Effect of levels in Dual Tree Complex Wavelet Transform when design Universal image stego-analytic
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Abstract

Universal image stego-analytic has become an important issue due to the natural images features curse of dimensionality. Deep neural networks, especially deep convolution networks, have been widely used for the problem of universal image stego-analytic design. This paper describes the effect of selecting suitable value for number of levels during image pre-processing with Dual Tree Complex Wavelet Transform. This value may significantly affect the detection accuracy which is obtained to evaluate the performance of the proposed system. The proposed system is evaluated using three content-adaptive methods, named Highly Undetetable steGO (HUGO), Wavelet Obtained Weights (WOW) and UNIversal WAvelet Relative Distortion (UNIWARD). The obtained precision 0.98387, 0.96659 and 0.98387 for the three content-adaptive methods, applied on BOSS image dataset, respectively. The obtained results show that number of level equals to 5 outperforms other numbers in terms of detection accuracy. Also it minimizes the ime required for both training and testing phases.
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تأثير المستويات في تحويل شجرة الموجات المزدوجة عند تصميم محلل الصورة الشاملة
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الخلاصة

يعتبر تصميم محللات الصورة العالمية المحتوية على معلومات ضمنية من القضايا المهمة نسبة إلى الإبعاد الكبيرة لخصائص الصور الطبيعية. إن الشبكات العصبية العميقة، وخاصة شبكات الإثيوان العميقة من الطرق التي لديها اهتمام بشكلية تصميم محلل الصورة العالمية. تصف هذه الدراسة تأثير تحديد قيمة مناسبة لعدد من المستويات عند إجراء المعالجة السحابة على الصورة باستخدام مجمع الموجات المتحول المزدوج الشجية. إن لهذه القيم تأثير في دقة الكشف عن الصور التي تم الحصول عليها بقيم النظام. توضح النتائج 0.98387.
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1. Introduction

The use of digital media has been rapidly increased by internet users to communicate, share, and disseminate information. Two main crucial issues to the simplicity of malicious processing: first is security and second is trustworthiness of it. Image can be considered as an ideal media for steganography. It can hide a large amount of secret messages [1]. Therefore, several methods for data hiding have been developed in the last few years [2]. The process of hiding secret information inside innocent looking cover media named as steganography, in which the secret message existence may not be noticed. Four different media types may be considered in steganography which are: text, image, audio, and video. Steganography is derived from two main Greek words: “Stegano” followed by “Graphy”, which mean covered and writing, respectively. Cover file with secret message hidden constitutes the file of “stage” [3]. A Number of requirements must be satisfied when designing embedding algorithms, these are: Un-detectability, Imperceptibility, Security, Capacity and Robustness [4].

On the other side, steganalysis, which is the process of discovering the secret embedded considered as a difficult process. In case of unauthorized transferring between users, any evidence may be taking in place which is sufficient to make damage in cover file. Different architectures have been developed of stego detection named as detector or stego-analytic [5]. It can be defined as a method which takes a media file as input, and outputs either ‘steganogram’ or ‘innocent’”. There are two types of stego-analytic, the first one is to detect embedding information and the second is to extract or destroy these information in addition to detection [6].

In steganography, various media types are used to conceal the secret message. According to alterations in the transport media, there are different types of methodologies that show the presence of the embedding process like visual, structural, statistical, and learning. Steganalysis can be classified into two types; Specific/Target and General/Universal. The main consideration when designing specific steganalysis model is the ability to attack only one known embedding technique. It is used in case with sufficient prior knowledge of the steganography method. It gives a more accurate results but it is not capable to detect new steganography algorithms. Type of blind can be considered as universal, which can be categorized into supervised and unsupervised types, is characterized by a low accuracy in comparison with the target type [7].

