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Abstract: Biomimetic micro- and nano-structures have attracted considerable interest over the last decades for various applications ranging from optics to life sciences. The complex nature of the structures, however, presents significant challenges for fabrication and their application in real-life settings. Nanoimprint lithography could provide an interesting opportunity in this respect. This article seeks to provide an overview of what has already been achieved using nanoscale replication technologies in the field of biomimetics and will aim to highlight opportunities and challenges for nanoimprinting in this respect in order to inspire new research.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Nanoimprinting

Nanoimprint lithography [1–3] is a method to replicate micro- and nanostructures. Since its beginnings in the 1990s [4–6] it has seen a strong increase in popularity and use both in academia and industry.

In a typical nanoimprint process as sketched in Figure 1, a nanopatterned stamp is brought into contact with a substrate that is coated with a liquid polymer. As soon as the stamp is in good contact with the substrate and the polymer has filled all the cavities of the stamp, the polymer is hardened. This can be achieved typically either by UV-curing (for UV-curable polymers), which is then called UV-NIL, or by cooling down below the glass transition temperature (for thermoplastic materials), which is then called hot embossing. As soon as the imprint material is hardened, the stamp can be removed and the nanopattern has been copied. The hardened polymer can now be used further in a semiconductor-type of process to transfer the pattern into the substrate, e.g., by reactive ion etching, or the polymer already represents the final structure, e.g., a diffractive optical element.

The stamp that is used in such a nanoimprint process is typically a negative copy of a master structure. This is done to keep the master safe and limit the number of replication processes with the master directly. Assuming that with a single stamp 100 imprints can be made and 100 stamps can be replicated from the master, in total 10,000 imprints can be made from a single master. In many cases the stamp can be used many times before it needs replacement [7,8].

Nanoimprint lithography combines several features which make it very interesting for numerous applications. In the following sections these characteristics are briefly discussed and exemplary references are given.

1.1.1. High Resolution

Nanoimprint lithography has been shown to be able to achieve very high resolutions at the 10 nm level and below [9–13]. A key aspect in this context is that no complex imaging optics is needed to achieve this using nanoimprinting.

1.1.2. Direct Patterning of Complex and Hierarchical Structures

Nanoimprinting is able to perform single-step patterning of multilevel or hierarchical patterns or patterns with very different dimensions. If the right stamp (and master) is avail-
able it is possible to fabricate in a single imprinting step structures of very different lateral dimensions [14,15], of different vertical dimensions with multiple height levels [16–18], or curved surfaces [19] and hierarchical structures [14,20–22]. It is also possible to perform nanoimprinting on complex curved objects [23–26]. Figure 2 shows an example for a pattern that combines features on different length scales which can be fabricated using nanoimprinting in a single step. To fabricate the master structure, first the pattern with the larger length scale was replicated using NIL, then—on top of this pattern—the short periodicity grating pattern was nanoimprinted. This pattern was then used as a master for stamp fabrication to be able to imprint the complex pattern in one single imprinting step. Details can be found in reference [14].

**Figure 1.** Sketch of an ultraviolet (UV)-based nanoimprint process. From **Left** to **Right:** the stamp is brought in close vicinity of the substrate, which is coated with a UV-curable resin (imprint material), before bringing it into direct contact with the substrate. While still in contact, the resin is hardened using UV-light (**Center**). Finally, the stamp can be removed (**Right**) and the pattern has been copied from the stamp to the now solid resin.

**Figure 2.** Atomic force microscope image of an example for single step nanoimprinting of complex structures, process details are reported in [14]. Reprinted from Ref. [14].

1.1.3. Direct Patterning of Functional Materials

While for conventional optical lithography typically a pattern transfer is necessary, in nanoimprinting a functional material can be patterned directly, thus reducing the number of process steps significantly (e.g., [27–29]). Such functional materials can serve optical properties (such as for diffractive optical elements) [30–34] or can directly act as microfluidic channels [35–39] or substrates for further processing [40,41], where the nanoimprinted material remains a functional component of the final device. Also, organic semiconductors have directly been imprinted [42], sol-gel materials [7,43], even silicon [44] or metals and ceramics [45].
1.1.4. Cost-Effective Large-Area Patterning

Nanoimprinting is possible on wafer scale [16] even on display sized panels [46–49] and in large area roller-based processes [50–56]. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the roll-to-plate UV-nanoimprint (R2P UV-NIL) tool at PROFACTOR. Cost-effectiveness and suitability for production of nanoimprinting has been addressed in many publications, e.g., [57–61].

Figure 3. Roll-to-plate UV nanoimprint (R2P UV-NIL) tool in the cleanroom at PROFACTOR.

