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ABSTRACT

We consider the origins of enigmatic stellar populations in four Local Group galactic nuclei, specifically the Milky Way, M31, M32 and M33. These are centrally concentrated blue stars, found in three out of the four nuclear star clusters (NSCs) considered here. Their origins are unknown, but could include blue straggler (BS) stars, extended horizontal branch stars and young recently formed stars. Here, we calculate order-of-magnitude estimates for various collision rates, as a function of the host NSC environment and distance from the cluster centre. These rates are sufficiently high that BSs, formed via collisions between main sequence (MS) stars, could contribute non-negligibly (∼1-10% in mass) to every surface brightness profile, with the exception of the Milky Way. Stellar evolution models show that the envelopes of red giant branch (RGB) stars must be nearly completely stripped to significantly affect their photometric appearance, which requires multiple collisions. Hence, the collision rates for individual RGB stars are only sufficiently high in the inner \textlesssim 0.1 pc of M31 and M32 for RGB destruction to occur. Collisions between white dwarfs and MS stars, which should ablate the stars, could offer a steady and significant supply of gas in every NSC in our sample. The gas could either fragment to form new stars, or accrete onto old MS stars already present. Thus, collisional processes could contribute significantly to the observed blue excesses in M31 and M33; future studies should be aimed at better constraining theoretical predictions to complement existing and future observational data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Over the last few decades, observational surveys have achieved unprecedented resolution, reaching sufficient sensitivity to resolve the central parsec of not only the Milky Way (MW), but also its nearest neighbors in the Local Group, namely M31, M32 and M33. These observations revealed a number of anomalous stellar populations, typically very blue and massive stars whose presence is difficult to reconcile without an in situ origin. The origins of these curious populations have become an active area of research over the last few years.

Within the core of the MW nuclear star cluster (NSC) complex, about a hundred OB stars reside in a strongly warped disk (between 0.8 and 12 arcsec, or \textapprox 0.04-0.6 pc from the SMBH) (e.g. Baruteau, Cuadra & Lizano 2011). This is because the mechanisms invoked to migrate blue stars inward after forming elsewhere in the Galaxy tend to operate on characteristic timescales that exceed the (inferred) mean ages of the stars.
The mean stellar mass and age are inferred to be $\gtrsim 10$ $M_\odot$ and $\sim 6$ Myr, respectively. There exists tentative evidence for a second, less-massive and counterclockwise disk that consists of stars similar in their photometric, spectroscopic and even kinematic properties (e.g. Paumard et al. 2006, Bartko et al. 2009, 2010). Closer in to the very centre of the nucleus is an even more centrally located ($\lesssim 0.01$ pc) compact structure called the S-star cluster consisting of main-sequence B-stars with randomly oriented and eccentric orbits (Bartko et al. 2010), with eccentricities ranging up to 0.8 and having a median value of $\sim 0.4$ (e.g. Lu et al. 2010; Bartko et al. 2009, 2010).

The existence of young stars in the very inner regions of the Galactic Centre would be puzzling, given that a supermassive black hole (SMBH) is also present, called Sgr A*. The standard picture for star formation involving the collapse of a self-gravitating cold molecular cloud is unlikely to happen due to the strong tidal field of the SMBH. But the physics underlying star formation in such an extreme environment close to an SMBH is highly uncertain, and other mechanisms can potentially be invoked (e.g. Alexander 2004). For example, Bonnell & Rice (2008) showed that, when a molecular cloud passes close to an SMBH, it can become tidally disrupted and form a thin accretion disk. Star formation could then occur if the density in the disk is sufficiently high for its own self-gravity to overcome the tidal force from the SMBH (e.g. Levin & Beloborodov 2003; Navakshin & Sunyaev 2005). Alternatively, a dense young cluster could migrate inward due to dynamical friction if it is sufficiently massive ($\gtrsim 10^6 M_\odot$), provided it originates within a few parsecs of the central SMBH (e.g. Gerhard 2001).

Another mechanism to explain the blue stars at the Galactic Centre is stellar mergers, which can form rejuvenated main-sequence stars that appear young (e.g. Antonini et al. 2011; Ghez et al. 2005). This could be facilitated by the central SMBH, which forms an outer triple companion to any binary orbiting sufficiently close to it that its orbit is Keplerian (e.g. Antonini et al. 2010). Thus, stellar binaries could undergo large oscillations in their eccentricities and inclinations due to the Kozai-Lidov mechanism (for a review, see Naoz (2016)). Tidal damping between binary companions could then shrink or circularize their orbits, or even drive a direct collision if the eccentricity becomes sufficiently high. Merger products could also form when one of the binary components evolves off the main-sequence to over-fill its Roche lobe (Prodan, Antonini & Perets 2013; Stephan et al. 2016).

In addition to the surplus of blue stars very close to the central SMBH, observations suggest a relative paucity of old evolved stars in the central half-parsecs of our Galaxy (e.g. Krabbe et al. 1991; Najarro et al. 1994; Buchholz, Scholz & Eckart 2004; Do et al. 2004; Bartho et al. 2011). Because of the extreme extinction in the direction of Sgr A*, these surveys reached a limiting magnitude of $K_s \sim 18$, nevertheless indicating that the red giant branch (RGB) and horizontal branch (HB) stars with ages $\gtrsim 1$ Gyr and masses $0.5 - 4 M_\odot$ are less numerous than expected when assuming a normal (e.g. Kroupa) initial stellar mass function (Genzel, Eisenhauer & Gillessen 2010).

Several mechanisms have been suggested to explain the missing RGB stars in the Galactic Centre, including star-star collisions (e.g. Genzel et al. 1994; Davies et al. 1998; Bailey & Davies 1999; Dale et al. 2009), three-body interactions with a central SMBH-SMBH binary (e.g. Baumgardt, Gualandris & Portegies Zwart 2006; Portegies Zwart et al. 2003; Gualandris & Merritt 2012), and the infall of star clusters (e.g. Kim & Morris 2003; Antonini et al. 2012a). Motivated by observations of the disk of blue stars orbiting Sgr A* at $\sim 0.04 - 0.6$ pc, Amaro-Seoane & Chen (2014) recently proposed star-disk collisions as a mechanism to deplete RGB stars which, in this scenario, collide repeatedly with star-forming cores in the natal gaseous disk that is speculated to form the blue stars.

In the nearby spiral galaxy M31, our current understanding of the inner nucleus is slightly less detailed than in the MW. This is in spite of the greater extinction in the direction of the Galactic Centre, and is partly due to the larger distance to M31, making it less well resolved (e.g. Light, Danielson & Schwarzschild 1974). M31 is home to two nuclear disks. A massive old disk of stars, called the P1+P2 complex, constitutes the inner nucleus, with a total mass of $\sim 3 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ and a half-power radius of $\sim 1.8$ pc (Bender et al. 2003). Inside this old disk and immediately surrounding the central SMBH in M31 is a compact nuclear cluster of blue stars dubbed P3, organized into a disk with half-power radius $\sim 0.2$ pc (Lauer et al. 1998). The spectrum for P3 is consistent with a clump of stars in the spectral range B5-A5, with an integrated magnitude $M_V = -5.7$ (Lauer et al. 1998; Bender et al. 2003; Demarque & Virani 2007).

Many hypotheses have been proposed to explain the blue cluster at the very centre of M31. Several authors have invoked a recent burst of star formation near the central SMBH to explain these anomalous blue stars. For example, Bender et al. (2003) illustrated that the integrated spectrum and spectral energy distribution is consistent with a burst of star formation $\sim 200$ Myr ago. This was later improved upon by Lauer et al. (2012), who fit Padova isochrones for single-burst populations with ages of 50, 100 and 200 Myr to an observed colour-magnitude diagram of the blue cluster P3. Chang et al. (2007) argued that gas from stellar evolution-induced mass loss can reach surface densities sufficiently high to induce fragmentation and star formation in the immediate vicinity of the SMBH. In this picture, the gas ends up being funneled into orbit about the SMBBH due to the non-axisymmetric structure of the surrounding M31 nucleus (e.g. Levin & Beloborodov 2003; Bonnell & Rice 2003; Wardle & Yusef-Zadeh 2012).

Alternatively, Demarque & Virani (2007) pointed out that the observed spectrum of the hot stars in P3 is also consistent with an old stellar population in the extended or post-horizontal branch phase of evolution, or even blue stragglers (e.g. Sills et al. 1997, 2001). The blue stragglers (BSs) could be created from direct stellar collisions and/or

$^2$ Bender et al. (2002) created a mock colour-magnitude diagram for P3 composed of 186 stars of types A5 to B5 plus 7 evolved red giants, which can reproduce the observed spectrum. From this, the authors derived a total mass of $\sim 4200 M_\odot$ (assuming many additional unseen low-mass main-sequence stars).
mergers, while the extended horizontal branch (EHB) stars could be the result of tidal stripping of red giant branch stars by the SMBH (e.g. Genzel et al. 1996; Davies et al. 1998). Yu (2003) considered a collisional origin for the hot stars in M31. The author found a collision rate that is too small to account for their origins, however this result rests on the critical assumption that the collisions occur within a spherical stellar distribution surrounding the central SMBH. More recent observations have demonstrated that this assumption is not correct, and the distribution more closely resembles the eccentric disk model of Tremaine (1995) (see Section 4 for more details).

In the nearby dwarf elliptical M32, there is no evidence for a central blue excess in the inner nucleus. There is little indication of any change in the optical and UV colours in the very inner regions of the nucleus close to the putative central SMBH. Although slightly bluer colors are observed in the very central pixels of a WFPC F555W-F814W color image by Lauer et al. (1998), the U-V colours should more sensitively probe for a central blue excess, and this becomes redder toward the centre of the galaxy. The authors conclude that M32 does not harbor an excess of blue stars in the very inner regions of the nucleus. Weaker CO lines and redder continuum are also observed in the inner ∼ 0.9 pc, but this is thought to be due to dust emission. This trend cannot be explained from changes in the stellar populations in the M32 nucleus, unlike the MW NSC where weaker CO lines are attributed to a dearth of RGB stars (Seborg et al. 1994). The stellar density in M32 is one of the highest ever observed (∼ 10^5 M⊙), and should (naively) be more than sufficient for collisions to occur. However, missing RGB stars cannot by themselves explain the observed trend between weaker CO lines and a redder continuum reported by Seth (2010), since this would contribute to a bluer, not redder, K-band continuum.

A number of mechanisms have been proposed for the origins of the M32 nucleus, which consists of a puffed up envelope that is thought to be due to dust emission. This trend cannot be explained from changes in the stellar populations in the M32 nucleus, unlike the MW NSC where weaker CO lines are attributed to a dearth of RGB stars (Seborg et al. 1994). The stellar density in M32 is one of the highest ever observed (∼ 10^5 M⊙), and should (naively) be more than sufficient for collisions to occur. However, missing RGB stars cannot by themselves explain the observed trend between weaker CO lines and a redder continuum reported by Seth (2010), since this would contribute to a bluer, not redder, K-band continuum.

Both Kormendy & McClure (1993) and Lauer et al. (1998) discuss this central blue excess in the context of blue stragglers (BSs) formed from stellar collisions. Kormendy & McClure (1993) further suggested that the short relaxation time could mean that core-collapse has previously occurred, which might increase the collision rate in the inner nucleus. Indeed, the half-power radius of the central nuclear region is only 0.13 pc. Long, Charles & Dubus (2002) performed stellar population modeling of STIS spectra, and found that the data can best be fit assuming two distinct stellar populations, with masses and ages of 9000 M⊙ at 40 Myrs and 7.6 × 10^4 M⊙ at 1 Gyr, respectively.

In this paper, we re-visit the issue of the origins of the blue stars observed in the very central regions of Local Group nuclei, with an emphasis on comparing and contrasting the different theoretical mechanisms proposed to explain the observed properties of these curious populations. Throughout this paper, we will use the term “blue stars” to refer to a secondary stellar population, defined relative to an underlying primary population with a much redder mean colour. The total amount of blue light is sufficient that accounting for both populations with a single stellar mass function would require some non-canonical spike or special feature in order to reproduce the secondary population. The origins of these blue stars are unknown, as are their ages. We will use the term “young stars” to refer to blue stars that are very young relative to a primary old population.

We focus on the different channels for mediating direct collisions and mergers (involving old stars), as a function of the host nuclear star cluster environment. In Section 2.1 we present order-of-magnitude estimates for the rates of MS+MS, MS+RGB, MS+WD, WD+RGB, MS+NS, BH+RGB and single-binary (1+2) collisions, suitable for different assumptions about the properties of the nucleus. In Section 3 we present stellar evolution models of tidally stripped RGB stars, which illustrate that the photometric appearance and subsequent evolution are roughly insensitive to the degree of mass loss (unless nearly the entire envelope is removed). We discuss in Section 4 the observed properties of the nuclei in the MW, M31, M32 and M33, which decide the appropriate form of our derived collision rates, and we present the calculated collision rates for these different NSCs, as a function of the distance from the cluster centre. We discuss in Section 5 the implications of our results for the role of collisional processes in shaping the observed properties of dense unresolved stellar populations. We go on to discuss the relevance of our results for inferring age spreads in nuclear star clusters, as well as the most probable formation mechanism for the inner blue stars ob-
served in three out of four NSCs in the Local Group. We summarize our main conclusions in Section 6.

