Double somatic mosaicism in a child with Dravet syndrome
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Dravet syndrome, the prototypic infantile-onset developmental and epileptic encephalopathy, occurs secondary to de novo pathogenic variants in SCN1A in over 80% of cases. One possible genetic etiology for patients without a heterozygous SCN1A mutation is a post-zygotic mosaic SCN1A variant below the level detected by diagnostic sequencing, which routinely identifies only variants with allele frequencies above ~20%. We used targeted deep resequencing to systematically investigate whether mosaicism could be the cause in individuals with molecularly unsolved Dravet syndrome.

Results

Using single-molecule molecular inversion probes, we performed deep sequencing of SCN1A and 7 other Dravet-associated genes (SCN2A, SCN8A, HCN1, GABRA1, GABRG2, STXBP1, and PCDH19) using DNA derived from blood or saliva of 20 individuals with a clinical diagnosis of Dravet or Dravet-like syndrome. Previous targeted sequencing had not identified a heterozygous pathogenic variant in SCN1A or 65 other epilepsy genes. We identified an individual who was mosaic for 2 different pathogenic variants at the same nucleotide position in SCN1A: chr2:g.166848363A > G, p.(Phe1808Leu) and chr2:g.166848363A > C, p.(Phe1808Val). The SCN1A variants were present in the blood at allele frequencies of 8.3% and 6.9%, respectively, which is well below the level of detection by standard targeted and Sanger sequencing technologies. We were able to detect both variants at varying allele frequencies (ranging from 0.6% to 39.7%) in DNA derived from hair follicles and skin fibroblasts (figure, A and B). Through cloning and sequencing a fragment containing both a maternally inherited SNP (rs10497275) and the mosaic variant site, we determined that both variants are located on the paternal allele.

This 12-year-old girl presented at 6 months with a brief febrile generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS). She continued to have occasional febrile GTCS until 1 year of age when she had 3 10-minute focal motor seizures; 1 left hemiconic and 1 followed by a postictal right Todd’s paresis. At 2 years, she developed clusters (up to 15 seizures over 4 days) of focal impaired awareness facial clonic seizures every 6 weeks. Her first episode of status epilepticus occurred at 3 years. Development was normal until 2 years when language delay became apparent and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder were diagnosed. Despite trials of valproate, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, topiramate, clobazam, and cannabidiol, her seizures remain intractable. EEGs at 17 months and 3 years showed no epileptiform discharges. Subsequent EEGs have not been possible due to behavior. MRI and routine metabolic and CSF studies are normal.
Discussion

Double somatic mosaicism, where 2 mosaic variants occur at the same nucleotide, has not been reported in Dravet syndrome and only rarely recognized in human diseases; recently, a single case was reported in SCN2A encephalopathy. We detected double mosaicism in SCN1A in a patient with Dravet syndrome. The variants are predicted to cause different amino acid changes at the same residue (p.Phe1808). Both variants are present in cells derived from ectodermal (hair follicles) and mesodermal (leukocytes and skin fibroblasts) tissues. That neither variant is limited to a single germ layer strongly suggests that the mutations occurred prior to gastrulation. Although brain tissue is unavailable for confirmation, these findings suggest that both variants should be present in brain, another ectodermal tissue.

It is estimated that every individual accumulates approximately 8 spontaneous mutations per somatic cell division. Since both mutations occurred after the first cell cleavage but before gastrulation, it is improbable that spontaneous mutations randomly occurred at the same nucleotide twice in such a narrow developmental window. It is also unlikely that this non-cytosine-phosphate-guanine nucleotide has an appreciably higher mutation rate than the genome average. The excess of triallelic sites present in the genome supports the occurrence of simultaneous mutations on opposite strands of the same DNA molecule. This model would explain the double mosaicism of the same nucleotide in our patient and is supported by the finding that both mosaic variants occurred on the paternal allele (figure, C).

Based on American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines, both SCN1A:p.(Phe1808Leu) and SCN1A:p.(Phe1808Val) are classified as pathogenic variants. Therefore, approximately 15.2% of SCN1A alleles harbor pathogenic mutations in the blood of our patient. We recently reported 2 parents with febrile seizures who were mosaic for SCN1A variants with 17.6% and 30.6% mosaic allele frequencies in blood respectively, while their nonmosaic offspring had Dravet syndrome. In contrast to the milder phenotypes in the parents with mosaic variants in these families, our patient reported here had the classical features of Dravet syndrome similar to a reported individual who was heterozygous for the same p.(Phe1808Leu) pathogenic variant. Marked differences in phenotypic severity associated with mosaicism are likely explained by variation in mosaicism levels in disease-relevant tissues. For the brain, perhaps this may even be determined by region-specific and cell-type-specific differences. Comprehensive studies of disease-relevant tissue will be required to gain a more accurate picture of somatic mosaicism levels and how this affects disease severity.
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