A role for IRF3-dependent RXRα repression in hepatotoxicity associated with viral infections
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There is growing evidence that viral infections contribute to the induction or progression of metabolic diseases, potentially through inflammation and other unknown mechanisms. Viral infections have been linked to defects in cholesterol metabolism (1), such as atherosclerosis, and liver metabolism of drugs, as in Reye’s syndrome (2), as well as bone metabolism defects, skin eruptions, and diabetes (3–6). There is also evidence that maternal viral infections can lead to the maternal immune system affecting embryonic development, as seen in TORCH infections (7).

A common mechanism in the development of metabolic disorders is the alteration of gene expression controlled by nuclear hormone receptors. Members of this family function as transcriptional regulators of metabolic pathways in multiple cell types. Retinoic X receptors (RXRs) play a uniquely important role in metabolism because of their ability to form heterodimers with many different nuclear receptors, including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) liver X receptor (LXR), farnesoid X receptor (FXR), vitamin D receptor (VDR), thyroid hormone receptor, pregnane X receptor (PXR), and constitutive androstane receptor (8–17). Thus, any signal that alters RXR function or expression has the potential to affect multiple different metabolic programs. A range of intermediates or end products of metabolic pathways, including bile acids, fatty acids, oxysterols, and steroids, have been shown to regulate gene expression through direct binding to RXR heterodimeric receptors (11–13, 18–26). Two different RXR heterodimer partners, constitutive androstane receptor and PXR, are activated by xenobiotics and participate in hepatic detoxification pathways. Studies using knockout mice have confirmed that these proteins are essential for proper steroid, drug, and xenobiotic metabolism (18, 23–25, 27). Challenging these mice with xenobiotics or toxic bile acids leads to fatty degeneration, acute liver failure, and death.

Previous work has pointed to the existence of cross talk between nuclear receptor signaling and the innate immune response. Induction of acute phase response by treating mice with LPS has been associated with the down-regulation of certain nuclear receptors in the liver, including certain RXR heterodimer partners, such as PXR, which in turn affects the expression of genes involved in hepatic detoxification pathways (11, 14). This suggests that the innate immune response and metabolic pathways are interconnected, and understanding this interaction is crucial for developing new therapies for metabolic disorders.
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including RXR (28–30). Recently, the induction of an antiviral immune response in macrophages has been shown to inhibit LXR/RXR function and cholesterol efflux, suggesting a possible mechanism for viral-induced foam cell formation in atherosclerosis (31). Although the precise mechanisms whereby bacterial or viral infections inhibit nuclear receptor function are unknown, experiments on LXR have implicated IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) (31).

IRF3 is a transcription factor shared by both LPS signaling and the antiviral immune response. Upon viral infection or stimulation with Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists such as polyinosine-polycytidylic acid (polyI:C) or LPS, IRF3 is phosphorylated by serine/threonine kinases such as TANK-binding kinase 1 or inducible IkB kinase (32). In addition to being activated by TLR–TRIF–dependent pathways (33), intracellular receptors such as retinoic acid–inducible gene I are capable of activating IRF3 upon recognition of polyI:C and RNA viruses (34, 35). After activation, IRF3 promotes transcription of type I IFN genes together with other transcription factors, such as NF-κB and activator protein 1 (32, 36, 37). Although IRF3’s role in type I IFN induction is well established, there is emerging data demonstrating that IRF3 also functions as a coactivator of NF-κB in the LPS response (38, 39). Mechanisms whereby IRF3 might function to repress target gene expression, however, have not been elucidated.

In the analysis of non–type I IFN–related roles of IRF3, we have identified a function for this factor in the repression of nuclear receptor–regulated liver metabolism. In this paper, we demonstrate that activation of IRF3 during an antiviral immune response profoundly inhibits hepatic expression of RXRα in vivo. As a consequence of this repression, the expression of multiple nuclear receptor target genes critical for xenobiotic detoxification is compromised. This pathway provides a potential molecular mechanism for the pathogenesis of Reyes’ syndrome in which acetylsalicylic
acid (ASA; i.e., aspirin) treatment during a viral infection leads to hepatotoxicity. Repression of RXRα expression and downstream target genes by IRF3 may represent a critical mechanism underlying metabolic diseases associated with viral infections.

