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Pectus patient information website has improved access to care and patient reported outcomes
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Abstract

Background: Pectus is the most common congenital disorder. Awareness amongst primary care physicians and the general public is poor. NHS commissioning bodies plan to withdraw funding for this surgery because they deem a lack of sufficient evidence of benefit. The purpose of this study is to assess the effects of introducing a patient information website on referral and activity patterns and on patients reported outcomes.

Methods: We produced an innovative information website, www.pectus.co.uk, accessible to the general public, providing information about pectus deformities; management options and advice about surgery. Referral patterns and number of cases where studied before and after the introduction of the website in 2010. Patients’ satisfaction post-op was assessed using the Brompton’s single step questionnaire (SSQ).

Results: The website had considerable traffic with 2179 hits in 2012, 4983 in 2013 and 7416 in 2014. This has led to 1421 contacts and 372 email enquiries. These emails have resulted in an increased number of patients who have been assessed and go on to have surgery. We asked 59 pectus excavatum patients who were operated from 2008 to 2014 to complete the SSQ. We received 32 replies. Eighty-four percent (16/19) of patients who visited the website and then underwent surgery, found the website useful. All patients scored satisfactorily in SSQ. Even though those who visited the website tended to be more satisfied with the surgical outcomes this did not reach statistical significance. This group of patients said that would have the operation again given the option compared to 76.9% of the group who did not visit the website before surgery (p=0.031). Despite the fact that patients who visited the website experienced more post-operative complications were equally or more satisfied with post-operative outcomes. The overall SSQ obtainable score was not different for the two subgroups, being more widespread in the group that did not visit the website.

Conclusions: The introduction of a pectus patient information website has significantly improved access to specialised services. Patients are overall highly satisfied with the surgical outcomes.
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Background

Pectus deformities affect one in every 400 children and young adults. It has a male predominance. There are two main type of pectus: pectus excavatum (PE) or funnel chest which is the commonest type and pectus carinatum (PC) or pigeon chest [1]. The negative psychosocial and physiological effects of pectus are widely accepted. Adolescents with pectus deformities tend to develop negative self-cognition as a results of health over-attention by themselves and their close relatives and friends. Altered body self-awareness can potentially results in behavioural problems, and build-up of negative emotions. The inter-link between psychosocial and physiological health can lead to an exacerbation of disease status in this group of young patients [2].

In the UK pectus correction is currently performed in NHS hospitals with an average of 400 operations performed every year. Nevertheless the NHS England plans to withdraw financial support for this operation. It is stated that there is currently "no sufficient evidence supporting routine commission of surgical correction of
pectus deformities’ [3]. Adding to this, pectus awareness amongst health care physician and the general public is limited hence reducing the number of patients who get an appropriate treatment for their condition. Established psychosocial impairment is currently the main drive for a referral for surgical correction [4]. The negative psychosocial and physiological effects of pectus can be reversed with surgery and transform patients’ quality of life [5, 6]. Nuss and the modified Ravitch operation are the most common surgical treatment modalities [7, 8].

Over the last decade, internet resources have become a popular method of education for patients and their families. Studies have shown that patients seeking healthcare information on the internet have found it a useful resource which help them get a better understanding of their disease, the treatment options and prognosis [9]. The collected information can educate the general public but also enables patients to decide on the preferred treatment option for their pathology after discussion with their physician [10].

Two recurring themes were observed in patients who had pectus surgery in our department. Firstly, there was a lack of easily accessible information regarding their condition that therefore created a heightened level of anxiety and uncertainty. This also contributes to many general medical practitioners mis-diagnosing symptoms and being reluctant to refer patients to specialised thoracic units for further assessment of their condition. Pectus patients are often ‘fobbed off’ that their deformity is insignificant and their symptoms are dismissed from the primary care physicians [11, 12]. Therefore our thoracic surgery unit developed an innovative patient information website providing information about pectus [13].

In this paper we present the effect of the website on referral patterns in our unit and we assess patients’ satisfaction with the operation, the website and post-surgery outcomes.

**Methods**

Our regional thoracic surgery unit developed a pectus information website, accessible to the general public that provides information about pectus deformities, management options, what is involved in having surgery and its benefits and risks. It also includes pre and post operation images and general advice about surgery and the recovery process in hospital and after discharge home. The website contains background information about pectus pathophysiology and manifestation.

The content of the website was formulated by a group of health care professionals including doctors; nurses; physiotherapists with the help of the medical illustration department. The website was refined after receiving feedback from patients who had already had surgery. The website went live in January 2010.

All e-mail enquiries generated from the website were answered by a single consultant (author BN) where possible dealing with enquiry and if appropriate signposting the enquirer to seek a referral by their GP to the local regional thoracic centre. In some cases this was our hospital. The number of hits on the website and e-mail enquiries were collected using google analytics carried out on the 4th of August 2015. The number of pectus surgery from 2007 to 2014 were identified from the prospectively collected unit surgical database that is verified prior to submission to the national database.

