Development and validation of a 1-km cardio-trekking test to estimate cardiorespiratory fitness in healthy adults
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Abstract

Maximum oxygen uptake (VO2max), the gold standard measure of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), supports cardiovascular risk assessment and is mainly assessed during maximal spiroergometry. However, for field use, submaximal exercise tests might be appropriate and feasible. There have been no studies attempting a submaximal test protocol involving uphill hiking. This study aimed to develop and validate a 1-km cardio-trekking test (CTT) controlled by heart rate monitoring and Borg’s 6–20 rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale to predict VO2max outdoors. Healthy participants performed a maximum incremental treadmill walking laboratory test and a submaximal 1-km CTT on mountain trails in Austria and Germany, and VO2max was assessed with a portable spirometry device. Borg’s RPE scale was used to control the exercise intensity of the CTT. All subjects wore a chest strap to measure heart rate (HR). A total of 134 participants (median age: 56.0 years [IQR: 51.8–63.0], 43.3 % males) completed both testing protocols. The prediction model is based on age, gender, smoking status, weight, mean HR, altitude difference, duration, and the interaction between age and duration (R² = 0.59, adj. R² = 0.63). Leave-one-out cross-validation revealed small shrinkage in predictive accuracy (R² = 0.59) compared to the original model. Submaximal exercise testing using uphill hiking allows for practical estimation of VO2max in healthy adults. This method may allow people to engage in physical activity while monitoring their CRF to avert unnecessary cardiovascular events.

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of mortality around the world (Roth et al., 2019; World Health Organization, 2022). Regular physical activity is beneficial in reducing the effect of risk factors and preventing cardiovascular diseases (Wen et al., 2011; Visseren et al., 2021). Moreover, physical inactivity and a sedentary lifestyle are leading causes of cardiovascular and all-cause morbidity and mortality (Blair, 2009); therefore, it is crucial to interrupt the vicious circle of a sedentary lifestyle and physical inactivity.

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is an essential component and a strong predictor of health-related physical fitness which measures performance-related abilities. The most important and widely used measure of CRF is maximum oxygen uptake (VO2max) (Ferguson, 2014). Higher physical activity level has positive effects on CRF, resulting in better health outcomes (Myers et al., 2015). It is known that aerobic exercise and resistance training particularly improve cardiovascular fitness and functional capacity (Seals et al., 2014) and reduce the risk of mortality (Blair et al., 1989). The most commonly used method to assess VO2max is the metabolic analysis done during maximal effort exercise testing. The measurement of VO2max is the best point of reference for CRF and demands a maximal effort while testing (Evans et al., 2015). Maximal exercise stress tests are highly accurate and well established to provide important preventive medical and clinical data (Ferguson, 2014).
maximal testing while hiking on outdoor uphill terrain. intervention. The common submaximal test protocols, such as the one- adverse cardiac events (Arena et al., 2007; Ross et al., 2016). Alternatively, submaximal testing protocols, although inferior to maximal ex- ercise tests, may be used clinically depending on the objective of the intervention. The common submaximal test protocols, such as the one- a flat track or on a treadmill. Hitherto, no studies have assessed sub- maximal testing while hiking on outdoor uphill terrain.

Natural environments are often places for recreational and physical activities, such as hiking in mountainous areas (Tyrväinen et al., 2005), which is the most widely-practiced leisure activity in mountain regions (Fredman and Tyrväinen, 2010). Overexertion in hiking can lead to cardiovascular events and death, especially in people with low fitness levels (Niebauer and Bartscher, 2021) who may overestimate their fitness. Such scenarios may be averted by assessing an individual’s CRF.

Therefore, this study aimed to develop and validate a standardized submaximal 1-km cardio-trekking test (CTT) controlled by Borg’s rate of perceived exertion (RPE) scale to predict VO$_{2\text{max}}$ in healthy adults in two alpine regions of Germany and Austria.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

This observational study is a part of the “Connect2Move” study, which is a European project aimed at appreciating natural and evidence-based cardio-trekking trails for the sustainable promotion of cross-generational and health-oriented tourism. The study was funded by the European Regional Development Fund, INTERREG V-A Program Austria-Bavaria 2014–2020. The methods of this cross-sectional cross-border study have been published previously (Mayr et al., 2022).

