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An Experimental Study on Coal Fines Migration during Single Phase Water Flow
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Coal fines migration and intrusion in coal fractures affect coalbed methane (CBM) wells performance by reducing reservoir permeability and production continuity. Physical simulations are conducted to investigate the permeability variation under different diameter coal fines intrusion at various flow velocities and confining pressures. The results show that the conductivity of fractures is dramatically reduced and hardly recover to its initial condition after coal fines intrusion. The permeability after coal fines intrusion ($P_{ci}$) has no direct correlation with the increase of flooding velocity, while decreases with the increase of confining pressures. The fractures can be totally blocked by coal fines, while penetration also happened during the flooding process, causing permeability fluctuation. The permeability loss rates value for 80-120 mesh coal fines intrusion are generally <60% compared with the initial permeability, including the flow velocity of 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mL/min with confining pressure of 6 MPa and the confining pressure of 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 MPa with flow velocity of 3 mL/min. However, under 120+ mesh coal fines condition, the permeability loss rates are higher than 85% under most flow velocities and confining pressures. When coal fines become smaller, the permeability loss rates decrease to be lower than 45%, and part the coal fines are discharged with the water flow. Thus, coal fines proper dischargement can partly maintain the reservoir permeability during coalbed methane production. The results would be useful in understanding coal fines intrusion behaviors and its controlling strategies during CBM drainage.

1. Introduction

Coal is easily to be broken into pieces due to its brittle, low-strength, and weakly consolidated nature [1–3]. Large amounts of coal fines generated during the tectonic evolution history, as well as the coalbed methane (CBM) production process of well drilling, completion, and hydraulic fracturing [4, 5]. Coal fines can block cleats and hydraulic fractures, leading to the severe reduction in fracture permeability [6, 7]. Further, coal fines occurrence can influence drainage continuity, causing dramatic borehole pressure fluctuations, and gas and water flow interruptions [8]. Coal fines blockage can also affect the spreading of pressure decreasing funnel and the gas production performance [9, 10]. Controlling the stable output of coal fines is important for CBM wells production [11, 12].

The production of coal fines is related to a variety of engineering and geological factors, e.g., well type, drilling technology, completion technology, production system, coal mechanical strength, and its structural background [13, 14]. Coal fines can be classified based on their genetic type as primary coal fines controlled by a variety of geological factors and secondary coal fines formed by engineering factors. Primary coal fines are generally shown as moniliform and bedded occurrences and are generally being found along fault planes and sliding layers (Figure 1) [15, 16]. The primary coal fines are general smaller than 1 mm in diameter as particle friction can be happened during the tectonic movement [17, 18]. The primary coal fines can be transported and output under suitable water flow velocity in wide and well-connected fractures [19, 20]. However, if the fractures connectivity is complex, with narrow throat and tough surfaces,
the coal fines can block the fractures [21, 22]. The secondary coal fines are mainly found in the near-well zone and fracturing fractures, which are relatively easy to be transported and produced [11].

Experimental studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between the flow velocity and coal fines output under the single-phase water flow [23, 24]. The critical flow conditions of coal fines movement and transport mechanisms based on stress analysis have also been quantitatively explained [25]. Mathematical models, including the coal fines detachment, the velocity of fine particles migration, and the permeability variation were discussed [8, 26].

Table 1: Properties of coal samples at the study site.

| Number | \( R_{\text{m, max}} \) | Proximate analysis (wt%, air dry basis) | Coal composition (vol%) |
|--------|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
|        | \%             | Moisture content | Ash yield | Volatile matter | Vitrinite | Inertinite | Liptinite | Mineral |
| CP-5   | 2.88           | 2.18           | 6.67    | 8.58          | 73.03    | 15.10      | 4.90      | 6.97    |

Notes: \( R_{\text{m, max}} \): the mean maximum reflectance values of vitrinite of coal sample.
Commercial software including ANSYS and FLUNET et al. were also adopted to simulate the migration of coal fines in the vertical and horizontal wellbores [7, 27].

