Coordinate Regulation of Stem Cell Competition by Slit-Robo and JAK-STAT Signaling in the Drosophila Testis
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Abstract

Stem cells in tissues reside in and receive signals from local microenvironments called niches. Understanding how multiple signals within niches integrate to control stem cell function is challenging. The Drosophila testis stem cell niche consists of somatic hub cells that maintain both germline stem cells and somatic cyst stem cells (CySCs). Here, we show a role for the axon guidance pathway Slit-Roundabout (Robo) in the testis niche. The ligand Slit is expressed specifically in hub cells while its receptor, Roundabout 2 (Robo2), is required in CySCs in order for them to compete for occupancy in the niche. CySCs also require the Slit-Robo effector Abelson tyrosine kinase (Abl) to prevent over-adhesion of CySCs to the niche, and CySCs mutant for Abl outcompete wild type CySCs for niche occupancy. Both Robo2 and Abl phenotypes can be rescued through modulation of adherens junction components, suggesting that the two work together to balance CySC adhesion levels. Interestingly, expression of Robo2 requires JAK-STAT signaling, an important maintenance pathway for both germline and cyst stem cells in the testis. Our work indicates that Slit-Robo signaling affects stem cell function downstream of the JAK-STAT pathway by controlling the ability of stem cells to compete for occupancy in their niche.

Introduction

Adult stem cells are essential for tissue regeneration and are maintained in specialized microenvironments, or niches. Niches consist of the cells and extracellular structures required to support a specific stem cell population [1]. Signals produced by niches maintain stem cells by concomitantly repressing differentiation and promoting stem cell adhesion to the niche. Although many extracellular signals and intrinsic adhesion factors are known to be required for stem cell maintenance, little is known about how they converge to regulate stem cell niche cell adhesion in vivo [2]. We have approached this question using the well-characterized niche within the Drosophila testis. In this tissue, a cluster of quiescent, somatic hub cells contributes to the stem cell niche by signaling to adjacent germline and somatic stem cells (GSCs and cyst stem cells, or CySCs) [Figure 1A] [3]. Both stem cell populations adhere to the hub via E-cadherin (ECad)-mediated adherens junctions [2,4]. GSC divisions are stereotypically oriented such that, following mitosis, one daughter remains within the niche (and remains a GSC), while the other is displaced from the niche and typically enters the differentiation program [5–7]. CySCs also divide asymmetrically, and their differentiating progeny (cyst cells) encase differentiating germ cells and support their differentiation [8–10].

Multiple extrinsic signals act within this niche, the most well-understood being the JAK-STAT pathway, wherein local cytokine production from the hub activates STAT within both GSCs and CySCs to promote their maintenance [11,12]. Currently only a few STAT targets acting in this niche are known; these include the putative transcriptional repressors Zhb-1 and Chinmo, which autonomously prevent CySC differentiation (or maintain CySC fate), but are dispensable within GSCs [3,13,14]. In contrast, the primary role of STAT within GSCs is to promote ECad-mediated adhesion to the hub, rather than to maintain GSC fate [15]. ECad is also required within CySCs for their maintenance, but its upstream regulators remain to be identified [4,15].

Although stem cell-niche cell adhesion is an essential aspect of stem cell maintenance, it is becoming apparent that the modulation of adhesion levels in individual stem cells can affect their ability to compete for limited space and signals in a niche [16]. In the Drosophila ovary, ECad levels mediate stem cell competition between GSCs; this process is thought to serve as a quality control mechanism to eliminate less fit stem cells from the niche [17]. In the Drosophila testis, although it is not known whether ECad levels mediate stem cell competition, CySCs upregulating integrin gain a competitive advantage in the niche, outcompeting both GSCs and CySCs with lower integrin levels [18]. Since integrin-mediated adhesion is not intrinsically required within CySCs for their maintenance, the mechanisms linking niche signals and integrin-mediated adhesion within CySCs are not understood. In general, little is known about the coordination of multiple signals within niches, but such coordination is likely to be a fundamental aspect of niche biology that will modulate many aspects of stem cell behavior, including stem cell competition.
Author Summary

Stem cells adhere to niches, or local microenvironments, which provide essential maintenance cues. In the *Drosophila* testis niche, quiescent hub cells maintain adjacent germline and somatic stem cells (or cyst stem cells, CySCs) via local JAK-STAT signaling. Here, we show that the Slit-Robo and JAK-STAT pathways integrate to modulate stem cell niche cell adhesion. The ligand Slit is expressed in the hub, and Slit’s receptor Robo2, which is transcriptionally activated by JAK-STAT signaling, is required in adjacent CySCs to promote Ecad-based adhesion to the hub. Abl tyrosine kinase acts downstream of Robo2 to attenuate CySC-hub adhesion and prevent CySCs from outcompeting neighboring cells from the niche. Interestingly, Robo receptors mediate stem cell-niche adhesion during hematopoesis, but the mechanisms are not understood. We suggest that the Slit-Robo-Abelson and JAK-STAT pathways may coordinate regulate stem cell-niche cell adhesion more generally.

Model systems like the *Drosophila* gonad, where a single stem cell and its progeny can be assayed over time, facilitate understanding stem cell competition in vivo, since a given mutation is thought to yield very different outcomes depending on whether it affects a few or all of the stem cells in question [19].

In this study, we discover a role for the Slit-Roundabout (Robo) pathway in the *Drosophila* testis stem cell niche. First identified for its role in axon guidance in the *Drosophila* central nervous system, Slit-Robo is a functionally conserved cell migration pathway [20–24]. In *Drosophila*, three Roundabout receptors (Robo, Robo2 [also called leak] and Robo3) are activated by a single secreted ligand, Slit [25]. In addition to cell migration, Slit-Robo signaling can affect cell adhesion in both vertebrates and *Drosophila* [26–28], often in conjunction with the highly conserved cytoplasmic kinase and proto-oncogene Abelson (Abl tyrosine kinase in *Drosophila* and c-Abl in vertebrates) [26,29]. Interestingly, Robo4 is required for adhesion of murine hematopoietic stem cells to their niches in the bone marrow, but the underlying mechanisms are not understood [30]. Though Abl has been studied extensively in the context of cancer, virtually nothing is known about the role(s) of Abl in endogenous stem cell niches. Here, we demonstrate that JAK-STAT’ responsive Slit-Robo-Abelson signaling affects adhesion-mediated competition in adult stem cells.

Results

Slit-Robo pathway members are expressed in the testis stem cell niche

Since genes regulating stem cells often display expression patterns restricted to stem cells or their niches [3], we sought to identify new stem cell regulators by screening a collection of gene traps for patterns restricted to the *Drosophila* testis apex [31]. We found that an enhancer trap inserted in the robo2 gene, encoding the Robo2 axon guidance receptor, is expressed in the hub, CySCs and their immediate daughters (Figure 1B). Immunostaining confirmed that Robo2 is enriched on the cell surface of hub cells and CySCs, as expected for a transmembrane receptor, but decreases in expression in cells further from the niche (Figure 1C, 1C’). Since GSCs are enveloped by CySCs and the two membranes are difficult to distinguish by confocal microscopy, it is unclear if GSCs also express Robo2. Nonetheless, the enrichment of Robo2 within CySCs suggests this receptor may relay signals to them. Accordingly, the secreted ligand Slit, which signals through Robo2 [20], is enriched specifically in hub cells in a punctate pattern, consistent with the expression pattern of other hub-secreted ligands (Figure 1D, 1D’ [11,12,32]. Together, these data suggest that Slit locally activates Robo2 in adjacent germline and/or cyst stem cells.