RESULTS
Antiviral immune response represses RXRα and liver metabolism in vivo
To investigate the relationship between liver metabolism and viral infections, C57/Bl6 mice were infected with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), and nuclear receptor function was analyzed. VSV infection potently down-regulated expression of RXRα mRNA in vivo (Fig. 1 a). Furthermore, down-regulation of this critical heterodimeric partner for hepatic nuclear receptors was associated with the inhibition of multiple nuclear receptor pathways, including induction of PXR-mediated CYP3A11 by pregnenolone-16α-carbonitrile (PCN) and VDR-mediated induction of CYP24 mRNA by 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1,25(OH)2D3; Fig. 1 b and Fig. S1, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20060929/DC1). Furthermore, VSV infections in the Huh7 hepatocyte cell line resulted in inhibition of hepatic LXR, FXR, and PPARα-mediated induction of hepatic nuclear receptor target genes (Fig. S1).

Detoxification and clearance of secondary bile acids, such as lithocholic acid (LCA), is an important metabolic function of the liver required for physiologic homeostasis. Defective metabolism of LCA or excessive amounts of LCA results in cholestasis and hepatotoxicity. PCN activation of PXR/RXR has been previously shown to protect the liver from secondary bile acid-induced hepatotoxicity through induction of CYP3A11 and other genes involved in the metabolism of LCA (18, 27). In wild-type mice, administration of LCA in excess of natural levels led to significant elevation of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, which was reduced by cotreatment with PCN (Fig. 1 c). To determine the impact of viral infection on nuclear receptor–regulated bile acid metabolism, the LCA cholestasis model was analyzed in the context of VSV infection. Although VSV infection alone had no effect on serum ALT levels, it blocked the ability of PCN to reduce LCA-induced serum ALT levels (Fig. 1 c). Furthermore, VSV infection induced fatty change and hepatotoxicity in LCA-treated mice, as demonstrated by oil red O staining (Fig. 1 d). The VSV plus LCA-induced hepatotoxicity could not be blocked by the addition of PCN. Thus, viral infections inhibit PXR/RXR-dependent gene expression and promote LCA-induced liver damage.

To determine the mechanism responsible for the inhibition of hepatic gene expression and metabolism observed during viral infection, experiments were repeated with polyI:C, representing viral dsRNA. Treatment with polyI:C resulted in a substantial reduction in RXRα mRNA expression (Fig. 2 a). Additionally, polyI:C blunted the induction of CYP3A11 by PCN as well as the induction of CYP24 by the VDR agonist 1,25(OH)2D3 (Fig. 2 a and Fig. S1). Furthermore, hepatic LXR, FXR, and PPARα target genes were also inhibited by polyI:C treatment in Huh7 cells (Fig. S1). Both polyI:C and viruses such as VSV are known to activate IRF3, a key mediator of the antiviral immune response. Experiments with IRF3 knockout mice established that IRF3 was critical for the repression of RXRα and hepatic nuclear receptor target genes by polyI:C (Fig. 2 a and Fig. S1). Furthermore, addition of the nuclear receptor agonist PCN to polyI:C treatment resulted in a further loss of RXRα protein expression (Fig. 2 b).

Similar to the results obtained with VSV, treatment of mice with polyI:C alone did not significantly increase serum ALT levels. However, polyI:C in combination with LCA strongly induced liver damage, and this damage was not blocked by PCN (Fig. 2, c and d). Moreover, polyI:C failed to promote LCA-mediated increases of serum ALT levels or enhance liver damage in IRF3−/− mice, demonstrating the requirement for IRF3 in polyI:C regulation of hepatic gene expression and function. (Fig. 2, c and d). These experiments establish that viral activation of IRF3 inhibits hepatic nuclear receptor target gene induction and metabolic activity, resulting in potentiation of LCA-mediated hepatotoxicity.

PolyI:C and LPS repress RXRα expression through IRF3
To gain a greater understanding of the molecular mechanisms behind innate immune system repression of RXRα and RXRα target genes, we confirmed, by quantitative PCR (Q-PCR), that polyI:C and LPS repressed RXRα mRNA in bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMMs) after 4 h of stimulation (Fig. 3 a). Furthermore, an extended time course indicated that polyI:C is a more potent repressor of RXRα mRNA than LPS (Fig. 3 b). These data validate the in vitro model as representative of our in vivo studies, because RXRα mRNA expression is inhibited by viral infections and TLR ligands in both systems. Protein expression analysis revealed that RXRα protein loss after polyI:C treatment was more obvious upon the addition of RXRα-specific (LG268, LG) or RXRα-specific (GW3965, GW3) agonists (Fig. 3 d). Previously, IRF3 was found to be involved in the repression of LXR target genes in BMMs (31). Because RXRα cell type–specific knockout studies have demonstrated critical roles for RXRα target genes (19, 26, 40, 41), we examined the mechanism for such repression in greater detail.

