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The notion of index is applied to analyze the phase operator problem associated with the photon. We clarify the absence of the hermitian phase operator on the basis of an index consideration. We point out an interesting analogy between the phase operator problem and the chiral anomaly in gauge theory, and an appearance of a new class of quantum anomaly is noted. The notion of index, which is invariant under a wide class of continuous deformation, is also shown to be useful to characterize the representations of Q-deformed oscillator algebra.

1 Phase operator

The notion of the phase operator was introduced by Dirac in his study of the second quantization of the photon field[1]. The free classical Maxwell field is equivalent to an infinite set of oscillators

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{k},\lambda} \omega(\vec{k}) [a_{\vec{k}\lambda}^* a_{\vec{k}\lambda} + a_{\vec{k}\lambda} a_{\vec{k}\lambda}^*] \] (1)

and the essence of the phase operator is understood by considering the simplest one-dimensional harmonic oscillator

\[ h = \frac{1}{2} (a^\dagger a + aa^\dagger) \]
\[ = a^\dagger a + 1/2 \] (2)

where \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \) stand for the annihilation and creation operators satisfying the standard commutator

\[ [a, a^\dagger] = 1 \] (3)

\(^a\)To be published in the Proceedings of International Symposium on Frontiers in Quantum Field Theory in Honor of Keiji Kikkawa, Toyonaka, Osaka, December 14-17, 1995 (World Scientific, Singapore)
The vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is annihilated by $a$

$$a|0\rangle = 0$$

which ensures the absence of states with negative norm. The number operator defined by

$$N = a^\dagger a$$

then has non-negative integers as eigenvalues, and the annihilation operator $a$ is represented by

$$a = |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 2|\sqrt{2} + |2\rangle\langle 3|\sqrt{3} + ....$$

in terms of the eigenstates $|k\rangle$ of the number operator

$$N|k\rangle = k|k\rangle$$

with $k = 0, 1, 2, ....$ The creation operator $a^\dagger$ is given by the hermitian conjugate of $a$ in (6).

**Index and Phase Operator**

In the representation of $a$ and $a^\dagger$ specified above we have the index condition

$$\dim \ker a^\dagger a - \dim \ker aa^\dagger = 1$$

where $\dim \ker a^\dagger a$ ,for example, stands for the number of normalizable basis vectors $u_n$ which satisfy $a^\dagger au_n = 0$ . $\dim \ker a^\dagger a$ thus agrees with the number of zero eigenvalues of the hermitian operator $a^\dagger a$. In the conventional notation of index theory, the relation (8) is written by using the trace of well-defined operators as

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-a^\dagger a/M^2}) - \text{Tr}(e^{-aa^\dagger /M^2}) = 1$$

with $M^2$ standing for a positive constant. The relation(9) is confirmed for the standard representation(6) as

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n/M^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n/M^2} = 1$$

independently of the value of $M^2$.

If one should suppose the existence of a well defined hermitian phase operator $\phi$, one would have a polar decomposition

$$a = U(\phi)H = e^{i\phi}H$$

(11)
as was originally suggested by Dirac[1]. Here $U$ and $H$ stand for unitary and hermitian operators, respectively. If (11) should be valid, one has

$$aa^\dagger = U H^2 U^\dagger$$

which is unitary equivalent to $a^\dagger a = H^2$; $a^\dagger a$ and $aa^\dagger$ thus have an identical number of zero eigenvalues. In this case, we have in the same notation as (9)

$$Tr(e^{-a^\dagger a/M^2}) - Tr(e^{-aa^\dagger /M^2}) = 0$$

This relation when combined with (9) constitutes a proof of the absence of a hermitian phase operator in the framework of index theory[2].

