LRRFIP1, an epigenetically regulated gene, is a prognostic biomarker and predicts malignant phenotypes of glioma

Wenping Ma1,2,3,4,5 | Zhaoshi Bao1,2,3,4,5 | Zenghui Qian1,2,3,4,5 | Kenan Zhang1,2,3,4,5 | Wenhua Fan1,2,3,4,5 | Jianbao Xu6 | Changyuan Ren7 | Ying Zhang1,2,3,4,5 | Tao Jiang1,2,3,4,5

Abstract

Aims: Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common malignant brain tumor with an adverse prognosis in the central nervous system. Traditional histopathological diagnosis accompanied by subjective deviations cannot accurately reflect tumor characteristics for clinical guidance. DNA methylation plays a critical role in GBM genesis. The focus of this project was to identify an effective methylation point for the classification of gliomas, the interactions between DNA methylation and potential epigenetic targeted therapies for clinical treatments.

Methods: Three online (TCGA, CGGA, and REMBRANDT) databases were employed in this study. T-test, Venn analysis, univariate cox analysis, and Pearson's correlation analysis were adopted to screen significant prognostic methylation genes. Clinical samples were collected to determine the distributions of LRRFIP1 (Leucine Rich Repeat of Flightless-1 Interacting Protein) protein by immunohistochemistry assay. Kaplan–Meier survival and Cox analysis were adopted to evaluate the prognostic value of LRRFIP1. Nomogram model was used to construct a prediction model. GO (Gene Ontology) and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway were performed to explore functions and related mechanisms of LRRFIP1 in gliomas.

Results: Our results showed that 16 genes were negatively connected with their methylation level and correlated with clinical prognosis of GBM patients. Among them, LRRFIP1 expression showed the highest correlation with its methylation level. LRRFIP1 was highly expressed in WHO IV, mesenchymal, and IDH wild-type subtype. LRRFIP1 expression was an independent risk factor for OS (overall survival) in gliomas.

Conclusion: LRRFIP1 is an epigenetically regulated gene and a potential prognostic biomarker for glioma. Our research may be beneficial to evaluate clinical efficacy, assess the prognosis, and provide individualized treatment for gliomas.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

GBM is the most common type of aggressive tumors with a median survival of 15 months, 5 years survival of 5.5%, accounting for 45.2% of primary brain malignancy.1–4 It is of great clinical significance to investigate prognostic marker of GBMs for further precision therapy.5 Recently, mutations of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) and methylation of O (6)-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter have been identified as molecular classification according to different clinical outcomes.6–7 Patients with IDH1 mutation were associated with higher OS than IDH1 wild-type patients.8 Patients with MGMT promoter methylation will benefit from Temozolomide (TMZ) treatments, and patients with lower MGMT protein have better prognosis.9 DNA methylation is an epigenetic mechanism involving regulating gene expression by recruiting proteins involved in gene repression or by inhibiting the binding of transcription factor to DNA in the mammalian genome.10 DNA methylation biomarkers with independent prognosis value have been rarely reported. Despite the rapid development of new drugs, the discovery of accurate biomarkers is still being explored.11 Therefore, the identification of novel evaluable biomarkers associated with DNA methylation in GBMs is urgently needed. In this work, TCGA RNA sequencing dataset, TCGA DNA methylation dataset (Illumina Human Methylation 27K and 450K), and CGGA RNA sequencing dataset were analyzed, and LRRFIP1 was screened out as a potential prognostic factor. LRRFIP1 was also named as GCF2,12 FLAP1,13 and TRIP14, according to its differential functional splicing isoforms. Due to a variety of splicing isoforms, LRRFIP1 was involved in a wide range of biological functions, both in the nucleus and cytoplasm. Therefore, dysregulation of LRRFIP1 is critical in infections,15 autoimmune diseases,16 neurological,17 and cancers.18 It has been identified that LRRFIP1 plays a critical role in continuous growth, epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), invasion, metastasis, and resistance to anti-tumor drugs in cancers. However, the methylation and expression status of LRRFIP1, especially the function in glioma biology, are still unknown. In our study, we focus the potential prognostic value of LRRFIP1, giving new insights into the methylation role of LRRFIP1 in glioma.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Data acquisition and processing

