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HER2 is a receptor tyrosine kinase that is overexpressed in 20% to 30% of human breast cancers and which affects patient prognosis and survival. Treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer with the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (Herceptin) has improved patient survival, but the development of trastuzumab resistance is a major medical problem. Many of the known mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance cause changes in protein phosphorylation patterns, and therefore quantitative proteomics was used to examine phosphotyrosine signaling networks in trastuzumab-resistant cells. The model system used in this study was two pairs of trastuzumab-sensitive and -resistant breast cancer cell lines. Using stable isotope labeling, phosphotyrosine immunoprecipitations, and online TiO2 chromatography utilizing a dual trap configuration, ~1700 proteins were quantified. Comparing quantified proteins between the two cell line pairs showed only a small number of common protein ratio changes, demonstrating heterogeneity in phosphotyrosine signaling networks across different trastuzumab-resistant cancers. Proteins showing significant increases in resistant versus sensitive cells were subjected to a focused siRNA screen to evaluate their functional relevance to trastuzumab resistance. The screen revealed proteins related to the Src kinase pathway, such as CDCP1/Trask, embryonal Fyn substrate, and Paxillin. We also identify several novel proteins that increased trastuzumab sensitivity in resistant cells when targeted by siRNAs, including FAM83A and MAPK1. These proteins may present targets for the development of clinical diagnostics or therapeutic strategies to guide the treatment of HER2+ breast cancer patients who develop trastuzumab resistance.
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HER2 is a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases. Under normal physiologic conditions, HER2 tyrosine kinase signaling is tightly regulated spatially and temporally by the requirement for it to heterodimerize with a ligand bound family member, such as EGFR, HER3/ErbB3, or HER4/ErbB4 (1). However, in 20% to 30% of human breast cancer cases, HER2 gene amplification is present, resulting in a high level of HER2 protein overexpression and unregulated, constitutive HER2 tyrosine kinase signaling (2, 3). HER2 gene amplified breast cancer, also termed HER2-positive breast cancer, carries a poor prognosis, but the development of the HER2 targeted monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (Herceptin) has significantly improved patient survival (2). Despite the clinical effectiveness of trastuzumab, the development of drug resistance significantly increases the risk of patient death. This poses a major medical problem, as most metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer patients develop trastuzumab resistance over the course of their cancer treatment (4). The treatment approach for HER2+ breast cancer patients after trastuzumab resistance develops is mostly a trial-and-error process that subjects the patient to increased toxicity. Therefore, there is a substantial medical need for strategies to overcome trastuzumab resistance.

Multiple trastuzumab-resistance mechanisms have been identified, and they alter signaling networks and protein phosphorylation patterns in either a direct or an indirect manner. These mechanisms can be grouped into three categories. The first category is the activation of a parallel signaling network by other tyrosine kinases. These kinases include the receptor tyrosine kinases, EGFR, IGF1R, Her3, Met, EphA2, and Axl, as well as the erythropoietin-receptor-mediated activation of the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases Jak2 and Src (5–11). The second category is the activation of downstream signaling proteins. Multiple studies have demonstrated activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathway in trastuzumab resistance, which occurs either via deletion of the PTEN lipid phosphatase or mutation of the PI3K genes (12, 13). Activation of Src family kinases or overexpression of cyclin E, which increases the cyclin E–cyclin-dependent kinase 2 signaling pathway, has also been reported (14). The third category includes mechanisms that maintain HER2 signaling even in the presence of trastuzumab. The production of a truncated isoform of HER2, p95HER2, which lacks the trastuzumab binding site, causes constitutive HER2 signaling (15, 16). Overexpression of the MUC4 sialomucin complex inhibits...
trastuzumab binding to HER2 and thereby maintains HER2 signaling (17, 18).

Given that multiple trastuzumab-resistance mechanisms alter signaling networks and protein phosphorylation patterns, we reasoned that mapping phosphotyrosine signaling networks using quantitative proteomics would be a highly useful strategy for analyzing known mechanisms and identifying novel mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance. Quantitative proteomics and phosphotyrosine enrichment approaches have been extensively used to study the EGFR signal networks (19–23). We and others have used these approaches to map the HER2 signaling network (22, 24, 25). Multiple other tyrosine kinase signaling networks were analyzed using quantitative proteomics, including Ephrin receptor, EphB2 (26–28), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) (21), insulin receptor (29, 30), and the receptor for hepatocyte growth factor, c-MET (31).

The goal of this study is to identify, quantify, and functionally screen proteins that might be involved in trastuzumab resistance. We used two pairs of HER2 gene amplified trastuzumab-sensitive (parental, SkBr3 and BT474) and -resistant (SkBr3R and BT474R) human breast cancer cell lines as models for trastuzumab resistance. These cell lines and their trastuzumab-resistant derivatives have been extensively characterized and highly cited in the breast cancer literature (32, 33).

