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Abstract

In the present paper, a family of the special functions via the celebrated Mittag-Leffler function defined on the Cantor sets is investigated. The nonlinear local fractional ODEs (NLFODEs) are presented by following the rules of local fractional derivative (LFD). The exact solutions for these problems are also discussed with the aid of the non-differentiable charts on Cantor sets. The obtained results are important for describing the characteristics of the fractal special functions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional ordinary differential equations (FODEs)\textsuperscript{1–3} have been successfully used to model the complexity in mathematics, physics and societies, such as the fractional evolution,\textsuperscript{4} control,\textsuperscript{5} circuits,\textsuperscript{6–8} relaxation\textsuperscript{9–14} and population dynamics.\textsuperscript{15} Finding the solutions for these mentioned models, many technologies were proposed in Ref. 16. For example, the Adomian decomposition technology (ADT)\textsuperscript{17} and its extended version\textsuperscript{18} were proposed to solve the approximate solutions for the FODEs. The spectral element method (SEM)\textsuperscript{19} and the finite difference method (FDM)\textsuperscript{20} and the linear multiple step method (LMSM)\textsuperscript{21} were discussed to handle the numerical solutions for the FODEs. The fractal partial differential equations (FPDEs) in mathematical physics were also discussed in Refs. 44–48. The structure solutions for the FODEs. The technologies involving the differential transform (DT)\textsuperscript{22} and the fractional operational calculus (FOC)\textsuperscript{23} technologies were reported recently to solve a class of the fractal problems in cantor sets are introduced. In Sec. 3, we represent the structure the NLFODEs by means of a family of the special functions defined on fractal sets in order to find the analytical and exact solutions for FODEs, respectively.

Recently, fractional calculus (FC) was considered to solve a class of the fractal problems in mathematical physics,\textsuperscript{24,29–31} mechanics,\textsuperscript{25} heat,\textsuperscript{26} biology\textsuperscript{33} and others.\textsuperscript{34–37} There is an alternative operator (called local FC) to model the local FODEs in fractal electric circuits,\textsuperscript{38} free damped vibrations,\textsuperscript{39} shallow water surfaces\textsuperscript{40} and populations.\textsuperscript{41–43} The fractal partial differential equations (FPDEs) in mathematical physics were also discussed in Refs. 44–48. The structure solutions for the nonlinear local fractional ordinary differential equations (NLFODEs) have not been sufficiently investigated. Motivated especially by the above idea, our aim in the present article is to find the solutions for these mentioned models, many technologies were proposed in Ref. 16.

2. PRELIMINARIES, DEFINITIONS AND FRACTAL SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

Definition 1. The LFD of $\Pi_r(\mu)$ of fractal order $\tau(0 < \tau < 1)$ at the point $\mu = \mu_0$ is defined

$$D^\tau_1 \Pi_\mu(\mu_0) = \frac{d}{d\mu}(\Pi_\mu(\mu) - \Pi_\mu(\mu_0)), \quad \mu > \mu_0,$$

where

$$\Delta^\tau(\Pi_\mu(\mu) - \Pi_\mu(\mu_0)) = \Gamma(1 + \tau) \Delta^\tau(\Pi_\mu(\mu) - \Pi_\mu(\mu_0)).$$

Definition 2. The LFD of $\Pi_\mu(\mu)$ of fractal order $\kappa \tau(0 < \tau < 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{N})$ at the point $\mu = \mu_0$ is given as follows (see Refs. 24 and 43):

$$D^{(\kappa \tau)} \Pi_\mu(\mu_0) = \frac{d^\kappa}{d\mu^\kappa} \Pi_\mu(\mu_0).$$

If $j^\tau$ is a fractal imaginary unit and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, then the fractal special functions defined on fractal sets\textsuperscript{44–41,44–48} are listed in Table 1, $\mathbb{N}$ being (as usual) the set of nonnegative integers.

3. NONLINEAR LOCAL FRACTIONAL ODES

In this section, we apply the results of the LFDs of the special functions defined on Cantor sets in order to structure the NLFODEs.

