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Abstract

Background: Lassa fever has been a public health concern in the West African sub-region where it is endemic and a latent threat to the world at large. We investigated the trend in Lassa fever research using bibliometric approach.

Methods: We used the SCOPUS database employing “Lassa fever” as search descriptor. The most common bibliometric indicators were applied for the selected publications.

Results: The number of scientific research articles retrieved for Lassa fever research from 1970 to 2017 was 1101. The growth of publications was more linear ($r = 0.67$) than exponential ($r = 0.53$). The duplication time of the scientific articles was 9.19 years. Small number of authors were responsible for bulk of the article production (transience index of 78.89%). The collaboration index was 4.59 per paper. The Bradford core consisted of 19 journals in which Journal of Virology was at the top (4.6%). Majority of the output were from USA government agencies. United States was the most productive country. Joseph B. McCormick was the most productive author, while New England Journal of Medicine published the two most cited articles.

Conclusion: The growth of scientific Literature on Lassa fever was of linear pattern with high transient authors indicating low productivity and non-specialized authors from other related areas publishing sporadically. This study provides a helpful reference for medical virologists, epidemiologist, policy decision makers, academics and Lassa fever researchers.
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Background

Lassa fever is a viral hemorrhagic fever that was first described in the town Lassa in the North-East of Nigeria [1, 2]. Lassa virus (LASV), the causative agent of Lassa fever, is a negative strand RNA virus belonging to the old world complex family Arenaviridae characterized by the appearance of “sandy” ribosomes encapsulated in the virion as seen in electron microscope [3–5]. The reservoir/natural host of the virus is the multimammate rat Mastomys natalensis which live close to human settlement [4]. The virus may be transmitted from human to human giving rise to nosocomial or community-based outbreaks [5]. Mastomys natalensis shed the virus in urine [6] and contamination of human food is a more likely mode of transmission. Clinical manifestations of Lassa fever ranges from asymptomatic infection to hemorrhagic fever [7]. Approximately one-third of Lassa fever survivors develop bilateral or unilateral sudden-onset sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) from which some patients fully recover [3, 8]. Lassa fever is endemic in West Africa causing an estimated 500,000 cases and 5000 deaths per year [3]. The countries implicated in the epidemic are Sierra Leone: Panguma and Kenema; Liberia: Zorzor, Phebe and Gianta; Nigeria: Jos, Onitsha, Zonkwa, Vom, Imo, Lafia, Irrua and Abakiliki [4, 9]. However, more recent overseas cross border infection has been reported in 2016 in Germany [10]. Lassa virus is a class 1A infective agent thus requiring a high-level containment, biosafety level 4 facility to diagnose or research [11].

Bibliometric studies are important tools for evaluating the social and scientific relevance of a given discipline within a specified time frame. The term “bibliometrics”
was introduced in 1969 by Allan Pritchard, to define the use of mathematical and statistical procedures to the process of propagation of written communication in the field of scientific disciplines, via quantitative study of the varying aspect of this type of communication [12]. Despite the methodological limitations of bibliometric studies, they remain useful tools for evaluating the social and scientific importance of a selected discipline [13] considering the fact that they give an insight of the growth, size and distribution of scientific literature in the field of interest within a specified time frame [14]. To facilitate the understanding of on-going Lassa fever research output, social network analysis (SNA) of bibliometric data are usually used. Evaluation of research collaboration and its subsequent evolution over time is usually done using co-authorship based SNA as same is the most apparent and assessable indicator for collaboration [15, 16]. SNA metrics can highlight network patterns and identify its most influential participants [17]. Results of bibliometric analysis plays major role as proxy indicator of research cum development as well as in strategic planning [18].

This study was aimed at identifying Lassa fever research activities and to analyse the structure of the evolving Lassa fever research community network over time, and identify existing research collaborations and influential action. This study will be important to researchers, clinicians, research funders and health policy makers in order to adopt stringent policies regarding infectious disease in view of Lassa fever.

