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Abstract

Background: To improve the efficacy of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) therapy targeted to infarcted myocardium, we investigated whether a self-setting silanized hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (Si-HPMC) hydrogel seeded with MSC (MSC+hydrogel) could preserve cardiac function and attenuate left ventricular (LV) remodeling during an 8-week follow-up study in a rat model of myocardial infarction (MI).

Methodology/Principal Finding: Si-HPMC hydrogel alone, MSC alone or MSC+hydrogel were injected into the myocardium immediately after coronary artery ligation in female Lewis rats. Animals in the MSC+hydrogel group showed an increase in cardiac function up to 28 days after MI and a mid-term prevention of cardiac function alteration at day 56. Histological analyses indicated that the injection of MSC+hydrogel induced a decrease in MI size and an increase in scar thickness and ultimately limited the transmural extent of MI. These findings show that intramyocardial injection of MSC+hydrogel induced short-term recovery of ventricular function and mid-term attenuation of remodeling after MI.

Conclusion/Significance: These beneficial effects may be related to the specific scaffolding properties of the Si-HPMC hydrogel that may provide the ability to support MSC injection and engraftment within myocardium.

Introduction

After myocardial infarction (MI), left ventricular (LV) remodeling occurs with early and progressive extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, infarct zone expansion, scar thinning, LV enlargement and eventually, transition to heart failure [1,2]. Current anti-remodeling therapies are limited as they fail to prevent ventricle enlargement [3,4] and morbidity-mortality remains high [5]. Mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) injection into the infarcted myocardium is a newly developed strategy for cardiac tissue repair and regeneration after MI [6]. The beneficial effects of MSC injection have been partly related to their paracrine activity. MSC secrete angiogenic, anti-apoptotic, and anti-inflammatory cytokines that may contribute to the recovery of cardiac function [7,8] and significantly decrease fibrosis of the myocardium [9,10]. Most MSC administration strategies use intramyocardial injections of cells suspended in culture medium. However, this technique is limited by low cell retention and survival rates. For example, several studies have shown that more than 80%–90% of grafted cells die within 72 hours after injection into the myocardium [11,12]. Multiple mechanisms may contribute to the premature death of grafted cells, including oxidative stress, hypoxia, and inflammation [13,14]. Recently, cardiac tissue engineering, combining cells and scaffolding biomaterials, has emerged as a promising approach to provide support for tissue repair after MI [15,16]. Among the various types of biomaterials currently available, hydrogels comprising hydrophilic, biocompatible...
polymers and peptides may represent excellent cell delivery systems due to their unique property of permitting in situ gel formation [17]. There are two major types of hydrogels: natural hydrogels, such as fibrin glue [18] and alginate [19], and synthetic hydrogels, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) [20]. Natural hydrogels are used as scaffolds because they exhibit several critical biological functions that synthetic polymers lack, such as cell adhesion and biodegradation. We previously designed a water-rich hydrogel consisting of silanized hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (Si-HPMC) that can be steam sterilized [21] and supports the diffusion of signaling molecules and nutrients [22]. Interestingly, this Si-HPMC hydrogel can be injected together with MSC in vivo and is able to self-crosslink to form a scaffolding matrix [23].

In this context, and to develop a novel cardiac tissue engineering strategy, we questioned whether a hydrogel-assisted intramyocardial injection of bone marrow-derived MSC could attenuate post-MI cardiac disorders. To address this issue, we used a rat model to evaluate the effects of injecting Si-HPMC hydrogel seeded with MSC on cardiac function and LV remodeling in a rat model of MI.

Results

Rheological Characteristics of Si-HPMC Hydrogel

Rheological properties of Si-HPMC solution mixed with acidic buffer (1:1) were measured. The final product (Si-HPMC hydrogel) was a reticulated hydrogel with a pH value of 7.4 after 27.2 ± 3.4 min. Dynamic rheological measurements were performed to characterize the Si-HPMC hydrogel, including shear strain measurements to evaluate the storage modulus (G’), which characterizes the hard component, and the loss modulus (G’’), which characterizes the liquid component. After three weeks of cross-linking and completion of the self-setting process, the G’ value was 343.2 ± 106.5 Pa and the G’’ was 44.5 ± 15.4 Pa. The compressive modulus (which reflects the stiffness of the material in a compressive experiment) at a 5% strain was 328.6 ± 97.0 Pa.

