We study theoretically the out-of-equilibrium dynamics in momentum space of a weakly interacting disordered Bose gas launched with a finite velocity. In the absence of interactions, coherent multiple scattering gives rise to a background of diffusive particles, on top of which a coherent backscattering interference emerges. We revisit this scenario in the presence of interactions, using a diagrammatic quantum transport theory. We find that the dynamics is governed by coupled kinetic equations describing the thermalization of the diffusive and coherent components of the gas. This phenomenon leads to a destruction of coherent backscattering, well described by an exponential relaxation whose rate is controlled by the particle collision time. These predictions are confirmed by numerical simulations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

When perturbed from an equilibrium situation, isolated many-body systems generally experience a thermalization process and eventually return to equilibrium at sufficiently long time [1]. This process arises because the interacting system serves as a “bath” for all its subparts, the final state being characterized by a Gibbs ensemble. A number of works have explored the formation of this thermalized state, with special attention dedicated to the dynamical emergence of a Bose condensate [2–4]. The out-of-equilibrium dynamics leading to thermalization can also follow a rich variety of scenarios. Those have recently raised considerable interest in the cold-atom community, where the conditions of truly isolated quantum gases can be achieved at an unprecedented level. Nonintegrable systems, for instance, usually display an intermediate “prethermal” stage where the system evolves rather slowly and looks approximately thermalized [5,6]. Prethermalization can be modeled by a generalized Gibbs ensemble, characterized by a small set of parameters [7]. The many-body dynamics may also exhibit universal scaling properties when the system is quenched through or in the vicinity of a quantum phase transition [8,9], or when it is initially prepared in a far off-equilibrium state [10–13].

Much less is known about the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of interacting disordered systems. At the many-body level, the competition between disorder and interactions may lead to many-body localization (for recent reviews, see [14,15]), initially addressed in the context of electron conduction [16]. A consequence of many-body localization is the absence of thermalization. When quenched out-of-equilibrium, many-body disordered systems may or may not reach a universal thermalized state, depending on the magnitude and properties of the disorder and interactions. In weakly interacting Bose gases, which can be described at a mean-field level with a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, many-body localization does not occur and thermalization is the rule. Notwithstanding the relative simplicity of this limit, the combination of weak interactions and disorder still gives rise to a number of puzzling phenomena, such as thermalization via weakly coupled localized states [17,18] or subdiffusive spreading of wave packets [19–24].

In this article, we study the interplay between disorder and interactions in a dilute Bose gas, in the limit of weak disorder. This regime has been under the focus of a number of cold-atom experiments probing, e.g., one-dimensional Anderson localization [25,26], coherent backscattering (CBS) [27–29], as well as its control over external dephasing [29,30]. CBS of cold atoms, in particular, was probed in an opticslike configuration where an ultracold Bose gas was initially given a finite mean velocity, and its subsequent dynamics in the presence of disorder probed in momentum space. This configuration, originally introduced in [31], turned out also to be useful to explore other interference phenomena such as coherent forward scattering [32], to achieve an echo spectroscopy of coherent transport in disorder [30,33], or to monitor the thermalization and dynamical formation of condensates in momentum space [34]. In most of these works, disorder—albeit weak—was the main ingredient driving the atomic dynamics, so that interactions could be neglected in first approximation. As is well known, however, particle interactions generally affect significantly coherent transport and, in particular, coherent backscattering. This question was previously addressed in the context of nonlinear optics of continuous beams [35–38] or of atom lasers [39]. A theoretical description of particle interactions in an out-of-equilibrium regime where mesoscopic...
effects like CBS occur is, on the other hand, still absent. It is the goal of the present work to fill this gap.

Following [27,28,30,31], we consider the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of a two-dimensional, weakly interacting Bose gas initially prepared in a plane-wave state with finite velocity in a random potential. In this configuration, the momentum distribution quickly acquires a ring-shaped profile associated with classical particle diffusion, with an interference, CBS peak emerging on the top. In the presence of interactions, this picture slowly evolves in time as the whole distribution thermalizes. Well before thermalization fully develops, however, we observe a rather fast contrast loss of the CBS peak. To explain this phenomenon, we develop a microscopic, diagrammatic perturbation theory of coherent particle transport including both disorder and interactions. This allows us to derive coupled kinetic equations for particle transport including both disorder and interactions. This leads to a faster relaxation of the interference signal. This relaxation is well captured by an exponential decay, whose rate is controlled by the particle collision time.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we formulate the problem and illustrate it through a numerical simulation. In Sec. III, we recall the main elements of quantum transport theory in disorder when interactions are neglected. This approach is extended to the interacting regime in Secs. IV and V, and confronted with numerical simulations in Sec. VI. Main results are summarized in Sec. VII, and technical details are collected in two Appendixes.

II. MOMENTUM-SPACE DYNAMICS

We consider the out-of-equilibrium evolution of an N-particle disordered interacting Bose gas. Interactions, assumed weak, are treated at the mean-field level on the basis of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE),

\[
\hat{i} \partial_t \Psi(r, t) = \left[ -\nabla^2 + V(r) + gN|\Psi(r, t)|^2 \right] \Psi(r, t) \tag{1}
\]

for the Bose field \(\Psi(r, t)\). In Eq. (1) and in the following, we set \(\hbar = 1\). From now on we focus on the two-dimensional geometry, although most results of the article are valid in dimension 3 as well. \(V(r)\) is a random potential, assumed to follow a Gaussian statistics with zero mean and no correlation:

\[
\overline{V(r) - V(r')} = \gamma \delta(r - r'), \tag{2}
\]

