X-ray emission from NGC 1808: more than a complex starburst
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Abstract.
Earlier observations of NGC 1808 in various wavebands (X-ray, optical, near-infrared, radio) provided evidence for the existence of either a starburst or a Seyfert 2 nucleus. We here present the results of multiwavelength XMM-Newton and Chandra observations, which directly prove the co-existence of thermal diffuse plasma and non-nuclear unresolved point-like sources associated with the starburst activity, along with a Low Luminosity Active Galactic Nucleus (LLAGN) or an Ultra Luminous X-ray source (ULX). The broad bandwidth of XMM-Newton allows us to show that the unresolved nuclear source in NGC 1808 dominates the hard X-ray spectrum, while the emission in the soft regime, below 1 keV, is dominated by a thermal component associated to an extended starburst. Both EPIC and RGS data provide reliable detections of a number of emission lines from heavy elements, with abundances ranging from roughly 0.7 to 2.2 Z⊙ for different elements. However, no 6.4 keV Fe Kα fluorescence line emission was detected. The analysis of the nuclear region of NGC 1808 allows us to detect and disentangle the contribution of an unresolved nuclear source and the starburst region, but the exact nature of the nucleus remains unknown. The observed luminosity of NGC 1808 is \( L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} = (1.61 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{40} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \). A comparison of our OM 212 nm image with a CTIO 4-m telescope Hα frame shows a good general correspondence between the emission from massive stars and warm ionized gas, with minor deviations near the ends of the bar in NGC 1808. An additional, very soft thermal spectral component with \( kT \lesssim 0.1 \) keV has been discovered in the XMM-Newton spectral analysis, which most likely originates from the halo of NGC 1808.
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1. Introduction

NGC 1808 (α(J2000)=05:07:42.34; δ(J2000)=−37:30:47.0 with an uncertainty of 1″25, obtained from 2MASS1), classified as an SABb Seyfert 2 galaxy, is located at a distance of 10.9 Mpc (\( H_0 = 75 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), 1″= 53 pc). Images in different wavebands suggest a high star formation (SF) intensity in the central region with a diameter of \( \sim 20″ \) (i.e., \( \sim 1 \) kpc). There are several optical hot spots associated with HII-regions (Sérsic & Pastoriza 1965). The luminous and compact knots detected in radio and infra-red (IR) images (Saikia et al. 1990, Kotilainen et al. 1996) that do not coincide with the optical hot spots are probably supernova remnants (SNRs) or complexes of unresolved SNRs. Dust filaments were found perpendicular to the central disk plane and can be explained as outflowing material driven by supernovae (SNe; Heckman et al. 1990). A recent interaction of NGC 1808 with its companion NGC 1792 could explain both the intense star-formation activity and its peculiar morphology (Dahlem et al. 1990, Koribalski et al. 1993).

The nature of the nucleus is still unclear. It is classified as Seyfert 2 based on the optical emission lines detected by Véron-Cetty & Véron (1985). However, there is also evidence from optical observations of a hidden starburst (SB), based on polarization measurements by Scarrott et al. (1993). The nucleus is claimed to have a strong non-stellar component, because only 10% of the IR radiation observed by ISO could be interpreted as emission related to star formation (Siebenmorgen et al. 2001). On the other hand, soft X-ray (0.1–2.4 keV) data obtained with ROSAT
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(Dahlem et al. 1994 and Junkes et al. 1995) favor an interpretation in terms of SF-related emission, but do not discard other hypotheses. Awaki & Koyama (1993) interpret their Ginga X-ray data (1.5–37 keV) as an obscured active galactic nucleus (AGN). However, Awaki et al. (1996), using ASCA (2–10 keV) observations, point out that the hard X-ray spectrum could also be the result of starburst activity, while the long-term variability from the Ginga and ASCA observations again suggests a Seyfert nature of the nucleus.

With the advent of the XMM-Newton and Chandra satellites, the coexistence of starburst and AGN activity has been detected in X-rays in the nuclei of several nearby galaxies. NGC 4303 presents evidence of the composite SB/AGN nature of the nuclear region: Chandra and UV-HST observations show that a superstellar cluster coexists with a hard X-ray source, possibly an AGN, in the 3 central pc of the galaxy (Jiménez-Bailón et al. 2003, Colina et al. 2002). At larger scales, Persic et al. (2004a) claim that starburst and AGN coexist in NGC 4666 by detecting evidence of diffuse thermal emission, high-luminosity X-ray sources, and the presence of an AGN in the X-ray band. The detailed analysis of our XMM-Newton data shown here, combined with other observations, sheds new light on the nature of the nuclear activity in NGC 1808 and the associated outflow of gas.

The observations and data reduction are described in Sect. 2, our results are presented in Sect. 3, followed by our interpretation of the inner region and circumnuclear emission in Sect. 4, and of the more extended X-ray emission outside the nuclear area in Sect. 4.2. The conclusions of this work are given in Sect. 5.

2. Observations and data reduction

2.1. XMM-Newton data

Information on the XMM-Newton instrumentation is provided by Jansen et al. (2001; XMM-Newton mission), Strüder et al. (2001; EPIC-pn), Turner et al. (2001; EPIC-MOS), den Herder et al. (2001; RGS), and Mason et al. (2001; OM). Our XMM-Newton observation (Obs-Id 0110980801) was performed on April 6, 2002. The scheduled exposure time was 40 ks, while effective exposure times per instrument are listed below. The EPIC-pn exposure was in extended full frame mode and with the thin filter. Both RGS cameras were in spectroscopic mode and the two MOS cameras in full frame, with the thin filter. OM was used in full frame low resolution mode with the U, UVW1 (219 nm) and UVW2 (212 nm) broad band filters, plus the two (optical and UV) grisms.

The data were processed with the Science Analysis Subsystem, SAS, v.5.4.1 (Gabriel et al. 2004) and the most up-to-date calibration available in February 2003. The standard tasks to process data, ep/mchain and rgsproc, were run with default parameters. For the EPIC instruments, checks against pile-up were performed with the epatplot task. No sign of pile-up was found for any of EPIC-pn, MOS1, or MOS2 data. Additionally, background flaring time intervals, for which the signal-to-noise does not improve by including these events, were filtered following the method described in Piconcelli et al. (2004b). Only single and double events were considered in the analysis. For RGS the selection was for periods with less than 0.12 c/s in the background region of the CCD number 9. The effective exposure times after each filter was applied were 31.4 ks, 39.0 ks, 38.6 ks, and 37.4 ks for EPIC-pn, the two MOS cameras, and RGS, respectively. The OM broad band data were processed with the SAS omichain task, which performed all necessary corrections. Unfortunately, about half of the UVW1 filter image was lost due to ground-station problems, and the UV grism data was also lost due to telemetry drops.

2.2. Chandra data

The Chandra ACIS (AXAF CCD Imaging Camera) archival image, sequence number 700451, was extracted from the Chandra archive. The ACIS observation took place on December 19, 2002 with an exposure time of 43 ks. We used the science level 2 files, generated by the pipeline standard processing on December 19, 2002, as stored in the archive. They were processed with Ciao 3.0.2. According to the information available on the Chandra pages, further corrections should be applied (i.e. reduction of tap-ringing distortions). However, for the purpose of the present work, this was found to be unnecessary. No additional corrections were therefore applied.

