The heritability of coronal and sagittal phenotype in idiopathic scoliosis: a report of 12 monozygotic twin pairs
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Abstract

Purpose One of the pathways through which genetics may act in the causation of idiopathic scoliosis is inheritance of a specific sagittal profile that predisposes for its development. In this study, coronal and sagittal parameters were compared in an international collection of monozygotic twins with idiopathic scoliosis.

Methods Twelve monozygotic twin pairs who underwent biplanar radiography for idiopathic scoliosis were systematically identified in existing scoliosis databases in The Netherlands, Sweden, and Denmark. On the first available radiographs, the coronal and sagittal curve parameters (Roussouly and Abelin types, thoracic kyphosis, lumbar lordosis and length of the posteriorly inclined segment) were determined.

Results In all 12 monozygotic twin pairs, both twins were affected by AIS. Four (33%) twin pairs had similar coronal and sagittal spinal phenotype, whereas two (17%) had different coronal phenotype and similar sagittal profiles, and six (50%) pairs had different coronal as well as sagittal phenotype.

Conclusions Analysis of biplanar curve characteristics in monozygotic twins showed that all twin pairs were affected by idiopathic scoliosis. However, only 33% of the pairs had similar coronal and sagittal spinal phenotypes. Based on this limited dataset, the hypothesis can be formulated that besides genetic pre-disposition, the individual (inherited) sagittal profile plays a role in the development of different coronal curve type.

Keywords Phenotype · Genotype · Monozygotic twins · Idiopathic scoliosis

Introduction

After many years of dedicated research into the etiopathogenesis of idiopathic scoliosis, the number of theories is overwhelming [1–3]. During the last decade, multiple studies found evidence that genetic factors as well as intrinsic spino-pelvic biomechanics of the upright human spine play a role in the causation of idiopathic scoliosis [3–6].

A monozygotic twin study can help to reveal the importance of environmental and genetic influences on the etiology of idiopathic scoliosis. Although monozygotic twins are often exposed to the same environmental factors, they share...
100% of their genome which help to identify genetic factors when phenotypical concordance rates are high in pairs. Phenotypic differences, on the other hand, such as the presence of (a certain type of) scoliosis in only one member of a monozygotic pair or different curve types, shifts the evidence towards a more important environmental causation.

To date, several monozygotic and dizygotic twin studies demonstrated heritability of idiopathic scoliosis. So far, these studies mainly focused on the coronal characteristics and little is known on inheritance of the sagittal profile in cases with idiopathic scoliosis [7–9]. Previously, it has been shown that sagittal profile is correlated with the development of different types of idiopathic scoliosis [6]. The aim of this study was to analyze the concordance of sagittal and coronal phenotype in monozygotic twins with idiopathic scoliosis.

**Subjects and methods**

**Population**

Appropriate use criteria for case series were applied [10]. In the existing scoliosis databases from two scoliosis centers in The Netherlands, one in Sweden and two in Denmark, we systematically searched for monozygotic twin pairs. Zygosity was extracted from medical charts. Pairs in which at least one twin was affected by idiopathic scoliosis and both had undergone biplanar (posterior–anterior and lateral) radiographic evaluation of the full spine were included. Cases with non-idiopathic scoliosis or other spinal abnormalities were excluded. The first PA and lateral radiographs of each twin pair, acquired less than 3 months apart, were extracted from the databases. Original analog radiographs were digitized prior to measurement of the outcome parameters.

**Measurement of coronal and sagittal phenotype**

In the coronal plane, on the posterior–anterior radiographs, Cobb angles were measured [11]. Since bending radiographs were not available on all cases, curves were classified according to the Lenke curve types [12]. Similarity of coronal curve morphology was defined as an identical coronal curve type. On the lateral radiographs, sagittal phenotype was classified according to Roussouly and the recently validated Abelin-Genevois type for sagittal spinal morphology [13–15]. The Abelin-Genevois classification differentiates between (type 1) normal sagittal alignment, (type 2a) thoracic hypokyphosis, (type 2b) thoracic hypokyphosis + thoracolumbar kyphosis, and (type 3) cervicothoracic kyphosis. Also standard sagittal parameters [pelvic incidence, thoracic kyphosis T4–T12 (TK), lumbar lordosis L1–S1 (LL), and length of the posteriorly inclined segment] were measured by three trained observers using a previously validated image processing technique [16]. The sample size of this case series was considered hypothesis-generating and too small for further statistical analyses.