where \(\gamma > 0\) sets the disorder strength, and the overbar refers to configuration averaging. The assumption of uncorrelated disorder does not imply any loss of generality. The mean free time (defined below) being the only relevant disorder parameter for the dynamics, the results of the paper hold as well for a short-range correlated disorder. To illustrate the problem in which we are interested, we first study the numerical propagation of an initial plane wave \(\phi(r) \equiv \langle r | \Psi(t = 0) \rangle = 1/\sqrt{V} \exp(ik_0 \cdot r)\) in the random potential (\(V\) is the volume of the system) by computing the wave function \(\Psi(r, t)\) at different times on a discretized regular grid of \(200 \times 200\) sites with step \(a\) for periodic boundary conditions. The temporal propagation is performed using a split-step algorithm of time step \(\Delta t\), alternating propagations of the linear part of the GPE, \(\exp[-i(\nabla^2/2m + V(r))/\Delta t]\), and of the nonlinear part, \(\exp[-i g N |\Psi(r, t)|^2 \Delta t]\). The linear part of the evolution operator is expanded in a series of Chebyshev polynomials, as described in [40–43]. From the wave function, we compute its Fourier transform,

\[
\Psi(k, t) \equiv \int d^2r e^{-ik \cdot r} \Psi(r, t), \tag{3}
\]

from which we infer the disorder-averaged momentum distribution, \(\overline{|\Psi(k, t)|^2}\), normalized according to \(\int d^2k / (2\pi)^2 |\Psi(k, t)|^2 = 1\). The computed momentum distribution is shown in Fig. 1 at three different times. We choose as a unit of length the discretization step \(a\) in our numerics. For the discretization to be a good approximation to the continuous equation (1), one must simply ensure that the de Broglie wavelength is significantly larger than the grid spacing, i.e., \(2\pi/k_0 \gg a\). In the following we typically use \(k_0a = \pi/5\), so that discretization effects are small. In Fig. 1 and in all other simulations based on Eq. (1), we express momenta in units of \(1/a\), lengths in \(a\), times in \(ma^2\), and energies in \(1/(ma^2)\). The disorder amplitude, \(\gamma\), is then in units of \(1/(ma^2)\). In Fig. 1, the three times shown are given in units of the mean free time \(\tau\), i.e., the typical collision time on the scattering potential. In the Born approximation, \(\tau = 1/(mav)\) [see Eq. (11) below]. We choose \(\tau \sim 26.3\) so that the product of \(k_0\) with the mean free path \(\ell \equiv k_0\tau\) is \(k_0\ell \approx 10.4\), i.e., much larger than 1. This is the so-called limit of weak disorder, where, in the absence of interactions, the momentum distribution is essentially the sum of two contributions. The first is a background of diffusive particles scattered elastically on the random potential. In Fig. 1 this contribution manifests itself as a ring of radius \(k_0\). The second is a narrow interference peak centered around \(k = -k_0\), the coherent backscattering (CBS) effect. CBS in
the lower panel of Fig. 2 for even weaker interactions than the diffusive background. The relaxation of its contrast is found to be exponential at short time, with a relaxation rate controlled by the particle collision time.

The theory of diffusion and CBS in momentum space has been presented in [31]. Here we only recall the main elements required to introduce the nonlinear diagrammatic theory in the next sections. We also adopt a slightly different point of view from that in [31], focusing more on the energy distribution of the particles, which plays a major role in the thermalization process at work when interactions are nonzero.

When \( g = 0 \), the momentum distribution can be expressed as [31,44,45]

\[
\langle \Psi(k, t) \rangle^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}(k),
\]

where the density kernel is defined in terms of the energy-dependent, retarded and advanced Green’s operators \( G_{\epsilon}^{R,A} \) and of the initial state \( |\phi\rangle \dagger \)

\[
\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}(k) = \int \frac{d^2k'}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2\omega'}{(2\pi)^2} |k G_{\epsilon_{\omega'}/2} R\rangle |k' G_{\epsilon_{\omega'-\omega}/2} A\rangle |\phi\rangle_2 |\phi\rangle_2^\dagger.
\]

In the following, we will also work with the disorder-averaged occupation number \( f_{\epsilon}(t) \), defined as

\[
f_{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi v_{\epsilon}} \int d^2k (2\pi)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}(k),
\]

where \( v_{\epsilon} \) is the density of states per unit volume at energy \( \epsilon \). As a consequence of particle conservation, this quantity is normalized according to

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon v_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon}(t) = 1.
\]

This condition identifies the product \( v_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon}(t) \) as the energy distribution of the Bose gas [46].

A. Diffusive background at long time

From now on, we focus on the case in which the initial state is a plane wave, \( |\phi\rangle = |k_0\rangle \). We also assume disorder to be weak, \( k_0 \ell \gg 1 \), so that perturbation theory can be used. The main contribution to \( \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}(k) \) is then given by the series of ladder diagrams (“Diffusion”), which we denote by \( \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}^{D}(k) \). The latter obeys the Bethe-Salpeter equation [31,44,45]

\[
\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}^{D}(k) = \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon_{\omega}/2}(k) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon_{\omega}/2}(k) \times (2\pi)^2 \delta(k - k_0)
+ \gamma \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon_{\omega}/2}(k) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon_{\omega}/2}(k) \int \frac{d^2k'}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon, \omega}^{D}(k'),
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\nu}{\pi}\right)^2 \eta^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\nu}{\pi}\right)^2 \eta^2.
\]
where we used that \( \mathcal{G}^R_\epsilon(k_0)\mathcal{G}^A_\epsilon(k_0) = -2\tau \text{Im} \mathcal{G}^R_\epsilon(k_0) \). We thus find, according to Eq. (6),

\[
f_\epsilon(t) = \frac{A_\epsilon(k_0)}{\nu_\epsilon} \equiv f^{(0)}_\epsilon \tag{13}
\]

for the occupation number, where the superscript (0) refers to the noninteracting limit, and we introduced the spectral function

\[
A_\epsilon(k) \equiv \frac{-1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\mathcal{G}^R_\epsilon(k)] = \frac{1/(2\pi \tau)}{\left(\epsilon - k^2/2m\right)^2 + 1/4\tau^2}. \tag{14}
\]

Second, we integrate Eq. (8) over \( \epsilon \) and take the Fourier transform with respect to \( \omega \). Using the result (13), we infer

\[
|\Psi^D(k, t)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon A_\epsilon(k)f^{(0)}_\epsilon. \tag{15}
\]

Equation (15) is an isotropic function of \( k \), centered at \( |k| = k_0 \), which corresponds to the diffusive ring in Fig. 1 [31]. The radial profile of this ring is essentially that of the energy distribution \( \nu_\epsilon f^{(0)}_\epsilon \equiv A_\epsilon(k_0) \), which for \( g = 0 \) coincides with the spectral function. In the absence of interactions, this energy distribution does not change in time. This is of course expected, as the only process at work is elastic multiple scattering, which does not involve any energy redistribution.

