INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture conditions are often exposed to various stressors. Stressors can be a consequence of elevated rearing densities [1], suboptimal water quality, decreased dissolved oxygen and elevated carbon dioxide (CO2) levels [2,3], thermal fluctuations [4,5], diet [6], presence of enemies and pathogens [7–11], and transportation, sorting, handling and confinement stresses [6,12–14].

Stressors were reported to reduce hippocampal (dorso-lateral pallium in teleost) volume [15–17] and, as a result, to impact memory and learning [18–20]. The amygdala—in particular, the basolateral amygdala (dorsomedial pallium in teleost)—increases dendritic length and spine density, and as a consequence, the activation of preoptic nucleus-pituitary gland-interrenal cells in the head kidney, releasing glucocorticoids, such as cortisol, to the bloodstream. Glucocorticoids cause downregulation of various immune system functions depending on the duration, intensity and type of chronic stress. As stress persists, most immune functions, with the exception of cytotoxic functions, overcome these effects and return to homeostasis. The deterioration of cytotoxic functions during chronic stress appears to be responsible for increased morbidity and mortality.

KEYWORDS
acute stress regulation, chronic stress regulation, cytotoxic downregulation, IL-8 regulation, proinflammatory
a result, there are changes in emotions [21,22]. Furthermore, stress exaggerates adverse effects, such as shrinking of the thymus and spleen or other lymphatic organs, changes in the number and distribution of leucocytes, or appearance of bleeding or ulcers that increase susceptibility to morbidity and mortality [23]. Stressors have negative impacts on different physiological responses associated with growth, nutrition, reproduction and immune responses [3,24–29]. Understanding and monitoring the biological mechanisms underlying stress responses in fish may alleviate the harmful effects of stress through selective breeding and changes in management practices, resulting in improved animal welfare and production efficiency.

This review will summarize the processes that mainly regulate chronic stress and influence immune system functions. These processes severely impair the cytotoxic functions in the immune system, and as result, they have implications for morbidity, mortality and efficiency of production in aquaculture. The evaluation of stress's influence on the immune system will be based primarily on previous studies conducted in our laboratory.

**BRAIN REGULATION OF STRESS**

The stress mechanism is still far from explaining the detailed molecular processes and the exact brain structures that participate in stress regulation, but it is known that, unlike mammals, the fish's telencephalon lacks a cortex but possesses telencephalon cortical-like functions, as reported in several fish species [30]. The fish's telencephalon contains several distinct neuronal populations that have been characterized as functional homologues to mammalian forebrain areas. For example, the dorsomedial and dorsolateral pallium have been characterized as functional homologues to the mammalian basolateral amygdala and hippocampus, respectively, and are implicated in stimulus salience, memory and learning [31–33]. Furthermore, the ventral part of the telencephalon was reported to be functionally homologous to the lateral septum [31,33], which is very important in the regulation of emotional reactivity and goal-oriented behaviour [34–36].

Mammalian studies have found that the brain accumulates external and internal signals of stress, processes them and recruits several neuronal circuits to maintain physiological integrity [37]. The intensity, frequency, duration and type of stress will evoke autonomic stress response or limbic circuits, such as the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus and the nucleus accumbens [38,39]. The amygdala (dorsomedial pallium in teleost) functions like a command centre that processes emotions and sends stress signals to the hypothalamus (preoptic nucleus in teleost), while the hypothalamus works as a command centre that communicates through other parts of the body, such as the autonomic nervous system and the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal/hypophysis/interrenal axis to control functions, such as breathing, blood pressure, heart rate and the immune system [40] (Figure 1). Excessive or inadequate basal activity and responsiveness of this system might impair

**FIGURE 1** Putative regulation of stress in common carp. Acute stress usually activates the sympathetic neurons in the autonomic nervous system (ANS), which, in turn, activates the chromaffin cells of the head kidney to release catecholamines, such as adrenaline (A) and noradrenaline (NA). Catecholamines bind to their receptors in the blood cells and promote the release of specific cytokines. Chronic stress activates the axis of hypothalamus-pituitary-interrenal cells of the head kidney (HPI) and promotes the release of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) from the hypothalamus. This activates the pituitary gland to release adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) into the bloodstream, which then allows the secretion of cortisol from the interrenal cells. Cortisol binds to its receptors in blood cells and, as a result, various processes take place according to the intensity and duration of stress. Similarly, cortisol in the feedback process regulates hypothalamic, hippocampal and locus coeruleus (LC) activity. Stressor stimuli from various brain areas, such as prefrontal cortex-like formation, LC and dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN), stimulate the amygdala to elicit the proper activation of the HPI axis and different body functions. The amygdala facilitates the release of NA, corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) from the hypothalamus. The amygdala likely attenuates the negative feedback exerted by glucocorticoids by reducing hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors (GR), thus facilitating HPI axis activation.
development, growth and body composition, and lead to a host of behavioural and somatic pathological conditions [41].

**AUTONOMIC NERVOUS SYSTEM (ANS) REGULATION OF STRESS**

In mammals and teleost fish, immune organs are innervated by sympathetic neurons. In fish, sympathetic innervation of lymphoid tissue has been found in the spleen of coho salmon (*Onchorhynchus kisutch*), where nerve fibres are associated with vasculature and melanomacrophage centres [42]. Moreover, immune cells express receptors for stress hormones and neurotransmitters, including adrenergic receptors (AR). Mammalian innate immune cells express both α- and β-ARs subtypes, while exclusive expression of ARs of the β2 subtype has been found on T and B lymphocytes [43].

