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Abstract

Background

Chronic cardiorenal syndrome type 2 (CRS-T2) has a complex pathophysiology. The objective of this study was to assess renal function using biomarkers associated with nephron injury sites in patients with CRS-T2 following cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT).

Methods

The research included patients with heart failure in NYHA classes II-IV, in whom CRT devices had been implanted. After 3 months of follow-up, the research group was divided into CRT responders and CRT non-responders. Prior to CRT and after 3 months, renal function was assessed using biomarkers measured in urine and blood samples.

Results

CRT was implanted in 56 patients (aged 66 ± 10 years) with CRS-T2 in the course of coronary artery disease (n = 38; 67.9%) or dilated cardiomyopathy (n = 18). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR_{CKD-EPI}) was 68.55 ± 20.34 mL/min/1.73m². After three months follow-up CRT responder group were assessed showing a significant decrease in serum prostaglandin D2 synthase (sPGD2S) and albuminuria (uACR) concentrations. Urine samples of the CRT non-responder group showed significant (p <0.05) increases in lipocalin associated with neutrophil gelatinase (uNGAL) concentrations, and a decrease in cystatin C (uCysC) concentration. There were no significant changes in the concentration of serum creatinine (sCr), CysC and eGFR_{CKD-EPI} between the CRT responders and CRT non-responders groups.

Conclusions

The sCr and eGFR_{CKD-EPI} assessment are useless in evaluation of renal function three months after CRT implantation. Biomarkers that account for the pathophysiology of nephron injury in CRS-T2 and change significantly after CRT are: sPGD2S, uACR, uCysC, and uNGAL.

Trial registration

This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NCT04516525. Registered 15 August 2020 - Retrospectively registered, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04516525.

Introduction

Chronic cardiorenal syndrome (CRS-T2) has a complex pathophysiology and an understanding the disease underlies effective causal treatment [1]. Resynchronization therapy (CRT) is a method of treatment in some patients with severe and chronic heart failure (CHF) [2]. Patients with kidney damage are poorly represented in CRT clinical studies due to a lack of guidelines for this group although this
group of patients have a high-risk of cardiovascular diseases [3]. The available literature describes the relationship between the use of CRT and improvement of renal function [4, 5]. In contrast, deterioration of renal function observed using serum creatinine and estimated on the basis of glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are an independent factor worsening long-term prognosis in patients undergoing CRT [4, 6]. While, due to their limitations, both creatinine and eGFR are not accurate biomarkers for assessing renal function [7]. Analysis biomarkers of site-specific damage to nephron in the injured kidneys of patients treated with CRT due to heart failure, allows for a more accurate assessment of the pathomechanisms underlying CRS-T2. In our study, we evaluated kidney function in patients with CRS-T2 using classic biomarkers such as serum creatinine (sCr) and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR_{CKD-EPI}) and by using other protein biomarkers that can indicate nephron injury sites with greater precision [8]. The following low molecular weight proteins, freely filtering through the glomeruli and mostly reabsorbed in the proximal tubule of the nephron, were considered indicators of glomerular renal assessment: cystatin C in urine (uCysC) and serum (sCysC) and lipocalin-type serum prostaglandin D2-synthase (sPGD2S) [9, 10]. We chose urinary lipocalin associated with neutrophil gelatinase (uNGAL) secreted mainly from the distal nephron tubules in response to a nephrotoxic agent or ischemia as biomarker in the assessment of renal tubules [11, 12].

The objective of this study was to assess renal function using urine and blood biomarkers pathophysiologically associated with nephron injury sites in patients with CRS-T2 treated with CRT and 3 months after implantation.

**Materials And Methods**

Between September 2016 and December 2017, 56 patients with heart failure were initially included in the study, and all qualified for CRT by a cardiologist in accordance with applicable criteria of the European Society of Cardiology [13]. The other inclusion criteria for the study are: written consent to participate in the study, patient reporting within 3 months (± 1 week) after implantation for outpatient cardiological and nephrological monitoring, and the possibility of urine and blood testing at any stage of the study. All patients were given a physical examination before CRT implantation, and again 3 months after the procedure. Patient recruitment and CRT implantation were performed during hospitalization at our Cardiology Clinic. The first morning urine and blood samples were collected prior to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Some of the basic laboratory testing was performed at our Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics Department. The urine and serum samples was secured, was stored at ~ 80 °C for future use in determining biomarkers.

