Dynamic Modeling of Transverse Flux Permanent Magnet Generator for Wind Turbines
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Abstract— The transverse flux permanent magnet machines have become an interesting possibility for offshore wind turbines. These machines have the highest relation between electrical torque and weight of active materials. The pole pair modular construction could eliminate or lower the gear ratio used in conventional wind turbines. This paper presents a dynamic model of a wind turbine equipped with a transverse flux permanent magnet generator connected to a direct-current power system using a combination of 3D finite element generator model and an aerodynamic model. The results indicate that the model can give accurate response for steady-state operation and for wind speed variations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Offshore wind power is a very prominent research theme. Most of the European countries with high amount of wind power (like Germany, United Kingdom, Ireland, Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden) are planning to build a huge number of offshore wind farms in the next years [1]-[2]. Some of them will be installed up to 100 km from the land with 1 GW of capacity. The Europe’s installed offshore wind power is expect to reach 40 GW by 2020. By the end of 2009, the total installed offshore wind power in Europe has reached more than 2 GW in 830 grid connected wind turbines [1]. These wind turbines are grouped in 39 wind farms in nine countries. The largest wind farm is placed in Denmark (209 MW, Horns Rev 2). The most effective transmission system to connect the wind farms to the shore is not already defined, however, there is a tendency to use a direct-current offshore grid in order to collect the generated power produced by a group of wind farms in a common offshore substation [2]. The initial cost of an offshore wind farm can be twice as much as the equivalent onshore [3].

In the last 10 years, the use of permanent magnet materials has been increasing considerably. This fact has contributed to the development of new concepts of electrical machines for new application [4]. Comparative studies about wind generators have shown that permanent magnet generators (PMG) has many advantages when compared to the conventional doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) or even with the synchronous generator with electrical excitation [5]. As a general rule, PMG can be
divided according to the direction of the magnetic flux in relation to their shafts as: radial (conventional), axial and transversal. Since the transverse flux permanent magnetic machine (TFPM) has the highest relation between electrical torque and mass (their size is even smaller than conventional PMG for the same nominal torque), this concept deserves special attention [4]-[7].

The dynamic behavior of most electrical machines can be studied by the use of analytical equation, however, it is not the case of the TFPM (shown in Fig. 1). The constructive geometry of the TFG is very complex and has no 2D symmetry. The intuitive solution to model its dynamic is the approach which connects the dynamic model of the electrical power system and the controllers step-by-step to the TFG 3D finite element model. On the other hand, the required simulation time to process this analysis is impractical. For this reason, a hybrid model was developed allowing fast dynamic analysis of wind turbines equipped with TFG.

Fig. 1   The 3D view of the TFPM built at IEM - RWTH Aachen University.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents a brief description of the TFG. The 3D finite element analysis (FEA) of this generator is described in Section III. The wind turbine dynamic model is presented in Section IV. The dynamic analysis of the TFG is presented in Section V. In Section VI, the main conclusions are summarized.

II. TRANSVERSE FLUX PERMANENT MAGNET MACHINE

The transversal flux permanent magnet machine was first developed to operate as a motor in the 80’s by H. Weh [4],[6],[7]. More than 11 geometries of transverse flux permanent magnet machines (TFPM) have been described in the literature [8]. Among them is also highlighted that flux-concentrating TFPM provide higher force density compared to surface-mounted TFPM [8]. More research has been done in the motor operation, however, the operation as generator is very similar.

At the Institute of Electrical Machines (IEM), RWTH Aachen University, a three-phase configuration of TFPM with flux-concentrating was developed. This machine was previously designed for traction and has a water-cooled stator, an external rotor and U-shaped soft iron parts, as
shown in Fig. 2. The operation of TFPM is very similar to the conventional synchronous machine allowing similar control strategies [7]. The nominal characteristics of the TFPM prototype developed in Aachen are: 25 kW; 600 rpm; 400 Nm; 205 V; 70 A.

