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1. Additional Implementation Details

We provide some additional implementation details regarding network architectures, data augmentations, and evaluation protocol.

Network Architectures. We noticed some inconsistencies regarding the definition of the 3D-ResNet architecture used in prior works. Our 3D-Resnet architecture is identical to the one used in [10, 11, 13] with the first two residual blocks consisting only of 2D convolutions and the final two blocks consisting of 3D convolutions. The R(2+1)D and S3D-G architectures are identical to the original works [26, 29].

Data Augmentation Details. Our temporal augmentations consist of 1) choosing a temporal subsampling factor corresponding to $1 \times, 2 \times, 4 \times$ or $8 \times$ playback, 2) randomly choosing forward or backward playback with equal probability 3) randomly sampling $k$ consecutive frames satisfying the constraints of steps 1 and 2.

We use a standard augmentation pipeline for the spatial and color jittering as found in contrastive learning methods [5]. Concretely, we sample crops with an area covering $\delta$-times the original area, with $\delta$ chosen randomly in the range $[0.2, 1.0]$. Similarly, the crop aspect ratio is chosen randomly from the range $[3/4, 4/3]$. Finally, we apply random horizontal flipping with a probability of 0.5.

Color jittering consists of random modifications of brightness, saturation, hue, and contrast. These random modifications are performed in random order to add more variability. Finally, we randomly convert videos to grayscale with a probability of 0.2. All the spatial and color jitters are applied consistently to all frames of the video. We do not use random Gaussian blur in our experiments.

Evaluation Details. As mentioned in Section 3.4, we performed a multi-crop evaluation with a combination of temporal and spatial crops. We followed the same approach as [12] and uniformly sampled ten temporal crops and additionally extracted ten spatial crops each (i.e., center crops + four corner crops and each also with horizontal flipping). Spatial crops were extracted at a resolution of $176 \times 176$ for R(2+1)D and $192 \times 192$ for R3D-18 and S3D-G (adjusting for the different pre-training resolutions). Since averaging over more crops can impact the final performance and not all the prior works follow this protocol, we also include numbers obtained with only a single spatial crop in the extended comparison Tables 1 & 2.

2. Additional Results

Qualitative Nearest-Neighbor Results. We illustrate some qualitative nearest neighbor retrievals on UCF101 obtained with the R3D-18 network in Figure 1. The nearest neighbor computation is again based on cosine similarity on standardized feature vectors, as described in Section 3.4. The sensible retrievals reflect the excellent performance in the video retrieval evaluation (see Table 2).

Additional Comparisons to Prior Work. We report additional comparisons in transfer towards action recognition in Table 1. We include results obtained with an R(2+1)D using UCF101 pre-training in the top block, observing improvements over prior works in the same setting. Additionally, we report results obtained without using multiple spatial crops, allowing for a fairer comparison to prior works using only single spatial crops.

Finally, we report additional comparisons on the video retrieval task in Table 2. Besides the single crop evaluation, we also report performance obtained with Kinetics pre-training for completeness.

References

[1] Humam Alwassel, Dhruv Mahajan, Bruno Korbar, Lorenzo Torresani, Bernard Ghanem, and Du Tran. Self-supervised learning by cross-modal audio-video clustering. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.12667, 2019.
[2] Yutong Bai, Haoqi Fan, Ishan Misra, Ganesh Venkatesh, Yongyi Lu, Yuyin Zhou, Qihang Yu, Vikas Chandra, and...
Figure 1. **Qualitative nearest-neighbor retrievals on UCF101.** We show three frames from a query video on the left (taken from UCF101 test split 1), followed by the three nearest neighbors from the training set (train split 1). Nearest neighbors are computed using cosine similarity in the feature space of a 3D-ResNet pre-trained using our proposed self-supervised learning task.
Table 1. Extended comparison to prior work on self-supervised video representation learning. We report action recognition accuracy after fine-tuning to UCF101 and HMDB51. We indicate the pre-training dataset, input resolution, number of input frames, network architecture, and pre-training data modality (V=RGB, F=optical-flow, A=audio, T=text). In the upper block we compare to other methods with an R(2+1)D network when pre-training on UCF101. We also report numbers obtained with single spatial crop evaluation since not all methods perform inference using multiple spatial crops.

