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The first examples of stable metal complexes with coordinated ethylene and carbon dioxide ligands are reported. Reaction of tris(ethylene) complexes $\text{mer-M(C}_2\text{H}_4)_3(\text{PNP})$ (M = Mo and W; PNP = 2,6-bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)pyridine) with CO$_2$ yields the corresponding, mixed cis-$\text{M(C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$(CO$_2$)(PNP) derivatives. X-ray studies reveal six-coordinate structures exhibiting $\eta^2$-ethylene and $\kappa^2$-C,O carbon dioxide coordination. Remarkably, the formation of the molybdenum CO$_2$ adduct occurs also in the solid state at room temperature, under 4 bar of CO$_2$, in a nearly quantitative manner.

Introduction

Since the twentieth century, organometallic chemistry has provided countless examples of molecules exhibiting two C-donor ligands possessing the potential to undergo metal-induced C–C coupling reactions.$^{1}$ Yet to be discovered are, however, stable transition metal compounds with coordinated ethylene and carbon dioxide, which could be relevant in the context of acrylate formation$^2$ by C–C bond formation. Notwithstanding decades of interest and intense research, catalytic conversion of C$_2$H$_4$ and CO$_2$ into acrylate derivatives with the aim of replacing current non-environmentally friendly methods is still an elusive goal.$^3$ In 1983, Hoberg$^4$ achieved nickelalactone formation by means of a Ni(0)-mediated coupling of C$_2$H$_4$ and CO$_2$ (Scheme 1a). This seminal discovery paved the way for the development of Ni- and Pd-catalyzed reactions, although productivity values were well below industrial needs.$^5,6$ Only two years after Hoberg’s findings, our parent laboratory disclosed that tertiary phosphine stabilized bis(ethylene) complexes of Mo(0) and W(0) promoted stoichiometric acrylate formation in the presence of CO$_2$ under mild conditions (Scheme 1b).$^7$ Though neither of the purported M[C$_2$H$_4$(CO$_2$)] adduct and metallalactone intermediates could be detected, metal–hydroxy-acrylate end-products derived from the latter by $\beta$–H elimination were isolated and characterized. Lately, Bernskoetter and coworkers described$^8$ a modified system alike in reactivity but bearing a tridentate pincer PPP ligand (Scheme 1c). Solution studies suggested the intermediacy of a five-coordinate, sixteen-electron species with cis-C$_2$H$_4$ and CO$_2$ ligands, which could not be isolated.$^9$ Although for the Mo and W systems CO$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ coordination appears to be

![Scheme 1 Transition metal complexes with ethylene and carbon dioxide as co-ligands.](image-url)
a prerequisite for acrylate formation, this proposal is at doubt for Ni. Related metallacycles have been reported for titanium, zirconium, vanadium, rhodium, cobalt and iron but corresponding species with the metal coordinated to both C2H4 and CO2 have escaped isolation. Chirik and coworkers have identified recently an iron-mediated C2H4–CO2 coupling to carboxylates of variable chain length up to 21 carbon atoms, and although they demonstrated control of the reaction outcome by the metallacyclic intermediates, evidence for the Fe(C2H4)(CO2) precursors was not provided.

Inspired by the work of Nishibayashi, Beller or Kichner, among others, about the capacity of Mo(PNP) linkages to promote different stoichiometric or catalytic transformations with low reactive molecules, we envisioned replacing our original ligand scaffold based on monodentate phosphines with the simple, -PPh2 bearing, pyridine-based pincer ligand 2,6-bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)pyridine (from now on PNP in shorthand notation; see Scheme 1). Besides providing kinetic stability to M(0) complexes, the tridentate PNP unit would additionally thwart the mer-to-fac coordination change documented for the PPP tridentate phosphate ligand in Scheme 1c, and favor M(C2H4)(CO2) adducts. Herein, we report that the said tridentate ligand allows the synthesis of tris(ethylene) complexes, mer-M(C2H4)3(PNP) (M = Mo, 1a; W, 1b), as well as their conversion into the target mixed adducts, M(C2H4)(CO2)(PNP) (M = Mo, 3a; W, 3b). Notably, formation of CO2 adducts, 3, proceeds readily in solution and the solid state for Mo in a quantitative manner. In spite of the presence of C2H4 and CO2 cis ligands in the molecules of 3, these complexes are stable toward the formation of metal-lactone and acrylate products. Computational support for the above observations is also reported.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis of tris(ethylene) Mo(0) and W(0) complexes 1a and 1b**

