Emergence and percolation of rigid domains during the colloidal glass transition
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Using video microscopy, we measure local spatial constraints in disordered binary colloidal samples, ranging from dilute fluids to jammed glasses, and probe their spatial and temporal correlations to local dynamics during the glass transition. We observe the emergence of significant correlations between constraints and local dynamics within the Lindemann criterion, which coincides with the onset of glassy dynamics in supercooled liquids. Rigid domains in fluids are identified based on local constraints and demonstrate a percolation transition near the glass transition, accompanied by the emergence of dynamical heterogeneities. Our results show that spatial constraint instead of the geometry of amorphous structures is the key that connects the complex spatial-temporal correlations in disordered materials.
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A liquid solidifies when sufficiently cooled. Under near-equilibrium conditions, crystals form, with distinctively different structures and mechanical properties than those of the liquid phase. When rapidly quenched, on the other hand, a supercooled liquid undergoes glass transition and becomes an amorphous solid with apparently disordered structures. For the glass transitions, two fundamental questions remain. The first one is “when does a supercooled liquid qualitatively transform into a solid during the glass transition?”. Glasses obviously fit our experiences with solids. Experimentally, however, there is no definitive point of solidification, despite more than 10 orders of magnitude increase in viscosity during the glass transition. The other question is “what structural orders, if any, are associated with the unusual dynamical phenomena and the rise of rigidity during the glass transition?”

Many studies attempt to construct structural parameters based on local geometry to distinguish slow rigid domains from more mobile fluid regions in glasses [1–5], but have yet to find any universal signatures.

In condensed matters, particularly in solids, the role of the structure is to confine the motion of atoms, thus to maintain rigidity. From this point of view, a solid loses its rigidity when the motions of consisting atoms can no longer be adequately constrained. A perfect example is the Lindemann criterion for the melting of crystals, which is found to be accurate in almost all crystalline materials [6,7]. A crystal melts when the vibrational fluctuations of atoms reach the order of one tenth of the lattice constant. The Lindemann criterion is independent of the symmetry of the underlying structures of the solids, thus may be employed to determine the liquid-solid transition in glass-forming materials [8–20]. In metastable structures, the vibrational fluctuations of atoms are primarily determined by local structures, thus the confinement experienced by individual particles can be employed as a structural parameter when the geometry is too intricate to analyze.

In this paper, we employ the local Debye-Waller factor to measure the local constraints in colloidal liquids and glasses, and investigate its correlations to local dynamics during the glass transition. Temporal correlations between particle constraints and local dynamics reveal the emergence of structural relaxation barriers that give rise to finite rigidity in the system as the temperature decreases. A common Lindemann-like length scale is identified by measuring the configurational changes when the system overcomes the relaxation barriers and starts behaving like fluids. The rise of rigidity and the onset of glassy dynamics are both shown to coincide with the percolation of rigid domains identified by the Lindemann-like length scale. Dynamical heterogeneity increases sharply when rigid domains percolate the system, and then decreases when the system becomes overwhelmingly solid. Our results suggest that a Lindemann-like criterion can be applied in amorphous materials to determine the transition between liquid and solid states, and the glass transition is the growth and percolation of rigid domains in supercooled liquids.

The samples consist of binary mixtures of poly-N-isopropylacrylamide (PNIPAM) particles [21,22] hermetically sealed between two cover slips, forming a monolayer of disordered packing. To avoid crystallization, the diameter ratio between large and small particles is chosen to be 1:1.4, with the number ratio close to 1. The PNIPAM particles are thermosensitive which allows the in situ tuning of the packing.
fractions using an objective heater (BiOptechs). PNIPAM spheres are best described as hard spheres with soft shells [21,23]. At high packing fractions, PNIPAM particles are compressible to some extent, allowing observation of dynamical phenomena above the hard sphere jamming transition. The diameters of the particles are measured by dynamical light scattering to be 1 and 1.4 μm at 22 °C. The total number of particles in the field of view is about 3500. To cover a wide range of packing fractions, two groups of samples are separately prepared. The packing fractions are between 0.890 and 0.850 (jammed solids) for the first group, and between 0.56 and 0.84 (unjammed liquids) for the second group. Here we use the two-dimensional jamming packing fraction of hard spheres of 0.85 to indicate that no spontaneous topological rearrangements are observed in samples of higher packing fractions during the time window available to our experiments [24]. Before data acquisition, the samples are equilibrated on a microscope stage for 3 h. The particle configurations are recorded by digital video microscopy on a Leica DMI 6000B microscope with an oil objective with numerical aperture (NA) of 1.40, at frame rates of 30–110 frames/s. The digital resolution of the acquired images is 0.1 μm/pixel, and the particle trajectories are extracted by particle-tracking techniques [25]. Combined optical and tracking error of particle fluctuations is estimated to be less than 0.01 μm by measuring the mean-square displacement (MSD) of fixed particles at different packing fractions.

