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Abstract. It is shown that two braids represent transversally isotopic links if and only if one can pass from one braid to another by conjugations in braid groups, positive Markov moves, and their inverses.
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By a well-known theorem of Alexander [1], any oriented link in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is isotopic to the closure of a braid. The question when two braids represent isotopic links is answered by Markov's theorem [11] (see [3], [2], or [13] for proofs): It is so if and only if one can pass from one braid to another by conjugations in braid groups $B_n$, the transformations $M_n : B_n \rightarrow B_{n+1}$, $M_n^+ : b \rightarrow b^n$, $M_n^- : b \rightarrow b^{-n}$ called positive/negative Markov moves or stabilizations, and their inverses (destabilizations).

In the seminal paper [2] Bennequin established, among other very important results, the analogue of Alexander's theorem for transversal links (i.e., links transverse to the standard contact structure; see below). Namely, any transversal link is transversally isotopic to the closure of a braid. The purpose of this paper is to prove the corresponding analogue of Markov's theorem.

Theorem. Two braids represent transversally isotopic links if and only if one can pass from one braid to another by conjugations in braid groups, positive Markov moves, and their inverses.

When this paper had been already finished, we learned from Victor Ginzburg that he had announced this result around 1992. However, his proof has never been published. Another proof of the theorem based on completely different ideas was independently obtained by Nancy Wrinkle in her PhD thesis [14].

Let us recall the standard definitions (see e.g. [2]). Consider the 1-form $\omega = dz + x\,dy - y\,dx$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates $x; y; z$. It defines the standard contact structure in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In the cylindrical coordinates $r; \theta; z$ with $x = r\cos \theta$, $y = r\sin \theta$ one has $\omega = dr + r^2\,d\theta$.

A link $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is transversal if the restriction $\omega|_L$ nowhere vanishes. In this case $\omega|_L$ defines a canonical orientation on $L$.

A geometric braid in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is an oriented link $L$ such that the restriction $\omega|_L$ is positive. In particular, $L$ is disjoint from the $z$-axis $Oz$. The degree of $L$, also called the number of strings of $L$, is the degree of the projection $(r; \theta; z) \rightarrow (r; \theta)$ restricted to $L$. There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between isotopy classes of geometric braids of degree $n$ and conjugacy classes in the braid group $B_n$.  

Typeset by AM S-TEX
Any conjugacy class in $B_n$ defines a transversal isotopy class of transversal links. Indeed, any braid $b \in B_n$ can be realized as a geometric braid sufficiently $C^1$-close to the standard circle $r = 1, z = 0$, which is clearly transversal.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem. Essentially, our proof is a parametric version of Bennequin's proof of his result cited above.

Let $L_0$ and $L_1$ be two transversal geometric braids and $fL_t g_{[1]}$ a transversal isotopy between $L_0$ and $L_1$. Denote the interval $[0;1]$ by $I$, the number of components of $L_0$ by $m$, and the disjoint union of $m$ abstract circles by $S$. Abusing notation, we shall denote by $s$ a positively oriented (local) coordinate on $S$, as also a current point of $S$. The isotopy $fL_t g_{[1]}$ can be parametrized by a smooth map $L : S \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for every $t \in I$ the map $L_t : s \mapsto L(s;t)$ is a parametrization of $L_t$.

**Definition 1.** Let $fL_t g_{[1]}$ be a transversal isotopy parametrized by a map $L : S \to \mathbb{R}^3$. It is called monotone near the axis if there exists a finite number of parameters $0 < t_i < \tau < 1$ such that the following holds:

1. For any $t_i$ there exists a unique $s_i \in S$ such that $L(s_i, t_i)$ lies on the z-axis $Oz$, and $L^{-1}(Oz) = f(s_i, t_i); \ldots; (s_k, t_k)g$.
2. Up to a rotation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ around $Oz$, the mapping $L$ is given in a neighborhood of every $(s_i, t_i)$ by $x = 3s^i, y = s, z = z_i + s$, where $s$ is a positively oriented coordinate on $S$ centered at $s_i$ and $z$ is a coordinate on $I$ centered at $t_i$ and oriented either positively or negatively.

The isotopy $fL_t g_{[1]}$ is monotone everywhere if additionally

3. $L_t$ is a transversal geometric braid for every $t \in (t_0, \ldots, t_n)$.

