Evaluating the capabilities and challenges of layer-fMRI VASO at 3T
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ABSTRACT

Sub-millimeter functional imaging has the potential to capture cortical layer-specific functional information flow within and across brain systems. Recent sequence advancements of fMRI signal readout and contrast generations resulted in wide adaptation of layer-fMRI protocols across the global ultra-high-field (UHF) neuroimaging community. However, most layer-fMRI applications are confined to one of ~100 privileged UHF imaging centers, and sequence contrasts with unwanted sensitivity to large draining veins. In this work, we propose the application of vein-signal free vascular space occupancy (VASO) layer-fMRI sequences at widely accessible 3T scanners. Specifically, we implement, characterize, and apply a cerebral blood volume (CBV)-sensitive VASO fMRI at a 3T scanner setup, as it is typically used in the majority of cognitive neuroscience and clinical neuroscience fMRI studies. We find that the longer $T_2^*$, and stronger relative $T_1$ contrast at 3T can account for some of the lower z-magnetization in the inversion-recovery VASO sequence compared with 7T and 9.4T. In the main series of experiments (N=16), we test the utility of this setup for motor tasks and find that—while being limited by thermal noise—3T layer-fMRI VASO is feasible within conventional scan durations. In a series of auxiliary studies, we furthermore explore the generalizability of the developed layer-fMRI protocols for a larger range of study designs including as follows: visual stimulation, whole-brain movie-watching paradigms, and cognitive tasks with weaker effect sizes. We hope that the developed imaging protocols will help to increase accessibility of vein-signal free layer-fMRI imaging tools to a wider community of neuroimaging centers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recent methodological advances in fMRI contrast and readout strategies allow researchers to approach the mesoscopic regime of cortical layers. This enables a mapping of cortical information processing within and across brain systems. Layer-fMRI has the potential to provide circuitry information of neural processing that was previously only accessible with invasive procedures in animal research (1). Until now, however, most layer-fMRI studies have been confined to specialized ultra-high-field (UHF) equipment (7–9.4 Tesla) and venous-biased sequences, which is problematic (2, 3). This limits layer-fMRI to fulfill its potential in becoming a useful research tool for cognitive and clinical neuroscience. Specifically:

1. The need for UHF scanners limits layer-fMRI applications to ~100 MRI labs globally and prohibits the widespread adoption of layer-fMRI as a neuroscience-imaging tool. Layer-fMRI at 3T (4–15) can increase the availability of layer-fMRI worldwide by two orders of magnitude. However, only about 8% of all the human layer-fMRI papers used 3T (source: https://layerfmri.com/papers/).

2. The conventional fMRI contrast of gradient-echo (GE) BOLD imposes unwanted signal bias in the superficial layers (10). This complicates the neural interpretability of fMRI signal changes in the superficial layers. The additional application of cerebral blood volume (CBV)-sensitive vascular space occupancy (VASO) methods can mitigate such biases (16, 17). VASO is a non-invasive fMRI sequence approach that is sensitive to changes in CBV changes by means of an inversion-recovery contrast generation. In VASO fMRI, an inversion-recovery pulse sequence is used to selectively null out blood water magnetization at the time of the image acquisition, while leaving extravascular signals for detection. An increase in CBV during task-evoked neural activation is then associated with an overall MR-signal decrease, which in-turn is believed to be proportional to the volume increase of nullled blood.

In this study, we aim to address both constraints with a new imaging methodology of a 3T-optimized VASO layer-fMRI sequence that utilizes a 3D-EPI readout (18, 19).

While layer-fMRI VASO has been successfully applied in dozens of 7T research labs, its limited detection sensitivity has so far discouraged researchers to test its feasibility at 3T. Here, we want to argue that, theoretically, it should be quite straightforward to translate layer-fMRI VASO from 7T to 3T, which is not the case for conventional BOLD:

- GE-BOLD is based on a susceptibility contrast that scales supra-linearly with the field strengths (20, 21). Additionally at 7T, BOLD benefits from the generally increasing SNR increase with multichannel coils at higher field strength of a factor 3.1 between 3T and 7T (22). This means that (in the thermal noise dominated regime of layer-fMRI) the voxel-wise BOLD detection sensitivity is reduced by more than a factor of 7.2 going from 7T to 3T. This minimum expected CNR reduction is estimated as the product of SNR reduction 3.1 (22) and lower limit of field strengths dependent linear susceptibility reduction of 7T/3T. A factor of at least 7.2 is a large SNR penalty and can be a limiting constraint for BOLD at 3T. VASO, on the other hand, is a T₂-based contrast. Thus, VASO does not suffer from the reduction of the susceptibility contrast at lower field strengths. Hence, in VASO, the expected sensitivity reduction is “only” in the order of a factor 3.3.

- Figure 1A depicts that 3T VASO can benefit from the stronger blood-tissue T₂ contrast compared with 7T. While blood and tissue T₂ values decrease with weaker field strengths, the relative difference between blood and tissue increases. Depending on the repetition times and flip angles used, this can boost the available relative GM magnetization at the blood-nulling time, which ultimately increases the VASO detection sensitivity at 3T compared with 7T. This effect can account for the lower absolute z-magnetization at 3T compared with 7T (see Fig. 1A).

- Layer-fMRI VASO at 7T is challenged by the fast T₂* signal decay during relatively long EPI readouts with large imaging matrix sizes. At 3T, the T₂* decay is slower, which helps maintain the detection sensitivity across longer echo train lengths. Furthermore, the slower decay also helps to maintain the sharpness of the imaging point spread function (see Fig. 1B). Depending on the echo time and readout duration, this can boost the VASO sensitivity at 3T compared with 7T.

- High-resolution EPI comes along with large readout trains and, thus, it can be limited by low bandwidths (in the phase encoding direction). This makes layer-fMRI at 7T prone to phase-inconsistency artifacts and to intermittent ghosting (example in Fig. 1C). At 3T, such artifacts are mitigated, which ultimately increases the layer-fMRI sensitivity at 3T compared with 7T.

