Seasonal Growth and Nitrogen Uptake of Encore Azalea ‘Chiffon’ Affected by Nitrogen Availability and Containers
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Abstract. Plant growth and nitrogen (N) uptake of Encore azalea ‘Chiffon’ (Rhododendron sp.) grown in a traditional plastic container or a biodegradable container made from recycled paper biocontainer increased the PGI, root dry weight, and N uptake efficiency. The benefits of biocontainers resulted in greater evaporative cooling through container sidewalls and the lighter color of the biocontainers, and therefore led to lower substrate temperatures and improved drainage.

Azaleas are among the most popular ornamental crops in the United States. The market for azaleas in the United States was $18.9 and $18.3 million in 2014 and 2015, with n = 4.1 and 3.69 million plants being sold in these 2 years, respectively (U.S. Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2016). Azaleas are popular for their colorful blooms and various blossom forms. Encore azaleas are a patented brand series of azaleas that bloom in spring, summer, and fall (Wilson Bros. Gardens, 2018). Multiple blooming seasons require continuous plant growth and nutrient supply. Plant nutrient uptake is subject to a number of factors such as growth rate, climate, and cultivating conditions (Bi et al., 2007b; Gastal and Lemaire, 2002; Million et al., 2007; Scagel et al., 2007). Nutrient uptake varies among crops, between growing seasons, and between production sites (Chang et al., 2012; Pradubsuk and Davenport, 2010; Ristvey et al., 2007; Scagel et al., 2007). The nutrient requirement of a given species or cultivar also fluctuates within a growing season (Strik and Bryla, 2015), which is difficult to predict and has rarely been reported.

The optimal fertilization program relies on specific information regarding the nutrient requirements of a species or cultivar, plant growth stage, climatic conditions, and substrate composition (Cardarelli et al., 2010; Gómez-López et al., 2006; Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). Chang et al. (2012) reported N supply and climate fluctuations interacted to influence the growth and yield of Anthurium andraeanum Lind. They reported N supply to be the limiting factor during spring and summer, whereas climate conditions was the limiting factor during fall and winter in Taiwan, a subtropical climate (Chang et al., 2012). With sufficient N supply, the increase of N content in a plant was believed to be determined by the growth rate of plants rather than by different species or climatic conditions (Gastal and Lemaire, 2002).

Biodegradable containers, also referred as biocontainers, have been investigated in recent years as sustainable alternatives to conventional plastic containers (Hall et al., 2010; Nambuthiri et al., 2015; White, 2009). A variety of biocontainers made from materials such as peat, manure, coir, straw, and wood fiber have been evaluated and found to produce plants of comparable quality to traditional plastic containers (Koeser et al., 2013a; Kuehny et al., 2011). Depending on the hydrophilic or hydrophobic materials that constitute the biocontainers, plants grown in biocontainers have various water-use characteristics, with some of them requiring more water or more frequent irrigation than plastic containers (Evans and Karcher, 2004; Evans et al., 2010; Koeser et al., 2013b). Water availability between irrigation events may then influence nutrient availability to the plant in the substrate (Scagel et al., 2011). The porous nature of the sidewalls of some biocontainers has resulted in greater water use, but increased evaporation was believed to help reduce substrate temperature, which is a beneficial feature at locations where summer heat stress may be a problem for plant growth or survival (Nambuthiri et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015).

We found in our previous study that the paper biocontainer increased the PGI, root length and surface area, dry weight, and plant N uptake in Encore azalea ‘Chiffon’ with N rates of 15 and 20 mM compared with traditional plastic containers (Li et al., 2018). However, seasonal growth and the nutrient uptake pattern of azalea plants grown in biocontainers vs. plastic containers remain unknown. Therefore, the objectives of this study were 1) to investigate the plant growth and N uptake pattern of Encore azalea ‘Chiffon’ during a growing season, 2) to compare plant growth and N uptake of plants grown in conventional plastic containers with those grown in paper biocontainers, and 3) to identify the timing when difference in growth and N uptake may occur.

