mixed with Matrigel (1:1), and then they were injected subcutaneously into the right flank of the nude mice. When tumors reached 100 mm³ in volume, the mice were randomly divided into four groups (8 animals each group) and were injected intraperitoneally (IP) with normal saline (untreated control group), GEM (100 mg/kg b.w.), UA (40 mg/kg b.w.), and GEM (100 mg/kg b.w.) combined with UA (40 mg/kg b.w.) 8 times (once every three days). The dose for GEM treatment was based on previous reports [25, 26]. Additionally, the tumor suppression effects were useful by UA treatment in the range of 50–200 mg/kg/d [27, 28]. In our pretest condition, 40 mg/kg UA (IP injection, once every three days) effectively reduced tumor growth (data not shown). Thus, the 40 mg/kg UA was selected for use in this study. All treatments were performed by IP injection into the abdominal cavity once every three days. The mice were then monitored for tumor progression with a caliper every other day. Tumor size was measured across its two perpendicular diameters, and its volume was calculated as 1/2 (length × width²). Blood samples were collected for GOT, GPT, BUN, and creatinine analysis by Union Clinical Laboratory (Taichung, Taiwan).

2.5. Small interfering RNA knockdown

The siRNA transfection procedure was carried out following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 25 nM RAGE siRAGE or 25 nM vehicle vector control reagent was prepared, mixed with Opti-MEM and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. To perform the siRNA transfection, cells were incubated with the above reagents for 24 h, and then the RAGE protein levels were checked.

2.6. Protein expression analysis

After in vitro or in vivo experiments, the cell lysates or tumor tissue homogenates were harvested, and protein concentrations were determined. The expression levels of RAGE, NF-κB/p65, phospho-IκB-α, and MDR1 in the cells and tissues were determined using Western blotting analysis, according to our previous report [22]. The relative abundances of the signal intensities were quantified using VisionWorks LS Image Acquisition and Analysis Software (version 6.3.3, UVP, Upland, CA, USA).

2.7. Gut microbiota analysis

Mouse fecal specimens were collected and immediately frozen at −80°C until DNA extraction was performed. DNA was extracted using an AllPure Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Allbio, Taichung, Taiwan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After extraction, the total DNA concentration was quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The V3 and V4 hypervariable regions of prokaryotic 16S ribosomal DNA were selected for generating amplicons and the following taxonomy analysis. For amplification of the V3–V4 region of 16S rDNA, forward primer (5'-CCTACGGGRBGCASCAGKVRGAAT-3') and reverse primer (5'-GGACTACNNGGTTWTC- TAATCC-3') were used. The bioinformatics analysis of microbial diversity studies was performed by Allbio Life Co., Ltd. (Taichung, Taiwan).

2.8. Statistical analysis

All data are presented as the mean ± SD and were analyzed using SPSS statistical software. Differences between groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was assumed and defined at a p-value < 0.05.
3. Results

3.1. Comparison of chemoresistance-associated protein expression in MIA PaCa-2 and MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells

As shown in Fig. 1A and B, tolerance to GEM pharmacologic cytotoxicity was greater in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells than it was parental cells. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) value of GEM treatment in MIA PaCa-2 cells was 0.064 ± 0.017 μM, whereas the IC50 value was 54.4 ± 5.12 μM in GEM-resistant cells; this was approximately 850-fold higher than the value in the parental cells. Recently, we demonstrated that RAGE activation contributes to GEM resistance in pancreatic cancer [22]. Thus, we further hypothesized that RAGE-initiated signaling might promote MDR1 levels in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells. Consistent with this possibility, RAGE protein levels were significantly increased in GEM-resistant cells compared to MIA PaCa-2 cells (Fig. 1C). Growing evidence suggests that the NF-κB signaling pathway and its associated genes play a central role in GEM resistance in pancreatic cancer [29], indicating that MDR1 protein expression may be modulated by NF-κB signaling. As anticipated, the protein levels of pIkB-α (Ser 32/36), NF-κB pP65 (Ser 536), and MDR1 were increased in GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer cells (Fig. 1D and E).

