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An In Vivo and In Vitro Assessment of the Probiotic Potentials of Indigenous Halotolerant Bacteria on Growth Performance and Digestive Enzymes of White Leg Shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) in High-Salinity Waters
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The present study was aimed at evaluating *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaquosorum* strain IS02 (*B.s.i. IS02*; GenBank accession number: JN856456.1) to determine the growth kinetics of antimicrobial metabolite production in different ranges of environmental factors, such as salinity (15-55‰), pH (5-9), and temperature (30-40°C); to evaluate the lifespan of antimicrobial metabolites in different ranges of salinity (0-57‰), pH (2-12), and temperature (35-121°C); and to investigate the effect of *B.s.i. IS02* as an additive to functional feed on the digestive activity of *Litopenaeus vannamei* under high-salinity conditions. Based on the present findings, the bacterium maintained its antimicrobial properties up to 45‰ salinity (10729.93 ± 23.93 AU ml⁻¹). There was no significant difference in the antimicrobial activity of metabolites at 35°C and 40°C (p > .05). In the experimental trials, the shrimp postlarvae were fed different concentrations of *B.s.i. IS02* (10⁶, 10⁷, and 10⁸ CFU kg⁻¹ diets) under high-salinity (>55‰) conditions. The activity of digestive enzymes, amylase, lipase, and protease in the probiotic-fed shrimp postlarvae was significantly higher than that of the control group (p < .05). The present findings revealed that *B.s.i. IS02* maintained the antimicrobial metabolite production, retained the antibacterial lifespan in high-salinity waters, and improved the growth and digestive enzyme activities of shrimps.

1. Introduction

The shrimp industry is one of the leading aquaculture industries around the world, which is ranked among the top sources of national revenue for some countries. Viral and bacterial diseases, such as white spot syndrome, vibriosis, and acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND), have significantly reduced the production of shrimp [1, 2]. On the other hand, supplementary feeds account for 40-70% of the total cost of shrimp farming [3]; following diseases, they are the second most important challenge facing shrimp farming around the world. Currently, some preventive measures, such as the use of antibiotics, antimicrobial disinfectants, and chemical additives, are being taken to improve shrimp farming [4], resulting in the increased emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of bacteria in shrimp and the possible exposure of human consumers to antibiotic residues [5]. Therefore, comprehensive research is needed to discover new antimicrobials with high capacity and minimal residual accumulation in the shrimp tissue as environment-friendly alternatives to common antibiotics for the management of shrimp diseases. One of the effective alternatives is to use probiotics [6, 7]. According to several studies, application of various bacterial strains as probiotics in shrimp farms, particularly *Litopenaeus vannamei*, which is one of the most important shrimp species, has a high economic value. These
probiotics include *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and purple nonsulfur bacteria obtained from pickled cabbage, shrimp gut, and shrimp ponds, as they seem to contribute to the improvement of shrimp weight and growth rate (GR), prevention of infection, and improvement of the immune system and survival rate [8–13]. Probiotics develop resistance to shrimp diseases by hindering pathogen colonization through competition and antimicrobial metabolite production (e.g., bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, and organic acids), which inhibits the growth of pathogens and improves shrimp health [5, 7]. The agricultural community and consumers have shown increasing interest in functional feeds, which contain sufficient amounts of bioactive compounds, particularly probiotics, and confer benefits to shrimp production; they also provide nutrients to consumers through specific dietary components [14].

Due to the high evaporation rate in some regions with a shrimp farming industry, including Southern Iran and Saudi Arabia, the salt concentrations in ponds gradually increase during summer. Therefore, one of the problems of shrimp farming is the inadequate effectiveness of nonhalotolerant probiotic bacteria under high-salinity conditions [15, 16]. The current study was aimed at examining the characteristics of *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaquosorum* strain IS02 (B.s.i. IS02), as a novel indigenous halotolerant bacterium which can maintain the growth and production of bacterial metabolites under the conditions of high salinity and high water temperature, and at investigating its efficiency as an additive in the growth performance and digestive enzymes of *L. vannamei*.