The goal of this study is to implement, characterize, and validate an imaging methodology of 3T CBV-weighted layer-fMRI. We seek to quantify the feasibility of layer-fMRI VASO at 3T for the test case of motor tasks (main study). Furthermore, we aim to perform exploratory supplementary studies to investigate the generalizability of the developed protocols for a larger range of study designs including as follows: visual stimulation, whole-brain movie-watching paradigms, and cognitive tasks with weaker effect sizes. Finally, we aim to compare the protocol across 3T, 7T and 9.4T and investigate potential 3T-specific intravascular BOLD contaminations.
2. METHODS

N=16 participants were scanned on a SIEMENS Prisma (64ch coil) with a 3D-EPI sequence developed by Stirnberg and Stöcker (19) for VASO imaging with whole-brain MAGEC capabilities (27). All procedures carried out in this study were approved by the Ethics Review Committee for Psychology and Neuroscience (ERCPN) at Maastricht University (ERCPN-180_03_06_2017) and the Ethics Committee of the Paris-Lodron-University of Salzburg (EK-GZ 20/2014), following the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Across all 3T experiments, the participants were placed in the scanner bore, such that the imaging region is close to the isocenter. The RF transmit birdcage body coil of the Prisma scanners used here has a length of 50 cm. The VASO inversion pulse was played out “globally” (without a slab-selective gradient). This means that the inversion slab thickness was determined by the size of the transmit coil. In addition, given the head positioning at the isocenter, the inversion was effectively confined to the participant’s head and neck regions. In the SS-SI VASO approach used here, an inversion slab of at least 14 cm is necessary to mitigate inflow effects of fresh blood (28). However, the inversion slab should be small enough to allow refilling the “fresh” blood between inversion pulses (here 4.7s). Thus, it was relevant here that the blood in the heart was outside the inversion slab. We used an adiabatic Hypersecant 6 pulse for inversion.

2.1. Main scanning protocol (motor)

A slab protocol (26 slices) was used for motor experiments. Imaging parameters were as follows: FOV 177 mm, isotropic nominal resolution of 0.82 mm, TE=28.5 ms, $TR_{shot}=80.6$ ms, transmit reference voltage=250 V,

![Fig. 1. Expected advantages of 3T over 7T for layer-fMRI VASO.](image-url)

Panel (A): At 3T, the relative difference between GM and blood $T_1$ relaxation is stronger than at 7T. This is despite the fact that $T_1$ values increase with field strengths. The stronger $T_1$ contrast at 3T results in larger $M_0$ magnetization (in units of $M_0$) at the blood-nulling time. $T_1$ values are based on Li and colleagues (23-26).

Panel (B): At 3T, the slower $T_2^*$-decay results in reduced signal decay during the readout. With the relatively large matrix sizes in layer-fMRI (>200), this can boost the transverse magnetization $M_x/y$ (in units of $M_0$), and result in sharper images.

Panel (C): Quite common EPI image quality at 7T and 3T. The $B_0$ and $B_1$ inhomogeneity at 7T results in phase errors and signal brightness variations. These artifacts can be temporally unstable and limit the fMRI detection sensitivity. Such artifacts are reduced at 3T.
read bandwidth = 772 Hz/Px, echo spacing=1.4 ms, partial Fourier=6/8, \( k_z \) GRAPPA 3 (effective echo spacing=0.47 ms, phase encode bandwidth=9.9 Hz/Px). An inversion delay of 550 ms was used to acquire the k-space center of the VASO imaging readout approximately at the \( M_z \)-nulling time of once-inverted (non-steady-state) blood. Variable flip angles were used to mitigate \( T_1 \) relaxation related blurring in the partition direction: flip angles=33.1°–60.0°. Water-selective excitation was done with binomial 1-1 pulses having a bandwidth time product of 8. One initial external phase navigator scan was acquired and applied globally (19). The acquisition of each complete k-space volume was \( T_{\text{Rvol}} = 2.075 \) s. This means that it took \( T_{\text{Rpar}} = 4.7 \) s to acquire a pair of BOLD and VASO images. All images were reconstructed using a generic vendor-preinstalled GRAPPA (29) implementation compatible with 2D CAIPIRINHA (30) using (“IcePAT”) (31) with a 3D GRAPPA kernel of \( k_z = 5, k_y = 4, k_x = 3 \). The slab orientation was axial and covered the superior part of the primary motor cortex BA4B (see Fig. 2A). Complex-valued signals of all 64 RF receive channels were combined with the vendor provided software Prescan Normalize method (32), which can be advantageous in areas close to small coil elements (33). We used the specific adaptive combine algorithm EVD_PSNPC (eigenvalue decomposition with adjusted coil sensitivity phase correction). This protocol was tested on 16 participants.

### 2.2. Other scanning protocols for exploratory purposes

To explore the generalizability of the results from motor tasks (described above), we extended it for other types of experiments. This includes layer-fMRI in visual areas, whole-brain protocols, multi-echo protocols, and one field strength comparison.

#### 2.2.1. Visual protocol

For visual stimulation experiments, we applied almost the same slab imaging parameter as described above. The only major difference was that the slab position was lowered to cover the calcarine sulcus (Fig. 2B). Further minor differences were as follows: number of slices was 28, which increased the \( T_{\text{Rpar}} \) to 5.1 s. The slab orientation was axial-like with a bit of tilting. Data were acquired in 16 participants; however, only one representative dataset was analyzed and is shown here.

