eIF2α-CHOP-BCL-2/JNK and IRE1α-XBP1/JNK signaling promote apoptosis and inflammation and support the proliferation of Newcastle disease virus
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Abstract
Newcastle disease virus (NDV) causes severe infectious disease in poultry and selectively kills tumor cells, by inducing apoptosis and cytokines secretion. In this report, we study the mechanisms underlying NDV-induced apoptosis by investigating the unfolded protein response (UPR). We found that NDV infection activated all three branches of the UPR signaling (PERK-eIF2α, ATF6, and IRE1α) and triggered apoptosis, in avian cells (DF-1 and CEF) and in various human cancer cell types (HeLa, Cal27, HN13, A549, H1299, Huh7, and HepG2). Interestingly, the suppression of either apoptosis or UPR led to impaired NDV proliferation. Meanwhile, the inhibition of UPR by 4-PBA protected cells from NDV-induced apoptosis. Further study revealed that activation of PERK-eIF2α induced the expression of transcription factor CHOP, which subsequently promoted apoptosis by downregulating BCL-2/MCL-1, promoting JNK signaling and suppressing AKT signaling. In parallel, IRE1α mediated the splicing of XBP1 mRNA and resulted in the translation and nuclear translocation of XBP1s, thereby promoting the transcription of ER chaperones and components of ER-associated degradation (ERAD). Furthermore, IRE1α promoted apoptosis and cytokines secretion via the activation of JNK signaling. Knock down and overexpression studies showed that CHOP, IRE1α, XBP1, and JNK supported efficient virus proliferation. Our study demonstrates that the induction of eIF2α-CHOP-BCL-2/JNK and IRE1α-XBP1/JNK signaling cascades promote apoptosis and cytokines secretion, and these signaling cascades support NDV proliferation.

Introduction
The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) plays an important role in regulating protein synthesis/processing, lipid synthesis, and calcium homeostasis. During virus infection, many viral proteins are synthesized by ER-associated ribosome and transported into the ER lumen for proper folding or posttranslational modification. This leads to an overwhelming load of unfolded or misfolded proteins in the ER lumen. The chaperone Bip then binds to these unfolded/misfolded proteins and releases the ER stress sensors PERK, ATF6, and IRE1α, triggering unfolded protein response (UPR). PERK is activated by autophosphorylation and in turn phosphorylates eIF2α on Ser51. Phospho-eIF2α has increased affinity to the eIF2β subunit and prevents the regeneration of guanosine triphosphate (GTP) in the ternary complex eIF2-GTP-MettRNAi, thus halting the initiation of protein translation. However, ATF4 mRNA is preferentially translated and promotes the transcription of genes important for cellular remediation or apoptosis, including CHOP. Another eIF2α kinase, PKR, also elicits eIF2α-
ATF4-CHOP signaling in response to virus infection\(^6\text{--}^8\). ATF6 dissociates from Bip and moves to the Golgi apparatus, where it is cleaved into N-terminal fragment ATF6-N and subsequently translocated to the nucleus as an active transcription factor, triggering the transcription of protein chaperones and components of ER-associated degradation (ERAD)\(^9\text{--}^11\). The IRE1α-XBP1 branch is the most evolutionarily conserved in Eukarya\(^12\). After dissociating from Bip, IRE1α undergoes homooligomerization and autophosphorylation, obtaining both kinase and endoribonuclease activity\(^13\). This endoribonuclease leads to unconventional enzymatic splicing of XBP1u mRNA into XBP1s by removing the 26-nucleotide intron. The spliced mRNA is then translated into transcription factor XBP1s, controlling the expression of the ER quality control genes and components of ERAD\(^11\text{,}^13\text{--}^15\). IRE1α also degrades ER-associated mRNAs to attenuate protein load in the ER lumen\(^16\).

If ER homeostasis cannot be restored, UPR drives the damaged or infected cells to apoptosis\(^17\). Apoptosis is triggered by either intrinsic signaling or extrinsic death ligands. The intrinsic pathway is under the control of the BCL-2 protein family\(^18\). Pro-survival guardian proteins (BCL-2, MCL-1, and BCL-xL) inhibit apoptosis through binding and sequestering pro-apoptotic activators (BID, BIM, PUMA, and NOXA) or effectors (BAX and BAK). When enough activators have been stimulated by cytotoxic stresses, BAX is released from pro-survival guardian proteins and oligomerizes to form pores on the mitochondrial outer membrane, thereby releasing cytochrome c and activating caspase 9\(^19\text{--}^21\). Under persistent ER stress, the induction of CHOP may promote cell apoptosis by regulating the expression of BCL-2, TRB3, death receptor 5, ERO1α, and GADD34, and perturbing the cellular redox state\(^22\text{--}^24\). IRE1α may promote apoptosis through interacting with TRAF2 and ASK1, in turn activating pro-apoptotic JNK\(^25\). Prolonged UPR is also an inflammatory nidus and may elicit a defensive innate immune response against the invading pathogen. Activation of IRE1α-JNK leads to the increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines\(^26\). NF-κB is activated by IRE1α-TRAF2 mediated IκB phosphorylation and degradation\(^27\). In another way, when protein translation is halted by PERK-eIF2α signaling, the ratio of NF-κB to IκB is increased, and NF-κB is then released and activated\(^28\text{,}^29\).

