Association of body image dissatisfaction with body mass index trajectory: the Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Longitudinal Study cohort

Objetivo: To examine the association of body image dissatisfaction (BID) with body mass index (BMI) trajectory among students from a metropolitan area of Rio de Janeiro. Métodos: Data were analyzed for 809 middle school students followed from 2010 to 2013, and 1,131 high school students followed from 2010 to 2012. BID was assessed using a body silhouettes scale. Type of school (public and private) was used as a proxy of socioeconomic status. Resultados: In the middle school cohort, girls from private schools who wanted to have smaller and bigger silhouettes gained fewer BMI units than those who were satisfied with their body image (p < 0.05). In the high school, girls from private schools who wished to have bigger and smaller silhouettes experienced greater BMI increase than girls who were satisfied with their body image (p < 0.05). Also, in the high school cohort, boys from public schools who wished to have smaller and bigger silhouettes experienced smaller BMI increase than boys who were satisfied (p < 0.05). Conclusão: BID may be related to the BMI trajectory in girls from private schools and in boys from public schools.
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INTRODUCTION

Body image dissatisfaction (BID) is defined as a body or shape negative self-evaluation, that is, the difference between the self-perceived body and the desired body by the individual\(^1\). A current scenario of pressure for thinness, whether transmitted by family members, media, or peers, often generates much anguish, especially in adolescents, whose characteristic is to follow leaders, groups, and fashions, in addition to the strong desire for acceptance and belonging to these social groups. Thus, adolescents are more likely to develop concerns about body and appearance\(^2\), making them more vulnerable to BID\(^3\).

This is an important problem because it may be closely linked to the onset of many common health problems in adolescence such as eating disorders, use of drugs for weight loss, and low self-esteem, which can interfere with weight gain throughout this stage of life\(^4\). In addition, studies argue that the growth process, characterised by the pubertal growth spurt and sexual maturation can interfere with the self-image of adolescents as different parts of the body grow at different rates during this period\(^5\), mainly in the first stage of adolescence, between 10 and 14 years old\(^6\).

International\(^7\)\(^8\) and national\(^9\)\(^\text{15}\) cross-sectional studies have investigated the association between BID and weight status in adolescents. Generally, the smaller silhouettes desire is associated with excess of weight, while the desire for bigger silhouettes is related with lower weight status. Besides that, body image issues as BID\(^16\) and weight/shape overvaluation\(^17\) has grown in modern society in which the number of individuals with overweight and obesity has increased significantly\(^18\). In this context, there is a paradox between the growing food offerings that favour the increase of obesity and the constant pressure to be thin\(^19\). The vulnerability to this pressure and, consequently, BID generally can be associated to weight status\(^7\)\(^\text{16}\), female gender\(^\text{10}\)\(^\text{19}\) and high socioeconomic level (mainly in adolescents from other countries)\(^\text{13}\)\(^\text{21}\). Thus, in addition to associations well established in cross-sectional studies, we believe that dissatisfaction may be also associated with changes in the BMI trajectory in these groups during adolescence.

A Colombian longitudinal study using child-adapted body shape scales, found that BID was associated with changes in the BMI trajectory of school-age children (5-12 years) from public schools\(^\text{22}\). To the best of our knowledge, only this above-mentioned study\(^\text{22}\) has investigated BID and BMI trajectory associations, but no one has investigated this association contemplating all the years of adolescence (10-19 years) in different socioeconomic contexts. It is necessary to highlight that in Brazil, country in which studies on the influence of socioeconomic level in body image are inconclusive\(^23\), it is important to study the association between BID and socioeconomic status where the type of school can be interpreted as a socioeconomic status proxy\(^23\)\(^\text{24}\).

