Simultaneously measuring multiple protein interactions and their correlations in a cell by Protein-interactome Footprinting
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Quantitatively detecting correlations of multiple protein-protein interactions (PPIs) in vivo is a big challenge. Here we introduce a novel method, termed Protein-interactome Footprinting (PiF), to simultaneously measure multiple PPIs in one cell. The principle of PiF is that each target physical PPI in the interactome is simultaneously transcribed into a specific DNA sequence based on dimerization of the target proteins fused with DNA-binding domains. The interaction intensity of each target protein is quantified as the copy number of the specific DNA sequences bound by each fusion protein dimers.

Using PiF, we quantitatively reveal dynamic patterns of PPIs and their correlation network in *E. coli* two-component systems.

In general, a human cell might contain about 130,000 binary PPIs1, and over 80% of proteins operate in complexes2. Since physical PPIs among these proteins could affect each other, the influences between different PPIs may act a very important role in protein interactome. To quantify the correlation of different PPIs, simultaneous and quantitative detection of multiple PPIs in a cell is needed. So far, there is no method available for directly measuring such system property of protein interactome.

Based on yeast two-hybrid (Y2H), the high-throughput Y2H approach has been applied for genome-wide screen of physical PPIs in human cells3. However, this assay mainly provides qualitative data and could not simultaneously detect multiple physical PPIs in a cell. Based on protein-fragment complementation assay, other binary PPI detecting approaches such as bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay4, luminescence-based mammalian interactome mapping (LUMIER)5 and dual luminescence-based co-immunoprecipitation (DULIP) assay6 have been developed (reviewed by ref. 7). Although these methods could be used for large-scale interaction screens, they hardly detect multiple physical PPIs in one cell quantitatively and simultaneously. By using these methods, the intensity of a physical PPI under the influences of other PPIs or the correlations of different physical PPIs can not be measured.

To address these challenges, we developed a novel method, called Protein-interactome Footprinting (PiF), for the simultaneous and quantitative measurement of multiple physical PPIs of interactome in a cell by directly transcoding each physical PPI signal into a specific DNA sequence. By using this method, we observed the correlations of PPIs in *E. coli* two-component systems (TCS), and quantified them as PPI correlation network (PPICN).

**Results**

**Design concept and workflow of Protein-interactome Footprinting.** Based on the principle of transcribing signal from a PPI into a specific DNA sequence8, we needed to solve following two problems to invent a method to achieve measuring multiple PPI in one cell simultaneously: (1) design of orthogonal pairs of DNA binding domains and specific DNA sequences; (2) measure the copy numbers of these different DNA sequences at the same time.

According to this concept, we worked out the simplest detection-system for multiple physical PPIs, i.e. simultaneously detecting three different PPIs between two different proteins in one cell (Fig. 1A), called
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Protein-interactome Footprinting (PiF), as follows (Fig. 1A): (1) each target protein is fused with a special DNA binding domain, which could form three different fusion protein dimers; (2) different fusion protein dimers recognize different specific DNA sequences and protect them from DNaseI digestion, and there are two sectors in these DNA sequences for detection (Fig. 1B), one is binding region (BR) composed by specific core sequence and barcode sequence, the other is spacer sequence for separating different BRs; (3) each physical PPI is transcoded into a specific DNA sequence through this process, and the copy numbers of these different DNA sequences are simultaneously measured by beacon-assisted detection (BAD)⁹.

Design and selection of specific core sequences. In this study, we generated two different DNA binding domains CI(N, wt) and CI(N, mut) (Table S1) to fuse with two different target proteins, which enables the discrimination of the three possible binary interactions via CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt), CI(N,wt)/CI(N,mut) and CI(N,mut)/CI(N,mut) DNA binding domain combinations.

To recognize these different PPIs derived combinations, we needed to design specific core sequences. By using FoldX¹⁰, we built the complex structure of CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt) heterodimer binding with DNA, which is based on the complex structure of CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt) homodimer binding with DNA (PDB:1LMB), then structures corresponding to each of the single point mutants of DNA sequences in these two complexes were generated. The interaction energy changes of CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt)–DNA complex (Fig. 2A) and CI(N,wt)/CI(N,mut)–DNA complex (Fig. 2B) were obtained by comparing the interaction energies of DNA mutant structures from each complex with each wild type.

