Direct observation of ferroelectricity in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ and its prominent light absorption
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Layered perovskites $A_3M_2O_7$ are known to exhibit the so-called hybrid improper ferroelectricity. Despite experimentally confirmed cases (e.g. nonmagnetic $M=$Ti and Sn), the ferroelectricity in magnetic $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ remains a puzzle. Here, the structural, ferroelectric, magnetoelectric, and optical properties of $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ are systematically investigated. Switchable polarization is also evidenced, implying the coupling between magnetism and ferroelectricity. Furthermore, strong visible light absorption is observed, which can be understood from its electronic structure. Its direct and appropriate band gap, as well as wide conducting bands, makes $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ a potential candidate for ferroelectric photovoltaic applications.

The so-called 327-type Ruddlesden-Popper perovskites, with generic chemical formula $A_3M_2O_7$ ($A$: rare earth or alkaline earth, $M$: transition metal) have been attracting great research attention since the prediction of hybrid improper ferroelectricity in 2011.$^1$ In these hybrid improper ferroelectrics, the ferroelectric-polar mode couples with and is driven by other nonpolar modes of structural distortions.$^1,7$ Such hybrid improper ferroelectricity not only expands the scope of ferroelectric materials but also provides potential functions like electric-control of magnetization.

In this category, the first predicted two materials are $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$. Structurally, these two materials are very similar, as shown in Fig. S1 of supplementary material. The condensation of oxygen octahedral rotation and tilting modes leads to the $A2_1am$ space group as the ground state, whose polarization ($P$) points along the $a$-axis. The predicted $P$’s were ~ 20 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ and ~ 5 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$. The intrinsic magnetoelectric coupling was also expected in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$.

Soon after the theoretical prediction, Oh et al.’s experiment confirmed the ferroelectricity in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$, although the experimental measured $P$ ~ 10$\mu$C/cm$^2$ is somewhat lower than the expected value.$^8$ Similar ferroelectricity was also predicted and later observed in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_7$.$^{2,5}$ However, direct experimental measurement of ferroelectricity remains absent for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$. In fact, an experimental study on Mn substituted $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$, i.e. $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_7$, found suppressed ferroelectricity, i.e. reduced $P$ and lowered transition temperature ($T_c$), upon increasing concentration of Mn.$^9$ The temperature ($T$)-dependent structural evolution of $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ is more complex than that of $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$. For example, a phase coexistence over large $T$ range and the “symmetry trapping” of a soft mode were observed.$^{11,12}$

In this work, the high quality polycrystalline samples of $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ were prepared by standard solid state reaction method, starting from the highly purified powders of CaCO$_3$ and MnO$_2$. The stoichiometric mixtures were ground and fired at 1200 °C for 24 hours in air. Then the resultant powders were pelletized into a disk of 2 cm in diameter under 5000 psi pressure, and sintered at 1350 °C for 24 hours with intermittent grinding step.

The crystal structure was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation from 207 K to 673 K. Using the GSAS Rietveld program$^{13}$ the refined crystallographic information of $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$ are summarized in Table I. At high $T$’s (e.g. 673 K), the structure can be described by the $I4/mmm$ space group. In contrast, the $A2_1am$ space group can well describe the low $T$ (e.g. 207 K) structure. Such a structural transition from nonpolar $I4/mmm$ to polar $A2_1am$ agrees with previous reports.$^{11,12}$ In the middle $T$ range (e.g. ~ 300 – 600 K), the mixture of multiple structures including $I4/mmm$, $A2_1am$, as well as the intermediate $Anam$, are evidenced, further confirming the complicated structural evolution from paraelectric to ferroelectric states.

In the following, the low $T$ polar $A2_1am$ structure is
TABLE I. Lattice constants (in unit of Å) of Ca₃Mn₂O₇ determined by Rietveld analysis at low and high T’s (in unit of K).

| T   | Group | a   | b   | c   | RWP | RF  | χ²  |
|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 207 | A₂₁m | 5.2423 | 5.2402 | 3.7096 | 19.3542 | 8.32% | 6.42% | 1.755 |
| 673 | I₄/mmm | 3.7096 | 3.7096 | 19.5050 | 8.98% | 6.95% | 1.969 |

Electrodes were deposited on the top/bottom surfaces. The XRD pattern at 300 K are shown in Fig. 1(a). The refined lattice constants (using the A₂₁m space group) as a function of T below 300 K are shown in Fig. 1(b). For lattice constants a and b, simultaneous sudden drops are evidenced around 240 K.