**Methods**

**Data source**

The SCOPUS database was used for this study. Scopus was selected as it is the largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature including: scientific journals, books and conference proceedings. Scopus index nearly 22,000 titles from over 5000 publishers, of which 20,000 are peer-reviewed journals in the scientific, medical, technical and social sciences (including the arts and humanities). Comparatively, Scopus is more comprehensive and user friendly to be used in biomedical discipline when compared to other bibliometric database for literature research, and it is well documented as the world’s largest database for abstract and citation information used in various bibliometric studies [19, 20]. We retrieved articles published from 1970 (year of the first record) to 2017 containing the descriptors “Lassa fever” limited to three fields: title, key word and/or abstract, using remote-downloading techniques. This study took into account all original articles, reviews, brief reports, letters to the editor, editorials, and more.

**Bibliometric indicators**

The bibliometric indicators used in this study includes: Price’s productivity index, Price’s law, duplication time and annual growth rate, Lotka’s productivity level (PL), Price’s transient index, Bradford zones, impact factor and co-authorship index.

Price’s Law [21] is broadly used indicator of productivity used in assessing the productivity of a particular discipline or country. It uses exponential growth evaluation which is an important feature of scientific productivity. To assess if a scientific production in Lassa fever research follows Price law of exponential growth, the generated data is modelled into linear adjustment according to the equation $y = 0.6676x - 1308$ and exponential plot according to the equation $y = 8E-32e^{0.0373}$. Price law is said to be fulfilled when the coefficient of determination of the exponential plot is greater than that of the linear plot.

Bradford’s law [22], was used to determine the distribution of scientific literature on Lassa fever in this study. Bradford’s law is a bibliometric indicator of dispersion of scientific literature. Bradford postulated concentric zones of productivity (Bradford zones) with decreasing density of information. He hypothesized each zone to contain similar number of documents. However, the number of journals that are produced increases from one zone to the next. Bradford’s postulated zones aids in identifying journals that are widely used in a specified discipline. The stratification of journals in the different Bradford zones are viz.: 1, n, $n^2$... The number of articles is stratified into 3 groups of approximately same size in which one is the core zone while the other two are the peripheral zones.

We also used duplication time and annual growth as indicators of productivity of scientific literature. Duplication time and annual growth are associated with growth assessment. Duplication time refers to the time (years) it takes a subject to duplicate its production. On the other hand, annual growth refers to the value of the present growth in comparison with that of the previous year. The equation for the duplication time is viz.:

$$D = \frac{Ln2}{b}$$

where $b$ is the constant that relates the growth rate with the already acquired size of the discipline. The annual growth rate was calculated using the equation:

$$R = 100(e^b - 1)$$

Lotka’s productivity index (PL) was used to assess productivity of authors. Lotka’s author distribution law was proposed on the basis of the number of published articles known as “quadratic inverse of
Lotka carried out quantitative evaluation of publication of authors and realized that there are large number of authors who publish few articles than the number that publish many. The law states that within the scientific community, the number of authors (A) that have published a specific number of articles (n) within a given period, that is A(n) authors equals the number of authors that have published a single article A(1) within the same time, divided by the square of n. It is represented mathematically as:

$$A(n) = \frac{A(1)}{n^2}$$

According to Lotka’s index, authors are divided into 3 categories of productivity: those who published a single paper referred to as small producers, those who published between 2 to 9 papers who are referred to as mid-range producers and lastly those who published 10 or more papers, who are referred to as large producers.

Price’s transience index was used to assess the number of authors having a single publication. The calculation is given as a percentage of the quotient of authors with a single publication among all authors. It is expressed mathematically as:

$$IT = \frac{\text{authors with a single publication}}{\text{all author}} \times 100$$

Impact factor (IF) was used as an indicator of publication repercussion. Impact factor as a bibliometric indicator was developed by Institute for Scientific Information (Philadelphia, PA, USA). It is published yearly in the Journal Citation Report (JCR) section of Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI). The calculation takes into account the number of times the journal was cited in the source SCI within the two preceding years. The impact factor data of 2017 by JCR was used for this study.

