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Abstract

Chemotherapy is a life-saving treatment for cancer patients, but also causes long-term cognitive impairment, or “chemobrain”, in survivors. However, several challenges, including imprecise diagnosis criteria, multiple confounding factors, and unclear and heterogeneous molecular mechanisms, impede effective investigation of preventions and treatments for chemobrain. With the rapid increase in the number of cancer survivors, chemobrain is an urgent but unmet clinical need. Here, we leverage the extensive knowledge in various fields of neuroscience to gain insights into the mechanisms for chemobrain. We start by outlining why the post-mitotic adult brain is particularly vulnerable to chemotherapy. Next, through drawing comparisons with normal aging, Alzheimer’s disease, and traumatic brain injury, we identify universal cellular mechanisms that may underlie the cognitive deficits in chemobrain. We further identify existing neurological drugs targeting these cellular mechanisms that can be repurposed as treatments for chemobrain, some of which were already shown to be effective in animal models. Finally, we briefly describe future steps to further advance our understanding of chemobrain and facilitate the development of effective preventions and treatments.
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Introduction

Cancer survival rates have significantly improved due to advances in awareness, screening, prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. For example, the average 5-year survival rates for breast cancer increased from 75% in the 1975–1977 cohort to 91% in the 2008–2014 cohort (Noone et al., 2018). However, most treatments, including conventional chemotherapeutics and newer therapies such as immunotherapy, are associated with severe, sometimes long-lasting or irreversible, side effects. With an estimate of 16.9 million cancer survivors in the United States alone in 2019 (Miller et al., 2019), it is clear that alleviating these side effects is an urgent clinical need.

Since the discovery of antifolates for treating acute lymphoblastic leukemia in the 1940s (Farber & Diamond, 1948), chemotherapy remains a mainstream treatment for many types of cancer (Noone et al., 2018), and is essential in later stages where metastasis renders local surgery insufficient. In 1978, concerns were raised about the impacts of chemotherapy on the emotional and cognitive status of cancer patients, and how they were severely underreported by clinicians and patients (Levine et al., 1978). However, it was not until the early 2000s that a series of epidemiological and imaging studies conclusively supported that cognitive decline in breast cancer patients had real physiological bases (Ahles & Saykin, 2001, 2002; Ahles et al., 2002; Saykin et al., 2003). Still, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood.

We focus on the effects of chemotherapy on the central nervous system (CNS) in adults, resulting in symptoms colloquially known as chemobrain. Here, we compare chemobrain with aging, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and traumatic brain injury (TBI). We aim to leverage knowledge from more extensively studied disciplines to address the more recently acknowledged topic of chemobrain. Although disorders affecting cognitive capabilities are complex in terms of mechanisms, symptoms, risks, onsets, and anatomical loci affected, they share similarities. This review will facilitate cross-disciplinary thinking and enable laboratories to share expertise to address chemobrain.
Stewart et al, 2006; Jim et al, 2012; Lindner et al, 2014). These deficits tend to be subtle, such that cancer survivors with chemobrain perform at the lower end of the normal range, but not yet in the pathological range (Nelson & Suls, 2013). This subtlety, together with the reliance on tests designed to detect more severe, localized deficits such as TBI, strokes, and AD, means that these cognitive changes are often undetected or underestimated by clinicians (Horowitz et al, 2018). The difficulties with objectively defining and measuring chemobrain result in vast differences in estimating the percentage of cancer survivors with chemobrain, which range from 17 to 75% (Wefel & Schagen, 2012). Notably, the percentage of cancer survivors diagnosed with chemobrain tends to be inversely...
correlated with time after treatment, suggesting that some recovery occurs. Nevertheless, deficits could be detected up to 10 years after treatment, suggesting that they are permanent in some cancer survivors (Ahles et al., 2002).

Furthermore, structural studies reveal decreased gray matter density in several brain regions, including the frontal and temporal cortices, the cerebellum, and the right thalamus immediately after chemotherapy, with only partial recovery a year later (McDonald et al., 2010, 2013). Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies also found decreased activation during cognitive tasks in similar regions (Kesler et al., 2011; de Ruiter et al., 2011; Lopez Zunini et al., 2013). However, other studies found increased activation in the same regions, and propose that this is a compensatory mechanism as cancer survivors need to utilize more mental resources for the same tasks. These mental resources then become more quickly depleted for complex tasks (Ferguson et al., 2007; Menning et al., 2017). Nevertheless, together, these studies provide concrete evidence that the symptoms of chemobrain have biological bases, rather than being purely psychological.

