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Abstract
European species of the Mycetophila ruficollis group are compared on the basis of morphology and sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit one (COI) and the ITS2 region of nuclear ribosomal DNA. The study represents the first evaluation of morphology-based species delimitation of closely related fungus gnat species by applying molecular information. Detailed descriptions and illustrations of the male terminalia are presented along with a key for the identification of all nine European species of the group. Phylogenetic analyses of molecular data generally supported the morphological species discrimination. The barcoding region of COI superseded ITS2 rDNA in resolving species. In the COI barcoding region interspecific differences ranged from 2.9 to 10.6% and the intraspecific distance from 0.08 to 0.8%. Only COI data distinguished between the similar and closely related M. ichneumonea and M. uninotata of which the latter was observed to include cryptic species. The host range of some species is suggested to be narrower than previously considered and to depend on the forest type. Presented evidence indicates the importance of analysing sequence data of morphologically very similar mycetophages reared from identified host fungi for elucidating species delimitation as well as their geographic and host ranges. New country records, viz. Estonia for M. evanida, Georgia for M. ichneumonea, M. idonea and M. ruficollis, and Norway for M. strobli, widen the known distribution ranges of these species.
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Introduction

*Mycetophila* Meigen, 1803 is one of the largest and earlier described genera among fungus gnats (Diptera: Mycetophilidae). The first fungus gnat ever described is today known as *Mycetophila fungorum* (De Geer, 1776), a widespread and common species in the Palaearctic region. Since then more than 650 species from all biogeographical realms have been described in the genus (Bechev 2000, Zaitzev 2003, Oliveira and Amorim 2014). Based on morphological characters, the genus has been divided into several subgenera (particularly in the Neotropical region: cf. Lane 1955) and species-groups (particularly in the Holarctic region: cf. Laffen 1957, Laštovka 1963, 1972, Zaitzev 1999). However, neither analyses addressing the intrageneric phylogeny nor keys to all species have yet been provided, with the most exhaustive presented by Laffoon (1957) for the Nearctic species and Zaitzev (2003) for the Palaearctic species.

One of the most clearly delimited and supposedly monophyletic intrageneric subdivisions is the *M. ruficollis* Meigen species-group, introduced by Laštovka (1972). Members of the group (see Figs 1–3) are morphologically characterised by 1) mid tibiae without ventral bristles, 2) bM-Cu setose, with 8 or more setae and 3) wings with central spot only (except one Nearctic species; Laštovka and Kidd 1975). The general outline of male terminalia and absence of ventral setae on mid tibia are shared with *M. fungorum* and allied species, which are otherwise devoid of setae on bM-Cu and form another intrageneric group of species. Within the limits of the *ruficollis*-group, there are 19 currently recognised species: 17 of them are from the Holarctic (Laštovka 1972, Laštovka and Kidd 1975, Chandler and Ribeiro 1995, Wu 1997) and two from the Oriental region (Wu 1997). The records of *M. ruficollis* Meigen, 1818 in the Afrotropical region are based probably on misidentifications (cf. Matile 1980) and represent obviously undescribed species. Laštovka (1972) discussed about 30 closely related species in the group which also include supposedly undescribed species, especially those from the Oriental region, known to him at that time. Eleven Holarctic species were covered by a detailed study by Laštovka (1972) including a key to species, while seven species from the Palaearctic region were described subsequently by Laštovka and Kidd (1975), Chandler and Ribeiro (1995) and Wu (1997). All species with known biology are mycetophagous in their larval stage, colonising a variety of Agaricales, Russulales and to lesser extent Boletales, with one species reared from Polyporales (e.g. Yakovlev 1994, Ševčík 2010). However, little is known about the host range of species in this group. On the basis of published data, their larvae seem to be most frequent in fruit bodies of *Russula, Lactarius, Cortinarius* and *Pholiota*, tending to avoid species of Boletales (e.g. Hackman and Meinander 1979, Krivosheina et al. 1986, Kurina 1994, Ševčík 2010, Põldmaa et al. 2015).

The *M. ruficollis* species-group includes morphologically similar species which are reliably identifiable only by comparing details of male terminalia. While discussing intraspecific variability, Laštovka (1972) noted that in spite of variable coloration of the body, the characters in male terminalia are generally constant but vary in some details. Moreover, Laštovka and Kidd (1975) described *M. britannica* in two morphological
The Mycetophila ruficollis Meigen (Diptera, Mycetophilidae) group in Europe... forms and noted that *M. ichneumonea* may be polytypic consisting of 2–3 subspecies. This led us to suggest that the species-group may hide some undiscovered diversity, i.e. cryptic species with possibly different larval diet.
One of the most important and frequently used set of characters for delimiting cryptic species is that obtained from DNA sequence analyses. In studies of fungus gnats, DNA sequence data are so far mostly used to clarify phylogenetic relationships of subfamilies and/or genera (e.g. Rindal et al. 2009, Martinsson et al. 2011, Ševčík et al. 2014) but also to associate sexes of one species (Kurina et al. 2011) and compensate for the deficiencies of the morphological component in species identification of otherwise obscure material (Põldmaa et al. 2015). For delimiting species, molecular characters have thus far been incorporated only in the genus Neuratelia (Kurina et al. 2015). In addition to the widely used ‘DNA barcode’ fragment (COI) (e.g. Hebert et al. 2003, Hajibabaei et al. 2006), ITS2 sequences have successfully been applied for species delimitation. The aim of this study was to 1) test morphological species delimitation by the application of molecular methods, 2) present a key to European species of the *M. ruficollis* group supplemented by modern illustrations of male terminalia, and 3) search for possible cryptic species. Because this group includes morphologically extremely similar species, they are frequently being identified only to species-group level even by specialists. Our intention was to provide also a reliable DNA reference dataset that could be used in further DNA based identification of fungus gnats.

**Material and methods**

**Morphological analyses**

The study is based on material collected throughout the Europe during 1984 to 2014 mostly by Malaise traps, light traps and sweepnetting. In addition, several specimens from Lebanon and Georgia are also included. A part of the Estonian material was reared from macrofungi. For that, fruit bodies were isolated into plastic containers and covered with nylon gauze, while peat was used as a pupation substrate. Containers were incubated in a lab facility and checked every other day while emerged adults were collected by an aspirator (see also Põldmaa et al. 2015). Altogether 116 male specimens of the *M. ruficollis* group were morphologically studied.

The majority of the included material was initially collected into 70 % ethyl alcohol and studied under stereomicroscopes Olympus SZ61 or Leica S8APO. For detailed study of male terminalia they were detached and macerated in a solution of KOH followed by neutralization in acetic acid and washing in distilled water (for details see Kurina 2003). The remaining chitinous structure was thereafter separated to several anatomical units which were: 1) inserted into glycerine for study and preserved as glycerine preparations or 2) slide-mounted individually in Euparal between two pieces of coverslip allowing them to be studied from both sides under a compound microscope (for details see Hippa and Kurina 2012). The preservation method of each specimen is indicated in the material sections. The habitus photo was taken from a specimen in alcohol. All photos of male terminalia were taken from preparations in Euparal and combined by software LAS V.4.1.0. from multiple gradually focused images taken by
a camera Leica DFC 450 attached to the compound microscope Leica DM 6000 B (see also Kurina and Oliveira 2013). Morphological terminology follows generally that of Søli et al. (2000) while several specific terms of male terminalia (see Table 1) are used according to Laštovka (1972). The term “bristle” is used for a seta that is significantly larger in length and diameter than surrounding setae (see also Merz and Haenni 2000). Detailed revised descriptions of male terminalia figured by Laštovka (1972) and Laštovka and Kidd (1975), supplemented by illustrations, are provided herein.

