Comparative analysis of the intestinal flora in type 2 diabetes and nondiabetic mice
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Abstract: A relationship between type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and intestinal flora has been suggested since development of analysis technology for intestinal flora. An animal model of T2DM is important for investigation of T2DM. Although there are some animal models of T2DM, a comparison of the intestinal flora of healthy animals with that of T2DM animals has not yet been reported. The intestinal flora of Tsumura Suzuki Obese Diabetes (TSOD) mice was compared with that of Tsumura, Suzuki, Non Obesity (TSNO) mice in the present study. The TSOD mice showed typical type 2 diabetes symptoms, which were high-fat diet-independent. The TSOD and the TSNO mouse models were derived from the same strain, ddY. In this study, we compared the intestinal flora of TSOD mice with that of TSNO mice at 5 and 12 weeks of age. We determined that that the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) was significantly higher in the cecum of TSOD mice than in that of TSNO mice. The intestinal flora of the cecum and that of the feces were similar between the TSNO and the TSOD strains. The dominant bacteria in the cecum and feces were of the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. However, the content of some bacterial species varied between the two strains. The percentage of Lactobacillus spp. within the general intestinal flora was higher in TSOD mice than in TSNO mice. In contrast, the percentages of order Bacteroidales and family Lachnospiraceae were higher in TSNO mice than in TSOD mice. Some species were observed only in TSOD mice, such as genera Turicibacter and SMB53 (family Clostridiaceae), the percentage of which were 3.8% and 2.0%, respectively. Although further analysis of the metabolism of the individual bacteria in the intestinal flora is essential, genera Turicibacter and SMB53 may be important for the abnormal metabolism of type 2 diabetes.
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Introduction

Several species of bacteria can form complicated ecosystems in the intestines. These bacteria are referred to as intestinal flora. The constituent bacteria of the intestinal flora are affected by various environmental factors such as diet. Furthermore, the composition of the intestinal flora varies depending on the generations. Recently, investigations on the interactions of the intestinal flora with disease and beauty care have increased [14,
For example, “equol-producing bacteria” in the intestinal flora were shown to be associated with prevention of menopausal discomfort [29]. The intestinal flora is also reported to be associated with gut immunity and lifestyle-related diseases [17]. T2DM is an important lifestyle disease. Features of T2DM are glucose tolerance, hyposecretion, and insulin resistance. According to the Global Report on Diabetes by the World Health Organization (WHO) [42], the number of individuals with diabetes was 422 million in 2014, indicating its global prevalence. However, the etiology of T2DM is complex. The cause of the disease is still unclear. Generally, genetic and environmental factors are considered the causes of T2DM [39, 44]. Interestingly, recent studies have suggested interactions between T2DM and the intestinal flora [5, 19, 40]. For example, a decrease in intestinal Akkermansia muciniphila was observed prior to the incidence of DM and inflammatory bowel disease [43]. However, our current understanding of the interaction between T2DM and the intestinal flora is still incomplete. In order to fully understand this proposed association, studies using animal models are essential. The mouse is typically utilized as a T2DM model. Although the composition of the intestinal flora differs between mice and humans, understanding the differences in the intestinal flora between T2DM animals and healthy animals is still important. Generally, T2DM mouse models are generated as a result of intake of a high-fat diet. This model mimics human T2DM, because many cases of human T2DM are also caused by obesity, which is the result of overeating and physical inactivity. Although a high-fat-intake mouse model is suitable for mimicking human T2DM, it should be noted that diet affects the intestinal flora. In particular, high-fat diets affect the intestinal flora [3, 26]. Therefore, if the intestinal flora is analyzed for a mouse model with intake of a high-fat diet compared with that of healthy mice, the cause of any observed difference in the intestinal flora becomes complex. We should therefore consider not only diabetes but also components of the diet as causes of the variation in intestinal flora. Currently, the complicated relationship between diabetes and the intestinal flora is generating significant debate in the scientific community. Therefore, we analyzed the intestinal flora of a simple mouse model of diabetes in comparison with that of nondiabetic mice. Tsumura Suzuki Obese Diabetes (TSOD) mice are inbred mice derived from the ddY strain [36]. TSOD mice exhibit symptoms similar to human T2DM. However, the T2DM symptoms of the TSOD mouse do not depend on intake of a high-fat diet. The T2DM symptoms of TSOD are caused by both genetic and environmental factors, which means that and overeating. It has been reported that three quantitative trait loci are involved in the T2DM symptoms in TSOD mouse [13]. The control mouse model was the Tsumura, Suzuki, Non Obesity (TSNO) mouse, which does not have diabetes. TSNO mice are also derived from the ddY strain. Compared with TSNO mice, TSOD mice eat a heavy diet. As a result, their body weight increases with age. In addition, their blood glucose and insulin levels increase [36]. The TSOD strain also shows increases in the total cholesterol and triglyceride (TG) levels in the blood Increases in TNF-α, IL-6, and oxidative stress markers in the blood have also been reported [27]. Although previous studies have investigated diabetes symptoms, no study has compared the intestinal flora between these strains. Therefore, to collect basic data for animal models of diabetes, we compared the intestinal flora of the TSOD mouse with that of the TSNO mouse.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental design**

