Abstract: We report a novel graphene transfer technique for fabricating graphene field-effect transistors (FETs) that avoids detrimental organic contamination on a graphene surface. Instead of using an organic supporting film like poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) for graphene transfer, Au film is directly deposited on the as-grown graphene substrate. Graphene FETs fabricated using the established organic film transfer method are easily contaminated by organic residues, while Au film protects graphene channels from these contaminants. In addition, this method can also simplify the device fabrication process, as the Au film acts as an electrode. We successfully fabricated graphene FETs with a clean surface and improved electrical properties using this Au-assisted transfer method.
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methacrylate) (PMMA) is used to transfer graphene. Unfortunately, it is almost impossible to completely remove the organic film residue, which results in the absorption of H₂O and O₂ and consequently p-doping of graphene [22–24].

Various processes are employed to eliminate this extrinsic factor. The most widely used method is vacuum thermal annealing at 300–400 °C in ambient H₂/Ar [25–28]. A number of researchers have tested this method and confirmed a reduction of PMMA residues and an associated improvement in the resulting graphene’s electrical properties [22,28–30]. Other researchers have employed alternative polymer films in the transfer process, such as polycarbonate [23,29] or photoresist (PR) [31]. However, as these methods still do not completely remove all of the organic residues, a more reliable solution is needed. Recently, a novel method of separating catalyzed metal and graphene by inducing an electric charge has been reported [32]. While this method makes it possible to transfer a relatively large area of graphene without the risk of contaminant residues, it is limited in how it can be applied to a conducting substrate or a roll-to-roll process.

In this study, we propose a novel transfer method that resolves the drawbacks associated with conventional approaches. We completely avoid organic contamination by transferring graphene after a thin Au film was deposited on the graphene as-grown on a Cu substrate. As a noble metal, Au prevents any property changes to the graphene and can be easily etched using an ionic solvent. We transferred graphene onto the target substrate via this method and then analyzed its surface using Raman spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). We confirmed the removal of organic residues by evaluating the electrical properties of a graphene field-effect transistor (GFET) fabricated using the proposed method. Ultimately, we report the fabrication of a GFET with enhanced electrical properties and a clean graphene surface.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Graphene Synthesis and Transfer Process

Graphene was grown on Cu foils by CVD. After annealing a Cu foil at 1000 °C for 15 min, graphene was synthesized for 30 min with CH₄ (25 sccm) and H₂ (10 sccm) gas at 1000 °C. After growth, the as-grown graphene layer on Cu foil was cut into two parts and used for following PMMA- and Au-assisted transfer. Au film (30 nm) was deposited on the as-grown graphene substrate by thermal evaporator or PMMA (0.01%, Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) was coated by spin coater for comparison. The backside graphene of Cu foil was removed by oxygen plasma treatment for 10 s at a power of 10 W. The Cu foil underlying the graphene layer was removed by floating on an aqueous solution of (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ for 1 h. Graphene supported on Au or PMMA layer was cleaned three times by floating on DI water for 30 min.

2.2. GFET Fabrication Process

Figure 1 schematically shows two GFET fabrication processes using the proposed Au-assisted transfer and conventional PMMA-assisted transfer methods [33]. The conventional wet transfer method includes the coating of graphene with organic PMMA film, transfer of the PMMA coated graphene layer onto an arbitrary substrate after Cu foil is removed with a Cu etchant, and removal of the PMMA film on graphene (Figure 1a,e,f). In this approach, primary surface contamination will occur in the form of residues from the organic supporting film. In contrast, the proposed method uses Au as a supporting film instead of an organic film (Figure 1b,c). The Au film blocks all organic residues induced in the graphene transfer process and can be easily removed later with an Au etchant solution. In addition, the use of Au dramatically reduces processing time and eliminates contamination during the GFET fabrication.
The process of GFET fabrication using PMMA assisted transfer includes device patterning on the graphene by photo-lithography processes and O\textsubscript{2} plasma etching and finally depositing a metal electrode (Figure 1g–i). Here again, photoresist (PR) and solvent may contaminate the graphene, and the organic residues will increase the contact resistance between the electrode and graphene [34]. However, our Au-assisted graphene transfer method enables a comparatively simple fabrication process, and more importantly, prevents organic contamination at the Au-graphene interface. The fabrication process using Au-assisted transfer involves patterning the device structure on the transferred Au/graphene, removal of unpatterned Au film by a dip in Au etchant (1:4:40 I\textsubscript{2}/KI/H\textsubscript{2}O), and O\textsubscript{2} reactive ion etching (RIE) to etch unwanted graphene. Finally, residue-free GFETs are fabricated through the chemical etching of Au film at the channel area Au film (Figure 1d,i).

