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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVE: To establish a relationship between excessive daytime sleepiness, quality of life (QOL) and academic performance among medical students.

METHODS: This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted from August to December 2018 on 441 medical students, selected through non-probability convenience sampling from a public-sector university in Pakistan. Data collection tool was a closed ended, self-administered questionnaire, consisting of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), WHO Quality of Life-brief version (WHOQOL-BREF) scale and demographic information. Questions regarding sleep quality before exam and self-perceived academic performance were also included. Data analysis was done using SPSS version 25. Pearson correlations and student t-tests were used at a significant level of p <0.05.

RESULTS: Out of 596 questionnaires distributed among students, 441 students returned the questionnaire with a response rate of 73.9%. The mean age of the study population was 20.56±1.61 years. The sample comprised 274 (56%) female and 194 (44%) male students. Majority of students (37.6%) had average sleep of 6-7 hours/night. Higher scores on the ESS correlated with lower scores on the WHOQOL-BREF domains and a statistically significant correlation (p<0.05) was obtained between ESS scores and WHOQOL-BREF's physical and psychological domain scores. The study established no significant correlation between daytime sleepiness and self-reported academic performance of medical students.

CONCLUSION: Excessive daytime sleepiness is related to decreased quality of life in medical students. Thus, medical schools should provide necessary support for students to overcome such challenge in order to cope better with their continuous academic demands.
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INTRODUCTION
Excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) is difficulty in maintaining a desired level of wakefulness. Many symptoms like psychomotor slowing and closing eyelids for a longer time than for blinking often accompany EDS.1 Literature suggests that 13% of the population experience excessive sleepiness.1 Recent data also has suggested that excessive daytime sleepiness and its associated wake-state instability has contributed to significant serious functional consequences, including work-related errors and accidents, catastrophic accidents, and motor vehicle accidents.6-8 Although, excessive daytime sleepiness affects various aspects of health, this article will focus on its association with medical student's quality of life (QOL) and their academic performance.

Daytime sleepiness is a significant problem and is more common among college students (50%) compared to adults (30%).6 Sixty percent of student's report feeling draggy, sleepy and tired at least 3 days a week.6 Various neurobehavioral and cognitive effects of this sleepiness have been identified specifically problems with memory, concentration, learning, and decision making alongside increased risk taking.11 Impact of inadequate sleep on academic performance has also been noted by a significant proportion (82%) of medical students.12 Alongside stress, sleep problems are ranked by students as a major factor negatively impacting their academic performance.12

Although sleepiness or deprivation of sleep has deleterious effects on multiple health domains, this article will focus on how sleepiness can affect QOL and academic performance of medical students. World Health Organization, described QOL as “individual’s perceptions of their position in life, in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns”.13 QOL is a multidimensional concept that serves as a reference against which an individual can measure the different domains of one’s own life. Medical profession is physically and mentally demanding. Investigating medical students’ QOL will give us an insight to their lives, helping us identify and address the needs of medical students.
TABLE I: WHOQOL-BREF GENERAL ITEM FACET SCORES

| Variable                    | Respondents                   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                             | ESS score ≥ 10 (N = 198) | ESS score < 10 (N = 243) |
| **Overall Quality of life** |                               |                           |
| Very Poor                   | 9 (4.5)                       | 4 (1.6)                   |
| Poor                        | 14 (7.1)                      | 6 (2.5)                   |
| Neither Poor nor Good       | 37 (18.7)                     | 47 (19.3)                 |
| Good                        | 103 (52.0)                    | 140 (57.6)                |
| Very Good                   | 35 (17.7)                     | 46 (18.9)                 |
| **Health Satisfaction**     |                               |                           |
| Very dissatisfied           | 14 (7.1)                      | 11 (4.5)                  |
| Dissatisfied                | 42 (21.2)                     | 28 (11.5)                 |
| Neither Satisfied or dissatisfied | 52 (26.3)     | 63 (25.9)                 |
| Satisfied                   | 76 (38.4)                     | 120 (49.4)                |
| Very Satisfied              | 14 (7.1)                      | 21 (8.6)                  |

# Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified. $Epworth Sleepiness Scale

TABLE II: QOL-BREF DOMAIN SCORES BASED ON EXCESSIVE DAYTIME SLEEPINESS STATUS

| QOL-BREF Domains               | ESS score ≥ 10 (N = 198) | ESS score < 10 (N = 243) | F       | p-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Physical health                | 56.18 ± 15.45            | 62.73 ± 15.20            | 0.53    | 0.00    |
| Psychological health           | 52.44 ± 18.10            | 57.54 ± 15.87            | 2.58    | 0.00    |
| Social relationships           | 57.94 ± 20.29            | 59.03 ± 18.14            | 3.04    | 0.05    |
| Environment                    | 61.52 ± 14.49            | 63.88 ± 13.25            | 4.01    | 0.07    |

# Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. $Epworth Sleepiness Scale

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at a public-sector university in Pakistan from August to December 2018 through Non-probability convenience sampling technique. After written informed consent, a sample of 441 medical students from first year to final year completed the data collection form. The data collection tool was a closed ended, self-administered questionnaire, which included the ESS, WHOQOL-BREF scale, General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)-12, questions on self-perceived health before exam, self-perceived academic performance and demographic information like age (years), sex (male, female), residence (home, hostel, private accommodation), average sleep time and year in medical school. Additional information on the use of regular sleeping aids (e.g., sleeping pills) and use of caffeinated drinks per day was also included. GHQ and its association with daytime excessive sleepiness is reported elsewhere. The ESS is a validated scale that assesses the likelihood of the subject to fall asleep during certain activities. It comprises 8 questions on various situations commonly encountered in daily life, and the subjects must rate their chances of falling asleep during these situations. The responses range from 0 (would never fall asleep) to 3 (high chance of falling asleep) based on the subject’s recent lifestyle. ESS scores are obtained by adding the scores of all 8 questions. ESS scores ranges from 0 to 24 and is interpreted as within normal limits (ESS ≤ 10) or as suffering from excessive daytime sleepiness (ESS ≥ 10).

The WHOQOL-BREF scale contains a total of 26 questions, with one item from each of the 24 facets of WHOQOL-100. Two more items have been included from the Overall QOL and General Health facet. These 2 items inquire about an individual’s overall perception of quality of life and perception of health. An individual’s perception of quality of life in physical, psychological, social relationship and environmental domain are scored. Higher scores in domains denote higher QOL. Domain scores are calculated based on mean score of items within each domain. With a view to make domains cores comparable with the scores used in the WHOQOL-100, mean scores are multiplied by 4.

The academic performance was evaluated by including two additional questions asking students to rate their sleep quality before exam and self-perceived academic performance as excellent, good, satisfactory, poor and very poor.

Institutional Review Board of King Edward Medical University approved the study (Reference # 95/RC/KEMU). Participation in the study was entirely voluntary and confidentiality of the study participants was ensured.

The data was analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 25.0. The reliability of ESS and WHOQOL-BREF scale was determined through internal consistency by applying Cronbach’s α test. The α value was set at 0.05. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the full dataset. Mean ± SD are determined for continuous variables and compared using independent sample t-test. For categorical variables, the number and percentages of students in each category were calculated and Chi² test was used to compare independent groups. p-
value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

A sum of 441 students (among 596 questionnaires distributed) returned the questionnaire, resulting in 73.9% response rate. The mean age of the study population was 20.56 ± 1.61 years. The sample comprised 56% (274) female and 44% (194) male students. Students from all years of medical education were included in the study. Almost 63.9% (282) were residing in hostels. Majority of students (37.6%) had average sleep of 6-7 hours/night.

The Cronbach coefficient expressed the degree of internal consistency among the items of the questionnaire. The Cronbach coefficient of the ESS and WHOQOL-BREF scale were 0.69 and 0.82 respectively.