In mammals, lymphoid organs are innervated by sympathetic and parasympathetic nerve fibres [44,45] whose activation stimulates or inhibits the immune response. Furthermore, leucocytes express both cholinergic and adrenergic receptors [46]. However, little is known about the fish cholinergic system versus the fish adrenergic system, which is predominant in the stress response (Figure 1). Catecholamine receptors are present on the immune cells of teleost fish [47], and many lymphoid tissues receive sympathetic innervation. For example, in coho or silver salmon (*Onchorhynchus kisutch*), the spleen is highly innervated by adrenergic fibres in the vasculature and parenchyma [42]. Several radio-ligand binding experiments have demonstrated the presence of β-ARs (b-AR) in the anterior kidney, spleen and peritoneal leucocytes of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) [48], and in the head kidney and spleen leucocytes of the American catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) [49]. The influence of sympathetic innervations on the immune system of teleost fish is exerted through the binding of adrenaline and noradrenaline to their functional adrenoceptors, α-AR (a-AR) and b-AR, which are present in immune system cells [47]. Catecholamines inhibit the innate and acquired immune response in various species of teleosts through b-AR activation. However, a-AR stimulation leads to the production of antibodies [47,50–52] The adrenoceptor b2a-AR mRNA is constitutively expressed in the brain, especially in the preoptic nucleus (homologous to the mammalian hypothalamus) and immune organs. During the in vivo inflammatory response, b2a-AR expression is up-regulated in the peritoneal leucocytes. Additionally, adrenaline inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines and their receptors in fish phagocytes cultured in vitro [53]. Adrenaline may influence the inflammatory response via direct regulation of leucocyte migration or apoptosis during zymosan-induced peritoneal inflammation in the common carp [54]. Similar to the ANS responses in mammals [55], these responses in fish can be influenced by the immune system through cytokines produced by glial cells (e.g. astrocytes) in the central nervous system (CNS), which modulates neuroendocrine responses. The ANS response can also be altered by peripheral signals that gain access to the CNS through circumventricular organs, which are structures without blood-brain barriers [56]. Nevertheless, it was recently confirmed that adrenaline behaves in different ways in different teleost species. While adrenaline did not modulate the expression of immune-related genes in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) head kidney primary cell culture, adrenaline enhanced the expression of interleukin 1β (IL-1β) and transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) stimulated by inactivated *V. anguillarum* in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), and the effect was diminished by propranolol [57]. Conversely, catecholamine secretion from teleost chromaffin cells in the head kidney is regulated by a host of cholinergic and non-cholinergic pathways that ensure sufficient redundancy and flexibility in the secretion process to permit synchronized responses to a myriad of stressors [58].

**HYPOTHALAMUS-PITUITARY-INTERRENAL (HPI) AXIS REGULATION OF STRESS**

In mammals, the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is modulated by extra-hypothalamic limbic structures, particularly the hippocampus and the amygdala [59,60]. While hippocampal neurons exert an inhibitory effect on the activation of the axis, amygdala activity exerts a significant facilitating effect [59]. The amygdala has two direct efferent connections and one indirect efferent connection with the hypothalamus: (1) the stria terminalis directly connects the amygdala with the preoptic area in the hypothalamus; (2) the ventral pathway directly connects the central amygdala and basolateral amygdala with the hypothalamus [61]. An indirect pathway consists of projections from the central amygdala to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, the efferents of which retro-project to corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) cells in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus [62]. In teleosts, the mechanism of stress regulation in the HPI axis is still obscure; however, when stress signals are perceived, the hypothalamic region of the nucleus preopticus responds by releasing corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) into the pituitary. This signal is received by CRH receptor subtype 1 (CRH-R1) on pituitary corticotropes from the pars distalis. The binding of CRH with its receptor stimulates adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) release into circulation [63,64]. ACTH stimulates the production and release of the main glucocorticoid cortisol from the head kidney’s interrenal cells [65] (Figure 1).

Cortisol exerts its effect on target cells by binding to the cytosolic glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [66]. The cortisol-GR
complex translocates into the nucleus, where it binds to responsive glucocorticoid elements and modifies gene expression [67]. As in mammals, both the GR and the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) can bind cortisol [68]. In contrast to mammals, fish have duplicate GR genes (GR1 and GR2) that are translated into functional proteins [67]. GR1 also exists in two variants: GR1a and GR1b [69,70]. Thus, there are four receptors capable of binding cortisol in fish: GR1a, GR1b, GR2 and MR. However, their ability to induce downstream gene activation depends on the cortisol concentration [71]. The CRF signal is mediated by at least two receptors (CRFR1 and CRFR2). CRFR1 has been reported to mediate HPI axis activation, whereas CRFR2 contributes to the expression of several behavioural and physiological reactions in response to stress [65,72]. Moreover, similar to the process with mammals, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) in teleosts influences hypothalamic CRF release, where the 5-HT receptor type 1A plays a central role in the regulation of the HPI axis [73–76]. Additionally, the HPI axis is under feedback control by cortisol through the MR and GR in the hypothalamus and pituitary [77–79]. Studies suggest the presence of interactions between HPI and limbic functions in the teleost telencephalon [30,80]. Moreover, associations found between telencephalic 5-HT and HPI axis activities [30,74,76,81–83] support similar involvement of this section of the brain in HPI axis regulation, as observed in mammals [84].

Glucocorticoids regulate multiple aspects of immune defences in mammals and influence the secretion of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines [85]. Similarly, cortisol receptors have been identified and described in fish immune cells, and cortisol affects the immune response in common carp (Cyprinus carpio) [70,71], rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and gilthead sea bream (Sparus auratus) [86]. Cortisol influences the secretion of cytokines from leucocytes, and these cytokines regulate the HPI axis activity in response [87]. Additionally, cortisol inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in lymphocytes of the blood, head kidney, spleen, and thymus [88]. This process is dependent on the GR and RU486 (mifepristone), a specific GR blocker, preventing these cortisol processes [89]. In mammals, it has been reported that chronic or acute administration of dexamethasone, a potent GR agonist, can cause a significant neurotransmission imbalance between glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) via upregulation of GABAergic neurons and downregulation of glutamatergic neurons in the amygdala, and, consequently, cortisol regulates stress-induced emotions [90]. The main function of ACTH in fish is the regulation of cortisol production in the head kidney's interrenal cells [65]. In rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), mifepristone use reduces stress-induced cortisol secretion by reducing hypothalamic CRH mRNA expression [91]. The corticotrophic action of CRH can be avoided through the administration of the non-selective antagonist of the CRH receptor [92]. An additional hypothalamic factor is the melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH), a strong inhibitor of CRH-stimulated ACTH secretion [93,94]. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) that acclimated to abundant light had higher MCH and ACTH levels and lower cortisol levels in plasma, unlike fish acclimated to a dark environment [95,96]. MCH is a peptide that mediates colour changes in teleost fish (an antagonist of the alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone a-MSH) [97], and its plasma levels are modified under stress conditions. However, hypothalamic MCH regulates food intake and energy balance in mammals [98] and goldfish (Carassius auratus) [99]. Nonetheless, the effect of MCH is significantly lower than the effect of CRH on food intake and energy balance in fish under stress conditions.

