Quality of mackerel steaks with the effect of seed extracts during ice storage

Abstract
The present study investigates antioxidant properties and storage stability of grape seed extract (GSE) and papaya seed extract (PSE). The effect of heat showed significant decrease whereas wide range of pH showed increase in its antioxidant activity. The storage days study indicated that, there was slight change in antioxidant activity (AOA) of GSE. The fish steaks were subjected to dip treatment with the BHT, GSE and PSE, at concentration of 200ppm, 500ppm and 1000ppm respectively for 5min. The quality of the steaks was assessed using chemical, microbiological and sensory parameters. The reduction in hydroperoxide formation of steaks treated with GSE and PSE was found to be by 57.75 and 33.44% respectively with respect to untreated samples. The percentage inhibition of malonaldehyde formation in steaks treated with GSE and PSE was recorded to be lower by 59.66 and 20.99% respectively, with reference to untreated samples on the 9th d of ice storage. The dip treatment of GSE and PSE increased the shelf life of mackerel steaks up to 15days, during ice storage. Therefore, it can be concluded that, GSE and PSE can be used as a promising natural preservative for preservation of fish and fishery products.
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Introduction
Fish quality is very subjective nature and is very complex concept which comprises biochemical, microbiological, nutritional and physicochemical attributes. The freshness of fish degrades after death due to various biochemical reactions such as changes in protein and lipid fractions and microbiological spoilage. This results in the deterioration of sensory quality and nutritional value of fish. Preservation of fish assumes greater importance to prevent the loss of nutritionally rich natural resource. Quality loss of fish may be caused by a wide range of reactions, some are predominantly chemical (e.g., oxidative rancidity, colour loss, and non-enzyme Maillard browning reactions), physical (e.g., movement of moisture, change in texture, evaporation of low molecular mass flavour compounds, and damage induced by freezing/thawing), enzymic (e.g., lipolytic rancidity, proteolysis, and enzymic browning reactions), and microbiological (i.e., food spoilage and food poisoning). These various reactions are therefore the targets for fish preservation. Quality loss may be prevented or minimized by a range of techniques, including the use of additives, in particular to interfere with oxidative chemical reactions and to prevent or delay microbial growth. To retard such a quality loss, synthetic antioxidants and antimicrobial substances have been used to decrease lipid oxidation and microbial spoilage during the processing and storage of fish and fish products. However, the use of synthetic antioxidants and antimicrobial compounds has raised questions regarding food safety and toxicity. The use of natural antioxidants is emerging as an effective methodology for controlling rancidity and limiting its deleterious consequences.

Grape seed is a by-product of the food industry, which is usually discarded as a waste and as such, presents a cheap source of natural antioxidant due to its phenolic content. Seeds constitute a considerable proportion of the grape, ranging from 38–52% on a dry matter basis and constitute 46-69% polyphenols. The antioxidant activity of grapes has been positively associated with their phenolic composition such as anthocyanins, flavonols, flavan-3-ols, procyanidins, and phenolic acids. These compounds have been shown to reduce hydroperoxide formation and inhibit lipid and protein oxidation. In addition to antioxidant properties, the grape seed phenolics have also displayed antimicrobial properties. The antimicrobial property of grape seed extract is due to core structure with 3,4,5-trihydroxy phenyl groups found in epigallocathecin, epigallocathechin-3-ogallate, prodelphinidin and castalagin.

Papaya (Carica papaya L.) is native to America but has now spread all over the tropical world. The central cavity contains large quantities of seeds that comprise about 15% of the wet weight of the fruit. The total global production of papaya averages about 10.0mmt, and India and Brazil are the major producers with annual production of 3.6 and 1.9million metric tonnes, respectively. The fruit is rich in phytochemical, especially carotenoids and polyphenols. Besides its juicy pulp, the peel and seeds of papaya are valuable too. Papaya seeds are known to give several health benefits. Although papaya peel and seeds have various uses, the Phytochemicals especially phenolic compounds in these parts of papaya have both antioxidative and antimicrobial properties. Despite of abundance of grape and papaya seeds in India, the extract from seed, has not been fully exploited, which can be used as an alternative natural antioxidant and antimicrobial agents in different food products.
The overall objective of this study was to identify whether the addition of GSE and PSE can retard both lipid oxidation and microbial spoilage and to extend the shelf life of Indian mackerel steaks during ice storage. In addition, this study provides greater insight into the potential of GSE and PSE as and effective sources of antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds for fish processing industry and storage stability of the crude polyphenols at different pH, storage and temperature.

