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ABSTRACT All-quantum signal processing techniques are at the core of the successful advancement of most information-based quantum technologies. This article develops coherent and comprehensive methodologies and mathematical models to describe Fourier optical signal processing in full quantum terms for any input quantum state of light. We begin this article by introducing a spatially 2-D quantum state of a photon, associated with its wavefront and expressible as a 2-D creation operator. Then, by breaking down the Fourier optical processing apparatus into its key components, we strive to acquire the quantum unitary transformation or the input/output quantum relation of the 2-D creation operators. Subsequently, we take advantage of the above results to develop and obtain the quantum analogous of a few essential Fourier optical apparatuses, such as quantum convolution via a 4f-processing system and a quantum 4f-processing system with periodic pupils. Moreover, due to the importance and widespread use of optical pulse shaping in various optical communications and optical sciences fields, we also present an analogous system in full quantum terms, namely quantum pulse shaping with an 8f-processing system. Finally, we apply our results to two extreme examples of the quantum state of light. One is based on a coherent (Glauber) state and the other on a single-photon number (Fock) state for each of the above optical systems. We believe the schemes and mathematical models developed in this article can impact many areas of quantum optical signal processing, quantum holography, quantum communications, quantum radars and multiple-input/multiple-output antennas, and many more applications in quantum imaging, quantum computations, and quantum machine learning algorithms.

INDEX TERMS Fourier optics, quantum 4f-processor, quantum 8f-processor, quantum code division multiple access (QCDMA), quantum convolution, quantum Fourier optics, quantum Fresnel and quantum Fraunhofer region, quantum grating, quantum imaging, quantum lense, quantum optics, quantum pulse shaping.

I. INTRODUCTION

The extraordinary success of Fourier optics in sciences and engineering throughout the past half-century compels us to return to the basics and grassroots of its ubiquitous and fundamental concepts and strive to dig out its fully quantum analogous [1], [2], [3]. We believe that fully quantum Fourier optics will be considered supreme, like its classical counterpart, in many futuristic and disruptive quantum technologies in information, communications, and computations [4], [5], [6], [7]. It may also find application in advanced quantum algorithms [8], [9], [10]. It is from this viewpoint that we have researched and written this article. The primary purpose of this article is to return to the grassroots concepts in Fourier optical signal processing to produce its quantum analogous in a component-by-component basis and subsequently integrate these components to design a complete quantum optical signal processing apparatus tailored to any input quantum lightwave signal.

In this article, the information-encoding platform is the spatial mode (image) or the wavefront of photons [11]. Spatial modes provide a vast quantum information capacity, such as qudits and continuous-mode quantum information for a single photon. Photon-wavefront has lately been exploited in photons’ orbital angular momentum encoding techniques [12], [13], [14], [15]. Moreover, it plays the principal role in quantum imaging [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25] and quantum holography [26], [27] and can be used to implement arbitrary and programmable unitary transformation [28], [29]. Accordingly, quantum Fourier optics, the core of quantum information processing on photon-wavefronts, requires special investigation, which is this article’s primary focus. Quantum Fourier optics shows how a spatially 2-D quantum state of light (quantum optical signal) evolves through a Fourier optical system. Quantum Fourier optics allows us to investigate quantum interference in a Fourier optical system, which classical Fourier optics
fails to explain. The applications of quantum Fourier optics in linear optical quantum computation, which requires quantum interference, will be described in a follow-up paper.

This article begins by developing the fundamentals and the building blocks of quantum Fourier optics for a general case of continuous spatial mode. Primarily, it introduces the quantum state and its photon-wavepacket creation operator in a 2-D spatial domain. Afterward, it details the quantum model of Fourier optics elements, which are free propagation and spatial modulating devices, such as lens and diffraction grating. These elements can be combined to create various Fourier optical processors such as the 4f-processing system, bringing unique functionalities such as continuous mode quantum Fourier transformation and quantum convolution. It also provides simulation examples for the evolution of the photon-wavepacket through the introduced quantum optical systems.

To demonstrate the supreme capabilities of quantum Fourier optics, as an example, we detail a quantum pulse shaping technique. We show how an 8f-processing system applies the desired phase shifts to each frequency component of a quantum state of light. This technique is used in optical frequency combs quantum information processing [30], and quantum code division multiple access (QCDMA) communication systems [31].

II. GENERIC REPRESENTATION OF THE QUANTUM STATE OF LIGHT AS A FUNCTION OF CREATION OPERATORS

Fock states provide an appropriate Hilbert space to represent the quantum state of light. This article considers pure quantum light states composed of identical photons (some authors call them indistinguishable photons), meaning photons occupying identical mode \( \xi \). Such a quantum state is mathematically representable in the Fock space as follows [31], [32], [33]:

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle_{\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger n}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle = f(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger)(0)
\]

where \(|0\rangle\) denotes the vacuum state, \(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger\) is the single-photon creation operator at the generic optical mode \(\xi\), and \(c_n\) fulfill the normalization condition \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 = 1\) and are the probability amplitudes for the quantum light to be in the photon number states \(|n\rangle_{\xi}\) and associate with the Taylor coefficients of the analytic, infinitely differentiable function \(f(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger n}{\sqrt{n!}}\). It is worth noting that the coherent (Glauber) state \(|\alpha\rangle\) is representable by the exponential function \(f(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger) = \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2 + \alpha \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger)\), where \(c_n = \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2) \alpha^n/n!\), which is equivalent to the displacement operator [34]. The number (Fock) state \(|n\rangle_{\xi}\) is representable by the \(n\)th-order power function, \(f(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger n\), where \(c_n = 1\) and \(c_{n'} = 0\) for all \(n' \neq n\).

