Determination the Natural Radioactivity Levels at Selected Regions in Al-Najaf Governorate
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Abstract

The natural radioactivity levels for radium (226Ra), thorium (232Th) and potassium (40K) have been determined in soil samples selected from different regions in Najaf governorate in Iraq. The samples were collected from the ground surface. Eight samples were measured by gamma ray spectroscopy using high purity germanium detector. The levels of radionuclides concentrations in selected samples 232Th, 226Ra and 40K were in the range of (2.5±0.29 to 7.7±0.52) Bq/kg (6.3±0.43 to 16.4±1.9) Bq/kg and (31.5±3.4 to 204.1±11.9) Bq/kg for, respectively. The mean Radium Equivalent Activity, External and Internal Hazard Indices, the Absorbed Dose Rate in Air and the Annual Effective Doses Equivalent were studied and found these parameter are less than the reported values. Therefore, the radioactivity in the studied area in Najaf does not pose any radiological hazard to the general public.
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1. Introduction

There are big interests to study the natural radioactivity in soil due to exposure radiation for humans. The radioactive levels have different effects on human health. The international regulations recommended a continuance survey and monitoring of the environment’s samples in order to ensure that potential changes in the radiation environment activity due the nuclear plants and industrial activities are in control [1].

The humans are exposed on radiation from sources including: cosmic rays, natural radionuclide’s in water, air, soil and plants, in addition the artificial radioactivity was found in the fallout from nuclear tests and medical application [2]. The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) estimated that 80% of the contribution doses derived from natural radionuclides in the environment while the remaining 20% is from cosmic rays and nuclear operations [3]. The geography, geology and the climate causes a different level of radioactivity in the environment and the external exposure associated with radiological effects [4]. Natural radionuclides in the earth’s environment consists mainly of potassium 238U, 226Th and 40K in addition the radioactive gas radon [5]. Therefore, the natural radioactivity measurements in soil’s samples are a great benefit for many research groups throughout the world. This is led to worldwide national survey in the last two decades. These studies provide important role in the radiation protection and a preservation of human health. Wide interests by researchers were made through past and until now [6-10]. In spite of several studies and measurements have been conducted in several Iraqi governorates, there are few areas not studied for different reasons.

The objective of this present work is to determine the specific activity concentrations of 226Ra, 232Th and 40K in surface soil samples in some selected region in Nineveh province in Iraq using HPGe detector. The results can serve as a database in the monitoring of any radioactivity variation in the environment. It is very important to assess the health impact of radionuclides to the human population, by calculation the radiological effects.

2. Experimental Work

2.1 Geology of area

This study was conducted in Najaf governorate 170 km south-west from city of Baghdad (capital city of Iraq). The coordinates of area is 32°01′44″N 44°20′23″E 30° 3” 29” N, 31° 13′ 44″ E. The area of Najaf governorate is one of the important areas in terms of hydrogeological view due to containing the groundwater reservoirs [11].

2.2 Soil sampling

Eight soil samples were collected from different sites of Najaf governorate at ground surface. Initially, the samples were crushed via an agate electric mill, and each sample was dried by oven at constant temperature of 200°C ± 5°C.
for two hours to remove humidity and maintain a constant weight during measurement. Then ground the samples with the particle size of approximately 200 μm. Finally, put the dried samples in standard containers of Marinelli Baker with a net fixed at 500g and stored for one month to allow for irradiative balance between 226Ra and 222Rn daughter. [12].

