Black Alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.) on Compacted Skid Trails: A Trade-off between Greenhouse Gas Fluxes and Soil Structure Recovery?
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Abstract: The compaction of forest soils can deteriorate soil aeration, leading to decreased CH$_4$ uptake and increased N$_2$O efflux. Black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) may accelerate soil structure regeneration as it can grow roots under anaerobic soil conditions. However, symbiotic nitrogen fixation by alder can have undesirable side-effects on greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes. In this study, we evaluated the possible trade-off between alder-mediated structure recovery and GHG emissions. We compared two directly adjacent 15-year old beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and alder stands (loamy texture, pH 5–6), including old planted skid trails. The last soil trafficking on the skid trails took place in 1999. GHG fluxes were measured over one year. Undisturbed plots with beech had a moderately higher total porosity and were lower in soil moisture and soil organic carbon than undisturbed alder plots. No differences in mineral nitrogen were found. N$_2$O emissions in the undisturbed beech stand were 0.4 kg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ and 3.1 kg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ in the undisturbed alder stand. CH$_4$ uptake was 4.0 kg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ and 1.5 kg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ under beech and alder, respectively. On the beech planted skid trail, topsoil compaction was still evident by reduced macro porosity and soil aeration; on the alder planted skid trail, soil structure of the uppermost soil layer was completely recovered. Skid trail N$_2$O fluxes under beech were five times higher and CH$_4$ oxidation was 0.6 times lower compared to the adjacent undisturbed beech stand. Under alder, no skid-trail-effects on GHG fluxes were evident. Multiple regression modelling revealed that N$_2$O and CH$_4$ emissions were mainly governed by soil aeration and soil temperature. Compared to beech, alder considerably increased net fluxes of GHG on undisturbed plots. However, for skid trails we suggest that black alder improves soil structure without deterioration of the stand’s greenhouse gas balance, when planted only on the compacted areas.
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1. Introduction

Compaction and deformation of forest soils is a widespread issue caused by fully mechanized logging. When soil trafficking during forest operations is restricted to permanent skid trails [1], the remaining forest stand is fully protected from soil compaction. In practice, spacing between skid trails is often in the range of 20 and 40 m. When distances are 20 m, around 12–16% of the total operational stand area is affected by soil physical changes [2]. As a result, coarse soil porosity decreases and pore continuity is interrupted, leading to reduced soil aeration [3,4]. Although it is well documented that reduced soil aeration can increase greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from forest soils, the persistence of such changes is mostly unclear and little is known regarding tree species effects on soil structure recovery.
In well aerated forest soils, methanotrophic bacteria oxidize atmospheric methane (CH$_4$) to carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and water. On a global scale, this sink consumes 1–9% of the annual CH$_4$ emissions [5]. When the degradation of organic matter occurs in poorly aerated soils under anaerobic conditions, methanogenic bacteria release CH$_4$ [6]. Oxygen availability is also a key factor for N$_2$O formation in soils [7]. N$_2$O is mainly produced by aerobic nitrification and anaerobic denitrification with the latter being considered the dominant process [8]; however, many other biotic and abiotic processes releasing N$_2$O were identified [9]. Highest N$_2$O emissions were reported when >60% of pore spaces were water-filled, i.e., low oxygen availability [8,10,11], whereas completely anerobic soil conditions can lead to reduced or even negative N$_2$O fluxes [12]. On skid trails, Teepe et al. [2] found N$_2$O emissions up to 40 times higher compared to undisturbed plots. CH$_4$ oxidation rates were significantly lower and in some cases positive CH$_4$ fluxes were measured. Similarly, Frey et al. [13] reported reduced CH$_4$ oxidation rates and positive CH$_4$ fluxes on skid trails. In contrast, Epron et al. [14] found no significant changes in CH$_4$ fluxes in a soil trafficked with heavy forest machinery.

In compacted soils, natural structure recovery can take several decades [15,16]. Recent research focuses on biological soil structure formation induced by plants. The benefit of planting tree species [17,18] or herbs [19] tolerant to poor soil aeration or “stagnic” [20] soil conditions has been assessed. Proposed processes of structure formation caused by plant roots are (i) shrinkage by water extraction, (ii) the introduction root litter and other rhizo-deposition promoting soil fauna or acting as a binding agent between soil particles, and (iii) the formation of macropores by root penetration [21,22].

Concerning biological soil regeneration, promising results were obtained with black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.). Its roots can penetrate anaerobic soils due to an aerenchyma that enables transport of oxygen to the roots via diffusion and stem photosynthesis [23,24]. Meyer et al. [17] showed that seven years after planting, black alders had developed a vital root system in a compacted sandy loam soil on a skid trail. Soil recovery was observed to a depth of 70 cm by the generation of air-conducting porosity. At another site with a silty to clayey loam texture, Flores Fernández et al. [18] found positive effects on soil aeration by several tree species tolerant to poor soil aeration including black alder.

