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Abstract: Spawning periodicity of the green mussel, *P. viridis* (Linn. 1758), from the Moheshkhali channel of the Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh was determined by ‘larval abundance’ method for a period of one year from November 1990 and October 1991. Higher larval abundance of *P. viridis* was recorded during pre-monsoon (January to April) and post-monsoon (September to December) months. Two significant peaks in spawning were recorded- the major peak in September (post-monsoon period) and a minor peak in April (pre-monsoon season). The abundance of the green mussel larvae was found to be correlated with high abundance of other mollusc larvae. However, a water temperature, salinity and the abundance of zooplankton showed negative correlation with the abundance of *P. viridis* larvae.
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Introduction

The green mussel *Perna viridis* (Linn. 1758) occurs on to the rocky substratum of some coastal islands and poles of the mooring structures in the South-eastern intertidal waters of Bangladesh (Ali, 1975, Ali and Aziz, 1976; Ahmed \textit{et al.}, 1978; Ahmed, 1990; Kamal and Khan, 1999). Marine mussels are important bivalve to the ecologist, physiologist, toxicologist, and environmental managers for its unique biological characteristics (Bayne, 1976; Gibson and Gibson, 1981; Kosuge \textit{et al.}, 1986; Tanabe \textit{et al.}, 1987; Krishnakumar \textit{et al.}, 1990; Teo \textit{et al.}, 1990; Rivonkar \textit{et al.}, 1993a; Cheung, 1993; Miller \textit{et al.}, 1993; Ruangwises \textit{et al.}, 1994; Chidambaram, 1996). Sea mussels have also got huge attraction to the consumers as human food and ornamental object. It is one of the luxurious and expensive seafood items throughout the South-east Asia, Europe and Australia (Rao, 1974; Boyle, 1981; Pillay, 1993; Rivonkar \textit{et al.}, 1993b; Chidambaram, 1996).

Cultivation of sea mussels has got immense importance in many European and South-east-Asian countries due to high consumers demand and price in the international market (Bayne, 1976; Wallace, 1983). The culture of the green mussel has got intensive attention to the researchers and aquaculturist in India and Thailand in recent years as a high-profit
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aqua-business (Rao *et al.*, 1976; Qasim *et al.*, 1977; Rangarajan and Narasimham, 1980; Chatterji *et al.*, 1984; Chaitanawisuti and Menasveta, 1987 Rivonkar *et al.*, 1993b), However, the bottle-neck of mussel farming throughout the world is its dependence on supply of wild larvae (Rangarajan and Narasimham, 1980; Rivonkar *et al.*, 1993a,b; Chaitanawisuti and Menasveta, 1987). Therefore, for establishing any viable mussel culture programme, a precise knowledge of mussel seed availability in the nearby waters has remained as the key of success (Wallace, 1983). Study for one or more years on spawning behaviour and cycle can provide information on the timing and availability of mussel larvae in area where mussel culture farm is to be established (Bayne, 1976; Wallace, 1983; Rangarajan and Narasimham, 1980).

Three methods have so far been employed for estimating spawning season in bivalve molluscs (Bayne, 1976; Wallace, 1983; Rangarajan and Narasimham, 1980):

- by observing spawning periods in natural or laboratory population,
- by observing the gonad from microscopic preparations throughout the year, and
- by observing the occurrence of larvae in the zooplankton community.

A combination of the above three methods can provide actual figure of the reproductive cycle and behaviour of bivalve molluscs. However, it is a time and labour intensive task in terms of economic point of view (Bayne, 1976), Therefore, mussel culturists throughout the world determine the spawning periodicities by observing abundance of mussel larvae in the zooplankton community from nearby waters (Prasad, 1954; Mason 1971; Nayar and Mahadeven, 1980; Silas, 1980; Alagarswami, 1980; Sitoy *et al.*, 1983; Silvaraj, 1988). Successful evaluation of the abundance of mussel larvae in zooplankton community is considered as a primary criterion in determining the viability of commercial mussel farming (Silvaraj, 1988).

