An evolutionary history of the CoA-binding protein Nat/Ivy
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Abstract

Nat/Ivy is a diverse and ubiquitous CoA-binding evolutionary lineage that catalyzes acyltransferase reactions, primarily converting thioesters into amides. At the heart of the Nat/Ivy fold is a phosphate-binding loop that bears a striking resemblance to that of P-loop NTPases—both are extended, glycine-rich loops situated between a β-strand and an α-helix. Nat/Ivy, therefore, represents an intriguing intersection between thioester chemistry, a putative primitive energy currency, and an ancient mode of phospho-ligand binding. Current evidence suggests that Nat/Ivy emerged independently of other cofactor-utilizing enzymes, and that the observed structural similarity—particularly of the cofactor binding site—is the product of shared constraints instead of shared ancestry. The reliance of Nat/Ivy on a β-α-β motif for CoA-binding highlights the extent to which this simple structural motif may have been a fundamental evolutionary “nucleus” around which modern cofactor-binding domains condensed, as has been suggested for HUP domains, Rossmanns, and P-loop NTPases. Finally, by dissecting the patterns of conserved interactions between Nat/Ivy families and CoA, the coevolution of the enzyme and the cofactor was analyzed. As with the Rossmann, it appears that the pyrophosphate moiety at the center of the cofactor predates the enzyme, suggesting that Nat/Ivy emerged sometime after the metabolite dephospho-CoA.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Nat/Ivy is an enzyme evolutionary lineage that primarily catalyzes acyl transfer reactions from a coenzyme A (CoA) thioester or a charged tRNA. Although somewhat limited in enzymatic breadth, Nat/Ivy is diverse both in sequence\textsuperscript{1} and in the biological processes that it mediates, including eukaryotic pre-ribosome maturation,\textsuperscript{2} quorum sensing,\textsuperscript{3} autoimmunity,\textsuperscript{4,5} antibiotic resistance,\textsuperscript{6} \(\alpha\)-amino acid detoxification,\textsuperscript{7} and carbon metabolism (i.e., KEGG reaction R00233). At the heart of the Nat/Ivy fold is a phosphate-binding loop that bears a striking resemblance to the phosphate binding loops of P-loop NTPases and Rossmann enzymes—a fact noted over 20 years ago in the very first reports describing Nat/Ivy structures.\textsuperscript{8,9} Indeed, phosphate binding loops are among the most ancient structures in biology\textsuperscript{10,11} and it is believed that they may have been precursors to modern...
cofactor-binding domains. Continuity between the most ancient globular domains and short functional peptides was first proposed by Margaret Dayhoff, and this realization inspired a generation of scientists, including Dan Tawfik. Moreover, the observation that protein structures can be decomposed into closed loops associated with elementary functions supports this view. Given the importance of phosphate binding loops, the development of sensitive approaches to detect distant evolutionary relationships at the level of short fragments and interest in the role of thioesters as a primordial energy currency, Nat/Ivy enzymes are a timely case study of protein evolution. Our intention is to revisit the Nat/Ivy enzyme lineage while taking inspiration from the work of the late Dan Tawfik.

2 | NAT/IVY LIKELY EMERGED AS A COA-BINDING PROTEIN

For the present analysis, evolutionary lineages are defined according to the Evolutionary Classification of Domains (ECOD) database (version Develop283). The ECOD hierarchy consists of four levels, in which X-groups (the broadest classification) correspond to evolutionary lineages and F-groups (the narrowest level of classification) correspond to protein families. ECOD annotates 2,362 domains spread across 55 families (F-groups) as belonging to the Nat/Ivy evolutionary lineage (X-group 213) in the Protein Data Bank (PDB). From this set of domains, representative domains were selected, with preference going to liganded domains and high-resolution structures (see Supplementary File 1, Representatives for the complete list with annotations). ECOD domain identifiers are provided throughout the text and are denoted by a leading “e” (e.g., e5kf9A2). Also note that while it is common for authors to refer to the phosphate-binding loop in the Nat/Ivy fold as a P-loop, we will reserve the name P-loop to refer to the evolutionary lineage of P-loop NTPases (i.e., X-group 2004).

