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**Abstract**

An alternative reproductive behaviour can be defined as any discontinuous variation in an aspect of reproductive behaviour among one sex within a single population. Alternative reproductive behaviours occur in a wide variety of taxa and, when referring to particular aspects of the mating behaviour are commonly termed alternative mating tactics. A close examination of *M. idellaidella* males reveals that three distinct morphotypes based upon size ranking, the ratio of claw length to body length (relative claw length) which included small male (SM), medium size male (MS) and bigger size male (BS). To know the alternative mating strategies 7 experiments were conducted. The following stocking combinations were tried in the present study: (1) 3 BS males (110-120mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (2) 3 MS males (70-80 mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (3) 6 SM males (45-55 mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (4) 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm); (5) 1 BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm); (6) 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm); (7) 1 BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm) respectively.
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**Introduction**

Although crustaceans were among the first recorded examples of alternative mating strategies (*Orchestia darwinii*, Darwin, 1874; *Tanais* spp. Darwin, 1874), there is currently no artificial treatment of how such polymorphisms are expressed within this group. A social organization with obvious size dependent hierarchical characteristics and aggressive tendencies at both the feeding and breeding levels is known to exist in a number of species of insects [1,2] and in aquatic organisms [3-6]. When male reproductive success is depends on male-male competition and aggression. This is usually the case in polygamous species. The individuals who are at a competitive disadvantage sometimes adopt an entirely different group of reproductive behaviours. However, when such alternative mating patterns are practiced as part of a developmental sequence, they can be considered parts of a single lifetime reproductive strategy [6]. The present study evaluates the relative reproductive potential of each male morphotypes of *M. idellaidella* by examining their mating strategy is close quarter in an aquarium. We have also tried to arrive at a qualitative evaluation of each of these morphotypes (representing three different developmental stages) according to each type's specific physical characteristics, typical behaviour and probability of achieving successful fertilization.

**Materials and methods**

A close examination of *M. idellaidella* males reveals that three distinct morphotypes based upon size ranking, the ratio of claw length to body length (relative claw length). The three male categories includes (a) small males (SM), represented in the peak of the male distribution, characterized by clear chela with a relative length of 4-5 cm (b) medium sized (MS) males, larger than SM males, characterized by chela and by a relative claw length of 11.5-13 cm and (c) bigger sized (BS) males, the largest individuals of the male population, characterized by thick dark chela with a relative claw length of 16-17.5 cm. Whereas the weight of small males is mainly restricted to the range between 1-10 g, the weight and sizes of MS and BS males vary widely with cultural procedures (Table 1). Nevertheless, the relative proportions of the three male morphotypes, SM, MS, and BS, remain nearly constant at 5:4:1, respectively, under a wide range of environmental conditions [7,8]. These ratios are maintained at a dynamic state, in which individual males are capable of undergoing a transformation from one morphotype to another, following an irreversible order: from SM to MS to BS. Such transformations would occur whenever large individuals either die or are selectively removed [9].

**Source of animals**

Almost similar age juveniles were stocked in the laboratory for the present study. This ensures uniformity in the population. The selection of males was performed according to the morphologically distinctive characteristics of the three male categories and females were based on their state of sexual maturation as determined by gonad development [10].

| S.No | Category | BS male | MS male | SM male |
|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1    | Body size| Large   | Medium  | Small   |
| 2    | Relative claw length (cm)| 16-17.5 | 11.5-13 | 4-5     |
| 3    | Mobility| Mainly resting| Mainly resting and self-grooming | Mainly exploring |
| 4    | Agonistic competition| Aggressive and dominant | Aggressive and subdominant | Submissive |
| 5    | Courtship| Protecting and grooming | Never observed courting | Never observed courting |
| 6    | Copulatory attempts| Must turn over | Must turn over | Sneaking while turning itself underneath |
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Experimental groups

Mating probability of SM and MS males was examined in glass aquarium by stocking each of these morphotypes either alone with females or together with a dominant BS male. In other set of experimental group the dominant BS male was unable to release its own spermatothecia. This was performed by sealing the gonopores with a drop of quick-set adhesive cyanoacrylate (commercial name: Super Glue). Such treatment did not interfere with the males display of courting behavior [10]. The rate of female fertilization by the subordinate SM and MS males in the presence of a blocked BS male indicates that the actual probability of SM and MS males to achieve a successful copulation in a situation more similar to that prevailing in nature where BS males are guarding and protecting receptive females. The females used in each experiment were sexually matured and mostly in the size range of 55 to 90mm.

