White Matter Microstructural Similarity and Diversity of Functional Constipation and Constipation-predominant Irritable Bowel Syndrome
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Background/Aims
The Rome III criteria separated chronic constipation into functional constipation (FC) and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-C), but some researchers questioned the partitioning and treated both as distinct parts of a continuum. The study aims to explore the similarity and diversity of brain white matter between FC and IBS-C.

Methods
The voxel-wise analysis of the diffusion parameters was used to quantify the white matter changes of female brains in 18 FC patients and 20 IBS-C patients compared with a comparison group with 19 healthy controls by tract-based spatial statistics. The correlations between diffusive parameters and clinical symptoms were evaluated using a Pearson’s correlation.

Results
In comparison to healthy controls, FC patients showed a decrease of fractional anisotropy (FA) and an increase of radial diffusivity (RD) in multiple major fibers encompassing the corpus callosum (CC, \( P = 0.001 \) at peak), external capsule (\( P = 0.002 \) at peak), corona radiata (CR, \( P = 0.001 \) at peak), and superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF, \( P = 0.002 \) at peak). In contrast, IBS-C patients showed FA and RD aberrations in the CC (\( P = 0.048 \) at peak). Moreover, the direct comparison between FC and IBS-C showed only RD differences in the CR and SLF. In addition, FA and RD in the CC were significantly associated with abdominal pain for all patients, whereas FA in CR (\( P = 0.016 \)) and SLF (\( P = 0.040 \)) were significantly associated with the length of time per attempt and incomplete evacuation separately for FC patients.

Conclusion
These results may improve our understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying different types of constipation. (J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2018;24:107-118)
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Introduction

Chronic constipation is a common clinical syndrome characterized by recurrent symptoms including reduced frequency of defecation, lumpy or hard stools, feeling of incomplete defecation, straining, obstructed defecation, and need for digital facilitation of defecation. Along with the change of lifestyle, global prevalence of chronic constipation showed an increasing trend year by year. Constipation patients often experience impaired quality of life and increased absences from work, which cause a heavy burden on patients, physicians, and the healthcare system. Despite different therapies for chronic constipation, multiple patients are dissatisfied with the therapeutic effects. The current results are attributed to unclear standards and pathophysiology behind chronic constipation. Over the years, the Rome committee has been working to standardize the definition of constipation. In the most recent Rome III criteria, they separated chronic constipation into functional constipation (FC) and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-C). Some authors questioned the partitioning and treated the FC and IBS-C as distinct parts of a continuum, with differences based only on symptom severity. Nevertheless, differential responses to treatment seem to suggest that FC and IBS-C may be different disorders. Whether being different points of the same disorders or distinct disorders, it is of great importance in illustrating pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the discrimination between FC and IBS-C. However, the data supporting different etiologies between FC and IBS-C are scant.

A significant number of published studies have attributed the symptoms of functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) to dysregulation of the brain-gut axis (BGA) that reflects a framework account for bidirectional interactions between the central nervous system (CNS), autonomic nervous system (ANS), and enteric nervous system (ENS). Within the framework, the CNS integrates communication within the BGA, thereby enabling the CNS to regulate the ENS via sympathetic and parasympathetic pathways. The ENS in turn works through local excitatory and inhibitory motor neurons that release neurotransmitters. As 2 types of FGIDs, FC, and IBS-C have also been demonstrated to be associated with BGA disorders, in which the brain neural system was reported to have momentous functions. Therefore, CNS studies could make it easier to understand the differentiation between FC and IBS-C.