INFLUENCE OF STRESS ON THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

Studying the effect of stress on the immune system is challenging due to the variable responses in different individual carp. Therefore, following up changes in cytokines and leucocytes levels in peripheral blood during stress treatments was preferred over sampling their levels in the spleen, kidney, head kidney and liver. Monitoring the blood enables changes in each carp to be ascertained without killing the specimen [100]. A systematic study revealed which function of the carp immune system was most affected by hypoxic stress and how the duration of stress influences the expression of these functions. Acute stress enhances the fast pathway that activates the sympathetic nervous system to release catecholamines, such as adrenaline and noradrenaline, from chromaffin cells in the head kidney, and the released catecholamines bind to their receptors in leucocytes [101,102]. As a result, the proinflammatory function (IL-1b, IL-6 and tumour necrotic factor α (TNFa)) is upregulated and, at the same time, the activity of regulatory function (TGFb and IL-10) is upregulated, probably in order to return proinflammatory activity to homeostasis [100,103]. Chronic stress activates the hypothalamus-pituitary-interrenal cell axis and, as a result, interrenal cells in the head kidney mainly release cortisol [65]. The cortisol binds to its receptors in leucocytes and promotes different processes in the leucocytes [65,67].

The results of monitoring the influence of chronic hypoxic stress on immune activity in the common carp peripheral blood leucocytes are shown in Table 1, and these results reveal a downregulation of regulatory (IL-10, TGFb, forkhead box P3 (FoxP3)), proinflammatory (IL-1β, IL-6), and inflammatory (IL-17) functions until the second week of chronic stress. However, in the third week, their change levels overcame and returned to homeostasis [100]. TNFa levels did not change during hypoxic stress treatments (Table 1), but TNFa behaved slightly differently in...
Chronic cortisol implants in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) for five days [104]. The chronic cortisol treatment showed results similar to those in acute hypoxic stress (Table 1). In contrast, the main impaired functions, even after 22 days of chronic stress [100,105], were as follows: (1) cytotoxic mediators, such as interferon (IFN)-γ, Fas ligand (FasL), NK lysin and granzyme; (2) IL-12 and Tbet, which are responsible for Th1 cell proliferation and maturation, which mediates host defence against intracellular pathogens [106–108]; and (3) IL-8, which attracts leucocytes to the infection site [109]. IL-8, which was downregulated during the 22-day chronic stress period, can explain the macrophage/neutrophil/leucocyte mobilization decline in different body compartments, as shown by Wojtaszek and colleagues [110].

In contrast to the sharp decrease in the level of cytotoxic cytokines following chronic stress, nonspecific cytotoxic receptor protein 1 (NCCRPI) levels increased sharply. It has been confirmed that the NCCRPI, which was previously related to a marker of nonspecific cytotoxic cells (NCC) [111] and as a variant of NK cells in teleosts, is not a marker of any cell type, but is abundant in γδT, mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT), T carp lymphocytes and even in thrombocytes [112]. Further study will clarify what role it plays in stress processes.

Chronic administration of cortisol (simulating chronic stress) decreased the relative expression of IFNa-1, heat shock proteins 70 (HSP70) and 90 (HSP90), serum amyloid A protein and glucocorticoid receptors in Salmo salar [113]. Macrophage cell lines revealed the inhibition of chemotaxis, phagocytosis, and respiratory burst activity in goldfish (Carassius auratus) [114]. These chronic administrations of cortisol strengthened the downregulation of cytotoxic functions by chronic stress (Table 1).

Innate function (immunoglobulin M (IgM) and complement C3s mRNA) (Table 1) was not significantly affected during acute or chronic hypoxic stress treatments [100], chronic confinement stress events of juvenile Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis) [115] or high stocking density of Eleginops maclovinus [29]. These results contradicted findings regarding husbandry, confinement and crowding-induced stresses [4,116–118]. Presumably, these differences among the results are attributable to the presence of modulators that regulate IgM humoral activity [119]. Similarly, C3s mRNA showed no significant changes in either acute or chronic stresses, although its levels fluctuated throughout the chronic

