CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Knockin and Knockout in Zebrafish
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Abstract The zebrafish (Danio rerio) has emerged in recent years as a powerful vertebrate model to study neuronal circuit development and function, thanks to its relatively small size, rapid external development and translucency. These features allow the easy application of in vivo microscopy analysis and optical perturbation of neuronal function. So far, genetic manipulation in zebrafish has been limited to the generation of constitutive loss-of-function alleles and transgenic models. CRISPR/Cas9 offers unprecedented possibilities for genomic manipulation that can be exploited to study neuronal function. In the past few years, we have successfully used CRISPR/Cas9-based technology in zebrafish to achieve two goals crucial for neuronal circuit analysis by developing two CRISPR/Cas9-based approaches that overcome previous major limitations to the study of gene and neuron functions in zebrafish. The study of gene function via tissue- or cell-specific mutagenesis remains challenging in zebrafish when the study of the function of certain loci might require tight spatiotemporal control of gene inactivation, which is particularly true in studying the function of a particular gene in post mitotic neurons, when the same gene may have had an earlier developmental function. To circumvent this limitation, we developed a simple and versatile protocol to achieve tissue-specific and temporally controlled gene disruption based on Cas9 expression under the control of the Gal4/UAS binary system (Di Donato et al. 2016). This strategy allows us to induce somatic mutations in genetically labeled cell clones or single cells and to follow them in vivo via reporter gene expression. We have also been able to target endogenous genomic loci to specifically label the great variety of neuronal cell types with reporter genes such as the transcriptional activator Gal4 (Auer et al. 2014). As a result, we can specifically target the expression of fluorescent proteins, a genetically encoded calcium indicator or optogenetic actuators in defined neuronal subpopulations.

We will present ways that these two methods can be applied to the study of the development of the nervous system in larval zebrafish.
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Over the last decades, the analysis of gene function has relied on mutagenesis approaches leading to the generation of loss-of-function alleles. The CRISPR/Cas9 system represents a major step forward towards achieving precise and targeted gene disruption. Being readily applicable for the creation of knockout loci in a great variety of animal models used in neuroscience studies, this technology has led to significant advances in the fields of developmental and functional neurobiology (Heidenreich and Zhang 2016). Nonetheless, constitutive gene disruption is often associated with side effects, such as compensation mechanisms and embryonic lethality, representing an important limitation on the analysis of phenotypes specific to the nervous system, since neural circuits are fully established at late stages of development. Recently, studies in worms (Shen et al. 2014), fruit flies (Port et al. 2014), mice (Platt et al. 2014) and zebrafish (Ablain et al. 2015) have pioneered the use of the CRISPR/Cas9 methodology to generate conditional gene knockouts via tissue-specific expression of cas9. This strategy takes advantage of cell type-specific promoters to control the spatiotemporal expression of the Cas9 enzyme. Importantly, one of the most common methodologies ensuring cell-specific expression of transgenes in zebrafish is the Gal4-UAS binary system (derived from yeast), in which the transcription of genes placed 3′ of an upstream activating sequence (UAS) relies on the DNA binding of the Gal4 transcriptional activator (Asakawa and Kawakami 2008). Gene- and enhancer-trap methods have been applied to establish a significant number of Gal4 transgenic lines (Davison et al. 2007; Asakawa et al. 2008; Scott and Baier 2009; Kawakami et al. 2010; Balciuniene et al. 2013), several of which are neural-specific (Scott et al. 2007; Asakawa et al. 2008). Notably, in these lines the Gal4 open reading frame (ORF) is randomly integrated in the fish genome through Tol2-based transposition, and the insertion site is not mapped; therefore, the sequence of the promoter elements driving Gal4 expression is unknown. In our work, we have developed a flexible conditional knockout strategy based on the CRISPR/Cas9 technology that combines Gal4/UAS-mediated expression of the Cas9 enzyme with a constitutive expression of sgRNAs driven by PolIII U6 promoter sequences. Our strategy does not require previous knowledge of promoter sequences to induce cas9 expression since this is provided by cell type-specific Gal4 transcription. Additionally, to enable the analysis of the phenotypes arising from Cas9-induced gene disruption, we marked the population of the cas9-expressing cells by using the viral T2A self-cleaving peptide (Provost et al. 2007), ensuring the stoichiometric synthesis of the Cas9 enzyme and the fluorescent reporter GFP from the same mRNA. To test our conditional knockout strategy, we used our vector system to target the tyrosinase (tyr) locus, coding for a key enzyme involved in melanin production (Camp and Lardelli 2001). We were able to induce eye-specific loss of pigmentation by expressing our transgene exclusively in the progenitors of the neural retina and the retinal-pigmented
