Supervised Nasal Saline Irrigations in Otitis-Prone Children
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Objectives: To retrospectively investigate the impact of supervised daily nasal saline irrigations (NSI) with 0.9% saline solution in children with a history of recurrent acute otitis media (RAOM).

Methods: A retrospective pilot study was planned to evaluate the possible effect of supervised NSI in reducing the number of acute otitis media (AOM) episodes in otitis-prone children aged 1–5 years, compared to children not instructed to correct NSI performance.

Results: Analysis was based on the data contained in 173 charts (57.3% males, mean age of 30.9 ± 7.3 months). 52.0% of children had not been instructed to perform NSI, while the remaining (48.0%) patients had received supervised NSI. At the 4-months follow-up visit a significant reduced number of AOM episodes (1.03 ± 0.14 vs. 2.08 ± 0.16; p < 0.001) as well as antibiotic treatments (1.48 ± 0.17 vs. 2.59 ± 0.18; p < 0.001) was documented in children receiving supervised NSI compared to those not instructed for NSI performance.

Conclusions: These data suggest that NSI should be considered in the therapeutic management of children with RAOM, and should be routinely prescribed as a daily adjunctive treatment to reduce acute infectious exacerbations in otitis-prone patients. Accurate parents training is crucial in order to improve children compliance and treatment effectiveness.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute otitis media (AOM) is the most frequent bacterial disease affecting infants and young children (1) as almost all children have experienced at least one episode by the age of 3 years, and about one-third have experienced three or more episodes (2). In some children, the so-called otitis-prone children, middle ear infections may recur, and in case of at least three documented and distinct AOM episodes in a period of 6 months, or at least four in a period of 12 months (3) we can speak about recurrent acute otitis media (RAOM). Otitis-prone children are predisposed to long-term functional sequelae including chronic otitis media and hearing loss (4), with a global negative medical, social and economic impact on their quality of life. Moreover, dangerous and sometimes life-threatening complications may develop, such as otomastoiditis and intra-cranial complications, requiring urgent and aggressive treatments (5).
Recurrent middle ear infections are frequently associated to an impairment in nasopharyngeal homeostasis, mainly sustained by chronic adenoiditis or adenoidal hypertrophy (6) and the related nasopharyngeal bacterial biofilm (7, 8) whose pathogenic role in the development of recurrent or chronic middle ear infections has been largely investigated (7, 8). Beside adenoidal disease and nasopharyngeal bacterial biofilm, several risk factors such as a young age, male gender, little, or no breastfeeding, parental smoking, the use of a pacifier or push-and-pull plastic bottle caps, day-care attendance, allergy, and the presence of an older sibling (9–12) are known to play a causative role in development of chronic or recurrent middle ear inflammation. Therefore, management of otitis-prone children should include all the interventions able to correct (whenever possible) the existing risk factors, including those aimed at improving the patency and clearance of the nasal and nasopharyngeal district.

Nasal saline irrigations (NSI) are largely used as a therapeutic and preventive means in children with allergic rhinitis and upper airway infections such as rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, and adenoiditis (13–15) given their effectiveness in the removal of nasal secretions and in the enhancement of mucociliary clearance (16, 17). However, there is no unanimous consensus about the better formulation, the device, the way of administration and the therapeutic protocols to be used in children (18), and their effective in reducing the number of acute middle ear infections in children with RAOM has not been previously investigated.

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the possible impact of supervised NSI in the reduction of acute middle ear infectious exacerbations in otitis-prone children.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design and Setting**

This retrospective chart review of prospectively recruited otitis-prone children was carried out at Milan University’s Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health and Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation in August 2018. The protocol was approved by our local Ethics Committee of Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico and was conducted in accordance with the principles of good clinical practice.

**Study Subjects**

The study involved the charts of children aged 1–5 years who had attended for first examination our tertiary outpatient clinic of upper respiratory tract infections (CURTI) between January 2015 and January 2018. The children had been referred to the clinic by their general pediatricians who had dealt with

| Characteristics | Children not instructed to NSI performance (No. = 90) | Children instructed to supervised NSI (No. = 83) | p-value |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Mean age ± SD, months | 26.94 ± 1.15 | 31.46 ± 2.16 | n.s. |
| Males | 53 (58.9%) | 46 (55.4%) | n.s. |
| Mean No. of AOMs in previous 12 months ± SD | 4.18 ± 0.16 | 4.12 ± 0.23 | n.s. |
| Allergy (%) | 6 (11.8%) | 17 (20.5%) | n.s. |
| Little or no breastfeeding (%) | 20 (22.2%) | 18 (21.7%) | n.s. |
| Adenoidal disease | 23 (25.5%) | 19 (22.9%) | n.s. |
| Day-care attendance (%) | 73 (81.1%) | 75 (90.4%) | n.s. |
| Use of pacifier (%) | 30 (33.3%) | 25 (30.1%) | n.s. |
| Parental smoking (%) | 24 (26.7%) | 26 (31.3%) | n.s. |
| Presence of older siblings (%) | 45 (50.0%) | 38 (45.8%) | n.s. |

