New magic number for neutron rich Sn isotopes
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The variation of $E(2^+_1)$ of $^{134–140}$Sn calculated with empirical SMPN interaction has striking similarity with that of experimental $E(2^+_1)$ of even-even $^{18–22}$O and $^{42–46}$Ca, showing clearly that $N\geq84$ spectra exhibit the effect of gradual filling up of $\nu(2f_{7/2})$ orbital which finally culminates in a new shell closure at $N=90$. Realistic two-body interaction CWG does not show this feature. Spin-tensor decompostion of SMPN and CWG interactions and variation of their components with valence neutron number reveals that the origin of the shell closure at $^{140}$Sn lies in the three body effects. Calculations with CWG3, which is obtained by including a simple three-body monopole term in the CWG interaction, predict decreasing $E(2^+_1)$ for $^{134–138}$Sn and a shell closure at $^{140}$Sn.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Cs,21.30.Fe,23.20.Lv,27.60.+j

The evolution of shell structure away from stability has been a topic of intense theoretical and experimental studies since last decade. Theoretical studies have identified different reasons for the phenomenon of shell evolution with neutron or proton excess. Among the various components of the nucleon - nucleus interaction, the spin-orbit, tensor part and three - body effect play important roles in the shell evolutions. Due to tensor interactions, nuclear mean field undergoes variations with neutron excess. This leads to monopole migration. It is observed for both proton-rich as well as neutron-rich nuclei. While approaching the neutron drip line, the neutron density becomes very diffused which can also lead to shell quenching. For exotic light nuclei the well established magic numbers for the stable nuclei are found to be modified or new magic numbers have evolved. At least four doubly magic oxygen isotopes have been observed. They are $^{14}$O, $^{16}$O, $^{22}$O and $^{24}$O. Brown and Richter have framed a generalised new rule for magic numbers, valid specially for lighter nuclei. For heavier nuclei, experimental production of neutron rich isotopes are more difficult. There are severe limitations in acquiring spectroscopic information on them due to their low production rates and lifetimes. However, the shell evolution for neutron - rich nuclei above the doubly magic $^{132}$Sn core is recently a topic of great interest. The Sn isotopes in particular, pose many interesting problems in the study of evolution of nuclear structure with increasing neutron number. The near constancy of the first $2^+$ energy of Sn isotopes for $A=102$ to 130 at $\simeq 1.2$ MeV is a textbook example for seniority conserved spectra. But the two valence neutron isotope of Sn just above $^{132}$Sn, i.e., $^{134}$Sn, shows a sudden depression in $2^+_1$ energy to 726 keV. This depressed energy is not only interesting from the point of view of nuclear structure, it should also have an important implication for the r-process scenario.

Large basis untruncated shell model (SM) calculations have been done in the valence space consisting of $\pi(1g_{7/2},2d_{5/2},2d_{3/2},3s_{1/2},1h_{11/2})$ and $\nu(1h_{9/2},2f_{7/2},2f_{5/2},3p_{3/2},3p_{1/2},1i_{13/2})$ orbitals above the $^{132}$Sn core using both realistic CWG and empirical SMPN (1+2) - body Hamiltonians. The Hamiltonians have the same set of single-particle energies of the valence orbitals but different sets of two-body interaction matrix elements (tbmes). It should be noted that the neutron-neutron part of the CWG tbmes is the same as that used by Kartanyshev et al. The shell model codes OXBASH and NUSHELL@MSU have been used. The two theoretical results differ dramatically for $^{136,138}$Sn. The realistic interaction CWG predicts nearly constant energies of $2^+_1$ states for the even-even Sn isotopes above the doubly magic $^{132}$Sn core, normally expected for singly-magic nuclei. On the other hand, the empirical interaction SMPN predicts decreasing $E(2^+_1)$ energies with increasing neutron number. The calculated energies with SMPN fit in the systematics for $E(2^+_1)$ energies of isotopes of Sn and Te isotopes having same neutron number. They also agree with the trend shown by the Casten - Sherrill systematics for $E(2^+_1)$ energy differences of Sn and Te isotopes having same neutron number. It has been shown in Ref. that this non-constancy of $E(2^+_1)$ in Sn isotopes above $^{132}$Sn is a strong possibility. The prediction for dramatic decrease of the $E(2^+_1)$ of neutron-rich Sn with increasing neutron number for $N=84-88$ using SMPN interaction was considered to be an effect showing weakening of the $Z=50$ shell gap. But the new result, which we report in this letter, on $^{140}$Sn, its high $2^+_1$ energy and its comparison with examples from other neutron - rich domains clearly show that $N=84-88$ spectra with SMPN show the effect of gradual filling up of $\nu(2f_{7/2})$ orbital which finally culminates in a new shell closure at $N=90$. We show that the realistic CWG predicts similar results, that is decreasing $2^+_1$ energies and a shell closure at $^{140}$Sn if three body effects are included in it.

