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Introduction

Among Brian O’Nolan’s three early novels, *At Swim-Two-Birds* (1939), *The Third Policeman* (written 1939-40, published 1967) and *An Béal Bocht* (written 1940, published December 1941 and translated as the *The Poor Mouth* in 1973), it is this last, a comic response to swathes of the Irish-language literary tradition but most obviously a pastiche of Tomás Ó Criotdháin’s autobiographical work, *An tOileánach* (*The Islander*, 1929), that seems most closely associated with the “Cruiskeen Lawn” column which O’Nolan had started writing under the pen name Myles na gCopaleen (“Myles of the Little Horses”) for the *Irish Times* as an Irish-language feature in October 1940. *An Béal Bocht* is brought to readers by an “editor” also named Myles na gCopaleen and its fictional zone of “Corca Dorcha” re-emerges in the pages of the *Irish Times* in a series of vignettes published during 1941-42 entitled “Tales from Corkadorky”.1 Like the novel’s Bónapart Ó Cúnasa, the characters in these tales are rained-upon, hungry and subject to the depredations of Gaelic literary clichés. This essay builds on the short existing critical remarks about this series of columns by exploring, in unprecedented detail, Breandán Ó Conaire’s suggestion that the “Tales from Corkadorky” are modelled on the *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* (*Munterloney Folktales*) collected by Professor Éamonn Ó Tuathail and published in 1933. After summarising existing criticism, the essay presents the wider context of folklore collection for O’Nolan’s work in Irish, the background linking him to Ó Tuathail’s *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh*, and proceeds to conduct a comparative reading of O’Nolan’s use of dialect features and themes from this source material in “The Tales from Corkadorky”. Facilitated by its analysis of the first instance of one of the tales, the essay traces the origin and development of the tale format as it interacts with other recurring elements in “Cruiskeen Lawn”. In conclusion, the essay draws on Vito Carrassi’s reading of O’Nolan in the light of folklore theory to argue that, by incorporating language and themes from Ó Tuathail’s book into its developing set of varied sketches, the column injects new life and new interpretive possibilities into the stories recorded by Ó Tuathail in Munterloney.

Ó Conaire on the “Tales from Corkadorky”

In a brief note which forms part of his catalogue of the numerous subgenres which appear in *Cruiskeen Lawn*, Ó Conaire writes that the examples of “scigscéalta” (“folktales”) in *Cruiskeen Lawn* which are mostly given the title of “Tales from Corkadorky” are “bunaithe ar shaothar Éamoinn Ui Thuathail, *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh*” (“modelled on a work of Éamonn Ui Thuathail, *Munterloney Folktales*”; Ó Conaire, *Myles*, 64). Ó Conaire lists each example of the “scigscéalta” (“folktales”) he says *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* inspired, including all those with the title of “Tales from Corkadorky” in the column but also several others (Ó Conaire, *Myles*, 64, 263). Later, in a book review, Ó Conaire describes the tales as a “series of very funny vignettes” and records in a footnote that some of them “were later reprinted by his brother Ciarán Ó Nualláin in *Inniu*, 19, 26 Aibreáin 1968” (197). This essay will explore Ó Conaire’s suggestion in more detail. Its starting point is the table below which expands on Ó Conaire’s list by adding the following information to each column he includes: the other subtitled sections that appear in the same column; notation about their use of Gaelic or Roman script; and some notes about the characters who appear in the main “tale” and its surrounding
material. Although by no means exhaustive, the table provides a map of the material as a reference point for the rest of this essay’s discussion.

Table 1
Notes on sections and characters in Columns noted by Ó Conaire as including “scigscéalta”:

