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Abstract

By using the Szemerédi Regularity Lemma [10], Alon and Sudakov [1] recently extended the classical Andrásfai-Erdős-Sós theorem [2] to cover general graphs. We prove, without using the Regularity Lemma, that the following stronger statement is true.

Given any $(r+1)$-partite graph $H$ whose smallest part has $t$ vertices, there exists a constant $C$ such that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large $n$ the following is true. Whenever $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree

$$\delta(G) \geq \left(1 - \frac{3}{3r - 1} + \varepsilon\right)n,$$

either $G$ contains $H$, or we can delete $f(n, H) \leq Cn^{2-\frac{4}{r}}$ edges from $G$ to obtain an $r$-partite graph. Further, we are able to determine the correct order of magnitude of $f(n, H)$ in terms of the Zarankiewicz extremal function.

1 Introduction

We define the graph $K_r(s)$ to be the complete $r$-partite graph whose parts each have $s$ vertices. Given a graph $H$, with chromatic number $\chi(H)$, we examine all proper $\chi(H)$-colourings of $H$. We choose one whose smallest colour class is of smallest possible size; then $\sigma(H)$ is the size of this smallest colour class. Otherwise, our notation is standard.

We recall the classical theorem of Zarankiewicz [12]:

Theorem 1. If the $n$-vertex graph $G$ has minimum degree exceeding $(1 - \frac{1}{r})n$ then $G$ contains $K_{r+1}$.
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This theorem is an immediate corollary of Turán’s theorem \cite{11}. As is well known, it is best possible, the extremal example being a complete balanced $r$-partite graph (sometimes called a Turán graph). An old result of Andrásfai, Erdős and Sós \cite{2}, which amounts to a (very strong) stability result for Zarankiewicz’ theorem, is the following.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose $r \geq 2$. If the $n$-vertex graph $G$ has minimum degree exceeding $(1 - \frac{3}{3^{r-1}}) n$ and $G$ does not contain $K_{r+1}$, then $G$ is $r$-partite.

This theorem is best possible; however the extremal example is a little more complex than the Turán graph. We construct a graph $E_r(n)$ as follows: we partition $n$ vertices into $r-2$ sets $X_1, \ldots, X_{r-2}$ each containing $\frac{3n}{3^{r-1}}$ vertices and five sets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_5$ each containing $\frac{n}{3^{r-1}}$ vertices. Each of these sets is independent; we set every vertex in each $X_i$ adjacent to all vertices outside $X_i$, and we make $(Y_i, Y_{i+1 \mod 5})$ a complete bipartite graph for each $i$ (so that the five sets form a blow-up of $C_5$). It is straightforward to check that each vertex has degree $(1 - \frac{3}{3^{r-1}}) n$; since $\chi(C_5) = 3$ the chromatic number of $E_r(n)$ is $r + 1$, but $E_r(n)$ does not contain $K_{r+1}$.

Erdős and Stone \cite{6} extended Zarankiewicz’ theorem, showing that for any fixed graph $H$, the chromatic number of $H$ governs the minimum degree threshold at which $H$ appears in a large graph $G$:

**Theorem 3.** Let $H$ be any fixed graph with chromatic number $r + 1$. If the $n$-vertex graph $G$ has minimum degree exceeding $(1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1)) n$ then $G$ contains $H$.

Although the extremal graphs for this theorem are not necessarily $r$-partite, it is true that one may delete $o(n^2)$ edges from any extremal graph to obtain an $r$-partite graph. Indeed, it is not hard to show that there exists $\varrho = \varrho(H) > 0$ such that deletion of only $O(n^{2-\varrho})$ edges from an extremal graph yields an $r$-partite graph.

