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Abstract

Background

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by impaired bone quality and microstructural deterioration leading to an increased propensity to fractures. This is a major health problem for older adults, which comprise an increasingly greater proportion of the general population. Due to a large number of patients and the insufficient availability of specialists in Israel and worldwide, osteoporosis is treated in large part by primary care physicians. We assessed the knowledge of primary care physicians on the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis.

Methods

Physician's knowledge, sources of knowledge acquisition and self-evaluation of knowledge were assessed using a multiple choice questionnaire. Professional and demographic characteristics were assessed as well.

Results

Of 490 physicians attending a conference, 363 filled the questionnaires (74% response rate). The physicians demonstrated better expertise in diagnosis than in medications (mechanism of action, side effects or contra-indications) but less than for other treatment related decisions. Overall, 50% demonstrated adequate knowledge of calcium and vitamin D supplementation, 51% were aware of the main therapeutic purpose of osteoporosis pharmacotherapy and 3% were aware that bisphosphonates should be avoided in patients with impaired renal function. Respondents stated frontal lectures at meetings as their main source of information on the subject.
Conclusion

The study indicates the need to intensify efforts to improve the knowledge of primary care physicians regarding osteoporosis, in general; and osteoporosis pharmacotherapy, in particular.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by impaired bone quality and microstructural deterioration, leading to an increased propensity to fractures. This is a major health problem for older adults, who comprise an increasingly greater proportion of the general population. Over 10 million adults in the United States are estimated to have osteoporosis and an additional 43 million to have low bone mass [1]. Osteoporosis poses a serious worldwide health economics issue, though secular and temporal trends differ considerably by region [2]. Medical, social and economic consequences of the disease include fractures resulting in disability [3–5], excess mortality [6] and rising costs [7]. Recent publications document inadequacies in diagnosis, prevention and treatment following osteoporosis-related fractures [8–11].

An osteoporosis registry was recently established in Israel, by Maccabi Health Services [12], an HMO that insures 25% of the Israeli population. The identification of 118,141 osteoporosis patients suggests that the total number of patients in Israel may be nearing 500,000. Israel's national health insurance law (enacted since 1995) provides every citizen with a universal compulsory health coverage financed by general taxation. This includes cradle to grave membership in any of the 4 competing HMOs, as well as adequate and affordable access to a government defined package of health services, including diagnostic tools and reimbursement of pharmacotherapy. Due to a large number of patients and an insufficient availability of specialists (endocrinologists and rheumatologists) in Israel, osteoporosis is treated in large part by primary care physicians. In other healthcare systems throughout the world, general practitioners and family medicine specialists also have central roles in identifying patients at risk for osteoporosis, and in diagnosing and managing the disease [13–16]. Thus, updated and adequate knowledge of osteoporosis by primary care physicians is of high importance. We distributed a questionnaire to assess the knowledge in this population of the diagnosis of osteoporosis and of the mechanisms, indications and side effects of currently available medications for osteoporosis.

Materials and Methods

Study procedure

This is a cross-sectional study of primary care physicians who filled a questionnaire. The questionnaire (see Supporting Information) was based on guidelines of the 2012 Israeli Foundation for Osteoporosis and Bone Diseases (IFOB). Multiple choice questions were used to assess information pertaining to the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis: knowledge of the various medications, including their mechanisms of action and side effects, as well as knowledge of the recommended dosage of vitamin D supplementation. The physicians were also asked about their sources of knowledge acquisition and how they keep updated on this topic, as well as their self-evaluation of knowledge. Additionally, background information was collected including professional and demographic characteristics. The content validity of the questionnaire was established by 2 experts in osteoporosis. Thirty-two physicians reviewed the questionnaire in face-to-face- interviews.
The Institutional Review Board of Rambam Health Care Campus exempted the study from ethical approval since it involved physicians only. Nonetheless, participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The hospital ethics committee approved this consent procedure.

**The study population**

The questionnaires were filled by physicians who participated in weekly continuing medical education meetings between January and March 2014, at 14 centers across the country. The centers were randomly selected from 89 centers that provide continuing medical education for 75% of primary care physicians in Israel. Participants at the meetings were general practitioners, and specialists and residents in family medicine at various levels of seniority and training. The questionnaires were filled anonymously and without compensation.