Robo2 is required for CySC but not GSC maintenance

Since Slit-Robo signaling is essential during development [33], we used mosaic analysis to generate a small number of *robo2*-null cells, called clones, in an otherwise normal adult testis. We used two alleles of *robo2* (*robo2* and *robo2d*), both of which carry a premature stop codon and are classified as null alleles [23]. At 2, 4 and 12 days after clone induction (ACI), we scored for the presence of negatively marked *robo2* null CySCs, identified as GFP-negative cells adjacent to the hub expressing high levels of the CySC/eary cyst cell marker Zfh-1 [14]. Negatively marked wild type control CySCs were present at all timepoints, as expected (Figure 2A, Table 1). However, negatively marked *robo2* null CySCs were almost completely absent by 2 days ACI (Figure 2B, Table 1, reported as tests with CySC clones/total tested). In contrast, negatively marked wild type control and *robo2* null GSCs were maintained in similar numbers at all timepoints (Figure 2A, 2B, Table S1). These data indicate that *robo2* is autonomously required for CySC but not GSC maintenance. To determine how *robo2* null CySCs were lost from the niche, we first looked for the presence of *robo2* null CySC progeny (cyst cells). Because negatively marked cyst cells are difficult to detect, we repeated our mosaic analysis using the repressible cell marker (MARCM) technique in order to create positively marked clones [34]. In addition to confirming our negative clone data that Robo2 CySCs are rapidly lost from the niche, MARCM clonal analysis allowed us to detect positively marked cyst cells lacking *robo2*. These cells were identified by their distance from the hub and expression of traffic jam, which marks the hub and CySC lineage (Figure 2C, 2D). We observed *robo2* null cyst cells in 80% of tests (compared to 87% in wild type controls, p-value = .70, n=30), suggesting that *robo2* null CySCs are capable of differentiating. To determine if marked *robo2* null CySCs leave the niche due to premature differentiation, we stained for the expression of the cyst cell differentiation factor Eyes absent (Eya). Eya marks cyst cells associated with late spermatogonia and spermatocytes, but is not detected at earlier stages of spermatogenesis (Figure 2E) [35]. However, Eya can be detected in CySCs that are null for the self-renewal factor Zfh-1 before they exit the niche [14]. Thus, the presence of Eya-positive cells within the niche can indicate a premature loss of CySC identity. We observed that CySCs and early cyst cells lacking *robo2* did not express Eya (Figure 2F), indicating that they were not differentiating prematurely. In contrast, older cyst cells lacking *robo2* (those associated with late spermatogonia and spermatocytes) did express Eya (Figure 2E, 2F). This further supports the idea that *robo2*-null cyst cells can differentiate normally. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that Robo2 is required for Eya expression since Robo2 protein may persist longer in non-mitotic cyst cells than CySCs, which divide frequently. To further determine if Robo2 controls CySC identity, we ectopically expressed Robo2 outside of the stem cell niche. Ectopic expression of CySC self-renewal factors such as Zfh-1 in the CySC lineage is sufficient to cause overproliferation and accumulation of CySCs and GSCs outside of the niche [3,13,14,36]. However, ectopic Robo2 expression in CySCs and cyst cells is not sufficient to produce an accumulation of stem cells outside the testis niche (Figure S1A, S1B). Global ectopic expression of the JAK-STAT ligand Unpaired (Upd, also called Outstretched) is also sufficient to cause overproliferation of CySCs.
and GSCs outside the niche [11,12], but ectopic expression of the ligand Slt does not cause stem cell overproliferation. Instead, ectopic Slt produces a mild phenotype where CySCs and early cyst cells tend to aggregate (Figure S1C, S1D). Together, these data suggest that Slt-Robo signaling is not sufficient to maintain CySC identity outside of the niche.

We next asked whether Slt-Robo signaling normally promotes CySC viability by using Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) to quantify dying cells within testes containing robo2 null clones. Since robo2 null CySCs are lost rapidly, before the activation of the mosaic marking system can be detected, we induced high levels of clones 24 hours before TUNEL labeling, when we estimate that there is at least one robo2 null CySC per testis. After quantifying the number of dying cells in the region of the testis occupied by stem cells (within ~10 microns of the hub, Figure S2), we found no significant difference between testes containing robo2 null clones or wild type control clones (.03 vs .06 cells per testis, p-value = 0.39, n≥50 testes) (Figure S2A, S2B). As expected, TUNEL-positive spermatogonial cysts were present in the majority of both wild type and robo2 mosaic testes [37,38], confirming that our TUNEL-staining was effective. These data suggest that robo2 is not required for CySC viability.

We then suspected that Robo2 might affect the ability of CySCs to compete for occupancy in the niche. To test this, we used the C587-gal4 driver to knock down robo2 in CySCs and cyst cells [4]. Using the temperature-inducible Gal80 TS system, we initiated knockdown of robo2 for 7 days in adult flies (0–5 days post eclosion). We found that, in contrast to our mosaic analysis results where robo2-null CySCs are rapidly lost from the niche, RNAi-induced knockdown of robo2 in all CySCs and cyst cells in the adult testis via RNAi does not result in total CySC loss (Figure S3A, n = 25). Instead, testes appear grossly normal, with both GSCs and CySCs still present in the niche. This indicates that CySCs lacking Robo2 are competent to remain in the niche, but cannot compete for niche occupancy when more fit, wild type CySCs are present, although an alternative explanation for this result is that RNAi knockdown of robo2 was partial compared to complete deletion of robo2 in mosaic clones. We used the previously described robo2 developmental testis phenotype as a method to confirm the effectiveness of our RNAi construct. We used C587-gal4 without Gal80 TS to initiate knockdown of robo2 during development and scored for testis malformation as previously described [39]. Robo2 deletion is known to cause defects in the proper establishment of the embryonic stem cell niche with ~30% penetrance [39]. When C587-gal4, which is expressed in the somatic gonadal precursors of the developing embryonic gonad [40], was used to drive RNAi mediated knockdown of robo2, 33% of testes appeared grossly abnormal. Consistent with published data, these testes exhibited decreased numbers of GSCs and hub cells as well as germline cells that appeared to be defective in normal differentiation (Figure S3B, n = 27). Taken together, our clonal analysis and adult RNAi knockdown experiments indicate that loss of Robo2 decreases the ability of CySCs to compete for their niche. Although CySCs lacking Robo2 are still maintained and functional, they cannot compete against wild type CySCs for occupancy and are quickly expelled from the niche when more fit wild type CySCs are present. We hypothesize that Robo2 maintains CySCs by promoting CySC-hub cell adhesion. Changes in stem cell adhesion are thought to modulate stem cell competition within niches more generally [17,18], but the regulation of competition by niche signals is still not completely understood.

