Coexisting of Quantum Hall and Quantum Anomalous Hall phases in Disordered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\)
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In most cases, to observe quantized Hall plateaux, an external magnetic field is applied in intrinsic magnetic topological insulators \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\). Nevertheless, whether the nonzero Chern number \((C \neq 0)\) phase is a quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) state, or a quantum Hall (QH) state, or a mixing state of both is still a puzzle, especially for the recently observed \(C = 2\) phase [Deng et al., Science 367, 895 (2020)]. In this Letter, we propose a physical picture based on the Anderson localization to understand the observed Hall plateaux in disordered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\). Rather good consistency between the experimental and numerical results confirms that the bulk states are localized in the absence of a magnetic field and a QAH edge state emerges with \(C = 1\). However, under a strong magnetic field, the lowest Landau band formed with the localized bulk states, survives disorder, together with the QAH edge state, leading to a \(C = 2\) phase. Eventually, we present a phase diagram of a disordered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) which indicates more coexistence states of QAH and QH to be verified by future experiments.

Introduction.— Since successfully synthesized in experiments [1, 2], \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) as an intrinsic magnetic topological insulator has attracted great attention [3–10]. Intriguingly, the layered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) is predicted to show plenty of exotic quantum phases, such as Weyl semimetals, quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) insulators, topological axion insulators, etc [6, 7]. However, to observe quantized Hall plateaux, it is common to apply a perpendicular magnetic field to raise the interlayer magnetic order of \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) [3–5]. On one hand, a magnetic field can induce an exchange gap to make it easier to observe the QAH effect [11–14], which gives rise to a higher Chern number with increasing the magnetic field [3, 4]. On the other hand, the quantum Hall (QH) effect can also exist, in which case a lower Chern number corresponds to a larger magnetic field, opposite to the QAH case.

Usually, the fabricated \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) in experiments owns extremely low mobility from 74 cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) to 1500 cm\(^2\)V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\), indicating the presence of strong disorder [2–5]. It thus suggests that the Anderson localization [15] may play a key role in these systems. In this case, bulk states are localized and a mobility gap may dominate the QAH phase instead of a band gap [16, 17]. Although it has been suggested that the localized bulk states can also form Landau bands due to the magnetic-field-induced delocalization [18, 19], such a process, especially accompanied by the coexistence of QAH and QH states, remains elusive in experiments. In this regard, the phase transitions of \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) under a magnetic field can be full of complexity and also of great interest, especially for the cases with higher Chern numbers.

Recently, the observation of the QAH effect was reported in \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) thin flakes [3], which implies the existence of Anderson localization in a topological system. The well-developed QAH effect emerges as the Hall resistance \(R_{xy}\) reaches \(-h/e^2\) under a weak magnetic field. Apart from the QAH plateau, \(R_{xy}\) goes through an additional plateau at \(-h/(2e^2)\) under a strong magnetic field [see the inset of Fig. 1]. The second Hall plateau is speculated as the emergence of an additional QH edge state in Ref. [3]. However, it has not been well understood because the bulk states accounting for the additional Landau band will cover the QAH edge state and lead to an unquantized Hall resistance under the weak magnetic field. Thus, it is essential to use the Anderson localization to understand the mechanism of both Hall plateaus in a unified framework, thus, help us to identify the complex phase transitions in disordered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\).

In this Letter, we provide a physical picture based on the Anderson localization to understand the complex phase transition from a pure QAH phase to a phase composing of QAH and QH edge states in disordered \(\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4\) [see Fig. 1(a)]. Via an established disordered Hamiltonian and the nonequilibrium Green’s function method [20–22], we calculate the Hall resistance \(R_{xy}\) and the longitudinal resistance \(R_{xx}\) of a six-terminal device [see Fig. 1]. The results are in good agreement with the experimental data [see Fig. 1(b) and 1(c)]. Furthermore, we capture the underlying physics by analysing some important physical quantities, such as the localization length, the ratio of the geometric mean density of states (DOS) \(\rho_{\text{typ}}\) to the arithmetic mean DOS \(\rho_{\text{ave}}\) [23–28] and the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [27–32]. To be specific, for a high Fermi energy [see the cyan curve in...
and the QAH edge state emerges. For a strong magnetic field, these localized bulk states can still form Landau bands coexisting with the QAH state. (b) Calculated Hall resistance $R_{yx}$ as a function of magnetic field $\Phi/\phi_0$ under different Fermi energies. (c) Calculated longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ and $R_{yx}$ as a function of Fermi energy. The insets show the experimental measured $R_{xx}$ and $R_{yx}$ adopted from Ref. [3], where $\mu_0 H$ and $V_g$ correspond to the magnetic field and Fermi energy, respectively. The numerical simulation is performed with sample size of $400 \times 400$ sites and averaged over 320 disorder configurations.