Figure 1. Molecular mechanisms for chemobrain are highly complex and heterogeneous.
(A) The central nervous system (CNS) is intrinsically vulnerable to the on-target effects of chemotherapeutic drugs and possesses low recovery capacity. First, as most neurons are non-dividing cells, they lack several DNA repair mechanisms that make them susceptible to DNA-targeting agents. Second, neurons rely on an extensive microtubule-based network for proper functions and communication, making them vulnerable to microtubule-targeting agents. Third, chemotherapy can reduce neurogenesis and gliogenesis, which are crucial processes required for maintaining the health and plasticity of the CNS. Fourth, glial cells contribute to the vigilant neuroimmune system, and can be damaging when hyperactivated. Lastly, high metabolism, high production of reactive oxidative species (ROS), and comorbid factors common in cancer survivors make the CNS particularly vulnerable to external insults. (B) A model illustrating the complexity and heterogeneity of mechanisms for chemobrain. We propose that focusing on the cellular consequences is currently the most feasible approach for the development of treatments and preventions for chemobrain.
Chemotherapy and the post-mitotic adult brain

At first glance, cancer and neurodegeneration appear to lie on opposite ends of the disease mechanism spectrum (Plun-Favreau et al., 2010). Cancer involves an abnormal resistance, whereas neurodegeneration involves an abnormal susceptibility, to cell death. Moreover, chemotherapeutic drugs are designed to selectively target rapidly dividing cells, but most neurons are non-dividing, post-mitotic cells, except for those in niche regions in the brain. Although several studies focus on diminished cell division, other intrinsic properties of the adult brain likely contribute to its vulnerability to chemotherapy (Fig 1A).

First, chemotherapeutics drugs, especially the DNA-targeting agents, can cause DNA damage in post-mitotic neurons, which accelerates senescence and eventual cell death (Hoeijmakers, 2009; Maynard et al., 2015). The post-mitotic brain also exhibits diminished DNA repair capacity. Some DNA repair pathways, including mismatch repair, homologous recombination, and non-homologous end joining, are associated with replication and therefore attenuated in non-dividing neurons (Maynard et al., 2015). Thus, the accumulation of DNA damage caused by chemotherapy can accelerate neuronal dysfunction and death.

Second, many chemotherapeutic drugs target the microtubule network critical for segregating chromosomes during mitosis (Mihlon et al., 2010). These drugs disrupt microtubule dynamics either through hyperstabilizing (paclitaxel, docetaxel, and ixabepilone) or destabilizing (vin christine and vinblastine) microtubule formation. The microtubule network is essential for regulating neuronal polarity and morphology, axonal transport, and scaffolding signaling hubs (Dubey et al., 2015). Therefore, either excessive microtubule stabilization or destabilization can dysregulate neuronal morphology, functions, and communication.

Third, non-neuronal cells, including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia, play essential roles in maintaining the health and normal functions of the CNS. The lifelong proliferation and turnover of glial cells make them vulnerable to chemotherapy. In addition, damage to neurons or glial cells can activate microglia and astrocytes, leading to neuroinflammation that maintains chronic deficits.

Fourth, the post-mitotic brain accounts for ~ 2% of the body-weight, but consumes ~ 20% of glucose-derived energy (Patel, 2016), resulting in a high production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)—a major source of DNA damage. Furthermore, a majority of cancer survivors are older, with 50% of new cases diagnosed in patients aged 55–74 (Miller et al., 2019). Additional stress sources, including the tumor itself and psychiatric comorbidity such as depression, contribute to a highly vulnerable brain environment. This suggests that a small insult can “tip the scale”, triggering a cascade of events resulting in chemobrain.

In addition, chemotherapeutic drugs may also have off-target effects independent of their anticancer mechanisms. For example, our laboratory studies how paclitaxel also dysregulates calcium signaling (Boehmerle et al., 2006; Mo et al., 2012). Moreover, a typical patient receives a cocktail of drugs during chemotherapy. In this case, the molecular mechanisms for chemobrain will be a combination of (i) each drug’s on-target effects, (ii) each drug’s off-target effects, and (iii) the synergistic effects of (i) and (ii) (Fig 1B). With such complexity and heterogeneity in molecular mechanisms, potential convergent downstream cellular consequences present more readily available targets for treatments or prevention.

Cellular mechanisms

In the following sections, we will draw extensive comparisons to aging, AD, and TBI due to several reasons (Fig 2). First, these conditions share similar symptoms with chemobrain, particularly impairments to memory and higher cognitive functions. Second, there is an extensive field of literature describing mechanisms and intervention strategies. Third, they represent different aspects of cognitive decline, in terms of both onset and specificity of loci affected. Similar to normal aging, chemobrain involves a subtle loss of cognitive functions, such that chemobrain has been proposed to mimic accelerated aging (Ahles et al., 2012), and is comparable with early stages of AD. Similar to TBI, chemobrain has a known onset, an acute phase, followed by a recovery period. Insights from aging, AD, and TBI will improve our understanding of chemobrain and facilitate the discovery of effective therapies.