2.3. Ground-based Hα imagery

The Hα data used here were obtained with the CTIO 4-m telescope on November 18, 1993. A 2048 × 2048 chip with a 0.′431 pixel scale was used, leading to a total field-of-view of 14.′7 × 14.′7. Three exposures of 20 min. each were taken with a redshifted Hα filter (λ0 = 657.8 nm; Δλ = 0.64 nm), with a total integration time of 1 hr. The continuum subtraction was performed using an R-band image with a total integration time of 8 min. The data are extremely sensitive to low surface brightness emission, but as they are uncalibrated, will be used only for qualitative studies.

3. Results

3.1. X-ray imaging

XMM-Newton EPIC X-ray images of NGC 1808 were produced by grouping the photons from the cleaned event lists into the sub-bands defined by the XMM-Newton Survey Science Centre (SSC; Watson et al. 2001) and using the merge task in SAS v.5.4.1, which takes exposure time corrections into account. These images are displayed in Fig. 1. The maximum emission is located at αXMM-Newton (J2000)=05:07:42.40; δXMM-Newton (J2000)=−37:30:46.2 with an uncertainty of 1′′5–3′′. The position of the 2MASS...
nucleus is indicated by a cross. An adaptive filter was used to smooth the images in areas of low signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio, while not smearing out the emission from point sources.

NGC 1808 shows extended emission in the soft X-ray images up to 4.5 keV (Fig. 1). Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the radial profiles of NGC 1808 and MCG–06–30–15 in two energy bands: 0.2–4.5 keV and 4.5–10 keV. The QSO MCG–06–30–15 can be considered as a point-like source in all the XMM-Newton energy bands (Kirsch et al. 2005). The comparison shows that the emission of NGC 1808 in the lowest energy band is extended while its radial profile in the 4.5–10 keV band does not differ from the QSO profile, taking the errors into account. Therefore, NGC 1808 can be considered as a point-like source above 4.5 keV. This points out that the origin of the X-ray emission is not due to the compact central source alone. The elongated X-ray emission of NGC 1808 follows the same orientation as the Hα emission (see Sect. 3.4). The extended morphology is detected at energies below 4.5 keV, with a maximum in the 0.5–2.0 keV band (see Fig. 1). At these low energies, thermal emission processes tracing high-mass SF play an important role. Thus, our data suggest that the stellar activity in the nuclear region is significantly contributing to the observed soft X-ray emission. With increasing energy, the central emission maximum becomes more prominent and the non-nuclear sources fade away.

In order to resolve more details, we have compared our 0.2–12 keV EPIC image with the Chandra ACIS data. Both were smoothed with an adaptive filter and are displayed in Fig. 3. The Chandra ACIS image shows how the nucleus is surrounded by a population of discrete sources. The image (left panel of Fig. 3) shows the detailed structure in the central part of NGC 1808: at least four point-like sources are detected in the image, apart from the diffuse emission. Two of them are located at ~4” (212 pc) NW and SE from the maximum of the X-ray emission in the Chandra image and have also been detected in a Chandra HRC observation. Zezas et al. (2001) reported a luminosity for each of them of around $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

Although the nucleus is observed as a point-like source in the HRC image (see Zezas et al. 2001), the ACIS image reveals the presence of a double-peak structure (see Fig. 3). The hard (E>2 keV) and soft (E<1.5 keV) X-ray images of the two peaks presented in Fig. 4 reveal that the eastern source, S1, is significantly softer than the western one, S2. The locations of the two peaks are $\alpha_{\text{Chandra}}^1(J2000)=05:07:42.35; \delta_{\text{Chandra}}^1(J2000)=-37:30:45.8$ and $\alpha_{\text{Chandra}}^2(J2000)=05:07:42.19; \delta_{\text{Chandra}}^2(J2000)=-37:30:45.8$ with an uncertainty of 0′′6. The nuclear location from 2MASS is indicated by an arrow in the Chandra image, closer to the eastern source found by Chandra, S1, but compatible within the errors with both of them. The remaining two point-like sources are situated on the ring of radio emission as imaged by Saikia et al. (1990), close to the detected SNR and H II-regions.
Fig. 2. Comparison between the radial profiles of NGC 1808 and the point-like source MCG–06–30–15 in the 0.2–4.5 keV and 4.5–10 keV bands. Y-axis shows the normalised brightness (normalised counts/s/px$^2$). The plots show that the emission of NGC 1808 is extended in the 0.2–4.5 keV band and point-like in the 4.5–10 keV band.

Fig. 3. NGC 1808 0.2–12 keV X-ray images taken with the Chandra ACIS (left panel) and the XMM-Newton EPIC (right panel) with the ACIS image overlaid as contours (contour levels are 2,5,10,15,20, and 50 counts). The nuclear location from 2MASS is indicated by an arrow in the Chandra image. Both images are displayed on a logarithmic scale with a threshold of 3$\sigma$ of the mean background value.

3.2. X-ray spectral analysis

We performed an extensive X-ray spectral analysis of NGC 1808 using the EPIC and RGS instruments on board XMM-Newton, as well as, with Chandra’s ACIS camera.

The XMM-Newton EPIC data were used to perform a spectral analysis of NGC 1808 in the 0.35–9 keV energy band with moderate resolution (80 eV at 1 keV). In order to search for differences in the X-ray spectrum of the nucleus and its surroundings, we defined three circular regions and one annulus. The circles have radii of 16$''$ (850 pc), 35$''$ (1.9 kpc), and 2$'$ (6.4 kpc), while the annulus has external and internal radii of 20$''$ and 50$''$ (1.0–2.7 kpc), respectively (excluding one extra-nuclear source). Background regions were selected to be on the same CCD as the source but far enough to prevent source contamination. Figure 5 shows the spectra of each region. We simultaneously analysed the EPIC-pn and RGS spectra, and finally we also studied the Chandra spectra of the two nuclear point-like sources S1 and S2 (see Fig. 4), both located within the 16$''$ inner region extracted in the XMM-Newton analysis.

In order to apply the modified $\chi^2$ minimization technique in the spectral analysis, all EPIC spectra were grouped such that each spectral bin contains at least 50 counts. The Chandra spectra were grouped with at least 20 counts in each bin. The spectra were analysed using XSPEC v.11.3.0 (Arnaud 1996).

The spectra of the 35$''$ and 2$'$ regions are very similar, both in shape and intensity (see Fig. ). Only the spectrum of the 16$''$ region, although in good agreement with the two previous ones above 2 keV, is clearly weaker in the soft band.

3.2.1. EPIC spectrum of the inner region

The EPIC spectrum of the inner circular region of NGC 1808 is displayed in Fig. 6. The extraction region
The Chandra ACIS hard (E > 2 keV) and soft (E < 1.5 keV) X-ray images of the two nuclear point-like sources. The eastern source, S1, is softer than the western one, S2. The nuclear location from 2MASS, indicated by an arrow in the images, is closer to source S1. The circles indicate the regions used for spectral extraction (Sect. 3.2.2).