In the classical level, one may define

$$a = e^{i\phi} \sqrt{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (ip + q)$$

or

$$p = \sqrt{N} \sin \phi$$
$$q = \sqrt{N} \cos \phi$$

This transformation is confirmed to be canonical, and thus one has a Poisson bracket

$$\{N, \phi\}_{PB} = 1$$

which naively leads to a commutator

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{\phi}] = i$$

and the well-known uncertainty relation

$$\Delta N \Delta \phi \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

The absence of the hermitian phase operator $\phi$ shows that this uncertainty relation has no mathematical basis[3].

The basic utility of the notion of index or an index theorem lies in the fact that the index as such is an integer and remains invariant under a wide class of continuous deformation. For example, the unitary time development of $a$ and $a^\dagger$ dictated by the Heisenberg equation of motion, which includes a fundamental phenomenon such as squeezing, does not alter the index relation. Another consequence is that one cannot generally relate the representation spaces of annihilation operators with different indices by a unitary transformation.
2 Various phase operators and their implications

Although the hermitian phase operator, as was introduced by Dirac, does not exist, there exist various interesting proposals of alternative operators which may replace the phase operator in practical applications. We here comment on two representative ones from the view point of index relation.

2.1 Phase Operator of Susskind-Glogower

The phase operator of Susskind and Glogower[3] is defined by

\[ e^{i\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} a = |0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 2| + |2\rangle \langle 3| + \ldots \]

(19)
in terms of the eigenstates \( |k\rangle \) of the number operator in (7). This phase operator is related to the operator \( a \) in (6) by \( a = e^{i\varphi} N^{1/2} \). The above operator satisfies the relations

\[ e^{i\varphi} (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger = 1 \]
\[ (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger e^{i\varphi} = 1 - |0\rangle \langle 0| \neq 1 \]

(20)

and apparently \( \varphi \) is not hermitian.

The analogues of cosine and sine functions, which are hermitian and thus observable, are defined by

\[ C(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\varphi} + (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger) \]
\[ S(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{i\varphi} - (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger) \]

(21)

These cosine and sine operators satisfy an anomalous commutator

\[ [C(\varphi), S(\varphi)] = \frac{1}{2i} |0\rangle \langle 0| \]

(22)

and an anomalous identity

\[ C(\varphi)^2 + S(\varphi)^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle \langle 0| \]

(23)

The modified trigonometric operators also satisfy the commutation relations with the number operator \( N \),

\[ [N, C(\varphi)] = -iS(\varphi) \]
\[ [N, S(\varphi)] = iC(\varphi) \]

(24)
For a representation with non-negative $N$ in (19), one obtains the index relation
\[
\dim \ker e^{i\varphi} - \dim \ker (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger = \dim \ker a - \dim \ker a^\dagger = 1 \quad (25)
\]
namely, the operator $e^{i\varphi}$ carries a unit index. The index relation written in the form
\[
\dim \ker (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger e^{i\varphi} - \dim \ker e^{i\varphi} (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger = 1 \quad (26)
\]
which is in agreement with (20), is directly related to the above anomalous commutator (22) and the anomalous identity (23).