This article addresses the single-photon wave function as the photon-wavepacket and writes it with the symbol \(\xi\), which indicates the occupation mode of a single photon. As detailed in Appendix A, the occupation mode’s degree of freedom for a single photon is four, one discrete polarization and three continuous components of the photon wavevector. The three wavevector occupation modes can be converted into the photon spectral mode and a 2-D spatial mode known as the photon wavefront. If the occupation modes of the understudying photons at any of these four degrees of freedom remain unchanged throughout the system, we drop them from consideration. For example, assume the polarization and the wavefront of photons remain intact in the system, and only the spectral mode is under evolution. Therefore, the effective photon-wavepacket is reducible to a 1-D spectral wavepacket \(\xi(\omega)\), which can also be Fourier transformed and mapped to a 1-D temporal photon wave packet [31], [34]. A 1-D photon wavepacket creation operator is expandable as

\[
\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger = \int dv \xi(v) \hat{a}_v
\]

where for spectral and temporal photon wavepacket, variable \(v\) is frequency \(\omega\) and time \(t\), respectively, and for a 1-D wavefront, is position \(x\) [35]. Fig. 1 illustrates a 1-D photon wavepacket \(\xi(x)\) and a 2-D photon-wavepacket \(\xi(x, y)\). At each coordinate, the height of the curves denotes the photon-wavepacket’s absolute value \(|\xi|\), and the photon-wavepacket’s phase \(\angle \xi\) is color-coded.

Let us consider the transformation of the pure state (1) due to the unitary operator \(\hat{U}\), it gives

\[
\hat{U}|\psi\rangle = \hat{U}f(\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger)(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{U} \frac{\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger n}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{U} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger n \hat{U}^\dagger}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{(|\xi|^2)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle
\]

\[
= f(\hat{U} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{U}^\dagger)(0)
\]
where equality \( \hat{U}^\dagger |0\rangle = |0\rangle \) and the unitarity property of operator \( \hat{U}^\dagger (\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{1}) \) is used, and the output single-photon creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \) is defined as

\[
\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger = \hat{U}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{U}_{\xi}^\dagger.
\]

Equations (3) and (4) denote that the unitary operator \( \hat{U} \) only changes the photon-wavepacket from \( \xi \) to \( \xi' \) but not the representation of the quantum state in the Fock space expressed by function \( f \) in (1) and (3). Therefore, the only challenge to transform a quantum state due to a Fourier optical element is finding the transformation of photon-wavepacket creation operator equivalent to (4). This simplification stems from the assumption that the Fourier optical elements do not possess nonlinear effects or photon-loss and, therefore, perform a unitary transformation on the quantum light. To make it short, our goal in this article is to find a transformation similar to (4) for each Fourier optical element, which we use a full quantum mechanical approach to accomplish.

Finally, let us highlight that extending the introduced evolution of quantum light through the Fourier optical systems to quantum mixed states and multiphoton-wavepackets pure states [31] is straightforward in the first approach. Similar to above, for multiphoton-wavepacket quantum pure and mixed states, only constituent photons’ wavepackets get transformed while the photon statistics or Fock representation remains untouched. However, further simplification of such quantum states requires considering particular cases, which is beyond the scope of this article.

**A. SPATIALLY 2-D QUANTUM STATE OF LIGHT**

Equation (1) assumes the photons of the pure light quantum state occupy identical modes \( \xi \); identical spatial, spectral, and polarization modes. Quantum Fourier optics manipulate the spatial mode, and the manipulation usually depends on the spectrum and is independent of polarization. Therefore, as detailed in Appendix A, we drop the polarization and assume the quantum light is spectrally single-mode with frequency \( \omega \) and angular wavenumber \( k = \frac{\omega}{c} \), where \( c \) is the speed of light. Furthermore, we assume the quantum light is propagating in the \(+z\)-direction only (see Fig. 6). Therefore, the quantum light’s photon-wavefunction can be associated with the wavefront function denoted as \( \xi(x, y) \). Since Fourier optical elements such as lenses would change the photon-wavefronts in the \((x, y)\) domain, we add the photon-wavefront function \( \xi = \xi(x, y) \) as a subscript to the photon creation operators \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) and introduce the photon-wavefront (photon-wavepacket) creation operator as \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \), such that

\[
\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger = \int dx dy \xi(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{x, y}\quad (5a)
\]

\[
= \int dk_x dk_y \xi(k_x, k_y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{k_x, k_y}\quad (5b)
\]

where creation operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger_{x, y} \) creates a single photon at the point with coordinates \((x, y)\) associated with the 2-D continuous mode single-photon quantum state \( \hat{a}^\dagger_{x, y} |0\rangle = |1\rangle_{x, y} \equiv |x, y\rangle \). Correspondingly, in (5a), the probability amplitude function of photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \) is denoted by the photon-wavefront \( \xi(x, y) \). The photon-wavepacket \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger(k_x, k_y) \) is the 2-D Fourier transform function of wavefront \( \xi(x, y) \) [see (A6)] and corresponds to the single-photon probability amplitude at wavevector \( k = (k_x, k_y, k_z = \sqrt{k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_f^2}) \) (see Fig. 6). We use bold letters to represent wavevectors and nonbold letters for their amplitude function of photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \).

**III. EVOLUTION OF A QUANTUM STATE AND PHASE-SHIFTING OPERATORS**

In this section, we study the evolution of the quantum state of light due to various parameters, such as time, displacement, and Fourier optical elements. For all practical purposes, we show that all of these evolutions are formalizable by phase-shifting operators. The primary phase-shifting operator can be expressed as follows [36]:

\[
\hat{U} = e^{-i\theta \hat{n}^\dagger \hat{n}} = e^{-i\theta \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}}
\]

where \( \hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \) is the number operator. The phase-shifting operator \( \hat{U} \), as its name implies, phase shifts the amplitude of operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) by the phase value of \(-\theta\) when acts on operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger \), i.e.,

\[
\hat{U} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}.
\]

**A. TIME EVOLUTION OPERATOR**

The optical time evolution operator is

\[
\hat{V}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} = e^{-i\int \omega(k) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k} dk} = e^{-i\int \theta_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k} dk} = \prod_{k} e^{-i\theta_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k}}
\]

where \( \hat{H} = \sum_{k} \hbar \omega(k) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k} \) is the electromagnetic radiation Hamiltonian, and \( \theta_{k} = \omega(k) t \), and \( \hat{a}_{k} = \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k} \) is the number operator for the state with wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \). Time evolution operator (8), similar to (6), is a phase-shifting operator that, at time \( t \), provides wavevectors creation operator \( \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \) with phase shift \(-\theta_{k} = -\omega(k) t\), i.e., \( \hat{V}(t) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{V}(t)^\dagger = \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger e^{-i\theta_{k} t} \).

