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Abstract Let $G$ be a simple, simply connected algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$. Let $\sigma : G \to G$ be a strict endomorphism (i.e., the subgroup $G(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$-fixed points is finite). Also, let $G_{\sigma}$ be the scheme-theoretic kernel of $\sigma$, an infinitesimal subgroup of $G$. This paper shows that the dimension of the degree $m$ cohomology group $H^m(G(\sigma), L)$ for any irreducible $kG(\sigma)$-module $L$ is bounded by a constant depending on the root system $\Phi$ of $G$ and the integer $m$. These bounds are...
actually established for the degree m extension groups $\text{Ext}_{G(\sigma)}^m(L, L')$ between irreducible $kG(\sigma)$-modules $L, L'$, with a similar result holding for $G_\sigma$. In these $\text{Ext}^m$ results, the bounds also depend on the highest weight associated to $L$, but are, nevertheless, independent of the characteristic $p$.

We also show that one can find bounds independent of the prime for the Cartan invariants of $G(\sigma)$ and $G_\sigma$, and even for the lengths of the underlying PIMs.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Let $H$ be a finite group and let $V$ be a faithful, absolutely irreducible $H$-module over a field $k$ of positive characteristic. It has long been empirically observed that the dimensions of the 1-cohomology groups $H^1(H, V)$ are small. Formulating appropriate statements to capture this intuition and explain this phenomenon has formed a theme in group theory for the past thirty years. Initially, most of the work revolved around finding bounds for $\dim H^1(H, V)$ in terms of $\dim V$ and can be ascribed to Guralnick and his collaborators. One result in this direction occurs in [14]: if $H$ is quasi-simple, then

$$\dim H^1(H, V) \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim V. \tag{1.1.1}$$

However, for large values of $\dim V$, this bound had been expected to be vastly excessive—to such a degree that in the same paper, the authors go so far as to conjecture a universal bound on $\dim H^1(H, V)$ is 2 ([14, Conjecture 2]). Guralnick had earlier [12] conjectured some universal bound (possibly 2). While the existence of 3-dimensional spaces $H^1(H, V)$ were found by Scott [27], the original question of Guralnick remained plausible until 2012, as did the analogous question [15, Question 12.1] of finding numbers $d_m > 0$ bounding $\dim H^m(H, V)$ when $H$ is required to be a finite simple group. Then calculations of Luebeck, partly inspired and confirmed by Scott’s student Sprowl, found dimensions in the hundreds for various $H$ of Lie rank $\leq 6$. See [13]. The paper [28] gives dimensions 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 16, 469, 36672 for types $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_7, A_8$ and selected irreducible modules $V$. These empirical values make an absolute constant bound on $H^1(H, V)$ unlikely.

Nevertheless, even prior to these calculations, it had been proved that Guralnick’s conjecture was correct, if only groups of a fixed Lie rank were considered. The first progress in this direction was made by Cline, Parshall, and Scott in the theorem stated below. If $G$ is a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $k$ of positive characteristic, we call a surjective endomorphism $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ strict provided the group $G(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$-fixed points is finite. These endomorphisms was studied extensively by Steinberg [31], though he did not give them a name.

**Theorem 1.1.1** ([10, Thm. 7.10]) Let $\Phi$ be a finite irreducible root system. There is a constant $C(\Phi)$ depending only on $\Phi$ with the following property. If $G$ is any simple, simply
connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of positive characteristic with root system \( \Phi \), and if \( \sigma : G \to G \) is a strict endomorphism, then
\[
\dim H^1(G(\sigma), L) \leq C(\Phi),
\]
for all irreducible \( kG(\sigma) \)-modules \( L \).

Remark 1.1.2 (a) In this paper, we only consider the cases in which \( G \) is a simple, simply connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of positive characteristic \( p \) and \( \sigma \) is a strict endomorphism. The passage to semisimple groups is routine and omitted.

(b) It is easy to bound the cohomology (in the defining characteristic) of a simple finite group \( H \) of Lie type in terms of the bounds on the dimension of the cohomology of a group \( G(\sigma) \) in which \( H \) appears as a section. Thus, by Theorem 1.1.1, the numbers \( \dim H^1(H, V) \) are universally bounded for all finite groups \( H \) of Lie type of a fixed Lie rank and all irreducible \( kH \)-modules \( V \) in the defining characteristic. For a precise definition of a “finite group of Lie type,” see [11, Ch. 2]. More generally, if \( H_2 \) is a normal subgroup of a finite group \( H_1 \), and \( H_3 := H_2/N \) for \( N \subseteq H_2 \) of order prime to \( p \), then, for any fixed \( n \) and irreducible \( kH_3 \)-module \( L \), \( \dim H^n(H_3, L) \) is bounded by a function of the index \([H_1 : H_2]\), and the maximum of all \( \dim H^n(H_1, L') \) where \( L' \) ranges over \( kH_1 \)-modules and \( m \leq n \). A similar statement holds for \( Ext^n \) for irreducible modules. Taking \( H_3 = H \) and \( H_1 = G(\sigma) \), questions of cohomology or \( Ext \)-bounds for \( H \) can be reduced to corresponding bounds for \( G(\sigma) \). The argument involves induction from \( H_2 \) to \( H_3 \), and standard Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence methods. Further details are left to the reader. In the \( Ext^n \) case, one may be equally interested in the groups \( \text{Ext}^n_h(L, \tilde{L}') \) where \( \tilde{H} \) is a covering group of the finite simple group \( H \) of Lie type and \( \tilde{L}, \tilde{L}' \) are irreducible defining characteristic modules for \( \tilde{H} \). In all but finitely many cases, see [11, Ch. 6], \( \tilde{H} \) is a homomorphic image of \( G(\sigma) \) with kernel central and of order prime to \( p \), so the discussion above applies as well in this case to bound \( \dim \text{Ext}^n_h(L, \tilde{L}') \), using corresponding bounds for \( G(\sigma) \).

(c) In the case of representations in non-defining characteristics, Guralnick and Tiep in [17, Thm. 1.1] proved that there is a bound, depending only on the rank, for \( \dim H^1(H, V) \) for irreducible modules over an algebraically closed field \( k \). Thus, combining this result with Theorem 1.1.1, there is a constant \( C_r \) depending only on the Lie rank \( r \) such that for a finite simple group \( H \) of Lie type of Lie rank \( r \), \( \dim H^1(H, V) \leq C_r \), for all irreducible \( H \)-modules \( V \) over any algebraically closed field (of arbitrary characteristic). It is worth noting that this bound \( C_r \) affords an improvement on the bound in display (1.1.1) almost all the time, insofar as it is an improvement for all modules \( V \) whose dimensions are bigger than \( 2C_r \)—becoming a “vast improvement” as \( \dim V \to \infty \). For some specific values of \( C_r \), see [17] and [22].

In later work [23, Cor. 5.3], Parshall and Scott proved a stronger result than Theorem 1.1.1. It states that, under the same assumptions, there exists a constant \( C' = C'(\Phi) \) bounding the dimension of \( \text{Ext}^1_{G(\sigma)}(L, L') \) for all irreducible \( kG(\sigma) \)-modules (in the defining characteristic). The proofs of both this \( \text{Ext}^1 \)-result and the above \( H^1 \)-result proceed along the similar general lines of finding bounds for the dimension of \( \text{Ext}^1_{G}(L, L') \). In the argument one also applies the result [5, Thm. 5.5] of Bendel, Nakano, and Pillen to relate \( G \)-cohomology to \( G(\sigma) \)-cohomology. Specific calculations of Sin [29] were needed to handle the Suzuki groups and the Ree groups of type \( G_2 \). Extensions for the Ree groups of type \( F_4 \), as previously overlooked, are handled in the paper [33] by Stewart.
Much more is known in the algebraic group case. Recall that the rational irreducible modules for $G$ are parametrized by the set $X^+ = X^+(T)$ of dominant weights for a maximal torus $T$ of $G$. For a non-negative integer $e$, let $X_e$ denote the set of $p^e$-restricted dominant weight (thus, $X_0 := \{0\}$).

**Theorem 1.1.3** ([23, Thm. 7.1, Thm. 5.1]) Let $m, e$ be nonnegative integers and $\Phi$ be a finite irreducible root system. There exists a constant $c(\Phi, m, e)$ with the following property. Let $G$ be a simple, simply connected algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$ with root system $\Phi$. If $\lambda, \nu \in X^+$ with $\lambda \in X_e$, then

$$\dim \text{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\nu)) = \dim \text{Ext}^m_G(L(\nu), L(\lambda)) \leq c(\Phi, m, e).$$

In particular, $\dim H^m(G, L(\nu)) \leq c(\Phi, m, 0)$ for all $\nu \in X^+$.

Use of both parameters $m$ and $e$ (as opposed to $m$ alone) in the display above is known to be necessary when $m > 1$ (see [32]).

A stronger version of Theorem 1.1.3 holds in the $m = 1$ case.

**Theorem 1.1.4** ([23, Thm. 5.1]) There exists a constant $c(\Phi)$ with the following property. If $\lambda, \mu \in X^+$, then

$$\dim \text{Ext}^1_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \leq c(\Phi)$$

for any simple, simply connected algebraic group $G$ over an algebraically closed field with root system $\Phi$.