2.2 Phase Operator of Pegg and Barnett

One may consider an $s + 1$ dimensional truncation of annihilation and creation operators
\[
\begin{align*}
a_s & = |0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 2| \sqrt{2} + \ldots + |s-1\rangle \langle s| \sqrt{s} \\
a_s^\dagger & = |1\rangle \langle 0| + |2\rangle \langle 1| \sqrt{2} + \ldots + |s\rangle \langle s-1| \sqrt{s}
\end{align*}
\]
and then let $s \to \text{large}$ later. In this case, one has the index condition
\[
Tr_{s+1}(e^{-a_s^\dagger a_s/M^2}) - Tr_{s+1}(e^{-a_s a_s^\dagger/M^2}) = 0 \quad (28)
\]
in terms of $s+1$ dimensional trace $Tr_{s+1}$; $\ker a^\dagger a = \{|0\rangle\}$ and $\ker aa^\dagger = \{|s\rangle\}$. In fact one can confirm that any finite dimensional square matrix carries a vanishing index. The operators $a_s^\dagger$ and $a_s$ thus satisfy a necessary condition for the existence of a hermitian phase operator. Pegg and Barnett proposed [4] to define a hermitian phase operator by
\[
e^{i\varphi} = |0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 2| + \ldots + |s-1\rangle \langle s| + e^{i(s+1)\phi_0} |s\rangle \langle 0| \quad (29)
\]
where $\phi_0$ is an arbitrary constant c-number. The operator $e^{i\varphi}$, which satisfies $a_s = e^{i\varphi} \sqrt{N}$, is in fact unitary in $s + 1$ dimensions
\[
e^{i\varphi} (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger = (e^{i\varphi})^\dagger e^{i\varphi} = 1 \quad (30)
\]
One may then define cosine and sine operators by
\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \phi &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\varphi} + e^{-i\varphi}) \\
\sin \phi &= \frac{1}{2i} (e^{i\varphi} - e^{-i\varphi})
\end{align*} \quad (31)
\]
with $e^{-i\phi} = (e^{i\phi})^{\dagger}$. These operators together with the number operator satisfy the commutation relations

\[
\begin{align*}
[N, \cos \phi] &= -i \sin \phi \\
&\quad + \frac{(s + 1)}{2}[e^{i(s+1)\phi_0}|s\rangle\langle 0| - e^{-i(s+1)\phi_0}|0\rangle\langle s|] \\
[N, \sin \phi] &= i \cos \phi \\
&\quad - \frac{i(s + 1)}{2}[e^{i(s+1)\phi_0}|s\rangle\langle 0| + e^{-i(s+1)\phi_0}|0\rangle\langle s|]
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
[\cos \phi, \sin \phi] &= 0 \\
\cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi &= 1
\end{align*}
\] (33)

The last expression shows that these operators satisfy normal algebraic relations.

### 2.3 Physical Implications of Various Phase Operators

The state $|s\rangle$ is responsible for the vanishing index in (28) and thus for the existence of the hermitian phase operator in (29). In other words, the hermiticity critically depends on the state $|s\rangle$ and the phase operator is cut-off sensitive. The state $|s\rangle$ does not decouple even for large cut-off parameter $s$ from the hermitian phase operator $\phi$. It has been shown\cite{2} that the algebraic consistency, i.e., the presence or absence of minimum uncertainty states is a good test of the effects of $|s\rangle$ and the index idea.

In fact, one can show the uncertainty relations for a physical state $|p\rangle$

\[
\Delta N \Delta \sin \phi \geq \Delta N \Delta S(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{2}|\langle p|C(\phi)|p\rangle| = \frac{1}{2}|\langle p|\cos \phi|p\rangle| \quad (34)
\]

\[
\Delta \cos \phi \Delta \sin \phi \geq \Delta C(\phi) \Delta S(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{4}|\langle p|0\rangle\langle 0|p\rangle| \geq 0 \quad (35)
\]

These relations show that the uncertainty relations are always better satisfied for the operators $C(\phi)$ and $S(\phi)$ of Susskind and Glogower which exhibits “anomalous” relations (22) and (23). In particular, for the states with small average photon numbers, the relation in (34), for example, becomes an inequality\cite{2}

\[
\Delta N \Delta \sin \phi > \Delta N \Delta S(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{2}|\langle p|C(\phi)|p\rangle| = \frac{1}{2}|\langle p|\cos \phi|p\rangle| \quad (36)
\]
due to the effect of the state $|s\rangle$ even for arbitrarily large $s$. In other words, one cannot generally judge if the measurement is at the quantum limit or not if one uses the phase operator $\phi$ of Pegg and Barnett, since one cannot achieve the minimum uncertainty in terms of $\phi$. The operator $\varphi$, which satisfies anomalous relations in (22) and (23), is in fact more consistent quantum mechanically; one can judge the quantum limit of measurements by looking at the uncertainty relation (36).

