Abstract. Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) activate Ras by facilitating its GTP binding. Ras guanyl nucleotide-releasing protein (GRP) was recently identified as a Ras GEF that has a diacylglycerol (DAG)-binding C1 domain. Its exchange factor activity is regulated by local availability of signaling DAG. DAG kinases (DGKs) metabolize DAG by converting it to phosphatidic acid. Because they can attenuate local accumulation of signaling DAG, DGKs may regulate Ras-GRP activity and, consequently, activation of Ras. DGKζ, but not other DGKs, completely eliminated Ras activation induced by RasGRP, and DGK activity was required for this mechanism. DGKζ also coimmunoprecipitated and colocalized with RasGRP, indicating that these proteins associate in a signaling complex. Coimmunoprecipitation of DGKζ and RasGRP was enhanced in the presence of phorbol esters, which are DAG analogues that cannot be metabolized by DGKs, suggesting that DAG signaling can induce their interaction. Finally, overexpression of kinase-dead DGKζ in Jurkat cells prolonged Ras activation after ligation of the T cell receptor. Thus, we have identified a novel way to regulate Ras activation: through DGKζ, which controls local accumulation of DAG that would otherwise activate RasGRP.
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Introduction

DAG is a lipid second messenger that transiently accumulates after activation of growth factor receptors and other receptors (Bishop and Bell, 1986). In these cases, for an appropriate cellular response, DAG signaling is necessary but must be short-lived since persistently high DAG levels induce malignant transformation. Experimentally, transformation caused by abnormally prolonged DAG signaling has been demonstrated by either overexpressing PLC isoforms (Chang et al., 1997; Nebigil, 1997) which generate DAG, or in studies using the phorbol esters, which are potent tumor promoters. Phorbol esters, like DAG, bind to DAG-responsive C1 domains (Kazanietz et al., 1994) and since they are very slowly metabolized, they mimic a sustained DAG signal. Their transforming activity has been attributed most often to persistent activation of PKC isoforms, which clearly are involved (Housey et al., 1988; Ron and Kazanietz, 1999). However, PKCs are not the only proteins allosterically activated by DAG; several proteins, including Ras guanyl nucleotide-releasing protein (GRP),1

1 Abbreviations used in this paper: CMV, cytomegalovirus; DGK, DAG kinase; GAP, GTPase-activating protein; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factor; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GRP, guanyl nucleotide-releasing protein (GRP); HA, hemagglutinin; PA, phosphatidic acid; PMA, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate; TCR, T cell receptor.

the chimaerins, Unc-13, and protein kinase D (Hurley et al., 1997; Ron and Kazanietz, 1999) have C1 domains and can bind and are activated by DAG or phorbol esters.

RasGRP was identified as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) that is specific for Ras (Ebinu et al., 1998; Kawasaki et al., 1998; Tognon et al., 1998). DAG is necessary for its function; without its DAG-responsive C1 domain, RasGRP no longer activates Ras. This was demonstrated in two studies using either Rat2 or NIH 3T3 cells, where a C1 deletion mutant of RasGRP was not transforming, even in the presence of high concentrations of phorbol ester, whereas wild-type RasGRP induced morphologic transformation at much lower phorbol ester concentrations (Ebinu et al., 1998; Tognon et al., 1998). This suggests that in some cases, RasGRP participates in cell transformation induced by phorbol esters or sustained DAG signaling. Thus, conditions inducing abnormally active RasGRP may contribute to tumor formation. Supporting this, Li et al. (1999), using large scale insertion mutagenesis, recently identified RasGRP as a potential leukemia disease gene. It is important then that cells regulate the DAG that activates RasGRP. This must occur through precise, spatial metabolism of the signaling DAG. We considered this possibility and hypothesized that DGKs which convert DAG to phosphatidic acid (PA; Sakane and Kanoh, 1997; Topham and Prescott, 1999) serve as an “off” mechanism for RasGRP.
We demonstrate here that DGK activity inhibits RasGRP. This regulation appears to be selective and spatially discrete: only one of six DGK isoforms, DGKβ, inhibited RasGRP. Consistent with this regulation occurring in a signaling complex, we observed that DGKβ associated with both RasGRP and H-Ras and that it colocalized with RasGRP in a glioblastoma cell line. Additionally, overexpression of a kinase-dead DGKβ prolonged Ras activation after ligation of the T cell receptor (TCR) in Jurkat cells, indicating that RasGRP is regulated by DGKβ in vivo. This regulation likely occurs through spatial metabolism of signaling DAG and may represent a general mechanism in which a DGK associates with a protein activated by DAG and regulates its activity through its DGK enzymatic function.

