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Abstract—An irradiation campaign was conducted to provide guidance in the selection of materials and components for the radiation hardening of LED lights for use in CERN accelerator tunnels. This work describes the effects of gamma-rays on commercial-grade borosilicate, fused quartz, polymethylmethacrylate, and polycarbonate samples up to doses of 100 kGy, to qualify their use as optical materials in rad-hard LED-based luminaires. In addition, a Si bridge rectifier and a SiC Junction Barrier Schottky diode for use in power supplies of rad-hard LED lighting systems are tested using 24 GeV/c protons. The physical degradation mechanisms are discussed for each element.
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I. INTRODUCTION

GENERAL lighting in CERN accelerator tunnels and experimental caverns is currently provided by fluorescent tubes with wire-wound ballasts, and the present emergency lighting system comprises a combination of incandescent and low-pressure sodium discharge lamps. However, luminaires using these technologies are now obsolete and cannot be purchased any more on the European market, and thus have to be replaced by LED lights having much higher efficiency. Nevertheless, adoption of LED-based luminaires in radiation environments like high-energy physics accelerator facilities poses several challenges due to the radiation effects on semiconductor components [1]; indeed, we recall that, on the walls of a typical section of CERN accelerator tunnels, the annual radiation levels can exceed a 1 MeV neutron equivalent (neq) fluence in Si of $5 \times 10^{12}$ n/cm$^2$ and a dose of 1 kGy [2]. Irradiation of prototype LED lights for radiation environment has been carried out previously, results of which are summarized in [1]. Following the encouraging outcome of these preliminary tests, a dedicated irradiation campaign has been performed to study the effects of radiation on each individual component to be included in a radiation-tolerant LED lamp (see Fig. 1), namely: i) the diodes for the power supply unit (consisting of a simple bridge rectifier), ii) the plastic lenses and glass windows, and iii) the GaN-based white LEDs. This paper summarizes the results on irradiation tests of the first two components; the behaviour of irradiated high-power white GaN LEDs is available in [3].

In this work, we tested under $\gamma$-ray irradiation samples of borosilicate (BS), fused quartz (FQ), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and polycarbonate (PC). We chose to study these materials as they are commonly used in commercial luminaires: glass (BS or FQ) for protective windows, and plastic (PMMA or PC) for secondary optics. Reports on the irradiation of these materials already exist, but tests under the same irradiation and annealing conditions were required to better compare the amount of radiation damage induced to the various materials, so as to identify the most resistant ones.

In addition, a Si bridge rectifier and a SiC Junction Barrier Schottky (JBS) diode have been tested; irradiation was done using 24 GeV/c protons instead of $\gamma$-rays, displacement damage being the dominant degradation mechanism in diodes. A Si diode bridge sharing the same technology as the selected one had already been tested against irradiation up to a 1 MeV neq fluence of $8 \times 10^{13}$ n/cm$^2$ [4]; in the present work, the selected Si diodes were studied under even higher fluences. A SiC JBS diode was characterised for comparison and also because no irradiation of SiC JBS diodes with high-energy protons has so far been reported.

II. COMPONENTS UNDER TEST

A. Optical components

Samples of borosilicate (BS), fused quartz (FQ), UV-grade polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), and polycarbonate (PC) have been tested against $\gamma$-ray irradiation. The samples have been provided by Goodfellow Cambridge Ltd. (UK); manufacturer part references are: LS475409/7, LS475409/3, LS475409/12, LS475409/13 for BS, FQ, PMMA, and PC samples, respectively. All samples were in form of polished disks with diameter of 40 mm and thickness of 3 mm (except BS and PMMA samples, having thickness of 3.3 and 3.1 mm respectively). All materials under test are commercial-grade and not specifically produced for use in radiation environment.

