Spectral indices in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld inflation
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We investigate the scalar and tensor spectral indices of the quadratic inflation model in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld (EiBI) gravity. We find that the EiBI corrections to the spectral indices are of second and first order in the slow-roll approximation for the scalar and tensor perturbations respectively. This is very promising since the quadratic inflation model in general relativity provides a very nice fit for the spectral indices. Together with the suppression of the tensor-to-scalar ratio EiBI inflation agrees well with the observational data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation$^{1,2}$ is considered as the leading candidate to explain the otherwise extremely finely tuned initial conditions in the very early Universe, such as the horizon and flatness problems$^{3}$. Furthermore, during inflation quantum fluctuations are stretched to super-horizon scales and frozen, which can naturally provide the origin of the temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) on large scales$^{4}$. It is, however, a highly nontrivial task to realize inflation in a concrete model based on high energy physics$^{5}$. One of the difficulties is that, in general, inflation is a highly sensitive probe of higher dimensional operators (represented by for example the eta problem$^{6}$) and thus it requires an ultraviolet completion of the effective theory$^{7}$ in which inflation is described. That is, we need quantum gravity to accommodate inflation concretely. While the theory of quantum gravity is still elusive, an alternative is to describe inflation in a theory of gravity which does not require quantum aspects. An interesting candidate of this kind for theory is the so-called Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld (EiBI) gravity$^{8}$.

An inflation model in the EiBI theory of gravity, which naturally avoids addressing the quantum nature of gravity by construction, was developed only recently$^{9}$. The model is based on a scalar field with a quadratic potential similar to the chaotic inflation model$^{2}$ in general relativity (GR). The primordial perturbations of the model were investigated in Refs.$^{10–13}$. In these works, the power spectra of both the scalar and tensor perturbations were studied, and it was found that the tensor power spectrum can be suppressed significantly, while the scalar spectrum remains almost the same. Therefore, the tensor-to-scalar ratio$^r$ can be suppressed significantly. In particular in the strong EiBI-gravity regime, $^r$ can be even further lowered close to zero. This fits the current observational constraints

$r_{0.05} < 0.12$ and $r_{0.002} < 0.11$ at the 95% confidence level.

This is very encouraging, because in the chaotic inflation model in GR with a power-law potential, typically the tensor-to-scalar ratio is as large as $r = O(0.1)$ so that the quartic potential is almost ruled out and even the quadratic one is moderately disfavored$^{12}$. We should note, however, that although the chaotic inflation model predicts too large a tensor-to-scalar ratio, it fits the spectral index very well. The spectral index of the scalar power spectrum is very well constrained as $n_S = 0.968 ± 0.006$ at the 68% confidence level$^{12}$, and is another key parameter to judge the viability of a given model. For EiBI inflation to remain as an attractive and viable alternative to the conventional chaotic inflation, the spectral indices of the power spectra should be consistent with the current observational constraints. In this article, we investigate the scalar and tensor spectral indices in EiBI inflation.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we present a summary of the inflationary feature of the quadratic model in the EiBI theory of gravity investigated in Ref.$^{9}$, and the primordial perturbations in this model investigated in Refs.$^{10–13}$. In Sec. 3, we investigate the spectral indices of the model. In Sec 4, we conclude.
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II. SUMMARY OF EIBI INFLATION AND PRIMORDIAL PERTURBATIONS

In this section, we summarize the inflationary feature investigated in Ref. [9], and the scalar and tensor perturbations investigated in Refs. [10–13].

A. Inflation in EiBI Gravity

The EiBI theory of gravity is described by the action [8]

\[ S_{\text{EiBI}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}}} - \kappa \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}) - \lambda \sqrt{-g} \right] + S_M(g, \varphi), \]  

(1)

where the matter action for inflation [8] is given by

\[ S_M(g, \varphi) = \int d^4x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi - V(\varphi) \right] \quad \text{with} \quad V(\varphi) = \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2. \]  

(2)

The gravity action is of Born-Infeld (square-root) type, but it uses the Palatini formalism; the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and the connection \( \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \) are regarded as independent fields. The matter action is coupled to \( g_{\mu\nu} \) only. We set \( 8\pi G = 1 \), and \( \kappa \) is the only additional parameter of EiBI theory. The cosmological constant is related to the dimensionless parameter \( \lambda \) by \( \Lambda = (\lambda - 1)/\kappa \), and we consider the case of no cosmological constant (\( \lambda = 1 \)) in this article.