The hypothesis that guides this study is that BID can interfere with weight changes over time, a process that can be more associated to female gender and possibly to higher socioeconomic status. Thus, this study aimed to examine the effect of BID on BMI trajectory among adolescents attending public and private schools from a metropolitan area of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

METHODS

Study design and population

A prospective study, the Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Longitudinal Study (ELANA), followed two cohorts of adolescents: a) those attending the sixth grade of middle school in 2010 (baseline), meaning early adolescence (mean age of 11.8 ± 1.15 years old), evaluated annually until 2013 and b) those attending the first grade of high school in 2010 (baseline), evaluated annually until 2012, meaning intermediate or late adolescence (mean age of 15.8 ± 0.92 years)\(^\text{21}\). Students in four private and two public schools located in the metropolitan region of Rio de Janeiro were evaluated. All students regularly admitted in the middle and high schools who met the eligibility criteria at baseline (having no physical or mental condition preventing completion of the questionnaire and assessment in anthropometric measures and not being pregnant or lactating) were invited to participate in the study.

The ELANA was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the Institute of Social Medicine of the State University of Rio de Janeiro (certificate number 0020.0259.000-09). Participation was voluntary, and written informed consent was obtained from parents.

In the middle school, 809 of the 945 eligible students participated in baseline data collection (2010). At follow-up, the effective study sample comprised 648 students in 2011, 547 in 2012, and 488 in 2013. In the high school, 1039 of the 1131 eligible students participated in baseline data collection, and 789 participated in 2011 and 585 in 2012. The flowcharts of participants during the study are shown in figures 1 and 2.

Measurements

Anthropometric measures were performed by trained research assistants according to Lohman et al.\(^\text{25}\) protocols. Body weight was measured using an electronic and portable scale (Kratos®) with a capacity of up to 150 kg. Height was measured using a portable stadiometer (Alturexata®) with a range of 0-213 cm and a variation of 0.1 cm. Measurements were performed with adolescents without shoes and wearing light clothing, while standing fully upright, holding feet together, head in the Frankfurt plane, shoulders relaxed.
and arms hanging freely. Height was measured twice and repeated when the variation exceeded 0.5 cm between the two measurements. The mean of the measurements was used for analysis. The standardization of the field team for these measurements was made according to Habicht techniques at baseline and according to Pederson & Gore techniques in the other phases of the study (2011, 2012 and 2013), which take into account the accuracy, validity and the technical error of the measurements.

Sociodemographic variables (age, sex, and type of school), sexual maturation, diet to lose weight, and BID data were obtained by self-report questionnaire. The field team was composed of graduated and post graduate students trained for the application, checking and quality control.
of the questionnaires. Field supervisors also explained to the students the procedure for completing self-report questionnaires, addressed their doubts, and checked each questionnaire to detect fill errors.

Sexual maturation stage was investigated at baseline only in the middle school cohort (considering that the greater body changes due to puberty occur in this age group) using the self-evaluation technique validated by Saito28 and focusing on the five stages of development of external genitalia for boys, breast development for girls and pubic hair for both, according to Tanner’s criterion29.

Diet to lose weight at baseline was assessed by the question, “In the last three months, how often did you diet to control your weight?” The options for answers “never”, “a few times”, “often”, and “always”.

BID was also evaluated at baseline using the body silhouettes scale adapted for adolescents30, which comprises a set of nine silhouettes each for girls and boys ranging from the silhouette corresponding to extreme thinness to the silhouette corresponding to obesity31. The silhouettes were presented to adolescents with the following instructions: 1. “Select the figure you think you look the most like” and 2. “Select the figure you would most like to look like”. BID was classified as the difference between the score corresponding to the silhouette they thought matched their current body (question 1) and the score corresponding to the silhouette they would like to have (question 2). The values of the differences could range from −8 to +8, and greater the distance from the zero, greater is the degree of BID. It was considered that adolescents with negative values wished for bigger silhouettes and those with positive values wished for smaller silhouettes32.

