Pitch control of wind turbine based on deep neural network
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Abstract. This paper analyzed the input and output data of wind farm based on deep neural network, developed intelligent model, and realized the predictive modeling of important parameter variables and control of wind turbine. By establishing the Deep Extreme Learning Machine (DELM), the higher-order nonlinear model is simplified. In this structure, unsupervised hierarchical ELM is conducted for feature extraction, and the features of the lower layer are transferred to the higher layer through layer by layer coding to form a relatively complete feature representation. Finally, the Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) is used to complete the mapping of feature representation to target output to minimize the loss of information in the transmission process. The target output is used as reference data for Pitch control of wind turbine, which is proposed by using a radial basis function (REF) neural network. Simulation results from GH-Bladed show that proposed control algorithm can mitigate the loads effectively. The algorithm provides a practical reference for the design of wind turbine controller.

1. introduction
Wind turbine is a complex nonlinear system. The more factors are considered, the higher the order of the system will be. Moreover, the dynamic responses of various factors vary greatly, which will cause the wind turbine to become a complex system. All of this is bad for the design of the controller, which makes it very complex and sensitive to parameters [1].

At present, nonlinear adaptive control method and modeling have become the research hotspot in the field of wind turbine control. Compared with the traditional control strategy, it does not require accurate mathematical model of the controlled object and is more suitable for the actual demand [2-3]. Nonlinear adaptive control method can adjust the control parameters with self-learning ability, and improve the control accuracy by fully utilizing sensors [4].

Therefore, this paper hopes to realize the analysis of wind farm input and output data through the design of intelligent control algorithm, and the predictive modeling and control of wind turbines or important parameter variables. By establishing an optimal data-driven soft measurement model, the high-order nonlinear model is simplified. This paper used deep neural network as new intelligent modeling method, and completed the code development, and set up the simulation platform.

2. design
PI controller is widely used to control the pitch Angle of turbine blade due to its simplicity and stability. However, neural networks and evolutionary algorithms provide a new way of thinking for PI gain optimization [5-6]. In this design, PI controller based on RBF neural network is used as the pitch
controller of wind turbine. In order to obtain the optimal data set of training RBF neural network, PSO evolutionary algorithm is adopted.

In this design, wind speed value is predicted by Deep Extreme Learning Machine (DELM), which is used as the input of the RBF neural network, and the proportional and integral gain of PI controller is used as the output of RBF neural network. In order to obtain the optimal training data set, this design uses PSO algorithm to optimize the proportional gain and integral gain at a specific wind speed greater than the rated wind speed. By adjusting the blade Angle, the trained controller can minimize the difference between the measured and rated value of generator speed.

3. Wind speed prediction based on DELM

3.1. Extreme Learning Machine

Different from traditional gradient-based algorithms, ELM’s input weights and single-hidden layer biases are arbitrarily chosen without iterative adjust, and the only parameters to be learned in training are the output weights which can be calculated by solving a single linear system.
Given \( N \) training samples, \( \{X, T\} = \{x_j, t_j\}_{j=1}^{N} \), where \( x_j \) and \( t_j \) are the j-th input and target values respectively. To seek a regressor function from the input to the target\([7-9]\), the standard Single Hidden Layer Feed-forward network can be mathematically modeled as:

\[
o_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \beta_i g \left( w_i^T x_j + b_i \right) = t_j
\]

where \( o_j \) is the output vector of the j-th training sample, \( w_i \) is the input weight vector connecting the input nodes to the i-th hidden node, \( b_i \) is the bias of the i-th hidden node, \( g(.) \) denotes hidden nodes nonlinear piecewise continuous activation functions.

The above \( N \) equations can be written compactly as:

\[
H^T \beta = T
\]

where the matrix \( T \) is target matrix,

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
g \left( w_1^T x_1 + b_1 \right) & \cdots & g \left( w_n^T x_1 + b_n \right) \\
g \left( w_1^T x_N + b_1 \right) & \cdots & g \left( w_n^T x_N + b_n \right)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\beta = \begin{bmatrix}
\beta_1^T \\
\beta_2^T \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{n_h}^T
\end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_1^T \\
t_2^T \\
\vdots \\
t_N^T
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( H \) is the hidden layer output matrix, which can be randomly generated independent of the training data. \( \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{n_h}]^T \) is the output weight matrix between the hidden nodes and the output nodes. Thus, training SLFNs simply amounts to getting the solution of a linear system (2) of output weights \( \beta \)[10-12].

