DNA of a circular minichromosome linearized by restriction enzymes or other reagents is resistant to further cleavage: an influence of chromatin topology on the accessibility of DNA
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ABSTRACT
The accessibility of DNA in chromatin is an essential factor in regulating its activities. We studied the accessibility of the DNA in a ∼170 kb circular minichromosome to DNA-cleaving reagents using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and fibre-fluorescence in situ hybridization on combed DNA molecules. Only one of several potential sites in the minichromosome DNA was accessible to restriction enzymes in permeabilized cells, and in growing cells only a single site at an essentially random position was cut by poisoned topoisomerase II, neocarzinostatin and γ-radiation, which have multiple potential cleavage sites; further sites were then inaccessible in the linearized minichromosomes. Sequential exposure to combinations of these reagents also resulted in cleavage at only a single site. Minichromosome DNA containing single-strand breaks created by a nicking endonuclease to relax any unconstrained superhelicity was also cut at only a single position by a restriction enzyme. Further sites became accessible after ≥95% of histones H2A, H2B and H1, and most non-histone proteins were extracted. These observations suggest that a global rearrangement of the three-dimensional packing and interactions of nucleosomes occurs when a circular minichromosome is linearized and results in its DNA becoming inaccessible to probes.

INTRODUCTION
Understanding how the accessibility of DNA in chromatin is regulated is central to models of DNA transcription and replication and their control (1), and factors that influence accessibility in vivo include nucleosomal structure (2–7), compaction of chromatin (8) and unrestrained superhelicity in DNA (9–11). As a model system to explore these and other features of chromatin we are studying a minichromosome that contains a ∼172 kb DNA with a canonical nucleosomal structure, the Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) episome, which is formed by circularization of the DNA of EBV through its cohesive termini and maintained at ∼50 copies per nucleus in Raji (human lymphoblastoid) cells (12–15). The only protein other than nucleosomes which is known to be associated with DNA in this minichromosome is EBNA-1, which is bound to a ∼1.7 kb region at oriP (14) (Figure 1A). The length of the minichromosome is in the range of those of the topologically closed loops in genomic chromatin (9–11,16,17).

The objective of this study was to understand our unexpected observation that the minichromosome DNA was converted quantitatively to full-length linear when permeabilized cells were incubated with a restriction enzyme with multiple cutting sites, showing that in the circular minichromosome the accessibility of DNA to the enzyme was limited to only a single site (the term site is used here for simplicity and does not imply a precise nucleotide position). Here, we report these experiments and show that other agents which create double-strand DNA breaks also cleave the minichromosome DNA at only one site. These findings suggest the existence of a previously unidentified influence of the topology of chromatin on the accessibility of DNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cells and exposure to DNA-cleaving reagents
Raji cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium with 2 mM L-glutamine
Figure 1. (A) The circular DNA of the EBV minichromosome. TR is the terminal repeated sequence through which linear EBV DNA is circularized to form the minichromosome, oriP is the preferred but not unique origin of replication, MAR is the nuclear matrix attachment region which coincides with a micrococcal nuclease-hypersensitive region. Approximate positions of regions transcribed in Raji cells are shown by arrows; black, highest level; white, intermediate; dashed, lowest (22). (B) Forms of minichromosome DNA considered in this work and (C) their migration in a PFGE gel of total cell DNA shown by hybridizing with an EBV DNA probe. In all gel images, the top coincides with the sample wells and each panel shows lanes from the same gel. Cells encapsulated in agarose beads and deproteinized were incubated with: C, no addition (2 h); PacI (100 U/ml, 2 h) which cuts minichromosome DNA at a single site; NbB, nicking endonuclease Nb.BbvCl (100 U/ml, 1 h). Lane virus DNA, deproteinized were incubated with: C, no addition (2 h); PacI (100 U/ml, 2 h) which cuts minichromosome DNA (see text).