1.1.5. Different Types of Nanoimprinting

To avoid misunderstandings, a short clarification regarding the term “nanoimprinting” and explanations of which types of nanoimprinting will be dealt with in this article should be given here. As already briefly mentioned in the beginning, the focus will be on nanoimprinting methods where material on the surface of a substrate is displaced and shaped into the desired topography. This includes the following variants:

UV-NIL—UV-based or UV-curing nanoimprinting, using a material that is hardened by UV-radiation. (e.g., SmartNIL® [46], J-FIL [62], SCIL [63], STU® simultaneous thermal and UV [64]);

T-NIL—thermal NIL, using a material that can be thermally cured (e.g., SCIL [63]), sometimes also called thermally curing NIL;

HE—Hot embossing, using a thermoplastic material [5]. It should be noted here that nanoimprinting using thermoplastic materials is sometimes also called thermal NIL in literature. The reader should be aware of this possible mixup between thermal and thermally curing NIL;

Reversal NIL—all of the aforementioned variants can in principle also be performed in a reversal way, meaning that the stamp is coated instead of the substrate [65].

This article will not cover molecular imprinting and micro contact printing. Micro contact printing (µCP) [66–68] or nano contact printing (nCP) is a method to deposit for example thin layers or monolayers of biomolecules on surfaces. Also, here the technical challenges and the solutions applied are often similar between nanoimprinting and µCP (e.g., large-area homogeneous contact between stamp and substrate), but the final result is rather different, e.g., a glass surface with a regular protein pattern.

This article will, therefore, focus on nanoimprinting methods that mechanically modify a polymer surface to generate a biomimetic topographical pattern. The polymer might
have some specific chemical properties, which are however typically not modified during the nanoimprinting process.

For many examples mentioned in this paper, casting processes have also been used to replicate the biomimetic features. In contrast to pure casting processes, nanoimprinting aims at creating the final pattern in a thin film on top of a substrate. So, in general a casting process would not be considered to be a nanoimprint process (although casting is often used to fabricate PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) stamps from nanostructured master structures). Not only, but especially, in the context of biomimetics very interesting results have also been obtained by replica casting. Therefore, these will also be referred to in this paper.

1.2. Biomimetics

Biomimetics is the use of solutions nature has found in the course of evolution to all kinds of challenges in engineering sciences. Studying how nature addresses different problems starting from mechanical challenges in tree branches and bones of animals to creating colours on butterfly wings can give insights into problem-solving strategies, that are typically resource efficient and have been optimized for millions of years. Many examples will be mentioned below, but the list is not exhaustive. There many excellent reviews dealing with biomimetics and the reader is referred to them for further reading, e.g., [69-72].

The use of biomimetics for sensors is discussed in, e.g., [73]. Material design for composite materials [74] or antifouling materials [75] has been discussed as well as its use in additive manufacturing [76]. Many other examples could be mentioned here. This paper will also only focus on surface effects that are accessible using nanoimprint lithography.

2. Nanoimprinting and Biomimetics

Suitability of Nanoimprinting

Due to the often complex nature of the structures designed by nature, nanoimprinting is a technology well suited to the replication of such structures. If there is a master available, in general you can readily replicate the nanostructures. The challenge of master fabrication will be discussed in the next paragraph. The fact that you can—in a single processing step—replicate complex hierarchical structures using nanoimprinting is especially helpful in the context of biomimetic structures. The interest in nanoimprinting in combination with biomimetics has been growing steadily over the last few years, which is illustrated in Figure 4, which plots the number of publications found when searching for “nanoimprint biomimetics” on Google scholar [77] per year.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Number of publications found in Google scholar by searching for “nanoimprint biomimetics” per year as of December 2021.
3. Functionalities

In this section, nanoimprint results to achieve different functionalities based on biomimetic structures will be presented. The results will not be discussed in detail, which is undertaken in the respective papers, but an overview will be given on what kind of structures have been realized using nanoimprinting. This overview can only try to mention many interesting papers, but cannot be complete in any sense. The order in which the topics and individual papers are presented does not imply ranking in any sense. A review of biomimetic structures for functional applications is given in, e.g., [78].

3.1. Optics

Many applications of nanoimprint processes in research and industry belong to the field of optics. Here, the combination of directly patterning a functional material and creating a complex shape in one single patterning step often plays an important role and is therefore well suited for nanoimprinting.

3.1.1. Structural Colors

Structural colors are colors that are not based on pigments but on nanostructured materials [79–82]. They can be found in insects [83,84] like butterflies [85–87] and beetles, spiders [88,89], birds [86,90,91] and fish [92]. Constructive and destructive interference, diffraction and randomness come together to achieve a unique color impression.

Figure 5 (left) shows a cross section of a nanoscale element that can be found on the wings of the Morpho butterfly. It is elongated in the y-direction together with other such elements responsible for the metallic blue appearance of the butterfly. Saito et al. have been working intensively on structural colors [82], and especially also on the Morpho butterfly. The blue color of this insect’s wings has drawn a lot of attention. The mechanisms behind the color generation are discussed in [93]. In [94,95] they describe how depositing a multilayer stack on a substrate that has been prepatterned using nanoimprinting can mimic the color of the butterfly. Such structures can even be transformed into pigments and added to a lacquer, e.g., for automotive applications [96].

