Propagating disturbances along fan-like coronal loops in an active region
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Abstract Propagating disturbances are often observed in active region fan-like coronal loops. They were thought to be due to slow mode magnetohydrodynamic waves based on some of the observed properties. However, recent studies involving spectroscopy indicate that they could be due to high speed quasi-periodic upflows which are difficult to distinguish from upward propagating slow waves. In this context, we have studied a fan loop structure in the active region AR 11465 using simultaneous spectroscopic and imaging observations from the Extreme Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer onboard Hinode and Atmospheric Imaging Assembly onboard Solar Dynamics Observatory. Analysis of the data shows significant oscillations at different locations. We explore the variations in different line parameters to determine whether the waves or flows could cause these oscillations to improve the current understanding of the nature of these disturbances.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Coronal loops are made up of hot plasma controlled by magnetic fields. According to magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) wave theory, these loops can support different MHD wave modes (Roberts 2000; Banerjee et al. 2007). With recent high resolution observations, quasi-periodic propagating disturbances (PDs) are commonly observed in the solar atmosphere (DeForest & Gurman 1998; Gupta et al. 2012; Krishna Prasad et al. 2011, 2012a). The apparent propagation speed of these PDs ranges from 50 to 200 km s⁻¹ which is close to the speed of sound in the corona (Kiddie et al. 2012). This led to their interpretation as slow magnetoacoustic waves. Time series analysis shows that these quasi-periodic PDs in coronal loops have periods ranging from 3 to 30 min (Banerjee et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2009a,b; Gupta et al. 2009; Krishna Prasad et al. 2012b). Spectroscopic observations reveal that these longitudinal disturbances often show a correlation between the line intensity and Doppler shift (Kitagawa et al. 2010). It was suggested that these oscillations are due to the leakage of p-mode oscillations which are modified in the presence of a magnetic field and travel to the higher
atmosphere along the loops (Bogdan et al. 2003; De Pontieu et al. 2004; Srivastava & Dwivedi 2010). The signatures of damping in these PDs have also been reported (De Moortel & Hood 2003; Wang et al. 2002; Krishna Prasad et al. 2014).

Recent spectroscopic observations indicate that these PDs not only show periodic oscillations in intensity and Doppler velocity but sometimes also in line width (Tian et al. 2011b). Although in the past, oscillations observed in the loops were generally interpreted as signatures of various modes of MHD waves, Tian et al. (2011b) suggested that the observed quasi-periodic oscillations are not necessarily due to the slow magnetoacoustic waves. They reported that the footpoint regions of the loops show a coherent behaviour in all the four line parameters (line intensity, Doppler shift, line width and profile asymmetry) based on which they proposed that PDs can also be due to high-speed quasi-periodic upflows. They found that there are some faint enhancements in the blue-ward wing of the line in addition to the bright core of the line which indicates coronal upflows. A strong upflow with a velocity of 50 to 150 km s$^{-1}$ has been reported by De Pontieu et al. (2011). To distinguish the upflow emission component from the bright core, De Pontieu et al. (2009) and Tian et al. (2011a) have used the asymmetry measurement in emission line profiles. To quantify the asymmetry, they subtracted the red wing from the blue wing (B−R) of the spectral lines. They showed that the dominant primary emission component is superimposed on a faint secondary component which causes asymmetry in the line profiles. These quasi-periodic upflows create a profound asymmetry in the blue wing of the spectral line. The secondary component also enhances the line intensity and line width and periodically causes a change in Doppler shift. Tian et al. (2012) found that footpoints of active region loops show oscillations with a period around 10 min. They also found that all line parameters (line intensity, Doppler shift, line width, and profile asymmetry) vary coherently and show apparent blueshifts and blue-ward asymmetry in the line profiles. They proposed that these oscillations are due to quasi-periodic upflows which supply hot plasma and energy to the corona. Quasi-periodic upflows have also been reported in coronal loops using spectroscopic observations by many authors (Úgarte-Urra & Warren 2011; Martínez-Sykora et al. 2011; Brooks & Warren 2012; Sechler et al. 2012; Tripathi & Klimchuk 2013). Tripathi et al. (2012) pointed out that upflows are strong at the loop footpoints and their strength decreases with height.

These recent observations challenge the well established explanation of PDs as slow magnetoacoustic waves. However, Verwichte et al. (2010) have shown that due to the in-phase behaviour of velocity and density perturbations, upward propagating slow waves generally have the tendency to enhance the blue wing of the emission line. Wang et al. (2012) used a different method (which includes photon noise) to measure the velocity of the secondary emission component due to flows and showed that it was overestimated due to the saturation effects. They argued that the flow interpretation of the observed PDs is less favourable compared to the wave interpretation. Nishizuka & Hara (2011) found blue-ward asymmetry in the line profiles at the base of an active region but with increasing height along the loop, line profiles become symmetric. At higher locations intensity disturbances are in phase with the velocity which favours the upward propagating slow-mode waves scenario.