2.3. Measurement Equipment and Condition

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired with a JEOL JSM-6701F field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw, RM-1000 Invia) with the wavelength of 532 nm (Ar-ion laser) was used to characterize the graphene on 300 nm SiO\textsubscript{2} substrates. XPS spectra were collected using a monochromatic Al K\textalpha\ X-ray source and Omicron EA125 hemispherical analyzer. The graph fitting method is Lorentz-Gaussian fitting. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were acquired with a Park System NX10 using non-contact mode.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 presents the XPS results for the graphene layers transferred onto SiO\textsubscript{2}/Si substrates using the Au-assisted and the PMMA-assisted methods. XPS in a wide area (beam diameter ~8 mm) can detect not only the C-C sp\textsuperscript{2} hybridized carbon of graphene layer but also sp\textsuperscript{3} carbon peaks caused by organic contamination on the graphene’s surface. Through this XPS analysis, the effects of the supporting film and the etchant used to remove the supporting film on the graphene surface were compared (Figure 2a). Since iodine, the main component of Au etchant, can act as a p-type surface dopant of graphene layer [35], and the effects of the Au etchant on graphene were analyzed (Figure 2b). XPS...
spectra of the graphene layers, whether transferred using PMMA or Au film, showed no peaks related to iodine or Cu, suggesting that Cu film and iodine molecules were completely removed and did not affect the graphene layer (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). Figure 2c,d are the enlarged and fitted graphs of the C1 region in Figure 2a; the carbon bonds of graphene or other functional groups are shown in the inset [28]. These two graphs illustrate that Au-transferred graphene exhibits a larger sp² carbon peak than PMMA-transferred graphene and that contamination from organic residue was lower in Au-transferred graphene. In particular, the peak related to the carboxyl functional group was only observed in PMMA-transferred graphene, not Au-transferred graphene. The carboxyl group PMMA-transferred graphene was probably generated either in the acetone used to remove the PMMA or in the PMMA itself. We also note that the carboxyl group degrades graphene’s electrical properties and leads to p-doping and a decrease in carrier mobility of the graphene layer [36,37].

To highlight the performance improvements by our proposed approach, we fabricated back-gated GFETs using PMMA-assisted and Au-assisted transfer methods. Previously, improvement of the contact resistance of graphene using Au assisted transfer similar to our approach [34]. However, we directly measured the sheet resistance by Hall-bar structure with gate bias. As a result, it can show more information about the GFETs. A Hall-bar structure device was used to measure the carrier mobility of the graphene [38]. Sheet resistance ($R_{sh}$) was also calculated using the following Equation (1):

$$R_{sh} = \frac{(V_{x_2} - V_{x_1})w}{I \cdot d}$$

where $w$ is the width of the Hall-bar-channel, $d$ is the distance between the voltage leads $x_2$ and $x_1$, $I$ is the current flowing between $x_2$ and $x_1$. Carrier density ($n_s$) values can be obtained from Equation (2):

$$n_s = \frac{C_{ox}(V_E - V_{\text{dirac}})}{q}$$
where $C_{ox}$ is gate oxide capacitance, and $q$ is Coulomb unit charge. Based on these values, mobility can be obtained using Equation (3):