Of the 2,433 protein evolutionary lineages in the ECOD database with an assigned F-group, 61 bind either CoA or acetyl-CoA (PDB ligand codes COA and ACO, respectively; interaction cutoff = 4 Å). To determine which of these X-groups are most likely to have emerged as CoA binders, the fraction of F-groups with at least one CoA binding event was calculated and plotted against the total number of F-groups associated with that X-group (Figure 1a). F-group count reports the extent to which an X-group has diverged, with a large number of F-groups suggesting that a family is either evolutionarily diverse, ancient, or both. With 55 F-groups, Nat/Ivy is in the top 2.1% of the most diverged evolutionary lineages in ECOD (median family count = 1; average family count = 6.25).

From a parsimony perspective, the higher the fraction of families that bind CoA, the more likely CoA binding was a feature of the founding member of that family. Of the 55 Nat/Ivy families, 31 (56%) have a domain that binds to either CoA or acetyl-CoA, making Nat/Ivy the most diverged protein for which >50% of families bind CoA.

(b) Distribution of Nat/Ivy domains in bacteria and archaea. Nat/Ivy proteins bearing a canonical CoA-binding loop are essentially ubiquitous among microbes.

FIGURE 1 Nat/Ivy is a fundamental CoA-binding domain. (a) Fraction of families (i.e., ECOD F-groups) with a CoA or acetyl-CoA binding event as a function of total family count. Nat/Ivy is the most diverged protein for which >50% of families bind CoA.
Furthermore, binding of CoA by Nat/Ivy is not generally associated with multiple participating domains: In 88.5% of cases, Nat/Ivy-bound CoA molecules are associated with just a single domain. The next most specific evolutionary lineage, Thiolase (X-group), has only 18 F-groups, 50% of which bind CoA or acetyl-CoA.

Unlike other common cofactor-binding proteins—such as Rossmanns, P-loops, or TIM barrels—Nat/Ivy is not strongly associated with binding to other common nucleotide-containing cofactors. Compared to the 348 and 332 binding events in the PDB for CoA and acetyl-CoA, respectively, AMP, UDP, SAM, and SAH are associated with no more than two binding events each. In these cases, the non-CoA cofactor is either a moiety of the natural substrate or the substrate itself. AMP (bound in e3r96A1), for example, is a fragment of the natural ligand, the antibiotic microcin C7, which inhibits aspartyl-tRNA synthetase and can be inactivated by acetylation. There were no observed binding events to any of the common redox cofactors FMN, FAD, NAD, or NADP.

Thus, while Nat/Ivy has significantly diversified with respect to family counts—likely a consequence of the diverse substrates that Nat/Ivy operates on—the cofactor utilization of this family is apparently specialized and enduring.

### 3 | NAT/IVY IS UBQUITOUS ACROSS THE MICROBIAL TREE OF LIFE

Although phyletic distribution is an imperfect measure of the antiquity of a protein evolutionary lineage, ubiquity does suggest antiquity. To assess the phyletic distribution of Nat/Ivy domains across the microbial tree of life, HMM profiles from the ECOD database were searched against the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) phylogenetic trees for bacteria and archaea (version 95, randomly subsampled at a single proteome per genus) using HMMER (version 3.3.2) with an i-Evalue cutoff of 1 \times 10^{-10}, an HMM profile coverage cutoff of 75%, and a search space set to 106,052,079 targets using the -Z option (Figure 1b). We find that the majority of bacterial and archaeal phyla contain a Nat/Ivy domain in at least 50% of their respective proteomes, consistent with the view that this is an ancient evolutionary lineage. Furthermore, when families are classified by the presence of a canonical CoA-binding loop (described in detail below), those families bearing the canonical loop are significantly more distributed across the microbial tree of life. This result echoes the family analysis in Figure 1a and supports the view that Nat/Ivy emerged as a CoA-binding protein.

### 4 | THE CHEMISTRY OF NAT/IVY: ACYL TRANSFER

To get a fingerprint of the chemical reactivity associated with Nat/Ivy, enzymes with a Nat/Ivy domain in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database were identified. Briefly, the genes within each KEGG Orthology were clustered using an 80% identity cutoff by CD-HIT. Nat/Ivy families were then mapped onto each of the representative genes using HMMER, as described above. Those KEGG Orthologies for which ≥75% of the gene representatives contained a Nat/Ivy domain were collected (61 in total; see Supplemental File 1, Orthologies) and linked to enzyme commission (EC) numbers via the KEGG REST API. In total, Nat/Ivy domains were associated with 34 unique EC numbers (Supplemental File 1, Reactions).