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) (Authorization reference number: Dou2015-246). We employed two different animal strains, TSNO and TSOD. Both strains were derived from the same strain of mice, ddY. Four- and eleven-week-old male TSOD mice and male TSNO mice (control) were obtained from the Institute for Animal Reproduction (Ibaraki, Japan). The animals were housed individually and had free access to standard food (MF; Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and water. The animal room was maintained at 23 ± 2°C and 50 ± 10% humidity under a 12 h light (8:00–20:00) and dark (20:00–8:00) cycle. Mice were habituated for 1 week and then dissected. The mice were anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). During autopsy, blood was taken from the heart, and the intestine was excised. The intestine was immediately frozen using dry ice.

DNA elution and intestinal flora analysis were performed in 4 different groups of animals: 5-week-old...
TSNO mice (n=5), 5-week-old TSOD mice (n=5), 12-week-old TSNO mice (n=5), and 12-week-old TSOD mice (n=5). Twelve-week-old TSOD mice developed diabetes. Small intestine content, cecal content, and feces were analyzed in all experimental animals. After 1 week of habituation, body weight was assessed. Blood was then collected from the heart under anesthesia, and the blood sugar level was immediately measured. After hemorrhagic death, the small and large intestines were collected into 15 ml tubes. The intestines were immediately frozen using dry ice and stored at −80°C until examination. The tissue samples were thawed on ice, and the large intestine samples were divided into the cecum, ileum, and rectum by surgical knife. The contents of the intestine were collected using a spatula. The small intestine was flushed with 1 ml of physiological saline using a syringe. The lavage fluid was collected and centrifuged at 20,000× g for 5 min, and the precipitate was used for testing.

Biochemical assay

Blood sugar levels were measured using FreeStyle Freedom Lite (NIPRO Corporation, Osaka, Japan). Plasma insulin concentration was measured using a Mouse Insulin ELISA KIT (Shibayagi Co., Ltd., Shibukawa, Japan). The concentration of macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2) in plasma was measured using a Mouse CXCL2/MIP-2 Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). The lipid peroxidation products, 10-hydroxy-8(E)-octadecadienoic acid (10-(E)-HODE) and 12-hydroxy-9Z,13E-octadecadienoic acid (12-(Z,E)-HODE), were obtained from Larodan Fine Chemicals (Solna, Stockholm, Sweden). The levels of HODEs were measured by the slightly modified method of a previously report [38].

