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Abstract

Henstock-type integrals are considered, for functions defined in a Radon measure space and taking values in a Banach lattice $X$ considering the norm and the order structure of the space. A number of results are obtained, highlighting the differences between them, specially in the case of $L$-space-valued functions.
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1 Introduction

In the last century many different notions of integral were introduced for real-valued functions, in order to generalize the Riemann one. The wide literature in this topic attests the interest for this field of research: see for example [1,2,3,6,8,10,13,14,21,27,29,31,34,35,41,43,45]. Afterwards the notions of order-type integral were also introduced and studied, for functions taking their values in suitable ordered vector spaces: see [4,6,9,11,12,18,19].

This paper aims to compare some norm- and order-type integrals, with some interesting results when $X$ is an $L$-space.
Throughout this paper \((T, d)\) is a compact metric Hausdorff topological space, \(\Sigma\) its Borel \(\sigma\)-algebra and \(\mu : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^+_0\) a regular, pointwise non atomic measure, and \(X\) a Banach (lattice) space.

In Sections 2 and 3 norm and order-type integrals are compared, showing a first showy difference: order integrals in general do not respect almost everywhere equality, except for order-bounded functions. Another noteworthy difference is that order integrals enjoy the Henstock Lemma: this fact has interesting consequences in \(L\)-spaces, as shown in Theorem 3.9.

In the subsection 3.1 integrability in \([0, 1]\) is discussed and it is proven that monotone mappings are McShane order-integrable. In the same framework, two further notions of order-type integrals, inspired at the paper [39], have been introduced: they are the corresponding notions of the Henstock and McShane order-type integrals, but requiring measurability of the involved gauges: in the normed case, these notions are discussed in [39] where their strict connection with the Birkhoff integrability has been shown and their equivalence is proven, for bounded functions; here we prove that, also for the order-type integral, for bounded functions the two notions are equivalent.

Some of these results have been exposed at the SISY 2014 - IEEE 12th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics (see [20]) and they will be applied to the multivalued case in a subsequent paper [7].

## 2 Henstock (and McShane) integrals

Given a compact metric Hausdorff topological space \((T, d)\) and its Borel \(\sigma\)-algebra \(\Sigma\), let \(\mu : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^+_0\) be a \(\sigma\)-additive (bounded) regular measure, so that \((T, d, \Sigma, \mu)\) is a Radon measure space. Let \(X\) be a Banach space. The following concept of Henstock integrability was presented in [29] for bounded measures in the Banach space context. See also [9] for the following definitions and investigations.

A **gauge** is any map \(\gamma : T \to \mathbb{R}^+\). A **decomposition** \(\Pi\) of \(T\) is a finite family \(\Pi = \{(E_i, t_i) : i = 1, \ldots, k\}\) of pairs such that \(t_i \in E_i\), \(E_i \in \Sigma\) and \(\mu(E_i \cap E_j) = 0\) for \(i \neq j\). The points \(t_i\), \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), are called **tags**. If moreover \(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i = T\), \(\Pi\) is called a **partition**. A **Perron partition** (or also **Henstock partition**) is a partition for which each tag \(t_i\) belongs to the corresponding set \(E_i\); while, if this condition is not necessarily fulfilled, the partition is said to be **free**.
Given a gauge \( \gamma \), \( \Pi \) is said to be \( \gamma \)-fine (\( \Pi \prec \gamma \)) if \( \text{dist}(w,t_i) < \gamma(t_i) \) for every \( w \in E_i \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).

**Definition 2.1.** A function \( f : T \to X \) is H-integrable if there exists \( I \in X \) such that, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a gauge \( \gamma : T \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that for every \( \gamma \)-fine Perron partition of \( T \), \( \Pi = \{(E_i,t_i), i = 1, \ldots, q\} \), one has:

\[
\|\sigma(f,\Pi) - I\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]

where the symbol \( \sigma(f,\Pi) \) means \( \sum_{i=1}^{q} f(t_i)\mu(E_i) \). In this case, the following notation will be used: \( I = (\Pi) \int_T f d\mu \).

It is not difficult to deduce, in case \( f \) is H-integrable in the set \( T \), that also the restrictions \( f 1_E \) are, for every measurable set \( E \), thanks to the Cousin Lemma (see \cite{11}. Proposition 1.7). This is not true in the classical theory, where \( T = [0,1] \) and the partitions allowed are only those consisting of sub-intervals.

**Definition 2.2.** A function \( f : T \to X \) is MS-integrable if there exists \( I \in X \) such that, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a gauge \( \gamma : T \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that for every \( \gamma \)-fine partition of \( T \), \( \Pi = \{(E_i,t_i), i = 1, \ldots, q\} \), one has:

\[
\|\sigma(f,\Pi) - I\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]

where the symbol \( \sigma(f,\Pi) \) means \( \sum_{i=1}^{q} f(t_i)\mu(E_i) \). In this case, the following notation will be used: \( I = (\Pi) \int_T f d\mu \).

As it is well-known, in the classical theory H-integrability is different from McShane integrability and also from Pettis integrability. Nevertheless, McShane integrability of a mapping \( f : [0,1] \to X \) (here \( X \) is any Banach space) is equivalent to Henstock and Pettis simultaneous integrabilities to hold (see \cite{29}. Theorem 8).

In the papers \cite{24,27,43} one can find an interesting discussion of the cases in which Henstock, Pettis and McShane integrability are equivalent, and also counterexamples in some related problems.

