ARF6 Targets Recycling Vesicles to the Plasma Membrane: Insights from an Ultrastructural Investigation
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Abstract. We have shown previously that the ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF)-6 GTPase localizes to the plasma membrane and intracellular endosomal compartments. Expression of ARF6 mutants perturbs endosomal trafficking and the morphology of the peripheral membrane system. However, another study on the distribution of ARF6 in subcellular fractions of Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells suggested that ARF6 did not localize to endosomes labeled after 10 min of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) uptake, but instead was uniquely localized to the plasma membrane, and that its reported endosomal localization may have been a result of overexpression. Here we demonstrate that at the lowest detectable levels of protein expression by cryo-immunogold electron microscopy, ARF6 localized predominantly to an intracellular compartment at the pericentriolar region of the cell. The ARF6-labeled vesicles were partially accessible to HRP only on prolonged exposure to the endocytic tracer but did not localize to early endocytic structures that labeled with HRP shortly after uptake. Furthermore, we have shown that the ARF6-containing intracellular compartment partially colocalized with transferrin receptors and cellubrevin and morphologically resembled the recycling endocytic compartment previously described in CHO cells. HRP labeling in cells expressing ARF6(Q67L), a GTP-bound mutant of ARF6, was restricted to small peripheral vesicles, whereas the mutant protein was enriched on plasma membrane invaginations. On the other hand, expression of ARF6(T27N), a mutant of ARF6 defective in GDP binding, resulted in an accumulation of perinuclear ARF6-positive vesicles that partially colocalized with HRP on prolonged exposure to the tracer. Taken together, our findings suggest that ARF activation is required for the targeted delivery of ARF6-positive, recycling endosomal vesicles to the plasma membrane.

Vesicular transport along the endocytic and biosynthetic pathways is essential for the biogenesis and maintenance of subcellular organelle integrity and the trafficking of proteins and lipids within the cell and between the cell and its extracellular environment. Along the endocytic pathway, a variety of macromolecules are internalized via clathrin-dependent or -independent mechanisms into early endocytic vesicles (reviewed in Sandvig and Van Deurs, 1994; Gruenberg and Maxfield, 1995; Lamaze and Schmid, 1996; Mellman, 1996), from which they are delivered to tubulovesicular sorting endosomes (Geuze et al., 1983, 1987; Griffiths et al., 1989; Gruenberg et al., 1989). At this junction, lysosomal proteins are sorted from those proteins that recycle via an iterative process in which lysosome-targeted ligands accumulate in the sorting compartment and are then delivered to lysosomes (Stoorvogel et al., 1991; Dunn et al., 1989; van Deurs, 1993). On the other hand, recycling markers are delivered to a pericentriolar recycling compartment before they are transported back to the plasma membrane (Hopkins and Trowbridge, 1983; Yashimoro et al., 1984; Hopkins et al., 1994; Marsh et al., 1995). Although considerable efforts have been made in delineating the general features of the endocytic pathway, the mechanisms that regulate these transport pathways are still incompletely understood and have been the subject of active research. The vectorial transfer of membrane between intracellu-
lar membrane-bound endocytic organelles involves a series of tightly regulated membrane budding and fusion events. The regulatory machinery includes several cytosolic and membrane-bound GTP-binding proteins (or GTPases) that function as molecular switches cycling between their GTP- and GDP-bound states. Members of the Rab GTPase family of the Ras superfamily of low molecular mass GTPases, have been implicated in the control of various steps along the endocytic pathway. Rab4 appears to play a role in recycling of ligands from the sorting endosome, bypassing the recycling endosome (van der Sluijs et al., 1992; Daro et al., 1996), Rab5 has been localized to, and promotes fusion of, early endosomes (Bucci et al., 1992; Barbieri et al., 1994), whereas Rab11 has been thought to regulate transport between sorting and recycling endosomes (Ulrich et al., 1996).

In addition to the Rab GTPases, it is well documented that the ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF) GTPases are required for maintaining the integrity of organelle structure and intracellular transport. As with the GTPases of the Rab family, it is likely that the different ARF proteins may control membrane trafficking from the organelles to which they are localized. The ARF proteins were originally identified as cofactors required for cholera toxin catalyzed ADP ribosylation of GS (Kahn and Gilman, 1986). The ARF family currently includes five structurally homologous proteins (ARFs 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6) whose structure is well conserved across the species (Tsukita et al., 1994). The best-characterized ARF protein, ARF1, is localized to the Golgi complex (Peters et al., 1995). It is required for the binding of coat proteins, COP I and the AP1–clathrin complex, to Golgi membranes, a process critical for maintaining the integrity of Golgi structure and for vesicle transport along the biosynthetic pathway (reviewed in Rothman, 1994; Bowman and Kahn, 1995; Donaldson and Klausner, 1994; Moss and Vaughan, 1995). The ARFs have been shown to activate phospholipase D (Brown et al., 1993; Cockroft et al., 1994; Massenburg et al., 1994). It has been speculated that ARF-mediated effects on lipid metabolism may relate to its function in maintaining organelle structure and transport, and although some studies have implied that a connection between these functions may indeed exist (Kahn et al., 1995; Liscovitch and Cantley, 1995; Kistakis, 1996), the exact mechanism involved remains unclear.

