White Spot Syndrome Virus Establishes a Novel IE1/JNK/c-Jun Positive Feedback Loop to Drive Replication
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SUMMARY
Viruses need to hijack and manipulate host proteins to guarantee their replication. Herein, we uncovered that the DNA virus white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) established a novel positive feedback loop by hijacking the host JNK pathway via its immediate-early 1 (IE1) protein to drive replication. Specifically, the WSSV IE1 bound to host JNK, and enhanced JNK autoactivation by autophosphorylation, and in turn, elevated JNK kinase activity to its substrate c-Jun and induced IE1, which resulted in a viral gene-mediated positive feedback loop. Moreover, the activation of this loop is able to induce wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403, in addition to IE1 itself. Disruption of this loop during WSSV infection by knockdown of JNK, c-Jun or IE1 led to an increased survival rate and lower viral burdens in shrimp. Taken together, this loop may provide a potential target for the development of specific antiviral strategies or agents against WSSV infection.

INTRODUCTION
The association between host and virus proteins is crucial for both promoting and suppressing viral replication. Several host signaling pathways as well as host proteins exert significant antiviral effects at multiple steps of viral life cycle. On the contrary, the success of viral infection depends largely on the ability of the virus to manipulate cellular processes through specific interactions with several host factors, which allows the virus to overcome the host immune system and establish a compatible cellular environment for viral replication and proliferation (Hussain and Asgari, 2010; Rana et al., 2013). Moreover, some viruses could even hijack host signaling pathway to promote efficient replication.

Among the studies on the relationship between host signaling pathway and virus replication, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway is nonnegligible. The MAPK signal transduction pathway is one of the most important immune pathways, and it has been implicated in diverse biological progresses, including proliferation, differentiation, cell survival, apoptosis, and immune responses (Arthur and Ley, 2013; Dong et al., 2002). c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway is one of the three well-known MAPK signaling pathways, which is highly conserved among the fungi, plant, and animal kingdoms (Arthur and Ley, 2013; Li et al., 2011). Considering the importance and conservation of these pathways, they are common targets of both DNA and RNA viruses to generate an intracellular environment suitable for the proliferation and maturation of progeny virions or evade the antiviral immune responses (Arthur and Ley, 2013).

White spot syndrome virus (WSSV), a member of the genus Whispovirus, is a crustacean-infecting, rod-shaped, enveloped, double-stranded circular DNA virus with a genome of approximately 300 kbp (Leu et al., 2009). White spot syndrome (WSS) is the most severe threat to all cultivated species of shrimp worldwide (Siddique et al., 2018). According to the National Shrimp and Crab Industry Technology System, constructed by Ministry of Agriculture of the People’s Republic of China, the economic loss caused by WSS is up to $150 million in China every year. As most dsDNA viruses, WSSV immediate-early (IE) genes are the first class of viral genes expressed after primary infection or reactivation, and they are expressed independently of de novo viral protein synthesis. They often encode regulatory proteins that are critical controlling downstream viral gene expression and/or modulating the physiological state of the host cell to support viral replication. Thus, IE genes are important in the study of WSSV infection and replication. IE1, also named wsv069, was one of the 21 identified IE genes (Li et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2005). Several studies have focused on the role of IE1 in specific interactions between the virus and host proteins. A previous study reported that IE1 interacted with a retinoblastoma (Rb)-like protein in the shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei and modulated the cell cycle (G1/S transition) through the Rb-E2F pathway.
Another study also showed that in the shrimp Penaeus monodon the thioredoxin protein PmTrx, an important redox regulator, was able to bind to IE1 and restore its DNA-binding activity under oxidizing conditions, indicating a role for IE1 in WSSV pathogenicity (Huang et al., 2012). In addition, WSSV infection was shown to activate several host signaling pathways, and the host transcription factors of these signaling pathways, such as STAT, NF-κB, and AP-1, enhanced the transcription of IE1 (Huang et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2015, 2016). However, the actual mechanism by which the WSSV regulates the activation of the host immune system for its own replication is unknown.

In this study, we demonstrated that the WSSV IE1 gene acted as an important positive regulator of the JNK-c-Jun-mediated induction of downstream viral genes, including IE1. In particular, WSSV utilized the host JNK pathway to establish a regulatory circuit where IE1 specially interacted with JNK and promoted the autophosphorylation of JNK, which then induced the phosphorylation of c-Jun and activated the JNK pathway to establish a regulatory circuit where IE1 specially interacted with JNK and promoted the activation of the host immune system for its own replication.

### RESULTS

#### Shrimp MKK4-JNK-c-Jun Cascade Was Activated Following WSSV Infection

It is well known that JNK pathways are highly conserved from invertebrates to vertebrates (Li et al., 2011). JNK is typically activated by the phosphorylation of its upstream kinase MKK4/7. Activated JNK then translocates into the nucleus, where it phosphorylates downstream transcription factors, such as c-Jun, thereby modulating cellular transcription (Arthur and Ley, 2013). Previously, some components of the JNK pathway, such as MKK4, JNK, and c-Jun, have been identified in shrimp by different research groups (Li et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2015), but there has been minimal research performed establishing a typical MAPK signaling cascade in shrimp. Here, we performed immunoprecipitation and in vitro phosphorylation experiments in order to explore whether a MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade was conserved in the shrimp L. vannamei. As shown in Figure S1A, LvJNK with a V5-tag interacted with both Lvc-Jun-GFP and LvMKK4-GFP but not the control GFP. We next explored the activation cascade of MKK4-JNK-c-Jun by overexpressing pairs of proteins containing either L. vannamei MKK4/JNK or JNK/c-Jun in Drosophila S2 cells and assessing the phosphorylation of JNK and c-Jun, respectively. The phosphorylation levels of LvJNK (anti-p-JNK) were upregulated significantly with the overexpression of LvMKK4 (Figure S1B), whereas overexpression of LvJNK dramatically induced the phosphorylation levels of Lvc-Jun (anti-p-c-Jun) (Figure S1C). Taken together, these results suggested that the cascade and activation of patterns of MKK4-JNK-c-Jun could be conserved in shrimp L. vannamei.

Increasing studies have indicated that the JNK pathway can be activated by a number of stressors, including viral infection. In order to investigate whether this pathway in shrimp was involved in some biological processes in response to WSSV invasion, we first addressed the temporal expression patterns of MKK4, JNK, and c-Jun in hemocytes after WSSV challenge using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) and Western blotting. The results of qRT-PCR showed that the transcriptional levels of LvMKK4 (Figure 1A), LvJNK (Figure 1B), and Lvc-Jun (Figure 1C) were significantly upregulated from 4 to 36 h post-WSSV infection (hpi). Coinciding with the transcriptional levels, Western blotting analysis revealed that the expression and phosphorylation levels of the three proteins were also increased in hemocytes after WSSV challenge (Figure 1D). Gray intensity showed that the phosphorylation ratio of LvMKK4 (Figure 1E), LvJNK (Figure 1F), and Lvc-Jun (Figure 1G) were also upregulated during WSSV infection. As mentioned above, LvMKK4, LvJNK, and Lvc-Jun can form a canonical MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade with JNK as middle adaptor in vitro (Figure S1). We further checked whether this cascade can signal in response to WSSV challenge in vivo through detecting their interactions at three time points (0, 8, and 36 h) using endogenous immunoprecipitation with specific antibodies. We found that WSSV infection can strongly induce the formation of the MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade in vivo. In detail, the interactions of LvJNK with both LvMKK4 and Lvc-Jun were clearly detected at 8 and 36 hpi, whereas there was only a weak signal detected at 0 hpi (Figure 1H). Immunofluorescence was performed to probe the nuclear translocation of Lvc-Jun following WSSV infection. As shown in Figure 1I, Lvc-Jun was mainly located within the cytoplasm before infection, but it translocated from the cytoplasm into the nucleus at 8 and 36 hpi in vivo. Collectively, these results showed that WSSV challenge induced the activation and phosphorylation of the LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade, as well as the nuclear translocation of Lvc-Jun in vivo.
Activation of the MKK4-JNK-c-Jun Cascade Facilitated WSSV Replication in Shrimp

Since the MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade was activated following WSSV infection in shrimp, we theorized that this activation could either positively or negatively influence WSSV replication. To address this, we performed RNA interference (RNAi) experiments by injecting specific double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) against LvMKK4, LvJNK, and Lvc-Jun, followed by WSSV infection. The silencing efficiencies for each gene were assessed by both qRT-PCR and Western blotting (Figures 2A–2C) at 48 h post-dsRNA injection. Our results showed that gene-specific dsRNAs could efficiently silence LvMKK4, LvJNK, and Lvc-Jun at the transcriptional levels in hemocytes (Figures 2A–2C, upper panels). Consistent with the mRNA levels, Western blotting showed that the protein levels of LvMKK4, LvJNK, and Lvc-Jun were also significantly suppressed by dsRNA-LvMKK4, dsRNA-LvJNK, and dsRNA-Lvc-Jun, respectively (Figures 2A–2C, down panels).
Figure 2. The Shrimp MKK4-JNK-c-Jun Cascade Facilitated WSSV Replication in Shrimp

(A–C) Silencing efficiencies of LvMKK4 (A), LvJNK (B), and Lvc-Jun (C) in hemocytes. The mRNA levels and protein levels were checked by quantitative RT-PCR (upper panel, mean ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments) and Western blotting (lower panel, images were representative of three biological replicates) at 48 h after dsRNA injections, respectively. C4 actin was used as a protein loading control.