Spawning periodicities and behaviour of various commercially important bivalve molluscs have been reported from many parts of the world (Nelson, 1928; Battle, 1932; Paul, 1942; Chipperfield, 1953; Allen, 1955; Ansel (1961), Durve (1984), Wisley (1964), Wilson and Hodgkin (1967), Wilson and Seed (1975), Seed (1975), Rao *et al.* (1975, 1976), Qasim *et al.* (1977), Alagarswami (1980), Jayabal and Kalyani (1986a) Lee (1988). Further, the need for experimental studies on the availability of bivalve molluscs larvae and seed has been emphasized repeatedly in India as well as other countries (Hrs-Brenko, 1971; Chipperfield, 1953; Dare, 1975; Rao *et al.*, 1976; Qasim *et al.*, 1977; Rangarajan and Narasimham, 1980; Silas, 1980; Wallace, 1983; and Siraimietaan and Marichany, 1988).

In comparing to the published information on hydro-meteorology of the Bay of Bengal of Bangladesh territory, information on the commercially important bivalve larvae in the zooplankton community is still lacking (Ali *et al.*, 1985a,b; Begum, 1984. Islam, 1982; Mahmood *et al.*, 1976, 1987; Islam and Aziz, 1975a,b, 1979, 1980; Aziz and Islam, 1979; Mahmood and Khan, 1976; Bhuiyan *et al.*, 1982; Kamal *et al.*, 1996?).

Therefore, the present study was carried out in order to provide information on the spawning periodicity of *P. viridis* in the Moheshkhali channel by observing the
abundance of its larvae in the zooplankton community. Attempts were also made to
determine any relationship of green mussel larval abundance with the abundance of
zooplankton, temperature and salinity of the surrounding waters.

Materials and Methods

Spawning periodicities were determined by quantitative analysis of veliger and
pediveliger larvae of *P. viridis* present in the zooplankton sample collected at monthly
intervals from the Moheshkhali jetty area (between latitudes 21°31'N and longitude
91°85'E) between November, 1990 and October, 1991.

The zooplankton samples were collected through vertical hauling for 15 minutes using a
plankton net (bolting silk cloth no 16 gauze; mesh size 74 3m; ring diameter 30 cm;
length 4 m). A Karl Kolb digital flow meter was attached to the mouth of the net to
record the quantity of water filtered through the net during sampling. Samples were
immediately preserved in 5% neutralized formalin in a plastic container. Zooplankton
samples were counted in the Laboratory of Marine Science Institute quickly before
discouragement of the larvae by using a Sedgwee rafter counter cell. The result was
recorded as individuals per litre. Water temperature and salinity of the surface water was
recorded *in situ* by using Celsius thermometer and hand refractometer respectively.

The planktonic larvae of *P. viridis* were identified following Rao *et al.* (1975, 1976),
Qasim *et al.* (1977) and Ress (1951). The veliger larvae was identified on the basis of
straight hinge, large posterior adductor muscle near straight hinge line, external ciliated
velum, labial palps, conspicuous pigmented eye-spot in the centre and yellow colouration
on whole body with pale pinkish colour on the umbonal region and greenish brown
colour of the digestive gland (Rees, 1951; Rao *et al.*, 1975; Qasim *et al.*, 1977). The
pediveliger larvae were identified on the basis of fairly district umbo at anterior end,
ligament at posterior on the hinge line, reduced velum, close-set concentric lines of
growth on shell and dark pigmented eye-spot in the centre of the body (Ress, 1951; Rao
*et al.*, 1975, 1976; Qasim *et al.*, 1977).

Results

Monthly occurrence of mussel larvae, molluscs larvae and zooplankton abundance with
water temperature and salinity at Moheshkhali jetty area has been shown in Table-1.

The maximum abundance of mussel larvae (1170 indv. l⁻¹) was found to occur in
September, 1991 and abundance was nil in May, June and July, 1991. In August, 1991,
the larvae were found to appear in small numbers (190 indv. l⁻¹) and in the following
month (September) the larvae attained to its peak (1170 indv. l⁻¹). In October, the larval
abundance was about half of the previous month (615 indv. l⁻¹) and from October, 1991,
the abundance was found to decrease gradually up to January, 1991, (115 indv. l⁻¹). After
January, it increased gradually up to April, 1991 (492 indv. l⁻¹) and the larval abundance
was found to be nil for the subsequent months of May, June and July, 1991. After July,
1991 the larvae again appeared in small quantity in August, 1991 (190 indv. L⁻¹). Hence seasonal abundance of mussel larvae was found to fluctuate in different months of the year markedly.