TCGA DNA methylation dataset (Illumina Human Methylation 27K), TCGA DNA methylation dataset (Illumina Human Methylation 450K), TCGA RNA microarray, and TCGA RNA sequencing (RNAseq) dataset were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, http://cancergenome.nih.gov/), which were analyzed for further discovery. CGGA RNAseq dataset and CGGA 27K methylation dataset were downloaded from the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA, http://www.cgga.org.cn/). The Repository for Molecular Brain Neoplasia Data (REMBRANDT, http://caintegrator-info.ncl.nih.gov/REMBRANDT) was included for our validation analysis. Also, the LRRFIP1 mRNA expression and DNA methylation data in cell lines were obtained from Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE, http://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/home).

2.2 | Prognostic analysis

Kaplan–Meier survival curve and log-rank test were used to evaluate the prognosis of methylation and expression level of LRRFIP1 by R package “survminer.”

2.3 | Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models

Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were estimated the prognostic value of LRRFIP1 and other clinical related features (gender, age at diagnosis, WHO grade, IDH mutation status, 1p/19q codeletion status, MGMT promoter status, EGFR amplification, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy) by R package “survival.”

2.4 | Nomogram construction and prediction

All patients with survival information from the CGGA, TCGA, and Rembrandt databases were collected to establish the nomogram. LRRFIP1 expression and other clinical factors such as grade, 1p/19q status, chemotherapy, age, MGMT status, and radiotherapy were established using Cox regression in those databases. Calibration curves for different years were constructed to anticipate the total score for clinical risk features.

2.5 | GO and KEGG enrichment analysis

The mRNA sequencing data were obtained from CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq database. LRRFIP1-related genes were selected (R ≥0.5 & p < 0.05) according to Pearson’s correlation analysis. DAVID (The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to analyze GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of these related genes.

2.6 | Immunohistochemistry assay

The routine preparation and staining of paraffin sections were made as previously described.3 The protein evaluation was finished independently by two pathologists with the method as following. A: staining intensity (the average score of 3 different fields), the scores were as follows: negative staining =0 point; weakly positive staining =1 point; positive staining but with light brown background =2 points; positive staining without background =3 points. B: staining intensity: positive area =0%, designed 0 point; positive area
2.7 Statistical analysis

Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to validate the correlation between LRRFIP1 mRNA expression and methylation levels in glioblastoma. Student t-test analysis was used to determine differences between the two groups. The statistically significant difference was considered when p < 0.05.

3 RESULTS

3.1 LRRFIP1 showed the highest correlation with its methylation level in glioblastoma

According to the flowchart shown in Figure 1, we first set OS value less than 183 days as shorter OS group and that greater than 730 days as longer OS group. We applied beta-value statistic as metrics and identified 2355 differential sites in TCGA 27K methylation database. Meanwhile, we explored 4409 significantly differentially expressed genes between the shorter OS group and longer OS group in the TCGA RNA microarray database. We identified 385 genes via intersection. Then, we used univariate analysis to determine whether the expression of 385 genes are prognostic factors to OS of GBM patients. And 93 genes were statistically significant (p < 0.05, Table S1). Further, we used Pearson’s correlation analysis to study the correlation between the methylation levels and expression levels of 93 genes. Finally, we distinguished 16 genes’ expression that showed negative correlation with their methylation levels (Table 1). Among them, LRRFIP1 expression showed the highest correlation with its methylation level.