The following stocking combinations were tried in the present study:

1. 3 BS males (110-120mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
2. 3 MS males (70-80 mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
3. 6 SM males (45-55 mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
4. 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm)
5. 1 BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm)
6. 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm)
7. 1 BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm)

Experimental setup

One glass aquarium (for a close observation) and two fiber glass tanks were setup for each stocking combinations. Whenever a male went through a morphotypic transformation, died, or suffered a physical injury (such as loss of claws while moultling or fighting), that was replaced by another male of a similar morphotype. Altogether, all males in each aquarium were replaced 5-6 times due to injuries within the entire observation period of 5 months. In addition to the males, a female with ripe gonads was present at any given time in each aquarium. Whenever a female became berried, it was replaced by an equivalent female, and was transferred into a separate aquarium to observe the embryonic development. When a receptive female in an observation aquarium either did not become berried, or lost all eggs within 48 hours from the pre-mating moult, it was replaced by another female and failure of fertilization was recorded. Altogether, 20-30 females were examined for each stocking with males.

Experimental conditions

Observations were carried out in glass aquarium (58 X 58 X 37 cm) equipped with aerators to satisfy the need of dissolved oxygen (5ppm) and also temperature control devices to maintain conducive environment.

Observations and measurements

Behavioural observations were carried out especially when a female entered into pre-mating moult. Special attention was given to the relative position of each individual in the aquarium to the typical male-male interactions (agonistic behavior) and to the male-female interactions (protecting and mating). Whenever a female was removed, some 48 hours after a pre-mating moult, its physical state was recorded, i.e., lost appendages, broken antennae and other signs of physical injury. Embryonic development was observed two weeks later by a microscopical examination of eggs sampled from the females abdomen and served as the ultimate proof of successful fertilization. The percentages of successful fertilizations, failures to fertilize, female mortalities due to physical injuries made by males soon after pre-mating moult and other female mortalities unrelated to interactions with males were calculated.

Results

Experimental setup 1

In this setup 3 BS males (110-120 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males encountered the pre-mating females there was a strong competition between the males for mating. The stronger male started to court the female from other males. Once he was succeeded in fiber glass tanks (45 X 30 X 37 cm) which also equipped with aerators and temperature control devices to maintain conducive environment.
than his contact and mounted the female. After mounting, the male turned over the female and started grooming the female brood chambers to remove the dust and other algal particles from the chamber before deposition of the spermatophores (Plate 1).

**Experimental setup 2**

In this setup 3, medium sized (MS) males (70-80 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males met with the pre-mating female there was a fight between the males for mating. At last the male which won the competition was turning over the female and finally went for mating without cleaning the brood chamber and courting was totally absent (Plate 2).

**Experimental setup 3**

In this experimental setup 6, small sized (SM) males (45-55 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males encountered the pre-mating female there was a competition between males. The successful male started attracting by contact and mounting the female, then tried to turn over the female. Since the males are smaller than the female it was very difficult for him to turn the females. So the male tried to mate the female by sneaking while turning it underneath of the female and started mating without cleaning the brood chamber of the female. The small males failed to court the female and occasionally injured by the continuing attempts of the several SM males to approach her at the same time (Plate 3).

**Experimental setup 4**

In this setup 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males were stocked with a matured female. Even though the BS male was unable to release its own spermatophore but it displayed all the characters like courting, mounting and turning the female and subsequently tried to attach its spermatophore. In this juncture MS males were successfully attach their spermatophore (Plate 4).

**Experimental setup 5**

In this setup, 1 BS male and 2 MS males were stocked with a matured female. When the bigger and medium sized males were approached the pre-mating females for mating, major behavioural differences were visible between BS and MS males. In the BS male's territorial area the MS males were immobile. Although MS males were attracted by the female, they were easily chased away by the dominant BS male. In many instances after one or two agonistic encounters the MS males ignore the mating couple. But when the BS male was absent a series of agonistic encounters among the MS males were resulted in dominant male trying to capture the female prior to mating (Plate 5).

**Experimental setup 6**

In this setup, 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males were stocked
with a matured female. As in the experimental setup (4) the BS male expressed its original character but unable to release its spermatophore because sealing of gonophore. For mating the SM male use this great opportunity and steal under the female for copulation and attached its spermatophore successfully (Plate 6).