Based on these findings, recovered soil structure, improved soil aeration, increased CH$_4$ oxidation, and a reduction of N$_2$O production in alder stands can be assumed. However, alder species live in symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing actinomycetes *Frankia alni* [25]. Via this pathway, input of more than 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ is possible [26], providing large amounts of substrate for the N$_2$O releasing processes of nitrification and denitrification. Mogge et al. [27] reported 15 times higher N$_2$O emissions from a drained black alder forest compared to a beech forest due to higher contents of water-soluble OC and nitrate contents. This is in accordance with findings of Bühlmann et al. [28] who measured on average 12 times higher N$_2$O emissions under different alder species compared to non-N$_2$-fixing species. Reay et al. [29] found reduced CH$_4$ oxidation rates under black alder, presumably due to elevated nitrate (NO$_3$) or ammonium (NH$_4$) contents in the soil, caused by N-fixation. Several other studies reported an inhibition of CH$_4$ oxidation by NH$_4$ [30,31].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate how black alder planted on skid trails affects GHG fluxes and soil physical and chemical parameters compared to skid trails planted with beech. Gas flux measurements in two adjacent 15-years-old single species stands of black alder and beech were conducted over a period of one year, followed by destructive sampling to carry out soil physical and chemical analyses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site and Experimental Design

The study site was in the Tepfenharder Wald (47°46′12″ N 9°28′11″ E, 540 m asl) near Ravensburg in Southern Germany. The mean annual temperature between 2007 and 2017 at the closest meteorological
station was 9.6 °C and mean annual precipitation was 900 mm [32]. The soil developed on glacial tills and was classified as a eutric Gleysol (Loamic, Humic) according to IUSS Working Group WRB [20] with a texture in the upper 20 cm consisting of 32% sand, 45% silt, 23% clay. Across the studied area, there was no slope gradient.

Until 1999, the study site was planted with a 90-year-old stand consisting of 65% spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst.), 20% silver fir (Abies alba Mill.), and 15% beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). The whole stand was thrown by a hurricane in 1999. In 2001, two neighboring single-species stands of beech and black alder, respectively were established. The area was planted manually in 2001 without application of fertilizer or lime. In 2016, timber stocks in the beech stand were 95 m³ ha⁻¹ at an average tree height of 12 m and 183 m³ ha⁻¹ in the alder stand at an average tree height of 15 m.

In 2016, an abandoned skid trail (to that point at least 15 years without trafficking) traversing both stands was chosen as research object. The former skid trail was included in the planting area as no difference between undisturbed and disturbed soil was made during planting (Figure 1). For our measurements, five transects were established perpendicular to the skid trail in both stands, respectively, and each transect was subdivided in three strata: (i) undisturbed stand, (ii) wheel track, the part of the skid trail where direct contact between machine and soil occurred, and (iii) center bulge (strip between the wheel tracks).

![Figure 1. Sketch of the two adjacent stands of black alder and beech with an abandoned skid trail. Measurement collars were installed along five stratified transects per stand: undisturbed stand, wheel track and center bulge.](image)

2.2. Field Measurements

Two months before the first gas flux measurement, five PVC collars (15.5 cm inner diameter and 9 cm in height) were installed in each stratum, resulting in a total of 30 measurement collars (Figure 1). Inserted into the soil to a depth of 5 cm, the collars served as permanent anchors for the chambers during soil gas flux measurement. Flux measurements of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O were conducted between June 2016 and June 2017. A total of 17 measurement campaigns were carried out with a temporal resolution of at least one measurement per month. Closed chambers with a volume of 1800 cm³ and a basal area of 179 cm², equipped with a vent, three small fans, a temperature sensor, and a sampling cannula were installed on the PVC collars. During 30 min chamber closure time, six gas samples were drawn at minutes 1, 3, 7, 13, 20, and 30 with evacuated glass vials (10 ml) sealed with butyl stoppers. Samples were analyzed in the laboratory with a gas chromatograph (8000 series, Fisons, Loughborough, UK) equipped with a CarbonPLOT column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) and pure nitrogen as carrier gas (flow rate 13.2 mL s⁻¹). A flame ionization detector (FID) was used for CH₄ measurements and an electron capture detector (ECD) was used for N₂O and CO₂ measurements [33].
Soil-surface fluxes were calculated according to Hutchinson & Livingston [34] using robust linear regressions [35] of gas concentration change over time within the chambers. CO$_2$ equivalents of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes were calculated by assuming a global warming potential over a 100-year horizon of 28 (CH$_4$) and 265 (N$_2$O) times higher than that of CO$_2$ [36].

Volumetric soil moisture $\theta$ was measured close to each chamber with a FD probe (ML1, Delta-T Devices Ltd, Cambridge, United Kingdom) and chamber air temperature was measured with a digital thermometer (GTH 1160, GHM Messtechnik GmbH, Regenstauf, Germany) connected to a temperature sensor inside the chamber. Soil temperature at 5 cm depth was monitored continuously during each measurement campaign with a penetration probe connected to a precision thermometer (GMH 3700, GHM Messtechnik GmbH, Regenstauf, Germany) at a constant location. A transfer function using the air temperature and the soil temperature at 5 cm depth was applied to calculate an estimated soil temperature under each chamber.

