Thermophysical field testing of residential buildings made of autoclaved aerated concrete blocks
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Abstract. The object of investigation is one-type neighbouring blocks of flats located in Volgograd region (Russia, N 48°). Thermophysical field testing using eco-friendly nondestructive test methods was carried out to estimate whether the level of heat protection in buildings meets requirements of the Russian construction norms SP 50.13330.2012. According to the results of thermophysical field tests of residential buildings made of autoclaved aerated concrete blocks, the following was found out. Design of double-layer exterior walls in the form of AAC blocks with front brick masonry bears thermotechnical risks due to an increase in non-uniform heat protection of buildings' covers caused by a significant impact on heat protection of buildings' edge zones. The designed level of heat insulation does not conform to the basic level of heat protection for the majority of regions in the Russian Federation. Two-layer exterior walls without supplementary insulation practically do not have heat protection and energy saving reserves. The reduction of the actual insulation in envelopes, compared to the designed one, can be
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explained by both unapproved deviations from the design project made by a subcontractor during construction and low-quality construction and assembly work. It is strongly suggested to improve the structural solution of edge zones in envelopes to reduce thermotechnical risks when designing buildings. Supplementary insulation along the surfaces of the walls can be considered as another activity to increase heat protection.

**Introduction**

Nowadays, autoclaved aerated concrete is widely used in residential construction throughout the Russian Federation. According to statistic data available in reference books, the share of autoclaved aerated concrete blocks used on the Russian construction market can be compared with insulating fire bricks [1]. Autoclaved aerated concrete is primarily applied as a structural and insulating material. The widespread use of autoclaved aerated concrete elements is due to high consumer properties of AAC blocks [2–6]:

- raw materials availability;
- low fire hazard and high fire-resistance;
- high-fidelity products;
- masonry manufacturability and high work performance;
- low-cost product.

Strength properties and service performance of autoclaved aerated concrete are thoroughly studied [7–21]. Research findings for mechanical properties of autoclaved aerated concrete are given in the articles [7–10]. Methods to improve thermotechnical properties of AAC-products are described in the articles [10–15]. Humidity conditions of AAC exterior walls and the impact on insulating properties of envelope constructions have been studied in the articles [16–18]. Thermotechnical defects of exterior walls made of autoclaved aerated concrete are assessed in the articles [19, 20]. Mathematical models and methods to estimate heat performance of envelopes with thermotechnical inhomogeneous sections (edge zones) are considered in the articles [21–24]. Results of measuring moisture production caused by various sources are described in the article [25].

However, there are not enough findings about thermophysical field testing of buildings made of autoclaved aerated concrete in the references considered. Thermophysical field testing of buildings requires costly equipment, has high labour intensity and implies a relevant qualification of workers. There is an urgent need for thermophysical field testing to be conducted in residential buildings made of autoclaved aerated concrete due to unavailability of experimental data on temperature distribution inside and outside thermally insulated building enclosures, resistance to heat transfer of envelopes, structure of heat loss through envelopes in the cold season.

An object to be tested is one-type neighbouring blocks of flats located in Volgograd region (Russia, N 48°). Average monthly outside air temperature in January is equal to –6.9 °C, in July is 23.9 °C. The humid area is 3 (dry). Each three-story double-section building under consideration has a basement and an attic (Figure 1). Buildings were constructed in 2011. There were stabilized humidity conditions while conducting thermotechnical tests.

![Figure 1. General view of neighbouring buildings](image)
The standard type of building construction B1.020.1–7 (“ARKOS–1”) designed in the republic of Belarus was taken as a design solution for constructing the buildings mentioned herein. Geometric characteristics of buildings are given in Table 1.

**Table 1. Geometric characteristics of buildings**

| Performance feature                      | Subscript, measurement unit | Value  |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Total area of envelopes including:      |                             |        |
| exterior walls                          | $A_{sum}$, m$^2$            | 2729   |
| windows, balcony doors                  | $A_w$, m$^2$                | 1077   |
| attic slab                              | $A_F$, m$^2$                | 256    |
| slabs over basement                     | $A_c$, m$^2$                | 698    |
|                                         | $A_b$, m$^2$                | 698    |
| Heated volume                           | $V_h$, m$^3$                | 6073   |
| Building compactness                    | $k_e$, 1/m                  | 0.449  |
| Coefficient of glazing for facades      | $k_F$                       | 0.192  |

The major structural feature of the project is that functions of load-bearing structures and envelopes are separated.