(D) Silencing of LvMKK4, LvJNK, or Lvc-Jun led to the elevated survival rates in WSSV-infected shrimps, compared with that of the control dsRNA-GFP group. Shrimp survival was monitored every 4 h after WSSV injection.

(E and F) Silencing of LvMKK4, LvJNK, or Lvc-Jun enhanced resistance to WSSV infection. The shrimps were injected with WSSV or PBS (as a control) at 48 h after dsRNA injections. The viral loads in the gills were assessed at 48 h post-infection via absolute quantitative PCR (E), and the expression levels of VP28 in hemocytes were assessed at 48 hpi by quantitative RT-PCR (F). One dot represented 1 shrimp and the horizontal line represented the median of the results.

(G) Restoration of Lvc-Jun protein levels in dsRNA-Lvc-Jun-treated shrimp by injection of rTAT-Lvc-Jun protein. Each shrimp was co-injected with dsRNA-Lvc-Jun together with rTAT-Lvc-Jun or with rTAT-GST (as a control). Forty-eight hours later, the protein levels of Lvc-Jun in vivo were detected by Western blotting. C4 actin was used as a protein loading control. See also Figures S2 and S4.

(H) Rescue of Lvc-Jun protein levels in vivo resulted in lower shrimp survival rate during WSSV infection. WSSV and the recombinant proteins were co-injected at 48 h post-LvMKK4, -LvJNK, or -Lvc-Jun silencing, and the death of shrimp was recorded at every 4 h for survival rates analysis.

(I and J) Restoration of WSSV replication with injection of recombinant proteins. The copy numbers of WSSV in the gills of shrimp (I) and expression levels of VP28 were determined by absolute quantitative PCR and qRT-PCR, respectively. One dot represented 1 shrimp and the horizontal line represented the median of the results (n = 8, E; n = 12, F; n = 8, I; n = 12, J). A Student’s t-test was applied (**p < 0.01) (A–C, E, F, I, and J). Differences between the groups were analyzed with the software of GraphPad Prism 5.0 (p < 0.05; **p < 0.01) (D and H).

All the experiments (A–J) were repeated three times with similar results.
we challenged RNAi-treated shrimp with WSSV or PBS (as mock) at 48 h post-dsRNA injection and recorded the number of shrimps that died every 4 h. As shown in Figure 2D, compared with the GFP dsRNA control group (0%), the survival rates were significantly increased in the LvMKK4-dsRNA-treated group (~50%, p < 0.01), LvJNK dsRNA-treated group (~33.3%, p < 0.01), and Lvc-Jun-dsRNA-treated group (~46.7%, p < 0.01) after WSSV infection. In addition, there was no mortality observed with PBS challenge alone, indicating that each dsRNA injection itself did not result in shrimp death. To further investigate whether the increased survival rates of shrimp injected with dsRNA-LvMKK4, dsRNA-LvJNK, or dsRNA-Lvc-Jun were due to the increased resistance to WSSV or decreased replication of WSSV, we next analyzed the viral titers in shrimp by absolute quantitative PCR (aq-PCR) and VP28 transcription levels (a viral late, structural protein) by qRT-PCR. In accordance with the results of survival rates, we observed that both the WSSV copy number (Figure 2E) and VP28 expression levels (Figure 2F) were markedly decreased in dsRNA-LvMKK4, dsRNA-LvJNK, and dsRNA-Lvc-Jun groups compared with those of the control dsRNA-GFP group. These data strongly indicated that the LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade in shrimp was critical for WSSV replication. We reasoned that the lessened viral loads or the survival rate phenotype in dsRNA-injected shrimps could be rescued by coinjection with exogenous recombinant protein. To further validate this, we treated shrimp by coinjection of Lvc-Jun-dsRNA and an affinity-purified rTAT-Lvc-Jun protein or rTAT-GST tag (Figure S2) as a control, and 48 h later the shrimp were infected with WSSV. Injection of dsRNA-Lvc-Jun significantly suppressed the total protein levels of Lvc-Jun in hemocytes, whereas the purified rTAT-Lvc-Jun protein compensated, to some extent, for the reduced protein level of Lvc-Jun caused by in vivo RNAi (Figure 2G). We found that injection of rTAT-Lvc-Jun contributed to the lower survival rate (Figure 2H), as well as enhanced the WSSV copy number (Figure 2I) and VP28 expression levels (Figure 2J) in shrimp during WSSV infection, compared with those of control group. Taken together, these results convincingly demonstrated that the activated LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade facilitated WSSV replication after infection in vivo.

c-Jun Was Hijacked by WSSV to Promote IE1 Expression in Shrimp

We wanted to explore the mechanism that the WSSV employs to hijack the LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade for its own needs, including viral gene expression and genome replication. As observed above, the LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade was activated at a very early stage of WSSV infection, as illustrated by the increased phosphorylation ratio of LvJNK and Lvc-Jun at 8 hpi (Figures 1D, 1F, and 1G). c-Jun is a transcription factor of the AP-1 family, and the transcription of WSSV IE genes, which are independent of de novo viral protein synthesis, are often driven directly by host transcription factors (Huang et al., 2010; Qiu et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2016). Thus, it is easy to speculate that Lvc-Jun could be hijacked by the WSSV to promote expression of its own genes, such as IE1. To address this, we analyzed the expression level of IE1 during WSSV infection (at 8 hpi) under conditions that this pathway was inhibited or activated by treatment with a JNK pathway inhibitor SP600125 or activator 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-3-acetate (TPA), respectively. We observed that the phosphorylation levels of both LvJNK (anti-p-JNK) and Lvc-Jun (anti-p-c-Jun) were efficiently inhibited or activated by SP600125 or TPA in vivo, respectively (Figure 3A). As expected, the expression levels of IE1 during WSSV infection were depressed and upregulated with treatments of SP600125 and TPA in vivo, respectively, which were in line with the phosphorylation levels of LvJNK and Lvc-Jun, respectively (Figure 3A). These results strongly indicated that WSSV could utilize the transcriptional regulator of c-Jun to stimulate the expression of IE1. We next found two potential AP-1 binding motifs present in the promoter region of WSSV IE1, located at approximately –115 through –107 (AP-1-1) and –91 through –83 (AP-1-2) from the transcriptional start site (TSS) (Figure 3B). To test whether Lvc-Jun could induce IE1 expression via the two putative AP-1 binding motifs, we constructed three reporter plasmids that contained truncated promoter regions of IE1 with two or one or no AP-1 motifs, named pIE (~128), pIE (~102), and pIE (~50), respectively (Figure 3C). A dual-luciferase reporter assay showed that both pIE (~128) and pIE (~102) could be upregulated by ectopic expression of Lvc-Jun in Drosophila S2 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3D), suggesting that both putative AP-1 binding motifs play important roles in the Lvc-Jun-mediated IE1 induction. To confirm this, EMSA experiments were performed to investigate the direct interaction between Lvc-Jun and AP-1 motifs. Recombination GST-tagged Lvc-Jun protein (rLvc-Jun-GST) was expressed in Escherichia coli, purified, and the GST tag was removed to obtain rLvc-Jun (Figure S3). Our results showed that band shifts of protein-DNA complexes were detected when rLvc-Jun proteins were incubated with biotin-labeled IE1-AP-1-1 probe (Figure 3E, lane 2). In addition, the band shifts could be competitively reduced when rLvc-Jun proteins were incubated with wild-type unbiotinylated IE1-AP-1-1 probes at a 10-, 50-, and 100-fold molar excess (Figure 3E, lane 3–5) but not the mutant unbiotinylated IE1-AP-1-1 probes at a 10-, 50-, and 100-fold molar excess.
A similar result was observed in the interaction between rLvc-Jun protein and the IE1-AP-1-2 motif (Figure 3F). In the control sets (rGST proteins), no band shift was observed, which suggests that the interaction between rLvc-Jun protein and each of the AP-1 binding motif is specific. We further explored whether endogenous Lvc-Jun could be able to bind to the promoter of IE1 in vivo. The hemocytes

Figure 3. Shrimp c-Jun Was Involved in Regulating IE1 Expression In Vitro and In Vivo

(A) The shrimp JNK-c-Jun cascade is involved in regulating the expression of WSSV IE1 in vivo. The protein expression of WSSV IE1 was significantly downregulated by the treatment with inhibitor SP600125, whereas its expression was evidently upregulated by the treatment with activator TPA. C4 actin was used as a protein loading control.

(B) The structure of the promoter of WSSV IE1. There were several putative transcription factors binding motifs in the promoter of IE1, including two AP-1 binding motifs located at –115 to –107 (named as AP-1-1) and –91 to –83 (named as AP-1-2).

(C) Description of IE1 promoter-truncated mutants used in the dual reporter genes assay. The pIE (~128) contained two AP-1 binding motifs (upper panel), pIE (~102) contained one AP-1 binding motif (middle panel), and pIE (~50) had both of AP-1 binding motifs deleted (lower panel).