Recently, the rapid advancement of neuroimaging techniques made it convenient to investigate CNS mechanisms underlying various diseases including IBS and FC. Weaver et al summarized 27 recent studies that used neuroimaging techniques to explore etiologies of IBS patients, primarily including resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI), [17-20] MRI during rectal distension, structural MRI, and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Across rs-fMRI studies, consistency was observed in the insula with increased patterns. Brain response to rectal distension revealed that the most consistently activated regions were in the insula, anterior cingulate cortex, amygdala, middle cingulate cortex and prefrontal cortex. Structural MRI investigations reported the most consistent results with a reduction of the cortical thickness in the anterior insula and an increase of cortical thickness/grey matter volume in the postcentral gyrus. With regard to DTI investigations, only 3 works focused on IBS and reported inconsistent results. Chen et al extracted regions of interest (ROIs) based on fMRI studies and used DTI to detect the fractional anisotropy (FA) values of the ROIs. They found higher FA in both the fornix and external capsule (EC), providing preliminary evidence for white matter (WM) alterations in IBS patients. Ellingson et al investigated WM regions that were segmented using an FA threshold of 0.3 and performed probabilistic tractography based on targeted ROIs. The results exhibited lower FA in the basal ganglia, thalamic regions and sensory/motor association/integration regions as well as higher FA in the frontal lobe regions and corpus callosum (CC) in IBS patients. Moreover, patients had higher mean diffusivity (MD) in the thalamus, internal capsule (IC), and corona radiata (CR) as well as lower MD within the globus pallidus. The above both studies about white matter had arbitrariness and subjectivity in the choice of ROIs. Fang et al investigated voxel-wise WM changes in IBS patients, which overcame limitations encountered with the ROI-based method. They found that patients had significantly reduced FA in the right superior CR, the splenium of the CC and the right retrolenticular area of the IC as well as increased MD in the right posterior limb and retrolenticular area of the IC, the right superior CR and the splenium and body of the CC. In addition, they also found significantly increased axial diffusivity (AD) in the bilateral retrolenticular area of the IC, the left posterior limb of the IC and the splenium of the CC. As compared to IBS, there is a small number of brain imaging studies on FC. As far as we know, only one study investigated the neural mechanism underlying FC. Zhu et al adopted rs-fMRI in conjunction with the amplitude of low frequency fluctuation analysis and Granger causality analysis to investigate the alterations of basal brain activity in FC patients. They found significant differences in a number of major brain regions implicated in somatic and sensory processing, and emotional...
modulation. The functional abnormalities at the basal level revealed that the brain-gut dysfunction may also impact FC. However, existing studies are still far from explaining the differences between FC and IBS-C, which may lead to not specifically targeting patients with different types of constipation.

In this study, DTI was utilized to obtain quantitative maps of diffusion parameters such as FA, radial diffusivity (RD), AD, and MD, and then assess the WM microstructural organization and integrity of the brain. The aim of the study is to investigate the similarity and diversity between 2 types of constipation (FC and IBS-C). The investigation will improve our understanding of the pathological mechanism underlying chronic constipation.

Materials and Methods

Prior to scanning, subjects provided written informed consent approved by the Institutional Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of the Medical College in Xi'an Jiaotong University (2013KL011).

Subjects

Since the female gender and younger age are risk factors for IBS, we recruited women as constipation patients and healthy controls (HC) in the age range of 18 to 30 years. A digestive clinician enlisted and interviewed constipation volunteers and non-constipation controls according to the criteria of chronic constipation without organic abnormalities. After the first culling, the involved subjects were re-evaluated.

Patients who stayed behind met the IBS-C and FC screening guidelines of the Rome III diagnostic criteria.1 IBS-C is diagnosed when defecation can relieve abdominal pain or discomfort, whereas the diagnosis of FC is made only if chronically constipated patients do not meet IBS criteria. Exclusion criteria were: (1) a history of drug abuse; (2) a history of loss of consciousness caused by various reasons; (3) gastric atrophy or ulcers; (4) erosive gastroduodenal lesions; (5) esophagitis; (6) neurological or psychiatric disorders; (7) respiratory, cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic diseases; (8) lactating or pregnant women; and (9) contraindication to MRI scanning. Of note, according to the Rome III diagnostic criteria, the 2 types of patients were mutually exclusive, and thus we excluded subjects that could not be diagnosed with FC or IBS-C deterministically. To avoid the effects of drugs, we also excluded those under active medical care for gastrointestinal complaints or taking regular medication.

Clinical Questionnaires

All participants completed the commonly used measures for constipated symptoms, simplified Constipation Scoring System (CSS), an 8-item inventory including painful evacuation, abdominal pain, incomplete evacuation, frequency of bowel movements, assistance for evacuation, unsuccessful attempts for evacuation per 24 hours, length of time per attempt, and duration of constipation.40 Each item is graded on a scoring range of 0 to 4 except for the item “assistance for defecation” (range from 0 to 2). Therefore, the range of the total score is 0 to 30.

