A Prospective Study to Evaluate Cardiac Functional Status in Permanent Pacemaker Implanted Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre
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Abstract
Our study aimed at finding the incidence of overt heart failure or asymptomatic left ventricular systolic/diastolic dysfunction and cardiac dyssynchrony in patients undergoing single or dual chamber cardiac pacing and its impact on exercise capacity. 80 patients undergoing permanent pacemaker implantation (single or double chamber) were examined for various predetermined echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular systolic function, diastolic function and dyssynchrony before and one year after implantation. Also the impact of pacing on the exercise capacity is assessed by six minute walk test. The various echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular systolic function, diastolic function and dyssynchrony namely, Ejection Fraction (EF), E′ velocity, Interventricular Mechanical Delay (IVMD), Septum to Posterior Wall Motion Delay (SPWMD), Time to peak Systolic velocity between the septal and lateral wall (Ts-SL), showed no significant variation between before and after pacemaker implantation values. In patients with normal ejection fraction and with no structural heart disease there was no significant difference in the systolic, diastolic function and various dyssynchrony indices after one year of right ventricular pacing. Also right ventricular pacing did not affect the functional capacity of the patient as assessed by six minute walk test.
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Introduction
Cardiac pacing at any point of the ventricle alters the natural heart activation and contraction pattern, as the stimulus conduction velocity is slower across the ventricular myocardium when compared with that resulting from the specialized His-Purkinje system[1,2]. RV apical pacing can induce both interventricular dyssynchrony [between the RV and the LV] and intraventricular dyssynchrony (within the LV)[3]. It has been demonstrated that the presence of ventricular dyssynchrony is associated with an increased risk of cardiac morbidity[4] and mortality[5] in heart failure patients. In addition, it
has been suggested that the presence of mechanical dyssynchrony after long-term RV apical pacing is associated with reduced LV systolic function and deterioration in the functional capacity\(^3\).

Left ventricular diastolic function is an equally important component of the cardiac cycle, which is closely coupled with systolic function. In particular, early diastolic function is an energy-dependent process consuming ATP, and yet is also dependent on the mechanical properties of the ventricle such as chamber pressure, annulus recoil, and stiffness\(^6\). However, there is little information on the effects of RVA pacing on diastolic function. Early studies were unable to draw a clear conclusion on the effect of RVA pacing on LV diastolic function, and its relationship with changes in systolic function\(^7-10\).

In the background of this knowledge we seek to find the prevalence of new onset LV systolic dysfunction and cardiac dyssynchrony and its impact on the functional status in patients undergoing single or dual chamber PPM implantation.

Materials and Methods
A total of 80 patients undergoing single/dual pacemaker implantation at R. G. Kar Medical College over a span of 1 year from JAN 2016 to JAN 2017 formed the study population.

Inclusion Criteria
1. All patients undergoing PPI for Atrioventricular node or Sinus node dysfunction.
2. No structural heart disease at the time of implantation.
3. Ability to provide written consent.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Comorbidities that themselves might cause LV remodelling
   a. History of chemotherapy with cardiotoxic agents,
   b. Hypertensive heart disease,
   c. Chronic alcohol abuse, and
   d. Valvular heart disease
2. Chronic diseases like CKD, CLD, malignancies etc.
3. H/o ACS in past or during study period

Study Protocol
All patients underwent echocardiography, ECG and detailed clinical examination before and after 1 year of endovenous single/dual chamber pacing. 6 minute walk test (6MWT) was also performed before or within 1 month and after 1 year of endovenous single/dual chamber pacing (if there is no physical disability or other contraindications to 6MWT).

Before Pacemaker Implantation
Echocardiography
Transthoracic echocardiography examination was done using Philips EPIQ7C machine to define cardiac anatomy, ventricular function, and valvular competence. LV posterior and septal wall thickness and LV end-diastolic and end-systolic diameters were obtained by averaging the measurements over 3 consecutive cardiac cycles with M-mode echocardiography.

Left ventricular ejection fraction was assessed using Simpson’s method as a measure of ventricular systolic function. Pulse-wave TDI was performed in the apical four-chamber view by placing a 5 mm sample volume at the septal and lateral mitral annulus to assess the early diastolic (E’) velocities acquired from the mean value of three cardiac cycles. The right ventricular mechanical delay (RVMD) and left ventricular mechanical delay (LVMD)—i.e. the intervals between the beginning of the QRS complex and the opening of the semilunar valves—was determined by PW-Doppler. Interventricular dyssynchrony is defined as an interventricular mechanical delay (IVMD) > 40 ms. Septal-to-posterior wall-motion delay (SPWMD) measured by M-mode color-TDI is technically the simplest approach to quantify LVMD. The addition of color TDI to M-mode aids in identifying the transition from inward to outward motion in the septum and posterior wall. Normally SPWMD is less than 40 ms. The cut-off value of greater than or equal to 130 ms is a marker of LV
dyssynchrony in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. Time-to-peak velocity between the septal and lateral wall was measured using the 4-chamber color TDI images from the beginning of the QRS complex, and the maximal time-to-peak difference between the basal segments of the septal and the lateral walls was calculated (Ts–SL). A predefined cut off ≥65 was considered dyssynchrony.