Fig. 1(b)], the bulk states are localized without a magnetic field, and a $C = 1$ QAH phase emerges. However, under a strong magnetic field, localized bulk states can still form Landau bands, and the QH edge state coexists with the QAH edge state, leading to a $C = 2$ phase [see Fig. 1(b)]. Ultimately, we show a phase diagram of a disordered MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ which not only relates to the current experiment [3], but also indicates more coexistence states of QAH and QH waiting to be further experimentally explored.

Effective model of ferromagnetic MnBi$_2$Te$_4$.— Due to the confinement in the $z$ direction of a three-dimensional (3D) MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ film, a two-dimensional (2D) subband model can effectively describe the underlying physics. Proceeding along the paradigm for the 3D to 2D crossover [33], the 2D effective model of a disordered ferromagnetic (FM) MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ takes the following form [3]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{2D} = \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & \delta \\ -\delta & h_- \end{pmatrix} + H_D,$$

where $h_\pm = (v_2 \pm v_4)(k_x \tau_x \pm k_y \tau_y) + [(m_0 \pm m_1) - (t_0 \pm t_1)] \tau_z$ and the coupling $\delta = i t_c \tau_y$. Here, $v_2$, $v_4$, $m_0$, $m_1$, $t_0$, $t_1$ are model parameters and $m_1$, $t_1$ are induced by FM order. $\tau_i$ ($i = x, y, z$) are Pauli matrices. An external magnetic field $\Phi/\phi_0$ is included by doing the Peierls substitution [34] as a phase of the hopping term [35]. We consider the random disorder as $H_D = V(\mathbf{r})\tau_z$, and two parameters characterize the disorder, including the density $n$ and strength $W$ with $V(\mathbf{r})$ uniformly distributed within $[-W/2, W/2]$. Following the experiment [3], the model parameters for numerical calculations are fixed as $v_2 = 1.41$, $v_4 = 1.09$, $m_0 = 1.025$, $m_1 = 0.975$, $t_0 = 0.5$, $t_1 = 1.0$, $W = 4$, $n = 0.2$ and $t_c = 0.1$, unless otherwise specified.

To illustrate the physical picture clearly, we first simulate a six-terminal device based on Eq. (1) under the clean limit $W = 0$ and compare it with the experiment [3] in the Supplementary Material [36]. Evidently, the numerical results do not fit the experimental results well, especially under weak magnetic fields. However, when the disorder is involved, a detailed comparison between the numerical results and experimental data [3] shows a good agreement in Fig. 1. The following consistence between them are obtained: 1) For a high Fermi energy $E_F = 0.33$, $R_{yx}$ starts from $-h/e^2$ as a QAH phase, transits into $-h/(2e^2)$ as a C=2 Chern insulator phase [the cyan curve in Fig. 1(b)]. 2) For a lower Fermi energy $E_F = 0.08$, $R_{yx}$ keeps $-h/e^2$ [the purple curve in Fig. 1(b)]. 3) Under a fixed magnetic field $\Phi/\phi_0 = 0.06$, $R_{xx}$ goes from $-h/e^2$ to $-h/(2e^2)$ as $R_{xx}$ drops to zero at the plateau [see Fig. 1(c)]. Such a good agreement confirms that our effective model can capture the underlying physics of phase transitions in ferromagnetic MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ well.

