How to Boost the Activity of the Monolayer Pt Supported on TiC Catalysts for Oxygen Reduction Reaction: A Density Functional Theory Study
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Abstract: Developing the optimized electrocatalysts with high Pt utilization as well as the outstanding performance for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) has raised great attention. Herein, the effects of the interlayer ZrC, HfC, or TiN and the multilayer Pt shell on the adsorption ability and the catalytic activity of the TiC@Pt core-shell structures are systematically investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. For the sandwich structures, the presence of TiN significantly enhances the adsorption ability of the Pt shell, leading to the deterioration of the activity whilst the negligible influence of the ZrC and HfC insertion results the comparable performance with respect to TiC@Pt1ML. In addition, increasing the thickness of the Pt shell reduces the oxyphilic capacity and then mitigates the OH poisoning. From the free energy plots, the superior activity of TiC@Pt2ML is identified in comparison with 1ML and 3ML Pt shell. Herein, the improved activity with its high Pt atomic utilization makes the potential TiC@Pt2ML electrocatalyst for the future fuel cells.
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1. Introduction

Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) have attracted widespread attention due to their high efficient and zero carbon emission for the hydrogen economy [1–4]. To accelerate the sluggish kinetics of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at the cathode, commercial catalysts consist of platinum deposited on a carbon support [5]. However, the high loading of the Pt catalysts results in a major challenge for future commercialization [6]. In this regard, the development of efficient catalysts with reduced Pt content is of great importance.

The core-shell structure with a non-Pt core can significantly improve the Pt utilization, thereby reducing the Pt content and, thus, the cost [7,8]. It as previously revealed that when transition metal (TM) elements acted as the core, such as Pd [9,10], Ru [11], or Ir [12], the ORR activity of the corresponding TM@Pt core-shell was enhanced compared with the commercial Pt/C. However, such a TM core would not be suitable from an economic aspect [13]. On the other hand, titanium carbide (TiC) is a good alternative of Pt due to its similar electronic structure [14], being important in the field of catalysis. As reported, the TiC supported Pt catalysts possess the enhanced performance of the methanol oxidation reaction, hydrogen eVolution reaction, and ORR, implying the positive effect of TiC [15–18]. It is believed that the TiC core could modify the electronic structure of the corresponding Pt shell to boost the ORR performance. Therefore, the core-shell structure consisting of the TiC core and the Pt shell acting as the ORR cathode could be the solution for the future requirements of the PEMFC cathode material.

TiC suffers from stability degradation due to oxide formation during the electrochemical cycles [19]. To settle the issue, increasing the thickness of the Pt shell is a viable strategy to protect the...
core [20–22]. The durability enhancement of the Pd@Pt catalysts with multilayer Pt shells provides the direct evidence [9]. In addition, the sandwich structure created by inserting an interlayer would be another good solution [23], which is easily achieved by the controllable synthesis benefit from the experimental development. As reported, the robust stability of the ZrC and HfC are of great potential to resist the electrochemical corrosion, besides the TiC support, being favorable as support materials in the harsh conditions [24]. Furthermore, the efficient and durable TiN materials are also merged due to the passivation degree by oxygen [25,26]. Therefore, ZrC, HfC, as well as TiN, would be suitable selections to act as the interlayer. Since the different electronic effects caused by the shell thickness and the interlayer would modulate the ORR activity of TiC@Pt [19,27–29], the systematic influences of the aforementioned factors on the ORR activity of TiC@Pt core-shell material are as yet untouched, raising our interest.

In the manuscript, density functional theory (DFT) calculations are used within an electrochemical framework to analyze the ORR electrocatalysis on the TiC@Pt core-shell materials and their derivatives. The adsorption behavior of the intermediates is calculated, for the evaluation of the scaling relationship and then thermodynamically free energy. The data provides the fundamental understanding of relationship between the activity of TiC@Pt core-shell materials and the interlayer or the shell thickness and further identify the optimal candidate to guide the experimental progress for top-down material design.

2. The Calculation Details

All calculations are performed within the DFT framework as implemented in DMol³ code [30,31]. The generalized gradient approximation with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional (GGA–PBE) is employed to describe exchange and correlation effects [32]. The DFT semi-core pseudopotentials (DSPP) core treatment is implemented for relativistic effects, which replace core electrons by a single effective potential and introduce some degree of relativistic correction into the core [33]. The double numerical atomic orbital augmented by a polarization function is chosen as the basis set [30]. Herein, the PBE/DNP combination in DMol³ code has been widely employed for the ORR electrocatalysis [7,8,34–36]. Furthermore, these parameters have been used for the TiC@Pt or TiN@Pt system [37]. Our calculation method is consistent with the previous works, indicating the feasibility. A smearing of 0.005 Ha (1 Ha = 27.21 eV) to the orbital occupation is applied to achieve accurate electronic convergence. The spin-unrestricted method is used for all calculations. The minimum energy paths for the ORR were obtained by the LST/QST tools in the DMol³ code.

