INTRODUCTION

Immunotherapy has become the most striking developing field of modern oncology. Numerous efforts have been devoted to exploiting the specificity of the immune system to destruct tumors over the past two decades. 1-3 Engineering cell therapy and immune checkpoint blockades (ICB) have emerged as clinically effective approaches even though with some limitations that restricted patient populations benefit from either therapy. In recent years, cancer vaccine treatments based on next-generation gene sequencing techniques have been developed as an effective modality when used independently or in combination with engineering cell therapy.
and/or ICBs. Due to the potential of generating and amplifying antigen-specific T cell responses, neoantigen-based vaccines combined with or without ICBs have shown antitumor activity in both mouse models and early clinical trials.

Even with prominent efficacy, the individualization of neoantigen vaccine was a double-sided sword. On one aspect, neoantigen can stimulate antigen-specific T cells effectively. On the other aspect, however, the sequencing of mutations, the identification and synthesis of neoantigens are of great time and economic cost, which will eventually hamper the future clinical application.

Meanwhile, there are some local treatment approaches that can cause the release of antigens, transforming “cold tumor” into “hot tumor”. Hence, they have a vaccination-effect in situ, that contribute to the initiation of anti-tumor immune responses. The effectiveness of this “in situ vaccine” is dependent on the immunogenicity of antigens, however, the sequencing of mutations, the identification and synthesis of neoantigens are of great time and economic cost, which will eventually hamper the future clinical application.

To break the immune tolerance of tumor and turn it into a “self-vaccine”, various strategies have been developed, such as radiotherapy, chemotherapy and so on. But the capacity of these modalities to trigger the immune responses varies. As a member of the tumor-necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily, OX40 has T-cell co-stimulatory functions. OX40-OX40L interactions are reported to be conducive to the primary T cell expansion and promote survival of more memory T cells, inducing long-term T cell responses. However, systemic administration of OX40 agonists did not live up to expectations in clinical trials, with some questions that must be addressed, including the alteration of administration approach and selection of an optimal synergistic drug.

In this study, we carried out a screen of many immunostimulatory agents to identify an immune-synergistic partner of OX40 agonist. We found that Toll-like receptor (TLR) 7 agonist imiquimod upregulated the expression of OX40 on CD4+ T cells. As an important regulator of innate immunity, imiquimod can polarize M2 macrophages to M1 type and potentiate both humoral and cellular responses. Here, we developed a novel in situ vaccination strategy based on combination of an OX40 agonist (αOX40) and imiquimod, and evaluated the local antitumor efficacy and abscopal effect of this strategy on hepatic carcinoma bearing mice.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Mice

Kunming female mice aged 6-8 weeks were purchased from Shanghai Sippr-BK laboratory animal Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All mice were kept in the specific pathogen-free (SPF) Laboratory Animal Center of Affiliated Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School (Nanjing, China). All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee of the Affiliated Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School.

2.2 Cell lines

H22 hepatocellular carcinoma cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China).

2.3 Reagents

Imiquimod was purchased from BIOFOUNT (Beijing, China). The OX40 agonist was purchased from BioXCell (Clone OX-86, Catalog #BE0031).

The following monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were used for flow cytometry and purchased from Biolegend: CD3-FITC, CD4-PE-Cy7, CD8-PE-Cy5, CD44-PE, CD62L-APC, OX40-APC.

2.4 Correlation of TLR7 and OX40

An online analysis tool (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#correlation) was used to predict the relationship of TLR7 or TLR-responsive elements and OX40 in liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC).

When the largest diameter of H22 hepatocellular carcinoma reached 0.5–0.7 cm, mice were randomized to the two experimental groups. Imiquimod (20 μg) or normal saline (NS) were injected into the tumor nodule in a volume of 50 μl. Tumors were excised after 48h to test the expression of OX40 on CD4+ T cells by flow cytometry.

2.5 Animal experiments

When the largest diameter of H22 hepatocellular carcinoma reached 0.5–0.7 cm, mice were randomly given four treatments: NS (control), imiquimod (20 μg), αOX40 (4 μg), imiquimod (20 μg) + αOX40 (4 μg). Tumor size (1/2 length × width²) was monitored with a digital caliper and body weight was recorded every other day. One week after the last treatment, mice in each group were randomly selected and sacrificed. Spleens and tumors were excised to perform flow cytometry. Heart, lung, liver, kidney and spleen were collected for histology analysis.