**Statistical methods**

The pattern of item- non-response (missing answers) was examined to distinguish between missing at random (MAR) and missing not at random, and to identify potential evidence for fatigue or lack of cooperation (for example a participant who skipped all questions from a certain point in the questionnaire). No such evidence was observed. In addition, due to the nature of the survey; i.e. short and administered in a closed environment, we assumed that item non-response indicated that participants were uncertain or did not know the answer. Missing answers were thus considered as incorrect. For questions with more than 1 correct statement (1–4, 13) an overall correct response was defined as marking all correct statements and not marking any of the incorrect statements

Thus, for each question analyzed, we calculated the proportion of respondents that answered correctly out of all physicians who filled the questionnaire (n = 363 survey participants).

Age and seniority of study participants were described by means and standard deviations, and compared across professional status (general practitioner, family medicine specialist and family medicine resident) using ANOVA. The total test-score per respondent was calculated as the number of correct answers, including all possible choices of all questions, divided by 38 (the overall number of questions including all possible answers), so that the range of scores is 0–100. Test scores were compared across levels of perceived knowledge, age (<40, 40–59 and 50+ years old), seniority (<10, 10–24 and 25+ years), gender and professional status, using ANOVA.

Responses regarding the recency of participation in lectures on osteoporosis were presented by the percentage of this question’s total number of respondents (i.e. excluding 22% item non-respondents).

Physicians were asked to rate sources of knowledge from 1–5; the higher the score, the greater the significance. We regarded rates of 4–5 as significant resources, and summarized the percentage of participants that rated each resource as significant (n = 363). The same approach was used for analysis of constraints to optimal management.

**Results**

Of the 490 physicians that we approached at 14 centers of continuing medical education meetings, 363 (74%) filled and returned the questionnaires.

**Physician characteristics**

Of the 363 physicians who completed the questionnaires, 86 (52%) were female. Mean ages and seniority, according to professional status, are presented in Table 1. Age was available for
349 participants, seniority was available for 338 participants, gender was available for 356 participants and professional status was available for 359 participants.

Table 2 summarizes success rates of the participants, per question (see detailed findings in the next 4 sections). The physicians demonstrated better expertise in diagnosis than in medications (mechanism of action, side effects or contra-indications) but less than for other treatment related decisions.

The table presents the numbers of surveyed participants who answered each item correctly and the numbers and percentages who left each item blank. The percentage of correct responses is calculated first after excluding the blank answers from the total; and then without excluding the blank answers (the blank answers are considered incorrect). Non-response for at least one of the question subsections was considered an item non-response.

### Osteoporosis diagnosis

Four questions examined physicians’ proficiency in diagnosing osteoporosis. The first question was: "Which tests should be performed on a 60 year-old asymptomatic woman before determining the need for medical treatment of osteoporosis?" Only 8% of the physicians selected all three of the correct answers: 1) blood tests: levels of calcium, phosphorus, albumin, creatinine, blood count; 2) bone density scan using DXA; and 3) medical history and physical examination; and no wrong answers. However, 55% selected two of the three correct answers. The correct answer that was least selected was "blood tests", selected by 52%.

To the question: "Which patients should begin treatment, without further examination, to confirm diagnosis of osteoporosis?" only 19% selected both correct answers. However, 52%...
selected the correct response: "a 76 year-old man with an intertrochanteric hip fracture caused by falling from standing height after tripping on the carpet in his home"; and 44% selected the correct response "a 74 year-old woman with a sub-capital hip fracture caused by a fall in the garden while weeding".

The third question on diagnosis was: "What are the clinical factors associated with increased risk for osteoporotic fractures?" Only 19% selected all 5 of the correct answers (over the age of 65 years, female, current smoking, parental history of hip fractures, and current alcohol consumption of more than 3 servings per day). The risks of older age and female sex were known by 73% and 57% of the respondents respectively. Only 65% and 63% knew that current smoking and current alcohol consumption, respectively, are also risk factors.

