Oral Preconditioning of Donors After Brain Death With Calcineurin Inhibitors vs. Inhibitors of Mammalian Target for Rapamycin in Pig Kidney Transplantation
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Background: The systemic inflammatory cascade triggered in donors after brain death enhances the ischemia-reperfusion injury after organ transplantation. Intravenous steroids are routinely used in the intensive care units for the donor preconditioning. Immunosuppressive medications could be potentially used for this purpose as well. Data regarding donor preconditioning with calcineurin inhibitors or inhibitors of mammalian target for Rapamycin is limited. The aim of this project is to investigate the effects of (oral) donor preconditioning with a calcineurin inhibitor (Cyclosporine) vs. an inhibitor of mammalian target for Rapamycin (Everolimus) compared to the conventional administration of steroid in the setting of donation after brain death in porcine renal transplantation.

Methods: Six hours after the induction of brain death, German landrace donor pigs (33.2 ± 3.9 kg) were randomly preconditioned with either Cyclosporine (n = 9) or Everolimus (n = 9) administered via nasogastric tube with a repeated dose just before organ procurement. Control donors received intravenous Methylprednisolone (n = 8). Kidneys were procured, cold-stored in Histidine-Tryptophan-Ketoglutarate solution at 4°C and transplanted in nephrectomized recipients after a mean cold ischemia time of 18 h. No post-transplant immunosuppression was given to avoid confounding bias. Blood samples were obtained at 4 h post reperfusion and daily until postoperative day 5 for complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, and electrolytes. Graft protocol biopsies were performed 4 h after reperfusion to assess early histological and immunohistochemical changes.

Results: There was no difference in the hemodynamic parameters, hemoglobin/hematocrit and electrolytes between the groups. Serum blood urea nitrogen and creatinine peaked on postoperative day 1 in all groups and went back to the
reperfusion injury (IRI) together with the systemic inflammatory response to brain death causes infrastructural organ injury which could lead to initial poor function and ultimately primary non-function (1). The increased intracranial pressure and the absence of cerebral flow during brain death activates a full-blown neuronal, hemodynamic and hormonal storm. The consequence is an inflammatory cascade which releases proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines and adhesion molecules and leads to infiltration of T-lymphocytes and macrophages in the organs (2). It has been shown that the treatment with methylprednisolone in donors after brain death (DBD) exerts protective effects against IRI in terms of decreased incidence of acute rejection (3).

Preconditioning with calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) has been shown to have protective effects in a model of renal transplantation in rats compared to vehicle-treated animals (4). This renoprotective effect was seen with only one dose of CNI and was not different between cyclosporine and tacrolimus regarding measured outcomes. To the best of our knowledge, there is no data available regarding the donor preconditioning with CNI in a big animal (porcine) model. Everolimus (Certican), an inhibitor of mammalian target for Rapamycin (mTORI), inhibits the proliferation and the clonal expansion of antigen-activated T-cells, making it an interesting candidate for the pharmacologic preconditioning against IRI in the setting of DBD. Currently, there is very few data in the literature regarding this possible protective effect of Everolimus.

The aim of this study has been to investigate the feasibility and the effects of oral preconditioning of DBD donors with CNI (Cyclosporine A) vs. mTORI (Everolimus) vs. conventional administration of steroid in a porcine model of kidney transplantation.

**METHODS**

German landrace pigs (weight: 33.2 ± 3.9 kg) were given access to standard laboratory chow (ssniff R/M-H, ssniff Spezialdiäten, Soest, Germany) and tap water before experiments. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the responsible animal welfare state authority (Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe, Baden-Württemberg, Germany (file number: 35-9185.81/G-5/16) and were performed according to the institutional guidelines at the Ruprecht-Karls Univesity, Heidelberg, Germany in accordance with the guidelines of FELASA (Federation for Laboratory Animal Science Associations).

