Impact of Media-Induced Fear on the Control of COVID-19 Outbreak: A Mathematical Study
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The COVID-19 pandemic has put the world in threat for a long time. It was first identified in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and has been declared a pandemic by the WHO. This disease is mainly caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). So far, no vaccine or medicine has been developed for the proper treatment of this disease, so people are afraid of getting infected. The pandemic has placed many nations at the door of socioeconomic emergencies. Therefore, it is very important to predict the development trend of this epidemic, and we know mathematical modelling is a basic tool to research the dynamic behaviour of disease and predict the spreading trend of the disease. In this study, we have formulated a mathematical model for the COVID-19 outbreak by introducing a quarantine class with media-induced fear in the disease transmission rate to analyze the dynamic behaviour of this epidemic. We have calculated the basic reproduction number $R_0$, and we observed that when $R_0 < 1$, disease-free equilibrium is globally stable whereas if $R_0 > 1$, then the system is permanent and there exists a unique endemic equilibrium point. Global stability of the endemic equilibrium point is developed by using Li and Muldowney’s high-dimensional Bendixson criterion. Finally, some numerical simulations are performed using MATLAB to verify our analytical results.

1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, two known coronavirus outbreaks of SARS [1] and MERS [2] have been detected in humans. COVID-19 is an abbreviated form of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) which has not been identified previously in humans. This disease is mainly transmitted through direct human-to-human contact, but there are pieces of evidence and work which claim that it can also be transmitted indirectly through fomites in the immediate environment around the infected person [3–5]. It is reported that COVID-19 affects different people in different ways. Most infected people develop mild to moderate symptoms such as fever, fatigue, and dry cough, although some patients suffer from headaches, abdominal pain, diarrhoea, and vomiting [6]. On average, symptoms may appear in 5 to 6 days from when someone is infected with the virus, but sometimes it can take up to 14 days [6]. In [7], Jiang et al. estimated that the fatality rate of this virus is nearly about 4.5%, but for the age group of 70–79 years, it has gone up to 8%. This disease is more critical for elder people who have other diseases such as diabetes, asthma, and cardiovascular disease [6]. It is reported that a COVID-19-infected person can make around 2.5 people infected [8]. People are concerned about their health, but the infection rate and death rate of the disease are increasing day by day and they are terrified to see this type of news through the media, so a wave of fear has been developed in the society.

Human behaviour plays an important role in spreading epidemics [9, 10]. They are also affected by a variety of factors, fear being one of them. Modelling studies have shown that fear has a significant effect on reducing the severity of a pandemic [11–13]. Fear has been shown to be directly related to social distance behaviour and caution [14, 15].

Due to fear, people are always scared to come into an open area and they are refraining from their daily activities in free environment. People may be terrified for a variety of reasons such as seeing a person who is suffering from COVID-19, but the fastest way is mass media [16].
current outbreak has been much more prominent in media coverage than recent epidemics, including Ebola. For example, a Time Magazine study shows that there were 23 times more articles in English-language print news covering the coronavirus outbreak in its first month compared to the same time period for the Ebola epidemic in 2018 [17]. Media influence plays a significant role in spreading useful information’s through various sources such as television, community radio, Internet, and print media (such as newspapers and magazines), resulting in changed behaviour of a community [18]; therefore, it is influencing the progression of a pandemic [19, 20]. So, media-induced fear has a negative effect on the disease reproduction rate. Recently, Mohsen et al. [21] studied the global stability of a COVID-19 model with quarantine strategy and media coverage effects. According to Ahorsu et al. [22], one unique feature of pandemic viral infections is the fear that they can instill across large swathes of the population. Liu et al. [23] showed that mass media exposure had a significant positive impact on social networking service involvement and subjective norms, while preventive behaviour was largely affected by subjective norms; social networking service involvement had a significant positive effect on negative emotions, and excessive preventive intention was mainly influenced by negative emotions. Mathematical modelling is one of the best ways to express the dynamics of an epidemic. There are many infectious disease models, and most of them use differential equation systems for describing population dynamics with different characteristics in relation to infection [24–26]. Sardar et al. [27] study the effects of lockdown on future case counts in some estates and overall India. Sarkar et al. [28] proposed and analyzed a mathematical model to study the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2, where they performed the model validation with real data from India and some provinces of India. A mathematical model was used by Roy and Roy Bhattacharya [29] to predict the pandemic spread in India by using the data from 1 March 2020 to 23 April 2020 and they showed that the imposition of nationwide lockdown has played a significant role in restricting the spread of the pandemic. Hattaf and Yousfi [30] proposed a within-host model, which describes the interactions between SARS-CoV-2, host pulmonary epithelial cells, and cytotoxic T lymphocyte cells. Feng et al. [31] studied a COVID-19 model with the effects of media and quarantine in the UK. Chang et al. [32] proposed an SIHRS model which incorporated awareness of media coverage, which plays an important role in preventing and controlling infectious diseases. Some other works can be found in [33–36]. Inspired by these works, we have formulated a modified SEIR model to discuss the impact of fear on the disease transmission rate through media alertness. Generally, the disease transmission rate is related to the alertness of the disease in healthy people as well as related to the spreading ability of the disease. Thus, media coverage influences disease control in society.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we have formulated a mathematical model for the epidemic COVID-19, incorporating media coverage. Positivity and boundedness of the solutions of system (2) are performed in Section 3. The basic reproduction number is calculated and the stability of disease-free equilibrium is discussed in Section 4. The local and global stability of the endemic equilibrium point is presented in Section 5. Some numerical simulations are performed in Section 6 to validate our results. A brief discussion is given in Section 7.

