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ABSTRACT

The effect of relative size of two co-axially hybridized gene targets on the hybridization efficiency was studied for two DNA probe configurations and various probe concentrations. Each of two sets of microarrayed probes contained a pair of DNA probes and a pair of their complementary samples labeled with two distinct fluorescent dyes. The sequence of each probe is especially designed so that two targets are simultaneously complementary to two adjacent sections of the probe. The molecular steric effect on the hybridization efficiency is investigated by comparing the dye signals between configurations of one-target and two-target hybridization scenarios. The results show that a low probe concentration gives better hybridization efficiency and the first-hybridization conducted by a shorter-size DNA target improves the hybridization efficiency of the second target coupling onto the same probe.

© 2010 Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the completion of human gene decoding project in the 1990s, microarray analyses have been widely applied on gene expression (Kan et al., 2006; Ruuska et al., 2002), diagnosis of influenza viruses (Dawson et al., 2006), and many other fields (Wang and Cheng, 2005). Microarrays are promising alternatives which surpass the potential of sequencing since they can detect thousands of genes in parallel. Further development of this technology is still underway, particularly in the area of improving the hybridization efficiency of immobilized DNA probes with their sample targets. The current research efforts are rooted on the understanding that the hybridization efficiency directly indicates the success of the experimental design and further data interpretation.

There were several current methodologies to measure the DNA hybridization efficiency. The combination measurement of height and elastic compressibility of ss-DNA was verified an effective approach to detect the hybridization of nanostructured DNA (Mirmomtaz et al., 2008). The surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy is an in situ label-free optical method to dynamically detect the hybridization efficiency in the measurement of total-refraction angles (Peterson et al., 2001). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is another potential tool to measure DNA hybridization efficiency by its detection on the phosphorus elemental composition, which specifically exists in a DNA strand (Liu et al., 2009). The gold nanoparticles provide an advantage of rapid visual detection on DNA hybridization, but its applications are confined due to the lack of signal dynamic ranges (Mao et al., 2009). The fluorescence labeling is still the traditional and popular methodology due to its convenient interpretation and excellent dynamic range of signal intensity, which is supposed to measure in proportion by one-fluorescent dye corresponding to one DNA molecule (McQuain et al., 2004; Peytavi et al., 2005).

The hybridization efficiency of DNA chips is critically affected by the overall conditions, for instance, probe immobilization (Zammatteo et al., 2000), probe density (Peterson et al., 2001), addition of a stabilizer (Maruyama et al., 2001), and hybridization conditions such as incubation temperature and salt concentration (Rule et al., 1997), electric field (Fixe et al., 2004), agitation or mixing (Deng et al., 2006; McQuain et al., 2004), and substrate surface conditions (Guo et al., 1994; Wu et al., 2006). In addition to these overall conditions, the molecular-level studies has also been reported to improve the hybridization efficiency of microarrayed DNA, such as immobilization of double-stranded probes (Razumovitch et al., 2009), nucleotide structure of probe and target (Koehler and Peyret, 2005), probe size and mismatch position (Letowski et al., 2004), effect of blocking oligo (Tao et al., 2003), effect of overhang size on probes (Peytavi et al., 2005), DNA secondary structure (Koehler and Peyret, 2005), and target pre-hybridization (Yang et al., 2008). However, these research efforts
have mostly been confined to non-gene targets. The manipulation and detection of a signature gene target actually is its corresponding gene product, particularly which states more directly toward the realistic applications of diagnosis.

