Correlation between maternal hemoglobin and serum transferrin receptor with lactoferrin concentration in breastfeeding mothers
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Summary Background. Human milk is rich in both nutrient and non-nutrient content which leads to many benefits for the growth and development of children's and mothers' bodies. Lactoferrin is one of the main proteins contained in human milk, and the factors that affect its concentration are important to comprehend.

Objectives. This study aimed to analyze the correlation between hemoglobin and serum transferrin receptor with lactoferrin concentration in human milk.

Material and methods. This cross-sectional study was conducted from September to November 2017. The subjects were 79 pregnant mothers in three working areas of the primary health centers in Semarang City, Indonesia. Hemoglobin and serum transferrin receptor data was obtained from blood during the third trimester of pregnancy, while lactoferrin concentration was measured in milk after delivery. Hemoglobin concentration was measured using cyanmethemoglobin, serum transferrin receptor concentration using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and lactoferrin concentration using a human lactoferrin ELISA. Data analysis was performed with Spearman's rank correlation coefficient using SPSS version 23.

Results. There was no correlation between maternal hemoglobin and lactoferrin concentration ($p = 0.636$). There was also no correlation between serum transferrin receptor and lactoferrin concentration ($p = 0.688$). Hemoglobin and serum transferrin receptors did not affect the concentration of lactoferrin in breastfeeding mothers.

Conclusions. The mechanism of lactoferrin homeostasis in human milk is still not completely understood. Further studies on this are important in order to promote a better quality of health for mothers and their children.
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Background

Over the years, many studies have proved that breastfeeding is important for both mothers and children. Breastfeeding is associated with lower infectious morbidity and mortality, fewer dental malocclusions and higher intelligence in children. Growing evidence also suggests that breastfeeding might protect against overweight and diabetes later in life. Mothers who breastfeed their children receive many benefits, such as preventing breast cancer, improved birth spacing and, possibly, a reduced risk of diabetes and ovarian cancer [1]. A study in Croatia even found that breastfeeding can lower the risk of depression in postpartum mothers [2]. Based on World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) recommendations, children should be exclusively breastfed for the first six months; breastfeeding should then continue for up to two years, while also providing the infant with nutritionally adequate and safe complementary food [3].

One of the factors that has a role in the benefits of breastfeeding is the content of human milk, which is rich in nutrients. Human milk has many antioxidant, antibacterial, prebiotic, probiotic and immune-boosting properties in addition to nutrients [4]. It contains biologically active components, non-protein nitrogen, immunoglobulin, lipids, carbohydrates and over 400 different proteins, in which the concentration differs according to the child's age and other characteristics to reflect their need [5]. Among the nutrients contained in human milk is a protein that is beneficial for infants’ health, namely lactoferrin. Lactoferrin is one of the main whey proteins in human milk, with significant quantities [6]. Lactoferrin is a single polypeptide chain glycoprotein with a molecular weight of around 78 kDa and consists of 691 amino acids. Based on its structure, lactoferrin has a similar concentration to serum transferrin receptor (sTfR) of 60%. Lactoferrin is present in higher concentrations in milk and colostrum, as well as many other secretions, like tears, saliva, urine and gastric fluid. Meanwhile, in plasma or serum and whole blood, lactoferrin concentration is low, varying from 0.02 μg/ml to 1.52 μg/ml. Pregnancy and the menstrual cycle affect lactoferrin concentration in plasma. On the contrary, excessive iron intake, tumor growth, infection and inflammation increases lactoferrin concentration [7]. Lactoferrin has antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and anti-carcinogenic activities, highlighting the therapeutic values of this multifunctional protein [8]. In infants, lactoferrin may impact gut health and gut-immune development and functioning. It also decreases the risk of lower respiratory tract illness and decreases the burden of colonization by some parasites in underdeveloped settings [9]. In terms of nutritional function, lactoferrin transports iron and detoxifies free...
radicals in biological fluids so that it is beneficial for people with iron deficiency [8].

