ABOUT BIOLOGICAL HIP JOINT PROSTHESSES AND THE BIOMECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF IMPLANTED FEMUR
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ABSTRACT

Biofidel femur Models with finite elements were developed using a specific segmentation combination with computed tomography and solid modeling tools capable of representing bone physiology and structural behavior. These biofidel Finite Element Models (FEM) are used to evaluate the change in the physiological distribution of stress in the prosthesis femur and to evaluate the new design criteria for biopsy biopsy biopsy biopsy. The proposed belief patterns allowed us to adequately take into account the non-isotropic features of the proximal femoral epiphysis and isotropic behavior in diaphysis to explain the critical changes in stress distribution in a femur resected after the implantation of a traditional articular prosthesis. It has been shown that a wide range of femoral diaphyses is completely protected by rigid prostheses that significantly alter the physiological distribution of stress, which should guarantee healthy growth and bone regeneration.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A highly interdisciplinary research group has been involved in the study of bone as a living material. The principal efforts have been devoted to mimic the bone characteristics and a process of its formations and behavior under physiological loading (Apicella et al., 2010-2011, 2015; Gramanzini et al., 2016; Kummer, 1986; Perillo et al., 2010; Sorrentino et al., 2009, 2007).

Advanced academic studies on biomechanics and biomimetic of implanted bones resulted from these investigations. This work project identifies a number of design criteria to foster their potential to enable new medical therapies contributing to customized health care and to create and improve the technological basis for innovative prostheses design.

The human femur is characterized by a specific internal structure (Oh & Harris, 1976; Gottesman & Hashin, 1980) that imparts to the bone a high capacity to withstand external stresses while optimizing its mass distribution and morphology (Ashman et al., 1984; Dalstyra et al., 1993). However, physiological bone mass loss progressively occurs at an older age, reducing its toughness and capability to dissipate the energy transmitted by external shock event, and this feature is the cause of most of the elder people pertrochanteric fractures along the plane indicated in figure 1.

Aversa et al. (2016) have successfully modeled this behavior. Pertrochanteric fractures need hip joint prosthesis application (Ashman & Rho, 1988, Burnstein et al., 1976, Carter & Hayes, 1977).

The modification of the biomechanical behavior of aged or implanted bones can be correctly predicted using our previously developed biofidel Finite Element Modeling (FEM).

However, replacement of the total hip in patients under the age of 65 years and therefore the required prolonged durability of the orthopedic implant may not take more than 15 years. However, this clinical scenario is changing now. More technological developments in health have increased life expectancy. In addition, the prognosis of physical trauma caused by sports, excessive exercise and excessive exercise or road accidents has improved. The new design then requires durable and biomechanically compatible prostheses.

The orthopedic prostheses used today are made of metal alloys, plastics, and ceramics with well-defined properties and characteristics. Especially due to the biocompatibility of their high mechanical strength and commonly used metal alloys, they are based on cobalt, titanium
or chromium and represent almost all the prosthetic components that are in direct contact with the human bone, as they could provide optimal bones for integration.

Implant failures are mainly due to incompatibility between a prosthesis and bone biomechanics, inadequate strength or rigidity, which is an inadequate bone for the implant, causing bone discontinuities in the distribution of stress and biological strains. Current implants (metallic and ceramic) that are more rigid than bone, physiological changes in strong blood distribution, and prevent the transfer of stress to the adjacent bone (Apicella et al., 2010, 2011, 2015) to loosen the implant.

To predict structural changes induced by the modification of bone morphological and mechanical characteristics, femoral models have been developed (Aversa et al., 2016). The development of these bio-models has already allowed us to predict strictly the physiological stress and strain distribution in mandibular and prosthetic implants (Apicella et al 2010, Gramanzini et al., 2016, Perillo et al., Sorrentino et al 2009, 2007).

