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ABSTRACT

This article describes the implementation of a fuzzy and a PID control system for the velocity and torque of a DC power motor, based on the variation of the armor current and its duty cycle. The control system has been applied to a medium power DC motor, using Python, and a low-cost embedded system - Raspberry Pi, this work is fundamental given the importance of implementing velocity and torque controllers that yield energy optimization and correct operation being achieved through the regulation of the duty cycle applied to the power of the DC motor.

RESUMEN

Se Este artículo describe la implementación de un sistema de control difuso (FLC) y un controlador convencional proporcional-integral-derivativo (PID) para la velocidad y torque de un motor DC de potencia media, basado en la variación de corriente de armadura y en el control del ciclo de trabajo. El sistema de control ha sido aplicado a un motor DC de Potencia media, utilizando Python, y un sistema embeido de bajo costo - Raspberry Pi, dicho trabajo es fundamental dada la importancia de implementar controladores de velocidad y torque que permitirán la optimización de la energía para su correcto funcionamiento lográndose a través de la regulación del ciclo de trabajo aplicado a la potencia del motor DC.
1. Introduction

The DC Motor of medium power has been widely used in industrial and domestic processes such as electric wheelchairs, mobile robots and others applications. Many applications require precise speed control. The parameters of Motor DC changes in each moment of time. These variations are due to inaccuracies in the detection of current, temperature increase and changes in operating conditions, as well as to the errors of some sensor.

In recent years new and different control techniques have been studying in order to improve the speed regulation of the DC Motor. In [1] the authors apply a diffuse gain tuner and a traditional PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) control technique to the control of a motor; in [2] a PID technique is used, where the authors include software and firmware elements applied to Xilinx and FPGAs to control a DC motor; in [3] a control is implemented by Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) using microcontrollers and implementing the entire closed loop feedback control circuit.

In this work has been addressed the problem of speed and torque control of DC Motor, implementing a hybrid model PID/ Fuzzy, using a low-cost embedded system [4] and an efficient control technique, which is determined by comparing classic and modern control actions [1-3], [5].

2. Model of the motor DC

By relation of the electrical and mechanical equations, the DC motor model, was obtained, which the input is the applied voltage and the output is the rotational speed of the axis.

For this, it is necessary to know the follow parameters:

- Inertial Moment of the rotor ($J$).
- Damping coefficient of the mechanical system ($B$).
- Electromotive force constant $K = K_e = K_t$
- Armature resistance ($R_a$).
- Armature inductance ($L_a$).
- Input ($v$): Voltage source.
- Output ($w_m$): Rotational axis speed.

The basic scheme of model of the DC motor is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1. Model of DC Motor.** [6]

![Diagram of DC Motor](https://example.com/diagram)

The differential equations of this system are:

$$K_e = K_a \phi$$

$$e_a = K_e w_m$$

$$K_t = K_b \phi$$

$$T = K_t i_a$$

Where:

- $w_m$ – Rotational axis speed.
- $\phi$ – magnetic flux.
- $T$ – Generated Torque.

Kirchhoff’s second law

$$v - e_a = R_a i_a + L_a \frac{di_a}{dt}$$

Newton’s second law

$$T - T_i = J \frac{dw_m}{dt} + Bw_m$$

Transforming to the Laplace (7) y (8)

$$I_a(S) = \frac{V(S) - E_a(S)}{J_a S + R_a}$$

$$W_m(S) = \frac{T(S) - T_i(S)}{J S + B}$$

Replacing (2) in (7)

$$I_a(S) = \frac{V(S) - K_e W_m(S)}{J_a S + R_a}$$
Figure 2. Block Diagram of a Plant [7].