Table 1. Monthly abundance of mussel and mollusc larvae, zooplankton (individuals/L), water temperature and salinity from Moheshkhali jetty area between November 1990 and October 1991.

| Month     | Mussel larvae (indv. L⁻¹) | Mollusc larvae (indv. L⁻¹) | Zooplankton larvae (indv. L⁻¹) | Water temperature (°C) | Salinity (ppt) |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Nov. 1990 | 330                       | 384                        | 15787                         | 25.95                  | 28.6           |
| Dec. 1990 | 280                       | 302                        | 14882                         | 24.4                   | 29.2           |
| Jan. 1991 | 115                       | 152                        | 15000                         | 23                     | 29.5           |
| Feb. 1991 | 305                       | 342                        | 16760                         | 24.5                   | 28.56          |
| Mar. 1991 | 384                       | 403                        | 14525                         | 26.95                  | 27.15          |
| Apr. 1991 | 492                       | 522                        | 13250                         | 30.54                  | 29.8           |
| May 1991  | 00                        | 00                         | 29850                         | 29.75                  | 24.71          |
| Jun. 1991 | 00                        | 00                         | 28000                         | 29.3                   | 23.06          |
| Jul. 1991 | 00                        | 00                         | 26600                         | 29.52                  | 22.06          |
| Aug. 1991 | 190                       | 201                        | 24500                         | 28.06                  | 21             |
| Sep. 1991 | 1170                      | 1272                       | 18589                         | 29.85                  | 24.1           |
| Oct. 1991 | 615                       | 671                        | 16557                         | 27.6                   | 26.5           |
| Average   | 431.22                    | 472.11                     | 19332.58                      | 27.45                  | 26.19          |

From the Table 1, it is evident that the mussel larvae were found to appear in high concentration during the post-monsoon followed by the pre-monsoon period. During the monsoon period, the larval abundance was found to be nil except one month (August, 1991; 190 indv./L)

**Percentage composition:** The monthly percentage composition of mussel larvae with molluscs larvae and zooplankton were calculated and represented in Table 2. The maximum percentage composition in relation to molluscs larvae was found in March, 1991 (95.29%) and the minimum in January, 1991 (75.66%). The yearly average percentage composition of mussel larvae was found to be 90.13%. For the larval percentage composition in relation to zooplankton abundance, the maximum value was noticed in September, 1991 (6.29) and the minimum in January, 1991 (0.77%). The yearly average percentage composition was found to be 2.63%.

**The ratio of abundance:** The monthly and seasonal abundance of mussel larvae in relation to molluscs larvae and zooplankton are represented in Table 3. In case of monthly ration between molluscs and mussel larvae, the maximum ratio was found in January, 1991 (132:1) and the minimum in March, 1991 (1.05:1). The average yearly ratio was found to be 1.11:1. But in case of monthly zooplankton and mussel larvae, the ratio was fluctuated markedly. The maximum value was found in January, 1991 (130.43:1) and the minimum in September, 1991 (15.89:1). The average yearly ratio of zooplankton to mussel larvae was found to be 58.1:1. The higher ratios were found in November, 1990 (47.84:1); December, 1990 (53.15:1); January, 1991 (130.43:1); February, 1991 (54.95:1) and August, 1991 (128.95:1) and the lower ratios were obtained
in September, 1991 (15.89:1), October, 1991 (26.92:1), April, 1991 (26.93:1) and March, 1991 (37.83:1).

