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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Background: The high contagiousness and rapid spreading of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused a high number of critical to severe life-threatening cases, which required urgent hospital admission and treatment in intensive care units (ICUs). The pandemic has been a tough test for all European national health systems and their capability to provide an adequate reaction.

Methods: The present work aims to reveal correlations between parameters such as COVID-19 incidence, ICU bed occupancy, ICU excess area, and mortality in Italian regions. Public data for the period of March 1 to July 16, 2020, were analyzed using several mathematical and statistical methods.

Results: The analysis defined two separate groups of Italian regions. The examined variables considered within these groups were interlinked and dependent on each other. The regions of the two groups shared the same kind of fitted model (linear) explaining mortality as a function of cumulative incidence, but with higher value of the constant in one group, so characterized by a high intrinsic “strength” of the pandemic, certainly playing a major role in the generation of a large number of severe and life-threatening cases. These results are confirmed at European level. Other factors may condition mortality and be linked to incidence, such as ICU saturation and excess.

Conclusions: These quantitative results could be a very helpful tool to set up preventive measures and optimize biomedical interventions before the pandemic, in its recurrent waves, could overcome the reaction capacity of any public health system.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

\textbf{Introduction}

Since its onset, COVID-19 has been characterized by high contagiousness (Zhu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020) and different syndromic appearance, from an asymptomatic state or mild signs of discomfort (the majority of cases) to severe manifestation of acute respiratory distress, necessitating intensive care (IC) treatment. Different virus containment measures have been adopted throughout the world. Response to the threat includes individual and collective mobility limitations, physical distancing, quarantine of red zones, in-house confinement, provision of personal protective equipment, identification of infectious cases, and contact tracing via an enhanced effort of direct diagnosis. Rapidly expanding from China, COVID-19 soon became a fierce challenge for all of Europe. It was, in fact, immediately clear that capability of individual European national and regional health systems to sustain the threat mainly relied upon strength of the epidemic (i.e. cumulative number of cases) and was primarily linked to the availability of IC treatment required by the most severely affected patients. Mortality-wise, the pandemic exhibited a great variability in the EU, European Economic Area, and UK countries that share similar welfare-shaped health systems. Different mortality values were also seen in Italian regions, especially in the northern part of Italy, where the pandemic originally struck and was more intense (i.e. Lombardy and Veneto), with the first documented cases on February 21, 2020. Since then, the pandemic has spread over the whole country. When the first outbreaks developed, Italy had a total of 5000 ICU beds. Owing to the spatial and latitudinal heterogeneity, size, and asynchronous insurgence of the outbreaks, local hospitals and ICUs were rapidly saturated in Lombardy. Lockdown measures, implemented at country level on March 12, 2020, and possibly other factors, allowed control of the diffusion of the epidemic. Following
the end of March, the COVID-19 incidence started to decrease and at the end of April the pandemic had released its grip on ICUs. Most patients with early symptoms are now treated at home or in COVID-19 specialized wards and hospitals.

In the present investigation, for all Italian regions during the period from March 1 to July 16, 2020, we analyzed a number of variables showing a strong geographical heterogeneity. In particular our intention was to determine whether a direct relation existed among the cumulative number of COVID-19 cases, maximum daily ICU occupancy, ICU excess area (i.e. a condition when COVID-19 critical patients exceed the number of IC available beds), and mortality. The results clearly illustrate how these variables are interconnected and in particular how mortality is influenced by the other parameters.

Material and methods

Daily public data made available by “Protezione Civile Italiana” were downloaded from their website (Anon, a). Temporal sequences between March 1 and July 16, 2020, of cumulative incidence, COVID-19 daily ICU occupancy, and mortality were considered for all Italian regions including Trento and Bolzano autonomous provinces. All sequences referred to 100,000 inhabitants.

The IC sequence data were fitted by means of several functions, the gamma function, and the derivatives of well-known models: logistic and some of its generalizations (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964; Pearl and Reed, 1920; Compertz, 1825). After an RMSE comparison, the best type of model was applied to the IC sequence of each region. Whenever an IC fitted curve exceeded the maximum IC capability level, we numerically approximated the area of the geometric region under the curve and above the level. The IC capability at the beginning of the pandemic was taken from (Anon, b). The Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test was applied to assess statistical significance of the difference between mortality in different groups (Lehmann, 1999).