PFGE, probes and hybridization

Agarose beads or blocks were deproteinized for PFGE in 1 ml of 0.2 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and 1 mg/ml proteinase K (Invitrogen) for 48 h at ~18°C with rocking and stored in 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (TE) at 4°C. PFGE was in 1% agarose in 0.5× 89 mM Tris base, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid at 14°C and 190 v for 20 h with pulse time increasing linearly from 50 to 90 s, as described in the Figure legends. For hybridization, gels placed on 3 MM paper and covered with Saran Wrap were vacuum-dried at 60°C for 1 h and incubated successively in 0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl (30 min), H2O, 0.5 M Tris, pH 8.0, 1.5 M NaCl (30 min) and 6× SSC (150 mM NaCl, 15 mM Na3citrate) (20 min), all at room temperature. Minichromosome DNA was detected by hybridizing gels with EBV DNA (GenBank AJ507799.2) isolated by PFGE from virus from B95-8 cells (20). Restriction fragments of this DNA cut with SpeI or SwaI (100 U/ml) were separated by PFGE in 1% LMP agarose at 190 V/cm for 7 or 20 h and switch time ramped linearly from 0.4 to 6 or 0.3 to 3 s, respectively, excised from gels and purified on Ultrafree columns (Millipore). Gel lanes containing length markers were hybridized with an appropriate probe. Probes were labelled with [α-32P]dCTP (111 TBq/mmol) using Megaprime kits (Amersham). Gels were pre-hybridized (30 min) and hybridized (18 h) in 6× SSC, 5× Denhardt’s solution, 0.5% SDS, 0.5 μg/ml human Cot-1 DNA (Invitrogen) at 68°C, washed 3× 30 min in 0.1× SSC, 0.5% SDS at 68°C, sealed in Saran Wrap and exposed to PhosphorImager screens. Signals imaged using ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics) are shown (10−5 × measured arbitrary units) after subtracting the mean background in identical areas below and above the region of interest and are from at least triplicate independent experiments; P-values were calculated by the unpaired t-test.
3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane-coated microscope slide (Sigma-Aldrich) and covered with a standard cover glass, which was pulled horizontally across the slide at a constant speed of ∼300 μm/s after 2 min. Slides with well-spread DNA molecules as seen by fluorescence microscopy (Nikon E800, 100 x objective) were dried (5 min, room temperature and overnight, 60°C), incubated in 0.6 x SSC, 70% formamide (3 min, 95°C) and in cold 70%, 85% and 95% ethanol (2 min each). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) probes were an 8.1-kb BamHI–SalI fragment of cosm id cM301-99 and a 29-kb HindIII fragment of cosm id cMB-14 (21) (gifts from G. Bornkamm) excited from gels, purified on a Microcon YM-100 (Qiagen) and labelled with biotin-11-dUTP (Fermentas) by nick translation. Hybridization was at 37°C in a humidified chamber for up to 48 h. Probes were detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate-goat anti-biotin (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:50, 20 min) followed by Alexa 488-rabbit anti-goat antibody (Invitrogen; 1:50, 20 min) and DNA by subsequent incubation with rat anti-BrdU (Abcam) (1:30, 20 min) followed by Alexa 594-goat anti-rat antibody (Invitrogen; 1:50, 20 min). Antibody dilutions and washing were in PBS and 0.05% Tween-20, and slides were mounted in SlowFade Gold (Invitrogen). Minichromosome DNA molecules which showed hybridization signals from both probes were imaged by confocal microscopy (Bio-Rad MRC1024). Lengths of molecules were calculated using a factor of 2.2 kb DNA/μm after minor adjustment of images to normalize the distance between the two probes (22,23).