B. Diodes

Silicon bridge rectifiers and SiC Junction Barrier Schottky (JBS) diodes have been characterized against displacement damage using 24 GeV/c protons, to qualify their use in rad-hard AC/DC power supplies for LED lights. The Si diode bridge under test is a Vishay B380C1000504H glass-passivated single-phase bridge rectifier in a WOG package, rated 1 A in average forward current and 600 V in maximum repetitive peak reverse voltage. It shares the same technology as the Vishay GBU8K diode bridge [5], [6], which has been previously tested against 1 MeV neq fluences up to $8 \times 10^{13}$ n/cm$^2$ [4]; for this reason, it was selected as a candidate component. Two types of 4H-SiC JBS diodes have also been tested: STPSC10H065D and STPSC10H12-Y diodes.
Fig. 1. Block diagram model of an LED luminaire, which comprises a power supply unit (PSU) feeding a string of white LEDs; it also includes plastic lenses for improved light distribution and a glass window for protection reasons. The response to radiation of the components highlighted in red is illustrated in the present work.

from STMicroelectronics, rated 650 V and 1.2 kV in maximum repetitive peak reverse voltage, respectively; they are both rated for 10 A average forward current. More information on their device structures is available in [7]. The TO-220 package variants were used to facilitate testing.

III. IRRADIATION CONDITIONS

A. γ-ray irradiation

γ-ray irradiation of optical components was performed at Ionisos (Dagneux, France) [8], using a 60Co source. Irradiation was done in air, at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Four different target doses were specified: 2, 25, 50, and 100 kGy; the actual values reached (as measured by radiochromic dosimeters) are respectively 2.5, 28.5, 58.2, and 50, and 100 kGy; the actual values reached (as measured by radiochromic dosimeters) are respectively 2.5, 28.5, 58.2, and 113.1 kGy. For the sake of brevity, we will make reference to the nominal values in the remainder of this paper. The dose rate comprised between 0.5–1 kGy/h. Samples with 25, 50, and 100 kGy target doses were irradiated statically (i.e. placed on metallic shelves facing the source), at average dose rates of 0.46 kGy/h, 0.6 kGy/h, and 0.58 kGy/h, respectively. Irradiation of samples with the highest target doses started first, so that irradiation at all doses finished simultaneously, avoiding different annealing times for different target doses. The irradiated samples were stored at room temperature, and were all characterized within 50 to 52 hours from completion of irradiation.

| Material | 2 kGy | 25 kGy | 50 kGy | 100 kGy |
|----------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| BS       | 1 pc  | 1 pc   | –      | 1 pc    |
| FQ       | 1 pc  | 1 pc   | –      | 1 pc    |
| PMMA     | 4 pcs | 4 pcs  | 4 pcs  | 4 pcs   |
| PC       | 4 pcs | 4 pcs  | 4 pcs  | 4 pcs   |

B. 24 GeV/c proton irradiation

Irradiation of samples of Si and SiC diodes was done at IRRAD facility (CERN) [9], using a 24 GeV/c proton beam having a nominal gaussian profile with a FWHM of 1.2×1.2 cm². The actual proton fluence was determined by measuring the activation of thin aluminium foils placed in front of the samples during irradiation. A fluence of 24 GeV/c protons can be converted into a 1 MeV neq fluence providing the same non-ionising energy loss in silicon through the factor of 0.58 [10]. Irradiation was done at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The samples were not powered while irradiated (passive test). Two batches of both Si and SiC diodes were irradiated; the target and actual fluences are collected in Table II. Each batch comprises 5 diodes, except batch #1 of Si diodes, which included only 3 units. After irradiation, the diodes were stored at ambient temperature. Measurements of irradiated diodes were taken only upon authorisation of the irradiation facility personnel, when the residual activation of the samples was acceptably low. The time before measurement (i.e. annealing time) for batches #1 and #2 of Si diodes was 6 and 5 days, respectively; for SiC diodes, it was 20 and 38 days, respectively. Radiation damage in 4H-SiC is known to be thermally stable at room temperature [11] and should not be affected by the longer annealing period, although some form of recovery (associated to unstable radiation-induced defects) may not be excluded [12].