Table 1 presents demographic, clinical data, and selected laboratory results for the study group prior to CRT implantation.
Table 1
Selected demographic, clinical data and laboratory results for the research group before CRT implantation

| Variable                                 | CRS-T2 (n = 56)                                      |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                          | mean ± SD (min-max)                                  |
| Age [years]                              | 66.48 ± 10.02 (43–86)                               |
| Gender, female [n (%)]                   | 17 (30.36)                                          |
| Body weight [kg]                         | 79.13 ± 16.18 (50.6–114)                            |
| BMI [kg/m²]                              | 28.67 ± 5.38 (20.07–44.46)                          |
| NYHA                                     | 25 (45.6)                                           |
| II [n (%)]                               | 25 (45.6)                                           |
| III [n (%)]                              | 6 (8.8)                                             |
| IV [n (%)]                               |                                                     |
| Width QRS [ms]                           | 162.7 ± 24.3 (130–200)                              |
| LVEF [%]                                 | 25.3 ± 7.2 (5–35)                                   |
| eGFR<sub>CKD-EPI</sub> [mL / min / 1.73 m²] | 68.55 ± 20.34 (21–107)                              |
| sCr [µmol/L]                             | 99.0 ± 27.4 (65.4–179.4)                            |
| AH [n (%)]                               | 10 (18.8)                                           |
| CAD [n (%)]                              | 38 (67.9)                                           |
| T2DM [n (%)]                             | 25 (44.64)                                          |
| Cause of heart failure [n (%)]           | 38 (67.9)                                           |
| CAD                                      | 18 (32.1)                                           |
| DCM                                      |                                                     |
| Intraventricular conduction disorders [n (%)] | 42 (75.0)                                   |
| LBBB                                     | 8 (14.29)                                           |
| 100% right ventricular stimulation rate  | 6 (10.71)                                           |
| other (RBBB, LAH and RBBB)               |                                                     |
| AF [n (%)]                               | 21 (37.5)                                           |
| chronic                                  | 15 (26.79)                                          |
### Variable | CRS-T2 (n = 56) 
|-------------|-------------------|
|             | mean ± SD (min-max) |

**Abbreviations:**

- AF, atrial fibrillation;
- BMI, body mass index;
- CAD, coronary artery disease;
- CRS-T2, cardiorenal syndrome type 2;
- DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy;
- eGFR\textsubscript{CKD–EPI}, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate;
- HA, arterial hypertension;
- LAH, left anterior hemiblock;
- LBBB, left bundle branch block;
- LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction;
- NYHA, New York Heart Association classification [14];
- QRS, QRS complex;
- sCR, serum creatinine;
- RBBB, right bundle branch block;
- T2DM, diabetes mellitus type 2

In order to assess the impact of CRT on renal function, cardiological and nephrological outpatient follow-up examinations were performed in all patients 3 months after implantation. The results of 33 (61.11%) patients with heart failure in the New York Heart Association (NYHA) classes NYHA II-IV [14] including those with ischemic heart disease (n = 20; 60.6%) and patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (n = 13; 39.4%) met the inclusion criteria and were finally selected for analysis. To assess cardiac function, both before implantation and 3 months after implantation the severity of NYHA heart failure symptoms was assessed, the N-terminal fragment of (type B) natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) was determined, electrocardiography and transthoracic echocardiogram was performed. The cardiologist, based on current recommendations, assessed the response to CRT and assigned patients to CRT responders or CRT non-responders [13].

To assess renal function, both before and 3 months after CRT, the following were examined: blood count, kalemia, natremia, sCr, eGFR\textsubscript{CKD–EPI}, urinary albumin in the first morning sample (uAlb), urinary creatinine in the first morning sample (uCr), and lipocalin associated with uNGAL. The mentioned laboratory tests were performed in the Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics Department immediately after collecting the urine and blood. Urinary NGAL concentrations were determined with the ARCHITECT® Analyzer (Abbott Park, USA) using chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay. In order to eliminate possible errors resulting from dilution of the urine, the following were also calculated: the albumin-to-creatinine ratio (uACR) and the uNGAL-to-uCr ratio in single samples of urine (uNCR).