Defining the following special functions on Cantor sets:

$$\Phi_1(\mu) = \varphi_1 \sin_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)$$

and

$$\Phi_2(\mu) = \varphi_1 \cos_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),$$

where $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are two parameters, we find from Table 2 that

$$D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cos_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)$$

and

$$D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) = -\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \sin_1(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),$$

so that we get the following NLFODE:

$$[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_1(\mu)]^2 = \varphi_1^2 (\varphi_2^2 - \Phi_1^2(\mu)).$$

When $\varphi_1 = 1$ and $\varphi_2 = 1$, from Eq. (8), we get the NLFODE as follows:

$$[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_1(\mu)]^2 = 1 - \Phi_1^2(\mu).$$
Fractal Special Functions & Expressions

| Fractal Special Functions | Expressions |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| \( T_\nu(\mu') \)      | \( T_\nu(\mu') = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu'^{2\nu}}{\nu!} \) |
| \( \sin_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \sin_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{2} \left( T_\nu(\mu') - T_{-\nu}(\mu') \right) \) |
| \( \cos_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \cos_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{2} \left( T_\nu(\mu') + T_{-\nu}(\mu') \right) \) |
| \( \tan_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \tan_\nu(\mu') = \frac{T_{2\nu}(\mu')}{T_\nu(\mu') + T_{-\nu}(\mu')} \) |
| \( \cot_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \cot_\nu(\mu') = \frac{T_{2\nu}(\mu')}{T_\nu(\mu') - T_{-\nu}(\mu')} \) |
| \( \sec_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \sec_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{\cos_\nu(\mu') - \tan_\nu(\mu') \cot_\nu(\mu')} \) |
| \( \csc_\nu(\mu') \)   | \( \csc_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{\sin_\nu(\mu') - \tan_\nu(\mu') \cot_\nu(\mu')} \) |
| \( \sinh_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \sinh_\nu(\mu') = \frac{T_\nu(\mu') + T_{-\nu}(\mu')}{2} \) |
| \( \cosh_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \cosh_\nu(\mu') = \frac{T_\nu(\mu') - T_{-\nu}(\mu')}{2} \) |
| \( \tanh_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \tanh_\nu(\mu') = \frac{\sinh_\nu(\mu')}{\cosh_\nu(\mu')} \) |
| \( \coth_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \coth_\nu(\mu') = \frac{\cosh_\nu(\mu')}{\sinh_\nu(\mu')} \) |
| \( \sech_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \sech_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{\cosh_\nu(\mu')} - 1 \) |
| \( \csch_\nu(\mu') \)  | \( \csch_\nu(\mu') = \frac{1}{\sinh_\nu(\mu')} - 1 \) |

where the non-differentiable solution has the form

\[ \Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \varphi_1 \cosh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu), \] (12)

and we have

\[ D^{(r)} \varphi_1 \sinh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu) = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cosh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu) \] (13)

Similarly, by taking the following special functions defined on Cantor sets:

\[ \Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \varphi_1 \sinh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu) \] (11) \[ D^{(r)} \varphi_1 \cosh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu) = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \sinh_{\nu}(\varphi_2 \mu) \] (14)
so that we present the form of the NLFODE as follows:
\[ \left[ D^{(\nu)}\Phi_{\nu}(\mu) \right]^2 = \nu^2 \Phi_{\nu}^2(\mu) - \nu_1^2 \].

Thus, we easily structure from Eqs. (8) and (15), the following NLFODE:
\[ \left[ D^{(\nu)}\Phi_{\nu}(\mu) \right]^2 = \nu^2 \Phi_{\nu}^2(\mu) - \nu_1^2 \],

where the non-differentiable solutions can be written as follows:
\[ \Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \nu_1 \sin_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu), & (\nu = -1), \\ \nu_1 \cos_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu), & (\nu = 1), \\ \nu_1 \sinh_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu), & (\nu = 1), \\ \nu_1 \cosh_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu), & (\nu = 1). \end{cases} \]