**Experimental Design**

All operations and investigations were performed under general anesthesia. After premedication (azaperone 6 mg/kg intramuscularly (i.m.), ketamine 10 mg/kg i.m., and midazolamine hydrochloride 0.5 mg/kg i.m.), anesthesia was induced with ketamine [1 mg/kg intravenously (i.v.)], midazolamine hydrochloride (0.1 mg/kg i.v.), and atropine (0.04 mg/kg i.v.). During the operation, anesthesia was maintained with 1.5–2% isoflurane. Ventilation was pressure-controlled in a half-closed system. The ventilation parameters included a tidal volume of 240 ml, frequency of 17/min, maximum pressure of 24 cmH2O and positive end-expiratory pressure of 3–5 cmH2O. The pH, HCO3, pCO2, and pO2 concentrations were determined by routine analysis of arterial blood gases. The respiration parameters were then adapted to these values. During surgery, controlled infusion therapy was applied using 20 ml/kg/h Sterofundin (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany). The mean arterial pressure (MAP) as well as the central venous pressure (CVP) and the heart rate (HR) were continuously monitored. For these reasons, the right common carotid artery and the jugular vein were first prepared, cannulated and connected to pressure transducers. The central venous catheter additionally served for volume substitution, for the administration of pharmaceuticals and for obtaining central venous blood samples.

**INTRODUCTION**

During the process of organ transplantation, the ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) together with the systemic inflammatory response to brain death causes infrastructural organ injury which could lead to initial poor function and ultimately primary non-function (1). The increased intracranial pressure and the absence of cerebral flow during brain death activates a full-blown neuronal, hemodynamic and hormonal storm. The consequence is an inflammatory cascade which releases proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines and adhesion molecules and leads to infiltration of T-lymphocytes and macrophages in the organs (2). It has been shown that the treatment with methylprednisolone in donors after brain death (DBD) exerts protective effects against IRI in terms of decreased incidence of acute rejection (3).

Preconditioning with calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) has been shown to have protective effects in a model of renal transplantation in rats compared to vehicle-treated animals (4). This renoprotective effect was seen with only one dose of CNI and was not different between cyclosporine and tacrolimus regarding measured outcomes. To the best of our knowledge, there is no data available regarding the donor preconditioning with CNI in a big animal (porcine) model. Everolimus (Certican), an inhibitor of mammalian target for Rapamycin (mTORI), inhibits the proliferation and the clonal expansion of antigen-activated T-cells, making it an interesting candidate for the pharmacologic preconditioning against IRI in the setting of DBD. Currently, there is very few data in the literature regarding this possible protective effect of Everolimus.

**Conclusion:** Oral preconditioning with Cyclosporine or Everolimus is feasible in donation after brain death pig kidney transplantation and reduces the expression of TNF-α. Future studies are needed to further delineate the role of oral donor preconditioning against ischemia-reperfusion injury.
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**Abbreviations:** DBD, donors after brain death; IRI, ischemia-reperfusion injury; CNIs, calcineurin inhibitors; mTORI, inhibitors of mammalian target for Rapamycin; HTK, Histidine-Tryptophane-Ketoglutarate; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor alpha; IL-6, interleukin 6; IL-10, interleukin 10; GFR, Glomerular filtration rate; POD, post-operative day; SD, Standard deviation; i.m., intramuscularly; i.v., intravenously; MAP, mean arterial pressure; CVP, central venous pressure; HR, heart rate; IVC, inferior vena cava; PS, proportion score; IS, intensity score; TS, total score; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; ATI, acute tubular injury.
Induction of Brain Death
Our standardized method for the induction of brain death in pigs has been published elsewhere (5, 6). Briefly, under general anesthesia, two burr holes (diameter, 10 mm) were placed epidurally in the left temporal (CODMAN® MICROSENSOR® Integra LifeSciences, Plainsboro, NJ, USA), right temporal (10-French Tiemann balloon catheter, B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany), and intraparenchymal in left frontal (thermal diffusion probe), regions. The slow inflation of the epidurally inserted Tiemann balloon catheter with a total of 6–13 mL NaCl 0.9% solution (running rate: 1 mL in 3 min) caused brain death within about 60 min. Brain death was confirmed after cessation of anesthesia by (1) the typical hemodynamic changes of brain death, (2) the absence of response to painful stimuli, and (3) the absence of pupillary and corneal reflexes. Ventilation and close monitoring of cardiovascular parameters such as heart rate and blood pressure were continued during organ procurement.