Material and methods

Chemicals

2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH), Linoleic acid, potassium per sulphate, Aluminum chloride, catechin, potassium ferric cyanide and Ferric chloride were purchased from Sigma Chemicals Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All solvents used were of analytical grade and obtained from E-Merck, Mumbai, India and chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Preparation of grape and papaya seed extracts

Grape seeds were collected from local juice centers while papaya seeds were purchased from local juice processing center in Mangalore, Karnataka, India. The samples were washed and cleaned with water and dried in hot air oven at 50°C for 72h. Dried grape and papaya seeds were ground to fine powder by kitchen grinder and stored in refrigerator before extraction.

Dried powder of grape and papaya seed was used for extraction of bioactive compounds. One hundred grams of each ground material were defatted by shaking three times with four volumes of petroleum ether in a rotary shaker (Orbi tek Scigenics, India) for 1h. The residues obtained after filtration was dried overnight under a hood until all traces of petroleum ether were removed. The dried residues from each material were extracted three times with four volumes of 90% ethanol by rotatary shaker for 1h and filtered by using filter paper. The combined filtrates from each material were concentrated in a rotary evaporator (Orbitap PBU-6D, India) and placed under a cap at 40±1°C for 5 days in an incubator. The dark room condition was maintained by wrapping with aluminum foil and thicker paper.

The freeze-dried extracts were stored in air-tight containers at 5°C until used for the determination of antioxidant activity.

Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity

DPPH assay was performed according to the method of. A known volume of 1.5ml of extract was added to 1.5ml of 0.1mM DPPH solution prepared in 99.5% ethanol and thoroughly mixed by using cyclomixer at high speed. The mixture was stored in dark at room temperature for 30min. After the incubation, the absorbance was measured at 517nm using UV-VIS double beam spectrophotometer (UV-VIS Spectrophotometer, LaboMed, Inc., UK). Lower absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates higher free radical scavenging activity. DPPH radical-scavenging activity was calculated and expressed in terms of percentage of DPPH free radicals scavenged using the following formula

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity} (\%) = \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{Sample}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{Control}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]

Determination of Ferric reducing antioxidant power

The ability of the extracts to reduce ferric ions to ferrous ions was determined by the method as described by Oyaizu. An aliquot of 1ml was mixed with 2.5ml of 0.2M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 2.5ml of 1% (w/v) potassium ferricyanide. The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 30min. After incubation, 2.5ml of 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid was added. Finally, 2.5ml of the solution from the mixture was drawn and mixed with 2.5ml of distilled water and 0.5ml of 0.1% (w/v) ferric chloride solution. After 10min of reaction time, the absorbance of the resultant solutions was measured at 700nm using UV-VIS Spectrophotometer. Higher absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates higher reducing power.

Determination of antioxidant activity using linoleic acid model

Linoleic acid auto-oxidation inhibition activity of extracts was measured according to the method as described by Osawa et al. One ml of extract, dissolved in 10ml of 50mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was added to a solution of 0.15ml of linoleic acid and 10ml of 99.5% ethanol. The total volume was then adjusted to 25ml with distilled water. The mixture was incubated in a 30ml assay tube with a screw cap at 40±1°C for 5 days in an incubator. The dark room condition was maintained by wrapping with aluminum foil and thicker paper. The degree of oxidation of linoleic acid was measured using the ferric thiocyanate method. To 0.1ml of the reaction mixture, 4.7ml of 75% ethanol, 0.1ml of 30% ammonium thiocyanate, and 0.1ml of 20mM ferrous chloride solution prepared in 3.5% HCl were added. After 3min of incubation, the color was measured at 500nm. Appropriate controls were maintained using ethanol. The antioxidative capacity to inhibit the peroxide formation in linoleic acid was expressed as follows

\[
\text{Lipid peroxidation inhibition} (\%) = 1 - \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{Sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}}} \times 100
\]

Chemical analysis

Proximate composition was determined by AOAC method. Peroxide value (PV) and thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) was estimated spectrophotometrically by Richards et al. and Buege et al. respectively. Total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N) and trim ethylamine (TMA) were estimated by the micro diffusion method. pH was determined according to APHA using a digital pH meter (Polytron system PT 2100, Kinematica, AG) after homogenizing 10 g of the fish sample with the same amount of distilled water. The
Free Fatty Acid (FFA) content in the lipid extract was determined with improved titrimetrical method as described by AOAC.20

**Microbiological analysis**

Microbiological analysis of mackerel samples was done by taking 25g of fish muscle aseptically and homogenized with 225ml of 0.85% normal saline for one min. Then the homogenized sample was serially diluted using sterile 9ml diluents and plated in specific media. Total plate count, counts of *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *E. coli* count were enumerated as per the methods described by Surendran et al.21

