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Abstract: Chalcocite is the most abundant secondary copper sulfide globally, with the highest copper content, and is easily treated by conventional hydrometallurgical processes, making it a very profitable mineral for extraction. Among the various leaching processes to treat chalcocite, chloride media show better results and have a greater industrial boom. Chalcocite dissolution is a two-stage process, the second being much slower than the first. During the second stage, in the first instance, it is possible to oxidize the covellite in a wide range of chloride concentrations or redox potentials (up to 75% extraction of Cu). Subsequently, CuS2 is formed, which is to be oxidized. It is necessary to work at high concentrations of chloride (>2.5 mol/L) and/or increase the temperature to reach a redox potential of over 650 mV, which in turn decreases the thickness of the elemental sulfur layer on the mineral surface, facilitating chloride ions to generate a better porosity of this. Finally, it is concluded that the most optimal way to extract copper from chalcocite is, during the first stage, to work with high concentrations of chloride (50–100 g/L) and low concentrations of sulfuric acid (0.5 mol/L) at a temperature environment, as other variables become irrelevant during this stage if the concentration of chloride ions in the system is high. While in the second stage, it is necessary to increase the temperature of the system (moderate temperatures) or incorporate a high concentration of some oxidizing agent to avoid the passivation of the mineral.
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1. Introduction

Most of copper minerals correspond to sulfide minerals and a minor part to oxidized minerals. Chalcopyrite is the most abundant among the sulfide copper minerals [1–4]. However, this mineral is refractory to conventional leaching processes due to forming a passivating layer that prevents contact between the mineral and the leaching solution [5–7]. Positive results have only been achieved for this mineral when working at medium-high temperatures (over 60 °C); therefore, it has not been possible to implement it on a large scale in industrial heap leaching processes [8].

In the natural mineral, chalcopyrite is commonly associated with secondary sulfides that include chalcocite (Cu2S), digenite (Cu1.5S), and covellite (CuS) [9]. Chalcocite is the most abundant secondary copper sulfide, with the highest copper content, and is easily treated by hydrometallurgical processes, which makes it a very profitable mineral for its extraction [10–14]. Chalcocite has a dark gray color and belongs to the copper-rich mineral family ranging from CuS to Cu2S (see Table 1), commonly found in the enriched supergenic environment below the oxidized zone of copper porphyry deposits [15–19]. This is formed by oxidation, reduction, dissemination, and migration of primary sulfides...
such as chalcopyrite [20–22], being also the main component of the tufts of copper and white metal [23–25].

Table 1. Composition, structure, and stability of minerals Cu₃S (Data from [19,26]).

| Mineral        | Composition | System    | Stability          |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Chalcocite (low T) | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Monoclinic | T < 105 °C         |
| Chalcocite (high T) | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Hexagonal | −105 °C < T < −425 °C |
| Chalcocite (high P and T) | CuS | Tetragonal | 1 kbar < P, T < 500 °C |
| Djurleite | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Monoclinic | T < 93 °C          |
| Digenite (low T) | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Cubic     | Metastable         |
| Digenite (high T) | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Cubic     | 83 °C < T          |
| Anilite | Cu₁₉₅.₁₉₅S | Orthorhombic | T < 72 °C        |

Due to the fact that hydrometallurgical processes are more economical and friendly to the environment than pyrometallurgical processes [27], and that it is relatively easy to dissolve copper from chalcocite, several investigations for the leaching of this mineral with the use of multiple additives and in different media have been carried out, such as those that include bioleaching [22,28–36], ferric sulfate solution [12,37–40], chloride medium [13,24,41–45], MnO₂ as an oxidizing agent [46], pressure leaching [47,48], cyanide medium [49,50], ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [51,52], and synthetic chalcocite (white metal) [23,53,54].