This article mainly considers spectrally single-mode quantum light. Single angular frequency \( \omega(k) = c|\mathbf{k}| = c \mathbf{k} \) indicates that summation over the wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \) of (8) reduces to the summation over the wavevectors ending to the surface of the sphere with radius \( k \) (see Fig. 6). Consequently, single-frequency photon-wavepacket creation operator (5) gets a constant phase shift, \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger e^{-i\omega t} \). This transformation is equivalent to the wavefront transition from
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Thus, the photon-wavefront only gets a constant phase factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ over time without any overall changes in its shape. Therefore, without loss of generality, in this article, we ignore the time evolution. One can show that the time evolution operator, as its name denotes, time-shifts the photon-wavepacket of spectrally multimode quantum light

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\tau)|\psi\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\tau)f(\hat{\xi}_k)|0\rangle = f\left(\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\tau)\hat{\xi}_k\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}(\tau)\right)|0\rangle = f(\hat{\xi}_k)|0\rangle \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{\xi}(\omega, x, y) = \hat{\xi}(\omega, x, y)e^{-i\omega t}$ and its Fourier transform shows that the photon-wavepacket in the time domain is shifted by time $\tau$, i.e., $\hat{\xi}(x, y, t) = \hat{\xi}(x, y, t+\tau)$; see (A13) of Appendix A-A, which gives more detail about spectrally multimode photon-wavepacket, which is not the main focus of this article.

**B. DISPLACEMENT TRANSITION OPERATOR**

The displacement transition (evolution) operator in the $+z$-direction can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{T}(z) = e^{+iz\sum_k k_n \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} = \prod_k e^{+izk\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} = \prod_k e^{+iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} \quad (10)$$

and $k_z$ is the corresponding $z$ component of wavevector $\mathbf{k}$. The displacement transition operator (10) is also a phase-shifting type operator, which provides operator $\hat{a}_k^\dagger$ with phase shift $\theta = -k_zz$ for a displacement by $z$

$$\hat{T}(z)\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{T}^\dagger(z) = \left(\prod_k e^{+iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k}\right)\hat{a}_k^\dagger\left(\prod_k e^{-iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k}\right) = e^{+iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} e^{-iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} = \hat{T}_k(z)\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z) = \hat{a}_k^\dagger e^{ikz} \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{T}_k(z) = e^{+iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} = e^{+iz\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k}$ is the displacement transition operator for wavevector $\mathbf{k}$.

**1) FRESNEL DIFFRACTION**

Under the paraxial (Fresnel) approximation, propagating quantum electromagnetic wave makes a slight angle relative to the $z$-direction; therefore, $k_z$ is reducible to

$$k_z = \sqrt{k^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2} \approx k \left(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2k^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2k^2}\right) \quad (12)$$

Therefore, the Fresnel displacement transition quantum operator is

$$\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z) = \prod_k e^{+izk\hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k} = e^{+izk(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2k^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2k^2})\hat{a}_k} \quad (13)$$

and $\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z) = e^{+izk(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2k^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2k^2})\hat{a}_k}$ is the Fresnel displacement transition operator for wavevector $\mathbf{k}$.

Fresnel approximation is used in the following to simplify the displacement operation on the quantum state of light. Regarding the discussions about (3) and (4), to obtain the operation of the unitary Fresnel displacement transition (13) on a quantum state of light, we need to transform the photon-wavepacket creation operator; using the same procedure as (11), we get

$$\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z)\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{T}_k(z) = \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z)\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{T}_k(z) = \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)e^{ik\left(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2k^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2k^2}\right)z}\hat{a}_k^\dagger$$

and the Fresnel transformed photon-wavepacket $\hat{\xi}_k^\dagger(k_x, k_y)$ of the input photon-wavepacket $\hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)$ for a displacement by $z$ is

$$\hat{\xi}_k^\dagger(k_x, k_y) = \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)\hat{T}_k^\dagger(z) = \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)e^{ik\left(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2k^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2k^2}\right)z} \quad (15)$$

which is defined in the wavevector domain. For the spatial domain, use (A5) and the convolution theorem, which recast Fresnel transformed wavepacket (16) into the following form:

$$\hat{\xi}_k^\dagger(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\xi}_k^\dagger(k_x, k_y)e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y)\hat{\xi}_k^\dagger(k_x, k_y)e^{ik_x x + ik_y y} \quad (16)$$
\[ \xi(x, y) \ast h_{\xi}^c(x, y) \]  
where symbol \( \ast \) denotes the convolution operation defined in (D6). Equation (17) is known as the Fresnel diffraction formula. The Fresnel impulse response of free space propagation \( h_{\xi}^c(x, y) \) is given by equation

\[ h_{\xi}^c(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \tilde{h}_{\xi}^c(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \]

\[ = \frac{-ik}{z} e^{ik^2 z^2 / (4z^2)} e^{i(k_x^2 x^2 + k_y^2 y^2)} . \]  

Considering (14) and (17), one may note that Fresnel diffraction performs a single-photon quantum state convolution with the Fresnel impulse response function (18). For more detail about the quantum convolution, see Section V on the 4f-processor.

2) FRAUNHOFER DIFFRACTION

The Fraunhofer diffraction or the far-field diffraction of photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \) is the limiting case of the Fresnel diffraction (14) where the displacement \( z \) is much bigger than the size (width) of photon-wavefront \( \xi(x, y) \), denoted by \( \Delta x, \Delta y \). Mathematically speaking, if \( \xi(x, y) \approx 0 \forall x \notin \left( -\frac{\Delta x}{2}, \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right) \cup y \notin \left( -\frac{\Delta y}{2}, \frac{\Delta y}{2} \right) \), at distance

\[ z \gg \frac{k}{8} (\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2) \]  

(19)

the Fresnel wavefront (17) reduces to

\[ \xi_{\xi}^{fb}(x, y) = \xi(x, y) \ast h_{\xi}^c(x, y) \]

\[ = \int d\xi' dy' \xi(x', y') h_{\xi}^c(x - x', y - y') \]

\[ \approx \frac{-ik}{z} e^{ik^2 z^2 / (4z^2)} \int d\xi' dy' \xi(x', y') e^{i(k_x x' + k_y y')} \]

\[ \times \int \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi' dy' \xi(x', y') e^{i(k_x x' + k_y y')} \]

\[ = \frac{-ik}{z} e^{ik^2 z^2 / (4z^2)} \xi \left( \frac{k_x}{-z}, \frac{k_y}{-z} \right) \]

\[ = \xi_{\xi}^{fb}(x, y) \]

(20)

where (17), (18), and (A6) are used, and the Fraunhofer wavefront is defined as \( \xi_{\xi}^{fb}(x, y) = \frac{-ik}{z} e^{ik^2 z^2 / (4z^2)} \xi \left( \frac{k_x}{-z}, \frac{k_y}{-z} \right) \), which is proportional to the Fourier transform of the input wavefront at point \( \left( \frac{k_x}{z}, \frac{k_y}{z} \right) \). Consequently, the Fraunhofer diffraction of creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \) is expressible as

\[ \hat{T}_{\xi}^{fb}(z) \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{T}_{\xi}^{fb\dagger}(z) = \hat{a}_{\xi}^{fb\dagger} \]

(21)

denoting continuous mode quantum Fourier transformation on a single-photon number state. For more detail about the quantum Fourier transform, see Section IV on the lens operator.

