Inhibition of G9a promoted 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) induced gastric cancer cell apoptosis via ROS/JNK signaling pathway \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}
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A histone methyltransferase G9a, encoded by euchromatic histone-lysine \textit{N-}methyltransferase 2 (EHMT2), is up-regulated in various cancers, and is involved in their poor prognosis. In the study reported here, the abnormal expression of G9a in gastric cancer it was investigated \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. Furthermore, the expression of G9a was revealed to have a negative correlation with chemotherapy response in gastric cancer patients. Next, the effect of G9a knockdown on fluorouracil (5-FU) induced cell apoptosis in gastric cancer cells was focused on. The results demonstrated that G9a knockdown significantly activated the expression level of phospho-c-Jun N-terminal kinase (p-JNK) and increased the intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in the gastric cancer cells. Inhibition of the ROS/JNK signaling partial reversed the effect of G9a knockdown on 5-FU treated gastric cancer cells. Down-regulation of G9a enhanced the sensitivity of 5-FU to the gastric cancer cells \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}, which was involved in the activation of the ROS/JNK signaling pathway. These results demonstrated that G9a could play a critical role in the sensitivity of chemotherapy for gastric cancer and might be a novel method for treating gastric cancer in the clinic.

1. Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common cancers in the world. Gastric cancer has a particularly high incidence in Asian countries including China and Japan, which causes a serious public health problem and an economic burden both in the developed and developing countries. Because of the absence of specific symptoms and early detection, GC is often diagnosed at an advanced stage and the prognosis remains unfavorable. Although recent reports have revealed effective methods in the diagnosis and therapy of gastric cancer, the incidence and mortality of gastric cancer have not apparently declined. Nowadays, surgery remains the first consideration as a potentially curative treatment for GC. Nevertheless, more than half the patients treated may still develop a recurrence after curative resection and the five-year survival rate of the patients is still poor. Thus, a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanism and a new effective therapeutic treatment are urgently needed.

The 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) chemotherapy has become the standard regimen for GC patients in the past 30 years. However, the acquired resistance to 5-FU chemotherapy still affects GC patients for decades. Thus, a novel method of enhancing 5-FU chemotherapy sensitivity for GC needs urgent investigation. A nuclear histone lysine methyltransferase, G9a, has the function of catalyzing the mono- and dimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9), which shows a reversible modification associated with the suppression of the transcriptional genes. The G9a can regulate gene expression by interacting with other transcription factors, which play an important role in cancer-sustaining cellular activities, including cell proliferation, autophagy, EMT, metabolic changes, specific responses to hypoxia and cancer stemness. An early study showed that G9a was essential for early embryogenesis. Recent findings indicated that G9a was up-regulated in several malignancies, such as lung cancer, brain cancer, GC and ovarian carcinoma and its overexpression was correlated with poor prognosis of the cancer patients. A previous study revealed that down-regulation of G9a accumulated intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) and induced autophagy-mediated cell death in Mcf-7 cells (breast adenocarcinoma). However, the effect of G9a on 5-FU sensitivity and the detailed molecular mechanism in GC remains unknown. In the study reported in this paper, the expression of G9a and its correlation with chemotherapy response in gastric cancer was evaluated. Furthermore, the mechanism of G9a knockdown mediating 5-FU induced cell death was investigated.
apoptosis in GC cells was also focused on. In addition, the potential effect of G9a on intracellular ROS levels and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation were also investigated in the GC cells. This study found a probable mechanism of G9a knockdown mediating the sensitivity of 5-FU to GC cells, and might provide a new method for treating GC in the clinic.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Patients and pathological data

The methods used in this study complied with Institutional Review Board (China) guidelines and were approved by the ethics committee of Suining Central Hospital (Approval No.: 2016-035). The patients received the necessary information concerning the study, and consent was obtained. Ninety patients with GC receiving chemotherapy were included. The chemotherapeutic responses were classified according to the RECIST guidelines, version 1.0, into the following categories: complete response: disappearance of all target lesions for at least four weeks, partial response: a decline of at least 30% in the diameters of the target lesions for at least four weeks, stable disease: neither partial response nor progressive disease, and progressive disease: at least a 20% increase in the sum of all the diameters of the target lesions from the smallest size.