In this setup, 1 BS male and 5 SM males were stocked with a matured female. When the bigger and smaller sized males were approach the pre-mating female, major behavioral differences were noticed between BS and SM males. BS male spend most of its time resting and grooming themselves, or actively courting and protecting the receptive female while displaying agonistic behaviour towards all other males. The SM males were highly mobile. They were the first to react to the introduction of food and were strongly attracted to receptive females. They usually avoid physical contact with superior BS male by retracting into the water column whenever closely threatened by the BS male. However, there were always few SM males continuously hovering around the female whether the female was alone or protected by the BS male. Occasionally SM males were attempted to sneak under the female and attached its spermatophore to the female’s abdomen. The successful aggressive BS male turned the female and attached their spermatophore (Plate 7).

**General mating behaviour**

The mating behaviour of *M. idellaidella* was divided into four phases in general as given below.

**Contact:** When the male recognizing the pre-mating female, it moved towards the female and started approaches her with his shoulders perked up. With his first antenna stretched forward gently grasps her uropod, pleopods etc, with his first pereiopods (Plate 8).

**Guarding:** After male contacts the newly moulted female, the male guards the female. During this behaviour, the male encircled the female with his second pereiopods in a way that her tail region faces his head region. This was continued for 1.30-3 hours until the shell slightly hardens (Plate 9).

**Mounting:** After shell getting slightly hardens, the male grasps the female and begins to mount the female. In the meantime he begins to search for the sternum of the female using the dactylae of his third and fourth pereiopods on which his spermatophore is to be deposited. The male supports female body with his long second and fifth pereiopods throughout mounting and mating. When he was recognizing her sternum near the bases of her last three pairs of pereiopods he began to turn her upside down using his first, third and fourth pereiopods. After that using third and fourth pereiopods male was started to clean the brood chamber (Plate 10).

**Mating:** Mating took place when the female was turned on her back, so that her ventral side was up. Immediately after this the male strongly bends backwards with a vigorous beating of pleopods. Pressing down from above, he brought his genital pores very close to female ventral thoracic region without touching the sternum. Next moment the spermatophore was ejected and deposited on the ventral median thoracic region of the female. After mating the pair separated and again the male protects the female until the shell hardens completely (Plate 11).

**Reproductive potential of the three male morphotypes**

A comparison between the relative frequencies of successful
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Reproductive potential of SM and MS males in the presence of a blocked BS male was significantly higher than that of the other two morphotypes (Table 1), experiments 1, 2 and 3 clearly shows that the reproductive advantage of BS males over MS and SM males. The 91% of the females were successfully fertilized in the presence of BS males and only 4.3% failed to become berried. In the cases of SM and MS males, successful fertilization was happened in 34.5% and 38% of the females and infertility was recorded for 41% and 40.6% of the females, respectively. The reproductive success of the BS male was significantly higher than that of the other two morphotypes (P < 0.05).

In addition to the high probability of achieving a successful fertilization, BS proved to be better guards of receptive newly moulted females than SM and MS males. Only BS males were observed to protect the females during courtship activity. Moreover, only 1.4 % of females died as a consequence of male aggression whenever BS males were present, whereas females stocked with MS and SM males, had a mortality rate of 19.2 % and 23.1 %, respectively.

Reproductive potential of SM and MS males in the presence of a dominant BS male

Stocking of MS males with a blocked BS male (Table 2) ensures that females were fertilized exclusively by MS males. The frequency of successful fertilizations by MS males differed 38% versus 17.3% when held by themselves or in the presence of a blocked BS male, respectively. Failures of MS males to fertilize were recorded in 75.5% of the cases when a BS male was present, as compared with 40.6% when MS males were kept alone. In short, BS males presence with MS males reduced the chances of fertilization by MS males.

The reproductive potential of SM males, in contrast to that of MS males, was not affected by the presence of a blocked BS male. Thirty-four percent of the females were fertilized when the SM males were held separately or were kept with a BS male, indicating that the chances for a successful mating of SM males, applying the 'sneak copulation' strategy, are independent of the presence of dominant BS males. Although blocked BS males were not achieved fertilization, they were still pursue their normal courting and protecting behaviour, as indicated by the negligible percentage of females which died of physical injuries in their presence (1.9 and 3.2 % in experiments 4 and 6, respectively).