Note, that if we $x \times \theta 0$ and substitute $x = 3s^i, y = s, z = s$ into the 1-form $r^2 d = x dy \cdot y dx$, we get $r^2 d = (2 + 3s^4)ds > 0$. Thus, conditions (2) and (3) of Definition 1 are consistent.

We shall always assume that isotopies we consider are sufficiently generic outside a small neighborhood of the axis Oz.

**Lemma 1.** Let $b_0$ and $b_1$ be two braids, $L_0$ and $L_1$ the transversal geometric braids defined by them. Assume there exists an everywhere monotone isotopy between $L_0$ and $L_1$. Then one can pass from $b_0$ to $b_1$ by conjugations in braid groups, positive Markov moves, and their inverses.

**Proof.** When passing through a critical value $t = t_0$, the projection of $L_t$ onto the horizontal plane Oxz transforms near the origin as in Figure 1. This is a positive Markov move.

![Figure 1. The curve $s \mapsto (3s^3; s, s^3)$](image-url)
Lemma 2. Let $fL_tg_{2I}$ be a transversal isotopy between transversal geometric braids $L_0$ and $L_1$. Then it can be perturbed into an isotopy $fL_t'g_{2I}$ which is monotone near the axis.

Proof. Let $L:S \rightarrow R^3$ be a smooth mapping which parameterizes $fL_tg$. Perturbing it if necessary, we can suppose that it is transverse to the axis $Oz$. Let us consider a point $p = (s_0; t_0) \in S$ such that $L(p)$ lies on $Oz$. Let $s$ and $t$ be the coordinates on $S$ and $I$ near $s_0$ and $t_0$ respectively (with $ds > 0$). Set $L(s; t) = x(s; t); y(s; t); z(s; t)$. Since all $L_t$'s are transversal braids, we have $\partial z = \partial s > 0$ at $p$. Hence, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that $\partial z = \partial s > 0$ in $U$. Let us modify $x(s; t); y(s; t)$ in $U$ replacing it by the homotopy in Figure 2 (the shaded zone corresponds to the homotopy described in Part (2) of Definition 1 and shown in Figure 1; we assume here that before the modification the homotopy looked as a parallel motion of a vertical line). If $U$ is sufficiently small, then we can achieve that $f^2 \in V$ in $U$, which provides that $L_t' > 0$.

Figure 2. Making the isotopy monotone near $Oz$

Definition 2. Let $fL_tg_{2I}$ be a transversal isotopy parametrized by a map $L:S \rightarrow R^3$. A bad zone of $L$ is a connected component of the set of those points of $S$ in which $\partial z = \partial s > 0$, where $(s; t)$ is the component of $L(s; t)$.

A bad zone $V$ is simple if

1. $V_t = (s; t) \setminus V$ is connected for all $t \in I$;
2. the total increment of $\partial$ along $V_0$ is less than 2 .

The shadow of $L$ on a bad zone $V$ is the set of those points $(s_0; t_0) \in V$ for which the shortest segment connecting $p_0 = L(s_0; t_0)$ with the axis $Oz$ meets $L_0$ at some point $L(s_1; t_0)$. The set of all such "shading" points $(s_1; t_0)$ will be called the inverse shadow of $V$.

A bad zone $V$ is called non-shadowed if the shadow of $L$ on $V$ is empty.

Lemma 3. Let $fL_tg_{2I}$ be a transversal isotopy between transversal geometric braids $L_0$ and $L_1$ parameterized by $L:S \rightarrow R^3$ which is monotone near the axis. Let $V$ be a simple and non-shadowed bad zone and $U$ an arbitrary open subset of $S$ containing $V$.

Then $L$ can be deformed into a transversal isotopy $L':S \rightarrow R^3$ which is monotone near the axis, coincides with $L$ outside $U$, and such that no bad zone of $L'$ meets $V$.

Proof. Let us write in the cylindrical coordinates $L(s; t) = r(s; t); \theta(s; t); z(s; t)$. Then we have $z_0^2 + r^2 > 0$. This implies that $z_0^2 > 0$ on $V$. Choose a neighborhood $V'$ of $V$ contained in $U$ such that $z_0^2 > " > 0$ in $V'$.
Let [a;b] be the projection of V onto I. We replace the components x(s;t) and y(s;t) of L in V' by the homotopy shown in Figure 3, preserving the component z(s;t).

In Figure 3, the bold lines represent the part of the homotopy which is not changed; the dashed and rep, thin solid lines depict the isotopy before and after the modification; the " represents the origin of the plane Oxy. The rst three steps in Figure 3 is a deformation of the homotopy described in De nition 1(2), see Figure 1.

