DARPP32, STAT5 and STAT3 mRNA Expression Ratios in Glioblastomas are Associated with Patient Outcome

Despina Televantou · George Karkavelas · Prodromos Hytiroglou · Sofia Lampaki · George Iliadis · Panagiotis Selviaridis · Konstantinos S. Polyzoidis · George Fountzilas · Vassiliki Kotoula

Received: 12 July 2012 / Accepted: 7 November 2012 / Published online: 20 December 2012 © The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Based on recent developments in glioblastoma subtyping, we examined DARPP32 (PPP1R1B), a neuronal marker against STAT5 and STAT3 that are pro-oncogenic in glioblastoma. mRNA ratios of DARPP32, STAT1, STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B were assessed in routinely diagnosed gliomas including a series of glioblastomas from patients (n=67) treated with chemoradiotherapy (temozolomide), out of which 88% had sequencing validated IDH-negative disease. DARPP32/STAT1 (p=0.0007), DARPP32/STAT3 (p=0.0004) and DARPP32/STAT5B (p=0.0039) ratios were significantly higher in grade II and III as compared to grade IV tumours. The same high ratios were also associated with absence of immunohistochemically assessed AKT/PKB phosphorylation and survivin protein expression. High DARPP32/STAT3, DARPP32/STAT5B, and STAT5B/STAT3 ratios were associated with longer patient progression free (PFS) and overall survival (OS). Upon multivariate analysis, total/subtotal removal of the tumour (HR:0.431; 95%CI:0.241–0.771, Wald p=0.005), high DARPP32/STAT5B (HR:0.341; 95%CI:0.169–0.690; Wald p=0.003) and STAT5B/STAT3 mRNA ratios (HR:0.480; 95%CI:0.280–0.824; Wald p=0.008) were independent favorable parameters for prolonged PFS. Extent of surgery (HR:0.198; 95%CI:0.101–0.390; p<0.001) and high DARPP32/STAT5A ratios (HR:0.320; 95%CI:0.160–0.638, p=0.001) were independently predictive for longer OS. The presented approach is applicable for prospective validation and appears promising towards an effective glioblastoma patient stratification in addition to IDH mutations. These data may contribute to understanding the biology of gliomas with respect to their potential neuronal characteristics and justify STAT-inhibiting therapeutic interventions in the same tumour system.
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Introduction

Diffuse gliomas, i.e., tumours with astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, ependymal or mixed cell characteristics, are the most common primary brain tumours in adults and are categorized with increasing grade of malignancy [1]. About 70% of gliomas are glioblastomas, WHO grade IV, aggressive and currently incurable [2]. Gliomas are presumed to arise from neural stem cells that exist in the brain. The acquisition of IDH1 & 2 mutations in these progenitors is considered as a major event in gliomagenesis [3, 4]. Gliomas developing on an IDH-mutant background are usually of grade II or III
with oligodendrocytic or astrocytic or mixed features, and secondary glioblastomas, i.e., tumours with a known favorable outcome. The limited incidence (<5 %) of IDH1-mutant de novo glioblastomas [5] that have a better prognosis than IDH-wild-type ones, presumably reflects a grade II–IV series of events that have gone clinically unnoticed [6]. Hence, all evidence prompts for distinguishing gliomas into IDH-mutant and IDH-wild type disease [6, 7]. Strikingly, IDH-mutant disease is included in the proneural phenotype / subtype [6, 8–10], while it largely overlaps with the methylation phenotype [11], all of which are also associated with a better prognosis of gliomas. However, despite these insights towards comprehending gliomagenesis and although standard treatment (resection, radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide) appears to benefit patients with glioblastoma [12], the expected median survival is still 12–15 months, while less than 10 % of patients, those with favorable disease characteristics, survive for more than 5 years [13]. Hence, understanding the remaining more than 90 % of glioblastomas and finding alternative treatment options for these patients remains a great challenge.

At the other end of the favorable proneural lies the mesenchymal subtype with worst prognosis [8, 9]. Additional subtypes have been described between these two, such as neural and classical [9], which are backed by specific genomic alterations [14], or proliferative [8], while there is always space for new subtypes to be identified if larger series are examined. As described [9], proneural subtype resembles oligodendrocytes; neural, mainly astrocytes and neurons; classical, murine astrocytes; and, mesenchymal, cultured astroglia characterized by high motility, invasiveness and angiogenic capacity. Main contributors to the acquisition of the mesenchymal phenotype appear to be C/EBPbeta and STAT3 [15]. STAT3 belongs to the Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription, a family of transcription factors. STAT3 plays key roles in neural stem cell and astrocyte development, and has been found to play dual tumour suppressive and oncogenic roles in gliomas depending on the mutualogical profile of the tumour (reviewed in [16]). In addition, STAT3 inhibition sensitizes glioblastoma cells to alkylating agents and EGFR inhibitors [17]. Less is known about the role of other usually investigated members of the STAT family in gliomas. Stat5b may be involved in glioblastoma cell growth, cell cycle progression, invasion and migration [18]. Stat1, a potent inhibitor of cell growth and promoter of apoptosis, is ubiquitously expressed in glial tumours, as well as in normal brain tissues [19].

