Evaluation of the selectivity of G-quadruplex ligands in living cells with a small molecule fluorescent probe
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1. Introduction

G-quadruplex DNA, an attractive high-order structure formed by guanine-rich nucleic acid sequences [1,2], is putatively present in functional genomic regions such as telomeres, the promoters of important proto-oncogenes, and most growth control genes [3–5]. Convincing studies have implicated the biologically important roles of G-quadruplexes in metabolic processes, including transcription regulation of oncogenes, DNA replication and telomere stability [6–8]. This makes G-quadruplex DNA a promising target for drug design. Intensive research on the screening and evaluation of G-quadruplex ligands has been hugely expanded [9–12], and numerous quadruplex-interactive ligands have been developed over the past two decades [13–17]. One of the quadruplex-interactive compounds, quafloxin (CX-3543), has entered Phase II clinical trials as a first-in-class candidate for multiple types of cancers [18]. However, despite much progress, one of the biggest challenges in this field still exists. That is, the method for detecting the specific targeting of these ligands to the G-quadruplex structures in cells is still lacking. Herein, a detection system for directly identifying the specific targeting of a ligand to DNA G-quadruplexes in cells was constructed by using a small-molecular fluorescent probe (IMT) as a fluorescent indicator. Four typical ligands have been successfully evaluated, demonstrating the promising application of this detection system in the screening and evaluation of quadruplex-specific therapeutic agents.
of IMT from the quadruplex moiety by ligands is possible (Scheme 1). Thus, changes in IMT fluorescence caused by binding of a ligand to G-quadruplexes can provide direct evidence for ligand-specific targeting of G-quadruplexes in cells. On this basis, the targeting of four typical quadruplex-interactive ligands including pyridostatin (PDS) [21–23], TmPyP4 [24–26], sanguinarine (San) [27,28] and RHPS4 [29,30] has been evaluated by using IMT as a fluorescent indicator.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

All oligonucleotides (Table S1) were purchased from Invitrogen (Beijing, China), purified by PAGE. The stock solutions of the oligonucleotides were prepared by dissolving oligonucleotides directly into 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.0) and annealed in a thermocycler (first heating at 90 °C for 2 min, then cooled down to room temperature slowly). The ligands PDS (NO. SML0678, Sigma), TmPyP4 (NO. 613560, Sigma), San (NO. IS0040, Solarbio) and RHPS4 (NO.B6186, APEXBio, USA) were used as received without further purification. Cell nucleus staining dyes propidium iodide (PI) and SYTO®59 were all obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Company. All other ordinary solvents and chemical regents stock solution of IMT (10 mM) was prepared in methanol. Ultrapure water, prepared by Milli-Q Gradient ultrapure water system (Millipore), was used in all experiments.

2.2. UV–vis absorption spectroscopy

Absorption spectra were acquired with UV–1601PC at room temperature using a quartz cuvette with a path length of 10 mm.

2.3. Fluorescence spectroscopy

Fluorescence spectra were acquired using Hitachi F-4600 at room temperature. A 10-mm path length quartz cuvette was used in all experiments. For fluorescence measurements, both excitation and emission slits were 5 nm, and the scan speed was set at 1200 nm min⁻¹.

2.4. Cell culture

Hela (cervical carcinoma) cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eadle’s Medium) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 48 h.

2.5. Ligand cytotoxicity

Hela Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (5.0 × 10⁴ cells per well) and exposed to different concentrations of ligands. After 15 min incubation, 20 μL of 5 mg mL⁻¹ methylthiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) solution was introduced to each well, and the cells were further incubated for 4 h. The cells in each well were then treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (200 μL per well), and the optical density (OD) was recorded at 490 nm. All experiments were performed in parallel and in triplicate, and the Cell viability were derived from the curves of the mean OD values of the triplicate tests plotted against the drug concentration.

2.6. Fixed cell imaging

Hela cells grown on Petri dish were fixed for 5 min in cold methanol, rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then incubated with 4 μM IMT and various concentrations of ligands (0–16 μM) for 15 min approximately. The nuclei were counter-stained with PI. Then cells were visualized under a CLSM (OLYMPUS FV1000-IX81) equipped with an oil immersion 100X objective. CLSM images of IMT and PI were collected under excitation wavelength at 405 nm and 559 nm, respectively.

