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Abstract

The play operated by central nervous system in the general regulation and coordination of organ, tissue and even cell activities does not stop to excite the curiosity of investigators. Recently important data were obtained in the field of new neurotransmitters and other active molecules which mechanisms of actions and interactions were clarified. But the function of intra organ, local nervous system, its relations with the main brain and medullar centers remain a little enigmatic though much is known about tiny paths of afferent, efferent and inter neuronal transmission.

In order to isolate the influence of central and intramural nervous system of the intestine, several situations were considered: 1) surgical interruption of nervous reflex links (NRI), which is always present in organ transplantation and often occurs in spinal cord trauma, 2) injury of the intramural nervous system with perfectly conserved links with central nervous system, for instance after intestinal ischemia and in Hirschprung disease. As control a technique of surgical directed re innervation (SDR) of the intestinal graft by suture of the divided mesenteric plexus was elaborated. A model of ontogenetic reconstruction of adult-like organs after syngeneic implantation into an adult organism of a fetal organ containing (intestine) or not (liver, pancreas) an organized intramural nervous system, was also used.

The experiences on 101 dogs and 300 rats and 25 mice were conducted by the same team according to the rules of Bioethics.

Performed investigations concerned testing nervous connection with central nervous system (Recto-enteric reflex presence, normal reaction to anticholinergic substance), evaluation of motility, secretion, membrane digestion of the intestine, as well as optic and electron microscopy of the target organs.

The results may be summarized as following.

Disconnection from the CNS does not significantly affect more than a few days (influence of operation trauma) the intrinsic functions and the morphology of the intestine but has an incidence on the own rhythm of the organ activity which becomes “autonomous” and stops to be integrated into the whole regulation of digestive tract function. It creates such disorders as accelerated nutrient transit, hyper secretion, body weight loss. The activity of the remaining linked with central nervous system stomach and colon is modified in such a way that final digestive process is adapted and correctly realized (central nervous coordinating intervention). Restoration of central nervous reflex control of the operated intestine leads to the normalization of its activity and of the whole digestive process.

The destruction of intramural nervous system either by prolonged ischemia, or by congenital dysplasia affects both function and morphology of the intestine. The ontogenetic complete development of a grafted fetal organ is possible only when it presents an intramural nervous system (for instance, intestine). If intra organ neurons are not organized as plexus, the graft development is partial and not coordinated: only isolated weakly organized structures develop (for instance in the ectopically grown fetal liver - bile ducts, hepatocytes column, in pancreas - endocrine cells isolated or in clusters), without any links between each other.

These findings may be interesting for the development of organ transplantation, when it may be useful to restore not only the vascular connections of the graft with the host, but also the nervous links of the transplant with the recipient central nervous system (brain and spinal medulla). This conception may be worthwhile in the treatment of such pathology as digestive difficulties in tetra and paraplegia patients on one side, of Hirschprung disease on the other side. It may also help to consider and understand the problems which may be either the consequences of central nervous system alterations for digestion or, on the contrary, the incidence of severe peripheral disorders for the brain activity.
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Introduction
The intra mural plexuses of the digestive tract organs have been known since the end of the 19th century [1,2]. Ph. Stöhr (1952) considered it as “a part of the central nervous system transferred to periphery” [3].

At the beginning of the 20th century, the experiences of Nobel Prize Academician I.P. Pavlov on the conditioned reflexes have convinced that the central nervous system plays a capital role in the regulation of different digestive functions [4].

All through the 20th century up to the last years, mechanisms of nervous transmission, role of neurotransmitters, interactions between organ cells and neurons, as well as interaction between neurons at different levels of the nervous system were elucidated (for instance: discovery of neurotrophic factors, precision of the role of Cajal cells and so on [5-7]). At least in vitro cultures of neurons obtained from stem and precursor cells have allowed pointed studies about molecular biology of the nervous system and its activities [8-11].

Despite the general successful tendency to investigate deep intracellular structures and functions up to the molecular level for seeking explanation to problems, some questions may still be discussed in terms of macro- and microscopic studies. For instance, in the digestive tract the respective roles of central and intramural innervations remain not quite clear [12]. That may have consequences for the treatment of several digestive pathologies.

The development of adult organ transplantation has given unique opportunity to study separately the influence on the morphology and the physiology of the grafts of different factors: ischemia, interruption of nervous and lymphatic links with the host. The impact of these factors on the graft condition was studied but more for practical measures ensuring immediate success: for instance determination of warm and cold ischemia duration compatible with graft and recipient survival [13-15]. Few authors have directed their attention to the graft physiology at late observation delays and related their findings to the nervous connection alteration due to the grafting [16-18].