**B. Coherent backscattering at long time**

The CBS contribution is deduced from the diffusive one by making use of time-reversal invariance. The CBS peak stems from the interference between time-reversed multiple scattering paths, described by the diagrammatic series in Fig. 3(b) ("crossed diagrams"). In the long-time limit \( t \gg \tau \) where low scattering orders have a negligible weight, the series of ladder and crossed diagrams exactly coincide, see Eqs. (15) and (17), and are independent of time. The full \( k \) dependence of the CBS profile can be calculated as well, as was done in [31]. In the rest of the article, however, we will essentially focus on its amplitude, \( |\Psi^C(-k_0, t)|^2 \).

**C. Full time evolution**

Equations (15) and (17) have been obtained in the regime of long times, \( t \gg \tau \), where low scattering orders can be neglected. While an exact calculation of the diffusive and CBS contributions at any time is a difficult task in general (see, for instance, Ref. [47], where this problem was tackled for a speckle potential), for the particular model of uncorrelated disorder we have found that the Bethe-Salpeter equation can be exactly solved, giving

\[
|\Psi^D(-k_0, t)|^2 = \rho_{\text{max}} \left[ 1 - e^{-t/\tau} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right]. \tag{18}
\]
for the diffusive background, and

$$|\Psi_c(-k_0, t)|^2 = \rho_{\text{max}} \left[1 - e^{-t/\tau} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{2\tau^2}\right)\right]$$

(19)

for the amplitude of the CBS peak, with \(\rho_{\text{max}} \equiv \int d\epsilon A_0^2(k_0)/\sqrt{\pi \nu_0} \). Note that, as expected, the CBS and diffusive amplitudes coincide at long time, but not at short time, \(t \sim \tau\), where low scattering orders—described by the terms within square brackets—come into play. Equations (18) and (19) are shown in Fig. 2 (dashed curves) on top of the results of numerical simulations for \(g = 0\). The agreement is very good at all times.

**IV. INTERACTING DIFFUSIVE PARTICLES: THEORY**

We now turn to the case \(g \neq 0\). While an exact theory for both interactions and disorder is a formidable task, even at the level of the GPE (1), relatively simple results can be obtained when interactions are “weak” compared with the disorder. Indeed, in this regime the effect of interactions can be treated as a perturbation of the series of crossed and ladder diagrams. This approach was previously used in [35–37,39] to describe the stationary coherent backscattering effect of continuous waves in finite media, and in [48–50] to model the dynamics of interacting wave packets in the diffusive limit. The latter configuration was later extended to the localization regime in [22,23], but by taking into account first-order corrections in \(g\) only, see Sec. IV B, while neglecting second-order corrections responsible for thermalization.

In this section and the next one, we develop a quantum transport theory describing the effect of interactions on both the diffusive and CBS signals in the dynamical scenario of Fig. 1. We show that, because the average density of the Bose gas is uniform, linear corrections in \(g\) reduce to an irrelevant shift of the mean energy, so that the physics in momentum space is governed by second-order corrections. The latter are responsible for two coupled thermalization processes of the diffusive and CBS components.

**A. Weak interactions**

A treatment of the nonlinear potential in Eq. (1) as a perturbation of the ladder and crossed series requires that scattering events on the nonlinear potential \(g |\Psi(r, t)|^2\) are rare compared to scattering events on the random potential \(V(r)\). In terms of time scales, this condition reads \(\tau_{\text{NL}} \gg \tau\), where \(\tau_{\text{NL}}\) is the particle collision time. To estimate this quantity, we use the Fermi golden rule

$$\frac{1}{2\tau_{\text{NL}}} \equiv \pi \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} B_{\text{NL}}(k - q) \delta \left(\epsilon - \frac{q^2}{2m}\right),$$

(20)

where \(B_{\text{NL}}(k)\) is the power spectrum of the nonlinear potential:

$$B_{\text{NL}}(k) \equiv \int d^2 (r - r') g^2 N^2 |\Psi(r, t)|^2 |\Psi(r', t)|^2 e^{ik(r-r')}.$$  

(21)

To leading approximation, the density-density correlator is not modified by interactions, and reads [51] \(|\Psi(r, t)|^2 |\Psi(r', t)|^2 = J_0(k_0 |r - r'|) e^{-|r-r'|/\ell}/\ell^2\) in two dimensions. This gives

$$\tau_{\text{NL}} \sim \frac{\epsilon_0}{\langle g \rho_0^2 \rangle},$$

(22)

where we introduced the mean particle density,

$$\rho_0 \equiv N/V.$$  

(23)

By defining the mean free path for particle collisions as \(\ell_{\text{NL}} \equiv k_0 \tau_{\text{NL}}/m\), we then rewrite the criteria of rare particle collisions and weak disorder as

$$k_0 \ell_{\text{NL}} \gg k_0 \ell \gg 1.$$  

(24)

In the following, we will assume these conditions to be fulfilled. They imply, in particular, that the initial kinetic energy typically exceeds the interaction energy, \(\epsilon_0 \gg g \rho_0\). Therefore, as long as the energy distribution \(v_r f_r(t)\) does not deviate too much from its initial value \(A_r(k_0)\), only states belonging to the “particle” branch \(\epsilon \sim g \rho_0\) of the Bogoliubov spectrum are populated [52]. In other words, the low-energy, phononlike part of the spectrum does not play any role in the dynamics. This will always be verified in the sequel of the paper, where we focus on the short-time evolution of the Bose gas.