It is now believed that both the waves and flows might actually coexist close to the footpoints of the loops and imaging observations alone are insufficient to distinguish them. One needs to perform a detailed analysis of all the line parameters using spectroscopic data which we attempt to do in this article.

In Section 2 we describe the data used in this study and the analysis and the results are presented in Section 3. Conclusions are drawn with a summary in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The dataset used in the present study is obtained from observations of an active region, AR 11465, taken on 2012 April 26 by the EUV imaging spectrometer (EIS) onboard Hinode (Culhane et al.
Fig. 1 (I) AIA 171 Å image displaying fan-like loop structures at an active region boundary. The white rectangular box marks the region covered by the EIS raster. Two vertical dashed lines represent the positions of two EIS slits used for sit-and-stare observations. The small rectangular black box shows our Region Of Interest (ROI). (II) Zoomed-in view of the ROI showing the two slit positions and six analysis locations (1a-1c and 2a-2c). (III) and (IV) Average 171 Å AIA intensity profiles along slits 1 and 2 respectively, showing the identification of LCPs.

2007; Kosugi et al. 2007) and the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) (Lemen et al. 2012), onboard Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). The details of these observations and the procedures employed to prepare the data for analysis are given in this section.

2.1 EIS

The EIS observation was taken in two modes. The first one is a raster scan obtained with the 2″ slit from 13:02 UT to 13:33 UT covering the active region via 30 raster steps. The X and Y pixel scales are 2″ and 1″ respectively. The Field Of View covered by the raster is 60″ × 512″, shown as a white box in Figure 1 (I). The second mode is a sit-and-stare observation obtained with the 2″ slit placed at two positions (as shown by the white dashed lines in Fig. 1 (I)) between 13.35 UT and 14.35 UT. The first sit and stare observation (slit-1) was obtained from 13:35 UT to 14:05 UT and the second one (slit-2) was from 14:05 UT to 14:35 UT. The cadence of these observations is 47 s and the total duration of each set is 31 min. We performed the standard data preprocessing for all the EIS data with eis_prep.pro (available as part of the SolarSoft package) which includes dark current subtraction, cosmic ray removal, missing or saturated pixel flagging, etc. It also corrects for the slit tilt and orbital variation.

2.2 AIA

We have used the corresponding SDO/AIA data in the 171 Å and 193 Å channels taken from 13:00 UT to 15:00 UT which covers the EIS observation period. The cadence is 12 s. The level 1.0 data have been reduced to level 1.5 using the aia_prep.pro which makes the necessary instrumental corrections. The final pixel scale is ≈ 0.6″ in both the X and Y directions. Data from the AIA 193 Å channel are used for co-alignment between the two instruments. The co-alignment has been achieved
by cross-correlating the EIS 195 Å raster scan with the corresponding AIA 193 Å image. The final positions of the EIS sit-and-stare slits, after correcting for the obtained offsets, are shown as vertical dashed lines in Figure 1.

Figure 1 clearly shows the EIS slits positioned over the fan-like loop structures. We identify six locations, three (1a-1c) over the first slit and three (2a-2c) over the second slit, where the fan loops are found to cross the EIS slits. These locations, referred to as Loop Crossing Points (LCPs), are identified from the peaks in time averaged AIA 171 Å intensity profiles along the respective slits (see panels III and IV of Fig. 1).

3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Data from Fe XII 195.12 Å and Fe XIII 202.04 Å lines are used in the present analysis. The Fe XII 195.12 Å line is self-blended with another Fe XII line at 195.18 Å (Young et al. 2009), so we have used a double Gaussian fitting for this line to derive all the spectral line parameters i.e. intensity, Doppler velocity, and line width using eis_auto_fit.pro. While fitting the line profile with two Gaussians, the separation between the lines was fixed at 0.06 Å and the line widths were restricted to be the same. Equal widths are expected since both the lines are from the same ion. The spectral parameters for the Fe XIII 202.04 Å line are derived from a single Gaussian fitting. We also estimated the “Red minus Blue” (R−B) asymmetry in the line profiles by following a method similar to that described in Tian et al. (2011a). The exact procedure for obtaining the R−B asymmetry involves the following steps:

(i) First we use spline interpolation to increase the line profile sampling by a factor of 10 times more than the original.
(ii) Then we select the peak intensity position as the line centroid (following the RBp method in the reference).
(iii) Two narrow spectral windows are then selected at a chosen distance on both sides of the centroid i.e. at the red and at the blue wing. We have selected these spectral windows to be at wavelength positions corresponding to 60–125 km s⁻¹.
(iv) The total intensity in this window at the blue wing is then subtracted from that at the red wing to obtain the R−B value. For the 195.12 Å line, the corresponding contribution from the 195.18 Å line in the red wing is also subtracted. The obtained R−B values are normalised with the peak intensity to give (%) asymmetry in the line profile.