Quite recently, Alon and Sudakov \cite{11} gave an extension of Andrásfai, Erdős and Sós’ result to cover all fixed graphs $H$ (Erdős and Simonovits \cite{5} had previously considered the case when $H$ is critical, i.e. when there is an edge of $H$ whose removal decreases the chromatic number):

**Theorem 4.** Let any fixed graph $H$ with chromatic number $r + 1$ and constant $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exist $\varrho = \varrho(H) > 0$ and $n_0 = n_0(H, \varepsilon)$ such that the following holds. If $n \geq n_0$ and $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree exceeding $(1 - \frac{3}{3^{r-1}} + \varepsilon) n$ which does not contain $H$, then one can delete at most $O(n^{2-\varepsilon})$ edges from $G$ to yield an $r$-partite graph.

Alon and Sudakov gave a value for the constant $\varrho(H)$. They showed that if $H \subseteq K_{r+1}(s)$ then $\varrho(H) = 1/4r^{2/3}s$ works. The purpose of this paper is to give a simpler proof (avoiding the use of the Regularity Lemma) which gives the correct order of magnitude of the number of edges that must be deleted.

Recall that given a family $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs, $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$ is defined to be the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex graph which does not contain a copy of any graph $H \in \mathcal{H}$.
Given a graph $H$, we define a quantity $\text{biex}(n, H)$ as follows. Let $c : V(H) \to [\chi(H)]$ be any proper $\chi(H)$-colouring of $H$. Let $S_c = c^{-1}(\{1, 2\})$ be the vertices receiving colours 1 and 2 in this colouring. Consider the family of graphs $\mathcal{F}$ containing all graphs of the form $H[S_c]$ for some proper $\chi(H)$-colouring $c$ of $H$. We define the quantity $\text{biex}(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$.

We note that if $H$ is a complete $r$-partite graph, whose smallest part has $t$ vertices and whose next smallest part has $s$ vertices, then $\text{biex}(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, K_{t,s})$.

The problem of estimating $\text{ex}(n, H)$ when $H$ is bipartite is the Zarankiewicz problem; for most $H$ it is quite far from being solved. However an upper bound is provided by the following classical theorem of Kővári, Sós and Turán [8].

**Theorem 5.** Let $1 \leq t \leq s$ be fixed integers. If $G$ is any $n$-vertex graph with $\Omega(n^2 - \frac{1}{t})$ edges, then $G$ contains $K_{t,s}$.

We note that for $t = 1, 2, 3$ and when $s \geq t! + 1$ there exist lower bound constructions matching the upper bound of Theorem 5 (see [9, 3, 7]); for $t \geq 4$ the best known lower bound is $\Omega(n^2 - \frac{1}{t^2})$, but it is conjectured that the correct bound is $\Theta(n^2)$.

We can now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 6.** To any graph $H$ with chromatic number $r + 1$ there is associated a constant $C = C(H)$ such that whenever $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, there is $n_0$ for which the following holds. Whenever $n \geq n_0$ and $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree exceeding $\left(1 - \frac{3}{3^{r-1}} + \varepsilon\right)n$ which does not contain $H$, then one can delete at most $C\text{biex}(n, H)$ edges from $G$ to obtain an $r$-partite graph.

This theorem is best possible up to the value of $C$. For comparison with the result of Alon and Sudakov, suppose $H \subseteq K_{t,s,s,\ldots,s}$ has chromatic number $r + 1$, where $t \leq s$. Then, applying Theorem 5 we have

$$\text{biex}(n, H) \leq \text{ex}(n, K_{t,s}) = O(n^{2 - \frac{1}{t}}).$$

It follows that if $G$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6 then Theorem 4 guarantees that $G$ can be made $r$-partite by deleting $O(n^{2 - \frac{1}{t}})$ edges; Theorem 6 strengthens this to $Cn^{2 - \frac{1}{t}}$ edges. On the assumption that the conjectured bound in the Zarankiewicz problem is correct, this is best possible up to the value of the multiplicative constant. Furthermore, the constant hidden behind the $O(\cdot)$ notation in Theorem 4 depends upon $\varepsilon$; specifically, it grows as a polynomial function of $1/\varepsilon$, whereas the constant $C$ in Theorem 6, while surely much larger than it ‘should’ be, does not depend on $\varepsilon$. Finally, owing to the use of the Regularity Lemma, the constant $n_0$ in Theorem 4 has an exception ally unpleasant dependence on $\varepsilon$, $r$ and $s$.