(D) Effects of Lvc-Jun overexpression on the promoter activities of IE1 in vitro. Ectopic expression of Lvc-Jun in Drosophila S2 cells was able to upregulate the promoter activities of pIE (~128) and pIE (~102), but not the pIE (~50), in a dose-dependent manner. C4 actin was used as a protein loading control.

(E and F) Lvc-Jun interacted with the AP-1 binding sites from the IE1 promoter in vitro by EMSA assay. Combination of rLvc-Jun proteins with the AP-1-1 site (E) and AP-1-2 site (F). See also Figure S3.

(G) WSSV infection induced Lvc-Jun binding to the promoter region of IE1 in vivo. ChiP assays were performed with shrimp hemocytes at 24 h post-WSSV infection. Semi-quantitative PCR was used to check the interaction of Lvc-Jun with the promoter region of WSSV IE1 in anti-c-Jun ChiP sample and normal rabbit IgG ChiP sample. The bars (D) indicated the mean ± SD of the luciferase activities (n = 6). Images were representative of three biological replicates (A and D–G).

(Figure 3E, lane 6–8). A similar result was observed in the interaction between rLvc-Jun protein and the IE1-AP-1-2 motif (Figure 3F). In the control sets (rGST proteins), no band shift was observed, which suggests that the interaction between rLvc-Jun protein and each of the AP-1 binding motif is specific. We further explored whether endogenous Lvc-Jun could be able to bind to the promoter of IE1 in vivo. The hemocytes
Figure 4. The IE1 of WSSV Combined with Shrimp JNK, Forming a Positive Feedback Loop

(A) Description of the IE1-site mutant used in this study. The wild-type of IE1 contained a consensus sequence of KTNCALFL for JNK-binding domain (JBD). The crucial amino acid residues K87, L93, and L95 from JBD of IE1 were mutated to A87, A93, and A95 to generate mutant IE1 (M-IE1).

(B) Endogenous IP showed that WSSV IE1 interacted with LvJNK in hemocytes (in vivo) at 24 h post-infection.

(C) Co-IP analysis showed the IE1, but not the M-IE1, interacted with LvJNK.

(D) GST pulldown further confirmed the IE1-LvJNK interaction via the JNK-binding motif.

(E) Immunofluorescence experiments showed that LvJNK colocalized with IE1 in hemocytes (in vivo) at 12 h and 36 h post-WSSV infection in shrimp. The scale bar = 5 μm.

(F) LvJNK underwent autophosphorylation in the ATP and kinase buffer in vitro. Identical protein inputs were checked by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie staining.
were isolated from shrimp at 24 h post-WSSV infection. WSSV-infected hemocytes were subjected to ChIP assays with an anti-c-Jun antibody or normal rabbit IgG (as control). Figure 3G showed that the promoter region of IE1 containing the AP-1 binding motif could be visibly detected by semi-quantitative PCR in the anti-c-Jun antibody ChIP sample, whereas no amplification signal could be detected in IgG ChIP sample. Collectively, these in vivo and in vitro results strongly demonstrated that Lvc-Jun could be hijacked by the WSSV to promote IE1 gene expression.

IE1 of the WSSV Interacted with Shrimp JNK to Generate a Positive Feedback Loop

The above results showed that the activated LvJNK pathway was utilized by the WSSV for IE1 expression. In fact, the LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade was activated throughout WSSV infection, as indicated by the continually increased phosphorylation levels of both LvJNK and Lvc-Jun (Figures 1D, 1F, and 1G). However, it was unknown how the WSSV achieved this. Thus, we speculated that the WSSV employed a strategy that potentially directly targeted intracellular signaling molecules of the host in order to maintain a sustained activation of the LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade. The MAPKs, including JNK, are commonly targeted by many viral genes to manipulate cellular processes for their benefit. IE1, regulated by the LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade, was a top candidate to search for motifs interacting with JNK. We found that IE1 contained a consensus sequence of KTNCLAFL for a JNK-binding domain (JBD), which followed the pattern R/K1-3-X1-6-L/I-X-L/I (Ho et al., 2003). We performed both an endogenous immunoprecipitation (in vivo) and pulldown assay (in vitro) to assess the potential interaction between IE1 and LvJNK. Hemocytes from WSSV-infected shrimp were collected for endogenous immunoprecipitation with a specific IE1 antibody and normal rabbit IgG as a control, followed by Western blot analysis using anti-JNK antibody. The results showed that LvJNK interacted with IE1 at 24 h after WSSV infection in vivo (Figure 4B). In the pulldown assay, we constructed plasmids expressing wild-type IE1 and M-IE1, which had the putative JNK-binding site mutated by replacing K87, L93, and L95 with A87, A93, and A95 (Figures 4A and S4). Both Co-IP (Figure 4C) and pulldown (Figure 4D) experiments showed that LvJNK could interact with IE1, but not M-IE1, suggesting that IE1 could interact with LvJNK through the JNK-binding site. In addition, immunofluorescence experiments in hemocytes showed that endogenous LvJNK (green fluorescence) partially colocalized with IE1 (red fluorescence), which merged into yellow at 12 or 36 h post-WSSV infection (Figure 4E). Taken together, our results demonstrated that IE1 interacted with LvJNK via its JNK-binding site.

Next, in order to explore the effects of the IE1-LvJNK interaction on the activity of LvJNK, especially if the phosphorylation levels of LvJNK varied, we carried out a phosphorylation assay with expressed and purified GST-tagged LvJNK from E. coli. We first observed that rLvJNK-GST could phosphorylate itself in a phosphorylation environment in vitro (Figure 4F), which led us to hypothesize that the IE1-LvJNK interaction could play an important role in the autophosphorylation of JNK. As shown in Figure 4G, we found that the phosphorylation level of rLvJNK was higher with rIE1-Trx, as compared with that of the control group. These results demonstrated that LvJNK could undergo autophosphorylation and that IE1 had the ability to enhance the autophosphorylation of LvJNK in vitro. To further verify whether their interaction is required for the LvJNK autophosphorylation enhancement, and whether this outcome can promote LvJNK to phosphorylate its downstream substrate Lvc-Jun, we performed protein kinase assays in Drosophila S2. The results showed that co-expression of IE1 and LvJNK led to higher phosphorylation levels of both LvJNK and its substrate Lvc-Jun (Figure 4H), whereas the mutated IE1 had lost its ability to achieve this (Figure 4I). In mammals, JNK2x2 molecule had the ability to bind each other for autophosphorylation. The autoactivation of JNKK2x2 followed a “binding each other first, phosphorylation second” manner (Cui et al., 2005). We also found that overexpression of IE1 increased LvJNK-LvJNK combination in vitro (Figure S5). It may be the mechanism by which IE1 enhanced the autophosphorylation of LvJNK and is worthy of further investigation. In combination with the observations above, we concluded that IE1 could hijack this cascade by targeting LvJNK to generate a positive feedback loop. Such a scheme is the viral-gene (IE1)-driven amplification loop, in which WSSV infection (pathogenic stress) induced the MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade, activated the IE1 gene promoter, and increased IE1 expression feedback to enhance JNK activity.
Disruption of the IE1/JNK/c-Jun Positive Feedback Loop Suppressed the Pathogenesis of the WSSV

Because IE1 of the WSSV is the indispensable constituent to form the positive feedback loop, we performed targeted dsRNA treatments to assess the functional importance of the IE1 for WSSV pathogenesis. IE1 dsRNAs were co-injected with WSSV to knockdown IE1 expression during WSSV infection. Efficient silencing of IE1 mRNA was observed at 48 h post-dsRNA injection by qRT-PCR (Figure 5A). We found that silencing of IE1 led to lower viral burdens in shrimp at 48 h post-WSSV infection, compared with those of GFP-dsRNA-inoculated shrimps (Figure 5B). In addition, in a parallel survival experiment, we observed that IE1 dsRNA-treated shrimps died more slowly and had an increased survival rate ($\chi^2: 10.00, p = 0.0016 < 0.01$) (A and B). One dot represented 1 shrimp and the horizontal line represented the median of the results ($n = 11$, B). Differences between the groups were analyzed with Log rank test using the software of GraphPad Prism 5.0 ($**p < 0.01$) (C and E). The experiment was repeated two or three times with similar results.