2.3. Soil Sampling and Laboratory Analyses

Following the last measurement campaign in June 2017, soil rings (200 cm$^3$) were taken from 1–6 cm and 9–14 cm depth at the position of each collar. Vacuum pycnometry was used to calculate the percentage of air-filled soil fraction $\epsilon_{\text{field}}$ as a fraction of air-filled volume $V_{\text{air}}$ from the volume of the soil cylinder $V_{\text{tot}}$ (200 cm$^3$). To assess macro porosity (pores > 20 µm equivalent capillary diameter), the soil rings were saturated with water and then equilibrated to a water potential of $-160$ hPa ($pF = 2.2$) on a filter bed [37]. Macro porosity $\epsilon_{160}$ in percent was then calculated from $\epsilon_{\text{field}}$ and the percentage of mass difference between the soil sample at field water content $m_{\text{field}}$ and the mass of the sample after equilibration to a water potential of $-160$ hPa $m_{160}$. Similarly, total porosity $\Phi$ was calculated based on $\epsilon_{\text{field}}$ and the percentage of mass difference between $m_{\text{field}}$ and the mass of the soil sample after drying at 105 °C $m_{\text{dried}}$. Dried samples were also used to calculate bulk density $\rho$ by dividing $m_{\text{dried}}$ by $V_{\text{tot}}$. To calculate the water filled pore space (WFPS) in 1–6 cm soil depth, $\Phi$ was assumed constant over the whole measurement period. WFPS for every measurement chamber and month was calculated as the proportion of $\theta$ from $\Phi$. Based on the assumption of constant $\Phi$ over the year, a calculated air-filled soil fraction $\epsilon_{\text{calc}}$ was derived for every month and measurement chamber by subtracting $\theta$ from $\Phi$. Soil gas diffusivity $D_s/D_0$ of the soil rings at field fresh moisture state and equilibrated at a water potential of $-160$ hPa was measured in the laboratory with a method described by Kühne et al. [38]. To obtain $D_s/D_0$ data for the whole measurement period and for every measurement chamber, an empirical model for forest soils in south-west Germany proposed by Schack-Kirchner et al. [39] was applied to estimate $D_s/D_0_{\text{calc}}$ based on $\epsilon_{\text{calc}}$:

$$D_s/D_0_{\text{calc}} = 0.496 \times \left[ \frac{\epsilon_{\text{calc}}}{100} \right]^{1.661}$$

(1)

Fine roots (<2 mm in diameter) were separated from each soil ring, then dried at 105 °C and weighed. Dividing the root mass by the volume of the soil sample resulted in root mass density (RMD) in mg cm$^{-3}$ soil.

Samples for the analysis of pH value, total carbon (C), total nitrogen (N), and mineral nitrogen ($N_{\text{min}}$) contents were taken together with the soil rings at 1–6 and 9–14 cm depth. $N_{\text{min}}$ samples were cooled immediately after extraction. Measurements of pH were conducted with air dried soil samples eluted in H$_2$O. Total C and N contents were measured with an elemental analyzer (Vario EL cube, Elementar, Germany) after grinding and drying the samples at 105 °C. $N_{\text{min}}$ was quantified according to Bassler & Hoffmann [40].

2.4. Statistical Analyses

All calculations and statistics were done with R version 3.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) [41]. For soil gas flux calculations, the R package “robustbase” [35] was used to run robust linear regression models. Differences in gas fluxes, soil physical and soil
chemical properties between treatments were tested for significance \((p < 0.05)\) with Dunn’s test for multiple comparison using the R package “dunn.test” \([42]\). Confidence intervals of median values were calculated according to McGill et al. \([43]\).

The R package “nlme” \([44]\) was used to assess the effect of tree species on \(\text{CH}_4\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes with linear mixed effects models. We chose linear models since there was no indication of non-linear relationships between dependent and independent variables. To fulfill the criterion of normal distribution, a log-modulus transformation \([45]\) was applied on the values of \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes. Predicted \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes were reconverted by an inverse log-modulus transformation. Model performance was optimized based on Akaike information criterion (AIC) and conditional \(R^2\) calculated with the R package “piecewiseSEM” \([46]\). Fixed effects were tested for collinearity beforehand and considered significant when \(p < 0.05\). The spatial replicates of measurement collars were considered as random effect. By this means, pseudo replication by repeated measurements of the same collars during the course of the year was avoided. Model validation was performed by comparison of gas fluxes measured in the field with the fluxes derived from the models and by calculation of the resulting root mean square error (RMSE).

3. Results

3.1. Environmental Conditions during the Measurement Period

Temperature in 5 cm soil depth ranged between 1.5 °C in December 2016 to 20.5 °C in June 2016 and did not differ between stands or strata (Figure 2A–C). Although the soil was not frozen to this depth, in December 2016, January 2017, February 2017, and April 2017, air temperature was below or close to zero and the upper few cm of the soil were frozen. In January, a snow cover was present and in February and April, measurements took place under thawing conditions.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Monthly mean values of soil temperature (A–C), water filled pore space (WFPS; D–F), and \(D_{w}/D_0\) (G–I) during the measurement period between June 2016 and June 2017 in each stratum for beech and black alder.
Volumetric soil moisture measured in the field was higher under alder than under beech with greatest differences in the undisturbed stand. Here, median values over the whole measurement period were 41% in the undisturbed alder stand and 34% in the beech stand. On the wheel track and on the center bulge, differences were less pronounced (wheel track alder 44% compared to 40% under beech and center bulge alder 43% compared to 41% under beech) but still significant. According to this, WFPS was significantly higher under alder compared to beech throughout the year in all strata (Figure 2D–F).\( D_s/D_0_{\text{calc}} \) was negatively correlated with WFPS (Figure 2G–I) with most distinct differences between beech and alder on the undisturbed plots (Figure 2G). Soil diffusivity measured in soil rings was in good agreement with \( D_s/D_0_{\text{calc}} \) estimated by the empirical model (\( R^2 = 0.79, p < 0.05 \)).

