Improvement of the Sterol and Triacylglycerol Compositions of Chemlali Virgin Olive Oils through Controlled Crossing with Mediterranean Cultivars
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Abstract: The chemical composition of extra virgin olive oils (EVOOs) from six new progenies, obtained through controlled crossings between the main Tunisian variety Chemlali and autochthonous (Chemcheli) and foreign cultivars (Sigoise, Coratina, Koroneiki, and Arbequina) used as pollen acceptor or pollinator, were compared with the EVOO of Chemlali cultivar known to be the main one cultivated in Tunisia as it is the most adapted to the arid climate. Several analytical determinations of major and minor components of EVOO were employed, especially triacylglycerol and sterol fractions. All the studied hybrid EVOOs showed an improvement in their chemical composition and stability by comparison with Chemlali EVOO. The main triacylglycerols were 1,2,3-trioleylglycerol (OOO), 2,3-dioleyl-1-palmitoylglycerol (POO), 2,3-dioleyl-1-linoleylglycerol (LOO) and 2,3-dioleyl-1-stearoylglycerol (SOO). β-sitosterol, Δ5-avenasterol and campesterol were the principal sterols in all samples. Cholesterol, stigmasterol, clerosterol and Δ7-stigmastenol were also found in all samples. Oil samples examined showed inter-variability between the studied cultivars. Results of discriminant and principal component analyses appear to prove that genetic origin of the raw materials has a great influence on the final composition of the oil; especially triacylglycerol and sterol compositions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Tunisia is one of the countries where the olive oil production has increased fourfold, making it the second largest producer after Spain. Tunisian olive farms cover more than one-third of arable land (More than 1.76 million hectares planted with 74 million olives) contributing to 45 \% of food export receipts, 4.5\% of total exports, and 11\% of the total agricultural production value. The Tunisian olive grove lands, is dominated by two major varieties, 'Chemlali' and 'Chétoui'. Chemlali cultivar is grown in the warm coastal area and low steppes region of the South and the Center of Tunisia. It accounts for nearly 85\% of the area under olive cultivation and for more than 80\% of domestic olive oil production. It shows a high capacity of adaptation to various pedoclimatic conditions\textsuperscript{1,2}. However, the fatty acid composition of its extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) was reported to contain low levels of oleic acid and, therefore, to have low stability against oxidation. To remedy to this situation, several programs have attempted to improve the quality of Chemlali EVOO. Clonal selection programs were carried out to produce olive tree clones through countless asexual propagations\textsuperscript{3}, and a cross breeding programs for a cultivar genetic amelioration. Since 1994, two breeding programs have been carried out within the context of the project “olive breeding” (supported by the International Olive Oil Council (IOOC)). The cultivars Chemlali and Merski have been crossed with both autochthonous and foreign pollinators, yielding 1,600 seedlings which are currently under evaluation\textsuperscript{4-6}. Most of these programs have been focused on cross breeding among the most outstanding cultivars and selection within the progenies\textsuperscript{7,8}. Thus, the improvement of the biochemical composition of Chemlali through controlled crossings should be able to provide hybrids with excellent chemical
structure, such as triterpenic alcohols and dialcohols, hy-
oils, includes many chemical substances of very different
unsaponifiable matter, which makes up around 2
of fatty acids with saturated fatty alcohols of linear chain,
saponifiable matter made up of triacylglycerols
about 95–98
of all oil), partial acylglycerols, and esters
of fatty acids with saturated fatty alcohols of linear chain,
terpenic alcohols and free non-esterified fatty acids. The
unsaponifiable matter, which makes up around 2% of all
oils, includes many chemical substances of very different
structure, such as triterpenic alcohols and dialcohols, hy-
drocarbons, phenols and flavonoids, sterols, pigments,
tocopherols and volatile compounds10,11. Many of these com-
ponents have been used for the characterization of olive
oils9–10.

Many research were carried out on the controlled cross-
ing of olive cultivars, investigate the resistance of some
olive cultivars and hybrids to leaf spot disease15. Cross-
breeding programs have been also applied in China and
Ukraine for the creation of cultivars better adapted to the
local pedo-climatic conditions; in Italy a large cross-breed-
ing program was initiated with the aim of selecting new oil,
table or dual-purpose cultivars16. In Turkey, two cross-
breeding programs have been initiated and are still in pro-
gress to improve early coloring compared to the Memecik
cultivar and to obtain new table cultivars with improved
fruit characteristics compared to the Gemlik cultivar17.

