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ABSTRACT

The digital age fueled the rise of Filipino internet users through text messaging and interacting through social media. In addition, users took advantage of the potential of the internet by conducting transactions online, such as purchasing, selling, and advertising products and services. Electronic commerce or e-commerce is becoming a global phenomenon, with more people opting to purchase goods and services online. E-commerce has also opened many opportunities for businesses to launch online. A wide array of products and services are being sold online, such as clothing items, home essentials, etc. The pandemic which caused restrictions in going out led to online wet markets gaining popularity. A wet market or palengke is a public market place where fresh produce, poultry, meat, fish, etc., are sold. The rise of online wet markets allowed people to purchase and receive fresh food items without having to leave the comforts of their homes. This also allows them to avoid going out and conform to existing protocols during quarantine. The study will use descriptive statistics in examining the relationship of website quality dimensions: quality of information, quality of interaction, usability, and consumer purchase intention of residents in Caloocan City. Some of the respondents were randomly selected to give intuition on the improvement of the existing research paper. The relationship between website quality and perceived risk may be deduced: the greater the website quality, the lower the perceived risk. Respondents’ level of security in disclosing their information when making a transaction in an online wet market was regarded as a risk. In addition, the study found that online quality had a considerable impact on consumers’ purchasing intentions. Customers’ perceived risk is not taken into account when anticipating their intentions.
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1. Introduction

Electronic commerce or e-commerce is becoming a global phenomenon, with more people opting to purchase goods and services online, especially in this pandemic. In 2019, the e-commerce market revenue amounted to 1,924,568 million US Dollars, and forecasts show that it may increase to up to 2,958,843 million US Dollars in 2024 (STATISTICA, 2019). However, consumers’ hesitance to buy caused by various issues with online shopping impeded the growth of e-commerce.

These issues include privacy and security concerns in providing personal information (Tamturk, 2017), quality and durability concerns in buying products (Shoup, 2018), etc. One potential explanation of consumers’ hesitation in patronizing online markets is the influence of culture on attitudes toward e-commerce adoption and technological usage (Garrouch & Timoulali, 2020). As a result, a deeper understanding of purchasing intent is critical for successful e-commerce use, especially to understand the customer’s viewpoint on e-commerce and to understand consumer behavior to enhance the company’s business (Dachyar & Banjarnahor, 2017).
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This study looks at the popularity of e-commerce based on its potential to generate customer purchasing desire to buy online.

According to the study of Khalil (2017) entitled “The role of the quality of a website in consumer perception,” it was found that the website quality positively correlates with consumer purchase intention and allows businesses to distinguish themselves from others. A similar result was found in the study “Investigating the Impact of Website Quality on Consumers’ Satisfaction in Jordanian Telecommunication Sector”, which aims to determine the effect of website quality dimensions (usability, information quality and service interaction) of four major Jordanian telecommunication organizations on the consumer’s satisfaction based on their perspectives.

As the use of the internet continues to increase and expand, it is necessary to identify what factors positively influence a customer in an online setting. Several existing studies have tackled the effectiveness of online shopping in the Philippines; however, research on the relationship of website quality of online wet markets to consumer intention in the country is limited. This study aims to fill the research gap between the usage of the online platform as a market channel and the effects of website quality of wet markets on purchase intentions. The research aims to determine the role of website quality of online markets on the consumers’ intention to purchase and the role on the perceived risks of customers. This study examines how the quality of information, quality of interaction, and usability attract consumers and influence their purchasing intention. The study also investigates the relationship between website quality and perceived risks of customers.

2.1 Theoretical Framework
2.1.1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)
The study focuses on how website quality affects consumers’ purchase intentions when it comes to buying from online wet markets. Since it was found that the concept of online wet markets is new to the consumers located in Caloocan City, the researchers investigated the attitude and acceptance behavior of the consumers using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). It was designed to predict the technology adoption decisions of users based on the outcome of their experience with the new technology.

The researchers anchored this study to the theory, Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which was studied and developed by Fred Davis to explain the acceptance behavior of users to new information systems. This information systems model proposes that users' decisions are primarily influenced by two factors: perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness of the technology. (Davis, 1989). Perceived usefulness (PU) refers to the extent to how much a person believes that the specific information system will alleviate his life or performance. While perceived ease of use (PEOU) is defined as the degree to which a person thinks that the system will need the effort to function. (Surendran, 2012, p. 175). PEOU is a critical component in the online wet market since it determines the adaptation of the users to the innovation and as well as its overall success since it cannot be useful if the users do not use it in the first place.

![Figure 2.1.1. Technological Acceptance Model (TAM)](image)

The factors studied in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) are consistent with the aspects investigated in the study since this research is focused on website quality, how consumers interact with the online market website, and how their experiences with the website affected their purchasing decisions. The research will utilize this framework to assess how consumers are influenced by the following factors: the quality of the information displayed on the website, the ease of navigation across the website, and the navigation time in terms of usability.
The rise of the internet and its increasing users have given business owners and marketing teams a new way to present their products or services to their customers. The creation of a website is usually the initial step in implementing internet marketing for most businesses. However, it can be challenging to find an attractive and effective design because of the vast options the internet provides. For a website to be successful, it should find a way for consumers to visit the website, stay, and often return. (Dapas, Purwanto & Sitorus, 2019, p. 88). Using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) will ensure that business owners can create a more useful and easier to use system than their competitors — therefore leading to the creation of good website quality.