Our previous studies have shown that ARF6, the least conserved ARF protein, is localized to the cell periphery and cycles between the plasma membrane and an intracellular endosomal compartment depending on its nucleotide status (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995; Peters et al., 1995). Unlike ARF1, the cellular distribution of ARF6 appears to be insensitive to brefeldin A (Peters et al., 1995). Expression of mutant forms of ARF6 defective in GTP binding and hydrolysis in CHO cells has a pronounced effect on receptor-mediated endocytic trafficking. A mutant form of ARF6, defective in GTP hydrolysis and hence locked in its GTP-bound conformation, ARF6(Q67L), is localized almost exclusively to the plasma membrane and its expression decreases the rate of uptake of transferrin (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995). Conversely, the dominant negative and GDP-bound mutant of ARF6, ARF6(T27N), is localized to intracellular compartments (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995; Peters et al., 1995), and its expression results in an intracellular accumulation of transferrin receptors and an inhibition of recycling of ligands to the cell surface. These alterations in endocytic trafficking are accompanied by gross alterations in the morphology of the peripheral membrane system (Peters et al., 1995). Expression of wild-type ARF6 and its GTPase-defective mutant, ARF6(Q67L), results in the elaboration of the plasma membrane, characterized by the formation of extensive membrane invaginations. On the other hand, expression of the ARF6(T27N) mutant results in the massive accumulation of intracellular vesicles that exhibit a novel coat structure on the cytoplasmic surface. In addition to eliciting a redistribution of peripheral membrane, the expression of ARF6(Q67L) has also been shown to induce a remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton (Radhakrishna et al., 1996; D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1997). Whether these two cellular activities of ARF6 are codependent processes or are controlled by divergent signaling pathways remains to be established. In a separate study, Cavenagh et al. (1996) investigated the distribution of ARF6 in CHO cells by analysis of subcellular fractions generated by free flow electrophoresis, wherein the early endosome fraction was defined by its accessibility to HRP. They reported that ARF6 localized exclusively to the plasma membrane and that the previously reported intracellular localization of ARF6 may have been a consequence of high levels of protein expression (Cavenagh et al., 1996). In a more recent study, endogenous ARF6 has been shown to cofractionate with chromaffin granules in adrenal chromaffin cells (Galas et al., 1997). Triggering of exocytosis resulted in the dissociation of ARF6 from the granule fraction onto an unidentified membrane compartment. Based on the above, an understanding of the precise compartments to which ARF6 is localized will be pivotal to elucidate its biological role.

In this study, by use of the high resolution technique of cryoimmunogold electron microscopy, we have undertaken a further characterization of the membrane alterations induced by expression of wild-type ARF6 and its GTP- and GDP-bound mutants in CHO cells. These studies have revealed that at low levels of protein expression, wild-type ARF6 localizes predominantly to intracellular compartments around the pericentriolar region of the cell juxtaposed to the TGN. This compartment colocalized with transferrin receptors and with cellubrevin, a member of the v-SNARE family of proteins that has been shown to play a role in membrane recycling (Galli et al., 1994). Furthermore, we have analyzed the distribution of ARF6 and its mutants relative to the endocytic tracer, HRP. We show that a small fraction of wild-type ARF6 and its mutant defective in GTP-binding, ARF6(T27N), colocalize with HRP only after prolonged exposure to the tracer. ARF6(Q67L), the GTP-bound mutant, localizes to the plasma membrane and HRP labeling in cells expressing this mutant was restricted to small peripheral vesicles. Our findings indicate that ARF6 is localized to a recycling compartment and may serve to regulate the outward flow of recycling membrane.