The study protocol complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and its current amendments and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the State of Salzburg (EK-Nr.:1090/2020) and the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Technical University of Munich (527/205). Both committees also controlled the data curator’s guidelines for the protection of human subjects concerning safety and privacy. The study was registered with the Clinical trials registry (ClinicalTrials.gov; Reg no: NCT05226806). All participants provided written informed consent for voluntary participation.

All participants completed a maximal incremental walking test on a treadmill (h/p/cosmos Sports & Medical GmbH, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany) and a submaximal 1-km CTT in the field. Two independent working groups in Austria and Germany conducted both laboratory and field testing. For the Austrian participants, the Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg carried out the laboratory investigations at the University Institute of Sports Medicine Salzburg (424 m) in Austria and the field tests were implemented in Werfenweng (902 m), Austria. For the German participants, the Technical University of Munich conducted their research in the St. Irmingard Klinik, Clinic for Cardiology, Prien am Chiemsee (533 m) in Germany and the field tests were done in Aschau im Chiemgau (615 m), Germany.

2.2. Laboratory testing

The investigators obtained the participants’ medical history and collected fasting venous blood samples. After completing the cardiac examinations (Mayr et al., 2022), the participants underwent a maximal exercise test on the treadmill to evaluate their aerobic capacity. Each participant was fitted with the portable spirometry device K5 (COSMED Deutschland GmbH, Fridolfing, Germany) to measure the respiratory gas exchange throughout the exercise testing; the K5 dynamic mixing chamber mode was used for the gas analysis (Winkert et al., 2021). The K5 was calibrated before use each time. The participant’s heart rate (HR) was measured using a Garmin chest strap (Garmin, Olathe, Kansas, United States of America). In addition, the participants wore a 12-lead electrocardiogram device (Amedtec Medizintechnik Aue GmbH, Aue- Bad Schlema, Germany) to measure exercise-related ECG changes. All methods of HR measurement were started synchronically. The modified Bruce protocol (Bruce et al., 1973) was used as the treadmill test pro- tocol. The participants were instructed to walk for as long as possible without holding on to the rail and not running. Participants were asked to score their RPE on a 6–20 point Borg scale (Borg, 1982) at the end of every stage of the Bruce protocol and after test termination. The test was stopped immediately if the participant reached maximal exhaustion or started running on the treadmill.

2.3. Cardiovascular risk score

Three cardiovascular risk scores were calculated for each participant: the Framingham Risk Score (Wilson et al., 1998), the PROSPECT (Prospective Cardiovascular Münster Study) Score (Assmann et al., Dec 2007), and the HeartScore of the European Society of Cardiology (Conroy et al., 2003).

2.4. Submaximal 1-km CTT

At least 24 h after the laboratory testing, the participants performed an outdoor submaximal 1-km CTT controlled by Borg’s 6–20 RPE scale in the field testing areas. Each participant was fitted with the portable spirometry device K5 to measure the respiratory gas exchange throughout the exercise testing. The trekking paths were at medium altitudes (Austria: highest altitude 1040 m, Germany: highest altitude 730 m) and were chosen from easily accessible and passable hiking trails in the respective region. The trails were both forest roads without any difficulties like roots and rocks. In Austria, the trail covered a length of 1090 m and an altitude difference of 130 m, while in Germany, the trail covered a length of 1030 m and an altitude difference of 90 m. The slope reached a maximum of 26 % for both trails. The height profiles for both regions are presented in Fig. 1. The intensity of the 1-km CTT was controlled subjectively by using the Borg RPE scale. The participants were instructed to reach a submaximal effort with a maximum value of 15 (hard) perceived on the scale throughout the whole test. A more detailed description of the 1-km CTT is provided in the previously published study (Mayr et al., 2022).