The IE1-JNK-c-Jun Loop Regulated Other WSSV IE Genes In Vitro and In Vivo

In addition to IE1, we were curious if other IEs were also induced by the IE1-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun loop. To assess this, all 21 WSSV IEs were screened through overexpression of LvJNK or Lvc-Jun in Drosophila S2 cells by

Figure 5. IE1-Driven IE1/JNK/c-Jun Positive Feedback Loop Was Vital for the Pathogenesis of the WSSV

(A) Knockdown efficiencies of IE1 were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR.
(B) Silencing of IE1 reduced WSSV replication in shrimps. The viral loads in the gills of shrimp were assessed at 48 hpi via absolute quantitative PCR.
(C) Survival of WSSV-challenged IE1-silenced shrimp and GFP dsRNA-treated shrimp.
(D) SP600125 inhibited LvJNK phosphorylation during WSSV infection.
(E) Survival of WSSV-challenged SP600125-treated shrimps and DMSO-treated shrimps. A Student’s t-test was applied ($**p < 0.01$) (A and B). One dot represented 1 shrimp and the horizontal line represented the median of the results ($n = 11$, B). Differences between the groups were analyzed with Log rank test using the software of GraphPad Prism 5.0 ($**p < 0.01$) (C and E). The experiment was repeated two or three times with similar results.
using a dual-luciferase assay. LvJNK and Lvc-Jun were further confirmed to induce IE1, which is also named as wsv069 (Figure 6A). Notably, another three IEs, including wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403, were also regulated by both LvJNK and Lvc-Jun in vitro (Figure 6A). A transcription-factor-binding motif search showed that there was at least one putative AP-1-binding motif present in the promoter regions of these IEs (Figure 6B). One AP-1-binding site, which was closest to the transcriptional start site (TSS), was chosen from wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 for EMSA assays to determine the potential interaction between these motifs.

Figure 6. The IE1 Driven-Positive Feedback Loop Regulated the Expression of Other WSSV IEs
(A) Dual reporter assay screened the effects of LvJNK or Lvc-Jun expression on the promoter activities of all 21 WSSV IE in Drosophila S2 cells. The horizontal line indicated a 3-fold induction threshold.
(B) The potential AP-1 binding sites in the promoter regions of wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403. The putative AP-1 binding motifs were presented as red rectangles, whereas TATA boxes were presented as blue rectangles. We chose the AP-1 binding motifs (asterisk noted) that were closest to the transcriptional start site (TSS) of wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 for following EMSA assay.
(C–E) EMSA assay showed that rLvc-Jun bound with a putative AP-1 binding motif from promoters of wsv056 (C), wsv249 (D), or wsv403 (E).
(F) ChIP assays confirmed that the Lvc-Jun interacted with the promoter regions of wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 in vivo.
(G) Model of the IE1-driven positive feedback loop for WSSV replication. WSSV infection (pathogenic stress) induced an initial activation of the LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade, which triggered WSSV immediate-early gene IE1 expression. The IE1 protein then feedbacked to interact with JNK and promote its autophosphorylation, and in turn, triggered the downstream c-Jun (phosphorylation and translocated to nuclear) to induce the transcription of IE1 itself, which created a positive feedback loop. The IE1-driven positive feedback loop kept sustained activation of LvJNK-Lvc-Jun cascade, which further resulted in the expression of other IE genes such as wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403, in addition to IE1 (wsv069), and finally facilitated WSSV replication.
and Lvc-Jun. The results showed that the rLvc-Jun protein could interact with these AP-1-binding motifs from wsv056 (Figure 6C), wsv249 (Figure 6D), and wsv403 (Figure 6E). ChIP assays also demonstrated that Lvc-Jun could bind to the wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 promoters in vivo (Figure 6F). In summary, these results showed that the IE1-JNK-c-Jun loop was able to induce multiple WSSV IE genes, including IE1 (wsv069), wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 in vivo and in vitro.

DISCUSSION
Surface barriers provide the first line of defense to protect host from viral infection. Once the surface barriers have been breached, the invading virus is confronted by the innate immune system of the host (Troell et al., 2014). Although a variety of host defense mechanisms respond to these invasions, and in most cases effectively prevent invasive viral disease, increasing studies have shown that pathogens have co-evolved with their hosts and developed efficient strategies to circumvent and even hijack host defenses (Chemes et al., 2015; Hagai et al., 2014). Because the innate immune system has the critical role of controlling virus copies during the early stages of infection, the mechanisms employed by the invading virus to replicate itself within the host and evade the host immune defenses has attracted increasing interest. Crosstalk between the virus and host immune system is a hot topic for research regarding viral invasion of the host. MAPK pathways play a pivotal role in a wide range of cellular and physiological functions that positively impact viral replication, such as cell cycle control, cell survival, protein synthesis, and lipid metabolism (Arthur and Ley, 2013). Thus, it is not surprising that viruses hijack these pathways for their own benefit. However, even in well-studied insect (mosquito or Drosophila) and mammalian systems, little is known about the mechanism viruses used to manipulate the cellular MAPK signaling pathways. In this study, we clarified for the first time that the WSSV, a crustacean-infecting dsDNA virus, hijacks the host JNK pathway to enhance its replication by an IE1-driven positive feedback loop.

A common strategy that a virus uses to facilitate its infection or replication is to manipulate host cellular pathways (Hagai et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2018). Our finding that JNK activation by the WSSV facilitated virus replication in shrimps reflects a similar result in which virus-host interactions utilize the JNK pathway. Given the importance of MAPK signaling pathways in regulating immune responses, it is reasonable that some pathogens have developed mechanisms to directly modulate MAPK activation. The JNK signaling pathway in mammal or invertebrate hosts has been reported to be involved in or altered by infection with a variety of viruses. For example, the JNK pathway was activated by varicella zoster virus (VZV) infection and blockade of this pathway by SP600125-limited lytic replication and viral reactivation in human embryonic stem-cell-derived neurons (Kurapati et al., 2017). Further, JNK activation by the hepatitis B virus (HBV) X protein enhanced autophagosome formation, which was required for HBV replication in human HepG2 cells (Zhong et al., 2017). In the small brown planthopper (insect), JNK activation by the plant rice stripe virus (RSV) facilitated viral replication (Wang et al., 2017). In our present study, we demonstrated that disruption of the JNK pathway via RNAi or SP600125 inhibited WSSV replication in shrimp. Of note, the ability of the WSSV to hijack the JNK pathway might highlight a remarkably brilliant scheme by which the virus targets one of the evolutionarily earliest innate immune pathways, the MAPKs. The JNK pathway is a conserved immune pathway, and it presumably appeared very early in evolution before the emergence of multicellularity (Ausubel, 2005). In addition, JNK MAPKs have been regarded as essential genes, and lacking these genes can result in defects during development or morphogenesis (Sluss et al., 1996). Accordingly, JNK MAPKs appear to be driven by purifying selection, evolve more slowly, and thus have strong functional constraints (Li et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 1977). In contrast, viruses must continually evolve in order to colonize their hosts and take advantage of host cellular functions to guarantee their replication. Therefore, viruses have the potential to dominate in the host-virus arms race. However, the effectiveness of exploiting the evolutionarily conserved JNK MAPKs may bypass the need for the evolution of additional effectors or multiple strategies. In this regard, the WSSV could use a single effector protein (IE1) to effectively activate the JNK pathway by targeting the conserved JNK MAPK during infection. In addition, a wide range of viruses, including DNA and RNA viruses from plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates, have been shown to exploit this pathway, which suggests that targeting the JNK pathway, though by different means, could be an evolutionarily viral virulence strategy.

Cellular and biochemical experiments revealed that IE1 of the WSSV interacted with JNK via its JNK-binding motif, and in turn, promoted JNK autophosphorylation and substrate kinase activity. The autophosphorylation properties of MAPKs have been reported in vertebrates, Arabidopsis, and yeast but not invertebrates. A previous report demonstrated that human JNK2 and JNK3 underwent significant
autophosphorylation and effectively phosphorylated their known substrate, ATF2, by in vitro phosphorylation experiments (Vogel et al., 2009). Another study demonstrated that only JNK2 isoforms, but not the JNK1 or JNK3 isoforms, have the ability to autophosphorylate and exhibit substrate kinase activity in vitro and in vivo, which does not require the participation of any upstream kinases (Cui et al., 2005). In addition, autophosphorylation of p38 has also been observed when it binds to the TAB1 protein, which does not require phosphorylation prior to p38 autoactivation (Thapa et al., 2018). Here, for the first time, an MAPK in an invertebrate possesses autophosphorylation properties as reported. Interestingly, IE1 of the WSSV utilized JNK autophosphorylation properties to achieve activation of the JNK pathway. This conclusion is strongly supported by phosphorylation assays in both the S2 cell line and in vitro phosphorylation system. Even though there are reports of other virus that hijack JNK pathway, our results demonstrated for the first time that a viral IE protein interacting with JNK directly and functioning as an enhancer of MAPK autophosphorylation established an IE1-JNK-c-Jun-IE1-positive feedback loop that represents a new mechanism of activation distinct from the well-known activation by MAPKK. Autoactivation of the JNK MAPK pathway facilitated by a viral protein could provide a novel strategy that other viruses might also use to exploit intracellular signaling pathways.