2 COLLISIONS AND Mergers in Nuclear Star Clusters

In this section, we derive order-of-magnitude estimates for the mean rate of collisions and mergers, as a function of the host nuclear cluster environment. These include direct collisions between main-sequence (MS) stars, tidal stripping of red giant branch (RGB) stars via direct MS+RGB, WD+RGB and BH+RGB collisions, direct collisions between main sequence stars and both neutron stars (NSs) and white dwarfs (WDs) (which could serve as a prolific source of gas in the nucleus; see Section 5 for more details), direct encounters between stellar binaries and MS stars (which typically produce a MS+MS collision) and Kozai-Lidov oscillations mediated by an SMBH acting as the outer third companion. All collisions and mergers are assumed to involve only old stars, in an effort to evaluate if each mechanism could account for the blue populations observed in three out of the four nuclei considered here. Note that dense galactic nuclei have high velocity dispersions, and are hence predicted to have low binary fractions (e.g. Heggie 1975; Leigh & Sills 2011). Thus, binary-binary (2+2) encounters are irrelevant for our purposes, since 1+2 encounters dominate over 2+2 encounters for binary fractions ≤ 10% (Sigurdsson & Phinney 1993; Leigh & Sills 2011).

2.1 Collision rates

First, we calculate the mean rate of collisions in a nuclear star cluster with a central SMBH of mass M_{BH}. We begin by considering a nuclear disk with predominantly Keplerian orbits about the central SMBH. We then go on to consider a spherical pressure-supported system surrounding a central SMBH. We then combine these limiting cases in order to provide a single formula for the collision rate that is applicable to every NSC in our sample since, as described in Section 4, each NSC can be reasonably well described by one of these two limiting regimes.

The stellar orbits surrounding a central SMBH will be roughly Keplerian if they are within the radius of influence r_{inf} of the SMBH. For a nuclear disk, for example, the bulk of the stellar orbits will be approximately Keplerian provided the influence radius significantly exceeds the scale length of the disk a. The influence radius is approximately defined as (Merritt 2013):

\[ r_{inf} = \frac{GM_{BH}}{\sigma^2}, \]  

where \( \sigma \) is the velocity dispersion of the surrounding NSC.

We begin by calculating and comparing the relevant velocities, namely the escape velocity from the stellar surface \( v_e \), the Hill velocity \( v_H \) and the velocity dispersion \( \sigma \). A comparison of these velocities determines the importance of gravitational-focusing during collisions. We assume that all stars in the NSC are identical, with masses \( M \) and radii \( R \). For (old) low-mass main-sequence stars, a reasonable approximation is \( M/R \sim M_\odot/R_\odot \). Thus, the escape velocity from the surface of a typical star in the disk/cluster is:

\[ v_e = \left( \frac{2GM}{R} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

which gives \( \sim 618 \text{ km/s} \) assuming \( M = 1 \text{ M}_\odot \) and \( R = 1 \text{ R}_\odot \).

At the Hill radius \( R_H \), the orbital frequency of a body in orbit about a star is comparable to the orbital frequency of the star around the central SMBH. The Hill velocity is then the characteristic velocity associated with the Hill radius, or:

\[ v_H \approx \left( \frac{GM}{R_H} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

where

\[ R_H \approx r \left( \frac{M}{M_{BH}} \right)^{1/3}, \]  

and \( r \) is the distance from the central SMBH. Plugging Equation 4 into Equation 5 we obtain:

\[ v_H \approx \left( \frac{GM^{2/3}M_{BH}^{1/3}}{r} \right)^{1/2}. \]

In M31, for example, Equation 5 yields \( v_H \sim 1.1 \text{ km/s} \), assuming a scale length for the disk of \( a = 1.8 \text{ pc} \) (Bender et al. 2009), \( M = 1 \text{ M}_\odot \) and \( M_{BH} = 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}_\odot \) (Bender et al. 2009).

If \( \sigma > v_e \), then we are in the super-escape regime, so that gravitational-focusing can be neglected. Conversely, in the sub-escape and super-Hill regime \( v_H < \sigma < v_e \) gravitational-focusing is significant. We do not consider the sub-Hill regime (i.e. \( \sigma < v_H \)), since the Hill velocity is always very small in galactic nuclei.

Putting this all together, the collision rate for a Keplerian nuclear disk can be written:

\[ \Gamma \approx \left( \frac{\Sigma \Omega a^2}{M} \right) \left( 1 + \left( \frac{v_e}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right), \]  

where

\[ \Omega = \left( \frac{GM_{BH}}{r^3} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

and the second term in Equation 6 smoothly transitions the collision rate into and out of the regime where gravitational-focusing becomes important. The stellar surface mass density is:

\[ \Sigma = \left( \frac{M_\delta}{\sigma a^2} \right), \]  

where \( M_\delta \) is the total stellar mass of the disk, \( a \) is its scale length and \( h \) is its scale height. Equation 6 gives the mean rate at which a given star or object orbiting at a distance \( r \) from the central SMBH collides with other objects.

Next, we consider an NSC for which the dominant stellar distribution is (approximately) spherical, pressure-supported and the stellar motions are predominantly random. Here, we assume that the stellar orbits are distributed isotropically and the velocity distribution is isothermal (i.e., Maxwellian with dispersion \( \sigma_0 \)) (e.g. Merritt 2013).

Similar to Equation 6, an order-of-magnitude estimate for the mean rate \( \Gamma \) at which a given star or object undergoes direct collisions with other objects is:

\[ \Gamma \approx \left( \frac{\rho a^2}{M} \right) \left( 1 + \left( \frac{v_e}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right), \]  

where \( \rho \) is the mass density of the NSC. For a typical NSC in the Local Group, \( \rho \approx 0.01 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3} \) and \( a \approx 1.8 \text{ pc} \) (Bender et al. 2009).
where, as before, the second term smoothly transitions the collision rate into and out of the regime where gravitational-focusing becomes important. In Equation [9], we use the local velocity dispersion $\sigma$, calculated as:

$$\sigma^2(r) = \sigma_0^2 + \frac{G M_{\text{BH}}}{r}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This serves to modify the velocity dispersion very close to the central SMBH, where the stellar orbits begin transitioning into the Keplerian regime.

Finally, combining Equations [3] and [9], we obtain a general formula for the rate of collisions in a nuclear star cluster with or without a central SMBH:

$$\Gamma \approx (\rho \sigma + \Sigma \Omega) \left( \frac{R^2}{M} \right) \left( 1 + \left( \frac{v_1}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

As we will show in Section 3, Equation [11] reasonably describes the collision rates in every galactic nucleus in our sample, provided we set either $\rho = 0$ or $\Sigma = 0$. This is because, within our chosen outer limit of integration (i.e., 2 pc), the dominant NSC structure is either well described by one of these two extremes, namely an (approximately) spherical pressure-supported system (i.e., the MW, M32 and M33) or a Keplerian disk (i.e., M31).

### 2.2 Binary mergers due to Kozai-Lidov oscillations

In this section, we derive order-of-magnitude estimates for the mean rate of binary mergers mediated by Kozai-Lidov oscillations with an SMBH acting as the outer triple companion, as a function of the host nuclear environment and distance from the central SMBH.

The characteristic time-scale for eccentricity oscillations to occur due to the Kozai-Lidov mechanism is (Holman, Touna & Tremaine 1997, Antonini et al. 2016):

$$\tau_{KL} \approx P_b \left( \frac{M_b}{M_{\text{BH}}} \right) \left( \frac{r}{a_b} \right)^3 \left( 1 - e_{\text{BH}}^2 \right)^{3/2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $M_b = 2M$ is the binary mass, $r$ is the distance from the SMBH, $e_{\text{BH}}$ is orbital eccentricity of the binary's orbit about the central SMBH, $a_b$ is the binary orbital separation and $P_b$ is the binary orbital period. The rate at which binaries merge due to Kozai-Lidov oscillations within a given distance from the SMBH is then:

$$\Gamma_{KL}(r) \sim \frac{\pi f_b f_i \Sigma r^2}{2 M \tau_{KL}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

corresponding to a nuclear disk, and

$$\Gamma_{KL}(r) \sim \frac{2 \pi f_b f_i \rho r^3}{3 M \tau_{KL}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

corresponding to a spherical pressure-supported nucleus. Here, $f_b$ is the fraction of objects that are binaries, and $f_i$ is the fraction of binaries with their inner and outer orbital planes aligned such that Kozai cycles operate. For simplicity, we assume $f_i = 1$. Thus, the calculated rates are strict upper limits, since they assume that every binary is aligned relative to the SMBH such that Kozai oscillations will occur, which is certainly not the case. We will return to this issue in Section 3.

Importantly, Equation [12] and hence Equations [13] and [14] are only valid at distances from the SMBH smaller than (Prodan, Antoninni & Perets 2015):

$$r_{SC} \approx 0.02 \left( \frac{a_b}{\text{AU}} \right)^{4/3} \left( \frac{M_b}{2M_\odot} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{4 \times 10^6 M_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1 - e_{\text{BH}}^2}{1 - e_{\text{BH}}^2} \right)^{1/2} \text{pc},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $e_{\text{BH}}$ is the orbital eccentricity of the binary star. This is due to general relativistic, or Schwarzschild apsidal, precision in the binary star (Holman, Tourna & Tremaine 1997, Blaes, Lee & Socrates 2002, Holman & Alexander 2006).

### 3 The Effect of Mass Loss on the Photometric Appearance of Red Giant Branch Stars

In this section, we quantify the effects of collisions and, specifically, the subsequent tidal stripping on the photometric appearance of RGB stars. Our primary concern is the stellar evolution, so we do not specify the nature of the impactor. Instead, we look at how discrete mass loss events affect the subsequent time evolution of the RGB luminosity and radius. We note here that our calculated collision rates are sufficiently high that the underlying RGB populations could be significantly affected, and these stars dominate the light distribution in an old stellar population. Due to the high extinction toward the Galactic centre, for example, these are the only ("old") stars that can be observed.

Stars of initial mass $M_\odot \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 10$ become RGBs stars. Exhaustion of hydrogen in the core is followed by burning of hydrogen to helium in a thin shell; the luminosity at the beginning of this evolutionary stage, i.e. at the base of the giant branch (BGB), is approximated by (e.g. Eggleton, Fitchett & Tout 1989):

$$L_{\text{BGB}} \approx \frac{2.15 M^2 + 0.22 M^5}{1 + 1.4 \times 10^{-2} M^2 + 5 \times 10^{-6} M^4},$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $M$ is the mass of the star. The mass of the helium core gradually increases, and the star's radius and luminosity shoot up as the star climbs the giant branch. In stellar evolution models, the radius and luminosity during the giant phase are determined almost uniquely by the mass, $M_c$, of the (helium) core. Approximate relations for $0.17 \lesssim M_c/M_\odot \lesssim 1.4$ are (Eggleton 2006):

$$L_{\text{GB}} \approx \frac{10^{5-3} M_c^6}{1 + 10^{0-1} M_c^6 + 10^{0-5} M_c^6},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$R_{\text{GB}} \approx M^{-0.3} (L_{\text{GB}}^{0.5} + 0.333 L_{\text{GB}}^{0.75}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The dominant energy source during these evolutionary phases is the CNO cycle, and so the rate of energy production is tied to the rate of increase of the core mass by

$$L_{\text{GB}} \approx 1.44 \times 10^5 \times \frac{dM_c}{dt}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Given an initial core mass, Equations [17-19] can be solved for the dependence of $M_c$, $L_{\text{GB}}$ and $R_{\text{GB}}$ on time. The surface temperature is given by the Stefan-Boltzmann law (however,
at late times, the maximum radius is limited by mass loss). The initial core mass – that is, the mass of the hydrogen-exhausted helium core at the time the main sequence turnoff is reached – is given by (Eggleton 2006):

\[ M_c \approx \frac{0.11 M_1^2 + 0.002 M_2^2 + 9.6 \times 10^{-5} M_3^4}{1 + 0.0018 M_2^2 + 1.75 \times 10^{-4} M_3^2}. \]  

(20)

For instance, for \( M = (1, 2, 3) \) \( M_\odot \), the initial core mass is \( M_c/M_\odot = (0.11, 0.26, 0.43) \) and the initial core mass ratio is \( M_c/M_\odot = (0.11, 0.13, 0.14) \).

For stars with masses \( 0.3 \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 0.5 \) the evolution on the RGB is interrupted when \( M_c \) has grown to approximately \( M \). Low mass stars, with \( 0.5 \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 2 \), develop degenerate He cores on the RGB and 3a reactions initiate a violent nuclear runaway, called the helium-flash. Intermediate mass stars, with \( 2 \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 10 \), develop non-degenerate He cores, which grow only slightly on the RGB before the He burning begins. The luminosity at the tip of the giant branch (TGB) is roughly \( L_{\text{TGB}} \approx L_{\text{RGB}} + 2 \times 10^3 \).

Mass loss can significantly alter the evolution of an RGB star. For instance, it can prevent the star from igniting He, and therefore terminate the star’s evolution at an earlier stage. In order to study the response of RGB stars to episodes of intense mass loss, we used the stellar evolution code STARS (Eggleton 1976; Pols et al. 1992). We compute evolution tracks for stars with various ZAMS masses, applying the de Jager, Nieuwenhuijzen & van der Hucht (1988) prescription for the mass loss rate due to stellar winds. At some point during the RGB phase, we switch to a constant mass loss regime at the rate \( 10^{-5} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\). During this time we turn off changes in composition due to nuclear burning, while retaining energy production. When the stellar mass is reduced to a certain value, \( M_f \), we halt the rapid mass loss regime, and continue with “normal” stellar evolution by turning the de Jager mass loss rate prescription back on, which in turn allows for composition changes to re-initiate. This numerical procedure allows us to study the evolution of stars undergoing an episode of mass loss on a time scale much shorter than their nuclear time scale, as is the case for an RGB star that is tidally stripped of its envelope.