Robo2 null CySCs are rescued by overexpression of E-cadherin

In both vertebrates and invertebrates, Slt-Robo signaling can modulate cell-cell adhesion by acting on cadherins including N-cadherin (Ncad) and E-cadherin (ECad) during development [26,28]. Interestingly, both cadherins are highly enriched on the surface of hub cells (Figure S4) [5,41]. However, mosaic analysis of two previously characterized loss-of-function Ncad alleles revealed that Ncad is not required for CySC maintenance (Table S2). In contrast, ECad is required clonally for CySC maintenance [4], but its regulation via niche signals is not understood. Therefore, we hypothesized that Slt-Robo signaling promotes ECad-mediated CySC-hub cell adhesion. To test this, we overexpressed ECad in
Figure 2. The Robo2 receptor is autonomously required for CySC maintenance in the testis. (A–B) Confocal sections of testes with Zfh-1 staining CySCs and early cyst cell daughters (red). Negatively marked mosaic clones are identified by absence of GFP (green). (A) At 2 days ACI, wild type CySC clones (outlined, yellow) and GSC clones (outlined, white) are both present in the testis while (B) robomutant CySCs are absent at 2 days ACI and only GSC clones (outlined, white) are present. For comparison, examples of GFP+ GSCs (arrowheads) and CySCs (arrows) are indicated. A’ and B’ show GFP panels alone. (C–D) Confocal sections of testes with Traffic jam staining the hub, CySCs and cyst cells (red). Positively marked mosaic clones are identified by presence of GFP (green). (C) At 2 days ACI, marked wild type CySCs (arrows) are present close to the hub while differentiating cyst cells are present further from the hub. (D) robomutant CySCs are absent near the hub but robonull differentiating cysts cells (arrowheads) are present far from the hub (yellow asterisk, below plane of focus). C’ and D’ show Traffic Jam staining alone. (E–F) Confocal sections of testes with Eya staining differentiating late cyst cells (red). Positively marked mosaic clones are identified by presence of GFP (green). (E) Eya wild type cyst cell clones (arrowheads) and (F) robonull cyst cell clones (arrowheads) are present outside the niche at 2 days ACI. Early cyst cell clones (both wild type and robomutant) do not express Eya (arrows) E’ and F’ show Eya staining alone. (G–H) Confocal sections of testes with Zfh-1 staining CySCs and early cyst cell daughters (red). Positively marked mosaic clones are identified by presence of GFP (green). (G) At 2 days ACI, overexpression of ECad rescues robomutant clones, which are capable of dividing (arrowhead indicates mitotic GFP+ CySC, DNA condensed, and nuclear GFP and Zfh-1 proteins appear cytoplasmic). (H) Robo2null CySCs rescued with ECad (arrowhead) are maintained at 5 days ACI and produce progeny (arrows). G’ and H’ show Zfh-1 staining alone. Hubs outlined in white, DNA stained with DAPI (blue), scale bars = 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.g002
robo2 null CySC clones and found that this was sufficient to partially rescue these stem cells; rescued cells appeared morphologically similar to wild type CySC clones, and they were capable of proliferating and producing differentiating progeny (Figure 2G, 2H, Table 2). Although rescue of robo2 mutant clones by ECad overexpression suggests that Robo2 promotes ECad-mediated adhesion of CySCs to the hub, we cannot rule out that increasing ECad expression could facilitate the rescue of CySCs indiscriminately, simply by making them “stickier”. However, previous publications have shown that overexpression of ECad is not able to rescue stem cells lacking a self-renewal factor [42]. Notably, if ECad is clonally knocked out of CySCs in the niche, ECad mutant CySCs are rapidly lost [4], but knockdown of ECad in all CySCs does not result in complete CySC loss (Figure S5). This indicates that ECad, like Robo2, is modulating CySC competition through adhesion. ECad is likely required for cell fitness and cells with lower ECad levels are eliminated from the niche. While we cannot rule out that ECad and Robo2 work in parallel, they both appear to modulate stem cell competition in a similar manner. Therefore, we sought to identify additional factors acting together with Robo2 and ECad that regulate stem cell-niche cell adhesion.

Abl-deficient CySCs outcompete wild type CySCs for niche occupancy

Although Slit-Robo signaling has been studied extensively during development, particularly in the nervous system, Abl kinase is one of the few known downstream effectors in this signaling pathway. Abl physically and genetically interacts with Robo in the Drosophila CNS [29], but less is known about Abl’s interactions with the Robo paralog Robo2 [43]. Abl transcripts are enriched in the testis apex according to RNA-Seq analysis (Table S3) [44]. In addition, an Abl:GFP fusion protein driven by the Abl promoter [45] is detected throughout the cytoplasm of all cells within the testis apex and with a slight enrichment at cell membranes (Figure 3A). This expression data is consistent with a role for Abl in stem cell-niche cell adhesion.

To determine whether Abl kinase is required for CySC maintenance, we used the MARCM technique to generate mosaic testes using the Abl4 allele, which encodes a protein with a catalytically inactive kinase domain (Figure 3B) [46]. At 2 days ACI, wild type and Abl4 marked CySCs were morphologically indistinguishable, and were present at similar frequencies (Figure 3C, 3D, Table 3). As expected, by 8 days ACI, the percentage of testes containing marked wild type CySCs decreased due to

### Table 1. Robo2 is required cell-autonomously for CySC maintenance in the Drosophila testis.

| Genotype | 2 days ACI | 4 days ACI | 8 days ACI | 12 days ACI |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|          | Testes with CySC Clones* | Testes with CySC Clones* | Testes with CySC Clones* | Testes with CySC Clones* |
| Wild type clones | 10/23 (43.5) | 11/22 (50.0) | 6/21 (28.6) | 1/25 (4.0) |
| Robo21 clones | 1/25 (4.0)** | 0/23 (0.0)** | 0/25 (0.0)** | 0/23 (0.0) |
| Robo22 clones | 2/24 (8.3)** | 1/24 (4.2)** | 0/27 (0.0)** | 0/25 (0.0) |

*Testes with CySC clones = testes with GFP+, Zfh-1+ cells/total testes scored (percentage).

** = p<.01 vs Wild type clones.

ACI = After Clone Induction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.t001

### Table 2. Ecad overexpression or Abl knockdown partially rescues loss of Robo2 mutant CySC clones.

| Genotype | 2 days ACI | 6 days ACI |
|----------|------------|------------|
|          | Testes with CySC clones* | Testes with >50% marked CySCs* | Testes with CySC clones* | Testes with >50% marked CySCs* |
| Wild type clones | 25/48 (52.1) | 0/48 (0.0) | 20/46 (43.5) | 3/46 (6.5) |
| Robo21 clones | 2/30 (6.7) | 0/30 (0.0) | 0/31 (0.0) | 0/31 (0.0) |
| Wild type clones overexpressing Ecad* | 14/30 (46.7) | 2/30 (6.7) | 17/33 (51.5) | 10/33 (30.3)** |
| Robo21 clones overexpressing Ecad* | 20/69 (29.0)** | 0/69 (0.0) | 5/26 (19.2)** | 0/26 (0.0) |
| Wildtype clones expressing Abl RNAi* | 13/22 (59.1) | 1/22 (4.5) | 14/21 (66.7) | 9/21 (42.8)** |
| Robo21 clones expressing Abl RNAi* | 11/23 (47.8)** | 0/23 (0.0) | ND | ND |

*Testes with CySC clones = testes with GFP+, Zfh-1+ cells/total testes scored (percentage).

** = p<.01 vs Wild type clones.

*Wild type clones expressing Ecad or Abl-RNAi = wild type MARCM Frt 40A flies driving (c) Ecad or (e) Abl-RNAi specifically in induced clones.

**Wild type clones expressing Ecad or Abl-RNAi = wild type MARCM Frt 40A flies driving (c) Ecad or (e) Abl-RNAi specifically in induced clones.