In this study, we conducted a very direct investigation on a realistic system of gene targets. Their probes were immobilized on planar slides with two reverse sequential blocks. We designed three sets of targets, with each set having one plant and one human gene. These two quite distinct species were selected to ensure the minimum opportunity for cross-hybridization between each other. Each set of targets hybridized onto the sequential blocks of their shared probes in a coaxial stacking configuration, i.e., hybridizing immediately next to each other along the continuous complement probe strand, as shown in Fig. 1. The relatively reverse sequential blocks on two probes indicate the steric effect of hybridization position. Another parameter investigated was the relative size of pre-hybridization target to its up-coming stacking neighbor. Three pairs of plant/human gene targets were selected to address this issue in relative sizes of 528mer/1027mer, 690mer/313mer, and 1020mer/432mer. The hybridization efficiency was investigated by comparing the individual hybridization schemes with the stacking ones based on the detection signals of the labeling dyes. This provides further understanding for what conditions of the pre-hybridization of a gene target enhance the hybridization efficiency of next target coupling onto the same probe.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

*Arabidopsis thaliana* plasmid was adopted as the first species source of DNA target. It was the first plant genome to be sequenced and now becomes popular as a model organism in plant biology and genetics (Lorkovic, 2009; Sandoval et al., 2008). Three genetic sections of this plant were cloned and notated as pda13015 (528b), pda06175 (690b), and pda06122 (1020b). Their final PCR products were labeled with Cy5 fluorescent dye to serve as the genetic targets. The second species is human gene in total RNA, and its PCR products include ATP5O (313b), PSMA5 (432b), and CANX (1027b) and labeled with Cy3 dye as targets. ATP5O was known functioned as a human ATP synthase and CANX a homo sapiens calnexin. ATP5O is the most significantly reduced gene involved in the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (Mootha et al., 2003), which was reported in parallel with increased insulin resistance in patients with type II diabetes mellitus (Sreekumar et al., 2002) CANX is a molecular chaperone found primarily in the endoplasmic reticulum and is essential for proper protein folding. Correct protein assembly in the membrane is supported by CANX, which retains intermediate structures in the endoplasmic reticulum prior to ternary complex formation completion (Takizawa et al., 2004). As for the human PSMA5, very little is known about its function and self-aggregation up to now. A recent report indicated that it exists mainly as tetramer (Han et al., 2004). The corresponding information of all genetic targets can be found from Table 1.

We also used T7-17-Cy3 (17mer) as the negative-control target to check the non-specific hybridization. T7-17 is a complete sequence of plant expression vector pDuExB2 (NCBI Database EF565885.1) with sequence 5'-ATACGACTCATAATGG-3'-Cy3. SP5-Cy5 (60mer) serves as an immobilization control probe with sequence 5'-GCTGTAACTTATCACACCGTTTCTACAGGTTAGCTAAC-GAGTGTGCGCAAGTATTAAGTG-amine-3'-C6-Cy5, which is a complete genome of SARS coronavirus strain CV7 (NCBI Database DQ898174.1)

DNA probes are with 5'-end amino linker modification to covalently attach themselves onto the chemically coated glass.

![Fig. 1. Experimental design of the DNA probes with their complementary targets. (a) Two types of oligo nucleotide probes composed of two identical but reverse-sequence blocks. Probe_1 has a 17-mer block stacked adjacent at the top and 60-mer block at the bottom. Probe_2 has the identical sequence of blocks but the location is inverted. (b) The individual-hybridized and stacked configurations.](image)
slides (Phalanx Biotech, Hsinchu, Taiwan). Two sets of probes are
denoted as a, b, and c, and each set includes two types of sequence
orientation, _1 and _2. These two types possess two identical but
constituent blocks. For instance, probes _1 and _2, they are
composed with pda06175c (17mers) and ATP5Oc (60mers), but the
orders of these two blocks are reverse. Table 1 also shows the
complementary section of each target with its coupling probe. All
DNA target, probes, and PCR primers are purchased or synthesized
from ScinoPharm (Tainan, Taiwan) and concentrations were
quantified by excluding modified and fluorescent molecules. The
chemically coated DNA chips were provided by Phalanx Biotech
(Hsinchu, Taiwan). The RT-PCR kit to reverse transcript human
RNA to cDNA was purchased from Invitrogen (SuperScript™ II RT).
The buffer for preparing probe microarrays is a mixture of 20 × SSC
(0.15M NaCl + 0.015M sodium citrate), glycerol (100%, ICN Biomedicals),
and ddH2O (Milli-Q water). Microarrays were printed with a Cartesian
none-contact micro-arrayer (PixSys7500, Genomic Solutions, Michigan, USA). Before the
hybridization step, targets were pre-heated to 95°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C.

