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Summary

Background Pregnant individuals have been receiving COVID-19 vaccines following pre-authorisation clinical trials in non-pregnant people. This study aimed to determine the frequency and nature of significant health events among pregnant females after COVID-19 vaccination, compared with unvaccinated pregnant controls and vaccinated non-pregnant individuals.

Methods We did an observational cohort study, set in seven Canadian provinces and territories including Ontario, Quebec, British Columbia, Alberta, Nova Scotia, Yukon, and Prince Edward Island. Eligibility criteria for vaccinated individuals were a first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine within the previous 7 days; an active email address and telephone number; ability to communicate in English or French; and residence in the aforementioned provinces or territories. Study participants were pregnant and non-pregnant females aged 15–49 years. Individuals were able to participate as controls if they were unvaccinated and fulfilled the other criteria. Data were collected primarily by self-reported survey after both vaccine doses, with telephone follow-up for those reporting any medically attended event. Participants reported significant health events (new or worsening of a health event sufficient to cause work or school absenteeism, medical consultation, or prevent daily activities) occurring within 7 days of vaccination or within the past 7 days for unvaccinated individuals. We employed multivariable logistic regression to examine significant health events associated with mRNA vaccines, adjusting for age group, previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, and trimester, as appropriate.

Findings As of Nov 4, 2021, 191360 women aged 15–49 years with known pregnancy status had completed the first vaccine dose survey and 94937 had completed the second dose survey. 180388 received one dose and 94262 received a second dose of an mRNA vaccine, with 5597 pregnant participants receiving dose one and 3108 receiving dose two, and 174765 non-pregnant participants receiving dose one and 91131 receiving dose two. Of 6179 included unvaccinated control participants, 339 were pregnant and 5840 were not pregnant. Overall, 226 (4.0%) of 5597 vaccinated pregnant females reported a significant health event after dose one of an mRNA vaccine, and 227 (7.3%) of 3108 after dose two, compared with 11 (3.2%) of 339 pregnant unvaccinated females. Pregnant vaccinated females had an increased odds of a significant health event within 7 days of the vaccine after dose two of mRNA-1273 (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 4.4 [95% CI 2.4–8.3]) compared with pregnant unvaccinated controls within the past 7 days, but not after dose one of mRNA-1273 or any dose of BNT162b2. Pregnant vaccinated females had decreased odds of a significant health event compared with non-pregnant vaccinated females after both dose one (aOR 0.63 [95% CI 0.55–0.72]) and dose two (aOR 0.62 [0.54–0.71]) of any mRNA vaccination. There were no significant differences in any analyses when restricted to events which led to medical attention.

Interpretation COVID-19 mRNA vaccines have a good safety profile in pregnancy. These data can be used to appropriately inform pregnant people regarding reactogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines during pregnancy, and should be considered alongside effectiveness and immunogenicity data to make appropriate recommendations about best use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy.
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Introduction The COVID-19 pandemic has disproportionately affected pregnant people, who are at higher risk of severe disease compared with similarly aged non-pregnant individuals. Pregnancy leads to an increased risk of hospital admission with COVID-19, intensive care unit admission, mechanical ventilation, and death, due to changes in cardiopulmonary and immunological physiology. Additionally, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection increases risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as hypertension, pre-eclampsia, impaired fetal growth, and preterm birth. COVID-19 vaccines have been available in Canada since December, 2020. Multiple expert groups published...
positive recommendations for use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy early on in vaccine deployment, based largely on previously established safety of inactivated vaccines in pregnancy, several decades of use of vaccines in pregnancy (such as tetanus toxoid, influenza, and tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis), and reassuring data from the small number of incidental pregnancies occurring during pre-authorisation trials.\(^4\) Data from large cohorts requires post-implementation studies, and data has been accruing on the safety of mRNA vaccines during pregnancy.\(^5\) These are primarily descriptive studies, relying on comparisons with historic rates of adverse events and mostly without a contemporaneous control group to enable comparison with background rates of adverse events following immunisation.

