Recyclable Cu/C₃N₄ composite catalyzed AHA/A³ coupling reactions for the synthesis of propargylamines†

Hang Xu, a Jun Wang, a Peng Wang, a Xiyu Niu, a Yidan Luo, b Li Zhu b and Xiaoquan Yao a†,*

The heterogeneous Cu/C₃N₄ catalyst was found to be efficient for the synthesis of propargylamines using a three-component coupling reaction of alkynes, CH₂Cl₂ and amines (AHA) without additional base. Moreover, the catalyst also showed highly catalytic activity in the synthesis of C₁-alkynylated tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs) via an A³ reaction of alkynes, aldehydes and THIQ. The Cu/C₃N₄-catalyzed multicomponent reactions exhibited good functional group tolerance in most examples. Furthermore, the easily prepared Cu/C₃N₄ catalyst could be recovered and reused conveniently over 5 times without losing catalytic activities.

Introduction

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) are pretty important and effective in carbon–carbon bond formation because of their considerable economic and ecological merits. 1 A lot of organic compounds could be produced via MCRs, for example, propargylamines.

Propargylamines are very essential in pharmaceuticals due to their special nitrogen-containing biologically active structure, and have wide application in synthetic chemistry. 2 Traditionally, propargylamines were synthesized by stoichiometric nucleophilic attack of metal acetylides on imines 3 or through the amination of propargylic halides 4 and propargyl triflates. 5 In the last decade, a catalytic three-component coupling reaction of alkynes, aldehydes and amines (A³ reaction) was developed as an efficient synthetic methodology for propargylamines, and many metal catalysts were utilized successfully. 6 Meanwhile, another multicomponent reaction for the synthesis of propargylamines was also achieved through the coupling of alkynes, dihalomethane and amines (AHA reaction). Remarkable efforts on this method have been made by utilizing effective catalysts such as CuCl, 7 nano-In₂O₃, 8 FeCl₃, 9 AgOAc, 10 CoBr₂, 11 Nipy₄Cl₂, 12 Au NPs 13 and K[AuCl₄]. 14 However, in most of the examples above, additional strong bases and additional solvents have to be required. In our previous work, a Cu NPs-catalyzed AHA reaction was accomplished in CH₂Cl₂ solution. 15 Although a highly catalytic activity was observed, additional strong base (Cs₂CO₃) was also required in the reaction and only low recyclability of the Cu NPs catalyst was observed. On the other hand, a metal-free AHA reaction was also developed, but only moderate yields were obtained. 16

Moreover, as a special secondary amine, tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs) are found widely existed in natural products and have many biological activities. 17 Thus, many novel and valid methods were developed to synthesize THIQ derivatives, and most of them focused on the activation of THIQ's C₁ atom. 18 Recently, an efficient Cu¹-alkynylation method for THIQs was developed through the A³ coupling reaction of THIQ, aldehydes and alkynes, in which AgOAc, 19 CuI, 20 and CuBr 21 were reported as efficient catalysts. To develop greener approaches for the reaction, some efforts on the catalyst’s immobilization were also tried, and polymers 22 or magnetic materials 23 supported copper species were reported. However, both of them have to suffer lowered catalytic efficiency as well as complicated preparing procedure for immobilized catalysts.

N-doped carbon materials are a kind of novel superior materials and have been wildly used as electrode materials because of its porous structure and amine-containing molecules. 24 The materials have also come into the view of organic chemists in recent years due to their superior performance and easy preparation. 25 Very recently, we reported a Cu/C₃N₄ composite-catalyzed homo- & cross-coupling reaction of terminal alkynes, 26 in which the easily prepared composite catalyst showed much higher catalytic activity than Cu NPs with excellent recyclability.

Since the Cu/C₃N₄ composite shows highly catalytic activity in Glaser–Hay reaction involving the activation of terminal alkynes, herein, we hope to investigate its application in AHA reaction of alkynes, dihaloalkanes and amines, and A³ coupling reaction of THIQ, aldehydes and alkynes (Scheme 1). The Cu/
C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst exhibited excellent catalytic activities as well as good functional group tolerance in most examples. Furthermore, excellent recyclability of the catalyst was also achieved.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ compounds**

The Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst was synthesized following the reported method. Typically, melamine (2 g) was uniformly mixed with copper(II) acetate (625 mg). The resulting mixture was then heated to 550 °C with 2 °C min$^{-1}$ in a tube furnace under N$_2$ atmosphere and kept for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the final solid product (Cu/C$_3$N$_4$) was collected without further purification.

