The Evolutionary History and Functional Divergence of Trehalase (treh) Genes in Insects
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Trehalases (treh) have been found in different organisms, such as bacteria, fungi, yeast, nematodes, insects, vertebrates, and plants. Their biochemical properties are extremely variable and not yet fully understood. Gene expression patterns have shown differences among insect species suggesting a potential functional diversification of trehalase enzymes during their evolution. A second gene family encoding for enzymes with hypothetical trehalase activity has been repeatedly annotated in insect genome as acid trehalases/acid trehalase-like (ath), but its functional role is still not clear. The currently available large amount of genomic data from many insect species may enable a better understanding of the evolutionary history, phylogenetic relationships and possible roles of trehalase encoding genes in this taxon. The aim of the present study is to infer the evolutionary history of trehalases and acid trehalase genes in insects and analyze the trehalase functional divergence during their evolution, combining phylogenetic and genomic synteny/colinearity analyses.
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INTRODUCTION

Trehalases, commonly found in different organisms (such as bacteria, fungi, yeast, nematodes, insects, vertebrates, and plants) (Jorge et al., 1997), catalyze the hydrolysis of trehalose into two glucose molecules (Becker et al., 1996). In insects, trehalases have been shown to exist as a soluble form (tre-1) and as a membrane bound form (tre-2) and they play a pivotal role in energy metabolism, chitin biosynthesis, flight and in many other physiological processes, including development and reproduction (Candy, 1974; Shukla et al., 2014). Combining the different role to the variable biochemical properties of trehalases it has been suggested that a functional diversification of trehalase enzymes occurred during insect evolution (Kalf and Rieder, 1958; Duve, 1972; Terra et al., 1978; Huang et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2008, 2012; Chen et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2012).

At present, the availability of a large set of genomic data from many insect species (Yin et al., 2015) makes possible genomic analyses suggesting that: (i) treh genes frequently experienced gene duplication during insect evolution; (ii) duplicated copies (paralogs) have been retained in several species bringing to treh gene family (Kalf and Rieder, 1958; Duve, 1972; Huang et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2008, 2012; Chen et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2012; Adhav et al., 2018).
Furthermore, the presence of genes coding for acid trehalases/acid trehalase-like (ath) has been evidenced in different insects, such as *Bombbyx mori* (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae) (Mita, 2004) and *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) (You et al., 2013). In other genomes, ath/ath-like sequences are present as well, but not annotated, as in aphid species *Acrithosiphon pismum* (Hemiptera: Aphididae; Genome Sequence of the pea aphid *A. pismum*, 2010), *Diuraphis noxia* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Nicholson et al., 2015) and *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Ramsey et al., 2007). Acid trehalases are mainly described in bacteria and fungi (Destruelle et al., 1995; D'Enfert and Fontaine, 1997; Inagaki et al., 2001; Murata et al., 2001) and the origin of such genes in insect genomes is still unexplained.

In view of the consideration that progresses in understanding the molecular characterization of trehalase could favor the use of these proteins as a novel target for insecticides, in the present study we analyzed the evolutionary history of the treh/treh-like and ath/ath-like genes in insects with particular emphasis on phytophagous species, combining genomic analyses (related to the sequence presence/conservation and to synteny and/or co-linearity) to the phylogenetic distribution of the observed gene duplications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study of treh/treh-like and ath/ath-like gene families has been performed looking at 40 insect species representatives of five different orders. For each species, genes coding for trehalase, trehalase-like, acid trehalase and acid trehalase-like genes have been identified by retrieving the available coding sequences (even if it was not previously annotated) from NCBI online databases1. When trehalase genes were not annotated, transcript sequence from the phylogenetically nearest species has been used to find trehalase genes in the genome of the target species, i.e., *Drosophila pseudoobscura* (Diptera, Drosophilidae) using annotated *D. melanogaster* treh gene. *M. persicae* sequences were retrieved from Aphidbase databases2.

