Yield performance of half-sib families of physic nut (Jatropha curcas L.)
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Abstract – Among the oilseed plants, physic nut stands out for its yield potential and for the quality of its oil for biodiesel and biokerosene production. Currently, low seed yield, uneven fruit maturation and the lack of high yielding genotypes limit the viability of this crop. Here we evaluated the yield performance of a population, structured in 16 half-sib families, in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th years after planting (2009-11). Seed yield showed variability. The families maintained their relative performance over time. Contrary to expectations, seed yield was lower in the 4th year, probably due the increased competition between plants and leafhopper (Empoasca spp) incidence. Temporal yield stability and adaptability estimates indicated a trend toward maintaining plant superiority over time, and families 7 (1059 kg ha⁻¹) and 12 (984 kg ha⁻¹) showed the best stability and yield performance. Physic nut has the potential for greater yield gains.
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INTRODUCTION

Among oilseed plants, physic nut (Jatropha curcas L.) stands out for its yield potential, superior to traditional oilseeds, and for the physiochemical characteristics of its oil, which lends itself to biodiesel and biokerosene production (Dias 2011). This oilseed also stands out as a perennial crop alternative, without the need for annual replanting, and as a non-food crop, and therefore it does not directly compete against food crops. In spite of these potential advantages, it is important to consider that this species is still passing through the domestication process (Juhász et al. 2010, Freitas et al. 2011, Dias et al. 2012). Low yield, uneven fruit maturation and lack of improved cultivars with greater yield limit the viability of this crop (Dias et al. 2007). From the initial seed yield expectation of 4 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ or more, yields of less than 2 t ha⁻¹ are being observed. According to Spinelli et al. (2010) the decomposition of the yield components of this oilseed crop showed that more than 90% of the oil yield depends on the seed yield.

However, studies have confirmed an express range of variation in yield for this oilseed, from 0.2 to 2 kg plant⁻¹ (Francis et al. 2005, Jongschap et al. 2007). Drumond et al. (2010) described accessions responsive to environmental improvements that produced, at 12 months from planting, 2.12 kg plant⁻¹ of seed in an irrigated system. On the other hand, in cerrado (tropical savanna) and without irrigation, Laviola et al. (2011) observed variation in seed yield from 0 to 0.18 kg/plant⁻¹ in the first year of assessment of 110 accessions. Variability for resistance to broad mite (Evaristo et al. 2013), to powdery mildew and absence of toxicity in seeds and to proportion of male and female flowers (Juhász et al. 2009, Laviola et al. 2011) have also been reported and characterized in the field.

In this scenario, the aim of this study was to evaluate seed yield and its stability in a population structured in half-sib families assessed in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th years after planting. For the first time, we report the seed yield of mature plant.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field trial

The trial was set up in February 2008 in the experimental field of the Agriculture and Forest Research Center of the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa), Porto Velho, RO, Brazil. The trial is represented by half-sib families of J. curcas, derived from a population composed of wild seed of unknown genetic origin from visually selected plants in the municipality of Ariquemes, RO.
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Sixteen half-sib families were assessed in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th years after planting, set up in randomized blocks with three replications, eight-plant linear plots and a spacing of 3.0 x 2.0 m. Final planting was made with 30-day old seedlings grown in a nursery in February 2008. At planting, 200 g of triple superphosphate, 50 g of FTE and 50 g of potassium chloride (KCl) were used, in 20 x 20 x 20 cm hills. Four tons of lime was distributed per hectare on the soil surface, at first year of planting, after soil analysis (Table 1). As of the second year, fertilization was carried out with the application of 50 g de urea, 60 g of triple superphosphate and 40 g of KCl in topdressing, three months before the main harvests of the crop in the region, which occur in January and June (Dias et al. 2007, Laviola and Dias 2008). Weed control was carried out manually and chemically (herbicide). From the time of setting up the trial, no agricultural chemicals were used for pest and disease control of the crop.

Climate in the region of the trial is tropical type Aw, hot and wet, with a well-defined dry period from June to September, annual mean temperature of 25 °C, mean annual rainfall of 2,354 mm and mean annual evapotranspiration of 851 mm. The soil of the experimental area (see Table 1) is classified as an oxisol (Embrapa 1999), with a very clayey texture, being improved through fertilization.

Seed yield assessment

Uneven maturation of the fruits is a characteristic of this oilseed plant. In the region, the plant bears fruit throughout the entire rainy period, which extends from November to June. Seed yield was assessed in three crop years (2009, 2010 and 2011), including 5 harvests (Dec/2009, Jun/2009, Jan/2010, Jun/2010 and Dec/2011). The June 2011 harvest was not made due to the severe attack of leafhopper (Em- pousa spp.) in the flowering and seed-filling period, from March to May 2011, which compromised yield.

The fruits in the final stage of maturity were gathered from the trees and from the projections of their canopies, dried in the shade for around seven days, and then processed. After processing, seed moisture was measured. Seed lots with moisture content of less than 9% had their weight assessed.