With respect to the subtypes described above it is of interest that neuronal characteristics were represented, except for the neuronal subtype, in the classical and mesenchymal subtypes as well, but not in the proneural one [9]. Herein, we profiled neuronal vs. STAT gene expression in a series of gliomas and associated these profiles with glioblastoma patient outcome.

As a neuronal marker we selected a molecule indicative of early neuronal differentiation, PPP1R1B (protein phosphatase 1, regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 1B or dopamine and cAMP-regulated neuronal phosphoprotein 32, DARPP32). DARPP32 is a dual function protein that is capable of inhibiting either protein phosphatase-1 or protein kinase-A, according to the site it is phosphorylated and according to the signal inducing its phosphorylation. DARPP32 is a long known gene and protein [20] that is expressed in most specialized structures in the normal brain [21]. DARPP32 has mainly been studied in the context of central nervous system physiology and pharmacology [22]. Initially regarded as a dopamine signalling mediator, this molecule is currently considered as a signalling hub molecule which may improve the general performance of the neuronal circuits in which it is expressed (reviewed in [23]). DARPP32 may also promote epithelial oncogenesis (Beckler, Moskaluk et al. 2003), while its truncated isoform, t-DARPP, has been associated with resistance to trastuzumab in breast cancer (Belkhiri, Dar et al. 2008). However, full-length DARPP32 antagonizes t-DARPP trastuzumab resistance [24], while in some epithelial cancers DARPP32 may play tumour suppressive (Pimenta, Horta et al. 2007) and migration inhibitory roles [25].

In addition to STAT1, STAT3, STAT5A, STAT5B and DARPP32 mRNA profiles we also examined AKT/PKB phosphorylation and survivin expression in glioma tissues. These markers were chosen because DARPP32 protein is induced through PI3K/AKT activation by the brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the brain [26], while t-DARPP promotes tumour cell growth by activating AKT [27]; and also because STAT3 is essential for survivin expression [28, 29].

Materials and Methods

Tissue Material Previously diagnosed histologic material from stereotactic biopsies and partial or complete resections of gliomas (formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues [FFPE]) was retrieved from the archives of the Dept of Pathology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine (n=135) and from the Tumour Tissue Repository of the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG) (n=70). Out of these 205 tumours, 187 tissue blocks were evaluated as eligible for processing for molecular and immunohistochemical investigations based on adequacy of material, as assessed upon histologic examination. Patient demographic and tumour histologic characteristics are shown in Table 1. With respect to de novo and secondary glioblastomas, the latter were characterized as such (a) in the case of known clinical history of a lower grade tumour, and (b) when areas of grade III or II were present histologically upon examination of all available tissue blocks. The above
The use of tissue microarray (TMA) construction for clinical studies of glioblastoma.
samples (77.5 %) were found eligible for further RQ analysis.

In order to investigate combined effects of DARPP32/STAT gene expression we also assessed RQ ratios for paired gene expression by omitting GUSB. For example, RQ ratio for DARPP32/STAT3 corresponded to 2^-ddCT, whereby

$$\text{ddCT} = (\text{CT}_{\text{DARPP32}} - \text{CT}_{\text{GUSB}}) - (\text{CT}_{\text{STAT3}} - \text{CT}_{\text{GUSB}}).$$

This approach in fact annihilated reference gene bias for single marker results. That is, changes in single marker DARPP32 and STAT RQ values in one direction might be due to GUSB expression aberrations in the opposite direction; this condition can not be excluded for any endogenous reference, especially in paraffin samples where PCR efficiency unpredictably varies.

**Glioma DNA Markers** MGMT promoter methylation, IDH1 and IDH2 mutations (exon 4 for both genes) and EGFR CN (gene copy numbers) were assessed in tumour DNA samples from patients with known follow-up only (Table 2). For MGMT, the SALSA MS-MLPA KIT ME011 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, NL) was used, which included probes for three distinct CpG-rich sites in the MGMT enhancer. Normal blood DNA and a reactive lymph node FFPE-DNA sample were used as controls. Products were electrophoresed on an ABI3130 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems / Life Technologies). This method gave informative results, i.e., all picks present in the non-digested sample with acceptable method internal controls and reference probe peaks, in 54/67 cases (80.6 %). The results were evaluated according to [33]; relative peak values ≤0.25 were characterized as unmethylated, relative peak values >0.25 as methylated; and, relative peak values >0.75 as completely methylated. The procedure was repeated twice to assess concordance of results (retention in the same category of methylation status).

