Introduction

Organ donation has been hailed as a divine concept by many who consider the human body as a gift, which can be passed on to the needy and dying fellow beings when it has served its purpose for oneself. An altruistic behavior was identified as a motivator for the kind act of willingly consenting for organ donation. The act of donation provides life through multiple recipients’ lives. Thus, if multiple organs are procured, then the single donor lives life through multiple recipients’ life.

Data from the WHO Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation (GODT) showed that over 130,000 solid organ transplants are performed worldwide, but this suffices only less than 10% of the global need.3-7

The organ donation rate in India has increased from a dismal 0.05 per million populations to 0.8 per million populations in a span of few years.3,4 Organ donation rates in India are minuscule compared to Croatia’s 36.5, Spain’s 35.3, and USA’s 26 per million, respectively. Some of the factors attributed for low organ donation imbalance between demand and supply of factors like the spiritual belief of life after birth,5 low awareness leading to the negative attitude towards donation, and loopholes in “The Transplantation of Human Organs Act” (THOA) act prior to the amendments in 2014.7

The number of men, women, and children on the national transplant waiting list as of March 2020 is about more than 112,000, and every 10 minutes one person is added to the transplant waiting list. Twenty people die each day waiting for an organ transplant. In 2019, there were 19,267 donors, 39,718 transplants, and 112,568 waiting list. (Data from optn.transplant.hrsa.gov and OPTN/SRTR annual report).

India ranks 1st in the number of road accident deaths across the 199 countries reported in the World Road Statistics. As per the WHO Global Report on Road Safety 2018, with more than 1.5
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lakh deaths, India accounts for almost 11% of the accident-related deaths in the world.6

Accident-related deaths in India in 2018 were 151,417 and in nearly 40–50% of road accident fatalities, the cause of death was a head injury.8 We can say if 5–10% of all brain-dead patients are considered properly for organ harvesting, there would be no requirement for a living person to donate organs.10

Although the road accidents contribute to the highest proportion of cadaveric donors, it is important to revisit our criteria for organ selection from the cadaveric donor. We have noticed that when the efforts were put in to widen the spectrum of diseased organ donors, the rate of organ donation was also peaked.11

A potential brain-dead organ donor (PBDD) is defined by the presence of either brainstem death or a catastrophic and irreversible brain injury that leads to fulfilling the brainstem death criteria.12,13

Intensivist plays an important role in giving care to potential organ donor since all the potential donor enter ICU at some point in time.12,14

**AIM**

This institute level retrospective study was planned to analyze the trends of increased organ donations in the last 23 years (from 1997 till 2019) and to know the positive initiatives taken toward enhancement of donation rate.

**Materials and Methods**

Data were retrieved from medical records of the brain-dead organ donors in the last 23 years (1997–2019) at Ruby Hall Clinic, Pune, and were evaluated. The study period was divided into two eras, the first 1997–2013 and the second era 2014–2019.

Information regarding donor characteristics like age, gender, primary cause of brain death, comorbid conditions, time of declaration of brain death, etc., were recorded. All the available information on the respiratory, cardiac, hematology, biochemistry, urine parameters along with the details of donor management such as invasive line, hormonal therapy, cardiovascular support, fluid therapy, and details of antibiotics use were recorded. The time of the first and second apnea tests was noted and the details of organs retrieved for transplant were also documented.

Primary data were collected in paper-based pro forma and the data were then entered in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. Statistical analysis was done on IBM SPSS Statistics version 20. Means were compared using independent-sample t test. A p value of less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

**Results**

A total of 100 cases in the age group 15–75 years (mean 41.6 ± 15.3 years) of brainstem death record were studied. Relatives of these 100 brainstem dead patients had consented for organ donation. The cohort consisted of 84 males and 16 females. (M:F ratio 1:0.19). Interestingly the average age of the donors in the first era (1997–2013) was 34.89 ± 11.67 years which increased significantly in the second era to 43.24 ± 15.46 years (p = 0.029). Also, the maximum age of the donor which was only 50 years in the first era went up to 75 years in the second era. The minimum age also went down from 18 years to 15 years during the second era.

The second era of 6 years witnessed the significant widening of the spectrum of donors after 2013. While the major cause of donor’s brainstem death remained (RTA) in both the groups (84.21% till 2013 vs 48.15% after 2013), the proportion of donors declared brain dead due to RTA dipped significantly in the second era (after 2013) (p = 0.004). The percentage of other causes than RTA that contributed to brainstem death was more than half in the second era. (51.85% non-RTA vs 48.15% RTA). The major contributor among non-RTA causes in recent years was intracranial bleeds (5.26% in the first era vs 33.33% in the second era, p = 0.014) (Table 1).