The quality of life was measured by the Short-Form Health Survey with 8 dimensions including 4 physical subscales (physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, and general health), and 4 psychological subscales (vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health).41 Two higher-order summary scores can be computed based on the appropriate weighted sum of the above subscale scores: mental component summary (MCS) and physical component summary (PCS). MCS is obtained by positively weighing each of the psychological subscales and negatively weighing each of the physical subscales. Conversely, PCS is calculated by positively weighing each of the physical subscales and negatively weighing each of the psychological subscales.

Anxiety and depression were measured using the 20-item self-rating anxiety scale (SAS)42 and self-rating depression scale (SDS)43 separately (scores ranging on a scale from 1-4 for each item). Their total scores were in the range of 20-80, normalized to the standard scores by multiplying them by 1.25. Therefore, the scores of SAS and SDS ranged from 25-100.

The scores of all questionnaires were from the average of 3 time points: the day of screening, a day before MRI scanning, and the day of MRI scanning. Good reliability and validity of these questionnaires for the Chinese population have been confirmed by Cronbach’s alpha coefficients, test-retest reliability and Pearson’s correlation coefficient.44-46

Imaging Acquisition

We implemented the experiment based upon a 3-T Signa GE MRI scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA), and did not conduct scanning during the menstrual period to avoid experimental variation of the results. The experiment collected diffusion-weighted images (single-shot echo planar sequence) with 30 equidistant diffusion sensitizing directions (b = 1000 sec/mm²) and a single b = 0 sec/mm² image with the following parameters: repetition time/echo time = 6800 msec/93 msec; field of view =
240 mm; matrix = 128 × 128; and 45 slices with a 3 mm thickness and no gap. Diffusion-weighted images were collected again using the same acquisition scheme with the same parameters.

**Statistical Methods**

After averaging 2 acquisition images, the affine transformation with 12-degrees of freedom was utilized to perform the correction for eddy currents and head motion using FMRIB software library (FSL; FMRIB, Oxford, UK) (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/). Subsequently, voxel-wise WM analyses were performed using the Track-based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) package (FMRIB) (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/tbss/index.html). Briefly, the corrected volume was employed to construct the voxel-wise diffusion tensor. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors were computed from the constructed 3 × 3 diffusion tensor matrix followed by the acquisition of FA, MD, RD, and AD. Next, each subject's FA images underwent linear affine transformation and then elastic registration to align to the JHU ICBM-DTI-81 FA atlas in FSL. The aligned FA images for all subjects were averaged and then thinned to construct a skeletonized image of WM using an FA threshold of 0.2. Next, the linear and then the non-linear aligned FA image of each subject was projected onto the skeletonized image. In addition, the linear and then the nonlinear transformation matrices were also applied to the other metrics (MD, RD, and AD). Once voxel-wise MD, RD, and AD maps were aligned to the same atlas, these non-FA parameters were also projected onto the FA skeletonized image. Finally, group differences for FA, MD, RD, and AD maps were explored using non-parametric permutation testing based upon a voxel-wise t test with 5000 random permutations using the randomize function. Threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) was performed based on the statistical results for the multiple comparison correction. Significantly different clusters (P < 0.05 after the correction of threshold-free cluster enhancement) were enlarged using the tbs_fill function and were superimposed on the ICBM-DTI-81 WM atlas for visualization. ROIs were created from the WM intergroup differences between HC and patients with constipation in diffusivity parameters. Pearson's correlation analyses were utilized to assess the relationships between mean DTI measurements for ROIs and the subscales of CSS, PCS, MCS, SAS, and SDS.