2.1.2. WebQual Model
Since the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) has two general beliefs that focus on user acceptance and technological usefulness, however, it does not necessarily evaluate the purchase intentions of the consumers, the researchers have decided that it is vital to use an additional model in the study since it has been hypothesized that there are other distinct categories that need to be considered. The other model, WebQual Model, fills the missing gap in the previous model. It was designed to measure the consumers’ perception regarding website quality and how it influences their behavior, precisely their intention to purchase and repurchase on the website.

Figure 2.1.2 WebQual Model
The researchers anchored this study to the WebQual model created by Barnes and Vidgen (2010). The approach of this model is customer-centric because it assesses websites through the feedback of the users. It has 12 core dimensions: informational fit-to-task; tailored communications; trust; response time; ease of understanding; intuitive operations; visual appeal; innovativeness; emotional appeal; consistent image; online completeness; and relative advantage (Longstreet, 2010, p. 2). Each of the aforementioned dimensions has been found to have high measurement validity in assessing consumers’ evaluation of overall website quality, which targets the objectives of this study. In addition, Cho and Park (2001) claim that customer satisfaction in e-commerce is directly connected to the quality of website design. Hence, it is vital to identify and understand the content of e-commerce sites to meet the customers’ needs and expectations.

2.2. Literature review
2.2.1. On Website Quality
As technology progresses, E-commerce has been considered an excellent platform to start a business. The article of Dapas et al. (2019) titled “The Effect of Service Quality and Website Quality of Zalora.com on Purchase Decision as Mediated by Purchase Intention” explained how a substantial rising number of internet users among Indonesia in recent years had shown potential in terms of the market for the development of online business. They stated how companies could develop E-commerce as it shows convincing potential because of its marketing system growth. In regard to starting a business using the said platform, they referenced Kotler and Armstrong’s discussion that the first step in implementing online marketing for most companies is the creation of a website. Still, it must be attractive for consumers to stay and visit the website more often.

Faisal et al. (2017) stated that aesthetic website design/interface is a strong determinant of user satisfaction. A pleasing website design well-aligned and organized website may positively affect the user’s intention to purchase, and its absence may negatively affect the user’s behavioral intention. Previous studies show that website tool design is an essential artifact for the website’s success. Websites that have an aesthetic interface are more likely to attract more consumers. It may also increase the satisfaction of the user (Oyibo et al., 2017). According to Yim et al. (2017), the interactivity of consumers is determined by how effective the delivery process of technology encourages an individual to communicate. Moreover, interactive mobile applications could help strengthen the relationship between the consumer and retailers. User control, responsiveness, connectedness, customization, and playfulness are the features of interactivity that were discussed in the study.

Website quality has a beneficial impact on consumers’ online impulse purchases, according to Turkyilmaz et al. (2015) and Akram et al. (2017). They recommend that online shops that want to grow and keep their consumer base must place a high value on the quality of their websites. Website quality increases the likelihood of consumers making impulse purchases, according to Akram et al. (2017). According to Akram et al. (2017), the quality of a website influences online impulse purchase behavior in a beneficial way. Quality of service and website are also determinants of buying decisions, in addition, to purchase intention. According to Firdausy and Idawati (2017), service quality has a beneficial impact on purchasing decisions. Similarly, Saling et al. (2016) discovered that service quality influences purchasing decisions in a favorable and significant way.

H1. The factors of website quality of online wet markets that affect the consumer’s intention in purchasing food online.
2.2.2. Influence of consumers’ perceived risk

When it comes to risk factors whenever purchasing online, consumers tend to have more. Mohan, T. et al. (2018) stated in their study the factors of consumers’ perceived risk when they purchase online. According to the study, there are five perceived threat factors: financial risk, product risk, security risk, time risk, social risk, and psychological risk. Between all these factors, the security risk is the most dominant contributor for consumers to deter from purchasing online. Since consumers nowadays tend to use e-wallets to buy online, the security of their accounts, such as online banking or online e-wallets, is vulnerable to risk security such as fraud or identity theft.

The perceived risk may have a negative impact on willingness to buy, according to Wei, Y., Wang et al. (2018). The greater the consumer’s perceived risk, the lower the intention to buy. Customers may be more cautious about the quality of food in online shopping because it carries more risks than traditional purchase methods. The apparent gap between actual food quality and consumer expectations is a major deterrent to people purchasing fruit online.

H2. The website quality of online wet markets affects the perceived risks of consumers.

2.2.3. Relationship of consumers’ perceived risk and purchase intention

The relationship between perceived service quality, perceived website quality, and perceived reputation, as well as the mediating role of trust in online shopping and the moderating role of perceived risk between trust and online purchase intention, were investigated in a study conducted by Qalati et al. (2021). They showed that web store owners should place importance on trust as it has an impact on online purchasing and how an increase in trust reduces the severity of the impact of perceived risk on online purchase intention. They also noted that companies must enhance the degree of trust with their customers in order to grow sales and reduce the severity of the risk and increase consumer engagement with companies.

H3. The perceived risk of consumers affects purchase intention

2.3. Conceptual Framework

![Figure 2.3. Conceptual Framework](https://example.com/figure23.png)

Applying the Technological Acceptance Model and WebQual Model, the quality of information, quality of interaction, and usability were suggested to directly affect consumers’ intention to purchase.

The conceptual framework shown (See Figure 2.3) illustrates how the hypotheses relate the dependent variables, i.e. the website quality dimensions and the independent variables, i.e. consumers’ purchase intention and perceived risks. The proponents require the following dependent variables to attain the research objectives. The main objective of this study is to identify whether the website quality of online wet markets affects consumer purchase intention and how the variables affect each other. Website quality is divided into three dimensions as defined by Barnes and Vidgen (2003), which are quality of information, quality of interaction, and usability. The study will measure how these dimensions correlate with consumer purchase intention and perceived risks to conclude whether the overall website quality of online wet markets affects the purchase intention of consumers in Caloocan City.

