How important is blood pressure variability?
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Arterial blood pressure (BP) is a continuous variable, with a physiology characterized by significant variability stemming from the complex interaction among haemodynamic factors, neuronal reflexes, as well as hormonal, behavioural, and environmental stimuli. The homeostatic response accounts for the physiologic variability in BP in normotensive individuals, which is more evident in hypertensive patients. Blood pressure variability is a complex phenomenon, which could be classified in various types: very short term (beat to beat), short term (during 24 h), mid-term (day by day), long term (<5 years), and very long term (>5 years). Accurate measurement of BP variability represents a complex and often controversial endeavour, despite several methodological approaches are available. Albeit a prognostic significance has been demonstrated for some indicators of BP variability, the clinical significance of this measurement is still uncertain. In fact, none of the indicators presently available for BP variability, including early morning BP rise, substantially affects, and redefines, the cardiovascular risk of the hypertensive patient, over and beyond the mere BP values. Accordingly, in defining the cardiovascular risk, the focus should be on the absolute BP values, which remain the most relevant risk factor, and the one more susceptible to modification with both non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic treatment.

Characteristics of blood pressure variability

Arterial pressure is a continuous variable characterized physiologically by marked oscillations deriving from the complex interaction among haemodynamic, reflex neuronal, humoral, behavioural, and environmental factors.1,2 Being a homeostatic response, these oscillations occur physiologically in normotensive subjects and tend to be more pronounced in hypertensive subjects. Blood pressure (BP) variability is a complex phenomenon, classifiable in different types (Table 1).2,3 The very short-term variability is identifiable in the beat-beat variations, and is due to the interaction between baroceptive reflexes, the sympathetic nervous system, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, nitric oxide release, and behavioural alterations. The short-term variability, intended as pressure variations over 24 h, is mainly characterized by circadian modulations. Among the most relevant there is the physiological nocturnal reduction of systolic and diastolic BP, which in normal subjects, defined as ‘dippers’, is about 10-20%. In some subjects, hypertensive phenotype species, defined as ‘non-dippers’, this reduction is <10%, while in the ‘reverse dippers’ there is even a pressure increase during the night.1,2,4 A second source of circadian variability is represented by a sometimes sharp increase in arterial pressure during the first hours of the morning, called ‘morning surge’ or ‘morning rise’;5 however, its precise definition, especially in terms of numerical threshold, is still very controversial.

Medium-term variability, i.e. day-day and long-term variability, represented by visit-visit and season-season variability, are influenced by behavioural, environmental (temperature, altitude, etc.) factors but also by adherence of the patient to antihypertensive therapy.1,2,4

Evaluation of pressure variability

The precise measurement of BP variability is also complex and sometimes controversial.6
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The beat-to-beat variability has been measured for years through the invasive Oxford intra-arterial method, up until the advent of the ‘Penaz method’, based on finger sensors that exploit the photoplethysmography technique.\(^1\),\(^2\) Short-term variability was also extensively assessed through non-invasive 24-h ambulatory measurement (ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, ABPM), with measurements every 15–30 min.\(^4\) From 24-h pressure records, it is possible to estimate 24-h variability by calculating the standard deviation of mean systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure values, and the coefficient of variation, which provides more reliable information by partially correcting the direct proportionality observed between values average and BP variability.\(^4\),\(^5\) However, the standard deviation and the coefficient of variation of the pressure values are affected by the influence of stressors and day-night differences. For this reason, other indices have been proposed to evaluate the variability in the short term, such as, for example, the real average variability (‘average real variability’, ARV), the average of the absolute differences between consecutive measurements\(^2\) and the residual variability (‘residual BPV’), obtained by eliminating, through the spectral analysis, the slowest components of the 24-h BP profile.\(^7\) The use of the weighted standard 24-h BP deviation was also suggested (weighted 24-h BP SD), which selectively removes the contribution of night-time dipping and weighs the average of day and night pressure for the day and day night.\(^2\),\(^4\),\(^8\)

This last methodological adjustment is motivated by the fact that, as detailed below, an increased BP variability represents a negative prognostic index, in the same way, a reduced nocturnal pressure reduction (which in itself reduces the 24-h pressure variability) is associated with worst prognosis.\(^2\),\(^4\),\(^8\) Consequently, an index that allows the exclusion of night-time dipping or non-dipping from the quantification of BP variability over 24h could provide more reliable information from the clinical point of view.

