Aflatoxin M₁ in Ultra High Temperature Milk Consumed in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) was detected in 4 (9.5%) of Ultra High Temperature (UHT) milk samples.
- The concentration range of AFM₁ was 2.8-7.4 ng/L in the UHT milk samples.
- None of the positive samples had AFM₁ levels exceeding the maximum permissible limit (50 ng/L).
- AFM₁ seems to be no serious public health problem in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

ABSTRACT

Background: Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) is a mycotoxin found in milk that has a carcinogenic effect and poses significant public health risks. Since the human population's consumption of milk and milk products are quite high, thereby increasing the risk of exposure to AFM₁ is of great threat. To assess public health hazards associated with the occurrence of AFM₁ in Ultra High Temperature (UHT) milk, a survey was carried out in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Methods: A total of 42 UHT milk samples from different commercial brands were collected from January to April 2020. The occurrence and concentration range of AFM₁ in the samples were investigated by applying the competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method.

Results: AFM₁ was detected in four positive samples (9.5%) with a concentration range of 2.8-7.4 ng/L and a mean concentration of 5.2±1.9 ng/L. However, none of the positive samples had AFM₁ levels exceeding the maximum permissible limit (50 ng/L) as set by the European Commission.

Conclusion: AFM₁ incidence in the samples selected from UHT consumed milk in Sharjah-UAE is very low and seems to be no serious public health problem at the moment. Frequent analytical surveillance by food control agencies is highly recommended to keep controlling of the incidence of mycotoxin contamination in dairy products consumed in the UAE.
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Introduction

Milk is considered to be one of the most valuable natural foods for all ages posing high nutrition and health benefits to humans (Li et al., 2018). However, milk and dairy products may be contaminated with Aflatoxin M₁.
(AFM$_1$) which has a potential risk to public health (Škrfi et al., 2014). AFM$_1$ is a member of a group of mycotoxins called aflatoxins which are secondary metabolites produced mainly by fungi of the genus Aspergillus flavus and A. parasiticus (Ahmad et al., 2014). There are more than 18 different types of aflatoxins that have been isolated, but the most important ones from the toxicological point of view are aflatoxins B$_1$, B$_2$, G$_1$, G$_2$, and M$_1$ (Benkerroum, 2020). AFM$_1$ is the metabolite of aflatoxin B$_1$ (AFB$_1$) that is excreted in the milk of ruminants that have consumed contaminated feeding stuff with AFB$_1$ (De Freitas et al., 2018).

AFM$_1$ had been classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a group 2 human carcinogen (IARC, 1993). Recently, the demonstrated toxic and carcinogenic effects of AFM$_1$ led to a change in its carcinogenicity classification from group 2 to group 1 (IARC, 2002a,b). Milk is the major source of introducing AFM$_1$ into the human diet. Since the human population's consumption of milk and milk products are quite high, its carcinogenicity classification from group 2 to group 1 has led to a change in consumption of milk and milk products is of great threat (Rastogi et al., 2004).

Due to serious health concerns, many countries have regulated the maximum permissible limits of AFM$_1$ in milk and dairy products. The European Commission (EC) has set a limit of 50 ng/L for AFM$_1$ in raw milk, heat-treated milk, and milk-based products (EC, 2006). The United Arab Emirates has considered the same limit of 50 ng/L for AFM$_1$ in milk and milk products.

This study was done to evaluate the occurrence of AFM$_1$ in Ultra High Temperature (UHT) milk consumed in Sharjah, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) to evaluate the potential of AFM$_1$ contamination of UHT milk.

**Materials and methods**

**Study areas and samples collection**

In this study, the AFM$_1$ content was examined in 42 UHT milk samples of different commercial brands, 13 local milk samples (manufactured in industrial dairy units in the UAE), and 29 imported milk samples from foreign producers. Samples were collected from the retail stores in Sharjah, UAE from January to April 2020 by a simple random sampling method. The samples were transported to the Veterinary Laboratory at the Higher Colleges of Technology, Sharjah in an insulated container and stored at 4°C for next analysis.

**Sample preparation**

AFM$_1$ concentration in UHT milk was measured using an AFM$_1$ competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbsent Assay (ELISA) Kit (MyBioSourse, San Diego, United States). Milk samples were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min. The upper creamy layer was removed by Pasteur pipette and 50 μl from the lower phase was used for the analysis.

**AFM$_1$ analyses by ELISA**

AFM$_1$ analyses were performed according to the test kit's instructions. The procedure was based on the competitive inhibition enzyme immunoassay technique. Briefly, 50 μl of the AFM$_1$ standard solutions (50 μl/well) and test samples (50 μl/well) were added in duplicate to the wells of the microtiter plate. Then, 50 μl of HRP conjugate and 50 μl of AFM$_1$ antibody were added to each well and incubated for 30 min at 25°C. The washing step was repeated four times, then 100 μl of the substrate was added to each well and mixed thoroughly and incubated for 15 min in the dark. Following the addition of 50 μl of the stop solution to each well, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm in ELISA reader (ELX-800, Bio-Tek Instruments, USA). The color development is inversely proportional to the AFM$_1$ concentration in the sample. The concentration of AFM$_1$ was calculated from the calibration curve which was obtained using standards with the following concentrations: 0, 2, 6, 18, 54, and 162 ng/L. According to the MyBioSource kit guidelines, the detection range is 2-162 ng/L and the lower detection limit is 2 ng/L for milk. The absorbance values were obtained for the standards and the samples, and then data were analyzed using the GEN5 software system.

