Abstract: Total column amounts of NO\(_2\) (TCN) were estimated from ground-based hyperspectral imaging sensor (HIS) measurements in a polluted urban area (Seoul, Korea) by applying the radiance ratio fitting method with five wavelength pairs from 400 to 460 nm. We quantified the uncertainty of the retrieved TCN based on several factors. The estimated TCN uncertainty was up to 0.09 Dobson unit (DU), equivalent to \(2.687 \times 10^{20}\) molecules m\(^{-2}\)) given a 1° error for the observation geometries, including the solar zenith angle, viewing zenith angle, and relative azimuth angle. About 0.1 DU (6.8%) was estimated for an aerosol optical depth (AOD) uncertainty of 0.01. In addition, the uncertainty due to the NO\(_2\) vertical profile was 14% to 22%. Compared with the co-located Pandora spectrophotometer measurements, the HIS captured the temporal variation of the TCN during the intensive observation period. The correlation between the TCN from the HIS and Pandora also showed good agreement, with a slight positive bias (bias: 0.6 DU, root mean square error: 0.7 DU).
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distribution and variation of total column amounts of trace gases, including NO$_2$, in Korea using the Pandora spectrophotometer during the Distributed Regional Aerosol Gridded Observation Networks (DRAGON)-North East Asia [19,20], Megacity Air Pollution Studies-Seoul (MAPS-Seoul) [18,21], and Korea–US Air quality study (KORUS-AQ) [22,23] in 2012, 2015, and 2016, respectively. Since the conclusion of the KORUS-AQ campaign, two of the Pandora spectrophotometers have been in operation in Seoul and Busan.

A limited number of optical instruments are operated to cover the regions with high concentrations of NO$_2$ in Korea. Therefore, it is necessary to utilize several kinds of optical instruments to supplement the spatial coverage of the Pandora spectrophotometer observation network. In this study, we retrieved the total column amount of NO$_2$ (hereafter, TCN) from spatial and spectral radiance information from ground-based hyperspectral imaging sensor (HIS) measurements in Seoul, Korea.

An overview of the instruments, including the HIS, is given in Section 2. A detailed explanation of the algorithm for the TCN, with a sensitivity analysis and uncertainty estimations with respect to the observation geometries, aerosol optical depth (AOD), and NO$_2$ vertical profile, are provided in Section 3. Finally, a comparison of the TCN from the HIS with that from the Pandora spectrophotometer measurements is presented in Section 4.

2. Measurements

2.1. Site

The HIS (UV-VIS hyperspectral spectrophotometer, Headwall Photonics Inc., Bolton, MA, USA) was operated side-by-side with the Pandora spectrophotometer and CIMEL sun/sky radiometer at Yonsei University (37.564°N, 126.934°E and 181 m above the mean sea level) in Seoul, Korea. The instruments were located on the rooftop of the science building.

The temporal variation of NO$_2$ at Yonsei University has been measured by the Pandora spectrophotometer since 2012. The mean tropospheric NO$_2$ was 1.49 ± 0.65 DU during the MAPS-Seoul campaign (Chong et al., 2018), and the mean total column of NO$_2$ was 1.2 ± 0.8 DU for 8 years. Considering that there are no point emission sources near the station, and the distance between traffic road and the station is about 300 m, the spatial variation of NO$_2$ is not as large as the temporal variation.

2.2. Hyperspectral Imaging Sensor (HIS)

The HIS measures scattered shortwave radiance through horizontal scanning, and the scanning schedule was planned to look upward near the sun and not be interfered with by any obstacles in the total field-of-view (FOV). The HIS has a diffraction grating, and light entering through a slit is dispersed and projected onto a 2-D charged-coupled device. The effects for keystone and smile distortion are corrected. The spectral range of the HIS is 250 to 500 nm, with a spectral resolution of 1.4 nm (FWHM; full width at half maximum) and a sampling interval of 0.26 nm (961 bands). The field-of-view is 13° (1392 pixels) in the vertical direction (see details in [24]). Detailed specifications of the HIS are summarized in Table 1.