Co-authorship index was used to determine level of collaboration in the publication of Lassa fever related documents.

The last indicator used in this study is the national participation index (PI) in overall scientific publication in Lassa fever and in the field of infectious diseases in world’s ten most productive countries in biomedical and health sciences during the period 1970–2017. Participation index reflects quotient between the number of documentation produced by a given country and the total number of documents obtained in the repertoire.

### Results

#### Evaluation of global publication

Using the search criteria, we recovered 1101 research publications within the 47 years period (1970–2017). Of

| Document type         | No of documents | %   |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Article               | 745             | 67.67 |
| Review                | 191             | 17.35 |
| Letter                | 54              | 4.90 |
| Editorial             | 25              | 2.27 |
| Note                  | 23              | 2.09 |
| Short Survey          | 20              | 1.82 |
| Book Chapter          | 19              | 1.73 |
| Conference Paper      | 13              | 1.18 |
| Article in Press      | 5               | 0.45 |
| Erratum               | 4               | 0.36 |
| Book                  | 2               | 0.18 |

![Fig. 1](image) Chronological distribution of scientific literature on Lassa fever within the study period. b Linear trendline. a Exponential trendline
these, 67.67% ($n = 745$) were Original articles, while 17.35% ($n = 191$), 2.09% ($n = 23$), 1.82% ($n = 20$), 2.27% ($n = 25$), 4.90% ($n = 54$), 0.45% ($n = 5$), 0.36% ($n = 4$) and 0.18% ($n = 2$) were Reviews, Letters, Editorials, Notes, Short surveys, Book chapters, Conference papers, Articles in press, Errata and Books, respectively (Table 1).

The chronological distribution of the publication showed that there has been notable increase in the number of articles generated in the area of Lassa fever research (Fig. 1). To determine whether the increase of scientific literature followed Price's law, the obtained data were linearly adjusted in accordance with the equation $y = 0.6676x - 1308$, and another adjustment in the exponential curve in accordance with the equation $y = 8E-32e^{0.037}$. Hence, Price law is not fulfilled ($r = 0.6707$ in linear adjustment versus $r = 0.5270$ in exponential adjustment). This shows that growth of scientific literature in the area of Lassa fever research is in the linear growth stage.

Figure 2 shows the temporal production of the literature publication. To calculate the duplication time, the dispersion graph was adjusted to the equation $y = 45.365e^{0.0751x}$, and a determination coefficient of 0.87. The production covered 47 years. Hence, applying the equation for calculating duplication time, the result is 9.19 years. That means that production of scientific literature in area of Lassa fever doubles every 9.19 years.

Table 2 shows the stratification of the authors in groups according to their productivity level (PL). We observed that the largest group is made up of authors with a single publication (PL = 0), accounting for 78.89% whereas large producers (PL $\geq 1$) with over 10 published papers accounted for 1.20%, being the least fraction of the group. Hence, price transient index that corresponds to occasional authors who have produced one paper is 78.89.

Table 3 shows the distribution of journals per Bradford zone. Nineteen (4.34%) of the journals made up the core zone, while 74 (16.89%) and 345 (78.77%) made up the zone 1 and 2. Figure 3 shows Bradford distribution, global data. This is a semi – logarithm diagram of the aggregate number of articles versus the aggregate number of journals ($r$). The straight zone has been considered for $r = 19$ to $r = 93$, adjusted to $y = 4.3723x + 321.11$ equation with a high value of the determination coefficient (0.9919).

![Fig. 2 Temporal evolution of publication in Lassa fever. $D = \frac{\ln 2}{D} = \frac{0.6676}{0.0751} = 9.19$. Production doubles every 9.19 years](image-url)
Characteristics of collaboration
The 1101 published articles recorded in this study were produced by 3179 authors with a mean co-authorship of 4.59. The document with the most authors had 84 signatures and the most frequent number of signature was 1 (Table 4).