The fourth question on diagnosis was: "What are the medical conditions that increase the risk of osteoporotic fractures?" Only 8% selected all 6 of the correct answers, and no wrong answers (chronic oral treatment with glucocorticoids, rheumatoid arthritis, type 2 diabetes, type 1 diabetes, hyperactive thyroid gland and primary hyperparathyroidism). However, 28% of the survey participants selected 5 of 6 of the correct responses. Most respondents (86%) knew that chronic oral glucocorticoid treatment, taken for more than 3 months, is a risk factor. The proportions that answered correctly regarding the other risk factors were 51%, 50%, 46%, 50% and 52%, respectively.

Prescribing calcium and vitamin D supplements
One question assessed knowledge on this subject: "What is the recommended dosage of calcium and vitamin D for postmenopausal women?" A total of 50% of survey participants answered correctly that the dosage should be determined by a patient's dietary habits and lifestyle.

Osteoporosis treatment decisions
Three questions assessed physicians' knowledge on this subject. Of these questions, the highest proportion (51%) answered correctly that "reducing the risk of fracture by 25% to 50% in the various skeletal sites" is the therapeutic goal for a 66 year-old woman diagnosed with osteoporosis and treated with alendronate and calcium 600 mg/day. A total of 45% answered correctly, that treatment should remain unchanged for a 54 year-old woman with severe menopausal symptoms whose quality of life has greatly improved during one year of hormonal treatment (combined 1 mg estradiol/ 0.5 mg norethisterone acetate; her bone mineral density (BMD) T-SCORE was—3 for lumbar vertebra and -2.4 for femoral neck). The third question was: "What is the maximum treatment duration with various bisphosphonates for which fracture risk reduction efficacy was demonstrated in postmenopausal women?" A total of 51% selected the correct answer, 3–6 years.

Pharmacotherapy for osteoporosis
Physicians were asked to classify medications for osteoporosis by their mechanisms of action: anti-resorbing or anabolic. The proportions of physicians that answered correctly were 52%, 42%, 39%, 47%, 17% and 32% for alendronate, raloxifene, teriparatide, residronate, denosumab and zoledronate, respectively.

Twenty-nine physicians (8%) did not answer the question pertaining to side effects of osteoporosis medications completely, while n = 276 (76%) skipped at least one of its subsections. Only 9 physicians (2%) correctly matched at least one side effect for each of the medications listed above. The proportions that answered correctly per medication were: 56% for alendronate, 47% for raloxifene, 8% for teriparatide, 49% for risedronate, 5% for denosumab and 9%
for zoledronate. Only 3% of the physicians correctly selected alendronate, residronate and zoledronate as the three medications that should not be administered to a patient with eGFR <35.

A total of 23% of the physicians participating in the survey provided the correct answer ("none of the above") to a question pertaining to the follow-up of a patient with osteoporosis using a BMD test with DXA.

The question "What characterizes an atypical hip fracture?" was answered correctly by 23% of the physicians: as a femoral shaft fracture in the subtrochanteric site, associated with prolonged use of bisphosphonates.

**Differences in knowledge about osteoporosis according to physician characteristics**

Physicians aged <40 years demonstrated better overall knowledge than physicians aged 40–59 or aged 50+ years: mean total scores were 48, 46 and 44, respectively (p = 0.006). A high correlation was observed between age and years of practice, and thus the trend of total scores according to seniority was similar to that of age: mean total scores were 48, 47 and 44 for physicians with <10, 10–24 and 25+ seniority years, respectively (p = 0.035). No statistically significant differences were found in overall knowledge between male and female physicians (p = 0.63). Only 5% perceived their knowledge as high, 41% rated it as adequate, 46% as low and 8% did not respond to this question. No association was found between perceived knowledge and knowledge measured by the questionnaire (p = 0.42). Board certified specialists (mean total score 48) and residents (mean total score 49) in family medicine demonstrated better overall knowledge of osteoporosis than did general practitioners (mean total score 42, p <0.001) but similar knowledge of pharmacology, as demonstrated by responses regarding the mechanism of action of medications such as teriparatide (p = 0.79) and denosumab (p = 0.46).