Next, we explored the mechanism of RXRα repression by analyzing the contribution of IRF3 and type I IFNs, as these are the main signaling mediators shared by TLR3 and TLR4 but not TLR9 in macrophages. PolyI:C–mediated inhibition of RXRα was defective in IRF3−/− BMMs but not IFNAR−/− BMMs (Fig. 3 c). Similar regulation was seen at the protein level, as RXRα protein expression levels were considerably higher in IRF3−/− compared with IFNAR−/− BMMs (Fig. 3 c). Although there was some loss of RXRα protein in IRF3−/− BMMs after polyI:C and L268 treatment, the protein levels were substantially higher than in wild-type or IFNAR−/− BMMs, whereas upstream stimulatory factor 2 (USF2) levels were equivalent. These data
suggest the existence of an IRF3-dependent, type I IFN–independent pathway for RXRα repression.

Optimal transcription of nuclear receptor target genes is known to require degradation of nuclear receptors, such as RXRα, by the 26S proteosome complex (42). New protein synthesis replaces degraded nuclear receptors on the promoters of these target genes during transcription (42). We analyzed whether nuclear receptor activation of the 26S proteosome complex would coordinate with IRF3-mediated inhibition of RXRα mRNA expression to contribute to the loss of RXRα protein. Indeed, MG132, a 26S proteosome complex inhibitor, prevented loss of RXRα protein after co-stimulation with the RAR/RXR agonist 9-cis retinoic acid (9cRA) and polyI:C in BMMs (Fig. 3 f). Thus, maximal RXRα protein loss likely requires combinatorial repression of RXRα mRNA by polyI:C and activation of 26S proteosome complex–mediated degradation by nuclear receptor agonists.

Figure 2. PolyI:C negatively regulated in vivo RXR heterodimer target genes and liver metabolism. (a) Wild-type or IRF3−/− mice (n = 4) were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyI:C i.v. on days 1 and 3 with or without vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil) or 75 mg/kg PCN by gavage for 4 d. Liver RNA were analyzed by Q-PCR. Error bars represent mean ± SD. (b) Representative anti-RXRα and anti-USF2 Western blots of wild-type livers after treatment with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyI:C i.v. on days 1 and 3. (c) Wild-type or IRF3−/− mice (n = 4) were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyI:C i.v. on days 1 and 3, as well as vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil) and 75 mg/kg PCN by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. Serum was collected and analyzed for serum ALT as described in Materials and methods. Error bars represent mean ± SD. *, P ≤ 0.001. (d) Representative H&E staining of livers isolated after treatment in c. Arrows indicate necrotic foci. Bar, 100 μm.
type 1 IFNs. (a) BMMs were stimulated with 10 ng/ml LPS or 1 μg/ml polyI:C for 2 h and treated with actinomycin D (a transcription inhibitor) to measure RXRα protein stability. No important differences were observed in RXRα protein stability from samples treated with or without polyI:C, suggesting that repression is not posttranscriptionally regulated (Fig. 4 a). Furthermore, RXRα primary transcripts measured by Q-PCR using primers that amplify a region spanning an exon and intron were strongly repressed after polyI:C treatment (Fig. 4 a). Collectively, these data indicate that polyI:C regulates RXRα expression at the level of transcription.

To gain greater insight into how RXRα is transcriptionally repressed by polyI:C, the promoter region of RXRα (from −1 to −1,000 bp) was analyzed for predicted transcription factor binding sites. Using promoter analysis software (see legend to Fig. 4), highly predicted binding sites were identified by core similarity (>0.9) and matrix similarity (>0.9). The first 400 bp of the promoter identified multiple hits for three known transcriptional regulators: Hes1, ZF5, and ZNF202 (Fig. 4 b). Hes1 and ZNF202 have previously been identified as potential transcriptional regulators of cholesterol metabolism (43, 44). Hes1 mRNA was potently induced by polyI:C and LPS (Fig. 4 b), whereas ZF5 and ZNF202 mRNA levels were unaffected (not depicted). Although it is known that NF-κB activators like TNF-α can induce Hes1 (45), our data indicate that polyI:C induction of Hes1 also involves IRF3 but not type I IFNs (Fig. 4 b). Preliminary Hes1 promoter analysis indicates an IFN-stimulated regulatory element (−722/−751) with core similarity of 1 and matrix similarity of 0.91 (unpublished data), but further studies are required to determine if direct binding of IRF3 to the Hes1 promoter is involved in the polyI:C-induced Hes1 up-regulation.

To assess the ability of Hes1 to repress RXRα and RXR-related genes, RAW 264.7 cells stably transduced with pCMV-Hes1 were compared with empty vector controls in terms of RXRα mRNA expression and function. Fig. 4 c shows that overexpression of Hes1 led to the specific down-regulation of RXRα mRNA, with control L32 mRNA being unaffected. Furthermore, knockdown experiments with siRNA specific to Hes1 demonstrated the requirement of Hes1 in polyI:C-mediated repression of RXRα (Fig. 4 d).