A main goal of the present paper amounts to extending Theorem 1.1.3 to the finite groups $G(\sigma)$ and their irreducible modules in the defining characteristic. (See Theorem 1.2.1 below.) There are various reasons one wishes to obtain such analogs, along the lines of Theorem 1.1.1. The case $m = 2$ and $\lambda = 0$ is especially important. For example, the second cohomology group $H^2(H, V)$ parametrizes non-equivalent group extensions of $V$ by $H$; it is also intimately connected to the lengths of profinite presentations, a fact that [15] presses into service. At this point, it is worth mentioning a theorem by Guralnick, Kantor, Kassabov and Lubotsky that proves an earlier conjecture of Holt. It is shown in [15, Thm. B] that one can take $C = 17.5$.

**Theorem 1.1.5** ([16, Thm. B']) There is a constant $C$ so that

$$\dim H^2(H, V) \leq C \dim V$$

for any quasi-simple group $H$ and any absolutely irreducible $H$-module $V$.

Suppose one knew, as in Theorem 1.1.1, that there were a constant $c' = c'(\Phi)$ so that

$$\dim H^2(G(\sigma), L) \leq c'.$$

Then for a group $G(\sigma)$ of fixed Lie rank in defining characteristic, one would have as before that this bound would be better than that proposed by Theorem 1.1.5 almost all the time. As the order of the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G(\sigma)$ are, in general, the biggest when $p$ is the defining characteristic of $G(\sigma)$, one very much expects this case to give the largest dimensions (and hardest to bound) of $H^2(G(\sigma), V)$.

One purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the existence of such a constant; moreover, we achieve an exact analog to Theorem 1.1.3 for finite groups of Lie type. The methods are sufficiently powerful to obtain a number of other interesting results.
1.2 Bounding Ext for Finite Groups of Lie Type

Theorem 1.2.1 below is a central result of this paper. It gives bounds for the higher extension groups of the finite groups \( G(\sigma) \), where \( \sigma \) is a strict endomorphism of a simple, simply connected algebraic group \( G \) over a field of positive characteristic, and the coefficients are irreducible modules in the defining characteristic. In this case, the irreducible \( G(\sigma) \) -modules are parametrized by the set \( X_\sigma \) of \( \sigma \) -restricted dominant weights.

**Theorem 1.2.1** Let \( e, m \) be non-negative integers and let \( \Phi \) be a finite irreducible root system. Then there exists a constant \( D(\Phi, m, e) \), depending only on \( \Phi, m \) and \( e \) (and not on any field characteristic \( p \)) with the following property. Given any simple, simply connected algebraic group \( G \) over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of positive characteristic \( p \) with root system \( \Phi \), and given any strict endomorphism \( \sigma \) of \( G \) such that \( X_e \subseteq X_\sigma \), then for \( \lambda \in X_e, \mu \in X_\sigma \), we have

\[
\dim \text{Ext}^m_{G(\sigma)}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \leq D(\Phi, m, e).
\]

In particular,

\[
\dim \text{H}^m(G(\sigma), L(\lambda)) \leq D(\Phi, m, 0)
\]

for all \( \lambda \in X_\sigma \).

In the statement of the theorem, the condition that \( X_e \subseteq X_\sigma \) merely guarantees that \( L(\lambda), \lambda \in X_e \), restricts to an irreducible \( G(\sigma) \) -module. In most cases, \( \sigma \) is simply a Frobenius map (either standard or twisted with a graph automorphism). If \( p = 2 \) and \( G = C_2 \) or \( F_4 \) or if \( p = 3 \) and \( G = G_2 \), there are more options for \( \sigma \) corresponding to the Ree and Suzuki groups. See Section 2.2 for more details.

Let us outline the proof of the theorem. Following the ideas first introduced by Bendel, Nakano, and Pillen, Ext-groups for the finite groups of Lie type \( G(\sigma) \) can be nicely related to Ext-groups for the ambient algebraic group \( G \). By generalized Frobenius reciprocity,

\[
\text{Ext}^m_{G(\sigma)}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \cong \text{Ext}^m_{G}(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k).
\]

One key step in the proof is to show (in §3) that the induced \( G \) -module \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k \) has a filtration with sections of the form \( H^0(\lambda) \otimes H^0(\lambda^*)^{(\sigma)} \), with each \( \lambda \in X^+ \) appearing exactly once. If \( G_\sigma \) denotes the scheme-theoretic kernel of the map \( \sigma : G \to G \), we investigate the right hand side of Eq. 1.2.1 using the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence corresponding to \( G_\sigma \triangleleft G \). Bounds on the possible weights occurring in \( \text{Ext}^m_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \) (Theorem 2.3.1) allow us to see (in Theorem 3.2.1) that only finitely many of the sections occurring in \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k \) contribute to the right hand side of Eq. 1.2.1, so \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k \) can be replaced in that expression with a certain finite dimensional rational \( G \) -module. This, together with a result bounding the composition factor length of tensor products (Lemma 4.1.1), provides the ingredients to prove Theorem 1.2.1. We show, in fact, that the maximum of \( \dim \text{Ext}^m_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \) is bounded above by a certain multiple of \( c(\Phi, m, e') \), where \( c(\Phi, m, e') \) is the integer coming from Theorem 1.1.3.

**Remark 1.2.2** The results of this paper are mostly concerned with the existence of a bound on cohomology \( H^m \) and related bounds for \( \text{Ext}^m \) when the rank of the group is fixed. As noted at the end of Remark 1.1.2(c), explicit bounds may be found for the case \( m = 1 \) in [22]. See also [3] for \( m \leq 3 \). The problem of finding explicit bounds (in closed...
form), depending only on the rank, remains open. It should, however, be approachable by considering the proofs in the present paper and in [23], together with [24, §5].

1.3 Bounding Ext for Frobenius Kernels

Let $G$ be a simple, simply connected group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. For a strict endomorphism $\sigma : G \to G$, let $G_\sigma$ denote (as before) its scheme-theoretic kernel. The main result in §5 is the proof of the following.

**Theorem 1.3.1** Let $e, m$ be non-negative integers and let $\Phi$ be a finite irreducible root system. Then there exists a constant $E(\Phi, m, e)$ (resp., $E(\Phi)$), depending only on $\Phi, m$ and $e$ (resp., $\Phi$) (and not on any field characteristic $p$) with the following property. Given any simple, simply connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$ with root system $\Phi$, and given any strict endomorphism $\sigma$ of $G$ such that $X_e \subseteq X_\sigma$, then for $\lambda \in X_e, \mu \in X_\sigma$,

$$\dim \text{Ext}^m_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \leq E(\Phi, m, e).$$

In particular,

$$\dim H^m(G_\sigma, L(\lambda)) \leq E(\Phi, m, 0)$$

for all $\lambda \in X_\sigma$. Furthermore,

$$\dim \text{Ext}^1_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \leq E(\Phi)$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in X_\sigma$.

The proof proceeds by investigating the induced module $\text{ind}_{G_\sigma}^G k$. This time there is a filtration of $\text{ind}_{G_\sigma}^G k$ by sections of the form $(H^0(\nu)(\sigma)) \oplus \dim H^0(\nu)$. Again, only finitely many of these sections contribute to

$$\text{Ext}^i_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \cong \text{Ext}^i_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \text{ind}_{G_\sigma}^G(k)),$$

so $\text{ind}_{G_\sigma}^G k$ can be replaced on the right hand side by a finite dimensional rational $G$-module.

Sections 5.3 and 5.4 provide various examples to show that Theorem 1.3.1 cannot be improved upon. In particular, Theorem 5.4.1 shows that the inequality

$$\max \left\{ \dim H^1(G_\sigma, L(\lambda)) : \lambda \in X_\sigma \right\} \geq \dim V$$

holds, where $V$ is an irreducible non-trivial finite dimensional rational $G$-module of smallest dimension. Thus, the generalization of the Guralnick conjecture in [12] to general finite group schemes cannot hold.

1.4 Cartan Invariants

Let again $\sigma$ denote a strict endomorphism of a simple, simply connected algebraic group $G$, so that $G(\sigma)$ is a finite group of Lie type. In addition to cohomology, we tackle the related question of bounding the Cartan invariants $[U_\sigma(\lambda) : L(\mu)]$, where $U_\sigma(\lambda)$ denotes the projective cover of a irreducible module $L(\lambda)$ for a finite group of Lie type $G(\sigma)$.

If $H$ is a finite group and $k$ is an algebraically closed field, let

$$c(kH) = \max \{ \dim \text{Hom}_{kH}(P, Q) \},$$

where the maximum is over all $P$ and $Q$ which are principal indecomposable modules for the group algebra $kH$. Then $c(kH)$ is the maximum Cartan invariant for $kH$. The following
question has been raised by Hiss [18, Question 1.2], modifying an older formulation by Brauer.

**Question 1.4.1** Is there a function \( f_p : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( c(kH) \leq f_p \left( \log_p(|H|_p) \right) \) for all finite groups \( H \) and all algebraically closed fields \( k \) of characteristic \( p \)?

We provide in the context of defining characteristic representations for finite groups of Lie type an answer to the above question with \( f_p = f \), independent of \( p \). In the language of block theory for finite groups, we have bounded these Cartan invariants not only by a function of the defect group, but by a function of the defect itself!