We give two constructions which demonstrate the tightness of our theorem.

Given $H$, let $E$ be an $n$-vertex graph with $\text{biex}(n, H)$ edges and not containing any of the forbidden bipartite subgraphs. Let $E'$ be an $n/r$-vertex subgraph of $E$ containing the maximum possible number of edges. In particular, note that $e(E') > e(E)/2r^2 = \Omega(\text{biex}(n, H))$. 
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Consider the graph $G$ obtained from the complete balanced $r$-partite graph by replacing one part with $E'$. This graph has minimum degree $\frac{n-1}{r}$, and does not contain a copy of $H$. However to make $G$ $r$-partite we must delete $\Omega(\text{biex}(n,H))$ edges.

Alon and Sudakov asked whether it is possible to replace the term $\varepsilon n$ in the minimum degree of their theorem with an $O(1)$ term. It is not possible; indeed, for any $\mu > 0$ there are graphs $H$ such that the corresponding term must be larger than $n^{1-\mu}$.

Consider the following modification of $E_r(n)$. Let $c$ be some sufficiently small positive quantity. We let each of the independent sets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_5$ have $\frac{n}{3r-1} + (r-2)cn^{1-2/t}$ vertices. We let each of the independent sets $X_1, \ldots, X_{r-2}$ have $\frac{3}{3r-1} - 5cn^{1-2/t}$ vertices. Finally, we take a $K_{t,t}$-free graph $E'$ on $|Y_1|$ vertices with minimum degree $(3r-1)cn^{1-2/t}$; provided $c > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small, such a graph exists. We replace each of the independent sets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_5$ with $E'$ to obtain $E'_{r,t}(n)$. Now observe that the minimum degree of $E'_{r,t}(n)$ is $\frac{2r}{3r-1}n + 5cn^{1-2/t}$. However it is not possible to find a copy of $K_{r+1}(2t)$ in $E'_{r,t}(n)$. The reason is that it would be necessary to find a copy of $K_3(2t)$ within the graph induced by $Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_5$; this would require that one of the $Y_i$ contained $K_{t,t}$, which by construction is false. Finally, it is clear that to make $E'_{r,t}(n)$ $r$-partite requires the removal of $\Omega(n^2)$ edges.

2 Constructing $(r+1)$-partite graphs

Given an $(r+1)$-partite graph $H$, a large graph $G$, and a family $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of the bipartite subgraphs of $H$ whose removal decreases the chromatic number of $H$ by two, we describe a construction of the graph $H$ from a suitably well-structured set of copies of $K_{r+1}$ in $G$. Alon and Sudakov made use of a related construction: the difference is that their construction as its first step finds (by use of the Kövári-Sós-Turán theorem) one specific bipartite subgraph of $G$ and proceeds to build $H$ using it. Our construction avoids this, relying instead on counting the number of suitable objects until the final step in the construction. This difference is entirely responsible for our improved bounds.

Given a graph $G$ and a vertex $v \in G$, let $G_v$ be the neighbourhood graph obtained by deleting from $G$ every edge which is not contained in the neighbourhood of $v$.

We give first a counting variant of a lemma of Erdős [3]; this is essentially a statement about dense hypergraphs generalising the Kövári-Sós-Turán theorem.

Lemma 7. For every $r$, $s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta_{r,s}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n$. If the $n$-vertex graph $G$ contains at least $\varepsilon n^r$ copies of $K_r$, then $G$ contains $\delta_{r,s}(\varepsilon)n^{rs}$ copies of $K_r(s)$.

Proof. For $r = 1$ the statement holds trivially. We complete the proof by induction.