Table 2. Monthly percentage composition of mussel larvae with mollusc larvae and zooplankton at Moheshkhali jetty area between Nov. 1990 and Oct. 1991.

| Months   | Percentage composition of mussel larvae with mollusc Larvae | with zooplankton |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Nov. 1990| 85.91                                                      | 2.09             |
| Dec. 1990| 92.72                                                      | 1.88             |
| Jan. 1991| 75.66                                                      | 0.77             |
| Feb. 1991| 89.18                                                      | 1.82             |
| Mar. 1991| 95.18                                                      | 2.64             |
| Apr. 1991| 94.25                                                      | 3.71             |
| May 1991 | 0                                                          | 0                |
| Jun. 1991| 0                                                          | 0                |
| Jul. 1991| 0                                                          | 0                |
| Aug. 1991| 94.53                                                      | 0.78             |
| Sep. 1991| 91.98                                                      | 6.29             |
| Oct. 1991| 91.65                                                      | 3.71             |
| Average  | 90.13                                                      | 2.63             |

In case of seasonal ratio of mussel larvae relating to molluscs larvae, the maximum value was noticed in the post-monsoon period (1.1:1) and the minimum in the monsoon period (1.06:1). The average seasonal ratio was found to be 1.08:1. The ratio of mussel larvae in relation to zooplankton abundance, the maximum value was found in the monsoon period (573.42:1) and the minimum in the post monsoon period (27.48:1). The average seasonal ratio between zooplankton and mussel larvae was found to be 215.61:1.

Table 3. Monthly and seasonal abundance ratio of mussel larvae (MUL), mollusc larvae (MOL) and zooplankton (ZP) at Moheshkhali jetty area between Nov. 1990 and Oct. 1991.

| Month   | MOL: MUL | ZP: MUL | Seasons | MOL: MUL | ZP: MUL |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Nov. 1990| 1.16:1   | 47.84:1 | Pre-monsoon | 1.09:1   | 45.94:1 |
| Dec. 1990| 1.08:1   | 53.15:1 | (Jan.-Apr.) |         |         |
| Jan. 1991| 1.32:1   | 130.43:1|         |         |         |
| Feb. 1991| 1.12:1   | 54.95:1 |         |         |         |
| Mar. 1991| 1.05:1   | 37.83:1 |         |         |         |
| Apr. 1991| 1.06:1   | 26.93:1 | Monsoon | 106:1    | 573.42:1|
| May 1991 | 0        | 0       | (May-Aug.) |         |         |
| Jun. 1991| 0        | 0       |         |         |         |
| Jul. 1991| 0        | 0       |         |         |         |
| Aug. 1991| 1.06:1   | 128.95:1|         |         |         |
| Sep. 1991| 1.09:1   | 15.89:1 | Post-monsoon | 1.1:1   | 27.4:1 |
| Oct. 1991| 1.09:1   | 26.92:1 | (Sep.-Dec.) |         |         |
| Average  | 1.11:1   | 58:1    |         | 1.08:1   | 215.61:1|

The correlation among different variables: The correlation coefficients among water temperature, salinity, abundance of mussel and molluscs larvae and zooplankton were worked out and presented in Table 4. The significant positive correlation was found between molluscs and mussel larvae (r =0.99 at 1% (p<0.01) level of significance with 10 d.f.
Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) among water temperature, salinity, abundance of molluscs and mussel larvae and zooplankton at Moheshkhali jetty area between Nov. 1990 and Oct. 1991 [Figures given in parentheses are the values for ‘t’].

|       | WT   | S     | MOL   | MUL   | ZP    |
|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| WT    | 1.0  | -0.58 (2.25)** | 0.15 (0.48) | 0.17 (0.54) | 0.52 (1.93) |
| S     | -    | 1.0   | 0.16 (0.51) | 0.15 (0.48) | -0.82 (4.53)* |
| MOL   | -    | 1.0   | 0.99 (22.19)* | -0.51 (1.87) |
| MUL   | -    |       | 1.0   | -0.5 (1.88) |
| ZP    | -    |       |       | 1.0   |

WT= Water Temperature; S= Salinity; MOL= Mollusc larvae; MUL= Mussel larvae; ZP= Zooplankton;
* = Significant at 1% level (p<0.01) with 10 d.f.; **= Significant at 5% level (p<0.05) with 10 d.f.

The significant negative correlation was found between water temperature and salinity (r=-0.58) and between salinity and zooplankton (r=-0.82) at 5% (p<0.05) and 1% (p<0.01) level of significance respectively with 10. d.f. But correlation between mussel larvae and water temperature (r=0.17) and salinity (r=0.15) was found to be insignificant.

