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The ErbB-3 BINDING PROTEIN 1 (EBP1) drives growth, but the mechanism of how it acts in plants is little understood. Here, we show that EBP1 expression and protein abundance in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) are predominantly confined to meristematic cells and are induced by sucrose and partially dependent on TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) kinase activity. Consistent with being downstream of TOR, silencing of EBP1 restraints, while overexpression promotes, root growth, mostly under sucrose-limiting conditions. Inducible overexpression of RETINOBLASTOMA RELATED (RBR), a sugar-dependent transcriptional repressor of cell proliferation, depletes meristematic activity and causes precocious differentiation, which is attenuated by EBP1. To understand the molecular mechanism, we searched for EBPI- and RBR-interacting proteins by affinity purification and mass spectrometry. In line with the double-stranded RNA-binding activity of EBP1 in human (Homo sapiens) cells, the overwhelming majority of EBP1 interactors are part of ribonucleoprotein complexes regulating many aspects of protein synthesis, including ribosome biogenesis and mRNA translation. We confirmed that EBP1 associates with ribosomes and that EBP1 silencing hinders ribosomal RNA processing. We revealed that RBR also interacts with a set of EBPI-associated nucleolar proteins as well as factors that function in protein translation. This suggests EBPI and RBR act antagonistically on common processes that determine the capacity for translation to tune meristematic activity in relation to available resources.

Cell growth is generally required for cell proliferation, and although these two processes are separately regulated, they are closely connected and coordinated (Fox et al., 2018). The central conserved regulator of the cell cycle is the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK), the activity of which (1) sets the unidirectional alternation of cell cycle phases, (2) enables cells to tune the cell cycle to match with developmental and environmental cues, or (3) gives a signal to exit from the cycle to allow cellular differentiation (De Veylder et al., 2007). A pivotal target of CDK that balances cell proliferation with differentiation is the RETINOBLASTOMA RELATED (RBR) protein, whose phosphorylation lifts the repression on the cell-cycle regulatory E2F transcription factors (Magyar et al., 2016). In plants, modulation in the levels of these cell cycle regulators, such as the overexpression of Cyclin D3;1 (Dewitte et al., 2003), silencing of the RBR protein (Gutzat et al., 2011), or overexpression of the E2FB transcription factor (Magyar et al., 2005), results in more smaller cells and stunted and developmentally arrested plants. This led to the realization that acceleration of cell proliferation does not necessarily lead to increased plant growth.

The TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) kinase pathway is regarded as the central regulator of cell growth in connection with light and sugars by generally boosting anabolic and repressing catabolic processes (Dobrenel et al., 2016a; González and Hall, 2017). Protein synthesis, being an energy and resource demanding cellular process, is one of the major cellular functions controlled by TOR signaling (González and Hall, 2017). S6 kinase (S6K) is a key downstream component of TOR signaling that regulates translation and ribosome biogenesis (Deprost et al., 2007; Schepetilnikov et al., 2013). Thus growth-stimulatory factors such as light, sugar, and auxin activate TOR and affect the translation apparatus in different ways (Liu et al., 2013; Dobrenel et al., 2016b; Chen et al., 2018; Enganti et al., 2018; Schepetilnikov and Ryabova, 2018). Correspondingly, elevated TOR expression boosts plant growth in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Deprost et al., 2007) and increases yield.
in crop plants, specifically in limiting conditions (Bakshi et al., 2019). On the other hand, TOR silencing or chemical inhibition of TOR activity results in reduced growth (Deprost et al., 2007; Montané and Menand, 2013, 2019).

The growth regulatory TOR signaling drives cell proliferation by controlling the selective translation of key cell-cycle regulatory proteins, such as CLN3 G1 cyclin in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Barbet et al., 1996) or Cyclin E in human (Homo sapiens) cells (Dowling et al., 2010). In plants, however, there appears to be an even more direct connection between growth and cell cycle control, as TOR phosphorylates and thus stimulates E2FA and E2FB activities (Xiong et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2019). More recent research shows that the YET ANOTHER KINASE1 (AYAKI) gene, encoding a member of the dual-specificity Tyr phosphorylation-regulated kinase family, inhibits growth and cell proliferation by up-regulating the SIAMESE-RELATED (SMR) proteins when TOR activity is reduced (Barrada et al., 2019; Forzani et al., 2019). Moreover, the principal downstream effector of TOR, AtS6K1, interacts with RBR and facilitates its nuclear localization, which is important to impose repression on cell proliferation in sucrose limiting conditions (Henriques et al., 2013). Finally, S6K1, E2FB, and RBR are interconnected through negative feedback loops that might be important to toggle between cell proliferation and quiescence (Henriques et al., 2010).

