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Abstract

**Background:** Multimodal therapies, such as perioperative chemotherapy and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy together with surgical advances, have improved the outcomes of patients with resectable esophageal cancer (EC). However, the 5-year survival of these patients remains below 50%. The advent of immunotherapy has resulted in novel approaches to advanced or metastatic disease, but the role of immunotherapy in the neoadjuvant settings has not yet to be established. In this single-arm study, the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy were evaluated in patients with resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC).

**Methods:** This study was conducted at 2 hospitals (in Xi’an and Tianjin) and included patients with ESCC of clinical stage II–IVA who underwent surgery within 4 to 6 weeks after completing treatment with pembrolizumab (200mg) combined with a conventional chemotherapy regimen (3 cycles). The efficacy and safety of this combination treatment were evaluated as primary endpoints of the study. This study was retrospectively registered (Registration number: ChiCTR2100048917).

**Results:** A total of 22 patients (including 18 men) were enrolled, of whom 17 patients progressed to surgery, 4 patients refused surgery due to tumor regression and symptomatic relief, and 1 patient had progressive disease. As determined by the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST, version 1.1), 7 patients (31.8%) had a partial response and 4 (18.2%) had complete response, which translated to an objective response rate of 50%. The symptom remission rate was 90.9% according to the Stooler classification. Five patients (22.7%) experienced serious treatment-related adverse events of grade 3–4 (including anorexia, vomiting, fatigue, alopecia and hypoadrenalism). Postoperative pathology revealed a major pathological response in 14 cases (82.3%) and a complete pathological response in 8 cases (47.1%). Programmed death-ligand 1 expression in pretreatment specimens was not significantly associated with the percentage of residual viable tumor (RVT) (ρ = 0.55, p = 0.08). Changes in counts of CD68+ macrophage between pre- and post-treatment specimens were weakly correlated with RVT (ρ = 0.71; p = 0.07), while a positive correlation was observed between postoperative Foxp3+ T cells/CD4+ T cell ratios and RVT (ρ = 0.84, p = 0.03).

**Conclusion:** The combination of neoadjuvant immunotherapy and chemotherapy for ESCC is associated with a high pathological response and immunologic effects in the tumor microenvironment. It has acceptable toxicity and great efficacy, suggesting a strong rationale for its further evaluation in randomized clinical trials.

**Trial registration:** ChiCTR, ChiCTR2100048917. Registered 19 July 2021 - Retrospectively registered, https://www.chictr.org.cn/edit.aspx?pid=130073&htm=4

Background

Esophageal cancer (EC) is the 7th most common cancer-related death globally. In China, it is the 6th most common malignancy, with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) being the dominant subtype. The median overall survival (OS) of patients with advanced or metastatic esophageal cancer is extremely poor. For patients who have undergone surgeries alone, overall survival (OS) rates are improving; nonetheless, the 5-year survival rate does not exceed 50% (49.1%).

According to the current National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines, multimodal therapy with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is the recommended standard therapy for patients with T2-4aNxM0 resectable ESCC. The CROSS Study is the definitive modern randomized clinical trial (RCT), with an OS of 48.6 months versus 24.0 months in the multimodal and surgery-only cohorts, respectively. However, a major limitation for this treatment may be heightened risk of major respiratory complications including pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, respiratory failure and pulmonary embolism and mortality postoperatively. Consequently, with the advent of a greater understanding of EC tumor biology and genomics, novel approaches which combine efficacy and safety are being explored.

In this regard, there is currently enormous interest in therapies that target the immune cells within the tumor microenvironment (TME). Programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) inhibitors have been evaluated in multiple clinical trials. In the KEYNOTE-181 study, pembrolizumab VS chemotherapy was evaluated as a second-line treatment for advanced (unresectable or metastatic) EC. For patients with PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS) ≥10, the 12-month OS rate was 43% in the pembrolizumab group and 20% in the chemotherapy group. And in the KEYNOTE-590 trial, combination of pembrolizumab and chemotherapy VS chemotherapy was evaluated as a first-line treatment for the unresectable or metastatic EC. The survival rate at 12 months of ESCC was higher with chemoimmunotherapy versus chemotherapy (51% VS 38%). In the neoadjuvant treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), PD-1 inhibitors have produced excellent results. In the NCT02716038 study, NADIM and our recent trial, neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy in resectable NSCLC reported encouraging data of pathological response, with MPR (57%, 83%, 50% respectively) and pCR (33%, 63%, 30% respectively).

