Ku and the Stability of the Genome
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Ku proteins are associated with a variety of cellular processes such as repair of DNA-double-strand breaks, telomere maintenance and retrotransposition. In recent years, we have learned a lot about their cellular and molecular functions and it has turned out that Ku-dependent processes affect the stability of the genome, both positively and negatively, in several ways. This article gives an overview on the role of Ku in determining the shape of the genome.

INTRODUCTION

Ku proteins are heterodimers consisting of subunits with sizes of about 70 kd and about 80 kd, respectively, that are conserved in a variety of eukaryotes [1]. The heterodimer binds with high affinity to double-stranded DNA ends and hairpin structures. In mammalian cells, Ku bound to DNA ends activates the catalytic subunit of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) and phenotypes of Ku-deficient cells largely overlap with phenotypes of cells deficient in this catalytic subunit. The yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe lack the catalytic subunit of DNA-PK. Nevertheless, the functions of Ku seem to be very similar in these lower eukaryotes and in mammalian cells. Involvement of Ku in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) was not only demonstrated in yeast and mammalian cells, but also in Drosophila melanogaster, Xenopus laevis, and chicken [2, 3, 4]. Ku participates also in V(D)J recombination and immunoglobulin gene class switch [5, 6, 7], where joining of distant genomic regions is initiated by site- or region-specific DSB, respectively. At least in yeast and mammalian cells, Ku has an additional function in telomere maintenance, whose absence causes genomic rearrangements, and in processes associated with retrotransposition.

KU AND THE FIDELITY OF DSB REPAIR

All known pathways for the elimination of DSB can lead to genetic alterations. Homologous recombination can result in sequence alterations due to gene conversion mechanisms if the donor and the receptor molecule differ within the repair patch region (see [8] for a review of homologous recombination).

When associated with a cross-over, interchromosomal homologous recombination can also lead to a recombination of markers flanking the repair patch. In the case of ectopic homologous recombination, this may cause reciprocal translocations. Intrachromosomal homologous recombination may result in deletion events. When taking place between sister chromatids, DSB repair by homologous recombination bears a very low risk of introducing genetic alterations, and there are indications that sister chromatids are preferred to homologous chromosomes or ectopic regions of sequence homology, as donor of information [9, 10]. In contrast, end-joining mechanisms have a high potential for introducing genetic alterations during DSB repair. Whether or not end joining can reconstitute the original sequence, is to a large extent influenced by the conformation of the ends to be joined.

End-joining mechanisms and the function of the Ku proteins in end joining have been extensively reviewed (eg, [1, 11, 12]) and only an overview of the available information is given here. End joining was investigated on a molecular level in yeast, Xenopus, and a variety of mammalian cell lines. Mostly, plasmid rejoining assays were used where plasmids were linearized by restriction digest and then transformed/transfected into cells or incubated with cell extracts, followed by a determination of the relative frequency of joining and sequence analysis of the junction sites (eg, [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]). In other investigations, chromosomal DSB were induced by site-specific endonucleases (eg, [14, 19, 20]). While there are organism-specific differences with regard to the joining efficiency of various end conformations (such as complementary or noncomplementary single strand protrusions and blunt ends) and the degree of dependence of these joining reactions on Ku, the following general picture emerges: provided that the conformation of ends allows for restitution of the original sequence (eg, in the case of complementary overhangs), Ku-dependent end joining is a rather accurate process in mammalian cells and S cerevisiae [13, 16, 17] (but not in S pombe [18]). In the absence of functional Ku proteins, alternative end-joining mechanisms are used that appear to largely depend on base pairing between regions of microhomology (ie, one to several bases of homology) exposed by single strand resection or unwinding of the double strand. Microhomology-dependent joining is
associated with the generation of deletions at the break sites and it has been proposed that Ku is required for protection of ends against nucleolytic attack. The deletion sizes may, however, also reflect positions of microhomology that allow stable strand-annealing.

When DSB are induced by radical attack (e.g., after ionizing irradiation), the ends do not necessarily terminate in complementary single-strand protrusions. To mimic this situation, in some studies, noncomplementary ends were produced by digestion with combinations of restriction enzymes. Joining of noncomplementary ends is intrinsically an inaccurate process that will lead to sequence alterations, but again Ku-independent joining of these ends relies more on microhomology-mediated base pairing associated with deletion formation than does Ku-dependent joining [16, 17]. Current models propose that Ku serves as an alignment factor that facilitates joining reactions in the absence of sufficiently stable base-pair interactions between the ends to be joined, thus minimizing the need for end processing and, therefore, the nucleotide loss at the junction site [17]. Recently, it was also shown that the Ku-dependent process in vitro mediates accurate joining of complex DSB with partially cohesive overhangs terminating in 3′-phosphoglycolate, a substrate expected to occur upon radical-induced DNA breakage [21].