EPIC spectra of the three circular regions and the annulus (see text for further details).

has a radius of 16′′ (850 pc), centred on the maximum peak of the X-ray emission. MOS1 and MOS2 spectra and response matrices were combined by merging these to maximize the signal-to-noise level. Subsequently, the EPIC-pn and combined MOS spectra were fitted simultaneously. Figure 4 shows the 0.35–9 keV spectrum, the best fit model with a statistical quality of \( \chi^2 = 0.99 \) for 174 degrees of freedom (dof), and the residuals.

Simple models as a power law, a Raymond-Smith (Raymond and Smith, 1976), mekal (Mewe, Lemen, & van den Oord 1986), and bremsstrahlung components provide unacceptable fits. The best fit model obtained for the observed spectrum of the hard source, S2, includes an absorbed mekal component and an absorbed power law. The values of the

3.2.2. The Chandra spectra of sources S1 and S2

The ACIS image in Fig. 4 shows a double-peak nuclear structure surrounded by a few point-like sources inside the inner, r=16″, region defined for EPIC and analysed in the previous sub-section. We analysed the standard extracted spectra obtained with psextract of the two circular, r=1″2, regions S1 and S2 (see Fig. 4). The background was extracted from a clean circular region with a radius of 10″ located ~1′ North-East from the sources in the same CCD. For the two regions, models with a single component were rejected due to the high \( \chi^2 \) value obtained.

The best fit model obtained for the observed spectrum of the hard source, S2, includes an absorbed mekal component and an absorbed power law. The values of the
The thermal component in $S_2$ is significantly colder than in the $r=16''$ region, with $kT=0.58$ keV. Neither does it improve by adding an extra thermal component that could account for the thermal emission observed in the inner region of XMM-Newton. The best fit model is obtained with an unabsorbed mekal thermal model with free temperature. The result of the fit is unacceptable, $\chi^2 = 1.9$. The addition of an extra thermal component with $kT=0.087$ keV equal to the one detected in source $S_2$ does not significantly improve the fit. The best fit model is obtained with an unabsorbed power law with $\Gamma = 0.99^{+0.18}_{-0.14}$ and a moderately absorbed, $N_H = 5.2^{+1.0}_{-0.7} \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, mekal component with a temperature of $kT=0.58^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$ keV and the abundances of Ne, Mg, Si, and Fe fixed to the values obtained in the fit of the EPIC spectrum of the inner region. If an absorption component above the Galactic value is applied to the power law, the value of $N_H$ for that additional component is compatible with zero. The observed data, the best fit model, and the residuals are shown in Fig. 7.

The redshift-corrected locations of the fitted Gaussians are.

### Table 1. Parameters and goodness of the best fit models for the $r=16''$, $r=62.5''$ circular regions, and the $16.5''-35''$ annular region.

| Region                | $N_H$ $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $\Gamma$ | $A'_T$ $10^{-5}$ | $kT_T$ keV | $A'_I$ $10^{-4}$ | $kT_I$ keV | $A'_II$ $10^{-4}$ | Abundances          |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $16''$ (850 pc)       | 2.0$^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ | 0.79$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 6.00$^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$ | 0.580$^{+0.019}_{-0.016}$ | 3.7$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ | -- | -- | Ne $1.6^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ Mg $2.9^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ Si $2.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ Fe $0.63^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$ |
| $\chi^2 = 172$ for 174 dof |
| $16.5''-35''$ (875–1900 pc) | 2.0 (f) | 0.79(f) | 0.93 $\pm$ 0.08 | 0.58 (f) | 1.1 $\pm$ 0.4 | 0.096$^{+0.019}_{-0.008}$ | 2.5$^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$ | Ne $0.6^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ Mg $1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ Si $1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ Fe $0.37^{+0.12}_{-0.08}$ |
| $\chi^2 = 135$ for 88 dof |
| $62.5''$ (3.3 kpc) | 1.87$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ | 0.79(f) | 6.5 $\pm$ 0.2 | 0.572$^{+0.018}_{-0.004}$ | 4.8$^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$ | 0.11$^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$ | 4$^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$ | Ne $1.5^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$ Mg $1.9^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$ Si $1.9^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$ Fe $0.51^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$ |
| $\chi^2 = 222$ for 222 dof |

The best model for $16''$ region is phabsGia(xpow+zphabs*vmekal) and the best fit model for $16.5''-35''$ and $62.5''$ regions is phabsGia(xpow+zphabs*(vmekal+vmekal$_{II}$)). Normalisation in units of: ($''$) photons/keV/cm$^2$/s at 1 keV and ($''$) in $10^{-14}$ $\int n_e n_H dV$. 

**Fig. 6.** EPIC spectrum, best fit model, and residuals of the $r=16''$ inner region.
Table 2. Parameters and goodness of the best fit models for sources S1 and S2 observed with Chandra.

| Region | \(N_H (\text{pw}l)\) \(10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}\) | \(N_{\gamma} (\text{mekal})\) \(10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}\) | \(\Gamma\) | \(A_\text{pl}\) \(10^{-5}\) | \(kT\) \(\text{keV}\) | \(A^\dagger\) | Abundances |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|--------|-------|
| Source S1 | — | \(0.52^{+0.18}_{-0.07}\) | 0.99^{+0.14}_{-0.14} | 0.7 ± 0.4 | 0.58^{+0.07}_{-0.09} | \(9.7_{-2}^{+2} \times 10^{-1}\) | Ne 1.6(f) |
| Source S2 | \(3.1^{+0.8}_{-0.7}\) | 2.0^{+0.3}_{-0.4} | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 6^{+1}_{-3} | 0.087^{+0.005}_{-0.006} | \(2.5_{-2}^{+6}\) | Fe 0.63(f) |

\(\chi^2 = 30\) for 25 dof

\(\chi^2 = 41\) for 28 dof

The best models for regions S1 and S2 are phabs\(_{\text{Gal}}(z\text{pow}+\text{zphabs}_{\text{mekal}})\) and phabs\(_{\text{Gal}}(z\text{pow}+\text{zphabs}_{\text{mekal}})\), respectively. Normalisation in units of: \((1)\) photons/keV/cm\(^2\)/s at 1 keV and \((1)\) in 
\[\frac{10^{-14}}{4\pi D^2(1+z)^2} \int n_e n_H dV.\]

Fig. 7. Chandra spectra of source S1 (a) and source S2 (b), the corresponding best fit models, and the residuals. Note that the y-axis scale is the same on both plots to allow comparison of the spectra of both sources.

less than 0.02 Å from the theoretical value of the lines that we have identified. The fitted line widths are within the range of 0.02–0.04 Å, compatible with the instrumental resolution of the RGS. The detected lines are thus identified as: the Lyα emission line from O VIII, transitions of He-like Ne IX ions, and Fe L emission lines from Fe XVII and Fe XVIII. The weaker lines visible in the M 82 spectrum were not detected in NGC 1808, most probably due to the lower S/N. The similarity in the line ratios suggests that there is a common origin of the soft X-ray emission in both galaxies, i.e. thermal emission from a hot, extended gas component, as shown for M 82 (Read and Stevens, 2002). This result confirms the detection of the starburst in NGC 1808 in our data, which dominates the soft X-ray emission.