The load-bearing base of the system “ARKOS–1” is a braced-frame precast framework with flat slab plates formed by hollow-core units. The hollow-core units in each slab are joined with solid-cast reinforced girders hidden inside the slabs and supported by precast columns. In order to reduce the heat loss in the cold season, the girders have perforation holes filled with polystyrene-foam heat-insulating inserts and arranged along external contours. Heat-insulating covering of buildings consists of different types of envelopes. Walls are constructed in the form of masonry made of autoclaved aerated concrete blocks with internal plaster and external facing of brick masonry (Figure 1). The walls are floor-by-floor supported by slab plates. Brick masonry is connected to autoclaved aerated concrete blocks using flexible ties. Double-pane windows and balcony doors are made of PVC profiles. Attic slabs and slabs over the basement are thermally insulated.

Buildings are operated under conditions of the moderately continental climate of Russia. The estimated value of heating degree days HDD 20/8 = 3925 К days/year.

There is a centralized heat supply. The source of the centralized heat supply is a boiler-house which has parameters of a heat carrier for heating 95–70 °C. All buildings are naturally ventilated. Air intake is secured through the regulated window casement in living rooms and kitchens; air recovery is carried out through the exhaust ventilation in kitchens and sanitary facilities. In order to reduce energy costs in buildings, individual heat supply stations are placed in basements. Engineering systems of buildings are equipped with metering devices for heat energy, cold and hot water, electric power and gas.

While conducting thermotechnical testing, the service life of buildings amounts to 5 years.

Adding a group of buildings into the object under research makes it possible to increase validity of the research data and apply results for a wider group of buildings with a similar architectural and structural design.

**Methods**

The following characteristics to estimate heat protection levels in buildings are taken in Russian construction regulations: specific resistance to heat transfer of envelopes, specific heat-protection characteristic of a building, and internal surface temperature of envelopes.

Specific resistance to heat transfer of envelopes is a physical value which features – an area-averaged heat-flow density through a fragment of the heat-protective building covering under steady-state conditions of heat transfer, which is equal to the ratio of the difference between temperatures of opposing sides of the fragment to the area-averaged heat-flow density through the fragment.

A specific heat-protection characteristic of the building is a physical value which is equal to the heat loss of a volume unit per time unit provided there is temperature drop of 1 K through the heat-protective building cover.
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Thermophysical field testing using eco-friendly nondestructive test methods was carried out to estimate whether the level of heat protection in buildings meets requirements of the Russian construction norms SP 50.13330.2012. The following NDT methods were used:

- heat monitoring to estimate quality of heat insulation in buildings;
- determination of an actual level of heat protection in buildings;
- estimating a level of heat protection in buildings.

**Heat monitoring to estimate quality of heat insulation in buildings**

Heat monitoring to assess heat insulation quality in buildings under consideration was carried out with the aim to find out temperature anomalies and defects of heat-protective buildings' covers under natural conditions.

The method of heat monitoring to assess heat insulation quality in buildings is based on distance measuring of temperature fields on envelope surfaces using an IR imager. The method is used to visualize temperature anomalies and find out defects in the form of areas with higher than usual heat loss due to heat insulation, and identify sections on internal surfaces of envelopes while in service which temperature may go down below dew point as well.

Heat monitoring of the object was carried out in the cold season starting from 14.01.2015 till 10.02.2015 with technical assistance provided by “Promstroyexpertiza” OOO (Ltd) according to GOST Р 54852–2011. All the buildings were heated while heat monitoring. The regime of heat transfer through envelopes was close to stationary. Heat monitoring was held in the daytime while there was no wind, atmospheric precipitation, fog and smokiness. While monitoring external surfaces of buildings' covers were not exposed to direct and reflected solar radiation. Thermovision measurements were taken using an IR imager branded as FLIR SC660 (fab. No. 404003616) with meteorological parameters which meet standard requirements.

While heat monitoring the following activities were carried out:

- inspection of the object under control using IR imager to identify general characteristics of the object and find out sections, which are subject to further thermal mapping;
- overall thermal mapping of external surfaces of envelopes to find out temperature anomalies;
- detailed thermal mapping of identified sections on internal surfaces of envelopes to specify temperature anomalies.

