EXTREMAL EFFECTIVE DIVISORS ON $\overline{M}_{1,n}$
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Abstract. For every $n \geq 3$, we exhibit infinitely many extremal effective divisors on the moduli space of genus one curves with $n$ marked points.
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1. Introduction

Let $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ denote the moduli space of stable genus $g$ curves with $n$ ordered marked points. Understanding the cone of pseudo-effective divisors $\overline{Eff}(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is a central problem in the birational geometry of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. Since the 1980s, motivated by the problem of determining the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, many authors have constructed families of effective divisors on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. For example, Harris, Mumford and Eisenbud [HM, H, EH], using Brill-Noether and Gieseker-Petri divisors showed that $\overline{M}_g$ is of general type for $g > 23$. Using Koszul divisors, Farkas [F] extended this result to $g \geq 22$. Logan [Lo], using generalized Brill-Noether divisors, obtained similar results for the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ when $n > 0$.

Although we know many examples of effective divisors on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, the structure of the pseudo-effective cone $\overline{Eff}(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ remains mysterious in general. Recently, inspired by the work of Keel and Vermeire [VV] on $\overline{M}_{0,6}$, Castravet and Tevelev [CT] constructed a sequence of non-boundary extremal effective divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ for $n \geq 6$. For higher genera, Farkas and Verra [FV1, FV2] showed that certain variations of pointed Brill-Noether divisors are extremal on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ for $g - 2 \leq n \leq g$. However, for fixed $g$ and $n$, these constructions yield only finitely many extremal divisors. This raises the question whether there exist $g$ and $n$ such that $\overline{Eff}(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is not finitely generated.

Motivated by this question, in this paper we study the moduli space of genus one curves with $n$ marked points. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a collection of $n$ integers.
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satisfying \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 0 \), not all equal to zero. Define \( D_{a} \) in \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) as the closure of the divisorial locus parameterizing smooth genus one curves with \( n \) marked points \((E; p_1, \ldots, p_n)\) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p_i = 0 \) in the Jacobian of \( E \).

Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that \( n \geq 3 \) and \( \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1 \). Then \( D_{a} \) is an extremal and rigid effective divisor on \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \). Moreover, these \( D_{a} \)'s yield infinitely many extremal rays for \( \text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n}) \). Consequently, \( \text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n}) \) is not finite polyhedral and \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) is not a Mori dream space.

The assumption \( \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1 \) is necessary to ensure that \( D_{a} \) is irreducible, see Section 3.2. Our strategy for proving the extremality of \( D_{a} \) is to exhibit irreducible curves \( C \) Zariski dense in \( D_{a} \) such that \( C \cdot D_{a} < 0 \).

By exhibiting nef line bundles that are not semi-ample, Keel [K, Corollary 3.1] had already observed that \( \overline{M}_{g,n} \) cannot be a Mori dream space if \( g \geq 3 \) and \( n \geq 1 \). The divisor class of \( D_{a} \) was first calculated by Hain [H] using normal functions. The restriction of this class to the locus of curves with rational tails was worked out by Cavalieri, Marcus and Wise [CMW] using Gromov-Witten theory. Two other proofs were recently obtained by Grushevsky and Zakharov [GZ] and by Müller [M]. We remark that all of them considered more general cycle classes in \( \overline{M}_{g,n} \) for \( g \geq 1 \), by pulling back the zero section of the universal Jacobian or the Theta divisor of the universal Picard variety of degree \( g - 1 \).

The symmetric group \( S_{n} \) acts on \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) by permuting the labeling of the marked points. Denote the quotient by \( \tilde{M}_{1,n} = \overline{M}_{1,n}/S_{n} \). In contrast to Theorem 1.1 in the last section, we show that \( \text{Eff}(\tilde{M}_{1,n}) \) is finitely generated. In fact, following an argument of Keel and McKernan [KM], we prove that the boundary divisors generate \( \text{Eff}(\tilde{M}_{1,n}) \).

Note that for a subgroup \( G \subset S_{n} \), if infinitely many irreducible divisors \( D_{a} \) in the above can be directly defined on \( \overline{M}_{1,n}/G \), then \( \text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n}/G) \) is not finitely generated. For instance, consider \( n = 6 \) and \( G \) the subgroup of \( S_{6} \) generated by three simple transpositions \((12), (34) \) and \((56)\). Then \( D_{(a,a,b,b,c,c)} \) is well-defined on \( \overline{M}_{1,6}/G \) for \( a + b + c = 0 \). Moreover, if \( \gcd(a,b,c) = 1 \), then \( D_{(a,a,b,c,c)} \) is irreducible and extremal on \( \overline{M}_{1,6}/G \) as well. It would be interesting to classify all subgroups \( G \subset S_{n} \) for which \( \text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n}/G) \) is not finitely generated.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the divisor theory of \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \). In Section 3 we discuss the geometry of \( D_{a} \), including its divisor class and irreducible components. In Section 4 we prove our main results and describe a conceptual understanding from the viewpoint of birational automorphisms of \( \overline{M}_{1,3} \). In Section 5 we study the moduli space \( \tilde{M}_{1,n} \) of genus one curves with \( n \) unordered marked points and show that its effective cone is generated by boundary divisors. Finally, in the appendix, we analyze the singularities of \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) and show that a canonical form defined on its smooth locus extends holomorphically to an arbitrary resolution.
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2. Preliminaries on $\overline{M}_{1,n}$

In this section, we recall basic facts concerning the geometry of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. We refer the reader to [AC, BF, S] for the facts quoted below.

Let $\lambda$ be the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle on $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. Let $\delta_{\text{irr}}$ be the divisor class of the locus in $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ that parameterizes curves with a non-separating node. The general point of $\delta_{\text{irr}}$ parameterizes a rational nodal curve with $n$ marked points. Let $S$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with cardinality $|S| \geq 2$ and let $S^c$ denote its complement. Let $\delta_{0:S}$ denote the divisor class of the locus in $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ parameterizing curves with a node that separates the curve into a stable genus zero curve marked by $S$ and a stable genus one curve marked by $S^c$. In addition, let $\psi_i$ be the first Chern class of the cotangent bundle associated to the $i$th marked point for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Here we consider the divisor classes in the moduli stack instead of the coarse moduli scheme, see e.g. [HMo, Section 3.D] for more details.

The rational Picard group of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is generated by $\lambda$ and $\delta_{0:S}$ for all $|S| \geq 2$.

