Defects in nuclear pore assembly lead to activation of an Aurora B–mediated abscission checkpoint
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Correct assembly of nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), which directly and indirectly control nuclear environment and architecture, is vital to genomic regulation. We previously found that nucleoporin 153 (Nup153) is required for timely progression through late mitosis. In this study, we report that disruption of Nup153 function by either small interfering RNA–mediated depletion or expression of a dominant-interfering Nup153 fragment results in dramatic mistargeting of the pore basket components Tpr and Nup50 in midbody-stage cells. We find a concomitant appearance of aberrantly localized active Aurora B and an Aurora B–dependent delay in abscission. Depletion of Nup50 is also sufficient to increase the number of midbody-stage cells and, likewise, triggers distinctive mislocalization of Aurora B. Together, our results suggest that defects in nuclear pore assembly, and specifically the basket structure, at this time of the cell cycle activate an Aurora B–mediated abscission checkpoint, thereby ensuring that daughter cells are generated only when fully formed NPCs are present.

Introduction

Cell division requires a highly coordinated series of events to precisely partition DNA between two daughter cells. Accurate capture of chromosomes by mitotic spindles is critical to faithful inheritance of DNA and is closely monitored by the spindle assembly checkpoint (Musacchio and Salmon, 2007). Cytokinesis, the final stage of cell division, must then occur coordinately with chromosome segregation to ensure that cells do not physically separate with an incorrect composition of DNA. A protein-rich structure termed the midbody stabilizes an intercellular bridge that is ultimately resolved to create two daughter cells through the process of membrane abscission (Steigemann and Gerlich, 2009). Aurora B kinase activity is essential for maintenance of midbody structure and prolonged midbody stabilization triggered by the presence of chromatin bridges, which can result from improperly segregated chromosomes (Steigemann et al., 2009). Such a delay allows time to resolve the chromatin bridge, thereby preventing aneuploidy in the daughter cells or relapse to a tetraploid state because of cleavage furrow regression. This phenomenon, termed the Aurora B–mediated abscission checkpoint, acts as a final quality control mechanism to ensure that cells do not divide aberrantly (Chen and Doxsey, 2009). Many other organelle segregation and reassembly steps are important for establishing normal function in the newly formed daughter cells. However, thus far, a link between errors in such processes and activation of the Aurora B abscission checkpoint has not been identified.

Within a matter of minutes after anaphase onset, membranes and nucleoporins (Nups) are recruited in concert to the surface of decondensing chromatin and rapidly form two concentric membrane bilayers that enclose the DNA and contain nuclear pore complexes (NPCs; Dultz et al., 2008). NPCs are large, eightfold symmetric macromolecular complexes comprised of ~30 different proteins, each protein present in multiple copies (D’Angelo and Hetzer, 2008). When completely assembled, NPC architecture includes a central core region spanning the nuclear envelope, filaments emanating into the cytoplasm, and fibers extending ~50 nm into the nucleoplasm, where they connect to a distal ring to form what is known as the NPC basket (D’Angelo and Hetzer, 2008). NPCs serve both as the gateway for nucleocytoplasmic communication and also as an integral feature of nuclear architecture, helping to regulate chromatin structure and function (Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010). We previously obtained the surprising result that Nup153, a key component of the NPC basket (Hase and Cordes, 2003; Ball and...
Ullman, 2005), is required for timely completion of cytokinesis (Mackay et al., 2009). By further probing this late mitotic function, we have discovered a new link between rapid rebuilding of NPC architecture at the end of mitosis and Aurora B–mediated control over abscission timing.