#### 2.2.2. Whole-brain protocol

To explore the feasibility of whole-brain layer-specific connectivity analyses, we extended the slab protocol described above to 120 slices for an imaging coverage large enough to capture the entire cortex in conventional head sizes. Since the readout of so many slices would be longer than the \( T_1 \) recovery, we spread out the acquisition of a complete set of k-space partitions across four inversion-recovery periods with effective inversion times of 1.2 s and 2.5 s, for VASO and BOLD, respectively (acquired every 3.5 s). We used an in-plane segmentation factor of 2 with an effective shot-specific readout duration of \( T_{\text{Rpar}} = 43 \) ms (effective echo spacing of 0.23 ms, phase encode bandwidth of 19.8 Hz/Px). The echo time was 15.6 ms. In addition to the in-plane acceleration of 3, we applied acceleration of 2 in the second phase encoding direction (total undersampling factor of 6). This protocol was tested in two sessions.

#### 2.2.3. Multi-echo protocol to assess BOLD contaminations

To assess the effectiveness of BOLD correction in VASO sequences, additional two participants were invited and scanned with segmented multi-echo protocols. Protocol parameters were as follows: skipped-CAIPI \( 6 \times 3z \times 2 \) sampling without z-blips (19) (no \( k_z \) GRAPPA, \( k_y \)-GRAPPA 3, 6 in-plane segments with \( k_z \) CAIPI shift 2), one inversion per three segments, two echo times (12 ms and 48 ms), 0.9 mm isotropic. Image matrix size 212x216x12 with a FOV of 200mm×200mm×11mm. Four volumes were acquired every 5.2 s (effective temporal resolution): VASO\(_{12}^{\text{TE}}, \text{VASO}_{24}^{\text{TE}} \), BOLD\(_{12}^{\text{TE}}, \text{BOLD}_{24}^{\text{TE}} \). Six runs (12 min each) were obtained in two participants.

#### 2.2.4. Field strengths comparison in one participant

While the resolution and the task trial timing in the main experiment is designed to provide results that can be compared with previous layer-fMRI VASO studies at 7T and 9.4T (34), these experiments have been conducted with different participants. To have a more direct comparison across field strengths, we reached out to previous participants that had already conducted layer-fMRI VASO experiments at 9.4T and 7T. One participant volunteered to repeat the same experiment at 3T with the newly developed layer-fMRI protocol. In accordance with previous 7T and 9.4T experiments, the imaging field of view was solely covering the motor cortex of one hemisphere with a tilted imaging slab aligned perpendicular to the central sulcus (see Fig. 2E).

### 2.3. Stimulation and task

#### 2.3.1. Main experiment with finger-tapping task

The motor task consisted of 16-min alternating activation-rest blocks. Periods of activation and rest were alternated every 14 and 12 volume acquisitions for activation and rest, respectively (32.9 s and 28.2 s, respectively). During activation periods, participants were instructed to perform a thumb-index finger pinch movement every 1–2 s. Each 16-min run was executed twice per session.

#### 2.3.2. Supplementary tasks

To test the generalizability of the motor results for the visual cortex, we also used a visual task. Namely, we used a visual repetition priming design, in which line drawings...
The participants were instructed to perform a finger-tapping task as fast as they can with both hands, whenever they see a flickering checkerboard. Activation and rest periods were alternated every 12 TRs (2.6 s each). For comparisons across field strengths, the trial timing of the tapping task from the main experiment described above was modified to match with the timing of previous experiments (34). Namely, we used a unilateral tapping of the right hand only, with activation-rest periods of 33 s, each. This was repeated 15 times.

2.4. Offline data processing

2.4.1. Data conversion

Dicom images from the scanner were sorted according to the ICE dimension of “sets” and converted to nii time series with isisconv (Enrico Raimer, Der Orfa, MPI Leipzig) using the script available at https://github.com/layerf-MRI/repository/blob/master/conv/conv_Kronbichler.sh.

---

| Timing | Task | Coverage |
|--------|------|----------|
| A) Main experiment: finger tapping | | |
| **left** | **rest** | **left** | **rest** | **right** | **rest** | **left** | **rest** | **right** | **rest** | **left** | **rest** | **right** | **rest** | **left** | **rest** | **right** | **rest** |
| 26 VASO-BOLD pairs (7 for activation and 6 for rest; 33 s and 28 s, respectively) | 8 pair trials were used per run (16:18 min:sec) |

| B) visual object viewing (exploratory protocol) | | |
| **same** | **rest** | **diff** | **rest** | **same** | **rest** | **diff** | **...** |
| 22 VASO-BOLD pairs (6 for activation and 5 for rest) | 8 pair trials were used per run |

| C) movie watching (exploratory protocol) | | |
| **movie clips** | **rest** | **15:21 min:sec** |

| D) visuomotor with bilateral finger tapping (multi-echo protocol) | | |
| **checker board** & **bilateral tapping** | **...** |
| 12 VASO-BOLD pairs (6 per epoch of 31.2s) | 12 trials were used per run (12:30 min:sec) |

| E) unilateral finger tapping (field strength comparison) | | |
| **right thumb-index finger tapping** (0.5-1Hz) | **...** |
| 20 VASO-BOLD pairs (10 per epoch of 33s) | 15 trials were used per run (18 min) |

---

Fig. 2. Task timings and coverages used for the main experiments and supplementary experiments.

of objects were presented. In repetition epochs, always the same object picture was presented. Whereas in alternating epochs, different object pictures were used. In both types of epochs, the visual angle of subsequent visual stimuli was alternated to reduce low-level visual cortex adaptation.

To test the generalizability of the motor results for whole-brain protocols, we also used movie-watching tasks. Namely, we used the 15 min collection of movie clips that are established for exploring advanced fMRI methodology from the 7T HCP study (aka MOVIE1). This collection consists of five separate short stories (1:03–4:05 min) interspaced with 20 s of rest. The clips are from independent films freely available under a creative commons license and are entitled: Two Men (2009), Welcome to 221 Bridgeville (2011), Pockets (2008), Inside the Human Body (2011), 23 Degrees South (2011), and LXIV (2011).