Materials and Methods

Plant culture and treatments. Three hundred twenty 1-year-old liners of Encore azalea ‘Chiffon’ of uniform size were transplanted into two types of 1-gal containers in Apr. 2013. One is a conventional black plastic container (GL 400; Nursery Supplies6, Chambersburg, PA; top diameter, 17.78 cm; bottom diameter, 18.10 cm; volume, 3.785 L) and the other is a biodegradable container made from a mix of recycled paper (Western Pulp Products Co., Corvallis, OR; 7 × 7 round; interior top diameter, 18.7 cm; bottom diameter, 14.9 cm; height, 17.1 cm; volume, 3.90 L). All plants were grown outdoors under full-sun conditions at Mississippi State University (lat. 33°27′N, long. 88°47′W; U.S. Department of Agriculture-hardiness zone 8a). The dwarf variety of Encore azalea ‘Chiffon’ with a slow growth
rate was selected for this study to accommodate plant growth for one growing season in 1-gal containers. Pine bark, adjusted with 1 lb/yard lime (Soil Doctor Pelletized Lawn Lime; Oldcastle, Atlanta, GA), was used as growing substrate. Each azalea plant was fertilized twice weekly with 250 mL N-free fertilizer, 0N–2.6P–22.4K (Cornell No. N Eq. 0–6–27; GreenCare Fertilizers, Kankakee, IL) (Li et al., 2019), with either no N or 15 mM (210 mg·L⁻¹) N from NH₄NO₃ from 23 Apr. (14 d after transplanting) to 15 Sept. 2013 (159 d after transplanting), totaling 42 fertilization events with 52.5 mg N delivered at each time. The no-N treatment served as control to demonstrate there was not a considerable amount of N available to the azalea plants in the substrate or from any other sources within the experiment setting. In addition, N content in plants receiving no N also served as a baseline that was used in calculations to estimate N uptake from fertilizer with the 15-mM N treatment.

All plants were drip-irrigated daily on the same irrigation zone to replace daily water use plus a 15% leaching fraction. Daily water use amount was determined gravimetrically as described by Li et al. (2018). Irrigation amount was corrected during the growing season by averaging daily water use of five plants fertilized with 15 mM N grown in both plastic containers and biocontainers. Growth response of azalea plants was monitored closely during the growing season to avoid any possible water stress and therefore a confounding effect of irrigation on experimental factors.

**Plant harvest and data collection.** Five plants were selected randomly from each N rate and container type (total of 20 plants) and were harvested destructively roughly every 2 weeks, with the first harvest on 10 May (31 d after transplanting) and the last harvest (16th harvest) on 3 Dec. 2013 (238 d after transplanting). Each plant was cleaned with deionized water free from substrate, and separated into leaves, stems, and roots. All samples were then oven-dried at 60 °C. The dry weight of each sample was measured at each harvest. Before each destructive harvest, the selected plants were measured for relative leaf chlorophyll content estimated by SPAD reading, plant height, and width (greatest width = width 1; width perpendicular to width 1 = width 2). The PGI was calculated as the average of plant height, width 1, and width 2. Three fully expanded new leaves were selected on a given plant to measure leaf chlorophyll content using a chlorophyll meter (SPAD 502 Plus; Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). An average of the three readings from the three leaves was calculated to represent leaf chlorophyll content of a given plant.

**Tissue N analyses.** After being oven-dried, all samples were ground to pass through a 1-mm mesh (#20 mesh) using a Wiley mill for nutrient analyses. The Kjeldahl method was used to determine N concentration in each plant sample using 0.1 g dry sample (Bremner, 1965).

**Calculations.** Total plant dry weight was calculated by summing dry weights of leaves, stems, and roots of a given plant. Nitrogen content in leaves, stems, or roots was calculated by multiplying tissue N concentration by sample dry weight. Total plant N content was estimated by summing N content in leaves, stems, and roots. Average plant N concentration was calculated using total plant N content divided by total plant dry weight. As a result of the slow growth rate of the Encore® azalea ‘Chiffon’, plant growth rate in
terms of dry weight accumulation (measured as milligrams per day) was calculated between every two harvests (4 weeks), which was estimated as the average change in dry weight (or biomass) of each structure (leaves, stems, or roots) or the entire plant divided by the number of days between the two harvests. Total N uptake from the fertilizer was estimated by subtracting total N content of plants fertilized with no N grown in a certain container type from the total N content of those receiving 15 mM N at each harvest. Nitrogen uptake efficiency (measured as a percentage) of plants fertilized with 15 mM N grown in a certain container type was calculated as the proportion of N uptake from fertilizer to the total applied N fertilizer on a given date (Bi et al., 2007a).