** = p<.01 vs Robo22 clones.

** = p<.01 vs Robo21 clones.

ND = Not Determined, ACI = After Clone Induction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.t002

---
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natural turnover. In contrast, the percentage of testes with marked Abl mutant CySCs remained high, indicating that CySCs lacking Abl kinase activity are maintained better than wild type CySCs (Table 3). In addition, by 8 days ACI the number of Abl mutant CySCs per testis increased significantly compared to wild type CySCs (12.1 versus 3.8 marked CySCs per testis, p<.0001, n>16; Figure 3E, 3F). Since the total number of CySCs did not increase in testes containing Abl mutant CySC clones compared to controls (18.2 CySCs per testis vs. 20.0 CySCs testis, p=.0144), we conclude Abl mutant CySCs (or their progeny) are capable of displacing, or outcompeting, neighboring wild type CySCs from the niche. Similarly, clones of CySCs expressing Abl RNAi also displayed increased competition for niche occupancy (Figure S6A–B, Table 2).

After confirming that Abl mutant CySCs could outcompete their wild type neighbors, we sought to characterize how Abl was controlling CySC competition. We first asked whether the CySC competition that we observed was dependent on the kinase activity of Abl. Although Abl phosphorylates many targets, it also has kinase-independent functions [47,48]. However, only wild type Abl, but not kinase-dead Abl, was sufficient to rescue Abl mutant (kinase dead) clones (Figure 3G, 3H, Table 3). Furthermore, CySC clones of the Abl allele, which encodes a truncated form of Abl that retains residual kinase activity (Figure 3B) [46], were only weakly capable of outcompeting their wild type CySC neighbors (Table 3). Together, these data indicate that Abl kinase activity is required to prevent CySCs from outcompeting neighboring CySCs from the niche. Additionally, since the Drosophila testis niche contains two distinct populations of stem cells, we wondered whether mutant CySCs could also outcompete their GSC neighbors. In the Drosophila testis, Socs36E mutant CySC clones are known to outcompete not only neighboring CySCs but also GSCs through an integrin-dependent mechanism [18]. However, we found that testes containing Abl mutant clones had a normal complement of GSCs (8.0 GSCs per testis at 8 days ACI) indicating that Abl mutant CySC clones could not outcompete their GSC neighbors. This suggests that Abl and Socs36E act on cell competition using distinct mechanisms.

Although most cases of stem cell competition in a niche are linked to increased adhesion, there are examples in both mammals and Drosophila of increased levels of proliferation causing stem cells to take over a niche [16,49,50]. Abl activity is known to promote cell proliferation in some cancers [51], but less is known about these effects in non-cancerous tissues. To test if cell proliferation rates were affected in Abl mutant CySCs, we calculated the mitotic index by staining testes containing Abl mutant clones with the mitotic marker phospho-histone H3. We compared the mitotic index of marked Abl mutant CySCs to that of neighboring heterozygous CySCs at 6 days ACI (when about half of the CySCs in each testis are mutant), but we found no significant difference between the mitotic indices of Abl mutant CySCs and their neighbors (.0077 vs .025 mitotic CySCs/total CySCs, n>194 cells, p value = .125). If anything, Abl mutant CySCs divide less frequently than their neighbors, although this difference was not statistically significant. This suggests that increased adhesion rather than proliferation is most likely the cause of Abl-mediated cell competition in the testis niche. This idea is consistent with previous findings that increased cell-cell adhesion is sufficient to promote stem cell competition without altering stem cell division rates [17,10], and it suggests that Abl kinase activity may modulate CySC competition by attenuating adhesion within CySCs.

E-cadherin is required for Abl mediated cell competition in the testis

As mentioned above, testes with Abl mutant CySC clones retain a full complement of GSCs, while testes with Socs36E mutant CySCs do not [18], indicating that Abl and Socs36E may control stem cell competition in different ways. Abl is known to affect adhesion levels in multiple tissues [26,48,52,53], usually by destabilizing adherens junctions. Therefore, we focused on
Table 3. Abl kinase activity is required to attenuate CySC competition in the Drosophila testis.

| Genotype | 2 days ACI | 6 days ACI | 8 days ACI | 10 days ACI |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|          | Testes with >50% marked CySCs | Testes with >50% marked CySCs | Testes with >50% marked CySCs | Testes with >50% marked CySCs |
|          | Abl1 clones | Abl1 clones | Abl1 clones | Abl1 clones |
|          | 16/26 (61.5) | 0/26 (0.0) | 7/17 (41.2) | 3/17 (17.6) |
|          | Abl4 clones | Abl4 clones | Abl4 clones | Abl4 clones |
|          | 5/18 (27.8) | 0/18 (0.0) | ND | ND |

- Testes with CySC clones = testes with GFP+, Zfh-1+ cells/total testes scored (percentage).
- Testes with marked CySCs = testis where GFP+, Zfh-1+ cells are present (percentage).
- CySC clones marked CySCs = testis where GFP+ cells are present (percentage).
- Abl1 clones expressing Abl = Abl1 MARCM flies driving UAS-Abl specifically in induced clones.
- Abl4 clones expressing Abl = Abl4 MARCM flies driving UAS-Abl specifically in induced clones.
- ND = Not Determined, ACI = After Clone Induction.

β-catenin is required for Abl-mediated stem cell competition in the testis

In both Drosophila and vertebrates, Abl can decrease cell-cell adhesion by phosphorylating β-catenin (β-cat) to destabilize adherens junctions [32]. In the testis apex, the Drosophila Armadillo ortholog Armadillo is highly enriched at the surface of hub cells (Figure S4C) [5]. We hypothesized that β-cat is phosphorylated and destabilized by Abl kinase, leading to attenuation of E-Cad-mediated adhesion in CySCs. If so, reducing β-cat in CySCs lacking Abl kinase should decrease the ability of CySCs to outcompete their neighbors from the niche. We tested this hypothesis by expressing β-cat-RNAi in Abl mutant CySCs, and assaying for the ability of marked mutant CySCs to outcompete their wild type neighbors. At 2 days ACI, control (Abl+) and experimental (Abl+ with β-cat-RNAi) clones were induced at similar frequencies. However, by 10 days ACI, experimental clones did not outcompete their neighbors; instead they displayed similar kinetics to wild type CySC clones (Figure 4C, 4D, Table 5). While β-cat could be modulating cell adhesion in a parallel pathway to Abl, we can conclude that Abl+ mutant CySCs require β-cat to outcompete their neighbors. Given the literature supporting an interaction between Abl and β-cat [26], we suggest a model in which Abl attenuates CySC-hub cell adhesion by phosphorylating β-cat to destabilize adherens junction complexes.

Abl has been shown to destabilize β-catenin by phosphorylating a single tyrosine residue (Y667). We hypothesize that loss of Robo2 in CySCs leads to increased Abl activity and increased phosphorylation of β-catenin Y667, leading to a destabilization of adherens junctions. Mutations in β-catenin have been generated in which Y667 has been rendered unphosphorylatable (Y667F) or phosphomimetic (Y667E). To determine if the competitiveness of
CySCs was affected by the phosphorylation state of $\beta$-catenin, we co-expressed wild type $\beta$-catenin, unphosphorylatable $\beta$-catenin-$Y667F$ or phosphomimetic $\beta$-catenin-$Y667E$ along with Robo2 RNAi in CySC clones (Table 6). We found that while clones expressing wild type $\beta$-catenin and unphosphorylatable $\beta$-catenin-$Y667F$ were maintained at least 8 days ACI, clones expressing phosphomimetic $\beta$-catenin-$Y667E$ were completely lost by 6 days ACI. This indicates that the maintenance of CySCs at the hub is dependent not only on the presence of $\beta$-catenin but also the phosphorylation state of $\beta$-catenin at Y667.