Spatial constraints felt by individual particles can be measured by either the lowest energy barrier for displacements or positional fluctuations. For jammed samples, the phonon scattering to be 1 and 1° is statistically proportional to the single-particle Debye-Waller factor αi in metastable glasses. At low temperatures, the system can be considered fluctuating around the reference state ⟨r0⟩ which sits in a local minimum of the potential energy landscape. We denote the jth eigenvector as ⟨|ej⟩ with the eigenfrequency ωj. The equation of motion is

$$\delta\mathbf{r}(t) + \Delta \mathbf{r}(t) = 0.$$  

(1)

Here |δr(t)⟩ = |r(t)⟩ − |r0⟩ is the displacement from the reference state. The solution of Eq. (1) can be written as a superposition of the normal modes

$$\delta\mathbf{r}(t) = \sum_j A_j e^{-\omega_j t} |e_j⟩ = \sum_j A_j e^{-\omega_j t} |e_j⟩,$$  

(2)

where $A_j = \langle \bar{e}_j | \delta\mathbf{r}(0) \rangle$ is the amplitude of the projection of initial displacement on mode $j$. The important condition required by thermodynamics at equilibrium is that the energy is equally distributed among all the modes. Therefore, we have for each mode the kinetic energy $m \omega_j^2 A_j^2 / 2 = k_B T / 2$, which leads to $A_j^2 = m k_B T / \hbar \omega_j^2$. The local Debye-Waller factor is calculated

$$\alpha_i(\tau) = \langle [\bar{f}_i(\tau + t_0) - \bar{r}_i(t_0)]^2 \rangle = \langle [\delta\bar{r}_i(\tau + t_0) - \delta\bar{r}_i(t_0)]^2 \rangle = \langle [\delta\bar{r}_i(\tau + t_0)]^2 - 2 \langle \delta\bar{r}_i(t_0) \rangle \cdot \langle \delta\bar{r}_i(\tau + t_0) \rangle + [\langle \delta\bar{r}_i(t_0) \rangle]^2 \rangle.$$  

(3)

Since the normal modes are excited by thermal noise, we substitute Eq. (2) into Eq. (3) and apply the random phase approximation. The second term in Eq. (3) vanishes, which leads to

$$\alpha_i = 2 \sum_j A_j^2 \bar{e}_{ji} \cdot \bar{e}_{ji} = \frac{2k_B T}{m_i} \sum_j \frac{1}{\omega_j^2} |\bar{e}_{ji}|^2.$$  

(4)

Except for the overall proportional coefficient, the right side of Eq. (4) is just the soft-mode parameter $\Psi_i$. The high correlations between soft mode $\Psi$ and Debye-Waller factor $\alpha$ are experimentally demonstrated in jammed colloidal glasses. Figure 1(a) plots Spearman’s rank correlation between $\Psi_i^\alpha$ and $\alpha_i$ as a function of the fraction of the lowest frequency modes $N_f$ included in jammed colloidal glasses. The correlation to local dynamics comes predominantly from the lowest frequency modes, as the bottom 0.5% of modes (~30 for our system) achieve a correlation over 0.8. The inset of Figure 1(a) plots the correlation between $\Psi_i^\alpha$ and $\alpha_i$ at different packing fractions, which shows that in jammed solids, positional fluctuations of individual particles can be well described by a handful of soft modes. Figure 1(b) shows the spatial distribution of cooperatively rearranging regions (CRRs) composed of the top 10% fastest particles (white circles) [34] and $\Psi_i^{\alpha}$ (colored contours). It is clear that regions with higher concentrations of soft modes are spatially correlated with fast local dynamics.