Figure 2 displays stellar evolution tracks on the RGB, after different amounts of envelope stripping. Stars will not reach the TGB if the total stellar mass is smaller (due to stellar winds) than the He core mass at the TGB of the progenitor star. In this case, the star will instead climb the giant branch until the mass of its hydrogen exhausted He core is essentially equal to its total stellar mass, at which point it will turn off the giant branch to the blue at a luminosity as other stars of the same total mass. The star will fail to ignite He and will subsequently cool off to become a white dwarf with an He core. If the mass left in the star is instead large enough that its He core mass can reach that of the parent star at the moment of He ignition, then the remnant will also ignite He at roughly the same luminosity as its (unperturbed) parent star. In this scenario, the star eventually cools off to become a white dwarf with a CO core. Figure 3 shows that, for stars of total mass \( 0.8 \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 1.5 \), to significantly alter the evolution and make the TGB fainter requires \( M_t \lesssim 0.7 M_\odot \). For \( M \gtrsim 2 M_\odot \), we require instead \( M_t \approx 0.3 M_\odot \) to be removed or, equivalently, more than 90% of the star’s envelope (e.g. Prada Moroni & Straniero 2009).

We find that the overall stars’ evolution is relatively insensitive to the luminosity at which the mass loss episode occurs. Following mass removal, a star will nearly return to its initial luminosity on the RGB, but will move onto the point on the Hayashi track corresponding to its new mass. This is independent of the luminosity at which tidal stripping occurs. While the luminosity and evolutionary timescales remain virtually unchanged, the new radius of a stripped RGB star will increase (or decrease; see below) significantly as it reaches a new state of thermal equilibrium.

For \( M_c/M_\odot \gtrsim (\lesssim) 0.2 \), the star responds to mass loss by becoming smaller (larger). In Figure 2 the dashed line corresponds to an He core mass equal to 0.2\( M_\odot \), approximately the mass ratio below which RGB stars respond to tidal stripping by expanding. Stars with masses \( M \gtrsim 2 M_\odot \) never rise above this critical mass ratio and will always expand on losing mass. Lower mass stars, on the other hand, have \( 0.1 \lesssim M_c/M_\odot \lesssim 0.15 \) when they first leave the main sequence, and will expand upon losing mass. Farther up the giant branch, the core mass increases relative to the total stellar mass, and these stars should shrink upon losing mass.

Figure 3 shows the mass of the hydrogen exhausted core, \( M_c \), at the TGB as a function of the ZAMS mass for both unperturbed and stripped stars. For unperturbed stars, there is a deep minimum around \( M = 2 M_\odot \) which corresponds approximately to the transition between stars with degenerate, \( M \lesssim 2 M_\odot \), and non-degenerate, \( M \gtrsim 2 M_\odot \), He cores at the beginning of the giant branch (Hoyle & Schwarzschild 1955). Comparing the He core mass at the TGB with that at the time corresponding to the main sequence turnoff (dotted line in Figure 2), we see that for \( M \gtrsim 2 M_\odot \) the majority of the He core mass needed for ignition of He-burning is already in place before the star reaches the giant branch. A star with \( M \approx 2 M_\odot \) that undergoes a strong mass loss episode on the RGB will still experience a core-He burning phase and reach nearly the same luminosity as its parent star at the TGB. Therefore, for \( M \gtrsim 2 M_\odot \), mass loss will have little effect on the luminosity corresponding to the TGB, unless most of the envelope is suddenly removed near the RGB. With that said, however, mass loss during the RGB phase could still make the tip of the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) non-negligibly fainter (for example, see the lower panels in Figure 1).

4 APPLICATION TO LOCAL GROUP GALACTIC NUCLEI

In this section, we review the available observations for the central nuclear regions of our four Local Group galaxies, namely the MW, M31, M32 and M33, in order to characterize their physical properties for input to the rate estimates presented in Section 2.1. We further present the calculated collision rates for each nucleus as a function of distance from the cluster centre, using the observed NSC properties.

Our main results are shown in Figures 5 and 6, which show the collision rates (both for a particular object and the total integrated rates) for the MW (top left insets), M31 (top right insets), M32 (bottom left insets) and M33 (bottom right insets), as a function of distance from the cluster centre or SMBH. The solid black, dotted red, dashed blue, long-dashed green, dotted black and dot-dashed cyan
lines show, respectively, the rates of MS+MS, MS+RGB, MS+WD, WD+RGB, BH+RGB and 1+2 collisions.

Figure 4 shows the individual rates for a specific object to experience direct collisions with other objects of a given type, and must hence be multiplied by an appropriate fraction. We multiply the rates of MS+MS, MS+RGB, MS+WD, WD+RGB, BH+RGB and 1+2 collisions by factors of \((1-f_b)f_{BS}, (1-f_b)f_{BS}, (1-f_b)f_{MS}, (1-f_b)f_{RGB}, (1-f_b)f_{RGB}, (1-f_b)f_{RGB}\) and \((1-f_b)\), respectively, where \(f_b\) is the fraction of objects that are binaries and \(f_{BS}\) is the fraction of single stars on the main-sequence. We require \(1 = f_{BS} + f_{RGB} + f_{WD} + f_{BS} + f_{BS} + f_{BH}\), where \(f_{RGB}, f_{WD}, f_{BS}\) and \(f_{BH}\) are the fractions of single RGBs, WDs, BSs and BHs, respectively. We set \(f_b = 0.01, f_{BS} = 0.89, f_{WD} = 0.10\) and \(f_{RGB} = 0.01\) (e.g. Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2007, 2009; Moeckel 2009; Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2011). These fractions are only approximate, but are representative of what we find upon integrating over a Kroupa initial mass function (Kroupa 1995a,b), over the appropriate mass ranges for an old stellar population. For the fractions of NSs and BHs, we set \(f_{NS} = 0.01\) and \(f_{BH} = 0.001\) (e.g. Alexander 2003; Hopman & Alexander 2006).

To estimate the binary fraction for each NSC, \(f_b\), we first calculate the expected average hard-soft boundary in the NSC for a typical binary. We then calculate the fraction of the log-normal input period distribution, taken from Raghavan et al. (2010) for solar-type binaries in the field, below this hard-soft boundary, \(f_P\). We assume that, if the full period distribution could be occupied, this would yield a binary fraction of 50\%. The (hard) binary fraction is then given as the product \(f_b = 0.5f_P\). The resulting binary fractions are lower in clusters with hard-soft boundaries at smaller orbital periods (i.e. clusters with larger velocity dispersions), as is consistent with observed star clusters (e.g., Sollima 2008; Milone et al. 2012; Leigh et al. 2013). This gives \(f_b = 0.010, 0.002, 0.020\) and 0.060 for, respectively, the MW, M31, M32 and M33. Finally, for the mean binary orbital separation, we adopt the cluster hard-soft boundary.

Figure 4 shows the total rates for any pair of objects to undergo a collision. These total rates are calculated by multiplying each collision rate in Figure 4 by the number of objects (MS, RGB or WD) in a given radial bin. We adopt a bin size of 0.01 pc, such that the y-axis corresponds to the number of collision products in each 0.01 pc radial interval, over a 100 Myr time interval. We multiply each rate

---

**Figure 1.** Evolution tracks for stars with ZAMS masses of \(M = 1M_{\odot}\) and \(3M_{\odot}\) undergoing an episode of mass loss on the giant branch. The total stellar mass, \(M_t\), remaining after some fraction of the RGB envelope was (instantaneously) expelled is shown in blue. The star’s evolution during the mass removal phase is shown by the red line, with the blue lines depicting the subsequent evolution. Stellar evolution tracks for unperturbed stars are shown via the black lines. For comparison, for each model, we also show via the dashed orange lines the Eggleton stellar evolution tracks for unperturbed stars with the Sun to the Galactic Centre.

**Figure 2.** The He-core mass at the TGB is shown as a function of the ZAMS mass. The filled circles show the results for unperturbed single stars. The star symbols show the hydrogen-exhausted He core mass for stars undergoing an episode of rapid mass loss on the giant branch. The symbols are larger for stars that ignite He. The indicated masses show the final stellar mass that remains after mass removal. The dashed line delineates the boundary between canonical stars (filled circles) that respond to mass loss by becoming bigger (\(M_c/M_{\odot} < 0.2\)) or smaller (\(M_c/M_{\odot} > 0.2\)). The dot-dashed line shows the mass of the hydrogen-exhausted He core at the time corresponding to the main sequence turnoff. All units are assumed to be solar.
by the calculated number of objects of the given type within a given radial bin, found by multiplying the mean density in each bin by its volume. An additional factor is included to correct for the fraction of objects of the desired type. That is, we multiply the numbers of MS+MS, MS+RGB, MS+WD, WD+RGB, MS+NS, BH+RGB and 1+2 collisions by (1-\(f_{\text{MS}}\))(1-\(f_{\text{RGB}}\)), (1-\(f_{\text{WD}}\))(1-\(f_{\text{MS}}\))(1-\(f_{\text{NS}}\)), (1-\(f_{\text{BD}}\))(1-\(f_{\text{WD}}\))(1-\(f_{\text{NS}}\)), respectively. We then calculate the total number of collision/merger products for each mechanism by integrating numerically over the 2-D and 3-D density profiles. That is, we sum over all radial bins to estimate the total number of collision products expected in a 100 Myr time interval, from 0.1 pc (i.e., the current location of the inner blue stars) to 2 pc (i.e., a rough estimate for the relevant size of the surrounding old population) from the cluster centre. The results are shown in Table 1 for all NSCs in our sample.

In making Figures 3 and 4 we assume a mean single star mass and radius of 0.3 \(M_{\odot}\) and 0.3 \(R_{\odot}\), respectively, which are suitable for an old stellar population. All RGB stars, WDs, NSs and BHs are assumed to have masses of, respectively, 1 \(M_{\odot}\), 1 \(M_{\odot}\), 2 \(M_{\odot}\) and 10 \(M_{\odot}\). All RGB stars are assumed to have radii of 10 \(R_{\odot}\), and all binaries have orbital separations equal to the hard-soft boundary. Hence, gravitational focusing is typically negligible for all collisions involving RGB stars (except if BHs are involved) and binaries, but is always significant for MS+MS, MS+WD, MS+NS and BH+RGB collisions. We caution that the rates shown in Figures 3 and 4 are order-of-magnitude estimates, and are highly sensitive to our assumptions for the input parameters. For example, an increase in the mean binary orbital separation by a factor of only 2 translates into an increase in both the corresponding rates and numbers by a factor of 8. Thus, binary destruction via 1+2 collisions could be (or have been in the past) much more efficient than Figures 3 and 4 would suggest, since we assume only very compact binaries.

### 4.1 The Milky Way

At the heart of the Milky Way (MW), there resides an NSC of mass \(\sim 9 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}\) (< 100 arcsec) hosting a supermassive black hole of mass \(\sim 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}\) (e.g. Genzel et al. 1992; Chatzopoulos et al. 2013). We assume a pressure-supported nucleus with an isothermal velocity dispersion \(\sigma_0 = 100 \text{ km/s}\) (Merritt 2013). Using Equation 4 this yields an influence radius for the central SMBH of \(\sim 1.7\) pc.

The MW NSC is thought to have a core (e.g. Merritt 2010), hence we adopt the stellar density profile of Stone & Ostriker (2013):

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{(1 + r^2/r_c^2)(1 + r^2/r_h^2)},
\]

where \(r\) is the distance from the origin, \(r_c\) is the core radius and \(r_h\) is the half-mass radius. Equation 21 has been chosen to produce a flat core inside a roughly isothermal cluster.

Here, the core radius is given by:

\[
r_c = \frac{\sigma_0}{2 \pi G \rho_0}.
\]

For comparison, we also show our results assuming the density profile given by Equations 1 and 4 in Merritt (2010) via an additional inset in Figures 3 and 4. As we will show, both of these assumptions for the density profile yield very comparable radial collision profiles. The central density is assumed to be \(10^6 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}\), and we adopt a half-mass radius of \(r_h = 2.5\) pc (Merritt 2013) along with a mass-to-light ratio of 2. We use Equation 11 to calculate all collision rates in the MW (with \(\Sigma = 0\)), appropriate for a pressure-supported roughly isothermal nuclear environment, and transition smoothly between these formulae as gravitational-focusing becomes significant. Note that we set \(M_0 = 0\), since there is no significant Keplerian disk component outside 0.1 pc. The results are shown in Figures 3 and 4 by the black lines.