---

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: ARF, ADP-ribosylation factor; Tfn-R, transferrin receptor; HA, hemagglutinin; MPR, cation-dependent mannose-6-phosphate receptor; LAMP, lysosome-associated membrane protein; PLD, phospholipase D; PIP2, phosphatidylinositol 4,5, bisphosphate; SNARE, soluble NSF attachment protein (SNAP) receptor; VAMP, vesicle-associated membrane protein.
Materials and Methods

Cells, Reagents, and Antibodies

TRVb-1 cells, a CHO cell line that overexpresses transferrin receptors (McGrav et al., 1987), were grown and maintained in Hams F12 medium (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin, and 100 µg/ml G418. HRF-FITC was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) and rhodamine-transferin was kindly provided by Richard Roberts (Washington University, St. Louis, MO). For the studies described, the following antibodies were used: affinity-purified polyclonal antiserum directed against a COOH-terminal ARF6 peptide (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995); anti-HRP mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma Chemical Co.); antibiotic mouse monoclonal antibody (Rockland, Inc., Gilbertsville, PA); anti-lysosome-associated membrane protein (LAMP)-3 (CD63) mouse monoclonal antibody (CLB, Amsterdam, The Netherlands); antitransferrin receptor H68.4 (generous gift from Ian Towbridge, The Salk Institute, San Diego, CA; White et al., 1992); anti-γ-adaptin mouse monoclonal antibody, 100/3 (Sigma Chemical Co.); anti-LAMP-1 (kindly provided by Minori Fukuda, La Jolla Cancer Research Institute, La Jolla, CA); anti-mannose-6-phosphate receptor rabbit polyclonal antibody (kindly provided by Kurt Von Figura, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany); rabbit antisera against β-COP (generous gift from Jennifer Lippincott-Schwartz, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD); and affinity-purified anti-cellubrevin rabbit antiserum, MC16 (kindly provided by Thierry Galli, Curie Institute, Paris, France; Galli et al., 1994).

Expression of ARF6 Proteins

ARF6 and its mutants were expressed in TRVb-1 cells using the Sindbis virus as an expression vector. Recombinant virus encoding ARF6 and its mutant derivatives were generated as previously described (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995). A virus titer of 50 plaque-forming units/cell was used for cell infection. Adsorption was conducted at room temperature for 1 h in 250 µl PBS containing 1% FBS. The infection mixtures were replaced by 2 ml Hams F12 medium containing 3% FBS and cells were maintained at 37°C. Experiments were carried out 4–5 h after infection. The above procedure allowed us to examine ARF6 localization at varying levels of protein expression. Six batches of infected cells were analyzed for localization of each ARF protein.

Cell Surface Biotinylation

Cell monolayers in 35-mm dishes were cooled to 4°C and rinsed with 2 ml of ice-cold PBS containing 5 mM glucose. The cells were then incubated with 1 µg/ml sulpho-NHS-biotin (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) for 30 min at 4°C. After biotinylation, the cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer and processed for cryosectioning and immunogold electron microscopy. Cells were labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 and biotin. For controls, cells infected with vector virus were incubated with HRP (4 µg/ml) for 30 min in serum-free media, followed by washes with PBS containing 0.2% BSA and 5 mM glucose. Cells were chilled, biotinylated as described above, and then processed for cryoimmunogold electron microscopy and labeling with antibodies against HRP and biotin.

Expression of ARF6(T27N) Using Vaccinia Virus

The ARF6(T27N)-pTM3 plasmid was generated by PCR amplification of hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged ARF6(T27N) cDNA (Peters et al., 1995) with sequences encoding NcoI and BglII restriction sites at the NH2- and COOH-terminal ends, respectively, that was then cloned into the vaccinia expression vector pTM3 (Fuerst et al., 1988). The resulting plasmid was stably integrated into vaccinia virus by homologous recombination as previously described (Anusbel et al., 1987). HEK 293 cells were then coinfected with recombinant viruses that encode for ARF6(T27N) and T7 RNA polymerase (virus 7.3) for 9 h as previously described (Fuerst et al., 1988). Cells were fixed and processed for cryosectioning and immunogold labeling as described below.

Analysis of HRP Distribution

TRVb-1 cells were infected with either vector virus alone or with recombinant virus encoding wild-type ARF6, ARF6(Q67L), or ARF6(T27N). At 4.5 h after infection, cells were incubated with 5 µg/ml HRP for 5 or 60 min in Hams F12 serum-free media containing 0.2% BSA. The cells were quickly rinsed with media followed by fixation with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Cells were scraped gently from the dish and collected in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.2% glutaraldehyde and then processed for electron cryosectioning (see below). Ultrathin cryosections were double labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 and HRP as described below.