The TiC@Pt(001) surfaces are modeled as periodically repeated 2 × 2 supercell. A 15 Å-thick vacuum is added along the direction perpendicular to the surface to avoid the artificial interactions between slab and its images. The corresponding structure of TiC@Pt(001) and its derivatives are schematically illustrated in Figure 1. In all of the structure optimization calculations, the atoms in the bottom two layers are fixed while other are fully relaxed.

The adsorption energies $E_{\text{ads}}(M)$ are calculated by the following equations:

$$E_{\text{ads}}(M) = E_{M/\text{slab}} - (E_M + E_{\text{slab}})$$

where $E_{M/\text{slab}}$, $E_M$, and $E_{\text{slab}}$ are the energies of the adsorption systems, the ORR intermediates and the catalyst, respectively.

Gibbs free energy changes ($\Delta G$) of the ORR elemental steps have been calculated according to the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model developed by Nørskov et al. where the chemical potential of proton/electron (H⁺ + e⁻) in solution is equal to the half of the chemical potential of a gas-phase H₂ [5]. The $\Delta G$ for every elemental step can be determined as following:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S + \Delta G_{\text{pH}} + \Delta G_{U}$$

(2)
where $\Delta E$ is the electronic energy difference based on DFT calculations, $\Delta ZPE$ is the change in zero point energy, $T$ is the temperature (equal to 298.15 K here), $\Delta S$ is the change in the entropy, and $\Delta G_{PH}$ and $\Delta G_U$ are the free energy contributions due to variation in pH value (pH is set as 0 in acid medium) and electrode potential $U$, respectively. In order to decrease the calculation consumption, the approximate correction $\Delta ZPE - T \Delta S$ to $\Delta E (0.05/0.35 \text{ eV of } O^*/OH^*)$ are used for constructed the $\Delta G$ [5].

![Figure 1. The catalyst structures and the stable adsorption configurations.](image-url)

3. Results and Discussion

In order to characterize the adsorption ability, the high-symmetry adsorption sites are considered, including the top, bridge, and hollow sites [7,38]. The favorable adsorption sites are shown in Figure 1 and the corresponding adsorption energies $E_{ads}$ are listed in Table 1. For TiC@Pt1ML, the favorable adsorption site of $O_2$ is the bridge site with the $E_{ads}(O_2)$ of $-1.83 \text{ eV}$, indicating the efficiency of the
O–O activation, in line with the previous work [39]. Similarly, the O and OH are located at the bridge sites with the $E_{\text{ads}}$ of $-1.27$ and $-3.44$ eV, respectively. The product $H_2O$ is suitied at the top site and the $E_{\text{ads}}(H_2O)$ is $-0.80$ eV, being stronger than the solvation stabilization energy of bulk $H_2O$ (about $-0.40$ eV) [40]. Comparing the data of $E_{\text{ads}}(O_2)$ and $E_{\text{ads}}(H_2O)$, the product $H_2O$ is readily replaced by the reactant $O_2$ for the next ORR cycle. For the TiC@ZrC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ or TiC@HfC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$, the difference of the $E_{\text{ads}}$ is less than $-0.05$ eV with respect to the TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$, indicating the negligible effects of the ZrC or HfC interlayer on the adsorption behavior. However, the binding between the Pt shell and the adsorbates is significantly enhanced by inserting TiN interlayer. Reserving the stable adsorption sites, the corresponding $E_{\text{ads}}$ are $-2.39$, $-1.80$, $-3.82$, and $-1.07$ eV for $O_2$, $O$, OH and $H_2O$, respectively. Herein, the presence of TiN boost the oxyphilic ability compared with TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$. Such adsorption variation is reasonable that the interaction between the Pt shell and the substrate changes the adsorption capability [11]. As listed in Table 1, the $E_{\text{ads}}$ of $O_2$, $OH$ and $H_2O$ are decreased to $-1.25$, $-3.14$, and $-0.57$ eV, while $E_{\text{ads}}(O)$ is slightly disturbed with the value of $-1.22$ eV for the TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}}$, whilst the corresponding $E_{\text{ads}}$ of the TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}}$ are $-1.63$, $-1.49$, $-3.31$, and $-0.70$ eV for the $O_2$, $O$, $OH$, and $H_2O$ adsorption, respectively. That is, the multilayer Pt shell weakens the $O_2$, $OH$ and $H_2O$ adsorption besides $O$ affinity referred to $1\text{ML}$ Pt system, with the $E_{\text{ads}}$ order of TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}} >$ TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}} >$ TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}}$. Due to the $E_{\text{ads}}$ dependence, it is implied that the ORR activity could be tuned by the interlayer and the Pt thickness. Herein, the $E_{\text{ads}}$ of the ORR intermediates as a function of $E_{\text{ads}}(OH)$ is established in Figure 2a. As shown, the scaling relationship is clearly observed, in consistence with the previous results [41]. That is:

$$E_{\text{ads}}(O_2) = 1.27E_{\text{ads}}(OH) + 2.48$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$E_{\text{ads}}(O) = 0.65E_{\text{ads}}(OH) + 0.84$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$E_{\text{ads}}(H_2O) = 0.56E_{\text{ads}}(OH) + 1.13$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

### Table 1. The corresponding adsorption energy $E_{\text{ads}}$ of possible ORR intermediates.

| Catalyst System | $E_{\text{ads}}(O_2)$ | $E_{\text{ads}}(O)$ | $E_{\text{ads}}(OH)$ | $E_{\text{ads}}(H_2O)$ |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ | $-1.83$ | $-1.27$ | $-3.44$ | $-0.8$ |
| TiC@ZrC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ | $-1.92$ | $-1.22$ | $-3.46$ | $-0.84$ |
| TiC@HfC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ | $-1.95$ | $-1.26$ | $-3.49$ | $-0.84$ |
| TiC@TiN@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ | $-2.39$ | $-1.80$ | $-3.82$ | $-1.07$ |
| TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}}$ | $-1.25$ | $-1.22$ | $-3.14$ | $-0.57$ |
| TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}}$ | $-1.63$ | $-1.49$ | $-3.31$ | $-0.70$ |

As is well-known, the adsorption strength is correlated with the $d$ band center of the catalysts according to the $d$ band model where the higher (lower) of the $d$ band center referred to the Fermi energy generally corresponds to the stronger (weaker) adsorption ability [42]. Herein, in order to understand the physical origin of the $E_{\text{ads}}$ change, the $d$ partial density of states (PDOS) of the Pt surface is plotted in Figure 2b. As shown in the top panel, the $d$ orbital of the sandwich structures are altered by the different interlayers. Therein, the $d$ bands are almost overlapped for the TiC@ZrC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ and TiC@HfC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$, while the obvious upshift is observed for TiC@TiN@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$. Quantitatively, the $d$ band centers are calculated and listed in Table 2. The corresponding values are $-2.78$, $-2.80$, and $-2.40$ eV for the mentioned systems, respectively. That is, the enhanced adsorption ability of TiC@TiN@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ is attributed by the robustness of the $d$ electrons. Conversely, the $d$ band model is unfeasible for the multilayer Pt shell. In the bottom panel of Figure 2b, the $d$ orbital of TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}}$ and TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}}$ are obviously moved toward the Fermi energy with respect to TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$. As the Pt thickness increases from $1\text{ML}$ to $2\text{ML}$ and $3\text{ML}$, the corresponding $d$ band centers are changed from $-2.85$ to $-1.83$ and $-2.05$ eV, respectively. That is, the $d$ band center follows the order of TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}} >$ TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}} >$ TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$. 
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being contrary against the $E_{\text{ads}}$(OH) tendency. Herein, the higher $d$ band centers is accompanied by the weaker $E_{\text{ads}}$(OH), being deviated from the $d$ band model [43]. In order to explain the abnormal phenomenon, the Mulliken charge is analyzed. As shown in Table 2, the charge transferred from the TiC core to Pt shell is reduced as the thickness increases, indicating Pt shell trends to be electronic neutrality. It implies that the electrostatic repulsion between the multilayer Pt shell and the OH would be lessened. Herein, the charge transformation is unaccountable for the $E_{\text{ads}}$(OH) variation. As previous revealed, the adsorption energy is divided into the interaction energy and the deformation energy where the endothermic latter leads to the energetically loss of the adsorption energy [44]. Therefore, the geometrical factors are considered where the average bond length of the Pt–Pt bonds before and after OH adsorption ($D_{\text{bef}}$ and $D_{\text{aft}}$) are given in Table 2. As shown, no significant change occurs during the OH attachment. However, the Pt–Pt bond underlying the adsorbed OH are elongated with the values of 3.32 and 3.28 Å for TiC@Pt$_{2\text{ML}}$ and TiC@Pt$_{3\text{ML}}$, compared with the shortened 2.80 Å for the TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$, respectively. Plausibly, it is inferred that the deviation from the $d$ band model is attributed from the catalysts deformation [44].