Newcastle disease virus (NDV) is a highly contagious avian pathogen belonging to the genus Avulavirus within the family Paramyxoviridae\(^30\). NDV infection caused death of chicken embryos and neurological damage in adult chicken are the consequences of apoptosis and inflammation\(^31\). NDV also selectively infects the human cancer tissues, kills cancer cells directly or attracts immune cells to remove the infected tumor cells\(^32\). Although several reports show that NDV infection results in the loss of mitochondrial membrane potential\(^33\text{--}^36\) and the induction of extrinsic death ligands TNF-α/TRAIL\(^37\), the intrinsic death signals are yet to be fully clarified. We have reported that NDV infection-induced phosphorylation of eIF2α results in shut off of protein translation\(^38\). In this study, we focused on characterization of the UPR branches and their roles in NDV- triggered apoptosis and inflammation, in several human cancer cell types and avian cells.

### Materials and methods

#### Cells and virus

The human cervical cancer cell line (HeLa), human non-small cell lung cancer cell lines (A549 and H1299), chicken embryo fibroblast monolayer cell line (DF-1), and human embryonic kidney cell line (293T) were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Tongue squamous carcinoma cell line (CAL27), squamous cell carcinoma of oral cancer cell line (HN131), and human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (Huh7 and HepG2) were provided by Prof. Lijun Jia (Shanghai University of Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China). These cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Hyclone, USA), RPMI 1640, or F-12K medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gbico, USA) at 37 °C humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO\(_2\). Chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEFs) were derived from 10-days-old SPF chicken embryos which bought in MERIAL (France). The NDV velogenic strain Herts/33 was obtained from China Institute of Veterinary Drug Control (Beijing, China). The virus was propagated in chicken embryonated eggs and titrated on DF-1 cells by TCID\(_{50}\) assay. The virus was used for infection at the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 throughout this study.

#### Reagents and antibodies

The IRE1α inhibitor 8-formyl-7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (4μ8c) (s7272), PKR/PERK inhibitor GSK2606414 (s7307), JNK inhibitor SP600125 (s1460), ERK1/2 inhibitor U0126 (s1102), p38 inhibitor SB203580 (s1076) and AKT inhibitor LY294002 (s1105) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (USA). 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA) (B26966) was purchased from YuanYe Biological Company (China). RNA extraction reagent TRizol®, transfection reagent Lipofectamine 2000, Click-ITTM Plus TUNEL Apoptosis Assay Kit (C10617), and Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit with Annexin V Alexa Fluor™ 488 & Propidium Iodide (PI) (V13245) were purchased from Invitrogen Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA). Western blot stripping buffer (p0025) and 4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (c1002) were purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology (China). SYBR Green qPCR Mix (p2092) was purchased from Dongsheng Biotech (China).
Monoclonal NDV NP antibody was raised in mice using bacterially expressed His-tagged NP as the immunogen. Antibodies against Caspase-3 (9665), PARP (9542), PERK (5683), phospho-Elf2α (3398), Elf2α (5324), IRE1α (3294), ATF6 (65880, used for western blot), CHOP (2895), PKR (12297), BCL-2 (4223), MCL-1 (5453), BCL-xL (2764), BIM (2933), PUMA (12450), BAX (5023), phospho-AKT (13083), Akt (4691), phospho-JNK (4668), JNK (9252), phospho-p38 (4511), p38 (8690), phospho-ERK1/2 (4370), ERK1/2 (4695), TBK1 (3504), phospho-TBK1 (5483), IRE3 (11904), p65 (8242), and phospho-p65 (3033) were purchased from Cell Signalling Technology (USA). Phospho-ERK (DF7576) was purchased from Affinity Biosciences. Phospho-IRE1α (ab48187), XBP1 (ab37152), phospho-PKR (ab32506), phospho-IRE1α (ab76493), and ATF6 (ab122897, used for western blot), CHOP (65880, used for western blot), XBP1 (ab37152), phospho-PKR (ab32506), β-Actin (A1978) were purchased from Abcam (UK). Anti-Flag and β-actin (A1978) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). The secondary IgG conjugated with HRP, FITC, or TRITC were obtained from DAKO (Denmark).

