CLASSIFICATION OF “QUATERNIONIC” BLOCH-BUNDLES:
TOPOLOGICAL INSULATORS OF TYPE AII

GIUSEPPE DE NITTIS AND KIYONORI GOMI

ABSTRACT. We provide a classification of type AII topological insulators in dimension \(d = 1, 2, 3, 4\). Our analysis is based on the construction of a topological invariant, the FKMM-invariant, which completely classifies “Quaternionic” vector bundles (a.k.a. “symplectic” vector bundles) in dimension \(d \leq 3\). This invariant takes value in a proper equivariant cohomology theory and, in the case of examples of physical interest, it reproduces the familiar Fu-Kane-Mele index. In the case \(d = 4\) the classification requires a combined use of the FKMM-invariant and the second Chern class. Among the other things, we prove that the FKMM-invariant is a bona fide characteristic class for the category of “Quaternionic” vector bundles in the sense that it can be realized as the pullback of a universal topological invariant.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the classification of topological insulators started in [DG1] and, in particular, we focus on the so-called class AII according to the Altland-Zirnbauer-Cartan classification.
Both classes AI and AII describe (quantum) systems that are invariant under a time-reversal (TR) symmetry but it is the behavior of the spin that distinguishes between the two classes. Systems in class AI describe spinless (as well as integer spin) particles and, from a topological point of view, this class is poor of interesting effects, as it emerges from the accurate analysis carried out in [DG1]. On the other side, systems in class AII show interesting physical phenomena of topological origin like the so-called Quantum Spin Hall Effect (QSHE). Phenomena of this type were first described by L. Fu, C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele in a series of seminal works [KM1, KM2, FK, FKM] and nowadays they are source of great interest among the physics community (see e.g. the recent review [MHZ] and references therein). The principal result obtained by Fu, Kane and Mele (at least from a mathematical point of view) was the identification between the SQHE with a topological invariant today known as the Fu-Kane-Mele index. This index characterizes the topology of the Bloch energy bands for periodic systems of free fermions in presence of a TR-symmetry in the same way as the Chern number describes the topology of the Bloch bands when the TR-symmetry is broken. However, a correct mathematical understanding of the topological nature of the Fu-Kane-Mele index seems to be still missing in the literature and the most recent works [ASV, GP] only treat the case of two-dimensional lattice systems. Our main goal is to fill this gap.

In absence of disorder, the Bloch-Floquet analysis relates topological insulators of class AII with a special category of complex vector bundles called “Quaternionic”. These vector bundles, introduced for the first time by J. L. Dupont with the (ambiguous) name of symplectic vector bundles in [Du] (see also [Sc, DL, LLM]), are complex vector bundles defined over an involutive base space \((X, \tau)\) and endowed with an anti-involutive automorphism of the total space which covers the involution \(\tau\) and restricts to an anti-linear map between conjugate fibers. In Section 2 we provide a precise description of this category. Let us just point out that through the paper we will often use the short expression \(\mathcal{Q}\)-bundle instead “Quaternionic” vector bundle. The principal results achieved in this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We provide a classification of topological insulators of class AII by inspecting the homotopy classification of the underlying “Quaternionic” category of vector bundles. In this way we obtain a classification which is, in spirit, finer than the usual \(K\)-theoretical classification [Ki] (see also Appendix C) since it covers also the unstable case;

- We introduce a topological invariant which discriminates between non-isomorphic \(\mathcal{Q}\)-bundles and which is sufficiently fine to provide a complete description of the category of “Quaternionic” vector bundles in low dimension, i.e. when the base space is a (closed) manifold of dimension \(d \leq 3\). The construction of this invariant is based on an original idea by M. Furuta, Y. Kametani, H. Matsue, and N. Minami described in an unpublished work [FKMM] dated 2000 (five years earlier than the first paper by Kane and Mele!) and for this reason we decide to call it \(FKMM\)-invariant. We provide a precise description of the FKMM-invariant in Section 3 and we prove that in special cases (included all cases of interest for the description of topological insulators) this invariant reproduces the Fu-Kane-Mele index. We prove that the FKMM-invariant is a genuine characteristic class for the category of the “Quaternionic” vector bundles in the sense that there exists a universal version of this invariant which provides by pullback the FKMM-invariant of (almost) each \(\mathcal{Q}\)-bundle. This point of view is developed in Section 6 and represents a quite important point of novelty with respect to the original definition proposed in [FKMM]. Moreover, our interpretation of the FKMM-invariant is still liable to a further generalization that provides a characteristic class which is well defined in full generality for \(\mathcal{Q}\)-bundles over each type of reasonable involutive space. This point of view will be discussed in a future paper [DG2] (cf. also Remark 3.10);

The topological classification of the family of “Quaternionic” vector bundles strongly depends on the nature of the involutive space \((X, \tau)\) which in turns reflects some physical features of the system under consideration. An important aspect of an involutive space is the structure of its fixed point set
$X^\tau := \{ x \in X \mid \tau(x) = x \}$. The family of FKMM-spaces is rich enough to contain all the systems of interest in condensed matter physics:

**Definition 1.1 (FKMM-space).** An FKMM-space is an involutive space $(X, \tau)$ such that:

0. $X$ is a compact and path connected Hausdorff space which admits the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex;

1. The fixed point set $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ consists of a finite number of points;

2. $H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$.

For the sake of completeness, let us recall that an involutive space $(X, \tau)$ has the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex if it admits a skeleton decomposition given by gluing cells of different dimensions which carry a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action. For a precise definition of the notion of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex, the reader can refer to [DG1 Section 4.5] or [Ma AP]. In addition, the cohomology group that appears in 2. is the equivariant Borel cohomology of the space $(X, \tau)$ computed with respect to the local system of coefficients $\mathbb{Z}(1)$. A short reminder of this theory is given in Section 3.1. Let us point out that this is the correct point of view to develop a full general theory of the FKMM-invariant (1.1) or [AZ, SRFL]. In addition, the cohomology group that appears in 2. is isomorphic to a finite number of points; the the connectedness of $X$ imply that the dimension of the fibers is forced to be even, i.e. $n = 2m$ (cf. Proposition 2.2). The key result at the basis of our classification can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.2 (Injective group homomorphism: low dimensional cases).** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a FKMM-space such that the dimension of the free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-cells in the skeleton decomposition of $X$ do not exceeds $d = 3$. Then, the FKMM-invariant defines a map

$$\kappa : \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \rightarrow H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

that is injective. Moreover, $\text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau)$ can be endowed with a group structure in such a way that $\kappa$ becomes an injective group homomorphism.

The proof of this result is postponed to Section 4.1 and a precise description of the map $\kappa$ is given in Section 3.2. Let us just comment that the abelian group $H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ provides the classification of the “Real” line bundles over $(X, \tau)$ where the adjective “Real” is used for the category of vector bundles introduced by M. F. Atiyah in [At1] and extensively studied in [DG1]. Given an involutive space $(X, \tau)$ let us denote by $\text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau)$ the set of isomorphic classes of $\mathbb{C}$-bundles with typical fiber of dimension $n$. We stress that the existence of fixed points $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ and the the connectedness of $X$ imply that the dimension of the fibers is forced to be even, i.e. $n = 2m$ (cf. Proposition 2.2). The key result at the basis of our classification can be stated as follows:

$$c_1 : \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

induced by the first Chern class. The parallelism between $\kappa$ and $c_1$ is quite evocative: The FKMM-invariant is the proper characteristic class that represents “Quaternionic” vector bundles as elements of the (computable) cohomology group $H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1))$. Let us point out that this is the correct point of view to develop a full general theory of the FKMM-invariant (cf. Remark 3.10).

In order to connect Theorem 1.2 with the problem of the classification of topological insulators of class AII let us recall some basic facts. A typical representative of this class is a system of quantum particles with half-integer spin and subjected to an *odd* time-reversal symmetry (-TR). More in detail, let us consider a (self-adjoint) Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L$ (continuous case) or $\mathcal{H} := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L$ (tight-binding approximation). Let us denote by $\hat{J}$ a unitary operator that verifies

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{C} \hat{J} \hat{C}^* = -\hat{J} \\
\hat{J}^* \hat{H} \hat{J} = \hat{C} \hat{H} \hat{C}
\end{cases} \quad \text{(AII - symmetry).}$$

(1.1)
Equation (1.1) is equivalent to $\hat{\Theta} \hat{H} \hat{\Theta}^* = \hat{H}$ and the first in (1.1) implies that $\hat{\Theta}$ is an anti-involution in the sense that $\hat{\Theta}^2 = -\hat{1}$ (or equivalently $\hat{\Theta} = -\hat{\Theta}^*$).

**Remark 1.3** (Topological insulators in class $\text{AI}$). If in (1.1) one replaces the first condition with $C \hat{J} \hat{C} = \hat{J}^*$, or equivalently $\hat{\Theta}^2 = \hat{1}$, one obtains the class of $\text{AI}$ topological insulators. System of this type possess an even time-reversal symmetry $(+\text{TR})$ and has been classified in [DG1].

For each $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\hat{U}_a$ be the unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ that implements the translation by $a$ in the sense that $\hat{U}_a \psi(\cdot) = \psi(\cdot - a)$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is invariant under the translation $\hat{U}_a$ if $[\hat{H}, \hat{U}_a] = 0$. According to a standard nomenclature, one says that $\hat{H}$ describes a free (resp. periodic) system if it is translationally invariant for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (resp. for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$). In both cases one can represent $\hat{H}$ as a fibered operator over the momentum space (one uses the Fourier transform in the free case or the Bloch-Floquet transform in the periodic case). By repeating verbatim the construction explained in [DG1, Section 2] one associates to each gapped spectral region of $\hat{H}$ a vector bundle usually called Bloch bundle. The presence of the symmetry (1.1) endows the Bloch bundle with a “Quaternionic” structure in the sense of [Du] (we refer to Section 2.1 for a precise definition). This construction justifies the following

**Definition 1.4** (Topological insulators of type $\text{AII}$). A $d$-dimensional free system of type $\text{AII}$ is a “Quaternionic” vector bundle over the involutive $\text{TR}$-sphere

$$\tilde{S}^d := (S^d, \tau)$$

(1.2)

where $S^d := \{ k \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mid \|k\| = 1 \}$ and the involution $\tau$ is defined by

$$\tau(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_d) := (k_0, -k_1, \ldots, -k_d).$$

(1.3)

A $d$-dimensional periodic system of type $\text{AII}$ is a “Quaternionic” vector bundle over the involutive $\text{TR}$-torus

$$\tilde{T}^d := (T^d, \tau)$$

(1.4)

where $T^d := S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ ($d$-times) and the involution $\tau$ extends diagonally the involution on $\tilde{S}^1$ given by (1.3) in such a way that $\tilde{T}^d = \tilde{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{S}^1$.

Let us point out that the involutive spaces $\tilde{S}^d$ and $\tilde{T}^d$ are particular examples of FKMM-spaces. Conditions 0. and 1. come from the explicit description of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex structure for these spaces given in [DG1, Examples 4.20 & 4.21] and condition 2. follows from an explicit computation of the equivariant cohomology based on a recursive application of the Gysin sequence [DG1] Sections 5.3 & 5.4. In particular, Theorem 1.2 applies to the description of $\text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(S^d, \tau)$ and $\text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(T^d, \tau)$ when $d \leq 3$ and one gets:

**Theorem 1.5** (Classification of $\text{AII}$ topological insulators: low dimensional cases). Let $(S^d, \tau)$ and $(T^d, \tau)$ be the TR-involutive spaces described in Definition 1.4 Then:

(i) “Quaternionic” vector bundles over $(S^d, \tau)$ and $(T^d, \tau)$ can have only even rank. In particular there are no “Quaternionic” line-bundles for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$;

(ii) $\text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(S^1, \tau) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iii) For TR-spheres in dimension $d = 2, 3$ one has group isomorphisms

$$\text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(S^2, \tau) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(S^3, \tau) = \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

given by the FKMM-invariant $\kappa$;

(iv) For TR-tori in dimension $d = 2, 3$ one has group isomorphisms

$$\text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(T^2, \tau) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2m}(T^3, \tau) = \mathbb{Z}_2^4,$$

given by the FKMM-invariant $\kappa$.  

The various items listed in Theorem 1.5 are proved separately in the paper: (i) is a consequence of Proposition 2.2. The proof of (ii) is contained in Proposition 2.15 and it is based on the homotopy classification of "Quaternionic" vector bundles (cf. Theorem 2.13); Item (iii) is proved in Proposition 4.6 while the proof of (iv) is contained in Proposition 4.16 and Proposition 4.18. Let us say few words about the strategy of the proofs of (iii) and (iv). Firstly, one computes the relevant cohomology groups
\[ H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\tilde{S}^d(\tilde{S}^d)^t, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\tilde{T}^d(\tilde{T}^d)^t, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{2d-(d+1)} \quad \forall \ d \geq 2 \]
(cf. Proposition A.1 and Proposition A.2). Then, one shows that the injective group morphism \( \kappa \) in Theorem 1.2 is indeed surjective by constructing suitable explicit realizations of non-trivial \( \kappa \)-invariants according to the original definition given in [FKM] (cf. Remark 4.19). Finally, the non-vanishing of the FKMM-invariant can be also interpreted as the obstruction to the existence of a global frame of (Bloch) sections which supports the "Quaternionic" symmetry (cf. Remarks 4.2 & 4.19) and this fact recovers and generalizes the point of view investigated in [GP]

The classification for \( d > 3 \) is more complicated, since in this case the FKMM-invariant \( \kappa \) does not yet suffice to establish an injective morphism between \( \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \) and some cohomology group. In the case \( d = 4 \) one needs also the second Chern class and, under conditions which are slightly more restrictive that those in Definition 1.1 one can prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.6** (Injective group homomorphism: \( d=4 \)). Let \( (X, \tau) \) be a FKMM-space and assume in addition that \( X \) is a closed and oriented 4-manifold with an involution \( \tau \) which is smooth. Then, the FKMM-invariant \( \kappa \) and the second Chern class define a map
\[(\kappa, c_2) : \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \to H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X\{X^t, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \]
that is injective. Moreover, \( \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \) can be endowed with a group structure in such a way that the pair \( (\kappa, c_2) \) sets an injective group homomorphism.

The proof of a slightly weaker version of this theorem is postponed to Section 5, where we prove the injectivity of the pair \( (\kappa, c_2) \) under some extra hypothesis (cf. Assumption 5.1 & Theorem 5.4) which are still verified by the involutive TR-spaces \( \tilde{S}^4 \) and \( \tilde{T}^4 \). Let us just comment that the claim of Theorem 1.6 is true as it is and, at the cost of increasing the technical difficulty of the proof (one needs obstruction theory), it can be proved in full generality [DG2].

An interesting difference between the case \( d = 4 \) and the low dimensional cases \( d \leq 3 \) is that the two topological invariants \( \kappa \) and \( c_2 \) are not independent. In particular it is possible to show that the strong component of the FKMM-invariant is uniquely fixed by the week components and by the parity of the second Chern number \( C := \langle c_2, [X] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \) (we denote with \( [X] \in H_4(X) \) the fundamental class of \( X \)). This fact is made evident in the following result which completes the classification of topological insulators in class AII for all physically interesting dimensions.

**Theorem 1.7** (Classification of AII topological insulators: \( d = 4 \)). Let \( (S^4, \tau) \) and \( (T^4, \tau) \) be the TR-involutive spaces described in Definition 7.4.

(i) The map
\[(\kappa, c_2) : \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^4, \tau) \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \]
is injective and the image \( (\kappa, c_2) : \mathcal{E} \mapsto (\epsilon, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) of each "Quaternionic" vector bundle \( (\mathcal{E}, \Theta) \) is contained in the subgroup
\[ \{(\epsilon, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid \epsilon = (-1)^C\} = \mathbb{Z}. \]
More precisely, elements in $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{S}^4, \tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ are completely classified by the second Chern class $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ and the value $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \approx \epsilon$ of the (strong component of the) FKMM-invariant is fixed by the reduction mod. 2 of the second Chern number $C = \langle c_2(\mathcal{E}), [\mathbb{S}^4] \rangle$.

(ii) The map

$$(\kappa, c_2) : \text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{T}^4, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

is injective and the image $(\kappa, c_2) \mapsto (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{11}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ of each “Quaternionic” vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ is contained in the subgroup

$$\left\{ (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{11}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{11} \epsilon_j = (-1)^C \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

More precisely, elements in $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{T}^4, \tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ are completely classified by the second Chern class $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ and the FKMM-invariant. However, only the first ten week components of the FKMM-invariant $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \approx \epsilon$ are independent since the strong component $\epsilon_{11} = (-1)^C \prod_{j=1}^{10} \epsilon_j$ is fixed by values of the the week components and the reduction mod. 2 of the second Chern number $C = \langle c_2(\mathcal{E}), [\mathbb{T}^4] \rangle$.

The proof of this theorem is explained in Section 5. It should be remarked that item (ii) above was originally shown in [FKMM]. The content of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 is summarized in Table 1.1 together with the classification of type A topological insulators (systems without symmetries, cf. with [DG1] Table 1.1).

| VB  | AZC | d = 1 | d = 2 | d = 3 | d = 4 |
|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|
| $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ | A   | 0    | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0    | $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{S}^d, \tau)$ | AII | 0    | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ | A   | 0    | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^3$ | $\mathbb{Z}^6$ |
| $\text{Vec}_\Sigma^{2m}(\mathbb{T}^d, \tau)$ | AII | 0    | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 1.1. The column VB lists the relevant equivalence classes of vector bundles and the related Altland-Zirnbauer-Cartan labels [AZ] [SRFL] are displayed in column AZC. The classification in blue corresponds to the unstable regime ($d > 2m$) which is not covered by the $K$-theoretical classification. The rank of the “Quaternionic” vector bundle is forced to be even since the involutive spaces $(\mathbb{S}^d, \tau)$ and $(\mathbb{T}^d, \tau)$ described in Definition 1.4 have fixed points.

A comparison between Table 1.1 and the calculations in Appendix C shows that our classification completely agrees with the predictions supplied by the $K$-theory. In particular, for “Quaternionic” vector bundles in dimension $d \leq 4$ there is no difference between stable and unstable regime and so the $K$-theory provides a precise description for the “labeling sets” of various isomorphism classes of $\Sigma$-bundles. Nevertheless, our classification is strictly stronger than the $K$-theoretical analysis since it provides an explicit description for the classifying invariants as elements of a proper cohomology theory. This information is not trivial at all! On the contrary, it plays a prominent role in the description of physical effects connected with the propagation and the stability of spin currents [KM1] [KM2] [FK [FKM]].

As a final remark let us point out that one of the merits of this work is that it shows that the FKMM-invariant can be understood as a bona fide characteristic class for the category of “Quaternionic” vector
bundes (cf. Section 6). This discovery, which in our opinion may have future implications, is an important point of novelty with respect to the original (and certainly inspiring) ideas contained in [FKMM]. Let us also recall that in the literature already exists other works devoted to the construction of characteristic classes for “Quaternionic” vector bundles. Among these, we mention at least the two works [DL, LLM] in which the authors developed the notion of “Quaternionic” Chern classes. We feel that it should be of some interest and utility to understand the link between the FKMM-invariant described in this work and these “Quaternionic” Chern classes.

Acknowledgements. The authors are immensely grateful to M. Furuta for allowing them to use ideas contained in the preprint [FKMM]. GD’s research is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. KG’s research is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B 23740051), JSPS.

2. “Quaternionic” vector bundles

This Section is devoted to the description of the category of “Quaternionic” vector bundles introduced for the first time in [Du]. Through the paper we often use the shorter expression $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle instead of “Quaternionic” vector bundle.

2.1. “Quaternionic” structure on vector bundles. The first ingredient to define a “Quaternionic” structure on a complex vector bundle is an involution on the base space. We recall that an involution $\tau$ on a topological space $X$ is a homeomorphism of period 2, i.e. $\tau^2 = \text{Id}_X$. The pair $(X, \tau)$ will be called an involutive space. The spaces $\mathcal{S}^d$ and $\mathcal{T}^d$ introduced in Definition 1.4 are examples of involutive spaces. Other examples have been discussed in [DG1] Section 4.1]. We tacitly assume through the paper that all the involutive spaces $(X, \tau)$ verify at least condition 0. in Definition 1.1.

A “Quaternionic” vector bundle, or $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle, over $(X, \tau)$ is a complex vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ endowed with a (topological) homeomorphism $\Theta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that:

- (Q1) the projection $\pi$ is equivariant in the sense that $\pi \circ \Theta = \tau \circ \pi$;
- (Q2) $\Theta$ is anti-linear on each fiber, i.e. $\Theta(\lambda p) = \overline{\lambda} \Theta(p)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p \in \mathcal{E}$ where $\overline{\lambda}$ is the complex conjugate of $\lambda$;
- (Q3) $\Theta^2$ acts fiberwise as the multiplication by $-1$, namely $\Theta^2|_{\mathcal{E}_x} = -1|_{\mathcal{E}_x}$.

It is always possible to endow $\mathcal{E}$ with a (essentially unique) Hermitian metric with respect to which $\Theta$ is an anti-unitary map between conjugate fibers (cf. Proposition 2.10).

A vector bundle morphism $f$ between two vector bundles $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow X$ over the same base space is a continuous map $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ which is fiber preserving in the sense that $\pi = \pi' \circ f$ and that restricts to a linear map on each fiber $f|_x : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_x$. Complex (resp. real) vector bundles over $X$ together with vector bundle morphisms define a category and we use $\text{Vec}^\mathbb{C}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Vec}^\mathbb{R}(X)$) to denote the set of equivalence classes of isomorphic vector bundles of rank $m$. Also $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles define a category with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$-morphisms. A $\mathcal{Q}$-morphism $f$ between two $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ and $(\mathcal{E}', \Theta')$ over the same involutive space $(X, \tau)$ is a vector bundle morphism commuting with the involutions, i.e. $f \circ \Theta = \Theta' \circ f$. The set of equivalence classes of isomorphic $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles of rank $m$ over $(X, \tau)$ is denoted with $\text{Vec}^\mathbb{C}_\mathcal{Q}(X, \tau)$.

The set $\text{Vec}^\mathbb{C}_\mathcal{Q}(X)$ is non-empty since it contains at least the product vector bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow X$ with canonical projection $(x, v) \mapsto x$. Similarly, in the real case one has that $X \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow X$ provides an element of $\text{Vec}^\mathbb{R}_\mathcal{Q}(X)$. A complex (resp. real) vector bundle is called trivial if it is isomorphic to the complex (resp. real) product vector bundle. In order to extend these definitions to “Quaternionic” vector bundles we need to investigate the structure of the fibers of a $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over fixed points of the base space $(X, \tau)$. Let $x \in X^\tau$ and $\mathcal{E}_x \cong \mathbb{C}^m$ be the related fiber. In this case the restriction $\Theta|_{\mathcal{E}_x} \equiv J$ defines an anti-linear map $J : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$ such that $J^2 = -1|_{\mathcal{E}_x}$. This means that fibers $\mathcal{E}_x$ over fixed points $x \in X^\tau$ are endowed with a quaternionic structure in the following sense:
**Remark 2.1** (Quaternionic structure over complex vector spaces). We shall denote with $\mathbb{H}$ the skew-field of quaternions and by $(1, i, j, k)$ its usual basis over $\mathbb{R}$,
\[ \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} i \oplus \mathbb{R} j \oplus \mathbb{R} k \quad (i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1). \]
Similarly, the pair $(1, j)$ provides a basis of $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$
\[ \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} j = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} i) \oplus (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} i) j. \]
where the relation $ij = k$ has been used. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complex vector space of complex dimension $n$. One says that $\mathcal{V}$ has a *quaternionic* structure if there is an *anti*-linear map $J : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ such that $J^2 = -I$ (cf. [VQ] Section 1 and references therein). A complex vector space $\mathcal{V}$ admits a quaternionic structure if and only if it has even complex dimension $n = 2m$ and in this case the pair $(\mathcal{V}, J)$ turns out to be isomorphic to the space $\mathbb{H}^{2m} = (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} j)^m$ understood as left-module over $\mathbb{C}$ and endowed with the *left* multiplication by $j$. Since $ji = -ij$ this multiplication is automatically *anti*-linear with respect to the complex structure. Said differently, we can identify $(\mathcal{V}, J)$ with $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ endowed with the *standard* quaternionic structure $v \mapsto Q\overline{v}$ where $\overline{v}$ is the complex conjugate of $v$ and $Q$ denotes the real matrix
\[
Q := \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Let us recall that the quaternionic unitary group $U_{\mathbb{H}}(m)$ coincides with the symplectic group $Sp(m)$. Moreover, $U_{\mathbb{H}}(m)$ has the following characterization: let $\mu : U(2m) \to U(2m)$ be the involution defined by $\mu(U) := -OUQ$, then $U_{\mathbb{H}}(m) \approx U(2m)^\mu$ where $U(2m)^\mu$ is the set of fixed points under the action of $\mu$. Finally $U(2m)^\mu \subset SU(2m)$. In fact if $\mu(U) = U$ and $Uv = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ then also $U(Q\overline{v}) = \overline{\lambda}(Q\overline{v})$ and the vectors $v$ and $Q\overline{v}$ are linearly independent (even in the case $\lambda = \pm 1$).
Iterating this procedure one shows that the set of eigenvalues of $U$ is given by $m$ pairs $\{\lambda_i, \overline{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$ such that $|\lambda_i| = 1$ and this implies $\det(U) = 1$.