Depletion of Abl in CySCs rescues the Robo2 null phenotype

Since Robo2 and Abl have opposing phenotypes in CySC clones, and both phenotypes can be rescued by modulation of ECad-mediated CySC adhesion to the hub, we considered it likely that Robo2 negatively regulates Abl in CySCs. While Robo and Abl are known to physically interact in the Drosophila CNS [29], only a genetic interaction has been shown for Robo2 and Abl [43]. To determine whether Abl and Robo2 genetically interact in the Drosophila testis, we asked if simultaneous changes in Robo2 and Abl signaling could balance their phenotypes. Since our data indicate that Robo2 promotes CySC adhesion while Abl opposes it, we reduced the levels of Abl in CySCs lacking robo2. At 2 days ACI, we found that while robo2 null CySCs alone were rarely observed, robo2 null CySCs were significantly rescued by co-expressing Abl RNAi (Figure 4E, 4F, Table 2). robo2 null CySCs co-expressing Abl RNAi were often present alongside clonally marked cyst cell daughters (Figure 4F) and remained at 6 days ACI, indicating that rescued CySCs were capable of dividing to produce progeny and that rescued CySCs are maintained over time. We conclude that robo2 mutant CySCs can be rescued by decreasing Abl levels. This further suggests that these two factors have opposing functions in the testis. While we cannot rule out the possibility that Robo2 and Abl may work in parallel pathways, there is prior evidence for this type of genetic interaction between Abl and Robo2 [43]. We propose that Robo2 may attenuate Abl activity in CySCs in order to fine-tune the adhesion levels of CySCs to the niche. Since the Robo2 cytoplasmic domain is not capable of physically interacting with Abl [29], this antagonism likely happens through an indirect mechanism.

The mechanism through which Robo2 and Abl signaling interact is unknown. Using RNA-seq data, we identified several candidate Abl interactors expressed in the Drosophila testis [31,44], including Enabled/Vasp (Eva), Robo, Trio (a Rho GEF), Failed axon connections (Fax) [47,48], and also members of the Netrin-Frazzled signaling cascade (Table S3). We then used immunofluorescence to confirm niche expression of Robo, Eva and a Fax-GFP fusion protein (Figure S7). To determine if the Robo2 homolog Robo also functions in the testis, we generated mosaic clones of two Robo alleles ($Robo^+$ and $Robo^-$) which have been previously characterized as genetic nulls [55]. Robo-null CySC clones are lost too rapidly to be detected at 2 days ACI, showing that like Robo2, Robo is required for cyst cell maintenance (Table S4). Future studies will be required to determine the role of Robo and related pathways in the crosstalk between Robo2 and Abl.

**JAK-STAT signaling induces robo2 expression**

While Abl likely modulates adhesion downstream of Slit-Robo signaling in CySCs, little is known in any tissues about the upstream signals controlling the Slit-Robo pathway. Since JAK-STAT signaling is a major regulator of CySC maintenance, is required for ECad-mediated GSC-hub adhesion [15] and has a restricted signaling area similar to the expression pattern of robo2, we hypothesized that robo2 is a transcriptional target of JAK-STAT signaling. To test this idea, we examined robo2 mRNA levels in testes with altered JAK-STAT signaling. To observe subsequent gene expression changes before the induced signaling changes alter normal tissue morphology in the testis niche [18]. Upon temporary (24 hour) downregulation of JAK-STAT signaling in the testis using a temperature sensitive allele of Stat92E, we found that robo2 mRNA expression was not detected by in situ staining, while it was clearly detected in flies with unperturbed Stat92E expression (Figure 5A, 5B). Conversely, upon upregulation of JAK-STAT signaling through the overexpression of the JAK-STAT ligand Upd, we found that robo2 mRNA expression expanded in the niche compared to testes with normal levels of JAK-STAT expression (Figure 5C, 5D). It is important to note that while long-term Upd overexpression is known to cause an increase in CySC and GSC number in the

![Figure 4](image_url). Robo2 and Abl alter cell-cell adhesion to control CySC maintenance. (A–F) Confocal sections of testes with Zfh-1 staining CySCs and early cyst cell daughters (red). Positively marked mosaic clones are identified by presence of GFP (green). At 8 days ACI (A) control Ab$^+$ CySCs (arrowheads) are present at high numbers in each testis, while (B) the number of Ab$^+$ CySCs expressing ECad RNAi (arrowhead) remains low. At 10 days ACI, (C) marked Ab$^+$ CySCs (arrowheads) are present in high numbers per testis, while (D) the number of Ab$^+$ CySCs expressing $\beta$-cat RNAi (arrowhead) remains low. At 2 days ACI, (E) robo2 null GSCs (asterisk), but not CySCs are present while (F) robo2 null CySC expressing Abl RNAi (arrowhead) are present in the niche and produce cyst cell daughter cells (arrows). Hubs outlined in white, DNA stained with DAPI (blue), scale bars = 10 μm.

doI:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.g004
Slit-Robo and JAK-STAT in the Testis Niche

tests, in this experiment the testes were isolated within 2 hours of initial Upd induction, an insufficient time span to allow additional CySCs to accumulate; however we do expect to see changes in gene expression in this short window of Upd induction [18]. Because our in situ staining was difficult to quantify, we overexpressed Upd using the same technique described above but followed the expression of a Robo2-GFP enhancer trap (as shown in Figure 1B). While this enhancer trap may not recapitulate all of the endogenous robo2 expression pattern, it clearly labels the hub and early somatic cells of the testes niche, allowing us to quantify the number of cells expressing GFP. Following Upd overexpression, we see an expansion of robo2-GFP expression. Quantification of robo2-GFP expressing cells in the CySC lineage [31] revealed a significant increase in the number of Robo2-GFP-positive CySCs and early cyst cells following Upd overexpression (43 vs. 31.4 cells per testis, p<0.0001, n>17), as well as a 38% increase in normalized GFP pixel intensity (p<0.01, Figure 5E, 5F). These results further indicate that increased JAK-STAT signaling expands robo2 expression in the testis. robo2 may be directly or indirectly activated by Stat92E; distinguishing between these possibilities will require further studies.

Since Stat92E promotes robo2 expression, and both genes are required for CySC maintenance (Figure 2) [14,18,37] we considered that ectopically expressing Robo2 in CySCs lacking Stat92E may be sufficient to rescue their loss. However, since Stat92E has many targets in CySCs including Chinmo and Zfh-1, which are required to maintain CySC fate [3], we expected only a partial rescue of Stat92E null CySCs. Consistent with this hypothesis, by comparing Stat92E null clones to Stat92E null clones overexpressing Robo2, we found that Stat92E null clones were

### Table 4. Ecad knockdown prevents Abl4 mutant CySC clones from outcompeting their neighbors and taking over the niche.