| Method       | Dataset     | Res. | Frames | Network | Mod. | UCF101 | HMDB51 |
|--------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|--------|
| VCP [18]     | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 66.3   | 32.2   |
| PRP [32]     | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 72.1   | 35.0   |
| VCOP [30]    | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 72.4   | 30.9   |
| STS [27]     | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 73.6   | 34.1   |
| Var. PSP [6] | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 74.8   | 36.8   |
| Pace Pred. [28] | UCF101    | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 75.9   | 35.9   |
| Temp.-Trans. [13] | UCF101 | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 81.6   | 46.4   |
| TCRL [7]     | UCF101      | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 82.8   | 53.6   |
| Ours (1-crop) | UCF101    | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 85.2   | 56.9   |
| Ours (10-crop) | UCF101  | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 85.8   | 59.3   |
| 3D ST-puzzle [15] | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 65.8   | 33.7   |
| 3D RotNet [14] | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 66.0   | 37.1   |
| STS [27]     | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 68.1   | 34.4   |
| Temp.-Trans. [13] | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 79.3   | 49.8   |
| DPC [10]     | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 40     | R3D-34 | V    | 75.7   | 35.7   |
| MemDPC [11]  | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 40     | R3D-34 | V    | 78.1   | 41.2   |
| Pace Pred. [28] | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 77.1   | 36.6   |
| VideoMoCo [21] | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 78.7   | 49.2   |
| VideoDIM [8] | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 32     | R(2+1)D| V    | 79.7   | 49.2   |
| TCRL [7]     | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 84.3   | 54.2   |
| CBT [24]     | Kinetics-600 | 112  | 16     | S3D    | V    | 79.5   | 44.6   |
| SpeedNet [3] | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 64     | S3D-G  | V    | 81.1   | 48.8   |
| VTHCL [31]   | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 8      | R50    | V    | 82.1   | 49.2   |
| TaCo [2]     | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 16     | R50    | V    | 85.1   | 51.6   |
| CVRL [23]    | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 32     | R3D-50 | V    | 92.1   | 65.4   |
| DynamoNet [9] | Youtube8M   | 112  | 32     | STCNet | V    | 88.1   | 59.9   |
| STS [27]     | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 64     | S3D-G  | V+F  | 89.0   | 62.0   |
| CoCrRL [12]  | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 32     | S3D    | V+F  | 87.9   | 54.6   |
| AVTS [16]    | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 25     | MC3    | V+A  | 85.8   | 56.9   |
| XDC [1]      | Kinetics-400 | 224  | 8      | R(2+1)D| V+A  | 84.2   | 47.1   |
| GDT [22]     | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 32     | R(2+1)D| V+A  | 89.3   | 60.0   |
| MIL-NCE [19] | HowTo100M   | 224  | 32     | S3D    | V+T  | 91.3   | 61.0   |
| Ours (1-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 85.5   | 60.9   |
| Ours (1-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 87.1   | 59.8   |
| Ours (1-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 32     | S3D-G  | V    | 86.3   | 58.6   |
| Ours (10-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 16     | R3D-18 | V    | 87.1   | 63.6   |
| Ours (10-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 112  | 16     | R(2+1)D| V    | 88.2   | 62.2   |
| Ours (10-crop) | Kinetics-400 | 128  | 32     | S3D-G  | V    | 86.9   | 63.5   |
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Table 2. Extended comparison on the video retrieval tasks on UCF101 and HMDB51. We report recall at $k$ ($R@k$) for $k$-NN based video retrieval. Query videos are taken from test split 1 and retrievals computed on train split 1 of UCF101 and HMDB, respectively. * indicates Kinetics pre-training. We also report results when using a single spatial crop to extract feature vectors (instead of averaging over ten spatial crops).