2.2 Immunohistochemistry

Tumor sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, followed by antigen retrieval with 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0). Immunohistochemical staining was carried out using the primary antibody against G9a (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), followed by treatment with the secondary detection antibody. Then the cells were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), the staining was detected using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine solution. The negative control was immunoglobulin G and it was used as a substitute for the primary antibody.

2.3 Score of immunohistochemistry

Immunoreactive staining of G9a was characterized quantitatively according to the percentage of positive cells and staining intensity as previously described. The intensity was scored as 0, 1, 2, or 3. The percentages of the stained cells were divided into five levels: 0 (0%), 1 (1–25%), 2 (26–50%), or 3 (51–75%). The final score was calculated by the intensity × the percentages of the stained cells, ranging from 0 to 12. The patients were sub-divided into two categories according to final scores: low expression (0–4) and high expression (5–12). The results were determined independently by two researchers.

2.4 Cell culture

Human GC cells including BGC-823, NCI-N87, SGC7901 and MKN-45, and gastric epithelial cell GES-1, were acquired from Shanghai Institute for Life Science, and grown in a dish using Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI-1640) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide.

2.5 Transfection of siRNA

The NCI-N87 cells were transfected with the small interfering RNA (siRNA) using Lipofectamine RNAi-MAX (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The SiRNA (AGAAGAAUUACAGAGAUUCGGAGG) against human G9a and non-target siRNA sequence 5'-TTCTCGAAGCTTCCACGT-3' were designed and obtained commercially (GenePharma Technologies, Shanghai, China). The effect of the siRNA on the suppression of G9a expression was validated 48 h after transfection.

2.6 Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

The total RNA was extracted using the RNA isolation kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Expression of G9a was evaluated using qRT-PCR kits (Takara Bio, Inc.) The results were evaluated in triplicate using the SYBR green quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) master mix (Fermentas). The primers were as follows: G9a: forward 5'-GGAGCCACGAGGGGTGTCCA-3', reverse 5'-CGCATTG-CAGCCTGACAGC-3'; glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), forward 5'-ACAGTCAGGCCCATCTTCT-3', reverse 5'-GACAAGCTTCCCGTTCAG-3'. Gene expression results were calculated relative to GAPDH for each sample.

2.7 Western blot

Western blot analysis was performed using the following primary antibodies as previously described, anti-G9a, anti-phospho c-Jun N-terminal kinase (anti-p-JNK), anti-JNK anti-p-P38, anti-p-ERK anti-H3K9me2, anti-cleaved poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1), anti-cleaved caspase-8 and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology).

2.8 Cell proliferation assay

The cell proliferation assay was used to evaluate cell viability using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated in 96-well plates in triplicate. After 24 h, the cells were treated with 10 μl of CCK-8 solution for 2 h at 37 °C. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a Gen5 microplate reader (BioTek, USA).

2.9 Apoptosis assay

The apoptosis assay was performed using an apoptosis detection kit (BioLegend, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were treated with the indicated reagents after a certain period of time, and stained with 5 μg ml⁻¹ 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) and 2.5 μg ml⁻¹ annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), and then examined using flow cytometry on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, CA, USA). The data were quantitated using FlowJo software (Tree Star, OR, USA).

2.10 Determination of intracellular reactive oxygen species production

An oxidation sensitive fluorescent probe, 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA, product number: D6665, Sigma-
Aldrich, MO, USA) method was used to measure the formation of ROS. Cells were treated with N-acetylcysteine (NAC) followed by treatment with 5-FU and siG9a, then incubated with 200 μl DCFH-DA (10 μM) at 37 °C in the dark for 30 min. Intracellular ROS formation was measured using DCFH-DA with a method previously described for the DCF-DA microplate assay. A SFM 25 spectrofluorometer (Kontron Instruments, Germany) was used to determine the ROS production by measuring the fluorescence intensity of 10 000 cells in each well at an excitation wavelength of 495 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm. Then the fluorescence intensity of the stimulated cultures was set at 100% after subtracting the corresponding blanks to calculate the relative intensities of DCF fluorescence.

