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Immune phenotypes of prostate cancer cells: Evidence of epithelial immune cell-like transition?
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Abstract Prostate cancers (PCa) have been reported to actively suppress antitumor immune responses by creating an immune-suppressive microenvironment. There is mounting evidence that PCAs may undergo an “Epithelial Immune Cell-like Transition” (EIT) by expressing molecules conventionally associated with immune cells (e.g., a variety of cytokines/receptors, immune transcription factors, Ig motifs, and immune checkpoint molecules), which subsequently results in the suppression of anti-cancer immune activity within the tumor microenvironment. Recent progress within the field of immune therapy has underscored the importance of immune checkpoint molecules in cancer development, thus leading to the development of novel immunotherapeutic approaches. Here, we review the expression of select immune checkpoint molecules in PCa epithelial and associated immune cells, with particular emphasis on clinical data supporting the concept of an EIT-mediated phenotype in PCa. Furthermore, we summarize current advances in anti-immune checkpoint therapies, and provide perspectives on their potential applicability.

ª 2016 Editorial Office of Asian Journal of Urology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most commonly-diagnosed non-cutaneous cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in North American males. Although PCas typically present as androgen-dependent cancers, and initial androgen ablation can lead to substantial remissions, they frequently return in an androgen-independent, castration-resistant form. Within the last few years, a number of new agents have been developed and approved for treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). These include second generation anti-androgen agents (abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide), cytotoxic drugs (cabazitaxel), and radiopharmaceuticals (radium-223 dichloride) \[1\-4\]. However, while these advancements have significantly improved patient survival and quality of life, and slowed disease progression, relapse invariably occurs. Therefore, there still remains an urgent need for novel therapeutic targets and agents for mCRPC.

During the past decade, immune therapy has become one of the most active and prolific areas of cancer research. Sipuleucel-T, an autologous cellular immune therapy, is the first therapeutic cancer vaccine and currently the only FDA-approved immune therapy for PCa. Recent advances have also underscored the crucial role of immune checkpoint molecules in facilitating cancer development, thus leading to numerous novel immunotherapeutic approaches. In particular, studies have demonstrated that PCa cells employ various mechanisms, including the aberrant expression of immune checkpoint molecules, in order to elude the immune system. Therefore, these insights have served to provide corroborating evidence for the “Epithelial Immune Cell-like Transition” (EIT) hypothesis \[5\]. In this review, we will revisit the EIT concept with regards to the expression of immune checkpoint molecules on PCa cells, with an emphasis on clinical data. Additionally, we will summarize current advances in immune checkpoint-based therapies and provide perspectives on their potential applicability.

2. EIT and PCa

Although the immune system plays an invaluable role in the elimination of cancer cells, there is mounting evidence that epithelial cancers avoid immune destruction by expressing certain immune genes and products (e.g., cytokines and immune-inhibitory molecules) not physiologically-expressed by the originating normal tissues. On the basis of these observations, we propose that the immunosuppressive activity of epithelial cancers may arise from acquired immunosuppressive characteristics via a transdifferentiation process we term EIT. These properties could enable cross-talk between cancer and immune cells, thereby facilitating evasion of immune surveillance and co-optation of immune mechanisms to promote tumor growth.

Recent reports have confirmed that PCa cells are able to use various mechanisms in order to evade the immune system (Fig. 1). Unsurprisingly, the presence of multiple immunosuppressive cell types within the PCa microenvironment has been found to be associated with a poor prognosis. In particular, an increased number of regulatory T cells (the archetypal immunosuppressive cell type), have been found within the peripheral blood of PCa patients \[6\-8\]. Furthermore, M2 macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) also appear to be significant contributors in maintaining the immunosuppressive microenvironment, with multiple reports associating increased numbers with poor prognosis in PCa patients \[9\-12\]. Conversely, the abundance of cytotoxic natural killer (NK) cells within the PCa tumor could potentially confer a protective effect \[13\].

