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Abstract. Image registration is an important task in medical imaging which estimates the spatial transformation between different images. Many previous studies have used learning-based methods for multi-stage registration to perform 3D image registration to improve performance. The performance of the multi-stage approach, however, is limited by the size of the receptive field where complex motion does not occur at a single spatial scale. We propose a new registration network combining recursive network architecture and mutual attention mechanism to overcome these limitations. Compared with the previous deep learning methods, our network based on the recursive structure achieves the highest accuracy in lung Computed Tomography (CT) data set (Dice score of 92\% and average surface distance of 3.8mm for lungs) and one of the most accurate results in abdominal CT data set with 9 organs of various sizes (Dice score of 55\% and average surface distance of 7.8mm). We also showed that adding 3 recursive networks is sufficient to achieve the state-of-the-art results without a significant increase in the inference time.
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1 Introduction

Image registration is an essential computer vision task which has been widely studied \cite{17} and used in many applications, such as surgery navigation \cite{28,27} and morphological quantification \cite{25}. In medical imaging, Deformable image registration (DIR) enables the estimation of the non-linear correspondence between different acquisitions over time to monitor progress of treatment, or between different types of scanners (e.g. multi-modal image fusion) to provide complementary disease information. The classical registration algorithms have been developed as continuous optimization \cite{19,14}, or discrete optimization problems \cite{6}. Their computational performance, however, is limited due to highly dimensional, non-convex problem, and low capability to capture complex, global and local deformations \cite{15}. Recently, researchers have shifted interest to deep-learning-based unsupervised learning methods in deformable image registration,
because data-driven methods are significantly beneficial from a large amount of given paired/unpaired images compared with classical methods \[11,21,1\]. A fast learning-based approach, VoxelMorph, is presented in \[3\], where convolutional neural networks (CNN) and spatial transformer layers \[10\] are used to register two images by regressing directly dense displacement field. Other deep learning approaches investigated different representations of the transformation e.g. diffeomorphism \[13\], which preserve the topology of the transformation. The direct regression of the spatial transformation via neural networks however, only gives one prediction on registration without any progressive refinement.

Coarse-to-fine architecture is one of the solutions beneficial to CNN \[21,8,24,26\]. A weakly supervised multi-model registration method \[8\], utilizing an end-to-end convolution based network, aims to predict displacement fields to align multiple labelled corresponding structures for individual image pairs. Alternatively, an end-to-end multi-stage networks \[23\] are proposed for a deep recursive cascade architecture that allows unlimited number of cascades that can be built on the backbone networks. All of these multi-stage cascaded network structures, however, are still potentially suffering from the limited capture range of the receptive field.

The Attention mechanism \[20\] addresses the limited receptive field of CNNs and has been widely utilized in Transformer networks. Optimal correspondence matching was studied in \[12\] for a stereo matching task, where self-attention-based Transformer is proposed to relax the limitation of a fixed disparity range. Local feature matching can also benefit from self and cross attention, because Transformer networks are proved to obtain feature descriptors that are conditioned on both images \[18\]. The attention-based mechanism was applied to rigid registration \[22,16\] previously, however, required significantly high computational cost, and has not been explored in recursive deformable image registration.

In this paper, we propose a Mutual Attention based Recursive Network (RMAn), combining the Mutual Attention (MA) based module with a recursive architecture to increase the size of the receptive field. The recursive architecture provides the progressive refinement to 3D image deformable registration so that MA module can expand the global receptive field on a pair of low-resolution feature maps without extra cost of computation. Our contributions in this paper are as follows.

1. A Mutual Attention based Recursive Network (RMAn) is proposed for deformable image registration, combining the mutual attention \[20\] into recursive networks \[23\].
2. The proposed network achieves the best performance against the state-of-the-art deep learning methods respectively in lung Computed Tomography (CT) data set (Dice similarity coefficient of 92% and average surface distance for of 3.7mm lung) and comparable performance in abdomen (9 organs) CT data set.

2 Methods

2.1 Image Registration

Image registration can be defined as estimation of the spatial transformation \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \), represented by a corresponding parameters or a series of displacements denoted
by \( \phi[x] \in \mathbb{R}^d \) at the coordinate \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) of a target image \( I^t \in \mathbb{R}^n \) from a source image \( I^s \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( n \) is the size of a 3D image defined as \( n = H \times W \times T \), and \( d, T, H, W \) denoting the image dimension, thickness, height, and width, respectively.