According to these calculations, we designed five core sequences (core-1~5) for CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt) and CI(N,mut)/CI(N,mut), three core sequences (core-6~8) for CI(N,wt)/CI(N,mut) (Table S1). To validate their specificity in PPI signal transcoding, we measured Pdf signals⁸ of these core sequences binding with different DNA binding domain combinations (Fig. 2C–E). From these results, three orthogonal combinations of DNA binding domain sequences were identified: CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt), CI(N,wt)/CI(N,mut) and CI(N,mut)/CI(N,mut).

Figure 1. Principle of Protein interactome footprinting (PiF) method. (A) Workflow of the PiF method. The simultaneous detection ability of PiF include: different PPI driven DNA binding processes happen simultaneously in a cell, and copy numbers of different detection DNA are measured simultaneously by BAD assay. (B) The composition of the DNA sequences for detection. There are two sectors in these DNA sequences for detection, one is binding region (BR) composed by specific core sequence and barcode sequence, the other is spacer sequence for separating different BRs.
binding domains and the corresponding core sequences were identified, including CI(N,wt)/CI(N,wt) + core-2, CI(N,mut)/CI(N,mut) + core-4, and CI(N,wt)/CI(N,mut) + core-8.

**Design and validation of barcode sequences and molecular beacons.** We designed the barcode sequences linked to core sequences to mark above three different core sequences in BAD. As the process shown in Fig. 3A, 10 nt length random sequences were generated, and the phylogeny tree of these random sequences were drawn by using Clustal Omega web server. Then, we chose three distant sequences as candidate barcodes from the phylogeny tree to insert into the sequence GCGCCGTCG(…GGGTCCTCAGCGACGGCGC as molecular beacons and used Mfold11 web server to validate their folding conformations. Finally, we selected three specific barcode (bar-1, bar-2 and bar-3, Table S1) for each of three core sequences, and obtained three BRs (BR-I = bar-1 + core-2, BR-II = bar-2 + core-4 and BR-III = bar-3 + core-8, Table S1) for PiF method by linking core sequences with their specific barcodes.

We also generated two different 10 nt length random sequences to replace the barcode of BR-I as BR-Ia and BR-Ib (Table S1) to validate the influences of barcodes to PPI signal transcoding of core sequences. We measured PdF signals of CI dimer and GFP monomer using BR-I, BR-Ia or BR-Ib as BR sequences (Fig. 3B), and found that the barcode sequences had no obvious effect on signal transcoding ability of core sequences.

To detect three specific barcode sequences simultaneously, we designed three different beacons (Beacon-I, Beacon-II and Beacon-III, Table S1) modified by different fluorophore-quencher pairs (5′-FAM/3′-Dabcyl1, 5′-Cy5/3′-BHQ-2, 5′-Texas Red/3′-BHQ-2) were designed for specific detection of BR-I, BR-II and BR-III (Fig. 3C). Each beacon is a 38 nt single-stranded DNA with stem-loop secondary structure, and the loop region can recognize the specific barcode sequence.

**Quantitative properties of BAD used in PiF.** To read out the copy numbers of BR sequences with small differences simultaneously, we chose BAD assay in this study. Because of PPI signal transconding was quantitatively detected by qPCR as PdF signal in previous study, we compared BR-I PdF signals of CI dimer and GFP monomer measured by qPCR with BR-I BAD signals of CI dimer and GFP monomer measured by Beacon-I (Fig. 4A). The result showed that there was a linear correlation between the BAD- and qPCR-measurements (Fig. 4B), indicating that both assays are interchangeable in PPI signal transconding measurement.
three different phases: initiate phase (I), linear phase (II) and non-linear phase (Fig. S1B), and the fluorescence change in linear phase (Phase II) of the target DNA detected by a beacon is defined as MBAD signal. The copy number of a target DNA sequence is represented by its MBAD signal (Fig. S1B), which has a linear correlation with the amount of DNA target (Fig. S1C).

PiF can quantitatively distinguish protein dimers from monomers by measuring MBAD signal. A dual-vector system was designed for PiF, in which each vector has the same configuration but differs in antibiotic resistance, and the BR sequence and spacer sequences are linked together as a triple detection sequence (Fig. 4C). To test whether the interference of the protein monomer-BR binding signal could be ignored from the MBAD signal of dimerization, we used the C-terminal domain of CI (CI(C)) to construct CI(C)-pPIDATR1 + GFP-pPIDKTR2 as a positive control and GFP-pPIDATR1 + GFP-pPIDK1 for CI(N,wt)-GFP monomer as a negative control (Error bars indicate SD of PiF signals from three independent biological samples). (C) Three different beacons designed for PiF assay. Each beacon has a stem-loop structure with modification of different fluorophore-quencher pairs. The heatmap gives the specificity of the designed beacons to different BR sequences; red color represents high specificity and blue color represents low specificity.