epithelium (RPE). For this purpose we used a transgenic line, Tg(rx2:gal4), in which the Gal4 trans-activator is specifically driven in the optic primordium by the promoter of the zebrafish retinal homeobox gene 2 (rx2; Heermann et al. 2015). This result confirmed the ability of our strategy to induce Gal4- and Cas9-mediated tissue-specific gene inactivation. Remarkably, in this first approach, GFP expression was strictly dependent on the temporal activity of the promoter driving Gal4 expression, thus restricting direct detection of potential mutant cells to a limited time window. This caveat reduces the possibility of analyzing loss-of-function phenotypes after Gal4 transactivation activity has terminated. To circumvent this issue, we proposed to use the activity of the Cre enzyme, a topoisomerase that catalyzes the site-specific recombination of DNA between loxP sites (Brandt and Dymecki 2004; Pan et al. 2005), to constitutively label the population of Cas9-expressing cells. We therefore developed a construct where we substituted the GFP with a Cre reporter, enabling the analysis of gene disruption after Cas9 activity has terminated. The visualization of cre-expressing cells is commonly achieved with the use of transgenic lines carrying a cassette where a constitutive promoter drives the expression of a fluorescent reporter upon the Cre-mediated excision of a floxed stop codon. Thus, in cells carrying floxed alleles, the concomitant expression of Cas9 and Cre enzymes by a tissue-specific Gal4 promoter would ensure, respectively, double-strand breaks (DSBs) at the targeted locus as well as the recombination of the floxed locus. Notably, if the Cre-dependent expression of a reporter is constitutive after recombination, all the cells deriving from a cas9-expressing progenitor will be fluorescent, allowing long-term visualization of potentially mutated clones of cells. By using our system in retinal stem cells, we successfully disrupted the atoh7 gene, which is involved in the specification of retinal ganglion cells (RGC) in the developing retina. In this case, we could modify cell fate determination of retinal progenitor cells and generate labeled loss-of-function clones lacking the population of RGC.

Additionally, we employed our method to create genetic chimeras in which single mutant cells could be differentially tagged in a wild-type tissue. To obtain this labeling, we combined the 2C-Cas9 system with the Brainbow technology. The Tg (UAS:brainbow) line (Robles et al. 2013) carries a transgene in which the CDSs of the fluorescent proteins tdTomato, Cerulean and YFP are separated by Cre recombinase sites. In double transgenic embryos Tg(UAS:brainbow) × Tg(Tissue-specific promoter:gal4), tdTomato will be expressed in the Gal4 transactivation domain in the absence of Cre-mediated recombination. In contrast, cerulean or YFP will be transcribed if Cre recombinase is active. The expression of our transgenesis vector in these embryos provides simultaneous activity of the Cas9 and Cre enzymes. As a result, all the Gal4-positive cells that received the plasmid are potentially mutant and marked by cerulean or YFP fluorescence, whereas the population of Gal4-positive cells that do not express the construct is wild-type and labeled with the reporter tdTomato. This multicolor labeling strategy can be easily applied to neurobiology studies to induce targeted mutations in single neurons and directly compare loss-of-function and wild-type phenotypes in the same animal. To test this potential application, we targeted the genomic locus coding for the motor
protein Kinesin family member 5A, a (kif5aa) (Campbell and Marlow 2013; Auer et al. 2015), whose inactivation triggers the reduction of RGC axon arbor complexity via a cell-autonomous mechanism (Auer et al. 2015). To target the kif5aa gene with the 2C-Cas9 system in single RGC, we used the Tg isl2b:gal4 line. As expected, after injection of our construct into one-cell stage embryos derived from a cross of Tg isl2b:gal4 and Tg(UAS:brainbow) fish, we could observe a strong decrease in total branch length in YFP- or Cerulean-expressing RGC (potentially kif5aa mutant) compared to tdTomato-fluorescent RGC (wild-type).