The frozen serum and urine samples were tested for: cystatin C in the urine (uCysC) and in the serum (sCysC), and serum prostaglandin D2-synthase (PTGDS). Tests for proteins determined by the ELISA method were carried out in the laboratory of the Natural and Medical Center for Innovative Research, Institute of Medical Sciences, at the University of Rzeszów.

**Human Cystatin C assay** was performed using a commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test kit (BioVendor Research and Diagnostic Products, Brno, Czech Republic) and was expressed in nanograms per milliliter (ng / mL). The Human Cystatin C ELISA is a sandwich enzyme immunoassay for the quantitative measurement of human cystatin C. The kit measures cystatin C in serum, plasma, urine and cerebrospinal fluid. The Human Cystatin C kit was used for cystatin C quantification in serum samples [15].

**PGD2S (Prostaglandin D2 Synthase) assay** was determined using a commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test kit (Cloud-Clone Corp., Katy, Texas, USA) and was expressed in nanograms per milliliter (ng / mL). The kit is a sandwich enzyme immunoassay for in vitro quantitative measurement of PGD2S in human serum, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid and other biological fluids. The PGD2S ELISA kit was used for PGD2S quantification in serum samples [16].
All procedures related to protein determination by ELISA were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reading of the ELISA plates was done with the Spark Reader Tecan Company (Männedorf, Switzerland). The readings were directly made at 450 nm with reference wavelength 630 nm by a microtiter plate reader and compared with a standard curve.

Magellan™ software, used to analyze all the results obtained which allowed for full control of the instrument and data processes and ensuring availability of all necessary information. All samples were measured in duplicate.

All patients included in the study gave their written consent to participate in the research. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the University of Rzeszów (No. 5/04/2016).

**Statistical Analysis**

The distribution of continuous data was checked using the Shapiro-Wilks test for normality. Because the data did not meet the assumptions, further comparisons of the changes in individual biochemical parameters before and after 3 months post CRT were made (using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test). In order to compare biomarkers in relation to positions on the NYHA scale, the Kruskal-Wallis and ANOVA tests were used. The Statistica 12.5 PL package (Statsoft, Tulsa, USA) was used for analyses. $P$ values $< 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Table 2. shows the concentration values of urine biomarkers tested before and 3 months after CRT, taking into account laboratory standards and the statistical significance ($p$) of the changes observed.
Table 2
Values of concentrations of tested biomarkers in urine before and 3 months after CRT and statistical significance (p) of observed changes in the whole group of patients.

| Urine biomarker       | Biomarker value before CRT | Biomarker value 3 months after CRT | p     |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
|                       | mean ± SD                  | median                            | mean ± SD | median |       |
| uCysC (ng / mL)       | 1368.9 ± 1499.0            | 1068.5                             | 1083.7 ± 1478.9 | 816.8 | 0.151 |
| uCysC / uCr (mg / g)  | 1.4 ± 2.2                  | 0.98                               | 1.5 ± 3.5 | 0.78 | 0.1    |
| uAlb (mg / dL)        | 112.9 ± 169.1              | 10.19                              | 58.9 ± 130.5 | 5.96 | 0.024* |
| uACR (ng / mL)        | 132.5 ± 247.5              | 9.6                                | 51.1 ± 98.6 | 5.8  | 0.041* |
| uNGAL (µg / L)        | 28.8 ± 48.2                | 13.0                               | 43.5 ± 77.6 | 19.8 | 0.028* |
| uNCR (µg / g)         | 34.3 ± 75.5; 13.7          | 67.6 ± 136.6                       | 15.2   |      | 0.024* |

Abbreviations: uACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinin ratio; uAlb, urinary albumin; uCysC, urinary cystatin C; uCysC/uCr, urinary cystatin C-to-creatinin ratio; uNCR, urinary NGAL-to-creatinin ratio; uNGAL, urinary lipocalin associated with neutrophil gelatinase; p, p-value; * - statistical significance.