3.2. UA inhibited cell viability and chemoresistance-associated protein expression in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells

Our previous studies have found that UA induces cell death in various cancer cell lines through
distinct mechanisms [30-33]. Here, we investigated whether UA has the same lethal capacity in pancreatic-resistant cancer cells. The cell viability was not merely repressed by UA treatment in GEM-sensitive cells; viability was significantly reduced in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells in a dose-response manner as well (Fig. 2A and B). To elucidate the molecular mechanisms of chemosensitivity enhancement by
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**Fig. 2.** UA reduced cell growth and RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 protein expression. The viability of (A) MIA PaCa-2 and (B) MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cells was evaluated by MTT assay. Cells were treated with UA for 24 h, and the protein expression levels of (C) RAGE, (D) pIκB-α and pP65, and (E) MDR1 were analyzed by Western blotting. Protein quantification shows the mean ± SD of three independent measurements. *p < 0.05 vs. untreated cells.
UA treatment, we examined the associated protein expression in GEM-resistant cells. The levels of RAGE were downregulated in MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells subjected to various concentrations of UA (Fig. 2C). Moreover, the phosphorylation activity of IkB-\(\alpha\) (Ser 32/36) and NF-\(\kappa\)B P65 (Ser 536) was significantly suppressed by UA treatment (Fig. 2D). In addition, UA incubation effectively inhibited MDR1 levels in GEM-resistant cells (Fig. 2E).

3.3. RAGE regulated MDR1 expression in MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells

To determine whether IkB-\(\alpha\), NF-\(\kappa\)B, and MDR1 protein expression was directly controlled via RAGE regulation in MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells. MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells were transfected with an empty vector (denoted Vehicle cells) or a RAGE siRAGE (denoted siRAGE cells) and examined for IkB-\(\alpha\), NF-\(\kappa\)B P65, and MDR1 protein levels by Western blotting. RAGE silencing greatly decreased IkB-\(\alpha\) (Ser 32/36) and NF-\(\kappa\)B P65 (Ser 536) protein phosphorylation and consequently abolished MDR1 protein expression compared with what was observed in control cells (Fig. 3).

3.4. Chemosensitivity was enhanced by UA treatment and RAGE gene silencing

The objective of this study was to determine the chemosensitivity enhancing effects of UA treatment or RAGE siRNA transfection in MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, the RAGE protein levels were significantly decreased by RAGE siRNA transfection compared to the vehicle cells. Likewise, the protein expression of RAGE was also reduced by UA incubation compared to the vehicle cells (Fig. 4A and B). Furthermore, UA treatment or RAGE siRNA transfection dramatically decreased GEM-evoked RAGE expression contrast to that of the GEM-treated vehicle cells (Fig. 4A and B). Also, the trends of NF-\(\kappa\)B P65 (Ser 536) protein phosphorylation and MDR1 levels were similar to the RAGE protein expression in the various treatment groups (Fig. 4C and D). Notably, UA treatment or RAGE gene silencing effectively diminished MDR1 protein expression under GEM treatment conditions (Fig. 4D).

3.5. GEM chemosensitivity was enhanced by UA administration in MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR}-bearing xenograft mice

It is critical to determine whether GEM resistance was overcome by UA administration \textit{in vivo}. MIA PaCa-2 \textsuperscript{GEMR} cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice to establish xenografts. The tumor size and nodule volume were not significantly different between the untreated control and GEM-treated groups (Fig. 5A and B). Impaired tumorigenesis was observed in the UA group compared with the untreated control group (Fig. 5A and B). Remarkably,
the volume and weight of xenograft tumors were dramatically decreased by GEM plus UA treatment compared to UA or GEM treatment (Fig. 5A and B).

The involvement of the RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 axis in vivo was then further examined. As shown in Fig. 6A, RAGE protein levels were significantly increased in the GEM group compared with the untreated control group. UA or GEM plus UA treatment effectively reduced RAGE protein expression (Fig. 6A). In vitro experiments showed that RAGE activation was responsible for NF-κB and MDR1 expression. Further, a similar pattern was also observed for NF-κB P65 and MDR1 protein expression. The phosphorylation of NF-κB P65 (Ser 536) was increased by GEM administration in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cell-bearing tumors (Fig. 6B). The phosphorylation activities of NF-κB P65 (Ser 536) were significantly reduced by UA or GEM plus UA treatment (Fig. 6B). Similar protein expression patterns were not only found regarding RAGE and NF-κB P65 expression, but the same expression tendency was found for MDR1 protein in the xenograft experiment (Fig. 6C).

On the other hand, the safety of UA treatment was evaluated by biochemical analysis of the blood. The examination revealed that serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT), glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine contents were significantly increased in the GEM treatment group in comparison to the
untreated control group (Fig. 7). Surprisingly, UA plus GEM treatment dramatically reduced GOT, BUN, and creatinine levels compared with those of the GEM-treated group (Fig. 7).

