Effects of *Isaria fumosorosea* on *TYLCV* (*Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus*) Accumulation and Transmitting Capacity of *Bemisia tabaci*
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Abstract

*Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* (*TYLCV*) is transmitted by the *Bemisia tabaci* pest Middle East-Asia Minor 1 (MEAM1) in China. *Isaria fumosorosea* is a fungal pathogen of *B. tabaci*. However, the effects of fungal infection on *TYLCV* expression and transmission by MEAM1 are unclear. In this study, potted tomatoes containing second instar nymphs of MEAM1 were treated with *I. fumosorosea* IFB01 strain and the relationship between fungal infection in MEAM1 and its *TYLCV* transmission capacity was investigated. The results indicated that a significantly (p < 0.05) decreased incidence of transmission of *TYLCV*-infected plants (*ITYPs*) transmitted by second instar nymphs of MEAM1 infected with fungus. Further, we found a negative correlation between fungal conidial concentrations and eclosion rates of MEAM1, and a positive correlation between *ITYPs* and eclosion. In addition, when each plant was exposed to three adults treated with fungus, a significantly decreased transmission of *TYLCV* (*TYTE*) was observed in the infected group. However, the incidence of *TYLCV*-carrying MEAM1 adults (*ITYAs*) was not significantly different in the infected and control groups (p < 0.05). Nevertheless, a significant decrease in viral accumulation using *TYLCV AC2* gene as a marker was observed in the fungus-infected MEAM1. In conclusion, the results suggested that *I. fumosorosea* infection decreases *TYLCV* accumulation in MEAM1 and subsequently reduces its transmission. Our study provides new insights into the relationship between host plant, plant virus, insect vector, and entomopathogenic fungus.

Introduction

*Bemisia tabaci*, is an important insect species comprising harmful pests: Middle East-Asia Minor 1 (MEAM1, i.e., B-biotype) and Mediterranean species (MED, i.e., Q-biotype) [1,2]. *B. tabaci* transmits the *tomato yellow leaf curl virus* (*TYLCV*), which is a begomovirus infecting plant species, resulting in agricultural damage [1,2]. In China, *TYLCV* was identified in the 1980’s. However, its prevalence increased only after 2006, resulting in serious decrease in
tomato production [3,4]. The TYLCV epidemic is associated with the invasion and spread of MEAM1 and MED. MEAM1 was detected in China in the early 1990’s, while the MED was found in the 2000’s [5,6]. The relationship between begomoviruses and B. tabaci is evolutionarily conserved. TYLCV is transmitted by B. tabaci in a disease cycle. Virus survival in the hemolymph of B. tabaci is ensured by GroEL homologue proteins secreted by a B. tabaci secondary endosymbiont. Viral spread to non-host plants may lead to association with the insect for its entire 4- to 5-week adult life. During this period, the ability of the insects to inoculate plants is steadily decreased. The long-term presence of TYLCVs in B. tabaci is associated with decline in insect life span and fertility. Viral DNA is transmitted to progeny, without infectivity. TYLCV mRNA is detected in insects, suggesting possible replication and expression in the vector. TYLCV may spread among B. tabaci during copulation [7]. However, the pathogenesis and epidemiology of TYLCV have yet to be elucidated. Due to the complex interaction between host factors in the plants, viruses, insect vectors and environment, it is essential to understand the mechanisms and patterns of interaction involving “host plant-virus-vector” for integrated pest management.

Chemical insecticides are still the mainstay of B. tabaci control and management. However, adverse effects including resistance to neo-nicotinoids led to MED outbreak and TYLCV prevalence in China [3]. Therefore, TYLCV control requires development of new integrated pest management (IPM) technologies against its insect vector, B. tabaci. Entomogenous fungi mainly invade insects through the cuticle and morphologically transform into blastospores after entering the host hemoceol. To colonize the host insects, the fungus must adapt to the hemolymph and defend against infection by other pathogens [8,9,10,11]. Poisonous fungal entomopathogens represent the best microbes to control sap-sucking pests. In fact, Beauveria bassiana [12,13,14], Metarhizium anisopliae [15,16], and Lecanicillium (Verticillium) lecanii [17,18], were broadly studied for the biocontrol of B. tabaci. However, few studies have investigated the interactions from the perspective of “host plant-phytopathogenic virus-insect vector—entomopathogenic fungus”. It is unknown whether fungal entomopathogens infect the host insect transmitting the plant virus.