2.5. Statistical analysis

2.5.1. Descriptive and inferential statistics

All data were corrected by identifying errors or outliers. Subjects with implausible results, a K5 crash or HR failures were excluded. Data from participants who performed both laboratory and field testing were used for the statistical analyses. To ensure maximum exertion during the treadmill test, participants who achieved at least 2 of the following 4 criteria were included in the analysis: 1) maximal Borg value (Borg$_{\text{max}}$) ≥ 18; 2) respiratory exchange ratio (RER) ≥ 1.1; 3) maximal HR (HR$_{\text{max}}$) ≥ 85 % of the age-predicted HR$_{\text{max}}$ (using the equation: 220–age); 4) levelling-off oxygen consumption despite an increasing workload, increase in $\dot{O}_2$ ≤ 150 mL-min$^{-1}$ (Kline et al., 1987; Hi et al., 2021). VO$_{2\text{peak}}$, the highest value of VO$_2$ attained upon the maximal incremental walking test, was classified as VO$_{2\text{max}}$. Due to the dynamic mixing chamber mode of the K5, which uses a rolling average of over 30 s, the VO$_2$ values the highest 10 s of the rolling average.

All variables were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For the descriptive analyses, means and standard deviations (SD) for normally distributed and median and
interquartile range (IQR, 25th–75th percentile) for non-normal distribution were reported. Both study groups were tested on mean differences using Student’s t-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests if the distribution was non-normal. Within-group differences between laboratory and field tests were assessed using paired samples t-tests. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 28 (IBM Inc., Chicago, Illinois), and p values < 0.05 (two-sided) were considered statistically significant.

2.5.2. Predictor model for CRF (VO\textsubscript{2max})

An interpretable and explainable regression approach was chosen for the estimation of the CRF (VO\textsubscript{2max}). Multiple linear regressions were used to generate multivariate VO\textsubscript{2max} regression equations for the participants who completed both laboratory and field testing. A field estimation model, based on the submaximal 1-km CTT measurements, was created to check if the VO\textsubscript{2max} from the laboratory could be estimated with the data collected from the field measurements.

The following variables were included in the analysis to generate the final model: gender (male or female), age (years), smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked), weight (kg), maximum Borg score of the 1-km CTT, mean HR during the 1-km CTT in beats per minute (bpm), altitude difference (meters), and duration for completing the 1-km CTT (minutes). To account for possible relationships between the variables, three interaction terms were also added—age*duraction, weight*duration, and mean HR*age. The final model was selected based on a backward and forward stepwise approach using the R package MASS (Venables and Ripley, 2002). The linear model assumptions were checked with the global validation test (Peña and Global, 2006) and the R package gvlma (Peña et al., 2022). To analyze the goodness of fit and the precision of the regression model, the R-squared (R\textsuperscript{2}) and the adjusted R-squared (adj. R\textsuperscript{2}) values were computed. Furthermore, to assess predictive ability, we also reported R\textsuperscript{2} of a leave-one-out cross-validation (Cross-Validation et al., 2010) performed with the caret package (Kuhn, 2022) to analyze how the model performed on independent data. We used the same variables and estimated 134 models, one person was omitted from each model. The model was then tested 134 times with one left-out person. We compared R\textsuperscript{2} of the cross-validated model to the original regression equation results to see how R\textsuperscript{2} shrinks with cross-validation.

These statistical analyses were performed using R software version 4.1.0 (R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria); p values < 0.05 (two-sided) were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Overall, 222 people were interested in participating in both regions, of which 162 were invited for laboratory testing. In the next step, 144 participants took part in the 1-km CTT. In the end, data from 134 participants, including 64 participants from Austria and 70 from Germany, (median age: 56.0 years [IQR: 51.8–63.0], 43.3 % males, 5.2 % smokers) were used for the final analyses. A flowchart of the study is shown in Fig. 2.

The participants from both regions were comparable in terms of anthropometric data and blood pressure measurements. German participants had a significantly higher total cholesterol (median: 225 mg/dL [IQR: 200–253], p < 0.05) as compared to the Austrian participants (median: 209 mg/dL [IQR: 187–237]). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was also significantly higher in the German sample (median LDL: 140 mg/dL [IQR: 120–170], p < 0.001) than in the Austrian one (median LDL: 112 mg/dL [IQR: 90–139]). Furthermore, significant differences were observed in both PROCAM Score and HeartScore between samples from the two regions (p < 0.05). Detailed baseline characteristics of all study participants are presented in Table 1.