3.2. Soil Physical Properties

Fifteen years after soil trafficking, soil compaction was still evident in both soil depths under beech. Total porosity in 1–6 cm was around 20% lower on the wheel track and 10% lower in the center bulge compared to the undisturbed stand (Figure 3 left). A similar pattern was visible in 9–14 cm depth, albeit less pronounced. Under alder, total porosity in 9–14 cm depth was reduced by 10% on the wheel track and by 5% in the center bulge. In 1–6 cm however, no significant difference between trafficked and untrafficked soil was apparent.

![Figure 3.](image)

Figure 3. Relative changes of total porosity (left) and macro porosity (right) compared to the respective undisturbed stand (100%). Error bars indicate the ±95% confidence interval of medians. Significant differences between median values of strata within tree species are denoted by different letters. Absolute values as volume percent (median ±95% confidence interval) are given at the bottom of each bar.

Macro porosity in the skid trail under beech was distinctly lower compared to the undisturbed stand in both depths (Figure 3 right). Similarly, under alder macro porosity in 9–14 cm depth was lower compared to the undisturbed stand, whereas in 1–6 cm, no significant difference between undisturbed and disturbed soil was apparent.

Bulk density was significantly lower on the wheel track under alder than under beech in both depths (Table 1). In the center bulge, the difference was only significant in 9–14 cm depth. Within both stands, variability of root mass density was high and no significant differences between tree species or strata were found.
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Table 1. Median values of bulk density (DB) [g cm$^{-3}$] and fine root mass density (RMD) [mg cm$^{-3}$] ± 95% confidence intervals for each tree species and stratum in 1–6 and 9–14 cm soil depth. Significant differences between tree species within same strata are indicated by different letters.

| Depth  | Stand   | Wheel Track | Center Bulge |
|--------|---------|-------------|--------------|
|        | Beech   | Alder       | Beech        | Alder       | Beech | Alder |
|        |         |             |              |             |       |       |
| DB     |         |             |              |             |       |       |
| 1–6    | 0.76 ± 0.04$^a$ | 0.92 ± 0.02$^b$ | 1.05 ± 0.00$^a$ | 0.94 ± 0.03$^b$ | 0.95 ± 0.02$^a$ | 0.93 ± 0.00$^a$ |
| 9–14   | 1.06 ± 0.02$^a$ | 1.07 ± 0.02$^b$ | 1.07 ± 0.02$^a$ | 1.07 ± 0.04$^b$ | 1.20 ± 0.01$^a$ | 0.96 ± 0.02$^b$ |
| RMD    |         |             |              |             |       |       |
| 1–6    | 0.88 ± 0.38$^a$ | 0.89 ± 0.30$^a$ | 1.34 ± 0.45$^b$ | 0.63 ± 0.26$^a$ | 1.93 ± 2.31$^a$ | 0.93 ± 0.43$^a$ |
| 9–14   | 1.00 ± 0.65$^a$ | 0.66 ± 0.14$^a$ | 0.13 ± 0.27$^a$ | 0.57 ± 0.23$^a$ | 0.47 ± 0.87$^a$ | 0.30 ± 0.34$^a$ |

3.3. Soil Chemical Properties

Under alder, pH values and C and N contents were significantly higher than under beech within every stratum and in both depths (Table 2). Averaged over all strata and depths, 34% less C and 30% less N were found under beech. In both stands, C and N contents decreased with increasing depth. N$_{\text{min}}$ contents determined at the end of the whole measurement period in June 2017 differed neither between tree species nor between different strata (data not shown) and no significant difference in the NO$_3$/NH$_4$ ratio was found (beech 0.24, alder 0.22).

Table 2. Median pH values, C and N contents and C/N ratio ± 95% confidence interval for each tree species and stratum in 1–6 and 9–14 cm soil depth. Significant differences between tree species within same strata are indicated by different letters.

| Depth  | Stand   | Wheel Track | Center Bulge |
|--------|---------|-------------|--------------|
|        | Beech   | Alder       | Beech | Alder | Beech | Alder |
|        |         |             |       |       |       |       |
| pH     |         |             |       |       |       |       |
| 1–6    | 5.0 ± 0.02$^a$ | 5.7 ± 0.03$^b$ | 5.6 ± 0.04$^a$ | 5.9 ± 0.04$^b$ | 5.2 ± 0.08$^a$ | 5.6 ± 0.01$^b$ |
| 9–14   | 5.0 ± 0.09$^a$ | 5.9 ± 0.02$^b$ | 5.7 ± 0.02$^a$ | 6.1 ± 0.02$^b$ | 5.6 ± 0.02$^a$ | 6.0 ± 0.03$^b$ |
| C [mg g$^{-1}$] | 41.2 ± 0.3$^a$ | 57.7 ± 0.4$^b$ | 38.4 ± 0.3$^a$ | 58.2 ± 0.5$^b$ | 41.0 ± 0.4$^a$ | 54.5 ± 0.3$^b$ |
| 1–6    | 36.8 ± 0.4$^a$ | 47.9 ± 0.6$^b$ | 22.6 ± 0.3$^a$ | 47.4 ± 0.6$^b$ | 25.7 ± 0.3$^a$ | 41.6 ± 0.2$^b$ |
| 9–14   | 2.8 ± 0.2$^a$ | 3.5 ± 0.3$^b$ | 3.0 ± 0.2$^a$ | 4.4 ± 0.4$^b$ | 3.2 ± 0.20$^a$ | 4.3 ± 0.1$^b$ |
| N [mg g$^{-1}$] | 13.1 ± 0.5$^a$ | 12.8 ± 1.0$^a$ | 12.6 ± 0.63$^a$ | 13.0 ± 0.2$^a$ | 12.5 ± 0.64$^a$ | 12.4 ± 0.5$^a$ |
| 1–6    | 12.2 ± 1.4$^a$ | 13.8 ± 0.5$^a$ | 11.7 ± 0.4$^a$ | 14.0 ± 0.7$^b$ | 11.7 ± 0.2$^a$ | 13.0 ± 0.2$^b$ |
| 9–14   |         |             |       |       |       |       |