Discussion

The occurrence of mussel larvae in all the seasons except May, June and July, indicates that the spawning seems to take place throughout the year in P. viridis excluding the monsoon period. The result of the present investigation indicates two sharp spawning seasons in P. viridis around the Moheshkhali jetty area with two peaks- one in September and another in April, 1991. The year-round spawning of P. viridis was reported from Indian water with a prolonged spawning season from July to December with peak spawning in September-November followed by a minor peak in February-March (Rao et al., 1975; Alagarswami, 1980 and 1988) which is in close quarter with the present findings.

The higher percentage composition of mussel larvae (75.66-95.29%) with molluscs larvae indicates that P. viridis is the dominant species than other mollusc species present around the jetty area. But the ratio of occurrence of mussel larvae in relation to zooplankton was found to fluctuate markedly, which indicates a different spawning pattern of different groups of zooplankton prevailing in the channel.

Several workers stated that water temperature was a major abiotic factor influencing the spawning intensity in all marine organisms in temperate water (Orton, 1920; Allen, 1955; Ansell, 1961; Kinne, 1963, 1964; Seed, 1975). Salinity influenced the spawning in tropical water but high salinity showed some adverse effects on the spawning activity of bivalves (Paul, 1942; Durve, 1984; Jayabal and Kalyani, 1986). But insignificant correlation between larval abundance and water temperature or salinity in the present study could be explained following Bayne (1975a,b, 1976) who worked on two mussel species namely Mytilus edulis and M. galloprovincialis and stated that some ecological factors alone could not be responsible in spawning of mussel. Bayne (1975a,b, 1976) suggested that spawning in mussel was controlled by a combination of internal and external environmental factors. Further, it is widely suggested that the spawning in lamellibranches is different from one species to others and may occur only over a critical temperature and salinity with geographic variation (Nelson, 1928; Kinne, 1964). Again, it
is evident that the spawning time and intensity is also affected by lunar periodicity in mussel (Battle, 1932), which was beyond the scope of our study.

The present results (insignificant correlation between larval abundance and water temperature and salinity) agrees well with the results of Rao et al. (1975) who worked on Mytilus (= Perna) viridis along the central west coast of India. They found the correlation coefficient values at \( r = 0.16 \) and \( r = 0.30 \) for salinity and water temperature with larval abundance. These results indicate that a higher abundance of \( P. \) viridis larvae is not solely dependent on high water temperature or higher salinities of the surrounding waters. It could be attributed to other water quality parameters which needs further investigation.

**Conclusion**

The abundance of \( P. \) viridis larvae in the zooplankton community in the waters of Moheshkhali jetty area is found to be a good indicator in determining spawning periodicity of the species in the channel. The ratio of \( P. \) viridis larvae in relation to other mollusc and zooplankton larval community reveals that \( P. \) viridis inhabiting the Moheshkhali channel spawn during the pre-monsoon (January-April) and post-monsoon (September-December) period with two peaks- the major peak in September and the minor peak in April. Absence of mussel larvae in the zooplankton community during the monsoon reveals that it does not spawn during this period.

**References**

Ahmed, A.T.A., 1990. Identity and abundance of molluscan fauna of the Bay of Bengal. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Dhaka, pp. 1-85.

Ahmed, A.T.A., Islam, R. and Sanaullah, M., 1978. A preliminary report on the molluscan fauna of the Bay of Bengal with notes on their ecology. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh (Sci.),* 3: 59-82.

Alagarswami, K., 1980. Review on production of mussel seed. CMFRI Bulletin, 29: 22-26.

Ali, S. and Aziz, K.M.S., 1976. A systematic account of Molluscan fauna of the St. martin’s island. *Bangladesh Journal of Zoology,* 4(2): 23-33.

Ali, S., 1975. Notes on a collection of shells from St. Martin’s island. *Bangladesh Journal of Zoology,* 3(2): 153-154.

Ali, S., Shaha, S. and Mahmood, N., 1985a. Studies on the physicochemical aspects of Moheshkhali channel, Bay of Bengal. *Dhaka University Studies,* BXXXIII(1): 43-49.

Ali, S., Shaha, S. and Mahmood, N., 1985b. Seasonal abundance of plankton in Moheshkhali channel, Bay of Bengal. In: Proceedings of the SAARC Seminar on Protect Environment from Degradation, Dhaka, pp. 124-140.