To characterize the echo-time dependence of the BOLD-corrected VASO signal, we used a visuomotor task, consisting of a full-field flickering checkerboard.
2.4.2. Motion correction and BOLD correction

Concomitantly acquired time series consisting of blood-nulled and BOLD contrasts were separately corrected for motion using SPM12 (Functional Imaging Laboratory, University College London, UK). Motion-corrected time series were corrected for BOLD contaminations, by means of dynamically dividing blood-nulled signals with not-nulled BOLD signals. To mitigate non-steady state effects, the division was performed on a twofold temporally upsampled time series. This form of BOLD correction in SS-SI VASO has been originally been developed, described, and validated for 7T imaging (28) and is based on the assumption that the VASO \( T_1 \) contrast (in the \( M_z \) direction) is completely orthogonal to the BOLD \( T_2^* \)-contrast (in the \( M_y \) direction). To minimize noise amplification in the division operation, the BOLD correction was applied on run-averaged time series. Activation GLM analyses were conducted using FSL FEAT (Version 6.0.0). TheFeat design used here is available on Github: https://github.com/layerfMRI/repository/tree/master/3T_VASO_scripts/Feat_GLM.

2.4.3. ROI selection

Due to the limited sensitivity of sub-millimeter VASO fMRI at 3T, we used a combined approach of VASO and BOLD to select the regions of interest (ROIs). First, for an initial large-scale ROI selection, we used the BOLD clusters (FSL feat, GLM result) after spatial smoothing of 1 mm and binarized them. Then, we diluted them further by 2 voxels. This was done to ensure that the ROIs spanned across the entire cortical depth. Based on these large-scale pre-selected ROIs, we ultimately performed layerification in voxels that fulfilled the following criteria:

1. The voxels needed to be located in or at GM. Tissue-type segmentation was performed manually based on the inherent \( T_1 \) contrast of the mean VASO EPI (underlay in Fig. 4).
2. The voxels had to be located in the Brodmann area BA4a. This means that we only focused on the lateral side of the hand knob in the precentral gyrus.
3. The signal was extracted from one entire patch of the cortex that had to be connected (without holes) that had to span across all layers of the cortical depth and had to be at least as wide as the cortical thickness. This criterion was chosen to avoid sampling biases of different detection sensitivity across cortical depth.

The exact commands and the order they were executed with are available at https://github.com/layerfMRI/repository/tree/master/3T_VASO_scripts. Nii files of all ROIs are available for download as part of the shared dataset on the Dataverse repository.

2.4.4. Layerification and layer-signal extraction

Layerification was performed with the LN2 LAYERS program in LayNii (version 2.2.0 [35]) with the equivolume principle (36, 37). We included the rim of the GM segmentation as the outermost layer in our analysis. The equivolume depth estimates were binned into equally sized layer groups to contain the same number of voxels across cortical depths. That is, for a total of nine layers, the deepest 11% of equivolume voxels were assigned to layer 1, the next 11% of the voxels were assigned to layer 2, and so on. Note that in the context of fMRI, these estimates of numbered cortical depth do not refer to cytoarchitectonically defined cortical layer numbers. In accordance with the consensus of the field, we still refer to these groups of voxels as “layers” (see https://layerfMRI.com/terminology/).

This layerification was performed on a spatial grid of 250 \( \mu \)m, which is finer than the functional resolution of 0.8 mm. This was done to minimize resolution losses during the binning of voxels into layer groups (https://layerfMRI.com/how-many-layers-should-i-reconstruct/). The functional signal of BOLD and VASO was averaged across all voxels within a given layer group. For inter-participant comparisons, the layer profiles were scaled based on their overall response across cortical depth before averaging.

2.4.5. Denoising analysis approaches

To account for the relatively lower expected functional sensitivity at 3T compared with conventional layer-fMRI field strengths, we investigated the effect of post-processing-based denoising by means of layer-specific smoothing. We used the LayNii program LN_LAYER_SMOOTH with smoothing kernels between FWHM of 0.4 mm and FWHM of 8 mm. This smoothing was applied without signal leakage across opposing sides of gyri (—kissing_gyri option). If not explicitly mentioned (e.g., in Fig. 8), no smoothing was applied.

3. RESULTS

Figure 3A depicts a single run for BOLD and VASO in one representative participant. The GE-BOLD activation map shows more significantly activated voxels than VASO. This is specifically true in pial voxels that are expected to contain large draining veins. In layer profile plots, two separate peaks are visible in VASO. These results suggest that 3T layer-fMRI VASO imaging methods can provide sufficient signal stability to obtain activation scores on a voxel-by-voxel level to extract layer profiles from small patches of the pinch-movement representation in the primary motor cortex. The relative signal change in the deeper layers is comparable for VASO and BOLD. This suggests that at 3T both imaging modalities can have a similar detection sensitivity for layer-specific micro-vessels. In the superficial layers that also contain draining veins, BOLD signal changes are stronger than VASO signal changes. This is expected due to the unwanted sensitivity of BOLD to large trans-laminar veins.
Finger-tapping task at 3T (32ch coil), at 7T (32ch coil), and at 9.4T (31ch coil).

Figure 7 shows thresholded activation maps of these field strength comparisons. These results suggest very similar usability of layer-fMRI across field strengths. It can be seen that the spatial pattern of activated voxels is very similar. For example, the same double stripe pattern in the hand knob can be seen (black arrows), as well as the interspace patches in the somatosensory cortex (white arrows).

Figure 8 shows activation maps with layer-specific smoothing (41). It can be seen that this form of denoising, without signal leakage across cortical depth, increases the detection sensitivity so much that it can reveal weak signal responses. In this exploratory study, the weak inhibitory fMRI signal change of 0.5% is used as a representative “test-bed” for any kind of weak effect sizes that are more common in many neuroscience-focused fMRI studies.