**Sensory evaluation**

Sensory characteristics were evaluated for raw fish, treated whole mackerel and steaks using 9 point hedonic scale described by Meilgaard et al.22 The overall impression of the product on the assessor was estimated by overall acceptability, by adding scores of all the attributes. A high score (9-7) was given to fish with no off-odors, a score 5 to fish with flat and neutral odors and score below 5 corresponded to unacceptable quality.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the significance of the difference between means were determined by Duncan’s multiple range test (p<0.05) using statistical software (Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, USA). Values expressed are means of three determinations±standard deviation.

**Results and discussion**

**DPPH radical scavenging activity**

Antioxidant effectiveness is measured by monitoring the inhibition of oxidation of suitable substrate. In biological systems antioxidant effectiveness is classified into 2 groups viz evaluation of lipid peroxidation and measurement of free radical scavenging ability. This assay is based on the measurement of reducing ability of antioxidant towards DPPH radical. The ability can be evaluated by electron spin resonance (ESR) or by measuring the decrease of its absorbance. It is used to evaluate antioxidant activity of natural sources and degree of its discoloration is attributed to its hydrogen donating ability of the test compounds, which is indicative of their scavenging potential.23 In the present investigation the DPPH scavenging activity of grape and papaya seed extracts at different concentration is shown in Figure 1. The activity of both the extracts increased with increase in concentration (P<0.05). At the same concentration used, the descending order of DPPH radical scavenging activity of the seed extract tested was as follows: GSE>PSE. The DPPH radical activity of GSE increased up to 300ppm and thereafter the activity did not showed any further increase (P>0.05). Results suggests that BHA show highest radical scavenging activity of 97.33% compared to both the extracts, whereas GSE and PSE showed an activity of 87.02 and 61.43% respectively at 500ppm. The high radical scavenging of GSE could be due to flavonoids that can perform scavenging action on free radicals (superoxide, hydroxyl and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)), metal chelating properties, reduction of hydroperoxide formation and their effects.24 The presence of the functional group “-OH” in the structure and its position of the flavonoid molecule determine the antioxidant capacity.25 Addition of -OH group to the flavonoid nucleus will enhance the antioxidant activity. While substitution by -OCH3 groups diminishes the antioxidant activity. The other reason for higher scavenging activity of GSE is due to high amount of total phenolic content and the presence of monomeric polyphenolic compounds such as (+)-catechin, (-)–epicatechin and epicatechin-3-o-gallate and dimeric and tetrameric procyanidins.26

**Figure 1** DPPH radical scavenging activity of GSE and PSE at various concentrations. Bar indicates Mean±SD (n=3) & bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

The lower scavenging activity of papaya seed extracts could be due to P-hydrobenzoic acid and vanillic acid which are simple phenolic compounds as reported by Zhou et al.27 The carboxyl group of hydrobenzoic acid and methoxy group of vanillic acid might explain negative effect on its antioxidative activity. The carboxyl group is the electron withdrawing group which doesn’t benefit the radical scavenging activity of the compound.28 The result indicated that grape seed were the potential free radical scavengers, which reacted with radicals by donating their hydrogen and acts as primary antioxidants which can be used as a rich source of functional and antiradical compound to prevent lipid oxidation.

**Ferric reducing power assay**

Reduction capabilities of plant extracts can serve as a significant indicator of their potential antioxidant activities. This assay is based on the reaction which measures reduction of ferric (Fe3+) to 2,4,6 tripyridyl-5-triazine (TPTZ) to a colored ferrous (Fe2+) (TPTZ) product. The Fe2+ formed from the reduction process was then monitored by measuring the formation of Perl’s Prussian blue. Increasing absorbance of the reaction mixture at 700 nm indicates an increase in the reducing power; however, it is limited to hydrophilic antioxidants. This kind of reduction process is based on the tendency of an antioxidant to donate electron.29 The reducing ability of the GSE and PSE were evaluated at different concentration (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500μg/ml) as shown in Figure 2 and were compared to standard Butylated hydroxytolene (BHT) at 200ppm. All the extracts were capable of reducing Fe3+ and did so in a linear dose dependent manner. Among the two extract tested, GSE showed highest ferric reducing activity at all concentration (P<0.05) and showed highest reducing power at 500μg/ml which is almost equivalent to BHT at 200ppm, indicating that GSE could easily donate electron to Fe2+, thus reducing it to Fe3+. The ability of grape seed to show good reducing power is due to cathecin and epicatechin possessing the higher number of higher hydroxyl groups. The results of high reducing power of GSE were in agreement with highest DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activity. Zhang et al.30 also reported that GSE was able to reduce Fe3+ to Fe2+ and with increase in concentration ferric reducing power increases. In Contrary papaya seed extract shows least reducing power and the results were in agreement with less Phenolic content, DPPH.
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and ABTS radical scavenging activity. Zhu et al. found that ethyl acetate extract of PSE possessed the greater reducing power than did ethanol extract fraction, n-butanol fraction and water fraction which is in accordance with the results of the current study. The results suggest reducing power of the compound appears to be related to degree of hydroxylation and extent of conjugation in polyphenols which is seen in GSE as it contain catechin and epicatechin which are highly hydroxylated while in case of PSE it lacks hydroxylation in its structure, which could affect in ferric reducing ability.