### 2. Materials and Methods

For in vitro experiments, pure cultures of the probiont *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaquosorum* IS02 (B.s.i. IS02) and *Vibrio harveyi* IS01 (PTCC 1755) (indicator bacterium) which have been previously isolated from shrimp farming sites of Bushehr in Southwest of Iran and diseased shrimp from hatchery unit in Iran Shrimp Research Center were applied, respectively [17, 18]. Molecular identification of the isolates by 16S rDNA gene sequencing has been formerly explained in detail [17]. To prepare the functional feed for *L. vannamei* postlarvae, the commercial feed (with crude protein content 38%, fat content 9%, crude fiber 3%, ash content 14%, and moisture content 10%) was purchased from Havooarash factory (Bushehr, Iran) and enriched with freeze-dried B.s.i. IS02 probiotic (Tak cell™, Takgene factory) at a dose of $10^{12}$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$, and corn starch (2%) as a binder. All materials and culture media were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

#### 2.1. In Vitro Evaluation of the Effect of Different Salinity Concentrations, Temperatures, and pHs on Antimicrobial Metabolite Production by B.s.i. IS02

2.1.1. Preculture Preparation. To prepare the inoculum, a 50 ml Tryptice Soy Broth supplemented with 2.5% salinity natural seawater (TSB-2.5% Sea) was inoculated with a loopful of single bacterial colony and then incubated in the shaker incubator (JSSI200CL JSR Inc., Korea) at 150 rpm and 30°C until the optical density (OD) reaches one McFarland (OD$_{600}$ = 0.2, UV-Vis Spectrophotometer 6800 Jenway Inc., England).

#### 2.1.2. Fermentation Process under Various Ecological Parameters (Salinity, pH, and Temperature).

To evaluate the effect of different salinity concentrations and pHs on antimicrobial metabolite production by B.s.i. IS02, first 5% of prepared preculture was transferred into TSB media with different salinity concentrations (15, 25, 35, 45, and 55‰ seawater) and TSB-2.5% media with various pHs (5, 6, 7, 8, and 9) adjusted by HCl and NaOH (1 M) individually, and then, all cultures were incubated in a shaking incubator at 150 rpm and 30°C. As for determining the effect of different temperatures on the amount of antimicrobial metabolite production, the inoculated TSB -2.5% media with B.s.i. IS02 were incubated separately at 30°C, 35°C, and 40°C in a shaking incubator at 150 rpm. Cultures were evaluated for antimicrobial metabolite production after 48 hours, according to the results obtained from previous studies [18–20].

2.1.3. Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Metabolite Production by Agar Well Diffusion Method (AWDM). In order to determine the antimicrobial metabolite production by B.s.i. IS02 grown in the abovementioned conditions, briefly, 1 ml of each culture was removed and centrifuged at 9500 rpm and 4°C for 4 min (3-16PK, Sigma Inc., Germany), and then, the resultant cell-free supernatants (CFSs) were passed through a 0.45 µl Millipore filter (Millipore, MS®PES syringe filter, USA) and pH adjustment was carried out as necessary. Muller-Hinton agar containing 2.5% seawater (MHB-2.5% Sea) was inoculated with 200 µl of standardized inoculum of *V. harveyi* (OD$_{600}$ = 0.5). Then, 6 mm diameter wells were punched and CFSs were poured into wells (100 µl/well). After a 48-h incubation at 30°C, the diameter of the inhibition zones was measured by using a digital caliper [21]. All experiments were carried out in triplicate to ensure the feasibility and reproducibility.