Among the different leaching media to treat secondary copper sulfides, chloride media has had the greatest growth at an industrial level. This is not only due to the good results presented by chloride media in heap leaching processes but also due to freshwater shortage. This is due to three aspects. (i) Chlorinated media have a higher dissolution rate than traditional sulfated systems. This is due to the ability of the chloride ion to stabilize cuprous through the formation of CuCl₂⁻ [8,13,43,46]. Furthermore, the addition of chloride ions makes it possible to overcome passivation due to the formation of the sulfur layer. The chloride ions increase the redox potential, generating a thinner layer and making it easier for the chloride ions to cause porosity [55]. Figure 1 shows the effect of chloride ions in a heap leaching process at an industrial level (Chilean mine company). For an acid medium without the addition of chloride, extractions of 35% Cu are obtained, while when working at 20 and 50 g/L of chloride, extractions of 50% and 55% Cu are obtained, respectively (for 90 days) [56]. (ii) It is an economic system since the chloride present in seawater (20 g/L Cl⁻) or wastewater from desalination plants (~40 g/L Cl⁻) can be used. (iii) Government restrictions on the use of aquifer water in large-scale mining projects. Although mining consumes much less water in its processes than other industries such as agriculture, mining deposits are generally found in arid areas where freshwater is scarce. Therefore, the use of seawater becomes practically a necessity. For example, in Chile (the largest copper producer in the world), it is projected that by 2030 seawater will represent almost 50% of the consumption of water in mining [57].

Figure 1. Extraction of copper from sulfide ores in a heap leach using H₂SO₄ and chloride (modified from: [56]).
A bibliographic review based on scientific publications in recent years on chalcocite leaching in chloride media is carried out in the present manuscript. The objective of this work is to evaluate the different operational parameters that influence the dissolution of chalcocite, comparing the impact that each one has on the extraction of copper from it.

2. Fundamentals

The oxidative dissolution of chalcocite by Fe$^{3+}$, O$_2$, or Cu$^{2+}$, either in a sulfate or chloride system, occurs in two stages (reactions 1 and 2), where the chalcocite dissolves, a progressive transformation occurs of this copper sulfide, passing through different stages called polysulfides (digenite Cu$_{1.8}$S; geerite Cu$_{1.6}$S; spionkopite Cu$_{1.4}$S; yarrowite Cu$_{1.1}$S), until reaching the covellite CuS [31,39,58]. The formation of said intermediate polysulfides in the transformation of chalcocite to covellite during the first stage of leaching generates a passivating layer in the mineral particle, which can be seen in Figure 2, where said layers formed are represented. Between 10% to 20% extraction, a thin layer of covellite covers the surface of the mineral, and in the same way, but toward the interior, an intermediate layer is formed with a decreasing proportion of Cu/S. In the second leaching stage, when 49% to 55% of copper has already been extracted, the chalcocite has already been converted to covellite, and a mixture of polysulfide and sulfur is generated on the surface, while in the interior, it remains covellite and as measured. As the leaching progresses, the covellite progressively converts into sulfur and polysulfide (Cu$_n$S) with a decreasing Cu/S ratio [42].

\[
\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + 2 \text{Fe}^{3+} = \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{CuS} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CuS} + 2 \text{Fe}^{3+} = \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{S}^0 \quad (2)
\]

According to Niu et al. [39], in Equation (1) a rapid leaching occurs from chalcocite to covellite due to the low activation energy required (4–25 kJ/mol) in the kinetic model of the unreacted nucleus, being a reaction controlled by the diffusion of the oxidant on the surface of the mineral. Meanwhile, Equation (2) shows leaching is slower and can accelerate as a function of temperature [13]. The researchers Ruan et al. [59] and Miki et al. [38] have concluded that this is because this reaction is controlled by chemical and/or electrochemical reactions under the kinetic model of the unreacted nucleus, requiring an activation energy of around 71.5–72 kJ/mol for the transformation of covellite to dissolved copper. Nicol and Basson [60] suggest that in the oxidation of covellite, an intermediate stage occurs in which it is transformed to a polysulfide Cu$_2$S$_2$.

\[
\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2 = \text{CuS}_2 + \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2 = \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{S}^0 + 2\text{e}^- \quad (4)
\]
Covellite can be oxidized over a wide range of chloride concentrations or potentials to CuS$_2$ polysulfide (Equation (4)). Still, the oxidation of CuS$_2$ (Equation (5)) can only occur under conditions of high chloride concentrations or high potentials (chloride concentrations greater than 2.5 mol/L or potentials greater than 650 mV) [60].

