Adsorption Process of Ammonium by Natural Zeolite (Clinoptilolite) from Aqueous Solution for Aquaculture Application
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A B S T R A C T

Ammonia is an important nutrient in primary production, but high ammonia concentration is directly or indirectly toxic to many species of aquatic organisms. Ammonia and other toxic metabolites can be managed by adsorption and ion exchange. Zeolite is naturally occurring structured, aluminosilicate with high cation exchange and ion adsorption capacity. The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of zeolite on the ammonium adsorption at different particle sizes (0.075 mm, 3-5 mm) and adsorbent dosages (5 g/l, 10 g/l, 15 g/l) from aquatic solution. This research was conducted by trial groups with 3 repetitions during 330 min. Water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and ammonium values were measured during the trial period at regular intervals. Depending on the relevant literature, TAN (Total Ammonium Nitrogen) and adsorption efficiency were also calculated. This study values showed a decrease of TAN concentration in all the groups with increasing contact time. For different particle size and adsorbent dosage of zeolite, the best efficiency (44.1%) is determined at added 15 g/l and 0.075 mm zeolite group (P˂0.05). Our results revealed that zeolite might be used for ammonia removal in aquaculture.
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Introduction

Provision of water quality for aquarium and recirculating aquaculture systems is a necessary process. One of the most important water parameters to be controlled for aquaculture is nitrogen compounds. In water, it creates inorganic compounds, mainly nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium ions. Nitrogen compounds are essential for the continuity of living organisms because nitrogen is one of the basic building blocks of living organisms. On the other hand, the presence of excessive amounts of nitrogen ions in different forms causes adverse effects on the ecosystem, as a result, damage or even kill the health of human and aquatic organisms (Gierak and Lazarska 2017).
The fish produce nitrogen wastes by catabolism of amino acids. Nitrogen assimilation is important for fish health and profitability of production (Oluwaseyi 2016). Ammonia exists in water in two forms (un-ionized ammonia NH₃ and ionized ammonium NH₄⁺), especially ammonia (NH₃) is highly toxic to fish. Common concentrations of NH₃⁺ in aquaculture are 1–5 mg/l. NH₄⁺ concentrations in aquaculture water are significantly lower than in municipal water (Jørgensen 2002).

Until now, the basis methods used to remove ammonium from wastewater are chemical, biological and physical treatment (Bock 2016). A lot of literatures about ammonium removal with adsorption were focused on natural minerals, due to their high safety, good adsorption capacity, and cost-effectiveness. In addition, natural adsorbents are harmless to fish that can be used for treatment of aquaculture (Öz et al. 2010; Ghiasi and Jasour 2012). Adsorption is the period in which molecules from solution accumulate on the external or and internal surface of the porous solid (Kammerer et al. 2011). For this process different natural materials could be selected as zeolite, bentonite, barbecue bamboo charcoal, fly ash, sepiolite, fruit waste etc. In this study, we used zeolite which is a kind of natural mineral because of its cheaper cost and higher selectivity for ammonium removal.

Zeolites are crystallized hydrated aluminum silicates with a framework comprising pores coated by water and alkali and alkaline earth cations (Mazeikiene et al. 2008). One of the zeolites that have a high affinity for ammonia is clinoptilolite (Nicolae et al. 2017). Clinoptilolite ([Al₂Si₃O₈]₂·(K₂, Na₂, Ca) (H₂O)₄) is a natural zeolite species. Because of its ammonium-ion selectivity is a suitable material for ammonium removal at high and low levels of temperatures in wastewater (Inglezakis 2005; Karadag et al. 2006).

In freshwater for ammonium adsorption process, pH and water temperature are two important parameters. For ammonia adsorption aquaculture applications, optimum removed results are obtained between approximately 6-8.5 pH and 10-27°C values (Jørgensen 2002; Iskander et al. 2014; Ismadji et al. 2016). Also, the influence of experimental conditions like; pH, adsorbent dosage, contact time, particle size, agitation speed, and initial concentration were changed trial results (Aydin Temel and Kuleyin 2016).

In this study, it was aimed to determine the effects of zeolite on the ammonium adsorption at different dosage and particle size of adsorbent at same pH, temperature, initial concentration and contact time without agitation.