Cryoimmunogold Electron Microscopy Procedures

Cell monolayers on petri dishes were fixed at room temperature in 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 12 h, or with 2% glutaraldehyde for 1 h. Cells were scraped and collected into 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.2% of paraformaldehyde and then processed for electron cryosectioning as previously described (Peters et al., 1991). 45-nm cryosections were cut at ~125°C using diamond knives (Drukker Cuijik, The Netherlands) in an Utracryomicrotome (Leica Aktiengesellschaft, Vienna, Austria) and transferred with a mixture of sucrose and cellulose onto formvar-coated copper grids (Liou et al., 1996). The grids were placed on 35-mm petri dishes containing 2% gelatin, and immunolabeling of sections was done as previously described (Raposo et al., 1997). In brief, sections were incubated with primary antibody for 45 min, washed, and then incubated with protein A–gold (EM Lab., Utrecht University) for 30 min. For double labeling experiments labeling with a second antibody followed by protein A–gold was carried out consecutively after labeling with the first antibody. For colocalization experiments to rule out the possibility that the absence of apparent colocalization could be due to the first antibody preventing the binding of the second antibody, we compared the density of labeling of these antibodies in single immunolabeling procedure first. No significant blocking effect was observed. Labeled sections were viewed with a JOEL 1010 electron microscope at 80 kV.

Quantitation of Immunogold Labeling

Quantitative evaluations were carried out on ultrathin cryosections of the ARF6 wt, mutants, and controls. Cross sections of 25 cells that were labeled for anti-ARF6–10-nm gold (Table I) or with ARF6–10 nm gold and HRP–5 nm gold (Table II) were randomly chosen and photographed and the negatives were printed at a final magnification of 25,000×. Gold particles located at no more than 20 nm from a visible membrane structure were assigned to the structure listed. ARF6 label was assigned to the plasma membrane/invaginations and to pericentriolar vesicles and tubules (Table I). For the assessment of size the 200-nm bar on the electron micrograph was used as an arbitrary line. The criteria for colocalization was as follows: (a) at least one small and one large gold particle should be present within the vesicle and (b) colabeling artifacts of small and large particles that were <10 nm from each other were seldom seen and excluded from quantitation.

Results

Localization of ARF6 in CHO Cells

In a previous study on the subcellular distribution of epitope-tagged ARF6 at the ultrastructural level in HEK 293 fibroblasts, ARF6 was shown to be present on the plasma membrane and on intracellular compartments (Peters et al., 1995). However, high levels of protein were expressed using the calcium phosphate method of transfection, followed by analysis of expressed proteins 48 h after transfection. In this study, we have investigated the distribution of wild-type ARF6 in CHO cells using the Sindbis virus expression system, which allows for protein detection at relatively shorter intervals after virus infection. Therefore, we could analyze the subcellular distribution of untagged ARF6 at varying levels of protein expression, by the technique of cryoimmunogold electron microscopy using antibodies directed against ARF6. At relatively high levels of ARF6 expression, implicit by higher amounts of gold labeling, the plasma membrane exhibited tortuous invagina-
tions resembling membrane alterations seen in cells expressing the GTPase-defective mutant, ARF6(Q67L). 50–60% of gold label was seen along these invaginations (Fig. 1A, Table I). Gold label was also seen on intracellular vesicles, some of which were peripherally distributed while most were localized around the pericentriolar region of the cell (see below). About 20% of the ARF6 label was found scattered throughout the cytosol. Interestingly, in cells expressing ARF6 at the lowest detectable level, gold label was seen predominantly on vesicles around the centriole, juxtaposed to the TGN (Fig. 1B, Table I), whereas <15% of the label was detected on the plasma membrane. Also, the plasma membrane of these cells was relatively unperturbed compared with the surface of cells expressing relatively higher levels of ARF6. Thus, ARF6 localization in CHO cells is predominantly intracellular; with increased expression, the cell phenotype resembles that observed in cells expressing the GTPase-defective mutant, most likely due to sequestration of factors required for GTP hydrolysis by overexpressed protein. The ARF6-labeled intracellular vesicles were small and ranged in diameter from 60 to 200 nm and resembled the recycling endosomal compartment previously described in CHO cells (Yashimoro et al., 1984; Johnson et al., 1996). This compartment is distinct from the TGN, late endosomes, or lysosomes. These findings were unexpected since a previous study that used subcellular fractionation procedures, had found endogenous ARF6 to be localized exclusively to the plasma membrane of CHO cells (Cavenagh et al., 1996). This point is addressed further below.

