Ultra High Energy Electrons Powered by Pulsar Rotation
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A new mechanism of particle acceleration, driven by the rotational slow down of the Crab pulsar, is explored. The rotation, through the time dependent centrifugal force, can efficiently excite unstable Langmuir waves in the electron-positron (hereafter e\(^{\pm}\)) plasma of the star magnetosphere. These waves, then, Landau damp on electrons accelerating them in the process. The net transfer of energy is optimal when the wave growth and the Landau damping times are comparable and are both very short compared to the star rotation time. We show, by detailed calculations, that these are precisely the conditions for the parameters of the Crab pulsar. This highly efficient route for energy transfer allows the electrons in the primary beam to be catapulted to multiple TeV (\(\sim 100\) TeV) and even PeV energy domain. It is expected that the proposed mechanism may, unravel the puzzle of the origin of ultra high energy cosmic ray electrons.

Conversion of even a small fraction of the enormous energy released in the rotational slowdown of pulsars (spinning neutron stars) into particle kinetic energy could create ultra high energy cosmic ray electrons. Most significant observational results in the realm of high energy cosmic ray electrons, beginning to be explored by the H.E.S.S., Pamela and Fermi collaborations1–4, may be summarized as: 1) the 2008 announcement of the H.E.S.S. collaboration showing evidence for a substantial steepening in the energy spectrum of cosmic ray electrons above 600 GeV2, 2) Detection of cosmic-ray electrons up to 1 TeV, and confirmation of an excess of VHE leptons in cosmic-rays2,3 by the new instruments on board the Fermi spacecraft have. A thorough analysis of the observational data (of the Fermi telescope) on millisecond pulsars (the surface magnetic field close to \(B_{\parallel} \sim 10^{13}\) G) by Kisaka & Kawanaka points to the existence of extremely high energy (\(\geq 50\) TeV) electrons5.

The pulsar rotation, and the dark matter annihilation have been suggested as two possible energy sources that could accelerate electrons to such ultra-relativistic regimes6. All known pulsars are observed with decreasing spinning rates. The Crab pulsar, rotating with a period \(P = 0.0332\) s, has a characteristic slow down rate \(\dot{P} = \frac{dP}{dt} \approx 4.21 \times 10^{-19}\) s\(^{-1}\). The corresponding slowdown luminosity, \(W = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dP}{dt}\), is determined by the moment of inertia \(I = 2MR^2/5\), the star radius \(R_r \approx 10^8\) cm, the angular velocity \(\Omega = 2\pi/P\), and the pulsar mass \(M \sim 1.5 \times M_\odot\) where \(M_\odot \approx 2 \times 10^{33}\) g is the solar mass. Out of this prodigious output \(W = 5.5 \times 10^{38}\) erg/s, only a part \(\sim 2 \times 10^{34}\) erg/s is sufficient to power the Crab Nebula; the rest - almost 60% of the released energy is, thus, available and seeks new channels of exploitation.

The substrate for affecting the desired energy transformation is provided by the star magnetosphere. In the standard model for the pulsar magnetosphere, an electrostatic field uproots electrons from the pulsar’s surface, and accelerates them to relativistic energies along the curved magnetic field. The relativistic electrons emit copious curvature radiation. The emitted photons that have energies beyond the pair production threshold \(2\ mc^2\) (\(m = \) electron mass and \(c = \) speed of light), in turn, create electron-positron pairs via \(\gamma + B \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + \gamma\) (\(B\) is the dipolar magnetic field). Newly produced pairs are further accelerated and emit photons; The cascade lasts till the nascent pair plasma, especially in regions farthest from the star, is dense enough to screen out the initial electrostatic field6,9.