2.7. Live cell imaging

For living cells, IMT (4 μM) and the ligands with different concentrations of 0–16 μM were added to the culture medium for 15 min before collecting the CLSM images. The red nucleic dye SYTO®59 was used to label the nuclei of living cells. Then cells were visualized under the CLSM. CLSM images of IMT and SYTO®59 were collected under excitation wavelength at 405 nm and 635 nm, respectively.

2.8. Statistical analysis of relative fluorescence intensity

To quantify the fluorescence intensity in the nuclei, the digital images were analyzed using the high content screening (HCS) studio bio-application from the Cellomics ArrayScan Vti (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) high-content imaging analysis platform. The data were obtained from approximately 300 cells per sample and the standard error of the mean was calculated from three replicates. Statistical analysis and P values were calculated using the Student’s-test.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Ligand screening

Three factors for evaluation of quadruplex-ligands based on the fluorescence competition displacement are critical. That is, the ligands should have the same site as IMT on the G-quadruplex structures; the binding affinity should be high enough for the ligands to replace IMT; and the IMT fluorescence should not be disturbed by the ligand fluorescence. Since the large planar structure has become an important feature that distinguishes G-quadruplex structures from double-stranded DNA, most of the quadruplex-interactive ligands have an extended aromatic core structure, enabling them to be stacked on the G-tetrad plane [14]. Thus most ligands have the same interaction sites on the G-quadruplex structures as IMT. The ligands PDS, TmPyP4, San and RHPS4 with large planar aromatic scaffolds, should also be no exception.
To confirm this, we conducted a ligand-induced fluorescence quenching assay. In this assay, differentially Cy5 end-labelled oligonucleotides (oligos) were used to measure 5'-tetrad or/and 3'-tetrad quenching caused by a ligand, thereby validating the ligand binding to either end of a G-quadruplex structure [36]. Three typical G-quadruplex topologies including parallel, antiparallel and hybrid-type were studied as representative (Table S1) [37–40]. For all of these G-quadruplex topologies, the emission intensity of Cy5 only at one end has been quenched by IMT (Fig. S1), indicating that IMT only bound to one tetrad, which is consistent with our previous analysis [20]. However, the emission intensity of Cy5 at both ends has been pronouncedly quenched by the four ligands, revealing that the action site of IMT on the quadruplex structures has also been targeted by these ligands.

According to the fluorimetric titrations, the binding constants of the four ligands to various G-quadruplex structures are over $10^6$ M$^{-1}$ (Fig. S2), close to the previously reported values [36,41,42]. The binding constant ($K_a$) of IMT to G-quadruplex structures is about $10^5$ M$^{-1}$ [41,42], lower than the $K_a$ values of these four ligands, which means that the ligands can replace IMT from the G-tetrad effectively.

To verify whether the fluorescence emission of the four ligands causes background interference, we subsequently measured the fluorescence characteristics of these ligands in the buffer solution. It is known that the maximum absorbance of IMT is at 405 nm (Fig. S3), so only 405 nm excitation can be selected for cell imaging experiments under CLSM. To mimic the excitation wavelength of CLSM imaging, we performed the fluorescence measurement of all ligands using an excitation wavelength of 405 nm. The fluorescent spectra of all four ligands exhibited negligible fluorescence within the range of 425–525 nm (corresponding to the CLSM fluorescence collection wavebands) (Fig. S4), indicating that these ligands will not interfere with the detection of the IMT fluorescence.

### 3.2. Ligands replace IMT in solution

Having known that all four ligands meet the above three requirements, we then conducted the competition displacement experiments using ligands to replace IMT from the G-quadruplex structures. For each ligand, we determined the ratio ($F/F_0$) of the IMT fluorescence signal with the addition of ligands in the presence of different G-quadruplex structures. In any set of samples, the fluorescence of IMT-quadruplex is significantly quenched by the ligands and the fluorescence intensity reduced by more than 70% in the presence of 4-fold ligands (Fig. 1 and S5), confirming the effective displacement of IMT by these ligands. To further understand how long this replacement process takes, time-dependent fluorescence measurement of all IMT-quadruplex adducts was performed once the ligands were titrated in. We found that the fluorescence signal dropped sharply when the ligand was added (Fig. S6) and reached its lowest value within 2 min. The results indicate that the ligand displacement of IMT is a rapid process.