As part of a genetic improvement program of olive tree
through intervarietal breedings to produce superior progeny,
several analytical determinations were carried out. This study was conducted to investigate the effect of the controlled crossing on the variation of the triglyceric and sterolic compositions of the EVOOs of new olive pro-
genies obtained through hybridization between Chemlali
and autochthonous and some Mediterranean cultivars used
as pollinator or pollen acceptor.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals
n-Hexane, diethyl ether, ethanol, methanol and acetone
(HPLC Grade) were purchased from Panreac AppliChem
(Barcelona, Spain). Potassium hydroxide, Pyridine/hexa-
methyl-disilazane/trimethylchlorosilane (9:3:1, v/v/v), Fo-
lincioateau and Sodium Molybdate were obtained from
Sigma-Aldrich, Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). Sodium sul-
phate anhydride, 2,7-dichlorofluorescein, Cholesterol,
Campesterol, Stigmasterol, Betulin, α-cholesterol,
β-sitosterol and other sterolic compositions were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich
(St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2 Plant material and selected hybrids
This study was carried out on six olive progenies derived
from olive tree seedlings obtained from intervarietal
crosses (controlled hybridization) between Chemlali Sfax
and other autochthonous (Chemchelì) and foreigner culti-
vars (Sigoise, Coratina, Koroneiki, and Arbequina) known
by their excellent olive oil quality.

The controlled cross-fertilization was carried out mainly
by introducing the flowering branches of the pollinating va-
rieties into bags enveloping the female units (Fig. 1). The
pollen was transferred three times during the cycle. The
bags were removed after full fruit set8. The hybrids were
obtained from seed germination and plant breeding16, were
installed in the experimental station at the Olive Tree Insti-
tute of Sfax (Southeast of Tunisia), under the same pedo-
climatic conditions.

Three hybrids Hd 031, Hd 044, Hd 045, were obtained by
controlled crossings between Chemlali, used as pollen ac-
ceptors, and Chemchélì (Tunisia), Sigoise (Algeria) and
Coratina (Italy), respectively, used as pollinators. The three
others progenies Hd 034, Hd 038, and Hd 039 were ob-
tained by crossing between Koroneiki (Greece), Arbequina
(Spain), and Coratina (Italy), respectively, used as pollen
acceptors, and Chemlali, used as pollinators (Table 1).

The choice of parental varieties used in crosses is based
on their adaptation to the arid environment, satisfactory
and regular productivity and high oil yield with excellent
quality as compared to that of Chemlali8. Only healthy
olive samples were harvested at the same maturity stage
during three consecutive crop seasons.

2.3 Oil extraction
Oil extraction was carried out in similar industrial condi-
tions using a laboratory instrument: Abencor analyzer (MC2
Ingenieria Sistemas, Sevilla, Spain). After harvesting,
fresh olives samples were washed, deleafed and then
crushed with a hammer mill and were slowly mixed for 30
min. The obtained paste was centrifuged. The oil was sepa-
rated by decanting, then filtered and stored in the dark glass

Fig. 1 Controlled crossing technique by bagging.
bottles at 4°C until analysis.

2.4 Analytical methods

2.4.1 Determination of oil stability

Oxidative stability was evaluated by the Rancimat method\(^{(20)}\). Stability was expressed as the oxidation induction time (\(t_i\)) measured with the Rancimat 743 apparatus (Metrohm Co., Basel, Switzerland), using an oil sample of 3.5 g, warmed to 101.6°C, and an air flow of 10 L h\(^{-1}\).

2.4.2 Determination of polyphenols and o-diphenols content

Total phenol and o-diphenol compounds were colorimetrically quantified\(^{(20)}\). Phenolic compounds were isolated by triple extraction of a solution of oil in hexane with a water/methanol mixture of 3:1:1, v/v. Total phenols were determined by adding the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent to a suitable aliquot of the combined extracts and measuring the absorbance at 725 nm 2 h later using a UV spectrophotometer (Secomam Anthelie Advanced, Ales Cedex, France). Concentration of total phenols is expressed as the absolute response factors determined by Mateos \textit{et al.}\(^{(21)}\).