Reduced neurogenesis

After rapid cell division and maturation during the embryonic and postnatal periods, most neurons in the adult brain are fully differentiated, non-dividing cells. Adult neurogenesis occurs primarily in niche regions: the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, the subventricular zone (SVZ) lining the lateral ventricles (Ming & Song, 2011), and the striatum (Ernst et al., 2014). In the SGZ, neural precursor cells (NPCs) undergo cell division for self-renewal or to give rise to immature cells that can differentiate into neurons and glial cells. At baseline, neurogenesis provides a buffer for restoring neurons lost due to daily wear and tear (Choi & Goldstein, 2018). In cases of acute insults, such as a stroke, neurogenesis after injuries is crucial for the recovery of cognitive
Table 1. Summary of studies of mechanisms for development of chemobrain.

| Drugs and known mechanism of actions                  | Neurogenesis | Spines/dendrites | Neurotransmitter | Inflammation/blood–brain barrier | Glial cells |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| **Antimetabolites**                                   |              |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Methotrexate: folate derivative, inhibits nucleotide synthesis | Seigers et al (2008), Lyons et al (2011b), Yang et al (2012), Wu et al (2017) | Wu et al (2017) | Yang et al (2012) | Seigers et al (2010), Geraghty et al (2019), Gibson et al (2019) |
| Cytarabine: pyrimidine analog, inhibits nucleotide synthesis | Dietrich et al (2006) |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| 5-Fluorouracil: pyrimidine analog, inhibits nucleotide synthesis | Han et al (2008), Mustafa et al (2008), ElBeltagy et al (2010), Lyons et al (2012) | Groves et al (2017) | Mustafa et al (2008), Kaplan et al (2016), Park et al (2018), Jarmolowicz et al (2019) | Groves et al (2017) | Han et al (2008) |
| **Alkylating agents**                                 |              |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Cyclophosphamide: facilitates DNA crosslinks          | Yang et al (2010), Lyons et al (2011a), Christie et al (2012) | Acharya et al (2015) |                                  | Christie et al (2012) |
| Cisplatin: facilitates DNA crosslinks and adducts     | Dietrich et al (2006), Manohar et al (2014) | Andres et al (2014), Zhou et al (2016) |                                  | Dietrich et al (2006) |
| Carboplatin: facilitates DNA crosslinks and adducts   |              |                  |                  |                                  | Kaplan et al (2016) |
| ThioTEPA: facilitates DNA crosslinks                  | Mondie et al (2010) |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Temozolomide: methylates DNA to cause damage          | Nokia et al (2012) |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| **Mitotic inhibitors**                                |              |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Paclitaxel: binds tubulin to stabilize microtubule polymerization | Huehnchen et al (2017), Lee et al (2017) |                  |                                  | Fardell et al (2014) |
| Docetaxel: binds tubulin to stabilize microtubule polymerization |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Vinblastine: binds tubulin to block microtubule polymerization |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Topoisomerase inhibitors                              |              |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| Doxorubicin: intercalates between DNA bases to inhibit progression of topoisomerases | Christie et al (2012), Park et al (2018) | Thomas et al (2017), El-Agamy et al (2018), Keeney et al (2018) | El-Agamy et al (2018), El-Agamy et al (2018) |
| **Combination**                                       |              |                  |                  |                                  |             |
| CMF (cyclophosphamide + methotrexate + 5-fluorouracil) | Briones and Woods (2011), Rendeiro et al (2016) |                  |                                  |                     |
| MF (methotrexate + 5-fluorouracil)                    | Winocur et al (2014, 2016), Jiang et al (2018) |                  |                                  |                     |
| MC (methotrexate + cytarabine)                        |              |                  |                  |                                  | Alexander et al (2018) |
| AC (doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide)                   | Kang et al (2018) |                  | Kang et al (2018) |                                  |             |
| DAC (docetaxel + doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide)      |              |                  |                  |                                  | Shi et al (2019), Shi et al (2018, 2019) |

*A* refers to Adriamycin, which is the trade name for doxorubicin.
functions (Richardson et al., 2007). For example, migration of cells born in the SVZ can be rerouted to injured areas such as the cerebral cortex (Sundholm-Peters et al., 2005) and the striatum (Yamashita et al., 2006).

Reduced neurogenesis is a common factor in aging and neurodegenerative diseases. Neurogenesis declines with age, primarily through a reduction in NPCs, quiescence of the remaining NPCs, and an extracellular environment hostile to cell division (Shruster et al., 2010; Dubey et al., 2015). Neurogenesis is diminished in several AD mouse models (Hollands et al., 2016). Such reduced neurogenesis also increases the risk of acquiring new cognitive impairment or exacerbating existing impairment.

Because memory problems are common symptoms of chemo-brain, it is not surprising that reduced neurogenesis is the most commonly studied mechanism for chemobrain (Table 1 and Fig 3). Intraperitoneal injection (IP) or intravenous injections (IV) of various drugs, ranging from methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, docetaxel, paclitaxel, cisplatin, and thioTEPA, were observed to lead to impairment of memory from a few days to up to 20 weeks after injection (Table 1). Correspondingly, various protein markers of neurogenesis were reduced, though not in all studies. These markers include BrdU and Ki-67, which label proliferating cells; doublecortin (DCX), which label NPCs and immature new neurons; and NeuN, which label mature neurons (Shruster et al., 2010). For example, several studies found a reduction in the number of BrdU- or Ki-67-positive cells, suggesting that proliferating precursor cells were directly affected (Seigers et al., 2008; ElBeltagy et al., 2010; Briones & Woods, 2011; Nokia et al., 2012). In contrast, 5-fluorouracil administration did not change the number of Ki-67-positive cells, but caused a decrease in DCX-positive cells (Mustafa et al., 2008), suggesting that the early maturation phase was affected. Similarly, cyclophosphamide or doxorubicin treatment did not change the number of BrdU-positive cells, but reduced the number of DCX-positive and doubly labeled BrdU/NeuN cells (Christie et al., 2012), suggesting that both the early and late maturation phases were affected. Future studies will benefit