**Table 1.** Terminology used for describing male terminalia with synonyms from earlier studies and references to corresponding figures.

| Present study                                      | Laštovka 1972, Laštovka and Kidd 1975 | Corresponding figures and used abbreviations |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| gonocoxite                                         | gonocoxopodite                        | Figs 3, 33, 34 – gc                         |
| posterior margin of gonocoxite                     | posterior margin of gonocoxopodite     | Fig. 34 – gc pm                             |
| posterior impression of gonocoxite                 | posterior impression of gonocoxopodite | Fig. 34 – gc pi                             |
| anterior impression of gonocoxite                  | anterior impression of gonocoxopodite  | Fig. 34 – gc ai                             |
| dorsal branch of gonostylus                        | dististyle                            | Fig. 3 – gst d; Figs 6–14                   |
| ventral branch of gonostylus                       | basistyle                             | Fig. 3 – gst v; Figs 15–32                  |
| posterior margin, lateral margin, basal margin and basal angle of dorsal branch of gonostylus | posterior margin, lateral margin, basal margin and basal angle of dististyle | Fig. 6 – pm, lm, bm, ba                   |
| distal posterior process and proximal posterior process of dorsal branch of gonostylus | distal posterior process and proximal posterior process of dististyle | Fig. 6 – dpp, ppp                           |
| medial bristle of dorsal branch of gonostylus      |                                       | Fig. 6 – mb                                |
| posterior process of ventral branch of gonostylus  | posterior process of basistyle        | Figs 15, 16 – pp                            |
| spines 1–4 on the ventral branch of gonostylus     | spines 1–4 on the basistyle           | Figs 15, 16 – sp 1, sp 2, sp 3, sp 4       |
| aedeagal complex                                   | intromittent organ                    | Figs 33–44                                 |
| aedeagus                                           | aedeagus                              | Figs 33, 35 – aed                          |
| ejaculatory apodeme                                 | penis tube                            | Fig. 35 – ej ap, ej ap b                   |
| rim of ejaculatory apodeme                         | rim of penis tube                     | Fig. 35 – ej ap r                          |
| aedeagal guide                                     | penis sheath                          | Fig. 33 – aed gd                           |
| lateral impression on aedeagal guide               | lateral impression on penis sheath    | Fig. 33 – aed gd li                        |
| aedeagal apodeme                                    | thecal apodeme                        | Figs 33, 35 – aed ap                       |

The following acronyms are used for depositories:

IZBE Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences [former Institute of Zoology and Botany], Tartu, Estonia;
JSPC Jukka Salmela private collection (Rovaniemi, Finland);
PCPC Peter Chandler private collection (Melksham, United Kingdom).
Molecular techniques

Based on the preliminary morphological determination, at least one male specimen from every species from each locality was allocated for DNA sequencing. For that, after detaching terminalia, the rest of the abdomen or a leg was placed in the lysis buffer, preserving the rest of the specimen. DNA was extracted by incubating the material overnight at 56 °C in 10X Reaction Buffer B (Solis Biodyne, Tartu, Estonia) with the addition of 2.5 μl (20 mg/ml) proteinase K (Fermentas, Lithuania). After 15 min at 98 °C the material was centrifuged and DNA solution pipetted into a new tube.

In 66 specimens, the 658 bp barcode region at the 5’ end of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified and sequenced with primers Lep-F1 and Lep-R1 (Hebert et al. 2004) or LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). In most of the specimens an additional 790 bp, following the barcoding region, were amplified and sequenced with primers C1-J-2195 and TL2-N-3014 (Simon et al. 1994). In 33 specimens, the second fragment of the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS2) was amplified and sequenced using primers ITS2A and ITS2B (Beebe and Saul 1995). PCR was performed in a total volume of 25μl, with the reaction mixture containing 1X HOT FIREPol®Blend Master Mix Ready to Load (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia), 10 pmol of primers and 20–80 ng of DNA. PCR was carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler epigradient thermocycler (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). The initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min was followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 45–60 °C (depending on primers) and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were visualised on a 1.2% agarose gel, and the remaining PCR product was purified with fast alkaline phosphatase and exonuclease I (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, USA). DNA sequencing was performed at Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam, Netherlands) or at the Estonian Biocentre (Tartu, Estonia). All sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KR997602–KR997703.

Phylogenetic analyses

The sequences were edited and assembled with Sequencher 5.1 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), aligned with Mafft 6 online version (Katoh and Toh 2008) and edited manually using GeneDoc 2.6.0.3. Phylogenetic analyses were performed on the separate and combined COI and ITS2 datasets. Four sequences of Mycetophila fungorum were used as an outgroup in all analyses. Bayesian analyses were performed on all three datasets using MrBayes at the Cipres website (http://www.phylo.org) with default settings of the online version and invgamma model. Each analysis was run for 10 mln generations, of which every 1000th was sampled. The first 25% of sampled trees were discarded as burn-in. Posterior probabilities were calculated from remaining 7500 trees. Parsimony analyses were performed in PAUP (Swofford 2003). During the 1000 random searches 50 best trees were kept in each search that were all used for
additional swapping. This was done until the limits of available computer memory was reached. The most parsimonious trees obtained were used to calculate the strict consensus. 1000 bootstrap replications were performed to assess branch support. Intra- and interspecific distance variance was calculated in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013) using Kimura 2-parameter model (see e.g. Waugh 2007, Õunap and Viidalepp 2009).

Results

Based on the morphology, mainly that of male terminalia, the studied material was identified to belong to all nine species of the *M. ruficollis* group known from Europe. Deviation in morphological characters of some specimens suggested that these might represent additional undescribed species. Phylogenetic analyses, based on molecular data, recognised seven well supported clades, corresponding to the morphologically distinguished species. Fresh material, suitable for molecular analyses, was not available for two European species: *M. sepulta* and *M. suffusala*. The phylogenies led to reconsideration of morphology-based identification in several specimens. Consequently, the colouration and dimensions of the gnats’ body were realised to be variable but the characters of male terminalia mostly constant within a species.

The interspecific genetic distance among species of the *M. ruficollis* group was calculated for the COI barcoding region, including 647 bp (Table 2). Interspecific differences ranged from 2.9% (between *M. ichneumonea* and *M. uninotata*) to 10.6% (between *M. evanida* and *M. idonea*), with the mean interspecific distance of 8.1%. The intraspecific distance ranged from 0.08 to 0.8% except for 2.3% in *M. uninotata*. The second region of COI, following the barcoding region, distinguished the seven analysed species based on 4.0–9.3% of interspecific variation (data not shown).

Table 2. Genetic distances between species of the *M. ruficollis* group and *M. fungorum*, used as an outgroup, quantified according to the Kimura 2-parameter model from the COI barcoding region.

|            | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 M. ichneumonea | X  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2 M. uninotata  | 2.9%| X   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3 M. strobli     | 8.2%| 8.1%| X   |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4 M. ruficollis  | 8.8%| 9.3%| 7.2%| X   |     |     |     |     |
| 5 M. evanida     | 7.3%| 7.2%| 6.7%| 7.4%| X   |     |     |     |
| 6 M. britannica  | 8%  | 7.8%| 6.9%| 8.1%| 6.6%| X   |     |     |
| 7 M. idonea      | 9.9%| 9.5%| 9.8%| 9.7%| 10.6%| 10.1%| X  |     |
| 8 M. fungorum    | 11.1%| 11.7%| 11.8%| 12.3%| 11.9%| 12.5%| 12.4%| X  |
the 37 sequences for which both data were available, the proportion of variable sites in COI (21.3%) exceeded that in ITS2 (8.4%) more than twice. In the COI dataset of 66 sequences, variable sites represented 22.6% of the total amount, with the first (barcoding) part of 647 basepairs (available for 61 sequences) including 29.9% and the following 785 basepairs (47 sequences) 22.3% of variable sites.