In the experiment, where MS or SM males were kept together with untreated, normal BC males (Table 2, experiment 5 and 7), 98.9 % and 83.2 % of the females were successfully fertilized, indicating that females may readily become berred, under these experimental conditions, depending only on the ability of the male morphotypes present to complete successful mating (Figures 1-4). All reproductive relative behaviour is statistically significant (Table 3).

Discussion

Many scientists worked on alternative mating strategies world over [11-14]. These approaches have focused primarily on behavioural or developmental differences among individuals. Raanan et al. described three male morphs representing successive growth stages in freshwater prawn, M. rosenbergii [15,16]. Kuris et al. demonstrated that developmental path among the male morphs in M. rosenbergii are determined by feeding schedule and social interactions among individuals [17]. These authors suggested that in fact, four morphs are identifiable [18,19] and that dominance hierarchies among the morphs exist, wherein removal of larger individuals induces smaller individuals to grow. However, not all individuals responded equally to this stimulus, as expected if males vary in their responsiveness to social and nutritional cues [17,20]. Other Macrobrachium species also appear to exhibit male polymorphism (M.dayanum, M. idae M. malcolmsonii, M. scabriculum; reviewed in [17]. In the present study M. idellaidella also showed dominance hierarchies among the males as in M. rosenbergii [7,8]. The different male morphotypes were defined on the basis of colour and spination of the chela, behaviour, and growth characteristics [9]. Kuris et al. have summarized operational allometric criteria to recognize the morphotypes and describe the transition between morphotypes [17]. In the present study M. idellaidella were classified mostly based on the size of the chelate, behaviour, and growth characteristics but not the colour of the chelate legs as in M. rosenbergii.

Mating is initiated only after a female experienced the pre-mating moult. Necessity of pre-mating moult in decapods has been described by several workers. Atema et al. suggested that inter-moult females get rejected by the males, because they lack of proper sex pheromone [21]. Antheunisse et al. observed that the female Paalemonetes with ripe ovaries moult into “breeding dress”, which is characterized by the presence of extra setae for egg attachment, enlargement of abdominal brood pouch and development of periodic chromatophores etc. [22]. Following the moult into “breeding dress”, females became attractive and receptive to males. It is inferred that the pre-mating moult is the preparatory step for breeding purposes. In the present study, M. idellaidella males were also preferred per-mating females and rejected inter-moult females. Just like other crustaceans, M. idellaidella females also had ripe ovaries and enlarged brood pouch with extra setae for egg attachment.
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In the present study the bigger (BS) and smaller (SM) sized males may represent two alternative ways of mating while the medium sized (MS) males may be considered an intermediate developmental stage between the two, with a relatively low mating probability as such, in the presence of a BS male. This notion is supported by the fact that both SM and BS males hardly increase in body size while MS males are characterized by a rapid growth rate [9,23], thus, investing relatively little energy, if any, in reproduction during this stage of development. The BS mating strategy may rely on superiority in threatening display towards the other males, while investing a great deal of energy in developing its weaponry (large, massive claws).

Table 2: Reproductive potential of the three male morphotypes when held separately and in combination with female (values are mean of three values ± E).

| Experiments | Stocking combinations | Successful fertilization (%) | Failure of fertilization (%) | Mortality due to inflicted injuries (%) | Natural mortality (%) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1           | 3 BS                  | 91 ± 0.74                   | 4.3 ± 0.20                 | 1.4 ± 0.17                             | 3.2 ± 0.23           |
| 2           | 3 MS                  | 38 ± 0.50                   | 40.6 ± 0.56                | 19.2 ± 0.31                            | 2.0 ± 0.05           |
| 3           | 6 SM                  | 34.5 ± 0.57                 | 41 ± 0.57                  | 23.1 ± 0.35                            | 1.2 ± 0.11           |
| 4           | 1 blocked BS + 2 MS   | 17.3 ± 0.22                 | 75.5 ± 0.48                | 1.9 ± 0.28                             | 5.2 ± 0.17           |
| 5           | 1 BS + 2 MS           | 98.9 ± 0.20                 | 0                         | 1.0 ± 0.11                             | 0                    |
| 6           | 1 blocked BS + 5 SM   | 34.3 ± 0.28                 | 50.1 ± 0.43                | 3.2 ± 0.17                             | 12.3 ± 0.20          |
| 7           | 1 BS + 5 SM           | 83.3 ± 0.22                 | 4.3 ± 0.20                 | 1.5 ± 0.17                             | 11 ± 0.20            |

