Triterpenoids From *Kadsura coccinea* With Their Anti-inflammatory and Inhibited Proliferation of Rheumatoid Arthritis-Fibroblastoid Synovial Cells Activities
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One new 3,4-seco-17,13-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid A (1), one new 3,4-seco-17,14-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid B (2), five new 3,4-seco-lanostane triterpenoids heilaohuacids C-D (3–4) and heilaohumylesters A-C (7–9), one new 3,4-seco-cycloartane triterpenoid heilaohuacid E (5), and one new intact-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid F (6), together with twenty-two known analogues (10–31), were isolated from heilaohu. Their structures were determined using HR-ESI-MS data, 1D and 2D NMR spectra, 13C NMR calculations, and electronic circular dichroism (ECD) calculations. Heilaohuacids A and B (1 and 2) contain a 3,4-seco ring A and unprecedented migration of Me-18 from C-13 to C-17 or C-18. This type of lanostane triterpenoid derivatives was rarely reported so far. More importantly, all compounds against inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α levels on LPS-induced RAW 264.7 macrophages were evaluated, and compounds 4 and 31 significantly inhibited the release level of IL-6 with IC50 values of 8.15 and 9.86 μM, respectively. Meanwhile, compounds 17, 18, and 31 significantly inhibited proliferation of rheumatoid arthritis-fibroblastoid synovial (RA-FLS) cells in vitro with IC50 values of 7.52, 8.85, and 7.97 μM, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Schisandraceae is a famous medicinal plant family, comprising only two genera *Kadsura* and *Schisandra*. This family of medicinal plants are enriched with lanostane, cycloartane, and schinortriterpenoid (SNT) triterpenoids (Shi et al., 2015), which possesses remarkable anti-inflammation (Yu H.-H. et al., 2019), cytotoxicity (Gao et al., 2008), and anti-HIV activities (Yang et al., 2010). The dried roots of *Kadsura coccinea* called “heilaohu” in Chinese have been used in Tujia ethnomedicine to treat rheumatic arthritis (RA), gastric and duodenal ulcers, etc. (Xu et al., 2019). In the past decade, tremendous development has been made on the chemistry and biological properties of *K. coccinea*, which have yielded a number of
dibenzocyclooctadiene lignans (Liu et al., 2014) and lanostane triterpenoids (Hu et al., 2016). In our early research studies, we have reported the isolation and structural elucidation of several new triterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, and lignans from *K. coccinea* and other species of the same genus (Liu et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2019; Shehla et al., 2020). Furthermore, *Kadsura heteroclita* (Roxb.) Craib. in the same genus *Kadsura* displayed good anti-rheumatoid arthritis, anti-inflammatory, and analgesic effects (Yu H.-H. et al., 2019; Yu H. et al., 2019). Tujia ethnomedicine heilaohu also possess anti-RA agents. Herein, the phytochemistry, anti-RA-FLS cells, and anti-inflammatory activity investigations on structurally interesting triterpenoids from the roots of *K. coccinea* were carried out. One new 3,4-seco-17,13-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid A (1), one new 3,4-seco-17,14-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid B (2), five new 3,4-seco-lanostane triterpenoids heilaohuacids C-D (3–4) and heilaohumylesters A-C (7–9), one new 3,4-seco-cycloartane triterpenoid heilaohuacid E (5), and one new intact-lanostane triterpenoid heilaohuacid F (6) (Figure 1), together with twenty-two known analogues (10–31), were isolated from heilaohu (Supplementary Figure S1). Their structures were determined by various chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques. All compounds were evaluated for their anti-inflammatory effects and inhibited proliferation of RA-FLS cell activity. Herein, the isolation, structural elucidation of new compounds 1–9, along with in vitro anti-inflammatory and inhibited proliferation of RA-FLS cell activity screening will be reported.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Compound 1 was derived as a white amorphous powder, and the molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{44}$O$_{4}$, with 9 degrees of unsaturation, was deduced from the HR-ESI-MS at 491.3143 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd. for 491.3137, C$_{30}$H$_{44}$O$_{4}$Na) and its $^{13}$C NMR data. The $^1$H NMR data showed typical resonances for five tertiary methyls ($\delta_{H}$ 0.92, 0.92, 1.84, 1.74, and 1.06, each 3H, s), one double methyl ($\delta_{H}$ 0.88, d, $j$ = 6.8 Hz), and five olefinic protons ($\delta_{H}$ 5.52, 5.58, 6.89, 4.74, and 4.78, each 1H). The $^{13}$C NMR data with the aid of DEPT and HSQC spectra revealed the presence of six methyls, nine methylenes, six methines (three tri-substituted double bond), and nine quaternary carbons (two carboxyl groups). Detailed analyses of $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, DEPT, and HSQC spectra enabled all proton resonances of 1 to be attributed to their respective carbons (Tables 1, 3). The planar structure of 1 was elucidated by interpretation of HMBC and $^1$H-$^1$H COSY spectra. The $^1$H-$^1$H COSY spectrum of 1 revealed the presence of five independent spin systems ($H_2$/H-2, H-5/H-6/H-7, H-9/H$_{11}$/H-12, H$_{15}$/H-16, and H$_{21}$/H-22/H$_{23}$/H-24). The HMBC cross-peaks (Figure 2) of H-1 with C-3, H$_{2}$/C-5 and C-29, H-6 and H$_{2}$/C-8, H$_{2}$/C-13, H-12 with C-13, C-14, and C-17, H$_{2}$/C-23 and H-24 with C-24, C-25, and C-27, and H$_{2}$/C-24 and C-26 constructed that the ring A was seco between C-3 and C-4, as well as the presence of a carboxylic acid at C-26, and three double bone groups at C-7/C-8, C-12/C-13, and C-24/C-25, respectively. Importantly, the HMBC correlations from H$_{3}$/C-18 to C-17, C-16, and C-20 indicated that an unprecedented migration of Me-18 from C-13 to C-17 occurred. Accordingly, the planar structure of 1 was determined as a novel 3,4-seco-17,13-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid derivative with a tricyclic skeleton. To the best of our knowledge, this type of lanostane triterpenoid with A ring 3,4-seco along with Me-18 migration from C-13 to C-17 was rarely reported before.