Phylogenetic reconstructions of COI data (Fig. 4) distinguished six strongly supported species (bootstrap support 94% to 100%, posterior probabilities 0.94-1.0) in the ingroup. However, *M. uninotata* appeared paraphyletic with three strongly sup-
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Ported lineages. The analyses of COI data also support close relationship between *M. ichneumonea* and *M. uninotata* as well as *M. evanida* and *M. britannica*. By contrast, the ITS2 trees (not shown) were much less resolved, with four well-supported groups recognised. The ITS2 phylogenies did not distinguish *M. ichneumonea* from *M. uninotata* with one *M. strobli* specimen from Italy also placed in this clade. The consensus of most parsimonious (Fig. 5) as well as the Bayesian trees calculated from 37 combined

![Figure 5. Consensus of most parsimonious trees calculated from combined COI and ITS2 rDNA sequence data of the Mycetophila ruficollis species group. Bootstrap support values are presented above the branches and posterior probability values below the branches. For gnats reared from fungal fruitbodies, the host is indicated.](image-url)
COI and ITS2 sequences were well resolved and with higher support values for most of the clades than obtained in analyses of individual gene regions. The main difference was observed in the three lineages of *M. uninotata* forming a monophyletic group, yet receiving only low support.

Members of the *M. ruficollis* species group were selected from the material of adults reared from mushrooms collected from Estonia during 1988-1990 (Kurina 1991) and extensive sampling in five pine dominated boreal forests in 2011 (Põldmaa et al. 2015) as well as scattered localities in the following years. Among the >11 000 adults reared from the pine forest material most gnats from the *M. ruficollis* species group were sequenced and identified as belonging to *M. ichneumonea*. Among the 100 fungal species, this gnat emerged only from 12 fruit bodies of *Lactarius* and 4 fruit bodies of *Cortinarius*. Only one specimen, reared from *Rhodocollybia butyracea*, represented *M. ruficollis*. The study presents new species records for Estonia (*M. evanida*), Georgia (*M. ichneumonea*, *M. idonea*, *M. ruficollis*) and Norway (*M. strobli*).

**Key to the species of the *M. ruficollis* group in Europe based on characters of male terminalia**

The key is compiled on the basis of original data, Laštovka (1972), and Laštovka and Kidd (1975).

1. 4th palpal segment wider than the 3rd and about twice as wide as 5th, and about as long as the 5th (Laštovka and Kidd 1975: Fig.1). Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially undulating or with diminutive central prominence...2
2. 4th palpal segment about as wide as the 3rd and only slightly wider than the 5th, and distinctly shorter than the 5th (Laštovka and Kidd 1975: Figs 7–15). Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially convex or with a clear prominence........................................................................................................3

2. Wing with central spot only. Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially undulating (Fig. 42). Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus almost straight (Fig. 10)........................................... *Mycetophila ruficollis* Meigen
   – Wing with central spot and apical dark shade. Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially with diminutive central prominence which is somewhat sunken into the posterior impression (Figs 47, 48). Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus with clear concavity (Fig. 13).................................
      ............................................................................................................ *Mycetophila suffusala* Chandler & Ribeiro

3. Central spot of wing narrow and indistinct (sometimes almost absent). Dorsal branch of gonostylus with: lateral margin almost stright and distal posterior process subequal to proximal posterior process, both separated by wide and deep notch (Fig. 9)......................................................... *Mycetophila sepulta* (Laffoon)
   – Central spot of wing distinct. Dorsal branch of gonostylus with different combination of characters: with clear concavity at lateral margin and/or with distal and proximal posterior processes in different height.........................4
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4 Posterior impression of gonocoxite wide and compressed with oblique lateral projections (Figs 49, 50); posterior process of ventral branch of gonostylus with well distinguished warts (Fig. 31); posterior processes of dorsal branch of gonostylus about the same height (Fig. 14)...........

Mycetophila uninotata Zetterstedt

– Posterior impression of gonocoxite cup-shaped, with vertical lateral projections; posterior process of ventral branch of gonostylus without or with only small warts; posterior processes of dorsal branch of gonostylus unequal in height .................................................................5

5 Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus with distinct and deep concavity (Fig. 9). Aedeagal guides distally divided, lateral impressions small or absent (Fig. 37).................................................................Mycetophila ichneumonea Say

– Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus without or with a shallow concavity. Aedeagal guides distally only shallowly bifurcated, lateral impressions wide..................................................................................6

6 Posterior margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus sinuate (Fig. 6). Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially concave or with indistinct convexity (Fig. 34).................................................................Mycetophila britannica Laštovka & Kidd

– Posterior margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus straight. Convexity on posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially well outlined..............................7

7 Distance between spines 2, 3 and 4 on ventral branch of gonostylus nearly equal (Figs 27, 18). Posterior process of ventral branch of gonostylus with very small warts. Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus straight or slightly convex (Fig. 7).................................Mycetophila idonea Laštovka

– Distance between spines 3 and 4 on ventral branch of gonostylus much shorter than distance between spines 3 and 2. Posterior process of ventral branch of gonostylus with warts and setulae. Lateral margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus concave .................................................................8

8 Posterior margin of gonocoxite laterally from ventromedial convexity slanting (Fig. 46). Posterior margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus proximally from medial bristle with 4 gradually diminishing rather weak bristles (Fig. 12).................................................................Mycetophila strobli Laštovka

– Posterior margin of gonocoxite laterally from ventromedial convexity straight (Fig. 40). Posterior margin of dorsal branch of gonostylus proximally from medial bristle with 5–6 gradually diminishing strong bristles (Fig. 8) .......... .................................................................Mycetophila evanida Laštovka

The species

Mycetophila britannica Laštovka & Kidd, 1975
Figs 6, 15, 16, 33, 34

Material. ITALY. 4♂ ♂, Sardinia, Alghero, near Nuraghe Palmavera, 40°35′N, 08°14′E, 63m, 21.xi.2005, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200050, IZBE0200131,
in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine; IZBE0200129, IZBE0200130, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ306, SJ313). **GREECE.** 1♂, Village Kerkini, Krousia Mts., 41°11'32,4"N, 23°03'59,5"E, 190m, 5.ix–11.ix.2007, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200132, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ326): 1♂, Elodia, Cafe site, 41°12'46,8"N, 23°05'42,9"E, 10.iii-16.iii.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200134, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ17): 1♂, Village Kerkini, Cafe Elodia, 41°12'46,8"N, 023°05'42,9"E, 40m, 25.ii–2.iii.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200135, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ323): 1♂, Village Vironia, Bebies site, 41°19'15,4"N, 23°13'39,6"E, 1150m, 9.vi–15.vi.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200146, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ39): 1♂, Village Neo Petritsi, Sultanitsa site, 41°19'02,1"N, 23°12'05,0"E, 1485m, 30.vi-6.vii.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200136, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ9): 1♂, Village Neo Petritsi, Sultanitsa site, 41°19'02,1"N, 23°12'05,0"E, 1485m, 8.ix–14.ix.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200137, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ31): 1♂, Village Promohonas, Procom, 41°22'38,1"N, 023°21'58,8"E, 60m, 25.iis-2.iii.2008, malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200138, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ324): 1♂, Village Neo Petritsi, Sultanitsa site, 41°19'02,1"N, 23°12'05,0"E, 1485m, 15.ix–21.ix.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200139, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ22): 1♂, Village Vironia, Ramna site, 41°17'42,5"N, 23°11'33,1"E, 750m, 17.xi–23.xi.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200140, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ29): 1♂, same as earlier, (IZBE0200141, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ40): 1♂, Village Vironia, Bebies site, 41°19'15,4"N, 23°13'39,6"E, 1150m, 15.ix-21.ix.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200142, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ14): 1♂, Village Vironia, Ramna site, 41°17'42,5"N, 23°11'33,1"E, 750m, 10.xi-16.xi.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200143, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ1): 1♂, Village Vironia, Ramna site, 41°17'42,5"N, 23°11'33,1"E, 750m, 8.xii–14.xii.2008, Malaise trap, G. Ramel leg. (IZBE0200144, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ36). **LEBANON.** 1♂, Kesrouane Mar Elias, 33°54’N, 35°32’E, 27.v–4.vi.2012, light trap, J. Kullberg leg. (IZBE0200145, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ315): 1♂, Kesrouane Mar Elias, 33°54’N, 35°32’E, 30.v.2012, light trap, J. Kullberg leg. (IZBE0200153, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ322).