Figure 9 depicts multi-echo results for one representative participant (panels A, B) and participant average results (panel C). These results again support the conclusion of Figs. 3, 4, 6, and 7. Namely, layer-fMRI VASO at 3T provides sufficient signal stability to capture CBV changes in the primary visual and sensorimotor system of the brain. Here, it is shown over a wide range of echo times (12 ms and 48 ms). It can be seen that the BOLD signal change increases with echo times, whereas VASO signal changes are the same across echo times (within error). This independence of echo time can be used to discuss the potential effect of residual BOLD contamination in 3T VASO.

4. DISCUSSION

The results presented here show that layer-fMRI VASO at 3T is feasible. As expected, we find that the VASO contrast is largely insensitive to unwanted contributions from large draining veins at the cortical surface. This is in line with previous layer-fMRI VASO experiments at 7T (42–44).

4.1. Comparison of layer profiles with previous literature

The group-averaged CBV profiles shown in Fig. 5A are consistent with previous results of 0.8-mm resolution (45). As shown previously, the CBV responses are strongest in upper layers and decline toward white matter. At deeper layers, there is an indication of secondary bump. Other previously shown CBV profiles with higher spatial resolutions (46) depicted this secondary bump slightly more clearly. Unlike some previously published proof-of-principle studies using a small FOV with small matrix sizes of 44, here we focused on developing a protocol that can be used in routine application compared with matrix size of 216.
methodological steps to improve on the limited sensitivity of layer-fMRI VASO at 3T.

4.2.1. Layer-specific smoothing

In Fig. 8, we explored the feasibility of layer-specific smoothing to capture weak task-evoked VASO signal changes, as they are common in many neuroscience-driven tasks, that is, we found that faint CBV decreases in the ipsilateral motor cortex during a finger-tapping task can be extracted with layer-specific smoothing strengths of $\sim 3$ mm.

4.2.2. Signal pooling from large ROIs

In many neuroscience-driven applications of layer-fMRI, it is not necessary to resolve activation scores in individual voxels. Instead, some researchers solely aim to investigate brain-area-wide hypotheses. This means that many voxels from a given layer group can be averaged across large patches of the brain, effectively mitigating thermal noise constraints of layer-fMRI VASO. This approach of

This increases the user friendliness of the MRI operator but also comes along with slightly more partial volume across layers.

4.2. Possibility of shorter scan durations or weaker tasks

We show that 16 one-min runs of finger tapping are sufficient to obtain relatively consistent activation maps with voxel-wise estimations of activation scores. While these results on the feasibility of layer-fMRI at 3T are encouraging, we want to stress that they should be understood as a proof of principle. Future application of layer-fMRI VASO at 3T will likely focus on tasks that come along with smaller effect sizes. Furthermore, future neuroscience-driven studies will likely exploit more task conditions per experiment, which effectively reduces the scan time that can be spent per task condition. To make use of 3T layer-fMRI VASO in those more challenging experimental conditions, future studies can employ additional

Fig. 4. VASO activation maps of all participants (N=16).

The data presented here refer to 16 trials acquired across two runs (8 each). Activation patterns can be seen relatively clearly in most participants. We did not find clear activation patterns in one participant (VP10). This is most likely because the imaging slab did not cover the hand area of the primary motor cortex. The data presented here show that the activity pattern mostly follows the GM along the cortical ribbon. The underlay images exemplify the inherent $T_1$-weighting of the VASO fMRI signal. The panels of this figure also highlight the relatively low artifact level of sub-millimeter, low-bandwidth EPI readout at 3T.

Note, that the red and blue colors refer to left- and right-hand finger tapping, which was performed in an interleaved fashion interspaced with additional rest periods. Negative CBV responses are not shown in these activation maps. All of the selected 2D slices used in the individual panels are all taken from the inferior part of the slabs, respectively.
brain area-wide voxel averaging has been advocated for BOLD at low-field strengths by Markuerkiaga et al. (9). We believe that this approach is similarly helpful for layer-fMRI VASO. In fact, in current research, this approach has enabled layer-fMRI VASO applications in clinical populations (47).

4.2.3. Denoising tools

The limited detection sensitivity of layer-fMRI VASO at 3T is expectedly dominated by thermal noise (in contrast to physiological noise and other signal clutter (48)). This means that denoising methods that aim to reduce spatio-temporal Gaussian noise might be particularly effective here. One very promising denoising candidate is NOise Reduction with DIstribution Corrected (NORDIC) PCA (49). This approach of NORDIC-PCA denoising for layer-fMRI at 3T has been advocated for BOLD fMRI protocols by Knudsen et al. (6). First investigations of ongoing research indicated that this approach is similarly helpful for layer-fMRI VASO. In fact, in pilot studies, NORDIC-PCA denoising has allowed 3T layer-fMRI VASO to shorten scan durations by a factor of three, while still maintaining comparable activation maps (50).

4.2.4. Advanced hardware

An alternative approach to increase the tSNR is to exploit advanced RF and gradient hardware. For example, Akin and Özen showed that microstrip insert head coils allow high-resolution layer-fMRI at 3T within relatively short scan times (4). Furthermore, high-performance head gradients have been proven to be extremely advantageous for layer-fMRI (51, 52). Thus, we believe that also at lower field strengths, such gradients will be helpful to increase the SNR and, thus, allow shorter scan durations.

4.2.5. Exploring sensitivity of layer-fMRI VASO across field strength

Figure 7 shows tapping induced CBV changes at 3T, 7T, and 9.4T. The purpose of these experiments was to see if there are obvious qualitative differences in the activation maps across field strengths. We find that the spatial pattern of activated voxels is visually similar to the naked eye. The sample size (N=1), the different acquisition duration, the slightly different resolution, the fundamentally different RF coil setup, and the different gradient hardware render quantitative field strengths impossible. However, the results still qualitatively suggest the feasibility of obtaining reproducible activation patterns across field strengths.

