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Abstract

Reciprocal transformations of Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type are investigated. The transformed operators are generally nonlocal, possessing a number of remarkable algebraic and differential-geometric properties. We apply our results to linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian systems in Riemann invariants, a typical example being

\[ R_i = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} R^m - R^i \right) R^i_x, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n. \]

Since all such systems are linearizable by appropriate (generalized) reciprocal transformations, our formulae provide an infinity of mutually compatible nonlocal Hamiltonian structures, explicitly parametrized by \( n \) arbitrary functions of one variable.
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1 Introduction

Equations of hydrodynamic type,

\[ u_i^t = v_j^i(u)u_j^x, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \]

naturally arise in applications such as gas dynamics, hydrodynamics, chemical kinetics, the Whitham averaging procedure, differential geometry and topological field theory, see, e.g., [4], [10], [27], [28], [8], [21], [22]. In this paper we study Hamiltonian systems,

\[ u_i^t = v_j^i(u)u_j^x = J^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{1} \]

where

\[ J^{ij} = g^{ij}(u) \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is}(u)\Gamma_{sk}^j(u)u_x^k \tag{2} \]

is the Hamiltonian operator and \( h(u) \) is the density. As pointed out by Dubrovin and Novikov [3], expression (4) defines a Hamiltonian operator if and only if the metric \( g^{ij} \) (\( g^{ij} = g^{ji}, \det g^{ij} \neq 0 \)) is flat and \( \Gamma_{sk}^j \) are the Christoffel symbols of the corresponding Levi-Civita connection. Notice that equations (1) and (2) imply that \( v_j^i \) is the matrix of second covariant derivatives of the density \( h \),

\[ v_j^i = \nabla^i \nabla_j h, \]

where \( \nabla^i \equiv g^{ij} \nabla_j \). The theory of integrability of Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type was proposed by Tsarev [27, 28]. He demonstrated that if a Hamiltonian system (1) is diagonalizable, that is, can be written in the diagonal form

\[ R_i^t = v^i(R)R_x^i, \]

(the corresponding coordinates \( R^i \) are called the Riemann invariants), then it necessarily possesses an infinity of conservation laws and commuting flows and, moreover, is integrable by the so-called ‘generalized hodograph transform’. In Riemann invariants \( R^i \), the flat metric \( g^{ij} \) also becomes diagonal, \( g^{ij} = g^{ii}\delta^{ij} \), thus establishing a correspondence between Hamiltonian diagonalizable systems (1) and n-orthogonal curvilinear coordinates in flat spaces. This shows that the theory of Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type is deeply rooted in classical differential geometry.

There exists an important class of non-local transformations which act on hydrodynamic-type systems. Unlike the classical Bäcklund transformations (Miura-type transformations) familiar from the soliton theory, these transformations change the independent variables of a system. They are defined as follows. Let \( B(u)dx + A(u)dt \) and \( N(u)dx + M(u)dt \) be two conservation laws of system (1), understood as one-forms which are closed by virtue of (1). In the new independent variables \( \tilde{x} \) and \( \tilde{t} \) defined by

\[ d\tilde{x} = B(u)dx + A(u)dt, \quad d\tilde{t} = N(u)dx + M(u)dt, \tag{3} \]

equations (1) take the form

\[ u_i^\tilde{t} = \tilde{v}_j^i(u)u_j^{\tilde{x}}, \tag{4} \]
where the transformed matrix $\tilde{\upsilon}$ is $\tilde{\upsilon} = (B\upsilon - A\upsilon)(Me - N\upsilon)^{-1}$, $E = id$. Transformations of the type (3) originate from gas dynamics and are known as reciprocal [24]. The simplest example is, probably, the passage from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates in 1-dimensional gas dynamics. Reciprocal transformations are known to preserve the class of diagonalizable systems, which is an immediate corollary of the form of the transformed matrix $\tilde{\upsilon}$. Moreover, all conservation laws and commuting flows of the initial system can easily be recalculated in the new independent variables. Therefore, reciprocal transformations preserve the whole class of integrable systems of hydrodynamic type.

The only object which, under reciprocal transformations, behaves in a non-trivial way, is the Hamiltonian structure. Generic reciprocal transformations destroy the local Hamiltonian formalism of the form (2). To the best of our knowledge, the behaviour of Hamiltonian structures under reciprocal transformations (and their appropriate generalizations) has not been discussed in the literature. Investigation of this problem is, thus, the main objective of our paper.

In sections 3 and 4 we study the behaviour of Hamiltonian structures (2) under reciprocal transformations (3). The main conclusion is that local Hamiltonian structures generally become nonlocal, so that the transformed system (4) is still Hamiltonian, $u_i^j = \tilde{J}^{ij}\partial h/\partial u^j$, however, with the nonlocal Hamiltonian operator

$$\tilde{J}^{ij} = \tilde{g}^{ij}\frac{d}{dx} - \tilde{g}^{ij}\tilde{\tau}^k_{sk}u^k + \sum \varepsilon^o w_{(a)k}^iu_k^{(1)}^{-1}w_{(a)k}^j u_k^{(2)}.$$

Here $\varepsilon^o = \pm 1$; the explicit form of the transformed metric $\tilde{g}^{ij}$ and the nonlocal terms is stated in sections 3 and 4.

**Remark.** In the particular case of constant $A, B, M, N$, transformations (3) reduce to linear changes of the independent variables, $\tilde{x} = bx + at, \tilde{t} = nx + mt, (a, b, m, n$ are constants). As pointed out by Tsarev [28], transformations of this type preserve the locality of Hamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic type (see also [24]).

Nonlocal operators of the form (5) have been extensively investigated in [20], [11], [13], [25], [1], [17], [5], [2], and have a remarkable differential-geometric interpretation. The general theory is briefly recalled in section 2. As an illustration of our approach, we discuss Hamiltonian formalism of linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian systems in Riemann invariants,

$$R_i^j = \upsilon^i(R)R_x^j,$$

where the characteristic speeds $\upsilon^i(R)$ satisfy the identities

1. $\partial_i\upsilon^i = 0$ for any $i = 1, 2, ..., n, \partial_i = \partial/\partial R^i$ (linear degeneracy);

2. $\partial_k\frac{\partial_i\upsilon^i}{\upsilon^i - \upsilon^i} = \partial_j\frac{\partial_k\upsilon^i}{\upsilon^i - \upsilon^i}$ for any $i \neq j \neq k$ (semi-Hamiltonian property).

Linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian systems have interesting interrelations with separable (Stäckel) systems in classical mechanics [15], [16], [11], [12], [14] and finite-gap solutions of integrable soliton equations. For instance, the linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system $R_i^j = (\sum R^m - R^i)R_x^j$ governs the evolution of zeros of the $n-$gap $\psi-$function.
in the KdV theory \[6\], \[7\], \[12\]. For \(n = 2\) we have essentially one nontrivial linearly
degenerate system,

\[ u_t = vu_x, \quad v_t = uv_x, \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

arising in gas dynamics (Chaplygin gas), field theory (Born-Infeld equation) and classical
differential geometry (minimal surfaces in Minkowski 3–space, improper affine spheres).
Theorem 1 of section 3 provides infinitely many Hamiltonian representations of system (6),

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right)_t = J \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \right), \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

with nonlocal operators

\[ J = (u - v)^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} f(u) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} \]

\[ (u - v) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}(u - v)f'(u)u_x + f(u)u_x - v_x \\ - \varphi(v)u_x - f(u)v_x \end{array} \right) \frac{\varphi(v)u_x + f(u)v_x}{2(u - v)} \]

\[ + \left( \begin{array}{cc} w^1u_x & u_x \\ w^2v_x & v_x \end{array} \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} u_x & v_x \\ w^1u_x & w^2v_x \end{array} \right), \]

where \( w^1 = \frac{1}{2}[(u - v)f'(u) - f(u) - \varphi(v)] \) and \( w^2 = \frac{1}{2}[(v - u)\varphi'(v) - f(u) - \varphi(v)] \)
are the characteristic speeds of commuting flows of system (6), and the corresponding
Hamiltonian densities

\[ h = \frac{1}{4(v - u)} \left( \left[ \int u \frac{dv}{\sqrt{f(v)}} \right]^2 - \left[ \int v \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\varphi(v)}} \right]^2 \right), \]

parametrized by two arbitrary functions \( f(u) \) and \( \varphi(v) \). In view of the linearity of \( J \) in
\( f(u) \) and \( \varphi(v) \), all these Hamiltonian structures are mutually compatible. Notice that the
local part of the operator \( J \) is of the form (2), corresponding to the metric

\[ (u - v)^{-2} \left( \frac{du^2}{f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{\varphi(v)} \right), \]

which is not flat for generic \( f(u) \) and \( \varphi(v) \). Particular choices of \( f(u) \) and \( \varphi(v) \) lead to
local Hamiltonian structures which were first discovered in \[23\] and \[3\] (see Example 1 in
section 3).

