The effects of elevated temperature and dissolved $\rho CO_2$ on a marine foundation species
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Abstract
Understanding how climate change and other environmental stressors will affect species is a fundamental concern of modern ecology. Indeed, numerous studies have documented how climate stressors affect species distributions and population persistence. However, relatively few studies have investigated how multiple climate stressors might affect species. In this study, we investigate the impacts of how two climate change factors affect an important foundation species. Specifically, we tested how ocean acidification from dissolution of $CO_2$ and increased sea surface temperatures affect multiple characteristics of juvenile eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica). We found strong impacts of each stressor, but no interaction between the two. Simulated warming to mimic heat stressed summers reduced oyster growth, survival, and filtration rates. Additionally, we found that $CO_2$-induced acidification reduced strength of oyster shells, which could potentially facilitate crab predation. As past studies have detected few impacts of these stressors on adult oysters, these results indicate that early life stages of calcareous marine organisms may be more susceptible to effects of ocean acidification and global warming. Overall, these data show that predicted changes in temperature and $CO_2$ can differentially influence direct effects on individual species, which could have important implications for the nature of their trophic interactions.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic climate change is dramatically impacting natural ecosystems (Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno, 2010; IPCC, 2014, Walther et al., 2002). Increasing greenhouse gas (e.g., $CO_2$) (Raynaud et al., 1993) concentrations in the atmosphere and rising surface temperatures are leading to changes in weather patterns and the loss of ice sheets which are contributing to sea level rise and salinity increases in coastal habitats (Nicholls & Cazenave, 2010). Global mean surface temperature is expected to increase by 0.3–4.8°C (IPCC, 2014) by the end of the twenty-first century, while dissolution of elevated atmospheric $CO_2$ into oceans is expected to simultaneously decrease ocean pH by approximately −0.0014 to −0.0024 per year over this same time period (Rhein et al., 2013). Such dramatic changes are expected to significantly impact biodiversity and the normal functioning of ecosystems (Donel et al., 2012), but we still do not fully appreciate which species will be impacted and to what extent these impacts will be manifested (Moritz & Agudo, 2013). For example, changes in species phenology, community composition, and range shifts caused by climate change are altering species distributions and the interaction networks experienced by many species (Walther et al., 2002). While numerous studies have examined how the effects of climate drivers such as temperature,
salinity, and pH affect the autecology of individual species (Crain, Kroeker, & Halpern, 2008; Parmesan, 2006), relatively fewer studies have attempted to elucidate how multiple global climate change factors affect both physical and biological interactions (Prugh et al., 2009; Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2014).

A recent meta-analysis of 328 studies manipulating at least one climate change variable revealed that multiple stressors often combine to cause larger effects than expected relative to single stressor manipulations (Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2014). Alternatively, simultaneously changes to multiple global change factors could create counteractive effects. For example, a decrease in pH can impact the growth and strength of individuals with calcium carbonate shells (Ivanina et al., 2013), but with an accompanying increase in temperature, the solubility of carbonate ions is reduced, therefore the negative effects of lowered pH may be ameliorated. Other anthropogenic activities such as overharvesting of higher trophic level predators (e.g., Callinectes sapidus and Menippe mercenaria) can increase the abundance of mesopredators and indirectly alter trophic and nontrophic interactions (Silliman & Bertness, 2002). Combined, shifts in pH, temperature, and predator abundances are likely to impact the structure and diversity of coastal communities, especially those formed around foundation species (e.g., oysters). We must therefore enhance understanding of how abiotic global climate change variables interact to affect foundation species if we are to better predict and mitigate the longer term consequences of global change on the proper functioning of marine and coastal ecosystems, which are currently understudied in this context (Griffen, Belgrad, Cannizzo, Knotts, & Hancock, 2016; Prugh et al., 2009; Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2014).