The Canadian National Vaccine Safety (CANVAS) Network was established during the 2009 influenza pandemic to provide rapid, real-time safety data during rollout of immunisation programmes, and has monitored the safety of seasonal influenza vaccines in Canada and other emergency campaigns, such as for a capsular group B meningococcal vaccine.\(^7\) CANVAS obtains information via surveys administered to vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals. The CANVAS Network has been monitoring COVID-19 vaccine safety in Canada since the start of the vaccine rollout.\(^9\) The focus of this study and other CANVAS studies has been significant adverse events occurring in the week following immunisation, putting the information in the context of an unvaccinated control group, with similar rates of health events other than after a second dose of mRNA-1273. The data also provides reassurance that the rate of significant health events is lower in pregnant people than non-pregnant individuals after vaccination, with similar rates of medical consultation for all comparisons.

Methods

Study design and participants

In this ongoing observational cohort study, we actively recruited participants from seven Canadian provinces...
and territories accounting for more than 75% of the national population including Ontario, Quebec, British Columbia, Alberta, Nova Scotia, Yukon, and Prince Edward Island.10 At the time of this analysis, the study was open to anyone eligible for a COVID-19 vaccine, which included individuals aged 12 years and older. Vaccinated individuals were eligible if they received the first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine within the previous 7 days; had an active email address and telephone number; were able to communicate in English or French; and reside in the aforementioned provinces or territories. Individuals were able to participate as controls if they were unvaccinated and fulfilled the other three criteria. Individuals were able to contribute to both vaccinated and control groups if they initially enrolled prior to vaccination and subsequently enrolled after vaccination. Individuals who enrolled as control participants and expected to be vaccinated within the next 6 months completed a retrospective control survey. All other control participants were followed prospectively. All participants provided informed consent electronically. Each study site obtained approval from Research Ethics Boards (British Columbia and Yukon: UBC Children’s & Women’s, Ref: H20-03704; Quebec: Centre Intégré universitaire de santé et de services sociaux de l’Estrie, Ref: MP-31-2021-4044; Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island: Health PEI and IWK Health Research, Ref: 1026400; Alberta: Concurrent Health REB, University of Calgary, Ref: REB20-2177; Ontario: Unity Health Toronto, Ref: 20-334). The study protocol has previously been published.

**Procedures**

Recruitment methods for COVID-19 vaccinated participants included: (1) passive recruitment via information provided at immunisation clinics; (2) electronic invitation when an appointment was made for vaccination; and (3) electronic invitation after vaccination using names obtained from the relevant immunisation registry. Control participants were: (1) previous CANVAS study participants who indicated their agreement to be contacted for research; (2) users of the CANImmunize app; (3) enrolled via the study website and (4) recruited from volunteer databases. A detailed description of recruitment procedures has been previously published.9 Study enrolment commenced on Dec 22, 2020. Of relevance to this analysis, vaccinated participants were surveyed via email for the occurrence of adverse events following immunisation during the 7 days following each dose of COVID-19 vaccine. All participants were asked about injection site reactions, but only those that indicated they had a significant health event were requested to provide further details. Control participants were requested to note the occurrence of health problems in the previous 7 days, also via email. We did a telephone follow-up for those who reported any medically attended event. We sent up to two automatic reminders every 72 h for all non-responders to address loss to follow-up. Survey data were collected in a secure REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) database.11,12 Data collected relevant to this analysis included age group, sex, gender, ethnicity, self-reported previous laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, pregnancy and lactation status, general health status, COVID-19 vaccine brand administered, occurrence or worsening of health events, and requirement for medical attention and hospital admission related to the health event.

**Outcomes**

The primary endpoint was a significant health event, defined as a new or worsening health event sufficient to cause work or school absenteeism, medical consultation, or prevent daily activities in the previous 7 days (for controls) or the 7 days following vaccination for those that received a COVID-19 vaccine. The secondary endpoint was defined as any serious health event (an event resulting in emergency department visit or hospital admission) in the previous 7 days. Two types of exposures were analysed: (1) vaccination status among pregnant people, and (2) pregnancy status among vaccinated people.