Extraction of chromatin proteins

Cells in agarose beads were permeabilized as described above; washed 2× in 10 mM Tris–HCl, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.6 and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich; 1/200) and incubated in this buffer supplemented with NaCl at 0.14, 0.35, 0.6, 1.2 or 2 M for 1 h on ice with gentle agitation; the buffer was replaced and incubation continued for 18 h at 4°C. Beads were washed in cold PBS, boiled for 5 min in 2× SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) buffer, and proteins were separated on denaturing 12% gels with size markers (Bio-Rad) and calf thymus histone markers (gift of W. T. Garrard) and stained with Coomassie blue. Histones were quantitated by blotting replicate gels onto nitrocellulose, detection with rabbit primary antibodies against histone H1 (GeneTex, 1:1500), H2A (Upstate Biotechnology, 1:3000), H2B (Active Motif, 1:3000), H3 (Abcam, 1:3000) or H4 (Abcam, 1:3000) followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, and signals were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Perkin-Elmer) and quantitated on a Phosphorimager.

RESULTS

Minichromosome DNA and forms produced by single- and double-strand breaks

The EBV minichromosome (Figure 1A) is formed by circularization of the linear DNA of EBV through its terminal repeated sequences (TR); it contains a major but not unique origin of replication (oriP) (23), a region more sensitive to micrococcal nuclease ascribed to a non-canonical arrangement (24) or absence (13) of nucleosomes and which contains a nuclear matrix attachment region (25,26); only 10 of ∼100 genes are transcribed in the Raji cells used here (23,27). Genome-wide mapping of histone modifications has not been reported, but the markers H3K9me2, H3K9me3, H3K27me3 and H4K20me3 are present throughout the minichromosome DNA (28). Commonly present in the nuclei of human B lymphocytes (14), this minichromosome has proved a particularly valuable experimental system to study origins of DNA replication (22,23).

The minichromosome DNA was detected by hybridizing PFGE gels of total cell DNA with an EBV DNA probe (Figure 1C). For PFGE, DNA was deproteinized at room temperature (∼18°C) because extra strand breaks can be formed at temperatures >20°C (29); >99% of the 10% trichloroacetic acid-precipitable radioactivity was extracted from cells containing 35S-labelled proteins (unpublished results). Dried gels were used for hybridization because transfer of large DNA fragments by blotting is not quantitative (30,31). In the gel shown in Figure 1C, some hybridizing material remained in the sample wells, but in most experiments this was less evident or not seen and is believed to reflect trapping of minichromosome DNA by the abundant high-molecular-weight genomic DNA in this region due to slight overloading of gels (32). The linear form of minichromosome DNA, produced by cutting at the single PacI site, migrated with the same mobility as EBV DNA (Figure 1C, lanes PacI and viral DNA). Circular minichromosome DNA containing single-strand breaks migrated close to the sample well (Figure 1C, lane NbB) like other large nicked circular DNAs (33,34), and molecules with the appropriate length (181 ± 11 kb, SEM from 30 molecules) and conformation were seen when DNA from this region was spread by molecular combing after permeabilized cells were incubated with the nicking endonuclease Nb.BbvCI (Figure 1D); these molecules were not seen in gels of DNA from control cells and supercoiled DNA does not bind to slides in these conditions (unpublished results; see also 35), and they did not have the theta conformation characteristic of replicating minichromosome DNA (15).

Minichromosome DNA is resistant to further breakage after one double-strand cut by a restriction enzyme

To cut minichromosome DNA by restriction enzymes, cells were encapsulated in agarose beads and permeabilized (18); in these conditions the synthesis of RNA (18) and DNA (Kumala et al., manuscript submitted) are maintained at essentially the same rates as in growing cultures and the minichromosome DNA conserves its native supercoiled conformation (Figure 2B and C, lanes no enzyme). Incubation with SpeI or SwaI, which have, respectively, seven or two cutting sites and are not affected by methylated sequences which occur in some regions of minichromosome DNA (36), produced only full-length linear minichromosome DNA (Figure 2B and C),
whereas in deproteinized cells SwaI cut minichromosome DNA at both its sites to produce the expected fragments (Figure 2C, lane DNA).