**Figure 3. Convergent cellular mechanisms for chemobrain and how they lead to cognitive deficits.**

The red hexagon represents a chemotherapeutic drug. First, as most drugs are designed to stop cell division, they can block neurogenesis and gliogenesis, particularly in the hippocampus. This, in turn, leads to hippocampal atrophy and memory problems. Second, chemotherapeutic drugs can lead to a decrease in cortical spines and dendrites. The subsequent loss of cortical gray matter results in impaired cortex-based task performance, including attention, working memory, and executive functions. Third, reduced white matter due to reduced gliogenesis and alterations of neurotransmitter balance can lead to decreased focus, arousal, and processing speed. Fourth, chemotherapeutic drugs can induce peripheral or central inflammation, which hyperactivates astrocytes and microglia, resulting in chronic central inflammation that can maintain deficits for years after treatments cease.
from assessing a range of protein markers to determine which phases of neurogenesis are affected.

Additionally, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), a member of the neurotrophin family of growth factors, is secreted into the extracellular environment to promote neurogenesis. Low serum BDNF levels were associated with cognitive impairment in cancer patients (Jehn et al., 2015; Zimmer et al., 2015). In a rodent model, BDNF levels in the hippocampus decreased following injections of 5-fluorouracil (Mustafa et al., 2008) or doxorubicin (Park et al., 2018). The mechanisms for loss of BDNF, and how this affects neurogenesis, remain unclear. However, methotrexate treatment was recently reported to deplete cortical Bdnf mRNA and protein expression (Geraghty et al., 2019), suggesting that transcriptional regulation of BDNF is an underlying factor.

While most studies focus on neurogenesis in the hippocampus, other neurogenic regions may also be vulnerable. Systemic exposure to cisplatin, cytarabine, or 5-fluorouracil was found to decrease cell division in the SGZ, the SVZ, and the corpus callosum (Dietrich et al., 2006; Han et al., 2008). Reduced neurogenesis in multiple regions may result in symptoms beyond memory lapses. For example, in AD, olfactory dysfunction due to reduced SVZ neurogenesis is an early symptom preceding the onset of frank dementia (Zou et al., 2016). Furthermore, neurogenesis can be subtly affected such that no visible symptoms are observable, but survivors may still have increased risk of cognitive impairment later in life. Notably, some studies found that chemotherapy increased the risk of dementia later in life (Heck et al., 2008; Kesler et al., 2017), whereas others found no association (Baxter et al., 2009; Raji et al., 2009). Future epidemiology studies should explore these potential increased risks of neurodegenerative diseases in the population of cancer survivors compared to the control population.

**Loss of spines and dendritic arborization**

Most neurons are highly polarized cells with complex morphology that are critical for their interactions and functions (Barnes & Polleux, 2009). Spines and dendrites regulate the synaptic plasticity essential for learning, memory, and executive functions (Forrest et al., 2018). Spines and dendrites proliferate during early development, followed by controlled pruning in childhood and adolescence, and then stabilize in adulthood. Nevertheless, both structures, particularly spines, remain dynamic in mature neurons, thereby facilitating the plasticity required for learning and adapting to new experiences (Forrest et al., 2018). Spines and dendrites are often reduced due to several factors, including glutamate toxicity, reduced presynaptic neurotrophin release, protein oligomers such as amyloid-β oligomers, unregulated calcium flux, disruption of the cytoskeleton, and disruption of the ubiquitin–proteasome system (Forrest et al., 2018). A gradual loss of spines and dendrites also occurs in aging (Dickstein et al., 2013), AD (Dorostkar et al., 2015), and TBI (Gao et al., 2011; Przekwas et al., 2016). These losses result in the thinning of the cortex, which may account for the reduction in gray matter in the brains of cancer survivors after chemotherapy treatment.

Several studies have observed a reduction in dendritic and spinal complexity following the administration of chemotherapeutic drugs in rodent models. Reduction in the number of spines and dendritic branching in granule cells, and CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus, was observed following the administration of cisplatin (Andres et al., 2014), fluorouracil (Groves et al., 2017), doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide (Acharya et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2018). In addition, reduced spine number and dendritic branching in the cingulate cortex, an integral part of the limbic system involved in emotion, learning, and memory, were observed (Zhou et al., 2016). Interestingly, little research has been done regarding the effect of microtubule agents, considering that the microtubule network is vital for the formation and stabilization of spines, dendrites, and axons. We are aware of only two studies linking the microtubule-stabilizing effect of paclitaxel to impaired memory acquisition in rodent models (Atarod et al., 2015; You et al., 2018), although both studies did not further examine possible effects on neuronal morphology. There have been proposals to use these drugs to counter spine instability, specifically in AD (Brunden et al., 2014), supporting that the effects of microtubule agents in the CNS need to be further investigated.