The height \(h\) of the gap region (where the electric field is nonzero) is, therefore, strictly limited imposing an upper limit on the maximum attainable electron energy. The potential difference in the gap has been estimated to be \(\Delta V = 1.6 \times 10^{12} B_{13}^{1/7} P^{-1/7} V_{10}^{1/7}\), where \(B_{13} = B/10^{13}\) \(G \approx 6.7\) is the dimensionless magnetic induction. Thus in the potential difference predicted by the standard gap model, the electrons may reach Lorentz factors of \(\gamma = 4 \times 10^6\) - not sufficient to explain the observed very high energy (VHE) radiation. Figure 1 shows the schematic representation of the distribution function of plasma particles in standard models of the pulsar magnetosphere. The primary beam particles are shown by the narrow shaped area with the Lorentz factor \(\gamma_p\). The wider region represents the distribution of secondary electron-positron pairs, characterized by a corresponding Lorentz factor, \(\gamma_p\) when the distribution function has a maximum value.
Results

In this paper, we have constructed a theoretical framework for a very efficient and powerful mechanism for generating ultra high energy cosmic ray electrons. A highly relativistic electron-positron plasma in the magnetosphere of a pulsar, converts, the pulsar rotational slow down energy into Langmuir waves at rates that are several orders of magnitude faster than the star rotation period. These waves, in turn, via the well known and understood process of Landau damping, rapidly and preferentially, transfer the electric field energy to very high energy primary electron beam, accelerating them to even higher energies. It is shown that the radiation losses of various kinds do not much affect the very fast energy transfer process. Since the pulsar slowdown energy budget is enormous, charged particles could draw almost unlimited amount of energy via this mechanism. This rather general mechanism, that could encompass, for instance, the magnetospheres of active galactic nuclei (AGN), and accelerate charged leptons as well as hadrons, could crucially advance the understanding of cosmic ray energetics.

Discussion

The determining parameters of the system are: the ratio of the mode frequency to the star rotation frequency \( w = \omega_1/\Omega \), and \( a = \omega_2^2/\omega_1 = \gamma_1^4/\gamma_2^4 \), and \( b \). From our extensive numerical studies, we pick up two typical Mathematica plots (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) in which \( ReN_1, ImN_1, ReN_2, \) and \( ImN_2 \) are plotted as functions of time. Time has been normalized to the inverse of the frequency \( \nu_1 \), the relativistic plasma frequency corresponding to the stream with lower \( c \) (and much higher ambient density). The parameters for the illustrative cases are: For Fig. 2, \( w = b = 10^7, a = .01 \), and for Fig. 3, \( w = b = 10^8, a = .01 \). Since the x axis denotes time measured in their respective plasma time (inverse of \( \nu_1 \)) - the “absolute” time scales in the two figures are different by a factor \( \sqrt{10} \). For each case, we choose initial conditions (\( t = 0 \)) for what we call the Sin solution: \( N_1(0) = 0, N_1'(0) = 1, N_2(0) = 0, N_2'(0) = \beta(\cos b - i \sin b) \); it is easy to verify that these constitute a consistent solution to the coupled differential equations in the limit \( t \to 0 \).

Here are the principal relevant results of our numerical investigations:

a) After an initial gestation time \( t/w < 1 \) (not displayed in the figures), the instability builds up with substantial growth rates as \( b \) approaches \( w \); the latter is the regime in which the two streams interact, the interaction being the cause of instability.
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b) Since the two streams are assumed to have different intrinsic plasma frequencies ($\omega^2 / \omega_p^2 = \gamma_1^2 / \gamma_e^2 < 1$) the time dependence of $(ReN_1, \ ImN_1)$, and $(ReN_2, \ ImN_2)$ are quite different. It can be seen from the figures that although $(ReN_1, \ ImN_1)$ oscillate (at a new slow frequency, the plasma oscillations are very fast and ever present but are not resolved in the pictures) and grow, the amplitudes $(ReN_2, \ ImN_2)$ simply grow. The growth is exponential as predicted by the Floquet theory of differential equations with periodic coefficients. For the solution in Fig. 2, the growth time $\Gamma^{-1} \approx 2000$ plasma times $\approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$ P, while the growth time for the solution in Fig. 3 is $\Gamma^{-1} \approx 6000$ plasma times $\approx 6 \times 10^{-5}$ P. The growth rates (times) are very high (small) compared to the frequency (period) of star rotation.

c) For a moderate and not too small $\alpha$, the primary determinant of the instability gestation time, and growth rate is the relative value of $b = 2 \, c \, k / \Omega \, \sin \phi_-$ and $w = \omega_1 / \Omega$. Out of these, $\omega_1$ and $\Omega$ are determined by the pulsar phenomenology. To bring $b$ to the range of strong instability, one has to choose $k \sin \phi_- \ll 1$ to be in the desirable range. In principle, then, for any finite $\sin \phi_-$, one can find a range of $k$ for strong growth. The centrifugal drive for Langmuir waves is, therefore, very robust and effective over a wide spectrum.