The constructed time-distance maps of line intensity, Doppler shift, line width, and R−B asymmetry, corresponding to slit-1, for the EIS Fe XII 195.12 Å and Fe XIII 202.04 Å lines are shown in Figure 2. The bottom panels, for each spectral line, in this figure show the time-averaged variation in these parameters for the region bounded by the solid lines in the top panels. The LCPs corresponding to slit-1 are also marked in this figure. An interesting feature to note is the corresponding increase in Doppler shift and line width at the LCPs (Tian et al. (2011a) found similar results). The R−B asymmetries for both lines show enhancements in their blue wings leading to a negative R−B value.

3.0.1 Coherence in Line Parameters

The temporal evolution of the EIS 195 Å and 202.04 Å lines at LCPs indicates oscillations in all the line parameters. We show the variation in intensity, Doppler shift and line width for all the LCPs (for the 195.12 Å line) in Figure 3. All these light curves are trend subtracted by a 15 point running average to filter long period variations. To explore the possible coherency in oscillations and to quantify it, we computed cross-correlation coefficients between different line parameters for both lines and listed them in Table 1. Carefully looking at the table, we notice that the correlation values between intensity and velocity are relatively high and persistent in all the LCPs for both the lines.
Fig. 2 (I) Top panels (from left to right) show the temporal evolution of the peak intensity, Doppler shift, line width and R−B asymmetry, in the Fe XIII 202.04 Å line for slit-1. Bottom panels show the time averaged values in these parameters for the region bounded by the solid lines marked in the top panels. LCPs corresponding to slit-1 are marked in all these figures. (II) Same as the above for the Fe XII 195.12 Å line. The black horizontal band near $Y \approx -207''$ corresponds to missing data due to bad pixels.

3.0.2 Periodicity of Oscillations

To measure the periodicity in the observed oscillations, we performed wavelet analysis on all the line parameters at the LCPs. Before performing the analysis, a 3-point running average has been applied to improve the signal-to-noise.

The sample wavelet plots corresponding to the LCP 1b are shown in Figure 4. Wavelet results for the corresponding AIA intensity are also shown in this figure (bottom-right plot). In all these plots,
Table 1  Cross-correlation Coefficient Values

| Position | Intensity-Doppl.Velocity 195.12 | Doppl.Velocity-Line Width 195.12 | Line Width-Intensity 195.12 |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1a       | 0.51                            | 0.62                             | 0.18                        |
| 1b       | 0.43                            | 0.64                             | 0.05                        |
| 1c       | 0.55                            | 0.15                             | 0.24                        |
| 2a       | 0.56                            | 0.64                             | 0.48                        |
| 2b       | 0.53                            | 0.57                             | 0.20                        |
| 2c       | 0.76                            | 0.76                             | 0.24                        |

Fig. 3  Variation in intensity, Doppler shift and width of the Fe XII 195 Å line for all the LCPs is shown in red, black and green curves respectively, in each panel.

3.1 Image Analysis

3.1.1 Power maps

We have created a movie (Movie 1, available online http://www.raa-journal.org/docs/Supp/2290 movie1.mp4) of the ROI from the AIA 171 Å image sequence. One can clearly see the presence of
Table 2  Periodicities Obtained from the Wavelet Analysis (in min)

| Position | EIS Intensity | EIS Doppler Velocity | EIS Line Width | AIA Intensity |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
|          | 195.12       | 202.04               | 195.12         | 202.04       | 171         | 193 |
| 1a       | 7.7          | 5.0                  | 7.7            | 4.6          | 5.0         | 7.9 |
| 1b       | 7.7          | 7.0                  | 7.0            | 7.7          | 8.4         | 7.2 |
| 1c       | 8.4          | 8.4                  | 5.9            | 4.2          | 5.4         | 7.9 |
| 2a       | 5.9          | 7.0                  | 7.7            | 7.0          | 5.9         | 6.5 |
| 2b       | 7.0          | 9.1                  | 4.6            | 9.1          | 5.0         | 9.0 |
| 2c       | 3.8          | 6.5                  | 7.0            | 5.9          | 3.5         | 6.5 |

PDs travelling outward in the movie. However, it requires further analysis to figure out their relation with the oscillations observed at LCPs.

