An unusual cause of chronic abdominal pain after laparoscopic Roux-en Y gastric bypass: Case report of a penetrating fish bone causing adhesions at the biliary-digestive junction resulting in partial obstruction and chronic symptoms
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1. Introduction

The management of abdominal pain syndromes after LRYGP is complex and challenging because of a myriad of possible causes [1]. It is estimated that 15–30% of patients present to the emergency department or require admission within 3 years of gastric bypass majorly due to abdominal pain in more than half of the cases [1].

We present the case of a patient with history of LRYGP for morbid obesity and chronic abdominal pain resulting from a missed fish bone perforation. Current clinical guidelines and treatment algorithms for the management of known ingested foreign bodies are based on previous works published by the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) [2] and the European Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) [3]. There are to our knowledge no specific guidelines for the management of foreign body intestinal perforations in gastric bypass patients. This manuscript is written in accordance with the CAsE Report (CARE) guidelines [4]. Our aim is to inform clinicians, based on our experience, on the importance of exercising a high index of suspicion and a low threshold for laparoscopic evaluation when clinically indicated in similar cases.

2. Presentation of case

A 54-year-old female of African descent presented at our emergency department with persistent abdominal pain in the left upper quadrant occurring frequently after meals. She had previously been evaluated at our bariatric outpatient clinic where she was on long term follow-up under undergoing a LRYGP 5 years previously. She reported a satisfactory weight loss (112–78 kg) with no significant pain problems in the first year after the operation. The postprandial pain began gradually about 2 years after the initial operation with apparently no known trigger. Several imaging studies, including Computerised Tomography (CT) scans, an abdominal ultrasound and a Barium swallow in the intervening period were non-conclusive. Gastroscopy was perfectly normal with no visual
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Fig. 1. Contrast CT-scan at the presumed level of the fish bone showing dilated intestinal loops.

Evidence of active peptic ulcer disease (PUD). A trial therapy of high dose proton pump inhibitor’s (PPI’s) did not provide relief.

Her past medical history included a laparoscopic cholecystectomy, arterial hypertension, non-insulin dependent diabetes and a history of PUD satisfactorily managed with proton pump inhibitors. She neither smoked nor drank alcohol.

During her current admission, she presented at our emergency department with sharp pain in the left upper quadrant with radiation to her left shoulder. There were no accompanying symptoms such as nausea or vomiting. She reported normal bowel movements. Clinical examination showed a healthy young adult patient in painful distress. Her blood pressure was 130/90 mmHg, her pulse 110/min regularly regular and her oxygen saturation was 98%. Auscultation of the heart and lungs was essentially normal. Her abdomen was soft with no palpable masses. The left upper quadrant was tender to deep palpation, but without guarding and rebound tenderness. There was no percussion pain and abdominal auscultation revealed normal bowel sounds. Our differential diagnosis included PUD, bowel perforation, internal hernia and gastroenteritis. Blood chemistry showed a CRP of 35 mg/dl, 7.22 × 10⁹ leucocytes and a lactate value of 2.8 mmol/l. An abdominal X-ray showed only dilated intestinal loops in the left upper quadrant and no significant increase in calibre. A contrast CT-scan of the abdomen was non-conclusive (Fig. 1).

An explorative laparoscopy was decided upon and was planned for the next elective list. We set ourselves up to perform an intra-operative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) because of a positive Morphine-Prostigmine provocation test a few months previously. The operation was performed under general anaesthesia in a beach chair position. A thirty degree camera was introduced via the previous optic port to enable easy access and view of the abdomen. Inspection of the abdomen showed an impressive pattern of adhesions, the alimentary and biliary intestinal loops were almost matted together and very difficult to separate. Both these loops were then adhered to the abdominal wall which made initial abdominal entry quite precarious. A coagulation hook was used successfully to release the adhesions without the need for energy devices. At some point during the lysis of adhesions, we came upon a foreign body protruding out of the biliary intestinal loop. On closer inspection it turned out to be a fish bone which had apparently punctured through the alimentary and biliary limbs and had caused dense adhesions to form between these 2 limbs (Fig. 2). The fish bone was successfully extracted without any iatrogenic damage to bowel structures. The exit and entry points on the bowel wall were closed intra-corporeally with Vicryl 2/0. The patient was discharged the next day on a liquid diet. At the first postoperative follow-up consultation, she reported no abdominal pain whatsoever. In the months following the operation she has done well and can currently tolerate both liquid and solid meals without any discomfort (Fig. 3).

