Antireflective photonic structure for coherent nonlinear spectroscopy of single magnetic quantum dots
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This work presents epitaxial growth and optical spectroscopy of CdTe quantum dots (QDs) in (Cd,Zn,Mg)Te barriers placed on the top of (Cd,Zn,Mg)Te distributed Bragg reflector. The formed photonic mode in our half-cavity structure permits to enhance the local excitation intensity and extraction efficiency of the QD photoluminescence, while suppressing the reflectance within the spectral range covering the QD transitions. This allows to perform coherent, nonlinear, resonant spectroscopy of individual QDs. The coherence dynamics of a charged exciton is measured via four-wave mixing, with the estimated dephasing time $T_2 = (210 \pm 40)$ ps. The same structure contains QDs doped with single Mn$^{2+}$ ions, as detected in photoluminescence spectra. Our work therefore paves the way toward investigating and controlling an exciton coherence coupled, via s.p-d exchange interaction, with an individual spin of a magnetic dopant.

I. INTRODUCTION

Distributed Bragg Reflectors (DBRs) are typically applied to enhance the reflectance ($R$), but the opposite result — a very low $R$ — can be also designed and obtained with the use of DBRs [13, 14]. Such antireflection (AR) stacks are particularly useful in resonant optical spectroscopy, where the reflected laser light dominates the investigated signal substantially. In particular, using four-wave mixing (FWM) for probing the coherence of single quantum dots (QDs), necessitates efficient injection of the optical excitation into the heterostructure, so as to reach the field amplitude at the QD location sufficient to induce its FWM. This condition has been achieved by employing AR planar samples [15–17] and, more recently, by suitably designed nanophotonic devices [13, 20]. Here, we realize half-cavity AR planar structures and employ them to investigate FWM of individual CdTe QDs. As the same structure contains QDs with incorporated single Mn$^{2+}$ ions, the appealing prospect of our work is to reveal the exciton’s coherence coupled with a magnetic dopant via s.p-d exchange interaction.

There are two basic approaches to AR structures on the top of high refractive index ($n$) semiconductors. Firstly, one can deposit a single layer with a lower refractive index equal to $\sqrt{n}$ and thickness of $\lambda/(4n)$, where $\lambda$ is the targeted wavelength for the lowest reflectance. While this method offers a broad AR spectral range, its implementation is conditioned by the availability of materials with a required value of $n$. Secondly, one can use symmetric microcavities composed of two DBRs with the nominally the same $R$. The cavity mode exhibits a pronounced dip in the $R$ spectrum, which should be accurately fitted to the wavelength of interest. In this work, we implement the AR strategy based on a cavity system. In order to maximize the spectral width of the cavity resonance, we have designed and grown a low Q-factor structure ($Q \approx 20$): a half-cavity is formed by four bottom Bragg pairs and the spacer containing the QD layer, also acting as a semiconductor/air interface at the top.

II. GROWTH AND THE SAMPLE LAYOUT

The sample was grown using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) model SVT Associates. Our molecular sources were standard Knudsen cells loaded with commercially available materials: Zn, Cd, and Te with 7 N purity; Mg and Mn with 6 N purity. Chamber pressure was at level of about $2 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. The growth was assisted by in-situ optical reflectance (model Filmetrics F-30) with a white light incident beam perpendicular to the sample surface. The system is equipped with a heated optical viewport, so that it was possible to perform spectroscopic measurement during a long growth sequence, while avoiding material deposition on the window. For the sample described in this work, we monitored $R$ versus time at $\lambda = 730$ nm, as shown in Fig.1(a). In our method, this choice defined the resonant wavelength of the cavity for a given spot of the sample (the substrate was not rotated during the growth). However, other zones of the sample exhibit either thinner layers with cavity resonance at shorter wavelength or thicker layers with resonance at longer wavelength.