| Date       | Sections (main script used /secondary script used) [Notes on content] | Synopsis and further notes |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 08/02/1941 | • Untitled section in English (Roman)                                 | Myles introduces the folktale material as a story he has collected from an elder in Connamara, referring to the “Institút Béaloideasa” In the story he relates, the devil traps a character named Niall Dubh in his bag by telling him there are pennies inside when they meet on the road and “there is never heard a story about him again”. |
|            | • Béal–IDIOCY (‘Folk–LUNACY’) (Gaelic)                                |                                                                          |
|            | • SEADH, AN SCÉAL (‘Ah, yes, the story’) (Gaelic)                     |                                                                          |
|            | • DEIREADH AN SCÉIL (‘End of the story’). (Gaelic/Roman)              |                                                                          |
|            | • AG FÉACHAINT SIAR (‘Looking Back’) (Roman)                         |                                                                          |
| 15/02/1941 | • Untitled section (Gaelic)                                           | Now entitled “Treasures of Old”, perhaps his first idea for naming the series, Myles tells a story involving Patsie, Tom, Drummer, Soogroos and Téig and prints a picture he says is the director of the Folklore Institute, clutching a bag of old language. There is also an early example of a “Keats and Chapman” story in English (see Best of Myles (180-200). |
|            | • SEODA ÁR SEAN (‘Treasures of Old’); (Gaelic/Roman)                  |                                                                          |
|            | • SEÁN CÉITINN (‘John Keating’); (Roman)                              |                                                                          |
|            | • AG FÉACHAINT SIAR (“Looking Back”) (Gaelic)                         |                                                                          |
| 18/02/1941 | • Untitled section with verses (Gaelic)                               | The folktale section, now entitled “Tales from Corkadorky”, tells a story about “Teig na Gorta” and his unwilling marriage to an old woman. |
|            | • TALES FROM CORKADORKY – I (Roman)                                  |                                                                          |
|            | • AM AGUS AIMSEAR (‘Time and the Times’) (Gaelic)                     |                                                                          |
| 01/03/1941 | • Untitled section (Gaelic)                                           | The second tale to be titled as such introduces [Éamon an Chnoic],b who is so cold he asks a “Police Sarjint” for the way to hell and trades places with the devil. Referring to a medieval Irish poem, the “An Baile S’Againne” section claims that a reprinted engraving depicts |
|            | • TALES FROM CORKADORKY – II (Roman)                                 |                                                                          |
|            | • AN BAILE S’AGAINNE (‘Our Town’) (Gaelic/Roman)                      |                                                                          |
| Date       | Sections                                                                 | Notes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 22/03/1941 | Untitled section (Gaelic), CORKADORKY NATIONAL SCHOOL (Roman), SEÁN CÉITINN (John Keating) (Roman), FO–NOTA (‘Footnotes’), TALES FROM CORKADORKY – III (Roman), FEARGUS Ó CÓIPÍN (Gaelic/Roman) | This column introduces a new idea for a sketch that also refers to themes from *An Béal Bocht*, the “Corkadorky National School”, in which Téig urges a “Colleen Ogue” (“Young Girl”) to attend school and learn English and the girl rejects it as not a language but “glug-glug” for poor people in Dublin. There is another Keats and Chapman sketch told in English. The tale concerns a character named “Brian” who lives in a cold house without a door or glass in the windows. |
| 08/04/1941 | Untitled section (Gaelic/Roman), TALES FROM CORKADORKY – IV (Roman), HOME HINTS (Gaelic) [with illustrating image] | The fourth named tale introduces “Síomus ’ac Réics” (“Jack”), a likely reference to Sgéalta Mhuintrí Luinigh. The “Home Hints” section, including an image, offers guidance on how to tie your boots.                                                                                                                                                           |
| 05/07/1941 | Untitled section (Gaelic), TALES FROM CORKADORKY – V (Roman/Gaelic), CÉ HÉ FÉIN? (‘Who is that?’) (in English), SCHOLARLY FOOTNOTE [a Keats & Chapman in English], AGUISÍN (‘Addendum’) (Keats & Chapman in English with image) | The fifth named tale also mentions “Síomus ’ac Réics”, this time as one of three sons of a great king of the area. There is another long journey, another encounter with the devil and the “senseless rambling story” proceeds until, as noted in Taaffe (101-102), Slánabhaile intervenes to protest: “why are you putting a story in the paper that has no sense in it and which you don’t understand yourself?” (translated in Taaffe 102). |
| 28/08/1941 | Untitled section (Gaelic/Roman), TALES FROM CORKADORKY – VIII (Roman), DECODE YOUR EGGS AT HOME. (Gaelic) [with English sentence and image at the end] | The next tale is titled as the eighth, even though the sixth has not appeared and the seventh will appear two days afterwards. It begins with Slánabhaile, who interrupts to demand that the putative subject of the tale, “Brian ’ac Randó”, is given a more suitable name. Like that of the  |
Sources: *Irish Times* archive and footnote 56 in Ó Conaire, *Myles*, 263, where he notes “‘Tales from Corkadorky’ an teidal a chuireadh sé ar fhormhór na scéalta seo” (“The title ‘Tales from Corkadorky’ is given to most of these stories”).

a An unusual, archaic spelling of “aimsir” (weather, time or season), listed in *Léamh: Learn Early Modern Irish*, University of Connecticut, Web. 8 Feb. 2021. <https://xn--lamh-bpa.org/glossary/aimsear/>.

b Printed “Eamon a’ Chruic”, which is probably a misprint of “Éamon an Chnoic” (“Ned of the Hill”), the title of a traditional Irish song.

c Siomus is the name of the title character in “Siomus Ó Luinín”, one of the *Sgéalta Mhuinntir Luinigh* (2279–2409) stories, where Siomus appears many times.

d The fada on Ó is omitted in the printed column, which is probably a misprint.

