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ABSTRACT
We present high-resolution (~2.4 kpc) ALMA band 7 observations (rest-frame λ ~ 250 μm) of three powerful z~2.5 quasars (L bol = 1047.5–1047.5 ergs s⁻¹). These targets have previously been reported as showing evidence for suppressed star formation based on cavities formed from the narrow Hα emission at the location of outflows traced with [O iii] emission. Here we combine the ALMA observations with a re-analysis of the VLT/SINFONI data to map the rest-frame far-infrared emission, Hα emission, and [O iii] emission. In all targets we observe high velocity [O iii] gas (i.e., W80 ~ 1000–2000 km s⁻¹) across the whole galaxy. We do not identify any Hα emission that is free from contamination from AGN-related processes; however, based on SED analyses, we show that the ALMA data contains a significant dust-obscured star formation component in two out of the three systems. This dust emission is found to be extended over ≈1.5–5.5 kpc in the nuclear regions, overlaps with the previously reported Hα cavities and is co-spatial with the peak in surface brightness of the [O iii] outflows. In summary, within the resolution and sensitivity limits of the data, we do not see any evidence for a instantaneous shut down of in-situ star formation caused directly by the outflows. However, similar to the conclusions of previous studies and based on our measured star formation rates, we do not rule out that the global host galaxy star formation could be suppressed on longer timescales by the cumulative effect of quasar episodes during the growth of these massive black holes.
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1 INTRODUCTION
It is now accepted that inside every massive galaxy resides a supermassive black hole (Kormendy & Ho 2013). During the growth of these supermassive black holes, via accretion events, these objects become visible as active galactic nuclei (AGN; Soltan 1982; Merloni et al. 2004). Current theoretical models of galaxy evolution require AGN to inject significant energy into their host galaxies in order to reproduce the basic properties of local galaxies and the intergalactic medium (IGM), such as the steep mass function, the black hole–spheroid relationships, increased width of specific star formation rate distributions as a function of stellar mass, galaxy sizes, galaxy colour bi-modality, AGN number densities and enrichment of the IGM by metals (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Alexander & Hickox 2012; Dubois et al. 2013a,b; Vogelsberger et al. 2014; Hirschmann et al. 2014; Crain et al. 2015; Segers et al. 2016; Beckmann et al. 2017; Harrison 2017; Choi et al. 2018; Scholtz et al. 2018). In these models, the AGN are required to regulate the cooling of the interstellar medium (ISM) and/or intracluster medium (ICM) or to eject gas out of the galaxy through galactic wide outflows. This process is usually referred to as “AGN feedback” and it is believed to regulate the rate at which stars can form. However, from an observational perspective, it is still not clear what role AGN play in regulating star formation in the overall galaxy population, especially at high redshift and for the
most radiatively powerful AGN called quasars (e.g., Harrison 2017; Cresci & Maiolino 2018).

Over the past decade, many studies have focused on identifying and characterising multiphase outflows in galaxies (see e.g., Fiore et al. 2017; Harrison et al. 2018; Cicone et al. 2018; Veilleux et al. 2020). Indeed, there is now significant evidence that energetic ionised, atomic and molecular outflows are commonly found in AGN host galaxies across a wide range of cosmic epochs (e.g. Veilleux et al. 2005; Morganti et al. 2005; Ganguly & Brotherston 2008; Sturm et al. 2011; Cicone et al. 2012; Harrison et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2014; Zakamska & Greene 2014; Balmaverde & Capetti 2015; Carniani et al. 2015; Brusa et al. 2015; Harrison et al. 2016a; Woo et al. 2016; Leung et al. 2017; Brusa et al. 2018; Förster Schreiber et al. 2018a; Lansbury et al. 2018; Fluetsch et al. 2019; Perna et al. 2019; Ramos Almeida et al. 2019; Husemann et al. 2019). Furthermore, these AGN-driven outflows have been identified on a large range of spatial scales (between tens of parsecs to tens of kiloparsecs; e.g., Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2010; Veilleux et al. 2013; Carniani et al. 2015; Cresci et al. 2015b; Ferruglio et al. 2015; Kak� et al. 2016; McElroy et al. 2016; Rupke et al. 2017; Jarvis et al. 2019; Kak� et al. 2020). Given that these outflows can be located on these scales, they may have the potential to impact upon the ISM and, consequently, the star formation inside the host galaxies.

Despite observations showing that AGN outflows are common, and can extend over large scales, the impact that they have on star formation is still open to debate. For example, statistical, non spatially resolved, studies in the literature provide contradictory conclusions on the relationship between ionised outflows and the star formation rates of the host galaxies (Balmaverde et al. 2016; Wylezalek & Zakamska 2016; Woo et al. 2017, 2020). In many of the spatially-resolved studies, the most powerful outflows are capable of removing or destroying at least some of the star-forming material at a rate faster than it can be formed into stars (e.g., see Fiore et al. 2017; Harrison et al. 2018). However, in the majority of observations, there are considerable uncertainties in these calculations due to uncertain spatial extents and the many assumptions that have to be considered to convert emission-line luminosities and velocities into mass outflow rates (e.g. Karouzos et al. 2016; Villar-Martín et al. 2016; Husemann et al. 2016; Rose et al. 2018; Harrison et al. 2018; Davies et al. 2020a). On the other hand, measurements can be more accurate for more nearby sources (e.g. Baron et al. 2018; Revalski et al. 2018; Venturi et al. 2018; Fluetsch et al. 2019; Perna et al. 2020) and star formation has been detected inside outflows in some local AGN host galaxies, which may be a form of ‘positive’ feedback (Maiolino et al. 2017; Gallagher et al. 2019; Perna et al. 2020). However, samples of nearby sources typically lack the most powerful AGN, which are more common at high redshift (i.e., z ≥1) and are thought to be most important for influencing galaxy evolution (e.g., McCarthy et al. 2011).

At high redshift, observational evidence for a direct impact by AGN on star formation is particularly scarce. Indirect evidence may have been found in some AGN host galaxies with depleted molecular gas reservoirs; however, there are contradictory claims on this topic in the literature (e.g. Kakkad et al. 2017; Perna et al. 2018; Kirkpatrick et al. 2019; Fogasy et al. 2020). A potentially powerful approach to determine the impact of AGN-driven outflows, which has been applied to high-redshift AGN studies, has been to use integral field units (IFUs) to map narrow Hα emission to trace star formation and to map [OIII] emission to trace AGN outflows. Most relevant for this work are the studies of four high-redshift AGN (presented in Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Cresci et al. 2015b; Carniani et al. 2016) where it was suggested that there was no star formation at the location of the [OIII] outflows and potentially increased star formation along the edges the outflows (see Vayner et al. 2017, for similar conclusions based on a z=1.4 radio-loud quasar).

In Scholtz et al. (2020) we demonstrated some of the challenges in interpreting the aforementioned results of suppressed Hα at the location of [OIII] outflows. For example, although narrow Hα emission can be used as star formation tracer, (e.g. Hao et al. 2011; Murphy et al. 2011), it is sensitive to dust obscuration and can be contaminated by AGN photo-ionisation. Indeed, most of the star formation in high-redshift galaxies is obscured (Madau et al. 1996; Casey et al. 2014; Whitaker et al. 2014) and, although obscuration corrections can help (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2016), sometimes the Hα emission can be completely hidden (e.g., Hodge et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2017, 2020). This dust absorbed emission is re-radiated at far-infrared (8–1000 μm; FIR) wavelengths and, consequently, the FIR emission is sensitive to on-going obscured star formation (for reviews see Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Calzetti 2013). Importantly for this work, high-redshift AGN and quasar host galaxies have been shown to host significant levels of star-formation obscured by dust (e.g., Whitaker et al. 2012; Burgarella et al. 2013; Stanley et al. 2015, 2018).

Using additional ALMA continuum observations, Scholtz et al. (2020) re-analysed the source from Cresci et al. (2015b) with the addition of 7 other moderate luminosity X-ray AGN at z=1.5–2.5 (LVX×1043–1045.5 erg s⁻¹). We found no direct evidence for [OIII]-traced outflows suppressing or enhancing star formation. Nonetheless, the targets in which we used moderate luminosity AGN (i.e., LVXbol=1044–1046.5 erg s⁻¹, assuming an X-ray to bolometric conversion factor of 10) and do not represent the most powerful sources, or those with the most extreme outflows. Therefore, in this current work we perform similar analyses, i.e., combining ALMA measurements of the rest-frame far infrared emission with IFU data, on the very powerful z=2.4 quasars (i.e., LVXbol=1047.5 erg s⁻¹) presented in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016). These objects were pre-selected to be bolometrically luminous and host high velocity [OIII] outflows. These studies reported cavities in narrow Hα emission, that they associated with star formation, at the same spatial location of the extreme [OIII] outflows, interpreting these results as evidence of negative AGN feedback in the location of the outflow and positive feedback on the edges of the outflow.

In §2 we describe our targets, and the observations used in our study, §3 describes the data analyses of the ALMA and IFU observations, including spectral fitting and constructing FIR, narrow Hα emission and outflow maps. In §4 we present and discuss our results and in §5 we draw our conclusions. In all of our analyses we adopt the cosmological parameters of H₀ = 67.3 km s⁻¹, Ω₀ = 0.3, Ωₐ = 0.7 (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) and assume a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF). We publish all the scripts for the data analyses here.

2 TARGET DESCRIPTION AND DATA

The primary objective of this work is a study of three quasars in which earlier studies have claimed that AGN-driven outflows suppress in-situ host galaxy star formation. We use new ALMA band 7 observations to obtain FIR continuum images which are compared to the distribution of narrow Hα and [OIII] emission derived from archival VLT/SINFONI observations. In §2.1 we describe the selection of our sample, in §2.2 and §2.3 we describe the ALMA and IFU data we used in the analyses.
2.1 Target description
We selected three $z \sim 2.5$ type 1 quasars for this work: 2QZJ002830.4-2817 from Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and: LBQS0109+0213 and HB89 0329-385 from Carniani et al. (2016). We note for the latter two objects the original [O iii] analyses were presented in Carniani et al. (2015). We will refer to these objects in this work as 2QZJ00, LBQS01 and HB8903, respectively. Originally, 2QZJ00, LBQS01 and HB8903 sources were selected as quasars with large [O iii] equivalent widths (> 10Å in the rest frame) and bright in H-band (< 16.5 mag) from a sample of Netzer et al. (2004) and Shemmer et al. (2004) (for more information see Carniani et al. 2015). These selection criteria were designed to select the most bolometrically luminous quasars during the peak epoch of galaxy and black hole growth (e.g., Madau & Dickinson 2014) that could easily have their [O iii] kinematics mapped with an IFU. Furthermore, the high equivalent width [O iii] lines can be easily de-blended from the emission associated with the broad line region (Hβ and FeII; see § 3.3.1).

We compiled the black-hole masses and bolometric luminosities from Carniani et al. (2015). The black-hole masses are in the range of $10^{7.9} - 10^{10.1} M_\odot$, with bolometric luminosities of $10^{47.3} - 10^{47.5}$ erg s$^{-1}$, placing them at the extreme end of the quasar population (these values are discussed further in Section 4.5). The objects' names, sky coordinates, redshifts, black-hole masses and bolometric luminosities are summarised in Table 1.