We found that sodium amalgam reduction of MCl3(PNP) under ethylene, at room temperature, yielded the tris(ethylene) complexes mer-M(C2H4)3(PNP) (M = Mo, 1a; W, 1b), which were isolated as dark red crystalline solids in good yields (Scheme 2).

Attempts to prepare analogous complexes of PNP ligands with other -PR3 termini (R = i-Pr, Cy and i-Bu) proved fruitless. Spectroscopic data are in good agreement with the proposed structure (see the ESI†). In particular, the C2H4 ligands of complexes 1 give rise to two 13C{1H} NMR resonances at about 40 (two trans C2H4) and 49 ppm, both showing JC–C–P values close to 5 Hz. Comparison with the δ value found for free C2H4 of 123 ppm reveals Δδ shifts of 83 and 74 ppm to lower frequencies, consistent with increased electronic density due to strong back-donation. To our knowledge, complexes 1 are the first isolated tris(ethylene) complexes of molybdenum and tungsten, though Bernskoetter and co-workers detected in solution a species of this type when monitoring the reaction of a Mo(H)4(PPP) complex with C2H4.

X-ray crystallography led to unequivocal structure authentication (Fig. 1) and confirmed the meridional arrangement of the ethylene molecules. The two in trans are staggered with respect to one another, whereas the two carbon atoms of the third, which occupy the coordination site trans to the pyridine N atom, are essentially co-planar to the PNP donor atoms. No significant difference exists among the Mo–Calkene distances to all C2H4 ligands, despite their diverse geometrical distribution. Thus, for 1a the Mo–C distances have values of 2.26–2.27 Å. Likewise, the three coordinated C=C bonds feature similar lengths, for instance 1.416(2) (C22–C23) and 1.425(2) Å (C24–C25). These metrical parameters, and those disclosed for 1b (see the ESI†), are significantly longer than the 1.34 Å distance corresponding to free C2H4, denoting the existence of strong electronic interactions between the electron-rich M(0) centers of complexes 1 and the π-C2H4 ligands.

**Reactivity of complexes 1a an 1b toward carbon monoxide**

In contrast to results reported for trans-M(C2H4)4(PMe3)4 complexes (M = Mo and W), which are known to react with CO...
to give the mono-carboxyls trans,mer-M(C2H4)2(CO)(PMe3)1, exposure of complexes 1 to an atmosphere of CO originated the trans-M(C2H4)(CO)2(PNP) dicarbonyls (2a, Mo; 2b, W) as depicted in Scheme 3. The complexes were fully characterized by microanalysis, IR data [ν asym(C–O) is 1807 and 1781 cm−1 for 2a and 2b, respectively], NMR spectroscopy and X-ray studies21 (see Scheme 3). The exchange of the axial ethylene ligands instead of the equatorial one provides a singular feature of compounds 1 when compared to the related, previously described bis(ethylene) complexes of Mo and W. This difference in behavior must be a consequence of the use of the PNP ancillary ligand instead of the monodentate PMe3 ligands, a fact that should also affect the reactivity toward carbon dioxide (see below).

