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ABSTRACT
One of the main concerns of power generation systems around the world is power theft. This research proposes a framework that merges clustering and classification together in order to power theft detection. Due to the fact that most datasets do not have abnormal samples or are few, we have added abnormal samples to the original datasets using artificial attacks to create balance in the datasets and increase the correct detection rate. We improved the crow search algorithm (CSA) and used the weight feature of Crows to improve performance of clustering phase. Also, to create balance between diversification and intensification, we calculated the awareness probability parameter (AP) dynamically at iterations of the algorithm. To evaluate the performance, we used the cross validation technique have used the stacking technique in its training phase. The results of extensive experiments on three reference datasets showed high performance to detect power theft. The evaluation results showed that if the data is collected correctly and sufficiently, this framework can effectively detect power theft in any actual power grid. Also, for new attacks, if their patterns can be detected from the data, it is easily possible to implement these types of attacks.

Keywords:
Classification
Clustering
Crow search algorithm
Machine learning
Power smart grid
Theft detection

1. INTRODUCTION
Smart grid develops the traditional power grid into a new grid where it correlates too many electronic devices to each other through asterisk manager interface (AMI) [1]. In power smart grids, it is important to know about the amount of power consumed by customers in a moment. Because, it is necessary to accurately predict and plan the electricity demand in the future [2]. Power theft has become a major concern in developing countries due to the lack of metering, charges and billing infrastructure because it has imposed very high economic and technical losses [3]. Many research have been done to explore electricity theft using machine learning and data mining technologies through customer consumption patterns, but each has some kind of challenges. One of these challenges is the lack or shortcoming of abnormal consumption samples. For example, there are no or a few abnormal samples for a customer. The presence of unusual and manipulated consumption patterns can make it easier to identify incorrect customers for classification. Thus, the lack of a comprehensive dataset, which includes both normal and abnormal samples, limits the detection rate of theft. That is why a comprehensive and balanced dataset is required. Choosing the type and number of
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classifiers and how to combine them is another challenge of using data mining techniques in the field of power theft detection. Therefore, a power theft detection system that can detect theft attacks is essential.

Many studies have been carried out to detect power theft using data mining techniques through customer consumption patterns, however, each of them has some challenges, as mentioned before. In research [4], Feng et al. used an electrical theft detection method based on local matrix reconstruction (LMR) was presented and five daily load characteristics to replace the high load amplitude daily load curves. PCA has also been used to calculate the reconstruction of weight reduction errors in a local range. In order to calculate the number of local outliers, the reconstruction error of each sample was compared with neighboring samples, which indicates the degree of abnormality of each sample. In [5], Blazakis and Stavvakis presented a computational method of analysis and identification of customer electricity consumption patterns based on data mining techniques in order to identify unauthorized residential customers. In the mentioned method, principal component analysis (PCA) is combined with mean shift algorithm for different power theft scenarios. In research [6], Zheng et al. presented a density-based power theft detection method in order to find abnormal consumption patterns. The degree of abnormalities in each customer's profile was calculated based on their distance matrix. K-means, gaussian mixture model (GMM) and density spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) techniques were used to evaluate the presented method. In research [7], Park and Kim presented a method based on detecting an abnormal pattern in order to detect power theft from received data of smart meters. The presented method used only normal consumption data for training. Creating abnormal attacks on normal data has made it possible to use the presented method in real situations. The basis for constructing detection model of the outlier data in this research was the k-means clustering technique.

In [8], Singh et al. offered a detection method based on PCA to identify energy theft attacks in AMI. AMI data were reconstructed using principal components and used to construct relative entropy. In the mentioned method, relative entropy was used to measure the similarity between two possible distributions derived from the reconstructed consumption database. Also, by injecting theft attacks into AMI, the distribution of energy consumption probability was deviated from previous consumptions, leading to a larger relative entropy. In [9], Xiao and Ai suggested a data-driven power theft detector based on stochastic matrix theory. One of the main steps of the presented method was to use an auxiliary matrix as a data source that shows the relationship between the power consumer and the operating system modus under abnormal power consumption conditions. In the presented research, personal and regional theft detection algorithms and abnormal consumption pattern signal have been used, the aim of which was to quickly identify areas with high probability of theft and immediate selection of customers suspected of theft. In [10], Jokar et al. used single-class and multi-class support vector machines to discover fraudulent customers. Also, to create a balance in the dataset, non-normal samples are added to the dataset.

In [11], Hasan et al. presented an electrical theft detection system based on the combination of a convolutional neural network (CNN) and a long short-term memory (LSTM) architecture. The dataset of the actual electricity consumption of Chinese customers was used in this article which is available through the state grid corporation of China (SGCC). Synthetic minority over-sampling technique (SMOT) is used to generate synthetic data. In [12], Shuan et al. presented a new forest-based hybrid model and convolutional neural network to help companies to address the problems of inadequate inspections and unusual electricity consumption. The SMOT technique and the CNN-RF model had been used for the generation of synthetic data classification, respectively. They used two SEAI and low carbon London (LCL) datasets to perform the experiments. Because of being harmful electricity theft to electricity providers, the importance of the integrity of information flow and energy flow, as well as the usefulness of analysis of smart grid data in detecting theft, Zheng et al. [13] presented a new deep and wide convolutional neural network modeling method to solve the mentioned challenges. Experiments had been performed on real power consumption data published by SGCC. Due to the importance of damages caused by electricity theft, a new plan needed to be developed that could accurately detect these thefts in complex electricity networks. In [14], Yip et al. used two linear regression based algorithms to investigate the energy consumption behavior of consumers and to evaluate their anomaly coefficients in order to collate the power theft caused by the manipulation of the meter as well as the broken meter.

