Factors associated with reported challenging behavior in young people with epilepsy and neurodevelopmental problems: Data from a specialist epilepsy center
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Summary

Reported incidents of challenging behavior over a 6-month period (December 2011–May 2012) were systematically recorded in young people (8–23 years) with epilepsy (n = 125), and a comparison group of young people without epilepsy (n = 64) at a specialist epilepsy center in the United Kingdom. Factors associated with such incidents were analyzed via regression analysis. The presence of epilepsy was not a significant predictor of recorded challenging behavior. Factors associated with increases in recorded challenging behavior on multivariable analysis in the epilepsy sample were the use of medication for behavioral/psychiatric conditions (p < 0.05) and attending the center on a residential basis (p < 0.001). In the total sample, use of medication for behavioral/psychiatric conditions (p < 0.05), younger age (p < 0.01), IQ < 50 (p < 0.01), and residential status (p < 0.001) were associated with increases in recorded challenging behavior. The presence of depression was associated with reduced challenging behavior in the total sample (p < 0.05). The association between the use of psychopharmacology and increased challenging behavior in those with epilepsy and nonepilepsy could indicate a difficult to treat behavioral/psychiatric burden, lack of treatment efficacy, and/or an increased side effect profile and needs further examination.
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Epilepsy in young people is associated with a range of neurobehavioral difficulties, including Intellectual Development Disorder (IDD, also known as Intellectual Disability), Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), thought disorder/schizophrenia-like symptoms, and anxiety/depression. These difficulties are often underrecognized and can have a greater impact on quality of life than the seizures.

Challenging behavior is associated with increases in receipt of antipsychotic medication, service use, hospitalization, restrictive care practices, and deprivation. In relation to potential contributors to challenging behavior in individuals with epilepsy, comorbid psychopathology was associated with violence in a meta-analysis of violence in epilepsy. However, few studies examine reported incidents of challenging behavior in epilepsy or factors associated with such incidents.

The aim of the current study was to examine the relationship between a range of possible factors and recorded challenging behavior. Recorded challenging behavior (including aggressive and destructive behavior) was considered in young people with epilepsy and neurobehavioral difficulties and a comparison group of young people without epilepsy. Factors considered included demographic factors (i.e., age, gender), epilepsy-related factors (i.e., age of...
epilepsy onset, use of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), neurobehavioral factors (i.e., presence of ASD, ADHD, IDD, psychiatric disorders), and use of psychopharmacology.

Methods

Setting
Young Epilepsy, a national charity for young people with epilepsy, has a school for children and adolescents and a college for young adults. Provision can be day only, 39 weeks a year (residential), or 52 weeks a year (residential). The center provides specialist care (including educational, psychological, and medical) for young people with complex epilepsy (epilepsy plus neurodevelopmental difficulty) but also for young people without epilepsy who have other neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., IDD, ASD, and ADHD).

The incident report database at Young Epilepsy is a computerized database that contains information on staff reports of challenging behavior. An incident deemed to have involved challenging behavior must be logged on the incident report system the same day it occurs, and logged under at least one category of behavior, although more categories than one can be selected for each incident. The categories are hitting, grabbing, kicking, throwing objects, damaging property, biting, swearing, scratching, spitting, pushing over objects, pinching, self-harm, bullying, abscending, head butting, pulling over objects, and stealing. Staff receive comprehensive training on how to identify and record incidents, and reports are monitored by unit managers to ensure consistency.

Characteristics of the young people

Data on the clinical characteristics of the young people were extracted from medical notes at Young Epilepsy using a standardized proforma between December 2011 and May 2012. Data extracted included epilepsy status (lifetime epilepsy vs. never had epilepsy), ADHD status, ASD status, depression status, anxiety status, psychosis status, cognitive functioning, age, and gender. For those with epilepsy, characteristics of the young person’s condition were extracted, including number of current epilepsy medications and age of seizure onset. Lifetime epilepsy was defined as having a history of two or more unprovoked seizures separated by at least 24 h.

