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Abstract: The excavations carried out by the Hungarian National Museum in Perkáta-Nyúli-dűlő have revealed the remains of a Late Iron Age settlement. Two large ceramic storage containers found in a house of this settlement, dating from the La Tène C1–C2 period, are in the focus of the present study. These two large pots, known as dolia, have very few parallels in the entire Carpathian Basin, especially the decorated specimen. Before analysing these vessels, a brief preliminary description of the site and the feature is given.
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Introduction of the site

Feature No. 7118 of Perkáta-Nyúli-dűlő, and its pottery assemblage were the main subject of my unpublished BA thesis, on which the present study is based.¹

Perkáta is located in the eastern part of Fejér county, close to the Danube, in the northern part of the Mezőföld plain.² The Nyúli-dűlő site lies northwest of the village of Perkáta. This double plateau rises between the Pistola and Genát streams, which flow into each other in its south-eastern corner.³ The site was excavated between 2009 and 2011 by the Hungarian National Museum’s National Heritage Centre, as the route of the construction of the main road No. 62 crossed the site. In the excavated surface of six hectares (Fig. 1) in addition to the Celtic settlement, a Late Bronze Age settlement and cemetery were identified, as well as a village with several cemeteries used throughout the Middle Ages.⁴

The Late Iron Age settlement, the entirety of which the excavation certainly did not reveal, was located on the western slope of the Nyúli-dűlő, facing the Pistola stream. Based on the reports of similar single-layer flat settlements excavated so far, it can be concluded that the Celts preferred to settle on hillsides and along the banks of watercourses.⁵ The strong erosion of the hillside covering the layer is the reason why the settlement features have survived in relatively good condition, moreover in one case, even the contemporary walkway level can be identified.⁶ A total of ten sunken buildings have been excavated, which were associated with the Celtic rural settlement. All the

¹ García 2021.
² Erdős – Hatházi 1996, 8.
³ Hatházi – Vágó 1996, 19.
⁴ Kovács 2012, 323; Kovács 2018, 136.
⁵ Tankó 2020, 199.
⁶ Kovács 2012, 325.
constructions had been burnt down, which caused the end of their use, but no human bodies were recovered from any of them.\(^7\)

Feature No. 7118 (Fig. 2) was located in the western extension of the excavation, on the edge of a forest. It was located very close to the bank of the Pistola stream, with its shorter side facing the watercourse, like the other buildings of the late Iron Age settlement.\(^8\)

The building is rectangular (425×390 cm), semi-underground (80 cm relative depth), with an undivided floor plan. Its relative depth is fairly high among the excavated sunken features of a similar date, although erosion is probably responsible for the shallower ones in other excavations.\(^9\) Unfortunately, its south-west corner was positioned outside the excavation area, and therefore has not been excavated. Nevertheless, this omission does not make its reconstruction difficult. On its southern side, a projection in the form of a bench was observed; there were three postholes dug into its central longitudinal axis, the posts of which may have supported the roof. In its section, neatly curving bands of burnt rubble could be observed in a concave pattern, which clearly indicates that they stopped using it because of the fire that had destroyed it. Although it has not been completely excavated, it still provides the largest amount of finds of all the houses in the La Tène (LT) settlement, a phenomenon that perhaps indicates the building’s prominent role. In addition to the large number of ceramic fragments, a cattle skeleton in anatomical order, a fragment of a spindle whorl, and a clay disc polished from a ceramic fragment were also found.

As no structures related to the Late Iron Age on the surface of the land are identifiable,\(^10\) it is reasonable to assume that the sunken featured buildings might have served residential functions. This was probably the case for feature No. 7118 as well, as its floor area (approx. 16.6 m\(^2\)) would have accommodated a nuclear family and their furnishings.\(^11\) If the projection on the south side is taken into account, feature No. 7118 could be associated with the “workshop” house type identified by Lőrinc Timár. The main feature of this group of building typology is the bench construction on the longer southern side.

