Evaluation of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria on the management of Fusarium ear rot of maize caused by *Fusarium verticillioides*
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**ABSTRACT:** *Fusarium verticillioides* is an insidious fungal pathogen of maize associated with diseases such as ear rot and kernel rot. It attacks the phyllosphere region of the maize plant especially on inflorescence and cobs. Thus, this study was conducted to isolate potential phyllosphere colonizing antagonistic microbes for the management of Fusarium ear rot. Four phyllosphere colonizing antagonistic bacteria were found to be effective in suppression of the growth of *Fusarium verticillioides*. Based on 16S rDNA analysis, these bacterial isolates were identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolate 1, *P. aeruginosa* isolate 2, *Bacillus subtilis* isolate and *B. amyloliquefaciens*. Among these four phyllosphere bacteria tested against *F. verticillioides*, the maximum inhibition of mycelial growth of *F. verticillioides* and production of volatile compounds was exhibited by *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1. Application of *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1, as seed treatment @ 10 g/kg of seeds, soil application @ 5 g/pot and foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent, recorded the minimum PDI with the maximum disease reduction over control. Thus, the present study showed that *P. aeruginosa* isolated from agricultural ecosystem could be a potential phyllosphere antagonistic bacterium for the management of maize ear rot disease.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Maize crop is subjected to attack by several fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens. Among many fungi, *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* are frequently reported as mycotoxigenic fungal genera. Among the several toxigenic fungi, *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenberg (Teleomorph: Gibberella moniliforme Wineland) causes the ear and kernel rot disease in maize. *F. verticillioides* is more common in regions with hot and dry weather and infection especially occurs mainly during pollination (Reid et al., 1999). The disease symptoms appear on kernels as moldy growth which may be initially white, pink or salmon colored. Later the infected kernels may turn to tan or brown in color (Payne et al., 1999). Presently, attempts on management of plant diseases are focused on the biological control method as an alternative method to chemical management due to the residual effect, environmental pollution and health hazards. Several biocontrol agents were isolated from rhizosphere and evaluated for the management of soil borne fungal and bacterial pathogens. But, their use on the management of foliar diseases was not successful due to their inability to survive on the phyllosphere region because of erratic atmospheric temperature variation, exposure to direct sunlight, UV irradiation and low availability of moisture and nutrient in the phyllosphere region (Vorholt, 2012). These attributes led to focus on finding the phyllosphere colonizing anti-microbial agent for the control of foliar/phyllosphere pathogens (Innerebner et al., 2011). *Fusarium verticillioides* causes infection mainly on aerial parts of the plant (tassels and cobs). Thus, it would be wise to find phyllosphere colonizing antagonistic microbes that could better survive in the dynamic atmospheric conditions of phyllosphere region compared to the rhizosphere region for the management of this disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation and identification of pathogen**

The freshly infected maize cobs showing typical symptoms of *Fusarium* ear rot disease were collected from Thoothukudi district of Tamil Nadu, India. The surface sterilized kernel bits were placed on Petridish containing potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) and incubated at 25 ± 2°C for 5 days. The growing fungal colony of each kernel bit was sub cultured and purified by single hyphal tip method (Tutte, 1969). Different isolates of *Fusarium* spp. were characterized and virulent
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Isolation of phyllosphere colonizing bacteria

The healthy leaves from different plants were collected and washed with 100 ml of sterile water containing 0.01% Tween 20. The potential antagonistic phylloplane microbes were screened directly by co-cultivating 100 μl of conidial suspension of *F. verticillioides* (1x 10⁵ conidia/ml) and 1 ml of phyllosphere microbial suspension mixture in the PDA medium (Andrew et al., 2002). The medium was mixed with the conidial + phyllosphere microbial suspension thoroughly and incubated at 25°C for 2-3 days. The microbial colony that showed clear inhibition zone around its colony was picked and used for further study.