Performing a variation of the action (1) with respect to the metric and the connection, one can cast the equations of motion as

\[ \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}}} q^{\mu\nu} = \lambda q^{\mu\nu} - \kappa T^{\mu\nu}, \]  

(3)

\[ q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa R_{\mu\nu}, \]  

(4)

where \( q_{\mu\nu} \) is regarded as an auxiliary metric which provides

\[ \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} q^{\mu\sigma} (q_{\alpha\sigma,\beta} + q_{\beta\sigma,\alpha} + q_{\alpha\beta,\sigma}). \]  

(5)

The energy-momentum tensor is in the standard form, \( T^{\mu\nu} = (2/\sqrt{-g}) \delta L_M/\delta g_{\mu\nu} \). We take the metric ansatz as

\[ g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j = a^2(\eta) \left( -d\eta^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right), \]  

(6)

where \( t \) is the conformal time and \( \eta \) is the conformal time [16]. The scalar-field equation is then given by

\[ \ddot{\varphi}_0 + 3H \dot{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \varphi''_0 + 2H \varphi'_0 + a^2 \frac{dV}{d\varphi_0} \right) = 0, \]  

(7)

where the hat and the prime denote a derivative with respect to \( t \) and \( \eta \), respectively, and \( H \equiv \dot{a}/a \) and \( \mathcal{H} \equiv a'/a \). The subscript 0 stands for the unperturbed background field.

Due to the Born-Infeld (square-root) type of the gravity action [8], there is an upper bound in pressure. When the energy density is high, the maximal pressure state (MPS) is achieved, \( p_0 = \lambda/\kappa \), beyond which the theory is not defined. In the MPS, the Universe undergoes an exponential expansion. The MPS is the past attractor from which all the evolution paths of the Universe originate. One may assume that the Universe approaches the MPS as \( t \to -\infty \) in the past. The energy density is high in the MPS, but the gravitational curvature remains constant because \( H_{\text{MPS}} \approx 2m/3 \). This difference from GR comes from the difference of the Friedmann equation in EiBI gravity. From the gravity point of view, therefore, the quantum aspect is not necessary in describing the high-energy state of the early Universe.

The MPS is unstable under a small perturbation, and the Universe evolves to the so-called near-MPS stage for which the background solutions were obtained in Ref. [9]. After the Universe leaves the near-MPS stage, it enters into the intermediate stage followed by the attractor stage in which the inflationary feature is very similar to that of the chaotic inflation model in GR. Therefore, there are two accelerating stages in EiBI inflation.

There are two ways in which the EiBI gravity effects are realized significantly. First, when the matter density is high, so is the gravitational effect. This corresponds to the near-MPS stage. Second, if one makes the value of \( \kappa \)
arbitrarily large, the gravity effect can appear strongly even when the matter density is low. At the attractor stage, the matter density is already low, but EiBI gravity can be effective if one turns on $\kappa$ strongly. The following radiation- and matter-dominated epochs are very similar to those in GR because the energy density is very low.

If the Universe spends a sufficient time at the attractor stage and acquires 60 $e$-foldings, the EiBI prediction for CMB will be very similar to that in GR, with only a very small correction. However, if a sufficient number of $e$-foldings were not acquired at this stage, the history at the near-MPS stage will be implied in the CMB at very long-wavelength scales.

In studying the primordial perturbations \[11-13\], therefore, the initial perturbations are considered to be produced at the near-MPS stage. They are assumed to evolve adiabatically until the attractor stage, and to exit near the beginning of the attractor stage. The coefficients of the mode functions are fixed by imposing the initial conditions at the near-MPS stage. The power spectra are evaluated at the horizon crossing at the attractor stage. Here, we present the results of the scalar and the tensor perturbations briefly.