Figure 3 depicts the modified homotopy for t < c for some c 2 [a;b]. To construct the modified homotopy for t > c we perform the same operations in the reverse order.

Lemma 4. Let fLtg2I be a transversal isotopy between transversal geometric braids L0 and L1 param et erized by L : S I ! R3, which is monotone near the axis. Let r(s;t); (s;t)z(s;t) be a representation of L in cylindrical coordinate. Let V be a bad zone, la generic smooth embedded curve in V which is the graph of a function t = '(s), and U a neighborhood of lin S I. Let " > 0.

Then there exist a suf ciently small open tubular neighborhood U of lin S I and a perturbation E of L of the form E = r(s;t);~(s;t)z(s;t) (i.e., only the -component is changed), such that

(1) @ (V n U ) is smooth.
(2) E' is monotone near the axis and coincides with L outside U.
(3) @~ =@s is positive in U \ V for E'.
(4) the signs of @ =@s and @~ =@s coincide outside U \ V.
(5) max U @~ @s , @~ @s < ".

Informally speaking, this means that a bad zone can be cut along any smooth curve. The operation described in the proof of Lemma 4 will be called wrinkling along the curve L. The left hand side of (5) will be called the maximal slope of the wrinkling. The assertion of the lemma in the manifestation of the Gromov's h-principle in this setting.

Proof. In a neighborhood of every point (s0;t0) of we perturb (s;t) by making a small wrinkle on the graph of (s;t) at s0 as it is shown in Figure 4, cf. [2], pp.143(144).

Let fLtg2I be a transversal isotopy. Assume that fLtg is monotone near the O z-axis and generic outside a small neighborhood of the axis Oz. Then for a generic value t0 of the parameter t the projection of the link Lt0 on the cylinder S1 \ R with the coordinates ( ;z) is an immersion and the only singularities of the image
are crossings, i.e., ordinary double points. Moreover, there exist exactly one value $0 < t_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ for which the projection of $L_{t_1}$ on a cylinder has a unique singularity of one of the following types:

(I) $L_{t_1}$ meets the axis $Oz$ at some point in the way described in Definition 1.

(II) The projection of $L_{t_1}$ on a cylinder has a unique ordinary tangency point.

(III) The projection of $L_{t_1}$ on a cylinder has a unique ordinary triple point.

The singularities of types (II) and (III), respectively, are the second and third Reidemeister moves in coordinates $(z_1; z_2)$. The first Reidemeister move in coordinates $(z_1)$ is impossible for transversal links since the derivatives $\frac{dz_1}{dz_2}$ and $\frac{dz_2}{dz_1}$ cannot both vanish. Instead, a single Reidemeister move of the first kind occurs in every type (I) singularity of a transversal isotopy provided we consider the projection on the $Oxy$-plane, see Figure 1.

When we depict a crossing of the $z$-projection of a link $L$, we assume that we look from the axis $Oz$, i.e. the overpass (resp. underpass) corresponds to the arc with a smaller (resp. bigger) value of $r$. So, we say that an arc with a smaller value of $r$ passes over or shadows an arc with a bigger value of $r$ (compare with Definition 2).

A singularity of the type (II) or (III) is called positive if $\frac{dz_1}{dz_2} > 0$ at every point of $L_{t_1}$ which projects on the singularity, and non-positive otherwise. A non-positive singularity of the type (II) is called bad if there is a negative arc ($\frac{dz_1}{dz_2} < 0$) which is shadowed by another arc at the singularity.

Lemma 5. Let $L$ be a transversal link. Suppose that the projection onto the $z$-cylinder has a bad non-positive singularity of the type (II). Then the both branches are negative at this point.

Proof. Let the branches be parametrized by $(r(s); (s); (s)) = (s) \Rightarrow 1; 2$, so that $r_1 > r_2$ at the crossing point. The tangency means that $z_2^{0} = z_1^{0} = z_2^{0} = 0 = 1 \Rightarrow 2$. Since $j$ is positive, we have $z_2^{0} + r_2^{0} > 0, j = 1; 2$. Since the singularity is bad, we have $0 < z_2^{0}$. Suppose that $0 < z_2^{0}$. Then $0 < z_2^{0}$ and we have

$$0 < z_2^{0} + r_2^{0} < z_2^{0} + r_2^{0} = (z_1^{0} + r_1^{0} 0) < 0.$$

Lemma 6. Any transversal isotopy $fL \circ g$ is monotone near the $Oz$-axis and generic outside it can be perturbed into a transversal isotopy $g'$ without non-positive singularities of type (III) and without bad non-positive singularities of the type (II). Moreover, such a perturbation can be made $C^0$-small and located in arbitrarily small neighborhoods of the points $(s_i; r_i)$ for which the thread $L(s; t_i)$ passes through a singularity of the type (II) or (III) with non-positive derivative $\frac{dz_2}{dz_1}$ at $s = s_i$.