For mutation analysis, cycle-sequencing with BigDye® Terminators v1.1 (Applied Biosystems) was performed on the ABI3130XL genetic analyzer with the following primers (sequences 5’-3’) for IDH1 (nested PCR): forward (F), TCACC-CAAATGGCACCACATCGA, reverse (R) GTTGAGATGGACGCCTATT; Fn, ACCAAATGGCACCACATCGA and Rn, GCCAAATCCATATTGCGCAAC (348 bp → 164 bp); for IDH2: F, CCGTCTGGGTGTGTTGCT, R, AGACAAGGATTGCGCTAGGC (326 bp).

Copy number variation (CNV) was assessed with real time PCR (ABI7900HT system) for the EGFR gene with the Hs02822119_cn (exon 10) and the Hs01426560_cn (exon 2) pre-designed TaqMan® Copy Number Assays (Applied Biosystems). The TaqMan® Copy Number Reference Assay RNAse P was used as endogenous reference. Reactions were run in quadruplicates in an ABI7900HT system. Three normal blood DNA samples were included in each run as calibrator samples, along with a no-template control (NTC). Results
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Statistical Analysis

Overall survival (OS) was calculated from initial diagnosis until patient death or last contact. Surviving patients were censored at the date of last contact. Progression free survival (PFS) was defined as the time interval between initial diagnosis and disease progression, which was assessed with clinical examination and with MRI (deaths without verified prior disease progression were also considered as events in the calculation of PFS). Disease progression was considered upon CCRT (initial treatment) only, since treatment in the 1st line was heterogeneous. Log-rank test was used to compare time to event distributions of subgroups. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to assess the influence of the target genes examined on OS and PFS outcome.

Spearman’s rank correlation was used as a measure of association between all tested targets. The Mann–Whitney test was performed to compare mRNA RQ values with nominal variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to assess the relationship between mRNA expression of genes (corresponding RQ values) and 6-month progression free survival rate (PFS-6 month), which was used as the criterion to assess patient response [39]. For significant results (area under the curve [AUC] >0.6), optimal cut-off values were identified and permitted classification of gene expression into high / low for further analysis. JMP v8.0.2 profiling was used for the identification of associations with histologic grade and with response to initial treatment. All other analyses were performed with SPSS v.15. Association between binary characteristics was tested using Fisher’s exact test. All tests were two sided and the level of significance was set at a=5 %.

Results

DARPP32 / STAT mRNA Expression in Comparison to Classic Glioma Parameters

Out of the 145 samples with informative RQ data, amplification curves for all five mRNA targets were obtained in 137 cases (DARPP32 was undetectable in 5 and STAT5B in 3 samples). RQ ratios were calculated as described for DARPP32/STAT1, DARPP32/STAT3, DARPP32/STAT5A, and DARPP32/STAT5B; for STAT5B/STAT1, STAT5B/STAT3, and STAT5B /STAT5A; for STAT3/STAT1, and STAT3/STAT5A; and, for STAT1/STAT5A.

In general, the relative expression of STAT1, a gene located on chromosome 2q32 was higher in comparison to all genes on 17q, i.e., STAT5A and STAT5B on 17q11, DARPP32 on 17q12, and STAT3 on 17q21 (Fig. 1a). The expression of DARPP32 and STAT genes was statistically strongly correlated at the bivariate analysis level (Spearman’s p’s<0.0001 in all cases). However, strong correlations with r>0.5 (p<10^-6) were only observed for STAT gene pairs (Fig. 1b). In comparison, all DARPP32/STAT mRNA ratios inter-correlated with r values >0.8, while corresponding correlations between STAT ratios were only occasionally observed and were lower (STAT5B/STAT5A vs. STAT5B/STAT3, r=-0.55; STAT5B/STAT5A vs. STAT3/STAT5A, r=0.73; STAT3/STAT5A vs. STAT1/STAT5A, r=0.56). Among the five genes and in comparison to the eight available NNTB samples, only DARPP32 mRNA expression was found significantly decreased in grade II gliomas (mean±SD: 0.84±1.08 in NNTB vs. 0.26±0.25 in grade II, p=0.0001). STAT gene expression remained constant between NNTB and grade II tumours. However, although the DARPP32 / STAT mRNA ratios were also decreased in grade II as compared to NNTB samples, these did not reach statistical significance. Hence, a possible bias of the endogenous reference (GUSB) could not be excluded for comparisons between non-neoplastic and neoplastic brain tissue. Single marker RQ values and combined marker RQ ratios were not associated with patient age, while STAT5A mRNA
was significantly higher in men as compared to women (69 vs. 76, mean±SD: 0.11±0.13 vs. 0.19±0.28, respectively, \( p < 0.0090 \)). The latter may be attributed to the different regulation of STAT5 regulation in females and in males [40, 41]. No differences in the expression of the genes examined or in their RQ ratios were observed in primary vs. secondary glioblastomas or with respect to the absence vs. presence of oligodendroglial component, although the latter category included a small number of tumours only.