The relative configuration of 1 was ascertained through interpretation of its ROESY spectrum. H$_{3}$/H-19 was assigned a $\beta$-orientation. The NOE correlations (Figure 3) of H$_{3}$/H-19 with
H-9 and H-15b, H3-18 with H-15b and H-20, and H-15a with H3-30; in addition, there are no NOE correlations between H-9 and H3-30, suggesting that H-9 and H3-18 were β-oriented, and H3-30 was α-oriented. Lack of NOE correlations of H-27 with H-24 indicate that the double bond between C-24 and C-25 has a trans-configuration. Additionally, the relative configuration of C-20 was investigated by the TDDFT to calculate the 13C NMR data for 1-1 and 1-2. As shown in Figure 4, the 13C NMR chemical shifts of isomers were calculated at the mPW1PW91/6-31+G** level. The calculation result of 1-1 ($R^2 = 0.9947$) matched the experimental data better than 1-2 ($R^2 = 0.9928$), which indicated that H3-21 has an α-orientation. To further elucidate its absolute configuration, the electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectrum of 1 was recorded in MeOH, and it showed a good agreement with the calculated ECD spectrum of the (5, 9, 10, 14, 17S, and 20R) model (Figure 5), which supported the absolute configuration of 1 should be identical to 5, 9, 10, 14, 17S, and 20R. Thus, compound 1 was elucidated as a novel 3,4-seco-17,13-friedo-lanostane triterpenoid and named heilaohuacid A, accordingly.

Heilaohuacid B (2) had the same molecular formula of $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{44}\text{O}_{4}$ as compound 1, based on the HR-ESI-MS at $m/z$ 467.3105 [M-H]$^-$ (calcd. for 467.3161, $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{43}\text{O}_{4}$). Its $^1$H NMR spectrum displayed signals characteristic of five olefinic protons at $\delta_1 6.92$ (m, H-24), 6.21 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-7), 5.39 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.5 Hz, H-6), 4.95 (br s, Hb-28), and 4.75 (br s, Ha-28). The $^1$H NMR spectrum also displayed one methyl doublet at $\delta_1 0.84$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, H-21) and five methyl groups at $\delta_1 2.27$ (H-27), 1.78 (H-29), 1.00 (H-18), 0.86 (H-19), and 0.65 (H-30). The 13C NMR and DEPT spectra data (Table 3) of 2 highlighted the presence of 30 carbon signals, including six methyls, nine methylenes, six methines, and nine quaternary carbons. This confirmed that compound 2 was a lanostane triterpenoid derivative with tricyclic skeleton. The HMBC cross-peaks (Figure 2) of H-1 with C-3, H2-28 with C-5 and C-29, H-6 with C-4 and C-8, H-7 with C-9 and C-14, H-9 and H2-15 with C-8 and C-14, H2-23 and H-24 with C-24, C-25, and C-26, and H3-27 with C-24 and C-26 constructed that the ring A was seco between C-3 and C-4, as well as the presence of a carboxylic acid at C-26, and three double bond groups at C-6/C-7, C-8/C-14, and...
The calculated ECD data of the (5S,9S,10R,13S,17S,20R) model (Figure 7). Thus, heilaohuacid B (2) was elucidated as a 5S, 9S, 10R, 13S, 17S, and 20R absolute configuration.

Compound 3 had a molecular formula of C$_{30}$H$_{46}$O$_{4}$ requiring eight indices of hydrogen deficiency by analyzing the HR-ESI-MS at m/z 469.3352 [M-H]$^-$ (calcd. for 469.3328, C$_{30}$H$_{45}$O$_{4}$). Comprehensive analysis of the 1D and 2D NMR data revealed it to be the derivative of seco-coccinic acid K (Wang et al., 2012). The differences were that a methylene group at C-12 was replaced by a conjugated ketone group ($\delta$C 205.3, C-12) and the absence of a methoxy group at C-31 in 3, which were confirmed by the HMBC correlations of H-11 with C-12, C-9, and C-13, H$_2$-2, and C$_2$-1 and H$_2$-2 with C-3. The relative configuration of H-8 was determined to be $\beta$-oriented, through the NOE correlations of H$_2$-18 with H-8. The 5S, 8S, 10S, 13R, 14S, 17R, and 20R absolute configuration of 3 was determined by comparing the experimental and calculated ECD spectra (Figure 8). Accordingly, the structure of compound 3 was deduced as shown and given the trivial name heilaohuacid C.