The table reveals an evolution, over the course of eleven columns published between February 1941 and January 1942, of the distinctive style of the “Tales from Corkadorky” series, even though that title is not actually used until the third tale, dated 18 February 1941. Having set out the material, this essay now addresses critical remarks about the tales made by Keith Donohue and Carol Taaffe (noting, with respect to Taaffe’s account, Aisling Ní Churraighín’s...
separate but connected discussion of O’Nolan and the practice of folklore collection) before turning to its comparative analysis of the tales in the context of the Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh, the Tyrone dialect of Irish they record, and their relationship to other elements in the column.

O’Nolan and folklore collection

The Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh context identified in Ó Conaire’s note is not mentioned in the remarks by the two other critics who have discussed these sketches, Donohue and Taaffe. Donohue provides a short, descriptive account of the tales as “usually short dramatic anecdotes [which] featured a number of different characters […] from a fictitious Irish village and are mainly illustrative of the pervasive effects of English on Irish” (69). Donohue translates part of the tale published on 28 August 1941, in which the English words for “brothers”, “money”, “murders” and “country” are highlighted in italics, and proposes that the chronology of the publication of the tales links them to An Béal Bocht (69). Carol Taaffe’s account also links the tales to An Béal Bocht: the “Tales from Corkadorky […] celebrated the true misery, stupidity and cupidity of the Gaels. […] None of the inhabitants of Corca Dorch was any more fortunate than An Béal Bocht’s Bónapart Ó Cúnasa”. This essay develops Donohue’s and Taaffe’s remarks on this association by showing how the tales are not originally intended to be connected to An Béal Bocht, but rather that O’Nolan deliberately associates them with his upcoming novel from the third tale onwards. Taaffe’s discussion draws attention to the key role of Slánabhaile as an interlocutor who interrupts the narrative. Slánabhaile is a common Irish expression meaning “safe home” or “get home safely” and the character of Slánabhaile is the Irish-language precursor to the column’s “Plain People of Ireland” (as noted in Donohue 90). Taaffe explains that Slánabhaile is a “pedant who corrected Myles’s expressions in pidgin Irish” (98) and who speaks in Irish represented by English phonetics. She gives an example from 5 July 1941 which begins with “a senseless, rambling story; an authentic piece of folklore from one of its elderly inhabitants. Many folktale ingredients are jumbled together – an ancient king's three sons, a journey to America, a bargain with the devil, and so on” (Taaffe 101). At this point Slánabhaile interrupts, and Taaffe translates his “impatient” interjection:

… neel bun naw bawr lesh an skayl. Nee higim kad taw ar school sa skayl i naykur … kunahayv an gkirun tú skayl sa pawpeyr naw fwil ayn bree lesh awgus naw tigin tú fayn

… there's no top nor bottom to the story. I don't understand what's happening in it at all… why are you putting a story in the paper that has no sense in it and which you don't understand yourself. (Taaffe 102)

Myles responds that his “excuse is that he might get a folklore prize from the Oireachtas” and Taaffe’s account also identifies that the key context for the tales is “the drive to collect folklore” and its “institutional sanction” in Ireland during the 1930s (Taaffe 101). She notes that the name of the Folklore Society of Ireland’s journal, Béaloideas (meaning the oral tradition or folklore), is “mutated into ‘Béal-IDIOCY’ in Cruiskeen Lawn” (101), a section title which occurs in the first column identified by Ó Conaire as a part of the sequence.

Although she does not discuss the “Tales from Corkadorky”, Aisling Ní Churraighín provides a detailed account of O’Nolan’s engagement with the history of folklore collection which is also relevant to this analysis. Ní Churraighín focuses her discussion on the episode of chapter three in An Béal Bocht in which a “fear uasal” (“noble gentleman”) from Dublin arrives to record Irish spoken in Corca Dorch using a “gramafón” and subsequently presents the recording of a pig grunting he captures to great acclaim at a conference of Celticists in Berlin.
Ni Churraighín explores the ethical problems involved in the practice of collectors who, in pursuit of the riches of a pure Irish, seek out poverty, illness and illiteracy in their subjects. Ni Churraighín (25) notes that O’Nolan, as “Count O’Blather”, had taken aim at folklore collectors earlier in the short-lived comic magazine Blather, with an October 1934 article entitled “Ceist na Gaedhilge / Béal Idiots / Sean-Scéal ó Shean-Lad” (“The question of the Irish language / Oral idiots / An old story from an old lad”), “in which the collectors bind and bat an old man to force him to provide them with a parodic bogus folk tale” (O’Leary 117). As Ni Churraighín puts it: “Tógann Brian Ó Nualláin ról an eitneagrafaí air féin agus béim á leagan aige ar an teannas idir na bailitheoirí agus an scéalaí” (“Brian Ó Nualláin takes on the role of ethnographer, emphasizing the tension between collectors and the storyteller”, Ni Churraighín 29).