Iron deficiency commonly occurs during pregnancy due to the increase in iron demand. It develops slowly over time and may not be symptomatic or clinically obvious. Once iron stores are completely depleted, iron accessibility to the tissues declines, leading to symptomatic anemia [10]. Since 2011, the global prevalence of anemia among pregnant mothers has shown an increasing trend every year. In 2016, the data showed that up to 40.1% of pregnant women suffer from anemia [11]. According to Indonesia Basic Health Research 2018, the prevalence of anemic pregnant mothers increased over the previous five years from 37.1% in 2013 to 48.9% in 2018 [12].

Iron Deficiency Anemia (IDA) is harmful during pregnancy, as it is associated with perinatal outcomes, including premature labor, intrauterine growth retardation, low birth weight, birth asphyxia and neonatal anemia [13]. Breastfeeding mothers can also be negatively affected by IDA, considering that maternal nutritional status is closely associated with the quality of human milk; therefore, impairment in human milk content may occur due to maternal anemia. Maternal anemia can alter the quality of human milk both in the nutrient and non-nutrient content [14].

The WHO and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Technical Consultation have established hemoglobin (Hb) and sTfR concentration as an indicator of iron status in the population. Hb concentration is a measure of anemia, while sTfR, which is derived mostly from developing red blood cells, reflects the balance between cellular iron requirements and iron supply, and it is a marker of the severity of iron insufficiency only when iron stores have been exhausted, provided that there are no other causes of abnormal erythropoiesis [15].

A study on anemic and non-anemic breastfeeding mothers found that even though Hb concentration increases after iron supplementation, lactoferrin concentration in both groups was similar at the end of 30 days of supplementation [16]. From our previous study, we know that mothers with better nutritional status have higher lactoferrin concentration in their milk [17]. However, there have been few studies related to maternal iron status and its effect on the quality of human milk, and this is poorly understood. Considering that lactoferrin is the main protein of human milk and has many benefits for an infant’s growth and development during the breastfeeding period, this study aims to analyze its correlation with iron status.

Objectives

This study aimed to analyze the correlation between Hb and sTfR concentration during pregnancy with the lactoferrin concentration of breastfeeding mothers.

Material and methods

Study design

This was a quantitative study with an analytical design and a cross-sectional approach.

Setting

The study was conducted for three months, from September to November 2017, in the working areas of the Kedungmendu, Bangetayu and Genuk primary health centers in Semarang City, Indonesia. The data for this study was collected in two periods. The first data collection was during the third trimester of pregnancy; this included data on subject characteristics, anthropometric measurements, nutrition intake and blood samples for Hb and sTfR concentration analysis. The second data collection was after delivery, in which milk samples were collected for lactoferrin concentration analysis. All subjects participated in both stages of data collection.

Participants

The subjects were 79 pregnant mothers who were selected using purposive sampling. The sample size was determined with Slovin’s formula. The inclusion criteria were willingness to participate in the study, giving birth in September 2017, breastfeeding mothers, having a singleton child, children born at a normal weight (> 2,500 g) and having children without abnormalities that made suckling difficult.

Variables

The variables assessed in this study were maternal hemoglobin, serum transferrin receptor and lactoferrin concentration.

Data sources/ Measurement

Data on subject characteristics, such as education level and occupation, was obtained through interviews with the subjects. Education level was categorized into two groups: (a) primary, which was six years in elementary school and three years in junior high school; and (b) secondary or higher, which was three years in senior high school and about three to four years at college.

Nutritional intake data was obtained using a 24-hour recall method for two non-consecutive days, with pictures of food to help subjects determine the food portions they consumed. Food intake was recorded in the form of household portions, such as tablespoons, teaspoons, cups, etc. This was then converted into grams and analyzed using NutriSurvey software to calculate the nutrition intake. The data was then compared with the Indonesian Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA), which is based on the 2019 Republic of Indonesia Ministry of Health Regulation No. 28 [18]. The anthropometric data used in this study was mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) measured with an MUAC tape. Subjects with an MUAC of less than 23.5 cm were categorized as at risk of Chronic Energy Deficiency (CEM) [19].