Proof. As in Lemma 4, it is sufficient to perturb only the coordinate $z_2$. 

Figure 4. Wrinkling
Step 1. Elimination of non-positive triple points. At each non-positive triple point, we perturb all negative branches as in Figure 5a. This can be done by replacing \((s;t)\) with \(~(s;t)\) = \((s;t) + f(z(s;t);s)\) where the function \(f(z;\cdot)\) is the same for all the negative branches. In the case when there are exactly two negative branches, we take care that for any \(t\) the crossing point of the perturbed branches rests on the same place as it was before the perturbation. After such modification the triple point becomes positive and no other triple points appear (a priori, new singularities of the type (II) may appear).

Step 2. Elimination of bad tangencies. Consider a bad non-positive singularity of the type (II). By Lemma 5, the both branches are negative at this point. We perturb them in the same way as in Step 1 (see Figure 5b).

![Figure 5. Elimination of bad non-positive singularities](image)

Proof of Theorem. By Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove that any transversal isotopy \(L\) between transversal geometric braids \(L_0\) and \(L_1\) can be transformed into an everywhere monotone isotopy (see Definition 1). By Lemma 2, we may suppose that \(L\) is monotone near the axis \(Oz\).

We know that any segment \(s = \text{const}\) as in Lemma 4, we can assume that all the bad zones are simple. Let us denote them by \(V_1; V_2; \cdots; V_n\). Fix disjoint neighborhoods \(U_i\)'s of \(V_i\)'s. We are going to eliminate the bad zones one by one modifying \(L\) at the \(i\)-th step only in \(U_i\). This insures that the procedure will terminate. The isotopy obtained after the \(i\)-th modification is denoted by \(L_i\) and \(L_0 = L\) is the initial isotopy. Every \(L_i\) will be monotone near the axis \(Oz\).

To pass from \(L_i\) to \(L_{i+1}\), we proceed as follows (compare with [2], Theorem 8, pp. 142-144).

Step 1. Eliminate non-positive singularities of \(L_i\) of the type (III) and bad non-monotone singularities of the type (II) applying Lemma 6.

Let us consider connected components \(V_1; V_2; \cdots; V_k\) of the inverse shadow of \(V_i\) on the other bad zones (a bad zone cannot shadow itself because \(\theta = \theta_s > 0\) on it). Any point \((s;t)\) of any \(V_k\) corresponds to a crossing of the projection of \(L_t\) onto the \(z\)-cylinder. The crossing is either as in Figure 6a or as in Figure 6b.

Step 2. For each \(V_k\) corresponding to Figure 6b, we wrinkle the corresponding bad zone along \(\pm\hat{z}\) (see Figure 7).

Step 3. Wrinkle \(V_k\) along the shadow of \(L_i\) (see Figure 8).

Note that crossings as in Figure 6a are eliminated at Step 2 and the fact that crossings as in Figure 9 are impossible, is proved in [2, pp. 142-144] (the proof is similar to that of Lemma 5). If the maximal slope of the wrinking is small enough
(see condition (5) of Lemma 4), then no new shadow appears because the wrinkling is performed away from tangencies and triple points.

Step 4. Wrinkle, if necessary, the obtained bad zones along segments $s =$ const to make all the bad zones simple.

Step 5. Apply Lemma 3 to all the newly obtained bad zones in $U_i$.