**Sources of Knowledge about Osteoporosis**

Physicians most often answered that expert lectures in continuing medical education and professional conferences, (59%) and clinical experience (58%), were significant sources of knowledge pertaining to osteoporosis. A total of 31% responded that they had attended a lecture on the subject within the past two years (Table 3).

**Constraints to optimal management**

Physicians answered that "lack of consistent compliance by patients" (26%) and "inadequate knowledge in the field" (24%) were the major constraints to provision of optimal management for osteoporosis. Other constraints reported were: bureaucratic problems (filling forms for approval of osteoporosis medications) (18%), expenses of medications (17%), time limitation

| Time from last lecture on osteoporosis | n (% of responders) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 0–3 months                            | 2 (1%)              |
| 3–6 months                            | 4 (1%)              |
| 6–12 months                           | 15 (5%)             |
| 12–24 months                          | 68 (24%)            |
| 2–5 years                             | 107 (38%)           |
| Over 5 years                           | 89 (31%)            |
| Did not answer                        | 78                  |

Table 3. The proximity of the date of physicians’ participation in lectures on osteoporosis.
of the medical consultation (20%), the lack of evidence-based diagnostic tools (11%), side
effects of medications (7%) and lack of faith in the efficacy of available medications (4%).

Discussion

Studies conducted around the world have shown inadequate knowledge about osteoporosis
among family physicians [17–24]. Most studies have investigated knowledge about risk factors
and the conditions that should prompt evaluation. Little research attention has been given to
osteoporosis medications, despite the availability in recent years of a multitude of drugs and new
treatments. In the present study, pharmacotherapy was the topic with which physicians had the
most difficulty. Large gaps in knowledge were revealed regarding mechanisms, side effects and
indications of available medications. As expected, physicians exhibited more knowledge of the
mechanisms of action and the side effects of the osteoporosis medications that are more fre-
quently administered at primary care clinics: namely drugs from the bisphosphonate group.

Forty-six percent of the surveyed physicians stated that their knowledge of osteoporosis was
adequate or high. This compares with the findings of a German study in which 50% of the
respondents reported familiarity with the most recent guidelines on the subject [20].

Family medicine specialists displayed greater knowledge of osteoporosis diagnosis and treat-
ment than did general practitioners, concurring with other studies [18]. Physician sex was not
associated with level of knowledge about osteoporosis. This contrasts with other studies that
reported women to be more knowledgeable [20–22], an observation explained by an overall
greater awareness of women of the topic. Seniority and age of physicians in the current study
were inversely associated with level of knowledge about osteoporosis. This concurs with studies
that reported greater knowledge about osteoporosis among younger physicians [14,18, 21] and
those with less seniority [18]. Minor differences in the present study may be due to the partici-
pation of physicians of all ages in weekly training courses provided by Israel’s HMOs.

The main constraints to optimal treatment for osteoporosis, according to the physicians
who participated in the current study, are lack of consistent compliance on the part of patients
and lack of knowledge on the part of physicians. Recently published studies have shown subop-
timal adherence to osteoporosis treatment in a number of countries [11,25]. In other studies
physicians stated costs and authorizations of diagnosis and treatment as the main limiting fac-
tor to optimal treatment [14]. The relatively low proportion of physicians that mentioned costs
as the main limiting factor in the current study probably reflects the accessibility of diagnostic
tests and the inclusion of diagnostic and treatment costs in the expenditures of the national
healthcare system in Israel [12].

The topic about which the respondents exhibited the greatest knowledge was treatment
decisions. However, the questionnaire was only able to evaluate knowledge and attitudes, and
not actions. A number of studies have demonstrated knowledge to be only one of the barriers
to the provision of osteoporotic treatment by primary care physicians. For example, though
most general practitioners responding to a questionnaire recognized the importance of investi-
gating osteoporosis among individuals over age 50 years with low trauma fractures, the major-
ity stated they would only initiate such evaluation if prompted by an orthopedic surgeon [19].
In another study, only 31% of general practitioners initiated osteoporosis treatment for post-
menopausal women admitted to the emergency department for peripheral fractures, despite
dissemination of information on the matter to the physicians [13]. Such studies highlight the
multidisciplinary nature of osteoporosis diagnosis and management. Recent publications have
attributed deficiencies in osteoporosis management to inadequate communication and cooper-
ation among the physicians involved: general practitioners, orthopedic surgeons, endocrinolo-
gists and rheumatologists [26,27].
The 74% response rate is a strength of the current study. The high participation rate may be due to the method of administration of the questionnaires, frontally with a time limit of 15–20 minutes, rather than online completion as in other studies. The questionnaires were filled on a voluntary basis, with no financial incentive.

