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Abstract
The World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 (novel coronavirus) as a global pandemic in the middle of March 2020, after the disease spread to more than 150 countries and territories leading to tens of thousands of cases within a couple of months. To date, there are no effective pharmaceutical treatments available. As well as that, the novel vaccines have not yet been approved as establishing their efficacy will take time. This study aims to summarize the evidence regarding corticosteroids such as dexamethasone for the treatment of COVID-19. Electronic searches were conducted on 7 September 2020 on Google Scholar database, MEDLINE and PubMed. A further search was conducted on the World Health Organization’s COVID-19 research article database. The findings of recent investigations that proved, both, the in vitro and in vivo activity of corticosteroids against COVID-19 and other coronavirus-related pneumonia were discussed. Low doses of corticosteroids (dexamethasone) could reduce the mortality in patients with severe COVID-19 disease; however, they had no effect on the mortality rate of those patients with a mild form of the condition. Moreover, the liberal use of corticosteroids was not advocated for, as high doses of the drug can cause more harm than good.
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Introduction

In December 2019, a new pneumonia-like disease emerged in Wuhan, China. The clinical features of the patients, who were confirmed to be infected with the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), included a dry cough, fever and dyspnoea with lower respiratory tract involvement [1]. Subsequently, person-to-person transmission occurred [2]. The virus then rapidly spread to over 200 countries around the world, resulting in 27 million confirmed cases and 883,000 deaths globally according to a report issued by WHO on the 7th of September 2020.

The COVID-19 pandemic is considered the most severe global public health crisis since the influenza outbreak in 1918. Coronaviruses (CoV) are enveloped viruses that possess a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA with a genome ranging from 26 to 32 kb, the largest among all recognized RNA viruses [3]. Phylogenetically, these viruses can be classified into four genera: α-coronavirus, β-coronavirus, γ-coronavirus, and δ-coronavirus. Among these genera, the α-coronaviruses and β-coronaviruses mainly infect mammals, and generally lead to respiratory disease in humans and gastritis in animals. Contrastingly, the γ-coronaviruses and δ-coronaviruses are able to infect birds [4]. Currently, there are many strains of coronaviruses that infect humans: HCoV-HKU1, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-229E, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [5]. SARS-CoV-2, which is also a strain, has recently been investigated in 2019. Results have noted that SARS-CoV-2 could transmit more rapidly from person to person. Due to this, in March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak of the novel coronavirus disease, SARS-CoV-2, to be a pandemic.

Methods

Electronic searches were conducted on the 7th of September 2020 on Google Scholar database, MEDLINE and PubMed.
A further search was conducted on the World Health Organization’s COVID-19 research article database. The search items included coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, corticosteroids and dexamethasone. This review was performed to analyze the recent literature which showed the effect of dexamethasone in the treatment of patients with coronavirus disease.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characteristics of the Novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2)**

When analyzing the structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (the main antigenic component that is responsible for inducing host immune responses), it was found to be extremely similar to that of SARS. However, the affinity of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (S protein) for the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptor, found on the surface of human cells, is approximately 20 times higher than that of the SARS’ S protein [6, 7].

The SARS-CoV-2 S protein component is a trimeric glycoprotein that consists of two subunits: S1 and S2. S1 is responsible for receptor binding, whilst the S2 subunit is responsible for membrane fusion. Additionally, the S1 subunit, which has two independent domains, the N- and C-terminals, shows much higher variability than S2 [8].

Hamming et al. identified the immune localization of ACE-2 protein, the functional receptor for the SARS-CoV-2, in human tissues. The most significant outcome of their study was that there was surface expression of ACE-2 on the lung alveolar epithelial cells and in the enterocytes of the small intestine. In addition to that, ACE-2 protein was identified in venous and arterial endothelial cells and in arterial smooth muscle cells in some organs [9]. The physiological role of ACE-2 protein in most organs has not been clarified but it is assumed to be an important regulator of cardiac function and of blood pressure [10]. Following entry into target cells, coronaviruses activate aryl hydrocarbon receptors (AhR) via an indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO1)–independent mechanism. The activated AhR induces the upregulation of a number of AhR-dependent downstream effectors, resulting in a “Systemic AhR Activation Syndrome” (SAAS). The SAAS is comprised of inflammation, thromboembolism and fibrosis which consequently cause injury to many organs, and potentially death [11, 12].

