Abstract

2-Arachidonoylglycerols (2-AG) is one of the major endocannabinoids in the central nervous system. Up-regulation of central endocannabinoids signaling by selective inhibition of brain monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) activity is a potential therapeutic approach in pain, obesity, and diabetes modulation to name a few. Thus, a sensitive and reliable analytical method for determination of endocannabinoid levels in the brain is essential for the discovery of MAGL inhibitors. Accurate measurement of monoacylglycerols (MAG) levels, using liquid chromatography positive electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (LC / +ESI / MS) and tandem MS, is a challenge since the brain endocannabinoids are not only susceptible to stress in the in-life phase of the study, but also prone to postmortem metabolism, acyl migration (i.e., conversion from 2-MAG to 1(3)-MAG), metal adduct ion formation and chemical hydrolysis generating the same products as those by the target enzyme. To avoid these artifacts, we have developed a simple LC / +ESI / MS method for direct detection of ammonium-adduct cations of the major MAGs in selected ion monitoring mode (SIM). For the in vitro MAGL inhibition assay, a LC isotropic elution was used for baseline separation of MAGs and their acyl migration isomers produced during the 37°C incubation with rat brain homogenate. To minimize the postmortem metabolism and isomerization of MAGs for in-vivo studies, rat brain was homogenized directly in four milliliters of ethanol for every gram of brain tissue and a linear LC gradient elution was applied for broad endocannabinoid profiling. The SIM LC / +ESI / MS method is shown to be useful for in-vitro brain evaluation of inhibitory potency of MAGL and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitors and for in-vivo brain assessment for target engagement studies.
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Introduction

The endocannabinoid system (ECS) has involvement in the signaling network of physiological processes in both the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral tissues and thus, plays an important role in disorders of the CNS [1], in the immune system [2,3], in energy homeostasis [4], in inflammatory pain [5] and in the cardiovascular system of mammals [6]. The ECS consists of the endocannabinoids, type-1 and type-2 G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptors (i.e., CB1 and CB2), transporters, and enzymes that tightly regulate biosynthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids (Figure 1) [3,7,8]. Endocannabinoids are lipophilic arachidonic acid (AA) derivative transmitters that are generated and released in different organs and tissues by lipases. While there are many endocannabinoids that bind to CB1 and CB2 receptors including O-arachidonoylethanolamine (virodhamine), 2-arachidonoylglycerol ether (2-AGE, noladin ether), N-arachidonoyl dopamine (NADA), and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2OG), the two best characterized brain endocannabinoids are N-arachidonoylethanolamide (AEA; anandamide) a polysaturated fatty acid amide formed by ethanolamine bound to a fatty acid with 20 carbons and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), an ester formed by AA and glycerol [9]. Other endocannabinoid congeners show cannabinimic activity without binding to CB1 and CB2 receptors (i.e., entourage...
effect) including 2-linoleoylglycerol (2-LG), 2-palmitoylglycerol (2-PG), N-oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and N-palmitoylethanolamide (PEA); this entourage effect occurs by these congeners potentiating the apparent binding of 2-AG, AEA and other endocannabinoids [10,11]. 2-AG is biosynthesized on demand utilizing diacylglycerol congeners and calcium activated diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL) whereas AEA is biosynthesized on demand utilizing N-acylphosphatidylethanolamine and N-acylphosphatidylethanolamines-phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD). Both 2-AG and AEA are released from the cell into intercellular spaces via a passive membrane transport mechanism [3]. Endocannabinoids that bind to CB1 and CB2 receptors can be full, partial, and inverse agonists, and have functional selectivity. CB1 receptors are present in very high levels in several brain regions including the CNS presynaptic nerve terminals where their activation brings about a decrease in neurotransmission. CB2 receptors have a more restricted distribution, being found in a number of immune cells in the periphery and act to modulate immune function [12-14]. In addition, endocannabinoids can activate other non-cannabinoid receptors including the orphan G protein-coupled receptor 55 (GPR55), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors-γ (PPAR-γ), transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 channels (TRPV1), and γ-aminobutyric acid receptor A (GABA_A) [3]. AEA and 2-AG are rapidly up-taken into cells by a passive membrane transport mechanism or by endocannabinoid membrane transporters (EMT) followed by inactivation by hydrolysis. The EMTs in the brain are mediated by the fatty acid binding proteins (FABP5 / FABP7) [15]. The enzyme involved in AEA hydrolysis is the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) that produces AA and ethanolamine. The major enzyme involved in 2-AG and other monoacylglycerols (MAG) hydrolysis is monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) that produces AA and glycerol [16-20]. It should be noted that the hydrolysis of 2-AG is more complicated than depicted in Figure 1 since MAGL accounts for approximately 50% of the 2-AG hydrolysis activity in brain thus, implying the existence of additional 2-AG hydrolyzing enzymes including contribution by the α/β-hydrolase domain (ABHD) proteins (i.e., ABHD6 and ABHD12) [21,22].