A simple representation of the solution of the equation (2) is given explicitly by Huang et al. [12] as

\[
\hat{\beta} = H^+ T
\]

where \( H^+ \) is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of the hidden layer output matrix \( H \).

To improve generalization performance and make the solution more robust, we can add a regularization term\[13\], as shown in the equation(6) and equation(7),

\[
\hat{\beta} = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + H^T H \right)^{-1} H^T T,
\]

\[
\hat{\beta} = H^T \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + H^T H \right)^{-1} T.
\]

Thus, the ELM tends to reach the solutions straightforward without the issue of over-fitting. These two features make ELM more flexible and attractive than traditional gradient-based algorithms.

### 3.2. Deep Extreme Learning Machine(DELM)

The Deep Extreme Learning Machine integrates the idea of Auto-Encoder in the algorithm, and codes the output by minimizing the reconstruction error, so that the output can infinitely approximate the original input [14-16]. This structure can capture relevant higher-level abstractions of the input.

Fig.3 illustrates the process of learning representation. The prediction modeling process of DELM for the output variable \( Y_{t+s} \) at time \( t+s \) takes the extracted training sample \( \{S_{j_{k+1}} \} \) as the input of DELM.
network. Here, we consider a fully connected multi-layer network with \( h \) hidden layers. Let \( L = \{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_{h+1}\} \) denotes the parameters of the network that need to be learned.

In our paper, the Deep ELM is applied to learning the parameters \( L \), which is designed by using the encoded outputs to approximate the original inputs by minimizing the reconstruction errors. The output weights \( \beta \) can be analytically determined by the equation (6) or (7) depending on the number of nodes in the hidden layer.

Each layer in the network can be decoupled as an independent ELM, and the target output \( T \) of each ELM can be equal to the input of the ELM [17-18]. In this way, you can get a low-dimensional representation of the input data, that is, the hidden output of ELM, which is the input of the next ELM. By means of the trained DELM model, the wind speed at the moment \( t+s \) can be predicted.

---

**Fig. 3.** Detailed illustration of the DELM representation learning.

**4. Pitch control based on RBF**

Artificial neural network and genetic algorithm are widely used in the design of the control system, while the pitch control of wind turbine uses the Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural network and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) evolutionary algorithm.

**4.1. Radial basis function (RBF) neural network**

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) is a mathematical model which is inspired by Biological Neural Network and proposed to simulate the process of human brain information processing. It can realize features learning, classification and regression and other functions.

The mapping relationship between the input and output of neurons is:

\[
y = g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j x_j - b\right)
\]

where \( x_j \) and \( w_j \) are the input signal and weight of the \( j \)-th neuron respectively, \( g(\cdot) \) is the activation function, the common activation functions are \( \text{traindd}(\cdot) \), \( \text{RBF}(\cdot) \), \( \text{sigmoid}(\cdot) \), etc.

And the neural network using \( \text{RBF}(\cdot) \) as the activation function is RBF neural network. A typical RBF neural network is a three-layer structure: the input layer, the hidden layer with nonlinear RBF activation function and the linear output layer. Its structure is shown in fig.4.
4.2. PSO evolutionary algorithm

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a kind of evolutionary computing technology, which derives from the research on the predation behavior of birds. The basic idea of particle swarm optimization algorithm is to find the optimal solution through the cooperation and information sharing among individuals in the group.

PSO is initialized to a group of random particles (random solutions), and then iterated to find the optimal solution. In each iteration, the particle updates itself by tracking two "extreme values" ($y_{ipbest}^k$, $y_{gbest}^k$). After finding these two optimal values, the particle updates its velocity $v_{i}^{k+1}$ and position $p_{i}^{k+1}$ by the equation (9) and (10).

$$\begin{align}
    v_{i}^{k+1} &= p_{i}^{k} + s_{i}^{k+1} \\
    p_{i}^{k+1} &= p_{i}^{k} + c_{1}r_{1}(y_{ipbest}^k - p_{i}^{k}) + c_{2}r_{2}(y_{gbest}^k - p_{i}^{k})
\end{align}$$

Where $\omega$ is inertia weight, $c_1, c_2$ are acceleration constants, and $r_1, r_2 \in (0,1)$ is random number.

