A nonimmune function of T cells in promoting lung tumor progression
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INTRODUCTION

The function of T cells within tumors has been a subject of intense research, in part because of the clinical success of blocking antibodies against inhibitory molecules on the surface of effector T cells. Furthermore, an increased presence of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells and a high ratio of CD8+ to Foxp3-expressing regulatory T (T reg) cells has been linked to improved clinical outcomes (Gooden et al., 2011; Fridman et al., 2012). Studies in this area centered primarily on the ability of αβ T cells to respond to tumor antigens and mount an antitumor immune response resulting in tumor elimination. In analogy with infectious agents, tumors can escape T cell–mediated control through antigen down-regulation or mutation. In addition, the tumor microenvironment (TME) can limit antitumoral T cell responses in several ways, including impaired antigen presentation and immunomodulation. T reg cells suppress antitumoral T cell responses, and T reg cell depletion has been shown to restrain tumor growth in several cancer models in mice (Klages et al., 2010; Bos et al., 2013; Pastille et al., 2014).

Although much attention has been directed toward studying how conventional T cells respond to tumor antigens to limit tumor growth, and how restoring and boosting T cell responsiveness can result in effective cancer therapy, recent findings that T cells can also participate in tissue repair suggest that they may affect tumor growth in additional ways (Hofmann et al., 2012; Burzyn et al., 2013; Arpaia et al., 2015; Nosbaum et al., 2016; Sadtler et al., 2016). We hypothesized that CD4+ T cells can support tumor growth through tissue repair–promoting activity in a manner that is independent of elaboration or suppression of antitumoral immune response. To test this hypothesis, we characterized the T cell populations within transplantable lung tumors in mice. We found that amphiregulin (Areg), an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) ligand with important roles in organ development and tissue repair, was up-regulated in T reg cells. These observations suggest a novel "nonimmune" functional modality for intratumoral T reg cells in promoting tumor growth through the production of factors normally involved in tissue repair and maintenance.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Activated T reg cells accumulate within lung tumors and promote tumor growth
To explore potential effects of intratumoral T cell subsets in promoting the progression of tumors in nonlymphoid organs, we first compared the dynamics, phenotype, and function of T cell populations in lung tumors and normal tissue. Analysis of mice transplanted with syngeneic LLC and EO771 tumor cells, which grow aggressively in the lung to form macroscopic nodules at ~14 d postinjection and typically lead to terminal disease by 28 d, showed increasing density of T reg cells and CD4+ and CD8+ effector cells in developing tumors (Fig. 1 A). Despite progressive decline in absolute T cell numbers likely caused by tumor necrosis, the percentage of intratumoral Foxp3+ T reg cells among all CD4+ T cells was increased relative to normal lung (Fig. 1 B). Consistently, T reg cells were highly proliferative, as determined by increased Ki-67 expression, and displayed an activated phenotype characterized by high levels of CTLA-4, PD-1, and GITR.

To assess a role of T reg cells in supporting LLC tumor growth, Foxp3ΔTcr mice, in which T reg cells express the receptor for diphtheria toxin (DT) under the control of the endogenous Foxp3 locus, were subjected to DT-mediated T reg cell ablation at days 11, 14, and 17 after tumor transplantation. T reg ablation resulted in a sizable reduction in tumor size at day 23–24 and a large influx of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1, D and E), suggesting that T reg cells promote the growth of this poorly immunogenic lung carcinoma.

Areg production by lung tumor–resident T cells
We considered that in addition to limiting activation of T cells and innate immune cells, T reg cells may support tumor growth independently of immune modulation. Thus, we explored gene expression in intratumoral T reg and non–T reg cells using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to identify potential mediators of such an effect. Among genes up-regulated in T reg cells from tumors versus normal lung tissue, we identified several genes potentially involved in wound healing, including Areg, Mmp12, Plau, Hps4, and Fnu1, and focused on Areg because of its known role in tissue repair (Berasain and Avila, 2014; Fig. 2 A).

Flow cytometric analysis performed directly after ex vivo T cell isolation showed that intratumoral T reg cells express increased levels of Areg relative to lung-resident T reg cells (Fig. 2 B). Furthermore, in vitro stimulation with PMA and ionomycin showed higher frequencies of Areg–producing T reg cells within the tumor compared with their counterparts in normal lung tissue (Fig. 2 C). In addition to T reg cells, after stimulation, intratumoral CD4+Foxp3+ effector T cells produced Areg at a noticeably higher frequency in comparison to those isolated from normal lung (Fig. 2 C).