Compound 4 was derived as a white amorphous powder with a molecular formula of C$_{30}$H$_{46}$O$_{4}$. The molecular formula of compound 4 was determined by analyzing the HR-ESI-MS at m/z 453.3406 [M-H]$^-$ (calcd. for 453.3374, C$_{30}$H$_{45}$O$_{4}$).

| Positions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | a 1.58 m; b 1.76 m | 1.60 m | a 1.92 m; b 2.05 m | 1.71 m |
| 2 | 2.33 m | 2.29 m | 2.45 m | a 2.23 m |
| 5 | 2.08 d (6.0) | 2.61 d (5.5) | 2.21 m | 2.62 m |
| 6 | a 1.99 m | 5.39 dd (9.5, 5.5) | a 1.39 m | — |
| 7 | b 2.30 m | — | b 1.70 m | — |
| 8 | 5.52 d (3.0) | 6.21 d (9.9) | a 1.37 m | 5.92 d (2.5) |
| 9 | — | — | b 1.67 m | — |
| 11 | a 1.89 m | a 1.56 m | 5.76 s | 1.73 m |
| 12 | b 2.02, m | b 1.69 m | — | a 1.55 m |
| 15 | a 1.27 m | a 2.27 m | a 1.49 m | a 1.79 m |
| 16 | b 1.76 m | b 2.37 m | b 1.66 m | b 1.90 m |
| 17 | a 1.62 m | a 1.49 m | a 1.35 m | a 1.32 m |
| 18 | b 1.74 m | b 1.66 m | b 1.96 m | b 2.01 m |
| 19 | — | — | 2.18 m | 1.56 m |
| 20 | 0.92 s | 1.00 s | 1.08 s | 0.81 s |
| 21 | 0.92 s | 0.86 s | 1.20 s | 0.97 s |
| 22 | 1.46 m | 1.67 m | 1.96 m | 1.40 m |
| 23 | 0.88 d (8.8) | 0.84 d (8.7) | 0.96 d (8.4) | 0.91 d (6.5) |
| 24 | a 1.15 m | a 1.24 m | a 2.30 m | a 1.05 m |
| 25 | b 1.81 m | b 1.75 m | b 2.42 m | b 1.45 m |
| 26 | a 2.13 m | 2.26 m | — | a 1.88 m |
| 27 | b 2.30 m | — | b 2.05 m | — |
| 28 | 6.89 m | 6.92 m | 2.28 m | 5.09 t (8.9) |
| 29 | — | — | 2.15 m | — |
| 30 | 1.84 s | 1.85 | 0.93 d (8.8) | 1.91 s |
| 31 | 1.74 s | 1.78 s | 1.80 s | 1.87 s |
| 32 | 1.06 s | 0.65 s | 0.79 s | 1.11 s |
Comprehensive analyses of its NMR data (Tables 1, 3) suggested 4 to be a structural analogue of 3 as both shared the same 3,4-seco-lanostane triterpenoid skeleton. However, the obvious differences were that an αβ-conjugated ketone group (δC 200.2, C-6; δC 123.7, C-7; δC 175.3, C-8) shifted from ring C to ring B, a double bond at C-24/C-25 was present, but a ketone group was absent at C-23 in 4, as supported using the HMBC spectral analyses. The relative conformation was confirmed by ROESY spectral analyses. Based on the NOE correlation of H3-19 with H-9, the H-9 was classified as β-oriented. Thus, the structure of 4 was assigned as shown in Figure 1, and named heilaohuacid D.

The molecular formula of compound 5 was C30H48O3, as determined by the HR-ESI-MS ion at m/z 455.3532 [M-H]− (calcd. for 455.3525, C30H47O3), suggesting 7° of unsaturation. The 1H and 13C NMR spectra showed typical resonances for 3,4-seco ring A (δH 4.75, 4.83 (each 1H, br s, H2-28), 1.68 (3H, s, H3-29) and δC 179.4 (C-3), 149.4 (C-4), 111.6 (C-28), and a pair of methylene doublets at δH 0.42 (J = 3.8 Hz) and 0.74 (J = 3.8 Hz), characteristics of the C-19 protons and carbon of the cyclopropane ring, suggesting that six was a 3,4-seco-cycloartane triterpenoid (Yang et al., 2015). Analysis of the 1D NMR data (Tables 2, 3) revealed that the structure of 5 was very similar to nigranoic acid (25) (Sun et al., 1996). The obvious differences were the presence of a ketone group at C-23, and the absence of double bond at C-24/C-25 and carboxyl groups at C-27 in 5. The HMBC cross-peaks of H2-22 (δH 4.75, 4.83) and H2-24 (δH 2.26) and with C-23 (δC 211.4) supported the ketone group locate at C-23. In a ROESY experiment, H-8 (δH 1.58) showed correlations with H2-18 (δH 1.01), which indicated that H-8 was β-oriented. Hence, compound 5 was elucidated as shown and named heilaohuacid E.

Compound 6 was obtained as a white amorphous powder and its molecular formula was deduced to be C30H46O3 based on the HR-ESI-MS showing molecular ion at m/z 453.3364 [M-H]− (calcld. for 453.3374, C30H46O3) with 8° of unsaturation. The 1H NMR data (Table 2) showed the characteristic signals attributable to one methyl doublet at δH 0.94 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, H3-21), six methyl singlet protons at δH 1.25 (H3-18), 0.67 (H3-19), 1.84 (H3-27), 1.07 (H3-28), 1.08 (H3-29), and 0.75 (H3-30), and two olefinic protons at δH 5.31 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-11) and 6.91 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, H-24). Analyses of the 13C NMR and DEPT data (Table 3) showed that compound 6 contained seven methyls, nine methylenes, six methines (two olefinics), and eight quaternary carbons (two carboxyl group). These evidences indicated that compound 6 was an intact lanostane-type triterpenoid, whose 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopic data were very similar to those of coccinic acid (Li and Xue, 1986). The only difference was in the geometry of the double bond between C-24 and C-25. Because the carbon chemical shift of C-27 was shifted upfield by 8.0 ppm, compared with coccinic acid, this showed the presence of a double bond between C-24 and C-25 in 6, which was different with that of coccinic acid. Additionally, the configuration of 6 was determined using the ROESY spectrum (Supplementary Figure S42), in which H-27 showed correlation with H-23 but no NOE correlation was observed between H-27 and H-24, demonstrating that the geometry of the double bond...
must be E configuration (Figure 3). Therefore, the structure of 6 was elucidated as shown and assigned name heilaohuacid F.

