A Rare Case of Euphoria Caused by Lidocaine After an Erector Spinae Plane Block: A Case Report
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Abstract: Lidocaine is a cost-effective drug that is widely used for local and regional anesthesia. However, central nervous system (CNS) toxicity can occur when lidocaine is administered above the maximum recommended dose (approximately 4.5 mg/kg) or if lidocaine is injected intravascularly rather than administered locally. Systemic toxicity by lidocaine has been reported in several studies. However, psychotic reactions due to lidocaine have been rarely reported; furthermore, reports of lidocaine-related euphoria are very rare. We report a very rare case of euphoria caused by CNS toxicity that occurred during the local administration of lidocaine at the therapeutic dose. Therefore, anesthesiologists should be aware of the severe side effects of local anesthetics despite administering the appropriate dosage at the appropriate location. Future studies should investigate pharmacokinetics to determine the safety profile of local anesthetics.
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Introduction

Local anesthetics account for 5–10% of all side effects reported for anesthetic drugs.1 Side effects include cardiovascular and central nervous system (CNS) toxicity due to blockage of cell membrane ion channels, peripheral nerve complications due to other effects of the drug or the vehicle, allergic reactions, and needle trauma or infection due to mechanical or other technical problems.1 The incidence of toxicity caused by local anesthetics occurs more often by accidental intravascular injection than by overdosage; nevertheless, the arterial blood concentration of the local anesthetic is an important factor in both cases.2

A higher dosage of lidocaine in the stellate ganglion block and epidural block results in a higher blood concentration.3,4 Therefore, if local anesthetic-induced systemic toxicity occurs, overdosage should be considered during both intravascular administration and local administration. However, due to the lack of quality data, specific recommendations for the general maximum dose of lidocaine cannot be determined, and the manufacturer’s recommended dose varies from country to country.5 According to most manufacturer recommendations, the maximum lidocaine dose for local anesthesia and local nerve block is 300 mg for a patient weighing 70 kg (approximately 4.5 mg/kg).5

First described by Forero et al6 in 2016 for thoracic neuropathic pain, the erector spinae plane block (ESPB) is a novel technique of interfascial plane block between
the transverse process and the erector spinae muscle. The erector spinae muscle is highly vascularized, and during the ESPB procedure, a large surface area of the muscle comes into contact with local anesthetics. However, it has been reported that even with a dose of 0–3.72 mg/kg lidocaine, serum lidocaine concentrations did not reach the systemic toxicity range, and there are few reports of systemic toxicity symptoms due to local anesthetics used for ESPB.

Side effects of lidocaine have been reported in several studies and have been known to occur during intra-vascular injection or overdosage. However, side effects, such as psychotic reactions, particularly euphoria, have rarely been reported. We report a rare case of euphoria caused by lidocaine after an ESPB.

Case Report

This case was approved by the Chungbuk National University Hospital Clinical Research Review Committee (approval number 2020–04-010-001). The patient provided informed consent for the publication of this case report.

A 48-year-old man (164 cm, 79 kg) visited the pain clinic for herpes zoster pain. The patient had blisters on the left flank area (left T6 area) that developed three days ago. The patient reported pain that was localized to his left flank (rated 5–7/10 on a numeric rating scale) accompanied by a burning and tingling sensation. The patient previously received a caudal block to treat back pain due to spondylytic spondylolisthesis at L5/S1 and an adductor canal block to treat left knee pain with lidocaine; no side effects were observed during these procedures.

An ESPB was scheduled to treat the patient’s pain as the first treatment. The patient did not take any medication or addictive drug (such as cocaine) that may interact and potentially increase serum levels and potential for toxicity. The patient had no psychosis or other psychological disorders.

After disinfection, the patient was placed in the prone position, and a 22-gauge quince needle was inserted under ultrasound guidance in plane towards the transverse process T6 level. We confirmed the needle location in the fascial plane between the transverse process and erector spinae muscle under ultrasound guidance. After confirming that blood was not aspirated, 10 mL of 1% lidocaine and 10 mL of normal saline was injected. Lidocaine was slowly injected in 5 mL increments at a rate of 1 mL every 3 seconds with a careful repetitive aspiration to avoid intravascular injection. The procedure was completed after confirming separation of the erector spinae muscles from the transverse process with a good caudal and cephalic spread.

Two minutes after the procedure, the patient began to laugh, saying inaccurately that he was feeling very good. We could not identify the cause of the patient’s symptoms except for the lidocaine injected into the erector spinae plane.

The patient’s vital signs (electrocardiogram, oxygen saturation, tidal CO₂, etc) remained within the normal limit, and intubation and emergency kits were prepared. Except for slurred speech and euphoria, the patient had no neurological symptoms, such as numbness of the tongue or lip, lightheadedness, tinnitus, or visual disturbance. After 35 minutes, the patient’s neurological symptoms improved completely, and accurate communication was possible. Dermatomal coverage after the procedure with pinprick and/or cold test was not performed. The patient’s left flank pain decreased to a numerical rating scale score of 1–2/10 after ESPB and remained so for over 2 hours until discharge. He remembered exactly what was going on during and after the procedure. He said, “I seemed to be drunk. It was the most pleasant and happy feeling I have ever had.” The patient was observed for 2 hours, and after confirming that there were no neurological symptoms, he was discharged.