For o-diphenol determination, 5 mL of phenolic extract were mixed with 1 mL of a 5% solution of sodium molybdate dihydrate in ethanol/water (1:1, v/v). The mixture was shaken vigorously, and 15 min later the absorbance 370 nm was measured. Results are expressed as milligrams of caffeic acid per kilogram of oil.

2.4.3 Sterols and triterpenic dialcohols analysis

The qualitative and quantitative sterol contents of the samples were determined according to the European Official Methods as described by Sanchez-Casas \textit{et al.}\(^{(22)}\). The lipid, after addition of \(\alpha\)-cholestanol and betulin as internal standards, was saponified with ethanolic potassium hydroxide solution. The unsaponifiable matter was extracted with diethyl ether. The sterol fraction was separated by Silica gel plate chromatography. The sterols, erythrodiol and uvaol, recovered from the plate were transformed into the corresponding trimethylsilyl ethers and the mixture was analysed by gas chromatography using an HP 6890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, Agilent, CA), equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID), a HP-5MS capillary column \((30 \, \text{m} \times 0.25 \, \text{mm} \times 0.25 \, \mu\text{m})\) and a 6890 Agilent automatic injector. The working conditions were: injector \(300°C\), isothermal analysis at \(260°C\), detector temperature \(325°C\). Quantification was performed by the internal standard (\(\alpha\)-cholestanol) method and results were expressed as mg kg\(^{-1}\) of oil.

2.4.4 Triacylglycerol analysis

The analysis of triacylglycerols was performed according to the official chromatographic method of the European Economic Community Regulations no. 2568/91\(^{(23)}\). A Hewlett-Packard high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, HP1050, Agilent Technology) quaternary pump instrument equipped with a refractometric detector was employed using a Lichrosorb RP18 column \((250 \times 4.6 \, \text{mm}, 5 \, \mu\text{m}\) particle size; Teknokroma, Barcelona, Spain). Settings were: column oven, 45°C; elution solvent: acetone-acetonitrile (60:40, v/v) at a rate of 1.2 mL/min. The standards used were trilinolein (LLL), triolein (OOO), tripalmitin (PPP), tristearin (SSS), trilinolenin (LnnLnnL), and tripalmitolein (PoPoPo) of purity greater than 98% and purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The abbreviations used for the fatty acids were Po for palmitoleic, L for linoleic, Ln for linolenic, O for oleic, P for palmitic, S for stearic, and A for arachidic. The identification and the determination of the elution order of all triacylglycerols, was made by means of calculation of the equivalent carbon number (ECN) of each triacylglycerol and its reflection in a graph of retention times against the ECN, of triacylglycerols standards, or by chromatograms of reference corresponding to oil soybean, a mixture of soybean oil and olive oil 30:70 and olive oil.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The assays were carried out in triplicate. The results are reported as mean values and standard deviations. Significant differences among cultivars were determined by analysis of variance which applied a Tukey’s multiple test. Differences were considered statistically significant when probability was greater than 99% \((p<0.01)\). Triacylglycerol and sterol data were submitted to discriminant and principal component analysis (PCA) in order to classify the samples into groups according to their origins. The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 13.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., 2004).

### Table 1

| \(♀\) | \(♂\) | Chemlali (Tunisia) | Sigoise (Algeria) | Coratina (Italy) | Chemlali (Tunisia) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chemlali (Tunisia) | Hd 031 | Hd 044 | Hd 045 | – |
| Koroneiki (Greece) | – | – | – | Hd 034 |
| Arbequina (Spain) | – | – | – | Hd 038 |
| Coratina (Italy) | – | – | – | Hd 039 |
Table 2  Stability parameters of virgin olive oil from the six new cultivars compared to those of Chemlali variety.