The divisor classes $\delta_{\text{irr}}$ and $\psi_i$ can be expressed in terms of the generators as

$$\delta_{\text{irr}} = 12\lambda,$$

$$\psi_i = \lambda + \sum_{i \in S} \delta_{0:S}. $$

The canonical class of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is

$$K_{\overline{M}_{1,n}} = (n - 11)\lambda + \sum_{|S| \geq 2} ((|S| - 2)\delta_{0:S}).$$

For $n \leq 10$, $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is rational [H Theorem 1.0.1]. Moreover, the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{M}_{1,11}$ is zero and the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ for $n \geq 12$ is one [BF Theorem 3.1].

3. Geometry of $D_a$

Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a sequence of integers, not all equal to zero, such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$. The divisor $D_a$ in $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is defined as the closure of the locus parameterizing smooth genus one curves $E$ with $n$ distinct marked points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n a_ip_i = 0$ in $\text{Jac}(E)$. Equivalently, let $J$ denote the universal Jacobian. We have a map $\mathcal{M}_{1,n} \to J$ induced by

$$(E; p_1, \ldots, p_n) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_ip_i \right).$$

Then $D_a$ is the closure of the pullback of the zero section of $J$.

The divisor class of $D_a$ was first calculated by Hain [Ha Theorem 12.1]. We point out that the setting of [Ha] is slightly different from ours. There the map $\mathcal{M}_{1,n} \to J$, denoted by $F_d$, extends to $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ as a morphism in codimension one. Hence the pullback of the zero section of $J$, denoted by $F_d^* \eta_1$, may contain boundary divisors. In particular, if the marked points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ coincide on $E$, the condition

\[\text{In order to study the Kodaira dimension of a singular variety, one needs to ensure that a canonical form defined in its smooth locus extends holomorphically to a resolution. Farkas informed the authors that such a verification for $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ seems not to be easily accessible in the literature. Although the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is irrelevant for our results, we will treat this issue in the appendix by a standard argument based on the Reid-Tai criterion.}\]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p_i = 0 \] automatically holds by the assumption \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 0 \). In other words, \( F_d \eta_1 \) contains the boundary divisor \( \delta_0; \{1,\ldots,n\} \). In contrast, in our setting \( D_a \) does not contain any boundary divisors. This was already observed by Cautis \[Ca\] Proposition 3.4.7] for the case \( n = 2 \). In order to clarify this distinction, we will first carry out a direct calculation for the class of \( D_a \) and confirm that it matches with \[Ha\] after adding \( \delta_0; \{1,\ldots,n\} \).

### 3.1. Divisor class of \( D_a \)

Take a general one-dimensional family \( \pi : C \rightarrow B \) of genus one curves with \( n \) sections \( \sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n \) such that every fiber contains at most one node and the total space of the family is smooth. Suppose there are \( d_S \) fibers in which the sections labeled by \( S \) intersect simultaneously and pairwise transversally.

Let \( d_{\text{irr}} \) be the number of rational nodal fibers. Let \( \omega \) be the first Chern class of the relative dualizing sheaf associated to \( \pi \) and \( \eta \) the locus of nodes in \( C \). Then the following formulae are standard \([HM0]\):

\[
\pi_\ast \eta = d_{\text{irr}} + \sum_S d_S, \\
\omega^2 = -\sum_S d_S, \\
\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j = \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} d_S, \\
\omega \cdot \sigma_i = -\sigma_i^2 = B \cdot \psi_i - \sum_{i \in S} d_S = \frac{1}{12} d_{\text{irr}}. 
\]

Suppose \( D_a \) has class

\[
D_a = c_{\text{irr}} \delta_{\text{irr}} + \sum_{|S| \geq 2} c_S \delta_0; \{S\}
\]

with unknown coefficients \( c_{\text{irr}} \) and \( c_S \). By \([GZ] \) page 11, the zero section of \( J \) vanishes along the boundary divisor \( \delta_0; \{1,\ldots,n\} \) with multiplicity one. Applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula to the push-forward of the section \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sigma_i \), we conclude that

\[
B \cdot D_a + d_{\{1,\ldots,n\}} = c_1 \left( R^1 \pi_\ast \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sigma_i \right) \\
= -\pi_\ast \left( \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sigma_i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sigma_i \right)^2 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{\omega^2 + \eta}{12} \right) \right) \\
= \frac{1}{12} d_{\text{irr}} + \frac{1}{24} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \right) d_{\text{irr}} - \sum_S \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} a_i a_j d_S.
\]

By comparing coefficients on the two sides of the equation, we obtain that

\[
12c_{\text{irr}} = -1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2,
\]

\[
c_S = -\sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} a_i a_j, \quad S \neq \{1,\ldots,n\},
\]

\[
c_{\{1,\ldots,n\}} = -\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j - 1 = -1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2,
\]
where the last equality uses the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$. Hence, we conclude the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** The divisor class of $D_a$ is given by

$$D_a = \left( -1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) (\lambda + \delta_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}) - \sum_{2 \leq |S| < n} \left( \sum_{\{i,j\} \subseteq S} a_ia_j \right) \delta_{0,S}.$$ 

Therefore, adding $\delta_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ to $D_a$, we recover the divisor class calculated in [Ha, Theorem 12.1].

### 3.2. Irreducible components of $D_a$.

The divisor $D_a$ is not always irreducible. For instance for $D_{(4,4)}$ on $\overline{M}_{1,2}$, the condition is $4p_1 - 4p_2 = 0$. There are two possibilities, $2p_1 - 2p_2 = 0$ and $2p_1 - 2p_2 \neq 0$, each yielding a component for $D_{(4,4)}$. In general for $n \geq 3$, if $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$, then $D_a$ is irreducible. If $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) > 1$, then $D_a$ contains more than one component. Below we will prove this statement and calculate the divisor class of each irreducible component.

First, consider the special case $n = 2$. Let $\eta(d)$ denote the number of positive integers that divide $d$.

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose $a$ is an integer bigger than one. Then the divisor $D_{(a,-a)}$ in $\overline{M}_{1,2}$ consists of $\eta(a) - 1$ irreducible components.

**Proof.** By definition, $D_{(a,-a)}$ is the closure of the locus parameterizing $(E;p_1,p_2)$ such that $p_2 - p_1$ is a non-zero $a$-torsion. Take the square $[0,a] \times [0,a]$ and glue its parallel edges to form a torus $E$. Fix $p_1$ as the origin of $E$. The number of $a$-torsion points $p_2$ is equal to $a^2$ and the coordinates $(x,y)$ of each $a$-torsion point satisfy $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/a$.

