Research Hotspots and Trend Exploration on the Clinical Translational Outcome of Simulation-Based Medical Education: A 10-Year Scientific Bibliometric Analysis From 2011 to 2021
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Background: In recent decades, an increasing number of studies have focused on the clinical translational effect of simulation-based medical education (SBME). However, few scientific bibliometric studies have analyzed the research hotspots and publication trends. This study aimed to investigate research hotspots and future direction in the clinical translational outcome of SBME via bibliometrics.

Method: Relevant publications on the clinical translational outcomes of SBME from 2011 to 2021 were identified and retrieved from the Web of Science Core Collection (WOSCC). Software including VOSviewer (1.6.17) and CiteSpace (5.8R3) and a platform (bibliometric.com) were employed to conduct bibliographic and visualized analysis on the literature.

Results: A total of 1,178 publications were enrolled. An increasing number of publications were observed in the past decades from 48 in 2011 to 175 in 2021. The United States accounted for the largest number of publications (488, 41.4%) and citations (10,432); the University of Toronto and Northwestern University were the leading institutions. Academic Medicine was the most productive journal concerning this field. McGaghie W C and Konge L were the most influential authors in this area. The hot topic of the translational outcome of SBME was divided into 3 stages, laboratory phase, individual skill improvement, and patient outcome involving both technical and non-technical skills. Translational research of comprehensive impact and collateral outcomes could be obtained in the future.

Conclusion: From the overall trend of 10 years of research, we can see that the research is roughly divided into three phases, from laboratory stage, individual skill improvement to the patient outcomes, and comprehensive impacts such as skill retention and collateral effect as cost-effectiveness is a major trend of future research. More objective evaluation...
We retrieved the data from the Web of Science Core guide the direction of scholars in this field.

translational research of SBME from 2011 to 2021, as well as to
analysis with the bibliometric software such as VOSviewer,
first proposed the research on SBME as a concept of translational
practice and patient outcomes directly (2). SBME has been acknowledged to have positive
effects on medical skills acquisition and proficiency compared with
traditional clinical education (4). As simulators and training
methods improve, considerable evidence shows the utility and
effectiveness of SBME, including in various medical specialties,
not only in technical skills but also in non-technical skills.

However, simulated training based on deliberate practice was still
in its initial stage (5–7). It was not until 2010 that McGaghie
first proposed the research on SBME as a concept of translational
science research (8), suggesting a bright new stage of SBME
research: translation to the improvement of clinical skills and
patient outcomes. So far, few studies have analyzed the research
hotspots and future publication trends in this field.

Bibliometrics can provide a general picture and shows the
developing trends in one specific research field and identifies
the most influential elements, including the institutions, authors,
journals, emerging keywords, etc; the method can also show
the research direction and emerging topics in a scientific
domain (9, 10). Recently, an increasing number of bibliometric
studies of knowledge synthesis have been published due to the
explosive and rapid production of outputs, the rapid updating
of knowledge, and the development of computer technology.

Many methods of knowledge synthesis and software in a digital
machine-readable format were developed (11), which were even
used in the medical area (12, 13). Based on a knowledge synthesis
methodology, such as the triangulation of distant reading from
recent research (14, 15), we used visualization and bibliometric
analysis with the bibliometric software such as VOSviewer,
and CiteSpace to explore the hotspots and current status of
translational research of SBME from 2011 to 2021, as well as to
guide the direction of scholars in this field.
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INTRODUCTION

Simulation-based medical education (SBME), first proposed in
the 1970s (1), has made rapid progress in the past 50 years. Unlike
primary skill acquisition, SBME provides a visual specific scene
for trainees to mimic clinical scenes, aiming to improve medical
practice and patient outcomes directly (2, 3). Considering that
“deliberate practice” is vital in medical education and patients' demand for skillful doctors and comfortable clinical services, the
advantages of SBME are as follows: (i) it provides immediate feedback; (ii) it adapts to the needs of teaching, allowing deliberate and repeated training; (iii) it offers medical students and practitioners a safe and standard platform to assess and improve skills (2). SBME has been acknowledged to have positive effects on medical skills acquisition and proficiency compared with traditional clinical education (4). As simulators and training methods improve, considerable evidence shows the utility and effectiveness of SBME, including in various medical specialties, not only in technical skills but also in non-technical skills. However, simulated training based on deliberate practice was still in its initial stage (5–7). It was not until 2010 that McGaghie first proposed the research on SBME as a concept of translational science research (8), suggesting a bright new stage of SBME research: translation to the improvement of clinical skills and patient outcomes. So far, few studies have analyzed the research hotspots and future publication trends in this field.