The balance between AEA and 2-AG biosynthesis and inactivation determines their accumulation in tissues and thus, their biological activity. Therefore, for the past decade, the modulation of AEA and 2-AG levels in biological fluids and tissues through the binding of small molecule inhibitors to FAAH and MAGL enzymes has been a therapeutic strategy for a number of diseases including pain, schizophrenia, stroke, obesity, Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, and cancer, to name just a few [1-6,23-25]. Several small molecule reversible and irreversible inhibitors for FAAH and MAGL have been discovered with the numbers of selective FAAH inhibitors exceeding those of MAGL inhibitors [26-29]. Many drug-design strategies for inhibitors of FAAH and MAGL have been inspired by the chemical structures of their substrates AEA and 2-AG, respectively. For example, an early selective irreversible inhibitor for FAAH was 3’-((aminocarbonyl) [1,1’-biphenyl] - 3-yl) - cyclohexylcarbamate (URB597) where the biphenyl substituent mimics the arachidonoyl chain of AEA [30,31]. It has been shown that the URB597 carbamate-based inhibitor undergoes hydrolysis in the active site of FAAH. In this case, the FAAH enzyme was covalently modified by the cyclohexyl moiety of URB597 with the biphenyl substituent serving as the leaving group [28]. The inversion of the biphenyl and the cyclohexyl substituents across the carbamate group eliminates FAAH inhibition and enables MAGL inhibition. Thus, the carbamate-based compound ([(1,1-biphenyl)-3-yl]-carbamic

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of the endocannabinoid system (ECS). AEA, N-Arachidonoylthanolamine or Anandamide; 2-AG, 2-Arachidonoylglycerol; MAGL, Monoacylglycerol Lipase; FAAH, Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase; DAGL, Diacylglycerol Lipase; NAPE-PLD, N Acylphosphatidylethanolamines-Phospholipase D; EMT, Endocannabinoid Membrane Transporter; CB1, and CB2 Cannabinoid Receptors.
Methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphate (MAFP) was discovered to be a selective non-competitive partially reversible inhibitor for MAGL [32]. Methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphate (MAFP) is an example of a non-selective FAAH and MAGL irreversible inhibitor that contains an arachidonoyl chain [33]. URB597, URB602, and MAFP are typically used as positive and negative controls for FAAH and MAGL activity assays, respectively [26-29].

