Physical Fitness, Exercise Behaviors, and Sense of Self-Efficacy Among College Students: A Descriptive Correlational Study
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Background: Self-efficacy is an important component of the mental well-being of college students. This study aimed to evaluate the development and the correlation between physical fitness (PF), exercise behavior, and self-efficacy in college students. To examine whether PF in individual college students can predict self-efficacy, and whether exercise behavior mediates this relationship.

Methods: This was an observational study of 1923 randomly selected college students (50.5% girl). Measures included the Physical Activity Rating Scale, the Self-Efficacy Scale, and PF testing.

Results: Self-efficacy was weakly correlated to both PF ($r = 0.240$) and exercise behavior ($r = 0.248$). In regression analysis, PF explained 24.7% of the variance in self-efficacy, increasing to 29.4% when exercise behavior was considered. Therefore, the predictive effect of PF on self-efficacy is partially realized through healthy exercise behavior.

Conclusion: Physical fitness can predict self-efficacy among college students, with exercise behavior being an important mediator of this relationship. Strategies to improve positive exercise behaviors and PF could improve students’ self-efficacy and overall mental health.

Keywords: physical fitness, exercise behavior, college students, self-efficacy, mediation effect

INTRODUCTION

The span of college education is a sensitive period for the psychological development of young adults, during which they develop coping strategies in terms of their mental health. The spread of the new coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) throughout the world has posed a great risk to human health. The COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting psychological problems pose an
unprecedented health crisis and challenge for university students, who are a special group in the context of public health emergencies (Stowe et al., 2020). It has also been shown that people who are socially isolated have poorer cognitive skills, including memory and reaction time, and lower gray matter volume in many parts of the brain (Shen et al., 2022). Self-efficacy, the core concept of Bandura’s social cognition theory (Bandura, 1997), refers to the judgments and beliefs that individuals have regarding their ability to organize themselves to perform actions and achieve their goals. Self-efficacy has the following functions: (1) determine people’s choice of activities and the persistence of the activity; (2) affect people’s attitudes in front of difficulties; (3) affect the acquisition and performance of new behaviors; and (4) affect the emotions during the event (Bandura, 1997). The primary function of self-efficacy is behavioral regulation, which is manifested in the strength of an individual’s self-confidence (Bandura, 1997).

People with a high sense of self-efficacy are more likely to exert tremendous effort and perseverance to reach their goals. In comparison, individuals with a low sense of self-efficacy are more likely to have doubts about their abilities, to worry when they encounter failures and setbacks, and to generally be satisfied with mediocre achievements (Milne, 1999). Considering the recent evidence regarding the positive effects of physical exercise on mental health, improving individual self-efficacy through exercise has become an important means by which to promote the healthy development of individuals (Anderson and Feldman, 2020; Levy et al., 2020). Considering that some parts of China were in the period of the COVID-19 pandemic during the study period. Relevant evidence shows that high self-efficacy among college students during campus re-entry were only 50.4%, the level is low, respectively (Tadese and Mihretie, 2021). During the COVID-19 epidemic restraint management has a negative impact on mental health, about 40% college students experienced anxiety symptoms in China (Fu et al., 2021). And existing studies have shown that positive self-efficacy is closely related to the mental health of college students (Abdel-Khalek and Lester, 2017; Zeng et al., 2021), it is necessary to conduct special research on self-efficacy.

Physical fitness (PF) is a multifaceted construct involving physical and physiological components, including cardiorespiratory endurance, strength, speed, reaction, agility, balance, coordination, flexibility, etc. (Dong et al., 2019). PF is strongly associated with health (Xu et al., 2020). The Chinese government has always attached great importance to the PF of students. Since 2002, the National Student Physical Health Standards has been implemented, requiring all primary schools, middle schools and universities in China to conduct a Student Physical Fitness Test every year, and the test results are reported to the China Education department. The connotation of standard is an evaluation standard for measuring students’ physical health status and exercise effect. The main goals of the standard include three parts: education function, feedback function, and guiding students to do physical exercise (Ma et al., 2022). To this end, the relevant test indicators and results of the national student PF test can guide the development of Chinese students’ PF policy guidance (Xu et al., 2020).