The sequences of small interfering RNA (siRNA) oligos of caspase-3, PERK, PKR, CHOP, Akt, JNK, p38, ERK1/2, IRE1α, CHOP, and non-target control siRNA (sic) were shown in Table 1. All siRNAs were synthesized by Gene Pharma Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China).

Tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID50) assay

Virus yield in culture medium of NDV-infected cells was determined by measuring TCID50 in DF-1 cells. In brief, DF-1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2.0 × 10⁴ cells per well. After 24 h, cells were infected with virus, which was serially diluted in 10-fold using serum free medium. The virus and cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 days. The cytopathic effect of cells was observed using light microscopy. TCID50 was calculated by the Reed-Muench method.

TUNEL assay

The TUNEL method was performed to label the 3′-end of fragmented DNA of the apoptotic cells. Different cell lines were infected by NDV Herts/33 at an MOI = 1 and harvested at 20 h post-infection (h.p.i.), respectively. The TUNEL assay was carried out by Click-iTTM Plus TUNEL Apoptosis Assay Kit according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The images of TUNEL positive cells were captured by a fluorescence microscope (×200).

Flow cytometry

Various cell lines were infected with NDV Herts/33 strain at MOI = 1, and harvested at 20 h.p.i. According to the manufacturer’s instruction, cells were stained with Annexin V and Propidium Iodide (PI) by using a Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit with Annexin V Alexa Fluor™ 488 & PI and analyzed with flow cytometry by using flow cytometer (Beckman) equipped with FlowJo software.

Construction of plasmids

For construction of PXJ40F-CHOP plasmid, full-length CHOP (NM_004083.5) was amplified by PCR from human cDNA using forward primer 5′-CCCAAGCTTA TGGCAGCTGATCATGGCTTTC-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GGAAAGATCTTCTGCTTGTCAGATT ACCATTC-3′. The restriction enzyme sites were underlined. The PCR product was digested with Hind III and Bgl II, ligated into vector PXJ40F (with a Flag tag in amino terminus). For construction of pCMV-IRE1α plasmid, full-length IRE1α (GenBank: AF059198.1) was amplified by PCR from human cDNA using forward primer 5′-GCAATC AAGCTTATGCCGGCCCGCGCTGTGC-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GACGTGGAATTCGCCGGGTCGTGGGCCC-3′. The PCR product was digested with Hind III and EcoR I, ligated into vector p3FLAG-CMV-14. For construction of pCMV-XBP1u plasmid, full-length XBP1u (NM_005080.3) was amplified by PCR from human cDNA using forward primer 5′-CTAGTCATGGGTAGCTGGTTGGTGCA GCCG-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GACGTGGAATTCGCCGGGTCGTGGGCCC-3′. The PCR product was digested with Hind III and Xba I, ligated into vector p3FLAG-CMV-14. For construction of pCMV-XBP1u plasmid, full-length XBP1u (NM_005080.3) was amplified by PCR from human cDNA using forward primer 5′-GAATCT AAGCTTATGCCGGCCCGCGCTGTGC-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GACGTGGAATTCGCCGGGTCGTGGGCCC-3′. The PCR product was digested with Hind III and Xba I, ligated into vector p3FLAG-CMV-14. For construction of pCMV-XBP1u plasmid, full-length XBP1u (NM_005080.3) was amplified by PCR from human cDNA using forward primer 5′-GACGTGGAATTCGCCGGGTCGTGGGCCC-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GAACGTGGAATTCGCCGGGTCGTGGGCCC-3′. The PCR product was digested with Hind III and Xba I, ligated into vector p3FLAG-CMV-14.

Table 1 Small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequence.

| Name      | Sequence (5′-3′)                                                                 |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| sic       | UUCUCGGAACGUGUCACGUTT                                                        |
| siPERK    | GGUUGGAGACUUUUGGGUUAUU                                                        |
| siPKR     | GCGAGAACUJAGACAAAGUU                                                        |
| siCHOP    | GAGGCUCUGAUUGACCAAGUUT                                                       |
| siIRE1α   | CUGCCAGCCAUGGAAAUATT                                                        |
| siXBP1    | GGAACAGCAUGGUAGUAGUT                                                        |
| siCASP3   | GCAUACAGJUAGGUCACUCCAT                                                   |
| siAKT     | GAAGGAAGUCAUCGUAGGCCU                                                        |
| siERK1    | UGA CCA CAU CUG CUU CAA C                                                  |
| siERK2    | GUG CU G UGU CUU CAA GAG C                                                  |
| sip38     | GAUCUGCGGCUACUAUAC                                                         |
| siJNK     | AAAGAAUGCCUCUACCCUU                                                         |

sic Non-target control siRNA, sicASp3 sicCaspase 3
enzyme *Pst I* to remove the XBP1u fragment, followed by *Hind III* and *Xba I* digestion, finally cloned into vector p3ψFlag-CMV-14.