Allen, F.E., 1955. Identity of breeding temperature in Southern and Northern hemisphere species of Mytilus (Lamellibranchia). *Pacific Science,* 9: 107-109.

Ansell, A.D., 1961. Reproduction, growth and mortality of Venus striatula (Da Costa) in Kames Bay, Millport. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of UK,* 41: 191-215.

Battle, H., 1932. Rhythmical sexual maturity and spawning in certain bivalve molluscs. *Contribution to Canadian Biology and Fisheries,* 5(7): 257-276.
Bayne, B.L., 1975a. Reproduction in bivalve molluscs under environmental stress. In: F. Vernberg ed., Physiological Ecology of Estuarine Organisms. University of South Carolina Press, South Carolina, pp. 259-277.

Bayne, B.L., 1975b. Aspect of physiological condition in Mytilus edulis (L.) with special reference to the effects of oxygen tension and salinity. In: H. Barnes ed., Ninth European Marine Biology Symposium. Aberdeen University Press, Aberdeen, pp. 213-238.

Bayne, B.L., 1976. Mussel : Form and habit. In: B. L. Bayne ed., Marine Mussels: Their Ecology and Physiology. Cambridge University Press, London. pp. 1-20.

Begum, S., 1984. Temporal and spatial distribution of ichthyoplankters and other zooplankters in the Matamuhuri estuary, Bangladesh. M.Sc. Thesis, Institute of Marine Sciences, University of Chittagong, 49 pp.

Bhuiyan, A.L., Das, N.G., Khair, S.A. and Mohi, S.A., 1982. Macro-zooplankters of the continental shelf of the Bay of Bengal. Chittagong University Studies (Part-2), 6: 51-59.

Boyle, P.R., 1981a. Culture of mussels and oysters. In: Molluscs and Man, Edward Arnold Ltd., London, 59 pp.

Chaitanawisuti, N. and Menasveta, P., 1987. Experimental suspended culture of green mussel, Perna viridis (Linn), using spat transplanted from a distant settlement ground in Thailand. Aquaculture, 66: 97-107.

Chatterji, A., Ansari, Z.A., Ingole, B.S., Parulekar, A.H., 1984. Growth of the green mussel, Perna viridis L., in a sea-water circulating system. Aquaculture, 40(1): 47-55.

Cheung, S.G., 1993. Population-dynamics and energy budgets of green-lipped mussel Perna viridis (linnaeus) in a polluted harbor. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 168(1): 1-24.

Chidambaram, N., 1996. The green mussel Perna viridis as an indicator of cadmium pollution. Journal of Environmental Biology, 17(1): 5-10.

Chipperfield, P.N.J., 1953. Observations on the breeding and settlement of Mytilus edulis L. in British waters. Journal of the Marine Biology Association of UK, 32: 449-476.

Dare, P.J., 1975. Settlement, growth and production of the mussel Mytilus edulis L. in Morecambe Bay. In: Fishery Investigations. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London, Serial II, pp. 7-15.

Durve, V.S., 1984. Preliminary observations on the seasonal gonodal changes and spawning in the clam, Meritrix casta (Chemnitz) from the marine fish farm. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of Indian, 6: 241-248.

Gibson, R.G. and Gibson, S.L.M., 1981. New-Zealand green-lipped mussel extract (Seatone) in rheumatoid-arthritis. New Zealand Medical Journal, 94(688): 67-68.

Hrs-Brenko, M., 1971. Observation on the occurrence of planktonic larvae of several bivalves in the Northern Atlantic Sea. In: D.J. Crisp ed., Fourth European Marine Biology Symposium, Cambridge University Press, London, pp. 43-53.

Islam, A.K.M.N. and Aziz, A., 1975a. Study of Marine phytoplankton from the North-eastern Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 4(1-2): 1-32.

Islam, A.K.M.N. and Aziz, A., 1975b. A preliminary study on the zooplankton of the North-eastern Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Zoology, 3(2): 125-138.

Islam, A.K.M.N. and Aziz, A., 1979. Algal flora of Moheshkhali island, Bangladesh. Dacca University Studies, BXXVII(2): 105-122.

Islam, A.K.M.N. and Aziz, A., 1980. Marine diatoms from the Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 9(1): 29-35.