3.4. Greenhouse Gas Fluxes

3.4.1. Carbon Dioxide

In both stands and in all strata, soil respiration was correlated with soil temperature ($R^2 = 0.37$, $p < 0.05$). Higher respiration rates were measured under alder than under beech in all strata during spring and summer (Figure 4A–C). At lower soil temperatures between October 2016 and April 2017, differences in CO$_2$ efflux between beech and alder were not significant. Cumulative CO$_2$ fluxes in the undisturbed stands during the whole measurement period were significantly higher under alder (20.8 Mg CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$) than under beech (16.7 Mg CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$). Within the alder stand, no difference between trafficked and untrafficked soil was found, whereas cumulative fluxes under beech were around 30% lower on the wheel track (11.7 Mg CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$) compared to the undisturbed stand.
3.4.2. Methane

CH₄ fluxes from June to August 2016 were discarded because a batch of butyl stoppers of the vials emitted CH₄, leading to biased concentration measurements. Throughout the rest of the year, CH₄ fluxes were mostly negative under both tree species indicating microbial methane oxidation, best explained by WFPS ($R^2 = 0.38, p < 0.05$) and $D_s/D_0$ ($R^2 = 0.39, p < 0.05$). Between February and April, at very wet soil conditions with WFPS around 80%, net CH₄ emission was measured (Figure 4D–F). Cumulative CH₄ fluxes over the entire measurement period (Figure 5) were significantly lower in the undisturbed alder stand (−1.5 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) compared to the beech stand (−4.0 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ y⁻¹). In contrast, no significant differences between CH₄ fluxes under beech and alder were found on the wheel track or on the center bulge (Figure 4E,F). When relative differences between undisturbed soil and skid trail within the two stands are considered, the effect of soil trafficking was more pronounced under beech compared to alder. On the skid trail under beech, CH₄ oxidation was 75% lower in the wheel track and 57% lower in the center bulge compared to the uncompacted stand. Under alder, with 20% decreased CH₄ oxidation in the wheel track (−1.1 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) compared to the stand, this effect was less clear and not significant in the center bulge (−2.0 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ y⁻¹).
Figure 5. Annual cumulative fluxes of N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4}. Bars represent median values of the spatial replicates with their 95% confidence interval. Different letters indicate significant differences between beech and alder within strata.

3.4.3. Nitrous Oxide

Throughout the year, N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes were predominantly positive with emission peaks >100 g ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} under alder in April 2017 when WFPS was around 80% (Figure 4G). In some cases, slightly negative fluxes were measured in spring and summer, while the highest emissions occurred between October 2016 and May 2017 in the alder stand. Cumulative emissions between November 2016 and April 2017 accounted for 75% of the annual N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes under beech and for 85% under alder. Significant differences between beech and alder were observed in the undisturbed stand, where cumulative annual emissions (Figure 5) were nearly eight times higher under alder (3.1 kg N\textsubscript{2}O ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) than under beech (0.4 kg N\textsubscript{2}O ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}). In the alder stand, N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes were neither higher on the wheel track (2.1 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) nor on the center bulge (2.8 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) compared to the undisturbed stand (Figure 5G-I). Under beech, cumulative annual N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were more than three times higher in the wheel track (1.3 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) and nearly seven times higher in the center bulge (2.7 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) than in the undisturbed stand (0.4 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}).

3.4.4. Greenhouse Gas Fluxes on Stand-Level

In Table 3, GHG emissions in CO\textsubscript{2} equivalents are shown, based on the assumption that 14% of a stand’s area is occupied by skid trails [2] and that wheel track and center bulge make up 50% of a skid trail, respectively. Significant differences in N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes between beech and alder are only present in the undisturbed stands. For CO\textsubscript{2}, higher emissions are found in all strata under alder.

Table 3. Median values of annual CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes and fluxes of N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} in CO\textsubscript{2} equivalents according to the respective area-percentage of a forest stand ±95% confidence interval. Significant differences between tree species within same strata are indicated by different letters. \(\Sigma\) CO\textsubscript{2} eq is calculated for CO\textsubscript{2} equivalents of N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes.

|                      | Stand (86%) | Wheel Track (7%) | Center Bulge (7%) |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| CO\textsubscript{2} [kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}] | 14,359 ± 2093\textsuperscript{a} | 17,862 ± 5700\textsuperscript{b} | 820 ± 267\textsuperscript{a} |
| N\textsubscript{2}O in CO\textsubscript{2} eq [kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}] | 93.0 ± 104.4\textsuperscript{a} | 706.0 ± 2184\textsuperscript{b} | 24.6 ± 16.1\textsuperscript{a} |
| CH\textsubscript{4} in CO\textsubscript{2} eq [kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}] | −95.5 ± 20.0\textsuperscript{a} | −35.5 ± 9.6\textsuperscript{b} | −2.0 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{a} |
| \(\Sigma\) CO\textsubscript{2} eq [kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}] | −2.5 | 670.5 | 22.6 | 36.8 | 46.1 | 48
3.5. Mixed Effects Models for CH$_4$ and N$_2$O Fluxes