In a similar manner, we consider the following special functions defined on Cantor sets:
\[ \Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \nu_1 \tan_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu) \]
and
\[ \Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \nu_1 \cot_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu). \]

In view of Eqs. (18) and (19), we have
\[ D^{(\nu)}\nu_1 \sin_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu) = \nu_1 \nu_2 (1 + \tau^2_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu)) \]
and
\[ D^{(\nu)}\nu_1 \cos_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu) = \nu_1 \nu_2 (1 + \tau^2_{\nu}(\nu_2 \mu^\nu)) \]
so that
\[ D^{(\nu)}\Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \nu_2 \left( \nu_1 + \frac{1}{\nu_1} \Phi_{\nu}^2(\mu) \right). \]

Thus, we directly obtain the following NLFODE:
\[ D^{(\nu)}\Phi_{\nu}(\mu) = \nu^2 \left( \nu_1 + \frac{1}{\nu_1} \Phi_{\nu}^2(\mu) \right), \]
and
\[ \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \phi_1 \csc h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') . \] (32)

From Eqs. (29)–(32), we can establish the following formulas:
\[ D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \sec_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1 \phi_2 \sec_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') \tan_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), \] (33)
\[ D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \csc_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1 \phi_2 \csc_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') \cot_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), \] (34)
\[ D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \sec h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = -\phi_1 \phi_2 \sec h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') \tanh_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'). \] (35)

and
\[ D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \csc h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = -\phi_1 \phi_2 \csc h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') \coth_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'). \] (36)

From Eqs. (33)–(36), we have
\[ [D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \sec_\nu (\phi_2 \mu')]^2 = \phi_1^2 \sec^2 h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1^2 \sec^2 (\phi_2 \mu') - \varphi_1^2 , \] (37)
\[ [D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \csc_\nu (\phi_2 \mu')]^2 = \phi_1^2 \csc^2 h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1^2 \csc^2 (\phi_2 \mu') - \varphi_1^2 , \] (38)
\[ [D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \sec h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu')]^2 = \phi_1^2 \sec^2 h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1^2 \sec^2 (\phi_2 \mu') - \varphi_1^2 , \] (39)
\[ [D^{(\nu)} \phi_1 \csc h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu')]^2 = \phi_1^2 \csc^2 h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu') = \phi_1^2 \csc^2 (\phi_2 \mu') - \varphi_1^2 . \] (40)

so that
\[ [D^{(\nu)} \Phi_\nu(\mu)]^2 = \frac{\tau_1 \varphi_2^2}{\varphi_1^2} \Phi_\nu^2(\mu) + \nu_2 \varphi_2^2 , \] (41)

where the non-differentiable solutions are given as follows:
\[ \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \begin{cases} \phi_1 \sec_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), & (\nu_1 = 1; \nu_2 = -1), \\ \phi_1 \csc_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), & (\nu_1 = 1; \nu_2 = 0), \\ \phi_1 \sec h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), & (\nu_1 = -1; \nu_2 = 1), \\ \phi_1 \csc h_\nu (\phi_2 \mu'), & (\nu_1 = 1; \nu_2 = 1), \end{cases} \] (42)

From Table 1, we set up the following special function defined on Cantor sets:
\[ \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{\varphi_3}{|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2|^2} , \] (43)
where \( \nu, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) are parameters.

From Eq. (43), we easily have
\[ T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2 = \frac{\varphi_1 - \sqrt{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}}{\varphi_2} \] (44)

and
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\Phi_\nu(\mu)}{\varphi_1}} = \frac{1}{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2} . \] (45)

For finding the LFD of Eq. (43), we present
\[ D^{(\nu)} \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{2\varphi_2 \varphi_3 \mu T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2}{(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2)^{2+1}} . \] (46)

Upon substituting Eqs. (44) and (45) into Eq. (46), we have
\[ D^{(\nu)} \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{2\mu \Phi_\nu(\mu)}{\kappa} \left( \frac{\Phi_\nu(\mu)}{\varphi_3} \right)^{2+1} \] (47)