The RGS spectra of NGC 1808 and M 82 in Fig. 8 show only a qualitative comparison. In particular, in the reduction process of the data, calibration effects affecting the line profile were not considered, and therefore the wings of the lines are not properly determined. The most important effect of this issue is that the flux of the lines could be underestimated. The ratio of fluxes of the detected lines can be used to derive physical properties of the gas where the lines originate. In order to perform an accurate study of the properties of the emission lines, we analysed the RGS spectrum, taking the response of the detector into account and therefore avoiding the uncertainties explained before. Using XSPEC v.11.3, we simultaneously analysed the RGS and the 16′′ region EPIC-pn spectra. Figure 9 shows that the soft X-ray emission of NGC 1808 can be explained solely by the contribution of emission lines. Consequently, we tried to model the pn-RGS spectrum with an absorbed \((N_H = 1.7_{-1}^{+1} \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2})\) power law \((\Gamma = 1.1_{-0.5}^{+0.6} \text{ and } A_\text{pl} = 9.4_{-0.7}^{+0.9} \times 10^{-5} \text{ photons/keV/cm}^2/\text{s} \text{ at 1 keV})\) which accounts for the hard band emission and a number of lines accounting for the soft band emission. The emission lines were modeled with Gaussian profiles with widths fixed to their instrumental value, both in pn and RGS. The wavelengths were also fixed to the theoretical values of the most likely identifications in order to reduce the degrees of freedom. The best fit model consists of 13 emission lines and a power law with a value for \(\chi^2 = 307\) for 209 dof. The energy, intensity, and identification of each line are shown in Table 3 and the fit in Fig. 9.

The line ratios provide a diagnostic of the conditions of the gas. In particular, the ratios between lines of iron in different degrees of ionization degrees allow for the best temperature diagnostics. Table 3 gives all the ratios relative to the strongest isolated line, i.e. Fe XVII (15.01 Å). We therefore use the observed Fe XVII line and compare...
Fig. 8. RGS fluxed spectra of M82 and NGC 1808, upper and lower panel, respectively. The dotted line corresponds to the errors. The identification of M82 lines is from Reads & Stevens (2002).

it with FeXVIII and others with higher ionization states to infer the plasma temperature. We use the web version of the ATOMDB v.1.3, http://cxc.harvard.edu/atomdb and conclude that a collisionally ionized plasma with kT\(\sim\)0.4 keV (\(\sim\)5 \times 10^6 K) has a line spectrum compatible with the observed spectrum of NGC 1808. This is not surprising, given our previous results using EPIC data alone.

3.2.4. EPIC spectrum of the non-nuclear extended region

In order to investigate the non-nuclear extended emission of NGC 1808, the EPIC-pn spectrum of an annular region was extracted and analysed. The inner and the outer radii, 16’’5 (875 pc) and 35’’ (1.9 kpc), were chosen to minimize the contribution of the so-called inner region, i.e. \(r=16''\), and to exclude the CCD edges.

For the spectral fit, we have assumed that the emission in this region is contaminated by the emission from the inner region. Therefore, the fitting model includes a power law with an index fixed to the value obtained in the fit of the inner region spectrum, i.e. \(\Gamma = 0.79\), and an absorbed mekal component with \(N_H\) fixed to 2\(\times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and \(kT_I\) fixed to 0.58 keV. The normalisations of both components were left free. An excess with respect to the model is observed at low energies. This feature can be well fitted with an extra mekal component with a temperature \(kT_{II} \simeq 0.1\) keV. The abundances of Ne, Mg, Si, and Fe have been left free in the fits and their values are compatible within the errors with the abundances obtained for the inner region. The values of all parameters and the goodness of the fit can be found in Table 1 Figure 10 shows the data, the best fit model, and the residuals.

Comparison of the inner and annular regions shows that the annular spectrum includes a component not detected in the inner region, the low temperature gas, which can therefore be associated with a non-nuclear, extended emission component.

The results of the analysis confirm our expectation that the spectrum of the annular region is contaminated by some emission from the inner region. This is especially true for the power law, which is fainter by a factor of \(\sim 6.5\) compared to that in the inner region, while the hotter thermal gas contribution may be partially due to either extended emission or discrete sources in the annulus, as its normalisation is only a factor of 3.4 weaker than
Table 3. Properties of the identified lines in the simultaneous analysis of RGS and EPIC-pn data.

| Energy (keV) | Wavelength (Å) | Intensity (ph cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | I/I\(_{FeXVII}\) | Identification                      |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1.99        | 6.23           | 0.02\(^{+0.15}_{-0.09}\)            | 0.01           | SiXIV Ly\(_{\alpha}\) 6.182 Å       |
| 1.87        | 6.63           | 0.09\(^{+0.17}_{-0.15}\)            | 0.21           | SiXIII He\(_{\alpha}\) 6.65(6.69)6.74 Å |
| 1.47        | 8.43           | 0.53\(^{+0.19}_{-0.15}\)            | 0.16           | MgXII Ly\(_{\alpha}\) 8.42 Å       |
| 1.34        | 9.25           | 1.09\(^{+0.19}_{-0.16}\)            | 0.33           | MgXII He\(_{\alpha}\) 9.17(9.23)9.31 Å |
| 1.13        | 10.97          | 3.04\(^{+0.38}_{-0.32}\)            | 0.91           | FeXVII+FeVIII                        |
| 1.02        | 12.15          | 2.34\(^{+0.24}_{-0.26}\)            | 0.70           | NeX Ly\(_{\alpha}\) 12.134 Å+FeXVII  |
| 0.917       | 13.52          | 2.88\(^{+0.39}_{-0.29}\)            | 0.86           | NeX He\(_{\alpha}\) 13.45,13.55,13.70 Å+FeXVII  |
| 0.876       | 14.15          | 1.32\(^{+0.26}_{-0.20}\)            | 0.39           | FeXVIII 14.21,14.37,14.23 Å        |
| 0.823       | 15.06          | 3.34\(^{+0.39}_{-0.29}\)            | 1.00           | FeXVII 15.01,15.26 Å                |
| 0.769       | 16.12          | 1.32\(^{+0.26}_{-0.26}\)            | 0.40           | FeXVIII 16.00,16.07 Å+OVIII Ly\(_{\beta}\) 16.01 Å+FeXIX  |
| 0.724       | 17.12          | 2.49\(^{+0.33}_{-0.32}\)            | 0.75           | FeXVII 16.78,17.05,17.10 Å          |
| 0.651       | 19.04          | 1.49\(^{+0.34}_{-0.31}\)            | 0.45           | OVIII Ly\(_{\alpha}\) 18.97 Å+CaXVII+CaXVI |
| 0.565       | 21.94          | 0.17\(^{+0.42}_{-0.17}\)            | 0.05           | OVII He\(_{\alpha}\) 21.6,21.8,22.1 Å |

\(phabs(zphabs*zpow+13gauss)\); \(\chi^2 = 1.47\) for 209 dof.

Fig. 9. High-resolution RGS1+RGS2 and EPIC-pn spectra in both energy (top plot) and wavelength (bottom plot) units, together with the best-fitting model which includes a power law and 13 Gaussian profiles and the EPIC-pn and RGS-1 residuals.

in the inner region. Indeed, the encircled energy function for EPIC-pn predicts that about 20\% of the total energy emitted by the unresolved source will be imaged between r=16′5 and r=35″ from the centre of the point spread function.