The growth was performed at constant temperature $T = 345^\circ$ C, on a 2-inch GaAs:Si substrate oriented (100) with $2^\circ$ off. We started by depositing a $3 \mu$m thick CdTe buffer. Since CdTe is absorbing at $\lambda = 730$ nm, the corresponding oscillations of $R$ were rapidly suppressed and a characteristic $R = 0.25$ was measured before time $t = 10400$ s. As depicted in Fig.1(b), we next grew a Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te buffer lattice matched to subsequent DBR layers. Due to the presence of Mg and Zn, such buffer exhibits a slightly lower
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refractive index than CdTe and 250 meV larger energy gap than CdTe. Therefore, Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te buffer is transparent for $\lambda = 730$ nm, generating interferences in the $R(t)$ trace (time between 10400 s and 13100 s in Fig. 1(a)) during the growth of this material. Observation of $R(t)$ interferences allowed us to determine growth rate $v = 1.1 \mu$m/h. Next, when $R(t)$ reached a local maximum, we started the growth of lattice matched DBRs composed of Cd$_{0.43}$Zn$_{0.07}$Mg$_{0.50}$Te (low $n$ layer) and Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te (high $n$ layer) [23, 21, 22]. Adjacent layers with various composition of Mg were obtained using a pair of Mg sources, such that either one or two sources were opened at a time. An exact time-point for growing DBRs was not predefined in the programmed sequence. Instead, it was adjusted manually by closing one of the Mg shutters, as soon as the minimum of $R$ was reached, while keeping opened both Mg shutters when the maximum of $R$ was attained (see Fig. 1(a)). As expected, during the initial stage of a DBR growth, after each pair, the contrast between the maximum and minimum value of $R$ was increasing. Interestingly, $R$ reached the minimum value below 1% already after depositing the fourth DBR pair. Since this is what required for an efficient AR structure and further DBR growth would only increase reflectance, we completed the growth of DBRs already after four pairs and we completed $\lambda/n$ cavity composed of the high $n$ material Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te, enclosing CdTe:Mn QDs in the middle. As shown in Fig. 1(a), fabricating thickness equal to $\lambda/(2n)$ or $\lambda/(n)$ of high index material does not affect $R$ at $\lambda = 730$ nm. Our half-cavity structure is formed and terminated by the semiconductor/air interface on the top.

QDs are formed out of about 1 nm (3 monolayers) thick CdTe:Mn layer grown at the same conditions as Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te and the remaining top part of the sample. Basing on giant Zeeman effect [23] measured for thicker CdTe:Mn layers grown in similar conditions, expected concentration of Mn in our CdTe:Mn layer is about $x = 0.1\%$. As a matter of fact, this layer forms a thin quantum well exhibiting interface fluctuations, leading to formation of QDs. The growth of similar QDs, but in Cd$_{0.7}$Mg$_{0.3}$Te barriers, was previously reported [24]. Here, we used even lower barriers and consequently we shifted the QD emission energy to spectral region where the absorption of (Cd,Zn,Mg)Te is low enough to realize microcavities.

Microscopy image of the most important parts of studied structure is shown in Fig. 2 DBR layers, seen as alternating bright and dark layers, are well resolved. Also, the QD layer is resolved in the middle of the cavity, it is seen as a thin trace in the magnified part of the cavity.

III. OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY

a. Photoluminescence and reflectance. Fig. 2 shows low temperature photoluminescence (PL) and reflectance spectra of studied sample, both measured using experimental setup equipped in a microscope objective with spatial resolution of about 1 $\mu$m [25]. PL was excited nonresonantly at 532 nm using CW power of about 1 $\mu$W, well below the QD saturation. The reflectance was measured using a white (halogen) lamp.

The PL reveals a signal from a thin CdTe layer at 665 nm and sharp lines of individual CdTe QDs in range 670-700 nm. In our sample, CdTe QDs are placed in Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te barrier, which is also visible as a weak peak close to 650 nm in the PL spectrum and as a spectral wiggle in $R(\lambda)$ between 635 and 650 nm. We therefore estimate the confinement energy of around 50 meV for the excitons localized in QD-like states. Reflectance spectrum at the investigated area of the wafer shows also a broad (about 40 nm wide) and deep (be-
a local excitation intensity enhancement.

The obtained broad and deep cavity mode, mimics an AR coating, but also provides resonance with the emission of QDs. During the growth process, the DBR acts as an AR photonic structure for spectral region 600 nm wide) and deep (below 3% of reflectance) cavity mode, showing that the DBR acts as an AR photonic structure for spectral region of QDs emission.

The sample offers a possibility to investigate and control an exciton coherence coupled, via $s,p,d$ exchange interaction, with an individual spin of a magnetic element $\text{Mn}^{2+}$.[26–31] This is possible thanks to Mn $\delta$-doping introduced within the CdTe QD layer. Via micro-photoluminescence spectroscopy we can recognize QDs containing Mn ions, and in particular distinguish those incorporating exactly one such dopant. To be more specific, QDs without magnetic ions exhibit sharp lines (typical full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) below 0.1 meV), originating from the exciton recombination. QDs with more than two magnetic ions exhibit spectrally broader lines (typically FWHM above 1 meV), which significantly shift in a magnetic field.[32] For QDs with exactly two Mn ions, each exciton transition gets split into a manifold of 36 lines, owing to $s,p,d$ exchange interaction between individual Mn ions and carriers confined in a QD.

Varying the position on the sample.