3.2 Negative correlation between LRRFIP1 expression and DNA methylation in gliomas

For validation, we first explored the correlation between the methylation level of LRRFIP1 and the mRNA expression in GBM databases. mRNA expression of LRRFIP1 was almost negatively correlated with methylation levels in gliomas, which was consistent in the discovery dataset (TCGA 27K methylation dataset, TCGA microarray, n = 256, R = −0.41, p < 0.0001; TCGA RNAseq, n = 76, R = −0.27, p < 0.05) and validation datasets (CGGA 27K methylation dataset, CGGA microarray, n = 20, R = −0.41, p < 0.1; TCGA 450K methylation dataset, TCGA microarray, n = 90, R = −0.24, p < 0.05; TCGA RNAseq, n = 54, R = −0.45, p < 0.001) (Figure 2A–E). In all cell lines, LRRFIP1 expression is negatively correlated with DNA methylation level (A: n = 835, R = −0.39, p < 0.0001; B: n = 828, R = −0.42, p < 0.0001) (Figure S1A,B). In GBM cells, LRRFIP1 expression has more high degree of negative correlation with DNA methylation level, behave as a larger value (C: n = 43, R = −0.45, p < 0.01; n = 42, R = −0.55, p < 0.001) (Figure S1C,D). Therefore, significant differences existed in the negative correlation between LRRFIP1 expression and DNA methylation among glioma cell lines.

![Figure 1 Workflow to identify LRRFIP1 and to show its potential prognostic value](image-url)
3.3 | Low methylation of LRRFIP1 predicts poor prognosis

Besides, we investigated the prognostic significance of LRRFIP1 methylation level in TCGA 27K methylation dataset, TCGA 450K methylation dataset, and CGGA 27K methylation dataset. In TCGA 27K dataset, patients with high methylation level of LRRFIP1 showed better outcomes than low methylation level (Figure 3A, p < 0.05). Moreover, these findings can be validated in the additional two datasets (Figure 3B, TCGA 450K methylation dataset, p < 0.001; Figure 3C, CGGA 27K methylation dataset, p < 0.05). These results indicated that low methylation of LRRFIP1 predicts poor prognosis.

3.4 | Distribution of LRRFIP1 in clinical features in gliomas

In order to understand the distribution of LRRFIP1 mRNA expression in GBM, we explored the LRRFIP1 mRNA expression in glioma's clinical features. As established in Figure 4A and E, LRRFIP1 has the highest expression in WHO IV, both in CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases. The LRRFIP1 mRNA expression in WHO IV has statistical difference when compared with that in WHO II and WHO III, both in CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases (Figure 4A and E). The expression of LRRFIP1 was different among those subtypes of glioma in CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases (Figure 4B and F). Since molecular diagnosis has gradually become a clinical application of precision medicine, we explored LRRFIP1 expression in IDH status and 1p/19q codeletion. In CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases, the expression of LRRFIP1 in IDH wildtype is significantly higher than in IDH mutant (Figure 4C and G). Further, in CGGA RNAseq database, the expression of LRRFIP1 in IDH mutant combined with 1p/19q codeletion LGG group has no statistical difference when compared with IDH mutant with 1p/19q non-codeletion (Figure 4D), there was no obviously difference in TCGA RNAseq database (p < 0.05, Figure 4H). In LGG group, IDH mutant combined with 1p/19q codeletion has significant statistical difference when compared with IDH wildtype (p < 0.0001; IDH mutant combined with 1p/19q non-codeletion also showed significant differences when compared with IDH wildtype (p < 0.0001) in CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases (Figure 4D and H). In GBM groups, IDH mutant has significant statistical difference, as compared with IDH wildtype, both in CGGA (p < 0.0001, Figure 4D) and TCGA (p < 0.001, Figure 4H) RNAseq databases. Similar results about the distribution of LRRFIP1 were presented when we employed REMBRANDT and TCGA microarray databases as validation (Figure 52). We also detected the protein level of LRRFIP1 in clinical glioma specimens, and positive expression of LRRFIP1 was located in cytoplasm and nucleus of tumor cells (Figure 4I). LRRFIP1 expression increased with tumor grade, especially concentrated in high-grade gliomas (Figure 4I and J). Collectively, these results indicated that LRRFIP1 was correlated with clinical features of glioma.