Figure 1 places our targets within the context of the overall AGN and quasar population in terms of their [O iii] luminosities and [O iii] line widths (W80; velocity width containing 80% of the total flux; measured in § 3.3). We compare our sources with: the parent sample of 104 $z<1.5$-3 quasars from Shemmer et al. (2004) and Netzer et al. (2004); the $\approx$24,000 $z<0.4$ spectroscopically selected AGN from Mullaney et al. (2013), the 86 moderate luminosity X-ray AGN with IFU observations from the KASHz Survey at High-z (KASHz; Harrison et al. 2016a, and Harrison et al in prep.), and the 18 type-1 X-ray AGN with IFU observations from the SINFONI Survey for Unveiling the Physics and Effect of Radiative feedback (SUPER; Circosta et al. 2018; Kakkad et al. 2020) 3. We also highlight the moderate luminosity X-ray AGN from Scholtz et al. (2020), where we performed a similar experiment to this one, combining ALMA data and IFU data. We note that the sources from that study were less extreme in both luminosity and [O iii] emission-line width than the three targets presented here. Indeed, the three quasars in our sample have a [O iii] luminosity $\sim 2 \times 10^{44.3}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, a factor of $\sim 100$ larger than a typical AGN population at $z = 1-2.5$ (Harrison et al. 2016a; Scholtz et al. 2020) and have extreme [O iii] W80 values of 1260–1980 kms$^{-1}$.

2.2 ALMA observations and imaging
To map the rest-frame FIR emission (i.e., $\approx$ 250 μm) for our quasar host galaxies, we use ALMA Band 7 data (870 μm, PI: Harrison, programme ID 2017.1.00112.S) with a native resolution of 0.3 arcseconds and a maximum recoverable scales of $\sim$4.3 arcseconds. The observations were performed using 45–49 antennae with a baselines range of 15–800 m. We discuss the origin of this emission in § 3.2.

1 For QSOs from Shemmer et al. (2004), Netzer et al. (2004) and Mullaney et al. (2013), we used reported emission line profile parameters (FWHM of both Gaussian components and their velocity offset) to recalculate the W80 values.

We calibrated all the data and created the measuring sets using standard ALMA scripts using a version of Common Astronomy Software Application (CASA) applicable to the Cycle of the observations (Cycle 5; CASA v5.1.2). We performed additional checks (i.e. checking the flags, phase diagrams) to see if all calibrations (such as phase corrections) and the pipeline flagging of bad antennae pairs worked correctly and checked that none of the spectral windows used to create the continuum image contains any visible strong emission lines.

Since the ALMA band 7 observations were performed at a higher resolution than the IFU observations (0.3 arcsec compared to $\sim$0.5–0.6 arcsec; see §2.3), we chose natural weighting for the imaging of the ALMA data. This increases sensitivity while decreasing the resolution. The final images had a resolution of $\sim 0.5$ arcseconds, enabling a resolution-matched comparison to the IFU data. The dirty images were consequently cleaned down to 3σ by estimating the noise (root-mean-square; RMS) in the dirty maps. We put cleaning boxes around our primary science targets and any visible source in the image. The final RMS of the maps of 2QZJ00, LBQS01 and HB8903 is 0.05, 0.06 and 0.10 mJy/beam, respectively. This was calculated by masking any sources in the field and calculating the RMS in the primary beam. The sources are all significantly detected with peak signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) of 28–36. We show the ALMA band 7 continuum maps in Figure 2 and tabulate the properties of the images in Table 2.

The targets in our study were also part of an ALMA follow up programme using band 3 observations ($\lambda$ ~3 mm) to search for CO(3–2) emission lines (Carniani et al. 2017). The corresponding 3 mm continuum measurements in these data provide a valuable additional data point for assessing the contamination to the ALMA band 7 data point from the radio synchrotron emission during ourSED analyses in §3.2. These observations were taken as part of programme 2013.0.00965.S and 2015.1.00407.S with a maximum
3.2 Astrometric alignment

The goal of this study is to compare the location of the rest-frame FIR emission traced by ALMA band 7 with the rest-frame optical emission lines traced by the VLT/SINFONI observations, and hence, we need to accurately align the two astrometric frames. The nature of interferometric observations requires accurate astrometry of the targets to reliably calculate the phase differences from each of the antennae. The absolute astrometric accuracy of ALMA depends on the baseline and frequency of the observations. For our setup of the antennae, the absolute astrometric accuracy of ALMA is approximately 20–30 mas (ALMA Cycle 7 Technical Handbook).3

For the astrometric calibration of the IFU data we follow the basic procedure described in Scholtz et al. (2020) (also similar to Carniani et al. 2017, who also align ALMA and SINFONI IFU data).

2 We note that Williams et al. (2017) presented adaptive optics assisted SINFONI IFU data for 2QZJ00. However, their study focuses on the properties of the broad line region and they find that the star-forming regions and diffuse spatially resolved [O iii] outflows are not detected in these data. We, therefore, focus on the data presented in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) for our re-assessment of the relationship between outflows and star formation in this quasar.

3 Available to downloaded at http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=J/A+A/591/A28

4 All our reduced data cube are available here

5 https://almascience.eso.org/documents-and-tools/cycle7/almal-tech-handbook

Table 1. A table of the basic properties of our quasar sample. (1) Object name used in this work; (2) Object ID from Cano-Díaz et al. (2012); Carniani et al. (2015); (3,4) Optical coordinates of the objects; (5) Redshift measured from the peak of the narrow emission lines (see § 3.3.1); (6) Bolometric luminosity from Shemmer et al. (2004); (7) Star formation rates derived from total FIR emission estimated in § 3.2; (8) Black hole masses compiled from Carniani et al. (2015); (9) Original paper analysing the VLT/SINFONI data; (10) On-source exposure time in the IFU K-band (Hz) observations; (10) On-source exposure time in the IFU H-band (O iii) observations.

| (1) | (2) | (3) RA (optical) | (4) DEC (optical) | (5) z | (6) log(Lbol/ergs s⁻¹) | (7) SFR(FIR) M⊙/yr⁻¹ | (8) log(MBH/M⊙) | (9) Original work | (10) IFU K-band exposure time (ks) | (11) IFU H-band exposure time (ks) |
|-----|-----|----------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| HB8903 | HB89 0329-385 | 52.776542 | -38.401389 | 2.445 | 47.5 | < 59 | 9.9 ± 0.3 | (b) | 14.4 | 12.6 |
| LBQS01 | LBQS0109+0213 | 18.070417 | 2.496389 | 2.352 | 47.5 | 69*170 | 10.0 ± 0.3 | (b) | 14.4 | 14.4 |
| 2QZJ00 | 2QZJ002830.4-281706 | 7.126833 | -28.284667 | 2.401 | 47.3 | 56*25 | 10.1 ± 0.3 | (a) | 2.4 | 12.6 |

(a) Cano-Díaz et al. (2012); (b) Carniani et al. (2016)
For our reference astrometry in this study, we use GAIA observations.

In this section, we investigate three powerful quasars with extreme [O iii] emission-line widths (see Figure 1), that have previously been presented in the literature to have cavities in the narrow Hα emission at the location of [O iii] outflows (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). Specifically, we study the origin and spatial distribution of rest-frame FIR emission and re-assess the origin and distribution of the narrow Hα and [O iii] outflows. In this section we present the data analyses of the ALMA data in §3.1 and assess the origin of the rest-frame FIR emission in §3.2. In §3.3 we present our analyses of the H-band and K-band VLT/SINFONI data.

3 ALYSES

In this study, we investigate three powerful quasars with extreme [O iii] emission-line widths (see Figure 1), that have previously been presented in the literature to have cavities in the narrow Hα emission at the location of [O iii] outflows (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). Specifically, we study the origin and spatial distribution of rest-frame FIR emission and re-assess the origin and distribution of the narrow Hα and [O iii] outflows. In this section we present the data analyses of the ALMA data in §3.1 and assess the origin of the rest-frame FIR emission in §3.2. In §3.3 we present our analyses of the H-band and K-band VLT/SINFONI data.

3.1 ALMA Data Analyses

In this section, we describe how we measure the flux densities and sizes of the rest-frame FIR emission traced by the ALMA band 7 observations. We note that we use the rest-frame FIR flux densities to assist in establishing the origin of this emission in §3.2. Following the approaches described in Scholtz et al. (2020) we measure these quantities in both the image- and the uv-plane.

To measure the sizes and fluxes of the ALMA band 7 emission in the image plane, we used the CASA IMFIT routine to fit a single elliptical Gaussian model, convolved with the synthesised beam, to the images shown in the left column of Figure 2. We used these models to measure the flux densities and the sizes of FIR continuum. To do the uv-plane fitting, we use UVMultiFit (Martí-Vidal et al. 2014), which simultaneously fits multiple objects in the field thus allowing us to take into account the background sources that contaminate the visibilities in the measurement sets. Using IMFIT on the images we found that the primary sources and the background sources (one per field) are all resolved. Therefore we fit all sources with a Gaussian profile and we used the IMFIT results as the initial guesses on the parameters.

To visualise the visibilities, we investigated how the amplitude varies as a function of uv-distance. To do this we first subtracted the background sources from the visibility data by using the results from the UVMultiFit fitting process described above. Using fixvis we then phase centred the data, now with the background sources removed, to the targets’ positions. For each target, we extracted the visibility amplitudes from the measurement sets, binning the visibilities in bins of 50kλ. These are presented right column of Figure 2. We fitted these amplitudes as a function of uv distance with two different functions, a constant, to represent an unresolved source (e.g., Rohlfis & Wilson 1996), and a half Gaussian model centred on 0, to represent a resolved source with a Gaussian morphology (see curves in Figure 2). For each fit, we calculated the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The ΔBIC values between the point source and resolve source models are 230–1700, strongly confirming that the rest-frame FIR emission is resolved in all three objects.

We obtain very good consistency in the size and flux density measurements from all our three methods across the targets (i.e., using UVMultiFit, IMFIT and fitting the amplitudes as a function of uv-distance; see Table 2). We note that the flux densities are ≈1–2 mJy and although they are not formally consistent using the three different methods (because of the small fitting uncertainties) they all agree within 3–22%, which is sufficient for our purposes of using them to infer star formation rates and the dominant physical source of the FIR emission (where systematics dominate the uncertainties; see §3.2). Furthermore the sizes across all methods yield results of FWHM=2–5 kpc, and that agree within 1–2σ of the uncertainties across the three methods, which is sufficient for our primary purpose of comparing to other galaxy populations at the same redshift (see §3.2 & 4.3).

We use the results from the UVMultiFit method as the final, preferred, measurement of flux densities and sizes. We summarise the flux densities, and sizes (from our collapsed uv-data fit, IMFIT and UVMultiFit) of the rest-frame FIR emission in Table 2.

We repeated these same sets of analyses on the ALMA band 3 data (see §2.2) and we also use the results from UVMultiFit. Our measured band 3 continuum (tracing rest-frame millimetre emission) flux densities are summarised in Appendix Table D1 and they agree with those measured by Carniani et al. (2017) within the errors.

3.2 SED fitting

Here we describe the de-composition the SEDs to establish the origin of the 870 μm emission (rest-frame FIR) from the ALMA band 7 data and to estimate star formation rates. There are potentially three main sources of the FIR emission: (1) star-formation heated dust; (2) AGN-heated dust or (3) radio synchrotron emission (Dicken et al. 2008; Mullaney et al. 2011; Falkendal et al. 2019).