NSI, nasal saline irrigations; No., number; SD, standard deviation; AOM, acute otitis media; n.s., not significant.

| Outcomes | Children not instructed to NSI performance (No. = 90) | Children instructed to supervised NSI (No. = 83) | p-value |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Mean No. of all AOMs in the following 4 months ± SD | 2.07 ± 0.16 | 1.03 ± 0.14 | < 0.001 |
| Mean No. of AOMs with STMP in the following 4 months ± SD | 1.32 ± 0.16 | 0.66 ± 0.11 | < 0.001 |
| Mean No. of AOMs without STMP in the following 4 months ± SD | 0.75 ± 0.12 | 0.47 ± 0.11 | n.s. |
| Mean No. of antibiotic treatments in the following 4 months ± SD | 2.59 ± 0.18 | 1.49 ± 0.17 | < 0.001 |
| Mean No. of URTI in the following 4 months ± SD | 1.58 ± 0.13 | 1.32 ± 0.17 | n.s. |

NSI, nasal saline irrigations; No., number; SD, standard deviation; AOM, acute otitis media; n.s., not significant; STMP, spontaneous tympanic membrane perforation; URTI, upper respiratory tract infections.
their initial episodes of AOM but were unable to manage recurrences effectively.

The children were considered otitis-prone if they had a history of RAOM (19). The episodes of AOM had to be documented by an experienced physician and reported in the children's medical records as any combination of fever, earache, irritability, and hyperemia or opacity accompanied by bulging of the tympanic membrane or otorrhea; at least two episodes had to be supported by otoscopic and tympanometric findings. RAOM was defined as at least three episodes in the preceding 6 months, or at least four episodes in the preceding 12 months (19).

The exclusion criteria were previous nasal treatment with any topical preparation including nasal saline solution regularly administered before first examination at CURTI; nor regular nor adequate NSI administration after first examination at CURTI for parents refusal or reduced children compliance; concomitant systemic diseases; craniofacial, neuromuscular, immunological, syndromic or defined genetic abnormalities; chronic eardrum perforation; previous ear surgery or adenoidectomy; neurosensory hearing loss; immunomodulatory treatment; vitamin D supplementation; the administration of any complementary and alternative medicines.

Interventions
The retrospective chart review considered the children's demographic data and clinical history. At first examination, all the known risk factors for development of recurrent middle ear disease (including a personal or family history of allergy, little or no breast-feeding, the use of a pacifier or push-and-pull plastic bottle caps, parental smoking, pneumococcal conjugate and influenza vaccination, adenoidal disease, day-care attendance, and the presence and number of older siblings) (9–12) were investigated and, whenever possible, corrected.

Daily nasal irrigations with 0.9% saline solution were prescribed to all the patients (twice a day administration by means of a bulb syringe of 10 and 20 ml per nostril, respectively in children aged 1–3 years and in those aged more than 3 years) (18).

Since January 2016, during the first examination we started to train the parents to supervised NSI by means of detailed verbal instructions about the position and performing modality as it follows: infants should be lying down on their side, whereas older children bend forward over a sink with their head tilted down and a little to a side in the older ones. After washing his/her hands before each use, the care giver should fill up the syringe with warm saline solution taken from a pierced rubber bottle cap, then remove the needle and proceed with gentle and slow nasal irrigation with the syringe directed toward the ipsilateral ear.

A practical demonstration was also performed by the clinician, and the parents received a brochure with written instructions summarizing the main steps and contrivances to be followed to correctly perform NSI.

In this group of patients, a practical demonstration was also performed by the clinician, and the parents received a brochure with written instructions summarizing the main steps and contrivances to be followed to correctly perform NSI.

In this group of patients, a practical demonstration was also performed by the clinician, and the parents received a brochure with written instructions summarizing the main steps and contrivances to be followed to correctly perform NSI.

RESULTS
The final analysis was based on the data contained in 173 charts relating to 99 males (57.3%) and 74 females (42.8%) with a mean age of 30.9 ± 7.3 months. Ninety children (52.0%) had not been instructed to perform NSI, while the remaining 83 (48.0%) patients had received supervised NSI.

The two study groups were comparable at the baseline for demographic and clinical variables, including risk factors for RAOM (Table 1).

Statistical Analysis
The sample size was computed considering published data regarding the prevalence of recurrent acute otitis media episodes in otitis-prone children (20); assuming a standard deviation of 0.15, it was calculated that 42 subjects for each group would lead to a beta error margin of 0.20, an alpha value of 0.05, and a power of 80%.