186 calibrated thermal images, including 154 ones on external surfaces, were obtained while heat monitoring, which is enough to get statistically valid results.

**Determination of an actual level of heat protection in buildings**

Thermophysical measurements under natural conditions were carried out to determine an actual level of heat protection in buildings.

The major heat protection of an envelope is an ability to resist to passing heat flow, which is quantified in Russia as resistance to heat transfer \( R_o \), m²·K/W, and in Europe – heat transfer coefficient \( U_o (U_o = 1/R_o) \).

Estimating the specific resistance to heat transfer of building components according to requirements of the Russian construction norms it is necessary to consider all edge zones. Therefore in this case measurements need to be performed on numerous envelope fragments, as it is made in the article.

The method to determine resistance to heat transfer under natural conditions is based on measuring outside and inside air temperature, temperature of envelope surfaces, and passing heat flow density as well (under conditions close to stationary heat transfer), with respect to which an unknown value can be calculated.

Resistance to heat transfer of envelopes' fragments was specified in the cold season according to the Russian standard GOST P 54853–2011 with the parameters given herein. The gist of the method is that meters for temperature and heat flow density are placed on the surfaces and in the area of adjacent air environment of an envelope under test, which fix values of these characteristics during specified time. Resistance to heat transfer of an envelope can be determined as the ratio of the difference between averaged outside and inside air temperatures while testing to an averaged heat flow density passing through an envelope. Measurements are taken using multi-channel meters to measure heat flow density and temperatures of the brand ITP–MG 4.03–10 “Potok” (fab. No. 1177) with meteorological parameters.
which correspond to the Russian standards. Measurements in the buildings mentioned were carried out in living areas where there was an access for experts at reference points. Reference points were located on certain sections of envelopes found out while heat monitoring including edge zones. Measurements were taken during two weeks with a registration interval of 5 minutes.

Resistance to heat transfer at a point of heat-protective covers of the buildings was determined by an averaging method according to the Russian standard GOST P 54853–2011 under the formula:

\[
R_o = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_{j}^{\text{int}} - t_{j}^{\text{ext}})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j},
\]

where \( n \) – number of measurements; \( t_{j}^{\text{int}} \), \( t_{j}^{\text{ext}} \) – inside and outside air temperatures correspondingly in case of \( j \)-measurement; \( q_j \) – heat flow density in case of \( j \)-measurement.

As it is stated in the regulations of GOST P 54853–2011, when computing the results after each measurement taken the data obtained asymptotically come close to an actual value of thermotechnical characteristics. An asymptotic value is close to an actual one provided the following requirements are met:

a) temperature, heat capacity and humidity of the fragment under research while measuring;
b) a heat meter is not directly exposed to solar radiation;
c) heat transfer of the fragment under test is constant.

Accuracy when measuring thermotechnical characteristics depends on the following factors:

a) calibration accuracy of a heat meter and temperature sensors (about 5 %);
b) accuracy of the data recording system (in case of an automated data recording system is close to zero);
c) random deviations caused by slight differences in heat contact between sensors and surface (about 5 % of an average value);
d) deviations when using heat meters caused by modifications of isotherms due to availability of a heat meter (2…3 %);
e) deviations caused by fluctuations of temperatures and heat flow in time (about ±10 % of the value measured);
f) other sources of deviations (if the data are not available – about 5 %).

The values of deviations for this type of measurement devices and regime parameters when conducting tests are given in the brackets.

If the requirements stated above are met then the total deviation can be defined as the one between quadratic and arithmetic sums, i.e. between

\[
\Delta_1 = \sqrt{5^2 + 0^2 + 5^2 + 3^2 + 10^2 + 5^2} = 13.6 \% \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_2 = 5 + 0 + 5 + 3 + 10 + 5 = 28 \%
\]

Actually, the double-layer exterior walls in the form of AAC blocks with front brick masonry are air-permeable. Penetration of the indoor damp air into the wall is dangerous in terms of moisture transfer with air and decrease in heat-protection properties. Humidity of the internal air in rooms of the residential operated buildings was within admissible values. During thermophysical testing the moisture in the exterior walls was stabilized. The actual parameters of moisture conditions in rooms conform to required parameters according to the Russian standard (GOST 30494-2011). Inside the face of AAC blocks was plastered; the front brick masonry was flushing. Therefore it is expected that the process of filtering damp air through the exterior wall can be neglected.