As to the specific role of intramural nervous system in conditioning intestinal function and morphology, Hirschprung’s disease being considered as an intestinal neuropathy with muscular dysfunction [19] constitutes an interesting investigation model. Traumatic interruption of the spine cord, i.e. of the central nervous communications with the gastro intestinal tract, also leads to severe functional alterations of the digestive system [20,21]. The management of these pathologies is also very difficult up to now and includes trials with local implantation of nerves or neurons [22-24].

On another side many previous observations have shown that fetal intestine and stomach implanted in an ectopic site of adult organism are able to develop and to become morphologically mature and functional [25-27]. But the influence of the presence of intra organ neurons on the implant function and morphology or the situation of the implant own intramural plexus relatively to the host nervous system was not investigated.

So the purpose of the present work was to study and to compare different models of digestive organs innervation alterations both at the central level of nervous communication and at the peripheral one (intramural nervous system) to determine their influence on the functional capacity and morphological integrity of the intestine. The integration of the obtained results might allow a better understanding of the possible mechanisms of central and peripheral innervations regulating gastro-intestinal tract physiology and morphology, in order to approach possible clinical applications.

Materials and Investigation Methods
The experiments were conducted on 101 mongrel dogs of 12-15 kg BW, 300 rats Wistar and Fischer, males and females (Table 1). Here are considered only animals having survived and observed during a determined period after surgery.

25 C53Bl “Wild” and GFP (green fluorescent protein) marked mice were also used in order to try an identification of the recipient GFP material included within the “wild” implant growing in a GFP marked adult mouse. (generous gift from Ulg Hematology Laboratory, Belgium).

Animals were managed before, during and after operation or investigations according to the Helsinki Convention (1975) and after year 2000 also according to protocols agreed by local Bioethics Committee.

Surgical methods
In dogs: All the animals were given an induction anesthesia (subcutaneous injection of a 1% Morphine solution, 0.5 ml/kg BW) followed by intravenous injection of Thiopental Sodium (1% solution titrated according to the disappearance of the eyebrow reflex). After surgery analgesia was provided during 1 or 2 days by subcutaneous injection of 1% solution of Promedol® (similar to Paracetamol) 2 ml.

In all the cases operations began after preparation (washing, shaving and disinfection of the anterior abdominal wall). Incision of the abdominal wall following the middle white line from sternum xiphoid appendix to the pubis was provided; small bowel was mobilized and put into a plastic bag to avoid desiccation. Then one of the following surgical protocols was applied:

Autotransplantation (Tx) - The small bowel was separated from the colon and divided at the level of colico-duodenal ligament and at 10 cm of the Bauhin’s ileocaecal valve in such way that it remains attached to the host by the mesenteric vascular and nervous bundle (Figure 1a). After what in the case of orthotopic autotransplantation (OTx), this bundle was cut and the continuity of the mesenteric artery and vein was restored by vascular sutures of the cut edges of the mesenteric vessels (running 5th and 6th Ethicon).

In the case of heterotopic autotransplantation (HTx), the proximal edge of anterior mesenteric vessels was ligatured, the right iliac vessels were mobilized and cut, their proximal edge was sutured to the open edge of the transplant vessels. Ischemia duration was always less than 50 min. After what the mesentry was sutured and reinforced by nylone net. The intestinal continuity was restored by an end to end double layer suture with sub mucous running, and serous separate stitches (Figure 1b).

In the case of neuroreflex isolation (NRI), after standard “isolation” of the small bowel like in OTx, the mesentry and its plexus was accurately divided and the vessel walls washed with a 5% alcohol/soluble solution in order to destroy their adventitial nerves. It is to be noted that no ischemia of the small bowel may occur (Figure 1c).

Orthotopic surgical directed reinnervation (SDR) was performed by suturing the cut edges of divided mesentry (after NRI or OTx) with para and epineural stitches on the main nervous trunks (Figure 1d).

In the case of heterotopic SDR the sacral plexus (containing at this
level parasympathetic fibres and neurons from the sacral medulla, parasympathetic nuclei, as well as sympathetic nerves) was divided and a flap of it was sutured, as described above, to the edge of the mesenteric plexus of the graft (Figure 1e).

In the series with temporary ischemia of the small bowel, after isolation of the major part of the small bowel as described above, clamps were placed on the proximal and distal extremities of the isolated segment (to prevent blood exchanges through vascular arcades and through intramural vessels). Clamps were also placed first on the mesenteric artery then vein of the isolated intestine (Figure 1f). Criteria for complete blood supply interruption were white colour of the intestine and absence of peristalsis in it. All the clamps were released within no less than 50 minutes, the intestinal transit again ensured. It is to be noted that no injury to the mesenteric plexus was provided.