\(^7\) Kovács 2018, 137.
\(^8\) Kovács 2012, 325.
\(^9\) Czajlik et al. 2015, 87.
\(^10\) This phenomenon is not unique in the La Tène period of the Carpathian Basin: Timár 2011, 291.
\(^11\) This conclusion is drawn because the floor area of the house under study is almost identical to that of the Celtic house in Ráckeresztúr (4.16×3.9 m), which met the requirements for a dwelling house for a nuclear family (Timár 2010, 270–271).
of the houses, which probably functioned as an internal staircase or seating area. This may have been the location of the building’s relatively wide doorway, which was presumably covered by a canopy.\textsuperscript{12} However, apart from the similarity in form,\textsuperscript{13} the few finds related to crafts (a single spindle whorl fragment) suggest that this building is unlikely to have been used as a workshop, although it is not impossible, given that its finds were probably deposited there secondarily.

The pottery finds

A total of 584 pottery fragments have been recovered from the site, all of which could be related to the La Tène culture. This is a rather high number, considering the other assemblages from feature dating to this period published so far.\textsuperscript{14} The analysis of these finds has been carried out in the so-called 'Sajópetri system' (which is mainly based on the material quality of the ceramics). Although the Sajópetri system was originally designed for examining the pottery of a settlement in Eastern Hungary with a strong Vekerzug culture tradition,\textsuperscript{15} its introduction in other areas, in our case in Transdanubia, may be reasonable.\textsuperscript{16} Despite the fact that the cultural influences on Celtic pottery are quite different here, there is a relative basic uniformity throughout the Carpathian Basin, naturally with each region and period having its own specific characteristics: the over- or under-representation of certain types and local variations in form.\textsuperscript{17} By using the system, considerably more statistical data can be extracted from the ceramic material of a Celtic settlement, which not only facilitates the processing of individual examples, but also enables the comparison of several sites, thus contributing to the development of Late Iron Age research in the Carpathian Basin. However, a detailed presentation and analysis of the entire pottery inventory is not the aim of this study.

The ceramic material is rather fragmentary, which is a common phenomenon in La Tène settlements.\textsuperscript{18} Of all the fragments (NR: number of remains / \textit{nombres de restes}) recovered, relatively few could be restored to form one item, but several unmatched pieces seem to have been part of one vessel. To quantify the ceramic material of an archaeological site or feature, it is essential to calculate the minimum number of individual pieces (MNI / NMI: \textit{nombre minimum d’individus}), which indicates the minimum number of different vessels that the fragments under study formed part of.\textsuperscript{19}

\textsuperscript{12} Timár 2011, 298; Timár 2016, 198–200; Tankó 2020, 119.
\textsuperscript{13} Though attribution is not perfect: most of the houses classified in this type have only two postholes, while in our case, there are three.
\textsuperscript{14} For examples, see: Szabó 2007; Tankó 2020.
\textsuperscript{15} For the description of the method, see: Szabó – Tankó 2007; Szabó 2007.
\textsuperscript{16} Szilvia B. Szöllősi has already used the method in this region, see: B. Szöllősi 2014.
\textsuperscript{17} Schwappach 1979; B. Szöllősi 2014, 41–42; Tankó 2016, 175.
\textsuperscript{18} Tankó 2020, 139.
\textsuperscript{19} In our case, this was calculated as follows: all the fragments were classified into ten groups according to the Sajópetri system, and within these groups, the edge and base fragments which must have belonged to separate objects were counted; and then those with a higher value were counted further. The values of the groups were finally added together. The method is based on the ceramic statistics system used by Sylvie Barrier and Thierry Luginbühl in Bibracte in 2021 (Barrier et al. 2021, 232–233).
In our case, the MNI is 68, of which about 50 could be identified typologically (TNI: typological number of individual pieces / NTI: nombre typologique d’individus); a similarly high number of identifiable pieces is known from the LT B2–C1 site at Paks-Gyapa.\(^\text{20}\)