A breakdown of the activities associated with the Nat/Ivy family confirms the dominance of acyltransferase activity, with 30 of the 34 enzymes classified as such (EC class 2.3) (Figure 2a). The acylating agents of these reactions fall into two groups: thioesters of CoA (EC 2.3.1) and charged tRNAs (EC 2.3.2), in which the attachment is via an ester not a thioester. The former group employs canonical CoA-binding loops whereas the latter group does not. In the majority of cases, the substrate nucleophile is a primary amine, resulting in an amide product. In the case of lysine/arginine leucyltransferase (EC 2.3.2.6) and arginyltransferase (EC 2.3.2.8), the...
product is a bona fide peptide bond—though these reactions use charged tRNAs and not CoA. Ester formation, from attack by a hydroxyl group, also occurs. Less common reactions include oxidation coupled to phosphorylation (EC 1.2.1.38) and two phosphotransferase reactions (EC 2.7). The Nat/Ivy domain is apparently not required for either EC 1.2.1.38 or EC 2.7 activity, as alternative KEGG Orthologies with similar overall domain structure but lacking the Nat/Ivy domain can also perform these reactions. Finally, a conserved Nat/Ivy domain was identified in malonyl-CoA decarboxylase (K01578). Despite limited chemistry, the Nat/Ivy domain is impressive in its ability to catalyze the acylation of a wide range of substrates, ranging in size from small molecules (such as polyamines) to proteins.

Cross-referencing all PDB structures containing a Nat/Ivy domain with the Mechanism and Catalytic Site Atlas (M-CSA) database returns just six entries (Supplemental File 1, Mechanisms) associated with acyl transferase chemistry. In each case, the preferred catalytic mechanism involves direct attack of the CoA thioester by a substrate nucleophile, without an acyl-enzyme intermediate (Figure 2b for an example of this mechanism involving a primary amine, the most common substrate nucleophile). Although it was previously reported that the Nat/Ivy enzyme ESA1 can employ a ping-pong mechanism—in which a protein Cys residue attacks the CoA thioester first to form a thioester acyl-enzyme intermediate that is then resolved by nucleophilic attack of the substrate—subsequent mutagenesis studies have called this mechanism into question, in favor of direct nucleophilic attack by the substrate.

Christian de Duve proposed that thioesters were an essential component of primordial metabolism, arguing that it was thioester derivatives of amino acids that gave rise to the first short peptides (which he dubbed “multimers”). In such a world, acyl transfer would no doubt be an important function as it could, for example, promote the formation of peptides. Indeed, Nat/Ivy enzymes can catalyze the formation of amide bonds, including peptide bonds. However, in the case of peptide bond formation, the acylating agent is a charged tRNA and the families associated with this activity (e.g., Leu_Phe_trans) lack a CoA-binding loop and are not as highly distributed across the microbial tree of life. Nevertheless, the connection between acyl transfer activity and early peptide bond formation remains tantalizing. We also note that Nat/Ivy is a second family, like AARSs, that catalyzes peptide bond formation by charged tRNAs and performs thioester chemistry. It has also been suggested that transthioesterases would be useful in a primitive thioester-based metabolism, but the KEGG analysis did not turn up any Nat/Ivy enzymes that perform this reaction, despite several such reactions being present in the KEGG database (namely, the CoA transferases of EC 2.8.3).

5 | THE STRUCTURE OF NAT/IVY: AN A + B PROTEIN WITH AN A/B CORE

Nat/Ivy adopts a 3-layer α + β sandwich architecture (Figure 3) comprised of a mixture of β-β, α-α, and β-α motifs. In the middle of the fold is a conserved β-α-β-α motif situated between two conserved β-β hairpins, and so the Nat/Ivy structure may be described as an α + β protein with an α/β core. The α/β core is responsible for CoA binding: The loop between β4-α3 forms an extended phosphate-binding loop that wraps around the pyrophosphate moiety (what we refer to as the canonical