Since the measure \( \mu \) is assumed to be pointwise non atomic, a soon as partitions consist of arbitrary measurable sets, all concepts in the Henstock sense will turn out to be equivalent to the same concepts...
in the McShane sense (i.e. without requiring that the tags are contained in the corresponding sets of the involved partitions); however the Henstock terminology and notations will remain unchanged. The next proposition clarifies this fact.

**Proposition 2.3.** Assume, in the previous setting, that \(\mu\) is pointwise non atomic, i.e. \(\mu(\{t\}) = 0\) for all \(t \in T\). If \(f : T \to X\) is H-integrable in \(T\), then it is also McShane-integrable.

**Proof.** Of course, it will be sufficient to prove that, for every gauge \(\gamma\) and any \(\gamma\)-fine free partition \(\Pi^0\), there exists a Henstock-type \(\gamma\)-fine partition \(\Pi'\) satisfying \(\sigma(f, \Pi^0) = \sigma(f, \Pi')\).

So, fix \(\gamma\) and \(\Pi^0 := \{(B_i, t_i) : i = 1, ..., k\}\) as above. Without loss of generality, all the tags \(t_i\) can be supposed to be distinct, otherwise it will be sufficient for each tag to take the union of all the sets \(B_i\) paired with it. Now, set \(A := \{t_i, i = 1, ..., k\}\) and define, for each \(j\): \(B'_j := (B_j \setminus A) \cup \{t_j\}\). Of course, each \(B'_j\) is measurable and is contained in \(\gamma(t_j)\). Then the pairs \((B'_j, t_j)\) form a \(\gamma\)-fine Henstock-type partition \(\Pi'\) and \(\mu(B'_j) = \mu(B_j)\) for all \(j\), so \(\sigma(f, \Pi^0) = \sigma(f, \Pi')\). This concludes the proof.

So this Proposition shows that the use of free \(\gamma\)-fine partitions does not modify the integral.

From now on suppose that \(X\) is a Banach lattice with order-continuous norm, \(X^+\) is its positive cone and \(X^{++}\) is the subset of strictly positive elements of \(X\). The symbols \(||\cdot||\), \(\|\cdot\|\) refer to modulus and norm of \(X\); for the relation between them and applications in Banach lattices see for example \([3,9,16,17,32,36,38]\).

An element \(e \in X^+\) is an order unit if for every \(u \in X\) there is an \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(|u| \leq ne\).

An \(L\)-space is a Banach lattice \(L\) such that \(|u + v| = ||u|| + ||v||\) whenever \(u, v \in L^+\).

An \(M\)-space is a Banach lattice \(M\) in which the norm is an order-unit norm, namely there is an order unit \(e\) and an equivalent Riesz norm \(\|\cdot\|_e\) defined on \(M\) by the formula \(\|u\|_e := \min\{\alpha : |u| < \alpha e\}\) for every \(u \in M\). In this case one has also \(\|u + v\| = ||u|| \vee ||v||\). For further properties about \(L\)- and \(M\)-spaces see also \([32\text{, Section 354]}\).

In this setting the notion of order-type integral can be given.
Definition 2.4. A function $f : T \rightarrow X$ is order-integrable in the Henstock sense ((oH)-integrability for short) if there exists $J \in X$ together with an (o)-sequence $(b_n)_{n}$ in $X$ and a corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n}$ of gauges, such that for every $n$ and every $\gamma_n$-fine Henstock partition of $T$, $\Pi = \{(E_i, t_i), i = 1, \ldots, q\}$, it is $|\sigma(f, \Pi) - J| \leq b_n$ and the integral $J$ will be denoted with $(oH)\int f$.

Remark 2.5. Also in this case there is no difference in taking all free $\gamma_n$-fine partitions. It is easy to see that any (oH)-integrable $f$ is also H-integrable and the two integrals coincide thanks to the order continuity of the norm in $X$. The converse implication holds when $X$ is an $M$-space, but not in general: see for example Theorem 3.9 and the following remarks. Moreover the (oH)-integrability of a function $f$ implies also its Pettis integrability, thanks to [29, Theorem 8].

The following Cauchy-type criterion holds:

**Theorem 2.6.** ( [20, Theorem 5]) Let $f : T \rightarrow X$ be any function. Then $f$ is (oH)-integrable if and only if there exist an (o)-sequence $(b_n)_{n}$ and a corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n}$ of gauges, such that for every $n$, as soon as $\Pi, \Pi'$ are two $\gamma_n$-fine Henstock partitions, the following holds:

$$|\sigma(f, \Pi) - \sigma(f, \Pi')| \leq b_n.$$  

(1)

### 3 Properties of the Norm and the Order integrals

Some interesting properties of the order integral can be described comparing it with the norm one. A first singular fact is that, in general, almost equal functions may have a different behavior with respect to the (oH)-integral, as proven in [9, Example 2.8]. However, for order-bounded functions, the following result holds.

**Proposition 3.1.** [20, Proposition 4] Let $f, g : T \rightarrow X$ be two bounded maps, such that $f = g \mu$-almost everywhere. Then, $f$ is (oH)-integrable if and only if $g$ is, and the integrals coincide.

Another interesting difference concerns the Henstock Lemma, which is valid in the present form for the order integral but not, in general, for the norm one. The technique of the proof is similar to that of [18, Theorem 1.4].
Proposition 3.2. [20] Proposition 6] Let \( f : T \to X \) be any \((oH)\)-integrable function. Then, there exist an \((o)\)-sequence \((b_n)_n\) and a corresponding sequence \((\gamma_n)_n\) of gauges, such that, for all \(n\) and all \(\gamma_n\)-fine Henstock partitions \(\Pi\) one has

\[
\sum_{E \in \Pi} \sup_{\Pi', \Pi''} \{ | \sum_{F'' \in \Pi''} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') - \sum_{F' \in \Pi'} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') \} \leq b_n
\]

and \(\Pi', \Pi''\) belong to the family of all \(\gamma_n\)-fine Henstock partitions of \(E\).