| Device under test | Target 24 GeV/c fluence | Actual 24 GeV/c fluence | Actual 1 MeV neq fluence in Si |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Si diodes (batch #1) | 8×10¹² p/cm² | 9.5×10¹² p/cm² | 5.5×10¹² n/cm² |
| Si diodes (batch #2) | 3×10¹⁴ p/cm² | 2.4×10¹⁴ p/cm² | 1.4×10¹⁴ n/cm² |
| SiC diodes (batch #1) | 10¹³ p/cm² | 1.2×10¹³ p/cm² | 7×10¹² n/cm² |
| SiC diodes (batch #2) | 2×10¹⁴ p/cm² | 1.9×10¹⁴ p/cm² | 1.1×10¹⁴ n/cm² |

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Optical components

Transmission spectra of all samples of optical material were recorded before and after irradiation using a Perkin-Elmer UV-VIS Lambda 650 spectrophotometer equipped with a 150 mm integrating sphere in the range 300–800 nm (only for quartz samples: 200–800 nm). Figure 2 illustrates the transmission spectra before and after γ-ray exposure. Equivalent results were obtained for all PMMA and PC samples irradiated to the same dose, so data relative to only one sample per dose is presented for these materials.

Before irradiation, transmittance of BS is >90% (see Fig. 2a) until the UV cut-off edge at 335 nm [13], [14]. Upon increasing dose, two strong absorption bands located near 330 nm and 475 nm appear; these bands have been previously attributed to boron oxygen hole centres [15] (each centre is given by a hole trapped on a bridge oxygen structure with [BO₂]⁻). The large increase of absorption in the UV-blue portion of the spectrum leads to the darkening of BS samples (see Fig. 3a).
for other samples of FQ [16], [17]. After irradiation, two new absorption bands emerge in the UV: the first at ∼210 nm, the second at 300 nm. The first band is attributed to the \( E' \) centre (Si dangling bond \( \equiv Si \cdot \)) [16], [17]; with increasing dose, absorption at \( E' \) centres increases steadily, and slightly shifts to longer wavelengths. The origin of the absorption band at 300 nm, referred to in literature as the \( B_1 \) band, is still unclear [16], [17], although it is thought to be formed from the conversion of the \( B_2\beta \) band: the proposed mechanism is that a GeODC loses an electron due to irradiation creating a centre absorbing at 300 nm [16]. It has been demonstrated in previous works [16], [18] that the emergence of the \( B_1 \) band upon irradiation occurs only in FQ, and not in crystalline quartz or synthetic fused silica. Absorption at this band increases with increasing dose up to 25 kGy; however, it decreases after 100 kGy. In previous works on the irradiation of FQ using neutrons and x-rays [18]–[21], it was shown that, in some specimens of FQ, the \( B_1 \) band initially increased with increasing radiation exposure, but after reaching a maximum, it started to decrease with further irradiation (this phenomenon is termed radiation bleaching). A detailed analysis of this phenomenon was performed in [20], where radiation bleaching of the \( B_1 \) band was explained in terms of interdependence between the occupancy of \( B_1 \) centres (i.e. the traps responsible for the \( B_1 \) band) and the occupancy of the other defects present in irradiated FQ. We therefore attribute the decrease in absorption of the \( B_1 \) band observed in our sample irradiated at 100 kGy to the same phenomenon already observed in the case of neutron and x-rays irradiation. In any case, the FQ samples stay transparent in the visible range even after the highest dose, as can be visually seen from Fig. 3b.