We need to explore strategies to help students improve their sense of self-efficacy. Research on the self-efficacy of college students in China has shown that physical exercise exerts several positive effects, including improved happiness (Chen and Yu, 2015), self-concept and life satisfaction (Zeng and Zhao, 2007), and interpersonal trust and national identity (Han and Huang, 2019). Physical exercise is also an effective method to improve PF, which is an indicator of physical and mental health among college students. Extending from the existing research, this study aimed to evaluate the development of healthy PF, exercise behavior, and self-efficacy among college students and to explore the correlation between these constructs. The study of mediating variables can not only explain the mechanism behind the relationship, but also integrate existing research or theories, which has significant theoretical and practical significance. We hypothesized that there would be a positive relationship between exercise behavior and PF and self-efficacy in this population. PF in individual college students can predict self-efficacy, and exercise behavior mediates this relationship.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study Group
We used a cluster sampling method to recruit first, second, and third-year undergraduate students from Nantong University, and Yangzhou University. Given that the senior year is at the stage of internship and dissertation (design) completion, this study did not sample seniors. All students provided informed consent before enrolling in the study. Students with previously diagnosed mental or physical health problems were excluded from the study group. The study protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee at the Nantong University (NTU-ISS-2019-001).

The calculation of the minimum sample size is completed by Equation 1 (Shao, 2012), where the type I error α is set to 0.05, the allowable error δ is set to 0.01, and the sample rate ρ is set to 0.05. A total of 54,689 people (data updated in 2019), so the limited overall number N sets 75% of the total number of students to be about 41,017. The minimum sample size required for this study was calculated to be 1,748.

\[
n = \frac{\left(\frac{Z}{\alpha}\right)^2 \times \rho \times (1-\rho)}{1 + \left(\frac{Z}{\delta}\right)^2 \times \rho \times (1-\rho)} \times \frac{1}{N}
\]

(1)

In all, 2,188 students were randomly selected and they completed our PF test. After the test, students were asked to complete a questionnaire regarding their physical activity and self-efficacy. Of the 2,188 students who completed the PF test, 2,094 returned their questionnaires. Of these, 1,923 questionnaires were complete (effective response rate, 91.8%), and those individuals formed our study sample, comprising 951 men and 972 women. The characteristics of the study sample are summarized in Table 1. The data obtained were verified against student identification to match physical test results and completed questionnaires.
exercise participation.

The physical exercise rating scale was compiled by the Japanese scholar Takao Hashimoto, which was subsequently introduced and completed by Liang (1994) in China. Physical exercise volume was examined with respect to the exercise intensity, frequency, and time of one exercise activity to measure physical exercise participation.

Physical exercise volume score = intensity × (time – 1) × frequency  

Each parameter was evaluated using five score levels. The level standards were as follows: small exercise volume ≤ 19 points, moderate exercise volume = 20–42 points, and large exercise amount ≥ 43 points (Liang, 1994). The re-test reliability of this scale was 0.82. Follow-up related research shows that the internal consistency reliability of Physical Activity Rating Scale is Cronbach’s α = 0.85. The value of the scale was used to reflect the physical exercise behaviors of college students (Collins et al., 2022).

General Self-Efficacy Scale

Self-efficacy was evaluated using the Chinese version of the General Self-Efficacy Scale developed by Luszczynska et al. (2005). This scale is appropriate to use for individuals ≥12 years of age. The reliability and validity of this scale have previously been reported in a Chinese population. The scale has good reliability, with a Cronbach’s α of 0.87, test-retest reliability correlation (r) of 0.83 (p < 0.001), and half-reliability agreement (r) of 0.82 (n = 401, p < 0.001) (Wang and Liu, 2001). The 10 items of this scale were scored on a 4-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicative of higher self-efficacy.