This paper developed a femoral FEM model elaborated in a previous paper, which is the correct structural behavior of the femur head (Aversa et al., 2016) for the distribution of stress and tension on the stem and head and was modified to take account of resection of the femoral head and replacement with a Titan hip prosthesis for the femoral fracture (Figure 1). A comparison of the biologic stress and strain distribution in the femoral neck and the femoral femur could help to understand the correct design procedures needed to design new innovative biomimetic prostheses (Annunziata et al., 2006, 2008; Apicella et al., 2010; 2011, 2015; Aversa et al., 2009, 2016a-o, 2017; Beaupre & Hayes, 1985; Bonfield et al., 1981; Comerun, 1986; Čepelak, 2013; Chen, 2013; Cormack, 2012; Davis et al., 1991; Dechow 2003; Filmon et al., 2002; Frost, 1964,1990, 2004; Gorustovich et al., 2010; Gramazzini et al., 2016; Halpin & Kardos, 1976; Heinemann et al., 2013; Hutmacher, 2000; Hoppe et al., 2011; Hench & Wilson, 1993; Hench & Polak, 2002; Hench & Thompson, 2010; Huiskes et al., 1987; Julien et al., 2007; Jones & Clare, 2012; Kim et al., 2004; Karageorgiou & Kaplan, 2005; Kabra et al., 1991; Mano et al., 2004; Mirsayar et al., 2017; Morales-Hernandez et al., 2012; Mourino et al., 2012; Montheard et al., 1992; Petrescu, 2018; Petrescu & Calautit, 2016a-b; Petrescu et al., 2015, 2016a-e, 2017, 2018; Perillo et al., 2010; Peluso et al., 1997; Prashantha et al., 2001; Reilly & Burnstain, 1974, 1975; Schiraldi et al 2004; Schwartz-Dabney & Dechow, 2003; Sorrentino et al., 2007, 2009; Töyräsa et al., 2001; Wolff, 1892).
2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The CT-derived segmentation was performed using the Mimics software (Materialize, Belgium) to process the patient's CT.

Solid anatomic solid and femoral pelvis, see Figure 2, were obtained by processing CT data.

New prosthetic models using the combined use of the Mimics and 3-Matic software (Materialize, Belgium) can be obtained from the biomechanical study of these bone marrow.

The combined model of solid modeling and finite element analysis was developed in a previous work by Aversa et al. (2016).

These methods simulate the structural morphology of the femur as has already been done for other complex bone structures that take into account the trabecular bone orientation and densities (Apicella et al., 2010, 2011, 2015, Aversa et al., 2016, Aversa et al. 2009, Beaupre et al., 1985; Reilly & Burstein 1974; Reilly & Burstein 1975; Huiskes et al., 1987; Taylor et al., 2007, Rohlmann et al., 1982). Several recent studies have highlighted the importance of FEM analysis in clinical applications and the development of new prosthetic systems (Mirsayar & Park 2016, Mazaheri et al., 2016) and the use of innovative materials and surface treatments (Kumar et al., 2016).
The methodological procedure is illustrated in the Figures from 3 to 6. The external geometry of femur and portion of the pelvis have been remodeled creating a 3D volume from the CT scans (Figure 3).

The models were imported in the 3Matic software in order to create and optimize surface and solid meshing, which was needed to the preparation of the Finite element model and for the designation of material properties (Aversa et al., 2016).
The results of tethra-edric element material distribution are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Mesh creation and optimization of the biofidel not resected femur model
Source: Aversa et al. (2016)

The same procedure has been applied to the preparation of the FEA model of the femur with the resection of the head (Figure 5), which has been virtually related to the fracture plane reported in figure 1, and for the Titanium traditional hip-joint prosthesis (reported in the Left side of Figure 6).

Figure 5: 3D meshing optimization of the biofidel patient femur model
The model of the resected femur and of the Titanium prosthesis has been realized by definition of the 3D tetrahedral mesh optimization of the distribution and size, as indicated in the right side of Figure 5 and in Figure 6, respectively.

![Figure 6: 3D meshing optimization of a Titanium traditional prosthesis](image)

The solid mesh elements of the resected femur have been associated to the bone densities such as measured by a Hounsfield (HU) scale, which compute the X-rays linear attenuation coefficients of in the tissues, by the Mimics software (lower part of Figure 5). The meshed solid models of the resected femur and of the Ti prosthesis were assembled accounting to the correct positioning of the implant using the Mimics software (figure 7).