To distinguish if all minichromosome DNA molecules were cut at one particular site or at any one of their potential sites, we mapped the sites cut by SwaI whose fragments were fewer and, therefore, more easily identified. Minichromosome DNA linearized by SwaI was extracted from a gel and digested with PacI, which has a single cutting site; if SwaI had cut all minichromosomes at the same site, PacI would produce a pair of fragments of either 71.6 and 100.1 kb or 28.8 and 142.9 kb (Figure 2A). Instead, four fragments of ∼140, 100, 72 and 29 kb were produced (Figure 2D) representing a mixture of the pairs produced after SwaI had cut at only one of its two sites in different minichromosomes. (E) The SwaI cleavage site mapped by fibre-FISH. The positions of the SwaI sites and hybridization probes (green) are shown above; the biotin-labelled probes were detected with anti-biotin antibodies (green) and BrdU-labelled DNA with anti-BrdU antibodies (red). Images show representative linear molecules from the two classes observed, which had been cut by SwaI (shown by the extremities of the molecule) at either the left (upper panel) or the right (lower panel) site on the map. The probe positions were aligned approximately considering the variable stretching during combing (22,23). Below, linear molecules cleaved at the single PacI site for comparison.

Figure 2. Minichromosome DNA is cut at only one site by SpeI or SwaI, which have seven and two cutting sites, respectively. (A) Circular minichromosome DNA showing SpeI, SwaI and PacI sites; SpeI fragment lengths are not shown for clarity. (B) Minichromosome DNA from permeabilized cells incubated with SpeI or (C) with SwaI, and deproteinized. Lane DNA, SwaI fragments produced in deproteinized cells. (D) The SwaI cleavage site mapped by gel hybridization. DNA linearized by SwaI (200 U/ml, 2 h) was isolated from a PFGE gel, cut by PacI (100 U/ml, 18 h), and the products were separated by PFGE. Lanes M, oligomers with HindIII fragments of λ DNA. The four fragments produced (∼140, 100, 72 and 29 kb) represent a mixture of the pairs produced after SwaI had cut at only one of its two sites in different minichromosomes. (E) The SwaI cleavage site mapped by fibre-FISH. The positions of the SwaI sites and hybridization probes (green) are shown above; the biotin-labelled probes were detected with anti-biotin antibodies (green) and BrdU-labelled DNA with anti-BrdU antibodies (red). Images show representative linear molecules from the two classes observed, which had been cut by SwaI (shown by the extremities of the molecule) at either the left (upper panel) or the right (lower panel) site on the map. The probe positions were aligned approximately considering the variable stretching during combing (22,23). Below, linear molecules cleaved at the single PacI site for comparison.

determined experimentally for combed linear DNA of this minichromosome (22). The molecules detected by the probes were 167 ± 10 kb in length (SEM from 100 molecules in five independent experiments), corresponding closely to full-length minichromosome DNA (∼172 kb). They fell into two classes; 28 of a total of 50 molecules imaged had been cut by SwaI (represented by their extremity) at the left site on the map in Figure 2E and 22 at the right site. As a control, PacI-linearized minichromosome DNA showed cleavage at the expected single position (Figure 2E, lower panel).

Other DNA cleavage agents create only one double-strand break in minichromosome DNA