Data analysis

In the descriptive analysis, the following baseline variables were presented by cohorts (middle or high school). BID was categorised into five groups: satisfaction with body image (0), bigger silhouettes slight desire (−1), bigger silhouettes intense desire (−2 to -8), smaller silhouettes slight desire (1), and smaller silhouettes intense desire (2 to 8); BMI (kg/m2) was classified into four groups according to World Health Organization recommended age- and sex-standardised z-scores33 as follows: underweight (<−2), normal weight (−2 to ≤1), overweight (>1 to ≤2), and obese (>2); sex (male and female); type of school as proxy of socioeconomic status (public schools representing low socioeconomic level and private schools high socioeconomic status)34; diet to lose weight was categorised into two groups: no (never) and yes (a few times, often, always); and sexual maturation into five stages: from 1 to 5 breast/genitalia/pubic hair categories.

Participants baseline data were compared by sex for each cohort and between those with one BMI measure and two or more BMI measures. Mean differences were compared using Student’s t-tests, and categorical variables were compared by chi-square test. These analyses were performed using the Statistical Program for the Social Sciences software, version 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

BMI trajectories were analysed using linear mixed effect models through Proc Mixed command of the Statistical Analysis System, version 9.4 (SAS, Institute Inc, Cary, NC). This type of analysis allows accommodating correlations between repeated measurements as well as analysis for incomplete follow-up data on the outcome variable by considering the common effects of participants in the same group – fixed effects - and specific effects of each participant – random effects35. The longitudinal analyses were stratified by type of school and sex.

To assess differences between changes in BMI over time according to the type of school and sex, an interaction term was used composed by age (used as a time effect) and the categorical variable of interest (Age × BID). The null hypothesis is that differences in BMI trajectories between BID levels would be constant over time. For this analysis, we assumed an unstructured variance-covariance pattern36. The trajectory analyses were adjusted by sexual maturation (stages 1 to 5 of genitalia for boys, breasts for girls and pubic hair for both) in middle school and diet to lose weight (yes or no) for middle and high school students at baseline.

RESULTS

In the middle school cohort boys were older than girls (11.9 vs. 11.7 years old, p = 0.002); presented more obesity (22.2% vs. 14.0%, p = 0.026); and were in more advanced stages of sexual maturation (stages 4 and 5 of the breast/genitalia/pubic hair classification). In the high school, boys were also older than girls (15.8 vs. 15.7 years old, p = 0.045); also presented more obesity (11.8% vs. 6.1%, p = 0.012); and lower frequency of diet practice (30.5% vs. 49.1%, p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Regarding BID in the middle school, boys (44.5%) and girls (49.2%) presented similar frequencies (p = 0.690) of dissatisfaction (desire for smaller silhouettes). In the high school cohort, 31.2% of boys wanted smaller silhouettes while 50.4% of girls presented the same desire (p < 0.001), however the desire for bigger silhouettes was more frequent in boys (41.2%) compared to girls (27.1%, p < 0.001) (Table 1).

For loss of follow-up, it was noted that there were selective losses for both middle and high school cohorts. The mean age was higher for adolescents with only one BMI measurement, and there was more missing data in the public school. The proportion of adolescents who wanted to have bigger silhouettes (−2 or less) was higher among those with only one BMI measurement (Table 2).
Table 1. Sociodemographic factors, body mass index classification, sexual maturation, diet and body image dissatisfaction at baseline according to sex among students from middle and high schools