Among the 137 samples with informative RQ data for all targets, 110 corresponded to grade IV, 14 to grade III and 13 to grade II tumours (in total, 27 non-glioblastomas). STAT1 and STAT3 mRNA expression did not differ among glioma grades. By contrast, the remaining genes that were all located on chromosome 17q, i.e. STAT5A, STAT5B and DARPP32, were strongly downregulated in grade IV as compared to grade II tumours (Fig. 2a). The pattern for this change in gene expression was different for STAT5A and STAT5B as compared to DARPP32. DARPP32 mRNA was downregulated with progressive degree of malignancy, while the expression of the two STAT5 genes did not differ in grade II and III tumours. In accordance to single DARPP32 mRNA expression, DARPP32/STAT1, DARPP32/STAT3, STAT5A/STAT3 and STAT5B/STAT3 ratios were strongly decreased in grade IV tumours (Fig. 2b). However, for all expression markers, several outliers were present, particularly in glioblastomas, indicating that some of these tumours expressed high levels of the generally down-regulated DARPP32 and STAT genes. Indeed, profiling of all above RQ values and ratios revealed glioma subgroups with high STAT5B/STAT3 & STAT5B/STAT5A & STAT5B/STAT1 mRNA ratios, high STAT1/STAT3 and STAT1/STAT5A, and high DARPP32/STAT ratios. Further analysis of these profiles showed that high DARPP32/STAT mRNA ratios clustered together in the entire glioma series and were more common in non-glioblastomas (9/27 tumours [33.3 %]) as compared to glioblastomas (12/110 tumours [10.9 %]) (Fig. 2c). By contrast, STAT mRNA ratios did not cluster together in the same setting and their profiles were not associated with glioma grade.

MGMT promoter methylation, a marker associated with response to temozolomide, was investigated here only in the group of 67 patients with known follow-up and yielded informative results in 54 samples (Table 2). Single DARPP32, STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5A & B mRNA expression and their ratios were unrelated to MGMT promoter methylation, as assessed with the Mann–Whitney test. No statistics could be performed for IDH1 mutations, since these were found in two tumours only. One of these tumours was a clinically secondary glioblastoma; the second IDH1 mutant tumour was an anaplastic astrocytoma (grade III) with histologic glioblastoma confirmation (grade IV) in a limited area of the available tissue material. Taking into account that IDH status was unknown in six cases due to non-informative sequencing, 59 tumours (88 %) were IDH1 and IDH2 wild type in the

---

**Fig. 1** DARPP32 and STAT gene expression descriptives. a The highest relative expression values were obtained for STAT1 and for STAT3, while STAT5A, STAT5B and DARPP32 expression was comparatively low. X-axes: RQ values. b Although the expression of all genes significantly correlated in the bivariate mode, these correlations were stronger for STAT (right panel) than for DARPP32 vs. STAT (left panel) gene pairs. \( r \) = Spearman’s bivariate correlation coefficient. In a & b, RQ values were normalized with GUSB

---
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cohort with available clinical data. Regarding EGFR CN, the STAT5B/STAT3 profile was marginally inversely associated with higher copy numbers of this gene (Mann–Whitney \( p = 0.058 \)), but no further associations with the remaining single or combined RQ markers were observed.

**DARPP32 / STAT mRNA Expression and Activation of the AKT Pathway in Gliomas**

Based on TMA core availability, it was possible to evaluate AKT phosphorylation at Ser473 in 128/145 cases with informative RNA data for cytoplasmic positivity and in 133/145 cases for nuclear positivity (in the additional five cases, positive parts of the cores were preserved). Phosphorylated AKT-S473 was present in the cytoplasm of neoplastic cells in 52/128 (40.6 %) cases, while it was localized in the neoplastic nuclei in 24/133 (18.4 %) of the tumours only. Non-cancerous elements, e.g., endothelial cells, were negative. Nuclear and cytoplasmic staining was not necessarily simultaneously present in the same tumour. Nuclear pAKT-S473 positivity was unrelated to glioma grade but cytoplasmic positivity was, since 48 out of the 52 tumours with cytoplasmic pAKT-S473 localization were glioblastomas (\( p = 0.001 \)).

Among all gliomas, cytoplasmic pAKT-S473 positivity was strongly associated with lower DARPP32 (mean±SD: 0.15±0.19 vs. 0.07±0.10) and STAT5A (mean±SD: 0.19±0.28 vs. 0.10±0.12) expression, as well as with lower DARPP32/STAT1 (mean±SD: 0.08±0.17 vs. 0.03±0.03), DARPP32/STAT3 (mean±SD: 0.13±0.21 vs. 0.06±0.09) and DARPP/STAT5B (mean±SD: 0.40±0.56 vs. 0.16±0.19) ratios (Fig. 3a). When examined in glioblastomas only, cytoplasmic pAKT-S473 was again observed in cases with lower DARPP32 (mean±SD, positive vs. negative pAKT: 0.12±1.7 vs. 0.06±0.09, \( p = 0.0182 \)) and STAT5A (mean±SD: 0.13±0.12 vs. 0.08±0.07, \( p = 0.0316 \)).