Current studies are limited primarily to the hippocampus and associated regions. Future studies will benefit from examining other brain regions to determine whether the aversive effects are general or specific to particular regions. For instance, behavioral tasks to measure cortical-based performance in chemobrain animal models, such as attention and executive functions, are lacking. We found only one study employing the 5-choice serial reaction time task to examine prefrontal cortex impairment caused by cisplatin (Huo et al., 2018). Cortical-based tasks have been developed, continuously updated, and utilized in mouse models of psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (Powell & Miyakawa, 2006). Similar tasks should be used to study cognitive deficits in chemobrain.

**Decreased neurotransmitter release**

Neurotransmitter dysregulation, often a reduction in availability, is observed in most neurological disorders. For AD, a decrease in acetylcholine is frequently observed, which explains why three out of four FDA-approved drugs for treating AD are acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (Graham et al., 2017). In aging, a loss of dopaminergic neurons, approximately 5–10% per decade, was reported (Naoi & Maruyama, 1999). The secondary injury phase of TBI is initiated by an excess of glutamate, leading to calcium overload (Walker & Tesco, 2013). Notably, a majority of neurological drugs act through modulating neurotransmitters. Prominent examples include the cholinergic system for AD, the dopaminergic system for Parkinson’s disease, and the serotonergic system for depression.

Supporting evidence for the involvement of neurotransmitters comes from studies correlating variants of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) with differential risks of developing chemobrain in cancer survivors. COMT regulates dopamine, epinephrine, and norepinephrine metabolism (Sheldrick et al., 2008). Particularly, for the COMT Val158Met polymorphism (rs4680), the Val allele is associated with higher COMT enzymatic activity, and hence lower cortical dopamine availability (Small et al., 2011). Consequently, cancer survivors carrying at least one Val allele are at higher risk of developing chemobrain (Small et al., 2011), presumably due to their smaller dopamine reservoir. Another COMT variant, rs165599 G/G, also increases the risk of chemobrain in breast cancer patients (Cheng et al., 2016).

Work investigating neurotransmitter alterations in chemobrain remains sparse. Mice receiving a single injection of doxorubicin had
slower glutamate uptake into cells in both the cortex and the dentate gyrus (Thomas et al., 2017). Similarly, a reduction in dopamine release in the striatum following injections of carboplatin (Kaplan et al., 2016) or 5-fluorouracil (Jarmolowicz et al., 2019) was reported. Serotonin release was also reduced in the raphe nucleus after carboplatin injection (Kaplan et al., 2016). The underlying mechanisms remain largely unclear, although reduced glutamate transporter expression (Thomas et al., 2017) and impaired exocytosis (Kaplan et al., 2016) were implicated. In addition, increased acetylcholine esterase activity was observed in the hippocampus of rats treated with doxorubicin (El-Agamy et al., 2018). A reduction in choline content, the precursor for acetylcholine, was also observed after doxorubicin treatment (Keeney et al., 2018), suggesting that reduced cholinergic activity may be a factor in chemobrain.

Although existing research is promising, it remains unclear whether specific neurotransmitters are affected, or whether all systems are affected. As many neurological drugs target neurotransmitter systems, further studies focusing on neurotransmitters will be particularly helpful in informing therapeutic options.

**Glia cells**

Glia cells are non-neuronal cells that provide crucial support and protection for neurons, allowing neurons to perform their functions (Jessen, 2004). Similar to neurogenesis, reduced gliogenesis in the SVZ and SGZ can lead to fewer new astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. As astrocytes and oligodendrocytes modulate memory encoding and consolidation (Fields et al., 2014), this reduction can impair memory acquisition. Proper axonal myelination is required for fast conduction speed and enhanced cognitive processing both in rodents (McDougall et al., 2018) and in healthy young and elderly adults (Bendlin et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2011). Generation of new oligodendrocytes is also critical for complex motor learning (McKenzie et al., 2014) and spatial memory consolidation (Steadman et al., 2020). Imaging studies on human cancer survivors reveal a reduction in several white matter tracts (Deprez et al., 2011, 2012; Chen et al., 2020), suggesting reduced myelination. Supporting these observations, several studies reported that oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) and non-dividing mature oligodendrocytes are especially vulnerable to chemotherapy as compared to neurons and astrocytes (Dietrich et al., 2006; Han et al., 2008; Hyrien et al., 2010). In addition to depleting OPCs and mature oligodendrocytes, various chemotherapeutics also alter OPC differentiation, which may further impair proper myelination (Hyrien et al., 2010; Gibson et al., 2019).