When varying the lattice structure of $E$, the monodromy group acts on $(x,y)$. Suppose we fix the horizontal edge and shift the vertical edge to the right until we obtain a parallelogram spanned by $[0,a] \times [0,a(1+i)]$. The resulting torus is isomorphic to $E$. Consequently the monodromy action sends an $a$-torsion point $(x,y)$ to $(x+y,y)$. Similarly, we may also obtain the action sending $(x,y)$ to $(x,x+y)$. Then each orbit of the monodromy action is uniquely determined by $k = \gcd(x,y,a)$. In other words, the monodromy is transitive on the primitive $a'$-torsion points for each divisor $a'$ of $a$, where $a' = a/k$. Hence, the number of its orbits is $\eta(a)$. Each orbit gives rise to an irreducible component of $D_{(a,-a)}$ parameterizing $(E,p_1,p_2)$ such that $p_2 - p_1$ is a primitive $a'$-torsion, where $a$ is divisible by $a'$. Moreover, when $a' = 1$, i.e. $p_2 = p_1$, the corresponding component is $\delta_{0;\{1,2\}}$, hence we need to exclude it by our setting. \qedsymbol

Next, we consider the case $n \geq 3$. If $m$ entries of $a$ are zero, drop them and denote by $a'$ the resulting $(n-m)$-tuple. Then we have $D_a = \pi^*D_{a'}$, where $\pi : \overline{M}_{1,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{1,n-m}$ is the map forgetting the corresponding marked points. Since the fiber of $\pi$ over a general point in $D_{a'}$ is irreducible, we conclude that $D_a$ and $D_{a'}$ possess the same number of irreducible components. It remains to consider the case when all entries of $a$ are non-zero.

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose $n \geq 3$ and all entries of $a$ are non-zero. Let $d = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Then $D_a$ consists of $\eta(d)$ irreducible components.
Proof. If an entry of \( a \) equals 1 or \(-1\), say \( a_n = 1 \), then we can freely choose \( p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1} \) and a general choice uniquely determines \( p_n \). In other words, \( D_a \) is birational to \( \mathcal{M}_{1,n-1} \) which is irreducible.

Suppose all the entries are different from 1 and \(-1\). Fix \( p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1} \) and replace \( p_n \) by \( p'_n = 2p_1 - p_n \), then \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) becomes \( a' = (a_1 + 2a_n, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, -a_n) \). Note that \( p_n \) and \( p'_n \) uniquely determine each other, and for general points in \( D_a \) we have \( p'_n \neq p_i \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \), otherwise we would have \( |a_i| = |a_n| = 1 \). Hence the components of \( D_a \) and \( D_{a'} \) have a one to one correspondence. Using such transformations, we can decrease \( \min\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_n|\} \) until one of the entries is equal to \( d \), say \( a_n = d \).

Now fix \( p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1} \) and set \( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_ip_i \) to be the origin of \( E \). Then \( p_n \) is a \( d \)-torsion. Analyzing the monodromy associated to \( D_a \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,n-1} \) as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we see that \( D_a \) has at most \( \eta(d) \) irreducible components. On the other hand for each positive factor \( s \) of \( d \), the locus parameterizing \( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_ip_i = 0 \) where \( b_i = a_i/s \) gives rise to at least one component of \( D_a \). Hence \( D_a \) contains exactly \( \eta(d) \) irreducible components. Since \( n \geq 3 \), none of these components is a boundary divisor of \( \mathcal{M}_{1,n} \). \( \square \)

Let us calculate the divisor class of each component of \( D_a \). As in the proof of Proposition 3.3 let \( D'_a \) be the irreducible component of \( D_a \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_ip_i = 0 \) but \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i/s)p_i \neq 0 \) for general points in \( D'_a \) and any \( s \) dividing \( \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \).

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose \( \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = d > 1 \). Then the divisor \( D'_a \) has class

\[
D'_a = \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)(D_a + \lambda + \delta_{0;\{1, \ldots, n\}}),
\]

where the product ranges over all primes \( p \) dividing \( d \).

We remark that for \( n = 2 \) the above divisor class was calculated by Cautis [Ca, Proposition 3.4.7] and also communicated personally to the authors by Hain.

**Proof.** Let \( b_i = a_i/d \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \). For an integer \( m \), use the notation \( mb = (mb_1, \ldots, mb_n) \). Note that

\[
D_a = D_{mb} = \sum_{t|d} D'_{tb},
\]

where \( t \) ranges over all positive integers dividing \( d \). By Proposition 3.1 we have

\[
D_a + \lambda + \delta_{0;\{1, \ldots, n\}} = d^2(D_{b} + \lambda + \delta_{0;\{1, \ldots, n\}}).
\]

For an integer \( t \geq 2 \), define

\[
\sigma(t) = t^2 \prod_{p|t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right),
\]

where the product ranges over all primes \( p \) dividing \( t \). We also set \( \sigma(1) = 1 \). Using the above observation, it suffices to prove that

\[
\sum_{t|d} \sigma(t) = d^2
\]

for all \( d \).

In order to prove the above equality, do induction on \( d \). Write \( d \) as

\[
d = q^mqe,
\]
where $q$ is a prime and $e$ is not divisible by $q$. Let $S_i$ be the set of positive integers $t$ dividing $d$, such that $t$ is divisible by $q^i$ but not by $q^{i+1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. By induction, we have

$$\sum_{t \in S_i} \sigma(t) = q^{2i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) e^2.$$ 

Summing over all $i$, we thus obtain that

$$\sum_{t \vert d} \sigma(t) = q^{2m} e^2 = d^2.$$

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.5.** If $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) > 1$, the divisor class $D_n'$ is not extremal.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.4, $D_n'$ is a positive linear combination of effective divisor classes, not all proportional. \[\square\]

## 4. Extremality of $D_n$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that an effective divisor $D$ in a projective variety $X$ is called extremal, if for any linear combination $D = a_1D_1 + a_2D_2$ with $a_i > 0$ and $D_i$ pseudo-effective, $D$ and $D_i$ are proportional. In this case, we say that $D$ spans an extremal ray of the pseudo-effective cone $\text{Eff}(X)$. Furthermore, we say that $D$ is rigid, if for every positive integer $m$ the linear system $|mD|$ consists of the single element $mD$. An irreducible effective curve contained in $D$ is called a moving curve in $D$, if its deformations cover a dense subset of $D$.

Let us first give a method to test the extremality and rigidity for an effective divisor.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that $C$ is a moving curve in an irreducible effective divisor $D$ satisfying $C \cdot D < 0$. Then $D$ is extremal and rigid.