Although the frequency of Areg production of T reg cells was much higher (Fig. 2 D), the numbers of Areg–producing T reg and effector CD4+ T cells in the tumor were roughly equivalent (Fig. 2 E), whereas only few CD8+ T cells were capable of Areg production in any setting tested. In addition to Areg–producing T cell subsets, several intratumoral myeloid cell subsets, including Ly6C+MHCII+ monocytes, CD11bMHCII+ macrophages, and CD11b+Gr1+ neutrophils, were capable of Areg production. Although only a small percentage of cells within each myeloid cell subset produced Areg upon stimulation in vitro, the overall numbers of intratumoral myeloid cells capable of Areg production were roughly comparable to those of Areg–producing T cells (Fig. S1). Thus, several distinct populations of cells, including T reg and effector T cells, may contribute to the total level of Areg within tumors.

Areg produced by CD4+ T cells contributes to lung tumor growth
Although seemingly dispensable under physiological conditions, Areg production by T reg cells has been demonstrated to play a role in tissue repair during viral lung infection without exerting a detectable effect on the immune response (Arpaia et al., 2015). To test whether T reg cell production of Areg affects lung tumor growth, we used AregFL/Foxp3ΔCre and AregΔ/ΔFoxp3ΔFP–cre mice with a pan–T cell– or T reg–restricted Areg deficiency, respectively, transplanted with LLC and EO771. At days 21–24 after transplantation, LLC tumors in lungs of AregFL/Foxp3ΔFP–cre mice were significantly smaller than those in the lungs of littermate controls (Fig. 3 A). However, in AregFL/Foxp3ΔFP–cre mice, this reduction in tumor size was merely a non–statistically significant trend, suggesting that production of Areg by both T reg and non–T reg T cells may contribute to LLC growth in the lungs. EO771 tumors in the lungs of AregFL/Foxp3ΔFP–cre mice were also smaller than in littermate controls (Fig. 3 B). In contrast to LLC, a significant, albeit lesser, reduction was observed in AregFL/Foxp3ΔFP–cre mice, suggesting that EO771 tumors have an increased sensitivity to Areg deficiency and that in this setting, production of Areg by T reg cells has a nonredundant role in tumor growth. Because several tumors are capable of Areg production, one possible explanation for the relative sensitivity of EO771, but not LLC, tumor progression to T reg cell–restricted Areg deficiency was a differing degree of Areg production by these cells. Indeed, we found that EO771 produced less Areg than LLC cells (Fig. S2 A). Upon transfer of LLC cells transduced with shRNA targeting Areg expression (Fig. S2 B) into AregΔ/ΔFoxp3ΔFP–cre mice and control littermates, overall tumor growth was unchanged relative to control shRNA–transduced LLC cells. Nevertheless, LLC cells expressing Areg shRNA showed a more pronounced trend toward reduced growth in AregΔ/ΔFoxp3ΔFP–cre mice that approached statistical significance, suggesting that Areg knockdown renders LLC cells somewhat more sensitive to the lack of T reg cell–produced Areg (Fig. S2 C). Thus, tumor cell–produced Areg may affect, if only very modestly, overall tumor growth or change the tumor cells’ dependence on T reg cell–derived Areg for growth. To probe for a requirement for Areg production by T cells early during tumor develop-
ment, we assessed the presence of GFP-tagged EO771 cells in the lung on day 5 after tumor transplantation, because EO771 tumors appeared most sensitive to Areg production. The comparable numbers of tumor cells observed in the lungs of AregFL/FL CD4-cre and littermate AregWT/WT CD4-cre or AregWT/FL CD4-cre controls (not depicted) suggested that T cell–derived Areg is dispensable for the very early steps in tumor colonization and niche formation in the lung, but rather is required at later stages of tumor development.