---

**FIGURE 3** The conserved core of Nat/Ivy domains. β-strands are represented as triangles; triangles pointing up denote β-strands that are coming out of the plane of the paper (toward the reader) whereas triangles pointing down denote β-strands that are going into the plane of the paper (away from the reader). Solid lines indicate loops at the top of the fold and dashed lines indicate loops at the bottom of the fold. Structural elements shaded yellow comprise the majority of the CoA binding site. Domain e2jddA1 pictured. All structure figures were generated in PyMOL (www.pymol.org)
phosphate-binding loop of this fold); binding of the pantetheine moiety is achieved by a crevice between $\beta_4$ and $\beta_5$. The phosphate-binding loop of Nat/Ivy is strikingly similar to that of the P-loop (X-group 2004). As such, it is worth noting that while Nat/Ivy and P-loop proteins are grouped in the same Class and Architecture by the CATH protein structure database—specifically, the 3-layer $\alpha$-$\beta$-$\alpha$ Sandwich (3.40)—this classification is somewhat misleading: P-loop proteins are comprised exclusively of $\beta$-$\alpha$ units, whereas Nat/Ivy proteins are not a repetitive fold outside the $\beta$-$\alpha$ core. That said, in all three evolutionary lineages—Rossmann, P-loop, and Nat/Ivy—the phosphate binding loop is located within the very first $\beta$-$\alpha$ motif of the fold. Assuming that the cofactor-binding site is the most ancient part of Nat/Ivy, the evolution of the fold involved both N-terminal and C-terminal extensions, as opposed to the Rossmans, which are thought to have grown primarily by C-terminal extensions.

There are several notable variations on the Nat/Ivy architecture. Foremost, some enzymes form a domain-swapped arrangement in which $\beta_7$ from one domain is replaced with $\beta_6$ of an adjacent domain, resulting in two domains facing opposite directions (e.g., see e5kf9A1 and e5kf9A2). The lengths of both $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are also variable, and rarely, one of the $\alpha$-helices will be absent. Finally, several Nat/Ivy proteins have N- and C-terminal extensions beyond the conserved structural core depicted in Figure 3.

6 | THE PHOSPHATE BINDING LOOP OF NAT/Ivy

The features of the canonical CoA-binding loop are now described in turn, and compared to those of common phosphate binding loops in other folds (Figure 4).

6.1 | N-terminus of an $\alpha$-helix

Perhaps the most apparent feature of the CoA binding mode is that the pyrophosphate moiety is seated atop the N-terminus of an $\alpha$-helix. Indeed, the preference for binding phosphate at the N-terminus of an $\alpha$-helix has been recognized at least since the late 1970s. We have argued that the N-helix binding mode is a consequence of...
ancient constraints on protein function; specifically, a
dearth of basic amino acids and the requirement for
short, contiguous binding sites.\textsuperscript{32} Binding at the N-
terminus of an \(\alpha\)-helix alone, therefore, should not be
taken as a strong signature of homology; and we note
that this feature is observed in TIM barrels, HUPs, flavo-
doxins, Rossmanns and P-loops,\textsuperscript{32} among others.

6.2  A glycine-rich motif

Glycine-rich loops are a common feature of nucleotide
binding sites,\textsuperscript{43} with P-loop and Rossmann enzymes con-
taining a GxGxG or GxGxG motif, respectively. Using
sequence alignments taken from ConSurf-DB\textsuperscript{44} for each
of the representative structures, the consensus phosphate
binding loop sequence for each family was collected
(Supplemental File 1, Representatives; Figure 4b). The
resulting sequence profile closely resembles that reported
in the Nucleotide Binding DataBase.\textsuperscript{43} In most Nat/Ivy
families, conserved glycine residues facilitate binding to
the pyrophosphate moiety, specifically at positions 8, 10,
and 12 of the phosphate binding loop (Figure 4)—
forming a GxGxG motif. These glycine residues do not
just reside in the extended portion of the loop, but also at
the N-terminus of the \(\alpha\)-helix, as is common in other
phosphate binding loops.

6.3  Bidentate interactions

Previously, we have noted the importance of bidentate
interactions,\textsuperscript{32} in which both the backbone amide and
the sidechain form hydrogen bonds with the same ligand
molecule. Bidentate interactions are useful because they
allow multiple, reinforcing interactions to be encoded by
just a single residue. Inspection of the representative
structures with a cofactor bound reveals that 14/26 struc-
tures make a bidentate interaction with either Ser, Thr,
Arg, or Lys at the tip of \(\alpha3\) (position 13 of the phosphate
binding loop; Figure 4). The consensus sequence for each
family (Supplemental File 1, Representatives [Canonical];
Figure 4b) likewise indicates a preference for these resi-
dues at that site.