Analysis of sources with highest publication
Table 5 shows the top 10 journals used for the dissemination of research publications on Lassa fever with their corresponding impact factors (IFs) according to JCR of 2016 and the participation index (PI) of the journals on total database in the analyzed period. All the journals have impact factor with 6 and 3 of them having impact factors greater than 4 and 2, respectively.

Productivity of countries
Countries that are most productive in publishing documents on Lassa fever were listed in Table 6. The United States was the most productive (n = 450) whose PI is 40.87, followed by United Kingdom (n = 117; PI = 10.63), Germany (n = 96; PI = 8.72), Nigeria (n = 65; PI = 4.90) and Sierra Leone (n = 54; PI = 4.90).

However, if we consider the productivity of the most productive countries on Lassa fever research in relation to their overall production in the field of infectious disease, only United States, United Kingdom, Germany, France and Canada of the top 10 most productive countries in the field of infectious disease were active (among the top 10 in biomedical research) in the production of scientific literature on Lassa fever. United States, and United Kingdom were leading consistently in all areas of infectious diseases (Lassa fever, Tuberculosis, AIDS) and Medicine (Table 7).

Productivity of institutions
Table 8 shows the top 20 most productive institutions in Lassa fever research. Centre for Disease Control (USA) was the most productive institution (10.26%; n = 13) followed by Bernhard Nocht Institute fur Tropenmedizin Harmburg, Germany (Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine) (5.54%; n = 16), U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Disease (4.81%; n = 53), Scripps Research Institute, USA (4.72%; n = 52) and others. Sixty five percent (65%) of the top 20 most productive institutions were in the United States, whereas the rest were from Germany, United Kingdom, Sierra Leone, Nigeria and France. Seven of the institutions (35%) are government agencies (35%), while 4, 3, 3, 2 and 1% are public/Government Universities, nonprofit/ governmental organizations, private universities, private laboratories and government hospital, respectively.

Productivity of authors
Table 9 shows top 6 most productive authors in Lassa fever research. Five authors in the top 6 most productive list are from United States and were affiliated with University of Texas Health Science Centre, Zalgens Labs, University of Maryland and Centre for Predictive Medicine for Biodefence and Emerging Infectious Disease. The other is from
Germany and affiliated to Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine.

**Citation analysis of articles**

Table 10 shows top 10 most cited articles. Manually analyzing the articles showed that the top cited article mentioned Lassa fever in passing while the other publications dealt on public health concern, description, diagnosis and management of Lassa fever.

**Discussion**

The document type utilized mostly by authors in studied repertoire is mostly original article accounting approximately 67.67% of all publications. This shows that the subject matter is clinical research or experimental.

This study observed a linear growth of scientific literature in Lassa fever with average annual increase of 15.73% showing non fulfillment of Price’s Law of growth. This pattern of growth of scientific literature is at variance with previous reports in other areas of medical research [13, 24, 25]. This finding shows poor growth and low interest. This poor research output could be due to the limitation imposed by the Biosafety level 4 requirement for Lassa fever research [26]. More so, the escalating funding for infectious diseases such as HIV/AIDS may have created a shortage of funding for other diseases of regional burden such as Lassa fever [27]. Funding has been reported to have a positive influence on research output and citations for a particular disease [28].

This study also showed high transience rate of 78.89% indicating that the authors were mainly occasional publishers. This could be interpreted as low productivity or an indication of presence of researchers of other related specialties that have sporadically published in this field [29]. Only 1.20% of the authors were large producers. This shows that a large part of the scientific literatures emanated from a small number of researchers.

The proportion of papers signed by more than one author was 69.57%. This showed high level of co-operation among researchers. The mean co-authorship index is 2.88. Collaboration between authors indicates teamwork. Team work becomes essential considering multifaceted nature of contemporary research as well as cost implications.