2. Model Formulation

In this work, we have presented a modified classic SEIR model to study the effects of fear induced by media on the disease transmission rate of the epidemic COVID-19. In epidemic models, the bilinear incidence rate $\beta SI$ is frequently used. A recent study [37] indicates that the fear effect will reduce the disease transmission rate, so we modify $\beta SI$ by multiplying a factor $f(a, I)$ which leads to $f(a, I)\beta SI$. Here, the parameter $a$ represents the level of fear. For biological justification of $a$, $I$, and $f(a, I)$, it is appropriate to consider the following:

(i) $f(0, I)=1$, i.e., in the absence of fear, there is no reduction in the disease transmission rate

(ii) $f(a, 0)=1$, i.e., when there is no infected population, there is no reduction in the susceptible population due to fear

(iii) $\lim_{a \to -\infty} f(a, I)=0$, i.e., when the amount of fear is very large, the disease transmission rate reduces to zero.

(iv) $\lim_{a \to -\infty} f(a, I)=0$, i.e., when the infected population is very large, the disease transmission rate reduces to zero due to the large fear factor

(v) $(\partial f(a, I)/\partial a)<0$, i.e., with the increase of fear, the disease transmission rate decreases

(vi) $(\partial f(a, I)/\partial I)<0$, i.e., as the infected population increases, the disease transmission rate decreases

For convenience of analysis, we assume the following form for the fear effect:

$$f(a, I) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha I} \quad (1)$$

Our proposed model is based on splitting the total population $N(t)$, at time $t$, into the subpopulations of susceptible $S(t)$, exposed $E(t)$ (those who have already been infected but in the incubation period and carry the virus without showing any symptoms), infectious $I(t)$ (with infection capacity and symptomatic), isolated $Q(t)$ (confirmed and infected), and recovered $R(t)$ individuals, so that $N(t) = S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + Q(t) + R(t)$. The model is given by the following system of nonlinear differential equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= r - \frac{\beta SI}{1 + aI} - \mu S, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \frac{\beta SI}{1 + aI} - (c + \mu)E, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= cE - (\gamma + d + d_1 + \mu)I, \\
\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \gamma I - (\lambda + \mu)Q, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= d_1 I + \lambda Q - \mu R,
\end{align*}
\]

with initial conditions \(S(0) > 0, E(0) \geq 0, I(0) > 0, Q(0) \geq 0,\) and \(R(0) \geq 0.\) All the model parameters and their description are given in Table 1.

3. Positivity and Boundedness of the Solution

For biological validity of system (2), it is necessary to prove that all solutions of system (2) with positive initial values will remain positive for all time \(t > 0.\) Thus, in this section, we want to prove the positivity and boundedness of solutions of our considered system.