**Munterloney Folktales**

Published in the spring of 1933, *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* is an academic study representing years of patient effort by Professor Ó Tuathail, a member of the Gaelic League who became Professor of Modern Irish at Trinity College in 1929 and specialised in “Ulster eighteenth-century poetry, dialects, placenames and folklore” (Ó Tuathail 103). Ó Tuathail visited the area of “the parishes of Upper and Lower Badoney – the territory running north to south along the western escarpment of the lofty spine of the Sperrin Mountains” in County Tyrone which is known as *Muintir Luinigh* or Munterloney, six times between 1929 and 1932, using an early dictaphone to record oral accounts on wax cylinders, consulting newspapers and manuscripts and “supplementing his own offerings with the fruits of other collectors’ labours in the field” (Ó Tuathail 56, 104, 73-81). In this respect, he may partially inspire the “fear uasal” (“noble gentleman”) in chapter three of *An Béal Bocht* who records the voice of a pig in Corkadorky using a “gramafón” and presents his findings in Berlin (O’Brien, *An Béal Bocht*, 35-7; *Collected Novels*, 431-3). To collect his material Ó Tuathail largely relied on conversations with Eoin Ó Cianáin, a local fluent in spoken Irish but who could only read and write in English. The book, now available in a dual-language edition, contains a description of the Tyrone dialect and the records of more than fifty folktales along with songs and proverbs, comprising “the first and only comprehensive collection in book form of Tyrone folklore in the Irish language” described by Gerard Murphy in a 1933 review for the *Béaloideas* journal as “a faithful record of the spoken Irish of Tyrone” and “a collection representative of what remains of Gaelic tradition in Munterloney” (“Foreword” by Séamas Ó Catháin in Ó Tuathail 44-60, citing Murphy 100-2).

The publication of the book was rushed to comply with a mandate to focus on publishing as much material in Irish as possible “laid down by Ernest Blythe” (Ó Tuathail 178), the Cumann na Gaedheal Minister of Finance between 1923-1932 who took an active interest in managing Irish cultural life. He founded *An Gúm*, the national Irish-language press, endowed the Abbey Theatre with a yearly subsidy of £1,000 and became its managing director from 1941–67 (Foster 500). Blythe had already cut the Irish Folklore Institute’s original funding of £500 a year to £300 in dissatisfaction at its performance in this respect; however, when the book was rushed out in response, it “drew a tart response from the Institute’s paymasters who wrote to convey their displeasure that, contrary to expectations, the book contained so much material in English”, presumably referring to its preface, phonology and footnotes (Ó Tuathail 178). This bungling controversy may have drawn O’Nolan’s satirical eye to the collection. He would also have been interested in any collection of the Irish spoken in County Tyrone. His father had “perfected his own Irish in Cloch Cheannaola and on Tory Island” in neighbouring County Donegal, according to O’Nolan’s brother Ciarán (Ciarán Ó Nualláin 9). Brian and his siblings spent their early childhood in Strabane in County Tyrone,
and Ciarán remembers “listening to the talk of the town” where people came in “from Castle Derg, from Gurteen, from the Sperrins and Dunmanway, Bridgetown and Castlefin. It must be remembered that the cursed border did not exist in those halcyon days” (Ciarán Ó Nualláin 32-3). Ó Conaire describes O’Nolan’s background as a “family environment in which education, literature, the Irish language, culture, and learning held significant importance” and this family had roots in Tyrone (Ó Conaire, “Scholarly Background” 5). O’Nolan’s paternal grandfather, Daniel Nolan, taught music in Omagh before transferring to Belfast; his paternal grandmother Jane, “also known as Sinéad”, was “from Eskeredooey” in Tyrone and spoke Irish (Ó Conaire, “Scholarly Background” 5). An uncle who died at a young age, Padraig, was a member of the Gaelic League and “regularly participated at feisanna, performing recitations” (Ó Conaire, “Scholarly Background” 5-6). His uncle Gearóid, a scholar of multiple languages including Irish, was, like his father Micheál, educated in Coill an Chlochar, Tyrone (Ó Conaire, “Scholarly Background” 6). His mother, Agnes, was also from a family local to Strabane (Ó Conaire, “Scholarly Background” 20). O’Nolan’s family roots in County Tyrone help explain the interest that led him, as this essay argues, to pay attention to the record of some of the last surviving oral folktales spoken in Tyrone Irish, and to pay homage to it in “Cruiskeen Lawn”.

Comparative analysis

After outlining some general similarities between the texts in terms of language and phonology, the section conducts a comparative reading of Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh and the content of the first tale to be published in “Cruiskeen Lawn” on 8 February 1941. In doing so, the section seeks to demonstrate beyond doubt that Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh, and the Tyrone dialect features it records, represent the primary contextual frame for O’Nolan’s “Tales from Corkadorky”, which he subsequently associates with An Béal Bocht. It is immediately obvious that O’Nolan’s tales adhere to a formulaic folktale opening which, although not limited to tales found in Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh, is a particularly common opening to the stories told by Eoin Ó Cianáin. In nearly every one of the collected stories the opening runs as follows:

Bhí fear insa tír seo i bhfad ó shoin, thíos air a'[PLACE], a dtóirfí [NAME] air.