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph containing $\varepsilon n^r$ copies of $K_r$: then there are some $\varepsilon n/2$ vertices $D$ of $G$ which are each contained in $\varepsilon n^{r-1}/2$ copies of $K_r$ in $G$. By construction, for each $d \in D$, $G_d$ contains $\varepsilon n^{r-1}/2$ copies of $K_{r-1}$; by induction it contains $\delta_{r-1,s}(\varepsilon/2)n^{(r-1)s}$ copies of $K_{r-1}(s)$. 
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For a given copy $S$ of $K_{r-1}(s)$, let $d_S$ be the number of vertices of $D$ whose neighbourhoods contain $S$. Then we have (using the convention that $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ when $a < b$) at least $\frac{1}{r} \sum_s \left( d_s \right)$ copies of $K_r(s)$ contained in $G$. Since the mean value of $d_S$ is at least $\delta_{r-1,s}(\varepsilon/2)|D|$, applying Jensen’s inequality the number of copies of $K_r(s)$ in $G$ is at least

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_s \left( d_s \right) \geq \frac{1}{r} \delta_{r-1,s}(\varepsilon/2)n^{(r-1)s}\left( \frac{\delta_{r-1,s}(\varepsilon/2)}{s} \right) = \delta_{r,s}(\varepsilon)n^{rs},$$

as required. \hfill \Box

Note that the value of $\delta_{r,s}(\varepsilon)$ obtained by the above method is polynomial in $\varepsilon$.

To complete our construction, we give the following corollary of Lemma 7.

**Corollary 8.** Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $H$ there exists $C$ such that for sufficiently large $n$ the following is true. Every $n$-vertex graph $G$ in which there are more than $C\text{biex}(n,H)$ edges $E$ of $G$, each contained in $\varepsilon n^{r-1}$ copies of $K_{r+1}$, contains $H$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be a graph with a set $E$ of edges each of whose common neighbourhoods contains $\varepsilon n^{r-1}$ copies of $K_{r-1}$. Suppose that $n$ is large enough to permit us to conclude, by Lemma 7, that the common neighbourhood of each edge of $E$ contains at least $\delta_{r-1,v(H)}(\varepsilon)n^{(r-1)v(H)}$ copies of $K_{r-1}(v(H))$. Let $C = 1/\delta_{r-1,v(H)}(\varepsilon)$. Suppose furthermore that $|E| > C\text{biex}(n,H)$.

By averaging, there is one copy $S$ of $K_{r-1}(v(H))$ in $G$ which lies in the common neighbourhood of each of the edges $E' \subseteq E$, with $|E'| > \text{biex}(n,H)$. By definition of $\text{biex}(n,H)$, the edges $E'$ must contain a copy of some bipartite subgraph of $H$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Let this subgraph be $B$. Then $B \cup S$ contains $H$. \hfill \Box

Note that the value of $\delta_{r,s}(\varepsilon)$ given by Lemma 7 is clearly far smaller than the truth; but this affects only the constant $C$; furthermore, the dependence on $\varepsilon$ is polynomial.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 6

We first prove a density version of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 9.** Given $r$ and $\varepsilon$, let $\mu = \varepsilon^r/r!$ and $\eta = \varepsilon^{r+1}/(r + 1)!$. Then whenever $n$ is sufficiently large, the following is true. Any $n$-vertex graph $G$ with $\delta(G) > \left(1 - \frac{3}{3^{r-1}} + 4\varepsilon\right)n$ either contains more than $\eta n^{r+1}$ copies of $K_{r+1}$, or has a partition into $D \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$, with the properties that $\Delta(G[V_i]) \leq \varepsilon n$ for each $i$, each vertex of $D$ is contained in more than $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_{r+1}$, and $|D| \leq \varepsilon n$.

Note that when $\varepsilon = 0$ we have $\mu = \eta = 0$, and we obtain the statement of Theorem 2. The intuition is that since we are looking at graphs which do not contain a high density of copies of $K_{r+1}$, rather than not containing any at all, we must expect that there may be some small set of vertices, and a few edges leaving every vertex, which ‘misbehave’. These are, respectively, the set $D$ and the replacement of the independent sets of Theorem 2 with sets which simply have restricted maximum degree.
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Proof. We prove the lemma by induction. The $r = 1$ case is a triviality: either there are more than $\varepsilon n$ vertices of degree exceeding $\mu n$, in which case $G$ certainly contains more than $\eta m^2$ edges, or we can let $D$ be the set of all vertices of degree exceeding $\mu n$, and together with $V_1 = V(G) \setminus D$ the partition conclusion is satisfied.