**DARPP32 / STAT mRNA Expression and the Apoptosis Inhibitor Survivin (BIRC5)**

Survivin is a member of the inhibitors of apoptosis protein family [42]; as such, it has often been described as an adverse
prognostic factor in several types of cancer, including glioblastomas [43], where it has been associated with proliferation but not with apoptosis [44]. In our series, survivin IHC data were obtained for 116 gliomas, out of which 75 (63.4%) displayed intra-nuclear spot positivity. As previously reported with different antibodies [36, 37, 43], survivin and Ki-67 positivity were strongly associated ($p=0.001$). Survivin positivity was also strongly associated with nuclear AKT-Ser473 phosphorylation (Fisher’s exact $p=0.0028$), while a trend was also observed for cytoplasmic pAKT positivity ($p=0.0734$).

In line with the above data for AKT-Ser473 phosphorylation, survivin positivity was mostly observed in tumours with low DARPP32/STAT1 (mean±SD, positive vs. negative survivin: 0.10±0.22 vs. 0.04±0.06), low DARPP32/STAT3 (mean±SD: 0.16±0.27 vs. 0.08±0.10), and low DARPP32/STAT5B (mean±SD: 0.52±0.66 vs. 0.22±0.32) (Fig. 3b). In the group of 92 glioblastomas that were evaluable for survivin, positive tumours ($n=61$) often expressed low DARPP32/STAT5B (mean±SD, positive vs. negative survivin: 0.42±0.56 vs. 0.18±0.25, $p=0.0127$), but higher STAT5B/STAT3 (mean±SD: 0.27±0.14 vs. 0.38±0.29, $p=0.0155$).

Relatively High DARPP32 / STAT mRNA Expression is Favorably Associated with Response to Initial Radiotherapy / Temozolomide Treatment and has a Positive Effect on Glioblastoma Patient Survival

Other than expected, MGMT promoter methylation was not associated with response to treatment (PFS-6 month) in this study. PFS-6 mo was further unrelated to patient age, gender and to the extent of surgery. In order to investigate for a possible impact of the observed alterations on the outcome of glioblastoma patients, who were all treated with post-surgery CCRT, we first undertook a profiling screening for DARPP32 and STAT ratios in the group of 67 patients (Fig. 4). Very high DARPP32/STAT ratios clustered together and did not overlap with high STAT5B/STAT5A, STAT5B/STAT3 and STAT1/STAT5A. High DARPP32/
STAT ratios were observed in 14/67 patients, 13 out of which responded favorably to CCRT. In comparison, among the 15 cases with low DARPP32/STAT and high STAT/STAT ratios, three were non-responders or experienced early death. Notably, the STAT3/STAT1 ratio did not cluster with the rest of variables in this profile. Based on these observations, we then investigated the impact of single mRNA expression and the corresponding ratios on patient outcome. For this, we classified gene expression into "high" and "low" by using ROC curve analysis with PFS-6 mo as the state variable. Gene expression parameters that failed to yield a significantly (>0.6) large area under the curve (AUC) were not further used for survival analysis. The results of ROC curve analysis and the corresponding cut-off values for gene expression classification are shown in Table 3. Parameters that were thus classified were indeed associated with response to treatment in patients with glioblastoma (Table 4). It appeared that relatively high DARPP32 expression (when calculated vs. GUSB), and relatively high DARPP32 expression ratios with all STAT genes examined (without GUSB interference) were positively associated with absence of relapse in 6 months, as well as with prolonged progression free survival (PFS) (Table 5, Fig. 4). PFS closely reflected treatment response in the cohort examined where all patients had received the same initial treatment. Relatively high STAT5B expression, alone or as STAT5B/STAT3 ratio, was also favorably associated with patient response to CCRT and with prolonged PFS (Fig. 5).

OS is unequivocally the most solid parameter for assessing patient disease course. However, as shown in Table 1, the administered treatment schemes after the initial course of radiotherapy / temozolomide were not homogeneous and it is possible that these affected patient outcome. Nevertheless, in this group of 67 patients, age and gender were not associated with disease course. In this context, the most important factor affecting patient survival was the extent of surgical removal of the tumours; in cases with total or subtotal excision (n=48), median OS was 20.52 month (±SD:1.36, 95 % CI: 17.86–23.18) in comparison to cases where tumours were removed by biopsy only (n=19) and patient OS was 11.6 month (±SD:1.60, 95 % CI: 8.46–14.75, p=0.002). Out of the immunohistochemical parameters tested, none was associated with patient OS. MGMT promoter methylation was not associated with PFS and showed only a trend for the methylated tumours and prolonged patient survival (p=0.088).

Relatively high DARPP32 expression as single parameter (normalized vs. GUSB), high DARPP32/STAT3 and DARPP32/STAT5A expression ratios, as well as the combined bivariate profile of DARPP32/STAT3 and STAT5B/STAT3 were strongly associated with longer glioblastoma patient OS (Table 5, Fig. 5).