2.11 Animals
Female BALB/c nude mice (4–6 weeks old) were provided by the Animal Center of the Second Military Medical University (No. 201726558). All animals were kept on a standard laboratory diet and water ad libitum. The protocols of animal use and care conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals from the National Institutes of Health, and all the experimental protocols described in this study were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Second Military Medical University. Thirty-five nude mice bearing NCI-N87 tumor xenografts were randomly divided into five groups: control, NC, siG9a, 5-FU, siG9a + 5-FU. The tumor volume of all the groups was measured on days: 5, 10, 15 and 20.

Fig. 1  Upregulation in GC tissues with G9a expression. (A) Representative photomicrographs showing G9a expression, which was found to be localized in the nucleus (scale bar: 50 μm). Staining intensity was scored as indicated. (B) Correlation between G9a protein expression and chemotherapeutic response of GC patients. (C) G9a protein expression versus overall survival in groups of GC patients who received different chemotherapy treatments. (D and E) Compared to the gastric epithelial cell line GES-1, the four GC cell lines exhibited a higher G9a protein expression, and the NCI-N87 cells exhibited relatively high levels in four tumor cell lines. *P < 0.05.
2.12 TUNEL assay

Apoptosis detection was performed using a TUNEL Assay Kit (Beyotime, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, paraaffin embedded slides were deparaffinized with xylene and ethanol and the cells were rehydrated using proteinase K. After several washes with PBS, sections were incubated with the freshly prepared TUNEL reaction mixture for 1 h at 37 °C in a moist chamber. Apoptotic cells on the slides were observed in randomly chosen fields, under an Olympus light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.13 Statistical analysis

The data were calculated as the mean ± standard deviation using results from three independent experiments. The differences between the two groups were compared using the Student’s t-test or a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s test. The patients’ survival curve was generated using the Kaplan–Meier method with the log rank test. All the results were analyzed using SPSS 14.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). A P-value < 0.05 was statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 The effect of expression of G9a on up-regulation in gastric cancer tissues

To investigate the effect of G9a expression on the chemotherapeutic response in GC, 90 surgical resection tumor specimens from GC patients receiving chemotherapy were subjected to immunohistochemistry analysis. Patients were separated into two groups containing tissues expressing low levels of G9a (score 0 and 1, Fig. 1A) and tissues expressing high levels of G9a (score 2 and 3, Fig. 1A). The chemotherapeutic response was defined on the basis of major changes in tumor size between pre-chemotherapy and post-chemotherapy, and were classified as good and bad responses. The results showed that patients with high levels of G9a expression (34 specimens) had a worse response to chemotherapy than those with low levels of G9a expression (9 specimens), which suggested that there was a negative correlation between the expression of G9a and the GC patients’ response to chemotherapy (Fig. 1B). To further elucidate the clinical relevance of G9a in GC chemotherapy, the Kaplan–Meier method was used. The results showed that high expression levels of G9a were correlated with a poor survival for the GC patients (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, the four cell lines of GC demonstrated a significantly increased expression of G9a when compared with the GES-1 control cells. Out of the cell lines, the NCI-N87 cells showed the highest expression of G9a compared to the other three cell lines (Fig. 1D). These results suggested that the expression of G9a was up-regulated in GC.