When \( \kappa = 1 \), we find from Eq. (47) that
\[ D^{(\nu)} \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{2\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3 \Phi_\nu(\mu)}{\kappa} \] (48)

together with the non-differentiable solution given by
\[ \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{\varphi_3}{|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)|^2} \] (49)

Similarly, we propose the following special function defined on Cantor sets:
\[ \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{\varphi_3}{|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2|^2} \] (50)

which leads to
\[ T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2 = \frac{\varphi_1 - \sqrt{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}}{\varphi_2} \] (51)

and
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\Phi_\nu(\mu)}{\varphi_1}} = \frac{1}{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2} \] (52)

In order to find the LFD of Eq. (49), we have
\[ D^{(\nu)} \Phi_\nu(\mu) = \frac{-2\varphi_2 \varphi_3 \mu T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2}{(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 T_\nu(-\mu \nu)^2)^{2+1}} \] (53)
which leads to
\[
D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu) = \frac{2\rho \Phi_\tau(\mu)}{\kappa} \left( 1 - \varphi_1 \left( \frac{\Phi_\tau(\mu)}{\varphi_1} \right)^2 \right),
\]
(54)
where \( \rho, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) are parameters.

When \( \kappa = \varphi_1 = 1 \) and \( \varphi_3 = 1 \), we find from Eq. (54) that (see Ref. 41)
\[
D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu) = 2\rho \Phi_\tau(\mu)(1 - \Phi_\tau(\mu)),
\]
(55)
where the non-differentiable solution becomes (see Ref. 41)
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\rho}{2} (1 - \rho \mu^2)},
\]
(56)
with the parameters \( \rho \) and \( \varphi_2 \).

Let us now consider the following special functions defined on Cantor sets:
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),
\]
(57)
and
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau).
\]
(58)
By finding the LFDs of Eqs. (57) and (58), we have
\[
D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) = 2\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cos(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) \sin(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),
\]
(59)
and
\[
D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) = -2\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cos(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) \sin(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),
\]
(60)
which yield
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),
\]
(61)
and
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau) \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau),
\]
(62)
From Eqs. (61) and (62), we get
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)(1 - \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)),
\]
(63)
and
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)(1 - \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)),
\]
(64)
which lead us to the following NLFODE:
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \Phi_\tau(\mu) \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_\tau(\mu)}{\varphi_1} \right),
\]
(65)
Thus, from Eq. (65), we easily obtain the following NLFODE:
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu)]^2 = 4\varphi_1^2 (\varphi_2 \Phi_\tau(\mu) - \Phi_\tau^2(\mu)),
\]
(66)
where the non-differentiable solutions are presented as follows:
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \frac{\varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)}{\varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_2 \mu^\tau)},
\]
(67)
By a similar process, we present the special functions defined on Cantor sets as follows:
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \varphi_3 \sin^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau),
\]
(68)
and
\[
\Phi_\tau(\mu) = \varphi_3 \cos^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau),
\]
(69)
which lead us to the following formulas:
\[
D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau) = 2\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \sin(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau) \cos(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau),
\]
(70)
and
\[
D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau) = -2\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cos(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau) \sin(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau),
\]
(71)
respectively. Therefore, we find from Eqs. (70) and (71) that
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \sin^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \sin^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau)(1 + \sin^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau)),
\]
(72)
and
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \varphi_1 \cos^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 \cos^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau)(\cos^2(\varphi_3 \mu^\tau) - 1),
\]
(73)
which deduce to
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2) \Phi_\tau(\mu) \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi_\tau(\mu)}{\varphi_1} \right),
\]
(74)
and
\[
[D^{(\tau)} \Phi_\tau(\mu)]^2 = (2\varphi_1 \varphi_2) \Phi_\tau(\mu) \left( \frac{\Phi_\tau(\mu)}{\varphi_1} - 1 \right),
\]
(75)
respectively.
Next, from Eqs. (74) and (75), there exists the following NLFODE:

\[ [D^{(r)}] \Phi_1(\mu)]^2 = 4\Phi_1^2(\mu)\Phi_1(\mu) + \nu^2 \phi^2, \]

where the non-differentiable solutions are given by

\[ \Phi_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} \phi_1 \sinh^2(\phi_2 \mu), & (\nu = 1), \\ \phi_1 \cosh^2(\phi_2 \mu), & (\nu = -1). \end{cases} \]