**Proof.** Let us first prove the extremality of $D$. Suppose that $D = a_1D_1 + a_2D_2$ with $a_i > 0$ and $D_i$ pseudo-effective. If $D_1$ and $D_2$ are not proportional to $D$, we can assume that they lie in the boundary of $\text{Eff}(X)$ and moreover that $D_i - \epsilon D$ is not pseudo-effective for any $\epsilon > 0$. Otherwise, we can replace $D_1$ and $D_2$ by the intersections of their linear span with the boundary of $\text{Eff}(X)$.

Since $C \cdot D < 0$, at least for one of the $D_i$’s, say $D_1$, we have $C \cdot D_1 < 0$. Without loss of generality, rescale the class of $D_1$ such that $C \cdot D_1 = -1$. Take a very ample divisor class $A$ and consider the class $F_n = nD_1 + A$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Then $F_n$ can be represented by an effective divisor. Suppose $C \cdot A = a$ and $C \cdot D = -b$ for some $a, b > 0$. Note that if $C$ has negative intersection with an effective divisor, then it is contained in that divisor. Since $C$ is moving in $D$, it further implies that $D$ is contained in that divisor. It is easy to check that $C \cdot (F_n - kD) < 0$ for any $k < (n - a)/b$. Moreover, the multiplicity of $D$ in the base locus of $F_n$ is at least equal to $(n - a)/b$. Consequently $E_n = F_n - (n - a)D/b$ is a pseudo-effective divisor class. As $n$ goes to infinity, the limit of $E_n/n$ has class $D_1 - D/b$. Since $E_n$ is pseudo-effective, we conclude that $D_1 - D/b$ is also pseudo-effective, contradicting the assumption that $D_1 - \epsilon D$ is not pseudo-effective for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Next, we prove the rigidity. Suppose for some integer $m$ there exists another effective divisor $D'$ such that $D' \sim mD$. Without loss of generality, assume that $D'$ does not contain $D$, for otherwise we just subtract $D$ from both sides. Since
C \cdot D < 0$, we have $C \cdot D' < 0$, and hence $D'$ contains $C$. But $C$ is moving in $D$, hence $D'$ has to contain $D$, contradicting the assumption. □

Although we can give a uniform proof of Theorem 1.1 as in Section 4.2, for the reader to get a feel, let us first discuss the case $n = 3$ in detail.

4.1. Geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3}$. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$. If $a_3 = 0$, then $a_2 = -a_1$ are not relatively prime unless they are 1 and $-1$. But then $p_1 = p_2$, hence the locus corresponds to the boundary divisor $\delta_{0;\{1,2\}}$. Therefore, below we assume that $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$ and none of the $a_i$’s is zero.

Fix a smooth genus one curve $E$ with a marked point $p_1$. Vary two points $p_2, p_3$ on $E$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_ip_i = 0$ in the Jacobian of $E$. Let $X$ be the curve induced in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ by this one parameter family of three pointed genus one curves. We obtain deformations of $X$ by varying the complex structure on $E$. Since these deformations cover a Zariski dense subset of $D_a$, we obtain a moving curve in the divisor $D_a$.

We have the following intersection numbers:

\[ X \cdot \delta_{ir} = 0, \]
\[ X \cdot \delta_{0;\{i,j\}} = a_k^2 - 1 \text{ for } k \neq i, j, \]
\[ X \cdot \delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}} = 1. \]

The intersection numbers $X \cdot \delta_{ir}$ and $X \cdot \delta_{0;\{i,j\}}$ are straightforward. At the intersection with $\delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}}$, $p_1, p_2, p_3$ coincide at the same point $t$ in $E$. Blow up $t$ and we obtain a rational tail $R \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ that contains the three marked points. Without loss of generality, suppose $a_1 > 0$ and $a_2, a_3 < 0$. The pencil induced by $a_1p_1 \sim (-a_2)p_2 + (-a_3)p_3$ degenerates to an admissible cover $\pi$ of degree $a_1$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, $\pi$ is totally ramified at $p_1$, has ramification order $(-a_i)$ at $p_i$ for $i = 2, 3$, and is simply ramified at $t$. Suppose $\pi(p_1) = 0$, $\pi(p_2) = \pi(p_3) = \infty$ and $\pi(t) = 1$ in the target $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then in affine coordinates $\pi$ is given by

\[ \pi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} (x - p_i)^{a_i}. \]

The condition imposed on $t$ is that

\[ (x - p_1)^{a_1} - (x - p_2)^{-a_2}(x - p_3)^{-a_3} \]

has a critical point at $t$ and $\pi(t) = 1$. Solving for $t$, we easily see that $t$ exists and is uniquely determined by $p_1, p_2, p_3$, namely, the four points $t, p_1, p_2, p_3$ have unique moduli in $R$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $n = 3$.

Proof. Using the divisor class $D_a$ in Proposition 3.1 and the above intersection numbers, we see that

\[ X \cdot D_a = -1. \]

By assumption both $X$ and $D_a$ are irreducible. Moreover, $X$ is a moving curve inside $D_a$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 $D_a$ is an extremal and rigid divisor.

To see that we obtain infinitely many extremal rays of $Eff(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3})$ this way, let us take $a = (n+1, -n, -1)$. Then $D_{(n+1, -n, -1)}$ is irreducible and its divisor class lies on the ray

\[ c \left( \lambda + \delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}} + \delta_{0;\{1,2\}} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{0;\{1,3\}} - \frac{1}{n+1} \delta_{0;\{2,3\}} \right), \quad c > 0. \]
Moreover, if $f$ automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$, the idea is as follows. We want to find a birational map $f : \mathcal{M}_{1,3} \to \mathcal{M}_{1,3}$ such that $f$ and its inverse do not contract any divisor, then $f$ preserves the structure of $\text{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_{1,3})$, i.e., a divisor $D$ is extremal if and only if $f_*(D)$ is extremal. Moreover, if $f$ sends $D$ to a boundary divisor $\delta_{0;S}$, then $D$ is extremal, since we know $\delta_{0;S}$ is extremal.

A prototype of such birational automorphisms can be defined as $f : (E; p_1, p_2, p_3) \mapsto (E; q_1, q_2, q_3)$ such that

\begin{align*}
q_1 &= p_1, \\
q_2 &= p_2, \\
q_3 &= p_2 + p_3 - p_1,
\end{align*}

where $E$ is a smooth genus one curve with three marked points in general position. Then $f^{-1}$ is accordingly given by

\begin{align*}
p_1 &= q_1, \\
p_2 &= q_2, \\
p_3 &= q_1 + q_3 - q_2.
\end{align*}

Note that $f$ does not extend to a regular map on $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$, see Remark 4.2. But one can extend $f$ to a regular map in codimension-one, which we still denote by $f$.