Other studies examining glial cells in neurodegenerative diseases focus on reactive gliosis, a series of events that starts with the migration of activated microglia to the site of injury, followed by activated astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, often ending with the formation of a glial scar (Burda & Sofroniew, 2014). Gliosis is the universal response to acute brain injury including TBI, ischemia, and stroke. Similarly, activated microglia and astrocytes are observed in many mouse models of AD, often predating the onset of cognitive abnormalities (Newcombe et al., 2018). In aging, glial cells also become activated (Lynch et al., 2010). These hyperactivation states and their maintenance may contribute to long-term cognitive deficits.

Specifically, microglia activation in chemobrain occurred one week and three weeks after a single injection of methotrexate, a DNA crosslinker (Seigers et al., 2010). Two additional studies showed that microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes are all dysregulated following methotrexate treatment (Geraghty et al., 2019; Gibson et al., 2019). Methotrexate activates microglia, which in turn activates astrocytes, further leading to depletion of OPCs, reduced myelination, and reduced cortical BDNF levels. Astrocyte activation was observed after docetaxel injection (Fardell et al., 2014), and microglia activation was observed after cyclophosphamide (Christie et al., 2012).

The involvement of glial cells, either hypoactivation or hyperactivation, requires more investigation. As discussed in the context of other diseases such as AD, these investigations will benefit from recognizing the heterogeneity, including morphological, functional, and regional specificity, of glial cells, and whether they reduce or enhance the detrimental effects of chemotherapeutic drugs (Alibhai et al., 2018).

**Inflammation and breakdown of the blood–brain barrier**

There is a common consensus that chronic neuroinflammation is responsible for maintaining long-term cognitive dysfunctions in aging and neurodegenerative diseases (Glass et al., 2010; Michaud et al., 2013). Cytokines are small proteins secreted by cells of the immune system, including B cells, T cells, macrophages, mast cells, neutrophils, basophils, and eosinophils, and microglia and astrocytes (Wang et al., 2015a). Activated microglia and astrocytes can produce cytokines directly in CNS. However, peripherally released cytokines can also access the brain to invoke the local release of cytokines. Cytokines can also compromise the protective blood–brain barrier, thereby enabling the entrance of more cytokines and chemotherapeutic drugs (Ren et al., 2017). Of translational significance, peripheral and central cytokine levels can be routinely measured from the serum or the cerebrospinal fluid, making them convenient as potential biomarkers (Reale et al., 2009).

In aging, the gradual deterioration of the immune system, termed immunosenescence, is believed to underlie a chronic state of low-grade inflammation (Sparkman & Johnson, 2008; Di Benedetto et al., 2017). AD and TBI are also associated with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Remarque et al., 2001; Swardfager et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2015; Schimmel et al., 2017). In all conditions, higher levels of inflammatory cytokines are correlated with worse cognitive performance, as well as higher morbidity and mortality (Guerreiro et al., 2007; Gorska-Ciebiada et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018). Interestingly, elevated peripheral cytokines were also observed in cancer survivors receiving various regimens of chemotherapeutic drugs (Wang et al., 2015a).

Few studies using animal models have examined the direct release of cytokines by activated microglia and astrocytes in the CNS. 5-Fluorouracil and a combination of docetaxel, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide upregulated cytokines in the hippocampus (Groves et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019). Methotrexate activated microglia, but no changes in CNS cytokine levels were observed (Seigers et al., 2010). In contrast, several chemotherapeutic drugs, including methotrexate, cisplatin, oxaliplatin, paclitaxel, and vincristine, elevated peripheral inflammatory cytokines to induce chronic pain (Brandolini et al., 2019). Elevation of peripheral cytokines may also penetrate the blood–brain barrier to directly act on the CNS, and to activate microglia and astrocytes to secrete further cytokines. However, the correlation between elevated peripheral cytokines and their effect on CNS inflammation remains poorly understood.
Therapeutic avenues: current status, challenges, and repurposing existing drugs

Despite significant advances in our understanding of chemobrain, both at the clinical level and at the cellular–molecular basis, several challenges persist. First, despite increased awareness, there are currently no validated or approved tests for the diagnosis of chemobrain. Indeed, many studies find that cancer survivors score within, albeit often at the lower end of, the normal range of the population (Horowitz et al., 2018). This limitation is likely due to the lack of sensitivity of assessment tools used (Horowitz et al., 2018). Second, chemobrain is highly heterogeneous, with many confounding factors including genetic variability, treatment regimen, and comorbidity with other neurological conditions. Third, there are no clear disease mechanisms for chemobrain. Each chemotherapy drug is expected to exert a range of effects, which further vary when combined with other drugs and treatment modalities. Owing to the complexity and unclear mechanisms, the current clinical approach is to refer cancer survivors to psychiatrists who can prescribe cognitive rehabilitation, changes to lifestyle, mind-training exercises, cognitive–behavioral therapy, and general coping strategies (Ferguson et al., 2012; Kesler et al., 2013; Henneghan & Harrison, 2015). Additionally, neuropsychiatric drugs may be prescribed to alleviate symptoms (Vance et al., 2017).