Using stable isotope labeling of amino acids in cell culture (SILAC),1 phosphotyrosine immunoprecipitations, and online TiO2 chromatography with dual trap configuration, we quantified the changes in phosphotyrosine containing proteins and interactors between trastuzumab-sensitive and -resistant cells. Several of the known trastuzumab-resistance mechanisms were identified, which serves as a positive control and validation of our approach, and large protein ratio changes were measured in proteins that had not been previously connected with trastuzumab resistance. We then performed a focused siRNA screen targeting the proteins with significantly increased protein ratios. This screen functionally tested the role of the identified proteins and identifies which proteins might have the largest effect on reversing trastuzumab resistance.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Lines and Lysate Preparation**—The trastuzumab-sensitive (SkBr3 and BT474, parental) and -resistant (SkBr3R and BT474R) cells were derived and graciously given by the laboratory of Dr. Dennis Slamon (University of California at Los Angeles) (32). Cells were cultured in RPMI media (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 1% Pen/Strep. SILAC labeling and culturing was performed as described elsewhere (34). Briefly, SILAC medium consisted of RPMI 1640 medium with 25 mM HEPES, 4 mM L-Glutamine without L-Arginine and L-Lysine (ThermoScientific, Rockford, IL). Light medium was supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 0.27 mM L-lysine, and 0.58 mM L-arginine (Sigma Aldrich). Heavy medium was supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum, 0.27 mM 13C6-L-lysine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA), and 0.58 mM 13C6-L-arginine (Isotec, Miamisburg, OH). After four passages (roughly eight cell divisions) of cell expansion, the cells were washed twice in PBS and scraped and collected using modified radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.25% Sodium Deoxycholate, and 1 mM EDTA) containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors (PPI) (5 mM NaF, 5 mM β-Glycerophosphate, 1 tablet inhibitor mixture (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), and 1 mM activated sodium orthovanadate). Two replicate SILAC labeling experiments were performed on each cell line, differing only in the reversal of light and heavy isotope labels in the second replicate experiment (Fig. 1C). Eight milligrams of total cell lysate from the SkBr3/SkBr3R pair and 14 mg of total cell lysates from the BT474/ BT474R pair were collected separately. Heavy and light isotope labeled samples were combined at a 1:1 ratio based on the total protein concentration as determined via Bradford assay. Immunoprecipitation was performed as follows: the whole cell lysate was pre-cleared using protein A/G agarose (Pierce, Rockford, IL) for 4 h. After pre-clearing, the lysate samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 2 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was diluted to 40 ml using modified radioimmune precipitation assay lysis buffer supplemented with PPI, and 400 to 800 μl of two anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies were added to the lysates (equimolar amounts of immobilized phosphotyrosine, P-Tyr-100 (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA), and anti-phosphotyrosine, clone 4G10, agarose conjugate (Millipore, Billerica, MA) were used) and incubated overnight, rotating at 4 °C. Supernatant was collected and stored at −80 °C for future use, and the agarose bead pellet was washed three times in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer with PPI. Two consecutive phenyl phosphate elutions (100 μl, −500 μl each time) (Sigma Aldrich) were performed to collect the phosphoenriched fraction from the agarose beads for each pair of cell lines. Ten microfilters from each −500-μl elution fraction were collected for protein quantification and Western blot analysis. The eluate was precipitated in cold acetone at four times the sample volume overnight at −20 °C. Samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, acetone was discarded, and the pellet was dried and stored at −80 °C.

**Sample Preparation for LC-MS/MS**—215 μg (determined via Bradford assay) of phosphoenriched immunoprecipitated pellet from the SkBr3/SkBr3R and 312 μg of BT474/BT474R cells were brought to room temperature and resuspended in 8 μl urea. Samples were reduced in 10 μM dithiothreitol (Sigma Aldrich) for 1 h at 57 °C and alkylated in 55 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma Aldrich) for 1 h in the dark at room temperature. The urea in the samples was diluted to less than 2 M with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 7.5. Samples were digested with mass spectrometry grade trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI), at a ratio of 1:50 enzyme to substrate, overnight at 37 °C. The trypsin digestion was halted with the addition of formic acid (Sigma Aldrich) to a pH < 3 and desalted using PepClean C18 spin columns (Pierce). Desalted peptides were fractionated using the OFFGEL isoelectric focusing apparatus (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) across a pH gradient from 3 to 10 according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Twelve fractions were collected and desalted using PepCleanc18 spin columns, dried, and stored at −20 °C until needed for mass spectrometric analysis.

**Online TiO2 Phosphopeptide Trapping and Reversed Phase LC**—All LC solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Dried peptide samples were reconstituted in 20 μl of 0.1% formic acid in MS grade water (Pierce). Nano-flow chromatography was per-
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formed on an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano ( Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) utilizing a dual-trap configuration on two six-port valves in series with an analytical reversed phase column. 5 μl of sample was loaded onto the traps with a loading solvent consisting of 0.05% heptfluorobutyric acid (HFBA) in water onto a TiO₂ trap (200 μm inner diameter × 1 cm, 5 μm particle, Dionex) at a flow rate of 8 μl/min (35-37). Peptides not bound to the TiO₂ trap were trapped on a Acclaim® Pepmap 100 reversed phase trap (100 μm inner diameter × 2 cm, 5 μm particle, 100 Å pore, C18) (Dionex) (supplemental Fig. S1A), after which the reversed phase trap was switched in line with nano-flow pumps and the analytical column was packed in-house with Magic C18AQ stationary phase (Michrom Bioresources, Auburn, CA) (75 μm inner diameter × 15 cm, 5 μm particle, 200 Å pore) and subsequently analyzed via MS (supplemental Fig. S1C). Peptides were eluted from the analytical column with mobile phases A and B consisting of 0.1% formic acid in water and acetonitrile, respectively. The elution profile consisted of an initial solvent composition of 2% B for 5 min, followed by a gradient from 10% to 45% B over 120 min. The column was then cleaned with 90% B for 6 min before re-equilibrating at 2% B for 13 min at a 300 nl/min flow rate. After the elution and MS analysis of unbound peptides, a separate method was utilized to wash nonspecific bound peptides to waste using a 40-μl bolus of 80% acetonitrile, 20% water, 0.1% HFBA, and 2 mg/ml dihydroxybenzoic acid (2 × 20 μl injections)(supplemental Fig. S1B). Remaining peptides bound to the TiO₂ trap were eluted to the reversed phase trap with a 40-μl bolus of 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 9.4, followed by elution from the reversed phase trap and separation on the analytical column as described above for unbound peptides (supplemental Fig. S1C).