The next major step, after establishing that the star rotation energy can be rapidly converted into charge separation electrostatic fields, is to show how this field energy can be harnessed, via Landau damping, to boost highly energetic particles to super high energies. We remind the reader that, although, the Langmuir waves are produced and sustained by the bulk $e^\pm$ plasma characterized by the relatively lower $\gamma$ region of the distribution (Fig. 1), we want them to damp on the primary beam electrons that have Lorentz factors as high as $\gamma_b \sim 10^7$ (small blip on the high $\gamma$ of Fig. 1).

Electrostatic waves in relativistic $e^\pm$ plasmas$^{12,13}$ allow a very simple solution when the wave phase speed is very close to the speed of light, $v_{ph} = \omega / k = c$. In this limit, of utmost relevance to the energy transfer mechanism, the real part of the dispersion relation reads$^{12}$

$$\omega = kc - \beta (k - k_o),$$

where $\beta = \langle \gamma \rangle \langle 2 \gamma^2 \rangle$ is a very small parameter $\langle \rangle$ denotes momentum averaging, and $k_o$ is the limiting value of $k$ for which the phase speed is exactly $c$. The condition $k \geq k_o$, implying $v_{ph} \ll c$, defines the regime in which such a wave could strongly interact with physical particles.

Since the Langmuir waves with $k$ approaching $k_o$, have phase velocities asymptotically close to $c$, they will preferentially damp on, and energize the primary electron beam particles endowed with the highest $\gamma$. For optimal transfer of centrifugal energy to particle acceleration, the rate of generation of Langmuir waves (measured by $\Gamma$, the instability growth rate) and the Landau damping rate$^{13}$

$$\Gamma_{LD} = \frac{n \nu_p}{n_1 \gamma_1^{3/2}},$$

should be comparable. In Eq.(2), $n$, $\gamma$ and $\nu_p = \sqrt{4 \pi e^2 n / m}$ denote respectively the number density, the Lorentz factor and the plasma frequency of the primary beam.

A set of representative two-stream unstable $e^\pm$ plasma parameters that yield $\Gamma \sim \Gamma_{LD}$ is given by $\gamma_2 \approx 4700$, and if $\gamma_1 \approx 800$. The corresponding instability time of damping time of $10^{-4}$ s is several orders of magnitude less than the pulsar rotation period; the rate of energy transfer to the waves, and then on to the particles is extremely fast. It is worth noting, that despite the extremely efficient energy
transfer to particles, the strength of the pumping process is main-
tained because the Langmuir waves are continuously generated
drawing energy from the star slow down; the latter is taken to be
an "inexhaustible" source. The non-linear effects (caused by the
interaction between waves and particles) should be investigated at
some stage, but we do not expect substantial difference in the results
due to the "constancy" of the generation process.

Since it is the electron-positron plasma that supports and feeds
the two-stream instability, the corresponding characteristic energy in
the unstable waves is of the order of the energy accumulated (transferred
from star rotation) in the plasma components. Remembering that
the number density associated with the e⁻ - plasma far exceeds that of
the beam component, the energy gained per beam electron could be
extremely large if a substantial fraction of total "available" energy in
the plasma components were transferred via the electrostatic fields.
Dispensing with technical details, we state here that, at the end of
the process, a beam electron could end up gaining energy as high as,
\[ \varepsilon \approx n_1 F_{\sigma r} / n_0, \]
where \( F = 2 \, m \Omega_c(r)^{-3} \) is the centrifugal force. For typical mag-
etospheric parameters (the stream density \( n_1 = 2.5 \times 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-3}\),
the primary beam density \( n_p = n_{Gi} = 2 \times 10^{12} \) cm\(^{-3}\)),
the energy acquired by a beam electron could get to be very high - in 100 s
of TeVs, even PeVs.