When the largest diameters of the two H22 tumors at different sides of the lower abdomen both reached 0.5–0.7 cm, the two-separate-tumor bearing mice models were established. Then, mice were randomly given four treatments: NS, imiquimod (20 μg), αOX40 (4 μg), imiquimod (20 μg) + αOX40 (4 μg). Imiquimod and αOX40 were injected into the left tumor in a volume of 50 μl. Tests were similar with the single-tumor bearing mice model mentioned above.
2.6 | Flow cytometry

Tumor tissues minced into small pieces were digested with collagenase type IV (1 mg/mL, Sigma) for 2 h at 37°C with gentle agitation, while single cell suspension was prepared from the spleen by using mechanical trituration method. All samples were suspended in NS, stained with specific antibodies for 20 min in 4°C in darks, and then washed before analysis. BD™ Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) Mouse Interleukin (IL)-10 Flex Set and BD Accuri C6 (BD Bioscience, USA) were used to detect and analyze the level of IL-10.

2.7 | Cytotoxicity assay of mouse splenocytes

H₂₂ hepatocellular carcinoma cells were stained with CFSE for 10 min at 37°C in darks. Then splenocytes of mice in both NS group and imiquimod (20 μg) + aOX40 (4 μg) group were incubated with CFSE labeled H₂₂ hepatocellular carcinoma cells at effector-to-target ratio (E:T) of 5:1, 10:1 and 20:1, at 37°C and 5% CO₂. 6 h later, the mixed cells were stained with PI for 20 min at 4°C in darks, and then washed before analysis.

2.8 | mRNA sequencing and gene expression analysis

Tumors were excised and quickly frozen by liquid nitrogen. The mRNA samples of NS group and imiquimod + aOX40 group were used for RNA-seq (GENEWIZ, Suzhou, China). DESeq2 Bioconductor package was used to perform differential expression analysis. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis used GOSeq(v1.34.1) and the database (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KEGG) respectively.

2.9 | Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed by Graphpad Prism 8.0 (San Diego, CA). The unpaired student’s t-test was used for pair-wise comparisons, the one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons was selected for multiple comparisons and the Kaplan-Meier method was employed for survival analysis. P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | In situ vaccination with imiquimod upregulated the expression of OX40 on infiltrating CD4⁺ T cells

An online analysis tool (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#correlation) demonstrated that the expression of OX40 in the tumor microenvironment of LIHC was positively correlated with that of TLR7 and downstream of TLR signaling pathway (Figure 1A, Figure S1). Then, we verified this correlation via an established tumor-bearing mouse model. After intratumoral injection of imiquimod, a ligand for TLR7, there was a 2-fold up-regulation of OX40 on infiltrating CD4⁺ T cells (Figure 1B, Figure S2).

3.2 | In situ vaccination eradicated established tumors

Based on the results above, we validated the anti-tumor effect of the combination of imiquimod and aOX40. Kunming mice were implanted with H₂₂ hepatocellular carcinoma at the left lower abdomen. When the largest diameter of tumor reached between 0.5 and 0.7 cm, imiquimod (20 μg) together with aOX40 (4 μg) were intratumorally injected for three times (Figure 2A). After that, tumor growth was recorded. (Figure 2B–C). The tumors of NS-treated mice grew rapidly (Figure 2D). Imiquimod and aOX40 alone only caused a slight delay in tumor growth (Figure 2E–2F). But the combined vaccination brought about complete regression (Figure 2G) and long-lasting survival of the mice (Figure 2H). In addition, the combination therapy also showed anti-tumor effect in melanoma mouse model (Figure S3A–D), since the expression of OX40 in skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) was positively correlated with that of TLR7 and downstream of TLR signaling pathway (Figure S3E).

3.3 | In situ vaccination inhibited local and distant tumors

To further test the abscopal effect of this in situ vaccine, we established two-separate-tumor-bearing mouse models and then injected imiquimod and aOX40 to just one tumor site (Figure 3A). Tumor growth at both injected and non-injected sites were then recorded (Figure 3B–D). The tumors of NS-treated mice showed progressive growth at both treated and non-treated sites (Figure 3E,F). The growth trend of tumors treated by imiquimod or aOX40 alone slowed down slightly. (Figure 3G–J). However, the combined vaccination caused complete regression of both local and distant tumors among nearly half of the mice (Figure 3K,L). Consistent with the time required to initiate and amplify the immune responses, the regression kinetics of the two tumors were different, in which untreated tumor subsided several days later. (Figure 3C,D). Survival of the combination group was significant longer than any other group (Figure 3M).