Example. According to [7], two transversal unknots are transversally isotopic if they have the same Bennequin index. The Bennequin index of a transversal geometric braid $L$ corresponding to a braid $b \leq B_n$ is equal to $(1_{k_i})_n$ for $b = Q_{i, j}$ (see [2]). Therefore, by our Theorem, any braid representing an unknot can be transformed by positive (de)stabilizations and conjugations into the braid $1_{1, \ldots, 1, 2, n}^1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \·
We start with a brief description of related notions and constructions, referring to the articles [9] and [10] for more details. Notice that the contact structure in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) use there is given by the form \( \text{jet} = dz - ydx \) and originates from the identication of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with the space \( J^1 \mathbb{R} \) of 1-jets of functions on the real axis \( \mathbb{R} \). The substitution \((x; y; z) \mapsto (x; 2y; z + xy)\) transforms \( \text{jet} \) into the rotation invariant form \( \text{rot} = dz + xdy \). Yet, \( ydx = dz + r^2d \) used in the main part. Thus both forms side the same contact structure. The advantage of the form \( \text{jet} \) is that it provides a possibility to control over Legendrian and transversal knots by their projections on \( xy \)- and \( xz \)-planes.

A link \( L \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) is Legendrian (transversal) w.r.t. a contact form if the restriction \( j \) vanishes identically (never vanishes). The contact orientation of a transversal link \( L \) is induced by the restriction \( j \). A link isotopy \( fL \circ g \) is Legendrian (resp. transversal) if every \( L \) has this property. We always assume that Legendrian, transversal, and usual ("topological") isotopies preserve the orientation of the link.

Every link in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) admits both Legendrian and transversal representation. Legendrian and transversal links have additional \( Z \)-valued invariants constraining the existence of a contact isotopy: these are the Maslov and Thurston-Bennequin indices in the Legendrian case and the Thurston-Bennequin index in the transversal case, denoted by \( \mathit{tb}(L) \) and \( \mathit{tb}(L) \) respectively.

Assume that \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are disjoint links, both Legendrian or transversal. Let \( \mathit{lk}(L_1; L_2) \) be their linking number. Then \( (L_1 \cup L_2) = (L_1) + (L_2) \) (linear behavior) and \( \mathit{tb}(L_1 \cup L_2) = \mathit{tb}(L_1) + 2\mathit{lk}(L_1; L_2) + \mathit{tb}(L_2) \) (quadratic behavior). This reduces the computation of the indices to the case of knots.

The Thurston-Bennequin index of a knot is independent of its orientation, while the Maslov index changes the sign if we reverse the orientation. The Thurston-Bennequin index of a transversal link \( L \) represented by an algebraic braid \( b \) with \( n \) strands equals \( \mathit{tb}(L(b)) = \deg(b) \) where \( \deg(b) \) is the algebraic degree of \( b \).

Every oriented Legendrian link \( L \) can be smoothly approximated by a transversal link \( L^+ \) whose contact orientation coincides with that induced from \( L \). Moreover, such a link \( L^+ \) is unique up to transversal isotopy. Similarly, there exists a unique transversal isotopy class of links \( L \) which approximate \( L \) with the reversed orientation. The indices of \( L \) are related to those of \( L \) as \( \mathit{tb}(L) = \mathit{tb}(L) \) (linear behavior) and \( \mathit{tb}(L) = \mathit{tb}(L) \) (quadratic behavior).

There exist several constrains on possible values of Maslov and Thurston-Bennequin indices of Legendrian and transversal links in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The first one is that \( \mathit{tb}(L) \) (resp. \( \mathit{tb}(L) \)) has the same parity as the number of components of the transversal (Legendrian) link \( L \). In particular, \( \mathit{tb}(L) \) is odd for every transversal knot. Another constrain is the Bennequin inequality \( \mathit{tb}(L) - |J(L)| < \mathbb{F} \) for every transversal link \( L \) and its Seifert surface \( F \). Unlike the first constrain, this one is highly non-trivial and reflects the fact that the standard contact structure in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) is tight (see [7] for more details). For a Legendrian link \( L \) this inequality reads \( \mathit{tb}(L) + j(L) < \mathbb{F} \). Some further inequalities are listed in [10].

It is always possible to decrease the Thurston-Bennequin index of a Legendrian or transversal knot \( L \). More precisely, there exist transformations, and (resp., a transformation) of isotopy classes of oriented Legendrian (resp., transversal) knots with the following properties:

1. The transformations and can be realized by adding an appropriate
unknown loop in any given neighborhood of any given point on \( L \); in particular, they can be represented by an appropriate Legendrian knot \( L \) (resp., a transversal knot \( L \)) in the topological isotopy class of \( L \).

(2) The operations \( + \) and \( - \) commute, i.e., there exists a Legendrian isotopy between \( (+, L) \) and \( (-, L) \).

(3) If a braid \( b \) represents a transversal knot \( L \), then the braid \( M \) \( b \) obtained from \( b \) by negative stabilization represents \( L \).