2.3 Measurement of natural radioactivity
Genie 2000 gamma spectroscopy system was employed for this purpose (Canberra Industries, USA) for the analysis of samples. The system utilized the (HpGe) detector with the dimension of (Canberra Industries, USA) with an energy resolution of 2.2 keV and a relative efficiency is 50% at the 60Co1.332 MeV gamma line. The detector has been shielded with bricks of lead to reduce background radiation levels. The system was controlled via a personal computer through the Ethernet and the data were collected and saved for further analyzing via the Geany-2000 software (Canberra Industries, USA). The system was calibrated for the energy using point sources (137Cs, 60Co) with energies (661, 1172 and 1332) keV respectively and the relative efficiency calibration using standard Marinelli beaker calibration that saved in device library, the source is containing 241Am, 109Cd, 57Co, 139Ce, 60Co, 85Sr, 88Y, 137Cs, and 203Hg. The measurements was accuracy tested by analyses of standard sources MG651095 used mixed radionuclide source (203Hg, 241Am, 109Cd, 139Ce, 88Y, 137Cs, 57Co, 113Sn, 85Sr, and 60Co) of density 0.985 ± 0.01 g cm⁻³, volume 500 ± 5.0 cm³, mass 492.5 g, reference date 25-8-2011 and the total activity 1.1 μCi. The counting time was 7200 s for each sample. I was comparison of results between experimental data and the results published in certificate data as shown in Table 1.

The concentration of radioactivity of 238U was determined by using the energies (186.2 keV, 351.9 keV and 609.2 keV) to the isotopes (226Ra, 214Pb and 214Bi) respectively, the radioactivity of 232Th was determined by using the energies (583.1 keV and 911.1 keV) from the isotopes (208Tl and 228Ac) keV respectively. The radioactivity of 40K was determined using a single peak of 1460 keV as shown in Figure 1.

Using equation (1) to computed the concentration of radioactivity in the measured samples [13]:

\[ A(\text{Bq/kg}) = \frac{CPS}{\text{eff} \cdot \text{N} \cdot \text{M} \cdot \text{M}} \] ...

(1)

where A is the radioactivity concentration given at Bq/kg, CPS is the net count rate under the peak per second, eff is the detector efficiency at the specific γ-ray energy, N is the absolute transition probability of the specific γ-ray and M is the mass of the sample in (kg).

| Radio-Nuclide | Half life days | Activity certificate -kBq | Activity experimental- kBq | Accuracy % | Radio-Nuclide | Half life days | Activity certificate -kBq | Activity experimental- kBq | Accuracy % |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Am-241        | 157800        | 5.883                     | 5.321                     | 9.55       | Sn-113        | 115.1         | 2.905                     | –             | –          |
| Cd-109        | 462.6         | 12.80                     | –                         | –          | Sr-85         | 64.78         | 3.855                     | –             | –          |
| Ce-139        | 137.5         | 0.499                     | –                         | –          | Y-88          | 106.6         | 5.072                     | –             | –          |
| Co-60         | 1925.4        | 3.217                     | 2.93                      | 8.92       | Hg-203        | 46.72         | 2.006                     | –             | –          |
| Cs-137        | 11019         | 3.106                     | 2.92                      | 5.99       | Co-57         | 271.26        | 0.447                     | –             | –          |

Table 1. The comparison of results between experimental data and the results published in certificate data.

![Figure 1](image_url). The gamma ray spectrum of Al-Rohaneya using HpGe detector.

2.4 Calculation the radiological effects

2.4.1 Radium equivalent activity (Raeq)

The distribution of natural radionuclides in samples is not uniform. This index used to obtain the sum of the activities 226Ra, 232Th and 40K where the radiation hazards associated with the radionuclide’s is estimated by calculating the activity of the radium equivalent (Raeq). It is based on the assumption that 10 Bq/kg, 7 Bq/kg, 130 Bq/kg for each of 226Ra, 232Th, and 40K respectively. This calculation is
produces the same dose as gamma radiation, which is obtained by [14]:
\[
Ra_{eq}(Bq/kg) = A_{Ra} + 1.43A_{Th} + 0.077A_{K} \tag{2}
\]
where \(A_{Ra}\), \(A_{Th}\) and \(A_{K}\) are specific activity of the radionuclides \(^{226}Ra\), \(^{232}Th\) and \(^{40}K\) respectively. The permissible limit of \(Ra_{eq}\) is 370 Bq/Kg in soil sample that contain \(^{238}U\), \(^{232}Th\) and \(^{40}K\) measured in Bq/Kg [14].

