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Abstract

This paper introduces our neural machine translation systems’ participation in the WAT 2020 (team ID: goku20). We participated in the (i) Patent, (ii) Business Scene Dialogue (BSD) document-level translation, (iii) Mixed-domain tasks. Regardless of simplicity, standard Transformer models have been proven to be very effective in many machine translation systems. Recently, some advanced pre-training generative models have been proposed on the basis of encoder-decoder framework. Our main focus of this work is to explore how robust Transformer models perform in translation from sentence-level to document-level, from resource-rich to low-resource languages. Additionally, we also investigated the improvement that fine-tuning on the top of pre-trained transformer-based models can achieve on various tasks.

1 Introduction

This paper introduces our neural machine translation (NMT) systems’ participation in the 7th Workshop on Asian Translation (WAT-2020) shared translation task (Nakazawa et al., 2020). We participated in the (i) JPO Patent, (ii) Document-level Business Scene Dialogue (BSD) translation, and (iii) Mixed-domain tasks. In particular, the document-level translation tasks are newly introduced for WAT 2020 as traditional translation tasks such as ASPEC usually focus on sentence-level translation, whose quality tends to saturation.

We built our NMT systems based on the standard Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) for the JPO Patent and Mixed-domain tasks. In addition to standard Transformer, a pre-training auto-encoder model mBART (Liu et al., 2020) has been explored in the JPO patent task. In terms of the document-level translation task, we evaluated on the BSD corpus using the hierarchical Transformer models (Miculicich et al., 2018) and compared the results with our fine-tuned mBART models, which were initially built to deal with the document-level translation as a downstream task.

The NMT systems for the JPO patent task have been trained in a constrained manner, which means no other resources were used except training corpus provided by the shared task organizers, and achieved remarkable performance. On the other hand, we leveraged other data resources when only limited number of data provided for model training. For instance, we included the Japanese-English Subtitle Corpus (JESC) (Pryzant et al., 2018) and Myth Corpus (Susanto et al., 2019) as auxiliary training data for the document-level and mixed-domain translation tasks, respectively. Our main findings for each task are summarized in the following:

- **Patent task:** We built several Transformer-based systems with and without pre-training approach and compared the performance for the sentence-level translation tasks.

- **Document-level translation task:** We applied two document-level NMT systems and found that the mBART model pre-trained on the large-scale corpora greatly outperformed.

- **Mixed-domain task:** We designed contrastive experiments with different data combinations for Myanmar↔English translation, and validated the effectiveness of data augmentation for low-resource translation tasks.

2 JPO Patent Task

2.1 Task Description

In the patent translation task, we conducted the experiments on the JPO Patent Corpus (JPC) version 4.3 that is constructed by the Japan Patent
Office (JPO). Same as the previous tasks in WAT 2019 (Nakazawa et al., 2019), it consists of patent description translation sub-tasks for Chinese-Japanese, Korean-Japanese, and English-Japanese. Each language pair’s training set contains 1M parallel sentences individually, which cover four patent sections: Chemistry, Electricity, Mechanical engineering, and Physics, based on International Patent Classification (IPC). Using the official training, develop, and test split provided by the organizer without other resources, we trained individual unidirectional Transformer models for each language pair. In addition, pre-training approach for sentence-level translation has been explored in this task.

2.2 Data Processing

As the baseline NMT systems data preparation suggested\(^1\), we pre-tokenized the data with the following tools: Juman version 7.01\(^2\) for Japanese; Stanford Word Segmenter version 4.0.0\(^3\) for Chinese; Mecab-ko\(^4\) for Korean, and Moses tokenizer for English.

For the byte-pair encoding (BPE)-based SentencePiece model (Kudo and Richardson, 2018) training, we set the vocabulary size to 100,000 and threshold of occurrence to 10 times for subword units (Sennrich et al., 2016) removal from the vocabulary, following same data preparation by BPE for the baseline NMT system released by the organizer\(^5\). Moreover, we merged the source and target sentences and trained a joint vocabulary for the NMT systems. For the text input to mBART fine-tuning, we used the same 250,000 vocabulary as in the pre-trained mBART model across the 25 languages, which was also tokenized with a SentencePiece model based on BPE method. Note that the aforementioned pre-tokenization was not applicable to the fine-tuning approach.

| Models     | Transformer | mBART |
|------------|-------------|-------|
| Vocab size | 100k        | 250k  |
| Embed. dim.| 1024        | 1024  |
| Tied embed.| Yes         | Yes   |
| FFN dim.   | 4096        | 4096  |
| Attention heads | 8     | 16    |
| En/Decoder layers | 6   | 12    |
| Label smoothing | 0.1  | 0.2   |
| Dropout    | 0.3         | 0.3   |
| Attention dropout | 0.1 | 0.1   |
| FFN dropout | 0.1         | 0.1   |
| Learning rate | $1e^{-3}$ | $3e^{-5}$ |

Table 1: JPO models settings comparison.