The ErbB-3 BINDING PROTEIN 1 (EBP1) came to the forefront of interest in plants when it was found that it is rapidly induced during early stages of tuber formation, stimulated by sugar in potato (Solanum tuberosum; Horváth et al., 2006). Overexpression or silencing of EBP1 in potato could dose-dependently tune leaf and tuber growth and thus potato yield without any obvious developmental abnormalities (Horváth et al., 2006). Studying the cellular basis how EBP1 affects organ growth showed that it boosts cell proliferation in meristematic cells, resulting in more but smaller cells, which correlated with elevated expression of cell cycle regulators. In postmitotic cells, EBP1 enhances cell growth leading to a larger final cell size. On the molecular level EBP1 represses RBR protein abundance, whereas RBR negatively influences the EBP1 level. This antagonism suggested that EBP1 provides an important link between a growth driver and cell cycle regulation (Horváth et al., 2006). The initial finding that EBP1 enhances growth was verified for a number of EBP1 orthologs identified in different species (Cao et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016); however, another report described an opposite effect (Li et al., 2016). Additionally, EBP1 expression is induced upon abiotic stress, and when EBP1 levels are elevated, it can confer stress tolerance (Cao et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2016). In line with being a positive regulator of growth, in a maize hybrid displaying hybrid vigor, EBP1 is expressed in an over dominant fashion (Wang et al., 2016). In a recent study, EBP1 was identified as an interactor with the FERONIA receptor-like kinase with a major function in regulating cell expansion. FERONIA directly phosphorylates EBP1, leading to its nuclear localization to regulate genes responsive to FERONIA signaling (Li et al., 2018).

A clue to understand EBP1’s molecular function in plants was its remarkable coexpression with genes involved in the regulation of protein synthesis in proliferating cells, the so-called ribi regulon (Horváth et al., 2006). In line with this, several additional supporting lines of evidence have been published. First, EBP1 expression is rapidly induced when growth is stimulated in the shoot meristem of dark-grown etiolated seedlings upon light exposure, correlating with the induction of genes involved in protein synthesis (López-Juez et al., 2008; Mohammed et al., 2018). Second, EBP1 is present in nucleoli in both animals (Squatrito et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2006) and in plants (Pendle et al., 2005), as evidenced by a carboxy-terminal Lys-rich motif (Karlsson et al., 2016) and an N-terminal nucleolar localization signal (Squatrito et al., 2004; Ko et al., 2016). Third, human EB1 (HsEB1) has a double-stranded RNA binding activity (Squatrito et al., 2004). It binds to rRNAs and ribosomes (Squatrito et al., 2006) and also supports ribosome biogenesis by interacting with the Pol I transcription factor TIF-IA (Nguyen le et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2019) and possibly with nucleolar nucleophosmin (Okada et al., 2007). Fourth, HsEB1 also stabilizes several mRNAs by binding their 3’UTRs (Bose et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2010; Fisapia et al., 2015).
Finally, EBP1 has been implicated in translational control in *Leishmania major* (Norris-Mullins et al., 2014) and, repeatedly, in gene specific translation in cap-independent translation initiation of RNA viruses (Pilipenko et al., 2000) on the androgen receptor mRNA (Zhou et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2011) by affecting eIF2\(\alpha\) phosphorylation (Squatrito et al., 2006).

To gain insight into the growth-promoting function of EBP1, it is paramount to identify the molecular partners. To this end, we performed mass spectrometry to identify EBP1 interactors, which uncovered proteins with a broad range of RNA binding and, to a lesser extent, of DNA binding activities, and also proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis, splicing, translation, and transcription. Here, we confirm that EBP1 can associate with ribosomes and is distributed between cytoplasm, nucleus, and nucleolus, in line with its suggested role in translation and ribosome biogenesis. We demonstrate that the inhibition of EBP1 expression causes a defect in rRNA processing, which can be rescued by sucrose. Sugar could also rescue the growth defects during root development in the EBP1 silencing lines, suggesting EBP1 is specifically required to support protein translation in growth limiting conditions. Strikingly, overexpression of EBP1 can suppress both the loss of meristematic activity and precocious differentiation that occurs upon induction of elevated RBR expression. In addition, we also found that RBR shares interacting partners with EBP1, and besides its canonical transcriptional repressor function, it potentially acts on common biological processes with EBP1 in ribosome biogenesis and protein translation. Thus, EBP1 and RBR antagonistically regulate translational capacity to determine the balance between meristematic activity and cellular differentiation.