3.6. Pancreatic cancer-associated microbiota was modulated by UA administration

Growing evidence suggests that the gut microbiota is one of multiple sources of influence on oncogenesis and chemotherapy [23, 24]. The richness and species diversity of gut microbiota (α-diversity) were estimated by Chao1 and Shannon indexes. The Chao1 and Shannon indexes were lower in the GEM-treated mice than they were in the other groups (Fig. 8A and B). *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were two major phyla in MIA PaCa-2-GEMR cell-bearing xenograft mice. GEM treatment considerably decreased the proportion of *Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes*, whereas the proportion was slightly increased by UA or UA plus GEM treatment (Fig. 8C). In addition, the relative abundance of the top 5 most abundant bacterial strains was assessed. Decreasing relative abundances of *Anaeroplasma*, the *Eubacterium xylanophilum* group, and *Roseburia* were observed in the GEM-treated group compared with the untreated control group (Fig. 8D). In contrast, the relative abundances of *Parabacteroides* and *Ruminiclostridium 6* were increased in the GEM group compared with the untreated control group (Fig. 8D). Interestingly, the relative abundance of *Ruminiclostridium 6* was repressed by UA treatment to levels that were lower than those of the untreated control group (Fig. 8E). Moreover, UA plus GEM treatment significantly increased the relative abundance of *Erysipelatoclostridium* and reduced the relative abundance of *Mucispirillum* and *Ruminiclostridium 6* compared with their levels in the GEM-treated mice (Fig. 8F). On the basis of the above results suggested that the relative abundance of *Ruminiclostridium 6* may be directly regulated by UA administration in mice.
4. Discussions

GEM is the standard chemotherapeutic drug for pancreatic cancer treatment, yet nearly all patients either fail to react to GEM or rapidly develop chemoresistance. Articles merely mention that the metabolic clearance of GEM is a key factor for the development of chemoresistance, which may affect the capacity of second-line drug delivery and could salvage chemotherapy efficacy [34]. Thus, we herein explored whether UA was able to modulate drug efflux pump expression and enhance GEM efficacy. Our results revealed that GEM chemosensitivity was promoted upon UA incubation with pancreatic cancer cells. In particular, the RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 axis was upregulated in GEM-resistant cells but was downregulated by UA administration. In addition to in vitro experiments, a xenograft mouse model also showed that UA combined with GEM exhibited an optimal result in limiting the size and volume of MIA PaCa-2 GEMR cell-bearing tumors. The coordinated changes in RAGE/NF-κB/MDR1 signaling protein expression in vitro and in vivo indicated that this combination strategy achieved the best tumor suppression effects in pancreatic cancer treatment.

Although previous studies found that NF-κB is a key regulator in pancreatic cancer chemoresistance, high levels of NF-κB are maintained by RAGE [29, 35]. However, the role of RAGE in the chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer is still unclear. Besides, UA has been found to inhibit pancreatic tumor growth and enhance GEM efficacy in a mouse model through repression of the inflammatory tumor microenvironment [36]. Nevertheless, there has been little evidence to support that the GEM efflux transporter is directly targeted by UA treatment. Our recent report revealed the underlying mechanisms of cell proliferation suppression and chemosensitivity enhancement by UA treatment [30]. The results showed that UA induces cell cycle arrest and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, consequently causing apoptosis and autophagy by inhibiting the expression of RAGE in both GEM-sensitive and GEM-resistant MIA PaCa-2 cells [30]. In addition, our previous evidence showed that cell death and chemosensitivity are facilitated by quercetin treatment through suppression of RAGE initiated PI3K/AKT/mTOR/NF-κB axis in human pancreatic cancer cells [22], suggesting that development of GEM-resistance dependents on RAGE/NF-κB associated cascade via various signaling transductions. Furthermore, our previous study has shown that cytotoxicity (apoptosis and autophagy) enhancing effects induced by UA combined with
Fig. 8. Manipulation of microbiota composition by UA administration in MIA PaCa-2 GEMR-bearing xenograft mice. Treatment of MIA PaCa-2 GEMR-bearing xenograft mice with GEM by itself or coupled with UA. Mouse fecal specimens were collected for microbiota analysis. (A) Chao1 was used for species richness estimation. (B) Shannon index was used for overall diversity measurement (richness and evenness). (C) Phylum level. The relative abundance of the dominant microbial strains in the (D) Control group vs. GEM group, (E) Control group vs. UA group, and (F) GEM group vs. GEM + UA group. (n = 3, *p < 0.05).
GEM treatment in both MIA Paca-2 and MIA Paca-2 \( \text{GEMR} \) cells [30]. Here, we found that the RAGE/NF-\( \kappa B/M\)DR1 signaling axis was critical for GEM resistance and that it directly prohibited the MDR1 efflux transporter following UA treatment in GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer cells and in a MIA PaCa-2 \( \text{GEMR} \) bearing xenograft mouse model. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing unique GEM-resistant signaling in pancreatic cancer and that it could be blocked by UA treatment both \text{in vitro} and \text{in vivo}.