We also provide a possible explanation why activation of the JNK pathway facilitated WSSV replication. As mentioned above, IE1 of the WSSV was able to interact with JNK and promote autoactivation of JNK by autophosphorylation, and IE1 itself was also induced by the activation of the JNK-c-Jun cascade, which generated a positive feedback loop (Figure 6G). IE1 is a WSSV immediate-early protein, and its synthesis must be dependent on host transcription factors, which raises the question of how the JNK pathway is activated shortly after viral infection. It is well known that the JNK pathway is located at the crossroads between several pattern recognition receptor (PRR) signaling pathways, such as toll-like receptors (TLRs), RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs), NOD-like receptors (NLRs), C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway, and ER stress signaling pathway (Arthur and Ley, 2013; Meng and Xia, 2011). Thus, WSSV infection (pathogenic stress) may activate one of these pathways. For example, a virus may induce ER stress that initially activates the JNK-c-Jun cascade. In addition, IE1 can be induced by other host transcription factors, including NF-kB, STAT, YY1, and HMGB, which also provides other means to transcriptionally activate IE1 (Huang et al., 2017). The initial synthesis of IE1 can feedback to interact with JNK and promote its autophosphorylation, and in turn, trigger c-Jun to induce the transcription of several viral IE genes, including IE1 (wsv069, wsv056, wsv249, and wsv403 (Figure 6). These WSSV IE genes are highly implicated in viral replication and pathogenesis, and as such, silencing of IE1 during WSSV infection led to a remarkable reduction in viral loads and an improved survival rate. Wsv056 has been able to stimulate G1/S transition by binding to the host retinoblastoma protein, which is deemed to be beneficial to virus genome replication (Ran et al., 2013). Wsv403 is also related to regulation of cell cycle progression, as it is able to interact with shrimp protein phosphatases (PPs) (Li et al., 2009). As such, this protein might be a regulator of both the initiation of primary infection and the reactivation of the WSSV in the host, as its transcription occurs during latency and increases when the lytic stage starts. Wsv249 encodes an E3-ligase, which contains an RING-H2 motif and interacts with a shrimp ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme to mediate ubiquitination (Li et al., 2009). Thus, it might regulate the function of host proteins by ubiquitination, thereby facilitating viral replication. Thus, the IE1-driven positive feedback loop can maintain sustained activation of the JNK-c-Jun cascade, which is able to boost the expression of several IE genes, as well as other potential viral genes regulated by this pathway that are beneficial to replication. Moreover, activation of the JNK-c-Jun cascade was still observed at 36 hpi, which is longer than a single viral replication cycle (approximately 20 h). However, it is unknown if this type of positive feedback loop can last for the entire infection period or if it is able to be interrupted by other mechanism. Of note, until now, no positive feedback loop has been reported where a DNA virus regulates viral replication via its viral proteins. To the best of our knowledge, we demonstrated for the first time that a DNA virus can form a viral protein-driven positive feedback loop to modulate activation of the MAPK pathway in shrimp. In addition, perhaps only a few IE genes are required to generate and maintain an efficient positive regulator loop. Further research into this viral mechanism could provide insights into how WSSV infection can cause 100% mortality in penaeid shrimps.

In summary, our results showed that the WSSV hijacks the host JNK pathway via IE1 targeting JNK to generate a positive feedback loop, which facilitated viral gene expression and replication. Our findings in this study provide insights for understanding the interplay between the WSSV and host and for the development of antiviral agents for the treatment of WSSV infection.
Limitations of the Study

WSSV establishes the IE1/JNK/c-Jun positive feedback loop to drive replication observed in a shrimp L. vannamei model. Disruption of the IE1/JNK/c-Jun positive feedback loop is based on knockdown or inhibitor, without the inclusion of knockout experimental system. Our data show that IE1 can interact with LvJNK via its JBD motif, resulting in the enhanced LvJNK autophosphorylation, but the actual mechanism of IE1-mediated LvJNK autoactivation is still elusive.

METHODS

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.
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All reagents and experimental data are available within Transparent Methods or from corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Figure S1.

Figure S1. The MKK4-JNK-c-Jun cascade was conserved in shrimp *L. vannamei*, related to Figure 1. (A) The interaction of LvJNK with LvMKK4 or Lvc-Jun confirmed by Co-IP analysis. LvJNK-V5 was co-expressed in *Drosophila* S2 cells with Lvc-Jun-GFP and LvMKK4-GFP, respectively. The pAc5.1A-GFP was used as a negative control. GFP-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP affinity gel, and then the immunoprecipitated complexes were analyzed by Western blotting with anti-V5 antibody and anti-GFP antibody. Five percent of the total cell lysate was also detected with the anti-V5 and anti-GFP antibodies as inputs. (B–C) The MKK4-JNK-c-Jun phosphorylation cascade was conserved *in vitro*. LvJNK-V5 was co-expressed with LvMKK4 in *Drosophila* S2 cells, and then the phosphorylation of LvJNK-V5 was probed with anti-p-JNK antibody (B). Lvc-Jun was phosphorylated by LvJNK in *Drosophila* S2 cells (C). Images were representative of three biological replicates (A–C).
Figure S2. Recombinant TAT-GST (rTAT-GST) and TAT-Lvc-Jun (rTAT-Lvc-Jun) were purified, related to Figure 2. rTAT-GST and rTAT-Lvc-Jun were used for in vivo rescue experiments. The ORF of Lvc-Jun was cloned into the modified pGEX-rTAT for the recombinant proteins entering the shrimp cells. GST tag of rTAT-Lvc-Jun-GST was then removed using rPorcine Enterokinase from Glutathione Resin Kit. The purification productions of rTAT-GST and rTAT-Lvc-Jun were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue.

Figure S3. Recombinant Lvc-Jun (rLvc-Jun) was obtained by removing the GST tag from rLvc-Jun-GST, related to Figure 3 and Figure 6. The ORF of Lvc-Jun was cloned into the modified pGEX-4T plasmid to get rLvc-Jun-GST. GST tag of rLvc-Jun-GST was then removed using rPorcine Enterokinase from Glutathione Resin Kit. The purification productions of rLvc-Jun-GST and rLvc-Jun were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue, and rLvc-Jun was used for EMSA experiments.
Figure S4. Recombinant proteins were purified, related to Figure 2 and Figure 4. (A) Purification of rTAT-GST and rTAT-Lvc-Jun-GST. These proteins were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue, and used for in vivo experiments. (B) IE1 and M-IIE1 were constructed into pET-32a (+) vector to and transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 for the expression of rIE1-Trx, rM-IIE1-Trx and rTrx. These proteins were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue and used for GST pulldown experiments. (C) Purification of rGST, rLvJNK-GST, and rLvc-Jun-GST. These proteins were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue and used for GST pulldown experiments.

Figure S5. Over expression of IE1 enhanced LvJNK-LvJNK combination, related to Figure 4. The association of LvJNK-LvJNK with or without over-expression of IE1 was detected by Co-IP analysis. Five microgram of LvJNK-V5 plasmid and five microgram of LvJNK-GFP plasmid was co-transfected with or without five microgram of IE1-FLAG plasmid in
Drosophila S2 cells. Forty-eight hours later, cells were harvested. GFP-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP affinity gel, and then the immunoprecipitated complexes were analyzed by Western blotting with anti-V5 antibody, anti-GFP antibody and anti-FLAG antibody. Five percent of the total cell lysate was also detected with the anti-V5 antibody, anti-GFP antibody and anti-FLAG antibody as inputs.

**Supplemental Table**

Table S1. Summary of primers used in this paper, related to Figure 1 to Figure 6.

| Names                  | Sequences (5’–3’)                  |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| **Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)** |
| LvEF-1α-F              | TATGCTCCTTTTGAGAGTCATGTC           |
| LvEF-1α-R              | CTTTTCTCGCCCTTGGTAG               |
| QLvMKK4-F              | CCATATCCAAAGTGGGATTCTG            |
| QLvMKK4-R              | ATGCCACTAAACACTCTGC               |
| QLvJNK-F               | CGTGGCTCTGGATGCTCCCTT             |
| QLvJNK-R               | TCGTGCTTGAGCTCTGGAG               |
| QLvc-Jun-F             | GACGCCCCTCCTGGTTCTCTT             |
| QLvc-Jun-R             | CTGCTTTGAGAGATGGCATCCTG           |
| QIE1-F                 | GCACAACACAGACACCTTACC            |
| QIE1-R                 | GAAATACGACATAGCACTCCAC           |
| QVP28-F                | AACACCTCCTCCTCCTCAC              |
| QVP28-R                | GGTCTCAGTGGCAAGAGGT              |
| **Semi-quantitative RT-PCR** |
| Semi-IE1-F             | ATTGAGGTGTATGAAGGAGTTGT           |
| Semi-IE1-R             | ACAACAGCGATTACACATTTCTAG          |
| Semi-wsv056-F          | CCTCTATCTCTCTCATAAAAATT          |
| Semi-wsv056-R          | TAGCAAGAGATGCTACAGTCTTTAT         |
| Semi-wsv249-F          | TAGGCGAGTCATGTTCTGAGCA           |
| Semi-wsv249-R          | CACCCAGATGGGAGAAAAACACC          |
| Semi-wsv403-F          | GAGATTGAGAAAAATTCTGAGCAT         |
| Semi-wsv403-R          | TACACACACAGAAAAACACAA           |
| **Absolute quantitative PCR (aq-PCR)** |
| WSSV32678-F            | TGGTTTCTGTATGTAATGCGGTAGGT       |
| WSSV32753-R            | CCCACCTGGGCTACCTG               |
| TaqMan probe WSSV32706 | CAAGTACCCCAGCAGCTGTCATACGT      |
| **Protein expression** |
| IE1-HA-F               | GGAATTCAAGGCTCTTTAATTTGAAGACTC   |
| IE1-HA-R               | TTTGGGCTCACAAGAGATGCCAGAATCTCA  |
| M-IE1-HA-F             | GCAACAAACTGCTTGCGAGCATTCGCAAGAGCTTACGCAAATCT  |
| M-IE1-HA-R             | AGTTGCTGATAAAACTCTTTGTGGCAGAATGCTGCAAGAGCPAGTGGTTGC |
| Dual-luciferase reporter assay |  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| pIE(-128)-F                  | GGGGTACCTGAAATGGCTTTTTGATCATGTTAAG |
| pIE(-128)-R                  | GAGATCTCTTTAGTGAGAGAGAGACTAG       |
| pIE(-102)-F                  | GGGGATCCAGGAATTTCCTTTGACTATTATAT  |
| pIE(-102)-R                  | GAGATCTCTTTAGTGAGAGAGAGACTAG       |
| pIE(-50)-F                   | GGGGTACCTGAAATGGCTTTTTGATCATGTTAAG |
| pIE(-50)-R                   | GAGATCTCTTTAGTGAGAGAGAGACTAG       |