**Transfection of plasmid or siRNA**

HeLa cells were transfected with plasmids or siRNAs using lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s manual. At 24 h (plasmid transfection) or 36 h (siRNA transfection) post-transfection, cells were incubated with NDV in serum-free medium at 37 °C for 1 h to allow the binding and entry. After that, the unbound virus was removed and the cells were incubated with fresh medium (with 2% FBS). The cells and culture medium were harvested at indicated time, and subjected to western blot analysis, RT-PCR, or TCID₅₀ assay, respectively.

**SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis**

Cell lysates were prepared with 2 × SDS loading buffer (20 mM Tis-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM Dithiothreitol, 2% SDS, 20% Glycerol, and 0.016% Bromphenol blue) and denatured at 100 °C for 5 min. The whole cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% fat free milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 h, incubated with the primary antibodies (1:1000 in dilution) overnight at 4 °C, then washed thrice with TBST. The membranes were then incubated with secondary antibody (1:1000 in dilution) for 1 h at room temperature and washed thrice with TBST. The protein bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Share-Bio, Shanghai, China) and exposed to Automatic chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Share-Bio, China). The intensity of target bands was quantified by densitometry using Image J program (NIH) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. At 24 h (plasmid transfection) or 36 h (siRNA transfection) post-transfection, the statistical analysis was performed with Graphpad Prism5 software (USA). The data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments. Significance was determined with the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). *P* values < 0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

**NDV infection induces apoptosis**

The pathogenesis and oncolytic activity of NDV are associated with cell death. To study whether NDV induces apoptosis, the HeLa cells were grown on 6-well chamber slides and infected with NDV. At 16 h.p.i., cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min, and blocked with 3% BSA for 30 min. The cells were incubated with antibody against CHOP or XBP1, and NDV NP (1:200 in dilution, 5% BSA) for 1 h, respectively, followed by staining with secondary antibody conjugating with FITC or TRITC (1:200 in dilution, 5% BSA) for another 1 h. Finally, cell nuclei were stained with 0.1 µg/ml of DAPI for 10 min and rinsed with PBS. The specimen was mounted with fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO) containing 15 mM NaN₃. Images were collected with a LSM880 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss, German). The intensities of corresponding bands were quantified using Image J program (NIH, USA). The statistical analysis was performed with Graphpad Prism5 software (USA). The data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments. Significance was determined with the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). *P* values < 0.05 were deemed statistically significant.
apoptosis in host cells and human cancer cells, chicken cells CEF and DF-1, human cancer cells HeLa, Cal27, HN13, A549, and H1299, cells, both major biochemical markers of apoptosis, caspase-3 and PARP, were cleaved into smaller fragments in an infection time-dependent manner; in Huh7 and HepG2 cells, weak cleavage of PARP appeared at 24 h.p.i.; no cleavage of both biochemical markers was observed in 293T cells (Fig. 1c and Fig. S1C). Due to unavailability of antibodies, chicken cells were not included in the immunoblotting analysis. These observations suggest that NDV infection triggers functional apoptosis in chicken cells and many human cancer cell types, but not in non-cancerous 293T cells.

To investigate the role of apoptosis in NDV proliferation, we utilized siRNA to specifically knockdown caspase-3. As shown in Fig. 1d, caspase-3 was successfully knocked down, accompanying with decreased cleavage of PARP. Interestingly, the expression of viral NP was also reduced at late infection time points. Examination of extracellular virus titer showed that knockdown of caspase-3 significantly suppressed the production of progeny virus (Fig. 1e). These results suggest that apoptosis promotes virus proliferation, probably by facilitating virus release and spread.