Mechanistically, several well-known immunosuppressive molecules have been implicated in the inhibition of cytotoxic cell functions within the PCa microenvironment. For example, the cytokine milieu of the prostate tumor was found to contain high levels of TGF-\(\beta\), and in conjunction with decreased expression of activating receptors (NKp46 and NKG2D) and increased expression of the inhibitory receptor ILT2 on NK cell surfaces, suppress the cytotoxic immune response against PCa cells \[14\]. Furthermore, high levels of the NKG2D ligand have been found on the surfaces of PCa-derived exosomes, resulting in the downregulation of NKG2D on NK and CD8\(^+\) T cells, thus inhibiting their activation \[15\]. Additionally, the upregulation of CSF1 and IL1\(\beta\) in Pten-null prostatic epithelium have been shown to facilitate the immunosuppressive effects of MDSCs, inhibiting T cell proliferation via high levels of arginase-1 and iNOS expression \[16\].

Standard therapies used for the treatment of PCa have also been found to induce immune suppression. In particular, upregulation of CSF1 following radiotherapy results in an increased MDSC population in PCa patients. When a selective inhibitor of CSF1R was administered in conjunction with radiation, the treatment suppresses tumor growth more effectively than with irradiation alone. This indicates that an alleviation of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment can enhance anticancer therapeutic effects \[17\]. Additionally, androgen deprivation therapy, the current first-line treatment for metastatic PCa, has been shown to mediate immune suppression via impairment of initial T cell activation priming and IFN-\(\gamma\) production \[18\]. Furthermore, although there have been reports suggesting that concurrent immune therapy and castration could initially enhance the number and function of cytotoxic CD8\(^+\) T cells, the synergy is short lived and ultimately offset by a parallel expansion of regulatory T cells \[19\].

Finally, the increased expression of immune checkpoint molecules has also been observed in PCa, thus indicating an important immune-suppressive mechanism underlying PCa development. Below, we will focus on the details of expression, function, and clinical applications of these immune checkpoint molecules in PCa.

3. Immune checkpoint molecules and PCa — expression and function

3.1. PD-1/PD-L1

First described by Ishida et al. in 1992 \[20\], programmed death 1 (PD-1) is a transmembrane glycoprotein and T cell co-inhibitory receptor expressed on T cells, B cells, NK cells, dendritic cells (DCs), and activated monocytes \[21\].
As important mediators of immune suppression, PD-1 and its ligand PD-L1 are part of co-inhibitory pathways that serve to maintain peripheral tolerance by restricting the lytic activity of immune cells [22]. The immediate consequences of PD-1 stimulation are the inhibition of cytokine secretion and immune cell proliferation [23].

PD-1/PD-L1 expression within cancer cells and the surrounding microenvironment is observed to be a dynamic process. Various immune checkpoint molecules, such as PD-1, are up-regulated as T cells enter the tumor. This induces the production of IFN-γ, which results in the up-regulation of PD-L1 [24]. High levels of PD-1 expression have been demonstrated in both CD8⁺ prostate-infiltrating T-lymphocytes and tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells [25,26].

Normally, PD-L1 expression is restricted to monocytes in peripheral blood and macrophages in the lungs, liver, and tonsils [27]. However, PD-L1 has been found to be highly expressed in certain epithelial cancer cells and is correlated with negative clinical outcomes, supporting the EIT hypothesis [28]. Regarding PD-L1 expression on PCa cells, the evidence remains mixed. Several studies have showed negative staining of PD-L1 in mCRPC samples using immunohistochemistry [29,30]. Martin et al. [31] also reported that PD-L1 is rarely expressed in primary PCas and independent of PTEN loss. On the contrary, within a cohort of 16 mCRPC patients, 50% of patients showed PD-L1 expression on PCa cells (with 19% considered high-expressing) while 56% of patients had tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes expressing PD-1 (with 19% considered high-expressing) [32]. Finally, Gevensleben et al. [33] recently evaluated PD-L1 expression using a new anti-PD-L1 antibody in two independent cohorts including 873 primary radical prostatectomy specimens (derived from treatment-naive patients) and demonstrated an increased level of PD-L1 expression (52.2% and 61.7% in the respective cohorts). This study also demonstrated that PD-L1 is an independent prognosticator of patients’ disease free survival.