The statistical analysis was mainly designed to detect any possible difference in the number of infectious recurrences between otitis-prone children not instructed to NSI performance and those receiving supervised NSI.

The results are given as absolute numbers and percentages, or arithmetical mean values ± standard deviation. Dichotomous outcomes were analyzed using contingency table analysis and Chi squared test, and continuous variables using Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were used to test the effect of possible confounders (i.e., age, gender, allergy, adenoidal disease). The data were analyzed using STATA 10.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA); a $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### TABLE 3 | Results of univariate logistic regression analysis.

| Variables          | $p$-value |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Gender             | 0.34      |
| Age                | 0.38      |
| Allergy            | 0.14      |
| Adenoidal disease  | 0.24      |

### TABLE 4 | Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis.

| Variables                                      | $p$-value |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Gender                                         | 0.11      |
| Age                                            | 0.09      |
| Allergy                                        | 0.21      |
| Adenoidal disease                              | 0.33      |
| AOM episodes in the following 4 months         | <0.001    |
| AOM episodes with STMP in the following 4 months| 0.002     |
| Antibiotic treatments in the following 4 months | 0.018     |

AOM, acute otitis media; n.s., not significant; STMP, spontaneous tympanic membrane perforation.
At the follow-up visit a significant reduced number of AOM episodes ($1.03 \pm 0.14$ vs. $2.08 \pm 0.16$; $p < 0.001$) as well as antibiotic treatments ($1.48 \pm 0.17$ vs. $2.59 \pm 0.18$; $p < 0.001$) was documented in children receiving supervised NSI compared to those not instructed for NSI performance (Table 2).

No significant relationship was found between confounders (i.e., age, gender, allergy, and adenoid disease) and study groups at univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3), and all the variables tested resulted to be not confounders on clinical outcomes at multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

NSI have been used for centuries to remove nasal secretions and facilitate nasal drainage (16, 17) and still today are widely prescribed by pediatricians as a simple, inexpensive and well tolerated way to routinely clean the upper airways (18). They are generally considered safe, as despite colonization of nasal saline solution used for NSI by cutaneous and environmental germs is possible, respiratory pathogens are usually not involved and there is no evidence that this condition would facilitate the development of any related infection (21).

NSI proved to be effective in the treatment of children with upper respiratory tract infections and in controlling the symptoms of allergic rhinitis, thus reducing the need for oral antihistamine or intranasal steroid therapy administration (15) and their use has been included in the treatment guidelines for selected respiratory diseases by some scientific societies (12).

Some studies previously documented the positive impact of medicated NSI (especially when administered by means of micronized nasal douches) in the treatment of children with chronic adenoiditis associated with recurrent or chronic middle ear infections (22, 23). In particular, Torretta et al. (22, 23) reported the clinical beneficial effect of a topical nasal sodium hyaluronate solution in children with recurrent or chronic middle ear inflammations associated with chronic adenoids (22, 23). However, the possible effect of supervised daily nasal irrigations with 0.9% saline solution performed by means of a bulb syringe in children with RAOM has not been previously investigated. This is the first study evaluating the role of NSI in preventing acute middle ear infections in otitis-prone children: our results documented that children receiving supervised NSI developed a significantly reduced number of AOM episodes compared to those not instructed to correct NSI performance in the 3-months period after recruitment. In particular, in children belonging to the control group the number of both AOM episodes as a whole and AOM episodes with spontaneous tympanic membrane perforation was about twice that which experienced in children belonging to the study group. Moreover, the number of antibiotic treatments during the follow-up period was significantly reduced in children receiving supervised NSI compared to controls.

The presumed mechanism of action probably consists in removing nasal secretions and the antigens and inflammatory mediators such as histamine and prostaglandins here accumulated, with the following improvement in ciliary beat frequency and mucus clearance (15, 16).

Possible limitations of the present study lie in its retrospective nature, in the reduced follow-up period, and in the lack or randomization which may partially bias our results; therefore, prospective controlled trials would be welcome to confirm the impact of supervised NSI in modification of the natural history of RAOM.

In conclusion, our results create rational for prospective controlled trials that should be dedicated to test the possible impact of supervised NSI on natural history of disease in otitis-prone children. If further studies will confirm the positive effect of supervised NSIs, they should be considered in the future in the therapeutic management of children with RAOM, possibly being routinely prescribed as a daily adjunctive treatment to reduce acute infectious exacerbations in otitis-prone patients. In addition, given that their effective could be influenced by the way of administration, further studies should be test the impact of different therapeutic protocols and way of administration, too. However, given that various dosing schedules and types of solution and device are available, and no standardized therapeutic protocols are homogeneously used, accurate parents training with both practical demonstrations and written detailed instructions should be desirable in order to improve not only children tolerability and compliance, but also treatment effectiveness.
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