4.3. Considerations of optimizing 3T layer-fMRI protocols compared to 7T layer protocol setups

Different from layer-fMRI at 7T, layer-fMRI at 3T requires slightly different protocol setups. This arises from differences in T₁, in RF transmit hardware and GRAPPA acceleration performance:
The VASO contrast is based on the assumption that the intravascular signal is nulled. This means that for multi-shot 3D acquisitions (16, 53) the k-space center should be around the blood-nulling time. However, off-center k-space partitions should not be too far off from the blood-nulling time either. This is to mitigate the risk of CBF contaminations in high spatial frequency representations (16). Thus, over the last decade, it has become customary to limit the 3D-acquisition duration to remain shorter than the reference time of the blood $T_1$. Since the blood $T_1$ is shorter at 3T compared with 7T, this limits the number of slices (partitions) that can be acquired per inversion-recovery cycle at 3T. To meet this challenge for the large number of slices

- As mentioned in Section 2, the SS-SI VASO variant used here relies on an inversion slab thickness of 14 cm or larger. For 7T (with a common head-only transmit coil), this might impose a challenge of potential inflow of fresh uninverted blood within TI. To account for this at 7T, sometimes the blood-nulling time has to be deliberately shortened by means of using reduced inversion efficiencies. This comes at the cost of CNR. At 3T, it is more common to have a large body transmit coil hardware available. This allows more flexibility for capturing CBV responses with SS-SI VASO across brain areas. Thus, at 3T, brain areas with short-arterial arrival times can be captured with sufficiently large inversion slab-thicknesses.

- The VASO contrast is based on the assumption that the intravascular signal is nulled. This means that for multi-shot 3D acquisitions (16, 53) the k-space center should be around the blood-nulling time. However, off-center k-space partitions should not be too far off from the blood-nulling time either. This is to mitigate the risk of CBF contaminations in high spatial frequency representations (16). Thus, over the last decade, it has become customary to limit the 3D-acquisition duration to remain shorter than the reference time of the blood $T_1$. Since the blood $T_1$ is shorter at 3T compared with 7T, this limits the number of slices (partitions) that can be acquired per inversion-recovery cycle at 3T. To meet this challenge for the large number of slices

Fig. 6. Representative result of exploratory study across protocols.
Blood volume weighted fMRI signal quality and activation maps of three layer-fMRI protocols tested. It can be seen that the tested protocols provide enough data quality and signal stability to obtain reasonable activation maps. Future quantitative generalizability analyses of layer-fMRI protocols beyond the motor cortex will be informative about the reliability of the layer profiles depicted here.
Thus, we believe that the achievable acceleration parameters are qualitatively comparable across field strengths in most MRI centers. When large GRAPPA factors are used with RF coils of large channel counts, the different proximity of different brain areas to the receive coil and the spatially varying g-factor can result in spatially varying sensitivities of the ultimate layer-fMRI VASO protocol. This is depicted in Fig. 6 as spatially varying tSNR values. The interested reader can browse the open dataset of the whole-brain QA for BOLD and (120) in the whole-brain protocol in this study, we had to spread out the acquisition across four segments. At 7T, a lower number three segments would have been sufficient.

- Due to the different RF wavelengths across field strengths, parallel imaging (e.g., GRAPPA) is slightly more effective at 7T compared with 3T (54). However, for most research-focused 3T scanners, it is relatively common to have a 64ch receive head-neck coil available, compared to the more common 32ch coil at 7T. Thus, we believe that the achievable acceleration parameters are qualitatively comparable across field strengths in most MRI centers. When large GRAPPA factors are used with RF coils of large channel counts, the different proximity of different brain areas to the receive coil and the spatially varying g-factor can result in spatially varying sensitivities of the ultimate layer-fMRI VASO protocol. This is depicted in Fig. 6 as spatially varying tSNR values. The interested reader can browse the open dataset of the whole-brain QA for BOLD and
are specific neuroscientific hypotheses with predictions of memory encoding and memory retrieval across the layers in hippocampus, previous layer-fMRI studies of the hippocampus at 7T have been extremely difficult (56–60). Due to the reduced susceptibility artifacts at 3T, we believe that developments shown in this study will help facilitate more straightforward applications of layer-fMRI in susceptibility-challenged brain areas.

- Protocols that are limited by physiological noise: 7T layer-fMRI VASO can be limited by MR-phase inconsistencies that are dynamically modulated during the multi-shot 3D-EPI readout. Such artifacts of layer-fMRI VASO have been reported in areas that are particularly challenged by proximal macrovessels and substantial physiological noise like in the primary auditory cortex (61). Furthermore, such artifacts have prohibited the neuroscientific usability of some whole-brain layer-fMRI studies with readout durations that are longer than the respiration cycle (62). At 3T, such phase inconsistencies are mitigated, allowing a more straightforward application of layer-fMRI in susceptibility-challenged brain areas.

- Areas with short-arterial arrival times: The SS-SI VASO approach is based on the assumption that all the blood in the imaging slab has been inverted once—and only once. This assumption can be violated for brain areas with very short arterial arrival times, like the insula cortex (63). Furthermore, this assumption can be violated for strong systemic interventions, like CO2 breathing manipulations (64, 65). In such cases, fresh, uninverted blood can result in CBF-dependent inflow effects.

The extension of high-resolution VASO from 7T to more readily available 3T scanners does not only help with the dissemination of laminar imaging methods to a larger user base. More importantly, it allows layer-fMRI applications in experimental setups that have not been possible at 7T before. As such, application studies that are challenged by field inhomogeneity and SAR constraints at 7T can now be explored at 3T. Examples are as follows:

- MT-prepared VASO: Magnetization transfer prepared VASO has been proposed to boost the VASO CBV sensitivity in feeding arteries (16, 55). However, at 7T, the conservative SAR restrictions can prohibit a full exploitation of this methodology. We believe that the 3T protocol developed here will be able to mitigate such SAR constraints. At 3T, the layer-fMRI VASO will be able to benefit from such approaches more than at the SAR-restricted UHF field strengths.