**B. Scalar Perturbation**

The scalar perturbation fields for the metrics are introduced as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{ds^2_+}{b^2} &= \left\{ -\frac{1 + 2\phi_1}{z} d\eta^2 + 2 \frac{B_1 i}{\sqrt{z}} d\eta dx^i + \left[ (1 - 2\psi_1)\delta_{ij} + 2E_{1,ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \right\}, \\
\frac{ds^2_9}{a^2} &= \left\{ -(1 + 2\phi_2) d\eta^2 + 2B_{2,i} d\eta dx^i + \left[ (1 - 2\psi_2)\delta_{ij} + 2E_{2,ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \right\},
\end{align*}
$$

(8)

(9)

and the matter-field perturbation is $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \chi$. Here $a$, $b$, and $z$ are the background gravitational fields, and from Eq. (3) we get

$$
z = \frac{1 + \kappa \rho_0}{1 - \kappa \rho_0} \quad \text{and} \quad b = (1 + \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4}(1 - \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4} a,
$$

(10)

where $\rho_0 = \varphi_0^2/(2a^2) + V$ and $p_0 = \varphi_0^2/(2a^2) - V$.

Imposing gauge conditions for the Fourier modes as $\psi_1 = 0$ and $E_1 = 0$, all the perturbation fields for the metrics are expressed by $\chi$ and the background fields. In particular, the field $\psi_2$ that is used in evaluating the power spectrum is given by

$$
\psi_2 = \frac{z - 1}{2\kappa h z (z - 1)(3z - 1)} \left\{ -2\kappa h z (z - 1) A' \chi' + \alpha^2 (z - 1)^2 A' \chi + 2\kappa h z (3z - 1) B' \chi \right\} \approx \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \chi',
$$

(11)

where $h \equiv b/b$, $\chi \equiv 1/(a\sqrt{\rho_0 + p_0})$ and $\chi' = -m\sqrt{\rho_0 - p_0}/(\rho_0 + p_0)$, and the third term in the square brackets is most dominant for the approximation studied in Ref. [13].

The matter perturbation $\chi$ can be transformed to the canonical field $Q$ by $Q \equiv \omega \chi$ together with the time transformation $d\tau \equiv (\omega^2/f_1) d\eta$, where

$$
f_1 = \frac{3z^2 - 2z + 3}{(z + 1)(3z - 1)} a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^4 = \frac{3z^2 - 2z + 3}{z(z + 1)(3z - 1)} a^4 \equiv W_0^4 a^4.
$$

(12)

Recalling that $a \approx 1/[\varphi_i m(\tau - \tau_0)]$ at the attractor stage, the perturbation equation becomes

$$
\ddot{Q} + \left[ k^2 - \frac{2}{(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \right] Q \approx 0,
$$

(13)

where the dot denotes a derivative with respect to $\tau$, $\varphi_i$ is the value of the scalar field at the beginning of the attractor stage, and $\tau_0$ corresponds to the moment of the end of inflation. At leading order, we have $d\tau \approx d\eta$ at the attractor stage. This equation is the same as in GR, and the solution is given by

$$
Q_{\text{ATT}}(\tau) \approx A_1 \left\{ \cos[k(\tau - \tau_0)] - \sin[k(\tau - \tau_0)] \right\} + A_2 \left\{ \sin[k(\tau - \tau_0)] + \cos[k(\tau - \tau_0)] \right\},
$$

(14)

$$
= \tilde{A}_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{i}{k(\tau - \tau_0)} \right] e^{ik(\tau - \tau_0)} + \tilde{A}_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{k(\tau - \tau_0)} \right] e^{-ik(\tau - \tau_0)},
$$

(15)
where \( \tilde{A}_1 \equiv (A_1 - iA_2)/2 \) and \( \tilde{A}_2 \equiv (A_1 + iA_2)/2 \). The coefficients \( \tilde{A}_i \) are to be determined by imposing the initial conditions at the near-MPS stage, which makes it different from GR. At the end of inflation, one gets an approximation, \( Q_{\text{ATT}}(\tau) \approx i \left( \tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_2 \right) / |k(\tau - \tau_0)| \).