A prospective study was conducted to assess the effect of the launch of the website on referral patterns and patients satisfaction post-surgery. All patients who underwent primary Nuss operation in our institution from the launch of our website in January 2010 up to August 2014 were invited to participate. Data on the patient’s surgery; demographics and outcomes were collected from the prospective clinical database. Surgery selection was principally for cosmetic indications. Selection and management followed a standardised unit protocol.

The study was registered with the Birmingham Heartlands Hospital audit department. Patients were asked to answer an on-line questionnaire created for the purpose of the study. They were asked to comment on the website and the single step questionnaire (SSQ) was used to assess patients’ satisfaction post-

| Variable                   | Value                  | Responders (n = 32) | Non-responders (n = 27) | p-value |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age                        | Mean (95 % CI)         | 20 (18–22)          | 19 (17.7–20)            | 0.718   |
| Gender                     | Male                   | 30                  | 27                      | 0.495   |
|                           | Female                 | 2                   | 0                       |         |
| Length of hospital stay     | Mean (95 % CI)         | 4.3 (4–4.7)         | 4.5 (4–5)               | 0.381   |
| Post-operative complications| Yes                   | 3                   | 3                       | 0.579   |
|                           | No                     | 29                  | 27                      |         |
| Bar Removed                | Yes                    | 15                  | 15                      | 0.506   |
|                           | No                     | 17                  | 12                      |         |
surgery. It includes a total of 16 questions measuring physical and psychosocial effects of pectus surgery. These questions assess the degree of satisfaction by a single specific question but also by an overall obtainable score. The maximum possible score is 95, with a score of more than 41 being considered satisfactory [14].

Our cohort was sub-grouped into those who visited the website prior to pectus surgery and those who did not. Results are expressed as mean (SD or 95 % CI) for continuous variables and as a percentage for categorical variables. Univariate analysis of patients’ baseline characteristics was performed using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test where appropriate for categorical variables and Mann Whitney U, Kruskal Wallis test or independent sample t test for continuous variables. The Mann Whitney U test was used to determine statistical significance of difference in the SSQ in our sub-groups. The IBM SPSS Statistics 20 was used to analyse the dataset.

Results
The website had considerable traffic with 2179 hits in 2012, 4983 in 2013 and 7416 in 2014 with an average session duration of 3 min 18 s. This has led to 1421 contacts and 372 email enquiries. The website is registered with Google using a validated account, it contains unique meta/keywords and a multitude of inbound and outbound links to and from other sites.

There were 59 patients who had Nuss surgery for PE repair during the study period, of whom 32 replied to our on-line questionnaire. There were no differences in

| Variable                   | Value                  | Visited website (n = 19) | Did not visit website (n = 13) | p-value |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age                        | Mean (95 % CI)         | 19.9 (17.5–22.3)         | 20.3 (17–23.5)                | 0.821   |
| Gender                     | Male                   | 19                       | 11                            | 0.157   |
|                            | Female                 | 0                        | 2                             |         |
| Length of hospital stay    | Mean (95 % CI)         | 4.5 (3.9–4.9)            | 4.2 (3.8–4.6)                 | 0.426   |
| Post-operative complications| Yes                   | 3                        | 0                             | 0.253   |
|                            | No                     | 16                       | 13                            |         |
| Bar Removed                | Yes                    | 8                        | 7                             | 0.513   |
|                            | No                     | 11                       | 6                             |         |

