Sleep Restriction for 1 Week Reduces Insulin Sensitivity in Healthy Men
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OBJECTIVE—Short sleep duration is associated with impaired glucose tolerance and an increased risk of diabetes. The effects of sleep restriction on insulin sensitivity have not been established. This study tests the hypothesis that decreasing nighttime sleep duration reduces insulin sensitivity and assesses the effects of a drug, modafinil, that increases alertness during wakefulness.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—This 12-day inpatient General Clinical Research Center study included 20 healthy men (age 20–35 years and BMI 20–30 kg/m²). Subjects spent 10 h/night in bed for ≥8 nights including three inpatient nights (sleep-replete condition), followed by 5 h/night in bed for 7 nights (sleep-restricted condition). Subjects received 300 mg/day modafinil or placebo during sleep restriction. Diet and activity were controlled. On the last 2 days of each condition, we assessed glucose metabolism by intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) and euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp. Salivary cortisol, 24-h urinary catecholamines, and neurobehavioral performance were measured.

RESULTS—IVGTT-derived insulin sensitivity was reduced by (means ± SD) 20 ± 24% after sleep restriction (P = 0.001), without significant alterations in the insulin secretory response. Similarly, insulin sensitivity assessed by clamp was reduced by 11 ± 5.5% (P < 0.04) after sleep restriction. Glucose tolerance and the disposition index were reduced by sleep restriction. These outcomes were not affected by modafinil treatment. Changes in insulin sensitivity did not correlate with changes in salivary cortisol (increase of 51 ± 8% with sleep restriction, P < 0.02), urinary catecholamines, or slow wave sleep.

CONCLUSIONS—Sleep restriction (5 h/night) for 1 week significantly reduces insulin sensitivity, raising concerns about effects of chronic insufficient sleep on disease processes associated with insulin resistance. Diabetes 59:2126–2133, 2010

The average sleep duration in the U.S. has fallen below 7 h per night, a drop of ~2 h per night over the last century and >1 h per night over the last 40 years (1,2). Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have demonstrated a link between short sleep duration or poor sleep quality and increased risk of obesity (3–7), diabetes (7–11), hypertension (12), cardiovascular disease (13,14), the metabolic syndrome (15), and early mortality (14,16–21). Short-term sleep restriction (4 h/night for 1 week in a laboratory setting) impaired glucose tolerance during a frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) in healthy subjects (22).

In healthy subjects, the mechanisms leading to impaired glucose tolerance with short-term reductions in nightly sleep duration are unclear. Decreases in insulin secretion have been implicated, and sleep restriction increases cortisol levels, which could influence glucose tolerance (22). Further, insulin resistance has been reported in two very different models of disrupted sleep: sleep apnea (23) and experimental disruption of slow-wave sleep (24). In the latter model, the extent of slow-wave sleep disruption predicted reductions in insulin sensitivity (24).

Our primary goal was to test the hypothesis that sleep restriction in healthy subjects reduces insulin sensitivity as assessed by the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Insulin secretion was assessed using IVGTTs. To identify possible mechanisms by which sleep restriction may affect insulin sensitivity, we assessed the relationships between changes in insulin sensitivity and changes in cortisol, catecholamines, and slow wave sleep. Further, we tested the ability of modafinil to ameliorate the adverse effects of sleep restriction on insulin sensitivity. Modafanil activates central, wake-promoting dopaminergic and noradrenergic mechanisms (25,26) and ameliorates the adverse effects of sleep deprivation on alertness and performance (27–29)—impairments that have been attributed to reduced brain glucose utilization (30). Thus, we performed hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps and intravenous glucose tolerance twice: at baseline in sleep-replete individuals and after 7 nights of sleep restriction (5 h in bed) in healthy individuals randomized to daily treatment with placebo or modafinil.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

A schematic of this double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, clinical study is presented in Fig. 1. Procedures were approved by the Human Research Committee of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital and conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent.