*Isaria fumosorosea* (*Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*) is an entomopathogenic fungal species that usually infects B. tabaci nymphs [19]. Several strains of the species were isolated and validated as potential biological control agents in B. tabaci [20,21,22,23]. In a previous study, we selected the *I. fumosorosea* strain, IFB01, which was effective against B. tabaci [24]. We, therefore, evaluated its role in TYLCV transmission of B. tabaci. This study enhances our understanding of the interaction between host plant, phytopathogenic virus, insect vector, and entomopathogenic fungi.

**Materials and Methods**

**Tomato, TYLCV and MEAM1**

The Xinjingfeng 1 variety of tomato, *Solanum lycopersicum*, available commercially (Guangzhou Changhe Seeds Company), was used as the host for TYLCV and MEAM1. The seeds were planted in 8×6 cm pots and cultured in 60×60×60 cm cages at 25±1°C and exposed to 14h dark/10h light cycle. After germination, only the seedling was maintained in each pot (potted-plant) for further use.

The infectious clone of TYLCV was kindly provided by Prof. Zhou Xueping (College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, China). A small amount of a TYLCV infectious clone was inoculated in 5 mL YEP broth (yeast extracts 10 g/L, peptone 10 g/L, sodium chloride 5 g/L, kanamycin 50 ml/L, streptomycin 50 ml/L and water 1 L) and cultured at 28°C and 200 rpm for 24 h. The broth was collected and subjected to centrifugation at 4000
rpm for 3 min and the supernatant was discarded. The broth containing pellets was transferred to an injector for TYLCV inoculation. When the tomato potted-plants grew to the 3-to 4-leaf stage, a 0.2 mL of TYLCV inoculum was injected at the stem base of each tomato plant. The plants were further cultured to a 6-7-leaf stage. The plants showing apparent symptoms were selected and subjected to PCR testing to validate the TYLCV infection. The TYLCV-infected plants (TY-plants) were maintained in 60×60×60 cm cages at 25±1°C and exposed to 14h dark/10h light cycle.

The MEAM1 population was maintained in our lab for more than 20 generations. It was validated by sequencing the PCR fragment of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene (mtCOI) amplified with the consensus primers, C1-J-2195 (5‘-TTGATTTTTTTGGTCA TCCA GAAGT-3‘) and TL2N-3014 (5‘-TCCAATGCACTAATCTGC CATATTA-3‘)[25]. The MEAM1 insects were fed with pot-grown non-TYLCV host, Brassica alboglabra, and cultured in 60×60×60 cm cages at 25±1°C and exposed to 14h dark/10h light cycle. The MEAM1 population was maintained in a separate greenhouse and insectarium to prevent contamination from other B. tabaci and begomovirus.

The basic and interior leaves of the TY-plants were initially covered with a plastic pocket, and two leaves were retained for MEAM1 infestation. Forty MEAM1 adults were introduced from B. alboglabra plants into each TY-plant. After laying eggs for 1 d, the adults were driven away. When the insects developed to 2nd instar nymphs, their numbers were counted to ensure adequate number in each TY-plant (others were removed).

**Fungal treatment experiment 1**

The *I. fumosorosea* IfB01 strain (China Center for Type Culture Collection access number: CCTCC.M 2012400) was used. The slant was inoculated on a PDA plate and cultured at 26°C for 2 to 3 weeks. The conidia were collected and suspended with 0.02% Tween 80 into a stock solution containing 10⁸ spores/mL. The different working dilutions were prepared from the stock using 0.02% Tween 80 solution.

The fungal treatments were conducted using three different concentrations of IfB01 conidia: 100.0 × 10⁶, 10.0 × 10⁶, and 1.0 × 10⁶ spores/mL. The leaf immersion method (China standard NY/T 1154.14–2008) was used for the bioassay. Before treatment, the potted plants were examined to ensure the presence of adequate number of second instar nymphs of MEAM1. During the treatment, the leaf with MEAM1 nymphs was dipped into each conidial working suspension for 30 s. The control treatment was 0.02% Tween-80. After drying, the potted plants were transferred to cages. Three cages contained three types of plants: 3 TY-plants and 3 normal plants (3/3 cage), 2 TY-plants and 6 normal plants (2/6 cage), 1 TY-plants and 5 normal plants (1/5 cage) (Fig 1). A total of 300 nymphs were allotted to each cage, i.e., 100 nymphs per TY-plant in the 3/3 cage, 150 nymphs for each TY-plant in the 2/6 cage and 300 nymphs targeting each TY-plant in the 1/5 cage (Fig 1). The culture conditions were same as above. All the treatments were performed in triplicate, and the same experiment was repeated twice in 2011–2013 and 2014–2015. The treatments were inspected for insect growth. The nymphs, pupae and adults were counted daily. The eclosion rate was evaluated using the equation: eclosion rate (%) = 100 × puparium number / total nymphs number