Although our organ donation program started in 1997, the frequency of brainstem dead declared organ donors was very low till 2013. Till 2013 in 8 different years, there were no organ donation cases and hence no transplant was carried out. In the year 2014, few proactive measures were adopted which aimed at enhancing the numbers of brainstem dead organ donors which came to fruition in the subsequent years. The peak number was achieved in 2016, 28 cases of brainstem death organ donors were recorded. Compared to 19 cases of brainstem dead organ donations till 2013, a visible fourfold rise was observed in the second era. Ninety cases were recorded in the second era 2013–2019 (Fig. 1).

Kidneys were retrieved from 90% donors followed by cornea 84%, liver 65%, heart 22%, skin 7%, lungs 6%, and pancreas 5% (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Although the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act has come into existence in the year 1994, the journey of organ donation and transplantation (ODT) continues to be still in the infancy stage.2,15 Our institute started its first transplant in 1997, its journey to date was not an easy ride. In the consultative meet at Madrid 2010, India was categorized in the intermediate stage (level 3) for ODT capacity.16 Compared to the previous 17 years, our

| Table 1: Shows demographical characteristics such as age, sex and primary cause of brainstem death |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Donor characteristics** | **Cases between (1997–2013) (n = 19)** | **Cases between (2014–2019) (n = 90)** | **p** |
| Average age (mean ± SD) | 41.64 ± 15.26 | 34.89 ± 11.67 | 43.24 ± 15.46 | 0.029* |
| Males | 84 | 17 (89.47) | 67 (82.72) | 0.472 |
| Upper age of donor | 75 | 50 | 75 | na |
| Minimum age of donor | 16 | 19 | 16 | na |
| RTA with head injury | 55 | 16 (84.21) | 39 (48.15) | 0.004** |
| HTN bleed—28 | 28 | 1 (5.26) | 27 (33.33) | 0.014* |
| Ischemic infarction—10 | 10 | 1 (5.26) | 9 (11.11) | 0.44 |
| Tumor | 3 | 0 | 3 (3.70) | 0.397 |
| Fall—3 | 3 | 0 | 3 (3.70) | 0.397 |
| Assault—1 | 1 | 1 (5.26) | 0 | na |

*less significant; ** high significant
The average age of the donors until 2013, which was 34.89 ± 11.67 years, increased significantly after 2013 to 43.24 ± 15.46 years. An increase of 2.98% of transplanted solid organs was reported in 2013 by the global observatory on organ donation.

Looking at the Indian scenario, certain provisions were included to ensure that the onus of responsibility for commercial organ donation was shifted to the organ donors, recipients, treating doctors, and hospital administrators. The punishment for forged documents and false affidavits was considerably enhanced and could go up to 1 crore rupees or 10 years in jail. Provisions to help push forward the deceased donation program were also included.

It is seen that only a few states have been able to perform deceased donation transplantation. Maharashtra is one of the leading states who do it regularly and have a proper system for organ donation transplantation and contribute to the bulk of India’s total donation rate of 0.8 PMP.

The multipronged strategy to enhance the cadaveric donor transplantation focused majorly on widening the spectrum of donors. The average age of the donors till 2013, which was 34.89 ± 11.67 years, increased significantly after 2013 to 43.24 ± 15.46 years (p = 0.029). The shifting of the maximum age of the donor from 50 years till 2013 to 75 years after 2013 demonstrates the institutional efforts to better manage brain-dead donors of the geriatric cases as well.

Shifting the focus towards non-RTA cases also added significantly to the number of potential donors. While the conventional pool of cases coming from RTA was maintained well, additional efforts were put in for non-RTA cases. As a result, the recent 6 years witnessed more brain-dead cases due to other causes than RTA compared to RTA (51.85% non-RTA vs 48.15% RTA).

For the successful organ transplantation, precise medical management of a potential organ donor is the most crucial issue, and it asks for a multidisciplinary team approach. Our standardized protocol for donor management reinforced by the in-house transplant team helped us in sustaining hemodynamic variables and laboratory parameters within the normal ranges for the donors, which is assumed to be the preferred goal for successful organ donation.