C. 2-D QUANTUM SPATIAL PHASE MODULATION OPERATOR

We introduce 2-D spatial phase modulation operator \( \hat{\Phi}_\theta \) on coordinate \( x \) and \( y \) as follows:

\[ \hat{\Phi}_\theta = e^{-i\sum x_i y_j \hat{a}_{x_i y_j} \hat{a}_{x_i y_j}^\dagger} \]

(22)

where \( \hat{a}_{x_i y_j} = \hat{d}_{x_i y_j} \hat{d}^*_{x_i y_j} \) is the number operator for position \( (x, y) \). Phase-shifting operator (22) provides operator \( \hat{d}_{x_i y_j} \) with phase shift \( \theta = \phi(x, y) \) as follows:

\[ \hat{\Phi}_\theta \hat{d}_{x_i y_j} \hat{\Phi}_\theta^\dagger = e^{-i\sum x_i y_j \phi(x_i, y_j) \hat{a}_{x_i y_j} \hat{a}_{x_i y_j}^\dagger} \]

\[ = e^{-i\phi(x', y') \hat{a}_{x' y'} \hat{a}_{x' y'}^\dagger} \]

\[ = \hat{\Phi}_{\theta(x', y')} \]

(23)

To obtain (23) from (22), we use the same argument as in (10) to reach (11). Therefore, operator \( \hat{\Phi}_\theta \) transforms photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \) as follows:

\[ \hat{\Phi}_\theta \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_\theta^\dagger = \int dxdy \xi(x, y) \hat{\Phi}_\theta \hat{d}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_\theta^\dagger \]

\[ = \int dxdy \xi(x, y) e^{-i\phi(x, y) \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger} \]

\[ = \int dxdy \xi'(x, y) \hat{a}_{\xi} \]

(24)

where \( \xi'(x, y) = \xi(x, y) e^{-i\phi(x, y)} \) and (5a) and (23) are used.

1) IDEAL THIN LENS QUANTUM MODULATION

An ideal thin lens is a 2-D spatial phase modulator meaning its spatial transformation phase-shifts the 2-D photon-wavepackets. The phase function \( \phi_l(x, y) \) of a classical and ideal lens with focal length \( f \):

\[ \phi_l(x, y) = \frac{k}{2f} (x^2 + y^2) \]

(25)

From (22) and (25), the lens phase-shifting operator \( \hat{\Phi}_{\phi_l} \) can be expressible as follows:

\[ \hat{\Phi}_{\phi_l} = e^{-i\sum_{x_i y_j} \frac{k}{2f} (x_i^2 + y_j^2) \hat{a}_{x_i y_j} \hat{a}_{x_i y_j}^\dagger} \]

(26)

2) DIFFRACTION GRATING QUANTUM MODULATION

A diffraction grating is a periodic spatial phase modulator. Assume the periodic structure of the grating is in the \( x \)-direction. In other words, assume the grating phase function is \( \phi_g(x) \) with period \( d_x \). Therefore, the corresponding grating phase factor \( e^{-i\phi_g(x)} \) is periodic with spatial frequency \( k_g = 2\pi / d_x \), according to the Fourier transform theory, expandable as

\[ e^{-i\phi_g(x)} = \sum_r q_r e^{i(2\pi r x / d_x)} \]

(27)
where \( q_r \) indicates the \( r \)th Fourier coefficient of the grating phase factor. Therefore, as (24) denotes, the diffraction grating transforms photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \) as follows:

\[
\hat{U}_{\phi_k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{U}_{\phi_k}^\dagger = \int dx dy \xi(x, y) e^{-i\phi_k(x)} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}
\]

\[
= \sum_r q_r \int dx dy \xi_r(x, y) e^{i r \kappa_x x} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}
\]

\[
= \sum_r q_r \int dx dy \xi_r(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}
\]

\[
= \sum_r q_r \int dx dy \xi_r(x, y) e^{-i (k - k_x) x} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}
\]

(28)

where the \( r \)th diffraction order photon-wavefront is defined as \( \xi_r(x, y) = \xi(x, y) e^{i r \kappa_x x} \) and its inverse Fourier transform (A6) gives its corresponding representation in the wavevector space, that is

\[
\hat{\xi}_r(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx dy \xi_r(x, y) e^{-i (k_x x + k_y y)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx dy \xi_r(x, y) e^{-i (k_x x + k_y y)}
\]

\[
= \hat{\xi}(k_x - r \kappa_x, k_y).
\]

(29)

Substituting (29) into (28) gives

\[
\hat{U}_{\phi_k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{U}_{\phi_k}^\dagger = \sum_r q_r \int dx dy \hat{\xi}_r(k_x, k_y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{k_x, k_y}
\]

\[
= \sum_r q_r \int dx dy \hat{\xi}_r(k_x, k_y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{k_x, k_y}.
\]

(30)

Comparing (30) with (5b) indicates the diffraction grating performs the following quantum transformation in the wavevector space:

\[
\hat{U}_{\phi_k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{k_x, k_y} \hat{U}_{\phi_k}^\dagger = \sum_r q_r \hat{a}^\dagger_{k_x + r \kappa_x, k_y}.
\]

(31)

The quantum grating transformation (31) indicates that at the \( r \)th diffraction order, the diffraction amplitude equals the \( r \)th Fourier coefficient of the grating \( q_r \). Furthermore, the incident wavevector \( k \) with \( x \) component \( k_x \) transforms to the wavevector \( k' \) with \( x \) component \( k_x' = k_x + r \kappa_x \). Assume the angle of the incident wavevector \( k \) and the \( r \)th diffracted wavevector \( k' \) with the grating are \( \theta_i \) and \( \theta_s \), respectively. Thus, the transformation equation \( k_x' = k_x + r \kappa_x \) indicated by (31), reduces to the well-known grating equation:

\[
\sin(\theta_s) = \sin(\theta_i) + r \frac{\lambda}{d_e}
\]

(32)

where \( \lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \) denotes the photon wavelength.