3.4 | In situ vaccination activated tumor microenvironment

To clarify the mechanism of immune responses generated by the in situ vaccine, tumor infiltrating conventional dendritic cells (cDCs) and tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) were analyzed.
The proportion of cDC1 (CD11c⁺CD80⁺CD86⁺) in the combination group was significantly upregulated (Figure 4A). Although M1-like macrophages had no difference among all groups, imiquimod and αOX40 treatment reduced M2-like macrophages and consistently, the level of IL-10, which could be secreted by M2-like macrophages, exhibited a decrease (Figure 4B,C). T cells activated by antigen presenting cells, such as cDC1 and macrophages, showed an one-fold increase of effector memory T cell (TEM, CD3⁺CD8⁺CD62L⁻CD44⁺) phenotype, which is capable of inducing strong immune protection effect by producing TNF-α and interferon (IFN)-γ, rather than central memory T cell (TCM, CD3⁺CD8⁺CD62L⁺CD44⁺) phenotype (Figure 4D,E). The spleen cells of mice in the imiquimod +αOX40 group exhibited stronger cytotoxic activity, than that in the NS group, against H22 hepatocellular carcinoma cells at E: T of 10:1 ex vivo (Figure 4F,G). When incubated with irrelevant B16F10 melanoma cells, lymphocytes in spleens of different groups showed no significant difference (Figure S4).

To further investigate the immune associated changes in the tumor microenvironment after vaccination, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)-based transcriptome analyses was performed (Figure 5A). There are 17 significantly up-regulated genes and 41 down-regulated genes. Intratumoral injection of imiquimod and αOX40 increased expression of the M1-type gene IL-1β. GO enrichment analysis demonstrated that 4 additional differential expression genes were related to immune responses, namely IIL1a, Cxcl3, Csf3 and Cxcl2 (Figure 5B). KEGG enrichment analysis told more about signaling pathways (Figure 5C). Many up-regulated genes were involved in numerous signaling pathways, such as type I IFN responses (Figure 5D), TNF signaling pathway, NOD-like receptor (NLR) signaling pathway, C-type lectin receptor (CLR) signaling pathway, TLR signaling pathway and so on. Meanwhile, 2 down-regulated genes participated in Ras signaling pathway.

### 3.5 | In situ vaccination initiated tumor-specific immune responses and formed long-term memory

To clarify whether the immune response initiated by the vaccination is antigen-specific, two different tumors with distinct kinds of antigens were utilized to be implanted into different sites of mice. (Figure 6A). Mice cured by in situ vaccination before were immune to the syngeneic tumor (H22 hepatocellular carcinoma, right abdomen), but not to a different tumor (B16F10 melanoma, left abdomen) (Figure 6B,C). In addition, it indicated that in situ vaccination with these two immunostimulatory agents formed long-term memory in cured mice.

### 3.6 | Safety of intratumoral injection of imiquimod and OX40 agonist

The body weights of mice were recorded during the treating period and all groups had similar changing patterns (Figure 6D). One week after the treatment, important organs of mice were excised and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (Figure 6E). Compared to NS-treating group, there were no apparent histological changes of other three groups.
In this study, we have developed an in situ vaccine composed of imiquimod and an OX40 agonist to stimulate the T cells within the tumor microenvironment. In this setting, the tumor itself supplies antigens so that this therapy taking advantage of all tumor antigens avoids antigen identification and minimizes immune escape. Compared with other in situ vaccination strategies, such as radiotherapy and

**FIGURE 2**  In situ vaccination eradicated established tumors. (A) Treatment schema of the in situ vaccine. Kunming mice were implanted with H22 hepatocellular carcinoma cells ($2 \times 10^6$) on the left lower sides of the abdomen on day 0, and received treatments on day 5, 7 and 9. (B) Growth curves represent the average tumor volumes of each group. (n = 10). (C) Pictures of representative mice were taken on day 15. The tumor of each mouse was circled. (D-G) Tumor growth curves of each mouse in different groups. (n = 10). (H) Survival curves. (n = 10). P-value: **, *P < .001; n.s, not significant