3. Research Methods
3.1 Subjects and Study Sites
The chosen participants of this study are 403 randomly selected consumers residing in Caloocan City North/South. No other limitations were established for the consumers and customers. The random selection of consumers produced a variation in the following categories: age, location, food industry preference. This study uses the Raosoft software to calculate the sample size for a population size of 1,699,908 in Caloocan City as of May 2021. Raosoft assumes a normal distribution and uses a 95% confidence level in computing the sample size. A response distribution of 50% and a margin of error of 5% were also used in calculating the sample size.

Raosoft uses the following formula in calculating the sample size:

\[ n = \frac{N \times x}{(N - 1) \times E^2 + x} \]

where

- \( n \) is the sample size
- \( N \) is the population size
- \( E \) is the margin of error

The margin of error may be calculated using the following formula:

\[ E = \sqrt{\frac{(N - n) \times x}{n \times (N - 1)}} \]

\( x \) may be obtained using the following formula:

\[ x = Z \left( \frac{c}{100} \right)^2 \times r(100 - r) \]

where \( Z \left( \frac{c}{100} \right) \) is the critical value for the given confidence level, \( c \)

\( r \) is the fraction of responses

A sample size of 403 from a population size of 1,699,908 with a 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error, and 50% response distribution was obtained from Raosoft.

The study is only concerned with collecting data from the residents of Caloocan City for online wet markets that have not yet been established in the location, and the researchers aim to investigate the viability of launching online wet markets in Caloocan City. No other qualifications were set by the researchers besides having access to the internet for the researchers to observe other variables that affect consumer purchase intention. Due to the ongoing pandemic, the proponents only utilized online survey questionnaires to gather the data of their respondents and ensure the researchers' safety.

3.2 Data Gathering Procedure
The researchers planned a thorough and step-by-step procedure in collecting data to ensure its accuracy and reliability. The researchers complied with the following steps:

1. The researchers create an online questionnaire. They arranged the settings wherein participants could not submit the form if there were unanswered questions. They also limit the response to one per account to avoid multiple answers from one person;

2. The researchers used multiple social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Messenger to disseminate the google form and to reach as many respondents as they can;

3. After gathering data from 403 consumers, the researchers total its tally or will make a graph and a table that shows the results of the answers. Tabulating and graphing the data helps identify the similarities and differences of all the gathered answers;

4. The researchers analyzed and interpreted the data through different tables. The researchers then determine the relation of each answer to the study and elaborate on each of them; and lastly,

5. The researchers conclude whether the website quality affects the consumers’ purchase intentions or not.

3.3 Research Instrumentation
The researchers used an online survey validated by a professional researcher and statistician to ensure its validity. Through an online platform called Google Forms, the researchers gathered the data and responses of the respondents. It will allow the researchers to collect as many answers as they can from consumers. The researchers used multiple social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Messenger to disseminate the google form and reach as many respondents as possible. After gathering and collecting data, the researchers will organize, compute, and analyze the respondents' answers in line with the research problems or objectives.

The questionnaire was patterned on the WebQual model 4.0 by Barnes and Vidgen (2010), for it measures the correlation between website quality and consumer purchase intention. The WebQual model aims to provide anyone, particularly business owners and marketers, a sense of how consumers perceive a certain website; it then allows the researchers to evaluate whether their website increases the purchasing behavior of the consumers or not at all. Webqual Questions 4.0 comprises twenty-two questions which are divided into three categories which are the following: usability, quality of information, and service interaction. It is important to note that the researchers have labelled specific questions in the questionnaire with asterisks (*). These questions pertain to the perceived risks of the consumers since the degree of trust and negative reports regarding the website can also impact buying behavior. All three categories are focused on the feedback of the consumers. It has been greatly emphasized because the consumers are both customers and IS users in the online environment. In both circumstances, the level of importance is immensely placed on the buyers; the online business owners should therefore identify and understand it, then apply it to their websites. (Cho & Park, 2001).

3.4 Data Analysis
The study used descriptive statistics in examining the relationship of website quality dimensions: quality of information, quality of interaction, usability, and consumer purchase intention of residents in Caloocan City. The study utilized descriptive statistics in measuring the impact of the website quality dimensions on the perceived risks of the consumers. The statistical tools used in this study were adapted from the study of Dapas et al. (2019) that aimed to determine the effects of service quality and website quality of zalora.com on the consumer purchase decisions. The descriptive statistics used in this study to measure and analyze the relationship between the website quality and consumer purchase intention include frequency distribution, percentage, weighted mean, and standard deviation.

The study used the chi-square test of independence that determined the website quality of online wet markets strongly correlated to consumer purchase intention. The chi-square test of independence is appropriate in testing the study, for it is a nonparametric test that assesses the statistical independence or association of categorical variables (Kent State University Libraries, 2021). In this study, the website quality shall be used as the independent variable, while the purchasing intention will serve as the dependent variable in calculating the test statistic.

The test statistic of the Chi-square test of independence may be calculated using the following formula:

\[ X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{(o_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} \]

where \( o_{ij} \) is the observed cell count in the \( i^{th} \) row and \( j^{th} \) column of the table
\( e_{ij} \) is the expected cell count in the \( i^{th} \) row and \( j^{th} \) column of the table
\( (o_{ij} - e_{ij}) \) is the residual, \( r_{ij} \)
\( R \) is the total number of rows
\( C \) is the total number of columns

The expected cell count may be computed using the following formula:

\[ e_{ij} = \frac{\text{row \_ total \_ \_ i} \times \text{col \_ total \_ \_ j}}{\text{grand \_ total}} \]

To determine whether the null hypothesis is accepted or rejected, the test statistic is compared to the critical value obtained from the chi-square distribution table with degrees of freedom, \( df \), and chosen confidence level.