LTQ-FT MS—Mass spectrometric analysis was performed on a hybrid LTQ-FT Ultra (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) equipped with a 7 Tesla superconducting magnet (38). The pulse sequence consisted of seven events, including a broadband acquisition in profile mode with a resolving power of 100,000 at a mass of m/z. After the elution and MS analysis of unbound peptides, a separate method was utilized to wash nonspecific bound peptides to waste using a 40-μl bolus of 80% acetonitrile, 20% water, 0.1% HFBA, and 2 mg/ml dihydroxybenzoic acid (2 × 20 μl injections)(supplemental Fig. S1B). Remaining peptides bound to the TiO₂ trap were eluted to the reversed phase trap with a 40-μl bolus of 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 9.4, followed by elution from the reversed phase trap and separation on the analytical column as described above for unbound peptides (supplemental Fig. S1C).

Protein Identification and Quantification—Data files in .RAW format were submitted for protein identification and SILAC quantification in MaxQuant (version 1.1.1.25) (39) using the integrated search algorithm Andromeda (40) to search the UniProt Human database (downloaded February 10, 2010, from the European Bioinformatics Institute and consisting of 26,404 entries), in addition to a database of common contaminants. Searches were performed with a ±7 ppm precursor mass tolerance and a 0.6-Da fragment mass tolerance. Peptides of at least six amino acids and with a maximum of two missed cleavages were allowed for the analysis. Variable modifications allowed in the search included methionine oxidation and phosphorylation of serine, threonine, and tyrosine. A fixed modification for the carbamidomethylation of cysteine was also used. Andromeda reported results with a 1% peptide and protein false discovery rate (FDR). Peptides were identified only if they had two or more peptides meeting the FDR cutoff. Phosphorylation sites were identified with a 5% FDR with subsequent manual validation of their MS/MS spectra for precursor and fragment mass accuracies and percent coverage of the total spectrum intensity by the assigned sequence. Signals from peptides matching to multiple proteins were attributed proportionally based on the signal intensity of their unique peptides. Protein isoforms were identified by their unique peptides. Quantification was performed on all unique and razor peptides for a given protein, allowing for unmodified, oxidized, and phosphorylated peptides. The resulting protein quantitation values were also manually verified. MaxQuant output files containing quantitative protein data were further analyzed in Perseus, in which the Significance B calculation was used to determine statistically significant changes in protein ratios, taking into account the variation of protein ratios in addition to their abundance (39).

Annotation and Gene Ontology—Uniprot accession numbers for proteins identified within MaxQuant were mapped to their corresponding Ensembl gene identifications and uploaded to the gene ontology program PANTHER (Protein Analysis through Evolutionary Relationships, version 7.0) (41, 42). Gene lists were classified using the PANTHER classification terms, and the list of total genes, genes with significantly increased protein ratios, and genes with significantly decreased protein ratios were compared with the NCBI Homo sapiens genome in order to determine which biological processes and pathways were statistically significant and overrepresented in our lists. p values were determined via binomial statistic.

Western Blot for Protein Ratio Validation—Primary antibodies for CDCP1, focal adhesion kinase (FAK), Paxillin, HER4, and EGFR were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies. Anti-phosphotyrosine (4G10) antibody was obtained from Millipore. Immunopurification for Western blot analyses was carried out with the incubation of 1 to 2 μg of primary antibody with 1 mg of protein overnight at 4 °C. Antibody–antigen complexes were captured with protein A/G beads (Pierce) and run on SDS-PAGE.

siRNA Screen—Functional studies were performed using a Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) custom siRNA library. Two separate siRNA libraries were generated after gene accessions were submitted to Dharmacon for identified proteins with increased protein ratios in the SkBr3R cells and the BT474R cells. Pooled siRNAs with four siRNAs per target were received in a 96-well format, reconstituted in nuclease-free water, and aliquotted into daughter 96-well plates for a stock concentration of 2 μM.

Lipofectamine™ RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) reagent was incubated with the siRNA, allowed to complex for 20 min, and distributed over six 96-well plates. Trastuzumab-resistant cells were seeded at 6400 cells per well and incubated at 37 °C overnight to achieve optimal transfection efficiency. The final concentration of siRNA was 25 nM. ERBB2 siRNA (Ambion) was used as a positive control. siGENOME non-targeting siRNA pool #2 (Dharmacon), a control siRNA-A with a scrambled sequence (Santa Cruz), and a mock-treated sample that received transfection reagent only served as negative controls. Media was changed 24 h post-transfection; three replicate plates received media, and three replicate plates received media plus 100 μg/ml trastuzumab. Cells were cultured for 7 days, and media with or without trastuzumab was refreshed two times during that period. After 7 days, all six plates were treated with Alamar Blue (Invitrogen) and incubated for 2 h, and fluorescence measurements were made using a multi-mode plate reader (BioTek Synergy H4 plate reader, Winooski, VT) at an excitation wavelength of 540 nm and an emission wavelength of 585 nm, as per Ref. 43. Background fluorescence was subtracted from the raw fluorescence values and normalized to untransfected cells. Averages were taken across the three replicate plates of two independent replicate experiments for each condition. The fold change was defined as the relative fluorescence unit value for cells treated with siRNA plus 100 μg/ml trastuzumab as compared to that of cells treated with siRNA only.
RESULTS