Using AIA images, we constructed power maps of the selected region in three period bands of 2–4, 4–6 and 6–10 min (see Fig. 5). To create these maps, we perform wavelet analysis on the light curve at each pixel within the selected region and obtain the power at all possible periods. Then the power in a selected period window is averaged to make a power map corresponding to that period range. Loop-like structures are clearly visible in the power maps and in the 6–10 min period range which is observed at LCPs. These structures are visible up to the EIS slit positions. This might indicate that the PDs travelling along the loops and the oscillations observed at the LCPs are related.
Fig. 5 AIA 171 Å (top panel) and 193 Å (bottom panel) intensity images for the ROI shown in Figure 1 and the corresponding power maps in three period bands as indicated. The locations of the EIS slits and selected LCPs are also marked. The loops which are faintly visible in the intensity image of the 193 Å channel are seen clearly in the respective power maps.

3.1.2 Propagation Speeds

Propagation speed is one of the important parameters that is used to understand the nature of PDs. To examine the typical speeds in this region we performed time-distance analysis. Two fan loops, crossing the EIS slits, were selected and artificial slices were made along those loops. Time-distance maps were then constructed for these slices using the AIA image sequence. The locations of the two slices and the corresponding time-distance maps, for the two AIA channels, are shown in Figure 6. The time-distance maps were enhanced by subtracting longer trends at each spatial position. Bright ridges with varying intensity and inclination are visible in these maps. These ridges represent the observed PDs and their inclination gives the apparent propagation speed. The positions of the local maxima were identified along each ridge and fitted with a linear function to calculate the propagation speed. The obtained speeds for the individual ridges are marked in the figure. The values range from $17 - 60$ km s$^{-1}$ (with errors less than 7 km s$^{-1}$) for the 171 Å channel and $37 - 87$ km s$^{-1}$ (with errors less than 11 km s$^{-1}$) for the 193 Å channel. The speed ratios, calculated from the common ridges appearing in the two AIA channels, range from 1.1 to 2.0. This shows the speeds of the PDs are temperature dependent. Note that these measured speeds are the apparent speeds in the plane of the sky. Hence, the real speeds can be much higher.
Fig. 6 (I) Left: AIA 171 Å image showing the locations of the slices chosen for time-distance analysis. The vertical dashed lines mark EIS slit positions. Right: Enhanced time-distance maps constructed from slice 1 (top) and slice 2 (bottom). The inclined black dashed lines represent the slope of the individual ridges used in estimation of the propagation speed estimation. (II) Corresponding plots for the AIA 193 Å channel.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the properties of PDs in an active region fan loop system using simultaneously observed imaging data from SDO/AIA and spectroscopic data from Hinode/EIS. At the six loop-crossing locations, identified from the AIA 171 Å images, we observe oscillations in all the line parameters for the two EIS lines (Fe XII 195.12 Å and Fe XIII 202.04 Å). The periodicity of these oscillations ranges from 3 to 10 min. The intensity oscillations obtained from AIA 193 Å and 171 Å also show a similar periodicity. The AIA image sequence and the power maps of the region clearly indicate that these oscillations are connected with the PDs propagating along the fan loops. The apparent propagation speeds of PDs were found to be different for the AIA 193 (T ≈ 1.3 MK) and AIA 171 (T ≈ 0.6 MK) channels. The average speed ratio is ≈ 1.5 compared to the theoretical value of 1.47 expected for these two channels.

We also performed correlation analysis to find coherency between different line parameters which reveals a relatively high correlation between intensity and Doppler shift at all the LCPs. It may be noted that in some cases the periods of different line parameters are not exactly the same (see Table 2). The listed values in Table 2 are only the dominant periods which are in most cases accompanied by other peaks (although they are not significant). The existence of multiple periods
often degrades the correlation value. It may be useful to filter the time series to improve this but the small amplitudes of oscillations combined with the noise make this difficult to achieve.

The temperature-dependent propagation speeds and relatively high correlation between intensity and Doppler shift, which are expected for a propagating slow magneto-acoustic wave, favour the wave interpretation for the observed PDs. On the other hand, the observed PDs were not so regular, with changing inclinations and intensities from one ridge to the other as can be seen from the time-distance maps. The R−B asymmetry analysis also reveals a negative R−B value for both the EIS lines indicating a blue wing enhancement. These properties suggest the possible interpretation of the observed PDs as quasi-periodic upflows. In fact, some of the latter properties can actually be explained with a propagating slow wave scenario if one considers the line profile resulting from the superposition of a high-speed component on a nearly stationary background. This superposition leads to profile asymmetry producing a blue-wing enhancement and also results in coherent oscillations in all the line parameters (Verwichte et al. 2010; Hara et al. 2008).

Based on the observed properties, we suggest a possible co-existence of waves and flows causing the PDs found in this region. Here we want to emphasise that it is very difficult to distinguish these two effects from each other from the intensity images as well as from the spectroscopic observations as presented here. Recently, De Moortel et al. (2015) made synthetic spectra to justify one scenario over the other and they found that most of the observables, which can distinguish between the wave and flow scenarios, are not measurable with current instrumental capabilities. Efforts shall be made to combine theoretical modelling with observations which will help us to decouple these two phenomena from each other.
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