As described more completely in Section 2.2 below, any strict endomorphism \( \sigma : G \to G \) involves a “power” \( F^s \) of the Frobenius morphism on \( G \), where \( s \) is a positive integer, except, in the cases of the Ree and Suzuki groups, it is allowed to be half an odd integer. We call \( s \) the height of \( \sigma \).

**Theorem 1.4.2** Let \( s \) be non-negative integer or half an odd positive integer, and let \( \Phi \) be an irreducible root system. Then there exists a constant \( N(\Phi, s) \) such that, for any simple, simply connected algebraic group \( G \) with root system \( \Phi \) and any strict endomorphism \( \sigma \) of height \( s \),

\[
[U_\sigma(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G(\sigma)}] \leq N(\Phi, s)
\]

for all \( \lambda, \mu \in X_\sigma \).

Theorem 1.4.2 provides a function affirmatively answering Hiss’s question for finite groups of Lie type in the defining characteristic: take \( f_p(m) = \max_{s|\Phi^+,| \leq m} N(\Phi, s) \), where the max is taken over all irreducible root systems \( \Phi \) and strict endomorphisms \( \sigma \) of height \( s \), with \( N(\Phi, s) \) as in Theorem 1.4.2. In fact, we get an especially strong answer to Question 1.4.1 since our function \( f_p \) is actually independent of \( p \), so can be replaced by a universal function \( f \).

In more detail, consider a finite group of Lie type \( H = G(\sigma) \) with associated root system \( \Phi \) and having height \( s \) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( p \). Observe that \( \log_p(|H|_p) \) is independent of \( p \). In fact,

\[
\log_p(|H|_p) = \log_p \left( (p^s)^{\Phi^+} \right) = s|\Phi^+|.
\]

To see this, following the discussion in Section 2.2 below, let \( e \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) be the order of the undirected graph automorphism associated to \( \sigma \). Then \( \sigma \) permutes the root groups of \( G \) in orbits of length 1 or \( e \). One finds, orbit by orbit, that

\[
|G(F^e) |_p = \left( |G(\sigma)|_p \right)^e.
\]

Taking logarithms leads to Eq. 1.4.1. See also [11, Table 2.2].Returning to the issue of Question 1.4.1, consider a specific \( H = G(\sigma) \) with associated root system \( \Phi_H \) having height \( s_H \). Then, by Theorem 1.4.2 and (1.4.1),

\[
c(kH) \leq N(\Phi_H, s_H) \leq \max_{s|\Phi_H^+,| \leq s_H} N(\Phi, s) = f_p \left( s_H|\Phi_H^+| \right) = f \left( \log_p(|H|_p) \right).
\]

The process of proving Theorem 1.4.2 leads to an even stronger result, bounding the composition factor length of the PIMs for \( G(\sigma) \) and for \( G_\sigma \). This result is stated formally in Corollary 6.2.1. The analog of Theorem 1.4.2 for \( G_\sigma \) is proved in the same section, given as Theorem 6.1.1.
Remark 1.4.3 The results described above may be viewed as complementary to many of the discussions in [18] for non-defining characteristic. As pointed out by Hiss, the issue of bounding Cartan invariants is related to many other questions in modular representation theory of finite groups, such as the Donovan conjecture. This states that, given a finite $p$-group $P$, there are, up to Morita equivalence, only finitely many blocks of group algebras in characteristic $p$ having defect group $P$. As Hiss points out, the Morita equivalence class is determined by a basic algebra, and there are only finitely many possibilities for the latter when its (finite) field of definition is known, and the Cartan matrix entries are bounded. The size of the Cartan matrix is bounded in terms of the defect group order, for any block of a finite group algebra, by a theorem of Brauer and Feit. In more direct applications, bounds on Cartan invariants for finite group algebra blocks give bounds on decomposition numbers, through the equation $C = D^t \cdot D$, relating the decomposition matrix $D$ to the Cartan matrix $C$. The equation also shows that the number of ordinary characters can be bounded, using a bound for the size of Cartan matrix entries, since a bound on the number of Brauer characters (size of the Cartan matrix) is available. It is a still open conjecture of Brauer that the number of ordinary characters is also bounded by (the order of) the defect group. (For later results in the area of representations in non-defining characteristic, see Bonafé–Rouquier [8].)

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

Throughout this paper, the following basic notation will be used. In many cases, the decoration “$r$” (or “$q$”) used for split Chevalley groups has an analog “$\sigma$” for twisted Chevalley groups, as indicated.

- $k$: an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.
- $G$: a simple, simply connected algebraic group which is defined and split over the finite prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of characteristic $p$. The assumption that $G$ is simple (equivalently, its root system $\Phi$ is irreducible) is largely one of convenience. All the results of this paper extend easily to the semisimple, simply connected case.
- $F : G \to G$: the Frobenius morphism.
- $G_r = \ker F^r$: the $r$th Frobenius kernel of $G$. More generally, if $\sigma : G \to G$ is a surjective endomorphism, then $G_{\sigma}$ denotes the scheme-theoretic kernel of $\sigma$ (an infinitesimal subgroup of $G$).
- $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$: the associated finite Chevalley group. More generally, if $\sigma : G \to G$ is a surjective endomorphism, $G(\sigma)$ denotes the subgroup of $\sigma$-fixed points.
- $T$: a maximal split torus in $G$.
- $\Phi$: the corresponding (irreducible) root system associated to $(G, T)$.
- $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$: the set of simple roots (Bourbaki ordering).
- $\Phi^\pm$: the positive (respectively, negative) roots.
- $\alpha_0$: the maximal short root.
- $B$: a Borel subgroup containing $T$ corresponding to the negative roots.
- $\mathbb{E}$: the Euclidean space spanned by $\Phi$ with inner product $\langle , \rangle$ normalized so that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ any short root.
- $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$: the coroot of $\alpha \in \Phi$.
- $\rho$: the Weyl weight defined by $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$.
- $h$: the Coxeter number of $\Phi$, given by $h = \langle \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 1$. 

\[ \mathfrak{S} \] Springer
That is, we consider the groups $G(\sigma)$. This subsection sets the stage for studying the cohomology of the finite groups of Lie type.

Case (III), see [29, p. 1012].

For a discussion of the differences between the simply connected and adjoint cases in (II), we follow the notation suggested in [11]. For an odd positive integer $r$, set $\sigma = F^{r/2} = (F^{1/2})^r$. Thus, $G(\sigma) = 2C_2 \left( 2^{2m+1+1} \right), 2F_4 \left( 2^{2m+1+1} \right)$, or, $2G_2 \left( 3^{2m+1} \right)$. Both here and in (II), we follow the notation suggested in [11].

For a discussion of the differences between the simply connected and adjoint cases in case (III), see [29, p. 1012].

In all the above cases, the group scheme-theoretic kernel $G_\sigma$ of $\sigma$ plays an important role. In case (I), where $\sigma = F^r$, this kernel is commonly denoted $G_r$, and it is called the $r$th
Frobenius kernel. In case (II), with $\sigma = F^r \circ \theta$, $\theta$ is an automorphism so that $G_\sigma = G_r$. In case (III), with $\sigma = F^{r/2} = (F^{1/2})^r$, with $r$ an odd positive integer, we often denote $G_\sigma$ by $G_{r/2}$. For example, $G_{1/2}$ has coordinate algebra $k[G_{1/2}]$, the dual of the restricted enveloping algebra of the subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $G$ generated by the short simple roots.

Remark 2.2.1 (a) The Frobenius kernels $G_r$ play a central role in the representation theory of $G$; see, for example, Jantzen [20] for an exhaustive treatment. These results are all available in cases (I) or (II). But many standard results using $G_r$ hold equally well for the more exotic infinitesimal subgroups $G_{r/2}$ in case (III), which we now discuss. Suppose $r = 2m + 1$ is an odd positive integer and $\sigma = F^{r/2}$. For a rational $G$-module $M$, let $M^{(r/2)} = M^{(r)}$ be the rational module obtained by making $G$ act on $M$ through $\sigma$. Additionally, if $M$ has the form $N^{(r/2)}$ for some rational $G$-module $N$, put $M^{(-r/2)} = N$. The subgroup $G_{r/2}$ is a normal subgroup scheme of $G$, and, given a rational $G$-module $M$, there is a (first quadrant) Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i \left( \frac{G_r}{G_{r/2}}, H^j(G_{r/2}, M) \right) \cong H^i \left( G, H^j(G_{r/2}, M)^{(r/2)} \right) \Rightarrow H^{i+j-n}(G, M)$$

(2.2.1) computing the rational $G$-cohomology of $M$ in degree $n$ in terms of rational cohomology of $G$ and $G_{r/2}$. We use here that $G/G_{r/2} \cong G^{(r/2)}$, where $G^{(r/2)}$ has coordinate algebra $k[G]^{(r/2)}$. When $G^{(r/2)}$ is identified with $G$, the rational $G/G_{r/2}$-module $H^j(G_{r/2}, M)$ identifies with $H^j(G_{r/2}, M)^{(r/2)}$.