Quantitative Proteomic Strategy—Trastuzumab-resistant cells, established in the laboratory of Dr. Dennis Slamon, were derived from the parental lines after 9 months of selection in 100 μg/ml trastuzumab (32). HER2 expression and phosphorylation levels were essentially the same in the sensitive and resistant cell lines (Fig. 1A). The resistant cell lines retained a dependence on HER2 signaling and were growth-inhibited by the HER2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor lapatinib (32) and by HER2 siRNA (supplemental Fig. S2). However, multiple differences in the overall tyrosine phosphorylation pattern were seen between the sensitive and resistant cell line pairs (Fig. 1B). In order to identify and quantify these phosphotyrosine-containing proteins, we used SILAC as a strategy to differentially label the trastuzumab sensitive and resistant pairs of cells (24, 44). Fig. 1C shows a two-state SILAC strategy in which the sensitive cells were labeled with 12C6-L-arginine and 12C6-L-lysine (light) and the resistant cells were labeled with 13C6-L-arginine and 13C6-L-lysine (heavy). A second biological replicate experiment was performed with the labeling reversed. Phosphotyrosine-containing proteins were enriched via immunoprecipitation with 4G10 and PY100 antibodies. After immunoprecipitation, the proteins were eluted with phenyl phosphate, acetone precipitated, and digested with trypsin. The resulting tryptic peptides were separated into 12 fractions using isoelectric focusing (IEF). The IEF fractions were loaded on the LC-MS system, and a second phospho-enrichment was performed using a dual-trapping method employing titanium dioxide (TiO2) and reversed phase packing materials (45). Both TiO2 bound and unbound fractions were analyzed using an LTQ-FT for protein identification. The MaxQuant computational platform running the Andromeda search algorithm was used to identify proteins at a 1% FDR (40). The average percent error of quantification was ~22% across both datasets. The average percent sequence coverage was 11.7% for the SkBr3 dataset and 9.6% for the BT474 dataset (supplemental Table S1).

The resulting SILAC protein ratios were calculated from the respective extracted ion chromatograms of phosphopeptides and non-modified peptides mapping to these proteins. The
Protein ratios represent the relative abundance of phosphotyrosine-containing proteins and their interactors in the trastuzumab-resistant cell line compared with its parental, trastuzumab-sensitive cell line. The distribution of protein ratios (Fig. 2A–2D) demonstrated that a majority of identified proteins were unchanged and clustered at a 1:1 protein ratio (0 on the log2 scale). This is expected with the analysis of paired parental and daughter cell lines. A smaller fraction of proteins showed significant quantitative change (p \textless H110210.05 according to Significance B calculation in Perseus). In these experiments, protein ratios that show significant change are suggestive of changes in protein phosphorylation, though other processes such as protein–protein interactions and large changes in protein expression could also affect the protein ratio. It is reasonable to hypothesize that proteins that exhibit significant change in protein ratios might contribute to the resistant phenotype and, with further biological validation, might be potential drug targets for overcoming or reversing trastuzumab resistance.

Proteomic Identifications—In the SkBr3 cell pair, 33 proteins showed a significantly increased protein ratio, and 28 proteins showed a significantly decreased protein ratio (Table I, Table III, and supplemental Table S1). In the BT474 cell pair, 56 proteins showed a significantly increased protein ratio, and 50 proteins showed a significantly decreased protein ratio (Table II, Table III, and supplemental Table S1). In order to obtain a global view of which biological processes and pathways were overrepresented in both SILAC datasets, we used PANTHER (41). The PANTHER analysis of biological pathways indicated that our phosphotyrosine enrichment strategy successfully resulted in the overrepresentation of several signaling pathways in SkBr3 (supplemental Fig. S3) and BT474 (supplemental Fig. S4). These signaling pathways include the EGFR, IGF1R, and PDGFR tyrosine kinase signaling pathways. Full results of the PANTHER analysis are provided in supplemental Table S2.

SkBr3 Dataset—CUB domain containing protein 1 (CDCP1)/Trask was identified as the protein with the greatest...
Several receptor tyrosine kinases showed significantly increased protein ratios. Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) and EGFR are known proteins involved in mechanisms of trastuzumab resistance and showed protein ratios of 3.6 and 1.8, respectively. The activation of IGF1R or EGFR leads to persistent signaling to downstream proteins, bypassing the inhibitory effects of trastuzumab on HER2-positive breast cancer cells (5, 6). Similarly, the Ephrin receptor EPHA1 showed a protein ratio of 2.0. Ephrin receptors play a role in the induction of angiogenesis, and they are also involved in the promotion of cell motility, attachment, and migration through activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway (55).

Notable proteins that showed a significant decrease in protein ratio included transforming growth factor β receptor 1 (TGFB1/ALK5) (Table III; protein ratio = 0.4). TGFB1/ALK5 is a cell surface receptor and serine/threonine kinase that can act both as a tumor suppressor and as a pro-oncogenic factor. It is reported to be directly involved in breast cancer and pancreatic adenocarcinomas (56–59). Other proteins that showed a decreased protein ratio are the guanine nucleotide exchange factors VAV2 and RAPGEF1.
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### Table II