![Fig. 9](image_url)

3.2.5. Integrated EPIC spectrum of the total galaxy

A circular region with \(r = 62′5\) (3.3 kpc) was analysed. The extracted EPIC spectrum contains the emission of the whole galaxy and the region coincides with the extraction radius used in the ROSAT analysis (Dahlem et al. 1994; Junkes et al. 1995).

Analogously to the annular region, the components of the best fit model (\(\chi^2 = 1.00\) for 222 dof) are a power law and two absorbed thermal components. The values of the various parameters and the goodness of the fit can be found in Table 4. Figure 11 shows the data, the best fit model, and the residuals. In the lower panel of Fig. 11 we also show the best fit model and its various additive components in \(Ef(E)\). The values of the parameters suggest that the emission has the same origin as for the annular

![Fig. 10](image_url)

Fig. 10. EPIC-pn spectrum, best fit model, and residuals of the annular region.
region; i.e. the power law and higher temperature component are associated with the nuclear emission, and the soft thermal emission is associated with the non-nuclear extended emission.

![EPIC spectrum, best fit model, and residuals of the r=62′′5 (3.3 kpc) region (top plot) and the best fit model, the various additive components, and the EPIC-pn spectrum in Ef(E) (bottom plot).](image)

Fig. 11. EPIC spectrum, best fit model, and residuals of the r=62′′5 (3.3 kpc) region (top plot) and the best fit model, the various additive components, and the EPIC-pn spectrum in Ef(E) (bottom plot).

### 3.3. X-ray fluxes and luminosities

Various absorbed X-ray fluxes and unabsorbed luminosities, measured in the three regions of the XMM-Newton analysis and the two regions of the Chandra analysis, are collated in Table 4.

For all cases, the thermal components dominate in the soft X-ray band, while the power law dominates in the 2–10 keV band. The innermost part of the galaxy (r=16′′=850 pc) is responsible for emission in the hard band, while in the soft energy band it contributes only half of the total luminosity of the galaxy (r = 62′′5=3.3 kpc).

In order to study the long term variability in NGC 1808, we compared the fluxes observed with XMM-Newton in April 2002 with the ones observed with Chandra eight months later. To allow comparison, the spectra of the nuclear, the annular, and the inner regions were extracted from the Chandra ACIS observation. These regions are equivalent to the ones analysed with XMM-Newton. The spectra of the inner regions were fitted using the best fit model obtained from the XMM-Newton analysis, i.e. a power law plus an absorbed mekal component. The index of the power law, hydrogen column density, and the temperature and abundances of the mekal component of the best fit model (χ² = 170 for 137 dof) are compatible with the values obtained with XMM-Newton. Therefore, no spectral variability was detected between both observations. The spectrum of the annular region was also fitted using the best fit model obtained with XMM-Newton. The values of the relevant parameters of the best fit model (χ² = 45 for 36 dof) are again compatible with the ones derived from the XMM-Newton observation.

In the inner region, the comparison of the XMM-Newton and Chandra values reveals no sign of variability in the soft energy range Chandra flux is only 5% lower than the XMM-Newton flux, well within the statistical errors and calibration uncertainties of both instruments. In the hard band, a decrease of 16% in flux is observed at a 2.5σ level (see Table 4). The Chandra analysis of the two discrete sources detected within the r=16′′ region reveals that source S2 is responsible for ∼50% of the hard emission from the inner Chandra region, while the combined emission of sources S1 and S2 only explains ∼15% of the observed soft emission in this inner region. It is worth noting that the upper limit of the intrinsic, i.e. absorption-corrected soft X-ray luminosity of S2 obtained with Chandra, is more than three orders of magnitude higher than the luminosity of the whole galaxy. However, considering the uncertainties of this measurement, it is compatible with lower values. Moreover, taking the absorbed fluxes into account, no discrepancy is found, indicating that the intrinsic absorption components are responsible for the high luminosity measured. Although the statistical test shows that the model is acceptable, alternative scenarios cannot be ruled out.

In the annular region, the Chandra flux measurement in the soft band is significantly lower than those of XMM-Newton. However, Chandra is less efficient than XMM-Newton to detect weak extended emission and probably the discrepancy is due to the extended emission not determined by Chandra.

We also measured the fluxes and luminosities with Chandra within an r=62′′5 aperture to compare the XMM-Newton data with Chandra. The Chandra spectrum does not require the complexity needed to describe the XMM-Newton spectrum: only a combination of a power law and one mekal component is sufficient. The lowest temperature mekal, kT~ 0.1 keV, found with XMM-Newton is not detected in the Chandra spectrum due to the small effective area of the instrument at such low energies. The values of the parameters of the two components included in the best-fit model (χ²=220 for 200 dof) are compatible with the XMM-Newton results.
As expected, taking the results for the inner region into account, a mild variability in the hard band was observed between the XMM-Newton and Chandra observations, while no significant variation of the flux was measured in the soft energy range. This variation is dominated by the power law component of the inner region.

In addition to the newly derived luminosity values, Table 4 also lists the luminosity measured with ROSAT (Junkes et al. 1995) in this region. The values indicate an important decrease in the luminosity between the ROSAT, XMM-Newton, and Chandra observations. Comparing our results \((L_{0.5–10\text{ keV}} \sim 3 \times 10^{39} \text{ ergs}^{-1})\) for the r=62’5 region with the ASCA observations of February 1994 obtained by Awaki et al. 1996 \((L_{0.5–10\text{ keV}} = 2 \times 10^{39} \text{ ergs}^{-1})\), a small increase in the luminosity is observed. The comparison with the Ginga results (October 1990) indicates a decrease of the luminosity from \(L_{2–10\text{ keV}} = 5 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs}^{-1}\) for Ginga to \(L_{2–10\text{ keV}} = 1.7 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs}^{-1}\) for XMM-Newton. Nevertheless, existing uncertainties in the relative calibration of various X-ray detectors could explain the discrepancy of XMM-Newton and Chandra with the ASCA and Ginga values, but the luminosity measured by ROSAT is 5 times that observed with XMM-Newton and Chandra, too high to be explained by calibration uncertainties.

Short-term variability during the XMM-Newton observation was not detected.

3.4. OM optical/UV data and Hα imagery

OM UV images of NGC 1808 with the U (350 nm), UVW1 (291 nm) and UVW2 (212 nm) filters were taken in parallel with the X-ray observations. Due to technical problems, part of the UVW1 image was lost. All images are displayed in Fig. 12. The U and UVW2 images show a double-peak structure in the centre of the galaxy. The locations of the peaks in UVW2 image are \(\alpha_{\text{OM}}(J2000)=05:07:41.97; \delta_{\text{OM}}(J2000)=–37:30:43.3\) and \(\alpha_{\text{OM}}(J2000)=05:07:42.62; \delta_{\text{OM}}(J2000)=–37:30:48.1\) with uncertainties of ~2″. Figure 12c shows the central region of the UVW2 image with the Chandra contours overlaid. The locations of the two point-like sources detected by Chandra (at ~4″ from the nucleus and aligned in the NW and SE direction) coincide with the positions of the UV peaks observed in the OM image. However, there is no UV emission associated with any of the two bright X-ray sources at the very nucleus of the galaxy.