In conclusion, the 2C-Cas9 system represents a versatile tool to induce biallelic conditional gene inactivation. The use of the Gal4/UAS system allows the targeting of a gene of choice in any cell population. The combination of this bipartite system with simultaneous activation of Cas9 and Cre enzymes in progenitor or differentiated cells enables first, the genetic lineage tracing of mutant cells and second, the detection of cell-autonomous gene inactivation at single cell resolution. Additionally, permanent labeling of knockout cells offers the possibility of investigating gene function in adult animals, expanding the applicability of the 2C-Cas9 from neurodevelopment to maintenance and function of neural networks. Finally, because the 2C-Cas9 system is based on genetic tools available in several model organisms, this approach allows the same level of investigation in a broad range of animal models.

In addition to the use of the Crispr/Cas9 application for the generation of loss-of-function alleles, RNA guide nucleases can be used for more sophisticated genome modifications such as homologous recombination (HR) or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ)-mediated knockin. We herein provide a conceptual outline of the steps involved in the generation of knockin lines based on the Crispr/Cas9 strategy and the latest advances made in the zebrafish genome-editing field.

Crispr/Cas9-Mediated Knockin Approaches in Zebrafish

With its advantage of transparency, the zebrafish model organism rapidly emerged as a powerful experimental system for studies in genetics, developmental biology and neurobiology. The possible integration of exogenous genes into any given loci and the analysis of their function in the living animal have dramatically improved over the past few years with the development of genome editing technologies. Prior to this recent explosion in the field of knockin generation, conventional transgenic zebrafish lines were generated by Tol2-mediated transgenesis, which has successfully allowed the making of hundreds of new reporter lines essential to the study of particular gene functions in vivo (Davison et al. 2007; Asakawa et al. 2008; Scott and Baier 2009; Kawakami et al. 2010; Balciuniene et al. 2013). Bacterial artificial chromosome-based transgenesis has been and still is one of the go-to methods for making reporter lines. However, this technique comes with one major limitation: the integration of extra coding copies of hundreds of kbs. In addition, it is not known how the integration of such a large construct affects the neighboring site of...