Bibliometrics can provide a general picture and shows the
developing trends in one specific research field and identifies
the most influential elements, including the institutions, authors,
journals, emerging keywords, etc; the method can also show
the research direction and emerging topics in a scientific
domain (9, 10). Recently, an increasing number of bibliometric
studies of knowledge synthesis have been published due to the
explosive and rapid production of outputs, the rapid updating
of knowledge, and the development of computer technology.

Many methods of knowledge synthesis and software in a digital
machine-readable format were developed (11), which were even
used in the medical area (12, 13). Based on a knowledge synthesis
methodology, such as the triangulation of distant reading from
recent research (14, 15), we used visualization and bibliometric
analysis with the bibliometric software such as VOSviewer,
and CiteSpace to explore the hotspots and current status of
translational research of SBME from 2011 to 2021, as well as to
guide the direction of scholars in this field.

Software and Data Analysis

All the publications included were exported in TEXT format and
then imported into CiteSpace (5.8.R3), VOSviewer (1.6.17), and
a bibliometric platform (bibliometric.com) for data visualization.
Basic information, such as the yearly output and citation
frequency was obtained from the WoSCC. We next analyzed the
trends of publication of each country and drew a cooperative
network of the countries on the website platform. In this study,
the frequency was used to describe the number of institutions,
journals, and keywords with CiteSpace. Different nodes in the
visualization represent different institutions or keywords. A line
between nodes refers to a cooperative network. Regarding the
selection of time slices, a slice of 1 year was used because of the
higher modularity value and the silhouette value of the
clustering effect. Regarding the connection strength, cosine was
used. Regarding the threshold, we selected the top 50 nodes at
each time point. Moreover, the pruning used pathfinder and the
merged network (16). By adjusting corresponding parameters,
cluster analysis and timeline view were performed for keywords
and keyword burstiness was performed to explore the emerging
topics and future direction (17). Moreover, we used the
VOSviewer to illustrate the co-authorship and its co-occurrence
analysis. The number of publications and collaborations among
authors could be seen from the overlay visualization map of the
author’s co-authorship analysis. The same color represented a
closely related cluster of authors; the size of the circle represented
the number of publications, and the thickness of the line
represented the closeness of cooperation between the authors.

RESULTS

Global Publications and Citations
An increasing trend in the number of publications was observed
in the past decade, from 48 in 2011 to 175 in 2021. Figure 2A
exhibited an overall upward trend in the research of translational
outcome of SBME, especially from 2014 to 2015, during which

METHODS

Data Collection
We retrieved the data from the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC) to acquire publications concerned with the
FIGURE 1 | Flow diagram of the inclusion process. The detailed process of data collection and selection.
time McGaghie W C put forward the translational outcome paradigm shift of SBME. Notably, the linear fitting of the studies shows a significant positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.9533$) between the year and the number of publications. We conservatively estimated that the number of papers published in 2022 will be more than 185. Additionally, the publication citations also showed a continuous growth trend (Figure 2B), consistent with the linear growth curve model ($R^2 = 0.9832$).

### Distribution Characteristics of Countries and Institutions

Analysis of the country or institution distribution of publications can provide great information for researchers about the countries or institutions at the leading frontiers of research. In our study, we identified the 10 most productive countries and institutions involved in this research (Table 1). The USA was far ahead of other countries, with 488 publications accounting for 41.4% of the total, followed by Canada (170, 14.4%), the UK (160, 13.6%), and Australia (92, 7.8%). Furthermore, the USA participated most frequently in international cooperation, followed by Canada, the UK, Australia, and Denmark (Figures 3A,B).

We also identified the top 10 institutions shown in Table 2. Among them, 40% of institutions were from the United States, 30% were from Canada and only three belonged to Australia, Denmark, and the UK individually, in line with the distribution characteristics of countries. Based on the collaboration network formed by CiteSpace (Figure 3C), the University of Toronto and Northwestern University have the highest total link strength, indicating that these two institutions participated in most collaborations with other institutions worldwide. With extensive academic communication among scholars, it was necessary to develop closer research collaboration between various institutions for the lower level.