| DsRNA templates amplification |
|------------------------------|
| dsRNA-LvMKK4-T7-F            | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGAGCTTCCTGC |
| dsRNA-LvMKK4-R               | CGTGGTGGAAAGCAGTGTTG |
| dsRNA-LvMKK4-F               | CAGCCTGACTTTTCTGCTC |
| dsRNA-LvMKK4-T7-R            | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGAGCTTCCTGCC |
| dsRNA-LvJNK-T7-F             | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGAGCTTCCTGC |
| dsRNA-LvJNK-R                | CCAGTTGCTCAATAATCTTA |
| dsRNA-LvJNK-F                | GCTCATGAAACTCGTCAATTC |
| dsRNA-LvJNK-T7-R             | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGCTCAATAATCTTA |
| dsRNA-Lvc-Jun-T7-F           | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGACTCAACAGCAG |
| dsRNA-Lvc-Jun-R              | CGCTCCTGGCACTCCATATC |
| dsRNA-Lvc-Jun-F              | ACCATCCTAAGCAACACG |
| dsRNA-Lvc-Jun-T7-R           | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGACTCAACAGCAG CTCCATATC |
| dsRNA-IE1-T7-F               | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGACTCAACAGCAG |
| dsRNA-IE1-R                  | GCCCTGGGTACCTTGACCTAC |
| dsRNA-IE1-F                  | CAATAGGATCTTGACCTAG |
| dsRNA-IE1-T7-R               | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGACTCAACAGCAG TAC |
| dsRNA-GFP-T7-F               | GATCCTAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGCTTTGACTCAACAGCAGTAC |
| dsRNA-GFP-R                  | ATGGGGGTGTTTCTGCTGAG |
| dsRNA-GFP-F     | CGACGTAACCGCCACAAGTT |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| dsRNA-GFP-T7-R  | GGAATGGCTTTGAATCTTGAAGGAATT |
| EMSA            |                      |
| Bio-IE1-AP-1-1-F| GAAAATGCGCTTTGAATCTTGAAGGAATT |
| Bio-IE1-AP-1-1-R| AATTCCTAATGACATCACAAGCCATTTC |
| unBio-IE1-AP-1-1-F| GAAAATGCGCTTTGAATCTTGAAGGAATT |
| unBio-IE1-AP-1-1-R| AATTCCTAATGACATCACAAGCCATTTC |
| Mut-unBio-IE1-AP-1-1-F | GAAAATCGCTTTGCACTCTTGAAGGAATT |
| Mut-unBio-IE1-AP-1-1-R | AATTCCTAATGACATCACAAGCCATTTC |
| Bio-IE1-AP-1-2-F | GGAATTCTTTGACTCTTCTTAGA |
| Bio-IE1-AP-1-2-R | TCTAGGAAATGAGGATCACAGGAATTCC |
| unBio-IE1-AP-1-2-F | GGAATTCTTTGACTCTTCTAGA |
| unBio-IE1-AP-1-2-R | TCTAGGAAATGAGGATCACAGGAATTCC |
| Mut-unBio-IE1-AP-1-2-F | GGAATTCTTTGACTCTTCTAGA |
| Mut-unBio-IE1-AP-1-2-R | TCTAGGAAATGAGGATCACAGGAATTCC |
| Bio-056-AP-1-F  | GTACCAAGATGTGAGTGCACACAGCCTTGG |
| Bio-056-AP-1-R  | CCAAGAAAGGTGTGACACTCAATCTG |
| unBio-056-AP-1-F | GTACCAAGATGTGAGTGCACACAGCCTTGG |
| unBio-056-AP-1-R | CCAAGAAAGGTGTGACACTCAATCTG |
| Mut-unBio-056-AP-1-F | GTACCAAGATGTGAGTGCACACAGCCTTGG |
| Mut-unBio-056-AP-1-R | CCAAGAAAGGTGTGACACTCAATCTG |
| Bio-249-AP-1-F  | TAGGAATTTCCGCTGACGTCAATAAACCTGTTT |
| Bio-249-AP-1-R  | AAACCAGTTTTAGTACGTCAGCAACTTCTC |
| unBio-249-AP-1-F | TAGGAATTTCCGCTGACGTCAATAAACCTGTTT |
| unBio-249-AP-1-R | AAACCAGTTTTAGTACGTCAGCAACTTCTC |
| Mut-unBio-249-AP-1-F | TAGGAATTTCCGCTGACGTCAATAAACCTGTTT |
| Mut-unBio-249-AP-1-R | AAACCAGTTTTAGTACGTCAGCAACTTCTC |
| Bio-403-AP-1-F  | ATTTCCAAGAATTTTGTGACGTCAATG |
| Bio-403-AP-1-R  | GGTTCAATTTAGTACGTCAGAAAATTTCTGGAAT |
| unBio-403-AP-1-F | ATTTCCAAGAATTTTGTGACGTCAATG |
| unBio-403-AP-1-R | GGTTCAATTTAGTACGTCAGAAAATTTCTGGAAT |
| Mut-unBio-403-AP-1-F | ATTTCCAAGAATTTTGTGACGTCAATG |
| Mut-unBio-403-AP-1-R | GGTTCAATTTAGTACGTCAGAAAATTTCTGGAAT |

**Transparent Methods**

**Animals**

Healthy shrimp (*L. vannamei*) with an average weight of approximately 5 g each were purchased from the local shrimp farm in Zhuhai, Guangdong Province, China. The shrimp were acclimated in aerated artificial seawater (2.5% salinity) for two days prior to performing any experiments. Shrimp were fed daily with a commercial shrimp diet (HAID Group, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China).
Preparation of WSSV inoculums, shrimp challenge, and sampling

The WSSV inoculum was prepared as previously described (Li et al., 2018). In brief, the WSSV (a Chinese isolate, AF332093) was isolated from WSSV-infected shrimp muscle tissue that was stored at -80 °C. Those muscle tissues were homogenized, and the viral titers were determined by absolute q-PCR as previously described (Qiu et al., 2014). Each shrimp in WSSV-treated groups received an intraperitoneal injection of $1 \times 10^5$ copies of extracted WSSV DNA in 50 μl PBS solution (pH 7.4) at the second abdominal segment with a 1-ml syringe (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA; cat. no. 7276801). For qRT-PCR, hemocytes from 9 WSSV-challenged shrimp (3 shrimp in each sample, 3 total samples) were sampled at various time points to extract RNA for cDNA synthesis.

For Western blotting, hemocytes from 30 WSSV-challenged shrimp (10 shrimp in each sample, 3 total samples) at 0, 8, 36 hours post WSSV infection were harvested and then lysed in IP lysis buffer (Pierce, Appleton, WI, USA; cat. no. 87788) with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA; cat. no. 524628), and then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 ºC to remove cell debris. Then 5× loading buffer was added, and the samples were boiled for 10 minutes.

RNA extraction, genomic DNA extraction, and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; cat. no. 15596026), and then dissolved in 50 μl of RNase-free water (Takara, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-0058, Japan; cat. no. 9012). The genomic DNA was extracted using TIANGEN Marine Animal DNA Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China; cat. no. GD3311-02), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA (1 μg) was used in a 20 μl reverse transcription reaction. TransScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China; cat. no. AT311-02) was used for the synthesis of first-strand cDNA.

qRT-PCR

qRT-PCR assays were performed to assess the mRNA levels in the pathogenic challenge experiments or the in vivo RNAi experiments. Expression levels of LvMKK4, LvJNK, Lvc-Jun, IE1, and VP28 were detected using LightCycler 480 System (Roche, Basel, Germany) in a final reaction volume of 10 μl, which was comprised of 1 μl of 1:10 cDNA diluted with ddH2O, 5 μl of GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA; cat. no. A6002), and 250 nM of specific primers (Table S1). The cycling program was as follows: 1 cycle of 95 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 62 °C for 1 minute, and 70 °C for 1 s. Cycling ended at 95 °C with a 5 °C/s calefactive velocity to create the melting curve. The expression level of each gene was calculated using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method after normalization to EF-1a (GenBank accession No. GU136229). Primers are listed in Table S1.

