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Crystalline supramolecular architectures mediated by cations, anions, ion pairs or neutral guest species are well established. However, the robust crystallization of a well-designed receptor mediated by labile anionic solvate clusters remains unexplored. Herein, we describe the synthesis and crystalline behaviors of a trimacroyclic hexasubstituted benzene in the presence of guanidinium halide salts and chloroform. Halo hexasolvate clusters, viz. \([\text{Cl}(CHCl_3)_6]^-\), \([\text{Br}(CHCl_3)_6]^-\), and \([\text{I}(CHCl_3)_6]^-\), were found to be critical to the crystallization process, as suggested by the single-crystal structures, X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), and NMR spectroscopy. This study demonstrates the hitherto unexpected role that labile ionic solvate clusters can play in stabilizing supramolecular architectures.

Chloroform (CHCl₃) is a common organic solvent, widely used both in the laboratory and in industry. Chloroform can exert a pronounced solvent effect, particularly in the presence of halide anions.15–20 Halide solvate clusters, such as \([X(CHCl_3)_n]^-\), where \(X = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-\), have attracted interest as models with which to explore these effects.21–27 On account of the weak \(X^-\)···H–C interaction between the central halide anion and the chloroform molecules, \([X(CHCl_3)_n]^-\) clusters are inherently labile. As a result, most work to date has focused on theoretical investigations, as well as mass spectrometric and single-crystal X-ray crystallographic studies of clusters with low coordination numbers (\(n < 4\)).21,25,26 Although a few examples have been reported of \([X(CHCl_3)_n]^-\) clusters with high coordination number (e.g., \([\text{Cl}(CHCl_3)_4]^-\)), in certain lattices in the presence of transition metals.28,29 We are unaware of examples where \([X(CHCl_3)_n]^-\) clusters drive crystallization. As detailed below, we

**Fig. 1** Cartoon illustration of supramolecular systems stabilized by (a) direct contact or mediated by intervening (b) neutral guests, (c) cations, (d) anions, (e) ion-pairs, or (f) octahedral anion solvate clusters (this work). Noncovalent interactions are indicated by red or blue dotted lines.

---

1 State Key Laboratory of Chemo/Biosensing and Chemometrics, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P. R. China. E-mail: heqing85@hnu.edu.cn
2 Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, 105 East 24th Street, Stop A5300, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2068692-2068695 and 2088519. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1sc03713g
have now found that \([\text{X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-\) clusters can drive formation of well-organized, non-covalent crystalline structures.

## Results and discussion

### Design, synthesis and characterization of receptor 2

Hexa-substituted benzenes represent a versatile class of frameworks for constructing supramolecular receptors for either anions or cations.\(^{10-31}\) Usually, such architectures can access a variety of conformations due to the high degree of freedom of the substituents on the benzene core; this makes host–guest interactions with a putative substrate less entropically favorable. One way to overcome this latter energetic penalty is to reduce the degrees of freedom by means of macrocyclization so as to generate, e.g., tricyclic hexa-substituted benzene (THB) derivatives.\(^{32,33}\)

Taking advantage of this latter strategy we have now prepared a tricyclic hexa-substituted benzene 2 receptor wherein crown ethers are incorporated into the system (Fig. 2a). The synthesis of 2 is straightforward. Briefly, a key crown ether-like precursor 1 containing acetylene unit was prepared according to a reported approach (see Scheme S1†).\(^{35}\) A \(\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8\)-catalyzed trimerization of 1 in dioxane at reflux overnight then gave receptor 2 in 80% yield after chromatographic purification over silica gel. The identity of 2 was confirmed by \(^1\)H/\(^{13}\)C NMR spectroscopic and high-resolution mass spectrometric analyses (see the ESI†).

Diffraction grade single crystals of receptor 2 were obtained by allowing a CHCl\(_3\)/CH\(_3\)OH solution of 2 to undergo slow evaporation over the course of two weeks. The resulting structure revealed a \(\text{cis}\)-like conformation, where all three crown ether moieties are oriented toward the same face of the benzene core (Fig. 2b and see ESI Fig. S1†). This finding led us to postulate that 2 might serve as a receptor for guanidium cations by virtue of its size, symmetry and presumed shape complementary (Fig. S2†).

### Host–guest binding properties of receptor 2

To test the hypothesis that compound 2 could act as a cation receptor for guanidium cation, we carried out \(^3\)H NMR spectroscopic experiments with receptor 2 and guanidium chloride in a mixture of CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)OD (9 : 1, v/v) at 298 K. Notably, only one set of resonances was seen for 2 under these conditions (Fig. S3†); this is as expected for a relatively flexible system that is in conformational equilibrium. Upon addition of excess guanidium chloride into a 2.0 mM solution of 2 in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)OD (9 : 1, v/v), the \(^3\)H–H peaks at 5.43 ppm (a), 3.94 ppm (f) were shifted upfield (Fig. S3†). In contrast, negligible changes were observed for any of the proton signals upon the addition of other larger and unsymmetrical guanidinium chloride derivatives, viz. \(\text{moroxylidine hydrochloride (S6)},\) and \(1,1\)-dimethylguanidine hydrochloride (S7), under identical conditions. Thus, we infer that receptor 2 favors guanidinium chloride over other potential competing substrates.

