Regional ventilation distribution in healthy lungs: can reference values be established for electrical impedance tomography parameters?
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Background: Although electrical impedance tomography (EIT) is widely used for monitoring regional ventilation distribution, reference values have yet to be established for clinical use. The present study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of creating reference values for standard EIT parameters for potential clinical application.

Methods: A total of 75 participants with healthy lungs were included in this prospective study (male:female, 48:27; age, 34±14 years; height, 172±7 cm; weight, 73±12 kg). The subjects were examined during spontaneous breathing in the supine position. EIT measurements were performed at the level of the 4th intercostal space. Commonly used EIT-based parameters, including the center of ventilation (CoV), dorsal and most dorsal fractions of ventilation distribution (TVD and TVDROI4 respectively), global inhomogeneity (GI) index, and standard deviation of regional ventilation delay index (RVDSD) were calculated.

Results: Following outlier detection, EIT data from 71 subjects were finally evaluated. The values of the evaluated parameters were: CoV, 48.7%±1.7%; TVD, 48.1%±5.4%; TVDROI4, 7.1%±1.8%; GI, 0.49±0.04; and RVDSD, 7.0±2.0. The coefficients of variation for CoV and GI were low (0.03 and 0.07, respectively), but those for TVDROI4 and RVDSD were comparatively high (0.26 and 0.28, respectively). None of the evaluated parameters showed a significant correlation with age. The GI index showed a weak but significant correlation with body mass index (R=0.29, P=0.01). The RVDSD was slightly higher in males than in females.

Conclusions: Our study indicated that CoV and GI were stable parameters with small coefficients of variation in participants with healthy lungs. The creation of EIT parameter reference values for setting treatment targets may be feasible.
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Introduction

Chest electrical impedance tomography (EIT) is a non-invasive bedside imaging tool that is used to visualize regional lung ventilation and perfusion (1). EIT typically involves 16 or 32 electrodes being attached to the thorax’s surface, through which imperceptible high-frequency electrical currents are delivered. The resulting voltages and reconstructed regional impedance values reflect the volume changes of air and blood in the lung tissue (2). Chest EIT applications cover a broad field of clinical practice (3), including the treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (4), coronavirus disease 2019 (5-7), and acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease under mechanical ventilation (8,9), as well as pulmonary function testing for patients with asthma, cystic fibrosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (10-13).

EIT images contain multiple physiological and pathophysiological processes that require further data processing depending on the intended clinical application. One of the main purposes of using EIT in clinical practice is to monitor gravity-dependent ventilation distribution during treatment (14-16). For instance, in ARDS patients, the ventilation distribution in the ventrodorsal direction (corresponding to the gravity-dependent direction in the supine or prone position) changes after the adjustment of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), which may suggest recruitment or derecruitment (17). Several EIT-based measures have been proposed to quantify the ventilation distribution in the ventrodorsal direction, including the center of ventilation (CoV), a dorsal fraction of ventilation, and anterior-to-posterior ventilation ratio. A consensus statement was published to unify the terminology and definitions of EIT parameters (2) to support their unambiguous use and report. Previous studies have achieved equal distribution between ventral and dorsal regions as the target of treatment (14,17,18), however, whether equal distribution is the ideal status is not validated. So far, no study has analyzed the ventrodorsal distribution systematically in a large study population. In a previous study of 13 healthy adults, Schibler et al. found that the mean value of CoV was centrally located but showed extremely high variation among participants (50.5%±14.7%) (19). Since EIT’s rationale is to develop individualized ventilation strategies, many questions regarding EIT-based measures still need to be answered. These questions include whether normal ranges for these measures exist and whether the treatment target should be set according to one predefined CoV value, for instance, or be individualized. Similar questions need to be answered for other EIT-based parameters, such as the global inhomogeneity (GI) index (20) and regional ventilation delay (RVD) (21), to assess the spatial and temporal ventilation distribution. The GI and RVD were proposed to guide PEEP optimization (4,22) and weaning from ventilation (23). Standard reference values for these parameters may aid in the clinical application of EIT.

This preliminary study aimed to evaluate the spatial and temporal ventilation distribution in healthy lungs during spontaneous breathing in the supine position. The variations and the correlations with age and body mass index (BMI) were also examined. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7442).