For the dissolution of chalcocite in a sulfated–chloride medium, various investigations have been carried out using different additives and operational conditions (Table 2). There is a consensus in all the investigations regarding the positive effect on the dissolution kinetics of CuS$_2$ when adding chloride, either synthetic or using seawater. This is because chloride ions promote the formation of long crystals that allow the reagent to penetrate through the passivating layer [43].

Table 2. Comparison of previous investigations for the leaching of chalcocite in a chloride medium.

| Investigation                                                                 | Leaching Agent                                  | Parameters Evaluated                                      | Temperature (°C) | Reference | Max Cu Extraction (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| The kinetics of leaching chalcocite (synthetic) in acidic oxygenated sulfate–chloride solutions | NaCl, H$_2$SO$_4$, HCl, HNO$_3$, and Fe$^{3+}$ | Oxygen flow, stirring speed, temperature, acid concentration, ferric ion concentration, chloride concentration, and particle size | 65–94            | [24]      | 97                    |
| Leaching kinetics of digenite concentrate in oxygenated chloride media at ambient pressure | CuCl$_2$, HCl, and NaCl | Effect of stirring speed, oxygen flow, cupric ion concentration, chloride concentration, acid concentration, and temperature effect | 50–100           | [61]      | 95                    |
| Leaching of sulfide copper ore in a NaCl–H$_2$SO$_4$–O$_2$ media with acid pre-treatment | NaCl and H$_2$SO$_4$ | Chloride concentration, effect of agitation with compressed air, percentage of solids, and particle size | 20               | [62]      | 78                    |
| The kinetics of dissolution of synthetic covellite, chalcocite, and digenite in dilute chloride solutions at ambient temperatures | HCl, Cu$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{3+}$ | Potential redox effect, chloride concentration, acid concentration, temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pyrite effect | 35               | [38]      | 98                    |
| Leaching of pure chalcocite in a chloride media using seawater and wastewater | NaCl, H$_2$SO$_4$, and Cl$^-$. | Chloride and acid concentration | 25               | [13]      | 68                    |
| Modeling the kinetics of chalcocite leaching in acidified cupric chloride media under fully controlled pH and potential | HCl, CuCl$_2$, NaCl, KCl, CaCl$_2$, and MgCl$_2$ | Chloride concentration, cupric concentration, particle size, and temperature | 25–65            | [63]      | 98                    |
| Leaching of pure chalcocite in a chloride medium using wastewater at high temperature | H$_2$SO$_4$ and Cl$^-$. | Temperature effect | 65–95            | [43]      | 97                    |
| The response of the sulfur chemical state to different leaching conditions in chloride leaching of covellite | FeCl$_3$, CuCl$_2$, and HCl | Chloride concentration, temperature, and potential redox effect. | 35–55            | [42]      | 88                    |
| Leaching of pure chalcocite with reject brine and MnO$_2$ from manganese nodules | H$_2$SO$_4$, MnO$_2$, and Cl$^-$. from seawater and wastewater | MnO$_2$, chloride, and acid concentration | 25               | [46]      | 71                    |

In a Cu$_2$S leaching process, adding O$_2$ to the system at ambient pressure, with H$_2$SO$_4$ being the leaching agent, the leaching agents generated during leaching in a Cu$^{2+}$/Cl$^-$ system are Cu$^{2+}$, CuCl$^+$, CuCl$_2^-$, and CuCl$_3^{3-}$. The general reaction being the following:

$$2\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 8\text{Cl}^- = 4\text{CuCl}_2^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{S}^0 \quad (5)$$