The atomic details of the interaction between the receptor-binding domain (RBD) and the peptidase domain of human ACE-2 protein (Fig. 1) clarify the significance of residual differences that enable effective cross-species infection and in addition human-to-human transmission [14]. Therefore, the soluble SARS-CoV-2 RBD is of probable use as an immunogen which is capable of neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. This is considered a significant target site for developing vaccines and immunotherapeutic agents [14, 15].

Recently, Hoffmann et al. [16] documented the same fact that SARS-CoV-2 uses the SARS-CoV receptor ACE-2 for entrance and the serine protease TMPRSS2 for S protein priming. This reality was documented in China by Lu et al. [17], who also pointed out the similarity of the receptor-binding domain in both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 despite the amino acid mutations at several receptor-binding domains of the SARS-CoV-2 [18].

The incubation time of the new coronavirus is between 3 and 7 days but may be up to 14 days in some cases [19]. The most common symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection are dry cough, fever, weakness and anosmia. The main laboratory findings include a raised white cell count with lymphopenia, elevated CRP, ferritin and increased d-dimers [20]. A dysregulated host immune response to SARS-CoV-2 lung infection leading to exuberant cytokine release (such as IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α) and immune-mediated lung injury has been postulated as a critical pathogenetic factor in the progression to adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [21].

ARDS starts to develop about 7 days of the disease, because of an explosive host immune response due to uncontrolled viral replication. The SARS-CoV-2 infection has sequential stages, with the progression, from one stage to the next, causing the deterioration in the health of the patient.

In phase I, which occurs at the time of inoculation and the initial introduction of the disease, patients begin to show nonspecific symptoms: most commonly, a dry cough and fever. During this period, the virus multiplies and establishes residence in the host tissues by binding to the ACE-2 receptor in cells, with the respiratory system being primarily affected. As proliferation of the virus occurs, the immune system is simultaneously attempting to expel it from the lungs, and, in some cases, causing immune-mediated damage of the pulmonary structures, in the process [22].

Phase II is caused by the uncontrolled replication of the virus. This process is driven by the direct cytotoxicity of ACE-2 which acts as a catalyst for further activation of the immune system and therefore worsens the hyperinflammatory state [23]. In addition to the other symptoms, the patient begins to demonstrate severe hypoxemia with a PaO2/FiO2 ratio (the ratio between arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO2) to fractional inspired oxygen (FiO2)) of less than 300 mmHg [24].

In phase III, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF); inflammatory cytokines and biomarkers such as IL-2, IL-6, IL-7 and TNF-α (tumour necrosis factor-α); macrophage inflammatory protein 1-α; d-dimer; C-reactive protein (CRP); and ferritin are remarkably elevated in patients who are critically ill. During this phase, patients are susceptible to developing shock, respiratory failure and even cardiopulmonary collapse [25].
Corticosteroids/Dexamethasone

Corticosteroid drugs are a class of synthetic steroid hormones that are produced in the adrenal cortex in healthy individuals. Corticosteroids include glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids; they are used to treat a wide range of diseases and symptoms [26]. Dexamethasone is a steroid compound, belonging to the corticosteroid class (more precisely a glucocorticoid). It is used in the treatment of numerous conditions, including chronic obstructive lung disease, severe allergies, rheumatic problems, asthma, several skin conditions, brain swelling and alongside antibiotics in tuberculosis (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Dexamethasone).