### Journals Publishing Research on the Translational Outcome of SBME

There were 475 journals in total that published research involved in this field with the top 10 listed in Table 3. More than one-fifth (23%) of the publications in this field were published in the listed top 10 journals. *BMC Medical Education* and *Journal of Surgical Education* were the top two journals that published the same number of papers ($n = 53$) and the total number of citations of them were 388 and 882, respectively. It was obvious that these 10 journals laid a solid foundation for subsequent research on SBME. The average number of citations and the

| Rank | Country | Article counts | Total number of citations | Average number of citations |
|------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1    | USA     | 488            | 10,432                   | 21.58                       |
| 2    | Canada  | 170            | 3,253                    | 19.14                       |
| 3    | UK      | 160            | 3,072                    | 19.2                        |
| 4    | Australia | 92             | 1,461                    | 15.88                       |
| 5    | Germany | 62             | 597                      | 9.63                        |
| 6    | France  | 49             | 306                      | 6.22                        |
| 7    | Denmark | 35             | 591                      | 16.89                       |
| 8    | Netherlands | 30         | 497                      | 16.57                       |
| 9    | Norway  | 28             | 574                      | 20.50                       |
| 10   | Italy   | 28             | 173                      | 6.18                         |
in line with the order of impact factors according to the 2021 JCR standards.

**Authors and Co-authorship Analysis**

A total of 5,975 authors were involved in current work according to VOSviewer analysis but only 133 authors met the minimum publication thresholds when set to 3. The top 10 most productive authors were shown in Table 4. Nearly half were from the USA, including three belonging to Northwestern University. Meanwhile, by analyzing the articles in each cooperation cluster, we manually and subjectively identified nine clusters and labeled them in areas of research for each cluster with different colors as Figure 4.

**Keyword Cluster and Burstiness**

Cluster for research hotspots was identified with keyword co-occurrence by CiteSpace (5.8.R3). Seven clusters in total were formed including objective structured clinical examination, surgical training, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, deliberate practice, non-technical skills, internal jugular vein, and major advances. Timeline view was used to depict a timeline for keywords after clustering and the length of the horizontal line corresponding to each cluster represents the span of the cluster (Figure 5). CiteSpace was further used to detect bursts of keywords with high frequency (Figure 6).
FIGURE 4 | VOSviewer network visualization map of co-cited authors. Authors collaborated to study different fields of SBME were circled by different colors.

- simulation in surgery
- laparoscopic simulated training
- surgical ward round based medical care
- surgical procedure training or minimally invasive surgery
- pediatric skills

FIGURE 5 | Timeline visualization from 2011 to 2021. Nodes are labeled with corresponding topics.

- simulation based mastery learning
- gastrointestinal endoscopy simulation
- technical skill or non technical skill training for OTL-HNS residents
- development of new medical simulator & central or peripheral intravenous catheterization
TABLE 3 | The top 10 journals contributing to publish articles in spinal stenosis research.

| Rank | Journal                                               | Article counts | Percentage | Total number of citations | Average number of citations | IF (JCR 2021) | Quartile in category (JCR) | H-index |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1    | BMC medical education                                 | 53             | 4.50       | 388                       | 7.32                       | 2.463         | Q1                        | 68      |
| 2    | Journal of surgical education                         | 53             | 4.50       | 882                       | 16.64                      | 2.891         | Q1                        | 54      |
| 3    | Simulation in healthcare-journal of the society for simulation in healthcare | 48             | 4.07       | 977                       | 20.35                      | 1.929         | Q3                        | –       |
| 4    | Surgical endoscopy and other interventional techniques | 23             | 1.95       | 410                       | 17.82                      | 4.584         | Q1                        | 152     |
| 5    | BMJ simulation & technology enhanced learning         | 21             | 1.78       | 21                        | 1.00                       | –             | Q4                        | 9       |
| 6    | Cureus                                                | 21             | 1.78       | 39                        | 1.86                       | –             | Q3                        | –       |
| 7    | American journal of surgery                           | 14             | 1.19       | 340                       | 24.28                      | 2.565         | Q2                        | 153     |
| 8    | Clinical simulation in nursing                        | 14             | 1.19       | 124                       | 8.86                       | 2.391         | Q1                        | 41      |
| 9    | Academic medicine                                     | 12             | 1.02       | 1191                      | 55.54                      | 6.893         | Q1                        | 152     |
| 10   | Medical education                                     | 12             | 1.02       | 801                       | 66.75                      | 6.251         | Q1                        | 138     |

TABLE 4 | The top 10 most productive authors.