Suppose $r \geq 2$. Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree $(1 - \frac{3}{3r-1} + 4\varepsilon)n$. We presume $G$ contains at most $\eta n^{r+1}$ copies of $K_{r+1}$.

Let $D \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of all vertices $d \in G$ such that there are more than $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_r$ in $\Gamma(d)$. Then $|D| \leq \varepsilon n$ since $G$ contains at most $\eta n^{r+1}$ copies of $K_{r+1}$.

Let $G' = G[V(G) - D]$. This graph has minimum degree greater than $(\frac{3r-4}{3r-1} + 3\varepsilon)n$; none of its vertices are contained in more than $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_r$.

Let $X_1$ be a maximum cardinality set in $V(G')$ with the property that $\Delta(G'[X_1]) \leq \varepsilon n$. Let $v \in X_1$.

Consider the graph $N = G'[\Gamma(v) \setminus X_1]$. Because $v \notin D$, the neighbourhood graph $G_v$ contains at most $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_r$, and so in particular $N$ contains at most $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_r$. Because $\Delta(G'[X_1]) \leq \varepsilon n$, $v(N) > \frac{3r-1}{3r-4}n + 2\varepsilon n$. Now consider $u \in N$. We have

\[ d_N(u) > v(N) - \left(\frac{3}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon\right)n > v(N) - \left(\frac{3}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon\right)3r-1v(N) > \left(\frac{3r-7}{3r-4} + 4\varepsilon\right)v(N). \]

By induction, we have that $d_N(u) > v(N) - \left(\frac{3}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon\right)n > v(N) - \left(\frac{3}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon\right)3r-1v(N) > \left(\frac{3r-7}{3r-4} + 4\varepsilon\right)v(N)$.

Because $N$ has a partition $V(N) = B \cup X_2 \cup \ldots \cup X_r$, where $|B| \leq \varepsilon n$ and $\Delta(N[X_i]) \leq \varepsilon n$ for each of the $r - 1$ sets $X_2, \ldots, X_r$.

Because $X_1$ has maximum cardinality subject to $\Delta(G'[X_1]) \leq \varepsilon n$, $|X_1| \geq |X_i|$ for each $i$. In particular, we have

\[ |X_1| + \ldots + |X_r| \geq \left(\frac{3r-4}{3r-1} + \varepsilon\right)\frac{rn}{r-1} \geq \frac{(3r-4)rn}{(3r-1)(r-1)} + \varepsilon n. \]

Now, if we have any two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G'$ whose codegree exceeds $\frac{3r-6}{3r-1}n + \varepsilon n$, then we may construct a clique $K_{r+1}$ extending $uv$ greedily by simply picking any common neighbour of the so far chosen vertices at each step. At the final step (and therefore at all steps) we have at least $\varepsilon n$ choices. It follows that any edge $uv$ of $G$ in which the common neighbourhood of $u$ and $v$ exceeds $\frac{3r-6}{3r-1}n + \varepsilon n$ lies in more than $\varepsilon^{r-1}n^{r-1}/(r-1)!$ cliques $K_{r+1}$.

Furthermore, if $u$ has more than $\varepsilon n$ neighbours with each of which its codegree exceeds $\frac{3r-6}{3r-1}n + \varepsilon n$, then $u$ lies in more than $\varepsilon^{r}n^{r}/r! = \mu n^r$ copies of $K_{r+1}$. This contradicts $u \notin D$.

Since $\Delta(G[X_i]) \leq \varepsilon n$, if a vertex $u$ outside $X_i$ has less than $|X_i| - \frac{n}{3r-1}$ neighbours in $X_i$, then the codegree of $u$ and any neighbour $v \in X_i$ exceeds $\frac{3r-6}{3r-1}n + \varepsilon n$. It follows that any vertex of $G'$ outside $X_i$ has either fewer than $\varepsilon n$ neighbours in $X_i$ or more than $|X_i| - \frac{n}{3r-1}$ neighbours in $X_i$.