**Figure 2** Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of GSE and PSE at various concentration. Bar indicates Mean±SD (n=3) & bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

**Antioxidant activity by linoleic acid emulsion system**

Lipids rich in unsaturated fatty acids are most susceptible to oxidative processes. Specially, Linolic acid and arachidonic acid are targets of lipid Peroxidation. The inhibition of lipid peroxidation by antioxidants may be due to their free radical-scavenging activities. Superoxide indirectly initiates lipid peroxidation because superoxide anion acts as a precursor of singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical. Hydroxyl radicals eliminate hydrogen atom from the membrane lipids, which results in lipid peroxidation.

Lipid oxidation inhibitory activity is been measured by ferric thiocyanate method (FTC). It measures amount of peroxide produced during initial stage of lipid oxidation. The FTC assay consists of ammonium thiocyanate and Fe\(^{3+}\) in acidic solution. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) induced by lipid oxidation oxidizes Fe\(^{3+}\) to Fe\(^{2+}\) resulting in the formation of a red colored Fe\(^{3+}\)-thiocyanate complex. The levels of oxidation are determined by measuring the absorbance at 505nm. On the other hand, TBA measures the amount of Malondialdehyde (MDA) produced during the second stage of the lipid oxidation. This method is based on the MDA reaction with thiobarbituric acid to obtain a red pigment, resulting from the condensation of two molecules of TBA with one molecule of MDA. The levels of oxidation are determined by measuring the absorbance at 535nm.

The inhibitory capacity of GSE and PSE against the oxidation of Linoleic acid model system was tested. As shown in Figure 3, the percentage of inhibition of lipid oxidation by grape and papaya seed was measured at three different concentrations. At the same concentration, grape seed was observed to have higher inhibitory activity (P<0.05) than papaya seed extracts and with the increase in concentration inhibitory activity of both the extracts increases with a maximum of 81.02 and 65% respectively for GSE and PSE. Ramachandani et al. so reported that, GSE could inhibit lipid peroxidation in the mouse liver microsome model system by 70-80%. Moreover, Jayaprakasha et al. has reported that, the ethanolic and water grape seed showed 80% inhibition of the Linoleic peroxidation after 100h. The mechanism involved for interference of GSE inhibition of lipid oxidation is either iron chelating activity or by scavenging of superoxide radicals, which are responsible for reduction of ferric to ferrous, catalyzed by Fenton reaction and the iron chelating activity.

**Figure 3** Inhibition of lipid oxidation in linoleic acid model system by GSE and PSE used at various concentrations. Bar indicates Mean±SD (n=3) & bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

**Changes in antioxidant activity (AOA) of GSE and PSE with the effect of heat, pH and storage stability**

The ability to inhibit lipid oxidation differed according to the types of plant extract, oxidizable substrate used, thermal and pH treatment to which the extracts are subjected. It is well known that many factors such as antioxidant concentration, temperature and pH of the media, processing treatment and storage strongly influence the antioxidant activity. In the present study, changes in antioxidant activity in linoleic acid model system with the influence of heat, pH and storage stability were carried out.