**T cell–restricted Areg deficiency does not affect the tumor immune status**

Because the ablation of T reg cells in Foxp3DTR mice harboring LLC tumors caused a reduction in tumor size accompanied by a massive increase in activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells within the tumor, we considered the possibility that T cell production of Areg may aid tumor growth by dampening the antitumor immune response. In fact, Areg has been suggested to act on EGFR, expressed by Foxp3+ T reg cells to increase their suppressive capacity (Zaiss et al., 2013). On the other hand, Areg-deficient Foxp3+ T reg cells did not show a defect in their immunosuppressive capacity in vivo (Arpaia et al., 2015). To test whether Areg has an effect on the tumor immune status, we assessed the extent of T cell infiltration and cytokine production within LLC and EO771 tumors in the absence of T cell–derived Areg. Equivalent numbers of Foxp3+ T reg cells, effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, and NK cells were present in LLC tumors from control and AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice (Fig. 4 A and not depicted). In addition, T cell production of the proinflammatory cytokines IFNγ and TNFα was also unaffected in the Areg-deficient setting (Fig. 4 B). Because EGFR signaling in non–small cell lung cancer has been linked to increased PD-L1 expression (Zhang et al., 2016), which could negatively influence the antitumor T cell response, we assessed PD-L1 levels in LLC tumor cells in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice and found them to be unchanged (not depicted). Likewise, T cell subset composition of EO771 tumors was unperturbed by the lack of Areg production by T cells, as reflected by both their numbers and the production of IFNγ and TNFα (Fig. 4, C and D). These results indicate that overall tumor immune status was not significantly changed in mice harboring Areg-deficient T cells and is unlikely to account for the decreased tumor growth observed.

Nevertheless, it remained possible that a small population of tumor-specific T cells could have mounted an increased antigen–specific response that inhibited tumor growth. To track antigen–specific responses, we took advantage of an engineered LLC cell line that expresses a transgene in which SIINFEKL peptide from the model tumor antigen ovalbumin (OVA) is coupled to H-2Kb and β2-microglobulin so that CD8+ T cells can recognize this peptide (OVAp) presented by MHC class I (Hopewell et al., 2013). This tumor cell line enabled tracking of OVA-specific CD8+ T cells within the tumor using OVAp-Kb tetramer staining as a way of measuring the tumor-specific T cell response. As with the parental LLC tumors, LLC-OVA tumor size was reduced in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice compared with littermate controls (Fig. 4 E). However, the numbers of OVA-specific CD8+ T cells were unchanged (Fig. 4 F), and production of IFNγ and TNFα by effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells was equivalent in tumors of AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice and littermate controls (Fig. 4 G).

**T cell–derived Areg promotes tumor growth independently of signaling in tumor cells and alterations in vasculature**

Diminished tumor growth accompanied by unp perturbed proinflammatory cytokine production by intratumoral T cells and tumor–specific CD8+ T cell responses in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice raised the question of whether T cell–derived Areg could be acting directly on the tumor cells. Both LLC and EO771 cells expressed EGFR, and responded to recombinant mouse Areg (rmAreg) by increased phosphorylation of EGFR and Akt (Fig. S3 and Fig. 5 A). This observation was consistent with the idea that T cell–derived Areg could support tumor cell growth by signaling directly through EGFR on tumor cells. To directly test this possibility, we knocked down EGFR expression in EO771 cells upon infection with an EGFR shRNA–expressing retrovirus. As a control, cells were transduced with a retrovirus expressing Renilla luciferase shRNA. Phosphorylation of Akt upon stimulation with EGF or Areg was greatly reduced in EGFR shRNA–expressing cells (Fig. 5 A). However, EGFR-depleted and control EO771 cells formed similar size tumors in mice harboring Areg–sufficient T cells. Furthermore, EGFR-deficient and Areg-sufficient EO771 cells yielded tumors comparably decreased in size in the absence of Areg produced by T cells in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice (Fig. 5 B). In both EGFR and control shRNA–expressing tumors, the numbers of tumor-infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T cell populations and their production of effector cytokines were comparable (Fig. 5 C).
Next, we sought to test whether growth of a tumor intrinsically incapable of responding to Areg might be sensitive to the lack of Areg production by T cells. B16-F10 melanoma cells, known to form tumor nodules in the lung when injected intravenously, did not express EGFR and failed to activate signaling pathways upon treatment with recombinant...
mouse EGF or rmAreg in vitro (Fig. S3). Thus, we assessed the effects of T cell–restricted Areg deficiency on the growth of B16-F10 melanoma. Consistent with the results of our analysis of EGFR knockdown in EO771 breast carcinoma, B16-F10 melanoma cells also formed smaller-size tumors in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice compared with littermate controls (Fig. 5 D). As with the other tumors tested, cytokine production by intratumoral B16-F10 T cells was unaffected by T cell–derived Areg deficiency (not depicted). These results suggest that direct signaling of Areg in tumor cells was unlikely to be responsible for the observed tumor-promoting effects of T cell–produced Areg and that T cell–derived Areg production likely supported tumor growth by affecting untransformed cells in the tumor-bearing lungs. We considered the possibility that T cell–produced Areg influenced the tumor environment by acting on stromal cells and potentially altering vascularization or extracellular matrix, thereby affecting the provision of nutrients or growth factors to the tumor. However, we found the overall amount of CD31+ vascular endothelium and collagen IV distribution and the number of cleaved caspase-3–expressing apoptotic or Ki-67+ dividing cells within the tumor to be largely unchanged in AregFL/FL CD4-cre mice (not depicted). Thus, a yet-identified mechanism, which acts on nontransformed cells in the tumor microenvironment, leads to the observed attenuation of lung tumor growth resulting from Areg deficiency in T cells.