Hierarchical clustering was used for grouping (Duda and Hart, 1973; Ward, 1963), which minimizes locally the sum of squares of Euclidian distance between cluster elements and the corresponding centroid. Apart from a numerical constant, this is the within variance of the cluster. The associated clusters with their elements are obtained by suitably cutting the dendrogram with a horizontal line. Given an arbitrary number of clusters between 1 and n – 1 (n is the sample size), the corresponding number appearing on the vertical axis, divided by the square root of 2, gives the square root of the difference between the summation over the k clusters of the within-cluster sum of squares and the same quantity for k + 1 clusters. To select the optimal number of clusters we use these heights. Specifically, we follow the elbow criterion slightly modified: we select the number k such that if we decrease it by one, we have a high loss, but if we increase it by one, we have a low gain. More precisely, we maximize the ratio loss/gain, which indeed corresponds to the ratio between the heights relative to k – 1 and k clusters, respectively.

Results

Cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy, ICU excess area, and mortality estimates (for 100,000 inhabitants) at July 16, 2020, are reported for each Italian region (Table 1). A map of the Italian regions (Figure 1) shows intensities inversely related to the corresponding COVID-19 cumulative incidence values in Table 1. The analogous maps for maximum daily ICU occupancy and mortality, from data reported in Table 1, are also shown in Figure 1. It is noteworthy that the three maps are very similar. Furthermore, they show the above mentioned latitude-dependent pattern of the epidemic.

Hierarchical clustering analysis was applied to the whole data set of cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy, and mortality of the different regions (Table 1). Figure 2 shows the analysis result by means of a dendrogram, with clear evidence of the presence of two groups $G_{HC}$. The regions of the first group have a significantly higher mean value for each of the three variables compared with the corresponding values for the other group (Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test, p < 0.0002). The value 1 (or 2) is assigned as group number $G_{HC}$ to the regions of the first (or second) group (Table 1). The map of the regions of the two groups’ $G_{HC}$ is shown in Figure 2. It should be noted that the regions of group-1 $G_{HC}$ are almost only those “close” to Lombardy, where the epidemic started. The Marche region is included in group 1 although it is “far” from Lombardy. This is likely due to the spread of the epidemic along highways before lockdown (Sebastiani, 2020). In addition, a series of basketball tournament matches in the city of Pesaro during February 13–16, 2020, could have

| Region         | N  | Cumulative incidence | ICU capacity | Max daily ICU occupancy | ICU excess area | Mortality | $G_{HC}$ | $G_{HC}$ |
|----------------|----|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Abruzzo        | 1  | 252                  | 8.24         | 5.75                    | 0              | 35.4      | 2       | 2       |
| Basilicata     | 2  | 712                  | 8.59         | 3.33                    | 0              | 4.73      | 2       | 2       |
| Bolzano (aut. prov.) | 3  | 504                  | 7.53         | 12.2                    | 55.3           | 55.0      | 1       | 1       |
| Calabria       | 4  | 62.4                 | 7.18         | 1.17                    | 0              | 4.94      | 2       | 2       |
| Campania       | 5  | 82.1                 | 8.67         | 3.10                    | 0              | 7.40      | 2       | 2       |
| Emilia Romagna | 6  | 653                  | 10.1         | 8.43                    | 0              | 9.60      | 1       | 2       |
| Friuli Venezia Giulia | 7 | 274                  | 10.4         | 5.01                    | 0              | 28.3      | 2       | 2       |
| Lazio          | 8  | 342                  | 9.44         | 3.44                    | 0              | 14.4      | 2       | 2       |
| Liguria        | 9  | 642                  | 11.9         | 11.4                    | 0              | 99.9      | 2       | 1       |
| Lombardy       | 10 | 951                  | 8.98         | 13.8                    | 95.9           | 167       | 1       | 1       |
| Marche         | 11 | 443                  | 7.02         | 11.0                    | 65.9           | 64.2      | 1       | 1       |
| Molise         | 12 | 144                  | 6.12         | 2.90                    | 0              | 7.41      | 2       | 2       |
| Piedmont       | 13 | 718                  | 7.29         | 10.3                    | 52.3           | 93.8      | 1       | 1       |
| Apulia         | 14 | 112                  | 7.53         | 3.91                    | 0              | 13.5      | 2       | 2       |
| Sardinia       | 15 | 83.8                 | 7.44         | 1.85                    | 0              | 8.31      | 2       | 2       |
| Sicily         | 16 | 69.8                 | 8.13         | 1.58                    | 0              | 5.60      | 2       | 2       |
| Tuscany        | 17 | 277                  | 11.9         | 7.94                    | 0              | 30.1      | 2       | 2       |
| Trento (aut. prov.) | 18 | 831                  | 5.91         | 15.0                    | 176            | 74.8      | 1       | 1       |
| Umbria         | 19 | 163                  | 7.87         | 3.40                    | 0              | 9.00      | 2       | 2       |
| Aosta Valley   | 20 | 943                  | 7.88         | 21.3                    | 0              | 41.7      | 2       | 2       |
| Veneto         | 21 | 397                  | 10.1         | 7.25                    | 0              | 41.7      | 2       | 2       |
Figure 1. Maps of the COVID-19 cumulative incidence (left) and mortality (right) on July 16, 2020, and maximum daily ICU occupancy in the period March 1 to July 16, 2020, in Italian regions, as in Table 1. High values are plotted in dark.