| Uniprot ID | Protein name | Protein description | Ratio (BT474<sup>R</sup>:BT474) | P value |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Q0UQ05    | EPBP1/PA2G4  | ErbB-3 binding protein 1/proliferation-associated protein 2G4 | 13.6 | 1.71 × 10<sup>-20</sup> |
| Q6UO5     | FAM83A       | Protein FAM83A       | 9.7  | 8.69 × 10<sup>-16</sup> |
| Q4321     | EF3          | Embryonal Fyn-associated substrate | 8.2  | 1.02 × 10<sup>-13</sup> |
| P00053    | EGFR         | Epidermal growth factor receptor | 7.2  | 3.34 × 10<sup>-12</sup> |
| Q6V40     | LUZP1        | Leucine zipper protein 1 | 4.1  | 9.71 × 10<sup>-7</sup>  |
| Q96D7     | MYO10        | Myosin-X             | 11.8 | 1.05 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| Q59E8     | G59E8        | Proteasome 26S non-ATPase subunit 2 variant | 12.2 | 1.83 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| P1141     | G6PD         | Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase | 3.9  | 2.38 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| P80723    | BASP1        | Brain acid soluble protein 1 | 11.5 | 3.11 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| P58107    | EPPK1        | Epilakin              | 3.8  | 3.23 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| Q58B8     | G58B8        | Heat shock protein 90kDa alpha (cytosolic), class B member 1 | 10.4 | 3.86 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| Q9N2Z1    | CALML5       | Calmodulin-like protein 5 | 3.8  | 4.03 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| Q90808    | MUC5A        | Mucin-5AC (fragments) | 9.5  | 8.85 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  |
| P37268    | FDFT1        | Squalene synthetase   | 3.3  | 2.82 × 10<sup>-5</sup>  |
| Q9BV42    | CXORF26      | UPF0368 protein CXORF26 | 3.1  | 8.47 × 10<sup>-5</sup>  |
| A8MX4     | GPRC5C       | Putative uncharacterized protein GPRC5C | 3.1  | 1.05 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| P10909    | CLU          | Clusterin             | 3.1  | 1.10 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| A8K5P3    | A8K5P3       | Zinc finger protein 74 (Cos52) | 7.0  | 1.88 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| A7Y9J9    | A7Y9J9       | Mucin 5AC, oligomeric mucin/gel-forming | 6.6  | 3.19 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| P21291    | CSR1P1       | Cysteine and glycine-rich protein 1 | 5.9  | 5.00 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| Q45954    | ACADS5       | Short/branched chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | 2.7  | 5.50 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| Q07065    | CKAP4        | Cytooskeleton-associated protein 4 | 6.0  | 5.99 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| Q9988     | Q9888        | Putative uncharacterized protein | 5.7  | 6.68 × 10<sup>-4</sup>  |
| P00340    | OYTC         | Cystatin-C            | 5.0  | 1.81 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q9078     | HECTD1       | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HECTD1 | 4.9  | 2.02 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q99536    | VTA1         | Synaptic vesicle membrane protein VTA1-1 homolog | 4.7  | 2.54 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| O15551    | CLD3         | Claudin-3             | 4.4  | 4.25 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| P22307    | SCPO2        | Non-specific lipid-transfer protein | 2.3  | 4.36 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q9Y3L5    | RAP2C        | Ras-related protein Ras-2c | 4.4  | 4.67 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q8N1F7    | NUP93        | Nuclear pore complex protein Nup93 | 4.3  | 5.18 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| P15941    | MUC1         | Mucin-1               | 4.3  | 5.48 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| P09543    | CNP          | 2’,3’-cyclic-nucleotide 3’-phosphodiesterase | 2.2  | 6.52 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q75487    | GPC4         | Glypican-4            | 4.1  | 7.11 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| Q9BT16    | Q9BT16       | FLOT2 protein         | 4.0  | 8.17 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| P24493    | IB5S         | Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 5 | 3.9  | 9.09 × 10<sup>-3</sup>  |
| B4E3S2    | B4E3S2       | cDNA FLJ56561         | 3.8  | 1.05 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q8N357    | C2orf18      | Transmembrane protein C2orf18 | 2.1  | 1.12 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q95831    | AIFM1        | Apoptosis-inducing factor 1, mitochondrial | 2.1  | 1.18 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| P67936    | TPM4         | Tropomyosin alpha-4 chain | 2.1  | 1.81 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| B4DMN1    | B4DMN1       | Ras-related protein Rab-11A | 3.4  | 1.82 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| P31150    | GDI1         | Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor alpha | 3.4  | 1.84 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| B1AN3     | B1AN3        | Guanylate kinase 1   | 3.4  | 2.02 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q9Y4L1    | HYOU1        | Hypoxia up-regulated protein 1 | 2.0  | 2.22 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q14764    | MVP          | Major vault protein  | 3.3  | 2.40 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| B92VM9    | B92VM9       | Putative uncharacterized protein TCP10L2 | 3.3  | 2.55 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| P00558    | PGK1         | Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 | 1.9  | 2.60 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| P55084    | HADHβ        | Trifunctional enzyme subunit beta, mitochondrial | 1.9  | 2.95 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q14395    | Q14395       | Mucin (fragment)      | 3.1  | 3.45 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q6W4X9    | MUC6         | Mucin-6               | 3.0  | 3.49 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| A0MZ66    | SHOT1        | Shootin-1             | 3.0  | 3.53 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q4JM4    | Q4JM4        | AGR2                  | 3.0  | 3.82 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q14745    | SLCO3AR1     | Na(<sup>+</sup>)/H(<sup>+</sup>) exchange regulatory cofactor NHE-RF1 | 1.8  | 4.13 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| Q8XWQ7    | Q8XWQ7       | Propionyl coenzyme A carboxylase, alpha polypeptide | 2.8  | 4.70 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| BZ2Z24    | BZ2Z24       | Propionyl-CoA carboxylase beta chain, mitochondrial | 2.9  | 4.83 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |
| O75223    | GGCT         | Gamma-glutamylcyclotransferase | 2.9  | 4.92 × 10<sup>-2</sup>  |