Cognitive functioning (IQ ≥ 50 or IQ < 50) was determined by available results of assessments using standardized cognitive instruments in the young peoples’ medical files (see assessment protocol in Data S1). Where these instruments did not provide valid information for children and young people, results from other assessment instruments were used to determine cognitive status (see Data S1). A psychologist (C.R.) subsequently classified these children as ≥IQ50 or <IQ50. Diagnoses of ASD, ADHD, anxiety, depression, or psychosis were based on recorded DSM-IV-TR® diagnoses in medical notes. Psychiatric and behavioral diagnoses at the center are made following referral to a child and adolescent psychiatrist and a team comprising a psychologist, a pediatric neurologist, an epilepsy nurse specialist, and an educator.

Analysis

Chi-square analyses were used to compare the characteristics of the young people with epilepsy with those of young people who did not have epilepsy. ANOVA analyses were used to compare the mean age and the mean number of the 17 behaviors included in the incident report system in both groups.

Univariable and multivariable regression analyses were carried out using negative binomial regression for count data (to account for overdispersion of the outcome variable) to identify factors associated with total number of reported incidents. Analyses were carried out on the epilepsy sample (n = 125) and on the total sample (n = 189). Factors included in the regression analysis in the epilepsy sample were gender (male vs. female), age (in years), cognitive ability (IQ ≥ 50 vs. IQ < 50), ASD status (Present vs. Absent), ADHD status (Present vs. Absent), psychopharmacology (i.e., medication for behavioral/psychiatric difficulties) (Present vs. Absent), and time adjustment (Day Pupil reference)/39 week/52 week). AED usage (monotherapy vs. polytherapy) and age of seizure onset (in years) were included only in the analysis of the epilepsy sample. Epilepsy status (yes vs. no) was included only in the analysis of the total sample. Depression, anxiety, and psychosis were also only included in analysis of the total sample. They were originally included in the epilepsy sample but did not yield useful data, possibly because of the small number with each diagnosis, and were subsequently removed. Analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS version 2 (Chicago, IL, U.S.A.).

Results

The characteristics of the young people in both groups are in Table 1.

One hundred eighty-two of 189 (96%) individuals had an IQ score or adaptive behavior score in the IDD range. One hundred twenty-five young people had a lifetime diagnosis of epilepsy, and 64 did not have epilepsy. Based on ANOVA analysis, the mean age in the nonepilepsy group was significantly greater than in the epilepsy group (p = 0.001). There were also significantly more children with IQ < 50 in the epilepsy group (p = 0.006), but significantly more children with ASD in the nonepilepsy group (p = 0.013).

The mean number of each category of challenging behavior for young people with epilepsy and nonepilepsy is in Table S1. Based on ANOVA analysis, there was not a significant difference between the epilepsy and nonepilepsy
groups with regard to the mean number of any of the 17 behaviors on the incident reporting system over the 6-month period.

The factors associated with total incidents of challenging behavior based on regression analysis in the epilepsy sample are in Table S2.

Factors significantly associated with increased incidents of challenging behavior in the epilepsy sample on univariable analysis were the presence of ADHD, use of psychopharmacology, and time adjustment. On multivariable analysis, the factors that remained significant were use of psychopharmacology ($p = 0.034$) and attendance for 52 and 39 weeks (compared to attendance as a day pupil) (both $p < 0.001$). The presence of depression was associated with reduced incidents of challenging behavior ($p = 0.035$).

**Discussion**

The results of the current study indicate that young people with epilepsy and additional neurobehavioral difficulties did not display more incidents of challenging behavior than a similar group of young people without epilepsy.