The transmittance curves of \( \gamma \)-irradiated UV-grade PMMA samples are shown in Fig. 2c. Upon irradiation, a strong increase in the UV range occurs, and two absorption bands between 385–425 nm and 515–580 nm emerge. Increased absorption in the UV has been attributed to increased density of dienes group (\( -C=C- \)) due to radiation [22]. The two bands in the visible are due to radiation-induced free radicals acting as colour centres [22]–[24]; \( \gamma \)-ray irradiation involves scission of \( C=O, C-C, C-O-C, \) and \( C-C-O \) bonds [22]. It is also evident that radiation-induced optical damage tends to saturate at higher doses (the curves after 50 and 100 kGy are almost overlapping); this phenomenon is due to competition between molecular scission and cross-linking induced by radiation [22]. Previous studies in literature have shown that cross-linking under \( \gamma \)-ray irradiation occurs at doses \( >10 \) kGy, and is initiated by the absorption of water from airborne moisture [22]. Cross-linking occurs between \( C-H, O-H, \) and \( H-O-H \) bonds (the last two induced by absorbed water molecules) [22]. As a consequence of the aforementioned colour centres, PMMA samples turned yellow upon irradiation (see Fig. 3c).

Finally, the transmittance curves of PC samples are shown in Fig. 2d. Several absorption bands are introduced by radiation in the visible range, the most evident ones being around ∼415 nm and between 550–580 nm. Previous works [25]–[28] have shown that \( \gamma \)-ray irradiation of PC leads to chain scissioning and formation of different types of products (like e.g. species containing ortho-quinone groups), acting as colour
Fig. 3. Pictures of irradiated samples of: (a) borosilicate, (b) fused quartz, (c) PMMA, (d) polycarbonate.

centres in the visible spectrum. Unlike PMMA, absorption at these radiation-induced bands does not saturate at high doses (conversely, the optical damage continues to increase with dose). A larger reduction in transmittance is obtained in PC than in PMMA, as also demonstrated by the more intense yellow radiation-induced colouration of the PC samples (see Fig. 3d).

Radiation-induced absorption bands in the considered materials decrease if subjected to thermal treatment with high temperatures (i.e. radiation-induced defects originating these bands can be thermally annealed); in particular, previous experiments have shown that recovery of transmission at radiation-induced bands occurs even at room temperature. For more details on thermal annealing at room temperature in air of borosilicate, we defer to [13], [29]; for quartz, we defer to [30] and references therein; for PMMA and PC, we make reference to [23], [31]. In addition, radiation-induced absorption bands in these materials can also be optically bleached: absorption bands at 210 nm and 300 nm in γ-ray irradiated FQ are known to photobleach under UV light [21]; visible light can bleach radiation-induced absorption bands in both BS [32], [33] and PC [34], [35]; optical bleaching of PMMA presents a more complex behaviour, exhibiting a reduction or an enhancement of the radiation-induced absorption in the UV spectrum depending on the emission wavelength of the light source used for bleaching [36]. Therefore, keeping in mind the application of these materials as optical components for rad-hard lighting systems, a recovery of the transmission properties is to be expected after irradiation as a consequence of thermal annealing (even at room temperature) and, particularly in BS and PC, optical bleaching induced by the white LEDs (for reference, the electroluminescence spectrum of a white GaN LED extends from 400 nm to 750 nm). Nevertheless, in the design of a rad-hard luminaire, the optical components should be chosen based on their transmission properties just after irradiation (i.e. based on the data presented in the paragraphs above), as this would represent the worst-case (most-conservative) scenario. For example, access by human operators into CERN experimental facilities tunnels is prohibited while the particle accelerators are running, owing to the extremely high radiation levels produced by the circulating beams [2]; under such circumstances, the tunnel illumination system is therefore not required to be activated (i.e. lights are off while the accelerators are running). Access to accelerator tunnels is possible only during machine stops, when there is no circulating beam, after a cool down period to allow the residual radiation to decay (typically a few hours from the beam stop, but it can be 30–40 hours for the most radioactive areas). Consequently, the lighting system shall be designed to provide the stipulated minimum illuminance levels just after the accelerator stops, so that the optical materials offering the best performance just after irradiation should be selected for use in rad-hard luminaires.