For investigations of the secretion and motility functions of the small bowel a 15 cm long loop was isolated by Thirry-Vella method on both parts – intact and operated – of the small bowel (Figure 1a and 1b). It is known, that functions of these loops reflect functions of the whole intestine [28].

In control group, for testing the influence of operation trauma, sham operation consisted in isolation of an intestinal loop from the jejunum according to the Thirry-Vella technique. In normal circumstances the brutal increasing of pressure (170 mm Hg) in a latex balloon introduced into the animal rectum, provokes a motility stop in the small bowel within less than 10 sec (Figure 2A). If after interruption of the central innervation of the NRI or transplanted intervention, as far as the recirculation time for dogs is about 20 sec. The proofs of nervous links interruption and restoration of bowel after spasm induction by chlorpromazine (Hypnovel) injection (0.75% - 0.5 ml/100 g BW) only or with complementary intra peritoneal Nembutal drop. For implantation, fetal Intestine and stomach – as having a well-developed intramural nervous system, fetal pancreas and liver - as organs with only dispersed neurons, were used. The same model of small bowel implantation at the site ear was used in GFP mice with adapted anaesthesia.

### Investigation methods

This concerned both physiology and morphology of target organs:

**In dogs:** The proofs of nervous links interruption and restoration between organ and CNS were obtained by determination of recto-enteral reflex and reaction of the bowel to Metamysyl (methylidiazide [28, 29]).

In normal circumstances the brutal increasing of pressure (170 mm Hg) in a latex balloon introduced into the animal rectum, provokes a motility stop in the small bowel within less than 10 sec (Figure 2A). If the stop occurs later than after 20 sec, it may due to humoral (adrenalin) intervention, as far as the recirculation time for dogs is about 20 sec. After interruption of the central innervation of the NRI or transplanted small bowel none reflex stop of the graft motility cannot be waited. The eventual reappearance of this reflex may be a sign of nervous reflex restoration.

The intra-muscular injection of Metamysyl /methylidiaside/, a cholinolytic (anticholinergic) substance at the rate of 0.5% solution -150-200 mcg/kg BW) causes a motility inhibition within about 3-4 min.
Figure 1A: Schemas of surgery models in dogs: a) Orthotopic utotransplantation of jejunum and ileum. The dotted surface represents the graft. Arrow: isolated by Thiry-Vella method loops isolated from intact and grafted parts of the small bowel. b) Heterotopic autotransplantation of the small bowel (jejunum and ileum) with vascular connections of the graft to external iliac artery and vein. Isolation of intestinal loops by Thirry-Vella method from both intact and transplanted bowel. c) Interruption of nervous connections between jejunum-ileum and central nervous system by dividing mesenterium and small bowel continuity (NRI). d) Para- and epineural stitches for suture of divided mesenteric nervous plexus (SDR: surgically directed reinnervation). e) Surgically directed reinnervation in heterotopic conditions with the use of a flap containing a part of the presacral plexus for suture with the mesenteric plexus of the graft. f) Temporary ischemia of the jejunum-ileum: the mesenteric vessels and small bowel are clamped for 50 min. Clamps on proximal part of the mesenteric vessels and on the proximal and distal segments of the small bowel.

Figure 1B: Schemas of surgery models in rats: g) Orthotopic autotransplantation of the small bowel with the caecum and a part of colon ascendens (the dotted area represents the graft). h) Implantation of a foetal intestine (left) into a subcutaneous pouch of the adult rat ear (right). Possibility of electrode insertion for the graft electric activity registration.
and this stop prolongs up to 30-40 min (Figure 2B). According to the law of Cannon-Rosenbluth about increased sensitivity of denervated structures to humoral agents, an increasing of the stop reaction in intensity and duration has to be waited [30]. Normalization of the intestinal response to this neurotropic agent may also be a sign of innervations restoration.

Motility activity was registered by manometer (balloon kymography) method which allows measuring both tonic and segmental contraction activities [31-33]. Transit of contrasted (Barium sulfate) standard meal was also registered by radiologic observation (standard device used in the USSR human clinics)

Secretion activity was determined by intestinal juice collection from Thiry-Vella loop during 3 hours, centrifugation at 1000 turns/min during 10 min, measure of supernatant and solid part volume and determination in both parts of alkaline phosphatase and enterokinase. These enzymes are secreted by the small bowel. Though actually they are no more taken in consideration thanks to novel data about them, these enzymes are considered as liable signs of secretion alterations. The biochemical method of their determination is based on the pH modifications of a standard solution, and the disappearance of colloid aspect of a standard protein probe in presence of "enterokinase" [34-39]. Measures were provided in 12 hours fasted animals and after standard meal feeding ad libidio.