4.3. Pitch control process

A new pitch control method for wind turbines is proposed in this paper, as shown in the fig.1. In this method, based on the Extreme Learning Machine, the effective predictive value of wind speed is first obtained, which is detailed in section 3. Then, taking the predictive wind speed as an important input of the turbine control system, the optimal PI controller is designed to minimize the difference between the measured value and the rated value of the generator speed[19-20]. In order to achieve this goal, the PI controller should provide an appropriate pitch Angle reference value $\beta_{ref}$. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$\beta_{ref}(t) = K_{p}e(t) + K_{i}\int_{0}^{t}e(\tau)d\tau$$

Here, $K_p$ and $K_i$ respectively represent the proportion and integral gain of PI controller, and $e(t)$ can be calculated by the following formula:

$$e(t) = \omega_{g,rated} - \omega_{g}(t)$$

$\omega_{g,rated}$ and $\omega_{g}(t)$ represent the rated and measured values of the generator speed respectively. In this paper, RBF neural network is used to set the gain of PI controller. The predicted wind speed value...
is used as the input of the neural network, and the proportional and integral gain of PI controller is used as the output of the RBF neural network.

As shown in fig. 1, the reference value $T_{\text{ref}}$ of generator torque is calculated by torque calculator in fig. 1. By adjusting the reference value of the pitch angle $\beta_{\text{ref}}$, the pitch controller in this design can provide an appropriate pitch angle $\beta$. By changing the pitch angle $\beta$ and rotor speed $\omega_r$, the generator speed $\omega_g$ can be finally changed. Therefore, if the controller is designed to perform well, the generator speed $\omega_g$ should be kept near the generator rated speed $\omega_{g, \text{rated}}$, in which case both the generator power $P_g$ and the generator torque $T_g$ should be kept near their rated values.

\[ \text{IAE} = \int_0^\infty |e(t)| \, dt \quad (13) \]

After initializing the population size and particle position and velocity, PSO calculates the PI controller gain of each particle and stores its IAE value. According to IAE value, the optimal position of each particle can be calculated, and the optimal particle can also be selected from all the population particles. The whole process iterates until the number of cycles reaches the maximum number of iterations. After the last cycle, the optimal particle is selected. In fact, the position information of the optimal particle contains the proportion and integral gain corresponding to the minimum IAE value.

PSO can only provide a pair of gains for constant wind speed, but we can obtain an optimal data set in this way. In this design, RBF neural network is selected to calculate the optimal PI gain for each wind speed based on the above optimal data set. When the network is trained, the constant wind speed in the optimal data set is taken as input and the corresponding PI gain is taken as output. After training, the RBF neural network can be used to calculate the optimal PI gain corresponding to any wind speed in the full load area with its general approximation characteristics.

5. Simulation results

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed wind speed prediction model based on DELM, it is applied to turbulence wind prediction of 2MW wind turbine, as shown in fig. 6 in which 10 m/s average wind speed is used. This wind speed profile is obtained based on kaimal wind model. It can be seen from fig. 6 that the use of DELM for wind speed prediction can effectively track the real-time change of wind speed, which provides the possibility for the advance pitch control.
Fig. 7 shows that amplitude of the ultimate load in the direction of the blade My, in which proposed controller based on RBF is less than the conventional PI pitch controller. Therefore, according to the simulation results, compared with conventional PI controller, the proposed controller with RBF neural network has more effective performance in pitch angle control. In this way, the ultimate load of the blade can be effectively reduced and the service life of the wind turbine can be prolonged.

6. Conclusion
This paper analyzes the input and output data of wind farm through the design of intelligent control algorithm, develops intelligent model, and realizes the predictive modeling and control of important parameter variables of wind turbine. The new intelligent method of deep neural network can reduce the computational complexity of the whole model, reduce the consumption of computing resources, and realize the modeling and prediction of wind turbines or important parameter variables.
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