The Potential of Fractionated Rice Bran Protein Hydrolysates as Antioxidative and Anti-Inflammatory Agents
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Summary

Rice bran is generally used as animal feed despite containing numerous nutritional compounds. Small peptides possessing high antioxidant activity can be obtained from rice bran protein via enzymatic hydrolysis. Immune-modulating and antioxidative activity of rice bran protein hydrolysates from crude rice bran protein and its fractions were studied. Albumin, globulin, glutelin, and prolamin proteins were fractionated based on solubility differences and hydrolyzed with two types of enzyme, namely pepsin and protease M. Albumin fraction showed a high degree of hydrolysis in both enzymes. Protease M differently digested rice bran protein fractions, in which it showed low digestion in glutelin and prolamin fractions. After 30 min of hydrolysis time, the reaction slowed down, and antioxidant activity remained constant in pepsin hydrolysis. Due to the high presence of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in protease M digested fractions (caused by the enzyme), it could not be used to determine immune-modulating activity. THP-1 macrophages were simultaneously stimulated with 100 ng/mL LPS and rice bran protein hydrolysates from 4 h of pepsin digestion. Reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β and increase of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 were observed from crude rice bran protein and albumin. In conclusion, pepsin-digested rice bran protein could be potentially used as antioxidative and anti-inflammatory agent.
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Materials and Methods

Materials. Fresh rice bran variety Oryza sativa L., CV Khao Dawk Mali 105 was obtained from Patum Rice Mill and Granary Public Co. Ltd., Thailand. The rice bran sample was packed in polyethylene bags and kept at 4°C prior to use.

Delipidation of rice bran. Rice bran was ground with a blender and passed through a 50 mm sieve. Sieved rice bran: hexane ratio of 1:3 (w/v) was defatted twice.
with a laboratory paddle stirrer for 30 min at room temperature. The slurry was then centrifuged at 10,000 g at 25 °C for 30 min. The defatted rice bran was air-dried overnight under a fume hood, and subsequently ground in a blender, sieved through a 50 mm mesh, and stored at 4 °C prior to use (4).

Extraction of crude rice bran protein. One hundred grams of defatted rice bran were dispersed in distilled deionized water at ratio of 1 : 6 (w/v). The pH was adjusted to 9.5 using a 1.0 N NaOH solution, stirred with a paddle stirrer for 30 min, then centrifuged at 10,000 ×g for 30 min at 25 °C. The supernatant was adjusted to pH 4.5 with a 1.0 N HCl solution and centrifuged again at 10,000 g for 30 min at 25 °C. The precipitate was being washed with distilled deionized water (pH 4.5) prior to freeze-drying (11). Protein content was determined with the Kjeldahl method (12). The freeze-dried rice bran protein powder was stored at −20 °C until needed.

Fractionation of rice bran protein. One hundred grams of defatted rice bran were fractionated according to Osborne method as described in the previous study (8). Each supernatant obtained from the four fractions (albumin, globulin, prolamin, and glutelin) was adjusted to pH 4.5 with a 1.0 N HCl solution and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min at 25 °C. The precipitated proteins were collected by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 min and freeze-dried. Protein content was determined using the Kjeldahl method (12). All fractions were freeze-dried and stored at −20 °C until used.

Hydrolysis of crude rice bran protein and rice bran protein fractions. One gram (dry basis) of freeze-dried crude rice bran protein and fractionated rice bran protein samples (albumin, globulin, prolamin or glutelin) were suspended in distilled water (1% w/v) and adjusted to pH 2 and pH 3 for pepsin (E.C.3.4.23.1, 1,120 units/mg) and protease M (E.C.3.2.752.2, 500 units/g) digestion respectively. Protein solutions for pepsin digestion were mixed using a 1 : 100 protein: enzyme (w/w) ratio and incubated at 37 °C, while 1 : 25 ratio and 50 °C incubation (w/w) was applied for protease M digestion (8). Sample aliquots were collected and digested for 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h. The enzymes were inactivated by water bath heating at 95 °C for 3 min. These hydrolyzed protein solutions were kept at 4 °C for a month before use.