Last follow up for the clinically secondary IDH1 p.R132H mutant glioblastoma was at 28.9 months from this manifestation with the patient (man, 41 y.o.) alive and well. The IDH1 p.R132G mutant patient (woman, 35 y.o.) with histologically secondary glioblastoma progressed upon CCRT at 4 months and succumbed to disease after 18.6 months from diagnosis. These cases and their DARPP32/STAT profiles are marked in Fig. 4. The third patient (man, 62 y.o.) with histologically secondary IDH1 and IDH2 wild-type glioblastoma succumbed to disease during CCRT at 3.5 months; the tumour had very low DARPP32/STAT3 ratio (RQ 0.002).

Upon multivariate Cox regression analysis adjusted for glioblastoma patient age, extent of surgery, and all mRNA markers presented here, total/subtotal removal of the tumour (HR: 0.431; 95 % CI: 0.241–0.771, Wald p=0.005), high DARPP32/STAT5B mRNA ratios (HR: 0.341; 95 % CI: 0.169–0.690; Wald p=0.003) and high STAT5B/STAT3 mRNA ratios (HR: 0.480; 95 % CI: 0.280–0.824; Wald p=0.008) were independent favorable parameters for prolonged survival.
Patient survival was aggravated with increasing age (HR: 1.028; 95 % CI: 1.003–1.054; p = 0.029), while extent of surgery was independently associated with prolonged survival (HR: 0.198; 95 % CI: 0.101–0.390; p < 0.001). In this setting, high DARPP32/STAT5A mRNA ratios were also independently predictive for longer survival (HR: 0.320; 95 % CI: 0.160–0.638, p = 0.001).

**Discussion**

The present study shows that transcript ratios of DARPP32, STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B in glioblastomas are strongly related to patient outcome. The glioblastomas examined here can be considered as de novo in 95 % of the cases and were validated as IDH1 and IDH2 wild-type in 88 % of all patients with available clinical data. In this group of unfavorable disease where patients initially received CCRT / temozolomide, tumour STAT3 mRNA expression lower than STAT5B and DARPP32

---

**Table 3** ROC Curve analysis data reveal strong associations between DARPP32 / STAT gene expression and response to temozolomide / radiation in patients with high grade gliomas

| Relative gene expression | Area under the curve | Significance (asymptotic) | Cut-off value |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| DARPP32 / GUSB           | 0.816                | 0.0002                    | 0.029         |
| STAT5B / GUSB            | 0.725                | 0.0068                    | 0.231         |
| DARPP32 / STAT1          | 0.727                | 0.0065                    | 0.011         |
| DARPP32 / STAT3          | 0.714                | 0.0101                    | 0.015         |
| DARPP32 / STAT5A         | 0.749                | 0.0028                    | 0.169         |
| DARPP32 / STAT5B         | 0.670                | 0.0409                    | 0.024         |
| STAT5B / STAT3           | 0.673                | 0.0381                    | 0.281         |

*a* Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve analysis was performed to classify gene expression according to patients having achieved a 6moPFS upon treatment initiation.

*b* Cut-off was calculated as the value with the highest sensitivity and specificity for the prediction of achieving 6 months without relapse from treatment initiation. High vs. low = >cut-off vs. ≤ cut-off

---

**Table 4** Classification of gene expression according to ROC Curve cut-offs is strongly associated with 6moPFS

| Relative gene expression | N   | Relapse free 6 mo | Relapse or death in 6 mo | Exact Sig. (2-sided)* |
|--------------------------|-----|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| DARPP32                  | 66  | 14               | 13                       | 0.0003                |
| ≤0.029                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.029                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| STAT5B                   | 67  | 13               | 11                       | 0.0027                |
| ≤0.230                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.230                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 & STAT5B         | 66  | 15               | 11                       | 0.0003                |
| all other                |     |                  |                          |                       |
| both high                |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 / STAT1          | 67  | 15               | 11                       | 0.0077                |
| ≤0.010                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.010                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 / STAT3          | 67  | 10               | 10                       | 0.0034                |
| ≤0.015                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.015                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 / STAT5A         | 67  | 6                | 10                       | 0.0001                |
| ≤0.169                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.169                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 / STAT5B         | 67  | 4                | 7                        | 0.0024                |
| ≤0.024                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.024                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| STAT5B / STAT3           | 67  | 19               | 12                       | 0.0106                |
| ≤0.281                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| >0.281                   |     |                  |                          |                       |
| DARPP32 / STAT3 & STAT5B | 67  | 24               | 14                       | 0.0079                |
| all other                |     |                  |                          |                       |
| both high                |     |                  |                          |                       |

*a* Fisher’s exact test
was associated with better prognosis upon standard treatment. STAT3 mRNA expression was abundant in glioma tissues and did not differ among glioma grades, which is of no surprise since STAT3 functions in a pro- or anti-tumour mode depending on its molecular environment [15, 16]. The present findings further support STAT3 as an unfavorable prognosticator in glioblastomas [16, 45], and are in line with its mesenchymal-subtype-promoting role, as has been shown with different approaches [9, 15, 46, 47]. Response measured as 6moPFS, and PFS itself, seem to be more reliable endpoints for assessing the markers examined here than OS, which may have been influenced by the subsequent treatment lines administered to about 40 % of the patients. Thus, the fact that STAT3 ratios did not maintain their significance as prognostic for OS may indicate that the favorable effect of relatively low STAT3 is therapy related. STAT3 inhibition was shown to restore temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma cells [48]. Overall, the present and previously published data support STAT3 inhibition for therapeutic purposes in glioblastoma, possibly in combination with other drugs [48, 49].