2.2. Instruments

Microarrays were printed with a Cartesian none-contact micro-
arrayer (PixSys7500, Genomic Solutions, Michigan, USA). Before the
hybridization step, targets were pre-heated to 95°C on a GeneAmp_PCR machine (9700, Applied Biosystems, California,
USA). Hybridization results were scanned on a GenePix Microarray
scanner (PixSys7500, Genomic Solutions, Michigan, USA). Before the
hybridization step, targets were pre-heated to 95°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C.

3. Experimental procedure

3.1. Preparation of plant ssDNA targets

In order to carry out the asymmetric Polymerase Chain Reaction
(PCR), 2 μl of 50 ng/μl Arabidopsis thaliana plasmid was mixed with
50 μl PCR mixture, which contains 10 mM dNTP, 5 U/μl Taq
DNA polymerase, 10 × PCR buffer, 2 μl of 10 μM reverse primer,
2 μl of 0.2 μM forward primer, and ddH2O. As shown in Table 2,
three reverse primers separately added in three tubes are cy5-
pda06175-R, cy5-pda06122-R, or cy5-pda13015-R. Thermal cy-
cling for PCR amplification (5.5 min at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles
of 30 s at 52°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C) was carried out to produce single-stranded DNA fragments extracted out of the plasmid
genomic DNA. The PCR products were verified their production of single-stranded DNA by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

3.2. Preparation of human ssDNA targets

An identical process as above was first tried to prepare the
human genomic targets, but in vain. A different approach was
adopted, equipped with a plate shaking function. The DNA-chip washing was performed on a Firstek orbital
shaker (S101D, Firstek Scientific, Taipei, Taiwan). The TaKaRa™ spacer cover glass for hybridization was purchased from TaKaRa
Biototechnology (Shiga, Japan). Wet chips were spun off to dry by a
mini spinner (BTCPC100, Berings Bioscience Tech, Hsinchu, Taiwan).

Table 1

| Genetic target | Length (bases) | Definition (NCBI gene bank) |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| pda06175      | 690           | Arabidopsis thaliana unknown protein (At1g62250) mRNA, complete cds. |
| pda06122      | 1020          | Arabidopsis thaliana putative NAM protein (At1g52890) mRNA, complete cds. |
| pda13015      | 528           | Arabidopsis thaliana clone RALF15-32-004 (R20961) putative protein kinase (At1g76370) mRNA, partial cds. |
| ATP5O         | 313           | ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, O subunit (oligomycin sensitivity conferring protein). |
| PSMA5         | 432           | PSMA5: proteasome (prosome, macropain) subunit, alpha type, 5. |
| CANX          | 1027          | Homo sapiens calnexin (CANX), transcript variant 1, mRNA. |

Table 2

| Probes Composition | Sequences |
|--------------------|-----------|
| a_1 ATP5Oc-pda06175c | 5'-amine-C6-(GTCTTCGAGACAGTCAATCATTTTCTCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |
| a_2 ATP5Oc-pda06175c | 5'-amine-C6-(GTGTTTCGACCGGCTGCA)-GCAGAGACCAGACATGCAATCATTTTCTCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |
| b_1 PSMA5c-pda06175c | 5'-amine-C6-(CGGACACCAATGTGACGACATCAGACTGACCCAGTACAGGCTAGCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |
| b_2 PSMA5c-pda06175c | 5'-amine-C6-(CGGACACCAATGTGACGACATCAGACTGACCCAGTACAGGCTAGCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |
| c_1 CANXc-pda13015c | 5'-amine-C6-(TAAAGCTTCCTAGCAGAGTTTGC GTAAGGCACAGGCTAGCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |
| c_2 CANXc-pda13015c | 5'-amine-C6-(TAAAGCTTCCTAGCAGAGTTTGC GTAAGGCACAGGCTAGCGGATCGGCAATCCATATCCAGGATT)-(CTGATTTGCCACCTGTA)3' |