**Proposition 4.2.** Away from $D_{(2,1,3)}$ and the boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$, $f$ is injective with image contained in $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$. For general points in each boundary component of $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$, $f$ induces the following action:

\begin{align*}
\delta_{\text{irr}} &\mapsto \delta_{\text{irr}}, \\
\delta_{0;(1,2)} &\mapsto \delta_{0;(1,2)}, \\
\delta_{0;(1,3)} &\mapsto \delta_{0;(2,3)}, \\
\delta_{0;(2,3)} &\mapsto D_{(-1,2,1)}, \\
\delta_{0;(1,2,3)} &\mapsto \delta_{0;(1,2,3)}.
\end{align*}

For general points in $D_{(2,1,3)}$, the action induced by $f$ is:

\begin{align*}
D_{(2,1,3)} &\mapsto \delta_{0;(1,3)}.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** Take a smooth genus one curve $E$ with three distinct marked points $p_1, p_2, p_3$. By definition, we know $q_1 \neq q_2$. If $q_2 = q_3$, we get $p_3 = p_1$, contradicting the assumption. If $q_1 = q_3$, we get $2p_1 = p_2 + p_3$, i.e., $(E; p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is contained in $D_{(2,1,3)}$. In the complement $\mathcal{M}_{1,3} \backslash D_{(2,1,3)}$, it is clear that $f$ is an injection.

Now let us study the extension of $f$ at the boundary. Note that $p_3$ is sent to its conjugate $q_3$ under the double cover $E \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by the pencil $|p_1 + p_2|$. Using admissible covers, the conjugate $q_3$ is uniquely determined on a rational one-nodal curve when $p_1, p_2, p_3$ are fixed, distinct and away from the node. Therefore, we conclude that $f$ can be extended to a birational map from $\delta_{u_r}$ to itself.

Next, consider $\delta_{0;(1,2)}$. Take a general point $x = (E \cup t; p_1, p_2, p_3)$ in $\delta_{0;(1,2)}$, where $t$ is the node, $E$ contains $p_3$ and the rational tail $R$ contains $p_1, p_2$. Blow
down \( R \) and \( p_1, p_2 \) stabilize to \( t \). By definition, \( q_3 = t + p_3 - t = p_3 \) is contained in \( E \). The rational tail \( R \) is still stable containing \( q_1 = p_1 \) and \( q_2 = p_2 \). Hence we conclude that \( f(x) = (E \cup_t R; q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \delta_{0;\{1,2\}} \), where \( E \) contains \( q_3 \) only. The same argument can be applied to \( \delta_{0;\{1,3\}} \) and we leave it to the reader.

Take a general point \( y = (E \cup_t R; p_1, p_2, p_3) \) in \( \delta_{0;\{2,3\}} \), where \( t \) is the node, \( E \) contains \( p_1 \) and the rational tail \( R \) contains \( p_2, p_3 \). Blow down \( R \) and \( p_2, p_3 \) stabilize to \( t \). By definition, \( q_3 = t + t - p_1 = 2q_2 - q_1 \), i.e. \( q_1 - 2q_2 + q_3 = 0 \). Therefore, we conclude that \( f(y) \) is contained in \( D_{(1,-2,1)} \), where \( q_2 = t \in E \).

For \( \delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}} \), take a one-dimensional family of genus one curves with sections \( P_1 = Q_1, P_2 = Q_2, P_3 \) and \( Q_3 \) such that in a generic fiber \( p_2 + p_3 = p_1 + q_3 \) and all the sections meet at the central fiber. Suppose \( t \) is the base parameter and \( z \) is the vertical parameter. Let \( c = (0, 0) \) be the common point of the sections in the central fiber \( E \) defined by \( t = 0 \). Without loss of generality, around \( c \) we can parameterize the tangent directions of \( P_i \) by \( z = 0, z = t^2z_i \) and \( z = t^3z_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), respectively, and \( z = t(z_2 + z_3) \) for \( Q_3 \), where \( z_2, z_3 \) are fixed in \( E \cong \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}^2 \). Blow up \( c \) and for the resulting surface, use \( (t, z, [u, v]) \) as the new coordinates such that \( tu = vz \). Then the exceptional curve \( E \) is defined by \( t = z = 0 \) and the proper transform of \( E, \) still denoted by \( E \), is parameterized by \( (0, z, [1, 0]) \). In particular, \( R \) and \( E \) meet at \( r = (0, 0, [1, 0]) \). The proper transforms of the four sections meet \( R \) at \( p_1 = [0, 1], p_2 = [z_2, 1], p_3 = [z_3, 1] \) and \( q_3 = [z_2 + z_3, 1] \). Let \( x = u/v \) be the affine coordinate of \( R, s \), where \( s \) corresponds to \( x = \infty \). Then there exists a unique double cover \( \pi: R \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) by \( x \mapsto (x - z_2)(x - z_3) \) (modulo isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)) such that \( \pi(p_2) = \pi(p_3), \pi(p_1) = \pi(q_3) \) and \( \pi \) is ramified at \( r \). In other words, using the pencil \( [2q] \) on \( E \) and \( \pi \) on \( R \), one can construct an admissible double cover \( E \cup_t R \to \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that up to isomorphism \( q_3 \) in the rational tail \( R \) is uniquely determined by \( p_1, p_2 \) and \( p_3 \).

Finally, take a general point \( (E; p_1, p_2, p_3) \) in \( D_{(2, -1, -1)} \), i.e. \( 2p_1 - p_2 - p_3 = 0 \). Then we conclude that

\[ q_3 = p_2 + p_3 - p_1 = p_1 = q_1. \]

Blow up the point where \( q_1 \) and \( q_3 \) meet. We end up with a general point in \( \delta_{0;\{1,3\}} \), since three special points in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) have unique moduli. \( \square \)

By the same token, one can prove the following for \( f^{-1} \).

**Proposition 4.3.** Away from \( D_{(-1,2,-1)} \) and the boundary of \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3} \), \( f^{-1} \) is injective with image contained in \( \mathcal{M}_{1,3} \). For general points in each boundary component of \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3} \), \( f^{-1} \) induces the following action:

\[ \delta_{\text{irr}} \mapsto \delta_{\text{irr}}, \]

\[ \delta_{0;\{1,2\}} \mapsto \delta_{0;\{1,2\}}, \]

\[ \delta_{0;\{1,3\}} \mapsto D_{(2,-1,-1)}, \]

\[ \delta_{0;\{2,3\}} \mapsto \delta_{0;\{1,3\}}, \]

\[ \delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}} \mapsto \delta_{0;\{1,2,3\}}. \]

For general points in \( D_{(-1,2,-1)} \), the action induced by \( f \) is:

\[ D_{(-1,2,-1)} \mapsto \delta_{0;\{2,3\}}. \]
Corollary 4.4. The maps $f$ and $f^{-1}$ induce isomorphisms in codimension-one. In particular, they preserve the structure of $\text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,3})$. As a consequence $D_{(2,-1,-1)}$ is an extremal effective divisor.