In research [15], Rezavi offered a feature engineering framework for detecting power theft in smart grids. In this framework, a combination of finite hybrid clustering was used to segment customers and a genetic algorithm was used to identify new features that are suitable for prediction. The gradient boosting algorithm has also been used for evaluation. The aspects of detection accuracy, detection delay, identification of irregular and hidden attacks and computational complexity of the implemented algorithms have been investigated. In research [16], Zhang et al. presented a method based on feature engineering for unsupervised detection of abnormal consumption behavior of customers. The original data set was created by brainstorming in the feature engineering phase. Then the optimal feature set was selected based on variance.
and similarity between features and then in the abnormal detection stage, the density clustering algorithm was used. In research [17], Guerrero et al. suggested a framework and methodology that has been developed by two coordinated modules. The first module was based on a client filtering based on text mining and a complementary neural network. The second module includes classification, regression tree and a self-organizing map (SOM) neural network. This module has tripled the success of inspections. In research [18], Ghasemi and Gitizadeh used the methods of classifying customers’ energy consumption patterns based on probabilistic neural networks and the mathematical model based on the Levenberg-Marquardt method to identify illegal customers. Also, the effect of distributed generation sources on illegal energy consumption has been evaluated and the proposed discovery algorithm has been modified. In research [19], Buzau et al. presented a methodology for detecting non-technical losses using supervised learning. The presented methodology was evaluated on the data of real smart meters of all endesa’s customers. This methodology used all the information recorded by smart meters in order to deeply analyze the consumer behavior of customers. In presented research, auxiliary databases have been used in order to provide additional information about the geographical location and the characteristics of each meter. Several classifiers have been used, and the performance of the boosted gradient trees has been better than the others. In [20], Junior et al. used the optimum-path forest (OPF) clustering algorithm to identify regular and irregular profiles of customers of a Brazilian electricity company. Furthermore; a model for the NTL detection problem as an anomaly detection task in cases where there is insufficient information about unauthorized customers or this information is scarce, and two OPF-based approaches to detect unauthorized customers were presented.

Although many research have been done for theft detection using data mining, the challenges still are there. In this research, in order to strengthen and increase the efficiency of power theft detection, we have presented a framework based on optimal clustering and classification of data. The purpose of applying clustering in this framework is to augment and increase the classification detection rate based on the correlation and similarity that exists between the data of each cluster. We used cluster numbers to label the data. For optimal clustering, the improved crow search algorithm (ICSA) is used in combination with the k-means algorithm. In ICSA algorithm, unlike the basic crow search algorithm (CSA), the movement and new locations of each crow were done based on the weight feature or the attraction power of each crow. The weight feature of Crows increases the power of the CSA algorithm to find the optimal cluster centers. One of the important things to consider when using metaheuristic algorithms is to balance between diversification and intensification. Diversification focuses on producing a variety of solutions to explore the search space on a global scale, and intensification focuses on searching in a local area. Given the importance of this balance, correct adjustment of the parameter AP in the CSA algorithm is important. In the CSA algorithm, the parameter AP has a fixed value for all iterations, but in the ICSA algorithm, this parameter was calculated based on the proportion that exists dynamically between the fitness of each crow and the worst fitness of that iteration, which caused a balance between diversification and intensification. Therefore, the proposed algorithm enabled Crows to balance between global search and its temporary memory to achieve the optimal solution. Stacking technique is a high-level technique to achieve more accuracy that has also been used to model the data. In this technique, the results of a set of different classifiers at 0-level were combined by a Meta classifier at 1-level. Its purpose was to optimally integrate the decisions of the basic classifiers. The K-fold cross validation technique was used to evaluate the performance of the proposed framework and in order to ensure the optimal distribution of classes in random selected subsets of the cross validation technique, the stratified sampling technique was used to select the samples. At level-0 the basic support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression (LR) and k-neighbors classifier (KNN) algorithms were used and at level-1 the naive bayes algorithm due to the nature of flexible non-parametric, providing predictive distribution and simple and effective learning process was used as the meta algorithm.

This research was organized is being as: The PSO algorithm was described in section 2. The CSA algorithm was reviewed in section 3. Section 4 described the ICSA algorithm. The proposed framework for theft detection was described in section 5. Examinations and evaluations were discussed in section 6 and 7 was dedicated to the research conclusions.

2. PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (PSO)

Particle swarm optimization algorithm [21] is one of the important algorithms of collective intelligence that is designed based on the social behavior of birds and has been used to discover patterns in the simultaneous flight of birds, their sudden change of direction and optimal transformation of flocks. In their flight, complex behaviors are visible when moving. Particles learn from each other and move towards their best neighbors based on the knowledge gained. Each particle adjusts its location in the search space according to the best location it has ever been in and the best location in its entire neighborhood. The position of the particles is updated by adding new velocity to the current position. These steps are repeated several
times until the desired answer is obtained. Particles move in n-dimensional space and change their path in search space based on their past experiences and those of other particles. In a group of n particles, the position of the ith particle is affected by a n-dimensional spatial vector according to (1).

\[
X_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{in})
\]

(1)

In addition, the particle i has a velocity vector being as (2):

\[
V_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{in})
\]

(2)

The best position for particle i is obtained is as (3):

\[
P_i = (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \ldots, p_{in})
\]

(3)

Finally, the new position of the particles is obtained using (4) and (5):

\[
V_i(t + 1) = wV_i(t) + c_1r_1(P_i(t) - X_i(t)) + c_2r_2(P_g(t) - X_i(t))
\]

(4)

\[
X_i(t + 1) = X_i(t) + V_i(t)
\]

(5)

where \( g \) is the index used for the particle that has the best position, \( t \) represents the number of repetitions, \( w \) the coefficient of inertia, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) the learning coefficients, \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) the random numbers in the range 0 and 1, which cause a variety of answers. \( c_1 \) is the learning factor related to the personal experiences of each particle and \( c_2 \) is the learning factor related to the total experiences of the group.

3. CROW SEARCH ALGORITHM

Crows are today considered among the most intelligent animals in the world and, watch the other Crows to see where the other Crows are hiding their food. When a Crow leaves it’s location, they steal it’s food. If a Crow has committed theft, it will take extra precautions, such as moving food hideouts to prevent future victim. The Crow search algorithm is based on four principles, namely [22], [23]:

- Crows live in a group.
- Crows remember their secret location.
- Crows follow each other to steal.
- Crows protect their food hideouts from being stolen by a probability.

CSA algorithm is a metaheuristic optimization algorithm, which is based on intelligent behavior of Crow that was described by Askarzadeh [24]. Suppose, there is a \( D \) dimension environment containing some Crows. The number of Crows is \( N \) and the location of the Crow in the iteration \( it \) in the search space is specified by the following vector:

\[
L^{it} = [L^{it}_1, L^{it}_2, \ldots, L^{it}_N]
\]

where \( it_{max} \) is the maximum number of iterations. Each Crow has a memory in which its hideout location is stored. In each iteration, the location of Crow \( j \) is indicated by \( Mem^{it}_j \). This is the best situation that Crow \( i \) has ever achieved. Also, in the memory of each Crow, its best experience is stored. Crows move around and look for better food sources. Suppose that in the iteration \( it \), Crow \( j \) wants to visit his hideout (\( Mem^{it}_j \)), in this iteration, Crow \( j \) decides to follow Crow \( j \) in order to reach the hideout of Crow \( j \). There may be two states:

State 1: Crow \( j \) does not know that Crow \( i \) is following it. As a result, Crow \( i \) reaches the hiding location of Crow \( j \). In this state, the new location of is obtained is being as (6).

\[
L^{it+1}_j = L^{it}_j + r_i \times fl^{it}_j \times (Mem^{it}_j - L^{it}_j)
\]

(6)

Where \( r_i \) is a random number with uniform distribution between 0 and 1, and \( fl^{it}_j \) denotes the flight length of Crow \( j \) in iteration \( it \).

State 2: Crow \( j \) knows that Crow \( i \) is following it. As a result, in order to protect its food hideout from being stolen, Crow \( j \) confuses Crow \( i \) by going to another location of the search space. Taken together, the location \( L^{it+1}_i \) can be formulated is being as:

\[
L^{it+1}_i = \text{Hassan ghaedi}
\]
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\[
L_{i+1}^{it} = \begin{cases} 
L_{i}^{it} + r_j \times f_i^{it} \times (\text{Mem}_{i}^{it} - L_{i}^{it}) & \text{if } r_j > AP_{i}^{it} \\
\text{a random location otherwise} & \end{cases}
\] (7)

Where \( r_j \) is a random number with uniform distribution between 0 and 1 and the parameter of awareness probability \( (AP_{i}^{it}) \) denotes the awareness probability of Crow \( j \) in iteration \( it \). By reducing the probability of awareness, CSA tends to direct the search to a local area that indicates a good solution is found in the area. As a result, by increasing the values of \( AP \), the local search probability of good solutions is reduced and CSA tends to follow the search space on a global scale.