BT474 Dataset—ErbB3 binding protein 1 (EBP1)/proliferation-associated protein 2G4 (PA2G4) (protein ratio = 13.6) and FAM83A (protein ratio = 9.7) were identified as the proteins with the greatest protein ratios in our BT474 SILAC sample (Table II). EBP1/PA2G4 is a negative regulator of HER3/ERBB3. EBP1/PA2G4 interacts with the juxtamem-
### TABLE III

Select proteins with significantly decreased resistant:sensitive protein ratios in SkBr3 and BT474

| Uniprot ID | Protein name | Protein description | Ratio | P value |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| B726C9    | B726C9       | Transmembrane 9 superfamily protein member 1 | 0.1   | 5.31 × 10⁻¹⁶ |
| P04254    | KRT1         | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 | 0.1   | 2.21 × 10⁻⁹ |
| Q5K4L6    | SLC27A3      | Long-chain fatty acid transport protein 3 | 0.1   | 1.86 × 10⁻⁷ |
| O15027    | SC16A        | Protein transport protein Sec16A | 0.2   | 8.80 × 10⁻⁵ |
| P52735    | VAV2         | Guanine nucleotide exchange factor VAV2 | 0.3   | 3.34 × 10⁻⁴ |
| P50454    | SERPH        | Serpin H1 | 0.3   | 6.01 × 10⁻⁴ |
| Q8I7V3    | RAPgef1      | Rap guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) 1 | 0.3   | 2.92 × 10⁻³ |
| Q9WVX9    | FAR1         | Fatty acyl-CoA reductase 1 | 0.4   | 4.19 × 10⁻³ |
| Q96BI1    | SLC22A18     | Solute carrier family 22 member 18 | 0.4   | 4.25 × 10⁻³ |
| P36897    | TGFB1R1      | TGF-beta receptor type-1 | 0.4   | 5.98 × 10⁻³ |
| Q9P0V3    | SH3BP4       | SH3 domain-binding protein 4 | 0.4   | 9.28 × 10⁻³ |
| Q9NY3Y4   | CRKRS        | Cell division cycle 2-related protein kinase 7 | 0.4   | 1.32 × 10⁻² |
| P52701    | MSH6         | DNA mismatch repair protein Msh6 | 0.4   | 1.33 × 10⁻² |
| A6N7J8    | METT5D1      | S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase METT5D1 | 0.4   | 1.49 × 10⁻² |
| P15924    | DESP         | Desmplakin | 0.4   | 2.08 × 10⁻² |
| Q638N8    | RN213        | RING finger protein 213 | 0.4   | 2.20 × 10⁻² |
| Q8IU1X    | T126B        | Transmembrane protein 126B | 0.4   | 2.52 × 10⁻² |
| Q9NN7V    | ATAD3A       | ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 3A | 0.5   | 3.03 × 10⁻⁶ |
| Q9C0E2    | XPO4         | Exportin-4 | 0.5   | 3.65 × 10⁻² |
| P50280    | ATP1B1       | Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase subunit beta-1 | 0.5   | 3.96 × 10⁻² |
| P06703    | S100A6       | Protein S100-A6 | 0.5   | 4.00 × 10⁻² |
| Q9UBF2    | COPG2        | Coatomer subunit gamma-2 | 0.5   | 4.04 × 10⁻² |
| Q14527    | HLTF         | Helicase-like transcription factor | 0.5   | 4.19 × 10⁻² |
| Q9HC07    | TMEM165      | Transmembrane protein 165 | 0.5   | 4.45 × 10⁻² |
| QT6VX0    | CYP2R1       | Vitamin D 25-hydroxylase | 0.0   | 5.03 × 10⁻²³ |
| Q99899    | FBX03        | F-box only protein 3 | 0.1   | 6.74 × 10⁻¹⁶ |
| Q9Y6S9    | RP56K1L1     | Ribosomal protein S6 kinase-like 1 | 0.1   | 7.60 × 10⁻¹⁶ |
| Q8N3P4    | VPS8         | Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 8 homolog | 0.1 | 1.47 × 10⁻¹⁵ |
| Q9H77     | CCT3         | Chaperonin containing TCP1, subunit 3 (gamma) variant (fragment) | 0.1 | 1.27 × 10⁻¹² |
| P81605    | DCD          | Dermalin | 0.1   | 3.08 × 10⁻¹² |
| Q13268    | DHR52       | Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family member 2 | 0.1 | 3.69 × 10⁻¹² |
| Q15303    | ERBB4       | Receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-4 | 0.1 | 1.93 × 10⁻¹¹ |
| P02452    | COL1A1       | Collagen alpha-1(I) chain | 0.2 | 1.61 × 10⁻⁹ |
| Q13332    | PTPRS        | Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase S | 0.2 | 2.52 × 10⁻⁹ |
| Q9NNK5    | Q2NYK5       | TUBB6 protein | 0.2 | 2.40 × 10⁻⁸ |
| Q01813    | PKP         | 6-phosphofructokinase type C | 0.2 | 4.06 × 10⁻⁸ |
| Q08554    | DSC1         | Desmocollin-1 | 0.2 | 2.77 × 10⁻⁷ |
| P00441    | SODC         | Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] | 0.1 | 1.53 × 10⁻⁶ |
| Q9G5S5    | PXN          | Paxillin | 0.3 | 9.06 × 10⁻⁶ |
| P11014    | RAP2A        | Ras-related protein Rap-2a | 0.2 | 2.60 × 10⁻⁵ |
| Q9Y5V3    | MAGED1       | Melanoma-associated antigen D1 | 0.3 | 3.56 × 10⁻⁵ |
| P27105    | STOM         | Erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein | 0.3 | 3.63 × 10⁻⁴ |
| Q6A12     | ANKR40       | Ankyrin repeat domain-containing protein 40 | 0.3 | 5.34 × 10⁻⁴ |
| P01132    | P01132       | MYH14 variant protein | 0.3 | 1.59 × 10⁻³ |
| A4D350    | KLRG2        | Killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G member 2 | 0.3 | 1.66 × 10⁻³ |
| Q95396    | MOC53        | Adenylyltransferase and sulfuryltransferase MOC53 | 0.5 | 6.82 × 10⁻³ |
| Q13829    | TAN1P4       | BTB/POZ domain-containing protein TNFAIP1 | 0.4 | 1.05 × 10⁻² |
| Q14974    | MYPT1        | Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 12A | 0.4 | 1.29 × 10⁻² |
| P56537    | EIF6         | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 6 | 0.5 | 1.63 × 10⁻² |
| P49915    | GUAA         | GMP synthase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] | 0.5 | 1.70 × 10⁻² |
| Q16615    | ATP2A2       | Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2 | 0.5 | 2.20 × 10⁻² |
| Q9GZ21    | NAT13        | N-acetyltransferase 13 | 0.5 | 2.28 × 10⁻² |
| P22061    | PIMT         | Protein-L-isosapartate (p-aspartate) O-methyltransferase | 0.5 | 2.34 × 10⁻² |
| P55060    | CSE1L        | Exportin-2 | 0.5 | 2.57 × 10⁻² |
| Q95396    | EF1A2        | Elongation factor 1-alpha 2 | 0.5 | 2.70 × 10⁻² |
brane region of HER3 and Protein Kinase C (when HER3 is not ligand bound). Upon ligand binding, EBP1/PA2G4 dissociates from HER3 and translocates to the nucleus, where it associates with Rb and E2F regulated genes (60, 61). FAM83A (also called BJ-TSA-9 or TSGP) mRNA is expressed in 52% of lung cancer tissues and was shown to be a tumor marker in circulating lung cancer cells. Although the function of FAM83A remains unclear, a correlation with lung cancer disease progression has been identified (62, 63). Similar to SkBr3, EGFR also showed an increased protein ratio in the BT474 cell line pair with a protein ratio of 7.2. This supports the previous findings of EGFR involvement in trastuzumab resistance (5). Other proteins that showed an increased protein ratio were cytoplasmic Fyn-associated substrate (EFS) (protein ratio = 8.2) and mitochondrial apoptosis-inducing factor 1 (AIFM1) (protein ratio = 2.1).