67.65% (46 individual pieces) of the MNI of the ceramic material we have studied were made on a potter’s wheel, while 32.35% (22 individual pieces) were hand-moulded. These proportions are very similar to those of other Celtic settlements in southern and eastern Transdanubia,\(^\text{21}\) while the slightly larger number of handmade wares may reflect eastern influences. Some high-quality hand-formed pottery was almost indistinguishable from the vessels made on a slow-turning wheel; however, there were also relatively large numbers of fragments of surprisingly poor quality, apparently crude, hand-formed vessels. The latter were presumably made to meet the everyday needs of the household as quickly as possible,\(^\text{22}\) perhaps not by skilled craftsmen, since making such vessels does not require a great deal of skill. In contrast, high-quality ware made on fast-turning wheels shows the advanced craftsmanship of the culture and clearly indicates the hand of specialised potters. It is noteworthy that graphite clay pottery showed a rather high proportion (23.5% of the MNI); despite the fact that graphite as a raw material was not easily available in the region.\(^\text{23}\)

The main characteristic of the Late Iron Age pottery of the Carpathian Basin is the widespread practice of throwing for the first time in the history of the region. Research into the Celtic settlements of Transdanubia has shown that, as the culture spread from the west, it was here that La Tène pottery first appeared, and that in these materials, thrown ware was always predominant. In this region, the new style was mixed with the surviving local traditions of the Hallstatt culture, as well as with southern Illyrian and eastern Scythian influences.\(^\text{24}\) In her 2014 study, Szilvia B. Szöllősi started to outline a regional group in South-Eastern Transdanubia based on the homogeneous pottery material of some LT B2–C1 sites (Paks-Gyapa, Ordacsehi-Csereföld, Harc-Janyapuszta, and Dunaszentgyörgy-Main Road No. 6).\(^\text{25}\) Later, Károly Tankó added the assemblage of the sunken featured building in Ráckeresztúr – near Malontai út to this circle, and pointed out that the settlement of Balatonőszöd-Temetői-dűlő, also in this region, can be dated to a later phase (LT C2–D1).\(^\text{26}\) Since Perkáta is located in this geographical area and its finds show many parallels, it may be stated that this inventory is also related to the group; which the author, in the absence of metal and other small finds, has dated to the La Tène C1–C2 period on the basis of pottery analogies.\(^\text{27}\)

\(^\text{20}\) B. Szöllősi 2014, 32. It cannot be excluded that, as in the case of Győr-Ménfőcsanak, the cataloguing of the other Late Iron Age features of the Nyúli-dűlő will also reveal pottery fragments that will match potsherds of the structure analysed here, in which case the data given above may change (Tankó 2020, 144).

\(^\text{21}\) The settlement referred to are: Paks-Gyapa, Ordacsehi-Csereföld, Harc-Janyapuszta, and Dunaszentgyörgy-Main Road No. 6 (B. Szöllősi 2014, 31).

\(^\text{22}\) Tankó 2020, 146.

\(^\text{23}\) This raw material could have come to the Carpathian Basin from present-day Czech Republic, Germany, or Austria. Perkáta was probably connected to these areas by the Danube, although if we accept the intermediary role of Ménfőcsanak in the graphite trade, we can also assume that there were overland routes from there onwards (Tankó 2020, 144–145).

\(^\text{24}\) Tankó 2016, 167.

\(^\text{25}\) B. Szöllősi 2014. For the sites see: Váczi 2009 (Paks-Gyapa); Gallina et al. 2007 (Ordacsehi-Csereföld); Czajlik et al. 2010 (Harc-Janyapuszta); Szöllősi 2009 (Dunaszentgyörgy-Main Road No. 6).

\(^\text{26}\) Tankó 2016, 170–171.