## RESULTS

### EBP1 Is Expressed in Root Meristematic Tissues

When ectopically overexpressed or silenced, EBP1 enhances or reduces the meristematic activity and correspondingly organ growth. However, where and where EBP1 is naturally expressed is not well documented (Horváth et al., 2006). To study the regulation of EBP1 expression, we followed the EBP1 promoter activity driving GUS and cyan-fluorescent protein (CFP) expression. For this, we cloned the entire intergenic region (1193bp) between *EBP1* (At3g51800) and the nearest upstream gene (At3g51810). Around 25 Arabidopsis transgenic lines were generated for pEBP1:GUS and for pEBP1:CFP. For both, five lines were examined in detail, all showing the same expression profile in root tissues. We detected strong GUS activity in the primary meristem (Fig. 1A), in the region
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**Figure 1.** Arabidopsis EBP1 is expressed and localized in actively dividing tissues during root development. Representative differential interference contrast images of EBP1 expression in the primary root (A) and during lateral root development (B) in the transgenic pEBP1:GUS lines after 3h GUS staining. The images of the different stages of lateral root development belong to the same root. C, Confocal microscopy images in the propidium-iodide–stained root sample of the pEBP1:CFP lines. D to F, Confocal microscopy images represent the localization of the EBP1-YFP protein in the pgEBP1:YFP transgenic line in the primary (D) and secondary (E) meristems. The enlarged sections of the meristematic region in (F) and of a lateral root initial in (E) are showing cytoplasmic localization of the fusion protein (scale bar = 25 \(\mu\)m), whereas (G) and (H) illustrate the lower level of expression in the slowly dividing stem cells and QC. In each case, 5- to 6-d-old seedling were analyzed. White arrow points toward the QC, and the white arrowhead in (E) labels the occasional nuclear localization of the EBP1-YFP protein in the differentiation zone. The merged image in (A) is a composite of two consecutive overlapping images of the same root, taken with the same median focal plane. The enlarged image in (F) comes from the meristematic region, labled by two white lines in (D). Scale bars = 50 \(\mu\)m, unless stated otherwise.
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of the rapidly dividing transit amplifying cells and in the emerging lateral root meristematic cells (Fig. 1B). In the elongation and differentiation zones, we observed expression only at a low level, mainly in the vasculature. Similarly, the expression pattern of pEBP1:CFP was largely confined to the meristematic cells (Fig. 1C). In this aspect, the expression pattern overlaps with the expression pattern published in Arabidopsis.org or Plant eFP at https://bar.utoronto.ca/plant. To see whether the entire intergenic region is required to regulate the transcription, we studied stepwise-shortened regions of the full promoter. As Supplemental Figure S1 illustrates, the region (-337-0) contains the essential regulatory sequences to induce transcription.

To investigate whether EBP1 promoter activity and protein accumulation correspond, we fused the genomic coding region of EBP1 with the coding region of the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and expressed this 3’-terminal fusion under its native promoter (pgEBP1-YFP). For this, we used the entire intergenic region (1193bp) containing the 5’-upstream region, which also comprises the 5’UTR. Of over 30 regenerated transgenic lines, 5 lines were analyzed in detail and showed the same pattern of EBP1-YFP protein accumulation, which overlapped with the EBP1 promoter activity. EBP1-YFP protein was most abundant in root meristematic cells (Fig. 1D), specifically in the region of the transit amplifying cells and emerging lateral root primordia (Fig. 1E). Lower levels of EBP1-YFP signal were detected in the slowly dividing stem cells and even less in the quiescent center (QC; Fig. 1H). This pattern at the QC region was slowly dividing stem cells and even less in the quiescent lower levels of EBP1-YFP signal were detected in the LC1 and line 2) showed strongly reduced growth upon 17-β-estradiol treatment as silencing was induced and the EBP1 mRNA level diminished (Supplemental Fig. S3, A and B). However, from the T3 generation onward the retardation in root growth on sucrose-free media was no longer dependent on 17-β-estradiol, and the EBP1 level was already reduced without chemical induction, indicating that silencing became constitutive (Supplemental Fig. S4A). Therefore, in subsequent experiments we did not apply the inducer. To ascertain that EBP1 silencing is not influenced by sucrose, we determined the EBP1 level both in the presence and absence of sucrose. Although the level of EBP1 was induced by sucrose as shown above, the silencing remained effective in both iEBP1 lines (Supplemental Fig. S4A).

Next, we studied how seedling growth was influenced by the addition of external sucrose when EBP1 was silenced. Although a large portion of the iEBP1 seedlings (both line 1 and line 2) showed growth arrest and retarded growth on sucrose-free media, this inhibition was largely suppressed when seeds were germinated on 1% sucrose (Fig. 3, A and B). In an insertional mutant, ebp1-3 (CS854731), we observed an even more pronounced growth retardation in the absence of sucrose and recovery on sucrose-free media (Fig. 3, A and B). Leaf development showed similar effects in the absence and presence of sucrose (Supplemental Fig.
S4, B and C). The level of growth retardation closely matched the decline in EBP1 expression in the silencing lines (Supplemental Fig. S4A). To test whether the arrested growth upon EBP1 silencing can be rescued, we transferred seedlings from sucrose-free onto sucrose-containing media and found that root growth indeed recovered (Supplemental Fig. S4, D and E).

Ectopic EBP1 overexpression can dose-dependently promote growth in potato and Arabidopsis (Horváth et al., 2006). We asked whether this promotion depends on sucrose availability. On sucrose-free medium, we found that roots of the two independent EBP1 overexpression lines 1 and 2 (Horváth et al., 2006) grew significantly better than Col-0, but the difference in root length between the transgenic lines and the control was less pronounced on sucrose-containing media (Fig. 3C). Taken together, the facts that sucrose rescued the growth retardation caused by EBP1 silencing and, vice versa, that EBP1 overexpression overcame the sucrose limitation, suggest that EBP1 has a role in coordinating root growth with sugar availability.