Materials and Methods

Expression Plasmids

Wild-type, and V12- and A15-H-Ras in PEF-Myc were a gift from Dr. Andrew Thorburn (University of Utah). Human DGKβ, γ, and ε in pSRE was a gift from Dr. Fumio Sakane (Sapporo Medical University, Sapporo, Japan). Cloning of human DGKs ε, β, and δ has been published previously (Topham and Prescott, 1999). Human lysosomal acid lipase/cholesterol ester hydrolase (HLAL), a DAG lipase, was cloned from a human endothelial cell library (a gift from Evan Sadler, Washington University, St. Louis, MO) and then subcloned into pcDNA1 (Invitrogen). A FLAG epitope tag was placed at the COOH terminus of full-length DGKβ by creating a unique EcoRI site (Quickchange mutagenesis kit; Stratagene) using the oligonucleotide (5'-GAGGACCAAGGAATTCCTGTTAG-3') and its complement. The FLAG tag was then inserted by digesting the cDNA with EcoRI and then ligating the annealed sense and antisense oligonucleotides encoding the FLAG epitope tag as described previously (Topham et al., 1998). Progressive COOH-terminal deletions of DGKβ were generated by digesting the above plasmid with EcoRI and either BsaBI (amino acids 1–748), HindIII (amino acids 1–605), or XhoI (amino acids 1–467) and then a FLAG epitope tag was ligated as above. NHE-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tags were placed onto DGKβ, γ, and ε, and δ by cloning the full-length DGK into pHA-cytomegalovirus (CMV; CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.). A plasmid encoding human RasGRP was constructed by combining two EST clones (EMBL/GenBank/DDbj accession nos. Z41118 and AA283882) and a PCR product isolated from A172 cell cDNA using the oligonucleotides 5'-GATGCAGATG-3' and its complement. The clone was then ligated into frame in the XhoII-HindIII-digested pEGFP-C3 vector (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) or pHA-CMV (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) digested with NotI. A second HA epitope tag was subsequently ligated into the pHA-CMV construct using oligonucleotides as described above. The CI domain of RasGRP was removed by digesting green fluorescent protein (GFP)-RasGRP with XcmI and then religating the cDNA, or a point mutation (C506G) was created in its CI domain using site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) with the oligonucleotide 5'-GAAAGCCACTTTTTGTGCAACATGTGC-3' and its complement.

Cell Lines and Transfection

A172, Cos-7, and HEK293 cells were cultured and transfected as described (Bunting et al.; 1996; Topham et al., 1998). Jurkat cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured according to their instructions. Jurkat cells (10⁶) were transfected by electroporation with 2 μg myc-Ras and 10 μg GFP or kinase-dead DGKβ using a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad Laboratories) at 220 V and a capacitance of 960 microfarads in Opti-MEM (Life Technologies). After 20 min of recovery, the cells were transfected to 10 ml growth medium and then assayed at 48 h.

Antibodies and Immunofluorescence

Two peptides (EEFOELVKAKEELHC and GVGSVPDKPTIS-KHVQ) corresponding to human RasGRP were synthesized, conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin, and injected into rabbits. The antibodies were purified from serum using their affinity peptides. Their specificity was verified by Western blotting extracts from cells transfected with HA-Ras, Ras-GRF, Affinity-purified anti-RasGRP (EEFO, EEFQ, or affinity-purified anti-DGKβ (Topham et al., 1998) was directly conjugated with Oregon green 514 (anti-RasGRP) or Texas red X (anti-DGKβ) using protein labeling kits (Molecular Probes).