The first consequence of Remark 2.1 is:

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive and path-connected space. If $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ then every $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle over $(X, \tau)$ necessarily has even rank.

**Proof.** Fibers over fixed points needs an even complex dimension in order to support a quaternionic structure. Moreover, if the base space $X$ is path-connected the dimension of the fibers is constant. ■

**Remark 2.3** ($\mathcal{Q}$-bundles of odd rank), In Proposition 2.2 the condition $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ can not be removed. In fact, if the base space $X$ is endowed with a free involution then it is possible to realize $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles with fibers of odd rank. For instance, an example of $\mathcal{Q}$-line bundle has been worked out in [Du]: Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ endowed with the free involution $\tau : \epsilon \mapsto -\epsilon$, $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then the complex line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a “Quaternionic” vector bundle by $(\epsilon, z) \mapsto (-\epsilon, \epsilon z)$. This example, together with Proposition 2.2 shows that a base space with a free involution is a necessary condition for the construction of a $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle with odd fibers. ■

The set $\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2m}(X, \tau)$ is non-empty since it contains at least the “Quaternionic” *product bundle* $X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m} \to X$ endowed with the *product* $\mathcal{Q}$-structure $\Theta_0(x, v) = (\tau(x), Q \overline{v})$ where the matrix $Q$ is the same as in (2.1). Moreover, as a consequence of Proposition 2.2 this is the only type of $\mathcal{Q}$-product bundles which is possible to build if $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$. We say that a $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle is $\mathcal{Q}$-trivial if it is isomorphic to the product $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle in the category of $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles. Since the Whitney sum of a rank 2 product $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle defines a map $\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2m}(X, \tau) \to \text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2m+2}(X, \tau)$ one introduces the inductive limit $\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}(X, \tau) := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2m}(X, \tau)$ which describes isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles over involutive spaces with fixed points independently of the (even) rank of the fibers. ■
The name of “Quaternionic” vector bundles (in the category of involutive spaces) for elements in $\text{Vec}_C(X, \tau)$ is justified by the following result:

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $\text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ be the set of equivalence classes of vector bundles over $X$ with typical fiber $\mathbb{H}^m$. Then,

$$\text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \cong \text{Vec}^2m(X, \text{Id}_X) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

*proof (sketch of).* Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an element of $\text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$. Each fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ is a left $\mathbb{H}$-module. Now, if one considers $\mathcal{E}_x$ simply as a left $\mathbb{C}$-module endowed with an extra left multiplication by $j$ one obtain, by virtue of Remark 2.1 a map $\mathcal{E} : \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Vec}^2m(X, \text{Id}_X)$. On the other side, if $\mathcal{E}$ is an element of $\text{Vec}^2m(X, \text{Id}_X)$ then each fiber $\mathcal{E}_x$ turns out to be a complex vector space of dimension $2m$ endowed with a quaternionic structure so that $\mathcal{E}_x \cong \mathbb{H}^m$. This leads to a map $\mathcal{E} : \text{Vec}^2m(X, \text{Id}_X) \rightarrow \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$. By construction one verifies that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are inverses of each other. \[\Box\]

Given a “Quaternionic” bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over the involutive space $(X, \tau)$ we can “forget” the $\mathbb{C}$-structure and consider only the complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$. This forgetting procedure goes through isomorphisms classes. In fact, a $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphism between two $\mathbb{C}$-bundles is, in particular, an isomorphism of complex vector bundles plus an extra condition of equivariance which is lost under the process of forgetting the “Quaternionic” structure.

**Proposition 2.5.** The process of forgetting the “Quaternionic” structure defines a map

$$j : \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \rightarrow \text{Vec}^m_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$$

such that $j : [0] \rightarrow [0]$ where $[0]$ is used as a short notation for the trivial class in the appropriate category.

### 2.2. “Quaternionic” sections.

Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of *sections* of a $\mathbb{C}$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over the involutive space $(X, \tau)$. We recall that a section $s$ is a continuous maps $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}_X$ where $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is the bundle projection. The set $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ has the structure of a module over the algebra $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and inherits from the “Quaternionic” structure of $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ an anti-linear anti-involution $\tau_\Theta : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$\tau_\Theta(s) := \Theta \circ s \circ \tau.$$  

This means that the $\mathcal{C}(X)$-module $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is endowed with a quaternionic structure (in the jargon of Remark 2.1) given by $\tau_\Theta$ and the left multiplication by $i$.

The product $\mathbb{C}$-bundle $(X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}, \Theta_0)$ over $(X, \tau)$ has a special family of sections $\{r_1, \ldots, r_{2m}\}$ given by $r_j : x \mapsto (x, e_j)$ with $e_j := (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ the $j$-th vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^m$. These sections verify

$$\tau_{\Theta_0}(r_j)(x) = \Theta_0(\pi(x), e_j) = (x, Q \overline{e}_j) =: (\mathcal{Q} r_j)(x) \tag{2.2}$$

where we exploited the reality of the canonical basis $e_j = \overline{e}_j$. The matrix $Q$ is the one defined in (2.1) and the constant endomorphism $\mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}))$ is fixed pointwise by the last equality in (2.2). Let us point out that $\mathcal{Q}$ is invertible, real in the sense $\tau_{\Theta_0} \circ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \circ \tau_{\Theta_0}$ and anti-involutive $\mathcal{Q}^2 = -\text{Id}$. Moreover, for all $x \in X$ the vectors $\{r_1(x), \ldots, r_{2m}(x)\}$ provide a complete basis for the fiber $\{x\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ over $x$.

For a $\mathbb{C}$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ this kind of behavior is locally general. Let $s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ and $U \subset X$ a $\tau$-invariant open set such that $s_1(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U \neq \emptyset$. Set $\mathcal{S}_2 := \tau_{\Theta}(s_1)$ which implies $\tau_{\Theta}(s_2) = -s_1$. Since $\Theta$ is a homeomorphism one has also $s_3(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $s_1$ and $s_2$ linearly independent. In fact, if one assumes that $s_1 = \lambda s_2$ then the application of $\tau_{\Theta}$ to both sides provides $s_2 = -\lambda s_1$ which is possible if and only if $\lambda = 0$. We say that $(s_1, s_2)$ is a “Quaternionic” pair (or a *Kramers pair* using a physical terminology!) over $U$. Now, let us add a third sections $s_3$ which is independent from $s_1$ and $s_2$ and such that $s_3(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U \neq \emptyset$. The section $s_4 := \tau_{\Theta}(s_3)$ does not vanish on $U$ and is independent from $s_1, s_2, s_3$. The last claim can be easily proved along the same strategy used for the independence between $s_1$ and $s_2$. If $\mathcal{E}$ has rank $2m$ we can iterate this procedure $m - 1$ times and we end up with a family of sections $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\}$ which are
independent and non-zero over \( \mathbb{U} \) and that verify the relations \( s_{2j} := \tau_0(s_{2j-1}) \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). In other words, we have realized a frame for \( \mathcal{E}|_U \) made by “Quaternionic” pairs \( (s_2, s_2') \). This implies also \( \tau_0(s_j) = \mathcal{Q}s_j \) where the endomorphism \( \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}|_U)) \) acts as the multiplication by the matrix \( Q \) with respect to the local basis \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \). This discussion justifies the following definition:

**Definition 2.6 (Global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame).** Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a “Quaternionic” vector bundle of rank \( 2m \) over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\). We say that \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) admits a global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame if there is a collection of sections \( \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \) such that:

(a) For each \( x \in X \) the set of vectors \( \{s_1(x), s_2(x), \ldots, s_{2m-1}(x), s_{2m}(x)\} \) spans the fiber \( \mathcal{E}_x \) over \( x \);

(b) \( s_{2j} = \tau_0(s_{2j-1}) \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, m \).

The existence of a global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame characterizes the \( \mathcal{Q} \)-triviality of a “Quaternionic” vector bundle.

**Theorem 2.7 (\( \mathcal{Q} \)-triviality).** An even rank \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over \((X, \tau)\) is \( \mathcal{Q} \)-trivial if and only if it admits a global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame.

**Proof.** Let us assume that \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) is \( \mathcal{Q} \)-trivial. This means that there is a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-isomorphism \( h : X \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{E} \) between \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) and the product \(\mathcal{E}\)-bundle \((X \times \mathbb{C}^m, \Theta_0)\). Let us define sections \( s_j \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \) by \( s_j := h \circ r_j \) where \( \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{2m-1}, r_{2m}\} \) is the global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame of the product bundle. The fact that \( h \) is an isomorphism implies that \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \) spans each fiber of \( \mathcal{E} \). Moreover, the equivariance condition \( \Theta \circ h = h \circ \Theta_0 \) implies that \( \tau_0(s_{2j-1}) = \Theta \circ \tau \circ r_{2j-1} \circ \tau = h \circ \Theta_0(r_{2j-1}) = h \circ r_{2j} = s_{2j} \) and this shows that \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \) is a global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame.

Conversely, let us assume that \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) has a global \( \mathcal{Q} \)-frame \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \). For each \( x \in X \) we can set the linear isomorphism \( h_x : \{x\} \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{E}_x \) defined by \( h_x(x, e_j) := s_j(x) \). The collection of \( h_x \) defines an isomorphism \( h : X \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{E} \) between complex vector bundles [MS] Theorem 2.2.

Moreover, \( \Theta \circ h(x, e_{2j-1}) = \Theta \circ s_{2j-1}(x) = s_{2j}(\tau(x)) = h(\tau(x), e_{2j}) = h \circ \Theta_0(x, e_{2j-1}) \) for all \( x \in X \) and all \( j = 1, \ldots, m \) and this proves that \( h \) is a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-isomorphism.

2.3. Local \( \mathcal{Q} \)-triviality. A \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle is locally trivial in the category of complex vector bundles by definition. Less obvious is that a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle is also locally trivial in the category of vector bundles over an involutive space. In order to discuss this point we start with a classical result.

**Lemma 2.8 (Extension).** Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space and assume that \( X \) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition [7]. Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle over \((X, \tau)\) and \( Y \subset X \) a closed subset such that \( \tau(Y) = Y \). Then each \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pair \( \{s_1, s_2\} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}|_Y) \) extends to a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pair \( \{s_1, s_2\} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \).

**Proof.** By definition of \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pair one has \( s_2 = \tau_0(s_1) \). Using [AB] Lemma 1.1] we know that we can extend \( s_1 \) to a section \( s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \). Setting \( s_2 := \tau_0(s_1) \) one has that \( (s_1, s_2) \) is a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pair and \( s_2|_Y = s_2 \).

**Proposition 2.9 (Local \( \mathcal{Q} \)-triviality).** Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle of even rank over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\) such that \( X \) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition [7]. Then, \( \pi : \mathcal{E} \to X \) is locally \( \mathcal{Q} \)-trivial meaning that for all \( x \in X \) there exists a \( \tau \)-invariant neighborhood \( \mathbb{U} \) of \( x \) and a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-isomorphism \( h : \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{U}) \to \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m \) with respect to the trivial \( \mathcal{Q} \)-structure on the product bundle \( \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m \) given by \( \Theta_0(x, v) = (\tau(x), Qv) \) (the matrix \( Q \) is defined by (2.1)). Moreover, if \( x \in X' \) the neighborhood \( \mathbb{U} \) can be chosen connected otherwise, when \( x \neq \tau(x) \), \( \mathbb{U} \) can be taken as the union of two disjoint open sets \( \mathbb{U}' = \mathbb{U}' \cup \mathbb{U}'' \) with \( x \in \mathbb{U}' \) and \( \tau : \mathbb{U}' \to \mathbb{U}'' \) an homeomorphism.

**Proof.** This proof is an adaption of the argument in [AB] Lemma 1.2] and of the discussion in [AT1, pg. 374]. Let us start with the case of a \( x \in X' \). On the fiber \( \mathcal{E}_x \) the procedure described in Section 2.2 leads to a basis of vectors \( \{s_1(x), s_2(x), \ldots, s_{2m-1}(x), s_{2m}(x)\} \) such that each \( (s_{2j-1}(x), s_{2j}(x)) \), \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), is a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pair with respect to \( \tau_0 \). By the extension Lemma 2.8 we can extend these vectors to a family of sections \( \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2m-1}, s_{2m}\} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \) formed by \( \mathcal{Q} \)-pairs \( (s_{2j-1}, s_{2j}) \). Moreover, there exists an open neighborhood \( \mathbb{U}' \) of \( x \) where this family of sections behaves as a global frame for \( \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{U}'} \) (this is a consequence of the fact that the linear group \( \text{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}) \) is open). In order to have a \( \tau \)-invariant neighborhood it is enough to consider \( \mathbb{U} := \mathbb{U}' \cap \tau(\mathbb{U}') \). Moreover, since \( X \) is assumed to be connected,
such that structure must verify the condition and Hermitian metric complex vector bundle over a paracompact base space admits a Hermitian metric. Moreover if Hermitian form on each fiber. By a standard result [Kar, Chapter I, Theorem 8.7] we know that each unitary map. The existence of an equivariant metric bundle the set direct average of the metric. Let (E, Θ) be a Σ-bundle over the involutive space (X, τ) and consider the set E ×X E := {(p1, p2) ∈ E × E | π(p1) = π(p2)] associated with the underlying complex vector bundle π : E → X. A Hermitian metric is a map m' : E ×X E → C which is a positive-definite Hermitian form on each fiber. By a standard result [Kar Chapter I, Theorem 8.7] we know that each complex vector bundle over a paracompact base space admits a Hermitian metric. Moreover if m' and m'' are two different Hermitian metrics for π : E → X there exists an isomorphism f : E → E such that m'(p1, p2) = m''(f(p1), f(p2)) [Kar Chapter I, Theorem 8.8]. This mans that the choice of a Hermitian metric is essentially unique. A Hermitian metric compatible with the “Quaternionic” structure must verify the condition m(Θ(p1), Θ(p2)) = m(p2, p1) for all (p1, p2) ∈ E ×X E. Such a metric is called equivariant. With respect to an equivariant metric the involution Θ acts as an “anti-unitary” map. The existence of an equivariant metric m follows directly from the existence of any Hermitian metric m' by means of the average procedure

\[
m(p_1, p_2) := \frac{1}{2} \left[ m'(p_1, p_2) + m'(\Theta(p_2), \Theta(p_1)) \right], \quad (p_1, p_2) ∈ E ×X E.
\]

Also in this case an equivariant generalization of [Kar Chapter I, Theorem 8.8] assures that two equivariant metric for the Σ-bundle (E, Θ) are related by a Σ-isomorphism f : E → E. Summarizing one has:

**Proposition 2.10** (Equivariant metric). Each Σ-bundle (E, Θ) over an involutive space (X, τ) such that X verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition 7.1 admits an equivariant Hermitian metric which is essentially unique up to Σ-isomorphisms.

The main implication of of Proposition 2.10 is that the problem of the classification of “Quaternionic” vector bundles coincides with the problem of the classification of “Quaternionic” vector bundles endowed with an equivariant Hermitian metric. For this reason, we tacitly assume hereafter that:

**Assumption 2.11.** Each Σ-bundle is endowed with an equivariant Hermitian metric and vector bundle maps between Σ-bundles are assumed to be metric-preserving (i.e. isometries).

2.4. **Homotopy classification of “Quaternionic” vector bundles.** The assumption that the base space X is compact allows us to extend usual homotopy properties valid for complex vector bundles to the category of Σ-bundles. Given two involutive spaces (X1, τ1) and (X2, τ2) we say that a continuous map φ : X1 → X2 is equivariant if and only if φ ◦ τ1 = τ2 ◦ φ. An equivariant homotopy between equivariant maps ϕ0 and ϕ1 is a continuous map F : [0, 1] × X1 → X2 such that ϕt(·) := F(t, ·) is equivariant for all t ∈ [0, 1]. The set of the equivalence classes of equivariant homotopic maps between (X1, τ1) and (X2, τ2) will be denoted by [X1, X2]Σ2.
Theorem 2.12 (Homotopy property). Let \((X_1, \tau_1)\) and \((X_2, \tau_2)\) be two injective infinite spaces with \(X_1\) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition \([17]\). Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a \(\mathcal{G}\)-bundle of rank \(m\) over \((X_2, \tau_2)\) and \(F : [0, 1] \times X_1 \to X_2\) an equivariant homotopy between the equivariant maps \(\varphi_0\) and \(\varphi_1\). Then, the pullback bundles \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E} \to X_1\) have an induced \(\mathcal{G}\)-structure for all \(t \in [0, 1]\) and \(\varphi_0^* \mathcal{E} \cong \varphi_1^* \mathcal{E}\) in \(\text{Vec}_m^\mathcal{G}(X_1, \tau_1)\).

Proof (sketch of). This theorem can be proved by a suitable equivariant generalization of \([AB\) Proposition 1.3]. The only new point is the \(\mathcal{G}\)-structure on \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E} \to X_1\). By definition \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(x)} = \{x\} \times \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(x)}\) for all \(x \in X_1\). Hence, the equivariance of \(\varphi_t\) implies \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(x)} = \{\tau_1(x)\} \times \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(\varphi_t(x))}\). Then, the pullback construction induces a \(\mathcal{G}\)-structure on \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E}\) by the map \(\Theta^*_t\) that acts anti-linearly between the fibers \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(x)}\) and \(\varphi_t^* \mathcal{E}|_{\tau_1(x)}\) as the product \(\tau_1 \times \Theta\). □

Theorem 2.12 is the starting point for a homotopy classification of \(\mathcal{G}\)-bundles. Complex and real vector bundles are classified by the set of homotopy equivalent maps from the base space to the complex or real Grassmann manifold, respectively \([MS\). A similar result holds true also for “Real”-bundles provided that the Grassmann manifold is endowed with a suitable involution and the homotopy equivalence is restricted to equivariant maps \([Ed\) (see also \([DG1\ Section 4.4]\)). In this section we provide a similar result for \(\mathcal{G}\)-bundles of even rank.

We recall that the Grassmann manifold is defined as

\[
G_m(C^n) := \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} G_m(C^n),
\]

where, for each pair \(m \leq n, G_m(C^n) \cong \mathbb{U}(n)/(\mathbb{U}(m) \times \mathbb{U}(n-m))\) is the set of \(m\)-dimensional (complex) subspaces of \(C^n\). Any \(G_m(C^n)\) can be endowed with the structure of a finite CW-complex, making it into a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) manifold of (real) dimension \(2m(n-m)\). The inclusions \(C^n \subset C^{n+1} \subset \ldots \) yields inclusions \(G_m(C^n) \subset G_m(C^{n+1}) \subset \ldots\) and one can equip \(G_m(C^n)\) with the direct limit topology. The resulting space \(G_m(C^n)\) has the structure of an infinite CW-complex which is, in particular, paracompact and path-connected. Following \([LM\) or \([BH\), in case of even dimension one can endow \(G_{2m}(C^n)\) with an involution of quaternionic-type in the following way: let \(\Sigma = \langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}\rangle\) be any 2m-plane in \(G_{2m}(C^{2n})\) generated by the basis \(\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}\}\) and define \(\rho(\Sigma) \in G_{2m}(C^{2n})\) as the 2m-plane spanned by \(\langle Qv_1, Qv_2, \ldots, Qv_{2m-1}, Qv_{2m}\rangle\) where \(Q\) is the complex conjugate of \(v_j\) and \(Q\) is the 2n \(\times\) 2n matrix (2.1). Clearly, the definition of \(\rho(\Sigma)\) does not depend on the choice of a particular basis and one can immediately check that the map \(\rho : G_m(C^n) \to G_m(C^n)\) is an involution that makes the pair \((G_{2m}(C^{2n}), \rho)\) into an involutive space. Since all the inclusions \(G_{2m}(C^{2n}) \to G_{2m}(C^{2(n+1)}) \to \ldots\) are equivariant, the involution extends to the infinite Grassmann manifold in such a way that \(\hat{G}_{2m}(C^n) \equiv (G_{2m}(C^n), \rho)\) becomes an involutive space. Let \(\Sigma = \rho(\Sigma)\) be a fixed point of \(\hat{G}_{2m}(C^n)\). Since \(\rho\) acts on vectors as a quaternionic structure one has \(\Sigma \cong \mathbb{H}^m\) (cf. Remark 2.1). More precisely, if \(\Sigma\) is \(\rho\)-invariant we can find a base of \(\langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}\rangle\) made by quaternionic pairs \(\langle v_{2k-1}, v_{2k}\rangle\) where \(Q = Q_{2k-1}\), \(k = 1, \ldots, m\) which leads to \(\Sigma = \langle v_1, v_3, v_5, \ldots, v_{2m-3}, v_{2m-1}\rangle\) (one has \(v_{2k} = jv_{2k-1}\) with respect to the left quaternionic multiplication). Let \(G_m(\mathbb{H}^n) \cong \text{Sp}(n)/(\text{Sp}(m) \times \text{Sp}(n-m))\) be the set of \(m\)-dimensional quaternionic hyperplanes passing through the origin of \(\mathbb{H}^n\). As for the complex case we can define the quaternionic Grassmann manifold as the inductive limit \(G_m(\mathbb{H}^n) := \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} G_m(\mathbb{H}^n)\). This space has the structure of an infinite CW-complex. In particular it is paracompact and path-connected. If \(\Sigma\) is a fixed point of \(G_{2m}(C^{2n})\) under the involution \(\rho\) then the map \(G_m(\mathbb{H}^n) \to G_{2m}(C^n)\) given by \(\langle v_1, \ldots, v_m\rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mapsto \langle v_1, Qv_1, \ldots, v_m, Qv_m\rangle_{C^n}\) is an embedding of \(G_m(\mathbb{H}^n)\) onto the fixed point set of \(G_{2m}(C^{2n})\). This shows that \(G_m(\mathbb{H}^n) \cong \hat{G}_{2m}(C^n)\).

Each manifold \(G_m(C^n)\) is the base space of a canonical rank \(m\) complex vector bundle \(\pi : \mathcal{F}_m^n \to G_m(C^n)\) where the total space \(\mathcal{F}_m^n\) consists of all pairs \((\Sigma, v)\) with \(\Sigma \in G_m(C^n)\) and \(v\) any vector in \(\Sigma\) and the bundle projection is \(\pi(\Sigma, v) = \Sigma\). Now, when \(n\) tends to infinity, the same construction leads to the tautological \(m\)-plane bundle \(\pi : \mathcal{F}_m^\infty \to G_m(C^n)\). This vector bundle is the universal object which classifies complex vector bundles in the sense that any rank \(m\) complex vector bundle \(E \to \mathcal{X}\) can be realized, up to isomorphisms, as the pullback of \(\mathcal{F}_m^\infty\) with respect to a classifying map \(\mathcal{XX}\)
\( \varphi : X \to G_m(C^{\infty}) \), that is \( \mathcal{E} \cong \varphi^* \mathcal{F}^{\infty} \). Since pullbacks of homotopic maps yield isomorphic vector bundles (homotopy property), the isomorphism class of \( \mathcal{E} \) only depends on the homotopy class of \( \varphi \). This leads to the fundamental result \( \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^m(X) \cong [X, G_m(C^{\infty})] \) where in the right-hand side there is the set of homotopy equivalence classes of maps between \( X \) and \( G_m(C^{\infty}) \). This classical result can be extended to the category of even rank “Quaternionic” vector bundles provided that the total space \( \mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m} \) is endowed with a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-structure compatible with the involution \( \rho \) on the Grassmann manifold \( G_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \).