**NDV infection activates the three branches of UPR signaling**

We next set up to address if the UPR is involved in NDV-induced apoptosis. First, we examined the three branches of UPR in NDV-infected cells. As shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. S2, at late infection time points. Interestingly, the full-length PERK (PERK-FL) was cleaved into a 110-kDa N-terminal fragment (PERK-N) in HeLa, Cal27, HN13, A549, and H1299, but not in Huh7, HepG2, and 293T cells. The cleavage site of PERK was mapped to Thr980 (data not shown). In the mock-infected group, there was basal level of phospho-PERK, probably due to the removal of serum in the culture medium (Fig. 2a and Fig. S2). The phospho-PERK was clearly observed in NDV-infected Hela cells at 16 and 20 h.p.i. (Fig. 2a), however, in other cancer cell lines, the level of phospho-PERK in NDV-infected cells was lower than or comparable to that in mock-infected cells, probably due to the cleavage of PERK-FL or other unknown mechanisms (Fig. S2). Meanwhile, phospho-eIF2α was gradually increased at late infection time points in HeLa cells (Fig. 2a), and in HN13, A549, Huh7, HepG2, and 293T cells. The cleavage site of PERK was mapped to Thr980 (data not shown). In the mock-infected group, there was basal level of phospho-PERK, probably due to the removal of serum in the culture medium (Fig. 2a and Fig. S2). The phospho-PERK was clearly observed in NDV-infected Hela cells at 16 and 20 h.p.i. (Fig. 2a), however, in other cancer cell lines, the level of phospho-PERK in NDV-infected cells was lower than or comparable to that in mock-infected cells, probably due to the cleavage of PERK-FL or other unknown mechanisms (Fig. S2). Meanwhile, phospho-eIF2α was gradually increased at late infection time points in HeLa cells (Fig. 2a), and in HN13, A549, Huh7, HepG2, and 293T cells. The failure to observe the increase of phospho-eIF2α in NDV-infected Cal27 and H1299 cells might be attributed to the high basal level of phospho-eIF2α in mock-infected cells. These results indicate that NDV infection induces the phosphorylation of eIF2α in various cancer cells; however, the cleavage of PERK may attenuate the activation of PERK-eIF2α branch. Meanwhile, NDV infection greatly stimulated the phosphorylation of IRE1α in HeLa cells (Fig. 2a), Cal27, HN13, A549, H1299, Huh7, and HepG2

### Table 2: Primer sequences used for semi-quantitative real-time RT-PCR.

| Name     | Sequence (5′-3′)      |
|----------|-----------------------|
| β-actin F | GATCTGGCACCCGACCTTCTCT |
| β-actin R | GGGGTTGTAAGGTCTCACA |
| NP F     | CAACAAATAGGATGAGTGTGAGTA |
| NP R     | CAGGGTATCGGGTATGCTCTTCT |
| IFN-β F  | GCTTGGATTCCTAACAAGAAGCA |
| IFN-β R  | ATAGATGGTCAATGCGGCTGC |
| TNF-α F  | AGGTGACAACTGTAGGCCCC |
| TNF-α R  | TTGAGAAGAATCTGAGGT |
| IL6 F    | TGGACACGCAAAGAGGGG |
| IL6 R    | TCAAACTTCTTGAGAGGT |
| IL8 F    | TCCAAACTTCCACCC |
| IL8 R    | CACAACTCTCTGACCC |
| IRE1 F   | CGGAGAAGATACCTACGTCGCC |
| IRE1 R   | CCCGATAGTGGTTCTCC |
| XBP1u F  | TTGTCACCCCTCCAGAATCTC |
| XBP1u R  | TCCGAAGTGCACACAGGAT |
| XBP1s F  | TGTCAGTGTCGGCAGAGGTT |
| XBP1s R  | GCTGCGAGGCTCTGGGAAG |
| PSIPFK   | GGCTCGGTATCCTCTCCT |
| PSIPFR   | AGTAGGCTCCTGGATAATAAGCAA |
| ERdj4 F  | TGTCAGGTTGTTACTCATGG |
| ERdj4 R  | TCTTACGATTGGCGAAATCGG |
| EDEM1 F  | CGGAGCAGTACGAAAGGC |
| EDEM1 R  | CGTAGACAAGAGCAACATGC |

F represents forward primer, R represents reverse primer
cells, but not in 293T cells (Fig. S2). The level of full-length ATF6 (ATF6-FL) was gradually decreased at late infection time in HeLa (Fig. 2a), Cal27, A549, H1299, Huh7, and 293T, but not in HN13 and HepG2 (Fig. S2). Although we failed to detect the cleavage band of ATF6, the nuclear translocation of ATF6 was indeed observed in NDV-infected HeLa cells (Fig. 2b). These results demonstrate that NDV infection triggers the eIF2α, IRE1α, and ATF6 signaling in most cancer cell types studied.

