Emerging Roles for Lymphatics in Chronic Liver Disease
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Chronic liver disease (CLD) is a global health epidemic causing ~2 million deaths annually worldwide. As the incidence of CLD is expected to rise over the next decade, understanding the cellular and molecular mediators of CLD is critical for developing novel therapeutics. Common characteristics of CLD include steatosis, inflammation, and cholesterol accumulation in the liver. While the lymphatic system in the liver has largely been overlooked, the liver lymphatics, as in other organs, are thought to play a critical role in maintaining normal hepatic function by assisting in the removal of protein, cholesterol, and immune infiltrate. Lymphatic growth, permeability, and/or hyperplasia in non-liver organs has been demonstrated to be caused by obesity or hypercholesterolemia in humans and animal models. While it is still unclear if changes in permeability occur in liver lymphatics, the lymphatics do expand in number and size in all disease etiologies tested. This is consistent with the lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC) upregulating proliferation specific genes, however, other transcriptional changes occur in liver LECs that are dependent on the inflammatory mediators that are specific to the disease etiology. Whether these changes induce lymphatic dysfunction or if they impact liver function has yet to be directly addressed. Here, we will review what is known about liver lymphatics in health and disease, what can be learned from recent work on the influence of obesity and hypercholesterolemia on the lymphatics in other organs, changes that occur in LECs in the liver during disease and outstanding questions in the field.
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INTRODUCTION

The lymphatic system is comprised of highly permeable capillaries found within the tissue and are required to transport lymph which contains cellular proteins, lipoproteins and lymphocytes (Dixon et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2009; Platt et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2016; Schineis et al., 2019). The capillaries drain into collecting lymphatic vessels (LV) surrounded by lymphatic muscle cells (LMC) that pump the lymph through highly specialized lymphatic valves (Chakraborty et al., 2015). How or if liver disease changes the normal functions of the lymphatics in the liver is not well understood. Here, we will outline current knowledge regarding the liver lymphatic system and lymphatic dysfunction in other organs to highlight underlying questions of how lymphatics may be affected by chronic liver disease (CLD).
LIVER LYMPHATICS IN HEALTH

The liver is the largest contributor to lymph production in the body and accounts for up to 50% of lymph entering the thoracic duct (Tanaka and Iwakiri, 2016). This lymph originates predominantly from the blood vascular system, which is characterized by a portal vein (PV) and hepatic artery (HA) in the portal triad spanning the hepatic lobule to the central vein (CV). Lymphatic structures are found within the portal triad near the PV and bile duct (Figure 1). These are likely lymphatic capillaries (LC) with highly permeable button like junctions and are not surrounded by smooth muscle actin (Tamburini et al., 2019). Fluid from the blood vasculature leaks into the interstitium through highly permeable liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC). These highly permeable sinusoids are thought to lead to the higher protein content of hepatic lymph as compared to lymph in other organs (Courtice et al., 1962; Witte et al., 1981) and rats (Barrowman and Granger, 1984). Cellular byproducts from hepatocytes, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and Kupffer cells also flow into the interstitium.

From the interstitium of the liver, fluid flows into the space of Disse located between the hepatocytes and the blood sinusoids of the liver. The lymphatic fluid then predominantly flows through the space of Mall at the interface of the portal tract and the adjacent hepatocytes. Eighty percent or more of the lymph then flows into LC found along the portal tract (Ritchie et al., 1959) with lymph flow in the same direction as bile and prevented from re-entering the liver by lymphatic valves in downstream collecting vessels (Trutschnig and Sasse, 1994). The LC then drain into LV which are surrounded by lymphatic muscle cells (LMC). These LMCs are needed to pump the lymph from the liver into the portal and the celiac lymph nodes (LNs) in mice (Barbier et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013; Chakraborty et al., 2015; Figure 1). The portal and celiac LNs in mice correlate to analogous hepatic draining LN clusters in humans (Harrell et al., 2008), from which lymph drains into the cisterna chyli.

In addition to portal lymph there is also sublobular and superficial lymph. Sublobular LV are found along the inferior vena cava (Ohtani and Ohtani, 2008). Superficial lymph drains through various LC toward the periphery of the liver before entering LV draining to regional LNs (Pupulim et al., 2015). These studies describing the normal flow and function of the lymphatics in the liver lay the groundwork for understanding how lymphatic function in the liver could be compromised during disease.