6.4  Conserved bridging water

Bottoms et al.\textsuperscript{45} noted a conserved water in the Rossmann
dinucleotide binding site. Of the 26 representative
structures with a canonical binding loop bound to CoA, all but
one (e2zpaB3) have a bridging water molecule that
interacts with both the pyrophosphate moiety of CoA and
the protein. Note, however, that some crystallographers
have modeled the electron density at this site as a Mg\textsuperscript{2+}
ion—for example, in e5ls7B1 and e4c7hA2—raising the
possibility that this site can promiscuously bind Mg\textsuperscript{2+} as
well. Dication binding at or near a phosphate-binding
loop is common (e.g., in P-loop NTPases or in the Ross-
mann family of GTPases tubulin) and can signify a func-
tional transition. The existence of nearby hydrogen bond
donors, however, would seem to favor assignment as a
water, as in the majority of structures. The CheckMyMe-
tal server\textsuperscript{46} supports this assessment, with the B-factor of
the putative metals relative to the surrounding protein
atoms ranked as “borderline” and the associated ligands
and binding geometry ranked as an “Outlier” for Mg\textsuperscript{2+}.
Taken together, Nat/Ivy is another enzyme, like the Ross-
mann, in which a water molecule has been recruited to
facilitate interactions between protein and cofactor.

6.5  Is Nat/Ivy a distant relative of P-
loop NTPases and/or Rossmans?

Previously, the Tawfik Lab argued that Rossmanns and
P-loop NTPases may have a shared evolutionary origin
based on various “bridge” structures with intermediate
properties,\textsuperscript{14,19} raising the question: Given the similarity
of their phosphate-binding loops (Figure 4), could
Nat/Ivy be distantly related to Rossmanns and/or P-loop
NTPases? At present, there is little hard evidence in sup-
port of this hypothesis. Foremost, analyses of “bridging
themes”—short sequence fragments shared across pro-
tein evolutionary lineages—do not return any evidence of
sequence sharing involving Nat/Ivy and any other pro-
tein lineage,\textsuperscript{19,22} suggesting that this family is something
of an island in sequence space. Additionally, if Nat/Ivy
emerged from a multi-functional phosphate-binding pep-
tide, one might expect more variability in cofactor prefer-
ence or the existence of bridge proteins like the Rossmann
GTPase tubulin, which has properties inter-
mediate between Rossmanns and P-loops.\textsuperscript{14} However, no
such protein—for example, a Nat/Ivy NTPase—could be
identified. Finally, we note that several features of the
Nat/Ivy phosphate-binding loop, such as an N-helix bind-
ing site\textsuperscript{32} or the glycine rich motifs, are known to be con-
vergent features common to several ancient but
unrelated proteins. Glycine rich motifs, for example, are
also present in the helix-hairpin-helix motif (X-group
102)\textsuperscript{47} and in Ribonucleases (X-group 2484).\textsuperscript{48} Neverthe-
less, the deep evolutionary history of Nat/Ivy is yet to be
fully understood and the search for bridging proteins
should continue.
PANTETHEINE BINDING

The pantetheine moiety of CoA is largely situated between β4 and the middle or tip of β5 (Figure 3). An overlay of the representative structures with canonical-binding loops (Figure 5b) reveals that the conformation of the 4’-diphosphopantetheine moiety is consistent across families. In order to interact with both the N-terminus of α3 and the edge of β4, the CoA moiety must adopt a bent conformation with an angle of about 107 ± 14° (calculated from atoms P1A, CP9, and S1P for all Nat/Ivy-bound CoA molecules in the PDB with a resolution higher than 3.0 Å). This binding angle is a hallmark of the Nat/Ivy family, and distinguishes it from several other CoA-binding protein families (Figure 5b).

The phosphate binding loop also contributes to the binding of the pantetheine moiety: Position 7 acts as a sort of latch residue, resting atop the ligand (Figure 5c). Finally, the pantetheine moiety is anchored by two hydrogen bonds to the edge of β4, which can be expanded to three hydrogen bonds in the case of acetyl-CoA (Figure 5d).