\(^\text{27}\) The dating may be greatly influenced by the future processing and interpretation of the finds from other excavated Celtic features. In addition, several scattered metal and glass objects of the Late Iron Age have been recovered from the site, which will also play an important role in clarifying when the site was used, as these groups of objects are more sensitive to cultural changes than ceramics, and thus have a better dating value (B. Szöllősi 2014, 28).
Two special pieces from the house’s ceramic inventory are presented here. The argument for selecting them was their uniqueness and the fact that their formal group is relatively under-researched in Eastern Celtic archaeology.

Large vessels made on potter’s wheels are characteristic elements of La Tène ceramics. There is no consensus among researchers concerning the name to denote these objects, as they are known as storage containers (and its synonyms), dolium (pl. dolia) in Latin, and pithos (pl. pithoi) in Greek. In the Eastern Celtic context, these closed-shaped (biconical or ovoid) vessels have thick walls; they have a wide, flat, convex or ribbed T-shaped rim; they have a rim diameter of at least 26 cm; they are at least 40 cm high and, according to the best-preserved examples, they may be without a handle or provided with two or four knobs, usually at the half of their height. They were probably used for storing liquids or grain and were covered with some organic material. There are examples of rim fragments that show residues of tar or pine resin for fixing their coverings; traces of these materials have been observed on a piece from Balatonősződ and on the mouths of several vessels from the Late Celtic oppidum of Budapest-Gellért-hegy-Tabán. The context of specimens recovered from the Western Celtic areas suggests that they were also used in forges and for salt.

Fig. 3. The earliest known appearances of the dolium type vessels in the Carpathian Basin. 1 – Plavecké Podhradie-Pohanská, 2 – Ordacsehi-Csereföld, 3 – Balatonősződ-Temetői-dűlő, 4 – Perkáta-Nyúli-dűlő, 5 – Bükkszentlászló-Nagysánc, 6 – Sajópetri-Hosszú-dűlő, 7 – Berea-Nyúlvár.
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Large vessels made on potter’s wheels are characteristic elements of La Tène ceramics. There is no consensus among researchers concerning the name to denote these objects, as they are known as storage containers (and its synonyms), dolium (pl. dolia) in Latin, and pithos (pl. pithoi) in Greek. In the Eastern Celtic context, these closed-shaped (biconical or ovoid) vessels have thick walls; they have a wide, flat, convex or ribbed T-shaped rim; they have a rim diameter of at least 26 cm; they are at least 40 cm high and, according to the best-preserved examples, they may be without a handle or provided with two or four knobs, usually at the half of their height. They were probably used for storing liquids or grain and were covered with some organic material. There are examples of rim fragments that show residues of tar or pine resin for fixing their coverings; traces of these materials have been observed on a piece from Balatonősződ and on the mouths of several vessels from the Late Celtic oppidum of Budapest-Gellért-hegy-Tabán. The context of specimens recovered from the Western Celtic areas suggests that they were also used in forges and for salt.

28 B. Bónis 1969, 192. In the present study, the term dolium is preferred, which derives from the name of the Roman vessels of similar shape and function (Repka 2020, 60).
29 Repka 2020, 59; Szabó 2007, 242.
30 B. Bónis 1969, 192–193.
31 Tankó 2016, 171. Pl. 4,13.
32 The covering was probably necessary against pests. B. Bónis 1969, 193; Abb. 52,9, Abb. 19,23, Abb. 38,26, Abb. 42,17.
distillation. The wide rims of the vessels may have served to prevent spillage and to hold their lids, and their handles may have facilitated their transport because, when full, larger dolia could weigh up to 250 kilos. There is also evidence of their placement in buildings, since, in addition to Roman analogues, there are in situ evidences of their sinking in the ground in the Carpathian Basin, in the Late La Tène oppida of Gellérthegy-Tabán and Bratislava-Devín. This placement prevented the vessels from being crushed by their weight. The preparation and firing of dolia must have required a great deal of skill from the potter and a kiln of appropriate size, especially because of their enormous dimensions.