2. Related Work

Recently, various architectures for universal image steganalysis have been developed by researchers. Bright prospects have been achieved toward deep learning, especially Convolution Neural Network (CNN). A number of attempts have been presented for designing models of universal image steganalysis. These models and their drawbacks are outlined in this section. Three main Content-adaptive spatial domain steganography algorithms are selected on experiments with different datasets: Highly Undetetable steGO (HUGO) [8] Wavelet Obtained Weights (WOW) [9] and UNIversal WAvelet Relative Distortion (UNIWARD) [10]. Content-adaptive steganography constrains its embedding changes to those parts of cover that are difficult to model, such as textured or noisy regions. When combined with advanced coding techniques, adaptive steganographic methods can embed rather large payloads with low statistical detectability at least when measured using feature-based steganalyzers trained on a given cover source [11].

First attempt was suggested to design image stego-analytic by Tan and Bin [12], their method improved Spatial-domain Rich Model (SRM) [18] (which captures a large number of different types of dependencies among neighboring pixels to give the model the ability to detect a wide spectrum of embedding algorithms) with auto-encoder in architecture of CNN. SRM included pre-training procedure with unsupervised model. It included a number which extracts features that are relevant comparing to only one stage. Results yields through experimental, proved that their method the ability for attacking the
HUGO algorithm in case of embedding rate selected to 0.4 bpp with Break Our Steganographic System”: The Ins and Outs of Organizing BOSS (Bossbase) [13] dataset image contain embedding information. For low payload, efficiency of steganalysis was improved. Its performance is still inferior to what is accomplished by the model (SRM). Graphic Processing Units (GPUs) was new hardware revolution to give better results. “Deep Learn” Toolbox was used for implementation.

Later, another model of CNN achieved performance close to SRM was developed by Qian, Wang and Tan [14]. Non-linear activation function named Gaussian was used within layers of the net. Their model has achieved a much lower detection error comparing to Subtractive Pixel Adjacency Matrix (SPAM) [15] (method for detection of steganographic methods that embed in the spatial domain by adding a low-amplitude independent stego signal). Detection error was ranging in 2%-5% higher depending on the payload. Personal Computer (PC) with specification Intel Xeon E5-2650 2.0GHz for CPU and Tesla K40 12G for GPU was used to run the net. Convolution library within C++ language has been written for the models with both Bossbase and ImageNet Datasets for training and testing images. Two main drawbacks have been yielded through experiments in two cases, situations: 1) difference between cover and stego was small and 2) low payload during process of embedding.

Qian Wang and Tan framework have been examined by Pibré, Jerome, Inco and Chaumont [16] to give better detection. A new shape of CNN was developed which has the capability to minimize error in detection by more than 16% comparing with other spatial UNIWARD (S-UNIWARD)[17] (The practitioner’s goal is to design the distortion to obtain a scheme with a high empirical statistical detectability) at 0.4 bpp. 64 and 16 filters are set in the first and second layers, respectively, to give diversity for the net. C++ language has been used to implement the model from Digital Data Embedding (DDE) Lab Binghamton web site.

In study of Ye, Ni and Yi [18], the network used another type of activation function called Truncated Linear Unit (TLU) which combined the selection channel inside network. The model consists of a number of layers equal to ten layers ended by two consequent fully-connected layers. Basic high-pass filters were used to initialize weights in first layer for computation of residual maps in SRM, which easily reaches better local minima as a regularize. They concluded the work with comparing to SRM and its selection-channel-aware variant max SRMd2, has yielded superior performance for all steganography techniques with different payloads. “Caffe” toolbox was chosen with two main dataset BOSSbase and BOWS2 datasets for implementation.

Salomon, Couturier, Guyeux and Bahi [19] have presented criterion with their method to make decision in two situations 1) the CNN or may be 2) spatial rich models with ensemble classifier (SRM+EC)[20] method which describes how to obtain rich features. Three methods UNIWARD, Minimizing the Power of the Most Powerful Detector (MiPOD) [21] (the chosen model is used to obtain new fundamental insight regarding the performance limits of empirical steganalysis detectors built as classifiers), and (High-pass, Low-pass, and Low-pass) (HILL) [22] (cost function is designed by using a high-pass filter to locate the less predictable parts in an image, and then using two low-pass filters to make the low cost values more clustered) and Tensorflow platform were chosen. Images of BOSSBase dataset was selected for experiments. Error rates reached 16%, 16% and 17% and 39%, 38%, and 41% with payload 0.4 and 0.1 bpp, respectively, for three algorithms S-UNIWARD, MiPOD, and HILL, respectively. These rates were better than those obtained by SRM+EC.