**B. Leading-order nonlinear corrections**

When \(g \neq 0\), the notion of Green’s function can no longer be utilized to express the momentum distribution, as we did in Eq. (5). Nevertheless, Eq. (4) can still be written, with the density kernel defined as

$$\tau_{\text{NL}} \gg \tau,$$

(25)

$$\Psi_r(r, t) \equiv \int d^2 r' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' e^{i\epsilon t'} e^{-i k r} \Psi(r', t).$$  

(26)

Our diagrammatic quantum transport theory in the presence of interactions is constructed from the Lippmann-Schwinger equation associated with the GPE (1):

$$\Psi_r(r, t) = \phi(k) + G_0^\text{NL} \left[ \int \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} V(k') \Psi_r(k - k') \right.$$

$$+ gN \int \frac{d\epsilon_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\epsilon_2}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2\pi)^2} \Psi_{\epsilon_1}(k_1) \Psi_{\epsilon_2}(k_2)$$

$$\times \Psi_{-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2}(k - k_1 + k_2) \right],$$

(27)

where \(G_0^\text{NL} = (\epsilon - k^2 / 2m + i0)^{-1}\) is the free-space (retarded) Green’s function. Iteration of Eq. (27) leads to an expansion of \(\Psi_r\) in powers of \(V\) and \(g\) known as the Born series. In addition to the usual scattering processes on the random potential, this Born series also involves particle collisions. These two elementary processes are diagrammatically shown in Fig. 4, together with the conservation rules for energies and momenta.

From the Born series for \(\Psi_r\), one can construct a Bethe-Salpeter equation for the density kernel \(\tau_{\text{e,o}}(k)\). Insofar as particle collisions are less frequent than collisions on the random potential—remember condition (24)—two distinct iterative equations for the diffusive and CBS contributions, \(\tau_{\text{D}}\) and \(\tau_{\text{C}}\), can be still identified. In this section, we first focus on
the Bethe-Salpeter equation for $\mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}$. The latter is obtained by adding extra terms to the right-hand side of the iterative equation in Fig. 3(a) for $g = 0$, in which the ladder sequence can be interrupted by one or several particle collisions.

The leading-order, iterative correction to the Bethe-Salpeter equation for $\mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}$ is given by the diagram in Fig. 5(a) (plus its complex conjugate). It reads

$$
\mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}(k) = 2g\rho_0\int \frac{d\epsilon'}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \frac{d^2k'}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2k''}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}^\rho(k) \times \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon - \omega/2}^\rho(k) \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_1 - \omega/2}^\rho(k) \mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}(k') \mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon - \omega/2, \omega}(k'').
$$

(28)

where the factor 2 stems from the Wick decomposition of the average $\langle \Psi_\epsilon, \Psi'_{\epsilon + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}, \Psi'_{\epsilon - \omega/2}, \Psi'_{\epsilon_1 - \omega/2} \rangle$ arising when Eq. (27) is multiplied by $\Psi'_\epsilon$, and the second equality follows from particle conservation, which imposes that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon' \int \frac{d^2k'}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}(k') = \frac{1}{-i\omega' + 0^+}.
$$

(29)

From Eq. (28), it is easy to show that the contribution of the diagram in Fig. 5(a) boils down to a constant energy shift $-2g\rho_0 \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_1}^\rho$ in the linear Bethe-Salpeter equation (8). Indeed, if we perform the substitution $\epsilon \rightarrow -2g\rho_0$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (8) and expand for small $g$, using

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_1 - 2g\rho_0}(k) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_1}(k) + 2g\rho_0 \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_1}^\rho(k)^2
$$

(30)

we get that the right-hand side of Eq. (8) is modified by an extra term that exactly coincides with Eq. (28). In other words, first-order nonlinear corrections to the Bethe-Salpeter equation do not quantitatively affect the diffusive dynamics. Note that this result is in stark contrast with the scenario in which one follows the spreading of a wave packet in position space. In this case, diagrams of the type of Fig. 5(a) were shown to significantly modify the wave-packet density distribution [48–50].

As explained in Appendix A, the energy shift obtained here can in turn be described in terms of a modification of the real part of the self-energy $\Sigma(\epsilon, k)$ appearing in average Green’s functions, Eq. (9). In addition to this shift, there also exist first-order nonlinear corrections shifting the imaginary part of $\Sigma(\epsilon, k)$. These corrections stem from correlations between the disorder and nonlinear potentials in the GPE equation (1), but they turn out to be very small in the weak-disorder limit. From now on, we will thus neglect these self-energy corrections, and always evaluate average Green’s functions using Eq. (9), with $\Sigma(\epsilon, k) = -i/2\tau$.

C. Second-order corrections: Thermalization

We now examine interaction corrections to the ladder Bethe-Salpeter equation (8) that are of second order in $g$. Since each vertex $g$ is connected to four field amplitudes (see Fig. 4), these corrections involve six incoming field amplitudes, i.e., they are proportional to the third power of the density. Due to the condition (24), we also know that at least one disorder scattering event occurs before every particle collision event. Since the disorder scattering events are described by ladder diagrams (for weak disorder), we group the six incoming arrows into three incoming ladder sequences $\mathcal{I}^D_{\epsilon,\omega}$, each of them originating from different disorder scattering events.
Analyzing all possible nontrivial ways (i.e., those which do not reduce to a mere energy shift) of connecting the incoming

arrows to the g vertices, we arrive at the diagrams shown in Fig. 6. The corresponding Bethe-Salpeter equation reads

where we defined $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon$, $\epsilon_4 = \epsilon + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$, $\epsilon_5 = \epsilon - (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$, $\epsilon_6 = \epsilon + (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$, $\Omega_1 = \omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, $\Omega_2 = \omega/2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ for energies, and $k_3 = k_1 + k_2 - k$, $k_4 = k + k_1 - k_2$ for momenta.

A closed equation for the distribution $f_e(t)$—remember the equation (6)—can be obtained by integrating Eq. (31) over $k$ and taking the Fourier transform with respect to $\omega$, in the hydrodynamic limit $\omega \tau, \Omega \tau \ll 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). The details of the calculation are presented in Appendix B for clarity. They lead to the kinetic equation

where we recall that $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon$. The interaction kernel $W(\epsilon; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is given, in two dimensions, by

where $K$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. The kinetic equation should be complemented by an initial condition, which is provided here by the “coherent mode,” i.e., the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (31). The latter gives (see Appendix B)

which is merely the occupation number for $g = 0$, Eq. (13). The fact that the noninteracting value of $f_e$ plays the role
of the initial condition for the interacting problem is due to our assumption that particle collisions are less frequent than scattering events on the disorder, Eq. (24). Indeed, in this regime the diffusive ring is first established, and only then do interactions come into play.