The results for the $E(2^+_1)$ energies of isotopes of Sn for $A=134-140$ have been shown in Fig.1 as a function of
of valence neutrons above $^{132}\text{Sn}$ core. The experimental energies for $^{132,134}\text{Sn}$ are shown in the figure. The predicted energies using CWG and SMPN interactions are compared. With CWG interaction, as mentioned above, the $0^+_1-2^+_1$ spacing remains nearly constant at around 750 keV for $^{136-142}\text{Sn}$, except for a small increase at $^{140}\text{Sn}$ due to the filling of the $2f_{7/2}$ single-particle orbit. It has been identified to be a weak shell closure at $^{140}\text{Sn}$. The seniority preserved character of the low lying spectra observed for Sn isotopes below $^{132}\text{Sn}$ is also preserved for isotopes above $N=82$ shell closure. The nearly constant $E(2^+_1)$ value at around 1.2 MeV for $52 \leq N \leq 80$ reduces to around 750 keV above $N=82$. The same figure also contains variation of the experimental $E(2^+_1)$ energies of even $\pi\text{O}$ isotopes from $A=40$ to 48 as a function of valence neutrons above $^{40}\text{Ca}$ core. Similarly, the variation of the experimental $E(2^+_1)$ energies of even $\beta\text{O}$ isotopes from $A=16$ to 24 as a function of valence neutron numbers above $^{40}\text{O}$ core are also shown. The variations of experimental $E(2^+_1)$ with the valence neutron number for two different mass regions and shells, show striking similarity with the theoretical predictions with SMPN in the $^{132}\text{Sn}$ region. The gradual filling of the $\nu(2f_{7/2})$ orbital by neutrons is very distinctly shown by the variation of $E(2^+_1)$ from $^{134-140}\text{Sn}$. The $E(2^+_1)$ for $^{140}\text{Sn}$ is 1949 keV showing a sudden increase for $N=90$, indicating a closed shell structure for $^{140}\text{Sn}$. The trend is very similar to that observed for neutron - rich isotopes of Ca while filling up the $\nu(1f_{7/2})$ orbital and that shown by neutron - rich oxygen isotopes while filling up the $\nu(1d_{5/2})$ single particle orbital (Fig.1).