Simultaneously detecting multiple PPIs of different E. coli chemotaxis protein combinations. Using PiF method, we simultaneously detected three different interactions of all possible binary combinations in a two-component system of E. coli chemotaxis under the same environmental condition (Fig. 5A–F). The qualitative results of these chemotaxis PPIs detected by PiF were similar to previous works. Importantly, unlike other PPI detection methods, PiF could detect interaction intensity changes of a physical PPI due to the influence of other PPIs. The results showed that the CheA-dimerization intensity of Fig. 5A was different from that of Fig. 5B and C, suggesting that the CheA-dimerization intensity could be affected by other PPIs. The similar phenomena were also observed on the CheZ-dimerization intensity (comparing Fig. 5B–D and E) and CheY-dimerization intensity (comparing Fig. 5C–E and F). Therefore, using PiF method, we show that the intensities of physical PPIs among these proteins could affect each other for the first time.

Simultaneously detecting dynamic changes of multiple PPIs in EnvZ/OmpR system. We further analyzed the interactions in E. coli osmolality sensing system to demonstrate PiF’s ability of simultaneously monitoring multiple dynamic PPIs in one cell. In this system, OmpR is activated as dimer by phosphorylation of EnvZ dimer in high osmolality environment, which reduces the interaction intensity between them. Using the PiF method, we simultaneously measured three different interactions of EnvZ and OmpR in the same cell under different osmolality environments (Fig. 5G), which were consistent with previous study. We also observed
These interactions change with the environmental stimulations (Fig. 5G), and identified the positive correlations between EnvZ homo-dimerization and EnvZ-OmpR hetero-dimerization as well as the negative correlations between OmpR homo-dimerization and EnvZ-OmpR hetero-dimerization.

The correlations of different PPIs and PPI correlation network. These results imply two kinds of physical PPI correlations (Fig. 6A). The first is that the interaction intensity changes rely on the accumulation of different interaction partners such as the interactions of E. coli chemotaxis proteins (Fig. 5A–F). The second is that the interaction intensity changes are stimulated by different environments such as the interactions of E. coli osmolality sensing proteins (Fig. 5G). To further quantify the physical PPI correlations, we used the modular response analysis method15 to generate an interaction map between different physical PPIs of E. coli chemotaxis proteins. To make systematic perturbation, we generated a number of protein mutations to interrupt these PPIs one at a time, and then simultaneously measured their intensities in a cell by PiF (Fig. S2). We calculated the global response matrix of physical PPIs (Supplementary Information) and drew the physical PPI correlation network (PPICN) of E. coli chemotaxis proteins (Fig. 6B). In this network, each vertex represents a physical PPI, and each directed edge connecting two vertices represents the positive or negative correlation of two PPIs. In PPICN, most of two directed edges between two vertexes include one strong edge and one weak edge (Fig. 6B), suggesting promotional or inhibitory mutual correlations between two physical PPIs.

Discussion
The purpose that we design PiF is to reveal the system properties of protein interactome by measuring different PPIs simultaneously. PiF method provide several advantages to protein interactome study. First, PiF can extend its capability to simultaneously and quantitatively detect multiple PPIs among more than two proteins in one cell once we find enough orthogonal pairs of DNA-binding domains and corresponding specific DNA sequences for
detection. Secondly, PiF can reveal the dynamic changes of the physical PPI intensities under influences of other interaction partners or environments. Thirdly, PiF can analyze the correlations between the binary interaction pairs in a protein interactome.