2.4.2 Radiation hazard indices

The external and internal hazard index is criterion for assessing the radiological suitability of materials. The external hazard index \((H_{ex})\) was calculated by the following [15]:
\[
H_{ex} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{370} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_{K}}{4610} \leq 1 \tag{3}
\]
The internal hazard index \((H_{in})\) can be calculated by [16]:
\[
H_{in} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{185} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_{K}}{4610} \leq 1 \tag{4}
\]
The value of this indicator should be less than unity the radiation hazard to be considered safe. \(H_{in}\) equal to unity corresponds to the upper limit of \(Ra_{eq}\) (370 Bq/Kg).

2.4.3 The Absorbed dose rate in air \((D)\)

The absorbed dose rate in air in one meter above the ground surface and this is an express the received dose the open air. This factor is an important quantity to evaluate when considering radiation risk [17]. This factor can be calculated using the following [18]:
\[
D(nGy/h) = 0.462A_{Ra} + 0.604A_{Th} + 0.042A_{K} \tag{5}
\]
where 0.43, 0.67 and 0.042 nGy h\(^{-1}\)/Bq kg\(^{-1}\) are the conversion factors of \(^{226}Ra\), \(^{232}Th\) and \(^{40}K\) respectively.

2.4.4 Activity utilization index \((AUI)\)

An activity utilization index \((AUI)\) were applied to calculation of dose rates in air from different combinations of the three radionuclides in soils. This factor can be calculated using the following [18]:
\[
AUI = \left(\frac{A_{Ra}}{508Bq/Kg}\right) f_{Ra} + \left(\frac{A_{Th}}{508Bq/Kg}\right) f_{Th} + \left(\frac{A_{K}}{508Bq/Kg}\right) f_{K} \tag{6}
\]
where \(f_{Ra}\), \(f_{Th}\) and \(f_{K}\) are the fractional contribution to the total dose rate in air due the gamma radiation from the actual radioactivity.

2.4.5 Representative level index \((I)\)

This factor is the representative level index of gamma radioactivity that can be calculated by: [19]:
\[
I = \frac{A_{Ra}}{150} + \frac{A_{Th}}{150} + \frac{A_{K}}{1500} \leq 1 \tag{7}
\]

Radioactive Heat Production Rate \((RHP)\): The decay of radioactive isotopes are producing energy and part of this energy are converted to heat, the result heat from the radioactive isotopes decay are ignored except the contribution of \(^{238}U\), \(^{232}Th\) series and \(^{40}K\), this unit can be calculated by [20]:
\[
RHP = 10^{-5} \rho (0.52A_{Ra} + 2.56A_{Th} + 3.48A_{K}) \mu W/m^{3} \tag{8}
\]
where \(\rho\) is the sample density (in kg/m\(^3\)), the unit of activity concentration to \(^{238}U\), \(^{232}Th\) is ppm, the unit of activity concentration to \(^{40}K\) is %. It can be converted the activity concentration unit from Bq/kg to ppm or % using conversion factors [21].

2.4.6 Annual effective doses equivalent \((AED\))

Using factor of 0.7 SvGy\(^{-1}\) to calculate the annual effective dose received estimated average equivalent, which was used to convert the absorbed dose rate to human effective dose equivalent of 20 % with an outdoor and 80% for indoor. The annual effective doses equivalent outdoor and indoor is calculated using Equation (9) and (10) [22]:
\[
AED_{outdoor} \left(\frac{\mu Sv}{y}\right) = D(nGy^{-1}) \times 8760h \times 0.2 \times 0.75 SvGy^{-1} \times 10^{-6} \tag{9}
\]
\[
AED_{indoor} \left(\frac{\mu Sv}{y}\right) = D(nGy^{-1}) \times 8760h \times 0.8 \times 0.75 SvGy^{-1} \times 10^{-6} \tag{10}
\]

2.4.7 Excess lifetime cancer risk \((ELCR)\)

The probability of cancer is occurring even in few doses and this probability increases with increasing the doses and become clear when the doses become more than 100mSv. using equation (11) to calculated this index [23]:
\[
ELCR = AEDE \times LT \times RF \tag{11}
\]
where \(LT\) is a lifetime (70 years), \(RL\) is a risk factor. The risk factor represents the risk of fatal cancer per Sievert (0.05S v\(^{-1}\)).