**Results**

The ELISA method was validated to ensure data quality. Validation of ELISA was carried out by determination of recoveries and the mean variation coefficient for UHT milk spiked with different concentrations of AFM$_1$ (2, 6, 18, 54, and 162 ng/L). The results are expressed in Table 1. The standards of AFM$_1$ concentrations from 2 to 162 ng/L were used to generate the calibration/standard curve. The results showed the linearity of the standard curve over the range studied. Figure 1 gives the calibration curve of standard solutions of AFM$_1$ with concentrations of 2, 6, 18, 54, and 162 ng/L by ELISA analysis.

Analytical results showed that the presence of AFM$_1$ in the tested UHT milk samples was very low. AFM$_1$ were detected in four milk (9.5%) samples ranged between 2.8 and 7.4 ng/L and a mean concentration of 5.2±1.9 ng/L. The distribution of AFM$_1$ levels in the UHT milk samples by origin is presented in Table 2. Interestingly, none of the positive samples had AFM$_1$ in concentrations exceeding the EC Permissible limit (50 ng/L).
Table 1: Validation data of the competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁)

| AFM₁ spiked (ng/L) (n=5) | AFM₁ (ng/L) | Recovery (%) | Variation coefficient (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 2                        | 2.1         | 105.00       | 5                        |
| 6                        | 6.5         | 108.33       | 8.3                      |
| 18                       | 17.2        | 95.56        | 4.4                      |
| 54                       | 54.9        | 101.67       | 1.6                      |
| 162                      | 161.1       | 99.44        | 0.5                      |

Figure 1: Calibration curve of standard solutions of Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) with concentrations of 2, 6, 18, 54, and 162 ng/L by competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) analysis

Table 2: The distribution of Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) levels by origin in the Ultra High Temperature (UHT) milk samples consumed in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

| Samples origin | No. of samples | Positive samples | Exceed European Commission limit |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
|                | No.            | Range (ng/L)    | Mean±SD (ng/L)               |
| Local          | 13             | 1 (7.7%)        | 2.8                           | -                             | 0                |
| Imported       | 29             | 3 (10.3%)       | 3.5-7.4                       | 5.8±1.7                       | 0                |
| Total          | 42             | 4 (9.5%)        | 2.8-7.4                       | 5.2±1.9                       | 0                |

Discussion

AFM₁ contamination of milk and milk products can cause serious health problems. This toxin may accumulate in the human body and cause mutagenic, teratogenic, and carcinogenic effects (Miliţă et al., 2010). Many countries have established acceptance levels for AFM₁, from 50 ng/L in most European countries (EC, 2006) to 500 ng/L in the United States (FDA, 2005), however, the regulatory limits throughout the world are influenced by economic considerations, degree of development and may vary from one country to another (Van Egmond et al., 1997). The UAE Authority for Standards and Metrology has laid down general standard for contaminants and toxins in food and feed which mentioned that permissible limit for AFM₁ in milk and milk products is 50 ng/L.
In the current study, we aimed to evaluate the AFM$_1$ contamination levels in UHT milk consumed in Sharjah, UAE. To the best of our knowledge, only one study by Saad et al. (1989) has been published on milk contamination with AFM$_1$ in UAE concerning camel milk in Abu Dhabi. In this study, AFM$_1$ was detected in 6 out of 20 camel milk samples (30%), at levels ranging from 25 to 80 ng/L. Our study showed a very low incidence of AFM$_1$ in UHT milk consumed in Sharjah, UAE as only four samples were positive out of 42 with AFM$_1$ levels ranging from 2.8 and 7.4 ng/L and mean concentration of 5.2±1.9 ng/L which means that the occurrence of AFM$_1$ in the positive samples was far below the European Permissible Limit of AFM$_1$ (50 ng/L).

The results of the current study are corresponding with some studies reported by recent surveys carried out in some countries. In Najran, Saudi Arabia, a total of 96 samples of cow’s UHT milk were investigated for AFM$_1$ contamination with a minimum concentration of 10 ng/L and a maximum concentration of 190 ng/L and the mean value was 58±5.3 ng/L (Abdallah et al., 2012). In Morocco, 54 samples of pasteurized milk were surveyed for the presence of AFM$_1$ and 7.4% were above the maximum level of 50 ng/L set by the Moroccan and European regulations for AFM$_1$ in liquid milk (Zinedine et al., 2007). In Iran, the level of AFM$_1$ in raw and pasteurized milk produced in Alborz province was investigated in a study by Sarvar Taherabadi et al. (2016) as AFM$_1$ was detected in 20 samples of pasteurized milk with various concentration levels ranging from 2.4 to 231 ng/L, and 5% of the contaminated milk samples had higher levels of AFM$_1$ than the maximum recommended limit (50 ng/L).