From the equations (7), (8) and (9) is obtained the block diagram representing the DC motor controlled in the armature (Figure 2) [7].

\[
G_s = \frac{K_t}{L_a S^2 + (L_a B + R_a) S + R_a B}
\]

\[
G_s = \frac{K_t}{L_a S^2 + (L_a B + R_a) S + R_a B + K_t K_e}
\]

3. Parameter measurement

To measure the parameters shown in Equation (10), we proceed as follows:

3.1. Armor resistance

To calculate the resistance, the motor was fed with a low magnitude voltage and just before it started to work, were taken different voltage and current measurements by varying the position of the rotor; The armor resistance calculation was then performed using ohm’s law and averaging the results (Table 1) [8].

3.2. Armor Inductance

For the calculation of the inductance, the motor was fed with an AC source and varied taking into account that the current limits of the motor were not exceeded. The voltage and current readings were taken; The Impedance calculation was performed using ohm’s law and averaging the results (Table 2) [8].

Table 1. Armor Resistance. [9]

| MOTOR 180W | E2 (V) | I2 (A) | Ra (Ω) |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0,595     | 0,253  | 2.352  |
| 0,608     | 0,251  | 2.422  |
| 0,624     | 0,246  | 2.537  |
| 0,637     | 0,244  | 2.611  |
| 0,655     | 0,236  | 2.775  |
| 0,667     | 0,235  | 2.838  |
| 0,667     | 0,234  | 2.850  |
| 0,719     | 0,221  | 3.253  |
| 0,725     | 0,218  | 3.326  |
| 0,746     | 0,215  | 3.470  |
| 0,758     | 0,216  | 3.509  |
| 0,781     | 0,208  | 3.755  |
| 0,818     | 0,199  | 4.111  |
| 0,833     | 0,192  | 4.339  |
| 0,869     | 0,185  | 0.470  |
| 1,080     | 0,132  | 8.182  |

Table 2. Armor Inductance. [8]

| MOTOR 180W | E2 (V) | I2 (A) | Z (Ω) |
|-----------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0,993     | 0,422  | 2,35308057 |
| 1,69      | 0,855  | 1,97660819 |
| 2,09      | 1,09   | 1,91743119 |
| 2,26      | 1,86   | 1,21505376 |
| 2,762     | 2,265  | 1,21942605 |
| 2,932     | 3,194  | 0,9179712 |
| 2,604     | 2,942  | 0,88511217 |
| 3,086     | 3,427  | 0,90049606 |
| 3,354     | 3,518  | 0,9533826 |
| 3,731     | 3,647  | 1,02303263 |
|           |        | 1,33615944 |

For the calculation of \(L\) (Armature inductance), with the equation \(L = \frac{\sqrt{Z^2 - R^2}}{2\pi f}\), we obtained a negative inductance value, showing that the inductance value was too small to be calculated by means of this test (Figure 3). For the correct calculation of the Armature Inductance a parameter meter was used, obtaining the following result:
3.3. Intrinsic Constants of the Motor

To determine the value of the constant of electromotive force \( K \), we utilize the equation:

\[
K = \frac{V_a - R_a i}{\omega}.
\]

To minimize the calculation error, we measure the voltage, in intervals from 1 to the maximum voltage and obtain the average of \( K \) [10]. See Table 3.

Table 3. Intrinsic Constants of the Motor. [10]

| MOTOR 180W | E (V) | I (A) | W (rpm) | W (rad/s) | K (V*s/rad) | B (N*m*s/rad) |
|------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| 2,132      | 0.345 | 203.2 | 21,279104 | 0.0424061 | 0.00068753  |
| 3,255      | 0.381 | 365.3 | 38,254216 | 0.04959072 | 0.00049390  |
| 4,375      | 0.431 | 511   | 53,51192  | 0.05305072 | 0.00042728  |
| 5,367      | 0.473 | 638.8 | 66,895136 | 0.05502868 | 0.00038909  |
| 6,278      | 0.506 | 758.8 | 79,461536 | 0.05631069 | 0.00035857  |
| 7,314      | 0.536 | 891.9 | 93,399768 | 0.05785463 | 0.00033201  |
| 8,525      | 0.559 | 1052  | 110,16544 | 0.05929839 | 0.00030089  |
| 9,596      | 0.58  | 1189  | 124,51208 | 0.06046631 | 0.00028166  |
| 10,65      | 0.622 | 1330  | 139,2776  | 0.06054879 | 0.00027040  |
| 11,54      | 0.62  | 1447  | 151,52984 | 0.06157348 | 0.00025193  |
| 20,81      | 0.727 | 2687  | 281,38264 | 0.06474761 | 0.00016728  |