In order to put forward further evidence and to understand the shell closure at $^{140}\text{Sn}$ more precisely, the effective single-particle energies (ESPE) for the neutron orbitals for the two Hamiltonians have been compared. The ESPE is defined as bare single particle energy (spe) added with the monopole part of the diagonal two body matrix elements (tbme). The bare spe is originated from the interaction of a valence nucleon with the doubly closed core. The monopole interaction contribution is the $(2J+1)$ weighted average of the diagonal tbme, which arises from the interaction of a valence nucleon with the other valence nucleons. The ESPE for the configurations $\nu(2f_{7/2})^n$ in $^{132-140}\text{Sn}$ with valence neutron number $n$ varying from 0 to 8 are shown in Fig. 2. For both SMPN and CWG, the energy gap between $\nu(2f_{7/2})$ and $\nu(3p_{3/2})$ single particle orbitals is 854 keV for $^{132}\text{Sn}$ core. But the gap between the corresponding ESPEs increases to 2.246 MeV at $N=90$ with SMPN. This gap is sufficient to make $^{140}\text{Sn}$ a doubly-magic nucleus. For CWG this gap does not show any increase but instead decreases slightly to 826 keV. However shell closure at $N=90$ with SMPN does not contradict the experimentally observed fact that it is suitable for onset of deformation for nuclei above $^{132}\text{Sn}$ with $Z \geq 54$, like Xe, Ba etc. [7,19,20]. Fig. 3 shows that the proton ESPEs for SMPN favours the onset of collectivity at $N=90$ for $Z \geq 54$. This is evidenced by the substantial reduction of the $\pi(1g_{7/2})$ and $\pi(2d_{5/2})$ energy gap with $\nu(2f_{7/2})^8$.

To analyse the origin of this new shell closure, the important physical aspects of both the residual interactions are extracted by a spin-tensor decomposition of the two body matrix elements (tbmes). The nomenclature for the separated interaction components has been adopted from Ref. [22]. They are central, antisymmetric spin-orbit (ALS), spin-orbit (LS) and tensor. Fig.4 shows this decomposition. For SMPN, the central and ALS part for $2f_{7/2}$-$2f_{7/2}$ tbmes account for majority of the downward shift of the ESPE of $2f_{7/2}$ with increasing valence neutron number ($n$). The tbmes involving $3p_{3/2}$ are not modified in SMPN. They have dominant contribution from the central part. The central parts of $2f_{7/2}$ and $3p_{3/2}$ vary with similar slopes for increase in $n$. So the variation in ALS part is primarily responsible for this observed shell gap at $N=90$. 

![FIG. 1: Comparison of $E(2^+_1)$ energies as function of valence neutron number for oxygen(O), calcium (Ca) and Sn isotopes.](image1)

![FIG. 2: The ESPEs of the neutron single particle orbitals for CWG and SMPN with increasing valence neutron number for $Z=50$.](image2)
Even though the neutron-neutron tbes involving $3p_{3/2}$ orbital cannot be adjusted at present due to lack of data, it can be safely assumed that the ESPE of this orbital will not have a steeper down-sloping trend than that of $2f_{7/2}$ closing the presently observed $2f_{7/2}$ - $3p_{3/2}$ gap at N=90. It has been found for Ca isotopes that the monopole contribution of $f_{7/2}$ - $p_{3/2}$ is positive in contrast to the negative values of $f_{7/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ terms [1].

The ALS component in the tbes corresponds to those LS-coupled matrix elements which have $S\neq S'$, i.e., terms non-diagonal in S (spin). Thus these terms do not conserve total spin of the matrix elements [23, 24]. But the interactions which are parity conserving and isospin conserving must also conserve the total spin. Bare nucleon-nucleon force contains no ALS term [21, 23, 24]. But effective interaction is not simply related to bare nucleon-nucleon force. Core polarisation corrections to the G-matrix give rise to non-zero but small ALS matrix elements. A characteristic feature common to many empirical effective interactions is strong ALS components in the tbes [23]. It usually arises from inadequate constraint by the data. It indicates the important contributions from higher order renormalisation or many body forces to the effective interactions. In empirical SMPN such many-body effects might have been included in some way through the modification of important tbes.

At this point it is important to note that SM calculations using two-body realistic interactions derived from the free nucleon-nucleon force fail to reproduce some shell closures [1]. It is now rather well established that increase of the $1d_{5/2}$ - $2s_{1/2}$ gap for Z=8 and $1f_{7/2}$ - $2p_{3/2}$ gap for Z=20 (as a function of neutron number), required to explain empirical data are not obtained in the calculations with these interactions. It has been shown that the three-body forces have to be taken into account to reproduce these shell gaps [1, 23, 27]. Thus many of the previously observed discrepancies are now solved. Otsuka et al. [27] have proposed a three-body delta-hole mechanism to explain these shell gaps and they have shown that three-body forces are necessary to explain why the doubly-magic $^{24}$O nucleus is the heaviest oxygen isotope. Zuker [26] showed earlier that a very simple three-body monopole term can solve practically all the spectroscopic problems in the $p$, sd, and pf shells those were hitherto assumed to need drastic revisions of the realistic two-body potentials.