| Table 1. Specifications of the hyperspectral imaging sensor (HIS) and Pandora spectrophotometer. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Hyperspectral Imaging Sensor (HIS) | Pandora Spectrophotometer |
| Wavelength (nm) | 250–500 | 280–525 |
| Spectral sampling (nm) | 0.26 | 0.23 |
| Spectral resolution (FWHM) (nm) | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Field-of-view | 13° (vertical) | 1.6° |
| Detector | Charge-Coupled Device |

For horizontal scanning, the HIS was mounted on the external horizontal moving plate system. The pan and tilt angles, motion speed of the mounting system, and exposure time of the HIS were...
controlled by the operating software. Information on the scan positions was automatically recorded in each observation dataset. Geometries between the HIS and the sun were estimated from the above information on the scan position. One dataset included the horizontally scanned radiance within two minutes, and the radiance data were corrected by radiometric and dark calibrations after observation. The radiometric calibration was done with radiometric sensitivity files of the instrument, and dark correction used the dark radiances measured before and after observation. In this study, 28 measured datasets on 30 and 31 October 2017 were used to retrieve the TCN. With spatially resolved TCNs, we retrieved the mean and standard deviation of TCNs as a representative value from each dataset for comparison with the Pandora spectrophotometer.

2.3. Pandora Spectrophotometer (No. 27)

The Pandora spectrophotometer (hereafter, Pandora) is a passive UV-visible hyperspectral sensor with a two-dimensional charge-coupled device (CCD) with a 1.6° FOV. The spectral resolution and its sampling interval are 0.6 (FWHM) and 0.23 nm, respectively. The spectrometer in the control box is connected from the sensor head by a fiber optic cable, and the temperature of the control box is maintained (20 ± 1 °C) to stabilize the spectrometer (e.g., [7,25]).

Pandora at Yonsei University has been operated since 2012 [18,20]. From the direct sun measurements, the TCN from Pandora is calculated by radiance fitting using the spectral window of 370 to 500 nm [7]. The TCN data with approximately two-minute intervals are provided on the Pandonia Global Network (PGN) website. In this study, we used level 3 TCN data qualified with thresholds of less than 0.05 for the normalized root mean square error (RMSE) of spectral fitting residuals and 0.05 DU for the uncertainties for the TCN retrieval [8].

3. Estimation of Total Column Nitrogen Dioxide (TCN)

3.1. Algorithm Development

The UVSPEC radiative transfer model, which is part of the calculation tool in the radiation model package, libRadtran [26], was used to simulate the radiance in the UV and visible spectral range under various atmospheric conditions. The accuracy of the libRadtran has been proven for simulating UV irradiance and used in numerous studies (http://www.libradtran.org). The only input parameters related to the absorption and scattering characteristics of NO$_2$ and aerosol (e.g., vertical profile, absorption cross-section for NO$_2$, and scattering coefficients and phase function for aerosols) determine the fundamental accuracy of the RTM simulation. The U.S. standard atmosphere [27] and extraterrestrial spectra with a 0.1 nm resolution [28] were used for the atmospheric conditions and solar irradiance dataset, respectively. The radiance was simulated with a 0.26 nm sampling interval after adopting a spectral weighting function with a Gaussian distribution with 1.4 nm (FWHM) to describe the instrument specification of the HIS (Table 1). The absorption cross-section for NO$_2$ was taken considering the temperature dependence [29]. Although several sources are in the stratosphere [1,30], most of the emission sources of NO$_2$ are located near the surface of the polluted urban area. In this study, we considered the variation of NO$_2$ concentrations below the 4 km altitude in the simulation. The surface albedo in the RTM is assumed to be 0.1, which can be used for the urban surface conditions [31]. With the conditions for the RTM set as above, the look-up table (LUT) for the algorithm was comprised of observation geometries (solar zenith angle (SZA), viewing zenith (VZA), and relative azimuth angle (RAA)), AOD, and TCN with each discrete interval as summarized in Table 2.