Under the clean limit [37], $h_+$ describes a QAH insulator with a gap $m_0 + m_1$, while $h_-$ describes a normal insulator with a smaller gap $m_0 - m_1$. Thus, when the Fermi energy $E_F$ locates between $m_0 - m_1$ and $m_0 + m_1$, the QAH edge state of $h_+$ is submerged by the extended bulk states from $h_-$, and thus, the Hall resistance is not quantized as shown in [36]. As for the dirty case, the disorder tunes the extended states into localized states which is the so-called Anderson localization [15], while the QAH edge state is robust against weak disorder [38]. Consequently, the Hall resistance shows a quantized value without a magnetic field. In the presence of a magnetic field, the localized states can still collapse to form Landau bands, but disorder destroys them until the lowest Landau band survives. Then, the lowest Landau band forms a QH edge state which contributes the additional
with \( \Phi \) the above model, we investigate the spatial extention of the eigenstate at site \( i \) via the inverse participation ratio (IPR) \( \pi_i = \left\langle |\psi_i|^4 \right\rangle / \left\langle |\psi_i|^2 \right\rangle^2 \). The data are calculated with the normalization method \[24\]. For a extended state distributed uniformly over the sample, \( \rho_{\text{typ}} \) is almost the same as \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \), while for a localized state concentrated on certain sites, \( \rho_{\text{typ}} \) will be extremely small. Generally, in the thermodynamic limit \( (M \to \infty) \), the ratio \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) keeps finite for extended states, while \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) approaches zero for localized states \[39\].

In Fig. 2(a)-(d), we plot \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) versus Fermi energy \( E_F \) under different magnetic fields. When \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0 \), in the presence of disorder, a finite \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) in Fig. 2(c) and a small \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) decreasing with size \( M \) in Fig. 2(a) indicate that the considered energy interval lies in a mobility gap rather than a bulk gap. In other words, electrons occupy the localized states. To further reveal the effect of disorder in this case, we proceed to study the average IPR defined as \[27, 28, 31, 32\]

\[
P^{-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left| \frac{\psi_i}{\langle \psi_i \rangle} \right|^4
\]

where the wave function \( \psi_i \) is evaluated at site \( i \) and \( E_F \), and \( \langle \psi_i \rangle \) denotes the disorder average. As the DOS analysis, in the thermodynamic limit \( (M \to \infty) \), IPR scales as \( P^{-1} \propto 1/N \) with \( N = M^2 \) for extended states, and \( P^{-1} \) approaches constant for localized states \[27, 30\]. In Fig. 2(e)-(f), we investigate the effect of disorder at different \( E_F \) in the absence of magnetic field. When \( W = 0 \), the good linear fit of the red points shows the extended nature of the wave functions for both cases. However, when the disorder in Fig. 1 is included, the wave functions become localized which is manifested by the blue
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\caption{(color online) (a) and (b) show the ratio of the geometric mean \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) to the arithmetic mean DOS \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) with \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0 \) and \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0.06 \), respectively. The inset in (b) shows the IPR \( P^{-1} \) with \( E_F = 0.27 \). (c) and (d) show \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) with \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0 \) and \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0.06 \), respectively. (e) and (f) display \( P^{-1} \) with \( E_F = 0.08 \) and \( E_F = 0.33 \) in the absence of magnetic field, separately. \( N = M^2 \) is the total sites of the sample. The blue and red points are from numerical simulation, and the black line represents a linear fit to the data. All the data are calculated with \( N_x = N_y = M \).

Anderson localization induced Hall plateau.—To verify the Landau bands originates from localized bulk states in the above model, we investigate the spatial extention of the eigenstates through the ratio of the geometric mean DOS \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) to the arithmetic mean DOS \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) \[23-28\] and the inverse participation ratio (IPR) \[27-32\].

\( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{typ}} \) are calculated in a square sample with size \( M \) under periodic boundary conditions, which are defined as \[23-28\]

\[
\rho_{\text{ave}}(E_F) = \left\langle \rho(i, E_F) \right\rangle,
\]

\[
\rho_{\text{typ}}(E_F) = \exp \left[ \left\langle \ln \rho(i, E_F) \right\rangle \right]
\]

where \( \left\langle (...) \right\rangle \) denotes the arithmetic average over the sample sites and disorder realizations. The local DOS \( \rho(i, E_F) \) is calculated as \( \rho(i, E_F) = \sum_{n,\alpha,\beta} \langle |i, \alpha|n, \beta \rangle^2 \delta(E_F - E_{n,\beta}) \) where \( |i, \alpha \rangle \) denotes an eigenstate at site \( i \) and orbital \( \alpha \), and \( n \) is the index for energy level. Here, we substitute \( \eta/[\pi(x^2 + \eta^2)] \) for \( \delta(x) \)