| Olive Oil Samples | Total phenols (mg kg⁻¹) | Analytical parameters | Oxidative stability (h) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|                   |                          | o-diphenols (mg kg⁻¹) |                          |
| Hd 031            | 154.56 ± 10.17*a         | 22.71 ± 1.04*d        | 47.50 ± 0.62*c          |
| Hd 034            | 332.22 ± 10.29*c         | 44.22 ± 1.32*f        | 66.38 ± 1.17*f          |
| Hd 038            | 152.34 ± 2.40*c          | 19.19 ± 1.03*b        | 49.19 ± 1.14*ad         |
| Hd 039            | 259.16 ± 7.87*d          | 21.43 ± 2.61*d        | 65.07 ± 1.04*e          |
| Hd 044            | 302.49 ± 3.85*c          | 35.96 ± 1.34*c        | 49.34 ± 0.94*d          |
| Hd 045            | 135.31 ± 2.33*b          | 16.72 ± 0.58*b        | 42.12 ± 0.41*b          |
| Chemlali          | 110.25 ± 3.43*a          | 11.41 ± 1.69*a        | 25.21 ± 1.42*a          |

* Mean ± SD (n =9). Different letters in the same parameter indicate a significant difference at p <0.01.

Table 3  Triacylglycerol composition of studied olive oil samples (Results expressed as percentage of total triacylglycerols).

| Triacylglycerol (%) | Olive Oil Samples |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | Hd 031 | Hd 034 | Hd 038 | Hd 039 | Hd 044 | Hd 045 | Chemlali |
| Lln 0               | 0.74 ± 0.04*a     | 0.50 ± 0.05*b     | 0.51 ± 0.08*b     | 0.68 ± 0.07*d     | 0.28 ± 0.04*     | 0.63 ± 0.04*     | 0.27 ± 0.02*     |
| LlL                 | 0.11 ± 0.02*a     | 0.07 ± 0.03*a     | 0.08 ± 0.04*a     | 0.09 ± 0.03*a     | 0.16 ± 0.06*     | 0.14 ± 0.03*     | 1.50 ± 0.06*     |
| OLn                 | 0.26 ± 0.03*b     | 0.15 ± 0.03*c     | 0.14 ± 0.02*a     | 0.28 ± 0.04*b     | 0.42 ± 0.05*b    | 0.31 ± 0.03*c    | 0.47 ± 0.08*     |
| PLL                 | 0.06 ± 0.02*a     | 0.06 ± 0.02*a     | 0.08 ± 0.04*a     | 0.05 ± 0.02*a     | 0.10 ± 0.03*a    | 0.10 ± 0.03*a    | 0.36 ± 0.05*     |
| OLL                 | 2.17 ± 0.02*b     | 0.29 ± 0.04*a     | 0.53 ± 0.05*a     | 1.67 ± 0.21*      | 3.29 ± 0.12*d    | 2.51 ± 0.03*     | 7.60 ± 0.08*     |
| OLnO                | 1.48 ± 0.07*a     | 1.13 ± 0.06*b     | 1.35 ± 0.05*b     | 2.12 ± 0.09*f     | 2.11 ± 0.08*d    | 1.64 ± 0.10*     | 6.13 ± 0.76*     |
| PLL                 | 0.45 ± 0.04*a     | 0.70 ± 0.10*i     | 0.57 ± 0.06*b     | 0.60 ± 0.05*b     | 0.65 ± 0.07*d    | 0.51 ± 0.09*a    | 0.84 ± 0.04*     |
| OLO                 | 15.53 ± 0.29*b    | 4.74 ± 0.15*c     | 6.31 ± 1.00*c     | 14.54 ± 0.36*c    | 17.75 ± 0.15*c   | 15.92 ± 0.11*c   | 15.26 ± 0.05*c   |
| PLo+Sll             | 5.28 ± 0.08*b     | 3.16 ± 0.10*i     | 2.41 ± 0.10*i     | 4.40 ± 0.38*b     | 6.27 ± 0.09*a    | 6.49 ± 0.04*     | 17.20 ± 0.09*f   |
| PPL                 | 0.33 ± 0.05*      | 0.25 ± 0.04*a     | 0.42 ± 0.07*a     | 0.42 ± 0.05*b     | 0.38 ± 0.09*a    | 0.52 ± 0.04*     | 3.55 ± 0.26*     |
| Ooo                 | 43.48 ± 0.17*i    | 35.46 ± 0.30*d    | 49.19 ± 0.34*c    | 43.04 ± 0.85*d    | 38.36 ± 0.20*i   | 38.71 ± 0.14*c   | 15.43 ± 0.18*    |
| PoO                 | 20.54 ± 0.27*c    | 35.76 ± 0.56*c    | 19.70 ± 0.13*c    | 22.04 ± 0.26*d    | 19.30 ± 0.14*c   | 21.66 ± 0.14*d   | 21.22 ± 0.26*    |
| PPO                 | 2.11 ± 0.30*b     | 5.45 ± 0.26*c     | 2.16 ± 0.07*a     | 2.61 ± 0.15*b     | 2.25 ± 0.08*a    | 2.81 ± 0.09*c    | 6.18 ± 0.08*     |
| PPp                 | 0.95 ± 0.15*i     | 0.52 ± 0.07*a     | 0.85 ± 0.08*d     | 0.66 ± 0.08*b     | 0.55 ± 0.08*b    | 0.68 ± 0.05*     | 0.15 ± 0.02*     |
| SoO                 | 5.56 ± 0.23*b     | 9.27 ± 0.29*i     | 13.42 ± 0.17*i    | 5.15 ± 0.24*b     | 6.60 ± 0.30*d    | 5.63 ± 0.06*     | 2.72 ± 0.25*     |
| SLS+Pos             | 0.97 ± 0.20*b     | 2.50 ± 0.46*c     | 2.29 ± 0.13*i     | 1.66 ± 0.13*b     | 1.54 ± 0.11*i    | 1.75 ± 0.16*     | 1.15 ± 0.05*     |
| Δecn 42             | 0.17 ± 0.02*c     | 0.07 ± 0.03*i     | 0.16 ± 0.01*b     | 0.07 ± 0.03*a     | 0.14 ± 0.02*b    | 0.12 ± 0.02*     | 0.51 ± 0.04*     |