**Table. Demographic Statistics of Study Samples**

| Items                        | Subscales | HC (n = 19) | FC (n = 18) | IBS-C (n = 20) | P-value |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                     | -         | 22.74 ± 1.19 | 21.11 ± 1.28 | 21.9 ± 1.41   | > 0.05  |
| Weight (kg)                  | -         | 53 ± 7.29   | 50.39 ± 6.16 | 50.95 ± 4.22  | > 0.05  |
| Height (cm)                  | -         | 161.16 ± 6.56 | 158.89 ± 4.8  | 157.5 ± 4.95  | > 0.05  |
| Duration (mo)                | -         | 61.83 ± 36.28 | 66.1 ± 27.68  | > 0.05  |
| CSS Frequency of bowel movements | - | 0.67 ± 0.59 | 1 ± 0.73 | > 0.05 |
| Painful evacuation           | -         | 3.22 ± 0.65 | 3.3 ± 0.66 | > 0.05 |
| Incomplete evacuation        | -         | 2.89 ± 0.76 | 3.3 ± 0.73 | > 0.05 |
| Abdominal pain               | -         | 1.56 ± 0.51 | 2.7 ± 0.67 | < 0.0001\(^a\) |
| Length of time per attempt   | -         | 1.83 ± 0.92 | 2.2 ± 1.20 | > 0.05 |
| Assistance for defecation    | -         | 0.22 ± 0.43 | 0.35 ± 0.49 | > 0.05 |
| Unsuccessful attempts for evacuation per 24 hours | - | 0.83 ± 0.38 | 0.95 ± 0.51 | > 0.05 |
| Duration of constipation     | -         | 1.44 ± 0.70 | 1.75 ± 0.64 | > 0.05 |
| Total score                  | -         | 12.67 ± 2.45 | 15.55 ± 3.03 | < 0.005\(^a\) |
| SF-36 PCS                    | -         | 57.21 ± 1.73 | 56.53 ± 3.83 | 55.11 ± 5.22 | > 0.05 |
| SF-36 MCS                    | -         | 55.9 ± 2.99 | 44.68 ± 9.08 | 44.20 ± 11.04 | < 0.0001\(^bc\) |
| SAS                          | -         | 29.54 ± 4.13 | 37.71 ± 5.85 | 40.88 ± 6.13 | < 0.0001\(^bc\) |
| SDS                          | -         | 27.89 ± 4.02 | 41.04 ± 7.22 | 39.81 ± 7.61 | < 0.0001\(^bc\) |

\(^a\)Indicates the presence of a significant difference between functional constipation (FC) and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-C) at a given threshold.

\(^b\)Indicates the presence of a significant difference between healthy controls (HC) and FC at a given threshold.

\(^c\)Indicates the presence of a significant difference between HC and IBS-C at a given threshold.

CSS, Constipation Scoring System; SF-36, Short-Form Health Survey; SAS, self-rating anxiety scale; SDS, self-rating depression scale; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary.

Data are presented as mean ± SD.
Results

Clinical Results

The study included 18 FC patients, 20 IBS-C patients, and a comparison group of 19 HC (all women). The 3 groups (all female) did not differ in terms of age, weight, and height. Omnibus results showed highly significant differences among groups (F > 11) for CSS ($P = 4.549 \times 10^{-25}$), MCS ($P = 6.361 \times 10^{-5}$), SAS ($P = 8.919 \times 10^{-8}$), and SDS ($P = 1.229 \times 10^{-8}$). Post hoc comparisons for group differences survived after correction for multiple comparisons. The detailed information with demographic statistics and clinical characteristics is shown in Table.

For the 2 patient groups, only abdominal pain (subscale in CSS) and CSS showed significant group differences. In particular, the severity of abdominal pain and discomfort that the IBS-C patients experienced far exceeded that the FC patients experienced ($T = -5.944, P = 8.264 \times 10^{-7}$). However, we did not find significantly different mental or psychological health states between the FC group and IBS-C group ($P = 0.883$ for MCS; $P = 0.113$ for SAS; $P = 0.614$ for SDS).

Imaging Results

Patients versus healthy controls

In comparison to HC, constipated patients showed changes of the diffusivity measurements in FA, MD, and RD in nearly all major WM tracts (Fig. 1A–C). No significant group differences in AD were observed at the $P < 0.05$ level after TFCE correction. The overlapping regions of the 3 indices for intergroup differences were located in the genu of the CC ($P = 0.048$ for FA; $P = 0.022$ for MD; $P = 0.028$ for RD), EC ($P = 0.046$ for FA; $P = 0.020$ for MD; $P = 0.012$ for RD), CR ($P = 0.036$ for FA; $P = 0.022$ for MD; $P = 0.010$ for RD), and superior longitudinal fasciculus ($SLF, P = 0.035$ for FA; $P = 0.016$ for MD; $P = 0.008$ for RD) (Fig. 1D).