Nitrogen uptake rate (measured as milligrams per day) was also calculated between two harvests and was estimated as the difference in N content, in a specific structure type or the entire plant divided by the number of days between the two harvests. All data calculations were conducted using Microsoft Excel (Version Microsoft Office Professional Plus 2016; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, MA).

Experimental design and statistical analyses. This experiment was a factorial arrangement of treatment in a completely randomized design. The two treatment factors were N rate (0 and 15 mM N) and container type (plastic and biocontainer). Harvest time (16 harvests) was considered a repeated measure. Significance of main effects (N rate or container type) and their interactions at each harvest date were determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Proc GLM procedure of SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Where indicated by ANOVA, means were separated among treatment combinations at each harvest by Fisher’s least significant difference test at $P < 0.05$. Means were not compared across different harvests.

Results

Plant growth index. There was no difference in PGI among treatments at the first harvest on 10 May (20 d after fertilization treatment) (Fig. 1A). From 24 May (second harvest) to 30 Aug. (ninth harvest), plants fertilized with 15 mM N had a greater PGI than those with no N. Container type did not influence PGI for the first nine harvests from 10 May to 30 Aug. The interaction between container type and N rate became significant from 13 Sept. (10th harvest) to 3 Dec. (16th harvest), except on 22 Nov. (15th harvest). When the interaction was significant, biocontainers resulted in plants with a greater PGI than plastic containers with 15 mM N. Container type did not cause changes in the PGI when plants received no N.

Leaf chlorophyll content. For leaf chlorophyll content estimated as SPAD readings, there was no interaction between container type and N rate at any of the 16 harvests (Fig. 1B). Plants fertilized with 15 mM N had greater SPAD readings than those receiving no N over the growing season. Plastic containers produced plants with greater SPAD readings than biocontainers on 3 July (fifth harvest). However, biocontainers resulted in greater SPAD readings than plastic containers on 9 Nov. and 22 Nov.—the 14th and 15th harvests, respectively. Except for these three harvests, container type did not influence leaf SPAD readings. During the growing season, leaf SPAD readings in plants fertilized with 15 mM N increased from 10 May to 30 Aug. and decreased from 30 Aug. to 3 Dec. The greatest SPAD reading was found on 30 Aug. in plants fertilized with 15 mM N grown in biocontainers. The lowest SPAD reading was found on 19 July in plants fertilized with no N grown in biocontainers. Plant dry weight. Total plant dry weight was similar among all treatment combinations on 10 May (first harvest) (Fig. 2A). An N rate of 15 mM resulted in greater total plant dry weight of azalea from 24 May (second harvest) to 30 Aug. (ninth harvest). Two container types resulted in similar total plant dry weight for the first nine harvests. The interaction between container type and N rate was significant from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec. With 15 mM N, biocontainers produced azalea plants with the greatest total dry weight. There was no difference in total plant dry weight between container types with no N treatment.

The dry weight of leaves and roots shared a similar trend as total plant dry weight, with no difference in leaf or root dry weight among all treatment combinations on 10 May (Fig. 2B and D). An N rate of 15 mM resulted in greater leaf dry weight from 24 May (second harvest) to 30 Aug. (ninth harvest), and greater root dry weight from 24 May to 13 Sept. (10th harvest), with no significant effect from container type except that plastic containers resulted in greater leaf dry weight on 21 June and greater root dry weight on 24 May compared with biocontainers. Later in the growing season, there was an interaction between container type and N rate in leaf dry weight from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec. (last seven harvests) and in root dry weight from 26 Sept. to 3 Dec. (last six harvests). Regarding the container type and N rate interaction, the biocontainer and 15 mM N resulted in the greatest leaf or root dry weight.