(4) \( \text{tb}(L) = \text{tb}(L) + 1 \) and \( \text{tb}(L) = \text{tb}(L) - 1 \) in the Legendrian case; \( \text{tb}(L) = \text{tb}(L) + 2 \) in the transversal case.

(5) For an oriented Legendrian knot \( L \), the knot \( (L)^+ \) (see above) is transversally isotopic to \( L^+ \) and the knot \( (L)^- \) to \( L^- \); similarly, the knot \( (L)^- \) is transversally isotopic to \( L \) and the knot \( (L)^+ \) to \( L \).

(6) The transformations and naturally extend to links; one should only indicate to which component of the link the operation is applied.

We refer to [10] for the definition of the transformations and the proof of the properties (1)(5). However, it should be noticed that these transformations are known well enough as a part of the contact topology folklore, so looking for references would be an ungrateful task. The property (3) can be used as the definition of the operation \( + \). The property (5) means that, informally speaking, after the "positive (negative) transversalization" \( L \rangle \langle L^+ \) (resp., \( L \rangle \langle L^- \)) the operation descends to the stabilization \( M \) (resp., \( M^- \)) of the same (resp., opposite) sign.

Proposition A. Let \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) be two oriented Legendrian (resp., transversal) links which are topologically isotopic; then one can obtain Legendrian (resp., transversal) isotopic links \( L_1^+ \) and \( L_2^+ \) by applying the operations (resp.,) to each component of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) sufficiently many times.

Proposition B. Let \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) be two oriented Legendrian links; then the links \( L_1^+ \) and \( L_2^+ \) are transversally isotopic if and only if one can transform \( L_1 \) into \( L_2 \) applying Legendrian isotopies, the operation \( + \), and its inverse.

In the case of knots Proposition A was proved in [10] and Proposition B in [9]. However, since the condition of being connected is not used in the both proofs, the general case follows as well. In view of the property (3), our Theorem and Proposition A imply Markov's theorem for knots in the reduced form stated in Introduction. As one can easily see the reduced form remains valid in the case of links after an appropriate generalization of negative (de)stabilizations. Such a generalization should represent the operation applied to any prescribed component of the link. For example, one can take operations

\[
M_k \colon b 2B_n \langle n_1 \langle k b_1 n_1 n_2B_{n+1}
\]

which are compositions of the conjugation in \( B_n \) by \( n_1 \langle k \) with the negative stabilization \( M \).

In view of Proposition A, the authors of [10] have expressed the conjecture that the transversal (Legendrian) isotopy class of a knot is completely determined by its topological isotopy class and its Thurston-Bennequin (and Maslov) index. This conjecture has been disproved by Yuri Chekanov who has constructed [6] new invariants of Legendrian knots and has given an example of two Legendrian knots which are topologically isotopic and have equal Thurston-Bennequin and Maslov...
indices but different Chekanov's invariants. Some examples of even other type have been found in [9]. Namely, there exist Legendrian knots $L_1$ and $L_2$ which have equal Thurston-Bennequin and Maslov classes and transversally isotopic "transversalizations" $L_1^+$ and $L_2^+$, but nevertheless $L_1$ and $L_2$ are not Legendrian isotopic. On the other hand, the Legendrian isotopy class of the unknot is completely determined by its Thurston-Bennequin and Maslov indices, see [7] and [8].

A similar counterexample for transversal knots has been constructed in [4]. It is shown that the braids

$$2p+1 \quad 2q \quad 2r \quad 1 \quad 2p+1 \quad 1 \quad 2q \quad 2r$$

represent the knots $K_1$ and $K_2$ which are topologically isotopic and have equal Thurston-Bennequin indices but which are not isotopic transversally. On the other hand, there are several types of knots and links for which the transversal isotopy class is completely determined by its topological isotopy class and Thurston-Bennequin indices of the components, see [5]. For example, those are unlinks and iterated torus knots.

The discussions made so far lead to the following problems:

P 1 Does there exist two Legendrian knots $L_1$ and $L_2$ which are not Legendrian isotopic, but the "transversalizations" of both signs $L_1^+$ and $L_2^+$ (resp. $L_1^-$ and $L_2^-$) are transversally isotopic?

The negative answer to this question is conjectured (indirectly) in [9].

P 2 Find an analogue of Alexander's and Markov's theorems for Legendrian links.