### Material and Methods

Natural zeolite (clinoptilolite) which was used in the present study proved that zeolite was an excellent adsorbent for ammonium removal from wastewater. Zeolite was taken from the Rota Mining Company in Manisa-Gördes in Western Anatolia. Chemical composition from manufacturer label of clinoptilolite were given in Table 1.

| Oxide component | % (percentage by weight) for Clinoptilolite |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|
| SiO₂            | 61.28                                    |
| Al₂O₃           | 17.79                                    |
| Fe₂O₃           | 3.01                                     |
| CaO             | 4.54                                     |
| Na₂O            | 2.70                                     |
| MgO             | 2.10                                     |
| K₂O             | 1.24                                     |

Ammonium chloride stock solution (1000 mg/l) was prepared by dissolving NH₄Cl in deionized water. Solutions in using concentration was obtained was prepared by adding appropriate amounts of NH₄Cl stock solution of deionized water to obtain an ion range of concentration varying from 11.7 mg/l (Saltali et al. 2007). Experimental groups were prepared by adding 2 liter of tap water in this research and trial groups with 3 repetitions were created for three different adsorbent dosages (5, 10, 15 g/l) and two particle sizes (0.075, 3-5 mm) (Saltali et al. 2007; Mazeikiene et al. 2008; Öz et al. 2015; Aydin Temel and Kuleyin 2016) (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Experimental materials

Clinoptilolite (3-5 mm) was obtained from natural form and then experiment materials were washed in tap water until the turbidity was removed and dried at 105 °C (Mazeikiene et al. 2008). At a controlled room temperature; aeration, heating, lighting and agitation procedures were not applied during the trial period. Water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and NH₄⁺ values were measured during the trial period. Water parameters were tested by YSI Professional Series Water Quality
The NH₄⁺ removal efficiency (%) for the trial groups was calculated by using the following equations (Alshameri et al. 2017):

Removal efficiency (%) = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} × 100; \text{ where } C_0 \text{ is the initial ammonium concentration (mg/l), } C_e \text{ is the equilibrium ammonium concentration (mg/l).}

During the study, NH₃ and TAN levels were calculated from NH₄⁺, water temperature and pH values (EPA 1999; Emerson et al. 1975; Chow et al. 1997). The calculation of the ammonium concentration was given below:

The dissociation constant, K_a, of ammonium ion was expressed as

\[ K_a = \frac{[NH_3][H^+]}{[NH_4^+]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Eq (1) can be further arranged as

\[ \frac{[NH_3]}{[NH_4^+]} = K_a \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Thus, the relationship between ammonia and ammonium concentrations may be described by

\[ \log_{10} \frac{[NH_3]}{[NH_4^+]} = pH - pK_a \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

pK_a varies with solution temperature. This temperature dependence is given by Emerson et al. (1975). As follows:

\[ pK_a = 0.09018 + \frac{272.992}{(273.2+T)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where T is the solution temperature in °C. Also; \[ [NH_3]^+ = [NH_3][H_+] - [NH_3] \] (5) \[ [NH_3][H_+] \] being the total concentration of ammonia forms.

Rearrangement of this equation of this equation yields

\[ \log_{10} \frac{[NH_3]}{[NH_3][H_+] - [NH_3]} = pH - \left(0.09018 + \frac{272.992}{(273.2+T)}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Statistical analysis of the study results was performed with “Minitab Release 17 for Windows” software. In terms of water parameters statistical significance between experimental groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean were compared using Fisher’s range test at the 5 % level of significance (Zaire 2010).

Results

Before the addition of zeolite to the experiments pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, ammonia, and TAN values were found to be approximately 7.81, 20.5 °C, 7.4 mg/l, 0.31 mg/l and 12 mg/l, respectively (P>0.05).

When the data obtained in this study were evaluated, it was found that the amount of ammonium removal by zeolite increased with decreasing adsorbent size and increasing adsorbent dosage in treatment. The pH was influenced during the experiment because of a slightly of increase in all the samples (approximately 7.81 before mixing with zeolite). TAN, NH₃, pH and dissolved O₂ values were presented in Table 2. In Table 2 all treatment groups were determined each other for different particle size and adsorbent dosage to statistically (P<0.05).