| Rank | Author        | Article counts | Institution                                           | Total number of citations | Country  |
|------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| 1    | McGaghie WC   | 18             | Northwestern Univ                                     | 1,640                     | Canada   |
| 2    | Konge L       | 17             | Univ Copenhagen                                       | 157                       | USA      |
| 3    | Aggarwal R    | 14             | Univ London Imperial Coll Sci Technol & Med           | 348                       | Canada   |
| 4    | Cohen ER      | 14             | Northwestern Univ                                     | 1,155                     | USA      |
| 5    | Wayne DB      | 14             | Northwestern Univ                                     | 1,398                     | Australia|
| 6    | Darzi A       | 11             | Univ London Imperial Coll Sci Technol & Med           | 233                       | USA      |
| 7    | Walsh CM      | 11             | Univ Toronto                                           | 185                       | USA      |
| 8    | Barsuk JH     | 10             | Northwestern Univ                                     | 1,274                     | Canada   |
| 9    | Grantcharov TP| 10             | St Michaels Hosp                                      | 368                       | Denmark  |
| 10   | Sevdalis N    | 10             | Univ London Imperial Coll Sci Technol & Med           | 535                       | UK       |

Analysis of the Top Ten Most Highly Cited References
Published papers that are cited frequently possess tremendous academic impact. Table 5 shows the top 10 articles that had been highly cited in the translational outcome of SBME studies. Most of the highly cited articles in the table were research articles published in authoritative journals with high impact factors such as JAMA (IF = 56.272, Q1), Journal of the American College of Cardiology (IF = 24.094, Q1), BMJ Quality & Safety (IF = 7.035, Q1).

DISCUSSION
Current Status in SBME Research as Translational Science
General Information
In this study, 1,178 publications originating from the WoSCC were analyzed, aiming to explore the hotspots and development trends of the research on the translational outcomes of SBME from 2011 to 2021. For the first time, bibliometric analysis and visualization mapping were utilized in this research field. The number and trends of publications and citations showed increasing research focus. With the development of advanced simulators and progress in medical education, this field will probably remain a hotspot in the next few decades as it provides a beneficial solution to the growing demand for skillful doctors and public health services. As was shown in country distribution, the USA was the most influential country and had the most frequent cooperation with other countries. Among the top 10 most productive institutions, four were located in the USA. Notably, Northwestern University was the second most productive institution. Before 2010, a team from Northwestern University under McGaghie published three critical reviews to summarize the historical trends of simulation-based medical education (2, 18, 19). Firstly, the emergence of medical simulators has laid the foundation of medical simulation education. In the 1990s, high-technology simulations appeared in four medical areas: laparoscopic, cardiovascular disease simulator, multimedia computer systems, and anesthesia simulators. In 2005, the team published the first best evidence medical education (BEME) systematic review of the research evidence on the features and
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use of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning. They then published another critical review of SBME in 2003–2009, identified twelve features and best practice methods. It was not until 2010 that McGaghie first claimed SBME research as translational science, indicating the beginning of the research explosion toward the clinical translational outcomes of SBME (8). Therefore, the concept “translational science” in this area was first expressly proposed by Northwestern University. So it was not difficult to understand why the USA lead in this area. In addition, the University of Toronto, another core center in cooperative mapping, had the most published outputs, revealing that Canada is developing rapidly in this field. Other countries listed in Table 1 are all developed countries while no Asian countries were found. At the same time, geographical imbalance in research was also reflected, suggesting that the strength of medical education in developing countries is still lacking.

Remarkably, journals in the field of SBME translational research such as the *BMC Medical Education, Journal of Surgical Education, and Simulation in Healthcare-Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare* were the primary journals involved in the publication. Therefore, it is reasonably concluded that future developments in this field are more likely to be published in these journals.

