ddcP, pstB, and excess D-lactate impact synergism between vancomycin and chlorhexidine against Enterococcus faecium 1,231,410
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Abstract

Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are important nosocomial pathogens that cause life-threatening infections. To control hospital-associated infections, skin antisepsis and bathing utilizing chlorhexidine is recommended for VRE patients in acute care hospitals. Previously, we reported that exposure to inhibitory chlorhexidine levels induced the expression of vancomycin resistance genes in VanA-type Enterococcus faecium. However, vancomycin susceptibility actually increased for VanA-type E. faecium in the presence of chlorhexidine. Hence, a synergistic effect of the two antimicrobials was observed. In this study, we used multiple approaches to investigate the mechanism of synergism between chlorhexidine and vancomycin in the VanA-type VRE strain E. faecium 1,231,410. We generated clean deletions of 7 of 11 pbp, transpeptidase, and carboxypeptidase genes in this strain (ponA, pbpF, pbpZ, pbpA, ddcP, ldtM, and vanY). Deletion of ddcP, encoding a membrane-bound carboxypeptidase, altered the synergism phenotype. Furthermore, using in vitro evolution, we isolated a spontaneous synergy escaper mutant and utilized whole genome sequencing to determine that a mutation in pstB, encoding an ATPase of phosphate-specific transporters, also altered synergism. Finally, addition of excess D-lactate, but not D-alanine, enhanced synergism to reduce vancomycin MIC levels. Overall, our work identified factors that alter chlorhexidine and vancomycin synergism in a model VanA-type VRE strain.

Introduction

Enterococcus faecium are Gram-positive commensal bacteria inhabiting the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and animals [1]. The ability to survive in harsh environmental conditions including starvation and desiccation facilitated the emergence of hospital-adapted strains which are resistant to the action of antibiotics and disinfectants [2]. Hospital-adapted enterococcal strains have limited treatment options and are typically characterized by high-level...
resistance to vancomycin, a glycopeptide antibiotic which inhibits the process of peptidoglycan synthesis [3, 4]. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) synthesize peptidoglycan precursors for which vancomycin has low affinity [5–8]. Vancomycin resistance in hospital-adapted enterococcal isolates occurs through the horizontal acquisition of resistance genes [9, 10]. For VanA-type VRE, vancomycin resistance is conferred and controlled by the activities encoded by the \( \text{vanRS} \), \( \text{vanHAX} \), and \( \text{vanYZ} \) genes [11].

Patients in critical care units are frequently bathed or cleansed with chlorhexidine, a cationic cell membrane-targeting antimicrobial, to reduce the occurrence of hospital-associated infections [12–14]. Chlorhexidine interacts with the negatively charged phospholipids and proteins on the cell membrane after primary adsorption by the cell [15, 16]. Low chlorhexidine levels disrupt the membrane potential and integrity whereas high chlorhexidine levels can cause a complete precipitation of the cytoplasm [17–19]. We previously analyzed the transcriptome of the VanA-type vancomycin-resistant strain \( E. \text{faecium} \) 1,231,410 exposed to inhibitory levels of chlorhexidine, and we found that chlorhexidine stress induced the expression of the VanA-type vancomycin resistance genes [20]. However, vancomycin MIC actually decreased when sub-MIC chlorhexidine levels were present in broth microdilution assays [20], resensitizing \( E. \text{faecium} \) 1,231,410 to vancomycin (MIC shift from >256 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) to <4 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) EUCAST breakpoint for vancomycin resistance is >4 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) [21].

The objective of this study is to understand the mechanistic basis of chlorhexidine-vancomycin synergy in \( E. \text{faecium} \). We previously proposed three models to explain this phenotype, which occurs despite transcriptional activation of VanA-type vancomycin resistance genes by chlorhexidine [20]. Vancomycin resistance genes code for the synthesis of alternative peptidoglycan precursors that terminate in D-alanine-D-lactate (D-Ala-D-Lac), for which vancomycin has lower affinity compared to the normal D-alanine-D-alanine (D-Ala-D-Ala). Model 1 is that altered penicillin-binding protein (Pbp) levels in the presence of chlorhexidine prevents D-Ala-D-Lac precursors from being cross-linked. Model 2 proposes that chlorhexidine alters substrate pools for peptidoglycan synthesis, resulting in vancomycin-sensitive termini that are neither D-Ala-D-Ala nor D-Ala-D-Lac. Model 3 is that post-translational regulation of VanX and/or VanY prevents depletion of D-Ala-D-Ala termini from peptidoglycan precursors in the presence of chlorhexidine. In this study, we used targeted gene deletions, \textit{in vitro} evolution, and culture assays in modified media to assess specific features of these models. Overall, we identify two genes, \( \text{ddcP} \) and \( \text{pstB} \), and one growth condition (excess D-lactate), that alter chlorhexidine-vancomycin synergy outcomes in the model VanA-type VRE strain \( E. \text{faecium} \) 1,231,410.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. \( E. \text{faecium} \) was cultured at 37°C on brain heart infusion (BHI) agar or in broth without agitation unless otherwise stated. \( E. \text{coli} \) was cultured at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB) broth with shaking at 225 rpm or on LB with 1.5% agar unless otherwise stated. The chlorhexidine product used for all experiments was Hibiclens (4% wt/vol chlorhexidine gluconate with 4% isopropyl alcohol). We refer to Hibiclens as H-CHG in this study. Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations: vancomycin, 50 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) for \( E. \text{faecium} \), and chloramphenicol, 15 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) for \( E. \text{coli} \).

