Electrochemical Analysis of Some Biodegradable Mg-Ca-Mn Alloys
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The potential benefits of magnesium (Mg) over the other non-resorbable biomaterials, especially for orthopedic applications, are obvious. When fully realized, functional bioresorbable implants based on Mg alloys offer the mechanical advantages of a metal combined with the degradable and biological advantages of polymers and biomaterials. [1]In this article we obtained a Mg-based prelate alloyed with Ca and Mn. It is known that Mn helps to refine the alloy’s microstructure, which adds to the elasticity of the material. Surface morphology was performed using the optical microscope and the electron microscope while the mechanical tests were performed using the tribometer. Also, the electrochemical tests were executed in the ringer solution. It has been mentioned that the electrochemical resistance is quite low. This study was conducted to determine the corrosion resistance of Mg-Ca-Mn alloys. It has been demonstrated that the addition of Mn refines the microstructure, increases the modulus of elasticity but does not have a qualitative resistance to corrosion. Also, the hardness of the material is quite low in comparison to other pre-alloys of Mg.

Keywords: Surface morphology, mechanical tests, electrochemical tests

The use of other magnesium alloys is restricted by some limitations, such as corrosion resistance and low creep resistance [1-2]. A number of studies are focused on corrosion behaviour towards others of Mg, however they are limited on microstructural characteristics and corrosion behavior compared to steels. In addition, there is a good biocompatibility and in-vivo safety [24]. However, there is a major problem for alloys of Mg, which is by their low corrosion resistance, which hinders their use on the market. It’s applications may be limited, especially when exposed to aggressive environments such as marine salts. The main reason is [4-7]: (i) the negative electrochemical potential of magnesium (-2.37 V vs. SHE), which is lower among the industry metals. One of the most important elements for the human body is Mg which is part of the bone tissue molecular structure and it is involved in several metabolic processes [3]. In recent years, many rare earth elements (REs) have been added to increase mechanical properties, to prevent oxidation and increase corrosion resistance caused by the purifying effect of these elements in the alloy melt and the role of the phases they contain, which are attached to the grain boundaries, [8-10]. The cost of these elements is relatively high and stable, which weakens the potential for industrial applications of Mg alloys containing RE [22]. Therefore, new RE- Mg alloys with exceptional mechanical properties and corrosion resistance are required. Certainly, alloy characteristics were obtained by adding calcium (Ca) in Mg alloys as a micro-alloying element [12-14]. Han [15] reported that Mg - Al - Ca alloys have remarkable strength and elasticity modulus due to the solid Ca solution. Cao [16] and Jeong [17] found that the corrosion resistance of the Mg alloy can be improved by the addition of Ca. However, the range of Ca content in the aforementioned studies is relatively small. Mn can improve the purity of Mg alloys by reducing the impurity content of the iron and the alloy silicone, which leads to good corrosion resistance [11]. It has been proven that after alloying Mg with different alloys, the increase of resistance is noticeable. In contrast, manganese (Mn) shows no toxic effect in the physiological environment and plays a major role in activating the multiple enzyme system [23]. The addition of Mn has the potential to refine the grains and improve the recrystallization temperature of Mg alloys. Earlier studies have shown that a small amount of Mn in Mg alloys can remove intractable impurities such as Fe and heavy metal elements forming intermetallic phases and thus improve corrosion characteristics [18,19], but the effect of Mn on Mg alloys has not yet been more thoroughly researched.
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We also reported that the distribution coefficient (k) of Mn (1.1) means that it does not accumulate on the solid / liquid side, and Mn can be a solute within the primary dendrites without forming compounds containing Mn. The Mn solute in dendrites changes the surface film composition of Mg alloys, which also affects the exchange density of the reaction current of the evolution of hydrogen cathode [20]. The corrosion behavior of the aqueous solution of Mg alloys is the result of an electrochemical process in which Mg ionizes anodic, with the predominant hydrogen evolution of the cathodic reaction based on hydrogen. Refining the microstructure with the addition of Mn is beneficial for the alloy [21].

In this paper, we systematically investigated the microstructure and, in particular, the corrosion resistance of some alloys in the Mg-0.5Ca-xMn system. This study has been executed with the increase of Mn content in the alloy.

Table 1 presents the quality certificate of MgCa and MgMn ingots, sent by the company from where they were purchased, Hunan China Co.

| Alloys     | Mg/Y | Fe   | Ni   | Cu   | Si   | Al   |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Pure Mg    | Mg(99%) | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Mg15Ca     | Ca(15.29%) | 0.004% | 0.001% | 0.003% | 0.013% | 0.011% |
| Mg3Mn      | Mn(2.79%) | 0.002% | 0.004% | 0.001% | 0.005% | 0.035% |

Experimental part

Materials and methods

The alloys Mg-0.5Ca-0.5Mn, Mg-0.5Ca-1Mn, Mg-0.5Ca-1.5Mn, Mg-0.5Ca-2Mn, Mg-0.5Ca-3Mn were made from high purity compounds, the chromium composition is presented in table 1. The melting and alloying operations were carried out in a graphite crucible under a gas mixture (Ar) at 750°C. The melting was maintained for 30 min at 750°C to ensure that all the required alloying elements were dissolved in the molten alloy. Afterwards, the crucibles were left to cool, followed by the removal of the alloys from crucibles and then refined into the shape of a bar.