Knockdown of specific genes by dsRNA-mediated RNAi

T7 RiboMAX Express RNAi System kit (Promega; cat. no. P1700) was used to generate dsRNA-LvMKK4, dsRNA-LvJNK, dsRNA-Lvc-Jun, dsRNA-IE1, and dsRNA-GFP with primers containing a 5’ T7 RNA polymerase binding site (Table S1). The dsRNA quality was checked after annealing by gel electrophoresis. Each shrimp received an intraperitoneal injection at the second abdominal segment of dsRNAs (2 μg/ g shrimp in 50 μl of PBS) or equivalent PBS. Hemocytes were collected from shrimp 48 hours after the dsRNA injection, and total RNA was extracted and assessed by qRT-PCR using the corresponding primers to evaluate the efficacy of RNAi.
**Survival experiments**

To knockdown specific host gene expression, healthy shrimp received an intramuscular injection of 10 μg of dsRNA or PBS only. Forty-eight hours later, shrimp were injected again with $1 \times 10^5$ copies of WSSV DNA, and mock-challenged with PBS as a control ($n = 30$).

To suppress WSSV IE1 expression, dsRNA-IE1 injection was performed at the same time as the WSSV challenge ($n = 40$).

For the r-Lvc-Jun rescue experiment, 10 μg of TAT-tag recombinant proteins were co-injected with 10 μg of dsRNA per shrimp, and the WSSV inoculum was injected into shrimp 48 hours later as the secondary injection ($n = 30$).

For the inhibitor SP600125 treated experiment, we dissolved JNK specific inhibitor, SP600125 (CST; cat. no. 8177S) in DMSO to reach a concentration of 50 mM. Each shrimp received 50 μl JNK inhibitor mixtures (6.8 μl SP600125+ 43.2 μl PBS) or 50 μl DMSO mixtures (6.8 μl DMSO+ 43.2 μl PBS). And the WSSV inoculum was injected into shrimps 4 hours later as the secondary injection ($n = 30$).

The mortality of each group was recorded every four hours until 6 days post-WSSV infection. The log-rank test method (GraphPad Prism software, GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to analyze the differences between groups.

**Absolute q-PCR**

Absolute q-PCR was performed to monitor viral titers in shrimp. Briefly, we collected gills from shrimp 48 hours post-WSSV infection ($n = 11$ in dsRNA-IE1 experiment; $n = 8$ in knockdown and rescue experiments). Gill DNA was extracted as described above. The concentration of WSSV genome copies was measured by absolute q-PCR using WSSV32678-F and WSSV32753-R primers (Table S1) and a TaqMan fluorogenic probe as described previously (Qiu et al., 2014). The WSSV genome copy number in 1 μg of shrimp DNA was then calculated.

**Plasmids construction**

An artificial pAc5.1/3×HA vector was generated by replacing the C-terminal V5-His tag with a 3 × HA tag from the pAc5.1/V5-His A vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific). And the artificial pAc5.1/FLAG vector was generated by replacing the C-terminal V5-His tag with a FLAG tag from the pAc5.1/V5-His A vector. The open reading frame (ORF) of IE1 was amplified and cloned into the pAc5.1/3×HA vector and pAc5.1/FLAG to express an HA-tagged IE1 protein and FLAG-tagged IE1 protein, respectively. LvJNK-GFP was obtained by cloning the ORF of LvjNK without a stop codon into the pAc5.1A-GFP vector (Li et al., 2012). The M-IE1-HA plasmid with a mutated JNK binding motif was obtained by using overlap extension PCR. Briefly, two overlapping DNA fragments were amplified from IE1-HA using the primer pairs IE1-HA-F/M-IE1-HA-R and M-IE1-HA-F/IE1-HA-R. A single product was obtained by PCR using the two separate DNA fragments pooled together as templates and the IE1-HA-F/IE1-HA-R primer pair (Table S1). The final PCR product was subcloned into the pAc5.1/3×HA vector to express the HA-tagged M-IE1 protein.

The reporter gene vectors, including pIE (-128) (full length IE1 promoter), pIE (-102) (IE1 promoter with the first AP-1 binding motif deleted), and pIE (-50) (IE1 promoter with two AP-1 binding motifs deleted) were constructed in the pGL3-Basic vector using the primers listed in Table S1.

Protein expression plasmids, including pAc-Lvc-Jun-GFP, pAc-Lvc-Jun-V5,
pAc-LvJNK-V5, pAc-LvMKK4-GFP, and reporter genes vectors, including pGL3-wsv051, pGL3-wsv056, pGL3-wsv078, pGL3-wsv079, pGL3-wsv080, pGL3-wsv083, pGL3-wsv091, pGL3-wsv100, pGL3-wsv101, pGL3-wsv103, pGL3-wsv108, pGL3-wsv078, pGL3-wsv087, pGL3-wsv249, pGL3-wsv358, pGL3-wsv403, pGL3-wsv465, and pRL-TK, were obtained from our previous studies (Li et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018).

Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation (CoIP) assays in vitro were performed to confirm interaction between proteins. In brief, 48 hours after transfection, Drosophila S2 cells were harvested and washed with ice-cold PBS three times and then lysed in IP lysis buffer with Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 87786). The supernatants (100 µl) were incubated with 30 µl of agarose affinity gel with anti-GFP (MBL International Corporation, Lexington Avenue, NY, USA; cat. no. D153-8) or anti-HA affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, US; cat. no. A2095) at 4 ºC for four hours. The agarose affinity gels were washed with PBS five times and subjected to SDS-PAGE assay. Five percent of each total cell lysate was also examined as the input control.

Co-IP assays in vivo were performed to confirm interactions between endogenous proteins in shrimp. Proteins from shrimp hemocytes were extracted with IP lysis buffer and incubated with a normal rabbit IgG antibody (Cell Signaling Technology (CST), Danvers, MA, USA; cat. no. 2729S) or anti-LvJNK antibody (Genecreate, Wuhan, China) for 3 hours at 4 ºC. The mixture was then incubated with protein G agarose beads (CST; cat. no. 37478S) for 3 hours at 4 ºC, and then the pellet was washed with PBS five times. The resulting pellet (bound protein, antibody, and protein G) was analyzed by Western blotting. For detection of endogenous LvMKK4-LvJNK-Lvc-Jun interaction induced by WSSV infection, hemocytes were harvested at 0, 8, and 36 hpi, and anti-LvJNK antibody (CST; cat. no. 9252L) was used. Five percent of the cell lysate was loaded as the input control.

Phosphorylation assays in vitro

To explore whether LvJNK could be phosphorylated by LvMKK4, LvJNK-V5 was co-transfected with LvMKK4-GFP or pAc5.1-GFP (as a control) into Drosophila S2 cells. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, S2 cells were harvested, lysed in IP lysis buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors, and detected via western blot analysis. The effects of IE1 on the phosphorylation states of LvJNK, as well as Lvc-Jun, were also detected in S2 cells.

To explore whether LvJNK could undergo autophosphorylation, phosphorylation of LvJNK proteins cloned with a GST tag (LvJNK-GST) was detected in a phosphorylation system in vitro. In brief, the purified LvJNK-GST proteins and ATP (CST; cat. no. 9804S) were added into 10 × Kinase buffer (CST; cat. no. 9802S) to obtain a 1 × reaction system. In the control, the ATP was replaced by ddH2O. The mixtures were incubated for 1 hour at 30 ºC on MIX Vertical (Huierbio, Luoyang, China; HR-13) and then boiled with 5 × loading buffer (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ, USA; cat. no. MB01015) for western blot analysis and Coomassie blue staining. To investigate the effects of IE1 on LvJNK autophosphorylation, we probed the phosphorylation levels of LvJNK in the presence of IE1-Trx or Trx (as a control) as described above.

Western blot

Protein samples were separated in SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), and incubated with the appropriate antibodies. The
primary antibodies used in Western blotting included a rabbit anti-GFP antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. G1544-100UL), rabbit anti-V5 antibody (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA; cat. no. AB3792), rabbit anti-HA antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. H6908-100UL), rabbit anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. F7425), rabbit anti-phosphorylation MKK4 antibody (CST; cat. no. 9156S), rabbit anti-MKK4 antibody (CST; cat. no. 9152S), rabbit anti-JNK antibody (CST; cat. no. 9252S), rabbit anti-phosphorylation JNK antibody (CST; cat. no. 9521S), rabbit anti-phosphorylation c-Jun antibody (CST; cat. no. 3270S), rabbit anti-c-Jun antibody (CST; cat. no. 9165S), and mouse anti-actin clone C4 antibody (Merck Millipore; cat. no. MAB1501). The secondary antibodies used were an anti-mouse IgG HRP-conjugate (Promega; cat. no. W402B) and anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugate (Promega; cat. no. W401B). Both primary and secondary antibodies were incubated in TBS-T with 0.5% BSA. Membranes were developed with the enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) blotting substrate (Thermo Scientific) and chemiluminescence was detected using the 5200 Chemiluminescence Imaging System (Tanon). For relative densitometry of phosphorylation levels of LvMKK4, LvJNK and Lvc-Jun proteins, the immunoblotted protein bands density were analyzed using the ImageJ software 1.6.0 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and calculated one by one. Phosphorylation protein band density was then normalized to the corresponding total protein density in the lanes to get the ratio of p-MKK4/MKK4, p-JNK/JNK and p-Jun/Jun. Statistical analysis of densitometry data from three independent experiments was performed by using the Student's t test.

