Novel targets for Spinal Cord Injury related neuropathic pain
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ABSTRACT

Millions of people suffer from spinal cord injury (SCI) with little known effective clinical therapy. Neuropathic pain (NP) is often accompanied with SCI, making clinical treatment challenging. Even though the key mediators in the development of NP have been discovered, the pathogenesis is still unclear. Some of the key mediators in the sustenance of NP include the inflammatory processes, cannabinoid receptors, matrix metalloproteases, and their tissue inhibitors. Animal models have shown promising results with modulation of these mediators, yet the clinical models have been unsuccessful. One such study with matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) has yielded encouraging results. The relationship between MMPs and their tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) plays a significant role in the pathogenesis and recovery of SCI and the CNS. Key factors that lead to the functional consequences of MMP activity are cellular localization, tissue distribution, and temporal pattern of MMP expression. Studies concluding that MMPs can be seen as contributors of tissue damage and as contributors in the repair mechanisms have provided a need to reexamine their roles after acute and chronic neuropathic pain.
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Introduction

There are a vast array of outcomes associated with spinal cord injury (SCI) shown to produce motor and sensory complications, including the formation of chronic neuropathic pain (NP). The formation of NP can be more debilitating than any symptom associated with SCI. For all of these effects of SCI, there is little known effective clinical therapy and advances have been slow. Yet, promising developments have given insight into the cellular and molecular mechanisms. Recent improvements in the field give insight into SCI and associated pain pathways to better possible treatments. In this review, we explore recent advances in the field of treatment and pathogenesis of SCI and chronic NP.

Inflammatory Response in SCI

As in many human injury processes, there are invoked characteristic systems that have detrimental and beneficial effects. One such system that has been identified is the inflammatory response. For our purposes the role of the inflammatory process in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain following SCI has garnered significant attention in recent years. Work has implicated the role of cytokines, chemokines, prostaglandins and other inflammatory factors in the pathogenesis of pain. Although shared features between CNS and non-CNS tissue to injury and the inflammatory process, there are important differences between the two types. The CNS contains several highly specialized cell types (i.e. central neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, microglial cells) which are absent in systemic tissues. Also there are anatomical differences e.g. absence of a lymphatic drainage system and the spatial constraints during injury induced swelling process. These features expose the CNS to substantial risk from increased tissue pressure, ischemia, and damage secondary to inflammation. The blood-brain barrier also significantly changes peripheral leukocyte kinetics, such that their migration into central neural tissue is significantly delayed. Spinal nerve injury, however, has been demonstrated to induce maximal blood-spinal cord permeability two weeks following injury. Persistent elevation of permeability was still evident at ten weeks. Inflammation has important beneficial effects following injury: removing offending pathogens and necrotic tissue, and repair of injured tissue. Activated leukocytes phagocytose necrotic cells, release cytoketic factors that induce further tissue damage. Recent studies illustrate the importance of microglial and astrocytic release of neurotrophic factors. These factors have neuroprotective effects, but at the same time are pro-inflammatory. However, these neurotrophic factors are also known to play a central role in the development of chronic pain syndromes. Clear examples are brain-derived and nerve growth factors which are now known to be key components in the pathogenesis of NP via the modulation of pain afferent excitability and nociceptor sensitization.

Damage to the CNS due to inflammation has made modulation of inflammation a therapeutic method. Inflammatory response is known to cause further damage to injured and non-injured tissue. The secondary damage due to acute inflammation involves mediators including nitric oxide (NO), bradykinins, prostaglandins, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α). TNF-α will remain an important therapeutic target as lesion size post-SCI is significantly larger than original lesion size pre-SCI.

Novel targets for Spinal Cord Injury Neuropathic Pain

Non-inflammatory mediators of chronic NP are also key components to central and peripheral hypersensitization. Of interest is interaction between the cannabinoid and matrix metalloprotease systems. Recent work has demonstrated that there may be spinal cord injury mediated induction of natural downregulators of matrix metalloproteases. Importantly, these natural downregulators are activated by cannabinoid stimulation. Understanding how these two systems are interrelated will afford clinicians with an additional therapeutic option for neuropathic pain.