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Sketch of a cross section of the tree-like nanostructures found on the wings of Morpho blue butterflies responsible for the metallic blue color. **Left:** exemplary sketch of the naturally occurring structure, **Right:** artificial design, that can be replicated using nanoimprinting.

Also the multilevel undercut nanostructures have been directly replicated using nanoimprinting [97], see Figure 6. The authors could demonstrate that it is possible to replicate a nanoimprint stamp from an undercut master and to use those stamps in a UV-based nanoimprinting process again. The crucial enabling factor to allow for successful nanoimprinting of such structures is finding the right material with suited mechanical properties. The mastering was done using optical or e-beam lithography and reactive ion etching on a substrate containing a multilayer stack of Si/SiO$_2$ to provide the material contrast for selective etching [98].

Zobl et al. [99] could show that it is also possible to directly use the butterfly wing as the original structure and to create a stamp and a positive epoxy cast from such a structure showing all the biological details of the wing. Also, Huang et al. [100] used
natural butterfly structures for creating a biomimetic replica, but employed a process that destroys the natural master structure.

Figure 6. Left: master structure for Morpho butterfly-inspired nanostructures. Center: Stamp replicated from such a master. Right: imprinted structures using such a stamp. Details on mastering and the imprinting process can be found in [97, 98] respectively. Reprinted from Ref. [97] and Ref. [98].

The structural colors on rose petals have been investigated in [101]. The optical effects of the surface structure without the pigments could be investigated in the polymer replicas, which were prepared by solvent assisted casting [102].

3.1.2. Antireflection Structures

The nanostructures found on the eyes of certain insects especially on moths have a distinct antireflection effect. The structures were reported in the 1960s [103, 104] and the optical properties have been investigated (e.g., [105]). Today moth-eye inspired structures are commercially used for different applications (e.g., [106–109]). An array of conically shaped structures (see Figure 7) with a periodicity of around 200 nm a height of 200 nm creates a layer with an effective graded refractive index greatly reducing the reflectivity of the surface [110]. It is suspected that the reduction of reflections on the insects’ eyes hides them from predators at night and additionally improves night vision [110].

Figure 7. Moth-eye antireflective structures Left: Sketch of natural structures, Right: artificial idealized structure.

Nanoimprinting of such structures has been reported on various substrates often with the motivation of increasing the performance of photovoltaic cells or for display applications. Broadband moth-eye antireflection coatings fabricated by low-cost nanoimprinting have been reported in [111]. Waver scale soft roller-based UV-NIL was utilized in this publication. Roll-to-plate UV-NIL with a nickel mold was also used in [112] to fabricate moth eye structures on polycarbonate (PC) substrates. For flexible display applications also the mechanical stability of the nanostructures is important. Tan et al. [113] used a UV-curable hardcoat material to prepare moth-eye structures on a flexible polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and triacetyl cellulose (TAC) substrates. Polymer templates, which were obtained from nickel masters in a hot-embossing process, were used in [114] to fabricate moth-eye antireflective structures on glass substrate in a UV-NIL process. R2R UV-NIL was used by Burghoorn et al. in [115] to create moth-eye structures in OrmoComp® on foils. Hot embossing was used in [116] to prepare antireflection structures in PMMA.

In contrast to the papers mentioned so far, where a functional coating was nanostructured using nanoimprinting, Boden and Bagnall [117] used hot-embossing nanoimprinting, lift-off and subsequent etching to transfer the moth-eye pattern into a silicon.
In [118] anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) membranes were directly used as stamps with HSQ as imprint material on glass. The AAO stamps were etched away after imprinting. An AAO mold was also used in [119] by Zhang et al. in a R2R UV-NIL process to fabricate moth-eye structures on PET substrates. An etched glassy carbon layer was used as a stamp in a UV-NIL process by Yano et al., in [120].

Moth-eye structures on curved surfaces were reported in [23]. Here the possibility to nanoimprint on curved objects was used to directly imprint moth-eye structures on lenses. Figure 8 shows an example of such a process, where the substrate was a full hemisphere. Biomimetic antireflective structures have also been fabricated on microlens arrays [121]. Xie et al. [33] present a single step UV-NIL process, which replicates microlenses including moth-eye structures.

![Figure 8. Photograph of a polymer hemisphere with moth-eye structures nanoimprinted on top. The high periodicity leads to diffraction colors when viewed under large angles. Details of the fabrication process can be found in [23].](image)

Although not strictly spoken a nanoimprint process also the paper of Schulte et al. [122] has to be mentioned here. It presents a replication technique based on [123] and reflection measurement results of different plant surfaces. Complex surface structures of plants such as *Ficus elastica, Chrysanthemum leucanthemum* or *Tulipa gesneriana* were replicated.

The following table briefly summarizes the main features of the processes reported in the aforementioned publications. As can be seen, many approaches lead to interesting results, which shows that the flexibility of nanoimprinting is an important aspect for potential applications.