Continuing with case (III), given a rational $G_{r/2}$-module $M$, there is a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (of rational $T$-modules)

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i \left( \frac{G_{r/2}}{G_{1/2}}, H^j(G_{1/2}, M) \right) \cong H^i \left( G_{(r-1)/2}, H^j(G_{1/2}, M)^{(-1/2)} \right)^{(1/2)} \Rightarrow H^{i+j-n}(G_{r/2}, M).$$

(2.2.2)

Since $r$ is odd, $G_{(r-1)/2}$ is a classical Frobenius kernel. One could also replace $G_{1/2}$ above by $G_1$, say, in type (III), but usually $G_{1/2}$ is more useful.

In addition, still in type (III), given an odd positive integer $r$ and $\lambda \in X^+$ (viewed as a one-dimensional rational $B$-module), there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = R^i \text{ind}_B^G H^j \left( B_{r/2}, \lambda \right)^{(r/2)} \Rightarrow H^{i+j-n}(G_{r/2}, H^0(\lambda))^{(r/2)}.$$

(2.2.3)

This is written down in the classical $r \in \mathbb{N}$ case in [20, II.12.1], but the proof is a special case of [20, I.6.12] which applies in all our cases.

(b) The irreducible $G(\sigma)$-modules (in the defining characteristic) are the restrictions to $G(\sigma)$ of the irreducible $G$-modules $L(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is a $\sigma$-restricted dominant weight. In cases (I) and (II), these $\sigma$-restricted weights are just the $\lambda \in X^+$ such that $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p^r$, for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. In addition, any $\lambda \in X^+$ can be uniquely written as $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p^r \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_0 \in X_r$ and $\lambda_1 \in X^+$. In case (I), the Steinberg tensor product theorem states that $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{(r)}$.

In case (II), let $\sigma^* : X \to X$ be the restriction of the comorphism of $\sigma$ to $X$. Then write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \sigma^* \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_0 \in X_r$ and $\lambda_1 \in X^+$. Observe that $\sigma^* = p^r \theta$, where here $\theta$ denotes the automorphism of $X$ induced by the graph automorphism. We have $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\theta^* \lambda_1)^{(r)}$, which is Steinberg’s tensor product theorem in this case. In this case, we also call the weights in $X_r$ $\sigma$-restricted (even though they are also $r$-restricted).
In case (III), there is a similar notion of $\sigma$-restricted dominant weights. Suppose $r = (2m + 1)/2$, then the condition that $\lambda \in X^+$ be $\sigma$-restricted is that $(\lambda, \alpha') < p^{m+1}$ for $\alpha \in \Pi$ short, and $< p^m$ in case $\alpha \in \Pi$ is long. Any dominant weight $\lambda$ can be uniquely written as $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \sigma^* \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_0$ is $\sigma$-restricted and $\lambda_1 \in X^+$. Here $\sigma^* : X \to X$ is the restriction to $X \subset k[T]$ of the comorphism $\sigma^*$ of $\sigma$. Then $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{(r/2)}$, which is Steinberg’s tensor product theorem for case (III).

(c) In all cases (I), (II) (III), the set $X_\sigma$ of $\sigma$-restricted dominant weights also indexes the irreducible modules for the infinitesimal subgroups $G_\sigma$; they are just the restrictions to $G_\sigma$ of the corresponding irreducible $G$-modules.

2.3 Bounding Weights

Following [4], set $\pi_s = \{ v \in X^+ : \langle v, \alpha_0' \rangle < s \}$ and let $C_s$ be the full subcategory of all finite dimensional $G$-modules whose composition factors $L(v)$ have highest weights lying in $\pi_s$. The condition that $v \in \pi_s$ is just that $v$ is $(s - 1)$-small in the terminology of [25]. The category $C_s$ is a highest weight category and equivalent to the module category for a finite dimensional quasi-hereditary algebra. For two modules in $C_s$, their Ext-groups can be computed either in $C_s$ or in the full category of rational $G$-modules.

Now let $\sigma : G \to G$ be one of the strict endomorphisms described in cases (I), (II) and (III) above. In the result below, we provide information about $G$-composition factors of $\text{Ext}_{G_\sigma}^m(L(\lambda), L(\mu))^{(-\sigma)}$ where $\lambda, \mu \in X_\sigma$.

**Theorem 2.3.1** If $\lambda, \mu \in X_\sigma$, then $\text{Ext}_{G_\sigma}^m(L(\lambda), L(\mu))^{(-\sigma)}$ is a rational $G$-module in $C_{s(m)}$ where

$$s(m) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m = 0 \\
h & \text{if } m = 1, \text{ except possibly when } G = F_4, p = 2, \sigma = F^{r/2}, r \text{ odd} \\
3m + 2h - 2 & \text{if } m \geq 0, \text{ in all cases (I), (II), (III).}
\end{cases}$$

**Proof** The case $m = 0$ is obvious. If $m = 1$, then [4, Prop. 5.2] gives $s(m) = h$ in cases (I) and (II). In case (III), except in $F_4$, we can apply the case (I) result, together with the explicit calculations in [29], to again deduce that $s(m) = h$ works. Sin shows in [29, Lem. 2.1, Lemma 2.3] that the only nonzero $\text{Ext}_{G_{1/2}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu))^{(-1/2)}$, $\lambda, \mu \in X_{1/2}$, are $G$-modules of the form $L(\tau)$, $\tau \in X_{1/2}$. Now apply the spectral sequence (2.2.2). In the $m \geq 0$ assertion, we use the proof for [25, Cor. 3.6] which, because of the discussion given in Remark 2.2.1, works in all cases.

**Remark 2.3.2** (a) As noted in [25, Rem. 3.7(c)], the last bound in the theorem can be improved in various ways. If $\Phi$ is not of type $G_2$, “$3m$” can be replaced by “$2m$”. If $p > 2$, $m$ may be replaced by $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ (where $\lfloor \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer function). Finally, if $m > 1$, another bound in cases (I) and (II) is given in [4, Prop. 5.2] as $s(m) = (m - 1)(2h - 3) + 3$, which is better for small values of $m$ and $h$.

(b) Suppose that $G$ has type $F_4$, $p = 2$ and $\sigma = F^{r/2}$ for some odd integer $r$. Here (and in all case (III) instances) Sin [29] explicitly calculates all $\text{Ext}_{G_{1/2}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu))^{(-1/2)}$ as $G$-modules for $\lambda, \mu \in X_{1/2}$. In all nonzero cases, but one, it is of the form $L(\tau)$ with $\tau \in X_{1/2}$. The one exception, in the notation of [29] is $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = \sigma_3$, (i.e., the fundamental dominant weight corresponding to the interior short fundamental root), in which case $\text{Ext}_{G_{1/2}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu))^{(-1/2)} \cong k \oplus L(2\sigma_4)$. 
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3 Filtrations of Certain Induced Modules

3.1 Preliminaries

In this section we present a generalization of the filtration theory for induction from \( G(\sigma) \) to \( G \). In the classical split case for Chevalley groups the theory was first developed by Bendel, Nakano and Pillen (cf. [7, Prop. 2.2 & proof]). By [31, §10.5], \( G(\sigma) \) is finite if and only if the differential \( dL \) is surjective at the identity \( e \in G \). Here \( L : G \to G, x \mapsto \sigma(x)^{-1}x \) is the Lang map. In addition, the Lang-Steinberg Theorem [31, Thm. 10.1] states (using our notation) that if \( G(\sigma) \) is finite, then \( L \) is surjective. Recall that an endomorphism \( \sigma \) is strict if and only if \( G(\sigma) \) is finite.

If \( K, H \) are closed subgroups of an arbitrary affine algebraic group \( G \), there is in general no known Mackey decomposition theorem describing the functor \( \text{res}_K^G \text{ind}_K^G \). However, in the very special case in which \( |K/G/H| = 1 \), a Mackey decomposition theorem does hold. Namely,

\[
K H = G \implies \text{res}_K^G \text{ind}_K^G = \text{ind}_K^G, \tag{3.1.1}
\]

where \( K \cap H := K \times_G H \) is the scheme-theoretic intersection. We refer the reader to [9, Thm. 4.1] and the discussion there, where it is pointed out that the condition \( K H = G \) need only be checked at the level of \( k \)-points.

Let us now return to the case in which \( G \) is a simple, simply connected group. First, there is a natural action of \( G \times G \) on the coordinate algebra \( k[G] \) given by \((x, y) \mapsto f)(g) = (x \cdot f \cdot y^{-1})(g) := f(y^{-1}gx)\), for \((x, y) \in G \times G, g \in G\). Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.1** ([21]) The rational \((G \times G)\)-module \( k[G] \) has an increasing filtration \( 0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \) in which, for \( i \geq 0 \), \( \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \cong \mathcal{H}^0(\gamma_i) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\gamma_i^*) \), \( \gamma_i \in X^+ \), and \( \cup \mathcal{F}_i = k[G] \). Each dominant weight \( \gamma \in X^+ \) appears precisely once in the list \( \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots\} \).