The Stat5 proteins have been described as downstream targets of EGFRvIII [50] contributing along with STAT3 to the escape of glioblastoma from microglial surveillance [51], without distinguishing between Stat5a and 5b molecules. STAT5A, STAT5B, and STAT3 reside in close neighborhood on chromosome 17q and as shown here, their expression is strongly correlated. Regarding their relative mRNA expression against DARPP32 and STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B appeared to have different although not contrasting effects on patient outcome in this study, which has been reported for epithelial cancers [52]. Like STAT3, our findings on STAT5B are in accordance with its previously published pro-oncogenic role in glioblastomas [18]. According to the present data, STAT5 effects may depend on STAT5B expression, with high STAT5B/STAT3 ratios being associated with a more indolent glioblastoma course. Relevant information in gliomas is missing but in breast cancer STAT5 and STAT3 mediate opposing effects on several key target genes, with STAT5 exerting a dominant role [53]. The present data on STAT5B and STAT3 may be useful when

Table 5 Effect of relative DARPP32 and STAT expression profiles on the outcome of patients with high grade gliomas

|                | Predicted overall survival | Predicted progression free survival |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                | N  | median estimate | Std. Error | 95 % CI (lower - upper) | Log-Rank p | median estimate | Std. Error | 95 % CI (lower - upper) | Log-Rank p |
| DARPP32        | low | 27  | 14.82 | 1.65 | 11.59–18.05 | 0.0318 | 6.07 | 1.19 | 3.73–8.40 | 0.0002 |
|                | high | 39  | 19.90 | 1.71 | 16.56–23.25 | 12.62 | 2.22 | 8.27–16.98 | 0.0046 |
| STAT5B         | low | 24  | 13.25 | 1.85 | 9.63–16.87 | 0.0875 | 6.49 | 0.72 | 5.08–7.91 | 0.0046 |
|                | high | 43  | 18.92 | 1.70 | 15.58–22.25 | 12.82 | 1.20 | 10.47–15.17 | 0.0446 |
| DARPP32/STAT1  | low | 26  | 14.23 | 2.47 | 9.40–19.06 | 0.0865 | 6.56 | 2.86 | 0.95–12.17 | 0.0371 |
|                | high | 41  | 18.92 | 1.76 | 15.46–22.38 | 11.84 | 2.63 | 6.68–16.99 | 0.0446 |
| DARPP32/STAT3  | low | 20  | 13.25 | 3.84 | 5.73–20.77 | 0.0424 | 5.18 | 1.21 | 2.81–7.55 | 0.0066 |
|                | high | 47  | 18.59 | 2.12 | 14.43–22.75 | 11.61 | 2.04 | 7.60–15.61 | 0.0008 |
| DARPP32/STAT5A | low | 16  | 6.98  | 3.21 | 0.69–13.28 | 0.0120 | 4.75 | 0.26 | 4.24–5.27 | 0.0016 |
|                | high | 51  | 18.59 | 2.23 | 14.23–22.95 | 11.61 | 1.62 | 8.43–14.79 | 0.0033 |
| DARPP32/STAT5B | low | 11  | 9.67  | 4.60 | 0.65–18.69 | 0.1169 | 4.98 | 0.25 | 4.49–5.48 | 0.0048 |
|                | high | 56  | 18.20 | 2.24 | 13.81–22.59 | 10.36 | 1.51 | 7.40–13.33 | 0.0004 |
| STAT5B/STAT3   | low | 31  | 17.18 | 2.77 | 11.76–22.60 | 0.0981 | 7.57 | 1.26 | 5.11–10.04 | 0.0048 |
|                | high | 36  | 16.62 | 2.78 | 11.18–22.07 | 12.13 | 1.60 | 9.00–15.26 | 0.0004 |
| DARPP32/STAT3 & STAT5B/STAT3 all other | 38  | 15.15 | 1.68 | 11.86–18.44 | 0.0293 | 7.57 | 1.69 | 4.26–10.89 | 0.0004 |
|                | both high | 29  | 19.90 | 3.42 | 13.20–26.60 | 12.82 | 3.82 | 5.34–20.30 | 0.0004 |

a Relative expression assessed vs GUSB
assessing these molecules for pharmacologic interactions in glioblastomas.