Table 1 gives an overview of functionalities inspired by natural sources also indicating the type of nanoimprint process used. In this table and also in the following tables the features are indicated as “artificial” or “natural”. “Natural” means that the master was directly taken from nature, e.g., a rose petal, while “artificial” micro-/nano features are fabricated in a lab using some micro-/or nanostructuring technology like electron beam lithography. A brief overview of these mastering technologies is given in Section 3.6.

| Functionality          | Inspiration | Features  | Replication Process            | References |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|
| Structural colors      | Morpho butterfly | artificial | UV-NIL | [94,95] |
|                        |             | artificial | UV-NIL | [97] |
|                        |             | natural    | epoxy casting | [99] |
|                        | rose        | natural    | solvent assisted casting | [101,102] |
| Antireflection structures | moth-eye    | artificial | UV soft roller nanoimprint | [111] |
|                        |             |            | R2P UV-NIL | [112,115] |
|                        |             |            | R2R UV-NIL | [119] |
|                        |             |            | UV-NIL | [33,120] |
|                        | plants      | natural    | hot embossing | [116,117] |
|                        |             |            | epoxy casting | [122] |
3.2. Surface Interactions with Solids

The surface structure and chemistry of any object or animal plays a crucial role in its interaction with the environment. This can be related to the movement of the animal, to its interaction with water or to its defense against bacteria. Some of the solutions nature has provided in this respect will be mentioned here.

3.2.1. Friction

The skin of certain snakes exhibits interesting friction properties, a frictional anisotropy based on micro-nanostructures [124]. Figure 9 shows an image of the skin of the California King Snake (*Lampropeltis getula californiae*) [124,125]. The structures exhibit a pronounced anisotropy, which is also reflected in the friction properties.

![Figure 9. Scanning electron micrograph of a snake skin surface [124]. The arrow points toward the head of the snake [125]. Reprinted from Ref. [124].](image)

In [126] the authors describe a process where a foil patterned using UV-NIL is used as an inlay for ceramic injection molding to obtain snake-skin inspired structures on a ceramic surface. The respective grey-scale e-beam mastering process is explained in [127]. It could be shown that the desired friction modification could be achieved on a ceramic surface. Figure 10 shows an AFM image of the ceramic surface.

![Figure 10. Atomic force micrograph from a ceramic surface with snake skin inspired patterns. Process details can be found in [126].](image)

Also, polymeric surfaces have been investigated. Snake skin-inspired surfaces fabricated by a moulding technique [128] have been shown to exhibit interesting and anisotropic friction properties in, e.g., [124,129].

Yoon et al. [130] as well as Burton et al. [131] and Singh et al. [132,133] used hot embossing to modify polymeric surfaces and assess the friction properties. The background to their work is the study of MEMS-related tribological questions. The natural inspiration was taken from the lotus leaf, however an artificial structure was created. In their work Yoon et al. use different nanoimprint processing conditions to modify the final geometry of the pattern and investigate the influence on the friction.

Direct copying from a natural master structures was attempted in [134] and [135]. Different plants were investigated (among them the lotus) and a significant reduction of the friction coefficient was observed in the PMMA replicas.
3.2.2. Adhesion

The special properties of the Gecko foot have been of interest since the beginning of the 20th century [136,137] and there have been multiple attempts to mimic the behavior of Gecko feet. The working principles and properties of the Gecko-adhesion are discussed, e.g., in [138,139]. An overview of hierarchical bio-inspired adhesive surfaces is given in [140].

An approach to the development of a synthetic Gecko tape is described by Davies et al. in [141]. The authors use photolithography to fabricate a master structure and nano moulding to create the adhesive hair structures in silicon rubber creating a mushroom-shaped structure, as shown in Figure 11a. Similar structures are reported in [142]. Kwak et al. [143] demonstrate micropillars with a triangular cross-section also made from PDMS. Hot embossing combined with electrically induced polymer deformation is utilized by Hu et al. in [144] to create different geometries of mushroom-shaped structures made from PMMA (poly methylmethacrylate).

**Figure 10.** Atomic force micrograph from a ceramic surface with snake skin inspired patterns. Process details can be found in [126].

**Figure 11.** Left: Gecko spatula sketch of natural structure, followed by different artificial concepts: (a) mushroom shape, (b) mushroom shape with nanostructures, (c) hair without cap structure but nanostructured top (hierarchical structure). Indicative scale bar only. Natural as well as realized artificial structures vary in dimensions.
PDMS casting is also used in [145] by Wang et al., However, they also create mushrooms with a nano rough top, similar to what is shown in Figure 11b, by performing their molding process on a nanostructured substrate.

Raut et al. use a sacrificial-layer mediated hot embossing nanoimprinting method to fabricate Gecko-inspired dry adhesives [146] from polycarbonate sheets and demonstrated it in a climbing device. Their structures resemble the image in Figure 11c. In Terashima et al. [147] a structure like this is replicated using UV-NIL based on a master structure fabricated by high-resolution two-photon polymerization (2PP). Based on a porous anodic alumina (PAA) template Ho et al. [148] used a variant of hot embossing—capillary force lithography [149]—to fabricate hierarchical Gecko-structures in polycarbonate (PC).