**Electrocardiography**
Baseline ECG was done during index admission before and after PPI and then at 2 months, 6 months and 1 year after implantation to detect new, delayed and late onset rhythm abnormalities.

**6 Minute Walk Test**
6 minute walk test was done before or within 1 month of PPI.

**After Pacemaker Implantation**
ECHO, ECG and 6 min walk test will be repeated after 1 year of PPI for reassessment of the above mentioned parameters.

**Statistical Analysis**
The collected data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using the SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Comparisons between the baseline and at one year parameters were performed using the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test. A probability value of 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant

**Results and Observations**
In the study population, 52(65%) were male and 28(35%) were female subjects. Mean age of the study population was 66.57 ± 7.031 years. Out of the total 80 patients undergoing permanent pacemaker implantation, 48(60%) were hypertensive and 21(26.2%) patients were diabetic.

In the patients undergoing permanent pacemaker implantation 49(61.3%) had complete heart block, 21(26.3%) had sick sinus syndrome, 8(10%) had trifascicular block and 2(2.5%) had bifascicular block, with all patients having class 1 indication for permanent pacing.

Out of the total 80 patients, 61 patients (76.3%) underwent single chamber permanent pacemaker implantation and the rest 19(23.8%) underwent dual chamber permanent pacemaker implantation. There were various variables, namely Ejection Fraction (EF), E’ velocity, Interventricular Mechanical Delay (IVMD), Septum to Posterior Wall Motion Delay (SPWMD), Time to peak Systolic velocity between the septal and lateral wall(Ts–SL) and 6 Minute Walk Test, which were examined at baseline and at one year to assess the impact of right ventricular pacing(Table 1).

| Pair | Variable | MEAN | N  | STD. DEVIATION | STD. ERROR MEAN |
|------|----------|------|----|----------------|-----------------|
| 1    | EF       | 62.2963 | 80 | 2.39363 | .26762 |
|      | EF AT ONE YEAR | 62.0487 | 80 | 2.26425 | .25315 |
| 2    | E’       | 9.9712 | 80 | 1.72224 | .19255 |
|      | E’ AT ONE YEAR | 9.9263 | 80 | 1.80952 | .20231 |
| 3    | IVMD     | 24.6500 | 80 | 8.15219 | .91144 |
|      | IVMD AT ONE YEAR | 24.9250 | 80 | 8.45206 | .94497 |
| 4    | SPWMD    | 35.1750 | 80 | 6.18855 | .69190 |
|      | SPWMD AT ONE YEAR | 35.4250 | 80 | 6.11447 | .68362 |
| 5    | Ts – SL  | 42.3000 | 80 | 7.50595 | .83919 |
|      | Ts – SL AT ONE YEAR | 42.5875 | 80 | 7.80570 | .87270 |
| 6    | 6 MWT    | 439.8750 | 80 | 86.26840 | 9.64510 |
|      | 6 MWT AT ONE YEAR | 439.8125 | 80 | 92.35568 | 10.32568 |

All the variables examined in the study showed non-significant difference between baseline and one year values (Table 2).
Discussion

Long-term effects of RV apical pacing have been studied, and not much information is available on the acute and early effects of RV pacing on LV function and LV dyssynchrony[11]. Our study aimed to demonstrate the early impact of RV apical pacing induced by single chamber and dual-chamber pacemakers on LV systolic and diastolic functions in patients with preserved EF.

Effect on left ventricular systolic functions

In our study, there was no statistically significant difference within repeated measurements of the LV EF over time (p =0.136). Mohan et al.[12] in their study found no significant difference in the resting LV EF in the long-term follow-up of AAI-paced and VVI-paced patients of sinus node dysfunction without structural heart disease. Similarly, Anderson et al.[13] in their study of sick sinus syndrome patients, found no difference in the incidence of clinical congestive heart failure or LV dimensions between AAI and VVI pacing modes on long-term follow-up. In contrast, Pehrsson et al.[14] found an increase in the end-diastolic volume in VVI-paced patients after 3 months. Likewise, Faerestrand et al.[15] found greater resting LV volumes and lesser EF in patients paced on VVI rather than with the physiological pacing mode. Dwivedi et al.[16] studied 48 patient with VVI pacing and found that the LV EF decreased progressively from baseline (61.82 ± 10.36%) and was statistically significant at 6 months (52.52 ± 12.11%, P < 0.05). The cardiac dimensions, the LV end diastolic dimension and the LV end-systolic dimension increased significantly over their corresponding baseline values by 6 months (P < 0.05). However, the aforementioned study used a higher percentage of pacing (>90%) in all patients, and this may be the cause of early effects on the LV dimension and systolic functions.