A previous study showed that the presence of epilepsy was not associated with increased self-injurious behavior in a sample of individuals with IDD. One study did suggest a significant association between epilepsy and behavioral difficulties in children with IDD in South Africa. However, in both studies behavior was based on parent/teacher report of behavior. The current study thus gives an indication of the frequency of challenging behavior using real-world measures and indicates that epilepsy does not...
Table 2. Factors associated with total incidents of challenging behavior based on univariable and multivariable regression in total sample (n = 189)

| Factor          | Univariable            | Multivariable          |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                 | B (95% CI)             | p                      |
| Gender          | 0.170 (-0.317 to 0.657) | 0.954                  |
| Age             | 0.142 (-0.279 to 0.564) | 0.004                  |
| Epilepsy        | 0.214 (-0.057 to 0.484) | 0.130                  |
| IQ              | 0.781 (0.263 to 1.300)  | 0.005                  |
| ASD             | 0.249 (0.016 to 0.482)  | 0.001                  |
| ADHD            | 1.119 (0.763 to 1.684)  | 0.001                  |
| Psychosis       | 2.968 (1.144 to 7.384)  | 0.004                  |
| Psychopharmacology | 1.207 (0.560 to 2.184) | 0.001                  |
| Time adjus.     |                        |                        |
| <39 week        | 0.314 (0.198 to 0.503)  | <0.001                 |
| 52 week         | 1.176 (1.144 to 2.311)  | <0.001                 |

This is in line with studies suggesting that epilepsy factors were associated with increased in challenging behavior. In the epilepsy group, none of the included epilepsy factors were associated with increases in challenging behavior. This is in line with studies suggesting that epilepsy factors per se are not associated with behavioral difficulties and in agreement with a previous study of “aggressive” behavior at a residential epilepsy center. The association between use of psychopharmacology and increased incidents of challenging behavior in both the epilepsy group and total sample could mean that these young people had significant behavioral/psychiatric difficulties that contributed to challenging behavior despite the use of psychopharmacology. The association could also be due to side effects of such medications or lack of effectiveness of some medications in this population, which has been noted in the IDD population.

In the total sample, lower cognitive functioning was independently associated with increased challenging behavior. It has been shown that those with mild/moderate IDD were more likely to show verbal aggression, whereas those with severe/profound IDD were more likely to display physical aggression, self-harm, and property destruction. Therefore, the relationship between cognitive ability and displays of challenging behavior is likely to depend on the nature of incidents. A significant association between younger age and increased incidents of challenging behavior was noted in the total sample. The literature regarding the impact of age and display of challenging behavior is inconsistent. A review of relevant literature suggests that challenging behavior and increase from childhood into the teenage years but may decrease in later adulthood. Therefore, the association between depression and reduced incidents of challenging behavior suggests that depressive symptoms are likely to be associated with internalizing behaviors and not with overt challenging behaviors.

The relationship between incidents of challenging behavior and 39/52-week attendance could be attributed to the fact that young people who stay on the campus on a residential basis have behavior that would be more difficult to manage in the home setting, as well as related to being on campus for a longer time compared with those who attend on a day basis.

**Limitations**

The setting is a specialist center for young people with epilepsy and neurobehavioral difficulties, and findings may not be generalizable beyond this setting. Although all young people underwent psychological evaluation, all were not screened for the specific neurobehavioral difficulties, and some children with these difficulties may have been missed. Although all staff who work at the center receive training on the identification/recording of challenging behavior, the system has not been subject to independent validation, and reliability has not been established. We were not able to consider seizure frequency or type, extent and nature of
psychological inputs, or types of medication as possible contributors to challenging behavior.

**Conclusion**

Epilepsy did not confer an increased risk for the display of challenging behavior at a specialist center for young people with epilepsy and neurobehavioral disabilities. Use of psychopharmacology conferred an increased risk in those with epilepsy. These data support the view that behavioral impairments in children with epilepsy are unlikely to be modified significantly with the use of AEDs and that therapies directly targeting behavior are more likely to have important clinical benefits.
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