For the purposes of this analysis, we included all females reporting a pregnancy on any survey, and non-pregnant females in the same age groups (15–49 years). When comparing health event rates among pregnant people, the same pregnant control group was used to compare with vaccinated pregnant people who received a first or second dose of a COVID-19 vaccine. For statistical modelling, the analysis was restricted to those who received any mRNA vaccines (BNT162b2 [Pfizer] and mRNA-1273 [Moderna]) because very few pregnant people reported receiving the ChAdOx1-S [AstraZeneca] vaccine. For pregnant participants who reported experiencing a miscarriage following both dose one and dose two, only the first report was included to avoid duplicate counting from individuals reporting the same miscarriage twice, given the relatively short interval between vaccine doses.

**Statistical analysis**

We estimated rates of significant and serious health events including all symptoms following the first and second doses of COVID-19 vaccines. To examine associations between the outcomes and the exposures, two sets of univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were built for each type of exposure (vaccination status among pregnant people and pregnancy status among vaccinated people). When fitting multivariable models, we adjusted known or expected covariates such as age group, previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, and trimester of pregnancy, as appropriate. Three different vaccine groups were evaluated: (1) BNT162b2, (2) mRNA-1273, and (3) any mRNA vaccine. The estimated odds ratio (OR) and
See Online for appendix 95% CIs were reported. We did complete case analysis because no variable had more than 2% of missing data. We verified the absence of multicollinearity with variance inflation factor using a cut-off point of less than 5. To evaluate the robustness of findings, we did two sensitivity analyses. In the first, our primary end point (significant health event) was restricted to new or worsening health events also resulting in medical consultation in the previous 7 days. We repeated the same analysis after the first and second doses of COVID-19 vaccines. In the second analysis, we restricted the dataset of pregnant people to those who reported being in excellent health and compared this with the results from the primary analysis. Data cleaning was done in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute) and analysis was completed in R software version 4.1.1 (R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Role of funding source**

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

**Results**

As of Nov 4, 2021, a total of 191360 women aged 15–49 years with known pregnancy status had completed the first vaccine does survey and 94937 had completed the second dose survey (figure 1). A total of 5625 (2.9%) of 191360 and 3114 (3.3%) of 94937 women reported being pregnant at the time of receiving the first and second doses of COVID-19 vaccines (appendix p 1). Of these, most pregnant participants had received BNT162b2 (3414 received dose one and 1892 received dose two) or mRNA-1273 (2183 received dose one and 1216 received dose two) COVID-19 vaccines and few individuals reported receiving ChAdOx1-S (25 received dose one and six received dose two). Among the enrolled unvaccinated control participants, a total of 6179 females aged 15–49 years had completed 7-day surveys. Of these, 339 (5.5%) reported being pregnant during the survey period (appendix p 1). Among both vaccinated and control groups, pregnant individuals in all three trimesters were well represented (table 1).

Most respondents in both control and vaccinated groups were aged 30–49 (ranging from 65900 [61.5%] of 107121 in not-pregnant individuals receiving dose one of BNT162b2 to 288 [85.0%] of 339 in the pregnant control group) and reported being in excellent/very good/good health (ranging from 44362 [83.6%] of 53077 in not-pregnant individuals receiving dose two of BNT162b2 to 333 [98.2%] of 339 in the pregnant control group; table 1). The ethnic origin of more than half of participants in both vaccinated and control groups was unknown because the question regarding ethnicity was introduced only in the dose two survey questionnaires and was optional. Among those who reported their ethnicity, the majority were White, followed by East Asian, and South Asian. Prevalence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection varied between 2.3% and 4.6% (table 1).