Replacing the value of the parameters in (10) and operating

\[
G(S) = \frac{58737.82}{S^2+8069.073+4633.67}
\]

Where Equation (12) is equals the transfer function of the plant.

4. PID Control

The PID controllers are the most frequently used in the control of industry processes, where more than 95% of control loops use PID controllers. It’s simple, versatility and ability to solve the basic problems in the industry processes with favorable dynamics and modest operating requirements make them an essential tool in process control. [12-13].

The transfer function of the PID controller given in Equation (13) and is partitioned as [12-13]:

\[
G_c(s) = K_p + K_d S + \frac{K_i}{S}
\]

\[
G_c(s) = (1 + K_{d1}S)(K_{p1} + \frac{K_{i1}}{S})
\]

Table 4. Experimental parameters of DC motor.

| Ra (Ω) | 3.56 |
|--------|------|
| K (\(\frac{V_s}{rad} \)) | 0.056 |
| J (Kgm^2) | 2.1603x10^-3 |
| B (\(\frac{Nm*s}{rad} \)) | 3.6x10^-4 |
| L (μH) | 441.2 |

Source: own.
The proportional constant of the PD part is 1, due that the PID controller only requires three parameters.

Equating both members of Equation (17) you have:

$$K_d = K_{d1}K_{d2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$K_d = K_{d1}K_{p2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$K_i = K_{i2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The PI part selects the values of $K_{i2}$ and $K_{p2}$ that are required so that the system upload time is satisfied. The stable state error of the PI control system is improved in an order. The maximum over pulse at this stage is not considered and may be larger than desired [12-13].

4.1. Tuning of PID

For the implementation of the PID controller we utilize a block in SIMULINK / MATLAB (Figure 4) called PID Controller is used; This block tunes the controller gains automatically (Figures 5 and 6) [14].

Figure 4. Block Diagram of PID Control in [15].

Figure 5. Automatic Tuning of constants in [15].

Exist a PID algorithm called speed PID, which has the following control law [11]:

$$U(s) = K\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau_s s} + \frac{\tau_ds}{1+\frac{\tau_ds}{\tau_d s}}\right)E(s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
4.2. Digital PID control

To perform a digital control, the control law must be discretized; in this case the PID control law must be discretized, applying the Z transform to Equation (18), [11] is obtained:

\[
U(z) = K_p \left( 1 + \frac{T}{T_i(1-z^{-1})} + \frac{N T_d}{T_d N + T_d (1-z^{-1})} \right)
\]

(18)

Where \( T \) is the sampling period

To implement the PID Control in the embedded system Raspberry Pi it is necessary to transform Equation (18), in the domain \( Z \), using equations in differences through the inverse \( Z \) transform, obtaining [11]:

\[
U(k) = U_{k-1} + a \cdot E_k + b \cdot E_{k-1} + c \cdot E_{k-2}
\]

(19)

\[
a = K_p + \frac{K_p T_i}{T} + \frac{K_p T_d}{T}
\]

(20)

\[
b = K_p + \frac{2K_p T_d}{T}
\]

(21)

\[
c = K_p + \frac{K_p T_d}{T}
\]

(22)

5. Fuzzy Control

The fuzzy control provides a formal methodology to represent, manipulate and implement the heuristic knowledge to control a system. Basically, the fuzzy controller is a system that makes decisions, operates in a closed cycle and real time. The fuzzy control receives information of the outputs of the plant to controller, compares it with the reference value, and then decides which the inputs of the plant [16].