Bradford analysis of the studied repertoire showed 19 journals in the core zone. This means that only 19 journals produced 33.79% of the published literatures. This shows a high concentration of publications by a small amount of journals. Of this number, *Journal of Virology* amassed the highest number of publication (51) accounting for 4.63% of all publications. The top 10 journals were mainly those dedicated to virology, infectious diseases or tropical diseases. Only *British Medical Journal* and *The Lancet* were the multidisciplinary journals.

United States of America and United Kingdom topped the ranking of research publication in Lassa fever. Both dominated research output in Lassa fever and accounted 64.57% of the total research output. This observation is consistent with previous research in other biomedical research [13, 24, 30]. The United States alone accounted for 40.87% of all research output in this area of study. It could not be far from the fact that these two countries are home to the

---

**Table 4 Analysis of collaboration among authors**

| Signing authors | No. Of documents | Aggregate | Documents (%) |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 84              | 1                | 84        | 0.091         |
| 72              | 1                | 72        | 0.091         |
| 51              | 1                | 51        | 0.091         |
| 50              | 1                | 50        | 0.091         |
| 35              | 2                | 70        | 0.182         |
| 33              | 1                | 33        | 0.091         |
| 31              | 1                | 31        | 0.091         |
| 30              | 1                | 30        | 0.091         |
| 27              | 1                | 27        | 0.091         |
| 26              | 1                | 26        | 0.091         |
| 25              | 2                | 50        | 0.182         |
| 22              | 2                | 44        | 0.182         |
| 20              | 2                | 40        | 0.182         |
| 19              | 2                | 38        | 0.182         |
| 18              | 3                | 54        | 0.272         |
| 17              | 2                | 34        | 0.182         |
| 16              | 6                | 96        | 0.545         |
| 15              | 8                | 120       | 0.727         |
| 14              | 8                | 112       | 0.727         |
| 13              | 13               | 169       | 1.181         |
| 12              | 18               | 216       | 1.635         |
| 11              | 17               | 187       | 1.544         |
| 10              | 31               | 310       | 2.816         |
| 9               | 35               | 315       | 3.179         |
| 8               | 33               | 264       | 2.997         |
| 7               | 42               | 294       | 3.815         |
| 6               | 71               | 426       | 6.449         |
| 5               | 74               | 370       | 6.721         |
| 4               | 104              | 416       | 9.446         |
| 3               | 134              | 402       | 12.171        |
| 2               | 148              | 296       | 13.442        |
| 1               | 335              | 335       | 30.427        |
pharmaceutical companies that manufacture ribavirin, an agent approved for the management of Lassa fever: Copegus, by Gentech Laboratories USA, UK- member of Roche group; Rebetol, by Merck Sharp and Dome, USA, UK; Ribasphere, by Kadmon Corporation, USA. The United States also houses the Zalgen Lab that deals on diagnostic logistics in Lassa fever. Same institution is responsible for production of the rapid test kit ReLASV for Lassa fever. Nigeria and Sierra Leone were the only African countries present on the list. These two countries have been reported to be endemic with Lassa fever [4, 9]. There would be a relationship with this endemic disease and the public health concern translated into scientific production [31]. More so, the fact that Nigeria was the first country where the disease was identified [2] may be a contributing factor to Nigeria’s commitment. In relation to top 10 most productive in biomedical sciences, the interest of China in AIDS and tuberculosis and lack of same on Lassa fever was remarkable. Similar trend was also found in Australia and Spain. Institutions in the United Sates were the leading organizations in Lassa fever research which goes further to corroborate the finding that USA tops in global publication in Lassa fever. More than half (60%) of the institution were located in United States. The remaining slot went to other European countries such as Germany, and UK. This suggests that creating first-class research institutes is fundamental to improving academic level of a country. Sierra Leone and Nigeria were the only African country that housed institution that ranked among the first top 20 institutions (10/20). Kenema Government Hospital Sierra Leone is a center of international effort to combat Lassa fever with support from World Health Organization and UNAMSIL (United Nations Mission in Sierra Leone). Also, Sierra Leone is among the three members (Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone) of the Manu River Union Lassa fever Network established in partnership with World Health Organization, United States Foreign Disaster Assistance and United Nations [32]. Institute of Human Virology Nigeria is a leading local non-governmental organization addressing HIV/ AIDS crisis in Nigeria that has expanded its services to other infectious diseases [33].