Determination of degree of hydrolysis. The O-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) method, as described in referenced study (13), determined the degree of hydrolysis (DH). The OPA reagent was prepared by combining: 25 mL of 100 mM sodium tetraborate, 2.5 mL of 20% w/w of SDS and 40 mg of OPA (dissolved in 1 mL of methanol), and 100 μL of β-mercaptoethanol. Distilled water was added to final volume of 50 mL. The reagent was prepared fresh before use. An aliquot of protein hydrolysate (50 μL) was added directly to 2 mL of OPA reagent in a quartz cuvette. The solution was thoroughly mixed and incubated for 2 min at ambient temperature. Absorbance was measured at 340 nm via spectrometer. The following equation determined the percentage of degree hydrolysis:

\[
\text{DH} = (\frac{A_{340} \text{nm}}{\text{d} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \text{p}}) \times 100
\]

Where Mw is the average molecular weight of amino acids (=120), \(\Delta 340 \text{nm}\) is the absorbance at 340 nm, d is the dilution factor, \(\varepsilon\) is the constant value (6,000 m\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)), and p is protein concentration (mg/mL).

Determination of protein content. The Biuret reagent consists of 2.25 g of sodium potassium tartrate, 0.75 g of copper sulfate (CuSO\(_4\)·5H\(_2\)O), and 1.25 g of potassium iodide, dissolved in 100 mL of 0.2 M NaOH solution. The solution volume was then adjusted to 250 mL with distilled water. Five milliliters of biuret reagent were mixed with 1 mL samples at room temperature for 30 min. The standard protein solution was prepared using bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 1 to 10 mg/mL concentrations. Absorbance was determined at 540 nm (8).

Determination of total phenolic compound. Gallic acid between 200 to 2,000 μg/mL was used in preparing the standard solutions. The mixture contained 50 μL of either the standard or sample solution, 250 μL of freshly prepared Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, and 3 mL of distilled water. After being mixed thoroughly, the mixtures were left at room temperature for 10 min, then 750 μL of 20% sodium carbonate solution was added. The solution was mixed again and incubated for 2 h at 25 °C. Absorbance at 765 nm was measured via spectrometer (14).

Antioxidative activity of rice bran protein hydrolysates. The 2,2-azino-bis (3-ethylenobisoladine-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) solution was dissolved in 50 mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS). The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. A 70 mM K\(_2\)S\(_4\)O\(_8\) solution was prepared with distilled water. An ABTS radical cation was created by reacting 10 mL of the ABTS stock solution with 40 μL of the K\(_2\)S\(_4\)O\(_8\) solution and subsequently stored in dark place at room temperature for 16–17 h before use (15). Hydrolysate antioxidant activity at 1 mg protein/mL was analyzed using the methodology described in the aforementioned study (16).

Endotoxin quantification assay. The amount of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in all rice bran samples was determined using ToxinSensor™ Chromogenic LAL Endotoxin Assay Kit (GenScript, USA.).

Anti-inflammatory activity. The macrophage-like state was obtained by treating THP-1 monocyte with 100 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma) for 48 h in 24-well cell culture plates with 0.5 mL of cell suspension (5×10\(^5\) cells). To achieve macrophage resting state, differentiated and adherent cells were washed twice with the culture medium (RPMI 1640 medium without PMA but containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S) and rested in culture medium for another 24 h. THP-1 macrophages were stimulated for 12 h with rice bran protein hydrolysates derived from 4 h of 50, 100 and 200 μg/mL pepsin digestion together with LPS from E. coli at 100 ng/mL. The concentrations of IL-1β, TNF-α and IL-10 in cell culture supernatant were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Abnova, Taiwan).
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**RESULTS**

**Protein extraction and fractionation**

The Khao Dawk Mali 105 defatted rice bran variety contained 18.76% protein. The protein content (dry basis) and yield (calculated from defatted rice bran) for each fraction are shown in Table 1. Protein content ranged from 59–92% with crude rice bran protein yielding the greatest amount and prolamin the least.

| Fraction          | %Protein | %Protein yield |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|
| Defatted rice     | 18.76    |                |
| Crude rice bran   | 74.29    | 8.80           |
| Albumin           | 73.48    | 1.61           |
| Globulin          | 92.57    | 1.97           |
| Glutelin          | 77.78    | 5.36           |
| Prolamin          | 59.08    | 0.36           |

The degree of hydrolysis (%DH) increased sharply for all protein samples during the first 30 min, while in protease M hydrolysis increased exponentially for up to 4 h of digestion (except for prolamin) (Fig. 1). Pepsin and protease M produced the greatest digestibility in albumin and CRBP among five protein fractions.