A total of 44.9% (n=198) of students in our sample were sleepy during the day (ESS score ≥ 10) and mean ESS score of whole study sample was 9.39 ± 3.94. Also, 121 (61.1%) women and 77 (38.9%) men scored ≥ 10 on the ESS.16

The mean ± SD WHOQOL-BREF domain scores of all respondents for physical health, psychological health, social relationships and environmental domains were 59.79 ± 15.64, 55.25 ± 17.08, 58.54 ± 19.12 and 62.82 ± 13.85, respectively. Among all respondents, 140 students (57.6%) with ESS < 10 rated their overall quality of life to be ‘Good’, with 120 students (49.4%) rated their health as ‘satisfied’. However, 103 students (52.0%) with ESS ≥ 10 rated their overall quality of life to be ‘Good’, with only 76 students (38.4%) rated their health as ‘satisfied’. These results are summarized in table I.

In terms of domain scores, the study showed individual domain scores in ESS ≥ 10 cases were significantly lower than in ESS cases (ESS score ≥ 10). The mean scores of each WHOQOL-BREF domain (physical, psychological, social relationship and environmental) for ESS cases (ESS score ≥ 10) and ESS controls (ESS score < 10) are shown in table II. When analyzed it was found that Excessive Daytime Sleepiness had a significant impact (p<0.05) on physical and psychological domain scores of QOL, while, social relationship and environmental domain scores were not significantly affected by excessive daytime sleepiness (p>0.05).

Comparative analysis of each domain of QOL-BREF, based on the demographic statistics of the study group, showed that on average, females scored lower in all domains of QOL as compared to males except for the social domain. Other characteristics of the study group that were associated with

| TABLE III: QOL-BREF DOMAIN SCORES BASED ON DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS |
| --- |
| Variable | Study Participants [n (%)] | Physical domain | Psychological domain | Social domain | Environmental domain |
| **Sex** | | | | | |
| Female | 247 (56) | 57.63±15.55 | 54.21±16.51 | 61.69±15.81 | 63.17±13.47 |
| Male | 194 (44) | 62.54±15.35 | 56.58±17.73 | 54.54±22.04 | 62.38±14.35 |
| **Year of medical school** | | | | | |
| First year | 96 (21.8) | 57.03±17.12 | 55.22±19.89 | 58.25±21.64 | 61.76±14.52 |
| Second year | 95 (21.5) | 53.87±15.63 | 52.29±16.21 | 52.81±20.85 | 59.54±14.76 |
| Third year | 79 (17.9) | 61.66±15.40 | 52.61±16.67 | 58.08±18.15 | 62.75±15.25 |
| Fourth year | 96 (21.8) | 64.80±13.72 | 59.52±16.84 | 62.45±16.43 | 66.55±11.62 |
| Fifth year | 75 (17.0) | 62.43±13.33 | 56.36±13.84 | 61.68±15.93 | 63.64±11.89 |
| **Residence** | | | | | |
| Home | 156 (35.4) | 59.04±15.82 | 54.40±17.17 | 57.87±19.67 | 65.63±13.87 |
| Hostel | 282 (63.9) | 60.28±15.59 | 55.85±17.05 | 58.83±18.69 | 61.22±13.68 |
| Private accommodation | 3 (0.7) | 52.33±9.71 | 43.67±12.50 | 66.67±34.55 | 67.00±3.46 |
| **Average sleep** | | | | | |
| < 6 h/night | 119 (27.0) | 56.34±16.16 | 52.58±17.56 | 58.19±21.99 | 60.57±14.77 |
| 6 to <7 h/night | 166 (37.6) | 61.13±13.81 | 57.08±15.60 | 58.63±18.48 | 62.88±13.21 |
| 7 to 8 h/night | 106 (24.0) | 62.40±15.56 | 57.14±16.41 | 59.03±17.32 | 64.28±13.36 |
| > 8 h/night | 50 (11.3) | 58.02±18.78 | 51.52±20.68 | 58.06±17.99 | 64.88±14.38 |
| **Use of regular Sleep Aids (e.g. sleeping pills)** | | | | | |
| Yes | 12 (2.7) | 51.83±11.05 | 43.67±23.70 | 67.17±20.53 | 63.75±18.71 |
| No | 429 (97.3) | 60.01±15.70 | 55.58±16.78 | 58.30±19.05 | 62.79±13.72 |
| **Caffeinated drinks** | | | | | |
| < 1 cups/day | 276 (62.6) | 60.70±15.58 | 55.95±16.55 | 57.95±19.40 | 62.77±14.08 |
| 1-2 cups/day | 131 (29.7) | 58.78±15.73 | 55.39±16.87 | 59.27±18.04 | 62.48±12.87 |
| 3-4 cups/day | 23 (5.2) | 60.48±13.39 | 53.26±19.50 | 63.39±19.64 | 68.96±12.76 |
| >4 cups/day | 11 (2.5) | 47.45±16.34 | 40.27±22.10 | 54.55±23.74 | 55.27±18.33 |
DISCUSSION