Indirect immunofluorescent staining of A172 cells was performed as described previously (Topham et al., 1998), using either anti-DGKβ (1:200; anti-RasGRP PE) or affinity-purified anti-DGKβ (1:200). To stain phalloidin (1:200; Molecular Probes) was added with the secondary antibody. To verify the specificity of immunostaining, two volumes of an affinity peptide (1 mg/ml) were preincubated with one volume of the antibodies (0.7–1.0 mg/ml) for >1 h on ice before immunostaining. For direct immunofluorescence to simultaneously detect both RasGRP and DGKβ, the same protocol was used, except that the directly conjugated antibodies, each diluted at 1:50, were combined and incubation of the secondary antibody and phalloidin was omitted.

Immunoprecipitation

DGKβ-FLAG, V12- or A15-H-Ras, HA-RasGRP, or control plasmids were transfected into HEK293 or Cos-7 cells (500 ng each construct). 48 h later, cells were harvested in IP buffer (50 mM Heps, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 10 mg/ml each leupeptin, pepstatin, aprotinin, and soybean trypsion inhibitor), allowed to lyse for 10 min, and then centrifuged to remove debris. To immunoprecipitate DGKβ, 25 μl monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich) or normal mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) coupled to agiros beads were added to the supernatants. After incubating for 2 h (4°C), the beads were washed with TBSTM (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, and 5 mM MgCl₂) once, IP wash (50 mM Heps, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 20 mM NaF) three times, and 5 mM MgCl₂ in H₂O once. The beads were then used for SDS-PAGE: Anti-Ras (C-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was used to immunoblot for H-Ras; anti-RasGRF (EEFO or CGVS) or anti-HA (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) was used to detect RasGRF; and DGKβ was detected with an antibody described previously (Bunting et al., 1996). To immunoprecipitate HA-RasGRF from transfected cells, the cell lysates were precleared with agiros-coupled protein G (30 μl; Pierce Chemical Co.) and then monoclonal anti-HA (F-7, 10 μl; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was added to the supernatants. After 1 h (4°C), 20 μl protein G was added followed by a 1-h incubation (4°C). The agiros beads were washed twice with Mg²⁺-containing lysis buffer (Taylor and Shalloway, 1996), twice with IP wash, and then used for SDS-PAGE. To immunoprecipitate endogenous RasGRF from A172 cells, anti-RasGRF (10 μl of either EEFQ or CGVS, 1.0 mg/ml) preincubated with affinity peptide or control peptide, as described in the immunostaining protocol, was added to pre-cleared A172 lysates and incubated overnight at 4°C. Protein A/G-agarose (25 μl; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was then added for 1 h at 4°C. The complex was washed with IP wash three times and then used for DGK assays or Western blotting as described (Topham et al., 1998).

Elk-1 Luciferase Assay

PKC inhibitors were from Calbiochem. Elk-1 activity was determined using the Elk-1 luciferase reporter system (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with two modifications. First, HA-DGK or human lysosomal acid lipase/cholesterol ester hydrolase (HLAL) (75–150 ng), GFP-RasGRF (150 ng), V12-Ras (50 ng), MEK1 (50 ng), Raf/ER (400 ng), or β-galactosidase (200 ng) cDNA constructs or control vectors were added to experimental points. Second, the HEK293 cells were maintained in medium with 0.5% serum throughout the experiment. Luciferase activity (Promega) was normalized to β-galactosidase activity (Tropix). Similar results were obtained when luciferase activity was normalized to total protein in the lysates. DGK activity levels and total DAG and lipid phosphate were also determined in appropriate lysates as described previously (Bunting et al.; 1996; Topham et al., 1998), except that the reactions contained 2 mM CaCl₂ and 1-stearyl-2-arachidonoyl DAG was used as the substrate.

Affinity Precipitation of GTP-Ras from Cell Homogenates

To measure changes in GTP-Ras induced by a DGK in HEK293 cells, the cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-RasGRF (300 ng), myc-Ras (100
and the DGK or a control protein (800 ng) as described (Topham et al., 1998). 48 h later, the cells were rapidly harvested and assayed for GTP-Ras as described (Taylor and Shalloway, 1996). H-Ras was detected in the pellets and lysates after SDS-PAGE using an antibody specific for H-Ras or the myc tag (C-20 or 9E10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). GFP-Ras-GRP was detected with monoclonal anti-GFP (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) and anti-HA (F-7; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was used to immunoblot the DGKs. To measure changes in GTP-Ras induced by kinase-dead DGKζ in Jurkat cells, the cells were transfected as described above and then, after addition of anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml, DiaTek clone CRIS-7), GTP-Ras was detected as described previously (Taylor and Shalloway, 1996).