Statistical analysis. Two independent and duplicate replications were performed for all analyses (except protein extraction). All data were reported as means with standard deviation (SD). Dunnett’s multiple comparison test revealed post-hoc statistical differences from the control with confidence interval of 95%.

**Protein content and total phenolic compound**

The concentrations of supernatant protein over time post enzymatic hydrolysis are shown in Fig. 2. Pepsin hydrolysates had greater supernatant protein concentrations compared to protease M digestion. Protein concentrations in glutelin and prolamin hydrolysate supernatants due to pepsin digestion were the highest, while those of protease M were the lowest. Strangely, protein content in globulin supernatant without the addition of enzyme (data not shown).
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The concentrations of supernatant protein over time post enzymatic hydrolysis are shown in Fig. 2. Pepsin hydrolysates had greater supernatant protein concentrations compared to protease M digestion. Protein concentrations in glutelin and prolamin hydrolysate supernatants due to pepsin digestion were the highest, while those of protease M were the lowest. Strangely, protein content in globulin supernatant without the addition of enzyme (data not shown).

**Fig. 1. %Degree of hydrolysis (%DH) of crude rice bran protein (CRBP), albumin (Alb), globulin (Glb), glutelin (Gln) and prolamin (Pro) digested by pepsin and protease M. Values are means ± standard deviation (SD) from two independent replications.**

**Fig. 2. Protein concentrations in supernatants of crude rice bran protein (CRBP), albumin (Alb), globulin (Glb), glutelin (Gln) and prolamin (Pro) digested by pepsin and protease M. Values are means ± standard deviation (SD) from two independent replications.**
Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity was determined by ABTS radical scavenging activity and expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) (Fig. 4). All protein hydrolysates concentrations were adjusted to 1 mg protein/mL. Protein hydrolysates obtained from pepsin digestion possessed greater antioxidant capacities than those from protease M digestion. Interestingly, sharp antioxidant activity increase correlated positively to the degree of hydrolysis during the first 30 min of pepsin digestion.
The greatest antioxidant activity among all treatments appeared in glutelin and prolamin hydrolysates from pepsin digestion. Hydrolysates by protease M showed no discernible trend between antioxidant capacity and degree of hydrolysis.

**Endotoxin quantification assay**

LPS concentrations from pepsin digestion at 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL concentrations in all protein hydrolysates were ranged from 0.22–0.30 ng/mL. Our preliminary study revealed that THP-1 macrophages showed no pro-inflammatory gene expression with LPS concentrations below 10 pg/mL. LPS contamination in protein hydrolysates from protease M digestion were approximately 30–80 µg/mL. This might be due to the detection of high LPS concentration in the protease M enzyme. Consequently, anti-inflammatory activity could not be further tested in hydrolysates from protease M using THP-1 macrophages.

**Anti-inflammatory activity**

Hydrolysates from 4 h of pepsin digestion: CRBP, albumin, and glutelin were simultaneously applied to 100 ng/mL LPS and 0, 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL protein concentration to stimulate THP-1 macrophages. Due to the minute quantities of the prolamin fraction and the digestion of globulin without addition of pepsin or protease M (data not shown), the anti-inflammatory activity...
activity of these two protein fractions could not be determined. Cytokine concentrations were investigated after 12 h of incubation via an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). As shown in Fig. 5, IL-1β production decreased for CRBP and albumin hydrolysates in a non-dose dependent manner, while in glutelin increased.

There were no significant differences in the decrease of TNF-α concentrations for all treatments (Fig. 6). It is very interesting to observe the significantly increase of IL-10 production after LPS-stimulated THP-1 macrophages were simultaneously incubated with CRBP, albumin and glutelin hydrolysates for 12 h (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**

Albumin is the most abundant fraction in rice bran protein, followed by globulin, glutenin, and prolamin, respectively (17). In our findings, CRBP had the greatest protein yield with 8.80%, followed by glutenin at 5.36%, similar to the results of Wang et al. (18) and Wattanasiritham et al. (19). This is due to the alkaline condition in CRBP extraction and pH precipitation of approximately 4.5 (11). Alkaline extraction is commonly used for protein extraction in food industries. The abundance of disulphide bonds in rice bran produces cross-links between phytic acid, cellulose, and other molecular compounds, including protein. This results in low protein extraction yield (14).