Nucleotide sequences of exons from NCBI predictions have been used to build treh and ath gene family phylogenies. For the construction of the trehalase phylogenetic tree, treh/treh-like genes sequences from different ecdysozoans other than insects were also analyzed, including the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Nematoda: Rhabditidae), the tardigrad *Ramazzottius varieornatus* (Tardigrada, Hypsibiidae) and the crustacean *Artemia franciscana* (Crustacea: Branchiopoda).

Exons sequences were analyzed with Virtual Ribosome (Wernersson, 2006) and the predicted coding sequences were aligned with Muscle in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2007). The alignment was trimmed to contain only the region between the first and the last conserved domains: VIVPGGR, QWDYPNAWPP, DSKTFVDM, RSQPPL, PRPESYREDY, and ELKAA and glycine rich domain GGGEYE (Barraza and Sánchez, 2013; Xie et al., 2013). The Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed with raxmlGUI (Silvestro and Michalak, 2011) (ML + throught bootstrap, 10 runs, 1000 reps, jModeltest and GTRGAMMA, outgroup *Escherichia coli* treh EU893513.1). The phylogenetic tree was visualized and edited in iTOL (Letunic and Bork, 2006). For each conserved domain in each sequence, the p-distance with the protein consensus sequence was computed and visualized on the tree to show the level of conservation of the different trehalase genes.

Since trehalase enzymes have been described in insects in two distinct forms (soluble and membrane bound) (i.e., Gu et al., 2009), the amino-acid sequences have been analyzed with Signapl 4.1 (Petersen et al., 2011) to predict the presence of signal peptides and TMHMM Server v. 2.0 to predict transmembrane domains (Sonhammer et al., 1998).

Acid trehalases isoforms have been compared considering the distribution of six consens discrete motifs, besides the catalytic domain: a transmembrane span (LFFFFFFFLCFSTFTSMLS), a cAMP-dependent phosphorylation site (RRXS), an EF-like Ca2+-binding motif (DTXGDQITIXD), two trehalase signature motifs 1 and 2, (PGGRFXEXYXWDXY) and (QWDXPXG/AWP/A/S/P), respectively, and the glycosyl phosphatidyl inositol (GPI) membrane anchor motif (CRTNYYGSA) (Barraza and Sánchez, 2013).

Genomic scaffolds containing treh and ath genes were compared looking for synteny and co-linearity among insect species by analysis of the neighboring genes located in the same scaffold/contigs hosting treh and ath genes.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of treh and ath Genes Currently Available in DNA Databases**

The search of Genbank databases allowed us to identify 160 treh/treh-like genes among 40 insect species and 31 ath/ath-like genes in 14 species (Table 1). Except for Dipterans, the other insect taxa have experienced specific treh gene duplications and maintained multiple treh gene copies in their genomes. Hemipteran genome showed the highest number of treh gene duplications (54 treh copies in 7 species). The pea aphid *A. pismum* possessed the highest number of treh gene copies with 13 treh genes, followed by *Aethina tumida* (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) with 11 treh genes and the two aphid species *D. noxia* and *M. persicae* with 8 treh copies, respectively (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). *A. pismum* genome possesses a treh pseudogene with a high similarity to plant trehalases, but with a partial coding sequence due to a large deletion in the gene. *P. xylostella* possessed two treh genes (LOC105397091 and LOC105395616) with high level of sequence similarity with a treh gene encoded by *Enterobacter cloacae* (Bacteria: Enterobacteriaceae; scaffold CP015227).