Once \( f_c(t) \) is known for \( g \neq 0 \), the diffusive contribution to the momentum distribution follows by integrating Eq. (31) over \( \epsilon \) and taking the Fourier transform with respect to \( \omega \). As the effect of interactions is already included in the second term of the right-hand side via \( \mathcal{I}_c^{\omega}(k) \), the third term is typically of order \( g^2 \) and can be neglected. In the hydrodynamic regime \( \omega \tau \ll 1 \), this finally gives

\[
|\Psi^D(k,t)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon A_c(k)f_c(t).
\]

This formula differs from its noninteracting counterpart, Eq. (15), in that the distribution \( f_c(t) \) is no longer constant in time, leading to an evolution of the diffusive background. Equations (32) and (35) have been used, in particular, in [34] to qualitatively discuss the emergence of a Bose condensate at very long time, but without microscopic justification. We note that the kinetic equation (32) has also been derived in [53] by means of a nonequilibrium classical field theory in the presence of disorder.

By multiplying the kinetic equation (32) by \( v_\epsilon \) and integrating over \( \epsilon \), we readily obtain \( \partial_t f_c(t) = 0 \). This implies that \( \int d\epsilon v_\epsilon f_c(t) = \int d\epsilon v_\epsilon f_c(0) = 1 \), which is merely the normalization condition (7). It follows that the diffusive contribution (35) is normalized, \( \int d^2k/(2\pi)^2 |\Psi^D(k,t)|^2 = 1 \), very much like in the noninteracting limit [51].

The attentive reader will notice that Eq. (32) in fact coincides with the free-space Boltzmann kinetic equation for Bose gases in the limit of large occupation numbers [54]. Indeed, the kernel (33) is independent of any disorder parameter (in particular, the diffusion coefficient or even the mean free path does not appear). This result is different from the case of electrons in low-temperature disordered conductors, where the diffusive motion strengthens the effect of interactions [55]. This difference stems from the mechanism of dynamical screening of electron-electron interactions, which is absent for low-temperature bosons [56]. For weakly interacting diffusive bosons, disorder thus only manifests itself through the spectral function, involved both in the initial condition \( f_c(0) \) and in Eq. (35). The situation would of course change at stronger disorder or in the localization regime [18].

V. CBS OF INTERACTING PARTICLES: THEORY

A. Leading-order nonlinear corrections

We now come to the central part of our work and examine the effect of interactions on the series of time-reversed paths, responsible for coherent backscattering. As for the diffusive background, we first address the first-order nonlinear corrections to the Bethe-Salpeter equation of Fig. 3(b). These corrections are displayed in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c). The diagram 5(b) has the very same property as its incoherent counterpart 5(a): it can be recast as an energy shift \(-2g\rho_0\) of the linear Green’s function, and thus does not play any role in the dynamics. The building block 5(c), on the other hand, turns out to cancel with its conjugate counterpart. At this stage, an important comment is in order. In the stationary scenario considered in [35,36,39], it was shown that specific concatenations of the diagrams 5(c) were leading to a dephasing between the reversed amplitudes, which could even change the sign of the coherent backscattering cone. It turns out, however, that in the present dynamical setup these combinations have a negligible weight. To see this, we show in a more visual fashion in Fig. 7(a) one example of an interference sequence between time-reversed paths built from diagram 5(c). The peculiarity of this sequence is that both the direct (solid) path and its time-reversed (dashed) partner are involved in the particle collision process. If the direct path undergoes the collision at a certain time \( t_{\text{coll}} \), and the time-reversed path at \( t - t_{\text{coll}} \). Since the collision is local in time, we must have \( t_{\text{coll}} = t/2 \). (b) Example of interference sequence between time-reversed paths involving two collisions. As for diagram (a), the collision processes involve both the direct and the reversed paths. This imposes them to occur almost simultaneously, at \( t_{\text{coll}} \simeq t/2 \), which is very unlikely. This diagram is therefore negligible.

B. Second-order corrections

All non-negligible second-order corrections to the Bethe-Salpeter equation for time-reversed sequences are depicted in Fig. 8. Note that, as compared to the diffusive corrections in Fig. 6, there are only four different topologies, and not five. These topologies are the same as those of the four last diffusive diagrams in Fig. 6. It turns out, indeed, that the interference counterpart of the upper-right diagram in Fig. 6 is negligible in the long-time limit. This can be understood from Fig. 7(b), which shows the interference sequence that would correspond to the upper-right diagram in Fig. 6 in which an amplitude is time-reversed. Because the two collision processes involve both the direct and the reversed paths, they must occur almost simultaneously, at \( t_{\text{coll}} \simeq t/2 \), which
the spectral function and the density of states numerically as $g$ numerically for the interacting regime. We show in Fig. 9 the evolution of the incoming and outgoing momenta paths involved in the blue boxes propagate in opposite directions (the incoming and outgoing momenta $k_0$ and $k$ are explicitly indicated for clarity). The numerical prefactors account for the possible combinations of propagation lines connecting to the vertex $g$.

is extremely unlikely given the rarity of particle collisions assumed here. This type of diagram is thus negligible.