For the analysis of Hb and sTfR, about 5 mL of venous blood was taken from the subjects once in the morning between 8 am and 10 am. Hb concentration was measured using cyanmethemoglobin, while sTfR was measured using a Quantikine IVD Human STF Immunoassay (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) with an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Reader 680 using a quantitative sandwich technique. Subjects were categorized as anemic if Hb concentration was below 11 g/dL [19] and sTfR concentration was greater than or equal to 21.0 nmol/L [20].

For lactoferrin analysis, the subjects’ milk was collected door to door. About 5 mL was taken with a sterilized human milk pump and placed in a sterile glass bottle. Samples were put inside a refrigerator during the visit and further stored in a freezer at -20°C. Storage time both in the refrigerator and the freezer was recorded and considered during analysis to ensure it did not affect lactoferrin concentration. Data on milk collection time was also recorded and analyzed to avoid diurnal variation during milk collection. The lactation stage of the breastfeeding mothers was confirmed by the day breastfeeding began and the infants’ age at time of collection. Analysis of lactoferrin concentration in human milk was carried out using a Human Lactoferrin ELISA (Biovendor-Laboratorni medivina a.s, Karasek, Czech Republic) with a detection limit of 1.1 nanograms/ml.

Statistical methods

Data was analyzed using SPSS software version 23. The normality of the data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data with a normal distribution was analyzed using Pearson’s product moment test, while Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation of variables if the data distribution was not normal.

Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance to conduct this study (No. 252/EC/FKM/2016) was obtained from the Commission of Ethics of Medical
and Public Health Research of the Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia. All subjects provided written informed consent before inclusion.

Results

The mean age of the subjects at the time of study was 27.95 ± 5.08 years. During the third trimester of pregnancy, mean MUAC was 25.0 cm. The majority of breastfeeding mothers had secondary or higher education levels (68.4%), were housewives (65.8%) and had normal nutritional status, as indicated by the MUAC (98.7%). The distribution of lactation stage among breastfeeding mothers was almost even: colostrum (35.4%), transition (30.4%) and mature (34.2%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of characteristics of subjects

| Variables            | Value          |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Age (years)          | 27.95 ± 5.08   |
| MUAC* at third trimester (cm) | 25.0 (19.8–35.0) |
| Level of education   |                |
| primary              | 25 (31.6%)     |
| secondary or higher  | 54 (68.4%)     |
| Occupation           |                |
| housewife            | 52 (65.8%)     |
| laborer              | 8 (10.1%)      |
| entrepreneur          | 7 (8.9%)       |
| private employee     | 4 (5.1%)       |
| civil servant        | 8 (10.1%)      |
| Nutritional status   |                |
| CEMb                 | 1 (1.3%)       |
| normal               | 78 (98.7%)     |
| Lactation stage      |                |
| colostrum (g/L)      | 28 (35.4%)     |
| transition (g/L)     | 24 (30.4%)     |
| mature (g/L)         | 27 (34.2%)     |

Table 2. Nutritional intake of subjects during the third trimester of pregnancy

| Variables           | Value           | Min  | Max  |
|---------------------|-----------------|------|------|
| Energy (kcal)       | 2,595.58 ± 401.88 |      |      |
| Carbohydrate (g)    | 283.98 ± 60.49  |      |      |
| Protein (g)         | 113.81 ± 23.65  |      |      |
| Dietary cholesterol (mg) | 377.0       | 0.0  | 1,314.0 |
| Fat (g)             | 77.42 ± 26.61   |      |      |
| Dietary fiber (g)   | 37.2            | 31.9 | 51.0 |
| Vitamin A (RE)      | 967.0           | 49.0 | 9,462.0 |
| Thiamin (mg)        | 1.8             | 1.1  | 2.9  |
| Riboflavin (mg)     | 2.13 ± 1.29     |      |      |
| Niacin (mg)         | 12.01 ± 4.66    | 0.6  | 4.6  |
| Vitamin B12 (mg)    | 1.4             | 0.6  | 4.6  |
| Folic acid (µg)     | 716.0           | 545.0| 1,346.0|
| Vitamin B12 (µg)    | 6.1             | 0.1  | 65.4 |
| Vitamin C (mg)      | 93.0            | 51.1 | 388.0 |
| Vitamin E (µg)      | 15.0            | 15.0 | 16.2 |
| Iron (mg)           | 40.5            | 26.3 | 47.3 |
| Zinc (mg)           | 20.09 ± 3.15    |      |      |