Diptera, Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera and some Hemipteran species mostly possess a unique gene coding for acid trehalase, that resulted not phylogenetically related to bacterial or fungal acid trehalase ath genes. Differently, multiple genes coding for acid trehalases have been identified in *A. pismum*, *M. persicae*, *P. xylostella*, and *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: 1https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/ 2http://bipaa.genouest.org/is/aphidbase/
TABLE 1 | List of species and genomes considered in the present study for the search of treh genes and transcripts.

| Order       | Family       | Species               | Annotation level of the sequenced genome | \( n^\circ \) of treh genes | \( n^\circ \) of predicted transcript variants |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Diptera     | Culicidae    | Aedes albopictus      | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 15                                           |
|             |              | Culex quinquefasciatus| –                                       | 2                           | 2                                            |
| Drosophilida|              | Drosophila melanogaster| Release 5.30                          | 1                           | 7                                            |
|             |              | Drosophila miranda    | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 3                                            |
|             |              | Drosophila pseudoobscura| Release 2.3                        | 2                           | 3                                            |
|             |              | Drosophila virilis    | Release 1.2                            | 2                           | 3                                            |
| Tephritida  |              | Bactrocer a oleae     | Annotation release 100                  | 3                           | 6                                            |
|             |              | Bactrocer a dorsalis  | Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 2                                            |
|             |              | Bactrocer a cucurbitae| Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 3                                            |
|             |              | Ceratitis capitata    | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 6                                            |
| Muscidae    |              | Musca domestica       | Annotation release 102                  | 2                           | 4                                            |
| Coleoptera  | Tenebrionidae| Tribolium castaneum   | Annotation release 103                  | 6                           | 12                                           |
| Curculionida|              | Dendroctonus ponderosae| Annotation release 100                  | 5                           | 13                                           |
| Nitidulidae |              | Aethina tumida        | Annotation release 100                  | 11                          | 12                                           |
| Cerambycida |              | Anoplophora glabripennis| Annotation release 100                 | 7                           | 14                                           |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae       | Apis mellifera        | Annotation release 102 Amel 4.0         | 2                           | 10                                           |
|             |              | Apis dorsata          | Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 2                                            |
|             |              | Apis florea           | Annotation release 100                  | 3                           | 6                                            |
|             |              | Bombus terrestris     | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 10                                           |
|             |              | Bombus impatiens      | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 9                                            |
| Pteromalida |              | Nasonia vitipennis    | Annotation release 102                  | 2                           | 4                                            |
| Agonidae    |              | Ceratosolen saltmarshi| Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 2                                            |
| Bracoinidae |              | Fopius arisanus       | Annotation release 100                  | 3                           | 7                                            |
| Megachilida |              | Micropsis demoliitor  | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 8                                            |
| Formicidae  |              | Meganchile rotundata  | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 9                                            |
|             |              | Solenopsis invicta    | Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 8                                            |
|             |              | Acromyrmex echinatorta| Annotation release 100                  | 2                           | 3                                            |
|             |              | Camponotus floridanus | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 4                                            |
|             |              | Cerapachys binoi      | Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 9                                            |
|             |              | Harpegnothas saltator| Annotation release 101                  | 2                           | 3                                            |
| Lepidoptera | Bombycidae   | Bombyx mori           | Annotation release 101                  | 3                           | 3                                            |
|             | Plutellidae  | Plutella xylostella   | Annotation release 100                  | 6                           | 8                                            |
|             | Papilionidae | Papilio machaon      | Annotation release 100                  | 4                           | 4                                            |
| Hemiptera   | Cimicidae    | Cimex lectularius     | Annotation release 100                  | 3                           | 5                                            |
|             | Pentatomiida| Halymormpha halys     | Annotation release 100                  | 8                           | 17                                           |
|             | Psyllidae    | Diaphorina citri      | Annotation release 101                  | 6                           | 13                                           |
|             | Aleyrodidae  | Bemisia tabaci        | Annotation release 100                  | 9                           | 17                                           |
|             | Aphididae   | Diuraphis noxi        | Annotation release 100                  | 9                           | 12                                           |
|             |              | Acrynothophorina pison| Annotation release 101 Build 1.1        | 14                          | 23                                           |
|             |              | Myzus persicae        | –                                       | 9                           | –                                            |