### 3.2. B7-H3

The B7 family is comprised of proteins that interact with receptors to modulate T-lymphocyte activity. Although several members of the B7 family (including PD-L1, otherwise known as B7-H1) have been well characterized, the mechanisms of other B7 ligands (with regards to their immune-stimulatory or immune-suppressive role) are still not well understood [34].

First described in 2001 by Chapoval et al. [35], B7-H3 is a type I transmembrane protein that has inducible expression on several types of immune cells, such as T cells, dendritic cells, and macrophages.
cells, and monocytes. In human tissue, B7-H3 exhibits varying levels of expression in the heart, liver, and prostate. Although the precise receptor(s) have yet to be identified, B7-H3 is thought to have different immune-modulatory capabilities (stimulatory or inhibitory) depending on the receptor. B7-H3 is able to exert immune stimulatory functions by promoting T cell proliferation and expression of IFN-γ, while it is able to suppress immune responses by suppressing cytokine production, type I T-helper (Th1) cell responses, and NK cell-mediated cytolysis [34,36,37].

Numerous studies have found strong IHC staining of B7-H3 in PCa samples (including adenocarcinomas, high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasias, and PCa cell lines) [38–41]. From 823 prostatectomy samples, 93% were found to have high levels of B7-H3 expression. These elevated levels of B7-H3 were correlated with metastases in addition to a higher risk of recurrence and death. Similarly, other studies have shown that B7-H3 expression on PCa cell surfaces correlate with aggressive histopathological features (such as higher Gleason scores, increased tumor volumes, extra-prostatic extensions, and infiltration of seminal vesicles) [42], proliferation markers [42], increased risk of tumor recurrence and progression [40,42,43], and poor outcomes [40–43]. Finally, B7-H3 expression has also been found in samples of bone metastases and hormone-resistant PCa [44].

### 3.3. B7-H4

B7-H4 is a type I transmembrane protein and is predominantly expressed on activated T cells, B cells, dendritic cells, and monocytes. B7-H4 exerts several co-inhibitory functions, such as inhibition of T cell proliferation and cytokine production in CD4+ and CD8+ T cells [45–48]. Although its receptor(s) are yet to be determined, over-expression of B7-H4 has been implicated in cancer cell growth. Tumor expression of B7-H4 has been associated with aggressive cancer features (increased neo-angiogenesis, high tumor burden, and advanced tumor stage) and poor clinical outcomes [48–50]. Notably, in both PCa and renal cell carcinoma, robust expression of B7-H4 has been related to metastatic disease, disease recurrence, and a higher risk of death due to cancer [38,51]. A B7-H4 immunohistochemical analysis conducted by Qian et al. found diffusely positive cytoplasm and/or membrane staining in PCa samples to be correlated with higher tumor grades [52].

### 3.4. TIM-3

Monney et al. [53] first identified T cell immunoglobulin mucin-3 (TIM-3) in 2002 as a negative immunomodulatory molecule. TIM-3 acts in conjunction with its ligand, galectin-9 (commonly up-regulated in various types of cancers) [54], to negatively-regulate Th1 cell immunity, induce peripheral tolerance, and cause the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells [55]. Physiologically, Th1 cells and innate immune cells (e.g., dendritic cells) express TIM-3 [56]. However, recent murine studies have found co-expression of TIM-3 with PD-1 on tumor-specific CD8+ T cells, which were able to significantly inhibit effector cytokine secretion [54].

TIM-3 also supports the progression of cancer by contributing towards the immunosuppressive microenvironment via induction of T cell exhaustion [57–59]. Piao et al. [60] recently reported increased expression of TIM-3 on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells within peripheral blood and PCa tissue specimens, with a strong correlation to characteristics associated with advanced disease stage (metastatic spread, prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels, and Gleason score). A comparison of benign and cancer tissues from 137 patients with treatment-naïve PCa found an up-regulation of TIM-3 in samples with prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and invasive carcinomas [61].