approximately with \( \eta = 10^{-4} \) and use an exact diagonalization method \[24\]. For a extended state distributed uniformly over the sample, \( \rho_{\text{typ}} \) is almost the same as \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \), while for a localized state concentrated on certain sites, \( \rho_{\text{typ}} \) will be extremely small. Generally, in the thermodynamic limit \( (M \to \infty) \), the ratio \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) keeps finite for extended states, while \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) approaches zero for localized states \[39\].

In Fig. 2(a)-(d), we plot \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) versus Fermi energy \( E_F \) under different magnetic fields. When \( \Phi/\phi_0 = 0 \), in the presence of disorder, a finite \( \rho_{\text{ave}} \) in Fig. 2(c) and a small \( \rho_{\text{typ}} / \rho_{\text{ave}} \) decreasing with size \( M \) in Fig. 2(a) indicate that the considered energy interval lies in a mobility gap rather than a bulk gap. In other words, electrons occupy the localized states. To further reveal the effect of disorder in this case, we proceed to study the average IPR defined as \[27, 28, 31, 32\]

\[
P^{-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left| \frac{\psi_i}{\langle \psi_i \rangle} \right|^4
\]

where the wave function \( \psi_i \) is evaluated at site \( i \) and \( E_F \), and \( \langle \psi_i \rangle \) denotes the disorder average. As the DOS analysis, in the thermodynamic limit \( (M \to \infty) \), IPR scales as \( P^{-1} \propto 1/N \) with \( N = M^2 \) for extended states, and \( P^{-1} \) approaches constant for localized states \[27, 30\]. In Fig. 2(e)-(f), we investigate the effect of disorder at different \( E_F \) in the absence of magnetic field. When \( W = 0 \), the good linear fit of the red points shows the extended nature of the wave functions for both cases. However, when the disorder in Fig. 1 is included, the wave functions become localized which is manifested by the blue
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\caption{(color online) (a) and (b) Renormalized localization length \( \lambda_M = \lambda(M)/M \) against the magnetic field \( \Phi/\phi_0 \) with different Fermi energies. (c) and (d) display \( \lambda_M \) as a function of Fermi energy under different magnetic field strengths. The calculation is performed with the periodic boundary condition in the x direction.}
points with $P^{-1}$ approaching constant under large sample size $N$. It strongly shows that disorder localizes the extended states which hide the QAH edge state in the clean limit, and leads to a quantized Hall plateau with $C = 1$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. When the external magnetic field is applied [Fig. 2(b) and 2(d)], one can identify one distinct difference from the comparison between Fig. 2(b) and 2(a) that a peak arises around $E_F = 0.27$. It refers to the lowest Landau band of which the extended states locate in its center. To prove the extended states, we calculate $P^{-1}$ versus total sample sites $N$ with $E_F = 0.27$. As expected, the result in the inset of Fig. 2(b) displays a linear relation in logarithmic coordinates. On both sides of the peak, $\rho_{xyz}/\rho_{ave}$ is small and decreases with $M$, which indicates two different localized phases. The two phases exactly correspond to the Hall plateaux in Fig. 1(c) and the extended states at $E_F = 0.27$ means that the $C = 2$ phase contains a QH edge state.

Localization length.— Another method to characterize the Anderson localization is to perform the finite-size scaling of localization length $\lambda(M)$. $\lambda(M)$ is calculated at Fermi energy $E_F$ by transfer matrix method [40–44]. Generally, renormalized localization length $\Lambda(M) = \lambda/M$ increases with size $M$ in a metallic phase, decreases with $M$ in a localized phase and does not depend on $M$ at the critical point.