Lemma 1. All solutions \((S(t), E(t), I(t), Q(t), R(t))\) of system (2) with positive initial data will remain positive for all \(t > 0.\)

Proof. From the first equation of system (2), we get

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} > -\left(\frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} + \mu\right)S(t).
\]

Thus,

\[
S(t) > S(0) \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \left(\frac{\beta I(s)}{1 + aI(s)} + \mu\right) ds\right\} > 0.
\]

Similarly, it can be shown that \(E(t) > 0, I(t) > 0, Q(t) > 0,\) and \(R(t) > 0\) for all \(t > 0.\)

Lemma 2. The closed set \(\Pi = \{(S, E, I, Q, R) \in \mathbb{R}_+^5 : S + E + I + Q + R \leq (r/\mu)\}\) is positively invariant for system (2).

Proof. Adding all the equations of system (2), we obtained the rate of change of total population, which is given by

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = r - \mu N - dI \leq r - \mu N,
\]

where \(N = S + E + I + Q + R.\)

It follows that \((dN/dt) \leq 0\) when \(N \geq (r/\mu).\) By using a standard comparison theorem [38], we can show that

\[
N(t) \leq N(0)e^{-\mu t} + \frac{\mu}{r}\left(1 - e^{-\mu t}\right).
\]

Thus, we have \(N(t) \leq (r/\mu) as t \to \infty.\) Hence, \(\Pi\) is positively invariant so that the region \(\Pi\) attracts all solutions in \(\mathbb{R}_+^5.\)

4. Reproduction Number and Stability

Analysis of Disease-Free Equilibrium

Basic reproduction number is one of the most important threshold parameters which can determine whether the infectious disease will die out or spread through the population as time increases. Here, we calculate the basic reproduction number for the COVID-19 model through the next-generation matrix method [39].

System (2) has a unique disease-free equilibrium point \(E_1 (S^-, 0, 0, 0, R^-),\) where \(S^– = (r/\mu)\) and \(R = 0.\) Then, using the notation in [39], the reproduction number \(R_0\) of system (2) is calculated from the relation \(\rho(FV^{-1})\), where \(\rho\) is the spectral radius of the matrix \(FV^{-1}\) and

\[
F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & rs & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix} (c + \mu) & 0 & 0 \\ -c & d + d_1 + \gamma + \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma & \lambda + \mu \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here, \(F\) is a nonnegative matrix and \(V\) is a nonsingular M-matrix; therefore, \(FV^{-1}\) is also nonnegative; hence, the spectral radius of \(FV^{-1}\) is

\[
R_0 = \frac{rc\beta}{\mu(c + \mu)(d + d_1 + \gamma + \mu)}.
\]

To study the dynamic behaviour of system (2) around equilibria, we first calculate the Jacobian matrix of system (2) at an arbitrary point \(E(S, E, I, Q, R)\) which is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial I} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial E} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial I} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial Q} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial R} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
\[ J(E) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu - \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} & 0 & -\frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} & -(c + \mu) & \frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & -(d + d_1 + y + \mu) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & -(\lambda + \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & -\mu \end{pmatrix} \]

Theorem 1. The disease-free equilibrium point \( E_1 \) is locally asymptotically stable if \( R_0 < 1 \) and unstable if \( R_0 > 1 \).

Proof. Local stability of \( E_1 \) can be established by evaluating the Jacobian matrix at disease-free equilibrium. The Jacobian matrix at \( E_1 \) is given by:

\[
J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & 0 & r\beta \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -(c + \mu) & r\beta \mu & 0 \\ 0 & c & -(d + d_1 + y + \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & -(\lambda + \mu) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}
\]

The eigenvalues of the characteristic equation of \( J(E_1) \) are \(-\mu, -\mu, -(\lambda + \mu), \) and the other two eigenvalues are the roots of the equation:

\[
t^2 + (c + d + d_1 + y + 2\mu)t + (c + \mu)(d + d_1 + y + \mu)(1 - R_0) = 0.
\]

Clearly, if \( R_0 < 1 \), then all roots of equation (11) are negative, and if \( R_0 > 1 \), then equation (11) has one positive real root. Hence, if \( R_0 < 1 \), then disease-free equilibrium \( E_1 \) is locally asymptotically stable; otherwise, it is unstable. □

Theorem 2. The disease-free equilibrium \( E_1 \) is globally asymptotically stable if \( R_0 < 1 \).