Results and discussion

Because Nup153 has been shown to be important for NPC basket assembly (Hase and Cordes, 2003) and because its disruption leads to a delay in late mitotic progression (Mackay et al., 2009; Lussi et al., 2010), we looked closely at NPC assembly in midbody-stage cells after reduction of Nup153 protein levels. To do so, we chose knockdown conditions in which low levels of Nup153 remain and global transport function of the NPC is intact (Mackay et al., 2009). At this level of Nup153 depletion (Fig. S1), recruitment of Tpr, a major component of the NPC basket (Krull et al., 2004), was strikingly impaired in midbody-stage cells, with little to no incorporation of Tpr at the newly forming nuclear rim and aberrant localization to cytoplasmic foci (Fig. 1, A and C; and Fig. S1 B). Nup50/Npap60, a dynamic component of the NPC basket (Guan et al., 2000; Rabut et al., 2004), similarly displayed a dramatic NPC recruitment defect in midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153 (Fig. 1, B and C; and Fig. S1 C). We observed reduced recruitment of both Tpr and Nup50 to the nuclear rim in interphase cells no longer linked by midbodies, which is consistent with a previous study (Hase and Cordes, 2003), but no corresponding mislocalization to cytoplasmic puncta (Fig. 1, A and B).

Nup153 translocates to chromatin early during nuclear reassembly (Bodoor et al., 1999; Dultz et al., 2008), raising the question of whether its depletion might result in a global defect in either nuclear envelope formation or NPC assembly. To address these possibilities, we first analyzed recruitment of the integral membrane Nup, POM121, to chromatin in newly forming nuclei by live imaging (Fig. 1 D). Quantification of POM121-GFP fluorescence at the chromatin surface indicated that the kinetics of membrane recruitment and the NPC component POM121 in particular are not affected by reduced levels of Nup153 (Fig. 1 E). Incorporation of Nup133, a central NPC component (Strambio-De-Castillia et al., 2010), was also not noticeably affected in either interphase- or midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153 (Fig. 1 F). Together, these results indicate that NPC basket assembly is particularly sensitive to reduced levels of Nup153 in midbody-stage cells, resulting in diversion of basket components to ectopic sites. Eventually, mislocalized NPC basket components may turn over or accumulate slowly at the NPC basket along with residual Nup153. Sensitivity of pore basket structure to perturbation during late stages of cell division may be because of differential requirements for efficient postmitotic and interphase NPC assembly (Doucet et al., 2010).

In addition to serving as a docking site for transport factors, the NPC basket also provides a scaffold required for binding and sequestration of the spindle checkpoint regulatory proteins Mad1 and Mad2 (Lee et al., 2008) and for anchoring the nuclear lamina to the NPC (Smythe et al., 2000; Walther et al., 2001). Incorporation of both Mad1 and Lamin A at the nuclear rim was reduced in midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153, and these proteins instead displayed cytoplasmic mislocalization similar to that seen for Tpr and Nup50 (Fig. 1, G and H). Although multiple basket components and associated factors are found in cytoplasmic foci in midbody-stage cells upon Nup153 depletion, these sites do not represent a single entity nor do they represent fully assembled NPCs in the cytoplasm. Foci containing Tpr and Mad1 often colocalized (Fig. S2 A), which is consistent with findings that Tpr directly binds Mad1 (Lee et al., 2008); however, those containing Mad1 (and Tpr) were never found overlapping with either Nup50 or Lamin A/C (Fig. S2 B and not depicted). Other Nups, such as Nup133 (Fig. 1 F), were not detected in the cytoplasm. Most importantly, mislocalization of basket Nups and associated factors reflects a disruption of organization normally established at this stage of nuclear reassembly.

Next, we further characterized the late mitotic delay seen upon Nup153 depletion (Mackay et al., 2009; Lussi et al., 2010) by following the kinetics of midbody persistence. HeLa cells stably expressing both GFP-tubulin and H2B-mCherry were treated with either control or Nup153-specific siRNAs for 48 h and then analyzed by live imaging (Fig. 2 A). Although the midbody remained visible for a median time of 1.5 h in control cells, Nup153-depleted cells exhibited a profound delay (median time of 3.25–4 h) in midbody disassembly (Fig. 2, A and B). Therefore, either Nup153 is directly involved in the process of midbody disassembly or it impacts the regulation of cytokinesis progression. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we inhibited the activity of Aurora B, a kinase known to regulate a checkpoint for the timing of abscission (Steigemann et al., 2009). The number of midbody-stage cells dramatically decreased within 60 min in both control and Nup153-depleted cells after the addition of the Aurora B kinase inhibitor ZM447439 (Fig. 2, C and D). The reduced number of Nup153-depleted cells at midbody stage after treatment with inhibitor does not correspond to a similar increase in multinucleate cells (Fig. 2 D), indicating that these cells progressed through abscission. This supports the notion that, rather than having a direct role in the process of abscission, Nup153 function influences the active state of Aurora B specifically at this time of cell division.