This can be done by means of the anti-linear map \( \Xi : \mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m} \to \mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m} \) defined by \( \Xi : (\Sigma, v) \mapsto (\rho(\Sigma), Q v) \).

The relation \( \pi \circ \Xi = \rho \circ \pi \) can be easily verified, therefore \((\mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m}, \Xi)\) is a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle over the involutive space \( \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \equiv (G_{2m}(C^{\infty}), \rho) \). This is the universal object for the homotopy classification of \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundles:

**Theorem 2.13** (Homotopy classification). Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space and assume that \( X \) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition [17]. Each rank \( 2m \) \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over \((X, \tau)\) can be obtained, up to isomorphisms, as a pullback \( \mathcal{E} \cong \varphi^* \mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m} \) with respect to a map \( \varphi : X \to \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \) which is equivariant, \( \varphi \circ \tau = \rho \circ \varphi \). Moreover, the homotopy property implies that \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) depends only on the equivariant homotopy class of \( \varphi \), i.e. one has the isomorphism

\[
\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^m(X, \tau) \cong [X, \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty})]_{\mathbb{Q}}.
\]

**proof (sketch of).** The proof of this theorem is a direct equivariant adaption of standard arguments for the complex case (cf. [MS], [Hu]) and it is not new in the literature (e.g. [LLM], Theorem 11.2) or [BHH], Section 4.2). Just for sake of completeness let us comment that Theorem 2.12 assures that each equivariant pullback of the tautological vector bundle \( \mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{2m} \) provides a \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle and that homotopic equivalent pullbacks provide isomorphic \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundles. Therefore, one has only to show that each \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundle can be realized via an equivariant pullback. The easiest way to prove this point is to adapt equivariantly the construction in [Hu], Chapter 3, Section 5.

**Remark 2.14.** If one consider the trivial involutive space \((X, \text{Id}_X)\), then equivariant maps \( \varphi : X \to \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \) are characterized by \( \varphi(x) = \rho(\varphi(x)) \) for all \( x \in X \). Since the fixed point set of \( G_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \) is parameterized by \( G_m(\mathbb{H}^{\infty}) \) one has that \([X, \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty})]_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong [X, G_m(\mathbb{H}^{\infty})] \). However, \( G_m(\mathbb{H}^{\infty}) \) is the classifying space for quaternionic vector bundles ([Hu], Chapter 8, Theorem 6.1) hence \( \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^m(X, \text{Id}_X) \cong \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{H}}^m(X) \) in agreement with Proposition 2.4.\n
The homotopy classification provided by Theorem 2.13 can be directly used to classify \( \mathcal{Q} \)-bundles over the involutive space \( S^1 \equiv (S^1, \tau) \).

**Proposition 2.15.** \( \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}^m(S^1, \tau) = 0 \).

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 2.13 it is enough to show that \([S^1, \hat{G}_{2m}(C^{\infty})]_{\mathbb{Q}} \) reduces to the equivariant homotopy class of the constant map. This fact is consequence of a general result called \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homotopy reduction ([DG1], Lemma 4.26) which is based on the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-skeleton decomposition of \( S^1 \). The involutive space \( S^1 \) has two fixed cells of dimension 0 and one free cell of dimension 1 (cf. [DG1], Example 4.20). Moreover, \( \pi_0(G_{2m}(C^{\infty})) \cong \pi_1(G_{2m}(C^{\infty})) \) is trivial and the identification \( G_{2m}(C^{\infty}) \equiv G_m(\mathbb{H}^{\infty}) \) also implies \( \pi_0(G_{2m}(C^{\infty})) \equiv \pi_1(G_{2m}(C^{\infty})) \) is trivial. These data are sufficient for the application of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homotopy reduction Lemma.

**2.5. Stable range condition.** In topology, spaces which are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes are very important. A similar notion can be extended to \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-spaces like \( S^d \equiv (S^d, \tau) \) or \( T^d \equiv (T^d, \tau) \). These spaces have the structure of a CW-complex with respect to a skeleton decomposition made by cells of various dimension that carry a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action. Such \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-cells can be only of two types: they are fixed if the action of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is trivial or are free if they have no fixed points. We refer to [DG1], Section 4.5] for a precise definition of the notion of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-CW-complex (see also [Ma, AP]). Moreover, the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-CW-complex structure of \( S^d \) and \( T^d \) is explicitly described in [DG1], Example 4.20 and Example 4.20, respectively. We point out that this construction is modelled after the usual definition of CW-complex, replacing the “point” by “\( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-point”. For this reason (almost) all topological and homological properties of CW-complexes have their “natural” counterparts in the equivariant setting.
This notion of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex plays an important role in the proof of the next result which is the equivariant generalization of [Hu] Chapter 2, Theorem 7.1 to the category of even rank $\mathcal{G}$-bundles.

**Proposition 2.16** (existence of a global $\mathcal{G}$-pair of section). Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space such that $X$ has a finite $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex decomposition with fixed cells only in dimension 0. Let us denote with $d$ the dimension of $X$ (i.e. the maximal dimension of the cells in the decomposition of $X$). Let $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle over $(X, \tau)$ with fiber of rank $2m$. If $d \leq 4m - 3$ there exists a pair of sections $(s_1, s_2) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ which is a global $\mathcal{G}$-pair in the sense of Definition 2.6.

**Proof.** The zero section $s_0(x) = 0 \in \mathcal{E}_x$ for all $x \in X$ is $\Theta$-invariant since $\Theta$ is an anti-linear isomorphism in each fiber. Let $\mathcal{E}_x^x \subset \mathcal{E}_x$ be the subbundle of nonzero vectors. The fibers $\mathcal{E}_x^x$ are all isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x := \mathbb{C}^{2m} \setminus \{0\}$ which is a $2(2m - 1)$-connected space (i.e. the first $2(2m - 1)$ homotopy groups vanish identically). The anti-involution $\Theta$ endows $\mathcal{E}_x^x$ with a $\mathcal{G}$-structure over $(X, \tau)$. A $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections $(s_1, s_2 := \tau_\Theta(s_1))$ of $\mathcal{E}_x^x$ can be seen as an everywhere-nonzero (i.e. global) $\mathcal{G}$-pair for the $\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$. We will show that if $d \leq 4m - 3$ such a $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections of $\mathcal{E}_x^x$ always exists.

We prove the claim by induction on the dimension of the skeleton. This is the case for $X^0$ which is a finite collection of fixed points $\{x_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n_0}$ and conjugated pairs $\{(x_j, \tau(x_j))\}_{j=1,\ldots,n_0}$. In this case a global $\mathcal{G}$-pair can be defined as in the proof of Proposition 2.7. On the fixed points $x_j = \tau(x_j)$ one sets a pair of vectors $(s'_1(x_j), s'_2(x_j)) \in \mathcal{E}_x^x$, with $s'_2(x_j) := \tau_\Theta(s'_1(x_j))$. This pair is automatically independent. For each free pairs $(x_j, \tau(x_j))$ one starts with a section $s'_1 := (s'_1(x_j), s'_1(\tau(x_j))) \in \mathcal{E}_x^x \times \mathcal{E}_{\tau(x_j)}^x$ and, as usual, one defines $s'_2 := \tau_\Theta(s'_1)$. In this way $(s'_1, s'_2)$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections for $\mathcal{E}_x^x \cup \mathcal{E}_{\tau(x)}^x$. Assume now that the claim is true for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-subcomplex $X^{j-1}$ of dimension $j - 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$. By the inductive hypothesis we have a $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections $(s'_1, s'_2)$ of the restricted bundle $\mathcal{E}_{X^{j-1}}^x$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a free $j$-cell of $X$ with equivariant attaching map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j \to Y \subset X$. The pullback bundle $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^x) \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j$ has a $\mathcal{G}$-structure since $\phi$ is equivariant and it is locally $\mathcal{G}$-trivial. The $\mathcal{G}$-pair $(s'_1, s'_2)$ defines a $\mathcal{G}$-pair $(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ on $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^x)|_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j}$ by $\sigma'_j := s'_j \circ \phi$.

Since $D^j$ is contractible we know from Theorem 2.12 that $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^x)|_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j) \times (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$ (endowed with the standard trivial anti-involution $\Theta_0$, even if the particular form of the involutive is not important for the rest of the proof). Then, the $\mathcal{G}$-pair $(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ defined on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \partial D^j$ can be identified with a pair of independent equivariant maps $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \partial D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$. Because $j - 1 \leq 2(2m - 1)$ and $\pi_{j-1}((\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x) = 0$, the restriction of the map induced by $\sigma'_1$ to $\{1\} \times \partial D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$ prolongs to a map $\{1\} \times D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$. At this point $\sigma'_2$ can be seen as a map $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \partial D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m}/(\sigma'_1 \circ \Theta_0))^x \simeq (\mathbb{C}^{2m-1})^x$. Since $j - 1 \leq 2(2m - 2)$ and $\pi_{j-1}((\mathbb{C}^{2m-1})^x) = 0$, also the restriction of the map induced by $\sigma'_2$ to $\{1\} \times \partial D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$ prolongs to a map $\{1\} \times D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$. Moreover, these two prolonged maps are independent by construction. Using the equivariant constraints $(\sigma'_1(-1, x) := (\Theta_0 \sigma_1)(1, x)$ and $(\sigma'_1(-1, x) := -(\Theta_0 \sigma_2)(1, x)$ one obtains a $\mathcal{G}$-pair of maps $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D^j \to (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^x$. This prolonged maps yields a $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ of $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^x)$. Using the natural morphism $\phi : \phi^*(\mathcal{E}^x) \to \mathcal{E}^x$ over $\phi$ (defined by the pullback construction) we have a unique $\mathcal{G}$-pair of sections $(s'_1, s'_2)$ of $\mathcal{E}^x|_Y$ defined by $\tilde{\phi} \circ \sigma_j = s'_j \circ \phi$ such that $s'_j = s'_j$ on $X^{j-1} \setminus Y$. Now, one defines a global $\mathcal{G}$-pair $(s_1, s_2)$ of $\mathcal{E}^x|_{X^{j-1} \cup Y}$ by the requirements that $s_1|_{X^{j-1}} \equiv s'_j$ and $s_2|_Y \equiv s'_j$ for the free $j$-cell $Y$. By the weak topology property of CW-complex, the $s_j$’s are also continuous. This argument applies to each other free $j$-cell, and the claim is true on $X^j$ and eventually on $X^d = X$.

**Remark 2.17.** In principle the condition that the involutive space $(X, \tau)$ must have fixed cells only in dimension 0 should be removed since fibers over fixed points $x = \tau(x)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^m$ (cf. Proposition 2.4) and $\mathcal{E}^x \cong \mathbb{H}^m \setminus \{0\}$ is $2(2m - 1)$-connected as well. However, for the aims of this work we do not need this kind of generalization.

The next theorem provides the stable range decomposition for “Quaternionic” vector bundles.

**Theorem 2.18** (Stable range). Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space such that $X$ has a finite $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW-complex decomposition of dimension $d$ with fixed cells only in dimension 0. Each rank $2m$ $\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle
(σ, Θ) over (X, τ) such that d ≤ 4m − 3 splits as
\[ \mathcal{E}' \cong \mathcal{E}_0 \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^{2(m-\sigma)}) \]  
where \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector bundle over \((X, \tau)\), \(X \times \mathbb{C}^{2(m-\sigma)} \to X\) is the trivial product \( \mathbb{C} \)-bundle over \((X, \tau)\) and \( \sigma := \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor \) (here \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the integer part of \( x \in \mathbb{R} \)).

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.16 there is a global \( \mathbb{C} \)-pair of sections \((s_1, s_2) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})\). This sections determines a monomorphism \( f : X \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathcal{E} \) given by \( f(x, (a_1, a_2)) := a_1 s_1(x) + a_2 s_2(x) \). This monomorphism is equivariant, i.e. \( f(\tau(x), (-\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1)) = -\bar{a}_2 s_1(\tau(x)) + \bar{a}_1 s_2(\tau(x)) = \Theta(a_1 s_1(x) + a_2 s_2(x)) \). Let \( \mathcal{E}' \) be the cokernel of \( f \) in \( \mathcal{E} \), namely \( \mathcal{E}' \) is the quotient of \( \mathcal{E} \) by the relation: \( p \sim p' \) if \( p \) and \( p' \) are in the same fiber of \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( p - p' \in \text{Im}(f) \). The map \( \mathcal{E}' \to X \) is a vector bundle of rank \( 2(m - 1) \) (cf. [Hu] Chapter 3, Corollary 8.3) which inherits a \( \mathbb{C} \)-structure from \( \mathcal{E} \) and the equivariance of \( f \). Since \( X \) is compact, by [Hu, Chapter 3, Theorem 9.6] there is an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{C} \)-bundles between \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \mathcal{E}' \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^2) \). If \( d \leq 4(m - 1) - 3 \) one can repeat the argument for \( \mathcal{E}' \) and iterating this procedure one gets eventually \( (X, \tau) \).

**Corollary 2.19.** Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space such that \( X \) has a finite \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-CW-complex decomposition of dimension \( 2 \leq d \leq 5 \) with fixed cells only in dimension 0. Then
\[ \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^2(X, \tau) \cong \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \quad \forall \; m \in \mathbb{N} \, . \]

3. The FKMM-invariant

In an unpublished work M. Furuta, Y. Kametani, H. Matsue, and N. Minami proposed a topological invariant capable to classify “Quaternionic” vector bundles over certain involutive spaces, provided that certain conditions are met [FKMM]. Interestingly, this object was originally introduced to classify \( \mathbb{C} \)-bundles on \( \mathbb{C}^4 \). We present in this section a more general and slightly different definition for this invariant recognizing, of course, that the original ideas contained in [FKMM] has been of inspiration to us.

3.1. A short reminder of the equivariant Borel cohomology.** The proper cohomology theory for the analysis of vector bundle theories in the category of spaces with involution is the equivariant cohomology introduced by A. Borel in [Bo]. This cohomology plays an important role for the classification of “Real” vector bundles [DG1] and we will show that it is also relevant for the study of “Quaternionic” vector bundles. A short self-consistent summary of this cohomology theory can be found in [DG1] Section 5.1. For an introduction to the subject we refer to [HS] Chapter 3 and [AP] Chapter 1.

Since we need this tool we briefly recall the main steps of the **Borel construction** for the equivariant cohomology. The homotopy quotient of an involutive space \((X, \tau)\) is the orbit space
\[ X_{-\tau} := X \times \hat{S}^\infty / (\tau \times \theta) \, . \]

Here \( \theta \) is the antipodal map on the infinite sphere \( S^\infty \) (cf. [DG1] Example 4.1) and \( \hat{S}^\infty \) is used for the pair \((S^\infty, \theta)\). The product space \( X \times S^\infty \) (forgetting for a moment the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action) has the same homotopy type of \( X \) since \( S^\infty \) is contractible. Moreover, since \( \theta \) is a free involution, also the composed involution \( \tau \times \theta \) is free, independently of \( \tau \). Let \( \mathcal{R} \) be any commutative ring (e. g., \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_2, \ldots \)). The equivariant cohomology ring of \((X, \tau)\) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{R} \) is defined as
\[ H^*_\mathbb{Z}(X, \mathcal{R}) := H^*(X_{-\tau}, \mathcal{R}) \, . \]

More precisely, each equivariant cohomology group \( H^*_\mathbb{Z}(X, \mathcal{R}) \) is given by the singular cohomology group \( H^i(X_{-\tau}, \mathcal{R}) \) of the homotopy quotient \( X_{-\tau} \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{R} \) and the ring structure is given, as usual, by the cup product. As the coefficients of the usual singular cohomology are generalized to local coefficients (see e. g. [Hu] Section 3.1) or [DK] Section 5), the coefficients of the Borel’s equivariant cohomology are also generalized to local coefficients. Given an involutive space \((X, \tau)\) let us consider the homotopy group \( \pi_1(X_{-\tau}) \) and the associated group ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_{-\tau})] \). Each module \( \mathbb{Z} \)
over the group \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_{-\tau})] \) is, by definition, a local system on \( X_{-\tau} \). Using this local system one defines, as usual, the equivariant cohomology with local coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \):

\[
H^*_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) := H^*(X_{-\tau}, \mathbb{Z})^* .
\]

We are particularly interested in modules \( \mathbb{Z} \) whose underlying groups are identifiable with \( \mathbb{Z} \). For each involutive space \( (X, \tau) \), there always exists a particular family of local systems \( \mathbb{Z}(m) \) labelled by \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Here \( \mathbb{Z}(m) \approx X \times \mathbb{Z} \) denotes the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-equivariant local system on \( (X, \tau) \) made equivariant by the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action \( (x, l) \mapsto (\tau(x), (-1)^m l) \). Because the module structure depends only on the parity of \( m \), we consider only the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-modules \( \mathbb{Z}(0) \) and \( \mathbb{Z}(1) \). Since \( \mathbb{Z}(0) \) corresponds to the case of the trivial action of \( \pi_1(X_{-\tau}) \) on \( \mathbb{Z} \) one has \( H^*_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(0)) \approx H^*_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z})^* \). [DK] Section 5.2.

Let us recall two important group isomorphisms involving the first two equivariant cohomology groups. Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an involutive space, then

\[
H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \approx [X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} , \quad H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \approx \text{Vec}_R(X, \tau) . \tag{3.1}
\]

The first isomorphism [Go] Proposition A.2 says that the first equivariant cohomology group is isomorphic to the set of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homotopy classes of equivariant maps \( \varphi : X \rightarrow U(1) \) where the involution on \( U(1) \) is induced by the complex conjugation, i.e. \( \varphi(\tau(x)) = \varphi(x) \). The second isomorphism is due to B. Kahn [Kah] and expresses the equivalence between the Picard group of “Real” line bundles (in the sense of [Atl], [DGI]) over \( (X, \tau) \) and the second equivariant cohomology group of this space. A more modern proof of this result can be found in [Go] Corollary A.5.

The fixed point subset \( X^\tau \subset X \) is closed and \( \tau \)-invariant and the inclusion \( i : X^\tau \hookrightarrow X \) extends to an inclusion \( i^\tau : X^\tau_{-\tau} \hookrightarrow X_{-\tau} \) of the respective homotopy quotients. The relative equivariant cohomology can be defined as usual by the identification

\[
H^*_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) := H^*(X_{-\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \tag{3.2}
\]

and one has a related long exact sequence in cohomology

\[
\ldots \rightarrow H^0_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ldots
\]

where the map \( r := i^* \) restricts cochains on \( X \) to cochains on \( X^\tau \). The \( j \)-th cokernel of \( r \) is by definition

\[
\text{Coker}^j(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) := H^j_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}) / r(H^j_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z})) .
\]

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an involutive space such that \( X^\tau \neq \emptyset \). Then

\[
[X^\tau, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} / [X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \text{Coker}^1(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \tag{3.1}
\]

where the group action of \( [f] \in [X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \) on \( [g] \in [X^\tau, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \) is given by multiplication and restriction, namely \( [f] : [g] \mapsto [f|_{X^\tau}] g \). Moreover, if \( H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0 \) then

\[
[X^\tau, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} / [X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) .
\]

**Proof (sketch of).** The first isomorphism (3.2) is a direct consequence of the isomorphism (3.1) proved in [Go] Proposition A.2. Under the extra assumptions \( H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0 \) the long exact sequence in cohomology provides the exact sequence

\[
H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow 0 .
\]

Since, \( \text{Ker}(s) = \text{Im}(r) \) and \( \text{Im}(s) = H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \) one deduces from the homomorphism theorem

\[
\text{Im}(s) \cong H^1_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) / \text{Ker}(s)
\]

the isomorphism \( \text{Coker}^1(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^2_\mathbb{Z}_2(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \).

In many situations of interest the cokernel in (3.2) has a very simple form. In the cases of the TR-spheres \( S^d \) described in Definition 1.2 one has that

\[
\text{Coker}^1(S^d(\mathbb{R}^d)^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^2_\mathbb{Z}(S^d(\mathbb{R}^d)^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \forall \ d \geq 2 . \tag{3.3}
\]
The first isomorphism is a consequence of $H^2_{Z_2}(\tilde{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$ (cf. [DG1, eq. 5.26]) while the second isomorphism is justified in Proposition A.1. For the TR-tori evaluation of the cokernel depends on the dimension according to the formula

$$\text{Coker}^1(\tilde{T}^d|\tilde{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^2_{Z_2}(\tilde{T}^d|\tilde{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{2d-(d+1)} \quad \forall \ d \geq 1$$

(3.4)

where we used the convention $\mathbb{Z}_2^0 \equiv \{0\}$. Again the first isomorphism follows from $H^2_{Z_2}(\tilde{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$ (cf. [DG1, eq. 5.19]) while the second isomorphism is proved in Proposition A.2.

3.2. The determinant construction. In order to define the FKMM-invariant we need the notion of determinant line bundle associated with a (complex) vector bundle. Let $\mathcal{V}$ a complex vector space of dimension $n$. The determinant of $\mathcal{V}$ is by definition $\det(\mathcal{V}) := \wedge^n \mathcal{V}$ where the symbol $\wedge^n$ denotes the top exterior power of $\mathcal{V}$ (i.e. the skew-symmetrized $n$-th tensor power of $\mathcal{V}$). This is a complex vector space of dimension one. If $\mathcal{W}$ is a second vector space of same dimension $n$ and $T : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ is a linear map then there is a naturally associated map $\det(T) : \det(\mathcal{V}) \to \det(\mathcal{W})$ which in the special case $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}$ coincides with the multiplication by the determinant of the endomorphism $T$. This determinant construction is a functor from the category of vector spaces to itself and by a standard argument [Hu, Chapter 5, Section 6] it induces a functor on the category of complex vector bundles over an arbitrary space $X$. Given a rank $n$ complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to X$, one defined the associated determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E}) \to X$ as the rank 1 complex vector bundle with fiber description

$$\det(\mathcal{E})_x = \det(\mathcal{E}_x) \quad x \in X .$$

(3.5)

If $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ is a local trivializing frame for $\mathcal{E}$ over the open set $U \subset X$ then $\det(\mathcal{E})$ is trivialized over the same open set $U$ by the section $s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_n$. For each map $\varphi : X \to Y$ one has the isomorphism $\det(\varphi^*(\mathcal{E})) \cong \varphi^*(\det(\mathcal{E}))$ which is a special case of the compatibility between pullback and tensor product operations. Finally, if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ in the sense of the Whitney sum then $\det(\mathcal{E}) = \det(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_2)$.

If $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ is a rank $n$ $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle over the involutive space $(X, \tau)$ then the associated determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})$ inherits an involutive structure given by the map $\det(\Theta)$ which acts anti-linearly between the fibers $\det(\mathcal{E})_x$ and $\det(\mathcal{E})_{\tau(x)}$ according to $\det(\Theta)(p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_n) = \Theta(p_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \Theta(p_n)$. Clearly $\det(\Theta)^2$ is a fiber preserving map which coincides with the multiplication by $(-1)^n$. Hence:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ be a rank $n$ $\mathbb{Q}$-vector bundle over $(X, \tau)$ and $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ the associated determinant line bundle endowed with the involutive structure $\det(\Theta)$.

(i) If $n = 2m$ then $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ is a “Real” line bundle over $(X, \tau)$;

(ii) If $n = 2m + 1$ then $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ is a “Quaternionic” line bundle over $(X, \tau)$.