Our quasars were selected to be brighter than 16 mag in H-band (Carniani et al. 2015) and, as a result, these quasars are bright enough to be detected in several all-sky surveys. We used the optical–mid-infrared photometry from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), PanSTARRS (Chambers et al. 2016), VST-ATLAS (Shanks et al. 2015) and WISE (Mainzer et al. 2011). We also queried the 1.4 GHz radio sky surveys of FIRST (Helfand et al. 2015) and NVSS (Condon et al. 1998). Additional radio photometry at 4–8 GHz was obtained from Hooper et al. (1995) and Healey et al. (2007). We include the 870 μm and 3 mm data from the ALMA band 7 and...
Table 2. A table of the basic rest-frame FIR emission (i.e., the ALMA band 7 data) properties of our quasar sample. (1) Object ID in this paper; (2) The FWHM radii of the rest-frame FIR emission derived using UVMultiFit (see §3.1); (3) Flux density of the rest-frame FIR continuum measured using UVMultiFit; (4) The FWHM radii of the rest-frame FIR emission derived in the uv-plane (see §3.1); (5) Flux density of the rest-frame FIR continuum measured in the uv plane; (6) The FWHM radii of the rest-frame FIR emission derived in the image-plane (see §3.1); (7) Flux density of the rest-frame FIR continuum measured in the image-plane; (8) SNR of the peak rest-frame FIR continuum measured from the maps; (9) RMS of the rest-frame FIR maps; (10) Beam size of the final rest-frame FIR maps.

| ID     | FWHM (uv) (kpc) | Flux (uv) (mJy) | FWHM (uv) (kpc) | Flux (uv) (mJy) | FWHM (kpc) | Flux (kpc) | FWHM (kpc) | Flux (mJy) | RMS (mJy) | Beam (arcsec) |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| LBQS01 | 3.7 ± 0.5      | 1.486 ± 0.079  | 4.5 ± 0.7      | 1.99±0.24      | 3.7 ± 0.5  | 1.468 ± 0.079 |          | 28.10      | 0.48 ± 0.39 |              |
| LBQS01 | 5.5 ± 0.3      | 2.00 ± 0.16    | 5.4 ± 0.7      | 1.9±0.13       | 4.5 ± 0.7  | 2.00 ± 0.16  |          | 29.00      | 0.54 ± 0.40 |              |
| 2QZJ00 | 1.7 ± 0.3      | 1.1 ± 0.04     | 3.0 ± 0.5      | 1.2±0.15       | 2.1 ± 0.3  | 1.092 ± 0.027 |          | 36.00      | 0.61 ± 0.45 |              |

Figure 2. Summary of our analyses on the ALMA data. Left column: ALMA band 7 continuum images mapping rest-frame FIR emission. The red solid contours indicate 2, 3, 4, 5 or levels of the data, while the red dashed contours show -1, -2 or levels of the data. The red shaded ellipses represent the synthesised beams of the observations. Right column: The uv amplitude vs uv distance binned per 50kλ, after subtracting any companion sources in the field. The orange solid curves and black dashed curves show resolved and unresolved model fits, respectively. In all cases, the rest-frame FIR emission is resolved on 0.18–0.7 arcsec (i.e., ~1.5–5.5 kpc) scales.

- Dust emission heated by star-formation following the one-parameter template sequence (0–4) from Dale et al. (2014).
- Radio synchrotron emission described by a broken power law with two spectral indices $\alpha_{\text{low}}$ at low frequencies and $\alpha_{\text{high}}$ at high frequencies, and a variable break frequency. In the case of HB8903, we placed a tight prior on $\alpha_{\text{high}}$ in the range of 1.0-2.0 and allowed the break frequency to vary in the GHz regime along with a variable $\alpha_{\text{low}}$ (see details below). For the other two sources, there are no constraints on the power-law slopes or break frequency, so in order to derive an upper limit, we assumed a fixed spectral slope across the radio bands ($\alpha_{\text{low}} = \alpha_{\text{high}} = 0.7$).

The 1.4 GHz and 3 mm photometry of LBQS01 and 2QZJ00 indicate a very weak potential radio synchrotron emission. For these objects, we used a tight prior on radio spectral index of $\sim -0.7$, which is typical for extra-galactic synchrotron-powered radio sources at low radio frequencies. However, these photometric points indicate potentially strong radio synchrotron emission in the case of HB8903. Indeed HB8903 is ‘radio loud’ with a radio luminosity of $L_{\text{R,4GHz}} = 10^{27.7}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ (Healey et al. 2007). It was, therefore, necessary to further constrain the SED in the sub-mm and mm regime for this source. This exercise showed that it was necessary to use two power-law components for this target. The 3 mm continuum observed in ALMA band 3 was detected at ~350μm, allowing us to split the data into the different spectral windows and obtain a flux density measurement in each one. Since the CO(3-2) emission was not detected in any spectral window (also see Carniani et al. 2017), we were able to use all four spectral windows. Consequently, we were able to measure the spectral index ($\alpha$) to be 1.5 in this wavelength region by fitting these four data points (see the inset plot in the top panel of Figure 3).

Probabilistic priors were used to constrain the luminosity of the accretion disc and AGN dust emission components based on the bolometric luminosity (see Rosario et al. 2019). FortesFit generates full marginalised posterior distributions of FIR luminosity from star formation ($L_{\text{IR, SF}}$) over 8–1000μm and AGN, as well as other parameters that are not used in this work. We present the individual SEDs and the resulting fits in Figure 3. Using these FIR luminosities (i.e., with the AGN contribution subtracted), we estimate star formation rates (SFR(FIR)) using the calibration from Kennicutt & Evans (2012). The SFR(FIR) values are provided in Table 1, along with their uncertainties. We note that the degeneracies of fitting the different model components are fold in our uncertainties and are showed as coloured shaded regions in Figure 3. They were calculated as 68% confidence posterior distribution functions from the fitting shown in Figure A2, convolved with the systematic uncertainties (0.3 dex, Kennicutt & Evans 2012) on the conversion between $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ and SFR(FIR).
Re-examining evidence for star-formation suppression in QSOs

Our main concern here is to determine the dominant source of the ALMA band 7 photometric point: a) cold dust heated by star formation; hot dust heated by the quasar or c) radio synchrotron emission. The SED decomposition showed that the ALMA band 7 continuum observations have a significant component tracing the cold dust heated by star formation in objects LBQS01 and 2QZJ00. Based on the SED fitting, we estimated that the AGN contamination to the ALMA band 7 continuum for LBQS01 an 2QZJ00 is $12^{+26}_{-11}$ and $14^{+24}_{-13}$ %, respectively, where the range accounts for 1 σ confidence interval. In Appendix A we further tested that our SED de-composition procedure performs as expected. Specifically, we show that the inferred infrared luminosity from the AGN component is correlated with the UV luminosity of the AGN but the inferred far infrared luminosity from star formation is not. There is a synchrotron contribution to the band 7 ALMA photometric point of $\sim 3$ and $\sim 7$ %, for LBQS01 and 2QZJ000, respectively. In object HB8903, the ALMA band 7 continuum emission is severally contaminated by the radio synchrotron emission from the AGN (over 99 %). We therefore only have an upper limit (3σ) on the infrared luminosity due to star formation, and hence on the derived star formation rate.

In Figure 4, we compare our sample in the FIR size versus FIR star formation luminosity due to star formation with other high-redshift $z \sim 2$ galaxy samples with equivalent measurements. This includes quasars, moderate luminosity AGN and non-active galaxies. The quasars studied here fall within the scatter of these other high-redshift galaxy populations. In particular they have FIR sizes consistent with those seen in high-redshift star-forming galaxies.

Overall, we conclude that for LBQS01 and 2QZJ0 the ALMA band 7 continuum has a significant contribution from the cold dust, whilst for HB8903 is it completely dominated by synchrotron emission.
3.3 IFU Data Analyses

For each of our targets, there are IFU K-band observations covering the Hα and [N ii]6548,6583 emission lines and H-band observations covering the [O iii]4960,5008Å emission-line double and the Hβ emission lines (see § 2.3). In this section we provide information about the: (a) modelling of the emission-line profiles (§ 3.3.1); (b) extraction of spectra from a grid of spatial regions across the emission-line regions (§ 3.3.2); (c) mapping of the narrow Hα emission (see § 3.3.3); and (d) creation of [O iii] velocity maps (§ 3.3.5).

3.3.1 Nuclear spectrum and emission-line modelling

We extracted an initial spectrum from the K-band and H-band cubes with the primary goal of determining the Hα+[N ii] and Hβ+[O iii] spectrum from the nuclear regions. We then used these to characterise the Hα and Hβ emission associated with the central quasar. To do this, we first determined the peak of the continuum emission (i.e., the central quasar location) in the IFU data cubes by collapsing the datacube in the wavelength direction, excluding any spectral channels contaminated by the emission lines or sky-lines. We fitted a two-dimensional Gaussian to the continuum map to find the centre of the continuum emission. Given that the continuum emission is dominated by the emission coming from the quasar a two-dimensional Gaussian is a sufficient model for this procedure.

For each of the targets, we extracted a spectrum with circular aperture centred on the continuum emission with a diameter of 0.5 arcsec (i.e., the approximate size of the PSF) to determine the quasar spectrum. We present these spectra in Figure 5. This choice of aperture was chosen to be dominated by the central quasar emission whilst also maximising the signal to noise. However, we note that our conclusions do not change if we use a different aperture.

To model the emission-line profiles observed by the IFU, each emission line was fitted with one or two Gaussian components (or modified Gaussian components; see below) with the centroid, FWHM and normalisation (flux) as a free parameter. In each case, the continuum is characterised by a linear function.

To characterise the Hα emission-line profile we simultaneously fitted the following components: (a) broad line Hα (to describe the emission from the BLR); (b) narrow Hα (which would include contributions from the AGN narrow line region [NLR], any outflows, and any contribution from star formation); and (c) [N ii]6548Å, [N ii]6583Å emission line doublet. At this point we employ a simple model and do not attempt to decompose any star formation, outflows and NLR components to the overall narrow Hα emission. We will discuss this further in § 3.3.4 and Appendix B4. To avoid degeneracies in the fit, the central wavelength and FWHM of the narrow Hα and the [N ii]6548Å, [N ii]6583Å doublet were tied together, with rest-frame wavelengths of 6549.86Å, 6564.61Å and 6585.27Å, respectively. This method assumes that the Hα and [N ii] originate from the same gas, a commonly used assumption in high redshift observations (Förster Schreiber et al. 2009; Genzel et al. 2014; Harrison et al. 2016a; Förster Schreiber et al. 2018b). During the fitting, the Hα and [N ii]6548Å fluxes were fixed to vary but the [N ii]6548Å/[N ii]6583Å flux ratio was fixed to be 3.06 (based on the atomic transition probability; Osterbrock & Ferland 2006).

Following the approach by other studies, we modelled the broad line Hα emission, originating from the BLR, as a Gaussian component multiplied by a broken power-law (Netzer et al. 2004; Nagao et al. 2006; Cresci et al. 2015b; Carniani et al. 2016; Kakkad et al. 2020; Vietri et al. 2020). This model is a good description of the asymmetrical nature of these emission line profiles in high luminosity sources such as our quasars. We also tested the same BLR characterisation as done by the other authors, i.e., using one or two Gaussians (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012, Scholtz et al. 2020), without any changes to our conclusions on the spatial distribution of the narrow line emission.7 In § 4.2 & Appendix B4 we discuss how more complex approaches to characterise the narrow Hα (to attempt to account for the relative contributions from an AGN narrow line region, including possible outflow components, and from star formation) do not provide robust results. Our primary approach is to model the narrow Hα emission as a single Gaussian component.