One can see in this 1-D modulation of the grating on the \( x \)-components of the quantum light; we could ignore the \( y \)-component from the consideration, as argued in Appendix A and shown in Fig. 2.

IV. LENSA OPERATOR AS QUANTUM OPTICAL FOURIER TRANSFORMER

Consider a quantum light impinging upon a lens; consider its quantum state at the front focal plane and the back focal plane, respectively, as the lens’s input and output. We express the quantum transformation of such a passive optical unit with operator \( \hat{L} \).

Fig. 3 shows the transformation of the lens operator \( \hat{L} \) on a quantum light. The lens transformation is structured as a sequence of three quantum transformations: the quantum displacement transformation to the front end of the lens \( \hat{T}(f) \), the quantum modulation from the front to the backend of the lens \( \hat{U}_{\phi_k} \), followed by the second quantum displacement to the back focal plane \( \hat{T}(f) \); mathematically speaking

\[
\hat{L} = \hat{T}(f) \hat{U}_{\phi_k} \hat{T}(f).
\]

(33)

Appendix C shows that the effect of the lens operator \( \hat{L} \) on a photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \) is to reshape its wavefront, the quantum reshaping operation is as follows (C9):

\[
\hat{L} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{L}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi'}.
\]

(34)

From Appendix C (C10), which assumes the Fresnel approximation for the transition operator, \( \hat{T} = \hat{T}^{\psi}(z) \), the lens reshapes the wavefront from \( \xi \) to \( \xi' \). The output photon wavefront \( \xi' \) corresponds to the input wavefront’s Fourier transform \( \xi' \) rescaled with factor \( \frac{\kappa}{f} \) as

\[
\xi'(x, y) = -i k \int \frac{d k_x' d k_y'}{2 \pi} \xi \left( \frac{k_x'}{f}, \frac{k_y'}{f} \right) e^{-2 \pi i f \xi' \left( \frac{k_x'}{f}, \frac{k_y'}{f} \right)}.
\]

(35)

As a general example, consider the generic class of pure quantum state of identical photons with photon-wavefront \( \xi((1), |\psi = f(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi})|0)) \) as the inputs of the lens operator \( \hat{L} \). The
\begin{align}
\hat{L}|1\rangle_{\xi} &= \hat{L}\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle = \hat{L}\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{L}^\dagger |0\rangle = \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= |1\rangle_{\xi}. \quad (37)
\end{align}

Equations (C9) and (C10) give the representation of the above state in the spatial space as follows:
\begin{align}
\hat{L}|1\rangle_{\xi} &= \iint dx dy \xi'(x, y) \hat{a}_{x,y}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= -\frac{ik}{f} e^{2ikf} \iint dx dy \xi \left(\frac{k}{f} x, \frac{k}{f} y\right) \hat{a}_{x,y}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= -i \frac{f}{k} e^{2ikf} \iint du dv \xi(u, v) \hat{a}_{u,v}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= -ie^{2ikf} \iint du dv \xi(u, v) \hat{a}_{u,v}^\dagger|0\rangle \quad (38)
\end{align}

where the linearity of the lens operator \(\hat{L}^\dagger \hat{L} = \hat{I}\), (34) and invariance of the vacuum by the lens operation \((\hat{L}|0\rangle = |0\rangle\) are used.

1) **Example 1: Single-Photon Input:** Consider the particular case of single-photon number state \(|1\rangle_{\xi} = \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle\) as the input of the lens operator, the output of the lens would be the following quantum state:

\begin{align}
\hat{L}|1\rangle_{\xi} &= \hat{L}\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle = \hat{L}\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger \hat{L}^\dagger |0\rangle = \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= |1\rangle_{\xi}. \quad (37)
\end{align}

2) **Example 2: Glauber State Input:** If the input of the lens is a Glauber state, i.e., \(|\alpha\rangle_{\xi} = \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2 + a\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger)|0\rangle\), the lens operation gives another Glauber state with the same amplitude \(\alpha\):

\begin{align}
\hat{L}|\alpha\rangle_{\xi} &= \hat{L}e^{-|\alpha|^2/2 + a\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2/2 + a\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger} \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= |\alpha\rangle_{\xi}. \quad (39)
\end{align}

where also \(\hat{L}^\dagger |0\rangle = |0\rangle\).

V. QUANTUM CONVOLUTION VIA A 4F QUANTUM SIGNAL PROCESSING SYSTEM

Fig. 4 shows a 4F-processing system consisting of two identical lenses of confocal length \(f\), with a spatial phase modulator of pupil function \(\phi_p(x, y)\) located at the confocal plane (the Fourier plane of the primary lens). The corresponding
quantum operator of this 4f-processing system is expressible as
\[
\hat{F}_\psi \hat{F}_\psi = \hat{L} \hat{U}_\psi \hat{L}.
\] (40)

As in (34), the first lens transforms wavepacket creation operator \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \) to \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi'} (\hat{L}\hat{a}\hat{L}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi'})\), where, as shown in (C10), \(\xi'\) corresponds to the Fourier transform of the input wavefront \(\xi\).