Heilaohumethylester A (7) was assigned a molecular formula of C31H50O4 based on the HR-ESI-MS spectra and NMR data analysis (Tables 2, 3), suggesting that 7 was a methylated analogue of seco-coccinic acid C (16) (Wang et al., 2008). The presence of a methoxy group (δC 51.6, δH 3.67) was located at C-31, confirmed by the HMBC correlations of H3-31 with the carbonyl (δC 175.1) at C-3. The similar chemical shifts, coupling constants, and NOE correlations with 16 determined the relative configurations of 7. Therefore, the structure of 7 was elucidated as shown.

Compound 8 was deduced to have the molecular formula of C31H48O3 from the molecular ion at m/z 511.3734 [M + Na]+ (calcd. for C31H48O3Na, 511.3758) in the HR-ESI-MS data. The NMR data of 8 were highly similar to those of 7; HMBC spectral analysis showed that the obvious differences were absence of a ketone group at C-23 and a hydroxyl group was present at C-24 in 8. Comparison of the NMR data of 8 with those of a pair of 24-epimers (Hong et al., 2013) with the OH at C-24 possessed different orientations, 24(S)-24,25-dihydroxytirucall-7-en-3-one (δC 78.6, δH 3.32) and (24R)-24,25-dihydroxytirucall-7-en-3-one (δC 79.5, δH 3.29). This indicated that the NMR data of 8 (δC 78.8, δH 3.34) were almost similar to the corresponding 24(S)-24,25-dihydroxytirucall-7-en-3-one, suggesting that the C-24 stereochemistry should be assigned as 24S in 8. According to analysis of the NOE effect, and the ROESY cross-peaks of H3-19 with H-9, the H-9 was classified as β-oriented. Finally, the structure of 8 was identified, and named heilaohumethylester B accordingly.

Compound 9 was obtained as a white amorphous powder, and its molecular formula was found to be C31H46O3 deduced from HR-ESI-MS, indicating quasi-molecular ion peak at m/z 491.3499 [M + Na]+ (calcd. for 491.3501, C31H46O3Na). The 1H and 13C NMR data (Tables 2, 3) resembled that of 7. However, a proton signal for OH-25 was absent with the presence of a double bond between C-24 and C-25. This was supported by the carbon chemical shifts of C-25 at δC 154.8, and verified by the HMBC

FIGURE 3 | Key ROESY correlations of new compounds 1–3, 5, and 6.
correlations. The relative configurations of all the stereo-genic centers were assigned to be the same as 7. Hence, the structure of 9 was deduced as shown and named heilaohumethylester C.

Twenty-two known analogues were identified as masticadienoic acid (10) (Jain et al., 1995), abiesatrine D (11) (Yang et al., 2010), 24(E)-3,4-seco-9βH-lanosta-4 (28),7,24-triene-3,26-dioic acid (12) (Binosman et al., 1994), (24Z)-3,4-seco-tirucalla-4(28),7,24-triene-3,26-dioic acid (13) (Kim et al., 2004), seco-coccinic acids A–C, F, and G (14-18) (Wang et al., 2008; Ban et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012), kadsuracoccinic acids A and C (19 and 20) (Li et al., 2008), micranoic acid A (21) (Li et al., 2003), schiglausin H (22) (Zou et al., 2012), manwuweizic acid (23) (Liu et al., 1988), 3-monomethyl ester leucophyllic acid (24) (Abdelilah et al., 1994), nigranoic acid (25) (Sun et al., 1996), abiesatrine J (26) (Yang et al., 2010), changnanic acid (27) (Wang et al., 2006), schiglausins T (28) (Yu et al., 2016), schisandronic acid (29) (Wang et al., 2006), kadsulactone (30) (Tan et al., 1991), and schisanlactone B (31) (Wang et al., 2006), by comparison of their reported NMR spectroscopic data with those of corresponding published compounds.

The inhibited proliferation activity in RA-FLS cells of the isolated compounds (1–31) were evaluated using the MTT method, and methotrexate was used as the positive control (IC50 4.10 µM). The results (Table 4) indicated that compounds 17, 18, and 31 exhibited good inhibition activities against RA-FLS cells with IC50 values of 7.52, 8.85, and 7.97 µM, respectively. Furthermore, all isolated compounds were evaluated for their inflammatory activity on inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and TNF-α) released by LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells. The inflammatory activity of the isolated compounds was determined using ELISA kits, with methotrexate as positive control. The results (Table 4) showed that compounds 4 and 31 suppressed the TNF-α expression in cell supernatant with IC50 values of 21.41 and 16.00 µM, respectively. Compounds 4, 29, and 31 suppressed IL-6 generation with IC50 values of 8.15, 17.20, and 9.86 µM, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations of compounds were determined by a Rudolph Research Analytical Autopol III automatic polarimeter. UV analysis of compounds was performed on a Shimadzu 2450 UV-vis spectrometer. An Applied Photophysics Chirascan plus CD spectrometer was used to determine ECD spectrum. An Agilent Technologies Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer

FIGURE 4 | NMR calculations of compound 1.