Proof. From the first equation of system (2), we obtain \((dS/dt) <= r - \mu S\). Now \( S = (r/\mu) \) is the globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of \((dS/dt) <= r - \mu S\), so for any given \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have

\[
S(t) <= \frac{r}{\mu} + \epsilon, \quad \text{when} \ t \to \infty.
\]

Thus, we get

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} <= \frac{(r/\mu)\beta I}{1 + aI} - (c + \mu)E,
\]

\[
\frac{dI}{dt} <= cE - (y + d + d_1 + \mu)I,
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} <= yI - (\lambda + \mu)Q.
\]

System (13) has a unique equilibrium \((0, 0, 0)\) and the corresponding eigenvalues are \(-(\lambda + \mu)\) and the roots of the equation:

\[
t^2 + (c + d + d_1 + y + 2\mu)t + (c + \mu)(d + d_1 + y + \mu)(1 - R_0) = 0.
\]
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Table 1: Parameters and their descriptions.

| Parameters | Interpretation |
|------------|---------------|
| \( r \)   | Recruitment rate of susceptible which includes immigrants and newborns |
| \( \beta \) | Disease transmission rate |
| \( \mu \)  | Natural death rate of population |
| \( c \)    | Infection rate from exposed class |
| \( \gamma \)| Rate at which infected people are getting isolated |
| \( d \)    | Disease-induced death rate |
| \( d_1 \)  | The rate at which infected people are recovered |
| \( \lambda \)| Recovery rate from isolated class |
| \( \alpha \)| The effect of fear through media coverage |
t^2 + t((c + \mu)(y + d + d_1 + \mu)) + (c + \mu)(y + d + d_1 + \mu)
- c\beta\left(\frac{r}{\mu} + \epsilon\right) = 0.

(14)

For sufficiently small \(\epsilon > 0\), the quantity \((c + \mu)(y + d + d_1 + \mu) - c\beta((r/\mu) + \epsilon) > 0\) if \(R_0 < 1\). Thus, all eigenvalues of equation (14) have negative real parts. Hence, \((0, 0, 0)\) is locally stable. It is easy to prove that equilibrium point \((0, 0, 0)\) is globally asymptotically stable for \(R_0 < 1\). Therefore, by comparison, it follows that \(\lim_{t \to \infty} E(t) = 0\), \(\lim_{t \to \infty} I(t) = 0\), and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} Q(t) = 0\).

Then, for above \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists a \(T > 0\) such that if \(t > T\), \(E(t) < \epsilon, I(t) < \epsilon\), and \(Q(t) < \epsilon\). From equation (2) of system (2), we get

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} \geq r - (\beta\mu + \epsilon)S.
\]

(15)

For sufficiently small \(\epsilon > 0\), we observed that \((r/\beta\mu + \epsilon)\) is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = r - (\beta\mu + \epsilon)S.
\]

(16)

Thus, by comparison, we have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} S(t) \geq \frac{r}{\beta\mu + \epsilon}
\]

(17)

As, this inequality holds for sufficiently small \(\epsilon > 0\), and

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} S(t) \geq \frac{r}{\mu}
\]

(18)

Therefore, from equations (17) and (18), we get

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} S(t) = \frac{r}{\mu}
\]

(19)

Hence, disease-free equilibrium \(E_1\) is globally asymptotically stable if \(R_0 < 1\).

5. Existence of Endemic Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

Let us choose \(m_1 = c + \mu\), \(m_2 = d + d_1 + y + \mu\), and \(m_3 = \lambda + \mu\).

The system has one interior equilibrium point \(E_2(S^*, E^*, I^*, Q^*, R^*)\) where

\[
R^* = \frac{c\gamma\lambda E^*}{\mu m_2 m_3}
\]

\[
Q^* = \frac{c\gamma E^*}{m_2}
\]

\[
I^* = \frac{cE^*}{m_2}
\]

\[
S^* = \frac{m_1 (m_3 + c\alpha E^*)}{c\beta}
\]

\[
E^* = \frac{\mu m_2 (R_0 - 1)}{c (\beta + \mu \alpha)}
\]

Therefore, the endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\) will be feasible when \(R_0 > 1\).