All subjects met the nutritional need for pregnant women based on the Indonesian RDA (Table 2). Nutritional intake that had a significant relationship to Hb concentration was protein, dietary cholesterol, fat, all types of vitamin B (thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B12, folic acid and vitamin B12), vitamin C, iron and zinc. sTR concentration was significantly related to the intake of thiamin, niacin, folic acid, vitamin C and iron. In every nutritional intake studied, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient found no correlation with lactoferrin concentration (Table 3).

The mothers did not suffer from anemia, which was shown through an Hb concentration above 11 g/dL and sTR concentration below 21.0 nmol/L. The median lactoferrin concentration in human milk was 1.52 (0.38–2.94) g/L (Table 4). There was no correlation between both Hb and sTR concentration with lactoferrin concentration of breastfeeding mothers (Table 5). However, Hb and sTR concentration showed a significant inverse correlation (p = 0.001, r = -0.438).

Table 3. Nutritional intake and its correlation to maternal hemoglobin, serum transferrin receptor and lactoferrin concentration

| Variables          | p   | Hemoglobin (g/dL) | Serum transferrin receptor (nmol/L) | Lactoferrin (g/L) |
|--------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Energy (kcal)      | 0.001 | 0.125             | 0.783                               |                  |
| Carbohydrate (g)   | 0.073 | 0.692             | 0.405                               |                  |
| Protein (g)        | 0.002 | 0.072             | 0.848                               |                  |
| Dietary cholesterol (mg) | 0.001 | 0.235             | 0.665                               |                  |
| Fat (g)            | 0.009 | 0.516             | 0.342                               |                  |
| Dietary fiber (g)  | 0.517 | 0.929             | 0.708                               |                  |
| Vitamin A (RE)     | 0.078 | 0.312             | 0.278                               |                  |
| Thiamin (mg)       | 0.002 | 0.036             | 0.952                               |                  |
| Riboflavin (mg)    | 0.012 | 0.098             | 0.986                               |                  |
| Niacin (mg)        | 0.022 | 0.046             | 0.099                               |                  |
| Vitamin B12 (mg)   | 0.021 | 0.171             | 0.599                               |                  |
| Folic acid (µg)    | 0.001 | 0.024             | 0.768                               |                  |
| Vitamin B12 (µg)   | 0.015 | 0.192             | 0.750                               |                  |
| Vitamin C (mg)     | 0.001 | 0.001             | 0.969                               |                  |
| Vitamin E (µg)     | 0.337 | 0.133             | 0.701                               |                  |
| Iron (mg)          | 0.001 | 0.001             | 0.443                               |                  |
| Zinc (mg)          | 0.001 | 0.207             | 0.460                               |                  |

Table 4. Concentrations of hemoglobin and transferrin receptor in the blood of pregnant women and the concentration of lactoferrin in the milk of breastfeeding mothers

| Variables          | Median | Min  | Max  |
|--------------------|--------|------|------|
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)  | 11.3   | 8.9  | 14.3 |
| Serum transferrin receptor (nmol/L) | 15.06 | 8.6  | 34.9 |
| Lactoferrin (g/L)  | 1.52   | 0.38 | 2.94 |

