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ABSTRACT

*Potomida semirugata*, which belongs to the Unionidae family, require a host fish to complete their life cycle. In this study, the host fish preference of this species was investigated. Besides, mussel species and hence the attachment state of larvae on the host fish were also investigated. Five different fish species namely *Cyprinus carpio*, *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Clarias gariepinus*, *Anguilla anguilla*, and *Carasobarbus luteus* were used as host candidates. In this study, five glass aquaria each containing 140 liters of water without any substrate were used and 3 mussels and 10 fish were placed in each aquarium. The aquaria were monitored for 120 days and the glochidia release on the fish was determined by examining gill tissue, dorsal and caudal fins by using a stereomicroscope. Results indicated that *C. carpio* and *O. niloticus* were more preferable in terms of mussel-host fish relationship. The best host fish was determined as *C. carpio* in terms of gill-fullness and juvenile mussel transformation at the ground of the aquarium. These observations provide significant insight and information regarding the effective culture of freshwater mussels.
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INTRODUCTION

Freshwater mussels (Unionidae), which are important components of the aquatic ecosystems, are among the most threatened animal groups on the planet (Lopes-Lima et al., 2017; 2018). The life cycle of unionids is characterized by a unique obligatory period of larval parasitism on a fish host (Haag, 2012). The glochidium process is defined as a parasitic stage in the life cycle of freshwater bivalve (Unionoidae) molluscs (Kat, 1984; O’Connell & Neves, 1999; Wachtler et al., 2001; Treasurer & Turnbull, 2000; Treasurer et al., 2006). Therefore, the fate of glochidia is highly dependent on the presence of a suitable host fish (Barnhart et al., 2008). *Lasmigona subviridis*, which belongs to the family of Unionidae, can complete its life cycle without the existence of a host (Lellis & King, 1998). Glochidia complete the embryonic development process in the parts of the female mussel gills called marsupial and then are released from the parent and connect to a host fish (Schwartz & Dimock, 2001). Potamida live a parasitic stage at the skin, gill and fin of host fish (Meyers & Millemann, 1977; Haag & Warren, 2003). Morphologically, glochidia can be rectangular, circular and axe head shaped and are divided into two as with or without a hook (Graf & Cummings, 2006; Şereflişan et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2018). The glochidia are encapsulated in a cyst, which they form on the epithelial and connective tissue of the host fish after attachment. When they connect to a non-suitable type of fish species, the cyst they produce is deformed and releases the glochidia from the epithelial and/or connective tissue of the fish within a few days (Kat, 1984; Nezlin et al., 1994; Kirk & Layzer, 1997; Wachtler et al., 2001; Rogers-Lowery & Dimock, 2003). The host fish is an obligate nutrient source for glochidia (Araujo & Ramos, 1998; Araujo et al., 2002). The attach-
ment of glochidia also affects the respiratory performance of the host fish (Thomas et al., 2010). The time spent on the host fish varies from a couple of days to a couple of weeks depending on the host species. Glochidia undergoing metamorphosis leave the host fish and start a new life at the sediment (Fisher & Dimock, 2002; Jones & Neves, 2002). As a result of several biological and anthropogenic factors, extinction of freshwater mussels was predicted (Lyons et al., 2007; Zieritz et al., 2012). Causes, such as a long-life time, the long time required to reach sexual maturity, sedentary lifestyles and high glochidia mortality rates, make the mussels vulnerable and difficult to spread (Gatenby et al., 2000). In addition to all these problems, the search for host fish suitable for the glochidia of the mussel species also complicates the continuity of the life cycle (O’ Connell & Neves, 1999). Due to the drying out of wetlands and mass agricultural activities, *P. semirugata* distribution has decreased in most of Turkey’s southern rivers and lakes (Seddon et al., 2014). *P. semirugata* has a spawning activity in June in Gölbaşı Lake or the southern parts of Turkey (Şereflişan et al., 2013). Possible host fish populations for *P. semirugata* are in danger of decreasing due to intensive fishing activities in Gölbaşı Lake. This situation threatens mussel life (Şereflişan, 2014). Since there are decreases in *Potomida* populations, *P. littoralis* has recently been listed as Endangered in the IUCN Red List. Therefore, priority protection areas should be created for *Potomida* species (Seddon et al., 2014).

The aim of this study is to determine the suitable host fish species for *P. semirugata* in Gölbaşı Lake in the province of Hatay. We believe that the results of this study will provide valuable information for the determination of the status of this freshwater ecosystem and the culture of this potentially threatened mussel species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mussel collection**

Mussels were collected from Gölbaşı Lake (36° 30′ 16″ N; 36° 29′ 42″ E) with a surface area of 12,000 acres, which is located in the Kırıkhan district of Hatay, Turkey (Fig 1). Twenty taxa belonging to 9 families, namely Anguillidae, Bagridae, Chiclidae, Cobitidae, Cyprinidae, Cyprinodontidae, Mugilidae, and Poecilidae, have been identified in Lake Gölbaşı (Şereflişan and Şereflişan, 2001). Among these, *C. carpio*, *O. niloticus*, *C. gariepinus*, *A. anguilla*, and *C. luteus* are the most abundant species in the lake. These fish species were preferred in this study, since the relationship of them with mussels has previously been investigated in a preliminary study. Mussels were randomly collected from the shallow muddy or sandy areas of the lake with scoops and hand rakes of various sizes and by SCUBA diving from the deeper (1-6 m) parts of the lake in early March 2014.