![Figure 7: Assembly and material properties definition associated to the patient femur cortical and trabecular bone densities and to the Titanium prosthesis](image)
New remeshing of the tethraedric elements were run in 3Matic (figure 8) searching for the node congruency at the bone-implant interfaces, where the material definition has been made for the new finite elements configuration. The evaluation of mechanical properties has been done considering the cortical and trabecular bone mechanical characteristics.

![Image of femur and prosthesis](https://example.com/image1)

**Figure 8: Assembly of the resected femur and Titanium rigid hip prosthesis and tethraedric mesh congruency verification**

In the Hounsfield (HU) scale, the trabecular bone is in the range 100-300, while the cortical bone covers values from 200 up to about 2000, which corresponded in our bone systems to Elastic moduli ranging from 0.87 to 15.0 GPa. The fat value is about -110, the muscle about 40. Finally, the mechanical properties of the prosthesis Ti alloy are: elastic modulus equals 124 GPa and Poisson ratio 0.3.

**FEM analysis: sound and prosthesis femur**

On the basis of the 3D models of the sound femur, and the resected femurs implanted with a traditional rigid prosthesis, a structural evaluation under the same loading conditions has been developed and the results critically compared.

The personal characteristic biometric parameters of the patient femur-hip system have been carried out before running the structural analysis.

The 3Matic software has been used to identify the direction of the loading axis, the center of the proximal epiphysis head sphere, and the center of the joint epicondyle and mechanical axis of rotation of the knee.
Namely, the following parameters have been measured: the mechanical axis between the centers of the proximal and distal epiphyses, the angle of $143.40^\circ$ between the femoral neck and diaphysis axes, and the divergence of $36.65^\circ$ between the neck axis with the axis of epicondyles.

Finally (before running the FEM structural analyses) the physiological loads and constraints have been evaluated.

As limiting bone load condition, the equilibrium mono-podalic posture has been chosen where the gluteus muscular force balances the moment of body weight force. For a body weight of 100 Kg and accordingly to the previously defined biometric parameters, the gluteus muscular force applied to the great trochanter is about 1800 N and the reaction force at the joint 2740 N. (Kumar et al., 2016, Annunziata et al., 2006).

The equilibrium condition and femur-loading scheme are illustrated in figure 9.

![Figure 9: Physiological Equivalent Von Mises stresses in the femur from our biofidel Finite Element Model](image)

Reaction forces generated by the gluteus have been uniformly distributed over 100 nodes of great trochanter surface. The reaction forces acting at the level of the femur joint are distributed on 50 nodes of the femur head (as indicated in the upper left of figure 9).
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study defines a biophysical model to investigate the structural behavior of the femur. The Von Mises strain criterion was used to compare stress distributions in the femur and prosthesis under the previously defined loading conditions.

This Von Mises is an energy criterion that can better quantify the capacity of the femur to withstand large tasks (right side of Figure 9).

Back, the stress distribution confirms the presence of bending effects with the highest homogeneous values distributed in the anatomical diaphysis regions (right side of figure 9), with a maximum of about 90 MPa.

The same structural analysis was performed on the FE model of the prosthetic femur described in Figures 7 and 8. The results of the analysis are compared in Figure 10 with those evaluated for the femur.

Figure 10 shows a significant change in tension distribution in the left femur (femur) and femur resected with rigid Ti (right) prosthesis. Compared to physiological tensions in the diaphysis of the femur, the prosthetic femur induces a concentration in the medial region, while it is completely absent in the proximal end. This event is called "stress protection effect" and is due to the high stiffness of the metal prosthesis stem that does not allow the physiological flexion of the diaphyses.

The absence of stress (and state-related condition) could induce a significant change in bone structure over time (bone reabsorption).

Bone modeling and remodeling processes, in fact, enable itself to grow, renew, and repair itself (Gottesman & Hashin, 1980; Huiskes et al., 1987; Taylor et al., 2007; Weinans et al., 1992). Mechanical adaptation of trabecular density and architecture could be explained in terms of mechano-sensory and signaling functions for the osteocytes (Mullender & Huiskes, 1995). The mechanic-regulation mechanism driving bone remodeling uses mechanical strain and micro-damage as the stimulus driving cellular responses and the consequent changes in the bone density and geometry. The absence or the modification of the biomechanical stimuli shown in figure 10 for the femur diaphysis after prosthesis implantation is then expected to lead, over the time, to a bone remodeling and reabsorption due to the absence of adequate stress and strain state.
Validating clinical efficacy and estimating the long-term reliability of restorative prosthetic systems require a proper understanding of the physical variables that influence the biomechanical behavior of the material for advanced biomedical applications.

