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Abstract

With cancer incidence increasing over time worldwide, attention to the burden of psychiatric and psychosocial consequences of the disease is now mandatory for both cancer and mental health care professionals. Psychiatric disorders have been shown to affect at least 30–35% of cancer patients during all phases of the disease trajectory, and differ in nature according to stage and type of cancer. Other clinically relevant distressing psychosocial and existential conditions (e.g. demoralisation, health anxiety, loss of meaning and existential distress) not included as ‘disorders’ in the usual diagnostic and nosological systems (i.e. meta-diagnostic conditions) have also been shown to be present in another 15–20% of cancer patients. In this editorial, we will present a summary of the extensive literature regarding the epidemiology of the several psychosocial disorders affecting cancer patients as a cause of distress and burden to be taken into consideration and addressed in cancer care through evidence-based intervention.

Introduction

Over the past 70 years, extensive research in oncology has demonstrated that cancer has significant psychosocial consequences for both the patients and the family in terms of psychiatric and psychosocial morbidity influencing the overall burden of the disease (Girgis et al., 2013; Caruso et al., 2017; Mehnert et al., 2018). When the usual nosological systems of psychiatric classification (e.g. the International Classification of Disease – ICD, of the World Health Organization or the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders – DSM, of the American Psychiatric Association) are employed the rate of psychiatric morbidity is about one out of three patients (Mitchell et al., 2011). Data regarding cancer populations both in Northern (Mehnert et al., 2014; Kuhnt et al., 2016) and Southern Europe (Grassi et al., 2009; Grassi et al., 2018) confirm that 35–40% of cancer patients have a diagnosable psychiatric disorder according to the ICD-10 psychiatric interview. These figures are higher among cancer patients with advanced stages of cancer and in palliative care settings (Breitbart and Alici, 2014; Jaiswal et al., 2014), but not much lower among cancer survivors, in whom the burden of having had cancer has typically been expected to decrease over time. In fact, data show that about one-third of cancer survivors continue to suffer from psychiatric disorders (Geue et al., 2018) with a risk two to three times higher than control health groups and higher than the general population in terms of depressive and anxious symptomatology (Götze et al., 2019; Kuba et al., 2019).

The characterisation of psychosocial morbidity in cancer

When examining the characteristic psychiatric diagnoses amongst cancer patients, some disorders are more common than others, such as depression, trauma/stress-related disorders and anxiety disorders. More recently, other conditions, including sub-threshold and clinically significant psychosocial/existential syndromes, not included as disorders, and thus not detectable by using ICD or DSM systems, have been identified as significant sources of distress and psychological burden for many cancer patients.

Depressive spectrum disorders

Depressive spectrum disorders are extremely common in cancer, although their prevalence varies considerably depending on the studies, the treatment settings (outpatient clinics, hospital and palliative care settings), the stage of cancer (early diagnosis, recurrence, survivorship or advanced stages) and the type of assessment methods and tools used to determine caseness (e.g. self-report tools v. psychiatric interviews) (Walker et al., 2013; Krebber et al., 2014; Caruso et al., 2017). With regards to major depression, the debate related to diagnostic problems has been very intense, because of the limits of a categorical approach and the need to understand...
the diagnostic specificity of physical vs. psychological symptoms (affective vs. neurovegetative) in the clinical manifestation of the disorder. For example, in palliative care, a marked reduction in performance, pain and fatigue, as well as loss of a vision of the future, make it difficult to differentiate major depression from other depressive spectrum disorders (Rayner et al., 2009; Wasteson et al., 2009; Fairman and Irwin, 2013; Janberidze et al., 2014). Clarification is also needed with respect to other forms of depression categorised in DSM-5 and ICD-10, such as minor depression, recurrent brief depression and anxious-depressive syndrome including sub-threshold and anxious-depressive, provided it is useful to use these categories in the context of cancer care (Mitchell et al., 2012).

**Anxiety disorders and trauma/stress-related disorders**

Regarding anxiety disorders, specific phobias (in particular those related to well-known phenomena such as chemotherapy induced anticipatory nausea and vomiting, overlapping to the mechanisms of classic phobias) are common in cancer care, particularly after chemotherapy.