A \(^3\)H NMR spectroscopic titration yielded an association constant of \(K = (1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}\) for the interaction of guanidium chloride with 2 in a 1 : 1 binding model (Fig. S4 and S5†). The stability of the resulting complex was also evidenced by gas-phase molecular dynamics simulation studies (Fig. S6†).

### \([\text{X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-\) cluster-mediated single crystallization

Further evidence that compound 2 could act as a cation receptor for guanidium came from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the guanidium chloride complex. Suitable crystals were obtained via the slow evaporation of a CHCl\(_3\)/CH\(_3\)OH solution of receptor 2 in the presence of excess guanidium chloride. The resulting structure revealed a 2\(_2\)·CN\(_3\)H\(_5\)·CN\(_3\)H\(_5\)·[Cl(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) complex (Fig. 3a). Due to the limitations of crystallography, positively charged guanidium and the charge-free guanidine species could not be distinguished from one another. From the relative number of chloride anions, we infer that the occupancies of guanidium and guanidine in each cavity of 2 are each 50%. As expected, the guest species was embedded within the cavity of 2 surrounded by three cyclic glycol chains via multiple C–H···O, N–H···O hydrogen bonding and cation–π interactions (Fig. 3b and S7†). A dimeric complex 2\(_2\)·CN\(_3\)H\(_5\)·CN\(_3\)H\(_5\)·[Cl(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) is found in the lattice (Fig. S8†). Much to our surprise, a careful inspection of the counter anions revealed that each chloride anion was surrounded by six chloroform molecules through multiple hydrogen bonding interactions within a [Cl(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) cluster characterized by an average Cl···C(CHCl\(_3\)) distance of 3.463 Å (Fig. 3c). Although [Cl(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) clusters have been reported to be labile due to the weak Cl···C(HCl\(_3\)) bonds,\(^{22}\) in the current system, the octahedral [Cl(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) clusters are found to mediate the formation of highly ordered 1D (one dimensional) supramolecular architectures (Fig. 3d) that are arranged in 2D networks (Fig. S8 and S9†).

We next sought to explore whether other similar octahedral halide chloroform clusters, i.e., [Br(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\) and [I(CHCl\(_3\))\(_6\)]\(^-\), could be stabilized in the solid state and mediate the generation of analogous supramolecular assemblies as seen for the chloride anion. As above, diffraction-grade single crystals were obtained by slowly evaporating CHCl\(_3\)/CH\(_3\)OH solutions of 2 in the presence of guanidinium bromide and guanidinium iodide, respectively. The resulting structures revealed that, in analogy with what was seen in the presence of guanidium chloride, in
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both $2\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_5\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_3\cdot[\text{Br(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ (the complex formed from guanidium bromide) and $2\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_5\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_3\cdot[\text{I(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ (the complex formed from guanidium iodide) cluster-linked ensembles were observed (Fig. 3e and f and ESI Fig. S10 to S17†). Again, both bromide and iodide were found surrounded by six chloroform molecules with average anion–solvent distances of 3.622 Å and 3.754 Å for Br$^-$...C(\text{HCl}_3) and I$^-$...C(\text{HCl}_3), respectively, being found (Fig. 3e and f). The [Br(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ and [I(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ clusters were observed to bridge the individual $2\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_5\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_3\cdot[X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ complexes, resulting in the formation of 1D assemblies and 2D networks (Fig. 3e and f, see ESI Fig. S13 and S17†). Interestingly, upon replacement of CHCl$_3$ with CHBr$_3$, an analogous crystal structure of $2\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_5\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_3\cdot[\text{Br(CHBr}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ complex was obtained in success and similar 1D assemblies and 2D networks were observed (Fig. S18 to S21†).

**[X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ cluster-mediated rapid crystallization experiments carried out under more general conditions**

All single crystals giving rise to the structurally characterized $2\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_5\cdot\text{CN}_3\text{H}_3\cdot[X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ complexes ($X = \text{Cl}^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$) were grown over a period of several weeks. We were thus curious to explore whether labile [X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ clusters would support the robust co-crystallization of receptor 2 and guanidium halide in the presence of chloroform under more general conditions, such as those associated with rapid mixing and precipitation. To test this hypothesis, we sparged hexanes into a CHCl$_3$/CH$_3$OH (2 : 1, v/v) solution of 2 in the presence of 1 molar equivalent of guanidium chloride. This led to near immediate precipitation of what were found to be cubic crystalline particles as viewed under a polarizing microscope (Fig. S22 to S24†).