The incidence of TY-plants (ITYP) was surveyed on post-eclosion days 3 and 8 after all the pupae molted. The TYLCV in each plant was detected as described previously [26]. In brief, leaves weighing up to 150 mg were cut from the top row of normal plants using a clean scissors, and homogenized. The genomic DNA was extracted with DP3111 kit (BioTeke Corporation, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The primers TYLCV-F (5’-ACGCATGCTCTAATCCAGTGTA-3’) and TYLCV-R (5’-CCATAAGGCGTAAGCGTGT-3’).
AGAC-3′) were used to PCR amplify the 543 bp target fragment (TYLCV SF543) [26]. The PCR mix included 1 μL DNA template, 25 μL 2×MasterMix (BioTeke, PR1701, BioTeke Corporation, Beijing, China), 1 μL of each 10 μmol/L TYLCV-F and TYLCV-R, and ddH2O to obtain a final volume of 50 μL. The PCR conditions were: 94°C pre-denaturation for 3 min, 30 cycles of 94°C denaturation for 30 s, 56°C annealing for 30 s, 72°C extension for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were detected using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and photography. The plants testing positive were considered as TY-plants. The proportion of TY-plants (ITYP) was evaluated according to the following equation: ITYP (%) = 100 × TY-plant number / total plant number. The TYLCV transmission efficiency of each MEAM1 adult (TYTE) was estimated based on the ITYP values and the number of adults and plants using the following equation: TYTE (%) = ITYP × number of adults / number of plants.

The results were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) and regression with SPSS V17.0 statistical software (IBM, USA).

**Fungal treatment experiment 2**

The second instar nymphs of MEAM1 generated on TY-plants were treated with an IfB01 conidial suspension of 10×10⁶ spores/mL as described above. After eclosion, the MEAM1 adults were transferred to normal potted plants. Three adults were introduced to each potted plant to transmit TYLCV for three days. All the adults were collected separately and stored at -20°C for TYLCV tests. Each treatment included 10 potted plants and the experiment was performed in triplicate (30 plants). The ITYPs were investigated similarly after treatment on days 3 and 8 post-treatment.

To evaluate the incidence of TYLCV-carrying MEAM1 adults (TY-adults), the TYLCV in each adult was detected [27]. Initially, the total DNA was extracted from each adult with an OSR-M401 TGuide Kit (Tiangen Biotech (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) according the manufacturer’s protocol. The TYLCV SF543 fragment was amplified with PCR as described above. Thirty adults were tested. The MEAM1 adults testing positive with PCR served as TY-adults. The incidence of TY-adults (ITYA) was evaluated according to the following equation: ITYA (%) = 100 × TY-adults number / 30, where “30” denotes the total number of MEAM1 adults tested.

The TYLCV accumulation in each MEAM1 adult was quantified using qPCR [28]. The PCR primer pairs were TySH-JC-F (5′-GAAACGACCAGTGCTGAGGTCTCTTGAATGTC-3′) and TySH-JC-R (5′-AAGAAACCAATAAGGGCTAAGGCTGTA-3′) to amplify the AC2 gene (322 bp) fragment derived from TYLCV-IL [CN: SH2] genome (AM282874.1). The MEAM1 β-actin gene was used as an internal reference and amplified with the primers β-actin-F (5′-
TCACCACCACAGCTGAGAG A-3’ and β-actin-R (5’-CTCGTGGATACCGAAGATT-3’).

The qPCR was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX Connect™ Real-time Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). The reaction mixture contained 1 μL DNA template for the target genes, 0.5 μL of each primer, 10 μL iTaq™ Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, USA), and 8 μL ddH2O. The cycling conditions involved pre-denaturation for 3 min at 94°C, and 40 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 30 s at 57°C, extension for 30 s at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The expression of target genes was quantified based on the values of $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ [29]. Samples from 17 adults and 18 control adults were analyzed using qPCR.

The results were subject to statistical analysis using Excel 2003 software (Microsoft, USA).