EBP1 Supports Maintenance of the Root Meristem and Counteracts Cell Differentiation Induced by RBR

Sugar availability controls cell proliferation through the RBR pathway (Magyar et al., 2016). Silencing of RBR results in excessive cell proliferation, while elevating the RBR level leads to premature differentiation, exhausting the stem-cell pool, and arresting division of the amplifying cells. As a result, the root meristem is gradually reduced as cells become differentiated (Wildwater et al., 2005). EBP1 and RBR levels are counter-inhibited in cultured cells (Horváth et al., 2006). To follow how the EBP1 level responds to RBR-induced differentiation in the root, we introgressed pEBP1:CFP and pgEBP1:YFP into the dexamethasone-inducible RBR overexpression line (RBROE). Upon induction of RBR expression, both the EBP1 promoter activity and EBP1-YFP protein level progressively diminished, together with the meristematic zone, as differentiation progressed (Fig. 4, A and B).

To investigate whether EBP1 has a role in meristem maintenance when RBR is overexpressed, we crossed EBP1OE line 1 and 2 with RBROE. Homozygous offspring of three independent introgressed lines were analyzed and showed elevated levels of both RBR and EBP1 transcripts (Fig. 4C). As expected, induction of RBR overexpression reduced root growth and shortened the meristem, as measured by the distance from the tip to the first differentiating root hair. Strikingly, both effects of RBR overexpression were significantly attenuated by EBP1 overexpression (Fig. 4, D to H; Supplemental Fig. S5A). Five days after RBR induction the entire root meristem organization was lost and cells appeared vacuolated, but the elevated level of EBP1 prevented this process and supported meristematic function and root growth for a longer period (Supplemental Fig. S5, B and C). Taken together, the EBP1 level is important to maintain meristematic activity and to counteract the progression of differentiation imposed by RBR overexpression.

EBP1 Predominantly Interacts with Proteins Involved in Ribosome Biogenesis and Protein Translation

To gain insights into the molecular function of EBP1, we searched for EBP1 interacting proteins in a pull-down experiment and identified them by mass spectrometry. To do this we took advantage of the
transgenic line pgEBP1-YFP and used p35S:GFP as a control. We identified and quantified EBP1-YFP-associated proteins against proteins associated with the control GFP alone in six replicates each using label-free mass spectrometry (Hubner et al., 2010). To certify interactors with statistical confidence, we computed the false discovery rate and the amount ratio between proteins identified in EBP1-YFP vs GFP pull-downs and established thresholds as visualized in the volcano plot (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Methods). We identified 55 specific interactors with EBP1-YFP at high confidence (Supplemental Table S1). Gene ontology overrepresentation analysis based on molecular function placed 52 out of the 55 EBP1 interactors into different aspects of RNA binding (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Table S1). Classifying them according to biological processes, ribosome functions and regulation of protein translation, ribosome biogenesis, small nuclear RNA synthesis, and modifications and RNA splicing were the only prominent processes (Supplemental Table S1). Taken together, mass spectrometry identification of interactors suggested that EBP1 has the potential to regulate multiple aspects of ribosome biogenesis and protein translation.

Intrigued by the molecular and functional antagonism between EBP1 and RBR, we also searched for RBR-interacting proteins (Fig. 5, B and E; Supplemental Table S2) using the pgRBR-GFP line (Magyar et al., 2012). The list of RBR-interactors (55) included known partners, such as E2FB and DPB and additional, previously unidentified interactors. Among which 13 were annotated with the molecular function of RNA binding, involved in ribosome biogenesis and protein translation (Fig. 5C). Five of these also interacted with EBP1, including members of the H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex, known to catalyze the site-specific pseudo-uridylation and most of the methylation of rRNA (Watkins and Bohnsack, 2012); NOP56, which operates in trans to assist with the maturation of rRNAs (Lykke-Andersen et al., 2018); and finally the pre-mRNA-processing protein 40A, which binds the carboxyl-terminal domain of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II and functions as a scaffold for RNA processing (Morris and Greenleaf, 2000). This set of common interactors points toward an as yet unknown aspect of RBR function that converges with EBP1 and explaining their opposing functions in the meristem.

EBP1 Is Associated with the Ribosome and Supports Global Translation in Sucrose Limiting Conditions

Given that EBP1 was found to interact with a number of ribosomal proteins by mass spectrometry, we asked...
whether EBP1 is preferentially associated with single ribosomes, their subunits, or with polysomes. In a high-density sucrose gradient (15% to 50%), EBP1 fusion protein cofractionated with polysomes and ribosomal subunits (Fig. 6A), in keeping with its association with 60S subunit proteins in the pull-down experiments (Fig. 5). After separating 40S, 60S, and 80S subunits in a low-sucrose gradient (7% to 20%), EBP1 also appeared in fractions containing only 40S, as well as in the non-ribosomal fraction (Fig. 6A). Taken together, these data confirm that EBP1 has the potential to interact with the ribosome in polysomal and nonpolysomal contexts.

