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Abstract

_Xenorhabdus nematophila_ is an entomopathogenic bacterium that synthesizes numerous toxins and kills its larval host. The genome of this bacterium also encodes a total of 39 putative toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems. These systems are also associated with maintaining the bacterial genomic stability and survival of bacteria under adverse environmental conditions. Three hipBA TA homologs were identified on the chromosome of _X. nematophila_, among them first hipBA<sup>xn</sup> TA has been studied, second hipBA<sup>xn2</sup> TA is still unexplored while third hipBA<sup>xn3</sup> TA has been reported as a pseudo-type TA system. Thus, for the first time, here, we are exploring the functionality of the type II hipBA<sup>xn2</sup> TA system. This TA system was identified in the genome of _X. nematophila_ ATCC 19061 (NCBI Refseq NC_014228) at position 3774379–3775635 bp, which consists of _hipA<sup>xn2</sup>_ toxin gene encoding 270 amino acid residues protein and _hipB<sup>xn2</sup>_ encoding antitoxin of 135 amino acid residues protein. It was observed that the overexpression of HipA<sup>xn2</sup> toxin inhibits the growth of _Escherichia coli_ cells in a bacteriostatic manner and amino-acids G8, H164, N167, and S169 were key residues for its toxicity. Promoter activity and expression profiling of messenger RNA from the hipBA<sup>xn2</sup> TA system was also studied and showed that it was activated in both _E. coli_ as well as _X. nematophila_ upon exposure to different stress conditions. Further, we have exhibited the binding features of HipA<sup>xn2</sup> toxin and HipB<sup>xn2</sup> antitoxin to their promoter. This study provides the first evidence for the presence of a functional and active hipBA<sup>xn2</sup> TA system in _X. nematophila_.

Introduction

Bacteria have a typical genetic system that is responsible for the extensive potential for survival in various adverse environments like temperature variance, nutritional starvation, antibiotics, and other unfavorable conditions (Boutte and Crosson 2013). Under such conditions, _Xenorhabdus nematophila_ (_X. nematophila_) is a highly successful pathogen with an ability to kill insects (Stilwell et al. 2018).

_X. nematophila_ is an entomopathogenic bacterium and symbiotic to _Steinernema carpocapsae_ nematode (Park and Kim 2000). This bacterial association of nematode is very lethal to many insects and considered as the cause of death in insect larvae (Mahmood et al. 2020). At the juvenile stage, free-living, nematode _S. carpocapsae_ invade through the digestive tract in the insect. Nematodes penetrate the insect larvae body chambers and liberate _X. nematophila_ into the hemolymph (Martens et al. 2003). Bacteria doubles quickly and thus kill larvae by secreting toxins. By whole-genome sequencing, it was observed that _X. nematophila_ is a reservoir of numerous biological molecules and have great biosynthetic potential (Bentley et al. 2002; Chaston et al. 2011). A range of regulatory proteins and alarming molecules like (p)ppGpp, poly-phosphates, sigma factors, toxin-antitoxin (TA), etc. are involved in the adaptation to various adverse environments (Manganelli et al. 2004; Gerdes and Maisonneuve 2012; Maisonneuve et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2013).

TA systems are abundantly discovered in numerous bacteria and archaea (Song and Wood 2020). These are primarily consisting of a protein toxin and an RNA or protein antitoxin component. The toxin may
suspend some essential cellular processes in a similar pattern like an antibiotic while antitoxin covers up the toxin's activity. In bacterial cell physiology, TA systems are involved in biofilm formation, phage inhibition, genetic element maintenance, persister cell formation, and growth diminution during stress (Page and Peti 2016; Song and Wood 2020). TA systems have been classified in eight different classes and among them, the type II TA system is the most investigated (Song and Wood 2020).

In our previous study, we have analyzed TAome for type II TA systems in *X. nematophila* (Yadav and Rathore 2018a) and found there were three different *hipBA* TA homolog loci present in this TAome. One homolog named as *hipBA*Xn was already described as a bonafide type II TA system and *hipBA*Xn3 was a pseudo-type TA system (Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020) while other *hipBA*Xn2 TA system was still not studied. Thus, to determine that these *hipBA* homolog operons encode active TA systems, it is needed to study them. In this study, we are exploring the activity of type II *hipBA*Xn2 TA operon on the chromosome of *X. nematophila*. We have performed the functional and transcriptional attributes of a typical type II TA system to study the activity of the *hipBA*Xn2 TA system.

**Materials And Methods**

**Bacterial Strains, primers, and culture conditions**

Bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table S1 and primers are in Table S2. We used *X. nematophila* strain 19061 and cultured it at 28°C with 220 rpm shaking conditions. Other strains were *E. coli* DH5α (Bethesda Research Laboratories) used as a cloning host, *E. coli* TOP10 cells (Invitrogen) used for toxicity assay, and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) used in protein expression analysis. These strains were cultivated in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium with 220 rpm shaking conditions at 37°C. With the requirement, culture media was supplemented with 100 μg mL⁻¹ ampicillin and 50 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin. Primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) and other chemicals were used from HiMedia laboratories. Enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB).