The dolia are characteristic finds of the LT D period in the Carpathian Basin: they are found in the assemblages of almost all villages and fortified settlements of this period, usually in large quantities; but are not yet known from burials. According to Éva B. Bónis, this type of vessel first appeared in the western areas of the La Tène culture, under Greco–Roman influence, and subsequently spread from there to the Carpathian Basin. In contrast, more dolia are now known from the eastern Celtic areas than from the west, and only in the east are they known from Middle La Tène assemblages. Therefore, the western origin-theory may not be correct. The Celtic dolia are of much higher quality than the storage containers of the Early Iron Age peoples of the Carpathian Basin, but they are very similar to the “chiup” type vessels of their eastern neighbours, the Dacians and the Getae, who either adopted the form from the Celts or directly from the Greeks on the Black Sea coast. According to János Németi, the form could have originated directly in the Hellenistic–Greek environment and may have reached the Carpathian Basin via the Celts returning after the Balkan campaigns. Whether the reason for the spread of the dolia was the practicality of their shape or the transport of the specific materials they contained (e.g., wine), as in the case of the amphorae of the Western Celtic complex, is not yet clear.

In the Carpathian Basin, dolia first appeared in the Middle La Tène period, probably in the LT C1 phase, and their earliest representatives are known from Ordacsehi-Csereföld and Sajópetri-Hoszszú-dűlő. Although these sites start already in the LT B2 period, it is likely that these type of vessels appear in their inventory only in their later stages. In addition to these two sites, finds from settlements straddling the LT C2–D1 boundary, such as the two fragments from the Balatonőszöd-Temetői-dűlő and the Berea-Nyúlváros vessel (end of LT C), may also be included here;

33 B. Bónis 1969, 192.
34 The latter data is from the late Celtic oppidum of Manching, Germany (Repka 2020, 153).
35 Repka 2020, 153.
36 B. Bónis 1969, 192.
37 In Bratislava-Devín, entire vessels have been excavated from several constructions, buried in the ground up to their shoulders (Pieta 2010, 184).
38 Németi 1995, 38.
39 Pieta 2010, 180–184; B. Bónis 1969, 193.
40 B. Bónis 1969, 191-192.
41 Repka 2020, 144.
42 Pieta 2010, 184.
43 The chiup (pl. chiupuri) type refers to large, high quality storage containers from Dacian and Getic sites, which were made on a potter’s wheel and have wide, flat rims (Németi 1995, 35–38).
44 For this, see for example: Thierrin-Michael et al. 2018.
45 Tankó 2016, 170; Pl.3/1.II.4.
46 Szabó 2007, 242; Pl. 11,16, Pl. 19,13, Pl. 24,18, Pl. 33,18, Pl. 36,10, Pl. 75,8, Pl. 98,4, Pl. 101,8, Pl. 118,15, Pl. 119,7, Pl. 127,9, Pl. 133,12.
47 Tankó 2016, 171, Pl. 4,13,14.
48 Németi 1995, 35.
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and also dolium finds from the earliest horizon of the oppida: Plavecké Podhradie-Pohanská and Bükkszentlászló-Nagy-sánc (the dating of the latter is problematic, but it certainly had an early horizon). Overall, therefore, we currently know only a few sporadic examples from the entire LT C period (Fig. 3), exclusively from settlements; to which we now add the two vessels from the sunk-en-featured house of Perkáta-Nyúli-dúlo.

1.29289.7118.216: 12 rim and side fragments of a CCTS dolium. The rim has a rectangular profile and a diameter of 45 cm. The body of the vessel was shaped by hand, and the rim was formed by throwing. One of the fragments is provided with a square knob-handle in a horizontal position, but it is very fragmentary. Its clay is tempered with sand and crushed pottery, and some fragments have a surface smoothed with graphite powder. There are several repair holes, in which, and on the surface of the vessel, there are several traces of corroded iron. A further 19 fragments of the vessel have been deposited under other inventory numbers (Fig. 8,1–7).