Due to the scaling relationship, the optimization prerequisite of the electrocatalysts is located at the trade-off adsorption ability since too strong leads to the poisoning and too weak implies the insufficient capture [45,46]. To evaluate the activity, the simple O$_2$ dissociation are taken into consideration with the elemental steps listing in the following according to the previous report [38]. Due to the small kinetic barrier of proton transfer, which could be ignored at the high potential [47,48], our attentions are focused on the free energies $G$ based on the computational hydrogen model [5]:

\[
1/2\text{O}_2 + * \rightarrow \text{O}^* 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[
\text{O}^* + (\text{H}^+ + e^-) \rightarrow \text{HO}^* \n\] \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[
\text{HO}^* + (\text{H}^+ + e^-) \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + * \n\] \hspace{1cm} (8)

Figure 3 describes the reaction process at the potential $U$ of 0 V and 1.23 V, respectively. The corresponding free energies change $\Delta G$ are summarized in Table 3 where the positive (negative) $\Delta G$ means the endothermic (exothermic) reaction. For TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ at the potential of 0 V, the O$_2$ dissociation and the OH formation are exothermic processes with the $\Delta G$ values of $-1.23$ and $-1.23$ eV, respectively. Meanwhile, the H$_2$O formation from OH protonation is energetically balanced with the $\Delta G$ of 0 eV. Due to the potential-dependence, at $U = 1.23$ V, the $\Delta G$ of the OH formation and H$_2$O formation are increased to 0 and 1.23 eV, respectively. Thus, the rate-determining step (RDS) of TiC@Pt$_{1\text{ML}}$ is located at the H$_2$O formation. Based on the data in Table 3, the similar situation is found for the sandwich structures. The RDS are reserved at the final step of H$_2$O formation with the $\Delta G$ of 0.01, 0.05 and
0.37 eV at U = 0 V or 1.24, 1.28, and 1.60 eV at U = 1.23 V for inserting ZrC, HfC, and TiN interlayer, respectively. Herein, no activity improvement is achieved in comparison with TiC@Pt1ML. On the other hand, being different from TiC@Pt1ML, the elemental steps of the TiC@Pt2ML at U = 0 V are energetically downward with the ΔG of −1.18, −0.98, and −0.30 eV for the O2 dissociation, the OH formation and the H2O formation, respectively. At U = 1.23 V, the OH formation and H2O formation are changed to be endothermic and the corresponding ΔG are 0.25 and 0.93 eV, indicating the RDS is reserved as the H2O formation. Analogously, for 3ML Pt shell, the RDS of H2O formation is changed to be endothermic and the corresponding ΔG are 0.25 and 0.93 eV.

| Catalyst System       | U = 0 V | U = 1.23 V |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| TiC@Pt1ML             | −1.23   | −1.23      |
| TiC@ZrC@Pt1ML         | −1.18   | −1.18      |
| TiC@HfC@Pt1ML         | −1.22   | −1.22      |
| TiC@TiN@Pt1ML         | −1.76   | −1.76      |
| TiC@Pt2ML             | −1.18   | −1.18      |
| TiC@Pt3ML             | −1.45   | −1.45      |

R1: 1/2O2 + * → O*; R2: O*(H+ + e−) → HO*; R3: HO*(H+ + e−) → H2O + *.

Figure 3. The free energies at the potential of 0 V (a) and 1.23 V (b). The RDS ΔG are shown in the insets.
In addition to the thermodynamic analysis, the kinetic barriers of the O2 dissociation mechanism on TiC@Pt2ML are further considered. The reaction pathway of TiC@Pt2ML is plotted in Figure 4. The corresponding reaction barriers $E_a$ and reaction energy $E_r$ are tabulated in Table 4. Herein, TiC@Pt1ML and TiC@TiN@Pt1ML are selected as references. For O2 splitting into the O atoms, the $E_a$ of TiC@Pt2ML is 1.28 eV, being slightly higher than 1.05 eV of TiC@Pt1ML and 0.76 eV of TiC@TiN@Pt1ML. The weaker $E_{\text{ads}}$(O2) correlates to the higher $E_a$ of O2 dissociation, indicating the degradation of O2 activation, in line with the thermodynamic data [51]. Noting that the O2 dissociation on TiC@Pt1ML would be significantly boosted by lowering O2 coverage where the $E_a$ reduces from 0.86 eV to 0.36 eV as the O2 coverage changes from 1/4 ML to 1/9 ML [39]. Therefore, it is reasonably believed that the mentioned phenomenon is occurred on TiC@Pt2ML, implying that the barrier of O2 splitting would be overcome at the room temperature [50]. Furthermore, the similar situation is observed for the OH formation where the unfeasibility of TiC@Pt1ML is identified compared with TiC@Pt1ML and TiC@TiN@Pt1ML. However, the $E_a$ of the H2O formation is 0.91, 1.04, and 1.41 eV for TiC@Pt2ML, TiC@Pt1ML, and TiC@TiN@Pt1ML, respectively. The lower value implies that the OH hydrogenation is speeded by the presence of TiC@Pt2ML. Herein, the kinetic benefit of TiC@Pt2ML is confirmed that the low oxyphilic character avails the OH poisoning, in line with the thermodynamic data [51].