3 Analogy with chiral anomaly and a new class of quantum anomaly

It is known[5] that the chiral anomaly is characterized by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem[6]

$$T r e^{-a^\dagger a} - T r e^{-a a^\dagger} = \nu$$

where the Pontryagin index $\nu$ is expressed as an integral of $\tilde{F}F$, if one defines $a$ by the chiral Dirac operator

$$a = \gamma^\mu D_\mu \left( \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} \right)$$

A failure of a unitary transformation to an interaction picture specified by a vanishing index

$$T r e^{-a_0^\dagger a_0} - T r e^{-a_0 a_0^\dagger} = 0$$

with $a_0 = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (1 + \gamma^5)/2$ leads to the anomalous behavior of perturbation theory. Also, the failure of decoupling of the cut-off parameter such as the Pauli-Villars regularization mass is well known: If the regulator mass is kept finite one obtains a normal relation, but in the limit of infinite regulator mass, the mass term gives rise to the chiral anomaly.

The failure of the decoupling of cut-off also takes place in the phase operator. One may rewrite the index relation (28) as

$$T r_{s+1} e^{-a_s^\dagger a_s/M^2} - T r_s e^{-a_s a_s^\dagger/M^2} = T r_{s+1} e^{-a_s^\dagger a_s} - T r_s e^{-a_s a_s^\dagger} = 1$$

where $T r_s$ stands for a trace over the first $s$ dimensional subspace, and the right hand side of this equation comes from the contribution of the state $|s\rangle$. By taking $s \rightarrow \infty$ in this expression, one recovers the index condition (9). The effect of the cut-off parameter $|s\rangle$ in the limit $s \rightarrow \infty$ gives rise to the non-vanishing index; this is the same phenomenon as the chiral anomaly in the Pauli-Villars regularization.

It has been proposed to regard the non-vanishing index (9) and the associated anomalous behavior in (22) and (23) as a new class of quantum
anomaly[2], which arises from an infinite number of degrees of freedom associated with the Bose statistics; one can put an arbitrary number of photons in a specified quantum state. In this viewpoint, the absence of the hermitian phase operator is an inevitable quantum effect, not an artifact of our insufficient definition of the phase operator. We have shown in (36) that the apparently anomalous behavior exhibited by the operator \( \varphi \) in (22) and (23) is in fact more consistent with the principle of quantum mechanics.

4 Index as an invariant characterization of Q-deformed oscillator algebra

The index such as

\[
\dim \ker a - \dim \ker a^\dagger = 1
\]  

(41)

is expected to be invariant under a wide class of continuous deformation of the operator \( a \). The index may thus provide an invariant characterization of representations of Q-deformed oscillator algebra[7]. We analyze this problem by considering the Q-deformed algebra

\[
[a, a^\dagger] = [N + 1] - [N] \\
[N, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger \\
[N, a] = -a
\]  

(42)

where

\[
[N] \equiv \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}}
\]  

(43)

with \( q \) standing for a deformation parameter. The above algebra satisfies the Hopf structure, and the Casimir operator for the algebra (42) is given by[8]

\[
C = a^\dagger a - [N]
\]  

(44)

and thus \( [N] \) and \( a^\dagger a \) are independent in general. Practically the above Q-deformed oscillator is useful to study a Q-deformed \( SU(2) \) in the Schwinger construction[9].

To deal with a general situation, we start with a matrix representation of the above algebra defined by

\[
a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|k|} |k - 1 \rangle \langle k| \\
\]

\[
a^\dagger = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|k|} |k\rangle \langle k - 1|
\]
\[ N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k |k\rangle \langle k | \]
\[ C = \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger - [N] = 0 \] (45)

where the state vectors stand for column vectors such as
\[ |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad |1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \] (46)

We examine several cases specified by a different deformation parameter \( q \).