The Finite Element Analysis Tool (FEA) allows biomaterials to obtain a complete assessment of the biological and mechanical behaviors of advanced restoration systems, even for non-homogeneous systems.

If validated by appropriate experimental procedures, FEA becomes useful for optimizing restoration design criteria and choosing the materials to be used. Moreover, this method allows the estimation of fracture localization in data loading circumstances (Aversa et al., 2016).

New manufacturing processes based on additive manufacturing technologies and studies on biomechanics and biomimetics (Annunziata et al., 2006; Apicella et al., 2010; Aversa et al., 2009) could allow the establishment of new design criteria for prostheses human. The authors took these studies to gather the unexploited potential of such advanced materials and design technologies by developing Biofidel Element finite models capable of mimicking correctly the biomechanical behavior of the femur (Figure 11).
Although the human femur has an internal structure capable of withstanding high external stresses (Ashman et al., 2004), mass evolution and arrangement of cortical and trabecular bone types (Tamar & Hashin, 1980, Oh & Harris, 1978, 1984, Dalstra & others, 1993). Progressive loss of physiological bone mass in the elderly or in the presence of prolonged inactivity results in bone weakness and reduced ability to withstand mechanical shocks. This reduced resistance is the main cause of pertrochanteric femoral fractures in the elderly (Ashman & Rho, 1988; Burstein et al., 1976, Carter & Hayes, 1977). Reducing these fractures and recovering hip joint functionality requires the application of a special prosthesis.

Currently, total hip replacement in patients less than 65 years of age and therefore longer life expectancy requiring longer durability of the orthopedic implant does not suffer for more than 15 years.

The orthopedic prostheses used today are made of metal alloys, plastics, and ceramics with well-defined properties and characteristics. In particular, due to high biocompatibility and high mechanical strength, certain metal alloys based on titanium or cobalt chromium are commonly used and represent almost all prosthetic components. These traditional prosthetic products are made from valuable technologies such as forging or melting metals, followed by mechanical machining.

These processes do not allow optimal design solutions for better biomechanical bone integration. Application of other structures on surfaces to create a more osteoinductive and osteoconductive substrate for healthy bone growth should be carried out necessarily (Schiraldi et al., 2004, Gramanzini et al., 2016). However, these processes, even if they are very
expensive, do not provide sufficient structural support and are often not mechanically appropriate. Fully metallic implants, on the other hand, can allow a rapid and satisfactory structural restoration of functional mobility, while maintaining acceptable operating costs.

However, in addition to high invasiveness, these implants are not the ideal solution, especially for younger patients with long life expectancy, both in terms of duration and biomechanical osteointegration. This first generation of prostheses is technically not the optimal structure for optimal optic integration and biomechanics with bone tissue in the area around the implant.

By comparing a metal prosthesis with human bones to a radiographic examination, the density and mass difference that can induce healthy bone growth (Apicella et al., 2015) is evident.

Frost (1987) states that the adaptive properties of bones depend on the range of physiological strains to which the bone is subjected. Implantation of implants may favor reabsorption processes that lead to a greater and more unstable and unstable condition until the prosthesis shows signs of weakness, will move from its position, causing serious consequences and pain for the patient. In more severe cases, the implant breaks down, which requires immediate replacement.

Biomechanics and biomimetics: a way to promote advanced materials and advanced technologies

The implants are then expected to serve for a much longer period, without failure or surgical revision. Designing and improving suitable combinations of prosthetic materials and systems demonstrating increased resistance and enhanced biocompatibility become mandatory.

It is expected that the prosthetic bone of the resected bone will provide an "equivalent stiffness" (the combination of the elastic modulus of the material and the shape of the prosthesis) that matches that of the missing bone and the prosthesis in which it is implanted.