1.29289.7118.314: 35 rim and side fragments of a CCTC dolium. The rim has a flat, upward widening profile and a diameter of 36 cm. The body of the vessel was shaped by hand, and the rim was formed by throwing. Two of the fragments have horizontal, ovoid, downward-curving knob-handles. It is not uniform in colour due to its uneven firing. The clay was tempered with sand, and its surface was smoothed after firing. The body of the dolium is decorated with additional smoothed lines, bands, line stacks, and wavy lines. There are several repair holes, in which, and on the surface of the vessel, there are several traces of corroded iron. Sixteen further fragments of the vessel have been deposited under other inventory numbers (Fig. 8,8–9; Fig. 9,1–27; Fig. 4).

The most interesting pottery finds from feature No. 7118 are these two vessels, many sherds of which have been recovered but, unfortunately, only partial restoration has been possible. The traces on the fragments show that, very similarly to the Berea-Nyúlvár dolium, the bodies of the vessels were built up by hand and the half-finished dolia were then placed on the potter’s wheel and their thick rims were reshaped. These are the only two items in the building’s inventory made using this technique. The reason for this phenomenon may have been their large size, or the fact that the potter did not have the necessary skills to throw them entirely on the potter’s wheel. This method is more frequent in the pottery from other Middle La Tène sites, which proves that this was a common practice. The CCTS dolium had an angular rim design (Fig. 8,1), similar to the ones known from Sajópetri, and also had angular-shaped knob-handles (Fig. 8,2). Many of the fragments have a graphite dust smoothed coating, which presumably covered the upper half of the vessel, and the knobs may have been positioned along the vessel’s widest diameter.

The CCTC dolium had a flat rim widening upwards (Fig. 8,8–9) and at least two rounded knob-handles (Fig. 8,9,14), probably extending downwards. But what makes this vessel special is its decoration; it is the only decorated dolium from the LT C period that we know of today. Its entire surface was decorated with smoothed ornamentation consisting of simple vertical lines, line stacks, thick bands and wavy lines (Fig. 4). A similar application of smoothing is known from large pots from Ráckeresztüre and Balatonőszöd. Apart from the CCTC dolium, the use of a smoothed line...
The decoration could only be identified on one wheel-thrown fragment among the finds of the house (Fig. 5), where the horizontal zigzag line is combined with a horizontal plastic rib. The technique of smoothing appeared in Celtic pottery as early as the LT B2 phase, but only spread widely in the LT C–D period: on the inside of wheel-thrown bowls and on the outside of larger containers in the form of thicker bands, straight and wavy lines, and cross-grid fields.  

The main motif of the vessel – an alternation of a vertical wavy line and a thicker band – shows a close relationship with a similar ornamentation on a fragment from Ráckeresztúr,57 a La Tène settlement located just a few kilometres away from Perkáta. These two pots presumably belonged to the same local decorative tradition, the survival of which may be attested by the large painted urns recovered from

---

56 B. Szöllősi 2014, 36; Tankó 2016, 171.  
57 Czajlik et al. 2015, Fig. 6.17.
the early imperial *eraviscus* cemeteries of Nagyvenyim and Lovasberény, also very close to Perkáta. Although figural motifs dominate these centuries younger objects, they also bear wavy lines and line strokes like the ones discussed here, and the shape of the vessels is probably fairly similar too. This assumption is also based on the fact that very few specimens of Celtic pottery are known from the Carpathian Basin with such complex decorations as the aforementioned examples (especially outside Late La Tène *oppida*), and that these sites happen to be located in the exact same region. Thus, despite the chronological gap and the functional difference, we might suggest that there is a connection between them.

