Utilization of voriconazole drug monitoring in the treatment of cutaneous Scedosporium apiospermum infection
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1. Introduction

In recent years, Scedosporium apiospermum has gained recognition as a causative agent of infection in immunocompromised hosts, notably transplant recipients [1–3]. Even in immunocompetent hosts, traumatic inoculation can result in localized cutaneous infections [4]. Diagnosis can prove difficult owing to clinical and morphological similarity with other fungal species, namely Aspergillus and Fusarium [1,4]. Accurate diagnosis is essential, as this opportunist is highly antifungal-resistant often requiring unconventional antifungal treatment [1,2,4,5].

In this report, we present a case of cutaneous Scedosporium apiospermum infection treated with voriconazole. Therapeutic drug monitoring revealed subtherapeutic voriconazole levels requiring repeated dosage increases. Once therapeutic voriconazole levels were achieved, the patient's infection successfully resolved. A previous study reported successful use of voriconazole drug monitoring to treat lung scedosporiosis [6]. However, to our knowledge, this is the only reported case of cutaneous S. apiospermum infection utilizing voriconazole troughs to achieve therapeutic antifungal treatment.

2. Case

A 63-year-old African American male with past medical history significant for heart transplantation, on mycophenolic acid, prednisone, and tacrolimus immunosuppressant therapy, presented to dermatology on Day 0 for disseminated cutaneous zoster. The patient also reported sustaining an injury to his right shin two weeks prior, appearing on Day 0 as non-specific nodules and tumors (Fig. 1a).

The patient returned for follow-up on Day 27 with healing cutaneous zoster lesions; however, the wound on his right shin was larger. A biopsy of the right shin revealed granulomatous inflammation and abscesses with numerous fungal yeasts and structures suggestive of pseudohyphae when stained with Grocott’s methenamine silver (GMS) (Fig. 2). Subsequent fungal culture, processed by Clinical Pathology Laboratories in Austin, Texas using the lab's standard procedures*, revealed a Scedosporium apiospermum fungal infection for which the patient was referred to infectious disease. Treatment with this team began on Day 42 at which time the lesion was approximately 5 cm in length with two nodules at the superior and inferior borders. The lesion was freely movable above the underlying tibia, suggesting no bony involvement. At this time voriconazole 200 mg PO BID was prescribed. Therapeutic drug monitoring was also ordered due to the non-linear and idiosyncratic pharmacokinetics of voriconazole combined with its augmenting effects on tacrolimus levels, an immunosuppressant taken by the patient after his heart transplantation.

At his follow-up on Day 77, the patient reported tolerating the medication well; however, the wound was healing slowly. A voriconazole trough collected on Day 82 revealed a level of 0.1 mcg/mL, far below the therapeutic range of 1.0–5.5 mcg/mL [7]. The voriconazole dose was subsequently raised to 250 mg BID on Day 105 and
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again to 300 mg BID on Day 133 after trough levels continued to be low at 0.3 mcg/mL.

Delays in treatment were encountered due to multiple issues including patient being lost to follow-up and drug cost and consequently the skin lesion showed no improvement during this time (Fig. 1b). A voriconazole trough at the 300 mg dose was not collected until Day 250 which revealed a level of 0.6 mcg/mL. The dosage was increased to 400 mg BID on Day 259 and finally to a maximum dose of 500 mg BID on Day 308. By Day 350 marked improvement was noted in the size and elevation of the nodules (Fig. 1c). In the following months several voriconazole troughs were taken, many of which were in the therapeutic range reaching the maximal value of 2.0 mcg/mL. By Day 392 the cutaneous nodules were decreased in size and by Day 516 the skin lesions were essentially resolved (Fig. 1d). At this time, the patient was advised to continue treatment for an additional 3 months to achieve 6 months of therapy at therapeutic range.

*Clinical Pathology Laboratories tissue sample fungal procedure: Ground tissue is plated on the following media: sabouraud dextrose, sabouraud dextrose with clindamycin and cycloheximide, and brain heart infusion agar with gentamicin and chloramphenicol. Fungal structures are observed using lactophenol cotton blue tape preparation. No genetic analysis was performed.

3. Discussion

*Scedosporium apiospermum* is a highly antifungal resistant, opportunistic pathogen. This organism was previously considered the asexual form *Pseudallescheria boydii*; however, recent DNA sequence analysis show that these are two distinct species [8]. *S. apiospermum* infections present in various ways: 1) localized disease due to trauma 2) cavity colonization, and 3) systemic, invasive disease. In immunocompetent hosts, inoculation is typically trauma induced and results in a localized infection, specifically a subcutaneous mycetoma [1]. Most localized infections involve the feet or lower extremities. A mycetoma begins as a firm, small, painless nodule and progressively softens and ulcerates. In immunocompromised patients, such as the one reported in this case, the fungus produces scattered hyphae while in immunocompetent individuals the fungus will produce a grain formation [1,9]. Localized cutaneous infections are rare, but most often occur in the immunosuppressed and solid organ transplant recipients [4,5]. Invasive, systemic infections typically occur in immunocompromised hosts and victims of near drownings [1].

Due to antifungal resistance, treatment options for *S. apiospermum* infections are limited. Compared to other antifungals, such as
amphotericin B and itraconazole, voriconazole shows superior activity and lower MIC values in the treatment of *S. apiospermum* [10,11]. Furthermore, a large retrospective study including over 100 patients supports the use of voriconazole for the treatment of this species [12]. Voriconazole has successfully treated cutaneous, subcutaneous, and disseminated *S. apiospermum* infections [13–15]. While voriconazole is widely accepted as an appropriate treatment option for *S. apiospermum*, the appropriate dosing is less clear. Currently, the recommended dose according to the manufacturer is 200 mg PO BID; however, this dose often results in low serum concentrations and in such cases an increased dose is required to reach therapeutic levels [7]. When an appropriate dose is determined, antifungal therapy should continue until no signs and symptoms of infection persist, often requiring several months or even years of treatment.

In addition to antifungal treatment, surgical debridement is highly recommended due to improved outcomes [2]. For this patient, debridement was seriously considered, and plastic surgery was consulted. However, this option was not initially pursued due to the infection’s proximity to the tibia which would require skin grafting to an area with slow healing rates. Thankfully, an increased dose of voriconazole resolved the infection and surgical intervention was not necessary.

For invasive *Scedosporium* infections, current guidelines suggest monitoring serum voriconazole levels five to seven days into therapy. The therapeutic range of voriconazole is 1.0–5.5 mcg/mL and, for subtherapeutic trough concentrations, it is recommended to increase the dose of voriconazole [16]. Serum voriconazole trough levels were taken to both evaluate therapeutic serum levels and interactions with other medications, namely the calcineurin inhibitor tacrolimus. Voriconazole is an inhibitor of the CYP450 system and will thus increase levels of substances metabolized through this system. Consequently, during treatment with voriconazole, the patient’s dose of tacrolimus was monitored and lowered with each increase in antifungal dosage in close collaboration with a transplant pharmacist.

Treatment of this patient was prolonged due to continuous subtherapeutic concentrations of voriconazole; however, continued analysis via serum trough concentrations allowed the treatment team to find a dose that adequately controlled the infection. Thus, this case presents a strong argument for the use of voriconazole trough levels in all *S. apiospermum* infections treated with this antifungal.
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