- Brain areas with severe susceptibility artifacts: At 3T, susceptibility artifacts are significantly reduced compared with higher field settings. This is particularly relevant for brain areas that are inhomogeneity limited at UHFs. One example is the hippocampus, which is located right above the air-filled ear canals. While there were specific neuroscientific hypotheses with predictions of memory encoding and memory retrieval across the layers in hippocampus, previous layer-fMRI studies of the hippocampus at 7T have been extremely difficult (56–60). Due to the reduced susceptibility artifacts at 3T, we believe that developments shown in this study will help facilitate more straightforward applications of layer-fMRI in susceptibility-challenged brain areas.

4.4. Layer-fMRI VASO at 3T enables additional studies that cannot be straightforwardly conducted at higher field strengths

was in the data repository to explore the signal quality in the brain area of interest (https://layerfmri.page.link/3T_WholeBrain_QA).

was in the data repository to explore the signal quality in the brain area of interest (https://layerfmri.page.link/3T_WholeBrain_QA).

was in the data repository to explore the signal quality in the brain area of interest (https://layerfmri.page.link/3T_WholeBrain_QA).

Fig. 9. Echo-time dependence of the BOLD and VASO signal.

Panel (A) depicts representative multi-echo activation maps for BOLD and BOLD-corrected VASO.

Panel (B) shows the participant-averaged time course across echo times. While the BOLD signal change increases with echo times, the VASO signal time course is not different (within error) across echo times. This suggests that for the resolutions, echo times, and voxel selection criteria tested here, the common BOLD-correction approach of dynamic division is applicable.

Panel (C) summarizes the inherent assumption of the BOLD-correction method by means of dynamic division. Namely, it is assumed that the modulation of $T2^*$ is conserved across inversion times.
Concurrent layer-fMRI and EEG can help understand the feasibility of the non-BOLD contrast, (4–15). Those studies were somewhat limited by unwanted constraints, confined to 3T scanners. The developed protocols here developed here will in the future allow laminar fMRI with connectivity to the sub-cortex.

- Deep brain structures: While layer-fMRI has been proven to be valuable for investigating the information flow between cortical brain areas. Many hypotheses about laminar connectivity, however, make predictions about interactions between both, subcortical and cortical regions. In fact, a large portion of cortical input emerges from subcortical brain areas. At 7T, however, the location of subcortical brain areas at the border of the conventional transmit RF coil fields and the distance from the receive channels did not allow cortico-subcortical connectivity studies with layer-fMRI VASO. At 3T, the larger body coil for RF transmission and the longer RF wavelength for reception mitigate these constraints. Thus, we believe that the described sequence setup developed here will in the future allow laminar fMRI with connectivity to the sub-cortex.

- Multi-modal imaging: layer-fMRI can provide complimentary information of neural processing to other neuroimaging modalities including: electroencephalography (EEG), transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), or positron emission tomography (PET).

- Concurrent layer-fMRI and EEG can help understanding the neural code of feed-forward and feedback signals (66).
- Concurrent layer-fMRI and TMS has the potential to cross-validate each other regarding differentiating bidirectional or uni-directional connectivity from and to a given node of a brain network (67).
- Concurrent layer-fMRI and PET can provide complimentary measures of effective functional connectivity of large brain networks in rest and disease (68).

Such concurrent imaging setups are almost exclusively confined to 3T scanners. The developed protocols here facilitate future multi-modal studies with layer-fMRI.

- Multicenter studies: The field of fMRI is currently experiencing the trend to larger cohort studies. This usually involves participants being acquired as multiple imaging centers. With the limited number of UHF scanners installed, layer-fMRI at 7T cannot follow this trend as easily as conventional low-resolution fMRI. The protocols developed here can pave the road to help future multicenter studies across more readily available 3T scanners.

4.5. Value of 3T layer-fMRI VASO besides BOLD

Previous layer-fMRI studies have been conducted at 3T (4–15). Those studies were somewhat limited by unwanted sensitivities of large draining veins. Here, we furthermore show the feasibility of the non-BOLD contrast, VASO. This contrast can be useful in cases where conventional BOLD signals are hard to interpret due to their spatially unspecific sensitivity to large draining veins. In such experiments, utilizing the developed VASO protocols alongside with BOLD can be useful to augment the understanding of the precise depth-dependent location of the fMRI signal origin. Other example studies, where acquiring VASO and GE-BOLD simultaneously may be beneficial, might be related to research questions of altered vascular baseline physiology (69) (e.g., in studies about pharmacological interventions, aging, and surgical interventions). Such experiments are conventionally being conducted at 3T and, thus, have not been investigated on a laminar level yet. The imaging protocol developed here has the potential to facilitate such studies in the future. Furthermore, we think that the concomitantly acquired VASO and BOLD data can be useful to calibrate existing layer-fMRI BOLD models (70–74). These models have been developed for higher field strengths and are not yet directly applicable for 3T layer-fMRI BOLD data. For example, future GE-BOLD studies that want to apply venous-deconvolution model inversion at 3T (11) would need to be re-calibrated. The developed imaging protocol and the data it provide have the potential to be informative in this endeavor.