* Mean ± SD (n =9). Different letters in the same component indicate a significant difference at p <0.01.

For extra virgin olive oil Δecn 42 ≤ 0.2

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As far as we know, chemical composition of olive oil is widely influenced by many parameters, including variety, environmental conditions, stage of ripening and extraction technology21. Statistical analysis applied to the different physicochemical parameters (Tables 2, 3 and 4), showed significant differences (p <0.01), pointing the influence of the genetic factor on the chemical characteristics of the studied oils.

3.1 Oxidative stability and related antioxidants

The oxidative stability, total phenol and o-diphenol compounds of the different EVOO samples are given in Table 2. Oxidative stability values of the studied EVOOs depended strongly on the genetic factor. All the new progenies exhibited remarkably improved mean values of stability (>42 h) by comparison with Chemlali cultivar (25.21 h). The stability of the new cultivar Hd 038 EVOO (obtained from the the crossings between the Arbequina, used as pollen acceptor,
Table 4  Sterol and triterpenic dialcohol compositions of studied olive oil samples (Results expressed as percentage of total sterols).

| Sterols & Dialcohols (%) | Olive Oil Samples | Extra virgin olive oil<sup>b</sup> |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Unsaponifiable (g/kg)    | 12.11 ± 1.28<sup>ab</sup> | 12.94 ± 0.98<sup>b</sup> |
| Cholesterol              | 0.29 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> | 0.11 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> |
| 24-methylen cholesterol  | 0.31 ± 0.07<sup>c</sup> | 0.04 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> |
| Campesterol              | 3.48 ± 0.07<sup>c</sup> | 3.61 ± 0.02<sup>ad</sup> |
| Campestanol              | 0.18 ± 0.04<sup>c</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.01<sup>c</sup> |
| Stigmasterol             | 1.26 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> | 0.37 ± 0.02<sup>ad</sup> |
| 5-avenasterol            | 7.29 ± 0.15<sup>c</sup> | 7.16 ± 0.14<sup>c</sup> |
| Sitostanol               | 84.03 ± 0.16<sup>c</sup> | 85.70 ± 0.18<sup>d</sup> |
| Δ5-avenasterol           | 0.38 ± 0.07<sup>c</sup> | 0.58 ± 0.06<sup>bc</sup> |
| Δ7-stigmastenol          | 0.42 ± 0.08<sup>c</sup> | 0.19 ± 0.02<sup>bc</sup> |
| Δ7-avenasterol           | 0.47 ± 0.03<sup>c</sup> | 0.68 ± 0.02<sup>c</sup> |
| Apparent β-sitosterol<sup>f</sup> | 93.60 ± 0.12<sup>c</sup> | 94.79 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> |
| Total sterols (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) | 1057.33 ± 35.75<sup>c</sup> | 2022.39 ± 42.91<sup>d</sup> |

