Case report

Delayed presentation of retained acrylic intraocular lens (IOL) fragment after uncomplicated cataract surgery
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1. Introduction

Dislocated intraocular lens (IOL) fragments, either fractured or deliberately cut, such as during IOL exchange, are known to cause corneal decompensation secondary to endothelial cell loss [1–4]. These fractures can occur with trauma, as has been reported with single-piece poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) IOLs [3,5–8]. However, they have also been reported to occur during implantation. In 2010, a video case was presented of a three-piece IOL which haptic was pulled free from the optic during implantation [9]. Three-piece lenses have also been reported to have non-traumatic late-onset haptic disinsertion—a complication unique to these lenses thus far [10].

To date, there have been several case reports of corneal decompensation secondary to retained acrylic IOL fragments, which were deliberately cut during IOL exchange [2,3]. Further, there have been two cases reported of acrylic IOLs being damaged during implantation; however, in both cases the complication was recognized immediately and appropriate steps were taken to address them. There have been no reports of delayed presentation of an acrylic IOL haptic being severed during uncomplicated surgery. We report a case of delayed anterior chamber inflammation and corneal decompensation secondary to a dislocated haptic fragment from a foldable acrylic posterior chamber IOL following a routine, uncomplicated IOL implantation. The patient provided written consent for his case and photographs to be published.

2. Case report

An 85-year-old male presented to our clinic with nonspecific complaints of left ocular irritation, pain, and blurring of vision. He had undergone phacoemulsification with implantation of an acrylic IOL in that eye six months earlier at another facility. According to the surgeon, there were no complications during surgery, and his immediate postoperative course had been unremarkable. Two months after the surgery, the patient began to experience left eye irritation, which persisted and worsened over
the subsequent months. He then began to notice a decrease in vision, and the quality of his pain became more deep and aching. The patient visited our institution six months after his cataract surgery.

On initial examination, his best corrected vision was noted to be 20/20 in the right eye and 20/40 (pinhole to 20/30) in the left eye. Slit lamp examination of the left eye revealed conjunctival injection, inferior corneal edema extending into the visual axis, inferior Descemet’s folds, and trace cells in the anterior chamber. An IOL haptic was noted in the inferior anterior chamber angle lying against the iris and in contact with the corneal endothelium (Fig. 1). The remainder of the slit lamp examination, including dilated fundoscopic examination was normal. By ultrasound biomicroscopy, the haptic fragment was located in the inferior angle but did not appear to be contiguous with the remainder of the IOL, which was noted to be within the posterior chamber, slightly decentered, with no iris touch. Gonioscopy revealed an IOL haptic fragment situated within the inferior aspect of an otherwise open angle. The surrounding iris tissue appeared undisturbed.

The IOL was an AcrySof IQ model SN60WF (Alcon Labs, Fort Worth, TX) hydrophobic acrylic single-piece posterior chamber intraocular lens. The IOL was delivered using the Monarch delivery system. No abnormality of the IOL was noticed at the time of surgery per surgeon’s report, or in the immediate postoperative period. The lens fragment was not noted in the anterior chamber at his routine one month post-operative visit.

The decision was made to surgically remove the IOL fragment and examine the IOL position. Intra-operatively, the IOL fragment was confirmed to be loose as it shifted position when the patient was supine. The fragment was removed using micro-forceps, and the remainder of the IOL was then examined using an endoscope probe. The nasal haptic was noted to be within the capsular bag; however, the cut temporal haptic was dislocated out of the capsular bag and fibroed to the anterior aspect of the anterior capsule. The IOL was slightly decentered but stable and therefore was not exchanged. The site of haptic fracture was approximately half the distance between the optic-haptic junction and the distal tip of the haptic. A scratch was also noted on the IOL optic adjacent to the cutting edge of the haptic fragment in this case. The cut haptic suggests improper manipulation either during IOL loading, or during release of the IOL from the plunger, or a defective surgical implantation device.

Our patient developed mild intraocular inflammation and corneal edema after his cataract surgery. Based on the patient’s history and timeline of symptoms, it is possible that the haptic fragment was initially located within the capsular bag, along with the remainder of the IOL, or in the sulcus, and then later migrated into the anterior chamber. Thus, this complication remained unrecognized for several months.

4. Conclusions

IOL haptic fracture and fragment dislocation into the anterior chamber is a rare complication of cataract surgery. Since the properly maintained, could serve as a cutting edge as the trailing haptic is inserted. The scratch noted on the IOL optic adjacent to the cut haptic suggests improper manipulation either during IOL loading, or during release of the IOL from the plunger, or a defective surgical implantation device.

3. Discussion

Hydrophobic foldable acrylic materials are made of a copolymer of phenylethyl acrylate and phenylethyl methacrylate, cross-linked with butanediol diacrylate, with the purpose of making them foldable and durable. They can be folded, pushed, and pulled, always regaining their original shape, which makes them much more pliable and less prone to breakage than their predecessors. However, acrylic lenses have been shown to be extremely delicate and susceptible to structural damage when improperly manipulated [11,12].

The appearance of the cut edge of the haptic fragment in this case implies a sharp cutting surface was at fault. Theoretically, clipping of a haptic can occur secondary to improper lens folding, although one would expect to find a less clean, sharp edge if the IOL was damaged by a tearing mechanism within the injector. Alternatively, during implantation, the edge of a reusable plunger, if not

![Fig. 2. Intraoperative image. Image of the haptic fragment taken during surgery immediately after removal from the eye.](image-url)
transition to acrylic intraocular lenses, it has become even less common and until now has only been reported with retained fragments after IOL exchange. It should be nevertheless considered, especially in cases of late onset corneal edema post-operatively when other causes have been ruled out. Careful implantation technique and thorough examination of the intraocular lens after implantation to assess for lens damage intraoperatively is essential.

This case also highlights the advantage of gonioscopic evaluation for foreign bodies, including haptic fragments, in cases of isolated corneal edema. Ultrasound biomicroscopy is helpful in identifying haptic fragments that cannot be readily located as well as for evaluating the IOL position. Further, we would like to emphasize the utility of the endoscopic probe for thorough and direct intraoperative visualization of the IOL, its haptics, and their positions relative to the iris and ciliary body.
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