3.1. Exercise capacity

Exercise capacity of all participants who performed the treadmill test in the laboratory and the 1-km CTT in the field are presented in Table 2. Study participants achieved a mean relative V\textsubscript{O2peak} of 37.3 ± 6.3 mL\textsuperscript{-1}kg\textsuperscript{-1} during submaximal 1-km CTT outside. The V\textsubscript{O2peak} of all participants of the 1-km CTT was significantly lower than the VO\textsubscript{2max} of all participants during the maximal treadmill test in the laboratory (p < 0.001). Also, there was a statistically significant difference between the Austrian and German samples in the laboratory and field tests (p < 0.05). The Austrian participants had significantly better CRF in both tests (laboratory: median VO\textsubscript{2max}: 39.9 mL\textsuperscript{-1}kg\textsuperscript{-1} [IQR: 35.4–43.8], field: mean VO\textsubscript{2peak}: 38.5 ± 5.5 mL\textsuperscript{-1}kg\textsuperscript{-1}) compared to the German participants (laboratory: median VO\textsubscript{2max}: 37.7 mL\textsuperscript{-1}kg\textsuperscript{-1} [IQR: 33.5–41.7], field: mean VO\textsubscript{2peak}: 36.2 ± 6.8 mL\textsuperscript{-1}kg\textsuperscript{-1}). The median Borg\textsubscript{max} during laboratory testing was 18 [IQR: 17–19] while the median Borg value (Borg\textsubscript{peak}) in the field was 15 [IQR: 15–16]. Austrian participants had a significantly higher Borg\textsubscript{peak} (median Borg\textsubscript{peak}: 18 [IQR: 17–19], p < 0.001) in the laboratory than German participants (median Borg\textsubscript{peak}: 17 [IQR: 16–18]). No significant differences were observed in the Borg\textsubscript{peak} values for the field tests.

Moreover, the Borg\textsubscript{peak} of the submaximal 1-km CTT was significantly lower (median Borg\textsubscript{peak}: 15 [IQR: 15–16], p < 0.001) than
Borg\textsubscript{max} of the maximal treadmill test in the laboratory (median Borg\textsubscript{max}: 18 [IQR: 17–19]). The mean maximal HR (HR\textsubscript{max}) in the laboratory was 165 ± 14 bpm and the mean peak HR (HR\textsubscript{peak}) in the field was 157 ± 15 bpm. Austrian participants had a significantly higher HR\textsubscript{max} in the laboratory testing (mean HR\textsubscript{max}: 169 ± 12 bpm, p < 0.001) compared to German participants (mean HR\textsubscript{max}: 161 ± 13 bpm). There was also a statistically significant difference in the HR\textsubscript{peak} between both groups during the submaximal 1-km CTT (p < 0.01). The HR\textsubscript{peak} value during submaximal 1-km CTT was significantly lower than HR\textsubscript{max} during maximal treadmill tests (p < 0.001). An outlier value of \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} = 79.8 \text{ mL/min} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \) was removed for the development of the predictor model for CRF.

### 3.2. Predicted CRF (\( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \))

Table 3 shows the results of the multiple linear regression model for the \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) estimation, where \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) was log-transformed to meet all assumptions of the global validation test.

For the final prediction of \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) a bias correction was applied (Wooldridge and Publishing, 2013). We applied a forward and backward feature selection process by using the stepAIC function (Venables and Ripley, 2002). A stepwise algorithm approach leaves 8 of the 11 initial variables in the model: age, gender, smoking status, weight, mean HR, altitude difference, duration, and age*duration. The following resultant model equation was used for the log-transformed \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \):

\[
\log(\dot{V}O_2\text{max}) = 6.442519 - 0.135635 \times \text{female} - 0.004856 \times \text{weight} + 0.019315 \times \text{former smoker} - 0.085353 \times \text{current smoker} - 0.002273 \times \text{mean HR} + 0.002818 \times \text{altitude difference} - 0.029075 \times \text{age} - 0.153760 \times \text{duration} + 0.001722 \times \text{age*duration}.
\]

“Female” was replaced by 0 for men and 1 for women. “Former smoker” was replaced by 0 for non-smokers or current smokers and 1 for former smokers. “Current smoker” was replaced by 0 for non-smokers or former smokers and 1 for current smokers. The model showed an \( R^2 \) of 0.65 and an adjusted \( R^2 \) of 0.63. Fig. 3 presents a scatterplot of the predicted versus the observed \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) values and Fig. 4 a Bland-Altman analysis. A normal probability plot is shown in Fig. 5.