A block diagram of a digital fuzzy control system is shown in Figure 7. The fuzzy controller consists of the following 6 elements [16]:

1) A base rule (“IF-THEN” rule set), which contain a fuzzy logical quantification of the expert's linguistic description to achieve optimal control.

2) An active rule generator, in this stage each input variable generates a memory address discretized and associated with its corresponding active rule stored in memory.

3) An inference mechanism (Also called “inference engine” or “fuzzy Inference module”), which emulates the expert's decision to interpret and apply knowledge of the best form to the control of the plant.

4) The Fusion interface, converts the controller inputs into information that the inference mechanism can easily use to activate and apply rules.

5) An aggregate stage, where the fuzzy sets are evaluated before being defusified and grouped.

6) The Defuzzifier Interface, which converts the conclusions of the inference mechanism to inputs suitable for the process.

Figure 7. Fuzzy Controller. [6]

5.1. Implementation of a fuzzy controller in [15]

The implementation of the fuzzy controller, uses Mandani type, of the MATLAB [15] Fuzzy Logic Designer toolbox is used [17]: This toolbox allows to enter the control rules, membership functions, inference methods, merger and defusification [16-20].
For the implementation of the fuzzy controller, two inputs called ERROR and CHANGE were taken. The error was divided into 5 groups as follows:

- Vel_Alta: Trapezoidal function.
- Vel_Med_Alta: Triangular function.
- Vel_Cte: Triangular function.
- Vel_Med_Baja: Triangular function.
- Vel_Baja: Trapezoidal function.

The error scheme, see Figure 8 whose range ranges from -1 to 1, present these values per unit. It means that if the reference speed is 500 rpm, the feedback value is 600 rpm and if the base value is 1500 rpm, the error presented would be:

$$ Error = \frac{V_{ref} - V_{salida}}{V_{Base}} \quad Error = \frac{500-600}{1500} = -0.067 \quad (23) $$

The result of Equation (23), shows the error would be included in the Vel_Cte and Vel_Med_Baja category. This stage is known as fusification and inference.

The derivative of the error see Figure 8 was divided into two groups, and represent the sudden changes, translated as change of error, which, when the motor rotates at constant reference speed, can present. Their range ranges from -1 to 1, presenting these values per unit.

- E_Alto_Negativo: Triangular Function.
- E_Alto_Positivo: Triangular Function.

The response, or output, of the fuzzy controller is called CONTROL. In Figure 8 you can see the distribution of the universe for the control action, whose range varies from 0 to 24 Volts:

- Decrease_Much: Triangular Function.
- Decrease_Poor: Triangular function.
- Hold: Triangular function.
- Increase_Poor: Triangular function.
- Increase_Much: Triangular Function.

However, it is essential to assign a set of base rules so that there is consistency in the response. The Figure 8 shows the action of control to be performed depending on the value received, by the controller, as input. For example, it is presented that for an ERROR equal to 0 (Vel_Cte), CHANGE equal to 0, the CONTROL action must be 12V (Hold). This last stage is known as defusification and MATLAB / SIMULINK [15] uses the method of centroid. For practical purposes, was not built a control matrix as recommended in [16-18], since the objective of this project is to implement the result of these simulations in an embedded system and many control rules generate a quite high computing expense. The Figure 9 shows the characteristic surface of the diffuse controller and all possible combinations that the system can offer.

**Figure 8. Rules of control [15].**

![Figure 8. Rules of control [15].](image)

**Figure 9. Control Surface [15].**

![Figure 9. Control Surface [15].](image)

Finally, the Figure 10 and Figure 11 show the block diagram of the fuzzy control system and the response of the system to a disturbance. The block calls DC motor, is the equivalent to the DC motor, whose values of resistance of armature, inductance of armature, moment of inertia and intrinsic parameters were found by means of laboratory tests and can be seen in Table 4. In addition to the parameters for the model of motor, in the block is a torque input to simulate variations of it at different times during simulation.