Methods

Subjects and measurement

A total of 75 volunteers with healthy lungs (male:female, 48:27; age, 34±14 years; height, 172±7 cm; weight, 73±12 kg) were prospectively examined by EIT. Eligible participants had no known lung diseases or contraindications for EIT. EIT was performed with the participants spontaneously breathing in the supine position. An EIT electrode belt with 16 electrodes was placed around the thorax at the 4th intercostal space, and 1 reference electrode was placed at the abdomen (PulmoVista 500, Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany). In female subjects, if the electrode belt could not be placed at the designated level due to the breasts’ position, the belt was placed slightly above. Electrical alternating currents were applied in a sequential rotating process. The frequency and amplitude of the currents were determined automatically according to the measurement environment’s background noise. The resulting surface potential differences between neighboring electrode pairs were measured and recorded at 20 Hz. Each EIT measurement session lasted ~2 minutes.

EIT data analysis

The finite element method (FEM)-based, linearized Newton–Raphson reconstruction algorithm of Pulmovista 500 (Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany) was used to convert the recorded data into EIT images (24). The exact algorithm is confidential and unpublished. Based on the description (24), the algorithm calculates the sensitivity
matrix for an FEM with 340 triangular elements. A Gaussian filter is used to smooth the reconstructed image, and bilinear interpolation is applied to increase the virtual resolution. Image reconstruction using this algorithm was achieved with the manufacturer's software (EIT Data Review Tool, Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany).

Functional EIT (fEIT)-tidal variation (TV) was calculated by subtracting the end-expiration from the end-inspiration image, representing the variation during tidal breathing. Tidal images of 1 minute were averaged to increase the signal-to-noise ratio.

\[ TV_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\Delta Z_{i,n,exp} - \Delta Z_{i,ins}) \]  

in which \( TV_i \) is the pixel \( i \) in the fEIT image; \( N \) is the number of breaths within the analyzed period; and \( \Delta Z_{i,ins} \) and \( \Delta Z_{i,exp} \) are the pixel values in the raw EIT image at end-inspiration and end-expiration, respectively. When \( TV_i \) < 0, a value of 0 was assigned to \( TV_i \).

\( CoV \) depicts ventilation distribution influenced by gravity or various lung diseases (relative impedance value weighted with a location in the anteroposterior coordinate) (25):

\[ CoV = \frac{\sum (y_i \times TV_i)}{\sum TV_i \times 100\%} \]

in which \( TV_i \) is the impedance change in the fEIT image for pixel \( i \); \( y_i \) is the height of pixel \( i \), and the value is scaled so that the bottom of the image (dorsal) is 100% and the top (ventral) is 0%.

The dorsal fraction of ventilation is calculated as the sum of all pixel values in the dorsal half of the fEIT image over the sum of all pixel values from the fEIT image (Figure 1):

\[ TV_D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{1024} TV_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{1024} TV_i \times 100\%} \]

The anterior-to-posterior ventilation ratio can be deduced from \( TV_D \) as \((1 - TV_D) / TV_D\), and it was not calculated separately in the present study.

The most dorsal region is usually denoted as the 4\textsuperscript{th} region of interest (ROI4) after the division of the image into 4 horizontal, anterior to posterior segments of equal height. The ventilation distributed in these regions is calculated as follows:

\[ TV_{ROI4} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{1024} TV_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{1024} TV_i \times 100\%} \]

The GI index is calculated from the tidal EIT images to summarize the heterogeneity of ventilation (26).

\[ GI = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{1024} TV_i - \text{median}(TV_{lung})}{\sum_{i=0}^{1024} TV_i} \]

in which \( TV \) denotes the value of the differential impedance in the tidal images; \( TV_i \) is the pixel in the identified lung area; pixel \( i \) is considered as a lung region if \( TV_i > 10\% \times \text{max}(TV) \). \( TV_{lung} \) denotes all pixels representing the lung area. A high GI index implies high variation among pixel tidal impedance values.

The RVD index characterizes the regional ventilation delay as pixel impedance rising time compared to the global impedance curve (21), which may be used to assess tidal recruitment/derecruitment.

\[ RVD = \frac{t_{0,40\%} \times T_{inspiration,global} \times 100\%}{TV_i} \]

in which \( t_{0,40\%} \) is the time needed for pixel \( i \) to reach 40% of its maximum inspiratory impedance change. \( T_{inspiration,global} \) denotes the inspiration time calculated from the global impedance curve. For assessment of the distribution of RVD, Muders \textit{et al}. proposed the use of the standard deviation of the pixel values (21):

\[ RVD_{SD} = \frac{\left[ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=0}^{1024} (RVD_i - \text{mean}(RVD_{lung})) \right]^2}{L} \]

in which \( L \) is the total number of pixels identified as the lung area.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

Data analyses were performed using MATLAB 8.5 (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, USA). The Lilliefors test was used to test for normality. For normally distributed data,
EIT measurement was successfully performed in 75 participants. In total, 4 outliers were detected and excluded from the final analysis (1 subject for each parameter except TV\(_{\text{ROI4}}\)). The evaluated parameters are summarized in Table 1. The coefficients of variation for CoV and GI were low, but those for TV\(_{\text{ROI4}}\) and RVD\(_{\text{SD}}\) were extremely high. The GI index showed a weak but significant correlation with BMI (R=0.29, P=0.01*).