Table 3. presents the values of the serum indicators tested before and 3 months after CRT, taking into account the statistical significance of the observed changes.
Table 3
Values of concentrations of tested biomarkers in serum before and 3 months after CRT, and statistical significance (p) of the changes observed in the whole group of patients.

| Serum biomarker | Biomarker value before CRT mean ± SD | Biomarker value before CRT median | Biomarker value after CRT mean ± SD | Biomarker value after CRT median | p |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| sCysC (ng / mL) | 1711.0 ± 533.4                    | 1617.9                          | 1787.6 ± 573.2                     | 1689.4                          | 0.228 |
| sPGD2S (ng / mL)| 247.5 ± 41.9                      | 249.25                          | 215.7 ± 63.0                       | 225.87                          | 0.002* |
| sCr (mg / dL)   | 1.12 ± 0.31                       | 1.02                            | 1.13 ± 0.45                        | 0.96                            | 0.650 |
| eGFR_{CKD-EPI}  | 68.55 ± 20.34                     | 73.0                            | 70.52 ± 22.83                      | 72.0                            | 0.433 |
| Na (mmol / L)   | 136.21 ± 3.13                     | 136.0                           | 136.48 ± 4.04                      | 137.0                           | 0.290 |
| K (mmol / L)    | 4.4 ± 0.5                         | 4.4                             | 5.64 ± 6.9                         | 4.5                             | 0.247 |
| RBC (millions K per / µL) | 4.5 ± 0.6 | 4.39 | 4.7 ± 0.8 | 4.55 | 0.053 |
| Hb (g / dL)     | 13.4 ± 1.5                        | 13.5                            | 13.9 ± 1.4                         | 14.0                            | 0.003* |

Abbreviations: eGFR_{CKD-EPI}, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; K, potassium; Na, natremia; RBC, red blood cells; sCr, serum creatinine; sCysC, serum cystatin C; sPGD2S, serum prostaglandin D2 synthase; # - laboratory standards in force at the hospital lab; p - p-value; * - statistical significance.

Next, the correlations between the sPGD2S and selected indicators of renal function before CRT and 3 months after CRT were analyzed. Among others, the presence of a positive correlation between the sPGD2S concentration and the sCr, and a negative correlation between the sPGD2S and the eGFR_{CKD-EPI} both before and 3 months after CRT were demonstrated. The relationships observed are presented in Table 4.
| Serum biomarker | Biomarker value before CRT | Biomarker value 3 months after CRT | \( p \) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
|                 | mean ± SD                | mean ± SD                         |      |
|                 | median                    | median                            |      |
| HCT (%)         | 40.35 ± 4.2               | 41.9 ± 3.8                        | 0.004* |
|                 | 39.3                      | 42.4                              |      |

Abbreviations: eGFR\(_{\text{CKD-EPI}}\), Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; K, potassemia; Na, natremia; RBC, red blood cells; sCr, serum creatinine; sCysC, serum cystatin C; sPGD2S, serum prostaglandin D2 synthase; # - laboratory standards in force at the hospital lab; \( p \) - p-value; * - statistical significance.

Next, the correlations between the sPGD2S and selected indicators of renal function before CRT and 3 months after CRT were analyzed. Among others, the presence of a positive correlation between the sPGD2S concentration and the sCr, and a negative correlation between the sPGD2S and the eGFR\(_{\text{CKD-EPI}}\) both before and 3 months after CRT were demonstrated. The relationships observed are presented in Table 4.
Table 4
Analysis of the correlation between sPGD2S concentration and selected biomarkers before and 3 months after CRT.

| Parameter                      | Before implantation | 3 months after implantation |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| sCr (mg / dL)                  | 0.54                 | 0.52                        |
| uAlb (mg / dL)                 | 0.37                 | --                          |
| uACR (ng / mL)                 | 0.46                 | --                          |
| uNGAL (µg / L)                 | 0.36                 | --                          |
| eGFR_{CKD-EPI} (m /min/1.73 m^2) | -0.39               | -0.44                       |
| Na (mmol / L)                  | -0.47                | --                          |

Abbreviations: eGFR_{CKD-EPI}, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate; Na, natremia; p - p-value; sCr, serum creatinine; uACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinin ratio; uAlb, urinary albumin; uNGAL, urinary lipocalin associated with neutrophil gelatinase

However, there was no correlation between sPGD2S and the left ventricular ejection fraction in the echocardiogram before cardiac resynchronization therapy (Spearman's rank correlation test; p > 0.05), nor were comorbidities such as atrial fibrillation, hypertension, coronary heart disease, T2DM, heart failure etiology and conduction type disorders observed in the ECG (Mann-Whitney U test; p > 0.05). There was also no correlation between the sPGD2S and NYHA heart rate assessment prior to CRT (Cruscal Wallis test; p = 0.6).