General condition, BW evolution, faeces alterations were also considered: their evaluation was performed once a day during the first 2 weeks, then each 14 days and after 2 months once a month.

Morphology investigations were provided after necropsy of the animals (euthanatized by Thiopental overdoses): organ samples were collected, fixed in formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde 10%, embedded in paraffin or epon, and the slices 4-6 µ were stained by haematoxylin eosin, Schiff reactive, van Gieson method, the acid phosphatase and succinate dehydrogenase of intramural neurons were tested by Nachlas impregnation by Compas or Gross-Bielschovsky methods [17].

In rats: with NRI - membrane digestion was investigated by the method of inverted intestinal loop [40-42].

In rats with fetal organ implants, physiological methods used to test the graft function were:

Registration of electric activity of growing fetal stomach graft from needle electrodes implanted in the ear pavilion on both sides of the growing implant by a physiograph (Pathfinder II, Nicolet Biotechnics), Ultra sound - echography screening of the ear (IU 22 Philips – NL device with a L17-5 probe) pH metry of the intestinal and gastric contents (by strips), before and after feeding the gastric implants with 5% glucose or ABS solutions injected into their lumen.

Host blood and urine biochemistry (strips "Accutrend") was provided in the case of induced (by intra peritoneal injection of Streptozotocin solution - 0.75 mg/100 g BW) Diabetes Mellitus for testing the functional activity of the grafts.

Morphological methods: in vivo trans illumination biometry of the graft using the light of an endoscope, and after biopsy or necropsy of animals under anesthesia or after euthanasia (by anaesthetics overdose), samples were fixed in 12% formalin, paraffin embedded, 4-6 µ slices were stained by hematoxylin eosin saffron. Immunohistochemistry methods and electron microscopy were also used for detection of pancreatic hormones – insulin, glucagon and somatostatin.

Statistics: no less than 5 probes were considered for testing results by variation statistics methods with standard deviation (+/- SD) and liability (p) determination using the Student criterion.
Results

BW, general condition and coprology

After NRI, BW loss was about 20% within 2 first post operations week followed by a slow increasing and stabilization within 6 months at a level a little inferior relatively to the time 0 one. The coroplogy investigations have shown significant alterations: liquid consistence, fetid odor, diarrhoea (at least 8-10 stools/day during at least 2 months), creathorhoea, amylorhoea, statorrhoea, presence of mucus filaments, muscular fibers and practically intact elements of food. Later diarrhoea decreased: during 24 hours observation 4-6 liquid stools and solid fecal masses were registered. But coprophagy had started: stools showing weak signs of digestion were reabsorbed and have repeated the gastrointestinal transit. This situation was maintained till the end of the observation.

The same situation was observed after ortho- or heterotopic Tx of the small bowel, not after ischemia or Thiry-Vella loop installation.

When SDR was performed, the same observations were made but only during 4 weeks after NRI+SDR and 2-4 months after Tx+SDR. BW increased through 4 months for NRI+SDR, 6 months for Tx + SDR and reached the incoming level. The same observations were made about general condition and coprology. After 6-8 months for NRI+SDR, 12 months for orthotopic Tx + SDR and 18 months for heterotopic Tx + SDR, the dogs appeared to have completely recovered initial general condition and behavior.

Connections between CNS and small bowel

In control series, after operative trauma (laparotomy and isolation of small bowel loops by Thiry-Vella method), as well as after small bowel 40-50 min ischemia, RER remained present (total motility inhibition within 5-6 sec after pressure increase to 170 mm Hg in the rectum balloon during 10 sec). Motility inhibitory reaction to Metamysyl injection was not altered and its duration varied between 60.0 +/- 13.7 and 82.0 +/- 10 min.

After NRI from day 2-3 (1st investigation) to month 5 no reaction arose in answer to quick increase of intra rectal pressure and no complete recovery up to the end of observation. The same observation was made in the series with Tx. After SDR during 1-2 days some answer was detected, after what the small bowel did not react to rectal stimulation during 1-2 months. Within the 3d- 4th month the reaction normalized in all the NRI dogs. After Tx + SDR the time for complete restoration of RER was 6-8 months for orthotopic Tx and up to 18 months for heterotopic Tx (Figure 2C).

As for Metamysyl inhibitory influence on the small bowel motility, its duration was significantly increased after NRI and Tx and never returned to normal values. In case of SDR the increased answer to the substance was always observed but a progressive normalization was noted beginning from month 4 in NRI + SDR, from month 8-10 in Tx. Complete restoration was observed some later (Figure 2D).