MSC Characterization and Viability in Three-dimensional Culture within the Si-HPMC Hydrogel

To characterize MSCs before in vivo injection, the expression of a number of surface markers [24, 25] was assessed by flow cytometry. No expression of CD34 and CD45 was observed (Figure 1A). In contrast, MSC expressed CD29, CD54 and CD90 (Figure 1B).

To evaluate whether the Si-HPMC hydrogel was cytotoxic, MSC viability was monitored in Si-HPMC 3D-culture by confocal fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1C). MSC viability was assessed by measurement of green fluorescence intensity, as a consequence of incorporation of the calcine fluorescent probe into the cytoplasm (Figure 1D). The results showed that MSC viability was maintained throughout the experiment.

MSC Tracking in Cardiac Tissue

To determine whether the Si-HPMC hydrogel may allow cell injection and engraftment in cardiac tissue, MSC were detected 24 h after their implantation using CFSE fluorescence labeling (Figure 2A and 2B) and CD90 expression in host tissue (Figure 2C). Because of the possibility of a rapid loss of fluorescence of the CFSE-labeled MSCs, the red fluorescence of PKH26 was used to track MSC 14 days after the injection into the cardiac tissue (Figure 2D). Histological evaluation of the myocardium 24 h after MSC injection with or without hydrogel showed that most of the grafted MSC were localized in the left ventricular wall around injection sites and MSC survived 14 days after the injection into the left ventricle tissue. These data indicate that Si-HPMC hydrogel was able to support MSC implantation and engraftment in cardiac tissue.

Comparative Effects of Hydrogel, MSC, and MSC-hydrogel on Cardiac Function and LV Remodeling

MI was induced by ligation of the LAD coronary artery in 62 rats. After MI induction, rats were randomized into 4 treatment groups to receive intramyocardial injections of (1) PBS as the control, (2) hydrogel, (3) MSC and (4) MSC+hydrogel. In our study, the overall mortality 24 hours after surgery was 30.7 ± 7.7% (19/62 rats) with no significant differences between treatment groups (Table 1A). Echocardiography was performed 1 day after coronary artery ligation to exclude rats without a significant MI (defined as animals with a LVEF >70%; Table 1B). Importantly, the number of excluded rats was not significantly different between treatment groups (Table 1B), nor were the parameters of LV dimensions and function measured at Day 1 of the echocardiography follow-up analysis (Table 2).

As expected, MI induced an increase in LV remodeling, characterized by an increase in LV chamber dimensions, (LVESD and LVEDD) leading to a decrease in LVFS and LVEF (Figure 2, PBS conditions). As compared to the PBS group, injections of hydrogel, MSC or MSC+hydrogel, significantly attenuated the MI-induced increase in LV end-systolic diameter (LVESD) over the time period analyzed (Figure 3A). These injections also preserved the LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) as compared to the PBS group, but did not improve the LVEDD (Figure 3B). The injection of hydrogel alone induced a transient but a significant increase in LVEF at Day 28, but not at Day 7 and Day 36 as compared to the PBS group. In contrast, MSC injection induced a significant increase in LVEF at Days 28 and 36 but not at Day 7, suggesting a delayed effect (Figure 3C). In addition, the LVEF did not significantly differ between the two groups throughout the study follow-up, as compared to Day 1. The injection of MSC+hydrogel during the acute phase of MI induced a significant increase in LVEF from Day 7 to Day 36 after injection, as compared to the PBS group, and a significant increase in LVEF as compared to Day 1 post MI. Interestingly, the LVEF in the MSC+hydrogel group was higher as compared to that in the MSC group at Day 7 and as compared to that in the hydrogel group at Day 56.