Overall, 226 (4.0%) of 5597 mRNA-vaccinated pregnant females reported a significant health event within 7 days after dose one of an mRNA vaccine, and 227 (7.3%) of 3108 after dose two (table 1). The rates were similar after dose one for both mRNA vaccines (137 [4.0%] of 3414 for BNT162b2 and 89 [4.1%] of 2183 for mRNA-1273), but higher for mRNA-1273 (147 [12.1%] of 1216) than BNT162b2 (80 [4.2%] of 1892) after dose two. The most common significant health events after dose two of mRNA-1273 in pregnant females were feeling unwell or malaise or myalgia (139 [11.4%] of 1216), headache or migraine (103 [8.5%] of 1216), and respiratory tract infection (68 [5.6%] of 1216; table 2). Among pregnant and vaccinated participants who reported significant health events, most of them recognised their symptoms...
# Table 1

The table below provides a summary of health events and demographics for two doses of COVID-19 vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, and their effects on pregnant and non-pregnant individuals. The table categorizes data by trimester, age group, and gender. The percentages indicate the occurrence of health events and the distribution of demographic variables.

### Health Events

| Health Event          | Dose 1 | Dose 2 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| Significant health event | 11 (3.2%) | 10 (3.2%) |
| Severe health event   | 4 (1.2%) | 4 (1.2%) |
| Serious health event  | 2 (0.6%) | 2 (0.6%) |

### Trimester

| Trimester | Dose 1 | Dose 2 |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| 1st trimester | 6 (1.8%) | 6 (1.8%) |
| 2nd trimester | 3 (0.9%) | 3 (0.9%) |
| 3rd trimester | 2 (0.6%) | 2 (0.6%) |
| Unknown     | 1 (<0.1%) | 1 (<0.1%) |

### Age Groups, Years

| Age Group | Dose 1 | Dose 2 |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| 15-29     | 51 (15.0%) | 51 (15.0%) |
| 30-49     | 288 (85.0%) | 288 (85.0%) |

### Gender

| Gender | Dose 1 | Dose 2 |
|--------|--------|--------|
| Woman  | 338 (99.7%) | 338 (99.7%) |
| Man    | 0 (0.3%) | 0 (0.3%) |

### Table 1 (Continues on Next Page)
| Ethnicity                | Any mRNA (n=134,765) | BNT162b2 (n=133,366) | mRNA-1273 (n=133,140) | Any mRNA (n=134,765) | BNT162b2 (n=133,366) | mRNA-1273 (n=133,140) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| White                   | 22/151(14.7%)        | 1818/15,211(11.9%)   | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 622/751(12.7%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Black                   | 7/51(1.4%)           | 1802/15,211(11.9%)   | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 536/647(12.2%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| East Asian              | 0/3367(0.7%)         | 87/15,211(0.6%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| South Asian             | 0/1977(0.4%)         | 81/15,211(0.6%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| South-East Asian        | 1/152(0.2%)          | 36/15,211(0.6%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Indigenous              | 0/699(0.2%)          | 8/15,211(0.1%)       | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Middle Eastern          | 0/1352(0.3%)         | 31/15,211(0.2%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Latino                  | 0/1392(0.3%)         | 23/15,211(0.2%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Mixed                   | 0/2714(0.1%)         | 26/15,211(0.2%)      | 1821/1645(12.9%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Unknown or other        | 314/13,018(2.4%)     | 45,162/16,432(3.0%)  | 1403/15,211(1.0%)     | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Health status           |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |                       |
| Excellent, very good    | 333/11,446(2.9%)     | 60180/16,432(3.6%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| good, very good         | 333/11,446(2.9%)     | 60180/16,432(3.6%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Fair, poor, or           | 333/11,446(2.9%)     | 60180/16,432(3.6%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| unknown                 | 333/11,446(2.9%)     | 60180/16,432(3.6%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Province                |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |                       |
| Alberta                 | 37/10,997(3.3%)      | 620/15,211(0.8%)     | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Ontario                 | 178/5,128(9.9%)      | 576/15,211(1.0%)     | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Quebec                  | 4/45501(0.1%)        | 44055/15,211(2.9%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| British Columbia and    | 85/3367 (2.5%)       | 13234/15,211(0.9%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |
| Yukon                   | 35/4806 (0.7%)       | 13534/15,211(0.9%)   | 266/15,211(1.7%)      | 131/140(12.0%)       | 1778/20,381(11.8%)   | 1750/19,151(12.1%)    |