To discover inhibitors of FAAH and MAGL, sensitive and specific analytical methods are required to measure concentration levels of endocannabinoids in biological fluids and tissues. Gas and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (i.e., GC / MS and LC / MS) [34-36] and GC and LC tandem mass spectrometry (i.e., GC / MS and LC / MS / MS) [37-39] approaches have been successful for quantitative analysis of endocannabinoid levels. Concentration of endocannabinoid fragment cations, the molecular (M + H)+ cations containing a basic nitrogen atom (e.g., AEA, 1-arachidonoylglycerol (1(3)-AG), and ammonium formate were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). 2-oleoylglycerol (URB602), and methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphate (MAFP) were endocannabinoid fragment cations, the molecular (M + H)+ cations, or other adduct (M + X)+ (X = Na, K, NH4, etc.) cations where M denotes the molecular weight of the endocannabinoid. GC / MS and LC / MS methods are typically less utilized for quantification of endocannabinoids since these techniques require time-consuming procedures including derivatization of the lipid extracts along with reaction purification steps [34,35,37]. Thus, LC techniques are used more frequently than GC methods to eliminate the need for derivatization of the endocannabinoids. The LC / MS / MS method, in many cases, uses an electrospray ionization source in a positive mode (+ESI) ion source with a single quadrupole mass filter operating in a selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode to measure a specific endocannabinoid m/z cation. For endocannabinoid quantification, this method (i.e., SIM LC / +ESI / MS) is frequently considered to be less sensitive and specific than tandem mass spectrometry / mass spectrometry (MS / MS) techniques [40]. The preferred method for endocannabinoid quantification is typically LC / MS / MS utilizing a +ESI with a triple quadrupole mass filter to create MS / MS fragmentation of the m/z molecular (M + H)+ cation. For the detection of specific m/z fragment cations of the molecular cation [40]. The technique of using a specific m/z (M+H)+ cation selected in the 1st stage quadrupole mass filter (Q1), creating collision-induced dissociation (CID) m/z fragment cations in the 2nd stage quadrupole collision cell (Q2) and detecting the unique reaction m/z fragment cations in the 3rd stage quadrupole mass filter (Q3) is called multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). Large m/z signal intensities in Q1 facilitate the detection limits for quantitative methods using SIM and MRM techniques. It should be noted that the signal intensity of molecular m/z ions observed utilizing ESI techniques are dependent upon the ionization constants (i.e., pKa) of the solution-phase and gas-phase acid / base chemistry of the analytes [41]. Therefore, in +ESI mode, the m/z signal intensity of endocannabinoid molecular (M+H)+ cations containing a basic nitrogen atom (e.g., AEA, virodhamine, NADA, 2-PG, OEA, PEA) are significantly larger than non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids (e.g., 2-AG, 1(3)-AG, 2OG, 1(3)-OG, 2-LG, 2-PG, and 2-AGE). Non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids tend to have larger m/z signal intensities from sodium and potassium metal-adduct (M + Na)+ and (M + K)+ cations, respectively, than molecular (M + H)+ cations.

Using LC / MS or LC / MS / MS techniques, it is a challenge to establish a reliable analytical method to minimize the variations in the levels of brain 2-AG and its congeners (i.e., 2-OG, 2-PG, and 2-LG) during sample collection, preparation and analysis. For example, the rat brain 2-AG and other MAGs are susceptible, during sample collection and preparation, to postmortem metabolism, to acyl migration (spontaneous 2-MAG to 1(3)-MAG conversion), and to chemical hydrolysis generating the same products (i.e., AA and glycerol) as those by the target enzyme [42,43]. Using a +ESI ion source, 2-AG and MAGs tend to form metal-adduct (M + X)+ (X = Na, K) m/z cations with greater ion intensity than molecular (M + H)+ m/z cations. The m/z signal intensities of metal-adduct cations can vary significantly from sample-to-sample since they arise from trace levels of sodium and potassium salts contained in the LC mobile phase and on surfaces in the mass spectrometer; thus, the application of MRM and SIM detection and quantification of metal-adduct cations are limited for non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids. In addition, metal-adduct cations are resistant to CID in the ion source and in the collision cell of a tandem mass spectrometry and thus, the application of CID along with SIM and MRM detection and quantification techniques is sometimes limited. Adding low levels of metal salts, such as, sodium acetate (0.01%) in the mobile phase has been reported to improve the sensitivity for quantitation of non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids utilizing SIM LC / MS techniques by providing a controlled source of Na+ cations [44]; however, the introduction of nonvolatile salts in the mobile phase over a relatively short periods of time will typically cause metal ion accumulation in the ESI source and reduce the overall sensitivity of the assay. Since the ECS is an active area of research [1-6,23-30] and LC / MS instrumentation is extremely common in many analytical laboratories, having a reliable LC / MS method that is also sensitive and specific to measure the concentration of 2-AG and its congeners in brain tissues, would be beneficial in efforts to develop MAGL inhibitors for potential therapeutic utilities.