Discussing organ donation with the relatives of the brain dead is never easy. It is important to install confidence and build trust while communicating with the relatives of the deceased donor. An Indian study by Balwani et al. revealed that about 59% of the study participants believed that there was a potential danger of donated organs being misused, abused, or misappropriated. The same study also found that 74.41% of participants were unaware of any legislation regarding organ donation.

The recent surge in the donation can also be attributed to the enhanced communication strategy that was adopted by our team. It was ensured that the communication with the relatives was done at the appropriate time and the tone being utmost empathetic. A transparent and unbiased system of organ allocation is followed and is explained to the donor families to boost the trust and confidence in the system. A study was done in California by Saub et al. revealed that speaking to a physician about organ donation positively influenced the likelihood to donate an organ.

As and when required involvement of senior consultants from the institute is done to positively influence the donor families.

Our institutional experience of 23 years suggests that adherence to standardized donor management protocols, focus on non-RTA and non-trauma brainstem dead cases and devising effective communication strategy can result in a multifold rise in brainstem dead organ donations. However, considerable efforts need to be taken on the policy level to support organ donation from patients that are cardiac dead which will provide the opportunity to increase the donor pool and most importantly assure that every opportunity is taken to carry out the wishes of the donor family. Delinking brainstem death condition from organ donation remains a big challenge associated in the current legal scenario.

Brainstem death as death is only mentioned in the transplant law and has been linked to organ donation. It is not mentioned in the Registration of Births and Deaths Act of 1969. Therefore, the cases with brainstem death and non-beating heart can’t get disconnected from the ventilator creating a legal and ethically challenging situation. The transplant law ought to collectively be at an advantage for all the citizens of our country, and pave a way forward for enhancing the transplant numbers.

**Limitations of our Study**

Our retrospective study has several limitations. First, it’s retrospective analysis of cases of organ donation from a single tertiary care center. Secondly, the retrospective nature of the study could have excluded the data due to incomplete medical records.
conversion rate of total brainstem death to organ donation could not be interpreted. We were not able to study issues related to consent among included cases. So it was not possible to comment on the correlation of willingness of relatives for consent among RTA vs. non-RTA donors. As we have observed a significant difference between the age of two categories, younger age might have been prevented relatives of the victim from consenting earlier.

We could put forth few measures implemented by us in our institute for improving the care of potential organ donation. These steps when put together might have led to an increase in organ donation.

**Recommendations to Improve Organ Donation based on our Institute Experiences**

Based on our study and extensive search, we can make the following recommendations.

- Extended criteria for organ donation: accepting the elderly population as donors and including more non-RTA donors may improve the organ donation rate. \(^{(23,26)}\)
- Early recognition of potential organ donors: with regard to the identification of potential donors; we recommend that institutes should develop a protocol that ensures all potential donors are identified in the early stage. Such a systemic approach may help to salvage donors. \(^{(12,27)}\)
- Protocol driven approach for a PBDD: multi-faceted team (intensivist, social worker, trained nurse, radiologist, and a comfortable waiting area for the relative of organ donor, procurement team) checklist development. \(^{(12,27)}\)
- Training of healthcare workers could be a promising intervention as it helps in improving consent for organ donation. \(^{(28,29)}\)
- Effective and clear communication with family may be crucial in improving consent for organ donation. \(^{(28,29)}\)

**Table 2: ABC approach for organ donation**

|   | Description                           |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| A | Etiology of brainstem death or irreversible coma |
| B | Brain death alert signs               |
| C | Contraindication to organ donation    |

**Flowchart 1: Protocol-driven organ donation approach in our institute**

- Detection of PBDD
- Diagnosis and ABC approach
- Family counseling and consent
- Management of organ donor
- Proactive communication with procurement team
- Hand-over protocol (anesthetist, surgeon)
- Feedback/Debriefing
- Role of transplant coordinator
- VIP approach clinical maintenance of potential brain-dead donors checklists
- Etiology of BD Brain death alerts contraindications to organ donation

**Conclusion**

Our aim should not be only to increase the number of donated organs but also to increase their quality and to reduce cardiac arrests in PBDD. This will also preserve long-term graft function. \(^{(28)}\)

Implementation of a multidisciplinary approach will help us to identify potential organ donors, proper maintenance of organ donors, proper counseling of relatives and maintain proper communication between the procurement team and intensivist. Finally, there should also be a proper body handover protocol. Let’s move our organ donation goal from the institute level to the national level and follow the organ procurement laws, and pave a way forward for enhancing the transplant numbers.
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