Physical Fitness Test

Physical fitness levels were quantified in a physical fitness index (PFI) using the National Student Physical Health Standards (Revised in 2014) of the Chinese Student PF Standards. The student fitness tester (Model: HK6800; Shenzhen Hengkang Jiaye Technology Co., Ltd.) was used to measure the following components of PF. The PF test was performed as part of the college physical education curriculum. Before the test, students needed to perform a standardized warm-up for 5 min, including muscle stretching and joint exercises. According to the curriculum arrangement of the test school, college physical education is generally arranged between 9:00 and 11:00 in the morning and 2:00 and 5:00 in the afternoon, and the physical education class is only available under clear and conducive weather and temperature conditions.

The test was conducted in the physical education class, enabling suitable temperature and humidity during the test. Participants completed each test item once per day for three days, and the best score of the three was used in the analysis. After participants had completed the physical tests, they were asked to complete the questionnaire on physical activity and self-efficacy. The tests and questionnaires were completed during March–April, 2020. After we have collected the data, we need to calculate the physique score of college students according to the norm of The National Student Physical Health Standards (Revised in 2014). For the conversion norm, refer to Supplementary Appendix 1. The main test procedures are as follows.

(1) Body shape: test the body mass index (BMI, kg/m²).

Standing height barefoot will be measured using a stable stadiometer (GMCS-SGZG3, Jian-Min, Beijing) to the nearest 0.001 m. Bodyweight with light clothes will be measured using a portable scale (GMCS-YERCS3, Jian-Min, Beijing) to the nearest 0.1 kilograms (kg). BMI was calculated by height and body weight:

\[
BMI (\text{kg/m}^2) = \frac{\text{Weight (kg)}}{(\text{Height})^2 (\text{m}^2)}
\]

(2) Cardiorespiratory endurance: the test items were time required to run distances of 1,000 m for boys and 800 m for girls.

The test equipment includes 400, 300, and 200 m orbiting tracks, and the geology is not limited. Other irregular venues can also be used, but the measurement must be accurate and the venue flat. Several stopwatches need to be corrected before use, and the error per min should not exceed 0.2 s. The standard stopwatch is selected based on Beijing time, with an error of no more than 0.3 s per h. At least two subjects will be tested in pairs, commencing from a standing start point. Start running after hearing the “Run” command. The timekeeper will see the flag to start the watch, and stop the watch when the subject’s torso reaches the vertical plane of the finish line. Record the test results in seconds, accurate to one digit after the decimal point, and the second digit after the decimal point is considered according to the principle of non-zero advancement: for example, 10.11 s as 10.2 s.

(3) Flexibility, measured using the seated forward flexion test.

Before the test, the subject should prepare a preparation to prevent zooming. The subject is sitting on the cushion connected to the box. The legs are straightened and should not be bent. The heels are close together. The toes are separated by about 10–15 cm. The two arms and hands are straight, gradually make the upper body to flex, gently push the cursor on the rib in both hands (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending. The inner plane of the pedal of the test score is 0 cm (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending. The inner plane of the pedal of the test score is 0 cm (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending. The inner plane of the pedal of the test score is 0 cm (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending. The inner plane of the pedal of the test score is 0 cm (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending. The inner plane of the pedal of the test score is 0 cm (not suddenly reaching it) until it continues to extend before extending.

(4) Power, measured using the standing long jump test.

The subject stands separately on both feet. After standing behind the starting line, the toes must not be stepped on (it is best to use a wire rope to start the line). Both feet are jumping at the
same time, and there must be no steps or jumping movements. Measure the vertical distance from the rear edge of the jump line to the rear edge of the nearest place. Each person trial three times and record the best results. In the unity of centimeters, regardless of decimal.