The eddy currents losses calculated using three dimensional finite element analysis of the Aachen TFPM prototype was presented in [9]. The complex construction of the TFPM requires expensive soft magnetic composites and is justified for special and critical applications [10].

III. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS METHOD FOR TRANSVERSE FLUX PERMANENT MAGNET MACHINE

The dynamic analysis of conventional electrical machines is performed using dynamic analytical equation for each phase winding. In the case of the TFPM, the most appropriate form to analyze its dynamic behavior is with the use of the finite element modeling. Due to the complex constructive geometry, there is no symmetry considering two-dimensional axis (2D symmetry). By consequence, the analysis must be in the three-dimensional axis, some simplifications may be done.

Due to its construction, the phase windings are exactly the same and the flux linkage between each other can be neglected. This fact allows the analysis of only one phase to determine the flux linkage ($\lambda$) and the electromagnetic torque ($T_e$). The modular configuration of the TFPM enables the finite element method to be performed in only one pole pitch (Fig. 2). The saturation effect is taking into account considering the non-linear characteristic of the core material. The flux linkage in phase A can be determined by the finite element method applied to the mesh geometry shown in Fig. 3. In addition, the general electrical machine theory can be used to determine the induced voltage in each winding using the equation (1).

![Fig. 2 Linearized pole pitch of the phase A (permanent magnets in rotor).](image1)

![Fig. 3 Three dimensional finite element mesh of the TFPM.](image2)
A. Determination of the Flux Linkage ($\lambda$)

The terminal voltage of the TFG can be determined differentiating the flux linkage of the stator windings, as shown in equation (1).

$$v(t) = -R \cdot i(t) + \frac{d\lambda(i, \theta)}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This equation is a general form of stator voltage for alternating current generators [7]. The flux linkage is computed as function of rotor position and armature currents by 3D static finite element analysis [7]. The form of equation (1) must be expanded to implement the look-up table data:

$$v(t) = -R \cdot i(t) + \left( \frac{d\lambda(i, \theta)}{di} \cdot \frac{di}{dt} + \frac{d\lambda(i, \theta)}{d\theta} \cdot \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Finally, the current differential term of the equation is isolated and implemented in Matlab/Simulink:

$$\frac{di}{dt} = \left( v(t) + R \cdot i(t) - \frac{d\lambda(i, \theta)}{d\theta} \cdot \omega_m \right) \cdot \frac{di}{d\lambda(i, \theta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $v(t)$ is the terminal voltage; $R$ is the phase resistance; $i(t)$ is the phase current; $t$ is time; $\theta$ is the rotor angular position of the electric system; $\omega_m$ is the rotor velocity.

With the flux linkage determined by the 3D static FEA, the two differential terms of the right side of the equation (3) can be calculated. The first term of equation (3), the values of flux linkage obtained from the static analysis are derived in relation to the current, for example, the rotor position is fixed in 10º and two values of flux linkage related to consecutive current are derived. For the second term, the values are derived in relation to the rotor position, for example, current is fixed in 1 A and two values of flux linkage related to consecutive rotor positions are derived. These values are interpolated and showed in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. Using this approach one can simulate the transient and the static behavior of the TFG by combining the analytical equations and the look-up tables. This approach facilitates the implementation of the equation (3) via two look-up tables.

Fig. 4 Interpolation of the first differential term.

Fig. 5 Interpolation of the second differential term.
B. Determination of the Electromagnetic Torque (Te)

During the computation of the flux linkage of the TFG phase A, the values of the respective electromagnetic torque were also calculated as function of rotor position and armature currents using the equation (4) [7]:

$$T_e^A = i_A(t) \frac{d\lambda_A(i_A, \theta_A)}{d\theta_A} \cdot p$$

where $p$ is the number of pole pairs.

