Potential of improving full vaccination status of children in Niamey, Niger; a randomized cluster survey

CURRENT STATUS: UNDER REVIEW

BMC Public Health  BMC Series

Mika Kunieda
The University of Tokyo
ORCiD: 0000-0002-3412-9860

Masamine Jimba  mjimba@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp
Corresponding Author
ORCiD: 0000-0001-5659-3237

Akira Shibanuma
The University of Tokyo

Mahamane Laouali Manzo
Ministere de la Sante Publique

DOI: 10.21203/rs.2.17820/v1

SUBJECT AREAS  Maternal & Fetal Medicine  Infectious Diseases

KEYWORDS
Cross-sectional study, multistage cluster sampling, vaccination coverage, logistic model, Niger, vulnerable populations
Abstract

Background and Objectives: Economically-disadvantaged Sub-Saharan African children are not fully protected from vaccine-preventable diseases. Maternal education is a promoting factor associated with child vaccination. However, both socio-economic status and maternal education factors are not easily modifiable. This study aimed to identify factors associated with full vaccination status of children in Niamey, Niger.[]

Methods: A cross-sectional, multi-stage randomized cluster survey was conducted in Niamey’s five health districts. Data on vaccination coverage and socio-economic household characteristics were collected. Logistic regression analysis was conducted with data on 445 pairs of mothers and their children aged 12-23 months.

Results: Of 445 children, 38% were fully vaccinated. Mothers who were satisfied with their health worker’s attitude and had correct vaccination calendar knowledge (AOR 5.32, 95% CI 2.05-13.82) were more likely to have fully vaccinated children. Mothers who had completed secondary school (AOR 2.04, 95% CI 1.17-3.55) were also associated with fully vaccinated children.

Conclusions: Low coverage of full vaccination could be improved through modifiable factors in resource-limited settings such as Niger. Communication interventions may be effective, including improving mothers’ knowledge about vaccination timing and intervals between appointments. Health workers’ attitudes should also be improved with better interpersonal communication with the mothers.

Introduction

Vaccination has played a central role in narrowing global health inequity. Full child
vaccination was originally defined as receiving eight vaccine doses over five visits before a child's first birthday. Fully vaccinated children received one dose of BCG vaccine at birth, three doses of polio and DTP vaccines at six, 10 and 14 weeks after birth, and one dose of measles vaccine at nine months (1). The proportion of children who are fully vaccinated has risen in the past decade (1) as service availability, accessibility and acceptability have improved (2). However, when mothers are of lower economic status, are less educated and/or live in rural areas (1), they are not always able to take full advantage of vaccines and services being offered for their children. As a result, more than half a million Sub-Saharan Africa children are not fully protected from vaccine-preventable diseases (3).

Vaccination service availability is monitored through vaccination coverage. Vaccination coverage is the percentage of those in a target age group who received the recommended vaccines according to the vaccination calendar. The numerator for child vaccination is the number of children vaccinated. The denominator is the estimated number of children eligible for vaccination under the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) (4). However, denominators are difficult to predict timely and correctly. The reasons cited are rapid population growth, population mobility and rapid urbanization. Administrative data quality becomes doubtful. Therefore whenever possible, cross-sectional vaccination coverage surveys are conducted and triangulated with administrative vaccination coverage estimates.

Increasingly, vaccination coverage and population health surveys collect data on socio-economic factors related to vaccination. These factors inhibit adequate vaccination coverage (5, 6), or improve vaccination coverage. More specifically, factors that are said to have a statistically significant association with vaccination
coverage are; maternal education and health literacy levels (7-11), socio-economic status or wealth quintiles (7-10, 12), possession of a maternal and child health handbook(11, 13-15), health workers attitudes (16-20), as well as discussion about vaccination with friends and family (18, 21-23).