Proof. By theorem 2.6, an \((o)\)-sequence \((b_n)_n\) exists, together with a corresponding sequence of gauges \((\gamma_n)_n\), so that

\[
\sum_{F' \in \Pi'} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \sum_{F'' \in \Pi''} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') \leq b_n \tag{2}
\]

holds, for all \(\gamma_n\)-fine partitions \(\Pi', \Pi''\). Now, consider any \(\gamma_n\)-fine partition \(\Pi\), and, for every \(E\) in \(\Pi\), take two arbitrary subpartitions \(\Pi'_E\) and \(\Pi''_E\).

By varying \(E\), this gives rise to two \(\gamma_n\)-fine partitions of \(T\) for which (2) holds true. Denote by \(E_1\) the first element of \(\Pi\), and, in the summation at left-hand side, take the supremum with respect just to the sets of the type \(F'\) that are contained in \(E_1\): this yields

\[
\sup_{\Pi'_{E_1}} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \sum_{F' \notin E_1} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') \leq b_n.
\]

Now, by varying only the \(F''s\) that are contained in \(E_1\), one obtains:

\[
\sup_{\Pi'_{E_1}} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \inf_{\Pi''_{E_1}} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') + \sum_{F' \notin E_1} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \sum_{F'' \notin E_1} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') \leq b_n,
\]

namely

\[
\omega_n(f, E_1) + \sum_{F' \in \Pi', F' \notin E_1} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \sum_{F'' \in \Pi'', F'' \notin E_1} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') \leq b_n.
\]

where

\[
\omega_n(E) := \sup_{\Pi'_{E}, \Pi''_{E}} \{ | \sum_{F'' \in \Pi''} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') - \sum_{F' \in \Pi'} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') \}.
\]
Now, starting from the last inequality, make the same operation in the second subset of $\Pi$ (say $E_2$), by keeping fixed all the $F'$ and $F''$ that are not contained in it: so

$$\omega_n(f, E_1) + \omega_n(f, E_2) + \sum_{F' \in \Pi', F' \notin E_1 \cup E_2} f(\tau_{F'}) \mu(F') - \sum_{F'' \in \Pi'', F'' \notin E_1 \cup E_2} f(\tau_{F''}) \mu(F'') \leq b_n.$$  

Continuing this procedure the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

A consequence of Proposition 3.2 is that $(oH)$-integrability is hereditary on every measurable subset $A$ of $T$. In fact taking the same $(o)$-sequence $(b_n)_n$ together with the corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ for each $n$ any $\gamma_n$-fine partition of $A$ can be extended to a $\gamma_n$-fine partition of $T$ thanks to the Cousin Lemma and so, for any two $\gamma_n$-fine partitions $\Pi$, $\Pi'$ of $A$, it follows

$$|\sigma(f, \Pi) - \sigma(f, \Pi')| \leq \omega_n(f, A) \leq b_n.$$

Then, the Theorem 2.6 yields the conclusion. The additivity of the integral can be obtained as well. Moreover by Proposition 3.2 it follows:

**Corollary 3.3. (20 Theorem 9)** Let $f : T \to X$ be any $(oH)$-integrable function. Then there exist an $(o)$-sequence $(b_n)_n$ and a corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ of gauges, such that:

3.3.1) for every $n$ and every $\gamma_n$-fine partition $\Pi$ one has

$$\sum_{E \in \Pi} \left| f(\tau_E) \mu(E) - (oH) \int_E f \, d\mu \right| \leq b_n.$$  

3.3.2) for every $n$ and every $\gamma_n$-fine partition $\Pi$ it holds

$$\sum_{E \in \Pi} \left| f(\tau_E) \mu(E) - f(\tau'_{E}) \mu(E) \right| \leq b_n,$$

when all the tags satisfy the condition $E \subset \gamma_n(\tau_E)$ and $E \subset \gamma_n(\tau'_E)$ for all $E$.

**Remark 3.4.** Observe that in Corollary 3.3 all partitions may also be free, since, as already noticed, the restriction $\tau_E \in E$ does not affect the results.

A consequence of this theorem is the following
Theorem 3.5. (\cite{[20]} Theorem 11) If \( f : T \to X \) is (oH)-integrable, then also \( |f| \) is.

Now one can prove that the modulus of the indefinite oH-integral of \( f \) is precisely the indefinite oH-integral of \( |f| \); a result of this type was given in \cite{[28]} Theorem 1 for the Bochner integral of functions taking values in a Banach lattice \( X \).

Definition 3.6. Let \( f : T \to X \) be any (oH)-integrable mapping, and set \( \mu_f(A) = (\text{oH}) \int_A f d\mu \) for all Borel sets \( A \in \mathcal{B} \). Then \( \mu_f \) is said to be the indefinite integral of \( f \). The modulus of \( \mu_f \), denoted by \( |\mu_f| \), is defined for each \( A \in \mathcal{B} \) as follows: \( |\mu_f|(A) = \sup\{\sum_{B \in \pi} |\mu_f(B)| : \pi \in \Pi(A)\} \) where \( \Pi(A) \) is the family of all finite partitions of \( A \).

Then

Theorem 3.7. (\cite{[20]} Theorem 13) If \( f : T \to X \) is (oH)-integrable then one has \( |\mu_f| = \mu_f \).