Stem dry weight was similar among all treatment combinations on 10 May (first harvest), 7 June (third harvest), and 21 June (fourth harvest) (Fig. 2C). The interaction
between N rate and container type was significant on 26 Sept., 11 Oct., 25 Oct., 9 Nov., and 3 Dec., sharing similar trends with leaf, root, or total plant dry weight. Except for the five harvests mentioned, 15 mM N produced greater stem dry weight than the no-N treatment, with no container effect.

Plant growth rate in terms of dry weight accumulation. The growth rate of plants receiving no N remained between –43.9 mg/d and 45.6 mg/d in terms of the increase/decrease in plant total dry weight during the experiment duration (Fig. 3). When fertilized with 15 mM N, plants grown in biocontainers generally had a similar or greater growth rate compared with plants grown in plastic containers. During the growing season, the biocontainer-grown plants had three flushes of growth, from 21 June to 19 July, from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept., and from 11 Oct. to 9 Nov. (Fig. 3A). In comparison, plastic container-grown plants had two flushes of growth concurrent with the timing of the first two flushes of plants grown in biocontainers. During the first two flushes of growth, biocontainers resulted in growth rates of 183.4 mg/d and 194.0 mg/d compared with 132.2 mg/d and 100.1 mg/d of plants grown in plastic containers, respectively. During the period from 11 Oct. to 9 Nov., biocontainer-grown plants were still accumulating dry weight at a rate of 138.1 mg/d, whereas plants in plastic containers were decreasing in dry weight at a rate of 11.9 mg/d. Dry weight of plants grown in biocontainers started to decrease after 9 Nov., causing a negative growth rate on 3 Dec. Azalea plants grown in plastic containers accumulated leaf dry weight at a rate of 66.5 mg/d compared with 44.2 mg/d in biocontainers early during the growing season, from 24 May to 21 June (Fig. 3B). However, leaf growth rate of plants grown in biocontainers ranged from 49.1 to 69.4 mg/d from 21 June to 13 Sept. compared with 10.1 to 40.9 mg/d in leaves of plants grown in plastic containers. Plants grown in plastic containers started to decrease in leaf dry weight after 13 Sept. However, plants grown in biocontainers continued accumulating leaf dry weight, but at a lower rate, from 13 Sept. to 9 Nov., and then started to decrease toward 3 Dec. Plants receiving no N grown in plastic containers or biocontainers had a leaf growth rate that ranged from –23.1 mg/d to 13.3 mg/d throughout the growing season.

Stem growth rate in plants fertilized with 15 mM N grown in plastic containers or biocontainers had two peaks—from 21 June to 19 July and from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept.—with growth rates of 31.6 and 43.5 mg/d in plastic containers, and 34 and 48.6 mg/d in biocontainers, respectively (Fig. 3C). Stem growth rate in plastic containers dropped sharply after 13 Sept., but maintained at 37.2 to 46.1 mg/d in biocontainers from 11 Oct. to 3 Dec.

Root growth rate in plants receiving no N ranged from –18.7 to 18.8 mg/d throughout the growing season (Fig. 3D).

In plants fertilized with 15 mM N and grown in biocontainers, a small growth flush occurred in roots from 21 June to 19 July, with a growth rate of 33.3 mg/d, and two large flushes of growth occurred from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept. and from 11 Oct. to 9 Nov., with growth rates of 76.4 and 74.8 mg/d, respectively. There were two root growth flushes in plants fertilized with 15 mM N and grown in plastic containers—from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept. and from 11 Oct. to 9 Nov.—with growth rates of 18.6 and 31.4 mg/d, respectively.