Highly relativistic particles will, inevitably, lose energy via radi-
ation. We have, therefore, investigated how radiation will affect the
overall energy transfer process worked out in this paper. We find that
neither the inverse Compton cooling (in Klein-Nishina regime) nor
the synchrotron mechanisms impose significant constraints on the
maximum available energy. Very high energy electrons, with
counterpart density exceeding the magnetic energy density by
many orders of magnitude, move, practically, in a straight line. For
them, the curvature radiation is also not expected to interfere with
our fast energy transfer mechanism. Equally important is the fact that
the ultra-relativistic electrons will have extremely small interaction
cross sections with pulsar wind particles and interstellar protons so
that they can breeze through, almost unimpeded, through the super-
ova remnants.

**Methods**

In this section we present our model for studying the energy pumping process from
the rotor - pulsar. Here is a two-step theoretical pathway that can, via well-
understood plasma mechanisms\(^{1,2}\), convert the pulsar slowdown energy to create
super relativistic cosmic rays electrons. Taking the Crab pulsar (\( B_0 \sim 7 \times 10^{15} \) G) to be
a representative, we show that:

1) The time dependent centrifugal force (exerted by the star rotation) can, para-
metrically, drive electrostatic plasma (Langmuir) waves in the relativistic e⁻
plasma residing in the star magnetosphere. The Langmuir waves grow through
a variant of the two-stream instability with growth rates several orders of
magnitude faster than the star-rotation frequency. Unlike the so called oblique
modes discussed in\(^{24}\), we focus on the electrostatic waves, because due to extre-
me strongly magnetic fields in the pulsar magnetosphere the particle distri-
bution is one dimensional.

2) The electric fields carried by these efficiently excited Langmuir waves can, then,
damp, equally efficiently (via Landau damping) on extremely energetic primary
beam electrons to accelerate them to ultra-high energies.

The bulk e⁻ - plasma (relativistic) that sustains and amplifies the electrostatic waves,
and the even more relativistic primary electron beam on which the waves damp, are
both constituents of the same pulsar magnetospheric region.

To develop an appropriate theory for the centrifugally induced parametric
Langmuir instability, we assume the magnetic field lines in the magnetosphere to be
almost rectilinear - a good approximation for distances less than the curvature radius
of the field lines. In the rotating frame of straight field lines co-rotating with the
pulsar, the metric is given by,
\[
 ds^2 = c^2 \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_r^2 \tau^2}{c^2}\right) dt^2 - dr^2.
\]
In the single particle equation of motion (in the 1 + 1 formalism\(^{20}\))

\[
 \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -g \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{c}} \left( \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{B} \right).
\]

Here, \( i \) is the species index, \( e / \mathbf{c} \) is the charge of the corresponding particle, \( dt = d\tau \),
\( \Omega_r = (1 - \Omega_c^2 \tau^2/c^2) \) is the so-called time lapse function, \( g \equiv \nabla \mathbf{\cdot} \nabla / \nabla \) is the gravitational
potential, \( \gamma \equiv (1 - \mathbf{v}^2 / c^2)^{-1/2} \) is the Lorentz factor, and \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{v} \) are, respectively,
the dimensionless momentum (\( p \rightarrow p / m \)) and velocity. The first term on the righthand side of the equation represents the centrifugal force, and plays a
crucial role in our model.

In the laboratory frame, Eq.(5) takes the appearance of a fluid equation (see
method)

\[
 \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -c^2 / \mathbf{c} \left( \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \right) \mathbf{V},
\]

that, in conjunction with the continuity

\[
 \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} + \nabla \mathbf{\cdot} \mathbf{V} = 0,
\]

and the Poisson equation,

\[
 \mathbf{V} = 4\pi \sum \mathbf{e} / n_i,
\]
defines the entire dynamical system.