**Male terminalia.** Posterior margin of gonocoxite slightly concave ventromedially, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression wide. Anterior impression with anteriorly evenly divergent wide arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with narrow, short and asymmetrical posterior process which bears minute warts; ventral surface with 6–9 long bristles deviating from other setosity; spine 1 and spine 2 of almost equal height and width; spine 1 sharply pointed; spine 2 blunt; spines 3 and 4 smaller, pointed and close to each other. Dorsal branch of gonostylus abruptly narrowed beyond the medial bristle; lateral margin with shallow concavity or almost
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straight; distal posterior process very small, separated from proximal posterior process by a narrow but distinct notch, both processes apically rounded. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 5 gradually diminishing bristles followed by 2 small setae. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin with few setae. Distal posterior process apically with small seta, proximal posterior process apically bare. Ejaculatory apodeme with semi-rounded or proximally truncated base and without rim. Aedeagal guides wide, apically widened, extending beyond aedeagus distally, lateral impressions wide. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.

**Intraspecific variation.** Laštovka and Kidd (1975) figured the ventromedial margin of the gonocoxite with a small convexity medially that was not observed in the studied material. They also described two different forms of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus: 1) slender and slightly narrowing beyond the medial bristle, and 2) shorter and abruptly narrowing beyond the medial bristle. The last character resembles that of *M. ruficollis, M. laffooni* Laštovka, 1972 and somewhat also *M. suffusala*. All studied specimens had the dorsal branch of the gonostylus slender, corresponding to the first form. Laštovka and Kidd (1975) found *M. britannica* to be most similar to *M. evanida*. In some studied specimens from Greece, the spine 2 on the ventral branch of the gonostylus is more massive, being longer than the spine 1. In Greek specimens the spine 2 in the ventral branch of the gonostylus is blunt while it is sharply pointed in Italian specimens.

**Hosts and distribution.** *Mycetophila britannica* has been earlier reared from *Porphyromos squamosus, Armillaria mellea, Hebeloma crustuliniforme, Russula nigricans, Hypoloma sp.* and *Lactarius resimus* (Laštovka and Kidd 1975, Yakovlev 1994, Chandler 2010), while we have studied sweepnetted and trapped material only. Having been described from the British Isles, the species is widely distributed in Western Europe extending also to Norway and the Middle East (Kjærandsen 2012, Chandler 2013). Except for a finding in Russian Karelia (Kjærandsen 2012) it is not found in Eastern Europe. According to Chandler and Ribeiro (1995) and Chandler et al. (2005), *M. britannica* is common in the Mediterranean region including Morocco.

*Mycetophila evanida* Laštovka, 1972

Figs 8, 21, 22, 39, 40

**Material.** ESTONIA. 1♂, Jõgeva county, Pataste, 58°34'52,2"N, 26°46'42,3"E, 5.x–19.x.2009, Malaise trap, J. Elts leg. (IZBE0200069, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ270); 1♂, Tartu county, Meliste, 58°19'43,8"N, 26°56'25,1"E, 4.x–18.x.2008, Malaise trap, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200070, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ230). ITALY. 1♂, Südtirol, N. Park Stilfser Joch, Unt. Tartscher Tal (S von Trafoi), 46°32'33,9"N, 10°30'17,2"E, 1630m, 27.vi-4.vii. 2005, Malaise trap, C. Lange and J. Ziegler leg. (IZBE0200071, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ53).
Male terminalia. Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially with clear convexity, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression rather wide. Anterior impression with anteriorly evenly divergent narrow arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with semicircular posterior process which bears minute warts and few setae; spine 1 slender, evenly tapering and sharply pointed; spine 2 shorter, thicker and rather blunt; spines 3 and 4 much smaller than spine 1, sharply pointed and close to each other. Dorsal branch of gonostylus steeply tapering; lateral margin with shallow concavity; distal posterior process about half as high as proximal posterior process, both separated by shallow concavity. Distal posterior process with few setae; proximal posterior process bare with 2-3 setae deviating from other setosity on its base. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 6 gradually diminishing bristles; internal surface with one somewhat stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle right-angled, basal margin with setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with subquadrate base and very wide rim. Aedeagus widened apically, apical margin slightly convex. Aedeagal guides with wide lateral impressions; apically narrow and rounded, not extending upper margin of aedeagus. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.

Intraspecific variation. In some cases the base of the ejaculatory apodeme resembles *M. idonea*, because of having its basal margin somewhat concave. The holotype has an additional small spine on the ventral branch of the gonostylus close to spines 3 and 4 (cf. Laštovka (1972: fig. 12). In studied material this spine was not observed.

Hosts and distribution. *Mycetophila evanida* has been reared from species of *Russula*, *Lactarius*, and *Tubaria* (Yakovlev 1994). The species is widely distributed in Europe extending also to the Eastern Palearctic (Laštovka 1972, Chandler 2013, Jakovlev 2014). The material from Estonia represents a new country record.

*Mycetophila ichneumonea* Say, 1823
Figs 1, 2, 3, 9, 19, 20, 37, 38

Material. FINLAND. 1♂, Sodankylä, Syväkuru, 67°25’N, 026°35’E, 21.viii.2013, sweeping, J. Salmela leg. (IZBE0200150, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ434). ESTONIA. 1♂, Tartu county, Melliste, 58°20’N, 26°59’E, 20.viii–4.ix.2008, Malaise trap, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200073, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ272); 1♂, same as earlier except 24.x–16.xi.2008 (IZBE0200074, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ226); 1♂, Saare county, Orissaare, 58°33’19”N, 23°05’12”E, 18.x–5.xi.2008, Malaise trap, H. Jää leg. (IZBE0200075, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ239); 1♂, Tartu county, Maiorg near Annikoru, 58°16’41,6”N, 26°20’03,6”E, 1.v–16.v.2009, Malaise trap, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200076, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ129); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Kaiu, 58°39’20,4”N, 26°52’43,2”E, reared from *Cortinarius traganus*, coll. 21.ix.2011, emerg. 24.x.2011, S. Jürgeenstein leg. (IZBE0200077, in alcohol); 1♂, Tartu county, Järvelja, 58°17’45”N, 27°15’41,7”E,
reared from *Lactarius helvus*, coll. 23.ix.2011, emerg. 12.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200078, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ261); 1♂, Tartu county, Järvselja, 58°17’45”N, 27°15’41,7”E, reared from *Lactarius torrinosus*, coll. 23.ix.2011, emerg. 10.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200079, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ223); 1♂, Põlva county, Viira, 58°0’0", 27°11’E, reared from *Cortinarius hemitrichus*, coll. 10.x.2012, emerg. 31.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200081, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ335); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Kali, 58°39’20,4”N, 26°52’43,2”E, reared from *Cortinarius armeniacus*, coll. 1.x.2012, emerg. 29.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200082, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ337); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Kali, 58°39’20,4”N, 26°52’43,2”E, reared from *Cortinarius depressus*, coll. 1.x.2012, emerg. 31.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200083, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ338); 1♂, Lääne county, Haapsalu, 58°57’N, 23°32’E, reared from *Lactarius saturninus*, coll. 25.ix.2012, emerg. 22.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200085, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ342); 1♂, Võru county, Rõuge, 57°44’N, 26°55’E, reared from *Cortinarius subgenus Telamonia*, coll. 3.ix.2012, emerg. 21.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200086, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ341); 1♂, Tartu county, Uniküla, 58°16’N, 26°55’E, reared from *Cortinarius dolabratus*, coll. 2.x.2012, emerg. 2.xi.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200087, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ345); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Kõduküla, 58°34’N, 26°31’E, reared from *Lactarius deterrimus*, coll. 15.ix.2011, emerg. 12.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200090, in alcohol); 1♂, Põlva county, Ihamaru, 58°06’00,40”N, 26°55’55,45”E, reared from *Lactarius rufus*, coll. 18.ix.2011, emerg. 5.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200093, in alcohol); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Kali, 58°39’20,4”N, 26°52’43,2”E, reared from *Cortinarius alborufescens*, coll. 21.ix.2011, emerg. 6.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200096, in alcohol).
26°52′43.2″E, reared from Cortinarius sp., coll. 21.ix.2011, emerg. 12.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200098, in alcohol); 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01′42.6″N, 26°04′29.3″E, reared from Lactarius helvus, coll. 16.ix.2011, emerg. 12.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200099, in alcohol); 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01′42.6″N, 26°04′29.3″E, reared from Lactarius rufus, coll. 16.ix.2011, emerg. 10.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200101, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine); 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01′42.6″N, 26°04′29.3″E, reared from Lactarius rufus, coll. 16.ix.2011, emerg. 6.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200102, in alcohol); 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01′42.6″N, 26°04′29.3″E, reared from Lactarius rufus, coll. 16.ix.2011, emerg. 12.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200103, in alcohol); 1♂, Võru county, Rõuge, 57°44′N, 26°55′E, reared from Cortinarius alborufesens, coll. 3.ix.2012, emerg. 24.ix.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200104, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ344); 1♂, Lääne county, Haapsalu, 58°57′N, 23°32′E, reared from Cortinarius cottoneus, coll. 25.ix.2012, emerg. 17.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200105, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ343); 1♂, Lääne county, Vormsi 59°0′N, 23°15′E, reared from Russula vinosa, coll. 25.viii.1991, emerg. 7.ix.1991, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200106, pinned); 1♂, Pärnu county, Nigula NR, 58°0′41″N, 24°40′60″E, reared from Megacollybia platyphylla, coll. 5.viii.1990, emerg. 17.viii.1990, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200107, pinned); 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01′42.6″N, 26°04′29.3″E, reared from Lactarius helvus, coll. 16.ix.2011, emerg. 5.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200156, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ147; published earlier by Põldmaa et al. 2015); 1♂, Pärnu county, Ilamaru, 58°06′00″N, 26°55′55″, 45″E, reared from Lactarius camphoratus, coll. 18.ix.2011, emerg. 10.x.2011, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200157, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ148). SLOVAKIA. 1♂, NP Slovensky kras, Silickā Ladnica, 48°33′00″N, 020°30′14″, 40″E, 505m, 3.vi.2009, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200111, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ318). GEORGIA. 1♂, Surami, 42°01′34.2″N, 43°29′52.5″E, 941m, 18.v.2012, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200112, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ66); 1♂, Bakuriani, 41°45′46.2″N, 43°30′16.7″E, 1626m, 31.viii.2014, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200242, slide mounted in Euparal); 1♂, same as earlier except 1.ix.2014 (IZBE0200243, in alcohol); 3♂♂, Bakuriani 1.2 km-W, 41°44′13.0″N, 43°30′45.1″E, 1741m, 1.ix.2014, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200244–IZBE0200246, in alcohol).