A hallmark of the abscission checkpoint is the sustained presence of active Aurora B at the midbody (Steigemann et al., 2009). Both control and Nup153-depleted cells displayed normal Aurora B staining at the midbody; however, midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153 showed additional Aurora B localization to cytoplasmic foci (Fig. 3 A). A phosphospecific antibody that recognizes the active form of Aurora B–phosphorylated at T232 (pAurora B) also decorated cytoplasmic puncta (Fig. 3 B), indicating not only that Aurora B is mislocalized, but also that the active form of Aurora B is stabilized within the cytoplasm. Notably, these foci were distinct from those containing Mad1 (and Tpr) or Lamin A/C (Fig. S2, C and D). Aurora B foci formation is not a general consequence of delayed abscission, as cells depleted of Cep55 showed an increased number of midbody-stage cells as expected.
Interestingly, the Nup107–160 complex was recently implicated in recruiting Aurora B to centromeres associated with aligned kinetochores (Platani et al., 2009). However, in Nup153-depleted cells, Aurora B localization was comparable with control cells during both prometaphase and metaphase (Fig. 3 C), indicating that the influence of Nup153 on Aurora B is restricted temporally to abscission. Although Mad1 localization is affected (Fabbro et al., 2005) but maintained normal Aurora B localization patterns (Fig. 3, A and B).

In addition to being critical for cytokinesis, Aurora B activity is also required during mitotic spindle formation, where it functions in the correction of erroneous kinetochore–microtubule attachments, thus guarding against improper chromosome segregation (Ditchfield et al., 2003; Hauf et al., 2003).

Interestingly, the Nup107–160 complex was recently implicated in recruiting Aurora B to centromeres associated with aligned kinetochores (Platani et al., 2009). However, in Nup153-depleted cells, Aurora B localization was comparable with control cells during both prometaphase and metaphase (Fig. 3 C), indicating that the influence of Nup153 on Aurora B is restricted temporally to abscission. Although Mad1 localization is affected in
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midbody-stage cells (Fig. 1 F). Mad1 kinetochore localization was unchanged in early mitosis after Nup153 depletion (Fig. 3 D), suggesting that these conditions do not impair or hyperactivate the spindle assembly checkpoint. Furthermore, we did not observe an increase in hallmarks of chromosome missegregation, such as the presence of chromatin bridges or multilobed nuclei. Thus, mislocalized Aurora B and delayed abscission observed under these conditions of Nup153 depletion are unlikely caused by disruption of events earlier in mitosis.

To address whether Aurora B foci seen upon Nup153 depletion represent ectopic midbodies in the cytoplasm, we tracked the midbody-associated protein citron Rho-interacting kinase (CRIK; Madaule et al., 1998). CRIK colocalized with pAurora B at the midbody, as expected, but was not found in pAurora B foci (Fig. 3 E). Furthermore, pAurora B did not localize to what are likely residual midbodies (Fig. 3 E, arrowheads) in recently divided cells. pAurora B is typically present in conjunction with the chromosomal passenger complex, a complex consisting of Aurora B, INCENP, Survivin, and Borealin (Ruchaud et al., 2007). Surprisingly, although INCENP was robustly detected at midbodies upon depletion of Nup153, it was not present in the cytoplasmic Aurora B foci (Fig. 3 F). Thus, stabilization of pAurora B triggered by depletion of Nup153 has a unique signature (the appearance of cytoplasmic foci and a population uncoupled from the chromosomal passenger complex) that distinguishes it from that seen upon chromosome missegregation (Steigemann et al., 2009). However, in both cases, abscission is delayed in an Aurora B–dependent manner.