We next evaluated the role of UPR in apoptosis and virus proliferation, by utilizing a chemical chaperone 4-PBA to attenuate ER stress. In HeLa cells receiving both 4-PBA and NDV, the level of phospho-eIF2α was reduced by 0.7-fold; interestingly, both phospho-IRE1α and total IRE1α were increased, whereas the cleavage of ATF6-FL was not affected, compared to those cells receiving NDV only (Fig. 2c). Thus, 4-PBA only suppressed the phosphorylation of eIF2α in NDV-infected cells. Further study showed that 4-PBA treatment decreased the cleavage of PARP and produced less TUNNEL positive cells (Fig. 2c, d), accompany with lower expression of NP and less release of progeny virus (Fig. 2e). Thus, alleviation of eIF2α phosphorylation by 4-PBA may protect cells from death and is not favorable for virus proliferation.

**NDV infection induces the expression of CHOP via PERK and PKR signaling**

Under prolonged ER stress, the preferentially translation of ATF4 usually promotes the expression of pro-apoptotic transcription factor CHOP. Here, we found that the expression of CHOP was significantly induced at late infection time in all cell types (Fig. 3a, Fig. S3A). Immunofluorescence showed that strong CHOP signal was mainly localized in the nucleus at 16 h.p.i. (Fig. 3b). Thus, the persistent exposure to NDV infection greatly induces the expression and nuclear translocation of CHOP.
To clarify whether PERK-eIF2α or PKR-eIF2α signaling is involved in CHOP induction, we specifically knocked down PERK and PKR, respectively. Results showed that knock down of either PKR or PERK decreased the level of phospho-eIF2α and reduced the expression of CHOP at both mRNA and protein level (Fig.3c). Pharmacological inhibition of PERK and PKR by 10 μM GSK2606414 confirmed above results (Fig. S3B). Thus, both PERK and PKR contribute to the phosphorylation of eIF2α and transcriptional induction of CHOP during NDV infection.

CHOP promotes apoptosis by reducing the level of anti-apoptotic protein BCL-2/MCL-1

Is CHOP involved in NDV-induced intrinsic apoptosis? It has been known that CHOP promotes mitochondria mediated apoptosis via downregulation of the pro-survival BCL-2. First, we examined the levels of BCL-2 family members during NDV infection. As shown in Fig. 4a, pro-survival guardian protein BCL-2 and MCL-1 were gradually decreased from 16 to 20 h.p.i., while BCL-xL remained relatively stable; the pro-apoptotic BIM and PUMA, the pore forming protein BAX, were slightly decreased at 16 and 20 h.p.i., and the pore forming protein BAK was kept at a steady level. The decrease of BCL-2/MCL-1 may allow BAX/BAK to form pores in the outer membranes of mitochondria.

To investigate whether CHOP is involved in regulating the levels of BCL-2 and MCL-1, we specifically knocked down the expression of CHOP, followed with NDV infection. As shown in Fig. 4b, accompanying with depletion of CHOP, the levels of MCL-1 and BCL-2 were recovered, and the cleavage of PARP was reduced;
Interestingly, significant decrease of the expression of NP and the release of progeny virus were observed. To further demonstrate above observation, we adopted the transient overexpression approach. As shown in Fig. 4c, compared with vector-transfected group, overexpression of CHOP greatly reduced the levels of MCL-1 and BCL-2, resulting in higher level of PARP cleavage; the level of viral protein NP and the release of progeny virus were greatly increased. These data confirm that induction of CHOP promotes apoptosis via downregulating BCL-2/MCL-1 and supports NDV proliferation.

**CHOP promotes apoptosis by regulating AKT and JNK signaling cascades**

AKT plays a critical role in promoting cell survival. MAPK cascades are involved in cell growth, differentiation, and control of cellular responses to cytokines and stress. To investigate whether AKT and MAPK signaling are involved in NDV-induced apoptosis, the kinetic activation of these kinases was examined. As shown in Fig. 5a, although there were basal levels of phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK1/2 after the serum was removed from culture medium during infection, the levels of both phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK1/2 were increased at late infection time; meanwhile, a gradual increase of phospho-JNK and phospho-p38 were clearly detected. These observations reveal that NDV infection activates AKT and three branches of MAPK signaling.

To study whether CHOP is involved in regulating AKT and MAPK signaling, we examined the phosphorylation levels of these kinases by knock down or overexpression of CHOP. As shown in Fig. 5b, depletion of CHOP indeed decreased the levels of phospho-JNK, phospho-p38, and phospho-ERK1/2 by 0.6- to 0.7-fold, respectively, although the level of phospho-AKT was not changed. In contrast, overexpression of CHOP reduced the level of
phospho-AKT by 0.6-fold and greatly stimulated phosphorylation of JNK by 4.6-fold, phosphorylation of p38 and ERK1/2 by 1.2-fold (Fig. 5c). From these data, we speculate that CHOP may promote apoptosis by suppressing AKT signaling and enhancing MAPK signaling.