Studies of the role of T lymphocytes in cancer have mainly been framed by concepts of infectious immunity to infection and immunological tolerance (Chen and Mellman, 2013). Within the former framework, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are poised to eliminate tumors by recognizing tumor neoantigens analogous to attack on pathogens, whereas tumors deploy a variety of immune evasion mechanisms ranging from a loss or down-modulation of T cell target antigens to a diverse means of immunomodulation.

Our studies indicate that through production of Areg, T reg and effector CD4+ T cells can promote the growth of lung tumors. Although several studies have shown that an increased antitumor immune response after ablation of T reg cells or after treatment with checkpoint inhibitors that boost T cell activation can result in reduced tumor burden, we found that CD4+ T cells can also promote tumor growth through the expression of a factor with an established role in tissue repair in a manner apparently independent of immune modulation. These findings are reminiscent of an earlier finding that effector CD4+ T cells, likely specific for bacterial antigens, markedly enhanced neoplastic progression and tumor incidence in an HPV16 transgene–driven model of invasive squamous carcinoma in mice (Daniel et al., 2003). Likewise, T reg cells have been shown to provide RANK ligand to promote metastasis in an Erbb2-driven mammary carcinoma model (Tan et al., 2011).

There is growing evidence that T cells participate in tissue repair processes. In experimental models of muscle injury and myocardial infarction, CD4+ T cells accumulate in the damaged tissue and contribute to regeneration (Hofmann et al., 2012; Sadlter et al., 2016). Ablation of T reg cells also inhibits the repair of damaged muscle tissue and healing after myocardial infarction (Burzyn et al., 2013; Weirather et al., 2014). Tissue repair and wound healing bear striking similarities to certain aspects of tumor growth, and it has long been speculated that tumors can exploit the wound healing response to gain a growth advantage (Schäfer and Werner, 2008). By acting through EGFR, amphiregulin can promote tissue repair by stimulating fibrosis as well as the survival and proliferation of hepatocytes, intestinal epithelial cells, and...
keratinocytes (Berasain et al., 2005; Brandl et al., 2010; Stoll et al., 2010). Areg has also been linked to human cancer as an oncogenic factor (Buser et al., 2010). In non–small cell lung cancers, Areg overexpression correlates with resistance to anti–ErbB agents that interfere with EGFR signaling (Li et al., 2009; Buser et al., 2010). Our studies indicate that T cell–produced Areg is not acting directly on the tumor cells, but likely on other tumor–resident cells, including macrophages, neutrophils, or lung epithelial cells, which act as intermediates in promoting tumor growth.

Collectively, our results suggest a novel nonimmune mode of action for intratumoral T reg and “non–T reg” T cells in facilitating tumor growth through provision of tissue maintenance factors, such as amphiregulin. We propose that the tissue repair capacity of T cells can serve as a novel therapeutic target, which, when combined with the rapidly evolving strategies of boosting proinflammatory immune responses, will increase the efficacy of T cell–based cancer immunotherapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice**

Foxp3<sup>Flx<sup> (Fontenot et al., 2005), Foxp3<sup>DTR (Kim et al., 2007), Foxp3<sup>Cre (Rubtsov et al., 2008), Areg<sup>L/L (Arpaia et al., 2015), and CD4-cre transgenic mice (Sawada et al., 1994) have been previously described. All mouse studies were performed under protocol 08-10-023 approved by the Sloan Kettering Institute Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All mouse strains were maintained in the Sloan Kettering Institute animal facility in accordance with institutional guidelines.