A limitation of this study is the uncertainty of its generalizability. The findings presented herein reflect the knowledge of Israeli physicians who participated in the weekly in-service study seminars organized by the departments of family medicine and the HMOs in Israel. In principle, participation in these seminars is mandatory, but in practice not all primary care physicians attend them. Physicians who attend these seminars may be those who consider improving their professional knowledge as important. Missing data is a limitation of the study, and was particularly evident regarding side effects of medications.

The study indicates the need to intensify efforts to improve the knowledge of primary care physicians regarding osteoporosis, in general; and osteoporosis pharmacotherapy, in particular. This is especially important due to the central role of primary care physicians in Israel, as well as in many other regions, in the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Osteoporosis Questionnaire. (DOCX)

S2 Fig. Osteoporosis Questionnaire Answers. (XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YF SI.

Performed the experiments: ES YF.

Analyzed the data: YF ES IG.

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YF IG.

Wrote the paper: YF IG SI.

References

1. Wright NC, Looker AC, Saag KG, Curtis JR, Delzell ES, Randall S, et al. The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine. J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29:2520–6. doi:10.1002/jbmr.2269 PMID: 24771492

2. Cooper C, Cole ZA, Holroyd CR, Earl SC, Harvey NC, Dennison EM, et al. IOF CSA Working Group on Fracture Epidemiology Secular trends in the incidence of hip and other osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 2011; 22:1277–1288. doi:10.1007/s00198-011-1601-6 PMID: 21461721

3. Cummings SR, Melton LJ. Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. Lancet 2002; 359:1761–1767. PMID: 12049882

4. Gullberg B, Johnell O, Kanis JA. World-wide projections for hip fracture. Osteoporos Int 1997; 7:407–413. PMID: 9425497

5. Johnell O, Kanis JA. An estimate of the worldwide prevalence, mortality and disability associated with hip fracture. Osteoporos Int 2004; 15:897–902. PMID: 15490120

6. Abrahamsen B, van Staa T, Arierly R, Olson M, Cooper C. Excess mortality following hip fracture: a systematic epidemiological review. Osteoporos Int 2009; 20:1633–1650. doi:10.1007/s00198-009-0920-3 PMID: 19421703

7. Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergård M, Compston J, Cooper C, Stenmark J, et al. EU Review Panel of IOF-Osteoporosis in the European Union: Medical Management, Epidemiology and Economic Burden.
A report prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). Osteoporos 2013; 8:136.

8. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, Lewiecki EM, Tanner B, Randall S, Lindsay R, et al. Clinician’s Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int 2014; 25:2359–81. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2794-2 PMID: 24535775

9. Solomon DH, Johnston SS, Boytsov NN, McMorrow D, Lane JM, Krohn KD. Osteoporosis medication use after hip fracture in U.S. patients between 2002 and 2011. J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29:1929–37. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2202 PMID: 25112720

10. Wilk A, Sajjan S, Modi A, Fan CP, Mavros P. Post-fracture pharmacotherapy for women with osteoporotic fracture: analysis of a managed care population in the USA. Osteoporos Int 2014; 25:2777–86. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2827-x PMID: 25660252

11. Kim SC, Kim MS, Sanfélix-Gimeno G, Song HJ, Liu J, Hurtado I, et al. Use of Osteoporosis Medications after Hospitalization for Hip Fracture: A Cross-national Study. Am J Med 2015; 128:519–526. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.01.014 PMID: 25660252

12. Goldshtein I, Chandler J, Shalev V, Ish–Shalom S, Nguyen AM, Rouach V, et al. Osteoporosis in the community: findings from a novel computerized registry in a large health organization in Israel. J Aging Res Clin Practice 2015; 4:59–65.