In conclusion, BS exhibits a significant reduction of visible light transmission even after 2 kGy (corresponding to ~2 years on the tunnel walls of a typical section of CERN accelerator complex), while FQ retains transparency to visible light even after 100 kGy; therefore, BS protective windows in luminaires for radioactive environment should be avoided in favour to FQ components. Visible light transmission in both PMMA and PC is degraded by radiation-induced colour centres, but larger values of absorption are measured in PC samples; consequently PMMA should be specified instead of PC as a component for secondary optics lenses.

B. Diodes

Current-voltage (I–V) characteristics of Si and SiC diodes were measured before and after irradiation with a Keithley 2410 source-meter unit, at room temperature. Measurements of the forward and reverse I–V curves were done in the range 0–1 A, and −20–0 V, respectively. In the case of Si bridge rectifiers, all four diodes contained in each package were tested. Equivalent results were obtained for all diodes irradiated in the same batch; for this reason, data of only one
representative sample per fluence is shown. All fluences are given as 1 MeV neq fluences in Si.

Figures 4a and 4b show the forward I–V curves before and after irradiation of 650 V and 1200 V SiC diodes, respectively. The behaviour of irradiated 650 V and 1200 V SiC diodes was found to be similar: degradation after $7 \times 10^{12}$ n/cm$^2$ is very small, while larger damage is detected after the highest fluence (in particular, for currents above 0.1 A). We found that I–V curves of both 650 V and 1200 V SiC diodes before irradiation and after a fluence of $7 \times 10^{12}$ n/cm$^2$ can be well described by the standard model of an ideal diode with a series resistance [37]:

$$I = I_s \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{q(V - R_S I)}{\eta k_B T} \right] - 1 \right\},$$

where $I_s$ is the saturation current, $q$ is the absolute electronic charge, $\eta$ is the ideality factor, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, and $R_S$ denotes the series resistance. On the other hand, after the highest fluence, two segments with different slopes are observed in the I–V characteristics instead of a single exponential behaviour as in (1); these two segments represent two different current regimes (i.e. different conduction mechanisms), each characterised by a distinct ideality factor $\eta$. In this case, the I–V curves of SiC diodes irradiated at $1.1 \times 10^{14}$ n/cm$^2$ can be expressed as:

$$I = \begin{cases} I_{s1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{q(V')/\eta_1 k_B T}{} \right] - 1 \right\}, & V < V_T \\ I_{s2} \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{q(V')/\eta_2 k_B T}{} \right] - 1 \right\}, & V > V_T \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $V' = V - R_S I$, and $V_T$ denotes the voltage at which the transition between the two current regimes occurs. For all 650 V and 1200 V SiC diodes tested, $V_T$ is about 0.6 V.

The experimental I–V characteristics of SiC diodes were fitted to their corresponding models (1) or (2). Before irradiation and after $7 \times 10^{12}$ n/cm$^2$, the values of $\eta$ and $I_s$ in (1) have been extracted through an exponential fit in the current range from $5 \times 10^{-8}$ A to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ A. After $1.1 \times 10^{14}$ n/cm$^2$, the saturation current and ideality factor for the first (respectively, second) current regime in (2) are obtained by exponential fitting of experimental I–V curves in the current interval from $10^{-9}$ A to $10^{-6}$ A (respectively, from $5 \times 10^{-6}$ A to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ A). Analogously to [37], $R_S$ in (1) and (2) was disregarded while performing these exponential fits, since its effect is negligible in the considered current intervals. $R_S$ was then extracted for all curves by fitting the experimental I–V characteristics for $I > 0.5$ A. Figures 5a and 5b illustrate the experimental and fitted I–V curves for 650 V and 1200 V SiC diodes, respectively; for clarity reasons (i.e. to allow for a distinct representation of all curves), the data is shown only in a limited interval (0.5–0.66 V), which nevertheless spans across more than three orders of magnitude in current. For I–V curves irradiated at $1.1 \times 10^{14}$ n/cm$^2$, the first and second current regimes are also indicated. As can be seen, fitted and experimental data are in excellent agreement in the represented interval.

