Effect of ruthenium doping on superconductivity in Nb$_2$PdS$_5$
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Abstract. We synthesized a series of Nb$_{2-x}$Ru$_x$S$_5$ polycrystalline samples by solid-state reaction method and investigated systematically the Ru doping effect on superconductivity by transport and magnetic measurements. It is found that superconductivity is enhanced with Ru doping and the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$) reaches a maximum of 6.86 K at $x = 0.2$. Ru doping is regarded as the hole-type doping similar to the case of Ir doping. The evolution of $T_c$ with Ru doping is compared with the case of Ir or Ag doping.

1. Introduction

The recent discovery of superconductivity in the new transition metal-chalcogenide compound Nb$_2$PdS$_5$ with $T_c \sim 6$ K has attracted much attention[1]. This quasi-one-dimensional (Q1D) material which is argued to be a multi-band superconductor[1, 2, 3, 4] has remarkably high and anisotropic upper critical field ($H_{c2}^{ab} > 37$ T). Chemical substitution has been investigated in this $T_2$PdCh$_5$ (T = Nb or Ta, Ch = S or Se) system and superconductivity was found at 2.5 K and 6 K in Ta$_2$PdSe$_5$[3] and Ta$_2$PdS$_5$[5], respectively. Partial substitution of Pd by Ni[6] or Ir[7] has slightly enhanced $T_c$ but superconductivity is suppressed in the cases of Pt-for-Pd doping[6] or Ag-for-Pd doping[7], and Se-for-S doping[8]. However, the feature of large $H_{c2}$ relative to $T_c$ which surpasses by far the expected Pauli limiting field ($H_{c2} = 1.84T_c$[9]) is found to be robust against these substitutions. This common feature provide a strong evidence of unconventional superconductivity in this system.

The band structure calculations[1, 4, 10] present that the Fermi surface of Nb$_2$PdS$_5$ is composed of multiple sheets and this system is in proximity to a magnetically or charge density wave (CDW) ordered state due to the nesting properties of those Q1D fermi surface sheets. The change in $d$ electron population in the Pd site is expected to flatten the Q1D fermi surface sheets and thus it may enhance the nesting properties and the proposals for unconventional superconducting pairing scenario were suggested[1, 4]. Moreover, it is also suggested that the strong spin-orbit coupling associated with the heavy Pd element could lead to the high $H_{c2}[5, 11]$. Through controlling SOC by Pt and Ni partial substitutions on the Pd sites, $H_{c2}/T_c$ is found to be tunable, indicating a crucial role of SOC on the enhancement of $H_{c2}$[6, 12]. These theoretical
and experimental studies have verified the importance of the presence of Pd irons with a large Z number to the unconventional properties. Recently, it has been reported that the charge carrier density (or band filling) which can be modulated by hole(electron)-type doping could be a crucial factor to tune superconductivity[7] in this system. So far, the origin of large $H_{c2}$ and exotic superconductivity in $T_2$PdCh$_3$ is far from conclusive.

In this paper, we investigate the doping effect on the Pd site by heterovalent transition metal ruthenium. The Ru doping is considered as a hole-type dopant but with weaker SOC compared to the Ir doping, thus it may help on distinguishing the different roles of charge carrier density and SOC upon superconductivity. The evolution of superconductivity is obtained by measuring electrical resistivity and magnetic susceptibility for $Nb_2Pd_{1-x}Ru_xS_5$. $T_c$ reaches a maximum of 6.86 K at $x = 0.2$. The superconducting window is wide, indicating a robust superconductivity in $Nb_2PdS_5$.

2. Experimental details

We synthesized a series of $Nb_2Pd_{1-x}Ru_xS_5$ polycrystalline samples by usual solid-state reaction method using stoichiometric amounts of powders of Nb (99.99%), Pd (99.99%), Ir, Ru or Ag (99.99%), and S (99.9%). All the starting materials were thoroughly ground and pressed into pellets and then sealed in evacuated quartz tubes. The quartz ampoules were slowly heated to 1073~1123 K and held for 48 h. This procedure was finally repeated again for homogeneity.

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out at room temperature on a PANalytical X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation, and lattice constants were determined using the program X’Pert HighScore. Electrical resistivity was measured by a standard four-terminal method using Oxford-15 T cryostat with Keithley 2400 source-measure meters and 2182A nanovoltmeters.
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**Figure 1.** Left panel: room temperature powder X-ray diffraction patterns for $Nb_2Pd_{1-x}Ru_xS_5$ with $x = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6$ and $1$, respectively. Right panel: lattice constants as a function of nominal doping content $x$. 
3. Result and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of Nb$_2$Pd$_{1-x}$Ru$_x$S$_5$ ($x = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1$). All the main peaks can be well indexed with a monoclinic structure (space group C2/m), except for a few minor peaks due to unknown impurities marked by the asterisks. The variation of lattice parameters was shown in the right panel. It is found that $a$ and $b$ remain almost constant while the $c$-axis shrinks significantly with increasing Ru content. This observation indicates that Ru atoms are successfully doped into this compound which is consistent with the smaller ion radius of Ru compared to Pd.