Healthy controls versus functional constipation and healthy controls versus constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome

After dividing patients into the FC and IBS-C group, they
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Figure 1. Changes in white matter parameters in constipated patients (PAT) compared with healthy controls (HC). (A) Changes of fractional anisotropy (FA) (the first column). (B) Changes of mean diffusivity (MD) (the second column). (C) Changes of radial diffusivity (RD) (the third column). (D) The overlap of the difference in FA, MD, and RD for patients vs HC. CC, corpus callosum; EC, external capsule; CR, corona radiata; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus.
were compared separately with the HC group. With respect to FC patients, FA values were significantly reduced in numerous clusters encompassing the bundles of CC ($P = 0.008$ at peak), which connected interhemispheric cortices; the CR ($P = 0.018$ at peak) and SLF ($P = 0.014$ at peak), which subserved the fronto-temporal-parietal-occipital connectivity; and the EC ($P = 0.010$ at peak) adjacent to the insula and the orbital part of the frontal gyrus (Fig. 2A). IBS-C patients exhibited a reduction of FA values only in the genu of the CC ($P = 0.049$ at peak) that subserved the interhemispheric interaction (Fig. 2B).

Similar to FA, FC patients exhibited RD increases (Fig. 2A) in numerous clusters ($P = 0.001$ for CC; $P = 0.001$ for CR; $P = 0.002$ for SLF; $P = 0.002$ for EC), whereas IBS patients exhibited RD increases (Fig. 2B) only in the genu of the CC ($P = 0.048$ at peak). The statistically altered regions in FC patients and those in IBS-C patients overlapped at the genu of the CC (Fig. 2C).

In contrast to FA and RD, significant clusters of increased MD occurred only in the FC group, but the IBS-C group tended to have increased MD than the HC group without significance after correction. Therefore, Figure 3 showed the overlapping regions of 3 parameters (FA, MD, and RD) for the HC group versus FC group (Fig. 3A) and the overlapping clusters of only 2 parameters (FA and RD) for the HC group versus IBS-C group (Fig. 3B).

**Functional constipation versus constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome**

We also tested group differences between the FC and IBS-C in FA, AD, MD, and RD. Results showed the significant differences only in RD, and FC patients had a greater RD than IBS-C patients in the CR and SLF (Fig. 3C).

---
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**Figure 2.** Changes of white matter in functional constipation (FC) and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-C) patients compared with healthy controls (HC). (A) FC vs HC (the first row). (B) IBS-C vs HC (the second row). (C) Overlap of FC vs HC and IBS-C vs HC. (D) Correlation between diffusivity parameters in the overlapping region (corpus callosum [CC]) and clinical symptoms. FA, fractional anisotropy; MD, mean diffusivity; RD, radial diffusivity.
Correlation Analysis

The mean FA in the CC that showed a significant decrease in both groups of patients relative to HC (Fig. 2C) was inversely correlated with the abdominal pain score of the CSS ($r = -0.430, P = 0.007$), as shown in Figure 2D. The voxel-wise average of the RD that showed a significant increase in both groups of patients relative to HC (Fig. 2C) positively correlated with the abdominal pain score ($r = 0.396, P = 0.013$) for all constipated patients (Fig. 2D).

Additionally, significant correlations were examined between imaging measurements (especially FA) in significantly different clusters (FC vs HC or IBS vs HC) and clinical variables. Consequently, we found an adversely proportional relationship ($P = 0.016$) between FA in the CR and the length of time per attempt and a positive correlation ($P = 0.040$) between FA in the SLF and feeling of incomplete evacuation for the FC group (Fig. 4A and 4B). Nevertheless, no significant correlation was found in the IBS-C group.

Discussion

The present study aimed to investigate the similarity and diversity of female FC patients and female IBS-C patients. Primary results showed similar microstructural abnormalities in the genu of the CC for both groups with patients. Moreover, FC patients had more regions with microstructural abnormalities than IBS-C patients.

In the current study, we found reduced FA as well as increased MD and RD in patients as compared with HC. FA is a measure of the anisotropic component of the diffusion tensor and evaluates...
the degree to which diffusion prefers some direction over others. In WM, anisotropic diffusion is considered to be mainly caused by barriers imposed by intact membranes, and myelination could also modulate it. This is often interpreted to be a measure of WM integrity. It has been reported that a lower FA could usually be attributed to 3 possibilities: axonal injury, hypomyelination, and both comprehensive reviews.\textsuperscript{6,49} MD represents the degree of diffusion but not the direction of diffusion, and it can reflect alterations in cell membranes and myelin integrity. Lower FA with higher MD of WM in the current results exist in the vast majority of neuropathologies. However, FA and MD are insufficient because they could not reflect specific reasons for damage to WM integrity (aberrant myelination, or altered axon density or diameter). AD and RD may provide further insight into these changes to some extent. AD represents the direction of axial diffusion, whereas RD represents the direction of radial diffusion. The former reflects the density and diameter of axons, while the latter is sensitive to the degree of myelination. In our study, there was no significant difference in AD of any WM tracts, but RD increased in the WM tracts along with a decrease in FA. These results might indicate hypomyelination and demyelination rather than changes in the axonal diameters or density, although axonal injury also influences RD. It might represent a decreased number of myelination fibers or a decreased ratio between longitudinal vs oblique aligned myelinated fibers.\textsuperscript{50,51}