Tephritidae) since they possessed duplicated \( \text{ath} \) genes as well (LOCI100159015, LOCI100167863, MYZPE13164_G006, MYZPE13164_G006, LOCI105386635 and LOCI106624493, LOCI106624487, LOCI106622467) and \textit{Aedes albopictus} (Diptera: Culicidae) where 11 \( \text{ath} \) genes have been identified (LOCI109417656, LOCI109417657, LOCI109417661, LOCI109417662, LOCI109417664, LOCI109622150, LOCI109622151, LOCI109622152, LOCI109622154, LOCI109622125, and LOCI109622155). En exception to these previous statements is \textit{Apis florea} (Hymenoptera: Apididae), where an \( \text{ath} \)-like gene LOCI105737051 with high similarity to \textit{Lactobacillus} sp. (i.e., \textit{L. acetotolerans} AP014808.1 and \textit{L. gasseri} CP000413.1) has been identified.

**Trehalase Phylogenetic Tree**

Phylogenetic analysis evidenced that at least one member of the \( \text{treh-1} \) and \( \text{treh-2} \) subfamily was present in each species considered, except for Diptera that didn’t possess any \( \text{treh-1} \) gene (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1).

\( \text{Tre} \text{rh-1} \) subfamily is represented by a higher number of members (110/160 \( \text{treh-1} \) genes/\( \text{treh-1} \) and \( \text{treh-2} \) genes) which encode for lesser conserved trehalase isoforms, in...
respect to treh-2 subfamily. Coleopteran and hemipteran treh genes, for instance, had species-specific duplication events involving treh-1. Conversely, Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera didn’t show such significant differences between treh-1 and treh-2. A. tumida represented an interesting exception possessing unique treh paralogs that results more similar to trehalase genes of the outgroup species than to insects ones.

Gene Synteny and Co-linearity

The comparison of the genomic regions containing the treh and ath genes evidenced high levels of synteny and co-linearity among species belonging to the same insect order, but not among them (Figure 7).

The highest level of genomic synteny was found in Hymenoptera with 3 treh neighboring genes shared by 15 species on 30 genomic scaffolds (Figure 2), Coleoptera (Figure 3), and Lepidoptera (Figure 3). Hemipterans, on the opposite, show very low synteny with no treh neighboring genes shared by all species studied (Figure 4). However, within the super-family Aphidoidea, 13 shared treh neighboring genes have been found between A. pisum and D. noxia, considering 44 genomic scaffolds and 54 treh genes (Figure 4).

Aedes albopictus and Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) duplicated the entire region harboring treh genes and lack of synteny with Diptera Brachicera scaffolds (Figure 5).

Paralogs With Protein Functional Specialization

To evaluate the solubility of trehalase and acid trehalase enzymes, the predicted protein sequences were tested with Signal p 4.1 to identify potential signal peptides and TMHMM Server v. 2.0 to predict transmembrane domains (Figure 6).

In order to test if treh gene duplications were accompanied by functional divergence, the number of genes, transcript variants and protein isoforms has been compared among insect species (Figure 7). Isoform diversity has been analyzed firstly considering complete protein amino acid sequences and their conservation at the whole sequence level (isoforms sensu stricto) and secondly focusing only on the conservation of the amino acid sequence of the functional domains occurring in these proteins (isoforms sensu lato).

The number of protein isoforms varied widely and reached the highest rates in Coleoptera (2,687 isoforms sensu stricto/n° of species; 1,6875 isoforms sensu lato/n° of species) and Hemiptera (2,020 isoforms sensu stricto/n° of species; 1,405...
isoforms sensu latu/n° of species). The lowest diversity can be found in Hymenoptera with 0.444 isoforms sensu stricto/n° of genes and 0.227 isoforms "sensu lato"/n° genes (Table 2). A. thumida possess high isoform diversity representing an interesting exception in Coleoptera (Table 2).

Hemiptera trehalase isoforms showed a higher average isoelectric point (6.314), a lower average molecular weight (62624.89 g/mol) and amino acid number (531.91) than other insects, but no significant differences have be noticed evaluating soluble isoforms percentage (47.42% of isoforms possess a signal
peptide in aphids) or the presence of transmembrane domains (26.80% of isoforms has at least one transmembrane domain) (Figures 6, 7 and Table 2).