Hes1 mediates gene repression by recruiting the Gro/TLE tetramer and histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) complex to the promoter region of its target genes (46). Chromatin immunoprecipitation of Hes1 and HDAC1 demonstrated that polyI:C promotes specific recruitment of Hes1 and HDAC1 to the RXRα promoter region and predicted Hes1 binding site (Fig. 4, e and f). To test if recruitment of Hes1 and HDAC1 is involved in polyI:C repression of RXRα, BMMs were pretreated with or without the HDAC1 inhibitor, trichostatin A (TSA), followed by stimulation with polyI:C. The addition of TSA prevented polyI:C repression of RXRα and allowed polyI:C to induce RXRα (Fig. 4 g), providing further evidence for a novel mechanism of repression of RXRα by polyI:C.

**Transcriptional repression of RXRα results in defective induction of RXR target genes**

We predicted that the expression of RXRα target genes would mirror regulation of RXRα by polyI:C. Indeed, just as polyI:C induced down-regulation of RXRα requires IRF3
and is independent of type I IFNs, induction of the RXRα target gene CRBPII by synthetic RXR ligand (LG268) was repressed by polyI:C in IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> BMMs but not IRF3<sup>−/−</sup> BMMs (Fig. 5 a). Because repression of RXRα by polyI:C appears to require Hes1, we analyzed the role of Hes1 in repression of RXRα target genes. As seen in Fig. 5 b,
overexpression of Hes1 in RAW 264.7 cells prevents the RAR/RXR agonist, 9cRA, from inducing CRBPII and ABCA1. Furthermore, polyIC is unable to repress 9cRA induction of CRBPII in cells with knockdown of Hes1 (Fig. 5 e).

To determine if loss of RXRα contributes to polyIC repression of nuclear receptor–regulated genes, we analyzed RAW 264.7 cells stably expressing RXRα (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20060929/DC1). PolyIC was unable to repress LG268-induced CRBPII in the RXRα-overexpressing RAW 264.7 cells (Fig. 5 d). Additionally, we examined whether repression of RXRα is a key requirement of polyIC repression of RXRα target hepatic genes. As seen in Fig. 5 c, transfected polyIC was capable of repressing rifampicin induction of the human homologue to CYP3A11, CYP3A4, in Hu7 cells, a human hepatocyte cell line. In the presence of RXRα overexpression (Fig. S2), however, polyIC no longer repressed CYP3A4 (Fig. 5 e). These results were matched in the induction of another RXRα-regulated gene, uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A6 (UGT1A6), which is induced by and metabolizes ASA (Fig. 5 f) (47, 48).

Finally, we also confirmed by chromatin immunoprecipitation that transcriptional repression of RXRα results in a reduction of RXRα present on the promoter of RXRα target hepatic gene, CYP3A4. As shown in Fig. 5 (g and h), combinatorial treatment of Hu7 cells with rifampicin and polyIC resulted in maximal loss of RXRα in the PXR/RXR ER6 binding region of CYP3A4, whereas binding was minimal and unchanged in the upstream coding region. These data present evidence that IRF3-mediated transcriptional repression of RXRα by transfected and nontransfected polyIC is integral to the repression of RXRα-related target genes.

Viral infection greatly enhanced ASA hepatotoxicity, a potential mouse model of Reye’s syndrome