Results

Effect of *I. fumosorosea* on MEAM1 eclosion

The eclosion rates of MEAM1 were decreased with the fungal conidial dose after the 2nd instar nymphs were treated by *I. fumosorosea* IfB01 strain (Table 1). At the fungal conidia concentrations of 100.0, 10.0 and 1.0 ($\times10^6$ spores/mL), eclosion rates of 11.33±0.58d, 12.33±0.58d and 15.50±0.87b were recorded, respectively. A significantly higher value of 84.89% was observed in the CK ($p<0.05$) (Table 1). The ANOVA results indicated significantly different eclosion rates ($p<0.01$) of MEAM1 following exposure to varying concentrations of fungal conidia. The differences were insignificant ($p>0.05$) when TY-plants were compared with normal plants, and conidia concentrations in TY-plants were compared with normal plants (Table 2). The results suggested that the conidial concentration of IfB01 strain significantly affected the eclosion of MEAM1. However, the comparison of TY-plants with normal plants and their conidial concentrations was not feasible. Regression analysis revealed a negative relationship between eclosion rate and the logarithm of fungal conidial concentration (Fig 2A).

Effect of *I. fumosorosea* on TY-plants

Based on the results of fungal treatment experiment 1, the incidence of TY-plants (ITYP) on MEAM1 post-eclosion days 3 and 8 were significantly decreased ($p<0.05$) depending on the fungal conidial dose after the 2nd instar nymphs were treated with *I. fumosorosea* IfB01 strain (Table 3). ANOVA indicated significantly different ($p<0.01$) ITYPs with various concentrations of fungal conidia and the TY-plants /normal plants. However, the differences in exposure
to the conidial concentrations of TY- and normal plants were insignificant (Table 4). These results suggested that the conidial concentration of IfB01 strain and the TY-plants/normal plants showed a significant effect on ITYPs. However, the interaction of conidial concentrations with TY-plants/normal plants was not observed. Further regression analysis showed a negative relationship between eclosion rate and the logarithm of fungal conidial concentration (Fig 2A).

In the fungal treatment (experiment) 2, in which each normal plant was exposed to 3 adults, the fungus infected MEAM1 showed lower ITYPs (Fig 3). The T-test indicated that on post-treatment day 3, the ITYP in the treatment group was 16.67%, which was significantly \((P < 0.05)\) lower than the ITYP of the control group (30%). However, ITYPs after the treatment (40.00%) and control (46.67%) on post-treatment day 8 were not significant. Obviously, the increased ITYP values on post-treatment day 8 were not attributed to transmission because all the MEAM1 adults were removed on post-treatment day 3. Probably, TYLCV levels were inadequate in plants on the post-treatment day 3. However, after 5 days of proliferation, the TYLCV was substantial and detectable.

### Effects of *I. fumosorosea* on TYLCV transmission by each MEAM1 adult (TYTE)

The TYTEs were evaluated according to the fungal treatment experiment 1 (Fig 4). The TYTE values were closely affected by the fungal concentrations, the transmission times (days of post-

---

**Table 2. ANOVA of eclosion rates of MEAM1.**

| Resource                        | Squariance | df | Mean square | F      | P     | Sig. |
|---------------------------------|------------|----|-------------|--------|-------|------|
| Calibrated model                | 27630.722  | 11 | 2511.88     | 144.453| 0.000 | *    |
| Intercept                       | 61669.444  | 1  | 61669.44    | 3546.486| 0.000 | *    |
| Conidial concentration          | 27489.889  | 3  | 9163.29     | 526.963| 0.000 | *    |
| TY-plants/normal plants         | 80.014     | 2  | 40.007      | 2.301  | 0.122 |      |
| Conidial concentration × TY-plants/normal plants | 60.819 | 6  | 10.137      | 0.583  | 0.740 |      |
| Errors                          | 417.333    | 24 | 17.389      |        |       |      |
| Total                           | 89717.500  | 36 |             |        |       |      |
| Calibrated total                | 28048.056  | 35 |             |        |       |      |

* Significant difference \((p < 0.05)\).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164356.t002
eclosion), the number of normal plants (TY-plants/Normal plants, T/N) and insect numbers on each normal plant (adults/plant). Totally, the number of TYTEs increased with the transmission duration and normal plant growth. However, the number of TYTEs decreased with reduced fungal concentrations and increase in the number of adults/plant. Obviously, the higher number of adults in each plant significant decreased the TYTEs within the transmission capacity of each adult. For example, treatment of T/N2/6-C100 with 6 adults per plant yielded a 0.13% TYTE, which was remarkably larger than 0.05% TYTE detected with T/N2/6-CK involving 44 adults per plant on post-treatment day 3 (Fig 4). Nevertheless, the significantly lower ITYP of 27.78% related to 75.00% control was recorded in the same treatment (Table 3), suggesting that the TYTEs in fungal treatment experiment 1 does not reflect the true viral transmission capacity of insects.