Proof. The statement about $f$ and $f^{-1}$ is obvious by Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. Since $f_*[D_{(2,-1,-1)}] = \delta_{0,\{1,3\}}$ is extremal and rigid, we thus conclude the extremality and rigidity for $D_{(2,-1,-1)}$. \hfill \Box

Remark 4.5. The map $f$ is not regular at the locus parameterizing two rational curves $X$ and $Y$ intersecting at two nodes $s$ and $t$, where $p_2, p_3$ are contained in $X$ and $p_1$ is contained in $Y$. Using admissible covers, the point $q_1$ in $Y$ satisfies $p_1 + q_1 = s + t$, but any point in $Y$ (away from $s$ and $t$) can be such $q_1$ because $Y$ is rational. The resulting covering curve still keep $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_3$ in $Y$, but along with $s, t$ the four special points in $Y$ have varying moduli. Therefore, its image under $f$ cannot be uniquely determined.

Using $f$ and the action of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ permuting the marked points, the signature $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ can be sent to

\[(a_1', a_2', a_3') = (a_1 - a_3, a_2 + a_3, a_3).\]

Given $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$ and $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$, without loss of generality we can assume that $a_1 > a_3 > 0$ (unless $a_1 = a_3 = 1$) and $a_2 < 0$. Then $-a_2 = a_1 + a_3$ and $|a_3| < |a_1| < |a_2|$. The new signature satisfies $|a_i'| < |a_2|$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Keep using such actions and eventually we can reduce the signature to $a = (1, 1, -2)$. By Corollary 4.4 we thus obtain another proof for Theorem 1.1 in the case of $n = 3$.

4.2. Geometry of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ for $n \geq 4$. In this section suppose $n \geq 4$. First, let us consider pulling back divisors from $\overline{M}_{1,3}$.

Let $\pi : \overline{M}_{1,n} \to \overline{M}_{1,3}$ be the forgetful map forgetting $p_4, \ldots, p_n$. Assume that $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$. In Section 4.1 we have shown that $D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)}$ is extremal. Now fix a smooth genus one curve $E$ with fixed $p_1, p_3, \ldots, p_n$ in general position. Varying $p_1, p_2$ in $E$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i p_i = 0$, we obtain a curve $X$ moving inside $\pi^* D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)}$. We have also seen that $(\pi_* X) \cdot D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)} < 0$ on $\overline{M}_{1,3}$, hence by the projection formula, we have $X \cdot (\pi^* D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)}) < 0$. Since $\pi^* D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)}$ is irreducible, we conclude the following.

Proposition 4.6. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$. Then the divisor class $D_a$ is extremal in $\text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n})$.

Corollary 4.7. For $n \geq 4$, the cone $\text{Eff}(\overline{M}_{1,n})$ is not finite polyhedral.

Proof. We have

\[
\pi^* \lambda = \lambda, \quad \pi^* \delta_{0,\{1,2\}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 2 \subseteq S}} \delta_{0, S}, \quad \pi^* \delta_{0,\{1,2,3\}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 3 \subseteq S}} \delta_{0, S}.
\]

Then for $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$, we obtain that

\[
\pi^* D_{(a_1, a_2, -a_1 - a_2)} = (-1 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_1 a_2) \left( \lambda + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 3 \subseteq S}} \delta_{0, S} \right)
\]
By varying $a_1, a_2$, we obtain infinitely many extremal rays. □

Next we consider $D_a$ when at least four entries are non-zero and $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$. Let $D_a(E, \eta)$ be the closure of the locus parameterizing $(E; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_i = \eta$ for fixed $\eta \in \text{Jac}(E)$ on a fixed genus one curve $E$.

For $S = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$, consider the locus $\delta_{0,S}(E)$ of curves parameterized in $\delta_{0,S}$ whose genus one component is $E$. Blow down the rational tails and $p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_k}$ reduce to the same point $q$ in $E$. For $\eta \neq 0$, the condition

$$
\left( \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \right) q + \sum_{j \not\in S} a_{i_j} p_j = \eta
$$

does not hold for $q$ and $p_j$ in general position in $E$. Therefore, $\delta_{0,S}(E)$ is not contained in $D_a(E, \eta)$ for $\eta \neq 0$, and $D_a(E, \eta)$ is irreducible of codimension-two in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$.

If $\eta = 0$, the above argument still goes through with only one exception when $S = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, because the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_i = 0$ automatically holds if all the marked points coincide due to the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$. In other words, $D_a(E, 0)$ consists of two components. One is $D_a(E)$ whose general points parameterize $n$ distinct points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ in $E$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_i = 0$ and the other is $\delta_{0,\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E)$ whose general points parameterize $E$ attached to a rational tail that contains all the marked points.

Now let us prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $n \geq 4$.

\textbf{Proof.} Note that for $\eta \neq 0$, $D_a(E, \eta)$ is disjoint from $D_a$. This is clear in the interior of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$. At the boundary, if $k$ marked points coincide, say $p_1 = \cdots = p_k = q$ in $E$, then

$$
\left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i_j} \right) q + \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_{i_j} p_j
$$

has to be $\eta$ for $D_a(E, \eta)$ and 0 for $D_a$, which cannot hold simultaneously for $\eta \neq 0$.