Originally, image registration was solved as an optimization problem by minimization of a dissimilarity metric \( D \) and a regularization term \( S \):

\[
\hat{\phi} = \arg\min_{\phi} (D(\phi(I^s), I^t) + \lambda S(\phi, I^t))
\]  

(1)

where \( \hat{\phi} \) denotes the estimated spatial transformation, \( \lambda \) denotes the weight of the regularization. More recently, the registration is performed via CNN directly regressing the spatial transformation e.g. using the Dense Displacement Field (DDF) [2, 13]:

\[
\phi = \mathcal{R}(F^s, F^t; w)
\]  

(2)

with the training process based on minimizing the loss function (e.g. given in Eq. (1)) with the trainable weights \( w \) (\( w \) is omitted in the following part of the paper to simplify the formula). However the direct regression of spatial transformations via convolution neural networks could suffer due to limited capture range of the receptive field of convolution layers when dealing with large motion.

### 2.2 Recursive Registration Networks

Inspired by [23], we proposed a recursive network structure for coarse-to-fine registration of a pair of images as shown in Fig. 1. In coarse-to-fine approach, the residual transformation \( \varphi_k \) between the target image \( I^t \) and the warped source feature map based on previous level \( k - 1 \) registration \( \phi_{k-1}(F^t) \) is estimated via \( \mathcal{R} \) and accumulated via composition:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_k &= \phi_{k-1} \circ \varphi_k \\
\varphi_k &= \mathcal{R}(\phi_{k-1}(F^t), F^t)
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)
Fig. 2. The subnetwork in Fig. 1 including three main components, a Siamese Encoder consists of four pairs of Residual Downsampling (Res-down) blocks, Residual Upsampling (Res-up) block, and two Mutual Attention (MA) modules.

where $\circ$ denotes the composition of two spatial transformations, and $\phi_0$ is initialized as the identity transform. The subnetwork used in Fig. 1 including a weight-sharing two-branch Siamese encoder interconnected with a Mutual Attention module to extract and retrieve the common features, and a decoder to estimate the DDF $\varphi_k$, where each component of the network structure is shown in Fig. 2, where the convolution layers in each Res-down and Res-up blocks are set as kernel size of 3, and atrous rate (1,1,3) following the theoretical optimization of receptive field size in [29].

2.3 Mutual Attention

Similar to the idea from [12,18,5,25,9], Mutual Attention (MA) mechanism [20] is used in the RMAn to obtain the global receptive field and use so-called indicator matrices to quantify the relationship between each pair of pixels from two images, and the usage of multiple indicator matrices is called multi-head. The feature maps $F^k, F^q \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times n}$ are extracted from two stream of the two images $I^s, I^t$ via the encoder part as shown in Fig. 1, where $c$ denotes the feature channel number. Each element of $F^k$ (blue arrow in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) as a key vector is retrieved in the query vectors via correlation from $F^q$ (yellow arrow) in an indicator matrix $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ which can be formulated as:

$$\Phi = \text{softmax}(C^q(F^k)^\top C^k(F^q))$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then the vector from $F^q$ is passed through the linear corresponding mapping to the other stream via the $\Phi$:

$$\begin{align*}
F^v &= C^v(F^q) \\
F^{k\rightarrow q} &= F^v \otimes \Phi
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $F^{k\rightarrow q}$ denotes the feature maps passed from one stream to the other (green arrow in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). $C^q, C^k$ and $C^v$ denote the linear transformation for query, key and value feature vectors, respectively.
3 Experiments

3.1 Datasets

We evaluated the proposed RMAn for unsupervised deformable registration problem using two publicly available data sets with the ground truth annotations for 9 organs in abdomen CT data set and lung volumes in lung CT data set.

**Unpaired abdomen CT** is selected from [4]. The ground truth segmentation of spleen, right kidney, left kidney, esophagus, liver, aorta, inferior vena cava, portal, splenic vein, and pancreas are annotated for all CT scans. The inter-subject registration of the abdominal CT scans is challenging due to large inter-subject variations and great variability in organ volume, from 10 milliliters (esophagus) to 1.6 liters (liver). Each volume is resized to $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{mm}^3$ in the pre-processing step. From totally 30 subjects, 23 and 7 are respectively used for training and testing, forming 506 and 42 different pairs of images.