The effect on left ventricular diastolic functions

We did not find any statistically significant difference between both groups regarding septal and lateral E’ waves by pulsed-tissue Doppler. This was the same as in previous studies; they also found no significant changes in the diastolic function. Brandon K. Fornwalt[17] et al. acute RVA pacing does not affect diastolic synchrony (Diastolic dyssynchrony was 11 ± 13 ms, 15 ± 18 ms and 15 ± 27 ms for sinus rhythm, atrial pacing and right ventricular pacing respectively “p” = 0.375). Naegeli et al.[18] conducted a single-blind, randomized crossover study evaluating the impact of the DDD(R) mode as against the VVI(R) mode on objective and functional parameters. They found no significant changes in the transmitral flow propagation rate and the E/E´ ratio. Kojuri et al.[19] also found no significant changes in E and A’by pulsed-tissue Doppler between DDD and VVI.

There are few studies that conflict with our results. Betocchi et al.[10] found that acute ventricular pacing increases diastolic dyssynchrony relative to atrial pacing in patients with coronary artery disease. However, in patients with coronary disease, early onset of lengthening may occur in hypoxic muscle supplied by partially occluded coronary arteries[20,21]. In addition,
hypoxia suppresses the load dependence of relaxation\(^\text{[22]}\) suggesting that patients with coronary artery disease may have a different response to acute pacing relative to the healthy patients in our study.

**Interventricular mechanical dyssynchrony**

In our study there was no significant difference within the repeated measurement of IVMD at baseline (24.650 ± 8.152 ms) and at one year (24.925 ± 8.452), supported by a “p” value of .086. Similarly Tops et al.\(^\text{[3]}\) showed that even after long-term RV pacing, mean interventricular delay did not increase significantly in the patients without LV dyssynchrony (24 ± 14 ms vs. 35 ± 25 ms, “p” > .05). In contrast, in the same study interventricular delay revealed a significant increase in the patients with LV dyssynchrony after long-term RV pacing (25 ± 12 ms vs. 49 ± 19 ms, “p” = 0.05).

**Intraventricular dyssynchrony**

Both M-mode using SPWMD and TDI using the septal-to-lateral delay have proven to be effective in the detection of LV dyssynchrony\(^\text{[23,24]}\). In our study, change in SPWMD and Ts-SL from baseline to one year was not significant (“p” = .150 and .083 respectively).

Previous studies have shown that ventricular dyssynchrony may be present in up to 50% of the patients after long-term RV apical pacing\(^\text{[25-27]}\), which is in contrast to our findings. This can be partially explained by the shorter follow up period in our study (1 year) which was more (3 to 5 years) in the studies where ventricular dyssynchrony was seen associated with right ventricular pacing. In the study by Schmidt M et al.\(^\text{[25]}\) where significant LV dyssynchrony developed after right ventricular pacing, had patients with severely depressed left ventricular ejection fraction, whereas in our study no patient had any structural heart disease. This can again explain the discrepancy of results between the two studies.

It remains, however, undetermined why some patients develop LV dyssynchrony during RV pacing while other patients do not.

**Functional assessment**

In accordance with the other parameters of systolic and diastolic function, the functional capacity of the subjects as assessed by six minute walk test also did not show any statistically significant (“p” = .713) difference between baseline (439.875 ±86.268m) and at one year (439.812 ± 92.356m) measurements.

**Limitations**

The present analysis focuses on the short-term impact of RV apical pacing on LV functions and LV dyssynchrony and does not provide information about the long-term consequences. Also the number of patients was relatively small in this study. There was no control population to assess normal variation of various parameters with age. Electrocardiographic parameters like QRS duration and percent of paced beats was not determined and the site of RV pacing was not taken into account. Comparative analysis between patients undergoing single and double chamber pacemaker cannot be done because of difference in the baseline characters of the two groups. Finally better techniques, like 3D speckle tracking may have assessed intraventricular dyssynchrony better than the techniques used in the current study.

**Conclusion**

Right ventricular apical pacing is an integral part of the treatment of brady-arrhythmias for the majority of patients receiving pacemakers. Right ventricular apical pacing is, however, an often pathologic substitute for intrinsic ventricular activation over the His-Purkinje system. Several reports indicate that this form of pacing is detrimental potentially increasing the risk of heart failure episodes and death, particularly in patients with abnormal LV function.

In our study, done on patients with normal ejection fraction and with no structural heart disease however has not shown any significant difference in the systolic and diastolic function after one year of right ventricular pacing.
Various parameters of inter and intra ventricular dyssynchrony also showed non-significant variation between baseline.

Also right ventricular pacing did not affect the functional capacity of the patient as assessed by six minute walk test in our study. Although much has been said regarding the detrimental effects of right ventricular pacing, in patients with good left ventricular function it is still a good and cost effective treatment for bradyarrhythmias.
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