LIVER LYMPHATICS IN DISEASE

During inflammation, lymphangiogenesis occurs in response to vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) expressed by infiltrating macrophages (Kataru et al., 2009). While the precise cell types that produce VEGFC/D to induce lymphangiogenesis in the liver are unknown, several liver resident cells including Kupffer Cells and LSECs have been shown to produce VEGFC/D (Dingle et al., 2018; McGettigan et al., 2019). During end-stage liver disease such as Hepatitis C Viral infection (HCV), Hepatitis B viral infection (HBV), Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH), and Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD), hepatic lymph production and lymphatic vessel frequency increases in both human patients and animal models (Oikawa et al., 1998; Yamauchi et al., 1998; Yokomori et al., 2010; Tamburini et al., 2019). This same phenomenon of increased lymphatic vessel density, especially in the perportal area, has also been observed in idiopathic portal hypertension and in primary biliary cirrhosis (Oikawa et al., 1998; Yamauchi et al., 2002). The increase in lymphatic vessel density correlates with documented increases in VEGFC/D in the cirrhotic liver (Tugues et al., 2005). In patients with cirrhosis there is also an increase in the volume of lymph fluid draining the liver (Dumont and Mulholland, 1960, 1962; Witte et al., 1980; Granger et al., 1984). However, several studies have reported that the lymph fluid released from the liver, normally rich in protein, has a low protein content in humans (Witte M.H. et al., 1969; Witte et al., 1981) and rats (Barrowman and Granger, 1984) with cirrhosis. These findings suggest that despite the increase in frequency of lymphatics during disease, there may be a decrease in lymphatic permeability which prevents the proper removal of inflammatory mediators and cells from the liver. Despite these findings, it has yet to be determined if the lymphatics in the liver are functioning properly or if these changes in lymph content occur as a consequence of other disease-dependent mechanisms.
The network of liver LV develops in the prenatal period (Paupert et al., 2011) by differentiation from blood vasculature through the expression of Prox1 (Wigle et al., 2002). Prox1, the transcription factor required for lineage commitment of lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC) (Wigle and Oliver, 1999; Petrova et al., 2002), is also expressed by hepatocytes (Dudas et al., 2004, 2006; Tamburini et al., 2019). As such, the lymphatic vasculature in the liver lacks a single specific marker, however, LV can specifically be imaged using PDPN (D2-40) in humans. The D2-40 stain in humans is fairly specific for lymphatic vasculature and not the vascular endothelium nor liver epithelium (Yokomori et al., 2010; Tamburini et al., 2019). However, this is not as straightforward in mice as cholangiocytes are positive for the 8.1.1 anti-mouse PDPN clone (Finlon et al., 2019). Other common lymphatic endothelial markers include LYVE-1, but in the liver LYVE-1 is also expressed on LSECs and macrophages (Mouta Carreira et al., 2001). VEGFR3, which is an essential tyrosine kinase receptor in vascular endothelial growth, is also expressed by other cells in the liver including LSECs and cholangiocytes (Gaudio et al., 2006; Tamburini et al., 2019). Other less common lymphatic markers include CCL21, MMR1, desmoplakin, and integrin α9 (Petrova et al., 2002), all of which are also expressed by other liver resident cells and thus distinguishing liver lymphatics, especially in mouse models, has been difficult. Table 1 outlines common markers and overlap among other liver specific cell populations in mice. Combinations of these markers can be used for the identification of LECs in the liver by flow cytometry and immunofluorescence as described in Finlon et al. (2019).

To address this, our recent studies evaluated liver LECs by single cell mRNA sequencing. However, we were unable to uncover a marker that is specific to LECs in the liver (Tamburini et al., 2019). We were able to differentiate LECs from other cells in the liver through the combined expression of PDPN, LYVE1, PROX1, VEGFR3 (FLT4), and CCL21. While the expression of these markers individually are not unique to the LECs in the liver, LECs are the only population of cells that express all of these markers together. Using these cell markers, we identified that the LECs within a cirrhotic liver are actively proliferating, consistent with increased lymphatic vessel density (Tamburini et al., 2019). We also discovered that LECs in disease upregulate pathways involved in the production of superoxide and reactive oxygen species consistent with the LECs responding to liver inflammation (Tamburini et al., 2019).

The transcriptional profile of LECs in the liver is dependent on disease etiology. Specifically, during chronic HCV infection the LECs upregulate genes associated with responses to increased interferon in the liver through Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 1 (STAT1), among others. In contrast, LECs isolated from the livers of patients with obesity associated NASH, upregulated genetic programs related to downstream cholesterol signaling and IL13 signaling, potentially through the upregulation of CD36 and p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) (Tamburini et al., 2019). This study was the first to report the transcriptional profile of LECs isolated from healthy or cirrhotic human livers. Whether these transcriptional differences cause lymphatic dysfunction can only be inferred based on responses in other tissues.