Consider the set $L_i$ of vertices of $L$ which all have less than $\varepsilon n$ neighbours in $X_i$. Any one of these vertices has codegree exceeding $\frac{3r-6}{3r-1}n + \varepsilon n$ with any other, and with any vertex
of $X_i$. It follows that $L_i \cup X_i$ has maximum degree $\varepsilon n$. Let this set be $V_i$. Let the vertices of $G'$ not in any $X_i'$ be $L'$.

If $L' = \emptyset$ then we have $V(G) = D \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$ is the desired partition. So we may assume there is a vertex $l \in L'$. This vertex is non-adjacent to fewer than $\frac{n}{3r-1}$ vertices of each set $V_i$. It is convenient to assume that the sets $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ are in order of decreasing size.

Finally, consider the following greedy construction. We start with the vertex $l \in L'$. We now choose vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ from the respective sets $V_1, \ldots, V_r$, such that after each choice the vertices chosen together with $l$ form a clique.

At the first step we have more than $|V_1| - \frac{n}{3r-1}$ choices for $v_1$. At the second step we have more than

$$|V_2| - \frac{n}{3r-1} - \left( \frac{3}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon \right) n + (|V_1| - \varepsilon n) = |V_1| + |V_2| - \frac{4}{3r-1} n + 3\varepsilon n$$

choices for $v_2$; there are less than $\frac{n}{3r-1}$ non-neighbours of $l$ in $V_2$, and at most $\frac{3n}{3r-1} - 4\varepsilon n$ non-neighbours of $v_1$ in $G$, of which at least $|V_1| - \varepsilon n$ are in $V_1$. In general, for each $2 \leq i \leq r$, we have at the $i$th step more than

$$|V_1| + \ldots + |V_i| - \frac{3i - 2}{3r-1} n + 3\varepsilon n$$

choices for $v_i$. Because the sets $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ are in order of decreasing size, the number of choices is least when choosing either $v_1$ or $v_r$. Since $|V_1| \geq |X_1| > \frac{3r-4}{(3r-1)(r-1)} n \geq \frac{2}{3r-1} n$ the number of choices for $v_1$ is greater than $\frac{n}{3r-1}$. Since $|V_1| + \ldots + |V_r| \geq |X_1| + \ldots + |X_r| \geq \frac{(3r-4)r}{(3r-1)(r-1)} n + \varepsilon n$, the number of choices for $v_r$ is at least $\frac{r^2}{(3r-1)(r-1)} n + 4\varepsilon n$. It follows that at each step there are more than $\varepsilon n$ choices; therefore $l$ is contained in more than $\varepsilon n^r \geq \mu n^r$ copies of $K_{r+1}$ in $G$, which contradicts $l \notin D$.

At last, we can complete the proof of our main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem** \[\square\] Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $G$ be a sufficiently large $n$-vertex graph with $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \frac{3}{3r-1} + \varepsilon) n$ which does not contain the $(r+1)$-partite graph $H$. By Lemma \[\square\] there exist positive constants $\eta, \mu$ such that either $G$ contains $\eta n^r$ copies of $K_{r+1}$ or $V(G)$ may be partitioned as $V(G) = D \cup V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$, where $\Delta(G[V_i]) \leq \varepsilon n$ for each $i$, each vertex of $D$ is contained in at least $\mu n^r$ copies of $K_{r+1}$, and $|D| \leq \varepsilon n$.

When $n$ is sufficiently large, by Lemma \[\square\] every graph $G$ with $\eta n^{r+1}$ copies of $K_{r+1}$ contains $K_{r+1}(v(H))$ and thus $H$. It follows that $V(G)$ possesses the given partition.

We alter slightly the partition given by Lemma \[\square\] as follows. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $W_i$ be the set of vertices with at most $\frac{n}{4(3r-1)}$ neighbours in $V_i$. Let $Y_i$ be the vertices of $D$ with more than $\frac{n}{4(3r-1)}$ neighbours, but less than $|V_i| - \frac{3}{2(3r-1)} n$ neighbours in $V_i$. Let $X$ be the vertices of $D$ not contained in any set $W_i$ or $Y_i$. By definition of $V_i$, we have $V_i \subseteq W_i$ for each $i$.