Notable proteins that showed a decrease in protein ratio (Table III) included MAGED1 (ratio = 0.24) and HER4/ERBB4 (ratio = 0.11). Melanoma antigen family D1 (MAGED1) exhibits anti-proliferative, anti-invasive, and anti-migratory effects in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines and causes morphological changes and inhibition of neurite outgrowths in neuronal cells (64, 65). Recent genomic sequencing found MAGED1 to be mutated in 5% of multiple myeloma patients (66). HER4/ERBB4 is a member of the EGFR family of receptor tyrosine kinases and is known to have both tumor suppressive and pro-oncogenic activity (67). Some of the tumor-suppressive functions of HER4/ERBB4 include growth inhibition and induced differentiation (68). Elevated levels of HER4/ERBB4 expression are associated with favorable outcomes in breast cancer and decreased recurrence rates of ductal carcinoma in situ, the precursor lesion to breast cancer (67, 69, 70).

**Validation of Protein Ratios by Western Blots**—Selected proteins from the BT474 and SkBr3 datasets were validated through immunoprecipitations and Western blots (Fig. 3). Changes in the protein ratio measured by SILAC can be due to changes in protein phosphorylation, protein expression, or a combination of both. Given that the goal of this paper is to identify and quantify protein changes in trastuzumab-resistant cells, any of these three possibilities is biologically important. EGFR gave a protein ratio of 7.2 in the BT474 dataset (Table III—continued

| Uniprot ID | Protein name | Protein description | Ratio | $P$ value |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------|-------|-----------|
| O9HCY8    | S100A14      | Protein S100-A14    | 0.5   | $2.85 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| O9Y608    | LRRF2        | Leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 2 | 0.5 | $3.19 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| O9Z616    | GCN1L1       | Translational activator GCN1 | 0.5 | $3.35 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| O9Z610    | PAWR         | PRKC apoptosis WT1 regulator protein | 0.5 | $3.49 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| B9EGR7    | ARHGEF5      | Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) 5 | 0.5 | $3.71 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| P33993    | MCM7         | DNA replication licensing factor MCM7 | 0.5 | $4.06 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| P62993    | GRB2         | Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 | 0.5 | $4.10 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| B4E3B6    | HSPA1A       | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1 | 0.6 | $4.15 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| P02786    | TFR1         | Transferrin receptor protein 1 | 0.5 | $4.37 \times 10^{-2}$ |
II). EGFR showed an increased expression level in the BT474R cells relative to the trastuzumab-sensitive BT474 cells (Figs. 3A and 3B). The absolute amount of phosphorylated EGFR was measured by means of immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody and Western blot with EGFR antibody (Fig. 3A) or by the reverse IP-Western experiment (EGFR IP and Western blot with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody; Fig. 3B). Both results showed that the absolute level of phosphorylated EGFR was increased, matching the protein ratio measured by SILAC. HER4/ERBB4 was also measured via Western blot and showed a marked decrease in expression, matching its protein ratio measurement (Fig. 3A). In the SkBr3/SkBr3R cell line pair, we measured CDCP1/Trask, FAK, and PXN (Figs. 3C and 3D). CDCP1/Trask is expressed as a 140-kDa glycoprotein and a 70-kDa cleavage product (48, 49). A marked increase in CDCP1/Trask expression was seen in SkBr3R cells, and increased CDCP1/Trask protein was detected in the anti-phosphotyrosine IP from these cells. FAK showed comparable total expression levels but increased phosphorylation in the resistant cells, matching the measured protein ratio. PXN also showed similar total protein expression levels and increased phosphorylation in the resistant cells. The phospho-specific antibody to PXN pY118 and IP-Western blot showed similar changes in PXN phosphorylation, suggesting that the phosphotyrosine IP of cell lysates prior to proteomic analysis preserves the relative abundance of phosphorylation events in the native samples (Fig. 3D). A list of the 25 quantified phosphotyrosine sites from this study and their supporting MS/MS spectra are available in supplemental Table S3 and supplemental Figs. S5 and S6.