**Theorem 3.** The endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\) is locally asymptotically stable if \(R_0 > 1\).

**Proof.** The characteristic equation of \(f(E_2)\) is given by

\[
(t + \mu)(t + \lambda)(t^3 + At^2 + Bt + C) = 0,
\]

(21)

where

\[
A = m_1 + m_2 + \frac{\mu R_0 (\beta + \alpha \mu)}{\beta + \alpha \mu R_0} > 0,
\]

\[
B = m_1 m_2 + (m_1 + m_2) \frac{\mu R_0 (\beta + \alpha \mu)}{\beta + \alpha \mu R_0} > 0,
\]

\[
C = \frac{m_1 m_2 (\beta + \alpha \mu) \mu}{\beta + \alpha \mu R_0} (R_0 - 1).
\]

Clearly, equation (21) has two negative real roots \(t_1 = -\mu\) and \(t_2 = -(\mu + \lambda)\) and the other three roots of equation (21) are obtained from the following equation:

\[
t^3 + At^2 + Bt + C = 0.
\]

(23)

Now if \(R_0 > 1\), then \(C > 0\) and \(AB - C > 0\). So, it follows from Routh–Hurwitz criteria [40] that all roots of equation (23) have negative real parts. Hence, the endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\) is locally asymptotically stable if \(R_0 > 1\).\)

**Remark 1.** From the above analysis, we observed that when \(R_0 < 1\), only the disease-free equilibrium \(E_1\) exists and it is locally as well as globally stable. Again when \(R_0 > 1\), the endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\) exists and is asymptotically stable, but in this case the disease-free equilibrium loses its stability and becomes unstable in nature. Therefore, we may conclude that there is a change of feasibility as well as stability at \(R_0 = 1\). Using the articles [41–43], it is concluded
that the system undergoes a transcritical bifurcation at $R_0 = 1$.

**Theorem 4.** System (2) is uniformly persistent in the region $\Pi$ if and only if $R_0 > 1$.

**Proof.** Consider that $\eta$ is any point in the positive octant and $o(\eta)$ is any orbit through the point $\eta$ where $\Omega(\eta)$ is the bounded omega limit orbit set of the orbit through $\eta$. Clearly, $\Omega(\eta)$ is bounded. Suppose the disease-free equilibrium point $E_1$ is not in $\Omega(\eta)$. If $E_1 \in \Omega(\eta)$, then by Butler–McGehee lemma [44], there exists a point $x$ in $\Omega(\eta) \cap W^u(E_1)$, where $W^u(E_1)$ is the stable manifold of $E_1$. Since $o(x)$ lies in $\Omega(\eta)$ and $W^u(E_1)$ is in the S-Q-R plane, it can be concluded that $o(x)$ is unbounded, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\Omega(\eta)$ does not intersect any of the coordinate planes; hence, system (2) is uniformly persistent. Again since system (2) is bounded so by the main theorem proposed by Butler et al. [45], the system is uniformly persistent.

Again since system (2) is uniformly persistent, there exists a time $T$ such that $(S(t), E(t), I(t), Q(t), R(t)) > \bar{a}$ ($0 < \bar{a} < 1$) for $t > T$.

To investigate the global stability of the endemic equilibrium point of system (2), we first reduce our system as recovered class does not have any effect on the dynamics of $S$, $E$, $I$, and $Q$ class. So system (2) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= r - \frac{\beta SI}{1 + \alpha I} - \mu S = f_1, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \frac{\beta SI}{1 + \alpha I} - (c + \mu)E = f_2, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= cE - (\gamma + d + d_1 + \mu)I = f_3, \\
\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \gamma I - (\lambda + \mu)Q = f_4.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, we apply the high-dimensional Bendixson criterion which is developed by Li and Muldowney [46] to prove the global stability of the endemic equilibrium point $E_2$. Consider the open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us consider the following differential equation:

\[
\dot{x} = f(x),
\]

where $f: x \mapsto f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be $C^1$ for $x \in G$.