Based on our in vivo and in vitro results, we hypothesized that metabolic disorders involving both nuclear receptor–regulated xenobiotic metabolism and viral infections might involve the repression of RXRα target genes by IRF3 during host immune response. A human disease that involves viral infection and metabolic hepatotoxicity is Reye’s syndrome, characteristically presenting with delirium and fatty degeneration of the liver in a child with a history of an antecedent viral infection treated with ASA. We speculated that the pathogenesis of Reye’s syndrome might be caused, at least in part, by this mechanism of antiviral immune response and nuclear receptor cross talk and subsequent metabolic dysfunction. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the effects of ASA treatment in the presence and absence of an antiviral immune response initiated by polyIC or VSV. Treatment of mice with ASA, polyIC, or VSV alone did not cause substantial hepatotoxicity. Administration of ASA to mice treated with polyIC or infected with VSV, however, caused severe hepatotoxicity, as indicated by liver necrosis or fatty degeneration (Fig. 6, a and d). Consistent with a Reye’s syndrome–like phenotype, serum ALT, ammonia, and total bilirubin levels were increased during coadministration of ASA and polyIC or VSV, whereas blood glucose levels were significantly decreased (Fig. 6, b, c, and e–g) (49–51). Interestingly, hepatotoxicity from exposure to polyIC plus ASA did not occur in IRF3−/− mice, but did occur in IFNAR−/− mice (Fig. 6, d–f). It has been previously shown that polyIC treatment results in defective ASA metabolism, possibly contributing to the hepatotoxicity seen in our experiment (52). In addition to CYP3A4 (53, 54), another enzyme that is induced by ASA and involved in the metabolism of ASA is UGT1A6, whose gene is also regulated by PXR/RXR (48). UGT1A6 glucoronidates the ASA intermediate, salicylic acid (55), and defects in UGT1A6 have been associated with impaired metabolism of aspirin (47). Interestingly, treatment with ASA or the PXR/RXR agonist PCN potentely increased UGT1A6 and CYP3A11 mRNA in vivo, but not other PXR/RXR genes such as Oatp2 that are likely not involved in ASA metabolism (Fig. 1 b; Fig. 2 a; Fig. 7, a and b; and Fig. S3, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20060929/DC1). Furthermore, this induction was diminished by either polyIC stimulation or VSV infection (Fig. 1 b; Fig. 2 a; Fig. 7, a and b; and Fig. S3). Additionally, the repression of UGT1A6 by polyIC was dependent on IRF3 (Fig. S3). The biological loss of RXRα likely contributes to this effect. Just as the loss of RXRα decreases CYP3A11 expression in mice or CYP3A4 in Hu7 cells (Fig. 7 c) (23), UGT1A6 induction by ASA is impaired in Hu7 cells that have RXRα silenced by siRNA (Fig. 7 e), and ASA and polyIC cotreatment resulted in a considerable loss of RXRα protein, just as PCN and polyIC treatment led to the potent loss of RXRα protein (Fig. 7 d).

Mechanisms for ASA toxicity are likely through membrane permeability transition and mitochondrial injury, which is caused by ASA’s intermediate, salicylic acid, destabilization of mitochondrial calcium homeostasis (56). Rhodamine 123 assays demonstrate that RXRα repression by polyIC results in loss of mitochondrial membrane potential in mock–transfected Hu7 cells cotreated with ASA and polyIC but not in Hu7 cells overexpressing RXRα (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20060929/DC1). These in vivo and in vitro observations provide evidence that cross talk between antiviral immune responses and nuclear receptor signaling may play a critical role in the pathogenesis of Reye’s syndrome.

DISCUSSION

The connection between viral infections and metabolic dysfunction is an important clinical problem, yet the mechanisms linking these events are not understood. In this paper we provide in vivo evidence for a novel pathway linking viral infection to metabolic disease. We have shown that activation of IRF3 during the viral immune response leads to a profound suppression of RXRα mRNA and protein expression. Because RXRα serves as an obligatory heterodimeric partner for several nuclear receptors involved in metabolic control, these observations provide a molecular explanation for how viral infections can alter a range of metabolic pathways.
Figure 5. PolyI:C transcriptional repression of RXRα is critical for repression of nuclear receptor target genes. (a) Wild-type, IRF3−/−, and IFNAR−/− BMMs were stimulated with control (DMSO) or 10 nM LG268 with or without 1 μg/ml polyI:C. RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. (b) pCMV–RAW 264.7 cells (MT) or pCMV–Hes1–RAW 264.7 cells (Hes1) were stimulated with control (DMSO) and 9cRA with or without 1 μg/ml polyI:C for 24 h. RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. (c) RAW 264.7 cells transfected with siNS or siHes1 duplex oligos were stimulated with control (DMSO) and 10 μM 9cRA with or without 1 μg/ml polyI:C for 24 h. RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. (d) pBabe–RAW 264.7 cells (RAW-MT) and pBabe-RXRα–RAW264.7 cells (RAW-RXRα) were stimulated with control (DMSO) or 10 nM LG268 with or without 1 μg/ml polyI:C for 24 h. RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. (e) pBabe–Huh7 cells (Huh7-MT) and pBabe–RXRα–Huh7 cells (Huh7-RXRα) were stimulated with control (DMSO) and 25 μM rifampicin with or without 2 μg/ml polyI:C (transfected). RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. (f) pBabe–Huh7 cells (Huh7-MT) and pBabe–RXRα–Huh7 cells (Huh7-RXRα) were stimulated with control (DMSO) and 20 μg/ml ASA with or without 2 μg/ml polyI:C (transfected). RNA was analyzed by Q-PCR. Error bars in a–f represent mean ± SD. (g and h) BMMs were stimulated with 25 μM rifampicin and 1 μg/ml polyI:C for 24 h. After stimulation, chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-RXRα antibody on sonicated samples, washed thoroughly, and analyzed by PCR/agarose gel electrophoresis. PCR products on gel were quantified by ImageJ, normalized to input. Error bars represent mean ± SD.
As a consequence of RXRα suppression during viral infection, the expression of multiple downstream nuclear receptor target genes is compromised, including those required for liver detoxification of endogenous and exogenous compounds and those required for lipid metabolism. Moreover, the ability of viral infections to repress nuclear receptor function leads to hepatotoxicity in the context of endogenous toxins such as LCA and exogenous compounds such as ASA.
These data provide a molecular mechanism to explain how viral infections may interfere with liver homeostasis and contribute to the pathogenesis of metabolic disease (Fig. 8).