Tissue N concentration. In general, the N rate of 15 mM N resulted in greater tissue N concentration than no N treatment during the growing season, in leaves (at all 16 harvests), stems (at 11 harvests), and roots (at 11 harvests), and averaged in the plant (at 15 harvests) (Fig. 4). The interaction between container type and N rate was significant at four harvests in stems (on 3 Aug., 13 Sept., 11 Oct., and 25 Oct.) and roots (on 13 Sept., 26 Sept., 11 Oct., and 9 Nov.), and at one harvest averaged in the plant (on 25 Oct.). When the interaction was significant, plants fertilized with 15 mM N and grown in plastic containers had the greatest N concentration (in stems, roots, and averaged in the plant)—greater than those grown in biocontainers fertilized with 15 mM N or those receiving no N grown in either container type, with no difference between container types in plants receiving no N. The plastic container type resulted in a greater N concentration than biocontainers in stems at one harvest (on 9 Nov.) and in roots at two harvests (on 3 July and 19 July). Container type did not affect leaf N concentration at any harvest.

During the growing season, the N concentration in stems and roots, and averaged in the plant generally increased from 10 May to 30 Aug., then decreased from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec., sharing a similar trend with SPAD readings. When receiving no N fertilizer, average plant N concentration remained between 0.42% and 0.62% within the experiment duration. Leaf N concentration started from a high level early during the season on 10 May, averaging 1.48% and 1.91% in plants fertilized with 0 or 15 mM N, respectively (Fig. 4B). Leaf N concentration had a decreasing trend from 10 May to 21 June in plants fertilized with both N rates. Leaf N concentration in plants fertilized with 15 mM N increased from 21 June to 30 Aug., and then shared the similar decreasing trend from September to December as in stems, roots, and averaged in the plant.

![Fig. 4. Plant average N concentration (A), or N concentration in leaves (B), stems (C), or roots (D) of Encore® azalea ‘Chiffon’ fertilized with no N (N0) or 15 mM N (N15) from NH₄NO₃, and grown in plastic containers (P) or paper biocontainers (B) over the 2013 growing season. Plants were harvested destructively and oven-dried biweekly from 10 May to 3 Dec. 2013. Nitrogen concentration was measured using the Kjeldahl method with 0.1 g dry sample. Error bars suggest SD.](image-url)
Tissue N content. The N rate of 15 mM N resulted in greater N content than no N in leaves and the entire plant from 10 May to 30 Aug., in roots from 24 May to 13 Sept., and in stems for 10 harvests (Fig. 5). There was no difference in stem or root N content on 10 May between N rates. Plastic containers resulted in greater N content in leaves on 21 June, in roots on 24 May, and in the entire plant on 24 May and 21 June, but lower N content in roots on 7 June than biocontainers. The interaction between container type and N rate became significant later in the season, from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec. in leaves and the entire plant, from 26 Sept. to 3 Dec. in roots, and at five harvests (on 3 July, 26 Sept., 11 Oct., 9 Nov., and 3 Dec.) in stems. Regarding this interaction, plants grown in biocontainers had greater N content than those grown in plastic containers when fertilized with 15 mM N, with no difference between container types when plants received no N. At the end of the growing season on 3 Dec., biocontainers and 15 mM N resulted in an N content of 67.7, 35.6, 14.4, and 81.7 mg/plant, with plants fertilized with the same N rate but grown in plastic containers. Average N content in leaves, stems, roots, and the entire plant was 1.8, 10.2, 4.9, and 16.9 mg/plant when receiving no N, with no difference between container types.

During the growing season, the N content in plants receiving no N fertilizer ranged from 1.5 to 11.7 mg/plant in leaves, 5.3 to 11.5 mg/plant in stems, 4.4 to 7.9 mg/plant in roots, and 15.7 to 27 mg/plant in the entire plant, with a similar N content between container types at most harvests. When fertilized with 15 mM N, N content in leaves, stems, roots, and the entire plant increased from 10 May to 30 Aug. in both container types. A different N accumulation pattern occurred after 30 Aug., when the N content in plants grown in plastic containers started to decrease in leaves, stems, roots, and the entire plant. However, the N content in plants fertilized with 15 mM N and grown in biocontainers continued to increase for another 4 weeks until 26 Sept. The pattern of N content in each structure type or in the entire plant shared a similar trend as plant dry weight within the experiment duration, when plants grown in biocontainers had a third flush of growth in September, but those grown in plastic containers ceased active growth or N uptake by the end of August.