Table 2 provides an overview of functionalities, inspiration source and NIL process used in the context of biomimetic surfaces, which influence the interaction between solids.

| Functionality       | Inspiration     | Features  | NIL Process   | References   |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| Friction modification| snake skin      | artificial| UV-NIL        | [126]        |
|                     |                 |           | epoxy casting | [124,129]    |
|                     | lotus leaf      | artificial| hot embossing | [130–132]    |
|                     |                 |           | hot embossing | [134,135]    |
| Adhesion            | gecko foot      | artificial| silicone casting | [141,143,145] |
|                     |                 |           | hot embossing | [144,146,148] |
|                     |                 |           | UV-NIL        | [147]        |

3.3. Surface Interaction with Liquids

For many animals and plants, it is a matter of survival to adequately handle liquids that contact their surface. Therefore, it is not surprising that many different surface structures have evolved to address different challenges, such as how to repel water to achieve self-cleaning, how to guide and harvest water in desert regions, or how to reduce drag when moving in water.

3.3.1. Repelling of Liquids

The most famous example for a self-cleaning surface is the lotus leaf [150]. However, many other plants and animals also exhibit superhydrophobic surfaces or at least surfaces with a very high contact angle of water [151,152] and applications and the fabrication of such surfaces has generated much interest [153–159].

Hwang et al. [160] used PDMS casting from the backside of bamboo leaves to create a stamp for UV-based NIL. They compared different fabrication procedures for the stamp to achieve an optimized imprint performance. In [43] Saison et al. also used PDMS stamps copied from natural lotus leaves and butterfly wings to create superhydrophobic surfaces in sol-gel materials. A UV-curing polyurethane acrylate (PUA) stamp material was used to obtain stamps from a natural rose petal to imprint into a UV-curable nanoimprint material by Choo et al. [161]. Yang and coworkers [162] used nanoimprinting on wood and a rose petal as master structure to create superhydrophobic wood surfaces. In [163] the authors coated the lotus leaves they used as master structures with inorganic films to directly use them as nanoimprint stamps in a hot-embossing process.

Starting from simple artificial structures, Sun at al. varied the process conditions during a hot-embossing process to obtain a broad range of different biomimetic hierarchical structures [164]. Also, preparing artificial biomimetic structures using induced wrinkling, Li et al. [165] presented a work with a focus on large-area R2R NIL fabrication of biomimetic surfaces for superhydrophobicity.

Moth-eye structures—often primarily investigated for their antireflection properties—also exhibit superhydrophobicity. Sun et al. present this aspect in their paper [112]. This is also highlighted in [166] by Kim et al. Zhang et al. [167] presented a combined UV and
thermal NIL approach to fabricate antireflective and superhydrophobic sol-gel structures on glass substrates.

The combination of hot embossing and self-induced wrinkling to create superhydrophobic structures in poly (hydroxyethyl methacrylate) films is reported in [168].

The surface structures of different plant surfaces have been replicated by moulding by Koch et al., in [123] and the contact angles have been investigated.

A concept related to superhydrophobic surfaces is so-called SLIPS (slippery liquid infused porous surfaces) [169]. Nanoimprinting of such biomimetic surfaces is shown in [165]. Li et al., use roll-to-roll nanoimprinting on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) films as substrates.

Anti-fogging and anti-icing properties are often investigated in association with superhydrophobicity. In [121] this is shown by Kaut et al., for the example of microlenses with moth-eye structures. They use two consecutive nanoimprint steps to achieve their goals. The fabrication of biomimetic polymer nancone films based on a hexagonally packed alumina taper-nanopore template is shown in [170]. There, Zhang et al., demonstrate the importance of the tip geometry to achieve the desired antifogging properties.

A different approach is taken by Li et al. [171], who use nanoimprinting to create moth-eye structures on PMMA but coat them with silica nanoparticles to make the surface superhydrophobic, which also results in anti-fogging properties of the surface. Anti-icing of hot embossed FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene) films is investigated by Durrett et al., in [172].

A review of bio-inspired antifogging materials was undertaken by Han et al. in [173] for further reading.

Omniphobicity is often associated with reentrant or undercut structures. Hensel et al. [174] present biologically inspired omniphobic surfaces by reverse imprint lithography. Structures such as those sketched in Figure 12c are arranged in a regular array and interconnected with each other. The inspiration for these complex structures was taken from the structures found on the skin of springtails (Collembola) [175,176].