The Bethe-Salpeter equation for $T^C_{ε,0}(k)$ is similar to Eq. (31) except for the missing diagram. The latter is responsible for the term $\propto f_{ε_1+ε_2-ε} f_ε f_ε$ in Eq. (32). Since this term is now absent, the $f^C_ε(t)$ present in each of the other terms can be factored out. We thus obtain, for the “coherent” occupation number

$$f^C_ε(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi \nu_ε} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-∞}^{∞} \frac{dω}{2π} e^{-iωt} T^C_{ε,0}(k),$$  

(36)

the kinetic equation

$$\partial_t f^C_ε = f^C_ε \int_{ε_1,ε_2,ε_1+ε_2} dε_1 dε_2 W(ε, ε_1, ε_2) \times \left[ f_{ε_1} f_{ε_2} - f_{ε_1+ε_2-ε} (f_{ε_1} + f_{ε_2}) \right],$$  

(37)

where the kernel is still given by Eq. (33), and the initial condition is again set by the noninteracting limit, $f^C_ε(t = 0) = f^{(0)}_ε$. The amplitude of the coherent backscattering peak then follows from

$$|\Psi^C(-k_0, t)|^2 = \int_{-∞}^{∞} dε A_ε(k_0) f^C_ε(t).$$  

(38)

The asymmetry between the kinetic equations for $f^C_ε$ and $f_ε$ explains the different dynamic evolution of the CBS peak and diffusive background observed in numerical simulations, Fig. [57]. This asymmetry is a major difference with the noninteracting regime. We show in Fig. 9 the evolution of $f_ε(t)$ and $f^C_ε(t)$ at short time, obtained by solving the kinetic equations (32) and (37) with the initial condition (34) evaluated numerically for $g = 0$. To find the latter, we have computed the spectral function and the density of states numerically as explained in [58,59]. The thermalization mechanism is well visible in the upper graph: the distribution $f^C_ε(t)$ broadens as time grows. A different behavior is observed for $f_ε(t)$, which does not broaden but rather flattens out. This phenomenon is already visible at the level of Eq. (37), in which $f^C_ε(t)$ factors out of the collision integral. It is also emphasized by the inset of Fig. 9, which shows that the norm of the energy distribution $\int_{-∞}^{∞} dε \sqrt{v}\ f^C_ε(t)$ decays in time [whereas $\int_{-∞}^{∞} dε \sqrt{v}\ f_ε(t)$ is unity at all times].

Explained in [58,59]. The thermalization mechanism is well visible in the upper graph: the distribution $f_ε(t)$ broadens as time grows. A different behavior is observed for $f^C_ε(t)$, which does not broaden but rather flattens out. This phenomenon is already visible at the level of Eq. (37), in which $f^C_ε(t)$ factors out of the collision integral. It is also emphasized by the inset of Fig. 9, which shows that the norm of the energy distribution $\int_{-∞}^{∞} dε \sqrt{v}\ f^C_ε(t)$ decays in time. This is in contrast with $\int_{-∞}^{∞} dε \sqrt{v}\ f_ε(t)$, which is unity at all times; see the discussion following Eq. (35). This difference implies that time-reversed paths are more sensitive to particle collisions than diffusive paths, as we will show more quantitatively in the next section. Note, in passing, that the energy corresponding to the maximum of the distributions in Fig. 9 lies always slightly below $ε = ε_0$. This effect, also seen in experiments [60], is mainly due to the real part of the self-energy (see Appendix A).

VI. COMPARISON WITH NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

To test our theoretical approach, we now confront the predictions of the preceding section with numerical simulations of plane-wave propagation based on the GPE (1).
from higher-order interaction contributions that renormalize
the interaction strength $g$ and are not taken into account in
the present work. Further investigation would, however, be
required to clarify this point.

B. Decay rates

An important piece of information one may extract from
the time-dependent evolutions in Fig. 10 are the characteristic
time scales $\tau_{NL}^{D,C}$ governing the decay of the diffusive ring
and of the CBS amplitude. Theoretically, these characteristic
times can be obtained from a short-time expansion of the so-
lution of the kinetic equations (32) and (37), $f_{\epsilon}^{D,C}(t) \simeq f_{\epsilon}(t = 0) - a_{D,C}(\epsilon) t + O(t^2)$, from which we obtain, using Eq. (35)
and (38),

$$|\psi^{D,C}(-k_0, t)|^2 \simeq \frac{\tau}{\pi \nu \epsilon} \left[ 1 - \frac{t}{\tau_{NL}^{D,C}} + O(t^2) \right],$$

(39)

where

$$(\tau_{NL}^{D,C})^{-1} = \frac{\pi \nu \epsilon}{\tau} \int d\epsilon A_\epsilon(k_0) a_{D,C}(\epsilon).$$

(40)

Here we used—see Eq. (17)—that $\int d\epsilon A_\epsilon(k_0) f_\epsilon(t = 0) = \tau/(\pi \nu \epsilon)$. By inserting the Taylor expansions for $f_\epsilon$ and $f_{\epsilon}^{C}$ in the kinetic equations, we find the functions $a_{D,C}(\epsilon)$ numerically
and, from Eq. (40), infer the sought out time scales by
numerical integration over $\epsilon$. This leads to

$$(\tau_{NL}^{D,C})^{-1} = \left(\frac{g_0 \nu}{\epsilon_0}\right)^2 \beta_{D,C},$$

(41)

where $\beta_D \simeq 2.27$ and $\beta_C \simeq 7.17$ are numerical prefactors,
which include the adjustment of the interaction strength used
for the fits in Fig. 10. The characteristic times governing the
decay of the diffusive background and CBS peak are therefore
both proportional to the particle collision time, which we
previously introduced in Eq. (20). This is quite a natural
result, but it should be noted that the decay time for CBS is
approximately three times smaller than the decay time for the
diffusive background. In other words, the CBS peak is much
more sensitive to interactions, as clearly seen in Fig. 10.

To confirm these results, we also compare the theoretical
prediction (41) to the decay rates extracted from numerical
simulations based on the GPE. For this purpose, we compute
numerically the CBS and diffusive signals versus time for
several values of the disorder amplitude $\gamma$. We then extract the
slope of these curves within a narrow time window following the
curve maxima (located near $t \simeq 15 \tau$ in Fig. 10). The results are shown in Fig. 11 as a function of the disorder
parameter $k_0 \ell$ (lower points are obtained from the decay of
the diffusive ring and upper points from the decay of the
CBS peak). The theoretical predictions (41) are shown on the
same graph, and they match very well the simulations. These
results confirm, in particular, the independence of the collision
time on the disorder. By computing numerically the slopes for
several values of $g$, we have also verified the $g^2$ dependence of
$(\tau_{NL}^{D,C})^{-1}$.