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{n_s e R_{sh}}$$

The channel width ($w$) of our Hall-bar device was 5 $\mu$m, and the length between $x_2$ and $x_1$ was 20 $\mu$m. Devices were measured at room temperature with a high vacuum condition of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. Figure 3a shows the sheet resistances of the GFETs calculated using Equation (1). GFETs fabricated using PMMA-assisted transfer had a Dirac point at a higher positive voltage than GFETs using Au-assisted transfer. Figure 3b presents carrier mobility vs. carrier density curve, which was calculated using Equation (3) based on the sheet resistance of Au-transferred graphene in Figure 3a. Using 32 GFETs simultaneously fabricated on a SiO$_2$/Si substrate for each of PMMA and Au-transfer graphenes, we determined the distribution of sheet resistance at the Dirac point and the mobility distribution at $n_s = 3 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. While the average sheet resistance of the Au-transferred GFETs was 4300 ± 400 $\Omega$/sq., PMMA-transferred GFETs had a higher sheet resistance of 8400 ± 3300 $\Omega$/sq. (Figure 3c). With respect to mobility, Au-transferred GFETs had a value of 4600 ± 400 cm$^2$/V-s, while PMMA-transferred GFETs had a value of 2800 ± 1300 cm$^2$/V-s (Figure 3d). In addition, sheet resistance and mobility were uniform throughout the Au-transferred graphene but uneven in PMMA-transferred graphene.
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**Figure 3.** (a) Gate voltage ($V_g$) vs. sheet resistance ($R_{sh}$) curves at GFETs with a Hall-bar structure, (b) A calculated carrier density ($n_s$) vs. carrier mobility ($\mu$) curve of the GFET fabricated by Au-assisted transfer method, (c) The distribution of measured sheet resistance at $V_{dirac}$ and (d) The distribution graph of mobility at $n_s = 3 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Direct comparison of the channel was possible in our GFET measurement process, as the value of contact resistance was similar to that of sheet resistance [39]. In other words, XPS observations confirmed the presence of a large amount of residue, including the carboxyl group, on the PMMA-transferred graphene surface but no residues on the Au-transferred graphene. SEM, AFM, and optical images of the device’s channels further confirm that the graphene channel fabricated using Au-based process is clean,
but the channels fabricated with PMMA assisted transfer had many organic residues (Figures S2 and S3 in Supplementary Material). As is known, the organic residue of PMMA causes p-type doping, and the Dirac point is located at a positive gate voltage, but when PMMA is not used, the Dirac point shifts toward 12 V to 3 V (Figure 3a) [22,40]. These residues are dispersed around the graphene irregularly and have an electro-scattering effect, resulting in lower average value and non-uniform distribution of carrier mobility of PMMA-transferred graphene devices. The electrical characteristics of the GFETs confirm that the Au-assisted graphene transfer enables the graphene device without organic residues, pointing a way for the fabrication of a graphene device with superior electrical properties and a cleaner surface.

Raman mapping of the graphene channels of the GFETs provided the most detailed analysis. The distribution of organic residue on the graphene surface can be characterized by the intensity ratio of D band (~1350 cm⁻¹) and G band (~1580 cm⁻¹) in the Raman mapping image (Figure S4 in Supplementary Materials). In general, a higher \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) value indicates a higher defect density in the graphene area [41]. PMMA-transferred graphene often has high D band intensity of amorphous carbon [28], and the ratio of D and G peaks varies depending on the organic residue on the graphene [42]. Figure 4a,b presents Raman maps of the \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) of our GFETs. Compared with the mapping image of the GFET transferred with Au, \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) values are non-uniform in the GFET transferred to PMMA. In addition, a distribution graph of \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) on graphene shows that the average \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) value is also larger in the GFET transferred with PMMA (Figure 4c).
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**Figure 4.** The \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) Raman mapped image of (a) graphene transferred using PMMA and (b) graphene transferred using Au film. (c) The \( \frac{I_D}{I_G} \) ratio distribution graph. The 2D Raman mapped image of (d) graphene transferred using PMMA and (e) graphene transferred using Au. (f) The 2D position distribution graph. Scale bars, 5 μm.

The channel map of the GFET fabricated using PMMA reflects a broad distribution of 2D position, while the channel map of the Au-using GFET is relatively uniform (Figure 4d–f). Additionally, Figure 4f shows that the average 2D peak position of graphene transferred with PMMA is blue-shifted than that of graphene transferred with Au, indicating non-uniform p-type doping of GFET fabricated with PMMA (Figure S4c,f in supplementary material). These results confirm that graphene transferred with Au film maintains a higher degree of surface reliability throughout the whole fabrication process.
4. Conclusions

In this paper, we compared graphene transferred using Au and PMMA via XPS and Raman mapping. We also examined the electrical properties of back-gated GFETs fabricated with both methods. As a result, it was confirmed that the graphene transferred by the Au-assisted transfer has a cleaner and more uniform surface than the graphene transferred using the conventional organic supporting film. The electrical characteristics of the graphene transferred with PMMA and Au film were measured by fabricating Hall-bar type back-gated GFETs. The GFET fabricated using Au-transferred graphene exhibited an average sheet resistance of 4000 $\Omega$/sq and average mobility of 4500 cm$^2$/V·s, which are much improved values than the characteristics of devices fabricated from PMMA-transferred graphene. This proposed Au-assisted approach for fabricating GFETs may have significant practical value in the development of graphene-based device applications.
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