Nitrogen uptake from fertilizer. Total N uptake from fertilizer of plants grown in plastic containers increased from 8.6 mg/plant on 10 May to 139.2 mg/plant on 30 Aug., sharing a similar trend in biocontainers that increased from 7.3 mg/plant on 10 May to 153.2 mg/plant on 30 Aug. (Fig. 6A). Nitrogen uptake from fertilizer in plants grown in plastic containers decreased after 30 Aug. from 126.9 mg/plant on 13 Sept. to 63.7 mg/plant on 3 Dec. In comparison, N uptake from fertilizer of plants grown in biocontainers continued the increasing trend until 26 Sept., then decreased toward the end of the season, with N uptake from fertilizer ranging from 140.4 to 195.5 mg/plant from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec. On average, biocontainers resulted in 57 to 111 mg more N uptake from fertilizer per plant compared with plastic containers on a given date from 13 Sept. to 3 Dec. in plants fertilized with 15 mM N.

Nitrogen uptake efficiency. The N uptake efficiency of plants grown in plastic containers and fertilized with 15 mM N increased from 2.71% on 10 May to 5.59% on 7 June and then remained between 5.15% and 5.70% from 21 June to 16 Aug. (Fig. 6B). The N uptake efficiency of plants grown in plastic containers peaked on Aug. 30 (6.96%) and then decreased to 2.89% on 3 Dec. at the end of the growing season. The N uptake efficiency in plants grown in biocontainers and fertilized with 15 mM N increased from 2.32% on 10 May to 8.87% on 26 Sept., then started decreasing from 26 Sept. to 3 Dec. (6.68%). The N uptake efficiency of biocontainer-grown plants increased during the period of 13 Sept. to 26 Sept., suggesting these plants were taking up N actively. However, the N uptake efficiency of plants grown in plastic containers decreased sharply after 30 Aug., suggesting these plants ceased active N uptake in September. The average N uptake efficiency of plants grown in biocontainers was generally greater than those grown in plastic containers from July 3 to Dec. 3.

Nitrogen uptake rate. In plants receiving no N from fertilizer, the N uptake rate ranged from −0.53 to 0.25 mg N/d during the entire growing season regardless of container type (Fig. 6C). Using 15 mM N, biocontainers resulted in N uptake rates of 0.55 and 0.73 mg N/d; plastic containers resulted in 0.60 and 0.98 mg N/d on 24 May and 21 June, respectively. The N uptake rate of plants grown in biocontainers increased from 1.67 to 2.21 mg N/d compared with 0.95 to 1.50 mg N/d in plastic containers from 19 July to 13 Sept. With the last fertilization applied on 15 Sept., the N uptake rate of plants grown in both container types peaked on 13 Sept., then became negative from 11 Oct. to 3 Dec.

Discussion

Plastic containers resulted in comparable or greater PGI, dry weight, and N uptake of azalea
plant as biocontainers early in the season, with greater SPAD readings, dry weight (in leaves and roots), N concentration, and N content (in leaves and roots) than biocontainers at some harvests from May to August. This indicates plastic containers provided a similar or better growth environment compared with biocontainers during the time period. However, using 15 mM N, biocontainers resulted in greater PGI, plant dry weight, and N content in the entire plant or in each structure type than plastic containers later in the season. Such a significant difference occurred in September on 13 Sept. or 26 Sept., and this trend remained to the end of the growing season on 3 Dec.