Another model consists of fewer convolutions and much larger filters in the final convolutional layer developed by Salomon, Couturier, Guyeux and Bahi [23]. It has capability to deal with larger images in size and payloads with lower value. 512x512 is size of image feed into the system. Firstly, it was filtered by a single kernel, it is equal to 3x3, and also ends by a layer of 64 filters with zero padding. Experiments approved that their method has outperformed the previous CNN-based stego-analytic and also defeated many state-of-the-arts for the case of the “same embedding key”.

Final efforts was suggested by Ke and Dongming [24] which consists of multi-columns framework instead of single one in order to obtain high performance with better precisely comparing to state-of-art-model. HUGO, and S-UNIWARD algorithm with only two payloads “0.1 bpp” and “0.4” bpp” were used
for implementations. Their model improved detection accuracy which was increased by “3%” compared to Pibre et al method.

3. Architecture of the network

Convolution Neural Network (CNN) is one of the most important models of deep learning. It consists of a set of layers. Each layer formed by a number of neurons which each current and successive neurons are connected together through vector of weights that has one value. In each layer there is one type of activation function computed by neurons. The network end with one or more fully connecting layer. For each layer, one type of abstraction obtained which may be low or high level features. In first layer, low features yields like, edges, or noise, etc. While the deeper layers give the high features which was considered as the most relevant features. There are four types of layers in CNN: input, convolution, pooling and fully connected [25].

This paper discusses the effect of dual-tree complex wavelet transform pre-processing and chooses number of levels on network presented by [26]. The network presents different architecture of CNN with another type of transform, named dual tree complex wavelet during pre-processing phase before input images into system. The main task of this transform is for exploiting the difference between cover and stego images through shift variance property. The net consists of five successive convolutions layers. Each one followed by normalization and pooling layers and ends with fully connected layer. Table-1 shows first image of BOSS base dataset implement DT_CWT with four different levels, respectively to explain edge detection. The framework of network consists of three phases: Image Pre-processing, Feature Extraction and finally the classification of image as shown in Figure (1). In 1998, Kingsbury [27] introduced the Dual-Tree Complex wavelet Transform which can be considered as one of the effective approaches for implementing an analytic wavelet transforms. It handle the four issues Oscillations, Shift Variance, Aliasing, and Lack of Directionality and enhancement the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) [28]. Different types of transformations are used for pre-processing data to extract features similar to work in [29].

Dual Tree Complex Wavelet (DT-CWT) uses one type of transformation to generate complex coefficients by using their real and imaginary parts to get as feature set. It is used for emphasizing the edge elements of images because it has the property of less shift variance and more directional selectivity. As result, two main problems are solved. First is preserving properties of perfect reconstruction, and second is computational efficiency with good well-balanced frequency responses. The following steps must be performed:

1. Remove the coefficients for low frequency.
2. Reconstruct images with high pass wavelet transform.
3. For better learning, normalize image elements to have the values in the interval [0, 1].

Table 1-effect of levels in DT-CWT [25]

| Image | No.of Levels | Pre-processing image with DT-CWT |
|-------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| ![Image](image1.jpg) | 6           | ![Image](image2.jpg)            |
|       | 5           |                                  |
|       | 4           |                                  |
|       | 3           |                                  |
One of the important types of training method is named stochastic mini-batch gradient descent with setting momentum. It provides main function that computes batch. Automatically, this function can restart after each training epoch by reaching the check pointing. Also it has the capability to train data on CPU or if needs on one or more GPUs to increase speed. Below are some terms that are needed in training.
Batch size – It defines the number of training data points for every mini batch. The significant choice will affect the estimation of the gradient for the full training dataset. On the other hand, there must have enough noise to avoid the bad local minima which in turn does not give good generalization. Batch size value set to 100 in the system.