Typical procedure for Cu/C$_3$N$_4$-catalyzed AHA reaction of dichloromethane, alkenes and amines

The mixture of p-bromophenylacetylene (0.15 mmol) and piperidine (0.45 mmol), 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (9.6 mg, 20 mol%) in anhydrous DCM (0.5 mL) was heated at 50 °C for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated to yield the crude product, which was further purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5 : 1) to give the desired product.

The detailed characterization data for 2a-2u are provided in the ESI.$^{†}$

Typical procedure for Cu/C$_3$N$_4$-catalyzed A$^3$ reaction of alkynes, aldehydes and THIQ

The mixture of benzaldehyde (0.2 mmol), phenylacetylene (0.3 mmol), THIQ (0.25 mmol), 5% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (6.4 mg, 2.5 mol%) and 4 Å MS (25 mg) in anhydrous toluene (0.5 mL) was heated at 70 °C for 12 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated to yield the crude product, which was further purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 30 : 1) to give the desired product.

The detailed characterization data for 4a-4o are provided in the ESI.$^{†}$

The experiments of catalyst recycle in A$^3$ reaction

$p$-Bromophenylacetylene (1.0 mmol), piperidine (3.0 mmol), 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (64.0 mg), and 2 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ were added into a 30 mL sealed tube under N$_2$. The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 24 hours. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was separated by centrifugation and washed by water, ethanol and ether, and then, dried under vacuum at 60 °C. The recovered catalyst was reused for the next cycle with fresh starting materials and solvent.

**Results and discussion**

With the Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ composite as catalyst, the AHA coupling reaction of $p$-bromophenylacetylene, dichloromethane and piperidine was selected as the prototype to start our investigation for the optimized reaction conditions, and the results were summarized in Table 1.

At the beginning, the reaction was carried out with Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ as catalyst and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ as base under N$_2$ atmosphere at 50 °C for 24 hours, and a moderate yield was observed (entry 1, Table 1). When DBU was used as base, 87% of 2e was obtained (entry 2).

| Entry | Catalyst | $T^\circ$ | Base | Yield$^a$ (%) |
|-------|----------|---------|------|--------------|
| 1     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | Cs$_2$CO$_3$ | 74           |
| 2     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | DBU  | 87           |
| 3     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 92           |
| 4     | 10% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 77           |
| 5     | 5% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%)  | 50      | None | 57           |
| 6     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (10 mol%) | 50      | None | 85           |
| 7     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (5 mol%)  | 50      | None | 75           |
| 8     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 61           |
| 9     | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 69           |
| 10    | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 35           |
| 11    | 20% Cu/C$_3$N$_4$ (20 mol%) | 60      | None | 92           |
| 12$^c$| Cu NPs (20 mol%) | 50      | None | 30           |
| 13$^c$| None                  | 50      | None | Trace        |

$^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $p$-bromophenylacetylene (0.15 mmol), piperidine (0.15 mmol), base (0.3 mmol) and dichloromethane 0.5 mL as solvent under N$_2$ at 50 °C for 24 h. $^b$ Isolated yield. $^c$ Reaction conditions: $p$-bromophenylacetylene (0.15 mmol), piperidine (0.45 mmol) and dichloromethane 0.5 mL as solvent under N$_2$ at 50 °C for 24 h. $^d$ Reaction conditions: $p$-bromophenylacetylene (0.15 mmol), piperidine (0.45 mmol) and dichloromethane (0.3 mmol) in 0.5 mL CH$_2$CN under N$_2$ at 50 °C for 24 h. $^e$ Reaction conditions: $p$-bromophenylacetylene (0.15 mmol), piperidine (0.45 mmol) and dichloromethane (0.3 mmol) in 0.5 mL toluene under N$_2$ at 50 °C for 24 h.
Noteworthy, an up to 92% of yield was achieved with 3.0 equiv. of piperidine introduced as reactant & base (entry 3). The copper loading amount in catalyst was then evaluated. As can be seen, decreasing the copper loading resulted in worse yields (entries 4 and 5 vs. entry 3). The yields were also decreased with reducing catalyst loading (entries 6 and 7). Other solvents, such as CH3CN and toluene, were ineffective in this catalytic system (entries 8 and 9).

Moreover, the influence of temperature was also investigated. The yield of 2e decreased sharply at 30 °C, while the yield was not changed when the reaction temperature was increased to 60 °C (entries 10 and 11 vs. entry 3).