4.6. Potential for residual BOLD contamination

With any finite echo time, blood-nulled (VASO) EPI is contaminated with BOLD signal contributions. To account for the dominating extravascular BOLD effect, layer-fMRI VASO signals are commonly acquired concomitantly with BOLD. This method is known as the SS-SI VASO variant. Measuring the simultaneously ongoing BOLD signal changes, they can be quantified and removed from the VASO signal traces of each voxel. This removal is conducted by means of a dynamic division (28) and can solely account for extravascular BOLD. Intravascular BOLD cannot be accounted for by this approach and will result in a CBV overestimation. This approach of BOLD correction with dynamic division has been validated by means of investigating the echo-time dependency of the BOLD-corrected VASO signal in previous studies at 3T and 7T. Simulation studies assuming a rodent vascular baseline physiology (69) (e.g., in studies about pharmacological interventions, aging, and surgical interventions). Such experiments, utilizing the developed VASO protocols, do not find evidence for CBV overestimation with
increasing TEs. The lack of CBV overestimation with increasing TEs in this study is somewhat unexpected. At 3T, it is expected that 30% of the overall BOLD signal change is arising from intravascular signal sources (77). Ongoing 3T VASO with multi-echo depicting (78) suggests that there indeed is a residual BOLD contamination in VASO (79). It has been hypothesized that these residual BOLD contaminations might be arising from voxels of large extra-cortical veins. These veins are located outside the GM parenchyma and do not affect the layer-fMRI signal investigated here.

The presence of residual BOLD contaminations in VASO allows future users of this imaging methodology to exploit an additional degree of freedom. Namely, future users can adjust their echo time of choice such that it fits the desired sensitivity-specificity compromise of the functional data. A shorter echo time will have negligible BOLD contaminations and provide functional data that are most locally specific but less sensitive. A longer echo time will provide an additional sensitivity boost to the SS-SI VASO signal with additional (less specific) BOLD signal contributions.

4.7. Potential influence to dynamic changes in CSF

Assuming the skull as a container of a fixed volume, increase of one compartment, for example, CBV, must be compensated by volume decrease in another compartment, for example, GM or CSF. VASO signal change is based on the idea that CBV increase is compensated by GM volume decrease only. However, depending on the brain region stimulated, a small dynamic change in CSF volume in the range of 0.5% to 10% has been experimentally observed (80, 81). Such stimulus-dependent variations in CSF volume could cause an incorrect calculation of CBV changes from the VASO signal change (80–82). In contrast with the CSF-nulled ACDC VASO (83) or VASO FLAIR (80) techniques, the SS-SI VASO signal changes in this study reflect a nullified CSF signal. Thus, the CBV change presented here reflects both components of the CBV change—the CBV increase that is compensated by a GM volume decrease as well as the CBV increase that is compensated by CSF volume decrease—with the same weighting.

5. SUMMARY AND SIGNIFICANCE

Examining human cortical layers provides insights into directional information flow of cortical processes, which can otherwise only be achieved via invasive methods like intracortical electrodes. However, while previous layer-fMRI studies show promising findings at UHF strengths, layer-fMRI acquisition protocols are commonly confined to specialized research hubs with dedicated UHF centers, thus limiting the usability of laminar fMRI to methods-focused research groups. Extending layer-fMRI VASO to 3T in this study has the potential to make these research tools available to a much wider community. Specifically, the feasibility of sub-millimeter VASO at 3T allows more neuroscience- and psychiatry-focused research groups to address questions of laminar neural processing in health and disease.

The results presented here taken together suggest that layer-specific CBV-fMRI data can be acquired on widely available clinical 3T scanners. While the functional detection threshold is limited, we show that layer-dependent activation can be visualized in reasonable scan times of 16 min (primary brain areas) to 45 min (associative brain areas). Due to the vast availability of 3T compared with UHF scanners, we believe that this demonstration of 3T-optimized VASO development can boost the user base of laminar fMRI and helps pave the way for CBV layer-fMRI to become a routine tool to address both clinical and basic neuroscience research questions.

FURTHER MATERIAL AND DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

- For a practical “hands-on” perspective of these experiments in the laboratory, see a 5-min description of the experiments: https://youtu.be/rKjJL-P1mf8. For a 10-min overview video of the experiments conducted here, see https://youtu.be/cB6v0Dn2FkI.
- Raw and processed data of the main experiment series (N=16) are available at https://doi.org/10.34894/SRT8RU.
- Data of the multi-echo experiments are available for download at https://layerfmri.page.link/ME_VASO3T.
- Whole-brain QA of layer-fMRI VASO to explore quality across all brain areas can be found at https://layerfmri.page.link/3T_WholeBrain_QA.
- We are happy to share the sequence binaries via “SIEMENS” core competence partnership (C2P) agreements.
- An extensive application-focused description of the sequence and how to use it can be found in the form of an FAQ page available at https://layerfmri.com/vaso_ve/.
- Sequence protocols that can be imported to other SIEMENS scanners (exar1 files) are available at https://github.com/layerfMRI/Sequence_Github/tree/master/3T.
- Layerification analysis code developed in-house is available on Github: https://github.com/layerfMRI/LAYNII.
- Specific application script used for the ROI selection and layerification are available at https://github.com/layerfMRI/repository/tree/master/3T_VASO_scripts.
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Diversity Statement

Recent work in several fields of science has identified a bias in citation practices such that papers from women and other minorities are under-cited relative to the number of such papers in the field (84). In the human layer-fMRI community, the average gender citation bias is 84% male and 15% female (https://layerfmi.com/papers/). For the reference list of this paper, we obtained the gender of the first author of each reference. By this measure (and excluding self citations to all authors of our current paper), our references contain 47 (70%) male first and 20 (30%) female first. We look forward to future work that could help us to better understand how to support equitable practices in science.

A WHO report from 2008 stated that 90% of the world’s population does not have access to MRI. Since then, the WHO reported a significant increase in scanner density in emerging economies (85). The increasing number of MRI scanners mostly refers to field strengths lower than either 3T or 7T. While the methodology developed here remains to be solely applicable in privileged research centers with 3T scanners, we see this work of porting 7T methods to 3T as a first step into the direction of making these research tools available to a wider more diverse user base.
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