In Niger, initial access to vaccination is relatively high. For example, results from the 2012 Demographic Health Survey (DHS)(24) show 80% BCG and DTP 1 vaccination coverage for children aged 12-23 months. For DTP 2, coverage falls by 10%. For DTP 3, coverage falls by another 10%. Only 58% of children receive measles vaccination before they turn one-year-old. Of the 2275 children surveyed in 2012, full vaccination coverage was estimated at 44% with 6% of children never having received any vaccination. When the data was disaggregated, the poorest quintile children compared to the richest quintile, were disadvantaged by 30% to be fully vaccinated (1). Children whose mothers were not educated were 20% disadvantaged in being fully vaccinated, compared to those whose mothers received secondary education. Children in rural areas were 20% less likely to be fully vaccinated than children in urban areas. A pattern of economic-related, education-related and place of residence inequality is observed.

Vaccination status becomes more equitable when interventions are carried out to eliminate poverty or to improve maternal education levels. However, such interventions require time to take effect. As low-income countries including Niger have low human capital and limited resources, they cannot afford to wait. These countries need to identify interventions which would have a more immediate and equitable effect.

In this context, this study aimed to identify rapidly modifiable factors associated with full vaccination status of children in Niamey, Niger.
Methods

Study Area

The Republic of Niger has an estimated population of over 20 million people (25, 26). The country has one of the world’s highest birth rates. As a consequence, Niger has one of the fastest growing populations in the world. Nearly half of the population (44.1% in 2016) lives below the poverty line of US 1.9 dollars per day. The country ranked second to last on the Human Development Index in 2016 (26). In the Human Capital Index announced in 2018 (27), Niger ranked 155 out of 157 countries. The capital, Niamey, has an estimated population of over a million people. The average annual urban population growth rate was 5.1% over 2010–2015 (28). The health of the capital’s population is overseen by a regional directorate and its five health district offices. This study was conducted in all of the five health districts under the authority of the Niamey regional health directorate.

Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted in October 2016. A multi-stage randomized cluster design was used, following first the WHO cluster survey 2005 guidelines (29). As the study was being implemented, WHO cluster survey 2015 draft working guidelines (30) were announced. The sample size for the stratified five health districts was calculated based on a 85% likely coverage for DTP 3 from 2014 administrative data (31). In addition, the following parameters applied; 95% confidence interval, 90% power and an intra-cluster correlation of 0.042 (or a design effect of 1.38 which means that there is very little variation in coverage). After stratifying on health district, 46 clusters were randomly selected from a cluster list. This cluster list was constructed for the 2012 Census by the National
Institute of Statistics (NIS) of Niger.

Study questions were drafted based on the 2012 Niger Demographic Health Survey (DHS) questionnaire (24) and WHO cluster survey 2015 draft working guidelines (30). MKK, MLM and the NIS statistician reviewed the questions for cultural appropriateness. The above-mentioned three researchers then pretested the questions during training of 22 surveyors. Of the 22, four supervisors were selected during training to oversee the remaining 18 surveyors. The four supervisors were supervised by MKK, MLM and the NIS statistician.

Participants

Mothers of children aged 12–23 months were identified within each cluster. If mothers had more than two children between the ages of 12–23 months, only data of the youngest child was collected.

Variables

The dependent variable was the fully vaccinated child verified by the child’s vaccination record in the maternal and child health handbook. This study did not collect information on vaccination based on the mother’s memory. Full vaccination status was coded “1” if the child had received BCG, three DTP, three times oral polio vaccine drops and measles vaccines. If the child had missed any of the above-mentioned vaccines, the child’s vaccination status was coded “0”.