### Table 1: Marker distribution that can be used to distinguish lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC) (Iwakiri, 2016; Finlon et al., 2019; Tamburini et al., 2019) from hepatocytes (Dudas et al., 2006; Goto et al., 2017), cholangiocytes (Gaudio et al., 2006; Li et al., 2013; Lua et al., 2014, 2016), liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC) (Schrage et al., 2008; Ding et al., 2010; Strauss et al., 2017), and portal endothelial cells (PEC) (Schrage et al., 2008) for flow cytometry or immunofluorescence.

| Marker          | Hepatocytes | Cholangiocytes | LSEC | PEC | LEC |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|------|-----|-----|
| PDPN            | −           | +              | −    | −   | +   |
| Prox1           | +           | −              | −    | −   | −   |
| LYVE-1          | −           | −              | +    | −   | −   |
| VEGFR3/FLT4     | −           | +              | +    | +   | −   |
| Ck19            | +           | −              | −    | −   | −   |
| Albumin         | +           | −              | −    | −   | −   |
| CCL21           | −           | −              | ±    | −   | −   |
| CD146           | −           | +              | +    | −/low |
lymphatic function. Reverse cholesterol transport is the process of the removal of cholesterol from peripheral tissues back to the liver where it is excreted through the bile (Brufau et al., 2011). This process requires cholesterol to be received by the lymphatic vasculature before entering into the blood stream at the thoracic duct (Huang et al., 2015). Recent reports have suggested that LV are not just passive transporters of cholesterol, but instead may be actively involved in the regulation of fatty acids and cholesterol transport from the tissue similar to how lymphatics regulate immune cell transport (Baluk et al., 2007; Pflicke and Sixt, 2009; Lim et al., 2013; Martel et al., 2013). In light of this, several studies have recently been done to understand the impact of cholesterol on lymphatic function. Many of these studies have been performed in ApoE−/− mice fed a high fat diet. These mice have exceptionally high levels of cholesterol and LV are more tortuous, dilated, and have areas of smooth muscle cell coverage that are irregular (Lim et al., 2009). As a result, using lymphocytography and DC migration assays, these LV were functionally impaired in their ability to transport fluid from the tissue or DCs to the LN and this could be rescued by treatment with a cholesterol reducing agent, ezetimibe (Lim et al., 2013). Hypercholesterolemia also led to increased levels of cholesterol in the tissues and LNs which could be rescued by VEGFC or VEGFD treatment (Lim et al., 2013). Further, loss of the cholesterol receptor SR-BI completely ablated cholesterol transport through the lymph (Lim et al., 2013). Finally, it was shown that hypercholesterolemia increased LN cellularity by disrupting normal lymphatic structures (Tay et al., 2019). This was due to decreased S1P secretion into the lymph, decreased Sphk1 transcript (which catalyzes the biosynthesis of S1P) by LECs and increased Sgpl1 transcript which degrades S1P thereby decreasing lymphocyte egress from the LN (Tay et al., 2019). The effect of cholesterol on LV has yet to be fully understood, but could have a major impact on lymphatic function across systems resulting in defects in lymphocyte trafficking, cholesterol or triglyceride accumulation in the tissue, chylomicron uptake or chylomicron remnant removal. Cholesterol and oxidized cholesterol species are increased in the livers of patients with CLD, thus understanding the impact of cholesterol on lymphatics is essential for understanding lymphatic function in the liver during disease.

To gain insight into specialized roles for liver LECs during disease our recent report examined how LECs respond to cholesterol species retained in the liver during disease, such as highly oxidized low density lipoprotein (OX-LDL). While OX-LDL is a key player in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Di Pietro et al., 2016; Gao and Liu, 2017), it has only recently been appreciated that OX-LDL can contribute to the progression of liver disease. OX-LDL accumulates as a result of free radicals generated by inflammation and can directly induce liver injury (Zhang et al., 2014). Further, levels of OX-LDL are elevated in patients with NASH (Ampuero et al., 2016), HCV (Nakjhavani et al., 2011), ALD (Alho et al., 2004; Schroder et al., 2006), and cholestasis (Comert et al., 2004; Karadeniz et al., 2008). This increased OX-LDL in the pathogenesis of CLD is consistent with our transcriptional data from LECs from livers of people with NASH, in that pathways involved in free radical scavenging, IL13 signaling and genes involved in cholesterol sensing were

VEGF and Chylomicron Transport

The lymphatics within the intestinal villi, lacteals, are required for dietary fat uptake. The lacteals have highly permeable “button-like” junctions that allow for the acquisition of dietary fat, in the form of chylomicrons. As such, genetic deletion of Neutropilin 1 together with Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 in endothelial cells, resulted in a decrease of button-like junctions and increase in zipper-like junctions in intestinal lacteals that led to the malabsorption of chylomicrons (Zhang et al., 2018). Additionally, complete loss of VEGFC expression by LECs in adult mice led to severe defects in lacteal regeneration and compromised dietary fat absorption (Nurmi et al., 2015). VEGFD (a VEGFR3 ligand) has also been shown to participate in the removal of chylomicron remnants from the blood (Tirronen et al., 2018). In a recent report, Tirronen et al. (2018) found that loss of VEGFD resulted in the downregulation of syndecan 1 (SDC1) on the sinusoidal surface of hepatocytes (Tirronen et al., 2018). SDC1 is a receptor for APO-B found in chylomicron remnants. APO-B is also important for hepatic uptake of tri-glyceride rich lipoproteins. These new findings demonstrate that VEGFD can mediate crosstalk between the LSECs and hepatocytes for chylomicron remnant removal from the blood. However, what contribution loss of VEGFD had to liver lymphangiogenesis or reverse cholesterol transport was not investigated. This work suggests an intimate crosstalk between the vascular and lymphatic endothelium with liver and intestine specific cells. This crosstalk appears to have both specific and non-specific consequences for lipid and cholesterol metabolism, lymphatic growth and differentiation, and/or transport of fatty acids and chylomicrons throughout the body.