The temperature-dependent resistivity is shown in Fig. 2. The resistivity at $T = 300$ K ranges from 1.55 m$\Omega$-cm to 4.6 m$\Omega$-cm. For the undoped compound ($x = 0$), the resistivity decreases slowly with decreasing temperature and then shows a sharp drop around $T_c \approx 6$ K. This metallic behavior is consistent with previous reports[1][7]. Upon doping with Ru, a small upturn shows up at low temperature which can be ascribed to Anderson localization[5] or grain boundary effect[13]. The inset of Fig. 2 is the enlarged plot of resistivity versus $T$, showing the variation of superconducting transition. Superconductivity was firstly enhanced then suppressed with further doping which resembles the case of Ir doping [7].

Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of Nb$_2$Pd$_{1-x}$Ru$_x$S$_5$. Inset: the enlarged plot of resistivity around the superconducting transition temperatures.

Figure. 3 presents temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility. The samples with $0 \leq x \leq 0.4$ show strong diamagnetic signal confirming bulk superconductivity. The transition temperature is consistent with the resistivity measurements (shown in fig. 4). For $x = 0.8$, superconductivity no longer survives.

According to our previous work[7], partial substitution of Pd by Ir which can be considered as hole-type doping could obviously increase $T_c$ for low Ir content, while Ag doping which is regarded as electron-type doping destroys superconductivity quickly. It is interesting to compare the effect of Ru doping with Ir doping both of which are regarded as hole-type dopants. Since the strength of SOC is proportional to $Z^4$, where $Z$ is the atomic mass number, Ru doping may hardly change SOC associated with Pd site, while Ir or Pt doping could increase SOC in the system [6, 12]. Therefore we may distinguish the effect of SOC from charge carrier density through comparing Ru doping and Ir doping.

Based on the resistivity and magnetic measurements, a phase diagram of $T_c$ vs. doping level is shown in Fig. 4, the data of Ir or Ag doping are plotted for comparison. Circle symbols stand for the characteristic temperature $T_c^{mid}$ where $\rho(T)$ drops to 50% of the normal state value. Ru and Ir doping are shown by hollow symbols while Ag doping is in solid character. Pentagonal symbols represent superconducting transition temperature derived from magnetic
Figure 3. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility measured under $H = 10$ Oe for $\text{Nb}_2\text{Pd}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{S}_5$ ($x = 0, 0.2, 0.4, \text{and } 0.8$).

Figure 4. The phase diagram of transition temperature $T_c$ as a function of doping level $x$. The blue, magenta and wine circle lines stand for $T_{c \text{mid}}$ of Ru, Ir and Ag doped $\text{Nb}_2\text{Pd}_{1-x}\text{R}_x\text{S}_5$, respectively. Pentagonal symbols represent $T_c$ extracted from magnetic susceptibility data.

susceptibility. It can be found that superconductivity is enhanced with a maximum $T_{c \text{mid}}$ of 6.86 K by Ru ($x = 0.2$) doping, and 7.73 K by Ir ($x = 0.4$) doping, respectively. Both Ru and Ir doping are expected to increase the hole-type carrier density, which may drive the system far away from the magnetic order or a possible CDW order and thus favor superconductivity, while the electron-type dopings (i.e., Ag doping) have an opposite effect. In this scenario, we could understand why $T_c$ initially increases for both Ru doping and Ir doping. However, the maximum of $T_c$ is even higher and the superconducting range (up to $x$ of 0.8) is wider for the Ir doping case. We proposed that the enhanced SOC strength due to heavier Ir may account for this difference. This result implies that not only the charge carrier density but also SOC could also play an important role in controlling superconductivity of $\text{Nb}_2\text{PdS}_5$. It should also be noted that superconductivity can survive up to very high doping level in the cases of Ru or Ir doping, which demonstrates that $T_c$ is quite robust upon disorder in the hole-type doping, as compared with the iron-based superconductors $\text{LaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsO}$[14] and $\text{BaFe}_{2-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{As}_2$[15]. Careful studies on the single-crystalline $\text{Nb}_2\text{Pd}_{x}\text{S}_{5-d}$ have found that superconductivity occurs in a wide range of Pd ($0.6 < x < 1$) and S ($0 < \delta < 0.61$) contents[16], suggesting again that superconductivity in this system is very robust.
4. Conclusion
In summary, we studied the Ru doping effect on superconductivity in Nb$_2$PdS$_5$ and superconductivity with a maximum $T_c^{\text{mid}}$ of 6.86 K at $x=0.2$ has been observed. Bulk superconductivity is confirmed by magnetic measurements. An electronic phase diagrams is presented showing the enhancement of $T_c$ by partial substitution of Pd by Ru and the comparison with the Ir or Ag doping is made, which indicates that the charge carrier density as well as SOC has a significant effect on the superconductivity.
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