\( df \) may be computed using:

\[ df = (R - 1)(C - 1) \]

If the test value is greater than the critical value, then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test value is greater than the critical value, then the null hypothesis is rejected (Kent State University Libraries, 2021).
The study will also be using Pearson correlation to determine whether the following dependent variables are positively or negatively correlated to consumer purchase intention. The Pearson correlation coefficient may be interpreted as follows:

| Value of Correlation Coefficient | Interpretation                           |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1.00 (-1.00)                     | Perfect positive (negative) correlation  |
| 0.90 - 0.99 (-0.90 - -0.99)       | Very high positive (negative) correlation|
| 0.70 - 0.89 (-0.70 - -0.89)       | High positive (negative) correlation     |
| 0.50 - 0.69 (-0.50 - -0.69)       | Moderate positive (negative) correlation |
| 0.30 - 0.49 (-0.30 - -0.49)       | Low positive (negative) correlation      |
| 0.00 - 0.29 (0.00 - 0.29)         | Negligible correlation                   |

The magnitude of the correlation coefficient suggests the degree of correlation between the website quality dimension and consumer purchase intention. Having high magnitudes of the correlation coefficient suggests that the website quality greatly affects the purchase intention of consumers, while having low values of the correlation coefficient suggests that consumer purchase intention does not heavily rely on website quality. The sign of the correlation coefficient indicates whether the website quality positively or negatively correlates with consumer purchase intention. A positive correlation coefficient denotes that having higher website quality increases consumer purchase intention, while a negative correlation coefficient denotes otherwise.

### 3.5 Ethical Considerations
This study ensured ethical guidelines during the creation of this paper were noticed. The study integrated various expert viewpoints and angles, respected the intellectual property rights of publishers and authors, correct attribution and reference to the writers. Further, since the research topic and objectives revolve around consumers' perspectives, the researchers ensured a given form to the participants to provide them with an idea about the study and asked for their consent before disclosing any private details. The researchers ensured that the participant's personal information and other data were safe and secured.

### 4. Result

#### 4.1 Profile of respondents

| Age Group             | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| 18 to 25 years old    | 236       | 56.6%   |
| 26 to 35 years old    | 99        | 24.6%   |
| 35 to 45 years old    | 34        | 8.4%    |
| 46 to 55 years old    | 30        | 7.4%    |
| 55 years old and above| 4         | 1.0%    |
| Total                 | 403       | 100.0%  |

| Caloocan City         | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| North Caloocan        | 175       | 43.4%   |
| South Caloocan        | 228       | 56.6%   |
| Total                 | 403       | 100.0%  |

The majority of the respondents are aged 18 to 25 years old (58.6%). Fifty-eight percent are at the age of 18 to 25, while the remaining (41.4%) of total respondents are 26 years old and above. Fifty-six percent (56.6%) of the respondents are from south Caloocan, and the remaining forty-three percent (43.4%) are from north Caloocan.

#### 4.2 Functionality

Table 2
According to Table 2, the majority of respondents believe the website is easy to use and has an appealing interface; each variable was found to be significant for all items in this section, but the ability to operate the website with little effort is the most important element in this section (mean = 3.519). The website’s perception of competency (mean = 3.333) is the least significant finding. Customer intention to buy is greatly influenced by website functioning and clear information in an online store (mean = 3.499); on the other hand, website attractiveness or interface has a considerable impact on consumer intention to buy in online wet markets (mean = 3.402).

### 4.3 Quality Information

**Table 3 Quality Information**

| I find that it provides accurate information | Mean | Std. Deviation | Verbal Interpretation |
|---------------------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                                             | 3.452| 0.627          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it provides believable information | 3.454| 0.619          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it provides timely information | 3.404| 0.652          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it provides relevant information | 3.404| 0.649          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it provides easy to understand information | 3.437| 0.621          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it provides information at the right level of detail | 3.417| 0.603          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that it presents information in an appropriate format | 3.412| 0.602          | Strongly Agree        |
| quality_ave                                | 3.426| 0.466          | Strongly Agree        |

The majority of respondents (mean = 3.454) believe that giving reliable information in an online wet market is the most important variable in this area, as indicated in Table 3. The least significant variable in this area (mean = 3.404) is providing timely and appropriate information. The consumers’ intention to buy in an online wet market is substantially influenced by providing accurate, timely, relevant, and suitable information on a website.

### 4.4 Service Interaction

**Table 4 Service Interaction**

| I find the site easy to learn to operate | Mean | Std. Deviation | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                                           | 3.519| 0.587          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find my interaction with the website is clear and understandable | 3.499| 0.562          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find the website easy to navigate | 3.409| 0.601          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find the website easy to use | 3.442| 0.601          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that the site has an attractive appearance | 3.402| 0.613          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find the design is appropriate to the type of site | 3.357| 0.616          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that the site conveys a sense of competency | 3.333| 0.597          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that the site creates a positive experience for me use_ave | 3.380| 0.605          | Strongly Agree        |
|                                           | 3.417| 0.432          | Strongly Agree        |

The majority of respondents (mean = 3.519) believe that finding the website easy to learn to operate is the most important variable in this area, as indicated in Table 4. The least significant variable in this area (mean = 3.380) is finding the website creates a positive experience for me. Customer intention to buy is greatly influenced by finding the website easy to use and easy to navigate; on the other hand, finding the website easy to learn to operate has a considerable impact on customer intention to buy in online wet markets.
Table 4 shows that respondents are confident that the service interaction of goods and services will be delivered as promised (mean = 3.444). The trust that service providers build will find respondents easy to communicate with the organization (mean = 3.434). Overall, respondents believe that buying groceries from online wet markets is a good idea (mean = 3.400) and that good services will be given as promised (mean = 3.400).