Hypercholesterolemia and Lymphatics

In addition to the interplay between obesity and lymphatics, recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatic function. Reverse cholesterol transport is the process of cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport is the process of cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport and the impact of hypercholesterolemia on lymphatics in reverse cholesterol transport. Recently, several studies have been done to understand the impact of cholesterol on lymphatic function. Many of these studies have been performed in ApoE−/− mice fed a high fat diet. These mice have exceptionally high levels of cholesterol and LV are more tortuous, dilated, and have areas of smooth muscle cell coverage that are irregular (Lim et al., 2009). As a result, using lymphocytography and DC migration assays, these LV were functionally impaired in their ability to transport fluid from the tissue or DCs to the LN and this could be rescued by treatment with a cholesterol reducing agent, ezetimibe (Lim et al., 2013). Hypercholesterolemia also led to increased levels of cholesterol in the tissues and LNs which could be rescued by VEGFC or VEGFD treatment (Lim et al., 2013). Further, loss of the cholesterol receptor SR-BI completely ablated cholesterol transport through the lymph (Lim et al., 2013). Finally, it was shown that hypercholesterolemia increased LN cellularity by disrupting normal lymphatic structures (Tay et al., 2019). This was due to decreased S1P secretion into the lymph, decreased Sphk1 transcript (which catalyzes the biosynthesis of S1P) by LECs and increased Sgpl1 transcript which degrades S1P thereby decreasing lymphocyte egress from the LN (Tay et al., 2019). The effect of cholesterol on LV has yet to be fully understood, but could have a major impact on lymphatic function across systems resulting in defects in lymphocyte trafficking, cholesterol or triglyceride accumulation in the tissue, chylomicron uptake or chylomicron remnant removal. Cholesterol and oxidized cholesterol species are increased in the livers of patients with CLD, thus understanding the impact of cholesterol on lymphatics is essential for understanding lymphatic function in the liver during disease.

To gain insight into specialized roles for liver LECs during disease our recent report examined how LECs respond to cholesterol species retained in the liver during disease, such as highly oxidized low density lipoprotein (OX-LDL). While OX-LDL is a key player in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Di Pietro et al., 2016; Gao and Liu, 2017), it has only recently been appreciated that OX-LDL can contribute to the progression of liver disease. OX-LDL accumulates as a result of free radicals generated by inflammation and can directly induce liver injury (Zhang et al., 2014). Further, levels of OX-LDL are elevated in patients with NASH (Ampuero et al., 2016), HCV (Nakjhavani et al., 2011), ALD (Alho et al., 2004; Schroder et al., 2006), and cholestasis (Comert et al., 2004; Karadeniz et al., 2008). This increased OX-LDL in the pathogenesis of CLD is consistent with our transcriptional data from LECs from livers of people with NASH, in that pathways involved in free radical scavenging, IL13 signaling and genes involved in cholesterol sensing were
upregulated (Tamburini et al., 2019). In our studies, OX-LDL induced the production IL13 by LECs in livers of mice but not LN LECs and in contrast to the interferon inducing toll-like receptor (TLR) agonist, polyI:C (Tamburini et al., 2019). LECs have been shown to produce, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, and iNOS during inflammation and TGFβ at baseline (Card et al., 2014), however, IL-13 production by LECs had yet to be reported. These findings were interesting as recent evidence demonstrated that IL4 and IL13 can reduce Prox1 expression (Savetsky et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2015). In addition to the expression of IL13, LECs are one of the few cell types to express both IL13Rα1 and IL13Rα2, suggesting that LECs have the ability to both produce and respond to IL13 (Heng et al., 2008). When OX-LDL was administered to human LECs in vitro, Prox1 and Vegfr3 expression were decreased (Tamburini et al., 2019), similar to what was seen when LECs were treated with recombinant IL13 (Savetsky et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2015). This loss of Prox1 could significantly affect lymphatic differentiation as in an elegant paper by Wong et al. (2017), it was demonstrated that LECs upregulate fatty acid oxidation (FAO) in a positive feedback loop that requires PROX1, p300 and CPT1a to promote lymphatic growth and lymphatic specific chromatin modifications. These findings are important as we begin to investigate how OX-LDL and/or IL13 could manipulate the function of LEC and also how the metabolic state of the LEC can be the consequence and/or cause of chronic disease states. This is especially intriguing in the liver as blocking IL-13 can reduce liver fibrosis-at least in a Schistosoma infection model (Chiaramonte et al., 2001). Thus, understanding how different inflammatory mediators within the liver affect lymphatic function will be important for developing novel and specific therapeutic targets for liver lymphatics.