**The mixed complexes M(C2H4)2(CO2)(PNP) (3a–3b)**

When complexes 1 were submitted to a carbon dioxide atmosphere only one of the trans C2H4 ligands underwent ligand substitution upon exposure to CO2 (1 bar, toluene solution). For molybdenum, CO2 coordination took place at room temperature, but formation of the tungsten analogue needed heating at 80 ºC for 4 h (Scheme 4). The new compounds were isolated as brown and yellow-brown crystalline solids, respectively, in 68% and 48% yields. With reference to the molybdenum complex 3a an IR absorption (nujol mull) centered at ca. 1700 cm−1 can be taken as an indication of \( \nu^2 \)-C,O coordination of the molecule of carbon dioxide.24 Additional support for this proposal comes from the observation of a triplet \( ^{13} \text{C} \{ ^1\text{H} \} \) resonance with \( \delta 200.8 \) ppm and \( \text{J}_{\text{C}-\text{P}} = 14 \) Hz (Scheme 4), the two parameters resembling closely those reported for trans-Mo(CO2)2(PMe3)1.25 The two ethylene ligands seem to undergo fast rotation around the respective Mo–C2H4 bond axis at room temperature, so that only two \( ^{13} \text{C} \{ ^1\text{H} \} \) resonances are recorded (43.8, br s, and 50.6 ppm, t, \( \text{J}_{\text{C}-\text{P}} = 4 \) Hz). Although the two \( ^{31} \text{P} \) nuclei of these complexes are equivalent and resonate at 46.9 ppm in the \( ^{31} \text{P} \{ ^1\text{H} \} \) NMR (datum for 3a), the reduced symmetry brought about by the C2H4-by-CO2 replacement becomes apparent from the observation of a \(^1\text{H} \) AB spin system (\( \delta_{\text{a}} = 4.75, \delta_{\text{b}} = 4.65 \) ppm; \( \text{J}_{\text{AB}} = 18 \) Hz) for the now diastereotopic methylene protons of the PNP ligand. Signature \( ^{13} \text{C} \{ ^1\text{H} \} \) resonances for the tungsten complex 3b were recorded at 210.2 (W–CO2, t, \( \text{J}_{\text{C}-\text{P}} = 13 \) Hz), 45.4 (W–C2H4, t, \( \text{J}_{\text{C}-\text{P}} = 4 \) Hz) and 44.0 ppm (W–C2H4, br s), and are also comparable to literature data for related complexes (see the ESI† for full description).

The molecular complexity proposed for complexes 3 on the basis of microanalytical and spectroscopic data was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.23 These studies provided the solid-state molecular geometries presented in Fig. 2, which possess the two C2H4 ligands in a cis distribution, hence a cis,mer-M(C2H4)2(CO2)(PNP) formulation. The molecule of carbon dioxide is bound to the metal in a \( \nu^2 \) fashion through one of the C=O bonds, in a staggered orientation with respect to the trans ethylene ligand. The coordinated C=O bond eclipses the M–N bond, while the two C=C bonds eclipse the trans P–M–P vector. The four Mo–C2H4 distances in 3a have similar magnitude, close to 2.25 Å. The Mo1–C1 bond within the Mo–CO2 linkage is nonetheless significantly shorter at 2.107(4) Å, and it is also shorter than the associated Mo1–O2 separation of 2.215(3) Å. These metrics (see the ESI† for corresponding X-ray data for 3b) along with the elongation of the coordinated C=O bond relative to the non-coordinated one (C1–O2 = 1.261(4) and C1–O1 = 1.213(4) Å; 1.243(12) and 1.248(13) Å in the tungsten analog, 3b) hint at the existence of strong M–CO2 electronic interactions in these complexes.

**Solid state ethylene replacement with carbon dioxide**

Most notably, the reaction of crystalline samples of 1a with CO2 occurred also in the solid state at room temperature. Loss of crystallinity and a color change to brown (Fig. 3) were observed after 24 hours under 4 bar of carbon dioxide. NMR analysis of the resulting powdery brown solid revealed clean conversion into complex 3a, though minor amounts (<2%) of unreacted 1a...
were still present. For the tungsten case, such mild conditions were not enough to promote the exchange. However, heating solid 1b under 4 bar of CO2 for 24 h at 80 °C led to the formation of 3b in 20% yield, with 70% of 1b yet unreacted and 10% of free PNP ligand, formed upon decomposition, being also observed in the 31P{1H} NMR spectrum (see the ESI†).