Given these encouraging trends for neoadjuvant regimens including anti-PD-1 therapy, the present study aimed to explore the safety and efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy combined with chemotherapy for resectable ESCC in the neoadjuvant settings. We also preliminarily explore the correlations between pathological response and immunological parameters of the tumor microenvironment (TME).
Methods

1.1 Experimental design

1.1.1 Inclusion criteria

In this single-arm study, 22 patients were enrolled from 2 hospitals in China (Tangdu Hospital, Air Force Medical University and Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin Medical University). Patients gave their informed consent to participate in the study, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tangdu Hospital of the Fourth Military Medical University (approval no.202005-12-KY-07-XW-01). Patients over the age of 18 years old with histologically confirmed, treatment-naïve ESCC of clinical stages II–IVA (according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC 8th edition]) were eligible for inclusion. The key exclusion criteria were: patients with active autoimmune disease; patients with active concurrent malignancy; and patients receiving ongoing systemic steroids (>10mg daily prednisone equivalents) or other immunosuppressive therapy.

All of the included patients were scheduled to receive the following drugs intravenously: pembrolizumab (200mg) combined with conventional chemotherapy for three 21-day cycles prior to surgical resection (Table 1). Surgery was planned within 4–6 weeks after the completion of the induction regimen. McKeown or Ivor Lewis esophagectomy, including two-field lymphadenectomy with total mediastinal lymph node dissection was performed according to standard institutional procedures.

1.2 Primary endpoints

1.2.1 Safety

Adverse events (AEs) were assessed according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) V.4.0.

1.2.2 Efficacy

Efficacy was measured according to the following criteria: (1) Pathological complete response (pCR), defined as the complete absence of tumor cells, or major pathological response (MPR), defined as <10% residual viable tumor (RVT), or incomplete pathological response, defined as ≥10% RVT (non-MPR/non-PCR)\(^{15}\); (2) Symptom remission, according to the Stooler classification\(^{11}\); (3) Treatment radiographic response, as determined using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST version 1.1)\(^{10}\).

1.4 Evaluation of immunologic parameters

Forkhead box P3-positive (Foxp3), CD4-positive and CD8-positive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were compared between pre-treatment biopsy specimens and surgical specimens using multiplex immunofluorescence (mIF) (Shanghai Baili Biotechnology Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China). CD68-positive macrophages and the expression of Programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF)-\(\alpha\), and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF)-\(\beta\) were also analyzed by immunohistochemistry (online supplementary methods). The Foxp3+ T cells/(CD4+ T cells) ratios and Foxp3+ T cells/(CD8+ T cells) ratios were calculated.

1.5 Statistical analysis

Demographic and safety data, as well as clinical, pathologic, radiographic, and molecular response data, were recorded using descriptive statistics. The associations between RVT and pretreatment PD-L1 expression were analyzed using Spearman's correlation analysis. Furthermore, the associations of RVT and other pretreatment and posttreatment immune parameters combined with their changes were analyzed using Spearman's correlation analysis, including the expression of TNF-\(\alpha\), TGF-\(\beta\) and the counts of Foxp3+ CD4+, CD8+ T cells and CD68+ macrophages. Similarly, the associations of RVT and pretreatment and posttreatment Foxp3+ T cells/ (CD4+ T cells) ratios and Foxp3+ T cells/(CD8+ T cells) ratios combined with their changes were analyzed using Spearman's correlation analysis. Additionally, the differences in pathological complete response between patients whose PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS\(\geq 10\)) and CPS\(\leq 10\) were analyzed with \(\chi^2\) test. All \(p\) values reported are 2-sided, with the significance level set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0.