4. IMPROVED CROW SEARCH ALGORITHM (ICSA)

Population-based algorithms increase the probability of finding a good solution and escaping local optimum. Unlike the algorithms PSO, ant colony optimization (ACO), harmony search (HS) and genetic algorithm (GA), which require setting of 4, 4, 3, 6 parameters, respectively, the ICSA algorithm only needs to set 2 parameters. Due to the low diversity of PSO and ACO algorithms and falling into the trap of local optimization as well as the challenge of constantly updating pheromone in the ACO algorithm in order to balance diversification and intensification, we have improved the basis CSA algorithm. In CSA algorithm, each member of population was considered to be a optimal solution, and the food location was the optimal location that a Crow had obtained in its searches. Suppose, the CSA algorithm has an initial population of \( N \) members that each member has a fitness value in terms of the objective function of the problem. Admittedly, any member of the population who has been able to obtain more optimal locations in the search space is more qualified. In other words, any Crow that optimizes the objective function has a greater ability to collect food and hide it. The more optimal Crows or solutions access to more food sources and are closer to the optimal solution. In fact, each Crow or the optimal solution has a greater ability to attract other Crows. Hypotheses of proposed method for improving the Crow search algorithm using weighting mechanism:

- Each Crow is assumed to be a solution to the problem and its fitness value is expressed in terms of the objective function of the problem.
- Each Crow has a fitness weight to be considered by other Crows, where weight is determined by the fitness value of the best and worst population Crows in each iteration.
- Each Crow is considered by the other Crows according to their fitness and weight values.

In each iteration of ICSA algorithm, each Crow that is better in weight than the others is noticed by more Crows in the flock, and the rest of Crows fly to it. This means that in each iteration of the ICSA algorithm, the best Crows (locations) found so far are used to arrive at better solutions. For weight-based searches require the weight of each Crow be determined according to its fitness and here, the weight indicates the importance of a Crow to perform local search around it. To determine the weight of each Crow in iteration \( it \), it is necessary to determine Best and Worst is being as (8, 9).

\[
\text{Best}_{i} = \min_{l=1}^{n} \text{Fit}(\text{Crow}_{i,l})
\] (8)

\[
\text{Worst}_{i} = \max_{l=1}^{n} \text{Fit}(\text{Crow}_{i,l})
\] (9)

where, \( \text{fit} \left( \text{Crow}_{i,l} \right) \) is fitness value of Crow \( i \) in iteration \( it \). Best and Worst variables are the best and worst member of Crows respectively. This weight is calculated in iteration \( it \) according to (10).

\[
w_{i,lt} = \frac{\text{Worst}_{i,lt} - \text{Fit}(\text{Crow}_{i,lt})}{\text{Worst}_{i,lt} - \text{Best}_{i,lt}}
\] (10)

In proposed method, \( N_{max} \) is the number of searches around Crow \( i \) and according to the weight of Crow \( i \), the number of search operations around it is performed according to (11).

\[
S_{\text{Crow}_{i,lt}} = N_{max} \times w_{i,lt}
\] (11)

where, \( S_{\text{Crow}_{i,lt}} \) specifies Crow \( i \) will attract multiple members of the population to search around it.

In this research to increase the detection rate, the parameter \( AP \) is calculated based on fitness value of the candidate Crow. To improve the parameter \( AP \), the idea of Karaboga and Basturk is used [25]. The parameter \( AP \) in iteration \( it \) is calculated is being as (12).

\[
AP_{i,lt} = \frac{\text{Fit}(\text{Crow}_{i,lt})}{\text{Worst}_{i,lt}}
\] (12)
where, $Worst_t$ illustrates the worst fitness value that is seen so-far in iteration $it$. (12) adjusts the $AP$ parameter dynamically.

In fact, it causes the value of $AP$ in the ICSA algorithm not to be unilaterally large or small and always stays in balance. The result of this balance is a balance between intensification and diversity. In fact, the parameter $AP$ causes Crows to seek solutions sometimes in the local area and sometimes in the wider area.

5. THE PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

In this section, a four-phases framework was presented to detect power theft. Figure 1 shows the phases of proposed framework.

– phase 1: Preprocessing

At certain hours of the day, data recording by a smart meter may be disrupted and empty values were recorded. If during a day, the number of empty values was high, the record for that day is removed from the dataset, and in cases where the number of empty values is low, by averaging the values of local neighbors during a day, the new value is replaced. Also, in this phase, data normalization is performed to increase performance. Normalization before clustering is required due to the use of distance metrics such as Euclidean distance that is sensitive to the difference of the attribute scale. In this phase, the min-max normalization [26] method was used to normalize the data.

![Figure 1. The phases of proposed framework](image)

– phase 2: Creating abnormal samples

Since datasets often contain the consumption pattern of normal users, artificial attacks were added in order to balance the datasets and increase the detection accuracy of classifiers. In this phase, artificial attacks were used and abnormal samples of each customer created. Artificial attacks were made using the formulas that are presented in Table 1. For example, the sampling rate in SEAI dataset was computed per hour. Each sample was represented as $x = x_1, \ldots, x_{24}$.