Another example of this type is the 3–component linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian
system

\[ u_t = (v + w)u_x, \quad v_t = (u - w)v_x, \quad w_t = \frac{1}{2}(u + v)w_x, \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

which is the Riemann invariant form of non-isentropic gas dynamics with the special
equation of state \( P(\rho, s) = s - 1/\rho \), see \[24\] and \[14\]. Our approach provides infinitely
many nonlocal Hamiltonian structures of the form

\[
J = \frac{4}{\rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} f(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi(w) \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{2}{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} f'(u)u_x + 2f(u)(\frac{1}{\rho})_x \\ \varphi(v)u_x + f(u)v_x \\ 2\psi(w)u_x + f(u)w_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi(v)u_x - f(u)v_x \\ 2\varphi(v)v_x + \varphi(v)w_x \\ -2\psi(w)u_x - f(u)w_x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w^1u_x & u_x \\ w^2v_x & v_x \\ w^3w_x & w_x \end{pmatrix} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} w_x \\ v_x \\ w_x \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here the local part is of the form \(J\), generated by the diagonal metric

\[
(2w + v - u)^{-2} \left( \frac{du^2}{f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{\varphi(v)} + \frac{dw^2}{\psi(w)} \right),
\]

which is not flat in general, and \(w^1, w^2, w^3\) are the characteristic speeds of commuting flows of system \(J\):

\[
\begin{align*}
    w^1 &= -\frac{1}{2}(2w + v - u)f'(u) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)], \\
    w^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(2w + v - u)\varphi'(v) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)], \\
    w^3 &= (2w + v - u)\psi'(w) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)].
\end{align*}
\]

These Hamiltonian operators depend linearly on three arbitrary functions \(f(u), \varphi(v)\) and \(\psi(w)\), and are mutually compatible (Example 2 in section 3).

Similar arguments applied to the 3-component linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system

\[
u_t = (v + w)u_x, \quad v_t = (u + w)v_x, \quad w_t = (u + v)w_x
\]

provide infinitely many nonlocal Hamiltonian structures

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix} g^{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g^{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g^{33} \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f(u)}{2f(u)}u_x + \frac{u_x - u_v}{u_v - v} + \frac{u_x - w_x}{w_x - w} \\ -\frac{g^{22}u_x + g^{11}v_x}{u_v - v} \\ -\frac{g^{33}u_x + g^{11}w_x}{u_w - w} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g^{22}(\varphi(v))v_x + \frac{u_x - u_v}{u_v - v} + \frac{v_x - w_x}{w_x - w}}{\varphi(v)} + \frac{g^{33}v_x + g^{22}w_x}{\psi(w)} \\ -\frac{g^{33}v_x + g^{22}w_x}{u_w - v} \\ -\frac{g^{33}w_x + g^{11}w_x}{v_x - w} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^1u_x & \mu^1u_x & \eta^1u_x \\ \lambda^2v_x & \mu^2v_x & \eta^2v_x \\ \lambda^3w_x & \mu^3w_x & \eta^3w_x \end{pmatrix} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ v_x \\ w_x \end{pmatrix}.
\]

\[5\]
Here the metric components are
\[ g^{11} = (u - v)^2(u - w)^2 f(u), \quad g^{22} = (v - u)^2(v - w)^2 \varphi(v), \quad g^{33} = (w - u)^2(w - v)^2 \psi(w), \]
the coefficients \( \eta^1 = v + w, \eta^2 = u + w \) and \( \eta^3 = v + w \) are the characteristic speeds of system (11), and \( \mu^k, \lambda^k \) are the characteristic speeds of its commuting flows:
\[
\mu^1 = -\frac{1}{2}(u - v)(u - w)f'(u) + uf(u) + v\varphi(v) + w\psi(w) \\
- \int f(\nu)d\nu - \int \varphi(\nu)d\nu - \int \psi(\nu)d\nu - \frac{1}{2}(v + w)(f(u) + \varphi(v) + \psi(w)), \\
\lambda^1 = (u - v)(u - w)[2f(u) + uf'(u)] - 2[u^2f(u) + v^2\varphi(v) + w^2\psi(w)] + \\
+ (v + w)[uf(u) + v\varphi(v) + w\psi(w)] + \int f(\nu)d\nu + \int \varphi(\nu)d\nu + \int \psi(\nu)d\nu.
\]

(\( \mu^2, \mu^3 \) and \( \lambda^2, \lambda^3 \) can be obtained by a cyclic permutation of \( u, v, w \) and \( f, \varphi, \psi \). These operators depend linearly on three arbitrary functions \( f(u), \varphi(v) \) and \( \psi(w) \) and are mutually compatible. The details can be found in Example 3 in section 4.

Generalized reciprocal transformations and their action on the local Poisson brackets of Dubrovin-Novikov type are discussed in section 5.

### 2 Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type. Nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for semi-Hamiltonian systems

In this section we recall the necessary information about nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type
\[
J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{jis}\Gamma^{sjk}_x u^k_x + \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha w^i_{(\alpha)k} u^k_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^i_{(\alpha)n} u^n_x, \quad \varepsilon^\alpha = \pm 1, \quad (11)
\]
which are defined by a metric \( g^{ij} \) (\( g^{ij} = g^{ji}, \det g^{ij} \neq 0 \)), the corresponding Levi-Civita connection \( \Gamma^{sjk}_x \) and a set of affinors \( w_{(\alpha)} \) forming the nonlocal ”tail”.

**Theorem 1** [13] The operator \( J^{ij} \) is Hamiltonian if and only if the affinors \( w_{(\alpha)} \) satisfy the equations
\[
g_{ik}w^k_{(\alpha)j} = g_{jk}w^k_{(\alpha)i}, \quad (12)
\]
\[
\nabla_k w^i_{(\alpha)j} = \nabla_j w^i_{(\alpha)k}, \quad (13)
\]
and the curvature tensor of the metric \( g^{ij} \) has the expansion
\[
R^{ij}_{kl} = \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha \left( w^i_{(\alpha)k} w^j_{(\alpha)l} - w^i_{(\alpha)l} w^j_{(\alpha)k} \right), \quad (14)
\]
Moreover, the set of affinors \( w_{(\alpha)} \) must be commutative,

\[
[w_{(\alpha)}, w_{(\beta)}] = 0. \quad (15)
\]

As pointed out in [11], equations (12)–(15) constitute the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations of submanifolds of pseudo-Euclidean spaces with flat normal bundle. There are three particularly interesting special cases of the general formula (11).

**Hamiltonian operators associated with constant curvature metrics**, first introduced in [20] (see also [25]), are of the form

\[
J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is} \Gamma^{j}_{sk} u^{k}_x + cu^{i}_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^{j}_x. \quad (16)
\]

Here \( g^{ij} \) is a metric of constant curvature \( c \), so that the Gauss equation (14) takes the form

\[
R^{ij}_{kl} = c \left( \delta^{i}_{k} \delta^{j}_{l} - \delta^{i}_{l} \delta^{j}_{k} \right). \]

**Hamiltonian operators associated with conformally flat metrics** are of the form [13]

\[
J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is} \Gamma^{j}_{sk} u^{k}_x + w^{i}_k u^{k}_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^{j}_x + w^{i}_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^{j}_k u^{k}_x. \quad (17)
\]

The corresponding equations (12)–(14) reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{ik} w^k_j &= g_{jk} w^k_i, \\
\nabla_k w^i_j &= \nabla_j w^i_k,
\end{align*}
\]

while the curvature tensor of the metric \( g^{ij} \) has the expansion

\[
R^{ij}_{kl} = w^i_k \delta^j_l + w^j_i \delta^k_l - w^j_k \delta^i_l - w^i_l \delta^j_k,
\]

implying that the metric \( g^{ij} \) is conformally flat.