In jammed glasses, soft modes can be accounted for by short-time fluctuations of particle positions. Figure 1(c) plots
the correlation between $\alpha_i(\tau)$ and $\Psi_{\alpha}^{2N}$ as a function of the time window $\tau$ in which $\alpha_i$ is measured. The correlation increases rapidly for small $\tau$ values and reaches approximately 0.8 at $\sim 1$ s, within the $\beta$-relaxation timescale ($\sim 10$ s) defined by the middle of the plateau in the log-log plot of the mean-square displacements [Fig. 1(c), inset] [32]. During this small time window, the positional fluctuations of the particles are significantly greater than the optical, tracking, and drifting time window, the positional fluctuations of the particles are can be adequately explored at relatively short periods of time. Thus short-time particle Debye-Waller factor can be employed as an effective soft-mode parameter in colloidal systems below jamming [35], where direct measurements of spatial distribution of soft modes are difficult.

We now apply $\alpha_i(\tau)$ in unjammed colloidal liquids to measure local mechanical constraints. As particles are diffusive with changing local environments in unjammed fluids, there are significant variations of the fluctuations of the same particle over time. For liquids, we employ $\alpha_i(\tau, t_0) = \langle \vec{r}_i(\tau + t_0) - \vec{r}_i(t_0) \rangle^2$; $\alpha_i(\tau, t_0)$ is no longer averaged over $t_0$, and is a function of both $t_0$ and $\tau$. To identify the relevant timescales over which local structures have the most influence over future dynamics in liquids, we measure the temporal correlations between $\alpha_i(\tau, t_0)$ and local dynamics measured by nonaffine displacement $D_{\alpha}^{2N}(\tau)$ [36,37] after the preceding structures are measured. $D^{2}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{n} \sum_{i} |r_{n+1,i} - r_{n,i} - \delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}| \times (r_{n,i} - r_{0,i})|^2$, where $r_{n,i}$ is the $i$th $(x$ or $y$) component of the position of the $n$th particle at time $t$, and the $\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$ that minimize $D^2$ are calculated based on $r_{n,i}$. $D_{\alpha}^{2N}$ measures the particle level nonaffine strain, i.e., the minimum mean square difference between actual relative displacements of particle to its neighbors and the relative displacements that they would have if they were in a region of uniform strain. The correlation between $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau)$ and $D_{\alpha}^{2N}$ is averaged over trajectories starting from different $t_0$.

Correlations between $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau)$ and $D_{\alpha}^{2N}$ depend on both the window $\tau$ in which structural information is collected, and $\Delta \tau$, the timescale of the dynamics after $\alpha_i$ is measured. Figure 2 plots the correlations between $\alpha_i$ and $D_{\alpha}^{2N}$ averaged from trajectories starting from different $t_0$ as a function of $\tau$ and $\Delta \tau$ in liquids of different packing fractions. The highest correlations are achieved at $\tau_{\text{max}}$, indicated by grey dashed lines. $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is thus the proper timescale to identify structures that have the highest predictability for dynamics in liquids. For observation window shorter than $\tau_{\text{max}}$, insufficient structural information is collected, and for much longer time windows, relevant information will eventually be lost in structural relaxations. In our experiments, $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is found to be in the vicinity of $\beta$-relaxation time $\tau_\beta$, indicated by white dashed lines. The $\beta$-relaxation time and $\alpha$-relaxation time of the samples are extracted by fitting the intermediate scattering function $F(q, t) = \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i\beta_0 x_{j}(t) - x(0)} \rangle / N$ with a two-step stretched exponential function (the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts function) [38,39]. Here $x_{j}(t)$ is the position of particle $i$ at time $t$, $N$ is the number of particles, $q_{\alpha}$ is the scattering vector determined by the first peak in the structural factor, and $\langle \rangle$ indicates an average over 120 evenly distributed directions of $q_{\alpha}$. For liquids with only one-step relaxations, the fitting of the function yields two nearly identical relaxation times.

