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Abstract

In this paper, we consider some geometric topological properties of the functor $P$- probabilistic measures and its subfunctors in the category of compacta and continuous mappings into itself.
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Introduction

It is known that the functor $P$ probability measures is an open functor of compacts and continuous maps into itself acting in the category comp [1]. In this note we show some subfunctors of the functor $P$ of probability measures also being open functors. This means that these functors translate open mappings between compacts into open mappings. On the other hand, it is known that for any infinite compactum $X$ space $P(X)$ homeomorphism to a Hubert cube $Q$. The question naturally arises in what cases from the homeomorphism of the spaces $F(X)$ and $F(Y)$ implies the homeomorphism of compact sets $X$ and $Y$, for normal functors $F$: Comp$\to$Comp. And also in this note it is shown that for a functor $P$: Comp$\to$Comp of homeomorphism $F(X)\delta(X)$ and $F(Y)\delta(Y)$ implies homeomorphism $X$ and $Y$. It is further shown that for a compactum hereditary normality of space is equivalent to metrizability.

Preliminaries

We recall the definition and some properties of the normality of the covariant functor $F$: Comp$\to$Comp acting in the category of compacta. We say that the functor $F$:

1. Saves the empty set the point if $F(\emptyset)=\emptyset$ and $F(\{1\})=\{1\}$, where we denote by $[k], k\geq 0$ the set of nonnegative integers $\{0,1,\ldots, k-1\}$, less than. In this terminology $\emptyset=\emptyset$;
2. Monomorphism if for every (topological) embedding $f$: $A$, $F(f):F(A)\to F(X)$, is an embedding;
3. Epimorphic if for every map $f$: $X\to Y$ onto $Y$ the map $F(f):F(X)\to F(Y)$ is also a mapping to;
4. It preserves intersections if for any family $\{A_\alpha, \alpha\in A\}$ of closed subsets of the compact space $X$ and the identity embedding $i_A: A\to X$, the map $F(f)\cap F(A)$, $A\in A\to X$, defined by the equality $F(f)(\alpha)=F(f)(\alpha)$, is an embedding for every $A$;
5. Preserves the preimages if for every map $f$: $X\to Y$ and every closed set $A\subset Y$ the map $F(f)\cap F(A)\to F(A)$ is a homeomorphism;
6. Preserves the weight if $w(F(X))=w(X)$ for an infinite bicompactum $X$;
7. It is continuous if for any inverse spectrum $S=[X, \pi, \alpha\in A]$ of bicompacts, the homeomorphism is the map $F(\lim S)\to \lim F(S)$, which has the limit of the maps $F(\pi)$, if $\pi \lim S\to X$, end-to-end projections of the spectrum.

In what follows we assume that all the functors under consideration are monomorphic and preserve intersections. We also assume that all functors preserve non-empty spaces. This restriction is irrelevant, since by this we exclude from consideration only the empty functor, i.e. the functor $F$, which takes every space into an empty set. In fact, let $F(\emptyset)=\emptyset$ for some nonempty bicompactum $X$.

Then $F(X)=F(\emptyset)=\emptyset$ by the monomorphism of $F$. Now let $Y$ be an arbitrary non-empty bicompactum. Consider the constant mapping $f:X\to Y$. Then $F(f)(F(Y))=F(\emptyset)=\emptyset$. Consequently, the space $F(Y)$ is empty, since it is mapped to an empty set. Thus, we have proved that there exists a unique monomorphic functor preserving non-empty sets.

Let $F$:Comp$\to$Comp be a functor. We denote by $C(X,Y)$ the space of continuous mappings from $X$ and $Y$ in a bicompact-open topology. In particular($[k], Y$) is naturally homeomorphism to the $k$- power of $Y$ in the space $X$. The map $(\xi(0),\ldots, \xi(k-1))\in X^k$ is mapped to the map

For the functor $F$, the bicompactum $X$ of the natural number $K$, we define the map $\pi_{\alpha,k}:C([k],X)\times F([k])\to F(X)$ by the equality $\pi_{\alpha,k}(\xi,\alpha)=F(\xi)(\alpha)$, where $\xi\in C([k],X)$, $\alpha\in F([k])$.

When it is clear which functor and which bicompactum $Y$ we are talking about, we denote the map $\pi_{\alpha,k}$ by $\pi_{\alpha}$ or $\pi_k$.

By the Shchepin theorem [2], the map $F:C(Z,Y)\to F(F(Z),F(Y))$ is continuous for every continuous functor $F$ and bicompacts $Z$ and $Y$.

Therefore takes place.

Proposition 1

For a continuous functor $F$, a bicompactum $X$, and a natural number $k$, the mapping $F_{\alpha,k}$ is continuous [3].

We define the subfunctor $F_\alpha(X)$ of the functor $F$ in the following way: for the compact space $X$, the space $F_\alpha(X)$ is the image of the map $\pi_{\alpha,k}$ and for the mapping $X\to Y$ the map $F_\alpha(f)$ is the restriction of the map $F(f)$ to $F_\alpha(X)$. From the easily verifiable commutatively
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The Main Part

For compacta $X$, $P(X)$ denotes spaces of probability measures. It is known that for an infinite compactum $X$, this space $P(X)$ is homeomorphism to a Hilbert cube $Q$ [4]. For a natural number $n$, denotes the set of all probability measures with at most $n$ supports. $P_n(X) = \{\mu \in P(X) : \text{supp} \mu \subseteq \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}\}$. The compact $P_n(X)$ is a convex linear combination of Dirac measures of the form:

$$
\mu = m_1\delta_{x_1} + m_2\delta_{x_2} + \ldots + m_n\delta_{x_n}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 1, m_i \geq 0, x_i \in X,
$$

is the Dirac measure at the point $x_i$, $\delta(X)$ denotes the set of all Dirac measures of the compactum $X$. Recall that the space $P_n(X) \subset P(X)$ consists of all probability measures of the form $\mu = m_1\delta_{x_1} + m_2\delta_{x_2} + \ldots + m_n\delta_{x_n}$, for each of which $m_i \geq \frac{k}{k+1}$ for some $i \{4,5\}$.