To test whether EBP1 supports translation, we obtained polysome profiles of 12-d-old EBP1 RNAi seedlings grown without sucrose supplementation and after phenotypic rescue using 1% sucrose. When polysomes were normalized by fresh weight, the EBP1 RNAi plants grown on 0% sucrose contained fewer ribosomes overall than the wild-type control, whereas at 1% sucrose, this difference disappeared (Fig. 6, B and C).
However, the polysome-to-monosome (80S) ratio was not obviously affected by sucrose. Thus, the deficiency in ribosomes was rescued by sucrose, matching with the effect of sucrose on the growth kinetics shown earlier (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S4).

**EBP1 Supports Ribosome Biogenesis**

To further delineate the potential molecular functions of EBP1 in plants, especially in light of its association with proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis in Arabidopsis (Supplemental Table S1) and in human (Squatrito et al., 2004), we examined the iEBP1 lines for defects in rRNA processing. We conducted northern blot analyses of rRNA processing intermediates with probes against the internal transcribed spacers ITS-1 and ITS-2, as well as mature 18S and 23S rRNA (Fig. 7, A and B). Despite equal loading of all three samples (Fig. 7C), the immature forms of the nuclear rRNAs were elevated in the two iEBP1 lines compared with the wild-type control (Fig. 7, D and E). In contrast, with proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis in Arabidopsis (Supplemental Table S1) and in human (Squatrito et al., 2004), we examined the iEBP1 lines for defects in rRNA processing. We conducted northern blot analyses of rRNA processing intermediates with probes against the internal transcribed spacers ITS-1 and ITS-2, as well as mature 18S and 23S rRNA (Fig. 7, A and B). Despite equal loading of all three samples (Fig. 7C), the immature forms of the nuclear rRNAs were elevated in the two iEBP1 lines compared with the wild-type control (Fig. 7, D and E). In contrast,
Figure 6. EBP1 associates with cytosolic ribosomes and supports ribosome biogenesis. A, Absorbance profile of a sucrose density gradient after fractionation of whole tissue from Col0(pEBP1-YFP) seedlings (12 d). The x axis represents the sedimentation distance in a 15% to 50% (red trace) or 7% to 20% (blue stippled trace) sucrose gradient, while the y axis represents A_{254} (A_{254}). Peaks corresponding to 40S, 60S subunits, and 80S ribosomes are indicated on the individual profiles; polysomes are to the right of the 80S. Under the graph, the immunoblot analysis (EBP1-YFP) illustrates the distribution of EBP1-YFP in the total cell extract (CE) and in the eight fractions of the different gradients, while the ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels (EtBr gel) show the distribution of 18S (40S subunit) and 25S (60S subunit) rRNAs also in the eight fractions from the different gradients as shown in the graph. B and C, Polysome profiling of EBP1 RNAi lines (Ebp1 line 1 and line 2). Seedlings were grown for 12 d without sucrose (B) and with 1% sucrose (C). Total cell extracts from equal amounts of whole seedlings from Col0 and EBP1 RNAi transgenics were fractionated on 15% to 50% sucrose density gradients.

the abundance of the mature 18S and 25S rRNAs was indistinguishable between the Col-0 and RNAi lines (Fig. 7, F and G). These data suggest that the early processing events take place rapidly, as indicated by small pool sizes of the processing intermediates, whereas the later events (e.g. P[1]-A3 to 20S) appear to be slower, as shown by larger pool sizes (Fig. 7, D and E). Through quantification of the signals (Fig. 7H), we confirmed that the early processing events were particularly sensitive to the reduction in the EBP1 level, suggesting that EBP1 affects early processing steps in rRNA maturation. However, as the later processing intermediates (e.g. P[1]-A3 and 20S) were not depleted in the EBP1 RNAi lines, we suggest that EBP1 also supports the efficiency of processing steps at later stages. In conclusion, these data implicate EBP1 in ribosome biogenesis and, either directly or indirectly, in rRNA processing. The molecular defects in ribosome biogenesis may explain the slow growth of the EBP1 RNAi lines. In the rRNA processing experiments, we did not observe any imbalance in the ratios of specific rRNAs when samples containing equal amounts of total RNA were compared (Fig. 7C). The requirement for EBP1 for rRNA processing was rescued by growing the seedlings on sucrose-containing media (Supplemental Fig. S6, A to E, −5 to +5). This result is in keeping with the conclusion that the slow growth of EBP1 RNAi plants is due, at least in part, to rRNA processing defects.

DISCUSSION

In plants, EBP1 attracted attention as a growth driver both in Arabidopsis and in crop species, where it was shown to increase potato yield (Horváth et al., 2006) and to associate with hybrid vigor in maize (Wang et al., 2016). However, the molecular function and how EBP1 acts to increase plant growth and crop yield remained unknown. Here we show that EBP1 associates with proteins that bind RNA and are involved in a broad range of functions from ribosome biogenesis to transcriptional and translational regulation. Specifically, (1) plant EBP1 can localize to nucleoli, (2) EBP1 is found in cellular fractions containing ribosomal subunits as well as polysomes, and (3) silencing of EBP1 causes imbalances in the pattern of rRNA processing intermediates. In addition, we find that EBP1 antagonizes RBR action to maintain proliferation in the meristem, and sustains root growth, specifically in sucrose-limiting conditions.