Figure 2. Dendrogram from hierarchical clustering analysis of COVID-19 cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy, and mortality data of Italian regions, as in Table 1, (left); and map of the Italian regions of the two groups’ GHC of the dendrogram (right). The regions of group 1 (high values of cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy and mortality) are plotted in gray. The regions in dark gray also belong to group 1 GHC. Liguria and Emilia Romagna, in light gray, belong to group 1 GIC but not to group 1 GHC.

Figure 3. Linear fit between COVID-19 cumulative incidence and mortality sequences for Lombardy (top) and the regions of the first (bottom left) and the second (bottom right) groups’ GHC.
contributed to the early spread of the epidemic in the Marche region. In fact, a very large number of people from Lombardy attended these matches. In contrast, the Veneto region is not included in group-1 GIHC although it is close to Lombardy and, in addition, the first COVID-19 death was in Veneto.

To further characterize the two groups, for each region we studied the relation between the temporal sequences of cumulative incidence and mortality in the period March 1 to July 16, 2020. A simple linear model with one parameter was used. This is a crude approximation as the mortality sequence can be obtained mathematically from the incidence one by convolving it with a suitable kernel. Nevertheless, we take into account the temporal delay by comparing the cumulative incidence to the mortality sequence after shifting the latter along time. An optimal value of the shift was found by minimizing the variance unexplained by the model. In Figure 3, we present the result of the best linear fit for Lombardy. The results of the fits for the regions of the first and the second groups’ GIHC are included in the same figure. The slopes of the best linear model for the regions of the first-group GIHC are significantly higher than those of the second group (Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test, p < 0.003). We repeated the analysis for the relation between cumulative incidence and cumulative daily ICU occupancy. In this case, there is no significant difference between the mean values of the slopes of the regions in the two groups (Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test, p = 0.1). The results are similar for Spain, United Kingdom, Romania and Germany (Figure 4). The slopes of the lines for Germany and Romania are smaller than for Spain and the UK and comparable to those of the second-group GIHC; whereas, the slopes for Spain and the UK are comparable to those of the first-group GIHC. The number of critical care beds for Spain, UK, Romania, and Germany are 9.7, 6.6, 21.3, and 29.3 for 100,000 inhabitants, respectively (Anon, c).

We also studied the influence of ICU excess area on COVID-19 mortality. Examples of ICU sequences with and without ICU excess are given in Figure 5a for the Lombardy and Veneto regions. The plot shows that the ICU beds for Lombardy are much fewer than the ICU patients, for about days 20–60. A value 1 (or 2) is assigned as group number GIHC to the regions with (or no) ICU excess area (Table 1). The map of the regions of the two groups’ GIHC is illustrated in Figure 2. The values of the mortality in the regions are significantly larger for the first than the second group (Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test, p < 0.005). It is noteworthy that the two partitions of the Italian regions from ICU excess GIHC and hierarchical clustering GIHC based on cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy, and mortality are almost identical. Only the regions of Liguria and Emilia Romagna have different GIHC and GIHC values. Nevertheless, both regions nearly have ICU excess area (data not shown).

The possibility that COVID-19 mortality is directly increased by ICU excess area is also investigated. This is done by fitting a linear model between ICU excess area and COVID-19 mortality for the regions of the first group of GIHC (Figure 5b). Mortality in this case is evaluated at the end of the excess period for all regions, i.e., April 20. The outlier Lombardy, where the Italian epidemic started and

---

**Figure 4.** Linear fit between COVID-19 cumulative incidence and mortality sequences for Germany (top) and for Spain, UK, Romania, and Germany from the highest to the smallest values of slope, respectively (bottom).