Number of batches – It refers to the total number of mini batches within whole training dataset. The operation of dividing the count of the total training data points by the batch size will yield the number of batches.

Epochs – It can be represented as one full pass of training over the entire dataset. Full pass consists of forward pass in addition to one back-propagation. As a result, each epoch consists of n number of (forward pass + backpropagation) where n denotes the number of batches. Number of epochs is set to 100 in the system.

Graphic Processing Units (GPUs), can be defined as a new revolutionized related to deep-learning world. The characteristics of GPU are outlined below:

- Better resolution in game application because it displays more screens per second.
- Higher computation especially in matrix-to-matrix multiplication. Process data is performed in parallel since there are several thousand cores of GPU utilized to complete it. As a result, it speeds up training phase.

4. Datasets

Bossbase image dataset is used for experiments which provides two databases of images, the BOSSBase and the BOSSRank.

- BOSSBase is composed of 8,156 never-compressed cover images coming from 7 different cameras. This database is provided as the source of cover images used for the development of steganalyzers. All images were created from full-resolution color images in RAW format. The images were first resized so that the smaller side was 512 pixels long, then they were cropped to 512 x 512 pixels, and finally converted to grayscale. The whole process was published in a script along with the original images in RAW format and their EXIF headers. It includes 8 datasets, two for cover with 2 extension jpg and pgm and other 6 dataset for content-adaptive steganography HUGO, UNIWARD, and WOW algorithms with two payload 0.1 and 0.4 bpp. Use the following link to download these images, (http://info.iut-bm.univ-fcomte.fr/staff/couturie/Code/DL/images/) followed by the name of dataset.

The BOSSRank database is composed of 1,000 grayscale images with 512 x 512 dimensions obtained by the same processing script. 482 of them were randomly chosen to carry the secret payload of approximately 0.4 bpp while keeping the rest without any payload. Participants did not know that 847 images were obtained by Leica M9 in RAW format and 153 images came from Panasonic Lumix DMC-FZ50 captured directly in JPEG6 format. Table 2- show first image named “1” for cover and stego in all 8 sub-folders.

Table 2-first Cover and Stego images with two different payload [12]

| 1.Jpg Cover | HUGO 0.1 bpp | WOW 0.1 bpp | UNIWARD 0.1 bpp |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1.pgm Cover | HUGO 0.4 bpp | WOW 0.4 bpp | UNIWARD 0.4 bpp |

5. Evaluation and Testing

Accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity are three important scalars used to evaluate the performance of the classifier. Difficult problems like sensitivity to data may appear if no balance. It can be easily derived a number of measures from confusion matrix for evaluating [30]. In this paper there are four
measures used for evaluation like precision, Recall, F-score, and finally Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) which yields clear explanation for generating of the ROC curve.

The main task of Confusion matrix is to evaluate the performance of any model. The purpose of any classifier is to distinguish between two instances and state its corresponding class which may be either true or false. True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP), and finally the False Negative (FN) are four main possible classifications. Equation (1) describes how to calculate this measure [31].

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FN + FP} \quad \text{.........(1)}
\]

Precision, recall and f1-score are three measurements which can be derived from confusion matrix which give a good understanding of the results. Equations (2 to 4) show how to calculate these metrics [29].

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad \text{.........(2)}
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad \text{.........(3)}
\]

\[
F - \text{Score} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad \text{.........(4)}
\]

Finally, the primary graph for assessment named receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve can be outlined as a 2-dimensional. The True Positive Rate and False Positive Rate represent the x-axis and the y-axis respectively [32].