Then, the cardiologist, based on current recommendations, assessed the response to CRT and assigned patients to CRT responders or CRT non-responders [13]. The group of 21 (63.63%) patients were included to the CRT responders after 3 months of follow-up.

In the group of CRT responders, we observed a statistically significant change in the selected blood count parameters like: RBC, Hb, HCT. The observed correlations are presented in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Graph showing statistically significant changes in RBC (a), Hb (b), HCT (c) in patients before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT responders group (n = 21).

Also, in CRT responders group we observed significant decreases in both uACR and sPGD2S concentrations. The observed correlations are presented in Fig. 2.

Figure 2. Graph showing statistically significant changes in uACR (a) and sPGD2S (b) before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT responders group (n = 21).

In addition, a statistically significant increase in uNGAL concentration and a significant decrease in uCysC concentration in CRT non-responders group 3 months after CRT was observed. The responders did not show any statistically significant changes in either urine biomarkers. The significant relationships observed in the CRT non-responders group are shown in Fig. 3.
Figure 3. Graph showing statistically significant changes in uNGAL (a) and uCysC (b) before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT non-responders group (n = 12).

At the same time, in both responders and nonresponders, no statistically significant changes in other biomarkers were observed.

Discussion

It is well known that CRS is characterized by complex interactions between the cardiac and renal mediated by hemodynamic, neurohormonal and endothelial dysfunction. The kidneys play a key role in maintaining hemodynamic balance, which is often disturbed in patients with HF [17, 18]. In addition, kidney disease is an independent risk factor for sudden cardiac death due to the higher frequency of cardiac arrhythmias in patients with CKD [19].

Literature data indicate that CHF and CKD coexist in 45–63% [20, 21]. In our work, we observed that patients suffered from primary heart injury either due to coronary artery disease or dilated cardiomyopathy. The pathophysiology of CRS-T2 includes renal hyperemia with hypoperfusion resulting from an increase in right atrial pressure and a low cardiac output [22]. In clinical practice, when treating a patient with CRS-T2, we should effectively treat all the pathophysiological causes of cardiorenal syndrome. One of the treatment methods for patients with symptomatic systolic heart failure with concomitant intraventricular conduction block, despite optimal pharmacological therapy is CRT. Resynchronization is one of the most promising therapies with proven benefits for HF patients, such as: improvement of left ventricular function, reduction of CHF symptoms, and reduced mortality from any cause [23, 24]. CRT involves two-chamber stimulation to allow coordinated contraction or greater synchronization between both ventricles. This intervention should improve myocardial function and may be particularly attractive in selected individuals with CKD [25]. It is known, however, that patients who do not respond to such CRT treatment (non-responders) have a much higher risk of re-hospitalization and mortality [26]. Therefore, it is important to identify patients who do not respond to CRT therapy. Meanwhile, few randomized controlled studies have been undertaken to assess the effect of resynchronization in patients with kidney damage [27, 28].

In clinical settings, evaluation of sCr and the eGFR as potential biomarkers of renal function are inaccurate. Many factors independent of renal function affect sCr, including: age, gender, muscle mass, metabolism, drugs, and hydration rate [29]. For these reasons, either the absolute or the relative increases in baseline sCr are indicative of a worsening of renal function. In our study, no differences were observed in the concentrations of sCr and eGFR\textsubscript{CKD-EPI} in the entire group of patients both before and 3 months after CRT. Moreover, in our study we did not observe differences in the concentrations of sCr and eGFR\textsubscript{CKD-EPI} both in the CRT responders group and in the CRT non-responders group. This observation confirms the limited utility of sCR and eGFR\textsubscript{CKD-EPI} in the assessment of renal function in patients with CRS-T2 receiving resynchronization. Our observations are also confirmed by the other researchers [27, 28, 30, 31].
Therefore, considering the limitations of sCr and eGFR as biomarkers of renal function in people after CRT, we have explored new alternative biomarkers.