Results

DGKζ and RasGRP Physically Associate in the Cell

We showed previously that DGKζ could regulate cell proliferation by reducing DAG accumulation in the nucleus (Topham et al., 1998). Since generation of DAG at the plasma membrane also signals proliferation, and the majority of DGKζ is found outside of the nucleus (Topham et al., 1998), we asked if DGKζ could also regulate growth-promoting DAG signals at the plasma membrane. One way that cells maintain the fidelity of signaling cascades is to organize appropriate signaling proteins into a complex. Such associations allow the activation of necessary effector molecules, while segregating them to avoid “crosstalk” between signaling pathways (Pawson and Scott, 1997; Zucker and Ranganathan, 1999). Since RasGRP requires DAG for its function, we considered the possibility that DGKζ associates with RasGRP and regulates its activity by locally metabolizing DAG.

To determine whether DGKζ and RasGRP could associate with the same signaling complex, we cotransfected HEK293 cells with cDNA constructs, encoding DGKζ with a FLAG epitope tag at its COOH terminus (DGKζ-FLAG) and RasGRP with an NH2-terminal HA epitope tag (HA-RasGRP). We immunoprecipitated DGKζ using anti-FLAG and detected RasGRP by immunoblotting. In these experiments, RasGRP coprecipitated with DGKζ and their association was robust: >20% of HA-RasGRP coprecipitated (Fig. 1 a). Alternatively, when we immunoprecipitated RasGRP, DGKζ coprecipitated (not shown). These experiments indicated that the two proteins associated with the same signaling complex. In additional experiments we could not detect an interaction between DGKζ and two other Ras GEFs, Sos1 and RasGRF1, indicating that its association with RasGRP was selective (not shown). By examining mutants of DGKζ, we mapped a region near the COOH terminus of the catalytic domain that substantially reduced coprecipitation (Fig. 1 b), indicating that a motif in or near this region was necessary for DGKζ to interact with the signaling complex.

To assess whether endogenous RasGRP and DGKζ associate with the same signaling complex, we used A172 cells, a glioblastoma cell line that we have shown to express DGKζ (Topham et al., 1998). We determined by Western blotting that they also express RasGRP (Fig. 1 c). PMA did not enhance (0.8; p = 3) more DGK activity than control immunoprecipitates where the antibody was preincubated with its affinity peptide. Using another anti-Ras-GRP antibody for the immunoprecipitation, we similarly found 2.2 times (±1.7; n = 4) more DGK activity in the precipitates compared with control. These data suggested that endogenous RasGRP and DGKζ interacted with the same signaling complex in A172 cells. To determine if the presence of DAG regulated their interaction, we compared DGK activity in RasGRP immunoprecipitates from control A172 cells to cells treated with a phorbol ester, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA). We found in these experiments that compared with untreated cells, PMA almost doubled the amount of associated DAG activity (1.9 ± 0.7; n = 3; Fig. 1 c). PMA did not enhance RasGRP precipitation (Fig. 1 c), indicating that it in-
creased its association with DGKζ. Supporting this, we found by Western blotting that PMA treatment significantly enhanced coprecipitation of DGKζ (Fig. 1 d). These data demonstrate that endogenous DGKζ and RasGRP interact and that their association is likely augmented in the presence of DAG.