![Figure 10. Block diagram of the fuzzy control system.](image)

![Figure 11. Response of the system to a disturbance.](image)
In fact, Figure 11 shows the response of the system to a considerable increase in torque, 7 seconds after the start of the simulation; and we see the as despite the transients, tries to follow the reference value.

Figure 10. Diagram of Blocks of Fuzzy control [15].

Figure 11. Graphic of Output signal and reference signal of fuzzy controller [15].

Table 5. Test of the motor using fuzzy logic.

| Cases | Torque [Nm] | Ref. Speed [rpm] | Out. Speed [rpm] | Current [A] | Response Time [ms] |
|-------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 1     | 1.35        | 100              | 100.10 \cdot 100.04 | 10.03       | 7                  |
|       | 1.35        | 1500             | 1499.64 \cdot 1499.57 | 10.03       |                    |
| 2     | 2.10        | 100              | 99.78 \cdot 99.71  | 13.72       | 8                  |
|       | 0.00        | 1500             | 1499.84 \cdot 1499.77 | 2.12        |                    |
| 3     | 0.00        | 1500             | 1499.84 \cdot 1499.76 | 2.12        | 7.5                |
|       | 0.00        | 100              | 99.93 \cdot 99.96  | 2.12        |                    |
| 4     | 0.00        | 1500             | 1499.84 \cdot 1499.76 | 2.12        | 8                  |
|       | 2.10        | 100              | 99.62 \cdot 99.54  | 13.72       |                    |
| 5     | 0.00        | 1500             | 1499.84 \cdot 1499.76 | 2.12        | 7                  |
|       | 1.35        | 1500             | 1499.64 \cdot 1499.57 | 10.03       |                    |
| 6     | 0.00        | 1000             | 999.76 \cdot 999.69 | 2.12        | 7                  |
|       | 1.35        | 1000             | 999.54 \cdot 999.49 | 10.03       |                    |
| 7     | 0.00        | 500              | 499.86 \cdot 499.78 | 2.12        | 7                  |
|       | 2.10        | 500              | 499.41 \cdot 499.64 | 13.72       |                    |

Source: own.
Table 6. Test of the motor using PID control.

| Cases | Start | End |
|-------|-------|-----|
| 1     | 1.35  | 1.35|
| 2     | 2.1   | 0   |
| 3     | 0     | 0   |
| 4     | 2.1   | 2.1 |
| 5     | 0     | 1.35|
| 6     | 0     | 1.35|
| 7     | 2.1   | 2.1 |

Source: own.

Figure 12. Scheme of Control of implemented system [6].

5.2. Tests of the controllers

7 cases were implemented for each control technique, varying the torque and reference speed, under the following parameters: constant torque and variable speed; variable torque and constant speed; variable torque and speed. Presenting the results in Tables 5 and 6.

5.3. Simulations analysis

Based on the results obtained in Tables 5 and 6, it can be concluded that the fuzzy controller responds faster than the PID controller. The first has an answer in milliseconds, while the PID controller has an answer in seconds. In addition, regarding the analysis of transients in the response signals of both controllers, it can be observed in case 4 that in the fuzzy controller it is almost non-existent, while in the PID controller it generates a longer transient.

However, the fuzzy controller presents interference in case 5 in which the response of the system without charge is simulated in an increase in effort and the system remaining invariant in speed. Also, we appreciated that the response of the PID controller is much cleaner and smoother. The possibility of interference being mitigated by the circuit elements used in the prototype is raised.
6. Implementation of the prototype of control of speed and torque of a DC motor using the embedded system Raspberry Pi.

6.1. The scheme of the control of speed and torque of the DC Motor

The model of control of speed and torque of DC motor can be represented by integrate the system in Figure 12.