Tested variables to describe CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes were soil temperature, soil moisture, diffusion coefficient, total porosity, macro porosity, air-filled porosity, and WFPS. C, N, and N$_{min}$ contents and pH values were not continuously monitored during the measurement period and thus not included in the models. Best model fit indicated by AIC and conditional R$^2$ was achieved with the fixed effects soil temperature and diffusion coefficient (D$_D$/D$_0$$_{calc}$). As D$_D$/D$_0$$_{calc}$ was estimated based on $\varepsilon$$_{calc}$ (Equation (1)), it included information on soil moisture and pore structure, making it a more powerful predictor than simple soil moisture or WFPS. The resulting model with the replicates of measurement collars as random effect accounting for unexplained spatial variability was:

$$gas\ flux = f(\text{soil temperature} + \text{diffusion coefficient} + \text{random effect})$$  (2)

This model was applied separately for datasets of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O under beech and alder respectively, resulting in four individual models with acceptable values of conditional R$^2$, ranging between 0.26 and 0.64 (Table 4). Additionally, we present the relative susceptibility of the gas fluxes to a change of model parameters. This is calculated as the quotient of the fixed effects divided by the model coefficient. It represents the relative change of the gas flux of N$_2$O and CH$_4$ when soil temperature is increased by 1 °C and D$_D$/D$_0$$_{calc}$ by 0.01.

**Table 4.** Summary of the linear mixed effects models for CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes under beech and alder.

| CH$_4$ Flux Beech | Estimate | Standard Error | Effect [%] | p-Value | Conditional R$^2$ | RMSE |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|------------------|------|
| Intercept         | 0.56     | 0.68           | -          | n.s.    | 0.64             | 4.13 |
| Soil T [°C]      | -0.04    | 0.06           | -7.1 per 1 °C | n.s.    | 13.36            | 2.50 |
| D$_D$/D$_0$ [-]  | -93.6    | 6.57           | -167.1 per 0.01 | ***     | 93.6             | 6.57 |

| CH$_4$ Flux Alder | Estimate | Standard Error | Effect [%] | p-Value | Conditional R$^2$ | RMSE |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|------------------|------|
| Intercept         | 0.71     | 0.81           | -          | n.s.    | 0.26             | 4.99 |
| Soil T [°C]      | 0.13     | 0.07           | 18.3 per 1 °C | *       | 162.1            | 2.9  |
| D$_D$/D$_0$ [-]  | -115.08  | 14.78          | -162.1 per 0.01 | ***     | 167.1            | 7.1  |

| N$_2$O Flux Beech | Estimate | Standard Error | Effect [%] | p-Value | Conditional R$^2$ | RMSE |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|------------------|------|
| Intercept         | 2.73     | 0.31           | -          | ***     | 0.48             | 1.26 |
| Soil T [°C]      | -0.04    | 0.02           | -1.5 per 1 °C | *       | 162.1            | 2.9  |
| D$_D$/D$_0$ [-]  | -13.36   | 2.50           | -4.9 per 0.01 | ***     | 167.1            | 7.1  |

| N$_2$O Flux Alder | Estimate | Standard Error | Effect [%] | p-Value | Conditional R$^2$ | RMSE |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|------------------|------|
| Intercept         | 4.21     | 0.27           | -          | ***     | 0.50             | 1.25 |
| Soil T [°C]      | -0.12    | 0.02           | -2.9 per 1 °C | ***     | 162.1            | 2.9  |
| D$_D$/D$_0$ [-]  | -19.80   | 3.93           | -4.7 per 0.01 | ***     | 167.1            | 7.1  |

Significance codes: <0.001 ‘***’, <0.01 ‘**’, <0.05 ‘*’, >= 0.05 ‘n.s.’.

The effect of D$_D$/D$_0$$_{calc}$ on CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes was high compared to the effect of soil temperature (Table 4). Under beech and alder, an increase of D$_D$/D$_0$ by 0.01 resulted in in a reduction of CH$_4$ emissions, i.e., an increase of CH$_4$ oxidation by 167% and 162%, respectively, whereas a decrease of N$_2$O emissions by 5% can be expected under beech and alder.

To allow comparison of pure tree species effects, all models were run with an averaged D$_D$/D$_0$$_{calc}$ of 0.05 and soil temperature of 10 °C (Figure 6). Modeled N$_2$O fluxes at standardized soil temperature and D$_D$/D$_0$ were higher under alder than under beech (Figure 6). This effect was evident in all strata and most distinct in the undisturbed stand, where around three times more N$_2$O can be expected under alder compared to beech. Differences in modeled CH$_4$ fluxes were significant but less pronounced than for N$_2$O. Within all strata under alder, under standardized conditions, a slightly higher CH$_4$ uptake was found compared to beech. However, predicted CH$_4$ fluxes for all treatments ranged in a relatively small margin between −1.2 and −1.6 kg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$. 

The resulting model with the replicates of measurement collars as random effect accounting for unexplained spatial variability was:
4. Discussion

4.1. Soil Chemical Parameters

Elevated C contents associated with N fixation are frequently reported [47–49]. However, the exact mechanisms behind C-accumulation under N-fixing tree species are unclear [50]. Possibly, an increase in pools of new carbon and lower decomposition rates of old carbon under N-fixing trees favor accumulation of organic carbon (OC) [51]. In forests, 20–70% of net primary production (NPP) is allocated to fine roots, making fine root turnover a main source of OC [52–54]. For beech, turnover rates vary between 0.4–0.8 y\(^{-1}\) [55] and 0.8–1.2 y\(^{-1}\) [56]. Only few data is available on fine root turnover rates of alder, but considerably higher values of 6.2 y\(^{-1}\) reported by Rytter [53] suggest that differences in root turnover rates might explain higher OC contents found under alder than under beech.