Comparative Effects of Hydrogel, MSC or MSC-hydrogel on Infarct Expansion and Ventricular Fibrosis

Morphometric analyses of heart sections were performed at Day 56 to analyze LV remodeling. For all animals, the infarct area was located in the anterior region of the left ventricle (Figure 4A). The MI size was significantly reduced in the hydrogel group, the MSC group and the MSC+hydrogel group as compared to the PBS group (Figure 4B). In addition, the size of the MI was also reduced in the MSC and MSC+hydrogel groups as compared to the hydrogel group. The percentage of ventricular fibrosis (Figure 3C) was also significantly reduced in the hydrogel group, the MSC group, and the MSC+hydrogel group as compared to the PBS group. Next, the effects of different treatments on the LV wall were assessed by measuring the relative scar thickness (Figure 5A–5B). The results showed a significant increase in the relative scar thickness in the hydrogel, MSC and MSC+hydrogel groups as compared to the PBS group. The infarct expansion index was calculated using both MI size and relative scar thickness parameters (Figure 5C). This index was significantly reduced with
Figure 1. MSC characterization and viability in 3D culture within the Si-HPMC hydrogel. (A and B) Flow cytometric analysis of MSC for CD29, CD54, CD90, CD34, CD45 and CD86 expression. 10,000 events were scored. Results are expressed as % of positive cells in the whole population on representative histogram plots. (C and D) MSC were cultured in 3D Si-HPMC hydrogel for the indicated times. (C) Labeling cells with calcein-AM (green color) and with EthD-1 (red color) revealed living and dead cells, respectively. Representative samples of MSC cultures visualized by confocal microscopy. (D) As described in the Materials section, the percentages of living and dead MSC cultured in 3D within hydrogel over 7 days ($p = \text{NS}$ as compared between time points, one-way ANOVA). All values represent mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 100 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.g001
the injections of hydrogel, MSC and MSC+hydrogel as compared to the injection of PBS. Interestingly, chondroid metaplasia of the endocardium (indicated by arrows in Figure 4A) was often observed in the PBS group (83% of rats) whereas this feature was visible in only 14% (p<0.05 vs. PBS) of rats in the

Figure 2. Evaluation of MSC engraftment 24 hours and 14 days after in vivo injection with Si-HPMC hydrogel into cardiac tissue.

MSC engraftment 24 hours and 14 days after in vivo MSC+Si-HPMC hydrogel injection into cardiac tissue is shown on representative transversal histology heart sections. (A, B) Cell nuclei were labeled with To-Pro-3 (red fluorescence). MSC were labeled prior to injection with a fluorescent dye, CFSE (green fluorescence) and visualized 24 h after the implantation. (C) CD90 staining allowed identification of implanted MSC in left ventricle 24 h after injection. (D) PKH26 labeled MSC (red fluorescence) in heart wall with DAPI for cell nuclei (blue fluorescence), 14 days after implantation. (A) Scale bar = 1.5 mm. (B, C and D) scale bar Scale bar = 0.5 mm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.g002

Table 1. Number of animals included in the study.

|                        | Animals number at baseline | Living animals at day 1 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| PBS                    | 11                         | 10                      |
| Hydrogel               | 14                         | 11                      |
| MSC                    | 15                         | 9                       |
| MSC+hydrogel           | 22                         | 13                      |
| Total                  | 62                         | 43                      |

|                        | Animals number with LVEF>70% at day 1 | Animals number with LVEF≤70% at day 1 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PBS                    | 4                                      | 6                                    |
| Hydrogel               | 4                                      | 7                                    |
| MSC                    | 1                                      | 8                                    |
| MSC+hydrogel           | 4                                      | 9                                    |
| Total                  | 13                                     | 30                                   |

(A) Number of animals surviving 1 day post-MI, p = 0.21 (Fisher exact test). (B) Number of animals in the follow-up study with an EF>70% 1 day after MI, p = 0.26 (Fisher exact test).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.t002
Discussion

There has been growing interest in the use of scaffolding biomaterials as a vehicle for delivery of reparative cells to improve the efficacy of targeted stem cell therapy for myocardial infarction. Among the biomaterials that have been considered for tissue engineering, the ideal hydrogel should be biocompatible with respect to MSC and cardiac tissue and as such, is unlikely to adversely affect the targeted tissue [32]. Engler et al. defined a range of rigidity for various tissues measured by the elastic modulus (E). For cardiac muscle tissue, the E value ranges between 8 and 17 kPa [31]. Of interest and as previously suggested by our group, the gelation time of Si-HPMC (about 30 min) is sufficient to enable cell-hydrogel mixing [29] as well as its injection into the myocardium [30]. The need for optimizing hydrogel elasticity has also been recognized as a physicochemical parameter that governs the regenerative potential of biomaterials, supporting adequate stem cell differentiation [31] as well as the mechanical function of the targeted tissue [32].