This study established that excessive sleepiness during daytime is significantly associated with poor QOL. Overall, higher scores on the ESS were correlated with lower scores on the WHOQOL-BREF domains. The results are like another study conducted on academic and private practice physicians, which also showed a significant correlation between high ESS scores and low WHOQOL-BREF domains scores. Hence, our study establish that the status of Excessive Daytime Sleepiness is linked with a poor QOL.

In terms of domain scores, our study showed excessive daytime sleepiness had a significant impact (p<0.05) on physical and psychological domain scores of QOL-BREF, with no significant impact on social relationship and environmental domains scores (p>0.05). While in a previous study conducted on general population, excessive daytime sleepiness was observed to have an adverse impact on physical and social domain. Other studies done on this issue reported medical students to have poorer quality of life than other young adults. To the best of our knowledge much less work has been done in finding the causes of poorer quality of life among medical students and in an attempt to do so we studied the impact of demographic statistics of the study group on the QOL domain scores and compared them with ESS score.

Our study showed females had low mean scores in all domains except social domain, when compared with males. A similar study done on Chinese population showed the same results where females also had lower scores in all domains except social domain scores. But, another study done on Indian medical students showed opposite results where females had better quality of life than males and had higher scores in all domains except physical domain. In relation to EDS, 60.3% males in our research were not experiencing excessive daytime sleepiness (ESS<10) and at the same time were scoring higher in WHOQOL-BREF domains than females, while 48.9% females experienced excessive daytime sleepiness and scored lower in WHOQOL-BREF domains. Thus, high prevalence of EDS among females may explain poor quality of life among them.

Among students of different school years, 4th year students scored highest while 2nd year scored lowest in all QOL domains. This is contrary to a research done on Chinese and Brazilian medical students where 3rd year students scored lowest in all domains, explained by their increased academic courses and clinical exposure in 3rd year. Also, in our study 2nd year students had highest EDS prevalence (53.6%) as compared to other years. Hence, here too, high prevalence of EDS among 2nd year students may explain poor QOL among them.

Similarly, students who slept <6h/night and students who took >4 cups of caffeinated drinks per day showed lowest scores in all domains and high prevalence of EDS. However, students staying in hostels had lower scores only in environmental domain when
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Compared with students living at their homes, yet they had higher EDS prevalence. This co-occurrence of high EDS prevalence with lower QOL domain scores among different demographic groups may explain the relationship of excessive daytime sleepiness with lower QOL among medical students. Thus, our study highlights Excessive Daytime Sleepiness to be one of the probable cause of poor QOL among medical students.

Our study failed to show any significant relationship between excessive daytime sleepiness and academic performance of students. Previous studies done on this subject report excessive daytime sleepiness adversely affects students' academic performance. The difference might be because in these studies, the assessment of academic performance was based on average of marks obtained by students in their annual exams while in our study subjective perception of performance in exams by students themselves was utilized to evaluate academic performance rather than their exam scores.

This study provides the basic insight in the lives of medical students, helping us identify and address the needs of medical students and to develop different strategies like counseling and mentoring services, educational reforms and student wellness programs. Improving medical student's quality of life will generate a competent workforce to improve their quality of life.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, excessive daytime sleepiness is significantly associated with poor QOL among medical students. Thus, medical schools should provide necessary support for medical students to improve their quality of life.
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