In this article, we have developed and applied a SIM LC / +ESI / MS method for determination of the major endocannabinoids in rat brain for in-vitro and in-vivo evaluation of the inhibitory potency and the target engagement of novel compounds. We describe SIM LC / +ESI / MS methods using an ammonium replacement approach to force non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoid metal-adduct (M + X)+ (X = Na, K) cations to an ammonium-adduct (M + NH4)+ cation formation by adding 50 mM ammonium formate for in-vitro studies and 25 mM ammonium formate for in-vivo studies in the LC mobile phases. The optimized LC conditions to stabilize 2-AG and 2-OG in the sample extracts and the mobile phases were selected to minimize the degradation of these analytes during sample preparation and analysis. The SIM LC / +ESI / MS method was used for detection of the (M + NH4)+ cations and isotope dilution techniques for quantification. This method for brain endocannabinoid profiling can be used not only for in-vitro evaluation of inhibitory potency of MAGL and FAAH inhibitors, but also for in-vivo assessment of target engagement and proof of concept studies. To our knowledge, the application of ammonium-adduct cations in conjunction with SIM LC / +ESI / MS detection for non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids has not been reported in the literature.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Arachidonoylethanolamide (AEA), N-arachidonoylthanolamide-d8 (AEA-d8), 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), 2-arachidonoylglycerol-d8 (2-AG-d8), 3’-(aminocarboxyl)[1,1’-biphényl]-3-yl)-cyclohexylcarbamate (URB9597), (1,1’-biphényl)-3-yl)-carbamic acid, cyclohexyl ester (URB602), and methyl arachidonoyl fluorophosphate (MAFP) were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). 2-oleoylglycerol (2-OG), 1-oleoylglycerol (1(3)-OG), 2-linoleoylglycerol (2-LG), 1-arachidonoylglycerol (1(3)-AG), and ammonium formate were
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In-vitro was repeatedly injected onto the LC / MS system every 20 min for 24 h. Water (70:30) at pH 3.5. An aliquot of 10µL of each sample solution at pH 7.0; and mobile phase C was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (70:30) solution (pH 5.4) at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The sample injection volume was 10 µL and the LC runtime was 7 min. The retention time was 2.25 min for AEA, 2.89 min for 2-AG, 3.11 min for 1(3)-AG, 4.87 min for 2-OG, and 5.37 min for 1(3)-OG. Separation of the analytes was carried out on a Waters Acquity UPLC™ BEH C₁₈ column (2.1 × 50 mm, particle size 1.7 µm) at 40°C. For the in-vitro studies, a LC isocratic elution was carried out with 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (70:30) solution (pH 5.4) at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The sample injection volume was 10 µL and the LC runtime was 7 min. The retention time was 2.25 min for AEA, 2.89 min for 2-AG, 3.11 min for 1(3)-AG, 4.87 min for 2-OG, and 5.37 min for 1(3)-OG.

Stability of 2-AG and 2-OG in the mobile phases

1.0 mM 2-AG or 1.0 mM 2-OG stock solutions (10 µL) was added into a 2 mL HPLC vial containing 1.0 mL of each of 3 different mobile phases (i.e., A, B and C) and mixed on a vortex-mixer, and then was immediately loaded onto an auto-sampler at ambient temperature for LC / MS analysis. The mobile phase A was 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (70:30) at pH 5.4; mobile phase B was 50 mM ammonium formate in acetonitrile – water (70:30) at pH 7.0; and mobile phase C was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (70:30) at pH 3.5. An aliquot of 10µL of each sample solution was repeatedly injected onto the LC / MS system every 20 min for 24 h.