|                                             | Middle school | High school | p*  |                                             | Middle school | High school | p*  |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|
|                                             | Boys (n = 435) | Girls (n = 374) |     |                                             | Boys (n = 482) | Girls (n = 557) |     |
| Age (years) (mean ± SD)                     | 11.9 ± 1.2    | 11.7 ± 1.0   | 0.002 |                                             | 15.8 ± 0.9    | 15.7 ± 0.9   | 0.045 |
| Type of school (%)                          |              |             |      |                                             |              |             |      |
| Private                                     | 63.0         | 62.8        | 0.511 |                                             | 53.3          | 48.5        | 0.067 |
| Public                                      | 37.0         | 37.2        |       |                                             | 46.7          | 51.5        |       |
| BMI classification (%)                      |              |             |      |                                             |              |             |      |
| Low weight                                  | 3.0          | 2.7         | 0.026 |                                             | 1.7           | 1.3         | 0.012 |
| Adequate weight                             | 51.8\*       | 58.1\*      |       |                                             | 69.1\*        | 74.0\*      |       |
| Overweight                                  | 23.0         | 25.2        |       |                                             | 17.3          | 18.6        |       |
| Obesity                                     | 22.2\*       | 14.0\*      |       |                                             | 11.8\*        | 6.1\*       |       |
| Sexual maturation (%)                       |              |             |      |                                             |              |             |      |
| B1/G1                                       | 4.9          | 6.2         | 0.004 |                                             | -             | -           |      |
| B2/G2                                       | 26.0\*       | 32.2\*      |       |                                             | -             | -           |      |
| B3/G3                                       | 41.8         | 43.1        |       |                                             | -             | -           |      |
| B4/G4                                       | 21.3\*       | 16.8\*      |       |                                             | -             | -           |      |
| B5/G5                                       | 6.0\*        | 1.6         |       |                                             | -             | -           |      |
| Diet to lose weight (%)                     |              |             |      |                                             |              |             |      |
| Yes                                         | 47.6         | 45.9        | 0.346 |                                             | 30.5          | 49.1        | <0.001 |
| No                                          | 52.4         | 54.1        |       |                                             | 69.5          | 50.9        |       |
| BID (%)                                     |              |             |      |                                             |              |             |      |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire           | 14.1         | 12.4        | 0.690 |                                             | 18.7\*        | 12.8\*      | <0.001 |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire            | 15.2         | 12.7        |       |                                             | 22.5\*        | 14.2\*      |       |
| Satisfied                                   | 26.1         | 25.7        |       |                                             | 27.6          | 22.6        |       |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire           | 18.9         | 20.8        |       |                                             | 13.5\*        | 25.0\*      |       |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire          | 25.6         | 28.4        |       |                                             | 17.7\*        | 25.4\*      |       |

BID: body image dissatisfaction: satisfied (0), bigger silhouettes slight desire (−1), bigger silhouettes intense desire (−2 to −8), smaller silhouettes slight desire (1), and smaller silhouettes intense desire (2 to 8). *B/G: Breast/Genitalia classification of sexual maturation. BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation. * Chi-square test. ** Partitioning chi-square test: p value < 0.05.

Table 2. Characteristics of adolescents with only one body mass index measurement and those with two or more body mass index measurements

|                                             | Only one BMI measurement (n = 124) | Two or more BMI measurements (n = 679) | p*  | Only one BMI measurement (n = 232) | Two or more BMI measurements (n = 794) | p*  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| Gender (%)                                  |                                    |                                       |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Male                                        | 58.1                               | 53.2                                  | 0.182 | 50.9                               | 45.2                                   | 0.075 |
| Female                                      | 41.9                               | 46.8                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Age (years) (mean ± SD)                     | 12.5 ± 1.6                         | 11.7 ± 1.0                            | 0.000 | 16.2 ± 1.1                         | 15.6 ± 0.8                             | 0.000 |
| Type of school (%)                          |                                    |                                       |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Private                                     | 50.0                               | 65.2                                  | 0.001 | 44.4                               | 52.0                                   | 0.025 |
| Public                                      | 50.0                               | 34.8                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| BMI classification (%)                      |                                    |                                       |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Low weight                                  | 4.9                                | 2.5                                   | 0.129 | 1.3                                | 1.5                                    | 0.416 |
| Adequate weight                             | 60.2                               | 53.7                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Overweight                                  | 17.1                               | 25.3                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Obesity                                     | 17.9                               | 18.5                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| BID (%)                                     |                                    |                                       |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire           | 24.4\*                            | 11.3\*                                | 0.001 | 19.9\*                            | 14.6\*                                 | 0.027 |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire            | 11.4                               | 14.5                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Satisfied                                   | 25.2                               | 26.3                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire           | 13.0\*                            | 20.9\*                                |     |                                    |                                        |     |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire          | 26.0                               | 27.0                                  |     |                                    |                                        |     |

