Sister Mary Joseph Nodules: A Case Report about a Rare Location of Skin Metastasis
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Abstract

Umbilical skin metastases (or Sister Mary Joseph nodules) are rare. Their presence typically indicates the late manifestation of deep-seated abdominopelvic malignancy. They occur mainly in gynecological cancers, and gastrointestinal cancers in men. The most common histology is adenocarcinoma (~75\% of cases), but it can also rarely be squamous cell or undifferentiated carcinoma. These metastases can be present at diagnosis or appear at disease recurrence, and are associated with a very poor prognosis with an average survival of 11 months. We report the clinical case of a 58-year-old man with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma and umbilical cutaneous metastasis after receiving first-line chemotherapy. The diagnosis was established upon liver biopsy in July 2019, after the patient presented with a complaint of transfixing abdominal pain. The first-line treatment consisted of six cycles of modified FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy. However, in November 2019, computed tomography (CT) scan showed disease progression. Second-line treatment with gemcitabine (Gemzar\textsuperscript{\textregistered}) led to a 16\% decrease in target lesions. During the fourth cycle, three periumbilical indurated
nodules appeared. After six cycles, skin infiltration had increased, and the patient reported his abdominal pain had intensified. Reassessment by CT scan showed an increase in both hepatic and peritoneal disease progression. Third-line treatment with FOLFIRI, started on April 15, 2020, could not control the disease, leading to greater induration and subcutaneous infiltration, which were responsible for the increased pain and ultimate death. Umbilical skin metastases are rare, and they are associated with advanced metastatic disease and a very poor prognosis. Cases reporting Sister Mary Joseph nodules are needed to better understand the conditions and mechanisms of their appearance and dissemination.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Umbilical skin metastases, also known as Sister Mary Joseph nodules, are rare. They are described in 1–3% of patients with neoplasia of abdominopelvic origin (gynecological or digestive) [1, 2]. Umbilical metastases are most common in gastric cancer (30%), colorectal cancer (25%), and pancreatic cancer (18%) in men, and in ovarian cancer (34%), endometrial cancer (12%), and colorectal cancer (12%) in women. There is a predominance of females who present with these nodules (male to female ratio of 0.69); however, the majority of male cases have digestive etiologies [1, 2]. In 14–33% of cases, the discovery of umbilical metastasis leads to the diagnosis of an unknown neoplasia [3]. In contrast, among those patients with known neoplasia, the umbilical nodules are an early sign of recurrence in about 40% and in about 59% of cases, the umbilical metastases occur after discovery of the primary tumor [3].

They can be present at diagnosis or appear at disease recurrence, and are associated with a very poor prognosis, patients having an average survival of 11 months [4]. Their presence typically indicates the late manifestation of deep-seated abdominopelvic malignancy.

Here, we report an original case of a patient with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma at diagnosis, who developed periumbilical nodules during the course of his disease.

Case Presentation

Diagnosis

A 58-year-old man presented with transfixing abdominal pain on July 17, 2019. A corporeo-caudal lesion of the pancreas, containing the celiac trunk and associated with secondary hepatic lesions, was discovered in July 2019. The patient had no symptoms, signs, or findings prior to the abdominal pain that prompted presentation. Upon hospital admission, the patient underwent a physical examination by an oncologist. Regarding the personal history of past illnesses, the patient had undergone surgery and radiation therapy for a testicular seminoma in 2007.

Laboratory testing was performed and showed that plasma angiotensin-converting enzyme level was elevated (17.3 ng/mL; normal: <5.2 ng/mL) and the carbohydrate antigen 19-9 level was exceedingly elevated (7,329 IU/mL; normal: <27 IU/mL). Liver biopsy confirmed the diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and ruled out the possible recurrence of testicular seminoma. No deleterious mutations were identified in the tumor tissue for the breast cancer 1/2 (BRCA 1/2) genes.
Computed tomography (CT) scan showed secondary hepatic lesions and peritoneal carcinomatosis. The final diagnosis was corporeo-caudal lesion of the pancreas, containing the celiac trunk and associated with secondary hepatic lesions.

**Treatment**

Figure 1 illustrates the treatment timeline.