Results

2.1 Efficacy

Patients' characteristics and pathological response data are summarized in Table 1. This study enrolled 22 patients (18 men and 4 women), of whom 17 patients progressed to surgery, 4 patients refused surgery due to significant tumor regression and symptomatic relief, and 1 patient experienced disease progression (patient 22) and was given definitive chemoradiotherapy. According to RECIST 1.1, 7 patients (31.8%) had a partial response (PR) and 4 patients (18.2%) attained a complete response (CR), which translated to an objective response rate (ORR) of 50% (Fig. 1). According to the Stooler classification, prior to neoadjuvant treatment, 5 patients had stenosis of grade 3 and 17 patients had stenosis of grade 2. When after therapy, 18 patients had grade 0 symptoms, 2 patients had grade 1 symptoms, and 2 patients had grade 2 symptoms, with a
symptom remission rate of 90.9% (Fig. 2). Postoperative pathological response revealed a pCR in 8 cases (47.1%), and an MPR in 14 cases (82.3%). Figure 1 shows representative imaging and pathology of a patient with pCR. The most recent follow-up took place on 15th December, 2020. One patient (patient 3) experienced recurrence and metastasis within 1 month postoperatively, and his immunotherapy was stopped.
| Patient no. | Sex | Age (years) | Location of tumor | Clinical TNM (cTNM) | Chemotherapy | Cycles | RECIST 1.1 | Post-neoadjuvant therapyTNM (ypTNM) | PCR/MPR | RVT% | PD-L1 Combined Positive Score, (CPS) |
|------------|-----|-------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|------|-------------------------------------|
| P1         | F   | 59          | Middle           | cT3N1M0           | Docetaxel (75m/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | Non-CR/Non-PD | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    |                                      |
| P2         | M   | 66          | Middle           | cT3N1M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | Non-CR/Non-PD | ypT3N1M0                           | MPR     | ≤10 | 30                                  |
| P3         | M   | 54          | Middle           | cT4N1M0           | Docetaxel (75m/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | SD          | ypT4N0M0                           | 70      | <1   |                                      |
| P4         | M   | 56          | Middle           | cT3N2M0           | Docetaxel (75m/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | PR          | ypT3N2M0                           | MPR     | ≤10 | 15                                  |
| P5         | M   | 35          | Distal           | cT3N1M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | PR          | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    | 45                                  |
| P6         | F   | 51          | Middle           | cT3N1M0           | Docetaxel (75m/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | Non-CR/Non-PD | ypT4N0M0                           | 65      | <1   |                                      |
| P7         | M   | 66          | Middle           | cT3N2M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | PR          | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    | 10                                  |
| P8         | M   | 59          | Middle           | cT3N0M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | CR          | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    |                                      |
| P9         | M   | 59          | Middle           | cT3N0M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | Non-CR/Non-PD | ypT2N0M0                           | MPR     | ≤10 |                                      |
| P10        | M   | 66          | Middle           | cT3N1M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (260 mg/m², D1) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | PR          | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    | 20                                  |
| P11        | M   | 64          | Middle           | cT3N1M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (130 mg/m², D1,8) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | Non-CR/Non-PD | ypT0N1M0                           | MPR     | ≤10 |                                      |
| P12        | F   | 65          | Middle           | cT3N0M0           | Nab-paclitaxel (130 mg/m², D1,8) + Nedaplatin (80m/m², D1) | 3       | CR          | ypT0N0M0                           | PCR     | 0    | 16                                  |
2.2 Safety and surgical complications

AEs are shown in Table 2. None of the patients in the study discontinued treatment due to an AE. The most common treatment-related AEs of grade 1 or 2 were leukopenia, neutropenia, anorexia, vomiting, fatigue, and alopecia. Five (22.7%) of 22 patients suffered a treatment-related AE of grade 3 or 4 including anorexia, vomiting, fatigue, alopecia, and hypoadrenalism. Hypothyroidism and hypoadrenalism were attributed as possibly being related to the immunotherapy.
### Table 2
Adverse events of therapy

|                | Any grade | Grade 1–2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Anemia         | 2         | 2         |         |         |
| Leukopenia     | 7         | 7         |         |         |
| Neutropenia    | 7         | 7         |         |         |
| Immunethrombocytopenic purpura | 1      | 1         |         |         |
| Anorexia       | 8         | 7         | 1       |         |
| Vomiting       | 9         | 8         | 1       |         |
| Diarrhea       | 2         | 2         |         |         |
| Fatigue        | 10        | 9         | 1       |         |
| Alopecia       | 11        | 9         | 2       |         |
| Hypothyroidism | 1         | 1         |         |         |
| Adrenocortical hypothyroidism | 1   | 1         |         |         |

Seventeen patients received surgery. Postoperative complications included hoarseness (6 cases, 35.2%, with 1 patient requiring a tracheostomy due to recurrent laryngeal nerve injury; this patient recovered with endotracheal intubation), pneumonia (4 cases, 23.5%), empyema (3 cases, 17.6%), atelectasis (2 cases, 11.7%), heart failure (2 cases, 11.7%), respiratory failure (1 case, 5.8%), and anastomotic leak (1 case, 5.8%). Patient 11 developed pneumonia on postoperative day 1, after treatment with antimicrobial drugs, and his conditions gradually worsened until his death on postoperative day 22.