Chemical and Physical Properties of Dexamethasone

Dexamethasone is a white, odourless crystalline powder. It is stable when exposed to air. It is practically insoluble in water (≤ 0.1 mg/mL) [27]. The molecular formula is C_{22}H_{29}FO_{5}. The molecular weight is 392.47 Da and is also chemically known as 1-dehydro-9α-fluoro-16α-methyl hydrocortisone, and the structural formula is shown in Fig. 2:

Posology Dexamethasone is given in doses, ranging from 0.5 to 10 mg daily, with the dose being dependent on the disease being treated. In more acute conditions, a dose higher than (10 mg/day) may be required. The dose, also, depends on the patient's response. In order to reduce the side effects, the lowest effective dose should be used [28].

Dexamethasone exerts a good inhibitory effect on inflammatory factors and is predominantly used as an auxiliary treatment for viral pneumonia. The action of dexamethasone mimics the action of the compounds the body produces to quell inflammation, naturally. It is about 25 times more active than other corticosteroid compounds [29], and this higher potency might be one of the reasons as to why dexamethasone has been shown to be effective in treating SARS-CoV-2 patients.

Moreover, dexamethasone is also stronger than nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) like ibuprofen and aspirin. Dexamethasone is, both, anti-inflammatory and

Fig. 1 Sequence and secondary structures of SARS-CoV-2 RBD (a). The RBM is coloured red. Overall structure of SARS-CoV-2 RBD bound with ACE2 (b). The N-terminal helix of ACE2 responsible for binding is labelled [18].

Fig. 2 The chemical structure of dexamethasone (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Dexamethasone)
The main anti-inflammatory effect of dexamethasone is to inhibit a pro-inflammatory gene that encodes for chemokines, cytokines, cell adhesion molecules (CAM) and the acute inflammatory response [31]. Dexamethasone possesses strong anti-inflammatory effects with weak mineralocorticoid property compared with other corticosteroid compounds [32]. Dexamethasone produces its anti-inflammatory effects by affecting two aspects: chemotaxis and vasodilation. Additionally, as aforementioned, following entry into cells, coronaviruses result in SAAS and medications, like dexamethasone, that are currently being researched appear to downregulate both the AhR and IDO1 genes and so further diminishing inflammation [11].

Mechanism of Action

The mechanism of action of dexamethasone depends on the dose used: the genomic (in the case of low doses) and non-genomic mechanisms (with high doses of dexamethasone). Most effects of dexamethasone are via the genomic mechanism which require a longer period, whereas dexamethasone effects through the non-genomic mechanism occur more rapidly, at the risk of more side effects [33, 34].

I—Genomic Mechanisms Being small, lipophilic substances, dexamethasone can easily pass through the cell membrane by diffusion and enter the cytoplasm of the target cells and proceed by binding to glucocorticoid receptors in the cytoplasm.

Dexamethasone binds to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) on the cell membrane (Fig. 3), and the formation of this complex leads to translocation of the corticosteroid into the cell, where it travels to the nucleus. Here, it reversibly binds to several specific DNA sites resulting in stimulation (transactivation) and suppression (trans-repression) of a large variety of gene transcription [36]. It can inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, TNF, IFN-gamma, VEGF and prostaglandins [33, 37]. Importantly, five of these are linked to SARS-CoV-2 severity [38]. At the same time, it can also induce the synthesis of glucocorticoid response element resulting in the activation of anti-inflammatory cytokine synthesis, notably IL-10 and lipocortin-1.

II—Non-Genomic Mechanisms At high doses of the medication, dexamethasone binds to the membrane-associated GR on cells, such as T lymphocytes, resulting in the impairment of receptor signalling and a T lymphocyte–mediated immune response [39]. The glucocorticoid receptor combines to integrins, leading to the activation of FAK (focal adhesion kinase) (Fig. 3). As well as that, a high dose of dexamethasone also interacts with the movement of Ca+2 and Na+1 across the cell membrane, resulting in a rapid decrease in inflammation [40].