Considering the relatively coarse spectral resolution of the HIS and the status were calibrated 2 years before, the differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) method was carefully excluded. To reduce an effect, which could be caused by the absence of spectral and absolute radiance calibration just before the measurements, the fitting method with radiance ratios between the observations and simulations (e.g., [32]) was applied for TCN retrieval. In addition, the radiances of each wavelength were averaged around the center to reduce a possible wavelength shift effect, and the sensitivity
analysis about the number of averaging pixels is discussed in Section 3.2. Five wavelength pairs were used, as shown in Table 3. The absorption of other trace gases is insignificant compared to the absorption of NO$_2$ at five wavelength pairs. Each wavelength pair was selected on the location at which the radiance is strongly and weakly absorbed by NO$_2$, based on the difference in the normalized radiance (which was calculated by dividing the radiance by the irradiance).

| Variables      | Entries                | No. of Entries |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| SZA (°)        | 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70 | 8              |
| VZA (°)        | 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70 | 15             |
| RAA (°)        | 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 90, 120, 180 | 10             |
| AOD (550 nm)   | 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 | 11             |
| TCN (DU)       | 0.1–9.0 (0.1 DU interval) | 90             |

Table 3. Wavelength pairs used in the retrieval of total column amounts of NO$_2$ (TCN).

| Channel (k) | Strong ($I$)/Weak ($I'$) Absorption Wavelength (nm) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1           | 400.610/407.055                          |
| 2           | 409.403/416.598                          |
| 3           | 421.661/426.457                          |
| 4           | 435.251/442.179                          |
| 5           | 448.307/456.834                          |

The TCN was retrieved from each pixel when the cost function was the smallest. The cost function was defined as $\chi^2$, as shown in Equation (1):

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{5} \left( \frac{R_{\text{obs}} - R_{\text{RTM}}}{R_{\text{RTM}}} \right)^2, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, 5),$$

where $R_{\text{obs}}$ and $R_{\text{RTM}}$ are the radiance ratios calculated from the observations and the RTM simulations, respectively. The subscript $k$ indicates the spectral channel as shown in Table 3.

The radiance ratio ($R_k$) was defined by Equation (2):

$$R_k = \frac{I'_k}{I_k},$$

where $I_k$ and $I'_k$ respectively indicate the radiance at the wavelengths for strong and weak NO$_2$ absorption.

The averaged radiance over the given spectral range, $\Delta \lambda$, $I_k(I'_k)$, was averaged by Equation (3):

$$I_k = \frac{1}{2\Delta \lambda} \int_{\lambda - \Delta \lambda}^{\lambda + \Delta \lambda} I(\lambda) \, d\lambda.$$

The $R_{\text{RTM}}$ was determined from the LUT based on the observation conditions (e.g., SZA, RAA, VZA, and AOD). For the calculation of $\chi^2$, the $R_{\text{obs}}$ was fitted to $R_{\text{RTM}}$ for each of the 0.1 DU TCN intervals. The TCN was estimated when the difference between the observation and simulation was minimized.

3.2. Pixel Co-Adding

Prior to applying the algorithm to the HIS data, the sensitivity of two factors—pixel co-adding and the RAA limitation—was quantified. In many algorithms, pixel co-adding has been used to reduce radiometric random noise (e.g., [33,34]); however, signal intensities in the retrieval process change.
according to the number of co-adding pixels. Therefore, the optimal numbers of spectral and spatial co-adding pixels were determined through a sensitivity analysis based on the HIS dataset. For the sensitivity of the spectral co-adding, a case study of 11:55–11:57 LST on 30 October 2017 was used. The retrieved TCNs from the HIS were 1.5 ± 0.3 DU, 1.5 ± 0.4 DU, and 1.6 ± 0.7 DU, respectively, when 5, 15, and 25 pixels were spectrally co-added. The deviation of the TCN was enhanced with the increasing number of spectrally co-added pixels, although the mean TCN showed similar values because the absorption spectrum of NO$_2$ is smoothed by the spectral co-adding, resulting in a large variance. Therefore, five pixels were selected for the spectral co-adding in this study.