As a totally new concept of protein interactome, PPICN was in agreement with previous observations. For example, CheZ dimer with a modest change of conformation and activation upon binding to phospho-CheY resulted in an increased affinity to CheA\(^{13,16}\); and the CheY dimerization might depend on coming into proximity when interacting with CheA dimer and CheZ dimer\(^{13}\). Furthermore, we simulated the concentrations of different dimers in time-series with their dynamic changes of the interaction intensities based on PPICN (Fig. 6C). The simulation results showed there were two different dynamic patterns in this network. First, CheA and CheZ homodimers increased rapidly to a steady high concentration state with time, which is consistent with the fact that CheA and CheZ are strong intradimers\(^{13}\), while CheY homodimer increased slowly to a steady low concentration state, which is consistent with the weak dimerization of CheY\(^{13}\). Secondly, the heterodimers of CheA, CheY and CheZ increased in a narrow time window, and then decayed with time, indicating that CheY and CheZ are released from the complex after rapid activation or deactivation process of heterodimers\(^{13,17}\). These results

Figure 5. Quantitatively and simultaneously detecting multiple PPIs in one cell by PiF method. (A–F) Quantitative and simultaneous detection of three different PPIs of two \textit{E. coli} chemotaxis proteins in one cell, including CheA (A), CheB (B), CheY(Y) and CheZ (Z). (Error bars indicate SD of interaction intensities from three independent biological samples) (G) Quantitative detection of three different PPIs of \textit{E. coli} EnvZ (E)/ OmpR (O) two-component system. Red color represents high osmolality M9 media (100 mM NaCl), blue color represents low osmolality M9 media with (8.5 mM NaCl). In the interaction dynamic changes, each color block represents the environment osmolality in 40 min. (Error bars indicate SD of interaction intensities from three independent biological samples).
suggest that PPICN may also reveal the intrinsic properties of complex assembly and disassembly within a protein network by giving the correlation of different physical PPIs.

In this study, we demonstrated the PiF method in bacterial cells which do not have nucleus and in which all the proteins can perform PPI-driven DNA binding processes with the detection sequences inserted in expression vectors. In contrast, existence of nucleus in eukaryotic cells isolates the detection sequences located in the expression vectors from PPIs in cytoplasm. To expand the PiF technology for interactome study in eukaryotic cells, detection sequences could be separated from expression vectors as independent exogenous DNAs, and delivered directly into nucleus or cytoplasm18 for PiF detection. Furthermore, we simultaneously measured three different PPIs formed by two proteins, which can cover, for example, most bacterial signal transduction systems (two-component systems)19. However, in eukaryotic cell, many systems, such as kinase cascade system19, are complex cascades with more than two proteins. In these cases, many more specific DNA binding domain-DNA sequence pairs need to be designed for simultaneously measuring of different PPIs in eukaryotic interactome. The larger the interactome scale we study, the harder the design of different orthogonal pairs of DNA binding domains and specific DNA sequences. So further study is required to optimize the design and selection processes based on a proper scale of protein interactome.

Material and Methods

Plasmid construction. Plasmid pPIDATR1 was constructed using the pSP73 (Promega, P2221) vector as the backbone and inserting the P_{lac} promoter, the N-terminal DNA binding domain of the Cl gene (Cl(N,wt), cloned from lambda DNA (Thermo, SD0011)), a multiple cloning site (MCS), a terminator and the triple detection sequence (Tri) according to the BioBrick assembly standard. Plasmid pPIDKTR2 was constructed using the pSB1K3 (Biobrick) vector as the backbone and inserting the P_{lac} promoter, the N-terminal Cl DNA binding domain gene mutation (Cl(N,mut)), a multiple cloning site (MCS), a terminator and the triple detection sequence (Tri) according to the BioBrick assembly standard. These two plasmids were used for the PiF assay.

The cheA, cheB, cheZ, cheY, envZ, ompR genes were cloned from the genome of E. coli strain DH5α. GFP_{mut3b} was cloned from GFP_{mut3b}-pSB1A2 (Biobrick, BBa_E0040). These target genes were inserted into the multiple cloning sites (MCS) of the above plasmids by homologous recombination using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Clontech, 638909).

The PiF assay. In vivo protein expression. X-pPIDATR1 and Y-pPIDKTR2 (where X and Y are target proteins) containing the triple detection sequence (Tru) were co-transformed into E. coli JM109 competent cells and
spread on LB agar plates containing Ampicillin (50 ng/μl) and Kanamycin (50 ng/μl) at 30 °C overnight. A single colony was diluted in 2 ml M9 His DO medium containing Ampicillin (50 ng/μl) and Kanamycin (50 ng/μl) and was shaken at 250 rpm at 37 °C overnight. An overnight culture of transformed JM109 was diluted to 2 ml in fresh M9 His DO medium containing Ampicillin (50 ng/μl), Kanamycin (50 ng/μl) and IPTG (10 μM) to reach an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.03, then shaken at 250 rpm at 37 °C for 10 hours.