As studied in Refs. [11] [13], the minimum-energy condition is imposed at the initial moment \( \tau_* \) of the perturbation production at the near-MPS stage [19]. Then the perturbations are assumed to evolve adiabatically through the intermediate stage at which the WKB solution is applied, and finally enter the attractor stage. Performing the solution-matching at two transition moments of three stages, one gets the coefficients \( \tilde{A}_i \) in terms of the near-MPS quantities expressed in \( \tau_* \) as

\[
|Q_{\text{ATT}}|^2 \approx \frac{|\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_2|^2}{k^2(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \equiv \frac{D_k}{2k^3(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \equiv D_k|Q_{\text{GR}}|^2,
\]

where \( |Q_{\text{ATT}}|^2 \) is the same as in GR, and \( D_k \) imprints the EiBI effect from the initial condition at the near-MPS stage,

\[
D_k \equiv 2k |\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_2|^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( c^2 + R^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{16c^2} \right).
\]

Here, \( c \) and \( R \) are determined from the initial condition as

\[
c^2 = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{Y^2 + Y_0^2}{|JY_0 - J_0Y|} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{JY + J_0Y}{\sqrt{|JY_0 - J_0Y|(Y^2 + Y_0^2)}}},
\]

where \( J \equiv (J_0 - 2k\tau J_1)/\sqrt{1 + 4k^2\tau_0^2} \), \( Y \equiv (Y_0 - 2k\tau Y_1)/\sqrt{1 + 4k^2\tau_0^2} \), \( J_{0,1} \equiv J_{0,1}(k\tau) \), and \( Y_{0,1} \equiv Y_{0,1}(k\tau) \).

The comoving curvature perturbation is defined as

\[
\mathcal{R} = \psi_2 + \frac{H}{\tilde{\varphi}_0} \chi,
\]

and the scalar power spectrum evaluated at the horizon crossing becomes

\[
\mathcal{P}_R = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \mathcal{R} \right|^2 \approx \left| \frac{D_k}{W_0^2} \right| \left[ 1 + \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_0}{H} Y \right]^2 \frac{k^3 H^2 |Q_{\text{ATT}}|^2}{2\pi^2 \tilde{\varphi}_0^2 a^2} \equiv D_k \times E^S \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{GR}}^S.
\]

Here, \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{GR}}^S \equiv k^3 H^2 |Q_{\text{ATT}}|^2/(2\pi^2 \tilde{\varphi}_0^2 a^2) \) is the power spectrum in GR, and \( E^S \equiv \left| 1 + (z - 1)\tilde{\varphi}_0 Y/[(z + 1)H] \right|^2 / W_0^2 \) is the EiBI correction. The EiBI correction \( E^S \) applies for all scales, while the correction \( D_k \) manifests only for the long-wavelength modes.

**C. Tensor Perturbation**

The tensor perturbation fields \( \gamma_{ij} \) and \( h_{ij} \) are introduced as

\[
ds_q^2 = -X^2 dy^2 + Y^2 (\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) dx^i dx^j = Y^2 \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}) dx^i dx^j\right],
\]

\[
ds_0^2 = a^2 \left[-d\eta^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j\right],
\]

where \( \tau \) is the conformal time for the auxiliary metric. At the attractor stage, \( \tau \) is the same as that for the scalar perturbation in the leading order, \( d\tau \approx d\eta \). We impose the transverse and traceless conditions on both \( h_{ij} \) and \( \gamma_{ij} \), i.e., \( \partial_i h^{ij} = \partial_j \gamma^{ij} = 0 \) and \( h^{ij} = \gamma^{ij} = 0 \). From Eq. [17], one then gets \( \gamma_{ij} = h_{ij} \) [17], and also

\[
X = \frac{(1 - \kappa \rho_0)^{3/4}}{(1 + \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4} a} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = (1 + \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4}(1 - \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4} a \equiv Y_0 a.
\]