If the special functions defined on Cantor sets are given as follows:

\[ \Phi_1(\mu) = \phi_1 \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu), \]

and

\[ \Phi_2(\mu) = \phi_1 \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu), \]

then we have

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu) = 2\phi_1 \phi_2 \tan(\phi_2 \mu)(1 + \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu)) \]

and

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu) = -2\phi_1 \phi_2 \cot(\phi_2 \mu)(1 + \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu)), \]

so that

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu)^2 = (2\phi_1 \phi_2)^2 \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu)(1 + \tan^2(\phi_2 \mu))^2 \]

and

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu)^2 = (2\phi_1 \phi_2)^2 \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu)(1 + \cot^2(\phi_2 \mu))^2, \]

which lead us to the following NLFODE:

\[ [D^{(r)}] \Phi_1(\mu)]^2 = 4\phi_1^2 \phi_2^2 \Phi_1(\mu) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\phi_1} \Phi_1(\mu)\right)^2. \]

In case the special functions defined on Cantor sets can be written as follows:

\[ \Phi_1(\mu) = \phi_1 \sec^2(\phi_2 \mu), \]

and

\[ \Phi_2(\mu) = \phi_1 \csc^2(\phi_2 \mu), \]

then we have

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \sec^2(\phi_2 \mu) = 2\phi_1 \phi_2 \sec^2(\phi_2 \mu) \tan(\phi_2 \mu) \]

and

\[ D^{(r)} \phi_1 \csc^2(\phi_2 \mu) = 2\phi_1 \phi_2 \csc^2(\phi_2 \mu) \cot(\phi_2 \mu). \]

Following Eqs. (96) and (97), we obtain

\[ [D^{(r)}] \phi_1 \sec^2(\phi_2 \mu)]^2 = (2\phi_1 \phi_2)^2 \sec^2(\phi_2 \mu)(\sec^2(\phi_2 \mu) - 1) \]

and

\[ [D^{(r)}] \phi_1 \csc^2(\phi_2 \mu)]^2 = (2\phi_1 \phi_2)^2 \csc^2(\phi_2 \mu)(\cot^2(\phi_2 \mu) - 1), \]

respectively.
In view of Eq. (103), we have the following solution for the fractal Korteweg–de Vries equation

\[
(D^{\tau})^{(\mu)} \phi_{\tau}(\mu) = 4\phi_{\tau}^{2}(\mu) \left( \frac{\Phi_{\tau}(\mu)}{\varphi_{\tau}} - 1 \right),
\]

where the non-differentiable solution is determined by

\[
\Phi_{\tau}(\mu) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{1} \sec^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}), \\
\varphi_{1} \csc^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}),
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\Phi_{\tau}(\mu) = 1 - \frac{\Phi_{\tau}(\mu)}{\varphi_{1}},
\]

(101)

(102)

which leads to

\[
D^{(\tau)} \varphi_{1} \sec^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}) = -2\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2} \sec^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}) \tan h_{1}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}).
\]

\[
(D^{(\tau)} \varphi_{1})^{2} = 4\phi_{\tau}^{2}(\mu) \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_{\tau}(\mu)}{\varphi_{1}} \right). \tag{104}
\]

In the same manner, we establish the following special function defined on Cantor sets (see Ref. 40):

\[
\Phi_{1}(\mu) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{1} \sec^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}), \\
\varphi_{1} \csc^{2}(\varphi_{2} \mu^{\tau}),
\end{array} \right.
\]

4. CONCLUSION

In our present work, the fractal special functions defined on Cantor sets were structured for the first time. With the use of the LFDs of the given fractal special functions, we proposed the NLFODEs and their exact solutions of non-differentiable type. The results are applicable for designing the exact traveling-wave solutions for the nonlinear FPDEs in mathematical physics (see Ref. 40).
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