The bars were cut into pills of 12 mm in diameter and the frame of 4 mm thick, sanded with abrasive paper up to 2500SiC and finished with alumina suspension, the samples were left to dry in the open air and chemically attacked to observe the microstructure of the surfaces.

Microstructure

The microstructure of the cast alloys was observed using an optical microscope. The intermetallic secondary phases of the cast alloys were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using mono-chromatic Cu-K(α) radiation.

Electrochemical testing

For the corrosion test, a Volta Lab 21 potentiostat was used. The corrosion current determined in this way represents the corrosion current that appears at the metal / medium interface when the metal is immersed in the solution and can be measured directly by electrochemical methods. To calculate the corrosion rate of an alloy immersed in a corrosive environment, it is necessary to know the density of the instantaneous current that is determined by the polarized resistance method. A cell containing three electrodes was used: platinum auxiliary electrode, saturated calomel electrode and working electrode. The measurements were made at 20°C and the electrolyte was naturally aerated, the linear polarization curves were recorded at a scanning speed of the electrode potential of 1mV / s and the cyclical polarization curves were performed at a scanning speed of 10mV / s.

This method is used to determine the corrosion current at the corrosion potential of the metal or alloy, from the linear polarization curve obtained for relatively small overvoltages. The corrosion current thus determined is actually the
corrosion current that appears at the metal / corrosive medium interface when the metal is immersed in the solution and cannot be measured directly by electrochemical methods. This is actually an instant corrosion current.

Surface analysis

The cross sections of the samples were also prepared by focusing the focused ion beam (FIB) to analyze their surface films by high resolution transmission electron microscopy (NU AM FOLOSIT ASTA-refaci) (Quanta 3D scanning microscope) and energy dispersion X-ray spectroscopy (EDS).

Results and discussion

Microstructure and XDR analysis

Optical micrographs for Mg_{0.5}Ca-xMn alloys are shown in Figure 1. It was observed that by increasing the quantity of Mn, the microstructure is refined, and the particles of the second phase that contain Ca tend to distribute in the interdendrite areas. It can be observed that the compound Mg_{2}Ca is formed at the grain boundary of Mg. All alloys showed α-Mg peaks. From the EDS analysis, the presence of other impurities such as Cu, Ni and Fe can be explained by this impurity content of the alloy composition.

XRD analysis shows us three major phases: α-Mg, Mg_{2}Ca and Mg_{0.975}Mn_{0.025}, the previously specified compounds resulting from the peaks of the graph in Figure 3. It is shown that Mg_{0.975}Mn_{0.025} has the same hexagonal crystallographic structure as pure Mg and Mg_{2}Ca has a monoclinic structure. All alloys showed α-Mg peaks.

Electrochemical testing

In the table 3 are presented the parameters obtained from the electro-corrosion resistance tests of the experimental alloys Mg_{0.5}Ca_{x} (x = 0.5; 1; 1.5; 2 and 3 wt.%) Mn, tests performed in SBF solution. Since magnesium alloys eliminate high amounts of gas from the surface of the samples, gas bubbles are still forming. These gas bubbles were removed by
using a magnetic stirrer that operated at a relatively slow rate of agitation of the electrolyte solution. At each test, the fresh electrolyte solution was changed. Chemical composition of immersion solution is presented in table 2.

### Table 2
**CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF IMMERSION SOLUTION**

| Chemical Composition (Ions) | Na⁺ | K⁺ | Mg²⁺ | Ca²⁺ | Cl⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | HPO₄²⁻ | SO₄²⁻ |
|----------------------------|-----|----|------|------|-----|-------|--------|-------|
| (mmol/dm³)                |     |    |      |      |     |       |        |       |
| Simulated body fluid     | 142 | 5  | 1.5  | 2.5  | 147.8 | 4.2   | 1      | 0.5   |
| Human blood plasma       | 142 | 5  | 1.5  | 2.5  | 103  | 27    | 1      | 0.5   |