Since $n \geq 4$, take $n-3$ very ample divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ and consider their intersection restricted to $D_a(E, \eta)$, which gives rise to an irreducible curve $C_a(E, \eta)$ moving in $D_a(E, \eta)$. Restricting to $D_a(E, 0)$, we see that $C_a(E, \eta)$ specializes to $C_a(E, 0)$ which consists of two components $C_a(E)$ and $C_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E)$, contained in $D_a(E)$ and $\delta_{0,\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E)$, respectively. Moreover, $C_a(E, 0)$ is connected, hence $C_a(E)$ and $C_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E)$ intersect each other. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
(C_a(E) + C_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E)) \cdot D_a = C_a(E, \eta) \cdot D_a = 0,
$$

$$
C_{0;\{1,\ldots,n\}}(E) \cdot D_a > 0,
$$

$$
C_a(E) \cdot D_a < 0.
$$

The curve $C_a(E)$ is not only moving in $D_a(E)$ but also varies with the complex structure of $E$, hence it is moving in $D_a$. Since it has negative intersection with $D_a$ and $D_a$ is irreducible, by Lemma 1.1 we thus conclude that $D_a$ is extremal and rigid. □

\textbf{Corollary 4.8.} For $n \geq 3$ the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ is not a Mori dream space.
Proof. By [HK] 1.11 (2)], if $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is a Mori dream space, its effective cone would be the affine hull spanned by finitely many effective divisors, which contradicts the fact that $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\overline{M}_{1,n})$ has infinitely many extremal rays. \qed

5. Effective divisors on $\overline{M}_{1,n}$

In this section, we study the moduli space $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ of stable genus one curves with $n$ unordered marked points. The symmetric group $S_n$ acts by permuting the labeling of the points on $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. We denote the quotient $\overline{M}_{1,n}/S_n$ by $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. The rational Picard group of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is generated by $\overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}}$ and $\overline{\delta}_{0;k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, where $\overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}}$ is the image of $\delta_{\text{irr}}$ and $\overline{\delta}_{0;k}$ is the image of the union of $\delta_{0,S}$ for all $|S| = k$.

In the case of genus zero, Keel and M‘Kernan [KM] showed that the effective cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is spanned by the boundary divisors. Here we establish a similar result for $\overline{M}_{1,n}$.

**Theorem 5.1.** The effective cone of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is the cone spanned by the boundary divisors $\overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}}$ and $\overline{\delta}_{0;k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that any irreducible effective divisor is a nonnegative linear combination of boundary divisors. Suppose $D$ is an effective divisor different from any boundary divisor and has class

$$D = a\overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} b_k\overline{\delta}_{0;k}.$$ 

If $C$ is a curve class whose irreducible representatives form a Zariski dense subset of a boundary divisor $\overline{\delta}_{0;k}$, then $C \cdot D \geq 0$. Otherwise, the curves in the class $C$ and, consequently, the divisor $\overline{\delta}_{0;k}$ would be contained in $D$, contradicting the irreducibility of $D$. We first show that $b_k \geq 0$ by induction on $k$. Here the argument is exactly as in Keel and M‘Kernan and does not depend on the genus $g$.

Let $C$ be the curve class in $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ induced by fixing a genus one curve $E$ with $n-1$ fixed marked points and letting an $n$-th point vary along $E$. Since the general $n$-pointed genus one curve occurs on a representative of $C$, $C$ is a moving curve class. We conclude that $C \cdot D \geq 0$ for any effective divisor. On the other hand, since $C \cdot \overline{\delta}_{0;2} = n - 1$ and $C \cdot \overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}} = C \cdot \overline{\delta}_{0;k} = 0$, for $2 < k \leq n$, we conclude that $b_2 \geq 0$.

By induction assume that $b_k \geq 0$ for $k \leq j$. We would like to show that $b_{j+1} \geq 0$. Let $E$ be a genus one curve with $n-j$ fixed points. Let $R$ be a rational curve with $j+1$ fixed points $p_1, \ldots, p_{j+1}$. Let $C_j$ be the curve class in $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ induced by attaching $R$ at $p_{j+1}$ to a varying point on $E$. Since the general point on $\overline{\delta}_{0;j}$ is contained on a representative of the class $C_j$, we conclude that $C_j$ is a moving curve in $\overline{\delta}_{0;j}$. Hence, $C_j \cdot D \geq 0$. On the other hand, $C_j$ has the following intersection numbers with the boundary divisors:

$$C_j \cdot \overline{\delta}_{\text{irr}} = 0,$$

$$C_j \cdot \overline{\delta}_{0;i} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, j+1,$$

$$C_j \cdot \overline{\delta}_{0;j+1} = n-j,$$

$$C_j \cdot \overline{\delta}_{0;j} = -(n-j).$$
Hence, we conclude that $b_{j+1} \geq b_j \geq 0$ by induction. Note that by replacing $E$ by a curve $B$ of genus $g$, we would get the inequalities $b_2 \geq 0$ and $(n-j)b_{j+1} \geq (2g-2+(n-j))b_j$ for the coefficients of $\tilde{\delta}_{0;k}$ on any non-boundary, irreducible effective divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

There remains to show that the coefficient $a$ is non-negative. Fix a general pencil of plane cubics and a rational curve $R$ with $n+1$ fixed marked points $p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}$. Let $C_n$ be the curve class in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ induced by attaching $R$ at $p_{n+1}$ to a base-point of the pencil of cubics. The class $C_n$ is a moving curve class in the divisor $\tilde{\delta}_{0,n}$. Consequently, $C_n \cdot D \geq 0$. Since $C_n \cdot \tilde{\delta}_{rr} = 12$, $C_n \cdot \tilde{\delta}_{0,k} = 0$ for $k < n$ and $C_n \cdot \tilde{\delta}_{0,n} = -1$, we conclude that $12a \geq b_n \geq 0$. This concludes the proof that the effective cone of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ is generated by boundary divisors. \hfill \Box

**Appendix A. Singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$**

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ be the underlying coarse moduli scheme of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}^{\reg}$ its smooth locus. Below we will show that a canonical form defined on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}^{\reg}$ extends holomorphically to any resolution of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$.

Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ is rational when $n \leq 10$ [B], in this case there are no non-zero holomorphic forms on any resolution. We may, therefore, assume that $n \geq 11$ as needed. The standard reference on the singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ dates back to [HM] and some recent generalizations include [Lo], [La], [LV], [CF], [BFV].

Let $(C;\pi) = (C; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a stable curve with $n$ ordered marked points. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial automorphism of $C$ such that $\phi(x_i) = x_i$ for all $i$, and suppose that the order of $\phi$ is $k$. If the eigenvalues of the induced action of $\phi$ on $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(x_1 + \cdots + x_n))$ are $e^{\frac{2\pi i k_j}{k}}$ with $0 \leq k_j < k$, then the age of $\phi$ is defined as

$$\text{age}(\phi) = \sum_j \frac{k_j}{k}.$$  

If $\phi$ acts trivially on a codimension-one subspace of the deformation space of $(C;\pi)$, we say that $\phi$ is a quasi-reflection. For a quasi-reflection, all but one of the eigenvalues of $\phi$ are equal to one and $\text{age}(\phi) = 1/k$. By the Reid-Tai Criterion, see e.g. [HM] p. 27], if $\text{age}(\phi) \geq 1$ for any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(C;\pi)$, then a canonical form defined on the smooth locus of the moduli space extends holomorphically to any resolution. Moreover, suppose that $\text{Aut}(C;\pi)$ does not contain any quasi-reflections, then the resulting singularity is canonical if and only if $\text{age}(\phi) \geq 1$ for any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(C;\pi)$, see e.g. [La] Theorem 3.4. The quasi-reflections form a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C;\pi)$.