**Effect of heat treatment on AOA of GSE and PSE in linoleic acid model system**

In the present study effect of heat treatment (100°C, 15min) on the antioxidant activity of GSE and PSE were determined in linoleic acid model system and the results are presented in Figure 4. The antioxidant activity of GSE and PSE without any heat treatment was found to be 67.67 and 46.43% respectively at 500mg/L. The heat treatment at 100°C for 15min decreased the AOA of GSE and PSE and was found to be 52.16 and 46.43% respectively at 500mg/L. The decrease in AOA of both the extracts might be due to loss of naturally occurring antioxidants present in the extract or formation of novel compounds having prooxidant activity upon heating. Thermal processing can induce the formation of compounds with antioxidant properties or improve the AOA of naturally occurring antioxidants. The antioxidant activity of number of vegetable juices is reported to be stabilized by boiling, suggesting that the initial prooxidant activity is due to peroxidase which are inactivated at high temperatures. It is reported that crucifer extracts exhibit either a prooxidant or an antioxidant activity depending on the thermal processing and variety of vegetable examined. An increase in AOA of carrot puree due to thermal processing is associated with increased levels of phenolic acids.
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Effect of storage time on AOA of GSE and PSE in linoleic acid model system

The effect of storage time on the antioxidant activity of the GSE and PSE at 500mg/L is shown in Figure 6. The GSE and PSE were stored in the dark at 5 and 25°C and the lipid peroxidation inhibition of GSE and PSE at varied temperature and storage conditions were seen after 15d. The lipid peroxidation inhibition of GSE showed percentage inhibition of 67.42 and 64.13% at 5 and 25°C respectively while PSE showed lipid peroxidation inhibition of 46.66 and 46.40% at respective temperatures. The results of the study indicated that there was slight change in AOA of GSE with the change in storage time while antioxidant activity of PSE remains unchanged during entire storage period. The results of the present study indicated that, there was no change in AOA during the storage period. Saeedeh et al.\textsuperscript{47} observed that, the storage period did not show any significant difference in AOA of the plant extracts.

Figure 6 Effect of storage time at different temperatures on antioxidant activity of GSE and PSE in linoleic acid at 500mg/L. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

Chemical analyses

Changes in peroxide value (PV): Peroxide value (PV) is a measure of the primary degree of oxidation in the fish muscle.\textsuperscript{48} The changes in PV of steaks treated with GSE and PSE showed lower hydroperoxide formation compared to untreated samples during ice storage as shown in Table 1. The PV of chilled steaks control (CSC) increased significantly throughout the storage period (p<0.05) whereas hydroperoxide formation of treated groups was minimum. A reduction in hydroperoxide formation on the day of sensory rejection of chilled steaks control (CSC) was found less by 64.39, 57.75 and 33.44% for BHT, GSE and PSE treated steaks respectively. The results of the present study are in agreement with the findings of Jianyun et al.\textsuperscript{49} They documented that, phenolic compounds from grape seed and clove bud extracts lowers the increase in peroxide value of silver carp fillets during chilled storage. According to Sulochanan,\textsuperscript{50} phenolic compounds from natural sources like rosemary and clove extracts lower the peroxide value of Indian mackerel during 10d of storage in iced condition. The inhibition of peroxides is concentration-dependent which showed a direct relationship between the polyphenolic concentration and the inhibitory efficiency as studied by Bensid et al.\textsuperscript{51} During ice storage, polyphenolic concentration of 100ppm of GSE inhibited the formation of hydroperoxides about 95% as observed by Iglesias et al.\textsuperscript{52}

Figure 5 Effect of pH on antioxidant activity of GSE and PSE in linoleic acid at 500mg/L. Bars with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).
Table 1 Changes in PV, peroxide value; TBARS, thiobarbiutric acid reactive substances; FFA, free fatty acid; TMA-N, trimethylamine nitrogen; TVB-N, total volatile base nitrogen; and pH of Indian mackerel steaks treated with GSE, grape seed extract; PSE, papaya seed extract during ice storage