To measure the dielectric and ferroelectric properties, the sample was polished into thin plate with typical thickness of 0.20 mm and area of ∼ 7.06 mm². The gold electrodes were deposited on the top/bottom surfaces. The dielectric constant ε under different frequencies are measured as a function of T using HP4294A impedance analyzer, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The variable-T environment is provided by Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) of Quantum Design, which can cover 1.8 – 300 K. A broad peak of ε is observed at ∼ 240 K, coinciding with the sudden drops of in-plane lattice constants (Fig. 1(b)). The peak slightly shifts to the high T direction with increasing frequency. These characteristics seem to suggest relaxor behavior, which is reasonable considering the large T range of phase coexistence. The dielectric loss is very small at low T, but becomes considerable large when T > 100 K due to serious leakage. This may be the reason why previous experiments were failed to directly measure the ferroelectric P at high T. Such a large dielectric loss at ∼ 240 K also bring somewhat uncertainty regarding T_C, which needs further experimental verifications.

The T-dependent ferroelectric P was measured using the pyroelectric current method. The T-dependent evolution of lattice constants (Fig. 1(b)) shows the coexistence of the system. The dielectric loss is small at low T, but becomes very large when T > 100 K due to serious leakage. This may be the reason why previous experiments were failed to directly measure the ferroelectric P at high T. Such a large dielectric loss at ∼ 240 K also bring somewhat uncertainty regarding T_C, which needs further experimental verifications.

The T-dependent ferroelectric P was measured using the pyroelectric current method. In detail, the sample was first poled under a poling electric field from 300 K to 2 K. Then the electric field was set to zero, and the sample was electrically short-circuited for several hours at 2 K in order to exclude possible extrinsic contributions (e.g. trapped charge during the poling process). Then the pyroelectric current (I_pyrō) was collected by heating the sample at different rates of 2, 4 and 6 K/min, as shown in Fig. 2(a). All peaks of I_pyrō-T curves are exactly at the same position without any shift. Since the current signal increases rapidly when T is above 60 K which may be contributed by the extrinsic thermal excitation, the reliable pyroelectric signal in our work is limited to the low T (≤ 60 K) region. Noting it does not mean that the ferroelectric T_C is 60 K.

Under the poling field 10 kV/cm, the integrated pyroelectric ∆P is about 2500 μC/m² from 60 K to 2 K for the polycrystalline sample. And the integrated pyroelectric ∆P is also independent on the warming rates. The pyroelectric curves are also measured under the positive and negative poling electric fields. The antisymmetrical pyroelectric curves upon the positive/negative poling fields indicate the reversibility of ∆P, as shown in Fig. 2(b). All these characteristics imply that the measured signals indeed come from the intrinsic ferroelectricity, although some extrinsic factors may also co-exist and contribute to a portion of ∆P. It should be noted that such ∆P is only a part of total P since the ferroelectric T_C is much higher than 60 K.

In addition to the pyroelectric measurement, the ferroelectric hysteresis loops have also been measured using the improved Positive-Up-Negative-Down (PUND) method, which can deduct the extrinsic contribution from leakage and capacitance to some extent. The PUND loop at 5 K is shown in Fig. 2(c), which unambiguously demonstrates the ferroelectricity of Ca₃Mn₂O₇. With increasing T, the PUND loops gradually shrink and becomes unmeasurable due to serious leakage when T > 28 K (Fig. S2 of supplementary material).

The magnetic susceptibilities (χ’s) as a function of T under the zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) modes were measured under a small magnetic field.
500 Oe by the superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer (SQUID) (Quantum Design, Inc.), as shown in Fig. S3(a). The peaks of the susceptibility appear at 112 K, and the ZFC and FC curves diverge at this point, indicating an antiferromagnetic transition $T_N$, in agreement with previous literature. The specific heat measurement also exhibits a weak anomaly at this $T_N$ (Fig. S3 of supplementary material). According to the Curie-Weiss fitting above $T_N$, the effective magnetic moment is 3.17 \( \mu_B/\text{Mn} \) close to the expected value of spin-only magnetic moment (3 \( \mu_B/\text{Mn} \)) for the high-spin Mn\(^{3+} \) (\( S_z = \frac{3}{2} \)).

Figure 3(b) shows the magnetic hysteresis loops measured at different temperatures. Below $T_N$, weak FM (wFM) type loops are observed, while a paramagnetic loop is evidenced above $T_N$. According to the theoretical prediction, a net magnetization $M \sim 0.045 \mu_B/\text{Mn}$ can be generated due to the spin canting of antiferromagnetic background. Here the residual $M$ is about 0.0025 \( \mu_B/\text{Mn} \) at 10 K. The value of $M$ increases continuously with magnetic field, which is not saturated even under a high field up to 6.5 T (Fig. S3 of supplementary material). In the original theoretical work, the spin-lattice mediated magnetoelectric coupling was predicted, which might lead to electric-control of $M$. Here we have investigated the magnetic properties of Ca$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$ under electric field in the DC excitation mode in SQUID. After the FC (with 4 T magnetic field and 10 kV/cm electric field) from 150 K to 10 K, $M$ (under 4 T) is monitored upon the application of electric field. As shown in Fig. S3(c), $M$ decreases suddenly when the electric field reaches up to a critical value $E_C \sim 6.25 \text{ kV/cm}$. Below this critical value, $M$ is quite robust. Although the Joule heat effect may also suppress $M$, it can not explain the sudden change and critical field. Interestingly, the ferroelectric coercive field at 10 K is $\sim 6 \text{ kV/cm}$ (Fig. S2 of supplementary material), very close to this $E_C$. 