3.5 | LRRFIP1 is an independent prognostic factor in glioma patients

To explore the prognostic value of LRRFIP1, we collected survival data from CGGA and TCGA RNAseq databases and investigated the correlation between LRRFIP1 mRNA expression level and

| Gene   | Cox   | Correlation                  |
|--------|-------|------------------------------|
|        | HR    | 95% CI | p    | Location | R    | p    |
| LRRFIP1| 1.647 | 1.155-2.349 | 5.88E-03 | cg09037813 | -0.407 | 1.58E-11 |
| C1orf58| 1.437 | 1.041-1.984 | 2.77E-02 | cg09555217 | -0.324 | 1.34E-07 |
| TCF1   | 0.786 | 0.663-0.932 | 5.63E-03 | cg22449114 | -0.283 | 4.55E-06 |
| PRL    | 0.615 | 0.449-0.843 | 2.54E-03 | cg27541541 | -0.247 | 7.04E-05 |
| TMEM9B | 1.260 | 1.006-1.579 | 4.46E-02 | cg14205126 | -0.243 | 1.04E-04 |
| PHTF1  | 1.391 | 1.090-1.775 | 8.07E-03 | cg21539243 | -0.233 | 1.95E-04 |
| MOBKL1B| 1.262 | 1.020-1.561 | 3.18E-02 | cg08434152 | -0.225 | 3.02E-04 |
| STAM2  | 1.356 | 1.015-1.811 | 3.92E-02 | cg24904765 | -0.214 | 6.13E-04 |
| CLDN12 | 1.382 | 1.069-1.786 | 3.16E-02 | cg02399449 | -0.199 | 1.42E-03 |
| ARNTL  | 1.174 | 1.008-1.367 | 3.94E-02 | cg18967846 | -0.197 | 1.64E-03 |
| CYB5R1 | 1.241 | 1.021-1.508 | 3.03E-02 | cg18275051 | -0.179 | 4.16E-03 |
| GPR65  | 1.105 | 1.007-1.211 | 3.41E-02 | cg15625636 | -0.176 | 4.87E-03 |
| FSIP1  | 1.273 | 1.086-1.492 | 2.89E-03 | cg22936016 | -0.173 | 7.92E-03 |
| AKAP12 | 1.144 | 1.022-1.281 | 1.93E-02 | cg12061236 | -0.172 | 6.13E-03 |
| FECH   | 1.417 | 1.049-1.914 | 2.31E-02 | cg14532644 | -0.150 | 1.68E-02 |
| GLI1   | 0.868 | 0.755-0.999 | 4.78E-02 | cg21142398 | -0.125 | 4.59E-02 |

**TABLE 1** Pearson's correlation analysis of the differential methylation gene with significant prognostic.
prognosis. The results demonstrated that high LRRFIP1 expression was negatively correlated with glioma patients' survival probability in all grades, LGG and GBM based on CGGA RNAseq database (Figure 5A–C, p < 0.001). As shown in Figure 5E–G, the elevated LRRFIP1 expression was clinically correlated with unfavorable outcomes of glioma patients in all grades, LGG and GBM based on TCGA RNAseq database. We explored univariate and multivariate cox proportional hazard models to anticipate LRRFIP1 prognosis for glioma patients in CGGA RNAseq database. The result showed that LRRFIP1 was an independent risk factor (univariate, hazard ratio (HR) >1, p = 4.91E-08; multivariate, HR >1, p = 2.86E-02) (Figure 5D).

In the TCGA RNAseq database, we acquired the LRRFIP1 and other related clinical features, and LRRFIP1 expression was also an independent risk factor (univariate, HR >1, p = 1.98E-07; multivariate, HR >1, p = 9.40E-03) (Figure 5H). Similar results were presented in the REMBRANDT dataset (univariate, HR >1, p = 3.14E-03) and TCGA microarray (univariate, HR >1, p = 5.03E-04; multivariate, HR >1, p = 3.54E-02) (Figure S3).

These results suggested that LRRFIP1 was an independent prognostic factor to predict the OS of glioma patients.