CI confidence interval
| Variable | Value | Did not visit website | Visited website | p-value |
|----------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|
|          |       | \((n = 13)\)         | \((n = 19)\)    |         |
| Health in general after the operation | Much better now (5) | 3 (23.1 %) | 6 (31.6 %) | 0.095 |
|         | Somewhat better (4) | 4 (30.8 %) | 1 (5.3 %) |         |
|         | About the same (3) | 5 (38.5 %) | 2 (10.5 %) |         |
|         | Much worse now (1) | 0 | 0 |         |
|         | Median | 3 | 4 |         |
| Exercise capacity after the operation | Much better now (5) | 5 (38.5 %) | 12 (63.2 %) | 0.249 |
|         | Somewhat better (4) | 4 (30.8 %) | 2 (10.5 %) |         |
|         | About the same (3) | 2 (15.4 %) | 4 (21.1 %) |         |
|         | Much worse now (1) | 0 | 0 |         |
|         | Median | 4 | 5 |         |
| Extent the chest looks interfere with the pre-operative social activity | Extremely (5) | 7 (53.8 %) | 7 (36.8 %) | 0.505 |
|         | Quite a bit (4) | 4 (30.8 %) | 9 (47.4 %) |         |
|         | Moderate (3) | 0 | 2 (10.5 %) |         |
|         | Slightly (2) | 2 (15.4 %) | 1 (5.3 %) |         |
|         | Not at all (1) | 0 | 0 |         |
|         | Median | 5 | 4 |         |
| Extent the chest looks interfere with post-operative social activity | Not at all (5) | 7 (53.8 %) | 12 (63.2 %) | 0.6 |
|         | Slightly (4) | 4 (30.8 %) | 5 (26.3 %) |         |
|         | Moderately (3) | 1 (7.7 %) | 0 |         |
|         | Quite a bit (2) | 0 | 1 (5.3 %) |         |
|         | Extremely (1) | 1 (7.7 %) | 1 (5.3 %) |         |
|         | Median | 5 | 5 |         |
| Satisfaction with overall post-operative appearance | Extremely satisfied (5) | 3 (23.1 %) | 7 (36.8 %) | 0.628 |
|         | Very satisfied (4) | 6 (46.2 %) | 6 (31.6 %) |         |
|         | Satisfied (3) | 3 (23.1 %) | 5 (26.3 %) |         |
|         | Dissatisfied (2) | 1 (7.7 %) | 1 (5.3 %) |         |
|         | Very dissatisfied (1) | 0 | 0 |         |
|         | Median | 4 | 4 |         |
Table 3 Patients’ satisfaction using the Brompton’s single step questionnaire (SSQ) (Continued)

| Question                                           | Option                  | Group 1 (n=14) | Group 2 (n=17) | p-value |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Bothered by the surgical scars                     | Not at all (5)          | 12 (92.3 %)    | 14 (73.7 %)    | 0.184   |
|                                                   | Very slightly (4)       | 1 (7.7 %)      | 4 (21.1 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Slightly (3)            | 0              | 1 (5.3 %)      |         |
|                                                   | A little bit (2)        | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | A lot (1)               | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | Median                  | 5              | 5              |         |
| Impact operation had on social life               | Major Improvement (5)   | 5 (38.5 %)     | 5 (26.3 %)     | 0.553   |
|                                                   | Improvement (4)         | 6 (46.2 %)     | 11 (57.9 %)    |         |
|                                                   | No change (3)           | 2 (15.4 %)     | 2 (10.5 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Worse now (2)           | 0              | 1 (5.3 %)      |         |
|                                                   | A lot worse now (1)     | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | Median                  | 4              | 4              |         |
| Pre-operative self esteem                         | 1–10                    | 3.7 (2.9–4.5)  | 4.4 (3.5–5.2)  | 0.280   |
|                                                   | Median                  | 3              | 4              |         |
| Post-operative self esteem                        | 1–10                    | 7.3 (5.9–8.7)  | 7.8 (7–8.6)    | 0.693   |
|                                                   | Median                  | 8              | 8              |         |
| Pain during hospital stay                         | None (5)                | 0              | 0              | 0.855   |
|                                                   | Very mild (4)           | 2 (15.4 %)     | 7 (36.8 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Mild (3)                | 0              | 1 (5.3 %)      |         |
|                                                   | Moderate (2)            | 9 (69.2 %)     | 4 (21.1 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Severe (1)              | 2 (15.4 %)     | 7 (36.8 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Median                  | 2              | 2              |         |
| Pain interfere with day to day activity now       | Not at all (5)          | 10 (76.9 %)    | 9 (47.4 %)     | 0.138   |
|                                                   | Very slightly (4)       | 1 (7.7 %)      | 5 (26.3 %)     |         |
|                                                   | Slightly (3)            | 2 (15.4 %)     | 5 (26.3 %)     |         |
|                                                   | A little bit (2)        | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | A lot (1)               | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | Median                  | 5              | 4              |         |
| Pain now                                           | No (5)                  | 7 (53.8 %)     | 5 (26.3 %)     | 0.253   |
|                                                   | Occasionally (4)        | 4 (30.8 %)     | 12 (63.2 %)    |         |
|                                                   | Mild- no painkillers (3)| 2 (15.4 %)     | 1 (5.3 %)      |         |
|                                                   | Mild-painkillers (2)    | 0              | 1 (5.3 %)      |         |
|                                                   | A lot (1)               | 0              | 0              |         |
|                                                   | Median                  | 5              | 4              |         |
|                                    | Not at all (5) | Slightly (4) | Moderately (3) | Quite a bit (2) | Extremely (1) | Median | Overall satisfaction with the final result | Extremely satisfied (5) | Very satisfied (4) | Satisfied (3) | Dissatisfied (2) | Very dissatisfied (1) | Median | Chest looks difference | Major Improvement (5) | Improved (4) | No change (3) | Worse now (2) | A lot worse now (1) | Median | Going back, would you have the operation again? | Yes (10) | Unsure (5) | No (0) | Median | Overall obtainable score | CI 0–95 (95 % CI) | Median |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| Conscious about metallic bar       | 1 (7.7 %)      | 5 (38.5 %)   | 3 (23.1 %)     | 4 (30.8 %)     | 0             | 3      | Not at all (5)                   | 6 (7.7 %)              | 3 (23.1 %)     | 3 (23.1 %)  | 0             | 1 (7.7 %)       | 4        | Major Improvement (5) | 7 (53.8 %)       | 5 (38.5 %)   | 1 (7.7 %)   | 0           | 0            | 5        | Yes (10)            | 10 (76.9 %)      | 2 (15.4 %)   | 1 (7.7 %)   | 0          | 10          | 60 (54–66)        | 62 (59–66)     | 62    |