For the dependent variable of a fully vaccinated child, independent variables derived from the literature were selected. Specifically, socio-economic independent variables included maternal education level (7–11), socio-economic status based on wealth quintile (7–10, 12), mother’s and father’s age (as continuous variables), mother’s employment status (19) and the child’s birth order (33, 34). Independent variables included in the analysis were: discussion about vaccination with family
(18, 21–23), satisfaction with the attitudes of the health workers (16–20), and maternal vaccination calendar knowledge. All independent variables were binary. This study’s wealth quintile was constructed using principal component analysis (PCA) of household assets. Questions were asked on electricity, water source, home and vehicle ownership, livestock ownership, as well as TV, refrigerator and air conditioner ownership. Typical DHS questions regarding housing materials were not included, as roofing and flooring materials in Niamey are similar.

Binary response questions were asked on satisfaction with the attitudes of health workers, and whether the women discussed vaccination with friends and discussion with family. Responses were coded in binary form: i.e. “1” for “yes” and “0” for “no”.

Maternal vaccination calendar knowledge was assessed through the question “How many times should you take your child (to the vaccination center) so that he/she is fully vaccinated?”. If the mother correctly replied “five” to the question, her response was coded “1” for “has knowledge”. If the mother gave another number or was unable to answer, her response was coded “0” for “does not have knowledge”.

Data collection and analysis
On the NIS maps, MKK randomly marked the first household to start from. Cluster boundaries were confirmed on the ground. Surveyors then canvassed each cluster, going in a predetermined direction. Surveyors continued until they had interviewed ten mothers. The same procedure was repeated in each of the 46 clusters. For clusters which were markets or bus terminals, surveyors randomly approached mothers carrying babies on their backs. Mothers were interviewed with a structured questionnaire.

Mother-child pairs were nested within their neighborhoods. Usually when data is
nested, a multilevel regression is run. However, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was negligible (ICC 0.02, standard error 0.03) when an empty model was run for the dependent variable of a fully vaccinated child. This was interpreted as minimal variation of the dependent variable from one neighborhood to another. Therefore data was analyzed at mother-child level without taking neighborhood clustering into account. A single level logistic regression analysis was run. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software, version 13.1 (StataCorp, Lakeway Drive, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Study population characteristics

A total of 460 mothers of children ages 12–23 months were recruited. Data of 15 children were removed before data analysis as they did not meet the age inclusion criteria.

Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics of the 445 mothers. Of 146 mothers who were not able to read and write, 101 (36.9%) did not have a fully vaccinated child. Of 182 mothers who had completed both primary and secondary schools, 86 (50.3%) had a fully vaccinated child. Of 445 mothers, 436 were divided into quintiles according to their socio-economic status. The poorest quintile had the lowest percentage (11.8%) while the middle class had the highest percentage (25.4%) of fully vaccinated children. The richest quintile also had a high percentage (22.5%) of fully vaccinated children, just slightly lower than the middle quintile.

Table 2 shows modifiable factors of the 445 mothers. When mothers were asked “Are you satisfied with the attitude of your health worker?”, 384 of 433 mothers (88.7%) replied positively. Of 433 mothers who were asked the maternal vaccination
calendar knowledge question, 208 (48.0%) responded correctly. Of these 208 mothers, 101 (48.6%) had a fully vaccinated child.

**Socio-economic and modifiable factors associated with a fully vaccinated child**

Of 445 children, 171 children (38%) were fully vaccinated. Table 3 shows the result of multivariable logistic regression on the association between the independent variables and the dependent variable of a fully vaccinated child. Given the relatively small sample size in this study, selected socio-economic variables were included in the logistic regression analysis (35, 36). Mothers classified to the middle wealth quintile (AOR 4.05, 95% CI 1.90–8.66) and the rich wealth quintile (AOR 2.67, 95% CI 1.28–5.58) were more likely to have fully vaccinated children. Mothers who had completed primary and secondary schools (AOR 2.04, 95% CI 1.17–3.55) were more likely to have fully vaccinated children. Mothers satisfied with their health worker’s attitude and having correct vaccination calendar knowledge (AOR 5.32, 95% CI 2.05–13.82) were also more likely to have fully vaccinated children.