We finish the paper with a description of a natural construction of closed Legendrian braids. It can be considered as the first step toward the solution of Problem P 2. First, we describe possible Legendrian isotopy classes of unknots. Let $L_{0;0}$ be the curve in the $xz$-plane given by the equation $z^2 = \cos^3(x)$ with $|x| = 2$ and $|y| = 1$, see Figure 10.

![Figure 10. The $xz$- and $xy$-projections of $L_{0;0}$.](image)

This curve lifts uniquely to a smooth Legendrian curve $L_{0;0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard contact structure given by $dz \, ydx$. Namely, the lift of each branch given $z(x) = \cos^{3/2}(x)$ is parameterized by $(x; z_0(x); z(x))$ with $z_0(x) = \frac{dz(x)}{dx} = \frac{3}{2} \cos^{3/2}(x) \sin(x)$. Observe that in a neighborhood of each cusp-point $(x;0;0)$ the curve $L_{0;0}$ admits the parameterization

$$x(t) = \arccos(t^2); \quad y(t) = \frac{p}{2t} - \frac{1}{t}; \quad z(t) = t^3$$

with $t$ close to 0. This shows that $L_{0;0}$ is a smooth Legendrian unknot. Direct computation gives $\alpha(L_{0;0}) = 0$ and $\beta(L_{0;0}) = 1$, see [10], 34.
Set \( L(p; q) = p^q(L_{pq}) \). Then \( (L_{pq}) = p \cdot q \) and \( \text{tb}(L_{pq}) = 1 \cdot p \cdot q \). By the results of Bennequin and Eliashberg that every Legendrian unknot \( L \) is Legendrian isotopic to \( L(p; q) \) with \( p = (L) \cdot \text{tb}(L) = 1 \) and \( q = (L) \cdot \text{tb}(L) = 1 \).

Now assume that \( L_b \) is a Legendrian braid with the \\emph{axis} \( L_a \), which is also a Legendrian knot. Then there exists a tubular neighborhood \( U = L_a \) of \( L_a \) and coordinates \( (v; w) \) in \( U \) such that

1. is the coordinate along \( L_a = S^1 \);
2. \( (v; w) \) are normal coordinates to \( L_a \) in \( U \);
3. the contact structure in \( U \) is given by the form \( dv \cdot wd \);
4. the projection \( v; w : L_a \not\to [0; 1] \) of \( L_a \) onto the strip \([0; 1] \) of \( L_a \) with coordinates \( (v; w) \) has only simple transversal crossings.

Observe that the projection of \( L_b \) onto \( (v; w) \)-strip determines \( L_b \) completely. Indeed, if \( (v; w) = (f_1(\cdot \cdot \cdot; g(1)) \) is a local paramerization of a strand of \( L_a \), then \( g(1) \) is the derivative of \( f_i(\cdot \cdot \cdot) \), and \( \Delta = g(1) \). It follows then that the projection has only positive crossings.

Vice versa, given a Legendrian knot \( L_a \) and a positive braid \( \beta \), there exists a Legendrian link \( L_b \) realized as the closure of \( \beta \) in an arbitrary tubular neighborhood \( U \) of \( L_a \). Moreover, the Legendrian isotopy class of such a link \( L_b \) is well-defined. We shall use the notation \( L_a \beta \) to denote such a link \( L_b \).

Lemma 6.

1. The link \( L_{pq} \beta \) is represented by the braid \( \beta \) of \( p \cdot q = 1 \).
2. For any Legendrian knot \( L_a \) and a positive braid \( \beta \) of \( B(n) \),

\[
L_a \beta \equiv (L_a) \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tb}(L_a \beta) = n^2 \text{tb}(L_a) + \deg(\beta).
\]

In particular, \( L_{pq} \beta \equiv (p \cdot q \cdot \beta) \) and \( \text{tb}(L_{pq} \beta) = \deg(\beta) \cdot n^2 (p + q + 1) \).

Observe that every braid \( \beta \) of \( B(n) \) can be decomposed as \( \beta = k \cdot \beta \) with appropriate \( k \) equal to \( 0 \) and \( k \) of \( B(n) \).