We note a small residual amount of emission above our fit over wavelengths 6300–6400 Å in Figure 5 and is likely attributed to a blend of multiple weak emission lines over this region such as: [O i], [S iii] and [Si i]. This was treated as an additional broad and weak Gaussian component “X” by Carniani et al. (2016). We include this component in high SNR spectra such as the nuclear aperture and regional spectra, but we did not include in the individual spaxel spectra where the SNR is not high enough to detect it. Importantly, we note that Williams et al. (2017) characterise the broad line Hβ with both a positive and negative Gaussian component, the latter of which they attribute to an ultra-dense fast outflow. This is an intriguing result; however, this different approach does not affect our final conclusions.

\[
\text{Figure 5. } \text{H}\beta \text{ and [N ii] (left) and [O iii] + H\beta (right) emission-line profiles extracted from the nuclear spectra for the three quasars in our sample. Light blue curves show the data and the grey curves show the masked sky-line residuals. Left column: H}\alpha \text{ spectral region. Overlaid on the H}\alpha \text{ profiles the yellow, magenta, green, black and red curves show the narrow H}\alpha \text{ (dominated by NLR emission), broad line H}\alpha, [N ii], additional "X" component and the total fit, respectively. The grey shaded region shows the wavelength region of the [S ii] emission line. Right column: [O iii] emission-line profiles. The green, dark blue and red dashed curves show the narrow and broad [O iii] line components and the total fit, respectively. The orange dashed and dotted lines show narrow and broad line H\beta, respectively.}
\]
including this additional component, or not, does not change the properties of the narrow Hα emission which is the focus of our analyses. The [S ii] emission doublet is not detected with sufficiently high SNR to be reliably modelled as both emission lines at once, and therefore we modelled this emission line doublet as a single broad Gaussian, similarly to Carniani et al. (2016). Again, this does not affect our mapping of the narrow Hα emission.

For the H-band IFU observations, we simultaneously fit the [O ii]λ4959Å, [O ii]λ5007Å and Hβ emission lines, using the respective rest-frame wavelengths of 4960.3Å and 5008.24Å and 4862.3Å. We tied the line widths and central velocities of the [O ii] lines and fixed the [O ii]λ5008/[O ii]λ4960 flux ratio to be 2.99 (Dimitrijević et al. 2007). Given the complexity of the [O ii] line profile, and high SNRs, we found that a two Gaussian component model was a good description for each of the [O ii] emission lines.

Following the same approach as for Hα, for the Hβ emission line, we fitted a narrow and a broad line component (where the latter describing the emission from the BLR). We fitted the narrow Hβ component as a single Gaussian component, while the broad line component was fitted as Gaussian profile multiplied by broken power-law, similarly to the broad line component of the Hα emission line, described above. In agreement with Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) (also see Williams et al. 2017) and Carniani et al. (2015) we do not observe strong Fe II emission in our targets and such a component is not detected in our spatially-resolved fitting (see below) and consequently does not impact upon our conclusions of the distribution of the high velocity [O ii] gas. Indeed, this is not surprising as the targets were pre-selected to not have bright Fe II emission to make the [O ii] kinematic analyses easier (Carniani et al. 2015).

The emission-line profiles were fit with the models described above using the Python lmfit package, excluding spectral channels which were strongly affected by the skylines. To construct a skyline residual mask we extracted a sky spectrum from the object-free (sky only) spatial pixels in the cube and identified the strongest skyline residuals by picking any spectral pixels outside of 1σ. We note that our results do not change whether we use 1, 3, and 5σ threshold for masking the sky-line residuals. These are shown as a grey spectrum in Figure 5. We estimated the errors using a Monte Carlo approach. With this method, we added random noise (with the same RMS as the noise in the spectra) to the best fit solution from the initial fit and then we redid the fit. We repeated this 500 times to build a distribution of all free parameters. The median of these distributions are consistent with our original best fit parameters from lmfit. The final errors we quote are from the 1σ scatter of these distributions. The best fit parameters, and their uncertainties, for the nuclear spectra are presented in Table 3. The best fit models are shown on the emission-line profiles in Figure 5.

3.3.2 Regional spectra across the emission-line regions

For a simple visual representation of the spatial variations of the emission-line profiles, and to verify the results of our more complex analyses of creating emission-line maps (derived below), we extracted spectra from nine square regions across the quasar host galaxies. These regions were defined as a 3x3 grid of 0.5 x 0.5 arcsecond squares (i.e., roughly equivalent to the size of the PSF of our observations). The grids were centred on the optical centre of the quasars. The entire grids sample a region of 1.5x1.5 arcseconds and cover most of the emission from the quasar host galaxies.

Spectral line fitting was performed on the spectra extracted from each region using the same models as described in §3.3.1. However, we fixed the central wavelength and line-width of the Hα and Hβ broad line components to be the same as obtained from the nuclear spectrum (see Figure 5), leaving only the flux of these components as a free parameter. This is a reasonable approach for such point source emission because only the flux in these broad line components will vary with angular offset, following the PSF. This approach also helps to avoid degeneracies in the fit, especially in the outer regions where the SNR of the spectra is lower.

We present two examples of our regional spectra for each of the objects in Figure 6 and Figure 7 and for the [O ii] and Hα emission-line profiles, respectively. We discuss these key spatial regions in §4.1 and §4.2. The full set of [O ii] regional spectra are presented in the Appendix in Figures C1, C2 & C3 and the full set of the Hα regional spectra are presented in Figures C4, C5 & C6.

3.3.3 Mapping narrow Hα emission

To achieve our goal of comparing the location of the rest-frame FIR emission with the emission-line gas we produced two dimensional maps of the narrow Hα emission. That is, all of the remaining Hα emission after subtracting the broad-line region emission (see Section 3.3.1).

To map the narrow Hα emission, the most relevant previous studies (i.e., Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Cresci et al. 2015b; Carniani et al. 2016, and Scholtz et al. 2020) used two different approaches: (i) multi-component fitting performed spaxel-by-spaxel and then visualising the distribution of only the narrow Hα emission-line component, here-after we call this method “multi-fit”); (ii) subtracting the broad line emission and continuum emission components from the cubes first and then creating a pseudo narrow band image of the residual emission (i.e., an image of the narrow Hα emission, as used in; here-after we call this method “BLR-subtraction”). In principle, these two methods should yield emission-line maps with the same flux and morphology and hence they are complementary methods to verify the integrity of the procedures. In this work we will use the results from the multi-fit method, however, we present the results of the BLR-subtraction method in Appendix B1 and the maps produced using both methods can be seen in Figure B1. We obtain consistent conclusions with both methods.

To increase the SNR of the individual spectra during the production of the maps, we binned the spectra by performing a running median of spaxels with a radius of 0.2 arcseconds. This enhances the

---

8 Although Carniani et al. (2015) do include a weak Fe II component for fitting the H-band data of LBQS01, as discussed in §4.1, we obtain a qualitatively consistent kinematic [O ii] structure to that presented by these authors in Carniani et al. (2016) (i.e., their Figure 3 compared to our Figure 6). Therefore, our approach is sufficient for our primary purpose of mapping the high velocity gas and for comparing to this previous work.
SNR while keeping the seeing limited spatial resolution of ≈0.4–0.6 arcseconds. This method is sufficient for our purposes of investigating the origin and morphology, on ≳4 kpc scales, of the narrow Hα emission (e.g., following Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016, § 4.2) and for comparing the spatial distribution of the Hα emission with the [O m] outflows and FIR emission (§ 4.3). The extracted spaxel-by-spaxel emission-line profiles were fit following § 3.3.1. The final surface brightness maps of the narrow Hα component from the spatial fitting are shown in the left column of Figure 7. In these maps, we only show values when the SNRs are >3.

We performed multiple checks to verify the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting. Firstly, we compare the total narrow Hα flux in the maps to the flux from the total aperture spectra, and we find that the fluxes are consistent within uncertainties on the respective measurements. Furthermore, the distribution and flux measurements we obtain from these maps are consistent with what we observe from visually inspecting the emission-line profiles that were extracted from individual ≳0.5×0.5 arcsecond spatial regions (§ 3.3.2; Figure 7; also see Figures C4, C5 & C6). We also checked that the surface brightness of the broad line Hα emission is well fitted with a 2D Gaussian (as you would expect for the point source BLR emission following the PSF).

We performed two final tests to assess if the Hα emission is centrally concentrated and if the emission is spatially resolved: (1) a curve-of-growth analyses and (2) subtracting a model PSF from the narrow Hα surface brightness maps. These are described and
presented in detail in Appendix B2 and Appendix B3. Both methods confirm that the narrow Hα emission is centrally concentrated in all three targets. Furthermore, for LBQS01 and 2QZJ00 this emission is consistent with the PSF, i.e., it is spatially unresolved. However, we note that in LBQS01, we detect a faint region of Hα emission one arcsecond north of the quasar location. However, given that the bulk of the emission is unresolved, we still designate this target as unresolved for this work. For HB8903 these tests demonstrate that narrow Hα emission is spatially resolved, but is still consistent with being centrally concentrated.

### 3.3.4 Interpreting the narrow Hα components

As presented in Section 3.3.1, we have so-far only considered a single component to the Hα emission that is not part of the broad-line region (i.e., the component we call “narrow”). However, for this work it is important to establish the relative contribution from AGN NLRs, outflowing gas, and star formation to the total narrow Hα flux.

Carniani et al. (2016) produced maps of residual narrow Hα emission after subtracting a contribution for an AGN NLR (including any possible outflows). They considered any residual emission beyond these components to be attributed to star formation. To search for such residual emission, we created a residual cube (i.e., by subtracting the modelled spectra from the data) and inspected it for any residual emission left by the fitting. We collapse these residual cubes along the spectral regions reported in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016) and show them in Figures C4, C5 & C6. We did not detect any significant residual narrow Hα emission hence forward referred to as a narrow star formation component anywhere in the cube.
Similarly to Carniani et al. (2016), we also extracted a residual ring aperture spectra of $0.5 < r < 0.8$ arcseconds around the nucleus. We present these residual spectra in Figure 8, showing $\pm 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ around the redshifted H$\alpha$ emission line. We do not detect any additional emission in these residual spectra. We further show the residuals for the individual spatial regions in Figures C4, C5 & C6.

We note that any residual narrow H$\alpha$ emission, beyond the modelled line, will be sensitive to the choice of how to model the emission line. Therefore we investigated multiple approaches into the decompositon of the narrow H$\alpha$ emission line into multiple components associated with an outflow, an AGN NLR and star formation components (see Appendix B4). We found that fitting additional components to our spectra resulted in fits that were not statistically improved and/or they resulted in nonphysical results.

Based upon all of these tests, we feel confident that our narrow H$\alpha$ maps presented in Figure 7 are a fair representation of the true morphology of the total narrow H$\alpha$ emission. At the resolution of our observations, all sources are consistent with centrally concentrated narrow H$\alpha$ emission, with only HB8903 being spatially resolved. However, we can only reliably identify a single component to describe the total narrow H$\alpha$ emission dominated by the NLR and we do not identify any residual narrow H$\alpha$ emission that could be associated with star formation. We discuss the implications of this in Section 4.2. We stressed that despite not detecting the residual narrow "star formation" component as previous studies, we do not claim that there is no unobscured star formation in these objects as it is most likely sub-dominant compared with the NLR H$\alpha$ emission.