| Primers | Starting position | Product length ds DNA(bp) | ssDNA(b) | Sequences |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| cy5-pda13015-R | 528 | 528 | TGCCTCTGTCATGGAGACAGAG |
| cy5-pda06175-R | 690 | 690 | AATTCATCAAGAGACAGGAGAGAAG |
| cy5-pda06122-R | 1020 | 1020 | TTTAAGCTTCCTAGCAGAGTTCATTTAC |
| ATP5O-F1 | 229 | 524 | CTCTCGTCTGACTAAAAAGGAA |
| ATP5O-R1 | 753 | | ATGCGACAAACCAA ACTTT |
| cy3-ATP5O-F2 | 440 | 313 | CGATTACGCACTACCCAGGAGAG |
| PSMA5-F1 | 75 | 709 | CTCCAGTGCGACCTTACCAT |
| PMAS-R1 | 784 | | TTCTCCTTGTGGAACTCCTGG |
| cy3-PSMA5-F2 | 352 | 432 | TCTGAAACCATGACGAGCAGACGAG |
| CANX-F1 | 3162 | 1334 | GAGAGCTAGCAGACGAGCAGACGAG |
| CANX-R1 | 4496 | | TTACTGAGCTGCTACGAGACGAG |
| cy3-CANX-F2 | 3469 | 1027 | GCGGCTTTAATGACGAGCAGACGAG |

* Complementary section of nucleotide base counted from the 5' end of the corresponding target.
therefore conducted. The human cDNA was first produced from the total RNA by the reverse-transcript (RT) PCR procedure instructed on the kit. Since low yield of PCR product was obtained upon asymmetrically amplifying the cDNA template, we instead processed a regular PCR procedure to generate enough dsDNA templates for the subsequent asymmetric PCR. The regular PCR procedure was identical with the asymmetrical one described in the previous section, but with an equal ratio of two none-labeled primers. As indicated in Table 2, they are ATP5O-F1/ATP5O-R1, PSMA5-F1/PSMA5-R1, or CANX-F1/CANX-R1. The consequent PCR products were then separately undergone the asymmetrical PCR with proportion of molar concentration 10–0.2 of the reverse to the forward second primers, cy3-ATP50-F2, cy3-PSMA5-F2, or cy3-CANX-F2. The production of single-stranded DNA was verified by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

3.3. Probe microarraying, immobilization, and wash

The probes were spotted in microarrays with a concentration titration of 150, 100, 50, 10, 5, and 1 μM for the objective probes and 25 μM for the control probe. Ten chips were prepared with two duplicated 7 × 4-array blocks (blocks a, b, and c) on each chip as shown in the microarray layout of Fig. 2. The probes were mixed with 20× SSC and 100% glycerol to the final concentrations and loaded onto a 384-hole microtiter plate to perform microarraying, followed by incubation in a humid box at 30 °C for 16–18 h to immobilize the probes on the glass substrates. The spot size was around 600 μm with vertical and horizontal spot-to-spot spacing of 1.5 mm and 1.2 mm. A wash step was then applied to remove the free probes. The DNA chips were first immersed in 2× SSC/SDS for 10 min shaking at 80 rpm. After ddH2O rinse, the second wash was with 2× SSC/SDS for 10 min with shaking at 80 rpm. The chips were then washed with 2× SSC in the same condition but without SDS, followed by another wash with 0.2× SSC for 10 min. Finally, the slides were rinsed with ddH2O and spun to dry.