Islam, M.S., 1982. Zooplankton communities of the Karnaphuli river estuary during North-east monsoon with special reference to Chaetognaths. M.Sc. Thesis, Institute of Marine Sciences, University of Chittagong, 71 pp.
Jayabal, R. and Kalyani, M., 1986. Reproductive cycle of some bivalve from Vellar estuary, East coast of India. *Indian Journal of Marine Science*, 15: 59-60.

Kamal, D. and Khan, Y.S.A., 1999. Taxonomic study on the intertidal green mussel and their ecology from the Mo desktop channel, Cox’s Bazar, Bangladesh. *Khulna University Studies*, 1(1): 75-79.

Kamal, D., Khan, Y.S.A., Biswas, S.K., Alamgir, M. and Mandal, R., 1996. Study of the environmental and biological parameters of Mo desktop channel for cultivation of green mussel, *Perna viridis*. *The Journal of NOAMI*, 13(1&2): 41-48.

Kinne, O., 1963. The effects of temperature and salinity on marine and brackishwater animals. I. Temperature. *Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review*, 1: 301-340.

Kinne, O., 1964. The effects of temperature and salinity on marine and brackishwater animals. II. Salinity and temperature relations. *Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review*, 2: 281-339.

Kosuge, T., Tsuji, K., Ishida, H. and Yamaguchi, T., 1986. Isolation of an anti-histaminic substance from green-lipped mussel (*Perna-canaliculus*). *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 34(11): 4825-4828.

Krishnakumar, P.K., Asokan, P.K. and Pillai, V.K., 1990. Physiological and cellular-responses to copper and mercury in the green mussel *Perna viridis* (Linnaeus). *Aquatic Toxicology*, 18(3): 163-173.

Lee, S.Y., 1988. The reproductive cycle and sexuality of the green mussel *Perna viridis* (L.) (Bivalvia, Mytilidea) in Victoria harbor, Hong-Kong. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 54(Aug.): 317-325

Mahmood, N. and Khan, Y.S.A., 1976. Preliminary observation on the hydrobiological conditions of the Bay of Bengal off the coast of Bangladesh. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh (Science)*, 1(2): 117-122.

Mahmood, N., Das, N.G., Zamal, H. and Hossain, M.M., 1987. An observation of estuarine studies in Bangladesh. Proceedings of the Regional Introductory Training Course on Estuarine Research. 14-28 March, 1987, Department of Marine Science, University of Calcutta, pp. 1-9.

Mahmood, N., Khan, Y.S.A., and Ahmed, M.K., 1976. Studies on the hydrology of the Karnafuly estuary. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh (Science)*, 2(1): 89-99.

Mason, J., 1971. Mussel cultivation. *Underwater Journal*, 3: 52-59.

Miller, T.E., Dodd, J., Ormrod, D.J. and Geddes, R., 1993. Anti-inflammatory activity of glycogen extracted from *Perna canaliculus* (NZ Green-lipped mussel). *Agents and Actions*, 38: C 139-C 142.

Nayar, K.N. and Mahadavan, S., 1980. Technology of mussel farming. CMFRI Bulletin, 29: 27-29.

Nelson, T.C., 1928. On the distribution of critical temperatures for spawning and for ciliary activity in bivalve molluscs. *Science*, 67: 220-221.

Orton, J.H., 1920. Sea temperature, breeding and distribution in marine animals. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of UK*, 12: 339-366.

Paul, M.D., 1942. Studies on the growth and breeding of certain sedentary organisms in Madras harbour. In: Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Science, 15(B): 1-42.

Pillay, T.V.R., 1993. Oysters and mussels. In: *Aquaculture: Principles and Practices*, Second edition, Fishing News and Books, Oxford, pp. 469-498.

Prasad, R.R., 1954. Observation on the distribution and fluctuation of planktonic larvae of Mandapam. Proceedings of the Indo-Pacific Fisheries Council, Bangkok. pp. 21-34.

Qasim, S.Z., Parulekar, A.H., Harkantra, S.N., Ansari, Z.A. and Nair, A., 1977. Aquaculture of green mussel *Mytilus viridis* L.: Cultivation on ropes from floating rafts. *Indian Journal of Marine Science*, 6: 15-25.