Optimizing Cell Transplantation for Cardiac Repair

Table 2. Echocardiography measurements at baseline (Bsl) and at 1 day (d1), 7 days (d7), 28 days (d28) and 56 days (d56) after MI.

| Parameters | PBS (n = 6) | hydrogel (n = 7) | MSCs (n = 8) | MSC+hydrogel (n = 9) |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| LVESD (mm) | Bsl 5.4±0.2  | 5.2±0.2         | 5.6±0.1     | 5.6±0.2             |
|            | d1 5.9±0.1  | 5.8±0.3         | 6.2±0.2     | 6.0±0.1             |
|            | d7 6.6±0.1  | 6.1±0.3         | 6.3±0.1     | 6.1±0.2             |
|            | d28 7.2±0.2*| 6.9±0.3*        | 7.0±0.3     | 6.6±0.3             |
|            | d56 7.4±0.3*| 7.3±0.5*        | 7.0±0.4     | 6.8±0.2             |
| LVDD (mm)  | Bsl 2.4±0.1  | 2.6±0.2         | 2.8±0.1     | 3.0±0.2             |
|            | d1 4.0±0.1  | 4.1±0.3         | 4.3±0.1     | 4.3±0.2             |
|            | d7 5.0±0.1* | 4.0±0.3*        | 4.3±0.2*    | 3.9±0.2*            |
|            | d28 5.7±0.3*| 5.0±0.3*        | 5.2±0.4*    | 4.3±0.3*            |
|            | d56 6.0±0.3*| 5.5±0.5*        | 4.9±0.3*    | 4.8±0.1*            |
| LVFS (%)   | Bsl 56.6±1.7 | 49.4±2.0        | 49.5±1.0    | 47.1±2.2            |
|            | d1 29.0±2.4  | 29.9±2.8        | 30.4±1.8    | 27.9±1.9            |
|            | d7 24.1±0.9  | 34.1±2.0*       | 31.2±2.5    | 36.9±1.7*           |
|            | d28 20.2±2.3*| 28.0±1.2*       | 26.7±3.3*   | 34.4±1.9*           |
|            | d56 19.6±1.5*| 25.6±2.9*       | 30.8±2.4*   | 29.4±1.5*           |
| LVEF (%)   | Bsl 87.4±1.5 | 86.0±1.2        | 86.8±1.9    | 88.2±1.5            |
|            | d1 61.3±4.0  | 64.6±2.6        | 64.5±1.8    | 61.2±2.9            |
|            | d7 55.7±2.4  | 68.0±2.3        | 63.5±3.2    | 76.0±1.6*           |
|            | d28 49.0±2.5*| 71.7±2.6*       | 72.4±1.5*   | 76.4±1.5*           |
|            | d56 47.4±2.4*| 56.9±4.6        | 65.4±3.3*   | 68.5±2.0*           |

All values represent mean ± SEM.

*P<0.05 vs. the PBS group.

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVFS, fractional shortening.

Note, our mechanical data showed that Si-HPMC viscous solution to rapidly transform into Si-HPMC solid gel, leading to the formation of a 3D network. Interestingly, this process does not require any addition of cross-linking agents that have been extensively described as potent cytotoxic factors. The gelation time is a physicochemical parameter that has to be finely tuned, to enable successful manipulation and injection of the Si-HPMC hydrogel/cells mixture in situ [28]. Of interest and as previously suggested by our group, the gelation time of Si-HPMC (about 30 min) is sufficient to enable cell-hydrogel mixing [29] as well as its injection into the myocardium [30]. The need for optimizing hydrogel elasticity has also been recognized as a physicochemical parameter that governs the regenerative potential of biomaterials, supporting adequate stem cell differentiation [31] as well as the mechanical function of the targeted tissue [32].