GEM resistance is closely related to immune tolerance and leads to a poor survival rate in pancreatic cancer patients [37]. A report has found that GEM resistance is modulated by intratumor \textit{Gammaproteobacteria} and its secreted long isoform of cytidine deaminase (CDD\(_1\)) enzyme [38]. However, the change in gut microbiota composition between cancer growth and immune response are complicated and unpredictable [39]. Previous evidence indicated that depletion of the gut microbiome does not reduce pancreatic tumor growth in recombination activating gene 1 (Rag1)-knockout immunodeficient mice, indicating that tumor immunity is an important process influenced by the gut microbiota [40]. Nevertheless, few studies have investigated the relationships between cancer-associated gut microbiota and immune-associated chemotherapy resistance. Recent reports have shown that the relative abundance of \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 and activation of the NF-\( \kappa B \) pathway are significantly increased in dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced ulcerative colitis BALB/c mice, implying that \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 may play a central role in regulating NF-\( \kappa B \) activation [41, 42]. Moreover, the clinical trial found that higher relative abundance of \textit{Ruminiclostridium} in children patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia than in healthy controls [43]. In addition, the abundance of \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 is significantly lower in healthy volunteers compared to brain cancer patients [44], indicating that \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 may also involve in the growth of tumors. Interestingly, our results demonstrated that the relative abundance of \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 was substantially decreased in the UA and UA + GEM administration groups, and it was associated with NF-\( \kappa B \) downregulation and tumor suppression.

Besides, UA has low dissolution and low oral bioavailability properties. Therefore, to explore the efficacy of UA by IP injection. Until now, it remains a limitation of calculating the metabolism of UA after IP injection in mice. However, a previous report found that IP injection of UA has a synergistic effect with oxaliplatin in suppressing NF-\( \kappa B \) pathway and tumor growth in a xenograft mouse model of colorectal cancer [10]. In addition, our results represented compelling evidence that UA reduced the GEM resistance and tumor growth by IP injection. The above studies support that UA administration by IP injection is an appropriate method for chemosensitivity enhancement.

Although UA administration by IP injection effectively reduced tumor volume through gut microbiota modulation in pancreatic cancer xenograft mice model. However, a knowledge gap regarding the relationship between drug IP injection and gut microbiota modulation remains existence. Previous evidence showed that the intestinal microbiome accelerates pancreatic carcinogenesis in a subcutaneous xenograft Nod-SCID mice model, indicating microbiome can affect pancreatic carcinogenesis through long-distance connectivity that is independent of pancreatic microbiota and the pancreatic stromal microenvironment [45]. The consistent evidence suggested that a higher relative abundance of \textit{Ruminiclostridium} 6 was induced by IP administration of UA and consequently enhancement of chemosensitivity.

Notably, it has been shown that GEM induces liver injury and hepatotoxicity with elevations of AST and/or ALT levels in 30% to 70% [46-48]. More importantly, GEM-associated renal dysfunction and hemolytic uremic syndrome have been demonstrated in pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients [49-51]. Consistently, our results recapitulated the clinical findings and found that GEM induced liver toxicity and kidney injury by significantly increasing GOT, GPT, BUN, and creatinine levels in laboratory mice. Strikingly, UA administration significantly improved hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity by reducing the crucial indicators of GOT, BUN, and creatinine levels in MIA PaCa-2 \( \text{GEMR} \) cell-bearing xenograft mice.

Overall, the compelling results herein demonstrated that UA significantly inhibits RAGE and NF-\( \kappa B \) protein expression, subsequently attenuating the downstream MDR1 transporter and enhancing GEM chemosensitivity \text{in vitro}. Likewise, compelling evidence was collected in RAGE gene-silenced MIA PaCa-2 \( \text{GEMR} \) cells. Remarkably, an obstacle to tumor growth is found in mice administered UA and UA + GEM through the suppression of the RAGE/NF-\( \kappa B/M\)DR1 axis. In addition, UA administration significantly impairs GEM-induced liver and kidney injury and modulates gut microbiota compositions, which is a novel finding. This is the first report showing that the GEM efflux transporter is directly targeted by UA both \text{in vitro} and \text{in vivo}, indicating that using UA as part of a combined strategy achieves the best tumor suppression effects in pancreatic cancer treatment.
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