Overall, for Service Interaction, the respondents say “Strongly Agree”, so the Service Interaction of the website is good for web quality.

### 4.5 Perceived Risk

| Perceived Risk                                                                 | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Verbal Interpretation |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------------|
| I feel that the website has a good reputation                               | 3.494 | 0.624          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find that my personal information feels secure                            | 3.444 | 0.676          | Strongly Agree        |
| I feel safe to complete my transactions                                     | 3.395 | 0.673          | Strongly Agree        |
| I feel safe making online wet market purchase                               | 3.404 | 0.700          | Strongly Agree        |
| I am confident that this online wet market website is free of risks          | 3.372 | 0.716          | Strongly Agree        |
| I am certain in purchasing through this online wet market website            | 3.352 | 0.662          | Strongly Agree        |
| I find the online wet market products' price is reasonable                   | 3.328 | 0.682          | Strongly Agree        |
| I feel that the monetary information I provide on this website is well protected | 3.372 | 0.647          | Strongly Agree        |
| risk_ave                                                                     | 3.395 | 0.523          | Strongly Agree        |

Table 5 shows that overall users agree thus, looking at online wet markets to have low risk (mean = 3.395). With that, they feel that the online wet market has a good reputation (mean = 3.494), their personal information feels secure (mean = 3.444), and they are safe making online wet market purchases (mean = 3.404).
The Perceived Risk questionnaire was developed to portray a positive tone to responders. In this context, “risk” is defined as respondents’ level of confidence in the website's quality. Overall, respondents answer “Strongly Agree” for Perceived Risks, implying that respondents believe their information is protected while purchasing together with a solid website quality.

4.6 Consumers’ Purchase Intention

Table 6
Consumers’ Purchase Intention

| Item                                                                 | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Verbal Interpretation |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------------|
| I find it beneficial to do online wet market shopping                | 3.404 | 0.621          | Strongly Agree        |
| I will consider this site first when I want to buy products          | 3.295 | 0.615          | Strongly Agree        |
| I would be comfortable shopping at this site                         | 3.293 | 0.630          | Strongly Agree        |
| I feel the online wet market could be the next possible trend        | 3.370 | 0.623          | Strongly Agree        |
| I would recommend this site to a friend                              | 3.340 | 0.616          | Strongly Agree        |
| I would use an online wet market platform for purchasing goods in the future | 3.303 | 0.605          | Strongly Agree        |
| I would buy fresh goods on an online wet market website rather than any other options available | 3.261 | 0.638          | Strongly Agree        |
| I intend to use the online wet market platform for my purchase       | 3.313 | 0.640          | Strongly Agree        |
| intent_ave                                                           | 3.321 | 0.474          | Strongly Agree        |

Table 6 shows the positive attitude of the respondents towards purchase intention because it is beneficial (mean = 3.404), and the online wet market could be the next possible trend (mean = 3.370). In fact, many are eager to tell their friends about the site (mean = 3.340) and use the online wet market platform in purchasing goods in the future (mean = 3.303).

The results in table 6 indicate that the items are good indicators of consumer purchase intention regarding the online wet market.

4.7 Emerging Model

![Emerging Model Diagram]

**Table 7**
Model Fit Measures

Chi-square = 5.264; DF = 3, p-value = .153
RMSEA = .043, p-close = .485
GFI = .998
NFI = .996
CFI = .998
The Effect of Online Wet Markets Website Quality on the Purchase Intention of Consumers in Caloocan City

### Table 7

| Measure | Estimate | Threshold | Interpretation |
|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|
| CMIN   | 5.264    |           |                |
| DF     | 3        |           |                |
| P_VALUE| 0.153    | >0.05     | Excellent      |
| CMIN/DF| 1.755    | Between 1 and 3 | Excellent |
| FRI    | 0.995    | >0.93     | Excellent      |
| NFI    | 0.996    | >0.93     | Excellent      |
| CFI    | 0.998    | >0.95     | Excellent      |
| RMSEA  | 0.043    | <0.06     | Excellent      |
| PClose | 0.485    | >0.05     | Excellent      |

The Emerging Model illustrates the relationship between website quality, service quality, information quality, interaction quality, and usability with respect to the purchase intentions of online wet market consumers. As can be seen from Table 7, all Model Fit measures are well within the threshold. Thus, the SEM is a good model to use for this study and testing our hypotheses.

### Table 8

**SEM / Regression Analysis**

| Dependent Variable | Independent Variable | Unstandardized Estimate | S.E. | Standardized Estimate | P | Significance |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| risk_ave           | WebQ                  | 1.353                   | 0.078| 0.35                   | <0.001 | Significant |
| intent_ave         | WebQ                  | 0.951                   | 0.14 | 0.659                 | <0.001 | Significant |
| intent_ave         | risk_ave              | 0.074                   | 0.08 | 0.081                 | 0.355  | Not Significant |

Table 8 shows how web quality is a factor of perceived risk and purchase intent and how perceived risk affects purchasing intent.

For the relationship between web quality and perceived risk, it can be inferred that the higher the web quality, the higher the perceived risk or gives a more positive response. The reason for this is that the Perceived Risk questionnaire was designed with a positive tone in mind for respondents. Thus, “risk” here is defined as respondents’ level of trust and security in providing their details when making a purchase on the website. In other words, when a respondent provides a “strongly agree” answer, that means that the perceived risk is lower. So, we will accept hypothesis 2.