**Bioinformatics of Putative *hipBA*Xn2 TA system**

Popular web tools TAFinder (version 2.0) ([http://202.120.12.133/TAFinder/index.php](http://202.120.12.133/TAFinder/index.php)) and TASmania (Akarsu et al. 2019) were used to identify the *hipBA*Xn2 TA locus on the chromosome of *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061(NCBI RefSeq NC_014228). BLASTp algorithm was used to search for homologs of TA proteins. 3-D models of HipA Xn2 toxin and HipB Xn2 antitoxin proteins were generated by I-TASSER sever (Zhang 2008; Roy et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2014) using the threading approach. The quality of these predicted models was assured by Ramachandran plot 2.0 software (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2007) and visualized by the molecular visualization tool PyMol (Schrödinger 2002). MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013) application was applied for multiple sequence alignment of HipA Xn2 and HipB Xn2 proteins and exhibited by ESPript 3.0. (Robert and Gouet 2014). Phylogenetic trees were built in MEGA X (Kumar et al.). Promoter analysis of the upstream region of the *hipBA*Xn2 TA system was performed by BPROM (Solovyev and Salamov 2010).
Cloning of hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup> TA genes

The primer sequences and recombinant constructs used in this work were listed in Table S2 and Table S1, respectively. Cloning strategy involved the primer 1 and primer 2 with PstI and HindIII restriction enzymes sites respectively to amplify 813 bp of hip<sub>A</sub><sup>Xn2</sup> toxin gene from the genome of <i>X. nematophila</i> by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). <i>ara</i> promoter characterized vector pBAD/His C and amplified PCR products were digested with PstI and HindIII. These digested products were further ligated to produce a recombinant construct pJSM1. In the cloning of the hip<sub>B</sub><sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin gene, primer 3 and primer 4 containing BamHI and HindIII sites respectively were used to amplify 408 bp of antitoxin gene. Expression vector pET28 (a) and amplified PCR products were digested with BamHI and HindIII and ligated to produce a recombinant construct pJSM2. Likewise, a full hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup> TA operon of 1221 bp size, comprising the hip<sub>B</sub><sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin gene and hip<sub>A</sub><sup>Xn2</sup> toxin gene was PCR amplified with primer 5 and primer 6. Plasmid vector pBAD/His C and PCR amplified products were digested with PstI and HindIII. These digested products were further ligated to produce a recombinant construct pJSM3. Further, all the above recombinant plasmids were transformed in <i>E. coli</i> DH5α cells with standard protocol.

Protein expression and purification

Expression and purification of hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup> TA proteins were analyzed in <i>E. coli</i> cells. For this, recombinant constructs pJSM1 and pJSM3 were transformed into <i>E. coli</i> TOP10 cells and pJSM2 was transformed into <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) cells. The primary culture was prepared by inoculating transformed cells in LB broth supplemented with 100µg/mL Ampicillin (JSM1 and JSM3) or 50µg/mL Kanamycin (JSM2) and incubated at 37°C for overnight. 1% v/v of primary culture was used in 50ml LB broth for preparing secondary culture incubated at 37°C with a 220 rpm shaking condition. In the case of JSM1 and JSM3, culture was induced with 0.2% of L-arabinose while for JSM2; it was induced with 1mM of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), at the OD<sub>600</sub> value of 0.5 for 6 hours. 50mL of induced culture was harvested and pelleted to dissolve in 10 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). To prepare a total cell lysate, cells were sonicated on ice for 20 cycles (10 s on/off) and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 30 minutes at 4°C. Ni-NTA affinity chromatography was used to separate recombinant HipA<sup>Xn</sup> toxin and HipB<sup>Xn</sup> antitoxin proteins. Supernatant having soluble proteins was loaded on the Ni-NTA superfowl column (Qiagen). After passing proteins, column was washed with washing buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole, pH 8.0) and hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup>proteins were eluted by 15 mL of elution buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM Imidazole, pH 8.0). These eluted proteins were dialyzed against dialysis buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol, pH 8.0) at 4°C overnight. Thermo Scientific™ NanoDrop 2000 was utilized for measuring the purity and concentration of these purified proteins. Further, these purified proteins were analyzed on 15% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and confirmed by Western blotting probed with mouse anti-His monoclonal primary antibody (Bio-Rad, USA) as per the protocol described elsewhere (Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020).
Toxicity assessment