2.4.8 Annual gonadal dose equivalent \((AGDE)\)

Radiation effect varies is depending on the quality of living cells. Therefore the organs UNSCEAR focus to the gonads, the active bone marrow, and bone surface cells. Were calculated this factor using [24]:
\[
AGDE \left(\frac{\mu Sv}{year}\right) = 3.09A_{Ra} + 4.18A_{Th} + 0.31A_{K} \tag{12}
\]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 The activity concentration

The concentrations of the radioactivity measurement of \(^{232}Th\), \(^{226}Ra\), and \(^{40}K\) in soil samples selected from 8 sites in Al-Najaf are conducted using gamma ray spectrometer. The range of the samples activity concentration values are 2.5±0.29 to 7.7±0.52 Bq/kg, (6.3±0.43 to 16.4±1.9) Bq/kg and (31.5±3.4 to 204.1±11.9) Bq/kg for \(^{232}Th\), \(^{226}Ra\) and \(^{40}K\) respectively. The average activity values for the \(^{232}Th\), \(^{226}Ra\), and \(^{40}K\) are (4.9, 12.06 and 76.06) Bq/kg respectively. These values of radioactivity concentration obtained in this study are below the international average value of 35 Bq/kg for \(^{232}Th\) 30 Bq/kg for \(^{226}Ra\) and 400 Bq/kg for \(^{40}K\) [16] as shown in Table 2.
3.2 Radiological effects

Calculated assessment of radiation hazards in this work are shown in Table 3. The present work of radium equivalent activity (Raeq) owing the activity concentration of $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K natural radionuclides from all location varies is (17.1-37.89) Bq/kg. The mean value of Raeq is 26.235 Bq/kg is much less than the threshold value of 370 Bq/kg. The range of Radiation Hazard Indices are presented is found to be (0.03-0.1),(0.07-0.15) for external and internal hazard, the value of this indicator was less than unit so the radiation hazard was neglected. Mean value of air absorbed gamma radiation dose rate (D), Activity Utilization Index (AUI), Radioactive heat production (RHP) rate, annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE)asr, annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE)are are

Table 2. The activity concentration of $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K in Bq/kg in soil sample at Najaf.

| No | Area                | GPS                      | $^{232}$Th | $^{226}$Ra | $^{40}$K |
|----|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| 1  | First Kasser        | 32°27′276″N 44°19′212″E | 2.51±0.29  | 11.13±1.42 | 31.52±3.41 |
| 2  | Taktak              | 32°06′575″N 43°98′954″E  | 4.94±0.41  | 12.27±1.66 | 81.54±5.98 |
| 3  | Al-Sad              | 32°01′20′8″N 44°19′983″E | 4.33±0.62  | 7.96±1.85  | 96.68±5.45 |
| 4  | Al-Rohanya          | 32°01′8′34″N 44°20′0′186″E | 7.71±0.52 | 16.43±1.92 | 133.61±8.53 |
| 5  | Abo-Kamsat          | 32°01′4′32″N 44°20′3′05″E | 5.57±0.56  | 14.62±2.17 | 204.12±11.97 |
| 6  | Al-Rehmauy          | 31°96′1′904″N 44°09′579″E | 4.29±0.34  | 15.11±1.72 | 92.68±6.52  |
| 7  | Al-Maleh            | 32°02′7′681″N 44°21′9′841″E | 6.33±0.55 | 12.96±2.03 | 95.55±7.43  |
| 8  | Second Kasser       | 32°01′9′27″N 44°26′3′774″E | 3.98±0.32  | 6.34±0.43  | 74.89±5.50  |
| 9  | Average             |                          | 4.99±0.45  | 11.96±1.65 | 104.62±6.85 |
| 10 | World’s average     |                          | 35          | 30         | 400 [16]   |
|    | Range               |                          | 17 – 60     | 11 – 64    | 140-850[25] |

Table 3. Radiological effects parameters in Al-Najaf soil samples.

