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Abstract

‘Watch the Flu’ is a tool that monitors tweets posted in Australia for symptoms of influenza. The tool is a unique combination of two areas of artificial intelligence: natural language processing and time series monitoring, in order to assist public health surveillance. Using a real-time data pipeline, it deploys a web-based dashboard for visual analysis, and sends out emails to a set of users when an outbreak is detected. We expect that the tool will assist public health experts with their decision-making for disease outbreaks, by providing them insights from social media.

Epidemic intelligence is an area of public health surveillance that deals with early identification and assessment of potential health hazards (Paquet et al. 2006). This could be done using official information sources such as health records, or unofficial sources such as social media (Joshi et al. 2019b). In this demonstration, we present the first version of ‘Watch The Flu’, a near-real-time tool for social media-based epidemic intelligence. It currently monitors tweets posted in Australia for symptoms of influenza. Influenza is a syndrome (i.e., a collection of symptoms) that results in an acute respiratory infection (https://www.who.int/influenza/en/). We monitor seven symptoms of influenza (namely, cold, vomiting, upset stomach, cough, headache, fever and diarrhoea) over six states of Australia (New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia and Tasmania). Past work using search query statistics to predict influenza infection counts has been criticised for over-estimating the counts (Lazer et al. 2014). Therefore, our tool does not predict infection counts. Instead, it endeavours to signal outbreaks of individual symptoms as indicated by an unexpectedly high number of tweets reporting them. ‘Watch the Flu’ is expected to assist health professionals and public health experts by providing them insights from tweets in a region. The tool generates two kinds of outputs: emails that are sent when an alert is flagged and a dashboard that can be consulted on an ongoing basis.

Copyright © 2020, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

Figure 1: Architecture

Figure 2: Screenshot of the Web-based Dashboard.

Architecture

The architecture is shown in Figure 1 and described hereafter. The tool reads from a real-time database of tweets posted in Australia (‘tweet selector’), and performs a four step process to detect the alerts. The first step (‘location predictor’) assigns a tweet to one of the six states of Australia while the latter three are based on our architecture for social media-based epidemic intelligence (Joshi et al. 2019c). Programming languages used to implement each step are indicated in brackets in the Figure. ElasticSearch, Apache Kafka and NodeJS run on Docker.
**Tweet selectors**: Tweet selector uses two systems that collect tweets posted from Australia in real time: the Vizie (Wan, Paris, and Georgakopoulos 2015) and ESA (Cameron et al. 2012). Keywords related to the symptoms as given in (Sparks et al. 2017) are used to select relevant tweets.

**Location predictor (Java)**: This step predicts the Australian state from which a tweet could have been posted, using a combination of heuristics based on metadata, a label propagation method, timezone text classifiers, and an information retrieval approach. (Jayasinghe et al. 2016)

**Health mention classifier (Python)**: Mention of a symptom word in a tweet does not mean that it reports the symptom. Therefore, the health mention classifier predicts whether or not a tweet refers to a health condition. We deploy our best performing health mention classifier as tested on a held-out dataset. It uses pre-trained language models from Universal Sentence Encoder (Cer et al. 2018) as the feature representation, with SVM-Perf (Joachims 2005) optimised for F-score (Joshi et al. 2019a). The classifier is trained on benchmark datasets (Jiang, Calix, and Gupta 2016; Karisani and Agichtein 2018).

**Duplication removal (NodeJS)**: For every user, only the first health mention tweet posted on a given day is retained. In (Joshi et al. 2019c), we describe that this step allows as a guard against reports of one person flooding the monitoring algorithm.

**Time-between-events (TBE) Signal Detector (R & Java)**: TBE is the duration between consecutive tweets that have been detected as health mentions. A short TBE indicates that health mention tweets are being posted frequently, implying a likely alert. Using the algorithm given in (Sparks et al. 2019), we implement a TBE signal detector that uses expected and observed values of TBE calculated on the publish timestamp of health mention tweets. This algorithm runs separately for each symptom-state combination.

**Output**: The system generates two kinds of outputs. When an alert for a symptom-state combination is detected, an email is sent to a pre-determined set of email addresses using the JavaMail API. The email contains 10 most recent health mentions in social media. In (Sparks et al. 2019), we describe that this step allows as a guard against reports of one person flooding the monitoring algorithm.

**Summary**

‘Watch The Flu’ is a tweet monitoring tool that can supplement the expertise of epidemiologists and public health experts with insights from publicly available tweets. It is currently restricted to a specific syndrome (influenza) and geographical location (Australia). In future versions of ‘Watch The Flu’, we hope to include a larger set of data sources, a wider set of syndromes and be able to signal outbreaks in terms of a collection of symptoms.
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