| Cytokines | Con | AS | CSW1 | CSW2 | CSW3 |
|----------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| IL1b     | 1.00 ± 0.12 | 5.15 ± 0.67* | 1.42 ± 0.17 | 0.06 ± 0.02* | 2.69 ± 0.60* |
| IL6      | 1.00 ± 0.18 | 1.47 ± 0.28* | 1.43 ± 0.79 | 0.16 ± 0.11 | 1.16 ± 0.20 |
| TNFa     | 1.00 ± 0.14 | 3.73 ± 0.27* | 1.29 ± 0.17 | 0.61 ± 0.06 | 0.79 ± 0.10 |
| IFNg2b   | 1.00 ± 0.12 | 1.4 ± 0.19 | 0.00 ± 0.00* | 0.00 ± 0.00* | 0.00 ± 0.00* |
| C3s      | 1.00 ± 0.8 | 0.79 ± 0.21 | 18.44 ± 9.11 | 4.43 ± 1.91 | 10.66 ± 5.36 |
| IgM      | 1.00 ± 0.12 | 1.35 ± 0.16 | 1.67 ± 0.22 | 1.51 ± 0.10 | 1.99 ± 0.30 |
| IL10     | 1.00 ± 0.15 | 3.01 ± 0.34* | 0.35 ± 0.07* | 0.0006 ± 0.0001* | 0.51 ± 0.07 |
| FoxP3    | 1.00 ± 0.14 | 2.51 ± 0.73 | 0.27 ± 0.04* | 0.0021 ± 0.0004* | 0.80 ± 0.14 |
| TGFB     | 1.00 ± 0.14 | 1.98 ± 0.21* | 0.99 ± 0.13 | 0.0027 ± 0.0004* | 3.63 ± 0.48 |
| IL8      | 1.00 ± 0.13 | 0.81 ± 0.08 | 0.18 ± 0.03* | 0.0016 ± 0.0003* | 0.30 ± 0.07* |
| CD95     | 1.00 ± 0.28 | 1.14 ± 0.17 | 1.78 ± 0.4 | 3.38 ± 0.87* | 2.34 ± 0.45* |
| FasL     | 1.00 ± 0.17 | 1.00 ± 0.19 | 0.83 ± 0.18 | 0.47 ± 0.17 | 0.23 ± 0.08* |
| granzyme | 1.00 ± 0.39 | 0.45 ± 0.11 | 0.81 ± 0.28 | 0.50 ± 0.14 | 0.26 ± 0.06 |
| NKlyzin  | 1.00 ± 0.61 | 0.26 ± 0.07* | 0.35 ± 0.12 | 0.25 ± 0.06* | 0.30 ± 0.07* |
| NILT1    | 1.00 ± 0.81 | 1.77 ± 0.57 | 1.50 ± 0.50 | 1.39 ± 0.63 | 0.56 ± 0.26 |
| NILT2    | 1.00 ± 0.31 | 1.56 ± 0.71* | 1.32 ± 0.59 | 1.78 ± 0.60 | 0.77 ± 0.23 |
| IL12b    | 1.00 ± 0.38 | 0.83 ± 0.21* | 0.84 ± 0.34 | 0.80 ± 0.23 | 0.44 ± 0.32 |
| Note: The above results are aggregated from references [100,105].
Abbreviations: AS, acute stress; Con, control; CSW1, chronic stress after 8 days; CSW2, chronic stress after 15 days; CSW3, chronic stress after 22 days.
*P ≤ 0.05.
stress period (Table 1). These results differ from haemolytic findings [9,120]; however, they are consistent with reported hypoxia and cortisol-induced stress results [121,122].

Stress-influenced functions revealed the deterioration of cytotoxic activity and cytokines regulating Th1 proliferation (Table 1), but what about the other leucocytes? Studies of leucocyte levels by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) and by mRNA levels of cell markers revealed a decrease in the levels of like-B, like-plasma, macrophages and CD4 (Th1) cells (Table 2 and Figure 2) [100]. These results are consistent with others’ findings of a decrease in leucocyte numbers in Oncorhynchus mykiss [123], the suppression of phagocytic and lymphocyte proliferative activities in Platichthys flesus and Solea senegalensis [124], and the apoptosis of B cells in Cyprinus carpio [125]. However, we cannot be certain if stress also caused MAIT cell deterioration [112], because of contrasting microscopic results; therefore, there is a need to further study these cells. In vitro studies confirm the above-mentioned results, revealing that cortisol treatments had the following effects: (1) decreased the phagocytosis of head kidney cells from tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus x O. aureus), common carp (Cyprinus carpio), and silver sea bream (Sparus sarba) [126]; (2) inhibited the pro-oxidative activity of leucocytes from the head kidneys of golden sea bream (Sparus aurata) [127]; (3) inhibited the proliferation of monocyte/macrophage cell lines from rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) [128]; and (4) induced programmed cell death (apoptosis) of macrophages from silver sea bream (Sparus sarba) and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) [129].

Cluster of differentiation 8 (CD8), NK and γδT cells (Table 2 and Figure 2) did not show any decrease corresponding to that of cytotoxic cytokines, although they are known for the high production of IFNγ, FasL, granzyme and NK lysin [130–133]. Figure 2 has not yet been published, but is shown here to emphasize that the changes in cytokines shown in Table 1 are not the result of cell destruction but rather of their metabolism impairment. Moreover, γδT cells are the most numerous cells in carp leucocytes (Figure 2) and are thought to be the greatest producers of IFNγ [134,135]. However, their cell amounts do not decrease following chronic stress or the decrease in cytotoxic cytokine levels. This indicates that chronic stress suppresses cytotoxic cytokine metabolism and the proliferation of Th1, macrophages/macrophages and plasma cells. Consequently, this suppression may explain the increased susceptibility to diseases resulting from chronic stress [3,116,120,136].

The decrease or increase in metabolism was shown in the volume of the cells (Figure 3). During acute stress responses, when the metabolism of proinflammatory and regulatory cytokines was upregulated, cell volume increased up to three times (according to measurements of the cell area), while during chronic stress responses, the cell volume of γδT cells decreased up to three times following three-week periods of chronic stress. Figure 3 reinforces the perception that chronic stress mainly impairs the metabolism of cytotoxic cytokines.
SUMMARY

The continued sustainability of the aquaculture industry depends on its profitability. Stress is considered to be a major factor contributing to poor health in cultured fish. Studying the influence of stress on the immune system enables us to recommend tools to manage fish sensitivity, morbidity, and mortality in fish ponds.

The mechanisms of processes regulating the immune system during stress have not been fully elucidated in mammals and are even more unclear in fish. Little is known about the specific aetiological pathways that lead from a triggering stressor to the development of a specific pathological phenotype, or the interactions between neurotransmitters, such as NA, 5HT, GABA and glutamate.

Despite the clear involvement of brain structures, such as the amygdala, hippocampus and HPI axis, it remains unclear how these structures cause various pathological disorders, as well as how they cause different responders to respond differently to the same stress stimuli. Previous studies on different stress responses have reported similar changes with respect to neurotransmitter activity, neuroplastic changes and alterations in amygdalar and HPI function, suggesting that these properties are common and that phenotypic specificity is rooted in upstream mechanisms.