insertion. More recently, the transcription activator-like effectors (TALEs) technology, a milestone in the development of zebrafish mutant and transgenic lines, has lifted the limit of loci-specific targeting. With very low off-targeting effects, TALEs were therefore the first successful genome editing method that permitted homologous-directed recombination (HDR) and NHEJ-mediated knockin in zebrafish (Bedell et al. 2012; Zu et al. 2013). Two reports (Chang et al. 2013; Hwang et al. 2013b) showed that double stranded breaks (DSB), which are simpler in design and have higher mutagenesis efficiency, could also be generated using the Crispr/Cas9 technology based on the same approach used by Bedell et al. (2012). Following these studies, Hruscha et al. (2013) achieved the integration of HA-tags into the sequence of single strand oligonucleotides flanked by two short homology arms of the targeted gene. Similarly to previously observed integration events, insertion of the sequences of interest was detected in most targeted alleles with, however, a majority of imprecise and error-prone repair mechanisms. In 2013, Zu et al. reported the first HR gene-targeting event using TALENs and a double stranded vector containing an eGFP cassette flanked by long homology arms and a germ line transmission rate of 1.5%. More recently many other laboratories have developed various methods to generate knockin alleles by HR followed by CRISPR/Cas9-induced DSB, using as donor single stranded DNA, circular or linear plasmids with short (~40 bp) or long (800–1000 bp) homology arms (Hruscha et al. 2013; Hwang et al. 2013a; Irion et al. 2014; Shin et al. 2014; He et al. 2015; Hisano et al. 2015). Although these methods were proven possible, their efficiency remains variable. To circumvent these problems, in 2014 our laboratory employed a strategy taking advantage of homologous independent repair events shown to be tenfold more active than HR events in the one-cell stage embryo (Auer and Del Bene 2014; Auer et al. 2014). The plasmid donor vector was engineered with an eGFP bait cassette and a Gal4 transcriptional transactivator cassette. Co-injected with a locus-specific sgRNA, an eGFP targeting sgRNA and cas9 nuclease mRNA, cleavage of the donor vector was generated along with the endogenous chromosomal integration site. For better readout, the injection was performed into an outcross of two transgenic lines, the first being an eGFP reporter line and the second a Tg(UAS:RFP) line. Injected embryos with a successful in-frame integration event (most probably through homologous independent repair mechanisms) therefore displayed RFP signal in cells where GFP signal was normally detected. In this system, the offspring transmission was evaluated at about 30% and increased to 40% when a selection for the RFP signal was performed after injection. The generation of such a donor vector allowed the direct assessment of the efficiency of the strategy by targeting an endogenous locus of the zebrafish genome. Targeting the transcriptional starting site of the kif5aa gene, integration of the donor vector was successfully induced and shown to be independent from the orientation of the sgRNA targeting kif5aa. In addition, no homologous sequences between the vector and the endogenous targeted site were required for the integration, allowing the re-use of the vector in combination with any given site-specific sgRNA. Using the same approach, Kimura et al. (2014) improved the strategy by adding a heat shock cassette (Hsp70) upstream of the transcription trans-activator Gal4 cassette.
Fig. 1 Knockout and knockin strategies based on the Crispr/Cas9 technology in zebrafish. Schematic representation of the different methods and applications of Crispr/Cas9-mediated genome modifications. From top to bottom: (1) labeling with GFP of cas9-expressing cells. (2) Genetic lineage tracing of clones deriving from cas9-expressing progenitors. (3) Differential labelling of wild type and potentially mutant single neurons. (4) Conversion of pre-existing lines from eGFP to Gal4 (KalTA4). (5) Creation of new reporter lines, possible combination with any UAS lines.
into the donor vector, allowing its expression independently from in-frame insertion events within the transcriptional starting site of the gene of interest. To date, several new reporter lines have been generated using this strategy, providing a powerful alternative for homology-independent repair over HR-mediated integration. Key points for its success are (1) the identification of efficient sgRNAs targeting the chromosomal site of choice, for which new prescreening methods have been developed (Carrington et al. 2015; Prykhozhij et al. 2016); (2) the injection of the sgRNA mix with Cas9 nuclease mRNA over purified Cas9 protein that seems to prevent the donor plasmid insertion; and (3) further screening for the identification of founders due to the error-prone nature of junction sites between the endogenous locus and the donor vector. Hisano et al. (2015) addressed this last point by introducing 10–40 bp homology arms into the donor vector to trigger integration events mediated by HR repair mechanisms. In parallel, Li et al. (2015) developed another approach by targeting intronic regions of the gene of interest, therefore non-HR dependent. While this strategy allows keeping the integrity of the targeted coding sequence, the enriched presence of repeat sequences within the introns makes it difficult to achieve a specific targeting. Finally, the latest advance in knockin approaches is the development of traceable genome editing events that allow the easy recovery of edited alleles (Hoshijima et al. 2016) (Fig. 1).
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