To evaluate the effect of AKT and MAPK signaling on apoptosis, we employed siRNA to specifically interfere the expression of AKT, JNK, p38, or ERK1/2. As shown in Fig. 5d, knock down of AKT obviously increased the cleavage of PARP; knock down of JNK slightly decreased the cleavage of PARP; depletion of p38 and ERK1/2 did not significantly change the cleavage of PARP. These observations were further confirmed by pharmacological inhibition of AKT, JNK, p38, and ERK1/2, respectively (Fig. S4A–D). Thus, AKT and JNK are the major pathways involved in apoptosis during NDV infection.

**IRE1α mediated-XBP1 splicing is essential for efficient NDV proliferation and apoptosis**

We have observed the activation of IRE1α in Fig. 2 and Fig. S2, next, we examined the splicing of XBP1. As shown in Fig. 6, the splicing form of XBP1s mRNA was clearly detected at 12–20 h.p.i. (Fig. 6a), meanwhile, the 55 kDa XBP1s protein was observed (Fig. 6b). The expression of XBP1s was also detected in NDV-infected Cal27, HN12, A549, H1299, Huh7, HepG2, and 293T cells (Fig. S2). Immunofluorescence showed that XBP1 was translocated to the nucleus in response to NDV infection (Fig. 6c). These results clearly demonstrate that NDV infection triggers XBP1s mRNA splicing and produces XBP1s as a nuclear transcription factor.

We next examined the effect of IRE1α on XBP1 splicing. IRE1α ribonuclease activity was inhibited by 4μ8c, which specifically binds to the lysine residue in the catalytic pocket. Results in Fig. 6d showed that 25 μM 4μ8c treatment markedly suppressed NDV-induced splicing of XBP1 mRNA. To eliminate the possibility of off target by chemical treatment, we specifically knocked down or overexpressed IRE1α. Compared with control group, knock down of IRE1α reduced the level of XBP1s to undetectable level (Fig. 6e); in contrast, overexpression of IRE1α produced 2-fold of XBP1s (Fig. 6f). Collectively,
these results confirm that IRE1α ribonuclease is responsible for the splicing of XBP1 mRNA and production of XBP1s in response to NDV infection.

**IRE1α-XBP1 promotes NDV-induced apoptosis and facilitates viral proliferation**

IRE1α has been shown to be involved in cell death under prolonged/severe ER stress. To assess the role of IRE1α on cell fate during NDV infection, IRE1α was knocked down. As shown in Fig. 7a, compared to those in sic-transfected cells, knock down of IRE1α reduced the cleavage of caspase-3 and PARP, impaired the expression of NP and the production of progeny virus. Meanwhile, the transcriptional induction of the ER chaperones and components of ERAD, including p58IPK, ERdj4, and EDEM1 genes, was reduced in IRE1α knock down cells (Fig. S5A). We further analyzed this observation by overexpression of IRE1α. As shown in Fig. 7b, compared with vector pCMV transfected cells, overexpression of IRE1α promoted the phosphorylation of IRE1α, enhanced the cleavage of caspase-3 and PARP, and increased the expression of NP and production of progeny virus. As expected, the transcription of the ER chaperones and ERAD components was increased (Fig. S5B).

To study the role of XBP1 in NDV-induced apoptosis, HeLa cells were transfected with Flag-tagged XBP1u, XBP1s, and pCMV vector. Neither XBP1u nor XBP1s changed the cleavage of PARP and the synthesis of NP (Fig. 7c). However, knock down of XBP1 reduced the cleavage of PARP and suppressed virus proliferation, as evidenced by the decrease of NP synthesis, viral mRNA transcription, and progeny virus production (Fig. 7d). These results demonstrate that IRE1α-XBP1 triggers the transcription of ER chaperones/ERAD components, promotes apoptosis, and supports efficient NDV replication.