Preconditioning of Donor Animals
Six hours after the induction of brain death (i.e., 2 h prior to organ procurement) preconditioning was performed with the oral administration of Cyclosporine suspension (Novartis Pharma GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany) (10 mg/kg body weight) (n = 9) or Certican suspension (2 mg) (n = 9) (Novartis Pharma GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany) - via the nasogastric tube. Doses were analogous to usual administered doses in adult organ transplantation. A repeated dose was administered immediately before organ procurement. Control group (n = 8) received 250 mg intravenous bolus of Methylprednisolone (Urbason®, SANOFI-AVENTIS GmbH, Vienna, Austria) then continuously at a dose of 100 mg/h until procurement (Figure 1).

Organ Procurement and Preservation
A full-length midline laparotomy was performed and abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava (IVC) were dissected at the level of iliac bifurcation. Subsequently supraaortical aorta was prepared just below the diaphragm. After the administration of 200 IU/Kg heparin, the perfusion catheter was inserted into the aorta. Renal artery was checked for possible lower pole arteries. Slight mobilization of adrenal gland was done for better exposure of renal vein. The aorta was cross-clamped and the cold perfusion was performed with HTK (histidine tryptophan ketoglutarate) solution (Custodiol®, Dr. F. Köhler Chemie GmbH, Alsbach-Hähnlein, Germany) and the infrarenal IVC was vented. The renal artery was cut without a patch; renal veins were cut with a short IVC cuff. After the procurement, renal artery was catheterized by a soft cannula and perfused again. The kidney was subsequently cold-stored in HTK for 18 h.

Kidney Transplantation
The details regarding operation procedures have been published elsewhere (7). Briefly, the recipient animals were first premedicated in the same way as the donor animals, anesthetized, ventilated and instrumented. Baseline blood samples were obtained. After a midline laparotomy, the pigs underwent nephrectomy followed by standard kidney transplantation. In summary, right sided kidney transplantation was started with an end-to-side venous anastomosis of the renal vein to IVC with 5-0 Prolene using a continuous suture technique. The arterial anastomosis was performed end-to-side on the aorta in an analogous manner. The kidney was re-perfused first by releasing the venous perfusion by removing the clamp on the vein and, as a second step, releasing the arterial perfusion by removing the clamp on the artery. Subsequently, the ureteroneocystostomy was performed using 5-0 PDS sutures.
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continuous. The two recipient pigs in each recipient group were transplanted simultaneously using two kidneys from each donor pig.

Post-transplant Procedure
The recipients were monitored on the operating table for 4 h, after which blood samples and protocol biopsies were taken and the abdomen was closed. The indwelling central venous catheter was kept in order to draw blood samples as well as for the intravenous administration of analgesics, antibiotics and volume and substrate substitution. The catheter in the carotid artery was removed after surgery. The animals were then extubated and returned to the cage. Recipients received 0.05 mg/kg buprenorphine, 25–50 mg/kg Metamizole for analgesia as well as 200 mg ciprofloxacin and 125 mg metronidazole, over the remaining central venous catheter. Buprenorphine 0.02–0.05 mg/kg and Metamizole 25–50 mg/kg were given every 12 h for the first 48 h postoperatively.

When the animals were awake and had regained their physiological body temperature, they were taken to the holding area of the University’s Interfaculty Biomedical Research Facility. The animals were under observation of the competent animal caretakers and veterinarians, immediately gaining free access to water. On the evening of the operating day, the animals received 500 ml glucose 5% + 500 ml lactated Ringer. On the 1st postoperative day, the animals received 1,000 mL glucose 10% + 1,000 mL ringer lactate. Solid food was allowed only after bowel sound was heard. Parenteral nutrition with Nutriflex peri was considered for animals unable to eat. After the surgery based on pigs’ general performance, it was decided how they should be observed and kept. All the pigs were visited three times a day and checked in terms of weight change. Blood was drawn over the central venous catheter daily to measure complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (Cr) and electrolytes up to postoperative day (POD) 5. No immunosuppression was administered. Animals were sacrificed at the end of the study on POD 5 under deep anesthesia by intravenous injection of potassium chloride (2 mmol/kg).