The fluorescence quenching caused by ligand competition allows for the determination of whether a ligand targets G-quadruplexes based on changes in the IMT fluorescence. If the ligand is highly targeted to G-quadruplexes without interference from double-stranded DNA, competition of the ligand with IMT will effectively quench the IMT fluorescence. Conversely, if the ligand also has high affinity for double-stranded DNA, the presence of double-stranded DNA will inevitably reduce fluorescence quenching efficiency. We have observed the effective quenching of IMT fluorescence by the four ligands in the absence of double-stranded DNA. In the presence of 10-fold double-stranded DNA, we found that the efficiency of PDS and RHP4 quenching IMT fluorescence was only slightly changed, but the efficiency of TmPyP4 and San quenching IMT fluorescence was significantly reduced (Fig. S7). We further conducted a duplex-titration experiment in the presence of 4-fold ligands and observed a duplex dose-dependent increase in fluorescence of the IMT-quadruplex adducts in the presence of TmPyP4 and San instead of PDS and RHP4 (Fig. 2). To confirm that the IMT fluorescence enhancement was due to the interaction between IMT and G-quadruplexes after these ligands were competitively bound by the double-stranded DNA, rather than the binding of IMT to the double-stranded DNA, we further determine the fluorescence changes of IMT with an increasing amount of calf thymus (CT)-DNA and herring sperm (HS)-DNA under the condition that IMT is excessive relative to G-quadruplexes. The results showed that the IMT fluorescence only changed slightly after the addition of these double-stranded DNA (Fig. S8), indicating that the fluorescence enhancement of IMT was indeed not due to its interaction with double-stranded DNA. In view of this, we can speculate...
that the specificity of TmPyP4 and San targeting G-quadruplexes may be disturbed by the presence of double-stranded DNA.

3.3. Evaluation of ligands in fixed cells

It is known that the intracellular environment is different from the buffer solution. The ligand targeting to G-quadruplex structures can be more accurately evaluated by directly observing the IMT fluorescence quenching in cells with addition of ligands. Considering that these ligands enter the nucleus may be affected by their membrane permeability, we first performed the evaluation experiments in the fixed Hela cells. In the absence of any ligand, we could observe punctate fluorescence foci from the interaction between IMT and G-quadruplexes in the cell nucleus (Fig. 3A) [20]. With the increase of PDS and RHPS4, the fluorescence foci were obviously decreased, consistent with the fluorescence quenching results in buffer solution, demonstrating the good specificity of the two ligands to the G-quadruplexes in cells (Fig. 3A and B). In the presence of TmPyP4, the fluorescence foci were also reduced, but the degree of reduction was much smaller than that in the solution (Fig. 1), indicating that TmPyP4 may also target other substances such as double-stranded DNA [43–45]. And for San, there was almost no change in the fluorescence foci, which suggests that San may not bind to the G-quadruplex structures in cells. In addition to G-quadruplexes, many proteins and RNAs have also been reported to bind to San with high affinity [46–50], which may be the reason why San cannot target G-quadruplexes in cells.

3.4. Evaluation of ligands in living cells

To further reveal the true targeting of these ligands in biological systems, we then performed live cell imaging in the presence of IMT and ligands. To rule out the toxic damage that these ligands may have on the cells, we performed imaging immediately after 15 min of ligand addition. MTT experiments confirmed that these ligands did notcause toxic damage to the cells during this period (Fig. S9). The results of live-cell imaging of PDS, San and TmPyP4 were consistent with their results in fixed-cell imaging (Fig. 4). For...
the RHP54 group, however, the fluorescence quenching in live cells was much weaker than that in fixed cells. The main difference between living cells and fixed cells is that they have different permeability. We examined the localization of RHP54 in both fixed and living cells, and found that RHP54 was mainly distributed in the nucleus of fixed cells and the cytoplasm of living cells (Fig. S10). The poor membrane permeability of RHP54 may be the main reason for the decrease of fluorescence quenching efficiency in the live cells.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have provided an effective detection system to directly identify the specificity of ligands to DNA G-quadruplex structures in cells by using IMT as a fluorescent indicator. This detection system is primarily based on the competitive interaction of ligands with IMT on the G-quadruplex structures. The targeting of four typical ligands including PDS, TmPyP4, San and RHPS4 to G-quadruplexes in cells has been evaluated. So far, the development of quadruplex-interactive ligands has become an important research field because of the important regulating roles of G-quadruplexes in tumor and other diseases. Numerous quadruplex-interactive ligands have been developed, but their specificity for G-quadruplexes in vivo has not been well characterized. This study demonstrates the promising application of this detection system in the screening and evaluation of therapeutic agents that specifically target G-quadruplexes in cells.
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