**In vivo protein–DNA complex extraction.** After an 8-hour IPTG induction, 1 ml of cell culture was moved into a 15 ml conical centrifuge tube, and 27 μl of 37% formaldehyde was added to obtain a final concentration of 1% formaldehyde for crosslinking. After incubation at 300 rpm and 25 °C for 8 minutes, 10X glycine solution was added into this mixed culture at a final concentration of 1X glycine for crosslinking termination by incubating at 300 rpm and 25 °C for 5 minutes. The cells were then collected by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 4 minutes and washed twice with 1 ml of ice-cold 1X PBS. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml 1X PBS with 10 μl Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Pierce 78443) and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 4 minutes. The cross-linked cells were resuspended in 200 μl of Membrane Extraction Buffer (Pierce Chromatin Prep Module, 26158) containing 2 μl Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail and incubated on ice for 10 minutes. The genophore was then collected by centrifugation at 9,000 rpm for 3 minutes. The genophore was resuspended in 200 μl MNase Digestion Buffer (Pierce Chromatin Prep Module, 26158) with 0.2 μl 1 M DTT solution, and 100 μl of the resuspended genophore was transferred into a new tube in parallel. Then, 4 μl of Micrococcal Nuclease Mix (containing 0.4 μl of 10 U/μl Micrococcal Nuclease (Pierce Chromatin Prep Module, 26158) with 3.6 μl of MNase Digestion Buffer) was added into each tube containing 100 μl resuspended genophore and incubated at 37 °C for 15 minutes for fragmentation. Next, 20 μl MNase Stop Solution (Pierce Chromatin Prep Module, 26158) was added to stop the reaction, and the genophore solution was incubated on ice for 5 minutes. The genophore was collected by centrifugation at 9,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The genophore was resuspended in 50 μl Nuclear Extraction Buffer (Pierce Chromatin Prep Module, 26158) and incubated on ice for 15 minutes. The genophore was extracted by centrifugation at 9,000 rpm for 5 minutes, and the extracted solution was transferred to a new tube.

**In vitro DNase I digestion and purification.** For digestion, 2 μl DNase I (2,000 U/mL, NEB, M0303S) was added into 50 μl extracted solution and incubated at 37 °C overnight. The reaction was stopped by incubating at 75 °C for 10 minutes. Next, a solution containing 6.6 μl of nuclease free water, 2.4 μl 5 M NaCl and 1 μl proteinase K (20 mg/ml) was added to the digested genophore solution and incubated at 65 °C for 90 minutes to remove proteins from the protein–DNA complexes. Then DNA was purified by using the UNIQ-10 Spin Column Oligo DNA Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech, SK1143).

**Quantification.** Concentrations of different target DNA sequences were measured simultaneously by using beacon-assisted detection method.

**Beacon-assisted detection of target DNA.** **Molecular beacon preparation.** There are three different Beacons used in this study (Beacon-I for BR-I, Beacon-II for BR-II and Beacon-III for BR-III), which are modified by different fluorophore-quencher pairs (5'-FAM/3'-Dabcyl, 5'-Cy5/3'-BHQ-2, 5'-Texas Red/3'-BHQ-2). These modified beacons are synthesized and purified by Sangon Biotech, and supplied as DNA pellet. 80 μl solution of the beacon is prepared in molecular beacon buffer (20 mM Tris and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, PH 8.0) to reach an OD<sub>400</sub> of 0.03, then shaken at 250 rpm at 37 °C for 10 hours.

**Experiment procedure.** To detect the target DNA sequence, prepare solution A and B listed in Table S2. Then incubate solution B at 95 °C for 5 min and cool it on ice immediately. Aliquot solution B into 8-tube strip (Roche, 06612601001) placed on ice, then initiate the reaction by adding solution A to solution B and mix well. Place the 8-tube strip into LightCycler®96 Real-Time PCR System (Roche) and start the incubation program. In the incubation program, there are three detection formats been chosen, including FAM, Texas Red and Cy5, and thermal cycles are 40 cycles (40 °C, 30 s).

**Data analyzing.** From LightCycler®96 Real-Time PCR System, get the fluorescence readings of three beacons at the same time. Each beacon time-series reading normally has three different phases, the fluorescence change in the linear phase of the target DNA detected by a beacon is defined as MBAD signal.