Recent studies indicate that the brain accumulates and processes stress signals and activates several brain structures to maintain physiological integrity. The intensity, duration and type of stress evoke autonomic system or limbic circuits. The autonomic system immediately responds to acute stress and stimulates chromaffin cells in the head kidney to release proinflammatory and regulatory cytokines. The limbic structures tend to respond slowly to chronic stress; the limbic homologs of the amygdala and hippocampus accumulate signals from different brain areas to process emotions and the memory of stress, and activate the HPI axis and other body functions, such as blood pressure, heart rate and energy accumulation. The HPI axis stimulates interrenal cells in the head kidney to release glucocorticoid hormones, such as cortisol, to the bloodstream. Glucocorticoids deteriorate cytotoxic activity, resulting in the downregulation of cytokines involved in cytotoxic activity and the downregulation of cell proliferation as well as cells involved in phagocytosis, antibody production and Th1. The downregulation of cytotoxic activity is critical for disease resistance and unwanted cell elimination. Therefore, further study of the mechanistic processes of stress regulation is required to reduce fish morbidity and mortality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Prof. Esther Suzy Jerusalmi, Paris, France for her financial help.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Mazal Shimon-Hophy edited and wrote the manuscript. Ramy R. Avtalion gave the final approval of the version to be submitted.

REFERENCES

1. Vazzana M, Cammarata M, Cooper EL, Parrinello N. Confinement stress in sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) depresses peritoneal leukocyte cytotoxicity. Aquaculture. 2002;210:231–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00818-3
2. Franco R, Sanchez-Olea R, Reyes-Reyes EM, Panayiotidis MI. Environmental toxicity, oxidative stress and apoptosis: menage a trois. Mutat Res. 2009;674(1–2):3–22.
3. Lefèvre F, Bugeon J, Aupérin B, Aubin J. Rearing oxygen level and slaughter stress effects on rainbow trout flesh quality. Aquaculture. 2008;284:81–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.07.017
4. Varsamos S, Flik G, Pepin JF, Bonga SE, Breuil G. Husbandry stress during early life stages affects the stress response and health status of juvenile sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2006;20:83–96.

5. Zarate J, Bradley TM. Heat shock proteins are not sensitive indicators of hatchery stress in salmon. Aquaculture. 2003;223:175–187.

6. Costas B, Conceição LEC, Dias J, Novoa B, Figueras A, Afonso A. Dietary arginine and repeated handling increase disease resistance and modulate innate immune mechanisms of Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis-Kaup, 1858). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2011;31:838–47.

7. Demers NE, Bayne CJ. The immediate effects of stress on hormones and plasma lysozyme in rainbow trout. Dev Comp Immunol. 1997;21:363–73.

8. Saeij JP, Verburg-van Kemenade LB, van Muiswinkel WB, Wiegerijtes GF. Daily handling stress reduces resistance of carp to Trypanoplasma borreli: in vitro modulatory effects of cortisol on leukocyte function and apoptosis. Dev Comp Immunol. 2003;27:233–45.

9. Sunyer JO, Tort L. Natural hemolytic and bactericidal activities of sea bream (Sparus aurata) serum are affected by the alternative complement pathway. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 1995;45(3–4):333–45.

10. Zheng S, Wang S, Zhang Q, Zhang Z, Xu S. Avermectin inhibits neutrophil extracellular traps release by activating PTEN demethylation to negatively regulate the PI3K-ERK pathway and reducing respiratory burst in carp. J Hazard Mater. 2020;5:121885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121885

11. Wang W, Liu Q, Zhang T, Chen L, Li S, Xu S. Glycophates induces lymphocyte cell dysfunction and apoptosis via regulation of miR-203 targeting of PIK3R1 in common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2020;101:51–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2020.03.047

12. Harmon TS. Methods for reducing stressors and maintaining water quality associated with live fish transport in tanks: a review of the basics. Rev Aquacult. 2009;1:58–66.

13. Muule AG, Schreck CB. Stress and cortisol treatment changed affinity and number of glucocorticoid receptors in leukocytes and gill of coho salmon. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 1991;84:83–93.

14. Noga EJ, Wang CJ, Grindem CB, Avtalion RR. Comparative clinalopathological responses of Striped Bass and Palmetto Bass to Acute Stress. Trans Am Fish Soc. 1999;128:680–686.

15. Brown ES, Jeon-slaughter H, Lu H, Jamadar R, Issac S, Shad M, et al. Hippocampal volume in healthy controls given 3-day stress doses of hydrocortisone. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015;40:1216–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.307

16. Gerritsen L, Kalpouzos G, Westman E, Simmons A, Wahlund L, Bäckman L, et al. The influence of negative life events on hippocampal and amygdala volumes in old age: a life-course perspective. Psychol Med. 2015;45:1219–28. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714002293

17. Head D, Singh T, Bugg JM. The moderating role of exercise on stress-related effects on the hippocampus and memory in later adulthood. Neuropsychology. 2012;26:133–43. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027108

18. Buchanan TW, Tranell D, Adolphs R. Impaired memory retrieval correlates with individual differences in cortisol response but not autonomic response. Learn Mem. 2006;13:382–7. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.206306

19. Roozenaal B, Mcwenn BS, Chattarji S. Stress, memory, and the amygdala. Nature Rev Neurosci. 2009;10:423–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2651

20. Wolf OT. Stress and memory in humans: twelve years of progress? Brain Res. 2009;1293:142–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.04.013

21. Holtmaat A, Svoboda K. Experience-dependent structural synaptic plasticity in the mammalian brain. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10:647–58. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2699

22. Sousa N, Almeida OFX. Disconnection and reconnection: the morphological basis of (mal) adaptation to stress. Trends Neurosci. 2012;35:742–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2012.08.006

23. Harper C, Wolf JC. Morphologic effects of the stress response in fish. ILAR J. 2009;50:387–396.

24. Campbell PM, Pottinger TG, Sumpter JP. Stress reduces the quality of gametes produced by rainbow trout. Biol Reprod. 1992;47:1140–50. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod47.6.1140

25. Hoskenon P, PirHonon J. Effects of repeated handling, with or without anaesthesia, on feed intake and growth in juvenile rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum). Aquacul Res. 2006;37:409–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2005.01448.x

26. de Fátima Pereira de Faria C, dos Reis Martinez CB, Takahashi LS, et al. Modulation of the innate immune response, antioxidant system and oxidative stress during acute and chronic stress in pacu (Piaractus mesopotamicus). Fish Physiol Biochem. 2021;47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fisbi.2021.09.004-x. Online ahead of print.