Afterward, the phase-only pupil \(P(x, y) = e^{-i\phi(x,y)}\) transforms the operator \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi'}\) to \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta'} (\hat{U}_{\psi} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi'} \hat{U}_{\psi} = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta'}\), where according to (24), the photon-wavefront at the back end of the pupil \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta'}\) is
\[
\eta'(x, y) = \xi'(x, y) P(x, y) = \xi'(x, y) e^{-i\phi(x,y)}.
\] (41)

Finally, the second lens transforms the operator \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta'}\) to \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta} (\hat{L}\hat{a}\hat{L}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta})\), where, similar to the first lens, the output photon-wavefront \(\eta\) corresponds to the Fourier transform of the second lens’s input photon-wavefront \(\eta'\), and, as (D3) shows, it becomes
\[
\eta(x, y) = \xi'(-x, -y) * h(x, y).
\] (42)

Equation (42) indicates that the output photon-wavefront \(\eta\) of the 4f-processing system is the convolution of its impulse response \(h(x, y)\) and the parity transformed input photon-wavefront \(\xi(-x, -y)\). For the 4f-processing system with pupil \(P(x, y)\), as (D5), \(h(x, y) = -e^{ikL^2/2} \hat{P}_{y}^k(x, y)\), denotes, its impulse response \(h(x, y)\) corresponds to the rescaled Fourier transform of the pupil function \(P(x, y)\) by factor \(\frac{L}{k}\). In short, the 4f-operator \(\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi}\) transforms its input photon-wavepacket creation operator \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}\) as follows:
\[
\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta}
\]
\[
= \iint dx dy \eta(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi, y}
\]
\[
= \iint dx dy \xi'(-x, -y) * h(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi, y}.
\] (43)

Following the same procedure as (36), one can show that the unitary, linear, 4f-operator \(\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi}\) transforms pure quantum state \(|\psi\rangle = f(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi})|0\rangle\), as follows:
\[
|\Psi\rangle = \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} |\psi\rangle
\]
\[
= \hat{F}_{\psi} f(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi})|0\rangle
\]
\[
= f(\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi})|0\rangle
\]
\[
= f(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta})|0\rangle
\] (44)

where the output photon-wavefront \(\eta\) is given by (42). Therefore, similar to all linear optical operators, a quantum 4f-processing system without changing the photon statistics and the quantum light representation in the Fock space transforms only the associated single-photon wavefunction (its wavefront). Let us consider two practically common cases of Fock representation for the input quantum light of the 4f-processor.

1) Example 1: Single-Photon Input: The 4f-processing operator \(\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi}\) transforms single-photon number state \(|1\rangle_{\xi} = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}|0\rangle\) as follows:
\[
\hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} |1\rangle_{\xi} = \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{F}_{\psi} \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi}|0\rangle
\]
\[
= \hat{a}^\dagger_{\eta}|0\rangle
\]
\[
= \iint dx dy \eta(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi, y}|0\rangle
\]
\[
= \iint dx dy \xi'(-x, -y) * h(x, y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{\xi, y}|0\rangle.
\]
\[ \int dx dy \xi(-x, -y) * h(x, y) \mid_{x,y} \]  

(45)

The above equation shows that a quantum 4f-processing system performs a single-photon quantum state convolution with the impulse response function of the system, a quantum operation that can bring many applications for quantum technology.

2) Example 2: Glauber State Input: The output of 4f-processor for Glauber state input \( |\alpha\rangle \equiv \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2 + a\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle \) is Glauber state \( \hat{F}_{\phi_p} |\alpha\rangle \equiv \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2 + a\hat{a}^\dagger) |0\rangle = |\alpha\rangle, \) where \( \eta \) is given by (42).

A. QUANTUM 4F-PROCESSING SYSTEM WITH PERIODIC PUPIL

Consider a quantum 4f-processing system with a periodic pupil phase factor; in other words, the pupil is a 2-D grating-like spatial phase modulator discussed in Section III-C2. Assume its pupil phase factor \( P(x, y) = e^{-i\phi_p(x,y)} \) has periods \( d_x \) and \( d_y \) in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. Therefore, the corresponding spatial angular frequencies are \( \kappa_x = \frac{2\pi}{d_x} \) and \( \kappa_y = \frac{2\pi}{d_y} \), and the Fourier expansion of the pupil phase factor \( P(x, y) \) is as follows:

\[ P(x, y) = \sum_r \sum_s q_{rs} \exp(i(\pi x r + \pi y s)) \]  

(46)

where \( q_{rs} \) is the 2-D Fourier coefficient of the pupil phase factor (see Appendix D-A).

Appendix D-A shows that the impulse response of such a 4f-processor becomes the discrete latticelike function (D9)

\[ h(x, y) = -2\pi e^{ikf} \sum_r \sum_s q_{rs} \delta(x - x^{(r)}) \delta(y - y^{(s)}) \]  

(47)

where \( (x^{(r)}, y^{(s)}) = (rx^{(1)}, sy^{(1)}) \) is associated with lattice-point \( (r, s) \) and the 4f-impulse response lattice-constants \( x^{(1)} \) and \( y^{(1)} \) are defined as follows:

\[ x^{(1)} = \frac{f\kappa_x}{k} = \frac{fckx}{\omega} \]  

\[ y^{(1)} = \frac{f\kappa_y}{k} = \frac{fcky}{\omega} \]  

(48)

where \( f \) denotes the confocal length of the 4f-processor’s lenses. The impulse response (47) simplifies the 4f-transformation as follows [see (D11)]:

\[ \hat{F}_{\phi_p} \hat{a}_\xi \hat{F}_{\phi_p}^* = \hat{a}_\eta \]  

\[ = -e^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \hat{a}_{\xi_{r,s}}^\dagger \]  

(49)

where wavefunction \( \xi_{r,s} \) is the parity transformed and displaced by \( (x^{(r)}, y^{(s)}) \) of wavefunction \( \xi \):

\[ \xi_{r,s}(x, y) = \xi(x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y) \]  

(50)

One may drop the trivial constant phase factor \(-e^{ikf}\) in (49), which is due to the net displacement in the 4f-system, but for the sake of completeness, let us keep the factor. Assume \((x^{(1)}, y^{(1)})\) and \((\Delta x, \Delta y)\) denote the center and the width of the photon-wavepacket \( \xi \), respectively, such that \( \xi(x, y) \approx 0 \) \( \forall \) \( x \notin (x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}, x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}) \) \( \cup \) \( y \notin (y - \frac{\Delta y}{2}, y + \frac{\Delta y}{2}) \). Furthermore, assume photon-widths are narrower than their corresponding 4f-impulse response lattice-constants, \( \Delta x < x^{(1)} \) and \( \Delta y < y^{(1)} \). In this case, the wavepackets \( \xi_{r,s} \) with a central point at \((x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y)\) are orthogonal to each other, \( \langle \xi_{r,s}, \xi_{r',s'} \rangle = \delta_{r,r'}\delta_{s,s'} \), and therefore, the corresponding creation operators hold the canonical commutation relation [see also (A2)] as follows:

\[ \hat{a}_{\xi_{r,s}} \hat{a}_{\xi_{r',s'}}^\dagger = \langle \xi_{r,s}|\xi_{r',s'}\rangle = \delta_{r,r'}\delta_{s,s'} . \]  