3.5. Data acquisition and analysis

The dried chips were scanned by the AXON 4000B scanner with appropriate laser power and PMT settings. The excitation/emission wavelengths of Cy3 were set at 550/570 nm, Cy5 at 649/670 nm, and the scanning resolution at 10 μm. All these parameters were fixed through the study. The raw spot intensities were generated by GenPix Pro version 4.1 software. The analysis process is described as follows. Once the fluorescent images were generated, the software, GenePix Pro 4.1, was executed to analyze the images. The calculation of signal average and standard deviation was then manually undertaken over replicate spots. In this study, there were four replicates located in two zones, with two in each zone. The error bars were produced by taking ± half of their standard deviation.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Negative sample test

To check the non-specific binding of the objective probes, we did a negative sample test by spreading a totally mismatched sample T17-17-Cy3 onto a spotted area and performing hybridization treatments that were identical to the genetic targets to see if any fluorescence was detected. In addition, a specification test as shown in Fig. 3 was also conducted to verify the sequence validation for each probe-target pair. The results showed that the signal detected was less than 0.8% of the lowest reported intensity in Figs. 4–8.
4.2. Effect of hybridization location on hybridization efficiency

Fig. 4 shows the effect of hybridization location on hybridization efficiency for various DNA target sizes and concentrations. Fig. 4a shows the result for hybridization location close to the slide surface, while Fig. 4b is close to the top free solution phase. Cy3-labeled ATP5O (313b), PSMA5 (432b), and CANX (1027b) served as the model genes individually hybridizing with two sequence-inversed probes. Readers can refer to the corresponding hybridization configurations in Fig. 4c and d, respectively. Comparing Fig. 4a with b indicates that a hybridization location close to the slide surface generally provided better hybridization efficiency than a location close to the free solution, except for ATP5O at high probe concentration. Hybridization at the location close to the free solution above the probe could be easier than that close to the slide surface to allow the shacked wash buffer to wash...
off the hybridized gene targets. As ATP5O hybridized with probe $a_1$, the signal intensity increased first but then decreased with probe concentration increasing. Although a recent report did not concur that the probe density alone is responsible for the low hybridization efficiency (Mirmomtaz et al., 2008), this parabolic profile is generally explained as the steric hindrance effect caused by a dense probe microarray (Herne and Tarlov, 1997; Peterson et al., 2001) since a dense microarray is believed to reduce the

**Fig. 6.** Signal enhancement by target pre-hybridization. Compared with the individual hybridization, CANX-cy3 (1027b) signal in the stacked configuration was greatly enhanced by the pre-hybridization of pda13015 (528b) on the co-axially shared probes, (a) probe_1, (b) probe_2. Their corresponding hybridization configurations are shown in (c) and (d), respectively.

**Fig. 7.** Signal enhancement by target pre-hybridization. Compared with the individual hybridization, the CANX-cy3 (1027b) signal in the stacked configuration was tremendously enhanced by the pre-hybridization of pda13015 (528b) on their co-axial shared probe, (a) probe_1, (b) probe_2. Their corresponding hybridization configurations are shown in (c) and (d), respectively.
to the immobilized probe. Its typical profile of measured intensity usually increases first and then drops as the microarrayed probe concentration increases.

Fig. 5 shows a similar test result for a shorter hybridization base–17mer. In this figure, we plotted the signal reading of Cy5 in lines to avoid confusion with the previous bar-graphs of Cy3. With the same implication as Fig. 4, the hybridization location close to the slide surface revealed better hybridization efficiency than one close to the free solution, where target could be more easily de-hybridized from a target-probe hybridized complex by washing. In this scenario, the steric hindrance effect also appeared for pda13015 (528b) on probe_2, but not for other DNA targets.