The observation of the formation of these compounds in the solid state constitutes an uncommon observation linking transition metal carbon dioxide chemistry and solid-state molecular organometallic chemistry. The latter is a highly important, though still underdeveloped field of research.27

**Stability of the complexes M(C2H4)2(CO2)(PNP) (3a,b)**

The presence of both ethylene and carbon dioxide ligands in the coordination sphere of the M(C2H4)2(CO2)(PNP) complexes resembles the intermediate previously proposed (Scheme 1b) en route to their coupling, although in that case, which was also verified by DFT studies,18 the two ethylene ligands were in mutually trans disposition at variance with the cis geometry shown in 3a,b. Notwithstanding the presence of cis C2H4 and CO2 ligands in the coordination polyhedron of complexes 3, the putative C–C coupling reaction leading to metallalactone or acrylate derivatives could not be ascertained. Heating toluene solutions of compounds 3 under argon, in the absence or in the presence of C2H4 and CO2, with added base or with Lewis acids, resulted only in gradual decomposition with slow liberation of the PNP ligand, and no observation of acrylate formation.

**Computational studies**

To gain a deeper understanding of all the findings reported in this contribution, computational studies were undertaken. Calculations revealed that conversion of the tris(ethylene) molybdenum complex into the carbon dioxide adduct 3a is a facile process, in agreement with the already described experimental findings. Furthermore, two intermediate isomeric rotamers, i3a and i4a (Fig. 4), were identified and were shown to interconvert by means of a concerted synchronous rotation28 of the trans CO2 and C2H4 ligands. Not unexpectedly, the 1a-to-3a conversion requires the dissociation of one of the trans ethylene molecules of the 18-electron complex 1, and subsequent coordination of CO2. The initially formed k2-O adduct isomerizes to the k2-C,O rotamer i3a, which is only 2.2 kcal mol−1 above the tris(ethylene) complex 1a, through TS2-3a, with energy just below 20 kcal mol−1 above 1a (see Fig. 4). Rotamers i3a and i4a have similar energies but the experimentally observed rotamer 3a is about 5 kcal mol−1 more stable, making the overall reaction exergonic by −3.1 kcal mol−1 (toluene solvent). Similar ΔG values have been computed for the tungsten system (Scheme S2 and Fig. S2 in the ESI†), with the principal difference with respect to the molybdenum analogue being a significantly higher transition state TS2-3b at 27.1 kcal mol−1 (also in toluene) relative to complex 1b. This is in excellent agreement with the slower formation of 3b, which requires heating at 80 °C for 4 h.

In accordance with expectations, metallalactone product formation was computed to be endergonic (3.2 and 2.1 kcal mol−1, in toluene, for the Mo and W systems, respectively). As shown in Fig. 4, two routes were calculated for the formation of the metallalactone species 6a starting from rotamers i3a and i4a. They are closely related to those described by the group of Papai for the M(C2H4)2(PMe3)4-plus-CO2 reactions19 (see the ESI†). A transition state for the purported formation of a hydrido-acrylate product from 6a could not be found, probably due to the rigidity of the Mo–PNP™ and Mo–metallated lactone structures. The putative hydrido acrylate...
structure has been computed as lying 23.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ above i6a (25.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for i6b).

Conclusions

In conclusion, a suitable choice of ancillary ligands has allowed for the isolation of the first stable complexes bearing both C$_2$H$_4$ and CO$_2$ ligands in the metal (Mo and W) coordination sphere. We have also shown that metallalactone formation by C–C coupling of the coordinated ligands is slightly endergonic, while the subsequent rearrangement to a hydrido-acrylate isomeric structure is strongly hindered kinetically by the rigidity of the M–PNP chelating structure, besides being thermodynamically uphill. We believe these results will be useful to design suitable catalytic systems for the conversion of C$_2$H$_4$ and CO$_2$ into acrylate derivatives.
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