For the control of the DC Motor using the embedded system Raspberry Pi is necessary to use components that allow the relationship between the motor and the output signal emitted by Raspberry Pi (PWM pulse) [21-22] and the analog current reading with its corresponding conditioning and digital conversion so that it can be read by the embedded system (Figure 12) [23].

The Figure 13 shows connection of the prototype. The connection of the set of elements of the system is described: DC Motor, H Bridge, Current Sensor, Raspberry Pi, Analog / Digital Converter [22-25].

Figure 13. Connection of the prototype [26].

![Connection of the prototype](image)

Figure 14. Representation of the H bridge [30].

6.2. Power stage

The H bridge is a typical circuit used for motor control. A simplified schematic representation of the circuit is shown in Figure 14. For the rotation of motor, two of the diagonally opposite transistors are activated. Depending on the pair of activated transistors, the current flows in one or the other direction, which allows to control the direction of rotation of the motor [7, 27-29].

The direction of rotation of motor is controlled by activating and deactivating pairs of diagonally opposite transistors. Thus, the current flows through the motor in two different paths: from Q1 to Q4 or from Q3 to Q2. Current flows through the motor in one or another direction, resulting in a clockwise or counterclockwise rotation of the motor [29].

The Pulse width modulation (PWM) is a technique that is based on the modification of the duty cycle of a
periodic signal (for example sinusoidal or square). The duty cycle of a periodic signal is the relative width of its positive part in relation to the period [29].

\[ D = \frac{\tau}{T} \quad (24) \]

Where:

- \( D \): It is the duty cycle.
- \( \tau \): It is the pulse width, that is, the time in which the function is positive.
- \( T \): It is the period of the function.

The H bridge used in this work has the following schematics elaborated in the EAGLE [30] program, PWM reception, opto coupling stage, amplification and inversion, see Figure 15 and Bridge H, see Figure 16.

**Figure 15.** Schematic of H Bridge [30].

**Figure 16.** Circuit of H bridge and Motor [30].

In the Figure 17 shows the H bridge implemented.

**Figure 17.** The H bridge implemented.

6.3. **Current sensor**

The sensor used the Allegro ACS711KEXLT-15AB-T is a linear current sensor based on Hall effect, it comes in a support board or unlocking board, with overcurrent fault output (Figure 18). This sensor has a voltage of 3 V to 5.5 V and an output sensitivity of 90 mV / A, when it is Vcc 3.3 V (or 136 mV / A when Vcc is 5 V) [31].

The sensor requires a 3V to 5.5V power supply connected between the Vcc and GND terminals. The sensor's output is an analog voltage, linearly proportional to the input current. The standby output voltage is Vcc / 2 and changes in relation to 90 mV per Amper of input current (when Vcc = 3.3 V), the relationship between the instantaneous input current i, and the sensor output voltage, VOUT, can be represented by the following equation [31]:

\[ V_{out} = \frac{V_{cc}}{2} + i \frac{V_{cc}}{36.7} \quad (25) \]
6.4. MCP3008 analog-digital converter

The Mcp3008 device is a digital analog converter of successive 10-bit approximations (Figure 19), it is programmable to provide four pairs of pseudo-differential inputs or eight single-ended inputs. The communication with this device is achieved using a simple serial interface compatible with the SPI protocol (in this case Raspberry Pi [4, 7, 28]. This device is capable of reaching a conversion rate of up to 200 KSPS, operates over a wide voltage range (2.7 V - 5.5 V), its design allows operation with typical reserve currents of only 5 nA and typical active currents of 320 uA [33].