DNA extraction

Frozen tissue samples were melted on ice, and DNA was extracted by ISOFECAL for Beads Beating (Nippon Gene Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Because the weights of the collected individual intestine samples were different, the maximum weight of the sample was collected into tubes. For feces, approximately 100 mg was collected per sample. DNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol, excluding instructions regarding sample weight. The size of the eluted DNA was assessed using a Genofield system (ATTO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The purity was estimated based on the absorbance at 260/A280 measured by a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The concentration of double-stranded DNA was measured using a Varioskan Flash spectral scanning multimode reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific) along with PicoGreen (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Preparation of a DNA library

DNA samples of small intestine content were prepared at 1.5 ng/µl. Other DNA samples were prepared at 5 ng/µl. The sequences of forward and reverse primers with adapters were as follows: 5‘-TCGTCGACGCACTCAGATG T G T A T A A G A G A C A G - N N - [CCTACGGGNNCCWGACAG]-3‘ (Miseq-1st-Bakt_341F) and 5’-GCTCTCCTGGTCGAGATGTAGT A T A A G A G A C A G - N N - [GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC]-3‘ (Miseq-1st-Bakt_805R). The overhang sequences are underlined, and the 16S rRNA-specific sequences have previously been described [8, 12]. The first PCR amplification was performed (n=3) using TaKaRa Ex Taq HS DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Kusatsu, Japan) with the following program: one cycle at 94°C for 2 min; 19–26 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s; and finally a hold at 12°C. PCR amplification was conducted in a total volume of 25 µl containing 2.5 µl of 10× Ex Taq Buffer, 2.5 µl of dNTP mixture (2.5 mM each), 1 µl of each primer (6 µM), 0.2 µl of TaKaRa Ex Taq HS (5 U/µl), 2 µl of template DNA, and 15.8 µl of sterilized distilled water. The three PCR samples were collected in one tube, and the PCR products were purified using SPRItselect Beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA). Subsequently, secondary PCR was performed using Illumina Nextera XT Index primers (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Secondary PCR amplification was done using KOD -Plus- Ver.2 DNA polymerase (Toyobo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) with the following program: one cycle at 94°C for 2 min; 8 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 45 s; and finally a hold at 12°C. PCR amplification was conducted in a total volume of 50 µl containing 5 µl of 10× buffer for KOD -Plus- Ver.2, 5 µl of dNTPs (2 mM each), 3 µl of 25 mM MgSO4, 1.5 µl of Index 1 (17) N7 x x Primer (6 µM), 1.5 µl of Index 2 (15) S5 x x Primer (6 µM), 1 µl of KOD -Plus- (1 U/µl), 5 µl of template DNA (1 ng/µl), and 28 µl of sterilized distilled water. The PCR products were purified again using SPRItselect
Beads. The sequence run was performed by paired-end sequencing (300 bp × 2) using the Miseq Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles). DNA sequences were deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive under accession number DRA005323.

**Data analysis**

The forward and reverse paired-end reads with 300 bp were trimmed to 258 bp using fastx_trimmer with options (-f 3 and -l 260) in FASTX-Toolkit 0.0.14 (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). Bacterial community analyses based on 16S rRNA genes were performed using the QIIME software package (Version 1.8.0).
1.9.1) [6] and the Greengenes 13_8 dataset [7] as reference. The trimmed reads were joined according to the fastq-join parameter [2] within the join_paired_ends.py script. The joined reads were quality filtered and merged into one file using the split_libraries_fastq.py script with options (–q 19 and –barcode_type ‘not-barcoded’). The sequences were clustered at 97% sequence identity using USEARCH v6.1.544 [9] against the Greengenes dataset. Chimeric sequences were detected using the Chimeras Slayer algorithm [10] within the identify_chimeric_seqs.py script. After filtering of the chimeric sequences, realignment using the assign_taxonomy.py script along with the RDP classifier [41] was performed to assign the taxonomy of each representative sequence of OTUs. To calculate beta diversity, the UniFrac [21] was used within the beta_diversity_through_plots.py script along with a tree file that was constructed with a set of representative sequences of the OTUs using the make_phylogeny.py script with the default parameter. The alpha diversity was calculated using the alpha_diversity.py script. Both diversities were calculated using 72,000 reads.