Data Analysis
All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 25.0). Step 1: the association between PF, exercise behavior and self-efficacy was evaluated using Pearson’s or Spearman’s rank order correlation, as appropriate for the data distribution. Step 2: a linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the specific association between an individual’s exercise behavior and the components of PF measured (body shape, cardiorespiratory endurance, flexibility, and power). Step 3: a hierarchical regression analysis (Model A) was used to evaluate the predictive effect of each component of PF (independent variables) on self-efficacy (dependent variable). In the Model B regression, exercise behavior was also included as an independent variable. Steps 1 and 2 together constitute the methodology of stepwise regression analysis, which is the most commonly used method for testing mediation effects (MacKinnon et al., 2007).

RESULTS
The descriptive data (mean and SD) of the participants according to course and gender in the dependent variables in Table 2.

Correlation Analysis
The outcomes of the correlation analysis between PF, exercise behavior, and self-efficacy are summarized in Table 3. Self-efficacy was weakly correlated with PF ($r = 0.240$) and exercise behavior ($r = 0.248$). Nonetheless, the correlation between students’ self-efficacy and the components of PF and exercise

---

**TABLE 2 | List of descriptive data (N = 1,923).**

| Grade | Total | First | Second | Third |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
|       | $M$   | $SD$  | $M$    | $SD$  | $M$    | $SD$  | $M$    | $SD$  | $M$    | $SD$  | $M$    | $SD$  |
| Physical fitness | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F 1 body shape | 94.380 | 11.047 | 92.676 | 12.228 | 95.385 | 10.201 | 94.042 | 11.273 | 91.483 | 13.083 | 97.222 | 7.601 |
| F 2 cardiorespiratory endurance | 79.650 | 14.915 | 78.897 | 18.048 | 81.410 | 13.571 | 77.371 | 14.059 | 79.303 | 14.931 | 79.985 | 14.900 |
| F 3 flexibility | 75.660 | 16.478 | 75.883 | 17.796 | 75.996 | 16.011 | 74.939 | 16.205 | 71.953 | 17.152 | 79.278 | 14.935 |
| F 4 power | 66.072 | 17.058 | 65.023 | 16.967 | 67.035 | 16.880 | 65.301 | 17.353 | 62.921 | 19.342 | 69.154 | 13.811 |
| F all physical fitness | 71.926 | 9.440 | 72.009 | 9.390 | 72.637 | 9.609 | 70.723 | 9.091 | 66.107 | 8.421 | 77.621 | 6.432 |
| Exercise behavior | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E 1 intensity | 2.471 | 1.192 | 2.535 | 1.229 | 2.427 | 1.164 | 2.505 | 1.206 | 2.782 | 1.124 | 2.173 | 1.180 |
| E 2 length | 3.472 | 1.096 | 3.462 | 1.129 | 3.463 | 1.073 | 3.476 | 1.109 | 3.628 | 1.104 | 3.309 | 1.065 |
| E 3 frequency | 3.120 | 0.817 | 3.162 | 0.846 | 3.103 | 0.805 | 3.132 | 0.816 | 3.101 | 0.768 | 3.148 | 0.863 |
| E all exercise behavior | 21.250 | 17.684 | 21.587 | 18.260 | 20.824 | 17.275 | 21.672 | 17.913 | 25.429 | 18.872 | 17.154 | 15.383 |
| Self-efficacy | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-efficacy | 36.990 | 9.768 | 37.354 | 9.321 | 36.809 | 10.013 | 37.012 | 9.702 | 38.265 | 9.908 | 35.744 | 9.470 |

**TABLE 3 | Correlation between physical fitness, exercise behavior, and self-efficacy (N = 1,923).**

| Physical fitness | F 1 | F 2 | F 3 | F 4 | F all | E 1 | E 2 | E 3 | E all |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| F 2 cardiorespiratory endurance | 0.067** | | | | | | | | |
| F 3 flexibility | 0.160** | 0.175** | | | | | | | |
| F 4 power | 0.360** | 0.274** | 0.245** | | | | | | |
| F all physical fitness | 0.575** | 0.502** | 0.677** | 0.778** | | | | | |
| Exercise behavior | | | | | | | | | |
| E 1 intensity | −0.009 | 0.040* | 0.057** | 0.128** | 0.079** | | | | |
| E 2 length | −0.023 | 0.050** | −0.001 | 0.079** | 0.037* | 0.422** | | | |
| E 3 frequency | −0.008 | 0.044* | 0.065** | 0.043* | 0.046** | 0.068** | 0.150** | | |
| E all exercise behavior | −0.0540* | 0.083** | 0.083** | 0.111** | 0.097** | 0.689** | 0.683** | 0.289** | |
| Self-efficacy | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-efficacy | −0.035 | 0.451* | 0.127** | 0.263** | 0.240** | 0.250** | 0.325** | 0.107** | 0.248** |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
behavior was positive and significant ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively).