From a different perspective, the most interesting findings in this study concern DARPP32, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not merited attention in brain tumours before but seems worth investigating in this context. It is shown here that DARPP32 is expressed at low levels in gliomas while its expression is further decreased with progressing degree of malignancy. DARPP32 is a key marker of neural specialization marking, for example, differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into specialized neurons [54]. One possible shortcoming regarding our findings is that with the assay used we detected all DARPP32 splice variants; hence, we cannot report on t-DARPP expression. This isoform is pro-oncogenic but nevertheless expressed along with full-length DARPP32, while it is not reported as expressed in the central nervous system [55]. In addition, although it cannot be excluded that DARPP32 expression may derive from entrapped neurons in low grade tumours (for example, in grade II tumours), such an argument can not be justified for glioblastomas that occasionally also

**Fig. 5** Effect of DARPP32 and STAT gene expression on glioblastoma patient outcome. **a** progression-free survival (PFS); **b** overall survival (OS) Significant gene expression ratios are shown. High—low classification of RQ values according to ROC curve cut-offs; combined vs. STAT3: DARPP32/STAT3 and STAT5B/STAT3; p: log rank test.
express this molecule at levels resembling grade II tumours. Considering that glia has neurotrophic, neuroprotective and synaptogenic function, as well as a major role in neural stem cell differentiation (reviewed in [56]), the observed DARPP32 expression reflecting "neuronal" features in gliomas may indicate some retained degree of differentiation in the neoplastic cells. Overall, our findings with DARPP32 ratios also seem to be in line with previous observations on neuronal-like profiles mainly in the neural, and less so in the classical and mesenchymal subtypes, which correspond to tumours with worsening prognosis in this order [9]. It is currently unknown whether DARPP32 is translated into protein and how it functions in gliomas, in particular with respect to its normal role as an integrator of neurotransmission. In addition, there is a gap in the literature regarding dopamine and monoamine signaling in general in these tumours. However, since dopaminergic compounds inhibit the growth of glioblastoma stem cell enriched cultures [57], the functional aspects of DARPP32 expression in gliomas and the neuronal characteristics of glioblastomas seem worth further pursuing.

A protective role of DARPP32 and an aggravating role of STAT3 and STAT5B in glioblastomas are further supported by the association of the corresponding mRNA ratios with AKT/PKB phosphorylation and survivin expression. Survivin expression is associated with proliferation and poorer prognosis in glioblastomas [43, 44], while it depends on STAT3 [28, 29]. AKT is phosphorylated at Ser473 by the rictor-mTOR complex [58], which may be inhibited experimentally in glioblastoma cells [59], while inhibition of AKT activation results in growth cessation of glioma cells [60]. These IHC markers did not have an effect on patient survival in the present series. It should be noticed that survivin is a protein with very short half-life [61], while the performance of the antibody used for phospho-AKT largely depends on time-to-fixation [62]. Hence, IHC results for these markers may not reflect the actual condition of these proteins in tumours, in spite that every care was taken for prompt tissue fixation upon surgical removal. Nevertheless, high DARPP32/STAT1, DARPP32/STAT3 and DARPP32/STAT5B ratios were associated with the absence of phosphorylated AKT and survivin in the tumours examined, indicating that DARPP32 negatively and STAT positively interfere with the expression of these pro-oncogenic protein markers.

The value of the present findings is that they potentially represent markers for the assessment of IDH-wild-type glioblastomas with unfavorable prognosis. Clearly, these results need prospective validation in larger multi-center sample and patient cohorts. In terms of methodology, the approach used here allows for the evaluation of glioma-relevant gene expression ratios without the involvement of a reference gene, which was used only for template evaluation. In a sense, this approach may be described as a clustering pre-stage, since it allows for gene expression ratios to be examined in duets. From a different aspect, mRNA ratios may be described as yin-yang: since molecules act in concert, their ratios may be more important than individual up- or down-regulation, which can not be determined by this approach. DNA / RNA extraction from routine FFPE diagnostic material is increasingly applied in pathology labs, while RT-qPCR is a very robust method for obtaining quantitative results. One of the problems with such results is the assessment of cut-offs. With the ROC curve cut-offs applied here, DARPP32 and STAT5B transcripts should be roughly higher than STAT3 transcripts by 2 % and 25 %, respectively, for the classification of favorable vs. unfavorable ratios. Although these results are unavoidably fitted to the cohort, as would be the case with any single continuous marker (including clusters that derive from such assessments), these analogies are easier to keep when standardizing the method.

Given the heterogeneity of gliomas and the devastating course of glioblastomas, glioblastoma subtyping is necessary for a more efficient assessment of patients for the application of individualized treatment [63]. With all subtyping efforts so far, which may be replicated retrospectively but seem to suffer from inherent errors for wide application [64], the best as yet distinction of glioblastomas appears to be IDH positive and negative disease [6, 7]. This study provides an applicable approach for the patient stratification in addition to IDH mutations. The DARPP32/STAT ratios presented here seem worth for further testing as prognostic and potentially as markers predictive for response to standard, as well as to new drug combinations for glioblastoma treatment. The neuronal aspect of glioma differentiation, in particular glioblastoma, as evidenced with DARPP32 expression, merits further elucidation for understanding the behaviour of these tumours.
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