### Table 5
Analysis of the top 10 sources with the largest number of publications

| Source                                      | No of documents | Productivity Index | Impact Factor | Country of origin | Abbreviated Journal Title |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Journal of Virology                         | 51              | 4.63               | 4.663         | United States     | J. Virol.                  |
| Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene | 27              | 2.45               | 2.279         | England           | Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.  |
| Voprosy Virusologii                        | 25              | 2.27               | 0.160         | Russia            | Vopr. Virusol.             |
| American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene | 24              | 2.18               | 2.549         | United States     | Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.     |
| Bulletin of the World Health Organization   | 24              | 2.18               | 4.939         | Switzerland       | Bull. World Health Organ.   |
| Emerging Infectious Diseases               | 24              | 2.18               | 8.222         | United States     | Emerg. Infect. Dis.        |
| The Lancet                                 | 22              | 2.00               | 47.831        | England           | Lancet                     |
| British Medical Journal                    | 20              | 1.82               | 20.785        | England           | BMJ – Br. Med. J.          |
| Journal of Infectious Diseases             | 20              | 1.82               | 6.273         | United States     | J. Infect. Dis.            |
| PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases           | 20              | 1.82               | 3.834         | United States     | Plos Neglect. Trop. Dis.   |

aData from the Journal Citation Report

bData 1998

### Table 6
Top 20 most productive countries in Lassa fever research

| Country        | No of documents | %   |
|----------------|-----------------|-----|
| United States  | 450             | 40.87|
| Undefined      | 261             | 23.71|
| United Kingdom | 117             | 10.63|
| Germany        | 96              | 8.72 |
| Nigeria        | 65              | 5.90 |
| Sierra Leone   | 54              | 4.90 |
| France         | 44              | 4.00 |
| Canada         | 32              | 2.91 |
| Switzerland    | 32              | 2.91 |
| Netherlands    | 22              | 2.00 |
| Guinea         | 21              | 1.91 |
| Japan          | 21              | 1.91 |
| Sweden         | 18              | 1.63 |
| South Africa   | 17              | 1.54 |
| Belgium        | 16              | 1.45 |
| Italy          | 12              | 1.09 |
| Liberia        | 12              | 1.09 |
| Australia      | 10              | 0.91 |
| India          | 10              | 0.91 |
| China          | 9               | 0.82 |
Ibadan is a government owned Nigerian University whose mission is to expand the frontiers of knowledge through provision of excellent conditions for learning and research.

McCormick JB, Gunthers S, Garry RF, Salvato MS, Lukashevich IS and Grant DS were the top 6 authors who published the most studies in the Lassa fever research. McCormick JB focused on the treatment, epidemiology, general characterization of the disease and diagnosis while Gunthers S, focused on the molecular characterization of the disease, and diagnosis. Garry RF focused on treatment and diagnosis of the disease, while Salvato MS dedicated her effort to molecular characterization of the disease, diagnosis and vaccine prototype production. Grant DS made impact in the area of diagnosis, origin and evolution of the disease, and epidemiology of the disease.

Table 7 Relationship between production of scientific literature on Lassa fever and total production in some fields of infectious diseases in world 10 most productive countries in biomedical and health sciences