FIGURE 5 | Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compound 1.
was used to determine IR spectra of compounds. $^1$H, $^{13}$C, $^1$H-$^1$H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra of compounds were determined by a Bruker AV-600 spectrometer with a single NMR probe at 600 MHz for $^1$H and 150 MHz for $^{13}$C in CDCl$_3$. HR-ESI-MS experiments were performed using Waters UHPLC-H-CLASS/XEVO G2-XS Q-tof and Agilent 6,530 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS. Column chromatographic silica gel was purchased from Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., P. R. China. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed using an Agilent 1,260 Infinity II liquid chromatograph with Agilent C18 (34 mm $\times$ 25 cm) column. Extract fractions were analyzed using TLC, and spots were visualized by heating silica gel plates sprayed with 5% H$_2$SO$_4$ in Vanillin solution. Petroleum ether (PE), hexane, ethyl acetate (EtOAc), ethanol, $n$-butanol ($n$-BuOH), methanol (MeOH), and dichloromethane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) were purchased from Shanghai Titan Scientific Co. Ltd. Acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Merck KGaA, 64,271 Darmstadt, Germany.

**Plant Material**

The dried roots of *K. coccinea* were collected from Huaihua, Hunan Province, People’s Republic of China, in July 2015. Plant material was identified by one of the co-authors (WW). A voucher specimen (2,015,071,501) was deposited at TCM and Ethnomedicine Innovation and Development International Laboratory, Innovative Materia Medica Research Institute, School of Pharmacy, Hunan University.

**FIGURE 6** | NMR calculations of compound 2.

**FIGURE 7** | Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compound 2.

**FIGURE 8** | Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compound 3.
of Chinese Medicine, Changsha, Hunan, People’s Republic of China.

**Extraction and Isolation**

The dried roots of *K. coccinea* (100 kg) were extracted twice with 80% ethanol for 2 h each time under reflux and filtered. All extract solvents were evaporated under vacuum to obtain crude EtOH extract (3 kg). Half of the whole ethanol extract (1.5 kg) was suspended in H2O and successively partitioned with PE, CH2Cl2, EtOAc, and n-BuOH to give a PE-soluble fraction (182 g), CH2Cl2-soluble fraction (545 g), EtOAc-soluble fraction (330 g), n-BuOH-soluble portion (173 g), and H2O layer. The CH2Cl2-soluble fraction (545 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CC) eluted with hexane-EtOAc (80:1-0:1) to afford twelve fractions (C1–C12). Fractions C3 (29.5 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column eluted with cyclohexane-EtOAc (200:1-0:1) gradients further to give 13 fractions (C3-1–C13) and 12 (300 mg). Subfraction C3-5 (6 g) was separated on silica gel CC with hexane-EtOAc (100:1-20:1) to give 18 (2.0 g). Subfraction C3-7 (50 mg) was purified using semi-preparative HPLC (99% MeOH in H2O) to yield compound 19 (tr 28.3 min, 5 mg). Fraction C5 (49.5 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column eluted with cyclohexane-CH2Cl2-EtOAc (80:1-0:5:1) gradients to give 12 further fractions (C5-1–C5-12). Subfraction C5-8 (5.0 g) was purified by using repeated silica gel CC eluted with hexane-EtOAc (40:1-0:1) and then by semi-preparative HPLC with the mobile phase (93% MeOH/H2O) to obtain 30 (1 mg, tr 18.3 min) and 8 (5.2 mg, tr 26.8 min). Subfraction C5-9 (5.0 g) was further purified by using silica gel CC with hexane-EtOAc (100:1-0:1) to obtain 14 further fractions (C5-9-1–C5-9-14). Compound 10 (15 mg) was isolated from subfraction C5-9-12 by using silica gel CC eluted with hexane-CHCl3 (20:1-0:1), and subfraction C5-9-11 (128 mg) was purified by using semi-preparative HPLC with the mobile phase (72% MeOH/H2O) to yield compound 19 (6 mg, tr 20.2 min) and 29 (15 mg, tr 23.8 min). Subfraction C5-10 (5.3 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel CC and eluted with hexane-EtOAc (40:1-0:1) gradients to give 15 (1.0 g). C5-9-12 (229.2 mg) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (99% MeOH in H2O) to yield compound 16 (8.5 mg, tr 26.8 min). Subfraction C5-10 (5.3 g) was further purified by using silica gel CC and eluted with hexane-EtOAc (40:1-0:1) to give 30 (1 mg, tr 18.3 min) and 8 (5.2 mg, tr 26.8 min). Subfraction C5-10 (5.3 g) was further purified by using semi-preparative HPLC with the mobile phase (72% MeOH/H2O) to yield compound 19 (6 mg, tr 20.2 min) and 29 (15 mg, tr 23.8 min). Subfraction C5-10 (5.3 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel CC and eluted with hexane-EtOAc (40:1-0:1) gradients to give 15 (1.0 g). C5-9-12 (229.2 mg) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (99% MeOH in H2O) to yield compound 16 (8.5 mg, tr 26.8 min).