In an additional experiment, in which every normal plant was only introduced to three adults, the TYTE was significantly reduced with the fungal treatment compared with the control on post-treatment day 3 (Fig 3B). Obviously, this result was contrary to the data obtained from the first experiment. Therefore, the actual TYTEs were masked by the large numbers of adults on each plant in experiment 1. The second experiment carrying relatively fewer numbers (3 adults/plant) offered more realistic TYTE values.

Effects of *I. fumosorosea* on the incidence of TY-adults (ITYA)

The *TYLCV* AC2 genes of MEAM1 adults on post-eclosion day 3 were amplified using PCR in the fungal experiment 2. The results indicated that *TYLCVs* were found in 17/30 adults in the treatment group and 18/30 adults in the control group (Fig 5), which indicated that the ITYAs in the treatment and control groups were not statistically different.
Effects of *I. fumosorosea* on accumulation of TYLCV in individual MEAM1 adult

The cumulative TYLCV levels in individual MEAM1 adults were evaluated by qPCR amplification of AC2 gene in the TYLCV-carrying adults of the fungal treatment 2. The results indicated that the cumulative levels of TYLCV in each adult in the treatment group were apparently lower than in the control group (Fig 6) suggesting that the cumulative levels of TYLCV in TY-adults were likely reduced after the MEAM1 nymphs were infected by *I. fumosorosea*.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**Fig 3. ITYPs (A) and TYTE (B) in fungal treatment experiment 2.** * indicating significant differences between the two means by T-test. In this pot-cultured tomato in cage, the conidial suspension contained the IB01 strain of *I. fumosorosea*. The MEAM1 2nd instar nymphs in TY-plants were exposed to a conidial concentration of 10×10<sup>6</sup> spores/mL via leaf immersion. After eclosion, each of the normal tomato plants was infected with three adults. The control was treated with healthy MEAM1 adults.

Table 4. ANOVA of the incidence of TY-plants (ITYP).

| Resource                        | Squariance | df | Mean square | F      | P      | Sig. |
|---------------------------------|------------|----|-------------|--------|--------|------|
| **ITYP at post-eclosion d 3 (%)** |            |    |             |        |        |      |
| Calibrated model                | 13245.016  | 11 | 1204.092    | 14.382 | 0.000  | *    |
| Intercept                       | 85230.910  | 1  | 85230.910   | 1018.039 | 0.000 | *    |
| Conidial concentration          | 10661.968  | 3  | 3553.989    | 42.451 | 0.000  | *    |
| TY-plants /normal plants        | 2254.400   | 2  | 1127.200    | 13.464 | 0.000  | *    |
| Conidial concentration × TY-plants /normal plants | 328.648 | 6  | 54.775      | 0.654 | 0.687  |      |
| Errors                          | 2009.296   | 24 | 83.721      |        |        |      |
| Total                           | 100485.222 | 36 |             |        |        |      |
| Calibrated total                | 15254.313  | 35 |             |        |        |      |
| **ITYP at post-eclosion d 8 (%)** |            |    |             |        |        |      |
| Calibrated model                | 3310.033   | 11 | 300.912     | 4.193  | 0.002  | *    |
| Intercept                       | 134649.856 | 1  | 134649.856  | 1876.048 | 0.000 | *    |
| Conidial concentration          | 2566.445   | 3  | 855.482     | 11.919 | 0.000  | *    |
| TY-plants /normal plants        | 574.079    | 2  | 287.040     | 3.999  | 0.032  | *    |
| Conidial concentration × TY-plants /normal plants | 169.509 | 6  | 28.251      | 0.394  | 0.876  |      |
| Errors                          | 1722.556   | 24 | 71.773      |        |        |      |
| Total                           | 139682.445 | 36 |             |        |        |      |
| Calibrated total                | 5032.588   | 35 |             |        |        |      |

* Significant difference (p < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164356.t004
Discussion

The incidence and epidemic of insect-transmitted pathogenic viral disease is influenced by complex factors associated with the host plant, virus, vector and environment. Recognition of the interaction between host, virus, insect vector, and entomopathogenic fungus is essential to enhance our knowledge of plant viral disease and insect pests. In this study, we first focused on the relationship between tomato, TYLCV, MEAM1, and *I. fumosorosea*. In order to determine the role of fungal infection in the insect in TYLCV transmission by MEAM1, we conducted fungal experiment 1 to survey the variation in the incidence of TY-plants at different dosages of fungal treatment in nymphs and the incidence rates in TY-plants / normal plants. The results indicated that the incidence of TY-plants (ITYP) was substantively decreased after the plant carrying nymphs was treated with *I. fumosorosea*, suggesting that *I. fumosorosea* infection decreased the eclosion of MEAM1, and reduced the ITYPs.