**Results**

**Body weight, blood glucose level, and biochemical analysis**

Compared with the TSNO mice, the body weights of the TSOD mice were higher in both 5-week-old and 12-week-old mice (Fig. 1). The body weights of the TSOD mice were 164 and 170% higher than those of the TSNO mice at 5 and 12 weeks of age, respectively. Furthermore, the blood sugar levels of the TSOD mice were 158 and 197% higher at 5 and 12 weeks of age, respectively, than those of the age-matched TSNO mice. The plasma insulin level was also higher in TSOD mice than in TSNO mice. The plasma insulin levels of the TSOD mice were 8.7 and 10.3 times higher than those of the TSNO mice at 5 and 12 weeks of age, respectively, than those of the age-matched TSNO mice. The plasma insulin level was also higher in TSOD mice than in TSNO mice. The plasma insulin levels of the TSOD mice were 8.7 and 10.3 times higher than those of the TSNO mice at 5 and 12 weeks of age, respectively. These results suggest that 12-week-old TSOD mice exhibited a diabetic state. Moreover, the plasma MIP-2 concentration in TSOD mice was significantly higher than in TSNO mice. Lipid hydroperoxides, 10- and 12-(Z,E)-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acids (HODE), in blood increase in early stage of type 2 diabetes [28, 38]. These lipid hydroperoxides were significantly higher in 5-week-old TSOD mice than in TSNO mice. There were no significant differences in 10- and 12-(Z,E)-HODE between the 12-week-old TSOD mice and TSNO mice. According to these data, 5-week-old TSOD mice were in the preclinical stage of T2DM, and 12-week-old TSOD mice had T2DM.

**Analysis of intestinal flora**

The detected number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) was significantly higher in the ceca of 5-week-old (P<0.05) and 12-week-old TSOD (P<0.01) mice than in the TSNO mice. Although there were no significant differences in other parts between TSOD and TSNO mice, the number of OTUs was higher in TSOD mice on average (Fig. 2). The detected bacteria in each sample are shown by phylum level in Fig. 3 and by species level in Fig. 4. The number of bacterial species in feces that showed significant differences between 12-week-old TSOD and TSNO mice was 26 (Table 1). The small intestine was subject to occupancy by the Firmicutes bacteria (>91.0%). In TSNO mice, the dominant species were Candidatus Arthromitus spp. (26.6–83.2%) in 5-week-old mice and Lactobacillus spp. (51.1–63.4%) in 12-week-old mice. In TSOD mice, the dominant species were Lactobacillus spp. in both 5- and 12-week-old mice (54.9–82.0% and 59.1–77.5%, respectively). The microbial flora in the cecum was similar to that in the feces. The dominant phyla in the cecum and the feces were Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. Candidate bacteria belonging to the family Lachnospiraceae (6.2–24.1%) and the genera Lactobacillus (3.4–32.3%), Oscillospira (1.4–6.0%), and Ruminococcus (0.3–4.1%) were detected as major bacteria from within the phylum Firmicutes. Candidate bacteria belonging to the family
S24-7 (6.4–32.9%), family Rikenellaceae (0.7–11.0%), and genus *Prevotella* (0.1–3.1%) and *Bacteroides acidifaciens* (0.9–10.8%) were detected as major bacteria from within the phylum *Bacteroidetes*.

In order to compare the diversity among each bacterial flora, the UniFrac distance was calculated, and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed (Fig. 5). As shown in Fig. 5, the small intestine content was positioned away from the other parts examined in the PCoA. According to the unweighted UniFrac analysis, the detected species were different between the TSNO and TSOD mice. However, weighted UniFrac analysis showed that the interstrain difference in flora between TSOD and TSNO mice was small except in the small intestine content. Bifidobacteria were detected only in TSOD mice (Table 1). Significant interstrain differences were observed in 26 bacterial species in the feces of 12-week-old mice (Table 1). The percentage of *Lactobacillus* spp. against general intestinal flora was higher in TSOD mice than in TSNO mice. Additionally, the