Motility

Investigation after NRI has shown periodic contractile waves characterized by significant decreasing of segmental contractions and dramatic increasing of the tonicity (up to 90% of the motor complex surface) (Figure 3A). After several weeks, the proportion of T/P diminished but remains higher than in control experiments. After Tx the same alterations were observed and maintained all through the experimental observation. After SDR, normalization begins after 4 weeks and was complete within 6-18 months. In controls (temporary ischemia and Thiry-Vella loops installation), alterations observed did not prolong more than 14 days, but they were different: in Thiry-Vella loops – they consisted in a slight temporary increasing of the tonicity component (up to 40% of the surface); in the ischemia cases, on the contrary, both components were significantly diminished during 5-7 days, the complete recovery being observed after 2 weeks.

Transit investigations have shown a drastic decrease of the duration of nutriment bolus passage through the NRI or Tx small bowel (less than one hour instead of 2-3 Figure 3B). The beginning of gastric emptying was not modified but the moment of complete emptying was drastically increased (reaching 7-8 hours instead of 1-2 hours). In contrast, the complete transit including through colon was decreased: about 10 hours instead of 20. It was explained by the quick instauration of a new evacuation pattern: gastric emptying was fractioned, each following portion being pushed into duodenum and jejunum only after complete emptying of the NRI small bowel into the caecum (Figure 3B a-c) So the first stools appeared within 30-60 min and were semi liquid, slightly different of the ingested food, then… eaten by the animal for a second digestion cycle. In NRI and TX the situation remained unchanged till the end of observation. If SDR was performed, slowing of the transit through the small bowel began during 2-6 months and the transit normalization with coprophagy disappearance was obtained after 6-18 months (depending on the kind of operation). Such features were never observed in both control series – operative trauma by Thiry-Vella loop installation, and temporary ischemia of the small bowel.

Secretion function after NRI was also significantly perturbed, particularly when provoked by mechanic stimulation secretion. The alterations concerned the whole quantity of collected juice, mainly depending on the significantly increased liquid part, the solid part being also increased but less, so its percentage, relatively to the whole juice quantity, was diminished. This “paralytic” hyper secretion has started during the first days after NRI and prolonged till the end of observation period (Figure 4). In Tx series, the observed secretory alterations were the same in quality, quantity and duration. In the case of SDR, their manifestation diminished after 4 weeks and normalization occurred between 2 and 6 months, depending on the series (NRI or Tx). The enzyme contents/ml of solid part was not significantly modified in comparison with the control data.

Membrane digestion after NRI showed no significant difference between controls (intact rats, laparotomy with 50-min exposition of the bowel) and NRI could be determined at any delays.

Morphology after Tx, NRI, ischemia, SDR growth of neurons

The same alterations were found in Tx series but not in NRI without or with SDR [17]. After NRI sub epithelial oedema and increased desquamation of the villi, some decrease of enzyme expression in the neurons, were noted during the first days, but no apoptosis or necrosis. Later a tendency to inflammation and erosions was observed as well as outlying position of the nuclei in some neurons of the myenteric plexus.

After Tx series during the first 15 days basal oedema of the enterocytes with displacement of the nucleus in apical direction was noted. Slight alterations of the neurons of the myenteric plexus were also observed: apoptosis signs, outlying nuclei. Later the condition of the grafted intestine seemed to improve and only the same alterations as in NRI series were detected. Control series with <60 min warm ischemia has shown reversible basal oedema of enterocytes and increased desquamation of the villi, as well as serious alterations of the intramural
C) Rectoenteral reflex

**Figure 2C:** Results of RER investigation depending on the operation model and the post operation delay (M+/-SD). Abscissa – time in months; ordinate conventional estimation of the reaction: 0 – no reaction, 5 – total inhibitory reaction starting within 10 sec after stimulation. Plain line – NRI; punt-hyphen line: heterotopic transplantation (HTx); --- line: neuro reflex isolation with surgically directed re innervation (NRI+SDR); _ _ _ line: heterotopic transplantation with surgically directed re innervation (HTx+SDR). In the operations with SDR, normalization of the RER began after 2 months and was complete within 6 months after NRI+SDR and 8 months after HTx+SDR. The RER recovery was only partial in the case of NRI and especially after HTx (p<0.01).