### 3.5. LAG3

LAG3 (lymphocyte-activation gene 3) is an inhibitory receptor with a dual function [62]. LAG3 binds to HLA class II molecules to inhibit T cell functions, particularly those of CD8+ T cells. Additionally, LAG3 can strengthen the immuno-inhibitory functions of T-regulatory cells. Elevated expression of LAG3 has been found in tumor-infiltrating antigen-presenting cells and macrophages. Further, LAG3 has been found to be upregulated in tumor cells [62]. However, this molecule remains under-evaluated with regards to PCa.

### 3.6. CTLA-4

Considered a prototypical immune checkpoint, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) is a co-inhibitory receptor that restricts early-stage T cell activation [63,64]. Structurally homologous to CD28, CTLA-4 has markedly higher affinity and avidity for the same ligands (B7-1 and B7-2) as CD28 (the main receptor needed for T cell activation). Thus, binding of CTLA-4 effectively hijacks the mechanisms that promote IL-2 mRNA production and cell cycle progression [63–65].

Although CD28 is expressed by both naïve and activated T cells, CTLA-4 is expressed by activated CD8+ effector T-cells and regulatory T cells (where interactions serve to enhance regulatory T cell immunosuppressive activity) [66]. However, unlike the previously described immune checkpoint molecules, CTLA-4 is expressed exclusively by T cells.

### 4. Targeting immune checkpoint molecules in PCa

#### 4.1. PD-1/PD-L1 blockade

Although PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapies have shown promising results in various types of cancers, the effect of these therapies in PCa remains ambiguous. In a large phase I trial for testing the safety and efficacy of nivolumab (an anti-PD1 antibody) among 296 patients with advanced solid tumors, no objective responses to single-agent PD-1 blockade were reported for any of the 17 PCa patients. This lackluster response of PCa to PD-1 blockade may be attributed to the phenotype of prostate-infiltrating lymphocytes, which are generally refractory to stimulation.
checkpoint molecules. As such, CTLA-4 blockade therapies remains one of the most well-characterized immune responses.

Even though CTLA-4 is expressed exclusively by T cells, it plays a crucial role in controlling the immune response. The success of immune checkpoint blockade as a monotherapy likely requires a pre-existing antitumor immune response in the patient. Some studies have shown various responses in patients with advanced solid tumors, including PCa (NCT02458638). Furthermore, a number of phase I trials are also initiated for anti-PD-L1 drugs (avelumab, nivolumab/MDX-1106) alone or in combination with other therapies (MEDI4736 and olaparib/cediranib) in multiple tumor types, including PCa (NCT01772004, NCT00730639, NCT02484404).

With regards to PD-1 blockade, pembrolizumab (an anti-PD-1 antibody) is currently under evaluation as a single agent for mCRPC patients previously-treated with enzalutamide (NCT02312557). Concurrently, several other clinical trials are assessing pembrolizumab in combination with other therapies. These include pembrolizumab in conjunction with cryosurgery (for treatment of patients with newly diagnosed, oligo-metastatic PCa [NCT02489357]), in combination with pTVG-HP plasmid DNA vaccine (in mCRPC patients [NCT02498835]), and in combination with ADXS31-142 (a Listeria monocytogenes/PSA [Lm-LL0-PSA] vaccine) in pre-treated mCRPC patients (NCT02325557).

Finally, the effect of anti-PD-1 therapy in combination with other immunotherapies, specifically within PCa, is also under evaluation. A phase II trial of nivolumab and ipilimumab in AR-V7 positive mCRPC patients is assessing the efficacy of concurrent PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade (NCT02601014). Additionally, another phase II trial is assessing the effect of CT-011 (an anti-PD-1 antibody) in combination with sipuleucel-T and cyclophosphamide in advanced mCRPC patients (NCT01420965).

4.2. B7-H3 blockade

In a phase I dose-escalation study of enoblituzumab (MGA271, an antibody targeting B7-H3) monotherapy, anti-tumor activity was observed for several tumor types, including patients with PCa, bladder cancer, and melanoma [68]. Two phase I trials are ongoing in order to evaluate the safety of enoblituzumab in combination with Keytruda (pembrolizumab) and Yervoy (ipilimumab), respectively, in patients with B7-H3-expressing cancers (NCT02475213, NCT02381314).