The toxicity assessment was performed in liquid and solid LB medium. Recombinant constructs pJSM1 and pJSM3 have transformed into *E. coli* TOP 10 cells resulting in JSM4 and JSM5 strains. These strains were inoculated in liquid LB medium supplemented with 100μg/mL of ampicillin and incubated at 37°C overnight with a 220 rpm shaking condition. For assay toxicity in the liquid medium, overnight grown culture of JSM4 and JSM5 was inoculated with 1:100 in LB medium and incubated at 37°C. Cultures were induced with 0.2% of L-arabinose at the OD$_{600}$ of 0.1. Samples were harvested at each hour post-induction and OD$_{600}$ was measured with a spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). For assay toxicity on solid medium, these harvested samples were serially diluted and spotted on LB agar plates containing 100μg/mL of ampicillin. After overnight incubation at 37°C, colony-forming units (CFU) were counted. Mean value of three independent experiments were used to show the growth parameters at different interval of time.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis at the active site residues of hipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin was performed by following the protocol as described elsewhere (Singh 2013; Yadav and Rathore 2020). Primers used are listed in Table S2. Active site residues Gly-8, Ser-129, His-164, Asn-167, Ser-169, Asp-185, and Thr-220 were substituted with alanine. In brief, the pJSM1 construct was used as a template and mutated in pG8A, pS129A, pH164A, pN167A, pS169A, pD185A, and pT220A recombinant plasmid constructs. These recombinant constructs were transformed in *E. coli* TOP10 cells using a standard protocol and resulted in mutants G8A, S129A, H164A, N167A, S169A, D185A, and T220A as described in table 1. Further, we also performed the toxicity assessment of mutants and wild type hipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin by following the method as described before in materials and methods.

Reporter assay

For the reporter β-galactosidase assay, 529 bp upstream region of the hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA system was used as the promoter. The method for determining β-galactosidase assay was used as described elsewhere (Yadav and Rathore 2018a, 2020). In brief, constructs/strains used in this study are listed in Table S1 and primer sequences details are given in Table S2. Promoter activity in different stress conditions (elevated temperature, antibiotic, and nutrient starvation) was measured and expressed in Miller units (MU) (Miller 1972). The hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA promoter was cloned in the pGEM-T Easy vector and resulting in the JSM6 strain containing the promoter-lacZ fusion. For primary culture, the fusion construct was incubated overnight at 30°C with 220 rpm shaking in LB medium supplemented with 100μg/mL ampicillin. 1:100 of primary culture was inoculated in secondary culture with LB media and incubated at 30°C. All samples were exposed to different stress conditions at OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 and promoter activity was measured.

Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)
Total RNA and RT-PCR analysis from *X. nematophila* were performed by following the method as described previously (Yadav and Rathore 2018b; Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020). In brief, 1% of the overnight *X. nematophila* culture was inoculated in nutrient broth and subjected to different stress conditions described as elevated temperature, antibiotic, and nutrient starvation. At different time intervals, cells were harvested and total RNA was isolated for RT-PCR analysis. Primer details for RT-PCR are given in Table S2. A sequence of 16s RNA from *X. nematophila* was used as a control gene. One-step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) was utilized for this analysis. PCR reaction included 80 ng RNA (DNase treated), 10 mM of deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mixture, 5 mM of primers, 5.0 µL of RT-PCR buffer and 1 µL of enzyme mixture in 50 µL reactions. PCR was analyzed on 1 % agarose gel by EtBr staining.

**Gel Shift Assay**

Gel shift assay was performed as described elsewhere (Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020). Purified recombinant HipA<sub>xn2</sub> toxin and HipB<sub>xn2</sub> antitoxin proteins dialyzed against 1X assay buffer (1.8M NaCl pH 7.5, 1M Tris pH 7.2, 1% SDS) and concentrated by Amicon Ultra-0.5 device (Merck). The promoter region of the *hipBA<sub>xn2</sub>* TA system (529 bp) was amplified and purified as described before in materials and methods. A concentration gradient of purified HipA<sub>xn2</sub> toxin and HipB<sub>xn2</sub> antitoxin proteins was incubated with 250 ng of purified promoter DNA containing 1X assay buffer for 30 minutes at room temperature. These prepared samples were analyzed on 8% Native PAGE gel at 4°C. Gels were visualized by Ethidium bromide (EtBr) dye.

**Results**

**Genetic organization of a putative *hipBA<sub>xn2</sub>* TA system**

A homolog of *hipBA* TA system in the genome of *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061 (NCBI Refseq NC_014228) was identified at position 3774379-3775635 bp on the negative strand under XNC1_operon 0746 locus tag and named as *hipBA<sub>xn2</sub>* TA system. This TA system was comprised of an 813 bp *hipA<sub>xn2</sub>* toxin gene which encodes for a 270 amino-acid residue protein and a 408 bp *hipB<sub>xn2</sub>* antitoxin gene which encodes for a protein having 135 amino acids as shown in Fig. 1. The chromosomal location of the *hipA<sub>xn2</sub>* toxic gene was 3774379-3775191 bp with locus tag XNC1_3911 and the *hipB<sub>xn2</sub>* antitoxin gene was positioned at 3775228-3775635 bp with locus tag XNC1_3912. The intragenic space between these two genes was 37 bp. Upstream region of the *hipB<sub>xn2</sub>* antitoxin gene was also explored for the predicted 529 bp promoter entity of the *hipBA<sub>xn2</sub>* TA system with -10 box 5'TGCTATTAT3' having a score of 74 and -35 box 5'TTACAA3' having a score of 32 as depicted in Fig. 1. Binding sites for transcription factors *ihf* and *rpoS17* were also identified in this promoter region with sequences 5'AATAAAAT3' and 5'CTATTATA3' respectively as illustrated in Fig. 1.