### Table 3
**PARAMETERS OBTAINED FROM THE ELECTRO-CORROSION RESISTANCE TESTS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL ALLOYS Mg₀.₅Caₓ (x = 0.5; 1; 1.5; 2 AND 3wt.%) Mn**

| Sample            | \(E_0\) mV | \(ba\) mV | \(bc\) mV | \(Rp\) ohm.cm² | \(J_{cor}\) μA/cm² | \(V_{cor}\) mm/an | OCP V |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Mg_Ca_0.5Mn       | 1971.7      | 114.5     | -105.0    | 1.32           | 76.88               | 0.85              | -1.6  |
| Mg_Ca_1Mn         | 1755.1      | 174.1     | -170.9    | 1.21           | 23.52               | 0.56              | -1.66 |
| Mg_Ca_1.5Mn       | 1695.7      | 160.2     | -172.8    | 1.17           | 23.21               | 0.56              | -1.56 |
| Mg_Ca_2Mn         | 1703.0      | 122.4     | -97.3     | 1.26           | 68.05               | 0.63              | -1.58 |
| Mg_Ca_3Mn         | 1761.0      | 178.3     | -185.7    | 1.22           | 23.44               | 0.55              | -1.55 |

In all cases are observed in processing the presence of both types of reception for anodic and cathodic tea while the branches may have values close to the opposite sign. A larger difference is observed only under test conditions with 2% Mn. The anodic branch is much larger than the cathodic and this fact may be a less pronounced passage of a metallic element while looking at it in others. With the exception of the cases with 0.5% and 2% Mn, similar corrosion rates with 0.56 mm per year were obtained. Electro-corrosion currents (\(J_{cor}\)) confirm this behavior. The corrosion current is thus determined during the corrosion cure current, the interface of the metal / corrosive medium appears when it is metallic and is immersed in solutions and cannot be measured by the direct electrochemical method. This is actually an instantaneous corrosion current. The resistance of the polarization and other experimental ones are close to this one.

The Tafel variations show the similar behavior of the experimental alloys with a small differentiation of the potential of the MgCaMn alloy. The linear polarization resistance method is probably one of the most widespread applications of electrochemical measurements in the laboratory and is very well applied in the case of uniform (generalized) corrosion.

![Fig. 4. Tafel diagrams of Mg₀.₅Ca-xY-based experimental alloys](image-url)

Figure 5 shows the cyclical curves characteristic of the experimental samples from the MgCaMn system. From the analysis of the cyclical polarization curves (cyclic voltammograms), one of them can obtain information regarding the type of electrochemical process that takes place at the electrode / environment interface (such as: generalized corrosion, localized corrosion, passivation, oxidation reductions of the solution species), evaluation characteristic potentials (corrosion potential, piercing potential, re-passivation potential, protection potential).
The general appearance of the corrosion is of generalized corrosion more accentuated for the Mg$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn (SCRIE FORMULA) and MgCa$_1$Mn samples with a larger loop given by the corrosion intensity. In both cases mentioned, with 1% and 2% Mn respectively, the generalized corrosion is supported by many pitting corrosion zones which evolve very quickly and which, by combining their effects, it transforms in a very short time into generalized corrosion.

Surface analysis of corroded samples

Figure 6 shows the SEM images after the 5000x magnification corrosion test, there are no traces of corrosion at points and the degradation occurs by oxidizing the surface layer of the alloy and detaching it from the substrate.

It is observed the identification of a smaller percentage of oxygen on the surface of the material compared to the sample Mg$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn (6.6 compared to 25% - Tab.2), also the quantities of salts are lower or equal to the chlorine-based compounds. Adding 1% Mn increases the electro-corrosion resistance of the MgCa alloy and significantly reducing the amount of oxide on the surface compared to the behavior of the Mg$_{0.5}$Ca$_{0.5}$Mn sample, but the best resistance to electro-corrosion is the Mg$_{0.5}$Ca$_{3}$Mn alloy followed by Mg$_{0.5}$Ca$_{2}$Mn.

Conclusions

This research shows that with the increase of the Mn content in the alloy, the microstructure is more refined thus showing an increase in the strength of the alloy. Following the results of X-ray diffraction tests, we can observe a series of Mg$_2$Ca compounds having a monoclinic form and Mg and Mg$_{0.975}$Mn$_{0.025}$ having a hexagonal shape. On the morphology of the surface we can see that the compound Mg$_2$Ca is formed at the boundary of Mg grains, and Mg$_{0.975}$Mn$_{0.025}$ are formed separately.
From the analysis of the results recorded in the case of electro-corrosion resistance experiments it was observed that the highest corrosion rate is the alloy with 0.5% Mn respectively 0.85 mm / year and the smallest alloy with 3% respectively 0.55 mm / year. The Tafel curves show close values of the ba and bc branches which represents a close activity for the two types of reactions, reduction and oxidation or anodic and cathodic, which take place at the contact between an alloy and an aqueous electrolyte environment. The cyclic polarization curves indicate for all samples a generalized surface corrosion more pronounced in the case of samples with 1 and 2% Mn respectively. The experimental alloys showed, in all cases, a combined corrosion between galvanic, intercrystalline and point corrosion.

The microstructural analysis by SEM electron microscopy highlights a generalized surface corrosion that was observed with the formation of compounds on the surface of the materials in the electrolyte solution. Zonal traces of corrosion at points were also observed due to the inhomogeneities or inclusions that appear in the experimental alloys.
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