Biochemical and molecular characterization of phyllosphere antagonists

The array of biochemical test has been performed to characterize the bacterial antagonists viz., gram staining (Gerhardt et al., 1981), KOH test (Suslow et al., 1982), pigment production (Schaad, 1992), growth at 4°C and 45°C; starch hydrolysis (Seely and Vandemark, 1981), hydrogen cyanide production (Lorck, 1948) and siderophore production assay (Schwyn and Neilands, 1987). The genomic DNA from potential antagonistic bacterial isolates were isolated using the standard protocol of Cetyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) method. The molecular confirmation of the bacterial strains was done through amplification of 16S rDNA intervening sequence by PCR using specific 16S rRNA region primers viz., 27f - 5' AGAGTTTGATCTGGCTCAG 3'; 1115r - 5' AGGAGGTGCTTCGGTGGCAG 3'; 1525f - 5' AAGGGTTGCGCTCGTTG 3'; and 600r - 5' TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG 3'.

Phylogenetic analysis was carried out using MEGA 6 software by comparing the 16S-rDNA sequence. Based on the 16S-rDNA analysis in BLAST search program, other closely related *Pseudomonas* spp., viz., *P. aeruginosa*, *P. syringae*, *P. fluorescens*, *P. putida*, and *P. stutzeri*, were selected for phylogenetic study with *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1.6S - rDNA-sequence data were obtained from the GenBank and their GenBank ID numbers were indicated in Fig. 2C. The 16S – rDNA sequences of each species were aligned by Clustal W program. Phylogeny analysis was done by neighbour-joining method.

**In vitro evaluation of phyllosphere bacteria against *Fusarium verticillioides***

The antagonistic effect against the pathogen was tested by dual culture technique by placing 9 mm of Fusarium fungal disc at one end and streaking loopful of the actively growing culture of the test bacterium at the other end (Dennis and Webster, 1971). The efficacies of phyllosphere bacterial antagonist were compared with the *P. fluorescens* (Pf 1) and *B. subtilis* (Bs2) obtained from Department of Plant Pathology, TNAU, Coimbatore. The growth inhibition per cent was also calculated using the following formula.

\[
\text{PI} = \frac{\text{Dt} - \text{Dc}}{\text{Dc}} \times 100
\]

Dc=Average diameter of fungal growth (cm) in control; Dt=Average diameter of fungal growth (cm) in treatment; PI=Per cent inhibition over control

**Effect of volatile compounds of the antagonistic bacteria against *Fusarium verticillioides***

Nutrient Agar (NA) medium and PDA medium were prepared in separate conical flask about 100 ml. The media were sterilized and allowed to cool to bearable level and 20 ml of the medium was poured into sterilized Petri plates (90 mm) separately and allowed to solidify. On NA medium plate, the actively growing antagonistic bacteria were streaked. On PDA medium plate, *F. verticillioides* was inoculated at the centre of the plate by placing a nine mm actively growing culture disc cut from five day old culture of *F. verticillioides* by means of a sterilized cork borer. The two bottom plates viz., NA medium plate and PDA medium plate were closed together wrapped with parafilm completely. Control plate was kept with non-streaked NA medium plate. The plates were incubated at 28°C. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The radial growth of the mycelium was measured when the mycelial growth covered the Petri plates in control.

**Effect of bacterial antagonists on maize ear rot disease under pot culture conditions***

Pot culture experiment was conducted on the management of ear rot disease using effective *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. with maize variety COH (M) 6. The seeds were treated with talc formulation of bacterial antagonists at the rate of 10 g/kg of seed at the time of sowing. Soil application of bacterial antagonists was done at the rate of 5g/pot by thoroughly mixing with well decomposed FYM and vermicompost was applied 30 days after transplanting (Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan, 1995). Foliar application of bacterial antagonists was given at 0.2 per cent on 45 and 60 days after transplanting. The plants were inoculated at flowering stage (at the time of silk formation) with the conidial suspension of *F. verticillioides*. The observation on germination per cent was made 20 days after sowing and PDI
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For the field study, the seeds were sown in the field in the recommended spacing (60 x 25 cm) and fertilizer dosage (250:75:75 kg of NPK/ha). Seed treatment at 10g/kg of seed at the time of sowing, soil application at 2.5 kg/ha at 30 days after sowing and foliar application at 0.2% talc formulation during boot leaf, anthesis and milky stage were applied. The observation was made on germination per cent at 20 days after sowing and PDI was calculated at harvest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation and identification of *Fusarium verticillioides*