**BYPASS OF FIRST PASS METABOLISM IN THE LIVER BY TARGETING LYMPHATICS**

Another recent and intriguing area of research regarding lymphatics and the liver is currently being explored within the pharmacologic community. First pass metabolism is a process in which oral drug administration results in drug metabolism in the liver. When the drug passes directly from the intestine into the hepatic PV it is rapidly cleared in the liver via drug metabolizing enzymes, transporters and secretion through the bile (Andrews et al., 2017; Argikar et al., 2017; Mariappan et al., 2017; Miron et al., 2017). This rapid clearance of drugs decreases therapeutic efficacy of the orally administered drug. To circumvent these issues, the lymphatic system is being targeted to bypass first pass metabolism by the liver. As stated above, the lacteals are required for dietary fat uptake from enterocytes in the form of chylomicrons. Through microemulsion formulations of lipids (Khoo et al., 2003), self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS) (Li et al., 2017), chemical modification with fatty acyl groups/esterification (Borkar et al., 2015, 2016) and other lipid formulations (Han et al., 2015), several groups have targeted the lacteals for oral drug uptake. Targeting lymphatic uptake in the gut results in both localized and systemic drug delivery by bypassing the liver. This method for drug delivery has many potential uses, of which a particularly intriguing area of research is to improve delivery of drugs that modulate immune function. To this end, delivery of lipophilic alkyl derivatives or triglyceride derivatives of the immunosuppressive drug mycophenolic acid (MPA) caused MPA to enter into the lymphatic system, pass into the mesenteric and ultimately into the mesenteric LNs to the targeted immune cells (Han et al., 2014). These studies demonstrate a novel way for specifically reaching the lymphatic and the immune system with lipophilic drugs. This is important as we negotiate new ways to manipulate lymphatic or immune function in different organ systems.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE GOALS**

As we move forward into understanding differences in LECs based on their tissue microenvironment we are likely to come across novel and specific pathways that could be targeted for disease therapeutics. Of particular interest are atherosclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease, or CLD in which LC and vessels are important for the clearance of inflammatory infiltrate, protein, cholesterol and triglycerides from the tissue. VEGF-C and VEGFD have pleiotropic effects, but could be developed for use as recombinant proteins, agonistic antibodies or small molecules to potentiate lymphatic growth and/or function across the board to improve organ health. Similarly, the mTOR inhibitor, sirolimus, has been shown to limit downstream VEGFR3 signaling thereby aiding in diseases where lymphatic malformations occur (Baluk et al., 2017; Ozeki and Fukao, 2019; Ozeki et al., 2019a,b,c). Presumably, based on preclinical models of myocardial infarction promoting lymphangiogenesis could improve organ function (Klotz et al., 2015). However, based on recent findings it may be more relevant to focus our studies on understanding the specific contributors to lymphatic dysfunction, such as OX-LDL, and target the removal of those inflammatory mediators instead. In parallel, it will be important to understand downstream signaling pathways in LECs caused by inflammatory mediators or inflammatory cells with the end goal of maintaining lymphatic function through the prevention of signals induced by the inflammation. In the end, it is important for us to understand differences in lymphatics in each organ system, the specific stressors these cells encounter and how they can be targeted using lipophilic drug derivatives. At this time it is fairly evident that a common function of lymphatics across systems is to promote lipid and protein homeostasis to maintain organ health.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MB, AG, and BT all contributed to the conception and writing of the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

BT was funded by NIH R01 AI121209 and the University of Colorado, Outstanding Early Career Scholar and RNA Bioscience
Initiative Clinical Scholar Award. AG was funded by the Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award (NRSA) Institutional Research Training Grant T32 DK067009. MB was funded by the GI and Liver Innate Immune Programs pilot award and Linda Crnic Institute pilot award. BT and MB were also supported by a gift from the Waterman Family Foundation. MB, AG, and BT are members of the GI and Liver Innate Immune Programs, the Human Immunology and Immunotherapy Initiative, the RNA Bioscience Initiative, and the Consortium for Fibrosis Research and Translation transformation programs at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus.