I. \( q > 0 \)

In this case, \( [k] \neq 0 \) for \( k \geq 1 \), and one has an index condition
\[ \dim \ker a - \dim \ker a^\dagger = 1 \] (47)

since \( \ker a = \{ |0\rangle \} \) and \( \ker a^\dagger = \text{empty} \). We thus have no hermitian phase operator, and the operator defined by
\[ e^{i\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[N+1]}} \hat{a} \]
\[ = |0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 2| + ... \] (48)

becomes identical to that of Susskind and Glogower. Namely, not only the index but also the phase operator itself are invariant under Q-deformation\[10\].

The deformation with \( |q| = 1 \) is also known to be allowed. We thus examine

II-1, \( q = e^{2\pi i \theta}, \theta = \text{irrational} \)

In this case,
\[ [k] = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{\sin 2\pi k \theta}{\sin 2\pi \theta} \neq 0 \] (49)

for \( k \geq 1 \), and thus the index as well as the phase operator are the same as for \( q > 0 \).

II-2, \( q = e^{2\pi i \theta}, \theta = \text{rational} \)
In particular, we concentrate on the case where $\theta$ is a primitive root of unity\[11],

$$\theta = \frac{1}{s + 1}$$  (50)

with $s$ standing for a natural number. In this case, we have

$$[s + 1] = \frac{q^{s+1} - q^{-(s+1)}}{q - q^{-1}} = 0$$  (51)

and the representation in (45) becomes

$$a = \sqrt{1}|0\rangle\langle 1| + \ldots + \sqrt{s}|s - 1\rangle\langle s|$$

$$+ \sqrt{1}|s + 1\rangle\langle s + 2| + \ldots + \sqrt{s}|2s\rangle\langle 2s + 1|$$

$$+ \ldots$$

$$a^\dagger = \sqrt{1}|1\rangle\langle 0| + \ldots + \sqrt{s}|s\rangle\langle s - 1|$$

$$+ \sqrt{1}|s + 2\rangle\langle s + 1| + \ldots + \sqrt{s}|2s + 1\rangle\langle 2s|$$

$$+ \ldots$$

$$N = |1\rangle\langle 1| + 2|2\rangle\langle 2| + \ldots + s|s\rangle\langle s|$$

$$+ (s + 1)|s + 1\rangle\langle s + 1| + \ldots + (2s + 1)|2s + 1\rangle\langle 2s + 1|$$

$$+ \ldots$$  (52)

Note that

$$a^\dagger \neq (a)^\dagger$$  (53)

which means that the norm of the representation space is not positive definite.

In the representation (52), we have

$$\ker a = \{ |0\rangle, |s + 1\rangle, \ldots \}$$

$$\ker a^\dagger = \{ |s\rangle, |2s + 1\rangle, \ldots \}$$  (54)

and thus

$$\dim \ker a = \infty, \quad \dim \ker a^\dagger = \infty$$  (55)

From a viewpoint of index, this situation is singular. One may regard this as an indication that the deformation is discontinuous, and thus the notion of index loses its precise meaning. In fact, one may understand the above situation as

$$\dim \ker a - \dim \ker a^\dagger = 1$$  (56)

with the non-hermitian phase operator

$$e^{iv} = |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 2| + \ldots$$  (57)
or as
\[ \text{dim ker } a - \text{dim ker } a^\dagger = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (58)

with a hermitian phase operator [7]
\[ e^{i\Phi} = |0\rangle\langle 1| + .... + e^{i\Phi_0}|s\rangle\langle 0| + |s + 1\rangle\langle s + 2| + ... + e^{i\Phi_1}|s + 1\rangle\langle s + 1| + .... \]  \hspace{1cm} (59)

Both of these operators satisfy
\[ a = e^{i\varphi} \sqrt{[N]} = e^{\Phi} \sqrt{[N]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (60)