NGAL is one of the low molecular weight proteins (25 kDa) whose role in the assessment of renal function after cardiac resynchronization therapy was investigated in a population of patients with CRS-T2. It is a low molecular weight protein associated with human neutrophil gelatinase, whose urine assay is considered a useful indicator of the course of acute kidney injury in the prerenal mechanism [11]. The appearance of this protein in the urine of patients in the early stages of CKD, gives useful diagnostic information as to the sites and degree of injury to the renal interstitium [12]. In patients with CHF, increased uNGAL may be a marker of tubulo-interstitial injury and is associated with an increased risk of worsening renal function, increased hospitalization and overall mortality [33]. In addition, it was observed in a study by Damman et al., that in a group of patients with CHF, an increase in the NGAL-to-creatinine ratio in the urine was an indication of renal tubular injury [34]. In our study, it was observed that in the group of non-responder patients 3 months after CRT treatment, the concentration of NGAL in urine was significantly higher compared with concentrations before CRT. Hence, the increase in uNGAL should be considered as a biomarker of nonresponse to resynchronization 3 months after CRT therapy. The role of NGAL as an indicator of inflammation in the body should also be noted [32]. Studies by other scientists confirm the important role of NGAL in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and its associated inflammation [35, 36]. In contrast, slight inflammation is a component of the pathomechanism HF [37, 38]. Therefore, the increased concentration of NGAL in urine that we observed in our study in the group of CRT non-responders may be a sign of more intense systemic inflammation and tubulitis than that observed in the CRT responders group.

Another biomarker whose significant change we observed in the non-responders in our study was urine cystatin C. CysC is a low molecular weight (13-kDa) polypeptide. It is an endogenous inhibitor of cysteine proteinases, produced by all nuclear cells, that is released into the blood. Under normal physiological conditions, CysC is freely filtered from the blood into the primary urine through the glomeruli, then reabsorbed and catabolized by proximal tubules cells, and its concentration in blood serum is not dependent on age, gender, race or body weight. CysC plays the role of an early marker for both acute and chronic kidney injury [39, 40]. In the study by Menon et al., it was observed that in a population of patients with CKD, a higher concentration of serum CysC was associated with an increased risk of renal failure, and overall mortality from cardiovascular causes [41]. However, in the research of Dupont M. et al. [42] in a group of patients with CHF, the concentration of sCysC was strongly correlated with classic renal function biomarkers like creatinine and eGFR, and remained an independent predictor of serious adverse cardiovascular events. In our study, we did not observe changes in sCysC after CRT both in the whole group and in groups divided according to the response to CRT. However, we did observe a significant decrease in CysC in the urine of CRT non-responders. The relationship we observed was probably due to impaired free sCysC filtration in the glomerulus during the course of CRS-T2 in those patients whose myocardial function did not improve after CRT. Both CysC and albuminuria represent biomarkers of glomerular filtration barrier and its integrity in CRS. According to current recommendations, uAlb concentrations in the daily urine collection of less than 30 mg/dL, and an urinary albumin-to-creatinin
ratio (uACR) in the first morning portion of urine of less than 30 mg/g, indicate normal kidney function [43]. Based on 3 large studies conducted in a population of patients with HF, it is known that albuminuria has a strong prognostic value for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular death, and rehospitalization [44–46]. In our study, 3 months after CRT therapy, we observed a decrease in albuminuria in the entire group of CRT responders. This observation was probably the effect of a decrease in glomerular filtration pressure in those patients with an improvement cardiac function in CRT responders group. In nephrological practice, a decrease in albuminuria is an important treatment goal for most nephropathy, due to the proven relationship between albuminuria normalization and long-term improvement in renal function and reduced cardiovascular complications in nephrological patients [47]. Therefore, a significant decrease in uACR three months after CRT, seems to have important clinical significance and may be a useful prognostic tool for cardiologists and nephrologists treating patients with CRS-T2.