Consider $B$ is a general $4 \times 4$ matrix denoted by $B = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4}$, and then, its second additive compound matrix $B^2$ is defined by

\[
B^{[2]} = \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} + a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & -a_{13} \\
a_{32} & a_{11} + a_{33} & a_{34} & -a_{14} \\
a_{42} & a_{33} & a_{11} + a_{44} & 0 \\
-a_{31} & a_{21} & 0 & \end{array}
& \begin{array}{c}
-a_{13} \\
a_{12} & 0 & -a_{14} \\
0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
-a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & -a_{24} \\
-a_{43} & a_{32} & a_{44} & a_{23} \\
-a_{42} & a_{32} & a_{33} & +a_{44}
\end{array}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Lemma 3** (see [46]). Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simply connected region. Assume that the family of linear systems (27) is equiuniformly asymptotically stable. Then

(i) $G$ contains no simple closed invariant curves, including periodic orbits, homoclinic orbits, and heteroclinic cycles

(ii) Each semi-orbit in $G$ converges to a single equilibrium

In particular, if $G$ is positively invariant and contains a unique equilibrium $E$, then $E$ is globally asymptotically stable in $G$.

**Theorem 5.** Assume that $N(t) \leq (r/\mu)$ and $R_0 > 1$. If there exist $\omega$, $\theta$, $\xi$, $\rho$, and $\zeta$, such that $\max \{b_{11} + (b_{12} \omega/\theta) + (b_{14} \omega/\xi), (b_{21} \theta/\omega) + b_{22}, (b_{23} \theta/\rho) + b_{23} + (b_{26}/c), (b_{42} \xi/\theta) + b_{44}, b_{53} \xi + (b_{54} \mu/\xi) + b_{55} + (b_{56}/\zeta), (b_{63} \xi/\rho) + b_{66} \} < 0$, then the endemic equilibrium point $E_2$ is globally asymptotically stable.
Proof. For model (24), we denote $X = (S, E, I, Q)^T$ and $F(x) = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T$. Then, the second compound matrix of system (24) can be written as

$$
\frac{\partial F^{[2]}}{\partial X} = \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{c_{11}}{c_{12}} c_{13} c_{14} c_{15} c_{16} \\
c_{21} c_{22} c_{23} c_{24} c_{25} c_{26} \\
c_{31} c_{32} c_{33} c_{34} c_{35} c_{36} \\
c_{41} c_{42} c_{43} c_{44} c_{45} c_{46} \\
c_{51} c_{52} c_{53} c_{54} c_{55} c_{56} \\
c_{61} c_{62} c_{63} c_{64} c_{65} c_{66}
\end{array}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where

$$
c_{11} = \mu - \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} - (c + \mu),
$$
$$
c_{12} = c_{16} = \frac{\beta S}{1 + aI},
$$
$$
c_{13} = c_{15} = c_{16} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{21} = c,
$$
$$
c_{22} = -\mu - \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} - (d + d_1 + \gamma + \mu),
$$
$$
c_{23} = c_{24} = c_{25} = c_{26} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{31} = c_{34} = c_{35} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{32} = \gamma,
$$
$$
c_{33} = -\mu - \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI} - (\lambda + \mu),
$$
$$
c_{36} = -\frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2},
$$
$$
c_{41} = c_{43} = c_{45} = c_{46} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{42} = \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI},
$$
$$
c_{44} = -(c + \mu) - (d + \gamma + \mu),
$$
$$
c_{51} = c_{52} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{53} = \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI},
$$
$$
c_{54} = \gamma,
$$
$$
c_{55} = -(c + \mu) - (\lambda + \mu),
$$
$$
c_{56} = -\frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2},
$$
$$
c_{61} = c_{62} = c_{63} = c_{64} = 0,
$$
$$
c_{65} = c,
$$
$$
c_{66} = -(d + d_1 + \gamma + \mu) - (\lambda + \mu),
$$

The second compound system

$$
\frac{dZ}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial F^{[2]}}{\partial X} \right)Z(t)
$$

then becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_1 &= -\left(c + 2\mu + \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI}\right)z_1 + \frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2}(z_2 + z_4), \\
\dot{z}_2 &= c_1z_1\left(c + d_1 + \gamma + 2\mu + \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI}\right)z_2, \\
\dot{z}_3 &= \gamma z_2 - \left(\lambda + 2\mu + \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI}\right)z_3 - \frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2}z_6, \\
\dot{z}_4 &= \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI}z_2 - (c + d + \gamma + 2\mu)z_4, \\
\dot{z}_5 &= \frac{\beta I}{1 + aI}z_3 + \gamma z_4 - (c + \lambda + 2\mu)z_5 + \frac{\beta S}{(1 + aI)^2}z_6, \\
\dot{z}_6 &= cz_5 - (d + \gamma + \lambda + 2\mu)z_6.
\end{align*}
$$