**Functional Analysis of Identified Proteins—** To determine whether the proteins identified via MS were functionally contributing to trastuzumab resistance, we performed a focused siRNA screen. A customized, small siRNA library to the proteins that had an increased ratio was purchased. The goal was to determine whether siRNA-mediated knockdown of these proteins could restore sensitivity to trastuzumab. The motivation for this siRNA screen was to rapidly determine which of the identified proteins had the largest functional role in trastuzumab resistance, thereby generating a prioritized list of proteins to pursue in later cell biology and clinical studies.

Positive and negative controls for this siRNA screen are shown in supplemental Fig. S2. siRNA to HER2 effectively reduced HER2 expression and cell viability and served as the positive control in this screen. Negative control siRNAs did not affect the viability of the trastuzumab-resistant cells, either on their own or in combination with trastuzumab. Figs. 4A and 4B show the fold change in cell viability for the siRNA plus trastuzumab treatment relative to the siRNA alone. Two independent siRNA experiments were performed on each cell line, and each experiment contained triplicate samples. siRNAs that restore trastuzumab sensitivity to the resistant cells will give fold changes < 1, which corresponds to negative values on the log2 fold change scale. siRNAs that do not affect trastuzumab resistance will give fold changes close to 1, corresponding to 0 on the log2 scale. The top hits from the siRNA screen are CDCP1/Trask, MAP kinase 1 (MAPK1), and PXN in the SkBr3R cells and FAM83A, Epiplakin (EPPK1), and...
EFS in the BT474R cells. This siRNA screen used a pool of four siRNAs to each protein target. In order to confirm the screen results, individual siRNAs to the top hits were tested. Figs. 4C and 4D show the effect of individual siRNAs to MAPK1 in SkBr3R cells and FAM83A in BT474R cells, respectively. For MAPK1 (Fig. 4C), three of the four individual siRNAs restored trastuzumab sensitivity, and for FAM83A (Fig. 4D), all four individual siRNAs restored trastuzumab sensitivity. The ability of multiple siRNAs to restore trastuzumab sensitivity increases our confidence in these protein targets. The top hits from this screen will receive the greatest attention in our future studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Trastuzumab resistance represents a serious medical problem, with most metastatic patients developing resistance over the course of their treatment, and this contributes to an increase in patient mortality. In this study, we used quantitative proteomics and phospho-enrichment methods to analyze the changes occurring in trastuzumab-resistant breast cancer cells. We identified both known and potentially novel trastuzumab resistance proteins. A comparison of results obtained from SkBr3 and BT474 cell pairs demonstrates that there is a high degree of diversity in trastuzumab resistance. The HER2 signaling network is known to be complex (22, 24), and multiple resistance mechanisms have been previously identified (12). These results demonstrate that individual breast cancers can develop trastuzumab resistance by a wide variety of means, suggesting that molecular tests to diagnose which resistance mechanisms are active in a patient could be highly clinically useful. The development of such molecular tests could potentially guide future treatment of trastuzumab-resistant HER2 gene amplified breast cancer patients.

A focused siRNA screen was performed to determine which proteins, of those that showed a significant quantitative change, were functionally relevant to the resistant phenotype. A focused siRNA screen of the proteomic identifications is a time- and cost-effective strategy to evaluate their functional role. The siRNA strategy was designed to identify which proteins might be good drug targets for overcoming trastuzumab resistance, and it employed a library tailored to the proteins with increased ratios in the resistant cells. A converse screening strategy using siRNA to induce resistance in the sensitive cells could yield functional information on proteins with a decreased ratio. This approach is conceptually similar to the genome-wide siRNA screen performed by Berns et al. (13).

Our quantitative phosphoproteomics-siRNA screening strategy revealed several proteins related to the Src kinase pathway, including CDCP1/Trask, embryonal Fyn-associated substrate, epiplakin, focal adhesion kinase, and Paxilin. Src
has recently emerged as a promising therapeutic target for overcoming trastuzumab resistance (11), and our finding that Src-interactors have a mitigating effect in resistant cells increases the confidence in these results. In addition, several novel proteins involved in trastuzumab resistance were identified. FAM83A is a putative prognostic marker for lung cancer, but its role in breast cancer is not known, and its ability to increase trastuzumab sensitivity in these studies warrants further investigation into its biological function. Knockdown of MAPK1 reversed trastuzumab resistance in SkBr3 cells, suggesting that combining MAPK kinase pathway inhibitors with HER2 targeted drugs is a potential avenue for new therapy.

With the diversity of mechanisms that our study and others have indicated, there is an urgent need for diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets to guide the treatment of patients with trastuzumab-resistant breast cancers. The results from these analyses warrant future investigations into the specific roles that these novel proteins play in trastuzumab resistance.
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