**Results**

Twelve monozygotic twin pairs with idiopathic scoliosis were identified. Demographics are shown in Table 1. In all 12 twin pairs, both twins were affected by AIS (concordance of 100%): six (50%) pairs had similar coronal and also a similar sagittal curve pattern. Five (42%) pairs had a different coronal and also a different sagittal phenotype (Fig. 1). One pair (8%) had a similar coronal and a different sagittal pattern. There were no twin pairs with a similar sagittal pattern and different coronal pattern. Sagittal and coronal parameters per twin are shown in Table 2. There was 58% concordance of coronal and 50% of sagittal phenotypes (Table 3).

**Discussion**

This small monozygotic twin study helps to reveal the importance of environmental and genetic influences on the etiology of different types of idiopathic scoliosis. On one hand, the presence of scoliosis in both members of all monozygotic pairs in this study confirms a genetic causation. On the other hand, the relatively low concordance of coronal and sagittal phenotypic idiopathic scoliosis characteristics shifts the evidence towards genetic pre-disposition and a more important environmental causation for the onset of different curve types.

| Twin pair | Gender | Age (years) | Concordancy idiopathic scoliosis |
|-----------|--------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Pair 1    | ♂      | 12          | Yes                             |
| Pair 2    | ♂      | 13          | Yes                             |
| Pair 3    | ♂      | 15          | Yes                             |
| Pair 4    | ♂      | 18          | Yes                             |
| Pair 5    | ♂      | 14          | Yes                             |
| Pair 6    | ♂      | 5           | Yes                             |
| Pair 7    | ♂      | 15          | Yes                             |
| Pair 8    | ♂      | 18          | Yes                             |
| Pair 9    | ♂      | 17          | Yes                             |
| Pair 10   | ♂      | 16          | Yes                             |
| Pair 11   | ♂      | 11          | Yes                             |
| Pair 12   | ♂      | 13          | Yes                             |
Fig. 1 PA and lateral radiographs of a monozygotic twin pair. Radiographs were taken less than 3 months apart. This monozygotic twin pair was characterized by two different coronal curvatures (thoracic vs. thoracolumbar) also had two different sagittal profiles [small versus high pelvic incidence, Abelin-Genevois curve type 2a (hypokyphosis) versus 1 (normokyphosis)]

Table 2 Sagittal and coronal outcome parameters are presented

| Pair twin | Lenke type | Major Cobb (°) | Similar coronal curve morphology? | TK (°) | LL (°) | DL (%) | Roussouly type | Abelin-Genevois type |
|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1-1       | 1          | 38             | No                                | 17     | 26     | 56     | 2              | 2a                  |
| 1-2       | 5          | 40             |                                   | 18     | 31     | 64     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 2-1       | 1          | 15             | Yes                               | 31     | 35     | 74     | 3              | 1                   |
| 2-2       | 1          | 13             |                                   | 36     | 27     | 68     | 3              | 1                   |
| 3-1       | 1          | 30             | No                                | 41     | 64     | 52     | 4              | 1                   |
| 3-2       | 5          | 32             |                                   | 32     | 48     | 56     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 4-1       | 5          | 22             | No                                | 33     | 46     | 65     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 4-2       | 1          | 23             |                                   | 27     | 37     | 59     | 4              | 2b                  |
| 5-1       | 1          | 19             | Yes                               | 21     | 48     | 69     | 2              | 2a                  |
| 5-2       | 1          | 20             |                                   | 18     | 23     | 79     | 2              | 2a                  |
| 6-1       | 1          | 18             | Yes                               | 11     | 44     | 32     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 6-2       | 1          | 30             |                                   | 1      | 34     | 39     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 7-1       | 5          | 20             | Yes                               | 30     | 70     | 68     | 4              | 1                   |
| 7-2       | 5          | 43             |                                   | 25     | 43     | 70     | 4              | 1                   |
| 8-1       | 1          | 34             | No                                | 28     | 57     | 68     | 2              | 1                   |
| 8-2       | 5          | 42             |                                   | 37     | 67     | 88     | 4              | 1                   |
| 9-1       | 5          | 34             | Yes                               | 28     | 75     | 84     | 2              | 1                   |
| 9-2       | 5          | 35             |                                   | 20     | 39     | 76     | 2              | 1                   |
| 10-1      | 5          | 26             | Yes                               | 27     | 37     | 77     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 10-2      | 5          | 29             |                                   | 26     | 58     | 73     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 11-1      | 5          | 11             | No                                | 28     | 39     | 71     | 2              | 1                   |
| 11-2      | 1          | 33             |                                   | 18     | 40     | 79     | 3              | 2a                  |
| 12-1      | 1          | 44             | Yes                               | 30     | 26     | 56     | 1              | 1                   |
| 12-2      | 1          | 17             |                                   | 22     | 32     | 70     | 2              | 2a                  |