The leave-one-out cross-validation showed an \( R^2 \)-squared of 0.59 demonstrating a small shrinkage in predictive accuracy compared to the original model and therefore supporting the validity of the prediction model developed in the current study.

### 4. Discussion

The present study was the first to investigate a prediction of \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \) with an outdoor hiking test for healthy adults. Oja et al. (Oja et al., 1991) showed, that walking is an appropriate exercise method for cardiorespiratory fitness estimation. The population of the present study was older than in comparable studies (Kline et al., 1987; Oja et al., 1991; Cao et al., 2013). With a correlation coefficient of \( r = 0.80 \) (standard error of estimate (SEE) = 4.2 \text{ mL/min} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \) between the predicted and measured \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} \), the present project is in line with previous studies (Evans et al., 2015; Kline et al., 1987; Oja et al., 1991). The calculated
predictive model achieved similar or even better predictive power (Oja et al., 2016). In this context, the study is intended to raise awareness of possible cardiovascular risk factors. In this context, the study is intended to raise awareness of possible cardiovascular risk factors. In this context, the study is intended to raise awareness of possible cardiovascular risk factors.

### Anthropometrics
- Height (cm): 171.6 [8.4] 171.7 [8.4] 171.6 [8.5] 171.7 [8.4] 0.955
- Weight (kg): 71.1 [13.3] 70.5 [13.3] 71.7 [13.4] 6.09
- BMI (kg/m²): 24.0 [3.4] 23.8 [3.3] 24.2 [3.5] 0.467

### Blood pressure
- SBP (mm Hg): 120 [115-135] 120 [110-130] 125 [115-135] 0.052
- DBP (mm Hg): 80 [70-85] 80 [71-85] 75 [70-80] 0.054

### Lipid and glucose metabolism
- CHOL (mg/dL): 216 [193-243] 209 [187-237] 225 [200-253] 0.030
- HDL (mg/dL): 75 [63-92] 78 [67-92] 74 [60-92] 0.383
- LDL (mg/dL): 127 [102-150] 112 [90-139] 140 [120-170] 0.000
- TRIG (mg/dL): 77 [60-113] 77 [61-116] 78 [59-105] 0.779
- GLU (mg/dL): 91 [85-97] 90 [85-98] 91 [85-94] 0.623

### Cardiovascular risk
- FRS score: 2.2 [0.8-5.8] 1.6 [0.6-5.6] 2.6 [1.0-6.7] 0.066
- PR score: 1.0 [0.6-3.4] 0.9 [0.4-2.3] 1.6 [0.7-4.6] 0.012
- HS score: 1.0 [1.0-2.0] 1.0 [0.0-0.0] 1.0 [1.0-3.0] 0.038
- FEV1 (%): 3.0 [0.7] 3.0 [0.7] 3.1 [0.7] 0.307

*Data are shown as mean (SD) for normal distribution or median [IQR 25th-75th percentile] for non-normal distribution. +p < 0.05; ++p < 0.01; +++p < 0.001; *Student’s t-test; †Mann-Whitney U test. Abbreviations AUT = Austria, BMI = body mass index, CHOL = total cholesterol, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the 1st second, FRS score = Framingham risk score, GER = Germany, GLU = fasting blood glucose, HDL = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HS score = HeartScore of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), LDL = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, N = number of participants, PR score = PROCAM score, RRδ = diastolic blood pressure, RRsys = systolic blood pressure, TRI = triglycerides.

### 4.1. On-field reproduction of the treadmill test

Although the objective results of the performance parameters showed significant differences between the maximal incremental walking test in the laboratory and the submaximal 1-km CTT in the field, the differences between the VO2max, HR, and RER data were not physiologically relevant. Even though the field results were expected to be lower due to the target submaximal intensity (Borg 15), there was a good reproduction of the treadmill test conditions in the 1-km CTT. Grazzi et al. (Grazzi et al., 2017) had successfully reproduced a 1-km laboratory treadmill walking test in an outdoor test, but they had used a flat track with cardiac patients. Like our study in a healthy population, the authors also reported similar VO2peak results for both test designs. In the patient population, Chiaranda et al. (Chiaranda et al., 2012) developed a valid VO2max estimation method for cardiac patients with a 1-km treadmill walking test. They achieved nearly the same correlation coefficients between the predicted and measured VO2max as we did. Moreover, their prediction has proven to be a strong predictor of survival in patients with cardiovascular disease (Grazzi et al., 2014).