The clinical relevance of IRF3-mediated inhibition of liver metabolism is illustrated by its potential role in the pathogenesis of hepatic metabolic disorders that involve xenobiotics (drugs and chemicals) ingested during viral infections. One such disorder, Reye’s syndrome, has yet to be explained mechanistically. It is known that ASA therapy during a viral infection in children can lead to fatty degeneration of the liver and encephalopathy (2). Not specific to any virus in particular, Reye’s syndrome is associated with chickenpox, influenza A or B, adenoviruses, hepatitis A viruses, paramyxoviruses, picornaviruses, reoviruses, herpesviruses, measles, and varicella-zoster viruses (49, 57–62). Previous experiments have suggested that hepatotoxicity in Reye’s syndrome results from a toxic combination of ASA metabolites and inflammatory cytokines generated in response to a viral infection (63). It has also been shown that polyI:C can inhibit the metabolism of aspirin, and this has been suggested to occur through type I IFNs (52). Our experimental model of polyI:C/VSV and ASA treatment, however, clearly demonstrates that hepatotoxicity and fatty degeneration occurs in an IRF3-dependent, type I IFN-independent manner, consistent with those seen during Reye’s syndrome. Furthermore, it appears that this pathogenesis arises from IRF3 repression of RXR and its hepatic target genes involved in ASA metabolism. We showed that this repression of RXR blocks ASA and PCN induction of UGT1A6 and CYP3A11, RXR heterodimer target genes involved in ASA metabolism, and results in increased mitochondrial damage by ASA, a known contributing factor to the pathogenesis of Reye’s syndrome (56, 64–66). Our results therefore provide compelling evidence for the involvement of IRF3–nuclear receptor cross talk in the development of Reye’s syndrome and suggest new therapeutic strategies for the prevention of hepatotoxicity associated with viral infections.

Our results also demonstrate that viral infections can alter the clearance of endogenous toxins that accumulate during normal metabolism. LCA, a secondary bile acid produced by intestinal bacteria, is metabolized by RXR heterodimers through the induction of cytochrome P450 family members such as CYP3A11, which catalyze the initial hydroxylation of LCA (67). Mice deficient in hepatocyte PXR or RXR exhibit functional defects in the expression of LCA metabolic...
genes (18, 27, 41). Excess amounts of LCA disturb liver homeostasis and result in cholestasis, which can be alleviated by the activation of PXR/RXR with less toxic but more potent nuclear receptor agonists such as PCN (18, 27). In this work, we have shown that activation of IRF3 during viral infection inhibits PXR/RXR-dependent activation of CYP3A11. Consequently, viral infections render mice highly susceptible to LCA-mediated cholestasis and hepatotoxicity. Interestingly, this mechanism may be relevant to viral-induced cholestasis in humans, as Epstein-Barr virus infections have been linked to cholestasis (68). The molecular pathways elucidated in our study will likely provide a useful framework for further investigation into this connection.

IRF3 is a transcription factor best known for its function in type I IFN production during the innate immune response against viral infections. Our experiments have identified a new function for virally activated IRF3, repression of RXRα, that is independent of the type I IFN pathway. We have shown that activation of IRF3 induces expression of the transcriptional repressor Hes1, which binds directly to the proximal promoter of RXRα and recruits HDAC1 to repress transcription. Nevertheless, RXRα protein levels remain relatively stable in the absence of a nuclear receptor-activating signal. However, in combination with 26S proteasome complex activation by nuclear agonists (ASA, PCN, LG268, and GW3965), this pathway results in a biologically important loss of RXRα protein that would not be seen in the absence of IRF3 activation, where RXRα protein levels are replenished as new transcript is continually made. Although the repression of other nuclear receptors may contribute to our observed phenomenons, mutation of RXRα in hepatocytes results in similar in vivo defects in PXR/RXR target gene induction and increased LCA sensitivity, as seen in our experiments with polyE:C and VSV, providing further evidence that IRF3-mediated down-regulation of RXRα could contribute substantially to the pathogenesis of hepatic metabolic diseases (41). Previous work has shown that nuclear receptor activation can inhibit IRF3 target genes (39). It is possible that the down-regulation of RXRα may relieve this inhibitory effect and allow for optimal induction of IRF3 target genes involved in antiviral response. However, it is not clear whether this RXRα down-regulation will be beneficial overall or harmful to the host during a microbial infection.