Intuitively, we tied the input embedding layers of encoder and decoder together with the decoder output embedding layers (Press and Wolf, 2017) for the tokenized input as well as the detokenized output. As a result, a large amount of parameters were automatically saved without depressing the performance. The model was optimized with Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) using $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.98$, and $\epsilon = 1e^{-8}$. Same as (Susanto et al., 2019), we used the learning rate schedule of 0.001 and maximum 4000 tokens in a batch, where the parameters were updated after every 2 epochs.

Secondly, we fine-tuned on the JPO patent corpus using the mBART auto-encoder model (Liu et al., 2020), which has been pre-trained on large-scale monolingual CommonCrawl (CC) corpus in 25 languages using the BART objective (Lewis et al., 2020). Specifically, we used the mBART models in a teacher-forcing manner, where the pre-trained mBART weights\(^6\) ($\sim 680$M parameters) were loaded. Then, our student models were utterly built upon the bi-text data, which fed the source language and target language into the pre-trained encoder and decoder for fine-tuning. We experimented our mBART and standard Transformer with the hyper-parameters summarized in Table 1 on 4 Nvidia V100 GPUs.

Finally, the best performing models on the validation sets was selected and applied for decoding the test sets. Furthermore, we trained three independent models with different random seeds in order to perform ensemble decoding.

\(^1\)http://lotus.kuee.kyoto-u.ac.jp/WAT/WAT2020/baseline/dataPreparationJEp.html
\(^2\)http://nlp.ist.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp/EN/index.php?JUMAN
\(^3\)https://nlp.stanford.edu/software/segmenter.shtml
\(^4\)https://bitbucket.org/eunjeon/mecab-ko/
\(^5\)http://lotus.kuee.kyoto-u.ac.jp/WAT/WAT2020/baseline/dataPreparationBPE.html
\(^6\)https://dl.fbaipublicfiles.com/fairseq/models/mbart/mbart.CC25.tar.gz
| Task       | Model        | BLEU | Human |
|------------|--------------|------|-------|
| N zh-ja    | XFMR, sing.  | 48.17 | -     |
| N zh-ja    | XFMR, ens.   | **48.44** | -     |
| N zh-ja    | mBART sing.  | 48.17 | -     |
| N zh-ja    | mBART ens.   | 48.09 | 4.51  |
| N ja-zh    | XFMR, sing.  | 39.24 | -     |
| N ja-zh    | XFMR, ens.   | **41.65** | -     |
| N ja-zh    | mBART sing.  | 40.53 | -     |
| N ja-zh    | mBART ens.   | 41.52 | 4.64  |
| N ko-ja    | XFMR, sing.  | 71.47 | -     |
| N ko-ja    | XFMR, ens.   | **72.20** | -     |
| N ko-ja    | mBART sing.  | 68.32 | -     |
| N ko-ja    | mBART ens.   | 69.37 | 4.64  |
| N ja-ko    | XFMR, sing.  | 69.45 | -     |
| N ja-ko    | XFMR, ens.   | **71.30** | -     |
| N ja-ko    | mBART sing.  | 70.77 | -     |
| N ja-ko    | mBART ens.   | 70.48 | 4.73  |
| N en-ja    | XFMR, sing.  | 44.02 | -     |
| N en-ja    | XFMR, ens.   | **45.43** | -     |
| N en-ja    | mBART sing.  | 44.21 | -     |
| N en-ja    | mBART ens.   | 44.52 | 4.42  |
| N ja-en    | XFMR, sing.  | 41.89 | -     |
| N ja-en    | XFMR, ens.   | **43.57** | -     |
| N ja-en    | mBART sing.  | 43.01 | -     |
| N ja-en    | mBART ens.   | 43.51 | 4.59  |
| EP zh-ja   | XFMR, sing.  | 39.41 | -     |
| EP zh-ja   | XFMR, ens.   | **40.60** | -     |
| EP zh-ja   | mBART sing.  | 38.56 | -     |
| EP zh-ja   | mBART ens.   | 38.54 | -     |

Table 2: JPO task results. “XFMR” is short for Transformer and **HUMAN** refers to the final results provided by the task organizers. Readers may refer to the task overview for the detailed breakdown for each test set.