**Phylogenetic analysis of HipA<sub>xn2</sub> toxin and HipB<sub>xn2</sub> antitoxin**
To relate the homolog of the hipBA TA system, a detailed phylogenetic analysis was performed at the protein level for the hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup> TA system. Based on BLASTP algorithm results, for HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin phylogenetic analysis, different bacteria namely, *Photorhabdus luminescens*, *Xenorhabdus khoisanae*, *Lelliottia amnigena*, *Morganella morganii*, *Morganella psychrotolerans*, *Cedecea neteri*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Enterobacter* sp. 638 and *E. coli* were selected as the source of different serine/threonine protein kinases. These kinases had a higher degree of similarity with HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin as illustrated in Fig. 2a. Closely related bacterium *Photorhabdus luminescens*’ kinase forms a separate cluster with HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin and it was found that HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin was more closely related to kinase from *E. coli* with a clade credibility value of 100. However, distantly related kinases from *Morganella psychrotolerans* and *Xenorhabdus khoisanae* had their separate cluster with a clade credibility value of 92 as depicted in Fig. 2a. Similarly, for HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin phylogenetic analysis, according to BLASTP results, a series of transcriptional regulatory proteins from different bacteria namely *Xenorhabdus szeientirmai*, *Photorhabdus luminescens*, *Xenorhabdus khoisanae*, *Xenorhabdus eapokensis*, *Halomonas saccharevitans*, *Edwardsiella hoshinae*, *Leclercia adecarboxylata*, *Salinicola* sp. MIT1003 and *E. coli* were screened. These regulatory proteins had a higher degree of similarity to HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin as shown in Fig. 2b. The proximity of HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin was found with the transcriptional regulatory protein of *E. coli* having a clade credibility value of 98 while it was more distantly related to the regulatory protein of *Leclercia adecarboxylata* with a clade credibility value of 87 as depicted in Fig. 2b.

**Structural assessment of HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin and HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin**

To characterize the hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup> TA system, both identified TA proteins were structurally modeled as depicted in Fig. 2. Multiple templates for these models were analyzed by LOMETS from the PDB library. Z-score was calculated to measure the significance of the models. For HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin, 4pu3A was the most significant template with a normalized Z-score of 1.18 as illustrated in Fig. 2a and for HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin, it was 1b0nA with a normalized Z-score 1.52 as shown in Fig. 2b. Further, these models were characterized based on C-score, TM-score, and RMSD. For the HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin model, C-score was 0.85 and other parameters including TM-score and RMSD were 0.61±0.14 and 7.9±4.4Å respectively. While for HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin model C-score was 3.17 and other parameters including TM-score and RMSD were 0.36±0.12 and 11.8±4.5Å respectively. Moreover, Protein Structure Validation Software Suit (PSVS version 1.5) was also used to validate these models. Ramachandran plot analysis and statistics showed that 75.70 % of residues in the HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> model were in the favored regions and 93.80 % in the allowed regions as described in Fig 2a. In the case of the HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> model, 75.20 % residues were in the favored region and 94.70 % in the allowed regions as shown in Fig 2b.

**Expression and purification analysis of hipBA<sup>Xn2</sup>TA system proteins**

Different recombinant constructs were developed for the expression and purification study of HipA<sup>Xn2</sup> toxin and HipB<sup>Xn2</sup> antitoxin from *X. nematophila*, and details are described in Table S1. *E. coli* cells were used as host cells for this purpose due to its lavishly controlled expression features (Ning et al. 2013;
Zheng et al. 2017; Thomet et al. 2019). hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin gene with a size of 813 bp that encoding a 31.04 kDa protein was cloned in pBAD/His C vector for its tight regulation attribute. The expression profile of the hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin gene (recombinant strain JSM4) was analyzed on 15% SDS-PAGE as depicted in Fig. 3a. As the protein band of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin was not clearly distinguished in the total lysate (lane TL) therefore, under native conditions, HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin protein was purified with Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and a clear single band was detected in the fractions E1 to E6 at ~31 kDa that ratified the size of the recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin protein with 6XHis-tag as shown in Fig. 3a.

Further, the alone hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin gene (408 bp) encoding the 14.90 kDa protein was cloned under the strong T7 promoter in the pET28a vector with N-terminal 6XHis-tag. To study the expression level of the hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin gene, recombinant strain JSM7 was used and the expression profile was observed as illustrated in Fig. 3b. A band labeled with hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin was not distinct in the total lysate (lane TL), therefore after purification with Ni-NTA affinity chromatography under native conditions, a single band was observed in the fractions E1 to E6 at ~15 kDa that corroborate with the size of the recombinant HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin having 6XHis-tag as shown in Fig. 3b.

The same approach was also used to clone the hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} operon comprised of hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin genes in cis-form and expression profile with recombinant strain JSM5 of both genes was illustrated in Fig. 3c. As the protein bands of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin were not differentiable in the total lysate (lane TL) and thus, after purification, under native conditions by using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, distinguishable bands of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin proteins were observed in the fractions from E1 to E6 at ~31 kDa and ~15 kDa which varified the size of the recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin proteins with 6XHis-tag as shown in Fig. 3c. These purified recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin proteins were further detected by Western blot analysis. A single-band was observed at a size of ~31 kDa and ~15 kDa which ratified the size of the recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin protein and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin proteins respectively, as shown in Fig. 3d.