Looking at the statistical result, we would also accept hypothesis 1 as the responses gathered were positive and, thus, are significant.

The hypothesis that we will reject is hypothesis 3. Perceived risk is not a factor when determining the respondent’s purchase intent. Looking at the P-value for perceived risk vs intent, the value obtained is 0.355, which is much greater than 0.05.

### Table 9

**Factor Loading of Web Quality Dimensions**

| Dimensions | Loading |
|------------|---------|
| service_ave| 0.89    |
| quality_ave| 0.79   |
| use_ave    | 0.761   |

The table shown above is the three indicators of Web Quality which are service interaction, quality information, and usage. The dimension that has the highest impact on website quality is the service interaction (0.89). All measures of fitness were satisfied. Thus, we can use and explain the model and perform the hypothesis test using SEM. Hence, if the website has a good service interaction, that will be one of the primary features that identify a good website.

### 4.8 Interview Result
The respondents were chosen at random using an online google poll, and they are current customers of the online wet market. The majority of respondents volunteered to participate in the study in order to improve their online shopping experience.

The respondents are either current customers of an online wet market shop called FishersCart or have previously purchased food from an online wet market that sells fruits, raw meat, rice, and seafood to ensure that the data being encoded is accurate.

The result shows that the category that has the highest impact when it comes to website quality is service interaction. Determine that a good quality website should have a proper quality service interaction for consumers to create a relationship within the website and to develop trust and lessen the risk in creating purchasing decisions. Even the quality and the proper usage of information on the website, particularly providing quality, timely and relevant information, tends to lower the risk of consumers purchasing products online.

5. Discussion
The research found that website functionality and clear information in an online store have a significant impact on customer intention to buy, whereas website attractiveness or interface has a significant impact on consumer intention to buy in online wet marketplaces. Providing accurate, timely, relevant, and appropriate information on a website has a significant impact on a consumer’s decision to buy in an online wet market. In an online wet market, providing quality service interaction and acceptable information on a website has a substantial impact on consumers’ intent to buy.

The aesthetic design/interface of a website is a key determinant of user pleasure. A user’s intention to purchase may be positively influenced by an appealing website design well-aligned and an ordered website. Its absence may negatively affect the user’s behavioral intention. Faisal et al. (2017). According to previous research, website tool design is an important aspect of a website's success. Websites with a pleasing user interface are more likely to attract visitors. It may also improve the user’s happiness (Oyibo et al., 2017). Website Quality Influencing Online Buyers’ Purchasing Intention” website quality is critical in predicting the buyer’s purchase intention. They discovered how website design, reliability, security and privacy, as well as customer service, have a favorable impact on online purchasers’ intentions and how they stimulate them to make additional purchases using online platforms (Tan Shea Lee et al., 2016).

The expectations from the consumer when regards to the quality of food when purchasing online become one of the major factors of purchase methods when it carries more actual risks than traditional purchase, wherein the quality of products determines the website quality of which website provides more actual relevant information. Hence, the Perceived risk may have a negative impact on willingness to buy, according to Wei, Y., Wang et al. (2018). The greater the consumer’s perceived risk, the lower the intention to buy. But when regards to the high quality of service interaction and quality information together with key determinants of the website interface, consumers tend to lower the possible risk of purchasing products online.

6. Conclusion
This study aims to examine the aspects that influence consumer adoption and acceptance of online wet market website quality. The findings were adequate to illustrate significant ideas that could fill in the research gaps that previously have never been acknowledged. With other variables mentioned in the previous section contributing to perceived risk and purchase intention, these two indicators were the critical predictors of the relationship between perceived service quality. They perceived website quality in consumers’ choice to purchase in an online wet market website.

For the relationship between web quality and perceived risk, it can be inferred that the higher the web quality, the higher the perceived risk (more positive response). The risk here is defined as respondents’ level of security in providing their details when purchasing on the website. Thus, hypothesis 2 is accepted.

We would also accept hypothesis 1 as the responses gathered were positive. Thus, the result is significant, and web quality affects purchase intent. Hypothesis 3 will be rejected. Perceived risk is not a consideration in predicting the buying intent. The P-value for perceived risk versus plan is calculated to be 0.355, significantly more than the 0.05 threshold.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Acknowledgement: This paper would not be possible without the support of our very own university, first and foremost our kind and very supportive research adviser, Asst. Prof. Jun R. Grimaldo and Asst Prof. Mary Caroline Castano. Our supportive college dean, Assoc. Prof Leonardo M. Canoy, Jr., Ph.D., our supportive Marketing chair Asst. Prof. Riaz Benjamin and all our family and friends volunteered their time and effort to help make this study a success. Thank you so much for everything.
Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Akar, E., & Dalgic, T. (2018, March 29). Understanding online consumers' purchase intentions: a contribution from social network theory. Taylor & Francis. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0144929X.2018.1456563.

[2] Akram, U., Hui, P., Khan, M. K., Tanveer, Y., Mehmood, K., and Ahmad, W. (2017). How website quality affects online impulse buying: Moderating effects of sales promotion and credit card use. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 30(1), 235-256, DOI: 10.1108/APML-04-2017-0073.

[3] Ashraf, N., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2020). The Effect of Service Customization on Customer Loyalty Towards Mobile Network Operators in Pakistan: The Mediator Role of Perceived Quality, Value, Customer Satisfaction, and Trust. SSRN Electronic Journal, UST. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3683233 Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ). https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S144135822030080X.

[4] Barnes, S. & Vidgen, R. (2002). An Integrative Approach to the Assessment of E-Commerce Quality. Journal of Electronic Commerce Research, 3, 114-127.