**DGKζ and RasGRP Colocalize**

As an independent test to determine if RasGRP and DGKζ may interact in vivo, we assessed whether the endogenous proteins colocalized in A172 cells. Consistent with our previous observations, we found by indirect immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy that a fraction of DGKζ was in the nucleus of the cells (not shown). We also observed marked localization of DGKζ at the periphery of cell extensions, regions that also costained strongly for actin (Fig. 2 a). We found that the distribution of RasGRP peripherally in actin-rich regions was very similar to that of DGKζ (Fig. 2 a). This suggested that the two proteins colocalized. Since both the anti-DGKζ and anti-Ras-
GRP antibodies were produced in rabbits, it was difficult to assess colocalization of the two proteins using indirect immunofluorescence. To allow simultaneous detection of both proteins, we cotransfected Cos-7 cells with GFP-RasGRP and DGKζ and then immunostained the cells to assess localization of the overexpressed proteins. To augment cell spreading, we allowed them to spread on a surface coated with fibronectin and then immunostained for DGKζ. When overexpressed, both proteins distributed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus. But, consistent with the A172 cell immunostaining, both proteins also localized at the leading edge of spreading cells (Fig. 2 b). As overexpression of proteins can lead to aberrant localisation, we directly labeled the two antibodies with separate fluorophores, which allowed simultaneous detection of endogenous DGKζ and RasGRP in A172 cells. Using confocal microscopy, we observed that DGKζ and RasGRP extensively colocalized, most dramatically at cell extensions peripherally and at the leading edge of migrating cells (Fig. 2 c). These results, coupled with our immunoprecipitation data, strongly indicated that DGKζ and RasGRP associate with the same signaling complex in vivo.

**DGKζ Binds Selectively to A15-H-Ras**

Ras GEFs promote the release of GDP from Ras and facilitate GTP binding. Inactive, mutant Ras proteins, like A15-Ras are thought to exert dominant negative effects because they have a high affinity for Ras GEFs and sequester them from endogenous Ras proteins (Feig, 1999). Consistent with this, we found that RasGRP coprecipitated with A15-Ras, so we hypothesized that DGKζ would also associate with A15-Ras. To test this, we cotransfected HEK293 cells with DGKζ-FLAG and A15-Ras and then immunoprecipitated DGKζ. We found that A15-Ras coprecipitated with DGKζ and that the interaction was robust: 20% of the total A15-Ras coprecipitated (Fig. 3 a). We also observed the converse: immunoprecipitates of A15-Ras had DGKζ activity (not shown). Further, when all three proteins, A15-Ras, RasGRP, and DGKζ, were included in the transfection, both RasGRP and A15-Ras coprecipitated with DGKζ (not shown), indicating that they associated with the same signaling complex.

V12-Ras is a constitutively active mutant that, unlike A15-Ras, has a very low affinity for Ras GEFs (Feig, 1999). We tested its affinity for DGKζ and found that, compared with A15-Ras, it had a very low affinity for DGKζ, even though cell lysates had substantially more V12-Ras (Fig. 3 b). These results indicated that DGKζ preferred to associate with signaling complexes containing inactive Ras. To test whether there is direct binding between H-Ras and DGKζ, we incubated recombinant proteins in vitro and found that DGKζ coprecipitated both GDP- and GTP-bound H-Ras with equal efficiency (not shown). DGKζ’s indifference in vitro for GTP-Ras versus GDP-Ras, but preference in vivo for A15-Ras, seemed contradictory. To further probe this issue, we tested the in vivo affinity of DGKζ for wild-type H-Ras, which predominantly binds GDP (Malumbres and Pellicer, 1998; Vojtek and Der, 1998). We found by coimmunoprecipitation that DGKζ’s affinity for wild-type H-Ras was similar to its affinity for V12-Ras and much less than that for A15-Ras.
DGKζ inhibits RasGRP at the level of Ras activation. Elk-1 activity was detected by a luciferase reporter (Stratagene). In all cases, luciferase activity in the cell lysates was determined in triplicate and normalized to β-galactosidase activity, which was included in the transfection. (a) HEK293 cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-RasGRP. 16 h later, a PKC inhibitor (PKCi; 100 nM Ro-32-0432) or control vehicle was added. After 20 min, PMA (0.5 ng/ml) was added for 24 h and then luciferase and β-galactosidase activities in the cell lysates were determined. Shown are the mean and standard deviation. (b) HEK293 cells were transfected with GFP or GFP-RasGRP along with a control vector or DGKζ. 16 h later, one of two PKC inhibitors (a, 200 nM Ro-31-7549; b, 100 nM Ro-32-0432) or control vehicle was added. After 24 h, the cells were harvested and luciferase and β-galactosidase activities in the cell lysates were determined. (c) Raf:ER or a control vector was transfected into HEK293 cells along with DGKζ, ΔATP, or a control vector. 24 h later, estrogen (1 μM) or control vehicle was added for 10 h. The cells were harvested and luciferase and β-galactosidase activities in the cell lysates were determined. (d) Jurkat cells were transfected by electroporation with myc-Ras and either GFP or kinase-dead DGKζ (ΔATP). 48 h later, TCR signaling was activated with anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml, CRIS-7) for up to 4 h. GTP-Ras was affinity-precipitated and then detected by immunoblotting.