![Figure 12. Sketches of some examples of biomimetic structures, (i) natural lotus leaf, (ii) rose petal, (a-c) artificial structures mimicking lotus leaves, moth eyes and springtail structures. Scale-base is only indicative.](image)

3.3.2. Liquid Transport

In nature there is not only the need for non-wetting surfaces, but also surfaces that direct water or other liquids in a desired direction. Lifka et al. [177] present a short overview of passive, unidirectional fluid transport and an optimized surface structure for passive, unidirectional fluid transport. Zhang et al. [178] provide a review of this interesting phenomenon of unidirectional transport of liquids induced by bioinspired micro-nanostructures.

Based on the structures found in pitcher plants [179], Peng and Wu describe a droplet array generated by roller nanoimprint in [180]. They use PDMS stamps with the biomimetic structures as microfluidic chips to entrap droplets between the stamp and the substrate. In a R2R UV-curing process they seal the chip with the polymer substrate, trapping single droplets making use of the special behavior of the liquid on the biomimetic surface.
3.3.3. Drag Reduction

The skin of sharks exhibits interesting drag-reduction properties (along with anti-biofouling and antimicrobial properties) [181,182]. Figure 13 shows SEM images of epoxy replicas [181] of real shark skin.

![Figure 13. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of shark skin replica. Arrow point in swimming direction of the shark [181]. Reprinted from Ref. [181].](image)

Pu et al. [183] also prepared direct copies from real shark skin using PDMS moulds and polyurethane. In [184] Han et al. report the use of hot embossing to replicate natural shark skin. They also prepared a large-area surface by stitching several replicated samples together for measurements of the drag reduction effect.

Roller-based UV-NIL of sharkskin structures is presented in [185] by Chen et al. In their paper they propose a UV-NIL tool, that could directly perform the patterning on the surface of ships and measure the drag reduction properties.

Comprehensive reviews on recent advances in microstructured surfaces and their applications for drag reduction are presented in [158,186].

Table 3 briefly summarizes some examples where nanoimprinting was used to achieve bio-inspired surfaces that influence the interaction with liquids.

**Table 3.** Examples for the use of nanoimprinting to fabricate surfaces that influence the interaction with liquids.

| Functionality         | Inspiration | Features  | NIL Process   | Ref  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|------|
| Repelling of liquids  | lotus       | natural   | thermal NIL   | [43] |
|                       | bamboo      | natural   |                |      |
|                       | rose        | natural   |                |      |
|                       | artificial  |           | hot embossing  | [163]|
|                       | pitcher plants | artificial | R2R UV-NIL   | [165]|
|                       | butterfly   | natural   | thermal NIL   | [43] |
|                       | moth-eye    | artificial | R2R UV-NIL   | [112]|
|                       |             |           | hot embossing | [166,172]|
| Liquid guidance       | springtail  | artificial | reversal      | [174]|
| Drag reduction        | pitcher plant | artificial | R2R          | [180]|
|                       | shark skin  | natural   | hot embossing | [184]|
|                       |             |           | epoxy/PU casting | [182]|
|                       |             |           | UV-NIL        | [185]|

3.4. Life Sciences

An interesting area of applications for nanoimprinting are the life sciences, where NIL is used for the fabrication of microfluidic devices (e.g., [187] or [188]) as well as substrates for cell growth. For the latter in particular, biomimetic structures are used and will be
discussed in Section 3.4.1. Another application where biomimetic structures are often used are antimicrobial or anti-biofouling surfaces. Examples for nanoimprinting of such structures are given in Section 3.4.2 below.

3.4.1. Cell Growth

In contrast to all surfaces mentioned so far, the micro-/nanostructures relevant for cell growth are typically not easily accessible, since they cannot be found on the outer surface of animals or plants. The micro-nanostructured surfaces employed for cell growth, therefore, are in many cases artificial and the research is aimed at understanding how different substrate micro-/nanotopographies influence the growth, proliferation or differentiation of different cells. The structures investigated in this field of research are not biomimetic in the sense that, e.g., the structure found on the moth-eyes is used for antireflective coatings on solar panels, but biomimetic as they try to mimic the natural biological environment of the cells, or at least some aspects of it, to better understand the cells. This is a very large field of research, just considering the large number of different organs and cells of interest in the human body [189,190], which potentially exhibit different behavior on the same surface. There are numerous reviews available dealing with how micro- and nanostructures influence cell behavior (e.g., [191,192]) and only selected nanoimprint related examples can be mentioned here briefly.

For the control of cell-growth, chemical patterning of surfaces is interesting (see, e.g., [193,194]), which falls into the domain of nCP or µCP, which will not be covered here.

Using hot embossing it is possible to directly pattern standard substrates like tissue culture polystyrene. This has been demonstrated by Hu et al. [195] and the influence of the imprinted structures on the growth of bovine pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells was investigated. High aspect ratio pillar arrays with an aspect ratio of up to 5 were hot embossed in polycarbonate by Viela et al. [196]. They tested C17.2 cells (mouse multipotent neural progenitor or stem-like cells) and found that different patterns triggered very different responses. In another paper dealing with stem cells, Wu et al. [197] used hot embossing of polycaprolactone (PCL) to prepare their substrates for cell growth. Also in this work, differences in nanotopography led to changes in morphology and cytoskeletal structure, which in turn influenced cell aggregation and cell differentiation.