The interpolation of these values is shown in Fig. 6. They are also implemented as a look-up table in the Matlab/Simulink model. The rotor position of the values related to phase B and phase C is dislocated by $-120^\circ$ and $+120^\circ$ respectively. The magnetic decoupling between phases makes possible the individual phase simulation. Equation (5) shows the total electromagnetic torque ($T_e$) produced by the superposition of the stator phases (A, B and C) of the TFG.

$$T_e = T_e^A + T_e^B + T_e^C$$

![Fig. 6 Electromagnetic torque of the phase A.](image)

IV. WIND TURBINE MODELING

The TFG requires a full converter in order to operate in variable speed. This fact enables the wind turbine to maximize the generated power.

![Fig. 7 Schematic diagram of the wind turbine modeling.](image)
The aerodynamic model, the generator model and the converter control model are described in the following topics.

A. Aerodynamic Model

For all kinds of wind turbine technologies the energy conversion principle is the same. The mechanical power ($P_m$) capture from the wind by a wind turbine and its related mechanical torque ($T_m$) can be calculated using the well-known aerodynamic equations [2]-[5],[11]:

$$
P_m = \frac{1}{2} A \cdot \rho \cdot V_{wt}^3 \cdot C_p (\lambda_{wt}, \beta)$$

$$
T_m = \frac{P_m}{\omega_{wt}}
$$

where $A$ is the turbine rotor area, $\rho$ is the air density, $V_{wt}$ is the wind speed, $C_p$ is the performance coefficient, $\beta$ is the blade pitch angle, $\lambda_{wt} = \omega_{wt} R_{wt} / V_{wt}$ is the tip speed ratio, $R_{wt}$ is the radius of the turbine rotor and $\omega_{wt}$ is turbine angular speed.

The performance coefficient depends on the blade pitch angle and the tip speed ratio ($\lambda_{wt}$). Usually, a group of curves are experimentally obtained by the manufacture of the wind turbine. However, for these studies we have used the analytical equation proposed in [2],[11]. The pitch angle control diminishes mechanically the $C_p$ of the wind turbine in order to limit the generated power for wind speeds higher than the nominal. The adopted pitch angle control operates as electric power regulator [11] and is activated only when the velocity of the wind turbine reaches its nominal value (21.8 rpm). The adopted 2 MW wind turbine [11] requires a gear box (GB) ratio 1:27.5 to match the nominal generator speed.

B. Generator Model

The analytical dynamic equations of generators are sufficient for most of the studies. Therefore, there are no well-know dynamic equations for TFG and the approach using look-up tables is required, as described in section III. Additionally, the mechanical equations were implemented:

$$
\frac{d \omega_m}{dt} = \frac{1}{J} (T_E - T_m)
$$

$$
\frac{d \theta}{dt} = \omega_m
$$

where $T_E$ is the sum of the electromagnetic torque of the 3 phases, $J$ is the combined inertia coefficient (sum of the turbine rotor and the generator rotor) and $\theta$ is the mechanical rotor angular position.

The nominal mechanical characteristics of the adopted wind turbine do not match to the nominal characteristics of the TFPM prototype, hence the concept of per unit systems (p.u. systems) must be used as suggested in [2]. The operation of the generator must follow the best rotor speed in order to maximize the mechanical power. In Fig. 8, the optimized power tracking is obtained by adjusting the turbine-generator angular speed for each wind velocity.

C. Converter Control Model

In order to maximize the generated power by the wind turbine the optimal speeds was calculated
considering also the performance coefficient and the blade pitch control (Fig. 8). The more appropriate offshore transmission system to bring the electrical power to the land is not already defined, however, there is a tendency to use the HVDC transmission system in some far locations. For this reason, the converter model used in this study represents only an ideal IGBT-based machine side converter connected to an internal direct-current (dc) network of the wind farm.

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**Fig. 8** Output power for different values of wind speed (m/s).