The importance of both pots from feature No. 7118 is clearly indicated by the fact that many holes indicative of repair can be identified on them (Fig. 8.3–6.9; Fig. 9.1), in which, and in several cases on the surface of the vessels (Fig. 8.3; Fig. 9.1–2), corroded iron was still visible. These traces may suggest that once the vessels were broken, they were not discarded but were held together with iron straps: perhaps because it was difficult to make them, they were hard to replace. In the ceramic material of the sunken featured building, holes made for repairing the vessels were observed in several cases, 17 fragments in all, and metal corrosion was also present on the surface of many sherds, although the latter may not always be due to the iron straps that held the fragments together. Overall, it appears that pottery played an important role in the lives of the people living here, to the extent that they were not immediately thrown away when broken, but the people of the settlement tried to 'restore' them using their own methods. On the one hand, this may indicate that iron was not of such high value in the community that it could not be used for this kind of repair work; on the other hand, it may also reflect that the production of pottery was limited. After all, if new pots were easily available, why would one put so much effort into putting broken ones back together? It may therefore be concluded that pottery, unlike in other places and periods, was relatively valuable here. This phenomenon most probably continued in the life of the local Celtic communities as late as the beginning of the Common Era, as the excavation of the Perkáta-Sport utca dwelling house, dated to the period after the Roman conquest, has also yielded a number of fragments of repaired pottery.

Based on the material quality and colour of a circular fragment (Fig. 6), which can be identified as a clay disc, it may have belonged to the CCTS dolium. From its shape and the clear grinding marks on its sides, we can assume that it was formed out of a piece of the vessel, once it was irreparable. According to Éva B. Bónis, the pot fragments thus modified can be interpreted also as semi-finished spindle rings

---

58 For the urns see: B. Vágó 1960.
59 A similar conclusion was reached by Gertrúda Březinová on the basis of the many repaired fragments recovered from the LT C1–C2/D1 settlement of Hajná Nová Ves (Březinová 2013, 120).
60 Pongrácz 1996, 54, 57.
or slingshot balls. Such objects are relatively popular throughout the La Tène period; similar discs are known, for example, from the Ménföcsanak, Gellért-hegy-Tabán and Sajópetri sites. The long lifespan of this fragment (Fig. 7) is an excellent testimony to the ingenuity of the people living here, and to the importance of ceramics as a raw material in their daily lives.

Conclusion

Feature No. 7118 can be identified as a pithouse of the La Tène culture settlement, similar in structure to the ‘workshop type’. The end of its use was caused by a fire, which can be inferred from the layers of burnt rubble observed during its excavation. The vast majority of its rich artefactual material consists of fragments of ceramic vessels, which were probably deposited in the rubble of the house secondarily, as it has been impossible to restore any complete vessels. The fragments allow us to draw conclusions about the habits of the users of the house and they also play a major role in dating it.

The pottery material, which dates to the LT C1–C2 period, can be linked to the South-Eastern Transdanubian typological group. The two doli in the material have rather few parallels from the Middle La Tène period, and no perfect analogy is known for the one with smoothed decoration. The two vessels from Perkáta and the other doli in this period may be the precursors of the high-quality storage vessels found in large numbers in settlements of the Late La Tène period.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dániel Szabó for entrusting me with this assemblage and for his help in the process of writing the paper, and Károly Tankó for checking the paper and offering valuable advice. I am grateful to Olivér Loránd Kovács for providing ELTE with the artefacts, without which writing this paper would not have been possible. I am also grateful to Lőrinc Timár for sharing the excavation documentation. I would also like to thank Zoltán Czajlik, Kata Dévai and Eszter Fejér for providing the space necessary for processing the finds even under the current difficult circumstances; and special thanks to Zoltán Czajlik for the aerial photographs and for launching me into writing this study. Finally, I am grateful to Zsuzsanna Siklósi and Márton Szilágyi, as without their course creating plates would not have been possible.

61 B. Bónis 1969, 193.
62 TANKÓ 2020, 185, Tab. 43,6.
63 B. Bónis 1969, Abb. 55,31, Abb. 87,1,18, Abb. 100,5.
64 SZABÓ 2007, Pl. 19.15–16, Pl. 37,1, Pl. 45,1–4.
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