**Hamiltonian operators associated with hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space** are [11]

\[
J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is} \Gamma^{j}_{sk} u^{k}_x + w^{i}_k u^{k}_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^{j}_n u^{n}_x. \quad (18)
\]

The corresponding equations (12)–(14),

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{ik} w^k_j &= g_{jk} w^k_i, \\
\nabla_k w^i_j &= \nabla_j w^i_k, \\
R^{ij}_{kl} &= w^i_k w^j_l - w^j_i w^k_l,
\end{align*}
\]

are the Gauss-Codazzi equations governing the first fundamental form \( g^{ij} \) and the shape operator \( w^i_j \) of a hypersurface in the Euclidean space.
Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of the form (11) and their particular instances (16)–(18) appear in a variety of applications including the Whitham theory (where operators of the form (11) arise as a result of the Whitham averaging of nonlocal higher order Poisson brackets of integrable PDE’s [1], [2], recursion scheme, Dirac reduction, etc [11]. Canonical coordinates for these operators were introduced in a recent paper by Maltsev and Novikov [17]. The corresponding nonlocal symplectic structures were investigated in [18].

Notice that equations (11)–(14) simplify if the metric $g$ and affinors $w^{(\alpha)}_{ij}$ are diagonal,

\[ g_{ij} = g_{ii} \delta_{ij}, \quad w^{(\alpha)}_{i} = w^{(\alpha)}_{i} \delta_{ij}. \]

In this case the operator (11) takes the form

\[ J^{ij} = g^{ii} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{ii} \Gamma^{j}_{ik} u^{k}_{x} + \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{(\alpha)} w^{(\alpha)}_{i} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w^{(\alpha)}_{j} u^{j}_{x}, \quad (19) \]

while equations (12)–(14) reduce to

\[ \partial_{j} \ln \sqrt{g_{ii}} = - \frac{\partial_{j} w^{i}_{(\alpha)}}{w^{j}_{(\alpha)} - w^{i}_{(\alpha)}}, \quad (20) \]

\[ R^{ij}_{j} = \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{(\alpha)} w^{i}_{(\alpha)} w^{j}_{(\alpha)}, \quad (21) \]

for any $i \neq j$. Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of the form (19) naturally arise as Hamiltonian structures of semi-Hamiltonian systems in Riemann invariants [11], [5]. Let us recall the main construction. Following Tsarev [28], a system in Riemann invariants

\[ R^{i}_{j} = v^{j}(R) R^{i}_{x}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n \quad (22) \]

is called semi-Hamiltonian if the characteristic speeds $v^{j}(R)$ satisfy the identities

\[ \partial_{k} \frac{\partial_{j} v^{j}}{v^{j} - v^{i}} = \partial_{j} \frac{\partial_{k} v^{j}}{v^{k} - v^{i}} \]

for any triple $i \neq j \neq k$. Let us introduce the diagonal metric $g_{ii} dR^{i 2}$ by the formulae

\[ \partial_{j} \ln \sqrt{g_{ii}} = \frac{\partial_{j} v^{i}}{v^{j} - v^{i}} \quad (23) \]

for any $i \neq j$. Notice that $g_{ii}$ is defined up to a multiple $g_{ii} \rightarrow g_{ii} / f^{i}(R^{i})$, where $f^{i}(R^{i})$ is an arbitrary function of $R^{i}$.

Although the metric $g_{ii}$ may happen to be flat for particular choices of $f^{i}(R^{i})$ (in this case our system is Hamiltonian with the local Hamiltonian operator of Dubrovin-Novikov type corresponding to the metric $g_{ii}$), it is not flat in general. Suppose one can find the expansion of the curvature tensor of this metric in the form (21), where $w^{i}_{(\alpha)}$ are characteristic speeds of commuting flows of system (22):

\[ R^{i}_{\alpha} = w^{i}_{(\alpha)}(R) R^{i}_{x}. \quad (24) \]
Notice that the flows (22) and (24) commute if and only if
\[
\frac{\partial_j v^i}{v^j - v^i} = \frac{\partial_j w^i_\alpha}{w^i_\alpha - w^i_\alpha}
\] (25)
for any \( i \neq j \). In this case the system (22) will be Hamiltonian with the nonlocal Hamiltonian operator (23) (indeed, both conditions (20) and (21) are satisfied). The main problem is thus to find the expansion (21) for a metric satisfying (23). We point out that the sum in (21) is infinite in general.

Remarkably, there exist semi-Hamiltonian systems for which one can explicitly construct the expansion (21) for an arbitrary metric \( g_{ii} \) satisfying (23). Moreover, for any choice of \( f^i(R) \) the summation in (21) is finite, so that the corresponding systems possess infinitely many Hamiltonian structures explicitly parametrized by \( n \) arbitrary functions of one variable. Among the most interesting examples of this type are systems of Temple’s class and linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian systems. The construction of nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian systems is based on the formulae for reciprocal transformations of local Hamiltonian operators which we derive in sections 3-5.

3 Reciprocal transformations which change only the space variable \( x \)

Consider a Hamiltonian system (1),
\[
u^i_t = v^i_j(u)w^j_x = (\nabla^i \nabla_j h)w^j_x = J^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^j},
\]
with the local Hamiltonian operator (4),
\[
J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is} \Gamma^{j}_{sk} u^k_x.
\]
In this section we discuss special reciprocal transformations of the form (3) which change the space variable \( x \) only,
\[
d\tilde{x} = B(u)dx + A(u)dt, \quad \tilde{t} = t.
\] (26)
Notice that an arbitrary reciprocal transformation (3) can be represented as a composition
\[
R_1 \circ T \circ R_2
\]
where \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are reciprocal transformations of the form (23) and \( T \) is the transformation interchanging the independent variables: \( \tilde{x} = t, \tilde{t} = x \). After the transformation (24), system (1) takes the form
\[
u^i_t = \tilde{v}^i_j(u)w^j_x = (\nabla^i \nabla_j h \cdot B - \delta^i_j A) \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^j}.
\] (27)
while the Hamiltonian operator $J^{ij}$ undergoes a nontrivial transformation and becomes nonlocal. To write down the transformed operator $\tilde{J}^{ij}$, we introduce the Hamiltonian system

$$u^i = w^i_j(u)u^j_x = J^{ij}\frac{\partial B}{\partial u^j} = (\nabla^i \nabla^j B)u^j_x$$

(28)

generated by the Hamiltonian operator $J^{ij}$ and the density $B$. Clearly, systems (1) and (28) commute. Since the flux of the conserved density $B$ corresponding to the flow (28) is given by the formula $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2$ (here $\nabla B = B,dw^i$ is the gradient of $B$ and $(\nabla B)^2 = g^{ij}B_iB_j$), we can incorporate the time $\tau$ into the reciprocal transformation (26) as follows:

$$d\tilde{x} = Bdx + Adt + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2 d\tau.$$

Therefore, the transformed system (28) takes the form

$$u^i = \tilde{w}^i_j(u)u^j_x = \left(\nabla^i \nabla^j B \cdot B - \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j(\nabla B)^2\right)u^j_x$$

(29)

The transformed systems (27) and (29) commute. Now we can formulate the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2** The transformed system (27) is Hamiltonian,

$$u^i = \tilde{J}^{ij}\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial u^j},$$

with the nonlocal operator

$$\tilde{J}^{ij} = \tilde{g}^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - \tilde{g}^{is}\tilde{\Gamma}^j_k u^k_x + \tilde{w}^i_k u^k_x \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} u^j_x + u^i_x \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} \tilde{w}^j_k u^k_x$$

(30)

and the Hamiltonian density $\tilde{h}(u) = h(u)/B(u)$. Here the transformed metric is $\tilde{g}^{ij} = B^2g^{ij}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\tilde{g}$, and $\tilde{w}^i_j$ is given by (29). Notice that the transformed metric is conformally flat with the curvature tensor

$$\tilde{R}^{ij}_{kl} = \tilde{w}^i_k \delta^j_l + \tilde{w}^i_l \delta^j_k - \tilde{w}^j_k \delta^i_l - \tilde{w}^j_l \delta^i_k.$$

The proof follows directly from results of [13] where nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of the form (31) associated with conformally flat metrics were investigated. As an illustration of the procedure outlined in Theorem 1, we explicitly construct nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for the 2-component linearly degenerate system (3).