| Parameters       | Storage days | Treatment | 0      | 3      | 6      | 9      | 12     | 15     |
|------------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                  |              |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| PV (mg hydroperoxide kg⁻¹) |              |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 1.60 ± 0.07a | 2.91 ± 0.24a | 5.33 ± 0.09a | 9.48 ± 0.30a | 11.60 ± 0.04a | 14.10 ± 0.25a |
| BHT              |              |          | 1.60 ± 0.07a | 1.85 ± 0.43a | 2.34 ± 0.32a | 3.28 ± 0.14a | 4.13 ± 0.11a | 5.73 ± 0.22a |
| GSE              |              |          | 1.60 ± 0.07a | 2.02 ± 0.35a | 2.65 ± 0.16a | 3.96 ± 0.20a | 4.90 ± 0.16a | 6.10 ± 0.16a |
| PSE              |              |          | 1.60 ± 0.07a | 2.54 ± 0.28a | 4.01 ± 0.19a | 6.02 ± 0.17a | 7.72 ± 0.26a | 9.87 ± 0.42a |
| TBARS (mg malonaldehyde kg⁻¹) |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 0.33 ± 0.11a | 0.88 ± 0.11a | 1.28 ± 0.06a | 1.46 ± 0.17a | 1.81 ± 0.08a | 2.30 ± 0.29a |
| BHT              |              |          | 0.20 ± 0.05a | 0.24 ± 0.07a | 0.34 ± 0.07a | 0.50 ± 0.15a | 0.62 ± 0.13a | 0.73 ± 0.05a |
| GSE              |              |          | 0.22 ± 0.06a | 0.34 ± 0.07a | 0.50 ± 0.12a | 0.59 ± 0.12a | 0.73 ± 0.05a | 0.86 ± 0.11a |
| PSE              |              |          | 0.24 ± 0.07a | 0.52 ± 0.09b | 0.78 ± 0.12a | 0.98 ± 0.05a | 1.27 ± 0.12a | 1.43 ± 0.16a |
| FFA (% oleic acid) |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 1.42 ± 0.04a | 2.41 ± 0.21a | 4.48 ± 0.25a | 6.14 ± 0.21a | 7.33 ± 0.06a | 8.60 ± 0.24a |
| BHT              |              |          | 1.42 ± 0.04a | 2.01 ± 0.15a | 4.04 ± 0.11a | 5.36 ± 0.26a | 6.48 ± 0.17a | 7.09 ± 0.17a |
| GSE              |              |          | 1.42 ± 0.04a | 2.30 ± 0.13a | 4.08 ± 0.22a | 5.10 ± 0.252a | 6.70 ± 0.13a | 7.25 ± 0.31a |
| PSE              |              |          | 1.42 ± 0.04a | 2.48 ± 0.24a | 4.30 ± 0.27a | 5.81 ± 0.11a | 7.14 ± 0.13a | 8.31 ± 0.14a |
| TMA-N (mg N2 100g⁻¹) |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 3.01 ± 0.22a | 5.25 ± 0.13a | 7.17 ± 0.20a | 11.36 ± 0.14a | 15.28 ± 0.02a | 19.99 ± 0.24a |
| BHT              |              |          | 3.01 ± 0.22a | 3.81 ± 0.12a | 4.61 ± 0.24a | 6.79 ± 0.19a | 9.36 ± 0.44a | 12.28 ± 0.40a |
| GSE              |              |          | 3.01 ± 0.22a | 4.15 ± 0.22a | 5.74 ± 0.20a | 8.91 ± 0.42a | 11.4 ± 0.32a | 13.72 ± 0.21a |
| PSE              |              |          | 3.01 ± 0.22a | 4.22 ± 0.12a | 5.95 ± 0.16a | 9.22 ± 0.12a | 12.28 ± 0.11c | 14.95 ± 0.10b |
| TVB-N (mg N2 100g⁻¹) |          |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 8.10 ± 0.47a | 14.97 ± 0.20b | 24.18 ± 0.99b | 30.10 ± 1.13b | 34.13 ± 0.12c | 40.10 ± 0.16b |
| BHT              |              |          | 8.10 ± 0.47a | 8.42 ± 0.24a | 11.87 ± 0.87a | 18.20 ± 0.20a | 24.04 ± 0.25b | 30.32 ± 0.77a |
| GSE              |              |          | 8.10 ± 0.47a | 10.09 ± 0.16b | 14.07 ± 0.59b | 21.87 ± 1.36b | 26.56 ± 0.56b | 32.10 ± 0.09b |
| PSE              |              |          | 8.10 ± 0.47a | 11.06 ± 0.06b | 14.92 ± 0.65b | 23.38 ± 0.27b | 30.22 ± 0.35b | 36.14 ± 0.22b |
| pH               |              |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| CSC              |              |          | 6.17 ± 0.01b | 6.32 ± 0.00b | 6.52 ± 0.01b | 6.60 ± 0.01b | 6.72 ± 0.02c | 6.82 ± 0.02b |
| BHT              |              |          | 6.15 ± 0.02b | 6.20 ± 0.01b | 6.24 ± 0.01b | 6.31 ± 0.02b | 6.40 ± 0.02b | 6.50 ± 0.01b |
| GSE              |              |          | 5.73 ± 0.03b | 5.93 ± 0.02b | 6.09 ± 0.02b | 6.23 ± 0.02b | 6.35 ± 0.03b | 6.41 ± 0.01b |
| PSE              |              |          | 6.15 ± 0.02b | 6.20 ± 0.01b | 6.33 ± 0.01b | 6.40 ± 0.01b | 6.42 ± 0.03b | 6.52 ± 0.04b |
Quality of mackerel steaks with the effect of seed extracts during ice storage