In Fig. 13 we have overlaid the OM 212 nm image of NGC 1808 on the EPIC 0.5–2.0 keV frame from Fig. 1 and on an extremely sensitive Hα image. An RGB composition of the images in optical (Hα), UV (OM UVW2) and X-rays (EPIC) is presented in Fig. 14.

The correspondence of UV and Hα emission maxima indicates that the OM data trace the massive stars ionizing the gas in H II regions in NGC 1808. The Hα image also shows several knots located in an outer spiral arm. SF is traced in the circumnuclear starburst but also further out in the galaxy disk. Similarly, the soft X-ray emission observed in the same regions is expected to come from hot regions.
Fig. 12. OM UV images of NGC 1808. From left to right and from top to bottom: U filter (350 nm), UVW1 filter (291 nm), UVW2 (212 nm) images, and the UVW2 central region of NGC 1808 with the overlay of the Chandra contours. The location of the nucleus from 2MASS is marked with a cross. The elliptical ring eastwards of the galaxy in U and UVW1 images is an artefact due to the light scattered within the OM detector. All images are displayed in logarithmic scale with a threshold of $3\sigma$ of the mean background value.

Gas in or near these SF regions. Optical/UV emission also tracks the bar.

4. Discussion

ASCA and Ginga observations in the past missed the starburst’s soft X-ray emission, favouring an interpretation in terms of an AGN (Awaki et al. 1996, Awaki & Koyama 1993), as suggested first by Véron-Cetty and Véron (1985). Similarly, the IR emission observed by ISO is dominated by this AGN (Siebenmorgen et al. 2001).

ROSAT, on the other hand, detected the soft emission from the starburst, being less sensitive to the hard emission of an AGN (e.g. Junkes et al. 1995), thus suggesting the predominance of the starburst.

Based on the data presented above, the most likely interpretation is that NGC 1808 hosts both, a circumnuclear starburst and an unresolved nuclear X-ray source. Both in the imaging (Figs. [1] and [2]) and spectral (Figs. [3] and [4]) domains, XMM-Newton and Chandra detect the presence of the starburst and the hard unresolved nuclear source. In the following we discuss the physical origin of the emission of both types of activity: the starburst and the unresolved X-ray source.
4.1. X-ray emission of the inner region

4.1.1. Hard component

Spectral characteristics

The slope of the power law fitted to the $16''$ region, $\Gamma = 0.79^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$, is flatter in comparison to results obtained for radio-quiet AGN and LINERs. Works by Georgantopoulos et al. (2002) and Terashima et al. (2002) find values for the power law spectral indices ranging from 1.7 to 2.3 for these objects. However, there are also objects with lower spectral indices (e.g. PKS 2251+113, with $\Gamma = 0.95^{+0.24}_{-0.24}$, Reeves & Turner, 2001). Analysis of the Chandra data reveals that this hard emission is dominated by one of the two detected nuclear sources, S2 in Fig. 4, which is harder. In addition, source S2 is absorbed by a column density of $N_{H} = 3.1^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, compatible with values observed in Compton-thin Seyfert 2 galaxies. This fact suggests that in case one of the nuclear peaks observed with Chandra is associated with an AGN, the most likely possibility is that it is source S2. Interestingly, the best-fit model of the XMM-Newton $16''$ region spectrum does not require absorption in excess of the Galactic value. However, this XMM-Newton region includes several emitting sources that could blur the presence of an absorbing component in the best fit model.

Luminosity

The total luminosity of the central region with a radius of $16''= 850$ pc of NGC 1808 is $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = (1.61 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The luminosity in the same energy band associated with the harder peak with a radius of $1''2=65$ pc observed with Chandra, i.e. source S2, is $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 0.92^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is $\sim 60\%$ of the emission of the XMM-Newton inner region. These values are in the range of Low Luminosity AGN (LLAGN) and LINERS (Terashima et al. 2002, Georgantopoulos et al. 2002). Moreover, in both cases the luminosity associated with the power law component is in good agreement with the typical values of low luminosity Seyfert galaxies and LINERs. Terashima et al. (2002) found in a sample of 53 objects of these types observed by ASCA that the luminosity varies within the range $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 5 \times 10^{39-40}$ ergs$^{-1}$. If only LINERS are considered, the median luminosity obtained is $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 7 \times 10^{39}$ ergs$^{-1}$ (Ho et al. 2001), which is lower than the one derived for NGC 1808. In the soft band, a sample of LINERS observed with ROSAT (Komossa et al. 1999) shows luminosities in the range of $L_{0.2-2\text{keV}} = 10^{38-41}$ ergs$^{-1}$, in agreement with luminosity measured for the NGC 1808.

Variability

We studied the variability of NGC 1808. While no short-term variation of flux was detected during the XMM-Newton observation, medium- and long-term variability has been suggested in both soft and hard bands.

NGC 1808 was observed by XMM-Newton and Chandra with an interval of eight months. The measurements indicate the soft X-ray flux remained constant, taking the uncertainties into account. A decrease of $16\%$ (at a $2.5\sigma$ level) in the measured flux is observed in the hard X-ray band. In particular, the power law component of the
The innermost region spectrum of NGC 1808 is responsible for this variability.

The long- and medium-term flux variations measured over the years by various satellites are most probably caused by variability of the nuclear source. In the soft energy band, a significant decrease of a factor of five has been observed when the ROSAT and XMM-Newton (or Chandra) luminosities are compared. It should be noted that the ROSAT spectral fit is particularly uncertain because of the high absorbing column density, which leaves a very narrow effective bandpass for the X-ray emission, from about 0.5 to 2.4 keV.

In summary, mild medium-term variability of the hard band luminosity was measured between the XMM-Newton and Chandra observations. This variation can be attributed to an AGN or a ULX. Furthermore, the uncertainties introduced by comparing different satellites does
not allow us to firmly conclude that other changes in flux are intrinsically due to the nucleus of NGC 1808.

### Ultraluminous X-ray sources

The study of the hard X-rays emanating from the inner region indicates that the nuclear X-ray emission itself could be due to a LLAGN or a LINER. The observed hard band X-ray luminosity, \( L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} = (1.61 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), is very difficult to explain by standard stellar processes. Nevertheless, non-nuclear point-like sources with X-ray luminosities on the order of \( 1 \times 10^{38-42} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) have been detected in nearby galaxies. An alternative origin to an AGN nucleus of NGC 1808 could be one of the so-called ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULX).

The two central sources S1 and S2 were studied in detail in Sect. 4.2.2. The nuclear location from 2MASS is compatible with either of them being the nucleus. The luminosity of source S2 of \( L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} = 9.2^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) is compatible with either a LLAGN or a ULX. Strickland et al. (2001) and Roberts et al. (2002) show that the analysis of Chandra ULX spectra favours simple power laws with indices in the range of 1.8–2.9, higher than the value obtained in our analysis of 0.79\(^{+0.07}_{-0.06}\). Interestingly, one of the compact radio sources reported by Saikia et al. (1990) coincides with the X-ray point-like source S1.

