High blood pressure during pregnancy is associated with future cardiovascular disease: an observational cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The study aimed to determine if having a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP) is a risk factor for future cardiovascular disease (CVD), independent of age and body mass index (BMI).

Design: Data were sourced from the baseline questionnaire of the 45 and Up Study, Australia, an observational cohort study.

Setting: Participants were randomly selected from the Australian Medicare Database within New South Wales.

Participants: A total of 84,619 women were eligible for this study, of which 71,819 were included. These women had given birth between the ages of 18 and 45 years, had an intact uterus and ovaries, and had not been diagnosed with high blood pressure prior to their first pregnancy.

Results: HDP was associated with higher odds of having high blood pressure (<58 years: adjusted OR 3.79, 99% CI 3.38 to 4.24; p<0.001 and ≥58 years: 2.83, 2.58 to 3.12; p<0.001) and stroke (<58 years: 1.69, 1.02 to 2.82; p=0.008 and ≥58 years: 1.46, 1.13 to 1.88; p<0.001) in later life. Women with HDP had a younger age of onset of high blood pressure (45.6 vs 54.8 years, p<0.001) and stroke (58 vs 62.5 years, p<0.001). Women who had HDP and whose present day BMI was ≥25 had significantly higher odds of having high blood pressure, compared with women who were normotensive during pregnancy (<58 years: 4.55, 3.63 to 5.71; p<0.001 and ≥58 years: 2.94, 2.49 to 3.47; p<0.001). Women who had HDP and a present day BMI of ≥25 had significantly increased odds of high blood pressure (<58 years: 12.48, 10.63 to 14.66; p<0.001 and ≥58 years: 5.16, 4.54 to 5.86; p<0.001), compared with healthy weight women with a normotensive pregnancy.

Conclusions: HDP is an independent risk factor for future CVD, and this risk is further exacerbated by the presence of overweight or obesity in later life.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a major contributor to worldwide morbidity and mortality and is the leading cause of death in the USA in individuals aged 65 years and over.1 In Australia, approximately two million women are affected by CVD and the three leading causes of death in women are coronary heart disease, stroke and other heart diseases (including heart failure).2 Age is a powerful predictor of CVD, with increasing age associated with increasing arterial stiffness3 and endothelial dysfunction,4 which predisposes the individual to high blood pressure and premature ageing of the vascular system.5 Excess body fat is also a known risk factor for arterial stiffening, endothelial dysfunction and subsequent CVD.6,7 Recent evidence has demonstrated that women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) have increased arterial
stiffness,\(^8\) and these women may be at a greater risk of future CVD when compared with women who experience a normotensive pregnancy.\(^9\) HDP, which include gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia/ eclampsia, chronic hypertension and superimposed pre-eclampsia on chronic hypertension, occur in 5–10% of all pregnancies within Australia.\(^10\) Women with HDP experience an abnormal response to the placenta with shallow vascular invasion of the placential trophoblasts, which leads to an ischaemic placenta.\(^11\) This causes the placenta to release antiangiogenic proteins, which result in endothelial dysfunction. The clinical features of the maternal response to this abnormality include hypertension, renal impairment, liver impairment, pulmonary oedema, thrombocytopenia, coagulopathy and neurological disturbances. It can exacerbate existing endothelial dysfunction in women with chronic high blood pressure. The endothelial damage caused by HDP was thought to disappear immediately following birth as the mother’s blood pressure returned to its normal value, and the endothelium appeared to return to its pre-pregnancy state.\(^12\) Studies have now shown that endothelial damage can persist beyond a pregnancy diagnosed with HDP. It is this damage that is thought to increase the risk of developing CVD in later life when compared to women who remain normotensive during pregnancy.\(^13–15\)

The aim of this study was to determine whether women who reported having had an HDP were at an increased likelihood of having high blood pressure or stroke in later life, using observational data from the 45 and Up Study, Australia. We also aimed to determine how this association was modified by a woman’s age and body mass index (BMI) and whether the age of onset of high blood pressure or stroke in later life differed between women who had HDP and those who remained normotensive.