### Co-authorship Collaborative Cluster

In addition to listing the top 10 productive authors, we also identified the co-authorship cluster visualization to analyze the collaborative orientation. The red cluster is divided
into two sub-groups centered on Nishisaki et al. (20) and Cheng et al. (21) with orientations concerned pediatric skills. The former proved that simulation-based training improved the performance of team behavior during tracheal intubation procedures as well as pediatric advanced life support in Intensive Care Unit (ICU) (22), while the latter found great effectiveness of SBME translated into cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in pediatric emergency medicine. The green cluster represented the orientations of various catheter intubation and various surgical training including flexible fiberoptic intubation and mastoidectomy (23–25). Notably, the central author of this cluster, in Nilsson et al. (26), also paid great attention to laparoscopy, cystoscopy, and procedures guided by ultrasound, which made a great contribution to the translation effect of minimally invasive surgery (27–29). Blue and yellow clusters centered on Zevin et al. (30) and Sevdalis et al. (31) concentrated on surgically similar translational outcomes especially laparoscopic surgery. Moreover, the purple cluster mainly focused on gastrointestinal endoscopy, particularly technical or non-technical skill translation of colonoscopy (32–34). Two other clusters worth mentioning in particular were the brown cluster and the pink cluster; mainly discussing upgrading skills based on surgical ward care (35) and non-technical skill translation effects in the department of otolaryngology and head-neck surgery (OTL-HNS). Although ward rounds are not currently subject to formal training, they are an extremely crucial skill. Pucher et al. recommended the implementation of a comprehensive curriculum for surgical ward rounds inducing significant improvement in the quality of patient assessment, management, and non-technical skills which may lead to earlier identification and amelioration of complications and improve patient outcomes (36). Furthermore, Young M focused on the clinical transformation of sinus surgery skills training as well as skill development in communication and leadership for OTL-HNS residents (37, 38).

**Research Focused on the Translational Outcome of SBME**

**Influential Research**

Published papers that are cited frequently produce enormous academic influence. Among the top 10 highly-cited references (Table 5), three publications consolidated the important position of translational research in SBME, written by McGaghie et al. who was the most productive author in this area. The most cited paper published in *Academic Medicine* in 2011 has been cited 770 times, which emphasized the importance of deliberate practice (DP) before achieving specific clinical skill acquisition goals suggesting an initial but essential pathway to realize the translational outcome in nearly all clinical skills (4). Another two references by McGaghie et al. are also the most comprehensive review about SBME as translational science until now. The one published on *Medical Education* in 2014 emphasized the significance of translational feature of...
simulation-based mastery learning (SBML) that can produce downstream results, indicating innovative ideas for future research (39). The other cited 151 times proposed that rigorous SBME translational science research can contribute to better patient care and improved patient safety (40). We can see from the list that the translational research of simulated skill training mainly focused on two aspects of specialties, technical skills, and non-technical skills. Referring to technical skills, Andreotta P designed a simulation-based mock code that proved effective in improving the response time of residents when providing CPR and correlates with the enhancement of the CPR clinical skill (41). Referring to non-technical skills, Journal of the American College of Cardiology, Journal of Surgical Education, Medical Education, BMJ Quality & Safety published four papers related to teamwork (42, 43), leadership training (43), and cognitive intervention (44) that enhances the clinical performance (45). However, the paper published on JAMA in 2013 presented an opposite view that among physicians and nurses, simulation-based communication training does not improve the quality of communication on hospice care or quality compared with traditional education (46). The non-technical skills of the human factors gradually come into prominence but their translational effects after SBME are still unclear, more improvement in teaching practice and the creation of effective teaching methods are needed.

Research Focuses Changed Greatly Over Time
According to the timeline view (Figure 5) and burst test of keywords (Figure 6), combined with the number of chronological documents, the research on the translational outcome of SBME can be roughly divided into three stages, in line with at least three seamless phases of translational science progress from bench to bed.

The first stage is from 2011 to 2014, corresponding to the T1 stage of translational science: educational laboratory. At this stage, translational outcomes are educational effects measured at increasingly distal levels beginning in a classroom or medical simulation laboratory and initially moving downstream. Laboratory training can effectively improve residents’ ability to perform anastomoses, which may result in increased efficiency of teaching in the operating room. For example, Burton found simulation-based training is an effective method to improve safety knowledge, attitudes, and teamwork surrounding extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) emergencies in the simulation laboratory environment. Sarwani et al. (47) and Sonnadara et al. (48) also explored the laboratory-based simulation courses in Acute Radiologic Emergencies and surgery. Meanwhile, researchers also focused on finding some objective structured clinical measurement or examination to reflect the improving practice such as operating error, complication, operating time, and pathway length (44, 49). Future progress will require methodological refinements in outcome evaluation and rigorous evaluation on interventions already suggested, many of which such as practice error and complications are well-conceptualized and widely endorsed. With the completion of simulated medical education facilities in recent years, the simulated medical training laboratory transformation in laparoscopic surgical suture has achieved great results (50). Clinical diagnostic medicine is gradually establishing laboratory conditions to simulated skills training, which is the research hotspot of laboratory simulation-based medical education at present (51).