There was a man in this country long ago, down near [PLACE], by the name of [NAME].

Each of the “Tales from Corkadorky” is also signposted by its use of this formulaic statement. Table 2 shows the formula used of the first four of the tales as listed in Table 1. On the left the words printed in Gaelic script (cló Gaelach) have been reproduced here in italics and words appearing in Roman script (cló Rómhánach) in non-itals.

| Date       | Excerpt                                                                 |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 08/02/1941 | Well, bhí fear ar a mbaile ar a dtug–taoi Niall Dubh agus by Dad duine mór éascamh ládir abhi ann. |
| 15/02/1941 | Bhí fear ionn ar a’ bhaile se’ darb ainm Téig agus d’irigh se lá ‘mhain agus fuaidh air shiul [...] |

| Date       | Translation                                                                 |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 08/02/1941 | Well, there was a man from the town named Niall Dubh and by Dad here was a big swift strong man |
| 15/02/1941 | There was a man here of the town named Téig and he got up one day and went walking... |
There was a man in this country long ago named Téig and he was living on his own in a small house.

There was a man living up here in the Baile Bocht called [Éamon an Chnoic].

Source: “Cruiskeen Lawn” in Irish Times archive, cited by date.

- The correct expression is “ó shoin” but this version may be an intentional as a part of O’Nolan’s rendering of contractions in the Tyrone dialect.
- Printed “Eamon a’ Chruic”, which is probably a misprint of “Éamon an Chnoic” (“Ned of the Hill”), the title of a traditional Irish song.

This similarity alone would not be enough to demonstrate that O’Nolan’s tales are a direct response to the Munterloney tales (it would have been possible for him to borrow such a formula from elsewhere and then choose to repeat it, for example). To make the case firmer, Table 4 below takes a number of the “particular” Tyrone dialect features identified by Ó Tuathail (368) and identifies their corresponding examples in the “Tales from Corkadorky”:

| Tyrone dialect example | Example occurrences in “Tales from Corkadorky” |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 2. Vowel-lengthening: “é > í” (380) | “Siomus” appears in tales published on 8/4/41, 5/7/41, 30/08/41, 3/10/41 and 22/1/42 |
| féin > hín | “Má tá hín” (15/2/41); “bhi se ina chónuí leis hín (18/2/41); “Ni hheidim hín e” (22/3/41) |
| éirigh > írigh | “d’írigheadh se” (18/2/41); “d’írí se lá ‘mháin” (5/7/41) |
| Mac > ‘ac | Mac > ‘ac occurs in tales on 22/3/41, 5/7/41 and 28/8/41 |
| “dul > tul” | instíuíd > institúit occurs in tale published on 8/2/41 |
| “gach” (‘each’, ‘every’) > cach | “Cach a’n lá” (7/5/41) |
| tháinig > tháinic | “Theinic se” (18/2/41) |

Sources: Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh, numbered as per Ó Tuathail’s categories of phonological characteristics, cited by location; “Cruiskeen Lawn” in Irish Times archive, cited by date.

- “Theinic” is likely a misprint of “tháinic”, Tyrone dialect for “tháinig” (‘came’)

As well as using these phonological features of the Tyrone dialect as described by Ó Tuathail, O’Nolan’s tales also mimic the frequent use of specific expressions or words used throughout Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh which are, in turn, repeated in almost every one of his own tales, such as “ó shoin” (“long ago”) and “ionn”, a vowel-lengthened version of “ann” (“there”). The case for influence is made even stronger by a thematic analysis of the first tale, published on 8 February 1941, in which the “Tales from Corkadorky” format first evolves of a section titled “Béal-IDIOCY”. An analysis of this opening reveals how the idea for what will become named...
as the “Tales from Corkadorky” undoubtedly emerges from Myles engaging with the Folklore Institute and the stories he finds in one of its publications, Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh.

The column begins with Myles announcing that “My attention has been drawn to remarks made by Senator Desmond Fitzgerald” in a debate on the “Institute on Advanced Studies Bill, 1939”. Fitzgerald recounts a conversation with “a supreme master of philology generally and a supreme master of ancient Irish” who is nevertheless unable to conduct a conversation in modern Irish. Myles takes advantage of the judiciously anonymous subject of Fitzgerald’s anecdote to claim that, “I repudiate this charge categorically. I never dined with Senator Fitzgerald in my life”. We are then introduced to Myles’s satirical commentary on the practice of collecting folklore (as before, Roman script is represented by non-italics):

Bhfuil sé [macántacht] a rádh gur ghlaic mé sios an píosa béaloideasa so ó seanór i gConamara! Stop mé má chuala tú roimhe é.