Male terminalia. Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially with shallow convexity, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression with
The Mycetophila ruficollis Meigen (Diptera, Mycetophilidae) group in Europe...

31 narrow base and well widened posterior part. Anterior impression with anteriorly evenly divergent narrow arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with symmetrical semi-oval posterior process, which bears minute warts and few setae on ventral surface; spine 1 wide, sharply pointed; spine 2 similar or somewhat longer but more slender; spines 3 and 4 about twice as small, evenly tapering and with about equal distance between each other and spine 2. Ventral branch of gonostylus with 4–5 strong bristles deviating from other setosity laterally on ventral surface. Dorsal branch of gonostylus steeply tapering; lateral margin with deep concavity; proximal posterior process about twice as high as distal posterior process, both separated by deep concavity. Distal posterior process with apical small setula and with a basal strong seta deviating from other setosity; proximal posterior process apically rounded with 1–2 strong basal setae deviating from other setosity. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 4–5 gradually diminishing bristles followed by 3–4 smaller setae; internal surface with a stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle clearly outlined, angular or somewhat rounded; basal margin with few setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with campanulate base and without rim. Aedeagus oval or cross shaped. Aedeagal guides extending over apical part of aedeagus; apically rounded and divided into two lamellae; with lateral impressions very small. Aedeagal apodemes laterally slightly angular or arched and pointed apically.

Intraspecific variation. In some cases the lateral margin of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus is shallower, and the distal posterior process and the posterior margin of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus resemble those of M. uninotata. The spine 2 on the ventral branch of the gonostylus compared to the spine 1 can be more prominent than described by Laštovka (1972).

Hosts and distribution. Known from many species of Agaricales and Russulales (Kurina 1991, Yakovlev 1994, Chandler 2010, Ševčík 2010). Our material from pine dominated boreal forests in Estonia indicates specialisation to Lactarius (Russulales) and Cortinarius (Agaricales). Widely distributed in Europe extending also to the Eastern Palaearctic, the Middle East and the Nearctic region (Laštovka 1972, Chandler 2013). The material from Georgia represents a new country record.

Mycetophila idonea Laštovka, 1972
Figs 7, 17, 18, 35, 36

Material. ESTONIA. 1♂, Valga county, Soontaga, 58°01’42,6"N, 26°04’29,3"E, reared from Amanita citrina, coll. 17.ix.2013, emerg. 2.x.2013, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200158, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ386). POLAND. 2♂♂, Białowieża 2 km SW, village Gródek, 52°41’02,8"N, 23°49’33,1"E, 17.viii.2007, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200027, IZBE0200035, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ54, SJ56). SLOVAKIA. 1♂, Muranska planina, near Klak, 48°46’53"N, 019°59’21,3"E, 1211m, 28.v.2009, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200047, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ317). GEORGIA. 1♂, Dgnali NE
of Zhinvali, 42°13’25,9”N, 44°40’12,1”E, 914m, 15.v.2012, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200055, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ69); 2♂♂, Marelisi SW of Surami, 41°57’56,0”N, 43°17’20,7”E, 412m, 19.v.2012, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200056, IZBE0200057, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ72, SJ78); 1♂, Kintrishi NP, 41°45’11,7”N, 041°58’38,4”E, 453m, 21.v.2013, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200058, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ327); 1♂, Kintrishi NP, 41°45’11,7”N, 041°58’38,4”E, 453m, 22.v.2013, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200149, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ316); 1♂, Saguramo N of Tbilisi, 41°53’04,3”N, 44°46’46,5”E, 915m, 15.v.2012, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200059, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ64); 1♂, same as earlier, (IZBE0200060, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerin); 1♂, Saguramo N of Tbilisi, 41°53’08,0”N, 44°46’44,2”E, 889m, 4.ix.2014, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200237, slide mounted in Euparal); 1♂, Lagotekhi, 41°49’N, 46°17’E, 15.vi–25.vi.2014, Malaise trap, G. Japoshvili leg. (IZBE0200238, in alcohol with terminalia slide mounted in Euparal).

**Male terminalia.** Posterior margin of gonocoxite with slight convexity ventromedially, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression with considerably narrow base and widened posterior part. Anterior impression with narrow, anteriorly evenly divergent arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with posterior process wide and shallow (asymmetrical), with minute warts; spine 1 and spine 2 almost the same high; spine 1 slender, evenly tapering and sharply pointed; spine 2 thicker and blunt; spines 3 and 4 smaller, blunt, with about equal distance between each other and spine 2. Dorsal branch of gonostylus evenly tapering, lateral margin without concavity; distal posterior process about half as high as proximal posterior process, both separated by deep concavity. Distal posterior process bears apical and subapical setae, proximal posterior process bare and apically rounded. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 3-4 gradually diminishing bristles; internal surface with one somewhat stronger seta next to the medial bristle; otherwise the setosity has no special arrangement. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin with few setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with concave base and narrow rim. Aedeagus widened apically and truncated. Aedeagal guides with well outlined, wide lateral impressions; apically widened and rounded covering edges of aedeagus. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.

**Intraspecific variation.** In some specimens from Georgia and Poland the base of the ejaculatory apodeme is blunt, resembling that of *M. strobi*. In a few cases the spine 2 on the ventral branch of the gonostylus is slender.