Oysters are autogenic foundation species (Dayton, 1973; Ellison et al., 2005; Jones, Lawton, & Shachak, 1994) that create a structurally complex reef that facilitates other species by providing resources, refugia, and settlement space for sessile individuals (Gutiérrez, Jones, Strayer, & Irbarne, 2003). By serving as a barrier between the coast and the shoreline in many systems, oyster reefs reduce coastal erosion (Meyer, Townsend, & Thayer, 1997), provide water filtration, help reduce eutrophication (Newell, 2004), and function as important nutrient (Smyth, Geraldi, & Piehler, 2013) and carbon sinks (Granek, Compton, & Phillips, 2009; Volety, Haynes, Goodman, & Gorman, 2014; Wingard & Lorenz, 2014). Oysters are also a valuable fishery and serve as nursery habitat for other important fisheries and nonfishery species. In North Carolina, oyster harvest is estimated to generate between $12.80 and $32.00 per 10 m² of reef (Grabowski & Peterson, 2007). Unfortunately, a recent synthesis suggest that in almost 40% of estuaries and bays (of 144 evaluated globally) 99% of the oyster reefs are functionally extinct and thus are not providing ecosystem functions and services (Beck et al., 2011). In North Carolina, for example, tens of millions of dollars is invested in efforts to recover eastern oyster fisheries (Beck et al., 2011) and their biogenically created habitats. However, the long-term sustainability of such efforts may not be realized if scientists do not understand how multiple climate change stressors impact the health and ecology of oysters specifically.

Investigations into the effects of acidification or sea surface temperatures on eastern oysters show variable results. Elevated temperatures reduce energy reserves and increase mortality of adult oysters, and the combined effects of reduced pH (via increased dissolution of CO₂) and temperature causes reductions in shell hardness (Ivanina et al., 2013; Matoo, Ivanina, Ullstad, Beniash, & Sokolova, 2013). In contrast, increased temperature has been shown to have no detectable effects on juvenile eastern oysters (Talmage & Gobler, 2011). Elevated concentrations of CO₂ can negatively impact oyster calcification response (Ries, Cohen, & Mccorkle, 2009), with the larval stage being more vulnerable than the juvenile stage (Talmage & Gobler, 2011). One study on the larval stage of oysters found mineral saturation state conditions to have the largest impact on larval oyster shell formation (Waldbusser et al., 2015). However, juvenile eastern oysters have increased mortality rates in addition to reduced shell growth in low pH environments (Beniash, Ivanina, Lieb, Kurochkin, & Sokolova, 2010).

In this study, we build upon these earlier studies by investigating if elevated CO₂ and increased temperature will impact juvenile eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica): (1) growth, (2) survival, and (3) filtration rate.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Experimental setup

This experiment was conducted in a flow-through aquaculture system at the Duke Marine Laboratory in Beaufort, North Carolina (Figure 1). Unfiltered seawater from Back Sound flowed into 18.9 L buckets arranged in the center of eight 1.22 × 0.61 m bins. Each bucket was equipped with two to three subsmallest aquarium heaters to maintain desired temperature treatments. Heated water flowed from the buckets into two 5.68 L plastic containers (34.3 × 21.0 × 12.1 cm) containing juvenile oysters (spat). To simulate ocean acidification, CO₂ was diffused into one of the two paired 5.68 L containers. To maintain pH at the desired level, each tank was outfitted with a dual regulator equipped with a solenoid valve (purchased from Green Leaf Aquariums). The solenoid valve (which allowed gaseous CO₂ to flow or not flow) was regulated in real time by a pH probe attached to a digital pH monitor. The probe detected the pH of the water and opened or closed the solenoid valve to maintain the pH at 7.8 (the 2081–2100 year RCP8.5 prediction for ocean acidification) (IPCC, 2014). This setup allowed simultaneous manipulation of both temperature and pH (via pCO₂) of continuously flowing unfiltered seawater. Surface water temperature from which flow-through water was sourced naturally varied, therefore our temperature treatments maintained water temperatures at approximately 0, 1, 2, and 3°C above ambient (Table 1). Ambient temperatures varied from 18.5 to 30.0°C over the duration of the experiment (Table 2). pH probes were calibrated monthly (or on an as needed basis). Temperature and pH were measured twice a day using secondary handheld probes to insure the system was functioning properly.

In May 2015, 1,000 individual oyster (Crassostrea virginica) spats were obtained from Millpoint Aquaculture in Sea Level, NC. Individual
Oysters were pooled into groups of 10 and placed into 24 in. (61 cm) mesh mariculture bags. For each group of ten, we quantified initial wet weight (g) using an electronic balance (Ohaus Valor 3000) with a readability of 0.01 g and photographed (Cannon T5, 55 mm lens) each group to measure oyster height (distance from umbo to dorsal edge) using Image J software (1.44 ± 0.02 cm average). Oyster bags were then randomly assigned to a specific CO\textsubscript{2}/temperature treatment. Six bags of oysters were placed into each experimental arena (total of 60 oysters per container, 120 per treatment) and weighed on a weekly basis. After 2 months, oyster tanks were supplemented with 21.5 ml of a 1/10 dilution of Shellfish Diet 1800 (Reed Mariculture, Inc.). To add the supplemental diet, water flow was briefly stopped (1 hr each day), and oxygen was bubbled into the tanks to keep acceptable DO (dissolved oxygen) levels. After 5 months, all oysters were photographed for height (2.01 ± 0.03 cm average) and survival was quantified.