Now, define $V(Z) = \max\{\omega|z_1|, \theta|z_2|, |z_3|, \xi|z_4|, \rho|z_5|, \zeta|z_6\}$. From the direct calculation, we get the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^+}{dt} \omega|z_1| &\leq b_{11}\omega|z_1| + b_{12}\omega|z_2| + b_{13}\omega|z_4|, \\
\frac{d^+}{dt} \theta|z_2| &\leq b_{21}\theta|z_1| + b_{22}\theta|z_2|, \\
\frac{d^+}{dt} |z_3| &\leq b_{32}|z_2| + b_{33}|z_3| + b_{36}|\xi|z_6|, \\
\frac{d^+}{dt} \xi|z_4| &\leq b_{42}\xi|z_2| + b_{44}\xi|z_4|, \\
\frac{d^+}{dt} \rho|z_5| &\leq b_{53}\rho|z_3| + b_{55}\rho|z_5| + b_{56}\rho|\xi|z_6|, \\
\frac{d^+}{dt} \zeta|z_6| &\leq b_{65}\zeta|z_5| + b_{66}\zeta|z_6|,
\end{align*}
$$

where $(d^+/dt)$ denotes the right-hand derivative and
\[ b_{11} = -c - 2\mu - \beta \bar{a}, \]
\[ b_{12} = b_{14} = \frac{\beta \mu^2 \bar{a}}{(\mu + ar)^2}, \]
\[ c_{21} = c, \]
\[ c_{22} = -d - \gamma - 2\mu - \beta \bar{a}, \]
\[ c_{32} = \gamma, \]
\[ c_{33} = -\lambda - 2\mu - \beta \bar{a}, \]
\[ c_{36} = -\frac{\beta \mu^2 \bar{a}}{(\mu + ar)^2}, \]
\[ c_{42} = \bar{a}, \]
\[ c_{44} = -c - d - \gamma - 2\mu, \]
\[ c_{53} = \beta a, \]
\[ c_{54} = \gamma, \]
\[ c_{55} = -c - \gamma - 2\mu, \]
\[ c_{56} = \frac{\beta \mu^2 \bar{a}}{(\mu + ar)^2}, \]
\[ c_{65} = c, \]
\[ c_{66} = -d - \gamma - \lambda - 2\mu. \]

Therefore, \((d^n/dt)V(Z(t)) \leq p V Z(t)\), with \(p = \max \{ b_{11} + (b_{12}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{14}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{21}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{22} + (b_{23}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{33}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{36}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{42}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{53}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{55}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{56}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{65}/\bar{a})\}, (b_{66}/\bar{a})\}\). 

Thus, from the assumption of the theorem, we find a positive constant \(\eta\) such that \(\phi \leq -\eta < 0\), and hence, \(V(Z(t)) \leq V(Z(s))exp(-\eta(t-s)), t \geq s > 0\).

This confirmed the equiuniform asymptotic stability of the second compound system. Therefore, system (2) or (24) has no nontrivial periodic solutions; hence, the endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\) of system (2) is globally asymptotically stable.

\[ \Box \]

6. Case Study: World

Here, we performed some numerical simulations with some real data taken from [48,49] and some hypothetical data to observe the dynamics of our proposed COVID-19 model. For this simulation purpose, we used the following set of parameters, values of which are given in Table 2. The parameters are calculated per 1000/day and we assumed that the total population of the world is 7.6 billion. In terms of scaled variables, we assumed the initial population size is \(S(0), E(0), I(0), Q(0), (R(0)) = (1, 0.05, 0.002, 0.002, 0.003)\).

For this set of parameters, we calculate \(R_0 = 3.1794 > 1\). Hence, Theorems 3 and 4 are satisfied which means the system is persistent and it ensures the existence of the endemic equilibrium point \(E_2\). From Figure 1(a), we observed that, in the absence of media coverage, susceptible population is decreasing, but the exposed population and infected population are increasing over time which means more people are being exposed, and within a short time period, a large number of people are infected. From Figure 1(b), we also have seen that the recovered population is not growing as much as the infected population and exposed population. So, as a result, there is an outbreak within a short time span.