To explore if the inaccessibility of minichromosome DNA to restriction enzymes at all but one site was an example of a more general phenomenon, growing cells were incubated with the DNA-cleaving agent etoposide (37–40) or NCS (41–43), or were exposed to γ-radiation (44). Etoposide, termed a topoisomerase II poison, arrests the re-ligation step of topoisomerase II covalently integrated into DNA, resulting in double-strand breaks after deproteinization (37–40). Linear minichromosome DNA was formed
Minichromosome DNA was also linearized in an essentially quantitative manner in cells exposed to $\gamma$-radiation (Figure 4D), which causes DNA breaks mainly due to OH radicals and other reactive species produced from $H_2O_2$ (44,45). The amount of linear DNA formed was not significantly different from that after cleavage at the single PacI site ($P = 0.45$ from three independent experiments; Figure 4F), and no shorter fragments were detected using PFGE conditions which separated DNA of length down to $\sim 5$ kb (Figure 4E). The break was mapped by restricting the linearized DNA, separating the fragments by PFGE and hybridizing with the same restriction fragments of EBV DNA; if all minichromosomes were broken at a specific site the fragment containing this site would be truncated, allowing the site’s position to be deduced (39). Overlapping probe sets of SwaI and SpeI fragments (Figure 5A) were used to ensure detection of truncation sites close to fragment ends. Some probes detected weaker bands in addition to those predicted from the minichromosome DNA sequence (46) (Figure 5B), which may reflect polymorphisms (47) and inhibition of SpeI cleavage due to rare $N^6$-methyladenines (48). For all the probes, the pattern of hybridizing DNA fragments was identical in irradiated and control cells and no truncated fragments were detected (Figure 5B), showing that the single cleavage of minichromosome DNA in irradiated cells was at an essentially random position. This was confirmed by fibre-FISH; the extremities of the linearized DNA were in variable positions with respect to the probes (Figure 5C), and the breakage site was localized within any of four quadrants of the circular DNA (Figure 5D).

Linearization of minichromosome DNA confers resistance to further DNA cleavage by other reagents

Three possible mechanisms were considered to understand why only one site, which was not the same in all minichromosomes, was accessible to the reagents tested earlier. The conformation of the minichromosome could be altered following rapid phosphorylation of histone H2AX (49) or other histone modifications triggered by the first strand break, but this appeared to be excluded since adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and other factors had been extracted by permeabilizing the cells. Alternatively, all potential sites, except one, could be masked by nucleosomes or other proteins; this is perhaps plausible for restriction enzymes whose sites can be masked when they are on the nucleosome surface (3–7) but is improbable for NCS and $\gamma$-radiation which have many potential cleavage sites, predominantly in internucleosomal linker DNA (41–43,50–52). In this model, minichromosome DNA linearized by one agent would be still accessible at other positions to reagents with different cleavage sites. Finally, upon linearization of the minichromosome a global rearrangement of its chromatin structure could occur, which made DNA inaccessible to all the reagents; in this case, after linearization by one reagent, the DNA would not be cleaved further by any of them.
To distinguish the two latter scenarios, cells were exposed sequentially to two different conditions which created a double-strand break. For example, they were incubated first with SwaI, which cut minichromosome DNA at only one of its two sites, and then irradiated, which produced a single break at an essentially random site. The circular minichromosome DNA was cut at only one site to produce linear DNA (Figure 6B, left panel, lane SwaI-Irrad, cells). Restriction of this linear DNA by PacI produced only the fragments characteristic of cutting by SwaI at either of its two sites, showing that no further breaks were made by radiation after the DNA had been cut by SwaI (Figure 6B, right panel, lane PacI after SwaI-Irrad). Similarly, only linear DNA was produced when this sequence was reversed and cells were first irradiated and then incubated with SwaI, showing that the DNA was not cut further by SwaI after it had been linearized by radiation (Figure 6B, left panel, lane Irrad-SwaI, cells). In this case, PacI restriction of the linearized DNA produced a smear of shorter fragments (Figure 6B, right panel, lane PacI after Irrad-SwaI), confirming that the single break was located randomly and therefore must have been produced by the initial irradiation. Similarly, cells were first incubated with etoposide and then irradiated, both of which alone create a single break at a random site (Figures 3, 5) or this sequence was inversed. Only linear minichromosome DNA was produced in both cases, showing that the circular DNA had been cleaved at only a single site (Figure 6D). Cleavage with PacI followed by SwaI or the inverse sequence produced only linear DNA (Figure 6C, lanes cells), whereas three fragments of 71.6, 71.3 and 28.8 kb would have been produced if they could access all their sites (Figure 6A).