D) Reaction to metamysyl

**Figure 2D:** Results of the Metamysyl test (M+/-SD). Abscissa – time in months; ordinate: duration of the small bowel motility inhibition in min. Series – the same as in C. In the case of surgically directed re innervation (SDR) the reaction differed no more from the normal mean respectively through 5-7 months after NRI and only through 11-16 months after HTx. No normalization was to be noted after NRI or HTx.
Figure 3A: Evolution of the motility function. A) Peculiarities of the small bowel motility at different moments after auto transplantation (Tx) or neuroreflex isolation (NRI). Above: manometry (balloon kymography) registration of the bowel activity, lower (1): registration of the movement of the balloon within intestinal lumen – 1 mark = 1 cm; beneath (2): time registration in 1 min intervals. a - During anaesthesia; b – c: during the first post operation day; d: day 5; e: day 7; f: day 14; g: day 30 after auto transplantation. Note the high level of tonicity, which correspond to quick translation of the intra luminal balloon.

Figure 3B: Evolution of the motility function. A) Peculiarities of the small bowel motility at different moments after auto transplantation (Tx) or neuroreflex isolation (NRI). Above: manometry (balloon kymography) registration of the bowel activity, lower (1): registration of the movement of the balloon within intestinal lumen – 1 mark = 1 cm; beneath (2): time registration in 1 min intervals. a - During anaesthesia; b – c: during the first post operation day; d: day 5; e: day 7; f: day 14; g: day 30 after auto transplantation. Note the high level of tonicity, which correspond to quick translation of the intra luminal balloon. B) Peculiarities of a contrasted food bolus transit through gastrointestinal tract after small bowel auto transplantation (or NRI). Stomach; 2. Grafted intestine; 3. Caecum and colon ascendens. 2. Graphics of the evolution of the food bolus transit after different operations: a significant acceleration is noted in all the series during the first 4 months after operation, then a graduated normalization may be observed in the series with SDR, while the significant difference with control (p<0.01) is maintained till the end of observation in the NRI and HTx series.
Figure 4A: Evolution of the secretion activity. Spontaneous secretion – whole volume collected during 3 hours (ml), and volume of the solid part relatively to the whole secretion volume (%) (obtained after 10 min centrifugation at 2000g/min). A significant increase of the whole secretion volume of the intestinal juice with a constant solid part that means a relative decrease of this solid part in all the experimental series during the first month after the operations. In series with surgically directed reinnervation (SDR) a tendency to normalization has been observed since the second post operation month and normalization may be considered as complete at months 2-4. For the series without SDR this process has been much longer, never being quite complete (p<0.5).

Figure 4B: Provoked (by the presence of a catheter within the intestinal loop) secretion: - whole volume collected during 3 hours; and volume of the solid part relatively to the whole volume (%). Hypersecretion of the intestinal juice mainly of its liquid part is well expressed in all the experimental series. In the series with SDR a tendency to normalization is noted after 2-4 months and achieved at months 8-10. In series NRI and Tx no improvement of the hypersecretion can be detected all through the observation period.
neurons. These alterations lasted only a fortnight (14 days). So, the observed alterations of the intestinal transplant morphology looked a sum of the disorders caused by ischemia and NRI. After SDR signs of neuron axons growth were found in the zone of nervous sutures, as well as in nerves of the grafted small bowel mesentery (Figure 5).

**Function and morphology of fetal stomach and intestine grafts**

After implantation of fetal small bowel or stomach into an ear pavilion subcutaneous pouch a general destruction of the implant with disappearance of tissue structure and of most typical cells: a kind of primitive "porridge" rich in weakly differentiated cells was observed (Figure 6 a). At the end of the first week formation of lacunas and blood capillaries and of cavities lined by cubic epithelium cells could be noted (Figure 6b). Further a reconstitution of normal intestinal or gastric wall developed following typical ontogenetic pattern: differentiation of the different layers and cells in them (Figure 6c and 6d). Very early, as intestinal muscle layers began to form, neurons appeared between them. They were displayed in numerous ganglia. Interesting that in "wild" intestine implanted into a GPF marked mouse, part of neurons were GPF positive (Figure 6e). This testifies in favour of their recipient origin. Fetal gastric implants developed in the same way and presented gastrin marked cell in their epithelium (Figure 6f). Grown from fetal implants adult-like organs were functional. In fetal intestinal implantation, after milk injection into the lumen of re-formed intestine, electron microscopy of the villi has shown absorption of chylomicrons through a perfectly normal epithelial structure (Figure 6e). Electric periodic activity was registered in gastric implants, as well as variation of the pH of their juice after "feeding" (Figure 7).

No evidence of penetration of the implants by host nerves and no data about a correlation between the host digestive activity and the graft function were found up to now. In pancreatic fetal implants the organ was not completely restored: only isolated endocrine cells, sometimes clusters, may be some exocrine tubes, were found without genuine reconstruction of an adult-like pancreas (Figure 8a and 8c). Nevertheless in certain conditions, fetal pancreatic grafts were able of an endocrine insulin activity: if a sufficient quantity of them was implanted, improving and even "healing of Streptozotocin induced Diabetes mellitus could be obtained (Figure 8d). The same observation is true for liver fetal implants: well developed hepatocytes columns and normal bile ducts were found but without connection between them or signs of bile formation (Figure 9).