The Fourier mode for the perturbation is defined by

\[
h_{ij}(\eta, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\sigma = \mp} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} h_\sigma(\eta, \vec{k}) \epsilon^\sigma_{ij}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}},
\]
where $\epsilon^{ij}$ is the polarization tensor. Introducing a canonical field by $\mu_{\sigma} \equiv (Y/2) h_{\sigma}$, from Eq. (1) the perturbation equation is given by

$$\ddot{\mu}_{\sigma} + \left( k^2 - \frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} \right) \mu_{\sigma} = 0. \quad (25)$$

At the attractor stage, $d\tau \approx d\eta$ and $\ddot{Y}/Y \approx \ddot{a}/a$. Therefore, Eq. (25) is the same as in GR,

$$\ddot{\mu}_{\sigma} + \left[ k^2 - \frac{2}{(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \right] \mu_{\sigma} \approx 0, \quad (26)$$

and the mode solution is

$$\mu_{\text{ATT}}(\tau) \approx A_1 \left\{ \cos \left[ k(\tau - \tau_0) \right] - \frac{\sin \left[ k(\tau - \tau_0) \right]}{k(\tau - \tau_0)} \right\} + A_2 \left\{ \sin \left[ k(\tau - \tau_0) \right] + \frac{\cos \left[ k(\tau - \tau_0) \right]}{k(\tau - \tau_0)} \right\}. \quad (27)$$

Note that this solution has exactly the same form as Eq. (14). As investigated in Ref. [10], the near-MPS solution is also the same as that for the scalar perturbation. Then, imposing the same initial conditions and performing the solution matching in the same way, we get

$$|\mu_{\text{ATT}}|^2 \approx D_k |\mu_{\text{ATT}}^{GR}|^2, \quad (28)$$

where $D_k$ is the same as in Eq. (17), and $|\mu_{\text{ATT}}^{GR}|^2$ is the value in GR.

The power spectrum at the horizon-crossing is

$$P_T = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |h_{\sigma}|^2 = \frac{D_k}{Y_0^2} \frac{2k^3}{\pi^2} |\mu_{\text{ATT}}^{GR}|^2 \equiv D_k \times E^T \times P_T^{GR}. \quad (29)$$

Here, $P_T^{GR} \equiv 2k^3 |\mu_{\text{ATT}}^{GR}|^2 / \pi^2 a^2$ is the spectrum in GR, and $E^T \equiv 1/Y_0^2$ is the EiBI correction applied for all scales.

### III. SPECTRAL INDICES

In this section, we investigate the scalar and tensor spectral indices. We consider two limits; the weak and strong EiBI gravity limits, which correspond to $\kappa \ll m^{-2}$ and $\kappa \gg m^{-2}$, respectively.

#### A. Scalar Spectral Index

The scalar spectral index is evaluated as

$$n_R - 1 \equiv \frac{d \log P_R}{d \log k} = \frac{d \log P_R^{GR}}{d \log k} + \frac{d \log E^S}{d \log k} + \frac{d \log D_k}{d \log k}. \quad (30)$$

The second term was given earlier by

$$E^S = \left( \frac{1}{W_0^2} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \frac{\dot{\varphi}_0}{H} \right]^2 \equiv (1 + S_1) |1 - S_2|^2, \quad (31)$$

where $S_1 = 1/W_0^2 - 1$ and $S_2 = -(z - 1)\dot{\varphi}_0 Y / [(z + 1) H] \approx -\dot{\varphi}_0 \psi_2 / (H \chi)$.