4.3. CTLA-4 blockade

Even though CTLA-4 is expressed exclusively by T cells, it remains one of the most well-characterized immune checkpoint molecules. As such, CTLA-4 blockade therapies are of particular significance. Notably, ipilimumab was the first anti-immune checkpoint agent approved by the FDA to treat cancer. It produced significant improvements in the overall survival of metastatic melanoma patients [69,70]. Furthermore, a number of phase I and phase II trials have evaluated the effect of ipilimumab (as a single agent or in combination therapy) in mCRPC patients, and have demonstrated some benefits associated with its clinical use [71–74]. More recently, a phase III trial assessed the efficacy of ipilimumab treatment following radiotherapy in patients with mCRPC arising from docetaxel chemotherapy. Ipilimumab was reported to significantly improve progression-free survival and PSA response. Furthermore, two other studies (phase III and phase II) have recently been completed, the results of which are expected in 2016. The first is a large, randomized phase III trial which examined the efficacy of ipilimumab in mCRPC patients who have yet to undergo chemotherapy (NCT01057810). The latter is a neoadjuvant phase II trial, which tested ipilimumab in combination with Lupron, in patients who have yet to undergo radical prostatectomy (NCT01194271). Finally, other combinatorial therapies of ipilimumab with other FDA-approved agents are also under investigation. These include two ongoing phase I/II trials evaluating the impact of ipilimumab in combination with sipuleucel-T (NCT01804465), and in combination with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone (NCT01688492), in patients with PCa.

4.4. Other checkpoint molecules (i.e., TIM-3, LAG3 blockade)

The development of TIM-3 and LAG3 blockade therapy is in its early stages. A phase I, first-in-human study of MBG453 (a TIM-3 inhibitor) has been designed to characterize the safety, tolerability, and anti-tumor activity of MBG453, as a single agent or in combination with PDR001, in patients with advanced solid tumors, including PCa. Additionally, another phase I trial is ongoing to assess the safety and tolerability of BMS-986016 (an anti-LAG3 monoclonal antibody), alone and in combination with nivolumab, in subjects with select advanced (metastatic and/or unresectable) solid tumors.

5. Conclusions and further directions

There is an increasing body of evidence that supports the hypothesis that an EIT-mediated immune-suppressive microenvironment is a critical mechanism underlying the development and progression/metastasis of PCa. Selective targeting of this acquired immune-like phenotype, in particular through certain aberrantly-expressed immune checkpoint molecules, could likely lead to effective therapeutic approaches. Although the blockade of CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1 have already demonstrated significant efficacy in various types of cancers, ongoing clinical trials will clarify its applicability towards PCa. Novel therapies, targeting other immune checkpoint molecules, are currently under evaluation in patients with various stages of PCa.

The success of immune checkpoint blockade as a monotherapy likely requires a pre-existing antitumor immune response in the patient. Some studies have shown various
cancer therapies to be capable of inciting or amplifying antitumor immunity. In view of this, the coupling of therapies with immune therapy may enhance its overall efficacy, on the basis of both inducing de novo antitumor immune responses and alleviating the immune suppressive environment through immune checkpoint blockade therapy. In the future, further clinical trials evaluating combinatorial immune therapy or simultaneous blockade of multiple immune checkpoint molecules, or immunotherapies in combination with other therapies (such as androgen ablation therapy, chemotherapy and radiotherapy), will demonstrate potential synergistic effects. Although this review has outlined a selection of well-documented immune checkpoint molecules, immune checkpoints are still a component of the overarching immune-suppressive mechanism. Therefore, further investigations are necessary in order to clarify the full scope of an EIT-mediated immune-suppressive phenotype, with regards to the development of malignancies derived from prostate and other epithelial origins. As such, an improved understanding of the mechanisms underlying immune-suppression and cancer development could provide valuable knowledge with which to inform the discovery of additional therapeutic targets and agents.
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