It has been suggested previously that the β1-phosphate binding loop-α1 of Rossmann and P-loop proteins were part of the ancient peptide vocabulary. In

FIGURE 5 Binding of pantetheine by Nat/Ivy. (a) The structure of CoA. (b) Overlay of the representative structures with a canonical binding loop (see Supplemental File 1 for full list). The cofactor adopts a bent conformation. (c) A “latch residue” at position 7 of the phosphate-binding loop lays across the top of the pantetheine moiety of the bound CoA (e4jxrB2). (d) Binding in a crevice formed by β4 and β5. Note how the cofactor extends the β-sheet by binding the edge of β4 (e4jxrB2)
our analysis of Rossmanns, P-loops, and HUP domains, we instead favored a longer β-α-β motif as the evolutionary nucleus of these ancient folds. Three secondary structure elements, we note, is the approximate size of a cooperative, quasi-independently foldable protein unit (a so-called “folding domain”) or a mini-protein. And, although the exact nature of the crevice between β4 and β5 differs between families (specifically with respect to β5), the splayed β-strands are highly conserved and present even in families that have lost the canonical phosphate binding loop. We therefore conclude that Nat/Ivy represents yet another ancient enzyme family that arose from a β-α-β peptide binding to a nucleotide-containing cofactor (Figure 6).

8 NUCLEOSIDE BINDING AND A PEEK AT THE HISTORY OF NAT/IVY-COA COEVOlUTION

An overlay of the representative domains reveals that the nucleoside and 3’-phosphate moieties adopt a fairly wide range of conformations (Figure 7a). Furthermore, these parts of CoA make interactions predominantly with amino acid sidechains (Figure 7b and c) and, unlike the pyrophosphate and pantetheine moieties (Figures 4, 5, and 7), lack conserved backbone interactions. We have previously argued that sidechain binding interactions are likely more recent than backbone interactions, especially in the context of ancient enzymes. And, for many structures, the 3’-phosphate moiety is effectively pointing out into solvent. One notable binding interaction, however, is presented in Figure 7b, in which an Arg residue at position 8 of the phosphate binding loop stacks on top of the adenine ring while making hydrogen bonds with the 3’ phosphate. Arg at this site is preferred in about 50% of families, with Gly preferred otherwise (Figure 4b). To better quantify the distribution of backbone and sidechain binding, relatively short hydrogen bonds (estimated as a distance ≤3.2 Å) were identified for each family (Figure 7c). This analysis highlights the backbone interactions presented above as being conserved throughout the Nat/Ivy evolutionary lineage, and confirms the observation that binding of the nucleoside and 3’-phosphate is mediated almost exclusively by sidechain interactions.

Given the comparatively loose binding to the adenosine moiety, and a lack of backbone interactions, is it possible that the Nat/Ivy fold predates CoA? After all, only the sulfhydryl at the end of CoA is required for chemical reactivity; the rest of the CoA molecule is (apparently) a handle for protein binding. Perhaps the evolutionary history of CoA is preserved within its biosynthetic pathway, which involves two key intermediates prior to the formation of the contemporary cofactor (Figure 8). These steps are the attachment of an AMP moiety to 4’-phosphopantetheine to form dephospho-CoA and, in the final step, the attachment of a 3’-phosphate to form CoA. The role of the 3’-phosphate is presumably to discriminate between binding of CoA, NADP (ribose phosphorylated on the 2’ hydroxyl) and NAD (ribose not phosphorylated on either the 2’ or the 3’ hydroxyl). Nat/Ivy domains, however, interact extensively with the CoA pyrophosphate moiety (Figures 4 and 7c), making 4’-diphosphopantetheine the primary handle for binding. Indeed, there are structures in the PDB that involve binding to a fragment of CoA that lacks the adenosine moiety (e6paau2) or the 3’ discriminating phosphate (e7ak9A1/B1). However, to the best of current knowledge, free 4’-diphosphopantetheine is not only absent from the biosynthetic pathway of CoA but from essentially all of contemporary biology. A SMILES string search for 4’-diphosphopantetheine reveals that this metabolite is not present in KEGG. Thus, absent compelling evidence for the utilization of 4’-diphosphopantetheine in ancient metabolism, it would seem that the Nat/Ivy phosphate binding loop emerged to bind either 4’-phosphopantetheine, dephospho-CoA, or CoA—with only the latter two containing a full pyrophosphate moiety.