We recall once more that the adjective “Real” is used in the sense of [At1] [DG1].

**Remark 3.3** (Metric, line bundle, circle bundle). Let $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ be $\mathbb{Q}$-vector bundle over $(X, \tau)$ of even degree. According to Assumption 2.11 $\mathcal{E}$ carries an equivariant Hermitian metric $m$ that fixes a unique Hermitian metric $m_{\det}$ on $\det(\mathcal{E})$ which is equivariant with respect to the induced $\mathbb{R}$-structure $\det(\Theta)$. More explicitly, if $(p_1, q_i) \in \mathcal{E}_x \times \mathcal{E}_x$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2m$ then,

$$m_{\det}(p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_{2m}, q_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge q_{2m}) := \prod_{i=1}^{2m} m(p_i, q_i) .$$

The $\mathbb{R}$-line bundle $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ endowed with the equivariant Hermitian metric $m_{\det}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-trivial if and only if there exists an isometric $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism with $X \times \mathbb{C}$, or equivalently, if and only if there exists a global $\mathbb{R}$-section $s : X \to \det(\mathcal{E})$ of unit length (cf. [DG1, Theorem 4.8]). Let us introduce the circle bundle $S(\det(\mathcal{E})) := \{ p \in \det(\mathcal{E}) | m_{\det}(p, p) = 1 \}$. Then, the $\mathbb{R}$-triviality of $\det(\mathcal{E})$ is equivalent to the existence of an $\mathbb{R}$-section for the circle bundle $S(\det(\mathcal{E})) \to X$. 

\[\square\]
Corollary 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ be a rank $n$ $\Sigma$-bundle over $(X, \tau)$ and $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ the associated determinant line bundle endowed with the involutive structure $\det(\Theta)$. If $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ and $H^2_{\Sigma}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$ then there exists a global trivializing map

$$h_{\det} : \det(\mathcal{E}) \to X \times \mathbb{C}$$

which is equivariant in the sense that $h_{\det} \circ \det(\Theta) = \Upsilon_0 \circ h_{\det}$ where $\Upsilon_0$ is the standard $\mathcal{R}$-structure on the product bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Upsilon_0(x, v) := (\tau(x), \nabla)$.

Proof. Since $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ Proposition 2.2 assures that $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ has even rank and Lemma 3.2 implies that $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bundle. Let $\det(\mathcal{E})$ be the product bundle $\mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{C}$ for the unique trivializing map. Therefore, the condition $H^2_{\Sigma}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$ implies the $\mathcal{R}$-triviality of $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ as shown by (3.1). This means the existence of a global $\mathcal{R}$-trivialization $h_{\det}$. ■

The restriction of the map $h_{\det}$ to $X^\tau$ provides a trivialization for the restricted line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})|_{X^\tau}$ and, as a consequence of the fiber description (3.5), one has

$$h_{\det}|_{X^\tau} : \det(\mathcal{E})|_{X^\tau} \to X^\tau \times \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ be a $\Sigma$-bundle over a space $X$ with trivial involution $\tau = \text{Id}_X$. Then, the associated determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})$ is a trivial $\mathcal{R}$-bundle which admits a unique canonical trivializing map

$$\det_X : \det(\mathcal{E}) \to X \times \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\det_X \circ \det(\Theta) = \Upsilon_0 \circ \det_X$. Moreover, the map $\det_X$ can be chosen to be metric-preserving and it leads to a unique canonical $\mathcal{R}$-section $s_X : X \to S(\det(\mathcal{E}))$ defined by

$$s_X(x) := \det_X^{-1}(x, 1), \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Since $X$ has trivial involution $\mathcal{E}$ has even rank (Proposition 2.2 and $(\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bundle (Lemma 3.2). Let $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ be a cover of $X$ associated with a system of local trivializations $h_\alpha : \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^2m$ such that $h_\alpha \circ \Theta = \Theta_0 \circ h_\alpha$ where $\Theta_0(x, v) := (x, (Q')^T)$ is the standard trivial $\Sigma$-structure on the product bundle $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^2m$ (the matrix $Q'$ is defined in (2.1)). Such a trivialization exists in view of Proposition 2.9. Associated with each $h_\alpha$ there is a local trivialization $\det(h_\alpha) : \det(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$ for the restricted determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} = \det(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$. The map $h_\alpha$ is usually not unique: a choice for $h_\alpha$ is equivalent to a choice of a global $\mathcal{R}$-frame (cf. Definition 2.6). Let $\{s_1^{(a)}, s_2^{(a)}, s_3^{(a)}, s_4^{(a)}, \ldots, s_{2m-1}^{(a)}, s_{2m}^{(a)}\} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha})$ such that $s_j^{(a)}(x) := h_\alpha^{-1}(x, e_j)$. Different $\Sigma$-frames lead to different trivializations $h_\alpha$ and each pair of $\Sigma$-frames is related by a gauge transformation $f_\alpha$. If one assumes that $\mathcal{E}$ is endowed with an invariant Hermitian metric (cf. Proposition 2.10) each $\Sigma$-frame can be chosen to be orthonormal and so $f_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathbb{U}(2m)$. The $\mathcal{R}$-structure and the fact that $X$ has trivial involution imply that $f_\alpha(x) = -Qf_\alpha(x)Q$ for all $x \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, namely $f_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathbb{U}(2m) \subset \mathbb{U}(2m)$ (cf. Remark 2.1). The trivialization $\det(h_\alpha)$ is uniquely specified by the “Real” section $s^{(a)} := s_1^{(a)} \wedge s_2^{(a)} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{2m}^{(a)}$. If $h_\alpha$ and $h'_\alpha$ are two different trivializations for $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ related by the gauge transformation $f_\alpha$ then $\det(h_\alpha)$ and $\det(h'_\alpha)$ are related by $\det(f_\alpha) = 1$, namely $\det(h_\alpha) = \det(h'_\alpha)$. In this sense the local trivializations of $\det(\mathcal{E})$ are canonical and we write $\det|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} : \det(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$ for the unique trivializing map.

The topology of the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is uniquely determined by the set of transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to \mathbb{U}(2m)$ associated with the maps $h_\alpha \circ h_\beta^{-1}$ (which are well defined on $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta$). The equivariance of the maps $h_\alpha$ implies $g_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to \mathbb{U}(2m)\mu$. The determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})$ is completely specified by the set of transition functions $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to \mathbb{U}(1)$. Since $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) = 1$ for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the local canonical trivializations $\det|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ glue together to give rise to the unique global canonical trivialization (3.7). ■

If $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ the restricted vector bundle $\mathcal{E}|_{X^\tau} \to X^\tau$ can be seen as a $\Sigma$-bundle over a space with trivial involution and Lemma 3.5 provides the canonical trivialization

$$\det_{X^\tau} : \det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^\tau}) \to X^\tau \times \mathbb{C}$$

for the restricted determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^\tau})$ which is “a priori” different from (3.6).
3.3. Construction of the FKMM-invariant. In this section we construct the \textit{FKMM-invariant} associated to a “Quaternionic” vector bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over an involutive space \((X, \tau)\). Even if a more general approach is possible \cite{DG2} (cf. also Remark 3.10) we decided, for pedagogical reasons, to present here a construction which is specific for a particular (albeit sufficiently large) class of \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundles.

**Definition 3.6 (\(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundles of FKMM-type).** A \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\) is of FKMM-type if \(X^T \neq \emptyset\) and if the associated “Real” determinant line bundle \((\det(\mathcal{E}), \det(\Theta))\) is \(\mathfrak{R}\)-trivial. The property to be of FKMM-type is an isomorphism invariant and we use the notation

\[
\text{Vec}^{2m}_{\text{FKMM}}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathfrak{S}}(X, \tau)
\]

for the set of equivalence classes of FKMM \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundles of rank \(2m\).

For certain involutive spaces \((X, \tau)\) all possible \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundles are of FKMM-type.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let \((X, \tau)\) be a FKMM-space in the sense of Definition 3.1. Then

\[
\text{Vec}^{2m}_{\text{FKMM}}(X, \tau) = \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathfrak{S}}(X, \tau) \quad \forall \, m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(X^T \neq \emptyset\) the admissible \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundles have even rank (cf. Proposition 2.2). This implies that the associated determinant line bundles carry an \(\mathfrak{R}\)-structure (cf. Lemma 3.2). Finally, the condition \(H^2_{\mathfrak{S}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0\) and the (second) isomorphism in (3.1) assures the \(\mathfrak{R}\)-triviality of each \(\mathfrak{R}\)-line bundle over \((X, \tau)\). \(\square\)

Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundle of FKMM-type over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\) and consider the restricted determinant line bundle \(\det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^T}) \to X^T\). This line bundle is \(\mathfrak{R}\)-trivial and according to Lemma 3.5 it admits a canonical trivialization (3.8). On the other hand, the full determinant line bundle \(\det(\mathcal{E}) \to X\) is \(\mathfrak{R}\)-trivial by assumption and so (as in Corollary 3.4) there exists a global trivialization \(h_{\det} : \det(\mathcal{E}) \to X \times \mathbb{C}\) which restricts to a trivialization for \(\det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^T})\) as in (3.6). If one fixes an equivariant Hermitian metric on \(\mathcal{E}\) the maps \(\det_{X^T}\) and \(h_{\det}|_{X^T}\) can be chosen to be isometries with respect to the standard Hermitian metric on the product bundle. This implies that the difference

\[
h_{\det}|_{X^T} \circ \det_{X^T}^{-1} : X^T \times \mathbb{C} \to X^T \times \mathbb{C}
\]

identifies a map

\[
\omega_{\mathcal{E}} : X^T \to \mathbb{U}(1)
\]

such that \((h_{\det}|_{X^T} \circ \det_{X^T}^{-1})(x, \lambda) = (x, \omega_{\mathcal{E}}(x) \lambda)\) for all \((x, \lambda) \in X^T \times \mathbb{C}\). The equivariance property \((h_{\det}|_{X^T} \circ \det_{X^T}^{-1}) \circ \gamma_0 = \gamma_0 \circ (h_{\det}|_{X^T} \circ \det_{X^T}^{-1})\) implies that \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}}\) is equivariant with respect to the involution on \(\mathbb{U}(1)\) given by the complex conjugation, i.e. \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}}(\tau(x)) = \overline{\omega_{\mathcal{E}}(x)}\). Since \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}}\) is defined on the fixed point set \(X^T\) and the invariant subset of \(\mathbb{U}(1)\) is \([-1, +1]\) one has that

\[
\omega_{\mathcal{E}} : X^T \to \{-1, +1\} = \mathbb{Z}_2,
\]

namely \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{Map}(X^T, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong [X^T, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\). Considering that the canonical trivialization \(\det_{X^T}\) is unique, the construction of \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}}\) only depends on the choice of \(h_{\det}\). This freedom is equivalent to the choice of a global equivariant gauge transform \(f : X \to \mathbb{U}(1)\) that affects \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}}\) by multiplication and restriction (as in Lemma 3.1). Moreover, only the homotopy class \([f] \in [X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is relevant.

**Definition 3.8 (FKMM-invariant, \textit{FKMM}).** To each \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) of FKMM-type is associated the class

\[
\kappa(\mathcal{E}) := \{\omega_{\mathcal{E}}\} \in [X^T, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/[X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}.
\]

We say that \(\kappa(\mathcal{E})\) is the FKMM-invariant of the \(\mathfrak{S}\)-bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\).

**Remark 3.9.** The FKMM-invariant can be introduced also the point of view of sections. Since \(\det(\mathcal{E})\) is \(\mathfrak{R}\)-trivial by assumption, the set of \(\mathfrak{R}\)-sections of the circle bundle \(S(\det(\mathcal{E}))\) is non-empty and any two of such \(\mathfrak{R}\)-sections are related by the multiplication by a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(u : X \to \mathbb{U}(1)\). Each \(\mathfrak{R}\)-section \(t : X \to S(\det(\mathcal{E}))\) restricts to a section \(t_{X^T}\) of \(S(\det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^T}))\). We can compare this section with the unique canonical section \(s_{X^T}\) constructed in Lemma 3.5. The difference between them \(t_{X^T} = \omega_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot s_{X^T}\), is specified by a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}} : X^T \to \mathbb{U}(1)\) that coincides with the one
introduced in Definition 3.8. This equivalent description helps us to understand the meaning of the FKMM-invariant. Indeed, each equivariant section of the “Real” circle bundle $S(\det(\mathcal{E}))$ defines, by restriction, an equivariant section over $X^\tau$. On the other hand, according to the topology of $X^\tau$ (for instance when there are several disconnected components) there may exist equivariant sections over $X^\tau$ that are not obtainable as the restriction of global equivariant sections over $X$. In a certain sense, it is exactly this redundancy which is measured by the FKMM-invariant.

Remark 3.10 (A more general definition of the FKMM-invariant). The FKMM invariant can be formulated in a more general setting. The key observation is that the cohomology group $H^2_{2}(X|X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ can be realized as isomorphism classes of pairs consisting of a “Real” line bundles on $(X, \tau)$ and a nowhere vanishing section on $X^\tau$. Then, in view of Lemma 3.5, a generalized version of the FKMM-invariant can be defined for every $\mathfrak{C}$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ on every involutive space $(X, \tau)$ as the element in $H^2_{2}(X|X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ represented by the pair $(\det(E), s_X)$. The details of this construction will be given in a future work [DG2].

The main properties of the FKMM-invariant are listed in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. The FKMM-invariant is well defined in the sense that if $(X, \tau)$ is an involutive space such that $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ and $(\mathcal{E}_1, \Theta_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2, \Theta_2)$ are two isomorphic $\mathfrak{C}$-bundles of FKMM-type over $(X, \tau)$ then $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_2)$. Moreover:

\begin{enumerate}
\item The FKMM-invariant is natural, meaning that if $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$-bundle of FKMM-type over $(X, \tau_X)$ and $f : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (X, \tau_X)$ is an equivariant map such that $f(Y) \cap X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ then $\kappa(f^\ast(\mathcal{E})) = f^\ast(\kappa(\mathcal{E}))$;
\item If $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-trivial then $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) = +1$;
\item Let $(\mathcal{E}_1, \Theta_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2, \Theta_2)$ be two $\mathfrak{C}$-bundles of FKMM-type over $(X, \tau)$, then
\[
\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_1) \cdot (\kappa(\mathcal{E}_2)) .
\]
\end{enumerate}

Finally, if $(X, \tau)$ is a FKMM-space then

\begin{enumerate}
\item $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \in H^2_{2}(X|X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1))$.
\end{enumerate}

Proof. If $(\mathcal{E}_1, \Theta_1) \simeq (\mathcal{E}_2, \Theta_2)$ as $\mathfrak{C}$-bundles then the argument of the proof of Lemma 3.5 shows that the two canonical trivializations for $\det(\mathcal{E}_1|X^\tau)$ and $\det(\mathcal{E}_2|X^\tau)$ coincide (up to a suitable identification). Then $\omega_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ may differ only for the multiplication by a gauge transformation $[f] \in [X, U(1)]_{\mathfrak{C}}$, which connects the two global trivialization of the isomorphic $\mathfrak{R}$-line bundles $\det(\mathcal{E}_1) \simeq \det(\mathcal{E}_2)$. This implies $\kappa(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_1}) = \kappa(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_2})$ by construction.

(i) The condition $f(Y) \cap X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ implies $Y^\tau Y \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, the global $\mathfrak{R}$-trivialization $h_{\det} : \det(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}$ induces the global $\mathfrak{R}$-trivialization $f^\ast(h_{\det}) : \det(f^\ast(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}$ for $\det(f^\ast(\mathcal{E})) \simeq f^\ast(\det(\mathcal{E}))$. Then, also $f^\ast(\mathcal{E})$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$-bundle of FKMM-type and $\kappa(f^\ast(\mathcal{E}))$ is well defined. The relations $f^\ast(h_{\det}) = h_{\det} \circ \det(f)$ and $dY_{\mathfrak{R}} = dX_{\mathfrak{R}} \circ \det(f)$ imply $\kappa(f^\ast(\mathcal{E})) = f^\ast(\kappa(\mathcal{E}))$ (here $\hat{f}$ denotes the canonical morphism between total spaces induced by $f$).

(ii) In this case $\omega_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the constant map on $X^\tau$ with value $+1$.

(iii) It follows by construction from the functorial relation $\det(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) \simeq \det(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_2)$.

(iv) It is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

4. Classification in dimension $d \leq 3$

The aim of this section is to classify the sets $\text{Vec}_\mathfrak{C}^{2m}(S^d, \tau)$ and $\text{Vec}_\mathfrak{C}^{2m}(\mathbb{T}^d, \tau)$ of isomorphism classes of “Quaternionic” vector bundles over the involutive spaces described in Definition 1.4 in the low dimensional regime $d \leq 3$. Since we already know the classification for $d = 1$ (cf. Proposition 2.15) we can restrict our attention to $d = 2, 3$. The case $d = 4$ will be considered separately in Section 5.

In some generality, the analysis which provides the desired classification can be extended to involutive spaces which share many of the features of the TR-spaces $\mathbb{T}^d$ and $S^d$. These are exactly the FKMM-spaces of Definition 1.11. When $(X, \tau)$ is a FKMM-space of “low dimension”, namely with
The main result we want to prove is that the map
\[ \kappa : \text{Vec}_\mathbb{C}^{2n}(X, \tau) \rightarrow H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X|X^r, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \]
is injective if \((X, \tau)\) is a FKMM-space. We start with an important (and quite general) technical result.

**Lemma 4.1 (FKMM).** Let \((\mathcal{E}_1, \Theta_1)\) and \((\mathcal{E}_2, \Theta_2)\) be rank 2 “Quaternionic” vector bundles of FKMM-type over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\). Assume also the equality \(\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_2)\) of the respective FKMM-invariants and the existence of a \(\mathfrak{G}\)-isomorphism \(F : \mathcal{E}_1|_{X^r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2|_{X^r}\). Then:

(i) There exists an \(\mathfrak{R}\)-isomorphism \(f : \det(\mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}_2)\) such that \(f|_{X^r} = \det(F)\);

(ii) The set
\[ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f) := \bigcup_{x \in X} \left\{ G_x : \mathcal{E}_1|_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2|_x \mid \text{vector bundle isomorphism such that } \det(G_x) = f|_x \right\} \]
defines a locally trivial fiber bundle over \(X\) with typical fiber \(\text{SU}(2)\);

(iii) There is a natural involution on \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)\) covering \(\tau\). Moreover, on the fixed points \(x \in X^r\) this involution is identifiable with the involutive map \(\mu : U \mapsto -\mathcal{Q}U\mathcal{Q}\) on \(\text{SU}(2)\) defined in Remark 2.7.

(iv) The set of equivariant sections of \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)\) is in bijection with the set of \(\mathfrak{G}\)-isomorphisms \(G : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2\) such that \(\det(G) = f\).

**Proof:** (i) By Lemma 3.5 we know that there exist two unique canonical \(\mathfrak{R}\)-sections \(s^{(j)}_{\mathcal{E}_j} : X^r \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}_j)|_{X^r}, j = 1, 2\) and from their construction it results evident that \(\det(F) \circ s^{(1)}_{\mathcal{E}_1} = s^{(2)}_{\mathcal{E}_2}\). According to Remark 3.3 the FKMM-invariant \(\kappa(\mathcal{E}_j)\) is representable as a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_j} : X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)\) such that \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_1} = s^{(1)}_{\mathcal{E}_1}/s^{(2)}_{\mathcal{E}_2}\), where \(t^{(j)} : X \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}_j)\) is any arbitrarily chosen global \(\mathfrak{R}\)-section.

The assumption \(\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_2)\) implies the existence of a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)\) such that \(\omega_{\mathcal{E}_1} = u_{|X^r} \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{E}_2}\). Moreover, since the \(\mathfrak{R}\)-line bundles \(\det(\mathcal{E}_j) \rightarrow X\) are both trivial there is an \(\mathfrak{R}\)-isomorphism \(g : \det(\mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}_2)\) such that \(g \circ t^{(1)} = t^{(2)}\). Then, combining these facts one derives the existence of an \(\mathfrak{G}\)-isomorphism \(f : \det(\mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{E}_2)\) given by \(f(p) = u(x) g(p)\) for all \(p \in \det(\mathcal{E}_1)|_x\) such that \(f|_{X^r} \circ s^{(1)}_{\mathcal{E}_1} = s^{(2)}_{\mathcal{E}_2}\).

(ii) Let \(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow X\) be the homomorphism vector bundle (cf. [Hu, Chapter 5, Section 6]). The inclusion \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f) \subset \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)\) provides a topology for \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)\). Moreover, if \(\mathcal{U}\) is a trivializing neighborhood such that \(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)|_{\mathcal{U}} \approx \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{U}(2)\) (we are assuming that a Hermitian metric has been fixed), then \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)|_{\mathcal{U}} \approx \mathcal{U} \times \text{SU}(2)\) due to the requirement \(\det G = f\) in the definition of \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)\).

(iii) A natural involution \(\tilde{\Theta}\) on \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)\) which relates \(\tau\)-conjugated fibers is given by the collection of maps \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)|_x \ni G_x \mapsto -\Theta_2|_x \circ G_x \circ \Theta_1|_{\mathfrak{R}(x)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f)|_{\mathfrak{R}(x)}\).

(iv) Follows from (ii) and (iii).

Though \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, f) \rightarrow X\) is a fiber bundle with typical fiber \(\text{SU}(2)\), it is not a principal \(\text{SU}(2)\)-bundle. This object plays an essential role in the proof one of our main results:
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Due to the low dimension assumption (cf. Corollary 2.19) and the properties of the FKMM-invariant (cf. Theorem 3.11) it is enough to prove the claim only for the case of rank 2 \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundles (i.e. \( m = 1 \)).

Let \((E_1, \Theta_1)\) and \((E_2, \Theta_2)\) be rank 2 \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundles over the FKMM-space \((X, \tau)\). Since \( X' \) is a finite collection of points, the restricted bundles \( E_j|_{X'} \), \( j = 1, 2 \) are both \( \mathbb{G} \)-trivial and so we can set an \( \mathbb{G} \)-isomorphism \( F : \pi_1(E_1) \to \pi_2(E_2) \) induced by these trivializations. If \( \kappa(E_1) = \kappa(E_2) \), then Lemma 4.1 (we notice that as a consequence of Proposition 3.7 the two \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundles are of FKMM-type) allows us to introduce the fiber bundle \( SU(E_1, E_2, f) \to X \). To complete the proof of the injectivity, it is enough to prove that there exists a global \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-equivariant section of \( SU(E_1, E_2, f) \). This fact can be proved exactly as in Proposition 2.16 by using the fact that the fibers of \( SU(E_1, E_2, f) \) are 2-connected as a consequence of \( \pi_k(\mathbb{S}^2(2)) = 0 \) for \( k = 0, 1, 2 \).

Let us describe first the group structure of \( Vec^2_\mathbb{G}(X, \tau) \). For isomorphism classes \([E_1], [E_2] \in Vec^2_\mathbb{G}(X, \tau)\), we define the addition by \([E_1] + [E_2] := [E]\), where \( E \) is a rank 2 \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle such that \( E_1 \oplus E_2 \cong E \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^2) \) and \( X \times \mathbb{C}^2 \) stands for the trivial product \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle. The existence of \( E \) is guaranteed by Theorem 2.18. The class \([X \times \mathbb{C}^2]\) plays the role of the neutral element and, in view of Theorem 3.11, the FKMM-invariant acts as a (multiplicative) morphism \( \kappa([E]) = \kappa([E_1]) \cdot \kappa([E_2]) \).