27. Vargas-Chacoff L, Regish AM, Weinstock A, Björnsson BTH, McCormick SD. Effects of long-term cortisol treatment on growth and osmoregulation of Atlantic salmon and brook trout. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2021;308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2021.113769

28. Poli BM, Parisi G, Scappini F, Zampacavallo G. Fish welfare and quality as affected by pre-slaughter and slaughter management. Aquacult Int. 2005;13:29–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10564-004-9035-1

29. Vargas-Chacoff L, Martinez D, Oyarzún R, Nualart D, Olavarria V, et al. Combined effects of high stocking density and Piscirickettsia salmonis treatment on the immune system, metabolism and osmoregulatory responses of the Sub-Antarctic Nototened fish Elefinops maclovins. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2014;40:424–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.07.024

30. Silva PI, Martins CI, Khan UW, Gjøen HM, Øverli Ø, Høglund E. Stress and fear responses in the teleost pallium. Physiol Behav. 2015;141:17–22.

31. Goodson JL, Kingsbury MA. What’s in a name? Considerations of homologies and nomenclature for vertebrate social behavior networks. Horm Behav. 2013;64:103–12.

32. Vargas JP, López JC, Portavella M. What are the functions of fish brain pallium? Brain Res Bull. 2009;79:43–40.

33. Vidal-Gonzalez I, Vidal-Gonzalez B, Rauch SL, Quirk GJ. Microstimulation reveals opposing influences of prelimbic and infralimbic cortex on the expression of conditioned fear. Learn Mem. 2006;13:728–33. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.306106

34. Demski LS. The pallium and mind/behavior relationships in teleosts. Physiol Behav. 2013;82:31–44. https://doi.org/10.10115/000351994

35. Luo AH, Tahsili-Fahadan P, Wise RA, Lupica CR, Aston-Jones G. Linking context with reward: a functional circuit from hippocampal CA3 to ventral tegmental area. Science. 2011;333:353–7.
36. Singewald GM, Rjabokon A, Singewald N, Ebner K. The modu-
  latory role of the lateral septum on neuroendocrine and behavio-
  ral stress responses. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011;36:793–804.
37. Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. Neural regulation of endocrine and
  autonomic stress responses. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10:397–409.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2647
38. Russo SJ, Nestler EJ. The brain reward circuitry in mood disor-
  pers. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2008;31:399–426. https://doi.org/10.1146.
  annurev.neuro.31.050807.123452
39. Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. Neural regulation of endocrine and
  autonomic stress responses. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10:397–409.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2647
40. Russo SJ, Nestler EJ. The brain reward circuitry in mood disor-
  pers. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2008;31:399–426. https://doi.org/10.1146.
  annurev.neuro.31.050807.123452
41. Chrousos GP. Stress and disorders of the stress system. Nat Rev
  Endocrinol. 2009;5:374–81.
42. Flory CM. Autonomic innervation of the spleen of the coho
  salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch: A histochemical demonstration and
  preliminary assessment of its immunoregulatory role. Brain
  Behav Immun. 1989;3:331–44.
43. Nance DM, Sanders VM. Autonomic innervation and regula-
  tion of the immune system (1987–2007). Brain Behav Immun.
  2007;21:736–45.
44. Elenkov IJ, Wilder RL, Chrousos GP, Vizi ES. The sympathetic
  nerve-adenine integrative interface between two supersystems: the
  brain and the immune system. Pharmacol Rev. 2000;52:595–638.
45. Pavlov VA. Cholinergic modulation of inflammation. Int J Clin
  Exp Med. 2008:1:203–12.
46. Kawashima K, Fuji T. The lymphohcyte cholinergic system and
  its contribution to the regulation of immune activity. Life Sci.
  2003;74:675–96.
47. Roy B, Rai U. Role of adrenergic-coupled second messen-
  ger system in sympatho-adrenomedullary modulation of splenic
  macrophage functions in live fish Channa punctatus. Gen Comp
  Endocrinol. 2008;155:298–306.
48. Jozefowski SJ, Plytycz B. Characterization of beta-adrenergic
  receptors in fish and amphibian lymphoid organs. Dev Comp
  Immunol. 1998;22:587–603.
49. Finkenbine SS, Gettys TW, Burnett KG. Beta-adrenergic receptors
  on leucocytes of the channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus. Comp
  Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2002;131:27–37.
50. Flory CM. Phylogeny of neuroimmunoregulation: effects of ad-
  renergic and cholinergic agents on the in vitro antibody response of
  the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dev Comp Immunol.
  1990;14:283–94.
51. Flory CM, Bayne CJ. The influence of adrenergic and cholinergic
  agents on the chemiluminescent and mitogenic responses of leu-
  kocytes from the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dev Comp
  Immunol. 1991;15:135–42.
52. Narraware YK, Baker BI, Tomlinson MG. The effect of various
  stresses, corticosteroids and adrenergic agents on phagocytosis in
  the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Fish Physiol Biochem.
  1994;13:31–40.
53. Chadzinska M, Tertil E, Kepka M, Hermens T, Scheer M, Verburg-van
  Kemenade BM. Adrenergic regulation of the innate immune response
  in common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Dev Comp Immunol. 2012;36:306–16.
regulation in common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. J Endocrinol. 2008;198:403–17.

71. Stolte EH, Nabuurs SB, Bury NR, Sturm A, Flik G, Savelkoul HF, et al. Stress and innate immunity in carp: corticosteroid receptors and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Mol Immunol. 2008;46:70–9.