In-vitro AEA / 2-AG / 2-OG accumulation assay using rat brain homogenate

Mature male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA), weighing 250 g to 300 g with free access to water and standard laboratory rat chow were used for this study. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Janssen Research and Development, LLC approved all procedures. Under anesthesia induced with a mixture of 70% CO₂ and 30% O₂, the rats were euthanized via cardiac puncture and brains were immediately removed, frozen on dry ice and stored in a -80°C freezer until use. 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer solution (pH 7.4, 10 mL) containing 125 mM NaCl, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 5 mM KCl and 20 mM glucose was added into a tube containing each gram of rat brain tissue. The brain tissue sample was homogenized using a VirTis Handishear Hand-held Homogenizer (Gardiner, NY). Aliquots of 0.5 mL of rat brain homogenate were transferred into 15 mL glass centrifuge tubes. A warmed 20 mM HEPES buffer solution (4.5 mL) was added into each centrifuge tube, mixed, and then incubated at 37°C for 8 min. A test compound solution (0.001 µM – 100 µM) was then added into the tube, and continued to be incubated for an additional 10 min. After an 18 min of incubation at 37°C, calcium dichloride (CaCl₂) (final concentration 10 mM) was added (final volume 5 mL) and then incubated for 12 min at 37°C. To terminate the reaction, chloroform-methanol (2:1) extraction solution (6 mL) was added into the centrifuge tube and mixed. The bottom layer was collected into a glass culture tube. The solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen gas. Tetrahydrofuran (20 µL) was added into each tube and mixed to dissolve the residue, and then a volume (180 µL) of 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (85:15) was added and mixed. The resulting suspension was centrifuged at 7700 × g for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was transferred into an HPLC vial for LC / MS analysis.

Separation of the analytes was carried out on a Waters Acquity UPLC™ BEH C₁₈ column (2.1 × 50 mm, particle size 1.7 µm) at 40°C. For the in-vitro studies, a LC isocratic elution was carried out with 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (70:30) solution (pH 5.4) at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The sample injection volume was 10 µL and the LC runtime was 7 min. The retention time was 2.25 min for AEA, 2.89 min for 2-AG, 3.11 min for 1(3)-AG, 4.87 min for 2-OG, and 5.37 min for 1(3)-OG.

A commercially available curve fitting software package (GraphPad Software, Inc. La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA) was used to determine half-maximal concentration (IC₅₀) values.

In-vivo rat brain endocannabinoids profiling

Mature male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA), weighing 250 g to 300 g with free access to water and standard laboratory rat chow were used for this study. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Janssen Research and Development, LLC approved all procedures. 1-[(1-[3-Chloro-6-(trifluoromethyl)-1-benzothiophen-2-yl]carbonyl)azetidin-3-yl]-4-(1,3-thiazol-4-ylcarbonyl)piperazine (JNJ4218734) was formulated with the vehicle 20% hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin (HPβCD). Eight rats per group were orally dosed (per os) at 2.5 mL/kg of the vehicle containing 0, 1, 3, 10, or 30 mg of MAGL Inhibitor A. Under anesthesia induced with a mixture of 70% CO₂ and 30% O₂, the rats were euthanized via cardiac puncture at 120 minutes after dosing. The brains were immediately removed, frozen on dry ice and stored in a -80°C freezer until use. Ice-cold 200-proof ethyl alcohol containing 1.0 mM 2-AG-d₄, and 0.1 µM AEA-d₄ (4 mL) was added into a tube with each gram of rat brain tissue. The brain tissue sample was homogenized using a VirTis Handishear Hand-held Homogenizer (Gardiner, NY). An aliquot of 0.5 mL of the brain homogenate was transferred into a
microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 min on an Eppendorf Bench Top Centrifuge. The supernatant was transferred into glass inserts in a 96-well plate for LC / MS analysis.

Separation of endocannabinoids was performed on a Zorbax Eclipse C8 column (2.1 mm × 50 mm, particle size 3.5 µm). The mobile Phase A was 25 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in water and B was 25 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (85:15). A gradient elution at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min was used with 65–85% B in 10 min, hold 85% B for 3 min, 85–65% B in 0.1 min and hold for 7 min, total run time was approximately 20 min. These LC conditions were selected to cover a larger range of endocannabinoid structural types including AEA, 2-AG, 2-OG, 2-PG, and 2-LG. The mass spectrometer +ESI ion source parameters were set as described above. Selected ion monitoring (SIM) was used for detection at m/z 348.2 for AEA (M + H)⁺, RT 6.50 min and 2-PG (M + NH₄)⁺ for 2-AG at RT 8.89 min; m/z 404.2 (M + NH₄)⁺ for 2-AG-d₈ at RT 8.75 min; m/z 372.2 (M + NH₄)⁺ for 2-LG at RT 8.82 min; m/z 374.2 (M + NH₄)⁺ for 2-OG at RT 11.80 min. The dwell time for each channel was 0.2 sec with an interscan time of 0.05 sec.