In the MW, the collision rates are sufficiently high that
Table 1. Total number of collision products expected in a 100 Myr time interval

| Galaxy  | MS+MS | MS+RGB | MS+WD | WD+RGB | MS+NS | BH+RGB | 1+2 |
|---------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----|
| Milky Way | $7.1 \times 10^4$ | $1.8 \times 10^2$ | $2.5 \times 10^1$ | $2.0 \times 10^1$ | $5.0 \times 10^0$ | $1.0 \times 10^0$ | $6.5 \times 10^1$ |
| M31     | $3.2 \times 10^4$ | $9.3 \times 10^4$ | $1.1 \times 10^4$ | $1.1 \times 10^4$ | $2.2 \times 10^3$ | $4.6 \times 10^2$ | $1.5 \times 10^3$ |
| M32     | $4.3 \times 10^3$ | $5.5 \times 10^3$ | $1.4 \times 10^3$ | $2.0 \times 10^3$ | $2.6 \times 10^2$ | $6.2 \times 10^1$ | $7.9 \times 10^3$ |
| M33     | $1.3 \times 10^4$ | $5.0 \times 10^3$ | $4.9 \times 10^3$ | $1.9 \times 10^3$ | $9.7 \times 10^2$ | $1.8 \times 10^2$ | $4.3 \times 10^3$ |

Figure 4. The total rates of MS+MS (black solid lines), MS+RGB (red dotted lines), MS+WD (green long-dashed lines), WD+RGB (cyan dot-dashed lines), BH+RGB (dotted black lines) and 1+2 (blue dashed lines) collisions are shown as a function of distance from the cluster centre (or SMBH) for the MW (top left panel), M31 (top right panel), M32 (bottom left panel) and M33 (bottom right panel). These are the total rates for any pair of objects to undergo a collision. For comparison, we also show our results assuming the density profile given by Equations 1 and 4 in Merritt (2010), via an additional inset in the top left panel. In making this figure, we adopt a bin size of 0.01 pc, such that the y-axis corresponds to the number of collision products in each 0.01 pc radial interval, over a 100 Myr time period. The assumptions used as input to each collision rate are the same as in Figure 2.

all types of collision products should be present, at least within $\lesssim 1$ pc of the Galactic Centre. This predicts non-negligible numbers of MS+MS collision products and hence blue stragglers, as illustrated in Figure 4 and Table 1. More specifically, over a 1 Gyr period, on the order of $7.10 \sim 10^4$ MS+MS collisions should occur, which is roughly 0.1% of the total stellar mass in this region. After an initial rise from $r = 0$, the number of collision products remains roughly constant with increasing distance from the SMBH. As shown in Figure 2, the predicted collision rates for a particular object show a near monotonic decrease with increasing distance from the cluster centre, after a relatively constant inner core that extends out to only $\sim 0.2$ pc. The density profile taken from Merritt (2010), while quantitatively very similar, shows a slightly steeper initial drop followed by a very similar monotonic profile. The total collision rates for any pair of objects, however, show a rapid increase out to $\sim 0.3$ pc, followed by a monotonic decrease. This is the case for both of our assumed density profiles, which yield very similar collision rate profiles, as shown in Figure 1. Thus, we might expect a relatively weak central concentration of blue stars in the MW, assuming a collisional origin (ignoring any possible mass segregation of collision products).

The core radius given in Equation 4 of Merritt (2010) is much smaller than the SMBH influence radius, such that the stellar orbits within this core should be roughly Keplerian. This is what creates a central drop in some collision numbers within the core, as shown in Figure 1. However, this inner core is such a small fraction of the total volume over which we integrate the collision rates that this correction should have a relatively negligible impact on the total numbers of collision products.

Very roughly, the numbers of 1+2 collisions that occur over a $\gtrsim 10$ Gyr period should be comparable to the total number of binaries in the MW NSC, for our assumed binary fraction and considering only hard binaries. The hard-soft boundary in the MW NSC corresponds to the binary components being in (or nearly in) contact, due to the very high velocity dispersion. Hence, the probability of a direct collision or merger occurring during an encounter involving such a hard binary is nearly unity for small impact parameters (e.g., Leigh & Geller 2012). The collision product should expand post-collision by a factor of $\sim$ a few (e.g., Sils et al. 1991; Fregeau et al. 2004), driving the remaining binary components to merge when their radii overlap at periastron. Conversely, encounters involving soft binaries should have a high probability of being dissociative, or of significantly increasing the binary separation post-encounter such that the time-scale for a subsequent encounter (with an even higher probability of dissociation) becomes very short. Thus, only (near) contact binaries should survive in the Galactic Centre for any significant amount of time although many will also be destroyed, and our estimate for the binary fraction in the MW NSC (i.e., $f_b = 0.01$) could be an over-estimate of the true binary fraction.

With that said, it seems unlikely that the disk of O- and B-type stars observed at $\sim 0.1$ pc from Sgr A* has a collisional origin. First, although collisions should be dissipative, there is no obvious reason why the collision products should arrange themselves into a disk-like configuration in a spherical pressure-supported nucleus. Second, given the average stellar mass in the MW NSC, multiple collisions would be required to form these massive O- and B-type stars, which must have occurred over a very short interval of time, since O- and B-stars have very short lifetimes. Figure 3 suggests
that the rate of collisions involving individual MS stars is too low for this to be the case.

Interestingly, however, the rate of MS+WD collisions is sufficiently high that a non-negligible supply of gas could be supplied to the nucleus via this mechanism (especially assuming the density profile in Merritt (2010)). This is because collisions between stars and WDs should act to ablate the star, nearly independent of the cluster velocity dispersion (see Section 4 for more details regarding the underlying physics responsible for this mechanism for gas liberation; Shara & Shaviv 1977, 1978; Regev & Shara 1987). This mechanism could supply on the order of \( \lesssim 10^5 \, M_\odot \) in gas every \( \sim 1 \) Gyr in the MW NSC. This is because, using the density profile of Merritt (2010), on the order of \( \sim 100 \) MS/RG+WD collisions happen every 100 Myr (see Table 1). Assuming that most MS stars undergoing MS+WD collisions are close to the turn-off, which is of order \( \sim 1 \, M_\odot \) for an old population, then Figure 2 (see the dot-dashed line) suggests that the He core mass should comprise a small fraction of the total stellar mass (\( \lesssim 0.2 \, M_\odot \) or 20\%) when the collision occurs. Hence, for our purposes, a reasonable assumption for the mean mass in gas liberated per MS/RG+WD collision is \( \sim 0.8 \, M_\odot \) (i.e., the envelope, or all material outside of the degenerate core). Assuming \( 10^5 \) MS/RG+WD collisions per 1 Gyr, this predicts \( \sim 800 \, M_\odot \sim 10^5 \, M_\odot \) in gas should be supplied to the inner nucleus every Gyr due to MS+WD collisions alone.

The above back-of-the-envelope calculation suggests that MS+WD collisions alone cannot supply enough gas to form stars. This is because the time required to accumulate enough gas to form an actual disk is much longer than the gas cooling time (of order a few to a few hundred years, for either optically thick or thin disks), which must be less than roughly 3 times the local dynamical time-scale (Naikashin 2004; Levin 2005; Chang et al. 2007). Thus, if star formation were to occur only from gas supplied by MS+WD collisions, then it should only occur after nearly a Hubble Time. Given that stellar mass-loss in the surrounding old population should likely supply gas to the inner nucleus at a comparable or even higher rate (e.g., Chang et al. 2007), and the fact that the gas must not be accreted by the central SMBH before it fragments to form stars, we conclude that, while MS+WD collisions could contribute non-negligibly to the gas supply in the inner nucleus, this mechanism seems by itself insufficient to form stars and an additional source of gas is needed.

As shown in Section 3 nearly the entire RGB envelope must be stripped to significantly affect the photometric appearance of an RGB star. Given that more than one collision is typically required to strip an RGB of its envelope (e.g., Bailey & Davies 1995; Dale et al. 2004; Amaro-Seoane & Chev 2014), the derived rate for a given RGB star to encounter other MS stars is too low for collisions to contribute significantly to the observed paucity of giants (as shown by the dotted red line in Figure 3). Assuming instead an isothermal density profile that starts to diverge near the SMBH, only in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1 \) pc could the rate become sufficiently high for any given RGB star to encounter more than a single MS star within \( \lesssim 1 \) Gyr (i.e., the typical lifetime of an RGB star). Although we expect BH+RGB collisions to be more effective at stripping the RGB envelope on a per collision basis (Dale et al. 2009), we find that the rate of BH+RGB collisions is likely too low for more than a handful of RGB stars to have been fully stripped. We conclude that it seems difficult to account for any missing RGB stars in the Galactic Centre via collisions.

This is consistent with the general picture described in Merritt (2010), which suggests that the stellar density of the underlying (not yet observed) old population traces that of the observed RGB stars, and has always been low. In the absence of star formation, a low initial density remains low for a long time, since the relaxation time is very long. Figures 4 and 5 in Merritt (2010) show that a model with a core can reproduce the observed number counts of RGB stars in the MW. Our fiducial model for the MW also has a core, which is partly responsible for the low predicted numbers of collisions involving RGB stars. Thus, the low observed density of RGB stars could be consistent with being a natural consequence of a low (initial) density in the underlying old population. This picture is consistent with the low rate of RGB collisions found here, which would not be able to account for any inferred paucity of RGB stars.

To summarize, the predicted rates of single-single collisions are too low to have significantly affected the photometric appearance of the MW NSC. This is the case for stars belonging to any evolutionary stage. In particular, MS+MS collisions should have produced \( \lesssim 1 \)\% of the total stellar mass in collision products after a Hubble time. Collisions involving individual RGB stars occur too infrequently for the RGB envelope to have been affected significantly, and any paucity of RGB stars to be observed. The rate of single-binary collisions, on the other hand, is sufficiently high that very few binaries should remain at the present day, if any. Finally, the rate of MS+WD collisions is insufficient to supply enough gas to form stars and, while this mechanism for gas accumulation could contribute non-negligibly to a single burst of star formation, an additional source of gas is also needed.

### 4.2 M31

The M31 nucleus is a distinct stellar system at the centre of its host late-type spiral galaxy, with a surface brightness profile that rises significantly above the background potential at \( r \lesssim 10 \) pc. The nucleus shows an asymmetric double-lobed structure within \( r < 3 \) pc of the central SMBH, which is consistent with the diffuse eccentric disk model of Tremaine (1995). Here, the nucleus consists of an old stellar population, but at even smaller radii (\( r < 0.6 \) pc; relative to the photocentre of the surrounding bulge component) there exists an UV-bright cluster of blue stars whose origins are unknown. Unlike the younger and hotter O- and B-stars observed in our Galactic Centre, these appear to be A-type stars (Bender et al. 2003; Lauer et al. 2012). Below, we elaborate further on the details of the M31 nucleus.

At the centre of M31, there lurks an SMBH of mass \( \sim 1.4 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot \) (Dressler 1984; Dressler & Richstone 1985; Kormendy 1984; Richstone, Bower & Dressler 1985; Kormendy & Bender 1993; Bender et al. 2003). The central blue cluster, called P3, is surrounded by two over-densities of stars, called P1 and P2 (Lauer et al. 1993), which reside on either side of P3 with a separation of \( \sim 1.8 \) pc (Bender et al. 2003). These two “lobes” are distinct from P3 both in terms of their stellar content and kinematics...
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The most likely explanation for the double-lobed structure of P1+P2 is an eccentric disk viewed in projection. This was originally proposed by Tremaine (1993), who argued that P1 and P2 are unlikely to be two distinct star clusters caught during the final stages of a merger, since the merger would occur within \( \lesssim 10^8 \) years by dynamical friction (Lauer et al. 1993; Emsellem 1997). To reconcile this, Tremaine (1993) proposed that both nuclei or “lobes” are part of the same eccentric disk of stars. The observations can be explained if the brighter lobe, P1, is located at a farther distance from the central SMBH and is the result of stars lingering near apocentre (e.g. Statler 1999; Kormendy & Bender 1999; Bacon et al. 2001). Conversely, the fainter lobe, P2, can be accounted for if it corresponds approximately to pericentre and the disk density increases toward the central SMBH. Hence, the SMBH dominates the central potential, such that stars in the surrounding disk should follow approximately Keplerian orbits (Peiris & Tremaine 2003). Recent refined the eccentric disk model, taking advantage of more recent ground-based spectroscopy to help constrain their improved model. The models were used to predict the kinematics that should be observed via the Ca triplet in HST spectra of P1+P2, and the model predictions are in excellent agreement with the data (Bender et al. 2003; Lauer et al. 2012).

Our collision rate estimates in Section 2 are based on alterations to the formulation provided in Goldebruch, Lithwick & Sar (2004), originally derived to treat collisions within protoplanetary disks. This approach can be almost directly applied in M31, since the total mass of the Tremaine disk is \( M_\text{d} \sim 3 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) (Bender et al. 2003) (assuming all 1.0 M_\odot stars and a mass-to-light ratio of 5.7, which is appropriate for a bulge population [Tremaine 1992]). This is nearly an order of magnitude smaller than the mass of the central SMBH. Hence, here we can ignore any self-gravity within the disk (e.g. Statler 1999; Emsellem et al. 2007).