Table 5. Correlation between maternal hemoglobin and serum transferrin receptor with lactoferrin concentration of breastfeeding mothers

| Variables          | Lactoferrin concentration (g/L) | r   | p   |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)  |                                 | 0.054 | 0.636 |
| Serum transferrin receptor (nmol/L) |                   | 0.046 | 0.686 |

Discussion

Based on the Indonesian RDA, the nutritional intake of pregnant mothers during their third trimester was adequate.
This was reflected in the MUAC, which was equal to or more than 23.5 cm in the majority of subjects. The median maternal Hb and sTfR showed that mothers did not have anemia, which could be a result of adequate iron intake, as well as other important nutrients that enhance iron absorption, such as vitamin C, vitamin B₉, and folic acid. Vitamin C or ascorbic acid overcome the negative effect of iron-absorption inhibitors, such as phytate, polyphenols, calcium and proteins in milk products, and will increase the absorption of both native and fortification iron [21]. Meanwhile, vitamin B₉ and folic acid play an important role in the formation of red blood cells [22].

Maternal iron intake was significantly correlated to both Hb and sTfR concentration. A study of breastfeeding mothers in Mexico showed that iron supplementation improved maternal Hb and sTfR concentration [23] Mexico. Hb and sTfR were found to have an inverse correlation. This can be interpreted that, in the case of anemia, Hb concentration became lower, while sTfR concentration rose. This result was supported by other studies in which Hb and sTfR were negatively correlated [24, 25].

In the present study, lactoferrin concentration was found to be lower compared to mean lactoferrin concentration in several countries in Asia, namely Bangladesh (5.72 g/L), India (3.71 g/L), Japan (4.17 g/L) and Thailand (2.27 g/L) [26]. However, the concentration was in a similar range as China, which varied from 0.99 g/L to 1.91 g/L across its 11 provinces [27].

Regardless of the adequate nutritional intake of mothers, this was not associated with lactoferrin concentration. Consistent with this result, Cai et al. found no significant correlation between lactoferrin concentration in mature human milk with the various food intakes of mothers [28]. Several studies also specifically reported that maternal protein intake did not affect lactoferrin content in human milk [27–29]. Therefore, consumption of food sources of protein such as meat, soy or milk was not necessarily associated with lactoferrin concentration [27]. This was likely due to the synthesis from maternal stores or body tissues when nutrients in milk were insufficient to maintain the balance of milk content [30].

In our previous study, we found that maternal nutritional status was a predictor of lactoferrin concentration in human milk [17], but this was evidently not the case for maternal iron status. Although Hb and sTfR concentration met the standard for pregnant mothers, lactoferrin concentration was low, and they were not significantly correlated. Another study supported this result, which found that lactoferrin concentration in human milk did not depend on maternal iron status or iron supplementation [26]. Zavaleta et al. reported no correlation between maternal iron status and lactoferrin concentration in human milk at birth and during early lactation. Their study was conducted on both anemic and non-anemic breastfeeding mothers and used the Hb and hematocrit value to determine anemic status [16]. In India, a study on non-anemic and anemic breastfeeding mothers found that maternal Hb did not correlate with lactoferrin concentration in human milk on the first day, 14 weeks and six months after delivery [31].

A study in Brazil found that total protein levels in human milk were higher in anemic mothers regardless of their lactation stage (colostrum, transition, mature) [32]. Since lactoferrin is the main whey protein in human milk, and all mothers in the present study were non-anemic, we assumed this might be the cause of the low concentration of lactoferrin. Nevertheless, further studies are needed to explain the mechanism.

**Conclusions**

Maternal iron status, as indicated by Hb and sTfR concentration, did not affect lactoferrin concentration in human milk. However, the mechanism of milk lactoferrin homeostasis is not completely understood. Thus, further studies are needed to help promote better health for mothers and their children. A limitation of this study was that data on nutritional intake with the 24-hour recall method might not represent the long-term dietary habits of the subjects. Moreover, there was a possibility that the subjects could not remember all the food they consumed for the day, which would lead to an inaccurate data record.
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