All acid trehalases genes, on the contrary, encoded for only one isoform, apparently lacking exons and introns. Amino acidic sequences of predicted ATH isoforms were conserved only within insect orders and never possessed typical bacterial or fungal ath domains (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Treh Genes Duplication and Trehalase Sub-Functionalization in Insects

Trehalose is commonly present in the haemolymph of most insects and it has been suggested a role of this sugar in osmoregulation in some insects (Iturriaga et al., 2009).

The analysis of the evolutionary history of the treh/treh-like and ath/ath-like genes and their functional divergence during the insect evolution evidenced that at least two treh paralogs are present in most of studied species, except for Dipters, that probably never duplicated the treh gene. Many insect species have experienced specific treh gene duplications and maintained the multiple treh genes as functional copies (paralogs) in their genomes (Avonce et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2018).

This is interesting since, according to literature (Zhang, 2003; Zhang et al., 1998), a loss of function generally occurs for most of the paralogs. The treh gene family in insects represents therefore an interesting exception for studying the adaptive effect of duplications. Gene duplication is a major evolutionary mechanism that can confer adaptive advantages to organisms through the occurrence of mutations in paralogs resulting in new genetic variants (Duda and Palumbi, 1999; Lynch and Conery, 2003; Conant and Wolfe, 2008; Warren et al., 2014). Indeed, paralogous genes may have a
The presence of low sequence conservation at C and N-termini of the amino acidic sequences of trehalase isoforms in Hemiptera and Coleoptera, in comparison to other insects, suggests that a functional divergence occurred in treh family during the evolution of these taxa. Interestingly, most of the Coleopteran treh gene duplications involved the treh-1 gene only and paralogs are clustered in the same scaffold. The presence of multiple copies of the treh genes in Coleoptera could be explained as an adaptation to a trehalose rich diet in insectivorous, detritivorous, and mycophagous species since this sugar is present at high concentration in fungi (Thevelein, 1984). A. thumida, on the contrary, represents an exception since, despite its ecological adaptation as a beehive parasite, it possesses a higher number of treh copies encoding for a high number of trehalase isoforms. In P. xylostella, the finding of trehalase similar to E. cloacae treh probably derives from a bacterial DNA contamination of genomic database considering that E. cloacae is widely adopted in agriculture as a bio-control agent against pathogens (Duponnois et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 2001).

Trehalase genes have been duplicated more frequently in Hemipterans than in other insects, but numerous rearrangements (including inversion and conspicuous genomic insertions or deletions) seem to have occurred in their genomes so that the multiple treh genes were not clustered in the same scaffold. This result is not surprising considering the holocentric nature of their chromosomes that can confer the ability to retain chromosomal rearrangements, such as intrachromosomal translocations and/or chromosomal fission/fusions (Manicardi et al., 2015). Furthermore, hemipteran trehalases have the longest glycine-rich domain and the higher rate of fixed mutations. Both these aspects seem to be functionally relevant since the additional amino acids enriched in the glycine rich regions are likely to influence the interactions of these regions with other proteins or RNA and may facilitate homo- and hetero-meric interactions (Wang et al., 1997; Gsponer et al., 2008). The high diversification of treh gene family in Hemipterans is particularly interesting, since it suggests that the presence of multiple copies of these enzymes is not the result of an adaptation to a sugar-rich diet (that should favor the presence of multiple copies of highly similar genes), but could be due to the occurrence of different roles of trehalase in these species. Indeed, according to literature data, defective or inhibited trehalases may be associated in insects to altered sugar metabolism (Wegener et al., 2003) or to morphological abnormalities (Zhang et al., 2012) suggesting that treh gene duplication could result in a sub-functionalization of trehalases in Hemiptera.