Subject recruitment and screening. Healthy male subjects were recruited using newspaper ads, flyers, and website postings. Subjects were screened for sleep patterns and medical and psychological history, underwent a physical examination by a licensed physician, and provided blood and urine samples to ensure that hematologic and serum chemistry, including metabolic and thyroid panels, were within normal limits. All subjects passed a urine toxicology screen.

Preadmission conditions. Before the experiment, subjects slept at home for at least 5 days (mean 8.9 days [range 5–21]) with 10 h per night of time in bed (TIB) from 10 P.M. to 8 A.M. (~1 h) in order to enter the experimental portion of the protocol in a nearly sleep-replete state, i.e., with similar and presumably minimal amounts of sleep debt (31). Subjects called into a time-stamped phone-answering system, wore wrist activity monitors (Minimitter, Bend, OR) and completed a sleep diary to assure compliance with this schedule.
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Inpatient study conditions. Subjects were admitted to the General Clinical Research Center at Brigham and Women’s Hospital for a 12-day inpatient visit. The study began with a 3-day baseline period of 10 h night TIB (continued from the pretest period) and baseline metabolic assessments, after which subjects were scheduled for sleep restriction (5 h/night TIB) for the following 7 nights, with the sleep periods centered at the same clock time of 0300 h. During the periods of wakefulness, subjects were allowed to perform activities such as writing, reading, computer work, board or card games, movie viewing, arts and crafts, listening to or playing music, and light stretching. Subjects were observed by research technicians throughout the protocol either through direct interaction or remotely via video. Light levels during sleep periods were essentially complete darkness (<1 lux) and <0.01 lux during wakefulness, which simulations suggest would lead to a <9 min mean difference of circadian phase between sleep conditions (32). Subjects were randomized to modafinil (100 mg per tablet) or placebo (two tablets at 0600 h and one tablet at 1300 h) during the 7 days of sleep restriction. Post–sleep restriction metabolic assessments were performed, and subjects were discharged on day 12 after a recovery night of 10 h TIB (Fig. 1). Metabolic assessments are described below and consisted of an IVGTT, euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp, and collection of saliva and urine for hormone measurements. During each sleep period, scalp surface electrodes (Beckman Instrument Company, Schiller Park, IL) were applied to specific locations on the subject’s face and scalp at least 2 h prior to the scheduled sleep period for recordings of central (C3 and C4) and occipital (O1 and O2) electroencephalogram, electrooculogram, electromyogram, and electrocardiogram. Data were collected using Vitaport Three digital sleep recorders (TEMEC) and scored visually in 30-s epochs by registered polysomnographic technologists (33).

Controlled diet. Throughout the inpatient portion of the study, subjects received an isocaloric, controlled-nutrient diet containing 58–60% carbohydrates, 15–17% protein, 25–27% fat, 800–1,000 mg calcium, 100 ± 2 mEq potassium, and 200 ± 2 mEq sodium. Subjects were required to consume all food provided. An identical menu was provided on the day before and the day of each IVGTT and each euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp procedure.

Subjective measures of sleepiness and alertness. Every 3 hours during wake periods, subjects completed a short test battery including the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (34) and the Psychomotor Vigilance Task (PVT) (3,24). The PVT, Beckman Glucose Analyzer 2 (Beckman Coulter, Chaska, MN) with sensitivity 0.03 IU/ml, precision 4–5%. Urinary norepinephrine and epinephrine was assayed using a validated and FDA-approved indirect calorimeter (Medgen 100; Healthtech) that estimates RMR in kilocalories per day (20,37). Assays were made upon waking in the sleep-replete condition while subjects were still in bed (i.e., after a 12-h fast) after a void and at least 10 min of quiet bed rest. The timing was at the same clock time in both conditions, ~0820 h, and the test duration was ~12–14 min until steady state was attained.

Saliva and urine sampling. Saliva samples for determination of free cortisol levels were collected from 1500 to 2100 h on the last 2 days of each condition. Twenty-four hour urine collections were obtained on the last 2 days of each condition.