Dexamethasone as a COVID-19 Treatment

As SARS-CoV-2 is a recent virus, there are, currently, no specific vaccines nor anti-viral drugs which have been proven to treat or prevent COVID-19 infection. At the moment, medical researchers and front-line doctors have been focusing on some anti-viral, anti-malarial and anti-inflammatory drugs which have been used for many years and are widely available, such as hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, remdesivir, lopinavir and corticosteroids. However, not all the outcome data of treatment with such agents were statistically significant; some of them helped to speed up the recovery time of patients with SARS-CoV-2 disease and some of them did not have any positive outcome. This might be because immune systems are unique and may respond differently to different drugs and the fact that confounding factors such as underlying health conditions were not adjusted for.

Most of the adverse outcomes of coronavirus disease are associated with severe inflammation, lung injury secondary to ARDS and thus diffuse alveolar damage [41]. Therefore, to control the immune-mediated damage of lung tissue, corticosteroids drugs have been given in severe cases of coronavirus such as MERS, SARS and SARS-CoV-2 [42, 43].

Due to their quick anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive impact, corticosteroid medications are broadly used to treat hyper-inflammatory conditions, including the previous coronavirus diseases such as Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) [44, 45]. There are, however, only a few clinical studies where corticosteroids have been used to treat patients with SARS-CoV-2 and most of these studies have a high heterogeneity regarding the dose, the type of corticosteroid drug, the course for which the medication was given and which patients are suitable for the drug [46].

One of the main roles of glucocorticoids, to consider, is the fact that they cause immunosuppression and are anti-inflammatory [31, 47]. As they suppress the adaptive immune response, glucocorticoids play an important role in the modulation of several biological functions in immune cells and in different organs and tissues in the human body [48]. The latest research suggests that glucocorticoids could have both stimulatory and inhibitory impacts on the immune response depending on their concentration in the blood and how long it is taken [23]. Clinically, the primary reason for the use of glucocorticoids is that it might be beneficial in preventing damage of structures, like pulmonary in the case of SARS-CoV-2, by inhibiting cytokine production [49]. A number of studies have been conducted on the use of this drug for treating hyper-inflammatory states secondary to viral infections caused by...
respiratory syncytial virus (RSV); MERS; influenza; and, now, SARS-CoV-2.

In the primary stage of inflammation, glucocorticoids decrease inflammatory cell exudation, phagocytosis and capillary dilatation. Whilst in the severe inflammatory stage, it can inhibit the fibroblasts and its excessive proliferation, which are normally responsible for fibrosis [50]. In vitro studies showed that corticosteroids are able to inhibit respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus-induced cytokine release [51]. An in vitro study found that the addition of 0.1 μM of dexamethasone to a cultured sample of human alveolar epithelial cells, H441 and A549, could delay cell proliferation and also resulted in a shorter recuperation time when compared to the untreated cultured cells [52].

Moreover, earlier studies showed that low doses of dexamethasone (0.4 mg/kg per day, given in four doses over 48 h) had a positive impact in patients with lung infections caused by the RSV [53]. Furthermore, a similar dose of dexamethasone (0.6 mg/kg day) was given to patients with bronchiolitis, again, caused by RSV, and results were approved of in terms of having positive benefits.

Contrasting, other studies, however, have shown that corticosteroids may impair anti-viral innate immune responses, which would further exacerbate the severity of the condition [54, 55], and McGee et al. concluded there is no evidence highlighting the benefits of using corticosteroids for RSV in clinical trials carried out on children [56].

In terms of SARS, Zhong et al. suggested that the high dose of corticosteroids can be used in patients with this condition, if symptomatic for 3 days and/or more, and if medical investigation results are suggestive of progressive lung involvement, as this would reduce the risk of pulmonary fibrosis [57]. However, despite the fact it advocated for a low-to-moderate dose of corticosteroids in confirmed severe cases of SARS, another study produced results that were in concordance with Zhong et al. as it, too, reported a lowered mortality among patients and a shorter hospital stay [58]. It has, also, been concluded that low doses of methylprednisolone (1 to 2 mg per kg per a day) for a more prolonged period of time might be associated with significant improvement in pulmonary results and decrease in the number of days on mechanical ventilation [59].