We use Equation (11) to calculate the collision rates in M31 with \( \rho = 0 \), appropriate to a Keplerian nuclear disk (as in the MW, we transition smoothly between these formulations as gravitational-focusing becomes significant). The disk has a scale length and height of, respectively a = 1.8 pc and h = 0.7a, where a stands for the scale length of the disk (Lauer et al. 2003). This is because, as shown in Figure 17 of Lauer et al. (1998), the central surface brightness profile in M31 cannot be described by a simple analytic function. Critically, this neglects the torus-like structure of the M31 nucleus, and over-estimates the surface mass density at small radii close to the SMBH (ignoring the inner blue disk). However, as we will show, the collision rates are sufficiently high in this region that collisions would have transformed the inner nucleus over the last few Gyrs. Thus, the absence of a significant background of old stars in the inner nucleus at present is not necessarily indicative of an absence in the past. Consequently, we assume that the presently-observed torus-like structure was once a diffuse disk extending further in toward the central SMBH, and include any collision products calculated to have occurred there in our estimates. However, we note that if this assumption is incorrect, and the presently observed torus was always present, then there is a true inversion in the stellar density at small radii and hence the collision rates here would be negligible, dropping to zero at small r instead of continually rising all the way down to r = 0, as shown in Figure 5.

As in the MW, the collision rates in M31 are sufficiently high that all six types of collision products should be present in non-negligible numbers over the entire extent of the disk (i.e. \( \lesssim 2 \) pc), as illustrated in Figure 2. The radial dependence of the collision rate is weak beyond \( \gtrsim 1 \) pc, but becomes more significant in the inner nucleus. Specifically, the predicted collision rates at \( \sim 0.1 \) pc should outweigh those at \( \sim 1 \) pc by \( \gtrsim 10 \) for all six types of collision products, as shown in Figure 4.

Only in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1 \) pc is the rate of MS+RGB collisions ever sufficiently high for individual RGB stars to have undergone enough collisions (\( \sim 100 \) over 100 Myr to unbind most of the RGB envelope, and hence to cause an observed paucity of RGB stars. This is the case for MS+RGB collisions alone, however, since multiple collisions involving the same main sequence star and other MS stars are less common. This suggests that any BSs present in M31 are likely only slightly more massive than the MS turn-off, and hence should appear as A-type stars, as observed for the inner blue disk (Lauer et al. 2012) (and not O- and B-type stars, unlike in M33 and M32; see below).

The numbers of 1+2 collisions over a \( \gtrsim 100 \) Myr interval should exceed the total numbers of binaries in M31, for our assumed binary fraction of \( f_b = 0.01 \) and considering only hard binaries. The velocity dispersion in M31 is so high that the hard-soft boundary corresponds to the binary components being in contact. Hence, for small impact parameters, the probability of a direct collision or merger occurring during any direct 1+2 encounter involving a hard binary is approximately unity (e.g. Leigh & Geller 2012). Conversely, the probability of dissociation during encounters involving soft binaries is very high. Thus, even (most) contact binaries should not have survived until the present-day, and our estimate for the binary fraction in the M31 nucleus could be an over-estimate of the true binary fraction.

MS+WD collisions should liberate on the order of \( 10^5 M_\odot \) in gas every \( \sim 1 \) Gyr, which can subsequently be used to form young (blue) stars. This is because on the order of \( \sim 1.1 \times 10^5 \) MS+WD collisions happen every 100 Myr (see Table D). Hence, following the same assumptions as in the preceding section for the MW, if \( 1.1 \times 10^5 \) MS+WD collisions occur per 1 Gyr, this predicts \( \sim 8.8 \times 10^4 M_\odot \sim 10^5 \)
M⊙ in gas should be supplied to the inner nucleus every Gyr due to MS+WD collisions alone. In M31, however, the critical mass needed for fragmentation is \(10^4 < M_{\text{crit}} / M_\odot < 10^5\) for a distance from the cluster centre 0.1 \( \leq r/\text{pc} \leq 3\) (see Figure 7 in Chang et al. (2007)). As in the MW, the gas accumulation times needed to satisfy this critical mass requirement are much longer than the gas cooling time. Thus, these results suggest that star formation should occur on the order of every \( \sim 1\) Gyr in M31, if the only supply of gas to the inner nucleus is MS+WD collisions. Once again, the rate of mass loss due to stellar evolution is, very roughly, comparable to the rate at which gas is supplied by MS+WD collisions. Hence, the actual time-scale for disk fragmentation could be much shorter than this simple calculation suggests, and gas from stellar evolution in the surrounding old population should contribute non-negligibly to the total gas mass available for fragmentation.

We note that, in M31, the central velocity dispersion rises toward \( r = 0 \) and can even exceed 1000 km/s interior to \( \lesssim 0.1\) pc. This should decrease the rates of MS+MS, MS+WD, MS+NS and BH+RGB collisions relative to what is shown in Figures 3 and 4 by reducing the significance of gravitational focusing. Additionally, given such high relative velocities at impact, some direct MS+MS collisions could completely unbind or ablaze the collision product, leaving behind a puffed-up cloud of gas and dust in its place (Spitzer & Saslaw 1966; Spitzer & Stod 1964). Similarly, grazing or off-centre collisions could (eventually) leave behind a very rapidly rotating collision product. In any event, the collisional velocities at impact are sufficiently high in M31 very close to the central SMBH that gas can be supplied to the NSC not only via MS+WD and WD+RGB collisions, but also via MS+MS and MS+RGB collisions (in addition to mass loss due to stellar evolution). Additionally, we caution that, if a non-negligible fraction of MS+MS collisions ablaze the product completely, then we might not expect any central rise in the numbers of blue stragglers, but rather a dip in the numbers. Alternatively, if the products of MS+MS collisions are not ablazed, then the deposited kinetic energy at impact will serve to puff up the collision product, reducing the time-scale for subsequent collisions to occur. Immediately after a collision, the product shoots up the Hayashi track before contracting back down to the main-sequence (e.g. Sills et al. 1997; 2001). Hence, at least temporarily, the collision product will be brighter and redder than a normal MS star of the same mass. Interestingly, the photometric appearance of the object observed at the nominal centre of P3, called S11, is qualitatively consistent with this general picture, since it is the brightest and reddest object in the central blue cluster (Lauer et al. 2012).

To summarize, the predicted rate of MS+MS collisions is sufficiently high in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1\) pc due to the very high velocity dispersion here, which predicts impact velocities with enough kinetic energy to exceed the binding energy of any collision product. This would contribute to the total gas supply in the inner M31 nucleus, but likely not enough to form the inner blue disk in a burst of star formation. On the other hand, MS+WD collisions, which should be largely ablative nearly independent of the impact velocity, could contribute significantly to the total gas reservoir in the inner nucleus. Together with stellar evolution-induced mass loss in the surrounding old population, this could supply enough gas to form stars in much less than a Gyr. Finally, the rate at which individual RGB stars collide with other objects is sufficiently high in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1\) pc that such collisions could have occurred to completely unbind the RGB envelope, causing an observed paucity of RGB stars in the inner M31 nucleus.

With the above results in mind, we note that Yu (2003) found from a similar analysis of analytic collision rates calculated for the M31 nucleus that collisions alone cannot account for the blue excess observed at \( r < 0.6\) pc. We note, however, that Yu (2003) took the (currently) observed present-day density of the old population at face value, and did not account for the possibility that the Tremaine disk once extended inward to smaller radii. What’s more, Yu (2003) did not have the more recent results of Bender et al. (2005) and Lauer et al. (2012), which provide, respectively, better constraints for the properties of the blue cluster P3 and better spatial resolution for the entire inner M31 nucleus. Overall, our estimates for the collision rates in M31 are in decent agreement with those calculated by Yu (2003), but the additional information provided by more recent observational studies has allowed us to perform a slightly more focused analysis based on a more up-to-date understanding of the structure of the inner nucleus. This accounts for the different conclusions reached by Yu (2003) for the M31 nucleus, relative to those presented in this paper.

### 4.3 M32

The nearby elliptical galaxy M32 is home to the smallest of the three SMBHs known to exist in the Local Group, with a mass \( \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^6\) M⊙ (Tonry 1984; Verolme et al. 2003; van den Bosch & de Zeeuw 2010). The properties and even detection of this SMBH are, however, controversial (Merritt 2013). Surrounding this putative central SMBH is a rotating disk of stars with a density \( \geq 10^7\) M⊙ pc\(^{-3}\) at \( r < 0.1\) pc (Walker 1962; Lauer et al. 1998). Beyond this, an additional stellar component is present, with an effective radius of \( \sim 6\) pc and a total mass \( \sim 3 \times 10^7\) M⊙ (Kormendy & Bender 1994; Graham & Spitler 2009). This represents \( \sim 10\%\) of the total galaxy mass, such that the NSC in M32 contains a much larger fraction of the total galaxy luminosity than is typical for early-type galaxies (e.g. Côté et al. 2001). The stellar populations of this central NSC are younger than is normal for the rest of the galaxy, with a mean age of \( \sim 4\) Gyr in the central nuclear region and rising to \( \sim 8\) Gyr at larger radii (Worthey 2004; Rose et al. 2003; Coelho, Mendes de Oliveira & Cid Fernandes 2006).

Even though the nucleus is a two-component system, with a prominent or primary disk component embedded in a more spherically-distributed pressure-supported secondary component...
ponent, there is no observed break in the properties of these stellar populations as a function of radius \cite{Seth2014}.

The typical velocity dispersion in the M32 nucleus is \( \sim 60 \text{ km/s} \), but rises to \( \sim 120 \text{ km/s} \) very close to the central SMBH \cite{Seth2010}. Using Equation 1, this yields an influence radius for the central SMBH of only \( \lesssim 0.7 \text{ pc} \). Thus, we use Equation 1 with \( \Sigma = 0 \) to calculate the collision rates in M32, appropriate to a dynamically hot pressure-supported nuclear cluster, and transition smoothly between these formulae as gravitational focusing becomes important. As in the MW, however, we correct for the influence of the central SMBH by replacing the isothermal velocity dispersion with the local velocity dispersion given by Equation 10 with \( \sigma_0 = 60 \text{ km/s} \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} = 2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_\odot \).

For the surface mass density profile, we adopt the best-fitting solution to the V-band surface brightness profile from Lauer et al. \cite{Lauer1998}, which is a Nuker-law form of the form:

\[
\Sigma(r) = \frac{2^{(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha} \Upsilon \Sigma_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_b}\right)^\gamma}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_b}\right)^\alpha} \left(\gamma-\beta\right)/\alpha,
\]

Equation 23, where \( \Upsilon = 2.0 \) is the V-band mass-to-light ratio \cite{Seth2010}, \( \alpha = 1.39, \beta = 1.47, \gamma = 0.46, r_b = 0.04 \text{ pc} \) and \( \Sigma_0 \) is the central surface mass density in \( \text{L}_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \), calculated from the V-band surface brightness \( \mu_0 = 12.91 \text{ in Lauer et al. 1998} \) assuming a distance to M32 of 770 kpc. In calculating the collision rates using Equation 23, we assume \( \Sigma = 0 \) (since there is no Keplerian disk component).

Assuming spherical symmetry, we can obtain the stellar density distribution from the observed surface brightness profile using Equation 3.65a in Merritt \cite{Merritt2013}:

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{d\Sigma}{d\Sigma} \frac{dR}{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}},
\]

Equation 24, which is independent of any assumptions for the gravitational potential. Equation 24 is the classical Abel inversion of a projected surface brightness profile \cite{Bracewell1964}. Note that, in M32, the break radius corresponds almost exactly to the outer radial limit we adopt for the calculated collision rates, or \( r_b \sim 2 \text{ pc} \). Inside the break radius, the approximation \( \rho(r) \sim \Sigma(r)/r \) is reasonable for power-law galaxies, where \( \Sigma(r) \) is the observed surface brightness profile, as given by Equation 23.

As shown in Figure 6 the predicted collision rates for a particular object show a rise toward \( r = 0 \), increasing by \( \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \) of magnitude over the inner \( \sim 1 \text{ pc} \). For WD+RGB and 1+2 collisions, however, the central increase is much less significant. Upon integrating numerically, the predicted numbers of collision products remain approximately constant with increasing distance from the galaxy centre, as shown in Figure 6. We see only a slight rise in the numbers of collision products at very small radii \( \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \), similar to what is predicted for M31. Thus, we do not expect a significant central concentration of blue stars in M32 (albeit perhaps a mild one at \( \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \) that would be difficult to identify observationally, at least currently), assuming a collisional origin (ignoring any possible mass segregation of collision products). Interestingly, M32 is the only galaxy in our sample for which this is the case, while also being the only galaxy in our sample that does not show any observational evidence for a central blue excess.

Beyond \( \gtrsim 0.1 \text{ pc} \), the integrated numbers of collision products are high relative to the other galaxies in our sample (ignoring M31); the predicted numbers of MS+MS, MS+WD and MS+RGB collisions produced over a Hubble time should be of order a few percent of the total number of stars in the M32 nucleus, as shown in Table 1. This naively suggests that the observed light distribution in the M32 NSC, and hence its stellar mass function, could have been non-negligibly affected by collisions. However, the MS+MS collision rates for a given MS star are too low for any massive O- and B-type stars to have formed from multiple collisions. And yet, the collision rates are high enough that collisions could be connected with the lack of recent star formation in M32. Collisions could perhaps act to inhibit star formation by, for example, colliding with protostars sufficiently early on in their formation that the protostellar embryos are destroyed.