Proof. The (oH)-integrability of \( |f| \) follows from Theorem 3.5 together with \( |\mu_f| \leq \mu_f \) and so \( |\mu_f| \) is bounded. Now for the reverse inequality it will be sufficient to prove that \( \mu_f(T) = |\mu_f|(T) \) thanks to the additivity of \( |\mu_f| \) and \( \mu_f \). Let \((b_n)_n\) and \((\gamma_n)_n\) be an \((o)\)-sequence and its corresponding sequence of gauges such that, for every \( n \) and every \( \gamma_n \)-fine partition \( \pi \equiv (E_i, t_i) \), it holds

\[
\sqrt{\left\{ \sum_i \left| f(t_i) \mu(E_i) - (\text{oH}) \int_{E_i} f d\mu \right|, \sum_i \left| f(t_i) \mu(E_i) - (\text{oH}) \int_{E_i} |f| d\mu \right| \right\}} \leq b_n,
\]

\[
\sum_i \left| f(t_i) \mu(E_i) - (\text{oH}) \int_{E_i} f d\mu \right| \leq b_n. \tag{3}
\]

So,

\[
\mu_f(T) - |\mu_f|(T) \leq \sum_i \left( \mu_f(E_i) - (\text{oH}) \int_{E_i} f d\mu \right) \leq \sum_i \left( \mu_f(E_i) - |f(t_i)| \mu(E_i) \right) + \sum_i \left( |f(t_i)| \mu(E_i) - (\text{oH}) \int_{E_i} f d\mu \right) \leq 2b_n.
\]

thanks to \((3)\). Since \( b_n \downarrow 0 \), then \( \mu_f(T) = |\mu_f|(T) \), from which the assertion follows. \( \Box \)
Another appealing consequence is obtained in $L$-spaces. In the sequel, when the Banach lattice is assumed to be an $L$-space, it will be denoted by $L$ rather than $X$. The following definition, related with norm-integrability, is introduced.

**Definition 3.8.** [25, Definition 3] $f : T \to X$ is *variationally $H$ integrable* (in short vH-integrable) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge $\gamma$ such that, for every $\gamma$-fine partition $\Pi \equiv (E, t_E)_E$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\sum_{E \in \Pi} \| f(t_E) \mu(E) - \int_E f \, d\mu \| \leq \varepsilon .
$$

(4)

For results on variational integrability see also [15,25,37].

**Theorem 3.9.** ([20, Theorem 15]) If $f : T \to L$ is $(oH)$-integrable then $f$ is Bochner integrable.

**Proof.** It will be enough to prove that $f$ is vH-integrable thanks to [26, Theorem 2]. By Corollary 3.3 there exist an $(o)$-sequence $(b_n)_n$ and a corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ of gauges, such that, for every $n$ and every $\gamma_n$-fine partition $\Pi$ one has

$$
\sum_{E \in \Pi} | f(\tau_E) \mu(E) - f(\tau'_E) \mu(E) | \leq b_n .
$$

By order continuity of the norm it is $\lim_n \| b_n \| = 0$. So, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\| b_N \| \leq \varepsilon$. Then, if $\Pi$ is any $\gamma_N$-fine partition, one has

$$
\left\| \sum_{E \in \Pi} | f(\tau_E) \mu(E) - f(\tau'_E) \mu(E) | \right\| \leq \| b_N \| \leq \varepsilon ,
$$

in accordance with condition 3.3.2. Since $\| \cdot \|$ is an $L$-norm it follows that

$$
\sum_{E \in \Pi} \| f(\tau_E) \| - \| f(\tau'_E) \| \| \mu(E) \| \leq \| b_N \| \leq \varepsilon ,
$$

(5)

when $\Pi$ is $\gamma_N$-fine, both for the tags $\tau_E$ and for the tags $\tau'_E$. Now, proceeding as in Theorem 3.5, it is not difficult to prove that $\| f \|$ satisfies the Cauchy criterion for the Henstock integrability, and therefore it is integrable. From (5) and (3.3.1) the condition (4) follows. $\square$
This result is apparently in contrast with the common situation in
general Banach spaces (when only norm integrals are involved); more-
over, in some normed lattices, Bochner (norm) integrability does not
imply oH-integrability, as shown in the example ([9, Example 2.8]).

Theorem 3.9 can be used to show that the (oH)-integrability is
stronger than (H)-integrability: it is enough to consider for example
the function \( f \) defined in [45, Theorem 3] when \( X \) is any \( L \)-space of
infinite dimension. The function \( f \) is McShane integrable (i.e. H-
integrable), but not Bochner integrable. Since \( f \) takes values in an
\( L \)-space, it cannot be oH-integrable, in view of the previous theorem.

Another consequence of the previous results is that an \( M \)-space \( X \)
adopts an equivalent \( L \)-norm only if it is of finite dimension. In fact
in such an \( M \)-space \( X \) the (H)-integrability and the (oH)-integrability
are the same: so, if \( X \) has an equivalent \( L \)-norm then the previous
argument immediately shows that \( X \) must be of finite dimension.