| Area          | Raeq (Bq/Kg) | Hx   | Hy   | Ix   | (D) (nGy/h) | AUI  | (RHP) ($\mu$W/m$^3$) | (AEDE)$_{asr}$ (mSv/y) | (AEDE)$_{are}$ (mSv/y) | AGDE mSv/y | ELCR   |
|---------------|--------------|------|------|------|------------|------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| First kasser  | 17.10        | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 7.95       | 0.13 | 0.43                 | 0.01                   | 0.04                   | 0.078       | 3.5×10$^{-5}$ |
| Taktak        | 25.48        | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 11.99      | 0.17 | 1.09                 | 0.01                   | 0.04                   | 0.083       | 3.5×10$^{-5}$ |
| Al-sad        | 21.49        | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 10.28      | 0.13 | 1.28                 | 0.013                  | 0.05                   | 0.072       | 4.5×10$^{-5}$ |
| Al-rohanya    | 37.70        | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.275 | 17.8       | 0.24 | 1.79                 | 0.022                  | 0.09                   | 0.124       | 7.7×10$^{-5}$ |
| Abo-kamsat    | 37.89        | 0.1  | 0.14 | 0.28 | 18.45      | 0.20 | 2.711                | 0.023                  | 0.092                  | 0.132       | 8×10$^{-5}$  |
| Al-rehmauy    | 28.23        | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 13.37      | 0.19 | 1.24                 | 0.016                  | 0.065                  | 0.093       | 5.6×10$^{-5}$ |
| Al-maleh      | 29.26        | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.215 | 13.74      | 0.20 | 1.28                 | 0.017                  | 0.07                   | 0.096       | 5.9×10$^{-5}$ |
| Second kasser | 12.73        | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.131 | 8.38       | 0.11 | 1.00                 | 0.01                   | 0.04                   | 0.059       | 3.5×10$^{-5}$ |
| Average       | 26.23        | 0.07 | 0.1  | 0.188 | 11.02      | 0.17 | 1.35                 | 0.015                  | 0.061                  | 0.08        | 5.3×10$^{-5}$ |
| Wared average | 370°         | <1°  | <1°  | <1°  | 55°        |      | 0.067**               | 0.27**                 | 0.363°                  | <0.3°       | 0.29×10$^{-5}$ |

*: reference [25],**: calculated
Table 4. Activity concentrations (Bq/kg) of $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K measured in some Iraq's area as compared with neighbor countries.

| No. | Country      | $^{232}$Th | $^{226}$Ra | $^{40}$K | references |
|-----|--------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| 1   | Jordan       | 24.45      | 20.84      | 312.39   | [26]       |
| 2   | Egypt        | 21         | 24         | 323.8    | [27]       |
| 3   | Iran         | 14.9       | 26.3       | 271      | [28]       |
| 4   | Saudi Arabia | 20         | 23         | 233      | [29]       |
| 5   | Turkey       | 50         | 25         | 228      | [30]       |
| 6   | Syria        | 18.43      | 22.2       | 247      | [31]       |
| 7   | Kufa         | 4.75       | 8.37       | 103.0    | [32]       |
| 8   | Baghdad      | 25.81      | 21.74      | 434.67   | [33]       |
| 9   | Nineveh      | 21.52      | 33.55      | 326.74   | [34]       |

3.3 Multivariate statistical analysis

The relationships were described among the radioactivity variables using the multivariate statistical analysis, such as basic statistical analysis, pearson correlation analysis and cluster analysis using SPSS (version17) software.

a- Basic statistical analysis:

The basic of statistical such as stander deviation, variance, skewness, kurtosis, mean, median, min., max. were used to describe the statistical characteristics of the radionuclide activities as shown in Table 5. The stander deviation of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K are less than the mean value this indicates that an irregular in their distribution. The skewness of activity concentrations of $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K are positive, that shows their distributions are symmetric towards positive values, while skewness of $^{226}$Ra was negative that shows their distributions are asymmetric towards negative values. Generally, the skewness was low value so the form is normal distributions [35]. In this study, the kurtosis value of activity concentrations of $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K are positive and the kurtosis value of $^{232}$Ra is negative and it indicates that the curve is more peaked than the normal curve. Bell-shaped are shows in Figures 2, 3 and 4 that shows the frequency distribution curve is normal and there are no radioactive hazards from all radionuclides $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K in soil samples.