| Genotype                  | 2 days ACI | 6 days ACI | 8 days ACI |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                           | Testes with CySC clones<sup>a</sup> | Testes with >50% marked CySCs<sup>b</sup> | Testes with CySC clones | Testes with >50% marked CySCs | Testes with CySC clones | Testes with >50% marked CySCs |
| Wild type Control         | 8/16 (50.0) | 0/16 (0.0) | 6/16 (37.5) | 1/16 (6.25) | ND | ND |
| Abl4<sup>d</sup> Clones  | 9/14 (64.3) | 0/14 (0.0) | ND | ND | 14/19 (73.7) | 11/19 (57.9) |
| Wild type clones expressing Ecad RNAi (8024)<sup>e</sup> | 15/20 (75.0) | 0/20 (0.0) | 3/20 (15.0) | 0/20 (0.0) | ND | ND |
| Abl4<sup>d</sup> clones expressing Ecad RNAi (8024)<sup>e</sup> | 9/14 (64.3) | 0/14 (0.0) | ND | ND | 6/18 (33.3) | 2/18 (11.1)**,<sup>g</sup> |
| Wild type clones expressing Ecad RNAi (27081)<sup>f</sup> | 11/25 (44.0) | 0/25 (0.0) | 2/16 (12.5) | 0/16 (0.0) | ND | ND |
| Abl4<sup>d</sup> clones expressing Ecad RNAi (27081)<sup>f</sup> | 13/25 (52.0) | 0/25 (0.0) | ND | ND | 7/15 (46.7) | 3/15 (20.0)**,<sup>g</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Testes with CySC clones = testes with GFP<sup>e</sup>, Zfh-1<sup>−</sup> cells/total testes scored (percentage).
<sup>b</sup>Testes with >50% marked CySCs = testes where GFP<sup>e</sup>, Zfh-1<sup>−</sup> cells/total testes scores (percentage).
<sup>c</sup>Wild type clones expressing Ecad RNAi = Abl4 MARCM flies driving (c) Ecad RNAi (VDRC8024) or (e) Ecad RNAi (VDRC27081) specifically in induced clones.
<sup>d</sup>Abl4 clones expressing Ecad RNAi = Abl4 MARCM flies driving Ecad RNAi (VDRC8024) or (e) Ecad RNAi (VDRC27081) specifically in induced clones.
<sup>e</sup>P value vs Abl4 clones.
<sup>f</sup>ND = Not Determined, ACI = After Clone Induction.
<sup>**</sup> = P value <0.01.

### Table 5. β-Cat knockdown prevents Abl4 mutant CySC clones from outcompeting their neighbors and taking over the niche.

| Genotype                  | 2 Days ACI | 6 Days ACI | 10 Days ACI |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                           | Testes with CySC clones<sup>a</sup> | Testes with >50% marked CySCs<sup>b</sup> | Testes with CySC clones | Testes with >50% marked CySCs | Testes with CySC clones | Testes with >50% marked CySCs |
| Wild type clones          | 8/16 (50.0) | 0/16 (0.0) | 6/16 (37.5) | 0/16 (0.0) | ND | ND |
| Abl4<sup>d</sup> clones | 17/25 (68.0) | 2/25 (8.0) | 15/21 (71.4) | 7/21 (33.3) | 19/31 (61.3) | 15/31 (48.4) |
| Wild type clones expressing β-Cat RNAi<sup>e</sup> | 6/19 (31.6) | 0/19 (0.0) | 3/24 (12.5) | 0/24 (0.0) | ND | ND |
| Abl4<sup>d</sup> clones expressing β-Cat RNAi<sup>e</sup> | 5/8 (62.5) | 0/8 (0.0) | 6/19 (31.6) | 0/19 (0.0)**,<sup>a</sup> | 2/15 (13.3)**,<sup>a</sup> | 1/15 (6.7)**,<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Testes with CySC clones = testes with GFP<sup>e</sup>, Zfh-1<sup>−</sup> cells/total testes scored (percentage).
<sup>b</sup>Testes with >50% marked CySCs = testes where GFP<sup>e</sup>, Zfh-1<sup>−</sup> cells/total testes scores (percentage).
<sup>c</sup>Wild type clones expressing β-Cat RNAi = Wild type FRT 40A MARCM flies driving β-Cat RNAI specifically in induced clones.
<sup>d</sup>Abl4 clones expressing β-Cat RNAI = Abl4<sup>d</sup> MARCM flies driving β-Cat RNAI specifically in induced clones.
<sup>e</sup>P value vs Abl4 clones.
<sup>f</sup>ND = Not Determined, ACI = After Clone Induction.
<sup>a</sup> = P value <0.05.
<sup>a</sup> = P value <0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.t004

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.t005
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slightly rescued by Robo2 expression at 48 hours ACI (Table S5). However, by 60 hours ACI, there was no longer a significant difference in the number of Stat92E clones per testis compared to Stat92E clones expressing Robo2 per testis (Table S5). This suggests that although re-supplying Robo2 to Stat92E null clones slows their loss from the niche, ultimately these clones are not maintained due to the depletion of other Stat92E-dependent factors. We conclude that while Robo2 overexpression allows Stat92E null clones to adhere to niche, the clones ultimately fail to self-renew and, as a result, still exit the niche.

Discussion

This work reveals a novel pathway controlling stem cell competition through stem cell-niche adhesion in the Drosophila testis niche. CySCs in the testis niche are constantly competing to ensure that stem cells with improper signaling or adhesion do not remain in the niche. This work highlights the importance of carefully balancing the adhesion levels in stem cells so that the niche can function optimally. We show that the Slit-Robo signaling pathway is required for CySC maintenance in the Drosophila testis niche, ensuring that CySCs efficiently adhere to the niche, and that Abl kinase, a putative downstream Slit-Robo signaling component, modulates adhesion levels in CySCs. We also show that robo2 expression is downstream of JAK-STAT signaling, a major signaling pathway required for the self-renewal of CySCs, thereby establishing a connection between these two stem cell maintenance pathways in the tests.

The role of Slit-Robo signaling in CySC-niche adhesion

In our model, Slit-Robo signaling indirectly attenuates the activity of Abl kinase in CySCs to promote balanced adhesion levels between stem cells and their niche (Figure S8). The well-studied CySC maintenance pathway JAK-STAT controls the expression of robo2 in CySCs. Interestingly, while the JAK-STAT pathway is required for self-renewal of CySCs and knocking down JAK-STAT signaling in all CySCs leads to a complete loss of this stem cell population, Slit-Robo2 signaling seems to be a primary regulator of stem cell competition. If robo2 is knocked out of only a few CySCs in the testis niche, the mutant CySCs are rapidly expelled from the niche. However, knockdown of robo2 in all CySCs does not lead to complete and rapid CySC loss. The presence of wild type CySCs is required to force out less fit robo2 null cells. This is also the case with ECad; while knockdown of ECad in all CySCs does not result in rapid loss of CySCs from the niche, CySCs with reduced ECad are lost when they must compete for niche space with wild type CySCs. On the other hand, CySCs lacking Abl kinase are overly competitive, forcing wild type CySCs from the niche. This illustrates that stem cells in a single population (for example, CySC) are constantly competing against one another for space in a niche. In our model, adhesion levels can be modulated at multiple regulatory points, and changes in levels of JAK-STAT, Robo2 and Abl activity all lead to alterations in adhesion levels without causing drastic fluctuations in stem cell numbers or function. While in vitro fluctuations in Slit-Robo signaling have not been directly shown, both JAK-STAT and ECad levels are known to decline in response to aging [56], leading us to speculate that Slit-Robo may respond to changing niche signals to affect adhesion levels. Because Abl has been shown to directly phosphorylate Robo receptors, including Robo2, leading to attenuation of their activity [29,57], Abl may be able to feed back on Robo2 to add an additional level of regulation to this signaling network. Understanding the mechanisms underlying stem cell adhesion is particularly important in regenerative medicine, where donor stem cells must efficiently adhere to a host niche in order to engraft [58].