3.3.5 Mapping the ionised outflows traced by [O m] emission line

Following Cano-Díaz et al. (2012), Carniani et al. (2015) and Carniani et al. (2016) we performed spaxel-by-spaxel fitting of the H$-$band IFU data to spatially map the kinematics of the [O m] emission line. The aim is to establish where the highest velocity [O m] emitting gas is located. Similarly to the method used to map the narrow H$\alpha$ emission, described above, we binned the spectra by the calculating the running nearby of the nearby spaxels within a radius of 0.2 arcseconds, to enhance the SNR, while keeping the seeing limited spatial resolution of 0.4–0.6 arcseconds.

We fitted the [O m]+H$\beta$ spaxel spectra using the same models as for the nuclear spectra (see § 3.3.1). However, following the same procedure that we employed for the broad line H$\alpha$ (see § 3.3.3), we fixed the line-width and the central wavelength of the broad line H$\beta$ component with only the flux as a free parameter.

The results from the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting were used to spatially map the distribution and kinematics of the [O m] emission. We focus on maps of: (1) surface brightness; (2) W80 and (3) the velocity offset of the broad component from the systemic. The systematic is defined as the redshift of the narrow [O m] emission-line component in the nuclear spectra (§ 3.3.1). For these maps, we only show values when the SNRs were >3. All of these maps are presented in Figures C1, C2 and C3, alongside the grids of [O m] emission-line profiles and their fits (see § 3.3.2). The velocity maps are also shown in Figure 6. Reassuringly, a comparison between the emission-line profiles extracted from the spatial grids and these maps demonstrates that the maps are a good description of the data. Similarly to the H$\alpha$ maps, we also inspected the map of the H$\beta$ broad line component to verify that it symmetrically fell off in flux (i.e., it was well described by a 2D Gaussian profile) to provide confidence that the fitting procedures were effective. Again, we also created the residual cube and similarly to the H$\alpha$ fitting, we did not detect any significant residual emission in these cubes.

For the rest of this work we focus on the maps of W80 (i.e., the overall velocity width of the [O m] emission line) and the velocity offset (from systemic) of the broad [O m] emission-line component. This is to broadly follow the previous works of Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016), respectively. Although Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) used a second moment map, instead of W80, to define the emission-line width we verified that the spatial distribution of the high velocity width emission is the same using either definition. We present these maps, alongside example regional spectra in Figure 6. We find extreme velocities (i.e., $W80 \geq 1000$ km s$^{-1}$) across the entirety of the emission-line regions in all three targets, although with spatially-varying kinematic structure. We discuss the interpretation of these maps, and a comparison to the results of the previous works in Section 4.1.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we are re-assessing the impact of powerful ionised outflows on the host galaxy star formation in three $z \sim 2.5$ quasars that have received a lot of attention in the literature because IFU data was used to provide evidence for depleted star formation at the location of [O m] outflows (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). In the previous section, we re-analysed the IFU data that was presented in this earlier work and we added new information from our ALMA band 7 observations to trace the rest-frame FIR emission. In § 4.1, we discuss the spatial distribution of ionised outflows. In § 4.2 we discuss the morphology and interpretation of the narrow H$\alpha$ emission. In § 4.3 we compare the location of the narrow H$\alpha$ emission, rest-frame FIR emission and AGN-driven outflows. In § 4.4 we investigate the evidence for suppressed galaxy-wide star formation. Finally, in § 4.5 we discussed the implications of our results.

4.1 Mapping of the ionised outflows

We present our [O m] kinematic maps in Figure 6 that were constructed using the [O m] emission-line profile models described in § 3.3.1. There are many different definitions of “outflow velocity” used throughout the literature (see review in Harrison et al. 2018). Therefore great care should be taken when interpreting outflow maps across different studies. Here we investigate different approaches to mapping the location of outflows. We were initially motivated to reproduce the broad approaches taken in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016) who chose to plot contours of the highest [O m] emission-line widths and highest velocity offsets of a broad [O m] component, respectively (see § 3.3.5).

The maps of [O m] W80, i.e., to characterise the total emission-line widths, are shown in the first column of Figure 6. Based on these maps it can be seen that all three objects show extremely broad [O m] emission-line profiles throughout the whole emission-line regions with W80 velocities of 900–1500 km s$^{-1}$, 1300–2000 km s$^{-1}$ and 1600–2000 km s$^{-1}$ for HB8903, LBQS01 and 2QZ100, respectively. These extreme velocities of $W80 \geq 1000$ km s$^{-1}$, indicate ionised outflows are present across the full extent of the observed emission-line regions (e.g., Liu et al. 2013; Harrison et al. 2014; Kakkad et al. 2020).

In Figure 6 we have used white dashed contours to highlight the regions with the highest [O m] emission-line widths, defined as the top $\approx 10\%$ of the W80 values. For HB8903 these highest velocities
are found to be moderately offset by ±0.3 arcsecond (i.e., ≈2.4 kpc) to the south-east of the quasar position, for LBQS01 these are found to be ±0.6 arcsecond (i.e., ≈4.8 kpc) to the west of the quasar position and for 2QJZ00 to be ±0.3 arcsecond (i.e., ≈2.4 kpc) to the south-east of the quasar position. We find good agreement with our results and those presented in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2015) for the location of these highest velocity widths. Following the approach of Carniani et al. (2016) (who presented results for LBQS01 and HB8903) we also present maps of the velocity offset (from the systemic) of the broad component of the [O III] emission line in the second column of Figure 6. Similarly as we did for W80, we show the top ±10% of (blue-shifted) velocities as contours to represent the spatial location of the highest velocities. We find good agreement with Carniani et al. (2016) on the location of the highest velocity offsets.

In Figure 6 it can already be seen that different conclusions would be drawn on the location of the highest velocities outflows if using W80 compared to broad component velocity offset. This is particularly noticeable for HB8903 where the broadest emission is towards the centre but the highest velocity offsets are to the very east of the emission-line region. Therefore this already highlights that caution should be taken when interpreting such contours as being evidence that outflows rapidly suppress star formation in the vicinity of the outflows and, potentially, enhancing star formation along the edges of the outflow (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). However, we re-iterate that high velocity gas is found across the entirety of the emission line regions (see maps in Figure 6) and the high velocity gas is not only confined to the locations inferred by these contours.

Another approach to map the ionised outflows is to look at the surface brightness distribution of the broad [O III] emission-line components, assuming that these trace outflowing gas (e.g., Rose et al. 2018; Tadhunter et al. 2018, 2019). This approach traces where the outflowing component has the greatest luminosity and, consequently, is likely to correspond to the majority of the ionised gas mass in the outflow (although using emission lines to trace outflow mass is subject to several challenges; Harrison et al. 2018). In Figure 9 we show solid white contours of the surface brightness distribution of the broad [O III] emission-line components, derived from our spaxel-by-spaxel fitting (see Section 3.3.5). It can immediately be seen that these contours are centred around the nuclear regions. These results are in agreement with Figure 3 of Carniani et al. (2017) who show that the high velocity blue wing of the [O III] emission of LBQS01 is brightest in the nuclear regions. The same is also observed by Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) for 2QJZ00 where they find that their broad [O III] emission-line component (i.e., the one tracing the outflow) is brightest in the central regions (see their Figure A.1), even though the highest velocities are located to the south. In summary, an agreement is found across our studies that the broad [O III] emission-line components peak in the center.

In Figure 6 and Figure 9 we have shown that different choices to define the spatial distribution of ionised outflow can give very different conclusions on the peak spatial location of these outflows. This has important ramifications for when comparing an outflow location to the spatial distribution of star formation. Figure 9 shows that for the three quasars in this study the high velocity [O III] emission-line components are most prominent in the centre (in terms of surface brightness), even though the highest velocity widths and highest velocity offsets can be off-centre. We chose to plot contours of the most extreme ±10% of velocities in Figure 9, following the broad approach taken by the previous work on these targets (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). However, we re-iterate that high velocity gas is found across the entirety of the emission line regions (see maps in Figure 6) and the high velocity gas is not only confined to the locations inferred by these contours.

4.2 Interpretation of the narrow Hα emission

Previous works on the three quasars explored in our study presented cavities in residual Hα emission (i.e., after subtracting components related to the AGN: BLR+NLR), located at the same location of the highest velocities of the AGN-driven outflows. This was interpreted as evidence that outflows rapidly suppress star formation in the vicinity of the outflows and, potentially, enhancing star formation along the edges of the outflow (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). Using the analysis from Section 3.3, we re-examined the Hα emission in these targets.

We created narrow Hα maps and we present these in the left column of Figure 7. However, as discussed in §3.3.4, the major source of the emission in our narrow Hα maps is the NLR; hence our labelling of this emission as dominated by NLR emission. In all three cases, we see a smooth distribution of the narrow Hα emission around the quasars. As discussed at length in §3.3.3 we performed numerous tests to establish that these maps were a fair representation of the data. We found that the emission is consistent with being centrally compact, and is only spatially resolved in the case of HB8903.

Our tests described in §3.3.3 showed that only HB8903 has spatially-resolved narrow Hα emission (§B2 & B3) and that we were unable to identify residual emission that could be associated with star formation either in the central regions or outer regions of any of the sources (see Figure 7 and Figure 8). Indeed, the signal-to-noise ratios of the narrow Hα components are low (see Figure 7) and therefore it is extremely challenging to reliably de-compose these into three components (i.e., an outflow, an AGN narrow line
region and star formation emission). This is especially challenging for these Type 1 quasars because the broad-line H\textsc{a} components are so dominant and the narrow components so weak. Although possibilities explored to help with this included tying the properties of the H\textsc{a} emission-line profile to the [O \textsc{iii}] emission-line profile, this still resulted in degenerate and solutions with extreme/unrealistic emission line ratios. It is possible that such degeneracies may be the cause of the non-physical negative surface brightness values seen in the cavities of the H\textsc{a} emission maps used to represent the distribution of star formation in Figure 8 of Carniani et al. (2016).

We further note the challenges in confidently establishing the dominant ionisation mechanism of the H\textsc{a} emission. Local IFU studies demonstrate it is possible to produce AGN un-contaminated maps of star formation by using emission-line ratio diagnostics to spatially decompose the contributions from star formation, shocks and AGN to individual kinematic components when there is sufficient signal-to-noise ratios in multiple lines and with sufficient spatial resolution. However such approaches are not feasible with these data.  

In summary, within the limits of these data we find that it is not possible to identify H\textsc{a} emission that can be confidently associated with star formation and free from AGN contamination. Therefore, the surface brightness maps of the overall narrow H\textsc{a} emission are likely to be dominated by AGN-related processes.

4.3 Comparison of the H\textsc{a}, FIR continuum and AGN-driven outflows

In Figure 9 we compare the spatial distribution of the narrow H\textsc{a} (dominated by NLR emission) emission (background map) and rest-frame FIR emission (red contours). As demonstrated in § 3.2 the rest-frame FIR emission is tracing dust heated by star formation in LBQS01 and 2QZJ00 (indicated as red dashed contours in the figure), and AGN radio synchrotron emission in HB8903 (indicated as red dotted contours in the figure). The H\textsc{a} emission is most likely dominated by AGN-related processes in all three targets (see § 4.2). The red and blue points show the location of the peak of H\textsc{a} and rest-frame FIR emission, while the red star indicates the location of the quasar. The rest-frame FIR emission is centrally concentrated and we find that the peaks of the H\textsc{a} and rest-frame FIR emission are co-spatial in all three quasars.