**First-Line Treatment**

The patient received modified FOLFIRINOX (5-fluorouracil 1,200 mg/m² continuously for 46 h, levofolinate 200 mg/m², oxaliplatin 85 mg/m², irinotecan 150 mg/m²) as first-line chemotherapy [5]. After six cycles, in November 2019, the CT scan revealed an increase in pre-existing liver lesions as well as the appearance of new liver lesions and lesions of peritoneal carcinomatosis, indicating disease progression according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1. An incidental pulmonary embolism was also discovered.

**Second-Line Treatment**

Second-line treatment (1,250 mg/m²) was administered on days 1 and 8, and repeated on day 21, adapted to the patient’s general condition [6]. After three cycles of treatment, the disease was deemed stable, according to the RECIST 1.1 criteria (i.e., a 16% decrease in target hepatic and pancreatic lesions). However, during the fourth cycle of gemcitabine (Gemzar®; Eli Lilly), three periumbilical indurated nodules appeared, two of which were erythematous
During the fifth cycle of gemcitabine, these nodules remained visible and slightly increased in size (Fig. 2B). After six cycles, skin infiltration and abdominal pain associated with peritoneal carcinomatosis increased. The umbilical orifice showed unfolding of the umbilicus (Fig. 2C). Reassessment by CT scan also showed disease progression (according to RECIST 1.1), both hepatic and peritoneal.

**Third-Line Treatment**

Third-line treatment with FOLFIRI (5-fluorouracil bolus 400 mg/m² and then 1,200 mg/m² administered continuously for 46 h, irinotecan 180 mg/m²) was started on April 15, 2020 [7]. Under FOLFIRI, there was clinical progression of the periumbilical lesions (Fig. 3); in particular, greater induration and subcutaneous infiltration occurred, which were responsible for increased pain.

**Outcome and Follow-Up**

Unfortunately, the patient died from his illness on July 11, 2020, after receiving all three lines of treatment.
Discussion

The most common histology of umbilical skin metastases is adenocarcinoma (accounting for ~75% of cases), but it can also (rarely) be squamous cell carcinoma or undifferentiated cancer [2]. Because of its vascularization, connections to embryological remnants, and proximity to the peritoneum, the umbilicus is predisposed to being a site of metastasis. As such, several hypotheses have been put forth to explain the underlying pathophysiology [2]. These mainly concern the umbilical region receiving rich arterial vascularization via the inferior epigastric artery and the iliac circumflex artery arising from the external iliac artery and via the superior epigastric artery arising from the internal mammary artery.

Under normal conditions, venous drainage occurs through the internal mammary vein to the axillary vein, and through the lower epigastric vein to the femoral vein. The umbilical region is also drained by a lymphatic system that follows the venous system. It comprises a deep network (involving para-aortic, internal mammary and external iliac nodes) and a superficial network (involving axillary and inguinal nodes) [8]. Lymphatic diffusion appears...
to be the most likely mechanism of dissemination, exerting a major impact on prognosis and surgical management [2].

Tumor cells undergo both anterograde and retrograde diffusion, making the umbilicus a point of intersection of the lymphatic network [2, 9]. In addition, the umbilicus is separated from the peritoneum only by a single structure (the fascia transversalis) which may be strengthened by focal thickening of the fascia umbilicalis [2, 8]. This close connection between the umbilicus and anterior peritoneal surface may allow contiguous diffusion. The umbilicus is a scar that appears after birth when the umbilical cord falls off but retains connection to the embryonic remains, including Meckel’s diverticulum (a remnant of the vitelline duct), the falciform ligament (from the umbilical veins, connecting the vena cava), the urachus (itself a remnant of the allantoid duct, connecting the umbilicus to the roof of the bladder), and the medial umbilical ligaments (on the sides of the urachus, arising from the umbilical arteries) [2]. Despite the many anatomical connections of the embryonic remnants, they do not seem to play an important role in the dissemination of tumor cells. The presence of an umbilical nodule is usually associated with advanced metastatic disease and, therefore, with a very poor prognosis (average survival of only 11 months) [2, 10].

**Conclusion**

In this case study, we followed a patient whose umbilical metastases appeared after first-line treatment, showing aggressive disease and a poor prognosis. Their appearance is strongly linked to the progression of peritoneal carcinomatosis, suggesting a mixed mechanism of dissemination, most likely by lymphatic diffusion and promiscuity.
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