**Estimating level of heat protection in buildings**

Estimation of level of heat protection in buildings can be carried out in a more accurate way provided reduced resistance to heat transfer of envelopes is taken according to computing results of two- and three-dimensional temperature fields [22].
The specified resistance to heat transfer of building component at stationary heat transfer in the most general view is to be defined under the formula:

$$R_{red}^{\text{red}} = \frac{(t_{\text{int}} - t_{\text{ext}})A}{\int qdA},$$

(2)

where $t_{\text{int}}$ – estimated inside air temperature in the building; $t_{\text{ext}}$ – estimated outside air temperature in the cold season of the year; $A$ – area of the envelope along the inner contour; $\Omega$ – fragment of the envelope; $q$ – heat flow density in a point of envelope.

Exact calculation of $R_{red}^{\text{red}}$ on the formula (2) is possible only for the elementary designs. At engineering assessment the specified resistance to heat transfer of envelopes is to be defined under the formula [23]:

$$R_{red}^{\text{red}} = \frac{(t_{\text{int}} - t_{\text{ext}})A}{Q_{\text{bas}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{i}^{\text{ad}}},$$

(3)

where $Q_{\text{bas}}$ is a main heat flow through the envelope under design conditions; $m$ is a number of edge zones of the envelope; $Q_{i}^{\text{ad}}$ is a supplementary heat flow through $i$-edge zone defined under the temperature field.

Estimating a heat regime of envelopes was carried out with mathematic modeling of the process using programming and computing software “Energy efficiency and heat protection of buildings (ENTEZA)” [22]. Programming and computing software “ENTEZA” makes it possible to estimate the impact of edge zones on heat protection properties of envelopes and outline ways to improve elements of buildings’ covers according to computing the temperature fields.

Results and Discussion

Thermograms, obtained via thermovision, make it possible to explicitly identify temperature anomalies and define defects in edge zones of buildings’ envelopes (Figures 2–5).

Figure 2. Thermogram of the part of the building’s facade

| Sector | $T_{BH}$ | $T_{BG}$ | $T_{CG}$ |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| AR01   | 0.1     | 2.7     | 1.2     |
| AR02   | 0.1     | 4.9     | 2.3     |
Figure 3. Thermogram of the exterior and reentrant angles

| Sector | $T_{\text{min}}$ | $T_{\text{max}}$ | $T_{\text{cp}}$ |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| AR01   | 3.4             | 9.0             | 4.5            |
| AR02   | 1.2             | 4.2             | 2.6            |

Figure 4. Thermogram of the coupling joint between the walls and the column in the floor area

| Sector | $T_{\text{min}}$ | $T_{\text{max}}$ | $T_{\text{cp}}$ |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| AR01   | 10.8            | 15.0            | 12.8           |
| AR02   | 15.9            | 17.2            | 16.7           |

Figure 5. Thermogram of the coupling joint between the walls and the column in the ceiling area

| Sector | $T_{\text{min}}$ | $T_{\text{max}}$ | $T_{\text{cp}}$ |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| AR01   | 22.8            | 24.5            | 23.6           |
| AR02   | 15.9            | 24.6            | 20.7           |

Such an analysis of the thermotechnical defects was made by Kornienko S.V. in his work [20].

Temperature anomalies and defects in the edge zones of envelopes can cause a decrease in temperature on internal surfaces of envelopes (radiant temperature), deterioration of microclimate parameters in the rooms, decline in thermotechnical uniformity of exterior walls, growth of heat loss through covers in the cold season.