### 2.3 Pathologic assessment and genomic analyses

The data suggested that RVT was not significantly associated with pre-treatment PD-L1 expression ($r=0.55, p=0.08$) (Fig. 4). Additionally, no significant differences in pathological complete response were identified between patients whose PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS $\geq$ 10) and CPS10.

Further analysis showed that the changes in counts of CD68 + macrophage were found to be positively correlated with RVT ($r = 0.71, p = 0.07$) (Fig. 5A, D). To explore the relationship between inflammatory cytokines and RVT, immunohistochemical methods were adopted to examine pre-and post-treatment expression of TNF-α and TGF-β1 in the pathologic specimens (Fig. 5B, C). In this study, the post-treatment expression of TGF-β1 was increased compared to the preoperative expression, and the changes in TGF-β1 expression was positively correlated with RVT ($r = 0.65, p = 0.11$) and possibly indicated a poor prognosis (Fig. 5E). However, the available data showed no significant correlation between the changes in TNF-α expression and RVT (Fig. 5F). The correlations of RVT and the parameters of lymphocyte populations stated above were further explored (Fig. 6A, B), with a positive correlation observed between postoperative Foxp3 + T cells/ (CD4 + T cells) ratios and RVT ($r = 0.84, p = 0.03$) (Fig. 6C), positive correlation observed between changes in Foxp3 + T cells/ (CD4 + T cells) ratios and RVT ($r = 0.61, p = 0.15$) (Fig. 6D) and negative correlation observed between the counts of postoperative CD8 + T cells and RVT ($r=-0.61, p = 0.14$) (Fig. 6E). However, no direct correlation was found between other types of immune cells and RVT.

### Discussion

Different from PALACE-1, which combines immunotherapy and Chemoradiotherapy for resectable esophageal cancer in the neoadjuvant settings, our study is the first to report on pembrolizumab combined with chemotherapy alone in the neoadjuvant treatment of EC. Our study were done at two centers involving 22 patients, small sample size though, important observations were made.

Firstly, the pCR of 47.1% was high, not dissimilar to the rates observed with chemoradiation in the CROSS trial (in ESCC, 49%) and the NEOCRTEC 5010 RCT (43.2%)3,13. This level of pathological response has not been observed in previous studies with chemotherapy alone in neoadjuvant settings, of which the pCR were typically less than 20% (12.8%)14. Moreover, pCR and MPR are verified to confer a survival advantage and to prolong median disease-free survival in EC and in many other cancers10. To validate the DFS and OS benefits of neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy for ESCC, further exploration of this regimen could be done in this patient population.