FIG. 2. (a) Raw data of pyroelectric current $I_{pyro}$ as a function of $T$ at three warming rates 2, 4, and 6 K/min, respectively. (b) Integrated pyroelectric $\Delta P$ (from 2 K to 60 K) under positive and negative poling electric fields (±10 kV/cm). (c) The ferroelectric hysteresis loops measured at 5 K by the PUND method with different peak voltages.

FIG. 3. (a) The magnetic susceptibilities indicate an antiferromagnetic transition at 112 K. (b) The magnetic hysteresis loops at different $T$’s. (c) Evolution of $M$ under 4 T field at 10 K as a function of time. The color regions denote the periods with electric fields $E$ (red: 10 kV/cm; green: 7.5 kV/cm; orange: 5.0 kV/cm; yellow: 2.5 kV/cm). Insert: Evolution of $M$ as a function of $E$. The critical field is $\sim 6.25 \text{ kV/cm}$, only beyond which $M$ can be affected.
implying the switching of ferroelectric domains may affect the alignment of magnetic moments. If so, Fig. 3(c) can reflect the magnetoelectricity. Further deeper investigation of magnetoelectricity needs the single crystalline samples since the magnetoelectric response is orientation-dependent. Upon a 10 kV/cm electric field, M decreases for \( \sim 3\% \).

Recently, photovoltaic effects in ferroelectric (or polar) materials have attracted considerable attention.\(^{16-19}\) The most attractive advantage of ferroelectric materials is that the internal electric field built by spontaneous polarization can promote the separation of photo-generated electrons/holes.\(^{20}\) However, for most ferroelectric materials, there are also some disadvantages, including: 1) too large band gaps or indirect band gaps, which prevent the efficient absorption of visible light; 2) low mobility of carriers and too large resistivity, which suppress the photo-generated current. These drawbacks obstruct the applications of ferroelectric materials in the photovoltaic field.

However, as stated before, \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7 \) is not very insulating, which is disadvantage for ferroelectric measurement but may be advantage for photovoltaic current or other photo applications. To characterize the optical properties of \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7 \), the UV-vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectrum was measured at room T with a Cary 4000 – 5000 – 6000i UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer in the 200 – 2500 nm wavelength range. The reflectance spectrum was further converted to absorbance with the Kubelka-Munk function.\(^{21}\) As shown in Fig. 4(a), the Kubelka-Munk function \( F(R) \) shows the absorption edge \( \sim 1.29 \text{ eV} \) (in the infrared light region). The optical band gap for \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7 \) has not been reported before. The sample is black with very excellent absorbance of visible light.

To further understand the optical properties, a calculation based on density function theory (DFT) is performed. Although an early DFT calculation had been done for \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7 \), they used the pure generalized gradient approximation (GGA) without \( U \), which led to an unrealistic too small band gap (\( \sim 0.3 \text{ eV} \)). Here our DFT calculations are performed using the projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials as implemented in Vienna \textit{ab initio} Simulation Package (VASP) code.\(^{22-26}\) To acquire more accurate description of crystalline structure and electron correlation, the revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof for solids (PBEsol) function and the GGA+U method are adopted.\(^{22,27}\) According to literature,\(^{1} \) the on-site Coulomb \( U_{\text{eff}} = 4 \text{ eV} \) is applied to the 3d orbital of Mn, using the Dudarev implementation.\(^{28}\) The cutoff of plane wave basis is fixed to 550 eV. The Monkhorst-Pack \( k \)-point mesh is \( 7 \times 7 \times 2 \).

The DFT band structure shown in Fig. 4(b) demonstrates a semiconducting properties with direct band gap (\( \sim 1.3 \text{ eV} \), close to the experimental value) at the \( \Gamma \) and \( Y \) points. In addition to the appropriate value of band gaps, the conducting bands formed by the \( e_g \) orbitals are relative wider (comparing with \( h-\text{TbMnO}_3 \)) and \( \text{CaOFeS}_2 \)), implying a relative large mobility of electrons in the \( ab \) plane.