**Discussion**

Dog experiments have then shown that NRI, i.e. the chronic nervous reflex connection interruption of the small bowel with CNS significantly affects neither the intestinal morphology (except oedema and increased desquamation of the villi, some decrease of enzyme expression in the neurons, during the first days, but no necrosis), nor its intrinsic functional capacity. This means that motility, secretion and membrane digestion capacity are qualitatively intact. But the ability to quick (within less than 10 sec) reflector reactions as RER, relations between motility tonic and peristaltic components, answer to nervous transmitters and other substances circulating by vascular way, food transit, quantities and dilution of secreted substances and resulting nutrient, assimilation – are significantly impaired, that explains a degradation of the animals general condition. The impression is that the NRI small bowel function has become mostly independent of the whole body organization. The same kind of disorders, particularly hypermotricity of the graft were also observed after small bowel transplantation in experiments, as well as in clinics [43,44].

At the same time 24-hour observation in our experiments has allowed detecting several important modifications in the function of organs remaining in connection with the CNS: drop emptying of the stomach in coordination with registration by caecum of the previously sent bolus arrival. A significant behavioural modification of the animal is instated: appearance of coprophagy of the partially digested faeces. All these registered peculiarities have an adaptation character and lead to a stabilization of the animal welfare: stop of the diarrhoea, body weight stabilization (but at a level inferior to the initial one) and exterior appearance improvement. They also masked the real alterations
Figure 6: Morphology of fetal digestive organ evolution after implantation. A. Transillumination view of a growing fetal small bowel (arrows) at day 30 after implantation into a subcutaneous pouch of the rat ear. B. Histology of the implant: a. Day 4: destructuration of an intestinal implant with necrotic remnants of villi, inflammatory cells and many weakly differentiated cells (Hematoxylin eosin, x 20); b. Day 7: Formation of a cavity lined by cubic epithelium (Hematoxylin eosin, x 20); c. Day 28: Re-development of the different small bowel layers – 1. mucosa with enterocytes and calicinal cells, 2. muscular layers (hematoxylin eosin, x 20); d. Day 60: Electron microscopy of a grown intestinal villus with normal structure and microchylons penetrating the enterocyte layer (1); e. GFP marked neurons of Auerbach plexus in a grown fetal intestine implant 4 months after the operation (the donor was a « wild » C57Bl mouse, the recipient a GFP positive animal) (G x 100); f. Fetal stomach 4 months after implantation at the ear site. Arrow: junction of the mucosa layer with the skin of the host and somatostatine positive cells.

Figure 7A: Function of the grown fetal gastric implant at month 14 after operation. Electric activity (motility) of the implant.
Figure 7B: Secretory activity of the implant: acidification of the lumen contents after «feeding» with Bovine Albumine (columns on the right) and non-significant tendency after saline injection into the implant lumen (central column). Note that without stimulation the pH of the stomach implant secretion is neutral and after stopping the stimulation it returns to neutral. In abscissa – observation days, in ordinate – pH values from 3 to 8.

Figure 8: Histology of a fetal liver implant 4 months after operation. Well-developed hepatocyte column and bile ducts, but no connection between them and bile formation. (Hematoxilin eosin, g x 40).
in operated small bowel.

All these features step by step disappear after restoration of the nervous connections of NRI or Tx intestine. But this restoration is complete only in the case of SDR, the moment of its achievement depending on the kind of provided SDR – ortho- or heterotopic.

It is to be noted that the intrinsic functional capacities of the NRI bowel – motility, secretion, adsorption and membrane digestion remain possible, may be a little modified. The morphology of the bowel wall and even of its nervous plexus is slightly affected and only during the first days after operation, that may be attributed to the operative trauma. The local and superficial ulcerations of the mucosa observed after NRI and Tx may be explained by the alterations of the microcirculation due to the nervous disturbances [45-47].

Ischemia causes quite different, even opposite functional and morphological changes: decrease of secretion, motility palsy, apoptosis of the intramural neurons, alterations of epithelial gap junctions and other alterations [48-51]. If the duration of warm ischemia does not last more than 40-50 min, those destructions are partial and reversible. On the contrary, if ischemia overcomes this delay, the fatal so called “endotoxic” shock, well described in literature [52], develops. The sum of ischemia and NRI caused alterations is probably the cause of a slower recovery in transplanted dogs with SDR than in simply NRI+SDR cases.

Besides, local intestinal ischemia caused very similar alterations to those observed in Hirschprung disease and so in certain conditions may be used as an experimental model for study, including its treatment.