Figure 3(a) plots the correlation between $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ and $D_{\alpha}^{2N}(\Delta \tau)$ as a function of $\Delta \tau$. At low packing fractions, the correlation between $\alpha_i$ and local dynamics is low, and it decays almost immediately after the $\alpha_i$ is measured. This short memory in dynamics reflects a nearly flat potential energy landscape where structural relaxations are facilitated by free diffusion and collisions between particles. The energy landscape becomes more rugged as the packing fraction increases, and an activation mechanism begins to emerge [40]. At higher packing fractions, the correlation between $\alpha_i$ and $D_{\alpha}^{2N}$ first increases with $\Delta \tau$ then decreases after reaching a peak value at $\Delta \tau_{\text{act}}$. This delayed correlation peak between local constraints and structural relaxations signifies the emergence of rearranging barriers, hence finite rigidity of the system, with $\Delta \tau_{\text{act}}$ being the average time required for thermal fluctuations to overcome the barriers for structural relaxations. When the packing fraction is further increased, the energy barrier also increases, with higher peak correlation values. Figure 3(a) is obtained using the tracking algorithm developed by Crocker and Grier [25]. We also applied three other published tracking algorithms by van der Wel and Kraft, Lu et al., and Gao and Kilfoil respectively [41–43], and obtained nearly identical correlation curves from the microscopy data.
FIG. 2. Structure-dynamics correlation $C_{\alpha_i}(t_0, \tau, D_{\text{min}}^2(\Delta \tau))$ averaged from trajectories starting from different $t_0$ as a function of $\Delta \tau$ and $\tau$ in liquids. The packing fractions are (a) 0.84, (b) 0.79, (c) 0.74, (d) 0.69, (e) 0.63, and (f) 0.56. The white dashed line indicates the $\beta$-relaxation time $\tau_{\beta}$ and the grey dashed line indicates the $\tau_{\text{max}}$ for each packing fraction. The rise of the relaxation barriers coincides with the separation of $\alpha$- and $\beta$-relaxation timescales in liquids [44].

The rise of the relaxation barriers coincides with the separation of $\alpha$- and $\beta$-relaxation timescales in liquids [44]. Figure 3(b) plots the measured $\tau_{\alpha}$, $\tau_{\beta}$, and $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ in unjammed colloidal samples. The increase of the relaxation time is modest near the jamming point compared to standard hard-sphere systems [45,46], due to the softness of PNIPAM spheres. Below $\phi = 0.69$, $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ is short, and the $\tau_{\alpha}$ and $\tau_{\beta}$ are close. Without obvious peaks, $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ is chosen to be the point where the correlation between $\alpha_i$ and $D_{\text{min}}^2$ starts to decay, as indicated by vertical arrows in Fig. 3(a). Between the packing fraction of 0.63 and 0.69, the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-relaxation times begin to separate, indicating the onset of glassy dynamics; and a pronounced correlation peak appears for $\phi = 0.69$. $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ becomes significantly larger than $\tau_{\beta}$ when the packing fraction is further increased. As the $\alpha_i$ is measured on the timescale of $\tau_{\text{max}}$ (close to $\tau_{\beta}$), a $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ greater than $\tau_{\beta}$ allows the prediction of long-time dynamics with short-time structural information.

Temporally, local dynamics in liquids begin to decouple from earlier structures after $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$. An interesting question is whether the average positional fluctuations of the particles reach a common length scale when the system begins to behave like a fluid, as in the case of the melting of crystals. In Figure 3(c), we replot the $C_{\alpha_i-D_{\text{min}}^2}$ as a function of system MSDs. For all the packing fractions, the correlation begins to decay around 17% of the averaged particle diameter $d$ indicated by the dashed line, close to the Lindemann criterion for the melting of crystals [6], despite orders of magnitude differences in relaxation timescales between these liquid samples. We can thus define $L = 0.17d$ as the equivalent melting criterion for glasses, and generalize the Lindemann criterion from the melting of crystals to the transition between solid and fluid phases in amorphous materials [7–20] where the dichotomy between solid and fluid phases has been ambiguous. For a given time window, structures that evolve less than the