To understand the effect of C3N4 support on the catalytic activity, a controlled experiment was carried out, in which Cu NPs was used as catalyst. Obviously, without additional strong base, much worse result was observed (entry 12). This result might indicate that the C3N4 support increased the catalytic activity of copper species successfully under current conditions. On the other hand, there was no progress of reaction under a catalyst-free condition (entry 13).

Thus, the optimal conditions involved the following parameters: 20 mol% of 20% Cu/C3N4 and 3.0 equiv. of amines in 0.5 mL of dichloromethane at 50 °C under nitrogen for 24 h.

Under the optimized reaction conditions, we then tested the scope of AHA reaction of alkynes, dichloromethane, and amines. As shown in Table 2, aromatic acetylene derivatives underwent the coupling with dichloromethane and piperidine smoothly to afford the respective propargylamines in excellent yields of 85–94% (2a–2g). Less reactive aliphatic alkyne, 1-hexyne, was also suitable for this reaction, and a moderate yield was observed (2h). Moreover, the AHA coupling reaction of alkynes, dichloromethane and pyrrole was also investigated, and good yields were obtained (2i–2m). Delightfully, acrylic secondary amine like diethylamine was also efficiently converted into the corresponding propargylamines in good yields (2n–2s). However, morpholine and diisopropylamine seem not be good substrates in current reaction (2t–2u).

As known to all, one of the advantages of heterogeneous catalysts is their easy separation from the reaction mixture. Without the distribution from additional base, the catalyst could be separated and recovered easily by centrifugation from the reaction mixture, and then, fresh substrates were added to set up a new reaction. Following this procedure, the recyclability of Cu/C3N4 was also investigated. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the yields were kept in 91–88% in 5 recycles. There was only ca. 1–4% yield decrease compared with the fresh reaction.

As can be seen from above results, the Cu/C3N4 catalyst showed great catalytic activities in AHA coupling reaction as well as good recyclability without additional base. With this catalyst in hand, therefore, we also examined its utilization in A3 coupling reaction of alkynes, aldehydes and THIQ.

At the beginning of the investigation, excess amounts of THIQ and phenylacetylene were used in order to consume all of benzaldehyde, which was inseparable from products 4a and 5a by column chromatography. Firstly, the A2 reaction was carried out in various solvents, with 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%) as catalyst, under N2 atmosphere at 70 °C for 24 h. When the reaction was carried in water, a moderate yield of 5a was obtained as the single product (entry 1, Table 3). Both EtOH and isopropanol (IPA) could be processed effectively to afford 4a as the major product (entries 2 and 3). Apparently, an enhanced reaction yield and regioselectivity was obtained in the presence of additional 4 Å MS (entry 4 vs. 3). To our delight, in toluene with 4 Å MS, a regiospecific reaction to 4a was accomplished with excellent yield (entry 5).

From these results above, water is the key factor affecting the reaction selectivity. Following the mechanism described in Scheme 2, iminium B was formed firstly following the dehydrogenation of intermediate A, and then, B was isomerized to give iminium C as the key intermediate to the desired product 4a. Obviously, in the presence of water, the formation of iminium B might be hindered, as a result, the isomerization

Table 2 The scope of the AHA reactions

| R1 | CHCl3 | HN | 20% Cu/C3N4 | 50 °C, N2, 24 h | R1 | Yield |
|----|-------|----|------------|--------------|----|------|
|    |       |    |            |              |    |      |
| R = H, 2a | 94% |     | 2h, 45% |     |     | |
| R = Me, 2b | 91% |     |            |              |     |      |
| R = Et, 2e | 88% |     |            |              |     |      |
| R = Br, 2f | 85% |     |            |              |     |      |
| R = CF3, 2f | 98% |     |            |              |     |      |
| R = OMe, 2j | 84% |     |            |              |     |      |

a Reaction conditions: alkyne (0.15 mmol), amine (0.45 mmol) and dichloromethane 0.5 mL as solvent with 20% Cu/C3N4 (20 mol%) under N2 at 50 °C for 24 h; isolated yield.

Fig. 1 AHA reaction catalyzed by recycled Cu/C3N4 catalyst.
procedure would be prohibited as well. Therefore, 5a was observed as the single product in water solution. Other heterogeneous copper catalysts, such as 20% Cu/C3N4 and Cu NPs were also tested, the yields were decreased obviously (entries 6 and 7). Decreasing the loading of catalyst resulted in worse yield, but the yield was not changed when the loading of catalyst enhanced (entries 8 and 9). Lowering the reaction temperature to 50°C resulted in decreased yields (entries 10 and 11). When the reaction time was shortened to 12 h, the yield was not changed. However, when the reaction time was further shortened to 8 h, only 78% of 4a was obtained (entries 12 and 13). Thus, the optimized reaction conditions for A3 coupling reaction were 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%) in toluene with 4 A MS at 70°C for 12 h under N2 atmosphere.