3.1 Integrability in \([0, 1]\)

In this section the space \( T \) is \([0, 1]\) endowed with the usual Lebesgue
measure \( \lambda \). Rather than using partitions made up with arbitrary mea-
surable subsets, here they will be taken more traditionally as free par-
titions consisting of subintervals. Indeed, in [31] it is pro-
ven that there is equivalence between the two types: though the proof there is
related only to norm integrals, the technique is the same. The sym-
bol (oM)-integral will be used instead of (oH)-integral. Analogous
to [35, Lemma 5.35], it holds:

**Lemma 3.10.** ([20, lemma 18]) Let \( f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \) be given and
suppose that there exists an (o)-sequence \((b_n)\) such that, for every \( n \)
two (oM)-integrable functions \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) can be found, with the same
regulating (o)-sequence \((\beta_n)\), such that \( g_1 \leq f \leq g_2 \) and

\[
(\text{oM}) \int g_2 d\lambda \leq (\text{oM}) \int g_1 d\lambda + b_n.
\]

Then \( f \) is (oM)-integrable.

The (oM)-integrability of increasing functions can be obtained as in [35, example 5.36].
Theorem 3.11. \textbf{(20, Theorem 19)} Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be increasing. Then $f$ is (oM)-integrable.

In a similar way, one can prove the (oM)-integrability more generally for (order bounded) mappings that are Riemann-integrable in the order sense.

Above and also in the previous Theorem, one can easily see that the gauges involved in the proof are constant mappings. More generally, one can consider notions of McShane, or Henstock integrability, in which the gauges involved are required to be measurable positive mappings. These notions have been studied in [39] and related bibliography, in terms of the norm integral. The corresponding notions for the order-type integral are now considered.

Definition 3.12. The mapping $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is said to be \textit{order-Birkhoff integrable} if there exist an element $J \in X$, an o-sequence $(p_n)_n$ in $X$ and a corresponding sequence of measurable gauges $\gamma_n$ on $[0, 1]$ such that, for every integer $n$, and every $\gamma_n$-fine free partition $P \equiv (t_i, E_i)_{i=1}^k$ of $[0, 1]$ it holds $|\sigma(f, P) - J| \leq p_n$, where $\sigma(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i)|E_i|$.

Observe that the partitions $P$ consist of non-overlapping intervals, and the tags $t_i$ do not belong necessarily to the corresponding subintervals $E_i$. When $f$ is order-Birkhoff integrable (shortly, (oB)-integrable), the element $J$ will be called the oB-integral of $f$ and denoted by $(\text{oB}) \int_0^1 f(x) dx$. The terminology used here, i.e. Birkhoff integrability, is due to the fact that, in the case of norm-type integrals, this notion is equivalent precisely to the Birkhoff (norm) integral: see [39] Remark 1.

For further reference, the notation (oBH)-integrability (i.e. \textit{order-Henstock-Birkhoff)-integrability) is used if, in the above definition, only Perron-type partitions are allowed, i.e. partitions for which the tags belong to the corresponding sub-intervals. Standard techniques allow to prove usual properties of the (oB)-integral, according to the next Proposition.

Proposition 3.13. Let $f$ and $g$ be oB-integrable mappings on $[0, 1]$, and fix arbitrarily $\alpha, \beta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\alpha f + \beta g$ is oB-integrable and

$$(\text{oB}) \int_0^1 (\alpha f + \beta g) dx = \alpha (\text{oB}) \int_0^1 f dx + \beta (\text{oB}) \int_0^1 g dx.$$
Moreover, \( f_{[a,b]} \) is \( \text{oB} \)-integrable, for every \( a, b \in [0,1] \), \( a < b \), and

\[
(\text{oB}) \int_0^1 f \, dx = (\text{oB}) \int_0^1 f_{[0,a]} \, dx + (\text{oB}) \int_0^1 f_{[a,1]} \, dx
\]

Clearly, the notation \((\text{oB}) \int_a^b f \, dx\) will be used, to indicate the integral of \( f_{[a,b]} \).

In [44, Example 2.1], it is proven that, in general, \( \text{H} \)-integrable mappings are not Birkhoff (neither, a fortiori, Bochner) integrable: since the mapping given there takes values in an \( M \)-space (i.e. \( l^{\infty}([0,1]) \)), this is also an example of an \( \text{oM} \)-integrable function that is not Birkhoff integrable. This example will be used to discuss other implications.

First, denote by \( D \) the set of all dyadic rational points in \([0,1]\) and enumerate the elements of \( D \) as a sequence \((d_n)_{n=0}^{+\infty}\). Next, set \( \varphi(d_n) = \frac{1}{2^n} \) for each \( n \). Then define the following \( \sigma \)-additive measure \( \mu \) in the space \(([0,1], \mathcal{P}([0,1]))\):

\[
\mu(E) := \sum_{d_n \in E} \varphi(d_n),
\]

for each \( E \subset [0,1] \). Clearly \( \mu \) is finite and the space \( L := L^1([0,1], \mathcal{P}([0,1]), \mu) \) is an \( L \)-space, with the norm

\[
\|f\|_1 = \sum_{d \in D} |f(d)|\varphi(d).
\]

Observe that each function in \( l^{\infty}([0,1]) \) is also a member of \( L \), since the series \( \sum_n \varphi(d_n) \) is convergent, and the two spaces \( L \) and \( l^{\infty} \) have the same natural ordering. Moreover, if \((p_n)_n\) is a decreasing sequence in \( l^{\infty} \) with infimum 0, it is clear that \( \lim_n \|p_n\|_1 = 0 \) (when \((p_n)_n\) is thought of as a sequence in \( L \)).

Now, recall the Phillips’ example, i.e. the function \( f : [0,1] \to l^{\infty}([0,1]) \) defined as follows:

\[
f(t)(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |t-s| \text{ is a dyadic rational}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

As earlier proved by Phillips in [40, Example 10.2], \( f \) is not Birkhoff integrable, but it is McShane integrable, as later shown by Rodriguez in [44]. Since \( l^{\infty}([0,1]) \) is an \( M \)-space, \( f \) is also \( (\text{oM}) \)-integrable.