### TABLE 2 | 1H NMR data of compounds 5–9 in CDCl3 (600 MHz, δ in ppm, J in Hz).

| Positions | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1         | a 1.38 m | a 1.17 m | a 1.58 m | a 1.91 m | a 1.71 m |
| 2         | b 2.07 m | b 1.56 m | b 1.70 m | b 1.97 m | b 1.29 m |
| 5         | a 2.30 m | a 1.80 m | 2.27 m | 2.27 m | 2.27 m |
| 6         | b 2.53 m | b 2.09 m | 2.09 m | 2.07 m | 2.08 m |
| 7         | a 1.28 m | a 2.11 m | a 1.96 m | a 1.96 m | 2.27 m |
| 8         | b 1.53 m | b 2.25 m | b 1.99 m | b 1.99 m | 2.27 m |
| 9         | a 1.11 m | 1.62 m | 5.32 d (3.2) | 5.32 d (3.2) | 5.31 d (3.0) |
| 10        | b 1.30 m | 1.58 m | 2.23 m | —     | —     |
| 11        | a 1.27 m | 5.31 d (6.0) | a 1.46 m | a 1.54 m | a 1.54 m |
| 12        | b 2.09 m | b 1.55 m | b 1.62 m | b 1.62 m | b 1.65 m |
| 13        | a 1.30 m | a 1.93 m | a 1.86 m | a 1.86 m | a 1.83 m |
| 14        | b 2.09 m | b 1.82 m | b 1.61 m | b 1.61 m | b 1.67 m |
| 15        | a 1.65 m | 1.38 m | a 1.47 m | a 1.50 m | a 1.53 m |
| 16        | a 1.29 m | 1.34 m | 1.90 m | 1.92 m | 1.94 m |
| 17        | b 1.86 m | b 1.89 m | 1.51 m | 1.49 m | 1.52 m |
| 18        | 1.01 s | 1.25 s | 0.79 s | 0.75 s | 0.79 s |
| 19        | 0.42 d (3.8) | 0.67 s | 0.84 s | 0.84 s | 0.84 s |
| 20        | 0.74 d (3.8) | — | — | — | — |
| 21        | 2.02 m | 1.43 m | 2.00 m | 1.46 m | 2.0 m |
| 22        | 0.87 d (6.3) | 0.94 d (6.4) | 0.88 d (6.4) | 0.88 d (6.4) | 0.88 d (6.4) |
| 23        | a 2.15 m | a 2.04 m | a 2.47 m | 1.47 m | 2.51 m |
| 24        | b 2.43 m | b 2.71 m | b 2.49 m | b 2.49 m | b 2.49 m |
| 25        | a 2.11 m | — | — | a 1.58 m | — |
| 26        | b 2.25 m | — | — | b 1.68 m | — |
| 27        | 2.62 d (6.9) | 6.91 t (7.0) | a 2.56 m | 3.34 m | 6.05 s |
| 28        | 2.14 m | — | — | — | — |
| 29        | 0.91 d (12.1) | — | — | — | — |
| 30        | 1.84 s | 1.25 s | 1.22 s | 1.22 s | 1.88 s |
| 31        | 4.75 brs | 4.83 brs | 1.07 s | 4.82 brs | 4.88 brs |
| 32        | 4.82 brs | 4.88 brs | 1.07 s | 4.82 brs | 4.88 brs |
| 33        | 1.30 s | 1.08 s | 1.80 s | 1.79 s | 1.79 s |
| 34        | 0.93 s | 0.75 s | 1.03 s | 1.03 s | 1.03 s |
| 35        | 3.67 s | 3.66 s | 3.67 s | 3.67 s | 3.67 s |
HPLC with the mobile phase (91% MeOH/H2O) obtained 22 (2.0 mg, tR 22.1 min), 11 (4.5 mg, tR 23.1 min), 7 (8.6 mg, tR 24.5 min), and 24 (4.1 mg, tR 31.7 min). Fraction C8 (15.5 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column while eluted with PE-acetone (40:1-0:1) gradients to obtain 12 fractions (C8-1–12), subfraction C8-8 (15.5 g) was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with PE-acetone (40:1-0:1) to afford twelve subfractions (C8-8-1–12), 20 (3 mg, tR 25.6 min) was isolated from the subfraction C8-8-9 (52.5 mg) by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (93% MeOH/H2O), and 28 (3 mg, tR 30.5 min) was isolated from the subfraction C8-8-8 (120 mg) by semipreparative.