72. Backström T, Winberg S. Central corticotropin releasing factor and social stress. Front Neurosci. 2013;7:117. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.00117

73. Dinan TG. Serotonin and the regulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function. Life Sci. 1996;58:1683–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(96)00066-5

74. Höglund E, Nilsson A, Hylland P, Söderström V, Nilsson GE. Serotonin as a regulator of hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal activity in teleost fish. Neurosci Lett. 1997;230:113–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(97)00488-6

75. Medeiros LR, Mager EM, Grosse M, McDonald MD. The serotonin subtype 1A receptor regulates cortisol secretion in the Gulf toadfish, *Opsanus beta*. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2010;168:377–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2010.05.004

76. Winberg S, Nilsson A, Hylland P, Söderström V, Nilsson GE. Serotonin as a regulator of hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal activity in teleost fish. Neurosci Lett. 1997;230:113–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(97)00488-6

77. Bury NR, Sturm A, Rouzic PL, Lethimonier C, Ducouret B, Guiguen Y, et al. Evidence for two distinct functional glucocorticoid receptors in teleost fish. J Mol Endocrinol. 2003;31:141–56. https://doi.org/10.1677/jme.0.0310141

78. Colombe L, Fostier A, Bury N, Pakdel F, Guiguen YA. Mineralocorticoid-like receptor in the rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: cloning and characterization of its steroid binding domain. Steroids. 2000;65:319–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-128X(00)00090-8

79. Sturm A, Bury N, Dengreville L, Fagart J, Flouriot G, Rafestin-Oblin ME, et al. 11-Deoxycorticosterone is a potent agonist of the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) mineralocorticoid receptor. Endocrinology. 2005;146:47–55. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2004-0128

80. Alderman SL, Bernier NJ. Localization of corticotropin-releasing factor, urotensin I, and CRF-binding protein gene expression in the brain of the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. J Comp Neurol. 2007;502:1711–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20789

81. Höglund E, Kolm N, Winberg S. Stress-induced changes in brain serotonergic activity, plasma cortisol and aggressive behavior in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) is counteracted by L-DOPA. J Exp Biol. 2000;203:1711–21.

82. Acerete L, Balasch JC, Castellana B, Redruello B, Roher N, Canario AV, et al. Cloning of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Differential expression of GR and immune genes in gilthead seabream after an immune challenge. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2007;148:32–43.

83. Metz JR, Huisin MO, Leon K, Verburg-van Kemenade BM, Flik G. Central and peripheral interleukin-1beta and interleukin-1 receptor I expression and their role in the acute stress response of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. J Endocrinol. 2006;191:25–35.

84. Saha NR, Usami T, Suzuki Y. A double staining flow cytometric assay for the detection of steroid induced apoptotic leukocytes in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Dev Comp Immunol. 2003;27:351–63.

85. Weyts FA, Verburg-van Kemenade BM, Flik G, Lambert JG, Wendelaar Bonga SE. Conservation of apoptosis as an immune regulatory mechanism: effects of cortisol and cortisone on carp lymphocytes. Brain Behav Immun. 1997;11:95–105.

86. Wang GY, Zhu ZM, Cui S, Wang JH. Glucocorticoid induces incoordination between Glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons in the amygdala. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0166535. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166535

87. Alderman SL, McGuire A, Bernier NJ, Vijayan MM. Central and peripheral glucocorticoid receptors are involved in the plasma cortisol response to an acute stressor in rainbow trout. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2012;176:79–85.

88. Weld MM, Fryer JN, Rivier J, Lederis K. Inhibition of CRF- and urotensin I-stimulated ACTH release from goldfish pituitary cell columns by the CRF analogue alpha-helical CRF-(9–41). Regul Pept. 1987;19:273–80.

89. Baker BI, Bird DJ, Buckingham JC. Salmonid melanin-concentrating hormone inhibits corticotrophin release. J Endocrinol. 1985;106:85–8.

90. Baker BI, Bird DJ, Buckingham JC. Effects of chronic administration of melanin-concentrating hormone on corticotrophin, melanotropin, and pigmentation in the trout. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 1986;63:62–9.

91. Baker BI, Rance TA. Differences in concentrations of plasma cortisol in the trout and the eel following adaptation to black or white backgrounds. J Endocrinol. 1981;89:135–40.

92. Gilham ID, Baker BI. A black background facilitates the response to stress in teleosts. J Endocrinol. 1985;105:99–105.

93. Kawauchi H, Kawaoze I, Tsubokawa M, Kishida M, Baker BI. Characterization of melanin-concentrating hormone in chum salmon pituitaries. Nature. 1983;305:321–3.

94. Qu D, Ludwig DS, Gammeltoft S, Piper M, Pelleymounter MA, Cullen MJ, et al. A role for melanin-concentrating hormone in the central regulation of feeding behaviour. Nature. 1996;380:243–7.

95. Matsuda K, Shimakura S, Maruyama K, Miura T, Uchiyama M, Kawauchi H, et al. Central administration of melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) suppresses food intake, but not locomotor activity, in the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Neurosci Lett. 2006;399:259–63.

96. Shimon-Hophy M, Avtalion RR. Humoral and cellular effects of stress - an extensive model system. Am J Immunol. 2017;13:131–43. https://doi.org/10.3844/ajjsp.2017.131.143

97. Bernier NJ, Kajiwra H, Takei Y, Perry SF. Mediation of humoral catecholamine secretion by the renin–angiotensin system in hypoten site rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). J Endocrinol. 1999;160:351–63.
102. Montpetit CJ, Perry SF. Adrenergic regulation of catecholamine secretion from trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) chromaffin cells. J Endocrinol. 2002;173:187–97.

103. Banerjee S, Leptin M. Systemic response to ultraviolet radiation involves induction of leukocytic IL-1p and inflammation in zebrafish. J Immunol. 2014;193:1408–15. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400232

104. Cortés R, Teles M, Trídico R, Acerete L, Tort L. Effects of cortisol administered through slow-release implants on innate immune responses in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Int J Gen. 2013;2013: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/619714

105. Shimon-Hophy M, Avtalion RR. NCCRP-1 might not be the functional homolog of the mammalian NCCs in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). J Endocrinol. 1999;165:210–9. https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.0.1650210

106. Hsieh CS, Macatonia SE, Tripp CS, Wolf SF, O’Garra A, Murphy K. Development of TH1 CD4+ T cells through IL-12 produced by Listeria-induced macrophages. Science. 1993;260(5107):547–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8097338

107. Szabo SJ, Kim ST, Costa GL, Zhang X, Fathman CG, Glimcher LH. A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. Cell. 2000;100:655–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)08072-3

108. Sekiya T, Yoshimura A. In vitro Th differentiation protocol. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1344:183–91.