Histopathology
To investigate early histopathological changes during kidney transplantation, wedge biopsies were obtained 4 h after reperfusion. Kidney samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, routinely embedded in paraffin, cut into 4 μm-thick sections for hematoxylin and eosin stain as well as for Periodic acid-Schiff reaction according to standard protocols. Qualitative assessment of samples was performed to determine and grade acute tubular injury (1 = mild, dilated tubules, partial brush border loss, 2 = moderate, dilated tubules, complete brush border loss, hyaline cylinders, 3 = severe, complete epithelial atrophy, tubule necrosis). Quantitative assessment of acute tubular damage was also performed and scored as quartiles (1 = 0–25, 2 = 26–50, 3 = 51–75, and 4 = 76–100%).

Immunohistochemistry
For immunohistochemical examination, sections were labeled with commercially available antibodies against cytochrome c (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab90529, dilution 1:200) and TNF-α (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab6671, dilution 1:50). After heat-induced antigen retrieval at pH 9 (Target Retrieval Solution, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, USA) for cytochrome c and pH 6 (Target Retrieval Solution, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, USA) for TNF-α, respectively, the slides were blocked with Dako REAL Peroxidase-Blocking Solution (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, USA) and incubated with the primary antibody. An anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated to HRP (Polyview plus HRP (anti-rabbit) reagent, ENZO Life Sciences GmbH, Lörrach, Germany) was applied. AEC solution (Dako REAL Substrate Solution, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, USA) was used to visualize the signal.

The immunohistochemical scoring was performed according to Allred et al. (8). The Proportion Score (PS) was the estimated percentage ratio of positive TNF-α-stained or cytochrome c-stained cells to the total number of cells, classified as: PS0 (0%), PS1 (>0–1%), PS2 (≥1–10%), PS3 (≥10–33%), PS4 (≥33–66%), and PS5 (≥66–100%). The Intensity Score (IS) was measured based on estimated staining intensity by visual assessment and was scored as: 0 (negative), 1+ (weak), 2+ (moderate), or 3+ (strong). The total score (TS) was calculated as the sum of the PS and IS and ranged from 0 to 8.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2013. Armonk, NY). Continuous data are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation (SD) and differences between groups were analyzed using the one-way ANOVA test. Categorical data were compared using the chi-square test of association. Histopathological data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Bonferroni post-hoc method. P < 0.05 were accepted as statistically significant differences.

RESULTS
There was no difference in preoperative hemodynamic parameters, hemoglobin/hematocrit, electrolytes as well as intraoperative blood loss between the groups (Table 1). The duration of brain death and the ischemia did not vary between the groups, either (Table 2). BUN and Cr increased posttransplant in all groups and returned to normal through POD 4 to 5 (Figures 2, 3) and were not significantly different between the groups except for higher BUN after preconditioning with Everolimus compared to other groups on POD 2 (30 Cyclosporine vs. 43 in Everolimus vs. 24.5 in Methylprednisolone groups, p = 0.01) (Figure 2), and higher Cr after preconditioning with Cyclosporine on POD 1 (2.39 in Cyclosporine vs. 1.98 in Everolimus vs. 1.58 in Methylprednisolone, p = 0.02) (Figure 3). The electrolytes showed no difference between the groups throughout the study (Figures 4, 5).

Histopathological Analysis
Histological assessment revealed no significant differences between the groups (Table 3). A various degree of acute tubular injury was shown in all groups, with a mean score of 1 (<25%
TABLE 1 | Baseline data.

| Variables     | Cyclosporine | Everolimus | Methylprednisolone | p value |
|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------|
| Weight (kg)*  | 32.76 ± 1.88 | 34.07 ± 2.20 | 32.25 ± 1.28 | 0.130   |
| BUN (mg/dl)*  | 18.67 ± 7.45 | 19.37 ± 5.55 | 25.87 ± 7.95 | 0.098   |
| Cr (mg/dl)*   | 1.50 ± 0.34  | 1.39 ± 0.24  | 1.36 ± 0.26  | 0.581   |
| K (mmol/L)*   | 5.19 ± 1.47  | 4.75 ± 2.14  | 3.89 ± 0.70  | 0.243   |
| Ca (mmol/L)*  | 2.01 ± 0.27  | 2.20 ± 0.17  | 2.19 ± 0.15  | 0.122   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)* | 10.38 ± 1.85 | 11.03 ± 1.47 | 12.24 ± 1.61 | 0.087   |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)** | 62.78 ± 3.53 | 63.67 ± 3.24 | 65.00 ± 3.50 | 0.421   |
| Heart rate**  | 101.22 ± 4.92 | 97.56 ± 3.50 | 99.00 ± 5.26 | 0.255   |
| Temperature (°C)** | 35.33 ± 0.22 | 35.34 ± 0.19 | 35.47 ± 0.17 | 0.271   |
| Blood loss (ml) | 130 ± 27 | 137 ± 21 | 147 ± 17 | 0.318   |