Let \( k[G]^{(1 \times \sigma)} = k[G]_{\sigma} \) denote the coordinate algebra of \( G \) viewed as a rational \( G \)-module with \( x \in G \) acting as

\[
(x \star f)(g) := (x \cdot f \cdot \sigma(x)^{-1})(g) = f(\sigma(x)^{-1}gx), \quad f \in k[G], g \in G.
\]

This is compatible with the action of \( G \times G \) on \( k[G] \) given by \((x, \sigma(y)) \star f \cdot (g) = (x \cdot f \cdot \sigma(y)^{-1})(g) = f(\sigma(y)^{-1}gx)\).

The following proposition gives a description of an increasing \( G \)-filtration on \( k[G]_{\sigma} \).

**Proposition 3.1.2** Assume \( \sigma \) is a strict endomorphism of \( G \). Then \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k \cong k[G]_{\sigma} \). In particular, \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} k \) has a \( G \)-filtration with sections of the form \( \mathcal{H}^0(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\lambda^*)^{(\sigma)} \), \( \lambda \in X^+ \) appearing exactly once.

**Proof** Consider two isomorphic copies of \( G \) embedded as closed subgroups of \( G \times G \),

\[
\Delta := \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\}; \quad \Sigma := \{(g, \sigma(g)) \mid g \in G\}.
\]

The reader may check that the induced module \( \text{ind}^G_{G \times G} k = \text{Map}_\Delta(G \times G, k) \) identifies with the \( G \times G \)-module \( k[G] \) in Lemma 3.1.1, through inclusion \( G \cong G \times 1 \subseteq G \times G \) into the first factor. That is, the comorphism \( k[G \times G] \to k[G] \) induces a \( G \times G \)-equivariant
map when restricted to the submodule Map_{\Delta}(G \times G, k) of k[G \times G]. We now wish to apply (3.1.1) to ind_{\Delta}^G(G \times G, k) by composing the induction functor with restriction to \Sigma.

Given \((a, b) \in G \times G\), there exists an \(x \in G\) such that \(\sigma(x)x^{-1} = ba^{-1}\). Let \(y := x^{-1}a\). Then \((x, \sigma(x))(y, y) = (a, b)\), so \(\Sigma \Delta = G \times G\). Next, we show that \(\Delta \cap \Sigma \cong G(\sigma)\) as group schemes under the isomorphism \(\Sigma \rightarrow G\) which is projection onto the first factor. This is clear at the level of \(k\)-points, so it enough to show the \(\Delta \cap \Sigma\) is reduced. However, one can check that \(\Delta \cap \Sigma\) is isomorphic to the scheme \(X\) defined by the pull-back diagram (in which \(e\) denotes the trivial \(k\)-group scheme)

\[
\begin{align*}
X & \longrightarrow e \\
\downarrow & \quad \downarrow \\
G & \xrightarrow{L} G,
\end{align*}
\]

so we must show the closed subgroup scheme \(X\) of \(G\) is reduced (and hence isomorphic to \(G(\sigma)\)). However, the Lie algebra of \(X\) is a subalgebra of Lie \(G\) and then maps injectively to a subspace of Lie \(G\) under \(dL\). The commutativity of the above diagram implies that \(X\) has trivial Lie algebra and hence is reduced.

Consequently, \(\text{ind}_{\Delta \cap \Sigma}^\Sigma G k \cong \text{ind}_G^{G(\sigma)} G k\), if \(G\) acts on the left hand side through the obvious map \(G \rightarrow \Sigma\) and inverse of the above isomorphism \(\Sigma \rightarrow G\). However, by Eq. 3.1.1, \(\text{res}_\Sigma^G \text{ind}_{G(\sigma)}^G G(k) \cong \text{ind}_{\Delta \cap \Sigma}^\Sigma G\), and the proposition follows. \(\square\)

An alternate way to show the group scheme \(X\) used above is reduced is to view it as a group functor, and observe that some power of \(\sigma\) is a power \(F^m\) of the Frobenius morphism—see the very general argument given in [31, p.37]. The comorphism \(F^*\) of \(F^m\) is a power of the \(p\)th power map on the coordinate ring \(\mathbb{F}_p[G]\). One can use this fact to show that, taking \(m \gg 0\), \(F^*\) is simultaneously the identity on \(k[X]\) and yet sends the radical of this finite dimensional algebra to zero. It follows the radical is zero, and \(k[X]\) is reduced.

In case \(\sigma\) is a Frobenius morphism, the above result is stated without proof in [19, 1.4]. We thank Jim Humphreys for some discussion on this point.

3.2 Passage from \(G(\sigma)\) to \(G\)

Set \(G(\sigma)(k) := \text{ind}_{G(\sigma)}^G G k\), where \(\sigma : G \rightarrow G\) is a strict endomorphism. The filtration \(\mathcal{F}_\bullet\) of the rational \(G\)-module \(G(\sigma)(k)\) arises from the increasing \(G \times G\)-module filtration \(\mathcal{F}'_\bullet\) of \(k[G]\) with sections \(H^0(\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\gamma^*)\). Since these latter modules are all co-standard modules for \(G \times G\), their order in \(\mathcal{F}'_\bullet\) can be rearranged (cf. [25, Thm. 4.2]). Thus, for \(b \geq 0\), there is a (finite dimensional) \(G\)-submodule \(G_{\sigma,b}(k)\) of \(G(\sigma)(k)\) which has an increasing (and complete) \(G\)-stable filtration with sections precisely the \(H^0(\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{H}^0(\gamma^*)(\sigma)\) satisfying \(\langle \gamma, \alpha^\gamma_0 \rangle \leq b\), and with each such \(\gamma\) appearing with multiplicity 1. Now we can state the following basic result.

**Theorem 3.2.1** Let \(m\) be a nonnegative integer and \(\sigma : G \rightarrow G\) be a strict endomorphism. Let \(b \geq 6m + 6h - 8\) (which is independent of \(p\) and \(\sigma\)). Then, for any \(\lambda, \mu \in X_\sigma\),

\[
\text{Ext}^m_{G(\sigma)}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \cong \text{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes G_{\sigma,b}).
\]

(3.2.1)

In addition,

\[
\text{Ext}^n_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes H^0(\nu) \otimes H^0(\nu^*)(\sigma)) = 0
\]

(3.2.2)

for all \(n \leq m\), \(\nu \in X^+\), satisfying \(\langle \nu, \alpha^\nu_0 \rangle > b\).
Proof In case (I), the case of the Chevalley groups, this result is proved in [25, Thm. 4.4]. Very little modification is needed in case (II), the case of the Steinberg groups, because in this case the infinitesimal subgroups $G_{\sigma}$ identify with ordinary Frobenius kernels $G_r$. Finally, for case (III), the Ree and Suzuki groups, all results given in Section 2 (specifically, the spectral sequences (2.2.1), (2.2.2), and (2.2.3), and Theorem 2.3.1) can be applied to obtain the required result. We leave further details to the reader.

4 Bounding Cohomology of Finite Groups of Lie Type

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2.1.

4.1 A preliminary lemma

We begin by proving a lemma which will enable us to find universal bounds (independent of the prime) for extensions of irreducible modules for the finite groups $G_{\sigma}$.

The following lemma does not require the $\mathbb{F}_p$-splitting hypothesis of the notation section, but we reduce to that case in the first paragraph of the proof. Note also that the proof appeals to the Corollary 6.2.1 below, applied to a Frobenius kernel. That result, which is demonstrated within the proof of Theorem 6.1.1, is a direct consequence of [23, Lem. 7.2] and it is independent of the other sections in this paper.

Lemma 4.1.1 For positive integers $e, b$ there exists a constant $f = f(e, b) = f(e, b, \Phi)$ with the following property. Suppose that $G$ is a simple, simply connected algebraic group over $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ having root system $\Phi$. If $\mu \in X_e$ and $\xi \in X^+$ satisfies $\langle \xi, \alpha_\mu^\vee \rangle < b$, then the (composition factor) length of the rational $G$-module $L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi)$ is at most $f(e, b)$.

Proof We will actually prove a stronger result. Namely, that there exists a constant $f(e, b)$ that bounds the length of $L(\mu) \otimes L(\zeta)$ as a module for the Frobenius kernel $G_e$. Clearly the $G_e$-length of a rational $G$-module is always less than or equal to its length after restriction to $G_e$. Without loss of generality, we can always assume that $G$ is defined and split over $\mathbb{F}_p$. This is a convenience which allows the use of familiar notation.

For any given prime $p$, it is clear that a bound exists (but depending on $p$) on the lengths since $|X_e| < \infty$, and there are a finite number of weights $\xi$ satisfying the condition $\langle \xi, \alpha_\mu^\vee \rangle < b$. Hence, it is sufficient to find a constant that uniformly bounds the number of composition factors of all $L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi)$ for all sufficiently large $p$. By [2], there is a positive integer $p_0 \geq h$ such that the Lusztig character formula holds for all $G$ with root system $\Phi$ provided the characteristic $p$ of the defining field is at least $p_0$. In addition, it is assumed that $p \geq 2(h - 1)$.