| Attack | Formula | Description |
|--------|---------|-------------|
| $f_1(x_t)$ | $a \times x_t = \text{random}(0.1,0.8)[10]$ | A random constant $a$ is multiplied to all attributes |
| $f_2(x_t)$ | $a \times x_t = \text{random}(0.1,0.8)[10]$ | A random amount is multiplied to each attribute |
| $f_3(x_t)$ | $a \times \text{mean}(x) = \text{random}(0.1,0.8)$ | For each hour, a random amount is multiplied to the average consumption during a day |
| $f_4(x_t)$ | $\text{mean}(x)[10]$ | Average readings a day is sent each hour |
| $f_5(x_t)$ | $x_{24} = [10]$ | Changing the readings of a day |
| $f_6(x_t)$ | $\text{min}(x)$ | For each hour, the lowest daily consumption is sent |
| $f_7(x_t)$ | $a \times \text{mean}(x)$ | For each hour, a random constant $a$ is multiplied to mean(x) |
| $f_8(x_t)$ | $x_t - \text{min}(x)$ | Minimum consumption for whole a day is reduced from each hour |

According to Table 1, for attacks $f_1(\cdot)$ and $f_2(\cdot)$, the customer focuses on deducting the amount of consumption per hour during the day. For attacks $f_3(\cdot)$, $f_4(\cdot)$ and $f_5(\cdot)$, the customer focuses on sending the average consumption during the day. In attack $f_6(\cdot)$, the customer reverses the consumption values in the hours of a day. The basis of attacks $f_7(\cdot)$ and $f_8(\cdot)$ is sending the minimum consumption of a day.
phase 3: Clustering with ICSA

What is the purpose of clustering? The purpose of applying clustering in this framework is to strengthen and increase the classification detection rate based on the correlation and similarity that exists between the data of each cluster. Efficient clustering increases the sensitivity of the classifier for better prediction. In this phase, for optimal clustering, the improved Crow metaheuristic algorithm is used in combination with the K-means algorithm. Unlike CSA algorithm, in ICSA algorithm, the movement and new locations of each Crow are done based on the weight feature or the attraction power of each Crow. Using of the weight feature increases the power of the CSA algorithm to find the optimal cluster centers. The algorithm ICSA with was used to cluster normal and abnormal samples. In this phase, at first, the parameters of the ICSA algorithm were adjusted and the initial location of each Crow was randomly determined and their fitness value calculated. Personal memory of Crows and best global solution were updated. In each iteration, according (11), the number of Crows that can search for food around a Crow was determined. The locations of these Crows were updated according (7) and cost functions calculated. According cost functions, the values of personal memories and best global solutions were updated again. In fact, Crows with more weight have the ability to attract more Crows , and more searches are done around them for a better solution, and the algorithm converges more quickly. Due to the importance of the balance between variation and intensification, in this phase, in each iteration, the parameter $AP$ was adjusted dynamically. Also, Davies & Bouldin (DB) index [27] was used for fitness function that was explains is being as (13):

$$DB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max \left( \frac{S_{n}(Q_{j})+S_{n}(Q_{i})}{S(Q_{i})} \right)$$

Where $n$ is the number of clusters, $S_{i}$ is the mean Euclidean distance of the cluster data from the cluster center and $S(Q_{i},Q_{j})$ is the distance between the centers of the cluster. Therefore, when the inside of the clusters is close together and the clusters are far from each other, this ratio decreases. The lowest value of the DB index indicates optimal clustering.

- phase 4: Data modelling

In this phase, data modeling was performed based on a combination of the results of several basic classifiers. In our research, stacking technique [28] was used to theft detection that is a two-levels technique. In the level-0, classifiers LR, SVM and KNN were used and in the level-1, the Naive Bayes classifier due to the nature of flexible non-parametric, providing predictive distribution and simple and effective learning process was used.

6. EXPERIMENTS AND ANALYSIS

In this section, according to the proposed framework, extensive experiments were conducted in each phase, and the results analyzed. Furthermore, the results of the proposed framework were compared with the literature research.

6.1. Dataset

In this research, clustering and classification of data has been performed based on the data of references datasets sustainable energy authority of Ireland (SEAI) [29], RAW electricity consumption [30] and low carbon London (LCL) [31]). In fact, in both clustering and classification phases, the consumption data of customers that stored in these datasets have been used. These features are based on kWH. The SEAI dataset contains power consumption data for 5,000 meters of Irish customers. Data were stored every half hour during the day. The RAW dataset contains the electricity consumption data of 42,372 electricity customers within 1,035 days (from Jan. 1, 2014 to Oct. 31, 2016) that 42,372 customers with daily power consumption, of which 38,757 customers were labeled as normal customers and 3,615 customers labeled as abnormal customers. The LCL dataset contains 525 days of power consumption information for 5,500 customers, of which 1,200 have been labeled abnormal. For example, Table 2 shows features of a consumption record for a meter, including the meter ID, Consumption for each hour (in kWh), and consumption date.

| Date       | Clock1 (kWh) | Clock2 (kWh) | Clock3 (kWh) | … | Clock22 (kWh) | Clock23 (kWh) | Clock24 (kWh) |
|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 19/June/2009 | 0.052        | 0.172        | 0.061        | …| 0.087         | 0.164         | 1.184         |

Table 2. Features of a consumption record for a meter
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6.2. Evaluation measurement