**JNK signaling is activated via IRE1α/NF-κB signaling and is involved in NDV-induced apoptosis/inflammation**

We next asked whether IRE1α is involved in JNK activation. Interfering the expression of IRE1α by siRNA reduced the level of phospho-JNK by 0.2-fold (Fig. 8a); in contrast, in cells transfected with plasmid encoding IRE1α, the level of phospho-JNK was markedly increased by 2.2-fold (Fig. 8b). These data demonstrate that IRE1α promotes JNK phosphorylation in NDV-infected cells. Previously, we reported that NDV infection-induced death ligand TNF-α expression via NF-κB pathway. Usually, the binding of TNF-α to TNFR not only activates
caspase 8 and NF-κB, but also triggers JNK. To examine whether NF-κB signaling is involved in the activation of JNK, IKKβ inhibitor IKK16 (5 μM) was incubated with NDV-infected cells to block the activation of NF-κB. As shown in Fig. 8c, inhibition of NF-κB signaling reduced the level of phospho-JNK to undetectable level, demonstrating that JNK is also activated via the NF-κB pathway. JNK promotes apoptosis as well as inflammation. Is the activation of JNK involved in the transcriptional induction of cytokines? Here, we used SP600125 to inhibit JNK kinase activity, or specifically interfered the expression of JNK by siRNA, then evaluated IRF3 and NF-κB signaling. Results in Fig. 8d, e showed that both inhibition and knocking down of JNK reduced the levels of phospho-TBK1, phospho-IRF3 and phospho-p65; surprisingly, virus proliferation was also suppressed. Accordingly, the transcription of TNF-α, IL6, and IL8 was markedly suppressed; the transcription of IFN-β was significantly suppressed by the inhibitor treatment, but only slightly decreased in JNK knock down cells (Fig. S5A, B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that JNK promotes cytokines transcription during NDV infection, probably via regulation of NF-κB and IRF3 signaling. It was worthwhile to note that the release of progeny virus was greatly suppressed by inhibition of JNK, suggesting that JNK signaling is helpful for virus proliferation.

Discussion

As an acute infection pathogen and oncolytic reagent, NDV specifically kills host cells and tumor cells by inducing apoptosis and inflammation. In this study, we demonstrate that NDV infection initiates the UPR signaling and triggers functional apoptosis in chicken cells as well as in various cancer cell types; suppression of either
UPR or apoptosis is not favorable for efficient NDV proliferation. Indeed, to facilitate shedding and dissemination of progeny viruses, some viruses take advantage by initiating apoptosis. Apoptosis may help NDV release by killing the infected cells, promote virus spread to neighboring cells by avoiding stimulation of anti-viral innate immune responses or inflammation in un-infected neighbor cells, thereby quickly establishing acute infection.

UPR determines cell fate to survival or death. Here, we find that the UPR is involved in NDV-induced apoptosis by triggering the expression of CHOP via PERK/PKR-eIF2α dependent manner. The mechanisms of CHOP to promote apoptosis include decreasing the levels of BCL-2 and MCL-1, which may result in release of BAX to form pores in mitochondria outer membranes; limiting the activation of pro-survival AKT; and promoting the signaling of pro-apoptotic JNK. Activation of p38 during NDV infection may also lead to phosphorylation and activation of CHOP. Interestingly, induction of CHOP supports efficient NDV proliferation.

IRE1α is a highly conserved ER stress sensor, which is involved in determination of cell fate. Viruses have different mechanisms to regulate IRE1α signaling, to facilitate their own replication. Hepatitis B virus, Influenza A virus, Japanese encephalitis virus, and Flavivirus activate IRE1-XBP1 signaling, while Hepatitis C virus and Rotavirus suppress this pathway. In this study, we demonstrate that NDV infection activates IRE1α-XBP1 and IRE1α-JNK signaling, leading to sensitizing cells to apoptosis and enhancing NDV proliferation. JNK also promotes the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The activation of JNK is not only modulated by CHOP and IRE1α, but also triggered by NF-κB signaling. Thus, JNK plays an essential role in the crosstalk of UPR, apoptosis, and inflammation during NDV infection.

The principal findings of this study are NDV infection promotes apoptosis and inflammation in various cancer cell types via UPR, including the eIF2α-CHOP-BCL-2/JNK signaling and IRE1α-XBP1s/JNK signaling, which is helpful for NDV proliferation (summarized in Fig. S7).
The full understanding of the involvement of these UPR branches in NDV replication process appears to be complicated. In addition to helping NDV spread to neighbor cells by triggering apoptosis, the global translation shut off caused by PERK/PKR-eIF2α signaling may allow the translational machinery hacked by virus and translate the viral proteins preferentially. Alternatively, the expression of ER quality control proteins, which are controlled by IRE1α-XBP1 pathway, promotes virus replication by enhancing the viral proteins modification, folding, and trafficking. Another possibility is that XBP1s stimulates the phospholipid biosynthesis and ER expansion, providing the lipid that is necessary for the enveloped virus particle assembly. Activation of JNK by UPR not only contributes to apoptosis, but also initiates inflammation by promoting the transcription of cytokines. The secretion of cytokines may attract the phagocyte to engulf the infected-apoptotic cells. In all, this study provides comprehensive insights into the mechanisms of UPR induced apoptosis and cytokine secretion during NDV infection.
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