Now, since the range of \( f \) is contained in \( L \) (endowed with the same ordering as \( l^{\infty} \)), by the previous remarks it follows that \( f \) is
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oH-integrable in this space, and therefore Bochner integrable thanks to Theorem 3.9. So, the same mapping $f$ is Bochner integrable with respect to the $\| \cdot \|_1$-norm but not even Birkhoff integrable with respect to $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$.

The example in [9, Example 2.8] shows that also for this type of integral generally a.e. equality is not sufficient for two functions to have the same integral. However, the proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that this is actually the case for bounded functions. For this reason here only bounded integrable mappings $f : [0, 1] \to X$ are considered (unless otherwise specified).

One interesting result in this topic is that, at least for bounded functions, (oB)-integrability can also be defined by means of Perron-type partitions, i.e. (oB)-integrability is equivalent to (oBH)-integrability. This is not easy to prove: to give an idea, a similar equivalence for the (oM)-integral as defined at the beginning of this section, i.e. without requiring measurability of the involved gauges, is still an open problem.

In order to achieve the purpose, some more notions are necessary. The following definition is inspired at [39, Definition 2(b)].

**Definition 3.14.** Let $f : [0, 1] \to X$ be any mapping, and fix any measurable subset $E \in [0, 1]$. The function $f$ will be said to be (o)-Riemann measurable in $E$ if there exist an (o)-sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X$ and a corresponding sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of closed subsets of $E$, such that $\lambda(E \setminus F_n) \leq n^{-1}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |f(t_i) - f(t'_i)| \lambda(E_i) \leq p_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

hold true, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every finite collection of pairs $\{(t_i, E_i)\}_{i=1}^{k}$, where the sets $E_i$ are pairwise non-overlapping subintervals, with the tags $t_i$ belong to $E_i \cap F_n$ for all $i$ and $\max \{\lambda(E_i)\} \leq n^{-1}$.

Remark that, in this definition, the formula (6) is (formally) quite stronger than the corresponding one, introduced in [39, Definition 2(b)]: the norm in the latter is *outside* the summation, while here the modulus is *inside*.

It is easy to see that, if $f$ is (o)-Riemann measurable on a set $E$, then also $\alpha f$ is, for every real constant $\alpha$. Moreover, if $f$ and $g$ are
(o)-Riemann measurable on $E$, the same holds also for $f + g$. Other useful facts are collected in the following Proposition, whose proof is similar to that of [39, Theorem 1].

**Proposition 3.15.** Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be any mapping, and fix any measurable set $E$ in $[0, 1]$. The following properties hold:

3.15a) If $f$ is (o)-Riemann measurable on $E$, then it is on every measurable subset $E_1 \subset E$.

3.15b) $f$ is (o)-Riemann measurable on $E$ if and only if $f1_E$ is (o)-Riemann measurable on $[0, 1]$.

Moreover

**Theorem 3.16.** Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be any (oBH)-integrable mapping on $[0, 1]$. Then $f$ is (o)-Riemann measurable.

**Proof.** Thanks to the Henstock Lemma, in particular [3.3.2), it follows that, also for this type of integral, there exist an (o)-sequence $(p_n)_n$ and a corresponding sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ of measurable gauges such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |f(t_i)\lambda(E_i) - f(t'_i)\lambda(E_i)| \leq p_n,$$

as soon as all the pairs $(t_i, E_i)$ form a $\gamma_n$-fine Henstock-type partition. (The fact that here the sets $E_i$ are subintervals is irrelevant). Now, fix $n$. Since $\gamma_n$ is measurable, there exist a positive $\delta_n > 0$ and an open set $G_n \subset [0, 1]$ with $\lambda(G_n) < n^{-1}$ such that $\{t \in [0, 1] : \gamma_n(t) < \delta_n\} \subset G_n$. Setting $F_n = [0, 1] \setminus G_n$, then $F_n$ is closed and, by the previous inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |f(t_i) - f(t'_i)|\lambda(E_i) \leq p_n$$

holds true, as soon as the intervals $E_i$ are non-overlapping and satisfy $\max_i \lambda(E_i) \leq \delta_n$, and the points $t_i, t'_i$ are in $E_i \cap F_n$.

Now, it will be proven that, as soon as $f$ is bounded and (o)-Riemann measurable, then it is (oB)-integrable. Of course, thanks to Theorem 3.16 this will imply that (oBH)-integrability for bounded functions is equivalent to (oB)-integrability. Proceeding as in [39], a technical Lemma concerning the oscillation $\omega$ of a bounded function $f$ will be stated. Its proof is omitted since it is completely similar to that of [39, Lemma 1].
Lemma 3.17. Let \( f : [0, 1] \to X \) be any bounded mapping, and fix any measurable set \( F \subset [0, 1] \). Assume that \( a \in X \) and \( \delta \in \mathbb{R} \) are two positive elements such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \omega(f; [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \cap F) \leq \frac{a}{5}
\]

as soon as \((\alpha_i, \beta_i))_{i=1}^{N}\) are pairwise disjoint subintervals of \([0, 1]\) with \( \max_i(\beta_i - \alpha_i) \leq 10\delta \). Then

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\beta_k - \alpha_k) \omega(f; [\alpha_k - \delta, \beta_k + \delta] \cap F) \leq a
\]

as soon as \((\alpha_k, \beta_k))_{k=1}^{K}\) are pairwise disjoint subintervals of \([0, 1]\) with \( \max_k(\beta_k - \alpha_k) \leq 2\delta \).