Considering the complexity of discovering, fine-tuning, and approving new therapeutic compounds for the CNS (Pangalos et al., 2007), we propose that repurposing existing drugs is a feasible approach to successfully treating chemobrain in the near future. Despite the heterogeneity of molecular mechanisms, there are convergent cellular mechanisms that can be targeted (Table 2 and Fig 4A). This, of course, is not to discount the importance of studies that continue to examine the specific molecular mechanisms of each chemotherapeutic drug. With sufficient knowledge of the consequence of chemotherapy at all levels—molecular, cellular, and behavioral—better prevention or treatment options can be developed. Eventually, the more efficient therapies will not only treat the symptoms but also directly modify the trajectory of chemobrain (Fig 4B), either through alleviating the initial toxic effects or through enhancing recovery after chemotherapy.

Targeting neurogenesis

The adult hippocampus remains plastic and sensitive to environmental changes, and is therefore highly amenable to treatments (Ming & Song, 2011). Physical exercise and environmental enrichment enhance neurogenesis and alleviate symptoms in rodent models of aging (Voss et al., 2013), AD (Lazarov et al., 2010), TBI (Bondi et al., 2014), depression (Samuels & Hen, 2011), and chemobrain (Fardell et al., 2012; Winocur et al., 2014, 2016; Park et al.,
BDNF secretion is also essential for maintaining proper spines and dendrites, and for promoting neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus (Schoenfeld & Cameron, 2015; Shohayeb et al, 2018). Lithium, a mood stabilizer used to treat bipolar disorder and complement treatments for depression, also improves neurogenesis (Young, 2009). Notably, fluoxetine and lithium reduce cognitive impairment in rodent models of chemobrain (EBeltagy et al, 2010; Lyons et al, 2011b, 2012; Huenhchen et al, 2017). Therefore, antidepressant drugs may be useful in addressing both the cellular deficits and the behavioral manifestations of chemobrain.

Transplant of neural stem cells into various brain regions, including the hippocampus, frontal cortex, and striatum, is currently intensively studied as an approach to replace lost neurons in neurodegenerative diseases (Lindvall & Kokaia, 2010). Cells, either of human or of rodent origins, injected into rodent models, successfully survive, integrate, and differentiate into neurons and glia in the recipient’s brain, and alleviate cognitive impairment (Wang et al, 2015b). One study found that transplantation of human neural stem cells into the hippocampus of rats rescued behavioral and cellular deficits caused by cyclophosphamide (Acharya et al, 2015), suggesting that this is a promising, albeit very invasive, approach.

### Targeting spines and dendrites

Spine formation and stabilization also remain highly dynamic and sensitive to environmental changes in adulthood (Forrest et al, 2018). The glutamate receptors, particularly the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) and α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors (AMPARs), play critical roles in spine formation and stabilization. For example, memantine, an NMDAR inhibitor, and dextromethorphan, a non-competitive NMDAR antagonist, rescued cognitive impairment induced by cisplatin and methotrexate, respectively (Vijayanathan et al, 2011; Cheng et al, 2017). Other regulators of the NDMARs and AMPARs, including ketamine and the benzamides, can induce spine formation or remodel the dendritic arborization to reverse symptoms of depression and alleviate cognitive impairment (Partin, 2015; Phoumthiphavong et al, 2016; Duman, 2018). Although reversing a reduction in spines would be the desired outcome in the context of chemobrain, glutamate modulators will need careful investigation. Special attention is warranted because glutamate overload, as often is the case in TBI, can damage neurons, and reduce spinal and dendritic complexity.

Calcium signaling is also important for the proper maintenance of spines and dendrites (Higley & Sabatini, 2012). For example, dysregulated calcium/cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) signaling, such as during stress, can lead to spine destabilization and loss (Arnsten, 2015). Interestingly, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, which regulate cAMP levels, including rolipram and ibudilast, rescued cognitive impairment induced by docetaxel and oxaliplatin, respectively (Callaghan & O’Mara, 2015; Johnston et al, 2017). Calcium can also activate several protein kinase C isoforms, which in turn phosphorylate and activate myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate (MARCKS), an important regulator of spinal and dendritic complexity. Hyperactivation of protein kinase C underlies the reduction in dendritic complexity and cognitive impairment in aging and chronic psychological stress (Hains et al, 2009; Brudvig & Weimer, 2015), suggesting that inhibition of protein kinase C is a promising therapeutic strategy.
Targeting neurotransmitters

Because the homeostasis of various neurotransmitters is required for normal cognitive performance (Noudoost & Moore, 2011), a majority of drugs approved for treating neurological disorders are regulators of neurotransmitters. These drugs include the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and the acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (tacrine, donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine), which have been approved to treat depression and AD, respectively. Donepezil alleviated cognitive problems in two studies of chemobrain (Winocur et al, 2011; Lim et al, 2016).