**Functional assessment of hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA system**

Recombinant strains JSM4 and JSM5 containing hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2}-hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA complex genes respectively were used to analyze the functionality of hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA system. We observed the growth profiles of these strains after the overexpression of hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2}-hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA genes in liquid and on solid medium. In liquid media, post-induction, at each hour OD\textsubscript{600} was measured and *E. coli* Top10 cells with an empty pBAD/His C vector was used as a control as depicted in Fig. 4a. After 3h of induction, it was observed that cells overexpressing HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin inhibit the growth by two-fold as compared to control cells and as induction time increased, a sudden increment in the growth of control cells was also noticed and thus, after 4h to 7h of induction, the growth of cells containing hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin gene was retarded by more than 2.5-fold as compared to control as illustrated in Fig 4a.
While a different growth profile pattern was observed in cells overexpressing hipBA\(^{Xn2}\) TA complex genes as shown in Fig. 4a, due to the effect of HipB\(^{Xn2}\) antitoxin on HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin. At an initial 2h of post-induction, the toxicity of HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin was not significantly neutralized by HipB\(^{Xn2}\) antitoxin, but a clear effect of HipB\(^{Xn2}\) antitoxin overexpression was observed from 3rd hour of induction as depicted in Fig. 4a. After 3h to 7h of post-induction, it was found that the cellular growth of hipBA\(^{Xn2}\) TA complex genes harboring cells was resumed by more than two-fold as compared to only the hipBA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin gene-containing cells. Additionally, these observations were supported by growth assay on solid media as depicted in Fig. 4b. Colony-forming units (CFU) of recombinant strains JSM4, JSM5, and control cells were calculated. At the beginning of 3h post-induction, CFU counts of these strains were almost similar although in later hour i.e. after 4h to 7h, due to overexpression of HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin in JSM4, the number of viable cells was declined as compared to JSM5 and control cells as depicted in Fig. 4b. All experiments were conducted three times to verify the observations.

**Determination of active site residues responsible for the toxicity of HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin**

To access the function of active site residues in HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin, we have performed site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) and followed a toxicity assay analysis. Active site residues were screened by bioinformatics analysis as described elsewhere (Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020) and by sequence alignment with other bacterial pathogens as depicted in Fig. 5. The selected active site residues were Gly-8, Ser-129, His-164, Asn-167, Ser-169, Asp-185, and Thr-220, as shown in Fig. 6a and these were substituted with alanine to avoid any structural complexity. In toxicity assay analysis, we have included mutant strains G8A, S129A, H164A, N167A, S169A, D185A, T220A, a control (E. coli cells with empty pBAD/His C vector) and a wild type (WT) strain i.e. E. coli cells harboring pBAD/His C hipA\(^{Xn}\) toxin (for details see Table S1). Initial 3h of post-induction, all mutants and WT were shown almost a similar growth profile pattern however from the fourth hour onwards mutants G8A, H164A, N167A, and S169A were showing elevated growth level compare to WT strain and approaches to the control as shown in Fig. 6b. Mutants S129A, D185A, and T220A were not significantly different from the growth pattern of WT strain throughout the growth study. In 4th hour, percent growth inhibition for strain G8A, H164A, N167A, S169A, and WT was 46.34%, 41.46%, 56.09%, 46.34%, and 61.5% respectively as compared to control and in 5th hour, percent growth inhibition for these strains was reached to 53.81%, 50.26%, 57.37%, 53.81%, and 65% respectively as compared to control. While in 6th hour, percent growth inhibition for strain G8A, H164A, N167A, S169A, and WT were estimated to 50%, 43.70%, 47%, 47%, and 63% respectively as compared to control and in 7th hour, this was approaching to 41.17%, 31.88%, 38.08%, 31.88%, and 50%.

Further, we examined these results by evaluating viable cells on solid LB media as illustrated in Fig. 6c. We determined the colony-forming units (CFU) of E. coli cells overexpressing HipA\(^{Xn2}\) toxin and its mutants. After induction, at each hour, samples were harvested and diluted to spot on the LB medium. With overnight incubation at 37°C, CFUs were counted and plotted against time post-induction. There was no significant difference observed in CFU counts of mutants S129A, D185A, and T220A as compared to WT as shown in Fig. 6c. While, from an initial 2h of post-induction, mutants G8A, H164A, N167A, and
S169A were exhibiting increased CFU counts as compared to WT as depicted in Fig. 6c. In the 6-7h of post-induction, the CFU counts for these mutants were approaching the control and it was increased with more than 1.5-fold as compared to WT. Thus, the absorbance and viable cell count results show that four active site residues namely, Gly-8, His-164, Asn-167, and Ser-169 are crucial for the toxicity of hipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin.