Although the Slit-Robo signaling pathway has been studied primarily in the context of axon guidance, our work, in conjunction with studies in the mammalian hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche [30] and the mammalian intestinal stem cell niche [59], establishes the Slit-Robo pathway as a conserved regulator of adult stem cell-niche cell adhesion and competition. In the bone marrow, multiple Robo receptors are expressed in HSCs while Slit ligands are expressed in the endosteal niche, which is comprised of osteoblasts that maintain a long-term population of HSCs [60–62]. While the Robo4 receptor is thought to mediate proper HSC adhesion to the niche [30,61], there is some discrepancy regarding the exact role that Robo4 plays in HSCs [63]. N-cadherin is also required for HSC adhesion to the niche and long term HSC maintenance [64], but its regulation is not understood. Our work suggests that adherens junctions may act downstream of Slit-Robo signaling in HSCs. In addition, since Slit-Robo signaling has roles in gonad development in flies and in the mammalian ovary [65], and high throughput expression studies suggest that this signaling pathway is active in the mammalian testis, [66–68] it may function there as well. Data we present in the Drosophila tests represent the first mechanistic example of Slit-Robo signaling functioning in an intact adult stem cell niche.

The mechanism we present here may also relate to cancer cells. Alterations in Slit-Robo and Abl kinase activity occur in many cancers and can lead to alterations in adhesion. Changes in Slit activity alter the stability of β-catenin and ECad in both lung and breast cancer cells [69]. In lung cancers, Slit-2 attenuation decreases cell adhesion leading to increased cell migration and metastasis. However in breast cancer cells, overexpression of Slit increases cell adhesion levels, and decreases cell migration [70]. Abl kinase is upregulated in multiple types of leukemia and can

![Table 6. The phosphorylation status of β-catenin affects CySC maintenance in the Drosophila testis.](https://www.plosgenetics.org/article/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.s006)

| Genotype | 2 days ACI | 6 days ACI | 8 days ACI |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Robo2 RNAI with β-catenin overexpression | 10/33 (30.0) | 9/25 (36.0) | 10/25 (40.0) |
| Robo2 RNAI with β-catenin Y667F overexpression | 12/38 (31.6) | 7/27 (25.9) | 4/12 (41.6) |
| Robo2 RNAI with β-catenin Y667E overexpression | 9/29 (31.0) | 0/27 (0.0)** b | 0/19 (0.0)** b |

*Tests with CySC clones = tests with GFP*, Zih-1' cells/total testes scored (percentage).

**P value vs Robo2 RNAi with Arm overexpression.

***= P value <0.1.

**= P value <0.01.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004713.s006
lead to decreased cadherin function [71]. Given that Abl misregulation is of great interest with regard to cancer stem cells and their niches, additional studies of Abl function in stem cell competition will be informative.

The role of Abl kinase adhesion-mediated stem cell competition

We show here that the Abl kinase, in conjunction with Slit-Robo signaling, mediates stem cell competition. Our model suggests that Abl kinase levels can be modulated in individual cells to keep them from losing adhesion to the niche or from becoming overly competitive. This mechanism of regulating adhesion allows for differential regulation of adhesion levels in CySCs and GSCs. Abl mediated CySC competition could also serve as a quality control mechanism in stem cells, since Abl activity is known to be upregulated upon DNA damage [72]. Cells with damaged DNA could have upregulated Abl activity and be outcompeted from the niche due decreased adhesion. These will be interesting areas for future work in the Drosophila testis and other stem cell niches.

Slit-Robo signaling and Abl kinase may play additional adhesion-independent roles in the testis through axon guidance pathways

Not only is the receptor Robo2 required in the testis apex - many additional components of the Robo-Slit pathway are expressed there as well. Furthermore, several Abl interactors, many of which mediate cell migration, are also expressed in the testis. Together these observations suggest that this signaling network may mediate cellular activities in addition to adhesion in the testis niche. Both GSCs and CySCs are dynamic cell populations as revealed by live imaging studies and can form actin-based protrusions and extensions commonly seen in migrating cells [73,74]. However, characterizing cell migration in intact niches is technically difficult and remains an active area of study. In Drosophila male GSCs, a previously characterized Abl interactor, Leukocyte-antigen-related-like (Lar) is required for the regulation of ECad-mediated adhesion although no connection to Abl has been made [75]. Additionally, the profilin gene chickadee, which is known to interact with Abl in the Drosophila CNS [76], has been implicated in male GSC maintenance through ECad-mediated adhesion of GSCs to the hub as well as encystment of developing spermatogonia by cyst cells [77]. Signaling molecules that belong to other axon guidance signaling cascades in addition to the Slit-Robo pathway are also enriched in the testis apex (Table S3) [44]. Robo signaling has also been implicated in the development of the Drosophila gonad [39]. In a normal gonad, somatic gonadal precursors (SGPs), which give rise to CySCs and cyst cells, come together with germ line cells and begin to ensheathe them. The SGPs and germ cells then come together to form a tight, spherical gonad. Gonads mutant for Slit, Robo and Robo2 display defects in the ensheatheing of germ cells by SGPs and gonads fail to undergo proper compaction, resulting in bulbous, misshapen gonads. It will be interesting to determine if any of these axon guidance molecules participate in signaling networks in stem cell niche development and maintenance. Of particular interest is the Netrin-Frazzled pathway, which opposes the activity of the Slit-Robo pathway in the Drosophila and mouse CNS midline [78,79].

The regulation of Slit-Robo by JAK-STAT in the Drosophila testis

The novel connection we observe between the JAK-STAT and Slit-Robo pathways in the Drosophila testis is significant since the transcriptional regulation of Slit-Robo signaling components is poorly understood. Our work now links these two highly conserved signaling pathways. Interestingly, within the testis the Slit ligand displays a hub-restricted expression pattern common to only a few signaling ligands including the JAK-STAT and Hedgehog pathway ligands [11,32]. In this tissue, expression of the Robo2 receptor is also restricted, likely due to its transcriptional control by Stat92E. Restricting both the receptor and ligand to the cells within the testis apex could allow for more precise regulation of signaling in response to small environmental changes. Furthermore, restricted Slit localization may be important for the activity of Robo2 in the testis. In the CNS, Slit is restricted to the midline and repels Robo-expressing axons [23]. While ectopic expression of Slit in the testis does lead to aggregation of CySCs (Figure S1D), this phenotype is difficult to interpret in the absence of loss-of-function data regarding the role of Slit in the testis.
Technically, we have not had success in knocking down Slit using RNAi and clonal analysis is not possible in quiescent (hub) cells. Determining the roles of Slit in the niche will be of interest in future work.

While interactions between JAK-STAT and Slit-Robo signaling pathways have not been previously shown, Stat92E is expressed in many of the same tissues as Robo2 during *Drosophila* development including the CNS, cardiac mesoderm and trachea [80]. Additionally, both *robo* and *robo2* transcripts are downregulated in Stat92E null early embryos [81], suggesting that *robo2* may be a target of JAK-STAT in tissues beyond the testis. Furthermore, since both signaling pathways are highly conserved, it will be interesting to determine whether JAK-STAT regulates Slit-Robo signaling in vertebrates. This work sheds light on how stem cell-niche cell adhesion is regulated in an intact niche through the interaction between these highly conserved signaling pathways, and suggests that stem cell niches in other tissues, such as the bone marrow, employ similar mechanisms.