Nutrient limiting conditions as well as abiotic stress constrain growth. It is of particular importance that plants maintain the proliferation potential in the meristem under these conditions (Julkowska and Testerink, 2015). For example, drought triggers jasmonate signaling, which counteracts the decline of EBP1 expression as leaf development progresses and cell proliferation gradually ceases (Kim et al., 2017). The same regulation is also observed for the large majority of genes coregulated with EBP1 in the ribi regulon (Noir et al., 2013). Thus, drought perception through jasmonate signaling has been suggested to establish a “ready-to-go” state that enables rapid recovery of meristematic functions after the stress subsides. Correspondingly, elevated EBP1 expression confers resistance to abiotic stresses such as cold (Cao et al., 2009) and drought (Cheng et al., 2016).

Under stress, survival and growth are separate events, and it is likely that EBP1 is involved in the latter (Skirycz et al., 2011). Here we show that EBP1 is important to maintain root meristem activity and growth in sucrose-limiting conditions. Thus, as during abiotic stress, EBP1 is also important to sustain growth when assimilates are limited. Consistent with previous data (Deprost et al., 2007), we show here that EBP1 mRNA and protein levels are stimulated by TOR kinase. sucrose stimulates...
cell proliferation in multiple ways, which include TOR-mediated (Xiong et al., 2013; Dobrenel et al., 2016a) and TOR-independent pathways. For example, sugar controls CDK-driven RBR phosphorylation, which lifts RBR-repression on cell proliferation (Magyar et al., 2012). This event may be TOR-mediated, because TOR, acting through YAK1 kinase, inhibits the expression of a class of CDK inhibitors, the SMRs, to promote root growth (Barrada et al., 2019). We now understand better how EBP1 assists in these events. Specifically, while RBR overexpression halts root growth by depleting stem cells and enhancing differentiation (Wildwater et al., 2005), EBP1 counteracts this RBR-driven repression and allows the maintenance of root meristem activity and growth. Moreover, overexpression of EBP1 bypasses the requirement for sugar to support root growth. Taken together, TOR activity is relayed to control growth by regulating both the level of EBP1 and the repressor activity of RBR. A remarkable result is that EBP1 silencing specifically leads to sensitivity under limited sugar availability, whereas addition of sucrose to the media largely compensates for the loss of EBP1. This result con- firms that sucrose, while stimulating EBP1 expression, also supports growth in other EBP1-independent ways, such as the TOR-dependent pathways regulating E2F and RBR (Magyar et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2013; Barrada et al., 2019).

Both EBP1 expression and EBP1 protein are largely confined to meristems, such as the root apical and lateral root meristems. Translatomic data also demonstrate that EBP1 mRNA is actively translated in the shoot apex (Tian et al., 2019). Furthermore, EBP1 expression progressively diminishes as the meristematic cells are exhausted when RBR overexpression is induced. This shows that EBP1 level is tightly linked with...
meristematic activity. Fluorescently tagged EBP1 could be detected throughout the cytosol, nucleus, and nucleolus, although the nuclear and nucleolar accumulation were largely masked when EBP1 was tagged at its C terminus. We conclude that EBP1 is likely found in all three compartments as suggested by the N-terminally tagged version, because (1) growth factor signaling promoted nuclear accumulation of EBP1-GFP (Li et al., 2018), (2) others have detected tagged EBP1 proteins in plant nuclei of various cell types (Zhang et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2009; Li et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2019), and (3) native Arabidopsis EBP1 was detected in a purified nucleolar preparation (Pendle et al., 2005).

In line with the localization of EBP1 in the cytoplasm, nucleus, and nucleolus, we identified EBP1-interacting proteins broadly distributed in the cell but remarkably almost exclusively involved in various aspects of protein synthesis. We identified EBP1 in association with ribosomal proteins of the 60S subunit, as well as the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF5B. EBP1 was detected in sucrose gradient fractions that contain polysomes, suggesting association of EBP1 with mature cytosolic ribosomes as well as ribosomal subunits, similar to the association pattern of human EBP1 (Squatrito et al., 2004; Squatrito et al., 2006). Related to the nucleolar localization of EBP1, we found EBP1 interaction with ribosome biogenesis factors, such as multiple subunits of the H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex involved in pre-rRNA processing (Watkins and Bohnsack, 2012), with nucleolar S-adenosyl-methyltransferases, with other rRNA-processing proteins (Lykke-Andersen et al., 2018) and the multifunctional protein nucleolin (Jia et al., 2017).

Similar to EBP1, nucleolin is also most abundant in meristematic tissues and, in parallel with E-type cyclin, it is rapidly induced when cell proliferation resumes after nutrient starvation (Bögé et al., 1996). Besides the proteins with nucleolar functions, EBP1 also interacted with proteins involved in transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation. In conclusion, similarly to human EBP1 with RNA binding activity (Squatrito et al., 2004; Squatrito et al., 2006), the common feature of EBP1 interacting proteins is that they function as part of ribonucleoprotein complexes.