BID: body image dissatisfaction: satisfied (0), bigger silhouettes slight desire (−1), bigger silhouettes intense desire (−2 to −8), smaller silhouettes slight desire (1), and smaller silhouettes intense desire (2 to 8). BMI: body mass index; m: mean; SD: standard deviation. * Chi-square test. ** Partitioning chi-square test: p value < 0.05.
Regarding BMI trajectories of the adolescents in middle school, it was noted that private school girls with slight desire for smaller silhouettes (1.9 kg/m²) and those with slight desire for bigger silhouettes (1.7 kg/m²) gained less BMI than girls who were satisfied (2.8 kg/m², \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 3).

In the high school cohort, girls from private schools with slight (1.1 kg/m²) and intense (1.4 kg/m²) desire for smaller silhouettes and those with intense desire for bigger silhouettes (1.2 kg/m²) gained more BMI than girls who were satisfied (0.5 kg/m², \( p < 0.05 \)) in contrast, boys from public schools with slight desire for smaller silhouettes (0.3 kg/m²) and slight (0.9 kg/m²) and intense (0.5 kg/m²) desire for bigger silhouettes experienced smaller BMI increase than boys who were satisfied (1.3 kg/m², \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 4 and Figure 3).

Table 3. Mean predicted values\(^1\) of body mass index (kg/m\(^2\)) by body image dissatisfaction levels of adolescents from middle school at baseline and follow-up, classified according to type of school and sex

| BID                          | Private school | Public school |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| BID                          | Boys           | Girls         | Boys           | Girls         |
|                              | 2011           | 2012          | 2013           | 2011          | 2012          | 2013          |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire | 16.4           | 16.8          | 17.1           | 17.7          | 16.1          | 16.6          | 17.3          | 17.9          |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire | 16.7           | 17.3          | 17.9           | 18.6          | 17.2\(^*\)     | 17.9\(^*\)     | 18.4          | 18.9          |
| Satisfied                     | 19.1           | 19.7          | 20.4           | 21.0          | 17.6          | 18.5          | 19.5          | 20.4          |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire | 21.9           | 22.3          | 22.7           | 23.2          | 20.7\(^*\)     | 21.4\(^*\)     | 22.2          | 22.6          |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire | 24.3           | 24.8          | 25.4           | 26.0          | 23.9          | 24.5          | 25.3          | 25.9          |
| BID                          | 2011           | 2012          | 2013           | 2011          | 2012          | 2013          |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire | 17.3           | 17.5          | 17.9           | 18.4          | 18.4          | 18.7          | 18.6          | 19.3          |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire | 18.5           | 18.9          | 19.3           | 19.6          | 18.3          | 18.6          | 18.8          | 19.0          |
| Satisfied                     | 19.0           | 19.4          | 19.7           | 20.1          | 19.2          | 19.5          | 19.9          | 20.1          |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire | 21.1           | 21.5          | 21.9           | 22.3          | 21.4          | 21.7          | 22.3          | 22.5          |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire | 25.3           | 25.5          | 26.1           | 26.4          | 23.8          | 24.2          | 24.6          | 24.9          |

\(^*\) All categories compared to level 0 (satisfied), \( p < 0.05 \).

\(^1\) Model of interaction: body mass index = age \( \times \) BID, adjusted by sexual maturation and diet to lose weight.