4.3. Effect of pre-hybridization on hybridization efficiency

Fig. 6 shows the measured Cy3 intensities of gene target CANX (1027b) over various microarrayed concentrations on probe_1 (a) and probe_2 (b). CANX was designed to individually hybridize with the probe or to stack together with the pre-hybridized pda13015 (528b) on the coaxial shared probe. Their corresponding hybridization configurations are shown in Fig. 6c and d. It can be concluded that the stacked configuration clearly received a signal enhancement by the pre-hybridization of pda13015. The enhancement contribution to the overall signal was roughly half fold on probe_1 and 1–3 folds on probe_2. However, this enhancement phenomenon was not observed at high probe concentrations due to the steric effect mentioned above. One possible explanation for this signal enhancement could be that the void space around the probe’s bare sections was propped open by the pre-hybridized pda13015, and this void space could facilitate the subsequent CANX docking onto its binding site in the restricted environment. Another reasonable explanation could be the change of probe conformation. The pre-hybridization of pda13015 could cause the tilt probe to stand up, particularly probe_2, where the pre-hybridization occurred in the stem section. The standing conformation of an immobilized probe generally provides better contact with approaching targets than tilted or lying probes (Kaufmann et al., 2008).

4.4. Effect of pre-hybridization order on hybridization efficiency

The next issue of interest was addition inversion of gene targets. Could a similar signal enhancement still be observed from the inverse scenario? In other words, if CANX was added prior to pda13015, could pda13015 receive a similar benefit? Fig. 7 shows the related experimental design and results. The results, however, did not show the expected phenomenon, than moreover, no signal was observed on the stacked configuration. There are two factors that could be responsible: a long-size pre-hybridization of CANX (1027b) and/or a short hybridization section (17mer) of pda13015. In other words, the pre-hybridization of CANX (1027b) could hinder the accessibility of pda13015 (528b) to its complementary probe and/or the section of 17-mer was too short to retain the hybridized complex. The unhybridized section of CANX hung around the hybridized probe and generated a steric hindrance to pad13015 to access the same probe. The 17-mer short section of pda13015 could be denaturalized by continues washes. In order to clarify the first issue, a further investigation was conducted on the stacking configuration of ATP5O(313b)–pda06175(690b). However, the pre-hybridization of smaller size of ATP5O still caused no signal observed of pda06175 (data not shown). Thus, the signal enhancement by pre-hybridization would not be observed if the gene target designed to receive the benefit was hybridizing in a short section with its probe.
4.5. Effect on hybridization efficiency due to size of pre-hybridizer to relative gene target

Another parameter we examined was the size of pre-hybridization target relative to its up-coming stacking neighbor, and three pairs of gene targets were selected to address this issue. The gene targets to receive the signal enhancement were CANX(1027b), ATP5O(313b), and PSMA5(432b), with 70-mer of hybridization section. Their corresponding pre-hybridizers were pda13015(528b), pda06175(690b), and pda06122(1020b), respectively. For the first pair, CANX was about twice the size of its pre-hybridizer. For the second, ATP5O was shorter, and for the third, PSMA5 was much shorter than its pre-hybridized neighbor. The hybridization configuration for each pair model was carried out identically to Fig. 6c and d. The result for CANX is shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 8 therefore shows the results for the other two gene models.

In Fig. 8a and b, ATP5O performed similar hybridization efficiency in either individual or stacked configurations on two probes for low probe concentration. At high probe concentration, the steric effect was observed on ATP5O such that no signal enhancement was reported. The investigation result for PSMA5 is shown in Fig. 8c and d. In general, PSMA5 did not obtain signal enhancement and was up by its pre-hybridization partner.

5. Conclusion

The enhancement of hybridization efficiency of a gene target by a DNA pre-hybridizer is reported on two specially designed microarrayed DNA probes and three pair genes of two distinct species. The hybridization efficiency was observed to decrease with increasing probe concentration for a short gene target. This steric effect occurred only when the target was individually hybridized at a location close to the substrate surface. The DNA pre-hybridization greatly enhanced the hybridization efficiency of the subsequent gene target hybridizing onto the coaxial shared probe when a gene target had a large hybridization section and was larger than its pre-hybridization partner. Although a complete experimental design is necessary to obtain more firm conclusions, our results imply that an appropriate design for pre-hybridization of probes can enhance the DNA hybridization efficiency on microarrayed gene chips. This should be useful for optimal experiment design.
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