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A recent study by Wesselink et al. (Am J Epidemiol. 2022;191(XX):XXXX–XXXX) adds to the growing body of research finding that vaccination for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is safe for individuals either seeking pregnancy or who are pregnant. The study's authors found no effect of COVID-19 vaccination on fecundity in a population of individuals with no known infertility who were attempting conception. The finding reinforces the messaging of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine COVID-19 Task Force, the aim of which is to provide data-driven recommendations to individuals contemplating pregnancy in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. As safe and effective COVID-19 vaccines became available, and with an increasing number of studies showing a heightened risk of severe disease during pregnancy, an important role of the Task Force is to encourage vaccination during the preconceptual window and in early pregnancy. The Task Force supports ongoing research to address gaps in knowledge about safe and effective therapies and preventive measures for individuals contemplating pregnancy and during pregnancy. Such research will help optimize care for reproductive-age individuals in the face of current and future health crises.
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Reassuring data continue to emerge about the safety of vaccination on reproductive health outcomes and the adverse effect of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection on fertility and pregnancy outcomes (1). The development of safe and effective vaccines against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in 2020 ushered in an era of hope in the global COVID-19 pandemic response. Unfortunately, because of study-design decisions that excluded pregnant persons from phase 3 clinical vaccine trials, few published data to date specifically relate to assessment of the exposure to COVID-19 vaccination on fertility and early pregnancy outcomes (2). This lack of high-quality information regarding vaccine safety and efficacy in pregnant persons, in those seeking pregnancy, and in reproductive-age people contributed to low vaccine uptake in these groups due to concerns about potential risks (3, 4). At the same time, serious adverse maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes of COVID-19 infection in pregnancy have been increasingly recognized and well documented (5–7). These outcomes heightened the need for broad access to and acceptance of vaccination as the most effective prevention tool available. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) COVID-19 Task Force has spoken with a strong voice to encourage vaccination of individuals contemplating pregnancy and during pregnancy as a means of providing protection from the untoward effects of COVID-19 in these discrete groups (8).

Based on concerns about theoretical risks, and despite an increasing awareness of higher rates of morbidity and mortality with COVID-19 infection during pregnancy, pregnant patients and those seeking pregnancy have been forced to rely on low-quality data in case reports, postmarketing information, and extrapolation of high-quality data from...
randomized studies that enrolled predominantly older adults. The intentional exclusion of pregnant persons and those intending to become pregnant from most types of vaccine research stems from interpretation of federal research regulations outlined in part 46 of the Common Rule (45 Code of Federal Regulations 46) (9). Subpart B focuses on additional protections for pregnant women, fetuses, and neonates and requires preclinical studies prior to human studies and an assessment that interventions “hold out the prospect of direct benefit for the women or the fetus” or “the risk to the fetus is not greater than minimal” (9). In the absence of clear and consistent communication as vaccine safety was studied, and despite the lack of a plausible biological mechanism, conspiracy theories about vaccination spread rapidly through global social media communication networks. This harmful propaganda has created doubt for many people about the intentions and capabilities of public health efforts, the medical system, pharmaceutical companies, and the process of vaccine manufacturing. Global hesitancy about COVID-19 vaccination in pregnant persons and those seeking pregnancy has emerged as a major barrier to public health efforts (10–12).

As an example, early disinformation about vaccination cited the development of antibodies against syncytin-1, a cell–cell fusion protein that is essential for placental formation. Although these and other myths were rapidly debunked, they left an indelible mark on vaccination campaigns (13–15). Another wave of negative messaging on social media focused on the impact of COVID-19 vaccination on menstruation. Fortunately, emerging data are reassuring. In an initial study of 4,000 menstruating women who did or did not receive vaccination, researchers found a weak association with change of less than 1 day in cycle interval and no association between vaccination and length of menses (16). Unfortunately, it can be difficult to change or rescind an established false narrative, even as high-quality data become available.