13. Malochet-Guinamand S, Chalard N, Billault C, Breuil N, Ristori JM, Schmidt J. Osteoporosis treatment in postmenopausal women after peripheral fractures: impact of information to general practitioners. Joint Bone Spine 2005; 72:562–6. PMID: 15996502

14. Malochet-Guinamand S, Chalard N, Billault C, Breuil N, Ristori JM, Schmidt J. Osteoporosis risk assessment and management in primary care: focus on quantity and quality. J Eval Clin Pract 2010; 16:1176–82.

15. Queally JM, Kieman C, Shaikh M, Rowan F, Bennett D. Initiation of osteoporosis assessment in the fracture clinic results in improved osteoporosis management: a randomised controlled trial. Osteoporos Int 2013; 24:1089–94. doi: 10.1007/s00198-012-2238-9 PMID: 23242431

16. Tulk C, Lane P, Gilbey A, Johnston H, Chia K, Mitchell L, et al. Improving osteoporosis management following minimal trauma fracture in a regional setting: The Coffs Fracture Card Project. Aust J Rural Health 2013; 21:343–9. doi: 10.1111/ajr.12072 PMID: 24299440

17. Jaglal SB, Carroll J, Hawker G, McIsaac WJ, Jaakkimainen L, Cadarette SM, et al. How are family physicians managing osteoporosis? Qualitative study of their experiences and educational needs. Can Fam Physician 2003; 49:462–8. PMID: 12729242

18. Pérez-Edo L, Ciria Recasens M, Castelo-Branco C, Orozco López P, Gimeno Marquès A, Pérez C, et al. Management of osteoporosis in general practice: a cross-sectional survey of primary care practitioners in Spain. Osteoporos Int 2004; 5:252–7.

19. Chami G, Jeys L, Freudmann M, Connor L, Siddiqi M. Are osteoporotic fractures being adequately investigated? A questionnaire of GP & orthopaedic surgeons. BMC Fam Pract 2006; 7:7. PMID: 16464250

20. Chenot R, Scheidt-Nave C, Gabler S, Kochen MM, Himmel W. German primary care doctors’ awareness of osteoporosis and knowledge of national guidelines. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2007; 115:584–9. PMID: 17943692

21. Werner P, Vered I. Management of osteoporosis: a survey of Israeli physicians’ knowledge and attitudes. Isr Med Assoc J 2000; 2:361–4. PMID: 10892390

22. Taylor JC, Sterkel B, Utley M, Shipley M, Newman S, Horton M, et al. Opinions and experiences in general practice on osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis and management. Osteoporos Int 2001; 12:844–848. PMID: 11716187

23. Otmar R, Reventlow SD, Nicholson GC, Kotowicz MA, Pasco JA. General medical practitioners’ knowledge and beliefs about osteoporosis and its investigation and management. Arch Osteoporos 2012; 7:107–14. doi: 10.1111/j.1571-026X.2012.00030.x PMID: 23225288

24. Neuner JM, Schapira MM. The importance of physicians’ risk perception in osteoporosis treatment decision making. J Clin Densitom 2012; 15:49–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jocd.2011.07.008 PMID: 22075328

25. Modi A, Sajjan S, Gandhi S. Challenges in implementing and maintaining osteoporosis therapy. Int J Womens Health 2014; 6:759–69. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S53489 PMID: 25152632

26. Akesson K, Marsh D, Mitchell PJ, McLellan AR, Stemmark J, Pierroz DD, et al. IOF Fracture Working Group Capture the Fracture: a Best Practice Framework and global campaign to break the fragility fracture cycle. Osteoporos Int 2014; 24:2135–52.

27. Drew S, Judge A, May C, Farmer A, Cooper C, Javaid MK, et al. REFrSH study group. Implementation of secondary fracture prevention services after hip fracture: a qualitative study using extended Normalization Process Theory. Implement Sci 2015; 10:57. doi: 10.1186/s13012-015-0243-z PMID: 25903563