### METHODS

#### Study sample

This study obtained data from women participating in the 45 and Up Study, a large-scale cohort study of 267 153 men and women aged 45 years and over in New South Wales, Australia. Participants were randomly selected from the Australian Medicare Database which provides near-complete coverage of the population. The participants were enrolled into the study by consenting to and completing a baseline questionnaire (available at http://www.45andUp.org.au) and giving consent for long-term follow-up through data linkage and repeat data collection. People aged 80 years and over, and residents of rural and remote areas were oversampled. Study recruitment started in January 2006 and was completed in April 2009. The methods for the 45 and Up Study have been described elsewhere.\(^16\) Exposure–outcome relationships estimated from the 45 and Up Study data have been shown to be consistent with another large study of the same population, regardless of the underlying response rate or mode of questionnaire administration.\(^17\)

All the data used in this study were acquired from the 45 and Up Study baseline questionnaire. Women were included in this study if: they were age 45 years or more, had given birth between 18 and 45 years of age, had not had a hysterectomy or both ovaries removed and were not diagnosed with high blood pressure prior to their first pregnancy (figure 1).

Women were identified as having had HDP if they responded with “Yes” to the question “Has a doctor ever told you that you have: high blood pressure—when pregnant?” Where the age of ‘high blood pressure—when pregnant’ was within 1 year of the woman’s age when she first gave birth, HDP was identified as occurring during her first pregnancy. Where the age of ‘high blood pressure—when pregnant’ was greater than 1 year from the woman’s age when she first gave birth, HDP was identified as occurring during a subsequent pregnancy.

Women were identified as having high blood pressure when not pregnant if they answered “Yes” to the question “In the last month have you been treated for: high blood pressure.” Women were identified as having a stroke if they answered “Yes” to the question “Has a doctor ever told you that you have: stroke.” Women were excluded if: they reported having high blood pressure prior to having their first child; answered “Yes” to “Has a doctor ever told you that you have: high blood pressure—when not pregnant” but were not being treated for high blood pressure; they failed to provide an age of onset for high blood pressure or stroke; they provided invalid data for family history or they provided invalid data for the number of children they had given birth to in their specified age range (figure 1).

Classification of demographic and lifestyle characteristics have been described elsewhere.\(^18\)

### Statistics

ORs and 99% CIs for the association between (1) demographic and lifestyle characteristics and HDP, (2) HDP and high blood pressure in later life and (3) HDP and stroke in later life were estimated using logistic regression. Analyses were repeated for (2) and (3) combining HDP status (yes or no) and BMI (<25 or ≥25) into a single variable with four categories. Crude and adjusted ORs were calculated and descriptions referred to adjusted ORs unless otherwise specified. Owing to the known association between high blood pressure and ageing, women were stratified according to age using the median age as the cut-off point (<58 years, ≥58 years) when testing the association between HDP and high blood pressure as well as stroke in later life. ORs were adjusted for country of origin, income level, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, family history of high blood pressure (for high blood pressure analysis), family history of stroke (for stroke analysis), history of oral contraceptive use, history of menopausal hormone therapy and number of children. Categories for each covariate have been described previously.\(^18\) An additional category for missing values
was included for variables with missing data. Linear regression was used to study the association between age of onset of high blood pressure and stroke and HDP. All statistical tests were two sided, using a significance level of $p<0.01$ to partially account for multiple testing issues. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software V.20 (IBM Corp, New York, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 84 619 women were eligible for the study (figure 1). These women had given birth between the ages 18–45 years, had an intact uterus and had not been diagnosed with high blood pressure prior to their first pregnancy. Of these women, 71 819 were included in the study (exclusion criteria shown in figure 1), of which 7706 (10.7%) reported having had HDP. Of these, 3237 (42%) reported current treatment for high blood pressure and 165 (2.1%) reported having had a stroke. In comparison, of the 64 113 women who did not report HDP, 10 668 (16.6%) reported current treatment for high blood pressure and 913 (1.4%) reported having had a stroke. There was no significant difference in odds for having high blood pressure (OR 1.02, 99% CI 0.88 to 1.16; $p=0.77$) or stroke (OR 0.99, 99% CI 0.64 to 1.54; $p=0.97$) between HDP during first pregnancy compared with HDP in a subsequent pregnancy. As a result, the analysis grouped all women who reported HDP, irrespective of which pregnancy it had occurred in.

Figure 1  Participants included in the study.
The association between sociodemographic factors and whether a woman had HDP is shown in Table 1. A positive family history of high blood pressure and stroke, oral contraceptive use, and having three or more children were associated with significantly higher odds of having had HDP. Women who were current smokers had reduced odds of having had HDP compared with women who had never smoked.

HDP was associated with increased odds of having high blood pressure in later life (women <58 years: OR 3.79, 99% CI 3.38 to 4.24; p<0.001) compared with women who did not have HDP and whose present day BMI was <25 (Figure 2). Women who had HDP and whose present day BMI was <25 had significantly higher odds of having high blood pressure compared with women who did not have HDP and whose present day BMI was ≥25 (women <58 years: OR 1.33, 99% CI 1.13 to 1.53; p<0.001 and women ≥58 years: OR 1.46, 99% CI 1.13 to 1.88; p<0.001).