Changes in thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS): The TBARS value is an index of secondary lipid oxidation measuring malonaldehyde content. Malonaldehyde is a secondary product of oxidation resulting from the degradation of lipid hydroperoxides formed during the oxidation process of polyunsaturated fatty acids. The TBARS values of steaks among the treatments at 0th d did not differ significantly (p>0.05) as shown in Table 1 and Figure 2. However, the TBARS values of all treatments were significantly lower than that of the control (CSC) (p<0.05) during 15d of ice storage. The percentage inhibition of malonaldehyde formation treated with GSE and PSE was recorded to be 59.66 and 20.99% respectively whereas, BHT showed marginal reduction of 65.57% with respect to untreated samples on the 9th d of ice storage. The above results clearly indicated that GSE at 500mg/L can be compared with that of BHT at 200mg/L whereas, PSE did not show promising effect in limiting the malonaldehyde formation. The above findings of PSE are in agreement with the in vitro antioxidant properties of papaya seed extract. Rababah et al. observed a marginal reduction in total plate count in chicken meat with the effect of GSE during refrigerated storage. According to Jongberg et al., CSC at 500ppm showed strong antioxidant activity in lowering TBARS formation in chill stored beef patties. The results of the present work follow similar trend of lowering TBARS formation as investigated by Brannan et al. They monitored the secondary lipid oxidation in chicken samples treated with GSE at 0.1% to 1.0% and concluded that, the higher percentage of phenolic compounds from GSE were more effective in lowering secondary lipid oxidation.

Changes in trimethylamine nitrogen (TMA-N): Trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) is the compound which occurs naturally in most of the marine fishes responsible for their characteristic fishy odour and flavor. Trimethylamine is the bacteriologically degraded product from trim ethylamine oxide (TMA-O). Trimethylamine (TMA) level in fish is an important factor in the subjective evaluation of fish quality because of its close association with fish spoilage. Fish with a level beyond 10-15mg TMA-N/100g fish are considered as spoiled. In the present investigation, the percentage of reduction in TMA-N of mackerel with the effect of treatment with BHT, GSE and PSE on the day of sensory rejection with respect to untreated steaks (CSC) was found to be 38.74, 25.39 and 19.63% on the day of sensory rejection respectively. The results of the present study are in agreement with Gao et al. TMA-N production in fish and fishery products is due to enzymatic and microbial degradation of protein and non-protein nitrogenous compounds. The protein and non-protein nitrogenous content in fish depends on several factors such as age, sex, culture method and locality of fish in which it resides. The increase in TMA-N levels may result from domination of free amino acid, oxidation of amines and degradation of nucleotides by autolytic enzymes and microbial activity. The above results clearly indicated that, the polyphenolic compounds from GSE and PSE were effective in controlling TMA-N formation in steaks during ice storage. The results of the present study are in agreement with Lopez de Lacey et al. They monitored crude polyphenols from green tea extract and showed antimicrobial activity which lowered TMA-N formation in hake fillets during 15d of storage.

Changes in total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N): Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) levels have been recognized as useful indicators of seafood spoilage; under EU directive 95/149/EEC, the...

### Table 2 Changes in drip loss, total plate count and sensory attributes of Indian mackerel steaks with the effect of GSE, grape seed extract; and PSE, papaya seed extract; during ice storage mean±sd, n=3; a-d Values in the same column for each attribute are followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05)

| Parameters                      | Treatments | 0     | 3     | 6     | 9     | 12    | 15    |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                |            |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total plate count (log cfu/g)   | CSC        | 4.60±0.07a | 5.30±0.08a | 6.20±0.14a | 7.30±0.27a | 8.30±0.13a | 8.70±0.33a |
|                                | BHT        | 4.10±0.15b | 4.30±0.07c | 5.20±0.09c | 5.70±0.14c | 6.80±0.16c | 7.70±0.16c |
|                                | GSE        | 3.50±0.12c | 4.00±0.04c | 4.50±0.05c | 4.90±0.08c | 6.00±0.09c | 6.60±0.09c |
|                                | CSC        | 4.10±0.03c | 4.40±0.05c | 5.40±0.07c | 5.90±0.07c | 6.90±0.08c | 7.60±0.01c |
| Overall acceptability score     | BHT        | 9.00±0.05c | 8.07±0.05c | 6.94±0.03c | 5.27±0.04c | 4.06±0.06c | 2.12±0.06c |
|                                | GSE        | 9.00±0.05c | 8.92±0.04c | 8.05±0.04c | 7.64±0.12c | 6.64±0.07c | 5.77±0.10c |
|                                | PSE        | 9.00±0.05c | 8.24±0.04c | 7.65±0.06c | 6.64±0.04c | 5.43±0.02c | 5.04±0.07c |