Although the precise role of EBP1 in ribosome biogenesis remains unclear, the related metalloproteinase-fold protein Arx1 is a canonical 60S biogenesis factor (Greber, 2016). Seedlings with reduced EBP1 level showed a defect in rRNA processing that affected specifically the early processing intermediates. Just as the growth phenotype was rescued by sucrose, so was the rRNA processing delay in the EBP1 silencing lines. These results are consistent with the assumption that EBP1 has a role in ribosome biogenesis. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out that Arabidopsis EBP1 also supports RNA transcription, as was described in human cells (Nguyen le et al., 2015). However, our data point to a defect during rRNA processing, because a transcriptional defect upon EBP1 silencing would reduce rather than increase the abundance of rRNA processing intermediates.

In vertebrates EBP1 is expressed in two isoforms; the longer p48 isoform is generally associated with cell proliferation and tumorigenesis, specifically by inhibiting cell death and promoting Pol I-dependent rRNA expression. The shorter p42 isoform lacks the N terminus of p48 and is considered to have a tumor suppressor role. Together with Rb, E2F1, and chromatin modifying proteins, HsEBP1 p42 represses cell proliferation (Liu et al., 2006; Ko et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2019). The internal methionine that initiates translation of the p42 isoform is not conserved in plants. Thus, there is no evidence that the shorter suppressive form exists in plants. In line with these findings, the plant EBP1 promotes cell growth and division (Horváth et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2016).

In addition, in this study we show that EBP1 overexpression can compensate for the pro-differentiation activity of RBR in the root stem cell niche. Inducible overexpression of RBR downregulated EBP1 expression and rapidly abolished proliferation competence. In contrast, overexpression of EBP1 countered RBR’s pro-differentiation activity and rescued the maintenance of cell proliferation around the stem cell niche. Thus, EBP1 expression is in an antagonistic relationship with the pro-differentiation factor, RBR. Our data illustrate how stem cell fate is maintained by a balance between pro-differentiation signals and the pro-growth agenda of the ribosome biogenesis machinery.

The striking finding that EBP1 and RBR share common interactors involved in ribosome biogenesis and that RBR associated with a number of proteins in the cytoplasmic translation machinery may shed light on how EBP1, in conjunction with RBR, regulates meristem activity. Future research will elaborate on our hypothesis that RBR and EBP1 counteract to regulate meristem function through acting on a common biological process to regulate the capacity for protein synthesis. In conclusion, our findings on the role of EBP1 in root meristem activity and growth could be important in breeding to improve yield and yield stability in crops.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

*Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana)* seeds were sterilized, incubated at 4°C for 2 d, then germinated and grown vertically on half-strength Murashige and Skoog salt plant media (Sigma, cat #2633924) with different concentration of Phytoagar under a long day cycle of 16 h light (80 ± 10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹)/8 h dark at 22°C and 50% humidity. Sucrose was added at 1%, 2% (w/v), or omitted, as specified. Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as the wild-type control as the genetic background to generate transgenic lines and as a source of DNA to clone either promoter or coding regions. Transgenic lines, EBP1OE lines 1 and 2, overexpressing the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) EBP1 (StEBP1, Horváth et al., 2006) were described as line 19 and 43), and RBROE (Wildwater et al., 2005) were described earlier. The insertion line, CS854731, named as *ebp1*-3 (Li et al., 2018), was obtained from the Salk Institute (http://signal.salk.edu).
Generating Transcriptional and Translational Fusion Proteins

Both transcriptional and translational fusions were constructed by the Multisite Gateway System (Invitrogen) by amplying the putative regulatory and genomic coding sequences with gene-specific primers. To generate the p35S:Ceulanin-EBP1 reporter construct and the EBP1 inducible silencing lines, EBP1 RNAi, Arabidopsis EBP1 cDNA was amplified and cloned in the Gateway compatible vectors. The plant binary vector system, pGREEN was used in the Agrobacterium tumefaciens CS800(pMP90)-mediated plant transformation with the floral dip method. Details are described in Supplemental Data S1 and primer sequences summarized in Supplemental Table S3.

Root Measurement and Microscopy

Photographs of vertically or horizontally grown seedlings were taken with a digital camera (Canon). The primary root length was measured using ImageJ version 1.41 software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html) and analyzed statistically with GraphPad Prism 7.0a (GraphPad Software). The root meristematic zone was determined by measuring the distance from the QC to the first elongating cortex cells; the same region was used to count the number of cortex cells with no signs of rapid elongation. For measurements and to follow tissue-specific expression, either light (Zeiss Axioscope with Nomarski optics) or confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM710 and Leica SP8) was carried out. To take differential interference contrast images, chloral-hydrate treated roots were prepared. For confocal microscopy, to visualize cell walls, 5 μg/mL X-gluc (Biosynth AG), dissolved according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. For confocal microscopy, to visualize cell walls, 5 μg/mL X-gluc (Biosynth AG), dissolved according to the manufacture’s recommendation. The introgression lines, EBP1OE,RRBE, and Col-0 were used as a control to follow root growth, meristem size, and level of gene expression. To screen transformants of the silencing lines, EBP1 RNAi, seeds (T1 and T2) were germinated and grown on 5 μM 17β-estradiol-containing medium supplied with 1% sucrose or no sucrose. The induction and level of silencing was measured by quantitative reverse transcription PCR.