Table 4. Mean predicted values\(^1\) of body mass index (kg/m\(^2\)) by body image dissatisfaction levels of adolescents from high school at baseline and follow-up, classified according to type of school and sex

| BID                          | Private school | Public school |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| BID                          | Boys           | Girls         | Boys           | Girls         |
|                              | 2011           | 2012          | 2013           | 2011          | 2012          | 2013          |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire | 18.8           | 19.4          | 20.0           | 18.0\(^*\)     | 18.6\(^*\)     | 19.2          |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire | 19.6           | 20.2          | 20.9           | 19.3          | 19.5          | 19.8          |
| Satisfied                     | 21.6           | 22.3          | 23.1           | 20.3          | 20.5          | 20.8          |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire | 23.3           | 24.0          | 24.8           | 22.5\(^*\)     | 23.0\(^*\)     | 23.6          |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire | 28.1           | 28.8          | 29.2           | 25.4\(^*\)     | 26.1\(^*\)     | 26.8          |
| BID                          | 2011           | 2012          | 2013           | 2011          | 2012          | 2013          |
| Bigger silhouettes intense desire | 19.3\(^*\)     | 19.5\(^*\)   | 19.8           | 18.4          | 18.8          | 19.3          |
| Bigger silhouettes slight desire | 19.8\(^*\)     | 20.2\(^*\)   | 20.7\(^*\)     | 19.6          | 19.9          | 20.3          |
| Satisfied                     | 21.7           | 22.2          | 23.0           | 21.2          | 21.6          | 22.0          |
| Smaller silhouettes slight desire | 24.3\(^*\)     | 24.5\(^*\)   | 24.6\(^*\)     | 23.0          | 23.6          | 24.3          |
| Smaller silhouettes intense desire | 28.0           | 28.6          | 29.2           | 26.0          | 26.2          | 26.5          |

\(^*\) All categories compared to level 0 (satisfied), \( p < 0.05 \).

\(^1\) Model of interaction: body mass index = age \( \times \) BID, adjusted by diet to lose weight.
DISCUSSION

The main result of this research is that BID had a significant effect on BMI gain during the follow-up study in girls from private schools. Given that, in Brazil, the variable type of school, public or private, has been interpreted as a proxy for socioeconomic status, it is suggested that girls with higher socioeconomic level are more vulnerable to the BID and BMI trajectory associations. To verify these relations is important because BID generated by not achieving the ‘ideal body’ can lead to inappropriate dietary behaviours and further jeopardise BMI gain as an indicator of growth.

This greater vulnerability of individuals with higher socioeconomic status related to body image issues was verified in Brazil by Felden et al. in a study with high school students. Adolescents whose head of household had higher levels of education (socioeconomic status proxy) were more likely to have BID. In Mexico, Bojorquez et al., also noted that students whose mothers had higher education levels were more likely to perceive themselves as having overweight. This perception may be related to an increased likelihood of the individual presenting BID.

The effects of BID on the BMI trajectory, which were more present in female participants in this study, may be related to the fact that girls are more vulnerable to BID, as has already been verified in cross-sectional studies. Santana et al., noted that the girls had higher prevalence of BID than boys from public schools in Salvador city, Brazil. Pelegrini et al. also noted that female adolescents were more susceptible to BID, particularly wishing to have smaller silhouettes. However, regarding our data, it is necessary to highlight that in middle school, boys and girls presented similar frequencies of desire for bigger silhouettes. In high school, while most girls wished smaller silhouettes, boys wanted bigger silhouettes, showing that adolescents of different age groups and sex may differ in relation to the direction of their dissatisfaction.

Girls from private middle schools wishing to have smaller and bigger silhouettes showed lower BMI gain curves than girls who were satisfied, regardless of whether they were dieting to lose weight. In this period of adolescence, the pubertal growth spurt occurs and this is a phase of intense physical and psychological changes, in addition to being a period with greater energy demand given the rapid growth. If these adolescents have irregular eating with, for example,
omission of meals, their BMI gain may vary regardless of BID. Future studies investigating food consumption of students in this context may clarify these associations.