Double-sided nanoimprinting is presented in [198] by Seunarina et al. for the hot-embossing fabrication of 3D polymer scaffolds for tissue engineering.

Randomly organized nanostructures mimicking the irregular distribution of natural collagen fibers were replicated by hot embossing and transferred into gold structures in [199] to improve growth of neurons. PCL membranes were used as substrates for cell growth by Monteiro et al. [200]. The stamp is copied from a natural leaf (Rubus fruticosus, a blackberry species) and exhibits complex hierarchical structures.

An especially interesting application is the surface structuring of implants to improve the biocompatibility, bone ingrowth etc. Titanium is a material that is often used for implants [201,202]. In [203] Domanski et al. present results of a cytotoxicity study of cells on nanopatterned titanium surfaces. Nanostructuring was achieved by hot embossing and subsequent reactive ion etching into the substrate. Although the results presented were obtained on flat titanium, nanoimprinting is capable of patterning on curved surfaces (e.g., [26]).

Direct imprinting of titanium using ultra-nanocrystalline diamond stamps is presented by Greer et al. [204]. They used an anodization step to lower the required imprint force and can use a roller-type of imprinting process to pattern a titanium rod.

3.4.2. Antimicrobial and Anti-Biofouling Surfaces

Somehow the opposite of providing a hospitable environment for cells is establishing an antimicrobial surface, aiming at killing bacteria, inactivating viruses, making it unattractive for cells to reside on a surface.
Surfaces to achieve this have been artificially engineered (e.g., [205]) but more direct biomimetic approaches have also been followed (e.g., [206]). In this context, the needle-like structures on cicada or dragonfly wings in particular have attracted considerable interest, but also the moth-eye structure and the skin of sharks. An overview of different approaches is given in [207].

In [208] Zhang et al., used natural cicada wings as nanoimprint stamps for hot embossing. Reid et al. [209] used UV-curing PEG to copy the structures of natural cicada wings two times to obtain the positive structure in the end again. They found the antimicrobial effect also in the PEG replicas.

Antibacterial effects of the artificial surface of nanoimprinted moth-eye film were investigated by Minoura et al. [210]. They find that moth-eye surfaces fabricated using UV-NIL in UV-curable PEG exhibit significant antibacterial effects when tested with Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Similar findings also on UV-NIL fabricated moth-eye films are reported in [211]. Low adhesion of E. coli was also observed on SLIPS surfaces fabricated by nanoimprinting [165].

Pu et al. [183] present anti-biofouling results on copies of real shark skin with respect to the adhesion of algae. Bioinspired photocatalytic shark-skin surfaces with antibacterial and antifouling properties are reported in [212] by Arisoy et al. They used UV or IR curing nanoimprinting of a nanoimprint material that contains titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles. The formation of Staphylococcus aureus biofilms on shark-skin inspired PDMS surfaces was investigated in [213]. The shark-skin inspired surface significantly reduced biofilm formation. In [214] May et al. showed the effectiveness of shark skin-inspired PDMS patterns in reducing the biofilm formation on endotracheal tubes. Using real shark-skin as master structure for a UV-NIL process is reported in [185]. Chen et al. tested the surfaces for their anti-fouling performance and found that the biomimetic shark-skin surface exhibits very good properties in this respect.

Table 4 gives an overview of some exemplary publications in which nanoimprinting was used to fabricate biomimetic surfaces for life sciences applications.

| Functionality     | Inspiration                   | Features | NIL Process     | References |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| cell growth       | collagen fibers               | natural  | hot embossing   | [199]      |
| tissue engineering| Rubus fruticosus leaves       | natural  | UV-NIL          | [210,211]  |
| antibacterial     | moth-eye                      | natural  | UV-NIL          | [209]      |
|                   | cicada wing                   | natural  | UV-NIL          | [185]      |
|                   | shark skin                    | natural  | PDMS and PU casting | [212] |
|                   |                               | artificial | UV-NIL        | [213]      |

3.5. Energy Applications

The application of biomimetic structures for energy applications should be mentioned here separately, although the basic effects have already been discussed before, since addressing the challenges of the climate crisis is of high importance. Providing clean renewable energy is one of the important challenges of coming years [215–217].

Nosonovsky and Bhushan [218] provide an overview of the multiscale effect relevant on biomimetic surfaces and how they could be used for energy conversion and green engineering.

The efficient light management and self-cleaning properties of natural surfaces such as those of the rose petal are interesting for photovoltaic applications. Fritz et al. [219] not only investigate the replication process from natural rose petals but also address the important aspect of upscaling. They use hot embossing and stitching to create larger area rose petal structures and investigate the performance of CIGS (copper indium gallium diselenide) photovoltaic cells equipped with such foils.
Deniz et al. [118] prepared moth-eye inspired sol-gel layers for anti-reflective applications in photovoltaics. AAO membranes were used as stamps directly. PDMS stamps and PMMA as imprint material were used in [220] by Chen et al., The aim in this work was also the fabrication of anti-reflective surfaces for photovoltaics. The benefits of biomimetic light harvesting and anti-reflection and self-cleaning are also relevant for organic photovoltaics as demonstrated by Chen et al. [221]. Moth-eye nanostructures were directly nanoimprinted into functional layers of the photovoltaic device.