### 2.4 Results

As shown in Table 2, our model performance for the patent task has been split into four parts for standard Transformer and mBART approaches, with respect to the single and ensemble models. Note that only the results of the test–n set and the Expression Pattern task (JPCP) for were reported in the table for brevity. Here, we present the results based on the automatic metrics scores, as well as the human evaluation results.

In general, the Transformers’ single model decoding results lagged behind that of the ensemble decoding in all directions. Without using any other resources, our best submissions of Transformer models obtain the first place on the WAT leaderboard for ja-zh, and ja-en.

In terms of the fine-tuning results, we observed that the mBART single models outperformed the Transformer single models in 5 out of 7 language pairs, where the maximum margins can reach as much as 1.3 BLEU points (i.e., ja-zh and ja-ko). However, the ensemble model decoding of the mBART models could hardly boost the gains as we expected, which indicates that the advantages of Transformer-based pre-training approach can not be reflected in the JPO patent tasks when the training data size is sufficient (e.g., 1M).

### 3 Document-Level Translation Task

#### 3.1 Task Description

In this year, WAT workshop introduced a new document-level translation task with sub-tasks from the perspective of two different domains: scientific paper and business conversation. In particular, we participate in the business conversation sub-task in WAT 2020. We followed the instruction of the shared-task organizer, using the Business Scene Dialogue (BSD) corpus for the dataset including training, development and test data. The BSD corpus consist of 20,000 training, 2,051 development and 2,120 test sentences from 670, 69, 69 documents, respectively.

Considering the limited document-level parallel data (<1k) in BSD training and development sets, we supposed that auxiliary document-level resources would be necessarily important. Therefore, we performed constrastive experiments with and without additional resources for this task. In particular, we appended the Japanese-English Subtitle Corpus (JESC) training set to the original BSD corpus, which brings in about 2.8M ja↔en sentences. We trained a context-aware hierarchical attention network (HAN) from scratch and fine-tuned on the BSD corpus using the mBART models.

#### 3.2 Data Processing

For the document-level NMT tasks, we utilized the contextual information of 3 sentences instead of the entire documents in the dataset for both the HAN and mBART models. Similar to the data preprocessing illustrated in Section 2.2, we ran the

---

7is a union of JPCN{1,2,3} subsets

8Human evaluation results of the JPCP tasks are not yet visible as the time of this writing.

---

9http://lotus.kuee.kyoto-u.ac.jp/WAT/evaluation/index.html
Table 3: Comparison of models settings on the BSD tasks.

| Models       | HAN joint | mbART |
|--------------|-----------|-------|
| Vocab size   | 32k       | 250k  |
| Embed. dim.  | 512       | 1024  |
| Tied embed.  | Yes       | Yes   |
| FFN dim.     | 2048      | 4096  |
| Attention heads | 8       | 16    |
| En/Decoder layers | 6     | 12    |
| Label smoothing | 0.1     | 0.2   |
| Dropout      | 0.1       | 0.3   |
| Attention dropout | 0.1     | 0.1   |
| FFN dropout  | 0.1       | 0.1   |
| Learning rate | $1e^{-2}$ | $3e^{-5}$ |
| Context size | 3         | 3     |

Table 4: Comparisons of HAN and mbART best models results in the BSD task. The results shown with + used JESC auxiliary corpus during training.

| Task | Model          | BLEU | Human |
|------|----------------|------|-------|
| en-ja| HAN joint+ sing. | 13.58 | -      |
| en-ja| mbART doc+ sing. | 19.28 | -      |
| en-ja| mbART doc+ ens.  | 19.43 | 4.20  |
| ja-en| HAN joint+ sing. | 17.77 | -      |
| ja-en| mbART doc+ sing. | 22.10 | -      |
| ja-en| mbART doc+ ens.  | 23.15 | 4.19  |

Juman analyzer to segment the Japanese characters but did nothing on the English documents for the HAN models. After pre-tokenization, we fed the Japanese and English documents into separate SentencePiece models (SPM) to train BPE subword units. The subword vocabulary size is 32,000 with 100% character coverage. On the other hand, we tokenized for the fine-tuning model with the pre-trained mbART multilingual vocabulary with 250,000 subword tokens. None of additional pre-processing was required in this implementation. For both two experimental settings, all empty lines and sentences exceeding 512 subword tokens have been removed from the training set.