---

**Fig. 3.** Intestinal flora of individual mice at the phylum level. DNA was extracted from the small intestine content, cecum content, and feces, and the bacterial flora was analyzed. “Others” includes phyla that accounted for less than 1% in all samples.
Fig. 4. Intestinal flora of individual mice at the species level. DNA was extracted from the small intestine content, cecum content, and feces, and the bacterial flora was analyzed. “Others” includes species that accounted for less than 1% in all samples.
Table 1. Bacterial species that showed significant differences in feces between 12-weeks-old TSOD and TSNO mice

| Phylum              | Class             | Order                        | Family                           | Genus                        | Species                          | TSNO     | TSOD     | P value (t-test) |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| Firmicutes          | Bacilli           | Turicibacterales             | Turicibacteraceae                | Turicibacter                  | 0.000%                           | 3.838%   | 0.003    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Erysipelotrichi   | Erysipelotrichales           | Erysipelotrichaceae              | Coprobacillus                | 0.278%                           | 0.023%   | 0.003    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Peptostreptococcaceae            | Clostridium ruminantium       | 0.000%                           | 0.004%   | 0.005    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Clostridiaceae                   | SMB53                        | 0.000%                           | 2.004%   | 0.005    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Bacilli           | Lactobacillales              | Lactobacillaceae                 | Lactobacillus                | 8.997%                           | 20.668%  | 0.006    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Lachnospiraceae                  | Coprococcus                  | 1.681%                           | 0.956%   | 0.009    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Lachnospiraceae [Ruminococcus]   |                             | 0.000%                           | 0.031%   | 0.009    |                 |
| Proteobacteria      | Deltaproteobacteria | Desulfovibrionales           | Desulfovibrionaceae             | Bilophila                    | 0.000%                           | 0.048%   | 0.009    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Peptostreptococcaceae            | Other                        | 0.000%                           | 0.005%   | 0.011    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Peptococcaceae                  |                             | 0.028%                           | 0.012%   | 0.013    |                 |
| Bacteroidetes       | Bacteroidia       | Bacteroidales                | S24-7                           | 24.444%                      | 14.887%                          | 0.013    |          |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Erysipelotrichi   | Erysipelotrichales           | Erysipelotrichaceae              |                             | 0.719%                           | 0.215%   | 0.014    |                 |
| Proteobacteria      | Gammaproteobacteria | Entero bacteriales           | Entero bacteriaceae             | Escherichia coli             | 0.002%                           | 0.023%   | 0.018    |                 |
| Actinobacteria      | Actinobacteria    | Fibidobacteriales            | Fibidobacteriaceae              | Bifidobacterium pseudolongum | 0.000%                           | 0.153%   | 0.019    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | SHA-98                       | Lachnospiraceae                 |                             | 0.000%                           | 0.011%   | 0.020    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Lachnospiraceae                 |                             | 17.931%                          | 10.719%  | 0.028    |                 |
| Proteobacteria      | Betaproteobacteria | Burkholderiales              | Alcaligenaceae                  | Sutterella                   | 0.338%                           | 0.160%   | 0.029    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Ruminococcaceae                 | Anaerotruncus                | 0.704%                           | 0.347%   | 0.031    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Clostridaceae                   | Clostridium celatum          | 0.000%                           | 1.128%   | 0.032    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Lachnospiraceae                 | Clostridium colinum          | 0.065%                           | 0.016%   | 0.035    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Clostridiales                   |                             | 0.000%                           | 0.115%   | 0.037    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Ruminococcaceae                 | Oscillospora                  | 0.001%                           | 0.000%   | 0.040    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Lachnospiraceae                 | Defluvitalea saccharophila   | 0.000%                           | 0.001%   | 0.041    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Ruminococcaceae                 | Ruminococcus callidus        | 0.280%                           | 1.081%   | 0.043    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Dehalobacteriaceae              | Dehalobacterium              | 0.177%                           | 0.131%   | 0.044    |                 |
| Firmicutes          | Clostridia        | Clostridiales                | Clostridiales                   | Eubacteriaceae               | 0.004%                           | 0.002%   | 0.045    |                 |

Statistical significance between the TSOD mice and the TSNO mice was determined using an unpaired t-test.

**Fig. 5.** Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of the intestinal flora of each strain. The left side shows the results of unweighted mean PCoA by the genealogical relationship of the determined bacterial species. The right side shows the results of the weighted mean PCoA by genealogical relationship and read number of determined bacterial species.
percentages of \textit{Bacteroidales} and \textit{Lachnospiraceae} were higher in TSNO mice than in TSOD mice. Some species were observed only in TSOD mice, such as genera \textit{Tu-
ricibacter} and SMB53, and their percentages were 3.8% and 2.0%, respectively.