Samples are divided in two Positive and Negative classes in binary classification. The results of a binary classification are classified into four categories. Table 3 represents the confusion matrix of binary classification.

| The actual label | The predicted label | Positive | Negative |
|------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| Positive         | TP                  | FN       |
| Negative         | FP                  | TN       |

In this Table, true positive (TP) is positive data that was correctly categorized. False negative (FN) is positive data that incorrectly assigned to the negative class, true negative (TN) is negative data that correctly categorized and false positive (FP) is negative data that incorrectly attributed to the positive class. In order to evaluate the performance of classification algorithms, performance criteria including Accuracy = \(\frac{(TP + TN)}{(TP + TN + FP + FN)}\), Precision = \(\frac{TP}{(TP + FP)}\), Recall = \(\frac{TP}{(TP + FN)}\) and \(F - score = \frac{2 \times Recall \times Precision}{(Recall + Precision)}\) were used.

Another important criterion for evaluating the performance of a classifier is the ROC curve, which is the balance between sensitivity and specificity. The ROC curve is a two-dimensional curve that X-axis is false positive rate \((FPR = 1 - specificity = FP/(FP + TN))\) and Y-axis is true positive rate \((TPR = TP/(TP + FN))\). The area under the curve is called AUC. The numerical value of AUC is a number between zero and one and indicates the detection power of classifier. If this number is close to one, it means that the TPR value is high.

6.3. Experiment

In this section, the experiments related to the proposed framework were explained. After data normalization with min-max method, the abnormal data were created. For example, in SEAI dataset, there were 535 normal samples for each customer. Using attacks of Table 1, 4280 abnormal samples were created. The normal and abnormal data were clustered with ICSA-CL algorithm. Evaluation was performed by DB index. Crows with more weight cause more Crows to search for food around them and costs of achieving the final solution are drastically reduced. In fact, (10) and (12) were caused faster convergence and drastic reduction of costs. As shown in Figure 2, by increasing the number of iterations, the ICSA algorithm has the lowest DB compared to K-means, PSO and CSA algorithms for normal and abnormal data. According to Figure 2(a), the values of DB index of K-means, PSO, CSA and ICSA-CL algorithms for abnormal data are 0.621, 0.6010, 0.523 and 0.354 respectively. Also, according Figure 2(b), the values of DB index of K-means, PSO, CSA and ICSA-CL algorithms for normal data are 0.56, 0.6388, 0.3717 and 0.215 respectively. With the values of obtained DB index, the normal and abnormal data were divided into 2 and 3 clusters respectively and the cluster number indicates the class label of each data.

Figure 2. The values of DB index for K-means, PSO, CSA and ICSA-CL algorithms, (a) DB index for abnormal data, (b) DB index for normal data
Table 4 shows the optimal clusters for K-means, PSO, CSA and ICSA-CL algorithms that were obtained for normal and abnormal data. After data clustering, it is necessary to build a model for classification of new data. The stacking ensemble technique was used in the proposed framework. Before using this technique, Accuracy of the basic Naive Bayes, SVM, linear regression (LR) and KNN classifiers was calculated on SEAI, Raw and LCL datasets separately. Table 5 elucidates the values of this criterion for Naive Bayes, SVM, LR and KNN classifiers. The accuracy of the Naive Bayes classifier in all three datasets was higher than the other classifiers. Therefore, this classifier is used as a Meta classifier of the stacking technique.

To evaluate the performance of the proposed framework, various experiments were performed separately on SEAI, RAW and LCL datasets. Two plans with two scenarios were executed on these datasets. In the first plan, each attack was evaluated separately and in the second plan, all of attacks were evaluated together. Also, in the first scenario, 80% of the data was for training and 20% for testing, and in the second scenario, 70% of the data was for training and 30% for testing.

### Table 4. The optimal clusters that obtained with DB index

| Algorithm | Dataset     | K-means | PSO | CSA | ICSA-CL |
|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|---------|
|           | Normal data | 2       | 3   | 3   | 2       |
|           | Abnormal data | 2      | 4   | 3   | 3       |

### Table 5. The Accuracy (%) of Naive Bayes, SVM, LR and KNN classifiers

| Classifier | Dataset | Naive Bayes | SVM | LR | KNN |
|------------|---------|-------------|-----|----|-----|
|            | SEAI    | 89.67       | 73  | 61 | 78.7 |
|            | RAW     | 82.33       | 78.36 | 78.76 | 79.13 |
|            | LCL     | 90.17       | 83.51 | 83.35 | 78.08 |

### 6.3.1. Experiment first plan on SEAI dataset

There are 535 normal consumption samples for each customer in the SEAI dataset. In this plan, the accuracy, recall, precision and F-score criteria of proposed framework were calculated separately for each Attack (i) that i is number of attack (i=1,...,8). In scenario 1 of this plan, for each attack, there were 535 normal samples and 535 abnormal samples, of which 20% (107 samples) of both normal and abnormal samples were considered as testing data and 80% (428 samples) as training data. Also, in scenario 2 of this plan, 30% (161 samples) of both normal and abnormal samples were considered as testing data and 70% (374) as training data. After identifying training and testing samples, the proposed framework will be experimented on them.