As studied in Ref. [13], at the attractor stage with the first slow-roll condition $\dot{\varphi}_0^2 / 2 \ll m^2 \varphi_0^2 / 2$, the first Friedmann equation in EiBI gravity is approximated by that in GR, $H^2 \approx V/3$. The scalar-field equation is the same, so the second Friedmann equation is also approximated by that in GR. Therefore, the slow-roll parameters in EiBI gravity are defined in the same way,

$$\epsilon_1 \equiv - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \approx \frac{\dot{\varphi}_0^2}{2H^2} \text{ and } \epsilon_2 \equiv \frac{\dot{\epsilon}_1}{H \dot{\epsilon}_1}. \quad (32)$$
At the attractor stage with the first slow-roll condition we get from Eq. (10)

\[ z = \frac{1 + \kappa(\dot{\varphi}_0^2/2 + m^2\varphi_0^2/2)}{1 - \kappa(\varphi_0^2/2 - m^2\varphi_0^2/2)} \approx 1 + \frac{\kappa\dot{\varphi}_0^2}{1 + \kappa m^2\varphi_0^2/2} \approx \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{\kappa^2}{m^2 \varphi_0^2} (\kappa \ll m^{-2}), \\ 1 + \frac{2\kappa^2}{m^2 \varphi_0^2} (\kappa \gg m^{-2}). \end{cases} \tag{33} \]

Using this result, we get from Eq. (14)

\[ \omega^4 \approx \begin{cases} (1 - 2\kappa\dot{\varphi}_0^2)a^4 & \approx (1 - 4\kappa H^2\epsilon_1)a^4 \quad (\kappa \ll m^{-2}), \\ (1 - \frac{4\dot{\varphi}_0^2}{m^2 \varphi_0^2})a^4 & \approx (1 - \frac{4}{3}\epsilon_1)a^4 \quad (\kappa \gg m^{-2}), \end{cases} \tag{34} \]

which gives

\[ S_1 \approx \begin{cases} 2\kappa H^2\epsilon_1 & (\kappa \ll m^{-2}), \\ \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_1 & (\kappa \gg m^{-2}). \end{cases} \tag{35} \]

Likewise, at the attractor stage with the first slow-roll condition, we get from Eq. (11) using \( z \) in Eq. (10)

\[ \psi_2 \approx -\frac{\kappa m\sqrt{\rho_0 - p_0}}{2 + \kappa(\rho_0 - p_0)} \chi \approx \frac{\sqrt{3\kappa m H}}{\sqrt{2}(1 + 3\kappa H^2)} \chi. \tag{36} \]

Then we get from the definition below Eq. (38)

\[ S_2 \approx \frac{\sqrt{3\kappa m \dot{\varphi}_0}}{\sqrt{2}(1 + 3\kappa H^2)}. \tag{37} \]

With the above results of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), we find \( E^{\text{S}} \) at the leading order as

\[ E^{\text{S}} \approx \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6\kappa m \dot{\varphi}_0}}{1 + 3\kappa H^2} + 2\kappa H^2\epsilon_1 & (\kappa \ll m^{-2}), \\ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6\kappa m \dot{\varphi}_0}}{3\kappa H^2} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_1 & (\kappa \gg m^{-2}). \end{cases} \tag{38} \]

Note that \( m \approx \sqrt{3/2\dot{\varphi}_0} \approx H\sqrt{3\epsilon_1} \) from the background scalar-field solution \( \varphi_0 = \varphi_i + \sqrt{2/3}mt \) at the attractor stage. Note also that \( \kappa H^2 \approx \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \) which can be as large as \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) if \( \kappa m^2 \sim 10^{-2} \). Therefore, this is not entirely negligible in the limit of \( \kappa \ll m^{-2} \). We find the corresponding EiBI correction to the spectral index as

\[ \frac{d \log E^{\text{S}}}{d \log k} \approx \begin{cases} -\kappa H^2 \left( 2 - \frac{3}{1 + 3\kappa H^2} \right) \epsilon_1 (2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) - \frac{36\kappa^2 H^4}{(1 + 3\kappa H^2)^2} \epsilon_1^2 & (\kappa \ll m^{-2}) \approx \kappa H^2 \epsilon_1 (2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \quad (\kappa \ll H^{-2}), \\ -\epsilon_1 \left( 2\epsilon_1 + \frac{5}{3}\epsilon_2 \right) & (\kappa \gg m^{-2}). \end{cases} \tag{39} \]

Here, we have used the relations at the horizon crossing, \( k = aH \approx -1/\left( \tau_0 - \tau \right) \) and \( d/dk \approx (aH)^{-2}d/d\tau \approx a^{-1}H^{-2}d/d\tau \) and \( \dot{\varphi}_0 \approx \dot{\varphi}_0 H(\epsilon_2/2 - \epsilon_1) \) derived from the slow-roll parameters in Eq. (19). Compared with the standard GR contribution,

\[ \frac{d \log P^{\text{GR}}_k}{d \log k} = -2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \tag{40} \]

the EiBI correction in Eq. (39) is of second order in \( \epsilon_1 \), and thus does not change the spectral index of the scalar power spectrum at leading order.