(51)

Since there is no overlap between wavepackets of different lattice points, they are orthogonal. To clarify the above 4f-transformation, let us write the photon-wavepacket creation operators simply with a subscript of their central points. Then, we substitute the input creation operator \( \hat{a}_\xi \) with \( \hat{a}_{\xi_{r,s}}^\dagger \), and the parity transformed displaced output creation operators \( \hat{a}_{\xi_{r,s}}^\dagger \) with \( \hat{b}_{x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y} \). Therefore, (49) takes the form as follows:

\[ \hat{F}_{\phi_p} \hat{a}_{\xi_{r,s}}^\dagger \hat{F}_{\phi_p}^* = -e^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \hat{b}_{x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y}^\dagger \]  

(52)

Equation (52) denotes that a quantum 4f-processing system with a periodic, gratinglike pupil maps a single-photon with a wavefront localized at point \((x, y)\) on the input plane into a latticelike wavefront with lattice coordinates \((x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y)\), \( r, s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) on the output plane. Also, the wavefront’s probability amplitude at the lattice-point \((x^{(r)} - x, y^{(s)} - y)\) corresponds to \( q_{rs} \).

VI. QUANTUM PULSE SHAPING VIA AN 8F-PROCESSING SYSTEM

Optical pulse shaping techniques have many applications in classical and quantum technologies such as optical and quantum communications [31], [37] and quantum computations [30]. Due to its importance, in this section, we utilize the aforementioned mathematical tools to detail a quantum pulse shaping procedure.

A quantum pulse shaper phase modulates different frequency components of quantum light by any desired phases from 0 to \( 2\pi \) [31]. Therefore, a quantum pulse shaper is, in fact, a quantum spectral phase modulator. Hence, in this section of the article, we exceptionally assume photons occupy multiple or continuous spectral modes (see Appendix A-A).

Spectral phase modulation or pulse shaping can be realized in three steps, as Fig. 5 shows. The first step spatially separates the frequency components of the photon. In the second step, a spatial phase modulation phase shifts each frequency component of the photon with the desired or preassigned
quantum pulse shaping. A quantum pulse with the temporal shape shown at the upper-left corner enters the pulse shaper. First, the 4f-processor $\hat{F}_\phi\hat{F}_\phi^\dagger$ in step 1 decomposes the frequency component of the input quantum pulse. Then, at step 2, each spatially separated frequency component $\omega$ of the input pulse gets the desired phase via the spatial phase modulator $\hat{U}_\theta$. Eventually, at step 3, the inverse of the first unitary 4f-operation, i.e., $\hat{F}_\phi\hat{F}_\phi^\dagger = \hat{F}_\phi^\dagger\hat{F}_\phi$, reassembles the spatially separated frequency components into a single spatial mode. Consequently, the input photon-wave packet’s temporal shape, shown in the upper-left corner, gets reshaped at the output, shown in the upper-right corner. The simulation considers a rectangular function as the spectral photon-wavepacket (the sinc function as the temporal photon-wavepacket) for the input of the pulse shaper. The reshaping of the pulse is due to 31 random phase shifts applied to 31 spectral chips. The simulation uses a wavelength-based color scheme to propagate each photon-wave packet’s frequency component through the pulse shaper.

**A. STEP ONE: FREQUENCY SEPARATION VIA A 4F-PROCESSOR**

For the sake of simplicity, this section assumes a 1-D photon-wavefront in the $x$-direction, and the light propagation is in the $z$-direction. Consider a single photon with a narrow, Airy disklike, diffraction-limited wavefront localized at the center of the input plane of a 4f-system, $\langle x \rangle = 0$. As (A15) shows, since the features of this narrow wavefront would have minimal consequence, we write its corresponding creation operator as follows:

$$\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger = \int d\omega \xi(\omega) \hat{a}_0^\dagger(\omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

where $\hat{a}_0^\dagger \equiv \hat{a}_0^\dagger_{(x)=0}$, and $\xi(\omega)$ denotes the photon’s spectral wavepacket or probability amplitude. Furthermore, take a periodic, phase-only, gratinglike pupil for the 4f-system. Therefore, the pupil Fourier transform (46) becomes [see also the corresponding diffraction grating (27)]

$$P(x) = e^{-i\phi_p(x)} = \sum_{r=-R}^{R} q_r e^{i(r x, x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

where $r = \pm R$ corresponds to the maximum spatial frequency of the pupil phase factor in the $x$-direction, i.e., $q_r \approx 0 \forall |r| > R$. We obtained the quantum 4f-transformation (52) under the single-frequency mode assumption. Technically, the chromatic aberration of its element (especially the lenses) can be corrected. Therefore, such a chromatic aberration corrected quantum 4f-processor transforms each frequency component $\hat{a}_0^\dagger(\omega)$ of the creation operator (53) according to (52), which gives

$$\hat{F}_\phi\hat{F}_\phi^\dagger \hat{a}_0^\dagger(\omega) \hat{F}_\phi\hat{F}_\phi^\dagger = -e^{i\lambda f} \sum_{r=-R}^{R} q_r \hat{b}_x^{(r)}(\omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

where $\lambda$ indicates the $r$th lattice coordinate of the frequency component $\omega$ and is equivalent to its $r$th order of diffraction. We have added frequency $\omega$ as a subscript to the
lattice-constant and lattice coordinates to highlight their frequency dependence. This section considers spectrally multimode (continuous mode) photons, and (48), \(x_{\omega}^{(1)} = \frac{f_c k_s}{\omega}\), denotes that the lattice-constant \(x_{\omega}^{(1)}\) of the output photon-wavefront depends on the photon’s angular frequency \(\omega\). Furthermore, angular frequency \(\omega\) is given as an argument to the creation operators \(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega)\) and \(\hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega)\) to emphasize that (55) is a single-frequency mode transformation. Finally, (55) indicates that the spatial range of the discretized photon-wavefront at the output of the 4f-processor is \(-x_{\omega}^{(R)} \leq x \leq x_{\omega}^{(R)}\).