**Regression Analysis of Exercise Behavior and Physical Fitness**

Results of the regression analysis are reported in Table 4. Exercise behavior exerted a significant effect ($p < 0.05$) on the components of PF, except body shape exercise intensity, duration, and frequency were all predictors of PF. Exercise intensity was specifically associated with two components of PF: flexibility ($\beta = 0.083$) and power ($\beta = 0.084$). Exercise duration was associated with cardiopulmonary fitness ($\beta = 0.054$) and power ($\beta = 0.057$), with exercise frequency being associated with flexibility ($\beta = 0.026$) and power ($\beta = 0.030$). Each sub-indicator of exercise behavior had a predictive effect on the total score for PF.

**Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis of Physical Fitness, Self-Efficacy, and Exercise Behavior**

As shown in Table 5, both regression Models A and B were significant ($p < 0.01$). In Model A, with self-efficacy as the dependent variable and PF as the independent variable, all components of PF exerted a strong predictive effect on self-efficacy. Model B, in which PF and exercise behavior were considered simultaneously, increased the variance accounted for in Model A ($R^2$-value increase from 0.247 to 0.294). Therefore, exercise behavior exerted a significant impact on the relationship between PF and self-efficacy.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results show that PF predicted self-efficacy overall, with a further significant predictive effect of the components of PF on self-efficacy. Overall, PF explained 24.7% of the variance in self-efficacy. When we added physical exercise behavior as an intermediary variable, the fit of the regression model improved, the variance explained went from 24.7 to 29.4%. This finding underlines the importance of exercise behavior for PF and ultimately, self-efficacy. Of note is that when exercise behavior was included, only BMI, flexibility, and power were retained as predictors of self-efficacy. In addition to the above results, this study also found that college students had lower PF overall, with power being the worst.

**Power Is the Worst Physical Fitness Among Them**

This result is similar to other studies (Cui et al., 2018; Wang, 2019; Li et al., 2022). The college student population is a reserve of high-quality national talent. However, the decline in the PF of college students is of great concern. From 1985 to 2014, 1,513,435 students participated in the Chinese National

---

**TABLE 4 | Regression analysis between exercise behavior and physical fitness ($N = 1,923$).**

| Dependent/independent | Body shape | Cardiorespiratory endurance | Flexibility | Power | Healthy fitness |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| **β**  | **t**  | **β**  | **t**  | **β**  | **t**  | **β**  | **t**  | **β**  | **t**  |
| Intensity  | 0.013  | 0.500  | 0.032  | 1.264  | 0.083  | 3.321*  | 0.084  | 3.727**  | 0.091  | 3.654**  |
| Duration  | −0.020  | −0.783  | 0.054  | 2.134**  | 0.004  | 0.166  | 0.057  | 2.269*  | 0.038  | 1.494*  |
| Frequency  | −0.024  | −1.023  | 0.044  | 1.900  | 0.026  | 1.145*  | 0.030  | 1.327*  | 0.031  | 1.360*  |
| **F**  | 0.651  | 5.216  | 5.252  | 10.432  | 9.218  |
| **R^2**  | 0.001  | 0.018**  | 0.018**  | 0.026**  | 0.024**  |

*$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$.  