The sample offers a possibility to investigate and control an exciton coherence coupled, via $s,p,d$ exchange interaction, with an individual spin of a magnetic element $\text{Mn}^{2+}$.[26–31] This is possible thanks to Mn $\delta$-doping introduced within the CdTe QD layer. Via micro-photoluminescence spectroscopy we can recognize QDs containing Mn ions, and in particular distinguish those incorporating exactly one such dopant. To be more specific, QDs without magnetic ions exhibit sharp lines (typical full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) below 0.1 meV), originating from the exciton recombination. QDs with more than two magnetic ions exhibit spectrally broader lines (typically FWHM above 1 meV), which significantly shift in a magnetic field.[32] For QDs with exactly two Mn ions, each exciton transition gets split into a manifold of 36 lines, owing to $s,p,d$ possible spin states of two Mn ions.[33] Finally, a spectral fingerprint of a QD with a single Mn ion is very characteristic: exciton transitions display 6-fold splitting, owing to $s,p,d$ exchange interaction with the Mn ion. The latter exhibits 6 possible spin states, corresponding to spin projection $\pm 1/2, \pm 3/2$, and $\pm 5/2$ on quantization axis of excitons (growth axis). In our sample, majority of QDs do not contain Mn ions and thus show single lines. We have not observed QDs with two or more Mn ions. However, there is a small fraction (well below 1%) of QDs, which exhibit the PL pattern characteristic for QDs containing exactly one individual Mn ion. A typical example of PL measured at such magnetic field is shown in Fig. 4. The excitonic spectra correspond to recombination of exciton-to-ground ($\text{GX}^{\text{Mn}}$) and biexciton-to-exciton states $\text{XB}^{\text{Mn}}$ display the characteristic 6-fold splitting.[26]. Spectra are measured as a function of the excitation power. As expected, the biexciton transitions exhibit a much stronger intensity dependence, than the exciton ones (see Fig. 4). Observation of a pronounced and

![FIG. 2. Image of the studied structure. DBR layers - alternating bright and dark layers are well resolved. The inset in the right corner is a magnified part of the cavity area containing CdTe QDs. The image is prepared using high angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM).](image1)

![FIG. 3. (a) PL and (b) reflectance spectra measured at $T = 7$ K. PL shows a multitude of sharp lines corresponding to excitonic transitions in individual QDs. QDs are in resonance with cavity mode observed in reflectance. Reflectance spectrum displays a broad (about 40 nm wide) and deep (below 3% of reflectance) cavity mode, showing that the DBR acts as an AR photonic structure for spectral region of QDs emission.](image2)

![FIG. 4. Photoluminescence spectra of a single CdTe/Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te QD with a single Mn ion, measured for higher excitation power (upper spectrum) and lower excitation power (lower spectrum). As expected, biexciton ($\text{XB}^{\text{Mn}}$) transitions exhibit higher sensitivity on excitation power than the exciton ($\text{GX}^{\text{Mn}}$) ones. Both clusters are sixfold split due to $s,p,d$ exchange interaction between individual Mn$^{2+}$ ion and carriers confined in a QD.](image3)
spectrally sharp biexciton complex, with a binding energy of around 7 meV, indicates that QDs forming from fluctuations of thin CdTe layer in Cd$_{0.77}$Zn$_{0.13}$Mg$_{0.10}$Te barrier are in fact well defined zero dimensional objects, which efficiently localize even four carriers (two holes and two electrons). Other excitonic complexes, corresponding to a negatively and/or positively charged QDs, doped with single Mn$^{2+}$ ions have also been identified (not shown).

b. Four-wave mixing. To retrieve the coherent nonlinear response of individual QDs, we employed the heterodyne spectral interferometry [15]. Technical details regarding the current implementation of the setup are provided in Ref. [19]. To resonantly excite the QDs at 680-690 nm with 0.3 ps pulses, we use an optical parametric oscillator (OPO model Inspire provided by Radiantis), pumped with a Ti:Sapphire laser (model Tsunami Femto provided by Spectra-Physics).

The excitation spectrum generated by the OPO in shown in Fig. 5b (green). We form a pair of pulse trains $E_1$ and $E_2$ with a variable delay $\tau_{12}$ controlled with a mechanical stage providing $\tau_{12}$ up to 1 ns. $E_1$ and $E_2$ are modulated using acousto-optic deflectors, optimized for a VIS range and driven at $\Omega_1 = 80$ MHz and $\Omega_2 = 80.77$ MHz, respectively. Both beams are recombined into the same spatial mode and are focused to the diffraction limit at the sample surface using a microscope objective (NA=0.65) installed on a XYZ piezo-stage. We interfere the reflectance with the frequency shifted reference beam $E_R$.