In Figure 2, the parameters are plotted against age or BMI. Data of male and female participants are marked with different colors. Three participants who had a modified Z-score of BMI >3.5 were female (not excluded). The values of male (n=45) and female (n=26) participants are compared in a boxplot in Figure 3. The RVD\(_{\text{SD}}\) was found to be slightly higher in males compared to females.

**Discussion**

In this preliminary study, 71 participants with healthy lungs underwent EIT examination, and multiple EIT-based parameters were evaluated. We found that CoV and GI were relatively stable, whereas TV\(_{\text{ROI4}}\) and RVD\(_{\text{SD}}\) showed considerable variation among participants. The evaluated parameters were not correlated with age or BMI, except for the GI index, which had a weak correlation with BMI. The present study attempted to identify reference values that might be useful in the treatment of pulmonary diseases.

Both TV\(_{\text{D}}\) and CoV reflect gravity-dependent influences on ventilation distribution. Clinical users sometimes confuse TV\(_{\text{D}}\) with CoV due to the terminology (27). In the present study, we found CoV to have a considerably lower coefficient of variation than TV\(_{\text{D}}\). This implies that CoV may be more stable and, therefore, might be superior to TV\(_{\text{D}}\) in assessing whether the ventral-to-dorsal ventilation distribution of a patient is within the normal range (Table 1). A previous study showed that simple regions of interest might exhibit some variations, even in the same participant (28). Also, TV\(_{\text{D}}\) cannot distinguish any regional distributions within the ventral or dorsal half of the functional EIT image, downgrading the spatial image resolution to 1x2. However, since TV\(_{\text{D}}\) can be calculated easily, a previous study proposed its use for PEEP titration (17). For clinical applications, the user should consider that TV\(_{\text{D}}\) has large variability even in healthy individuals during spontaneously breathing and, therefore, aiming to achieve a TV\(_{\text{D}}\) of 50% may not be appropriate for

| EIT parameters (n=71) | Mean ± SD | Coefficient of variation | Correlation with age (R, P) | Correlation with BMI (R, P) |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CoV (%)               | 48.7±1.7  | 0.03                     | -0.03, 0.82                 | 0.10, 0.41                  |
| TV\(_{\text{D}}\) (%)  | 48.1±5.4  | 0.11                     | 0.01, 0.91                  | 0.03, 0.78                  |
| TV\(_{\text{ROI4}}\) (%) | 7.1±1.8  | 0.26                     | 0.07, 0.55                  | -0.08, 0.51                 |
| GI                    | 0.49±0.04 | 0.07                     | 0.22, 0.07                  | 0.29, 0.01*                 |
| RVD\(_{\text{SD}}\)    | 7.0±2.0   | 0.28                     | -0.14, 0.25                 | 0.04, 0.73                  |

*P<0.05. EIT, electrical impedance tomography; CoV, center of ventilation; TV\(_{\text{D}}\), dorsal fraction of ventilation; TV\(_{\text{ROI4}}\), ventilation distribution in the most dependent region; GI, the global inhomogeneity index; RVD\(_{\text{SD}}\), standard deviation of the regional ventilation delay index; R, correlation coefficient; BMI, body mass index.
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Figure 2 EIT-based parameters versus age and BMI, respectively. Blue circles for male subjects and red stars for female subjects. EIT, electrical impedance tomography; CoV, center of ventilation; TVD, dorsal fraction of ventilation; TVROI4, ventilation distribution in the most dependent region; GI, the global inhomogeneity index; RVDSD, the standard deviation of the regional ventilation delay index.
Figure 3 Boxplot of the EIT-based parameters of male and female subjects. The boxes mark the quartiles while the whiskers extend from the box out to the most extreme data values within 1.59 of the sample's interquartile range. EIT, electrical impedance tomography; CoV, center of ventilation; TVD, dorsal fraction of ventilation; TVROI4, ventilation distribution in the most dependent region; GI, the global inhomogeneity index; RVDSD, the standard deviation of the regional ventilation delay index.

all patients (Figure 2).