“Well, bhi fear ar a’ mbaili seo ar a dtugtaoi – ”

Ach fán! Cuimhnigh go bhfuil Institúí Béaloideasa againn! Ná síl gur scéal scéal beag grinn doleanhát an scéal so. Píosa seanachaí atá ann a tháinig anúghaíin ó ghnó go gl’i fearna aigeán an ama. Léirigheann sé dúinn go grinn dul an daemon gaedhealaigh, minigheann sé dearadh ar làinsear ar an saogal – a n’uaisleacht, a n–éigeas, a n–eirim, a n–eaímh, a n–aisteachta, a绿地 a n–dúil, a n–umhluigheacht, a n–aingneachta, a n–aighneacht, a n–aingeal leat.

But I am not Desmond McCarthy, be sure of it. Let out the dog! Ar [aghaidh] leis an scéal!

Tis honest to say that I took down this piece of folklore from an elder in Connemara. Stop me if you heard it before.

“Well, there was a man in this area named – ”

But wait! Remember we have a Folklore Institute! Do not think that this is a small, funny tale for children. It is a piece of lore that came to us from generation to generation, across the oceans of time. It shows to us the nature of the Gaelic daemon, it explains our ancestors’ view on life – their nobility, their wisdom, their intelligence, their soulful storytelling, their belief in God, the sweetness of their speech, their humility, their poverty, their fondness for drink, their gluttony, their –

But I am not Desmond McCarthy, be sure of it. Let out the dog! On with the story!

(It should be noted that this parodic version of Gaelachas strays into quite polyphonic territory when the final epithets, which could mean in one sense “the desire in their hearts, their consciences” veer into meaning “their fondness for drink, their gluttony”.) Following this introduction, Myles relays the ersatz folktale itself under two more subtitles that are dropped in later instances of the tales as he consolidates the format of the series. The subject matter of the tale is inspired by a repeated theme of Irish folklore: a man who attempts to outwit the devil. There are numerous examples of tales like this in Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh. The first tale, titled as “Jack”, is about the adventures of a man after he prevents the devil from stealing the skin of his dead father, who, in a comic turn akin to Myles’s own humour, was fond of saying “Go mbuinidh an diabhal a’ croicheann duíom, má bhím ag ársú bréag” (“May the devil skin me if I’m lying”; Ó Tuathail 1254, 1179). Bargains with the devil recur in the collection: one tale begins “Bhi fear insa tír seo i bhfad ó shoin agus dhíol sé e hín leis a’ diabhal” (“There was a man in this area long ago who sold himself to the Devil”; Ó Tuathail 2564, 2515). The collection also contains two versions of the “Liam an tSoluis” (“Will o’ the Wisp”) tale, about a canny blacksmith who continually frustrates the devil and makes his fortune as a result, only
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to be denied entry to both heaven and hell when he dies (since the devil is tired of his tricks) and is condemned to wander the earth as *ignis fatuus* misleading mortals instead. Versions of the “Will o’ the Wisp” tale form part of the sources for Father Peadar Ua Laoghaire’s modern Irish novel, *Séadna* (1894-1904), where a cobbler repeatedly outwits the devil, and, as one of the universal themes for folklore that Myles refers to in the 23 October 1944 column, the “Will o’ the Whisp” is incorporated in Goethe’s *Faust*.

In the first tale, Myles’s parodic version of this story knits together plot elements and vocabulary from various tales found in *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* to depict a man named “Niall Dubh” (Black Niall) who meets a devil on the road holding a bag which he says is full of pennies. Niall can’t hear the pennies clattering together, and so eventually the devil invites him to take a closer look. Before he knows it, Niall is swept into the bag and taken into hell and “níor cluineadh scéal’ ar bith air ó shion” (“there was never a story about him heard again”). The synopses and notes provided in Table 1 show how this theme of a comic confrontation with the devil recurs five times in O’Nolan’s series. The close attention to this theme in the column forms an important part of the background to O’Nolan’s choice of a deal with the devil for the plot of *Faustus Kelly* (1942-3) and the specific joke in this tale will be repeated at the conclusion of the play, when the devil is so exasperated by Kelly’s overblown and clichéd rhetoric that he refuses to take him to hell (O’Brien, *Plays and Teleplays* 116).

The influence of *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* is also clear in the way that the dialogue of this tale models, in an exaggerated form, the dialogues with the devil in stories in *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh*. In “Cruiskeen Lawn”, we are treated to the following comic sequence:

‘By Dad’ arsa Niall, ‘[céadh] tá annsan agat?’
‘Tá mála, by Dad, ’arsa’ diabal.11
‘By Dad’ arsa Niall, ‘Céard ‘tá ‘stigh ann?’
‘By the hokey joe,’ arsa’ diabal, ’tá pighneacha.’
‘Ní chuínim ag clagarnaigh le chéile iad, by Dad,’ arsa Niall.
‘Tá siad ann mar san féin,’ arsa’ diabal.
‘By Dad [nil] arsa Niall.
‘By Dad, tá.’
[Nil]
‘Tá.’