Due to the orthotropic density and the different density of the structure, the bone elastic modulus can vary from 4 to 20 GPa, which depends on the bone type and the loading direction. The metallic materials and ceramic implant adopted today, characterized by a greater rigidity than the bone when implanted, strongly alter the local stress of the physiological distribution of unwanted bone resorption through the implant and hence the increased risk of implant failure.
This biomechanical mismatch reduces the level of biological demand for healthy bone growth (Frost, 1994) and loss of bone mass due to this reduced burden, known as stress shielding.

A personalized material with an improved combination of strength and stiffness that fits the bone should be used for biomechanical integration of the implant. This higher biomechanical compatibility will avoid loosening the implant and increase lifespan, avoiding additional surgery for review and allowing good biological integration (bone growth).

Innovative biomimetic materials for tissue engineering based on hydrophilic polymers have been developed by our research group and exhibited attractive physical, biological and mechanical properties for biomedical applications (Schiraldi et al., 2004). For use with metal prostheses, the authors developed a hybrid, highly biocompatible hybrid material based on chemical and hydrophilic (hydroxy-ethyl-methacrylate) hydrophilic materials.

The structural metal structure of the new prostheses will be made of titanium alloys using additive technology based on the melting of thin titanium powder layers (about 50 microns) on each other until the desired component is obtained. Then, nanostructured biomaterial and osteoconductive material developed in our previous studies (Schiraldi et al., 2004) can cover the titanium structural prosthetic skeleton.

These hybrid biological prostheses, which are made using synthetic materials capable of inducing the growth of biological networks and structural steel scaffolds, may favor the emergence of new classes of orthopedic hybrids in the medical field.

The new hybrid bio-prosthesis could drastically reduce stress protection while offering an advantageous improvement in the life of the prosthesis compared to traditional solutions. Recovering optimal joint functionality will improve the quality of life of the patient, which perceives a significant reduction in the risk of new surgery.

The requirement to predict the potential structural changes that may be induced by the inappropriate use of biologically compatible prostheses in bone structure and morphology forced our studies to evaluate the fictitious patterns that could be considered for real bone distribution and its orthotropic behavior (Aversa et al., 2016).

The development of loyal models has already allowed us to prepare prostheses that could restore natural stress and physiological strains in the bone (Apicella et al., 2010; Gramanzini et al., 2016; Perillo et al., 2010)
In this study, a FEM femoral model was developed that was developed in a previous paper to represent the exact structural behavior of the femur head (Aversa et al., 2016) for the distribution of stress and strains throughout the stem, femoral head resection, and Titan articulated replacement. A comparison of the biologic stress and strain distribution in the femoral neck and the femoral femur could help to understand the correct design procedures needed to design new innovative biomimetic prostheses.

Segmentation of medical images was derived from CT using the Mimics software (Materialize, Belgium) to process a medical image of the patient. As shown in Figure 2, CT processing led to a solid solid solid model of the patient in the pelvis and anatomy of the femur.

New applications of prosthetic engineering through the combined use of the Mimics and 3-Matic software (Materialize, Belgium) could be derived from the biomechanical study of these bones.

A solid 3D model with finite elements (FEM) was developed in a previous work of simulating internal and external femoral morphology, as has already been done for other complex bone structures that correspond to the orientation and densities of the trabecular systems of the head (Aversa et al. 2016, Apicella et al., 2010; Beaupre & Hayes, 1985; Reilly & Burstein, 1974; 1975). The procedure is illustrated in figures 3-6. The outer geometry of the femur and pelvis was reconstructed by generating a three-dimensional volume that interpolates CT scans (Figure 3).

The results were then imported into 3Matic software for surface and solid surface optimization, finite element modeling, and material properties definition (Aversa et al., 2016; Apicella et al., 2010; 2015).

The results of the distribution of the material of the tetrathic element are shown in Figure 4 (the yellow corresponds to the different stiffnesses of the mechanical properties of the cortical bones, while the green ones are the trabecular bone of different densities). The same procedure was applied to the FEM model for femoral head resection, practically performed at the fractures reported in Figure 1 and for the traditional titanium prosthesis. The internal modeling of the resected femur and meta-prosthesis was accomplished by defining the three-dimensional distribution of internal language optimization and language dimension, as shown in the top of Figure 5.