**Protein-protein interaction correlation network drawing.** **Modular response analysis.** An interaction map of different protein–protein interactions can be discovered by using mutations (CheA (L126A))<sup>21</sup>, CheA (F214A)<sup>22,23</sup>, CheZ (L110P)<sup>24</sup>, CheZ (D143G)<sup>24</sup>, CheY (D12E)<sup>25</sup>, CheY (D12E)<sup>25</sup>) to interrupt interactions in this study and measuring global response only. In our study, three interactions are measured simultaneously. There are three major step in protein-protein interaction correlation network drawing: first, use a perturbation that affects a single interaction and measure the difference in the steady-state levels of interaction intensity before and after the perturbation; then, according to Eq. 1, calculate the global fraction changes of three interactions and repeat for remaining interactions to calculate global response matrix R<sub>ij</sub> (Equation 2); finally, apply matrix R<sub>ij</sub> in Eq. 3 to get network interaction map r, which is the protein-protein interaction correlation network of these three interactions.

**Equations.** Global fraction change

\[
\Delta \ln x_j \approx 2(x_j^{(1)} - x_j^{(0)})/(x_j^{(1)} + x_j^{(0)})
\]
where $\Delta \ln x_{ij}$ is the global fraction change of interaction $j$ under the perturbation of interaction $i$, $x_{ij}^{(1)}$ is the interaction intensity after perturbation and $x_{ij}^{(0)}$ is the interaction intensity before perturbation.

Global response matrix

$$R = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta \ln x_1 & \Delta \ln x_1 & \Delta \ln x_1 \\
\Delta \ln x_2 & \Delta \ln x_2 & \Delta \ln x_2 \\
\Delta \ln x_3 & \Delta \ln x_3 & \Delta \ln x_3
\end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Network interaction map

$$r = -\left[dg\left(R_p^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot R_p^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

**Dynamic simulation of protein-protein interaction correlation network.** According to protein-protein interaction correlation network of *E. coli* chemotaxis, there are seven different interactions to form seven different protein complexes:

$$\text{CheA} + \text{CheA} \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{CheACheA}$$
$$\text{CheA} + \text{CheB} \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{CheACheB}$$
$$\text{CheA} + \text{CheY} \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{CheACheY}$$
$$\text{CheA} + \text{CheZ} \xrightarrow{k_4} \text{CheACheZ}$$
$$\text{CheY} + \text{CheY} \xrightarrow{k_5} \text{CheYCheY}$$
$$\text{CheZ} + \text{CheZ} \xrightarrow{k_6} \text{CheZCheZ}$$
$$\text{CheY} + \text{CheZ} \xrightarrow{k_7} \text{CheYCheZ}$$

where $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6$ and $k_7$ are the interaction intensities of these interactions.

Define the concentration of each dimer as $x_1$ (CheACheA), $x_2$ (CheACheB), $x_3$ (CheACheY), $x_4$ (CheACheZ), $x_5$ (CheYCheY), $x_6$ (CheZCheZ), $x_7$ (CheYCheZ) and set $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6$ and $d_7$ as dissociate rates. The total concentrations\(^{26}\) of CheA, CheB, CheY and CheZ are $C_a, C_b, C_y$ and $C_z$, then we have following 14 ordinary differential equations based on the protein-protein interaction correlation network (PPICN):

$$\dot{x}_1 = k_1(C_a - 2X_1 - X_2 - X_3 - X_4)^2 - d_1X_1$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = k_2(C_a - 2X_1 - X_2 - X_3 - X_4)(C_b - X_2) - d_2X_2$$
$$\dot{x}_3 = k_3(C_a - 2X_1 - X_2 - X_3 - X_4)(C_y - X_3 - 2X_5 - X_7) - d_3X_3$$
\[
\dot{x}_4 = k_4(C_a - 2X_1 - X_2 - X_3 - X_4)(C_z - X_4 - 2X_6 - X_7) - d_4X_4 \\
\dot{x}_5 = k_5(C_y - X_3 - 2X_5 - X_7)(C_y - X_3 - 2X_5 - X_7) - d_5X_5 \\
\dot{x}_6 = k_6(C_z - X_4 - 2X_6 - X_7)(C_z - X_4 - 2X_6 - X_7) - d_6X_6 \\
\dot{x}_7 = k_7(C_y - X_3 - 2X_5 - X_7)(C_y - X_3 - 2X_5 - X_7) - d_7X_7 
\]

According to these ordinary differential equations, we calculated each PPI intensity and concentration of each dimer in time-series by using following parameters shown in Table S3.
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