While we have not been able to find an exact analytical
prediction for the whole time decay of the CBS peak, a
simple, approximate expression can be inferred from the
kinetic equation for $f_{\epsilon}^{C}$, Eq. (37). Indeed, since the time

A. Diffusive ring and CBS peak amplitudes

In Fig. 10, we reproduce the simulation results of Fig. 2 for
the heights of the diffusive ring and CBS peak. For $g \neq 0$, we
fit them with Eqs. (35) and (38), with $f_{\epsilon}$ and $f_{\epsilon}^{C}$ computed
from the kinetic equations (32) and (37), using $g_0 \nu$ as a fit parameter. To describe the times $t \leq \tau$, we multiply the
right-hand side of Eqs. (35) and (38) by the same short-time
corrections as in the linear case [terms within the square
brackets in Eqs. (18) and (19)]. This is a very good approx-
imation in the regime $\tau_{NL} \gg \tau$ considered here. As seen in
Fig. 10, the agreement between theory and simulations is
excellent at all times. We note, however, that for the ring
height the fitted values of $g_0 \nu$ differ by $\sim 10\%$ compared
to those chosen in numerical simulations, and for the CBS
height by $\sim 30\%$. One possible reason for this difference
might come from the numerical uncertainties in the resolu-
tion of the collision integrals. Evaluating the latter indeed
becomes particularly challenging in two dimensions, where
the kernel $W$ exhibits a number of logarithmic singularities
over the integration domain. This discrepancy could also stem

FIG. 10. Heights of the (a) diffusive ring and (b) CBS peak vs
time for increasing values of $g_0 \nu$ from top to bottom (these are
the same simulation data as in Fig. 2). Solid smooth black curves
are fits to the theory, Eqs. (35) and (38), and dotted curves are
the approximate laws (42) and (43). The same value $g_0 \nu \simeq 1.1g$
($g_0 \nu \simeq 1.3g$) was used for all the diffusive (CBS) curves. Solid curves
at $g = 0$ are obtained from Eqs. (18) and (19).
dependence of the diffusive background—encoded in the $f_i$ functions on the right-hand side of Eq. (37)—is rather slow, in first approximation the occupation number $f_i^C(t)$ decays exponentially. This suggests the simple form

$$|\Psi^C(-k_0,t)|^2 \simeq \frac{\tau}{\pi \nu_0} \exp(-t/\tau^c_{NL}) \times [1 - \exp(-t/\tau)(1 + t/\tau + t^2/2\tau^2)].$$

(42)

for the CBS peak amplitude (the second line is the short-time evolution, which, we recall, is not modified by interactions). Equation (42) is shown in Fig. 10 (dashed curves of the lower plot) on top of the exact solutions of the kinetic equation, and turns out to be a rather good approximation. We also noticed that a similar formula describes well the decay of the diffusive ring, provided $\tau^D_{NL}$ is substituted for $\tau^c_{NL}$ and the short-time terms are modified according to Eq. (18):

$$|\Psi^D(-k_0,t)|^2 \simeq \frac{\tau}{\pi \nu_0} \exp(-t/\tau^D_{NL}) \times [1 - \exp(-t/\tau)(1 + t/\tau)].$$

(43)

Equation (43) is also shown in Fig. 10 (dashed curves of the upper plot) on top of the exact solutions of the kinetic equation. As a consequence of Eqs. (42) and (43), the contrast of the CBS peak decays exponentially at long time as

$$\frac{|\Psi^C(-k_0,t)|^2}{|\Psi^D(-k_0,t)|^2} \simeq \exp(-t/\tau^c_0),$$

(44)

with a relaxation rate $\tau^c_0 \equiv (\tau^c_{NL})^{-1} - (\tau^D_{NL})^{-1} \simeq 5(g\rho_0)^2/\epsilon_0^2$ controlled by the particle collision time.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have constructed a microscopic diagrammatic theory describing the out-of-equilibrium evolution of a weakly interacting disordered Bose gas in momentum space. Assuming weak disorder and rare particle collisions, we have derived coupled kinetic equations for the two main physical processes at work in this regime: particle diffusion and coherent backscattering. Our approach has revealed a noticeable asymmetry in the kinetic equations for these two contributions, implying a faster decay of the CBS peak at short time and thus a loss of CBS contrast. We have shown that this contrast loss is very well described by an exponential relaxation, whose rate is governed by the particle-particle collision time. This phenomenon resembles the smoothing of the weak localization correction to the conductivity due to the finite electronic coherence time associated with electron-electron interactions in disordered conductors, but it occurs here in a nonequilibrium context. Natural extensions of our work concern the role of interactions in the localization regime, where the phenomenon of coherent forward scattering shows up in momentum space [32], or the properties of the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition expected in the equilibrium state reached at long time [61]. Related open questions also include the exploration of the opposite regime of a disorder weaker than interactions—where the phononic part of the boson spectrum is expected to come into play—the possible existence of nonthermal fixed points in the presence of disorder, or the out-of-equilibrium dynamics in the many-body regime.
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APPENDIX A: SELF-ENERGY

As discussed in Sec. IV B, leading-order nonlinear corrections to the Bethe-Salpeter equation boil down to an irrelevant energy shift. This shift can thus be alternatively described in terms of a self-energy correction, linear in $g$. The corresponding expansion of $\Sigma(\epsilon, k)$ is displayed in Fig. 12. The
first diagram is the usual Born approximation for the disorder potential,
\[ \Sigma^{(0)}(\epsilon, k) = \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} B(k - q) G^{(0)}(q), \]  
(A1)
with \( G^{(0)}(k) = (\epsilon - k^2/2m + i0^+)^{-1} \) the free-space Green\’s function. The imaginary part of Eq. (A1) defines the scattering mean free time (11). As visible in Fig. 9, the average energy of bosons in the disorder potential is also shifted, which is due to the real part of the self-energy. In two dimensions, the latter is divergent within the Born approximation (A1), but it can be regularized via more refined approximations, which will not be discussed here. The second self-energy diagram is the nonlinear correction corresponding to the density diagram in Fig. 5(a). It is purely real, simply given by
\[ \Sigma^{(1)}(\epsilon, k) = 2g\rho_0, \]  
(A2)
and indeed describes a shift of the energy by \(-2g\rho_0\) in the Green\’s function (9). At first order in g, however, another type of self-energy diagram comes into play. The latter follows from the observation that the two random potentials \( V(r) \) and \( g\Psi(r, t)^2 \) in the GPE (1) may be correlated. This defines an analogous version of Eq. (A1),
\[ \Sigma^{(2)}(\epsilon, k) = \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} B^{(2)}(k - q) G^{(0)}(q), \]  
(A3)
with the power spectrum
\[ B^{(2)}(k) \equiv \int d^2(r - r') A gN|\Psi(r, t)|^2 V(r') e^{ik(r - r')} \].
(A4)
In the factor 4 added, one factor 2 stems from the two possibilities to pair the incoming fields into ladder intensities [same factor as in Eq. (A2)], and another factor 2 counts the other combination \(|\Psi(r, t)|^2 V(r')\). The self-energy diagrams corresponding to Eq. (A3) are shown in the lower-right part of Fig. 12.