MMPs and Spinal Cord Injury

Matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) are a large family of zinc-dependent extracellu-
lar proteases that have the ability to digest extracellular matrix components such as collagen, proteoglycan and laminin. They are able to digest a variety of cell surfaces and soluble proteins including growth factors, adhesion molecules, receptors, and most notably cytokines and chemokines.\textsuperscript{18} (Figure 1)

In recent years, studies have demonstrated that MMPs are overexpressed in injured spinal cord tissue. Its role following injury is linked to several degenerative and protective processes ranging from inflammation, demyelination, to angiogenesis, and axonal growth.\textsuperscript{19-23} Here we examine the potentially beneficial and detrimental roles of two members of this family, MMP-2 and MMP-9, in the context of the pathogenesis of SCI induced neuropathic pain.

Temporal and spatial differences are seen in MMP-2 and MMP-9 regulation following injury. MMP-9 overexpression is seen in the acute phase following injury, while MMP-2 induction is seen in the late phase of injury.\textsuperscript{6,19,24,25} In uninjured spinal cord, MMP-9 has some basal expression, albeit low levels. MMP-9 is expressed in uninjured meninges and motorneurons.\textsuperscript{26} This tissue localization can be changed within 24 hours following injury to show expression in peri-lesional blood vessels, macrophages, and astrocytes.\textsuperscript{27} Additionally, MMP-9 expression is proportional to degree of injury.\textsuperscript{19} These findings demonstrate that MMP-9 plays an important role in early changes to spinal cord injury.

MMP-9 seems to play a key role altering homeostasis in the cellular environment of the acute phase of injury. Detrimental effects of MMP-9 have implicated this protein plays a role in the disruption of the blood-spinal cord barrier (BSCB). Disruption of the BSCB allows for subsequent neutrophil invasion which can cause additional tissue damage and demyelination. BSCB breakdown also assists in the access of inflammatory components to healthy spinal cord tissue. Infiltrating cells are able to activate and interact with resident microglia and astrocytes, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{19,22} Invasion of neutrophils can induce extension tissue necrosis which will lead to lesion expansion. Additionally, reactive oxygen species, proteases, nitric oxide, and pro-inflammatory cytokines are generated by a majority of neutrophils in white matter within the lesion.\textsuperscript{20,27,28} Temporally, neutrophil infiltration coincided with MMP-9 upregulation at 3 days post-injury.\textsuperscript{28} Infiltrating macrophages expressing MMP-9 were also found to contribute to the demyelination of uninjured neurons.\textsuperscript{27} Although the effects of neutrophils have degenerative traits, they are important for sterilization and debridement of the injury site. Myelin basic protein is a cleavage substrate for MMP-9 supporting its role as in demyelination.

In contrast to MMP-9 expression patterns, MMP-2 exhibits delayed upregulation following injury. Its expression begins approximately 5 days post-injury and peaks about 7 to 14 days post-injury.\textsuperscript{19,20,24} Examination of injured human spinal cord tissue reported a transient early induction of MMP-2 in macrophages epicenter of injury.\textsuperscript{22} However, MMP-2 activity was found in reactive astrocytes bordering the lesion within the spinal cord.\textsuperscript{20} Knowledge of the role of MMP-2 in the chronic phase of injury has much ground to gain with respect our current understanding of MMP-9.

Knockout studies in mice and specific MMP inhibitors have been undertaken to better understand the function of MMPs. These studies support MMP-9 as playing a benefical and detrimental role in SCI. MMP-9 deficient mice demonstrate improved BSCB integrity, subsequently diminished neutrophils, and macrophages infiltration. Additionally, there is improved locomotor recovery.\textsuperscript{19,23,27} Atorvastatin (MMP-9 inhibitor) post-SCI is neuroprotective.\textsuperscript{23} Atorvastatin treated rats also showed diminished breakdown of the BSCB and reduced neutrophil and macrophage infiltration to the spinal cord compared to controls. Pharmacological inhibition of MMP-9 reduced secondary tissue damage and increased functional recovery. Taken together, these data support inhibition of MMP-9 as neuroprotective following spinal cord injury.\textsuperscript{23}

It is also important to recognize the studies that have implicated MMP-9 as neuroprotective. MMP-9 is expressed in migrating growth cones during the acute phase of SCI.\textsuperscript{21} Moreover, MMPs may also play a key role in ECM remodeling, glial scar formation, and revascularization. These are key events in the wound healing process that occur within the first two weeks after injury.\textsuperscript{19} Importantly, during this time MMP-2 expression is increased.\textsuperscript{21}