**Example 1**. The linear system

$$u_t = u_x, \quad u_t = -\nu_x$$

(31)

possesses infinitely many Hamiltonian representations

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_t = J \begin{pmatrix} \partial h/\partial u \\ \partial h/\partial \nu \end{pmatrix}$$

(32)
with local Hamiltonian operators $J$ of the type (2),

$$J = 4 \begin{pmatrix} f(u) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} f'(u)u_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi'(v)v_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

corresponding to flat metrics

$$ds^2 = \frac{du^2}{4f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{4\varphi(v)} \quad (34)$$

(here $f(u)$ and $\varphi(v)$ are arbitrary functions). The corresponding Hamiltonian densities are

$$h(u, v) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int u \frac{dv}{\sqrt{f(v)}} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int v \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\varphi(v)}} \right]^2. \quad (35)$$

Consider the reciprocal transformation

$$d\tilde{x} = Bdx + Adt = \frac{1}{2}(v - u)dx - \frac{1}{2}(v + u)dt, \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad (36)$$

mapping the linear system (31) to the linearly degenerate system

$$u_t = vu_x, \quad v_t = uv_x. \quad (37)$$

To write down the transformed Hamiltonian operators (33), we first introduce the transformed metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{ds^2}{B^2} = (u - v)^{-2} \left( \frac{du^2}{f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{\varphi(v)} \right), \quad (38)$$

which is no longer flat for generic $f(u)$ and $\varphi(v)$. Following the procedure outlined in Theorem 1, we calculate the Hamiltonian flow generated by the Hamiltonian operator (33) and the Hamiltonian density $B = \frac{1}{2}(v - u)$. A simple computation gives the system

$$u_\tau = -f'(u)u_x, \quad v_\tau = \varphi'(v)v_x, \quad (39)$$

which clearly commutes with (31). To incorporate the time $\tau$ into the reciprocal transformation (36), we calculate the flux of the density $B$ corresponding to the flow (39),

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2 = \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v)].$$

Thus, reciprocal transformation (36) takes the form

$$d\tilde{x} = Bdx + Adt + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2}(v - u)dx - \frac{1}{2}(v + u)dt + \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v)]d\tau, \quad \tilde{t} = t,$$

so that the transformed system (39) is

$$u_\tau = w^1 u_x, \quad v_\tau = w^2 v_x, \quad (40)$$

where

$$w^1 = \frac{1}{2}[(u - v)f'(u) - f(u) - \varphi(v)], \quad w^2 = \frac{1}{2}[(v - u)\varphi'(v) - f(u) - \varphi(v)]. \quad (41)$$
(notice that equations (40) and (41) constitute a general commuting flow of the linearly degenerate system (37)). According to Theorem 1, system (37) is Hamiltonian,

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right)_t = \tilde{J} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial u} \right)
\]

with nonlocal operators \(\tilde{J}\) of the form

\[
(u - v)^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} f(u) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + (u - v) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}(u - v)f'(u)u_x + f(u)(u_x - v_x) & \varphi(v)u_x + f(u)v_x \\ -\varphi(v)u_x - f(u)v_x & \frac{1}{2}(u - v)\varphi'(v)v_x + \varphi(v)(u_x - v_x) \end{array} \right)
\]

(42)

and the Hamiltonian densities being

\[
\tilde{h} = h/B = \frac{1}{4(v - u)} \left( \left[ \int u \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{f(\nu)}} \right]^2 - \left[ \int v \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{\varphi(\nu)}} \right]^2 \right).
\]

All these operators are mutually compatible. Let us discuss some particular cases. For \(f(u) = 1, \varphi(v) = -1\) and \(f'(u) = u, \varphi'(v) = -v\) we have \(w^1 = w^2 = 0\), so that the transformed operators take local forms

\[
(u - v)^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + (u - v) \left( \begin{array}{cc} u_x - v_x & v_x - u_x \\ u_x - v_x & v_x - u_x \end{array} \right)
\]

(43)

and

\[
(u - v)^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} u & 0 \\ 0 & -v \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + (u - v) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{3}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v & uv_x - vu_x \\ vu_x - uv_x & \frac{3}{2}v - \frac{1}{2}u \end{array} \right),
\]

(44)

the corresponding Hamiltonian densities being

\[
h(u, v) = \frac{u^2 + v^2}{4(v - u)} \quad \text{and} \quad h(u, v) = \frac{u + v}{v - u},
\]

respectively. In the case \(f(u) = u^2, \varphi(v) = -v^2\) we have \(w^1 = -w^2 = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2\) so that the operator \(\tilde{J}\) takes the form

\[
(u - v)^2 \left( \begin{array}{cc} u^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -v^2 \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + (u - v) \left( \begin{array}{cc} (2u - v)u_x - u^2v_x & u^2v_x - v^2u_x \\ v^2u_x - u^2v_x & (2v - u)v_x - v^2u_x \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2u_x & u_x \\ -\frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2v_x & v_x \end{array} \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2u_x & -\frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2v_x \\ \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2u_x & \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2v_x \end{array} \right)
\]

(45)
with the corresponding density

\[ h(u, v) = \frac{(\ln u)^2 + (\ln v)^2}{4(v - u)}. \]

Notice that the local part of the Hamiltonian operator (45),

\[
(u - v)^2 \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -v^2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + (u - v) \begin{pmatrix} u(2u - v)u_x - u^2v_x & u^2v_x - v^2u_x \\ v^2u_x - u^2v_x & u(2v - u)v_x - v^2u_x \end{pmatrix},
\]

is itself Hamiltonian, indeed, the metric

\[
(u - v)^{-2} \left( \frac{du^2}{u^2} - \frac{dv^2}{v^2} \right)
\]

is flat.

One can show that the operators (43), (44) and (46) (are arbitrary linear combinations thereof) are the only local Hamiltonian structures of Dubrovin-Novikov type of the system (37). This follows from the fact that the metric

\[
(u - v)^{-2} \left( \frac{du^2}{f(u)} - \frac{dv^2}{\phi(v)} \right)
\]

is flat if and only if

\[
f(u) = \alpha u^2 + \beta u + \gamma, \quad \phi(v) = -\alpha v^2 - \beta v - \gamma,
\]

where \(\alpha, \beta,\) and \(\gamma\) are arbitrary constants. These local structures were first discovered in [23] and [3], and subsequently generalized to polytropic gas dynamics in [21], [22]. The general nonlocal operator (42) first appeared in [5].

**Example 2.** The linear system

\[
u_t = u_x, \quad v_t = -v_x, \quad w_t = 0
\]

possesses infinitely many Hamiltonian representations

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}_t = J \begin{pmatrix} \partial h/\partial u \\ \partial h/\partial v \\ \partial h/\partial w \end{pmatrix}
\]

with local operators

\[
J = 4 \begin{pmatrix} f(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi(w) \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} f'(u)u_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi'(v)v_x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi'(w)w_x \end{pmatrix}
\]

corresponding to flat metrics

\[
ds^2 = \frac{du^2}{4f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{4\varphi(v)} + \frac{dw^2}{4\psi(w)}
\]
(here \( f(u), \varphi(v) \) and \( \psi(w) \) are arbitrary functions). The corresponding Hamiltonian densities are
\[
\begin{align*}
  h(u, v) &= \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{f(v)}} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\varphi(v)}} \right]^2, \\
  h(u, v) &= \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{f(v)}} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\varphi(v)}} \right]^2.
\end{align*}
\]
Consider the reciprocal transformation
\[
d\tilde{x} = Bdx + Adt = [w + \frac{1}{2}(v - u)]dx - \frac{1}{2}(u + v)dt, \quad \tilde{t} = t
\]
mapping the system (47) to the linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t &= (v + w)u_x, & v_t &= (u - w)v_x, & w_t &= \frac{1}{2}(u + v)w_x.
\end{align*}
\]
Notice that under the change of variables
\[
u = -c + s - \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad v = -c - s + \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad w = s
\]
system (48) takes the form of equations of gas dynamics
\[
\begin{align*}
  \rho_t &= 0, & c_t &= cc_x + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_x P(\rho, s) = 0, & s_t + cs_x &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
with the special equation of state \( P = s - \frac{1}{\rho} \) generalizing isentropic Chaplygin gas (see [29] and [19]).