**Reproduction monitoring stage**

Collected mussels were transferred to a laboratory in water containers. Before starting the study, mussel samples were kept in an aquarium supplied with tap water for two days so that they can adapt to laboratory conditions. The gender of the mussels was determined by controlling its gill glochidia fullness and only female ones were selected. The length, width, height and live weight of female mussels were measured as 6.18 ± 0.37 cm, 4.13 ± 0.23 cm, 2.81 ± 0.20 cm and 35.08 ± 7.10 g, respectively. During this resting period, it was observed that the valves of the mussels were opened up to 8 mm, since the adductor muscles were loose because of the lack of stress factors. Five (glass) aquariums of 140 liters (35 cm x 20 cm x 20 cm) capacity supplied with 22 – 26 °C freshwater and continuous aeration were prepared without any substrates in the bottom. Three mussels (*P. semirugata*) and 10 fish samples were placed in each aquarium 

\[ A: (C. carpio - P. semirugata), B: (O. niloticus - P. semirugata) C: (A. anguilla - P. semirugata), D: (C. gariepinus - P. semirugata) E: (C. luteus - P. semirugata). \]

While the fishes were fed with standard pellet feed, the mussels were fed with a suspended fito-zooplankton mixture containing (*Comploodiscus clypeus*, *Pediastrum bonyanum*, *Keratella cochlearis*, *Filinia erminial*, *Brachionus angularis*, *Lepadella ovalis*, *Keratella cochlearis*). Glochidia release from the gill and fin of fish was controlled by eye at 2 days intervals. Water discharged from the aquarium was filtered by a 120 µm sieve and the waste product was examined under a light microscope (Olympus CX 41). Detected glochidia were visualized by scanning electron microscope (SEM). The samples were then dehydrated in an ethanol series of 60%, 70%, and 80% for 30 min in each. The samples were mounted on stubs with conductive double-sided carbon tape and coated with gold/palladium in a sputter coater (Polaron SC7620, UK) for 90 sec at 9 mA. The samples were examined and photographed using a JEOL JSM 5500 scanning electron microscope (SEM) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV (Şereflişan et al., 2009).

**Statistical analysis**

The t-test, which is used to compare the means of two independent groups, was used.

**RESULTS**

**Reproduction strategy of *P. semirugata***

**Aquarium A (Relationship between *C. carpio* and *P. semirugata*)**

In the first week of May, mussel shell opening and glochidia release were frequently observed. When the gills of *C. carpio* were examined, denser glochidia were examined in the gill lamellae. Aquarium water has a higher turbidity with a more suspended view. There were glochidia detected in the dorsal and caudal fin...
of the fish. The glochidia released occurred twice and 25 days after the last release, juvenile mussels were detected in the bottom of the aquarium. A third release occurred in the first week of June (with a water temperature of 26 °C) Glochidium detected in the fish gill was determined to be on average 140-170 μm in size. At the bottom of the aquarium, juvenile mussels were detected in the size of 180-200 μm (Table 1). As a result, C. carpio was found as a suitable host for P. semirugata (Fig 2. A).

At the aquarium bottom. Glochidium detected in the fish gill was determined to be on average 120-160 μm in size. At the bottom of the aquarium, juvenile mussels were detected in the size of 150-190 μm. (Table 1). These results confirm the preference of O. niloticus as host.

**Aquarium B (Relationship between O. niloticus and P. semirugata)**
Glochidia release was first observed in the second week of April. Even though less dense glochia were observed in the gill of O. niloticus than the gill of C. carpio, a denser glochidia population was found in the aquarium bottom. The aquarium water was slightly suspended and turbid. Shells of P. semirugata were usually closed; they were rarely opened. Release occurred twice at intervals (Fig 2. B). The third release was observed in the second week of May and juveniles of P. semirugata were observed

**Aquarium C (Relationship between A. anguilla and P. semirugata)**
Starting from the first week of April, mussels were monitored continuously and no changes were observed in the openings of shells until the first of May. When the waste obtained from the bottom siphon of the aquarium was examined, it was found that most of the glochidia packs were in a non-dispersed state. P. semirugata did not shape the mantle tissue to attract A. anguilla. When the fish’s back and tail fin were examined with a stereomicroscope, there were no glochidia detected (Fig 2. C). Even though, glochidia was released four times in P. semirugata, A. anguilla was found to be an unsuitable host.