Let $Q_e(\mu)$ denote the $G_e$-injective hull of $L(\mu)$. Embed $L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi)$ in $Q_e(\mu) \otimes H^0(\xi)$ as a $G_e$-module and proceed to find a bound for the $G_e$-length of the latter module. Corollary 6.2.1 applied to $G_e$ (or the proof of Theorem 6.1.1) provides a constant $k'(\Phi, e)$ that bounds the $G_e$-length of $Q_e(\mu)$ for all primes $p$ satisfying the above conditions. The dimension of any irreducible $G_e$-modules is at most the dimension of the $e$th Steinberg module $S_{te}$. It follows that $\dim (Q_e(\mu) \otimes H^0(\xi)) / \dim S_{te} \leq k'(\Phi, e) \cdot \dim H^0(\xi)$. Now $Q_e(\mu) \otimes H^0(\xi)$ decomposes into a direct sum of $Q_e(\omega)$, $\omega \in X_e$. The dimension of each $Q_e(\omega)$ that appears as a summand is a multiple of $\dim S_{te}$. Therefore, there are at most $k'(\Phi, e) \cdot \dim H^0(\xi)$ many summands, each having at most $k'(\Phi, e)$ many $G_e$-factors. Hence, the $G_e$-length of $Q_e(\mu) \otimes H^0(\xi)$ is bounded by $k'(\Phi, e)^2 \cdot \dim H^0(\xi)$. Using Weyl’s...
dimension formula, the numbers \( \dim \mathbb{H}^0(\xi) \) (for \( \xi \) satisfying \( \langle \xi, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle < b \)) are uniformly bounded by a constant \( d = d(b) = d(b, \Phi) \).

**Remark 4.1.2** In the presence of any strict endomorphism \( \sigma : G \to G \), the set \( X_e \) above can be obviously replaced by the set \( X_{\sigma} \) of \( \sigma \)-restricted weights, since \( X_{\sigma} \subseteq X_e \) for some \( e \).

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2.1

By Theorem 3.2.1,

\[
E := \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(\lambda, \mu) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,b})
\]

where \( \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,b} \) has composition factors \( L(\xi) \otimes L(\xi')(\sigma) \) with \( \xi, \xi' \) in the set \( \pi_{b-1} \), with \( b := 6m + 6h - 8 \). Let \( L(\xi) \) be a composition factor of \( L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi) \) for some \( \xi \in \pi_{b-1} \). Then, as \( \mu \in X_e \) and \( \xi \in \pi_{b-1} \), a direct calculation (or using [25, Lem. 2.1(b),(c)]), gives that \( \xi \in \pi_{b'-1} \), where \( b' = (p^e - 1)(h - 1) + b \). Choose a constant integer \( e' = e'(e) \), independent of \( p \) and \( \sigma \), so that \( e' \geq \lceil \log_p((p^e - 1)(h - 1) + b) \rceil + 1 \). (If \( pe \geq b \), we can take \( e'(e) = e + \lceil \log_2 h \rceil + 1 \).) Then \( \xi \) is \( pe' \)-restricted, by [25, Lem. 2.1(a)].

We need three more constants:

(i) By Theorem 1.1.3, there is a constant \( c(\Phi, m, e') \) with the property that

\[
\dim \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(\tau, \xi) \leq c(\Phi, m, e'), \quad \forall \tau \in X^+, \ \forall \xi \in X_{e'}.
\]

(ii) Set \( s(\Phi, m) \) to be the maximum length of \( \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,b} \) over all primes \( p \)—clearly, this number is finite; in fact, \( \dim \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,b} \) as a vector space is bounded, independently of \( p, \sigma \), though its weights do depend on \( p \) and \( \sigma \). (iii) By Lemma 4.1.1, there is a constant \( f = f(\Phi, e, b) \) bounding all the lengths of the tensor products \( L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi) \) over all primes \( p \), all \( \mu \in X_e \) and all \( \xi \in \pi_{b-1} \).

Now, since \( \lambda \in X_{\sigma}^+ \), we have \( L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu)(\sigma) \) irreducible, thus

\[
\dim E = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,b}) \\
\leq s(\Phi, m) \max_{\xi, v \in \pi_{b-1}} \{ \dim \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes L(\xi) \otimes L(\nu^*)(\sigma)) \} \\
\leq s(\Phi, m) f(\Phi, e, e') \max_{v \in \pi_{b-1}, \xi \in X_{e'}} \{ \dim \operatorname{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu)(\sigma), L(\xi)) \} \\
\leq s(\Phi, m) f(\Phi, e, e') c(\Phi, m, e').
\]

Since \( e' \) is a function of \( e, m \) and \( \Phi \), we can take \( D(\Phi, m, e) = s(\Phi, m) f(\Phi, m, e') c(\Phi, m, e') \), proving the first assertion of the theorem. For the final conclusion, take \( \mu = 0 \) and replace \( \lambda \) by \( \lambda^* \).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.1.

**Remark 4.2.1**

(a) The easier bounding of the integers \( \dim \mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{G}(\sigma), L(\lambda)) \) over all \( p, r, \lambda \) does not require Lemma 4.1.1. However, it does still require the established Lusztig character formula for large \( p \) (even though the final result holds for all \( p \)) since the proofs in [23] do require the validity of the Lusztig character formula for \( p \) large.

(b) Following work of Parshall and Scott [24], but using finite groups of Lie type in place of their algebraic group counterparts one can investigate the following question. For
a given root system \( \Phi \) and non-negative integer \( m \), let \( D(\Phi, m) \) be the least upper bound of the integers \( \dim H^m(G(\sigma), L(\lambda)) \) over \( \sigma \) and all \( \sigma \)-restricted dominant weights \( \lambda \). Then one can ask for the rate of growth of the sequence \( \{D(\Phi, m)\} \). In the rank 1 case (i.e., \( SL_2 \)), it is known from results of Stewart [32] that the growth rate can be exponential even in the rational cohomology case. However, the corresponding question remains open for higher ranks.

(c) One could ask if the condition on \( e \) in the theorem is necessary to bound the dimension of the \( Ext^m \)-groups for \( m \geq 2 \). Bendel, Nakano and Pillen [5, Thm. 5.6] show that one can drop the condition in case \( m = 1 \) (see also [23, Cor. 5.3]). However, in [32, Thm. 1] a sequence of irreducible modules \( \{L_r\} \) was given for any simple group \( G \) for \( p \) sufficiently large showing that \( \dim Ext^2_G(L_r, L_r) \geq r - 1 \). One can see the same examples work at least for all finite Chevalley groups. This demonstrates that the condition on \( e \) is necessary in the above theorem also.

5 Bounding Cohomology of Frobenius Kernels

This section proves Theorem 1.3.1, an analogue of Theorem 1.2.1 for Frobenius kernels. The result is stated in the general context of \( G_\sigma \) for a surjective endomorphism \( \sigma : G \to G \). Recall from the discussion in §2.3 that \( G_\sigma \) is either an ordinary Frobenius kernel \( G_r \) (for a non-negative integer \( r \)), or \( G_r/2 \) for an odd positive integer \( r \), in the cases of the Ree and Suzuki groups.

5.1 Induction from Infinitesimal Subgroups

Analogous to the previous use of the induction functor \( \text{ind}^G_{G(\sigma)} - \), we consider the induction functor \( \text{ind}^G_{G_\sigma} - \). This functor is exact since \( G/G_\sigma \) is affine. When this functor is applied to the trivial module, there are the following identifications of \( G \)-modules:

\[
\text{ind}^G_{G_\sigma} k \cong k[G/G_\sigma] \cong k[G]^{(\sigma)},
\]

where the action of \( G \) on the right hand side is via the left regular representation (twisted). As noted in Lemma 3.1.1, \( k[G] \) as a \( G \times G \)-bimodule (with the left and right regular representations respectively) has a filtration with sections of the form \( H^0(v) \otimes H^0(v^*) \), \( v \in X^+ \) with each \( v \) occurring precisely once. Note that this is an exterior tensor product with each copy of \( G \) acting naturally on the respective induced modules and trivially on the other. Hence, \( k[G]^{(\sigma)} \) has a \( G \times G \)-filtration with sections \( H^0(v)^{(\sigma)} \otimes H^0(v^*)^{(\sigma)} \). By restricting the action of \( G \times G \) on \( k[G]^{(\sigma)} \) to the first (left hand) \( G \)-factor, we conclude that \( k[G]^{(\sigma)} \) with the (twisted) left regular action, and hence \( \text{ind}^G_{G_\sigma} k \), admits a filtration with sections of the form \( \bigoplus_{v \in X^+} \dim H^0(v) \).

We can now apply generalized Frobenius reciprocity and this fact to obtain the following inequality:

\[
\dim \text{Ext}^m_{G_\sigma}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) = \dim \text{Ext}^m_G\left( L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \text{ind}^G_{G_\sigma} k \right) \\
\leq \sum_{v \in X^+} \dim \text{Ext}^m_G\left( L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes \left( H^0(v)^{(\sigma)} \right) \otimes H^0(v) \right) \\
\leq \sum_{v \in X^+} \dim \text{Ext}^m_G\left( L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes H^0(v)^{(\sigma)} \right) \cdot \dim H^0(v). \tag{5.1.1}
\]
5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3.1

Letting $s(m)$ as in Theorem 2.3.1, form the finite set

$$X(\Phi, m) := \{ \tau \in X^+ : \langle \tau, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle < s(m) \}$$

of dominant weights which depends only on $\Phi$ and $m$. Necessarily, $X(\Phi, m)$ is a saturated subset (i.e., an ideal) of $X^+$.