Table 6 shows the accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and appropriate use criteria (AUC) criteria of proposed framework for each attack separately using two mentioned scenarios. According to Table 6, the proposed framework, with high efficiency, detects power thefts in any attack. Based on the AUC values, it is observed that the efficiency of the proposed method is acceptable. For example, the AUC values of attack 1 in scenarios 1 and 2 are equal to 99.03 and 97.86, respectively, or attack 6 in scenarios 1 and 2 are equal to 99.63 and 97.15, respectively which indicates the high performance of the proposed framework.

### Table 6. The accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and AUC criteria of each attack

#### Scenario

| Attack (i) | Attack1 | Attack2 | Attack3 | Attack4 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Accuracy   | 97.19   | 96.58   | 97.66   | 97.20   |
| Recall     | 98.10   | 96.39   | 97.56   | 96.14   |
| Precision  | 98.05   | 96.67   | 97.59   | 96.01   |
| F-score    | 98.07   | 96.52   | 97.57   | 96.07   |
| AUC        | 99.03   | 97.86   | 98.46   | 98.15   |
| Scenario   | Scn1    | Scn2    | Scn1    | Scn2    |

### Table 6. The accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and AUC criteria of each attack (continued)

#### Scenario

| Attack (i) | Attack5 | Attack6 | Attack7 | Attack8 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Accuracy   | 99.06   | 95.34   | 99.53   | 99.34   |
| Recall     | 99.24   | 95.02   | 99.42   | 95.14   |
| Precision  | 98.88   | 95.15   | 99.47   | 95.07   |
| F-score    | 99.05   | 95.08   | 99.44   | 99.10   |
| AUC        | 99.48   | 97.56   | 99.63   | 97.15   |
| Scenario   | Scn1    | Scn2    | Scn1    | Scn2    |
Figure 3 shows the ROC curves of two scenarios in this plan. In all ROC curves, X-axis and Y-axis are (FPR) and (TPR) respectively. According to these curves, scenario 1 has a better performance than scenario 2 due to the increase in the number of training samples.

Figure 3. The ROC curves for each attack in two scenarios

6.3.2. Second plan on SEAI dataset

In this plan, all of attacks in Table 1 were applied simultaneously to 535 normal samples, which, the number of each normal and abnormal samples was equal to 3280 samples. In scenario 1 of this plan, 20% (856 samples) of both normal and abnormal samples were considered as testing data and 80% (3224 samples) as training data. Also, in scenario 2 of this plan, 30% (1288 samples) of both normal and abnormal samples were considered as testing data and 70% (2992 samples) as training data. Table 7 shows the accuracy, recall, precision and F-score criteria of the proposed framework for this plan. According Table 7, the values of accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and AUC criteria demonstrate that proposed framework is efficient when all attacks were sent to the system together. Also, the values of AUC in scenarios 1 and 2 were equal to 99.71 and 97.92, respectively which show the high efficiency of the proposed framework to detect power theft.

Table 7. The accuracy, recall, precision and F-score and AUC criteria of all 8 attacks together

| Scenario | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | F-score | AUC    |
|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Scn1     | 99.41    | 99.41  | 99.39     | 99.39   | 99.71  |
| Scn2     | 97.08    | 96.68  | 97.01     | 96.84   | 97.92  |

6.3.3. Second plan on RAW dataset with scenario 1

In this experiment, the performance of the proposed framework was evaluated using all attacks on the RAW dataset with scenario 1. After applying all 8 attacks, 20% of both normal (62001) and abnormal (62734) samples as testing data and 80% of both normal (248045) and abnormal (250937) samples as training data were selected. Table 8 represents the accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and AUC criteria of this plan. The results of this Table also show the high performance of the proposed framework. According to this Table, the AUC of the proposed framework in this plan is 99.72, which indicates the high ability to detect theft.

Table 8. The accuracy, recall, precision and F-score and AUC criteria of all 8 attacks together

| Scenario | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | F-score | AUC    |
|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Scn1     | 99.63    | 99.59  | 99.61     | 99.59   | 99.72  |
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6.3.4. Second plan on LCL dataset with scenario 1

The LCL dataset contains 4300 normal and 1200 abnormal customers. After applying all 8 attacks on normal samples, the total number of normal and abnormal samples was 34400 and 35600, respectively. 20% of both normal (62001) and abnormal (62734) samples as testing data and 80% of both normal (248045) and abnormal (250937) samples as training data were selected. In this experiment, the performance of the proposed framework was evaluated using all attacks on the LCL dataset with scenario 1. Table 9 displays the accuracy, recall, precision, F-score and AUC criteria of this plan.

According to Table 9, the performance evaluation criteria for this plan have high values, which indicates the high efficiency of the proposed framework to detect power theft. In another experiment, the results of the proposed framework were compared with Jokar et al. [10]. These results were shown in Table 10. In one of the experiments, only normal samples and in another experiment only the $f_2(x_t)$ attack see Table 1 were used.