MIC determinations

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines define the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) as "the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial agent that prevents
| Strain or plasmid       | Description                                                                 | Reference          |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Bacterial strains**   |                                                                             |                    |
| *E. faecium* 1,231,410  | Clade A clinical isolate from skin and soft tissue infection; isolate;       | [22]               |
|                         | VanA-type VRE                                                                |                    |
| PB411                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔpbpF (EFTG_02258)                                    | This study         |
| PB412                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔddcP (EFTG_01253)                                    | This study         |
| PB413                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔvanY (EFTG_02039)                                    | This study         |
| PB414                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 Δldtfm (EFTG_02461)                                   | This study         |
| PB416                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔpowA (EFTG_00370)                                    | This study         |
| PB417                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔpbpZ (EFTG_01189)                                    | This study         |
| PB418                   | *E. faecium* 1,231,410 ΔddcP ΔvanY                                            | This study         |
|                         | marked deletion with tetL.                                                   |                    |
| MI111                   | *E. faecium* PB412 with reconstituted ddcP transformed with Kl construct    | This study         |
|                         |                                                                             |                    |
| SE101                   | Synergy escaper mutant; has Ser199Leu                                        | This study         |
|                         | substitution in EFTG_01173                                                   |                    |
|                         |                                                                             |                    |
| **Plasmids**            |                                                                             |                    |
| pHA101                  | MARKERLESS, COUNTERSELECTABLE EXCHANGE PLASMID; confers chloramphenicol     | [20]               |
|                         | resistance (CamR)                                                            |                    |
|                         |                                                                             |                    |
| pPB401                  | pHA101 containing a 2.028-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested construct                 | This study         |
|                         | fragment flanking upstream and downstream of                                 |                    |
|                         | *E. faecium* 410 pbpF (EFTG_02258), CamR                                   |                    |
| pPB402                  | pHA101 containing a 2.019-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested                           | This study         |
|                         | fragment flanking upstream and downstream of                                 |                    |
|                         | fragment flanking upstream and downstream of                                 |                    |
|                         | *E. faecium* 410 ddcP (EFTG_01253), CamR                                   |                    |
| pPB403                  | pHA101 containing a 2.010-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested                           | This study         |
|                         | fragment flanking upstream and downstream of                                 |                    |
|                         | *E. faecium* 410 vanY (EFTG_02039), CamR                                   |                    |
| pPB404                  | pHA101 containing a 2.010-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested                           | This study         |
|                         | fragment flanking upstream and downstream of                                 |                    |

(Continued)
visible growth of a microorganism in an agar or broth dilution susceptibility test” [23]. In this study, we report MIC values as the lowest drug concentration at which the visible growth of the bacterial culture matches that of the negative control (uninoculated BHI broth) in a broth microdilution assay in a 96-well microtiter plate. We modified our previously published broth microdilution protocol [20] for reporting the chlorhexidine-vancomycin synergy MIC, which refers to the minimum vancomycin concentration required to inhibit the visible growth of enterococci in BHI medium supplemented with H-CHG. For determining the synergy MIC, 100 μl of BHI supplemented with H-CHG was added to all the wells of a 96-well microtiter plate, except for the first column of wells, in which 195 μl of BHI supplemented with H-CHG was added. Next, 5 μl of vancomycin stock solution (40 mg/ml in water) was added to the first row of wells and mixed thoroughly by pipetting. 100 μl was then serially transferred across the plate to make two-fold serial dilutions of vancomycin, except for the last column of wells (no vancomycin control). Overnight cultures of E. faecium were diluted to OD₆₀₀ of 0.01 in fresh BHI, and 5 μl of the diluted culture was used to inoculate the wells of the plate. The visible growth of the cultures was checked after 24 h incubation at 37˚C. For determining synergy MIC in the presence of D-lactate or D-alanine, D-lactate or D-alanine were solubilized to a final concentration of 0.2 M in BHI and the solutions were filter sterilized. Two-fold serial dilutions of vancomycin were made in BHI supplemented with D-lactate/D-alanine and H-CHG as described above. Fold decrease was calculated by dividing the vancomycin MIC in the absence of H-CHG by the vancomycin MIC in the presence of the highest H-CHG concentration at which visible growth was observed. Each experiment was performed independently at least three times.