---

**Table 1.** Length measurements (μm) of glochidium detected in the gill and the bottom of the aquarium of fish chosen as host by P. semirugata (Mean Length±SD)

| Glochidium size in the fish gill | Min-max. (μm) | C. Carpio | O. niloticus |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| Mean Length ±SD (μm) | 162 ± 0.45 | 140-170 | 145 ±0.38 |
| Min-max. (μm) | 190±0.22 | 180-200 | 70±0.31 |

* Mean values with different superscript on the same row are significantly different (p <0.05).
Aquarium D (Relationship between *C. gariepinus* and *P. semirugata*)

When the bottom of the aquarium was examined in the second week of May, glochidia release was observed. However, glochidia were not on the gills and dorsal and caudal fins of *C. gariepinus* (Fig 2.D). It was observed that the aquarium water was clear and the mussel shells were closed. Glochidia release occurred three times by the end of May; however, it was understood that *C. gariepinus* was not a suitable host for *P. semirugata*.

Aquarium E (Relationship between *C. luteus* and *P. semirugata*)

Glochidia release was observed twice at the third and fourth week of May. Results showed that *C. Luteus* were not preferred as a host by *P. semirugata* since there were no glochidia observed at the gills as well as on the dorsal and caudal fins (Fig 2.E). It is worth mentioning that glochidia packets were found scattered rather than whole at the aquarium bottom.

Unlike many other members of Unionidae, no hooks were found at the front end of the dorsal and ventral shell of *P. semirugata* larvae (Fig 3.A; 3.B; 3.C; 3.D) and 3-4 rows of protruding structures were observed in this region (Fig 4.A; 4.B; Fig 5).
DISCUSSION

Host fish, and the members of various fish families such as Centrarchidae, Cyprinidae, Percidae, Ictaluridae, Cottidae and Sciaenidae etc. were preferred as a host by various mussel species (Haag et al., 1999; O’Dee & Watters, 2000; Haag & Warren, 2003; Şereflişan et al., 2009; Haag, 2010; Şereflişan, 2018; Modesto et al., 2018; Lopes-Lima et al., 2020); whereas, Unionid mussels prefer fishes which belong to the Cyprinidae family (Haag et al., 1999; Haag & Warren, 2003; O’Dee & Watters, 2000; Larsson, 2015). There are some studies that report *Margaritifera margaritifera*, *Unio crassus* and *Unio terminalis* prefer *Salmo trutta*, *Alburnus alburnus* and *Cyprinus carpio* as a host for glachidia release, respectively (Poulin, 2000; Thomas et al., 2010; Şereflişan, 2018). Similarly, *P. semirugata* samples examined in this study preferred the members of Cyprinidae and Cichlidae families as host.

The importance of glochidia release to find suitable host fish in freshwater mussels has been reported (Riusech & Barnhart, 2000). These toothed or toothless hook structures are the most important structure of mussel larvae since they help them to connect to the gill or fins of host fish. Glochidia with a hook are attached to the skin and gills of the host fish while the ones without a hook are usually found encapsulated among the gill filaments of the host (Barnhart et al., 2008). On the other hand, the ones which do not have hook structure are usually encapsulated inside the gills of host fish (Wachtl er et al., 2001). Similarly, in this study, hookless glochidia of *P. semirugata* were only detected at the gills of host fish.

Hookless glochidia have been observed in the Unionoidae including *Potomida* (Graf & Cummings, 2006). Glochidia of *P.
semirugata have a large number of protrusions with 4 to 5 rows without hooks inside the anterior part of the dorsal and ventral of the two shells (Giusti, 1973; Şereflişan et al., 2009). Approximately 6 hours after release of hookless glochidial by the mussel to the appropriate host, the glochidia are encapsulated by the epithelial tissue of the fish and a cyst is formed (Rogers-Lowery & Dimock, 2006). When glochidia produced a cyst on an unsuitable host fish, it was rejected by the immune system and the glochidia died (Wachtler et al., 2001; Rogers-Lowery & Dimock, 2003). In this study, 5-9 hours after glochidia release, cyst formation as a result of encapsulation of glochidia in the gill tissue was detected. Previous studies reported that anti-glochidial factors are found in the serum of fish infected with glochidia and dead glochidial packets were detected undisturbed which indicates that glochidia did not prefer A. anguilla as the host. Some mussels use mantle tissue to lure fish in the glochidia release (Barnhart & Roberts, 1995; Haag & Varren, 2003). In this study, P. semirugata did not alter its mantle tissue; it only kept its shells 3-4 mm open during glochidia release.

Fertilized eggs in female mussels produce larvae called glochidium and are kept in the marsupial pockets of the gills ready to be released to the host fish (Lang, 1998; von Proschwitz, 1999; Şereflişan, 2009).

CONCLUSIONS

In this study P. semirugata collected from Gölbaşı Lake in the province of Hatay was investigated in terms of host preferences using five different fish species. Also, hookless glochidia of P. semirugata were examined by SEM to understand their binding strategy to the fish gill. Results provided in this study provide significant insight and information regarding host selection in freshwater mussel production when their presence in the ecological chain gets under a threat. With this study, determining the fish preferred by P. semirugata as a host, the prey pressure will be reduced and the mussel-host fish relationship will be preserved. In this study, preferable fish host species were determined but the host selection criteria of P. semirugata is still worth exploring (Ziuganov & Nezlin, 1988; Bauer & Wachtler, 2001; Geist, 2010; Şereflişan, 2018).
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