Ultrastructural Characterization of Membrane Rearrangements Induced by Expression of ARF6:GTP and ARF6:GDP Mutants

On analysis of the distribution of the ARF6(Q67L), the GTP-bound mutant, consistent with previous findings in HEK 293 cells, we observed that the mutant protein localized almost exclusively to discrete sites on the plasma membrane of CHO cells, in regions that exhibited numerous membrane folds that lacked clathrin-coated pits and to sac-like structures (see Table I). To determine whether these sac-like structures were cross-sections through plasma membrane folds or were internalized vesicular compartments, we tested for their accessibility to cell surface biotin. For these experiments, cells expressing ARF6(Q67L) were treated with sulpho-NHS-biotin, a biotinylating agent, at 4°C, fixed, and then double labeled with antibodies against ARF6 and biotin. Biotin couples to the entire accessible surface of the fibroblasts. In control cells, labeling for biotin was observed on the plasma membrane and in some invaginations along the cell periphery (Fig. 2A). In cells expressing ARF6(Q67L), the membrane folds and sac-like structures that labeled positively for ARF6, also labeled with antibiotin antibodies (Fig. 2B). This accessibility to surface biotinylation suggests that these structures were indeed sections through plasma membrane folds/invaginations and hence continuous with the extracellular environment.

In cells expressing the GTP binding–defective mutant, ARF6(T27N), ARF6-positive vesicles were observed around
the pericentriolar region of the cell whereas the plasma membrane exhibited little gold label (Fig. 3, Table I). Notably, these vesicles did not exhibit the prominent coat structure along their cytoplasmic face, as previously observed by Peters et al. (1995) in HEK 293 cells (see below). Further, the ARF6(T27N) vesicles in CHO cells were less densely packed and were present as much smaller clusters as compared with the grape-like clusters seen in HEK 293 cells. These differences may reflect differences in cell types. The ARF6(T27N) compartment was inaccessible to surface biotinylation clearly indicating that it was intracellular. Morphologically, it resembled an amplified perinuclear endocytic compartment observed in cells expressing wild-type protein.

**Characterization of the ARF6 Intracellular Compartment**

Double immunogold labeling of cells expressing wild-type ARF6, with antibodies directed against ARF6 and the transferrin receptor (Tfn-R), revealed that much of the ARF6 and Tfn-R label was either colocalized or found on vesicles that were either docked or in close proximity of each other at the pericentriolar region of the cell (Fig. 4 A). This compartment appeared as a compact fenestrated network of vesicles and tubules that ranged from 60 to 200 nm in diameter. The intracellular ARF6 compartment was amplified in cells expressing the ARF6(T27N) mutant and as seen in wild-type expressing cells, colocalization of ARF6 and Tfn-Rs, was also seen in cells expressing the ARF6.
mutant (Fig. 4A, inset). Approximately 90% of the Tfn-Rs were intracellular in cells expressing ARF6(T27N). When analyzed in HEK 293 cells, most of the Tfn-Rs were found in ARF6-containing vesicles, although in these cells, the ARF6/Tfn-R–containing vesicles exhibited an electron-dense coat structure along their cytoplasmic face (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, Tfn-R–positive compartments that were negative for ARF6 and vice versa were also observed in cells expressing the wild-type and mutant ARF6 proteins, suggesting that ARF6 may not be present on Tfn-R–containing compartments throughout the Tfn-R cycle. Also, the existence of ARF6-positive vesicles that do not label with the Tfn-R implies that ARF6 may have a broader subcellular distribution than the Tfn-R–labeled recycling compartment. A statistical analysis of the number of vesicles that labeled with both proteins, as opposed to those that labeled with each of them, was not feasible because of the low numbers of gold particles per vesicle.

Since we had previously shown that expression of ARF6(T27N) decreases the efficiency of Tfn recycling, we were prompted to examine whether proteins implicated in Tfn recycling colocalized with the ARF6 compartment. Cellubrevin, a member of the synaptobrevin/VAMP family of SNARES, is present on Tfn-R–containing vesicles in fibroblasts (McMohan et al., 1993) and tetanus toxin-mediated cleavage of cellubrevin impairs the recycling of Tfn-R–containing vesicles in CHO cells (Galli et al., 1994). Fig. 5A shows the distribution of Tfn-Rs and cellubrevin in the pericentriolar endosomal compartment. We compared the distribution of ARF6 with that of cellubrevin. For these studies, cells expressing either wild-type ARF6 or ARF6(T27N) were double labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 and cellubrevin. We found that cellubrevin–labeled, ARF6-positive vesicles in cells expressing wild-type ARF6 (Fig. 5B) or ARF6(T27N; data not shown) and most of the colocalization was concentrated at the pericentriolar region of the cell. Double labeling for cation-dependent mannose-6-phosphate receptor (MPR; Fig 5B) a late endosome marker, and LAMP-1 (not shown)