Proof. Every Legendrian knot \( L \) in \( R^3 \) has two natural framings: the Legendrian one given by the contact distribution \( \ker(dx \cdot ydz) = TR^3 \) and the topological one given by its Seifert surface. In particular, the coordinates \( (v; w; \cdot \cdot \cdot) \) in a tubular neighborhood of \( L \) introduced above define the Legendrian framing. By definition, the Thurston-Bennequin index \( \text{tb}(L) \) is the linking number between \( L \) and the knot \( L^0 \) obtained from \( L \) by pushing it slightly in the positive (or negative) normal direction to the contact distribution \( \ker(dx \cdot ydz) \). Thus \( \text{tb}(L) \) is the rotation number of the Legendrian framing with respect to the topological one. The part (1) of the lemma follows.

It follows from definition that the Maslov index of a Legendrian link \( L \) in \( R^3 \) is the winding number of the projection of \( L \) onto \( xy \)-plane. Since every strand of \( L_a \beta \) is \( C^1 \) close to \( L_a \), we immediately obtain \( L_a \beta = (L_a) \beta \).

Now assume that \( L_i \equiv 2 \cdot B(n) \) is the trivial braid. Let \( L_i = 1 \cdot \cdots \cdot n \), be the \( (i) \)th \( i \)-th strand of \( L_a \beta \). Then every \( L_i \) is Legendrian isotopic to \( L_a \) and represents the \( (i) \)th \( i \)-th strand of \( L_a \). Then \( \text{tb}(L_a \beta) = \text{tb}(L_a) + \sum_{i < j} 2 \cdot \text{tb}(L_a \beta) = n^2 \text{tb}(L_a) \).

To obtain the general case, we use the algorithm for computing the Thurston-Bennequin index of a Legendrian link \( L \) in \( R^3 \) by its projection onto \( xz \)-plane, see [10], x3.4. After a small Legendrian perturbation, the only singularities of such a
projection are transversal crossings and cusps. A crossing is called positive (negative) if both strands cross the vertical line in the same (resp., opposite) direction. Then $tb(L)$ is the number of positive crossings minus the number of negative crossings minus half the number of cusps. Now, it remains to observe that for $b \geq 2$, $B_a(n)$ the $xz$-projections of $L_a \cap b$ compared with that of $L_a \cap b_0$ has exactly $deg(b)$ additional positive crossings.

Acknowledgment. The authors were supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Schwerpunkt "Global Methods in Complex Geometry".

References
1. J. W. Alexander, A lemma on systems of knotted curves, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 9 (1923), 93(95).
2. D. Bennequin, Entrelacements et equations de Pfa, A sterisque 107(108) (1983), 87(161).
3. J. Birman, Braids, links, and mapping class groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974.
4. J. Birman, W. Menasco, Stabilization in the Braid Groups (with applications to transverse knots), arXiv: math.GT/0203227.
5. J. Birman, N. Winkley, On transversely simple knots, arXiv: math.GT/9910174.
6. Yu. Chekanov, Differential algebra of Legendrian links, Inventiones mathematicae 150 (2002), 441(483).
7. Ya. Eliashberg, Legendrian and transversal knots in tight contact $3$-manifolds, in: Topological methods in modern mathematics, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1991, Publish or Perish, Houston, Texas, USA, 1993, pp. 171(193).
8. Ya. Eliashberg, M. Fraser, Classification of topologically trivial Legendrian knots, in: Geometry, topology, and dynamical systems (Montreal, PQ, 1995), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1998, pp. 17(51).
9. J. Epstein, D. Fuchs, and M. M. Hayter, Chekanov-Eliashberg invariants and transverse approximations of Legendrian knots, Pacific J. Math. 201 (2001), 89(106).
10. D. Fuchs and S. Tabachnikov, Invariants of Legendrian and transverse knots in the standard contact space, Topology 36 (1997), 1025(1053).
11. A. A. Markov, Uber die freie Äquivalenz der geschlossenen Zopfe, Math. Sbornik 43 (1936), 73(78).
12. W. Menasco, On iterated torus knots and transversal knots, Geom. Topol. 5 (2001), 651(682).
13. H. R. Morton, Threading knot diagrams, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Soc. 99 (1986), 247(260).
14. N. W. Rinkley, The Markov theorem for transverse knots, arXiv: math.GT/0202055.

S. Yu. Orevkov, Steklov Math. Institute, ul. Gubkina 8, Moscow, Russia
Laboratoire E. Pi card, UFR M EG, Universite Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France
E-mail address: orevkov@picard.ups-tlse.fr

V. V. Shevchishin, Ruhr-Universitat, Bochum, Fachbereit fur Mathematik, Universitaetsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany
E-mail address: sewa@cplx.ruhr-uni-bochum.de