| Country       | IP Medicine | IP Health Professions | IP Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics | IP Lassa Fever | IP Tuberculosis | IP AIDS |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| United States | 25.51       | 49.80                 | 15.01                                         | 40.87          | 18.38           | 33.76  |
| United Kingdom| 8.07        | 14.43                 | 4.14                                          | 10.63          | 8.04            | 7.43   |
| Germany       | 6.10        | 1.36                  | 3.17                                          | 8.72           | 3.78            | 3.81   |
| China         | 5.86        | 0.39                  | 1.37                                          | 0.82           | 3.25            | 3.17   |
| Japan         | 4.29        | 0.65                  | 3.12                                          | 1.91           | 4.29            | 2.12   |
| France        | 3.84        | 0.66                  | 1.71                                          | 4.00           | 4.50            | 3.72   |
| Canada        | 3.64        | 6.27                  | 1.44                                          | 2.91           | 2.22            | 3.32   |
| Italy         | 3.08        | 0.74                  | 1.95                                          | 1.09           | 2.84            | 2.93   |
| Australia     | 2.79        | 5.91                  | 1.18                                          | 0.91           | 1.53            | 2.43   |
| Spain         | 2.78        | 0.65                  | 1.34                                          | 0.64           | 2.87            | 2.10   |

*Participation Index
Scimago Journal & Country Rank
Data 1970–2017

Table 8 Top 20 most productive institutions in Lassa fever research

| Institution                                             | Country of residence | No of documents | %    |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------|
| Centers for Disease Control and Prevention              | USA                  | 113             | 10.26|
| Bernhard Nocht Institut fur Tropenmedizin Hamburg       | Germany              | 61              | 5.54 |
| U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases | USA              | 53              | 4.81 |
| Scripps Research Institute                              | USA                  | 52              | 4.72 |
| UT Medical Branch at Galveston                          | USA                  | 44              | 4.00 |
| National Center for Infectious Diseases                 | USA                  | 34              | 3.09 |
| National Institutes of Health, Bethesda                 | USA                  | 31              | 2.82 |
| Tulane University                                        | USA                  | 28              | 2.54 |
| UCL (University College London)                          | UK                   | 27              | 2.45 |
| Kenema Government Hospital                              | Sierra Leone         | 24              | 2.18 |
| Institute of Human Virology                             | Nigeria              | 22              | 2.00 |
| University of Ibadan                                    | Nigeria              | 18              | 1.63 |
| Universitat Marburg                                     | Germany              | 18              | 1.63 |
| Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital                      | Nigeria              | 16              | 1.45 |
| Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine | USA              | 15              | 1.36 |
| National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases | USA            | 15              | 1.36 |
| Zalgen Labs                                             | USA                  | 14              | 1.27 |
| Emory University                                        | USA                  | 14              | 1.27 |
| Institut Pasteur Paris                                   | France               | 14              | 1.27 |
| Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Br.                      | USA                  | 14              | 1.27 |
The total number of the cited articles within the studied repertoire was 23,125 giving an average citation count of 21 per article. The article “Legionnaires Disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia” published by New England Journal of Medicine was the most cited article. On critical analysis, it is quite ironic that an article devoted to epidemiology of Legionnaires disease made the highest number of citation on Lassa fever study analysis. These citations emanated from a two-line sentence from the author summary of the article referring to discovery of Lassa fever virus and Ebola made possible via epidemiology investigations. This scenario is one of those limitations of citations as a bibliometric impact parameter. The wide circulation of the journal may have leveraged it to achieve same at the cost of content relating to the topic in question. The second most cited article was an article on clinical trial on the effectiveness of ribavirin in preventing mortality in Lassa fever also published by New England of Medicine. This goes further to buttress how active the journal is as well as justify the high impact factor of the journal (55.5). The third and fourth articles were on cellular receptors of Lassa fever virus and social and environmental risk factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. It is worthy of note that McCormick is the author of the second, and tenth articles as well as the most productive author. Only one of the journals (New England Journal of Medicine) is an open access journal (with 6 months embargo). The others (Science, Nature Medicine, Critical Reviews in Microbiology, Journal of Infectious Diseases, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America and Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology) are toll access journals while Reviews in Infectious Disease is