---

**TABLE 5 | Hierarchical multiple regression analysis of physical fitness, self-efficacy, and exercise behavior ($N = 1,923$).**

| Dependent/independent | Model A | 95% CI | Model B | 95% CI |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| **β**  | **t**  | **p**  | **95% CI**  | **β**  | **t**  | **p**  | **95% CI**  |
| Body shape  | −0.116  | −4.855  | <0.001  | −0.145  | 0.061  |
| Cardiorespiratory endurance  | −0.010  | −0.421  | 0.674  | −0.057  | 0.037  |
| Flexibility  | 0.103  | 4.426  | <0.001  | 0.034  | 0.088  |
| Power  | 0.183  | 7.267  | <0.001  | 0.076  | 0.133  |
| Intensity  | 0.138  | 5.709  | <0.001  | 0.031  | 1.154  |
| Duration  | 0.083  | 3.398  | <0.001  | 0.316  | 1.160  |
| Frequency  | 0.099  | 4.492  | <0.001  | 0.667  | 1.700  |
| **F**  | 23.401**  | 28.364**  |
| **R^2**  | 0.247  | 0.294  |
| $ΔR^2$  | 0.245  | 0.291  |

* $p < 0.01$.
Physical Fitness Can Effectively Predict the Self-Efficacy of College Students

According to the analysis, college students with better PF will also have higher overall self-evaluation and evaluation of specific aspects of the body. In other words, college students with higher PF have higher body self-esteem (Xie, 2012). Self-confidence in one’s own body also extends to other areas. When encountering different environments, facing new environments or encountering difficult problems, self-confidence in adapting to the environment and solving problems will also increase, that is self-efficacy increases. However, the results of this study show exercise behavior does not predict weight status. This is quite different from previous studies (Zhang et al., 2020). The possible reason is that the body shape used in this study is the norm for BMI of college students in A, which is only developed based on the situation of Chinese college students and the norm is relatively old.

Exercise Behavior Has Strong Predictive Effect on Self-Efficacy, With a Demonstrated Improvement in Individual Self-Confidence in Exercise

Our findings revealed a high degree of positive correlation between self-efficacy and exercise behavior. This is consistent with previously reported findings, which state that the more active exercise behavior, the higher the self-efficacy (Anderson and Feldman, 2020). Self-efficacy is considered an important source of internal motivation for individuals to participate in exercise. Moreover, self-efficacy among college students can also play a significant role in predicting exercise activity (Yu et al., 2013). Evidence also points to a significant positive correlation between the self-efficacy of participating in exercise and motivational readiness, walking steps, and calorie consumption (Barr-Anderson et al., 2007). Therefore, improving college students’ self-efficacy to participate in exercise can increase the frequency of students’ participation in exercise, leading to improvement in their PF status (Annesi, 2006).

Exercise Behavior Is an Important Mediating Variable Between Physical Fitness and Self-Efficacy

The purpose of studying the mediating effect is to explore the internal mechanism of the relationship based on the known relationship between PF and self-efficacy. In this process, the original research on the same phenomenon can be linked together, the theories originally used to explain similar phenomena can be integrated, and the existing theories can be made more systematic (Preacher et al., 2007). The establishment of the mediation model for the three variables of PF, exercise behavior and self-efficacy, there may be a “Matthew effect” among the three. Specifically, when a college student’s PF is better, he has a more active exercise behavior and thus has a higher self-efficacy. The reverse is also true. PF in individual college students can predict self-efficacy, and exercise behavior mediates this relationship. This will provide reference for scholars who are engaged in the research of college students’ mental health.

The limitations of our study should be acknowledged when interpreting the results. First, both exercise and self-efficacy involve multiple dimensions, and the mechanisms may differ across dimensions. Second, we only considered the association between exercise and self-efficacy. In reality, self-efficacy may be influenced by other variables; therefore, the relationship between exercise and self-efficacy may be more complex than shown in this study.

CONCLUSION

Physical fitness can improve self-efficacy among college students, with exercise behavior being an important mediator to this relationship. Our findings provide a theoretical basis for developing strategies to improve the self-efficacy and overall
mental health of college students by promoting positive exercise behaviors and PF.
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