One can consider the action modulo this subgroup and use the Reid-Tai Criterion, see [La] Proposition 3.5. In particular, no singularities arise if and only if $\text{Aut}(C;\pi)$ is generated by quasi-reflections.

The automorphism $\phi$ induces an action on $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(x_1 + \cdots + x_n))^\vee$. We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{p \in C_{\text{sing}}} \text{tor}_p \to H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \Omega^1_C(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)) \to \bigoplus_{\alpha} H^0(C_{\alpha}, \omega_{C_{\alpha}} \otimes \sum_{\beta} p_{\alpha\beta}) \to 0,$$

where $C_{\alpha}$'s are the components of the normalization of $C$ and $p_{\alpha\beta}$'s are the inverse images of nodes in $C_{\alpha}$.

First, we show that for an irreducible elliptic curve $E$ with $n$ distinct marked points, we have $\text{age}(\phi) \geq 1$. The automorphism group of $E$ has order 2 if $j(E) \neq ...
0, 1728, has order 4 if \( j(E) = 1728 \), and has order 6 if \( j(E) = 0 \). Since \( \phi \) fixes all \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), if \( n \geq 3 \), then \( \phi \) has order \( k = 2 \) or 3. If \( k = 2 \), then \( n = 3 \) or 4, and hence by [HM p. 37, Case c2]) we have \( \text{age}(\phi) = \frac{2}{3k} \geq 1 \). If \( k = 3 \), then \( n = 3 \), and hence [HM p. 38, Case c3]) implies that \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \).

Next, consider a stable nodal genus one curve \((C, \mathfrak{T})\) with \( n \) ordered marked points. Let \( C_0 \) be its core curve of genus one. Then \( C_0 \) is either irreducible elliptic, or consists of a circle of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s. It is easy to see that \( \phi \) acts trivially on every component of \( C \setminus C_0 \). Let \( C_0 \) be a circle of \( l \) copies of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), i.e. \( B_1, \ldots, B_l \) are glued successively at the nodes \( p_1, \ldots, p_l \), where \( B_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( B_i \cap B_{i+1} = p_{i+1} \) and \( p_{l+1} = p_1 \). By the stability of \((C, \mathfrak{T})\), each \( B_i \) contains at least one more node or marked point, which has to be fixed by \( \phi \). Therefore, \( \phi \) acts non-trivially on \( B_i \) only if it acts as an involution, switching \( p_i \) and \( p_{i+1} \) and fixing the other nodes and marked points on \( B_i \). This implies that \( l = 2 \) and \( k = 2 \). By [HM p. 34], either \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \) or \( \text{Aut}(C, \mathfrak{T}) \) is generated by this elliptic involution, which is a quasi-inflection and does not induce a singularity. Thus, we are left with the case when \( C_0 \) is an irreducible elliptic curve \( E \) and \( \phi \) is induced by a non-trivial automorphism of \( E \) fixing all marked points and acting trivially on the other components of \( C \).

If \( E \) contains at least one marked point \( x \), [FV1] proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii) says that \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \). We can also see this directly using [HM p. 37-39, Case c)] as follows. If the order \( n \) of \( \phi \) is 2, then the action restricted to \( H^0(K_E^2(x)) \) contributes \( 1/2 \) to \( \text{age}(\phi) \). At a node \( p \) of \( E \), suppose that the two branches have coordinates \( y \) and \( z \). Then \( \text{tor}_p \) is generated by \( ydz^2/z = zdy^2/y \), see [HM, p. 33]. The action of \( \phi \) locally is given by \( y \to -y \) and \( z \to z \), hence \( \text{tor}_p \) also contributes \( 1/2 \). Consequently we get \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \). If \( k = 3 \), at \( p \) the action is locally given by \( y \to \zeta y \) and \( z \to z \), where \( \zeta \) is a cube root of unity, hence \( \text{tor}_p \) contributes \( 1/3 \). At \( x \), take a translation invariant differential \( dz \). Then locally \( dz^2 \) is an eigenvector of \( H^0(K_E^2(x+p)) \). The action \( \phi \) is locally given by \( x \to \zeta x \), hence it contributes \( 2/3 \). We still get \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1/3 + 2/3 = 1 \). If \( k = 4 \), similarly \( \text{tor}_p \) contributes \( 1/4 \). Locally take \( dz^2 \) and \( dz^2/z \) as eigenvectors of \( H^0(K_E^2(x+p)) \). We get an additional contribution equal to \( 2/4 + 1/4 \). In total we still have \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \).

Finally, since \( \phi \) cannot fix both \( x \) and \( p \), the case \( k = 6 \) does not occur. Similarly, if \( E \) contains more than one node, \( \phi \) fixes all the nodes, and hence the same analysis implies that \( \text{age}(\phi) \geq 1 \).

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that the locus of non-canonical singularities of \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) is contained in the locus of curves \((C, \mathfrak{T})\) where the core curve of \( C \) is an unmarked irreducible elliptic tail \( E \) attached to the rest of \( C \) at a node \( p \). Moreover, \( G = \text{Aut}(C, \mathfrak{T}) = \text{Aut}(E, p) \) fixes all marked points and acts trivially on the other components of \( C \). Harris and Mumford [HM p. 40-42] proved that any canonical form defined in \( \overline{M}_{g,n}^{\text{reg}} \) extends holomorphically to any resolution over the locus of curves of this type. Strictly speaking, Harris and Mumford discussed the case \( \overline{M}_g \). They constructed a suitable neighborhood of a point in \( \overline{M}_g \) parameterizing an elliptic curve attached to a curve \( C_1 \) of genus \( g - 1 \) without any automorphisms. In their construction, the only property of \( C_1 \) they need is that \( C_1 \) does not have any non-trivial automorphisms. Hence, their construction is applicable to the case when \( C_1 \) is replaced by an arithmetic genus zero curve with \( n \) marked points for \( n \geq 2 \). Therefore, there is a neighborhood of \((C, \mathfrak{T})\) in \( \overline{M}_{1,n} \) such that any canonical form defined in the smooth locus of this neighborhood extends
holomorphically to a desingularization of the neighborhood. This thus completes the proof.
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