2.1.4. Antimicrobial Metabolite Activity Assay. To quantitatively assess the antimicrobial activity of metabolites produced by B.s.i. IS02, twofold dilutions of CFSs were prepared and their growth inhibition was measured against *V. harveyi* as indicator strain via AWDM as mentioned previously. Then, the quantitative assay was calculated by drawing the standard curve based on the amount of growth and sample dilution and the following equation [22]:

$$R = a + b \log(d),$$  \[1\]

where $R$ and $d$ are defined as the diameter of inhibition zone, the volume of inoculated sample per well, and the sample volume, $a$ is the $y$ intercept and $b$ is the slope, accordingly.
The total activity of each sample was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Total activity (AU ml}^{-1}) = (1000/V) \times D10^{(R/b)},$$

where $V$ and $D$ are introduced as the sample volume and dilution factor, respectively. The total activity was expressed in an arbitrary unit (AU ml$^{-1}$) [22, 23].

2.1.5. Evaluating the Lifespan of Antimicrobial Metabolites at Different pHs, Temperatures, and Salinity Concentrations. To this purpose, the B.s.i. IS02 preculture was prepared as mentioned in Section 2.1.1 and centrifuged at 9500 rpm and 4°C for 4 min to obtain the CFS containing antimicrobial metabolites. The resultant CFS was filter sterilized and treated with various salinity concentrations (0, 20, 23, 30, 35, 42, 50, and 57‰) and pHs (5, 6, 7, 8, and 9) for 120 min, separately. In addition, different incubation temperatures of 35, 40, 45, 55, 65, 75, 85, and 100°C for 10-20 min and also autoclave of CFS were conducted. To prevent the CFS evaporation, sterile liquid paraffin was added. Then, the antimicrobial activity of treated CFSs against V. harveyi was evaluated by AWDM and the diameter of the inhibition zones of each treatment and its replications were measured and recorded by a digital caliper [24, 25].

2.2. In Vivo Evaluation of Enriched Dietary Supplement with B.s.i. IS02 on L. vannamei

2.2.1. Preparation of Probiotic Dietary Supplement Containing B.s.i. IS02. For this purpose, certain amounts of the aforementioned probiotic powder were dissolved in seawater to reach the final doses of 10$^6$, 10$^7$, and 10$^8$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$ separately and aerated for 30 minutes for spor germination. Commercial shrimp feed was spread on a tray and enriched with B.s.i. IS02 at the final doses separately through spraying method. After that, the dissolved corn starch (2%) was sprayed on them. For determining the amount of the probiotic in the feed, briefly, 1 g of each treated feed was randomly sampled and serially 10-fold diluted in phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS; pH 7.2) and 1 ml of each dilution was then spread on mannitol-egg yolk-polymyxin agar (MYP agar, Merck, Germany) by spread plate technique, incubated at 30°C, and counted bacteria colonies, in order to estimate the probiotic concentration (10$^6$, 10$^7$, and 10$^8$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$) in feed [26]. The final compositions were transferred to the freezer in plastic containers.

2.2.2. Experimental Design. Postlarvae of healthy L. vannamei were transported from the Bandargah Station to the Shrimp Research Center in Bushehr (Iran). They had no clinical signs of disease as confirmed by morphological examination and standard microbiological techniques. Shrimp postlarvae (450 pieces) were weighted, randomly distributed in 12 polyethylene tanks containing 300 L seawater, and acclimatized for 7 days prior to the experiment. 4 trials were conducted in triplicates (30 pieces of shrimp per tank) indoor. Control treatments were administrated with either feed only (TC), feed supplemented with 10$^6$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$, and 10$^7$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$ and 10$^8$ CFU Kg$^{-1}$ B.s.i IS02, respectively, named T1, T2, and T3 group. The average weight of shrimp post larvae was 1.06 ± 0.05 g at the time of stocking. According to their average weight, they were fed with their specific diets three times a day at 5% of the body weight for 40 days. During the trial, the temperature and salinity level of the water were recorded daily. Additionally, the average water temperature and the average water salinity were maintained at 33.4 ± 0.1°C and 55 ± 1‰, respectively, and pH fluctuations were determined 7.34 ± 0.21 in the tanks. Unconsumed feed and fecal matter were removed if necessary.