(Table 1 continues on next page)
### Table 1: Baseline demographics and health events in study populations

| History of SARS-CoV-2 infection | Dose 1 | Dose 2 |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|
| **Pregnant control group (n=339)** | | |
| Any mRNA | Not pregnant (n=124/5957) | Pregnant (n=53/397) |
| BNT162b2 | 167/900/174516 | 331/310/349 |
| mRNA-1273 | 5434/55932 | 3310/3409 |
| **Not pregnant** | 102/013/06965 | 650876/6551 |
| Dose 1 | 2124 | 971% |
| Dose 2 | 2124 | 971% |
| **BNT162b2** | 102/013/06965 | 650876/6551 |
| **mRNA-1273** | 3310/3409 | 3310/3409 |
| **Pregnant** | 650876/6551 | 971|
| **(n=53,077)** | 102/013/06965 | 650876/6551 |
| **(n=174,765)** | 102/013/06965 | 650876/6551 |

### History of SARS-CoV-2 infection

- **No**
  - 87/910/91072
  - 3009/30106
  - 50/993/53048
  - 1823/1890
  - 3698/38024
  - 1188

- **Yes**
  - 7416/74516
  - 158/55932
  - 4952/0506965
  - 93/3409
  - 2464/6551
  - 59

### Data

Data are n (%) or n(N) (%). Significant health event indicates a new or worsening health event sufficient to cause work or school absenteeism, medical consultation, or prevent daily activities within 7 days after vaccination for vaccinated participants and in the previous 7 days for control groups. Serious health event indicates any event resulting in emergency department visit or admission to hospital within 7 days after vaccination for vaccinated participants and in the previous 7 days for control groups. Protocol defined severe health event is a subgroup of significant health events and indicates a new or worsening health event resulting in medical consultation within 7 days after vaccination for vaccinated participants and in the previous 7 days for control groups.

*Breakdown of trimester data applies only to significant health events.

Table 3: Baseline demographics and health events in study populations
Injection site reactions such as redness, pain, or swelling were reported in between 1430 (75·6%) of 1892 (dose two of BNT162b2) and 1884 (86·3%) of 2183 (dose one of mRNA-1273) vaccine recipients. The most frequently reported significant health events within 7 days of each vaccine dose were feeling unwell or...
malaise or myalgia (between 64 [2.9%] of 2183 for dose one of mRNA-1273 and 139 [11.4%] of 1216 for dose two of mRNA-1273) and headache (between 46 [2.1%] of 2183 for dose one of mRNA-1273 and 103 [8.5%] of 1216 for dose two of mRNA-1273) [table 2]. When comparing vaccinated pregnant and vaccinated non-pregnant people, significant adverse events following immunisation rates (ie, excluding injection site reactions) were consistently lower among pregnant people across all mRNA vaccine types and doses (table 1). Overall, 226 (4.0%) of 5597 pregnant people reported a significant adverse event following immunisation after dose one and 227 (7.3%) of 3108 reported one after dose two, compared with 10950 (6.3%) of 174765 after dose one and 10254 (11.3%) of 91131 after dose two for non-pregnant people. Similar differences were seen for both BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 after both dose one and dose two. The reported rates of serious health events were low (<1%) and similar in vaccinated pregnant people compared with vaccinated non-pregnant controls (table 1).

The multivariable models revealed that pregnancy was associated with a decreased risk of significant health events for any mRNA vaccine for both dose one (any mRNA: aOR 0.63 [95% CI 0.55–0.72]; BNT162b2: aOR 0.63 [0.53–0.75]; mRNA-1273: aOR 0.62 [0.5–0.77]) and dose two (any mRNA: aOR 0.62 [0.54–0.71]; BNT162b2: aOR 0.52 [0.41–0.65]; mRNA-1273: aOR 0.72 [0.6–0.85]; figure 2B). However, for the secondary endpoint of serious adverse events following immunisation, we found the second dose of mRNA-1273 was associated with a higher risk of serious adverse events (aOR 2·3 [95% CI 1·2–4·2]) in pregnant individuals compared with non-pregnant individuals. The second dose of any mRNA vaccine was also associated with a higher risk of serious adverse events (aOR 1·6 [95% CI 1·0–2·6]). This association was not observed in individuals who received BNT162b2 for dose two or with any vaccine groups for dose one. However, a sensitivity analysis that restricted our primary end point (significant health event) to those who sought medical care 7 days following each vaccine dose yielded no significant association between any mRNA vaccine and pregnancy status among vaccinated individuals (appendix p 5).