kg, kilogram; mg/dl, milligram per deciliter; mmol/L, millimole per liter; g/dl, gram per deciliter; mmHg, millimeter mercury; C, centigrade; ml, milliliter; BUN, Blood Urea Nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; K, Kalium; Ca, Calcium; *, preoperative; **, before procurement.

TABLE 2 | Operative times.

|               | BD duration [h] | CIT duration [h] | WIT duration [min] |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Cyclosporine  | 6.6 ± 2.1       | 18.4 ± 2.0       | 48.9 ± 7.7         |
| Everolimus    | 7.8 ± 0.8       | 20.9 ± 3.6       | 48.9 ± 10.5        |
| Methylprednisolone | 6.8 ± 1.6     | 18.5 ± 2.9       | 43.8 ± 8.3         |
| All groups    | 7 ± 1.6         | 19.3 ± 2.9       | 47.7 ± 8.7         |
| P-value       | 0.39            | 0.24             | 0.48               |

Data is presented as mean ± SD. BD, brain death; CIT, cold ischemia time; WIT, warm ischemia time; h, hour; min, minute.

Immunohistochemistry

Figures 6–11 show the immunohistochemical staining as well as the (semi)quantitative assessment of the expression of TNF-α and cytochrome c 4 h after reperfusion. TNF-α expression in the immunohistochemistry staining was significantly higher in the Methylprednisolone groups compared with the Everolimus and Cyclosporine groups (p = 0.01). This significance was seen in both PS and TS (P < 0.01, Figure 12 A1 and A3). There was no difference in cytochrome c expression between the groups.

DISCUSSION

Brain death triggers an inflammatory response in the donor organs with T lymphocyte and macrophage infiltration and release of multiple proinflammatory cytokines, among all TNF-α, Interleukin-6, and Interleukin-10, which has been shown to enhance the immunogenicity of the organs and potentiate the deleterious effects of IRI after organ transplantation (9). The pharmacologic preconditioning of the donor has been shown to ameliorate the allo-immune response to
FIGURE 4 | Posttransplant calcium (Ca) in recipients in different study groups.

FIGURE 5 | Posttransplant potassium (K) in recipients in different study groups.

FIGURE 6 | TNF-α antibody staining after preconditioning with Cyclosporine. Arrows show different intensities; blue: intensity 0, orange: intensity 1, brown: intensity 2, and black: intensity 3.

FIGURE 7 | TNF-α antibody staining after preconditioning with Everolimus. Arrows show different intensities; blue: intensity 0, orange: intensity 1, brown: intensity 2, and black: intensity 3.

FIGURE 8 | TNF-α antibody staining after preconditioning with Methylprednisolone. Arrows show different intensities; blue: intensity 0, orange: intensity 1, brown: intensity 2, and black: intensity 3.