Pepsin was effective in digesting all five fractions: CRBP, albumin, globulin, prolamin, and glutelin, while the protease M resulted in selective digestion with a little impact on glutelin and prolamin fractions. Adebija et al. (7) indicated that Hitomebore rice glutelin and prolamin fractions were more resistant to protease enzymatic hydrolysis. Rice bran protein albumin fractions had the greatest protease digestibility due to its solubility in water (7), which may result in desirable protein functional properties such as water holding capacity, emulsifying, and foaming activities (18). Biuret protein quantifying method is used to determine protein concentration based on the complex bonding between cupric ions and peptide bonds (20). Pepsin-digested hydrolysates produced greater supernatant protein concentrations than those from protease M. This corresponded to the greater degree of hydrolysis in rice bran protein fractions from pepsin than that of protease M. It could be explained that the proteolytic actions of protease M enzyme are endoprotease and exoprotease activity, while pepsin is only endoprotease cleaving peptide bonds in the interior of polypeptide chain (7, 17). Therefore, more free amino acids are expected to be released into the supernatant of protease M than that of pepsin digestion.

Rice bran protein digestion released phenolic compounds previously embedded within the protein matrix (21). Total phenolic compounds (TPC) correlated positively with protein content in the supernatant. Protease M hydrolysates, with a lower degree of hydrolysis in digesting rice bran protein, produced less TPC than pepsin. Also, higher temperature of 50°C for protease M enzymatic digestion compared to 37°C for pepsin, resulted in the degradation of heat-sensitive phenolic compounds. Rice bran protein hydrolysates from pepsin and protease M contained between 0.02–0.45 mg GAE/mL of TPC. Zhou and Yu (14) quantified TPC in Akron wheat bran extract at approximately 2.63 mg GAE/g, while sorghum had 5 mg GAE/g (22). The TPC of rice bran protein hydrolysates in this study was notably lower than extracts from other cereals. Thus, antioxidative activity and anti-inflammatory activity from rice bran protein hydrolysates may come from peptides released from rice bran protein and not from phenolic compounds. According to the results of Chen et al. (23) and Tironi et al. (24), antioxidative activity could be completely lost when peptides cleave to free amino acids. This may explain on low antioxidative activity of protease M-digested rice bran protein hydrolysates. Wattanasiritham et al. (19) demonstrated typical characteristics of antioxidative peptides from fractionated rice bran protein hydrolysates. Trypsin-hydrolyzed rice bran albumin exhibited the highest antioxidant activity with ORAC value. It is worth to be noted that antioxidative activity of rice bran protein is highly depended on types of digesting enzyme, hydrolysis time and rice bran protein fraction.

Rice bran protein hydrolysates after 4 h pepsin digestion decreased pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β secretion and, interestingly, promoted anti-inflammatory IL-10 secretion. This indicates remarkable anti-inflammatory activity of rice bran hydrolysates in LPS-THP-1 macrophage stimulated model. Boonloah et al. (25) extracted crude rice bran protein followed by digestion with commercial enzyme Protease G6. The hydrolysate was given to obese mice with low-grade inflammation over the course of 6 wk. The degree of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, TNF-α, and iNOS in the liver were reduced. Moreover, rice bran protein hydrolysates showed signs of insulin resistance (26) and a response in glycemic lowering effect (27). The antioxidative activity of rice bran protein hydrolysates are derived by scavenging reactive oxygen species (28). During inflammation, ROS production increases in immune cells to eliminate foreign substances. Thus, one anti-inflammatory activity of pepsin-hydrolyzed rice bran protein may result from radical scavenging activity. However, antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities seemed not to be correlated in our findings. Another proposed anti-inflammatory activities from cereal proteins include the suppression of the NF-kB pathway (29, 30), resulting in decreased pro-inflammatory cytokines and mediator production in macrophages. These two mechanisms are also present in egg and milk peptides anti-inflammatory activities (31).

**CONCLUSION**

Pepsin-digested crude rice bran protein and albumin hydrolysates are potentially useful as antioxidative and anti-inflammatory agents based on in vitro research concerning in LPS-stimulated THP-1 macrophages. These two protein fractions may also provide easy to
digest protein sources in food additives.
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