Table 9. The accuracy, recall, precision and F-score and AUC criteria of all 8 attacks together

| Scenario | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | F-score | AUC  |
|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|------|
| Scn1     | 99.35    | 99.34  | 99.35     | 99.34   | 99.51|

Table 10. The results of proposed framework and Jokar et al. [10]

| Experiment | DR (%) | FPR (%) |
|------------|--------|---------|
| Using normal samples for training [10] | 76     | 29      |
| Using normal samples for training in proposed algorithm | 95     | 8       |
| Only $h_3(x_t)$ attack was used for training [10] | 86     | 16      |
| Only $f_2(x_t)$ attack was used for training in proposed algorithm | 98     | 1       |

According to the results of Table 10, in the case of normal samples for training, the detection rate (DR) and false positive rate FPR values in the proposed framework are 95% and 8%, respectively, however, those were reported by Jokar et al. were 76% and 29%, respectively. Moreover, it can be evident that the DR and FPR values are 86% and 16%, respectively, for the case that only $h_3 (x_t)$ was used for training by Jokar et al., while those in the proposed framework were 98% and 1%, respectively. The results of Table 10 show that the proposed framework has high DR and low FPR. Another experiment is the comparison of the results of the proposed framework with Zheng [6] research. In this experiment, attacks 2 to 5 of Table 11 were performed on the SEAI dataset and the accuracy criterion was compared.

Table 11 shows comparison of the results of this experiment, which proves that the accuracy of the proposed framework for attacks 2, 3 and 5 is higher. In the proposed framework, the sampling rate has been reduced, which has increased customer privacy. For this purpose, in another experiment, the accuracy criterion of the proposed framework was compared with the results of literature research [32] and [33] on the SEAI dataset. In this experiment, Jokar’s research attacks [10] (6 attacks) were used. According to Table 12, it can be seen the Accuracy of the proposed method in both scenarios with customer privacy, is better than other methods.

Table 11. Accuracy comparison of proposed framework with literature research

| Research  | Attack2 | Attack3 | Attack4 | Attack5 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Zheng [6] | 92.70   | 93.30   | 99.50   | 90.30   |
| Proposed framework | 97.66   | 98.21   | 98.60   | 99.06   |

Table 12. Accuracy comparison of the proposed framework with literature research

| Research  | ETDFE [33] | Model1 [32] | Model2 [32] | Model3 [32] | Proposed framework |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Accuracy (%) | 99.36    | 91.80      | 90.20      | 90.30      | Scn1=98.35 Scn2=97.06 |

In the last experiment, the results of the proposed framework were compared with results of convolutional neural network random forest (CNN-RF), gradient boosting decision tree (GBDT) and SVM.
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algorithms were proposed by Shuan et al. [12]. The experiment has been performed on SEAI and LCL datasets. Figure 4 shows the comparison of results on SEAI and LCL datasets. With comparison, we found that the performance of the proposed framework was higher in both datasets. According to Figure 4(a), the F-score criterion for SEAI dataset by proposed framework is 0.99.25, while, for CNN-RF, GBDT and SVM classifiers are 97,70.98 and 73.46 respectively. Also, for the LCL dataset in Figure 4(b), the F-score criterion for algorithms CNN-RF, GBDT, SVM classifiers and proposed framework are 94, 68.5, 67.22 and 98.87 respectively. In fact, the clustering phase greatly reduces the time of training the stacking technique by optimally clustering the data and eliminating inefficient clusters. Therefore, according to the proposed framework, the percentage of accurate prediction of customers who manipulate their metrics and do not send their actual consumption data to the center has improved.

Figure 4. Comparison of the proposed framework with others algorithms in, (a) SEAI dataset, (b) LCL dataset

7. CONCLUSION
In this research, a four-phases framework was proposed to theft detection. In the first phase, data normalization was performed. Since, there is no reference dataset covering both normal and abnormal consumptions of customers, in second phase, abnormal samples were created using 8 artificial attacks. In the third phase, the algorithm CSA was improved and the weight feature of Crows was used for optimal clustering. In order to balance between diversification and intensification, the parameter AP was dynamically adjusted and normal and abnormal samples were clustered into two and three clusters respectively. Moreover, the cluster number was labeled as the data class. In the last phase, data modeling was performed using the Stacking hybrid technique, which is a two-levels technique. In the level-0, simple classifiers such as LR, SVM and KNN classifiers were used and in the level-1, the classifier Meta Naive Bayes was used. The SEAI, LCL and Raw datasets were used to experiments. The results of each phase revealed that the proposed framework had high performance. To evaluate the efficiency of the proposed framework, the Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-measure, DR, FPR and ROC criteria were calculated. The results of the proposed framework were compared with the literature research and it was found that the results of the proposed framework were better. Therefore, with the evaluation results, we showed that in actual power grids, if the data is collected correctly and sufficiently, this framework can effectively detect abnormal attacks. Also, for new attacks, if their patterns can be detected from the data, it is easily possible to implement these types of attacks. Due to the fact that real time detection of electricity theft requires special data and relevant datasets, and the scenario of its implementation has its own literature, the scenario of real time theft detection is proposed as the future work.
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