this enhanced immunogenicity after DBD (10–15). Few studies have investigated pharmacological preconditioning with Cyclosporine in rat kidneys (16, 17). In these studies, preconditioning with Cyclosporine led to improved renal function and histology, increased heat shock protein 70, and decreased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Interleukin-1 and TNF-α) as well as amelioration of oxidative stress after IRI. In contrast, other studies observed aggravated IRI in rat kidney after Cyclosporine, as detected by increased renal dysfunction, decreased Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and delayed tubular regeneration (18–20). Similarly, there have been reports on negative effects of sirolimus on IRI (including renal dysfunctions, delayed tubular regeneration and increased expression of heme oxygenase-1) (21), while others observed no negative effect of sirolimus pre-treatment on renal outcome after IRI (22). Moreover, data regarding oral donor preconditioning with immunosuppressive agents on the outcome of renal transplantation is scares. One study has shown that the oral donor pharmacological preconditioning with Everolimus or Cyclosporine does not reduce IRI in a rat kidney transplant model (23). We have previously shown that the oral administration of a preconditioning nutritional supplement is protective against IRI in pigs (24). The possible responsible mechanisms include the inactivation of hepatic Kupffer cells via cellular and molecular mechanisms
including bacterial translocation and lipopolysaccharide release that prevents the systemic cytokine release, adhesion molecules, leukocyte infiltration and subsequent histological changes. There is a pivotal interaction between the intestinal epithelium, the enteric antigen-presenting cells (e.g., gut dendritic cells), portal circulation, and hepatic kupffer cells, so that the tackling of the IRI via pharmacologic oral preconditioning may significantly modulate the ultimate immune response of the host (25). As for standard application in human kidney transplantation, the absorption phase for CSA occurs over the first 4 h after oral administration. Oral everolimus is absorbed rapidly, and reaches peak concentration after 1.3–1.8 h. For this reason, we administrated the oral CSA and Everolimus only few hours before organ procurement.

To our knowledge, there has been no study on the oral preconditioning of DBD donor in a big animal transplant model. Our present work showed that oral preconditioning with Cyclosporine or Everolimus in DBD pig kidney transplantation is feasible and down-regulates TNF-α expression. The reduction in TNF-α expression seems to be plausible in our model, as an increase of intragraft TNF-α expression is documented in the organs of DBD donors, and after organ reperfusion. TNF-α aggravates the adherence of leukocytes to vascular endothelium leading to enhancement of IRI and acceleration of acute allograft rejection after organ transplantation (26–30). The observed reduction of TNF-α expression might be a hint to suggest an IRI-reducing effect of the preconditioning with Cyclosporine and Everolimus. Cytochrome c, on the other hand, is a hemeprotein in the inner mitochondrial membrane. It has been shown that IRI leads to membrane depolarization by calcium overload in mitochondria, leading to opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore. As a result, cytochrome c is released into cytosol and activates the caspase family, leading to apoptosis (31). Our immunohistochemistry stains of cytochrome c showed, however, no difference between the groups. The release of cytochrome c into cytosol might have occurred later than 4 h after reperfusion.

In the present work, in order to stimulate the actual clinical practice, we induced hypotensive brain death in our donors, and allowed 6 h’ time for the inflammatory response following brain death to develop. Moreover, we kept an average of 18 h cold ischemia time to enhance IRI. No posttransplant immunosuppression therapy in recipients was administered to avoid confounding bias.

In order to detect the early allograft changes after IRI, the protocol biopsies were performed 4 h after reperfusion. Kusaka et al. have shown that the early changes including the expression of the inflammatory proteins could take place as early as 1 h after the implantation of the kidneys after DBD (32). Although we could show a difference in the expression of TNF-α between the study groups after four hours, our conventional histopathological studies were not different between the different groups, which might imply that the interval being too short to observe the histological changes of tubular damage. Although we have followed the recipients until POD 5 for the quality controlling of the transplants, we did not look for POD 5 biopsies as the findings would have not been specifically attributable to the immunological effects after DBD, and rather acute rejection.
This was to avoid the acute rejection as a confounding bias. The clinical as well as lab data through POD 5 including the laboratory tests showed no relevant difference between the groups.

The present work has its own limitations. Although we administered the routine immunosuppressive doses, data on the appropriate oral doses of Cyclosporine and Everolimus for the purpose of oral preconditioning is lacking. Furthermore, the best time points for the administration of the oral preconditioning agents as well as the frequency of medication are not known and vary widely among different studies. Furthermore, the best time point to look for the early innate host immune response triggered synergistically by IRI and DBD in allografts is still unclear.

In summary, our findings suggest the feasibility of the oral preconditioning with CNI or mTORi in DBD donors in pig kidney transplantation. A reduced expression of TNF-α in transplanted organs in the early post-transplant phase was seen after oral preconditioning with these agents. Our data can serve as a platform for future experimental and clinical studies to evaluate the protecting role of donor oral preconditioning against IRI and its clinical relevance.
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