Table 1. (Continued)

| Strain or plasmid | Description | Reference |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| E. faecium 410 ldtfm (EFTG_02461), Camᵦ | pHA101 containing a 2.028-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested fragment flanking upstream and downstream of E. faecium 410 ponA (EFTG_00370), Camᵦ | This study |
| E. faecium 410 ponA (EFTG_00370), Camᵦ | pHA101 containing a 2.028-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested fragment flanking upstream and downstream of E. faecium 410 ponA (EFTG_00370), Camᵦ | This study |
| E. faecium 410 ponA (EFTG_00370), Camᵦ | pHA101 containing a 4.317-kb fragment containing flanking upstream, tetracycline resistance gene (tetL), anand downstream of E. faecium downstream arms of E. faecium 410 ppa (EFTG_02132, confers tetracycline antibiotic resistance | This study |
| pMI101 | pHA101 containing a 3.303-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested fragment flanking upstream and downstream arms with ddp gene (EFTG_01253), Camᵦ | This study |
| pPB411 | pHA101 containing a 2.028-kb EcoRI/EcoRI-digested fragment flanking upstream and downstream of E. faecium 410 pst transporters (EFTG_01170–74), Camᵦ | This study |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249631.t001
Deletion of genes in *E. faecium*

Genes were deleted in-frame utilizing plasmid pHA101 as described previously [20]. Briefly, ~1 kb regions upstream and downstream of the target gene were amplified using Phusion polymerase (Fisher) and purified using the Purelink PCR purification kit (Invitrogen). Primers used in this study are shown in S1 Table. The DNA fragments were digested and ligated to pHA101 using restriction endonucleases (New England Biolabs; NEB) and T4 DNA ligase (NEB) reactions as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The deletion construct plasmid was purified using the GeneJET Miniprep kit (Thermo Scientific) and sequence was verified by Sanger sequencing at Massachusetts General Hospital DNA core facility (Boston, MA). The deletion construct plasmid was introduced into *E. faecium* by electroporation as described previously [20]. Temperature shifting at the non-permissible temperature of 42˚C and counter-selection with p-chlorophenylalanine was followed according to a previously published protocol [24]. Presumptive deletion mutants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the region of interest followed by Illumina genome sequencing (see below).

After several unsuccessful attempts to generate an unmarked deletion of *pbpA* in *E. faecium* 1,231,410, we introduced a tetracycline resistance marker (*tetL*) between the flanking upstream and downstream arms of the deletion construct to select for deletion mutants. Briefly, *tetL* was amplified from pLT06-*tet* using primers *tetL* For and Rev. The deletion construct was linearized via PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase (Fisher) and primers *pbpA*-linear For and Rev (S1 Table). The linearized PCR product was dephosphorylated using Shrimp Alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs) per the manufacturer’s instructions and then ligated with *tetL* to generate the deletion construct PB408. The deletion construct was propagated in EC1000 and sequenced using Sanger sequencing prior to transformation into *E. faecium* 1,231,410.

Complementation of *ddcP* deletion

The *ddcP* gene was restored to the chromosome of the *E. faecium* 1,231,410 *ddcP* deletion mutant. The *ddcP* gene and ~1 kb regions up- and downstream were amplified from *E. faecium* 1,231,410 wild-type gDNA, and the amplicon was digested and inserted into pHA101. The knock-in plasmid construct (pMI101) was transformed into the *ddcP* deletion mutant by electroporation. The temperature shifting and counter-selection protocol was followed as described previously [24]. The chromosomal integration of the gene was confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Growth kinetics of *E. faecium* in the presence of H-CHG and vancomycin

Overnight cultures of *E. faecium* were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.01 in fresh, pre-warmed BHI and incubated at 37˚C with shaking at 100 rpm. The cultures were grown until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.5 to 0.6. Twenty-five milliliters of the culture were added to equal amounts of pre-warmed BHI containing vancomycin (50 μg/ml) and/or H-CHG (4.9 μg/ml), or only BHI (control). OD₆₀₀ values were then monitored hourly for 6 h, and an OD₆₀₀ reading was taken at the 24 h time point. For some experiments and timepoints, CFU/mL were additionally determined by serial dilution of culture and plating on BHI agar. Growth curves were repeated independently three times. For assessing synergy between vancomycin and glycine, the same experimental design was used, except that H-CHG was replaced with 0.2 M glycine.

Isolation of *E. faecium* 1,231,410 synergy escaper mutant

An *E. faecium* 1,231,410 wild-type culture treated with vancomycin and H-CHG was incubated for 24 h, when turbidity was observed. The recovered culture was used as an inoculum
for a second growth curve experiment with vancomycin and H-CHG. OD\textsubscript{600} values were monitored for 6 h, and at the end of 6 h, the cultures were cryopreserved at -80°C. The stocked populations were struck on BHI agar, and the synergy MIC was determined for well-isolated colonies using the broth microdilution assay described above. Colonies with elevated synergy MIC as compared to the parental \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 strain were passaged three times in BHI broth and the synergy MICs determined again. A strain with a stably elevated synergy MIC was isolated; this strain is referred to as SE101.

**Genome sequencing and analysis**

SE101 gDNA was isolated according to a previously published protocol [25] and sequenced with Illumina technology at Molecular Research LP (Shallowater, Texas). Paired end, 2x150 reads were obtained. For the analyses, sequence reads were assembled locally to the \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 draft reference genome (GenBank accession number NZ_ACBA00000000.1) using default parameters in CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen). Polymorphisms in the resequencing assemblies were detected using basic variant mapping using default settings with a minimum variant frequency of 50%. To detect transposon/IS element hopping, the assembly parameters were changed to global instead of local alignment, and regions with sequential polymorphisms were manually analyzed for potential transposon/IS element hopping. Sanger sequencing was utilized to confirm polymorphisms.