For a natural number $n$, we put $P_n = P_n \cap P_l$, i.e., we have $P_n(X) = \{\mu \in P_l(X) : \text{supp} \mu \subseteq \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}\}$. Let $P'$ be a topological property. We say that the space $X$ has the property $P'$ if and only if $P(X)$ and $\delta(X)$ are homeomorphism.

**Definition 1**

A seminormal functor is called retractively stable if for any compactum the subspace is a retract for the compactum. those. there exists a continuous retraction $r: Y \rightarrow X$. On the other hand, an embedding $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a coretraction if there exists a retraction $r: Y \rightarrow X$. [3]

**Proposition 1**

The mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a coretraction, if and only if there exists a multiplicative extension operator for $f$.

It is obvious that the following holds

**Proposition 1**

A semi-normal functor $F:\text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ retractively $\eta$ is stable if and only if the embedding is a coretraction for any $X \in \text{Comp}$.

Obviously, for convex compact sets the functor $P$ of probability measures is retractively stable [5], hence, AR-compacta are retractively stable for any seminormal functors. It was shown [4,6] that the subfunctors $P_{\text{P}^n}$, $P_{\text{P}^n}$, and $P_{\text{F}^n}$ of the functor $P$ of probability measures are retractively stable. It follows from the definition of retractively stable functors that the retraction $r_n: F(X) \rightarrow \eta_n(X)$ is closed and perfect.

**Proposition 3**

If $X$ is contained in $Y$, then the Banach space $C(X)$ admits a linear and multiplicative extension operator in $C(Y)$ if and only if $X$ is a retract of the space $Y$ [7].

**Corollary 1**

For any retractively $\eta$ of a stable functor $F: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ space $\eta_n(X)$ is a retract of the space $F(X)$.

If $X$ is a metrizable compactum, then $X \times F(n)$ is also a metrizable compactum, and the map $\pi \times X: X \times F(n) \rightarrow F(X)$ is perfect. Hence $F(X)$ is metrizable, where $F$ is a retractive functor stable of degree $\leq n$. Using the reduced properties of retractively $\eta$ stable functors of finite degree $\eta$ and properties of perfect mappings [8], we can assert.

**Theorem 1**

For the compactum $X$ and retractively $\eta$ of stable functors $F$ of degree $\leq n$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1) $X$ is metrizable;
2) $F(X)$ is metrizable.

**Corollary 2**

For the functors $F=P_{\text{P}^n}$, $P_{\text{P}^n}$, $P_{\text{P}^n}$, $P_{\text{F}^n}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

1) $X$ is metrizable;
2) $F(X)$ is metrizable.

Let $Q$ be a topological property. We say that the space $X$ has the property $Q$ if and only if $X$ is a retract of the space $Q$. [3]

**Theorem 2**

For compact subsets of $X$ and $Y$, the spaces $P(X)$ and $P(Y)$ are homeomorphism, respectively, outside the sets $\delta(X)$ and $\delta(Y)$ if and only if $\delta(X)$ and $\delta(Y)$ are homeomorphism.

Evidence. Let $X$ and $Y$ be compact sets such that $P_l(X) \times \delta(X) = P_l(Y) \times \delta(Y)$ are homeomorphism to $h: P_l(X) \times \delta(X) \rightarrow P_l(Y) \times \delta(Y)$.

If $X$ is a metrizable compactum, then $X \times F(n)$ is also a metrizable compactum, and the map $\pi \times X: X \times F(n) \rightarrow F(X)$ is perfect. Hence $F(X)$ is metrizable, where $F$ is a retractive functor stable of degree $\leq n$. Using the reduced properties of retractively $\eta$ stable functors of finite degree $\eta$ and properties of perfect mappings [8], we can assert.
Theorem 4

Let X and Y be openly generated compacta without points of countable character, and \( h: P_\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow P_\mathcal{O}(Y) \) homeomorphism. Then \( h(P_k(X)) = P_k(Y) \) for any natural \( k < n \), and a quotient, X homeomorphism of Y.

Theorem 2 implies the following, which is a generalization of Theorem [5].

Corollary 3

Let X and Y be infinite compacta, and let \( h: P_f(X) \rightarrow P_f(Y) \) homeomorphism. Then \( h(P_f(X)) = P_f(Y) \) for any natural \( k < n \), in particular, the X homeomorphism Y.

Recall that \( Y \subset X \) is a C-embedded in X if every continuous real function defined on Y extends to a continuous function on X [7].

Theorem 5

Let \( F: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp} \) be the normal functor of the \( AR(\mathcal{W}) \) space in \( AR(\mathcal{W}) \) space. Then \( C_\mathcal{C} \) is embedded in \( F(X) \) for any \( X \in \text{Comp} \).

Evidence. Let \( X \in \text{Comp} \), by the continuity of the functor \( F: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp} \). The compact \( C_\mathcal{C}(X) \) is embedded in \( F(X) \). We consider a continuous function \( f: X \rightarrow R \) be a real line. The map \( F(f): F(X) \rightarrow F(R) \) is also continuous. Since F preserves \( AR(\mathcal{W}) \) spaces, there exists a retraction \( r_F: F(R) \rightarrow R \). The required continuous extensions are compositions of the map \( F(f) \) and the retraction \( r_F \) of \( F \).
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