**Discussion**

In our prospective study collecting real-time data from pregnant individuals who were both vaccinated and unvaccinated, we found that significant health events—new or worsening health events following vaccination sufficient to cause work or school absenteeism, medical consultation, or prevent daily activities—were lower in pregnant people than in age-matched non-pregnant vaccine recipients. Amongst pregnant individuals, significant adverse events following immunisation were higher in those who received the mRNA-1273 vaccine for their second dose than in unvaccinated pregnant people, with no difference observed for BNT162b2 after either dose. When restricted to events resulting in medical consultation, there was no difference between groups in any of the analyses. These data can be used to appropriately inform pregnant women regarding reactogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines during pregnancy and should be considered alongside effectiveness and immunogenicity data to make appropriate recommendations about best use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy.

The largest study to date of COVID-19 vaccine reactogenicity in pregnancy, which directly collected data from study participants, reported on approximately 30000 pregnant people in the USA who had received BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273, using data from the v-safe registry.4 Pregnant people in this study reported high rates of injection site pain (92% after dose two), fatigue (72%), headache (55%), myalgia (34%) and fever or chills (35–37%), with higher rates of adverse events after dose two than after dose one. The data from the v-safe registry suggested an increased rate of these events after mRNA-1273 compared with BNT162b2 after dose two, but no formal comparisons between vaccines was done. Notably, the study collected data on all adverse events following immunisation and specific information regarding severity was not reported, so it is not possible to directly compare results with our study, although if total adverse event rates are higher after dose two, and after mRNA-1273, then rates of significant adverse events would probably follow a similar pattern. A more recent study of 7800 pregnant people compared with 2900 non-pregnant individuals also reported higher rates of adverse events, in pregnant people, after dose two of a

| Condition                        | Control (n=339) | Any mRNA (n=5597) | BNT162b2 (n=3414) | mRNA-1273 (n=2183) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Overall                           | 7 (2.1%)       | 83 (1.5%)        | 51 (1.5%)        | 32 (1.5%)         |
| 1st trimester                     | 77 (100.0%)    | 72/93 (88.0%)    | 47/51 (92.2%)    | 26/32 (81.3%)     |
| 2nd trimester                     | 0              | 7/83 (8.4%)      | 4/54 (7.8%)      | 3/32 (9.4%)       |
| 3rd trimester                     | 0              | 1/83 (1.2%)      | 0                | 1/32 (3.1%)       |
| Unknown                           | 0              | 2/83 (2.4%)      | 0                | 2/32 (6.3%)       |

Data are n (%) or n/N (%). Participants were able to report multiple outcomes. *Included lower abdominal pain, reduced fetal movement, cramp, and vomiting.
COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. Some adverse events occurred at lower rates in non-pregnant individuals, including fever. Specific data on significant adverse events following immunisation were not comprehensively reported, although 156 pregnant individuals (2.5%) sought medical care after their second vaccine dose, compared with only 1.5% in our cohort. A systematic review including Shimabukuro and colleague’s study and multiple other smaller studies reported similarly higher rates of adverse events following immunisation after dose two of a COVID-19 vaccine, but no differences between pregnant and non-pregnant controls.

Initial clinical trials of mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 reported relatively high rates of adverse events following immunisation compared with most routinely administered vaccines, including higher rates for dose two than dose one. Therefore, the fact that our analysis revealed similar patterns among pregnant individuals was unsurprising — although we have been able to specifically quantify the significant and serious adverse event rates in this population for each of the mRNA vaccines. Previous studies of influenza vaccines done using the same CANVAS methodology similarly reported higher rates of adverse events following immunisation among vaccinated than among unvaccinated control participants.