Although more data analysis is necessary to reach any firm conclusion, this finding leaves open the possibility that the nucleus of NGC 1808 could be a ULX.

#### 4.1.2. Soft component

**Emission distribution**

The soft X-ray emission of the inner region of NGC 1808 is extended (see e.g. Fig. 1). The elongated emission is detected at energies up to 4.5 keV. Moreover, the Chandra images clearly show the simultaneous presence of a double emission peak and diffuse, or at least unresolved, X-ray emission around the two peaks. The extended emission is likely to have been created by star formation processes. Our XMM-Newton data permit a clear spectral distinction between the emission associated with the starburst and the additional nuclear sources in the \( r=16'' \) (850 pc) region, see Fig. 6. The starburst, associated with the mekal component in the spectral fit, dominates the soft energy band (below 1 keV), see Tables 3 and 4. The starburst also shows up in the form of radio continuum and IR knots (Saikia et al. 1990; Kotilainen et al. 1996), bright H\alpha emission, and bright circumnuclear UV continuum from massive stars, as displayed in Fig. 13. In particular, the position of the 2MASS nucleus coincides perfectly with one of the radio compact sources detected by Saikia et al. (1990), explained as SNR or complexes of unresolved SNR. The nucleus is prominent in X-rays and in the optical regime (see Figs. 1 and 14a).

However, while the OM UVW2 image shows that the UV emission close to the position of the nucleus is very weak (see Fig. 12a). These facts suggest that the nucleus is highly absorbed. The spectral analysis of source S2 shows a high value of the equivalent hydrogen column, \( N_H \sim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), which can explain this absence of UV and soft X-ray emission associated with the hard X-ray peak.

### Plasma temperature

The best fit to the EPIC data contains a thermal plasma component with a temperature of \( kT = 0.580^{+0.019}_{-0.016} \text{ keV} \). This corresponds roughly to the “medium” component identified in the spectra of other starburst galaxies (Dahlem et al. 1998; see also Weaver et al. 2000 and Dahlem et al. 2000). It is consistent with \( 0.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ keV} \) (Junkee et al. 1995), which was measured from ROSAT data, based only on about 600 photons. It is interesting to note that the surprisingly high Hydrogen column density, on the order of \( 8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), in the best-fitting model to the ROSAT data is also corroborated by our results.

**X-ray luminosity and SFR**

The luminosity of the starburst component, which we identify with the thermal spectral components, is dominated by the emission in the soft energy band. The observed X-ray luminosity, \( L_{0.2-4\,\text{keV}} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), which represents \( \sim 70\% \) of the emission associated with the starburst component of the whole galaxy, leads to a far-infrared-to-X-ray luminosity ratio of \( \log(L_X/L_{\text{FIR}}) = -3.8 \). This value is consistent with those obtained by Heckman et al. (1990) for a sample of six starburst galaxies, which are in the range between –3.7 and –4.3, and also with the results in Mas-Hesse et al. (1995), who obtained a mean value of –3.33 for a sample of starforming galaxies.

Ranalli, Comastri & Setti (2003) deduced that the X-ray luminosity is a tracer of SFR, based on a sample of nearby galaxies. As was pointed out by Persic et al. (2004b), the luminosity in the 2–10 keV band is not a precise SFR indicator, due to the contamination by low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXB). These objects are bright in the X-ray band over long time scales, \( t_X \sim 10^7 \text{ yr} \), and therefore do not trace the instantaneous SFR. For this reason, we use the soft X-ray luminosity as a tracer of the SFR, with the SFR-L\(_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}} \) relation in Ranalli, Comastri & Setti (2003):

\[
SFR_X(M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 2.2 \times 10^{-40}L_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}}
\]

The L\(_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}} \) associated with the starburst in the \( r=16''\) (850 pc) region, as derived from the mekal component contribution, is on the order of \( L_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}} \sim 1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and the SFR\(_X\), therefore, is \( \sim 2.5 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \).

**Metallicities**

We detect several key emission lines, which for the first time provide us with a good measure of the abundances of some elements (Sect. 4.2.3). The RGS spectrum shows a}

\[
L_{\text{FIR}} = 2\pi D^2 F_{\text{FIR}} = 9.3 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}(\text{Dahlem et al. 1992}), \text{ where } F_{\text{FIR}} = 1.26 \times (f_{60} + f_{100}) \text{, } f_{60} \text{ being the IRAS } 60 \mu\text{m flux density and } f_{100} \text{ the } 100 \mu\text{m flux density.}
very weak continuum emission with several emission lines. The values obtained indicate slightly super-solar metallicities for Ne, Mg, and Si and solar or sub-solar abundance for Fe, which is consistent with the results by Dahlem et al. (1998) and Weaver et al. (2000).

In this context the absence of a thermal Fe Kα line at ~6.5 keV energy is noteworthy. From our data in the annular region, we derive a 3-σ upper limit on the equivalent width of 170 eV and on the flux of 4 × 10^{-7} photons cm^{-2}s^{-1}. This result is compatible with any possible iron line emission entirely due to the mekal component. We have used ATOMDB v.1.3 to derive the expected flux for Fe, which is consistent with the results by Dahlem et al. (1998) and Weaver et al. (2000).

The values obtained indicate slightly super-solar metallicities as obtained from the single mekal component fitted to the annular spectrum, i.e. kT=0.53^{+0.05}_{-0.03} keV and normalisation A_{mekal} = 2.2 × 10^{-4}. The total flux of the most prominent iron lines (FeXXI-6.505 keV and FeXXII-6.504 & 6.57 keV ) is ~2 × 10^{-4} photons cm^{-2}s^{-1} (with an emissivity of ~5 × 10^{-22} photons cm^{-2}s^{-1}), consistent with the upper limit measured.

4.2. X-ray emission outside the central region

In Sect. 3.2, we show the results of the spectral analysis of the 875 pc–1.9 kpc annular region. The best fit model indicates that, apart from the contribution of the nuclear emission, the annular region includes an extra thermal component which can be explained by a mekal model with kT=0.11^{+0.03}_{-0.02} keV. The analysis of the total galaxy X-ray emission also indicates the presence of a soft thermal model with a similar temperature, kT=0.11^{+0.03}_{-0.02} keV.

Although weak, the contribution in the soft band of this component (I_{0.1–2.4 keV} = 7.7 × 10^{39} erg s^{-1}) to the soft X-ray luminosity of the whole galaxy is significant, representing around 30% of the total soft X-ray emission of NGC1808. In the hard band, the contribution of this low temperature thermal component is negligible. Using Eq. 1 (see Sect. 4.1.2 for further details), the observed X-ray luminosity associated with both mekal components of the annular region, L_{0.5–2.4 keV} ~ 4 × 10^{39}, can be translated into a SFR of ~1 M⊙ yr^{-1}.