Figure 1: Successful fertilization of females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean)

Figure 2: Failure of fertilization in females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean)
one-clawed males [24] and female crayfish resisted mating attempts from small males more efficiently than those from larger males [25]. In isopods, females resist approaching males more intensively and successfully in less size-dimorphic populations [26]. In many species of females offer little resistance to males because it may be costly and dangerous, sometimes even leading to the death of the female [15]. Instead of showing overt choices, females may exert their preferences for certain males in subtle, often cryptic, behaviours that are difficult.

**Figure 3:** Mortality due to inflicted injuries of females with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean).

**Figure 3:** Natural mortality of females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean).

| Source of Variation          | SS    | df | MS    | F     | P-value | Sig |
|------------------------------|-------|----|-------|-------|---------|-----|
| Successful fertilization     |       |    |       |       |         |     |
| Between Groups               | 19729.82 | 6  | 3288.303 | 5780.398 | 6.26E-23 | P<.05 |
| Within Groups                | 7.9642 | 14 | 0.568871 |       |         |     |
| Total                        | 19737.78 | 20 |       |       |         |     |
| Failure of fertilization     |       |    |       |       |         |     |
| Between Groups               | 14786.46 | 6  | 2484.41 | 4943.887 | 1.87E-22 | P<.05 |
| Within Groups                | 6.978667 | 14 | 0.498476 |       |         |     |
| Total                        | 14793.44 | 20 |       |       |         |     |
| Mortality due to inflicted injuries |   |    |       |       |         |     |
| Between Groups               | 1636.694 | 6  | 272.7823 | 1541.599 | 6.47E-19 | P<.05 |
| Within Groups                | 2.477267 | 14 | 0.176948 |       |         |     |
| Total                        | 1639.171 | 20 |       |       |         |     |
| Natural mortality            |       |    |       |       |         |     |
| Between Groups               | 423.0257 | 6  | 70.50429 | 893.267 | 2.92E-17 | P<.05 |
| Within Groups                | 1.105  | 14 | 0.078929 |       |         |     |
| Total                        | 424.1307 | 20 |       |       |         |     |

**Table 3:** One-Way ANOVA for the reproduction potential of the three male morphotypes (%) when held separately and in combination with female.
to observe or quantify. It is for these reasons that female preferences are often little known in crustacean species with coercive male mating behaviour. In the three spot *Portunus sanguinolentus* small male invaded the breeding territories of larger male. Since the larger male had bigger chelate which displayed aggressive interaction with small male and chased away and finally placed himself in the cradle carry position. The female crab avoided the small crab and accepted the bigger crab for its aggressive interaction and eventually successful mating was happened [27]. In all of these populations, extreme sexual dimorphism involving large size and elongated chelae in males suggests that competition for mates is intense [28]. Moreover, relatively long lived individuals appear to obtain information and respond appropriately with respect to their future mating opportunities, to nutritional and social cues during development [17]. Populations of the same species appear to vary in the proportions of individuals exhibiting different male morphologies [19,20]. In the present study the bigger sized males dominated over the other medium (MS) and small sized (SM) males and the females preferred BS males than other two sizes because the bigger sized males court and protect the female by his bigger chelate leg from other males. Whereas the courting behaviour is absolutely absent in medium and small sized males.

Courtship display is an important pre-mating behaviour in carideans. [29,30] suggested that courtship reduces aggressive tendencies in the female. Antennal contact by the male with a receptive female appears important in recognizing the sexual receptivity [31]. Hamano et al. suggested that the antennal contact created a mutual understanding between the mating pairs [32]. As in *Palaemonetes pugio* and *P. vulgaris*, the male can recognize a receptive female only after antennal contact with any surface of the female [33]. The recognition mark may be a non-diffusible coating of the integument of the female [34]. However, Ling et al. suggested that the ripe female *M. rosenbergii* secretes a substance which attracts male [35]. Gleeson et al. reported the presence of pheromone in the urine of pre and post-pubertal moulted female blue crabs [36]. *Callinectes sapidus* which is elicits courtship behaviour in mature males. Similarly, males of the small shrimp, *Heptacarpus pulchricauda* respond to matable females from a distance with increased levels of activity such as, walking and swimming [37], the females may emit a water-soluble pheromone which attracts males. The presence of pheromone is not tested in the present study. However, it is clear that antennal contact is unavoidable and such contact elicits an immediate response from the female.