Thermovision monitoring results can be featured as valuable information required to correctly estimate the level of heat protection in buildings. They make it possible to choose right edge zones of envelopes to conduct thermotechnical computing.
Measurement results of resistance to heat transfer at different points of heat-protection covers in buildings are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Figure 6. Measurement results of resistance to heat transfer at the point of exterior wall (building 1, flat 2)

Figure 7. Measurement results of resistance to heat transfer in the central part of the double-glazed window (building 1, flat 4)

Measurement results of resistance to heat transfer of envelopes in the group of buildings monitored are given in Table 2.
Table 2. Actual values of resistance to heat transfer of envelopes

| Building number | Flat number | Actual resistance to heat transfer, m²·K/W |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|
|                 |             | exterior wall | double-glazed window | attic slab | slab over basement |
| 1               | 1           | 1.85±0.29    | 0.40±0.02             | —          | 2.64±0.40          |
|                 | 2           | 2.89±0.40    | 0.64±0.19             | —          | 3.34±0.62          |
|                 | 3           | 2.12±0.38    | —                      | —          | 1.87±0.55          |
|                 | 4           | 2.01±0.53    | 0.40±0.02             | 2.17±0.55  | —                   |
| 2               | 5           | 0.95±0.11    | 0.37±0.01             | —          | —                   |
|                 | 6           | 1.06±0.13    | 0.31±0.06             | —          | —                   |
|                 | 7           | 2.30±0.27    | 0.34±0.02             | 0.76±0.15  | —                   |
|                 | 8           | 2.00±0.35    | —                      | 1.45±0.22  | —                   |
| 3               | 9           | 1.59±0.24    | —                      | 0.63±0.07  | —                   |

An element-by-element estimation of heat protection in buildings was made on the basis of the measurements (Table 3).

Table 3. Element-by-element estimation of heat protection in buildings according to measurement results

| Envelopes           | Resistance to heat transfer, m²·K/W |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                     | Minimum allowed | actual (measured) |
| exterior walls      | 1.75              | 0.95...2.89       |
| windows             | 0.42              | 0.31...0.64       |
| attic slab          | 2.94              | 0.63...2.17       |
| slab over basement  | 2.94              | 1.87...3.34       |

As it is shown in Table 3, values of resistance to heat transfer measured at different points of envelopes fluctuate in a wide range, and it indicates high non-uniform heat protection performance of covers. Thermoprotective properties in the edge zones of envelopes dramatically decrease. Minimum allowed element-by-element requirements (as provided by Russian construction norms SP 50.13330.2012, p. 5.1, a) which are not ensured.

Specific heat loss through the building cover (Figure 8) was computed with measured values of resistance to heat transfer of envelopes (Table 2) and geometric characteristics of the building (Table 1).

Figure 8. Structure of heat loss through the building cover, W/K: 1 – exterior walls; 2 – windows, balcony doors; 3 – attic slabs; 4 – slab over basement
As it is shown in Figure 8, the bigger part of heat loss (64 %) is monitored through the building’s facades, and it can be explained by a crucial impact of edge zones in exterior walls, and by low heat protective properties of windows as well. A significant part of heat losses goes through the attic slab (27 %), and can be specified due to a comparatively low level of heat insulation of this structure.

The estimated value of an actual specific heat-protective feature of the building is equal to 0.307 W/(m²·K), which is higher than the rated value of 0.257 W/(m²·K). Consequently, a multiple requirement (according to the Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012, p. 5.1, b) was not met.

The inspection of envelopes under sanitary and hygienic requirement (SP 50.13330.2012, p. 5.1, c) revealed that the structures do not meet this requirement. In many cases the temperature on the internal surface of envelopes in the edge zones is lower than a dew point of inside air in the cold season, and it results in humidity condensation and mold formation [20]. Coupling joints between columns and the inter-floor slab and between window and wall opening are the most vulnerable ones (Figures 9, 10).

Figure 9. Humidity condensation and mold formation on the internal surface of the coupling joint between the column and the inter-floor slab

Figure 10. Humidity condensation and mold formation on the internal surface of the coupling joint between window and the wall opening
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Consequently, according to the field measurements, the following was specified: an actual level of heat protection in the buildings does not meet the requirements provided by the Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012.

The authors of the article estimated the level of heat protection using design data to find out the reasons for the emergence of temperature anomalies and thermotechnical defects in the covers of buildings.

As a case a detailed estimation of the thermal regime of exterior walls was conducted.

The fact that there are multiple edge zones, identified due to thermovision monitoring (Figures 2–5), is the major feature of exterior walls made of autoclaved aerated concrete. Neglecting edge zones when designing envelopes may cause significant deviations in the case of defining thermotechnical features.