This comic tussle between Niall and the devil seems to be inspired by the wording of a similarly comic sequence in *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh*, in which Jack wrestles with the devil for the skin of his dead father, who was fond of saying “May the Devil skin me if I’m lying”:

‘Cha charr’ann tú sin,’ arsa Jack, arsa seisean.
‘Carrachaidh,’ adeir an diabal.
‘Cha charr’ann,’ arsa Jack.’

(‘You won’t move that,’ said Jack, said he.
‘I will!’ said the Devil.
‘No, you won’t!’ said Jack’)  
(Ó Tuathail 1185, 1263, 1192)

The column plays with alternating Gaelic and Roman script to model the hybrid nature of spoken Irish and Irish identity and, as if to cover to his tracks, in the third tale, the first to be titled “Tales from Corkadorky”, O’Nolan switches the main typeface from Gaelic to Roman.

**Unstable stories**

It is evident from the foregoing analysis that the tales do not emerge fully formed but rather bubble forth from an initial framing within adjacent material. The first example of something recognizable as a tale on 8 February 1941 appears as part of a tripartite structure of subtitles: the opening gambit or frame narrative; the start of the tale in a section entitled “SEADH. AN SCÉAL” (“Ah yes, the story”); and its conclusion in a section entitled “DEIREADH AN SCÉIL” (“End of the story”). In this example, as in those of 15 February 1941 and 22 January 1942, the column concludes with another section that could be also categorised as an independent series, the unreliable historical quotations of “AG FÉACHAINT SIAR” (“Looking Back”). These sections are often bowdlerised excerpts from texts and speeches of the pre-Independence language revival era, thus working to cast an ironised veneer of authenticity over the ersatz folklore preceding them. In the second example the tale component is now titled “SEODA ÁR SEAN” (‘Treasures of Old’) which confers on it the same feeling of an archival retrieval as “AG FÉACHAINT SIAR”. In this column there is also the appearance of a distinct series of its own, written in English but titled “SEÁN CÉITINN” (literally, John Keating). This is the original Gaelicised title of the long anecdotes that would become known as the “Keats and Chapman” sketches in anthologised collections (O’Brien, *Best of Myles* 180-200; *Keats and Chapman*), beginning life as English language material amidst the largely Irish column that appears first a few weeks earlier on 2 January 1941. It is introduced by a paragraph in Irish printed in Gaelic script stating that this a funny story given to Myles by a friend which John Keats told about Alexander the Great, effecting a contrived and well-demarcated transition between sections in a column that elsewhere intermixes English and Irish, Gaelic and Roman script. Keats and Chapman episodes go on appear twice more in the eleven columns that contain a tale.

As such, the “Tales from Corkadorky” can only be fully understood as a series in its developing interaction with other subgenres developed in the column, whether that is sketches which go on to become classics, like Keats and Chapman, the Plain People of Ireland or the WAAMA sequence, or less successful experiments. On 22 March 1941 there is a section before the Keats and Chapman episode entitled “CORKADORKY NATIONAL SCHOOL” which is a sketch in which a “Colleen Ogue” (“young girl” in Myles’s phonetically English Irish) is instructed by the character Téig to go to school in which she complains that only English is spoken there and protests “Goidé’n maith Béarla i nEirinn?” (“What’s the use of English in Ireland?”). This is the germ of a potential series that does not appear again in the column and seems closely related to the schooling episode in *An Béal Bocht* (24-6, *Collected Novels* 424-5) which, in turn, is modelled on the “Jamie Gallagher” chapter of Séamus Ó Grianna’s *Caisleán Óir* (Ó Grianna 8). On 3 October 1941 there is a section after the tale entitled “SMAOINEAMH” (‘A thought’), printed in Gaelic script, in which Myles proposes to establish an Irish-speaking version of Seán Ó Faoláin’s organisation for writers. WAAMA has
been mentioned only once before in passing during the previous week, on 26 September 1941, and so this final thought after the tale seems to provide the inspiration for Myles to fictionalise the association as part of the series that has become one of the column’s most famous inventions: the book-handling and escort services sequence (O’Brien, *Best of Myles* 15-40). The link between Corkadorky and WAAMA may be a sharp difference in opinion between Ó Faoláin and O’Nolan about Muiris Ó Súileabháin’s *Fiche Blian ag Fás* (*Twenty Years a-Growing*). Ó Faoláin praised the book as “the root of the new sprout” in Irish–language fiction whereas, as Richard Murphy argues, O’Nolan’s “apparent neglect” of the novel as an influence on *An Béal Bocht* testifies to his view that Ó Súileabháin’s efforts had rendered the Irish-language autobiography “beyond repair” (Murphy 137). Finally, as discussed earlier, the tales are subject to increasingly frequent interruptions from Slánabhaile, a strategy of depicting the putative audience for itself that becomes one of the central dialogic features of “Cruiskeen Lawn” and its Plain People of Ireland.