In particular, by selecting the heterodyne mixing at $2\Omega_2 - \Omega_1 = 81.54$ MHz, we select the beating frequency corresponding to the FWM field, proportional to $E_1^*E_2E_2$ in the lowest order. The FWM-$E_R$ interference is spectrally resolved and detected by a CCD camera.

As candidates for FWM measurements, we pick up the transitions as the one shown in Fig. 5a, which feature an enhanced flux of the PL, thus indicating an increased extraction efficiency ($\eta \geq 5$) with respect to other QDs. By monitoring the reflectance, we assure that the targeted transition lies at the minimum of the cavity mode. As a result, we expect the weak FWM field to be enhanced by a factor $\eta^{3/2}Q^{3/2} \approx 200$ with respect to bare QDs, and thus capable to be induced with a respectively lowered resonant intensity of $E_1$ and $E_2$.

A representative example of the FWM interference at $\tau_{12} = +1.2$ ps is presented in Fig. 5 with a signal-to-noise of 10 after 300 s integration. This is comparable with previous FWM measurements on single QDs employing AR structures [16,17]. In Fig. 5c, we present FWM amplitude, as a function of $E_1$ amplitude, proportional to its area $\theta_1$. With increasing the latter, the FWM is expected to yield Rabi oscillations [19], with the first maximum (minimum) at $\theta_1 = \pi/2$ (0). In our case however, the accessible range of intensities is not sufficient to attain significant $\theta_1$, so as to approach $\pi/2$ area. The flopping is not observed and we remain in the $\chi^{(3)}$ driving regime of the FWM.

In the inset of Fig. 5d we illustrate the two-pulse sequence performed to infer the coherence dynamics of the same transition ($E_R$ is set fixed, 1 ps prior to $E_2$): $E_1$ induces the coherence, which is converted into the density grating by $E_2$. The latter is then self-diffracted into the FWM polarization. By measuring FWM as a function of $\tau_{12}$ one can access the homogeneous broadening $\gamma = 2\hbar/T_2$ (where $T_2$ denotes dephasing time) within the inhomogeneously broadened distribution via inferring photon echoes, which in case of a single QD are created by a random spectral wandering [16,17]. The data are fit by the model including both homogeneous and inhomogeneous contributions to the spectral shape [17,20].

The result, shown with a black trace, yields the dephasing time of $T_2 = (210 \pm 40)$ ps and homogeneous broadening of ($50 \pm 20$) $\mu$eV (FWM). A large uncertainty of $T_2$ stems from a limited range of the accessible delays, restricted by the time-resolution of the spectrometer - the issue which could be overcome by introducing the delay between $E_R$ and $E_2$ [34]. Note the decrease of the FWM during initial several ps, which is due to dephasing with acoustic phonons [34]. We observe no FWM signal for $\tau_{12} < 0$, which could rise from a two-photon coherence [20] between the ground state and a two-exciton (biexciton) state in a QD. The missing signal for $\tau_{12} < 0$, indicates that the QD is charged, allowing only for the trion transition [19]. Finally, it is worth to point out an order of magnitude longer $T_2$, with respect to the previous, and until now sole report available for the CdTe-platform [16].

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our structure represents the third successful approach to the growth of photonic structures with QDs containing single Mn ions. The first report has been presented in Ref. [35],
where a three-step process, involving two remote MBE facilities, was established to grow ZnTe/MgTe/MgSe based microcavities with CdTe/ZnTe QDs containing single Mn ion. In that case, growth interruptions were due to missing molecular sources in growth chambers: the first growth chamber was used to realize DBRs, the second one was employed for the growth of Mn-doped QDs. Recently, the same material system was used for the growth of Mn-doped QDs on the top of 10 DBR pairs. The process was carried out using a single MBE machine, but growth interruptions were anyway required to clean the chamber from the residual Se atoms, which incorporated into QDs and impaired their optical properties.

Comparing to previous works, here the procedure is significantly simplified, since Se is not involved in the growth of our microcavity based on (Cd,Zn,Mg)Te. Therefore, the whole process is realized in a single growth chamber, without growth interruptions. It can be reproduced in any MBE growth chamber devoted to Te compounds. One more important advantage of the present system is that CdTe/(Cd0.77Zn0.13Mg0.10)Te QDs with single Mn ion presented here could be brought toward the spectral range of Al2O3:Ti tunable femtosecond laser, i.e. above 700 nm. This could be particularly handy as it eliminates the requirement of using OPO sources to perform resonant, ultrafast spectroscopy of this material system. Finally, the design of antireflective photonic structure presented in this work will be necessary for achieving a satisfactory retrieval sensitivity of coherent nonlinear responses of magnetic QDs, where the exciton oscillator strength is distributed over a set of spin-assisted transitions.
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