Fig. 3 A schematic diagram mechanism mediating the genomic (continuous arrows) and non-genomic (dashed arrows) effects of corticosteroids (in general) in mammalian skeletal muscle fibres [35]. p23: protein associated with progesterone receptor (23 kDa), HSP70 (70 kDa) and HSP90 (90 kDa): are heat shock proteins, SOS: Son of Sevenless, Grb2: growth factor receptor-bound protein 2.
On the other hand, when therapeutic systematic corticosteroids were used on patients who had a severe pneumonia-like infection caused by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, it resulted in the acceleration of virus replication. This is perhaps because steroids suppress the innate immune system which, in turn, then means it cannot eradicate the pathogens as efficiently [60]. Moreover, in a retrospective observational study of MERS patients, the result showed that patients who were given corticosteroids were more likely to require mechanical ventilation, vasopressors and renal replacement therapy [61].

Recently, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to assess the impact of corticosteroid treatment on the outcomes of 6458 patients affected by influenza. The review specified that corticosteroids were associated with a higher mortality rate when compared with the placebo group [62]. Again, this may be due to the fact that steroids cause a patient to become immunocompromised. Moreover, 0.4 mg per kg per day for 5 days of dexamethasone was administrated in the treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) caused by H5N1 in patients in Vietnam [63]. The study only demonstrated negative results. Conversely, Steinberg et al. found that administrating corticosteroids to patients with ARDS improved oxygen saturation, inflammatory markers and length of ICU stay [64].

A group of researchers from Spain carried out a RCT investigation, between March 2013 and December 2018, which included 277 patients; they found out that early administration of dexamethasone was able to decrease the mechanical ventilation time and reduce the overall mortality rate in patients with moderate-to-severe ARDS [65]. However, glucocorticoids showed to cause hyperglycaemia as it increases gluconeogenesis secondary to decreased hepatic insulin sensitivity [66]. Nevertheless, it was, interestingly, noted that there was no significant difference between the control group and the dexamethasone group regarding the adverse effects, for instance hyperglycaemia which occurred in 70% of the control group and 76% in the dexamethasone group, with both groups being in ICU [65].

Hazbun et al. used methylprednisolone (125 mg every 6 h for 24 h followed by 60 mg every 12 h for 10 days) for patients with ARDS caused by SARS-CoV-2. In the 48 h after the initiation of the methylprednisolone treatment, there was a reduction in the alveolar-arterial (A-a) O₂ gradient from 455 to 228 mmHg, highlighting that there was an improvement in oxygen transfer for the lungs into the circulation. Moreover, the study demonstrated that 95% of patients were liberated from mechanical ventilation after 8 days of treatment. However, all the patients showed hyperglycaemia following the administration of methylprednisolone, and this was corrected with insulin [67].

Another study reported that the administration of standard does of corticosteroids (methylprednisolone) (without mentioning the quantity) in patients with pneumonia due to coronavirus significantly reduced the risk of death (62% of 201 patients) [68].

In further investigations, glucocorticoids have been given in combination with other medical compounds, like antimalarial drugs, serine protease inhibitors, anti-viral and IL blockers, to estimate if those medications, together, have a synergistic effect [49]. Recently, a clinical trial carried out in France compared the use of hydroxychloroquine alone, with the use of hydroxychloroquine (600 mg/day for 10 days) in combination with dexamethasone (20 mg/day for 5 days and 10 mg/day for the next subsequent 5 days) in the treatment of patients with ARDS caused by SARS-CoV-2 (phase III). The primary outcome report showed that the patients who took the combination of hydroxychloroquine and dexamethasone had a mortality rate of 46%. The report also presented the mortality rate to be 61.8% in patients who received hydroxychloroquine alone [69].