To confirm deletion mutants, gDNA was isolated as above and sequenced with Illumina technology at the UT-Dallas Genome Core (for deletion mutants \textit{ΔpbpF}, \textit{ΔddcP}, \textit{ΔvanY}, \textit{Δldtfm}, \textit{ΔponA}, \textit{ΔddcP ΔvanY}, \textit{ΔddcP Δldtfm}, and the \textit{ΔpbpA} marked deletion with \textit{tetL}) or the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center in Pittsburgh, PA (for the \textit{ΔpbpZ} mutant). Paired end, 2x75 reads and 2x150 reads were obtained, respectively. For the analyses, sequence reads were assembled locally to the \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 draft reference genome as above. Average coverage of the reference genome ranged from 88-245X across all mutants analyzed. The absence of the gene of interest was confirmed for each presumptive deletion mutant by manually analyzing the read assembly at the location of the gene.

**Phosphate levels measurement**

A commercially available kit (Sigma MAK030) and previously published protocol [26, 27] was utilized to measure intracellular inorganic phosphate (P\textsubscript{i}) levels at five time points (OD\textsubscript{600} from 0.4–0.5, 0.6–0.7, 0.7–0.8, 0.8–0.9, 1.0–1.5) from \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 and SE101 cultures. The phosphate levels were normalized by CFU and five independent trials were performed.

**Results and discussion**

**Addition of D-lactate enhances chlorhexidine-vancomycin synergy**

It was previously observed that culture supplementation with 0.2 M of glycine or certain D-amino acids (including D-methionine, D-serine, D-alanine, or D-phenylalanine) increased VRE susceptibility to vancomycin [28]. This suggests that, when cultures are supplemented with excess amino or short acids, cell wall cytoplasmic termini ending with non-conventional acids are synthesized and bind to vancomycin, thereby increasing vancomycin susceptibility. Consistent with this, Aart et al. reported that excess D-Alanine substrate competes with D-Lactate, increasing the ratio of cell wall termini ending at D-Alanine. This increased the efficacy of vancomycin against \textit{Streptomyces} and \textit{E. faecium} [29]. Another study has reported the formation of modified cytoplasmic cell wall precursor termini in the presence of D-hydroxy acids, including D-lactate, and correlation of this with vancomycin resistance levels in \textit{Lactobacillus}.
We reasoned that if H-CHG stress resulted in an alteration of substrate pools and therefore vancomycin-sensitive termini that are neither D-Ala-D-Ala nor D-Ala-D-Lac (Model 2), an excess of D-lactate could compete with this alternative pathway, thereby increasing the number of D-Ala-D-Lac termini and resulting in loss of synergism between vancomycin and H-CHG.

Vancomycin synergy MIC assays were performed with *E. faecium* 1,231,410 in the presence of H-CHG and 0.2 M D-lactate/ D-alanine (Table 2). Addition of D-lactate to BHI broth lacking H-CHG resulted in a 4-fold increase in vancomycin MIC (Table 2), demonstrating that excess D-lactate does result in reduced vancomycin susceptibility. However, and counter to our expectations, in the presence of both D-lactate and H-CHG, the synergy phenotype was enhanced (Table 2). This result suggests that excess D-lactate presence contributes to synergism phenotype, possibly by resulting in peptidoglycan precursors ending in D-lactate. As expected based on the results of Aart et al. [29], vancomycin MIC decreased in the presence of D-alanine, and we observed only a 2-fold additional MIC decrease in the presence of both D-alanine and H-CHG (Table 2).

**Deletion of ddcP alters the synergism phenotype**

The enterococcal cell wall is a multi-layered network and is characterized by the presence of peptidoglycan, teichoic acid, and polysaccharides [31, 32]. The main component of the cell wall is peptidoglycan, which is a mesh-like structure consisting of parallel glycan chains cross-linked by amino acids [31]. The glycan chains consist of two alternating amino sugars, N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) and N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), connected by β-1,4 linkages [33–35]. In *E. faecium*, each MurNAc sugar is linked to short stem pentapeptides (L-alanine¹-D-isoglutamic acid²-L-lysine³-D-alanine⁴-D-alanine⁵), which alternate between L- and D-amino acids [34, 36]. The MurNAc and GlcNAc glycan sugars are synthesized as a UDP (Uridine diphosphate) derivative in a step-wise fashion in the cytosol [37]. Next, MurNAc sugars containing short peptides are transferred to a lipid carrier Lipid I (C₅₅-undecaprenol) [38, 39] and added to UDP-derivative GlcNAc to build a disaccharide, GlcNAc-MurNAc-pentapeptide-C₅₅ pyrophosphate, also known as Lipid II [37, 38]. Lipid II units are translocated from the cytosol to the outer side of the cell membrane [40] and polymerized through an ordered rate of two processes, transglycosylation (condensation of linear glycan chains) and transpeptidation (cross-linking between carboxyl group of one pentapeptide and amino acid of an adjacent pentapeptide). The disaccharide units are integrated into the growing peptidoglycan layers to form the cell wall [41, 42] and the lipid carrier is recycled back into the cytosol.