Statistical analyses

Analyses of variance, mean tests, and display analysis were processed with the data obtained in the three crop years. The seed yield data were subjected to analysis of variance in a split-plot model, with blocks and families being allocated in the plot and years of production in the subplot, both effects considered as fixed, according to the model (Dias and Barros 2009):

\[ Y_{ij} = u + f_i + b_j + (fb)_{ij} + a_k + (fa)_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is the value observed in the plot of the i-th family, in the j-th block and k-th year; \( u \) = the overall mean; \( f_i \) = the effect of the i-th family; \( b_j \) = the effect of the j-th block; \( (fb)_{ij} \) = the experimental error at the plot level; \( a_k \) = the effect of years; \( (fa)_{ik} \) = the interaction effect between families and years; \( \varepsilon_{ijk} \) = the experimental error at the subplot level. The mean values of seed yield of 16-families were grouped by the Scott and Knott (1974) test at 5% probability.

Analysis of temporal adaptability and phenotypic stability

Analysis of adaptability was processed with the mean values of seed yield from three replications, considering each production year as an environment. The stability and adaptability statistic adopted was \( P_i \) from Lin and Binns (1988), as defined below:

\[ P_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (Y_{ij} - M_j)^2}{2n} \]

where \( P_i \) is the estimate of stability and of adaptability of the i-th family; \( Y_{ij} \) is the yield of i-th family in the j-th crop year; \( M_j \) is the maximum response observed among all the families in the j-th crop year; \( n \) = number of years in production. Lin and Binns (1988) use only one stability parameter, \( P_i \). Nonparametric estimates, such as \( P_i \), stand out by their ease of interpretation and for being based on few presuppositions of analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyses of variance indicate that the effects of families

| Date | pH | P (mg dm⁻³) | K (mmol dm⁻³) | Ca | Mg | Al+H | Al | O.M. (g kg⁻¹) | V (%) |
|------|----|-------------|---------------|----|----|------|----|---------------|-------|
| 09/2009 | 4.4 | 3.0         | 2.54          | 13.1 | 9.7 | 174.9 | 33.6 | 23.1          | 13    |
| 09/2010 | 4.8 | 2.0         | 1.03          | 26.3 | 17.0 | 108.9 | 14.8 | 25.2          | 29    |
| 09/2011 | 5.0 | 2.0         | 1.23          | 45.1 | 21.5 | 90.8  | 6.8  | 27.4          | 43    |

P: phosphorus (Mehlich), K: exchangeable potassium (Mehlich), Ca: exchangeable calcium, Mg: exchangeable magnesium, Al+H: titratable acidity, Al: exchangeable aluminum, O.M.: organic matter and V: base saturation.
and of crop years were significant, at 5% and 1% probability, respectively, for seed yield. In contrast, the effect of the family x year interaction was not significant, indicating that the families maintained their relative performance over time, and that the factors assessed (families and years) may be interpreted separately. Even in a single environment, a great yield variation is observed, as the results of the present study reveal.

Widely used for inference regarding experimental precision, the coefficient of variation (CV) was of a lower magnitude (CV_s=22.56% and CV_y=10.87%) than that observed in other studies. Values from 25% to 35% were considered adequate by different authors (Jongschaap et al. 2007, Juhász et al. 2010, Laviola et al. 2011) in assessment of experiments with this oilseed plant under different edaphic and climatic conditions. In addition to good experimental practice, the lower CV in this study is owing to the assessment of mean values of families in the interpretation of the yield performance.

Agronomic assessments have shown the variability of the yield components of this oilseed crop (Jongschaap et al. 2007, Mishra 2009, Brittain and Lutaladio 2010). The existence of genetic variability is a basic condition for achieving gains from selection. According to Resende (2002), interpretation of measurements over time is fundamental for characterization of the yield performance of perennial plant species that have a long reproductive cycle and differentiated expression of traits over time, as _J. curcas._

According to Dias et al. (2007) and Brittain and Lutaladio (2010), _J. curcas_ requires from three to four years to reach productive age, while few studies have quantified production of trees over three years from planting. In this study, the interpretation of the means of yield of the families in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th years after planting show reduced seed production in the 4th crop year and a tendency for the more productive families in maintaining their superiority over time (Table 2). For comparison of the yield performance of the families, the Scott and Knott (1974) test was used at 5% probability, which allows classification of their performance in mutually exclusive groups (Table 2). The mean values of the families were differentiated in the three years and the yield decreased significantly in the 4th year, being equal to the yield of the 2nd year. Families 7 and 12 showed the best mean performance (Table 2).

Seed yield is a trait of complex genetic control, and its expression is affected by the action of thousands of genes and by the environment. Unconfirmed yield expectations of this oilseed crop based on observations of isolated plants, without considering the reduction in plant growth that occurs in higher density planting, make characterization of the yield potential of this crop a relevant object of research. In the present study, seed yield in the 4th year was less than in the 3rd year. The severe attack from leafhopper (_Empoasca_ spp.) and the increased competition between plants due to it larger size certainly limited yield in the 4th year. The leafhopper is the main pest in _J. curcas_ in the Amazon region, with incidence from March to June. Yellowing and shriveling of leaves caused by the incidence of leafhoppers resulted in reduction of plant photosynthetic activity, with flower and fruit abortion. Harvest in June 2011 was completely compromised by the attack of this pest, reducing yield in the 4th year.