To calculate the transformed Hamiltonian operators (3), we introduce the conformal metric (9),
\[
d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{ds^2}{B^2} = (2w + v - u)^{-2} \left( \frac{dw^2}{f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{\varphi(v)} + \frac{dw^2}{\psi(w)} \right),
\]
which is no longer flat in general. Following the recipe of Theorem 1, we introduce the Hamiltonian flow generated by the Hamiltonian operator (3) and the Hamiltonian density \( B = w + \frac{1}{2}(v - u) \). A simple computation gives the system
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_\tau &= -f'(u)u_x, & v_\tau &= \varphi'(v)v_x, & w_\tau &= 2\psi'(w)w_x
\end{align*}
\]
which commutes with (47). To incorporate the time \( \tau \) into the reciprocal transformation (48), we calculate the flux of the density \( B \) corresponding to the flow (52),
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2 = \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)].
\]
Thus, transformation (48) takes the form
\[
d\tilde{x} = Bdx + Adt + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla B)^2 d\tau = [w + \frac{1}{2}(v - u)]dx - \frac{1}{2}(u + v)dt + \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)]d\tau,
\]
so that the transformed flow (52) is
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_\tau &= w^1u_\tilde{x}, & v_\tau &= w^2v_\tilde{x}, & w_\tau &= w^3w_\tilde{x},
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[ w^1 = -\frac{1}{2}(2w + v - u)f'(u) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)], \]
\[ w^2 = \frac{1}{2}(2w + v - u)\varphi'(v) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)], \]
\[ w^3 = (2w + v - u)\psi'(w) - \frac{1}{2}[f(u) + \varphi(v) + 4\psi(w)]. \tag{54} \]

Notice that equations (53) and (54) constitute a general commuting flow of the linearly degenerate system (49). According to Theorem 1, the system (49) is Hamiltonian,
\[ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}_t = \tilde{J} \begin{pmatrix} \partial \tilde{h}/\partial u \\ \partial \tilde{h}/\partial v \\ \partial \tilde{h}/\partial w \end{pmatrix}, \]
with nonlocal operators \( \tilde{J} \) of the form
\[ \frac{4}{\rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} f(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi(w) \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} + \]
\[ \frac{2}{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho}f'(u)u_{\tilde{x}} + 2f(u)(\frac{1}{\rho})_{\tilde{x}} & -\varphi(v)u_{\tilde{x}} - f(u)v_{\tilde{x}} & -2\psi(w)u_{\tilde{x}} - f(u)w_{\tilde{x}} \\ \varphi(v)u_{\tilde{x}} + f(u)v_{\tilde{x}} & \frac{1}{\rho}\varphi'(v)v_{\tilde{x}} + 2\varphi(v)(\frac{1}{\rho})_{\tilde{x}} & -2\psi(w)v_{\tilde{x}} + \varphi(v)w_{\tilde{x}} \\ 2\psi(w)u_{\tilde{x}} + f(u)w_{\tilde{x}} & 2\psi(w)v_{\tilde{x}} - \varphi(v)w_{\tilde{x}} & \frac{1}{\rho}\psi'(w)v_{\tilde{x}} + 2\psi(w)(\frac{1}{\rho})_{\tilde{x}} \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ \begin{pmatrix} w^1u_{\tilde{x}} \\ w^2v_{\tilde{x}} \\ w^3w_{\tilde{x}} \end{pmatrix} \]
and the Hamiltonian densities
\[ \tilde{h} = h/B = \rho \frac{8}{8} \left( \int \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{f(\nu)}} \right)^2 - \left( \int \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{\varphi(\nu)}} \right)^2, \]
where \( 2w + v - u = 2/\rho \) (see (50)). We point out that the corresponding metric (4) is flat if and only if
\[ f(u) = \varepsilon u + \alpha, \quad \varphi(v) = \varepsilon v + \beta, \quad \psi(w) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}w + \gamma, \]
where the constants \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \varepsilon \) are constrained by \( \alpha + \beta + 4\gamma = 0 \). In this case \( w^1 = w^2 = w^3 = 0 \), so that the corresponding operators (55) are local, thus providing 3 non-equivalent Hamiltonian structures of Dubrovin-Novikov type.
4 Reciprocal transformations which change both $x$ and $t$

Consider again the Hamiltonian system (1),

$$u_i^t = v_i^j(u)u_x^j = (∇^i∇_j h)u_x^j = J^{ij} \frac{∂h}{∂u^j},$$

with the local Hamiltonian operator

$$J^{ij} = g^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{is}Γ^{j}_{sk}u_x^k$$

corresponding to the flat metric $g^{ij}$. In this section we discuss the behavior of Hamiltonian operators (4) under general reciprocal transformations

$$d\tilde{x} = B(u)dx + A(u)dt, \quad d\tilde{t} = N(u)dx + M(u)dt$$

of the form (3) which map system (1) to the system (4),

$$u_i^\tilde{t} = v_i^j(u)u_x^j, \quad \tilde{v} = (Bu - AE)(ME - Nu)^{-1}, \quad E = \text{id.}$$

First of all, we introduce the transformed metric

$$\tilde{g}^{ij} = \frac{(Mδ^i_s - Nu^j_s)(Mδ^j_r - Nu^i_r)}{(BM - AN)^2}g^{sr}, \quad (56)$$

which is no longer flat in general. This metric will generate the local part of the transformed Hamiltonian operator $\tilde{J}$.

**Remark.** In the particular case of reciprocal transformations changing only the variable $x$, we have $N = 0$, $M = 1$ so that formula (56) reduces to the conformal transformation

$$\tilde{g}^{ij} = g^{ij}/B^2.$$ 

Similarly, if we interchange the independent variables (that is, if $A = N = 1$, $B = M = 0$), we have

$$\tilde{g}^{ij} = v_i^k g^{sr} v_j^r,$$

which coincides with the formula proposed in [28]. Notice that in the second case the metric $\tilde{g}^{ij}$ is automatically flat, which means that the local Hamiltonian formalism is invariant under the interchange of independent variables.

To calculate the nonlocal ”tail” of the transformed operator $\tilde{J}$, we introduce the Hamiltonian flows

$$u_t^i = (∇^i∇_j N)u_x^j = J^{ij} \frac{∂N}{∂u^j}, \quad (57)$$

and

$$u_\zeta^i = (∇^i∇_j B)u_x^j = J^{ij} \frac{∂B}{∂u^j}, \quad (58)$$

generated by the Hamiltonian operator $J^{ij}$ and the Hamiltonian densities $N$ and $B$ which enter the reciprocal transformation (3). Clearly, systems (57) and (58) commute with (1).
To incorporate the times \( \tau \) and \( \zeta \) into the reciprocal transformation (3), we calculate the fluxes \( P, Q \) and \( R, S \) of the Hamiltonian densities \( B \) and \( N \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{d}\tilde{x} &= Bdx + Adt + Pd\tau + Qd\zeta, \\
\tilde{d}\tilde{t} &= Ndx + Mdt + Rd\tau + Sd\zeta,
\end{align*}
\]

that is, the coefficients which make these 1-forms closed by virtue of (1), (57) and (58). Here

\[
\begin{align*}
Q &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla B)^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} B_i B_j, \\
R &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla N)^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} N_i N_j,
\end{align*}
\]

(see (28)) and \( P, S \) are normalized as \( P + S = (\nabla B, \nabla N) = g^{ij} B_i N_j \).

