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Abstract The stationary phase point (SPP) method is introduced to treat the diffractive scintillation. From weak scattering, where the SPP number $N = 1$, to strong scattering ($N \gg 1$), via transitional scattering regime ($N \sim 2, 3$), we find that the modulation index of intensity experiences the monotonically increasing from 0 to 1 with the scattering strength, characterized by the ratio of Fresnel scale $r_F$ to diffractive scale $r_{\text{diff}}$.
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1 INTRODUCTION

As the radio waves propagate in the interstellar medium (ISM), the diffraction and refraction introduced by small-scale and large-scale inhomogeneities lead to the flux variations or scintillations (review see Rickett, 1977; 1990; 2006). The radio wave interference fringes are seen evolving with time, due to the motions of source, observer and ISM, which can be explained in terms of wave scattering through a random medium, with the electron density inhomogeneities being described by a power-law spectrum, close to the Kolmogorov spectrum (Armstrong, Rickett & Spangler 1995; Cordes, Weisberg & Boriakoff 1985). As for the characteristic scales responsible for the scintillations, Fresnel length scale is defined by $r_F = \sqrt{z/k}$, where $z$ is the distance between the scattering screen and the observer’s plane and $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number, and the diffractive scale $r_{\text{diff}}$ is defined by writing the phase structure function in the forms $D(r) = (r/r_{\text{diff}})^\alpha$ for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence (see e.g. Narayan 1992 for a review). In the weak scattering regime, $r_F/r_{\text{diff}} \ll 1$, the flux variation is interpreted in terms of weak focussing due to phase curvature on the scattering screen on scale $\sim r_F$. Whereas, in the strong scattering regime ($r_F/r_{\text{diff}} \gg 1$) there are two variation scales, small diffractive scale $r_{\text{diff}}$ and large refractive scale $r_{\text{ref}} = r_F^2/r_{\text{diff}}$, caused by interference between the many coherent patches of size $r_{\text{diff}}$ over the scattering screen. However, in the transitional scattering regime, $r_F/r_{\text{diff}} \sim 1$, which is applicable to most intra-day variable extragalactic radio sources observed at frequencies between 1 and 10 GHz (see, e.g. Walker & Wardle 1998), the flux variation scale is a little fuzzy because $r_F$, $r_{\text{diff}}$, and $r_{\text{ref}}$ are very similar, perhaps a mixing variation scale may be produced. The two time scales of spectrum intensity from the observations of pulsars reveal variations of minutes to hours as a diffractive scintillation (see e.g. Scheuer 1968; Manchester & Taylor 1977; Lyne & Smith 2005) and days to months as a refractive scintillation (see, e.g. Rickett 1984 for reviews; Kaspi & Stinebring 1992; Wang et al. 2005).

In the transitional scattering regime, where both geometric optics and wave optics are equally important, with $r_{\text{diff}}$ comparable to $r_F$, the structures on the scattering screen have a focal length comparable to the distance from the scattering screen, so the role of the caustic focussing will effect here.

The paper briefly introduces the unified descriptions of modulation index from weak, transitional and strong scattering by the stationary phase point method (SPPM), which has been previously paid attention by Gwinn et al. (1998) and recently applied to interpret the parabola phenomena occurring in the pulsar secondary spectrum (Walker et al. 2004).
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2 THE STATIONARY PHASE APPROXIMATION

We consider the wavefield from a point source at infinity to be incident up on a single thin, one-dimensional scattering screen, as described in Figure 1. For an incident wavefield of unit amplitude the wavefield observed at the central position on the line a distance \( z = \frac{r^2}{k} \) from the scattering screen is (e.g. Born & Wolf 1980)

\[
 u(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r_F}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp \left[ i \left( \frac{x^2}{2r_F} + \phi(x) \right) \right].
\]  

(1)

To solve this we employ the method of stationary phase (e.g. Mandel & Wolf P.128), which states that an integral of the form,

\[
 F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{ikg(x)} dx,
\]  

(2)

has an approximation containing contributions from critical points inside the boundary of integration \((-\infty, \infty)\):

\[
 F(k) \sim \left( \frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon_j}{|g''(x_j)|^{1/2}} f(x_j)e^{ikg(x_j)},
\]  

(3)

where \( \epsilon_j = e^{\pm i\pi/4} \) is according as \( g''(x_j) > 0 \) \((-<0)\) for + (-) and \( x_j \) are the points of stationary phase which satisfy the condition

\[
 g'(x_j) = 0.
\]  