3.2 Effect of knockdown of G9a on 5-FU induced cell apoptosis in GC cells

To explore the effect of G9a on GC cells, the cells were treated with G9a siRNA (siG9a). Treatment with siG9a successfully
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Enhancement of 5-FU induced cell apoptosis in NCI-N87 cells with G9a knockdown. The qRT-PCR (A) and Western blot analyses (B) were performed to validate the knockdown effect on the G9a expression in NCI-N87 cell. (C) Effects of G9a knockdown on GC cell proliferation evaluated using CCK-8 assays. (D) Cell viability assessed after cells were incubated with siG9a followed by treatment with 5-FU. (E) Cells treated with 5-FU and siG9a, then stained with annexin V-FITC/7-AAD and then analyzed using flow cytometry. (F) Western blotting analysis results for protein expressions of cleaved PARP1 and caspase-8 measured in cells treated with 5-FU and siG9a. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
inhibited the expression of G9a in NCI-N87 cells (Fig. 2A and B). The protein expression level of H3K9me2 was inhibited by siG9a transfection in NCI-N87 cells (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the results of the CCK-8 assays revealed a remarkable inhibition of the cell proliferation after treatment with the siG9a when compared with the control (Fig. 2C). To further investigate the combined effect of siG9a and 5-FU on cell viability, the cells were treated with or without siG9a and different doses of 5-FU. The results showed that compared with treatment using 5-FU alone, siG9a combined with various doses of 5-FU treatment led to a decrease in cell viability in GC cells (Fig. 2D). Subsequently, the effect of G9a knockdown on the cell apoptosis in GC cells were explored following treatment with or without siG9a and different doses of 5-FU. The results of the apoptosis assay demonstrated that the percentage of apoptotic cells in the siG9a treatment group was increased remarkably when compared with the results using the control (Fig. 2E). In addition, the expressions of apoptosis related proteins were detected after down-regulation of G9a. The results demonstrated that the expression levels of cleaved PARP1 and caspase-8 were obviously increased after down-regulation of G9a combined with 5-FU compared with treatment with 5-FU alone (Fig. 2F).

### 3.3 Effect of JNK activation on the knockdown of G9a enhanced cell apoptosis in 5-FU treated GC cells

To elucidate the molecular function of siG9a enhanced cell apoptosis of 5-FU in GC cells, the protein expression levels of p-JNK, p-P38 and p-ERK were determined using a Western blot assay with incubation of different concentrations of 5-FU followed by treatment with siG9a. As indicated in Fig. 3A, the protein expression level of p-JNK was obviously increased in the treatment of the siG9a group compared with the control. The relative protein expressions level of p-JNK, but not p-P38 and p-ERK were significantly increased in the treatment of siG9a group compared with the control (Fig. 3C). To confirm whether JNK activation was involved in knockdown of G9a enhanced cell apoptosis induced by 5-FU, cells were treated with or without siG9a and 5-FU in the presence of SP600125 (JNK inhibitor). As illustrated in Fig. 3D, SP600125 treatment effectively suppressed the cell apoptosis after the treatment of cells with siG9a followed by treatment with or without 5-FU. However, SP600125 treatment did not change the cell apoptosis significantly in the treatment with 5-FU alone. The expression levels of cleaved PARP1 and caspase-8 were consistently (and obviously) decreased after down-regulation of G9a combined with 5-FU in the presence of SP600125, but where not changed in the 5-FU and SP600125 treatment group (Fig. 3B).

### 3.4 The involvement of ROS triggered JNK in siG9a enhanced cell apoptosis in 5-FU treated GC cells

Previous studies have reported that down-regulation of G9a could decrease two-fold the intracellular glutathione levels in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells,\(^\text{18}\) and the latest evidence suggested that there were possibly direct roles of G9a
in the increased ROS levels found in autophagy-mediated cell
death in McF-7 cells.11 To determine whether the levels of
intracellular ROS in siG9a treated human CCA (cholan-
giocarcinoma) cells were altered and its effects on siG9a
enhanced cell apoptosis, the intracellular ROS in GC cells were
assessed using DCFH-DA (oxidation-sensitive fluorescent
probe). As shown in Fig. 4A, the elevation of intracellular
general ROS levels was observed in the treatment with siG9a.
Next, it was found that the intracellular general ROS levels were
significantly decreased after the cells were incubated with
10 mM N-acetylcysteine (NAC), a normal antioxidant used to
minimize oxidative stress.19 The NAC treatment also obviously
reversed siG9a-activated p-JNK protein expression (Fig. 4B).
Furthermore, the NAC treatment significantly decreased the cell
apoptosis in the treatment of siG9a with or without 5-FU, but
there was no significant change obtained with the treatment of
5-FU alone (Fig. 4C). In addition, NAC treatment suppressed the
apoptotic protein level of cleaved PARP1 and caspase-8 in the
treatment of siG9a with or without 5-FU (Fig. 4D).