Two classes of penicillin-binding proteins (Pbps) mediate the transpeptidation process [43–45]. Class A Pbps (encoded by *ponA*, *pbpF*, and *pbpZ*) are bifunctional, multimodular,

| Vancomycin MIC (μg/ml) | H-CHG (μg/ml) | BHI | BHI + 0.2 M D-Lactate | BHI + 0.2 M D-Alanine |
|------------------------|--------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 0                      | 250          | 1000| 7.8                   |
| 1.2                    | 62.5         | 1.0 | 3.9                   |
| 2.4                    | 0.2          | No growth | No growth |
| 4.9                    | No growth    | No growth | No growth |

*Vancomycin MICs (μg/ml) at 24 h post-inoculation from at least three independent experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249631.t002
high-molecular mass proteins, and catalyze both transpeptidation and transglycosylation reactions. Class B Pbps (encoded by \textit{pbpB}, \textit{pbpA}, and \textit{pbp5}) are monofunctional, low-molecular mass proteins, and catalyze only transpeptidation reactions. Class A and B Pbps mediate 4,3 cross-links (D,D-transpeptidation) between cell wall precursors and these cross-links constitute the majority of the mature cell wall [42]. However, a minority of 3,3 cross-links are also present in the enterococcal cell wall. The combined activities of DdcP or DdcY (D,D-carboxypeptidase) and the L,D transpeptidase Ldt\textsubscript{fm} can bypass conventional D,D-transpeptidation and mediate 3,3 cross-linking [46–48]. DdcP and/or DdcY generates tetrapeptides and reduces the availability of pentapeptide precursors by trimming the terminal D-Ala. Next, Ldt\textsubscript{fm} mediates cross-links between these cell wall termini.

To determine whether these factors contribute to vancomycin-chlorhexidine synergism against VRE (Model 1), we deleted 6 of 9 of these genes in \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 (Table 1). Our presumptive \textit{pbpB}, \textit{pbp5}, and \textit{ddcY} deletion mutants could not be confirmed by genome sequencing. We utilized growth curves to assess phenotypes of the deletion mutants in the presence and absence of vancomycin and H-CHG. For these experiments, vancomycin (50 \textmu g/ml) and H-CHG (4.9 \textmu g/ml) were added to exponentially growing \textit{E. faecium} cultures; a no-drug control was also performed. As shown in Fig 1A, the OD\textsubscript{600} of \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 wild-type cultures decreased after addition of vancomycin and H-CHG, consistent with cell lysis. After 24 h, the cultures treated with vancomycin and H-CHG were visibly turbid, indicating that \textit{E. faecium} can recover from the effects of the antimicrobials in this experimental condition.

The growth phenotypes of the \textit{ΔpbpF}, \textit{ΔponA}, \textit{ΔpbpZ}, \textit{ΔpbpA}, and \textit{Δldt\textsubscript{fm}} deletion mutants, as measured by OD\textsubscript{600} values, were comparable to the parental strain (S1 Fig). The \textit{ΔddcP} mutant had a different phenotype from the wild-type strain (Fig 1B). After treatment with vancomycin and H-CHG, the OD\textsubscript{600} values for the \textit{ddcP} deletion mutant did not decrease. The OD\textsubscript{600} values were significantly different between the wild-type and the \textit{ΔddcP} mutant for all time points post-H-CHG and vancomycin addition (\textit{P}-value < 0.05, one-tailed Student’s \textit{t}-test). The \textit{ΔddcP} mutant was complemented by restoration of the \textit{ddcP} gene in \textit{cis}. OD\textsubscript{600} values of the complemented strain in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG were similar to the wild-type (Fig 1C). No statistically significant differences in OD\textsubscript{600} values were observed between the wild-type and the \textit{ddcP} complemented strain in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG.

In separate experiments, we assessed both the OD\textsubscript{600} and viability of wild-type and \textit{ΔddcP} cultures for 3 hours post-treatment with vancomycin and H-CHG. Optical density values significantly differed between the two strains post-treatment; however, CFU/mL values did not (S2 Fig). We found that \textit{ddcP} deletion resulted in a bacteriostatic effect on cell growth and failure to induce cell lysis in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG in \textit{E. faecium}.

The \textit{ΔddcP} mutant has altered susceptibility to vancomycin-glycine synergy

Glycine amino acid can complete with L- and D-Alanine for incorporation into the peptido-glycan, precursors resulting in severe morphological aberrations in \textit{Lactobacillus} and \textit{Staphylococcus} [49]. Synergism between glycine and vancomycin was previously reported for VRE [28]. We carried out growth curves in the presence of vancomycin (50 \textmu g/ml) and 0.2 M glycine. A lytic effect was observed for the wild-type strain cultured with vancomycin and glycine, with the most pronounced effect observed at the 24 h time point (Fig 2A). However, this lytic effect was not observed for the \textit{ΔddcP} mutant (\textit{P}-value < 0.05, assessed by one-tailed Student’s \textit{t}-test) (Fig 2B). Together with our results in Fig 1 and S2 Fig, these results indicate that \textit{ddcP} deletion results in growth arrest in \textit{E. faecium} in the presence of H-CHG or glycine and vancomycin antimicrobial but does not contribute to cell lysis.
A mutant does not have an altered synergy phenotype

Previously, Kristich et al. investigated the genetic basis of synergism between vancomycin and cephalosporins (a class of β-lactam antibiotics) in the VanB-type VRE strain E. faecalis V583 [50]. The synergism was mediated by VanY, a carboxypeptidase that reduces the availability of precursors ending at D-Ala-D-Ala by trimming the terminal D-Ala, thereby eliminating the target of vancomycin. In the absence of vanY, cross-linking of cell wall precursors was mediated by low-affinity Pbps and synergism between vancomycin and cephalosporins was lost [50]. To determine whether vanY contributed to vancomycin-chlorhexidine synergism against VRE, which is a component of our Model 3, we deleted vanY in E. faecium 1,231,410.