An effective nomogram model for OS was predicted by the significant factors. The predictive model was presented as a nomogram and is shown in CGGA RNAseq dataset (Figure 6A) and TCGA dataset (Figure 6C). The calibration plot for the probability of survival showed an optimal agreement between the prediction and observation in CGGA RNAseq (Figure 6B) and TCGA RNAseq datasets (Figure 6D), as well as in validation datasets (Figure S4). We show how the value of LRRFIP1 can lead to better predictive models, and a deeper understanding of the function of methylation in gliomas.

3.6 | LRRFIP1 may promote glioma progression

A total of 862 LRRFIP1-related genes (R ≥ 0.5, p < 0.05) in CGGA RNAseq database were selected into GO and KEGG enrichment
analysis. The results of function analysis showed that the biological processes were mainly enriched in cell–cell adhesion, proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process, etc., (Figure 7A). Molecular function was mostly focused on protein binding, poly(A) RNA binding, etc., (Figure 7B). KEGG pathway analysis showed that LRRFIP1 was mainly related to protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, endocytosis, etc., (Figure 7C). Other 598 LRRFIP1-related genes (R ≥ 0.5, p < 0.05) in TCGA RNAseq database were also performed for the functional analysis. The biological processes were mainly enriched in extracellular matrix organization, signal transduction, etc., (Figure S5A). Molecular function was mostly focused on protease binding, protein binding, etc., (Figure S5B). KEGG pathway analysis showed that LRRFIP1 was mainly related to ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, etc., (Figure S5C). In summary, LRRFIP1 may play a vital role in glioma progression.

4 | DISCUSSION

Molecular diagnostics has become an important basis for understanding the genetics and molecular biology, which can benefit for the personalized therapy of glioblastoma. Inter-tumoral heterogeneity played an important role in GBM heterogeneity and orchestrated patients’ prognosis. LRRFIP1 significantly enriched in high-grade gliomas in TCGA, CGGA, and REMBRANDT databases, our results indicated that LRRFIP1 may act as the malignancy characters in glioma and closely relate to clinical outcomes.

Among the numerous biomarkers, only IDH mutations, MGMT promoter methylation, and 1p19q codeletion are being routinely used in clinic diagnosis for glioma patients, while other biomarkers are still in observation phase in clinical trials. More clinical trials data should be provided to personalized therapeutic strategies for GBM patients with least toxicity and better outcomes. Therefore, it is urgent to identify an independent prognostic biomarker to apply precise treatment for patients. DNA methylation orchestrates a vital role in tumorigenesis and tumor development via epigenetic regulation. DNA methylation may act as one of the mostly potential prognostic and predictive value for GBM. DNA methylation patterns were associated with the mutations in IDH1 or IDH2 in lower grade gliomas, and mutations in histone 3 in pediatric high-grade gliomas. Furthermore, MGMT silencing by promoter methylation in adult glioblastoma is a predictive biomarker for benefit from alkylating agent chemotherapy.

**FIGURE 3** Prognostic significance of LRRFIP1 methylation level in gliomas. (A-C). Kaplan–Meier curves were used to estimate the methylation status of LRRFIP1 with patient survival probability in TCGA 27K methylation database, TCGA 450K methylation database, and CGGA 27K methylation database. The methylation status of LRRFIP1 was divided into two groups: high methylation and low methylation. The high methylation status of LRRFIP1 has a longer survival probability than the low methylation status of LRRFIP1 (A: p < 0.05; B: p < 0.001; C: p < 0.05)

**FIGURE 4** LRRFIP1 expression pattern in glioma patients. (A, E) LRRFIP1 is enriched in high-grade gliomas in CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq. (B, F) LRRFIP1 is enriched in mesenchymal molecular subtype gliomas in CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq. (C, G) LRRFIP1 is enriched in IDH wild-type gliomas in CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq. (D, H) LRRFIP1 expression is highest in IDH wildtype with GBM and lowest in IDH mutant combined with 1p/19q codeletion low grade gliomas in CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq. (I) LRRFIP1 expression in different grades of gliomas by IHC staining and (J) statistical analysis (II, n = 5; III, n = 5; IV, n = 9). Scale bar =100 μm. *, **, and ****, respectively, indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.0001
FIGURE 5 LRRFIP1 mRNA expression was related to clinical outcomes in gliomas. (A–C, E–G). Kaplan–Meier analysis of the survival of all gliomas, LGG and GBM patients from the data of CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq. High expression of LRRFIP1 was negatively associated with the OS of all gliomas, LGG and GBM. (D, H) Univariate and multivariate regression analyses of LRRFIP1 expression level and other clinical features in CGGA RNAseq and TCGA RNAseq.