**Figure 5.** (a) Daily ICU occupancy sequences and ICU capability thresholds at the beginning of the pandemic for Lombardy (open squares and continuous line) and Veneto (open circles and dotted lines); and (b) best linear fit between ICU excess area and mortality at April 20, 2020. The open symbol represents Lombardy, which was excluded from linear fitting.
reached the highest mortality, is excluded from the analysis that gives a determination coefficient ($R^2$) of 0.85. A similar result is obtained when the area is replaced by the maximum of daily ICU occupancy. Therefore, we test the hypothesis that the mortality mean value in the regions of the first group of $G_{IC}$ is higher than those in the remaining regions of the first group of $G_{HC}$ with no ICU excess area, i.e. Liguria and Emilia Romagna. However, the difference is not significant (Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon rank test, $p = 0.6$). This could be tested by performing the analysis at provincial level but, unfortunately, ICU occupancy data are not publicly available at provincial level.

Finally, the partition of the Italian regions into the two groups $G_{HC}$ is almost identical to that obtained by hierarchical clustering from cumulative incidence at the day when the lockdown started at national level in Italy, i.e., March 12, 2020 (Figure 6). Among the regions of group 1 (the one with high cumulative intensity), only Veneto and Friuli Venezia Giulia are not in group 1 $G_{HC}$ (Figure 2). This result is important because the pattern at March 12 helps to predict the one at July 16.

**Discussion**

The results in the above section clearly indicate that, when taken individually, COVID-19 cumulative incidence, maximum daily ICU occupancy, and mortality have the highest values in the same regions, hence these variables show an overlapping geographic distribution. Two groups of regions can be identified in Italy, with mean values related to each of these three parameters that significantly differ between the two groups. These two groups, moreover, can be well separated using specific statistical tools, i.e., hierarchical clustering ($G_{HC}$). The resulting dendrogram clearly shows the presence of two clusters with higher ($G_{HC1}$) or lower variable values ($G_{HC2}$), Lombardy, where the pandemic started and where it has been more intense, is the prototype of the $G_{HC1}$ Cluster. Thus, results show that the viral pandemic affected Italian regions with a dual modality according to the pandemic “strength” and that cumulative incidence of cases, maximum daily ICU occupancy, as well as mortality are somehow interlinked. The spatial proximity to Lombardy of other $G_{HC1}$ regions along with high mobility of people from Lombardy to non-neighboring regions can explain such a group clustering. The exception to this rule is apparent in the Veneto region, a condition that can derive from a number of public health measures that were adopted by the regional prevention and epidemiology departments: (i) immediate lockdown of the first outbreak centers, (ii) prompt set up of COVID-19 dedicated facilities like first aid, wards, and hospitals together with an increased number of beds in ICUs, (iii) a consolidated capillary territorial distribution of hygiene and preventive services, and (iv) wide adoption of diagnostic tests for symptomatic and at risk populations.

Our mathematical analysis is then extended to dissect the relation between cumulative COVID-19 incidence, mortality, maximum daily ICU occupancy, and ICU excess area comparing clusters $G_{HC1}$ and $G_{HC2}$ by means of fitting linear models. The COVID-19 cumulative incidence is highly related to mortality and shows significantly different behavior between the two clusters, a result expected since severe and life-threatening cases increase with pandemic amplification. Cumulative incidence is also linearly related to cumulative daily ICU occupancy but this latter variable varies in the same manner in the two regional clusters, indicating that higher virus circulation has induced the same need for more available IC beds in both groups of regions, without distinction for disease severity.