Single-strand breaks in minichromosome DNA do not affect the single-site mode of cutting by a restriction enzyme

In the preceding experiments, only the conversion of circular to linear DNA was assayed, but the event that caused minichromosome DNA to become inaccessible could be a single-strand break since NCS and \( \gamma \)-radiation (41–43,50–52), and also some restriction enzymes (53) and possibly topoisomerase II poisons (54), can create single- as well as double-strand breaks. To create only single-strand breaks in minichromosome DNA, permeabilized cells were incubated with the nicking endonuclease Nb.BsmI or Nb.BbvCl. About 80% of the circular DNA was converted to the nicked form by Nb.BsmI in optimum conditions (Figure 7); some linear DNA was also produced, probably due to single-strand cuts at close sites on opposite strands. The nicked circular minichromosomes were cut by SwaI in exactly the same manner as unnicked minichromosomes, producing only full-length linear DNA (Figure 7). Cutting by SwaI of
minichromosome DNA nicked by Nb.BbvCI also produced only full-length linear DNA (unpublished results). We conclude that even if the reagents tested earlier created single-strand breaks in minichromosome DNA, these breaks would not be sufficient to allow SwaI to cut at all of its potential sites.

Proteins associated with inaccessibility of DNA in linearized minichromosomes

Quite different patterns of DNA cleavage were seen when minichromosomes had been deproteinized. SwaI followed by irradiation or the reverse sequence produced a smear of fragments of length down to ~6 kb (Figure 6B, left panel, lanes DNA), and SwaI was able to cut at both of its potential sites as shown by subsequent restriction by PacI (Figure 6C, lanes DNA) (the ~72 kb band contains two fragments of similar length, Figure 2A).

To identify the class of proteins which modulated the accessibility of minichromosome DNA, permeabilized cells were extracted with NaCl at different concentrations (Figure 8A). After the majority of non-histone proteins and 87% of histone H1, but no core histones, had been extracted at NaCl concentrations ~0.6 M, SwaI or irradiation still cut only a single site in minichromosome DNA to produce full-length linear DNA (Figure 8C). In contrast, after ~90% of H2A and H2B but ~5% of histones H3 and H4 had been extracted by 1.2 M NaCl, both SwaI sites could be cut (Figure 8C) and irradiation created multiple breaks producing fragments of length down to ~10 kb (Figure 8D, E). Thus, the access of cleaving agents to linearized minichromosome DNA was

Figure 5. Sites of breakage of minichromosome DNA in γ-irradiated cells. (A) SpeI and SwaI EBV DNA fragments used as probes for PFGE gels. (B) Hybridization of these probes to gels of DNA from control cells (C) or cells irradiated with 100 Gy (Irrad) restricted by the same enzyme; the PFGE conditions differed according to the length of fragments to be detected. Arrows show fragments predicted from the minichromosome DNA sequence; the origin of the weakly-hybridizing fragments is discussed in the text. (C) Fibre-FISH; the hybridization probes and procedure were as described in Figure 2E. Images show representative linear molecules from irradiated cells (100 Gy); probes are green and DNA is red, and the extremities of the molecule represent the site of breakage. (D) Distribution of the breakage site expressed as the % of 55 circular DNA molecules in which the break occurred in one of four quadrants.
no longer impaired after H2A and H2B had been detached from nucleosomes.

**DISCUSSION**

The essential conclusion of these experiments is that several enzymatic and chemical agents which produce double-strand DNA breaks cut the DNA of the circular EBV minichromosome within cells at only one of their multiple potential sites, and once the DNA has been linearized other sites are inaccessible. Accessibility of only one site to a restriction enzyme could result from masking of other sites by nucleosomes, but it is implausible that access to NCS and for OH radicals generated by \( \gamma \)-radiation could be limited in this way in view of the multiplicity of their potential cleavage sites (41–43, 50–52). We note that the radiation doses used here are 10-fold lower than those which create sufficient strand breaks to footprint DNA-bound proteins \textit{in vivo} (55). The production of only one double-strand break in all the minichromosomes in \( \gamma \)-irradiated cells was particularly unexpected, since in genomic DNA breaks are assumed to follow a Poisson distribution (e.g., 56, 57), which would result in conversion of only 38% (1/e) of the minichromosome DNA to full-length linear DNA, with the remainder as shorter fragments.