Consider the vertex $x \in X$. We make use of a greedy construction as in the proof of Lemma \[\square\]. We presume that the sets $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ are in order of decreasing size. We choose
Observe that since no set \( V_i \) are the vertices of an \((r+1)\)-clique in \( G \). As in the proof of Lemma 9 at the \( i \)th step we have at least
\[
|V_i| + \ldots + |V_i| - \frac{3}{2(3r-1)} n - \frac{3i-3}{3r-1} n + 3\varepsilon n
\]
choices for \( v_i \). As before, since the sets \( V_i \) are in order of decreasing size the number of choices is fewest at either the first or the last step. The number of choices at the first step is at least \(|V_1| - \frac{3}{2(3r-1)} n \geq \frac{1}{2(3r-1)} n\); since the sets \( V_1, \ldots, V_r \) together cover all of \( G \) except the at most \( \varepsilon n \) vertices of \( D \), the number of choices at the last step is at least
\[
n - \varepsilon n - \frac{3}{2(3r-1)} n - \frac{3r-3}{3r-1} n + 3\varepsilon n > \frac{1}{2(3r-1)} n.
\]

It follows that at every step there are at least \( \frac{1}{2(3r-1)} n \) choices, and hence \( x \) is contained in at least
\[
\left( \frac{n}{2(3r-1)} \right)^r
\]
copies of \( K_{r+1} \) in \( G \).

Consider the vertex \( y \in Y_i \). Let \( u \) be any neighbour of \( y \) in \( V_i \). The common neighbourhood of \( u \) and \( y \) contains at least
\[
2 \left( \frac{3r-4}{3r-1} + 4\varepsilon \right) n - \left( n - \frac{3}{2(3r-1)} n + \varepsilon n \right) > \frac{6r-11}{2(3r-1)} n
\]
vertices. Now we construct an \((r+1)\)-clique greedily starting from \( uy \). At the final step, and thus at every step, we have at least \( \frac{n}{4(3r-1)} \) choices. It follows that \( uy \) lies in at least
\[
\left( \frac{n}{4(3r-1)} \right)^r / (r-1)! \text{ copies of } K_{r+1} \text{ in } G.
\]
Since \( y \) has at least \( \frac{n}{4(3r-1)} \) neighbours in \( V_i \), \( y \) lies in at least \( \left( \frac{n}{4(3r-1)} \right)^r / r! = \gamma n^r \) copies of \( K_{r+1} \) in \( G \).

Finally we have that every vertex of \( Z = Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_r \cup X \) lies in at least \( \gamma n^r \) copies of \( K_{r+1} \) in \( G \).

Now by Lemma 7 there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that whenever \( n \) is sufficiently large, every graph \( G \) with \( \gamma n^r \) copies of \( K_r \) contains \( \delta n^{\gamma(H)} \) copies of \( K_r(v(H)) \). If \( |Z| > (\sigma(H) - 1)/\delta \), then there is one copy \( S \) of \( K_r(v(H)) \) in \( G \) which is in the neighbourhood of each of \( \sigma(H) \) vertices \( B \) of \( G \). But then \( H \subseteq G[B \cup S] \), which is a contradiction. It follows that \( |Z| \leq (\sigma(H) - 1)/\delta \). It is important to note that \( \gamma \), and hence \( \delta \), are independent of \( \varepsilon \).

Finally, let \( E \) be the set of edges of \( G \) which are contained in any one of the sets \( W_i \). Observe that since no set \( V_i \) can contain \( \frac{3r-1}{3r-1} n - 3\varepsilon n \) vertices (otherwise its vertices would have degree at most \( \frac{3r-4}{3r-1} n + 4\varepsilon n \)) so no set \( V_i \) (and hence no set \( W_i \) can contain fewer than \( \frac{2}{3r-1} n + (3r-2)\varepsilon n \) vertices.