While Ku-independent end joining was observed in all organisms studied so far, its efficiency seems to vary between organisms. In yeast, inactivation of Ku drastically reduces the end-joining frequency, suggesting that Ku-independent mechanisms are rather inefficient. In contrast, in mammalian cells, the absence of functional Ku has little effect on plasmid joining frequencies, suggesting that Ku-independent mechanisms can take over efficiently for this kind of substrate [15, 16].

When judging the fidelity of end joining, we have also to consider the probability of mis-rejoining, that is, the joining of ends originating from different breaks. Mis-rejoining involves insertions of DNA fragments at break sites as well as interactions between chromosomal breaks that result in genomic rearrangements. In S cerevisiae, insertion of DNA fragments lacking homology to the genomic DNA is a very inefficient process, the efficiency of which can be increased by treating the cells with DSB-inducing agents such as ionizing radiation. This increase is not seen in Ku-deficient mutants, thus demonstrating a major role for the Ku-dependent end-joining mechanism in insertions occurring at break sites [22]. While nonhomologous integration in mammalian cells occurs readily, Ku-dependence of this process has also been shown by some authors (e.g., [23]), but not by others (e.g., [19]). Since the genetic requirements for Ku-independent end joining are not yet clear, its influence on repair-associated insertions remains to be elucidated. Extrachromosomal DNA fragments that may be inserted into chromosomal DNA in the course of DSB repair include pieces of organelle DNA and cDNAs [24], and possibly excised chromosomal fragments, or even foreign DNA. Hence, end-joining mechanisms may be involved in phenomena such as mitochondrion-to-nucleus transfer of genetic information, sequence duplications or horizontal gene transfer.

Treatment of cells with ionizing radiation or other strand-break inducing agents leads to chromosome rearrangements due to mis-repair of DSB. As mentioned before, they may result from cross-overs during homologous recombination between inappropriate sites or from mis-rejoining of chromosomal ends. Because of the redundancy of DSB repair mechanisms, the relative contribution of individual mechanisms to the generation of chromosomal rearrangements is difficult to analyze. In S cerevisiae mutant strains unable to perform DSB repair via homologous recombination, radiation-induced chromosomal aberrations (mainly exchange-type aberrations) occur with high frequency and their occurrence depends on functional Ku [25]. Similarly, radiation-induced exchange-type aberrations are considerably increased in the DT40 chicken B-lymphocyte cell line when homologous recombination is impaired by inactivation of RAD54, but not when KU70-dependent end joining is inactivated [4]. These data suggest a major role for the Ku-dependent repair process in the generation of repair-associated exchanges. In mammalian cells, however, the absence of functional Ku results in increased frequencies of spontaneous exchange-type aberrations, and inactivation of DNA ligase IV, which participates in Ku-dependent end joining, enhances the frequency of radiation-induced exchanges [26]. A possible explanation for these differences may lie in species-dependent variations in the efficiency of Ku-independent end-joining pathways that may also readily mis-rejoin chromosomal ends. Since mis-rejoining requires physical vicinity of ends resulting from different breaks, the number of breaks present at a given time in a cell nucleus may also affect the formation of exchanges. This number is affected not only by the dose of irradiation, but also by the kinetics of (correct) DSB elimination, thus complicating comparisons between different experimental systems. Nevertheless, a recent study, based on the restitution of full-length chromosomal restriction fragments after irradiation, demonstrated a dependence of mis-rejoining on the Ku-mediated process also in mammalian cells [27].

**KU AND THE STABILITY OF CHROMOSOME ENDS**

Telomeres are specific nucleo-protein structures at the ends of linear chromosomes (see [28] for a recent review). One of their functions is to enable template-independent elongation, thus allowing to overcome the end-replication problem. In most eukaryotes, elongation of the telomeric repeat tract is performed by telomerase. In addition, telomere-associated proteins form a so-called cap that protects the telomeres from degradation and recombination processes. This cap also enables the cell to differentiate between natural chromosome ends and unnatural ends caused by DSB, thereby inhibiting end-to-end fusions between chromosomes. This article concentrates on the influence of telomere-associated Ku on genome stability and the reader is referred to recent reviews covering additional aspects of Ku-dependent processes in telomere metabolism [28, 29].
When telomeric repeat DNA is lost in telomerase-deficient mammalian cells, fusions between chromosome ends are observed that lead to dicentric fusion chromosomes [30]. A concomitant loss of the proliferative capacity, the so-called cellular senescence, can at least partially be explained by anaphase bridges and breakage-fusion cycles. Some cells in senescent populations gain the capability of elongating their telomeres by telomerase-independent ALT (alternative lengthening of telomeres) mechanisms that probably depend on recombination between telomeric repeat tracts [31]. Recombination between subtelomeric repetitive sequence elements may also contribute to telomere stabilization in telomerase-deficient cells [32]. Telomerase-deficient yeast cell also undergo cellular senescence when the telomeric repeat tract is eroded; and survivors, which escape senescence, use mechanisms of alternative telomere maintenance that are similar to those observed in mammalian cells. Survivors in S. cerevisiae exhibit strong amplification of the subtelomeric so-called Y′ elements or strongly elongated telomeric repeat tracts. Different recombinative subpathways appear to be responsible for Y′ amplification and telomere elongation in S. cerevisiae survivor cells [28, 29]. In S. pombe survivor cells, linear chromosomes, in which subtelomeric repetitive sequences are amplified, were observed. In addition, by end-to-end fusion, circularized chromosomes (rather than chromosome fusions) occur with high frequency [33].