As a next step therefore, in this attempt of analysing the new shell gap, we have incorporated a simple three-body monopole term in CWG as prescribed in Ref. 25, 26. We have incorporated corrections in $2f_{7/2}$ - $2f_{7/2}$ and $2f_{7/2}$ - $3p_{3/2}$ tbes similar to those in KB3 for $1f_{7/2}$ - $1f_{7/2}$ and $1f_{7/2}$ - $2p_{3/2}$ tbes. The correction terms included in the tbes are $V_{fff}^{J=T=1}$ (CWG) = $V_{fff}^{J=T=1}$ (CWG) - $110$ keV, for J=0, 4 and 6; $V_{fff}^{J=2,T=1}$ (CWG) = $V_{fff}^{J=2,T=1}$ (CWG) - $310$ keV and $V_{fff}^{J=1}$ (CWG) = $V_{fff}^{J=1}$ (CWG) + $300$ keV for J=2, 3, 4 and 5. Here $f$ stands for $2f_{7/2}$ and $r$ stands for $3p_{3/2}$. The effective single particle energies after this correction are plotted in Fig. 5. A stronger $2f_{7/2}$ - $3p_{3/2}$ shell gap now appears with CWG3 compared to that with SMPN.

With this new interaction, CWG3, the binding energy of $^{134}$Sn and $E(2^+_1)$ energies of $^{134-140}$Sn are -6.307, 0.627, 0.562, 0.521 and 2.532 MeV, respectively. This trend is very similar to that obtained with SMPN, with an indication of a stronger shell gap at N=90. Comparison of the wave functions [28] of the $0^+_1$, $2^+_1$, and $2^+_s$ states with CWG and SMPN showed that whereas CWG favours large configuration mixing conserving seniority as far as possible, the SMPN on the other hand favours purer structure of the low-lying states, characteristics of $\nu(2f_{7/2})^b$ and $\nu(2f_{7/2})^2(3p_{3/2})$ multiplets. For CWG3,
FIG. 5: The neutron ESPEs for CWG3 with increasing valence neutron number. (see text for details).

The wave function composition for the $0^+_{g.s}$ is (81.3\%) from the $\nu(2f_{7/2})^8$ partition, similar to SMPN. But due to overestimation of the up-sloping trend of $\nu(3p_{3/2})$ ESPE (Fig.4), for $2^+_1$ state, 38.7\% originates from the $\nu(2f_{7/2})^6(1h_{9/2})^2$ and 12\% from $\nu(2f_{7/2})^6(2f_{5/2})^2$.

In conclusion we find that comparison with the systematics of $2^+_1$ energies of other n-rich domains and the spin-tensor decomposition of the two interactions establish the new shell closure at $^{140}$Sn. The ALS term incorporates in it the contributions of many body forces in the empirical interaction. A large contribution of this term in the ESPE of $2f_{7/2}$ in empirical interaction SMPN has been observed. It is found to be responsible for the gap observed in SMPN results. The CWG indicates a weak shell closure at $^{140}$Sn. A simple three-body monopole term has been included in CWG to get CWG3. The new CWG3 predictions showed good agreement with that from SMPN, indicating a stronger shell gap at N=90 for Sn isotopes as well as decreasing $2^+_1$ energies for $^{134-138}$Sn. This also shows, similar to that in sd and fp shells, three body effect plays an important role for shell evolution in neutron rich Sn isotopes above $^{132}$Sn. The anomalously depressed $2^+_1$ states in Sn isotopes having N=84-88, and the new magic number for N=90, might have interesting consequences for the r-process nucleosynthesis.
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