**Figure 4.** The reaction pathway of the O2 dissociation mechanism on TiC@Pt2ML.

**Table 4.** The reaction barriers $E_a$ and reaction energy $E_r$ of the O2 dissociation mechanism.

| Catalyst System | O2→2O | O + H→OH | OH + H→H2O |
|-----------------|-------|----------|-------------|
|                 | $E_a$ | $E_r$    | $E_a$       | $E_r$   |
| TiC@Pt1ML       | 1.05  | -0.30    | 0.46        | -1.08   | 1.04  | -0.22 |
| TiC@TiN@Pt1ML   | 0.76  | -0.70    | 0.55        | -0.90   | 1.41  | 0.68  |
| TiC@Pt2ML       | 1.28  | -0.97    | 0.69        | -0.46   | 0.91  | 0.04  |
Noting that the experimental verification should be urgently needed to confirm the DFT prediction. Herein, we believe our results realizable due to the following reasons: firstly, CHE model has been successfully applied to interpret the experimental data and design the novel electrocatalysts for metal, oxides as well as carbon-based materials [41,52–58]; secondly, the development of the synthesis technology leads to the feasibility of the TiC@Pt materials with different core composition as well as shell thickness [17,59–61]. Therefore, it is reasonably believed that the DFT candidate of TiC@Pt\textsubscript{2ML} materials could be experimentally achieved.

4. Conclusions

In this study, DFT calculation is used to investigate the effect of the interlayer and shell thickness on ORR activity. Compared with the TiC@Pt\textsubscript{1ML}, the comparable adsorption ability was found for TiC@ZrC@Pt\textsubscript{1ML} and TiC@HfC@Pt\textsubscript{1ML} whilst the presence of TiN causes a sharp enhancement of the adsorption energy. From the PDOS analysis, the upshifted \textit{d} band of TiC@TiN@Pt\textsubscript{1ML} supports the variation of the adsorption behavior. On the other hand, the multiplayer Pt shell generally weakens the oxyphilic affinity with the order of TiC@Pt\textsubscript{1ML} > TiC@Pt\textsubscript{3ML} > TiC@Pt\textsubscript{2ML}. The deviation from the famous \textit{d} band model is plausibly attributed from the structural deformation. Furthermore, the RDS of the considered systems are identified as the \textit{H}_2\textit{O} formation. The decrease of the adsorption capacity alleviates the OH poisoning and boosts the ORR activity. Herein, the enhanced activity of the TiC@Pt\textsubscript{2ML} is confirmed compared with TiC@Pt\textsubscript{1ML}. The promising ORR performance of the multilayer Pt supported on TiC supplies the theoretical guide for the synthesis.

Author Contributions: B.X. designed the material and wrote the paper. H.Z. carried out the simulation. H.L. and L.Y. entered the discussion. All authors commented on the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number no. 21503097; the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu, grant number no. BK20140518.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the support of ZhiWen Chen (Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Jilin University).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