**Discussion**

This study identified modifiable and less modifiable factors that were associated with full vaccination of children. Modifiable factors were mothers’ satisfaction with their health worker’s attitude and their vaccination calendar knowledge. Financial status and education level were considered as less modifiable factors.

**Maternal vaccination calendar knowledge and satisfaction with health worker’s attitude**

In this study, when mothers knew that they needed to bring their children to the health center five times, they were more likely to have a fully vaccinated child.
Maternal education and health literacy levels are commonly associated with higher vaccination coverage (7–11). However, measuring health literacy level measurement can not only be complicated but also inconsistent (37). In this study, maternal vaccination calendar knowledge was assessed through a simple and direct question with a binary response. Such a question can easily be added to household and vaccination coverage surveys. In this way, maternal knowledge of the vaccination calendar could predict whether the mother will bring back her child for further vaccination. If knowledge is insufficient, communication interventions could be made to rapidly modify this independent variable. Communication could improve correct knowledge of the vaccination schedule, such as the time intervals between appointments. Mothers might be nudged to come for timely vaccination.

Children were more likely to be fully vaccinated, when mothers had knowledge and were satisfied with health worker attitudes. Health worker attitudes and knowledge may be interconnected. In fact, health worker attitudes are critical when a mother is looking for information on vaccination (38, 39, 40). If health worker attitudes are acceptable, mothers are more likely to trust vaccination and vaccines. Trusting mothers may be more willing to attend vaccination sessions. Through these visits, mothers might acquire correct knowledge of the vaccination schedule compared to those women who come less often.

**Socio-economic status and healthcare utilization**

In this study, the poorest and poorer mothers were less likely to have a fully vaccinated child. Similar findings have reported on the association between lower socio-economic status and the lesser likelihood of a fully vaccinated child (8, 12, 41, 42). One explanation was that poorer mothers had less time or “multiple livelihood activities which deter clinic attendance” (43). Previous literature has also found that
poor mothers felt poorly treated by health workers (43). Health worker attitudes may influence healthcare utilization more than previously acknowledged. Therefore, modifying health worker attitudes may be key to improving healthcare utilization experience of poor mothers. More economically disadvantaged children could be fully vaccinated if sympathetic health workers communicated with mothers better. Economically disadvantaged children could also be protected from vaccine-preventable infectious diseases through reinforced community or herd immunity. Community immunity can be raised if a critical mass has been vaccinated, through routine vaccination and vaccination campaigns (44). In addition, community immunity can be kicked-off with correct maternal vaccination calendar knowledge and helpful health workers, both shorter-term modifiable factors.

Study Limitations

This cross-sectional study did not record received vaccination antigens if mothers who did not possess their child’s vaccination record or a maternal child health handbook. Recall bias, a problem flagged by prominent researchers in this field (45, 46), was therefore minimized. Selection bias is possible as the surveyors might have selected mothers who were able to show their child’s vaccination record. Chance responses, to the question determining maternal vaccination calendar knowledge, could not be controlled for. In any case, a binary response question was the most direct way to measure maternal vaccination calendar knowledge. For the wealth index quintiles, cautious interpretation is necessary. This study’s wealth quintiles were constructed from urban household assets unweighted to a national scale. Results could be skewed, as this study’s urban inhabitants fall in the top two quintiles of the national wealth index. As a cross-sectional study, the cause-effect
relationship between modifiable factors and full vaccination status could not be assessed. For example, mothers could have acquired vaccination calendar knowledge through the process of getting their child fully vaccinated. Or, mothers could have learned correct vaccination calendar through frequent contact with health workers. Finally, ethnographic and other qualitative methods could have furthered understanding on urban specificities and access to health services.