If the electromagnetic terms do not contribute to the equilibrium (throughout this
paper electron equilibrium simply means the absence of electromagnetic fields) because of the
frozen-in condition \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \); Eq. (6) reduces to

\[
 \frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} = \frac{\Omega_r^2 \tau^2}{1 - \frac{\Omega_r^2 \tau^2}{c^2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Omega_r^2 \tau^2}{c^2} - \frac{2}{c^2} \frac{dr}{dt} \right]^2.
\]

from which we can calculate the particle trajectories. For highly relativistic particles (\( \gamma \gg 1 \)),
the equilibrium equation of motion, controlled by the centrifugal force exerted
by the star rotation, yields the simple periodic solution,

\[
 r(t) = V_c \frac{\Omega}{\Omega + \phi},
\]

\[
 V_c(t) = V_c \mathbf{sin} \Omega + \phi
\]

where \( V_c = c \) is the velocity amplitude. The phase \( \phi \) necessary to insure a general
solution of Eq. (9), was taken to be zero in previous work\(^{25}\). We will soon show that,
due to this omission, a crucial ingredient of the current model - a strongly growing
variant of the "two stream instability" - was missed in the earlier work.
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o_{1,2} \equiv \sqrt{8\pi e^2 n_{1,2}/m_e^3}, \quad \text{are, respectively the effective relativistic plasma frequencies (for longitudinal modes) corresponding to the two streams, and}
\[ \frac{c}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega t + \phi_1) - \sin(\Omega t + \phi_2) = b \cos(\Omega t + \phi_3), \]
with \( 2\phi_3 = \phi_1 \pm \phi_2 \), and
\[ b = \frac{c}{\Omega} \sin \phi_3. \]

In deriving Eqs.(12–13), we have invoked \((c/k)/\Omega \gg 1\); this parameter will turn out to be approximately the ratio of the Langmuir frequency to the star rotation frequency and, will lie in the range \(10^{-9} - 10^{-10}\).

From the general structure of evolution Eqs.(12 – 13), with definitions (15 – 16), one may draw the following inferences:

1) The evolution of the two streams is coupled, and the time dependence of the coefficients is fully contained in \( \chi \).

2) Though \( \chi \) depends on both the sum and difference of the phases associated with the two streams, the dependence on the phase sum \( 2\phi_3 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \) is trivial, and can be absorbed in a redefinition of the time variable; \( \phi_3 \) will be dropped from now on.

3) The phase difference \( \phi_3 \), however, emerges as a fundamental parameter of the two-stream system. If \( \phi_3 = 0 \), then \( \chi = 0 \), and the coefficients of Eqs.(12–13) become constants (the system becomes autonomous) leading to the simple dispersion relation \(\omega^2 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 \), i.e., the two streams oscillate together with a frequency that is the sum of their individual plasma frequencies. Most importantly, for \( \phi_3 = 0 \), there is no parametric instability. Strictly speaking, this is only an approximation, since in Eq. (15) we have assumed \( V_0 = c \), whereas \( V_0 = (1 - \frac{1}{2\Omega/\Omega}) \) leading to a nonzero growth rate (even if \( \phi_3 = 0 \)) which will be less than for nonvanishing values of \( \phi_3 \) by many orders of magnitude.

4) It is only when \( \phi_3 \) is non-zero (the two streams remain “distinct”) that the system “notices” the periodic time dependence of the centrifugally driven orbits. Since the time dependence and periodicity of the orbits is, precisely, what drives the strong Langmuir-wave instability, assigning different phases to the particle orbits \( (10–11) \) is the key step that allows energy transfer (via instability) from rotational slowdown to the Electrostatic fields carried by the Langmuir waves.

Differential equations with periodic coefficients (like (12–13)), Mathieu equation being the simplest example, are known to display parametric instabilities in well defined regimes. We find this behavior when \( (12–13) \) are solved, using analytical (asymptotic) as well as numerical techniques, to determine the time evolution of the densities \( N_1, N_2 \) (and the electric field, \( E = N_1 - N_2 e^\nu \)). Although the analytic solution is quite instructive, we will dwell here, mostly, on the more general numerical solution. Mathematica solutions demonstrate that model Eqs.(12–13), indeed, harbor parametrically unstable solutions. The charge separation densities (the electric field) grow in time and acquire a hefty growth rate when \( b = \omega/82 \); this qualitative feature is also predicted by the analytical dispersion relation.
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