Mathematical modeling of the thermal regime of the structure in the cold season was carried out under the following boundary conditions:

- estimated inside air temperature in the building $t_{int} = 20^\circ C$ (Russian standard GOST 30494–2011);
- estimated outside air temperature $t_{ext} = -22^\circ C$ (Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012);
- estimated heat transfer coefficient at the internal surface of the envelope $\alpha_{si} = 8.7 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K)}$ (Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012);
- estimated heat transfer coefficient at the external surface of the envelope $\alpha_{se} = 23 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K)}$ (Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012).

The following types of edge zones were considered while carrying out estimations:

- coupling joint between exterior walls and inter-floor slabs;
- coupling joint between windows and wall openings;
- exterior angle in the case of exterior walls;
- reentering angle in the case of exterior walls;
- coupling joint between columns and walls;
- coupling joint between exterior walls and slabs over basements;
- coupling joint between exterior walls and attic slabs.

Due to a negligible impact that flexible ties may produce relatively to the temperature field of the exterior walls according to the results of thermovision monitoring, flexible ties were not considered in the estimation.

The structural diagram of the wall under design is given in Figure 11.

![Figure 11. Cross-section of the wall: 1 – plaster; 2 – aerated concrete blocks; 3 – manufacturing clearance; 4 – front brick masonry; 5 – glue line. Thermotechnical characteristics of construction materials given in Table 4 were used in the estimation.](image-url)
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АНАЛИЗ

Таблица 4. Оценка термомеханических характеристик материалов (СП 50.13330.2012)

| Layer number | Material of a layer                          | Material density $\rho$, kg/m$^3$ | Thermal conductivity $\lambda$, W/(m·K) |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1            | Compound mortar-based plaster               | 1700                              | 0.7                                    |
| 2            | AAC block masonry using adhesive            | 450                               | 0.16                                   |
| 3            | Closed air cavity                           | —                                 | 0.067 (equivalent) with thickness of air cavity of 0.01 m |
| 4            | Front brick masonry                         | 1400                              | 0.52                                   |

Расчетные результаты теплового режима для структур представлены в Таблице 5.

Таблица 5. Оценка теплового режима наружных стен

| Indicator                                                                 | Subscript, measurement unit | Value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| Major specific heat flow                                                 | $Q_{bas}$, W/K              | 442   |
| Supplementary specific heat flow through edge zones including:           | $Q_{ad}$, W/K               | 132   |
| coupling joints between exterior walls and inter-floor slabs            | $Q_{1ad}$, W/K              | 48.1  |
| coupling joints between windows and wall openings                        | $Q_{2ad}$, W/K              | 35.6  |
| exterior angles                                                          | $Q_{3ad}$, W/K              | 4.08  |
| reentrant angles                                                         | $Q_{4ad}$, W/K              | –3.95 |
| coupling joints between columns and walls                                | $Q_{5ad}$, W/K              | 2.51  |
| coupling joints between exterior walls and slabs over basements         | $Q_{6ad}$, W/K              | 21    |
| coupling joints between exterior walls and attic slabs                  | $Q_{7ad}$, W/K              | 24.2  |
| Total heat flow                                                          | $Q_{sum}$, W/K              | 574   |

Схема размещения теплового потока через наружные стены (рис. 12) была построена на основе данных, приведенных в Таблице 5.

Рис. 12. Схема распределения теплового потока через наружные стены: 1 — основной теплопоток; 2 — дополнительный теплопоток через сопряжения между наружными стенами и этажными перекрытиями; 3 — дополнительный теплопоток через сопряжения между окнами и стенными отверстиями; 4 — дополнительный теплопоток через сопряжения между наружными стенами и панелями над подвалом; 5 — дополнительный теплопоток через сопряжения между наружными стенами и панелями над чердаком; 6 — другие

Анализ полученных результатов показывает, что 23 % теплового потока сошло с поверхностей. Большая часть теплового потока (8 %) была обнаружена через сопряжения между наружными стенами и этажными перекрытиями, а также через отверстия в перекрытиях (8 %). Аналитику теплового потока через сопряжения между окнами и стенными отверстиями (6 %) следует искать в повышении параметров теплоизоляции. Низкий теплопоток через сопряжения между наружными стенами и панелями над подвалом (4 %) и панелями чердака (4 %) может быть объяснен более коротким размером этих отрезков.
The estimated reduced resistance to heat transfer in exterior walls $R_{\text{red}}^\text{2016} = 1.88 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{K}/\text{W}$, which is close to a minimum allowed value ($R_{\text{min}}^\text{2016} = 1.75 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{K}/\text{W}$). Approximation of $R_{\text{red}}^\text{2016}$ to $R_{\text{min}}^\text{2016}$ causes thermotechnical risks when designing envelopes. The value of thermotechnical uniformity of the exterior walls is low enough ($r = 0.774$) and can be explained by a significant impact of edge zones.