We observed no effect on the synergy phenotype as assessed by OD600 values (S1C Fig). Moreover, deletion of ddcP in a ΔvanY background did not further enhance the phenotype of a ΔddcP mutant (S2E Fig).

E. faecium 1,231,410 can escape from vancomycin-chlorhexidine synergy

We colony-purified a synergy escaper mutant (SE101) from the second growth curve cycle, as described in the materials and methods. The growth kinetics of SE101 in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG confirmed that the synergism phenotype is altered in this strain (Fig 4A and 4B). SE101 was initially growth-inhibited in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG, but after 3 h, OD600 values began to increase, unlike what is observed for the wild-type. Significant differences in OD600 values were observed for SE101 compared to the wild-type for time points 3 h after addition of vancomycin and H-CHG (P-value < 0.05 using one-tailed Student’s t test).

A synergy escape mutant has a mutation in pstB

We colony-purified a synergy escaper mutant (SE101) from the second growth curve cycle, as described in the materials and methods. The growth kinetics of SE101 in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG confirmed that the synergism phenotype is altered in this strain (Fig 4A and 4B). SE101 was initially growth-inhibited in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG, but after 3 h, OD600 values began to increase, unlike what is observed for the wild-type. Significant differences in OD600 values were observed for SE101 compared to the wild-type for time points 3 h after addition of vancomycin and H-CHG (P-value < 0.05 using one-tailed Student’s t test).
Utilizing whole genome sequencing, we identified a mutation conferring a S199L substitution in PstB (EFTG_01173) in SE101. As a result of this substitution, the protein is predicted to fold into a beta-strand instead of a coil [53].

The pst (phosphate-specific transport) operon has been well characterized in *E. coli* and consists of *pstSCAB* and *phoU* (a regulator). In phosphate-starvation conditions, inorganic phosphate (Pi) binds PstS and is released into the

---

**Fig 2.** A ΔddcP mutant has reduced susceptibility to vancomycin/glycine synergy. (A) *E. faecium* 410 wild-type and (B) ΔddcP deletion mutant cultures were grown at 37°C in BHI until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6 as described in materials and methods. Equal volumes of cultures were split into BHI (control; red circles) or BHI containing vancomycin (50 μg/ml) and glycine (0.2 M) (green squares). OD₆₀₀ values were monitored for 6 h and a reading at 24 h was recorded. Error bars indicate standard deviations from n = 3 independent experiments. Significance was assessed using the one-tailed Student’s *t*-test. * denotes *P*-value < 0.05. Stars indicate significant differences between vancomycin- and glycine-treated cultures in panel B versus A.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249631.g002

Utilizing whole genome sequencing, we identified a mutation conferring a S199L substitution in PstB (EFTG_01173) in SE101. As a result of this substitution, the protein is predicted to fold into a beta-strand instead of a coil [53]. The *pst* (phosphate-specific transport) operon has been well characterized in *E. coli* and consists of *pstSCAB* and *phoU* (a regulator). In phosphate-starvation conditions, inorganic phosphate (Pi) binds PstS and is released into the
cytoplasm by the inner membrane channel formed by PstA-PstC. PstB energizes this channel by hydrolyzing ATP [54]. The \textit{pst} system and antimicrobial susceptibility has been previously linked in \textit{E. faecium}. We identified a non-synonymous substitution in \textit{phoU}, a negative regulator of the \textit{pst} operon, in a chlorhexidine-adapted \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 derivative that had reduced chlorhexidine and daptomycin susceptibilities and decreased intracellular Pi levels [26].

We quantified the levels of intracellular inorganic phosphate (Pi) in the wild-type strain and in SE101 under routine culture conditions. However, the levels were not significantly different for any time point assayed (S3 Fig).