**Transcriptional regulation of hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA system under stress conditions**

To analyze the transcription regulation of the hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA module under stress conditions like elevated temperature, antibiotics, and nutrient starvation, we have cloned 529 bp promoter sequences in reporter plasmid pJSM6. Thus, the β-galactosidase activity of the hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA promoter, in *E. coli* strain JSM6, was measured in the form of Miller unites (MUs) (Miller 1972) as illustrated in Fig. 7. This MUs examination was further confirmed by Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) analysis in *X. nematophila* as depicted in Fig. 7. In this analysis, loading control was 16s RNA gene from *X. nematophila*, while primer details and gene sizes are depicted in Fig. 7a.

Under elevated temperature conditions, after two hours of incubation at 42˚C, the promoter activity was increased by three-fold and at 37˚C it was higher as compared to 30˚C as shown in Fig. 7b. In the RT-PCR analysis, this up-regulation was validated by observed higher intensity bands of *hipA*$_{Xn2}$ toxin, *hipB*$_{Xn2}$ antitoxin, and *hipBA*$_{Xn2}$ operon genes under these temperature conditions as shown in Fig. 7e. For antibiotics stress conditions, two antibiotics ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin were used. The concentration of antibiotics dose were 1 and 3 μg/mL as described in our previous studies (Yadav and Rathore 2018; Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020). Higher promoter activity was observed after the 20 minutes of ofloxacin administration while it was activated by almost two-fold in the case of ciprofloxacin treatment as compared to control cells (without antibiotics) depicted in Fig. 7c. Under the same conditions, RT-PCR analysis of *hipA*$_{Xn2}$ toxin, *hipB*$_{Xn2}$ antitoxin, and *hipBA*$_{Xn2}$ operon genes was also performed, and up-regulation was further confirmed by intense bands as shown in Fig. 7f.

Nutrient starvation conditions were generated as described elsewhere (Yadav and Rathore 2018a; Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020). Under these conditions, it was estimated that promoter activity was decreased by two-fold as compared to activity in M9 medium of stressed condition as illustrated in Fig. 7d. This down-regulation was further validated with low-intensity RT-PCR bands of *hipA*$_{Xn2}$ toxin, *hipB*$_{Xn2}$ antitoxin, and *hipBA*$_{Xn2}$ operon genes as shown in Fig. 7g.

**Interaction analysis of recombinant hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA proteins with its promoter**

The regulation of the hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA system was further investigated by analyzing the interaction of recombinant HipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin and HipB$^{Xn2}$ antitoxin proteins with its promoter region. A gel shift assay was performed with 200 ng of purified 529 bp hipBA$^{Xn2}$ promoter and a concentration gradient (0.25 to 1.5 μM) of purified recombinant HipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin and HipB$^{Xn2}$ antitoxin proteins as illustrated in Fig. 8. There was no interaction observed, when the hipBA$^{Xn2}$ TA promoter was subjected to recombinant HipA$^{Xn2}$ toxin
protein as depicted in Fig. 8a. However, in the case of recombinant HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin protein, a clear shift of the hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA promoter band was observed in the range of 0.25 to 1.0 μM of antitoxin protein as shown in Fig. 8b; and when we added both recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin proteins of 0.25 to 1.5 μM concentration range to the promoter, the band intensity of shift was further increased as shown in Fig. 8c. For control, we used non-interacting Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) protein in the same concentration range to interact with the hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA promoter and no shift was found as illustrated in Fig. 8d.

**Discussion**

Under stressful environmental conditions in particular oxidative stress, nutritional depletion, temperature variation, etc., TA systems have differentially induction phenomena (Huang et al.; Ramage et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2010). The genome of *X. nematophila* has a copious amount of TA systems (Yadav and Rathore 2018) that make the basis to explore their role in its physiology. The *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061 chromosome contains three hipBA TA homolog operons (Yadav and Rathore 2018) (hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn}, hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2}, and hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn3}) and possibly each will have a different effect on bacterial growth, molecular structure, expression profile, gene regulation pattern and cellular viability. Among these three operons, previously, hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn} TA operon was identified as a *bonafide* type II TA system and the hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn3} TA system was a *pseudo*-type TA system (Yadav and Rathore 2018; Mohit Yadav & Jitendra Singh Rathore 2020) and the role of hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA system was unknown.