| Dihydrodiols             | 1.65 ± 0.13<sup>c</sup> | 1.41 ± 0.07<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>*</sup> Mean ± SD (n = 9). Different letters in the same component indicate a significant difference at p < 0.01.
<sup>+</sup> Apparent β-sitosterol = β-sitosterol + Δ5-avenasterol + campesterol + sitostanol + Δ5,24-stigmastadienol.
<sup>†</sup> Component not detected.
and the Chemlali cultivar used as pollinator), was similar to that found in the Arbequina monovarietal olive oil\(^2\). Moreover, comparison with published data on Italian EVOOs\(^2\) indicates that Hd 039 EVOOs (obtained from the crossings between the Coratina cultivar, used as pollen acceptor, and the Chemlali cultivar used as pollinator) showed a stability value (65.07 h) higher than that of Coratina monovarietal olive oil. It is interesting to mention that Hd 034 (obtained from the crossings between the Koroneiki cultivar, used as pollen acceptor, and the Chemlali cultivar used as pollinator) showed stability values varying between 16.72 and 22.71 mg kg\(^{-1}\). All the remaining new cultivars showed a threefold higher mean value of OLL\(_n\), PLLn, PLL, LLL, LLnLnn and PPP were also observed in all samples.

The oxidative stability findings led us to study other compounds that affect EVOO stability. Therefore, the content of total phenols and \(\alpha\)-diphenols was examined and shown in Table 2.

As for the oxidative stability, the concentration of total phenols and \(\alpha\)-diphenols in the studied EVOOs was strongly affected by the cultivar. The amounts of total phenols and \(\alpha\)-diphenols showed significant differences \((p < 0.01)\) among the considered olive cultivars.

Phenols play a key role in the shelf life of EVOO due to their biological activity delaying the oxidation processes. In this respect, the primary antioxidants inhibiting oxidation processes in EVOO are phenolic compounds, which act as chain breakers by donating radical hydrogen to alkylperoxyl radicals, produced by lipid oxidation and the formation of stable derivatives during the reaction\(^2\). Phenols have been described as the main responsible factors for the oxidative stability of virgin olive oils\(^2,20\). Various authors have demonstrated the relationship between such good stability and the oil’s total content of phenolic compounds. They studied the levels of contribution of different olive oil constituents on stability and they reported that phenolic compounds appear to have the highest level (approximately 50\%)\(^20\).

New progeny oils exhibited total phenol and \(\alpha\)-diphenol mean values much higher than those of Chemlali. Hd 034 and Hd 044 EVOOs showed remarkable improvement in the total phenol contents, their values (332.22 and 302.49 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively) are three fold higher than that of Chemlali EVOO (110.25 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), whereas, the total phenol contents of the remaining new cultivars showed a weak improvement \((>135.31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})\).

As with the total polar phenols, similar remarkable high level of \(\alpha\)-diphenols was observed for Hd 034 and Hd 044 EVOOs which showed values (44.22 and 35.96 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively) fourfold higher than that of Chemlali EVOO (11.41 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). All the remaining new cultivars showed an \(\alpha\)-diphenol values varying between 16.72 and 22.71 mg kg\(^{-1}\).

By comparison with literature, the total phenolic compounds amount of the new cultivars obtained through crossing are higher than those observed in the monovarietal EVOOs extracted from cultivars used as pollen acceptors or pollinators. Example, for Hd 034 and Hd 038 EVOOs the content of total phenols are higher than those of their corresponding genitors which were Koroneiki (236.48 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and Arbequina (108.27 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), respectively\(^2\).

### 3.2 Triacylglycerol composition

The mean values of triacylglycerols (TAGs) for the analysed oils are shown in Table 3. The oils from the studied cultivars are characterized by three primary triacylglycerols: OOO, POO and OLO and seven secondary triacylglycerols: OLL, OLN, PLO + PLL, PLL, PPO, SOO, and SLS + POS. Small amounts (≤ 1\%) of OLL\(_n\), PLLn, PLL, LLL, LLnLnn and PPP were also observed in all samples.