The role of acid trehalases in insects is still unknown and horizontal gene transfer events from bacteria or fungi to insects could be involved. Horizontal gene transfer has been indeed already suggested, for instance, to explain the presence of carotenoids genes in aphids (Moran and Jarvik, 2010; Mandrioli et al., 2016) and the occurrence of seven highly expressed trehalase genes with strong similarity for bacterial trehalases in the rotifer Adineta vaga (Hespeels et al., 2015). However, insect acid trehalases were not phylogenetically related to bacterial and fungal ath and they don’t possess the functional domains typically observed in the ATH proteins so that a different origin of these genes should be evaluated. Differently, the presence of bacterial
TABLE 2 | Distribution of trehalase genes in insects, evaluating for each Order the number of genes (g), transcripts (t), isoforms, isoelectric point, molecular weight (MW), size (expressed as number of amino acids, aa), solubility and presence of transmembrane domains (tm).

| Order    | n\(^\circ\) species | n\(^\circ\) genes | n\(^\circ\) transcripts | t/g | n\(^\circ\) isoforms | S | MW | S | aa | number | S % | sol % | tm |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|--------|-----|-------|-----|
| Hemiptera| 7                    | 54                | 93                      | 1.72 | 2.02                | 1.22 | 6.31 | 24.89   | 531 | 91.9   | 23.8 | 91.9  | 62 |
| Lepidoptera| 3                    | 9                 | 11                      | 1.22 | 1.22                | 1.22 | 5.74 | 71.83   | 531 | 91.9   | 23.8 | 91.9  | 62 |
| Hymenoptera| 15                  | 32                | 51                      | 1.75 | 2.13                | 1.75 | 6.05 | 74.94   | 531 | 91.9   | 23.8 | 91.9  | 62 |
| Coleoptera| 4                    | 29                | 51                      | 1.75 | 2.13                | 1.75 | 6.05 | 74.94   | 531 | 91.9   | 23.8 | 91.9  | 62 |
| Diptera   | 11                   | 40                | 40                      | 1.22 | 1.22                | 1.22 | 5.74 | 71.83   | 531 | 91.9   | 23.8 | 91.9  | 62 |

ATH-like genes in A. florea seems to result from a bacterial DNA contamination of genomic database since Lactobacillus sp. is reported as a typical component of the A. florea microbiota (Saraithong et al., 2014).

**Trehalase Diversification in Response to Plant Inhibitors: Salivary Proteins as Key Molecules in the Co-evolution of Aphids and Their Host Plants**

Trehalase enzymes play important roles in the insect metabolism so that they are related to the insect survival (Iturriaga et al., 2009). For this reason, in phloem sap sucking insects, trehalase enzymes represent molecules that host plants can target to establish efficient defensive strategies. For instance, plants produce trehalose as a signal molecule in response to aphid infestation (Smith and Boyko, 2007; Louis et al., 2012) and the presence of trehalase in aphid saliva may be relevant to modulate the trehalose-based defensive plant pathways (Cooper et al., 2010, 2011; Cui et al., 2012; Vandermorten et al., 2013; Chaudhary et al., 2015). In particular, trehalases in aphid saliva could act as PAD4 suppressor blocking the local accumulation of trehalose in the wounded plant tissue (Singh and Shah, 2012; Bansal et al., 2013).

At the same time, however, plants evolved in their turn trehalase inhibitors (Tatun et al., 2014) in a true arms race against phytophagous insects resulting in a strong selective pressure on the treh gene family that resulted in the maintenance of duplicated treh copies and in their divergence in order to allow aphids and other sap sucking insects to escape the plant defensive strategies.

The presence of co-evolution between plant trehalase inhibition and duplication/fixation of mutations in the treh genes could be particularly relevant in aphids in view of their peculiar reproductive mode. Indeed, the reproduction of aphids is mainly based (with the exception of a unique generation in autumn) on apomictic parthenogenesis consisting in several thelytokous parthenogenetic generations, in which unfertilized eggs develop into females (Nardelli et al., 2017). In the absence of an amphygonic reproduction, aphids cannot have any recombination between female and male genomes during spring and summer causing a reduced gene flow that will delay the spread of advantageous alleles. In this case, this means that treh alleles with favorable mutations couldn’t be spread in aphid populations during the parthenogenetic phase of their life cycle. Interestingly, the occurrence of multiple copies of the treh genes within the aphid genomes could allow the presence of multiple alleles in the same genome making gene duplication and mutations a sort of alternative pathway (in respect to genome recombination) to favor the presence of advantageous alleles.