Fig 3. \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 can adapt to vancomycin/H-CHG synergy. The growth kinetics of \textit{E. faecium} 410 in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG were observed over a two-day (40 h) growth curve. Panel (A) Representative \textit{OD}_{600} of \textit{E. faecium} 410 after treatment with 0X (control; red circles), vancomycin (orange squares), H-CHG (green triangles) or vancomycin and H-CHG (inverted blue triangles). \textit{E. faecium} culture was grown at 37˚C in BHI until \textit{OD}_{600} reached 0.6 and equal volumes of cultures were split into BHI with different antimicrobials (shown by arrow) as described in materials and methods. \textit{OD}_{600} values were monitored for 6 h and after 24 h, the vancomycin and H-CHG-treated recovered culture (circled and indicated with dashed arrow) was used as an inoculum to repeat the growth curve (shown in panel B).
To investigate the function of the \textit{pstB} mutation further, we deleted the complete \textit{pst} transport system (EFTG\_01170–74) in SE101 and in the \textit{E. faecium} 1,231,410 wild-type. The growth observed in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG for the SE101\textDelta{}pst deletion mutant was significantly different as compared to SE101 (Fig 4B and 4D; \textit{P}-value < 0.05 using one-tailed Student’s \textit{t}-test). Specifically, unlike SE101, the \textit{OD}$_{600}$ values did not increase for the SE101\textDelta{}pst deletion mutant after 3 h in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG. Conversely, deletion of the \textit{pst} transport system from the wild-type strain did not substantially alter \textit{OD}$_{600}$ values in response vancomycin and H-CHG (Fig 4A and 4C). We conclude that the \textit{pstB} mutation in SE101 confers protection from killing by vancomycin and H-CHG co-treatment by an as yet undetermined mechanism, allowing cells to grow in the presence of the two drugs (Fig 4B).

Fig 4. Mutations in the phosphate-specific transport (\textit{pst}) operon result in escape from vancomycin and H-CHG synergy. Growth of (A) \textit{E. faecium} 410 wild-type, (B) SE101, (C) \textit{E. faecium} 410\textDelta{}pst, and (D) SE101\textDelta{}pst. \textit{E. faecium} was cultured in BHI until the \textit{OD}$_{600}$ reached 0.6. Equal volumes of cultures were split into BHI (control; red circles) or BHI containing vancomycin (50 \textmu{}g/ml) and H-CHG (4.9 \textmu{}g/ml) (blue squares). \textit{OD}$_{600}$ values were monitored for 6 h and the 24 h time point was recorded. Error bars indicate standard deviations from \textit{n} = 3 independent experiments. Significance was assessed using the one-tailed Student’s \textit{t}-test. * denotes \textit{P}-value < 0.05. Stars indicate significant differences between vancomycin- and H-CHG-treated cultures in panel B versus A, in panel C versus A, and in panel D versus B.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249631.g004
Conclusions and perspective

We previously reported that *E. faecium* 1,231,410 exhibits increased susceptibility to vancomycin in the presence of chlorhexidine [20]. The goal of the current study was to identify molecular contributors to this phenotype. The long-term goal is to use this information to identify less toxic compounds that could be compounded with vancomycin to exploit this vulnerability. That said, products incorporating chlorhexidine with antibiotics have been previously reported. Synergism between vancomycin and chlorhexidine was previously reported in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, where chitosan-based sponges were utilized for localized delivery of these two synergistic compounds that inhibited *S. aureus* growth for 21 days [55]. Another study exploited synergism between chlorhexidine and β-lactam antibiotics and synthesized hybrid organic salts (GUMBOS), which were effective against clinical isolates of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [56].

In our previous report, we proposed three models that are not mutually exclusive that could explain this phenotype. The models are reiterated here. Model 1 is that altered Pbp levels in the presence of chlorhexidine prevent D-Ala-D-Lac precursors from being cross-linked. Model 2 proposes that the chlorhexidine stress response alters substrate pools for peptidoglycan synthesis, resulting in vancomycin-sensitive termini that are neither D-Ala-D-Ala nor D-Ala-D-Lac. Finally, model 3 is that post-translational regulation of VanX and/or VanY prevents depletion of D-Ala-D-Ala termini from peptidoglycan precursors in the presence of chlorhexidine, thereby causing cells to be sensitive to vancomycin. In terms of Model 2, the results of the D-lactate amendment study (Table 2) demonstrated that, in the presence of both D-lactate and H-CHG, the synergy phenotype was enhanced (Table 2). Chemical analyses of peptidoglycan precursors, and specifically the amino acid termini of these precursors, will be required to understand the mechanistic basis for this unexpected observation. Model 3 is not supported by our observation that *vanYΔ* deletion has no impact on the synergy phenotype (S1 Fig), but *vanXΔ* remains to be investigated, and therefore this model has not been fully assessed.

Growth analyses of *E. faecium* deletion mutants provide some support for Model 1. Upon *ddcP* deletion, which is predicted to result in increased availability of pentapeptide precursors for cross-linking, *E. faecium* 1,231,410 cells maintained susceptibility to the synergistic action of vancomycin and chlorhexidine, but the cells did not lyse. These results suggest that *ddcP* at least partially contributes to weakening of the cell wall in the presence of the two drugs. The additive contributions of other carboxypeptidases (like *ddcY*) and autolysins, if responsible for cell lysis under these conditions, remains to be elucidated. Our previously published transcriptomic study identified up to 5-fold induction of *ddcP* in *E. faecium* 1,231,410 cultures treated with the MIC of H-CHG for 15 minutes, as compared to untreated cultures [20]. It is possible that in the presence of H-CHG, DdcP actively trims the terminal D-Ala from peptidoglycan precursors and generates tetrapeptides. At the same time, in the presence of vancomycin, the combined activities of the vancomycin resistance genes result in pentapeptides terminating in D-Lac. The relative availability of penta- and tetrapeptides with chemically different termini likely impacts the overall efficiency of cross-linking and the strength of the cell wall. Since all Pbps do not have the same affinity for tetra- versus pentapeptides, unacceptable precursors for transpeptidation could be synthesized in the presence of both vancomycin and chlorhexidine, and some wild-type cells ultimately lyse. However, complicating this explanation, we did not observe any difference in growth phenotype between the L,D transpeptidase (*ldt.fm*) deletion mutant and the wild-type in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG (S1F and S1G Fig). As reported previously, activity of Ldt.fm is dependent on availability of tetrapeptides [46, 47]. If (and how) Ldt.fm activity changes in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG remains to be elucidated. A critical set of future experiments is the analysis of cytoplasmic peptidoglycan...
precursor pools and mature peptidoglycan structures in *E. faecium* wild-type and *ddcP* mutant cultures exposed to vancomycin and chlorhexidine. This analysis would allow us to analyze the relative balance of tetra- versus pentapeptide termini, as well as their chemical compositions. Moreover, more detailed assessments of cell wall cross-linking, cell wall structure, and thickness of the septum would also be useful, as well as live/dead viability staining and electron microscopy.