**Hosts and distribution.** *Mycetophila idonea* has been reared from about 65 species of Agaricales and Russulales and also from *Boletus impolitus* (Yakovlev, 1994). The species is widely recorded from Europe extending to the Middle East and Eastern Palaearctic (Chandler 2013). The species was erroneously reported as overwintering in Estonian caves by Kurina (1996); after critical study, the material was found to belong to *M. uninotata*. However, the occurrence in Estonia is confirmed by a new rearing record presented herein. The material from Georgia represents a new country record.
Mycetophila ruficollis Meigen, 1818
Figs 10, 23, 24, 41, 42

Material. POLAND. 1♂, Białowieża 2 km SW, Gródek, 52°41’02.8″N, 23°49’33,1″E, 17.viii.2007, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200119, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ55).

ESTONIA. 1♂, Tartu county, Maiorg near Annikoru, 58°16’41,6″N, 26°20’03,6″E, 17.ix.–2.x.2008, Malaise trap, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200120, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ229);

1♂, Jõgeva county, Pataste, 58°34’52,2″N, 26°46’42,3″E, 18.x–30.x.2008, Malaise trap, J. Elts leg. (IZBE0200121, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ268);

1♂, Lääne county, Oonga, 58°0’41″N, 24°40’60″E, reared from Armillaria mellea, coll. 8.ix.1994, emerg. 21.ix.1994, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200123, pinned);

1♂, Saare county, Abruka, 58°9’50″N, 22°30’14″E, reared from Megacollybia platyphylla, coll. 11.ix.1991, emerg. 27.ix.1991, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200124, pinned);

1♂, Tartu county, Järveljä, 58°17’45″N, 27°15’41,7″E, reared from Pholiota aurivella, coll. 4.ix.1989, emerg. 25.ix.1989, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200125, pinned);

1♂, Tartu county, Järveljä, 58°17’45″N, 27°15’41,7″E, reared from Entoloma sp., coll. 27.viii.1989, emerg. 11.ix.1989, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200126, pinned);

1♂, Jõgeva county, Kõduküla, 58°34′N, 26°31’E, reared from Rhodocollybia butyracea, coll. 15.ix.2012, emerg. 2.x.2012, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200127, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ339);

1♂, Saare county, Abruka, 58°9’50″N, 22°30’14″E, 21.ix.2013 reared from Armillaria borealis, coll. 21.ix.2013, emerg. 4.x.2013, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200128, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ382).

GEORGIA. 1♂, Lagotekhi, 41°49’N, 46°17’E, 15.vi–25.vi.2014, Malaise trap, G. Japoshvili leg. (IZBE0200247, in alcohol).

Male terminalia. Posterior margin of gonocoxite undulate or slightly concave ventromedially, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression wide and uncompressed. Anterior impression with wide arms which are abruptly divergent anteriorly. Ventral branch of gonostylus with short and semicircular posterior process, with minute warts; ventral surface with long and slender bristles deviating from other setosity; spine 1 slender, sharply pointed, about half as wide as spine 2; spine 2 blunt, about half as high as spine 1; spines 3 and 4 smaller, sharply pointed, close to each other. Dorsal branch of gonostylus abruptly narrowed beyond the medial bristle. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 7–9 gradually diminishing bristles; internal surface with a stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Lateral margin without concavity, almost straight. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin with few setae. Distal posterior process very shallow, almost unnoticeable; proximal posterior process high and massive, apically rounded. Distal posterior process with setae, proximal posterior process apically bare. Dorsal surface with a distinct band of setae from base of posterior processes to basal angle. Ejaculatory apodeme proximally narrows, with campanulate base and narrow rim. Aedeagal guides wide, apically widened and rounded, not extending beyond aedeagus distally; lateral impressions wide. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.
Intraspecific variation. The combination of wide 4th and considerably short 5th palpal segments, the ventroapical margin of the gonocoxite without any medial projections and the apically abruptly narrowed dorsal branch of the gonostylus are unique among European species of the group.

Hosts and distribution. Mycetophila ruficollis is reared from 35 species of macrofungi (Yakovlev 1994). However, some of the rearing records in the literature may possibly refer to entire group: e.g. records from Lactarius and Russula by Ribeiro (1990) and some records in Yakovlev (1994). Our records reveal saprotrophic members of the Agaricales as the host of this species. The species is widely distributed in Europe (Chandler 2013, Jakovlev 2014, Kjærandsen 2012) extending also to the Middle East and Eastern Palaearctic (Chandler 2013). The material from Georgia represents a new country record.

Mycetophila sepulta Laffoon, 1957
Figs 11, 25, 26, 43, 44

Material. UNITED KINGDOM. 1♂, Berks, California, Country Park, 1.xi.2001, sweeping, P. J. Chandler leg. (PCPC, pinned, terminalia slide mounted in Euparal); 1♂, Oxon, Spartum Fen, 15.x.1999, sweeping, P. J. Chandler leg. (PCPC, pinned, terminalia slide mounted in Euparal).

Male terminalia. Posterior margin of gonocoxite with clear convexity ventromedially, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression considerably narrow at base but well widening posteriorly. Anterior impression with anteriorly evenly divergent narrow arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with posterior process narrow, asymmetrical and high, with minute warts; spine 1 very slender and sharply pointed; spine 2 somewhat wider than spine 1, but also pointed and of same length; spines 3 and 4 smaller, pointed and rather close to each other. Dorsal branch of gonostylus slightly tapering, somewhat constricted at the medial bristle; lateral margin without or with very shallow concavity; distal posterior process and proximal posterior process almost of same height, apically rounded, separated by a rather wide notch. Distal posterior process with apical seta, proximal posterior process subapically with 3 setae. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 4-5 gradually diminishing bristles followed by 2-3 setae; internal surface with a stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin with few setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with heart-shaped base and with very narrow or barely visible rim. Aedeagus apically concave. Aedeagal guides rather wide, apically widened, not extending beyond aedeagus distally, lateral impressions wide. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.

Intraspecific variation. In comparison with figures by Laštovka and Kidd (1975: fig. 30), the studied specimens have the distal posterior process of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus higher and the notch between the processes more clearly outlined. Laffoon (1957) mentioned M. sepulta to be closely allied to M. ichneumonea, M. ruficollis and M. parvimaculata Van Duzee, 1928.
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Hosts and distribution. The species, described from North America, has rather scattered distribution in Western Europe, extending to Sweden (Chandler 2013, Kjærandsen 2012). In North America, it has a wide distribution from Alaska to California and Texas (Laffoon 1957). The only rearing record is that by Laštovka and Kidd (1975) from Hypholoma elongatum.

Mycetophila stroбли Laštovka, 1972

Figs 12, 27, 28, 45, 46

Material. NORWAY. 1♂, Troms, Svensby, 69°40’01,2”N, 019°49’58,8”E, 18.vii.2008, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200061, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ305). ESTONIA. 1♂, Tartu county, Vapramäe, 58°15’9,28”N, 26°27’46,1”E, reared from Russula delica (No 1057), coll. 8.ix.1995, emerg. 21.ix.1995, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200239, pinned with terminalia in Euparal); 1♂, Pärnu county, Nigula NR, 58°9’N, 24°58’E, reared from Lactarius terminosus, coll. 22.viii.1993, emerg. 2.ix.1993, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200240, pinned with terminalia in glycerine); 2♂♂, Tartu county, Mustametsa, Välgi, 58°36’53,09”N, 26°53’56,1”E, reared from Russula delica, coll. 1.x.2013, emerg. 14.x.2013 and 16.x.2014, S. Jürgenstein leg. (IZBE0200064, IZBE0200063, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ379, SJ380); 1♂, Saare county, Muhu, Igaküla, 58°36’3,5”N, 23°07’42”E, 4.x–18.x.2008, Malaise trap, H. Jäe leg. (IZBE0200068, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ57).

