Design analysis of a hot strip mill runout table bed under ANSYS workbench
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Abstract. A structural analysis has been performed on the setup of a spray cooling system for a model of a hot strip mill. The objective was to design a run out table (ROT) whose length is reduced for a spray cooling system. The setup consists of a bed (ROT) whose height can be adjusted as desired, and a carriage which would be carrying the hot plate, moving along the bed, for experimentation. The CAD modelling was done in CATIA and the analysis was performed in ANSYS Workbench. A prototype of the whole setup was built from the virtual model and was controlled using products from National Instrument Corporation (NI). The analysis is being conducted for the design optimization of the entire setup and its safety.

1 Introduction

The run-out table (ROT) in a hot strip mill is the place where the process of ultra-fast cooling takes place. [1] The hot strip manufactures coils of the required gauges from a slab of unknown dimensions and chemical composition. A ROT generally consists of a bed through which the hot strip moves, and an array of cooling nozzles that cools down the strip itself. Ultra-fast cooling is done by using a high flow of water and air. Cooling methods include curtains of jets, spray, circular jet, etc. A new design for the bed of the run-out table has been developed which has a degree of freedom in the Y-axis. A carriage has also been designed and developed to carry the plate/work piece [1, 2, 4,] at 850 to 900 °C moving along the bed, having and horizontal motion.

According to most researchers, [3] controlled cooling of the strips is required to attain a high grade of rolled steel which is important in industries such as automobile, construction and house-appliances. A. Suebsomran et al. [4] conducted a study to determine the effective cooling parameters for the run-out table (ROT) of strip steel in a hot rolling process by developing the 2-dimensional transient heat transfer of strip steel by using a mathematical model. R.-M. Guo [5] made a simple control algorithm for the run-out table cooling control based on a mathematical model which can deactivate and activate the cooling headers at any point that also uses a tracking system to monitor the temperature control. The length of the bed has been reduced to make the setup as compact as possible. In a traditional mill, [6] the values for strip thickness, temperature and strip thickness are given as input but in the ROT, [7] the material is cooled by arrays of the top and bottom cooling headers in a distance of about 70% of the run-out table length. [8] Usually, spray cooling is used for roll cooling or descaling of the plate’s surface, and jet cooling is used for plate cooling since it can effectively transport its momentum to the plate’s surface. [9] The cooling process contains a lot of complex physics which can be difficult to solve, due to which, studies of the problem have been largely restricted to experimental methods. After the cooling process, [10] the microstructure of the metal defines its mechanical physical properties. [11] NKK’s Fukuyama Works completed installing a Super-OLAC H (on-line accelerated cooling for hot strip mill) system at the runout cooling zone of its No. 1 hot strip mill, which had a length of 30-45 m and was able to achieve a cooling rate of 700 °C/s for a sheet of thickness of 3mm.

This design is meant as a laboratory setup to perform experimental studies. The objective of this work is to analyse the effect of the load of the carriage and plates of two different thicknesses on the bed using ANSYS Workbench so as to find any flaw in the design and optimize it accordingly.

1.1 Modelling of the bed in runout table

The design model created in CATIA software environments consists of a horizontal bed and a carriage, as shown in Fig.1, which are the major components of the whole setup. The bed is made of flat plates 4 mm thick and is 1.7 m long in length. It is supported by two hollow cylindrical rods of 50 mm in diameter. The bed is supported by rollers so as to have a vertical motion. The created vertical motion of the whole bed enables experiments to be conducted with different settings.
1.2 Methodology

1.2.1 Simulation in ANSYS Workbench

The structural analysis is done in ANSYS Workbench under static structural, where a force of 2 N, which simulates the maximum force that will be applied on the carriage by the spray and the force due to the weight of the carriage is applied on the plate. The analysis has been performed for three different positions of the carriage on the bed. Plates of two different thicknesses (4 mm and 10 mm) have been used [12].

1.2.2 Development of Automated ROT

The prototype of the whole setup built from the virtual model was controlled using NI Instrumentation. A LabVIEW based programme was developed for the wireless control of carriage motion in the ROT. Under the LabVIEW programme, the speed of the carriage movement is controlled through MyRIO which in turn controls the stepper motor of the carriage. The Virtual Interface (VI) of the LabVIEW programme is depicted in Fig. 3. The carriage was designed and created to carry a hot metal plate, which would be cooled by spraying nozzles containing internally mix cooling fluids fitted onto an aluminium frame above.

2 Simulation parameters and results

2.1. The parameters for the analyses

The structural analysis of the setup was performed by conducting a series of 6 simulations with different parameters. The parameters consist of three different positions, 300 mm, 750 mm, 1200 mm, from the vertical rods and two different flat plates of thickness, 4 mm and 10 mm. The three positions were taken according to the movement range of the carriage.

The meshing for the whole setup was done with the auto-mesh feature of ANSYS Workbench. The mesh was a tetrahedral type of mesh for the whole setup as can be seen in Fig 2.