Among the various hydrogels used in cardiac tissue engineering, we focused our attention on a cellulose derivative hydrogel (Si-HPMC) that exhibits rheological properties compatible with its implantation by mini-invasive surgery [27]. The grafting of silanol groups along the HPMC chains confers this hydrogel with a self-setting property. The pH-dependent condensation between the silanol groups allows the Si-HPMC viscous solution to rapidly transform into Si-HPMC solid gel, leading to the formation of a 3D network. Interestingly, this process does not require any addition of cross-linking agents that have been extensively described as potent cytotoxic factors. The gelation time is a physicochemical parameter that has to be finely tuned, to enable successful manipulation and injection of the Si-HPMC hydrogel/cells mixture in situ [28]. Of interest and as previously suggested by our group, the gelation time of Si-HPMC (about 30 min) is sufficient to enable cell-hydrogel mixing [29] as well as its injection into the myocardium [30]. The need for optimizing hydrogel elasticity has also been recognized as a physicochemical parameter that governs the regenerative potential of biomaterials, supporting adequate stem cell differentiation [31] as well as the mechanical function of the targeted tissue [32].

Engler et al. defined a range of rigidity for various tissues measured by the elastic modulus (E). For cardiac muscle tissue, the E value ranges between 8 and 17 kPa [31]. Of note, our mechanical data showed that Si-HPMC hydrogel exhibits an E value of about 0.3 kPa, which is quite lower than that of cardiac tissue and as such, is unlikely to adversely affect the mechanical properties of the myocardium. In addition, the
formation of a 3D network could provide cells with a protective environment particularly during the injection and implantation phase in cardiac tissue. Aguado et al. showed that cell protection is mainly due to the mechanical and scaffolding properties of hydrogels [33]. Indeed, crosslinked hydrogels improved cell viability during the injection in the host tissue compared to non-crosslinked hydrogels. They have also shown that extensional flow at the entrance of the syringe needle is the main cause of acute cell death. These results provide mechanistic insight into the role of mechanical forces during cell delivery and support the use of protective hydrogels in future clinical stem cell injection studies.

Other key parameters in the preclinical development of innovative biomaterial-based regenerative strategies include the biological properties of biomaterials, such as cytocompatibility. Along these

---

**Figure 3. Evaluation of cardiac function by echocardiography in rats after myocardial infarction (MI).** Measurements were performed at baseline before MI and 1, 7, 28 and 56 days after MI as indicated. (A) Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD). (B) Left ventricular end-systolic diameter (LVESD). (C) Left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS). (D) Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). $p<0.05$ compared to day 1 post-MI in the same group, one-way repeated measures ANOVA. *$p<0.001$ vs. the PBS group at the same time-point, $p<0.05$ vs. the hydrogel group at the same time-point and $p<0.05$ vs. the MSC group at the same time-point, one-way ANOVA. All values represent mean ± SEM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.g003
lines, whereas Si-HPMC has been largely described as being cytocompatible with both osteogenic [34] and chondrogenic cells [29], the data reported in the current study additionally indicate that Si-HPMC supports the 3D viability of bone marrow-derived MSC.

In light of these data, we logically embarked on in vivo experiments to determine the beneficial effects of injecting either hydrogel alone, MSC alone or MSC-seeded hydrogel, on the cardiac parameters of infarcted rat hearts. LV dysfunction and remodeling after MI are major determinants of transition to heart failure and cardiac mortality [4,35]. We thus evaluated LV dysfunction by determining the LV ejection fraction (LVEF). The LV diameters, infarct size, LV fibrosis and scar thickness were then assessed to determine LV remodeling. Over the past decade, several studies have documented the cardioprotective effects of injecting hydrogels alone. For instance, the intramyocardial injection of alginate hydrogel [36] or fibrin glue [37] has been proposed as an effective acellular strategy to prevent adverse cardiac remodeling and dysfunction after MI in rats. Interestingly, in our study, the injection of Si-HPMC hydrogel alone affected the LV function mainly during the first 4 weeks after MI, with a progressive decrease thereafter. Taken together, these data suggest that the injection of hydrogel primarily preserves short-term cardiac function but is probably insufficient to prevent long-term heart failure. Similar results were observed in other studies using non-degradable hydrogels. Dobner et al., injected a non-degradable PEG into the infarct immediately after MI and showed that there was temporary retardation of LV remodeling at early time points, but not at later time points [38]. Rane et al. demonstrated recently that passive structural reinforcement alone was insufficient to prevent post-MI remodeling, suggesting that bioactivity and/or cell infiltration due to degradation of injectable materials are likely playing a key role in the preservation of cardiac function [39]. The mechanism underlying the transient effect of hydrogel