Similarly, the sensitivity analysis for the spatial co-adding was executed along the horizontal direction (i.e., RAA axis). Figure 1 shows the normalized standard deviation of the TCN (standard deviation ($\sigma$) divided by the mean TCN ($\mu$)), by changing the number of spatial co-adding pixels. The mean value of $\sigma/\mu$ is 27.3%, 22.8%, 18.6%, 18.6%, and 18.9% for 5, 15, 30, 45, and 60 pixels, respectively. The number of spatial co-adding pixels was determined when the difference of the mean, $\sigma/\mu$, was not sensitive to the number of co-adding pixels. Despite similar sensitivities, 45 and 60 pixels were excluded to keep up the spatial resolution of the TCN for the horizontal direction. Based on the sensitivity analysis above, 30 pixels were selected for spatial co-adding.
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**Figure 1.** Sensitivity test for spatially co-added pixels. Dots and error bars represent the mean and standard deviation for the spatial variation of the TCN normalized by the mean value in each dataset, respectively.

### 3.3 Bias Near the Sun

Figure 2 shows the false-color images with arbitrarily selected red green and blue (RGB) wavelengths (R: 500 nm, G: 450 nm, B: 380 nm) and the retrieval results of the TCNs from the HIS, considering the retrieval limitation for the RAA. As shown in Figure 2a,d, the false-color image of the HIS, unexpected biases are found near the sun (i.e., small RAA), and the retrieved TCNs in small RAA pixels are systematically larger than those in large RAA pixels (Figure 2b,e). These non-linear increases of the radiance in all wavelength ranges can be caused by the smearing effect. Smear occurs mainly in bright spots where stray photons can arrive in the register. The smearing effect of the instrument near the sun (i.e., close to the saturation region) caused large bias of the radiance and, consequently, the TCN.

To consider the smearing effect along with the solar disk, we retrieved the TCN in which the RAA was larger than 15° to reduce the RAA dependency in the retrieval. The mean TCNs at 13:39–13:41
LST on 30 October 2017 changed from 1.5 DU to 1.2 DU (−20.0%) by applying an RAA limitation of 15° (Figure 2c). In another case of 12:07–12:09 LST on 31 October 2017, the mean TCN was reduced from 2.7 DUs to 2.1 DUs (−22.2%) for an RAA limitation of 15° (Figure 2f). The retrieved mean TCNs decreased by 12.2% on average when the RAA was limited to larger than 15°.

3.4. Uncertainty Estimation

The inherent errors of the instruments and input values used in the fitting process cause the uncertainties in the retrieved TCN. In this section, we quantified the uncertainties caused by the three factors used as input values. In the TCN retrieval algorithm, geometries between the sun and the HIS were calculated by the solar position and recorded dataset for the scan position of the HIS. The bias of the retrieved TCN could be generated by the error of the estimated observation geometries, because the observation geometries determine the path of the sunlight. Figure 3 shows the uncertainty of the TCN with respect to the arbitrary error of geometry. When the SZA, VZA, and RAA have arbitrary measurement errors of 1°, the differences in the TCNs from the reference TCNs (i.e., dTCNs) are 0.08, 0.08, and 0.09 DU, respectively, and the dTCNs are 0.28, 0.27, and 0.28 DU at geometric errors of 5°, respectively. With a geometric error range of 1° to 5°, the TCN can be retrieved with uncertainties from 4.9% to 16.7% for the SZA, from 4.7% to 16.8% for the VZA, and from 5.0% to 18.0% for the RAA; however, the retrieval error of the TCN is insignificant within a geometric error of 1°.
During the intensive observation period, the AOD at 550 nm was obtained from the CIMEL sun/sky radiometer, and the accuracy of the AOD is assumed to be 0.01 [35]. As the mean AOD of the period in this study was 0.12, for the low AOD cases, we estimated the uncertainty of the retrieved TCN about the CIMEL accuracy of 0.01. The TCN was retrieved using the closest AOD value with an LUT bin to exclude errors caused by AOD interpolation. The uncertainty of the retrieved TCN was estimated to be 0.1 DU (6.8%). For the same geometric conditions, the change in the radiance ratio (R) was constant for the TCN change; however, the R is more sensitive to the AOD than to the TCN, especially when the AOD is small. Therefore, the retrieved TCN has relatively large uncertainty caused by the AOD.