**Unpaired chest (lung) CT** is selected from [7]. The CT scans are all acquired at the same time point of the breathing cycle with a slice thickness of 1.00 mm and slice spacing of 0.70 mm. Pixel spacing in the X-Y plane varies from 0.63 to 0.77 mm with an average value of 0.70 mm. The ground truth annotations of lungs for all scans are provided. Each volume is resized to $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{mm}^3$ in the pre-processing step. We perform inter-subject registration from the total of 20 subjects, 12 and 8 are respectively used for training and testing, forming 132 and 56 different pairs of images.

3.2 Training details

We normalize the input image into 0-1 range and augment the training data by randomly cropping input images during training. For the experiments on inter-subject registration of abdomen and lung CT, the models are first pre-trained for 50k iteration on synthetic DDF, with the loss function set as:

$$L_{\text{syn}} = \sum \| \phi - \tilde{\phi} \|^2 + \lambda \sum \| \nabla \phi \|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Then the models are trained on real data for 100k iterations with the loss function:

$$L = D(I_t - \phi(I_s)) + \lambda \| \nabla \phi \circ e^{-\|\nabla I_t\|^2} \|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where normalized cross correlation and mean squared error are used in abdomen and lung CT respectively for $D$ following [3]. The whole training takes one week, including the data transfer, pretraining and fine-tuning. With a training batch size of 3, the initial learning rate is 0.001. The model was end-to-end trained with Adam optimizer.

3.3 Implementation and Evaluation

**Implementation:** The code for inter-subject image registration tasks were developed based on the framework of [2] in Python using Tensorflow and Keras. It has been run on Nvidia Tesla P100-SXM2 GPU with 16GB memory, and Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6126 CPU @ 2.60GHz.
**Baselines:** We compared RMAn with the relevant state-of-the-art methods. The VoxelMorph [3] is adopted as the representative state-of-the-art, deep learning method of direct regression (DR). The composite network combing CNN (Global-net) and U-net (Local-net) following to [8], D-net [25] adopted for deformable registration based on the mutual attention (Attn) mechanism, as well as recursive cascaded network (RCN) [23] is also adopted into the framework as the relevant baselines representing multi-stage (MS) networks.

**Evaluation Criterion:** Following [21], we calculated the Dice Coefficient Similarity (DSC), Hausdorff Distance (HD), and Average Surface Distance (ASD) on annotated mask for the performance evaluation of nine organs in abdomen CT and one organ (lung) in chest CT, with the negative number of Jacobian determinant in tissues’ region (detJ) for rationality evaluation on prediction. The model size and running time for comparison with previous methods on inter-subject registration of lung and abdomen are shown in Tab. 1.

### 4 Results

**Table 1.** Average of Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC), Average Surface Distance (ASD), Hausdorff Distance (HD) and negative number of Jacobian determinant in tissues’ region (detJ) for unsupervised inter-subject registration of abdomen and chest CT using the VoxelMorph (VM1) [3] and its enhanced version with double number of feature channels (VM2), D-net [25] adopted for deformable registration, convolution networks cascaded with U-net (Cn+Un) [8], 5-recursive cascaded network based on the structure of the VoxelMorph (RCn) [23], and our RMAn network, with different registration (reg.) types and varying Parameter Number (#Par), and Time cost per Pair of Images (TPI).

| model | reg. type | abdomen (9 organs) | chest (lung) | efficiency |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|------------|
|       |           | DSC↑ | HD↓ | ASD↓ | detJ↓ | DSC↑ | HD↓ | ASD↓ | detJ↓ | #Par | TPI | #Par | TPI |
| Initial – | – | 30.9 49.5 16.04 – | – | 61.9 41.6 15.86 – | – – | – – |
| VM1 | DR | 44.7 43.8 9.24 2.23 | 84.0 32.9 6.38 5.94 | 0.36 0.23 |
| VM2 | DR | 51.9 45.0 8.40 4.03 | 88.3 32.0 5.02 15.58 | 0.40 0.25 |
| Dnet | Attn | 47.4 47.6 8.72 5.28 | 88.3 33.2 5.01 10.38 | 0.40 0.41 |
| Cn+Un | MS | 53.6 44.6 7.84 4.13 | 91.7 29.7 3.84 4.23 | 2.11 0.36 |
| RCn | MS | 55.6 44.9 7.79 2.91 | 89.8 33.1 4.68 5.68 | 0.36 0.44 |
| RMAn | MS+Attn | 55.2 45.1 7.78 4.32 | **92.0** 31.8 **3.83** 4.53 | 0.40 0.67 |

**Comparison with previous methods:** Our proposed RMAn is compared with other methods on unsupervised deformable registration of abdomen and chest CT using all
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**Fig. 3.** Qualitative example in chest CT shows our network achieves plausible registration, with a significant improvement, especially at the edge area of the left kidney and the lung.