TK thoracic kyphosis, LL lumbar lordosis, DL length of the posteriorly inclined segment of the spine, relative to total spinal length
Previously, larger twin studies on scoliosis showed a strong genetic effect with concordances in monozygotic pairs of 0.72 to 0.92 [17, 18]. Recent population-based studies from the Danish and Swedish twin registries, however, showed lower pairwise concordances of 0.11 to 0.4 in monozygotic twins [8, 9]. From the Swedish mono- and dizygotic twin registry, the variance in the probability to develop scoliosis due to additional genetic effects (heritability) was estimated to be 38% [8]. The selection of the present series of twelve monozygotic twins was biased by the necessity of having biplanar radiographs for both twins, and therefore, there is likely an ascertainment bias. In all likelihood, biplanar radiographs of non-scoliotic twins were not acquired for screening purposes, nor will the 'normal' sibling of a scoliotic patient have undergone radiography.

One of the pathways through which genetics in idiopathic scoliosis may act is a specific (inherited) sagittal profile that predisposes for its development [19]. In this study, the phenotypic similarity of global coronal and sagittal shape was compared in an international collection of monozygotic twins with idiopathic scoliosis. The previous studies did not investigate similarity in phenotypic coronal and sagittal curve patterns, but classified concordant as both twins having a scoliosis and discordant when only one had a scoliotic curve. In the 12 concordant monozygotic twins in this report, six pairs (50%) had full agreement on sagittal as well as coronal spinal deformation, whereas five pairs (42%) had a difference in coronal as well as sagittal phenotypical presentation. While some single-gene inheritance disorders such as achondroplasia and Duchenne muscular dystrophy show little variation in phenotypical presentation, even in single-gene disorders, highly concordant phenotypes are rare. In those, it is more the susceptibility to develop the disorder that is inherited. Therefore, the findings of the present study suggest a strong genetic pre-disposition for the initiation of a scoliosis, but, in the meantime, shift the evidence from a genetic towards a more important non-genetic/environmental causation for the development of different curve types.

Recently, it has been shown that the sagittal profile plays an important role in the development of different (phenotypical) types of idiopathic scoliosis [6]. Thoracic and lumbar idiopathic scoliosis were shown to develop on an essentially different sagittal profile as compared to non-scoliotic controls [6]. Also, it was shown that the different sagittal Abelin-Genevois types are already present in very early stages of the development of the scoliosis [20]. The rotational stiffness of spinal segments was decreased by the inclination of individual vertebrae in the sagittal plane as determined by the individual's inherited sagittal spinal profile, due to posteriorly directed shear loads [21]. Moreover, a previous study has also shown a certain inheritance of sagittal spino-pelvic alignment from parents to the child in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis [19]. Accordingly, in this study, 50% of the twin pairs showed agreement on sagittal phenotype as well as subsequent coronal curve morphology, whereas 42% of the pairs had no agreement on sagittal and coronal phenotype. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that twins affected by idiopathic scoliosis during puberty have a certain genetic profile making them prone to develop a spinal deformity, and that (genetic or environmental) differences in phenotype of sagittal profile may play a role in the development of different coronal curve types. It could be the same genotype with different exposure to environmental factors or different physical characteristics (such as BMI) during childhood in the two twins, causing the different sagittal profile [22, 23]. To obtain further insight in the inheritance pattern of sagittal alignment and onset of different coronal curve types, these should be further explored from parents to the child in a large-scale setting.

### Table 3 Relation between sagittal spinal alignment and coronal curve type in 12 monozygotic twin pairs

| Sagittal phenotype | Coronal phenotype | Similar | Different |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| Similar            |                   | 6 (50%) | 0 (0%)    |
| Different          |                   | 1 (8%)  | 5 (42%)   |

### Conclusions

In accordance with the existing literature, that all monozygotic twins in this series were affected by idiopathic scoliosis suggests that genetic factors play a role in the pathogenesis of idiopathic scoliosis. They often have, however, different coronal or sagittal spinal curvatures. Because of the 75% agreement on (dis)similarity in sagittal alignment and coronal phenotype in the 12 pairs of monozygotic twins, it can also be hypothesized that (inheritability of) the sagittal alignment plays a role in the development of different coronal curve types.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Anna Grauers for assistance in identifying twins in the Swedish database.

### Author contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to the analysis, or interpretation of data. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Schlosser and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors drafted the work or revised it critically for important intellectual content and approved the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

### Funding

None.
Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Castelein: K2M unrestricted research grant, AO Startup grant, Cotrel Foundation research grant; Gerdhem: CIMED Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska Institutet funds, the Swedish Research Council grants number 2012-02275 and 2017-01639. Other authors: no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval This study was by the local medical ethical review board by expedited review.