1. Stacy, J.; Regmi, Y.N.; Leonard, B.; Fan, M. The recent progress and future of oxygen reduction reaction catalysis: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2017**, *69*, 401–414. [CrossRef]
2. Chen, D.; Xu, Y.; Tade, M.O.; Shao, Z. General Regulation of Air Flow Distribution Characteristics within Planar Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Stacks. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 319–326. [CrossRef]
3. Wei, T.; Zhang, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Zhou, X.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhuang, Q. Recycling of waste plastics and scalable preparation of Si/CNF/C composite as anode material for lithium-ion batteries. *Ionics* **2019**. [CrossRef]
4. Zhang, L.; Cai, Z.; Yao, Z.; Ji, L.; Sun, Z.; Yan, N.; Zhang, B.; Xiao, B.; Du, J.; Zhu, X.-Q.; et al. A striking catalytic effect of facile synthesized ZrMn\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles on the de/rehydrogenation properties of MgH\textsubscript{2}. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, *7*, 5626–5634. [CrossRef]
5. Nørskov, J.K.; Rossmeisl, J.; Logadottir, A.; Lindqvist, L.; Kitchin, J.R.; Bligaard, T.; Jónsson, H. Origin of the Overpotential for Oxygen Reduction at a Fuel-Cell Cathode. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 17886–17892. [CrossRef]
6. Debe, M.K. Electrocatalyst approaches and challenges for automotive fuel cells. *Nat. Cell Boil.* **2012**, *486*, 43–51. [CrossRef]
7. Xiao, B.B.; Jiang, X.B.; Jiang, Q. Density functional theory study of oxygen reduction reaction on Pt/Pd\textsubscript{3}Al(111) alloy electrocatalyst. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 14234–14243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Xiao, B.B.; Jiang, X.B.; Yang, X.L.; Zheng, F. The segregation resistance of the Pt\textsubscript{2ML}/O\textsubscript{6}/Pd\textsubscript{3}Al sandwich catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction: a density functional theory study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 30174–30182. [CrossRef]
23. Escaño, M.C.S. First-principles calculations of the dissolution and coalescence properties of Pt nanoparticle core-shell nanocatalysts. *Nano Lett.* 2014, 14, 3570–3576. [CrossRef]

10. Choi, R.; Choi, S.-I.; Nam, K.M.; Woo, S.I.; Park, J.T.; Han, S.W.H. Designed synthesis of well-defined Pd@Pt core-shell nanoparticles with controlled shell thickness as efficient oxygen reduction electrocatalysts. *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 8190–8198. [CrossRef]

11. Ou, Y.; Cui, X.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, Z. Titanium carbide nanoparticles supported Pt catalysts for methanol electrooxidation in acidic media. *J. Power Sources* 2010, 195, 1365–1369. [CrossRef]

16. Park, H.-U.; Lee, E.; Kwon, Y.-U. TiC supported Pt-based nanoparticles: Facile sonochemical synthesis and electrocatalytic properties for methanol oxidation reaction. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2017, 42, 19885–19893. [CrossRef]

13. Tian, X.; Tang, H.; Luo, J.; Nan, H.; Shu, T.; Du, L.; Zeng, J.; Liao, S.; Adzic, R.R. High-Performance Core–Shell Catalyst with Nitride Nanoparticles as a Core: Well-Defined Titanium Copper Nitride Coated with an Atomic Pt Layer for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7, 3810–3817. [CrossRef]

14. Hwu, H.H.; Chen, J.G. Surface Chemistry of Transition Metal Carbides. *ChemInform* 2005, 36, 185–212. [CrossRef]

15. Todoroki, N.; Watanabe, H.; Kondo, T.; Kaneko, S.; Wadayama, T. Highly Enhanced Oxygen Reduction Reaction Activity and Electrochemical Stability of Pt/Ir(111) Bimetallic Surfaces. *Electrochim. Acta* 2016, 222, 1616–1621. [CrossRef]

21. Stamenkovic, V.R.; Mun, B.S.; Arenz, M.; Mayrhofer, K.J.J.; Lucas, C.A.; Wang, G.; Ross, P.N.; Marković, N.M. Trends in electrocatalysis on extended and nanoscale Pt-bimetallic alloy surfaces. *Electrochim. Acta* 2014, 312, 216–220. [CrossRef]

22. Kuttiyiel, K.A.; Sasaki, K.; Choi, Y.; Su, D.; Liu, P.; Adzic, R.R. Nitride stabilized PtNi core-shell nanocatalyst for high oxygen reduction activity. *Nano Lett.* 2012, 12, 6266–6271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Escaño, M.C.S. First-principles calculations of the dissolution and coalescence properties of Pt nanoparticle ORR catalysts: The effect of nanoparticle shape. *Nano Res.* 2015, 8, 1689–1697. [CrossRef]

24. Regmi, Y.N.; Waetzig, G.R.; DuFfee, K.D.; Schmuecker, S.M.; Thode, J.M.; Leonard, B.M. Carbides of group IVA, VA, and VIA transition metals as alternative HER and ORR catalysts and support materials. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, 3, 10085–10091. [CrossRef]

25. Seifitokaldani, A.; Savadogo, O.; Perrier, M. Density Functional Theory (DFT) Computation of the Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) on Titanium Nitride (TiN) Surface. *Electrochim. Acta* 2014, 141, 25–32. [CrossRef]

26. Kim, H.; Cho, M.K.; Kwon, J.A.; Jeong, Y.H.; Lee, K.J.; Kim, N.Y.; Kim, M.J.; Yoo, S.J.; Jang, J.H.; Kim, H.-J.; et al. Highly efficient and durable TiN nanofiber electrocatalyst supports. *Nanoscale* 2017, 9, 18429–18434. [CrossRef]