**Conclusion**

This essay has demonstrated, with linguistic and phonological comparisons and through a closer analysis of the first tale, that the “Tales from Corkadorky” constitute a parodic response (and a homage) to the Tyrone folktales collected in *Sgéalta Mhuintir Luinigh* which is subsequently associated with the Corcha Dorcha of *An Béal Bocht*. However, the essay has also shown, by analysing the evolving structure of this set of columns and their jostling subsections, that the “Tales from Corkadorky” are as unstable as a standalone “series” as any other repeated trope in “Cruiskeen Lawn”: in contrast to the impression given by their arrangement into distinctive sections in the *Best of Myles* collection, the column’s sketches can only be properly understood as part of a complex fabric of interwoven themes and ideas. In this respect, the influence of the oral tradition on O’Nolan helps to explain the structure of his modernist satire. Flore Coulouma has suggested that the collaborative practices of the bardic oral storytellers are relevant to our understanding the use of formulaic tropes in O’Nolan’s novels (Colouma 30-3), and in an essay about O’Nolan’s mediation of the Irish oral tradition, Vito Carrassi draws on the ideas of Lauri Honko and Richard Bauman, which deal with “the interaction between a traditional corpus coming from the past and the individual innovation of one or more performers of the present”, to suggest that O’Nolan’s texts participate in a process which endeavours to reverse the way that folklorists, in their earnest efforts to record the oral tradition, transform an initially “open” event into an “unalterable, closed system” (original emphasis). Carrassi argues that “[through] his works it appears quite clear how literature, resuming and reshaping the folk narrative tradition, may contribute to keep it alive and meaningful” (34, 35, 40). In this respect, the “Tales from Corkadorky” are indeed, as stated in the tale published on 15 February 1941, an example of “Myles na gCóplaeen, an shanachie oosal Gayluck, hard at it” in a modernist re-performance of the Gaelic oral culture. The Tyrone Irish material is lifted out of its fixed, stable form, as preserved in *Sgéalta Mhuíntir Luínigh*, and joyfully re-integrated into the open-ended textual situation of the daily newspaper.

**Notes**

1 Unless mentioned, translations are my own. The author wishes to acknowledge the kind assistance of Dr. Eoin Byrne, NUI Galway, who reviewed and improved the translations from the “Tales from Corkadorky” in this essay, suggested additional Irish-language secondary sources and helped to clarify the overall argument.
For example, this opening or a close variation on it appears in “Jack” (1247), “An Fear a Bhuail Bab air an Bhás” (1825), “An Gabhhar Beag Cóir Corcra” (1917), “An Deór a Bhí gConuí sa Chúil-Teach” (2017), “Bucaill na Cruite” (2064), “An Tarbh Donn” (2135), and so on. The same formulaic opening is also found in two of the Donegal folktales collected by Séan Ó hEochaíth (see Main Manuscript Collection, National Folklore Collection, UCD, Web. 8 Feb. 2021, <https://www.duchas.ie/en/cbe/9000137/7067404/9064749> and <https://www.duchas.ie/en/cbe/9000716/7132368/9064436>).

Printed as “machtanach” which seems likely to be a misprint of macántacht (“honesty”) (macántacht”, Teanglann, Web. 9 Feb. 2021 <https://www.teanglann.ie/ga/fgb/mac%e1ntacht>.

“Fán” should correctly read “fan” (“wait”). However, even though Ó Tuathail does not list a specific a > á transformation, I suspect that O’Nolan is imitating the Tyrone vowel-lengthening of which Ó Tuathail provides many other examples, in readiness for introducing the Munterloney-inspired material later.

“institiúid” Teanglann, Web. 9 Feb. 2021 <https://www.teanglann.ie/ga/fgb/institi%e1d>, an example of the “d > t” phonological shift which Ó Tuathail identifies (505).

“tháinic” (from “tháinig”) is one of the Tyrone-dialect g > c substitutions Ó Tuathail identifies (532).

Printed as “agáidh” which is likely a typesetting error.

Prepositional pronoun “díom” (“from me”, “off me”) modified according to “palatal d > non-palatal d” substitution (Ó Tuathail 494).

“hín” (from “féin”) is an example of Tyrone-dialect “é > í” substitution (Ó Tuathail 380).

Printed as “céardh” which is likely a typesetting error.

The column prints an apostrophe between “arsa” and “diabhal”, perhaps to indicate a definite article.

“clagarnaigh” is “clagarnach”, Teanglann.ie, Web. 9 Feb. 2021 <https://www.teanglann.ie/ga/fgb/clagarnach> modified as per the adh, agh shift that Ó Tuathail notes applies equally in Glenties and Tyrone dialects (411).

Printed as “ni’l” which is likely a typesetting error, mistaking the fada for an apostrophe.
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