Under the optimized reaction conditions, a series of terminal alkynes and aldehydes were explored. The results were
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**Table 3** The optimization of Cu/C3N4 catalyzed A3 coupling reaction

| Entry | Catalyst                | T°C | Solvent | Yieldb (%) 4a + 5a | Ratioc 4a/5a |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|---------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1     | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | H2O     | 46                 | 0 : 1        |
| 2     | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | EtOH    | 54                 | 3 : 1        |
| 3     | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | IPA     | 50                 | 1.6 : 1      |
| 4d    | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | EtOH    | 62                 | 7 : 1        |
| 5d    | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | Toluene | 97                 | 1 : 0        |
| 6d    | 20% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)  | 70  | Toluene | 90                 | 1 : 0        |
| 7d    | Cu NPs (2.5 mol%)       | 70  | Toluene | 70                 | 1 : 0        |
| 8d    | 5% Cu/C3N4 (1.25 mol%)  | 70  | Toluene | 85                 | 1 : 0        |
| 9d    | 5% Cu/C3N4 (5 mol%)     | 70  | Toluene | 97                 | 1 : 0        |
| 10d   | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 50  | Toluene | 76                 | 1 : 0        |
| 11d   | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 25  | Toluene | 35                 | 1 : 0        |
| 12d,e | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | Toluene | 97                 | 1 : 0        |
| 13d,f | 5% Cu/C3N4 (2.5 mol%)   | 70  | Toluene | 78                 | 1 : 0        |

* Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: benzaldehyde 3a (0.2 mmol), phenylacetylene 1a (0.3 mmol), THIQ (0.25 mmol), solvent (0.5 mL), reaction time 24 h in cube with N2. *b* Isolated combined yield of 4a and 5a. *c* Ratio was determined by NMR prior to purification. *d* 4 Å MS (25 mg) was added. *e* 12 h. *f* 8 h.

**Scheme 2** The proposed mechanism of A3 coupling reaction.
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**Table 4** The scope of the A3 reactions
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**Scheme 2** The proposed mechanism of A3 coupling reaction.
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* Reaction condition: aldehydes (0.2 mmol), alkynes (0.3 mmol), THIQ (0.25 mmol), 4 Å MS (25 mg), toluene (0.5 mL), reaction time 12 h, 70°C; isolated yield.
C3N4 as catalyst. The Cu/C3N4 catalyst was tolerant of a wide range of functional groups and good to excellent yields were achieved in most examples. Moreover, the heterogeneous catalyst could be recovered and reused conveniently for several times with satisfactory yields in both of the reactions.

Fig. 2 A3 reaction catalyzed by recycled Cu/C3N4 catalyst.

list in Table 4. Aromatic aldehydes with electron-donating groups and electron-withdrawing groups worked well to generate the corresponding products in excellent yields (4a–4g). Aliphatic aldehyde like n-caprylic aldehyde could be converted into the desired product with a 72% of yield (4h).

Thiophene-2-carbaldehyde was also applicable under the optimized reaction conditions to afford the corresponding product 4i in 95% yield. Various terminal alkynes were then examined for the target reaction. Phenylacetylenes bearing electron-donating groups such as methyl, methoxy at the para or meta positions, underwent the reaction to provide the corresponding products in excellent yields (4j–4l). Aliphatic alkynes, such as ethynylcyclohexane and 1-hexyne, were also suitable for this reaction, and good yields were observed (4m–4o).

Moreover, the recyclability of the Cu/C3N4 catalyst in this reaction was then investigated. Similar to that in AHA reaction, good recyclability was achieved and no significant decrease in yield was observed after 5 recycles (Fig. 2). 37

Conclusions

In conclusion, with Cu/C3N4 composite as catalyst, a facile, efficient, and environmental friendly synthetic strategy for propargylic amines was developed by the three-component coupling reaction of alkynes, CH2Cl2 and amines. Good functional group tolerance and high yields were accomplished without additional base required. Moreover, the A3 coupling reaction of alkynes, aldehydes and THIQ for the synthesis of C1-alkynylated tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs) could also be achieved by utilizing Cu/C3N4 as catalyst. The Cu/C3N4 catalyst was tolerant of a wide variety of functional groups and good to excellent yields were achieved in most examples. Moreover, the heterogeneous catalyst could be recovered and reused conveniently for several times with satisfactory yields in both of the reactions.
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