The second stage covers the period from 2015 to 2018 corresponding to the T2 stage of translational science: improved patient care practices, which is the downstream of T1. During this time, individual clinical skill improvement after simulated training has been gradually proved in both technical skills or non-technical skills and an increasing number of randomized controlled trials are carried out to provide clinical evidence. For example, in the field of surgical skills, one of the most progressive areas of translational research of SBME, Jonathan found that after simulation-based training, residents perform vascular and bowel anastomoses more adeptly, quickly, and with a higher quality (52). Another two technical skills improvements worth mentioning are central venous catheterization and CPR in ICU and emergency medicine (21, 53). At the same time, SBME of non-technical skills such as communication practice, teamwork, and leadership practice are also proven to be potentially translated to clinical skill performance (54, 55).

In the third phase of 2018–2021, translational research on SBME seems to focus on the advanced patient outcomes and patient safety which correspond to the T3 phase of translational science: patient outcome, not only individual skill improvement (56–58). Moreover, simulation training begins to move from virtual reality (VR) dependent to standardized patients, which have been already used in dermatology, emergency medicine, communication and counseling skills, mental health education, and social emotional development (59–65). In this phase, medical humanistic factors are essential to improve the outcomes of clinical patients, non-technical simulated training has made great progress when translated to clinical practice outcomes including palliative care (66). Meanwhile, objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) has been designed to assess the situation after the participants received simulated training some time ago (67–69). One of the distinctive features of research in this period is the attitude of the participants and the importance they attach to the simulation training. In another word, the feedback of the participants (70–74). The process from simulated training to clinical practice and then simulated training helps settle the problems in SBME and redesign the training program, and better realizes the clinical transformation and medical service.

Research Trend and Future Direction Thinking
Understanding the latest research focus can help us quickly and effectively grasp the future direction of our research field to promote efficient development. From the burst words and
related literature in recent years, it is obvious that SBME research gradually focuses on long-term impact as well as skill retention more than temporary skill improvement (75, 76). So it is time for SBME to improve according to participants' perception and attitude toward simulation training transformation and feedback. For researchers, in the next decade, it may be time to focus on the T4 stage of translational science, called collateral results, including cost effectiveness, skill retention, and systemic educational and patient care improvements. T4 can be achieved when researchers design studies and measure outcomes beyond educational and clinical variables and are prepared for unintended research outcomes (77–79). Further studies are required to assess the sustainability of skill improvement of the participants over time and more reliable evaluation systems should be designed based on existing research. More objective structured clinical examination should be designed to assess the diverse impact. With the outbreak of COVID-19 in recent years, offline intensive simulation training has been affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. An increasing number of simulated medical education centers are actively exploring the online computer program of SBME. Moreover, the translational outcomes of other important clinical skills still need to be further explored, and more randomized controlled trials are still required to provide more clinical evidence.

**Strengths and Limitations**

First, scientometric methods were used to assess the history and the trend of the research on the clinical translational outcomes of SBME to identify the effectiveness of simulation-based training before the clinical performance. The three most common scientometric tools, including CiteSpace, VOSviewer, and a website platform, which have been widely used in bibliometric reviews (80), were used in our study. Hence, the data analysis was thought to be objective. Besides, our results consisted of the most comprehensive analysis, including nearly all aspects that appeared in this area, even co-authorship cooperation and stage evolution; thus, we were able to get an insight into this field and provide a valuable reference to researchers contributing to SBME. However, objectively, our study still had several limitations. For example, our data only came from the WoSCC without searching other databases such as Embase or PubMed, although the WoSCC is the most frequently used database in scientometric research. Furthermore, our data were analyzed using computer tools rather than manually selected, resulting in the existence of bias. As a remedy, some outcomes were selected again manually so that we could increase the accuracy of the conclusions and decrease the errors. These problems may be solved in the future with the development of software updates.

**CONCLUSION**

This study has demonstrated the global trends in translational outcomes of SBME. We analyzed cooperative relations between countries and distributions of institutions and listed the most influential journals and authors in the field. By clustering the co-authorship visualization map, we clearly showed different research orientations of collaboration between authors. We further analyzed the evolution of research topics in this field via the top-cited reference, timeline view and keyword burstiness. In this decade, translational SBME research moved from the laboratory stage to the bedside clinical stage. The scope of application gradually becomes wider, from technical skills to non-technical skills. The simulation improved gradually, from VR simulation to standardized patients. The way of thinking about simulated medical education has also changed, from one-way to two-way feedback and improvement. Further research on the comprehensive impact, the collateral results of SBME, and a computer-based autonomous skill learning system development in the context of the epidemic will become a critical direction in the near future.
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