Male terminalia. Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventromedially with clear angular convexity, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression with narrow base and well widened posterior part. Anterior impression with divergent arms which are sinuate at anterior fourth. Ventral branch of gonostylus with asymmetrical posterior process which bears minute warts and few setae; spine 1 short and sharply pointed; spine 2 blunt, almost twice as high and thick as spine 1; spines 3 and 4 sharply pointed, close to each other and similar in size to spine 1. Ventral branch of gonostylus with a few strong bristles deviating from other setosity laterally on ventral
surface. Dorsal branch of gonostylus slightly tapering, lateral margin with shallow concavity; proximal posterior process about three times as high as distal posterior process, both separated with a shallow concavity. Distal posterior process apically and subapically with few setae; proximal posterior process bare and apically rounded. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 4-6 gradually diminishing bristles; internal surface with one somewhat stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin bare. Ejaculatory apodeme with semi-oval base and wide rim. Aedeagus slightly widened apically and with apical margin convex. Aedeagal guides with wide lateral impressions; subapically constricted and apically rounded, not extending upper margin of aedeagus. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.

**Intraspecific variation.** Occasionally the spine 2 on the ventral branch of the gonostylus is somewhat slender and pointed.

**Hosts and distribution.** *Mycetophila strobli* has been reared from species of *Russula, Lactarius, Suillus, Collybia, Armillaria, Kuehneromyces* and *Cortinarius* (Yakovlev 1994, original data). The species is widely distributed in Europe extending to the Middle East (Chandler 2013). The material from Norway represents a new country record.

*Mycetophila suffusala* Chandler & Ribeiro, 1995

Figs 13, 29, 30, 47, 48

**Material.** PORTUGAL. 2♂♂, Madeira, Queimadas, 10.ix–11.ix.1986, P. Ohm leg. (PCPC, pinned with terminalia in Euparal). SPAIN. 2♂♂, Tenerife, near top of west ridge at Izaña, 2350m a.s.l., 29.iii.1984, N.P. Ashmole leg. (PCPC, pinned with terminalia in Euparal).

**Male terminalia.** Posterior margin of gonocoxite ventrally straight except for diminutive central prominence, which is somewhat sunken into the posterior impression, and with abrupt and blunt projections laterally. Posterior impression wide and uncompressed. Anterior impression with evenly divergent arms anteriorly. Ventral branch of gonostylus with posterior process wide and angular, with minute warts; ventral surface with long and slender bristles deviating from other setosity; spine 1 sharply pointed, about as wide as spine 2; spine 2 geniculate, blunt, about as high as spine 1; spines 3 and 4 smaller, sharply pointed, close to each other. Dorsal branch of gonostylus abruptly narrowed beyond the medial bristle; lateral margin with concavity; distal posterior process very shallow, almost unnoticeable; proximal posterior process high and massive, apically rounded. Distal posterior process with setae, proximal posterior process apically bare. Dorsal surface with an indistinct band of setae from base of posterior processes to basal angle; the setae near basal angle are deviating from other setosity. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 10–13 gradually diminishing bristles; internal surface with a stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle slightly rounded, basal margin with few setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with rectangular base and without rim. Aedeagus ovoid, with apical concavity. Aedeagal guides wide, apically hooked, extending beyond aedeagus distally, lateral impressions wide. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.
Intraspecific variation. Because of its larger size and details of the maxillary palpus, the species resembles *M. ruficollis* and by general structure of the male terminalia also *M. britannica*. However, the dark apical shade on the wing and details of the terminalia allow it to be safely distinguished.

Hosts and distribution. So far recorded only from Madeira and the Canary Islands (Chandler and Ribeiro 1995). Hosts unknown.

**Mycetophila uninotata** Zetterstedt, 1852
Figs 14, 31, 32, 49, 50

**Material.** **NORWAY.** 2♂, Troms, Svensby, 69°40′01.2″N, 019°49′58.8″E, 18.vii. 2008, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200113, IZBE0200072, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ303, SJ304). **FINLAND.** 3♂♂, Sodankylä, Paistipuolento, 75°31′15″N, 34°66′98.8″E, 1.vi–29.vi.2009, sweeping, J. Salmela leg. (IZBE0200114, IZBE0200115, IZBE0200116, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ436, SJ438, SJ439). **ESTONIA.** 1♂, Põlva county, Piusa cave, 57°54′N, 27°28′E, 1.i.1996, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200241, pinned with terminalia in glycerine); 1♂, Jõgeva county, Pataste, 58°34′52.2″N, 26°46′42.3″E, 10.ix–20.ix.2008, Malaise trap, J. Elts leg. (IZBE0200151, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ243); 1♂, Harju county, Üksnurme, 59°17′42.5″N, 24°37′41.1″E, 22.i–12.x.2008, Malaise trap, E. Ilumäe leg. (IZBE0200117, in alcohol with terminalia in glycerine; abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ227). **SLOVAKIA.** 1♂, NP Slovensky kras, Silická Ladnica, 48°33′00.0″N, 020°30′14.4″E, 505m, 4.vi.2009, sweeping, O. Kurina leg. (IZBE0200118, in alcohol, abdomen used for DNA sequence: SJ320).

**Male terminalia.** Posterior margin of gonoxite with shallow convexity ventromedially, and with blunt and oblique projections laterally. Posterior impression very wide and compressed, with emarginated anterior margin. Anterior impression with anteriorly evenly divergent narrow arms. Ventral branch of gonostylus with asymmetrical, narrow and elongated posterior process with dense and long warts; spine 1 sharply pointed; spine 2 about the same size, pointed; spines 3 and 4 smaller, pointed, closer to each other than to spine 2. Dorsal branch of gonostylus steeply tapering; lateral margin with shallow concavity; distal posterior process and proximal posterior process about the same height, both separated by a deep notch. Distal posterior process with 1–2 apical small setae and one bigger subapical seta; proximal posterior process bare and angular. Posterior margin proximally from medial bristle with 3–4 bigger gradually diminishing bristles followed by 2–3 smaller setae; internal surface with a stronger seta next to the medial bristle. Basal angle almost right-angled; basal margin with few setae. Ejaculatory apodeme with campanulate base and without rim. Aedeagus mostly triangular-shaped, apically widened. Aedeagal guides: 1) with two lamellae, 2) with wide and shallow lateral impressions, and 3) apically rounded, not extending beyond aedeagus distally. Aedeagal apodemes laterally angular.
Figures 6–14. Dorsal branch of gonostylus. 6 M. britannica 7 M. idonea 8 M. evanida 9 M. ichneumonea 10 M. ruficollis 11 M. sepulta 12 M. strobli 13 M. suffusala 14 M. uninotata. Scale bar = 0.1 mm. Abbreviations: ba = basal angle; bm = basal margin; lm = lateral margin; pm = posterior margin; mb = medial bristle; dpp = distal posterior process; ppp = proximal posterior process.
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Figures 15–20. Ventral branch of gonostylus, ventral view (15, 17, 19) and internal view (16, 18, 20). 15, 16 *M. britannica* 17, 18 *M. idonea* 19, 20 *M. ichneumonea*. Scale bar = 0.05 mm. Abbreviations: pp = posterior process; sp = posterior spines on the ventral branch of gonostylus.
Figures 21–26. Ventral branch of gonostylus, ventral view (21, 23, 25) and internal view (22, 24, 26).
21, 22 *M. evanida* 23, 24 *M. ruficollis* 25, 26 *M. sepulta*. Scale bar = 0.05 mm.
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Figures 27–32. Ventral branch of gonostylus, ventral view (27, 29, 31) and internal view (28, 30, 32).
27, 28 M. strobli 29, 30 M. suffusala 31, 32 M. uninotata. Scale bar = 0.05 mm.
Figures 33–38. Gonocoxites with aedeagal complex, dorsal view (33, 35, 37) and ventral view (34, 36, 38). 33, 34 *M. britannica* 35, 36 *M. idonea* 37, 38 *M. ichneumonea*. Scale bar = 0.2 mm. Abbreviations: aed = aedeagus; aed ap = aedeagal apodeme; aed gd = aedeagal guide; aed gd li = lateral impression on the aedeagal guide; ej ap = ejaculatory apodeme; ej ap b = base of ejaculatory apodeme; ej tb r = rim of ejaculatory apodeme; gc = gonocoxite; gc ai = anterior impression of gonocoxite; gc pi = posterior impression of gonocoxite; gc pm = posterior margin of gonocoxite.
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Figures 39–44. Gonocoxites with/aedeagal complex, dorsal view (39, 41, 43) and ventral view (40, 42, 44). 39, 40 M. evanida 41, 42 M. ruficollis 43, 44 M. sepulta. Scale bar = 0.2 mm.
Intraspecific variation. The wide and compressed posterior impression of the gonocoxite, the distinct warts on the posterior process of the ventral branch of gonostylus and almost equal posterior processes of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus al-
low the species to be safely distinguished. In Finnish material, spine 2 on the ventral branch of the gonostylus is more massive than described by Laštovka (1972). In some specimens the base of the ejaculatory apodeme and the lateral margin of the dorsal branch of the gonostylus resemble those of *M. ichneumonea*. Phylogenetic analysis based on molecular data revealed three different clades (Fig. 4) that cannot be distinguished based on morphology (see also Discussion).