Three different isolates of *Fusarium* spp. viz. *Fusarium* sp. KS2, *Fusarium* sp. KS3 and *Fusarium* sp. KS4 were isolated from the infected corn cobs and they were identified at genus level as *Fusarium* based on production of microconidia and macroconidia. Microscopic observation of conidial suspension produced on carboxymethylcellulose medium revealed that the pathogen typically produced three celled macroconidia and one celled micro conidia. This showed that these three isolates of pathogen belong to *Fusarium* genus. Among these three isolates, *Fusarium* sp. isolate KS4 grew vigorously and caused the maximum PDI in pathogenicity study (data not shown). To identify the fungus at species level, DNA from *Fusarium* sp KS4 were isolated using CTAB method and ITS sequence was amplified at the size of 700 bp PCR fragments and PCR product was sequenced at Eurofins genomics Pvt. Ltd (Fig. 1A and B). When the ITS sequence of the *Fusarium* sp isolate KS4 was BLAST searched in the database, the output data matched at 99 per cent DNA sequence homology with *Gibberella moniliforme* which is the perfect state of *F. verticillioides*. Similar to the present study, Visentin *et al.*, (2009) reported that *Fusarium* ear rot of maize is incited by several species of *Fusarium* spp. and among them, *F. verticillioides* was the most frequently isolated species from the infected maize ears. Sreenivasa (2006) reported that ITS sequence analysis was used as molecular tool for the identification and species confirmation of *Fusarium* spp. infecting maize.

Characterization of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria

Phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria were isolated from leaves of calotropis, acalypha and opuntia plants (Table 1) and selected based on inhibitory zone around their colony on the co-cultivation plates and they were again confirmed by dual culture techniques. Since these plants are perennial, several microbes with antagonistic properties were found. Attempts were also made to isolate phyllosphere antagonistic microbe from annual plants such as rice, maize and sorghum. But no antagonistic microbe was found from the leaves of the annual plants. The best isolates showing strong inhibitory effect against *F. verticillioides* were selected further. Based on the biochemical tests, two isolates were identified as *Pseudomonas* spp. and other two were identified as *Bacillus* spp.

Table 1. List of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria isolated from different crops

| S. No. | Isolates   | Phyllosphere bacteria        | Identified method      |
|--------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | Calotropis | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1   | 16s rRNA sequence analysis |
| 2      | Calotropis | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -2   | 16s rRNA sequence analysis |
| 3      | Opuntia    | *B. amyloliquefaciens*       | 16s rRNA sequence analysis |
| 4      | Acalypha   | *B. subtilis*                | 16s rRNA sequence analysis |

16S rDNA sequence analysis is one of the commonly used molecular methods for the identification of bacteria at species level. 16S rDNA from two putative *Pseudomonas* spp. and two putative *Bacillus* spp. were isolated using CTAB method. Single band of intact genomic DNA was visualized on the agarose gel (Fig. 2A). 16S rDNA region of these bacterial isolates was amplified with primer pairs 27f and 1525r and 27f and 1115 and PCR fragments with the size of 1500 bp and 1100bp were obtained respectively (Fig. 2B). Based on the 16s ribosomal
sequence analysis, phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria were identified as *B. subtilis* isolate 1 (accession number - MH715473), *B. amyloliquefaciens* (accession number - MH715478), *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1 (accession number -MH715475) and *P. aeruginosa* isolate -2 (accession number - MH715410).

In the present study, *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1 was found to be the most effective phyllosphere colonizing antagonistic bacteria as described below. But *P. aeruginosa* is being considered as opportunistic human pathogen. Thus, phylogeny analysis was carried out to analyse the genetic relatedness of *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1 with other *Pseudomonas* spp. associated with human and plant system. Based on 16S-rDNA sequence similarity, *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1, other *P. aeruginosa* isolates and *P. stutzeri* isolates falls into the same clade. Other species of *Pseudomonas* such as *P. fluorescens*, *P. putida* and *P. syringae* were found to be distantly related to *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1 (Fig. 2 C).