2.2 Oyster shell strength experiment

After 5 months, all remaining oysters were sacrificed and stored at 20°C. Ten individuals from each treatment were randomly chosen for a shell strength assay. Before each assay, we measured height (distance from umbo to dorsal edge) (mm), length (distance between anterior and posterior margin) (mm), and whole oyster shell thickness (largest distance between the outsides of the closed vales) (mm) with digital calipers. To determine the relative force needed to crush each oyster, they were individually placed under a flat metal surface beneath the outer edge of an 18.9 L bucket. Sand was added to the bucket at a slow but continuous rate until the oyster shell was crushed (Osenberg & Mittelbach, 1989). The mass needed to crush the shell...
(kg) was recorded as a relative measure of shell crushing resistance (an important deterrent of mud crab predation).

2.3 | Filtration experiment

Approximately 3 months into the experiment, five oysters from each CO<sub>2</sub>/temperature were randomly selected, and wet weights (g) were recorded. Each group of five oysters was placed into a 50 ml nalgene tube for the assay. A tube with no oysters was used as a control for this experiment. The tube was filled with 25 ml of water from each individual tank and 3 ml of Shellfish Diet 1800 (1/10 dilution). The lids were kept off to allow oxygen and then left undisturbed for 1.5 hr. After 1.5 hr, the tubes were lightly shaken to insure re-oxygenation of the water and resuspension of shellfish diet; after 6 hr, 10 ml of tank water was added to the respective tube, and after 7.5 hr the tubes were shaken a second time. To determine the amount of feces produced by oysters, a proxy for oyster filtration, the samples from each tube were run through vacuum filtration using 47 mm glass microfiber filters. After each sample was filtered, all equipment was rinsed in water followed by a 70% ethanol solution. Each sample was run through filtration for five minutes and afterward placed in a 60°C oven for 1 week. Each filter was weighed on an electronic balance before filtration and after drying. This experiment was replicated three times in each of two time blocks separated by ~1.5 months.

2.4 | Statistics

All data were analyzed in the R statistical programming environment (R Core Team, 2016). For all analyses, we used median temperature from daily measurements as a continuous covariate, and CO<sub>2</sub> was treated as a two-level factor: elevated or ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. To analyze oyster height (mm) and wet weight (g), we used linear mixed effects models (LMM), where CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature were treated as fixed effects, and tank ID was treated as a random effect to account for autocorrelated errors among individuals reared in the same tank. To analyze oyster survival, we used a generalized linear mixed effects model (GLMM) with a binomial family error distribution. CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature were treated as fixed effects, and tank ID as a random effect. We also added an individual-level random effect to account for mild overdispersion in the data (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015). Relative crush force data were analyzed using a linear model (LM). Oyster shell thickness was treated as a continuous covariate in the model. Additionally, oysters were pooled by treatment and then randomly selected for experimentation to ensure that any error due to individuals being reared in a common environment was randomly redistributed into the overall residual error for model fits. Filtration data were analyzed using a LMM, where CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature were treated as fixed effects, and tank ID and time block (one or two) were treated as random effects. Inferences from LMs, GLMs, LMMs, and GLMMs are based on likelihood ratio tests comparing models with and without target fixed effects. Model assumptions were evaluated visually using QQ plots, residual plots, and likelihood profiles, as appropriate.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on oysters

Oyster height (mm) decreased with increasing temperature over the course of the experiment (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 4.4798, p = .034; Figure 2). There was no relationship between oyster wet weight and temperature (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 0.1586, p = .69; Figure 3). However, there was a significant reduction in oyster survivorship (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 9.584, p = .001; Figure 4) with increasing temperature. There was no impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on oyster height (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 0.0199, p = .88; Figure 2), oyster survival (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 0.4041, p = .52; Figure 4), or wet weight of oysters (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 0.1717, p = .67; Figure 3).