A team of front-line physicians from China stated that the use of corticosteroids (methylprednisolone or equivalent) for patients who were critically ill was beneficial. They, however, were against the liberal use of the drug and only recommended short courses (less than 7 days) of corticosteroids (0.5–1 mg per kg per day), used prudently, for critical patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia [70].

On the other hand, Russel and his co-workers in February 2020, based on results of previous studies on the use of steroids in MERS, SARS and influenza patients, support that corticosteroid treatment should not be used for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2-induced lung injury or shock, unless it is for a clinical trial due to the lack of substantial clinical evidence proving their efficacy [71].

As well as that, Ling et al. carried out a study on 66 patients out of the 292 patients who had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, in January 2020, in Shanghai. The authors compared the presence of RNA in various secretions and excreta in a group receiving glucocorticoid treatment to a group receiving standard supportive treatment. The results demonstrated that the throat swabs were negative for the viral RNA after a median time of 9.5 days (6.0–11.0 days), whereas the stool samples showed to be clear of the viral RNA after 11.0 days (9.0–16.0) of onset of symptoms. The study also reported that viral RNA detection in both oropharyngeal and faecal samples was longer in the glucocorticoid group than in the non-glucocorticoid group. Consequently, the authors concluded that glucocorticoids are not a suitable for the treatment of COVID-19, especially in those with mild symptoms [72]. This may be due to dexamethasone suppressing the cytokine storm [73, 74].

The findings of clinical trials as reported in the Henry Ford Health System (HFHS)–centralized clinical microbiology laboratory indicated that an early short course of methylprednisolone (0.5 to 1 mg per kg per day in two divided doses for 3 days) in patients with moderate-to-severe COVID-19
reduced the need for escalation of care and improved clinical outcomes [75].

The latest recovery trial of using dexamethasone has been performed in the UK by a team of researchers at Oxford University. Six milligrams of dexamethasone once daily for up to 10 days was given to 2104 patients, and the results were compared with those of 4321 patients who were not given dexamethasone. The average age of the participants was 66.1 years, and 36% of patients were female. The preliminary findings demonstrate that dexamethasone reduced the 28-day mortality by 35% in patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation and by 20% in patients receiving supplementary oxygen. As the treatment was only used at a low-to-medium dose for up to 10 days, no side effects have been noted thus far. The benefits of the drug were clearer in patients who were treated for more than 7 days after the initial onset of symptoms, when inflammatory lung damage is likely to have been more common. On the other hand, it was observed that this medication had no benefit on the outcomes of patients who had mild symptoms and so corticosteroids is only suitable for patients who are in hospital, under mechanical ventilation (severe situation) [76]. In addition to reducing the mortality ratio of patients with a severe form of COVID-19, using corticosteroids has prohibited the worsening of ventilator pa-
tilation (severe situation) [ 76]. In addition to reducing the mor-

High doses of corticosteroids may cause more harm than good, especially if the treatment is given at a time when there is uncontrolled viral replication but with a low level of inflam-

According to these latest findings, the WHO has welcomed the preliminary results regarding the use of dexamethasone in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 patients, as this drug treatment was proven to save lives [78].

Side Effects

The common side effects of dexamethasone include an in-

Side Effects

The common side effects of dexamethasone include an in-

Conclusion

The outcome data regarding the use of corticosteroids, espe-
cially dexamethasone, for SARS-CoV-2 so far, although not conclusive, are promising with some findings suggesting that low-to-moderate doses of corticosteroids (dexamethasone and methylprednisolone) could lower the mortality rate in patients with a severe form of the condition. It is, however, not recom-
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Impact Statements • This review characterizes the mechanism of COVID-19 infections and the mechanism of action of corticosteroid compounds.

• There are several in vivo trials that have evaluated the efficacy of corticosteroids focusing on dexamethasone, for the treatment of COVID-19 and other coronaviruses.

• The available articles displayed the efficacy of corticosteroids as an anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive agent.

• These results are promising and should encourage others to carry out larger scale studies to further examine the potential efficacy of corticosteroids, especially dexamethasone, in treating COVID-19.
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