Table-3 show results obtained after training pairs of 1000 images (cover and stego) and testing image

| Table 3-Precision, Recall and F-score Results with DT-CWT [25] |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Algorithm** | **Payload** | **Precision** | **Recall** | **F-Score** |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| HUGO 0.1 bpp   | 0.98387     | 0.9833        | 0.9836    |
| HUGO 0.4 bpp   | 0.98387     | 0.9833        | 0.9836    |
| WOW 0.1 bpp    | 0.96875     | 0.96667       | 0.96771   |
| WOW 0.4 bpp    | 0.96875     | 0.96667       | 0.96771   |
| UNIWARD 0.1 bpp| 0.98387     | 0.98333       | 0.9836    |
| UNIWARD 0.4 bpp| 0.98387     | 0.98333       | 0.9836    |

Table -4 shows the effect of no. of level chosen for DT_CWT to obtain more detection accuracy

| Table 4-Effects of no. of levels for DT-CWT |
|--------------------------------------------|
| **Algorithm** | **No.of Levels** | **Precision** | **Recall** | **F-Score** |
|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| HUGO 0.1 bpp   | 3                | 0.92857       | 0.91667   | 0.92258     |
|                | 4                | 0.96875       | 0.96667   | 0.96771     |
|                | 5                | 0.98387       | 0.98333   | 0.9836      |
| HUGO 0.4 bpp   | 3                | 0.92857       | 0.91667   | 0.92258     |
|                | 4                | 0.96875       | 0.96667   | 0.96771     |
|                | 5                | 0.98387       | 0.98333   | 0.9836      |
| WOW 0.1 bpp    | 3                | 0.90769       | 0.8986    | 0.90654     |
|                | 4                | 0.92897       | 0.94765   | 0.94898     |
|                | 5                | 0.96659       | 0.96975   | 0.96965     |
| WOW 0.4 bpp    | 3                | 0.90769       | 0.8986    | 0.90654     |
|                | 4                | 0.92897       | 0.94765   | 0.94898     |
|                | 5                | 0.96659       | 0.96975   | 0.96965     |
| UNIWAED 0.1 bpp| 3                | 0.92857       | 0.91667   | 0.92258     |
|                | 4                | 0.96875       | 0.96667   | 0.96771     |
|                | 5                | 0.98387       | 0.98333   | 0.9836      |
| UNIWARD 0.4 bpp| 3                | 0.92857       | 0.91667   | 0.92258     |
|                | 4                | 0.96875       | 0.96667   | 0.96771     |
|                | 5                | 0.98387       | 0.98333   | 0.9836      |
From the above results, it can be noticed that when state number of level equal to 5, it gives more detection accuracy comparing to number of levels equal to 3. This step for pre-processing has impact to minimize time for training and testing images in comparison with the case of not using dual tree complex.

6. Implementation
MATLAB 2017b is selected to implement the network. Figure-2 shows the main front interface.

![Figure 2-Front Interface](image)

For selecting cover image directory, Click on “Open Cover Image Directory” button for select cover directory and on “Open Secret Image Directory” to select stego directory. State stego method on the suitable option buttons. See Figure-3.

![Figure 3-Select cover and stego images](image)

After images for cover and stego are displayed, click on “Initialize” button to start building the CNN structure by adding layers and split data into training and testing as shown in Figure -4.

![Figure 4-Build CNN](image)
The two final steps are “Train” button used for training analyzer and showed the progress with graph and the testing step to show the results.

6. Conclusion

Image steganalysis is one important type for classification of Image. Pre-processing phase on image is an important step to give most relevant features before images feed into the system. The curse of dimensionality of features is main problem when designing universal image stego-analytic method. This paper has discussed results of assessment classification measures presented in architecture of Convolution Neural Network with Dual Tree Complex Wavelet Transform Preprocessor for Universal Image Steganalysis and has given a new test with different level of DT-CWT to show which number of levels gives better result. This phase yields little time for training and testing images comparing to system without pre-processing and also better detection accuracy comparing to previous architectures presented earlier.

For future work, we recommend to implement either one of two solutions; First: “Hybrid Model” by selecting one of Artificial Intelligent algorithms or any supervised machine learning tools like support vector machine in preprocessing phase to select good feature. This will be used to decrease dimensionality of data and thus will minimize no. of sets (CNN) for training images. Accordingly, computation and complexity of system degree means low hardware requirement. Second: “Parallel Kernel-Multi Feature”, in design of CNN, after image input into system and in the convolution layer, many kernels will be built and making convolution at parallel. This will yield different feature map output from convolution, which will be selected to pass to the next layer in the net.
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