To identify the Nup153 domain requirements for rescue of the mislocalized Aurora B phenotype, we expressed different fragments of Nup153 fused to GFP in cells depleted of Nup153 (Fig. 4 A; Mackay et al., 2009). Consistent with our previous data, only full-length or N + C constructs rescued the number of midbody-stage cells (Fig. 4 B; Mackay et al., 2009). Mislocalized Aurora B was similarly rescued under the same conditions (Fig. 4 C). Thus, the increase in midbody-stage cells and cells with more than one nucleus present after treatment with ZM447439 at the indicated time points (n = 3). Error bars indicate mean ± SD.
cell lines that express high levels of the Nup153 C-terminal domain (Nup153-C) or GFP alone upon tetracycline induction (Fig. S3 A). The overexpressed C-terminal fragment would be predicted to interfere with the role of Nup153 during abscission if coupling between the N- and C-terminal domains is important for this function. At the same time, other functions of Nup153 would be expected to be preserved, such as its incorporation into the NPC, which is solely dependent on the N-terminal region (Enarson et al., 1998). Overexpression of the Nup153-C fragment indeed resulted in an approximately threefold increase in the number of midbody-stage cells (Fig. 5, A and C), which is similar to that seen upon reduction of Nup153 (Fig. 1 C), indicating that Nup153-C can dominantly interfere with the late mitotic function of Nup153 and lead to delayed abscission.

Endogenous Nup153, as predicted, targeted normally to the NPC in both interphase and midbody-stage cells expressing

---

**Figure 3.** **Aurora B is mislocalized and aberrantly active in midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153.** (A and B) siRNA-treated cells were stained for Aurora B (A) and pAurora B (B). Note that Aurora B (and pAurora B) mislocalizes in cytoplasmic foci upon Nup153 depletion. Insets show normal Aurora B localization in interphase cells. (C and D) Localization of Aurora B (C) and the spindle checkpoint protein Mad1 (D) during prometaphase (left) and metaphase (right). CREST antiserum was used to indicate centromeres. (E) Detection of pAurora B and CRIK illustrates that mislocalized pAurora B foci are neither ectopic nor residual midbodies (arrowheads). Insets show a cell with what is likely a residual midbody. (F) Cells stained for pAurora B and INCENP. Brackets indicate midbody-stage cells. Bars: (A, B, E, and F) 10 µm; (C and D) 5 µm.
in which the status of postmitotic NPC assembly is under
at cytokinesis is linked to Nup function and support a model
to similarly trigger the abscission checkpoint.

This also extended to both Mad1 and Lamin A/C (Fig. S3, D and E). Moreover, midbody-stage cells expressing Nup153-C displayed
mislocalized and aberrantly active Aurora B (Fig. 5, B). However, incorporation of the NPC basket components Tpr and Nup50 during late mitotic NPC assembly was disrupted in a manner similar to that observed after Nup153 depletion (Fig. 5 B). In fact, this defect in NPC basket assembly was unexpected, as the determinants for Nup153 interaction with both Tpr (Hase and Cordes, 2003) and Nup50 (Kosako et al., 2009) map to the Nup153 N-terminal region. Thus, although the C-terminal domain of Nup153 does not directly interact with these NPC basket components, our results suggest that it plays a role in their incorporation at this specific stage of the cell cycle. This also extended to both Mad1 and Lamin A/C (Fig. S3, D and E). Moreover, midbody-stage cells expressing Nup153-C displayed
mislocalized and aberrantly active Aurora B (Fig. 5, B). However, incorporation of the NPC basket components Tpr and Nup50 during late mitotic NPC assembly was disrupted in a manner similar to that observed after Nup153 depletion (Fig. 5 B). In fact, this defect in NPC basket assembly was unexpected, as the determinants for Nup153 interaction with both Tpr (Hase and Cordes, 2003) and Nup50 (Kosako et al., 2009) map to the Nup153 N-terminal region. Thus, although the C-terminal domain of Nup153 does not directly interact with these NPC basket components, our results suggest that it plays a role in their incorporation at this specific stage of the cell cycle.