The central role of RXRα in nuclear receptor signaling raises the possibility that IRF3–nuclear receptor cross talk may have implications for a variety of pathways and metabolic functions. The particular importance of the RXRα isoform is clear in that RXRα-deficient mice are embryonic lethal (19, 69). Furthermore, several tissue-specific RXRα-deficient mice have been described that point to diverse functions for this receptor (26, 40, 41). Loss of RXRα has been demonstrated in our work and by others to inhibit some, but not all, RXR heterodimer target genes, suggesting that other factors may play overlapping roles in determining activation and maintenance of certain nuclear receptor target genes (31, 41). However, it is clear from our work and these genetic studies of RXRα that loss of RXRα would affect several nuclear receptor pathways. Thus, in addition to contributing to the pathogenesis of Reye’s syndrome, IRF3 repression of RXRα may contribute to other diseases associated with viral infections. One such disease is atherosclerosis, where IRF3 activation contributes to negative regulation of LXR-related genes and cholesterol efflux (31). It will be interesting to explore whether IRF3-dependent down-regulation of RXRα influences disorders such as Gianotti–Crosti syndrome in the skin (6, 70) and viral-linked diabetes (5).

IRF3–nuclear receptor cross talk provides a new understanding of the link between microbial infection and metabolic dysfunction and suggests novel targets for therapeutic intervention in these syndromes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and mice.** Mouse BMMs were differentiated from marrow as described previously (71). IFNAR−/− and IRF3−/− mice (a gift from T. Taniguchi, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan) were obtained as previously described (71). Cells from F5 C57BL/6 littermate wild-type mice were used as wild-type controls for experiments using cells from IFNAR−/− and IRF3−/− mice. C57BL/6 mice were used for all experiments not involving IFNAR−/− and IRF3−/− mice (obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). RAW 264.7 mouse macrophage cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Stable RAW-RXRα or RAW-MT vector cells and Huh7-RXRα or Huh7-MT vector cells were made by retroviral transduction and selected with puromycin. Stable RAW-Hes1 or RAW-MT vector was made by transfecting RAW 264.7 cells with 5 μg pCMV-Hes1 or 5 μg pCMV and 0.5 μg pBabe-puro with Superfect (QIAGEN) and selected with puromycin.

**Virus collection and quantification.** GFP-tagged VSV was a kind gift from G. Barber (University of Miami, Miami, FL). The virus was grown on nearly confluent Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, infected at a multiplicity of infection of 0.001. 2 d after infection, cell-free supernatant was ultracentrifuged at >100,000 g through a 25% sucrose cushion. The viral pellet was resuspended in PBS. Standard plaque assay was used to determine the number of PFU. In brief, confluent monolayers of MDCK cells in 6- or 12-well plates were infected in duplicate with serial dilution of the viral stock with intermittent shaking for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were overlaid with 1× MEM BSA containing 0.7% low-melting-point agar. Plaques were allowed to develop over 24–36 h and counted after staining cells with crystal violet.

**Reagents.** Specific pattern recognition receptor activation was achieved using polyIC for TLR3/retinoic acid–inducible gene I (GE Healthcare) and Escherichia coli LPS for TLR4 (Sigma-Aldrich). Synthetic nuclear receptor ligands (a gift from T. Willson, GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC) were obtained as previously described (31). LCA, PCN, and ASA were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. 1,25(OH)2D3 was obtained from BIOMOL Research Laboratories, Inc. Rifampicin was obtained from Calbiochem. Antimycin D and TSA were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Macrophage-CSF–containing media was obtained by growing L929 cells 4 d past confluence and harvesting the conditioned media.

**Animal treatments.** Age-matched 8–10-wk-old mice were used for all experiments. For hepatic nuclear receptor activation and liver functions analysis, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were given vehicle (1% DMSO, maize oil), 75 mg/kg PCN, and 7.5 mg/kg 1,25(OH)2D3 by gavage and/or 0.25 mg/kg LCA i.p. for 4 d. For polyIC experiments, mice were also treated with 0.1% NaCl or 150 μg polyIC i.v. on day 1 or 3. For viral infection studies, mice were treated with 0.1% NaCl or 2.5 × 106 PFU VSV i.v. on day 1. On day 5, mice were
killed, and serum and liver samples were collected. ASA treatment was done as previously described (73). ASA treatment was done for 3–4 d. Serum ALT (TECO Diagnostics), serum ammonia (Pointe Scientific), blood glucose (LifeScan), and total serum bilirubin (Wako) levels were determined using the manufacturers’ protocols. p-values were determined by independent t tests compared with controls, unless indicate otherwise in the figure legends. Animal studies were done in accordance with the Animal Research Committee of the University of California, Los Angeles.