**Dual luciferase reporter assay**

To detect the activation of *IE1* promoter by Lvc-Jun, *Drosophila* S2 cells were cultured in a 24-well plate for 24 hours. The cells in each well were then transfected with 0.2 µg of firefly luciferase reporter-gene plasmids, 0.04 µg of pRL-TK renilla luciferase plasmid (internal control; Promega; cat. no. E2241), and 0.1 µg/0.3 µg/0.5 µg protein expression plasmids or 0.5 µg pAc5.1-V5 plasmid (as control). Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were harvested, lysed, and then 60% of the lysate was used to measure the induction of the reporter genes via Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega; cat. no. E2920). The remaining 40% of the S2 cell lysate was analyzed via western blot to detect the expression levels of proteins. All of the experiments were repeated three times. To screening all 21 IE genes induction by JNK pathway, *Drosophila* S2 cells were cultured in a 96-well plate for 24 hours and then transfected with 0.05 µg of firefly luciferase reporter-gene plasmids, 0.01 µg of pRL-TK renilla luciferase plasmid, and 0.05 µg protein expression plasmids or 0.05 µg pAc5.1-V5 plasmid (as control). Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were harvested, lysed, and then measured via Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System.

**Immunofluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy**

The hemocytes from WSSV-injected shrimp at 0, 8, 12 and 36 hpi were centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 ºC. The cells were washed twice with PBS and spread onto slides. After 30 minutes, remove PBS and fixed cells in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS at 25 ºC for 15 minutes. The cells were then permeabialized with methanol at -20 ºC for 10 minutes. After washing slides for three times, the hemocytes were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (diluted in PBS) for 1 hour at 25 ºC and then incubated with a mixture of primary antibodies (1:100, diluted in blocking reagent) overnight (about 8 hours) at 4 ºC. The primary antibodies used in immunofluorescence (IF) were rabbit anti-c-Jun antibody (CST; cat. no. 9525S),
mouse anti-β-actin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. A2228), mouse anti-IE1 antibody (GeneCreate), and rabbit anti-JNK antibody (Abcam; cat. no. 179461), depending on the experiment. The slides were washed with PBS six times and then incubated with 1:1000 diluted anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), F (ab')2 fragment (Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugate; CST; cat. no. 4412S), and anti-mouse IgG (H+L), F (ab')2 Fragment (Alexa Fluor 594 Conjugate; CST; cat. no. 8890S) for 1 hour at 25 ºC. The cell nuclei were stained with 4-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China; cat. no. C1002) for 10 minutes. Finally, the slides were observed with a confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany; TCS-SP5) after washing six times with PBS.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

The fragments encoding the wide-type IE1 and the M-IE1 with the JNK binding domain mutated were acquired by PCR amplification and ligated into the pET-32a (+) vector (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). The full-length ORFs of Lvc-Jun and LvJNK were also acquired by PCR and ligated into pGEX-4T. To facilitate the cellular uptake of recombinant proteins, a cell-penetrating TAT peptide (TATGGCAGGAAGAAGCGGAGACAGCGACGAAGA) was fused to the Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) tag of the pGEX-4T-1 vector using the ClonExpress II One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China; cat. no. C112-01) (Li et al., 2017). Recombinant Lvc-Jun was cloned into the modified pGEX-rTAT for the recombinant proteins entering the shrimp cells (Fig. S4A). The recombinant plasmids IE1-pET-32a, M-IE1-pET-32a, pET-32a, rTAT-Lvc-Jun-pGEX, rTAT-pGEX, Lvc-Jun-pGEX, pGEX, and LvJNK-pGEX were transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 for the expression of rIE1-Trx, rM-IE1-Trx, rTrx, rTAT-Lvc-Jun-GST, rLvc-Jun-GST, rGST, rTAT-GST, and rLvJNK-GST proteins, respectively. The transformed bacteria were cultured in Luria-Bertani medium (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. L3022) in the presence of 500 µg/ ml ampicillin (Beyotime; cat. no. ST007) at 37 ºC. When the density of the cultures reached an OD600 of 0.8, the proteins rTrx, rIE1-Trx, and rM-IE1-Trx were induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Beyotime; cat. no. ST097) at 16 ºC overnight. Purification of Trx-tagged proteins was performed with a Ni-NTA Agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 30210), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified Trx-tagged proteins were dialyzed in PBS overnight and then checked by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining (Fig. S4B). The other GST-tagged proteins were induced with 1 mM IPTG at 37 ºC for 4 hours. Purification of GST-tagged proteins was performed with a Glutathione Resin Kit (Genescript; cat. no. L00208) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified rGST, rLvJNK-GST, and rLvc-Jun-GST proteins were confirmed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining (Fig. S4C). Recombinant rTAT-Lvc-Jun and rLvc-Jun proteins were obtained from rTAT-Lvc-Jun-GST and rLvc-Jun-GST proteins by removing the GST-tag using rPorcine Enterokinase from Glutathione Resin Kit (Genescript; cat. no. L00208), respectively. Primers used are listed in Table S1.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The biotinylated or unbiotinylated oligonucleotides of the putative AP-1 binding motifs and unbiotinylated oligonucleotides with mutated AP-1 binding motifs were designed and are listed in Table S1. All of the probes were synthesized by Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The synthesized oligonucleotides were diluted to 10 µM and then annealed to double-stranded probes. For a 20-µl incubation system, 20 fmol of unmutated-bio-probes and 2 µg of purified
rLvc-Jun or rGST were used in each sample. In competition binding assays, the complexes of wild-type probes and proteins were challenged with the unbiotinylated probes (or M-unbio probes) at 10-fold, 50-fold, or 100-fold molar excess over the labeled probes. The EMSA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions from LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 20148).

**Primary hemocyte culture, SP600125, and TPA treatment**

Hemocytes from healthy shrimp *L. vannamei* were collected, suspended in serum-free Leibovitz-15 (L15; Sigma-Aldrich) growth medium, seeded in 25 cm$^2$ bottles, and maintained at 27 ºC. After a 30-minute incubation, fresh L15 with 15% FBS was added. For the inhibitor-treated groups, cells were treated with 10 µM of a JNK specific inhibitor, SP600125 (CST; cat. no. 8177S). For the TPA-treated groups, cells were treated with TPA (1 µM) (CST; cat. no. 4174S), while the control groups were treated with DMSO. After 1 hour, all of the groups were then infected with WSSV virions at a final concentrate of 1 × 10$^7$ copies/ml. Hemocytes were harvested at 0 and 8 hours post-infection.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP)**

Hemocytes from shrimp that were infected with WSSV for 24 hours were used for the ChIP assays. ChIP assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions for the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit (Magnetic Beads; CST; cat. no. 9003) with 6-tube Magnetic (CST; cat. no. 7017S). In brief, five percent of hemocytes were transferred into a new tube and stored for input detection. Other hemocytes were cross-linked first. And then nuclei preparation, chromatin digestion, and chromatin digestion analysis were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A 10-µl aliquot of the diluted chromatin sample was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube as ChIP input. For the two immunoprecipitation reactions, 500 µl of the diluted chromatin was transferred to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and 1 µg of rabbit c-Jun antibody (CST; cat. no. 9165S) and 1 µg of normal rabbit IgG antibody (provided by the kit) was added, respectively. The IP samples were incubated for 4 hours to overnight at 4 ºC with rotation. Then 30 µl of Protein G Magnetic Beads (provided by the kit) were added to each IP reaction and incubated at 4 ºC for 5 minutes with rotation. The supernatant was removed after placing the tubes in the magnetic separation rack. The pelleted protein G magnetic beads were washed with low salt buffer three times. Twenty percent of pelleted protein G magnetic beads were loaded with Loading Buffer and stored for ChIP bait protein detection. Then 1 ml of a high salt buffer was added to the other beads and incubated at 4 ºC for 5 minutes with rotation. The supernatant was removed. The chromatin was eluted from the antibody/protein G magnetic bead complexes, and the cross-links were reversed. The DNA was purified using a spin column. The resulting purified DNA was subjected to semi-quantitative RT-PCR with 21–25 cycles of amplification. Primers were designed to amplify the promoters of *wsv056*, *wsv249*, *wsv403*, and *IE1* (Table S1). The PCR products were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Pull-down**

We incubated 200 µl of rJNK-GST with 200 µl of Trx-tagged protein (rIE1-Trx, rM-IE1-Trx, or rTrx) solutions (1 µg/µl, diluted in PBS) at 4 ºC for 30 minutes. Then 20 µl of GST-bind resin was added to each incubation system and incubated at 4 ºC for 2 hours. The resin was washed with PBS thoroughly and then analyzed using SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.
Statistical analysis

All of the data are presented as mean ± SD. Student’s *t* test was used to calculate the comparisons between groups of numerical data. For survival rates, data were subjected to statistical analysis using GraphPad Prism software to generate the Kaplan ± Meier plot (log-rank χ² test). The following *P* values were considered to be statistically significant: *P* < 0.05 and **P** < 0.01.
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