The self-energy \( \Sigma^{(2)} \) corresponds to a screening effect where fluctuations of the random potential are smoothed by the nonlinearity, and it is well known in the Thomas-Fermi regime of strong interactions [62]. The hybrid correlator is conveniently expressed in position space as follows:
\[ |\Psi(r, t)|^2 V(r') = \gamma^2 \int d^2r' \int \frac{d\epsilon'}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega' t} I_{\epsilon',\omega'}(r') 
\times \left[ G^{(0)}(\epsilon' - r') G^{(0)}(\epsilon' - r') G^{(0)}(\epsilon' - r') + \text{c.c.} \right]. \]  
(A5)

This simplifies to
\[ |\Psi(r, t)|^2 V(r') = \frac{i\gamma}{2\pi \nu_0} \int d^2r e^{-ikr} G^{(0)}(r) \]  
(A6)
where we invoked particle conservation and used that the energy remains peaked around \( \epsilon \approx \epsilon_0 \approx k_0^2/2m \) at short time. The self-energy (A3) can then be rewritten as
\[ \Sigma^{(2)}(\epsilon, k) \approx \frac{4g\rho_0 \gamma}{2\pi \nu_0} \int d^2r e^{-ikr} G^{(0)}(r) \times \left[ G^{(0)}(r)^2 - G^{(0)}(r)^2 \right]. \]  
(A7)
Evaluating the integral on-shell, i.e., for \( \epsilon = \epsilon_0 \) and \( k = k_0 \), we obtain the following estimate for the imaginary part of \( \Sigma^{(2)} \):
\[ \text{Im} \Sigma^{(2)}(\epsilon_0, k_0) \sim \frac{g\rho_0}{k_0 \epsilon} \ll g\rho_0. \]  
(A8)
In the weak-disorder regime considered throughout the paper, this contribution to the self-energy is thus negligible. A similar decay with \( k_0 \epsilon \) is also expected for the real part of \( \Sigma^{(2)} \), though its precise form requires a regularization beyond the Born approximation, as for \( \Sigma^{(0)} \).

APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF THE KINETIC EQUATION
To obtain the kinetic equation (32), we proceed as follows. First, we integrate the Bethe-Salpeter equation (31) over \( k \). This leads to a closed equation for the quantity
\[ \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon,\omega} \equiv \frac{\rho^{R}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2k \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon,\omega}(k). \]  
(B1)
In the hydrodynamic regime \( \omega \tau \ll 1 \) (long times), we also simplify the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (31), using that
\[ \gamma \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon,\omega/2}(k) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega/2}(k) \approx 1 + i\omega \tau. \]  
(B2)
Within the same limit, we also set all \( \omega, \omega_1, \) and \( \omega_2 \) to zero in the frequency arguments of the Green\’s functions in the interaction term on the right-hand side. To perform the remaining integrals over \( k, k_1, \) and \( k_2, \) finally, we use several the times the identity
\[ \mathcal{G}^{B}_{\epsilon,\omega}(k) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega}(k) = i \frac{\rho^{R}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2r e^{-ikr} \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \]  
(B3)
and systematically neglect products of the type \( \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \) and \( \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \mathcal{G}^{A}_{\epsilon,\omega}(r) \), which give contributions smaller by a factor \( 1/(k_0 \ell) \ll 1 \). Equation (31) becomes
\[ -i\omega \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon,\omega} = i \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon,\omega}(k_0) + \mathcal{G}^{R}_{\epsilon,\omega}(k_0) \]  
(B4)
We then Fourier-transform with respect to $\omega$ and use that
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi v_e} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} T_{\omega,\omega} = f_e(t).
\] (B5)
This finally yields
\[
\partial_t f_e = \delta(t) \frac{A_e(k_0)}{v_e} + \int d\epsilon_1 d\epsilon_2 W(\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \times \left[(f_e + f_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon})f_{\epsilon_1} f_{\epsilon_2} - f_e f_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon} (f_{\epsilon_1} + f_{\epsilon_2})\right]
\] (B6)
which is the kinetic equation (32). The Dirac $\delta$ term originates from the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (B4) (coherent mode), and it sets the initial condition, $f_e(t = 0) = A_e(k_0)/v_e$. The integration range of $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ covers all energies allowed by the densities of states $v_{\epsilon_1}$, $v_{\epsilon_2}$, and $v_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon}$ contained in the definition of the occupation numbers. At weak disorder, the density of states coincides, at leading order, with the free-space one, which imposes $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \gg 0$. The kernel derived from Eq. (B4) is given by
\[
W(\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \frac{(g_0)^2}{4\pi^2 v_e} \int d^2 r \left[ C^R_e(r) - C^A_e(r) \right] \times \left[ C^R_{\epsilon_1}(r) - C^A_{\epsilon_1}(r) \right] \left[ C^R_{\epsilon_2}(r) - C^A_{\epsilon_2}(r) \right] \times \left[ C^R_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon}(r) - C^A_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon}(r) \right].
\] (B7)
The expression (33) follows by computing the integral over $r$, keeping only the leading-order contribution in $k_0\ell \gg 1$ [63].
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