More Conventional Interpretation

A more conventional interpretation of the case \( q = \exp[2\pi i/(s + 1)] \) is to consider a basic \( s + 1 \) dimensional Weyl block
\[
\begin{align*}
a_s &= \sqrt{[1]}|0\rangle\langle 1| + .... + \sqrt{[s]}|s - 1\rangle\langle s|, \\
a_s^\dagger &= \sqrt{[1]}|1\rangle\langle 0| + .... + \sqrt{[s]}|s\rangle\langle s - 1|, \\
N_s &= |1\rangle\langle 1| + ...... + s|s\rangle\langle s|, \\
C &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (61)

with the index
\[ \text{dim ker } a_s - \text{dim ker } a_s^\dagger = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

One may adopt the hermitian phase operator of Pegg and Barnett [4] for this case. However, one cannot recover a phase operator for the conventional case with \( q = 1 \) by taking the limit \( s \to \infty \) of the above case: First of all, we have a negative norm, as is indicated by \( a_s^\dagger \neq (a_s)^\dagger \), and also the phase operator is index sensitive and the limit \( s \to \infty \) is ill-defined.

It is interesting to note that one can define a representation without a negative norm for \( q = \exp[2\pi i/(s + 1)] \) by using the freedom associated with the Casimir operator. One may choose the Casimir operator in (42) as [7]
\[ C = [n_0] = \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{s + 1})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (63)
with $n_0 = (s + 1)/4$. One may then define the representation of (42) with a
positive definite norm by

$$a_s = \sqrt{[1 - n_0] + [n_0]|0\rangle\langle 1| + \cdots + \sqrt{[s - n_0] + [n_0]|s - 1\rangle\langle s|$$

$$a_s^\dagger = (a_s)^\dagger$$

$$N_s = -n_0|0\rangle\langle 0| + \cdots + (s - n_0)|s\rangle\langle s|$$

(64)

Note that the arguments inside the square root in (64) are all non-negative.
The index is vanishing in this case, and one may adopt the hermitian phase
operator of Pegg and Barnett in (29) which satisfies

$$a = e^{i\phi} \sqrt{[N] + [n_0]}$$

(65)

It is however clear in this case that one cannot recover the normal case with
$q = 1$ and $C = 0$ by taking the limit $s \to \infty$. The deformation away from
$q = 1$ is apparently discontinuous.

5 Conclusion

We may conclude our analyses of the phase operator on the basis of the notion
of index as follows:

(i) The notion of index is useful for the analysis of the phase operator and also
for an invariant characterization of representations of the Q-deformed algebra,
if

$$\dim \ker a < \infty$$

$$\dim \ker a^\dagger < \infty$$

(66)

This corresponds to the case with a real and positive deformation parameter $q$.
We also encounter a new class of quantum anomaly specified by a non-trivial
index, which is associated with the Bose statistics.

(ii) For $q = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ with a real $\theta$, in particular for a rational $\theta$, the index becomes

$$\dim \ker a = \infty$$

$$\dim \ker a^\dagger = \infty$$

(67)

The singular index is still useful since it indicates that the deformation

$q = 1 \to q = e^{2\pi i\theta}$

(68)
is a *discontinuous* deformation.

(iii) The index is modified by a change of the asymptotic behavior of the potential. For example, one may consider (here \( q \) stands for a coordinate)

\[
a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + q + 3cq^2)
\]

\[
a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + q + 3cq^2)
\]

(69)

with a positive constant \( c \). The conventional harmonic oscillator corresponds to \( c = 0 \). In the case (69) one can show that

\[
\dim \ker a - \dim \ker a^\dagger = 0
\]

\[
\dim \ker a = \dim \ker a^\dagger = 0
\]

(70)

and thus one can define a well-defined hermitian phase operator[12]. This is somewhat analogous to the Witten index to characterize supersymmetry; one can generally change the index if one modifies the asymptotic behavior of the potential.
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