The formation of a glial scar can serve both positive and negative effects. It can reestablish a physical barrier at the site of injury to reduce further damage, but...
the cells within the scar can also prevent neuroregeneration by secreting inhibitory molecules. Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPG) are a family of molecules found in glial scars and can have negative effects on axonal growth.\textsuperscript{29} MMP-2 is able to degrade CSPGs\textsuperscript{19,20} and it has been shown that glial scarring was more common in MMP-2 knockout mice.\textsuperscript{20} Gelatinase activity was also found most prevalent in the epicenter of the injury site, and gradually decreased peripherally. In contrast, astrocytes were found on the border of injury site, with gelatinase activity being seen immediately surrounding the epicenter.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, we can see a correlation between the gelatinase activity within the glial scar and the lack of regeneration and recovery following SCI. The molecules associated with glial scarring may be responsible for the gelatinase activity, MMP-2 may be able to reduce the glial scarring and ultimately improve functional recovery.

Transcriptional Regulation of MMPs

Following injury to the spinal cord, there is a complex release of inflammatory cytokines (such as IL-1\textsubscript{β} and TNF-\textsubscript{α}) from infiltrating macrophages and neurotransmitters from neuronal cells.\textsuperscript{30} These molecules induce molecular pathways that alter the expression of genes that account for the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain. Of particular interest in this review is the gene regulation of MMPs and TIMPs.\textsuperscript{31}

Noxious substances such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and mechanical stimuli are capable of activating intracellular transcription factors such as activator protein 1 (AP-1) and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB). These transcription factors are responsible for direct increase in the transcription of inflammatory mediators (IL-1\textsubscript{β}, TNF-\textsubscript{α}, MMPs, etc). AP-1 is a heterodimeric protein derived from the c-Fos and c-Jun families. AP-1 transcription factor sites are found in the MMP-2, MMP-9 and TIMP-1 genes. Moreover, their expression is enhanced by active AP-1. Furthermore, NF-κB upregulates MMP-2 and MMP-9 during the inflammation response of microglia and astrocytes.\textsuperscript{32,33}

Mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways also activate AP-1 and NF-κB, eventually inducing MMP expression. Activation of the cannabinoid (CB), IL-1\textsubscript{β}, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1), and TNF-α receptors have the capacity to induce the MAPK pathways (figure 2). Extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK 1/2) and p38 MAPK are upregulated following mechanical and ischemic brain injury.\textsuperscript{34} Interestingly, inhibition of ERK 1/2 and p38 kinase pathways diminishes MMP-9 expression following injury. Additionally, inhibition of p38 and ERK in rat cortical astrocyte culture decreases TNF-\textsubscript{αα}-induced MMP-9 expression.\textsuperscript{1} It has also been shown that IL-1β stimulates neuronal MMP-9 levels following mouse CNS trauma.\textsuperscript{35}

The cannabinoid system receptors CB1 and CB2, homologous, are G-protein coupled receptors. Their activation stimulates adenyl cyclase mediated cAMP production, intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent pathways and MAPK activity. Activation of the CB1 receptor reduces Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels in the cell and increases inward-rectifying K\textsuperscript{+} currents through direct coupling with inward-rectifying K\textsuperscript{+} channels Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-Q.\textsuperscript{36,37} Lowering the potassium potential reduces neuronal excitability and neurotransmitter release in the CNS. This is an interesting effect; however, more work must be done to determine electrophysiological changes following spinal cord injury. Through G\textsubscript{i} and G\textsubscript{q} proteins, CB receptors have effects on cAMP levels. Namely, CB1 couples with G\textsubscript{i} which will lower cAMP, while CB2 couples with G\textsubscript{q} which will decrease cAMP levels.\textsuperscript{37}