Assays. Serum glucose during the clamp studies was measured using the Beckman Glucose Analyzer 2 (Beckman Coulter, Chaska, MN) with sensitivity of <10 mg/dl and precision <5%. Serum glucose during the IVGTT was measured using the COBAS Integra 400 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) with sensitivity of 0.59 mg/dl and precision <4.5% (37). Serum insulin was measured by chemiluminescence immunoassay (Access Immunoassay System, Beckman Coulter, Chaska, MN) with sensitivity 0.05 IU/ml, precision <5%. Salivary cortisol was measured using a solid-phase radioimmunoassay (Coat-A-Count; DPC, Los Angeles, CA), with sensitivity <0.02 µg/dl and precision 4–5%. Urinary norepinephrine and epinephrine was assayed using the LDN CAT RIA kit (Immuno Biological Laboratories, Minneapolis, MN). The sensitivity of this method is 1.5 ng/ml for norepinephrine and 0.9 ng/ml for epinephrine; the precision is ~15% for both assays (38).

Statistical analyses. Mixed-effects models were applied to study the effects of the number of nights of sleep restriction and the effects of drug treatment on subjective and objective measures of sleepiness, including self-reported sleepiness and lapses of attention, and on insulin secretion, insulin sensitivity,
RESULTS

Subjects. Twenty healthy men (mean ± SD: age 26.8 ± 5.2 years; BMI 23.3 ± 3 kg/m²) completed the study (11 placebo and 9 active drug). An additional three subjects were withdrawn from the study after initiation of drug treatment as a result of transient EKG changes in one subject, and 2) tachycardia (up to 126 bpm) and elevated blood pressure (systolic 145 mmHg and diastolic 91 mmHg) in another subject, and 3) tachycardia (up to 127 bpm), elevated systolic blood pressure (systolic 147 mmHg and diastolic 87 mmHg), and urinary frequency in a third subject. These subjects were otherwise asymptomatic. Unblinding revealed that all three of these subjects had been receiving modafinil. All signs and symptoms resolved within a day of stopping the medication.

Subjective and objective measures of sleepiness. Sleep restriction increased self-reported sleepiness and objective measures of sleepiness compared with the sleep-replete baseline condition (Table 1 and Fig. 2); modafinil treatment significantly reduced the deleterious effects of sleep restriction on these measures of sleepiness.

Cortisol and norepinephrine. Salivary cortisol levels (assessed between 1500 and 2100 h) were elevated with sleep restriction compared with the baseline sleep-replete condition (Fig. 3). The mean increase in cortisol of 0.054 ± 0.01 ng/ml with sleep restriction and placebo was similar to the increase observed with sleep restriction and modafrin treatment (0.066 ± 0.01 ng/ml; P = 0.48). Compared with placebo, modafinil treatment significantly increased urinary epinephrine and norepinephrine with decreased sleep duration (Table 1). Changes in urinary catecholamines (sleep restricted – baseline sleep replete) were 4.98 ± 4.02 µg/day (placebo [t test P = 0.24]) and 18.29 ± 3.31 µg/day (modafinil [t test P = 0.0006]) for norepinephrine and 1.58 ± 1.72 µg/day (placebo [t test P = 0.39]) and 6.52 ± 0.94 µg/day (modafinil [t test P = 0.0001]) for epinephrine.

Energy expenditure. Fasted resting metabolic rate was unchanged from baseline (sleep replete) to sleep restriction (mean change 0 ± 44 kcal). There were no effects of drug treatment on the change in RMR (Table 1).

Insulin sensitivity and acute insulin response (IVGTT). Insulin sensitivity assessed by minimal model analysis of IVGTT data was significantly reduced after sleep restriction compared with the sleep-replete baseline condition, with no significant effect of modafinil treatment (Table 1; Fig. 4E). Fifteen out of nineteen subjects had a decrease in S1 with sleep restriction, with a mean decrease of 20 ± 24% (F1,18 = 15.18; P = 0.001) (Table 1 and Fig. 4E and F). The acute insulin response was not significantly affected by either sleep restriction or drug treatment (Table 1; Fig. 4C). With sleep restriction, the disposition index (the product of S1 and acute insulin response), was significantly but slightly reduced (Table 1 and Fig. 4D) and glucose tolerance was significantly reduced (change of 0.31 ± 0.13% per min with modafinil and 0.17 ± 0.15% per min with placebo). There were no significant effects of sleep restriction or drug treatment on other minimal model parameters (Table 1).