FIG. 3. Structure-dynamics correlation during the glass transition. (a) Spearman’s rank correlation between $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ and $D_{\text{min}}^2(\Delta \tau)$ as a function of $\Delta \tau$. The correlations are averaged from trajectories starting from different $t_0$. The vertical arrows indicate the $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$ when the correlations start to decay. Inset: the time sequence for measuring $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau)$ and $D_{\text{min}}^2(\Delta \tau)$. (b) $\phi$ dependence of the activation time $\Delta t_{\text{act}}$, and the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ relaxation time. The dashed line indicates the onset of glassy dynamics. (c) Structure-dynamic correlation $C_{\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})-D_{\text{min}}^2(\Delta \tau)}$ as a function of $\tau_{\alpha}$ normalized by the corresponding mean diameter at each packing fraction. Vertical dotted line indicates the Lindemann criterion. The noise level is about 0.02.
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**FIG. 4.** Structure evolution during the glass transition. (a)–(f) Spatial distribution of $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ at different packing fractions, binarized by the Lindemann criterion. Red colors are fluid regions with $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ larger than the Lindemann criterion; blue colors are rigid regions with $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ below the Lindemann criterion. (g) Average $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ and the percolation of rigid regions during glass transition. Left axis: average $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ normalized by Lindemann criterion, as a function of $\phi$. The dashed line indicates the onset of glassy dynamics shown in Fig. 3(b). The black squares (data 1) are measured from the same dataset as in Figs. 1 and 2. To extend the range of the plot, we include measurements from an additional dataset (data 2, red circles). Error bars represent standard deviations. Right axis: the probability of rigid regions percolating the field of view (blue triangles). The probability is calculated as the fraction of the configurations with rigid regions percolating the field of view in all measured configurations. (h) Peak value of dynamical susceptibility $\chi^*_4$ as a function of $\phi$.

$L$ are considered solid-like or rigid, while structures evolving more than the $L$ are considered fluid-like.

Before applying the Lindemann-like criterion locally to identify rigid or fluidic domains, a proper observation time window needs to be determined. In the original Lindemann theory for crystals, the vibrational fluctuations of atoms around equilibrium positions are considered. For glasses, atoms can be considered primarily vibrating in cages on the $\beta$-relaxation timescale. However, instead of arbitrarily imposing the $\beta$-relaxation time, we employ $\tau_{\text{max}}$, which naturally emerges as the timescale most pertinent to future dynamics from intercorrelation measurement, as the observation window for the identification of rigid regions. As shown in Fig. 2, $\tau_{\text{max}}$ at different packing fractions are very close to the measured $\beta$-relaxation times.

Using the time window of $\tau_{\text{max}}$, we identify solid-like domains in unjammed samples whose $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ are below the Lindemann criterion, and fluid regions with higher $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ during the glass transition. Figures 4(a)–4(f) plot the snapshots of the spatial distribution of $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ at different packing fractions, binarized by the Lindemann criterion. Bond percolation based on the particle positions is used after we cluster rigid particles from the nearest neighbors which are determined from the first minimum of the radial distribution function. At low packing fractions, the system is mostly fluid-like (red color) with small pockets of solid-like regions (blue color). The rigid regions grow with the packing fraction and begin to percolate the system around $\phi = 0.69$ until complete solidification near the jamming point.