3.5 Effect of siG9a on tumor growth in a gastric cancer
xenograft model

To investigate the effect of siG9a on GC in vivo, nude mice were
given GC xenografts. The nude mice bearing NCI-N87 tumor
xenografts were randomly divided into five groups: Control, NC,
siG9a, 5-FU, siG9a + 5-FU. The tumor volume of all the groups
was measured on days 5, 10, 15 and 20. Tumor growth was
inhibited by the treatment with siG9a, and the xenograft growth
in the nude mice was most effectively inhibited using a
combined treatment with siG9a and 5-FU (Fig. 5A). To deter-
mine whether the combined effect of siG9a and 5-FU on xenog-
rafts could be attributed to apoptosis induction, tumor tissue
cell apoptosis was determined using the TUNEL assay. The
number of TUNEL-positive cells in the tumor tissue were
significantly increased in tumors from mice who received the
combined treatment with siG9a and 5-FU in comparison to the
siG9a or 5-FU alone treatment groups (Fig. 5C and D) and these
results were consistent with those of tumor volume measure-
ments. In addition, the activation of cleaved PARP1 and p-JNK
were observed following treatment with siG9a or combined
treatment with siG9a and 5-FU in vivo (Fig. 5B). These data
demonstrated that the suppression of G9a prevented tumor
growth and enhanced the effect of 5-FU induced apoptosis on
GC in vivo.

4. Discussion

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common malignant tumor in the
world, which has poor prognosis because of the difficulty of

Fig. 4 Induction of ROS on G9a knockdown enhanced 5-FU induced cell apoptosis in NCI-N87 cells. (A) Percentage of DCF fluorescence in cells
pretreated with NAC followed by treatment with 5-FU and siG9a. (B) Western blotting analysis for protein expression of p-JNK was performed on
cells pretreated with NAC followed by treatment with 5-FU and siG9a. (C) Cell apoptosis was assessed after cells were pretreated with NAC
followed by treatment with 5-FU and siG9a. (D) Western blotting analyses for protein expressions of cleaved PARP1 and caspase-8 were per-
formed in cells pretreated with NAC followed by treatment with 5-FU and siG9a. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001.
early detection and effective therapeutic treatments. The disequilibrium of epigenetic alteration has been examined previously and found to exert an important role in the occurrence and progress of gastric cancer.20,21 The histone modification and the abnormal expression of the modified enzymes of histone were detected in gastric cancer.22,23 A histone methyltransferase, G9a, encoded by euchromatic histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2 (EHMT2), has been shown to be upregulated in various cancers, including aggressive lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, brain cancer, multiple myeloma, and aggressive ovarian carcinoma.24–26 In addition, the high expression level of G9a was shown to have a positive correlation with poor prognosis in different types of cancers.27,28 However, the effect of G9a on the chemotherapy sensitivity of 5-FU and its detailed mechanism remained obscure.

This study revealed that the expression of G9a was increased remarkably in gastric cancer tissues and cell lines. Furthermore, the higher expression of G9a in the tissues of gastric cancer was shown to have a direct correlation with poor response to chemotherapy. Furthermore, the analysis of Kaplan–Meier survival revealed that the higher expression of G9a was correlated with the poor prognosis of the patients with gastric cancer after chemotherapy. To further investigate the effect of G9a on chemotherapy sensitivity, G9a was down-regulated using transfection of G9a siRNA in NCI-N87 cells. The results demonstrated that the down-regulation of G9a could suppress the cell viability in NCI-N87 cells. Zhang et al. have reported a depletion of G9a inhibited colorectal cancer cell proliferation and promoted the occurrence of chromosome aberration, the breaks of the DNA double strand and the senescence of colorectal cancer cells.29 In addition, the effect of decreased G9a on the sensitivity of 5-FU in gastric cancer cells was also determined. The results revealed that knockdown of G9a significantly suppressed the cell viability and enhanced the cell apoptosis induced by 5-FU in gastric cancer cells. The ROS/JNK signaling is a well-known signaling pathway for the regulation of cell apoptosis in various types of cancers.30–32 Li et al. found that celastrol induced apoptosis via the ROS/JNK signaling pathway in human osteosarcoma cells.33 In this study, it was demonstrated that G9a knockdown significantly activated the expression level of p-JNK and increased the intracellular ROS levels in the gastric cancer cells. In addition, inhibition of the ROS/JNK signaling, partially reversed the anti-apoptotic activity of G9a knockdown on gastric cancer cells treated with 5-FU. These results suggested that down-regulation of G9a could promote cell apoptosis induced by 5-FU in the gastric cancer cells, which was probably associated with the activation of the
ROS/JNK signaling pathway. Furthermore, the combined effect of siG9a and 5-FU on gastric cancer in NCI-N87 tumor xenografts was also investigated. Interestingly, the siG9a and 5-FU combined treatment significantly inhibited tumor growth and enhanced tumor tissue cell apoptosis in comparison to the treatment groups given siG9a or 5-FU alone. In addition, the siG9a and 5-FU combined treatment also activated the expression of cleaved PARP1 and p-JNK in tumor xenografts. These data demonstrated that the suppression of G9a prevented tumor growth and enhanced the effect of 5-FU induced apoptosis of gastric cancer in vivo.