Although chalcocite leaching reactions occur in two stages, guiding us to Equation (5), where the following occurs:

$$4\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 8\text{Cl}^- = 4\text{CuCl}_2^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{CuS} \quad (6)$$

$$4\text{CuS} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 8\text{Cl}^- = 4\text{CuCl}_2^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{S}^0 \quad (7)$$

By leaching Cu$_2$S, the expected resulting products should be soluble copper such as CuCl$_2^-$ and a solid residue of elemental sulfur (S$^0$) with covellite residues or copper polysulfides (CuS$_2$) that still contain valuable metals.
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CuCl$_2$ is the predominant soluble species due to the complexation of Cu (I) with Cl$^-$ at room temperature in a system with high chloride concentrations (greater than 1 mol/L). This CuCl$_2$ is stable in a potential range between 0–500 mV and pH < 6–7 (depending on the chloride concentration in the system).

For a sulfated–chloride system where MnO$_2$ is incorporated as an oxidizing agent, the following reactions are proposed:

$$2\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{Cl}^- = 2\text{CuCl}_2 + \text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{CuS} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (8)$$

$$2\text{CuS} + \text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{Cl}^- = 2\text{CuCl}_2 + \text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{S}^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)$$

During the first leaching stage (Equation (8)), the chalcocite becomes covellite; this reaction being thermodynamically possible with a Gibbs free energy value of −138.59 kJ. The second reaction (Equation (9)) is slower and is also thermodynamically possible ($\Delta G^0 = −84.512$ kJ).

3. Operational Variables

3.1. Effect on Chloride Concentration

Several authors point out that chalcocite leaching in a chloride medium is the best way to dissolve this copper sulfide [8,13,24,38,41,63]. Even if chloride ions are added to a chalcocite leaching with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ or $\text{HNO}_3$, the kinetics increases considerably. As explained by Cheng and Lawson [24], this occurs because in leaching with only sulfate or nitrate ions, a layer of elemental sulfur is formed on the surface of the particles. In this way, an impermeable particle is generated, that is, contact between the particle with the leaching agent is prevented. This implies that the kinetics decrease in the first leaching stage and prevent the reaction in the second stage. However, when chloride ions are found, either alone or associated with sulfate or nitrate, dissolution kinetics increase along with copper extraction, as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Effect of chloride ions on the acid leaching of chalcocite (T = 85 °C, particle size 31 μm) (Modified from: [24]).](image)

Several studies have shown that working at high chloride concentrations favors the leaching kinetics of secondary sulfides [24,38,44,64]. Chloride ions pass through the sulfur layer and generate a porous layer instead of an amorphous layer formed in the sulfate and nitrate system. The porous layer allows the entry of the leaching solution through
said pores, thus allowing contact with the particle, thus accelerating the leaching kinetics in the first stage and making possible the dissolution reaction in the second stage of leaching [24,65,66]. In the study carried out by Toro et al. [13], leaching tests were carried out in stirred reactors for a pure mineral of chalcocite in an acid medium, comparing different concentrations of chloride in the system (20, 40, and 100 g/L). In their results, the authors indicate that the highest Cu extractions are obtained when working at the highest chloride concentrations (see Figure 4). Furthermore, in other studies [43,46] involving the use of seawater (20 g/L Cl\(^-\)) and wastewater from desalination plants (~40 g/L Cl\(^-\)) for the dissolution of Cu\(_2\)S in an acid medium, the researchers point out that better results are obtained when working with wastewater compared to seawater due to its higher concentration of chloride. Additionally, it is highlighted that the waste generated when working with wastewater is stable (such as elemental sulfur) and non-polluting.

![Figure 4. Effect of the chloride concentration in Cu\(_2\)S solution (T = 25 °C, H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) = 0.5 mol/L) (Modified from: [13]).](image)

The high dissolution rate in the chloride system relative to the sulfated system is attributed to the ability of the chloride ion to stabilize the cuprous ion through the formation of CuCl\(_{2}^-\). In the chloride system, copper can be extracted directly from the chalcocite without causing the oxidation of Cu\(^+\) to Cu\(^{2+}\). On the other hand, in the sulfated system, Cu\(^+\) must be oxidized to Cu\(^{2+}\) on the surface of the particles before copper is released into the solution [8,13,41,64]. The addition of chloride ions allows breaking the passivated sulfur layer since an increase in the concentration of chloride ions implies an increase in the redox potential [42], and a higher redox potential generates a thinner layer that makes it easier for chloride ions to generate porosity [13].