At least for LBQS01 and 2QZJ00, we have shown that there are significant levels of dust in the central \(\approx 1.5\)–5.5 kpc (§ 3.1). This is consistent with previous ALMA studies of sub-mm and star-forming galaxies, with and without an AGN, at high redshift which show dust emission extended over a \(\approx 1\)–5 kpc (e.g., Ikarashi et al. 2015; Simpson et al. 2015; Harrison et al. 2016b; Hodge et al. 2016; Spilker et al. 2016; Tadaki et al. 2017; Fujimoto et al. 2018; Brusa et al. 2018; Lang et al. 2019; Schulze et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2020; Chang et al. 2020; Scholtz et al. 2020, Lamperti et al. in prep.; see Figure 4). Furthermore, Carniani et al. (2017) find centrally concentrated CO(3-2) emission for LBQS01, i.e., co-spatial with the rest-frame FIR emission that we trace here. This would be consistent with obscured star formation at the same location as the molecular gas reservoir. Due to the strong radio emission in the molecular gas reservoir, the FIR and AGN-driven outflows may be the cause of the non-physical negative surface brightness values seen in the cavities of the H\textsc{a} emission maps used to represent the distribution of star formation in Figure 8 of Carniani et al. (2016).

9 We note that the adaptive optics assisted IFU observations of 2QZJ00 in Williams et al. 2017 find that the overall emission-line ratios are consistent with AGN ionization across most of the sampled regions in their data; however, they note that most extended, diffuse emission associated with star formation is likely to be missed due to the use of adaptive optics.

Figure 9. A comparison of the spatial distribution of FIR emission (red contours; levels of 2.3, 4, 5\(\sigma\)), surface brightness of narrow H\textsc{a} (dominated by NLR emission) emission (background maps; see § 3.3.3) and AGN-driven outflows (white contours; § 3.3.5) for: HB8903 (top); LBQS01 (middle) and; 2QZJ00 (bottom). The FIR is attributed to star formation (dashed red contours) for LBQS01 and 2QZJ00, and to AGN radio synchrotron for HB8903 (dotted red contours; § 3.2). The white dashed contours show [O \textsc{iii}] W80 velocities with values of: 1200 and 1300 km s\(^{-1}\) for HB8903; 1800 and 1900 km s\(^{-1}\) for LBQS01 and; 1900 and 2000 km s\(^{-1}\) for 2QZJ00. The white solid contours represent the distribution of the broad [O \textsc{iii}] “outflow” component (levels of 0.68, 0.9 and 0.95 of the peak). The outflows peak in the centre, co-spatial with the FIR emission, but the highest velocities are found off centre.
We find that the rest-frame FIR emission overlaps with the Hα emission cavities that were presented in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016). Therefore, without reliable obscuration corrections, observed maps of Hα emission are likely to miss a significant fraction of the total intrinsic emission. Along with the several other complications discussed in § 4.2 we suggest that the narrow Hα maps presented here and in Cano-Díaz et al. (2012) and Carniani et al. (2016) are not reliable for mapping the on-going star formation in the host galaxies.

We discussed different definitions for mapping the the [O iii] outflows in § 4.1 and we present these as white contours on Figure 9, compared to the obscured star formation distribution. With either outflow definition (i.e., using velocity contours or surface brightness contours) we see no evidence that the outflows have had any significant impact on the distribution of star formation. In particular, by mapping the AGN-driven outflows in terms of the surface brightness of the broad component of the [O iii] emission line, shows that the outflow is spatially located at the same location as the obscured star formation. We do see an offset between this obscured star formation and the highest velocities of the [O iii] emission but this could be just an indication of how the outflows are escaping from the host galaxies (e.g., Gabor & Bournaud 2014, also see § 4.5).

In summary, we find no evidence that the ionised outflows have had an instantaneous impact on the distribution of the in-situ star formation. We discuss the limitations and implications of these conclusions in § 4.5

### 4.4 Global star formation rates of our quasars

In the previous section, we saw no evidence that star formation is disturbed at the location of the [O iii] outflows in the three quasars of this study. Nonetheless, high velocity outflows are found across the observed emission-line regions (Figure 6). Therefore, it is appropriate to assess if there is any evidence that the global (i.e., galaxy wide) star formation rates of our quasar host galaxies have been suppressed, potentially by the outflows observed.

In Figure 10 we present the star formation rates (see § 3.2) as a function of black hole masses (see § 2.1) for the three quasars. In this figure, we compare our sample to the results of Stanley et al. (2017), who measured the mean star formation rate (also using far-infrared luminosity as their star formation tracer) of z = 1.5–2.5 quasars as a function of black hole mass; we show the 68 % confidence interval around the mean values from this study as a red shaded region. To allow for a comparison between our quasar host galaxies and the overall star-forming galaxy population, we also show tracks of the average star-formation rate from Schreiber et al. (2015) for star-forming galaxies. To produce these tracks we converted black hole mass to stellar mass following Bennett et al. 2011 and then we calculated the star-formation rate for a given mass at both z = 2.0 and z = 2.4 following Schreiber et al. (2015). We note that our comparison to these tracks are dominated by the systematic uncertainties in star formation rates and, because the tracks are almost flat over the mass range of interest, the uncertainties in black hole masses or the conversions to stellar masses have no impact upon our conclusions.

In Figure 10 it can be seen that the average star formation rates of quasars, taken from Stanley et al. (2017), follow the main sequence of star forming galaxies (in agreement with their conclusions). However, their black hole masses are limited to < 10^{9.7} M_☉, compared to the ≈ 10^{10} M_☉ of three quasars in this study. Extrapolating the main sequence into the predicted mass range of our targets would suggest that the quasars in this study have star formation rates a factor of ≈ 10 below the main sequence.

In Figure 10 we also compare our targets to the quasars from Schulze et al. (2019). Their study observed a sample of 20 very luminous type 1 quasars at z ~ 2 (log_{10} L_{bol} > 46.9 ergs s^{-1}) with ALMA band 7, making them an ideal comparison sample to our study. To make a robust comparison between our studies, we refit the optical to sub-mm SEDs of the Schulze et al. (2019) quasars using the FortesFit code described in § 3.2. We plot the results of this re-analyses as green hollow points in Figure 10 where it can be seen that the quasars from Schulze et al. (2019) lie on or below the main sequence. Previous work has shown that even if the mean star formation rates of AGN host galaxies follow the main sequence, the distributions can scatter to lower values (Mullaney et al. 2015; Bernhard et al. 2019). However, recent work by Grimmet et al. (2020) implies that the distribution of the more powerful AGN (such as the quasars in this study) tend towards following the same distribution as main sequence star-forming galaxies. Broadly speaking, the powerful quasars presented here would not follow this trend as they appear to fall below the main sequence galaxies.

Although caution should be taken when using global star formation rate measurements as evidence for AGN feedback, especially in the absence of specific model predictions (e.g., Harrison 2017; Scholz et al. 2018, also see § 4.5), based on the results presented in Figure 10 we can not rule out that the host galaxies of the bolometrically luminous (i.e., L_{bol} ≈ 10^{47.5} ergs s^{-1}) high black hole mass (i.e., M_{BH} ≈ 10^{10} M_☉) quasars in this study are “on the path” to becoming quenched with lower star formation rates than you would expect for the black hole masses. This can also be in agreement with Carniani et al. (2017) who find that all three quasars appear to have low molecular gas content compared to main-sequence galaxies at the same redshift using observations of the CO(3-2) emission line.
4.5 Implications of our results

We have shown that there is no strong evidence that the powerful ionised outflows in the three quasars in this study have a localised “in-situ” rapid impact on the star formation inside their host galaxies (§ 4.3). Nonetheless, we could not rule out global star formation rate suppression in the host galaxies (see Carniani et al. 2016).

Our conclusions are consistent with most IFU observations (with or without adaptive optics) and interferometric observations of high redshift AGN that do not find strong evidence that outflows are instantaneously shutting down in-situ star formation in the host galaxies (e.g., Scholtz et al. 2020; Davies et al. 2020b, Lamperti et al., in prep). Our study extends this previous work to more powerful quasars with extreme outflows where the impact might be expected to be most dramatic (Figure 1). However, we caution that our results are only for three powerful quasars and there are samples in the literature which include sources that are even more powerful, and exhibit even more extreme outflows, than those investigated here (Zakamska et al. 2016; Bischetti et al. 2017; Perrotta et al. 2019). It would now be interesting to assess if the outflows in these systems have any impact on the in-situ star formation.

The star formation tracer that we have been able to use in this study is far-infrared emission that has a mean stellar population age contribution to the emission from ≈5 Myr, up to ≈100 Myr (Kennicutt & Evans 2012). Unfortunately, we lack a reliable tracer of star formation that is dominated by recent star formation episodes (i.e., ≤10 Myr), which may be helpful for searching for more immediate changes in star formation. However, with current facilities, it is not clear how to reliably overcome this problem for the most powerful high-redshift quasars where the challenges of removal of AGN contamination to tracers such as Hα and ultraviolet are severe, to impossible (see § 4.2). Future studies of Type 2 quasars (as opposed to Type 1 quasars) would at least reduce the challenges of subtracting the broad line region contribution to the Hα emission and the ultra-violet continuum emission associated with the accretion disk but would still suffer from many of the other challenges we outlined in § 4.2.

When searching for observational evidence of feedback by AGN, it is also important to ask if the results are actually in conflict with specific theoretical predictions (e.g., Harrison 2017; Scholtz et al. 2018). Simulations of individual galaxies show the reality of how outflows would impact upon their host galaxies is complex. For example, simulations show that the level of any negative or positive impact on the host galaxy star formation rates will depend on many factors such as: the relative orientation of an outflow (or jet) with a disk; the gas fraction and distribution of the ISM; and the luminosity of the AGN itself (e.g., Wagner et al. 2013; Pontzen et al. 2017; Zubovas & Bourne 2017; Mukherjee et al. 2018; Costa et al. 2020). Furthermore, whilst simulations suggest that some level of rapid suppression in the star formation rate could be caused by AGN-driven outflows this may typically be by modest factors and only in the central regions even in the case of the powerful quasars (e.g., Gabor & Bournaud 2014; Costa et al. 2018, 2020). These simulations show complete destruction of the gas disc is extremely unlikely since outflows tend to become collimated and escape through paths of least resistance.

In agreement with the aforementioned simulations, using the greater spatial resolution possible for integral field spectroscopy and mm-interferometry observations of local galaxies, some evidence for modest positive and negative feedback on small scales has been observed (e.g., Croft et al. 2006; Alatalo et al. 2015; Cresci et al. 2015a; Querejeta et al. 2016; Rosario et al. 2019; Shin et al. 2019; Husemann et al. 2019; Perna et al. 2020). These effects are out of reach of what can be observed with the lower (>1–5 kpc) resolution and sensitivity of IFU observations of high-redshift galaxies. However, even from these impressive observations of local galaxies, it is not clear if the global, long-term impact of these effects will be significant.