109. Dixo N, Simon SL. Chemokines, selectins, and intracellular calcium flux: temporal and spatial cues for leukocyte arrest. Front Immunol. 2012;3:188. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00188

110. Wojtaszek J, Dziewulska-Szwajkowska D, Lozinska-Gabska M, Adamowicz A, Dzugaj A. Hematological effects of high doses of cortisol on the carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.): Cortisol effect on the function of a long-term goldfish macrophage cell line. Dev Immunol. 2002;13:199–214.

111. Evans DL, Jaso-Friedmann L. Nonspecific cytotoxic cells as effectors of immunity in fish. Annu Rev Fish Dis. 1992;2:109–21.

112. Tschopp J, Nabholz M. Perforin-mediated target cell lysis by cytolytic T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol. 1990;8:279–302.

113. Hayday AC. [gamma][delta] cells: a right time and a right place for a conserved third way of protection. Ann Rev Immunol. 2000;18:975–1026.

114. Dittmer U. Independent roles of perforin, granzymes, and Fas in cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol. 1998;16:379–401.

115. Law WY, Chen WH, Song YL, Dufour S, Chang CF. Differential effects of cortisol on apoptosis and proliferation of carp B-lymphocytes from head kidney, spleen and blood. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 1999;9:405–15. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1998.0197

116. Banerjee S, Leptin M, Kentouri M, Abad ME, Tort L. Non-specific immune responses in the red porgy (*P. pagrus*) after crowding stress. Aquaculture. 1999;175:279–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00075-6

117. Ruane NM, Wendelaar Bonga SE, Balm P. Differences between rainbow trout and brown trout in the regulation of the pituitary-interrenal axis and physiological performance during confinement. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 1999;115:210–9. https://doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1999.7292

118. Mauri I, Romero A, Acerete L, MacKenzie S, Roher N, et al. Changes in complement responses in Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.) and European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) under crowding stress, plus viral and bacterial challenges. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2011;30:182–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2010.10.006

119. Cuesta A, Meseguer J, Esteban MA. Total serum immunoglobulin M levels are affected by immunomodulators in seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2004;101:203–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.04.021

120. Engelma K, Akhavan SR, Fallah FJ, Henry MA. Variations of physiological and proteomic responses to single and repeated hypoxia in juvenile Eurasian perch under domestication-Clues to physiological acclimation and humoral immune modulations. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2012;33:1112–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.013

121. Cortés R, Teles M, Trídico R, Acerete L, MacKenzie S, Roher N, et al. Physiological and proteomic responses to single and repeated hypoxia in juvenile Eurasian perch after crowding stress (*Perca fluviatilis*) subjected to recurrent acute stress. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2012;34:147–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2011.04.014.

122. Eslamloo K, Akhavan SR, Fallah FJ, Henry MA. Variations of physiological and innate immunological responses in gold-fish (*Carassius auratus*) subjected to recurrent acute stress. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2014;37:147–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.01.014

123. Cristea V, Mocanu M, Antache A, Docan A, Dediu L, et al. Effect of stocking density on leukocyte reaction of *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum, 1792). Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2012;45:31–6.

124. Pulford AL, Crumpe M, Langston A, Glynn PJ. Modulatory effects of disease, stress, copper, TBT and vitamin E on the immune system of flatfish. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 1995;5:631–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1050-4648(95)80046-8

125. Verburg-Van Kemenade BML, Nowak B, Engelsma MA, Weyts FAA. Differential effects of cortisol on apoptosis and proliferation of carp B-lymphocytes from head kidney, spleen and blood. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 1999;9:405–15. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1998.0197

126. Pagniello KB, Bols NC, Lee LE. Differential effects of cortisol on apoptotic protein expression in vitro. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2002;135:196–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-6480(02)00446-9

127. Montpetit CJ, Perry SF. Adrenergic regulation of catecholamine secretion from trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) chromaffin cells. J Endocrinol. 2002;173:187–97.

128. Fast MD, Hosoya S, Johnson SC, Afonso LO. Cortisol response involved in stress-induced lymphocytosis in zebrafish. Fish Physiol Biochem. 2013;2013: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/619714

129. Fast MD, Hosoya S, Johnson SC, Afonso LO. Cortisol response and immune-related effects of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar Linnaeus*). J Immunol. 2008;182:194–204.

130. Hayday AC. [gamma][delta] cells: a right time and a right place for a conserved third way of protection. Ann Rev Immunol. 2000;18:975–1026.

131. Smyth MJ, Kelly JM, Sutton VR, Davis JE, Browne KA, Sayers TJ, et al. Unlocking the secrets of cytotoxic granule proteins. J Leukoc Biol. 2001;70:18–29.

132. Tschopp J, Nabholz M. Perforin-mediated target cell lysis by cytolytic T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol. 1999;8:279–302.

133. Zelinsky G, Balkow S, Schimmer S, Scheppers K, Simon MM, Dittmer U. Independent roles of perforin, granzymes, and Fas in
the control of Friend retrovirus infection. Virology. 2004;330:365–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2004.08.040

134. Chen L, He W, Kim ST, Tao J, Gao Y, Chi H, et al. Epigenetic and transcriptional programs lead to default IFN-gamma production by gamma delta T cells. J Immunol. 2007;178:2730–6. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.2730

135. Skeen MJ, Ziegler HK. Activation of gamma delta T cells for production of IFN-gamma is mediated by bacteria via macrophage-derived cytokines IL-1 and IL-12. J Immunol. 1995;154:5832–41.

136. Elenkov IJ, Chrousos GP. Stress hormones, Th1/Th2 patterns, pro/anti-inflammatory cytokines and susceptibility to disease. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 1999;10:359–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-2760(99)00188-5

How to cite this article: Shimon-Hophy M, Avtalion RR. Influence of chronic stress on the mechanism of the cytotoxic system in common carp (Cyprinus carpio). Immunology. 2021;164:211–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13345