### 3.2. Effect on Stirring Speed

The agitation speed in a reactor leaching system decreases the thickness of the boundary layer and maximizes the gas–liquid interface area [67]. This variable is not very significant in copper extraction for tests of the dissolution of Cu\(_2\)S in an acid–chloride medium. There is a consensus on the part of different authors in previous research [24,38,61,62,68] where it is stated that it is only necessary to stir at a sufficient speed to keep all the chalcocite particles in suspension within the reactor. Additionally, it is important to note that of the various agitation systems used in these investigations it is advisable to work with mechanical agitation since with other systems anomalous results are obtained, for example, in the study carried out by Herreros and Viñals [62] the authors indicate that in their air agitation tests the results were superior under the same operational conditions compared to mechanical agitation tests. This occurred because the air increased the extraction of
copper. After all, the oxygen reacted with the CuCl (solid) formed during the leaching process, favoring the formation of CuCl$^+$. On the other hand, Velásquez-Yévenes [68], in his study, mentions that when working with the use of magnetic agitation the mineral is reduced in size due to the abrasion that is generated when it passes under the rotating magnet, generating an increase in the dissolution of chalcocite.

3.3. Effect on Acid Concentration

Regarding the acid concentration in a sulfate–chloride system, the findings presented by Dutrizac [69], Cheng and Lawson [24], Senanayake [45], Toro et al. [13], Saldaña et al. [44], and Torres et al. [46] confirm that the concentration of chloride ions in the system is the variable that most influences the kinetics of the dissolution of chalcocite at room temperature, making other operational variables, such as acid concentration, particle size, stirring speed, etc., less relevant. These same results were obtained for other copper sulfides such as covellite [64] and chalcopyrite [70].

Toro et al. [13] performed statistical analysis (ANOVA) for the dissolution of Cu$_2$S in a chloride medium in stirred reactors. For this, the copper extraction was evaluated through the effect of the independent variables with the response surface optimization method (See Table 3). In their results, the researchers indicate that, although sulfuric acid helps to improve the dissolution kinetics of the mineral, the chloride concentration in the system has much more impact on copper extraction, as shown in Figure 5. These results are consistent with those presented by Cheng and Lawson [24], where the researchers mention that a low concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.02 mol/L) is sufficient to dissolve chalcocite and later phases of it such as djurleite and digenite. However, it is essential to maintain a high concentration of chloride ions since in its absence the dissolution kinetics considerably decrease (first stage) and later the covellite is not dissolved (second stage). On the other hand, a recent study by Torres et al. [46] worked with wastewater at different concentrations of sulfuric acid to dissolve a pure chalcocite mineral. The authors mention that the same results were obtained in their results, even in short periods of time at H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration ranges between 0.1 and 1 mol/L (see Figure 6).

Table 3. Experimental parameters used in statistical analysis [13].

| Experimental Parameters | Low   | Medium | High  |
|------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Time (h)               | 4     | 8      | 12    |
| Cl$^-$ concentration (g/L) | 20    | 50     | 100   |
| H$_2$SO$_4$ (mol/L)    | 0.5   | 1      | 2     |

Figure 5. Linear effect graph for the extraction of Cu from chalcocite in a chloride medium [13].
Figure 6. Effect on the H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration in the Cu$_2$S solution with the use of wastewater (~40 g/L Cl$^-$) [46].