Collectively, our data indicate that an Aurora B checkpoint at cytokinesis is linked to Nup function and support a model in which the status of postmitotic NPC assembly is under
surveillance (Fig. 5 I). These results point to a new mode by which Nups contribute to the orchestration of cell division and, to our knowledge, are only the second example in mammalian cells of a defect that can trigger such a checkpoint at cytokinesis. Significantly, this model suggests that mechanisms are in place to ensure not only that DNA content is accurately inherited but also that it is packaged into nuclei in which key elements, such as the NPC basket structure, are properly poised for their functional role.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, siRNA transfection, and ZM447439 treatment

HeLa cells grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS were transfected with control or Nup153-specific siRNA oligos as described previously (Mackay et al., 2009) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) and final concentrations of 10 nM siRNA for siControl and Nup153 siRNA experiments, except for those in Figs. 1 (D and E) and 4 (B and C), which were performed using both Nup153-specific siRNA oligos and produced essentially identical results, although results from only one siRNA oligo are depicted. Other siRNA oligos used in this study are used as described previously: Nup50 (Ogawa et al., 2010) and Cep55 (Morita et al., 2007) oligos were both used at 10 nM. Cells were harvested for analysis 48 h after siRNA transfection. For Aurora B inhibition experiments, ZM447439 was added at a final concentration of 2 µM to siRNA-treated cells 48 h after transfection. Cells were harvested after 60–120-min incubation.

Plasmids and stable cell lines

H2B-mCherry plasmid was generated by cloning an H2B-mCherry fragment in place of the EGFP fragment in pEGFP-N2. The pgk-puromycin cassette from the pRetroQ-AcGFP1-C1 plasmid (Takara Bio Inc.) was then introduced into the H2B-mCherry vector to allow double selection. The G418-resistance cassette was disrupted by restriction digestion with NoI, and the resulting linearized vector was used for transfection in subsequent steps. Stable HeLa cell lines used in live imaging expressing rat POM121-3GFP (provided by E. Hallberg, Södertörn University College, Södertörn, Sweden; Kihlmark et al., 2001). H2B-mCherry or GFP-tubulin (Takara Bio Inc.)/H2B-mCherry were generated by sequentially transfecting the appropriate plasmids into HeLa cells using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen) and selecting in 1 mg/ml G418 + 0.5 µg/ml puromycin. Stable lines used in
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*Figure 4. Rescue of both delayed abscission and aberrant Aurora B localization in midbody-stage cells requires the same domains of Nup153. (A) Schematic drawing of the domain structure of Nup153, including the N terminus (N), zinc finger region (Z), and FG-rich C terminus (C), and the different constructs used to determine the domains of Nup153 required to rescue function after Nup153 depletion. (B) Rescue of midbody accumulation after depletion of endogenous Nup153 is seen with expression of full-length and N + C constructs. Brackets indicate a nonsignificant difference between control and Nup153 siRNA treatment (n = 3). (C) Significant reduction in Aurora B foci is seen after Nup153 depletion when cells express full-length or N + C constructs compared with GFP (*, P < 0.005; n = 3). Control siRNA-treated cells never displayed mislocalized Aurora B (Ø indicates a mean of 0%). Error bars indicate mean ± SD.*
Figure 5. Induced expression of Nup153-C fragment dominantly interferes with NPC basket assembly and results in mislocalized active Aurora B in midbody-stage cells. (A) HeLa-T-REx cell lines without (-DOX) and with (+DOX) induced expression of GFP or a Nup153 C-terminal fragment were analyzed for the presence of midbody-stage cells (arrowheads). (B) Immunostaining of GFP or Nup153-C-GFP-expressing cells shows that localization of both Nup153 and Nup133 is unaffected, whereas Tpr and Nup50 mislocalize to cytoplasmic foci in midbody-stage cells expressing Nup153-C-GFP. Detection of α-tubulin (not depicted) was used to identify midbody-stage cells. (C) Quantification of the incidence of midbody-stage cells and the mislocalized Nup phenotypes within the cell population (Ø indicates a mean of 0%; n = 3). (D and E) Staining for both Aurora B (D) and pAurora B (E) indicates that expression of Nup153-C-GFP leads to mislocalized and aberrantly active Aurora B in midbody-stage cells. (F–H) HeLa cells treated with Nup50-specific siRNA oligos display normal localization of both Nup153 (F) and Tpr (G) but display increased numbers of midbody-stage cells, many of which contain mislocalized pAurora B (H). (I) Quantification of the incidence of pAurora B foci in a midbody-stage cell after either siRNA treatment or fragment expression as indicated (n = 3). (J) Model for cross talk between nuclear pore assembly and the abscission checkpoint. Under normal conditions, resolution of cytokinesis ensues after proper nuclear pore assembly and Aurora B dephosphorylation (dashed red circle). pAurora B (solid red circles) is stabilized throughout the cytoplasm, including at the midbody, when assembly of the nuclear basket is disrupted, whether by a discrete change, such as the depletion of Nup50 (purple oval), or a more potent disruption, as results from either interfering with Nup153 function (but not localization) by dominant interference (C terminus) or depleting Nup153. Activated Aurora B delays abscission, but the specific contributions of cytoplasmic versus midbody pools of Aurora B remain to be elucidated. (A, B, and D–H) Brackets indicate midbody-stage cells. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Bars, 10 µm.
the phenotype rescue analysis have been previously described (Mackay et al., 2009). To generate inducible stable cell lines, we used the Flp-In TReX system (Invitrogen). In brief, GFP or Nup153-C-GFP fragments were cloned into the pcDNA5/FRT/TO plasmid, transfected into the TReX-HeLa cell line along with the pOG44 Flp recombinase plasmid, and selected in 100 μg/ml hygromycin. Resistant cells were pooled and expanded. Protein expression was induced by addition of 0.3 μg/ml doxycycline for 24 h before analysis.