3.3 Model

Firstly, we explored the context-aware based HAN models on the BSD corpus with the OpenNMT toolkit (Klein et al., 2017), where the document context of 3 previous sentences were integrated for global context encoding and decoding of the source and target languages, respectively. Intuitively, we trained the HAN base+ models as baselines, which were essentially sentence-level Transformer-based models. Then, a multi-encoder and multi-decoder Transformer were learned based on sentence-level models. Finally, we built HAN joint+ models upon the multi-encoder and multi-decoder models.

Besides the HAN models, we fine-tuned on the BSD corpus using the mbART auto-encoder pre-trained model via the Fairseq toolkit, as mentioned in Section 2.3. Since the pre-train mbART model initially can handle more than one sentences, it owns very good compatibility of the document-level machine translation tasks. In this case, we considered the tri-sentence segments as documents of the training sets, and fed them into the pre-trained model to learn dependencies between sentences. We trained the HAN joint+ and mbART models on 4 V100 GPUs, whose model parameters have been shown in Table 3.

3.4 Results

We show the best BLEU scores that the HAN and mbART models can achieve in Table 4. Under single model decoding, we observed that the mbART doc+ models could lead far ahead the HAN joint+ models by 5.7 and 4.3 BLEU scores in the BSD en-ja and ja-en tasks, respectively. It indicates that the advantages of pre-training are substantial in the BSD translation tasks. Moreover, our best submissions of the mbART doc+ models with ensemble model decoding achieved the first place on the WAT leaderboard in human evaluation scores for both directions.

To investigate how important the document-level translation is and how much gains can be achieved by using other resources, we performed the ablative studies upon several mbART settings, where the results are shown in Table 5. On one hand, HAN base+ sentence-level models performed worst among all the listed models. However, mbART sen models incredibly outperformed the baselines due to the pre-training manner, even without additional resources. On the other hand, we observed that the mbART doc could hardly overwhelm the mbART sen until additional JESC corpus was leveraged, where over 1 BLEU gains were obtained for both directions. Furthermore, we found that the mbART sen+ and mbART doc+ models have achieved remarkable improvements by adding the

10 The BSD training and JESC corpus have been expanded into 6,927 and 959,399 tri-sentence segments, respectively.
Table 5: Ablative study on the mBART in the BSD task.

| Task | Model               | BLEU | Human |
|------|---------------------|------|-------|
| en-ja| HAN*base+ sing.     | 13.05| -     |
| en-ja| mBART*sen sing.     | 14.74| 3.55  |
| en-ja| mBART*doc sing.     | 14.49| -     |
| en-ja| mBART*sen+ sing.    | 18.30| -     |
| en-ja| mBART*doc+ sing.    | 19.28| -     |
| en-ja| mBART*doc+ ens.     | 19.43| 4.20  |
| ja-en| HAN*base+ sing.     | 16.88| -     |
| ja-en| mBART*sen sing.     | 17.02| 3.57  |
| ja-en| mBART*doc sing.     | 15.62| -     |
| ja-en| mBART*sen+ sing.    | 20.68| -     |
| ja-en| mBART*doc+ sing.    | 22.10| -     |
| ja-en| mBART*doc+ ens.     | 23.15| 4.19  |

"sen" means using the mBART pre-training for the sentence-level translation evaluation, and the BLEU score of it calculated on the concatenation of all translated sentences.

JESC corpus for training, which explicitly reflects that data hungry effect of the BSD corpus remains a challenge. Some examples whose translation quality was improved by considering context in BSD tasks have been illustrated in Table 6.

4 Mixed-domain Task

4.1 Task Description

Despite the Myanmar-English mixed-domain tasks were excluded in the final evaluation this year, our experimental task is described in this section. We trained the models on both the University of Computer Studies, Yangon (UCSY) corpus only (Ding et al., 2018) and evaluated the model with a portion of the Asian Language Treebank (ALT) corpora (Ding et al., 2019, 2020). The UCSY corpus consists of approximately 200,000 sentences, while the ALT validation and test sets include 1,000 sentences respectively. Due to the low resource nature of the Myanmar-English language pair and the added difficulty of domain adaptation, we trained additional models that compiled with Myth Corpus\footnote{Available at https://github.com/kaunghtetsan275/myth} as other resources for the task participation, and compared them with the models using training data provided by the shared task only.