The position of the initial break produced by NCS and \( \gamma \)-radiation varied in different minichromosomes and therefore cannot be determined by a feature of the DNA sequence, for example the region with a non-canonical nucleosomal structure (24) or the transcribed regions (27) (Figure 1A). Molecules that are replicating during a 1 h incubation would not exceed \( \frac{1}{C30} \) of the total number since the average duplication time for minichromosome DNA is \( \frac{1}{C24} \) h (22) and therefore should not contribute significantly to the observed responses. It is improbable
that the one-site mode of cleavage results from a conformational change of the minichromosome caused by rapid modification of histones in response to a first break, because ATP and other factors had been depleted by permeabilizing the cells and no formation of γ-H2AX was detected by immunofluorescence after irradiation (unpublished results). As discussed below, a further argument against a response of this type is that a similar one-site accessibility to probes been observed in vitro for other minichromosomes.

Whether the DNA of this minichromosome contains unconstrained superhelicity has not been explored, but this is plausible in view of the examples of other circular minichromosomes (58,59); nevertheless, the one-site mode of cleavage cannot be associated with unrestrained superhelicity since it was not abrogated when single-strand nicks were created in the circular form. The one-site mode is, however, determined by the structure and/or interactions of intact nucleosomes; the accessibility of multiple sites after histones H2A and H2B are removed is likely to reflect the reduced length of DNA bound to the remaining (H3-H4)2 tetramers (60). It is intuitively probable that nucleosome orientation and contacts will undergo considerable adjustment when a circular chain of polynucleosomes is converted to the linear form. Models of circular chromatin (61–64) are not yet as detailed as those of linear chromatin (63–68), but they predict multiple and dynamic conformations and emphasize the crucial influence of DNA helicity (60,69,70) and torsional forces (60–62,69–71) with the possible formation of plectonemes depending on the twist and writhe of the DNA (71). All the DNA-cleaving agents tested here, restriction enzymes (3–5,7), topoisomerase II poisons (38,68), NCS (41–43) and γ-radiation (52,72), cut DNA in chromatin preferentially in internucleosomal linkers and the simplest assumption is that the breaks observed here are in linker DNA, although fluctuations of nucleosome conformation may allow transient access to sites on their surface (3–7). Studies of circular chromatin suggest how linker DNA could be exposed to probes; it has been proposed that nucleosomes are distorted (63–65) and/or re-orientated (71,73) and histone–DNA contacts loosened with transfer of some linker onto their surface (74). In contrast, in compact linear chromatin, linker DNA is poorly accessible (75); most evidence indicates that it lies in the interior in vitro.
(75–78) and in vivo (79), and its accessibility could be limited further by self-association and interdigitation (80) and hairpin formation (81) due to internucleosomal attractive forces.

Properties of other circular minichromosomes that probably have a similar mechanistic origin were observed in earlier studies, but were not further explored or interpreted. DNA of circular bovine papilloma virus minichromosomes in isolated nuclei could be methylated by the DNA-(cytosine-5)-methyltransferase Hhal, but it was inaccessible after the minichromosome was linearized (59). DNA of circular SV40 minichromosomes was cut in vitro at a single but not unique site by multiple-site restriction enzymes (61). Similarly, DNA of a chimeric variant of this minichromosome (37) and EBV minichromosomes (52) was converted essentially quantitatively to the full-length linear form in cells exposed to a topoisomerase II poison. Understanding these switches in DNA accessibility depends on more detailed models of the nucleosomal conformation and topology of linear and circular chromatin, particularly in the crowded environment within the nucleus, and could be relevant to the accessibility of DNA in closed loops of genomic chromatin in vivo, which are topologically analogous to a circular minichromosome.
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