Table 5. Descriptive statistical of radioactive isotopes.

| Statistics          | Th-232 | Ra-232 | K-40       |
|---------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Mean                | 4.9575 | 12.1025| 101.3238   |
| Median              | 4.6350 | 12.6150| 94.1150    |
| Std. Deviation      | 1.58658| 3.50889| 50.28744   |
| Variance            | 2.517  | 12.312 | 2528.827   |
| Skewness            | 0.359  | -0.611 | 1.127      |
| Std. Error of Skewness | 0.752 | 0.752  | 0.752      |
| Kurtosis            | 0.416  | -0.668 | 2.453      |
| Std. Error of Kurtosis | 1.481 | 1.481  | 1.481      |
| Range               | 5.20   | 10.09  | 172.60     |
| Minimum             | 2.51   | 6.34   | 31.52      |
| Maximum             | 7.71   | 16.43  | 204.12     |
b- Pearson correlation analysis:

Pearson’s correlation coefficient is the test statistics that measures the statistical relationship, or association, between two continuous variables. The correlation coefficient values of $^{226}$Ra with $^{232}$Th was seen a high degree-positive. The correlation coefficient values of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th with $^{40}$K was seen a medium correlation-positive. Most of correlation coefficient values of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K with radiological hazard parameters are high correlation and some of them are medium correlation and all the correlation coefficient values are positive [36] as shown in Table 6.

| $^{226}$Ra | $^{232}$Th | $^{40}$K | $^{226}$Ra $\text{eq}$ | Hex | $\text{In}$ | $\gamma$ | $D$ | AUI | RHP | (AEDE)$_{\text{out}}$ | (AEDE)$_{\text{in}}$ | AGDE |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|----------------|----------|-------|
| 1         | 0.596     | 1        | 0.489          | 0.626 | 1     | 0.875 | 0.799 | 0.808 | 1   | 0.744          | 0.808    | 0.732 |
| $^{232}$Th | 0.919 | 1        | 0.892          | 0.813 | 0.776 | 0.9754 | 0.873 | 1   | 0.74 | 0.927          | 0.85     | 0.61  |
| $^{40}$K  | 0.954 | 1        | 0.921          | 0.878 | 0.9821| 0.89    | 0.976 | 1   | 0.817 | 0.9418          | 0.852    | 0.953 |
| $^{226}$Ra $\text{eq}$ | 0.732 | 1        | 0.93           | 0.9611| 0.841 | 0.972  | 0.931 | 1   | 0.959 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| Hex       | 0.922   | 1        | 0.811          | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.959 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| $\text{In}$ | 0.997   | 1        | 0.9754         | 0.931 | 0.972 | 0.959  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.999 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| $\gamma$  | 0.869   | 1        | 0.781          | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.959 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| $D$       | 0.882   | 1        | 0.735          | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.999 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| AUI       | 0.616   | 1        | 0.735          | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.999 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| RHP       | 0.735   | 1        | 0.735          | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.999 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |
| (AEDE)$_{\text{out}}$ | 0.739 | 1        | 0.922          | 0.736 | 0.922 | 0.998  | 0.947 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.811          | 0.84     | 0.736 |
| (AEDE)$_{\text{in}}$ | 0.758 | 1        | 0.9224         | 0.736 | 0.93  | 0.998  | 0.948 | 0.859 | 0.852 | 0.999          | 0.85     | 0.736 |
| AGDE      | 0.861   | 1        | 0.9611         | 0.841 | 0.972 | 0.931  | 0.959 | 1   | 0.999 | 0.954          | 0.884    | 0.823 |

4. Conclusion

The activities concentration of $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{40}$K in soil samples in Najaf using HpGe gamma ray spectrometry were carried out. The results obtained in this study revealed that the distribution of natural radionuclides was relatively uniform. The activity concentrations levels appear lower than the neighbor countries average values. In this experimental work, the mean values of the obtained radiological effects were within the limited of the international recommended value. The study concluded the absence of harmful radiation effects that may be exposed to the population living in the study area. This study could be used as a database and this data might be useful for the natural radioactivity mapping.
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