Therefore, the transformed flows (57) and (58) take the forms

\[
\begin{align*}
u^i_\tau &= \mu^i_j (u) u^j_x \\
u^i_\zeta &= \lambda^i_j (u) u^j_x,
\end{align*}
\]

(59) and (60), where the matrices \( \mu^i_j \) and \( \lambda^i_j \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu^i_j &= B \nabla^i \nabla_j N - P \delta^i_j + N \tilde{\nu}^k_j \nabla^i \nabla_k N - R \tilde{\nu}^i_j \\
\lambda^i_j &= B \nabla^i \nabla_j B - Q \delta^i_j + N \tilde{\nu}^k_j \nabla^i \nabla_k B - S \tilde{\nu}^i_j,
\end{align*}
\]

(61) and (62), respectively (these formulae readily follow from (57) and (58) after one changes from \( x, t, \tau, \zeta \) to \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, \tau, \zeta \)). The transformed flows (59) and (60) commute with (4). Now we can formulate the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3** After the reciprocal transformation (3), the local Hamiltonian operator (2) corresponding to the flat metric \( g^{ij} \) becomes nonlocal of the form

\[
\tilde{J}^{ij} = \tilde{g}^{ij} \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} - \tilde{g}^{is} \tilde{\Gamma}^j_{sk} u^k_x + \lambda^i_k u^k_x \left( \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} \right)^{-1} u^j_x + u^i_x \left( \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} \right)^{-1} \lambda^j_k u^k_x \\
+ \mu^i_k u^k_x \left( \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\nu}^j_n u^n_x + \tilde{\nu}^i_k u^k_x \left( \frac{d}{d\tilde{x}} \right)^{-1} \mu^j_k u^k_x.
\]

Here the local part is defined by the metric \( \tilde{g}^{ij} \) given by (56), \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is the Levi-Civita connection of \( \tilde{g} \), and the nonlocal terms \( \lambda^i_j, \mu^i_j, \tilde{\nu}^i_j \) are defined in (27), (22) and (4). In particular, the curvature tensor of \( \tilde{g}^{ij} \) is

\[
\tilde{R}^{ij}_{kl} = \lambda^i_k \delta^j_l + \lambda^i_l \delta^j_k - \lambda^i_k \delta^j_l - \lambda^i_l \delta^j_k + \mu^i_k \tilde{\nu}^j_l + \mu^i_l \tilde{\nu}^j_k - \mu^i_k \tilde{\nu}^j_k - \mu^i_l \tilde{\nu}^j_l.
\]
The proof of Theorem 3 will follow from general results of section 5. As an illustration of this procedure, we explicitly calculate nonlocal Hamiltonian operators associated with the 3–component linearly degenerate system (11).

**Example 3.** We start with the same linear system (17) as in Example 2,
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t &= u_x, \quad v_t = -v_x, \quad w_t = 0
\end{align*}
\]
which possesses infinitely many Hamiltonian structures with operators
\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
  f(u) & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \varphi(v) & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}\psi(w)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  \frac{du}{dx} \\
  \frac{dv}{dx} \\
  \frac{dw}{dx}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
  f'u_x & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \varphi'v_x & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}\psi'w_x
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\]
\begin{equation}
(63)
\end{equation}
corresponding to flat metrics
\[
\begin{align*}
  ds^2 &= \frac{du^2}{f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{\varphi(v)} + \frac{dw^2}{\psi(w)},
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(64)
\end{equation}
(here \( f(u), \varphi(v) \) and \( \psi(w) \) are arbitrary functions; the multiple 4 is chosen for the convenience).

Consider the reciprocal transformation
\[
\begin{align*}
  d\tilde{x} &= Bdx + Adt = (2w^2 - u^2 - v^2)dx + (v^2 - u^2)dt, \\
  d\tilde{t} &= Ndx + Mdt = (u + v - 2w)dx + (u - v)dt,
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(65)
\end{equation}
which maps system (17) to the 3–component linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system
\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{u} &= (v + w)u_x, \quad \dot{v} = (u + w)v_x, \quad \dot{w} = (u + v)w_x.
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(66)
\end{equation}
The transformed metric (64) (apply formula (56)),
\[
\begin{align*}
  d\tilde{s}^2 &= \frac{du^2}{(u - v)(u - w)^2f(u)} + \frac{dv^2}{(v - u)(v - w)^2\varphi(v)} + \frac{dw^2}{(w - u)(w - v)^2\psi(w)}
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(67)
\end{equation}
is no longer flat for generic \( f(u), \varphi(v) \) and \( \psi(w) \). The commuting flows of system (17) generated by the Hamiltonian densities \( N = u + v - 2w \) and \( B = 2w^2 - u^2 - v^2 \) are
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_\tau &= \frac{1}{2}f'u_x, \quad v_\tau = \frac{1}{2}\varphi'v_x, \quad w_\tau = -\frac{1}{4}\psi'w_x
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(68)
\end{equation}
and
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_\zeta &= -(2f + uf')u_x, \quad v_\zeta = -(2\varphi + uf')v_x, \quad w_\zeta = (\psi + \frac{1}{2}w\phi')w_x
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(69)
\end{equation}
respectively. To incorporate the times \( \tau \) and \( \zeta \) into the reciprocal transformation (55), we have to calculate the fluxes \( P, Q \) and \( R, S \) of the Hamiltonian densities \( B \) and \( N \),
\[
\begin{align*}
  d\tilde{x} &= Bdx + Adt + Pd\tau + Qd\zeta, \\
  d\tilde{t} &= Ndx + Mdt + Rd\tau + Sd\zeta,
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{equation}
(70)
\end{equation}
that is, the coefficients which make these 1-forms closed by virtue of (47), (68) and (39). Here
\[
Q = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla B)^2 = 2 [u^2 f(u) + v^2 \varphi(v) + w^2 \psi(w)],
\]
\[
R = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla N)^2 = \frac{1}{2} [f(u) + \varphi(v) + \psi(w)],
\]
while \(P\) and \(S\) are of the form
\[
P = -uf - v\varphi - w\psi + \int_u^u f(\nu) d\nu + \int_v^v \varphi(\nu) d\nu + \int_w^w \psi(\nu) d\nu,
\]
\[
S = -uf - v\varphi - w\psi - \int_u^u f(\nu) d\nu - \int_v^v \varphi(\nu) d\nu - \int_w^w \psi(\nu) d\nu,
\]
respectively. Notice that \(P + S = (\nabla B, \nabla N) = g^{ij} B_i N_j\). Under the extended reciprocal transformation (70), the commuting flows (68) and (69) take the form
\[
u_\tau = \mu^1 u_\tau, \quad w_\tau = \mu^3 w_\tau
\]
(71)
and
\[
u_\varsigma = \lambda^1 u_\varsigma, \quad w_\varsigma = \lambda^3 w_\varsigma
\]
(72)
where the characteristic speeds are
\[
\mu^1 = -\frac{1}{2} (u-v)(u-w) f' - (v+w) R - P,
\]
\[
\mu^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (v-u)(v-w) \varphi' - (u+w) R - P,
\]
\[
\mu^3 = -\frac{1}{2} (w-u)(v-w) \psi' - (u+v) R - P
\]
and
\[
\lambda^1 = (u-v)(u-w)(2 f + uf') - (v+w) S - Q,
\]
\[
\lambda^2 = (v-u)(v-w)(2 \varphi + v\varphi') - (u+w) S - Q,
\]
\[
\lambda^3 = (w-u)(w-v)(2 \psi + w\psi') - (u+v) S - Q
\]
respectively. The transformed flows (71) and (72) commute with (66). According to Theorem 2, the transformed metric (67) and the transformed flows (71) and (72) generate the nonlocal Hamiltonian operator which, in matrix form, is
\[
J = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{g}^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \bar{g}^{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bar{g}^{33}
\end{array} \right) \frac{d}{d\xi} + \\
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{g}^{11} [f'(u)] u_x + \frac{u_x - v_x}{u-v} + \frac{u_x - w_x}{u-w} & \bar{g}^{22} \varphi'(v) v_x & \bar{g}^{33} w_x \\
-\bar{g}^{12} u_x + \bar{g}^{11} v_x & \bar{g}^{22} \varphi'(v) v_x + \frac{v_x - w_x}{v-w} & \bar{g}^{33} w_x \\
-\bar{g}^{13} u_x + \bar{g}^{11} w_x & -\bar{g}^{23} \varphi'(v) v_x + \frac{v_x - w_x}{v-w} & \bar{g}^{33} w_x
\end{array} \right)
\]
where \( g^{ii} \) are components of the transformed metric (67), \( \eta^1 = v + w, \eta^2 = u + w \) and \( \eta^3 = u + v \) are the characteristic speeds of system (66), and \( \lambda^k, \mu^k \) are the characteristic speeds of its commuting flows (74) and (72). In the particular case \( f(u) = \alpha, \varphi(v) = \beta \) and \( \psi(w) = \gamma, \) where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are constants subject to a single constraint \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0, \) we have \( \lambda^k = \mu^k = 0, \) so that the transformed operator takes the local Dubrovin-Novikov form, the corresponding flat metric being

\[
 ds^2 = \frac{du^2}{\alpha(u-v)^2(u-w)^2} + \frac{dv^2}{\beta(v-u)^2(v-w)^2} + \frac{dw^2}{\gamma(w-u)^2(w-v)^2}.
\]