Radiation introduces in 4H-SiC a wide range of defects,
predominantly vacancies and interstitials of Si and C atoms, and combinations thereof (due to low mobility of primary radiation defects, formation of defect complexes including impurities is limited) [38]. These defects act as compensation and trapping centres, leading to a reduction in free electron density (carrier removal) and hence to greater series resistance \( R_S \) and lower values of saturation current \( I_s \) [38]–[40]. Such variations of \( I_s \) and \( R_S \) are apparent in Figs. 4a and 4b, and can be quantitatively analysed by studying the evolution with increasing radiation exposure of the fitted values of \( I_s \), \( \eta \) and \( R_S \) in models (1) and (2). The relative variations of these parameters upon irradiation are collected in Table III; the change in saturation current and ideality factor after the highest fluence is computed with respect to values of the first current regime, i.e. \( I_s1 \) and \( \eta_1 \). All variations are computed as \( (X_a - X_b)/X_b \), where \( X_b \) and \( X_a \) denote the same quantity before and after irradiation, respectively. After a fluence of \( 7 \times 10^{12} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \), a reduction in \( I_s \) of \(-16\%\) and an increase in \( R_S \) of \(8\%\) w.r.t. pre-irradiated samples is found in 650 V SiC diodes; in 1200 V diodes, \( I_s \) decreases by \(-21\%\) and \( R_S \) increases by \(9\%\). In addition, no variations in \( \eta \) upon irradiation are found in both types of SiC diodes (\( \eta = 1.074 \) and \( \eta = 1.041 \) for 650 V and 1200 V diodes, respectively, both before and after irradiation). Hence, modest carrier removal is already detected after \( 7 \times 10^{12} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \), although its impact on the electrical characteristics of the diodes is negligible, as apparent from Figs. 4 and 5. After irradiation at \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \), \( R_S \) in 650 V diodes increases by \(-105\%\) w.r.t. pre-irradiated samples, changing from 95 m\( \Omega \) (before irradiation) to 195 m\( \Omega \) (after \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \)). This increase in \( R_S \) is responsible for the deviations observed in the \( I-V \) curves at currents \( |I| >0.1 \, \text{A} \) after the highest fluence (see Figs. 4a and 4b). The larger increase of \( R_S \) in 1200 V diodes is attributed to different device geometry (like e.g. larger vertical thickness of the n-drift region). In any case, this increase in \( R_S \) has only a limited effect on the electrical characteristics of SiC diodes for currents up to \( 1 \, \text{A} \). The ideality factor of the first region (\( \eta_1 \)) after \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) is nearly identical to its corresponding pre-irradiation values in both 650 V and 1200 V diodes; in addition, \( I_s \) decreases by \(-33\%\) and \(-24\%\) w.r.t. pre-irradiated samples in 650 V and 1200 V diodes, respectively. Concerning the second current regime, the values of \( \eta_2 \) are higher than those of \( \eta_1 \): \( \eta_2 = 1.126 \) and \( \eta_2 = 1.07 \) in 650 V and 1200 V diodes, respectively, i.e. they are \( 6.1\% \) and \( 3.1\% \) larger than their corresponding \( \eta_1 \) values; a slight increase of the ideality factor upon proton irradiation of 4H-SiC JBS diodes was also found in [37]. We attribute the emergence of the second current regime to radiation-induced defects. In addition, we ascribe the slightly different behaviour of \( I_s1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) between 650 V and 1200 V diodes after \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) to different device geometry and doping characteristics, leading to marginally dissimilar current conduction mechanisms. Our results in terms of device degradation are in good agreement with those in [37], [40], despite use of a diode from a different manufacturer and with different ratings (normalization of radiation damage at various proton energies is done via NIEL curves for SiC obtained using screened Coulomb potential [41], [42] and considering the effect of nuclear interactions dominating at energies \( >100 \, \text{MeV} \) [43]). Finally, no variations are detected in the reverse bias curves, as expected for Schottky-based diodes and as already noted in literature for similar devices tested at comparable fluences [37], [39]. All these results show that the 4H-SiC JBS diodes under test present only a limited degradation for currents up to \( 1 \, \text{A} \) after fluences of \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \), and hence are expected to reliably withstand the radiation environment of a typical section of CERN accelerator tunnels [2] for at least 10 years. The forward \( I-V \) curves of B380C diodes before and after irradiation are illustrated in Figs. 6a and 6b, respectively. The four diodes of each bridge exhibited similar characteristics.
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**Table III**