Figure 4. Evaluation of myocardial infarction size and left ventricular fibrosis. (A) Representative transversal histology sections of heart and Masson trichrome staining for infarct size measurement at day 56 after MI. Collagen-rich areas (scar tissue) are colored in blue and healthy myocardium in red. Scale bar = 1.5 mm. (B) Percentage of circumferential infarct size (MI size) divided by total LV tissue, and (C) percentage of fibrosis in total LV tissue. For (B) and (C): *p<0.05 and **p<0.001 vs. the PBS group, ^p<0.05 and ^^p<0.001 vs. the hydrogel group, one-way ANOVA. LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle. All values represent mean ± SEM.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.g004
injected *in situ* may be related to the capability of hydrogels to increase scar thickness and stabilize the early infarct, by providing scaffolding and critical physical support to the LV wall [16]. The loss of long term efficacy could possibly be due to the absence of uniformity in polymer spread allowing for regional and global function abnormalities, as well as infarct expansion in areas where the polymer was not present. Further studies are needed to fully understand the effects of polymer spread and stiffness on infarct expansion and deterioration of cardiac function [40].

Our results showed that intramyocardial injection of MSC gives an optimal therapeutic benefit starting 4 weeks after MI. In past, numerous preclinical studies reported that intramyocardial transplantation of MSC after acute myocardial infarction improved cardiac function and decreased infarct size several weeks after MSC injection [41,42,43]. The recent review of Elnakish et al. reported the effects of MSC therapy on mice, rats and large animal models of MI. In rat models of acute MI, the improving LVEF was mainly observed starting from 4 weeks and up to 8 weeks [44]. The delayed effect of MSC after implantation may be due to the hypoxia, inflammation and loss of ECM support in infarcted cardiac tissue that probably lead to a poor MSC engraftment [45]. Given the short-term effect of Si-HPMC hydrogel and the delayed effect of MSC, we associated our hydrogel with MSC in order to determine whether this association may be beneficial, not only in the short-term but also in the midterm, to prevent the detrimental consequences of MI. As expected, our results showed that the co-injection of Si-HPMC hydrogel and MSC has a marked short and mid-term effect on LV function and remodeling. Of particular interest, the co-injection of Si-HPMC and MSC had a more prominent effect during the first 7 day-acute phase period as compared to the injection of hydrogel alone. Considering that stem cells, such as MSC, normally reside in “niches”, complex 3D environments regulated by physical interactions and soluble factors [46], one can assume that hydrogel may be able to simulate this microenvironment, thereby providing cells with a protective “niche”. In support of this hypothesis, several reports have indicated that hydrogels made of fibrin or polyethylene glycol may be able to increase the survival and retention of intramyocardial transplanted cells and further improve the impaired cardiac function compared to MSC alone [47,48]. Whether our cellulose derivative Si-HPMC hydrogel can

---

**Figure 5. Evaluation of scar thickness and infarct expansion.** (A) Representative photomicrographs of Masson trichrome staining of the scar area (collagen-rich areas in blue and healthy myocardium in red). The double arrow depicts the LV wall (epi, epicardium; endo, endocardium). The arrows show chondroid metaplasia of the endocardium. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. (B) Relative scar thickness (scar thickness/wall thickness). (C) Infarct expansion index ([LV cavity area/whole LV area]/relative scar thickness). For (B) and (C): *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.001, one-way ANOVA. All values represent mean ± SEM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051991.g005
provide cells with such a favorable environment with appropriate mechanical and biological stimuli requires further investigation.

In addition to the echocardiography parameters used to assess LV function and remodeling after MI, such as LVEF and LVFS, histological analyses may also provide further insight into the tissue changes that occur. It is recognized for a long time that the presence of focal endocardial metaplasia, consisting of chondroid tissue in areas of transmural scarring, reflects the severity of MI [49,50]. Accordingly, the intramyocardial administration of Si-HPMC hydrogel seeded with MSC was found to limit the extent of transmural MI, preserve endocardial myocytes and reduce metaplasia. These data strengthen our hypothesis that the intramyocardial injection of hydrogel and MSC may be a relevant strategy to prevent the short-term and mid-term deleterious effects of MI on ventricular remodeling.