Precopulatory mate guarding is widespread among crustaceans [38,39]. The explanation for this tendency in many species is mounting initiates female receptivity and chemical cues present in female urine or present on females themselves prior to this moult allows males to locate, guard and inseminate females as soon as they become receptive. Mate guarding reduces the ability of females to mate more than once, thus a male who guards a female successfully fertilizes all her ova. If a male unsuccessfully guards his mate, or if he leaves her in search of other females before her receptivity is complete, the males fertilization success with that female will be eroded due to matings by other males. Sperm competition is reported to be an alternative mating strategy in crustaceans [39-41]. The guarding behaviour is an act of protecting one's territory, reduced flexibility to readjust to changing environmental conditions, and the reduced ability to moult and thereby regenerate lost limbs, resulting in a relatively short life span [15]. In the present study the BS males also showed similar behaviour as in BC males of *M. rosenbergii*. The bigger chelate male of *P. sanguinolentus* enjoyed all sexual related activities and ultimately succeeded in mating with females [27].

In many species, small males invade the breeding territories of larger males by avoiding direct competition altogether. Once inside breeding territories, these “sneaker” or “satellite” males surreptitiously mate with receptive females, as occurs in isopods (*Paracerceis scutula*, Shuster, 1992), amphipods (*Micrometopogryllotalpa*, Borowsky 1980; *Jassamarina clara*, Clark, 1997) and in many decapods, *Macrobrachium* spp. [15], sand bubbler crabs, *Scopimeraglobosa* [51], spider crabs, *Libiniaemarginata* [52] and rock shrimp, *Rhynchocinetetypus* [53]. In each of these species, stolen matings appear to yield unconventional males but a tiny fraction of the fertilization success gained by males. That is, satellite males appear to “make the best of a bad job” [13, 54]. In the present study unconventional males took fertilizations away from males whose fertilization success is already disproportionately large; satellite males are more successful at siring offspring than territorial males who secure no mates at all. SM males, on the other hand, were less attractive to females. However, their ability to readjust quickly to changing conditions, together with the ever-present option to shift to the MS rapid growing phase, and its associated chance of becoming a BS male, may balance the lower probability of fertilizing females while being a SM male (only 34.5% under the present experimental conditions).

In the case of *M. rosenbergii*, neither genetic differences nor parental manipulation can account directly for male polymorphism. The former possibility is unlikely since we have proven that a SM male is capable of transforming into an MS male and eventually becoming a dominant BC male when the number of BC males in the population is reduced [8]. In the rock shrimp, *Rhynchocinetetypus*, males exhibit three phenotypes of increasing size that evidently represent increasing states of maturatiion
(typus, intermedius, robustus) [53]. In the present study, the SM male of *M. idellaidella* transforming into MS male and eventually become dominant BS male to enjoy the sexual related activities.

In the present study the males mating strategy in *M. idellaidella* is dependent primarily on the individual's size ranking within the population. A direct relationship between relative size and mating behavior was also described in the cases of *M. rosenbergi* [15], dung flies, *Sepsis cynipsea* [2] and *Scaphagastercoraria* [55]. In the above cases most of the size variation was attributed to environmental causes. Constantz et al. suggested that a highly competitive environment, in which there is a high frequency of large males, may favour small, sneaking males, since territorial males primarily will be occupied with agonistic behaviour that consumes a significant fraction of their time and energy [5]. An evolutionary stable strategy, the relative proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which the fitness of both is equal [56]. The signals by proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which there is a high frequency of large males, may favour small, sneaking males, since territorial males primarily will be occupied with agonistic behaviour that consumes a significant fraction of their time and energy [5]. At an evolutionary stable strategy, the relative proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which the fitness of both is equal [56]. The signals by proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which there is a high frequency of large males, may favour small, sneaking males, since territorial males primarily will be occupied with agonistic behaviour that consumes a significant fraction of their time and energy [5]. At an evolutionary stable strategy, the relative proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which the fitness of both is equal [56]. The signals by proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which there is a high frequency of large males, may favour small, sneaking males, since territorial males primarily will be occupied with agonistic behaviour that consumes a significant fraction of their time and energy [5].
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