Results and Discussion

Formation of ammonium adduct ions

In +ESI mode, non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids (e.g., M = 2-AG, 2-OG) tended to form strong sodium (M + Na)⁺ adduct ions, weak potassium (M + K)⁺ adduct ions, and weak protonated (M + H)⁺ molecular ions in a mobile phase consisting of 0.1% formic acid in a 70:30 acetonitrile-water mixture at pH 3.5 (Figure 2A; 2-OG data not shown). Increasing the formic acid level resulting in lower pH values in the mobile phase did not improve the relative m/z intensity of the protonated molecular cation. In addition, the formed metal adduct ions were quite stable, while the protonated molecular cation of 2-AG could be fragmented in the +ESI source by increasing the cone voltage. It was difficult to generate subsequent product ions from the metal adduct ions by +ESI in-source CID. In the literature, SIM of the sodium adduct ions of non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids has been reported by adding low concentration of nonvolatile sodium acetate in the mobile phase coupled with LC / +ESI / MS detection (44). Introducing a non-volatile salt in the mobile phase results in salt accumulation in the ion source over the course of sample analysis and significantly decreases the sensitivity of the assay. We found that introducing 50mM of ammonium formate to a mobile phase replaced the metal adducts ions with only a single ammonium adduct (Figure 2B). Under these experimental conditions, a minor unexpected formamide adduct cation (MH + HCONH₂)⁺ was detected for 2-AG and AEA and an ammonium and formamide adduct cation (MNH₄ + HCONH₂)⁺ for 2-OG (Figure 3). The formation of formamide in the LC / MS system may be an artifact derived from the dehydration of ammonium formate on the hot surfaces in the ESI source. With a mild cone voltage, the formed ammonium adduct ions of non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids were stable and could be detected using SIM mode. Different from 2-AG and 2-OG, AEA containing an amide nitrogen atom predominantly formed a protonated molecular cation under both conditions with or without ammonium formate in the mobile phases. The SIM detection of ammonium-adduct cations for

Figure 2: Q1 full scan mass spectra of 2-AG in various solutions. A: 2-AG in 0.1% formic acid / acetonitrile-water (70:30), pH 3.5, predominant molecular sodium adduct formation (M + Na)⁺ together with a minor protonated molecular ion (M + H)⁺ and a potassium adduct ion (M + K)⁺. B: 2-AG in 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (70:30), pH 5.4, forced ammonium adduct formation together with a minor sodium adduct at 401.2 m/z and protonated formamide adduct ion (MH + HCONH₂)⁺.
non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoids (e.g., 2-AG, 2-OG) and the protonated molecular cation for AEA can be used in brain in-vitro and in-vivo evaluation of MAGL inhibitors. The application of ammonium-adduct cations as the precursors for +ESI MRM detection to analyze of prostaglandin glyceryl esters has been previously reported (45).

Acyl migration of 2-MAGs

During the incubation with rat brain homogenate in an aqueous medium, the chemical acyl migration of 2-MAGs to 1(3)-MAGs may occur following the enzymatic formation of 2-MAGs. The kinetics and mechanism of the non-enzyme mediated acyl migration of 2-MAGs in protic solvents have been previously investigated by Rouzer et al. [42]. Each pair of 2- and 1(3)-MAGs yield isobaric cations and cannot be differentiated by MS detection. Therefore, LC separation of the pair of acyl isomers for each MAG is necessary for accessing the degree of acyl migration during the in-vitro MAGL activity assay (Figure 4). To minimize the chemical degradation of 2-MAGs during the incubation and LC / MS analysis, the stability of 2-AG and 2-OG at different pH values was investigated using a simple LC / MS method. The results of % remaining of 2-AG in 3 different mobile phases at ambient temperature over 24 h are given in Figure 5. It was found that 2-AG was stable with more than 98% of the parent remaining over a 24hr period in a mobile phase composed of 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (70:30), pH 5.4. Under these experimental conditions, the major cations for 2-AG and 2-OG are the ammonium adducts while the protonated molecular ion is the major cation for AEA. 2-AG underwent rapid degradation with 82% of the parent remaining in the first hour of incubation at ambient temperature, and then establishing equilibrium after 4 h. No detectable level of 1(3)-AG was observed in this sample over the course of testing, suggesting the degradation may go through a hydrolysis mechanism producing arachidonic acid and glycerol under extreme acidic conditions (pH 3.5). Similar results were also obtained for 2-OG under these experimental conditions (data not shown). Reducing the 50 mM ammonium format concentration to 25 mM in the three mobile phases at the same pH values showed similar degradation. These results clearly indicate that the selection of mobile phase conditions near pH 5.4 is absolutely necessary for overnight LC / MS runs. Based on these findings, the mobile phase with 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile–water (70:30) was chosen for LC isocratic elution for the in-vitro rat brain MAGL inhibitory assay and 25 mM ammonium format and 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) for a LC gradient elution in brain endocannabinoids profiling assay. It is believed that the 1(3)-acyl isomers of 2-MAGs were mainly formed during sample collection, preparation and analysis, therefore, a sum of both 2-MAG and 1(3)-MAG levels was used for reporting each MAG level in the in-vivo brain endocannabinoids profiling assay.