Reduced soil acidity under alder was surprising, as high nitrification rates due to N-richness of alder litter were expected to promote soil acidification [57,58]. However, reduced soil acidity was possibly caused by a favorable lignin to N ratio of alder litter and consequential fast litter decomposition [59]. During fast decomposition, less organic acids are released than during slow decomposition, resulting in reduced soil acidification [59–61].

4.2. Soil Physical Parameters

On the skid trail under beech, the effect of soil trafficking was still obvious in elevated bulk density and lower total and macro porosity compared to the untrafficked stand in both depths. Under alder, however, differences compared to the untrafficked stand were found only in the deeper soil region, whereas in the upper centimeters, macro and total porosity did not differ between trafficked and undisturbed soil. Therefore, we suggest that the topsoil under alder was fully recovered. Even though root mass densities did not differ significantly between tree species, alder roots may have contributed to soil structure formation also due to higher fine root turnover rates, not visible in root mass densities. Ebeling et al. [15] observed full structure recovery 20 years after trafficking at a site with high biological activity, whereas at a site with low biotic activity, soil recovery was not completed after 40 years. In our case, high biological activity under alder was indicated by elevated soil respiration during the growing period. We presume that besides a possible higher root turnover rate, biological activity and thus...
structural regeneration under alder was promoted by fixation and assimilation of nitrogen and carbon as well as by reduced soil acidity [62,63].

Higher soil moisture and WFPS under alder caused a reduction of soil diffusivity, i.e., soil aeration. Possibly, up to 2% higher contents of OC have significantly increased water retention [64]. For “medium-textured” soils (0–40% clay, 0–52% sand), 2% more OC are expected to increase soil moisture by 4.2 vol% at field capacity and by 7.2 vol% at water saturation [65]. This is in the range of differences observed between beech and alder in the present study and can therefore explain elevated WFPS and thus lower Ds/Dk under alder.

4.3. Greenhouse Gas Fluxes

4.3.1. Carbon Dioxide

A favorable N to lignin ratio of alder litter, high rhizomicrobial activity due to N-fixation by *Frankia alni*, elevated OC and possibly a higher root turnover could explain elevated soil respiration rates under black alder [60,66,67]. Throughout winter, soil temperature was the limiting factor for biological activity [68] leading to equally low soil respiration rates under beech and alder.

Regarding CO$_2$ efflux measured at the soil surface, it should be considered that it does not represent ecosystem respiration which would include the CO$_2$ uptake by above-ground plant tissue via photosynthesis. Therefore, elevated soil respiration under alder during the growing season may include an elevated authotrophic (root) respiration, accompanied by higher rates of aboveground photosynthetic C-fixation. However, evidence for higher C-fixation, i.e., NPP in the alder stand can be seen in timber stocks twice as high as in the beech stand. Therefore, a rough estimate of the CO$_2$ balance in the undisturbed stands can be given by assuming an annual CO$_2$-fixation by timber growth of 12.3 Mg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ in the alder stand and 7.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ in the beech stand based on (i) the timber stocks measured in 2016, (ii) a C-content of 50% in woody biomass, and (iii) a wood density of 0.55 g cm$^{-3}$ for alder and 0.68 g cm$^{-3}$ for beech. CO$_2$-fixation in the alder stand was 4.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ higher than in the beech stand and hence completely offsets higher cumulative CO$_2$ emissions of 4.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ measured under alder. This is in agreement with Kutsch et al. [66] who stated that rhizomicrobial respiration, comprising respiration of roots, mycorrhizal fungi and microorganisms associated with roots, is closely correlated with primary production.

Several studies report a reduction of soil respiration in compacted soils due to anoxic conditions and subsequent decrease of biological activity [14,69,70]. This was evident in the present study under beech but not in the alder stand. Bringing this observation together with observations discussed above, the assumption of soil regeneration under alder is supported.

4.3.2. Methane

Cumulative CH$_4$ oxidation rates during the measurement period in the undisturbed plots ranged between $-1.5$ and $-4.0$ kg CH$_4$ ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ and were in the typical range of forest sites in northern Europe ($-0.1$ to $-9.1$ kg CH$_4$ ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$) [71]. The observed reduction of methane oxidation on the wheel track under beech is in accordance with Teepe et al. [2], who found CH$_4$ oxidation on wheel tracks reduced by 77% to $>100$% shortly after compaction. This is remarkable since our measurements took place 17 years after the last soil trafficking event and in contrast to Teepe et al. [2], the skid trail was planted with trees. Under alder, the impact of soil trafficking was much less pronounced, which can be attributed to the lack of a difference in the state of soil aeration between compacted and uncompacted strata. Lower absolute CH$_4$ oxidation in the undisturbed alder stand compared to the beech stand is related to lower values of Ds/Dk. Even though differences in the predicted CH$_4$ fluxes between tree species were statistically significant, the small range of modelled values within all treatments shows that soil temperature and Ds/Dk explain CH$_4$ fluxes to a great extent.