**Tumor experiments**

LLC cells were a gift from J. Massague (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY). EO771 cells were purchased from CH3 Biosystems. B16-F10 cells were a gift from C. Ariyan (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center). All cell lines were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% l-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 10 mM Hepes. For measurement of Areg expression, RNA from tumor cells was extracted in Trizol reagent (Life Technologies), and quantitative real-time PCR was performed with primers specific for Areg (forward, 5′-GCAAAAAATGGAAAGGCAGA-3′, and reverse, 5′-TGTCAATCCTCGCTGTGAGTC-3′) and Hprt (forward, 5′-TCAGTCACGGGGGAGACATTA-3′, and reverse, 5′-GGGGCTGTACTGCTTAACCAG-3′).

For tumor cell transplantation, tumor cells were harvested in 0.05% trypsin, washed, and resuspended in DMEM without serum before counting. Cells were diluted in DMEM so that 200 µl could be injected into the tail veins of recipient mice. For T reg cell ablation experiments, DT (Sigma-Aldrich) was diluted to 2.5 µg/ml, and 200 µl (0.5 µg) was injected intravenously into mice that had received LLC cells previously. Tumors were measured under a microdissection microscope, and individual tumor volumes were calculated using the formula $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi \left(\frac{length}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{width}{2}\right)$. Individual tumor volumes were summed for total tumor volume per mouse.

**Cell isolation**

Lymphocytes were isolated from lung and tumor tissue by digestion with collagenase A (1 mg/ml; Roche) and DNase I (0.5 µg/ml; Roche) in isolation buffer (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 5% FBS, 1% l-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 10 mM Hepes) for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were filtered through 100-µm cell strainers, washed in isolation buffer, and stained in PBS supplemented with 0.25% BSA, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.1% sodium azide. Antibodies used included anti–CD45, anti–Foxp3, anti–IL-18Rα, anti–ST2, anti–CD62L, anti–CD103, anti–PD-1, anti–GITR, anti–CTLA-4, anti–KLRC1, anti–Ki67, anti–CD5, anti–NK1.1, anti–CD45R (B220), anti–IL-17, anti–IL-4, anti–IFNγ, and anti–Ly–6C (eBioscience); anti–TCRβ, anti–CD3, anti–CD4, anti–CD8, anti–CD127, anti–CD11b, anti–MHCII, and anti–Gr1 (BioLegend); anti–CD44 and anti–CD25 (Tonbo); anti–IL–5 and anti–TNFα (BD PharMingen); and anti–AREG (R&D Systems). For exclusion of dead cells, samples were first stained with Ghost Dye (Tonbo) cell viability reagent. Intracellular staining for cytokines, Foxp3, AREG, Ki-67, and CTLA-4 was performed using the Foxp3/transcription factor staining buffer set (eBioscience) as per manufacturer's protocol. The H2-K<sup>b</sup> OVA<sub>257–264</sub> tetramer was obtained from the NIH Tetrimer Core Facility.

**Ex vivo stimulation**

To measure T cell production of Areg and cytokines, cells were treated where noted with PMA (50 ng/ml; Sigma) and ionomycin (1 nM; Calbiochem) for 3 h in the presence of GolgiPlugs (brefeldin A) and GolgiStop (monensin; BD Biosciences) or stained directly ex vivo after isolation from lungs or tumors.

---

Figure 4. T cell–derived Areg does not impact immune status in lung tumors. (A–D) Flow cytometric analysis of T cell responses in control (Areg<sup>F/W</sup> or Areg<sup>sL/W</sup>), Areg<sup>fl/fl</sup>CD4-cre, and Areg<sup>fl/fl</sup>Foxp3<sup>Cre</sup> mice at day 22–24. Percentages of total (A and C) and IFNγ- and TNFα-producing (B and D) CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within LLC (A and B) and EO771 (C and D) tumors of indicated mice; n > 10 mice per group each pooled from two to three independent experiments. (E–G) Areg<sup>fl/fl</sup> control and Areg<sup>sL/W</sup> x CD4<sup>+</sup>cre were injected with 250,000 LLC-OVAp cells. Tumors were analyzed at day 22–24. (E) Tumor size in mice of indicated group. n = 11–20 mice per group, pooled from two independent experiments; *, P < 0.01. (F) Numbers of OVA-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in tumors assessed by staining with OVAp-K<sup>b</sup> tetramer. (G) Percentages of Foxp3<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing IFNγ and TNFα in LLC-OVAp tumors of indicated mice. In F and G, n = 6–8 mice per group, pooled from two independent experiments. Horizontal lines indicate the mean.
RNA sequencing
Foxp3+CD4+CD3+ T reg cells and Foxp3−CD4+CD3+ effector T cells isolated from lungs and tumors of Foxp3GFP mice bearing LLC tumors 21 d after transplantation were distinguished on the basis of GFP expression and antibody staining and FACS-sorted directly into TRIzol LS reagent (Life Technologies). Three biological replicates of each population were isolated. Extracted RNA was amplified by SMART amplification (Clontech). Total RNA was used for poly(A) selection and to create Ion Torrent–compatible libraries using the Ion ChIP-Seq kit starting with the end-repair process (Life Technologies), with 12–16 cycles of PCR.