4.2 Data Processing

For the mix-domain task, some noisy double quotes from training data were cleaned first. Then we tok-\(^{\text{12}}\) enized it using Pyidaungsu Myanmar Tokenizer\(^{\text{12}}\) in syllable and word level tokenization for Myanmar sentences, and English sentences were fed directly to the SentencePiece model to produce sub-word units. Accordingly, we augmented the Myanmar data by three types (i) original, (ii) syllable, and (iii) word, where the training datasets could be built upon different combinations of these three types of Myanmar data, e.g., my (original+word)-en, my (original+syllable+word)-en, etc. In practice, we simply replicated the English sentences accordingly to match the number of sentences for the augmented Myanmar data during training.

4.3 Model

We experimented with several Transformer models using Marian\(^{\text{13}}\) toolkit (Junczys-Dowmunt et al., 2018) for my-en and en-my, respectively. We separately trained four models for both direction with the hyper-parameter setting shown in Table 7, each of which corresponds to one combination of training data as mentioned in Section 4.3. Therefore, we had eight models to be trained in total, which can be denoted as: (i) my (original)↔en (BASE), (ii) my (original+word)↔en (WORD), (iii) my (original+syllable+word)↔en (ALL), and (iv) my (original+word)↔en with Myth corpus (\.WORD+). All experimental models in this task were trained on 3 GP104 machines with 4 GeForce GTX 1080 GPUs in each, and the experimental results will be shown and analyzed in the following section.

4.4 Results

Table 8 presents the results of our experiments on the given ALT test dataset evaluation for two directions. The baseline model BASE performed the poorest in the en↔my translation models solely trained on the original dataset. By using data augmentation, however, we observed significant improvements in the BLEU scores in en-my and my-en models that trained together with Myanmar word and syllable data. Interestingly, we also found that the BLEU score dropped down by 4.7 when syllable data was added during en-my model training (ALL vs. WORD), yet the similar performance decay did not appear in the my-en models. On the other hand, the models trained with additional Myth corpus (\.WORD+) outperformed the other three models for both directions because it could help on

\[^{\text{12}}\]https://github.com/kaunghtetsan275/pyidaungsu
\[^{\text{13}}\]https://marian-nmt.github.io
How’s the economy going? Thank you, I’m good. I’ve been busy with that management since the new facility started recently. Oh, I read that on your website. Congratulations. How’s the economy? Thank you, it’s fine. There’s been a new facility running recently, and I’ve been busy managing it. Oh, I read it on your website. Thank you.

But regardless of the product traded, the procedures for exporting or importing are generally the same. Your task will mainly be preparing export documents for products from North America going to Asia. Elaine in our department will teach you how it’s done later. However, even if it’s a commodity exchange, it’s the same procedure as export procedures. You will mainly prepare export documents for exports from North America. I’m going to need you to explain how you do it later on in the department. But regardless of the product deal, the standard export procedure is the same. You will be required to prepare export documents on exports from North America to Asia, mainly. I will have Elaine from our department explain how to do it later.

Table 6: Translation examples: Comparison of the HAN and mBART models for BSD ja-en task. All the results shown here are obtained from single model decoding.

| Task | Model | BLEU |
|------|-------|------|
| ALT2 my-en | BASE | 6.9 |
| ALT2 my-en | WORD | 11.3 |
| ALT2 my-en | ALL | 12.9 |
| ALT2 my-en | WORD+ | 14.2 |
| ALT2 en-my | BASE | 14.9 |
| ALT2 en-my | WORD | 22.1 |
| ALT2 en-my | ALL | 17.4 |
| ALT2 en-my | WORD+ | 24.4 |

Table 7: Mixed-domain model parameter settings

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Vocabulary size | 380k |
| Embedding dim. | 1024 |
| Tied embeddings | Yes |
| Transformer FFN dim. | 4096 |
| Attention heads | 8 |
| En/Decoder layers | 4 |
| Label smoothing | 0.1 |
| Dropout | 0.1 |
| Batch size | 12 |
| Attention weight dropout | 0.1 |
| Transformer FFN dropout | 0.1 |
| Learning rate | 1e-4 |

Table 8: Mixed-domain Task Results. “+” means the model was trained with additional Myth corpus.

5 Conclusion

We presented our submissions (team ID: goku20) to the WAT 2020 shared translation tasks in this paper. We trained Transformer-based NMT systems across different tasks. We found that additional training datasets from other resources could lead to substantial performance gains on smaller data sets. We also validated the capability of Transformers with pre-training in dealing with the sentence-level and document-level tasks, especially when the data hungry problem appeared. Finally, we attempted data augmentation approaches on the low-resource language translation tasks and achieved outperforming experimental results.
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