Table 1 shows the parameters taken for the analyses that have been simulated in ANSYS:

| Sl. No. | Distance of the carriage from the vertical rods (D) (mm) | Plate thickness (t) (mm) |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | 300                                                      | 4                        |
| 2      | 750                                                      | 4                        |
| 3      | 1200                                                     | 4                        |
| 4      | 300                                                      | 10                       |
| 5      | 750                                                      | 10                       |
| 6      | 1200                                                     | 10                       |

Fig. 1. The model of the whole setup consisting of the bed and the carriage modelled in CATIA.

Fig. 2. The tetrahedral mesh used for the simulations.

Fig. 3. The prototype controlled using MyRIO and LabVIEW.
2.2 Results and discussion

A force of 55N and 65N has been calculated and used for the simulation and the base is taken as the fixed support and for the solution, the maximum deformation, the maximum equivalent von-mises stress and the maximum principal stress was found.

From Figs. 4, 5 and 6 the maximum deformation was found at analysis no. 5 (Table 1) where the carriage is at a distance of 750 mm from the vertical rods, which is at the middle of the bed, carrying a 10 mm thick plate and a force of 65 N is used in the simulation.

Fig. 4. The graphical representation of Maximum Deformation

Fig. 5. The graphical representation of Maximum Equivalent Von-Mises Stress

Fig. 6. The graphical representation of Maximum Principal Stress

2.3 The effect of the force on the bed

The values for the maximum deformation were obtained using probes on the bed in the most deformed region as shown in Fig. 9 for each set analysis.

Fig. 7. The Maximum Deformation for the analysis no. 5

Fig. 8. The graphical representation of Maximum Deformation on the bed

Fig. 9. The Maximum Deformation on the Bed.

3 Calculations

The Forces used in the analyses were calculated using the weights of the carriage and the two different plates.

Weight of the Carriage = 4.515 kg
Weight of 4mm plate = 0.455 kg
Weight of 10 mm plate = 1.15 kg
Carriage + 4mm = 4.97 kg ≈ 5 kg
Force = 5 X 9.81 = 49.05 N
Carriage + 10mm = 5.665 kg ≈ 5.7 kg
Force = 5.7 X 9.81 = 55.917 N

Since the plate is subjected to spray cooling, a force is also exerted on the plate from the spray. It is calculated using formulas for impact of jets on a surface normal to it and the Bernoulli’s equation.
The force from jet:

Bernoulli’s equation states that -

\[ p + \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 + \rho gh = \text{constant} \]  

(1)

Where,
- \( p \) is the density of the fluid,
- \( p \) is the pressure,
- \( V \) is the velocity,
- \( h \) is elevation,
- \( g \) is gravitational acceleration

Now,

\[ \frac{p_1}{\rho} + \frac{V_1^2}{2} + gh_1 = \frac{p_2}{\rho} + \frac{V_2^2}{2} + gh_2 \]  

(2)

\[ gh_1 = 0 \] as the elevation is zero

\[ V_1 = 0 \text{ (initial velocity) and } V_2 = V \]

.: \[ V = \sqrt{2 \left( \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\rho} - h_3 g \right)} \]  

(3)

Taking the pressure as 0.5 MPa, density as 1000 kg/m^3 and height as 100 mm

We get \( V = 31.65 \text{ m/s} \)

Solving the Bernoulli’s equation we get the velocity of the jet and then we use it to find the force exerted by the jet.

Dynamic forces exerted by fluid on plate normal to jet:

\[ F_x = \rho a V^2 \]  

(4)

\( \rho \) = density of water = 1000 kg/m^3

\( a \) = area of the mouth of the nozzle

Diameter of the nozzle = 1.5 mm

\[ = 10^3 \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times (1.5 \times 10^{-3})^2 \times 31.65^2 \]

\[ = 1.77 \text{ N} \times 2 \text{ N} \]

The above calculation is for a water jet on a plate normal to it and considering that the force from a water spray would be much less we take the value of the force as 2 N for the analysis.

Total force, \( F_1 \) (with 4 mm plate) = 51.05 N ≈ 55 N

Total force, \( F_2 \) (with 10 mm plate) = 61.91 N ≈ 65 N

4 Conclusion

A simple set of analyses were performed on the setup model using ANSYS Workbench. The advantage of this setup is that it requires lesser space due to the fact that the length of the bed has been reduced. Nozzles are placed on the aluminium frame on the top of the setup, and the hot plates are cooled using a spray mixture of water and air. The movement of the carriage in the prototype setup was controlled wirelessly with the help of MyRIO and LabView, which gives a greater advantage as one can observe and control the whole process of the cooling from a faraway distance. The results show that the maximum deformation formed on the bed is 0.182 mm, which can be considered as negligible for the different types of experiment being conducted on the whole setup. Therefore, the whole setup needs no optimization but if required, supports can be introduced on the bed at a distance of 750 mm from the vertical rods.
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