The restriction in vegetative growth observed in plants that developed their canopies in less space, as of the second year, was also considered to be an important yield limiting factor. Assessments of yield components of this oilseed indicate that seed yield is principally affected by canopy development of the plants (Spinelli et al. 2010). In general, greater spacings result in greater canopy diameters due to greater incidence of light, which stimulated lateral growth of the plants.

In addition to improving crop conditions, the increase in seed yield of this oilseed depends on identification of genetically superior plants, with greater fruit production

| Families | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 3<sup>rd</sup> | 4<sup>th</sup> |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1        | 388.40 b  | 763.00 c  | 424.03 b  |
| 2        | 476.60 a  | 890.20 b  | 495.97 a  |
| 3        | 473.13 a  | 813.06 c  | 527.60 a  |
| 4        | 411.87 b  | 760.87 c  | 486.03 a  |
| 5        | 423.20 b  | 817.93 c  | 533.30 a  |
| 6        | 499.70 a  | 834.30 c  | 460.40 b  |
| 7        | 517.13 a  | 1059.67 a | 563.93 a  |
| 8        | 488.90 a  | 837.13 c  | 494.60 a  |
| 9        | 335.03 b  | 629.57 d  | 372.57 b  |
| 10       | 479.03 a  | 901.07 b  | 588.70 a  |
| 11       | 473.23 a  | 840.83 c  | 467.67 b  |
| 12       | 477.20 a  | 984.20 a  | 563.80 a  |
| 13       | 405.27 b  | 741.23 c  | 359.10 b  |
| 14       | 528.33 a  | 925.00 b  | 575.87 a  |
| 15       | 422.63 b  | 829.67 c  | 499.40 a  |
| 16       | 414.03 b  | 660.80 d  | 415.50 b  |
| Mean     | 450.85    | 830.53    | 489.27    |

Means followed by the same letters in the column constitute a statistically homogeneous group of families within year crop, by the Scott and Knott (1974) test at 5% probability.
efficiency. For the purpose of checking for higher yielding families with greater temporal yield stability, the nonparametric measurement \( P_i \) of Linn and Binns (1988) was used. Upon stratifying the measurements, the method allows ordering of the best families in the most and least favorable years in regard to seed production. The order of number 1 was attributed to the family with the lowest estimate of the respective parameter, advancing up to the order of number 16, attributed to the family with the greatest value of this estimate. In general, consistency was seen in the ordering of the families, and families 7 and 12 exhibited the best performance and adaptability in all harvest years (Table 3).

Based on the yield performance quantified in this study, we believe that *J. curcas* has the potential for achieving higher yields through plant selection and/or adaptation of management practices. Abdelgadir et al. (2010), Ghosh et al. (2010), Pan and Xu (2011) and Gouveia et al. (2012) reported promising results through the use of growth regulators, with increasing in seed yield of this crop. Silva et al. (2012) found that tip pruning increased seed yield per plant and concentrated the production cycle of the plant.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1- The F test revealed the existence of variability for seed yield among half-sib families of *J. curcas*, showing the possibility for achieving gain from selection.

2- The effect of the family x year interaction was non-significant, indicating that families maintained their performance over time. Families 7 and 12 stood out as the highest yielding and the most stable over time.

3- The severe incidence of leafhopper and increased competition between plants limited yield in the fourth year after planting.

4- *J. curcas* shows potential for greater yield gains.
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**Desempenho produtivo de famílias de meios-irmãos de pinhão-manso (** *Jatropha curcas* **L.** **)**

**Resumo** - Dentre as oleaginosas alternativas destaca-se pinhão-manso pelo seu potencial de rendimento de grãos e pela qualidade de seu óleo para biodiesel e bioquerosene. Atualmente, a baixa produção de grãos, a desuniformidade na maturação de frutos e a inexistência de genótipos de alto rendimento limitam a competitividade desse cultivo. Avaliamos o desempenho de uma população estruturada em famílias de meios-irmãos, no 2°, 3° e 4° anos pós-plantio (2009-2011). A produção de grãos mostrou variabilidade. As famílias mantiveram seu desempenho relativo ao longo do tempo. Contrariando as expectativas, a produtividade de grãos foi menor no 4° ano, devido provavelmente à maior competição entre plantas e ao ataque de cigarrinhas (*Empoasca* spp). Estimativas de estabilidade e adaptabilidade temporal da população indicaram tendência de manutenção da superioridade das plantas ao longo dos anos, destacando-se as famílias 7 (1059 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) e 12 (984 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) como as mais estáveis e produtivas. Pinhão manso apresenta potencial para maiores ganhos de produtividade.

**Palavras-chave:** *Jatropha curcas* L., adaptabilidade e estabilidade temporal, produção de biodiesel.
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