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we have developed an in situ vaccine composed of imiquimod and an OX40 agonist to stimulate the T cells within the tumor
radiofrequency ablation, intratumoral injection is more feasible and independent of special medical devices.18

T cells play an important role in anti-tumor immune defense. The activation of T cells is regulated by costimulatory receptors and immune checkpoints. Antibodies that block immune checkpoints, such as CTLA-4 antibody and PD-1 / PD-L1 antibody, have made breakthrough in the past decades.19-21 However, agonistic antibodies against costimulatory receptors (such as OX40) have not achieved good efficacy in early clinical trials.22 In the phase IB trial of Genentech’s OX40 agonist MOXR0916, combined with PDL1 inhibitor atezolizumab, only 2 of 51 (4%) patients had a partial response. Genentech terminated the clinical trial of OX40 agonist in May 2019. In addition, other companies such as GSK’s OX40 agonist have entered clinical trials for four years, and it is still at the primary stage. OX40 agonist did not exert its due efficacy, which may be due to the failure to optimize the mode of administration and drug combination. Some experts point out that the dosage of OX40 agonist should not be increased blindly, and the modest amount of bioactive drugs might be suitable. Local injection will be

---

**FIGURE 3** In situ vaccination inhibited local and distant tumors. (A) Treatment schema of the in situ vaccine. Kunming mice were implanted with H22 hepatocellular carcinoma cells \( (2 \times 10^6) \) in both abdomen of mice on day 0, and received treatments on day 5, 7 and 9. Growth curves represent the average volumes of treated tumors (B) and untreated tumors (C). \( (n = 6) \). (D) Pictures of representative mice were taken on day 15. The tumor of each mouse was circled. (E-L) Tumor growth curves of each group. (M) Survival curves. \( (n = 6) \). P-value: *, \( P < .05 \); ***, \( P < .0001 \); n.s, not significant
In situ vaccination activated tumor microenvironment. (A) Proportions of cDC1 in the tumor microenvironment analyzed by flow cytometry (gated on CD11c+CD80+CD86+ DCs) at day 15 (n = 3). (B) Proportions of M1-like macrophages (gated on CD11b+F4/80+CD80+ macrophages) and M2-like macrophages (gated on CD11b+F4/80+CD206+ macrophages) in the tumor microenvironment analyzed by flow cytometry at day 15 (n = 4). (C) Cytokine levels in tumors excised from mice at day 15 (n = 5). (D-E) Proportions of central memory T cells (TCM) and effector memory T cells (TEM) in the spleen analyzed by flow cytometry (gated on CD3+CD8+T cells) at day 15 (n = 5). (F) Spleen cells of mice in imiquimod + αOX40 group were incubated with CFSE labeled H22 hepatocellular carcinoma cells at effector-to-target ratio (E:T) of 5:1, 10:1 and 20:1. PI was added 6 h after incubation and the percentage of dead cells was analyzed by flow cytometry (n = 3). (G) Proportions of dead cells of H22 tumor cells (CFSE+PI+ / CFSE+) P-value*: P < .05; **, P < .001; n.s., not significant
the best choice to achieve curative effect with low dose. In this study, more than half of the mice showed complete regression after intratumoral injection of imiquimod and αOX40 at a relatively low dose (Figures 2G and 3L). In addition, the abscopal effect of this combined injection is a pleasant surprise (Figure 3K).

Among numerous TLR agonists, the TLR7 agonist have been the first one that approved for cancer treatment. Upon ligated with imiquimod, TLR7 could activate myeloid differentiation primary-response gene 88 (MyD88) (Figure 7), which is able to activate interferon-regulatory factor (IRF)7, nuclear factor-κ B (NF-κB), and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) pathways, leading to the production of multiple type I IFN signals, which is verified by RNA-sequencing (Figure 5C,D).

Type I IFNs could regulate T cell immunity in a direct or indirect manner. On one hand, type I IFNs promote DC maturation, migration and antigen presentation, indicated by enhanced expression of costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 (Figure 4A). On the other hand, type I IFNs directly affect T cell activation, proliferation and survival by acting as signal 3 cytokines during T cell priming. We found that the expression of OX40 (costimulatory receptors of T cell) was positively correlated with that of TLR7 and downstream genes of TLR signaling pathway (Figure 1A, Figure S1) and imiquimod could upregulated the expression of OX40 on CD4 + T cells (Figure 1B). These findings indicate that the activation of TLR signaling pathway could partially activate CD4 + T cells, expressed as OX40 upregulation, via type I IFN responses and DC maturation.