Changes in free fatty acids (FFA): It is well known that, the free fatty acid (FFA) is a result of enzymatic decomposition of lipids in fish and fishery products. Free fatty acids which cause protein denaturation are formed during processing as a result of lipid hydrolysis. The free fatty acid formation in steaks treated with BHT, GSE and PSE was reduced by 11.59, 8.59 and 2.59% as oleic acid respectively with respect to untreated steaks. The above result clearly indicated that, the effect of treatment had minimum inhibition on lipid hydrolysis and among the two seed extracts tested, inhibition on enzymatic hydrolysis was more pronounced in GSE treated samples compared PSE treated samples. The result of the present study is in agreement with findings of Chajian et al. They reported that, the phenolic compounds had less effect in lowering enzymatic hydrolysis of fish muscle. Bensid et al. reported that, the effect of polyphenols from thyme, oregano and clove extracts leads to lower the formation of free fatty acid. The prime factor of hydrolytic rancidity in fish during ice storage takes place as a result of endogenous enzyme namely, lipases and phospholipases activity and later on, microbial activity should be important, so that FFA formation should mostly be produced as a result of bacterial and enzyme activity. The inhibitory effect of plant polyphenols on endogenous enzyme activity in fish based muscle system occurred in first stage (d2-6) while antimicrobial effect of polyphenols led in the second stage (d10-23) to a lower free fatty acid formation.

Citation: Sofi FR, Raju CV, Lakshmisha LP et al. Quality of mackerel steaks with the effect of seed extracts during ice storage. MOJ Food Process Technol. 2017;4(5):147–156. DOI: 10.15406/mojfpt.2017.04.00106
European Commission has specified that, the TVB-N level can be used, if sensory methods raise doubts about the freshness of seafood species. In the present investigation changes in TVB-N content with the effect of GSE and PSE during ice storage of Indian mackerel steaks are shown in Table. The treatment with GSE and PSE contributed to lowering TVB-N formation but GSE seems to be more effective in delaying or reducing the growth of bacteria which are involved in the production of basic compounds (TVB-N) in fish during ice storage. The percentage of reduction in TVB-N with the effect of treatment with BHT, GSE and PSE on the day of sensory rejection with respect to untreated steaks (CSC) was lowered by 29.56, 22.17 and 11.45% respectively on the day of sensory rejection. The present findings of antimicrobial action of polyphenols to lower TVB-N during ice storage are in agreement with the report published by Unalan el al. that, the pomegranate and rosemary extract helps to lower TVB-N formation in halibut fillets. Similar trends were reported by Quiral et al. on lowering TVB-N content of Chilean jack mackerel (Trachurus murphyi) during ice storage with the effect of rosemary and oregano polyphenols.

Changes in pH: A change in pH of fish muscle is usually a good index for quality assessment. The changes in the pH of iced stored mackerel and steaks are presented in Table 20A, 20B and Figure 30A, 30B. Lactic acid generated in anoxic conditions from glycolysis is the principal factor in lowering the post mortem pH in fish muscle. In the present study a marked increase in pH of all treated groups of whole mackerel and steaks during ice storage was observed and were in line with Shinde et el.

Changes in microbiological characteristics: It has been estimated that about one third of world’s food production is lost annually on account of microbial spoilage. Microorganism associated with aquatic products usually reflects the microbial population in the environment. In the present study changes in total plate count of steaks treated with GSE and PSE during ice storage for 15d are presented in Table. The results of the study showed that, the microbiological growth was significantly (p<0.05) influenced by the dip treatment of mackerel and steaks with GSE and PSE. GSE extracts were found to be more effective in reducing total bacterial load of fish samples. The antibacterial property of GSE was reported to be due to the hydrophobic nature of phenolic compounds. Accumulation and attachments of these phenolics to the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane eventually lead to cell death. Other researchers also reported antimicrobial effects of GSE in restructured mutton slices (RMS) under aerobic and vacuum packaging conditions during refrigerated storage and result of the investigation revealed that reduction of total psychrotrophic counts of GSE in both aerobic and vacuum packaged RMS is probably due to the antimicrobial activity of GSE. Changes in sensory characteristics: The objective of sensory testing is to measure the intrinsic sensory attributes of a sample through the analytic sensory perceptions of human assessors. The overall index for quality assessment. Biochemical and microbiological analysis also showed that the use of 0.5mg/mL of grape seed extract were found to be most effective (P<0.05) in controlling the rate of lipid oxidation and microbial spoilage.
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