Despite promising results, this study exhibits limitations. First, the experiments were designed to evaluate whether a hydrogel-assisted intramyocardial injection of bone marrow-derived MSC could attenuate post-MI cardiac disorders. However, this study did not allow deciphering the mechanisms explaining the beneficial effects of this treatment on cardiac function particularly at short-term after myocardial infarction. Secondly, histological experiments showed persistence of labeled MSC injected with hydrogel until 14 days, with cell morphology similar to MSC injected alone. Unfortunately we were not able to quantitatively compare MSC survival in hearts transplanted with MSC with or without hydrogel. Nevertheless, cell survival may not be the solely mechanism underlying the effect of the hydrogel injected in situ, as this one may rely on capability of hydrogels to increase scar thickness and stabilize the early infarct, by providing scaffolding and critical physical support to the left ventricular wall. While a previous study performed in bone tissue suggest that the Si-HPMC hydrogel underwent phagocytosis from the edge to the center of the implantation site at 8 weeks after implantation in host tissue [51], the degradation kinetics of Si-HPMC hydrogel in cardiac tissue remains to be determined. Finally, to limit the animal number in accordance with the ethical committee, we did not include a sham animal group. Nevertheless, numerous previous animal studies showed that left thoracotomy alone does not alter cardiac function and morphology on the long term [52].

In summary, we have demonstrated that intramyocardial injection of a self-setting cellulose derivative hydrogel seeded with MSC induced short-term recovery of ventricular function and mid-term prevention of remodeling in a rat model of coronary artery ligation-induced MI. In the future, it should be defined whether these beneficial effects may be related to the specific scaffolding properties of the Si-HPMC hydrogel that may provide it with the ability to support MSC injection and engraftment within the cardiac tissue. Together with a catheter-based cell delivery system [33], the use of an injectable scaffolding hydrogel offers the possibility to prevent the damaging consequences of MI.

Materials and Methods

Isolation, Culture and Characterization of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Bone marrow (BM) was obtained from female Lewis rats weighing 180–200 g (Janvier, France). BM from the femur cavity was flushed with α-MEM medium (Invitrogen corporation, Paisley, U.K.) containing 10% FCS (Hyclone Perbio, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Fisher Scientific), 1% L-Glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) and 2 ng/mL of human basic FGF2 (AbCys, Paris, France). The cell suspension was centrifuged (1,200 rpm, 7 min) and cells were plated in culture flasks (200,000 cells/cm²). Non-adherent cells were removed after 72 h. MSC were recovered by their capacity to strongly adhere to plastic culture dishes without cell sorting. MSC were routinely cultured and characterized by flow cytometry at passage 3 using anti-rat CD90, CD29 FITC-conjugated antibodies and anti-rat CD45, CD34, CD54, CD56 PE-conjugated. Immediately before in vivo injection, the adherent MSC were detached with trypsin-EDTA, centrifuged for 1 min at 1,200 g, and resuspended in PBS-BSA (0.1%). Aliquots containing 2.10⁵ MSC were incubated with primary antibodies for 30 min at 4°C. The suspended MSC were washed and then analyzed with a LSRII fluorescence-activated cell sorter (Becton Dickinson). For each sample, 10,000 events were acquired and analyzed with CellQuest™Pro software. Results were expressed as the percentage of positive cells by comparison with the isotype-matched negative control antibodies on histogram plots.

Silanized Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose-based Hydrogel Preparation

Synthesis of Si-HPMC hydrogel. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC E4M®) was purchased from Colorcon-Down chemical (Bougival, France). Si-HPMC was synthesized by mixing silicium 0.5% (w/v) with HPMC to form a heterogeneous medium, as previously described [21]. Si-HPMC was solubilized in 0.2 M NaOH (3%) under constant stirring for 48 h at room temperature. The solution was dialyzed against 0.09 M NaOH using 6–8 kDa dialysis tubes (SpectraPor 1, Thermo Fisher Scientific, France). The resulting viscous solution (pH 12.6) was sterilized by autoclaving and then mixed using luer-lock syringes with sterile 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid buffer (HEPES, pH 3.6; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) at a volume ratio of 1:1 as previously described [21]. The final product was a hydrogel (pH 7.4) containing 1.5% Si-HPMC.