DGKζ Regulates RasGRP Activity

RasGRP has a DAG-responsive C1 domain that is necessary for its transforming activity (Ebinu et al., 1998; Tog-
muscle tissue, results in a protein (²) where the initial 54 amino acids are replaced with a 262–amino acid fragment. The alternative splicing appears to alter the subcellular localization of ² (Topham, M.K., manuscript in preparation) and does not affect in vitro activity levels. Thus, ² offered a unique opportunity to test whether the inhibition of RasGRP was spatially discrete and selective. Using either affinity precipitation of GTP-Ras or the Elk-1 luciferase system, we found that ² did not significantly inhibit RasGRP (Fig. 4 c), whereas the more common splice variant of DGKζ did. Protein expression levels of the splice variants were virtually identical in these experiments as judged by Western blotting using a specific antibody that recognizes both proteins (not shown). This lack of inhibition by ² was not owing to differences in DGK activity: both proteins had similar in vitro activity levels (Fig. 4 c). We considered the possibility that the more common DGKζ inhibited RasGRP because it more efficiently metabolized total cellular DAG. However, we found similar total DAG levels in the cell homogenates (Fig. 4 C). This discrepancy, differential inhibition of RasGRP but similar total DAG levels, likely reflects technical constraints of the DAG assay. It detects only global cellular DAG. Quantitatively measuring precise, spatial changes in DAG accessible to the RasGRP signaling complex is not technically possible. However, we believe that our assays measuring active Ras indirectly detect these focal changes. We conclude that DGKζ selectively inhibits RasGRP by a spatially discrete mechanism.

Inhibition of RasGRP Activity Occurs at the Level of Ras Activation

We observed that a mutant, kinase-dead DGKζ did not inhibit RasGRP, indicating that DGK activity was required for the inhibition and suggesting that this occurred through localized metabolism of DAG. To assure that the inhibition of Ras activity that we observed resulted from metabolism of DAG by DGKζ, we reasoned that DGKζ would not affect RasGRP activity induced by phorbol esters, which act as DAG analogues but cannot be metabolized by DGKs. We verified with the Elk-1 luciferase system that PMA, a phorbol ester, increased RasGRP activity. This activation was not reduced by PKC inhibitors (Fig. 5 a), which demonstrated that the PMA was likely activating RasGRP. Supporting our hypothesis that DGKζ inhibits RasGRP by metabolizing DAG, DGKζ abolished RasGRP activity in the absence of PMA, but did not inhibit PMA-induced RasGRP activity (Fig. 5 a).

Activation of PKC isoforms can initiate mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling, but the precise mechanism of activation of the cascade is not clear (Marais et al., 1998). Since the PKC family is the largest group of proteins allosterically activated by DAG (Newton, 1997; Ron and Kazanietz, 1999), we considered the possibility that our assay systems may have measured inhibition of PKC activity rather than that of RasGRP. To assure that we were not measuring an effect on PKC activity, we determined whether PKC inhibitors reduced Elk-1 luciferase activity induced by RasGRP. We found that two different PKC inhibitors, well above their IC₅₀, did not inhibit Elk-1 luciferase activity induced by RasGRP (Fig. 5 b), indicating that the inhibition by DGKζ was mediated through RasGRP, rather than PKC.

As an additional test to assure that the level of inhibition by DGKζ occurred at RasGRP, we reasoned that DGKζ would not affect mitogen-activated protein kinase activation initiated downstream of Ras. To activate this signaling cascade without affecting Ras, we used a chimeric cDNA consisting of the hormone-binding domain of the estrogen receptor fused to an oncogenic form of Raf-1 (Samuels et al., 1993). The chimeric protein is active only in the presence of exogenous estrogen and its activation does not require Ras. We found that DGKζ did not affect Elk-1 luciferase activity induced by this construct (Fig. 5 c). Consistent with this, we also observed that DGKζ did not significantly inhibit luciferase activity induced by constitutively active forms of H-Ras (V12-Ras) or MEK1 (not shown). These data demonstrate that DGKζ inhibits Ras-GTP rather than affecting a downstream event.