In conclusion, this study found that there was abnormal expression of G9a in gastric cancer both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the expression of G9a was revealed to have a negative correlation with chemotherapy response in gastric cancer. Furthermore, down-regulation of G9a could enhance the sensitivity of 5-FU to the gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, which were involved in the activation of the ROS/JNK signaling pathway. This study demonstrated that G9a could play a critical role in the chemotherapy sensitivity of gastric cancer and might serve as a novel method for treating gastric cancer in the clinic.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Zhejiang Natural Sciences Foundation Grant (LY14H160018) and Ningbo Science and Technology Grant (CN2018027).

References

1 W. Chen, R. Zheng, P. D. Baade, S. Zhang, H. Zeng, F. Bray, A. Jemal, X. Q. Yu and J. He, Ca-Cancer J. Clin., 2016, 66, 115–132.
2 Y. Lin, J. Ueda, S. Kikuchi, Y. Totsuka, W. Q. Wei, Y. L. Qiao and M. Inoue, World J. Gastroenterol., 2011, 17, 4421–4428.
3 Z. X. Li and M. Kaminishi, Gastric Cancer, 2009, 12, 52–53.
4 L. Zong, M. Abe, Y. Seto and J. Ji, Lancet, 2016, 388, 2606.
5 M. Venerito, R. Vasapolloli and P. Malfertheiner, MMW Fortschr. Med., 2016, 158, 39–43.
6 W. M. Kang, Q. B. Meng, J. C. Yu, Z. Q. Ma and Z. T. Li, World J. Gastroenterol., 2015, 21, 5934–5940.
7 Z. Y. Xu, J. N. Tang, H. X. Xie, Y. A. Du, L. Huang, P. F. Yu and X. D. Cheng, Int. J. Biol. Sci., 2015, 11, 284–294.
8 L. S. Ying, J. L. Yu, X. L. Xu and Z. Q. Ling, Dig. Dis. Sci., 2013, 58, 414–422.
9 M. Tachibana, K. Sugimoto, T. Fukushima and Y. Shinkai, J. Biol. Chem., 2001, 276, 25309–25317.
10 J. Ding, T. Li, X. Wang, E. Zhao, J. H. Choi, L. Yang, Y. Zha, Z. Dong, S. Huang, J. M. Asara, H. Cui and H. F. Ding, Cell Metab., 2013, 18, 896–907.
11 Y. Kim, Y. S. Kim, D. E. Kim, J. S. Lee, J. H. Song, H. G. Kim, D. H. Cho, S. Y. Jeong, D. H. Jin, S. J. Jang, H. S. Seol, Y. A. Suh, S. J. Lee, C. S. Kim, J. Y. Koh and J. J. Hwang, Autophagy, 2013, 9, 2126–2139.
12 H. Tao, H. Li, Y. Su, D. Feng, X. Wang, C. Zhang, H. Ma and Q. Hu, Mol. Cell. Biochem., 2014, 394, 23–30.
13 M. Tachibana, K. Sugimoto, M. Nozaki, J. Ueda, T. Ohta, M. Ohki, M. Fukuda, N. Takeda, H. Niida, H. Kato and Y. Shinkai, Genes Dev., 2002, 16, 1779–1791.
14 F. Casiello, K. Windloch, F. Gannon and J. S. Lee, Front. Immunol., 2015, 6, 487.