Another EiBI correction factor \( D_k \) given by Eq. (17) is explicitly \( k \)-dependent and exhibits a peculiar rise at low \( k \), while it approaches 1 in the high-\( k \) region. \( D_k \) does not contribute to the tensor-to-scalar ratio since it is common to both the scalar and tensor power spectra, as can be seen from Eqs. (20) and (29). However, in principle it may significantly contribute to the spectral index. This is especially worrisome if \( \tau_* \), the initial moment of the perturbation production, is well within the last 60 e-folds of the inflationary stage. In Fig. 11 we show the behavior of both \( D_k \) and \( d \log D_k/d \log k \) as functions of \( k\tau_* \). As can be seen, as long as we can push \( \tau_* \) to satisfy \( k\tau_* \gtrsim 1 \) for the regime of our observational interest \( k \gtrsim 0.002/\text{Mpc} \), the contributions from \( D_k \) to the power spectrum and especially to the spectral index can be made negligible. Note also that in Fig. 11 we show both the large- and small-\( k \) limits, denoted by solid and dashed lines respectively. The two cases are almost identical, so \( D_k \) is not sensitive to the strength of EiBI gravity while the other EiBI correction \( E^{\text{S}} \) is. This is because the effect of \( D_k \) originates from the near-MPS stage at which the EiBI-gravity effect is strong due to the high matter-energy density.
The tensor spectral index is evaluated as
\[ n_T \equiv \frac{d \log P_T}{d \log k} = \frac{d \log P_{\text{GR}}^T}{d \log k} + \frac{d \log E_T}{d \log k} + \frac{d \log D_k}{d \log k}. \] (41)

At the attractor stage, we get from Eq. (23)
\[ E_T \approx \frac{1}{1 + \kappa \rho_0} \approx \frac{1}{1 + 3 \kappa H^2}. \] (42)

Following similar steps as for the scalar spectral index, at the leading order in the slow-roll parameters we can easily find the EiBI correction to the spectral index,
\[ \frac{d \log E_T}{d \log k} \approx \frac{6 \kappa H^2}{1 + 3 \kappa H^2} \epsilon_1 \approx \begin{cases} 0 & (\kappa \ll H^{-2}), \\ 2 \epsilon_1 & (\kappa \gg m^{-2}), \end{cases} \] (43)

which is \( O(\epsilon) \). This is very different from the scalar spectral index for which we found that the EiBI correction from \( E^S \) is \( O(\epsilon^2) \). With the standard GR contribution,
\[ \frac{d \log P_{\text{GR}}^T}{d \log k} = -2 \epsilon_1, \] (44)

the tensor spectral index is
\[ n_T \approx \begin{cases} -2 \left(1 - \frac{3 \kappa H^2}{1 + 3 \kappa H^2}\right) \epsilon_1 & (\kappa \ll m^{-2}) \approx -2 \epsilon_1 & (\kappa \ll H^{-2}), \\ 0 & (\kappa \gg m^{-2}), \end{cases} \] (45)

where the \( D_k \) part is the same as for the scalar index.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this article, we investigated in EiBI gravity the spectral indices of the the primordial perturbations from the inflation model with a quadratic potential. The result shows that the EiBI correction to GR is of second order in the slow-roll parameters for the scalar spectral index. Since the chaotic inflation model in GR with a power-law potential
provides a very good fit for the spectral index which is of first order, this small EiBI correction is very affirmative in considering the viability of the model. There is a running from the peculiar rise in the power spectrum for low-$k$ modes, but it can be pushed to very large scales with a proper choice of parameters. The EiBI correction for the tensor spectral index is, however, of first order. We have not yet detected the primordial tensor perturbations and thus our EiBI-corrected tensor spectral index is still within the observational bound. However, this is a verifiable prediction once $r$ is detected, which could be accomplished in the next decade by future observations of the CMB $B$-mode polarization. Indeed the sensitivity of the planned observations is very ambitious; for example, LiteBIRD \cite{13} is expected to give $r = O(0.01 - 0.001)$.