Then, the light absorption spectra are calculated from the imaginary part of the dielectric constant as shown in Fig. 4(c). The absorption is better for lights with in-plane electric field components. It is quite nature to understand the anisotropic absorption due to the crystal anisotropy. The in-plane hybrid improper ferroelectricity also leads to tiny anisotropy between the \( a \) and \( b \) axes for the in-plane electric field components. The first peak of absorption appears near 1.3 eV. Strong absorption in the whole visible light range is found in our calculation. All these characteristics agree with aforementioned experimental results. Further photovoltaic
measurements on Ca₃Mn₂O₇ are encouraged to check its potential applications as a ferroelectric photovoltaic material.

In summary, the physical properties of Ca₃Mn₂O₇ have been investigated, including its structural property, magnetism, ferroelectricity, magnetoelectricity, and optical property. Measurements of dielectric constants and structure suggest possible ferroelectric $T_C$ around 240 K, although the relaxor like behaviors are found in a quite wide temperature range. The ferroelectric polarization is measured at low temperature by the pyroelectric method as well as the PUND method, the later of which can give the hysteresis loops of electric-polarization. Furthermore, the net magnetization of weak ferromagnetism is evidenced, which can be modulated by electric field beyond the ferroelectric coercive field. Finally, the strong light absorption has been confirmed in both experiment and DFT calculation, implying potential ferroelectric photovoltaic applications.

I. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See supplementary material for the structures and more experimental data of Ca₃Mn₂O₇.

This work was supported by the National Key Research Projects of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0300101), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11704109, 11674055, 51431006, 51332006, 51721001, 11504048, 51790491), Research Project of Hubei Provincial Department of Education (Grant No. Q20172501). Most calculations were supported by National Supercomputer Center in Guangzhou (Tianhe II).

1N. A. Benedek and C. J. Fennie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 107204 (2011).
2E. Bousquet, M. Dawber, N. Stucki, C. Lichtensteiger, P. Hermet, S. Gariglio, J.-M. Triscone, and P. Ghosez, Nature (London) 452, 732 (2008).
3J. M. Rondinelli and C. J. Fennie, Adv. Mater. 24, 1961 (2012).
4N. A. Benedek, A. T. Mulder, and C. J. Fennie, J. Solid State Chem. 195, 11 (2012).
5A. T. Mulder, N. A. Benedek, J. M. Rondinelli, and C. J. Fennie, Adv. Funct. Mater. 23, 4810 (2013).
6N. A. Benedek, J. M. Rondinelli, H. Djani, P. Ghosez, and P. Lightfoot, Dalton Trans. 44, 10543 (2015).
7M. J. Pitcher, P. Mandal, M. S. Dyer, J. Alaria, P. Borisov, H. J. Niu, J. B. Claridge, and M. J. Rosseinsky, Science 347, 420 (2015).
8S. Oh, X. Luo, F.-T. Huang, Y.-Z. Wang, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature Mater. 14, 407 (2015).
9Y. Wang, F.-T. Huang, X. Luo, B. Gao, and S.-W. Cheong, Adv. Mater. 29, 1601288 (2017).
10X. Q. Liu, J. W. Wu, X. X. Shi, H. J. Zhao, H. Y. Zhou, R. H. Qiu, W. Q. Zhang, and X. M. Chen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 106, 202903 (2015).
11M. V. Lobanov, M. Greenblatt, E. N. Caspi, J. D. Jorgensen, D. V. Sheptyakov, B. H. Toby, C. E. Botez, and P. W. Stephens, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, 5339 (2004).
12M. S. Senn, A. Bombardi, C. A. Murray, C. Vecchini, A. Scherillo, X. Luo, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 035701 (2015).
13A. C. Larson and R. B. Von Dreede, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR , 86 (1994).
14W.-K. Zhu, L. Pi, Y.-J. Huang, S. Tan, and Y.-H. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 192407 (2012).
15http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/spectra/am1.5/
16K. T. Butler, J. M. Frosta, and A. Walsh, Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 838 (2015).
17Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, J.-J. Zhang, X. Huang, M. An, and S. Dong, Phys. Rev. Mater. 1, 034406 (2017).
18R. Nechache, C. Harnagea, S. Li, L. Cardenas, W. Huang, J. Chakrabarty, and F. Rossi, Nature Photon. 9, 61 (2015).
19X. Huang, T. R. Paudel, S. Dong, and E. Y. Tsybailo, Phys. Rev. B 92, 125201 (2015).
20Y. Yuan, T. J. Reece, P. Sharma, S. Poddar, S. Ducharme, A. Gruverman, Y. Yang, and J. Huang, Nature Mater. 10, 296 (2011).
21P. Kubelka and F. M.-Z. Tech, Physics 12, 593 (1931).
22S. F. Matar, V. Eyert, A. Villesuzanne, and M.-H. Whangbo, Phys. Rev. B 76, 054403 (2007).
23G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993).
24G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
25G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
26J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
27J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantz, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 136406 (2008).
28S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B 57, 1505 (1998).