| Trial Groups | TAN     | NH₃     | T (°C) | pH      | Dissolved O₂ |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------------|
| 0.075 mm Zeolite* | 9.68±0.27c | 0.28±0.01c | 20.62±0.02 | 7.86±0.00ab  | 7.45±0.01    |
| 0.075 mm Zeolite** | 8.71±0.30d | 0.25±0.01d | 20.60±0.02 | 7.86±0.01a   | 7.44±0.01    |
| 0.075 mm Zeolite*** | 7.99±0.35e | 0.23±0.01e | 20.58±0.03 | 7.86±0.01a   | 7.44±0.01    |
| 3-5 mm Zeolite* | 11.49±0.08a | 0.32±0.00a | 20.62±0.01 | 7.84±0.00b   | 7.43±0.01    |
| 3-5 mm Zeolite** | 10.82±0.13b | 0.30±0.00b | 20.57±0.02 | 7.85±0.01b   | 7.44±0.01    |
| 3-5 mm Zeolite*** | 10.83±0.13b | 0.31±0.00b | 20.60±0.02 | 7.85±0.01b   | 7.45±0.01    |

*5 g/l, **10 g/l, *** 15 g/l; Means with different letter as superscript are significantly different in columns (P<0.05)

In the study, it was determined that the ammonium removal efficiency was stabilized at in 330 minutes. It was found that the TAN, NH₃ concentrations and pH values were significantly different (P<0.05), while temperature and dissolved oxygen values were nonsignificant (P>0.05) for trial groups. Ammonium removal efficiencies (%) of trial groups were given in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Ammonium removal efficiency (%) of clinoptilolite added groups in three different amounts and two different particle sizes at the end of the experiment.

As shown in Figure 2, the effects of the particle size and amount of adsorbent for the removal of NH$_4^+$ ion. The best ammonium removal efficiency was determined at 15 g/l and 0.075 mm as 44.1 %. Also, the low ammonium efficiency fixed 11.7 % for 3-5 mm and 5 g/l (Figure 3).

Figure 3. The NH$_4^+$ removal efficiency according to contact time of zeolite for low and high efficiency groups during the 330 min. at pH 7.81, 20.5°C.
Discussion

Ammonia is mainly produced through amino acid catabolism in fishes. Ingested proteins are hydrolyzed to amino acids, and the catabolism of excess amino acids leads to the release of ammonia. Aquatic organisms keep body ammonia levels low by excreting the ammonia produced through digestion and metabolism but this waste ammonia released into the water has a toxic effect on the limiting values depending on the species of aquatic organisms. Because of this situation, ammonia in the water should be kept under control. For this control, we used adsorption process with zeolite. Zeolite is an influential and low-cost as alternative adsorbent for the removal of NH$_4^+$-N from aquatic solution.

It was determined that removal efficiency increasing processes were mostly performed in the previous studies. The capacity of ammonium removal is affected by pH, temperature, reaction time, initial concentration, precondition to adsorbent and shaking speed. pH values for aquaculture vary from 6.5 to 8.5 (Taş et al. 2010). The highest increase in pH values determined in this study was in the group of 0.075 mm zeolite. pH of solution increases after mixing with zeolite because of calcium and magnesium carbonate compounds hydrolyze (Mazeikiene et al. 2008; Ismadji et al. 2016).

When efficiency increasing processes were applied such as initial ammonium concentration, preconditioning process and shaking speed, the efficiency varies was changed between 80-90% (Saltalı et al. 2007; Karadağ et al. 2006; Demir et al. 2002). Our study and Alshameri et al. (2014)’s study similarly showed that low initial concentration and without agitation-preconditioning were in the range of 38-81%. In the next studies, zeolite which is natural adsorbents will be modified for planing to be higher ammonium removal efficiency.

The investigation was carried out by using natural zeolite and it was determined that the amount of ammonium removal increased with decreasing adsorbent size and increasing adsorbent dosage in treatment. Comparison of experimental investigation results of two particle sizes of ammonium removal from wastewater shows that 0.075 mm size is higher efficiency than 3-5 mm particle size. This indicates that the smaller particle size has a higher ion-exchange capacity due to greater available surface area (Demir et al. 2002). This result was found to be similar to the results of the study on the effects of zeolite on the removal of ammonia from Mazeikiene et al. (2008).

In order to research the effect of adsorbent dosage on removal efficiency of NH$_4^+$, the experiment was conducted with dosage for 5 g/l, 10 g/l, 15 g/l. It has been found that the ammonium removal increases as the amount of adsorbent increases in two different sizes like Zabochnicka-Swiatek and Malinska (2010)’s study.

Evaluating to the experimental values, zeolite (clinoptilolite) had a good adsorption performance for NH$_4^+$ removal from aqueous solution to aquaculture conditions.
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