| Table 9 | Top 6 authors with the most publications related to Lassa fever |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Author | No of Documents | % Documents | h-index | Country | Affiliation | Cited | % Cited | Article/cited |
| McCormick J.B. | 39 | 3.54 | 56 | United States | University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston | 2174 | 9.40 | 55.74 |
| Günther S. | 35 | 3.18 | 53 | Germany | Bernhard Nocht Institut fuer Tropenmedizin Hamburg | 1329 | 5.75 | 37.97 |
| Garry R.F. | 31 | 2.82 | 37 | United States | Zalgen Labs. Germantown | 523 | 2.26 | 16.87 |
| Salvato M.S. | 25 | 2.27 | 32 | United States | University of Maryland | 748 | 3.23 | 29.92 |
| Lukashevich I.S. | 22 | 2.00 | 24 | United States | Center for Predictive Medicine for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases | 806 | 3.49 | 36.64 |
| Grant D.S. | 20 | 1.82 | 12 | United States | Zalgen Labs | 265 | 1.15 | 13.25 |

| Table 10 | Top 10 most cited articles |
|----------|----------------------------|
| Article | Authors | Source | Cited | % |
| Legionnaires’ Disease: Description of an Epidemic of Pneumonia | Fraser, D.W., Tsai, T.R., Orenstein, W., (.), Shepard, C.C., Brachman, P.S. | New England Journal of Medicine | 1071 | 4.63 |
| Lassa Fever | McCormick, J.B., King, J.I., Webb, P.A., (.), Elliott, L.H., Belmont-Williams, R. | New England Journal of Medicine | 549 | 2.37 |
| Identification of α-dystroglycan as a receptor for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus and Lassa fever virus | Cao, W., Henry, M.D., Borow, P., (.), Campbell, K.P., Oldstone, M.B.A. | Science | 429 | 1.86 |
| Social and environmental risk factors in the emergence of infectious diseases | Weiss, R.A., McMichael, A.J. | Nature Medicine | 305 | 1.32 |
| Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for public health | Meerburg, B.G., Singleton, G.R., Kijstra, A. | Critical Reviews in Microbiology | 256 | 1.11 |
| Importance of Aeromonas Sobria in Aeromonas Bacteremia | Janda, J.M., Brenden, R. | Journal of Infectious Diseases | 252 | 1.09 |
| The small RING finger protein Z drives arenavirus budding: Implications for antiviral strategies | Perez, M., Craven, R.C., De la Torre, J.C. | Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America | 218 | 0.94 |
| Molecular mechanisms of action of ribavirin | Patterson, J.L., Fernandez-Larsson, R. | Reviews of Infectious Diseases | 217 | 0.94 |
| Prospects for Treatment of Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers with Ribavirin, a Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Drug | Huggins, J.W. | Reviews of Infectious Diseases | 209 | 0.90 |
| Lassa fever | McCormick, J.B., Fisher-Hoch, S.P. | Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology | 192 | 0.83 |
hybrid. These journals were all well-established journals. This shows that open access and toll access are uniformly favored in citation for well-established journals, but the impact tend to peak when such established journal is open access as in the case of New England Journal of Medicine.

Limitations
However, this study contains some limitations which are inherent in bibliometric analysis. This study includes papers from SCOPUS database. The criteria set by the databases themselves determine the subsequent development of the studied materials [34]. We might have excluded papers on Lassa fever if the authors have not put our study inclusion descriptors in the titles or as key words. More so, local journals that are not indexed in SCOPUS during the study period were also not included in our study.

Conclusion
Despite the above named limitations, this study has been able to illuminate on the characteristics of Lassa fever research output from 1970 to 2017. There is a slow growth in research activities related to Lassa fever from 1970 to 2017. This research demonstrated that the growth of Lassa fever related literature favors a linear path rather than exponential unlike other biomedical fields. The bulk of publications in the field of Lassa fever research are published by high income countries such as the United States of America and United Kingdom. This study showed that majority of the most productive institutions were resident in the USA. Bulk of the articles were produced by very few of the participating authors. Thus, this study provides a helpful reference for medical virologists, epidemiologist, policy decision makers, academics and Lassa fever researchers.
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