The Triacylglycerol composition presented a significant variability \((p < 0.01)\) among cultivars. In the studied oil samples, OOO and POO showed high levels which exceed 57% of the total TAG profile. Thus, the main TAG, triolein in the oils of all new olive cultivars, was remarkably high with an amount ranging between 35.46% observed in Hd 034 and 49.19% observed in Hd 038. These amounts showed an improvement in the triglyceridic composition by comparison with Chemlali cultivar (15.43\%). Significant differences \((p < 0.01)\) were found between Chemlali and the new cultivars in terms of OOO content.

Regarding the second important TAG, a wide range of POO can also be noticed, from 19.30% (Hd 044) to 35.76% (Hd 034). The EVOOs from Hd 034, Hd 039 and Hd 045 exhibited high level of POO as compared with that of Chemlali, while the remaining cultivars (Hd 031, Hd 038 and Hd 044) were characterized by lower mean values. Regarding the POO content, significant differences \((P < 0.01)\) were revealed between olive oil samples, except between Hd 045 and Chemlali.

In general, the TAG composition of the new cultivars obtained through controlled crossing are in good agreement with those described in the literature\(^3\). The main triacylglycerol components (OOO, POO, and OLO) were similar to those of the most common oils from Spain, Italy and Greece\(^3\).

Results of Table 3 showed that triacylglycerol composition and especially OLL, OLO, PLO + SLL and SOO were the most useful parameter for discrimination between cultivars \((p < 0.01)\).

Triacylglycerol composition was established as a measurement of the quality and purity of vegetable oils. The difference between the empirical and theoretical ECN42 triacylglycerol content is a European Union official method since 1997. The obtained results showed that all new cultivars oils have ΔECN42 mean values lower than the maximum limit of 0.2 set by legislation while Chemlali oils are characterized by a high mean value of ΔECN42 exceed-
ing widely the established limit (Table 3). Again we note an improvement of AECN42 of mean values of the studied progenies as compared to that of Chemlali.

3.3 Sterols and triterpenic dialcohols

The unsaponifiable fraction was measured before analysing the sterols and dialcohol compositions. For all studied cultivars content of unsaponifiable matter was usually less than 15 g kg⁻¹, maximum limit expected for extra virgin olive oil class (Table 4).

Sterol and alcohol profiles are used to characterize virgin olive oils and especially to detect the adulteration of olive oil with other oils [31]. It has also been proposed that these profiles can be used to classify virgin olive oils according to their fruit variety [34-37]. Sterol and dialcohol composition of the studied oil samples is shown in Table 4. Regarding the authenticity indices established by the European legislation [38], all the analysed oils showed a sterolic and dialcoholic composition within the established limit for extra virgin olive oil category.

Sterols are important constituents of olive oils because they relate to the oil quality. Besides, their determination is of major interest due to their health benefits. The analysis of the sterols by gas chromatography on a capillary column indicated the presence of thirteen sterols. Table 4 shows that the most representative sterols, was β-sitosterol, Δ5-avenasterol and campesterol. However, small amounts of cholesterol, stigmasterol, clerosterol and Δ7-stigmasterenol were also found in all samples. The quantitative study of this fraction revealed significant differences in the percentage of sterols among all studied oils. There was a clear predominance of the β-sitosterol, which is a very interesting sterol from a biological view because it opposes the intestinal absorption of the cholesterol. In fact, it exceeded 77% in all oil samples, the highest mean value of β-sitosterol was observed in Hd 038 oil (90.26%). Regarding Δ5-avenasterol content, it was significantly high in Hd 034 (15.24%). The antioxidant activity of Δ5-avenasterol has been pointed out by some works [38], thus our results seem to agree with this, since Hd 034 was the most stable oil among the studied samples (Table 2). These two major sterols, β-sitosterol and Δ5-avenasterol, were strongly negatively correlated (p < 0.01) as the Δ5-avenasterol is a precursor in the biosynthesis of β-sitosterol [39].

On the other hand, the apparent β-sitosterol, expressed by the sum of the contents of β-sitosterol and other four sterols formed by the degradation of β-sitosterol (sitostanol, Δ5,24-stigmastadienol, clerosterol and Δ5-avenasterol), was higher than 93% in all oil samples tested. This is the regulatory minimum limit, indicative that the sum of the remaining sterols does not surpass 7%, thereby confirming the authenticity of the corresponding oil [20].