**Hosts and distribution.** *Mycetophila uninotata* has been reared from species of *Collybia, Cortinarius* and *Lactarius* (Yakovlev 1994). Widely distributed in Central and Northern Europe but seems to be absent in the Mediterranean (Chandler 2013). The distribution gap between Central and Eastern Europe (e.g. absence in Poland, Belarus, Ukraine) can be explained by insufficient collecting.

**Discussion**

This study represents the first evaluation of morphology-based species delimitation of fungus gnats by applying DNA sequence data. Results of the analyses, based on molecular data obtained for seven out of the nine European species from the *M. ruficollis* group, mostly supported the morphological species delimitation outlined by Laštovka (1972) and Laštovka and Kidd (1975). The genetic distance between members of different species, calculated from the COI barcoding region exceeded 2.9%, with intraspecific distance remaining below 1%. Our results are thus in accordance with inter- and intraspecific variation in different insect orders, documented to be on average over 2% and under 1%, respectively (e.g. Hebert et al. 2004, 2010). Only in *M. uninotata* the intraspecific distance was 2.3%, whereas three strongly supported clades were distinguished within this species in the phylogenetic trees. This evidence suggests that the current circumscription of *M. uninotata* includes two to three cryptic species. However, we were not able to find any morphological differences distinguishing these clades, each of which includes geographically distant material. More samples are needed to evaluate the genetic heterogeneity within *M. uninotata*.

The barcoding region of COI provided a clear barcoding gap for the distinction of all species, except for those in the described subclade of *M. ichneumonea* and *M. uninotata* that seems to include several recently differentiated species. In general, both the inter- and intraspecific variation remained lower than observed in other groups of insects (Angélica et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2012, Schwarzfeld and Sperling 2014). The comparison of the three regions sequenced from two genes revealed that the variation in the barcoding region of COI was slightly higher than in the following stretch of comparable length. The latter has been widely used in taxonomic studies on fungus gnats, focusing on higher taxonomic levels (Rindal et al. 2009, Ševčík et al. 2013). Here we showed its applicability also for species discrimination. By contrast, ITS2 rDNA that has become widely used in delimitation of insect taxa (e.g. Rokas et al. 2002, Schwarzfeld and Sperling 2014, Haarto and Ståhls 2014), included much less variation than each of the COI regions. Analyses of ITS2 rDNA data also resulted in
lower phylogenetic resolution with some of the species remaining unresolved. Outperformance of COI over ITS2 has been observed also in Ichneumonidae (Schwarzfeld and Sperling 2014) and Mycetophilidae (Kurina et al. 2015).

Identification of gnats in the *M. ruficollis* group on the basis of morphological characters is complicated due to considerable intraspecific, yet only limited interspecific variation, mostly observed only upon examination of male genitalia. It was found to be most difficult to distinguish *M. strobli* and *M. uninotata* from *M. ichneumonea*. Also Laštovka (1972) mentioned that *M. uninotata* is most similar to *M. ichneumonea*. The phylogenetic analyses revealed close relationship of *M. uninotata* and *M. ichneumonea*, with lowest distance between the COI barcodes of their members. The difficulties in identification are obviously caused by high variation within the current concept of *M. uninotata*, suggested here to comprise cryptic species. COI data revealed *M. strobli* as more distant, but it appeared indistinguishable from *M. ichneumonea* based on ITS2 sequences. The morphologically distinct members of geographically separated populations, observed in some species, were not distinguished in molecular analyses. For example, some specimens of *M. idonea* from Georgia and Poland differed morphologically from their conspecifics but appeared homogeneous in the sequence data. Regarding geographic ranges, five out of the nine European species (viz. *M. evanida*, *M. ichneumonea*, *M. strobli*, *M. ruficollis* and *M. uninotata*) are widely distributed in the region while the rest of them (viz. *M. britannica*, *M. idonea*, *M. sepulta* and *M. suffusala*) have more scattered or endemic distribution patterns. Our study adds five new country records (viz. *M. evanida* from Estonia, *M. strobli* from Norway, and *M. ichneumonea*, *M. idonea* and *M. ruficollis* from Georgia) which widen the known distribution ranges, yet not changing the known patterns.

Our results suggest that several of the species in the *M. ruficollis* group have distinct host ranges. Thus far the larval stages of all European species in the group, except for *M. suffusala*, had been reported to feed from fruit bodies of a variety of mushrooms (Hackman and Meinander 1979, Yakovlev 1994, Chandler and Ribeiro 1995, Chandler 2010, Ševčík 2010), without preference for any fungal taxa. However, our data support the tendency apparent from literature records suggesting that gnats in the *M. ruficollis* group do not or only occasionally consume fruit bodies of the Boletales. Our results do not agree with the suggestion by Laštovka (1972) that polytypic *M. ichneumonea* consists of two to three subspecies. However, taken the difficulties in distinguishing this species from the *M. uninotata* complex, as reported here, it is possible that previous authors have had a mixture of species under consideration.

Combining morphological and molecular characters for identification of fungus gnats reared from identified fungal fruit bodies provides unique information of host use (Põldmaa et al. 2015). Most of the fungus gnats from the *M. ruficollis* group reared during recent years in Estonia from more than 680 fruit bodies represented *M. ichneumonea*. While not of frequent occurrence, *M. ichneumonea* was reared mostly from fruit bodies of two phylogenetically distant genera: *Lactarius* and *Cortinarius*. Such ‘disjunct host range’ is typical for several phytophagous insects (e.g. Bernays and Chapman 1994, Janz and Nylin 1997) but has so far not been described for mycetophages. Molecular
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Data confirmed the conspecificity of adults reared from these two host genera with no host-related variation observed in the ITS2 or COI sequences. Other species, obtained by rearing of adults, were generally feeding on hosts from other fungal genera. While larvae of *M. ruficollis* consumed mostly saprotrophic mushrooms, *M. idonea* was reared from a single fruit body of *Amanita*. *Mycetophila strobli* seemed to prefer species from the earliest diverged lineages in the genus *Russula*, recognised as the subgenus *Compactae* by most authors. Taken the extensive rearing experiments from diverse mushroom taxa collected from the pine-dominated boreal forests we consider the observed host use patterns to represent fungus gnats’ specialisation in this habitat. Apparently, different fungi can serve as (preferred) hosts of members of the *M. ruficollis* group in other forest types.

Identification of closely related fungus gnats, as reported here in the *M. ruficollis* group, relies to large extent on a few morphological characters, mostly those of male terminalia. Blurred by intraspecific variation and the lack of such features in females, unambiguous identification is often impossible. Molecular data overcomes these obstacles and should be considered in species delimitation of fungus gnats. For that purpose, we advocate the use of the barcoding region of COI. Special value should be given to sequencing adults reared from identified fungi as these enable to elucidate host as well as geographic range of individual species of fungus gnats.
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