(4)

Applying the stationary phase approximation to Equation (1), one has \( g(x) = k^{-1}(\phi(x) + x^2/2r_F^2) \) and hence

\[
 u(z) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j, \quad u_j = \frac{\epsilon_j}{\sqrt{1 + r_F^2 \phi''(x_j)}} \exp[ir_F^2 \phi'^2(x_j)/2 + i\phi(x_j)].
\]  

(5)

The mean intensity of the unit amplitude wave, \( \langle I \rangle = 1 \), is identified as the average value of the second moment of the amplitude \( \langle uu^* \rangle \). Adding a subscript \( N \) to denote the number of SPPs, in the SPP approximation this is

\[
 \langle I \rangle_N = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \langle u_i u^*_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle u_i u^*_i \rangle + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N} \langle u_i u^*_j \rangle = 1.
\]  

(6)
Thus the number of SPP is the maximum integer of N solved from Equation (6). While considering a statistical model in which each SPP is assumed to come from a distribution, all SPPs are statistically equivalent. Then, for example, \(\langle u_i u_i^* \rangle\) may be written as \(\langle u_i u_i^* \rangle\), and the sum over \(i = 1, \ldots N\) gives \(N \langle u_i u_i^* \rangle\). Only two independent averages appear in the intensity, and we write these as

\[
U_{11} = \langle u_1 u_1^* \rangle, \quad U_{12} = \langle \langle u_1 u_2^* \rangle^2 + \langle u_2 u_1^* \rangle \rangle / 2,
\]

so that Equation (6) reduces to

\[
\langle I \rangle_N = NU_{11} + N(N - 1)U_{12} = 1.
\]

Similar to the procedure of treating the intensity, the intensity square is obtained as follows,

\[
(I^2)_N = NU_{1111} + 4N(N - 1)U_{1112} + N(N - 1)(U_{1122} + U_{1221} + U_{1212})
+ N(N - 1)(N - 2)(4U_{1232} + 2U_{1213}) + (N - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3)U_{1234},
\]

where the terms, such as \(U_{1111}\) and \(U_{1122}\), are the averaged values of the products of amplitudes and generally denoted by,

\[
U_{jklm} = \langle jklm \rangle = \langle u_j u_k^* u_l u_m^* \rangle,
\]

which satisfies,

\[
\langle jklm \rangle^* = \langle kjml \rangle = \langle mlkj \rangle,
\]

so we have \(U_{1122} = U_{1221} = U_{11}U_{22} = U_{11}^2\). Henceforth, the modulation index \(m\) of diffraction is defined by

\[
m = \sqrt{\langle I^2 \rangle_N - 1}.
\]

### 2.1 The Calculations of the Intensity in SPP

The power spectrum of the phase inhomogeneities, \(\Phi(k)\), is assumed to have the following form (see e.g. Blandford & Narayan 1985; Goodman & Narayan 1985)

\[
\Phi(k) = \begin{cases} 
L_0, & k < 1/L \\
L_0(Lk)^{-\beta}, & 1/L < k < 1/l, \\
0, & k > 1/l
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\beta = 8/3\) is an index for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence with the structure constant \(L_0\) and \(l\) (\(L\)) is the inner (outer) scale. In addition, we assume the phase parameters to follow the Gaussian distribution \(P(\psi)\), where \(\psi\) represents the phase variables \(\phi, \phi', \phi''\),

\[
P(\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_\psi} \exp \left[ -\frac{\psi^2}{2\sigma_\psi^2} \right],
\]

where \(\sigma_\psi\) is a standard deviation of the parameter \(\psi\), calculated from the spectrum function of Equation (13) (see Melrose & Watson 2006; Watson & Melrose 2006). Furthermore, the terms in intensity and intensity square expressions of Equation (8) and Equation (9) can be calculated by an integral of the probability distribution over the 6 random variables (see Melrose & Watson 2006), for instance,

\[
\langle jk \rangle = \langle u_j u_k^* \rangle = \int \int d\phi' \int d\phi'' k < 1/L
\]

\[
0, \quad k > 1/l
\]

where \(\beta = 8/3\) is an index for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence with the structure constant \(L_0\) and \(l\) (\(L\)) is the inner (outer) scale. In addition, we assume the phase parameters to follow the Gaussian distribution \(P(\psi)\), where \(\psi\) represents the phase variables \(\phi, \phi', \phi''\),