HDP was also associated with increased odds of having stroke in later life (women <58 years: OR 1.69, 99% CI 1.02 to 2.82; p<0.001 and women ≥58 years: OR 1.46, 99% CI 1.13 to 1.88; p<0.001) compared with women who remained normotensive during their pregnancy (adjusted β=−4.01, 99% CI −6.87 to −1.16; p<0.001).

Stratification of women according to HDP status and BMI found that women who had HDP and whose current BMI was ≥25 had significantly increased odds of having high blood pressure (women <58 years: OR 12.48, 99% CI 10.63 to 14.66; p<0.001 and women ≥58 years: OR 5.15, 99% CI 4.54 to 5.86; p<0.001) compared with women who did not have HDP and whose present day BMI was <25.

Table 1  Sociodemographic factors and health risk factors associated with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP)

| Characteristics                  | Status | N (% of column) | Percentage of HDP | OR (99% CI)† |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Family history of high blood pressure | No     | 33 349 (46)     | 7                 | 1.00        |
|                                  | Yes    | 38 470 (54)     | 14                | 2.14 (2.00 to 2.30)* |
| Family history of stroke         | No     | 53 416 (74)     | 10                | 1.00        |
|                                  | Yes    | 18 403 (26)     | 13                | 1.13 (1.05 to 1.21)* |
| Smoking status                   | Never  | 46 832 (65)     | 11                | 1.00        |
|                                  | Past   | 19 996 (28)     | 11                | 0.95 (0.89 to 1.02) |
|                                  | Current| 4669 (7)        | 9                 | 0.79 (0.69 to 0.91)* |
| Past oral contraceptive use      | No     | 12 339 (17)     | 9                 | 1.00        |
|                                  | Yes    | 58 440 (81)     | 11                | 1.28 (1.17 to 1.40)* |
| Number of children               | 1      | 7581 (11)       | 9                 | 1.00        |
|                                  | 2      | 29 298 (41)     | 10                | 1.10 (0.98 to 1.23) |
|                                  | 3      | 21 441 (30)     | 11                | 1.23 (1.09 to 1.38)* |
|                                  | 4 or more | 13 499 (19)   | 12                | 1.46 (1.29 to 1.65)* |

Percentage of HDP is the percentage of women who responded with ‘yes’ to having had high blood pressure during pregnancy. Percentages do not consistently total to 100% due to missing values.

* p<0.01.
† Adjusted for family history of high blood pressure and stroke, smoking status, history of oral contraceptive use and number of children.

Table 2  Association between high blood pressure when pregnant and maternal CVD in later life

| CVD          | Current age | HDP | Cases (n) | Crude OR (99% CI) | Adjusted OR (99% CI)† |
|--------------|-------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| High blood pressure | <58 | No  | 31 935 | 1.00 reference |                      |
|                | <58         | Yes | 3 854   | 4.89 (4.40 to 5.43) | 3.79 (3.38 to 4.24)* |
|                | ≥58         | No  | 32 178  | 1.00 reference |                      |
|                | ≥58         | Yes | 3852   | 3.51 (3.21 to 3.84) | 2.83 (2.58 to 3.12)* |
| Stroke        | <58         | No  | 35 613  | 1.00 reference |                      |
|                | <58         | Yes | 176    | 1.92 (1.17 to 3.16) | 1.69 (1.02 to 2.82)* |
|                | ≥58         | No  | 35 128  | 1.00 reference |                      |
|                | ≥58         | Yes | 902    | 1.45 (1.13 to 1.85) | 1.46 (1.13 to 1.88)* |

* p<0.01.
† Analysis adjusted for country of origin, income level, BMI, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, family history of high blood pressure (for high blood pressure analysis) and stroke (for stroke analysis), history of oral contraceptive use and menopausal hormone therapy and number of children.

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDP, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.
Current BMI was ≥25 had significantly increased odds of having stroke (women <58 years: OR 2.24, 99% CI 1.14 to 4.42; p=0.002 and women ≥58 years: OR 1.46, 99% CI 1.05 to 2.04; p=0.003) compared with women who did not have HDP and whose present day BMI was <25 (figure 3). There was no significant difference in odds
Figure 3  The odds of having a stroke depending on a woman’s body mass index (BMI) and whether she had a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP), compared with women with present-day BMI <25 who were normotensive during pregnancy, stratified by current age.
of having stroke in the other groups of women (BMI$\geq$25 and no HDP, and BMI<25 and yes HDP), compared with women of healthy weight who had remained normotensive during their pregnancy.