Once naive T cells are activated, CD80 / CD86 on antigen-presenting cells (APCs) preferentially switches to bind to cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) other than CD28, resulting in immune suppression. For preventing antigen-induced effector T-cell death, the OX40-OX40L interaction is essential (Figure 6).
of OX40 can induce the activation of NF-κB, tumor-necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2), and PKB/AKT pathways in activated T cells. \(^{28,29}\) Survival of effector T cells promoted by OX40 could result in the initiation and optimal reactivation of memory T cells. This novel in situ vaccination based on imiquimod and αOX40 induced one-fold increase of TEM properties and stronger anti-tumor activity of lymphocytes in spleen (Figure 4D–G), providing evidence for the initiation of tumor-specific immune responses. Tumor growth was also inhibited when cured mice were re-challenged with plantation of the same tumor, however, a different tumor grew progressively under the same circumstances (Figure 6A–C). On one hand, this result validated the tumor specificity of the vaccine immunization, on the other hand, it proved that memory T cells were successfully generated and reactivated with tumor re-challenge. It is hypothesized that both CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cells contribute to the eradication of tumor.\(^{30}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells are usually considered to be the main force of tumor inhibition, and CD4\(^+\) T cells perform the well-described roles of providing ‘help’ in the generation of the anti-tumor cytotoxic CD8\(^+\) T cell responses by interacting with DCs and enhancing antigen cross-presentation, producing many cytokines, including IFNγ and TNF, and recruiting macrophages.\(^{31-33}\) What’s more, it is worthy of further investigation about the specific roles of CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cells.

**FIGURE 6** Immunizing effects of this in situ vaccine were tumor-specific and safe. (A) Treatment schema. Mice cured of H\(_{22}\) hepatocellular carcinoma were re-challenged s.c. 40 days later with B16F10 melanoma tumor cells (2 × 10\(^5\)) at the left side of abdomen and H\(_{22}\) hepatocellular carcinoma cells (2 × 10\(^6\)) at the right side of abdomen. (B) Tumor growth curves of each mouse following the re-challenge (n = 5). Tumor sizes were serially measured by caliper. (C) Pictures of representative mice were taken 20 d after re-challenge. The tumors of each mouse were circled. (D) Body weights of each group. (E) Hematoxylin-eosin staining of main organs, including heart, lung, liver, kidney and spleen (scale bar = 100 μm)
Intratumoral injection of imiquimod and αOX40 changed the tumor microenvironment from immunosuppressive to immunostimulatory. TAMs were polarized into an immunostimulatory M1-like phenotype, which indicated by the decrease of M2-like macrophages and anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10, and the increase of the M1-type gene IL-1β (Figure 4F,G, Figure 5A). What’s more, according to the findings by RNA-seq, four immune-related pathways in the tumor microenvironment were activated after vaccination (Figure 5C). Since TLR7 agonist imiquimod were injected directly into the tumor, the activation of TLR signaling pathway is inevitable, which is symbolized by the activation of MyD88 described above. All other three signaling pathways predicted are associated with IL-1β gene. TNF signaling pathway could up-regulate levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β. The intracellular NLR family, which acted as a key mediator in the recognition of intracellular ligands, could induce caspase-1 activation and further regulates maturation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β. CLR family worked as pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) in APCs and could induce the production of cytokines and chemokines. As a result, they activated innate and adaptive immunity against pathogens.

Furthermore, direct-injection of these two immunopotentiators required a relatively low dose, which could avoid immune-toxicities triggered by their systemic administration. For example, intratumoral anti-PD-1 therapy reduced the risk of side effects compared with systemic administration. In our experiments, in situ vaccination proved to be safe via monitoring body weight and analyzing histological changes of important organs (Figure 6D,E).

In the current technical conditions and under the background of clinical application, in situ vaccine is practical and has great value in clinical practice because it is feasible without the need for prior knowledge of tumor specific antigens. In future, with the development of next-generation sequencing and bioinformatics, our in situ vaccination strategy is believed to be an effective combinatorial partner of neoantigen cancer vaccines.
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