AEA and 2-MAGs accumulation in rat brain homogenate in the presence of MAGL and FAAH inhibitors

There are several enzymes in the rat brain that potentially uses 2-MAGs as a substrate, e.g., FAAH, MAGL, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and MGL kinase [16-18]. In order to confirm the utility of the ammonia adduct SIM LC / +ESI / MS method for endocannabinoid profiling, this approach was used to investigate the primary roles of
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Figure 4: Representative selected ion monitoring (SIM) chromatograms of AEA, 1(3)-AG, 2-AG, 1(3)-OG and 2-OG at 1 µM each on a Waters Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 50 mm) eluted isocratically using the mobile phase: 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-water (70:30), pH 5.4.

Figure 5: Stability of 2-AG in 3 different mobile phases: A. solid square (●), 50 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (7:3), pH 5.4; B. open square (□), 50 mM ammonium formate in acetonitrile, pH 7.0; C. open triangle (∆), 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile – water (7:3), pH 3.5.
MAGL and FAAH in the deactivation of 2-MAGs and AEA in rat brain, respectively. The MAGL inhibitors MAFP and URB602 and the FAAH inhibitor URB597 were evaluated using the in-vitro assay described in the experimental section [30]. The results showed that the 2-AG level in rat brain homogenate increased approximately 33-fold after treatment with 10 µM MAFP (inset Figure 6) and approximately 5-fold for URB602 (100 µM) in comparison with the vehicle control level at 0.75 nmol/g (Figure 6). Similar fold-differences were observed for 1(3)-AG suggesting that acyl migration of 2-AG to 1(3)-AG had occurred during the analysis. The level of 2-OG was below the detection limits in the vehicle; however, upon inhibition of MAGL with MAFP and URB602, the levels of 2-OG, and the acyl migration 1(3)-OG were detected in the brain homogenate. The AEA level in the brain homogenate increased approximately 1.2-fold after treatment with 10 µM MAFP (10 µM) and decreased approximately 50% after treatment with URB602 (100 µM) in comparison with the vehicle control level at 0.06 nmol/g (Figure 6). Under these experimental conditions, the non-selective irreversible inhibitor MAFP inhibited both FAAH and MAGL which was in accordance with others studies in the literature [33]. The non-competitive reversible inhibitor URB602 inhibited only MAGL in our study which was consistent with the literature where URB597 has been measured to inhibit native rat brain MAGL with an IC_{50} value of 28 µM ± 4 µM [32,45,46]. In the presence of 10µM of the irreversible FAAH inhibitor URB597 (IC_{50} approximately 0.01µM) [30,46], the AEA level in the brain homogenate increased approximately 1.4-fold and the levels of 2-AG increased by 1.7-fold as compared to vehicle control levels. The presence of 2-AG, 1(3)-AG, 2-OG, and 1(3)-OG in the brain homogenate, after treatment with URB597 (10µM), suggested that the irreversible FAAH inhibitor was also inhibiting MAGL. In the literature, URB597 is reported to be a selective irreversible inhibitor of FAAH [30,31,46]. Since URB597 is an irreversible inhibitor, it may be binding to MAGL due to the high URB597 concentration (10µM) used in the current accumulation assay.