3. Discussion

We report the case of a 54 year old female patient who presented to us with chronic abdominal pain in the left upper quadrant 2 years after a LRYGP. In the intervening 3 year period prior to her current admission she was evaluated several times at our outpatient clinic without much progress in elucidating her problem. Despite several radiological and endoscopic investigation we were largely unable to explain her symptoms. The only pointer towards a functional gastrointestinal abnormality was a positive Morphine-Prostigmine provocation test a few months prior to her current presentation. This test of Sfincter of Oddi dysfunction is reportedly low in specificity and as such unreliable in offering guidance in difficult clinical cases [5].

Fish bones causing intestinal perforation have been reported in the literature and if unrecognized in the acute setting, can cause significant morbidity and mortality. Most fish bones however nav-
Fig 2. Intraoperative photo showing extraction of the fish bone.

Three outpatient visits in the preceding 6 months complaining of episodic postprandial pain. Laparoscopic gastric bypass 5 years previously. First episode of pain 2 years after LRYGP. Negative endoscopic and radiological findings including CT-abdomen. Suspicion of Oddi-sphincter dysfunction unconfirmed.

Acute abdominal pain
Physical exam: unremarkable
CT-scan non-conclusive

Suspicion of resolved internal hernia after spontaneous disappearance of pain

Day 1
Initial Treatment
- Intravenous analgesia, observation, nil per os

Semi-elective laparoscopic exploration: extraction of fish bone and release of adhesions

Day 3
Patient discharged on postoperative day 2 tolerating well oral feeds and analgesics

Follow-up at 2 weeks showed complete clinical resolution of symptoms

Fig 3. Timeline depicting the patient’s clinical course.
igate the digestive tract without causing any significant damage [6]. Most patients however cannot remember swallowing such bones potentially leading to a diagnostic quagmire in the absence of a high index of suspicion [6]. Perforation can occur in any segment of the gastrointestinal tract, however, the most common sites of perforation are in the distal ileum, the cecum and the left colon due to their great angulation [6–8]. The presence of two additional angulations at the biliary-digestive and enteric anastomoses can potentially increase the risk of perforation in a patient with a gastric bypass anatomy. Fish bones located in a narrow segment of the bowel can erode the mucosa, causing bacterial dissemination, perforation and extramural abscesses. Plain abdominal films are helpful in locating metallic foreign bodies and free air. The latter is surprisingly a rare occurrence as the puncture hole is usually small and is rapidly covered with fibrin and omentum. CT scanning is the most accurate exam with fish bones appearing like linear calcified densities within an area of inflammation [6]. CT-scans in this context are however difficult to interpret if there is no clinical suspicion and especially after the use of oral and/or intravenous contrast [8,9].

The management consist of conservative or interventional methods such as endoscopic removal, laparoscopic exploration or laparotomy. Surgery is the treatment of choice for acute bowel perforation. Laparoscopy is increasingly becoming the surgical approach of choice in selected cases because of its significantly lower morbidity and equivalent surgical outcomes [6].

4. Conclusion

This case illustrates the importance of exercising a low threshold for laparoscopic evaluation in patients presenting with abdominal pain after LRYGP. In our case, we initially hesitated to perform a diagnostic laparoscopic evaluation because of the chronic nature of the symptomatology coupled with the negative radiological and endoscopic findings. We sought, like most clinicians would do given the circumstances, for evidence of acute pathology requiring rapid intervention such as internal herniation and marginal ulcers. However our experience in this case supports the idea that is always wise to consider other general causes of abdominal pain and/or obstruction in such cases and not only lay focus on pathological causes of abdominal pain specific to the bariatric population. Foreign body ingestion is well described in the general population and may increasingly be encountered in the bariatric population as the practice expands.

A secondary point is to ask ourselves if the standard dietary prescriptions recommended to patients after LRYGP need to consciously take into account the cultural needs and eating habits of our increasingly culturally diverse bariatric population. This is less of a problem when a bariatric intervention occurs within the context of a healthcare system of a homogeneous population where the dietary follow-up is tailored to match the local needs and eating patterns. In an increasingly globalized world, this is unlikely to always be the case and as such, dietary prescriptions after bariatric surgery need to take this into account. It is simply not enough to tell bariatric patients what they can consume but it is equally important to dissuade patients from eating habits that are likely to cause them problems.
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