Coal fines are not only be discharged into the wellbore but also invaded into the cleats and hydraulic fractures [28, 29]. According to the aforementioned brief literature review,
previous studies mainly focused on the origin, composition, production mechanism, output, and transport mechanisms of coal fines. However, the characteristics of permeability...
changes caused by coal fines intrusion and migration in fractures have not been revealed. In this study, we used self-designed coal fines intrusion instrument to study the variation of permeability under different coal fines intrusion conditions with different flow velocity and confining pressures. The variation of permeability after coal fines intrusion ($P_{cfi}$) and its controlling factors were analyzed. Further, the permeability loss rates are calculated with the damage mechanisms of coal fines intrusion being discussed. The results can provide theoretical guidance for minimizing coal fines damage and managing CBM production. But the characteristics of permeability changes caused by coal fines intrusion and migration in the stage of two-phase gas and water have not been conducted, which is the limitations of the proposed research.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation. The experimental coal samples were collected from the Permian Shanxi Formation in the Changping Coal Mine in the southern Qinshui basin, China. Table 1 lists the basic coal property parameters of coal fines used, including the proximate analysis, maceral analysis, and maximum vitrinite reflectance. Proximate analyses were tested according to the ASTM D3172-13 international standards. The maximum vitrinite reflectance ($F_{\alpha, \text{max}}$) was tested following the ASTM D388-15 international standard. The coal samples were crushed into solid powders by grinder. The powdered coal samples were sieved into different particle size ranges by sifters of 80, 120, 160, 200, and 300 mesh.

Field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) was conducted to observe the micromorphologies of the coal fines. The Helios NanoLab 650 FEI SEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at Beijing Center for Physical and Chemical Analysis, China, was used. The accelerating voltages were 1–10 kV. The working distances from detector to samples were 3–7 mm in this FE-SEM system.

Due to the poor mechanical properties of coal, new fractures are easy to be generated under repeated loading, influencing results accuracy. Sandstones are of relatively strong mechanical properties, and the tight sandstones are also of low permeability as coal. Thus, tight sandstones were selected as similar materials to test the coal fines intrusion and flow properties. The sandstone cores were drilled from the coal-bearing strata (Permian Shanxi Formation). Cylindrical sample (7.99 cm in length, 2.55 cm in diameter, initially permeability of 9.18 mD) was cut into two pieces in the middle to simulate macroscopic cracks and fractures (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)).

2.2. Apparatus. The experimental apparatus (Figure 3) is composed of driving pump, core holder, fines generation equipment (Figure 2(c)), pressure transducers, waste liquid collector, and data acquisition system (MCGS). The driving pump (ISCO 1000D syringe pump with maximum flow velocity of 10 mL/min) was utilized to inject distilled water at constant flow velocities. The injection pressure was continuously measured by piezometer A (range of measurement is 0-10 MPa) installed in the inlet of the core holder. The piezometer B (range of measurement is 0-2 MPa) was installed.
in the outlet of the core holder to measure the real-time outlet pressure. Confining pressures for the core holder was applied by the syringe pump (maximum pressure of 20 MPa). The fluid flow rate, injection pressure, and outlet pressure were recorded to calculate the permeability. The fine generation experiment was used to provide sufficient coal fines with the water flows invading into the fractures. The MCGS system developed by China University of Petroleum (Beijing) was used to transfer and digitize pressure measurements, and the data was displayed and recorded in real-time.

2.3. Procedures. Three variable parameters (flow velocity, confining pressure, and coal fines size) were analyzed to reveal the intrusion and migration of coal fines in the fractures. Four series of experiment were conducted (Table 2): (1) original cores without fines intrusion under different flow velocity and constant confining pressure; (2) original cores without fines intrusion under different confining pressure and constant flow velocity; (3) different sizes fines intrusion with different flow velocities and constant confining pressure; (4) different sizes fines intrusion with different confining pressures and constant flow velocities. Every experiment was sustained for 120 mins after the outlet flow velocity being stable.

2.4. Permeability Calculation. In each set of core flooding experiments, the permeability was calculated by Equation (1).

\[
k = \frac{Q \mu L}{A(P_1 - P_2)} \times 10^3,
\]

where \( k \) is permeability, mD; \( P_1 \) is inlet pressure, \( 10^{-1} \) MPa; \( P_2 \) is outlet pressure, \( 10^{-5} \) MPa; \( \mu \) is the absolute viscosity of fluid, mPa·s; \( A \) is the sectional area of the sand core, cm²; \( L \) is the length of the sand core, cm;

2.5. Permeability Loss Rate. Due to the difference of initial permeability under different flow velocity and confining pressures, the loss rate of permeability was introduced and calculated by Equation (2).

\[
D_{ij} = \left| \frac{k_{ij} - k_{ij}}{k_{ij}} \right| \times 100\%,
\]

where \( D_{ij} \) is the loss rate of the permeability, %; \( k_{ij} \) is the initial permeability under different flow velocities and confining pressures, mD; \( k_{ij} \) is the \( P_{ei} \) under different flow velocity and confining pressure conditions, mD.