27. Wang, C.; Chi, M.; Li, D.; Strmcnik, D.; Van Der Vliet, D.; Wang, G.; Komanicky, V.; Chang, K.-C.; Paulikas, A.P.; Tripkovic, D.; et al. Design and synthesis of bimetallic electrocatalyst with multilayered Pt-Skin surfaces. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 14396–14403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. Cheng, T.; Xiao, H.; Goddard, W.A. Reaction Mechanisms for the Electrochemical Reduction of CO\(_2\) to CO and Formate on the Cu(100) Surface at 298 K from Quantum Mechanics Free Energy Calculations with Explicit Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 13802–13805. [CrossRef]

29. Strasser, P.; Koh, S.; Anniyev, T.; Greeley, J.; More, K.; Yu, C.; Liu, Z.; Kaya, S.; Nordlund, D.; Ogasawara, H.; et al. Lattice-strain control of the activity in dealloyed core–shell fuel cell catalysts. *Nat. Chem.* 2010, 2, 454–460. [CrossRef]

30. Delley, B. An all-electron numerical method for solving the local density functional for polyatomic molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1990, 92, 508–517. [CrossRef]

31. Delley, B. From molecules to solids with the DMol³ approach. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2000, 113, 7756–7764. [CrossRef]

32. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865–3868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Delley, B. Hardness conserving semilocal pseudopotentials. *Phys. Rev. B* 2002, 66, 155125. [CrossRef]

34. Xiao, B.B.; Liu, H.Y.; Jiang, X.B.; Yu, Z.D.; Jiang, Q. A bifunctional two dimensional TM\(_3\)(HHTP)\(_2\) monolayer and its variations for oxygen electrode reactions. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 54332–54340. [CrossRef]

35. Xiao, B.; Zhu, H.; Liu, H.; Jiang, X.; Jiang, Q. The Activity Improvement of the TM\(_3\)(hexaiminotriphenylene)\(_2\) Monolayer for Oxygen Reduction Electrocatatalysis: A Density Functional Theory Study. *Front. Chem.* 2018, 6, 351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Mao, J.; Li, S.; Zhang, Y.; Chu, X.; Yang, Z. Density functional study on the mechanism for the highly active palladium monolayer supported on titanium carbide for the oxygen reduction reaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 144, 204703. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Wang, Y.; Yang, Z. TiC and TiN supported platinum monolayer as high-performance catalysts for CO oxidation: A DFT study. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 149, 054705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Duan, Z.; Wang, G. Comparison of Reaction Energetics for Oxygen Reduction Reactions on Pt(100), Pt(111), Pt/Ni(100), and Pt/Ni(111) Surfaces: A First-Principles Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, 117, 6284–6292. [CrossRef]

39. Wang, S.; Chu, X.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Mao, J.; Yang, Z. A First-Principles Study of O\(_2\) Dissociation on Platinum Modified Titanium Carbide: A Possible Efficient Catalyst for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2017, 121, 21333–21342. [CrossRef]

40. Sha, Y.; Yu, T.H.; Merinov, B.V.; Shirvanian, P.; Goddard, W.A. Mechanism for Oxygen Reduction Reaction on Pt\(_3\)Ni Alloy Fuel Cell Cathode. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, 116, 21334–21342. [CrossRef]

41. Baran, J.D.; Grönbeck, H.; Hellman, A. Analysis of Porphyrines as Catalysts for Electrochemical Reduction of O\(_2\) and Oxidation of H\(_2\). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 1320–1326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Hammer, B.; Nørskov, J. Theoretical surface science and catalysis—Calculations and concepts. *Adv. Catal.* 2000, 45, 71–129.

43. Xin, H.; Linic, S. Communications: Exceptions to the d-band model of chemisorption on metal surfaces: The dominant role of repulsion between adsorbate states and metal d-states. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 132, 221101. [CrossRef]

44. Liu, W.; Lian, J.S.; Jiang, Q. Theoretical Study of C\(_2\)H\(_2\) Adsorbed on Low-Index Cu Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, 111, 18189–18194. [CrossRef]

45. Gao, G.; Bottle, S.E.; Du, A. Understanding the activity and selectivity of single atom catalysts for hydrogen and oxygen evolution via ab initio study. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 8, 996–1001. [CrossRef]

46. Viswanathan, V.; Heine, A.H.; Rossmeisl, J.; Nørskov, J.K. Universality in Oxygen Reduction Electrocatatalysis on Metal Surfaces. *ACS Catal.* 2012, 2, 1654–1660. [CrossRef]