### TABLE 3 | 13C NMR data of compounds 1–9 in CDCl3 (150 MHz, δ in ppm, J in Hz).

| Positions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1         | 29.4 t | 28.4 t | 28.1 t | 28.5 t | 28.8 t | 34.8 t | 29.0 t | 29.2 t | 29.1 t |
| 2         | 29.0 t | 29.9 t | 31.8 t | 28.5 t | 31.4 t | 34.8 t | 29.0 t | 29.2 t | 29.3 t |
| 3         | 180.2 s | 181.5 s | 176.3 s | 177.9 s | 179.4 s | 217.3 s | 175.1 s | 175.1 s | 175.2 s |
| 4         | 149.9 s | 145.4 s | 146.1 s | 141.1 s | 149.4 s | 47.7 s | 149.8 s | 149.9 s | 149.9 s |
| 5         | 45.2 d | 50.6 d | 48.7 d | 61.4 d | 45.9 d | 53.4 d | 45.3 d | 45.4 d | 45.5 d |
| 6         | 29.5 t | 126.5 d | 28.7 t | 200.2 s | 27.7 t | 25.9 t | 29.7 t | 29.7 t | 29.6 d |
| 7         | 118.7 d | 125.4 d | 27.3 t | 123.7 d | 25.0 t | 22.5 t | 118.7 d | 117.8 d | 118.0 d |
| 8         | 147.5 s | 124.9 s | 44.1 d | 175.3 s | 47.7 t | 41.9 d | 146.3 s | 146.6 s | 146.6 s |
| 9         | 43.8 d | 39.5 d | 163.5 s | 40.3 d | 21.3 s | 147.1 s | 38.7 d | 38.8 d | 38.9 d |
| 10        | 36.1 s | 37.1 s | 43.5 s | 42.0 s | 27.0 s | 39.1 s | 36.3 s | 36.3 s | 36.5 s |
| 11        | 22.4 t | 19.7 t | 122.3 d | 17.8 t | 26.9 t | 116.2 d | 18.5 t | 18.6 t | 18.7 t |
| 12        | 118.9 d | 32.3 t | 205.3 s | 33.1 t | 35.5 t | 37.2 t | 33.8 t | 33.6 t | 34.0 t |
| 13        | 155.9 s | 47.5 s | 57.5 s | 43.1 s | 45.2 s | 44.4 s | 45.8 s | 45.7 s | 43.9 s |
| 14        | 48.0 s | 147.2 s | 51.0 s | 53.3 s | 49.1 s | 47.0 s | 51.6 s | 51.6 s | 51.7 s |
| 15        | 35.6 t | 23.9 t | 32.6 t | 32.8 t | 32.9 t | 33.9 t | 34.0 t | 34.0 t | 34.2 t |
| 16        | 38.6 t | 36.5 t | 28.0 t | 27.7 t | 28.3 t | 28.0 t | 28.4 t | 28.5 t | 28.6 t |
| 17        | 48.4 s | 49.4 s | 44.0 d | 52.5 d | 52.3 d | 50.9 d | 53.0 d | 53.2 d | 53.4 d |
| 18        | 26.0 q | 21.8 q | 13.1 q | 21.7 q | 29.9 t | 21.8 q | 21.8 q | 21.7 q | 21.9 q |
| 19        | 24.0 q | 21.8 q | 26.7 q | 22.8 q | 29.9 t | 14.4 q | 21.6 q | 21.6 q | 21.6 q |
| 20        | 40.5 d | 36.4 d | 33.0 d | 35.7 t | 32.8 d | 36.0 d | 32.9 d | 34.1 d | 33.6 d |
| 21        | 14.9 q | 14.7 q | 20.6 q | 18.3 q | 19.4 q | 18.2 q | 19.4 q | 18.3 q | 19.6 q |
| 22        | 30.7 t | 30.3 t | 50.5 t | 35.9 t | 50.8 t | 34.9 t | 51.7 t | 36.0 t | 51.7 t |
| 23        | 27.5 t | 26.1 t | 211.3 s | 25.0 t | 211.4 s | 25.9 t | 213.7 s | 230.1 t | 201.8 s |
| 24        | 143.9 t | 145.9 d | 50.1 t | 124.9 d | 52.6 t | 145.7 d | 53.6 t | 78.8 d | 124.5 d |
| 25        | 126.8 s | 127.4 s | 24.6 t | 131.2 s | 24.6 t | 126.6 s | 69.7 s | 73.2 s | 154.8 s |
| 26        | 173.1 s | 173.9 s | 22.7 t | 17.7 t | 22.6 t | 172.6 s | 29.3 t | 26.6 q | 27.8 q |
| 27        | 12.2 q | 12.1 q | 22.6 t | 25.7 t | 22.7 t | 12.0 q | 29.4 t | 23.3 q | 20.8 q |
| 28        | 112.5 t | 115.5 t | 114.9 t | 114.5 t | 111.6 t | 22.1 t | 111.9 t | 111.9 t | 112.0 t |
| 29        | 25.3 q | 24.8 q | 23.4 q | 26.3 q | 19.8 q | 25.6 q | 26.0 q | 26.0 q | 26.1 q |
| 30        | 28.4 q | 15.7 q | 17.9 q | 25.1 q | 19.3 q | 18.4 q | 27.5 q | 27.5 q | 27.6 q |
| 31        | 51.6 q | 51.6 q | 51.6 q | 51.7 q | 51.7 q | 51.8 q | 51.8 q | 51.9 q | 51.9 q |

### TABLE 4 | Anti-inflammatory and anti-RA-FLS activities data of compounds 1–31.

| Compounds | Anti-inflammatory cytokinesa IC50 (μM) | Anti-RA-FLS activityb IC50 (μM) |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|            | TNF-α      | IL-6                  | RA-FLS                        |
| 4          | 21.41 t    | 8.15                 | NAa                             |
| 17         | NAa        | NAa                  | 7.52                            |
| 18         | NAa        | NAa                  | 8.85                            |
| 29         | 17.20 t    | 9.66                 | NAa                             |
| 31         | 16.00      | 9.86                 | 7.97                            |
| Methotrexatc | 1.10              | 4.51                 | 4.10                            |

aInhibitory effects on LPS-stimulated TNF-α, and IL-6, generations in LPS-induced RAW, 264.7 cells.
bCytotoxicity against RA-FLS, cells.
cPositive drug.
dNo activity.
HPLC with the mobile phase (73% MeOH/H2O, 0–30 min → 88% MeOH/H2O, 31–81 min → 100% MeOH, 82–120 min). Compound 2 (3 mg, tR 24.3 min) was isolated by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (90% MeOH/H2O) from subfraction C9-8-15 (50 mg), subfraction C9-8-13 (68.7 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (70% MeOH/H2O) to obtain 4 (5 mg, tR 38.3 min), subfraction C9-8-14 (135 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (76% MeOH/H2O, 0–17 min → 85% MeOH/H2O, 18–30 min → 90% MeOH/H2O, 31–60 min) to obtain 25 (12 mg, tR 57.5 min), and 27 (5 mg, tR 55.7 min), subfraction C9-8-15 (181.7 mg) was separated on C18 column eluted with 30% MeOH/H2O to yield 21 (4 mg). Subfraction C9-10 (8.0 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with hexane-acetone (40:1-0:1) gradients to 16 (1.0 g). Subfraction C9-12 (3.6 g) was repeatedly purified by silica gel CC with CHCl3-EtOAc (40:1-0:1) to obtain 12 further fractions (C9-12-1–12), and subfraction C9-12-2 (89.8 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (76% MeOH) to yield 31 (8 mg, tR 32.9 min). C9-12-5 (22.9 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (90% MeOH/H2O) to yield 23 (14.1 mg, tR 23.0 min) and 3 (11.6 mg, tR 25.8 min). C9-12-6 (50.0 mg) was purified by semipreparative HPLC with the mobile phase (92% MeOH/H2O) to yield 6 (7.6 mg, tR 15.8 min) and 5 (1.3 mg, tR 17.4 min).