### Materials and Methods

#### Fly stocks and culture

Flies were raised on standard yeast/molasses medium at 25 °C unless otherwise stated. The following stocks were used: *w* (wild type), *w*; *lea* (A. Spradling), *w*; *Ab*:GFP (M. Peifer), hs-*upd* (D. Harrison), Stat92E*Frankenstein/Tm3*, Sb and Stat92E*60E*/Tm3, Sb (both from M. Van Doren); *w*; *robo2*<sup>2</sup>, *Frt40A*/*SM6B* and *w*; *robo2*<sup>2</sup>, *Frt40A*/*SM6B* (*robo2* alleles from D. Van Vactor), hs-Flip; *UAS-Shg* (B. Edgar), hs-FLP, tub-Gal4, *UAS–GFP.nls/Flm7*, tub-Gal80 (F. Schweisguth), hs-FLP, tub-Gal4, *UAS-CD8-GFP.nls/tub-Gal80*, FRT2A (G. Struhl), hs-FLP; *Frt40A* (A. Spradling), *w*; *Ubi-GFP.nls, FRT40A; MKRS, hs-FLP/TM6B, Th, from Bloomington; *w*; *Frt2A* (1997), w;*Ab2*, FRT2A/TM6B (3553), *w*;*Ab3*, FRT2A/TM6B (3554), *w*; *UAS-Ab*: Tm2/Tm6B (8567), *w*; *UAS-Ab*KrasDos1; *Ab1*/TM6B (8566), UAS-Ab/ONA*GL0234* (35327), from VDRC; *w*; *UAS-ArmRNAi* (102345) and *w*; *UAS-ECad RNAi* (8024 and 27081).

#### Immunostaining

Testes were dissected, fixed and immunostained as previously described [82] with some exceptions. For Slit staining, Tweak20 was substituted for Triton X in washes and block during antibody staining steps, and primary staining was extended to 48 hours. Antibodies used were rabbit anti-Vasa (d-260) (*Santa Cruz*; 1:200), rabbit anti-GFP (Tora Pines; 1:1000), rabbit anti-robo2 (B. Dickson; 1:1000), mouse anti-Slit and mouse anti-Eya (both DSHB; 1:10), guinea anti-traffic Jam (D. Godt; 1:1000), and guinea pig anti-Zfh-1 (J. Skeath; 1:4000). Secondary antibodies were from Molecular Probes and were used at 1:400 for Alexa 488 conjugated antibodies and 1:200 for Alexa 568 conjugated antibodies. DNA was counterstained with DAPI (Sigma; 1 μg/ml). Confocal images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal microscope and figures were assembled with Adobe Photoshop CS5 and Adobe Illustrator CS5.

#### Mosaic analysis

The FLP-mediated mitotic recombination technique [83] was used to generate negatively marked homozygous mutant GSC and/or CySC clones for *robo2*. Genotypes used to generate clones are listed in Table S6.

The Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) system was used to create positively marked clones for *robo2*, *Ncad* and *Abl* [84]. Genotypes used to generate clones are listed in Table S6. The MARCM system was used for all rescue experiments. Genotypes used for *robo2*, *Abl*, and Stat92E rescue experiments are listed in Table S6. For a mitotic mosaic analysis, adult males were given three 37 °C heat shocks of 25 minutes with 25 minutes at 25 °C between heat shocks. Following heat shock, flies were kept at 25 °C except for rescue experiments when flies were kept at 29 °C after heat shock for efficient induction of UAS constructs. For clones expressing β-catenin phosphorylation variants, adult males were given three 37 °C heat shocks of 30 minutes with 30 minutes at 25 °C between heat shocks Flies were dissected and stained at specified days ACI. All controls for mosaic analysis were age-matched for each experiment and done in parallel.

#### In situ hybridization

Probes for in situ hybridization were generated from *robo2* cDNA obtained from *Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center (clone RE21729) and linearized with NotI. Digoxigenin-labeled anti-sense RNA probe was transcribed in vitro using T3 RNA polynuclease according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). In situ hybridizations were performed as described [85] and visualized with an Olympus BX51 microscope.

#### Inducing or removing JAK-STAT signaling

Ectopic JAK-STAT signaling was induced via *Upd* overexpression by a 45 minute heat shock at 37 °C of male flies carrying hs-*Upd* (D. Harrison) or hs-*Upd*/Lea* (D. Harrison) with *Lea*/*CyO* over heat shocks of 30 minutes with 30 minutes at 25 °C. hs-*Upd* male heat shock controls, JAK-STAT signaling was abolished by shifting Stat92E temperature-sensitive flies (stat92E*Frankenstein/stat92E*60E*) from the permissive temperature (18 °C) to the restrictive temperature (29 °C) for 1 day.

Additional details regarding methods can be found in Protocol S1.

#### Supporting Information

**Figure S1** *Robo2* or Slit overexpression is not sufficient for ectopic stem cell self-renewal. (A-B) Confocal sections of adult testes with vasa staining the germline lineage (red), traffic jam staining the hub and cyst lineage (green) and DAPI staining DNA (blue). (A) In Gal4 driver controls (C587-Gal4), GSCs (arrowhead) and CySCs (white arrow) are brightly stained with vasa and traffic jam respectively. As cells differentiate, vasa and traffic jam staining becomes dimmer and spermatocytes with larger, less compact nuclei are present (yellow arrow). (B) When *Robo2* is overexpressed in the CySC lineage for 7 days via the C587-Gal4 driver, testes look similar to driver controls. GSCs (arrowhead) and CySCs (white arrow) are present by the hub and differentiating spermatocytes can be identified away from the hub. No stem cell overproliferation is obvious outside of the niche. (C-D) Confocal sections of adult testes with vasa staining the germline lineage (red), Zfh-1 staining CySCs and early cyst cell daughters (green) and DAPI staining DNA (blue). (A) In Gal4 driver controls (C587-Gal4), GSCs (white arrow) are bright stained with vasa and differentiated spermatocytes can be identified away from the hub. No stem cell overproliferation is obvious outside of the niche. Inset, lower confocal plane of testis in D, just below the hub. Hubs outlined in white. Scale bars = 10 μm. (TIF)

**Figure S2** *Robo2* is not required for stem cell viability in the *Drosophila* testis niche. (A-B) Confocal sections of adult testes with TUNEL labeling apoptotic cells (Red) and DNA stained with...
DAPI (blue). Testes contain high levels of (A) wild type control clones or (B) robos mutant clones. Dying single cells are rarely detected within two cell nuclei or approximately 10 microns from the hub (area denoted with dashed yellow circle) in either genotype. Both genotypes contain apoptotic spermatogonial cysts (arrows), as expected. Hubs outlined in white. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Figure S3 Knockdown of Robo2 in the entire CySC population does not lead to rapid CySC loss. (A–B) Confocal section of an adult testis with vasa staining the germ lineage (red), Armadillo and 1B1 staining hub cells and fusome respectively (green) and DAPI staining DNA (blue). (A) When Robo2 RNAi is expressed in CySCs and cyst cells of adult flies (0–5 days post eclosion) for 7 days using the C587-Gal4 driver in conjunction with Gal80TS, both GSCs (arrowheads) and CySCs (arrows) remain in the niche. Differentiating cyst cells and spermatogonia are evident away from the hub. Scale bars = 10 μm. (C) GFP staining alone. (D) Confocal section of an adult testis expressing a Fax-GFP protein fusion stained with anti-GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). Fax is enriched in the hub and CySC lineage. (E) GFP staining alone. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Table S1 Robo2 is not required cell-autonomously for GSC maintenance in the Drosophila testis.

Table S2 Ncad is not required to maintain CySC clones in the Drosophila testis.

Table S3 Members of the Slit-Robo signaling pathway are expressed in stem cell enriched testes.

Table S4 CySCs lacking Robo are rapidly lost from the testis niche.

Table S5 Stat92E null clones are temporarily rescued by overexpression of Robo2.

Table S6 Full genotypes for mosaic analysis.

Protocol S1 Detailed methods, antibody and fly stock information.
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