Chemical Treatments

The introgression lines, EBP1OE,RRBE (F3 generation) were germinated and grown on vertical plates (1% sucrose media). The 5- to 6-d-old seedlings were transferred to 1 μM dexamethasone-containing plates, and growth was scored over a time series (24 h-144 h period). RBROE, RBBR backcrossed to Col-0, EBP1OE, and Col-0 were used as a control to follow root growth, meristem size, and level of gene expression. To screen transformants of the silencing lines, EBP1 RNAi, seeds (T1 and T2) were germinated and grown on 5 μM 17β-estradiol-containing medium supplied with 1% sucrose or no sucrose. Next, the candidate lines were grown in normal growth conditions and level of gene expression. To screen transformants of the silencing lines, EBP1 RNAi, seeds (T1 and T2) were germinated and grown on 5 μM 17β-estradiol-containing medium supplied with 1% sucrose or no sucrose. Next, the candidate lines were grown in normal growth conditions and transferred to 17β-estradiol medium with or without sucrose. The induction and level of silencing was measured by quantitative reverse transcription PCR.

Immunoblot and AZD Treatment

For immunoblot analysis, the transgenic lines Col-0(pgEBP1-YFP) and Col-0(pBEFP1-CFP) were germinated and grown for 5 d on sucrose-free medium in 12-h light/12-h dark conditions. On the 5th day, at ZT0 timepoint, seedlings were transferred to medium containing either 2% sucrose, 2% sucrose + 1 μM AZD8055 or to fresh sucrose-free medium. Samples were harvested 3 h later by flash-freezing the whole seedlings. Total protein was extracted from samples using extraction buffer containing 75 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 15 mM β-glycerophosphate, 15 mM EDTA, 15 mM p-nitrophenylphosphate, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM NaF, 0.5 mM Na3VO4, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 0.1% Tween 20 (Magyar et al., 2005). The total protein samples were separated in a 10% acrylamide gel using SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Antibodies used for detection: Anti-GFP mouse monoclonal antibody (ROCHE) in 1:1000 dilution and goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP Secondary Antibody (Sigma) in 1:10000 dilution. Antibodies used for detection: Anti-GFP mouse monoclonal antibody (ROCHE) in 1:1000 dilution and goat antihuman IgG-HRP Secondary Antibody (Sigma) in 1:10000 dilution.

Northern Blot Analysis, Polysome Profiling, and Protein Fractionation

Northern blot analyses were performed with 4 μg of total RNA from seedlings grown under the indicated conditions. Blots were probed with digoxigenin-labeled probes corresponding to the internal transcribed spacer 1 and 2, 18S and 25S rRNAs, and signal was detected with chemiluminescent reagent, imaged on a BioRad ChemiDoc instrument, and quantified with ImageJ version 1.41 software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html). Polysome profiles were obtained from 12-d-old Col-0 or EBP1 RNAi whole seedlings grown without or with 2% sucrose essentially as described (Kim et al., 2007). Then 100 μl supernatant was layered on either a 2-ml 15-50% or a 2 ml 7 to 20% linear gradient prepared with a Hoefer gradient maker and centrifuged at 50,000 rpm (Beckmann TLS55 rotor) for 1 h 30 min at 4°C. After the UV A254 and fractionation into eight equal fractions were monitored, EBP1 protein was detected by immunoblotting with GFP-specific antibody (Lokdarshi et al., 2016). For detailed procedures see Supplemental Data S1.

Protein Complex Isolation, LC-MS/MS Identification, and Statistical Analysis

A detailed description and link to the raw datasets are given in the Supplemental Data S1.

Accession Numbers

Accession numbers are ATh51800, EBP1; ATh512280, RBR; the other accession numbers are listed in Supplemental Table S3.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. EBP1 is expressed in actively dividing tissues during root development.

Supplemental Figure S2. EBP1 localizes to nucleoli, nuclei and cytosol.

Supplemental Figure S3. Reduced level of EBP1 results in retarded growth in the absence of sucrose.

Supplemental Figure S4. Root and leaf growth deficiency in the absence of sugar and recovery of root growth defects upon sucrose supplementation in the EBP1 transgenic lines.

Supplemental Figure S5. Elevated level of EBP1 delays the differentiation induced by RBR overexpression.

Supplemental Figure S6. sucrose supplementation alleviates the requirement for EBP1 in rRNA processing.

Supplemental Table S1. List of EBP1 interacting proteins.

Supplemental Table S2. List of RBR interacting proteins.

Supplemental Table S3. Primers used in this study.

Supplemental Data S1. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10069247.
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