Massive femoral cross-links were sequentially associated with the patient's bone densities, according to the Hounsfield scale (HU). This scale quantifies the X-ray attenuation
coefficients in tissues, assigning, using the Mimics software, the elastic modulus corresponding to the corresponding elements of the FEM model (bottom of Figure 5). The massive resected femoral models and the Ti prosthesis were assembled to position the implant correctly using the Mimics software.

The new mosaic of tetrarchic elements was performed in 3Matic in search of nodal congruence at the implant-bone interface.

The mechanical properties have been assigned considering the cortical and trabecular bone characteristics.

In particular, the systems have been considered as isotropic materials and the mechanical properties have been coupled with every single tethraedric element characterized by equivalent Hounsfield (HU) densities scale. The elastic and shear moduli of the trabecular bone were derived as a fraction of those of the cortical bone cortical bone with a direct proportionality with the porosity measured by the axial tomography. In this scale, the value of the fat is about -110, the muscles around 40, the trabecular bone stay between 100 and 300 while the cortical one covers the values between the high-density cortical bone (300) and about 2000, that matched in our bone systems to Elastic moduli ranging from 0.87 to 15.0 GPa.

The solid mesh elements of the trabecular-oriented material have been assigned by operating on the internal structure accounting for the real bone trabecular morphology that we found in the proximal end, (Figure 12) as indicated in Aversa et al. (2016).

Figure 12: 3D meshing optimization of a Titanium traditional prosthesis
Variable Rigidity Ti Prostheses Design

In order to avoid excessive stress shielding effects on the resected femur diaphysis (shaft), the trabecular hip joint prosthesis has been properly designed according to the characteristic and specific rigidity of each diaphysis section interested by the prosthesis.

The mechanistic model of the hip proximal epiphysis proposed by Kummer (1986) has been transferred to the hip joint prosthesis design where the presence of isostatic lines that characterizes the oriented trabecular systems, is reported in Figure 13 left-hand side).

Moreover, the biomimetic prosthesis should present rigidities along the isostatic lines that match those of the bone where it is placed.

Five regions of the prosthesis have been chosen to assign differentiated decreasing rigidities (right hand side of Figure 13).

The state stress acting on the system and the observation of the isostatic lines described by Kummer (1986) has been used to define the morphology of the porosity characterizing the trabecular structure of the stem and head of the prosthesis in different zones.

Figure 13: Left, Isostatic lines and right, Isorrigidity regions chosen for the biomimetic prosthesis trabecular structure design
Source: Kummer (1986)
Figure 14: Flexible trabecular hip joint prosthesis with different stem and head rigidities and orientations. (a) Region of equivalent stiffness, (b) the overall flexible prosthesis, (c) internal trabecular structure, (d) Orthotropic trabecular structure obtained by additive sintering of Ti powders.

These regions must be characterized by stiffness variations that progressively decrease from the very rigid head (region 1 of Figure 13) to extremely flexible (region 5 in Figure 13).

Isostatic lines and morphological differences of trabecular regions of iso-rigidity are better appreciated by comparing the trabecular structure of isostatic and iso-rigid regions (Figures 14b and 14c).

The orientation and different shape of the porosity of the titanium cigar are evident in the internal structure shown in Figures 10b and 10c.

An example of a trabecular iso-rigidity structure obtained by sintering the Ti alloy powder through the electron beam is shown in Figure 10d. The apparent elastic patterns of trabecular structures in the regions of iso-rigidity range from 20 GPa for region 1 to 11, 8, 4 and 1 GPa for regions 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

The orientation of the trabecular system visible to the bottom left of Figure 14b seen in the area of the prosthetic stem corresponding to the femoral-tibial tibial epithelium during voltage stresses would maintain and ensure the necessary continuity of stiffness in each specific region shown in Figure 14a. Previously calculated CT values of bone densities were then linked to the isostatic lines of the cortical and trabecular bone of the femoral and femoral bone resection, taking into account each tetra-medly oriented mesh element along the isostatic stress.
directions observed in the proximal femoral epiphysis. Similarly, the same criterion (isostatic orientation) was used to define titanium titanium titanium titanium titanium

**FEM analysis: femoral teeth and tear and femoral teeth**

Based on the 3D models of the femur and femur, resected with rigid and flexible prostheses, a structural assessment was performed under the same loading conditions and the results were compared critically.