**Evaluation of efficacy of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria against *Fusarium verticillioides* in vitro**

Among the phyllosphere bacteria tested against the mycelial growth of *F. verticillioides*, the maximum per cent inhibition over control was shown by *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1(72.22 %) followed by *P. aeruginosa* isolate -2 showing 62.22 per cent inhibition over control. *B. subtilis* Bs2 showed the least mycelial growth inhibition (Table.2; Fig. 3). Similar to the present study, Cavagliero et al. (2005) reported that among the various bacterial isolates, *B. subtilis*, isolated from maize was demonstrated to be the most effective in reducing mycelial growth of *F. verticillioides* in vitro and also under field conditions. Nourozian et al. (2006) reported that *B. subtilis*, *P. fluorescens* and *Streptomyces* sp. were found to inhibit the mycelial growth of *Fusarium*. Recently, it has been reported that phenazine producing strains of *P. aeruginosa* inhibited the mycelial growth of fungi such as *F. graminearum*, *F. oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Magnaporthe grisea*, *Rhizopus microsporus*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *Alternaria alternate* (Zhou et al., 2015 and Uzair et al., 2018)

**Effect of volatile compound production by phyllosphere antagonists against *Fusarium verticillioides***

The isolated phyllosphere bacterial antagonists were tested for the production of volatile compounds active against the growth of *F. verticillioides*. The maximum inhibition

**Table 2. In Vitro evaluation of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria against *Fusarium verticillioides***

| S. No | Phyllosphere bacteria                  | Mycelial growth (mm)* | Per cent reduction over control |
|-------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1             | 25.00                 | 72.22                           |
| 2     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2              | 34.00                 | 62.22                           |
| 3     | *B. amyloliquefaciens*                 | 45.67                 | 49.26                           |
| 4     | *B. subtilis*                          | 48.67                 | 45.93                           |
| 5     | *B. subtilis*Bs2                       | 54.00                 | 40.00                           |
| 6     | *P. fluorescens*Pf1 (Standard check)   | 47.33                 | 47.41                           |
| 7     | Control                                | 90.00                 |                                 |
|       | CD 5% *(p=0.05)*                       | 3.42                  |                                 |

The treatment means are compared using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT)

In a column, means followed by a common letter (s) are not significantly different (p=0.05)
was recorded by *P. aeruginosa* isolate-1 (74.44 %) followed by *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2 (73.33) which were on par with each other. *B. amyloliquefaciens* recorded 41.85 per cent inhibition over control. The minimum inhibition was shown by *B. subtilis* Bs2 with 21.48 per cent inhibition over control. The test culture *P. fluorescens* Pf1 showed 68.89 per cent inhibition over control (Table 3).

### Efficacy under potted conditions

The result obtained from the experiment revealed that *P. aeruginosa* isolate-1 applied as seed treatment @ 10 g/kg of seeds, soil application @ 5 g/pot and foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent recorded the minimum PDI of 19.83 which accounted for 71.03 per cent reduction over control followed by the *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2 which recorded PDI of 21.02 with 69.30 per cent reduction over control (Table 4). The germination percentage under glass house conditions was significantly different between the various treatments tried in this study. The germination was maximum in the seeds treated with *P. aeruginosa* isolate 1 (81.14 %) followed by *P. aeruginosa* isolate 2 (72.29%).

### Efficacy in field

In field experiment, among the treatments, *P. aeruginosa* isolate-1 (Table 4) recorded the minimum PDI of 10.13 which accounted for 76.06 per cent disease reduction over control followed by *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2 (PDI of 11.97) which accounted for 71.70 per cent reduction over control (Table 5). Thus the present study showed that both *P. aeruginosa* isolate-1 and *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2, when applied as seed treatment @ 10 g/kg of seeds, soil application @ 5 g/pot and foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent, were found to suppress the Fusarium ear rot disease and showed the minimum PDI. In accordance with the present results, Nayaka *et al.* (2008) reported that seed treatment and foliar