As discussed in Costa et al. (2020), quasars may be able to cause feedback effects without the removal of dense star-forming material. For example, through the ejection of gas from the gaseous halo, which would accelerate the decline of gas inflow from the halo. Such a process would operate on longer ~100 Myr timescales. Observations of the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect have provided tantalising evidence that quasars are effective at depositing energy into the circumgalactic environment (e.g., Crichton et al. 2016; Lacy et al. 2019). Our observations would be consistent with such a mode of AGN feedback that has an impact on star formation over a longer timescale, perhaps through the cumulative effect of quasar episodes (also see McCarthy et al. 2011; Zubovas & King 2012; Gabor & Bournaud 2014; Scholtz et al. 2018; Costa et al. 2020).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented new high-resolution ALMA band 7 continuum observations (rest-frame λ ∼ 250µm) of three quasars at z ∼ 2.5 that have previously been presented as showing evidence for suppressed star formation based on cavities in the narrow Hα emission at the location of the quasar-driven outflows (traced with [O III] emission; Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). All three quasars are significantly detected by ALMA (SNR > 25) and we exploited these observations to trace the dust-obscured star formation. Furthermore, we re-analysed the H-band and K-band archival IFU data. Based on our analyses we find:

(i) FIR emission contains a significant dust-obscured star formation component in two of the three quasars. This result is based on: (a) the observation that the FIR emission traced by ALMA band 7 observations is spatially extended on scales typical for high-redshift star-forming galaxies (i.e., >1–5.5 kpc; see Figure 2 & 4) and; (b) our SED decomposition shows that the FIR emission contains a significant star formation heated dust component (∼86%) (see § 3.2 and Figure 3); therefore, we conclude that we can use the spatially-resolved maps to trace the obscured star formation in LBQS01 and 2QZJ00 and this star formation is located in the regions of the previously reported cavities in the narrow Hα emission (Figure 9). In the third quasar (HB8903), the FIR emission is dominated by AGN synchrotron emission.

(ii) High velocity ionised gas is found across the whole emission-line regions as traced by the [O III] emission line (W80 > 1000 km s⁻¹). This is based on our [O III] velocity maps (Figure 6). Following the approach of the previous work, when the outflow locations are defined based on the top ≳10% of emission-line velocities, they are offset by ∼2–5 kpc from the central quasar. However, based on the surface brightness distribution of the broad component of the [O III] emission line, the outflows peak in the centre (see Figure 9). Consequently, the relative spatial distribution of an outflow and star formation is sensitive to the choice of outflow definition.

(iii) We do not identify any Hα emission that is a reliable tracer of spatially-resolved star formation despite testing a variety approaches to isolate such emission. This is because we are unable to reliably identify any residual Hα emission beyond that associated
with the AGN narrow line region and/or outflow in the galaxy-integrated or spatially-resolved spectra (see Figure 7 and Figure 8). Furthermore, the Hα emission is only spatially resolved in one of the three targets.

(iv) We do not observe any localised instantaneous suppression of star formation by the ionised outflows. Specifically, we do not find any evidence for suppressed star formation co-spatial with the location of the bulk of the ionised outflows, at least for the two targets for which we have been able to trace the star formation with the ALMA data (see Figure 9).

(v) We cannot rule out global star formation suppression caused by the quasars. Based on the infrared luminosities we find that the inferred galaxy-wide SFRs of the three quasars are 50–170 M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. Assuming a black hole to stellar mass conversion from Bournaud (2014), these are an order of magnitude lower than mass-matched main sequence galaxies at the same redshift. This could also be in agreement with the findings of Carniani et al. (2017), who reported low molecular gas content in these same objects.

Using the FIR emission as a tracer of star formation, we see no direct evidence that ionised outflows instantaneously suppress sit-uss star formation in the nuclear regions of these extreme quasars on ~4 kpc scales. Any instantaneous impact by these outflows must be subtle, occurring beyond that which can be detected within the resolution and sensitivity limits of these observations. This is consistent with Scholtz et al. (2018) which showed a good agreement between ALMA observations of X-ray AGN and the predictions from EAGLE hydrodynamical simulation. To search for more subtle effects will require deep observations with future high-resolution IFU facilities such as with VLT/ERIS, JWST/NIRSpec and ELT/HARMONI.

We suggest that any impact on star formation from a single outflow event must be limited. However, our results could still be consistent with a more long term impact of the central quasars resulting in a more gradual global suppression; for example, the cumulative effect of outflow episodes could accelerate the decline of gas inflow onto the host galaxy (also see e.g., Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Gabor & Bournaud 2014; Carniani et al. 2016; Costa et al. 2020).
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APPENDIX A: VERIFYING SED FITTING PROCEDURE

To further verify the validity of our SED fitting we have explored the derived correlation between FIR luminosity from star formation ($L_{\text{IR, SF}}$), FIR in luminosity from AGN ($L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$ and UV luminosity from AGN ($L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$) in Figure A1. To provide a larger sample we additionally fitted the photometry of a sample of 20 quasars from Schulze et al. (2019) as they are in a similar redshift and bolometric luminosity range as our targets and include ALMA band 7 observations (see §4.4 for a discussion on the derived star-formation rates). We find a strong correlation between $L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$ and $L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$ while a very weak correlation between $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ and $L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$. This gives confidence that the star formation component is independent of the AGN luminosity and we are not attributing emission from the AGN to the star formation component at infra-red bands.

We show the posterior density functions (PDFs) for the $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ and $L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$ in Figure A2 for 2QZJ00 and LBQS01. With the mid-IR and ALMA band 7 and 3 photometry we are able to constrain the $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ to 0.5 dex. However, we stress that these PDFs show uncertainties on the $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ and $L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$ and not on the hot dust contamination to ALMA band 7 photometry, as described in §3.2.

APPENDIX B: A DETAILED ASSESSMENT OF THE NARROW Hα EMISSION

In this Appendix we perform a series of additional analyses to those already presented in §3.3 to assess the distribution of the narrow Hα emission in the IFU data cubes and then compare our results to the previous work that presented these same IFU data. Firstly we consider the total narrow Hα emission, i.e., all of the emission after subtracting the broad-line region (see Section 3.3.1) and assess how reliably we can map this emission (Sections B1–B3). We then

Figure A1. Top panel: Comparison of infra-red luminosity due to AGN ($L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$) versus UV luminosity due to AGN ($L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$). The black and blue points represent quasars from Schulze et al. (2019) and this work, respectively. The dotted black line in both panels indicate 1:1 ratio. We re-fitted the quasars from Schulze et al. (2019) using method described in §3.2. While there is a strong correlation between $L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$ and $L_{\text{IR, AGN}}$, we see no correlation between $L_{\text{IR, SF}}$ and $L_{\text{UV, AGN}}$. This indicates that our SED code correctly removes AGN contribution to the infra-red luminosity.

assess if it is possible to de-compose the narrow Hα emission into multiple components associated with an AGN NLR, any outflows and any star formation emission (Section B4).

B1 BLR-subtraction method for mapping narrow Hα emission

Although the multi-component fit of the spatial spectra is our preferred method of creating the surface brightness maps of the narrow Hα emission (§3.3), we repeat with the alternative method of first subtracting the broad-line region and looking for residuals (e.g., Cresci et al. 2015b, see §3.3.3). We refer to this method as the


3.3.1 shows the comparison of the B1) as an additional test of

for more details). We (2021)

masked the spectral regions containing the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission-line profile. We present this pseudo narrow band H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission. The third column of Figure B1 shows the comparison of the COG for the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission (solid red curves) and broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission (blue dotted curves). To interpolate between the data points, we used linear splines and we then estimated the half-light radii, i.e., the radius containing 50\% of the total flux. We calculated the objects intrinsic sizes of the emission line regions \((r_\text{e})\), by subtracting off the size of the PSF (measured from the broad line emission) in quadrature. Uncertainties on the final H\textsubscript{\alpha} sizes are calculated by considering the full range of possible radii for the 1\sigma range of fluxes at each radii. We spatially resolved narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} in only one of the quasars (HB8903; i.e., the PSF subtracted size was not consistent with zero for this target) with a size of \(r_\text{e} = 1.9 \pm 0.8\) kpc (FWHM \(4.3 \pm 1.8\) kpc).

B3 Subtraction of a PSF model from the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} maps

As a final investigation into the distribution of the total narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission, we test if the observed surface brightness maps (Figure 7) are spatially resolved by subtracting a PSF model. This compliments the one-dimensional COG analyses presented in the previous section by performing a similar test in two dimensions.

We used the 2D Gaussian profile to the broad line region H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission to characterise the PSF (see § 3.3.3). We then subtract the PSF normalised to the peak surface brightness of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} map. We present these PSF subtracted narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} maps in fourth column of Figure B1. For LBQ801, we see a small patch of residual emission to the north region, however, the centre (majority) part of the emission is not resolved. In 2QZJ00, we do not see any significant residual emission, confirming that the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission for this target is not resolved. In contrast, we do see significant residual emission in the HB8903. This confirms our COG analyses (§ B2) that the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission is spatially resolved for this one target. We note that the zero surface brightness emission at the centre of the residual map in Figure B1, is due to normalising the PSF to the peak of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission. This map should not be interpreted as an intrinsic distribution of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission, it merely demonstrates there is residual emission beyond that expected for a PSF.

We note that in LBQ801 there is faint, but well detected (> 5\sigma) residual emission 1 arcsecond north from the quasars location. However, the bulk of the emission is still unresolved, hence are classification of this objects as unresolved.

B4 Exploring more complex models for the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission line profiles

He we investigate more detailed modelling of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission-line profiles. So far in this Appendix we have treated the emission-line profiles with the models described in § 3.3.1. Similarly to the creation of the emission line maps (see § 3.3.3), we lock the central wavelength and line-width of the broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} component. We measure both the narrow and broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} flux in each aperture. This provides another method for assessing the spatial distribution of the H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission with higher SNRs than the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting described in § 3.3.3, albeit resulting in a one-dimensional analysis. The COG of the broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} is also used to measure the PSF of the observations. The measured fluxes in the individual curves-of-growth are normalised to the maximum flux measured, for both the narrow and BLR component. As a result if the normalised emission flux grows slower than the normalized flux of the PSF (BLR in this case), the emission is resolved.

The BLR-subtraction method. This method consists of subtracting the BLR and continuum emission from the data cube first, followed by creating a pseudo narrow-band image of the (residual) narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission.

Before we fit and subtract the broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission, we masked the spectral regions containing the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha}, [N \textsubscript{II}] and [S \textsubscript{II}] doublets, determined from the spectra in Figure 5 (§ 3.3.1). This ensures that the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} and [N \textsubscript{II}] line-emission do not artificially boost the broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} fit, which would eventually lead to over-subtracting the broad-line H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission from the cube. We perform the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting, by only fitting the broad line and continuum models (see § 3.3.1 for more details). We fix the central wavelength and line-width to the same values as obtained in the nuclear spectrum. We then subtract the model broad line H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission and continuum model, spaxel by spaxel, from the cube to create a residual cube, only containing the narrow emission lines (i.e., the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha}, [N \textsubscript{II}] doublet and [S \textsubscript{II}] doublet).