REFERENCES

Alho, H., Sillanaukee, P., Kela, A., Jaakkola, O., Laine, S., and Nikkari, S. T. (2004). Alcohol misuse increases serum antibodies to oxidized LDL and C-reactive protein. Alcohol. Alcohol. 39, 312–315. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agh059

Amundson, J., Ranal, C., Gallego-Duran, R., Pareja, M. J., Del Campo, J. A., Pastor-Ramirez, H., et al. (2016). Oxidized low-density lipoprotein antibodies/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is linked to advanced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease lean patients. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 31, 1611–1618. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13355

Andrews, L. M., Li, Y., De Winter, B. C. M., Shi, Y. Y., Baan, C. C., Van Gelder, T., et al. (2017). Pharmacokinetic considerations related to therapeutic drug monitoring of tacrolimus in kidney transplant patients. Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. 13, 1225–1236. doi: 10.1080/17425255.2017.1395413

Argikar, U. A., Dumouchel, J. L., Dunne, C. E., and Bushee, A. J. (2017). Ocular block excretion revisited: contribution of biliary versus intestinal cholesterol excretion. J. Lipid Res. 58, 2018–2026. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R073326

Burchill et al. Liver Lymphatics and Disease
Han, S., Hu, L., Quach, T., Simpson, J. S., Trevisaski, N. L., and Porter, C. J. (2015). Profiling the role of deacylation-reacylation in the lymphatic transport of a triglyceride-mimetic prodrug. *Pharm. Res.* 32, 1830–1844. doi: 10.1007/s11095-014-1579-9

Han, S., Quach, T., Hu, L., Wahab, A., Charman, W. N., Stella, V. J., et al. (2014). Targeted delivery of a model immunomodulator to the lymphatic system: comparison of alkylation versus triglyceride mimetic lipid prodrug strategies. *J. Control Release* 177, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.12.031

Hansen, K. C., D’Alessandro, A., Clement, C. C., and Santambrogio, L. (2015). Lymph formation, composition and circulation: a proteomics perspective. *Int. Immunol.* 27, 219–227. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxv012

Harrell, M. I., Iritani, B. M., and Ruddell, A. (2008). Lymph node mapping in the mouse. *J. Immunol. Methods* 332, 170–174. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2007.11.012

Harvey, N. L., Srinivasan, R. S., Dillard, M. E., Johnson, N. C., Witte, M. H., Boyd, K., et al. (2005). Lymphatic vascular defects promoted by Prox1 haploinsufficiency cause adult-onset obesity. *Nat. Genet.* 37, 1072–1081. doi: 10.1038/ng1642

Heng, T. S., Painter, M. W., Immunological Genome and Project Consortium, (2008). The Immunological Genome Project: networks of gene expression in immune cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 9, 1091–1094. doi: 10.1038/ni0808-1091

Huang, L. H., Elvington, A., and Randolph, G. J. (2015). The role of the lymphatic system in cholesterol transport. *Front. Pharmacol.* 6:182. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2015.00182

Iwakiri, Y. (2016). The lymphatic system: a new frontier in hepatology. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 1607–1610. doi: 10.1002/hep.510270621

Klotz, L., Obtani, S., and Obtani, Y. (2008). Lymph circulation in the liver. *Anat. Rec.* 291, 643–652.

Li, F., Hu, R., Wang, B., Gui, Y., Cheng, G., Gao, S., et al. (2017). Self-microemulsifying drug delivery system for improving the bioavailability of huperzine A by lymphatic uptake. *Acta Pharm. Sin.* B 7, 353–360. doi: 10.1016/j.japbs.2017.02.002

Lim, H. Y., Thiam, C. H., Yeo, K. P., Bisoendial, R., Hii, C. S., McGrath, K. C., et al. (2015). Oxidized low-density-lipoprotein accumulation is associated with liver fibrosis in experimental cholestasis. *Clinics* 63, 531–540.

Lukas, C., Massri, M., Masters, M., Rohling, M., Danhorn, T., Francis, P., et al. (2019). Dietary lipids differentially shape nonalcoholic steatohepatitis progression and the transcriptome of kupffer cells and infiltrating macrophages. *Hepatology* 70, 67–83. doi: 10.1002/hep.30401

Maiti, C., Li, W., Fulp, B., Platt, A. M., Gautier, E. L., Westerterp, M., et al. (2013). Lymphatic vascular mediates macrophage reverse cholesterol transport in mouse. *J. Clin. Invest.* 123, 1571–1579. doi: 10.1172/JCI63685

McGettigan, B., McMahan, R., Orlicky, D., Burchill, M., Danhorn, T., Francis, P., et al. (2019). Dietary lipids differentially shape nonalcoholic steatohepatitis progression and the transcriptome of kupffer cells and infiltrating macrophages. *Hepatology* 70, 67–83. doi: 10.1002/hep.30401

Mita, M., Masuda, T., Sato, S., Yoshima, A., Suzuki, K., Sato, S., et al. (1998). Changes in lymph vessels and portal veins in the portal tract of patients with idiopathic portal hypertension: a morphometric study. *Hepatology* 27, 1607–1610. doi: 10.1002/hep.510270621

Ozeki, M., Asada, R., Saito, A. M., Hashimoto, H., Fujimura, T., Kuroda, T., et al. (2019a). Efficacy and safety of sirolimus treatment for intractable lymphatic anomalies: a study protocol for an open-label, single-arm, multicenter, prospective study (SILA). *Regen. Ther.* 10, 84–91. doi: 10.1016/j.reth.2018.12.001