CI: confidence interval
For the calculation of overall obtainable score: pre-operative self-esteem score was subtracted from the post-operative self-esteem score.
baseline characteristics between responders and non-responders (Table 1). Non-responders were excluded from any further analysis. Majority of responders were males (94 %). Their mean age was 20 (±5). Their overall mean hospital stay was 4.3 days (±1). A post-operative complication was observed in 3 patients (9 %). These were formation of haematoma requiring return to theatre for evacuation and arrest of bleeding; small apical pneumothorax with minor bar prominence treated conservatively and infected granulation tissue over wound site treated with oral antibiotics. A total of 15 patients had their bar removed by the time they completed the questionnaire (47 %). The mean time of bar removal was 29.4 months (95 % CI 26–32). On average patients filled the questionnaire 2.7 years after surgery (95 % CI 2.1–3.2).

From the 32 patients who answered our on-line questionnaire, 19 visited the website before having the surgery, 11 of those before being seen in our hospital for their initial assessment. The majority of them found the information on the website useful or very useful (n = 16, 84.2 %). Since the launch of the website there was an average 11 fold increase in the number of patients seen and treated for pectus in our department with the Nuss operation (Fig. 1).

We sub-grouped our cohort in 2 distinct groups: patients who visited the website prior to surgery and to those who did not. Patients in the two groups had the same baseline characteristics (Table 2). All three patients who developed a post-operative complication had visited the website prior to surgery.

When we assessed patient satisfaction using the SSQ we found that those who visited the website tended to be more satisfied, even though this did not reach statistical significance (Table 3). All patients who visited the website said that they would have the operation again compared to 76.9 % of those who did not (p = 0.031). Despite having more complications those who visited the website were equally or more satisfied with the post-operative outcome when compared with the group that did not visit the website and had no post-operative complications.

**Discussion**

Our results showed that the launch of a patient information website considerably increased the numbers of patients being referred and subsequently had an operation for repair of their pectus deformity. Nuss correction was introduced in our department in 2008 and it is now used for the treatment of PE patients. Prior to the launch of our website in 2010, only 1 patient had Nuss surgery in our thoracic surgery department. Over the last 5 year an average of 11 patients per year is having Nuss surgery for repair of PE. Our website had a considerable amount of hits per year leading to over a thousand contacts and 400 e-mail enquiries underpinning the interest of the general public on pectus.

We decided to assess satisfaction of the PE patients who underwent Nuss correction of their deformity as we wanted to keep our group homogeneous and also use SSQ to assess satisfaction which is validated only for PE patients. When we sub-grouped our patients to those...
who visited the website prior to having surgery and those who did not, we found that both groups scored satisfactorily in SSQ. The Nuss operation had a positive psychological and physical impact on our patients and overall improved their quality of life. Krasopoulos et al. found similar outcomes post Nuss surgery using the SSQ [14] which were confirmed from later research groups [15–17].

The evidence for physical benefits though strong are unlikely to ever be supported by a randomised controlled trial. In a time of financial constraints it is easy for government or insurance funding bodies to see this type of surgery as a vulnerable target for savings [3]. Thus integrating patient reported outcomes as part of the clinical pathway as we have done in this study is especially important.

The overall obtainable score calculated by SSQ was not different for our two subgroups (P = 0.65) but patients who did not visit the website had a greater spread of scores, from 41 to 70 with the former just reaching satisfactory levels. On the other hand patients who visited the website prior to surgery had scores ranging from 50 to 72 (Fig. 2). It is evident that well informed patients about what the surgery involves and what will happen after surgery tends to increase satisfaction with surgical outcomes. Despite having more complications patients who visited the website and obtained information about their surgery and their pathology were equally satisfied with the post-operative outcomes. Most of the patients are very young and active and we explain that we do not consider and treat pectus as a medical disease but as a variant of normal aiming to improve their chest cosmetics.

Conclusions
By improving patients’ information about pectus surgery we improved their satisfaction after surgery. This might be because we managed their expectations and improved their recovery by providing better access to information. Collecting patient reported outcomes as part of the clinical pathway is essential in measuring success after surgery.
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