Given that fragments of pantetheine without a pyrophosphate group have been used as the basis of Nat/Ivy inhibitors, we deem it very likely that Nat/Ivy can bind to free 4’-phosphopantetheine (although no such structure could be identified in the PDB, nor could any such chemistry be found in KEGG). The Nat/Ivy family HlyC can accept a holo-ACP that includes a covalently attached 4’-phosphopantetheine moiety, though does so without a canonical-binding loop. Moreover, covalently-attached 4’-phosphopantetheine is primarily

---

**Figure 6** β-α-β peptides as nuclei for the emergence cofactor binding domains. At the heart of several ancient enzymes—including Rossmann, P-loops, and HUPs (the evolutionary lineage that encompasses Class I aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases)—is a β-α-β motif that mediates ligand binding.
derived from CoA by the action of a holo-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase, suggesting that CoA (a small molecule metabolite) predates holo-ACP (a translated protein with a covalently attached cofactor).

Perhaps the binding of orthophosphate can provide insight into the preferences of the Nat/Ivy phosphate-binding loop. There are six structures in which the canonical Nat/Ivy phosphate-binding loop is bound only to orthophosphate. In one case, two orthophosphate molecules ghost the pyrophosphate binding site, with the P atoms positioned ~1 Å further apart from each other than in a molecule of pyrophosphate (PDB code: 1y7r). The observation of two orthophosphates in close proximity suggests a relatively strong preference for binding pyrophosphate, given that the orthophosphate groups will be negatively charged and thus mutually repulsive. In five other structures, where only one orthophosphate molecule is bound, the position of the phosphate ranges from being intermediate the two canonical binding sites (PDB codes: 2dxq, 6cx8, 7kwh), to being approximately overlaid with the phosphate moiety on the pantetheine side (PDB code: 4mbu), to being shifted even further off...
the edge of the α-helix and closer to β4 than in the canonical binding mode (PDB code: 5i0c). The presence of several degenerate binding modes for orthophosphate is consistent with a pyrophosphate binding element.

Taken together, we suggest that the emergence of Nat/Ivy phosphate binding loop took place after the emergence of the pyrophosphate moiety of CoA. This assessment is based on (a) the pattern of conserved interactions; (b) the general absence of structures or chemistry involving a free 4’-diphosphopantetheine metabolite; and (c) the degenerate binding modes of orthophosphate to the Nat/Ivy phosphate binding loop, plus the observation of a ternary structure with two orthophosphate molecules simultaneously being bound. Once again, Nat/Ivy resembles the pyrophosphate-binding Rossmann fold, which, in its present form, also seems to have emerged concurrent with or sometime after the establishment of the full dinucleotide cofactor.

9 | CONCLUSIONS

The Tawfik laboratory was a pioneer in early protein evolution, showing the functional potential of simple peptides experimentally and dissecting their histories through structural bioinformatics and state-of-the-art sequence analysis. Indeed, many of the features discussed within these works are relevant to Nat/Ivy as well, where a nucleotide cofactor is bound at the N-terminus of an α-helix, forms bidentate interactions, and interacts with a glycine-rich motif. Prof. Tawfik was particularly interested in the potential of β-α-β fragments to serve as the evolutionary nuclei around which larger, structured domains could emerge—a feature we proposed for the P-loop and the Rossmann fold as well as the HUP evolutionary lineage. Although Nat/Ivy is technically an α+β protein, the existence of an interior β-α-β motif that bears the phosphate binding loop and pantetheine binding cleft is in keeping with Tawfik’'s hypothesis. However, unlike Rossmanns and P-loops, current evidence favors the assignment of Nat/Ivy as an independent evolutionary lineage, and its similarity to other phosphate binding loops seems to be the result of convergence not shared ancestry. By dissecting the binding site of Nat/Ivy, we now suggest a relative history between the evolution of CoA and the Nat/Ivy phosphate binding loop, a proposal that echoes the evolutionary history of Rossmann enzymes. Experimental characterization of simple Nat/Ivy-derived peptides may offer an interesting look at the emergence of this acyl transferase enzyme lineage and may shed new light on the question of its independent origins and cofactor preferences.
close by noting that Prof. Tawfik himself was well aware of the Nat/Ivy family and its striking similarity to P-loop proteins; during the preparation of Ref. [32], he instructed author L.M.L to “save it for a rainy day.”
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