[5] Benard, L and Shi Wuan, Y. (2014). Factors Influencing Consumers' Online Purchase Intention: A Study among University Students in Malaysia,' Int. J. Lib. Arts Sci. 2(i), 2307-924, 2014.

[6] Baeuerová, R. and M. Klepek. (2017). The theoretical framework for the application of the TAM in online grocery shopping. Working Paper in Interdisciplinary Economics and Business Research no. 44.

[7] Bhatti, A., & Ur Rehman, S. (2020). PERSISTING BENEFITS AND PERCEIVED RISKS EFFECT ON ONLINE SHOPPING BEHAVIOR WITH THE MEDIATING ROLE OF

[8] Blasco L M., Recuero V, N., & San-Martín, S. (2018). Local Food Shopping: Factors Affecting Users' Behavioural E-Loyalty. Administrative Sciences, 8(3), 47. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci8030047

[9] Ceyhan, A. (2019). The Impact of Perception Related Social Media Marketing Applications on Consumers’ Brand Loyalty and Purchase Intention. EMUJ. http://emaj.pitt.edu/ojs/index.php/emaj/article/view/173.

[10] Chen, C.-C., Hsiao, K.-L., & Wu, S.-J. (2018, June 6). Purchase intention in social commerce: An empirical examination of perceived value and social awareness. Library Hi-Tech. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/LHT-01-2018-0007/full.html.

[11] Dachyar, M., & Banjarnahor, L. (2017, November). Factors influencing purchase intention towards consumer-to-consumer e-commerce. Omnia science. https://www.redalyac.org/pdf/549/54953914040.pdf.

[12] Dai, N. and Si, Z. (2020). Food Retailing Transitions and New Retail Businesses in Nanjing, China HCP Discussion Paper No. 40

[13] Dasgupta, C. (2019, January) The Effect of Service Quality and Website Quality of Zalora.com on Purchase Decision as Mediated by Purchase Intention. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Edi_Purwanto6/publication/331502242_The_effect_of_service_quality_and_website_quality_of_zaloraCom_on_purchase_decision_as_mediated_by_purchase_intention/links/5e2922394585150ee77b3cb8/The-effect-of-service-quality-and-website-quality-of-zaloraCom-on-purchase-decision-as-mediated-by-purchase-intention.pdf

[14] Frik, A., & Mittone, L. (2017). Factors Influencing the Perception of Website Privacy Trustworthiness and Users’ Purchasing Intentions: The Behavioral Economics Perspective. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 14(3), 89–125.

[15] Hu, N. T. (2020). The impact of perceived risk on consumers’ online shopping intention: An integration of TAM and TPB. Management Science Letters, 2029–2036. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.2.009.

[16] Hassan, masood U. I., Iqbal, M. S., & habibah, U. (2017, October 16). Impact of self-service technology (SST) service quality on customer loyalty and behavioral intention: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. Taylor & Francis. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311975.2018.1423770.

[17] Hubert, M., Hubert, M., Linzmajer, M., Riedl, R., & Kenning, P. (2018). Trust me if you can – neurophysiological insights on the influence of consumer impulsiveness on trustworthiness evaluations in online settings. European Journal of Marketing, 52(1/2), 118–146. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-12-2016-0870

[18] Jeon, M. M., & Jeong, M. (2017, January 9). Customers’ perceived website service quality and its effects on e-loyalty. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJCHM-02-2015-0054/full.html.

[19] JORDAN, G., LESKOVAR, R., & MARIC, M. (2018, November 2). Impact of Fear of Identity Theft and Perceived Risk on Online Purchase Intention. Organization. http://organizacija.fov.uni-mb.si/index.php/organizacija/article/view/844/1219.

[20] Khalil, H. (2017). The Role of the Quality of a Website in Consumer Perception. UNF Graduate Theses and Dissertations. 748. https://digitalcommons.unf.edu/etd/748

[21] Kamalul Ariffin, S. (2017). Factors Influencing the Quality of a Website in Consumer Perception. Int. J. Lib. Arts Soc. Sci. 2(8), 89–127.

[22] Kent State University. (2021, August 11). Spss tutorials: Chi-square test of independence. LibGuides. https://libguides.library.kent.edu/spss/chisquare.

[23] Küster, I., Vila, N., & Canales, P. (2016). How does the online service level influence consumers’ purchase intentions before a transaction? A normative approach. European Journal of Management and Business Economics, 25(3), 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reden.2016.04.001

[24] Lee, T. S., Ariff, M. S. M., Zakuan, N., Sulaiman, Z., & Saman, M. Z. M. (2016). Online Sellers’ Website Quality Influencing Online Buyers’ Purchase Intention. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Bali, Indonesia. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303835448_Owners'WebsiteQualityInfluencingOnlineBuyers'PurchaseIntention

[25] Lim, Y. J., Osman, A., Salahuddin, S. N., Romle, A. R., & Abdullah, S. (2016). Factors Influencing Online Shopping Behavior: The Mediating Role of Purchase Intention. Procedia Economics and Finance, 35, 401–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00050-2
[26] Lin, C. and Lekhawipat, W. (2014), Factors affecting online repurchase intention, Industrial Management & Data Systems, 114(4), 597-611. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2013-0432

[27] Longstreet, P. (2000). Evaluating Website Quality: Applying Cue Utilization Theory to WebQual. Retrieved 2021, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224123457_Evaluating_Website_Quality_Applying_Cue_Utilization_Theory_to_WEBQual

[28] Mohamed, N., Hussein, R., Hidayah Ahmad Zamzuri, N. and Haghshenas, H. (2014), Insights into individual’s online shopping continuance intention, Industrial Management & Data Systems, 114(9) 1453-1476. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2014-0201

[29] Nakar, F. M., Gonzalez-Rodriguez, D. Fernandez-Lanvin, and J. De Andres-Suarez J (2017), Web Design Attributes in Building User Trust, Satisfaction, and Loyalty for a High Uncertainty Avoidance Culture, IEEE Trans. HumanMachine Syst., 4(7), 847–859, 2017.