Table I. Quantitation of the Intracellular Distribution of Wild-type and Mutant ARF6 Proteins

| Cells transfected with | Plasma membrane/ invaginations | Pericentriolar vesicles and tubules |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ARF6 (low expression) | 4                               | 38                                |
| ARF6 (high expression)| 125                             | 66                                |
| ARF6(Q67L)            | 159                             | 34                                |
| ARF6(T27N)            | 18                              | 163                               |

CHO cells on monolayers expressing ARF6 wild-type or mutant proteins were fixed and processed for cryoimmunogold labeling and labeled waith anti-ARF6 antisera followed by protein A–10-nm gold. The data represents an average of 10-nm gold particle count obtained from 25 cells.
and LAMP-3 (Fig. 5 D), markers for lysosomes, revealed little or no labeling of any of these markers in ARF6-positive vesicles. Furthermore, the ARF6-positive vesicles did not colocalize with γ-adaptin and other Golgi and endoplasmic reticulum markers (data not shown). Taken together, these findings indicate that intracellular ARF6 localizes to the perinuclear endosomal recycling compartment in CHO cells.
Comparative Intracellular Distribution of ARF6 and Endocytosed HRP

We have compared the distribution of ARF6 and its mutants, relative to that of the endocytic tracer, HRP. HRP has been extensively used as a morphological and biochemical marker in endocytosis assays. Swanson et al. (1987) have shown that HRP is taken up by clathrin-dependent endocytosis in activated peritoneal macrophages. In BHK fibroblasts, most of the internalized HRP was shown to be delivered to lysosomes, while a small proportion recycled back to the cell surface (Griffiths et al., 1989). To analyze the distribution of endocytosed HRP, CHO cells were incubated with serum-free media containing HRP, quickly rinsed, fixed, and then processed for immunogold labeling with antibodies against HRP. With 5 min of HRP uptake, numerous early endocytic structures along the cell periphery that varied from tubular elements to large vesicles and that ranged from 50 to 400 nm in diameter were loaded with HRP (data not shown). HRP labeling was also detected in clathrin-coated vesicles, indicating that in CHO cells HRP was being internalized via clathrin-dependent in addition to clathrin-independent pathways. With 60 min of continuous HRP uptake, gold labeling became apparent in large vacuoles (<1 μM in diameter), some of which contained internal membrane and resembled multivesicular late endosomal and lysosomal compartments (Fig. 6). In addition, smaller vesicles in the perinuclear region that were ~50–60 nm in diameter were also labeled for HRP (Fig. 6). These latter vesicles may be recycling endocytic vesicles that regurgitate HRP back to the extracellular environment. Analysis by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy of the distribution of Tfn-Rs relative to fluorescein-conjugated HRP revealed that only a subpopulation of these makers (~15%) was colocalized at the perinuclear compartment (data not shown).

To determine the subcellular distribution of ARF6 rela-
tive to HRP under conditions of both short and more prolonged exposure to the tracer, cells expressing either wild-type ARF6 or its mutants defective in either GTP binding or hydrolysis were incubated with HRP for 5 or 60 min, fixed, processed for cryoimmunoelectron microscopy, and then labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 and HRP. In cells expressing wild-type ARF6, no colocalization between these markers was observed with 5 min of HRP uptake (Table II), and ARF6-positive vesicles were clearly distinct from the numerous early endocytic structures that labeled with HRP. This strongly suggests that ARF6-positive vesicles were not early endosomes. On more prolonged exposure to HRP however, <10% of ARF6-positive vesicles were also labeled with HRP (Fig. 7). In cells expressing ARF6(Q67L), the GTPase-defective mutant, HRP label appeared to be significantly lower and exhibited <50% of gold labeling compared with control cells, thus indicating that the amount of HRP internalized into these cells was significantly reduced. This is similar to what was observed previously for the internalization of transferrin in CHO cells on expression of ARF6(Q67L; D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995). The reduced efficiency of endocytosis in these cells may most likely be due to the dramatic alterations at the plasma membrane induced on expression of the GTPase-defective mutant. Labeling for HRP was restricted to small 80–100-nm vesicles at the periphery (Fig. 8 A), and on occasion, some label was also seen “entrapped” in membrane invaginations, but in 95% of these cells the ARF6-positive plasma membrane structures were devoid of HRP labeling. In cells expressing the GTP binding–defective mutant, ARF6(T27N), similar to what was observed with wild-type ARF6, no colocalization between the ARF6-positive and HRP-positive vesicles was observed with a short 5-min exposure to HRP (see Table II). However, after 60 min of HRP uptake, ~10–15% of ARF6-positive vesicles that accumulate at the pericentriolar region of the cell also labeled for HRP (Fig. 8 B). Vesicles that label for ARF6(T27N), but not HRP, may be preformed vesicles or vesicles that are not accessible to HRP. These findings indicate that at some step after internalization, most likely at the recycling endosome, a small proportion of HRP has access to ARF6-positive vesicles. Based on the these observations and the findings described above on the colocalization of ARF6 with Tf-Rs and cellubrevin, we propose that ARF6 localizes to and regulates the transport of recycling vesicles at the pericentriolar region of CHO cells to the plasma membrane.