3. Results

3.1. Properties of Coal Fine Samples. Table 1 shows the microscopic composition quantitative analyses of coal fine samples by polarizing microscope. The average maximum vitrinite reflectance \( (R_{\text{max}}) \) of the samples was 2.88%, indicating that the coals are of high maturity stage [30]. The organic macerals of the samples were mainly vitrinite, followed by
inertinite. Furthermore, the proximate analysis of the samples indicates that the contents of moisture, ash, and volatile under air-dry basis are 2.18%, 6.67% and 8.58%, respectively. The maximum vitrinite reflectance ($R_{o,\text{max}}$) of all the samples ranges from 1.23% to 1.75%.

3.2. Permeability of Coal Fine Intrusion under Different Flow Velocity and Confining Pressures. The permeability variation with no coal fine intrusion, at different flow velocities under 6 MPa confining pressure was plotted in Table 3. The permeability of the flow velocity of 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mL/min are 124.41, 122.97, 114.63, 105.41, 100.29, and 87.86 mD, respectively. As water flow velocity increases, the permeability decreases (Figure 4(a)), similar to commonly known flooding experiment [31, 32]. When the flow velocity is less than 3 mL/min, the permeability shows no variations. Thus, the fluid velocity sensitivity has a critical flow velocity (Figure 4(a)), which is obvious when water flow <3 mL/min, while the permeability decreases obviously when the flow velocity is higher than 3 mL/min.

Permeability differences at different confining pressures were tested with a flow velocity of 3 mL/min. The permeability of the confining pressure of 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 MPa is 336.28, 243.97, 194.41, 152.87, and 122.97 mD, respectively (Table 4). The permeability decreases with the increase of confining pressures, even though the variation rate decreases with the increase of confining pressure [33], suggesting the fractures closure gradually weakened [34] (Figure 4(b)).

3.3. $P_{ci}$ Under Different Flow Velocity and Confining Pressure. During the experiment, the coal fines can easily flow through the fines generation equipment and invade into the fractures.

Figure 9: Permeability evolution under different flow velocity (confining pressure of 6 MPa).
Table 3 lists the coal fines invasion permeability at different flow velocities under the confining pressure of 6 MPa. All the $P_{ci}$ values of 80-120 mesh coal fines are higher than 49 mD. The $P_{ci}$ with particles of 200-300 mesh is higher than 9.18 mD at the flow velocity condition of 3, 4, and 6 mL/min. $P_{ci}$ values under other conditions are generally lower than 9.18 mD. Coal fines of 80-120 mesh show less damage to the cores permeability.

The $P_{ci}$ values under different confining pressures with 3 mL/min flow velocities were recorded in Table 4. The $P_{ci}$ with 80-120 mesh coal fines is higher than 270 mD under the confining pressure of 2 MPa. The permeability with 300 + mesh coal fines intrusion is approximately 200 mD under confining pressures of 2 and 3 MPa. The permeability is higher than 60 mD with 80-120 mesh coal fines in the confining pressure of 3, 4, 5, and 6 MPa and 160-200 mesh fines in the confining pressure of 2 MPa. Other $P_{ci}$ values are less than 20 mD under the flow velocities is 3 mL/min. Thus, the confining pressure and particle size both affect the permeability variation, and under certain circumstances, e.g., smaller coal fines and lower confining pressures, the permeability damage rate is low.

3.4. Flow Velocity Influences on $P_{ci}$. Figure 5 illustrates that the $P_{ci}$ variation with the increases of flow velocity from 2 to 10 mL/min. As coal fines of 120-160 and 160-200 mesh, the $P_{ci}$ shows an increasing trend. The $P_{ci}$ variation of 80-120 mesh shows a decline trend, while 200-300 mesh shows increased and then decrease trend. Comparing the variation curves of initial permeability (Figure 4) and $P_{ci}$, it can be seen that not all the $P_{ci}$ curves show a monotonous decline. This result is influenced by three aspects. Firstly, the coal fines are invaded into the fractures freely. However, no boundaries are clearly settled on the edge of the cores [35]. Thus, the quantity and degree of coal fines intrusion has a randomness. And the damage degree to fractures is not constant. Secondly, the morphology of coal fines particles observed by FE-SEM is flake, column, block, and spherical (Figure 6). The difference of coal fines particles morphology has different occurrence characteristics in the fractures, thus different damage to the fractures [36]. Additionally, the movement forms of coal fines include shifting, suspension, and neutral suspension, which are influenced by many factors, including material composition, morphology, fluid properties, and stress characteristics [37]. The neutral suspension movement is not considered in the experiment, because it only exists the condition of laminar flow state and low turbulence intensity [37]. As the flow rate increases, the turbulence intensity increases, which causes the suspension moving of coal fines. The amount of suspension is controlled by the turbulent intensity, which strengthens the randomness of invasion.