3.4. Particle Size Effect

The effect of particle size on chalcocite leaching has been studied by different authors; however, these studies have been carried out with relatively small particle sizes: 25 to 4 mm [71]; 4 mm to 12 μm [62]; 4 to 0.054 mm [12]; 11 to 63 μm [24]; 150 to 75 μm [63]; 150 to 106 μm [72]. These authors agree that a smaller particle size implies an increase in the dissolution kinetics and the extraction rate in the first leaching stage. But the effect decreases significantly in the second stage. Naderi et al. [71] reported that for fine particle sizes the first stage is controlled by diffusion through the liquid film. In the second stage, the accumulation of the elemental sulfur layer in the solid product, accompanied by a jarosite precipitate, transformed the control mechanism into solid diffusion. Phyo et al. [12] studied the effect on the dissolution kinetics of Cu$_2$S in stirred reactors using an acid medium. In their results, as can be seen in Figure 7a, a significant effect of the particle size is observed in the dissolution of copper, especially in the size of $-0.074 + 0.054$ mm, which in 2.5 h had already reached 45% recovery compared to the almost 17 hours it took to achieve the same recovery with $-4 + 2$ mm particles. In Figure 7b, the researchers observed a turning point of around 75% copper dissolution at different times depending on the granulometry and divided the second stage into two sub-stages, indicating that the first sub-stage has a dissolution speed 20 times faster than the second sub-stage.

Figure 7. Cu$_2$S dissolution at different particle sizes in two different stages: (a) first stage, (b) second stage ([Fe$^{3+}$] = 10 g/dm$^3$, pH = 1.00–1.50, Eh = 750 mV, temperature = 45 °C) (Modified from: [12]).
3.5. Effect of Temperature

It is known that temperature is the operational variable that most influences the dissolution of copper sulfide minerals [43,73,74]. For the specific case of chalcocite, it becomes a critical parameter during the second stage, being much slower, and can be accelerated with temperature, which indicates that the process is controlled by chemical and/or electrochemical reactions [43,59]. Miki et al. [38] pointed out that the dissolution rate of CuS is largely independent of the concentration of chloride and HCl in the ranges of 0.2 to 2.5 mol/L and 0.1 to 1 mol/L, reporting activation energy values of 71.5 kJ/mol. Therefore, it can be concluded that the process is controlled by a chemical or electrochemical reaction on the surface of the mineral.

In the results presented by Pérez et al. [43] for the dissolution of a pure chalcocite mineral in a chloride medium in a stirred reactor at different temperatures, the authors point out that at temperatures above 65 °C extractions of copper were close to 40% in short periods of time (15 min) (see Figure 7), which, the researchers concluded, is due to the phase change that governs the first stage of leaching from chalcocite to covellite, which requires low activation energy. More energy is necessary for the second stage to become a copper polysulfide, which requires more demanding conditions to achieve its complete dissolution. In addition, Pérez et al. [43] mentioned that there is good synergy between the chloride concentration in the system and the temperature, since, in their research, they achieved copper extractions of 97% in 3 hours under the conditions operations that are presented in Figure 8. The research carried out by Ruiz et al. [54] investigated the dissolution of white metal (chalcocite and djurleite) working under similar operational conditions. Without chloride in the system, 55% extractions were obtained in a time of 5 hours at a temperature of 105 °C.

![Figure 8. Cu₂S dissolution as a function of temperature (0.5 mol/L H₂SO₄ and 100 g/L of Cl⁻) [43].](image)