2.2.3. Measuring of Growth Indices. At the end of the experiment (40 days), the Final Weight (FW), Specific Growth Rate (SGR), Relative Growth Rate (RGR), Food Conversion Rate (FCR), and Survival Rate (SR) of shrimp were calculated as follows [27]:

The Specific Growth Rate (SGR %; %/day) was calculated as

$$\frac{\text{Ln}[\text{Final weight}(g)] - \text{Ln}[\text{Initial weight}(g)]}{40 \text{day}} \times 100. \tag{3}$$

The Relative Gain Rate (RGR; % g/shrimp) was calculated as

$$\frac{\text{Final weight}(g) - \text{Initial weight}(g)}{\text{Initial weight}(g)} \times 100. \tag{4}$$

The FCR was calculated as

$$\frac{\text{Food consumed}(g)}{\text{Final weight}(g) - \text{Initial weight}(g)} \times 100. \tag{5}$$

The SR was calculated as

$$\frac{\text{Number of shrimp at the end of experiment (pieces)}}{\text{Number of shrimp at the beginning of experiment (pieces)}} \times 100. \tag{6}$$

2.2.4. Digestive Enzyme Assay. At the end of the experiment, 16 shrimps were randomly sampled from each trial (TC, T1, T2, and T3 groups) four hours after the morning feed. The entire intestine of the shrimp was dissected, pooled, weighted (0.2 g), and homogenized with 500 μL of chilled phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS; pH 7.2), using an ultrasonic homogenizer (UP200H, Hielersch, Germany) in the presence of ice for two minutes. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 5,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C under sterile conditions [28]. The recovered supernatants were frozen at -70°C. The supernatant of every sample was assayed in duplicate.

The amylase activity was measured according to Bernfeld’s method (1955) by using soluble starch as a substrate reacting with 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) [29]. The total protease activity was examined according to the modified version of a technique proposed by Anson [30], using casein as a substrate, reacting it with Folin’s reagent. The total
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protein activity was measured based on bovine serum albumin as standard by the Bradford method [31].

The lipase activity was measured by the colorimetric method, depending on the cleavage of p-nitrophenyl palmitate (pNPP) at pH of 8.0 [32]. The enzyme activity was also measured as change in absorbance using a spectrophotometer (Jenway Lnc., England) and expressed as specific activity (U mg\textsuperscript{-1}).

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The results of this research were analyzed by the one-way ANOVA and Duncan post hoc tests to determine the differences \((p < .05)\) between testing groups. All statistics were performed with Predictive Analytics Software (PASW), version 22 (IBM® SPSS®, USA) and excel software, version 2016.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. In Vitro Results

3.1.1. The Effect of Different Salinity Concentrations, pHs, and Temperatures on Antimicrobial Metabolite Production by B.s.i. IS02. (Figure 1(a)–(c)) represent the results of antimicrobial metabolite production by B.s.i. IS02 under different salinity concentrations, pHs, and temperatures. Based on the results, the highest antimicrobial activity of B.s.i. IS02 was obtained at 15\% salinity (11962.58 ± 28.20 AU ml\textsuperscript{-1}), while its properties were well maintained up to 45\% (10729.93 ± 23.93 AU ml\textsuperscript{-1}) salinity. B.s.i. IS02 showed growth at all salinity concentrations and the highest growth was in 55\% (Figure 1(a)). There was a significant difference between the antimicrobial activity of IS02 metabolites at all salinity concentrations \((p < .05)\) (Figure 2(a)). Antimicrobial metabolite activity at 30°C (9890.4 ± 294.95 AU ml\textsuperscript{-1}) was significantly higher than those obtained at 35°C and 40°C \((p < .05)\) (Figure 1(b) and the same trend was observed for bacterial growth. However, at all three temperatures studied, there was no significant difference between the antimicrobial activity of bacterial metabolites at temperatures of 35°C and 40°C \((p > 0.05)\) (Figure 2(b)). The highest antimicrobial activity was observed at pH 7 (10166.34 ± 86.52 AU ml\textsuperscript{-1}) and the lowest was at pH 9 (4235.66 ± 97.83 AU ml\textsuperscript{-1}) (Figure 1(c)). The antimicrobial activity of the bacterium at pH 7 and other tested pHs has a significant difference \((p < .05)\) (Figure 2(c)). Growth had been seen in all tested acidity ranges (Figure 1(c)) but the growth rate at pH 9 was significantly lower than other pH ranges \((p < .05)\).