Thus, the present study was conducted to investigate the activity of the second hipBA TA homolog operon named as hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA system from *X. nematophila*. Here, we identified the chromosomal location of the hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn2} TA system (Fig. 1) and by phylogenetic analysis, we confirmed that the hipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} gene encoded a serine/threonine-protein kinase (HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin) while hipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} gene encoded a transcriptional regulatory protein (HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin) as depicted in Fig. 2. Further, we analyzed the heterologous overexpression and purification of recombinant HipA\textsuperscript{Xn} toxin and HipB\textsuperscript{Xn} antitoxin proteins in a lavishly controlled *E. coli* expression system (Deep et al. 2018; Thomet et al. 2019; Yadav and Rathore 2020) (Fig. 3). As expected, heterologous overexpression of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin in *E. coli* cells majorly retard the growth of these cells, and the toxicity of this toxin was neutralized when it was co-expressed with its cognate HipB\textsuperscript{Xn2} antitoxin partner (Fig. 4), these results are consistent with our previous findings of first validated hipBA\textsuperscript{Xn} TA system (Yadav and Rathore 2020). To further elucidate the function of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin, we constructed various mutant strains devoid of activity associated with this toxin. In HipA toxin from *E. coli*, residues Gly-22, Asp-88, Ser150, Asp-291, Asp-309, and Asp-332 were very crucial for its activity (Leberman et al. 1980; Korch et al. 2003; Correia et al. 2006; Kaspy et al. 2013; Schumacher et al. 2015) while residues Ser149, Asp-306, and Asp-329 had great importance in the activity of HipA\textsuperscript{Xn} toxin (Yadav and Rathore 2020). Thus, it was much needed to explore the role of such residues in HipA\textsuperscript{Xn2} toxin and we found that four residues Gly-8, His-164, Asn-167, and Ser-169 are very essential for its toxicity as shown in Fig. 6.
TA systems are known as stress-adaptive entities and our observation that the $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA system is activated under different adverse conditions (Fig. 7) supports the perception that this TA locus contributes to $X. \text{nematophila}$ physiology. For instance, the increases in $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA transcripts in $X. \text{nematophila}$ upon exposure to elevated temperature, nutrient starvation, and antibiotics (Fig. 7) indicate that this TA might play a pivotal role in bacterial adaptation to such conditions. The regulated activation of TA systems in similar stress associated conditions has also been observed (Gupta et al. 2017; Yadav and Rathore 2020). RT–PCR analysis exhibited the distinctive induction profile of the $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA system, and under most of the stress environment tested, the levels of $\text{hipA}^{Xn2}$ toxin transcripts were higher than the levels of their cognate $\text{hipB}^{Xn2}$ antitoxin transcripts as illustrated in Fig. 7. Such divergence in the transcript extents may be due to the distinctive stability of the transcripts or the expression of $\text{hipA}^{Xn2}$ toxin is driven from multiple promoters. Likewise, post-transcriptional regulation of TA modules has also been reported (Singh et al. 2010; Yadav and Rathore 2020).

Transcriptional control of the $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA system was further supported by the gel shift assay as shown in Fig. 8. The mobility of the $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA promoter was hindered by $\text{HipB}^{Xn2}$ antitoxin and $\text{HipBA}^{Xn2}$ toxin-antitoxin while alone $\text{HipA}^{Xn2}$ toxin does not affect its mobility as illustrated in Fig. Therefore, $\text{HipB}^{Xn}$ antitoxin may be a repressor protein in the transcriptional regulation of the $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA system whereas the association of $\text{HipA}^{Xn2}$ toxin protein in it works as a corepressor. Similarly, Transcriptional control studies have also been done before in different TA systems that also support our assumptions (Overgaard et al. 2008; Kędzierska and Hayes 2016; Yadav and Rathore 2020). According to these observations, a proposed transcription regulation model of all three $\text{hipBA}$ TA homologs from $X. \text{nematophila}$ is illustrated in Fig. 9.

As $\text{hipBA}^{Xn3}$ is a pseudo-type TA system, thus, the distinct response of $\text{hipBA}^{Xn}$ and $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA systems most likely suggests the components of TA systems have different substrates or specificity for their activity. Other TA systems like $\text{parDE}$, $\text{mazEF}$, and $\text{hipBA}$ in $E. \text{coli}$ have been studied for different substrates or specificity (Huang et al.; Zhang et al. 2003; Monti et al. 2007). Therefore, by these results, it may be conceptualized that each TA system has separate and defined interactome to produce a differential effect of TAs in bacterial physiology. Moreover, the multiple numbers of TA loci in $X. \text{nematophila}$ may hence reflect the necessity for extra control over the general expression of typical protein subsets as compare to having less or no TAs such as $E. \text{coli}$, which has only 5 TA systems (Pandey and Gerdes).

Future experiments include identifying cellular targets for $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA and elucidating the roles of these TA systems in $X. \text{nematophila}$ persistence. Conclusively, we have been revealed some crucial points regarding $\text{hipBA}^{Xn}$ TA system such as it is an organized operon with two genes, both genes are protein in nature in which one encodes a toxin and other encodes an antitoxin, toxin protein inhibits the bacterial growth while antitoxin protein neutralized it, the formation of a TA complex, and activation of this TA system in stress conditions. All these attributes a typical type II TA system (Yamaguchi et al. 2011; Ghafourian et al. 2014) and thus $\text{hipBA}^{Xn2}$ TA system is an active type II TA system.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Chromosomal organization of hipBAXn2 TA system in the bacterium X. nematophila ATCC 19061, here, hipBXn2 antitoxin gene (cyan color) is located upstream to hipAXn2 toxin gene (blue color). The promoter sequence is also identified with -10 region underline in red color and -35 region underline with cyan color while rpoS17 and ihf transcription factor binding sites are indicated in red and cyan color boxes respectively.
Figure 2