Although the field test was subjectively described as less intense than the laboratory test, the objective measurement of the RER showed similar intensity for both tests. This suggests that the participants rated their effort lower in the outdoor test than on the treadmill. Exercise performed in a natural environment may feel easier, and it has been established that participants tend to walk faster outdoors when they chose their walking speed and describe a lower RPE (Focht, 2009). Such informal feedback from the participants in the present study indicates that people feel more comfortable in nature than on the treadmill, which could have been a reason for their lower RPE in the field test despite the objective exercise intensity being the same as during the treadmill test. Dasilva et al. (Dasilva et al., 2011) have also confirmed the positive influence of environmental settings on the self-perception of physical performance.

The self-paced fitness test developed outdoors had to be performed at a submaximal intensity level to avoid overexertion and reduce the risk of cardiac events. Self-paced protocols have been reported as a reliable way to measure CRF (Belz et al., 2016). The use of submaximal hiking as a test method in this study with healthy participants controlled by Borg’s RPE scale proved to be technically feasible, even with older participants. The instruction of “start to walk with a normal, self-selected walking pace and increase your walking speed after 200 m up to a maximum value of 15 (hard) on the Borg scale” was sufficient for acceptable performance in most cases. Occasionally, in very steep sections of the trail, participants’ pace had to be down-regulated to avoid exceeding exhaustion above Borg 15. The 1-km CTT was accompanied by a team member of the study group. Future studies may try administering the test independently without any coaching from experts. Consistent verbal instructions or signs to control the submaximal intensity while testing may help standardize the test procedure.

### 4.2. Characteristics of the trail

The following features characterized both trails—a mean length of 1000 m ± 100 m, an altitude difference of 100 ± 30 m, a maximum slope of 26 %, safe trail conditions in a natural environment, and no highly frequented paths with sufficient security to perform the 1-km CTT. The incline in the trail helped to achieve a score of 15 (‘hard’) on the Borg scale while walking since healthy and active people might experience difficulties reaching this score in flat areas without running. Therefore, the test should ideally be performed uphill. Additionally, the administration of the 1-km CTT is possible during most of the year, excluding the peak winter months, when performed on a traversable and safe hiking trail. This project aims to expand the scope of this 1-km CTT to other alpine regions by respecting the characteristics of the trails in the 2 pilot regions; further investigations in this area are warranted.

In summary, this project provides a new valid and standardized test method to predict CRF in healthy adults ≥45 years of age with an outdoor hiking test. The 1-km CTT represents a simple and feasible way to promote cardiovascular health which can be performed without any laboratory equipment, other than an HR monitor. Future studies are recommended to assess the potential of the 1-km CTT in different settings.

### 4.3. Conclusion

VO2max is an important indicator of CRF and the strongest predictor for cardiovascular as well as all-cause morbidity and mortality. Therefore, it is of utmost interest to develop valid and feasible clinical tests. The gold standard is a maximal test using a spiroergometry device. The current study describes a new submaximal uphill walking test method.
for healthy adults in the field to predict VO\textsubscript{2max} while hiking. The exercise intensity is patient-controlled using Borg’s RPE scale. The present project shows that the 1-km CITT is a valid tool for healthy adults above 45 years to predict outdoor CRF. Furthermore, the test is simple, low-risk, does not require special laboratory equipment and enables healthy subjects to estimate VO\textsubscript{2max} with wearable consumer-grade sensors only.

![Fig. 3. Predicted versus observed VO\textsubscript{2max} values.](image1)

![Fig. 4. Bland-Altman plot of VO\textsubscript{2max} differences between observed and predicted values. The outer dashed lines are at ± 95 % limits of agreement.](image2)

![Fig. 5. Normal probability plot of the residuals.](image3)

### 4.4. Limitations of the study

The maximal exertion in the laboratory could be limited by the modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modified Bruce protocol which requires only walking and does not allow running. The last stages of the protocol consist of speed and incline combinations which may be uncomfortable as they might become too modifie...
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