3.6. Effect of Redox Potential

Miki et al. [38] studied the effect of the redox potential in a Cu₂S solution (synthetic) with the use of a 0.2 M HCl solution, 0.2 g/L of Cu (II), and 2 g/L of Fe (III)/Fe (II) at a temperature of 35 °C. The researchers, in their findings, reported that the dissolution of Cu₂S occurs rapidly at a potential of 500 mV but then stops when 45% copper is removed (end of the first stage). For potentials of 550 mV, there is then an increase in the dissolution until reaching 50% extraction of copper. Subsequently, the copper mineral present is mainly covering, which requires potentials of at least 600 mV to be able to dissolve. However, Niu et al. [39] point out that these results were not determined in a range of industrial redox potentials. In their experiments for the dissolution of Cu₂S, Niu et al. [39] worked in mini
glass columns (30 cm long and 6 cm in diameter), adding Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ as a leaching agent. In their results, the researchers note that the dissolution rate of the second stage of Cu$_2$S leaching was insensitive to the redox potential at moderate temperatures (30–40 °C) in the industrial range of 650–800 mV. In the study conducted by Hashemzadeh et al. [63], the researchers modeled the dissolution kinetics of Cu$_2$S in chloride media using leaching data obtained under fully controlled temperature, pH, and solution potential. In their results, the researchers mentioned that an increase in the chloride concentration and temperature generated an increase in the redox potential, increasing from 680 to 830 mV with the addition of 0.1 chlorides and 3 mol/L of NaCl, respectively, and consequently higher dissolution kinetics, mainly in the second leaching stage.

The results obtained from the aforementioned studies are directly related to the formation of a layer of elemental sulfur on the surface of the covellite in the second stage, which decreases with the increase in the potential of the solution; however, the layer in the solid surface is a mixture of sulfur and polysulfides (CuS$_n$) [42], where these could be responsible for a slow reaction during this stage.

3.7. Effect of Oxidizing Agents

3.7.1. Air

In another study carried out by Cheng and Lawson [24], the effect of aeration on the leaching of chalcocite was seen, but in this case, agitating leaching was carried out, showing that there is no greater contribution. Moreover, by adding a greater flow of air to the system slightly lower recoveries are obtained. This is because the particles adhere to the bubbles generated and are dragged toward the walls of the reactor. On the other hand, in the research carried out by Liu and Granata [75], the effect of aeration was studied by analyzing historical data in two leaching piles of chalcocite as the main mineral present, one with and the other without aeration. In the results, they observed that in leaching in an aerated heap, better results were obtained, but there was only a comparative change after 200 days of leaching with respect to the non-aerated heap. For the aerated pile, from 200 days onward, there was no significant change in copper recovery. This is because aeration is no longer beneficial at this point. After all, we are in the second stage of the dissolution of chalcocite, which is a controlled chemical reaction. Furthermore, the authors mentioned that the total acid consumption per ton of ore processed was higher in the case of the aerated pile, but the net acid consumption per ton of copper produced was the same in both cases.

3.7.2. Ferric Ions

The effect of the concentration of ferric ions in the leaching of chalcocite has also been studied. For the first reaction stage, there is a positive effect on the leaching kinetics with an increase in the concentration of ferric ions. When the concentration is lowered, the leaching rate of chalcocite is considerably lower. For the second stage, the ferric ions are not as noticeable as in the first stage. Still, better reaction rates are obtained by increasing their concentration because of the increase generated in the redox potential of the leaching solution [24,65,72].

3.7.3. MnO$_2$

The use of MnO$_2$ as an oxidizing agent in chloride media has recently been studied for secondary and primary sulfides [46,70,76–78] where positive results have been obtained in the dissolution of copper. For example, for a mineral refractory to conventional processes such as chalcopyrite, in the study carried out by Toro et al. [70] it was possible to extract 77% of copper at room temperature when working at high concentrations of MnO$_2$ (4/1 and 5/1) and chloride (~40 g/L), which allowed maintaining of the redox potential values between 580 and 650 mV. For the specific case of chalcocite, Torres et al. [46] worked under the same operational conditions as Toro et al. [13] (see Table 4). In their results, Torres et al. (2020a) showed that incorporating MnO$_2$ at low concentrations significantly
improves the dissolution of chalcocite in short periods, which is important in continuous leaching operations.