When exploring the central similarity of FC and IBS-C, the genu of the CC was found to be abnormal in both patient groups. Moreover, FA in the CC showed a negative association with abdominal pain or discomfort intensity, whereas RD in the CC positively correlated with pain or discomfort intensity. The results suggested that the CC disorder was associated with pain perception. The CC, as the largest fiber tract in the human brain, connects the 2 hemispheres of the brain. The main interhemisphere commissure is required for many aspects of neural function, encompassing inhibition of cortical functions, integration of sensory information, regulation of cognition, processing of emotion, and interhemispheric exchange of information.\textsuperscript{12,13} Multiple studies reported CC dysfunction in patients with chronic pain, such as fibromyalgia,\textsuperscript{14} migraine,\textsuperscript{15} and temporomandibular disorder,\textsuperscript{16} supporting the indicative role of the CC in pain perception and pain control.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore, we reasoned that aberrations in the WM integrity in the CC may cause the reduction of obstruction to water diffusion and reduced anisotropy, which can be responsible for the perceptual intensity of abdominal discomfort and pain in FC and IBS-C patients.

When considering the diversity, no symptoms except abdominal pain intensity and CSS were found to be significantly different for both patient groups. As such, if they are two points of the same spectrum, owing to higher pain intensity and higher constipation score in IBS-C than in FC patients, IBS-C patients should have more regions or higher intensity in central abnormalities than FC patients. Amazingly, our finding was actually the opposite, and the FC exhibited far more regions in the central changes than IBS-C, especially in the CR and SLF (significantly different for FC vs IBS-C). CR includes projection fibers associated with the corticopontine tract, corticospinal tract and corticobulbar tract, which can radiate out from the cerebral cortex and then converge in the brainstem.\textsuperscript{18} Moreover, Lim et al reported that the colon transit time was delayed in patients with pontine lesions (a part of the brainstem).\textsuperscript{18} Therefore, we suspected that the aberrations in the CR associated with the corticopontine tract may be linked with CNS dysregulation of colon transit time in constipated patients. By comparing the colonic transit time of FC and IBS-C, Ansari et al found that whole-gut transit time and rectosigmoid transit time tended to be slower in individuals with FC compared to individuals with IBS-C,\textsuperscript{19} which may explain why aberrations in the CR occurred in the FC group but not in the IBS-C groups. As a major association fiber, SLF connects the frontal lobe with the parietal lobe and temporoparietal junction area involving the transmission of somatosensory information, regulation of motor behavior and contribution to the corticocerebellar system.\textsuperscript{20} In the current results, we found that FA in the SLF was significantly associated with the incomplete defecation score that measures a feeling related to abnormal perception and/or failure of evacuation. In an earlier study, the “visceral motor” cortex was found to largely overlap the source of the fiber pathway from the medial part of the frontal cortex to the nucleus of the solitary tract.\textsuperscript{21} This finding provided earlier evidence of a CNS pathway concerning gastric motility consistent with our speculation about CR associated with regulation of colon transit and SLF associated with perception and motor behavior. Therefore, the current result about differentiation in the CR and SLF between the FC group and IBS-C group is likely relevant to the delay of gastrointestinal transit time, aberration of abdominal perception, and descent of stomach motility in FC patients. Although the precise relationship between CNS aberrations and gastrointestinal transit time and motility in the FC group cannot be determined by this study without...
physiological tests, the current results about correlations (FA of the CR and the length of time per attempt; FA of the SLF and incomplete defecation) supports the above speculation. The speculation was also supported by the results reviewed by Siah et al\(^{39}\) that the pathophysiological mechanisms may distinguish FC from IBS including delayed colonic transit time.