Discussion

In this study we have undertaken a characterization of membrane rearrangements induced on expression of wild-type
ARF6 and its mutant derivatives defective in either GTP binding [ARF6(T27N)] or hydrolysis [ARF6(Q67L)]. Previously, we have shown that ARF6 localizes to the plasma membrane and intracellular compartments (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1995; Peters et al., 1995). Now we show that at relatively low levels of expression, ARF6 in CHO cells, is localized almost exclusively to an intracellular pericentriolar compartment. This compartment is morphologically reminiscent of a recycling endosomal compartment that has been previously described (Yashimoro et al., 1984; Griffiths et al., 1989; Connolly et al., 1994; Ghosh and Maxfield, 1995). The recycling compartment appears as a collection of vesicles and tubules at the pericentriolar region of the cell and is distinct from the larger sorting endosomes and the Golgi complex and is enriched in recycling receptors such as the transferrin and LDL receptor. The transfer of recycling membrane from the pericentriolar region to the plasma membrane is likely to involve the formation of fusogenic recycling intermediates, although these have not been characterized. The colocalization of ARF6 with Tfn-Rs in vesicles and tubules in the pericentriolar region suggests that most of the intracellular ARF6 resides in the recycling endosomal compartment. The recycling compartment has also been characterized as a tubular network located around the pericentriolar region of other cell types including AtT20 cells and HEp2 cells (Hopkins et al., 1990; Tooze and Hollinshead, 1991). Whether such a compartment serves as a passive vehicle for the transport of molecules to the cell surface, or whether there may be other functions associated with the recycling compartment has been a subject of investigation. The isolation of a CHO mutant cell line (12-4) in which the exit of receptors from the recycling compartment is delayed and that of bulk membrane is normal, suggests that the recycling compartment may have a sorting function (Presley et al., 1993). Furthermore, there is mounting evidence for communication between the TGN and the recycling endosomal compartment (Geuze and Morre, 1991; Futter, 1995; Leitinger et al., 1995; Sariola et al., 1995; Ulrich, 1996).

Our findings on the subcellular distribution of ARF6 in CHO cells are in marked contrast to observations made by Cavenagh et al. (1996), who reported that ARF6 was localized exclusively to the plasma membrane of CHO cells. In their study, early endosomes were identified by endocytosis of HRP for 10 min. We have compared the intracellular distribution of ARF6 relative to that of HRP. Interestingly, when we determined the distribution of HRP after a short 5-min exposure to the ligand and that of wild-type ARF6, no colocalization was observed indicating that ARF6 was not present on newly endocytosed vesicles. With a more prolonged exposure however, HRP does appear to have access to only a small proportion of ARF6-positive vesicles around the pericentriolar region of the cell that likely represent recycling vesicles that are destined toward the plasma membrane. Based on our findings, it is unlikely that ARF6-positive compartments would be loaded with HRP under the experimental conditions used by Cavenagh et al. (1996), and even if it did, the fraction of ARF6-positive vesicles that contain HRP is so minor that it may have escaped detection by Western blot analysis. Furthermore, the latter study used sucrose density gradients and free flow electrophoresis for separation of endosomes.
from Golgi complex, ER, and plasma membrane fractions. It is possible that recycling endocytic vesicles that fuse with the plasma membrane may cofractionate with the plasma membrane fraction, when using a separation procedure based on the electrophoretic mobility of membranes.