Key features of the percolation phase transition are recovered by analyzing the distributions of the size and shape of the solid-like clusters, which suggests that the glass transition can be described by classical percolation phase transitions. Figure 5(a) plots the distribution of cluster size of the rigid domains before percolation. The distributions can be well fitted by a power-law function with an exponential cutoff. The power-law exponent is 0.7, independent of packing fraction. The probability to observe large clusters is higher than randomly generated clusters, as plotted in Fig. 5(b), which suggests that particles in rigid domains are spatially correlated. Figure 5(c) plots the cluster size and radius of gyration of rigid clusters, which show a universal fractal dimension of 1.78 for all packing fractions before percolation. Both the maximum and mean cluster sizes diverge when approaching a critical packing fraction $\phi_c = 0.69$, as plotted in Fig. 5(d), the fitted power-law exponent is $-3.58$ for both characteristic sizes. Thus, the evolution of rigid domains in two-dimensional colloidal glasses shows the hallmarks of a continuous phase transition, with striking similarities to the observations in solid-liquid transition of three-dimensional colloidal crystals [47]. The percolation probability of rigid regions and the averaged $\alpha_i(t_0, \tau_{\text{max}})$ of the system shows a sharp transition around $\phi = 0.69$, as plotted in Fig. 4(g).
FIG. 5. Distribution of the size and shape of rigid clusters approaching the percolation. (a) Cluster size distributions \( P(n) \) of rigid clusters before percolation; drawn lines are fits to a power-law distribution with exponential cutoff. (b) Comparison of experimental \( P(n) \) for \( \phi = 0.63 \) (red circles) and a distribution for a randomly generated distribution with the same number of rigid particles (black squares). (c) Cluster size \( n \) as a function of radius of gyration \( R_g \) normalized by average particle diameter \( d \) at \( \phi \) from 0.45 to 0.63; drawn line indicates a fractal dimension of 1.78. (d) Characteristic cluster size \( \langle n \rangle \) taken as the time-averaged size of the largest cluster (circles) or the time- and ensemble-averaged size of all clusters (square) as a function of packing fraction; lines indicates a power-law fit to \( \langle n \rangle \propto |(\phi - \phi_c)/\phi_c|^{-3.58} \).

The growth and percolation of the rigid regions in cooling liquids provide a microscopic origin for the onset of glassy dynamics shown in Fig. 3(a) and the dynamical heterogeneity. At low packing fractions, isolated rigid structures are created and relaxed by a one-step fluctuation-relaxation process. The size and the fraction of rigid regions both increase as the samples are further cooled. At a critical packing fraction (\( \phi = 0.69 \) in our experiments), the rigid regions become connected and percolate the system [48,49]. Before the percolation, isolated rigid domains exist in the liquid. However, unconnected rigid clusters cannot render the whole system rigid, as they are simply floating in a continuous phase of flowing liquid. Only after the percolation, the ability of the spanning network of rigid domains to resist small stresses gives rise to finite rigidity of the whole system. For the relaxation dynamics, before percolation, the rigid domains are formed and relaxed locally through fluctuations in the liquid, with a single relaxation time. After the percolation, while the liquid relaxation process remains in the liquid phase, the relaxation of the system-wide rigid network is much harder than that of isolated rigid clusters, which results in a much longer relaxation time, namely, the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time. The percolating rigid network also impedes long-distance diffusions of particles. Under spatial confinement, particles are forced to rearrange locally through cooperative motions, or \( \beta \) relaxation [34,50,51]. The decoupling of relaxation times signals the transition from a local relaxation process to a correlated relaxation process [52,53]. Dynamical heterogeneity naturally emerges from the competition between these two different relaxation mechanisms [54]. The peak of the dynamical susceptibility \( \chi^*_c \) first increases around \( \phi = 0.69 \) and then decreases near the jamming point (\( \phi_j \sim 0.85 \)) when the whole system becomes homogeneously rigid [55], as plotted in Fig. 4(h) [56,57].

In summary, by measuring the local constraints in colloidal liquids and glasses, we directly observe the emergence and growth of structure-dynamics correlations in supercooled liquids, which depend on a Lindemann-like length scale in configurational changes. The glass transition is then shown to be the growth and percolation of the rigid regions in supercooled liquids, which can be employed to explain the slowing down and the dynamical heterogeneity [54,58]. Although our results are obtained from a quasi-two-dimensional hard-sphere colloidal system, the method to identify solid-like regions in fluids can be easily generalized to other glassy systems. Following the melting analogy, the rigid clusters in the glass transition are similar to crystalline nuclei during crystallization. These clusters are also natural candidates for low-entropy droplets in random first-order transition theories for their slower dynamics [9]. We thus speculate the percolation of rigid domains during the glass transition can also be observed in three-dimensional glasses [46,59–62] or in systems with different interactions, while the specific path
leading to the percolation or the evolution of the connected rigid network after it may be different, which will be an interesting topic for future simulation or experimental studies. Our results are strong evidence that local constraints are a useful parameter to connect structure to dynamics in glassy systems compared to purely geometric or topological metrics. A direct link between conventional geometric structures and glassy dynamics may be established by searching for local and nonlocal configurations that contribute the most to local constraints in glassy materials [63].
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