Kinase-dead DGKζ Prolongs Ras Signaling in Jurkat Cells

RasGRP is known to activate Ras and DAG is required for its activity. However, little is known of the physiological contexts in which this activation occurs. Ebini et al. (2000) recently demonstrated that RasGRP is, in part, responsible for activating Ras after TCR ligation. A consequence of this event is cellular proliferation. It is not surprising then that Li et al. identified RasGRP as a potential leukemia disease gene by assaying murine leukemia cell DNA for common sites of retroviral integration (Li et al., 1999). Together, these observations demonstrate that RasGRP signals cell proliferation and that its activity must be exquisitely controlled to avoid an abnormal growth response. Our data demonstrate that when both DGKζ and RasGRP are overexpressed, the DGK attenuates Ras-GRP activity. For the endogenous proteins, this is likely a mechanism to terminate Ras signaling. Overexpression of inactive, mutant proteins can interfere with the physiological function of their endogenous, wild-type counterparts. So, to test if endogenous DGKζ regulates RasGRP, we determined whether overexpression of mutant, kinase-dead DGKζ affected Ras activation. In Jurkat cells, RasGRP signaling is initiated after activation of the TCR (Ebini et al., 2000), and DGKζ is expressed in these cells (not shown). To test if kinase-dead DGKζ altered Ras signaling, we overexpressed it along with myc-Ras in Jurkat cells and then activated the TCR with an antibody for up to 4 h. Using GTP-Ras affinity precipitation, we consistently observed slightly higher basal GTP-Ras in cells overexpressing kinase-dead DGKζ. After activation of the TCR, we found in control cells that GTP-Ras peaked between 5 and 10 min and then gradually declined to basal levels by 1 h. Conversely, in cells expressing kinase-dead DGKζ, GTP-Ras peaked for up to 20 min and then gradually declined, but did not reach basal levels for > 2 h. The kinase-dead DGKζ likely prolonged Ras activation by interfering with the function of endogenous DGKζ. We conclude that in Jurkat cells, endogenous DGKζ facilitates termination of TCR signaling by regulating the activity of RasGRP.

Discussion

Our observations support a novel mechanism of localized regulation of RasGRP by DGKζ. We found evidence that these proteins interacted using immunoprecipitations and
we observed that they colocalized in a glioblastoma cell line. Interestingly, their localization was at the leading edge of migrating cells, an area of intense actin remodeling. The specific function of RasGRP in this region is not clear, but Ras activity has an integral role in cell motility (Nobes and Hall, 1999). Thus, we have demonstrated that DGKζ inhibits RasGRP and that this is a highly localized event. The most direct evidence supporting this regulation as precise and spatial is the lack of inhibition by DGKα, the alternatively spliced DGKζ isoform. This variant differs only at the NH₂ terminus (Ding et al., 1997). The alternative splicing appears to predominantly affect subcellular distribution, which likely reduces or abolishes its interaction with the RasGRP signaling complex and eliminates the inhibition. Also supporting the selectivity of the inhibition, five other DGK isoforms failed to inhibit RasGRP, as did a DAG lipase, which metabolizes DAG by a different mechanism. The specificity exhibited by DGKζ, coupled with our immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescence data, strongly indicates that H-Ras, RasGRP, and DGKζ are spatially organized in a regulated signaling complex.