For any edge \( uv \in E \), there is \( i \) such that \( u, v \in W_i \). Then the common neighbourhood of \( u \) and \( v \) in \( V(G) \) contains at least
\[
2 \left( \frac{3r-4}{3r-1} + 4\varepsilon \right) n - \left( n - |V_i| + \frac{n}{2(3r-1)} \right) \geq \frac{6r-11}{2(3r-1)} n + 8\varepsilon n.
\]
vertices, since both \( u \) and \( v \) are adjacent to at most \( \frac{n}{4(3r-1)} \) vertices of \( V_i \). As before, we can extend \( uv \) to a clique \( K_{r+1} \) by choosing vertices greedily; at each stage we have at least \( \frac{n}{2(3r-1)} \) choices, and hence \( uv \) is contained in at least \( \frac{n^{r-1}}{(6r-2)^{r-1}(r-1)!} \) copies of \( K_{r+1} \). By Lemma \( \text{X} \), since \( G \) does not contain \( H \), there exists \( C' \) such that \( |E| \leq C' \text{biex}(n, H) \). Observe that \( C' \) does not depend on \( \varepsilon \).

If \( \text{biex}(n, H) < n - 1 \), then it must be the case that there is some bipartite subgraph \( F \) of \( H \) such that \( F \subseteq K_{1,n-1} \) and the graph \( H[V(H) \setminus V(F)] \) is \((r-1)\)-colourable. But then there is a proper \((r+1)\)-colouring of \( H \) in which one colour class has size one; so \( \sigma(H) = 1 \).

Upon deleting from \( G \) all edges incident to \( Z \) or contained in \( E \), one obtains an \( r \)-partite graph. The total number of edges deleted is at most \( n(\sigma(H) - 1)/\delta + C' \text{biex}(n, H) \). Since \( n|Z| > 0 \) only if \( \sigma(H) > 1 \), i.e. only if \( \text{biex}(n, H) \geq n - 1 \), we have \( n|Z| + C' \text{biex}(n, H) \leq C' \text{biex}(n, H) \), and \( C \) is as required independent of \( \varepsilon \) since \( C' \) and \( \delta \) are.

\[ \square \]

4 Concluding remarks

Perhaps the main conclusion of this paper is that (if such is necessary) there is a further motivation for solving the Zarankiewicz problem of determining \( \text{ex}(n, F) \) for all families \( F \) of bipartite graphs.

However there remain some open questions which are independent of the Zarankiewicz problem.

First, it would be interesting to know what the best possible value of \( \mu(H) \) is such that the following statement is true.

Given \( H \), with \( \chi(H) = r + 1 \), there exists \( C \) such that for all sufficiently large \( n \), if \( G \) is an \( n \)-vertex \( H \)-free graph with minimum degree at least \( \frac{3r-4}{3r-1}n + \Theta(n^{1-\mu}) \), then \( G \) can be made \( r \)-partite by deleting at most \( C \text{biex}(n, H) \) edges.

It follows (by careful analysis of the proof given) that \( \mu(H) \) must always be positive: but it seems likely that the value so obtained is much smaller than optimal.

Second, although we have shown that the correct number of edges which we should delete from a dense \( H \)-free graph \( G \) to obtain a \((\chi(H) - 1)\)-partite graph is \( \Theta(\text{biex}(n, H)) \), it seems certain that the multiplicative constants proved for our upper and lower bounds are not best possible. We have made no particular effort to optimise our upper bound: but probably such effort using our techniques would produce only a somewhat less bad upper bound.

Although our bounds were independent of the \( \varepsilon n \) term in the minimum degree of \( G \), we conjecture that given \( \varepsilon \), if \( n \) is sufficiently large, there is some \( C = C(H, \varepsilon) \) such that deletion of \((C + o(1))\text{biex}(n, H)\) edges suffices to make \( G \ (\chi(H) - 1)\)-partite, but that (for some \( \omega(n) \in o(1) \)) deletion of \((C - \omega(n))\text{biex}(n, H)\) edges is not sufficient. It seems unlikely that this best possible constant \( C(H, \varepsilon) \) is independent of \( \varepsilon \).
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