This morphism is well defined since the value of the FKMM-invariant depends only on the equivalence class of a \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle and

\[
\kappa(E) = \kappa(E \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^2)) = \kappa(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \kappa(E_1) \cdot \kappa(E_2).
\]

Moreover, if \( E' \) is a second rank 2 \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle such that \( E_1 \oplus E_2 \cong E' \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^2) \), the above computation shows that \( \kappa(E) = \kappa(E') \) and the injectivity of \( \kappa \) implies that \( E \cong E' \) are in the same equivalence class. To define the inverse element in \( Vec^2_\mathbb{G}(X, \tau) \) we observe that under the hypothesis above for each \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle \((E, E')\) there exists a \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle \((E', \Theta')\) such that \( E \oplus E' \cong X \times \mathbb{C}^2 \). In this case the injectivity of \( \kappa \) allows us to define \([E'] = [-E'] \). The construction of \( E' \) is quite explicit: The complex vector bundle \( E \to X \) is trivial (cf. Proposition 4.2) and so it admits a global frame \( \{t_1, t_2\} \in \Gamma(E) \) subjected to a \( \Theta \)-action of type \((4.2)\). Let \( E' \to X \) be the rank 2 \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle generated by the global frame \( t'_j := \tau_0(t_j) = \Theta \circ t_j \circ \tau \), \( j = 1, 2 \) and endowed with the \( \mathbb{G} \)-structure induced by \( \Theta \). The sum \( E \oplus E' \) is \( \mathbb{G} \)-trivial since the collection \( \{t_1, s_2, t'_1, s_3, t_2, s_4, t'_2\} \) provides a global \( \mathbb{G} \)-frame. Finally, a group structure on \( Vec^2(X, \tau) \) can be induced from the group structure of \( Vec^2_\mathbb{G}(X, \tau) \) and the isomorphism in Corollary 2.19.

Remark 4.2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 the FKMM-invariant suffices to establish the non-triviality of a given “Quaternionic” vector bundle. Hence, as a consequence of Theorem 2.7 we deduce that the FKMM-invariant can be interpreted at the (first) topological obstruction for the existence of a global \( \mathbb{G} \)-frame. This is exactly the point of view explored in [GP] in the particular case of the involutive space \( \mathbb{T}^3 \).

4.2. General structure of low-dimensional \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundles. The low dimension assumption \( d \leq 3 \) provides a certain number of simplifications in the description of “Quaternionic” vector bundles.

Proposition 4.3. Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space such that \( X \) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition 1.7 and has cells of dimension not bigger that \( d = 3 \). Assume also \( X' \neq \emptyset \). If \((E, \Theta)\) is a (even rank) \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle over \((X, \tau)\) of FKMM-type, then the underlying complex vector bundle \( E \to X \) is trivial (in the category of complex vector bundles).

Proof (sketch of). Due to the low dimension assumption \( E \to X \) is trivial (as a complex vector bundle) if and only if its first Chern class \( c_1(E) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) is trivial (cf. [DG1] Section 3.3). Moreover, one of the main properties of the determinant construction is \( c_1(E) = c_1(\det(E)) \). Since \((E, \Theta)\) is of FKMM-type, it follows that \( \det(E) \to X \) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-trivial line bundle and so the first “Real” Chern class is trivial, \( \tilde{c}_1(\det(E)) = 0 \) [DG1] Theorem 5.1]. Finally, under the map that forgets the “Real”-structure one has that \( \tilde{c}_1(\det(E)) = 0 \) implies \( c_1(\det(E)) = 0 \) (cf. [DG1] Section 5.6]).

As a consequence of this result each \( \mathbb{G} \)-bundle \((E, \Theta)\) of FKMM-type over a base space of dimension not bigger than 3 can be endowed with a global frame of sections \( \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\} \subset \Gamma(E) \). However,
this frame is not in general a $\mathfrak{Q}$-frame in the sense of Definition 2.6 and the possibility to deform \{t₁, \ldots, t₂m\} into a $\mathfrak{Q}$-frame is linked to the $\mathfrak{Q}$-triviality of $(\mathfrak{q}, \Theta)$ as a “Quaternionic” vector bundle. The $\mathfrak{Q}$-structure acts on the frame \{t₁, \ldots, t₂m\} via the map $\tau_\Theta$ (cf. Section 2.2).

$$\tau_\Theta(t_i(x) = (\Theta \circ t_i)(\tau(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} w_{ji}(\tau(x)) t_j(x) \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2m, \quad x \in X,$$

where $w_{ji}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ are components of a matrix-valued map $w : X \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$. The relation $\tau_\Theta^2 = -\text{Id}$ implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2m} w_{kj}(\tau(x)) w_{jk}(x) = -\delta_{i,j}, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (4.3)$$

Without loss of generality, one can assume that $(\mathfrak{q}, \Theta)$ is endowed with an equivariant Hermitian metric $m$ (cf. Proposition 2.10) with respect to which the frame \{t₁, \ldots, t₂m\} is orthonormal. In this case the $\mathfrak{Q}$-structure of $(\mathfrak{q}, \Theta)$ is encoded by the a $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(2m)$ with components

$$w_{ji}(x) := m(t_j(\tau(x))), \quad \tau_\Theta(t_i(\tau(x))) = m(t_j(\tau(x)), \Theta \circ t_j(x)) \quad (4.4)$$

and the relation (4.3) reads

$$w(\tau(x)) = -w^t(x), \quad \forall x \in X \quad (4.5)$$

where $w^t$ is the transpose of the matrix $w$. In particular, (4.5) implies the skew-symmetric relation $w(x) = -w^t(x)$ on the fixed point set $x \in X^\tau$.

If one uses the (orthonormal) frame \{t₁, \ldots, t₂m\} as a basis for the trivialization of the vector bundle one ends with the identification $\mathfrak{q} = X \ltimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ and the $\mathfrak{Q}$-structure $\Theta : X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ is fixed by

$$\Theta : (x, v) \rightarrow (\tau(x), w(x)v^\tau). \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, in view of Proposition 4.5, the most general “Quaternionic” vector bundle of FKMM-type over an involutive base space $(X, \tau)$ of dimension not bigger than 3 is represented by a product $X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ endowed with a a $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(2m)$ which verifies (4.5). The topology of such a $\mathfrak{Q}$-bundle is entirely contained in the map $w$. For instance the question about the $\mathfrak{Q}$-triviality can be rephrased in the equivalent question about the existence of a map $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(2m)$ such that $u^t(x) \cdot w(\tau(x)) \cdot u(\tau(x)) = Q$ for all $x \in X$, where the matrix $Q$ is the one defined in (2.1).

Also the FKMM-invariant can be reconstructed from $w$. This is linked to the fact that the induced “Real” structure on the determinant line bundle $\det(\mathfrak{q}) = X \ltimes \mathbb{C}^1$ is specified by the map

$$\det(\Theta) : (x, \lambda) \rightarrow (\tau(x), \det(w(x)) \lambda). \quad (4.7)$$

where $\det(w) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ inherits from (4.5) the property $\det(w)(x) = \det(w)(\tau(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, since $(\det(\mathfrak{q}), \det(\Theta))$ is $\mathfrak{R}$-trivial by assumption there exists a map $q_w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ such that $\det(w)(x) = q_w(x)q_w(\tau(x))$ for all $x \in X$. The choice of $q_w$ is not unique. In fact, if $e : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant map $e(\tau(x)) = \overline{e(x)}$ then $q'_w(x) := e(x)q(x)$ also verifies $\det(w)(x) = q'_w(x)q'_w(\tau(x))$. Moreover, all the possible choices for $q_w$ are related by a gauge transformation of this type. On the fixed points $x \in X^\tau$ the matrix $w(x)$ is skew-symmetric and so $\det(w)(x) = \text{Pf}[w(x)]^2$ where the symbol $\text{Pf}[A]$ is used for the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix $A$ (cf. [MS, Appendix C, Lemma 9]). Therefore, the map $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(2m)$ and the notion of Pfaffian fix a well defined map $\text{Pf}_w : X^\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$ given by $\text{Pf}_w(x) := \text{Pf}[w(x)]$. Observing that on $X^\tau$ the maps $q_w$ and $\text{Pf}_w$ can differ only by a sign, we can set a sign map $\delta_w : X^\tau \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ by

$$\delta_w(x) := \frac{q_w(x)}{\text{Pf}_w(x)}, \quad x \in X^\tau. \quad (4.8)$$

By construction, the map $\delta_w$ is defined only up to the choice of a gauge transformation $e$ and so it can be identified with a representative for a class $[\delta_w]$ in the cokernel $[X^\tau, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/[X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.
Proposition 4.4 (FKMM-invariant via the sign map). Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space such that \(X\) verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition 1.1 and has cells of dimension not bigger that \(d = 3\). Assume also \(X^\tau \neq \emptyset\). Let \(\mathcal{E} = X \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}\) endowed with a \(\Sigma\)-structure \(\Theta\) of type 4.6 associated to a map \(w : X \to U(2m)\) which verifies \((4.5)\). Then

\[
\kappa(\mathcal{E}) = [\mathcal{E}_w]
\]

where the sign map \(\mathcal{E}_w\) is the one defined by \((4.8)\).

Proof. Let us consider the section \(s_{X^\tau} : X^\tau \to X^\tau \times \mathbb{C}^1\) defined by \(s_{X^\tau}(x) := (x, Pf_w(x))\). It is not difficult to check that this section is “Real”, in fact

\[
(det(\Theta) \circ s_{X^\tau} \circ \tau)(x) = det(\Theta)(x, Pf_w(x)) = (x, det(w)(x) Pf_w(x)^{-1}) = s_{X^\tau}(x).
\]

This section agrees with the canonical section for the restricted \(\mathbb{R}\)-bundle \(X^\tau \times \mathbb{C}^1\) described in Lemma 3.5. On the other side, the map \(t : X \to X \times \mathbb{C}^1\) given by \(t(x) := (x, q_w(x))\) provides a global “Real”-section since

\[
(det(\Theta) \circ t \circ \tau)(x) = det(\Theta)(\tau(x), q_w(\tau(x))) = (x, det(w)(x) q_w(\tau(x)^{-1}) = t(x).
\]

Evidently, the difference between the canonical section \(s_{X^\tau}\) and the restricted section \(t_{X^\tau}\) is expressed exactly by the sign map \(\mathcal{E}_w\). This implies, in view of Remark 3.9 that \(\mathcal{E}_w\) provides a representative for the FKMM-invariant of \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\), namely \(\kappa(\mathcal{E}) = [\mathcal{E}_w]\).

Remark 4.5 (The sign map by Fu, Kane & Mele). The sign map \((4.8)\) was introduced for the first time by L. Fu, C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele in a series of works concerning “Quaternionic” vector bundles over TR-tori \(\mathbb{T}^d\) with \(d = 2\) [KM2, FK] and \(d = 3\) [FKM]. In these works the topology of the \(\Sigma\)-bundle is investigated through the properties of a matrix-valued map \(w\) defined similarly to \((4.4)\) and a related topological invariant that agrees (morally) with our sign map \((4.8)\). However, there is a difference: the map \(q_w\) (that expresses the \(\mathbb{R}\)-triviality of the determinat line bundle) is replaced by a less natural and more ambiguous branched function \(\sqrt{det(w)}\). Let us point out that, albeit apparently similar, our definition of the sign map is much more general and it applies to all \(\Sigma\)-bundles of FKMM-type over base spaces of dimension not bigger that 3 (which in turns implies that the underlying complex vector bundles are trivial).

4.3. Classification for TR-spheres. This section is devoted to the justification of the following fact:

Proposition 4.6. Let \(\bar{S}^d \equiv (S^d, \tau)\) be the TR-sphere of Definition 1.2. Then, for all \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) there is a group isomorphism

\[
\text{Vec}^{2m}(S^d, \tau) \overset{\kappa}{\cong} H^2_{\Sigma}(\bar{S}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \text{if} \quad d = 2, 3
\]

which is established by the FKMM-invariant \(\kappa\).

Proof. Since the second isomorphism has already been established in (3.3), it remains only to justify the first isomorphism. Theorem 1.2 already assures the injectivity of the group morphism \(\kappa\). Hence, we need only to show that both \(\text{Vec}^{2m}(S^2, \tau)\) and \(\text{Vec}^{2m}(S^3, \tau)\) have a non-trivial element. Corollary 2.19 assures that it is enough to show the existence of non-trivial \(\Sigma\)-bundle of rank 2. A specific realization of these non-trivial elements is presented below.

Let us start with the two-sphere \(S^2\) endowed with the TR involution \(\tau(k_0, k_1, k_2) = (k_0, -k_1, -k_2)\). Following the arguments in Section 4.2 we can represent any rank two “Quaternionic” vector bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over \(S^2\) by a product bundle \(\mathcal{E} \equiv S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2\) on which the action of \(\Theta\) is specified by a map \(w : S^2 \to U(2)\) such that \(w(k_0, -k_1, -k_2) = -w'(k_0, k_1, k_2)\) for all \(k = (k_0, k_1, k_2) \in S^2\) according to the relation \((4.5)\). One possible realization for \(w\) is

\[
w(k_0, k_1, k_2) := \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + i k_2 & +k_0 \\ -k_0 & k_1 - i k_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We can compute the FKMM-invariant of this \(\Sigma\)-bundle using the sign map as in Proposition 4.4. Since \(det(w)\) is constantly 1 we can chose \(q_w(k) = 1\) for all \(k \in S^2\). On the other side, on the fixed
points $k_\pm := (\pm 1, 0, 0)$ a simple computation shows that $\text{Pf}_w(k_\pm) = \pm 1$. Therefore, the sign map $\kappa_w(k_\pm) = \pm 1$ provides a representative for the non-trivial element in $[(\hat{S}^3)^T, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ / $[\hat{S}^3, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Equivalently, $\kappa(\xi'') = [\kappa_w]$ can be identified with the non trivial element $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ showing that the $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $(\xi'', \Theta)$ associated with $w$ is non-trivial.

For the three-sphere $\hat{S}^3$ endowed with involution $\tau(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) = (k_0, -k_1, -k_2, -k_3)$ the argument is similar. A non-trivial $\mathcal{Q}$-structure over $\xi'' \equiv S^3 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ can be induced by the map $w : S^3 \to U(2)$

$$w(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + ik_2 & ik_0 + k_0 \\ ik_3 - k_0 & k_1 - ik_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.10)$$

It is straightforward to check that this map verifies (4.5). As before, we can compute the FKMM-invariant by means of the sign map as in Proposition 4.4. Again $\text{det}(w)(k) = 1$ allows us to set $q_w(k) = 1$. Moreover, on the fixed points $k_\pm := (\pm 1, 0, 0, 0)$ one checks $\text{Pf}_w(k_\pm) = \pm 1$. Therefore, $\kappa_w(k_\pm) = \pm 1$ provides a representative for the non trivial element in $[(\hat{S}^3)^T, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ / $[\hat{S}^3, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ showing that the associated $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle can not be trivial.

For later use in Section 5 we derive a here a consequence of Proposition 4.6. To state it, let $\hat{S}^2 \equiv (S^2, \theta)$ be the sphere endowed with the antipodal action $\theta : k \mapsto -k$. Then, as shown in [DG1], the group $[\hat{S}^2, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\hat{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by the constant maps with values $\{\pm 1\} \subset U(1)$.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let $\hat{S}^2$ be the sphere endowed with the antipodal action $k \mapsto -k$. Then the determinant $\text{det} : U(2) \to U(1)$ induces an isomorphism of groups

$$\text{det} : [\hat{S}^2, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow [\hat{S}^2, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

where the space $U(1)$ is endowed with the involution given by the complex conjugation and $U(2)$ with the involution $\mu$ described in Remark 2.1.

**Proof.** Let $H_\pm := \{(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) \in [\hat{S}^3] \mid \pm k_0 \geq 0\}$. The intersection $H_+ \cap H_-$ is exactly $\hat{S}^2$. Because each $H_\pm$ is equivariantly contractible, the clutching construction [AT2, Lemma 1.4.9] adapted to $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles leads to a bijection $\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^2(\hat{S}^3) \cong [\hat{S}^2, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Hence, Proposition 4.6 implies that $[\hat{S}^2, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, at least abstractly. To complete the proof, it suffices to verify that there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant map $\varphi : \hat{S}^2 \to U(2)$ such that $\text{det } \varphi : \hat{S}^2 \to U(1)$ is non-trivial in $[\hat{S}^2, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. An example is provided by

$$\varphi(k_1, k_2, k_3) := i \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + ik_2 & -k_3 \\ k_3 & k_1 - ik_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

whose determinant is evidently the constant map with value $-1$.

4.4. **Connection with the Fu-Kane-Mele invariant.** In this section we will give a deeper look to the classification of $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles over sufficiently “nice” involutive spaces of dimension $d = 2$. With this we mean that:

**Assumption 4.8.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space such that:

1. $X$ is a closed (compact without boundary) and oriented 2-dimensional manifold;
2. The fixed point set $X^\tau \neq \emptyset$ consists of a finite number of points;
3. The involution $\tau : X \to X$ is smooth and preserves the orientation.

We point out that both the involutive spaces $\hat{S}^2$ and $\hat{T}^2$ fulfill the previous hypothesis. Moreover, spaces of this type share with $\hat{S}^2$ and $\hat{T}^2$ the following general features:

**Proposition 4.9.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8 then

$$H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong 0,$$

$$H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X^\tau, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$ 

Moreover, the number of fixed points is even, i.e. $X^\tau = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$. 

We postpone the technical proof of this result to Appendix A. Here, we are mainly interested in the following consequences of Proposition 4.9 if the space $(X, \tau)$ verifies Assumption 4.8 then it is a bona fide FKMM-space and “Quaternionic” vector bundles over it are classified by the FKMM-invariant which takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{L} \to X$ be a complex line bundle over an involutive space $(X, \tau)$. It is well known that the topology of $\mathcal{L}$ is fully specified by the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, if $(X, \tau)$ is as in Assumption 4.8 then $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is completely specified by the integer $C(\mathcal{L}) := (c_1(\mathcal{L}); [X]) \in \mathbb{Z}$ called (first) Chern number. In the last equation the brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the pairing between cohomology classes in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with the generator of the homology $[X] \in H_2(X)$ usually called the fundamental class. This pairing can be also understood (when possible) as the integration of the de Rham form $\omega$ of the complex vector bundle $\mathcal{L}$.

The first Chern class of $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ is an orientation-preserving involution it induces a map of degrees 1 in homology, hence $c_1(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) - c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $(X, \tau)$ we can build (via Whitney sum) the rank 2 complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L} := \tau^*(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{L}}$. The first Chern class of $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}$ vanishes identically since $c_1(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}) = c_1(\tau^*(\mathcal{L})) + c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) - c_1(\mathcal{L})$. This agrees with the fact that $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau} \cong \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{L}^\tau} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$, then each point $p \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ has the form $p = (l_1, \overline{t}_2)$ with $l_1 \in \mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ and $t_2 \in \mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ and the bar denotes the inversion of the complex structure (i.e., the complex conjugation) in each fiber $\mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$. Accordingly, we can define the anti-linear anti-involution $\Theta$ between $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}\mid_{\mathcal{L}^\tau}$ by $\Theta : (l_1, \overline{t}_2) \mapsto (-l_2, \overline{t}_1)$.

Lemma 4.10. Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8 and $\mathcal{L} \to X$ a complex line bundle with (first) Chern number $C = 1$. Consider the rank 2 “Quaternionic” vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}, \Theta)$ associated with $\mathcal{L}$ by the construction (4.11). For each fixed point $x_j \in X^\tau$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, let us define a map $\phi_j : X^\tau \to \{\pm 1\}$ by $\phi_j(x_1) := 1 - 2\delta_i,j$. Then,

$$\kappa(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}) = \left[\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{2n}\right] \in \left[X^\tau, \U(1)\right]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/\left[1, \U(1)\right]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

namely all the maps $\phi_j$ are representatives for the FKMM-invariant of $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L})$ coincides with the non-trivial element $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ showing that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}, \Theta)$ is non-trivial.

Proof. Let $X^\tau := \{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$ be the fixed point set of $(X, \tau)$. As a consequence of the so-called slice Theorem [12, Chapter I, Section 3] a neighborhood of each $x_j \in X^\tau$ can be identified with an open subset around the origin of $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the involution given by the reflection $x \mapsto -x$. Let $D_j \subset X$ be a disk (under this identification) around $x_j$. More precisely, $D_j$ is an invariant set $\tau(D_j) = D_j$ in which $x_j$ is the only fixed point; it is closed, $\mathbb{Z}_2$-contractible and with boundary $\partial D_j \approx S^1$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we can choose sufficiently small disks in such a way that $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Let us set $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} D_i$ and $X' := X \setminus \text{Int}(D)$. By construction $X'$ is a manifold with boundary $\partial D \approx \bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} S^1$ on which the involution $\tau$ acts freely.

Since the action $\tau : \partial D_j \to \partial D_j$ is free we can fix an isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_j : \partial D_j \approx S^1 \ni \theta \mapsto e^{i\theta} \in U(1)$ which is antipodal-equivariant, i.e., $\tilde{\psi}_j \circ \tau = e^{i\theta} \tilde{\psi}_j = -\tilde{\psi}_j$. Each of these isomorphisms defines a map $\psi_j : \partial D \approx S^1 \ni \theta \mapsto e^{i\theta} \in U(1)$ given by $\psi_j|_{\partial D_j} = \tilde{\psi}_j$ and $\psi_j|_{\partial D_j} \equiv 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Finally, we can set maps $\Psi_j : D \to U(2)$ by

$$\Psi_j(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \psi_j(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_j(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

which verify the equivariance condition $\Psi_j \circ \tau = \mu \circ \Psi_j$ where the involution $\mu$ on $U(2)$ has been defined in Remark 2.1. It follows from the definition that $\det(\Psi_j(x)) = -1$ if $x \in \partial D_j$ and $\det(\Psi_j(x)) = +1$ if $x \in D \setminus \partial D_j$. 

Associated with each $\Psi_j$ we can construct a $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle
\[ E_j := (X' \times \mathbb{C}^2) \cup_{\psi_j} (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{C}^2) \]
by means of the equivariant version of the clutching construction \cite{A12}. Corollary 4.11. Let $X$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8. Then $\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{Q}}^2(X, \tau) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2$.

**Proof.** It follows from the injectivity of $\kappa$ proved in Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 4.12.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8 and $\mathcal{L} \to X$ a complex line bundle with (first) Chern number $C \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the rank 2 "Quaternionic" vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ associated with $\mathcal{L}$ by the construction 4.11. The topology of $\mathcal{E}$ is completely specified by the parity of $C$ through the formula
\[ \kappa(\mathcal{E}) := (-1)^C \]
which relates the (image of the) FKMM-invariant $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the Chern class of $\mathcal{L}$.

**Proof.** We can repeat the same proof of Lemma 4.10 with respect to a generalized isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_j : \partial \mathcal{D}_j = S^1 \ni \theta \mapsto e^{iC \theta} \in \mathbb{U}(1)$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_j \circ \tau = e^{iC \theta} \tilde{\psi}_j = (-1)^C \tilde{\psi}_j$. With this choice the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_j = (X' \times \mathbb{C}) \cup_{\psi_j} (\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{C})$ has Chern number $C$ and the FKMM-invariant of $\mathcal{E}$ is realized with the clutching construction based on the map $\psi_j$. The isomorphism (4.12) is a consequence of the identification (3.2) and Proposition 4.9.

**Remark 4.13.** Corollary 4.12 can be considered as the abstract version of the justification of the Quantum Spin Hall Effect given in [KM1] (cf. also [FK] eq. (3.26)). The Chern numbers associated with the two line bundles which define $\mathcal{E}$ are opposite in sign and, therefore define opposite traveling currents. Since these currents carry opposite spins they sum up and produce a non trivial effect which is quantified by the parity of the absolute value of the Chern number carried by each bundle.