Phylogenetic analysis and structural modeling of HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin from X. nematophila. a HipAXn2 toxin is indicated by a red circle with its structural model and further validated by Ramachandran plot analysis. b HipBXn2 antitoxin indicated by a green circle with its structural model and further validated by Ramachandran plot analysis.
Figure 3

Recombinant HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin on 15% SDS-PAGE. a Purification analysis of recombinant HipAXn2 toxin. b Purification analysis of recombinant HipBXn2 antitoxin. c Purification analysis of recombinant HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin proteins. Here, Lane M: Molecular weight marker, Lane TL: Total lysate, Lane FT: Flow-through, Lane W: Wash, and Lane E1-E6: Eluted fractions. d Western blot confirmation of HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn antitoxin with anti-His monoclonal antibodies, where lane M: Molecular weight marker, lane 1 and 2: HipAXn toxin and HipBXn antitoxin.
Figure 4

Functional assessment of the hipBAXn2 TA system. a Toxicity assay in the liquid medium, here, red bars show the growth profile of cells overexpressing HipAXn2 toxin, while green bars show the growth profile of cells overexpressing both HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin simultaneously, and blue bars are used for exhibiting the growth pattern of control containing pBAD/His C empty vector in E.coli cells. Significance was tested by Two way ANOVA test, *** is P < 0.001, and error bars are an average of three experiments with standard deviations. b Toxicity assay on solid medium, here, red circles shows the viable cell counts (Log10CFU/mL) of E.coli cells overexpressing HipAXn2 toxin protein, green square shows the viable cell counts (Log10CFU/mL) of E.coli cells overexpressing both HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin proteins simultaneously, and blue triangles are used to exhibit the viable cell counts (Log10CFU/mL) of E. coli cells having pBAD/HisC empty vector. Error bars indicate mean ±SD from three independent experiments.
Figure 5

Multiple sequence alignment of HipAXn2 toxin. This toxin was aligned with other HipA toxins from Photorhabdus luminescens, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Escherichia coli by MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013) and represented with ESPript 3.0 (Robert and Gouet 2014). Identical and conserved residues are illustrated by a red background and the active site residues used in site-directed mutagenesis are shown by black arrows.
Figure 6

Site-Directed Mutagenesis analysis in the active site of HipAXn2 toxin. A The active site residues for the mutation in HipAXn2 toxin, cartoon structure on the transparent surface of this protein is shown and mutated residues are labeled. b Growth pattern of hipAXn2 toxin and its mutants, here, black triangle is Control and wild type (WT) is red triangle while mutants Gly-8, Ser-129, His-164, Asn-167, Ser-169, Asp-185, and Thr-220 are depicted with an orange circle, violet square, brown triangle, green square, blue square, grey circle, and yellow square respectively. c Graph between the number of viable E. coli cells (Log10CFU/mL) overexpressing HipAXn2 toxin and its mutants against time post-induction, here, control strain is shown with the black triangle (Control), wild type (WT) is shown with a red triangle and while mutants G8A, S129A, H164A, N167A, S169A, D185A, and T220A are shown with an orange circle, violet square, brown triangle, green square, blue square, grey circle, and yellow square respectively.
Figure 7

Transcriptional regulatory analysis of the hipBAXn2 TA system under different stress conditions. a The diagrammatic representation of TA genes and primers used in the RT-PCR expression analysis; genes sizes are in bp and arrow numbers represent the number of primers as described in Table S2. lacZ assays for hipBAXn2 TA promoter under b elevated temperature stress condition, c ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin antibiotics stress condition, d nutritional starvation stress condition; β-galactosidase activity in Miller Units (MU), here, ***P<0.0001, **P=0.002, **P<0.05 and ns: not significant. Error bars are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments and statistical analysis was done by one way ANOVA while comparison was performed by Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test. Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction expression analysis of hipBAXn2 TA system under e elevated temperature stress condition, f ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin antibiotics stress condition, g nutritional starvation stress condition.
Figure 8

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay of the hipBAXn2 TA system. a No gel shift was observed for the hipBAXn2 TA promoter interacting with recombinant HipAXn2 toxin. b The faint gel shift was for the hipBAXn2 TA promoter interacting with recombinant HipBXn antitoxin. C Gel shift was enhanced when the hipBAXn2 TA promoter interacts with both recombinant HipBXn antitoxin and HipAXn toxin together and d No gel shift was observed while hipBAXn2 TA promoter interacts with BSA protein. DNA-binding characteristic of purified recombinant HipAXn2 toxin and HipBXn2 antitoxin was confirmed by incubating 200 ng of hipBAXn2 promoter with the concentration gradient of recombinant proteins in µM as indicated in this figure.
Figure 9

A schematic model proposed for the transcriptional regulation of hipBAXn, hipBAXn2, and hipBAXn3 TA systems in X. nematophila. In normal growth conditions, these TA complexes work as a repressor and negatively regulate the transcription. In stress conditions, these antitoxins are selectively degraded by cellular proteases and the cognate toxins are free to inhibit translation by affecting transcriptional machinery. Thus, these TAs are involved in bacterial physiology by reprogramming cells to reduce cellular growth with up and down regulating necessary genes to facilitate cell survival in the different stresses.
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