We investigated the TYLCV transmission efficiencies of each adult (TYTEs) to evaluate the relationship between ITYPs and MEAM1 capacity of TYLCV transmission. However, we found that TYTEs declined with reduced concentrations of fungal treatment in the first experiment. Further analysis revealed that the excessive number of adults in each plant significantly reduced the TYTEs and was within the limits of every adult. Apparently, the actual TYTEs
were masked by the higher numbers of adults in each plant. Therefore, the TYTE failed to reflect the insect’s true viral transmission capacity.

Therefore, the fungal experiment 2 introduced three MEAM1 adults on each plant. As expected, significantly decreased ITYPs and TYTEs were observed with fungal treatment \((10 \times 10^6 \text{ spores/mL})\) compared with the control on post-treatment day 3. Apparently, the different ITYPs were related to fungal infection but not to reduced eclosion. Further, the TYTE values in the second experiment were more realistic.

Furthermore, we inspected the \(\text{TYLCV}\) in each MEAM1 adult in the second experiment. The results indicated that ITYAs in the treatment and control groups were not statistically different. However, the apparently lower \(\text{TYLCV}\) accumulation of TY-adults in the treatment group than in the control group was validated by further qPCR tests on post-treatment day 3. Thus, it is reasonable that the lower ITYPs in fungal treatment relate to smaller \(\text{TYLCV}\) levels in the MEAM1 adults and therefore, smaller \(\text{TYLCV}\) titers in the tomato plants. As a result, the virus levels are undetectable. However, after 5 days (on post-treatment day 8), the virus proliferation is significant and substantial for PCR detection.

ITYPs are attributed to viral transmission by TY-adults. However, in this study, after MEAM1 nymphs are inoculated with \(I. \text{fumosorosea}\), most of the nymphs are infected by fungus and finally die from fungal disease. However, the other nymphs uninfected by the fungus eventually develop into adults, which are the main reservoir of TY-adults. Additional TY-adult resource includes infected nymphs, which develop into adults. Therefore, we investigated the gene fragments of fungal rDNA-ITS in MEAM1 adults by PCR, and unfortunately, the fungus was not found (data unpublished). This result suggests that fungus was not found in surviving MEAM1 adults. However, the limited number of few fungal cells in the adults was undetectable through PCR.

The lower levels of \(\text{TYLCV}\) in MEAM1 adults might be related to specific substances produced by the fungi, which decrease feeding or interfere with \(\text{TYLCV}\) replication and transcription. In fact, entomopathogenic fungi usually produce a few compounds and specific proteins that inhibit other micro-organisms in the host \([30,31,32]\). For example, \(\text{Metarhizium anisopliae}\) secretes destruxins to suppress host immunity and viral activity \([33]\). Specific materials isolated from \(I. \text{fumosorosea}\) inhibited the virus, suggesting possible control of \(\text{TYLCV}\) by reducing the viral titers in MEAM1. Therefore, the management of insect-transmitted plant viruses is facilitated via control of viral levels in insect vectors.
The reduction of TYLCV in MEAM1 adults is probably related to host plants and endophytic fungal entomopathogens. Several reports of endophytic fungal entomopathogens were related to Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae, Purpureocillium lilacinum (Formerly Paecilomyces lilacinus) and Lecanicillium lecanii, which affect insect growth, development and reproduction [34,35,36,37]. If Isaria fumosorosea was endophytic after inoculation on tomato plants, additional investigation is desirable.

In conclusion, ITYPs and TYTEs were reduced by MEAM1 nymphs treated with I. fumosorosea IfB01 strain. Fungal treatment of MEAM1 nymphs decreased the eclosion rates and the cumulative levels of TYLCV in MEAM1 TY-adults. Consequently, infection of nymphs with I. fumosorosea IfB01 strain eventually reduces the adult numbers and decreases the TYLCV transmission capacity of TY-adults. Our study provides new insight into interactions between host plant, phytopathogenic viruses, insect vectors and entomopathogenic fungi.
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