The lotus leaf effect is utilized to prepare a robust triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG) by Choi et al. [222]. The lotus leaf TENG performs better than the flat reference TENG. Furthermore, the superior self-cleaning capability is relevant for the application.

In [223], Back et al., fabricated periodic nanostructures from high-temperature stable sol–gel material using UV-based nanoimprinting for light management in solar cells.

Table 5 briefly summarizes the processes and functionalities mentioned in this section.

| Functionality                  | Inspiration | Features          | NIL Process     | Reference |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| antireflection and light       | moth-eye    | artificial        | reversal NIL    | [220]     |
| management for photovoltaics  | artificial  | room temperature  | thermal NIL     | [118]     |
|                               |             | embossing         |                 | [221]     |
|                               | rose petal  | natural           | hot embossing   | [219]     |
|                               | artificial  | UV-NIL            |                 | [223]     |
| current generation            | lotus leave | natural           | hot embossing   | [222]     |

3.6. Mastering of Biomimetic Structures

In general, mastering is a critical step for nanoimprinting. The replicated structure cannot be better than the original structure. For biomimetic structures there are two approaches: you can try to replicate the nanostructure directly from what you find in nature or you can try to artificially recreate the structures. In the tables above, it has already been pointed out where natural or artificial masters have been used.

While natural masters represent the “perfect” natural micro- or nanostructure, they are typically not flat on a millimeter or even larger scale or available in a large area at all. Furthermore, they cannot be adapted to any nanoimprint process-related needs, e.g., if structure dimensions have to be adapted to the materials used. Therefore, artificial masters are often created.

An example for this challenge is presented in [126]. Grey-scale electron beam lithography was used for the fabrication of snake skin-inspired structures in [127], since the original snake structures were too small to be used directly in the application with the material necessary there.

Optical and conventional lithography were used in [98] in combination with a multi-layer substrate and reactive ion etching to create Morpho butterfly-inspired structures. However, the randomness necessary to achieve the full optical effect could not be implemented. Colloidal lithography or self-assembly techniques can provide certain randomness, which may or may not be wanted.

The following Table 6 provides an overview of the mastering methods of examples that are mentioned in the previous sections, where artificial structures were used. Details can be found in the respective publications.
Table 6. Examples for mastering methods for artificial biomimetic structures.

| Mastering Technology           | Inspiration | References |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| electron beam lithography     | snake skin  | [127]      |
| optical lithography           | rose petal  | [224]      |
| laser interference lithography | shark skin  | [214]      |
| self-organized etching        | moth-eye    | [116]      |
| nanoparticle self-assembly + etching | moth-eye | [113,220] |
| additive manufacturing        | gecko       | [148,225]  |

4. Discussion

Nanoimprint lithography has been used successfully for the replication of biomimetic structures. The special properties of the NIL process provide interesting opportunities in the context of complex micro- and nanostructured surfaces. Looking at the examples presented above, it can be noted that nanoimprinting has successfully been used for a wide range of biomimetic structures and for a wide range of applications. All types of nanoimprinting have been investigated: hot embossing, UV-NIL, thermal NIL, in a plate-to-plate way or in roller-based processes. This broad flexibility of nanoimprinting in general is very promising, considering the direct application and fabrication of biomimetic structures.

While nanoimprinting is already used in commercial products this is—as far as is known to the author—not the case for nanoimprinting of biomimetic structures (with the exception of moth-eye anti-reflective films (e.g., [111,112,123]). This could be related to challenges associated with the mastering of such complex structures. The mastering and stamp fabrication especially on a large area is a challenge. This is true for the artificial structures created by classical semiconductor technology or self-organized or self-assembled processes as well as for structures copied directly from natural samples. While artificial structures have the potential to be scaled up to large areas for roller-based processes, for example, the complexity of biomimetic structures is challenging. On the other hand, there have been many successful attempts to fabricate stamps directly from natural inspiration, but here it seems even more difficult to achieve large-area masters or stamps, even more so if they have to be stitch-free. Therefore, mastering and large-area stamp fabrication are definitively a challenge for future work.

As far as the applications are concerned, it can be seen that biomimetic structures often exhibit a combination of interesting properties, like anti-reflective and anti-microbial properties combined. This could also be a direction that could open up interesting and promising applications for nanomanufacturing using nanoimprint technology.

While this paper did not aim to give a complete overview of biomimetic effects, it highlighted possibilities and opportunities for nanoimprint processes and hopes to inspire the readers to follow new ideas taking advantage of efficient and robust solutions provided by nature.
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