The EtOAc-soluble fraction (330 g) was applied to silica gel column chromatography, eluted with PE-EtOAc (100:0-0:100) to give twelve fractions (Fr. E1–E12). Fr. E5 (90 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography using Hexane-EtOAc (100%-0) to afford eight subfractions (Fr. E5-1–8). Fr. E5-3 was purified by semipreparative HPLC (80% MeOH, 20 min) to obtain compound 9 (18.2 mg).

**SPECTROSCOPIC DATA**

### Heilaohuacids A (1)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 11 (c 0.01 CHCl3); UV (CHCl3) λmax (log ε) 219 (4.2) nm, 248 (1.8) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 2,926, 2,853, 1,718, 1,696, 1,656, 1,561, 1,457, 1,372, 1,260, 962, and 900 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 1, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 491.3143 [M + Na]+ (calcd. for C30H44O4Na, 491.3137).

### Heilaohuacids B (2)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 39 (c 0.02 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 212 (6.7) nm, 252 (6.2) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,525, 2,972, 2,866, 1,791, 1,696, 1,652, 1,569, 1,472, 1,394, 1,056, 1,032, and 746 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 1, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 467.3105 [M-H]+ (calcd. for 467.3161, C30H43O4).

### Heilaohuacids C (3)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 67 (c 0.07 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 204 (3.5) nm, 250 (2.8) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,414, 1,699, 1,652, 1,457, 1,372, 1,260, 962, 900, and 668 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 1, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 469.3352 [M-H]+ (calcd. for 469.3328).

### Heilaohuacids D (4)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 38 (c 0.04 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 206 (6.4) nm, 245 (6.3) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,628, 2,950, 1,771, 1,558, 1,436, 1,374, 1,260, 1,032, 667, and 646 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 1, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 453.3406 [M-H]+ (calcd. for 453.3374, C30H43O4).

### Heilaohuacids E (5)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D + 19 (c 0.02 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 204 (6.3) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,401, 3,223, 2,972, 2,856, 1,749, 1,699, 1,558, 1,460, 1,246, 1,056, and 643 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 2, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 455.3532 [M-H]+ (calcd. for 455.3525, C30H43O4).

### Heilaohuacids F (6)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 83 (c 0.06 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 204 (3.6) nm, 240 (2.8) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 2,944, 2,899, 1,715, 1,652, 1,448, 1,402, 1,372, 1,280, 959, and 900 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 2, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 453.3634 [M-H]+ (calcd. for 453.3621, C30H43O4).

### Heilaohumethylester A (7)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 72 (c 0.02 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 205 (6.6) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,369, 2,946, 2,833, 1,699, 1,635, 1,506, 1,456, 1,372, 1,035, and 667 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 2, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 509.3561 [M + Na]+ (calcd. for 509.3601, C31H50O4Na).

### Heilaohumethylester B (8)
White amorphous powder [α]25 D - 88 (c 0.03 MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 205 (6.5) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3,518, 3,199, 2,963, 1,718, 1,653, 1,558, 1,260, 1,014, 804, and 650 cm−1; 1H- and 13C NMR data, see Tables 2, 3; HR-ESI-MS m/z 511.3734 [M + Na]+ (calcd. for C31H52O4Na, 511.3758).

### ECD Calculations
Methods of quantum chemical ECD calculations for compounds 1–3 are described in the Supporting Information (Supplementary Figure S1).

### NMR Calculations
Methods of 13C NMR calculations for compounds 1 and 2 are described in the Supporting Information (Supplementary Figure S1).

### Cell Culture
Human RA-FLS cell line was purchased from Fenghui Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Changsha, China). RAW264.7 cell line was purchased from Fuheg Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Human RA-FLS and RAW264.7 cells were
cultured in DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS and DMEM with 10% FBS in 5% CO₂ at 37°C, respectively.

Anti-Inflammatory Bioassay
Inhibition effects of all compounds (1–31) on release of inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and TNF-α) in the supernatants on LPS-induced RAW264.7 cells were determined using ELISA kits (BOSTER Biological Technology Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Methotrexate was used as a positive control.

Inhibited Proliferation Activity Against RA-FLS Bioassay
Inhibited proliferation activity against RA-FLS cells was determined by the standard MTT assay methods as described previously. RA-FLS cells were seeded into 96-well plates and treated with different concentrations of all compounds for 48 h. Ten microliters of MTT (5 mg/ml) was then added to each well and incubated for 4 h. The supernatants were retrieved, and 100 μl of DMSO was added to each well and mixed by shaking for 5 min. Optical density values at 490 nm were measured using a microplate reader.

CONCLUSION
The roots of K. coccinea, as a Tujia ethnomedicine, have been used to treat rheumatoid arthritis for a long time in China. The present study has reported that nine new triterpenoids (1–9), along with 22 known analogues (10–31), were isolated from the roots of K. coccinea. Heilalohuacids A and B (1 and 2) contain a 3,4-seco ring A and unprecedented migration of Me-18 from C-13 to C-17 or C-14 to C-18; their relative and absolute configurations were determined by 13C NMR calculations and ECD data analysis. To the best of our knowledge, this type of lanostane triterpenoid derivative was rarely reported so far, which enriched the structural types of lanostane triterpenoids in K. coccinea. Additionally, compounds 4, 17, 18, 29, and 31 showed good anti-RA and/or anti-inflammatory activities. These findings suggest that lanostane triterpenoids from K. coccinea might serve as therapeutic agents for RA treatment.
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