The lower rate of significant adverse events following immunisation among pregnant people than among vaccinated non-pregnant individuals is important. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, influenza and tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis (Tdap) vaccines had been routinely recommended for pregnant people in many countries. Previous studies have mostly reported no significant differences in adverse events following immunisation between pregnant and non-pregnant individuals, or higher rates in pregnancy — for example, one study reported increased moderate to severe injection-site pain in pregnant (17.9%) compared with non-pregnant (11.1%) women after Tdap vaccination. Several highly dynamic immunological adaptations occur during pregnancy, including a skew towards a T-helper-2 dominant state. Because mRNA vaccines have been specifically designed to elicit a Th1-biased immune response, it is possible that the Th2-bias during pregnancy is partly responsible for this lower rate of significant adverse events following immunisation.

Our study has several strengths and limitations. It is a multicentre study across Canada and thus includes broad representation of people within Canada, although most participants who reported ethnicity were White and these data therefore might not be fully generalisable to other populations. Overall, the pregnant people in our study had similar characteristics (age, residence, health status) to the pregnant population in Canada. Specifically, most of our participants were aged 30–49 years (74.7% versus 61.5% of pregnant people in Canada); most participants were from Ontario (37.2%), Quebec (26.2%), British Columbia/Yukon (20.2%), and Alberta (13.9%), regions which represent 37.9%, 22.8%, 11.8%, and 13.7% of live births in Canada in 2020. Participants were enrolled from all trimesters in pregnancy and this was included as a co-variate in the multivariable models. An important advantage over many other similar studies is the contemporaneous recruitment of non-pregnant vaccinated individuals, enabling a robust...
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direct comparison in significant adverse events following immunisation between pregnant and non-pregnant individuals. We focused on events occurring within the first 7 days following vaccination and thus acute and local reactions. Longer-term follow-up of this cohort is ongoing, and we will be able to comment on health events that occur over a longer time frame after vaccination once these data are available.

Furthermore, CANVAS is based on self-reports from study participants, without verification from medical records. This method of reporting is subjective and might be subject to recall bias, but has been shown to be reliable for short time periods, such as in this study.25,26 The study relied on individuals having an email address and actively enrolling. Such individuals might differ in health-seeking behaviour from the rest of the population because they might represent a different socio-economic status, but at least for our comparisons between groups it would be expected that they would be similarly affected by any bias as recruitment required an email address. Study participants were asked their age within prespecified age groups, and we therefore do not know the specific age of each participant. Pregnant participants reported trimester of pregnancy rather than weeks' gestation. Finally, our sample size precludes detection of very rare adverse events, which can be identified in the general population from the passive surveillance systems in place across Canada and in other countries.

Our data provide reassuring evidence that COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are safe in pregnancy, with lower rates of significant adverse events following immunisation in pregnant people than in non-pregnant vaccine recipients for both mRNA vaccines used in Canada, after dose one and dose two. Although rates of significant adverse events following immunisation were highest after dose two for mRNA-1273 recipients, both mRNA vaccines are highly immunogenic and effective in pregnancy.4 Given the increased rate of significant complications associated with COVID-19 in pregnancy, high vaccine coverage in this group is important for protection of the pregnant individual and young infant.27 via passive transplacental transfer of antigen-specific IgG antibody and protection via breast milk.28,29 These data can be used to inform pregnant individuals of the expected adverse events following vaccination. Further studies of non-COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are required to identify if the reduced reactogenicity observed in pregnant people in this study is a feature of the mRNA vaccine platform, or of these specific vaccines. Further long-term data are awaited from this cohort following a 6-month follow-up. The number of pregnant individuals receiving the ChAdOx1-S vaccine in our population was very low and data for this vaccine in countries where it has been more widely used are important to provide a more complete overview of the safety of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy.
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