An inhibition of methane oxidizing bacteria by high NO$_3$ or NH$_4$ contents was reported in several studies [30,31,72]. Yet contrary to our expectations, N$_{min}$ contents in June 2017 were not elevated due
to N-fixation under alder. As seasonal variability of N$_{\text{min}}$ is high [27,73], no assessment can be made whether during the rest of the year, differences were present. However, slightly higher CH$_4$ oxidation under alder than under beech when D$_i$/D$_0$ and soil temperature were standardized (Figure 5) indicate that, even if N$_{\text{min}}$ was elevated, it had no detrimental effect on CH$_4$ oxidation.

We note that a well-developed aerenchym in black alder reaching from the stem base to the roots can mediate gas transport between soil and atmosphere [74]. Also for Fagus sylvatica, evidence for a transport link between soil and atmosphere via the root system was suggested by Maier et al. [75]. If only soil-to-atmosphere fluxes are regarded, total CH$_4$ (and N$_2$O) fluxes of forest stands may be underestimated under certain circumstances. However, it was beyond the scope of this study to include stem fluxes. Until now, only few studies have included these fluxes and it is not fully understood under which conditions they are relevant or not.

### 4.3.3. Nitrous Oxide

With 3.1 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$, cumulative N$_2$O production in the undisturbed alder stand was higher than emissions of 0.8–1.6 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ observed in black alder stands in southern Germany [76] but lower than emissions of 7.7 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ from a black alder stand in northern Germany [27]. In the undisturbed beech stand, cumulative emissions of 0.4 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ are in agreement with observations by Mogge et al. [27] but lower than measurements by Butterbach-Bahl et al. [77] during four consecutive years in a beech stand (1.6–10.4 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$) and several other authors [78,79]. The only study quantifying N$_2$O emissions from skid trails under comparable conditions reported cumulative N$_2$O effluxes between 1.8 and 4.3 kg ha$^{-1}$ during the growing season [2], which is in the range of our observations on the skid trail.

Seventeen years after soil trafficking, N$_2$O emissions were not increased on the skid trail planted with alder compared to the non-compacted soil under alder, probably because soil structure was not different from the undisturbed alder stand within the upper 1–6 cm. On the skid trail planted with beech, similar N$_2$O production rates at comparable WFPS suggest that limited soil aeration enhanced N$_2$O production by denitrification, while good soil aeration in the undisturbed beech stand caused lower N$_2$O emissions. Standardization in the tree-species specific models showed that D$_i$/D$_0$ was the main variable controlling N$_2$O fluxes and that the effect of D$_i$/D$_0$ on N$_2$O fluxes was of similar size for beech and alder. Consequently, up to three times higher N$_2$O emissions predicted by the model under alder after standardizing D$_i$/D$_0$ and soil temperature point towards an additional factor that has contributed to elevated N$_2$O emissions. Possibly, available nitrogen originating from N-rich alder litter may have been provided, resulting in a boost of microbial nitrification and denitrification [27,28,76]. Because this microbial activity consumes the available N in the soil solution, this hypothesis does not contradict the rather uniform N$_{\text{min}}$ observations at the sampling date in June 2017. An increase of N$_2$O emissions under alder in all strata with begin of litterfall in October/November 2016 lasting until April 2017 corroborates the hypothesis of elevated N-availability.

Besides nitrogen availability and restricted soil aeration, freeze/thaw cycles could explain enhanced emissions of N$_2$O during winter in both stands. When measurements took place under thawing conditions as it was the case in February 2017 and April 2017, formerly entrapped N$_2$O may have been discharged [80], or the release of N-rich intracellular content after death of bacteria or fungi [81] and subsequent decomposition by surviving microbes may have boosted N$_2$O emission [82,83]. The resulting temporal pattern of N$_2$O fluxes with emission outbursts during winter dominating annual emissions is in agreement with observations by many authors [84–86].

It should be highlighted that, despite differences in N$_2$O fluxes between tree species and between strata, annual N$_2$O production was in no case extraordinarily high compared to other studies. Even in the treatment with highest annual emissions, N$_2$O fluxes were lower compared to up to 10 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ measured in a beech stand by Butterbach-Bahl et al. [77] or to 6.8 kg N$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ measured in a beech stand by Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al. [79]. N$_2$O emissions from fertilized
and unfertilized German agricultural soils reported in a review by Jungkunst et al. [87] range up to 27 kg N\textsubscript{2}O ha\textsuperscript{−1} y\textsuperscript{−1}.

5. Conclusions

A main goal of this study was to reveal the tree species effect on GHG exchange in disturbed and undisturbed forest soils. With the results, a basic problem of such comparisons in more or less established forest ecosystems becomes obvious. The manifold soil–plant interactions make it difficult to reduce observed effects to single factors. This was particularly apparent in soil physical parameters of the undisturbed stands of alder and beech. To overcome this problem, we used multiple regression models to approximate a standardization of factors. We observed clear differences in the GHG fluxes between undisturbed stands of alder and beech and also between recovered and non-recovered soil compaction. Taking into account all variables, it became obvious that N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes were mainly governed by soil aeration and soil temperature. Under alder, available nitrogen might additionally have modified N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. The comparison of undisturbed beech and alder stands shows that alder affected soil structure formation and the amount of soil organic carbon and nitrogen turnover, leading to increased N\textsubscript{2}O emission and decreased CH\textsubscript{4} oxidation (however, for available nitrogen we did not find evidence for higher concentrations under alder). On the skid trail, we conclude that there was no trade-off between structure recovery and undesired side-effects on GHG fluxes since black alder was suitable to improve soil structure without changing the stand’s GHG balance.
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