Lipocalin-type prostaglandin D2-synthase (PGD2S), also known as β-Trace protein (BTP), is another biomarker whose usefulness in assessing kidney function in patients 3 months after CRT. sPGD2S is a low molecular weight (23 to 29 kDa) glycoprotein that converts prostaglandin H2 to prostaglandin D2. The sPGD2S protein is unique among prostaglandins due to its presence in high concentrations in the mammalian brain. The serum gradient of sPGD2S concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid is 32:1 [40]. Its low molecular weight allows free filtration in the glomeruli, with minimal non-renal excretion and no secretion into the renal tubules. BTP is stable in urine for 24 hours regardless of urine pH [48]. In recent years, sPGD2S has proved to be a promising new endogenous, non-age, gender or ethnic origin glomerular filtration biomarker as an alternative to CysC. In addition to its role in assessing kidney function, sPGD2S is also a new biomarker for cardiovascular risk [49–51]. It was observed in the study by Manzano-Fernández S. et al. that sPGD2S predicts the risk of death and / or HF hospitalization and is superior to standard measures of renal function in patients treated with diuretics due to decompensated HF [52]. In the available literature, we did not find any studies that evaluated the usefulness of sPGD2S in assessing kidneys in response to CRT. In our study, sPGD2S proved to be a biomarker that correlated with classic renal function assessment biomarkers such as sCr and eGFRCKD–EPI. Also sPGD2S, with the highest statistical significance, positively correlated with clinical improvement, assessed on the NYHA scale, in patients 3 months after CRT.

Anemia is one of the more common associated diseases of HF. In the Study of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD), anemia occurred in 22% of patients with HF and contributed to the severity of HF symptoms [53]. The incidence of anemia increases with the worsening of cardiac failure symptoms from 9% in NYHA class I to 79% in NYHA class IV [54]. In a retrospective analysis of the SOLVD study results, low absolute hematocrit values in patients with HF were associated with increased mortality and a higher frequency of hospitalization [53]. Hence, in our study, the increased hematocrit observed after cardiac resynchronization therapy in our population would seem to be a good prognostic marker. This conclusion, however, requires confirmation over a longer follow-up period. Anemia pathomechanisms in patients with CHF include overhydration (which is also indirectly demonstrated by a decrease in hematocrit), deterioration of endocrine kidney function (reduced production of renin, increased production of
erythropoietin), and the presence of subclinical inflammation associated with CHF [55]. In our study, we observed a statistically significant increase in hemoglobin and erythrocyte counts in CRT respondents. The increase in Hb concentration and the RBC numbers indicate the "silencing" of many pathomechanisms of anemia in patients with improved cardiac performance after CRT. The simultaneous, statistically significant increase in HCT in the CRT responders group may indicate a lower fluid retention in patients with HF after CRT.

Despite some interesting observations and results, in our opinion, the current research work has its limitations. The limitations of the study is that the majority of the biomarkers tested were assessed using methods that could not be used in clinical conditions at the patient's bedside. In the future, it would be reasonable to assess the sensitivity and specificity of the biomarkers sPGD2S, uACR, uCysC, and uNGAL in a larger group of patients after cardiac resynchronization therapy, with a longer follow-up period, and an evaluation of the correlation of results with the frequency of rehospitalization and mortality.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this study is one of the few in the available literature assessing kidney function using several biomarkers associated pathophysiologically with the site of nephron injury in patients with CRS-T2 after cardiac resynchronization therapy. In our work, we have shown that the assessment of renal function in the studied population using classic biomarkers such as serum creatinine or glomerular filtration assessment using eGFR\textsubscript{CKD-EPI} does not allow the selection of a group of people who, as a consequence of improved cardiac function, actually improved their kidney function. Hence, we conclude that in patients with CRS-T2 undergoing resynchronization therapy, in order to select CRT responders, the following proteins should be tested: sPGD2S, uACR, uCysC, uNGAL, HCT, RBC and Hb. It would be advantageous to verify the key results of our study in a larger population of patients.
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Figure 1

Graph showing statistically significant changes in RBC (a), Hb (b), HCT (c) in patients before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT responders group (n=21). Also, in CRT responders group we observed significant decreases in both uACR and sPGD2S concentrations. The observed correlations are presented in Figure 2.
Figure 2

Graph showing statistically significant changes in uACR (a) and sPGD2S (b) before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT responders group (n=21). In addition, a statistically significant increase in uNGAL concentration and a significant decrease in uCysC concentration in CRT non-responders group 3 months after CRT was observed. The responders did not show any statistically significant changes in either urine biomarkers. The significant relationships observed in the CRT non-responders group are shown in Figure 3.
Graph showing statistically significant changes in uNGAL (a) and uCysC (b) before and 3 months after CRT in the CRT non-responders group (n = 12). At the same time, in both responders and nonresponders, no statistically significant changes in other biomarkers were observed.