We also evaluated the safety of the regimen. In our study, only 5 of 22 patients (22.7%) experienced treatment-related AEs of grade 3 or 4. The incidence of serious AEs appears to be acceptable, compared to the PALACE-1 study (65%) and NADIM study (30%)8,12. Furthermore, treatment with neoadjuvant pembrolizumab did not delay planned surgery. Immune-related AEs, both hypothyroidism and hypoadrenalism, were identified and relieved with supplementary treatment, with no delay to surgery. The single postoperative death (1/17; 5.8%) which occurred in our study was
also unrelated to the regimen, having been caused by microbiologically proven bacterial pneumonia and sepsis. This complication is distinct from reported immunotherapy-related pneumonitis, which can be ameliorated by steroids, but which had no impact on this patient\textsuperscript{15}. The associations between residual viable tumor (RVT) and the immunologic parameters are intriguing. In the TME, tumor cells, blood vessels, immune cells, lymphocytes, cancer stem cells, and cancer-associated fibroblasts mix, and there is considerable immune cell activity that may be stage- and context-dependent\textsuperscript{16}. Macrophages are a key component of the TME. As the principal cells of antigen recognition and presentation, they secrete TGF-\(\alpha\), interleukin-1\(\beta\), and other cytokines, and impact the magnitude and type of T-cell response. Studies report that a high macrophage count was associated with poor OS\textsuperscript{17}, and this conclusion may also account for the positive correlation between RVT and changed CD68 expression in the post-treatment pathologic tissues compared with the pre-treatment samples. Accordingly, CD68 expression may be predictive of a poor response to immunotherapy, and this requires further study. Immunosuppressive cells (i.e., regulatory T cells [Tregs, Foxp3 + T]) are a part of infiltrating CD4 + T-cell in the TME, which significantly inhibit the T-cell-mediated anti-tumor effect and may be associated with T-cell dysfunction\textsuperscript{18,19}. In other translational studies, Foxp3 + T cells in the TME of non-small cell lung cancer were associated with poor OS\textsuperscript{20}, and in our study, a positive correlation between post-treatment Foxp3 + T cells/ (CD4 + T cells) ratios and RVT was confirmed in the context of ESCC, suggesting a prognostic role of post-treatment Foxp3 + T cells/ (CD4 + T cells) ratios. No direct correlation was found between the counts of other T cells and RVT. These results may be attributable to the time and space heterogeneity of immunotherapy, although the specific mechanism requires further study. TGF-\(\beta\), TNF-\(\alpha\) and other cytokines in the TME also play key roles in regulating the response to immunotherapy\textsuperscript{21}. Among these cytokines, immunoregulatory TGF-\(\beta\) suppresses the proliferation of B-cell, cytotoxic T-cell, and natural killer cell and antagonizes the biological effects of TNF-\(\alpha\)\textsuperscript{22}. In other studies, it was reported that TGF-\(\beta\) signalling may counteract anti-tumour immunity by restricting the movement of T-cells in the TME\textsuperscript{23}. We hypothesized that the increases in TGF-\(\beta\) may predict poor pathological response. This hypothesis was supported by our findings that changes in TGF-\(\beta\) expression was positively correlated with RVT. It may be difficult that the small sample size in this study precluded a full analysis of the relationship between TNF-\(\alpha\), TGF-\(\beta\), and RVT, and further study is clearly required.

There are some limitations to this study that should be noted. Firstly, other markers of relevance including the genomic profile, tumor mutational burden, and the inflammatory factor interferon-gamma were not evaluated. A further limitation is the study's small sample size; however, since it is the first clinical trial of its kind, it still has important clinical observational significance to serve as the backbone for larger analyses. Another important aspect is that the OS of patients was not extensively explored, as the main endpoint of the study was safety. However, previous studies have shown that high pCR are closely related to patient OS, which will be the focus of our next study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy is safe and feasible for patients with ESCC, with an extremely high pathological complete response and major pathological response and a clear impact on the TME. With adjuvant studies (such as Checkmate 577 trial) of anti-PD-1 therapy in EC having reported promising results, further RCTs and translational studies should be performed with this treatment paradigm, which appears to hold considerable potential\textsuperscript{24}.
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Figures

Figure 1

Swimmer plot of progression-free survival (PFS) in the modified intention-to-treat population (n=22). Each bar represents 1 patient. The left column shows clinical characteristics.
Figure 2

Symptom remission according to the Stooler classification. Black bar: prior to neoadjuvant treatment; blue bar: post therapy.
Figure 3

Representative images and pathology for a patient A: Pre-treatment computed tomography (CT) images; B: post-treatment CT images; C: pre-treatment HE staining images; D: postoperative HE staining images; E: pre-treatment gastroscopy images; F: post-treatment gastroscopy images
Correlation between PD-L1 expression and RVT
A: Representative PD-L1 IHC image of pre-treatment specimens
B: Correlation analysis of PD-L1 expression and RVT

Correlation between inflammatory parameters and RVT
A, B, C: Representative IHC image of CD68, TGF-β1 and TNF-α
D: correlation analysis between changes in counts of CD68+ macrophage and RVT
E: correlation analysis between changes in TGF-β1 expression and RVT
F: correlation analysis between changes in TNF-α expression and RVT
Figure 6

Correlation between immune cells and RVT A: Two-color Immunofluorescence analysis showing the expression of CD4, Foxp3. DAPI (blue), CD4 (red) and Foxp3 (green). B: Two-color Immunofluorescence analysis showing the expression of CD8, Foxp3. DAPI (blue), CD8 (red) and Foxp3 (green). C: correlation analysis between postoperative Foxp3+ T cells/ (CD4+ T cells) ratios and RVT. D: correlation analysis between changes in Foxp3+ T cells/ (CD4+ T cells) ratios and RVT. E: correlation analysis between the counts of postoperative CD8+ T cells and RVT. DAPI, DAPI fluorescence marking the cell nucleus.