As in both the MW and M31, the rate of 1+2 collisions in the M32 nucleus is sufficiently high that most binaries should have been destroyed by the present-day (since the hard-soft boundary is at only 0.04 AU), and a total mass in gas of order \( \sim 10^3 \text{ M}_\odot \) should be supplied to the inner nucleus every \( \sim 100 \text{ Myr} \). This is because on the order of \( \sim 1.4 \times 10^4 \text{ MS+WD collisions happen every } 100 \text{ Myr} \) \cite{Yu2003}. Hence, following the same assumptions as in the preceding sections, if \( 1.4 \times 10^4 \text{ MS+WD collisions occur per } 1 \text{ Gyr} \), this predicts \( \sim 0.7 \times 10^4 \text{ M}_\odot \sim 10^4 \text{ M}_\odot \) in gas should be supplied to the inner nucleus every Gyr due to MS+WD collisions alone. This is enough gas for star formation to occur, ignoring any other potentially complicating effects. More generally, it predicts that non-negligible amounts of gas should be present in the nucleus at any given time, roughly independent of any recent star formation or the details of gas heating/cooling.

Additionally, as shown in Figure 6 the rates of MS+RGB collisions are only sufficiently high in the inner \( r \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \) for any given RGB star to undergo multiple (up to \( \sim 100 \) or more) collisions within 100 Myr. This suggests that only in the very inner nucleus could RGB stars in M32 be fully stripped, and appear as EHB stars. This predicts a paucity of giants in the very inner \( \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \) of the M32 nucleus.

In summary, the single-single collision rates are sufficiently high that the numbers of collision products produced over a Hubble time should be of order a few percent of the total number of stars in the M32 nucleus. These rates are roughly independent of distance from the cluster centre, apart from a brief but sharp rise near the origin. Hence, only in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc} \) of the nucleus could the collision rates ever be sufficiently high to create a (significant) blue excess via MS+MS collisions. Individual RGB stars could have undergone multiple collisions only within \( r < 0.1 \text{ pc} \), with sufficient frequency for their envelopes to become fully stripped and their photometric appearance significantly affected. Finally, the rates of MS+WD collisions are sufficiently high to supply significant \( \lesssim 10^4 \text{ M}_\odot \) of gas to the nucleus. This predicts non-negligible quantities of gas to be present in the M32 nucleus at present (assuming they did not already form stars; but see Section 5.4).

Finally, we note that Yu \cite{Yu2003} obtained similar results to what we report here, namely that collisions alone are unlikely to produce any colour gradients in M32, consistent with observations. However, we point out that the collision rates are sufficiently high for a significant fraction of stars in the cluster to have undergone at least one collision over...
a Hubble Time. This could significantly impact the stellar mass function and hence luminosity profile. The weak dependence of the collision rate on clustercentric distance suggests that this effect would affect the overall colour of the M32 nucleus roughly uniformly (with only a slight central blue excess). This could directly affect any age determination assigned to the M32 nucleus from stellar population synthesis models (likely causing an under-estimation). Only in the inner \( \lesssim 0.1 \) pc could the collision rates ever become sufficiently high for individual objects to undergo multiple collisions. Here alone, our results predict a paucity of RGB stars. Thus, similar to Yu (2003), we conclude that collisions are unlikely to be able to cause the appearance of an age gradient in M32.

4.4 M33

M33 is a nearby spiral galaxy lacking a significant bulge component. Intriguingly, this could be connected with the lack of any detected SMBH in M33. Gebhardt et al. (2001) placed an upper limit of 1500 M\(_{\odot}\) on the mass of any SMBH that could reside in the nucleus, using three-integral dynamical models fit to Hubble Space Telescope WFPC2 photometry and Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph spectroscopy. The NSC at the centre of M33 is extremely compact, with a central density approaching that of M32 (Kormendy & McClure 1993; Lauer et al. 1998). The central velocity dispersion is only 21 km/s, which yields a hard-soft boundary of 0.6 AU. This is very close to the hard-soft boundaries of typical Milky Way globular clusters (Harris 1996, 2010 updated). We note that Lauer et al. (1998) adopted Sersic models.

A total mass in gas comparable to the total NSC mass in the core should be supplied to the inner nucleus every \( \sim 1 \) Gyr due to ablating MS+WD collisions. This is because on the order of \( \sim 4.9 \times 10^3 \) MS+WD collisions happen every 100 Myr (see Table 1). Hence, following the same assumptions as in the preceding sections, if \( 4.9 \times 10^3 \) MS+WD collisions occur per 1 Gyr, this predicts \( \sim 2.5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \sim 10^4 M_{\odot} \) in gas should be supplied to the inner nucleus every Gyr due to MS+WD collisions alone. This is less than the total mass of the M33 nucleus by about an order of magnitude. This also implies that stars should have formed recently if the gas is able to become sufficiently dense to cool. As in M32, this further predicts that significant gas should be present in the nucleus at any given time, roughly independent of any recent star formation or the details of gas heating/cooling. Finally, the collision rates are never high enough in M33 for individual RGB stars to undergo direct encounters over the cluster lifetime. However, in general, a non-negligible number of binaries should survive in M33, given its low velocity dispersion of \( \sim 21 \) km/s, which yields a hard-soft boundary of 0.6 AU. This is very close to the hard-soft boundaries of typical Milky Way globular clusters (Harris 1996, 2010 updated). We note that Lauer et al. (1998) adopted Sersic models.

We use Equation 11 with \( \Sigma = 0 \) to calculate the collision rates in M33, which are appropriate to a dynamically hot pressure-supported nuclear cluster. We note that in M33 we find \( \text{v}_{\text{esc}} > \sigma \) for all types of collisions, and gravitational focusing must always be taken into account. For the surface mass density profile, we again adopt the best-fitting solution to the V-band surface brightness profile from Lauer et al. (1998), which includes an analytic core in this case:

\[
\Sigma(r) = \Upsilon \Sigma_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \right]^{-0.745},
\]

where \( \Upsilon = 0.4 \) is the V-band mass-to-light ratio (in \( M_{\odot}/L_{\odot} \)), \( a = 0.1 \) pc is the approximate half-power radius, \( \Sigma_0 \) is the central surface mass density in \( L_{\odot}/pc^2 \), calculated from the V-band surface brightness \( \mu_V = 10.87 \) given in Lauer et al. (1998) assuming a distance to M33 of 785 kpc. Although Equation (25) is consistent with the observed surface brightness profile in M33, we note that Lauer et al. (1998) also considered a model with an inner cusp, and Carson et al. (2013) adopted Sersic models.

As in the preceding section, when calculating the collision rates using Equation 11 we assume \( \Sigma = 0 \) (since there is no Keplerian disk component). We adopt the stellar density distribution provided in Equation 2 of Merritt, Ferrarese & Joseph (2001). This yields results that are nearly identical to what we find upon integrating over the observed surface brightness profile of the nucleus. That is, assuming spherical symmetry, we can obtain the stellar density distribution from the observed surface brightness profile using Equation 23 as before, and requiring that the luminosity density at \( r = 1 \) pc is \( 10^6 L_{\odot} pc^{-3} \). Note that, in M33, the core radius corresponds almost exactly to the inner radial limit we adopt for the calculated collision rates, or \( \sim 0.1 \) pc. Outside the core radius, the surface brightness profile approximately resembles a power-law form, and the approximation \( \rho(r) \sim \Sigma(r)/r \) is reasonable.

Figure 4 illustrates that the predicted collision rates for a particular object decrease rapidly with increasing distance from the galaxy centre, dropping by about three orders of magnitude over the inner \( \sim 1 \) pc. In Figure 3, we see a similar behaviour and the total rates for any pair of objects follow a monotonic decrease with increasing distance from the galaxy centre, dropping by about two orders of magnitude over the inner \( \sim 1 \) pc. As shown in Table 1, the predicted total numbers of MS+MS, MS+WD and MS+RGB collisions over a Hubble Time is greater than the total number of stars in the central (i.e., the core) NSC. The number of 1+2 collisions are sufficiently high that almost if not every binary should have undergone a direct encounter over the cluster lifetime. However, in general, a non-negligible number of binaries should survive in M33, given its low velocity dispersion of \( \sim 21 \) km/s, which yields a hard-soft boundary of 0.6 AU. This is very close to the hard-soft boundaries of typical Milky Way globular clusters (Harris 1996, 2010 updated). We note that Lauer et al. (1998) adopted Sersic models.

A total mass in gas comparable to the total NSC mass in the core should be supplied to the inner nucleus every \( \sim 1 \) Gyr due to ablating MS+WD collisions. This is because on the order of \( \sim 4.9 \times 10^3 \) MS+WD collisions happen every 100 Myr (see Table 1). Hence, following the same assumptions as in the preceding sections, if \( 4.9 \times 10^3 \) MS+WD collisions occur per 1 Gyr, this predicts \( \sim 2.5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \sim 10^4 M_{\odot} \) in gas should be supplied to the inner nucleus every Gyr due to MS+WD collisions alone. This is less than the total mass of the M33 nucleus by about an order of magnitude. This also implies that stars should have formed recently if the gas is able to become sufficiently dense to cool. As in M32, this further predicts that significant gas should be present in the nucleus at any given time, roughly independent of any recent star formation or the details of gas heating/cooling. Finally, the collision rates are never high enough in M33 for individual RGB stars to undergo multiple MS+MS, MS+WD and MS+RGB collisions within 100 Myr. Thus, we do not expect a paucity of RGB stars in the M33 nucleus.

To summarize, the photometric appearance of the M33 nucleus should have also been significantly affected by collisions; the total number of collision products should be of order \( \lesssim 10\% \) of the total number of stars in the inner nucleus. An excess (by roughly an order of magnitude) of blue stars from MS+MS collisions is expected at \( r \lesssim 0.1 \) pc. However, individual MS and RGB stars should not have undergone multiple collisions with any regularity. Since of order \( \sim 100 \) collisions are needed to fully strip the RGB envelope and sig-
nificantly affect its photometric appearance, our results do not predict any observed paucity of RGB stars. Unlike the other nuclei in our sample, however, binary destruction due to single-binary encounters should be comparatively inefficient, due to the much lower velocity dispersion. This predicts a binary fraction of order $\lesssim 0.1$ percent, and possibly a large number of X-ray binaries due to exchange interactions involving hard binaries and stellar remnants.

5 DISCUSSION

In this section, we discuss the implications of our results for the origins of the centrally concentrated blue stars observed (or not) in the four Local Group galactic nuclei considered here and, more generally, for observing exotic or enigmatic stellar populations in galactic nuclei, including blue straggler stars, extreme horizontal branch stars, young or recently formed stars, X-ray binaries, etc. Our results suggest that collisional processes could contribute significantly to the observed blue excesses in M31 and M33, via several different channels. Below, we highlight the relevant physics for the development of improved theoretical models, which are needed for comparison to existing and future observational data.

5.1 Young stars and/or recent star formation

The presence of very blue stars in the inner nuclear regions is typically argued to be evidence for a recent (in situ) burst of star formation. This is because the timescale for such a cluster of young stars to inspiral into the nucleus via dynamical friction tends to exceed the age of the stars, for all but extremely close initial distances from $r = 0$. Many authors have proposed channels via which such in situ star formation might occur so close to a central SMBH (e.g. Chang et al. 2007, Navalakshmi 2008).

Given the current state of the observations, we can neither rule out nor confirm an in situ star formation origin for any blue excesses observed in our sample, at least when considering individual nuclei. Upon consideration of the entire sample at once, however, more stringent constraints can perhaps be placed. For example, if the star formation occurs in a single burst, some fine-tuning must be required to produce 3 out of 4 galactic nuclei with recent star formation within $\lesssim 0.1$ pc of the galactic centre at the current epoch. This is because, relative to the lifetimes of the older populations in these NSCs, the young blue stars would live for only a very short time. Thus, it is unlikely that all three nuclei were caught in the act of recent star formation, unless the process is continuous or episodic with a short recurrence time. If correct, then significant star formation must have previously occurred in the nucleus as well. It follows that the most recent burst would have to occur in the presence of a significant population of remnants orbiting within $\lesssim 0.1$ pc. This predicts that a high mass-to-light ratio could also be present along with any inner blue excess, and that significant X-rays could be observable due to gas accretion onto these inner remnants (if gas is still being supplied to the inner nucleus; see below).

Interestingly, as shown in Section 4 the rates of MS+WD collisions are sufficiently high that a significant and steady supply of gas could be supplied to the inner nuclei. This is because, unlike MS+MS collisions, collisions between MS stars and WDs do not require very high relative velocities to ablate the star (Shara & Shaviv 1977, 1978). The WD is sufficiently compact that, upon penetrating the star, a shock wave is sent through it, increasing the temperature in the outer layers by roughly an order of magnitude. This in turn triggers CNO burning, which liberates enough energy to unbind most of the envelope. The collision of a WD with a massive MS star is hence disruptive, with the energy source unbinding the MS star being due only in small part to the kinetic energy of the non degenerate star (Regev & Shaviv 1987). Thus, MS+WD collisions can supply gas to the nucleus independent of its velocity dispersion, which sets the typical relative velocity at infinity for direct collisions. In the MW and M31, enough gas could be supplied to the inner NSC via MS/RGB+WD collisions to account for the mass observed in blue stars via star formation every $\lesssim 1$ Gyr. In the inner $\lesssim 0.1$ pc of M31 and M32, the rates of MS+WD collisions are the highest, such that the recurrence time for star formation in this scenario should be considerably shorter. For comparison, Equation 8 in Generozov, Stone & Metzger (2015) gives the mass input from stellar winds, parameterized by the fraction $\eta$ of the stellar density being recycled into gas. With a lower limit of $\eta \geq 0.02$ (and taking into account the star formation efficiency), our results suggest that the rate of gas supplied by ablative WD collisions could be comparable to the rate of gas supplied by stellar winds in the surrounding old population. This could represent a significant correction to steady-state models of gas inflow/outflow in galactic nuclei (e.g. Quataert 2004, Shcherbakov & Baganoff 2010, Generozov, Stone & Metzger 2015).