| Method                | Network | UCF101 R@1 | UCF101 R@5 | UCF101 R@10 | UCF101 R@20 | HMDB51 R@1 | HMDB51 R@5 | HMDB51 R@10 | HMDB51 R@20 |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Jigsaw [20]           | AlexNet | 19.7       | 28.5       | 33.5        | 40.0        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| OPN [17]              | AlexNet | 19.9       | 28.7       | 34.0        | 40.6        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| Büchler et al. [4]    | AlexNet | 25.7       | 36.2       | 42.2        | 49.2        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| STS [27]              | C3D     | 30.1       | 49.6       | 58.8        | 67.6        | 13.9       | 33.3       | 44.7        | 59.5        |
| Pace Pred. [28]       | C3D     | 31.9       | 49.7       | 59.2        | 68.9        | 12.5       | 32.2       | 45.4        | 61.0        |
| PRP [32]              | R3D-18  | 22.8       | 38.5       | 46.7        | 55.2        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| VCOP [30]             | R3D-18  | 14.1       | 30.3       | 40.4        | 51.1        | 7.6        | 22.9       | 34.4        | 48.0        |
| VCP [18]              | R3D-18  | 18.6       | 33.6       | 42.5        | 53.5        | 7.6        | 24.4       | 36.6        | 53.6        |
| Var. PSP [6]          | R3D-18  | 24.6       | 41.9       | 51.3        | 62.7        | 10.3       | 26.6       | 38.8        | 51.6        |
| PCL [25]              | R3D-18  | 40.5       | 59.4       | 68.9        | 77.4        | 16.8       | 38.4       | 53.4        | 68.9        |
| MemDPC [11]           | R3D-18  | 20.2       | 40.4       | 52.4        | 64.7        | 7.7        | 25.7       | 40.6        | 57.7        |
| Temp.-Trans. [13]*    | R3D-18  | 26.1       | 48.5       | 59.1        | 69.6        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| SpeedNet [3]*         | S3D-G   | 13.0       | 28.1       | 37.5        | 49.5        | -          | -          | -           | -           |
| CoCRL [12]            | S3D     | 53.3       | 69.4       | 76.6        | 82.0        | 23.2       | 43.2       | 53.5        | 65.5        |
| TCRL [7]              | R(2+1)D| 56.9       | 72.2       | 79.0        | 84.6        | 24.1       | 45.8       | 58.3        | 75.3        |
| GDT [22]*             | R(2+1)D| 57.4       | 73.4       | 80.8        | 88.1        | 25.4       | 51.4       | 63.9        | 75.0        |
| Ours (1-crop)         | R3D-18  | 62.5       | 78.4       | 84.1        | 88.8        | 32.0       | 60.8       | 72.2        | 81.7        |
| Ours (1-crop)         | R(2+1)D| 64.6       | 80.8       | 85.8        | 90.5        | 29.7       | 53.7       | 66.9        | 77.8        |
| Ours (10-crop)        | R3D-18  | 63.6       | 79.0       | 84.8        | 89.9        | 32.2       | 60.3       | 71.6        | 81.5        |
| Ours (10-crop)        | R(2+1)D| 64.3       | 80.9       | 86.4        | 90.6        | 29.5       | 55.8       | 68.0        | 78.2        |
| Ours (1-crop)*        | R3D-18  | 66.9       | 83.1       | 88.8        | 93.3        | 36.4       | 64.1       | 74.1        | 83.8        |
| Ours (10-crop)*       | R(2+1)D| 67.8       | 83.7       | 88.9        | 93.7        | 38.0       | 65.2       | 75.9        | 83.2        |
| Ours (10-crop)*       | R(2+1)D| 64.2       | 81.1       | 87.4        | 92.6        | 33.1       | 60.8       | 73.1        | 84.1        |
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