Insulin sensitivity (euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp). Glucose and insulin levels at baseline and during euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp protocols were similar between sleep-replete and sleep-restricted conditions and between modafinil and placebo treatments. Fasting insulin levels were 4.5 ± 0.4 µU/ml and increased to 57.8 ± 23 µU/ml during the insulin infusions. Serum glucose
levels averaged 89.9 ± 0.3 mg/dl during the last 60 min of the clamp procedures. The dextrose infusion rate \( (M) \) needed to maintain euglycemia during the final hour of the clamp procedure was significantly reduced with sleep restriction compared with the baseline sleep-replete condition (Fig. 4G and H); there were no significant effects of drug treatment (Table 1). Ninety percent of subjects had a decrease in \( M \) with sleep restriction. The mean ± SE decrease for all subjects was 11 ± 5.5\% \( (F_{1,18} = 4.64; \ P = 0.045) \) relative to the baseline sleep-replete condition (Fig. 4). Importantly, changes in insulin sensitivity \( (M) \) assessed by the clamp procedure correlated with the change in insulin sensitivity \( (S_t) \) assessed by the IVGTT procedure \( (r = 0.53; \ P = 0.02) \). Overall, there was a strong correlation of the absolute level of \( M \) with \( S_t \) \( (r = 0.85; \ P < 0.0001) \).

**Changes in slow-wave sleep.** Sleep restriction resulted in a significant decrease in total sleep time, but changes in the amount of slow-wave sleep (non–rapid eye movement stages 3 and 4), previously linked to changes in glucose metabolism (24), were not related to changes in insulin sensitivity (Table 1).

**Predictors of changes in insulin sensitivity.** There was not a significant linear relationship between BMI and change in \( S_t \) \( (F_{1,17} = 1.04; \ P = 0.32) \) or change in \( M \) \( (F_{1,17} = 1.23; \ P = 0.28) \), between change in cortisol and change in \( S_t \) \( (F_{1,17} = 1.28; \ P = 0.27) \) or change in \( M \) \( (F_{1,17} = 0; \ P = 0.99) \), or between change in urinary catecholamine levels and change in either \( S_t \) \( (F_{1,17} = 0.04, \ P = 0.85) \), for norepinephrine and \( F_{1,17} = 1.79, \ P = 0.20 \), for epinephrine) or \( M \) \( (F_{1,17} = 0.68, \ P = 0.42) \), for norepinephrine and \( F_{1,17} = 0.01, \ P = 0.91 \), for epinephrine). Similar results were obtained when the analysis was restricted to subjects receiving modafinil (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Sleep restriction to 5 h/night (TIB) for 1 week in nonobese, healthy men significantly reduced insulin sensitivity as assessed by two techniques, the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp and the IVGTT, yet did not affect the acute insulin response to intravenous glucose administration. Sleep restriction led to elevations of afternoon and evening levels of free cortisol, but these increases were not linearly related to changes in insulin sensitivity. The effects of sleep restriction on measures of glucose metabolism and on salivary cortisol were not altered by administration of modafinil, though modafinil did improve subjective and objective measures of sleepiness. These changes in insulin sensitivity support the hypothesis that insufficient sleep duration leads to insulin resistance.

Our finding that sleep restriction leads to a decrease in insulin sensitivity is consistent with earlier studies showing impaired glucose metabolism with altered sleep duration. The earliest direct assessment of the relationship between sleep and glucose metabolism demonstrated that complete sleep deprivation for 3–4 days led to an elevation
INSUFFICIENT SLEEP AND INSULIN SENSITIVITY