Let $\lambda \in X_e \subseteq X_\sigma$ and $\mu \in X_\sigma$. If $\text{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes H^0(\nu)(\sigma)) \neq 0$, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_{i,j}^2 = \text{Ext}^i_G \left( V(\nu^*(\sigma)), \text{Ext}^j_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \right) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{i+j} (L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes H^0(\nu)(\sigma))$$

implies there exists $i, j$ such that $i + j = m$ and

$$\text{Ext}^i_G \left( V(\nu^*(\sigma)), \text{Ext}^j_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \right) \neq 0.$$

By Theorem 2.3.1, if $\left[ \text{Ext}^j_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu)(-\sigma)) : L(\gamma) \right] \neq 0$, then $\gamma \in \pi(s(j))$, and so $\langle \gamma, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle < s(j) \leq s(m)$. However, if $\text{Ext}^j_G(V(\nu^*(\sigma)), L(\gamma)(\sigma)) \cong \text{Ext}^j_G(V(\nu^*), L(\gamma)) \neq 0$ then $\nu^* \leq \gamma$. Thus, $\nu^* \in X(\Phi, m)$ and so $\nu \in X(\Phi, m)$.

The inequality (5.1.1) and Theorem 1.1.3 now give

$$\dim \text{Ext}^m_G(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \leq \sum_{\nu \in X(\Phi, m)} \dim \text{Ext}^m_G \left( L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes H^0(\nu)(\sigma) \right) \cdot \dim H^0(\nu)$$

(by (5.1.1))

$$\leq \sum_{\nu \in X(\Phi, m)} \sum_{\tau \in X^+} \dim \text{Ext}^m_G \left( L(\lambda), L(\mu) \otimes L(\tau)(\sigma) \right) \cdot [H^0(\nu) : L(\tau)] \cdot \dim H^0(\nu)$$

$$\leq c(\Phi, m, e) \sum_{\nu \in X(\Phi, m)} \sum_{\tau \in X^+} \left[ H^0(\nu) : L(\tau) \right] \cdot \dim H^0(\nu)$$

(by Theorem 1.1.3)

$$\leq c(\Phi, m, e) \sum_{\nu \in X(\Phi, m)} \left( \dim H^0(\nu) \right)^2.$$

Since $|X(\Phi, m)| < \infty$ and the numbers $\dim H^0(\nu)$ are given by Weyl's dimension formula, the first claim of the theorem is proved, putting

$$E(\Phi, m, e) := c(\Phi, m, e) \sum_{\nu \in X(\Phi, m)} \left( \dim H^0(\nu) \right)^2.$$

For the second claim, set $\mu = 0$ and replace $\lambda$ with $\lambda^*$. Then, in the above argument, apply Theorem 1.1.3 and replace $c(\Phi, m, e)$ with $c(\Phi, m, 0)$. Similarly, for the last claim, apply Theorem 1.1.4 to replace $c(\Phi, 1, e)$ by $c(\Phi)$.

5.3 Examples

We will illustrate Theorem 1.3.1 with some examples for ordinary Frobenius kernels $G_r$. First, the theorem says that the dimension of $G_r$-cohomology groups (in some fixed degree) of irreducible modules can be bounded independently of $r$. In low degrees, one can explicitly see that the dimension of the cohomology of the trivial module is independent of $r$. On the other hand, in degree 2, one sees that the dimension is clearly dependent on the root system.
Example 5.3.1 Assume that the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G \) is simple (or assume that \( p \neq 2, 3 \) for certain root systems). Then \( H^1(G_r, k) = 0 \) for all \( r \geq 1 \) (cf. [1]). Furthermore, \( H^2(G_r, k) \cong \text{Ext}^2_{G_r}(k, k) \cong (g^*)^{(r)} \) for all \( r \geq 1 \) (cf. [6]).

On the other hand, the following example demonstrates that the dimension of Ext-groups between arbitrary irreducible modules (as in the first part) of the theorem cannot be bounded by a constant independent of \( r \). In particular, one can have Ext-groups of arbitrarily high dimension.

Example 5.3.2 Let \( G = SL_2 \) with let \( p > 2 \). Set \( \lambda = 1 + p + p^2 + \cdots + p^r \), and let \( L(\lambda) = L(1) \otimes L(1)^{(1)} \otimes L(1)^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1)^{(r)} \). From [32, Thm. 1], we have \( \dim \text{Ext}^2_G(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)) = r \). Assume that \( s \geq r \). Applying the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to \( G_s \rhd G \) and fact that the \( E_2 \)-term is a subquotient of the cohomology, we see that

\[
r = \dim \text{Ext}^2_G(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)) \leq \dim \text{Ext}^2_G(k, \text{Hom}_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)}) + \dim \text{Ext}^1_G(k, \text{Ext}^2_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)}) + \dim \text{Hom}_G(k, \text{Ext}^2_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)}).
\]

But \( \text{Hom}_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)} \cong k \) and so the first term on the right hand side is 0 by [20, II.4.14]. Also, [1, Thm. 4.5] yields that \( \text{Ext}^1_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)} = 0 \), so that the second term on the right hand side is also 0. Thus

\[
r \leq \dim \text{Hom}_G(k, \text{Ext}^2_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda))^{(-s)}) \leq \dim \text{Ext}^2_{G_s}(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)).
\]

Returning to the case of cohomology, the following example suggests how the dimension of \( H^1(G_r, L(\lambda)) \) may depend on the root system. Theorem 5.4.1 in the following subsection will expand on this.

Example 5.3.3 Let \( G = SL_{n+1} \) with \( \Phi \) of type \( A_n \). We will assume that \( p > n + 1 \) so that 0 is a regular weight. Consider the dominant weights of the form \( \lambda_j = p^r \omega_j - p^r - 1 \alpha_j \) where \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). According to [4, Thm. 3.1] these are the minimal dominant weights \( \nu \) such that \( H^1(G_r, H^0(\nu)) \neq 0 \). Furthermore, \( H^1(G_r, H^0(\lambda_j)) \cong L(\omega_j)^{(r)} \) for each \( j \).

Since \( p > n + 1 \) the weights \( \lambda_j \) are not in the root lattice and cannot be linked under the action of the affine Weyl group to 0, thus any \( W_p \)-conjugate to \( \lambda_j \) (under the dot action) cannot be linked to 0. It follows that if \( \mu \in X^+ \) and \( \mu \uparrow \lambda_j \) then \( H^1(G_r, L(\mu)) = 0 \). This can be seen by using induction on the ordering of the weights and the long exact sequence induced from the short exact sequence \( 0 \to L(\mu) \to H^0(\mu) \to N \to 0 \). Note that \( N \) has composition factors which are strongly linked to and less than \( \mu \). Moreover \( N \) has no trivial \( G_r \)-composition factors by using linkage and the fact that \( \mu < \lambda_j \).

Now consider the short exact sequence \( 0 \to L(\lambda_j) \to H^0(\lambda_j) \to M \to 0 \). The long exact sequence and the fact that \( H^1(G_r, M) = 0 \) yields a short exact sequence of the form:

\[
0 \to H^0(G_r, M) \to H^1(G_r, L(\lambda_j)) \to H^1(G_r, H^0(\lambda_j)) \to 0.
\]

But as before, we have \( H^0(G_r, M) = 0 \), thus

\[
H^1(G_r, L(\lambda_j)) \cong H^1(G_r, H^0(\lambda_j)) \cong L(\omega_j)^{(r)}
\]

for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).
5.4 A Lower Bound on the Dimension of First Cohomology

In this section we extend Example 5.3.3 by showing that the dimension of the cohomology group $H^1(G_r, L(\lambda))$ cannot be universally bounded independent of the root system. This result indicates that the Guralnick conjecture [12] on a universal bound for the first cohomology of finite groups cannot hold for arbitrary finite group schemes.

**Theorem 5.4.1** Let $G$ be a simple, simply connected algebraic group and $r$ be a non-negative integer. The inequality

$$\max \{ \dim H^1(G_r, L(\lambda)) : \lambda \in X_r \} \geq \dim V$$

holds, where $V$ is the irreducible non-trivial finite dimensional $G$-module of smallest dimension.

**Proof** Assume that all $G/G_r$-composition factors of $H^1(G_r, L(\lambda))$ are trivial for all $\lambda \in X_r$. Then one could conclude that, for any finite dimensional $G$-module $M$, the $G/G_r$-structure on $H^1(G_r, M)$ is either a direct sum of trivial modules or 0. This can be seen by using induction on the composition length of $M$, the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to a short exact sequence of modules, and the fact that $\text{Ext}^1_{G(k, k)} = 0$.

However, by [4, Thm. 3.1(A-C)], there exist a finite dimensional $G$-module (of the form $H^0(\mu)$) whose $G_r$-cohomology has non-trivial $G/G_r$-composition factors. This contradicts the conclusion of the previous paragraph. Therefore, there must exist a $\lambda \in X_r$ (necessarily non-zero) such that $H^1(G_r, L(\lambda))$ has a non-trivial $G/G_r$-composition factor. \square

Example 5.3.3 illustrates that one can realize $\dim H^1(G_r, L(\lambda))$ as the dimension of a (non-trivial) minimal dimensional irreducible representation in type $A_n$ for some $\lambda \in X_r$ when $p > n + 1$. An interesting question would be to explicitly realize the smallest dimensional non-trivial representation in general as $H^1(G_r, L(\lambda))$ for some $\lambda$.