As shown in [23], these are the only local Hamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic type of the 3–component system (67).

**Remark.** Modifying the reciprocal transformation (53) from Example 3 as

\[
 \begin{align*}
d\bar{x} &= \left[2R(w) - P(u) - Q(v)\right]dx + [Q(v) - P(u)]dt, \\
d\bar{t} &= \left[A(u) + B(v) - 2C(w)\right]dx + [A(u) - B(v)]dt,
\end{align*}
\]

we obtain the transformed system

\[
 u_i = \frac{R(w) - Q(v)}{C(w) - B(v)}u_x, \quad v_i = \frac{P(u) - R(w)}{A(u) - C(w)}v_x, \quad w_i = \frac{Q(v) - P(u)}{B(v) - A(u)}w_x, \quad (73)
\]

where \( A(u), B(v), C(w) \) and \( P(u), Q(v), R(w) \) are arbitrary functions. As shown in [12], formulae (73) define a general 3–component linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system. Repeating the construction of Example 3, one can obtain the associated family of nonlocal Hamiltonian structures.

### 5 Generalized reciprocal transformations

Consider \( N \) commuting flows of hydrodynamic type

\[
 R^i_{\beta\gamma} = v^i_{\beta}(R)R^i_{\gamma}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \quad \beta = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad (74)
\]

where we have set \( t^1 \equiv x \) and \( t^2 \equiv t \) (so that \( v^1_{\beta} \equiv 1 \)). We assume that the flows (74) are Hamiltonian with the local Hamiltonian operator (2) generated by the flat metric \( ds^2 = g_{ii}(R)dR^i 
\)

so that \( v^i_{\beta} = \nabla^i \nabla_\gamma h_\beta, \) where \( h_\beta \) are the corresponding Hamiltonian densities. Let us change from \( t^1, t^2, ..., t^N \) to the new independent variables \( \bar{t}^1, \bar{t}^2, ..., \bar{t}^N \) defined as

\[
 d\bar{t}^\gamma = a^\gamma_\beta(R)dt^\beta, \quad \gamma, \beta = 1, 2, ... N, \quad (75)
\]

where \( a^\gamma_\beta(R) \) are the conserved densities of systems (74), and \( a^\gamma_\beta(R) \) are the corresponding fluxes:

\[
 \partial_{t^\beta} a^\gamma_\beta = \partial_{t^\beta} a^\gamma_\beta. \quad (76)
\]
Transformations of the type (75) naturally generalize reciprocal transformations (the case
\(N = 2\)). Under the \textit{generalized} reciprocal transformation (75), the commuting flows (74)
transforms to
\[
R_{\tilde{t}}^i = \tilde{\nu}_\beta(R)R_{\tilde{t}}^i, \tag{77}
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\nu}_\beta = \frac{A^\beta_\gamma \nu_\gamma^i}{A^\gamma_\nu \nu_\nu^i}, \tag{78}
\]
while the metric \(ds^2\) transforms to
\[
d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{ii} dR_i^2, \quad \tilde{g}_{ii} = g_{ii}(A^\nu_1 \nu_1^i)^2. \tag{79}
\]
Here \(A^\beta_\gamma\) is the inverse of \(a_{\beta}^\nu\),
\[
dt^\beta = A^\beta_\gamma d\tilde{t}^\gamma. \tag{80}\]
We emphasize that the transformed metric \(d\tilde{s}^2\) is no longer flat in general. In the particular
case \(N = 2\) formula (79) reduces to (56). To calculate the curvature tensor of the metric
\(d\tilde{s}^2\) we introduce \(N\) extra flows
\[
R_{\tau}^i = w^i_\gamma(R)R_{\tilde{t}}^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad \gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \tag{81}\]
generated by the Hamiltonian operator (2) and the Hamiltonian densities \(a_{\beta}^\gamma(R)\):
\[
w^i_\beta = \nabla^i \nabla^\beta a^\gamma_1. \]
Clearly, the flows (81) commute with (74). To calculate the transformed flows (81),
we have to incorporate the times \(\tau^\beta\) into the generalized reciprocal transformation (75),
namely,
\[
d\tilde{t}^\gamma = a^\gamma_\beta dt^\beta + c^\gamma_\beta d\tau^\beta, \quad \gamma, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \]
Here the fluxes \(c^\gamma_\beta\) are restricted by
\[
c^\beta_\gamma + c^\gamma_\beta = (\nabla a^\beta_1, \nabla a^\gamma_1) = \sum g^{kk}(\partial_k a^\beta_1)(\partial_k a^\gamma_1), \tag{82}\]
where \((\nabla f, \nabla g)\) denotes a scalar product of the gradients of \(f\) and \(g\) in the metric \(ds^2\).
Finally, the transformed flows (81) take the form
\[
R_{\tau}^i = \tilde{w}^i_\gamma(R)R_{\tilde{t}}^i, \tag{83}\]
with
\[
\tilde{w}^i_\gamma = \frac{w^i_\gamma - c^\gamma_\beta A^\beta_\gamma \nu_\nu^i}{A^\gamma_\nu \nu_\nu^i}. \tag{84}\]

\textbf{Theorem 4} \textit{The curvature tensor of the transformed metric \(d\tilde{s}^2\) is}
\[
\tilde{R}_{ij} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} (\tilde{\nu}_\beta \tilde{w}^i_\beta + \tilde{w}^i_\beta \tilde{\nu}_\beta). \]

The metric \(d\tilde{s}^2\) generates the nonlocal Hamiltonian operator
\[
\tilde{J}^{ij} = \tilde{g}^{ii} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - \tilde{g}^{ii} \tilde{\Gamma}^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} R_{\tilde{t}}^k + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \tilde{\nu}_\beta R_{\tilde{t}}^i \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \tilde{w}^j_\beta R_{\tilde{t}}^i + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \tilde{w}^i_\beta R_{\tilde{t}}^j \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\nu}_\beta R_{\tilde{t}}^j.
\]
let us introduce the Lame coefficients $H_{1i} = \sqrt{g_{ii}}$ and the rotation coefficients $\beta_{ik} = \partial_i H_{1k}/H_{1i}$ (for any $i \neq k$). According to (28), the linear problem

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

has $N$ particular solutions $H_{\beta i}$, where $H_{\beta i} = v^i_\beta H_{1i}$, and $N$ other solutions $H^\beta_i$, where $H^\beta_i = w^i_\beta H_{1i}$. Since commuting flows (74) and (81) are locally Hamiltonian ($v^i_\beta = \nabla^i \nabla_i h_\beta$ and $w^i_\beta = \nabla^i \nabla_i a^\beta_i$), the relationship between conserved densities ($h_\beta$ and $a^\beta_i$) and the corresponding commuting flows ($v^i_\beta$ and $w^i_\beta$) can be written as

$$H_{\beta i} = \psi_{\beta i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m, \quad H^\beta_i = \psi^\beta_{i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi^\beta_m,$$