We emphasize that MS+MS collisions should contribute little to the total gas supply in the nuclei in our sample. This is because the velocity dispersions are sufficiently low that the total kinetic energy at impact is only ever a small fraction of the binding energy of the collision product. This is the case even upon consideration of the entire spectrum of possible relative velocities at impact, appropriate for a Maxwellian velocity distribution. Only in the inner $\lesssim 0.1$ pc of the M31 nucleus does the velocity dispersion ever become sufficiently high for MS+MS collisions to ablate the collision product and contribute to the total gas reservoir.

If young stars are indeed formed from violently liberated gas during collisions then, in a sample of NSCs with inner blue stars, we would expect a correlation between the (integrated) NSC collision rate and the total mass in blue/young stars. With this in mind, we can already say that M32 would be a significant outlier in such a relation, ignoring any over-looked effects that could potentially inhibit star formation preferentially in the M32 nucleus (e.g. the M32 NSC is by far the densest in our sample, such that collisions could even serve to inhibit star formation). Additionally, this scenario predicts approximately solar abundance for such collisionally-derived young stars, without any significant helium enrichment (assuming that the collisionally-destroyed MS stars are members of the original old stellar population). This is because only a small fraction of the total mass actually undergoes enhanced CNO burning during the collision.
steady-state one-dimensional hydrodynamic profiles for hot gas in the immediate vicinity of an SMBH. The authors provide an analytic estimate for the “stagnation radius” in their Equation 14, defined as the distance from the SMBH at which the radial velocity of the gas passes through zero:

$$r_s = \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{\nu (\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_B^2)} \left( \frac{M(r < r_s)}{M_{\text{BH}}} + \frac{13 + 8\Gamma_s}{4 + 2\Gamma_s} - \frac{3\nu}{2 + \Gamma_s} \right),$$  
(26)

where $M(r < r_s)$ is the total stellar mass inside the stagnation radius, $\Gamma_s$ is the two-dimensional power-law slope of the stellar light distribution (not to be confused with the collision rate), $\nu$ is the three-dimensional power-law slope of the gas inflow profile (defined by Equation 13 in Generozov, Stone & Metzger 2014) and $\sigma_w$ is an effective heating parameter that describes the energy deposited from stellar winds, supernovae and BH feedback. For an old stellar population, we expect $\nu \approx 100$ km/s. Inside the stagnation radius gas flows inward, whereas outside this radius gas flows outward. In the MW and M31, the velocity dispersion is sufficiently high that the stagnation radius lies outside the SMBH sphere of influence, and beyond our outer limit of integration when calculating the integrated number of collision products (i.e. $2 \text{ pc}$). Thus, all of the gas liberated from MS/RGB+WD collisions in our calculations should quasispherically flow inward, until it circularizes at its angular momentum barrier. In M32, we expect $r_s \gtrsim r_{\text{inf}}$, and the numerical solution of the 1D hydro equations shows that $r_s = 2 \text{ pc}$ for $\nu = 100$ km/s (Aleksey Generozov, private communication). In M33, assuming that no SMBH is present, the stagnation radius will be close to zero since $\nu \gg \sigma_0$, as can be seen from taking the limit $M_{\text{BH}} \to 0$ in Equation 26. Numerical experiments confirm that $r_s \ll 1 \text{ pc}$ for $\nu \approx 100$ km/s (Aleksey Generozov, private communication). Hence, any (hot) gas liberated by collisions should be lost to a quasispherical outflow. Finally, we note that the preceding estimates for the stagnation radius assume that stellar winds dominate the energy injection rate. However, in the inner nuclei of M31 and M32, the energy injection due to collisions could dominate, causing an increase in $\nu_w$ and a subsequent decrease in the stagnation radius.

In all nuclei in our sample, our results suggest that the 1+2 collision rate is sufficiently high that most stellar binaries should be driven to merge, destroyed (via collisions) or dissociated very early on in the cluster lifetime, compared to the age of an old stellar population ($\gtrsim$ a few Gyr). It follows that most binaries currently present could be very young (mostly likely formed due to recent star formation). This predicts that, if young binaries are observed in a galactic nucleus, this could be a smoking gun for recent star formation, since no other mechanism considered in this paper or presented in the literature should yield a bright blue star with a binary companion. Star formation, on the other hand, is expected to yield very high binary fractions for massive stars (e.g. Sana et al. 2012). Provided that star formation occurred sufficiently recently, most of these binaries should still be present. Observationally, unresolved binaries should broaden the color distribution (at a given magnitude) along the inferred main-sequence, relative to a coeval population of single stars. With that said, our results presented in Section 5.2 suggest that the time-scales for Kozai-Lidov oscillations to operate are sufficiently short very close to a central SMBH that all binaries with initially high inclinations should merge on relatively short time-scales, leaving both binaries and merged binaries in young nuclear environments.

To summarize, our results suggest that collisions could offer an additional pathway toward re-fueling an inner nucleus with gas for recent star formation. MS+WD collisions could contribute significantly to the total gas supply needed to form the centrally concentrated blue stars in every nucleus in our sample, with the possible exception of M32 and perhaps M33 (due to a relatively small stagnation radius for gas inflow/outflow). In nearly all cases, the relative velocities are not high enough to ablate the stars during MS+MS collisions, except within the inner $\sim 0.1 \text{ pc}$ of the M31 nucleus where the velocity dispersion reaches $\sim 1000 \text{ km/s}$. Here, however, MS+MS collisions could also offer an additional source of gas for star formation, as originally suggested by Spitzer & Saslaw (1960) and Spitzer & Stone (1967). During MS+WD collisions, on the other hand, the relative velocity at impact plays only a minor role in deciding how much gas is liberated. This is because the strong surface gravity of the WD is primarily responsible for generating the high temperature shock front that triggers explosive CNO burning in the MS star, as opposed to the kinetic energies of the objects at impact (see Shara & Shaviv 1977 and Shara & Shaviv 1978).

5.2 Missing giants and extreme horizontal branch stars

If the envelope of an RGB star is stripped, the hot core is revealed and the star settles onto the extreme horizontal branch in the cluster colour-magnitude diagram before dimming to join the white dwarf cooling sequence (e.g. Davies et al. 1998; Amaro-Seoane & Chen 2014). If only a single grazing collision occurs, only a small fraction of the RGB envelope should end up unbound. Although the photometric appearance of the resulting RGB star can still be slightly affected by a single mass loss episode, our results presented in Section 3 suggest that almost all of the RGB envelope must be stripped before its subsequent evolution and photometric appearance are significantly affected, which should require multiple collisions.

It is not clear how many MS+RGB collisions are needed for an RGB star to be collisionally stripped by MS stars to the point of forming a hot EHB star. The number could range from on the order of 10 to hundreds (e.g. Dale et al. 2004). Thus, the relevant rate here is that for a specific RGB star to undergo collisions with MS stars (i.e. Figure 3), which is lower than the rate for any RGB star to undergo collisions by a factor $N_{\text{RGB}}$, where $N_{\text{RGB}}$ is the total number of RGB stars. The rates of MS+RGB collisions are sufficiently high in only the inner $\lesssim 0.1 \text{ pc}$ of M31 and M32 that this mechanism could potentially produce EHB stars (and hence a paucity of RGB stars) in the inner nuclear regions. Given that the EHB lifetime is only on the order of 10^8 years (e.g. Maeder 2009; Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2011), however, it seems unlikely that any observed blue excess is due to EHB stars, since the implied rate of EHB formation must be unrealistically high. Although BHs are expected to be able to remove a larger fraction of the envelope on a per encounter basis (Dale et al. 2004), Figure 3 suggests that only in perhaps M33 could the rate of BH+RGB collisions be of comparable significance to MS+RGB collisions.
for removing RGB envelopes. A deficit of late-type giants can perhaps be looked for observationally using the presence or absence of CO bandhead absorption to distinguish late-type from early-type stars (see Genzel et al. 1996 for more details).

5.3 Blue straggler stars

Blue straggler stars are rejuvenated main-sequence stars, identifiable in the cluster colour-magnitude diagram as being brighter and bluer than the main-sequence turn-off (Sandage 1953). BSs are thought to form from a number of channels in both open and globular clusters, including direct collisions between main-sequence stars (e.g. Shara, Saffer & Livio 1997; Sills et al. 1997, 2001; Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2007; Leigh & Sills 2011), mass-transfer in binary systems from an evolved donor onto a normal MS star (e.g. McCrea 1964; Mathieu & Geller 2004; Geller & Mathieu 2011; Geller, Hurley & Mathieu 2013; Knigge, Leigh & Sills 2008; Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2011; and mergers of MS-MS binaries (e.g. Chen & Han 2009; Perets & Fabrycky 2009). In M31, M32 and M33, the rate of MS+MS collisions is sufficiently high to supply, in as little as a few Gyr or less, a total mass in MS+MS collision products that is a significant fraction of the total mass of the inner nuclear regions, and could thus contribute non-negligibly to the observed light distribution.

With the exception of M33, the relaxation times of the NSCs in our sample tend to be on the order of a few Gyr or more (Merritt 2013). This is longer than the expected age of a typical collision product formed from an old population (e.g. Sills et al. 1997, 2001). It follows that mass segregation of collision products formed at larger radii into the inner nuclear regions should have only a minor impact on deciding the observed numbers of collision products here.

With that said, any tension due to an over-abundance of predicted BSs can perhaps be reconciled by the fact that the products of direct MS+MS collisions should be puffed up post-collision due to the deposition of kinetic energy (e.g. Sills et al. 1997; Fregeau et al. 2004). This increases the collisional cross-section and reduces the time-scale for subsequent collisions, which could act to strip the collision product of its inflated envelope. This would serve to reduce the acquired mass in collision products. The envelope should contract on a Kelvin-Helmholtz time-scale, which can be comparable to the time for subsequent collisions to occur. Finally, we note that more massive collision products should have shorter lifetimes, which reduces their probability of actually being observed.

If BSs are produced abundantly, then we might naively also expect an over-abundance of RGB stars, since the heavier BSs should evolve onto the giant branch on shorter timescales than the rest of the MS population. As explained in the next section, these evolved BSs might be rapidly destroyed via MS+RGB and WD+RGB collisions, however we do not expect this to be the case in the MW and M33. And yet, in the MW NSC, Table 4 suggests that, over a 1 Gyr period, we expect on the order of $10^3$ MS+MS collisions in the inner $\sim 2$ pc. Although this is only a small fraction of the total number of RGB stars, this excess is nonetheless puzzling in the MW NSC, since a paucity of giants has been observed, not an excess (but see the last paragraph in Section 4.1).

Very roughly, the rates of 1+2 collisions are sufficiently high in every NSC in our sample that most primordial binaries should have been destroyed by the present-day (with the exception of M33). It follows that our assumed binary fractions could be over-estimates, in spite of correcting for the fraction of hard binaries using the observed velocity dispersion. More importantly, this suggests that not enough binaries should be present to contribute significantly to BS production via either binary coalescence or binary mass-transfer. Only those binaries born in a near contact state have sufficiently small cross-sections (i.e. near contact) to survive for $\gtrsim 1$ Gyr. This is because the orbital separation corresponding to the hard-soft boundary is near contact in nuclei with such high velocity dispersions. For very close binaries near contact, the probability of a merger or direct collision occurring during a 1+2 interaction approaches unity (e.g. Fregeau et al. 2004; Leigh & Geller 2012). Hence, most direct 1+2 encounters that do not result in a direct stellar collision should be dissipative, or at least widen the binary and reduce the time-scale for a subsequent (likely dissipative) encounter to occur. It follows that the rate of direct 1+2 encounters should be approximately equal to the rate of binary destruction very early on in the cluster lifetime. For those few near contact binaries that are able to survive for the bulk of the cluster lifetime, if stable mass-transfer is initiated then mass, energy and angular momentum conservation dictate that the binary orbit should (eventually) widen, significantly increasing the geometric cross-section and reducing the time-scale for a direct 1+2 encounter (e.g. Leigh, Geller & Toonen 2010). Similarly, if unstable mass-transfer is initiated, then a common envelope could form, which would also (at least temporarily) increase the geometric cross-section for collision and reduce the 1+2 collision time. Thus, we expect that the very few BSs that might form from binary mass-transfer should quickly lose their binary companions post-formation, due to dissipative or destructive 1+2 encounters (Leigh, Geller & Toonen 2010). The simple calculations performed here should be verified and better quantified in future studies, using more realistic binary fractions and distributions of binary orbital parameters.