Rheological and mechanical measurements. Cross-linking of 1 ml Si-HPMC hydrogel was induced in 12-well plates. Dynamic rheological measurements were performed with a rotational rheometer (Rheostress 300, ThermoHaake®, Germany) using a coni-cylindrical geometry with a diameter of 60 mm and a cone angle of 1°. A multi-wave procedure was performed with 3 frequencies of 1, 3.2 and 10 Hz, with an imposed stress of 1 Pa. Oscillation tests measuring storage modulus (G′) and loss modulus (G″) were performed to study the self-setting process and gel point. Gel points, given as the time taken for the liquid (G″) to turn into a solid (G′>G″), were evaluated according to the derived percolation theory [28]. Shear strain measurements were performed on 9 samples with a Haake mars. Frequencies were applied at a fixed total shear stress (1Pa) and 0.21N. Oscillation tests were performed to measure G′ and G″ after 3 weeks of gelation. The Si-HPMC hydrogel compressive modulus was measured using a TA HD-Plus (Stable Micro Systems). Six samples were tested after three weeks of cross-linking and the compressive modulus was calculated on the basis of strain change from 0 to 5%.

Cell viability in three dimensional culture. Three-dimensional MSC viability was quantitatively assessed by live & dead assays (Invitrogen, France) followed by confocal imaging. MSC viability was assessed by measurement of fluorescence intensity using ImageJ™ software. Briefly, MSC were trypsinized and immediately mixed with Si-HPMC hydrogel at a final concentration of 10⁶ cells/mL. They were then molded into ultra-low attachment 24-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 1 h to allow for the hydrogel to crosslink. Culture medium was then added and the MSC were cultured within the hydrogel for 24 h, 48 h and 7 days. Live & Dead assays were performed according to the manufacturer instructions (Invitrogen). Briefly, culture medium was replaced by fresh culture medium supplemented with 5 mM
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akinesis was identified as the infarct. Immediately after coronary artery was ligated with a 6.0 polypropylene snare. The area displaying tissue blanching and wall motion surface of the heart. The proximal left anterior descending (LAD) fourth intercostal space was performed to expose the anterior Ventilator, Harvard Apparatus). A left lateral thoracotomy in the Janvier) were anesthetized with a mix of isoflurane/oxygen and intramyocardial injections were performed as previously described [54]. In brief, Female Lewis congenic rats (180–190 g, and 1, 7, 28, and 56 days after MI, in anesthetized rats (2% isoflurane inhalation) using a General Electric Vivid 7VR (GE Medical System; Milwaukee, WI, USA) equipped with a 13-MHz transducer. Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes (LVEDV and LVESV) were calculated from bidimensional long-axis parasternal views taken through the infarcted area by means of the single-plane area-length method [56]. The LV ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated as follows: LVEF = (LVEDV-LVESV)/LVEDV×100, Histopathology. Rat hearts were recovered, washed in PBS and fixed in 10% formalin. Hearts were then embedded in paraffin and 6 μm sections were cut from the apex to the level just below the ligation site. Three evenly spaced sections were stained with Masson trichrome and observed with a Nikon TE2000-E inverted microscope.

The circumferential extent of the scar to total LV tissue [57], relative scar thickness, and infarct expansion index [58] were quantified as previously described using ImageJ software (NIH). The average epicardial and endocardial infarct ratios were calculated for each section based on the measurement of epicardial and endocardial infarct lengths and epicardial and endocardial LV circumferences. For each heart, the infarct size was calculated as the mean value for the 3 analyzed sections.

Scar and septum thickness were measured at 3 different random sites and relative scar thickness was calculated as the mean scar thickness/septum thickness. The infarct expansion index was calculated as the LV cavity area/whole LV area/relative scar thickness). The percentage area of fibrosis in the remote left ventricle was quantified using in-house image analysis software based on the equation: %fibrosis = fibrotic area/fibrotic area+non-fibrotic area) [57].

Statistical Analysis. All values are shown as mean±SEM. Comparison of means was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by a post-hoc test when appropriate (Fisher’s projected least significant difference). Frequency comparisons were performed using the Fisher exact test. Echocardiography parameters measured during the 8-week follow-up period were compared between groups using one-way ANOVA and within groups using one-way repeated measures ANOVA. Comparisons were followed by post hoc tests when appropriate. p<0.05 was selected as the threshold for statistical significance. All tests were carried out using SigmaStat 3.5 software for Windows.
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