Far-infrared emission is also a good tracer of the star formation; using the relationship by Kennicutt et al. (1998), we derive for NGC 1808:

\[
SFR_{FIR}(M_\odot yr^{-1}) = \frac{1}{e} 4.5 \times 10^{-44} L_{FIR}
\]

where e is the fraction of UV/optical flux emitted by the stars and absorbed by the dust and remitted in the IR, which is close to unity even for low values of reddening (Mas-Hesse & Kunth 1991). Assuming that the whole FIR emission is due to the starburst, we obtain SFR_{FIR} ~4 M⊙ yr^{-1}. This result is consistent with the one obtained through the X-ray emission, combining the values derived from the nuclear region (SFR_X ~ 2.5 M⊙ yr^{-1}, see Sect. 4.1.2) and from the annular region (SFR_X ~ 1 M⊙ yr^{-1}). It suggests that the contribution of the AGN to the FIR emission is small.

### Table 5. Parameters for the hot gas component in the extra-nuclear starburst region of NGC 1808

| n_e (cm^{-3}) | m_{gas} (M_\odot) | τ (yr) |
|--------------|-----------------|--------|
| 3.0 × 10^{-2}/\sqrt{\eta} | 6.3 × 10^{6} \sqrt{\eta} | 6.3 × 10^{7} \sqrt{\eta} |

The second, soft mekal component detected in both the annular and the r=3.3 kpc region is most likely thermal emission associated with the halo. Such a thermal component (kT=0.2–0.3 keV) is found in all starburst galaxies in the sample by Dahlem et al. (1998) and Weaver et al. (2000) and also by us in other starburst galaxies observed with XMM-Newton (e.g. Dahlem et al. 2003 and Ehle & Dahlem 2004). The presence of both, the prominent vertical dust filaments in NGC 1808 and the gaseous outflow inferred by the kinematics of HI gas (Koribalski et al. 1993), suggested that this component would most likely be present in NGC 1808.

If the diffuse X-ray emission in the soft energy band is assumed to be due to thermal cooling of a hot gas in collisional ionization equilibrium\(^3\), it is possible to estimate the gas density n_e, mass m_{gas}, and cooling time τ of that plasma. To this end we make use of a formula given by Nulsen et al. (1984), i.e. \( L_n(\text{soft}) = 0.812 \Lambda(T)n_e^2V_\eta \). The unknown filling factor η allows for some lumpiness of the gas filling the emitting volume V, and was found in simulations (Avillez & Breitschwerdt, 2004 and references therein) to be in the range of 0.2–0.4, only modestly increasing with higher SN rate.

As explained in Sect. 3.2.2, the spectrum of the non-nuclear extended X-ray emission is complex and a best fit was obtained with a model consisting of a foreground absorbed power law plus (at least) two internally absorbed mekal components, corroborating the fact that the hot gas is a multi-temperature mixture. Nevertheless for the purpose of estimating hot gas parameters, we fitted this emission component with a single ‘characteristic’ temperature that was found to be 0.53^{+0.05}_{-0.03} keV. For such a gas temperature of the starburst component (~6.2 × 10^6 K) Raymond et al. (1976) give a cooling coefficient Λ(T) of ~5 × 10^{-23} erg cm^{-3}s^{-1}. For the unabsorbed luminosity of the hot gas component we make use of the total (\textit{Thermal} I + II) \( L_{0.1–2.4 keV} = 7.7 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) (see Table 4). Assuming for the emitting volume a torus geometry with an inner radius of 875 pc and outer radius of 1.9 kpc, the calculated gas parameters are presented in Table 5.

The mass of the detected hot gas is only \( \lesssim 0.5% \) of the total gas mass\(^4\). The cooling time, τ, is comparable to typical duty cycles of starbursts, which are estimated

\(^3\) See, however, the discussion in the validity of this assumption in Breitschwerdt & Schmutzler (1999)

\(^4\) The total gas mass calculated using H_1 observations is > 1.8 × 10^9 M⊙ (Dahlem et al. 2001).
to be on the order of a few times $\sim 10^7$ yr (Rieke et al. 1988).

No significant X-ray emission was found, at the sensitivity of our current data, in the intergalactic space around NGC 1808. Similarly, no H I and Hα emission was found by us (Dahlem et al. 2001).

5. Summary and conclusions

The work presented in this paper shows evidence of the presence of starburst activity and a hard unresolved source within the inner $r=850$ pc region in NGC 1808. Analysis of the optical-to-X-ray emission proves the co-existence of thermal diffuse plasma, non nuclear point-like sources and, of a LLAGN or a ULX.

The XMM-Newton EPIC images show extended X-ray emission for energies below 4.5 keV. The elongated shape observed in the X-ray band follows the same orientation as the Hα and optical-UV emission, suggesting that SF contributes significantly to the soft X-ray emission. At least four non-nuclear point-like sources were detected in the Chandra ACIS image of the inner 250 pc of NGC 1808. The high spatial resolution Chandra image allows the detection of a double-peak nuclear structure. The locations of both sources are compatible with the position of the nucleus as derived from 2MASS. The spectral characteristics of these sources show that one is dominated by hot gas emission, indicating starburst activity, while the other is a highly absorbed hard X-ray source.

The spectral analysis of the XMM-Newton data completes the scenario outlined by X-ray imaging. Comparing EPIC-pn spectra of several regions in the central part of NGC 1808, it is inferred that the bulk of the emission originates from the nucleus, although in the soft X-ray band the contribution of the circumnuclear regions is not negligible.

The X-ray EPIC spectrum of the inner nuclear region ($r=16''$, 850 pc) is explained by a power law, $\Gamma = 0.79^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$, accounting for the hard X-ray emission and associated with an unresolved point-like source. The absorption measured in the Chandra spectral analysis of source S2 is compatible with typical values of Compton-thin Seyfert 2 galaxies. However, this absorbing material does not show up in the XMM-Newton spectrum, probably because it includes contributions from different regions, making it difficult to separate absorption and soft emission components.

In order to explain the soft X-ray emission of the inner region, it is necessary to include emission due to a diffuse plasma with $kT=0.58^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$ keV. The data obtained with RGS exhibit emission lines similar in wave-length and relative intensity ratios to the ones found for the prototypical starburst galaxy M 82. The temperature, the luminosity, and the various ratios of emission lines are consistent with being originated in a collisionally ionized plasma associated with SF regions, which dominates the total emission spectrum including the continuum in the soft X-ray regime.

The luminosities measured with XMM-Newton for the $r=850$ pc inner region ($L_{2-10\text{ keV}} = (1.61 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$) and with Chandra for source S2 ($L_{2-10\text{ keV}} = 0.92^{+0.86}_{-0.7} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$) are both in the range of LLAGNs but are also compatible with the values of ULX sources. A decrease in the 2-10 keV flux on the order of 15% in an interval of eight months was measured when comparing XMM-Newton and Chandra observations, while no change in flux was detected in the soft energy band. Both the luminosities and the variability detected are compatible with the unresolved source being a LLAGN or a ULX.

Halo emission of NGC 1808 could also be detected: a softer thermal component, $kT \sim 0.1$ keV, with $L_{0.1-2.4\text{ keV}} \sim 7 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($\sim 30\%$ of the total soft X-ray emission) is necessary to explain the spectrum at distances larger than 875 pc from the centre.

The multiwavelength analysis of the nuclear region of NGC 1808 performed in this work allows us to detect and for the first time to unambiguously disentangle the contributions of an unresolved nuclear X-ray source and the starburst regions within the $r=850$ pc region. Further analysis of better quality data is required to determine the exact nature of the unresolved nuclear source.
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