10 organs. With an intuitive qualitative results shown in Fig. 3, RMAn achieves better performance on registration with an improvement on the edge area of the lung and a plausible registration on the nine organs in the abdomen CT scans. The quantitative results shown in Fig. 4 illustrate that our RMAn achieves the best on lung and one of the best on the other nine abdominal organs. More numerical results are shown in
Fig. 4. RMANs achieve the best registration of the lung in chest CT scans as well as one of the best in the abdomen CT scans.

Tab. 1, which demonstrates our network achieved comparable performance in this task with lower computational cost.

Ablation Study: Comparing VM1 and Dnet in Tab. 1, the MA based architecture outperforms the pure encoder-decoder structure in two dataset with comparable network scale. To validate the effect of recursive architecture, we also tried several combination on varying recursive number for training and testing stage respectively on experiments of abdomen and lung CT as shown in Tab. 2 and Fig. 5. Comparing RMAN ($K_{\text{train}} = 1, K_{\text{infer}} = 1$) with others, the results show recursive architecture used in both training and testing phase results in a boost of accuracy both in chest and abdomen CT scans, and the larger recurrent number for training could bring significant improve-
Table 2. Ablation study on recursive structure by inter-subject image registration of abdomen CT and lung CT using, with varying setting of recursive number (Rec. No.) for training and testing.

| model | Rec. No. | abdomen (9 organs) | chest (lung) | efficiency |
|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|------------|
|       |          | DSC↑ (%) | HD↓ (mm) | ASD↓ (mm) | detJ↓ (e3) | #Par↑ | TPI↓ (sec) |
| MAn   | 1 1      | 47.4  | 47.6  | 8.72  | 5.28  | 88.3  | 33.2  | 5.01  | 10.38 | 0.40  | 0.41  |
| RMAn  | 2 2      | 52.2  | 45.5  | 8.35  | 5.20  | 91.2  | 31.6  | 4.16  | 6.74  | 0.40  | 0.64  |
| RMAn  | 3 3      | 54.4  | 44.9  | 7.91  | 5.01  | 91.4  | 32.6  | 4.01  | 5.36  | 0.40  | 0.65  |
| RMAn  | 3 5      | 55.2  | 45.1  | 7.78  | 4.32  | 92.0  | 31.8  | 3.83  | 4.53  | 0.40  | 0.65  |

ment. In addition, architecture reduces the negative number of Jacobian determinant, which thus improves the rationality of registration.

**Number of Recurrent:** Furthermore, RMAn is tested with more varying recurrent number for both training and inference as shown in Fig. 5. Surprisingly, the performance of RMAn with recurrent number $K_{\text{train}} = 1$ and $K_{\text{infer}} > 1$ for training and inference is even worse than MAn ($K_{\text{train}} = 1$ and $K_{\text{infer}} = 1$). This is probably due to the lack of recursive pattern related knowledge during training for $K_{\text{train}} = 1$. As shown in Fig. 5, the RMAn with $K_{\text{train}} = 2$ and $K_{\text{train}} = 3$ as well as the RCn achieve improvement with more $K_{\text{infer}}$. We also compare our RMAn with baseline RCn based on varying $K_{\text{infer}}$ as shown in Fig. 5. It shows RMAn outperform RCn for varying $K_{\text{infer}} \in [1, 8]$ in terms of DSC and ASD.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

The novel RMAn design is proposed based on the MA structure cooperated with a recursive architecture. It achieves the best registration results of the lung in chest and one of the best on other 9 organs in abdominal CT scans compared with other state of the art networks. The recursive architectures for registration are also investigated via varying training and inference recurrent number. The results show that larger inference recurrent number can improve the registration results, and on the other hand, also implies a small influence of the training recurrent number as long as the sub-network is able to learn the pattern of recursive registration. The comparison of RMAn with RCn also proves the accuracy improvement from the MA-based subnetwork. In future, the proposed RMAn will be also applied to more tasks such as multi-modal registration.
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