The evaluation of medium and long-term variability, on the other hand, is affected by various problems since it is often difficult to obtain such a number of measurements to allow an adequate estimate; furthermore, the measurement in the doctor’s office (‘office BP’) does not always reflect the arterial pressure values observed during the patient’s usual activities and is affected by the ‘white coat’ effect.\(^1\) The ABPM can be considered a certainly more reliable method, but its extensive application has organizational limits. The methodological approach most easily applicable to large populations would seem to be home measurement (home blood pressure monitoring) because it allows obtaining repeated measurements day after day under standardized conditions.\(^1\)

### Blood pressure variability, microcirculation, and macrocirculation

The carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity, cfPWV) is the gold standard for the non-invasive measurement of stiffness or stiffness of large arteries and is recognized as an important predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population and in the population with high cardiovascular risk.\(^7\),\(^9\) In elderly patients or in hypertensive patients, PWV is increased due to the greater rigidity of the proximal vessels, whereby the reflected wave travels faster, arriving during

### Table 1  Different types of blood pressure variability and their determinants

| Types of blood pressure variability | Determinants |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Very short-term variability (beat-to-beat) | Sympathetic activation, Baro and chemoreceptive/cardiopulmonary reflexes, Humoral, rheological, environmental, behavioural, emotional factors, Age, activity/sleep, Ventilation |
| Short-term variability (in 24 h) | Sympathetic activation, Baro and chemoreceptive/cardiopulmonary reflexes, Humoral, rheological, environmental, behavioural, emotional factors, Age, activity/sleep, Improper dosage/titration of antihypertensive therapy, Arterial stiffness |
| Medium-term variability (day-day) | Improper dosage/titration of antihypertensive therapy, Arterial stiffness, Age |
| Long-term variability (visit-visit < 5 years) and very long-term variability (visit-visit > 5 years) | Improper dosage/titration of antihypertensive therapy, Arterial stiffness, Age, Adherence to therapy, Blood pressure measurement errors, Seasonal changes, Ageing |

Distinction between ‘long-term’ (<5 years) and ‘very long-term’ (≥5 years) variability as proposed by Hastie et al.\(^2\),\(^3\) Obtained from Refs.\(^2\),\(^3\)
the meso-systole, thus increasing the central systolic pressure and the differential pressure. Schillaci et al. have shown through a multivariate analysis a significant relationship between the rigidity of large arteries and pressure variability in the 24 h. They also showed that the extent of this association depends on the definition of pressure variability: closer correlations were observed between cfPWV and ARV (‘average real variability’), followed by the ‘weighted’ 24-h BP SD. However, the relationship between stiffness and pressure variability would not be a causal relationship, but a vicious circle in which the increase in aortic stiffness would contribute to increasing the variability of arterial pressure in the short term and in turn the increased variability in the 24 h would determine an increase in cfPWV. The increase in aortic stiffness would favour the increased variability of arterial pressure also through reduced sensitivity of baroreceptors, a typical characteristic of altered autonomic modulation in hypertensive subjects.

Not only the cfPWV but also the indices of estimation of haemodynamics at the central level and of the reflection of the pulse wave, such as central arterial pressure and the augmentation index (Alx) are independent predictors of cardiovascular events and mortality from all causes. Omboni et al. have documented a statistically significant relationship, even if moderate, not only between the variability indices of 24-h systolic BP and the arterial stiffness indexes but also between pressure variability and pressure wave reflection indices, such as central systolic BP and Alx. Also, in this case, as in Schillaci’s study, the correlation was weaker considering the weighted SD, followed by the ARV.

Also, the alterations of the microcirculation can be associated and an increased pressure variability. Already in 1992, it was observed that the standard deviation of 24-h arterial pressure evaluated with ABPM correlated with an index of microvascular alteration, the minimum vascular resistance of the forearm. It has been hypothesized that there is a reciprocal relationship between microvascular and macrovascular alterations, which can also influence pressure variability.

In essential hypertension a remodelling of the microcirculation is observed, characterized by an increase in the media/vessel lumen. These alterations determine an increase in the flow resistance and, therefore, of the arterial pressure values, being able in addition to amplify the effect of each hypertensive stimulus, because for the same shortening of the vascular smooth muscle cells the increase of the resistances is much more marked compared to normotensives. This effect of ‘vascular amplification’ of the stimuli can, therefore, determine an increase in the variability of the arterial pressure.

The alterations of the microcirculation can influence the reflection of the pulsatory wave and, therefore, the central arterial pressure. In fact, the microvascular structure is not only the main site of generation of flow resistance but also, probably, the origin of most wave reflections that generate an increase in central systolic pressure especially in the elderly.

The media/lumen ratio of small subcutaneous arteries and retinal arterioles is significantly correlated with 24-h systolic and differential pressure and systolic and central differential pressure. Therefore, the central differential pressure, indicative of mechanical alterations in large-caliber arteries, is an independent determinant of vascular remodelling in the microcirculation. This relationship would indicate an association between microvascular and macrovascular structural changes associated with arterial hypertension. In fact, the increase in the media/lumen ratio and the rarefaction of small arteries are among the main factors involved in inducing an increase in mean arterial pressure, which in turn can directly determine greater rigidity of the great arteries.

Furthermore, the increased arterial stiffness can lead to an increase in the differential pressure, which in turn can damage the small arteries in the target organs (heart, brain, retina, and kidney) favouring the progression of organ damage. Therefore, the existence of reciprocal relationships can be postulated, and of a real vicious circle that links the microvascular and macrovascular alterations with the pressure variability (Figure 1).

It has also been observed that the morphological alterations in the microcirculation could also be involved in the sharp increase in arterial pressure during the first hours of the morning, in turn associated with an increase in the incidence of cardiovascular events and arterial rigidity. Furthermore, the deleterious effect of the sudden rise in BP in the morning could also be mediated by the greater degree of organ damage related to arterial hypertension.