Private high school girls also showed associations between BMI gain and BID but in the opposite direction of what was noted in middle school girls. Those who wished to have smaller and bigger silhouettes, presented more accentuated BMI gain curves than girls who were satisfied with their body image. These associations may suggest that, with advancing age and the end of the pubertal growth spurt, there is greater difficulty in losing or maintaining weight.

It was also noted that boys from public high schools who wished to have smaller and bigger silhouettes had lower BMI increase than those who were satisfied. A hypothesis for this scenario is that boys from public school, who have worse socioeconomic conditions in Brazil, can present less physical development and, consequently, reduced gain of BMI. Furthermore, another study performed in the same cohort noted that boys from public schools presented less gain of BMI than boys from private school.

Only one longitudinal research was found with an objective similar to the present study. Duchin et al. followed a children cohort from public schools in Bogotá with an average of 8 years old at baseline until 14 years old, and BID was assessed using the body silhouettes scale. It was found that, regardless of their BMI status for age and their desire for bigger or smaller silhouettes, dissatisfied boys gained more BMI compared to satisfied ones, while dissatisfied girls gained less BMI compared to those who were satisfied. Despite the methodological differences between the two studies (ours and Duchin et al.), which limit the comparison of the results, it should be noted that, in both studies, BID interferes in weight gain over time, but wish to have a bigger or smaller silhouette does not seem to be directly related to the direction of that gain. It is also important to highlight that the BMI gain of the adolescents investigated in this study, regardless of the degree of BID, was within the range of healthy BMI gain for age recommended by the WHO BMI curves for adolescents.

It is worth highlighting the relationship between BID and BMI classification of the adolescents at baseline. Higher the BMI was, higher was the level of BID (desire to have smaller silhouettes). On the other hand, adolescents with lower BMI at baseline also had higher levels of dissatisfaction, wishing to have larger silhouettes. National and international studies have found a correlation between BID and weight status similar to the present study, especially in adolescents with overweight. In this study, approximately 75% of adolescents had some level of dissatisfaction. Other studies found similar frequency (between 70% and 75%) using the same method for assessing BID. These high frequencies

are worrying, because BID, especially during adolescence, may be closely linked to the onset of many health problems common in adolescence such as eating disorders, drug use for weight loss, and low self-esteem.

The results of this study should be analysed in light of its limitations and strengths. There was loss of information on adolescents throughout the follow-up for both cohorts. However, in the BMI trajectory analysis, mixed effect models were used to consider all available data, and 84.6% (middle school) and 77.4% (high school) contributed data for two waves. Differential follow-up losses during the study for age mean (biggest loss for old ones), type of school (biggest loss in public schools), and BID were noted. The lack of information on the exposure variable (BID) as well as the adjustment variables (sexual maturation and diet to lose weight) in all phases of the study, precluding control for changes in these variables over time is another possible limitation.

We also highlight the method of evaluation of BID based on the body silhouettes scale. According to Gardner et al., the high number and similarity of silhouettes could confuse the identification of adolescents’ current and desired images. However, this scale was considered more appropriate to ELANA, because it could avoid difficulties in understanding long and complex questionnaires that are usually applied to adolescents for this purpose. Furthermore, despite the silhouettes scale being considered by some authors a crude scale, this method of evaluation has some reliability and has been widely used in other epidemiological researches.

As the study’s strength, to our knowledge, this is a pioneering study with the objective to determine the influence of BID in the BMI trajectory of students in both phases of adolescence and in different social contexts.

CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that BID may be related to BMI gain in different phases of adolescence, mainly in girls with high socioeconomic status. Furthermore, the high frequency of dissatisfaction found in both sexes may indicate the need for actions that encourage the enhancement of body image among adolescents to minimise behaviours such as eating disorders, use of drugs for weight loss, and low self-esteem.
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