Additionally, the importance of psychological and psychiatric factors should not be ignored. In the present study, we also detected differences in WM parameters after inclusion of SAS and SDS as covariates. Results were not changed significantly after controlling for SAS and SDS. Moreover, we failed to find a linear correlation between the SASS/DS and DTI measures (in the CC: SAS-FA: \( r = -0.175, P = 0.293; \) SAS-RD: \( r = 0.104, P = 0.533; \) SDS-FA: \( r = -0.159, P = 0.342; \) SDS-RD: \( r = 0.186, P = 0.264 \)). The above results seem to suggest that the observed WM differences were driven by gastrointestinal symptoms as opposed to psychological factors. To test this further, we performed a partial correlation between DTI parameters and gastrointestinal symptoms, and found a decrease in the linear correlation (FA-abdominal pain in the CC: \( r = -0.398, P = 0.016; \) RD-abdominal pain in the CC: \( r = 0.388, P = 0.019 \)) after controlling for SAS and SDS (Fig. 2D for the results before controlling for SAS and SDS). Moreover, the anxiety score (SAS) and abdominal pain score had a significant association \( (r = 0.335, P = 0.039) \) based on the clinical data. These indicated that the influences of psychological factors on WM alterations may be reflected in the gastrointestinal symptoms. In the Chinese norm,\(^{63,64}\) SAS less than 50 and SDS less than 53 are considered to be in the normal range. In the current study, although patients had a significantly higher SAS and SDS than controls, only 2 subjects exhibited anxiety and 5 subjects exhibited depression. This may be a reason why we failed to detect the influences of psychiatric factors on WM alterations. Therefore, we suspected that the observed WM results may include an additive or synergistic relationship of psychological factors, which may be more much obvious over time.

Interestingly, the study by Fang et al\(^{39}\) examined WM abnormalities in IBS patients and found that these patients had significantly reduced FA and increased MD in the IC and CR as well as increased AD in the IC and CC, which were completely different from our results. Clinically, this discrepancy may result from patients' conditions including age, gender, duration, type, anxiety/depression symptoms, and gastrointestinal symptoms because of the consistent SPM analysis. To clarify the reason, we evaluated the clinical differences of subjects in the 2 studies. Because of limited clinical information from the study by Fang et al,\(^{39}\) we could compare the subjects' conditions only from the gender distribution, age and duration. There is no doubt that the gender distribution of participants was completely different in the two studies. More than half of the subjects were male in the study by Fang et al,\(^{39}\) while all subjects were female in the present study. Moreover, the subjects were noticeably older in the study by Fang et al\(^{39}\) than those in the current study. More importantly, all IBS patients from the present study belonged to constipation-predominant IBS, which was not introduced in the study by Fang et al.\(^{39}\) Therefore, we must interpret this with caution because of the lack of information, including anxiety, depression, severity of gastrointestinal symptoms and subtype of disease. We believe that the inconsistent results may be related to, but not restricted to the above items. Over the past decades, various theories have been used to explain the pathological mechanism underlying IBS, such as psychological dysfunction,\(^{65}\) visceral hypersensitivity\(^{66}\) and dysmotility,\(^{67}\) each of which is entwined with the nervous system. Given these factors, more well-thought-out studies are needed.

Several limitations should be noted in this study. First, the study contained a relatively small sample size, although group differences were detected at a relatively stringent threshold. The results need to be replicated in a large sample. Second, the research focused on gender- and age-specific subjects (females and 18-30 years old), thus the role of age and gender on WM microstructure in constipated patients is still an open question. While the sample size was underpowered to explore the central development with age, future research will aim to probe whether older patients show similar FA and RD alterations as those observed here. Finally, although the clinician involved in the work diagnosed subjects as FC, IBS-C or HC, FC, and IBS-C may transmute into each other according to a prior follow-up study.\(^{39}\) It appears likely that FC and IBS-C could not be reliably differentiated in a long-term relationship. Future ongoing efforts on follow-up studies with large samples of participants are warranted to reveal WM mechanisms underlying constipated patients in more detail.

In conclusion, on one hand, we observed a central similarity between FC and IBS-C for WM changes compared with HC, thus illustrating a possible mechanism of an overlap in constipation symptoms. On the other hand, although there were more serious symptoms in IBS-C patients than in FC patients, we found more central abnormalities in FC patients than in IBS-C patients, revealing the central diversity of both. These results seem to support that FC and IBS-C may have different pathophysiology, which may boost pathophysiological understanding of patients with chronic constipation. If confirmed and extended by physiological tests and animal experiments, this may guide clinical practice for screening
and intervention.
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