So it follows:

Theorem 3.18. Let \( f : [0, 1] \to X \) be a bounded and \((\omega)\)-Riemann measurable map. Then \( f \) is \((\omegaB)\)-integrable.

Proof. First, denote by \( M \) the supremum of \( |f(x)| \), as \( x \) runs in \([0, 1]\). Next, let \((p_n)\) and \((F_n)\) denote the \((\omega)\)-sequence and the corresponding sequence of measurable sets in \([0, 1]\) related to the \((\omega)\)-Riemann measurability of \( f \). Now, define a new sequence \((\gamma'_n)\) of measurable gauges as follows:

\[
\gamma'_n(t) = \begin{cases} 
\gamma_n(t)/20, & t \in F_n \\
\text{dist}(t, F), & t \notin F_n
\end{cases}
\]

Next, fix \( n \) and choose two free partitions of \([a, b]\), say \( P \) and \( P' \), respectively with pairs \((E_i, t_i), i = 1...k\), and \((E'_{j}, t'_{j}), j = 1,...k'\), subordinate to \( \gamma'_n \). It holds

\[
|\sigma(f, P) - \sigma(f, P')| = \left| \sum_{i,j} (f(t_i) - f(t'_j)) \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) \right| \leq \\
\leq \sum_{(i,j) \in S} (f(t_i) - f(t'_j)) \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) + \\
+ \sum_{(i,j) \notin S} |f(t_i) - f(t'_j)| \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j),
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j} (f(t_i) - f(t'_j)) \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j)
\]
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where $S$ denotes the set of all couples $(i, j)$ such that $t_i$ and $t'_j$ belong to $F_n$. By definition of the sets $F_n$, one has $\lambda(F_n) \leq n^{-1}$, and so, also thanks to the definition of $\gamma'_n$:

$$\sum_{(i, j) \notin S} |f(t_i) - f(t'_j)| \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) \leq 2Mn^{-1};$$

moreover, as to the first summand, one has clearly

$$\left| \sum_{(i, j) \in S} (f(t_i) - f(t'_j)) \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) \right| \leq \sum_{(i, j) \in S} |f(t_i) - f(t'_j)| \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j).$$

Now, from the definition of $\gamma'_n$, it follows that

$$\max_{(i, j) \in S} \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) \leq 5^{-1} \gamma_n ;$$

so, thanks to the Lemma 3.17 and (o)-Riemann measurability,

$$\sum_{(i, j) \in S} |f(t_i) - f(t'_j)| \lambda(E_i \cap E'_j) \leq 5p_n .$$

Now, from the previous inequalities, one gets

$$|\sigma(f, P) - \sigma(f, P')| \leq 5p_n + 2Mn^{-1} .$$

Since $(5p_n + 2Mn^{-1})_n$ is clearly an (o)-sequence, the Cauchy condition for the (oB)-integrability is satisfied; and, since $X$ is complete, $f$ turns out to be (oB)-integrable.

\[\square\]

**Conclusions**

In this paper the notions of Henstock (Mc Shane) integrability for functions defined in a metric compact regular space and taking values in a Banach lattice with an order-continuous norm are investigated. Both the norm-type and the order-type integrals have been examined. In general the order-type integral is stronger than the norm-one, while in $M$-spaces the two notions coincide and in $L$-spaces the order-type Henstock integral implies the Bochner one.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors have been supported by University of Perugia – Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences– Grant Nr 2010.011.0403, Prin “Metodi logici per il trattamento dell’informazione”, Prin “Descartes” and by the Grant prot. U2014/000237 of GNAMPA - INDAM (Italy).
Comparison between some norm and order gauge integrals...

References

[1] E. J. Balder and A. R. Sambucini, A note on strong convergence for Pettis integrable functions, *Vietnam J. Math.* **31** (3) (2003), 341–347.

[2] E. J. Balder and A. R. Sambucini, On weak compactness and lower closure results for Pettis integrable (multi)functions, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **52** (1) (2004), 53–61.

[3] A. Boccuto, A. V. Bukhvalov and A. R. Sambucini, Inequalities in Classical Spaces with Mixed Norms, *Positivity* **6** (2002), 393–411.

[4] A. Boccuto and D. Candeloro, A survey of decomposition and convergence theorems for $l$-group-valued measures, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena e Reggio Emilia* **53** (2005), 243–260.

[5] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro and A. R. Sambucini, A Fubini Theorem in Riesz Spaces for the Kurzweil-Henstock Integral, *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications* **9**, No. 3 (2011), 283–304. doi:10.1155/2011/158412

[6] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro and A.R. Sambucini, Vitali-type theorems for filter convergence related to vector lattice-valued modulars and applications to stochastic processes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* vol 419 (2) (2014), 818–838. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.014

[7] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro and A. R. Sambucini, Henstock multivalued integrability in Banach lattices with respect to pointwise non atomic measures, submitted for publication to *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei* (2015).

[8] A. Boccuto and X. Dimitriou, *Convergence theorems for Lattice Group-Valued Measures*, Bentham Science Publ. U.A.E. (2014).

[9] A. Boccuto, A.M. Minotti and A.R. Sambucini, Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock integral in Riesz space setting, *PanAmerican Mathematical Journal* **23** (1) (2013), 57–74.

[10] A. Boccuto, B. Riečan and M. Vrabelova, *Kurzweil-Henstock integral in Riesz spaces*, e-book, Bentham Science Publ., U. A. E., 2009.