The PWM (Pulse-width modulation) converter control (show in Fig. 9) employs $dq0$ rotating reference frame to vary the applied voltage and frequency to active optimal speed.

![Figure 9](image-url)  
**Fig. 9** Block diagram of the converter control.

The terms with the mark (') are the reference values. In this figure, $I_{abc}$ are the phase currents injected by the generator into the dc network. The symbol $\theta$ is the rotor position reference to the $dq0$-to-$abc$ transformation. The speed controller is implemented with a proportional and integral regulator (PI), it is responsible for providing the quadrature current reference ($I_{q}'$). The direct-axis reference current ($I_{d}'$) is 0 (zero) in order to maximize the electromagnetic torque ($T_E$) [12],[13]. After a $dq0$-to-$abc$ transformation, the three-phase reference currents are compared at the current controller which gives the converter output reference voltages ($V_{abc}'$). The reference voltages are sent to the PWM signal generator and then applied to the machine by the converter.
V. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF WIND TURBINE

Two different dynamic studies were performed in order to check the behavior of the model presented in sections III and IV. The first one analyzes the steady-state characteristics and the second one analyzes the dynamic behavior of the wind turbine based on TFG during wind gust perturbation.

A. Steady-State Characteristic with Constant Wind

One dynamic simulation was performed for each wind speed value in the range from 0.5 m/s to 20 m/s. The values of the steady-state operation point for optimal mechanical power (shown in Fig. 8) are compared to the electric power effectively injected into the DC grid. As the only considered losses are the cooper losses, one can see in Fig. 10 the agreement of both values.

![Fig. 10 Estimation of the wind turbine power curve.](image1)

The blade pitch angle position is shown in Fig. 11, one can see the different steady-state values assumed by the control to diminishes the power captured when the wind speed is over the nominal speed (11.8 m/s) to guarantee that the generator is not overloaded.

![Fig. 11 Position of the blade pitch angle for different wind speed.](image2)

For wind speed belong 11.8 m/s, the rotor speed assumes different values in order to achieve the optimal speed to maximize the power capture from the wind (Fig. 12). Above the nominal speed in steady-state operation, the rotor is maintained at fixed velocity. The blade pitch angle control and the rotor speed control are complementary, as can be seen in Fig. 11 and in Fig. 12.
B. Dynamic Analysis during Wind Gust Perturbation

The second part of the dynamic analyzes determines the effect of wind gust perturbations over a certain period when the wind turbine is operating at nominal speed. In this analysis, the implemented speed control maintains the rotor speed in a fixed value during the wind gust perturbation. The blade pitch angle control actuates to minimize the effect of the wind gust on the electric system. The signal adopted to control the blade pitch angle is the generated electric power. This pitch angle control strategy minimizes the fluctuations of electric power injected into the electric system. The wind gust perturbation (shown in Fig. 13) has its average value equal to 16 m/s.

The rotor speed is kept constant by the rotor side converter, as shown in Fig. 14. The pitch angle control actuates to compensate the wind speed variation. When the wind speed becomes higher than the average value, the pitch angle also increases to diminish the power capture from the wind. The actuation of the blade pitch angle is less efficient when the average wind speed is closer or lower than the nominal wind speed (11.8 m/s). In Fig. 15, the variation of the pitch angle during the wind gust is shown.
One can see in Fig. 16 that the injected electric power suffers the influence of the wind gust perturbation. This fact can be explained considering the time response of the mechanical actuator used to vary the blade angle, which adds a time delay between the control order and the effective actuation.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

The methodology presented in this paper combines a 3D finite element model and an aerodynamic model of a wind turbine. Using this methodology the dynamic simulations of the TFG can be performed with accuracy avoiding the critical full 3D model. The dynamic simulations have showed appropriate and accurate results for steady-state and dynamic characteristics of the wind turbine based on TFG. The development of a full wind farm expanded model to analyze the impact on the offshore transmission systems would give basis to compare its performance with other technologies.
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