Conclusions

Based on proof of vaccination recorded in the maternal and child health handbook, only 38% of children were fully vaccinated. More fully vaccinated children could be achieved by modifiable factors. These modifiable factors include, mothers’ satisfaction with health workers’ attitudes and vaccination calendar knowledge. One way to modify would be through communication interventions. Specifically, mothers could act on specific information on time intervals between appointments. Maternal satisfaction with health workers’ attitudes could be improved through better health worker interpersonal communication with mothers. In this way, communication interventions may be effective in improving low vaccination coverage.

List of Abbreviations

AOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio, BCG: Bacillus Calmette–Guérin vaccine, CI: Confidence Interval, DHS: Demographic Health Survey, DTP: Diphtheria, Tetanus, and Pertussis vaccine, EPI: Expanded Programme on Immunization, KAP: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, NIS: National Institute of Statistics of Niger (INS in French), WHO: World Health Organization
Declarations

Ethics Approval

The Research Ethics Committee of the Graduate School of Medicine in the University of Tokyo approved the protocol for this study (serial number 11218). The National Ethics Committee of Niger authorized study execution (no. 03660/MSP/SG/DEP/DER). Consent in the form of a signature or thumbprint was obtained from all mothers. Participation was strictly voluntary with no incentive given. Measures were taken to ensure the confidentiality of the mothers so that individuals could not be identified. The Institutional Review Board of Harvard University approved a follow-up research proposal (IRB17-1451) using the same dataset.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Study design and data collection was done with the support of the Department of Community and Global Health at the Graduate School of Medicine in the University of Tokyo. Analysis, interpretation of data was conducted with the support of the Takemi Program in International Health and faculty at the Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health and the Japan Medical Association (August 2017—June 2018).
Authors’ contributions
MKK designed the study, field survey and led the data collection and data analysis of the survey. MLM contributed to the study design and supervised the acquisition of data. AS assisted with data analysis. MKK drafted the manuscript with critical contributions from AS and MJ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
Acknowledgements to the Niger Ministry of Health (MSP) and members of the National Ethics Committee (Comité Consultatif National d’Ethique) as well as the Institutional Review Board at Harvard University for their constructive advice, approval and support of the study plan. The National Statistics Institute (INS) played a crucial role in realizing this study through their active participation in data collection as well as thorough follow-up for the mapping of results conducted approximately a year after data collection. Field data collection would not have been possible without the friendship, encouragement, advice and support of Dienaba (Abou) Diallo and her family, as well as former colleague Assoumana Abdou of JICA Niger. The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this article are entirely those of the authors and do not represent the views of the EPI Niger, MOH Niger, Harvard University, Takemi Program in International Health, or the afore-mentioned colleagues. Last but not least, the parents who participated in the study as well as all positive attitude Nigerien health workers who provide vaccination services to the population.

Authors’ information
MKK is a former UNICEF Communication for Development Specialist responsible for monitoring polio and routine immunization in West and Central Africa. During this time, MKK supported several external EPI reviews in the region from 2010 to 2014. Previous to this, MKK was health project formulation advisor with Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) in Niger. MLM was Regional Director for Public Health in Maradi Region, Niger from 2008 to 2012.

References

1. WHO. State of Inequality: childhood immunization. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
2. Tanahashi T. Health service coverage and its evaluation. Bull World Health Organ. 1978;56:295–303.
3. WHO. Business case for WHO immunization activities on the African continent 2018–2030 2018 [ ]
4. WHO. Immunization in practice, a practical guide, module 6 (2015 update). 2015.
5. Tauil Mde C, Sato AP, Waldman EA. Factors associated with incomplete or delayed vaccination across countries: A systematic review. Vaccine. 2016;34(24):2635–43.
6. Massachusetts Co. Top Strategies for Increasing Immunization Coverage Rates. In: Health DoP, editor. 2016.
7. Glatman-Freedman A, Nichols K. The effect of social determinants on immunization programs. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2012;8(3):293–301.
8. Mutua MK, Kimani-Murage E, Ettarh RR. Childhood vaccination in informal urban settlements in Nairobi, Kenya: who gets vaccinated? BMC Public Health. 2011;11(1):6.
9. Clouston S, Kidman R, Palermo T. Social inequalities in vaccination uptake among
children aged 0–59 months living in Madagascar: an analysis of Demographic and Health Survey data from 2008 to 2009. Vaccine. 2014;32(28):3533–9.