Sustainable alternative containers of various types have been reported to produce plants of similar quality compared with conventional plastic containers (Beeks and Evans, 2013; Koers et al., 2013b; Kuehny et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015, 2019). Paper (also referred to as wood pulp) biocontainers produced river birch (Betula nigra) plants of comparable PGI and plant biomass to plastic containers in a pot-in-pot production system (Li et al., 2015). Greater dry root weight of cyclamen (Cyclamen persicum) was found using paper and wood fiber containers compared with plastic containers (Beeks and Evans, 2013). The porous sidewall of the paper biocontainer used in the current study was found to increase water use as a result of water loss through the container sidewall (Nambuthiri et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015), which reduced stomatal conductance and increased water stress of Hydrangea macrophylla in our previous study (Li et al., 2019). However, the evaporative cooling effect as a result of sidewall water loss may be beneficial for plant root growth and survival at locations with high summer conditions (Nambuthiri et al., 2015). In addition, the lighter color of the paper biocontainer compared with black plastic may have also contributed to a lower substrate temperature from June to September, with a local average monthly temperature ranging from 70 to 80 °F and a maximum air temperature greater than 90 °F (U.S. Department of Agriculture-National Resources Conservation Service, 2018). Therefore, the increased evaporative cooling and lighter color of the paper biocontainer may have led to increased plant growth and N uptake of azalea plants, which benefits from well-drained substrate (Larson, 1993). In addition, September was the last month of the hottest period during 2013 at the experimental location. Considering that Encore® azalea ‘Chiffon’ is one of the most dwarf cultivars in this branded serious and has a lower growth rate, this might explain why a significant difference in plant growth and N uptake occurred late in the season in September.

In general, high rates of plant dry weight accumulation and N uptake occurred during the same time periods—from 21 June to 19 July and from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept.—in both container types. The consistent timing of fast N uptake and N uptake confirmed the theory that the growth rate of a given species is to a large degree, the driving force of N uptake with sufficient N supply (Gastal and Lemaire, 2002). After 13 Sept., plants fertilized with 15 mM N grown in biocontainers had another flush of growth from 11 Oct. to 9 Nov., in terms of PGI and plant dry weight. Plants fertilized with the same N rate grown in plastic containers started to decrease in PGI and dry weight in September, resulting in the onset of significant differences in PGI, dry weight, and N content between container types. Besides the beneficial effects of evaporative cooling and light color, biocontainers also increased plant growth and N uptake by extending the active growth of azalea plants further into late fall in the current study.

The different growth pattern of plants grown in plastic containers and biocontainers requires different fertilization programs. Because fast N uptake was associated with plant biomass accumulation, sufficient fertilization should be applied at the times of active growth, when plants grown in biocontainers and plastic containers both had the two flushes of growth from 21 June to 19 July and from 16 Aug. to 13 Sept. Although plastic container-grown plants ceased accumulating dry weight and N content after 13 Sept., biocontainer-grown plants had extended active growth to 9 Nov., in addition to the two flushes earlier in the season. Liquid feeding of fertilizer is usually ended by growers in September, which satisfies plastic container-grown plants because they had ceased fast N uptake by this time. Biocontainer-grown plants may require fertilization after September to support the final flush of growth. However, application of late-season fertilizer should be done with caution because it may stimulate tender new growth that might not be hardy enough to survive winter’s freezing temperatures.
Plant N uptake varies among species or cultivars and is affected by a series of growing conditions (Bi et al., 2007a; Gastal and Lemaire, 2002; Million et al., 2007). Under the experimental conditions in the current study, there was a lower N uptake rate and lower N uptake efficiency than those reported by Bi et al. (2007a). This is likely the result of two reasons: 1) the ‘Encore’ azalea ‘Chiffon’ used in this study is one of the most dwarf cultivars in the series and may have a lower growth rate compared with Rhododendron L. ‘P.J.M.’ and Rhododendron ‘Cannon’s Double’ used in the study by Bi et al. (2007a); and 2) a greater N rate of 15 mM instead of 10 mM was applied to azalea plants in our study, resulting in lower N uptake efficiency. An N rate of 15 mM was used to ensure that N did not become a limiting factor for plant growth during the growing season. As for the container effect on N uptake, plastic containers resulted in greater N concentrations (in leaves, stems, roots, and average in the plant) than biocontainers during the entire growing season. However, biocontainers resulted in a greater N content than plastic containers from September to December, when plants were fertilized with 15 mM N. Increased N content in biocontainers resulted from greater plant dry weight than plants grown in plastic containers. The beneficial effect of biocontainers in increasing N uptake is through increasing plant dry weight, resulting in an increased amount of N, but at similar or lower N concentrations in azalea plants as in plastic containers.
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