Finally, we consider one additional mechanism for BS formation, which has not yet been considered. This is the accretion of significant amounts of gas from the interstellar medium (ISM) onto a normal old MS star. The gas could be supplied to the ISM by MS+WD and even MS+MS collisions (if the velocity dispersion is very high, which is the case in only M31 and only in its inner $\lesssim 0.1$ pc; see Section 5.1), and/or mass loss from evolving stars in the surrounding old population. The gas collects at the tidal truncation radius (if an SMBH is present) due to the focusing of gas particle orbits in an axisymmetric potential (Chang et al. 2007). This gas is then channeled inward on a viscous time-scale, where we are assuming it is accreted by old main-sequence stars already orbiting there. As discussed by Navigation (Navakshin 2008) for a similar scenario, as the gas density builds up, feedback from stars can serve to stop fragmentation of the gas, while accretion onto pre-existing old stars could proceed at very high rates. Although this scenario requires an initial old and centrally-concentrated stellar population to provide the seeds for accretion, it is appealing since it ultimately requires a much smaller gas reservoir than the recent burst...
of star formation scenario, while also avoiding the special conditions required to induce the fragmentation of a giant molecular cloud in such an extreme environment so close to an SMBH. We caution that more work needs to be done to quantify the expected accretion rates in this scenario, which are poorly known.

Thus, accretion onto pre-existing old MS stars also predicts an inner disk of blue stars in the M31, M32 and M33 nuclei, assuming that the accretion rates of the ISM onto the old stars are sufficiently high. This mechanism is also appealing in that, unlike a recent burst of star formation, it is a continuous mechanism for rejuvenating old MS stars. Indeed, we might naively expect the mean BS mass (and hence luminosity) for BSs formed from this mechanism in the inner nucleus to correlate with the age of the surrounding old population, since older stars will have had longer to expel their winds into the ISM, and to accrete the polluted material. This mechanism also predicts low binary fractions, a very low central mass-to-light ratio and (possible) surface abundance spreads throughout the cluster (e.g. Lauer et al. [1995]; Sechrest [2010]), depending on the quantity and composition of the accreted material.

We conclude that all nuclei in our sample should harbour an abundance of blue stragglers, formed mostly from MS+MS collisions. Accretion onto old MS stars already orbiting in the inner nuclear regions offers an additional possible pathway toward BS formation. Our results suggest that the rates of MS+WD collisions are sufficiently high to contribute significantly to the gas reservoir required for this mechanism to explain the observed blue stars. These "non-binary mass transfer BSs" should have properties tightly correlated with those of the underlying old stellar population.

5.4 Implications for inferred age spreads

As illustrated in Table 1, our results predict non-negligible numbers of collision products formed over only a 100 Myr interval that can be a significant fraction of the total number of stars in the nuclear cluster. To help distinguish blue stars formed via collisions from young stars and test for a true age gradient in a given NSC, particular attention can be put into deriving the radial dependence of the collision rate as a function of the host cluster environment. The collision number profiles can be converted into corresponding surface brightness profiles, and from there into radial colour profiles. These collisional colour profiles can then be subtracted from the observed colour profiles, after multiplying the collisional colour profiles by an appropriate constant to ensure that no over- or under-subtraction occurs – the normalization should be applied at large radii, or where the predicted collision rates are at their lowest. If any residual colour gradient is present, this suggests that its origin is a recent burst of star formation. If no residual colour gradient remains and the U-V or U-B colours turn out to be approximately constant as a function of distance from $r = 0$, then the observed colour gradient is indeed consistent with a collisional origin. This test can be performed on the Local Group nuclei when future observations with superior resolution capabilities become available and, in particular, more accurate velocity information.

A number of collisional mechanisms considered in this paper could contribute to the appearance of a colour gradient, and should be accounted for when looking for a true age gradient. In every nucleus in our sample, our results predict non-negligible numbers of MS+MS collision products, or blue stragglers. In the inner $\lesssim 0.1$ pc of M31 and M32, the collision rates are sufficiently high that individual RGB stars could undergo multiple collisions within 100 Myr. If this were to result in a paucity of RGB stars and a corresponding excess of single EHB stars, then the contribution of RGB-stripping to observed colour gradients is two-fold; the loss of RGB stars reduces the total amount of red light and the production of EHB stars can increase the total amount of blue light. Thus, the stripping of RGB stars could also contribute to the appearance of an age gradient, provided the numbers of MS+RGB, WD+RGB and BH+RGB collisions are extremely high and centrally concentrated.

Finally, we note that future studies considering age gradients in nearby galactic nuclei should include the Local Group dwarf spheroidal galaxy NGC 205, which is known to harbor bright blue (and possibly young) stars. We have not done so in this paper, since the rate of collisions is expected to be much lower in the other NSCs in our sample (Valluri et al. [2005]), due to a lower central surface brightness.

5.5 Binary mergers due to Kozai-Lidov oscillations

The key point to take away from Equation [12] is that, for any binary within a distance $r_{SC}$ from the centre of the galaxy with a sufficiently large angle of inclination relative to its orbital plane about the SMBH (i.e. $i \gtrsim 40^\circ$), the Kozai-Lidov time-scale is much shorter than the cluster age, for any old (i.e. $\gtrsim$ a few Gyr) stellar population. For example, for a binary with a separation of 1 AU and component masses of 1 $M_\odot$ on a circular orbit about the SMBH with a semi-major axis equal to the scale radius of the nucleus, the Kozai-Lidov time-scale given by Equation [12] is $4.8 \times 10^4$, $1.0 \times 10^5$ and $1.5 \times 10^5$ years in, respectively, the MW, M31 and M32. We also calculate the critical distance $r_{SC}$ from the SMBH beyond which Kozai-Lidov oscillations are suppressed by general relativistic precession. This is 0.04 pc, 0.15 pc and 0.04 pc for, respectively, the MW, M31 and M32.

These Kozai-Lidov time-scales are significantly shorter than the ages of the surrounding old stellar populations, as well as the collision times presented in Section 4. It follows that, over sufficiently long time-scales (much shorter than the cluster age), the rate of binary mergers due to Kozai-Lidov oscillations should be determined by the time-scale for single stars to scatter the binary orbital plane (and reduce the distance from the SMBH) to $\lesssim r_{SC}$ into the active Kozai-Lidov domain. Vector resonant relaxation acting to re-orient the binary orbital plane could contribute to either an increase or decrease in this rate (see Antonini et al. [2012] for more details). Regardless, in all nuclei in our sample, the rate of direct 1+2 collisions is sufficiently high relative to the age of the old stellar population that only very compact binaries should survive to the present-day, since the hard-soft boundary is near contact in these galactic nuclei due to their high velocity dispersions and the collision probability for a direct encounter with small impact parameter is close to unity for such compact binaries (Leigh & Geller [2012]).
The collision product should expand after the encounter by a factor of a few (e.g. [Sills et al. 1997; Fregeau et al. 2004]), causing it to merge with its binary companion nearly independent of the semi-major axis or expansion factor. This is the case in every NSC in our sample, with the exception of M33 due to its lower velocity dispersion. If the binary progenitors are destroyed, then Kozai-Lidov oscillations cannot operate. Therefore, we do not expect binary mergers due to Kozai-Lidov oscillations to have contributed significantly to any of the anomalous blue star populations currently observed in the inner regions of the (old) NSCs in our sample of galaxies.

Although the mass segregation timescale for a massive population can approach the cluster age in the NSCs in our sample, heavy objects could still have been delivered via this mechanism to the inner nuclear regions in non-negligible numbers by the present-day (provided they are born at sufficiently small clustercentric radii). BH-BH binary mergers induced by Kozai-Lidov oscillations with the central SMBH could still be relatively high at the present epoch relative to the rates of stellar binary mergers (e.g. [VanLandingham et al. 2016]). However, these BH-BH binaries should either be primordial or have formed very far out in the NSC and only recently migrated inward. This is because the rate of stellar binary destruction is expected to be very high. If few binaries exist at the present epoch, then BHs cannot be exchanged in to them via dynamical encounters to form BH-BH binaries. Additionally, due to the presence of the massive central BH, BH binary formation via tidal capture can be unlikely since the timescale for this is comparable to the timescale on which the BH binary will experience a strong encounter with the central SMBH and itself be stripped of its companion (e.g. [Leigh et al. 2014]).

5.6 X-ray binaries and millisecond pulsars

In order to estimate the numbers of X-ray binaries using our derived collision rates (i.e. the number of dynamically formed X-ray binaries), we need to know the fractions of stellar remnants, namely white dwarfs $f_{wd}$, neutron stars $f_{ns}$, and black holes $f_{bh}$. Unfortunately, these numbers are highly uncertain, due to uncertainties in the initial stellar mass function at the high-mass end (which are significant), neutron star kicks at birth which can eject them from the nucleus, etc. Regardless, we can make general comments about the expected frequencies of X-ray binaries in our sample of nuclei, which is also highly sensitive to the cluster binary fraction $f_{cb}$. Based on our results for the 1+2 collision rates, we expect very few binaries to have survived until the present day. This is due both to the high 1+2 collision rates, but also to the separations corresponding to the hard-soft boundaries in such high velocity dispersion environments, which are near contact. With this in mind, it seems more likely that the nuclei with the lowest velocity dispersions should harbor the largest binary fractions, namely M32 and M33, which have hard-soft boundaries of 0.04 AU and 0.6 AU, respectively. In both M32 and M33, the 1+2 collision rates are also the highest, such that exchange encounters should occur very frequently. Thus, we might expect a larger fraction of cataclysmic variables, NS and BH X-ray binaries as well as millisecond pulsars (MSPs) in the inner nuclear regions of M32 and especially M33, relative to the MW and M31 which are home to more hostile environments for long-term binary survival in the inner $\lesssim 1$ pc. With that said, [Leigh et al. 2014] recently showed (which was subsequently confirmed by [Giersz et al. 2015]) that the presence of a massive black hole near the cluster centre can efficiently destroy X-ray binaries, lowering their numbers significantly relative to what would be predicted in the absence of a massive BH. Thus, putting this all together, we expect M33 to have the largest fraction of X-ray binaries per unit cluster mass, since it has both the lowest velocity dispersion and the smallest upper limit on the SMBH mass. Indeed, constraining the formation of X-ray binaries in the M33 nucleus could help to place constraints on the possible presence of a central BH, which remains controversial and is at best, of very low mass (i.e. $\lesssim 1500 M_\odot$).

Finally, we note that various processes not considered in our study may effectively replenish the nuclear regions of galaxies with binaries and compact objects, possibly enhancing the formation of X-ray binaries and MSPs in NSCs. For example, nuclear stellar clusters may result from the continuous infall of star clusters that disrupt close to the SMBH (e.g., [Antonini et al. 2015]). Such stellar clusters may harbor MSPs, X-ray binaries as well as large populations of stellar remnants. In addition, [Perets 2009] suggest that the disruption of triple stars could leave behind a binary in a close orbit around an SMBH; the rate of triple disruptions (brought in to the inner nuclear regions at late times either via NSC infall or mass segregation) could be high enough to serve as a continuous source of binaries close to the SMBH.

6 SUMMARY

In this paper, we consider the origins of the enigmatic stellar populations observed in the nuclear star clusters of Local Group galaxies, specifically the Milky Way, M31, M32 and M33. These curious populations are blue stars found in the inner $\lesssim 0.1$ pc of three out of the four galactic nuclei considered here. The origins of these centrally-concentrated blue stars are not known. Several candidates have been proposed in the literature, including blue straggler stars, extended horizontal branch stars and young recently formed stars. Here, we calculate rough order-of-magnitude estimates for various rates of collisions, as a function of the host nuclear cluster environment and distance from the cluster centre. We subsequently quantify the contributions to the enigmatic blue stars from BSs, extended horizontal branch stars and young recently formed stars.

The collision rates are sufficiently high that blue stragglers, formed via direct collisions between single main-sequence stars, could contribute non-negligibly ($\sim 1-10\%$) to the observed surface brightness profiles, in nearly (with the exception of the MW) every nucleus in our sample. However, the radial profiles of the collision products do not always predict a strong central concentration of these objects, as in the MW (for our assumed density profile, which has a constant density core), M32 and, to a lesser extent, M31. In M31 and M32, the rates of MS+RGB collisions might only be sufficiently high for individual giants to undergo multiple collisions within $\lesssim 0.1$ pc from the galaxy centre. Only here could a paucity of giants be observed, since the results of stellar evolution models presented in this paper suggest
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that the envelope must be nearly fully stripped to destroy an RGB star and leave an EHB star in its place.

The rates of collisions between white dwarfs and MS stars, which are expected to typically ablate the MS stars, are sufficiently high that this could offer a steady supply of gas to the inner nucleus and subsequently contribute non-negligibly to the total gas reservoir needed to seed star formation in every galactic nucleus in our sample. This scenario seems the most likely (dominant?) of those considered in this paper for explaining the origins of the inner blue stars, relative to a direct collisional origin. However, we suggest that the gas might be more likely to simply accrete onto pre-existing old stars rather than fragment and form new stars. This could offer a new channel for BS formation or the rejuvenation of old MS stars, by creating "non-binary mass transfer blue stragglers" in the inner nucleus. We emphasize, however, that more work needs to be done to better constrain the expected accretion rates in this scenario, which are poorly known.

Our results suggest that collisional processes could contribute significantly to the observed blue excesses in M31 and M33, via several different channels. More sophisticated theoretical models are needed, however, in order to properly compliment existing and future observations. For example, accurate collision surface brightness profiles, which can be derived from theoretical collision number profiles (such as those presented in this paper), can be converted into radial colour profiles, multiplied by a suitable constant (to ensure not under- or over-subtracting) and subtracted from the observed radial colour profiles. Any remaining colour gradient observed in the residuals should then be indicative of a real age gradient in any high-density unresolved stellar population. We caution that this method is best suited to quantifying only the gradient in an observed age spread, and not the relative sizes of the underlying blue and red populations.
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