A less obvious interesting consequence of Lemma 4.10 is explored in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.14.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8. The isomorphism
\[ [X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} / [X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \approx \mathbb{Z}_2 \]
(4.12)
is induced by the map
\[ \Pi : [X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad [\omega] \mapsto \Pi \left( \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \omega(x_i) \right). \]

**Proof.** The isomorphism (4.12) is a consequence of the identification (3.2) and Proposition 4.9. Let $\Phi : [X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} / [X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ be such an isomorphism and $\Phi : [X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ a morphism which generates $\Phi$. Evidently, the action of $[X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ on $[X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ by restriction is given by elements which are in the kernel of $\Phi$. Since $[X', \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \approx \text{Map}(X', \mathbb{Z}_2) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$, where $2n$ is the number of fixed points in $X'$, one has that $\Phi$ can be uniquely represented by as a map
\[ \Phi : \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n} \to \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \Phi(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{2n}) := \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (\epsilon_i)^{\theta_i}. \]
where for $\omega \in \text{Map}(X^*, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ one defines $\epsilon_i := \omega(x_i) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\sigma_i \in \{0, 1\}$. As a consequence of Lemma [4.10] one has that the value of $\Phi([\phi_i]) = \Phi(1, \ldots, 1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ has to be independent of $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ or, equivalently, has to be independent of the position of the unique negative entry $-1$ in the array $(1, \ldots, 1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. This implies that $\sigma_1 = \ldots = \sigma_{2n}$. Finally, the requirement that $\Phi$ has to be an isomorphism fixes $\sigma_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$.

The content of Proposition 4.14 is quite relevant since, in combination with Proposition 4.4, it provides a complete justification, as well as a generalization, of the Fu-Kane-Mele formula for the classification of “Quaternionic” vector bundles over the TR-torus $\hat{T}^2$.

**Theorem 4.15** (Fu-Kane-Mele formula). Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8. Then, the topology of a “Quaternionic” vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over $(X, \tau)$ is completely specified by the FKMM-invariant $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ given by the Fu-Kane-Mele formula

$$\kappa(\mathcal{E}) := \prod_{i=1}^{2n} d_w(x_i)$$

(4.13)

where $x_i \in X^*$ are the fixed points of $X$ and $d_w \in \text{Map}(X^*, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the sign map defined by (4.8).

**Proof.** Corollary 4.11 says that the topology of an element of $\text{Vec}^m_2(X, \tau) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ is completely specified by FKMM-invariant $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) \in [X^*, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/[X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. In view of Proposition 4.4 the class $\kappa(\mathcal{E})$ is represented by the sign map $d_w$ and the isomorphism $\Pi : [X^*, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/[X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ described in Proposition 4.14 applied to $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) = [d_w]$ gives rise to the formula (4.13).

We point out that we made a slight abuse of notation in equation (4.13) where more correctly we should write $\Pi(\kappa(\mathcal{E}))$ instead $\kappa(\mathcal{E})$. Nevertheless, since there is no risk of confusion, we prefer to write the Fu-Kane-Mele formula in the simplest and more evocative form (4.13).

### 4.5. Classification for TR-tori

Let us start with the case $d = 2$.

**Proposition 4.16.** Let $\hat{T}^2 \equiv (T^2, \tau)$ be the TR-involutive space described in Definition 4.4. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a group isomorphism

$$\text{Vec}^m_2(T^2, \tau) \overset{\kappa}{\cong} H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\hat{T}^2, (\hat{T}^2)^*, Z(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

which is provided by the FKMM-invariant $\kappa$.

Although this result is only a special case of Corollary 4.11 we find instructive to show an explicit realization of a non-trivial rank 2 $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle over $T^2$. The existence of a non-trivial element and the injectivity of $\kappa$ (cf. Theorem 4.2) provide a complete justification of Proposition 4.16 along the same line of the proof of Proposition 4.6. A direct way to produce a non trivial $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle of rank 2 is to start with a line bundle over $T^2$ with (first) Chern number $C = 1$. Then, the construction (4.11) provides the required result in view of Corollary 4.12. A different way is to start with $\mathcal{E}'' \equiv \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and to introduce a $\mathcal{Q}$-structure $\Theta$ by means of a map $w : T^2 \to U(2)$ which verifies the relation (4.5). As usual we parametrize points of $T^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2$ with pairs $z := (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [-\pi, \pi]^2$. With this choice the involution $\tau$ acts as $\tau(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\theta_1 - \pi, -\theta_2)$ and the four (distinct) fixed points are $z_1 := (0, 0)$, $z_2 := (0, \pi)$, $z_3 := (\pi, 0)$ and $z_4 := (\pi, \pi)$. A simple way to introduce a non-trivial $\mathcal{Q}$-structure on $\mathcal{E}''$ is to construct an equivariant map $\pi : \hat{T}^2 \to S^2$ such that $\pi(z_1) = \pi(z_2) = \pi(z_3) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\pi(z_4) = (-1, 0, 0)$ and to identify $\mathcal{E}''$ with the pullback $\pi^* \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E} \equiv S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ is the non-trivial $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle over $S^2$ with $\mathcal{Q}$-structure $w$ given by (4.9). In this case the $\mathcal{Q}$-structure on $\mathcal{E}''$ is simply given by $w' = \pi^* w = w \circ \pi$ and we can compute the FKMM-invariant of this $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle by using the Fu-Kane-Mele formula (4.13), i.e.

$$\kappa(\mathcal{E}'') := \prod_{i=1}^4 d_w(z_i) = \prod_{i=1}^4 d_w(\pi(z_i)) = -1.$$
Remark 4.17 (Smash product construction). A concrete realization of an equivariant map \( \pi : \mathbb{T}^2 \ni (\theta_1, \theta_2) \mapsto (k_0, k_1, k_2) \in S^2 \) which verifies the properties required above is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
k_0(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \frac{7 + \cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2) - 9 \cos(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_2)}{9 - \cos(\theta_1) - \cos(\theta_2) - 7 \cos(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_2)}, \\
k_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \frac{4 \sin(\theta_1)(1 - \cos(\theta_2))}{9 - \cos(\theta_1) - \cos(\theta_2) - 7 \cos(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_2)}, \\
k_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \frac{4 \sin(\theta_2)(1 - \cos(\theta_1))}{9 - \cos(\theta_1) - \cos(\theta_2) - 7 \cos(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_2)}.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.14)

The equivariance of \( \pi \) is evident from \( k_0(-\theta_1, -\theta_2) = k_0(\theta_1, \theta_2) \) and \( k_j(-\theta_1, -\theta_2) = -k_j(\theta_1, \theta_2), j = 1, 2 \). The common denominator in equations (4.14) is well defined for all \((\theta_1, \theta_2) \neq (0, 0) = z_1 \) and on the three fixed points \( z_2, z_3, z_4 \) one verifies that \( k(z_2) = k(z_3) = (+1, 0, 0) \) and \( k(z_4) = (-1, 0, 0) \). Moreover, with the help of a Taylor expansion one can check that the \( (\theta_1, \theta_2) \) are continuous in \( z_1 \) with value \( k(z_1) = (+1, 0, 0) \). Let \( \mathcal{T}_2 : = \{(\theta_1, 0) | \theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-\pi, \pi] \} \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \) be the one-dimensional subcomplex of \( \mathbb{T}^2 \) consisting of two copies of \( S^1 \) joined together on the fixed point \( z_1 = (0, 0) \). In the jargon of topology one says that \( \mathcal{T}_2 = S^1 \cup S^1 \) is a wedge sum of two circles. From (4.14) it follows that \( \pi(\mathcal{T}_2) = (+1, 0, 0) \), hence \( \pi \) corresponds to the (equivariant) projection

\[ \pi : \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^2/(S^1 \vee S^1) := S^1 \wedge S^1 \equiv S^2 \]

where the symbol \( \wedge \) denotes the smash product of two topological spaces [Hat Chapter 0].

The case \( d = 3 \) is a little more involved.

Proposition 4.18. Let \( \mathbb{T}^3 \equiv (\mathbb{T}^3, \tau) \) be the TR-involutive space described in Definition 7.4. Then, for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) there is a group isomorphism

\[ \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}^m(\mathbb{T}^3, \tau) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^2_{z_1}(\mathbb{T}^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \equiv \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \]

which is provided by the FMMM-invariant \( \kappa \).

Proof. Theorem 1.2 establishes the injectivity of the group morphism \( \kappa \) and we know from (3.34) the isomorphisms

\[ H^2_{z_1}(\mathbb{T}^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \equiv H^1_{z_1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) / r(H^1_{z_1}(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{Z}(1))) \overset{\Phi}{\cong} \mathbb{Z}_2^4. \]

We can realize \( \Phi \) starting from a morphism \( \Phi : H^1_{z_1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \) which acts trivially on \( r(H^1_{z_1}(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{Z}(1))) \). Let us build such a morphism \( \Phi \). The fixed point set \( (\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau} \approx (\mathbb{S}^1)^{\tau} \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^{\tau} \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^{\tau} \) has eight distinct points which can be labelled with eight vectors \( v_k := (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8) \) explicitly

\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= (+1, +1, +1), & v_2 &= (+1, +1, -1), & v_3 &= (+1, -1, +1), & v_4 &= (-1, +1, +1), \\
v_5 &= (+1, -1, -1), & v_6 &= (-1, -1, +1), & v_7 &= (-1, +1, -1), & v_8 &= (-1, -1, -1).
\end{align*}
\]

(4.15)

The presence of eight fixed points implies \( H^1_{z_1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^{\tau}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2^8 [DG1] \text{ eq. (5.7)} \) and with the help of the recursive relations [DG1] eq. (5.9) one obtains \( H^2_{z_1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^3, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \equiv \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^3 \). The isomorphism \( H^1_{z_2}(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong [\mathbb{T}^3, U(1)]_{z_2} \) shows that the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-summand is generated by the constant map \( \epsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow -1 \) while the \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \)-summand is spanned by the three (canonical) projections \( \pi_j : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \cong U(1) \). More precisely, the equality \( \mathbb{T}^3 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \) allows us to label each \( k \in \mathbb{T}^3 \) as \( k := (z_1, z_2, z_3) \) with \( z_j \in U(1) \). Let \( z_+ = +1 \) be one of the two invariant points of \( U(1) \approx \mathbb{S}^1 \) (with respect to the involution given by the complex conjugation). With this notation the projections \( \pi_j \) act as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{T}^3 \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (z_1, +1, +1) \equiv z_1 \in \mathbb{S}^1, \\
\mathbb{T}^3 \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (+1, z_2, +1) \equiv z_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1, \\
\mathbb{T}^3 \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (+1, +1, z_3) \equiv z_3 \in \mathbb{S}^1.
\end{align*}
\]
The map \( r : H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3), Z(1)) \to H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3)^r, Z(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^8 \) coincides with the evaluations on the fixed point set, hence we have that the image \( r(H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3), Z(1))) \) is generated by the four linearly independent vectors \( \phi_0 := \langle r(e) \rangle = (-1, \ldots, -1) \) and \( \phi_j := \langle r(\pi_j) \rangle = \langle \pi_j(v_1), \ldots, \pi_j(v_8) \rangle, j = 1, 2, 3. \)

Let \( \varphi \in H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3)^r, Z(1)) \) be represented as a map \( \varphi : \{v_1, \ldots, v_8\} \to Z_2 \) and consider the morphism \( \Phi : H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3)^r, Z(1)) \to \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \) defined by \( \Phi : \varphi \mapsto (\Phi_0(\varphi), \Phi_1(\varphi), \Phi_2(\varphi), \Phi_3(\varphi)) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_1(\varphi) & := \varphi(v_1) \varphi(v_2) \varphi(v_3) \varphi(v_5), \\
\Phi_3(\varphi) & := \varphi(v_1) \varphi(v_3) \varphi(v_4) \varphi(v_7), \\
\Phi_0(\varphi) & := \varphi(v_2) \varphi(v_3) \varphi(v_4) \varphi(v_8).
\end{align*}
\]

By construction \( \Phi : \psi_j \mapsto (+1, +1, +1, +1) \) for all \( j = 0, \ldots, 3 \), hence \( r(H^1_{Z_2}((\tilde{T}^3), Z(1))) \) is in the kernel of \( \Phi \). Moreover the images of \( \psi_1 := (-1, +1, -1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1), \psi_2 := (-1, +1, -1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1), \psi_3 := (-1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1), \psi_0 := (+1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1) \), under the map \( \Phi \) are \( \Phi(\psi_1) = (-1, -1, +1, +1), \Phi(\psi_2) = (-1, -1, +1, +1), \Phi(\psi_3) = (-1, +1, +1, +1), \Phi(\psi_0) = (-1, +1, +1, +1), \)

showing that the morphism \( \Phi \) induces the isomorphism \( \tilde{\Phi} \).

In order to finish the proof, we need only to show the existence of \( \Sigma \)-bundles \( \mathcal{E}_j \) over \( \tilde{T}^3 \) such that \( \kappa(\mathcal{E}_j) \) is represented by \( \psi_j \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, 3 \). Let \( \mathcal{E} \to \tilde{T}^3 \) be a non-trivial \( \Sigma \)-bundle classified by \( \kappa(\mathcal{E}) = [\mathcal{B}] \) where the sign function \( \mathcal{B} : (\tilde{T}^3)^r \to Z_2 \) takes values \( \mathcal{B}(w_1) = -1, \mathcal{B}(w_s) = +1, s = 2, 3, 4 \) on the four fixed points \( w_1 = (+1, +1), w_2 = (+1, -1), w_3 = (-1, +1), w_4 = (-1, -1) \), of the set \((\tilde{T}^3)^r \cong (\tilde{S}^1)^r \times (\tilde{S}^1)^r\). Let us consider the three projections

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{T}^3 & \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) \overset{\pi_{23}}{\longrightarrow} (+1, z_2, z_3) \equiv (z_2, z_3) \in \tilde{T}^2, \\
\tilde{T}^3 & \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) \overset{\pi_{31}}{\longrightarrow} (z_1, +1, z_3) \equiv (z_1, z_3) \in \tilde{T}^2, \\
\tilde{T}^3 & \ni (z_1, z_2, z_3) \overset{\pi_{12}}{\longrightarrow} (z_1, z_2, +1) \equiv (z_1, z_2) \in \tilde{T}^2.
\end{align*}
\]

The \( \Sigma \)-bundle \( \pi^*_{23} \mathcal{E} \to \tilde{T}^3 \) has the FKMM-invariant \( \kappa(\pi^*_{23} \mathcal{E}) \) which is represented by the map \( \pi^*_{23} \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B} \circ \pi_{23} \). Observing that

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_{23}(v_1) = \pi_{23}(v_4) = w_1, & \quad \pi_{23}(v_2) = \pi_{23}(v_5) = w_2, \\
\pi_{23}(v_3) = \pi_{23}(v_7) = w_3, & \quad \pi_{23}(v_5) = \pi_{23}(v_8) = w_4,
\end{align*}
\]

one verifies that \( \pi^*_{23} \mathcal{B} = \psi_1 \) and so \( \pi^*_{23} \mathcal{E} \) is a representative for a \( \Sigma \)-bundle \( \mathcal{E}_1 \) with FKMM-invariant \( [\psi_1] \). In the same way, one checks that \( \pi^*_{12} \mathcal{B} = \psi_2 \) and \( \pi^*_{12} \mathcal{E} \) is a representative for a \( \Sigma \)-bundle \( \mathcal{E}_2 \) with FKMM-invariant \( [\psi_2] \) and \( \pi^*_{12} \mathcal{E} \) is a representative for a \( \Sigma \)-bundle \( \mathcal{E}_3 \) with FKMM-invariant \( [\psi_3] \). To construct the \( \Sigma \)-bundle \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) classified by \( [\psi_0] \) we consider the projection \( \pi_0 : T^3 \to \tilde{S}^3 \) defined by the standard smash product construction \( \text{[Hau, Chapter 0]} \)

\[
\tilde{S}^3 \cong \tilde{T}^3 / T_3
\]

which produces the sphere \( \tilde{S}^3 \) from the torus \( \tilde{T}^3 \) collapsing the subcomplex \( T_3 \subset \tilde{T}^3 \) to the fixed point \( k_3 = (+1, +1, +1) \in T_3 \) (this is the same construction described in Remark 2.17 for the case of a two-torus). More precisely, \( T_3 := \pi_{23}(\tilde{T}^3) \cup \pi_{13}(\tilde{T}^3) \cup \pi_{12}(\tilde{T}^3) \) is a collection of three 2-tori such that their common intersection is the fixed point \( k_3 \) and each two of them intersect along a circle. This construction is compatible with the definition of the involution \( \tau \). Let \( p_\pm := (\pm 1, 0, 0, 0) \) be the two fixed points of \( S^3 \) and \( \mathcal{E}_0^{\tau} \to \tilde{S}^3 \) the non-trivial \( \Sigma \)-bundle classified by a sign map \( \mathcal{B}^{\tau}(p_\pm) = \pm 1 \). By construction \( \pi_0(v_j) = p_+ \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, 7 \) but \( \pi_0(v_8) = p_- \) and so \( \pi_0^{\mathcal{B}^{\tau}} := \mathcal{B}^{\tau} \circ \pi_0 \) coincides with \( \psi_0 \). Then, \( \pi^*_0 \mathcal{E}_0^{\tau} \) is a model for \( \mathcal{E}_0 \).
Remark 4.19 (Week and strong invariants). According to the Proposition 4.18 each “Quaternionic” vector bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) over \(\tilde{T}^3\) is specified by a quadruple \((\kappa_0(\mathcal{E}), \kappa_1(\mathcal{E}), \kappa_2(\mathcal{E}), \kappa_3(\mathcal{E}))\) which provides a representative for the FKMM-invariant \(\kappa(\mathcal{E})\). The proof of Proposition 4.18 together with the group structure of \(\text{Vec}^2_{/\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{T}^3, \tau)\) described in Theorem 1.2 gives us also a recipe to compute these numbers using the sign function \(\delta_{\mathcal{E}} : (\tilde{T}^3)^r \to \mathbb{Z}_2\) associated with the \(\mathbb{Q}\)-bundle \(\mathcal{E}\). Let us use the convention (4.15) for the fixed point of \(\tilde{T}^3\). The first three invariants
\[
\kappa_1(\mathcal{E}) := \prod_{j \in \{1,2,3,5\}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(v_j), \quad \kappa_2(\mathcal{E}) := \prod_{j \in \{1,2,4,6\}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(v_j), \quad \kappa_3(\mathcal{E}) := \prod_{j \in \{1,3,4,7\}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(v_j)
\]
can be understood as follows: if one consider, for instance, the restricted bundle \(\mathcal{E}|_{\pi_{23}(\tilde{T}^3)}\) over the two-dimensional torus \(\pi_{23}(\tilde{T}^3) \subset \tilde{T}^3\) one has that \(\kappa_1(\mathcal{E})\) coincides with the FKMM-invariant of \(\mathcal{E}|_{\pi_{23}(\tilde{T}^3)}\). Similarly, \(\kappa_2(\mathcal{E})\) and \(\kappa_3(\mathcal{E})\) are the FKMM-invariant of the restrictions \(\mathcal{E}|_{\pi_{13}(\tilde{T}^3)}\) and \(\mathcal{E}|_{\pi_{23}(\tilde{T}^3)}\), respectively. These three numbers are called weak invariants in the jargon of [FKM] (cf. equation (2), in particular) since they express only two-dimensional property of the system. From a topological point of view, these three invariants describe the obstruction to extending a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-frame from the (equivariant) 1-skeleton of \(\tilde{T}^3\) to its 2-skeleton. The fourth invariant
\[
\kappa_0(\mathcal{E}) := \prod_{j=1}^8 \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(v_j)
\]
is called strong [FKM] eq. (1)] since it expresses a genuine three-dimensional property of the system. This number can be understood as follows: if the weak invariants are trivial the \(\mathbb{Q}\)-bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) is trivial when restricted to the subcomplex \(\mathcal{T}_3\), hence \(\mathcal{E}\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-isomorphic to a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-bundle over the sphere \(\tilde{S}^3 \simeq \tilde{T}^3/\mathcal{T}_3\) [A12, Lemma 1.47] with FKMM-invariant \(\kappa_0(\mathcal{E})\). Then, the strong invariant provides the obstruction to extending a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-frame from the subcomplex \(\mathcal{T}_3\) to the full torus \(\tilde{T}^3\).

5. Classification in dimension \(d = 4\)

In this section we provide a classification for “Quaternionic” vector bundles over \(\tilde{S}^4\) and \(\tilde{T}^4\). We prove some general preliminary results under the following rather restrictive hypothesis:

Assumption 5.1. Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space such that:

0. \(X\) is a closed (compact without boundary) and oriented 4-dimensional manifold;

1. The fixed point set \(X^\tau = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}\) consists of a finite number of points with \(N \geq 2\);

2. The involution \(\tau : X \to X\) is smooth and preserves the orientation;

3. \(H^2_{/\mathbb{Z}_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0\);

4. There exists a fixed point \(x_* \in X^\tau\) such that the space \(X_* := X \setminus \{x_*\}\) is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homotopic to a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-CW complex whose cells are of dimension less than 4.

First of all we notice that under the above conditions \((X, \tau)\) turns out to be an FKMM-space as in Definition 1.1. Second, the reduced space \(X_* = X \setminus \{x_*\}\) is also an FKMM-space which verifies the conditions of Theorem 1.2 and so the map
\[
\kappa : \text{Vec}^2_{/\mathbb{Q}}(X_*, \tau) \longrightarrow H^2_{/\mathbb{Z}_2}(X_*; \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong [X_*^\tau, U(1)]_{/\mathbb{Z}_2}/[X_*, U(1)]_{/\mathbb{Z}_2}
\]
is injective. The fact that \(X_*\) is an FKMM-space depends on the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2. Under Assumption 5.1
\[
H^2_{/\mathbb{Z}_2}(X_*, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0.
\]

Proof (sketch of). As a consequence of the so-called slice Theorem [HS, Chapter I, Section 3] a neighborhood of \(x_*\) can be identified with an open subset around the origin of \(\mathbb{R}^4\) endowed with the involution given by the reflection \(x \mapsto -x\). Let \(D\) be the unit (closed) ball under this identification. Then \(D\) is an invariant set in which \(x_*\) is the only fixed point. The space \(X' := X \setminus \text{Int}(D)\) is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homotopy equivalent to \(X_*\). Moreover, \(D\) is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-contractible and so with the help of the Meyer-Vietoris exact
sequence for \( \{D, X'\} \) one can prove the isomorphism \( H^2_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H^2_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \) which concludes the proof. 

The following technical lemma will provide us important information which turn out to be equivalent to the classification of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-bundles over \( \mathbb{S}^4 \). We recall, following the same notation of Corollary 4.7 that \( \mathbb{S}^3 = (S^3, \vartheta) \) is the three-sphere endowed with the antipodal action \( \vartheta : k \mapsto -k \). Moreover, we need also the well-known isomorphism \( [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)] \cong \pi_3(U(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) given by the topological degree.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( \mathbb{S}^3 \) be the sphere endowed with the antipodal action \( k \mapsto -k \) and \( [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \) the set of \( Z_2 \)-homotopy equivalent maps with respect to the involution \( \mu \) on \( U(2) \) described in Remark 2.1. Then the map \( [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \to [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)] \) defined by “forgetting” the involutive structures is an isomorphism. In particular, this leads to a group isomorphism

\[
\text{deg} : [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
\]

induced by the topological degree.

The proof of this Lemma is based on the following idea: The determinant \( \det : U(2) \to U(1) \) induces a homomorphism

\[
\det : [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \to [\mathbb{S}^3, U(1)]_{Z_2} = H^1_{Z_2}(\mathbb{S}^3, U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2,
\]

(5.1)

where \( H^1_{Z_2}(\mathbb{S}^3, U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) has been proved in [DG1]. This group is generated by the constant maps with values \( \{-1\} \subset U(1) \). One has the group morphism

\[
(\det, \text{deg}) : [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}
\]

(5.2)

which associates to each \( [\varphi] \in [\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \) the pair \((\epsilon, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\) where \( \epsilon = [\det \varphi] \) and \( n = \text{deg} \varphi \). This morphism turns out to be injective and so it defines an isomorphism between \([\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \) and a subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \) described by

\[
[\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2} \cong \{(\epsilon, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid \epsilon = (-1)^n\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.
\]

(5.3)

From (5.3) it results evident that the degree completely classifies classes in \([\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)]_{Z_2}\).