The $P_{ci}$ variation with the coal fines size increases does not show a fixed trend at the same flow velocity. Coal fines can be transported by single particle or agglomerate particles; thus, the amount of invaded coal fines shows no clear relationship with particle size [36]. Further, the difference in coal fines shape causes different permeability damage. The larger coal fines of flake and thin layer shape can also invade further into the fractures, leading serious damage to permeability (Figure 7). Therefore, the variation of $P_{ci}$ is irregular at the same flow velocity.

3.5. Confining Pressure Influences on $P_{ci}$. The $P_{ci}$ values decrease with the increases of confining pressure at the flow velocity of 3 mL/min (Figure 8). For coal fines of 80-120 mesh, the $P_{ci}$ shows a decrease and then increased trend. The $P_{ci}$ variation for 120-160 mesh coal fines shows a monotonous decline trend, and the 160-200 mesh and 300+ mesh fines show a slight increase after a sharp decrease. The variation curve of 200-300 mesh fines remains steady during the whole experiment process. The above is caused by the both influences of confining pressure and fines intrusion. The variation of $P_{ci}$ with confining pressures can be divided into two stages. When the confining pressure is lower than 4 MPa, the $P_{ci}$ values are controlled by the effective stress and coal fines intrusion, showing a continuous decline with the confining pressure increases. When confining pressure is higher than 4 MPa, the $P_{ci}$ values are mainly controlled by coal fines intrusion, showing an irregular variation with confining pressure variation. Under high confining pressure, the $P_{ci}$ shows a monotonous decline. This result is influenced by three aspects. Firstly, the coal fines are invaded into the fractures freely. However, no boundaries are clearly settled on the edge of the cores [35]. Thus, the quantity and degree of coal fines intrusion has a randomness. And the damage degree to fractures is not constant.
pressure conditions, the degree of fracture closure is high and the influence of effective stress is weakened [7, 38]. The morphology and aggregations of coal fines lead to random intrusion and irregular variation of the $P_{cf}$. Thus, even under the same confining pressures, the $P_{cf}$ values with different coal fines intrusion are irregular.

4. Discussion

4.1. $P_{cf}$ Evolution under Different Flow Velocity. The coal fines can be captured by the fractures by the mechanisms of blocking, attachment, interception, diffusion, and sedimentation, which can trigger a remarkable permeability response [39]. Figure 9 shows that the permeability is rapidly declined as with coal fine transportation and intrusion. The coal fines blockage can cause abrupt permeability deterioration due to closure of fractures. The damage of fractures permeability under the coal fines transportation and intrusion is difficult to recovery during the experiment process (Figure 9). Thus, removing coal fines without changing the drainage intensity is impossible [40, 41]. The $P_{cf}$ variation curves are more unstable than the permeability test curves without coal fines intrusion (Figure 9(a)). Water flow may break through part of the coal fines blocked in the fractures, but the coal fines can quickly saturate the fractures again. When the coal fines are smaller than 120 mesh, the final permeability remains above 50 mD (Figure 9(c)–9(f)). However, the final permeability only remains about 10 mD with coal fines being bigger than 120 mesh (Figures 9(c)–9(f)).

The relationship between the $P_{cf}$ values and the coal fines sizes indicates that the damage of permeability also has a critical particle size. The fines bigger than the critical value show less damage to permeability, while the smaller fines show more damages. Free coal fines are invaded into the fractures

Figure 11: Permeability evolution under different confining pressure (flow velocity of 3 mL/min).
or attached to the edge of fractures with water flow; thus, the degree of blockage and the characteristic of coal fines decide the permeability variation. Larger particles (less than 120 mesh) are difficult to invade into the fractures, and most of them were only attached on the edge of fractures [42, 43]. And for large particle size, the pores and throats between the particles are relatively bigger; thus, the degree of permeability damage is relatively weak. Smaller coal fines can easily invade into the fractures with the water flow. On the one hand, large amounts of small coal fines block the fractures, leading to serious permeability reduction or even totally loss of conductivity (Figures 9(d) and 9(e)). On the other hand, small coal fines can also block the fractures due to fine sedimentation and blockage in thin fractures (Figures 9(c) and 9(f)). Low $P_{\text{ci}}$ values generally occur with stable variation curve (Figures 9(c)–9(f)). If the conductivity of fractures is totally lost, it is difficult to arouse the migration of the blocked coal fines under steady flow conditions [40, 41].