The U.S. standard atmospheric condition, used in this study, describes the NO2 number density up to 120 km and has NO2 concentration peaks at the stratosphere and troposphere, respectively. Because the variation of total column NO2 is scaled at all layers in the RTM, the overestimation could be generated at the upper atmosphere. To avoid an unrealistic increase of the NO2 concentration at the stratosphere, we utilized the concept of the constraint layer. The NO2 vertical distribution assumes that the NO2 concentration is only changed within 4 km altitudes based on the profile of the U.S. standard atmospheric conditions (hereafter, the constraint layer). The effective optical path length for the NO2 absorption is significantly related to its vertical distribution when the instrument measures scattered solar radiation. Therefore, the difference in the NO2 vertical profile is a considerable factor for the uncertainty analysis of the TCN retrieval from HIS measurements. The uncertainty estimation of the various constraint layer, 1, 2, and 4 km altitudes, is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3. Differences in the retrieved TCN (dTCN) with uncertainties from the observation geometries, RAA (black), VZA (blue), and SZA (yellow). Dots and error bars represent the mean and one standard deviation for the TCN differences, respectively.
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Figure 4 is an example of the retrieved TCN at 11:38–11:40 LST on 30 October 2017, assuming a constraint layer of 4 (Figure 4a), 2 (Figure 4b), and 1 km (Figure 4c). When the constraint layer for the NO2 profile changed from 4 to 2 km and from 4 to 1 km, the TCNs increased by 0.2 DU (13%) and 0.3 DU (19%), respectively. For all observation cases, the differences in the TCN were +14% and +22% on average when the constraint layer changed from 4 to 2 km and from 4 to 1 km, respectively. By changing the constraint layer, the radiance intensity at strong absorption wavelengths has a larger sensitivity than those at weak absorption wavelengths for all wavelength pairs. Therefore, the $R_{RTM}$ in the same conditions decreases as the constraint layer changes from 4 km to 2 or 1 km, and it causes a
positive bias in the retrieved TCN value. The constraint layer sensitivity only shows the linear increase or decrease in the retrieved TCNs, as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4.** Retrieved TCNs at 11:38–11:40 LST on 30 October 2017 depending on an NO$_2$ constraint layer of (a) 4, (b) 2, (c) and 1 km. The contours are the retrieved TCN of each pixel and lines with standard deviations (right y-axis) are the retrieved TCN of each RAA column.

4. Comparison with Co-Located Pandora Measurements

Figure 5a,b shows the time series of the TCN from the HIS (RAA > 15°) and Pandora on 30 and 31 October 2017. From Pandora, the TCN peak appeared between 11:00 and 12:00 LST on 30 October and decreased gradually. Despite the relatively small diurnal variation, the TCN retrieved from the HIS showed a coincidence in the temporal variation of the TCN with Pandora. On 31 October, the TCN has a large diurnal variation of about 2DU. The TCN from the HIS also captures the temporal variation of the TCN on that day. Although the TCN retrieved from the HIS (1.8 ± 0.5 DU) in two days is slightly higher than that of Pandora (1.1 ± 0.5 DU), the TCN from the HIS could be useful to detect the diurnal variation qualitatively, considering the positive bias.