**Proof of Lemma 5.3.** Let us start by proving that (5.2) is injective. To this end, we recall the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-CW decomposition of \( \mathbb{S}^3 \) (cf. [DG1] Section 4.5):

\[
\mathbb{S}^3 = \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_3,
\]

(5.2)

where \( \mathcal{C}_d = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times e_d = e_d^+ \cup e_d^- \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-cell, on which the involution acts by exchanging the usual \( d \)-dimensional cells \( e_d^+ \). Let \( X_2 = \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \) be the 2-skeleton of \( \mathbb{S}^3 \), which provides also the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-CW decomposition of the sphere \( \mathbb{S}^2 \) with the antipodal free involution. Now, let \( \varphi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to U(2) \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-equivariant map such that \( \epsilon = [\det \varphi] = 1 \) in \([\mathbb{S}^3, U(1)]_{Z_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( n = \deg \varphi = 0 \) in \([\mathbb{S}^3, U(2)] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \). The first assumption leads to \([\det \varphi|_{X_2}] = 1 \) in \([X_2, U(1)]_{Z_2} \), hence Corollary 4.7 assures the existence of an equivariant homotopy between \( \varphi|_{X_2} \) and the constant map at the \( \mathbb{I}_2 \subset U(2) \). Applying the equivariant homotopy extension property [Ma] to the subcomplex \( X_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \), we get an equivariant homotopy from \( \varphi \) to an equivariant homotopy map \( \varphi' : \mathbb{S}^3 \to U(2) \) such that \( \varphi'|_{X_2} = \mathbb{I}_2 \). Then, the restriction \( \varphi'|_{X_1} \) is identifiable with an element \([\varphi'|_{X_1}] \in \pi_3(U(2)) \). The involution on \( \mathbb{S}^3 \) preserves the orientation, and the involution \( \mu \) on \( U(2) \) induces the identity on \( \pi_3(U(2)) \). As a result, \([\varphi'|_{X_1}] = [\varphi|_{X_1}] \) holds true and \([\varphi] \in \pi_3(U(2)) \) is expressed as \([\varphi] = 2[\varphi'|_{X_1}] \). Because \( \pi_3(U(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) has no torsion \([\varphi] = 0 \) (which is the same of \( \deg \varphi = 0 \)) implies \([\varphi'|_{X_1}] = 0 \) in \( \pi_3(U(2)) \). Then, there exists a homotopy from \( \varphi'|_{X_1} \) to the constant map \( \mathbb{I}_2 \). By means of the involution on \( \mathbb{S}^3 \), this homotopy extends to an equivariant homotopy from \( \varphi'|_{X_1} \) to the constant map \( \mathbb{I}_2 \). By the equivariant homotopy extension property again, we finally get an equivariant homotopy from \( \varphi' \) to the constant map \( \mathbb{I}_2 \). In summary, we proved that if \( (\det, \text{deg}) : [\varphi] \mapsto (1, 0) \) then \( [\varphi] \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-homotopy equivalent to the constant map at \( \mathbb{I}_2 \), namely the injectivity of \( (\det, \text{deg}) \). It remains to prove that the image of \( (\det, \text{deg}) \) agrees with the subgroup (5.3). We point out that from the previous argument it follows that there is no equivariant map \( \varphi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to U(2) \)
such that $\epsilon = n = 1$. In fact we already proved that $\epsilon = [\det \varphi] = 1$ implies that $\varphi$ is of even degree, i.e. $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, the equivariant map $\varphi : \hat{S}^3 \to U(2)$ defined by

$$
\varphi(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) := i \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + i k_2 & -k_3 + i k_4 \\
 k_3 + i k_4 & k_1 - i k_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

clearly has $\epsilon = \det \varphi = -1$ and $n = \deg \varphi = 1$ and so it provides a generator for $(5.3)$. \hfill \blacksquare

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 1.6 under Assumption 5.1. Before to go through the technical part of the proof of this theorem, let us point out that Theorem 1.6 is true under the hypothesis as stated, which is less restrictive than Assumption 5.1. The generalized proof makes strong use of the obstruction theory and this implies a considerable increasing of technical complications. Since the aim of this work is to provide a classification in the case of the spaces $\hat{S}^4$ and $\mathbb{T}^4$ (which verify Assumption 5.1) we opted here for a simplified proof, leaving the more general case for a future work [DG2].

**Theorem 5.4 (Injective group homomorphism: $d=4$).** Let $(X, \tau)$ be as in Assumption 5.1. Then, the FKMM-invariant $\kappa$ and the second Chern class $c_2$ define a map

$$(\kappa, c_2) : \text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \to H^2_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(X|\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5.4)$$

that is injective. Moreover, $\text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau)$ can be endowed with a group structure in such a way that the pair $(\kappa, c_2)$ sets an injective group homomorphism.

**Proof.** The stable range condition implies $\text{Vec}^{2m}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau) \simeq \text{Vec}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \tau)$ (cf. Corollary 2.19). Moreover, if $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_0 \oplus (X \times \mathbb{C}^{2(m-1)})$ as $\mathbb{C}$-bundles we obtain from Theorem 5.1 (iii) that $\kappa(\mathcal{E}) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_0)$ and from the usual properties of Chern classes that $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = c_2(\mathcal{E}_0)$. Then, without loss of generality, we can consider only the case $m = 1$.

As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we set $X' := X \setminus \text{Int}(D)$ where $D$ is an invariant ball around the fixed point $x_*$ of $X$. The space $X'$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy equivalent to $X_*$, and so, by assumption, it is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-CW complex whose cells are of dimension less or equal to 3. By assumption also $(X')^T \neq 0$. The inclusion map $i : X' \hookrightarrow X$ induces by the naturality of the FKMM-invariant the equalities $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1|_{X'}) = \kappa(i^* \mathcal{E}_1) = i^* \kappa(\mathcal{E}_1)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then coincidence of the FKMM-invariants of $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ immediately implies $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_1|_{X'}) = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_2|_{X'})$ and Theorem 1.2 assures the existence of a $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphism $f' : \mathcal{E}_1|_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{E}_2|_{X'}$. Since $D$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-contractable there are also two $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphisms $g_i : \mathcal{E}_i|_D \rightarrow D \times \mathbb{C}^2$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $Y := \partial X' = \partial D$. This space is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopic to a three sphere $\hat{S}^3$ endowed with the antipodal involution. The composition of $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphisms

$$
Y \times \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1^{|Y}} \mathcal{E}_1|_Y \xrightarrow{f'|_Y} \mathcal{E}_2|_Y \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2^{|Y}} Y \times \mathbb{C}^2
$$

can be expressed by a mapping $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \theta(x) \cdot Q \cdot y)$ where $\theta : Y \to U(2)$ is a $\mu$-equivariant map in the sense of Remark 2.11 i.e. $\theta(\tau(x)) = -Q \theta(x) Q$. In view of the homotopy property for “Quaternionic” vector bundles (cf. Theorem 2.1), the map $f' : \mathcal{E}_1|_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{E}_2|_{X'}$ extends to an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ if and only if the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy class $[\theta] \in [Y, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = [\hat{S}^3, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is in the image of $[D, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ under the restriction morphism. But we know that $D$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-contractable, hence $[D, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \simeq 0$ and so we get a $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphism which extends $f'$ if and only if $[\theta]$ is trivial, or equivalently if and only if $\deg(\theta) = 0$ as a consequence of Lemma 5.3. In the remaining part we prove that $c_2(\mathcal{E}_1) = c_2(\mathcal{E}_2)$ implies $\deg(\theta) = 0$ and this concludes the proof.

We recall that $X'$ has the structure of a CW-complex of dimension less or equal to 3. Then, any complex vector bundle over $X'$ with associated trivial determinant line bundle is automatically trivial in the complex category (cf. Proposition 4.3). This implies the existence of an isomorphism of complex vector bundles $h_1 : \mathcal{E}_1|_{X'} \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and we can set a second isomorphism $h_2 : \mathcal{E}_2|_{X'} \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by $h_2 := h_1 \circ (f')^{-1}$. For each $i = 1, 2$ the composition

$$
Y \times \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1^{|Y}} \mathcal{E}_1|_Y \xrightarrow{h_i|_Y} Y \times \mathbb{C}^2
$$

can be expressed by a mapping \((x, v) \mapsto (x, \varphi(x) \cdot v)\) where \(\varphi_i : Y \to U(2)\). This is nothing but a clutching function of the underlying complex vector bundle \(\mathcal{E}_i\). In view of the Meyer-Vietoris exact sequence
\[
H^3(X', \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^3(D, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0
\]
we obtain that \(H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})\) which implies that the degree of \(\varphi_i\) coincides with the second Chern class of \(\mathcal{E}_i\) under the choice of an orientation on \(D\) compatible with \(X\). By construction, one readily sees \(\theta = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}\) which implies \(\deg(\theta) = \deg(\varphi_2) - \deg(\varphi_1) = c_2(\mathcal{E}_2) - c_2(\mathcal{E}_1)\).

The case of \(\mathbb{S}^4\). If we remove from the TR-sphere \(\hat{\mathbb{S}}^4\) one of the two fixed points \(k_0 := (\pm 1, 0, 0, 0)\) we obtain a space which is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homotopic to \(\mathbb{R}^3\) endowed with the involution given by the reflection around the origin \(x \mapsto -x\). This space is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-contractible to a point and so Assumption \([5.1]\) is verified. This implies that Theorem \([1.6]\) applies to \(\hat{\mathbb{S}}^4\), although the use of this result is not strictly necessary for the classification of \(\text{Vec}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^4, \tau)\).

Proof of Theorem \([1.7]\) (i). One has the following isomorphisms
\[
\text{Vec}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^4, \tau) \cong \text{Vec}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^4, \tau) = [\hat{\mathbb{S}}^4, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}
\]
where the first is a consequence of Corollary \((2.19)\) and the second follows from an equivariant adaptation of the standard clutching construction \([2.12]\) Lemma 1.4.9 and the last one has been proved in Lemma \(5.3\). To finish the proof, one has only to recall that if \([\mathcal{E}_\varphi] \in \text{Vec}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{S}^4, \tau)\) is the \(\mathbb{C}\)-bundle associated with \([\varphi] \in [\hat{\mathbb{S}}^3, U(2)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\), then \(C = (c_2(\mathcal{E}_\varphi), [\mathbb{S}^4]) = \deg \varphi = n\) and \(k(\mathcal{E}_\varphi) = [\det \varphi] = \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}\). While the first is a classical identity in the theory of complex vector bundles, the second deserves some comments. Let \(H_k := \{(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{S}^4 : k_0 \geq 0\}\) with intersection \(H_+ \cap H_- = \mathbb{S}^3\). Then, by construction
\[
\mathcal{E}_\varphi \cong (H_+ \times \mathbb{C}^2) \cup \varphi \ (H_- \times \mathbb{C}^2)
\]
The set \((\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau = \{(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}\) has two fixed points and we can set the identity map on \((\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau \times \mathbb{C}^2\) as the trivialization of \(\mathcal{E}_\varphi|_{(\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau}\) and the induced canonical trivialization \(\det_{(\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau} : \det(\mathcal{E}_\varphi|_{(\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau}) \to (\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau \times \mathbb{C}\) which obviously agrees with the identity map on \((\mathbb{S}^4)^\tau \times \mathbb{C}\). On the other hand,
\[
\det(\mathcal{E}_\varphi) \cong (H_+ \times \mathbb{C}) \cup_{\det \varphi} (H_- \times \mathbb{C})
\]
is obtained by gluing together trivial “Real” line bundles over \(H_k\) by means of the clutching function \(\det \varphi\). Then, a global trivialization \(h_{\det} : \det(\mathcal{E}_\varphi) \to \hat{\mathbb{S}}^4 \times \mathbb{C}\) can be realized as a pair of \(\mathcal{R}\)-isomorphisms \(f_\pm : H_k \times \mathbb{C} \to H_k \times \mathbb{C}\) such that \(f_{\pm 1_{\mathbb{S}^3}} = \det \varphi \cdot f_{\mp 1_{\mathbb{S}^3}}\). Due to equation \((5.1)\), we can assume that \(\det \varphi = \pm 1\) as a constant map and this implies that \(f_{\pm 1_{\mathbb{S}^3}} = \pm f_{\mp 1_{\mathbb{S}^3}}\), accordingly. This difference in sign is exactly the FKMM-invariant.

The case of \(\mathbb{T}^4\). Let us briefly justify why the TR-torus \(\mathbb{T}^4\) verifies Assumption \([5.1]\). Under the identifications \(\mathbb{T}^4 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \ldots \times \mathbb{S}^1\) and \(\mathbb{S}^1 \cong U(1)\) (endowed with the complex conjugation) we can represent each point of the torus by a quadruple \((z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)\) with \(z_j \in U(1)\), and the fixed points are labelled by choosing \(z_j \in \{\pm 1\}\). Let \(k_+ := (+1, +1, +1, +1)\). If one removes \(k_+\) from \(\mathbb{T}^4\) one easily sees that the resulting space is \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-homotopy equivalent to the union of four TR-tori \(\bar{T}_3^j := \{(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{T}^4 : z_j = +1\}\) with common intersection at the fixed point \(k_+\), namely
\[
\mathbb{T}^4 \setminus \{k_+\} \cong \mathcal{T}_4 := \mathbb{T}_1^3 \cup \ldots \cup \mathbb{T}_4^3
\]
We notice that \(\mathcal{T}_4\) inherits the structure of a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-CW complex from that of each summand \(\mathbb{T}_j^3\) and the dimension of the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-cells does not exceed 3. Then, we showed that Assumption \([5.1]\) is verified and Theorem \([1.6]\) applies to \(\mathbb{T}^4\).

Proof of Theorem \([1.7]\) (ii). We provide here only a sketch of the proof that, in spirit, is very close to the proof of Proposition \([3.18]\). The interested reader can possibly complete the details following the scheme below.
• The injectivity follows from Theorem [1.6] the isomorphism $H^2_Z(\tilde{T}^4,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{11}$ in equation (3.4) and the isomorphism $H^4(T^4,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ induced by the pairing with the fundamental class $[T^4] \in H_4(T^4)$.

• To prove the isomorphism one follows the same strategy of the proof of Proposition [4.18]. More precisely one shows the existence of elements in $\text{Vec}_2^m(T^4,\tau)$ (but as usual $m = 1$ is enough) which provide a set of generators for the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{10}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

• Let $\epsilon = (e_1, \ldots, e_{10}, e_{11})$ be the image of $\kappa(\mathcal{E})$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{11}_2$. One can realize the first six components $e_1, \ldots, e_6$ by the pullback of the (unique) non trivial element of $\text{Vec}_2^m(T^2,\tau)$ by means of the six projections $\pi_{ij} : \tilde{T}^4 \to \tilde{T}^2$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$, onto the six sub-tori of dimension 2. We refer to these components as ultra-week.

• The next four components $e_7, \ldots, e_{10}$ are given by the pullback of the non trivial element of $\text{Vec}_2^m(T^3,\tau)$ described by the strong FKMM-invariant $(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ by means of the four projections $\pi_i : \tilde{T}^4 \to \tilde{T}^3$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, onto the four sub-tori of dimension 3. These are the week components of $\epsilon$.

• These 10 components $(e_1, \ldots, e_{10})$ generate a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{11}_2$. Moreover, by construction, all the $\mathcal{Q}$-bundles associated with these invariants have trivial second Chern classes. Then, we provided the generators for the first summand $\mathbb{Z}^{10}_2$.

• Let $\pi_0 : \tilde{T}^4 \to \tilde{T}^4/\mathcal{Q}_4 \cong \mathbb{S}^4$ be the projection obtained according to the usual collapsing construction. The pullback of the non trivial element in $\text{Vec}_2^m(S^4,\tau)$ provides a $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle over $\tilde{T}^4$ with second Chern number $C = 1$ and FKMM-invariant $(e_1, \ldots, e_{10}, e_{11}) = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$.

6. Universality of the FKMM-invariant

In this section we provide a “universal” interpretation of the FKMM-invariant. More precisely, we show that the FKMM-invariant associated to a “Quaternionic” vector bundle can be defined functorially from the universal FKMM-invariant of the classifying “Quaternionic” vector bundle described in Section 2.4. In this sense the FKMM-invariant is a bona fide characteristic class. We point out that the construction of the universal FKMM-invariant requires a generalization and an improvement of the original idea in [FKMM].

6.1 Universal FKMM-invariant. Theorem [2.13] says that each rank $2m$ $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over the involutive space $(X, \tau)$ is obtained (up to isomorphism) as the pullback of the tautological $2m$-plane $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $(\mathcal{T}_{2m}, \Xi)$ over the involutive Grassmannian $\hat{G}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \equiv (G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \rho)$.

The determinat construction described in Section 5.2 applies also to the tautological $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $\mathcal{T}_{2m}$ and it defines a line bundle

$$\pi : \text{det}(\mathcal{T}_{2m}) \longrightarrow \hat{G}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$$

(6.1)

which is endowed with a “Real” structure $\text{det}(\Xi) : \text{det}(\mathcal{T}_{2m}) \to \text{det}(\mathcal{T}_{2m})$ in agreement with Lemma 4.2. Moreover, we recall that $S(\text{det}(\mathcal{T}_{2m})) \subset \text{det}(\mathcal{T}_{2m})$ denotes the circle bundle according to the notation introduced in Remark 5.2. The following characterization will play an important role in the sequel.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space such that $X$ verifies (at least) condition 0. of Definition 7.1 and $\varphi : X \to \hat{G}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant map. Then:
Global “Real” sections of the circle bundle $S_{=}\det(\varphi_2^T\mathcal{Z}_m)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant maps $\tilde{\varphi} : X \to S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$ which make the following diagram commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty), \det(\Xi)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & (X, \tau) \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
(\mathbb{Z}_2m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \pi(\mathcal{Z}_m))
\end{array}
$$

(i) The determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$ associated with $\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty$ is $\mathcal{R}$-trivial if and only if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant map $\tilde{\varphi} : X \to S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$ such that the diagram (6.1) is commutative;

Proof. (i) Since the determinant construction is functorial one has the natural isomorphism $\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty) \cong \varphi_2^T\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ establishes fiberwise (metric-preserving) isomorphisms $\varphi_2^T\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$ such that $\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$. More precisely, we need to use the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty), \det(\Xi)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & (X, \tau) \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
(\mathbb{Z}_2m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \pi(\mathcal{Z}_m))
\end{array}
$$

(ii) This consequence of the fact that the $\mathcal{R}$-triviality of an $\mathcal{R}$-line bundle (endowed with an equivariant metric) is equivalent to the existence of a global “Real” section of the associated circle bundle (cf. Remark 3.4).

Remark 6.2. It is rather evident that if $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant maps, then $\tilde{\varphi}_1 = u \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2$ for some $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant map $u : X \to U(1)$.

In order to define an universal FKMM-invariant we need to apply the pullback construction to the tautological $2m$-plane $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty, \Xi)$ with respect to the map (6.1) understood as an equivariant map between involutive base spaces. More precisely, we need to use the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\pi^T\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty, \pi^T\Xi) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & (\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty, \Xi) \\
\downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi' \\
(S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty), \det(\Xi)) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (\mathbb{Z}_2m(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \pi(\mathcal{Z}_m))
\end{array}
$$

Just for sake of completeness, we recall that the projection $\pi''$ is associated with the projection $\pi'$ by the pullback construction while the maps $\pi'$ and $\pi$ are related by the determinant construction, namely $\pi = \det(\pi')$ if one prefers the functorial notation. The peculiar structure of the $\mathcal{Q}$-bundle $(\pi^T\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty, \pi^T\Xi)$ is compatible with the construction of a FKMM-invariant. First of all, we notice that the fixed point set of the base space $(S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty), \det(\Xi))$ is non-empty; More precisely we will prove in Lemma 6.8 that

$$
S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)^\Xi := \{ x \in S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty) | \det(\Xi)(x) = x \} = G_m(\mathbb{H}^\infty) \cup G_m(\mathbb{H}^\infty)
$$

which shows that $S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)^\Xi$ decomposes as the disjoint union of two identical components that are path-connected $\pi_0(G_m(\mathbb{H}^\infty)) = 0$. The second important ingredient is contained in the next result.

Lemma 6.3. The rank $2m$ “Quaternionic” vector bundle $(\pi^T\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty, \pi^T\Xi)$ over the involutive space $(S_{=}\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty), \det(\Xi))$ is of FKMM-type according to Definition 3.6.

Proof. The functoriality of the determinant construction implies that $\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty) \cong \pi^T\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$. To complete the proof we only need to show that $\pi^T\det(\mathcal{Z}_m^\infty)$ is $\mathcal{R}$-trivial and we will show this fact in two different ways.
The first proof uses Lemma 6.1 (ii). Let us consider the diagram 6.2 with \((S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})), \det(\Xi))\) instead of \((X, \tau)\) and \(\varphi = \pi\). The identity map \(\text{Id} : \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) \to \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})\) provides a realization for the equivariant map \(\widetilde{\varphi}\), hence \(\det(\pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})\) is \(\mathcal{R}\)-trivial.

The second proof is more direct since it is based on the construction of an explicit trivialization. The pullback construction applied to diagram 6.3 shows that

\[
\pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) = \left\{ (x, y) \in S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})) \times \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) \mid \pi(x) = \pi(y) \right\}.
\]

Hence, the diagonal section

\[
s_{\text{diag}} : S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})) \to \pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}), \quad s_{\text{diag}}(x) := (x, x)
\]

provides a global equivariant section and defines a global \(\mathcal{R}\)-trivialization

\[
h_{\text{diag}} : \pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) \to S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})) \times \mathbb{C}, \quad h_{\text{diag}}(x, y) := (x, \varphi_{\text{diag}}(x))
\]

where the equivariant map \(\varphi_{\text{diag}} : \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) \to \mathbb{U}(1)\) is specified by the condition \(y = \varphi_{\text{diag}}(x) \cdot x\).

**Remark 6.4** (Diagonal section). Let us point out that the diagonal section \(s_{\text{diag}}\) defined in 6.5 can be seen as a global \(\mathcal{R}\)-section for the circle bundle \(S(\pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})) \to S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}))\). Moreover, it is evident that the relation

\[
s_{\text{diag}}(x) = h_{\text{diag}}^{-1}(x, 1) \quad \forall x \in S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}))
\]

holds true.

Owing to Lemma 6.3, the following definition is well posed.

**Definition 6.5** (Universal FKMM-invariant). The universal FKMM-invariant \(R_{\text{univ}}\) is the FKMM-invariant of the rank \(2m\) "Quaternionic" vector bundle \((\pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}, \pi^* \Xi)\) defined over the involutive space \((S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})), \det(\Xi))\), i.e.

\[
R_{\text{univ}} := k(\pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) \in [S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})), \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathcal{Z}_2} / \mathbb{Z}_2
\]

6.2. **Naturality.** The FKMM-invariant \(R_{\text{univ}}\) is a universal characteristic class for \(\text{Vec}_{\text{FKMM}}(X, \tau)\) in the sense that it acts naturally with respect to the homotopy classification of Theorem 2.12.

**Theorem 6.6** (Naturality of \(R_{\text{univ}}\)). Let \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) be a \(\mathcal{S}\)-bundle of FKMM-type (cf. Definition 3.6) over the involutive space \((X, \tau)\). Let \(\varphi : X \to \hat{G}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)\) be the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map which classifies \((\mathcal{E}, \Theta)\) (up to isomorphisms). Then

\[
k(\mathcal{E}) = \tilde{\varphi}^*(R_{\text{univ}})
\]

where the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(\tilde{\varphi} : X \to S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}))\) verifies \(\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi\) according to Diagram 6.2. Moreover, equality (6.6) is well defined in the sense that if \(\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2\) are two \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-equivariant maps such that \(\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_j = \varphi, j = 1, 2\) then \(\tilde{\varphi}_1^*(R_{\text{univ}}) = \tilde{\varphi}_2^*(R_{\text{univ}})\).

**Proof.** To establish equation (6.6) is quite easy, indeed

\[
k(\mathcal{E}) = k(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) = k(\tilde{\varphi}^* \circ \pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) = \tilde{\varphi}^* \kappa(\pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}) = \tilde{\varphi}^*(R_{\text{univ}}).
\]

In particular, in the first equality we used the invariance of \(k\) under isomorphisms and in the third equality we used the naturality of \(k\) under pullbacks (cf. Theorem 3.11). Of course, the above relation also implies \(\tilde{\varphi}_1^*(R_{\text{univ}}) = k(\mathcal{E}) = \tilde{\varphi}_2^*(R_{\text{univ}})\).