Informed consent Informed consent was not required for this retrospective study on prerecorded deidentified radiographs.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Kouwenhoven JW, Castelein RM (2008) The pathogenesis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: review of the literature. Spine 33:2898–2908
2. Schlosser TP, van der Heijden GJ, Versteeg AL, Castelein RM (2014) How ‘idiopathic’ is adolescent idiopathic scoliosis? A systematic review on associated abnormalities. PLoS ONE 9:e97461. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097461
3. Cheng JC, Castelein RM, Chu WC, Danielsson AJ, Dobbs MB, Grivas TB et al (2015) Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 1:15030. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.30
4. Gorman KF, Julien C, Moreau A (2012) The genetic epidemiology of idiopathic scoliosis. Eur Spine J 21:1905–1919. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-012-2389-6
5. Castelein RM, van Dieen JH, Smit TH (2005) The role of dorsal shear forces in the pathogenesis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis—a hypothesis. Med Hypotheses 65:501–508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2005.03.025
6. Schlosser TP, Shah SA, Reichard SJ, Rogers K, Vincken KL, Castelein RM (2014) Differences in early sagittal plane alignment between thoracic and lumbar adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine J. 2(14):282–290
7. Hermus JP, van Rhijn LW, van Ooj A (2007) Non-genetic expression of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a case report and review of the literature. Eur Spine J 16(Suppl 3):338–341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-007-0335-9
8. Grauers A, Rahman I, Gerdhem P (2012) Heritability of scoliosis. Eur Spine J 21:1069–1074. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-011-1974-1
9. Simony A, Carreon LY, Hjmark K, Kyvik KO, Andersen MO (2016) Concordance rates of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in a Danish twin population. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000001681
10. Kempen JH (2011) Appropriate use and reporting of uncontrolled case series in the medical literature. Am J Ophthalmol 151:7. e1–10.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2010.08.047
11. Cobb JR (1948) Outline for the study of scoliosis. The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (2nd edn), Instructional Course Lectures. 1948;vol. 5. p. 261
12. Lenke LG, Betz RR, Harms J, Bridwell KH, Clements DH, Lowe TG et al (2001) Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a new classification to determine extent of spinal arthrodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 83-A:1169–1181
13. Roussouly P, Gollogly S, Berthonnaud E, Dimnet J (2005) Classification of the normal variation in the sagittal alignment of the human lumbar spine and pelvis in the standing position. Spine. 30:346–353
14. Abelin-Genevois K, Sassi D, Verdun S, Roussouly P (2018) Sagittal classification in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: original description and therapeutic implications. Eur Spine J 27:2192–2202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5613-1
15. Fruergaard S, Jain MJ, Deveza L, Liu D, Heydemann J, Ohrn Nissen S et al (2019) Evaluation of a new sagittal classification system in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Eur Spine J. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-019-06241-5
16. Janssen MM, Drevelle X, Humbert L, Skalli W, Castelein RM (2009) Differences in male and female spino-pelvic alignment in asymptomatic young adults: a three-dimensional analysis using upright low-dose digital biplanar X-rays. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 34:E826–E832. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181a9f085
17. Kesling KL, Reinker KA (1997) Scoliosis in twins. A meta-analysis of the literature and report of six cases. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 22:2009–2014 (discussion 2015)
18. Inoue M, Minami S, Kitahara H, Otsuka Y, Nakata Y, Takaso M et al (1998) Idiopathic scoliosis in twins studied by DNA fingerprinting: the incidence and type of scoliosis. J Bone Joint Surg Br 80:212–217
19. Janssen MM, Vincken KL, van Raak SM, Vrtovec T, Kemp B, Viergever MA et al (2013) Sagittal spinal profile and spinopelvic balance in parents of scoliotic children. Spine J. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2013.05.030
20. Schlosser T, Castelein RM, Grobost P, Shah SA, Abelin Genevois K. Pathologic sagittal alignment is already present in early stages of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS). Scoliosis Research Society Annual Meeting 2019, Montreal, Canada. 2019
21. Kouwenhoven JW, Smit TH, van der Veen AJ, Kingma I, van Dieen JH, Castelein RM (2007) Effects of dorsal versus ventral shear loads on the rotational stability of the thoracic spine: a biomechanical porcine and human cadaveric study. Spine 32:2545–2550
22. Smith AJ, O’Sullivan PB, Beales DJ, de Klerk N, Straker LM (2011) Trajectories of childhood body mass index are associated with adolescent sagittal standing posture. Int J Pediatr Obes. 6:e97–e106. https://doi.org/10.3109/17477166.2010.530664
23. Valdovino AG, Bastrom TP, Reighard FG, Cross M, Bartley CE, Shah SA et al (2019) Obesity is associated with increased thoracic kyphosis in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patients and nonscoliotic adolescents. Spine Deform. 7:865–869

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.