From this residual cube we created a nuclear spectrum from following § 3.3.3 and fitted this residual total spectrum with models describing only the narrow emission lines. We note that the fluxes of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} from the nuclear spectra using the original and the residual cubes are consistent within 10\%, showing that our BLR and continuum subtraction performed correctly. We then collapsed the cube along the spectral channels centred on the peak wavelength of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission with a width equivalent to the FWHM of the emission-line profile. We present this pseudo narrow band H\textsubscript{\alpha} image in the second column of Figure B1. The observed morphology of the narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission, which is dominated by AGN emission (see Section 3.3.4), is consistent with that seen in our primary multi-fit method (§ 3.3.3).

B2 Modelling the curves-of-growth of narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission

In this section, we used curve-of-growth (COG) analyses (see e.g., Chen et al. 2017, 2020; Scholtz et al. 2020) as an additional test of the distribution of the total narrow H\textsubscript{\alpha} emission. The COG method consists of measuring the total enclosed flux in a series of increasingly large apertures, centred on the central quasar position. We fit-
narrow H\textalpha{} emission as a single component and have not attempted to decompose for contributions from AGN and star formation. This is relevant when looking to physically interpret any H\textalpha{} emission as associated with star formation (see § 3.3.3). We attempted variety of different models to both the nuclear and ring spectra (see § 3.3.1 and § 3.3.3) to try to decompose the AGN narrow line region, outflow and star formation components (see Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). In each case we calculated BIC to assess if additional components were required (see §3.1). We fitted the following models to the narrow H\textalpha{} emission-line profiles:

(i) Two Gaussian components, where the second component is forced to be narrow H\textalpha{} (FWHM < 500 km s\(^{-1}\)) to describe the potential star formation component proposed in the previous studies (see Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2016). The fitted additional narrow component had negligible fluxes (i.e., \(\sim 10^{-23}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) compared to the rms of \(\sim 5\times 10^{-19}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) and are essentially not detected.

(ii) We fitted an additional Gaussian component to each of H\textalpha{} and [N \textit{i}] to represent an outflow (as seen in [O \textit{iii}]). The resulting AGN-driven outflow component had an unrealistic H\textalpha{}/[N \textit{i}] ratio of 1000. We still obtained nonphysical flux ratios when we tied the velocity widths and velocity centroids to be the same as the outflow components seen in [O \textit{iii}].

In summary, we conclude that a single Gaussian component to describe the narrow H\textalpha{} emission is sufficient. Due to the degeneracies of the complex models it is not possible to obtain reliable constraints on any additional components.

---

**APPENDIX C: REGIONAL [O\textit{III}] AND H\textalpha{} SPECTRA**

In this appendix we show the emission-line profiles, and our fits to these profiles, extracted from the spatial grids described in Section 3.3.2. In Figures C1, C2 and C3 we show these for the [O \textit{iii}] and H\beta{} emission lines for targets HB8903, LBQS01 and 2QZJ00, respectively. In Figures C4, C5 and C6 we show these for the H\alpha{} and [N \textit{i}] emission lines for targets HB89, LBQS01 and 2QZJ00, respectively.

**APPENDIX D: PHOTOMETRY USED IN THE SED FITTING**

In this appendix, we provide a table of the photometry used to perform our SED analyses presented in § 3.2. These optical through radio photometric magnitudes and flux density measurements are provided in Table D1.
Figure C1. Analyses of the [O III] emission line profiles for HB8903. Bottom images: (Left) Map of the velocity of the broad component; (Middle) Map of the W80 (velocity width containing 80% of the flux); (Right) total [O III] surface brightness map (SB). The red contours show the ALMA band 7 continuum images (2.5, 3, 4, 5 $\sigma$ levels). The red star indicates the centre of the AGN continuum. White grid indicates the regions from which we extracted spectra on top. Top spectra: [O III] + H$\beta$ emission line profiles extracted from the white grids shown on the maps. The data is shown as blue solid line with grey lines indicating the spectral regions significantly affected by the sky-lines. The narrow and broad [O III], H$\beta$ components and the total fit are shown as green, blue, orange and red lines. The grey vertical dashed line shows the velocity of the quasar. The W80 and velocity offset of [O III] broad component are indicated on the spectra for easier comparison with the maps. The error on the velocity of the broad component and W80 is $\sim 80$ km s$^{-1}$ in both the maps and regional spectra.
Figure C2. Analyses of the [O iii] emission line profiles for LBQS01. Bottom images: (Left) Map of the velocity of the broad component; (Middle:) Map of the W80 (velocity width containing 80% of the flux); (Right:) total [O iii] surface brightness map (SB). The red contours show the ALMA band 7 continuum images (2.5, 3, 4, 5 $\sigma$ levels). The red star indicates the centre of the AGN continuum. White grid indicates the regions from which we extracted spectra on top. Top spectra: [O iii] + H$\beta$ emission line profiles extracted from the white grids shown on the maps. The data is shown as blue solid line with grey lines indicating the spectral regions significantly affected by the sky-lines. The narrow and broad [O iii], H$\beta$ components and the total fit are shown as green, blue, orange and red lines. The grey vertical dashed line shows the velocity of the quasar. The W80 and velocity offset of [O iii] broad component are indicated on the spectra for easier comparison with the maps. The error on the velocity of the broad component and W80 is $\sim 80$ km s$^{-1}$ in both the maps and regional spectra.
Figure C3. Analyses of the [O iii] emission line profiles for 2QZJ00. Bottom images: (Left) Map of the velocity of the broad component; (Middle:) Map of the W80 (velocity width containing 80% of the flux); (Right:) total [O iii] surface brightness map (SB). The red contours show the ALMA band 7 continuum images (2.5, 3, 4, 5σ levels). The red star indicates the centre of the AGN continuum. White grid indicates the regions from which we extracted spectra on top. Top spectra: [O iii] + Hβ emission line profiles extracted from the white grids shown on the maps. The data is shown as blue solid line with grey lines indicating the spectral regions significantly affected by the sky-lines. The narrow and broad [O iii], Hβ components and the total fit are shown as green, blue, orange and red lines. The grey vertical dashed line shows the velocity of the quasar. The W80 and velocity offset of [O iii] broad component are indicated on the spectra for easier comparison with the maps. The error on the velocity of the broad component and W80 is ~80 km s\(^{-1}\) in both the maps and regional spectra.
Figure C4. Narrow Hα (dominated by NLR emission) surface brightness (SB) maps and region spectra for HB8903 from our analyses. Bottom left image: Narrow Hα image created by simultaneously modelling all the spaxel’s spectrum components. Bottom center image: narrowband image of the narrow Hα flux in each subplot to allow comparison between the maps and the spectra. For the emission-line profiles, the curves refer to the same components as in Figure 5. The inset plot in each spectra shows the residual spectrum around the region were previous studies detected residual narrow Hα emission. The grey shaded area shows the location of the [S ii].
Figure C5. Narrow Hα (dominated by NLR emission) surface brightness (SB) maps and region spectra for LBQS01 from our analyses. Bottom left image: Narrow Hα image created by simultaneously modelling all the spaxel’s spectrum components. Bottom center image: narrowband image of the narrow Hα image created after subtracting the broad line Hα. The ALMA band 7 continuum data is displayed as red contours (2.5, 3, 4, 5σ levels). The red solid contours indicate any emission at 3, and 5σ levels). In the center image, the red circle indicates the ALMA PSF and the green circle shows the Hα PSF determined from the observations determined from the broad line, while the white squares show regions from which we extracted spectra on the right. Bottom right image: Image form collapsing the residuals of the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting along spectral channels specified by Carniani et al. (2016). The red solid contours indicate any emission at 3, and 5σ. Spectra on the top: Hα and [N ii] spectra extracted from the regions corresponding to the white squares in the images on the left. We show the narrow Hα flux in each subplot to allow comparison between the maps and the spectra. For the emission-line profiles, the curves refer to the same components as in Figure 5. The inset plot in each spectra shows the residual spectrum around the region were previous studies detected residual narrow Hα emission. The grey shaded area shows the location of the [S ii].
Figure C6. Narrow Hα (dominated by NLR emission) surface brightness (SB) maps and region spectra for 2QZJ00 from our work. Bottom left image: Narrow Hα image created by simultaneously modelling all the spaxel’s spectrum components. Bottom center image: narrowband image of the narrow Hα image created after subtracting the broad line Hα. The ALMA band 7 continuum data is displayed as red contours (2.5, 3, 4, 5σ levels). In the center image, the red circle indicates the ALMA PSF and the green circle shows the Hα PSF determined from the observations determined from the broad line, while the white squares show regions from which we extracted spectra on the right. Bottom right image: Image form collapsing the residuals of the spaxel-by-spaxel fitting along spectral channels around the fitted narrow Hα emission. The red solid contours indicate any emission at 3, and 5σ. Spectra on the top: Hα and [N II] spectra extracted from the regions corresponding to the white squares in the images on the left. We show the narrow Hα flux in each subplot to allow comparison between the maps and the spectra. For the emission-line profiles, the curves refer to the same components as in Figure 5. The inset plot in each spectra shows the residual spectrum around the region were previous studies detected residual narrow Hα emission. The grey shaded area shows the location of the [S II].
Table D1. A table of the photometry used in the SED fitting (see Section 3.2). (1) ID of the object in this work; (2-6) VST-ATLAS u, g, r, i and z bands; (7-11) PanSTARRS g, r, i, z bands; (12-14) 2MASS J, H and K bands; (15-18) WISE Band 1, 2, 3 and 4 bands; (19) ALMA Band 7 continuum flux; (20) ALMA Band 3 continuum flux; (21)-(23) 1.4 GHz, 4.8 GHz and 8.4 GHz flux densities, respectively.

| ID | ATLAS u (mag) | ATLAS g (mag) | ATLAS r (mag) | ATLAS i (mag) | PanSTARRS u (mag) | PanSTARRS g (mag) | PanSTARRS r (mag) | PanSTARRS i (mag) | PanSTARRS z (mag) | 2MASS J (μm) | 2MASS H (μm) | 2MASS K (μm) | WISE W1 (μm) | WISE W2 (μm) | WISE W3 (μm) | WISE W4 (μm) | ALMA B7 (μJy) | ALMA B3 (μJy) | Radio (1.4 GHz) (mJy) | Radio (4.8 GHz) (mJy) | Radio (8.4 GHz) (mJy) |
|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| HB8903 | 17.88 ± 0.01 | 17.34 ± 0.02 | 17.43 ± 0.03 | 17.43 ± 0.03 | 17.31 ± 0.03 | 17.28 ± 0.03 | 17.13 ± 0.03 | 16.95 ± 0.03 | 16.73 ± 0.03 | 17.2 ± 0.03 | 16.6 ± 0.03 | 16.4 ± 0.03 | 15.9 ± 0.03 | 5.4 ± 0.03 | 5.6 ± 0.03 | 5.3 ± 0.03 | 1.97 ± 0.02 | 170 ± 61 | < 1.0 | 0.5 ± 0.01 |
| LBQS01 | 17.6 ± 0.1 | 17.53 ± 0.03 | 17.43 ± 0.03 | 17.43 ± 0.03 | 17.33 ± 0.03 | 17.29 ± 0.03 | 17.14 ± 0.03 | 16.95 ± 0.03 | 16.73 ± 0.03 | 17.2 ± 0.03 | 16.6 ± 0.03 | 16.4 ± 0.03 | 15.9 ± 0.03 | 5.4 ± 0.03 | 5.6 ± 0.03 | 5.3 ± 0.03 | 1.97 ± 0.02 | 170 ± 61 | < 1.0 | 0.5 ± 0.01 |
| 2QZJ00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

The table continues with similar entries for other objects.