Ozeki, M., Nozawa, A., Kawamoto, N., Fujino, A., Hirakawa, S., and Fukuo, T. (199b). Potential biomarkers of kaposiform lymphangiomatosis. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 66c27878. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27878

Ozeki, M., Nozawa, A., Yasue, S., Endo, S., Asada, R., Hashimoto, H., et al. (2019c). The impact of sirolimus therapy on lesion size, clinical symptoms, and quality of life of patients with lymphatic anomalies. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 14, 141. doi: 10.1186/s13023-019-1118-1

Ozeki, M., and Fukuo, T. (2019). Generalized lymphatic anomaly and gorham-stout disease: overview and recent insights. *Adv. Wound Care* 8, 230–245. doi: 10.1089/wound.2018.08580

Pauport, J., Souuni, N. E., and Noel, A. (2011). Lymphangiogenesis in post-natal tissue remodeling: lymphatic endothelial cell connection with its environment. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 32, 146–158. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2011.04.002

Petiau, T. V., Makinen, T., Makela, T. P., Saarela, J., Virtanen, I., Ferrell, R. E., et al. (2002). Lymphatic endothelial reprogramming of vascular endothelial cells and myofibroblasts: a mesothelial-mesenchymal transition in liver injury. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 2324–2329. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214136110

Petrova, T. V., Makinen, T., Martel, C., Li, W., Fulp, B., Platt, A. M., Gautier, E. L., Westerterp, M., et al. (2013). Lymphatic endothelial reprogramming of vascular endothelial cells by the Prox-1 homeobox transcription factor. *EMBO J.* 21, 4593–4599. doi: 10.1038/emboj/cd470

Plölcke, H., and Stix, M. (2009). Preformed portals facilitate dendritic cell entry into afferent lymphatic vessels. *J. Exp. Med.* 206, 2925–2935. doi: 10.1084/jem.20091739

Platt, A. M., Rutkowski, J. M., Martel, C., Kuan, E. L., Ivanov, S., Swartz, M. A., et al. (2011). Normal dendritic cell mobilization to lymph nodes under conditions of severe lymphatic hypoplasia. *J. Immunol.* 180, 4608–4620. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1202600

Pupuluri, L. F., Vilgrain, V., Ronot, M., Becker, C. D., Breguet, R., and Terraz, S. (2015). Hepatic lymphatics: anatomy and related diseases. *Abdom Imaging.* 40, 1997–2011. doi: 10.1007/s00261-015-0350-y

Ritchie, H. D., Grindlay, J. H., and Bollman, J. L. (1959). Flow of lymph from the canine liver. *Am. J. Physiol.* 196, 105–109. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.1958.196.1.105

Rutkowski, J. M., Moya, M., Johannes, J., Goldman, J., and Swartz, M. A. (2006). Secondary lymphedema in the mouse tail: lymphatic hyperplasia, VEGF-C
upregulation, and the protective role of MMP-9. Microvasc. Res. 72, 161–171. doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2006.05.009

Savetsky, I. L., Ghanta, S., Gardenier, J. C., Torrisi, J. S., and Garcia Nores, G. D. (2015). Th2 cytokines inhibit lymphangiogenesis. PLoS One 10:e0126908. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126908

Schinetis, P., Runge, P., and Halin, C. (2019). Cellular traffic throughafferent lymphatic vessels. Vasc. Pharmacol. 112, 31–41. doi: 10.1016/j.vph.2018.08.001

Schrage, A., Loddenkemper, C., Erben, U., Lauer, U., Hausdorff, G., Jungblut, P. R., et al. (2008). Murine CD146 is widely expressed on endothelial cells and is recognized by the monoclonal antibody ME-9F1. Histochim. Cell Biol. 129, 441–451. doi: 10.1007/s00418-008-0357-x

Schröder, H., Marrugat, J., Fito, M., Weinbrenner, T., and Covas, M. I. (2006). Alcohol consumption is directly associated with circulating oxidized low-density lipoprotein. Free Radic. Biol Med. 40, 1474–1481. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2005.12.014

Shin, K., Kataru, R. P., Park, H. J., Kwon, B. I., Kim, T. W., Hong, Y. K., et al. (2015). TH2 cells and their cytokines regulate formation and function of lymphatic vessels. Nat. Commun. 6, 6196. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7196

Strauss, O., Phillips, A., Ruggiero, K., Bartlett, A., and Dunbar, P. R. (2017). Immunofluorescence identifies distinct subsets of endothelial cells in the human liver. Sci. Rep. 7:44356. doi: 10.1038/srep44356

Tamburini, B. A. J., Finlon, J. M., Gillen, A. E., Kriss, M. S., Riemondy, K. A., et al. (2015). Immunofluorescence identifies distinct subsets of endothelial cells in the human liver. Sci. Rep. 5, 10366. doi: 10.1038/srep10366

Tanaka, M., and Iwakiri, Y. (2016). The hepatic lymphatic vascular system: structure, function, markers, and lymphangiogenesis. Cell Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2, 733–749. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2016.09.002