However, ICU excess area represented by $G_{IC}$ group number 1 (the $G_{IC1}$ regions: Bolzano, Lombardy, Marche, Piedmont, Trento, and Aosta Valley) is directly related to mortality values significantly larger than those exhibited by $G_{IC}$ group number 2 (the $G_{IC2}$ regions: all the others), as exemplified by Lombardy. Among $G_{IC1}$ regions only Liguria and Emilia Romagna do not belong to $G_{IC1}$ but nearly have IC excess area, a result that indicates that this parameter is also related to the pandemic strength. It is notable in this regard that the IC occupancy in Liguria exceeds 95% of the available units. This suggests that the trend for Liguria should be more similar to the regions of the $G_{IC1}$ than the $G_{IC2}$ group. A different situation accounts for Emilia Romagna where the IC occupancy is about 80% of the available ICU beds but COVID-19 incidence is extremely heterogeneous for the different provinces; Piacenza being an example of a highly affected district with respect to others. Data relating ICU excess area to mortality indicate a direct correlation in $G_{IC1}$ regions (Bolzano, Trento, Piedmont, Marche, and Aosta Valley) with the exception of Lombardy, the worst hit region. This paradox may be because Lombardy had the more intense virus circulation among the general population in Italy; this caused a rapid saturation of hospitals and ICUs that, in turn, became the source of a rapid transmission of contagion from cases with high viral load, a condition that resulted in a serious number of victims (Fagioli et al., 2020). The observed pattern is not specific for Italy. In fact, Spain and the UK, which have low ICU capacity, show the same trend as Lombardy and the other regions of the first-group $G_{HC}$ whereas in Germany and Romania, with high ICU capacity, the situation is similar to the regions of the second-group $G_{HC}$.

Before general lockdown was introduced, two other regions, Veneto and Friuli Venezia Giulia, had a large virus circulation that clustered together with others at similarly high cumulative incidence (Lombardy, Marche, Piedmont, Liguria, Bolzano, Trento, etc.)
Aosta Valley, and Emilia Romagna). The fact that both Veneto and Friuli Venezia Giulia had a relatively low mortality and daily ICU occupancy (did not fall within GHTC group) means that the early public health preventive measures adopted by these regions may have successively affected pandemic spread. Obviously, other virus and host-related factors could influence mortality (e.g., virulence, age, and comorbidities) and could explain some of the discrepant estimates described. Moreover, a high mortality among IC-treated patients cannot be solely related to COVID-19 but to different social, epidemiological, and environmental factors such as the number of days of admission to ICU and the acquisition of multidrug resistant bacterial infections (Rossolini et al., 2014). Our data clearly indicate that IC, albeit life-saving for the most severe COVID-19 complications, should be deferred as much as possible. A substantially reduced IC saturation would mean a better overall survival with new effective treatments being adopted in the community and with a diminished occurrence of nosocomial virus spreading (de Wit et al., 2016). In fact, at the end of the lockdown, with the drop in new SARS-CoV-2 positive cases and the reduced admission to ICUs, mortality values steadily decreased (Onder et al., 2020). Unfortunately, since August incidence has progressively increased.

A number of mathematical models have been proposed to predict the biological and medical impact of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic that could be helpful to optimize preventive and interventional measures (Gatto et al., 2020; Kissler et al., 2020; Sebastiani et al., 2020). In this respect, a simple quantitative estimate of ICU availability and saturation as well as excess thresholds, as reported here, could be of paramount public health relevance. It could help in setting up preparedness plans for surveillance and control of a recurrent wave of SARS-CoV-2 as well as for future emerging and pandemic infections. Moreover, it our opinion that such a parameter, adopted at the European level, could be a critical indicator for establishing sustainability of any health system and preventing its collapse.
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Effect of multi-drug resistance among patients who failed to respond to conventional treatment and the need for further investigation.

This study aimed to investigate the impact of drug resistance among patients who failed to respond to conventional treatment and the need for further investigation.

Methods:

We conducted a retrospective analysis of patients who had been treated for tuberculosis (TB) at our hospital between January 2015 and December 2019. A total of 100 patients were included in the study. The demographic characteristics, treatment history, and drug susceptibility test results were collected. The drug resistance patterns were analyzed using the chi-square test.

Results:

The mean age of the patients was 43.5 years (range 18–72 years). The majority of the patients were male (65%) and living in rural areas (60%). The most common site of infection was the lungs (85%). The results of the drug susceptibility test showed that 25% of the patients had multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB). The most common resistance pattern was isoniazid and rifampicin resistance (44%). The chi-square test showed a statistically significant association between the occurrence of drug resistance and the duration of treatment (p < 0.05).

Discussion:

Our study highlights the importance of early diagnosis and treatment of TB to prevent the development of drug resistance. The results suggest that patients who fail to respond to conventional treatment should be further investigated for drug resistance.

Conclusion:

The findings of this study can help guide clinicians in the selection of appropriate initial treatment regimens and in the planning of further investigations for patients who fail to respond to conventional treatment.