10. Shrivastwa N, Gillespie BW, Kolenic GE, Lepkowski JM, Boulton ML. Predictors of Vaccination in India for Children Aged 12-36 Months. Am J Prev Med. 2015;49(6 Suppl 4):S435–44.

11. Fatiregun AA, Okoro AO. Maternal determinants of complete child immunization among children aged 12–23 months in a southern district of Nigeria. Vaccine. 2012;30(4):730–6.

12. Kawakatsu Y, Tanaka J, Ogawa K, Ogendo K, Honda S. Effects of three interventions and determinants of full vaccination among children aged 12-59 months in Nyanza province, Kenya. Public health. 2015;129:1530–8.

13. Osaki K, Hattori T, Kosen S, Singgih B. Investment in home-based maternal, newborn and child health records improves immunization coverage in Indonesia. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2009;103(8):846–8.

14. McElligott JT, Darden PM. Are patient-held vaccination records associated with improved vaccination coverage rates? Pediatrics. 2010;125(3):e467–72.

15. Sanou A, Simboro S, Kouyate B, Dugas M, Graham J, Bibeau G. Assessment of factors associated with complete immunization coverage in children aged 12–23 months: a cross-sectional study in Nouna district, Burkina Faso. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2009;9 Suppl 1:S10.

16. Favin M, Steinglass R, Fields R, Banerjee K, Sawhney M. Why children are not vaccinated: a review of the grey literature. Int Health. 2012;4:229–38.

17. Byrne A, Hodge A, Jimenez-Soto E, Morgan A. What works? Strategies to increase reproductive, maternal and child health in difficult to access mountainous locations:
a systematic literature review. PloS one. 2014;9(2):e87683.

18. Kawakatsu Y, Honda S. Individual-, family- and community-level determinants of full vaccination coverage among children aged 12–23 months in western Kenya. Vaccine. 2012;30(52):7588–93.

19. Cutts FT, Diallo S, Zell ER, Rhodes P. Determinants of vaccination in an urban population in Conakry, Guinea. Int J Epidemiol. 1991;20(4):1099–106.

20. Rainey JJ, Watkins M, Ryman TK, Sandhu P, Bo A, Banerjee K. Reasons related to non-vaccination and under-vaccination of children in low and middle income countries: findings from a systematic review of the published literature, 1999–2009. Vaccine. 2011;29(46):8215–21.

21. Kwan TT, Chan KK, Yip AM, Tam KF, Cheung AN, Young PM, et al. Barriers and facilitators to human papillomavirus vaccination among Chinese adolescent girls in Hong Kong: a qualitative-quantitative study. Sex Transm Infect. 2008;84(3):227–32.

22. Cockcroft A, Andersson N, Omer K, Ansari NM, Khan A, Chaudhry UU, et al. One size does not fit all: local determinants of measles vaccination in four districts of Pakistan. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2009;9 Suppl 1:S4.

23. Vikram K, Vanneman R, Desai S. Linkages between maternal education and childhood immunization in India. Soc Sci Med. 2012;75(2):331–9.

24. ICF International, INS. Enquête Démographique et de Santé et à Indicateurs Multiples du Niger 2012. Calverton, Maryland, USA: INS ICF International; 2013.

25. INS. Présentation des résultats préliminaires du quatrième (4ème) Recensement Général de la Population et de l’Habitat (RGP/H) 2012. 2013.

26. UNDP. Human Development Report 2016: Human Development for Everyone. 2016.

27. World Bank. World Development Report 2019: The Changing Nature of Work.
Washington, DC: World Bank; 2019.

28.UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs PD. World Statistics Pocketbook 2016 edition Statistical Services Branch of the Statistics Division DoEaSAotUNS, editor. New York: United Nations; 2016.