The characteristic biometric parameters of the patient's hip system were performed before the structural analysis. 3Matic software was used to identify the direction of the loading axis, the center of the proximal sphincter of the headspace and the common center of the epicondylar axis and the mechanical axis of the knee rotation.

The following parameters were measured: Mechanical axis between centers of proximal and distal epiphyses, 143.4° angle between the femoral shaft and diaphysis axes and 36.65° divergence between throat axis and epicondyle axis.

Finally (before the FEM structural analysis) the physiological tasks and constraints were evaluated.

**Loading condition and imposed constraints**

Steady-state equilibrium was considered a very serious loading condition with a rotation around the center of the hip joint when the gluteal muscle strength balances the pulse transmitted by body weight. Considering the patient's weight of 100 kg, the gluteal muscle force applied to a large trochanter is 1800 N and the hip response force is 2740 N (these values were calculated based on the biometric data in Figure 15).

![Figure 15. Biometric analysis: Mechanical axis of the femur (left); Angle of the femoral neck (143.40°, Center); Angle of divergence of the neck with the axis of epicondyles (36.65°, Right)
Source: Aversa et al. (2016)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
The resistance of the Gluteus muscular response was evenly distributed around 100 nodes in the larger FEM trochanter, while the common hip response force was spread over 50 nodules of the femoral head (see Figure 9 above).

In this study, we defined the procedure for the preparation of a biophysical model of the femur capable of describing correctly its structural biomechanical behavior. The Von Mises strain criterion was used to compare and validate new flexible trabecular projections. Von Mises is an energy criterion that can accurately quantify the ability of bones to resist large loads (Figure 9).

The different structural behaviors of the two models are also evident in the Von Mises stress distribution, clearly different in the posterior and anterior (the right end of Figure 9 and Figure 16a). The distribution of the posterior tension confirms the presence of bending effects with the highest homogeneous values distributed in the anatomical diaphysis regions (Figure 16a).

![Figure 16: Equivalent Von Mises stresses distribution in the proximal femur epiphysis for (a) sound femur, (b) rigid Ti prosthesis and (c) flexible trabecular prosthesis](image)

4. CONCLUSIONS

The innovative aspects of our work are that, unlike the currently used prostheses that are only intended to replace a damaged hip joint, the proposed prosthetic prostheses are capable of being completely biomimetic because they mimic the distribution of biological stress stimulates the tissue regeneration physiology.

The average life of a prosthesis today is about 10/15 years, while the new "biomimetic prosthesis" will have a higher life expectancy that can be estimated over 20/25 years.
This is very important because the average length of human life increases progressively and consequently increases the number of orthopedic operations and costs related to social assistance and health.

The design and manufacture of structured personalized structured structures of innovative biomimetic systems that could be better integrated with the physiological biomechanics of the femur in which they are implanted is possible through the correct use of such biophile models.

The aim of the study is to create added value by combining existing research with biomechanical results with innovative prosthetic design and structural simulation activities.

The transfer of results into academic and industrial research on biomechanics and clinical trials leads to an acceleration of innovation and profit, while improving the quality of life of patients with prostheses.

This document identifies a set of design criteria to stimulate the potential of allowing new medical therapies to contribute to personalized healthcare, to create and improve the technology base, and to increase resource efficiency in the context of industrial and manufacturing processes. The new type of biomimetic implants can find applications in the knee, ankle, hip, shoulder and orthopedic column.

Another area of application of the product is surgical oncology to support and facilitate bone regeneration, resulting in massive losses due to primitive and metastatic tumor removal interventions.

The prosthetic system could allow for better functional recovery by promoting bone recreation to ensure good maintenance, even if it will have an impact on the quality of life of the individual patient severely compromised by the oncological pathology underlying it.

The concept of combining a support metal structure (to guarantee load resistance) a biomimetic scheme (which promotes regeneration) applies to all areas of surgical treatment involving bone removal and requires the regeneration of regenerated tissue.
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