Figure 5c shows the scatterplot of TCN from Pandora and the HIS. For the comparison, the data were selected within 1 minute for the observation time difference between the HIS and Pandora. The bias of the TCN from the HIS and Pandora was 0.6 DU with an RMSE of 0.7 DU ($r = 0.84$). Most previous studies on optical remote sensing, especially the Pandora, compared ground-based measured NO$_2$ with satellite products. For example, the TCN between Pandora and the OMI showed ranges of correlation coefficients from 0.50 to 0.82 [36] at Seoul, Korea and from 0.44 to 0.73 [7] at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) depending on the SZA, AOD, and cloud amounts. For the comparison between direct ground-based measurements, the correlation coefficient ($r$) of TCNs between Pandora and multifunction DOAS (MF-DOAS) was 0.88 [37]. In the comparison between the scattering optical sensor and an in-situ gas analyzer, differential slant column densities of NO$_2$ measured by scattered sunlight of an Imaging-DOAS instrument showed an agreement of 40% in Beijing [38]. In this study, the different retrieval methods between the HIS (radiance fitting method) and Pandora (DOAS-based method) can cause the discrepancy in the retrieved TCN. Especially, due to the location of the site within the urban area, there could be spatial variability in the NO$_2$ distribution, which also depends on the meteorology. Thus, different observation methods, i.e., scattered (HIS) and direct (Pandora) radiances, also contributed to the discrepancy. The systematic biases are able to be affected not only by hardware limitations (e.g., possibility of the shift of wavelength and absolute radiance) but also by the algorithm itself (especially, input parameters describing the characteristics and distribution of NO$_2$ and aerosols, e.g., NO$_2$ profile, smoothing of the cross-section of NO$_2$ caused by spectral averaging, aerosol profile, scattering coefficients, and phase function). Despite the overestimation and uncertainty described in Section 3.3, the comparison result is consistent with the results from above previous studies.
1 km, the retrieved TCN showed a difference of 14% to 22% from the reference TCN. The radiance shift and absolute radiance will be expected to reduce biases from hardware problems. Based on the above assumptions, the retrieval algorithm was developed using the HIS ground-based measurements.

The uncertainty was also respectively estimated by the observation geometries, AOD, and the assumed NO$_2$ vertical profile in the algorithm. The retrieval uncertainty was up to 0.09 DU due to an arbitrary observation geometric error of 1°. For an AOD accuracy of 0.01, the uncertainty of TCN was 0.1 DU (6.8%). Regarding the NO$_2$ vertical profile, when the constraint layer was changed from 4 to 1 km, the retrieved TCN showed a difference of 14% to 22% from the reference TCN. The radiance ratios with different constraint layers were significantly changed for the same TCNs due to the smearing effect near the solar disk, only the pixels where the RAA is larger than 15° were used as valid results.

The uncertainty was also respectively estimated by the observation geometries, AOD, and the assumed NO$_2$ vertical profile in the algorithm. The retrieval uncertainty was up to 0.09 DU due to an arbitrary observation geometric error of 1°. For an AOD accuracy of 0.01, the uncertainty of TCN was 0.1 DU (6.8%). Regarding the NO$_2$ vertical profile, when the constraint layer was changed from 4 to 1 km, the retrieved TCN showed a difference of 14% to 22% from the reference TCN. The radiance ratios with different constraint layers were significantly changed for the same TCNs due to the effective optical path length.

The TCN from the HIS agrees with the temporal variation of that from the Pandora collocated at Yonsei University in Seoul, Korea during the intensive observation period. The bias and the RMSE between the HIS and Pandora were 0.6 and 0.7 DU, respectively. Although the TCN from the HIS has overestimations, the comparison results showed that the retrieval algorithm for the HIS, developed in this study, could be utilized for TCN estimation from ground-based measurements.

In this study, climatology values for the aerosol vertical profiles and aerosol optical properties were used and assumed as a well-mixed profile. In addition, the U.S. standard atmosphere condition limited to changes in NO$_2$ concentration only below the constraint layer was assumed. In reality, however, shape and spatial variability of aerosol and NO$_2$ exist in the FOV of the instrument, which can affect the retrievals. The assumption of aerosol and NO$_2$ should be improved with a realistic database to improve the NO$_2$ products from the HIS. Furthermore, precise calibration of the spectral shift and absolute radiances will be expected to reduce biases from hardware problems. Based on the above assumptions and the developed retrieval algorithm, the HIS will be a valuable tool for monitoring NO$_2$ at regional and global scales.
improvements, we expect that the TCN retrieval algorithm can be expanded to airborne measurements by adding several considerations related to the optical properties of the surface and aerosols.
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