In normal cells, recombination between telomeric or subtelomeric sequences and end-to-end fusions are suppressed. Recent research suggests that suppression depends not directly on the length of the telomeric repeat tract, but on the integrity of the proteinaceous cap. Ku bound to telomeres, either directly (as in S. cerevisiae, [34]) or indirectly (as suggested for mammalian cells, [35]), appears to provide capping functions: in Ku-deficient mammalian cells, end-to-end fusions occur independently of the length of the telomeric repeat tract, demonstrating that Ku prevents such fusions and that these fusions are not produced by Ku-dependent end joining [36, 37, 38]. In Ku-deficient yeast cells, rearrangements and amplification of subtelomeric repetitive elements are observed, suggesting that Ku is involved in inhibiting recombinative processes in telomere-associated regions [39, 40]. Recombination is also thought to cause rapid shortening of artificially elongated telomeric tracks in Ku-deficient S. cerevisiae [41].

KU AND THE MOBILITY OF RETROELEMENTS

Several recent studies show that the presence of functional Ku affects the outcome of retroviral infection in mammalian cells. Initial studies suggested a function for Ku (and other factors required in Ku-dependent end joining) in the repair of the gapped integration intermediate that results when retroviral integrase joins the 3′-terminal nucleotide of viral cDNA to staggered phosphates in the host DNA [42]. This view has subsequently been challenged, and it was proposed that Ku-dependent end joining may be required for circularization of unIntegrated viral DNA (thus preventing apoptosis induced by the presence of viral DNA ends in host cells), or for repairing DSB induced in host DNA by unspecific endonucleolytic activity of free integrase molecules [43, 44, 45]. In support of the latter model, it has been observed that expression of HIV integrase is lethal in yeast mutants deficient for homologous recombination, the major DSB repair pathway in this organism [46].

The yeast S. cerevisiae possesses retrotransposons (Ty elements) that resemble retroviruses and generate intracellular virus-like particles. Ku has been shown to physically associate with these particles [47] and with retroviral preintegration complexes in mammalian cells [45]. Interestingly, in S. cerevisiae, the presence of Ku affects the substrate specificity of Ty integrase: when Ku-deficient yeast cells are transformed with linear plasmids lacking homology to the yeast genome, about 90% of the integration reactions depend on the expression of Ty elements, and the integrations show hallmarks of Ty integrase-mediated reactions. In contrast, in Ku-proficient cells, integration of plasmid DNA does not depend on Ty metabolism [22]. Ku, functioning as a cellular factor that determines integrase substrate specificity, may explain why integrase-mediated integration of Non-Ty-DNA was never observed in vivo, while it can readily be demonstrated in vitro [48].

CONCLUSIONS

The Ku proteins, as well as additional proteins involved in Ku-dependent end joining, are generally regarded as caretakers of genomic stability (eg, [49]) and indeed, increased chromosomal instability is seen when these proteins are inactive in mammalian cells. Ku-dependent end joining is a major DSB repair mechanism in mammalian cells, in the absence of which many breaks either remain unrepaired or are processed by other mechanisms that may cause chromosomal alterations. There are, however, indications that misrejoining of ends can also result from Ku-dependent DSB repair, leading to exchange-type aberrations or insertions of DNA fragments. The parameters that influence the probability of misrejoining are not yet known; while physical close-ness is a prerequisite for interaction between DNA ends, it is unclear whether, in DSB repair, ends from different break sites meet incidentally or whether active processes can bring them together. The ability of Ku to join ends originating from different break sites is not surprising, given that Ku is involved in V(D)J recombination and class switch recombination of immunoglobulin genes. However, at least for V(D)J recombination, the situation differs from DSB repair in that the regions to be joined are juxtaposed before cleavage occurs within a protein complex involving the RAG proteins [50].

Even when the correct ends are joined in the course of DSB repair, the Ku-mediated mechanism clearly introduces genetic alterations more readily than homologous recombination between sister chromatids. These alterations are, however, in general smaller than those introduced by Ku-independent end joining. Thus, although Ku-dependent
DSB repair cannot be considered as an error-free mechanism, its general outcome appears to be less problematic than that of alternatives such as Ku-independent end joining or ectopic homologous recombination. A clear positive effect of Ku results from its function in the telomeric cap: Ku suppresses chromosomal end-to-end fusions and, at least in yeast, recombination events in telomeric and telomere-associated regions. It will be interesting to see whether Ku has also an influence on the occurrence of ALT mechanisms in mammalian cells. Additional positive effects of Ku on genomic stability may include suppression of integrase-mediated alterations. Thus, in spite of some negative effects, Ku can still be regarded as a caretaker of genomic stability.
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