[11] A. Boccuto and A. R. Sambucini, A McShane Integral for Multifunctions, *J. Concr. Appl. Math.* **2** (4) (2004), 307-325.
[12] A. Boccuto and A. R. Sambucini, A note on comparison between Birkhoff and McShane-type integrals for multifunctions, *Real Anal. Exchange* **37** (2) (2012), 315-324.

[13] A. Boccuto, A.R. Sambucini and V.A. Skvortsov Integration by parts for Perron type integrals of order 1 and 2 in Riesz spaces, *Results in Mathematics* **51** (2007), 5–27.

[14] B. Bongiorno, *The Henstock–Kurzweil integral*, in Handobook of measure theory, vols. I, II, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, 587–615.

[15] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, A variational Henstock integral characterization of the Radon-Nikodým property, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **1** (2009), 87–99.

[16] A. V. Bukhvalov, A. I. Veksler and V. A. Geiler, Normed lattices, *Journal of Soviet Mathematics* **18** (4) (1982), 516–551.

[17] A. V. Bukhvalov, A. I. Veksler and G. Ya Lozanovskii, Banach Lattices - Some Banach Aspects of Their Theory, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **34** (2) (1979), 159–212.

[18] D. Candeloro, Riemann-Stieltjes integration in Riesz Spaces, *Rend. Mat. Roma* (Ser. VII), **16** (2) (1996), 563-585.

[19] D. Candeloro and A.R. Sambucini, Filter convergence and decompositions for vector lattice-valued measures, *Mediterranean J. Math.* (2014) doi: 10.1007/s00009-014-0431-0, arXiv:1401.7818 [math.FA].

[20] D. Candeloro and A.R. Sambucini, Order-type Henstock and McShane integrals in Banach lattice setting, *Proceedings of the SISY 2014* - IEEE 12th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics, pages 55-59; ISBN 978-1-4799-5995-2.

[21] S. Cao, The Henstock integral for Banach-valued functions, *SEA Bull. Math.* **16** (1992), 35-40.

[22] K. Cichón and M. Cichón Some Applications of Nonabsolute Integrals in the Theory of Differential Inclusions in Banach Spaces, in: G.P. Curbera, G. Mockenhaupt, W.J. Ricker (Eds.), Vector Measures, Integration and Related Topics, in: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 201, BirHauser-Verlag, ISBN: 978-3-0346-0210-5 (2010), 115-124.

[23] A. Croitoru and A. Gavriliuţ, Comparison Between Birkhoff Integral and Gould Integral, *Mediterr. J. Math.* DOI 10.1007/s00009-014-0410-5 (2014).
Comparison between some norm and order gauge integrals...

[24] R. Deville and J. Rodríguez, Integration in Hilbert generated Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **177** (2010), 285-306.

[25] L. Di Piazza, and V. Marraffa, The McShane, PU and Henstock integrals of Banach valued functions, *Czech. Math. Journal* **52** (2002), 609-633.

[26] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, A characterization of variationally McShane integrable Banach-space valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **45** (1) (2001), 279-289.

[27] L. Di Piazza and D. Preiss, When do McShane and Pettis integrals coincide?, *Illinois Journal of Math.* **47** (4) (2003), 1177–1187.

[28] L. Drewnowski and W. Wnuk, On the modulus of indefinite vector integrals with values in Banach lattices, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **47** (1999), 221-233.

[29] D. H. Fremlin, The Henstock and McShane integrals of vector-valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (3) (1994), 471–479.

[30] D. H. Fremlin, J. Mendoza, On the integration of vector-valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (1) (1994), 127–147.

[31] D. H. Fremlin, The generalized McShane integral, *Illinois J. Math.* **39** (1) (1995), 39–67.

[32] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure theory. Vol. 3. Measure Algebras*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2002.

[33] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure theory. Vol. 4. Topological measure spaces*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2006.

[34] A.C. Gavriluț, A.E. Iosif and A. Croitoru, The Gould integral in Banach lattices, *Positivity* (2014), DOI 10.1007/s11117-014-0283-7.

[35] D.S.Kurtz and C.W.Swarz, *Theories of Integration. The integrals of Riemann, Lebesgue, Henstock-Kurzweil, and McShane*, World Scientific Series in Real Analysis vol. 9 (2004).

[36] C. C. A. Labuschagne and B. A. Watson, Discrete stochastic integration in Riesz spaces, *Positivity* **14** (4) (2010), 859-875.

[37] V. Marraffa, The Variational McShane Integral in Locally Convex Spaces, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* **39** (2009), 1993–2013. DOI: 10.1216/RMJ-2009-39-6-1993
[38] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1991.

[39] K.M. Naralenkov, A Lusin type measurability property for vector-valued functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **417** (1) (2014), 293–307.

[40] R.S. Phillips, Integration in a convex linear topological space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47** (1940), 114-145.

[41] B. Riečan, On the Kurzweil Integral in Compact Topological Spaces, *Radovi Mat.* **2** (1986), 151-163.

[42] J. Rodríguez, On the existence of Pettis integrable functions which are not Birkhoff integrable, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (4) (2005), 1157–1163.

[43] J. Rodríguez, On the equivalence of McShane and Pettis integrability in non-separable Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **350** (2009), 514-524.

[44] J. Rodríguez, Some examples in vector integration, *Bull. of the Australian Math. Soc.* **80** (3) (2009), 384 – 392.

[45] V.A. Skvortsov and A.P. Solodov, A variational integral for Banach-valued functions, *Real Anal. Exchange* **24** (1998-1999), 799-806.