Immunofluorescence analysis
Cells were fixed for immunofluorescence analysis using one of the following methods: (a) incubation in −20°C methanol for 10 min, (b) incubation in −20°C ethanol for either 10 or 20 min, (c) incubation in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at RT followed by 10 min in −20°C methanol. The following antibodies were used in this study: α-tubulin (Y11/2 [Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp.] and ab18251 [Abcam]), Nup153 (SAI; provided by B. Burke, Institute of Medical Biology, Singapore), Nup153-Z (Li et al., 2003), Tpr (HCO-00099-1; Beryl Laboratories, Inc.), Nup50 (a custom antibody raised against a peptide, including the C-terminal 18 amino acids of Nup50), Nup133 (provided by D. Forbes, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA), Mad1 (9810; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), lamin A/C (ab20740; Abcam), Aurora B (3094 [Cell Signaling Technology] and ab2254 [Abcam]), Aurora B pY192 (600-401-677; Rockland), CREST (HCT-0100; Immunovision), GFP (JL8 [Takara Bio Inc.]) and ab290 [Abcam]). DNA was stained with Hoechst 33258 included in the final wash. Phenotype quantification and statistics were performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). 5 (C and I) represents the mean and SD of at least three independent experiments. To image midbody persistence, cells expressing both GFP-tubulin and H2B-mCherry were imaged using a stage-temperature incubator (OKO Laboratory), a motorized XY stage, and a camera (Orca ER; Hamamatsu Photonics) all controlled using MetaMorph software (MDS Analytical Technologies). Image analysis and intensity measurements were performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Specifically, background-subtracted images in the H2B-mCherry channel were used to set a thresholded area, which was used to measure the mean pixel intensity in the POM121-3GFP channel. Mean pixel intensities were used to set a thresholded area, which was used to measure the specific background-subtracted images in the H2B-mCherry channel. Data points in Fig. 1 E represent the mean and standard error from three independent experiments in which ~20 nuclei were analyzed per experiment. To image midbody persistence, cells expressing both GFP-tubulin and H2B-mCherry were treated with siRNA for 48 h and imaged at several stage positions every 15 min for 18 h. Quantification was performed manually, and statistical analysis was performed using Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows Western blot analysis of the levels of Nup153 and other proteins analyzed in this study after Nup153 depletion by two independent siRNAs. Fig. S2 illustrates that mislocalized Nups, Nup-associated factors, and Aurora B in cytoplasmic foci do not represent a single entity. Fig. S3 shows induction of GFP and Nup153-C-GFP, that mislocalization of Tpr, Nup50, Mad1, and Lamin A/C only occurs in midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153. Fig. S2 illustrates that mislocalized Nups, Nup-associated factors, and Aurora B in cytoplasmic foci do not represent a single entity. Fig. S3 shows induction of GFP and Nup153-C-GFP, that mislocalization of Tpr, Nup50, Mad1, and Lamin A/C only occurs in midbody-stage cells depleted of Nup153. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.2010100724/D1.
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