Trauma/stress-related disorders include post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and sub-syndromic forms of PTSD that has also shown to affect 15% of cancer patients (Cordova et al., 2012) with a smaller percentage, but still significant, in long-survivors of cancer [at least 5 years after diagnosis (Rustad et al., 2012)]. These data should be taken into consideration when analysing some aspects of PTSD in cancer diseases, such as the impossibility to define qualitative (exogenous stressors vs. endogenous stressors) and temporal criteria (acute stressor in PTSD v. continuing and multiple stressful events in cancer).

Adjustment disorders (ADs) represent a frequent diagnosis at all stages of cancer, and describe the emotional reactions related to the multiple stressors linked to cancer, involving 20–25% of patients. Anxiety as well as depression can be also components of AD depression with intertwining effects in the manifestation of both conditions. However, several different problems emerge, not only with regards to oncology but all medical conditions, because of the low specificity of DSM criteria for the diagnosis of AD, the vagueness of the symptoms, the weakness of the concept of distress v. the expected normal response, and the inconsistency and inadequacy of using time as a criterion (Casey, 2014; Bachem and Casey, 2018).

**Somatisation and somatic-symptom disorders**

Somatisation and somatic-symptom disorders and their role in influencing patients’ well-being are also important, not only because of its prevalence especially in long-survivors of cancer, but also in general for the multiple relationships between the perception of somatic symptoms and the patient’s interpretation. Pain intensity, hypochondriacal concerns, disease labelling and dys-functional interpretation of somatic symptoms, are part of a complex picture related to illness and abnormal illness behaviour; ways in which individuals adaptively or maladaptively monitor their body, experience, evaluate and respond to their state of health. All these issues have a significant role in cancer care, since somatic symptoms may magnify disability resulting from cancer, interfere with treatment adherence, decision making, cause delay in recovery, result in poor outcomes and recurrence, and reduce overall well-being and quality of life, and complicate the diagnosis of major depression (due to the overlap of symptoms occurring as a result of the underlying disease, depression or somatoform disorders) (Chaturvedi et al., 2006; Grassi et al., 2013).

**Neurocognitive disorders**

Neurocognitive disorders represent an important clinical area in terms of mild (sometimes major) cognitive impairment or acute confusional states (delirium) secondary to the disease and its treatment. Mild neurocognitive disorders can be secondary to chemotherapy or chemotherapy associated with radiation therapy which can cause impairment of neuropsychological domains, such as memory, attention, concentration, learning functions, calculation and visual-spatial perception (Wigmore, 2013). Other cognitive impairment conditions, including dementia (especially in patients undergoing radiotherapy of the brain for the treatment of brain metastases) are estimated to be 2–5%. They could be caused by primary disease (e.g. primary brain tumours) or by the consequences of cancer treatments on the central nervous system (CNS). Brain metastases can also induce psychopathological disorders, in particular memory impairment associated with personality modification, as well as aphasia, alexia, acalculia, agnosia, apraxia, amnesia and mood disorders (Soussain et al., 2009).

A special area of interest is represented by delirium, one of the most common cognitive disorders in cancer, particularly in the advanced stages of disease. Delirium prevalence, depending on stage of disease, ranges from 10 to 80%, including both the hyperactive-activated and mixed forms, although hypoactive delirium is most common in advanced cancer (Stagno et al., 2004; Breitbart and Alicas, 2012, 2014; Grassi et al., 2015; Hey et al., 2015; Lawlor and Bush, 2015).

**Other psychosocial clinically significant disorders**

Apart from the aforesaid psychiatric disorders, as emerging from the DSM and the ICD nosography, other significant clinical conditions have been shown to negatively affect the patient’s quality of life. Research based on the use of the Diagnostic Criteria for Psychosomatic Research as well as other tools has indicated the importance of assessing a series of dimensions and emotional responses, such as demoralisation, loss of meaning, existential distress, irritable mood, health anxiety and illness denial that can all be of impact in oncology patients. Health anxiety (37.7%) and demoralisation (28.8%) are for example the most frequent clinically relevant psychosocial conditions diagnosable in cancer patients in different phases of illness (Grassi et al., 2005). Demoralisation, as a syndrome characterised by helplessness, hopelessness, a sense of failure and the inability to cope, has been shown to be associated with higher levels of sadness, more physical symptoms, poorer well-being, poorer leisure activity and lower support from interpersonal ties, higher levels of worries and preoccupation related to cancer (e.g. the illness itself, the effects of treatment, feeling different from others, the impact on sexual life, the future) as well as loss of meaning and hope, poor dignity, a sense of worthlessness on one’s own life and in the future and suicidal ideation (Grassi and Nanni, 2016).