\section*{Discussion}

Based on the analysis of intestinal flora in this study, the microbial flora in the cecum is similar to that in feces. This observation suggests that cecal flora can be determined from fecal flora without dissection. Therefore, we can analyze consecutive changes in intestinal flora from an individual animal. In the present study, we collected and analyzed all feces for a 1-week habituation period, and the results of analysis of fecal flora showed variability. Thus in order to analyze the intestinal flora, use of fresh feces collected within at least 24 h is recommended. Although the number of OTUs was significantly higher in the cecum of TSOD mice than in that of TSNO mice, weighted UniFrac analysis showed that the positions of the flora were similar in the cecum and feces of the TSOD and TSNO mice (Figs. 2 and 5). Therefore, the intestinal flora of TSOD mice was not remarkably different from that of TSNO mice. The intestinal flora of the TSOD mice was similar to that of the TSNO mice but not the same. Some bacterial species differed between the intestinal flora of the TSOD mice and that of the TSNO mice. These mice were fed the same diet throughout the experimental period. Therefore, although the TSOD mice ate more than the TSNO mice, the effect of diet on the observed differences in intestinal flora was expected to be small. \textit{Ca. Arthromitus} sp. was dominant in the small intestine content of 5-week-old TSNO mice. The dominant species in the small intestine contents of TSOD mice and 12-week-old TSNO mice were \textit{Lactobacillus} spp. Antimicrobial peptides such as alpha-defensin are secreted in the small intestine, and thus, the number of bacteria in the small intestine is small [22, 46]. Lactobacilli have bile and alpha-defensin tolerance [15, 23, 33]. Accordingly, they are one of the dominant species in the small intestine [24], and our findings correspond with this observation. It has been reported that lactobacilli in the small intestine are involved in gut immunity via the Peyer’s patch [11]. Although the relative share of lactobacilli in the small intestine contents of the TSNO and TSOD mice were significantly different, the interaction between this difference and development of the TSOD and TSNO mice were significantly different.

of diet on the observed differences in intestinal flora was expected to be small. \textit{Ca. Arthromitus} sp. was dominant in the small intestine content of 5-week-old TSNO mice. The dominant species in the small intestine contents of TSOD mice and 12-week-old TSNO mice were \textit{Lactobacillus} spp. Antimicrobial peptides such as alpha-defensin are secreted in the small intestine, and thus, the number of bacteria in the small intestine is small [22, 46]. Lactobacilli have bile and alpha-defensin tolerance [15, 23, 33]. Accordingly, they are one of the dominant species in the small intestine [24], and our findings correspond with this observation. It has been reported that lactobacilli in the small intestine are involved in gut immunity via the Peyer’s patch [11]. Although the relative share of lactobacilli in the small intestine contents of the TSNO and TSOD mice were significantly different, the interaction between this difference and development of the TSOD and TSNO mice was expected to be small.
consistent with our data (Table 1). In the present study, the kinds of bacteria in the intestinal flora were different between the TSOD mice and the TSONO mice according to unweighted UniFrac analysis (Fig. 5). Diversity of the intestinal flora is associated with obesity and T2DM [20]. Further analysis of metabolites of bacteria in the intestinal flora is essential.

Twelve-week-old TSOD mice showed a typical clinical state of diabetes. However, observations of the intestinal flora and diabetes are independent. Therefore, their interaction is still unclear. We have no grasp of cause and effect. Future studies on the metabolism of individual bacteria in the intestinal flora and on the correlation between the intestinal flora and diabetes are necessary. In the TSOD mouse, T2DM symptoms develop into fatty liver and then hepatic disorder. Different from a conventional model, the T2DM symptoms of the TSOD mouse do not depend on intake of high-fat food. The T2DM symptoms of the TSOD mouse are caused by genetic factors and overeating of a normal diet. If intestinal flora analysis is effective for determining the pathogenesis of T2DM, it may be a very valuable tool for prevention of T2DM.
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