Table 4. Comparison between studies for the dissolution of chalcocite in chloride media, with and without the addition of MnO2.

| Experimental Conditions and Results | [13] | [46] |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|
| Temperature (°C)                  | 25   | 25   |
| Particle size of Cu2S (µm)       | –147 + 104 | –147 + 104 |
| H2SO4 concentration (mol/L)      | 0.5  | 0.5  |
| MnO2/Cu2S ratio (w/w)            | -    | 0.25/1 |
| Dissolution in seawater after 4 h (%) | 32.8 | 35.6 |
| Dissolution in reject brine after 4 h (%) | 36   | 40   |
| Dissolution in seawater after 48 h (%) | 63.4 | 64.7 |
| Dissolution in reject brine after 48 h (%) | 64.6 | 66.2 |

4. Conclusions

Among the various leaching processes to treat chalcocite, chloride media show better results and have greater industrial relevance. This is because of the positive results in copper extraction, low cost, and the possibility of working with seawater. However, chalcocite leaching is a process that occurs in two stages, which must be evaluated individually according to the different operational parameters that can be tested in the process.

In general:

Working in chloride media favors the dissolution of Cu2S, accelerating the leaching kinetics in the first stage and making possible the dissolution reaction in the second stage. This is mainly due to two reasons: (i) the chloride ions in the system allow the cuprous ions to be stabilized through the formation of CuCl32−, allowing the copper to be extracted directly from Cu2S without prior oxidation of Cu+ to Cu2+; (ii) the chloride ions promote the formation of long crystals that allow the penetration of the reagent through the passivating layer. Furthermore, the concentration of chloride ions is the variable that most influences the dissolution kinetics of Cu2S at room temperature, making other operational variables, such as acid concentration, particle size, stirring rate, and addition of other oxidizing agents (air, ferric ions, etc.), less relevant.

Evaluating by stage:

The first stage of leaching occurs quickly, requiring low activation energy (4–25 kJ/mol) in the unreacted core model, via a controlled reaction by diffusion of the oxidant on the mineral surface, while reaction 2 is much slower and requires higher activation energy (71.5–72 kJ/mol), being a stage controlled by chemical reaction. During the second stage, in the first instance, it is possible to oxidize the covellite in a wide range of chloride concentrations or redox potentials (up to 75% extraction of Cu). Subsequently, CuS2 is formed, which to be oxidized it is necessary to work at high concentrations of chloride (>2.5 mol/L) and/or increase the system’s temperature. This is because to dissolve covellite it is necessary to increase the redox potential of the system (>650 mV), which in turn decreases the thickness of the elemental sulfur layer on the mineral surface, facilitating chloride ions to generate a better porosity of this. Furthermore, it is important to note good synergy between the chloride concentration in the system and the temperature. The operational parameters impact differently in each of the stages, as can be seen below in Table 5:
Table 5. Impact of the different operational parameters on the dissolution of Cu$_2$S.

| Parameters                  | First Stage                                           | Second Stage                                      |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Chloride concentration     | Increases dissolution kinetics                         | Help prevent passivation                          |
| Stirring rate               | It is not relevant                                    | It is not relevant                                |
| Acid concentration         | A low concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.02 mol/L) is    | Increases dissolution kinetics                    |
|                             | sufficient to dissolve the mineral.                   |                                                  |
|                             | The same results are obtained between 0.1 and         |                                                  |
|                             | 1 mol/L of H$_2$SO$_4$.                               |                                                  |
| Particle size               | Increase in dissolution kinetics.                     | Slight increase in dissolution kinetics           |
| Temperature                 | Significantly accelerates dissolution                 | Significantly accelerates dissolution             |
|                             | Helps prevent passivation                             |                                                  |
| Redox potential             | Low redox potential is required (≥500 mV)            | High redox potential values are required (>650 mV) |
| Oxidizing agents (air, Fe$^{3+}$, MnO$_2$) | Increases dissolution kinetics by adding low concentrations | Increases dissolution kinetics, but only at high concentrations |

Finally, it is concluded that the most optimal way to extract copper from chalcocite is, during the first stage, to work at high concentrations of chloride (50–100 g/L) and low concentrations of sulfuric acid (0.5 mol/L) at a temperature environment. Other variables become irrelevant during this stage if the concentration of chloride ions in the system is high. In the second stage, it is necessary to increase the temperature of the system (moderate temperatures) or incorporate a high concentration of some oxidizing agent to avoid the passivation of the mineral.
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