Contamination of milk may be mitigated either directly by decreasing the AFM$_1$ content in contaminated milk or indirectly by decreasing AFB$_1$ contamination in the feed of dairy animals (Giovati et al., 2015). The low incidence of AFM$_1$ in the UHT milk in the UAE is probably due to a variety of strategies to mitigate the aflatoxin contamination in the dairy industry. The UAE government applied the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system in food control agencies. The HACCP-based food control system is a preventive approach that addresses the biological, chemical, and physical hazards through anticipation and prevention, rather than through end-product inspection and testing. This system identifies specific hazards and measures for their control to ensure the safety of food consumed in the UAE (Al-Kandari and Jukes, 2011). Moreover, the process of approving imported animal feed in the UAE requires a lot of procedures to ensure that the product is free from any harmful substances and the product is safe for animals and the environment. These procedures include a certificate from the manufacturer regarding adherence to the maximum limits of mycotoxins in the product. In addition, to ensure the health and safety of the consumers, the milk and dairy products in UAE must undergo a conformity assessment test conducted by the Emirates Authority for Standardization and Metrology (ESMA).

Some other studies showed a high rate of AFM$_1$ contamination in other regions of the world. In a study on 100 UHT milk samples consumed in Turkey, 62% of the examined samples contained AFM$_1$, ranging from 10 to 630 ng/L and 31% of UHT milk samples exceeded the maximum tolerable limit of the EC (Tekinşen and Eken, 2008). In a study conducted in India, the incidence of contamination of AFM$_1$ in infant milk, milk-based cereal weaning food, and liquid milk samples was 87% with 99% of contaminated samples exceeding the European Union (EU)/Codex recommended limits (Rastogi et al., 2004). In another study conducted in India by Hattimare et al. (2022), AFM$_1$ was detected in 52 milk samples (35.6%) with concentration levels up to 2,608 ng/L and 94.2% of the contaminated milk samples had higher levels of AFM$_1$ than the maximum recommended limit (50 ng/L). In Pakistan, the AFM$_1$ in raw milk samples from 14 districts of the Punjab province was detected in all samples and 99.4% of samples exceeded the EU limit, i.e. 50 ng/L (Hussain and Anwar, 2008). In Bangladesh, a total of 145 samples of raw milk, pasteurized milk, UHT milk, and fermented milk products such as yoghurt were tested for determination of AFM$_1$ levels through competitive ELISA and 78.6% of milk and milk products samples contaminated in the range of 5.0 to 198.7 ng/L (Sumon et al., 2021). In Ethiopia, a study was conducted on a total of 100 raw milk samples for AFM$_1$ analysis in the South Gonder Zone from January to February 2020 and AFM$_1$ was detected in the 99% of raw milk samples with 41% exceeded the limit of the EU (Admasu et al., 2021). Concerning the regional distribution of AFM$_1$ contamination, the high occurrence of AFM$_1$ in the higher temperature and relative humidity regions may be associated with the storage of the rations or silage under inadequate conditions which can lead to the opportunity the contamination with the toxigenic Aspergillus fungi and aflatoxins formation. Cows may be kept in dairy farms and fed on the contaminated rations with AFB$_1$ hence they may produce contaminated milk with AFM$_1$.

The quality and safety of milk in some regions of the world have improved in recent years probably because of the regular testing of AFM$_1$ and the enhancement of the milk examination techniques. In China, the concentration of AFM$_1$ in 547 milk samples was at an average of 19.6 ng/L in positive samples and only 5.3% of the positive samples were higher than the maximum residue level of 50 ng/L set by the EU (Xiong et al., 2021). In Brazil, 108 goat milk samples were tested for the occurrence of AFM$_1$ in 2021 and all positive goat milk samples were below the maximum recommended limit (50 ng/L; De
Matos et al., 2021). In Albania, a survey on AFM$_1$ contamination in 119 cow milk samples from retail markets was conducted in 2019-2020 and the mean AFM$_1$ concentration for the analyzed samples was 22 ng/L (Topi et al., 2022).

**Conclusion**

The results of this study indicated that the occurrence of AFM$_1$ concentrations in UHT milk samples consumed in Sharjah, UAE was very slight and far below the EC limits. This is probably because of the implementation of a food control system, such as the Hazard HACCP system in the UAE food industries.

Since there are not enough studies in the UAE about the AFM$_1$ content of milk, more studies are required. Frequent analytical surveillance by food control agencies is highly recommended to keep control of the incidence of mycotoxin contamination in dairy products consumed in the UAE. This study emphasized the role of improving manufacturing processes that enhance the quality and safety of milk products through inspection and routine sampling.
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