Tay, M. H. D., Lim, S. Y. J., Leong, Y. F. I., Thiam, C. H., Tan, K. W., Torta, F. T., et al. (2019). Haltered lymphocyte egress via effector lymph improves lymph node hypertrophy during hypercholesterolemia. Front. Immunol. 10:575. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00575

Thomas, S. N., Rohner, N. A., and Edwards, E. E. (2016). Implications of lymphatic transport to lymph nodes in immunity and immunotherapy. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 18, 207–233. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-101515-014413

Tirronen, A., Vuorio, T., Kettunen, S., Hokkanen, K., Ramms, B., Niskanen, H., et al. (2018). Deletion of lymphangiogenic and angiogenic growth factor VEGF-D leads to severe hyperlipidemia and delayed clearance of chylomicron remnants. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 38, 2327–2337. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311349

Torrisi, J. S., Hespe, G. E., Cuzzzone, D. A., Savetsky, I. L., Nitti, M. D., Gardenier, J. C., et al. (2016). Inhibition of inflammation and inos improves lymphatic function in obesity. Sci. Rep. 6:19817. doi: 10.1038/srep19817

Truttmann, M., and Sasse, D. (1994). The lymphatics of the liver. Anat. Embryol. 201, 291–202.

Tugues, S., Morales-Ruiz, M., Fernandez-Varo, G., Ros, J., Arteta, D., Munoz-Luque, J., et al. (2005). Microarray analysis of endothelial differentially expressed genes in liver of cirrhotic rats. Gastroenterology 129, 1686–1695. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.09.006

Weitmair, E. S., Aschen, S. Z., Farias-Eisner, G., Albano, N., Cuzzzone, D. A., Ghanta, S., et al. (2013). Obesity impairs lymphatic fluid transport and dendritic cell migration to lymph nodes. PLoS One 8:e70703. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070703

Wigle, J. T., Harvey, N., Detmar, M., Lagutina, I., Grosveld, G., Gunn, M. D., et al. (2002). An essential role for Proxl in the induction of the lymphatic endothelial cell phenotype. EMBO J. 21, 1505–1513. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.7.1505

Wigle, J. T., and Oliver, G. (1999). Proxl function is required for the development of the murine lymphatic system. Cell 98, 769–778. doi: 10.1002/0002-8804(199)81511-1

Witte, C. L., Witte, M. H., and Dumont, A. E. (1980). Lymph imbalance in the genesis and perpetuation of the ascites syndrome in hepatic cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 78(5 Pt 1), 1059–1068. doi: 10.1016/0002-8804(80)90793-3

Witte, C. L., Witte, M. H., Dumont, A. E., Cole, W. R., and Smith, J. R. (1969). Protein content in lymph and edema fluid in congestive heart failure. Circulation 40, 623–630. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.40.5.623

Witte, M. H., Dumont, A. E., Cole, W. R., Witte, C. L., and Kintner, K. (1969). Lymph circulation in hepatic cirrhosis: Effect of portacaval shunt. Ann. Intern. Med. 70, 303–310.

Witte, M. H., Witte, C. L., and Dumont, A. E. (1981). Estimated net transcapillary water and protein flux in the liver and intestine of patients with portal hypertension from hepatic cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 80, 265–272. doi: 10.1016/0016-5085(81)90713-7

Wong, B. W., Wang, X., Zecchin, A., Tiennpont, B., Cornelissen, I., Kalucka, J., et al. (2017). The role of fatty acid beta-oxidation in lymphangiogenesis. Nature 542, 49–54. doi: 10.1038/nature21028

Yamauchi, Y., Ikeda, R., Michitaka, K., Haasa, Y., Horiike, N., and Onji, M. (2002). Morphometric analysis of lymphatic vessels in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatol. Res. 24:107. doi: 10.1016/s1386-6346(02)00019-0

Yamauchi, Y., Michitaka, K., and Onji, M. (1998). Morphometric analysis of lymphatic and blood vessels in human chronic viral liver diseases. Am. J. Pathol. 153, 1131–1137. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9440(00)00567-x

Yokomori, H., Oda, M., Kaneko, F., Kawachi, S., Tanabe, M., Yoshimura, K., et al. (2010). Lymphatic marker podoplanin/D2-40 in human advanced cirrhotic liver–re-evaluations of microlymphatic abnormalities. BMC Gastroenterol. 10:131. doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-10-131

Zhang, F., Zarkada, G., Han, J., Li, J., Dubrac, A., Ola, R., et al. (2018). Lacteal junction zipperings protects against diet-induced obesity. Science 361, 599–603. doi: 10.1126/science.aap9331

Zheng, M., Yu, J., and Tian, Z. (2013). Characterization of the liver-draining lymph nodes in mice and their role in mounting regional immunity to HBV. Cell Mol. Immunol. 10, 143–150. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2012.59

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Burchill, Goldberg and Tamburini. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.