So the role of CNS seems to be general direction and coordination of the organism functions and morphology. This is comforted by observations concerning bone regeneration depending on factors generated by CNS [53,54], appearance of digestive disturbances in people with chronic biorhythm variations (aircraft personal), alteration of colon motility and other digestive function modifications in tetra and paraplegic patients [21], NRI of pancreato duodenal region in dogs [55]. By the way, interruption of nervous conductivity between injured organs and CNS by so called analgesics blockade of different plexus allows not only stopping nociceptive afferent flux as pain, but also enhances the peristalsis recovery after large abdominal surgery [32]. The so-called sympathetic neurotomy around kidney arteries would leads to high blood pressure decrease in HTA [56]. In these cases, interruption of nociceptive nervous vicious loops presents a healing approach.

In relation with all above mentioned, some remarks are necessary. The first concerns some “new” models of small bowel “denervation” have been recently described [57-59]. They are rather close to our operation and the conclusions of investigations provided by their authors largely confirm our findings: disturbance of general physiological activities, maintaining of intrinsic ones as releasing of the studied molecules [60-62]. Investigations concerning reinnervation of NRI or Tx small bowel also confirm that this process is never completed without exterior intervention [45,46].

The second remark concerns the mechanisms of re innervation. Different authors have shown that in the case of somatic nerve regeneration, there is a complex interaction between Schwann cells and re-growing central axon through transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) activated in the distal part of the cut nerve [63]. In vegetative nervous system, the nervous fibres regeneration is enhanced either by the phenomenon of multiplication, thanks to the multiple connections of each neuron, as well as the possibility of neuron division [64,65]. The two mechanisms may be involved in our case and explain the relative quickness of the restoration of the NRI+SDR small bowel recovery.

In the case of fetal organ implantation interesting to note that a complete formation of morphologically and functionally competent adult-like organ was observed only when the implants have a developed intramural nervous system (intestine, stomach, and oesophagus) or a conduction system and a developed motility (heart) [66]. In the other cases - in liver and pancreas – the different parts of the organ developed
but without connection between each other. So an endocrine activity was described, but exocrine one – not. Liver grafts are mainly used as hemopoietic agents in the treatment of leukaemia, but not as whole digestive gland [67,68].

An interesting question rises relatively to digestive tract grown fetal implants. We observed that during the first days after implantation a complete destructuration of the implant happens and that the organ “re-develops” after vascular reconnection with the host following ontogenetic pathway [25-27]. In the primary “porridge”, no neurons could be identified. So, where they come from into the grown implant? Three possibilities may be evoked: either from the host or from the remaining precursor for nerve cells of the implant; may be also from both sources. That is an important question if a grafting may be considered for treatment of Hirschprung disease [10,11,69-71] or other degenerative alterations of the digestive tract. We can argue in favour of fetal neurons undergoing de-differentiation or and of fetal nerve precursors having survived after the transplantation ischemic trauma, and having developed later. But it is well known that stem cells from the bone marrow circulating or injected into the blood flow are able to differentiate into neurons [72,73] or in epithelial intestinal cells [74,75]. The same mechanism, i.e. involvement of circulating or attracted by the implant growth factors bone marrow stem cells, seems to be confirmed in our experiments by the discovery of GFP positive neurons coming only from the recipient into the grafted “wild” fetal intestine. The possibility to enhance this process by inhibition of phosphodiesterase could be identified. So, where they come from into the grown implant?

**Conclusion**

1. Interruption of nervous connections between intestine and CNS confers to the intestine a functional autonomy which alters the harmony of the digestion process regulation.

2. Spontaneous restoration of the CNS reflex influence after NRI and Tx may occur but very slowly and is rarely complete. SDR is necessary for normalization of NRI or Tx intestine physiology and morphology.

3. Alteration or destruction of the intramural nervous system leads to serious disturbances of the genuine or intrinsic intestinal function and morphology. They may be lethal, for instance after long lasting ischemia, or generate chronic irreversible disorders as in Hirschprung disease.

4. Artificial restoration of interrupted organ normal reflex links with CNS – SDR – is the only liable way to restore normal function and morphology of the transplanted bowel and the normal condition of the recipient after small bowel transplantation.

5. Intramural nervous system restoration is presently a topic of attention, and use of fetal cells or organ implantation seems to be promising for treatment of aganglionic diseases.

6. So there is a principal difference of signification between CNS and INS roles in small bowel physiology and morphology: CNS ensuring the coordination harmony and the integration of the intestinal activities within the whole organism for digestive process realization, whereas INS being capital for the very morphological existence of the intestine.
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