CB receptors also modulate the MAPK pathways. Agonist binding to CB1 stimulates both p38 and ERK1/2 MAPK pathways, while CB2 receptor only induces p38 MAPK. There are well established links between MAPK activation and the expression c-Fos and c-Jun or the AP-1 transcription factor family.\textsuperscript{38} More directly, CB receptor activation has been shown to increase MAPK activation which is implicated in MMP and TIMP gene regulation (Figure 1). Unstimulated cells have low MMP expression, but expression can be increased by MAPK modulation of c-Jun and c-Fos. Additionally, TIMP is upregulated by these transcription factors.\textsuperscript{39} Fibroblasts treated with an inflammatory cytokine, Oncostatin M (OSM), increased TIMP-1 mRNA expression. When p38 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was inhibited, TIMP-1 levels did not increase. This demonstrates that MAPK phosphorylation is needed for OSM-induced TIMP-1 expression. More direct evidence is increased expression of c-Jun following OSM stimulation.\textsuperscript{39,40} In NIH 3T3 cells, c-Fos increases TIMP-1 promoter activity.\textsuperscript{41}

Using a non-specific endocannabinoid of CB1/2 receptors, anandamide cancer cell invasiveness was reduced by elevated TIMP-1/2 expression.\textsuperscript{35} We conclude that
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\caption{Proposed mechanism of MMP and TIMP-1 transcriptional regulation though TRPV1 and CB1/2 signaling receptors involved in the formation of chronic neuropathic pain. TRPV1 and CB1/2 modulate Ca\textsubscript{2+} -dependent and MAPK intracellular signaling pathways which are capable of activating CREB, AP-1 and NF-κB transcription factors which control expression of MMP-2 and TIMP-1. Reproduced from Pearce, SM, Ramsey, MA, Miranpuri, GS, Resnick, DK. 2008. Annals of Neurosciences 15:94-105.}
\end{figure}
TIMP-1/2 expression induced by the cannabinoid system is an area that needs greater attention given the specificity and knowledge known about how to manipulate the cannabinoid system pharmacologically (Figure 1).

MMP Inhibition by TIMPs via Cannabinoid Receptor Activation

Localization of the CB receptors has been shown to be in the presynaptic membranes. This location allows the receptors to inhibit the release of neurotransmitters. Following saphenous nerve injury CB1 and CB2 receptors are upregulated in the DRG and ipsilateral spinal cord. Administration of endogenous or synthetic cannabinoids decrease hyperalgesia in both central and peripheral injury models of chronic pain. However, of particular interest here, CB receptors are able to induce the production of TIMPs.

There is currently increased attention in the anti-hyperalgesic effect of CB receptor activation. Activation of these different receptor isoforms hypothetically may be able to reduce TRPV1 dependent expression of MMP-2 via CB dependent TIMP activation. More work is needed to determine the differential effects of CB1 and CB2 receptor activation on TIMP activation (Figure 1). This theoretical framework affords a unique strategy in modulating SCI induced neuropathic pain, namely stimulation of the cannabinoid system. However, central and peripheral models of neuropathic pain offer conflicting results regarding CB receptor which is responsible for the algic effects of the cannabinoid system. Outlining the molecular pathway specifically upregulated following injury is an area of work that we believe will have tremendous implications in the field of pharmacological treatment of neuropathic pain.

Pharmacological inhibition of Cannabinoid receptors

Recent studies have shown that cannabinoids (CB) affects the formation of NP. Although, CB activates both CB1 and CB2 receptors and the ionotropic receptor, TRPV1, the way in which it modulates NP is poorly understood. TRPV1 receptors play a role in the development of pain during thermal hyperalgesia (TH). The G-protein receptors, CB1/2, are expressed intracellularly after SCI [72] and when given intrathecally, CB is able to reduce hyperalgesia in NP models. Understanding that CB affects nociception in a NP model through the CB1 receptors following peripheral nerve injury, Pol et al. (2006) noticed that the CB1 receptors found at the spinal and peripheral level did not play a role in the adaptive responses occurring. This is most likely due to the upregulation of CB1 receptors in the brain or spine located away from the site of injury. The CB1 receptors are located in the dorsal root ganglia, peripheral terminals of C fibers, and the spinal cord (Figure 2).