Key subgroups with increased vaccine hesitancy include individuals who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive, who cite concerns about potential negative effects on fertility and offspring (17). Although the body of literature is growing, few studies have focused on vaccination and infection exposure during the critical periconception period and the first several weeks of pregnancy. Studies of men have shown that sperm parameters are similar, irrespective of vaccination status (18). Vaccination prior to and during pregnancy has emerged as an important strategy aimed at reducing morbidity and mortality. In addition, COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy generates spike protein antibodies in the infant that persist through 6 months of age (19). There is growing consensus in the scientific community about the need for a paradigm shift in the design of clinical trials to generate high-quality evidence that is specific to people who are pregnant and those of reproductive age (20–24). In an attempt to avoid a prolonged delay between the identification of a new risk and documentation of outcomes, the safe inclusion of all groups who stand to benefit from the data should be considered at the study-design phase.

We read the recent study by Wesselink et al. (25) with great interest because it provides reassuring data regarding vaccine safety for those contemplating pregnancy. The researchers investigated the important, yet understudied, question of whether any associations exist among COVID-19 vaccination, SARS-CoV-2 infection, and fecundity. The investigation included couples in the Pregnancy Study Online (PRESTO) preconception cohort study who enrolled in the 11 months onward from first vaccine availability. Data were collected from December 2020 through November 2021, and the analysis was restricted to those trying to conceive for 6 months or less without use of fertility treatment at the time of enrollment. The final sample included 2,126 couples. A total of 1,369 male partners were included by invitation from the female participant, and male information was collected both from the male partner directly and by female report. As for all PRESTO enrollees, medical history and sociodemographic information had been collected at baseline. Additional surveys were sent every 8 weeks for up to 12 months, and questionnaires continued during pregnancy and the postpartum period. In response to vaccine availability in December 2020, the baseline and early pregnancy questionnaires were changed to reflect COVID-19 vaccination status, type of vaccine, and date(s) of administration. Self-reported information was gathered regarding COVID-19 infection and, if applicable, the date of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test. Menstrual cycle and early pregnancy data were collected and included typical cycle length and, where applicable, estimated date of conception, pregnancy confirmation, and information on pregnancy loss.

Wesselink et al. (25) conducted their analysis with a single observation per menstrual cycle, stratifying participants at each time point as having received none, 1, or 2 vaccine doses. For analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection, participants were also evaluated with a single observation per menstrual cycle and were considered to have had infection if they tested positive by the first day of that cycle. A fecundability ratio was calculated, as was the per-cycle probability of conception comparing exposed and unexposed individuals. Couples were followed until pregnancy or the occurrence of a censoring event (i.e., initiation of fertility treatment, cessation of pregnancy attempt, loss to follow-up, or 12 cycles of pregnancy attempt), whichever came first. Multivariable regression was performed evaluating potential confounding factors (e.g., age, smoking status, body mass index, race, menstrual cycle regularity).

Most of the population (85%) identified as non-Hispanic White with high educational attainment, high household income, and private health insurance. Vaccination rates for both male and female partners were high (74% and 73%, respectively). The analyses revealed several key findings: 1) Vaccination (either 1 or 2 doses) was not associated with improved or reduced fecundability in either partner; 2) infection with SARS-CoV-2 was not associated with fecundability in women and was only transiently associated with reduced fecundability in men; and 3) there were no observed differences among vaccine brands. It should be noted that for men in the acute phase after SARS-CoV-2 infection (i.e., within 30 or 60 days), the authors stated that fecundability was significantly reduced (0–30 days postinfection, fecundability ratio = 0.2, 95% confidence interval: 0.03, 1.39; 0–60 days postinfection, fecundability...
Goldshtein I, Steinberg DM, Kuint J, et al. Association of Vaccines, Epidemics and New Technologies (PREVENT) in 2017 (a task force on research specific to pregnant and lactating women that was established by the 21st Century Cures Act). The ASRM COVID-19 Task Force seeks to further support research aimed at the development of preventive and therapeutic measures to assist those contemplating pregnancy and who are pregnant during the current and/or future pandemics.

In summary, Wesselink et al. documented in this prospective preconception study of more than 2,100 women that vaccination in the United States and Canada is not associated with any change in fecundity for either men or women. In view of the lack of biological plausibility that vaccination would adversely affect fertility, these findings are not surprising. The safety and efficacy of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 infection support its use by the population at large. Given the increased morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19 infection during pregnancy, vaccination is especially important for individuals who are contemplating pregnancy or already pregnant.
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