Figure 5. T cell–derived Areg contributes to tumor growth indirectly. (A) Western blot showing levels of Akt and EGFR activation upon in vitro treatment of EO771 cells with either Areg or EGF for 10 min. (B) 250,000 EGFR or control shRNA–expressing EO771 cells were injected into AregFL/FL control and AregFL/FLCD4-cre mice, and tumors were analyzed at day 24–26. Tumor size in mice of indicated groups is shown; n = 12–21 mice per group, pooled from three independent experiments; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001. (C) Percentages of IFNγ- and TNFα-producing Foxp3−CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in EO771-shRNA tumors of indicated mice; n = 8–10 mice per group, pooled from two independent experiments. (D) 150,000 B16-F10 cells were injected i.v. into AregFL/FL control and AregFL/FLCD4-cre mice, and tumors were analyzed at day 22–24. Tumor size in mice of indicated groups is shown; n = 38–42 mice per group, pooled from four independent experiments; *, P < 0.05. Horizontal lines indicate the mean.
The resulting barcoded samples were loaded onto template-positive Ion P1M Ion SphereTM Particles using the Ion One Touch system II and Ion P1MTM Template OT2 200 kit v2 kit (Life Technologies). Enriched particles were sequenced on a Proton sequencing system using the 200-bp version 2 chemistry. A mean of 70 to 80 million single-end reads were generated per sample. Raw reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.32 with standard settings to remove low-quality reads and adaptor contamination. The trimmed reads were then aligned to the mouse genome (Ensembl assembly GRCh38) using TopHat2 v2.0.11 implementing Bowtie2 v2.2.2 with default settings. Read alignments were sorted with SAMtools v0.19.1 before being counted to genomic features using HTSeq v0.6.1p1. Pathway analysis of genes differentially up-regulated in tumor T reg cells compared with normal lung T reg cells using the GOirilla tool (http://cbl-gorilla.cs.technion.ac.il/) showed that this gene set was enriched for those involved in cellular migration and localization and cellular proliferation, as well as inflammatory responses.

**Histology**

Tumor-bearing lungs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned before staining using the Leica BOND Automated Immunostainer (Leica Biosystems). Sections were stained with DAPI and antibodies against cleaved caspase-3, Ki-67, CD31, CD3, Foxp3, and CD11b. Images were acquired using a Zeiss Axioplan2 microscope. Regions of interest were drawn, and numbers of positive-staining cells and percentages of positive-staining area were quantified using Fiji software.

**shRNA targeting**

Oligomers of 97 base pairs targeting EGFR and Renilla luciferase were subcloned into the modified retroviral mir-30 backbone miR-E (Fellmann et al., 2013). Retrovirus produced in Phoenix-E cells was used to transduce EO771 or LLC cells, which were selected for shRNA expression on the basis of puromycin resistance by culture in 8 µg/ml puromycin. Knockdown of EGFR expression and signaling was verified by Western blot after 10-min stimulation of cells serum-starved overnight with recombinant mouse EGF or rmAreg (BioLegend) followed by lysis in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and probed with antibodies against β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), phospho-Akt S473, phospho-EGFR Y1068, and phospho-ERK1/2 T202/Y204 (Cell Signaling Technology).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses (excluding RNA-seq analyses) were performed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). Differences between individual groups were analyzed for statistical significance using unpaired two-tailed Student’s t tests. *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ***, P ≤ 0.001; ****, P ≤ 0.0001.

**Deposition of data**

RNA sequencing data has been deposited with the NCBI BioProject database with project ID PRJNA400616.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows the production of Areg by T cells and non–T cells in LLC lung tumors. Fig. S2 shows the role of tumor-produced Areg in tumor progression. Fig. S3 shows EGFR signaling upon Areg stimulation in LLC and B16-F10 tumor cell lines.
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