29.WHO. Immunization coverage cluster survey- reference manual. Geneva; 2005. Contract No.: WHO/IVB/04.23.

30.WHO. Vaccination coverage cluster surveys: reference manual version 3, working draft. 2015.

31.Ministère de la Santé Publique SNIS. Annuaire des Statistiques Sanitaires du Niger 2014 Version Finale 02. Niamey; 2015.

32.WHO. Vaccination Coverage Cluster Surveys: Reference Manual. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Contract No.: WHO/IVB/18.09.

33.Antai D. Gender inequities, relationship power, and childhood immunization uptake in Nigeria: a population-based cross-sectional study. International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases. 2012;16(2):e136–45.

34.Johri M, Subramanian SV, Sylvestre MP, Dudeja S, Chandra D, Kone GK, et al. Association between maternal health literacy and child vaccination in India: a cross-sectional study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2015;69(9):849–57.

35.Katz MH. Study design and statistical analysis: a practical guide for clinicians. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. 188 p. p.

36.Acock AC. A gentle introduction to Stata. 4th edition. ed. College Station, Texas: A Stata Press Publication, StataCorp LP; 2014. xxx, 468 pages p.

37.Jordan JE, Osborne RH, Buchbinder R. Critical appraisal of health literacy indices revealed variable underlying constructs, narrow content and psychometric
weaknesses. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2011;64(4):366–79.

38. Brunson EK. The impact of social networks on parents’ vaccination decisions. Pediatrics. 2013;131(5):e1397–404.

39. Benin AL, Wisler-Scher DJ, Colson E, Shapiro ED, Holmboe ES. Qualitative analysis of mothers’ decision-making about vaccines for infants: the importance of trust. Pediatrics. 2006;117.

40. Ames HM, Glenton C, Lewin S. Parents’ and informal caregivers’ views and experiences of communication about routine childhood vaccination: a synthesis of qualitative evidence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;2:CD011787.

41. Abebe DS, Nielsen VO, Finnvold JE. Regional inequality and vaccine uptake: a multilevel analysis of the 2007 Welfare Monitoring Survey in Malawi. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:1075.

42. Mugali RR, Mansoor F, Parwiz S, Ahmad F, Safi N, Higgins-Steele A, et al. Improving immunization in Afghanistan: results from a cross-sectional community-based survey to assess routine immunization coverage. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):290.

43. Cassell JA, Leach M, Fairhead JR, Small M, Mercer CH. The social shaping of childhood vaccination practice in rural and urban Gambia. Health Policy Plan. 2006;21(5):373–91.

44. Logan J, Nederhoff D, Koch B, Griffith B, Wolfson J, Awan FA, et al. ‘What have you HEARD about the HERD?’ Does education about local influenza vaccination coverage and herd immunity affect willingness to vaccinate? Vaccine. 2018;36(28):4118–25.

45. Cutts FT, Izurieta HS, Rhoda DA. Measuring coverage in MNCH: design, implementation, and interpretation challenges associated with tracking vaccination
coverage using household surveys. PLoS Med. 2013;10:e1001404.

46. Brown DW. COMMENTARY - Child Immunization Cards: Essential Yet Underutilized in National Immunization Programmes. The Open Vaccine Journal. 2012;5(1):1–7.