\[
P(\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_\psi} \exp \left[ -\frac{\psi^2}{2\sigma_\psi^2} \right],
\]

where \(\sigma_\psi\) is a standard deviation of the parameter \(\psi\), calculated from the spectrum function of Equation (13) (see Melrose & Watson 2006; Watson & Melrose 2006). Furthermore, the terms in intensity and intensity square expressions of Equation (8) and Equation (9) can be calculated by an integral of the probability distribution over the 6 random variables (see Melrose & Watson 2006), for instance,

\[
\langle jk \rangle = \langle u_j u_k^* \rangle = \int \int d\phi' \int d\phi'' k < 1/L
\]

\[
0, \quad k > 1/l
\]

where \(\beta = 8/3\) is an index for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence with the structure constant \(L_0\) and \(l\) (\(L\)) is the inner (outer) scale. In addition, we assume the phase parameters to follow the Gaussian distribution \(P(\psi)\), where \(\psi\) represents the phase variables \(\phi, \phi', \phi''\),

\[
P(\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_\psi} \exp \left[ -\frac{\psi^2}{2\sigma_\psi^2} \right],
\]

where \(\sigma_\psi\) is a standard deviation of the parameter \(\psi\), calculated from the spectrum function of Equation (13) (see Melrose & Watson 2006; Watson & Melrose 2006). Furthermore, the terms in intensity and intensity square expressions of Equation (8) and Equation (9) can be calculated by an integral of the probability distribution over the 6 random variables (see Melrose & Watson 2006), for instance,

\[
\langle jk \rangle = \langle u_j u_k^* \rangle = \int \int d\phi' \int d\phi'' k < 1/L
\]
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0, \quad k > 1/l
\]

where \(\beta = 8/3\) is an index for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence with the structure constant \(L_0\) and \(l\) (\(L\)) is the inner (outer) scale. In addition, we assume the phase parameters to follow the Gaussian distribution \(P(\psi)\), where \(\psi\) represents the phase variables \(\phi, \phi', \phi''\),

\[
P(\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_\psi} \exp \left[ -\frac{\psi^2}{2\sigma_\psi^2} \right],
\]

where \(\sigma_\psi\) is a standard deviation of the parameter \(\psi\), calculated from the spectrum function of Equation (13) (see Melrose & Watson 2006; Watson & Melrose 2006). Furthermore, the terms in intensity and intensity square expressions of Equation (8) and Equation (9) can be calculated by an integral of the probability distribution over the 6 random variables (see Melrose & Watson 2006), for instance,
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The numerical results of the modulation index versus the scattering strength $r_F/r_{\text{diff}}$ are shown in Figure 2. We find that the modulation index increases with the scattering strength continually from the weak scattering regime, via the transitional scattering regime, to the strong scattering regime where the modulation index is fully saturated. The influence by the inner scale on the modulation index is studied, and we find that the increasing of inner scale makes the modulation index decrease a little at the transitional scattering regime but little effect in the strong scattering regime. The trends of the modulation index in the weak and strong scattering regimes are similar to those obtained by the approximated treatments (see, e.g. Narayan 1992; Rickett 1977, 1990), however the SPP method provides the descriptions of modulation index at the transitional scattering.

If $N = 1$, a weak scattering case, we have

$$m \approx U_{1111}/U_{11}^2 - 1,$$

from which we can obtain that the modulation index increases with the scattering strength $r_F/r_{\text{diff}}$, similar to the case discussed by Salpeter (1967) (Melrose & Watson 2005). In the transitional scattering the number $N$ is about 2 or 3 but depends on the choice of inner scale. If $N \to \infty$, a strong scattering case, we find that the interchange terms of amplitude products, for instance $\langle jklm \rangle$ with $j, k, l, \text{ and } m$ being not all same, are very small and $U_{1111} \sim U_{1122} \sim U_{1221} \sim 1/N^2$. Therefore, the following approximation is preserved,

$$m = (I^2)_{N} - 1 \approx NU_{1111} + N(N - 1)(U_{1122} + U_{1221}) - 1 \approx 1,$$

a fully modulated scintillation, which has been obtained and discussed by Gwinn et al. (1998).

Moreover, it is remarked that our computation (1-D screen) only gives the small scintillation index ($m < 1$), which may indicate the validity or error of SPPM in describing the refractive scintillation at transitional scattering. In addition, a good example of a computation (albeit 2-D) that shows the peak in modulation index $m(>1)$ (Goodman & Narayan 2006).
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