We consider a 2D strip of length $L_y$ ($\sim 10^6$) and width $L_x = M$, and put the finite-size scaling result in Fig. 3. First, we focus on the lower Fermi energy $E_F = 0.08$, where $d\Lambda(M)/dM < 0$ for any magnetic field strength [see Fig. 3(a)]. According to the previous analysis, the system keeps $C = 1$ as a QAH phase with a varying magnetic field. However, for $E_F = 0.33$, two critical points arise [see Fig. 3(b)]. With an increase in the magnetic field, the system starts from a QAH insulator with $C = 1$, undergoes a phase transition, and arrives at a Chern insulator with $C = 2$ which is the phase in Fig. 2(b). Thus, such a $C = 2$ phase is a coexistence state of QH and QAH phases. This is consistent with the six-terminal Hall calculation in Fig. 1(b). For stronger magnetic fields, the system will come back to a QAH insulator with $C = 1$ due to the shift of Landau bands.

Next, we turn to focus on $\Lambda(M)$ versus $E_F$ with fixed magnetic field [see Fig. 3(c)-(d)]. When $\Phi/\phi_0 = 0.06$, the result in Fig. 3(c) shows the distribution of Landau bands, and the peaks account for the extended states in their center. In such a case, the lower Landau bands are more robust against disorder, whereas the higher Landau bands are so weak that $\Lambda(M)$ collapses together and peaks disappear gradually. For a larger magnetic field, e.g. $\Phi/\phi_0 = 0.09$ in Fig. 3(d), each Landau band moves to higher energy, and more Landau bands become stable which can contribute more Hall plateaux.

Phase diagram and discussions.— To illustrate the physical picture completely, we calculate the localization length versus Fermi energy under different magnetic fields and summarize a phase diagram in Fig. 4. Specifically, at small magnetic fields or low Fermi energies, the system is in a QAH phase with $C = 1$ [the pink region in Fig. 4], while for large magnetic fields or high Fermi energies, the system is in a coexistence phase of QH and QAH with $C \geq 2$ [the blue region in Fig. 4]. For example, $E_F = 0.33$ or $\Phi/\phi_0 = 0.06$ corresponds to the scenario observed in experiment [3]. It is also remarkable to note that for a much higher Fermi energy in the phase diagram, such as $E_F = 0.8$, the system will start from a $C = 1$ QAH phase and go through a $C = 3$ phase before arriving at the $C = 2$ phase. An evident dip of Hall resistance $R_{xy}$ towards $h/(3e^2)$ between $h/(2e^2)$ in experiment [3] implies the existence of such a $C = 3$ phase. Thus, the phase diagram predicts more coexistence states of QAH and QH effect with higher Chern numbers to be verified by further experiments. To observe the higher Chern numbers, it is required to improve the quality of samples, i.e., cleaner samples, which will make it easier to realize Landau quantization under smaller magnetic fields.

Conclusion.— To summarize, we investigate a disordered MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ under an external magnetic field and find that the exotic Hall plateaux in the experiment [3] originates from physics of the Anderson localization. In the absence of a magnetic field, disorder localizes the bulk states within the QAH bulk gap and gives rise to a $C = 1$ phase. However, under an external magnetic field, the lowest Landau band, formed by those localized states, survives disorder and together with the QAH edge state, they lead to a $C = 2$ phase. Our work can help to distinguish the complex phase transitions of disordered MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ in the presence of a magnetic field, and also

FIG. 4. (color online) Phase diagram of a disordered ferromagnetic insulator MnBi$_2$Te$_4$ in the $E_F - \Phi/\phi_0$ plane. The blue balls mark the extended states of Landau bands and the solid line indicates the phase boundary. The phase diagram is identified from the renormalized localization length $\Lambda_M$. 
stimulates further experiments to observe more coexistence states of QAH and QH.
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S1. Simulation of a six-terminal device under the clean limit

Here, via an established Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) of the main text and the nonequilibrium Green’s function method [2–4], we calculate the Hall resistance $R_{yx}$ and the longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ of a six-terminal device under the clean limit.
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limit $W = 0$. The numerical results do not fit the experimental results well, especially under weak magnetic fields. Under the clean limit [5], $h_+$ describes a QAH insulator with a gap $m_0 + m_1$, while $h_-$ describes a normal insulator with a smaller gap $m_0 - m_1$. Thus, when the Fermi energy $E_F$ locates between $m_0 - m_1$ and $m_0 + m_1$, the QAH edge state of $h_+$ is submerged by the extended bulk states from $h_-$, and thus, the Hall resistance is not quantized as shown in Fig. S1.
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