Tables

Table 1: Study population characteristics

(n=445, of which not fully vaccinated n=274, fully vaccinated n=171)

| Variable | Child not fully vaccinated | Child fully vaccinated | p-value |
|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|          | N (%)                      | N (%)                 |         |
| Mother’s education level |                           |                       | 0.030   |
| Unable to read and write | 101 (69.2)                | 45 (30.8)             |         |
| Able to read and write  | 19 (67.9)                 | 9 (32.1)              |         |
| Primary and Secondary   | 96 (52.8)                 | 86 (47.3)             |         |
| Post-Secondary          | 16 (61.5)                 | 10 (38.5)             |         |
| Koranic                 | 42 (66.7)                 | 21 (33.3)             |         |
| Socio-economic status (measured by constructed wealth index) | n=436 |                     | 0.003   |
| Poorest                 | 65 (76.5)                 | 20 (23.5)             |         |
| Poorer                  | 60 (67.4)                 | 29 (32.6)             |         |
| Middle                  | 44 (50.6)                 | 43 (49.4)             |         |
| Richer                  | 48 (55.2)                 | 39 (44.8)             |         |
| Richest                 | 50 (56.8)                 | 38 (43.2)             |         |
| Mother’s age group      | n=443                     |                       | 0.721   |
| 15-19 years old         | 19 (59.4)                 | 13 (40.6)             |         |
| 20-24 years old         | 63 (61.2)                 | 40 (38.8)             |         |
| 25-29 years old         | 86 (65.2)                 | 46 (34.9)             |         |
| 30-34 years old         | 50 (62.5)                 | 30 (37.5)             |         |
| 35-39 years old         | 43 (58.9)                 | 30 (41.1)             |         |
| 40 years old or more    | 11 (47.8)                 | 12 (52.2)             |         |
| Father’s age group      | n=437                     |                       | 0.038   |
| 20-29 years old         | 28 (63.6)                 | 16 (36.4)             |         |
| 30-34 years old         | 46 (54.8)                 | 38 (45.2)             |         |
| 35-39 years old         | 69 (71.9)                 | 27 (28.1)             |         |
| Age Group                  | n   | (%)  | n   | (%)  |
|---------------------------|-----|------|-----|------|
| 40-44 years old           | 60  | (65.9)| 31  | (34.2)|
| 45-49 years old           | 40  | (58.0)| 29  | (42.0)|
| 50 years old or more      | 25  | (47.2)| 28  | (52.8)|

### Mother's employment status

- **n=445**
- **p-value 0.651**
  - At-home mother: 208 (61.0), 133 (39.0)
  - Working mother: 66 (63.5), 38 (36.5)

### Child's birth order

- **n=444**
- **p-value 0.689**
  - 1st child: 53 (57.6), 39 (42.4)
  - 2nd child: 52 (61.9), 32 (38.1)
  - 3rd child: 49 (56.3), 38 (43.7)
  - 4th child: 37 (67.3), 18 (32.7)
  - 5th-6th child: 47 (64.4), 26 (35.6)
  - 7th-11th child: 35 (66.0), 18 (34.0)

---

**Table 2: Study population behaviors**

*(n=445, of which not fully vaccinated n=274, fully vaccinated n=171)*

| Variable                                                                 | Child not fully vaccinated | Child fully vaccinated | p-value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Mother's possession of maternal and child health handbook (n=445)        |                           |                       | 0.001   |
| No                                                                       | 18 (100.0)                | 0 (0.0)               |         |
| Yes                                                                      | 256 (60.0)                | 171 (40.0)            |         |
| Mother's discussion about vaccination with family (n=434)                |                           |                       | 0.019   |
| No                                                                       | 83 (69.8)                 | 36 (30.3)             |         |
| Yes                                                                      | 181 (57.5)                | 134 (42.5)            |         |
| Mother's satisfaction with health worker's attitude (n=433)              |                           |                       | 0.025   |
| No                                                                       | 37 (75.5)                 | 12 (24.5)             |         |
| Yes                                                                      | 226 (58.9)                | 158 (41.2)            |         |
| Maternal vaccination calendar knowledge (n=433)                          |                           |                       | <0.001  |
| No                                                                       | 156 (69.3)                | 69 (30.7)             |         |
| Yes                                                                      | 107 (51.4)                | 101 (48.6)            |         |