#### 3.1.2. Antibacterial Metabolite Lifespan at Different Salinity Concentrations, pHs, and Temperatures. The lowest reduction in the antimicrobial lifespan of metabolites was in salinity of 0, 23\%, and 57\%. Antibacterial properties on V. harveyi in all tested salinity range were well-maintained (Figure 3(a)). The lifespan rate of B.s.i. IS02 antimicrobial activity at 40 and 85°C after 10 minutes was 76.62\% and 72.41\%, respectively, which was lower than other temperatures but reached the highest level at 75°C. It also reached zero at 100 and 121 degrees after 10 minutes (Figure 3(b)). The antimicrobial activity of this bacterium was higher at 40, 55, and 75°C than at 45 and 65°C and reached zero at 100 and 121°C after 20 min (Figure 3(b)). The lowest lifespan of the antimicrobial metabolite on V. harveyi was at acidic pH = 2 and alkaline pH = 12 (Figure 3(c)).

#### 3.2. In Vivo Results.

In all of the experimental trials in tanks, the mean water temperature during the trial period was kept 33.9 ± 0.42°C and the average water salinity was measured and maintained at 56 ± 0.1\%, pH fluctuations were 7.39 ± 0.269.

3.2.1. The Effect of B.s.i. IS02 on Growth Performances in L. vannamei. The highest SGR and RGR were in the dose of 10\(^8\) CFU Kg\textsuperscript{-1} (T3), which showed a significant difference
to the control group ($p < .05$). The survival rate of all the treatments was significantly different from the control group ($p < .05$). According to the results, probiotic diets had a significant effect on reducing the FCR compared to the control ($p < .05$). The lowest FCR was observed in T3 (Table 1).

**3.2.2. Effect of B.s.i. IS02 on the Activity of Digestive Enzymes of L. vannamei.** After 40 days of culture and feeding shrimp with probiotic diets, amylase, protease, and lipase activity in T3 was significantly higher than other treatments ($p < .05$), (Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c)).
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4. Discussion

The use of functional feeds containing probiotics is consid-
ered an environment-friendly method for disease prevention
in shrimp farming. The production of bacterial metabolites,
especially bacteriocins, depends on a combination of differ-
ent factors, especially environmental factors, such as salinity,
acidity, temperature, and location or host of the isolated bac-
terium [19, 20, 33–35]. The highest rate of antibacterial pro-
duction by Bacillus subtilis KIBGE was obtained with 5% NaCl,
while it decreased by increasing the salt content [36]. The antimicrobial activities of B.s.i. IS02 metabolites
were significantly different at salinity levels of 15‰, 25‰,
35‰, and 45‰ (p < .05); however, at 55‰ salinity, despite
the suitable growth of bacteria, the antimicrobial activity of
metabolites was minimal and even reached zero; it seems
that 55‰ salinity inhibits the gene expression and produc-
tion of these antimicrobial metabolites.