**DGK Activity May Inhibit Cell Transformation**

Ras activity must be precisely regulated or abnormal cellular proliferation can occur. Supporting this, an estimated 30% of human tumors have an activating mutation of Ras (Vojtek and Der, 1998), and oncogenic Ras is an essential component of tumor maintenance (Chin et al., 1999). Li et al. (1999), using large-scale mutagenesis, noted that Ras-GRP was a potential leukemia disease gene and overexpression of RasGRP in cultured cells induced a transformed phenotype (Ebinu et al., 1998; Tognon et al., 1998). Combined, these observations indicate that abnormally high RasGRP activity can lead to malignant transformation. So, conditions of excess DAG signaling may contribute to malignant changes by abnormally activating RasGRP. Supporting this mechanism, overexpression of PLCζ1, which causes excess DAG, induced a malignant phenotype (Chang et al., 1997) and PLCζ1 was a necessary component of growth factor–induced mitogenesis (Wang et al., 1998). Several groups have also reported that oncogene-transformed cells have a higher DAG content (Preis et al., 1986; Wolfman and Macara, 1987; Kato et al., 1988). Historically, activation of the PKCs was considered responsible for the malignant changes induced by DAG, but RasGRP may contribute as well. Like high PLC activity, abnormally low DGKζ activity could cause inappropriate DAG signaling, leading to malignant changes by activating RasGRP. Indeed, we demonstrated that expression of inactive DGKζ in Jurkat cells prolonged Ras activation after TCR ligation. Thus, by attenuating the DAG pool necessary to maintain RasGRP activity, DGKζ may have a pivotal role in modulating Ras signaling in some contexts.

**Advantages to Formation of a Signaling Complex**

Associating with a DGK offers RasGRP three potential advantages in regulating signals. First, DGK activity allows the signal to activate RasGRP to be more spatially precise, because both the production (PLC) and inactivation (DGK) of DAG are controlled in the same location. Second, since the DGK regulates DAG independently of PLC, it offers a safety mechanism to reduce RasGRP activity in cases of abnormally high PLC activity. Third, formation of a signaling complex offers a kinetic advantage. Signaling events mediated through low molecular weight GTP-binding proteins like Ras and G proteins are generally short-lived to allow for rapid subsequent reactivation. Rapid on/off cycling is achieved by associating the GTP-binding protein with its GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) and GEFs. For example, Ross (1995) pointed out that by associating regulatory proteins that have opposing enzymatic activities (GAPs and GEFs) with the protein that they regulate (Ras), high velocity cycling of the signal can be achieved because dissociation and reassociation of the complex are not necessary. DGKζ likely contributes to this mechanism by allowing rapid cycling of the activity of RasGRP. Extending this paradigm, RasGRP may be similarly regulated by associating with both a PLC (GEF) and a DGK (GAP).

**DGKs May Have Diverse Roles in Signaling Complexes**

Local regulation of DAG signaling by DGK isoforms may be a generalized mechanism to regulate DAG-activated proteins. Supporting this, van der Bend et al. (1994) demonstrated that DGK activity was restricted to DAG generated upon receptor activation, rather than upon nonspecific, global DAG production, and there are multiple reports of increased DGK activity after receptor activation (Topham and Prescott, 1999). A more direct example was published recently by two groups who found in Caenorhabditis elegans that DGK-1, which is most similar to human DGKζ, negatively regulated synaptic transmission (Miller et al., 1999; Nurrish et al., 1999). It likely accomplished this regulation by metabolizing DAG that would otherwise activate Unc-13, a protein that participates in neurotransmitter secretion. This model supports our paradigm for DGK function: spatial regulation of proteins activated by DAG.

Indicating a general role for DGKs as integral partners in signaling complexes, Houssa et al. (1999) found that DGKζ associated with a complex containing RhoA, and Tolias et al. (1998) observed DGK activity in Rac1 signaling complexes. Interestingly, Tolias et al. (1998) also found phosphatidylinositol 5-kinase activity in the Rac1 complex. Phosphatidylinositol 5-kinases are dramatically activated by PA, the product of the DGK reaction, suggesting that DGKs resident in signaling complexes may also activate proteins by generating PA. Based on our data, it is likely that each DGK isoform has the specific function of regulating one or a few lipid-activated proteins by locally metabolizing DAG or by generating PA. As both DAG and PA can regulate the activity of several families of enzymes (Kawasaki et al., 1998; Ron and Kazamietz, 1999), DGKs likely function quite broadly. It appears that the DGKs do not simply perform the mundane task of converting DAG to PA for subsequent regeneration of phosphatidylinositols, but likely function as integral members of elegantly regulated signaling complexes. Thus, it may be possible to affect downstream signaling events by altering DGK activity.
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