| Voltage rating | 1 MeV neq fluence in Si | \( \Delta I_s \) (\( \Delta I_s / I_s \)) | \( \Delta \eta \) (\( \Delta \eta / \eta \)) | \( \Delta R_S \) (\( \Delta R_S / R_S \)) |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 650 V         | \( 7 \times 10^{12} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) | \(-16\%\) | \(+0.03\%\) | \(+8\%\) |
| 650 V         | \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) | \(-33\%\) | \(+0.13\%\) | \(+105\%\) |
| 1200 V        | \( 7 \times 10^{12} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) | \(-21\%\) | \(-0.38\%\) | \(+9\%\) |
| 1200 V        | \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \, \text{n/cm}^2 \) | \(-24\%\) | \(+0.27\%\) | \(+143\%\) |

Fig. 6. (a) Forward and (b) reverse \( I-V \) characteristics at room temperature of Si diodes of B380C bridge rectifiers, before and after irradiation (expressed as 1 MeV neq fluence).
so only data of individual diodes is presented. High-energy proton irradiation introduces numerous defects in Si including vacancies, interstitials, divacancies, diinterstitials, vacancy-oxygen centres, C interstitials (Ci), complexes of O, C, H and Si vacancies and interstitials, as well as vacancy clusters [44]. These defects act as generation-recombination centres, traps or compensation centres, resulting in increased recombination current at low forward voltages, and increased series resistance due to carrier removal [4], [39], [45]. These effects are clearly observed in Fig. 6a. An increase of generation current at reverse bias is also measured: current at $-20\ \text{V}$ increases from $2.6\times 10^{-8} \text{ A}$ before irradiation to $4.9\times 10^{-8} \text{ A}$ after $5.5\times 10^{12} \text{ n/cm}^2$, and to $9.1\times 10^{-7} \text{ A}$ after $1.4\times 10^{13} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (see Fig. 6b). It is worth noting that Si diodes exhibit significant degradation at fluences more than an order of magnitude lower than SiC JBS diodes.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Transmission spectra of commercial-grade BS, FQ, PMMA, and PC samples irradiated with $\gamma$-rays up to 100 kGy are presented, and the degradation mechanism of each element is discussed. The obtained results indicate that, in terms of retained transparency upon irradiation, FQ is the most appropriate material for windows in rad-hard LED luminaires, while BS should be avoided; moreover, PMMA should be preferred over PC for secondary optics components. In addition, a Si diode bridge and a SiC JBS diode are tested using 24 GeV/c protons, and radiation effects on both devices are discussed; the SiC JBS diodes measured were more than one order of magnitude more resistant than Si diodes, and therefore should be employed in future power supplies for rad-hard LED lights. In particular, the obtained results show that SiC JBS diodes and samples of FQ and PMMA exhibit no or limited degradation after radiation exposure levels equivalent to 10 years on the tunnel wall of a typical section of CERN accelerator complex, thus meeting the requirements on the expected lifetime of the rad-hard LED luminaires for CERN tunnels.
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