FIGURE 6 Construction and evaluation of the nomogram for predicting overall survival. (A) Nomogram for predicting 1, 3, or 5-year survival in glioma patients, based on the data from CGGA RNAseq. The top row shows the point value for each variable. Rows 2–5 indicate the variables included in the nomogram. Each variable corresponds to a point value according to glioma's clinical characteristics. The sum of these values is located on the axis of total points, and downward the total points axis survival axes were drawn to determine the probability of 1-, 3-, or 5-year survival. (B) Calibration curves for predicting patient survival at 1, 3, and 5 years in the dataset from CGGA RNAseq. (C) Nomogram for predicting 1, 2, or 3-year survival in glioma patients, based on the data from TCGA RNAseq. (D) Calibration curves for predicting patient survival at 1, 2, and 3 years in the dataset from TCGA RNAseq.
In order to screen methylation genes and explore their prognostic values in gliomas, we screened out a total of 4409 genes from TCGA RNA microarray database and 2355 sites from TCGA 27K methylation database by setting OS <183 days vs. OS 730 days. After univariate cox analysis and Pearson’s correlation analysis, we identified LRRFIP1 as a high level of methylation among those differential genes. Our study revealed that the mRNA level of LRRFIP1 was negative correlated with its' DNA methylation in GBM. Patients with low methylation level of LRRFIP1 correlated with worse prognosis in all gliomas. LRRFIP1 expression levels enriched in high-grade gliomas comply with malignancy character. In IDH mutant combined with 1p/19q codeletion LGG group and IDH mutant with 1p/19q non-codeletion LGG group, the expression of LRRFIP1 was no significant difference in CGGA RNAseq database and no obviously difference in TCGA RNAseq database. High LRRFIP1 expression indicated worse prognosis in all gliomas, LGG and GBM. Such expression was more pronounced in high-grade gliomas. COX analysis verified that LRRFIP1 acts as an independent prognosis factor in gliomas. Nomogram models were also performed to identify LRRFIP1 prognostic value in gliomas.

LRRFIP1 was originally identified as a protein that interacts with Drosophila organizing embryogenesis and myogenesis. Also, LRRFIP1 was proved as a transcriptional repressor and a MyD88-interacting protein, which localized in the cytoplasm and directly bind to GC-rich dsDNA. Besides, LRRFIP1 can work as a co-stimulator for signals from the cell surface, being involved in Wnt canonical pathway, integrin signaling pathway, or the nuclear receptor dependent pathway. LRRFIP1 is reported as a direct target of miR-21, suggesting that LRRFIP1 gene could be involved in GBM response to chemotherapeutic agent. LRRFIP1 acted important functions such as cell proliferation, distant metastasis, and invasion in the development of many malignant tumors. LRRFIP1 was highly expressed in most primary human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tissues and HCC cell lines. Knockdown of LRRFIP1 in those cell lines by RNAi inhibited cell growth and promoted cell apoptosis. LRRFIP1 promoted colorectal cancer metastasis and liver invasion through RhoA activation. LRRFIP1 increased the EMT in pancreatic cancer through the Wnt/β-catenin pathway.

Nomograms have been proved LRRFIP1 as a more accurate prognostic prediction in cholangiocarcinoma and cervical cancer. The GO and KEGG function analysis revealed that LRRFIP1 may play important roles in glioma progression. In summary, our findings disclosed that LRRFIP1 may serve as an important factor in drug selection and prognostic judgment of glioma patients.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, LRRFIP1 could provide diagnostic or prognostic information for gliomas, possibly also act as a new therapeutic target in gliomas. The detailed understanding of LRRFIP1 by epigenetically regulation may uncover a new direction for anti-glioma therapy.
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