[30] Naeem, M. (2019, August 5). Do social networking platforms promote service quality and purchase intention of customers of service-providing organizations? Journal of Management Development. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JMD-11-2018-0327/full.html

[31] NGUYEN, D. T. P. H. A. M. (2020, August 30). Impact of Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction and Switching Costs on Customer Loyalty. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business. https://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO202026061031672.page

[32] Ni, W., & Sun, H. (2017). The trustworthiness of internet-based quality signals: an interactivity perspective. Journal of Services Marketing, 32(2), 195–210. https://doi.org/10.1108/jsm-07-2015-0235

[33] Oyibo and Vassileva Y. (n.d). The Interplay of Aesthetics, Usability and Credibility in Mobile

[34] Patel, V., Das, K., Chatterjee, R., & Shukla, Y. (2020, September 2). Does the interface quality of mobile shopping apps affect purchase intention? An empirical study.

[35] Pearson, D, Joanna H, Alex T, Philip J, Gavin P, David D, and Rob D. (2011). Local Food: Understanding consumer motivations in innovative retail formats. British Food Journal 113: 886–99.

[36] Rahul, M. & Aggarwal, A. (2017), Impact of perceived usability and perceived information quality on Indian consumer purchase intentions in online shopping: implication of TAM and S-O-R theory. International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation, 15(2), 160. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijttc.2017.10008568

[37] Rehman, S. U., Bhatti, A., Mohamed, R., & Ayoup, H. (2019). The moderating role of trust and commitment between consumer purchase intention and online shopping behavior in the context of Pakistan. Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-019-0166-2

[38] Rita, P., Oliveira, T., & Farisa, A. (2019, November 1). The impact of e-service quality and customer satisfaction on customer behavior in online shopping. Heliyon. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844019363509.

[39] Shoup, M. (2018, May 22). Consumers have trust issues with online shopping that can be remedied. Retrieved from https://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Article/2018/05/22/Consumers-have-trust-issues-when-it-comes-to-online-shopping-that-can-be-remedied-says-Mintel

[40] Strang, K. D. (2018). Consumer Behavior in Online Risky Purchase Decisions. International Journal of Online Marketing, 8(2), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijom.2018040101

[41] Suhartanto, D., Dean, D., Leo, G., & Triyuni, N. N. (2019). Millennial experience with online food home delivery: A lesson from Indonesia. Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management, 14, 277 - 279. https://doi.org/10.28945/4386

[42] Sunil A. Bikrant K. (2017). Satisfaction, loyalty and patronage intentions: Role of hedonic shopping values, Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, Volume 39, (2017), Pages 23–34, ISSN 0969-6989https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.06.013.

[43] Surendran, P. (2012). Technology Acceptance Model: A Survey of Literature. Retrieved 2021, from https://thejournalofbusiness.org/index.php/site/article/view/161

[44] Taimouri, A., Emamisaleh, K., & Mohammadi, D. (2019), Assessing the Usability of Online Food Ordering Websites Using a New Fuzzy Kano Method: Implications for Improvement. International Journal of Business and Management, 14(10), 87. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v14n10p87

[45] Tamturk, V. (2017, September 1). Online shoppers are increasingly concerned about their privacy. Retrieved from https://www.cms-connected.com/News-Archive/August-2017/Online-Shoppers-are-Increasingly-Concerned-about-their-Privacy

[46] Toor, A., Husnain, M., & Hussain, T. (2017). The Impact of Social Network Marketing on Consumer Purchase Intention in Pakistan: Consumer Engagement as a Mediator. file:///C:/Users/ASUS/Downloads/3478:853-9220-1-10-20170621.pdf.

[47] Vahdat, A., Alizadeh, A., Quach, S., & Hamelin, N. (2020, January 15). Would you like to shop via mobile app technology? The technology acceptance model, social factors and purchase intention. Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ). https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1441358220300021.

[48] Wang, O. and Somogyi, S. (2018), Consumer adoption of online food shopping in China, British Food Journal, 120 (12), 2868- 2884. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-03-2018-0139

[49] Wang, O., & Somogyi, S. (2018, October 12), Consumer adoption of online food shopping in China. British Food Journal. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/BFJ-03-2018-0139/full.html

[50] Website Design and the Effect of Gender,” vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 4–19, 2017. Rahman, S. M. (2015). Consumer Expectation from Online Retailers in Developing E-commerce Market: An Investigation of Generation Y in Bangladesh. International Business Research, 8(7). https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v8n7p121

[51] Wei, Y., Wang, C., Zhu, S., Xue, H., & Chen, F. (2018, July 31). Online Purchase Intention of Fruits: Antecedents in an Integrated Model Based on Technology Acceptance Model and Perceived Risk Theory. Frontiers. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01521/full.

[52] Xiao, L., Guo, F., Yu, F., & Liu, S. (2019). The Effects of Online Shopping Context Cues on Consumers’ Purchase Intention for Cross-Border E-Commerce Sustainability. Sustainability, 11(10), 2777. MDPI AG. Retrieved from https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su11102777

[53] Yim, C. Yim, S.C. and Sauer, P.L (2017). Is Augmented Reality Technology an Effective Tool for E-commerce? An Interactivity and Vividness Perspective. J. Interact. Mark., 39, 89–103, Aug. 2017