Now we introducing fear effect (a) into the model system (2), and here, our main focus is whether media-induced fear can affect the disease transmission rate or not. From Figure 2, we observed that when the value of \(\alpha\) is increasing, the population rate of exposed as well as infected class is decreasing from their original position where remaining all other parameters are as above. So we conclude that fear has an important role in the dynamics of our proposed model.

Sensitivity analysis is one of the most important parts to get an overview of the most influential parameters in modelling an infectious disease. As system stability totally depends on reproduction number \(R_0\), so we want to verify how the sensitive parameters are related to \(R_0\) and therefore, we compute the sensitivity analysis of \(R_0\) with respect to the model parameters. Here, we are using the model parameters from Table 2. The definition of the normalized sensitivity index of \(R_0\) with respect to \(\beta\) is given by

\[ f_{\beta}^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \beta} \times \frac{\beta}{R_0} = 1. \] (33)

The sensitivity indices of \(R_0\) with respect to other parameters are as follows:

\[ f_{\beta}^{R_0} = 1, \]
\[ f_{d}^{R_0} = -0.107, \]
\[ f_{\lambda}^{R_0} = 0, \] (34)
\[ f_{c}^{R_0} = -0.0055, \]
\[ f_{\gamma}^{R_0} = -0.8823. \]

From this analysis, we observed that \(f_{\beta}^{R_0} = 1\), which implies if the disease transmission rate increases by 1%, then it will increase the value of \(R_0\) by 1% and vice versa. Again, we see that \(f_{\gamma}^{R_0} = -0.8823\) that means when increasing the

| Parameter | Value | References |
|-----------|-------|------------|
| \(r\)     | \(5.812 \times 10^{-4}\) | [48]       |
| \(\beta\) | 0.5   | Estimated  |
| \(\alpha\) | 0     | —          |
| \(\mu\) | 0.001 | [48]       |
| \(c\) | 0.1818 | [49,50]    |
| \(d_1\) | 0.027 | [48]       |
| \(\gamma\) | 0.0802 | [48]       |
| \(d\) | \(9.7 \times 10^{-3}\) | [50]       |
| \(\lambda\) | \(4.87 \times 10^{-4}\) | [50]       |
value of $y$ by 1%, the value of $R_0$ will be reduced by 0.88%. Thus, it can be concluded that reproduction number is positively correlated with the disease transmission rate but negatively correlated with $y$. Therefore, to prevent disease transmission, it is necessary to obey social distancing or lockdown and isolate more infected people. A sensitivity analysis figure is plotted in Figure 3.

The effectiveness of 30-day lockdown or more is described in Figure 4. From this figure, we observed that 30-day lockdown is not sufficient to control the disease, but if
we applied a lockdown for 75 days or more, then it shows that the lowest number of people infected and the epidemic may be under control.

7. Discussion

Media coverage plays an important role in the transmission process of infectious disease. People will be more aware of COVID-19 infection if they learn more about the transmission of the disease through media; as a result, they will change their behaviour and take correct precautions such as frequent handwashing, wearing masks, maintaining social distance, reducing party to take risk aversion, and even isolating themselves at home to avoid contacting with others. Modelling studies have shown that fear has a significant effect on reducing the severity of a pandemic and it has been shown that fear is directly correlated with the increase in social distancing behaviour as well as taking more precautions. Thus, in this paper, we have formulated a mathematical model with media-induced fear to analyze the epidemic of COVID-19. Analytically, we proved that system stability mainly depends on the basic reproduction number $R_0$. We have seen that if $R_0 > 1$, then the endemic equilibrium point exists and is globally asymptotically stable which means the disease persists in the system. So, to avoid such circumstances, we must focus on the disease transmission rate. From sensitivity analysis, we also observed that reproduction number is positively correlated with the disease transmission rate. If the disease transmission rate is very low, then people are less exposed and we can control the epidemic. Numerically, from Figure 2, we conclude that if the rate of fear increases through media, then it can reduce the infection rate, and increasing the amount of fear can stabilize the system.
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