While the TRPV1 receptors seem to correlate with pain during TH, CB1 receptors have been found to either inhibit TRPV1-mediated nociception or enhance it. The activation of the cAMP-signaling pathway is the determinant. It is through these signaling events that we might be able to understand TRPV1-induced endogenous biological effects by observing which of the two receptors would be initially activated. The CB1 receptor agonists, Win 55, 212-2, and the TRPV1 agonist, Anandamide, were found to significantly reduce TH during NP. Sagar et al. has even proposed that CB inhibition of TRPV1 is CB1 and CB2 independent. While advancements within the field have been considerable, more research is still required for any clinical or pharmacological developments of the CB system during NP. The signaling processes are involved with the desensitization of TRPV1 by CB.

MMPs and the Neuropathic Pain Model

MMP-2 and MMP-9 are linked to the late and early phase of NP respectively. After spinal nerve ligation (SNL), there was an upregulation of MMP-2 and MMP-9 with these phases of NP. IL-1β has been found to play an important role in the upregulation of the MMPs following SNL. Both MMP-2 and MMP-9 are activated through IL-1β. MMP-2 also mediates NP during the chronic phase of NP through astrocyte activation while MMP-9 mediates NP during the acute stage though microglial activation. Both MMP-2 and MMP-9 are inhibited through the tissue inhibitors, TIMPs. TIMP-1 was upregulated in rats experiencing NP compared to the rats with inflammatory pain. Given exogenously, TIMP-2 reduced MMP-2 and TH, and ultimately NP during the chronic phase of injury.

TIMPs as Pain Mediators

The TIMP family includes TIMP-1 through TIMP-4, which all inhibit MMP protease activity. The different isoforms each have unique expression patterns, regulation, and efficiency of MMP inhibition. MMPs are regulated primarily by TIMPs. The temporal and spatial expression patterns of these two protein families may modulate many pathophysiological changes post-injury. TIMPs induce a conformational change in the catalytic domain of MMPs which abolishes MMP proteolytic activity. Namely, TIMP-4, inhibits MMP-1, -2, -3, -7, and -9. Additionally, TIMP-1 and -2 bind the hemopexin domain of pro-MMP-9 and -2, which results in complex effects MMP activation. The balance between MMPs and their endogenous inhibition by TIMPs is a key component to understanding the environment created post-spinal cord injury.

MMP activity has been linked to the production of chronic NP following SCI. Inhibition of MMP could result in functional recovery. The balance between tissue formation and degradation by MMPs is usually sustained through an interaction with TIMPs. Following SCI, TIMPs have been seen to reduce the upregulation of MMPs in the tissue surrounding the impaction site. Likewise, in both animal and human models, SCI has limited the production of TIMPs in comparison to the production of MMP. The most efficient way to prevent additional damage by secondary pathogenesis is to examine the post-injury inflammatory response. TIMPs have been reported to reduce the inflammatory response, improve locomotor recovery, and decrease tissue damage post-injury. In addition to the benefits to the injury site of TIMPs, neuroprotective effects have been seen following cerebral damage. With all of the novel findings regarding MMPs, TIMPs has generated substantial interest as pain mediators.

Even though studies have shown many exciting benefits to TIMPs, clinical studies have not shown the same results. One possible reason as to why TIMPs aren’t succeeding in a clinical setting is because MMPs can control a range of other processes and their inhibition could affect other essential functions, like the cleavage of the IL-6 receptor or the discharge of TNFα. The effects from inhibiting the proteases could be very harmful to the system being studied. Liver injury has been seen as a result from inhibiting the MMPs to release TNFα. Additionally, joint pain has resulted from the use of TIMPs in some patients. These findings only intensify the complexity behind TIMPs. The pain mediating effects of TIMPs may be in part to non-MMP involved processes. In order for TIMPs to offer clinical benefits
by mediating NP and improving locomotive abilities, we need to more closely examine the spatial and temporal patterns of MMP expression. When dealing with TIMPs and locating the specific isoform of MMP that should be inhibited, a lot of attention and detail is demanded.

Conclusions

The present review gives insight into the involvement of CB, MMP and TIMP in the formation of NP. The information should be used as a base work to construct further hypotheses to be researched. There is still much to be discovered in the SCI field. Even though SCI may result in extreme physical and emotional insufficiencies, the formation of chronic NP can be even more devastating. Great strides have been made, yet the molecular and cellular mechanisms involved in the formation of NP are still poorly understood. To help fill this gap, current NP research has been reviewed and associated with noteworthy advances in the field of the pathology and treatment of SCI and chronic NP.
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