15 P. Therasse, S. G. Arbuck, E. A. Eisenhauer, J. Wanders, R. S. Kaplan, L. Rubinstein, J. Verweij, M. Van Glabbeke, A. T. van Oosterom, M. C. Christian and S. G. Gwyther, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 2000, 92, 205–216.
16 H. Yang, Z. Liu, C. Yuan, Y. Zhao, L. Wang, J. Hu, D. Xie, L. Wang and D. Chen, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 2015, 8, 11092–11099.
17 F. Jiao, H. Hu, C. Yuan, L. Wang, W. Jiang, Z. Jin, Z. Guo and L. Wang, Oncol. Rep., 2014, 32, 2485–2492.
18 C. W. Liu, K. T. Hua, K. C. Li, H. F. Kao, R. L. Hong, J. Y. Ko, M. Hsiao, M. L. Kuo and C. T. Tan, Mol. Cancer Ther., 2017, 16, 1421–1434.
19 C. Kerksicld and D. Willoughby, J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr., 2005, 2, 38–44.
20 J. C. Santos and M. L. Ribeiro, World J. Gastroenterol., 2015, 21, 9021–9037.
21 C. Kang, J. J. Song, J. Lee and M. Y. Kim, World J. Gastroenterol., 2014, 20, 6433–6447.
22 J. Su, F. Wang, Y. Cai and J. Jin, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2016, 17, E99.
23 S. Zhang, P. Chen, Z. Huang, X. Hu, M. Chen, S. Hu, Y. Hu and T. Cai, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 9787.
24 R. J. Wozniak, W. T. Klimecki, S. S. Lau, Y. Feinstein and B. W. Futscher, Oncogene, 2007, 26, 77–90.
25 B. Lehnertz, C. Pabst, L. Su, M. Miller, F. Liu, L. Yi, R. Zhang, J. Krosl, E. Yung, J. Kirschner, P. Rosten, T. M. Underhill, J. Jin, J. Hebert, G. Sauvageau, R. K. Humphries and F. M. Rossi, Genes Dev., 2014, 28, 317–327.
26 K. T. Hua, M. Y. Wang, M. W. Chen, L. H. Wei, C. K. Chen, C. H. Ko, Y. M. Jeng, P. L. Sung, Y. H. Jan, M. Hsiao, M. L. Kuo and M. L. Yen, Mol. Cancer, 2014, 13, 189.
27 M. W. Chen, K. T. Hua, H. J. Kao, C. C. Chi, L. H. Wei, G. Johansson, S. G. Shiah, P. S. Chen, Y. M. Jeng, T. Y. Cheng, T. C. Lai, J. S. Chang, Y. H. Jan, M. H. Chien, C. J. Yang, M. S. Huang, M. Hsiao and M. L. Kuo, Cancer Res., 2010, 70, 7830–7840.
28 J. Huang, J. Dorsey, S. Chuiikov, L. Perez-Burgos, X. Zhang, T. Jenuwein, D. Reinberg and S. L. Berger, J. Biol. Chem., 2010, 285, 9636–9641.
29 J. Zhang, P. He, Y. Xi, M. Geng, Y. Chen and J. Ding, Oncotarget, 2015, 6, 2917–2927.
30 Q. Wu, J. Deng, D. Fan, Z. Duan, C. Zhu, R. Fu and S. Wang, Biochem. Pharmacol., 2018, 148, 64–74.
31 J. Ahn, Y. W. Chung, J. B. Park and K. M. Yang, J. Cell. Biochem., 2018, 119, 998–1007.
32 K. Shen, J. Xie, H. Wang, H. Zhang, M. Yu, F. Lu, H. Tan and H. Xu, Mol. Cancer Ther., 2015, 14, 1738–1749.
33 H. Y. Li, J. Zhang, L. L. Sun, B. H. Li, H. L. Gao, T. Xie, N. Zhang and Z. M. Ye, Cell Death Dis., 2015, 6, e1604.