Rangarajan, K. and Narasimham, K.A., 1980. Mussel farming on the east coast of India. In: Coastal Aquaculture: Mussel Farming- Progress and Prospects. CMFRI Bulletin, 29: 39-41.
Kamal, D. and Khan, Y.S.A., 2000. Spawning season of green mussel, *Perna viridis* (Linn. 1758), from the Moheshkhali channel, Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh.

Rao, K.V., 1974. Edible bivalve mussels and oysters. In: The Commercial Molluscs of India, CMFRI Bulletin, 25: 4-39.

Rao, K.V., Krishnakumari, L. and Dwivwti, S.N., 1975. Biology of the green mussel, *Mytilus viridis*. *Indian Journal of Marine Science*, 4: 189-197.

Rao, K.V., Kumari, L.K. and Qasim, S.Z., 1976. Aquaculture of green mussel, *Mytilus viridis*: spawning, fertilization and larval development. *Indian Journal of Marine Science*, 5: 113-116.

Rees, C.B., 1951. The interpretation and classification of lamellibranch larvae. *Bulletin of Marine Ecology*, 3: 73-104.

Rivonkar, C.U., Sreepada, R.A., Parulekar, A.H., 1993a. Growth-parameters in the cultured green mussel *Perna viridis* I from the Zuari estuary, Goa. *Indian Journal of Marine Sciences*, (1): 72-74.

Rivonker, C.U, Ansari, Z.A., Parulekar, A.H., 1993b. Cultivation of green mussel, *Perna viridis* L., on a floating raft in an estuary along the West-coast of India. *Aquaculture*, 112(1): 47-56.

Ruangwises, S., Ruangwises, N. and Tabucanon, M.S., 1994. Persistent organochlorine pesticide-residues in green mussels (*Perna viridis*) from the gulf of Thailand. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 28(6): 351-355.

Seed, R., 1975. Reproduction in *Mytilus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) in European waters. Proceeding of the Malacological Society of London, London, 40: 343-357.

Selvaraj, V., 1988. Experimental studies on the pattern of spatfall of the green mussel, *Perna viridis*, at Ennore, Madras. In: National Seminar on Shellfish Resources and Farming. Tuticorin, 19-20 January, 1987. CMFRI Bulletin, 42(2): 264-265.

Silas, E.G., 1980. Mussel culture in India- Constrains and Prospects. CMFRI Bulletin, 29: 51-56.

Siraimeetan, P. and Marichamy, R., 1988. Seasonal abundance of bivalve and gastropod larvae in the plankton off Tuticorin coast. In: Proceedings of the National Seminar on Shellfish Resources and Farming. 19-21 January, 1987. Tuticorin, India. CMFRI Bulletin, 42(1): 225-231.

Sitoy, H.S., Young, A.L. and Tabbu, M.Y., 1983. Raft culture of mussels. Aquaculture Department, SEAFDEC, The Philippines, pp. 1-12.

Tanabe, S., Tatsukawa, R. and Phillips, D.J.H., 1987. Mussels as bioindicators of PCB pollution - A case-study on uptake and release of PCB isomers and congeners in green-lipped mussels (*Perna viridis*) in Hong-Kong waters. *Environmental Pollution*, 47(1): 41-62.

Teo, L.H., Lateef, Z. and Ip, Y.K., 1990. Some properties of the sucrase from the digestive gland of the green mussel *Perna viridis* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B-Comparative Biochemistry*, 96(1): 47-51.

Wallace, J.C., 1983. Spat fall and growth of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis*, in hanging culture in the Westfjord area (68 5 N), Norway. *Aquaculture*, 31: 89-94.

Wilson, B.R. and Hodgkin, E.P., 1967. A comparative account of the reproductive cycle of five species of marine mussels (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) in the vicinity of Fremantle, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 18: 175-203.

Wilson, J.H. and Seed, R., 1975. Reproduction in Mytilus edulis L. (Mollusca: Bivalvia) in Carlingford Lough, Northern Ireland. Irish Fisheries Investigation, Dublin. *Marine Biology Series*, pp. 5-15.

Wisley, B., 1964. Aspects of reproduction, settling and growth in the mussel *Mytilus edulis planulatus*. *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*, 8: 25-35.