The mechanistic action of glycine inhibition on different species of bacteria with similar peptidoglycan structures has been studied via cell wall precursor analyses and electron microscopy [49]. The glycine-treated cells exhibited morphological changes such as cell elongation, swelling, and loosely cross-linked peptidoglycan. Interestingly, glycine-containing modified peptidoglycan precursors were observed, which led to the disruption of transpeptidation and less rigid cell wall. The study also proposed that the accumulated modified glycine-containing pentapeptides are degraded to tripeptide precursors by carboxypeptidases during the transpeptidation reaction, ultimately affecting the degree of cross-linkage in treated cultures [49]. This study provides insights into the interactions of cell-wall active antimicrobials and peptidoglycan precursor synthesis. As mentioned above, increased DdcP transpeptidation activity in *E. faecium* could result in unacceptable precursors for cross-linking the cell wall in the presence of H-CHG/glycine and vancomycin, contributing to cell lysis.

We also found that synergy escaper mutants (i.e., cells that failed to lyse) arose after 24 h of exposure to both vancomycin and chlorhexidine. A spontaneous non-synonymous substitution in *pstB* conferred a survival advantage in the presence of the two antimicrobials. However, the exact mechanism(s) of how the Pst system impacts antimicrobial susceptibility in *E. faecium* is unknown. Critical experiments for the future are to analyze Pi levels under stressed conditions (i.e., in the presence of vancomycin and chlorhexidine), and to perform analysis of cytoplasmic peptidoglycan precursor pools and mature peptidoglycan structures, as described above.

Overall, our study highlights the complexity of the enterococcal cell wall stress response in response to combination antimicrobial therapy and identifies a novel contributor (*pstB*) to this response. We additionally present a collection of deletion mutants, validated by genome sequencing, that are of use for future studies of *E. faecium* cell wall biology.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Fig.** Representative optical density (OD<sub>600</sub>) of (A) *E. faecium* 410 wild-type, (B) Δ*pbpZ*, (C) Δ*vanY*, (D) Δ*pmaA*, (E) Δ*ddcP* Δ*vanY*, (F) Δ*lrtfm*, (G) Δ*ddcP* Δ*lrtfm*, (H) Δ*pbpF*, and (I) Δ*pbpA* after vancomycin and chlorhexidine treatment. *E. faecium* was cultured at 37˚C in BHI broth until the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.6 as described in the materials and methods. Equal volumes of culture were split into BHI containing 0X (control; red circles) or vancomycin and chlorhexidine (Van and H-CHG; blue squares). OD<sub>600</sub> values were monitored for 6 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations from three independent experiments. (PDF)

**S2 Fig.** A *ΔddcP* mutant dies but does not lyse in the presence of vancomycin and H-CHG. Optical density (OD<sub>600nm</sub>) (A) and CFU/mL (B) of *E. faecium* 1,231,410 wild-type (*E. faecium* 410) and the *ddcP* deletion mutant with (“treated”) and without (“control”) vancomycin and H-CHG treatment. *E. faecium* was cultured in BHI broth until the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.6, as described in materials and methods. Equal volumes of cultures were split into BHI or BHI containing vancomycin (Van and H-CHG; blue squares). OD<sub>600</sub> values were monitored for 3 h. Error bars indicate standard deviations from n = 3 independent experiments. Significance was assessed using the one-tailed Student’s *t*-test. * denotes *P*-.
value < 0.05. Stars indicate significant differences between vancomycin- and H-CHG-treated cultures.

(S3 Fig. Quantification of intracellular organic phosphate (Pi) levels in E. faecium 1,231,410 wild-type and SE101 synergy escaper mutant. Intracellular Pi levels were measured for both strains at different growth time points (OD$_{600}$ 0.4–1.0) as described in materials and methods. The levels (pmoles) were normalized using CFU count. Standard deviation was calculated from n = 5 independent experiments and significance value was calculated using one-tailed Student’s $t$ test. Time points: 1, OD$_{600}$ 0.4–0.5; 2, OD$_{600}$ 0.6–0.7; 3, OD$_{600}$ 0.7–0.8; 4, OD$_{600}$ 0.8–0.9; OD$_{600}$ 1.0–1.5.

(S1 Table. List of primers used in the study.
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