Aphids seems to be particularly unusual in term of presence of duplications since they possess four times the gene duplications observed on average in other arthropods (The International Aphid Genomics Consortium, 2010; Mathers et al., 2017), a feature that is in common, together with the reproduction based on parthenogenesis, with the water flea Daphnia pulex.
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(Crustacea: Cladocera). In aphids and D. pulex most of identified duplications are clade-specific and it has been suggested that duplicates were involved in rapid adaptation to environment (Pennisi, 2009; Simon et al., 2011). From this view, treh gene duplications in aphid could be an effective tool in a molecular adaptive strategy evolved to adapt to host plants, in absence of sexual reproduction and allelic recombination. The treh gene family has been indeed involved in many aphid-specific duplication events and treh paralogous genes (possessing different mutations) could be retained to face the evolution of plant trehalase inhibitors in a sort of aphid-host plant arm race (Sherberakov and Wegierek, 1991; Hong et al., 2009; Szwedo and Nel, 2011; Liu et al., 2014).

In view of the relevant role that treh genes could play in aphids, the understanding of the biochemical nature and physiological function of trehalases could be therefore useful not only from an evolutionary point of view, but also at an applicative level, since a better understanding of trehalase could be crucial to develop new insecticides (based on trehalase inhibitors) or plant cultivars more resistant to aphids and/or to other sap sucking agricultural pest insects.
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FIGURE S1 | Phylogenetic distribution of the identified treh genes.

TABLE S1 | Complete list of the identified treh genes and protein main features.