**DISCUSSION**

The *45 and Up Study* is the largest self-reported cohort of Australian women ever examined. Our results show that having an HDP is associated with significantly increased odds of having high blood pressure in later life, compared with women that remained normotensive, and this was exacerbated in women with BMI$\geq$25 kg/m$^2$. Women who reported having HDP were 9.2 years younger at the age of onset of high blood pressure, compared with women who experienced healthy pregnancies. Similarly, women who suffered from HDP had significantly higher odds of having stroke compared with women who remained normotensive during their pregnancy and the age of onset of stroke was significantly earlier in women with HDP. Women within the healthy weight range in our study, who had experienced HDP, had significantly higher odds of having present-day high blood pressure compared with similar aged women who were overweight or obese, but who had been normotensive during pregnancy. Women who had both risk factors, namely BMI$\geq$25 kg/m$^2$ and HDP, had up to 12.48 increased odds of having high blood pressure and up to 2.24 increased odds of stroke, compared with women within the healthy weight range with normotensive pregnancies. This shows that HDP is an independent risk factor for future high blood pressure and when combined with traditional risk factors, such as BMI, a history of HDP can significantly increase the odds of future CVD.

This study analysed cross-sectional and observational data from the baseline questionnaire from the *45 and Up Study*. As a result, the causal nature of associations could not be assessed. Our data may have a selection bias with regard to the prevalence of stroke, as only women who survived their stroke were able to be surveyed. These data are unable to differentiate between the different categories of HDP, severity of the disease, duration of the pregnancy, timing of onset of the disease during pregnancy and the relationship between the timing of high blood pressure and the timing of delivery. Other studies have shown that the more severe the high blood pressure and the earlier its onset during pregnancy, the greater was the risk of future high blood pressure compared with women who suffered a milder or more moderate form of the disease. In our study, the prevalence of high blood pressure in pregnancy was 8.7%, which is higher than what has been reported previously in the literature at 8.7%. This may be explained by self-reported data recall bias or the systematic underreporting of HDP.

Our results support existing evidence of a link between HDP and subsequent cardiovascular risk. Studies have shown that women who had HDP have a greater risk of cardiovascular morbidity, including an increased risk of hypertension, stroke and coronary heart disease, and that CVD is more common in these women within one to two decades of having hypertension during pregnancy. Our study extends these findings by showing that women with HDP have a significantly younger age of onset of high blood pressure and stroke. HDP was significantly associated with increased odds of future CVD in all age groups analysed, but the odds reduced with increasing age, demonstrating age as an independent predictor of future CVD.

HDP shares many of the aetiologies of CVD, such as inflammation and endothelial changes, as well as having overlapping risk factors, such as obesity, insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia. Sustained endothelial dysfunction caused by damage to the endothelium during HDP may be responsible for the long-term consequences observed in these women. Alternatively, pre-existing endothelial dysfunction prior to conception may be a triggering mechanism for HDP as well as increasing the risk for CVD later in life. Studies have shown that endothelial dysfunction persists in women following HDP, namely pre-eclampsia. Additionally, studies have found a strong link between pre-eclampsia and higher circulating concentrations of fasting insulin, lipid and coagulation factors post partum. High BMI is also known to adversely influence the endothelium, and endothelial dysfunction is an early risk factor for future catastrophic CVD events in obese adults. An inherited predisposition to endothelial dysfunction, obesity or insulin resistance may explain the increased odds of CVD in women who had HDP, where the development of HDP was an early warning sign unmasking the genetic predisposition due to the stress of pregnancy. This increased risk of CVD is further exacerbated by the presence of overweight or obesity in later life, particularly in women <58 years of age.

HDP are associated with higher odds of having CVD in later life and also constitute an independent risk factor for future CVD. Women who are overweight or obese and have HDP have significantly higher odds of having high blood pressure and stroke in later life. This study adds to the evidence that a woman’s pregnancy history is important when assessing her future risk of CVD. Women who experience HDP should be closely monitored for cardiovascular risk factors, including blood pressure, hyperglycaemia and hyperlipidaemia in the years following pregnancy. Women should be encouraged to maintain a healthy weight, particularly if they experience HDP. Future research within this field should focus on the association between the severity of HDP and future CVD, whether different treatment strategies for HDP result in varied CVD health outcomes, and whether monitoring and early intervention (such as lifestyle modification) following pregnancy can help minimise the risk of future CVD in women who experienced HDP.
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