These morphological features were further characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 4a and ESI Fig. S25†). To gain greater insight into the nature of the cube-shaped microcrystalline material, SEM-EDS (energy dispersive spectrometry) experiments were performed. EDS elemental mapping associated with an SEM image revealed the occurrence of the expected elements (i.e., C, N, O, and Cl). This was taken as evidence that the microcrystals consisted of, at least, receptor 2 and guanidinium chloride (Fig. S26†).

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA), $^1$H NMR spectroscopic studies, and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were then carried out in an effort to confirm the inference that the microcrystalline material contained [Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^-$ clusters. The above-mentioned precipitates were filtered off and dried naturally in a fume hood to allow evaporation of potential solvent residues on the surfaces. The resulting samples were subjected to TGA, NMR spectroscopic and PXRD analyses. Briefly, the TGA trace shows an initial mass loss of approximately 20% of the initial sample weight near 100 °C, a finding consistent with the release of CHCl$_3$ from the crystalline materials (Fig. 4b). Additionally, a sharp CHCl$_3$ peak was observed in the $^1$H NMR spectrum acquired by redissolving the microcrystals in DMSO-$d_6$ (Fig. 4c). The observed content of CHCl$_3$ in the precipitates were found slightly lower than that (22%) seen in the corresponding single crystal structures, which could be rationalized by the loss of CHCl$_3$ during the sample preparation, drying and transfer due to the labile nature of the clusters (Fig. S27†). Finally, the PXRD pattern of the crystalline material was fully in accord with the
Fig. 4 Characterization of $[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ cluster-mediated crystalline constructs under more general conditions. (a) SEM micrograph of cubic microcrystalline particles obtained by mixing 2 with guanidium chloride in the presence of CHCl$_3$ under conditions of rapid precipitation (see text for details); (b) thermogravimetric decomposition trace for the particles in (a); (c) selected regions of the $^1$H NMR spectra of solutions of receptor 2 in DMSO-$d_6$ (I) and the particles from (a) redissolved in DMSO-$d_6$ (II); and (d) PXRD patterns of (I) solid obtained by drying 2 in the presence of CHCl$_3$ but in the absence of a guest, (II) particles shown in (a), and (III) simulated PXRD pattern using the data from the single-crystal structure of complex 2-CN$_3$H$_6^+$-CN$_3$H$_5^-\cdot[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$.

simulated PXRD pattern using the diffraction data for the 2-CN$_3$H$_6^+$-CN$_3$H$_5^-\cdot[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ single crystals (Fig. 4d and S28†). In contrast, when chloroform was replaced by dichloromethane in the mixing of 2 with guanidium chloride, only column-like crystalline ensembles of 2 without guest species were observed (Fig. S29 and S30†). Taken together, these findings provide support for the notion that the acidic C-Hs of the CHCl$_3$ serve as hydrogen bonding donors and play an essential role in holding together six chloroform molecules as labile octahedral halide anion solvate clusters (such as $[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$) that mediate formally the co-crystallization of 2 and guanidium chloride.

When mixtures of receptor 2 and guanidium bromide or guanidium iodide (in 1 : 1 ratios) were allowed to co-crystallize under otherwise identical conditions, cubic crystalline entities were also observed; again, the resulting constructs were fully characterized by microscopic techniques (Fig. S31–S33, S37 and S38†). The fact that $[\text{Br(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ and $[\text{I(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ clusters support the formation of cubic microcrystal materials was inferred from SEM-EDS experiments, thermogravimetric analysis, $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and powder X-ray diffraction studies as used for the $[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ cluster species described above (Fig. S33–S36 and S38–S41†).

Conclusions

In summary, a trimacrocyclic hexasubstituted benzene derivative 2 was synthesized via a Co$_3$(CO)$_6$-catalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ tricyclization of a monoyne-containing crown ether. This 3-fold symmetric system was found capable of trapping guanidium in a 1 : 1 ratio with an association constant of $K = (1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ in a mixture of chloroform and methanol (9 : 1, v/v). A series of $[\text{X(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$ clusters, viz. $[\text{Cl(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$, $[\text{Br(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$, $[\text{I(CHCl}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$, and $[\text{Br(CHBr}_3\text{)}_6]^{-}$, were observed to mediate the formation of 1D and 2D supramolecular entities as reflected in the corresponding single crystal X-ray diffraction-based structures. The octahedral halide chloroform clusters seen in the solid state proved key to the formation of cubic crystalline entities under conditions of rapid mixing and precipitation. To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first example wherein labile halide solvate clusters serve to promote co-crystallization in the solid state. It may thus help advance our understanding how solvents affect organization at the molecular level while illustrating a new recognition motif that could prove useful in the creation of yet-more elaborate supramolecular architectures.
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