The colocalization of ARF6 with Tfn-Rs and cellubrevin at the pericentriolar region, strongly supports the contention that ARF6 localizes to the recycling endosomal compartment. Cellubrevin has been localized to Tfn-containing vesicles in fibroblasts (McMohan et al., 1993). In addition, tetanus toxin cleavage of cellubrevin decreases the rate of Tfn release in CHO cells (Galli et al., 1994), a phenotype shared by the dominant negative mutant of ARF6, ARF6(T27N). The presence of peripherally distributed Tfn-R– and cellubrevin-positive compartments that are devoid of the ARF6 label may likely represent Tfn-R endosomes on the inward leg of the Tfn cycle. We also attempted to compare the distribution of Rab4 and Rab11 with ARF6; unfortunately we were not able to localize endogenous Rab proteins. It would be interesting to determine whether ARF6 would function downstream of Rab 11 in the endosomal recycling pathway. Also of interest are the striking differences in the morphological characteristics of the ARF6(T27N) compartment in the different cell types examined. The distinct “coat” structure seen on the cytoplasmic phase of the ARF6(T27N)-containing vesicle in HEK 293 cells was not as apparent in CHO cells. Why such differences exist between cell types is unclear at present. The ARF6(T27N)-coated vesicles do not label with antibodies directed against clathrin or β-COP (Peters et al., 1995).

CHO cells on monolayers expressing ARF6 wild-type or mutant proteins were incubated with HRP as described in Materials and Methods. Monolayers were quickly rinsed, fixed, and processed for cryoimmunogold labeling and double-labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 followed by protein A–10-nm gold and against HRP followed by protein A–5-nm gold as described in Materials and Methods. The data represents an average of 5-nm gold particle count obtained from 25 cells for each condition.

**Table II. Quantitation of HRP Label in Pericentriolar ARF6-positive Vesicles Relative to Other Small Vesicles (<200-nm Diameter)**

| Cells transfected with | Small vesicles (<200 nm) | ARF6-positive vesicles | Minutes post uptake | 5 | 60 | 5 | 60 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---|----|---|----|
| ARF6                   | 34                       | 173                    | 0                   | 12 |
| ARF6(Q67L)             | 21                       | 112                    | 0                   | 0  |
| ARF6(T27N)             | 59                       | 189                    | 0                   | 28 |
| Vector                 | 89                       | 342                    | –                   |    |

CHO cells on monolayers expressing ARF6 wild-type or mutant proteins were incubated with HRP as described in Materials and Methods. Monolayers were quickly rinsed, fixed, and processed for cryoimmunogold labeling and double-labeled with antibodies directed against ARF6 followed by protein A–10-nm gold and against HRP followed by protein A–5-nm gold as described in Materials and Methods. The data represents an average of 5-nm gold particle count obtained from 25 cells for each condition.

**Figure 8.** (A and B) HRP distribution in cells expressing ARF6(Q67L) and ARF6(T27N). Cells expressing ARF6(Q67L) (A) and ARF6(T27N) (B) were incubated with HRP for 60 min, fixed, and then processed for ultrathin cryosections. Sections were first labeled with anti-ARF6 antibody followed by protein A–conjugated gold particles (10 nm) and then with an anti-HRP antibody followed by protein A–gold particles (5 nm). (A) Typically, in ARF6 (Q67L)-expressing cells, HRP labeling was restricted to small vesicles at the periphery (e). Membrane invaginations were observed even at very low levels of ARF6(Q67L) expression, implicit by low numbers of gold particles on the membrane. (B) A small population of ARF6(T27N)-positive vesicles were also labeled with HRP (arrows). i, invaginations; e, endosomes; p, plasma membrane. Bar, 200 nm.
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structural organization of the plasma membrane. In CHO cells, using quantitative fluorescence microscopy it has been demonstrated that C6-NBD-SM (N-[N-(7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadilol-4)-ε-aminohexanoyl] sphingosyl phosphocholine), a bulk plasma membrane marker, transits the endocytic system in a manner that is kinetically and morphologically identical to that of recycling receptors (Mayor et al., 1993). Thus, in these cells, ARF6 may also serve to regulate the exit of bulk membrane. Conceivably, the rates of such a process would be dramatically altered during cellular processes that would require a remodeling of the plasma membrane such as diapedesis, cell spreading, and metastasis.

Based on our observations, it is tempting to speculate how ARF6 could control membrane recycling via its nucleotide cycle (Fig. 9). We propose that nucleotide exchange on ARF6 drives the uncoating/transport and subsequent fusion of recycling vesicles with the plasma membrane. Hydrolysis of bound GTP at the cell surface allows the internalization of ARF6 via membrane vesicles inaccessible to HRP or the release of ARF6 from the membrane into the cytosol. Cytosolic ARF6 would have to be recruited back onto the recycling compartment (R.C.) for another nucleotide cycle to ensue.

It is clear from our studies that ARF6 localizes to an intracellular tubulovesicular membrane recycling compartment in CHO cells. Furthermore, our findings indicate that the ability of ARF6 to cycle between its GTP- and GDP-bound conformation is critical for maintaining the integrity of peripheral membrane organization and trafficking.
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