Stigmasterol is related to various parameters of the quality of virgin olive oil. High levels correlate with high acidity and low organoleptic quality [40]. The analysed samples presented low levels of stigmasterol, which is indicative that the oil came from healthy fruits and not obtained by systems of forcing [41].

In the hybrid oil samples, the Δ7-avenasterol content changed between 0.23 (Hd 038 oil) and 0.51% (Hd 045 oil); the level of this sterol was significantly high (p < 0.01) in Chemlali oil (0.68%) as compared to the examined hybrids.

Regarding other authenticity indices, established by the legislation [38], all studied samples respect the established limits: cholesterol and campesterol percentages were below the established limits of 0.5 and 4.0%, respectively. The higher content of campesterol in relation to stigmasterol, described in the literature as a characteristic parameter of virgin olive oils, was confirmed in our research [42]. Besides, in all cases, total sterols were remarkably higher than the minimum limit set by legislation (1000 mg kg⁻¹), ranging from 1057.33 (Hd 031) to 2173.29 mg kg⁻¹ (Hd 044). This is undoubtedly a good characteristic of olive oils due to the great benefits of these compounds for health.

It is seen that stigmasterol and β-sitosterol contents were the most useful parameters for discriminating between the studied varieties (p < 0.01).

Concerning the levels of triterpenic dialcohols (erythrodiol and uvaol), there was clear differences between analysed oils (p < 0.01). The sum of erythrodiol and uvaol in all studied samples respected the established limit of 4.5% for “extra virgin” olive oil category. The low levels of these dialcohols, generally located in the exocarp of the olive [43], confirm once again that the oil samples were obtained from healthy olive fruits.

3.4 Chemometric analysis

It is important to note that TAG and sterols are both very important components for the chemical authentication and differentiation of virgin olive oil cultivars. PCA and discriminant analysis (Fig. 2), were applied to triacylglycerols and sterols data (Tables 3 and 4) to see whether it was possible to differentiate the olive oil samples according to their variety and to obtain more information on the variables that mainly influence the olive oil samples.

PCA enables us to isolate thirty components intervening differently in variability between oil samples. Five principal component were extracted, they are responsible for 91.6% of variance; the first three components are enough to explain 76.5% of data variance. According to the PCA analysis the most contributor parameters to classify olive oil samples according to the cultivar were: OOO, POL + SLL, OLL, OLnO, LLL, PLLn, PPF, PLL, Δ7-avenasterol, OLn, Campestanol, SOO, PPO, PLL, LLLn, Sitostanol, Erythrodiol + Uvaol, Clerosterol and Cholesterol. Those parameters give their variance to the PC1. While Δ5-avenasterol, β-sitosterol, POO, Δ7-Campesterol, Δ5,24-stigmastadienol, Campesterol and Δ7-stigmasterol give
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their variance to the PC2. The remaining variables contribute to the other extracted components.

The three-dimensional representation of the first three PC allows a clear separation for analysed samples (Fig. 2A). The examined cultivars were clearly separated according to their triacylglycerol and sterol composition.

Figure 2B displays the results of the discriminant analysis. The oils from distinct cultivars were clearly distinguished. The percentages of correct classification of the samples in base on their cultivar origin were 100%. The first two functions are enough to explain 96.6% of variance.

These statistical analyses can explain the variability of oil composition according to the cultivar. We note a good discrimination between varieties according to the triacylglycerol and sterol data. These components were also an effective tool for discriminating between crossings.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The controlled crossing between Chemlali cultivar and some autochthonous and foreigner cultivars used as pollinator or pollen acceptor produce new progenies characterized by an excellent olive oils in terms of phenolic compounds, oxidative stability, triacylglycerols, sterols and dialcohols and also conform to the norm established by EU Regulations. Therefore, controlled crossings may be a useful tool for improving the Chemlali olive oil composition since it provides new cultivars adapted to arid climate of southern Tunisia, resistant to the olive tree diseases and with a good oil quality as compared to Chemlali oil which is characterized by low phenolic compounds contents, low oxidative stability and oleic acid and high linoleic and palmitic acids and a high ΔECN42. So, these resistant hybrids can be identified and then used for replanting, or as sources for resistance in future breeding programs.
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