The ventilation distribution in the most dependent region (TVROI4) was also used to assess the lung recruitment (29). The device manufacturer suggested the optimal value for TVROI4 to be 10–15%, which is not supported by the current findings (Table 1). We previously found that TVROI4 may be influenced by spontaneous breathing or the presence of pendelluft (30-32). Although pendelluft is not common in healthy lungs, the diaphragmatic movement might have caused the high coefficient of variation found in this study. To confirm this hypothesis, TVROI4 during forced inhalation or exhalation could be compared with resting tidal breathing, but such a comparison was not performed in this study. TVROI4 should also be further investigated in lung-healthy patients under mechanical ventilation, with or without spontaneous breathing, under which conditions the diaphragmatic function would be completely different from that of the current study group.

The GI index is typically used to evaluate the ventilation heterogeneity within predefined lung regions, which is strongly dependent on lung area identification (20,33). In individuals with healthy lungs, such as those investigated in the current study, alveolar collapse or overdistension is improbable. Therefore, simple lung area identification based on functional images of tidal variation would be sufficient (34). For diseased lungs, a recent study suggested that establishing a reference GI value might be possible by identifying standardized lung regions on individualized CT images (35). Pulletz et al. investigated different thresholds for identifying lung regions (36). A threshold of 20% has frequently been reported in the literature. Since the device manufacturer uses 10% to calculate RVD in the software, we also selected 10% to evaluate GI. For higher threshold values, the average GI values would become smaller.

The RVD parameter was validated in an in vivo study under the condition of a low-flow maneuver during mechanical ventilation, and a close correlation was observed between tidal recruitment and RVDSD (21). RVD measurement should also be conducted in the clinical setting when patients are ventilated under a low-flow maneuver (37). Muders et al. proposed reducing the volume during the low-flow maneuver to increase this method’s applicability (38). The application of RVD under
a normal spontaneous breathing pattern has also been reported in the literature (39); however, caution needs to be taken in interpreting the results. For our subject group with relatively uniform functional lung status, the coefficient of variation was large compared to other evaluated EIT parameters. With normal inspiration, the time taken is usually much shorter than the inspiration time during a low-flow maneuver, far fewer EIT data points are acquired, and there is a greater amount of noise. All of these factors may have contributed to the high level of variation observed. The sampling rate and signal filtering may impact the results, but they were not investigated in the current study.

None of the parameters were strongly correlated with age or BMI. Most of our participants were relatively young (<50 years); thus, age dependency could not be well analyzed. Nevertheless, our finding supported the feasibility of establishing standard reference values for the EIT-based parameters. Although the electrode belt position differed slightly between males and females, the differences in the values of parameters between the sexes were insignificant. Previous studies have suggested that the impedance-volume ratio may be substantially altered when the electrode is positioned close to the abdomen (40,41). Hence, the electrodes must be placed cranially to the breasts when performing EIT measurement on females, unless a particular application requires a specific placement (e.g., to observe diaphragmatic movement). One outlier was detected for each of the parameters except TV_{ROI4}. As discussed, the calculations for the parameters are quite different. Therefore, certain outliers in data may influence 1 parameter but not the others. Nevertheless, we excluded 4 subjects to ensure data from the same number of participants were available to calculate the parameters.

We acknowledge that the present study has the following limitations. First, the number of participants was small. Second, only correlations of the parameters with age and BMI were examined. Furthermore, only 1 condition each was evaluated for breathing and body position. Third, as discussed above, the parameters’ values can be influenced by many factors, which could not be entirely addressed in the present study. It should be noted that the reference values we attempted to establish were measured under the documented conditions using a specific EIT device, with a sampling rate of 20 Hz, a low-pass filter of 0.83 Hz (corresponding to 50/minutes), a lung area threshold of 10%, and the belt positioned at the 4th intercostal space. Therefore, the results might not apply to other settings.

As a feasibility study, this work was only able to establish a framework for standardizing EIT-based reference parameters for clinical use. It would be interesting to explore the EIT parameters under various ventilation modes in lung-healthy patients in a future study.

Conclusions

Our study of individuals with healthy lungs indicated that the CoV and GI index were stable among the evaluated EIT-based parameters, with a small variation coefficient, whereas SD_{EVD} and TV_{ROI4} were comparatively unstable. According to our results, standardizing the CoV and GI index’s reference values for setting treatment targets could be feasible.
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