Oysters grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> environments also required significantly less crushing force than oysters in ambient CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (df = 1, F = 6.96, p = .01; Figure 5). While whole oyster shell thickness affected the amount of weight to crush oysters (df = 1, F = 38.688, p < .001; Figure 5), there was no relationship between temperature and crushing force, or temperature and oyster shell thickness.

Oysters filtered less from the water (as measured by fecal production) as temperature increased (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 3.9089, p = .048; Figure 6). There was no impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on oyster filtration (df = 1, χ<sup>2</sup> = 0.4902, p = .48, Figure 6).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that oysters grown in higher temperatures had decreased growth (Figure 2) and survival (Figure 4). Moreover, oysters...
grown in elevated CO₂ environments had weaker shells (Figure 5). By quantifying the effects of temperature and CO₂ on oysters, we uncovered differential impacts of multiple stressors on these organisms that would have been undetected when focused solely on a single interaction.

The significant decrease in oyster height without changes in shell thickness suggests temperature dependent deposition of carbonate ion placement during shell formation. Indeed, other studies have documented no differences in shell height yet increases in shell thickness as a function of temperature (Lord & Whitlatch, 2014). We also found a significant decline in juvenile oyster survival with increasing temperature. This result contrasts with studies such as Talmage and Gobler (2011) that found no significant decline in juvenile oyster survivorship with increasing temperature. This difference may be driven in part by differences in experimental duration (a shorter duration experiment experiences less mortality) or differences in resource availability. In this study, there were low levels of natural food resources in the flow-through water during the first 2 months of our study that may have affected later sensitivity to increased temperature. Indeed, similar effects and reductions in survival with increases in temperature have been documented in other studies (e.g., Ivanina et al., 2013). Finally, increasing temperature also reduced fecal production by oysters. While decreasing temperatures has been shown to reduce filtration rates of oysters (Walne, 1972), we manipulated temperatures above ambient during the warmest summer months, which may have been sufficiently stressful to reduce oyster feeding rates (e.g., proxy for filtration). In addition, filtration has been shown to increase with shell height (Walne, 1972), therefore the observed decrease in oyster filtration could be correlated with the decrease in oyster shell height (Figure 2).

Unlike studies such as Sanford et al. (2014) that found Olympia oysters raised under elevated CO₂ conditions were smaller than those raised under ambient, we found no significant difference between elevated and ambient treatments for oyster shell height. This suggests that increasing temperatures can assist in offsetting the effects of acidification. Oysters also tended to have lower survival in elevated CO₂, which is consistent with previous studies that have shown decreases in oyster survival with an increase in carbon dioxide (Talmage & Gobler, 2009). Interestingly, while we found no significant impacts of CO₂ or temperature on several oyster traits (such as wet weight and fecal production), CO₂ and temperature could strongly affect the strength of trophic interactions with shell crushing predators such as mud crabs.

Oysters with significantly weaker shells (Figure 5) may increase the strength of trophic interactions with shell crushing predators such as mud crabs. Overall, we found decreased growth and survival as well as less production of fecal matter (e.g., less filtration) in oysters grown in...
elevated temperature environments. This suggested that oysters in natural communities may see similar fitness declines with increasing temperatures. However, oysters do not live in isolation, and in the presence of important oyster predators, the combined effects of these multiple stressors could lead to significantly higher mortality rates of this important foundation species.

In concert, these data support the hypothesis that changes in temperature and CO₂ predicted from global climate change can influence marine communities via direct effects on individual species, which could have important implications for the nature of their trophic interactions. Future research should focus on understanding the integrated effects of multiple stressors on trophic interactions. Such data will be invaluable to ecologist and managers attempting to understand and predict the impacts of climate change on important and in some cases economically valuable ecosystems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For providing laboratory space and experimental assistance, we would like to thank the members of the Silliman Laboratory at the Duke Marine Laboratory and our laboratory assistants Thomas Guryan and Erin Tomaras. Additionally, we would like to thank David Kimmel, April Blakeslee, Krista McCoy, and the McCoy Labs for comments on previous versions of this work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CJS and MWM formulated the question and experimental design. CJS performed the experiments. CJS and MWM analyzed the data. CJS, BRS, and MWM wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67, 1–48.

Beck, M. W., Brumbaugh, R. D., Airolidi, L., Carranza, A., Coen, L. D., Crawford, C., ... Kay, M. C. (2011). Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation, restoration, and management. BioScience, 61, 107–116.