6 Cartan Invariants

In either the finite group or the infinitesimal group setting, the determination of Cartan invariants—the multiplicities $[P : L]$ of irreducible modules $L$ in projective indecomposable modules $P$ (PIMs)—is a classic representation theory problem. In this section we observe that, for $G(\sigma)$ or $G_\sigma$, these numbers (in the defining characteristic case) can be bounded by a constant depending on the root system $\Phi$ and the height $r$ of $\sigma$, independently of the characteristic. In the process we will see that there is also a bound for the composition series length of $P$. Since the Ree and Suzuki groups only involve the primes 2 and 3, those cases can be ignored. Thus, we can assume that $G_\sigma = G_r$ for a positive integer $r$.

6.1 Cartan Invariants for Frobenius Kernels

For $\lambda \in X_r$, let $Q_r(\lambda)$ denote the $G_r$-injective hull of $L(\lambda)$. In the category of finite dimensional $G_r$-modules, injective modules are projective (and vice versa), and the projective indecomposable modules (PIMs) consist precisely of the $\{ Q_r(\lambda) : \lambda \in X_r \}$.

**Theorem 6.1.1** Given a finite irreducible root system $\Phi$ and a positive integer $r$, there is a constant $K(\Phi, r)$ with the following property. Let $G$ be a simple, simply connected algebraic
group over an algebraically closed field \( k \) of positive characteristic with irreducible root system \( \Phi \), and let \( \sigma \) be a strict endomorphism of \( G \) of height \( r \). Then

\[
[Q_r(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G_r}] \leq K(\Phi, r),
\]

for all \( \lambda, \mu \in X_r \).

**Proof** Assume that \( p \geq 2(h - 1) \). Then, for any \( \lambda \in X_r \), \( Q_r(\lambda) \) admits a unique rational \( G \)-module structure which restricts to the original \( G_r \)-structure [20, §II 11.11]. By [23, Lem. 7.2], the number of \( G \)-composition factors of \( Q_r(\lambda) \) is bounded by some constant \( k(\Phi, r) \). The irreducible \( G \)-composition factors of \( Q_r(\lambda) \) are of the form \( L(\mu_0 + p^r \mu_1) \) with \( \mu_0 \in X_r \) and \( \mu_1 \in Q 2\rho \). As a \( G_r \)-module, \( L(\mu_0 + p^r \mu_1) \cong L(\mu_0) \otimes L(\mu_1)^{(r)} \cong L(\mu_0) \otimes \dim L(\mu_1) \). Since \( \mu_1 \in Q 2\rho \), the dimensions of all possible \( L(\mu_1) \) are bounded by some number \( d(\Phi) \), depending only on \( \Phi \). Therefore, the \( G_r \)-composition length of \( Q_r(\lambda) \) (for any \( \lambda \in X_r \)) is bounded by \( k(\Phi, r) \cdot d(\Phi) \). This number necessarily bounds all \([Q_r(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G_r}]\).

This leaves us finitely many primes \( p < 2(h - 1) \). In general, we have \([Q_r(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G_r}] \leq \dim Q_r(\lambda) \). For a given root system \( \Phi \) and positive integer \( r \), the \( G_r \)-composition length of \( Q_r(\lambda) \) is bounded by, for instance, \( \max\{\dim Q_r(\nu) : \nu \in X_r, \ p < 2(h - 1)\} \). Combining these cases gives the claimed bound \( K(\Phi, r) \).

**Remark 6.1.2** As noted in Section 4.1, the preceding proof does not make use of any of the preceding results of this paper. The reader may also recall that this proof is in fact required in the proof of Lemma 4.1.1. On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 1.4.2, which is given in the next section, does require Lemma 4.1.1.

### 6.2 Cartan Invariants of Finite Groups of Lie Type; Proof of Theorem 1.4.2

As noted above, we can assume that \( \sigma = F^r \) or \( \sigma = F^r \circ \theta = \theta \circ F^r \). For the finite group \( G(\sigma) \), the PIMs are again in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible modules, i.e., simply with the set \( X_\sigma = X_r \). Let \( U_\sigma(\lambda) \) denote the projective cover of \( L(\lambda) \) for \( \lambda \in X_r \) in the category of \( kG(\sigma) \)-modules. As noted in the proof of Theorem 6.1.1, when \( p \geq 2(h - 1) \), each PIM \( Q_r(\lambda) \) in the category of \( G_r \)-modules admits a unique \( G \)-structure. Upon restriction to \( G(\sigma) \), \( Q_r(\lambda) \) remains injective (or, equivalently, projective). This follows by simply observing that \( Q_r(\lambda) \) is a direct summand of \( S_r \otimes L(\lambda') \), where \( S_r \) is the \( r \)th Steinberg module and \( \lambda' \in X^+ \) [20, II.11.1]. Hence, \( U_\sigma(\lambda) \) is a direct summand of \( Q_r(\lambda) \). As shown below, this allows us to modify the argument for Frobenius kernels to obtain an analogous result for the \( G(\sigma) \). Note that in [26], Pillen showed (in the case (I) of Chevalley groups) that the “first” Cartan invariant \([U_\sigma(0) : k|G(\sigma)]| \) is independent of \( p \) for large \( p \).

Assume that \( p \geq 2(h - 1) \). As in the proof of Theorem 6.1.1, if one can bound \([U_\sigma(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G(\sigma)}]| \) in this setting, then one can deal with the finitely many remaining primes. Since \( U_\sigma(\lambda) \) is a summand of \( Q_r(\lambda)|_{G(\sigma)} \), it suffices to bound \([Q_r(\lambda)|_{G(\sigma)} : L(\mu)|_{G(\sigma)}]| \), that is, the composition multiplicity of the restriction of \( L(\mu) \) to \( G(\sigma) \) as a \( G(\sigma) \)-composition factor of \( Q_r(\lambda)|_{G(\sigma)} \). To do this, we follow the argument in the proof of Theorem 6.1.1.

As above, the number of \( G \)-composition factors of \( Q_r(\lambda) \) is bounded by \( k(\Phi, r) \) and the \( G \)-composition factors have the form \( L(\mu_0 + \sigma^* \mu_1) \cong L(\mu_0) \otimes L(\mu_1)^{(\sigma)} \) for \( \mu_0 \in X_r \) and \( \mu_1 < Q 2\rho \). As a \( G(\sigma) \)-module (as opposed to a \( G_r \) = \( G(\sigma) \)-module), \( L(\mu_0 + \sigma^* \mu_1) \cong L(\mu_0) \otimes L(\mu_1) \). By Lemma 4.1.1, since \( \mu_1 < Q 2\rho \), the number of \( G \)-composition factors of \( L(\mu_0) \otimes L(\mu_1) \) is bounded by some number \( f(\Phi, r) \), independent of \( p \). (Take \( f(\Phi, r) = f(e, b) \) with \( e = r \) and \( b = 2(h - 1) \) in Lemma 4.1.1.) Therefore, one \( G \)-factor of the form \( L(\mu_0 + \sigma^* \mu_1) \) could give rise to at most \( f(\Phi, r) \) many \( G(\sigma) \)-sections.
$L(v)|_{G(\sigma)}$, $v \leq \mu_0 + \mu_1 = \mu_0 + \sigma^*\mu_1 - (\sigma^* - 1)\mu_1$. However, it could happen that some of the $v$ are not $p'$-restricted, and we might have to iterate this process, first replacing $v = v_0 + \sigma^*v_1$ by $v_0 + v_1 = v_0 + \sigma^*v_1 - (\sigma^* - 1)v_1$. The reader may check that after at most $2(h - 1)$ iterations, we get only weights that are $p'$-restricted. Consequently, the $G(\sigma)$-length of $Q_r(\lambda)$ is bounded by $k(\Phi, r) \cdot f(\Phi, r)^{2(h-1)}$, thus giving a bound on all $[Q_r(\lambda) : L(\mu)|_{G(\sigma)}]$, as desired. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4.2.

As a consequence of the above proofs, we have the following result.

**Corollary 6.2.1** There exists a constant $k'(\Phi, r)$ depending only on the irreducible root system $\Phi$ and the positive integer $r$ with the following property. If $P$ is a PIM for $G_r$ or $G(\sigma)$ (in the defining characteristic) for a simple, simply connected algebraic group $G$ over an algebraically closed field $k$ with root system $\Phi$, then the composition factor length of $P$ is bounded by $k'(\Phi, r)$. Here $\sigma$ is any strict endomorphism of height $r$.

Obviously, $k'(\Phi, r)$ can be used as the constant in [23, Lem. 7.2] bounding the $G$-composition length there, though [23, Lem. 7.2] and the associated constant (denoted $k(\Phi, r)$ above) are used in the proof of the corollary.

Finally, both the corollary and Theorem 1.4.2 show that, once the root system $\Phi$ and $r$ are fixed, the Cartan invariants of the finite groups $G(\sigma)$ are bounded, independently of the prime $p$. This answers the question of Hiss stated in Question 1.4.1 (strong version), in the special case when $H = G(\sigma)$.
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