RNA quantitation. For Q-PCR, total RNA was isolated, and cDNA was synthesized as described previously (71). PCR was then performed using the thermocycler (iCycler; Bio-Rad Laboratories). Q-PCR was conducted in a final volume of 25 μL containing: Taq polymerase, 1× Taq buffer (Stratagene), 125 μM deoxynucleoside triphosphate, SYBR green 1 (invitrogen), and fluorescein (Bio-Rad Laboratories), using oligo-dt cDNA or random hexamer cDNA as the PCR template. Amplification conditions were 95°C (3 min) and 40 cycles of 95°C (20 s), 55°C (30 s), and 72°C (20 s).

Western blot protein analysis. For Western blots, cell lysates were incubated at room temperature for 5 min with EB lysis buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% (wt/vol) BSA, 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors), size-separated in 10% SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose. RXRα and USF2 protein levels were detected using rabbit anti-RXRα or anti-USF2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Whole-cell extract from livers were isolated as follows: livers were briefly homogenized in 1× PBS/protease inhibitors, the homogenized product was centrifuged, and the pellet was incubated at room temperature for 5 min with EB buffer.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. CYP3A4 chromatin immunoprecipitation was done as previously described (73). For RXRα chromatin immunoprecipitation, inactivated and activated cells were fixed at room temperature for 10 min by adding formaldehyde directly to the culture medium to a final concentration of 1%. The reaction was stopped by adding glycine at a final concentration of 125 M for 5 min at room temperature. After three ice-cold PBS washes, the cells were collected and lysed for 10 min on ice in cell lysis buffer (5 mM PIPES [piperazine-N,N′-bis[2-ethanesulfonic acid]), pH 8.1, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, and protease inhibitors) and incubated on ice for 10 min. Chromatin was sheared into 500- to 1,000-bp fragments by sonication in cell lysis buffer (5 mM PIPES [piperazine-N,N′-bis[2-ethanesulfonic acid]), pH 8.1, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1% (wt/vol) BSA, 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors) and incubated on ice for 10 min. Chromatin was sheared into 500- to 1,000-bp fragments by sonication and was preincubated with protein A or protein G–Sepharose beads. The purified chromatin was diluted with chromatin immunoprecipitation dilution buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors) and immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C using 2–4 μg of anti-Hes1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) or anti-HDAC1 (Upstate Biotechnology). Immune complexes were collected with protein G–Sepharose beads, washed thoroughly, and eluted. After protein–DNA cross-linking was reversed and the DNA was purified, the presence of selected DNA sequences was assessed by PCR. PCR products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel and quantified with ImageJ. (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html).

dRNA assays. Targeted sequences for the Hes1 siRNA duplex or nonspecific siRNA duplex were synthesized by Invitrogen. Duplex oligonucleotides were transfected using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) at a ratio of 10–20 pmol of RNA to 1.5 μg of Lipofectamine in serum-free, antibiotic-free media. Media was changed after 4–6 h, and experiments were done 36 h after transfection. The target sequence for the Hes1 siRNA was 5′-CGACGACGGCAAAACAAA′ (74). The target sequence for the RXRα siRNA was 5′-AAGCAGUAUGAGGUGAAG-3′ (75).

Histology. For hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, liver samples were fixed in formalin for 48 h. H&E stainings were done by the University of California, Los Angeles Tissue Procurement Core Laboratory (TPCL). For oil red O staining, liver samples were snap frozen in OCT compound (Sakura Finetek), and frozen tissue sections were made by TPCL. Oil red O staining was done in accordance with manufacturer’s protocol (Diagnostic BioSystems). In brief, slides were placed in propylene glycol for 2 min, followed by oil red O staining for 6 min at 60°C. Slides were washed, and tissue was differentiated in 85% propylene glycol for 1 min, followed by modified Mayer’s hematoxylin staining for 1 min. Slides were again extensively washed, and a coverslip was added with an aqueous mounting medium.

Online supplemental material. Fig. S1 shows repression of hepatic nuclear receptor target genes by poly(I:C)/VSV. Fig. S2 shows RXRα expression levels by Western blot in RXRα-expressing cell lines, compared with their controls. Fig. S3 depicts poly(I:C) repression of PCN-induced UGTA1A6 mRNA and ASA induction of PXR/RXR target genes. Fig. S4 depicts poly(I:C) potentiation of ASA-induced mitochondrial damage. Figs. S1–S4 are available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20060929/DC1.
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