Results of heat protection for buildings’ covers are given below.

The designed level of heat protection of envelopes meets element-by-element requirements SP 50.13330.2012 (Table 6).

Table 6. Element-by-element estimation of heat protection for buildings’ covers with design data

| Envelope           | Rated resistance to heat transfer, $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{K}/\text{W}$ | Minimum allowed level of heat protection | Basic level of heat protection | Estimated resistance to heat transfer, $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{K}/\text{W}$ |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Exterior walls     | 1.75                                                                | 2.77                                   | 1.88                          |                                                                  |
| Windows            | 0.42                                                                | 0.44                                   | 0.50                          |                                                                  |
| Slab attic         | 2.94                                                                | 3.67                                   | 3.84                          |                                                                  |
| Slab over basement | 2.94                                                                | 3.67                                   | 3.08                          |                                                                  |

The estimated specific heat protective characteristic of buildings obtained using the design data is equal to 0.228 W/(m$^3$·K), which is less than the rated value of 0.257 W/(m$^3$·K), hereby the design solution of buildings meets multiple requirements for heat protection according to the Russian norm SP 50.13330.2012.

The temperature on the internal surfaces of envelopes at the points with heat-conducting inclusions is higher than a dew point of the inside air in the case of the estimated outside air temperature, hereby the design solution of envelopes meets the sanitary and hygienic requirement of the Russian construction norm SP 50.13330.2012.

Thus, it was specified that an actual level of heat protection in buildings is lower than a designed one according to field thermophysical tests of residential buildings made of AAC blocks and checking thermotechnical calculation. It can be explained by both multiple unapproved deviations from the design project made by a subcontractor during construction, low-quality performance and assembly works. The building design project itself bears certain thermotechnical risks due to neglecting the impact of edge zones produced on thermal protective properties of envelopes.

To ensure the designed level of heat protection in an object, it is required to eliminate non-compliance of actual works performed with the design requirements. The study suggests that there should be a strong focus on how to improve construction solutions for edge zones of envelopes [20]. Applying supplementary exterior insulation along the whole surface of the wall according to the preliminary estimates can level off the temperature field (Figure 13). Meanwhile both the supplementary heat loss in edge zones and major heat loss of the walls reduce (Figure 14).
Corinchenko S.V., Vatin N.I., Gorshkov A.S. Natural thermo-physical tests of residential buildings made of autoclaved aerated concrete blocks, the following was found out:

1. Design of double-layer exterior walls in the form of AAC blocks with front brick masonry bears thermotechnical risks due to an increase in non-uniform heat protection of buildings’ covers caused by a significant impact on heat protection of buildings’ edge zones. Meanwhile there is a significant growth of the impact on two- and three-dimension elements of the internal surface structure, and there is a decline in the thermotechnical uniformity of envelopes. Estimation of three-dimension temperature fields and development of new construction solutions is required to consider the impact of edge zones.

2. The designed level of heat insulation does not correspond to the basic level of heat protection for the majority of regions in the Russian Federation. Two-layer exterior walls without supplementary insulation practically do not have heat protection and energy saving reserves.

3. The reduction of an actual level of insulation in envelopes, compared to the designed one, can be explained by both unapproved deviations from the design project made by a subcontractor during construction, low-quality performance and assembly works.

4. It is strongly suggested to improve a structural solution of edge zones of envelopes to reduce thermotechnical risks when designing buildings. Supplementary insulation along the whole surfaces of the walls can be considered as another activity to increase the level of heat protection. As preliminary estimates show, while leveling off temperature fields, not only supplementary heat loss in edge zones but a major heat loss of walls can be reduced as well.

The materials for the article were reported and approved at X International Congress «Energy efficiency. XXI century. Engineering methods to reduce energy consumption in buildings», workshop «Construction thermophysics and energy efficient design of envelopes».
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