Catecholaminergic drugs, which are used to treat ADHD, may also help with the attention deficits associated with chemobrain. Examples include bupropion, a dopamine reuptake inhibitor; atomoxetine, a norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; and amphetamine and methylphenidate, which enhance both dopamine and norepinephrine availability (Heal et al, 2012). Bupropion and methylphenidate reduced cancer-related (including chemotherapy) fatigue (Cullum et al, 2004; Gong et al, 2014), although methylphenidate had no effect on depression and cognition. Animal studies would provide mechanisms to complement the results of findings in human patients.

Targeting neuroinflammation and glial cells

Neuroinflammation remains a significant risk factor for neurodegeneration and can be targeted at both the peripheral and central levels. Several large-scale studies have examined the effects of over-the-counter non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as aspirin, ibuprofen, and naproxen on preventing or treating AD. However, the results are highly mixed, ranging from beneficial, to neutral, to harmful (Ozben & Ozben, 2019). Drugs approved for treating multiple sclerosis, a disease characterized by excessive inflammation and blood–brain barrier disruption, work through actively suppressing the immune system. Examples include copaxone, rituximab, and cladribine, which target T cells and B cells, and natalizumab, which blocks the migration of immune cells across the blood–brain barrier (Gholamzad et al, 2019). Because these drugs are associated with serious side effects including systemic immunosuppression and liver damage, the risks may outweigh the benefits of reducing mild cognitive impairment in chemobrain.

Neuroinflammation can also be targeted by directly targeting astrocytes and microglia. Recent evidence shows that PLX5622, a colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) inhibitor that specifically eliminates microglia, could block methotrexate-induced memory deficits (Gibson et al, 2019). PLX5622 also reduced inflammation and rescued cognitive deficits in a mouse model of AD (Dagher et al, 2015). Minocycline is a common antibiotic drug that also inhibits microglial activation (Kobayashi et al, 2013). However, findings about minocycline’s effects in animal models of AD and TBI have been mixed, ranging from beneficial to harmful (Garwood et al, 2010; Ferretti et al, 2012; Yang et al, 2012; Scott et al, 2018). Minocycline also did not delay the progression of cognitive impairment in people with mild AD over a 2-year period (Howard et al, 2019). These results suggest that more specific targets of microglia or astrocytes are required to alleviate cognitive impairment without also triggering side effects.

As white matter tracts are often compromised following chemotherapy (Matsos et al, 2017), improving oligodendrogenesis and myelination is another therapeutic strategy. LM22A-4, a TrkB agonist that promotes OPC proliferation and oligodendrogenesis, was found to rescue methotrexate-induced myelin loss and cognitive impairment (Geraghty et al, 2019). In addition, OPC transplantation has long been investigated as a treatment for demyelinating diseases such as multiple sclerosis (Ben-Hur, 2011) and, more recently, for spinal cord injury (Assinck et al, 2017), and can be repurposed for treating chemobrain.

Future directions

In recent years, chemobrain has gained attention as a serious side effect of chemotherapy, and several studies have advanced our understanding of the underlying mechanisms and factors. Going forward, addressing chemobrain will require concerted efforts on multiple fronts, informed by similar efforts made for aging, AD, and TBI (Langa & Levine, 2014). On the clinical front, efforts are needed to raise awareness about the risk of chemobrain among clinicians, chemotherapy patients, and their caretakers, thereby enabling more vigilant lookout for subtle deficits such as memory lapses that may otherwise be overlooked. Improvement in sensitivity of diagnostic tools to detect mild cognitive impairment, as well as utilization of neuroimaging techniques, such as structural brain MRI for possible hippocampal atrophy, and positron-emission tomography (PET) imaging for hypometabolism, will improve the sensitivity and reliability of chemobrain diagnoses. In addition, epidemiology studies will continue to determine whether genetic risk factors for neurodegenerative diseases, for example, variations in apolipoprotein E (APOE) (Ahles et al, 2003; Mandelblatt et al, 2018) or COMT, can predict risks of developing chemobrain in cancer survivors. Conversely, cancer survivors who do not show symptoms of chemobrain immediately after treatment may also be at increased risks of developing neurodegenerative diseases later in life. On the basic science front, efforts are needed to establish animal models that better mimic the complexity and subtlety of chemobrain in humans. Examples include utilizing animal models that are aged or carry tumors and that receive common combination of drugs instead of a single drug. In addition to tasks measuring memory acquisition, tasks that measure working memory, attention, and executive functions are also needed in studying chemobrain. Additionally, determination of whether specific cognitive modalities, anatomical regions, and cell populations are more

Pending issues

(1) Refinement of diagnosis criteria for chemobrain, including utilization of diagnostic imaging tools.

(2) Investigation of genetic risks and biomarkers for chemobrain, and whether cancer survivors are at increased risk of neurodegenerative diseases later in life.

(3) Development of animal models that better capture the complexity of chemobrain, including animals of single and combinatorial chemotherapy drugs and potential rescue with antichemobrain drugs.

(4) Determination of whether specific cognitive modalities, anatomical regions, and cell populations are more vulnerable to chemotherapy.
vulnerable will further aid efforts to develop therapeutic compounds. With these focused approaches, the future for improved therapies is promising.
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