If the flow velocity is too fast, large amounts of coal fines will migrate and block the fractures quickly, leading to the decrease in permeability and increase in injection pressure [44] (Figure 9(f)). For coal fines of 300+ mesh, the injection pressures suddenly increase under the flow velocities >6 mL/min, leading to experiment interruption. Small coal fines are also easy to move with water flow and invade into the fractures; thus, a large amount of coal fines can migrate into fractures with the increase in flow velocity [11, 45]. Thus, small coal fines are more conductive to aggregation and adhesion in the flow paths compared with large particles (Figure 6). Aggregations of coal fines also influence the fractures permeability, and the coal fines in the fractures may be compressed, causing porosity and permeability reduction with the increase of injection pressure [18] (Figure 10). Thus, during CBM wells drainage, the production pressure drop should be controlled within a certain range, avoiding coal fines extensive migration [32].

4.2. $P_{\text{ci}}$ Evolution under Different Confining Pressure. The damage of fractures permeability under the coal fines trans-portation and intrusion is instantaneously, hardly can be recovered (Figure 11). The permeability variation curves under low confining pressures (2, 3, and 4 MPa) are more unstable and wavier frequently than under the high confining pressures (5 and 6 MPa). The blocked coal fines receive little resistance and can easily be broken by the water flow under the low confining pressure, which can cause a temporary sharp increase in permeability. Then, new coal fines block the fractures again. Thus, the $P_{\text{ci}}$ test curves under high confining pressure show less fluctuation. For 80-120 mesh coal fines, the final permeability remains above 60 mD (Figure 9(b)). As for 300+ mesh fines, the permeability shows differences under different confining pressures. The reason is that the small fines can be freely transported from the large width fractures under the less confining pressure (2 and 3 MPa). However, under high confining pressures, fines are hard to be migrated (Figure 12).

4.3. The Characteristic of Permeability Loss Rate. The permeability loss rate was quantitatively determined (Figure 13). Figure 13(a) shows the permeability loss rates variation with the flow velocity increases at the 6 MPa confining pressure. For 80-120 mesh fines, the permeability loss rates are low, ranging from 20% to 50%. The variation curve presents an “M” form with the flow velocity increase. For fines size smaller than 120 mesh, the permeability loss rates are more than 85%. And the variation curves present a downward trend with the flow velocity increases. Figure 13(b) shows the permeability loss rates variation with the confining pressures increases at the flow velocity of 3 mL/min. For 80-120 mesh fines, the permeability loss rates are also low (ranging from 15% to 60%), and the variation curve presents a single-peak form. As for 300+ mesh fines with the confining pressure of 3 and 2 MPa, the permeability loss rates are 2.64% and 44.75%, respectively. However, under confining pressures of 4, 5, and 6 MPa, the permeability loss rates all increase over 90%. Therefore, coal fines proper discharge can decrease the permeability loss within coal reservoirs [31, 46]. The permeability loss rates of others are also higher...
than 80% except the 160-200 mesh at 2 MPa. The results demonstrate that the coupling relationship of crack width and fines size both affects the permeability loss degree.

5. Conclusions

By simulating coal fines migration within fractures, factors including coal fines size, flow velocities, and confining pressures were discussed. The following conclusions can be conducted.

The permeability without coal fine intrusion decreases with the increase of flow velocity and confining pressure. The fluid velocity sensitivity has a critical flow velocity of 3 mL/min.

The conductivity of fractures decreases dramatically and hardly to be recovered with coal fines intrusion. The $P_{c6}$ value variation with flow velocities is irregular, while decreases with the increase of confining pressures. The $P_{c6}$ values are controlled by effective stress and coal fines intrusion together under low confining pressure and are mainly controlled by coal fines intrusion under high confining pressures. A significant amount of coal fines can quickly block the fractures under higher water flow velocities; thus, the bottom hole pressure drop should be controlled a certain range during CBM drainage.

The permeability loss rates are generally lower than 60% for 80-120 mesh coal fines intrusion, including flow velocity of 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mL/min with confining pressure of 6 MPa, and 3 mL/min under 3, 4, 5, and 6 MPa. However, under 120+ mesh coal fines intrusion, the permeability loss rates are higher than 85% under most flow velocity and confining pressures. When coal fines becoming smaller, the permeability loss rate values (e.g., 300+ mesh, less than 3 MPa confining pressure, 3 mL/min velocity) decrease to less than 45% due to coal fines dischargetage. Thus, coal fines proper production from CBM wells can decrease the permeability loss within coal reservoirs.
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