REFERENCES
Adhav, A. S., Kokane, S. R., and Joshi, R. S. (2018). Functional characterization of Heliocephora armigera trehalase and investigation of physiological effects due its inhibition by Validamycin a formulation. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 112, 638–647. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.01.221
Avonce, N., Mendoza-Vargas, A., Morett, E., and Iturriaga, G. (2006). Insights on the evolution of trehalose biosynthesis. BMC Evol. Biol. 6:109. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-6-109
Bansal, R., Mian, M., Mittapalli, O., and Michel, A. (2013). Molecular characterization and expression analysis of soluble trehalase gene in Aphis glycines, a migratory pest of soybean. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 103, 286–295. doi: 10.1007/s00128-013-0969-3
Barraza, A., and Sánchez, F. (2013). Trehalases: a neglected carbon metabolism regulator. Plant Signal. Behav. 8:e24778. doi: 10.4161/psb.24778
Becker, A., Schlöder, P., Steele, J. E., and Wegener, G. (1996). The regulation of trehalose metabolism in insects. Experientia 52, 433–439. doi: 10.1007/BF01919312
Candy, D. J. (1974). The control of muscle trehalase activity during locust flight. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2, 1107–1109. doi: 10.1042/bst0021107
Chaudhary, R., Atamian, H., Shen, Z., Briggs, S., and Kaloshian, I. (2015). Recent advances in the regulation of unstructured proteins: from transcript synthesis to protein degradation. Science 346, 1365–1368. doi: 10.1126/science.1259381
Cooper, W., Dillwith, J., and Puterka, G. (2010). Salivary proteins of Russian wheat aphid, (Hemiptera: Aphididae) species. Environ. Entomol. 40, 151–156. doi: 10.1603/EN10153
Cooper, W., Dillwith, J., and Puterka, G. (2011). Comparisons of salivary proteins from five aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) species. Environ. Entomol. 40, 151–156. doi: 10.1603/EN10153
Cui, F., Michael Smith, C., Reese, J., Edwards, O., and Reec, G. (2012). Polymorphisms in salivary-gland transcripts of Russian wheat aphid biotypes 1 and 2. Insect Sci. 19, 429–440. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-7971.2011.01487.x
D’Enfert, C., and Fontaine, T. (1997). Molecular characterization of the Aspergillus nidulans treA gene encoding an acid trehalase required for growth on trehalose. Mol. Microbiol. 24, 203–216. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.3131693.x
Destaedt, M., Holzer, H., and Klonsky, D. (1995). Isolation and characterization of a novel yeast gene, ATH1, that is required for vacuolar acid trehalase activity. Yeast 11, 1015–1025. doi: 10.1002/yea.32011403
Duda, T. F., and Palumbi, S. R. (1999). Molecular genetics of ecological diversification: duplication and rapid evolution of toxin genes of the venomous gastropod Conus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 6820–6823. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.12.6820
Duponnois, R., Mateille, T., and Ba, M. A. (1999). Beneficial effects of Enterobacter cloacae and Pseudomonas mendocina for biocontrol of Meloidogyne incognita with the endospore-forming bacterium Pasteuria penetrans. nematol. 1, 95–101. doi: 10.1163/1568541997909107
Dubre, H. (1972). Purification and properties of trehalase isolated from the blowfly Calliphora erythrocephala. Insect Biochem. 2, 444–450. doi: 10.1016/0020-1790(72)90024-8
Force, A., Lynch, M., Pickett, F. B., Amores, A., Yan, Y. L., and Postlethwait, J. (1999). Preservation of duplicate genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. Genetics 151, 1531–1545.
Gusponer, J., Futschik, M. E., Teichmann, S. A., and Babu, M. M. (2008). Tight regulation of unstructured proteins: from transcript synthesis to protein degradation. Science 322, 1365–1368. doi: 10.1126/science.1163581
Gu, J., Shao, Y., Zhang, C., Liu, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2009). Characterization of putative soluble and membrane-bound trehalases in a hemipteran insect, Nilaparvata lugens. J. Insect Physiol. 55, 997–1002. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2009.07.003
Hepesl, B., Li, X., Flot, J., Pigneur, L., Malaisse, J., Da Silva, C., et al. (2015). Against all odds: trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and trehalase genes in the bdelloid rotifer Adineta vaga were acquired by horizontal gene transfer and are upregulated during desiccation. PLoS One 10:e0131313. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131313
Hong, Y., Zhang, Z., Guo, X., and Heie, O. (2009). A new species representing the oldest aphid (Hemiptera, Aphidomorpha) from the Middle Triassic of China. J. Paleol. 83, 826–831. doi: 10.1666/07-135.1
Huang, J., Furusawa, T., Sadakane, K., and Sugimura, Y. (2006). Purification and properties of two types of soluble trehalases from embryonic larvae of the silkworm, Bombyx mori. J. Insect Biotechnol. Sericol. 75, 1–8.
Hughes, A. L. (1994). The evolution of functionally novel proteins after gene duplication. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci. 256, 119–124. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1994.0058
Inagaki, K., Ueno, N., Tamura, T., and Tanaka, H. (2001). Purification and characterization of an acid trehalase from Aspergillus niger. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 91, 141–146. doi: 10.1016/S1389-1723(01)80056-6

Frontiers in Physiology | www.frontiersin.org
February 2019 | Volume 10 | Article 62
9

Nardelli et al.
You, M., Yue, Z., He, W., Yang, X., Yang, G., Xie, M., et al. (2013). A heterozygous moth genome provides insights into herbivory and detoxification. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 220–225. doi: 10.1038/ng.2524

Zhang, J. (2003). Evolution by gene duplication: an update. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18, 292–298. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00033-8

Zhang, J., Rosenberg, H. F., and Nei, M. (1998). Positive Darwinian selection after gene duplication in primate ribonuclease genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 3708–3713. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.7.3708

Zhang, Q., Lu, D. H., Pu, J., Wu, M., and Han, Z. J. (2012). Cloning and RNA interference effects of trehalase genes in *Laodelphax striatellus* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). *Acta Entomol. Sin.* 55, 911–920.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Nardelli, Vecchi, Mandrioli and Manicardi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.