The chaotic inflation model in GR predicts a quite large value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio, so it is disfavored by observational results. In EiBI inflation, however, the value can be reduced, as investigated in Refs. \cite{11,13}. From Eqs. (20) and (29), the tensor-to-scalar ratio is given by

$$r \approx \frac{E_T}{E_S} e^{GR},$$

where we can evaluate Eqs. (38) and (42) further as

$$E_S \approx \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{2 \kappa m^2}{1 + \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2/2} + \frac{2}{3} \kappa m^2 (\kappa \ll m^2) \\ 1 - \frac{8}{3} \varphi_i^2 (\kappa \gg m^2) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad E_T \approx \frac{1}{1 + \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2/2}.$$  \tag{47}

In both limits of $\kappa$, the corrections for $E_S$ are tiny while $E_T$ can be significantly lowered. In the large-$\kappa$ limit particularly, $E_T$ can be reduced close to zero. Therefore, the tensor-to-scalar ratio can be well within the observational bound. Together with the results of this work on the spectral indices, the quadratic inflation model in EiBI gravity is promising.

For the past several years, EiBI theory has been investigated in cosmological and astrophysical aspects. The density perturbations in the Friedmann universe driven by a perfect fluid were investigated in Refs. \cite{17,20,22}. The observational bound for the theory parameter $\kappa$ was obtained from the star-formation studies in Refs. \cite{22,23}; $|\kappa| < 10^{-3}m^{-1}kg^{-1}s^{-2} \sim 10^{77}$ in Planck units. A similar bound was also obtained from the study of atomic nuclei \cite{26}. Both the weak- and strong-gravity results in our work are well within this bound, as discussed in Refs. \cite{12,13}. One problem in EiBI gravity to be resolved is the surface singularity accompanied with a polytropic star \cite{28}, which arises in the similar pathology of Palatini $f(R)$ gravity theory \cite{28}. One possible way of solving this might be to consider the gravitational backreaction of the matter dynamics, as investigated in Ref. \cite{29}. This issue requires further investigation.
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In this article, we use three different time coordinates as in Refs. [10–13]. They are the cosmological time $t$ and the conformal time $\eta$ for the metric, and the conformal time $\tau$ for the auxiliary metric. At the attractor stage, we have $d\tau = d\eta$ at leading order. The perturbation fields are in the canonical form in terms of $\tau$. We begin with the background fields $a$ and $\varphi_0$ in $t$ and the perturbation fields in $\eta$, and finally study all the quantities in $\tau$. The beginning of the Universe corresponds to $\tau = 0$ ($t \rightarrow -\infty$), and the end of inflation to $\tau = \tau_0 > 0$.

Although the background Universe can begin in the past infinity in EiBI inflation, it was assumed that the initial perturbations were produced at some moment at the near-MPS stage in Refs. [10–13]. The production mechanism was described in detail in Ref. [13]. The main reasons to consider the specific moment of production are that the background scalar field may experience large quantum fluctuations in the course of evolution, so the initial conditions are to be reset at the near-MPS stage, and that the wavelength scale of the perturbation is not supposed to be smaller than the Planck scale $l_p$.

The latter condition becomes

$$\lambda_{\text{phys}} = \frac{a(\tau_\ast)}{k} \gtrsim l_p \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_\ast \gtrsim a^{-1}(k l_p) \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\kappa \psi_0}{2a_0^2} \left[ \frac{k l_p}{a_0(2\kappa)^{1/3}} \right]^{3/2} \kappa m^2},$$

where $\psi_0$ is a negative constant that appears in the near-MPS background solutions.