FIG. 4. Effects of sleep restriction on glucose metabolism. A and B: Mean glucose levels (± SE) from IVGTT during the baseline sleep-replete condition (10 h/night TIB [black line]) and following sleep restriction for 1 week (5 h/night TIB) in subjects receiving placebo (A) (red line) modafinil (B) (green line). Left arrow, glucose infusion at time = 0 min; right arrow, insulin infusion at time = 20 min. C and D: Mean insulin levels (± SE) from IVGTT. E–H: IVGTT parameters were calculated using Minmod Millennium software. Glucose and insulin levels differed between the two studies. The Spiegel study

of glucose levels on an oral glucose tolerance test (39). Spiegel et al. (22) from the Van Cauter laboratory performed frequently sampled IVGTT (FSIVGTT) in healthy subjects during a sleep debt condition (4 h per night) and the sleep-replete condition (12 h/night). They found that the sleep debt condition led to impaired glucose metabolism characterized by 30–40% reductions in glucose tolerance, glucose effectiveness, and acute insulin response to glucose but a nonsignificant reduction in insulin sensitivity. We also demonstrated impairment in glucose metabolism with sleep restriction (to 5 h/night compared with a baseline sleep repletion of 10 h/night), but the impairment was attributable to a decrease in insulin sensitivity rather than to impairments in insulin secretion or glucose effectiveness. However, we did not observe a compensatory increase in insulin secretion despite the reduction in insulin sensitivity, so it is possible that more than one mechanism is contributing to impaired glucose metabolism with sleep restriction in our study. Our results are consistent with recent results in 11 overweight, middle-aged adults that sleep restriction to 5.5 h/night with an ad libitum diet reduces insulin sensitivity but does not change insulin secretion on an IVGTT (40). The current study extends from these findings with two techniques for assessing insulin sensitivity, the insulin-modified FSIVGTT and the gold standard euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp, with concordant results. In further support of the hypothesis that alterations in sleep may affect insulin sensitivity, Van Cauter et al. (24) recently reported that the nearly total suppression of slow-wave sleep by acoustic disruption for 3 nights (without changing total sleep duration) reduces insulin sensitivity as well as acute insulin response.

Substantive differences in the current protocol compared with the results of Spiegel et al. may account for our different results. While both studies examined the effects of sleep restriction in healthy subjects, the baseline sleep-replete condition actually came after the sleep debt condition in the Spiegel protocol, so the sleep-replete condition may reflect more of a recovery process than the actual baseline for each individual. We believe that our sleep-replete baseline more accurately defines (in experimental and ecological terms) the changes in both sleep and metabolism from sleep-replete to sleep-restricted conditions. In addition, the current protocol carefully controlled food intake and activity, whereas the Spiegel protocol allowed subjects to leave the laboratory each day during sleep-restricted conditions. Also, the dose of sleep restriction could influence the results because Spiegel et al. restricted sleep to 4 h/night, whereas we used 5 h/night (to apply to a greater proportion of the adult population). Finally, the specific procedures to assess glucose metabolism differed between the two studies. The Spiegel study...
would lead to decreased insulin sensitivity because, in the protocol alone (in the absence of sleep restriction)uals with insulin resistance or diabetes. It is unlikely thatpopulations, including women, obese patients, and individ-

The limitations of this study include the small sample size that is limited to healthy nonobese men and the lack of a control group that continued the sleep-replete condition of 10 h/night TTB from baseline through to the end of the study. Future studies are needed to determine the effects of sleep restriction on insulin sensitivity in other populations, including women, obese patients, and individuals with insulin resistance or diabetes. It is unlikely that the protocol alone (in the absence of sleep restriction) would lead to decreased insulin sensitivity because, in other published studies, repeating these intensive glucose metabolism test has not led to worsening of metabolic function. Other authors, in validating different types of metabolic challenge tests, have demonstrated the reproducibility of the test results, especially for S₁ (50). Furthermore, the careful control of diet and exercise allowed us to focus on effects of sleep restriction. However, sleep restriction increases appetite and increases the desire for high-carbohydrate/high-fat foods, so the control of food intake may have dampened the full effects of sleep restriction on glucose metabolism. In addition, we did not measure circadian phase changes directly. However, using a validated, data-based mathematical model, we estimate a <9 min variation in circadian phase under the experimental conditions and light levels employed in this study (32). Thus, circadian phase changes are unlikely to be responsible for the differences we found in insulin sensitivity.
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