47. Shao, M.; Shoemaker, K.; Peles, A.; Kaneko, K.; Protsailo, L. Pt Monolayer on Porous Pd–Cu Alloys as Oxygen Reduction Electrocataylst‡. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 9253–9255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Sha, Y.; Yu, T.H.; Merinov, B.V.; Shirvanian, P.; Goddard, W.A. Oxygen Hydration Mechanism for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction at Pt and Pd Fuel Cell Catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2011, 2, 572–576. [CrossRef]

49. Yang, B.; Burch, R.; Hardacre, C.; Headock, G.; Hu, P. Understanding the Optimal Adsorption Energies for Catalyst Screening in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *ACS Catal.* 2013, 4, 182–186. [CrossRef]

50. Shang, C.; Liu, Z.-P. Origin and Activity of Gold Nanoparticles as Aerobic Oxidation Catalysts in Aqueous Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 9938–9947. [CrossRef]
51. Greeley, J.; Stephens, I.E.L.; Bondarenko, A.S.; Johansson, T.P.; Hansen, H.A.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Rossmeisl, J.; Chorkendorff, I.; Nørskov, J.K.; Jaramillo, T. Alloys of platinum and early transition metals as oxygen reduction electrocatalysts. *Nat. Chem.* 2009, 1, 552–556. [CrossRef]

52. Stephens, I.E.L.; Bondarenko, A.S.; Grønbjerg, U.; Rossmeisl, J.; Chorkendorff, I. Understanding the electrocatalysis of oxygen reduction on platinum and its alloys. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, 5, 6744. [CrossRef]

53. Lee, D.H.; Lee, W.J.; Lee, W.J.; Kim, S.O.; Kim, Y.-H. Theory, Synthesis, and Oxygen Reduction Catalysis of Fe-Porphyrin-Like Carbon Nanotube. *Phys. Lett.* 2011, 106, 175502. [CrossRef]

54. Favaro, M.; Ferrighi, L.; Fazio, G.; Colazzo, L.; Di Valentino, C.; Durante, C.; Sedona, F.; Gennaro, A.; Agnoli, S.; Granozzi, G. Single and Multiple Doping in Graphene Quantum Dots: Unraveling the Origin of Selectivity in the Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5, 129–144. [CrossRef]

55. Jia, Y.; Zhang, L.; Du, A.; Gao, G.; Chen, J.; Yan, X.; Brown, C.L.; Yao, X. Defect Graphene as a Trifunctional Catalyst for Electrochemical Reactions. *Adv. Mater.* 2016, 28, 9532–9538. [CrossRef]

56. Man, I.C.; Su, H.-Y.; Hansen, H.A.; Martinez, J.L.; Inoglu, N.G.; Kitchin, J.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Nørskov, J.K.; Rossmeisl, J.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; et al. Universality in Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalysis on Oxide Surfaces. *ChemCatChem* 2011, 3, 1159–1165. [CrossRef]

57. Zhang, P.; Hou, X.; Liu, L.; Mi, J.-L.; Dong, M. Two Dimensional π-Conjugated Metal Bis(dithiolene) Complex Nanosheets as Selective Catalysts for Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2015, 119, 28028–28037. [CrossRef]

58. Lang, X.Y.; Han, G.F.; Xiao, B.B.; Gu, L.; Yang, Z.Z.; Wen, Z.; Zhu, Y.F.; Zhao, M.; Li, J.C.; Jiang, Q. Mesostructured Intermetallic Compounds of Platinum and Non-Transition Metals for Enhanced Electrocatalysis of Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, 25, 230–237. [CrossRef]

59. Hunt, S.T.; Milina, M.; Alba-Rubio, A.C.; Hendon, C.H.; Dumescic, J.A.; Román-Leshkov, Y. Self-assembly of noble metal monolayers on transition metal carbide nanoparticle catalysts. *Science* 2016, 352, 974–978. [CrossRef]

60. Tsai, H.-C.; Lee, Y.-J.; Merinov, B.V.; Wu, P.-W.; Yu, T.H.; Goddard, W.A.; Hsieh, Y.-C.; Wu, Y.-H.; Chen, S.-Y.; Adzic, R.R. DFT Study of Oxygen Reduction Reaction on Os/Pt Core–Shell Catalysts Validated by Electrochemical Experiment. *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5, 1568–1580. [CrossRef]

61. Wang, J.X.; Inada, H.; Wu, L.; Zhu, Y.; Choi, Y.M.; Liu, P.; Zhou, W.-P.; Adzic, R.R. Oxygen Reduction on Well-Defined Core–Shell Nanocatalysts: Particle Size, Facet, and Pt Shell Thickness Effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 17298–17302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]