6.5. Signal Conditioning

To make an adequate reading of the current by means of the sensor, it is necessary to accommodate the output voltage to the values allowed by the Raspberry Pi embedded system [24], which are between 0V and 3.3V, for this, it is necessary to implement a conditioning circuit of signal that matches the output voltage (Figure 20). The conditioning circuit used is a "subtractor" that operates under the following equation:

\[ V_{\text{dig}} = 2.7(V_{\text{analog}} - 1.65) \]  

7. Results

The prototype was implemented, including the motor and its braking system, the embedded system Raspberry Pi [22, 24], H bridge, current sensor and signal conditioning, analog / digital converter, see Figure 19: Also, measuring elements, such as digital multimeter, analog ammeter, oscilloscope; and the different polarization voltages necessary for the correct operation of the electronic elements, see Figure 21.

Figure 21. The Implementation of the prototype of the control system of speed and torque of a DC motor.

Source: own.

The controllers were tested based on the results obtained in the simulations, Tables 5 and 6.

It was observed that the response of the fuzzy controller was not constant even when the motor is without load, see Figure 22. It was determined that the output of the analog / digital converter oscillated around +/- 0.3V the reading value due that the current sensor delivers an analog voltage at its output with disturbances. The system is conditioned for the analog/digital converter receives a voltage between 0 and 3.3 V, and a variation of 0.3V represents 9% of the operating range. To protect the controller of these
circuit disturbances, was installed a 0.1 µF capacitor at the converter input and the codes were reprogrammed so that the converter output variable, which is a position between 0 and 1023, do not return a different work cycle in the n-iteration of the program, as long as the measured variable does not leave a range of +/- 50 positions.

The Figures 23 and 24 show the behavior of the PID and fuzzy controllers, respectively. It is observed that both respond efficiently to the stability of the system. The first was tested with a reference speed of 700 rpm whose theoretical response should be to keep a 50% duty cycle, as shown in Figure 23. The fuzzy controller was tested with a reference voltage of 0.65V, which translates into a negative error whose response must be to reduce the duty cycle to less than 10%. As shown in Figure 24 It should be noted that the measures implemented to protect the system of disturbances at the analog / digital converter input were effective.

At the time of demanding the motor, by means of the braking action, there were complications with the voltage delivered by the H-Bridge since it did not correspond to the value of the work cycle delivered by the embedded system, Raspberry Pi. It was concluded that the batteries had lost responsiveness.

Even so, when monitoring with the digital multimeter the output voltages of the multipurpose port configured as the output of the PWM programmed in Python, to the output voltage of Bridge H; and the values presented on the screen of system, it can be determined that both controllers met the system requirements by increasing the duty cycle. See Figure 25.
Figure 25. Test results of the fuzzy controller with load.

| CicloGrabado | Voltaje | A/D 1.65 | Error | CicloGrabado | Voltaje | A/D 1.65 | Error |
|--------------|---------|----------|-------|--------------|---------|----------|-------|
| 75           | 511     |          |       | 75           | 511     |          |       |
|              |         |          |       |              |         |          |       |
| 100          | 656     | 2.12     | 0.445 | 100          | 656     | 2.12     | 0.445 |
|              |         |          |       |              |         |          |       |
|              |         |          |       |              |         |          |       |

Source: own.

8. Conclusions

The design of the controller was carried out using and modeling the different physical parameters of the system to be controlled (Table 4).

In addition, we implemented the necessary methodology for the control, as well as the theoretical basis on which the calculations are based, since, without a modeling of the physical system, it would be impossible to perform such design or the relevant simulations to verify the validity of the same.

An approach of a fuzzy logic controller and PID was presented for the control a DC motor, using Python programming and an embedded digital system, Raspberry Pi.

From the results acquired for the simulated systems it can be affirmed that the implementation with the best behavior was the fuzzy control since, in addition to providing the response more quickly, a more accurate estimate is achieved than the PID control.

Based on the results obtained in the final tests it can be deduced that, although the fuzzy controller has a much shorter response time compared to that of the PID, the stability of the PID control is more useful in applications of robust systems, since the principle of overlapping areas used in the process of defusification presents problems in systems with presence of disturbances and unnatural oscillations.
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