Discussion

Among the adverse events caused by CNS toxicity due to lidocaine, psychotic reactions have been previously reported. Symptoms of psychotic reactions were mainly mood changes, doom anxiety, hallucinations, and delusions, but euphoria has been rarely reported. Short-lasting euphoria after intravenous lidocaine administration was reported in a 42-year-old patient who was addicted to cocaine. Euphoria was also reported after administration of 35 mL of 2% lidocaine for axillary block and 50 mL of 1% lidocaine for local anesthesia. In both cases, euphoria occurred after intravenous administration with a high possibility of CNS toxicity or after administration of a dose higher than 300 mg of lidocaine. However, our case differs from these cases since euphoria occurred during the local, not intravascular administration of lidocaine and at a dose lower (10 mL of 1% lidocaine) than the maximum recommended dose. This suggests that CNS toxicity of local anesthetics may occur during local administration, even at doses lower than the known maximum.
dose. The characteristics of ESPB and the patient’s position can be considered as the reasons these side effects occurred with ESPB.

Although randomized, controlled studies have not been performed yet, the efficacy of ESPB for acute herpes pain has been demonstrated in several studies. The mechanism of action is unclear, but it has been reported that the injected local anesthetic spreads through the costotransverse foramen to the external intercostal muscle and internal intercostal membrane, and interfacial injected local anesthetics spread through the costotransverse foramen and peripheral porous tissues to the intercostal and thoracic paravertebral spaces. Additionally, sensitivity depends on the integrity of A-gamma fibers (light touch), A-delta fibers (cold and pinprick), and C fibers (warmth and dull pain). Several studies reported that the analgesic effect of ESPB is due to a differential block mediated by non-myelinated C fibers rather than larger A-delta and A-gamma fibers.

Elkoundi et al reported that plasma local anesthetic levels are expected to increase significantly when large amounts of local anesthetics are administered with ESPB. The peak plasma concentration of a local anesthetic is determined by the vascular supply-dependent rate of systemic absorption at the injection site and surface area contact with the local anesthetic injectate. When ESPB is performed, a large surface area comes into contact with local anesthetics. Furthermore, the erector spinae muscle is highly vascularized. Considering these mechanisms of ESPB and the blood supply of erector spinae musculature, lidocaine was likely rapidly absorbed over a large area in a short time, and the intramuscular or interfacial spread of the lidocaine may have caused a rapid increase in the level of lidocaine in the blood. Therefore, interfacial administration of local anesthetics could cause CNS toxicity to a greater degree than typical local administration. However, Caruso et al reported that serum lidocaine concentrations were below the systemic toxicity range, even with an injection of 0–3.72 mg/kg of lidocaine for ESPB in a study of 27 patients. In this regard, future pharmacokinetic studies of local anesthetics in ESPB are needed to determine their safety profile.

Another possible cause of euphoria was the patient’s position during the procedure. The concentration of local anesthetics reaching the brain depends on the ratio of cardiac output to the brain. The patient’s prone position during the procedure may have led to euphoria due to the increased cardiac output to the brain. Therefore, the patient’s prone position during the procedure could also be a factor that could affect CNS toxicity by local anesthetics.

The reported sequence of CNS toxicity symptoms after local anesthetic injection is numbness of the tongue, light-headedness, tinnitus, visual disturbance, slurring of speech, muscular twitching, irrational conversation, unconsciousness, convulsion, coma, and apnea. Furthermore, medical professionals usually assess numbness of the tongue, tinnitus, and visual disturbance to evaluate CNS toxicity caused by local anesthetic. However, in our case, the first symptom was euphoria and slurring of speech. This indicates that symptoms of CNS toxicity may occur in a different order than that previously reported or even skip steps in this sequence. Thus, we speculate that any sequence, including the initial sequence of CNS toxicity symptoms, can be a predicting factor for a life-threatening situation such as seizure, coma, and respiratory arrest.

The limitation of our case report is that laboratory tests were not performed since the patient’s symptoms completely disappeared within 35 minutes after the procedure. Performing laboratory tests on patients before and after the procedure could help identify other factors that cause lidocaine-induced systemic toxicity. In this case, adrenaline was not added to lidocaine, but it is worth considering adding adrenaline to local anesthetics to avoid the side effects of local anesthetics. The addition of a small amount of adrenaline to a local anesthetic acts as a marker of intravascular absorption and significantly reduces the peak plasma concentration of the local anesthetic, reducing systemic toxicity.

**Conclusion**

In summary, euphoria is a very rare side effect of systemic toxicity that can occur after lidocaine injection. The occurrence of this side effect with ESPB suggests that future pharmacokinetic studies are needed to determine the safety profile. Furthermore, the severe side effects of local anesthetics should be considered despite administering the appropriate dose at the appropriate location while avoiding intravascular administration. In addition, the patient’s position during the procedure may also cause unexpected side effects.
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