In the aforementioned study, a statistically significant correlation was observed not only between the media/lumen ratio of small subcutaneous resistance arteries and the morning rise in BP but also with the standard deviation of mean arterial pressure measured with ‘ABPM’, confirming what was previously observed investigating the microcirculation of the forearm. However, it is still not clear whether the relationship between microcirculation alterations and morning elevation of BP values is cause-effect type: an increase in the media/lumen ratio of small arteries could cause an accentuated morning peak amplifying hypertensive stimuli, but, at the same time, morning pressure increases could directly damage the wall of small vessels.

**Prognostic and clinical significance of blood pressure variability**

As previously mentioned, not only the high mean pressure values are considered determinants of cardiovascular adverse events but also the BP variability in the 24 h was identified in various works as a predictor of cardiovascular complications and organ damage markers, as it reflects sympathetic activation and impairment of baroceptive reflexes (Table 2).

‘Non-dipper’ subjects and subjects with an increased morning pressure rise showed a greater cardiovascular risk. However, the prognostic significance of the morning pressure rise is still a matter of debate considering the confounding role of nocturnal pressure reduction: in fact, a lack of pressure reduction during the night could reduce the morning pressure rise, but the two pressure behaviours have an opposite prognostic meaning.
As mentioned, the increased BP variability in the 24 h was associated, in numerous studies, with organ damage and an increased cardiovascular risk, even independently of mean pressure values; in other studies, however, pressure variability was associated with only a moderate increase in cardiovascular risk, confirming a prevalent role of mean BP. Compared with the short-term variability, the visit-visit pressure variability proved to be a good predictor of cardiovascular risk, of organ damage, and of all-cause mortality, especially in hypertensive patients in therapy. This figure is not surprising considering that the visit-visit variability may reflect the long-term effectiveness of BP control and treatment adherence. In the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial Blood Pressure Lowering Arm (ASCOT-BPLA) study, increased visit-visit variability and maximum systolic BP were strong predictors of cerebrovascular events independent of mean systolic BP.

In contrast, in the ELSA study (European Study on Atherosclerosis), visit-visit variability did not contribute to cardiovascular risk prediction in patients with mild/moderate hypertension. These conflicting results indicate that the association between visit-visit variability and cardiovascular risk is significantly influenced by the underlying cardiovascular risk level: in low-risk subjects, visit-visit variability does not entail any additional risk with respect to mean arterial BP values, while in high-risk subjects it could be a strong predictor of cardiovascular events and mortality. Very recently it has been suggested that the relationship between visit-visit variability and cardiovascular events is very complex and perhaps non-linear, as the risk could increase both for higher and lower BP variability.

Olesen et al. have recently investigated the possible modulatory role of age in the relationship between BP variability, macrovascular alterations and left ventricular hypertrophy. According to these authors, age would play a very modest role.

A clinically very relevant point is represented by the possibility that the pressure variability is considered or not a therapeutic target: it is still not clear whether it is only a risk factor that accompanies hypertension or...
whether it is itself an independent risk factor that can be modulated by antihypertensive therapy. Drugs with a long duration of action and a homogeneous effect over 24 h are most likely to reduce BP variations. In clinical practice, the global effect of antihypertensive drugs on BP variability can be assessed by two indices: the trough/peak ratio and the smoothness index; both provide information about the duration and distribution of the antihypertensive effect during the dose interval, but the second uses a greater number of information being calculated from the arterial pressure detected with the ABPM in relation to the standard deviation of the change in BP values before and after treatment.

High values of smoothness index seem to be associated with a minor amount of organ damage or a more marked regression of the same during treatment. However, the prognostic significance of pressure variability in general still remains very uncertain, especially with regard to the ability to provide additional information with respect to average values.

One of the main limitations is inherent in the lack of standardized and widely shared methods that allow defining and measuring pressure variability and which can be widely applied. There is no uniformity of opinions about, for example, the best method to assess the variability of visit-visit or to reduce the role of confounding factors, including adherence or not to therapy. Also, the modalities of definition and measurement of the variability in the 24 h are not yet well established: as mentioned the pressure variability increases in proportion to the average values, besides being an important component of our physiological adaptation to the surrounding environment.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, further studies are needed to identify standardized methods applicable to the study of pressure variability. This could allow to obtain a better understanding of its actual relationship with cardiovascular risk and, consequently of the potential therapeutic implications, even considering that, at the moment, we do not have drugs with exclusive action on BP variability. In a recent critical review of the role of BP variability in cardiovascular risk stratification, Asayama et al. concluded that none of the currently available pressure variability indices, including the morning pressure rise, could substantially redefine the cardiovascular risk profile of the hypertensive patient, in addition to what is already indicated by BP. Therefore, in the cardiovascular risk stratification, one should concentrate on the absolute levels of arterial pressure, the most relevant and modifiable risk factor both from non-pharmacological measures and, above all, from drug treatment. The Guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology and of the European Society of Hypertension regarding the clinical management of arterial hypertension confirm this orientation, as the evaluation of BP variability is considered useful especially in a research field, although it can provide useful complementary information in some circumstances.
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