Beniash, E., Ivanina, A., Lieb, N. S., Kurochkin, I., & Sokolova, I. M. (2010). Elevated level of carbon dioxide affects metabolism and shell formation in oysters Crassostrea virginica. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 419, 95–108.

Core Team, R. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Costlow, J. D., Bookhout, C., & Monroe, R. (1962). Salinity-temperature effects on the larval development of the crab, Panopeus herbstii Milne-Edwards, reared in the laboratory. Physiological Zoology, 35, 79–93.

Crain, C. M., Kroeker, K., & Halpern, B. S. (2008). Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. Ecology Letters, 11, 1304–1315.

Dayton, P. K. (1973). Toward an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of enrichments to the benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. In B. C. Parker (Ed.), Proceedings of the colloquium on conservation problems in Antarctica. Lawrence, KS: Allen Press.

Dodd, L. F., Grabowski, J. H., Piehler, M. F., Westfield, I., & Ries, J. B. (2015). Ocean acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 282, 20150333.
Doney, S. C., Ruckelshaus, M., Duffy, J. E., Barry, J. P., Chan, F., English, C. A., & Knowlton, N. (2012). Climate change impacts on marine ecosystems. Marine Science, 4, 11–37.

Ellison, A. M., Bank, M. S., Clinton, B. D., Colburn, E. A., Elliott, K., Ford, C. R., ... Lovett, G. M. (2005). Loss of foundation species: Consequences for the structure and dynamics of forested ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 3, 479–486.

Grabowski, J. H., & Peterson, C. H. (2007). Restoring oyster reefs to recover ecosystem services. Theoretical Ecology Series, 4, 281–298.

Granek, E. F., Compton, J. E., & Phillips, D. L. (2009). Mangrove-exported nutrient incorporation by sessile coral reef invertebrates. Ecosystems, 12, 462–472.

Griffen, B. D., Belgrad, B. A., Cannizzo, Z. J., Knotts, E. R., & Hancock, E. R. (2016). Rethinking our approach to multiple stressor studies in marine environments. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 543, 273–281.

Gutiérrez, J. L., Jones, C. G., Strayer, D. L., & Iribarne, O. O. (2003). Mollusks as ecosystem engineers: The role of shell production in aquatic habitats. Oikos, 101, 79–90.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). The impact of climate change on the world’s marine ecosystems. Science, 328, 1522–1528.

IPCC. (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. In Core Writing Team, Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). The impact of climate change on ecosystems.

IPCC. (2014). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Cambridge, UK. (pp. 1–151).

Ivanina, A. V., Dickinson, G. H., Matoo, O. B., Bagwe, R., Dickinson, A., Beniash, E., & Sokolova, I. M. (2013). Interactive effects of elevated temperature and CO2 levels on energy metabolism and biomineralization of marine bivalves Crassostrea virginica and Mercenaria mercenaria. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 166, 101–111.

Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H., & Shachak, M. (1994). Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos, 69, 130–147.

Lord, J., & Whitlatch, R. (2014). Latitudinal patterns of shell thickness and metabolism in the eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica along the east coast of North America. Marine Biology, 161, 1487–1497.

Matoo, O. B., Ivanina, A. V., Ullstad, C., Beniash, E., & Sokolova, I. M. (2013). Interactive effects of elevated temperature and CO2 levels on energy metabolism and biomineralization of marine bivalves Crassostrea virginica and Mercenaria mercenaria. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 164, 545–553.

Meyer, D. L., Townsend, E. C., & Thayer, G. W. (1997). Stabilization and erosion control value of oyster cultch for intertidal marsh. Restoration Ecology, 5, 93–99.

Morritz, C., & Agudo, R. (2013). The future of species under climate change: Resilience or decline? Science, 341, 504–508.

Newell, R. I. (2004). Ecosystem influences of natural and cultivated populations of suspension-feeding bivalve molluscs: A review. Journal of Shellfish Research, 23, 51–62.

Nicholls, R. J., & Cazenave, A. (2010). Sea-level rise and its impact on coastal zones. Science, 328, 1517–1520.

Osenberg, C. W., & Mittelbach, G. G. (1989). Effects of body size on the predator-prey interaction between pumpkinseed sunfish and gastropods. Ecological Monographs, 54, 405–432.

Parmesan, C. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 37, 637–669.

Prugh, L. R., Stoner, C. J., Epps, C. W., Bean, W. T., Ripple, W. J., Laliberte, A. S., & Brashares, J. S. (2009). The rise of the mesopredator. BioScience, 59, 779–791.