(85)

where $\psi_{\beta i}$ and $\psi^\beta_i$ are defined by the equations $\partial_i h_\beta = \psi_{\beta i} H_{1i}$ and $\partial_i a^\beta_i = \psi^\beta_{i} H_{1i}$. In this notation the formulas (78), (84) and (74) become

$$\tilde{H}_{\beta i} = A^\gamma_\beta H_{\gamma i}, \quad \tilde{H}^\beta_i = H^\beta_i - \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} \tilde{c}^\gamma_\beta$$

(where $\tilde{v}^i_\beta = \tilde{H}_{\beta i}/\tilde{H}_{1i}$ and $\tilde{w}^i_\beta = \tilde{H}^\beta_i/\tilde{H}_{1i}$) and the transformed rotation coefficients are

$$\tilde{\beta}_{ik} = \beta_{ik} - \psi^\beta_i \tilde{H}_{1k}.$$

Since the metric $ds^2$ is flat, the curvature components $R^i_{jk} (i \neq j \neq k)$ vanish identically, that is, $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{jk} (i \neq j \neq k)$. This identity is preserved under generalized reciprocal transformations: $\partial_i \tilde{\beta}_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \tilde{\beta}_{ik}$. However, the components $\tilde{R}^i_{ij}$ defined as

$$\tilde{R}^i_{ij} = -\frac{\Delta_{ij}}{H_{1i}H_{1j}}$$

where

$$\Delta_{ij} \equiv \partial_i \tilde{\beta}_{ij} + \partial_j \tilde{\beta}_{ji} + \sum_{m \neq i} \tilde{\beta}_{mi} \tilde{\beta}_{mj},$$

will no longer be zero. Indeed,

$$\Delta_{ij} = \partial_i \tilde{\beta}_{ij} + \partial_j \tilde{\beta}_{ji} + \sum_{m \neq i \neq k} \tilde{\beta}_{mi} \tilde{\beta}_{mj} =$$

$$= \partial_i [\beta_{ij} - \psi^\gamma_i \tilde{H}_{\gamma j}] + \partial_j [\beta_{ji} - \psi^\gamma_j \tilde{H}_{\gamma i}] + \sum_{m \neq i \neq j} (\beta_{mi} - \psi^\gamma_m \tilde{H}_{\gamma i})(\beta_{mj} - \psi^\gamma_m \tilde{H}_{\gamma j})$$

$$= \Delta_{ij} - \tilde{H}_{\gamma j} [\psi^\gamma_i \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi^\gamma_m] - \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} [\psi^\gamma_j \tilde{H}_{\gamma j} + \sum_{m \neq j} \beta_{mj} \psi^\gamma_m] + \tilde{H}_{\beta i} \tilde{H}_{\gamma j} \sum \psi^\gamma_m.$$

Since $\Delta_{ij} \equiv 0$, equations (83) and (82), imply

$$\Delta_{ij} = -\tilde{H}_{\gamma j} H^\gamma_i - \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} H^\gamma_j + \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} \tilde{H}_{\gamma j} (c^\alpha_i + c^\alpha_j) =$$

$$= -\tilde{H}_{\beta j} [H^\beta_i - \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} c^\gamma_j] - \tilde{H}_{\beta j} [H^\beta_i - \tilde{H}_{\gamma i} c^\gamma_j] =$$

$$= -\tilde{H}_{\beta j} \tilde{H}_{1j}.$$
Thus, the transformed curvature tensor is
\[ \tilde{R}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{H_i H_j} \tilde{\Delta}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{H}_i}{H_i} \frac{\tilde{H}_j}{H_j} = \tilde{\nu}_i \tilde{\nu}_j + \tilde{\nu}_j \tilde{\nu}_i. \]

For \( N = 2 \) this proves Theorem 2 formulated in section 4.

As shown in [12], any linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system in Riemann invariants can be linearized by a generalized reciprocal transformation. Applying the results of section 5 to local Hamiltonian structures of a linear system, one can explicitly construct an infinity of mutually compatible nonlocal Hamiltonian structures for an arbitrary linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system in the same way as it was done in examples 1-3. In the example below we explicitly construct nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for the n-component linearly degenerate system mentioned in the abstract.

**Example 4.**

As shown in [12], the linearly degenerate semi-Hamiltonian system
\[ R_{i\beta}^\ell = \left( R^i - \sum_{m=1}^{n} R^m \right) R_{i\beta}^\ell, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \]
and its linearly degenerate commuting flows
\[ R_{i\beta}^\ell = (-1)^{\beta+1} \left( \partial_{\tilde{h}_\beta} \right) R_{i\beta}^\ell, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \quad \beta = 3, 4, ..., n, \]
where
\[ \tilde{h} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + \lambda R^k) = 1 + \lambda \tilde{h}_1 + \lambda^2 \tilde{h}_2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{h}_3 + ... + \lambda^n \tilde{h}_n, \]
can be obtained from a set of commuting linear flows
\[ R_{i\beta}^\ell = (\varepsilon^i)^{\beta-1} R_{i\beta}^\ell, \quad \beta = 1, 2, ..., n, \]
(\( \varepsilon^i \) are arbitrary constants) by a generalized reciprocal transformation,
\[ d\tilde{t}^\beta = a_\gamma^\beta dt^\gamma, \]
where
\[ a_\gamma^\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\varepsilon^k)^{\gamma-1} (R^k)^{n-\beta}. \]
In the 2- and 3-component cases the explicit form of this transformation is stated in Examples 1 and 3. The inverse reciprocal transformation is
\[ dt^\beta = A_\gamma^\beta d\tilde{t}^\gamma, \]
where
\[ A_\gamma^\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+\gamma+\beta+1} \frac{\partial_k \varepsilon_{n+1-\gamma}}{\prod_{m \neq k} (\varepsilon^k - \varepsilon^m)} \frac{\partial_k \tilde{h}_\beta}{\prod_{s \neq k} (R^k - R^s)}. \]
and
\[ \tilde{\epsilon} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + \lambda \tilde{\epsilon}^k) = 1 + \lambda \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\epsilon}_2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\epsilon}_3 + \ldots + \lambda^n \tilde{\epsilon}_n. \]

Applying Theorem 4 to local Hamiltonian structures of the corresponding linear systems, we obtain infinitely many nonlocal Hamiltonian operators associated with the system (86):

\[ A^{ij} = g^{ii} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} - g^{ii} \Gamma^{ij}_{ik} \overline{R}^k_x + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \left[ \tilde{v}^i_{\beta} \overline{R}^i_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \tilde{w}^j_{\beta} \overline{R}^j_x + \tilde{w}^i_{\beta} \overline{R}^i_x \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \tilde{v}^j_{\beta} \overline{R}^j_x \right]. \] (87)

Here \( g_{ii}(dR)^2 \) is the diagonal metric of the form

\[ \frac{dR^1^2}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (R^1 - R^k)f^1(R^1)} + \ldots + \frac{dR^n^2}{\prod_{k \neq n} (R^n - R^k)f^n(R^n)}, \] (88)

with the curvature tensor

\[ \tilde{R}^{ik}_{ik} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \left( \tilde{v}^i_{\beta} \tilde{w}^k_{\beta} + \tilde{w}^i_{\beta} \tilde{v}^k_{\beta} \right), \]

where

\[ \tilde{v}^i_{\beta} = \partial_i h_{\beta}, \]

\[ \tilde{w}^i_{\beta} = (n - \beta) \prod_{k \neq i} (R^i - R^k) \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_1'(R^i)(R^i)^{n-\beta-2} + (n - \beta - 1) f_1(R^i)(R^i)^{n-\beta-2} \right] - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n-1} \tilde{v}^i_{\gamma} c^\gamma_{\beta}, \]

\[ \partial_i c^\gamma_{\beta} = (n - \beta)(n - \gamma) \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_1'(R^i)(R^i)^{2n-\beta-\gamma-2} + (n - \beta - 1) f_1(R^i)(R^i)^{2n-\beta-\gamma-3} \right]. \]

Operators (87) depend on \( n \) arbitrary functions \( f^1(R^1), \ldots, f^n(R^n) \), and are mutually compatible. It was demonstrated in [23] that the metric (88) is not flat for \( n \geq 4 \), whatever \( f^i(R^i) \) are.
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