### Table 3. Effect of volatile compounds of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria on growth of *Fusarium verticillioides*

| S. No | Treatments                          | Mycelial growth (mm)* | Per cent inhibition over control* |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1       | 23.00                 | 74.44                            |
| 2     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2        | 24.00                 | 73.33                            |
| 3     | *B. amyloliquefaciens*           | 52.33                 | 41.85                            |
| 4     | *B. subtilis*                    | 58.67                 | 34.81                            |
| 5     | *B. subtilis* Bs2                | 70.67                 | 21.48                            |
| 6     | *P. fluorescens* Pf1 (Standard check) | 28.00                 | 68.89                            |
| 7     | Control                          | 90.00                 |                                  |

*Mean of three replications

The treatment means are compared using Duncans Multiple Range Test (DMRT). In a column, means followed by a common letter (s) are not significantly different (p=0.05)

### Table 4. Effect of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria on maize ear rot under pot culture conditions

| S. No | Treatments                          | PDI   | % reduction over control | Germination (per cent) |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1       | 19.83 | 71.03                   | 81.14                  |
| 2     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2        | 21.02 | 69.30                   | 72.29                  |
| 3     | *B. amyloliquefaciens*           | 22.58 | 67.01                   | 59.21                  |
| 4     | *B. subtilis*                    | 27.48 | 59.86                   | 46.92                  |
| 5     | *B. subtilis* Bs2                | 34.24 | 49.99                   | 39.23                  |
| 6     | *B. amyloliquefaciens + P. aeruginosa* isolate -1 | 24.70 | 63.92                   | 50.77                  |
| 7     | *P. fluorescens* Pf1             | 23.56 | 65.58                   | 59.21                  |
| 8     | Carbendazim                      | 22.40 | 67.29                   | 63.85                  |
| 9     | Control                          | 68.47 | 0.014                   | 68.48                  |

The treatment means are compared using Duncans Multiple Range Test (DMRT). In a column, means followed by a common letter (s) are not significantly different (p=0.05)
Table 5. Effect of phyllosphere antagonistic bacteria on maize ear rot under field conditions

| S. No | Treatments                                             | PDI | % reduction over control | Germination (percent)* |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1                           | 10.13^e | 76.06                     | 83.86^e                |
| 2     | *P. aeruginosa* isolate-2                            | 11.97^h | 71.70                     | 77.71^ab               |
| 3     | *B. amylolique-faciens*                              | 13.79^e | 67.42                     | 71.39^e                |
| 4     | *B. subtilis*                                         | 25.64^a | 39.39                     | 57.29^bc              |
| 5     | *B. subtilis* isolated Bs2                           | 29.95^b | 29.22                     | 48.87^d               |
| 6     | *B. amylolique-faciens* + *P. aeruginosa* isolate -1  | 24.27^c | 42.64                     | 61.33^b               |
| 7     | *P. fluorescens* + Pf1                               | 21.67^c | 48.79                     | 75.15^c               |
| 8     | Carbendazim                                           | 15.24^d | 64.98                     | 65.69^bc              |
| 9     | Control                                               | 43.52^e | -                        | 62.00^e               |
| CD 5% | (p=0.05)                                              | 4.11  | -                        | 17.23                 |

The treatment means are compared using Duncans Multiple Range Test (DMRT).
In a column, means followed by a common letter (s) are not significantly different (p=0.05).

spray in maize with *P. fluorescens* reduced the incidence of *F. verticillioides* under field conditions. Application of *P. aeruginosa* induced the various defence related compounds in tomato plants and protected the plants against various pathogens (Durairaj et al., 2017). Similarly, Rhamnolipids of *P. aeruginosa* triggered the defence reaction in *Brassica napus* and protected the plants against *Botrytis cinerea* infection without causing physiological disorders (Monnier et al., 2018).

This study clearly showed that phyllosphere colonizing antagonists viz. *P. aeruginosa* and *Bacillus* spp. were effective for the management of ear rot of maize. Based on the results of the present study and also recent publications on use of *P. aeruginosa* as a biocontrol agents (Durairaj et al., 2017; Monnier et al., 2018; Uzair et al., 2018 and Zhou et al., 2015), it is concluded that though *P. aeruginosa*is a human opportunistic pathogen, it can be used as a biocontrol agent in commercial scale after studying its biosafety measures, because it is frequently isolated from agricultural soil-ecosystem and associated with crop plants.
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