**Sexual disorders and dysfunctions**

Sexual disorders and dysfunctions are also part of the possible psychiatric diagnoses in cancer involving an average of 25–40% of cancer patients, both in male and female cancer patients (Morreale, 2011). Patients with breast cancer, ovary cancer and
uterine cancer show a decrease or loss of sexual drive, changes in female genital response (decrease or loss of lubrication), orgasm problems, and vaginismus and dyspareunia. Similarly, male patients show that testicular and prostate cancer have shown to report important consequences on sexuality, in particular low sexual drive, performance anxiety, premature ejaculation, erectile dysfunction and inhibition of orgasm (Chung and Brock, 2013; Chung and Gillman, 2014; Katz and Dizon, 2016). Body image changes, the effects of chemo- and radiation therapy at a systemic level as well as on the reproductive tract; weight loss, stomas (e.g. ostomy and colostomy), the consequences of surgery and incontinence are the main causal factors of these disorders.

**Evidenced-based psychiatric and psycho-social interventions**

Knowledge about the treatment of psychosocial and psychiatric conditions is mandatory for cancer care clinicians, given the number of studies that have shown the efficacy and effectiveness of psychosocial interventions (Faller et al., 2013; Myrhaug et al., 2018). Counselling, educational, coping and psychological support, and more specific forms of psychotherapy in their different formats (group, individual and family therapy) and orientations (cognitive-behavioural, supportive-expressive, existential, meaning-centred and psychodynamic) have been developed for cancer patients in order to more specifically intervene in all the conditions where psychological disorders and maladjustment to cancer and treatment emerge. The choice of intervention is related to several variables, including the clinical psychological condition, the type and phase of illness, the context, as well as the availability of psycho-oncology services with trained professionals which should be part of multidisciplinary teams.

The literature on the efficacy of the several forms of specialised psychotherapeutic interventions and psychosocial rehabilitation, especially if in the form of collaborative care, indicates a general benefit in reducing the severity of psychiatric symptoms, as well as somatic symptoms (e.g. pain) and in improving quality of life, well-being and return to work and illness behaviour (Li et al., 2017). The interventions, with the most empirical support for treating distress in cancer patients include supportive-expressive group psychotherapy, cognitive-behavioural and cognitive-existential therapy, and meaning centred psychotherapy (Breitbart, 2017; Kang et al., 2019).

Integrated psychopharmacological intervention (psychopharmacological intervention) (Grassi and Riba, 2014) has also shown to be efficacious in several disorders, where the use of specific serotonin reuptake inhibitors; specific noradrenergic and serotonin reuptake inhibitors have been shown to help both in treating depression and anxiety and cancer-related symptoms, such as pain, hot-flashes and pain (Caruso et al., 2013; Grassi et al., 2014). With respect to this it is important for clinicians, usually psychiatrists, but also oncologists and primary care physicians to have a proper training on the use of the drugs, their side-effects and interaction with other cancer treatment in oncology (Grassi et al., 2018). There is however an urgent need for a systemic approach to the development and conduction of multidisciplinary integrated psychosocial interventions, based on both guidelines and larger and more rigorously conducted randomised controlled trials.

**Conclusions**

Cancer has significant psychosocial consequences for both patients and their families. Today there is scientific evidence of the benefits of providing psychosocial cancer care as part of standard care in reducing distress and psychosocial morbidity associated with cancer and in fostering a better quality of life during and after treatment, and eventually in increasing survival. The significant advances in research in the area of psycho-oncology have favoured the development, implementation and dissemination of psychosocial guidelines and evidence-based treatments for several co-morbid psychiatric disorders in cancer, such as depression (Li et al., 2017) and anxiety (Traeger et al., 2012). Screening, identification and access to evidence-based psychosocial approaches for cancer patients in distress must be provided, both in the hospital and in community settings (Lazenby, 2015; Andersen and Dorfman, 2016; Grassi et al., 2018). Besides specific cancer care specialists, primary care should also take responsibility in its role of provision of continuous, coordinated and comprehensive care for individuals with cancer and families. This should include psychosocial care, prevention and diagnosis, in shared follow-up and survivorship care and end-of-life care (Rubin et al., 2015).
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