According to a previous study, the highest production of
Sakacin P bacteriocin by Lactobacillus sakei was observed at
20°C [37]. Besides, the highest rates of bacteriocin produc-
tion and antibacterial activity of B. subtilis KIBGE were
reported at 37°C [36]. In the current study, the highest anti-
microbial activity of metabolites and growth of B.s.i. IS02
was observed at 30°C. The amount of bacterial metabolites,
such as bacteriocins and biosurfactants by Bacillus strains,
especially Bacillus subtilis, is higher at neutral and sometimes
alkaline pH compared to other ranges; for example, B. subtilis
KIBGE produces the greatest amount of bacteriocins at pH = 7
[36, 38–40]. In this study, the highest specific activity of anti-
microbial metabolites of B.s.i. IS02 was observed at pH = 7,
while the lowest activity was observed at pH = 9.

There are many reports on the lifespan of bacterial
metabolites, especially bacteriocins under different condi-
tions. For example, bacteriocins, produced by Bacillus thur-
ingiensis, persist well from 4°C to 100°C, while at 121°C,
their activity reaches zero [24]. In another study, it was
reported that the permanence of a bacteriocin isolated from
Bacillus amylo liquefaciens was inactivated at 100°C after 15
minutes [25]. In the present study, the antimicrobial activity
of B.s.i. IS02 was well maintained in the tested ranges of
salinity; the lowest level was observed at 57‰ salinity. How-
ever, the metabolite activity ceased at temperatures of 100°C
and 121°C. The antimicrobial metabolite produced by the
studied bacterium decreased at pH = 12 and pH = 2, whereas
no activity was reported in other pH ranges.

It is not clear whether probiotic candidates isolated from
the host perform better than isolates from a different habitat

Table 1: The growth performances of L. vannamei fed different diets supplemented with B.s.i. IS02 as probiotic for 40 days.

| Parameters | TC       | T1       | T2       | T3       |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| FW (g)    | 2.81 ± 1.62a | 5.00 ± 0.07b | 4.88 ± 0.21b | 5.24 ± 0.14b |
| SGR (%/day)| 1.55 ± 0.31a | 3.43 ± 0.06b | 3.37 ± 0.16b | 3.55 ± 0.09b |
| RGR (%)   | 168.40 ± 11.33a | 375.53 ± 31.93b | 379.85 ± 46.51b | 385.80 ± 16.51b |
| SR (%)    | 60.33 ± 6.07a | 80.00 ± 3.32b | 84.43 ± 5.08b | 86.10 ± 6.31b |
| FCR       | 2.63 ± 0.26a | 1.18 ± 0.03b | 1.18 ± 0.11b | 1.11 ± 0.04b |

Note: Values are presented as mean ± SE for three replicate groups. Same superscript words in each row indicate homogeneous subsets as determined by Duncan’s test and are not significantly different (p > .05). Abbreviations: TC = control (no probiotic provided); T1, T2, and T3 treatments received 10⁶ CFU Kg⁻¹, 10⁷ CFU Kg⁻¹ and 10⁸ CFU Kg⁻¹ B.s.i. IS02, respectively.
However, the host’s floras are the best sites for beneficial bacteria [42]. Probiotics as functional feeds can improve the function of digestive enzymes, control the abundance of pathogenic bacteria, balance the immune system, and increase the growth parameters in aquaculture [7, 43, 44]. Various probiotics are used in shrimp farming, including the Bacillaceae family, which has been introduced by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as safe microorganisms widely used in shrimp farming [45–47].

Generally, B.s.i. IS02 is a candidate probiotic isolated from the gut of cultured L. vannamei in Bushehr Province, Iran, with particular ecological conditions of high salinity.

Figure 4: (a–c). (a) Amylase enzyme activity (U mg⁻¹), (b) Lipase enzyme activity (U mg⁻¹), and (c) protease enzyme activity (U mg⁻¹) in the intestines of L. vannamei fed with different diets supplemented of B.s.i. IS02 as probiotic for 40 days, same superscript words indicate homogeneous subsets as determined by Duncan’s test and are not significantly different (p > .05).
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