**Remark 6.7.** The "well-posedness" of definition 6.6 can also be established by a direct argument. By definition \(R_{\text{univ}} = k(\pi^* \mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})\) is represented by a \(\mathcal{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(\omega_{\text{univ}} : S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m})) \to \mathbb{U}(1)\) induced by the diagonal section \(s_{\text{diag}}\) restricted to the fixed point set \(S(\det(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{2m}))\). As argued in Remark 6.2, the two pullback sections \(s_{\text{diag}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_j, j = 1, 2\) are related by the multiplication by a \(\mathcal{Z}_2\)-equivariant map \(u : X \to \mathbb{U}(1)\). The pullback classes \(\tilde{\varphi}_j^*(R_{\text{univ}})\) are, by construction, represented by the maps \(\tilde{\varphi}_j^*(\omega_{\text{univ}}) := \omega_{\text{univ}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_j|_{X'}\) induced by the restricted sections \(s_{\text{diag}}|_{X'}\) and the difference between these two maps is exactly the multiplication by \(u|_{X'}\). However, this ambiguity is removed by the quotient with respect to the action of \([X, \mathbb{U}(1)]_{\mathcal{Z}_2}\) which appears in the definition of the FKMM-invariant.
6.3. Characterization of the universal FKMM-invariant. In this section we provide a useful characterization of the universal invariant $\mathcal{R}_{\text{univ}}$. First of all we need an analysis of the fixed point set of the space $S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty))$ under the involution given by the "Real" structure $\det(\Xi)$.

**Lemma 6.8.** The following topological isomorphism

$$S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)\Xi) \cong G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \sqcup G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}$$

holds true.

**Proof.** By Lemma [3.5] the restriction $\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}} \to G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-trivial and admits a canonical (metric-preserving) trivialization

$$\det_{G^\rho} : \det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}} \to G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \times \mathbb{C}$$

with related canonical section

$$s_{G^\rho} : G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \to S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}} \cong G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \times \mathbb{U}(1) \quad (6.7)$$

defined by $s_{G^\rho}(x) = \det_{G^\rho}^{-1}(x, 1)$. Because the bundle projection $\pi : \det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty) \to G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ is equivariant it follows that $S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)^\Xi) \subset \pi^{-1}(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}) \cap S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)) = S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}}$. On the other side the inclusion $\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}} \subset \det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)$ restricted at level of sphere-bundle and the isomorphism given by $\det_{G^\rho}$ provide

$$S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)^\Xi) = (S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|_{G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho}})^\Xi \cong G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \times \{\pm 1\} . \quad (6.8)$$

Equation (6.4) follows from Lemma 6.8 and the isomorphism $G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \cong G_m(\mathbb{H}^\infty)$ discussed in Section 2.4.

**Theorem 6.9.** The following isomorphism

$$[\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)^\Xi, \mathbb{U}(1)|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}]/[\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty), \mathbb{U}(1)|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (6.9)$$

holds true and under this identification $\mathcal{R}_{\text{univ}} = -1$ corresponds to the non trivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

**Proof.** First of all we notice that it is enough to show the isomorphism (6.9) in order to conclude also $\mathcal{R}_{\text{univ}} = -1$. Indeed, this is a consequence of the naturality property proved in Theorem 6.6 and the existence of non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}$-bundles (e.g. $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$).

As a consequence of the first isomorphism in (3.1), the proof of (6.9) is equivalent to show

$$\text{Coker}^1(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)|\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)^\Xi, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 .$$

Due to Lemma 6.8 we know that $\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)$ has two connected components and so we only need to prove $H^1(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and to apply Proposition [A,1] (c.2). To this end, let us consider the Gysin sequence

$$H^k(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^k(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_k} H^{k-1}(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup c_1} H^{k+1}(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) \to \ldots$$

for the complex line bundle $\pi : \det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty) \to G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$. The map on the third arrow is justified by the equality $c_1(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)) = c_1(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)$ and by the fact that $c_j(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty) = c_j \in H^2(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z})$ are, by definition, the generators of the cohomology ring $H^*(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \ldots, c_{2m}]$. When $k = 1$, the multiplication by $\cup c_1$ is an isomorphism, hence $i_1 = 0$. Since $H^1(G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, this implies $H^1(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. \hfill \blacksquare

Even if not necessary, we find instructive to compute $\mathcal{R}_{\text{univ}} = -1$ using the definition of the FKMM-invariant. Let us consider first the restriction of the diagonal section $s_{\text{diag}}$ [6.5] on the fixed point set $S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)) = G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \times \{\pm 1\}$. The last isomorphism says that we can identify each point in $S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty))$ with a pair $(x, e) \in G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)^{\rho} \times \{\pm 1\}$ and in view of the fact that $s_{\text{diag}}$ takes values in the circle bundle $S(\pi^*\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty))$ (cf. Remark 6.4) we can write

$$S(\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty))^\Xi \ni (x, e) \xrightarrow{s_{\text{diag}}} ((x), (x, e)) \in S(\pi^*\det(\mathcal{T}_{2m}^\infty)) . \quad (6.10)$$
On the other side the canonical equivariant section $s_{G^p}$ (6.1) can be represented as a map

$$G_{2m}(\mathbb{C}^2)^p \ni x \xrightarrow{s_{G^p}} (x, 1) \in S(\det(\mathcal{F}_{2m}^\infty))$$

in view of the equality (6.8). The pullback section

$$\pi^* s_{G^p} : S(\det(\mathcal{F}_{2m}^\infty)) \rightarrow \pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}_{2m}^\infty)$$

defined by Diagram [6.3] is still isometric, and when restricted to $S(\det(\mathcal{F}_{2m}^\infty)) \subseteq S(\pi^* \det(\mathcal{F}_{2m}^\infty))$ (cf. equation 6.8) it leads to

$$\text{A comparison between the diagonal section (6.10) and the canonical section (6.11) shows that the integer } 0 \text{ follows from a comparison between Definition 3.8 and Lemma 3.1. It is interesting to have conditions on } (X, \tau) \text{ which assure that } \text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1)) \text{ reduces to the simplest (non-trivial) abelian group } \mathbb{Z}_2.$$}

**Appendix A. Condition for a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-value FKMM-invariant**

By construction, the FKMM-invariant $\kappa(\mathcal{E})$ associated with a $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \Theta)$ over $(X, \tau)$ takes values in the cokernel

$$\text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1)) := H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, Z(1)) / r(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, Z(1))) .$$

This fact follows from a comparison between Definition 3.8 and Lemma 3.1. It is interesting to have conditions on $(X, \tau)$ which assure that $\text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1))$ reduces to the simplest (non-trivial) abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

**Proposition A.1.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an involutive space and assume that:

(a) $X$ verifies condition 0. of Definition 7.7.

(b) $X^T \neq \emptyset$ and consists of a finite number $N$ of path-connected components;

Under these assumptions a necessary condition for $\text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ is:

(c1) $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^b$ and $N \leq b + 2$.

and a sufficient condition is:

(c2) $b = 0$ and $N = 2$.

**Proof.** First of all, let us recall the exact sequence (cf. Proposition 2.3 in [Go])

$$0 \rightarrow H^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^0 (X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^1 (X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ldots$$

Since $X$ is connected and has at least one fixed point, the exact sequence above reduce to

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^1 (X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1 (X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^b$$

where $\tilde{H}^j$ is the standard notation for the reduced cohomology groups. Therefore, $\tilde{H}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^b$ and so $\tilde{H}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{b_-}$ for some $b_- \leq b$. Moreover, $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ summand for each connected component. This is evident from the isomorphism $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \text{Map}(X^T, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which is a consequence of the first of (3.1). Let us now estimate the size of $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{b_-}$ in $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ under the restriction map $r$. Evidently, $r(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1))) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^a$ for some integer $0 \leq a \leq N$. On the other hand, the direct summand $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\ast, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ in $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq [X, U(1)]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ consists of constant maps $X \to \pm 1$. Hence the image of this $\mathbb{Z}_2$ summand under $r$ is a non-trivial subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1))$. The remaining summand $\mathbb{Z}^{b_-}$ in $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ as an image under $r$ which can be at most isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{b_-}$. Therefore, one has the inequality $a \leq 1 + b_-$. The condition $\text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ is equivalent to $a = N - 1$ and this equality can be verified only if $N \leq b_- + 2 \leq b + 2$. In the case $N = 2$ and $b = 0$ one has $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Both these groups are represented by constant maps with values $\pm 1$ and the action of $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ on $H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (X^T, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is diagonal. This implies that $\text{Coker}^1(X|X^T, Z(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. ■
A formula for the cokernel of \( \bar{T}^d \) can be explicitly derived.

**Proposition A.2.** Let \( \bar{T}^d = (T^d, \tau) \) the involutive torus described in Definition [A.4] then

\[
\text{Coker}^1(\bar{T}^d, (\bar{T}^d)^r, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{2d-(d+1)} \quad \forall d \geq 1.
\]

**proof (sketch of).** One starts with \( H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bar{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^d \) and \( H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bar{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d \) (e.g., one can use the recursive relations [DG1], eq. (5.9)). Let \( 0 \leq a \leq 2^d \) be an integer such that \( r(H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bar{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1))) = \mathbb{Z}_2^d \) where \( r \) is the restriction map in cohomology induced by the inclusion \( \iota : (\bar{T}^d)^r \hookrightarrow \bar{T}^d \). To conclude the proof is enough to show that \( a = d + 1 \). Since \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is a field, we can think of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) as a vector space over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). We recall that the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-summand in \( H^1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bar{T}^d, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \) is generated by the constant map \( \epsilon : \bar{T}^d \rightarrow -1 \) and the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-summand by the \( d \) canonical projections \( \pi_j : \bar{T}^d = \bar{T}^1 \times \ldots \times \bar{T}^1 \rightarrow \bar{T}^1 \cong U(1) \). The set \((\bar{T}^1)^r \) contains two fixed points which can be parametrized with \( \pm 1 \). This leads to a bijection between \((\bar{T}^d)^r \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_2^d \). Let us fix an order for the \( 2^d \) points in \( \mathbb{Z}_2^d \), i.e., \( \mathbb{Z}_2^d = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2^d}\} \) where \( v_k := (v^1_k, \ldots, v^d_k) \) with \( v^j_k \in \{\pm 1\} \), \( k = 1, \ldots, 2^d \), \( j = 1, \ldots, d \). The map \( r \), which coincides with the evaluations on the fixed points, sends \( \epsilon \) to a vectors \( r(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d \) represented as \( r(\epsilon) = (-1, \ldots, -1) \). Similarly, the \( d \) vectors \( r(\pi_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d \) are given by \( r(\pi_j) := (\pi_j(v_1), \ldots, \pi_j(v_{2^d})) \) with \( \pi_j(v_k) := v^j_k \). The linear independence of \( \{r(\epsilon), r(\pi_1), \ldots, r(\pi_d)\} \) can be checked by the help of the Gauss elimination and this shows that \( a = d + 1 \). \( \blacksquare \)

**The proof of Proposition 4.9.** Let \((X, \tau)\) be an involutive space which verifies Assumption 4.8 and \( X^\tau = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \) is the fixed point set for some integer \( N > 0 \). Let us fix some notation: For each \( x_j \in X^\tau \) let \( D_j \subset X \) be a disk (closed, contractible set with boundary \( \partial D_j = S^1 \)) which contains \( x_j \). We can choose sufficiently small disks \( D_j \) such that \( D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \) and \( \tau(D_j) = D_j \) (this is a consequence of the slice Theorem [HS], Chapter I, Section 3) as in the proof of Lemma 4.10. Let us set \( D := \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i \) and \( X' := X \setminus \text{Int}(D) \). By construction \( X' \) is a manifold with boundary \( \partial D \approx \bigcup_{i=1}^N S^1 \) on which the involution \( \tau \) acts freely. The orbit space \( X / \tau \) has boundary \( \partial(X / \tau) = (\partial X) / \tau \).

As a first step, let us prove that \( N = 2n \) for some integer \( n > 0 \). From the assumptions it follows that a choice of a Riemannian metric on \( X \) makes it into a Riemann surface (a complex manifold of dimension 1) without boundary. By means of the average construction we can assume without loss of generality that the metric is \( \tau \)-invariant. Because \( \tau : X \rightarrow X \) preserves the orientation, we can think of it as a holomorphic map. Then, the quotient \( X / \tau \) also gives rise to a Riemann surface, and the projection \( \pi : X \rightarrow X / \tau \) is holomorphic. In particular \( \pi \) is a ramified double covering with \( N \) branching points \( x_1, \ldots, x_N \). The Riemann-Hurwitz formula [GH], Chapter 2] tells us

\[
g(X/\tau) = 2g(X) + 2 - N,
\]
where \( g \) denotes the genus of the related Riemann surface, hence \( N = 2n \) has to be even. The Riemann surface \( X' \) has genus \( g = g(X) \) and \( 2n \) boundary components, hence

\[
H^k(X', \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2(g+n)-1} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } k \geq 2
\end{cases} \quad (A.1)
\]

The Riemann surface \( X'/\tau \) is obtained by removing \( 2n \) disks around the branching points, hence \( g(X'/\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(g + 1 - n) \) and it has \( 2n \) boundary components. This implies

\[
H^k(X'/\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2n} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } k \geq 2
\end{cases} \quad (A.2)
\]

Now, we can prove that \( H^2_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0 \). We start with the exact sequence (cf. Proposition 2.3 in [Go])

\[
H^k(X'/\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H^k_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^k_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H^{k+1}(X'/\tau, \mathbb{Z})
\]

where the fact that the action of \( \tau \) is free on \( X' \) has been used. From (A.1) it follows that \( H^k_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq H^k(X', \mathbb{Z}) \) for all \( k \geq 2 \) and so equation (A.1) implies \( H^k_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0 \) for all \( k \geq 2 \). Next, we use the Meyer-Vietoris sequence for \( (X', \mathcal{D}) \), i.e.

\[
H^1_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \oplus H^1_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \overset{\Delta}{\to} H^1_{Z_2}(X' \cap \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^2_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^2_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \oplus H^2_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1))
\]

where the difference homomorphism \( \Delta \) is given by \( \Delta(a_{X'}, a_{\mathcal{D}}) = -a_{X'}|_{X' \cap \mathcal{D}} + a_{\mathcal{D}}|_{X' \cap \mathcal{D}} \) for \( a_{X'} \in H^1_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq [X', \mathcal{U}(1)]_{Z_2} \) and \( a_{\mathcal{D}} \in H^1_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}(1)]_{Z_2} \). Here we used the isomorphism (3.1)). Since each connected component \( D_j \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) is equivariantly contractible \( H^1_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \) consists of locally constant functions with values in \( \{ \pm 1 \} \). On the other side, the action of \( \tau \) is free on \( X' \cap \mathcal{D} \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{2n} S^1 \) and \( (X' \cap \mathcal{D})/\tau \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{2n} S^1 \) since \( \tau \) acts isomorphically to the antipodal map on each component. This yields

\[
H^2_{Z_2}(X' \cap \mathcal{D}, Z(1)) \simeq H^2(S^1, \mathbb{Z}(1))^{2n} = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}^{2n} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } k \neq 1
\end{cases}
\]

Since also \( H^2_{Z_2}(X' \cap \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \) can be represented by locally constant functions from \( X' \cap \mathcal{D} \) to \( \{ \pm 1 \} \) it follows that the map \( H^1_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^1_{Z_2}(X' \cap \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \) is surjective. Moreover, \( H^2_{Z_2}(X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq 0 \) and \( H^2_{Z_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \approx \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{2n} H^2_{Z_2}(\{ \ast \}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \approx 0 \) imply \( H^2_{Z_2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0 \).

As the last step we prove that \( H^2_{Z_2}(X|X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \). By the homotopy axiom and the excision axiom for the Borel cohomology theory, we get isomorphisms

\[
H^2_{Z_2}(X|X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq H^2_{Z_2}(X|\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \simeq H^2_{Z_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}(1))
\]

Let us consider the exact sequence [Go] Proposition 2.3]

\[
\mathbb{Z} \overset{f}{\to} H^2_{Z_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2_{Z_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \to H^3_{Z_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}) = 0
\]

associated with a map \( f \) which “forgets” the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action. We recall that \( \tau \) acts freely on \( X' \) and \( f \) can be identified with the pullback by the projection \( \pi : X' \to X'/\tau \). Then, after an application of the Poincaré duality and the universal coefficient theorem to (A.2) we get

\[
H^2_{Z_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(X'/\tau|\partial X'/\tau, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}^{2n} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 2 \\
0 & \text{if } k \neq 1, 2
\end{cases}
\]
In the same way, one deduces from (A.1) that $H^2(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Because the projection $\pi : X \to X/\tau$ is an honest double covering, $f$ is a two-to-one map. This allows us to conclude that $H^2_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X'|\partial X', \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

**APPENDIX B. SPATIAL PARITY AND QUATERNIONIC VECTOR BUNDLES**

Let us introduce the spatial parity operator $\hat{I}$ defined by $(\hat{I}\psi)(x) = \psi(-x)$ for vectors $\psi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L$ (continuous case) or in $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^L$ (periodic case). A Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is parity-invariant if $\hat{I}\hat{H}\hat{I} = \hat{H}$.

**Proposition B.1.** Let us denote with $\text{AII}_0$ the class of $\text{AII}$ topological insulators which are parity-invariant. System of type $\text{AII}_0$ are classified by quaternionic vector bundles.

The classification of quaternionic vector bundles in dimension $d = 1, \ldots, 4$ is almost trivial; In fact [Hu, Chapter 9, Theorem 1.2] assures that for each rank of the fiber the stable rank condition is verified and just by looking at the $KSp$-theory (see Table C.2 & Table C.3) one obtains

$$\text{Vec}_{\text{H}}^m(T^d) = \text{Vec}_{\text{H}}^m(S^d) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = 1, 2, 3 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d = 4 \end{cases} \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Therefore, the presence of a spatial parity destroys any topological effect for systems of class $\text{AII}$.

**APPENDIX C. AN OVERVIEW TO $KQ$-THEORY**

According to [DG1, LM] we denote with $KQ(X, \tau)$ the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector bundles over the involutive space $(X, \tau)$. We refer also to [LM, Section 3.6] for pedagogical description of the $KQ$-theory and its relation with the Atiyah’s $KR$-theory. Restricting to fixed point set $X^\tau$ (hereafter assumed non empty) one has a homomorphism $KQ(X, \tau) \to KQ(X^\tau, \text{Id}_X) \cong KSp(X^\tau)$, where $KSp$ denotes the $K$-theory for vector bundles with quaternionic fiber (cf. Husemöller-94).

The reduced group $\overline{KQ}(X, \tau)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $KQ(X, \tau) \to KQ(*)$ where $* \in X$ is a $\tau$-invariant base point. When $X$ is compact one has the usual relation

$$KQ(X, \tau) = \overline{KQ}(X, \tau) \oplus KQ(*) = \overline{KQ}(X, \tau) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad (C.1)$$

where we used $KQ(*) \cong KSp(*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the isomorphism

$$\overline{KR}(X, \tau) = \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \tau) := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \tau) \quad (C.2)$$

establishes the fact that $\overline{KQ}(X, \tau)$ provides the description for $\mathbb{Q}$-bundles in the stable regime (i.e. when the rank of the fiber is assumed to be sufficiently large).

As for the $KR$-theory also the $KQ$-theory can be endowed with a grading structure as follows: first of all one introduces the groups

$$KQ^j(X, \tau) := KQ(X \times D^{0,j}; X \times S^{0,j}, \tau \times \vartheta)$$

$$KQ^{-j}(X, \tau) := KQ(X \times D^{0,j}; X \times S^{0,j}, \tau)$$

where $D^{p,q}$ and $S^{p,q}$ are the unit ball and unit sphere in the space $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} := \mathbb{R}^p \oplus i\mathbb{R}^q$ made involutive by the complex conjugation (cf. [DG1, Example 4.2]). The relative group $KQ(X; Y, \tau)$ of an involutive space $(X, \tau)$ with respect to a $\tau$-invariant subset $Y \subset X$ is defined as $\overline{KQ}(X; Y, \tau)$ and corresponds to the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{Q}$-bundles over $X$ which vanish on $Y$. The negative groups $KQ^{-j}$ agree with the usual suspension groups since the spaces $D^{0,j}$ and $S^{0,j}$ are invariant while the positive groups $KQ^j$ are “twisted” suspension groups since $D^{0,j}$ and $S^{0,j}$ are endowed with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action of the antipodal map $\vartheta$. With respect to this grading the $KQ$ groups are 8-periodic, i.e.

$$KQ^j(X, \tau) \cong \overline{KQ}^{j+8}(X, \tau), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

If $X$ has fixed points one can extend the isomorphism (C.1) for negative groups:

$$KQ^{-j}(X, \tau) \cong \overline{KQ}^{-j}(X, \tau) \oplus KQ^{-j}(*), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad (C.3)$$
where $KQ^{-j}(\ast) \simeq KSp^{-j}(\ast)$ for all $j$.

| $j$  | 0   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $KQ^{-j}(\ast)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0   | 0   | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | 0   |

Table C.1. The table is calculated using $KQ^{-j}(\ast) \simeq KSp^{-j}(\ast) \simeq KO(S^{j+4})$ [Kar, Theorem 5.19, Chapter III]. The Bott periodicity implies $KQ^{-j}(\ast) \simeq KQ^{-j-8}(\ast)$.

Finally, the following connection with KR-theory

$$KQ^j(X, \tau) \simeq KR^{j+4}(X, \tau), \quad \tilde{KQ}^j(X, \tau) \simeq \tilde{KR}^{j+4}(X, \tau) \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (C.4)$$

has been proved in [Du].

We can use equation (C.4) to compute the $KQ$-theory for the involutive sphere $\tilde{S}^d \equiv (S^d, \tau)$ by means of the KR-theory. Indeed, one has that

$$\tilde{KQ}(\tilde{S}^d) \simeq \tilde{KR}(\tilde{S}^d) \simeq \tilde{KR}(\tilde{S}^{d+4}) \mod 8 \quad (C.5)$$

where in the last isomorphism we used the equivariant reduced suspension formula [DG1, eq. (A.6)].

| $d$  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $\tilde{K}(S^d)$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| $KQ(\tilde{S}^d)$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0   | 0   | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| $KSp(\tilde{S}^d)$ | 0   | 0   | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ |

Table C.2. The reduced $KQ$ groups are computed with the help of the formula (C.5) and the Table B.3 in [DG1]. The reduced $K$ groups for complex and quaternionic vector bundles are computed in [Hu, Chapter 9, Corollary 5.2]. We recall the relation $\tilde{KO}(S^d) \simeq \tilde{KSp}(S^{d+4}) \mod 8$ which relates the $K$-theories of real and quaternionic vector bundles.

In order to compute the $KQ$ groups for $TR$-tori we can adapt the formula [DG1, eq. (B.7)] with the help of (C.4) in order to obtain

$$KQ^{-j}(\tilde{T}^d) \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{d} (KQ^{-(j-n)}(\ast))^\oplus \binom{d}{n} \quad (C.6)$$

| $d$  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $\tilde{K}(T^d)$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{15}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{31}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{63}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{127}$ |
| $KQ(\tilde{T}^d)$ | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{20}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{15} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{35}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{56}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{71} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{84}$ |
| $KSp(\tilde{T}^d)$ | 0   | 0   | 0   | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{15} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^7$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{28}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2^{71} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{84}$ |

Table C.3. The groups $KQ(\tilde{T}^d)$ are obtained from equation (C.6) and the isomorphism (C.5).

In the quaternionic case a recursive formula can be derived from the isomorphism $KSp^{-j}(S^1 \times Y) \simeq KSp^{-j}(Y) \oplus KSp^{-j}(Y)$.
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