To further evaluate the ammonia adduct SIM LC / +ESI / MS method, JNJ4218734 a known MAGL inhibitor was selected [29,47]. An in-vitro concentration-dependent 2-AG accumulation study was performed in rat brain homogenate in the presence of JNJ4218734 and the results are shown in Figure 7. The fold increase levels of 2-AG shown in Figure 7 are the combination of 2-AG and 1(3)-AG levels as compared to their vehicle controls. No significant increase of AEA was observed as compared to vehicle control with increasing concentrations of JNJ4218734. Based on the combination 2-AG / 1(3)-AG accumulation rat brain homogenate data, an IC_{50} value of 0.26µM was obtained for the JNJ4218734 compound by curve-fitting. This combination IC_{50} value and the selectivity of the JNJ4218734 compound for the MAGL enzyme over the FAAH enzyme are consistent with a previous accumulation rat brain study using JNJ4218734 [47].

The above AEA and 2-MAGs accumulation rat brain homogenate studies, using a selection of FAAH and MAGL inhibitors, demonstrated that the SIM LC / +ESI / MS ammonium replacement approach to force non-nitrogen containing endocannabinoid metal-adduct (M + X)^+ (X = Na, K) cations to an ammonium-adduct (M + NH_4)^+ cation formation by adding 50 mM ammonium formate in the LC mobile phase is acceptable for in-vitro evaluation of inhibitory potency of MAGL and FAAH inhibitors.

**kBrain MAG accumulation in rats treated with MGL inhibitors**

To investigate the ammonia adduct SIM LC / +ESI / MS method for in-vivo endocannabinoid profiling, the in-vivo dose-response of rat brain endocannabinoids treated with the JNJ4218734 compound were measured in Sprague Dawley rats treated with a single oral dose at 0, 1, 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg. As shown in Figure 8, after 120 min of JNJ4218734...
compound exposure, the brain dose-response accumulation of 4 major MAGs (i.e., 2-AG, 2-OG, 2-PG and 2-LG), as well as AEA was measured using the ammonia adduct SIM LC / +ESI / MS approach. The brain levels of 2-AG and 2-OG are a combination of their acyl migration products (i.e., 1(3)-AG and 1(3)-OG). The average brain levels of 2-AG / 1(3)-AG were 12.4, 17.6, 19.9, 39.3 and 66.2 nmol/g for the vehicle controls, 1, 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg-dosed groups, respectively. In addition, 2-OG / 1(3)-OG was the second important MAG in the rat brain in terms of concentration response at 8.86, 12.3, 17.6, 25.3 and 35.4 nmol/kg to the dose levels of 0, 1, 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg, respectively.

The brain levels of AEA were 1000-folds less than the MAG levels in a pmol/g range. The average brain levels of AEA were 3.12, 4.14, 4.85, 4.95 and 4.70 pmol/g in the rats dosed at 0, 1, 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg, respectively. Thus, a dose-dependent accumulation of the 4 major brain MAGs in these rats was observed and a non-dose-dependent accumulation of AEA was observed indicating that the JNJ4218734 compound was inhibiting MAGL and not FAAH. These results are consistent with the literature [47] and demonstrated that the SIM LC / +ESI / MS ammonium replacement approach can be used in in-vivo studies as a target engagement biomarker assay.

Figure 7: Concentration dependent 2-AG accumulation in rat brain homogenate in the presence of JNJ4218734. Data represents the average of 2 independent experiments (n = 3 each).
Conclusion

Simple and efficient ammonia adduct SIM LC / +ESI / MS methods were developed for determination of rat brain endocannabinoids. The methods were applied to in-vitro rat brain homogenate studies for the evaluation of inhibitory potency of MAGL and FAAH inhibitors including MAFP, URB602, URB597 and JNJ4218734 and to in-vivo target engagement assessment of brain homogenate of JNJ4218734 in rats receiving a single oral dose of the MAGL inhibitor. Our results demonstrated that the SIM LC / +ESI / MS methods for brain endocannabinoid profiling can be used not only for in-vitro evaluation of inhibitory potency of MAGL and FAAH inhibitors, but also for in-vivo assessment of target engagement and proof of concept studies.
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