Let \(\hat{b}^\dagger\) denote the 1-D unitary 4f-operation (55) on the frequency-dependent photon-wavepacket creation operator (A15)

\[
\hat{b}^\dagger = \hat{F}_\omega \hat{a}^\dagger_{\omega} \hat{F}_\omega^\dagger
\]

\[
= \int d\omega \xi(\omega) \hat{F}_\omega \hat{a}^\dagger(\omega) \hat{F}_\omega^\dagger
\]

\[
= -\sum_{r=-R}^{R} q_r \int d\omega \xi(\omega) e^{i\omega \frac{R}{x_{\omega}^{(R)}}} \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega). \quad (56)
\]

The frequency dependence of the output lattice-constant \(x_{\omega}^{(r)}\) implies that the 4f quantum operator \(\hat{F}_\omega \hat{a}^\dagger_{\omega} \hat{F}_\omega^\dagger\) spatially separates frequency components. It separates different frequency components of the spatially single-mode and spectrally multimode input photon creation operator \(\hat{a}_{\omega}^\dagger\) into different lattices with different lattice-constant \(x_{\omega}^{(r)}\). Yet, a lattice-point of one frequency component of the input photon may overlap with a lattice-point of another frequency component. For example, the central lattice-point \(x_{\omega}^{(0)} = x_{\omega}^{(1)} = 0\) for \(r = 0\) is the common lattice-point for all frequencies, and \(p_{r=0}\) is the probability amplitude that the input photon emerges at the center. However, for a perfect quantum pulse shaping, we need to completely separate different frequency components of the input photons. As discussed in Appendix E-A, the conditions for ideal frequency separation via a 4f quantum processor are that the Fourier transform of the pupil phase factor (54) has no dc term \(\bra{p_{r=0}}\). And the photon bandwidth \(\Delta \omega\) is shorter than \(\frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R}\), where \(\omega_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum of the angular frequency components that the input photons occupy, and \(R\) corresponds to the maximum spatial frequency of the phase-only grating (pupil). Therefore, it is possible to find the frequency-mapped function \(\Omega(x)\), determining the frequency component mapped to position \(x\), which, as shown in Appendix E-B–(E8), is

\[
\Omega(x) = \min \left( R, \left\lfloor \frac{|x|}{x_{\omega_{\text{max}}}^{(1)}} \right\rfloor \right) \frac{x_{\omega_{\text{max}}}^{(1)}}{|x|} \omega_{\text{max}} \quad (57)
\]

where \(\lfloor x \rfloor\) and \(\lfloor x \rfloor\) denote the floor function of \(x\) and the absolute value function of \(x\), respectively. This means function \(\Omega(x)\) on the \(r\)th diffraction order position \(x_{\omega}^{(r)} = rx_{\omega}^{(1)}(\omega)\) of the frequency \(\omega\) gives the corresponding frequency, i.e., \(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)}) = \omega, \forall 1 \leq r \leq R, \omega_{\text{max}} - \Delta \omega < \omega < \omega_{\text{max}}\); see Appendix E-B–(E13) for details.

**B. STEP TWO: FREQUENCY MODULATION VIA A SPATIAL PHASE MODULATOR**

As step one spatially separates the frequency components, now for the second step, a spatial phase modulator in Section III-C, as discussed in this section, can apply any desired phase into any selected spectral element. Thus, for example, assume \(\theta(\omega)\) is the desired spectral phase modulation and name its corresponding 1-D spatial phase modulator as \(\hat{U}_\theta\), which, as (22) denotes, can be written as

\[
\hat{U}_\theta = e^{-i\sum_r \phi(x) \delta_r}. \quad (58)
\]

The amount of phase modulation by a practical spatial phase modulator usually depends on the frequency of the incident light beam. But spatial phase modulator (22) [also (58)] is valid either for an ideal frequency-independent spatial phase modulator or at least when the incident light beam on each point \(x\) of the modulator is a single spectral mode, which is the case in this section. Because on position \(x\) at the output plane of the first 4f-processor, only a single-frequency \(\omega = \Omega(x)\) component may be mapped. Therefore, for the reduced Hilbert space of the single spatial mode input (53), (58) is simplified, such that \(\hat{U}_\theta \equiv \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\Omega(x)) \hat{b}_{\omega}(\Omega(x)) = \hat{\eta}_\theta(\Omega(x))\), and \(\phi(x) = \theta(\Omega(x))\), where \(\Omega(x)\) is given by (57). Consequently, (58) takes the form as

\[
\hat{U}_\theta = \prod_x e^{-i\theta(\phi(\omega(x))) \hat{\eta}_\theta(\Omega(x))}. \quad (59)
\]

If the distance \(x - x_{\omega}^{(r)}\) is more significant than the size of the point spread function, the number operator at point \(x\) \((\hat{\eta}_\theta(\Omega(x)))\) commutes with the creation operator at point \(x_{\omega}^{(r)}\) \((\hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega))\). Therefore, the operation of the spatial phase modulator \(\hat{U}_\theta\) on the creation operator \(\hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega)\) can be simplified as follows:

\[
\hat{U}_\theta \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega) \hat{U}_\theta^\dagger
\]

\[
= e^{-i\theta(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)})) \hat{\eta}_{\omega}(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)}))} \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega) e^{-i\theta(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)})) \hat{\eta}_{\omega}(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)}))}
\]

\[
= e^{-i\theta(\omega) \hat{\eta}_{\omega}(\omega)} \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega) e^{-i\theta(\omega) \hat{\eta}_{\omega}(\omega)}
\]

\[
= e^{-i\theta(\omega) \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega}(\omega)} \quad (60)
\]

where (E13), \(\Omega(x_{\omega}^{(r)}) = \omega,\) is used. The unitary spatial phase modulation (59) transforms the 4f-processor’s output (56) into a single-photon creation operator \(\hat{c}\)

\[
\hat{c}^\dagger = \hat{U}_\theta \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{U}_\theta^\dagger
\]

\[
= -\sum_{r=-R}^{R} q_r \int d\omega \xi(\omega) e^{i\omega \frac{R}{x_{\omega}^{(r)}}} \hat{U}_\theta \hat{b}^\dagger_{\omega} \hat{U}_\theta^\dagger
\]
\[= - \sum_{r=-R}^{R} q_r \int d\omega \xi(\omega) e^{i\omega k \frac{4\delta}{x_0^i}} e^{-i\theta(\omega)} \hat{b}^\dagger_{x_0^i}(\omega) \tag{61}\]

where (60) is used. Therefore, (61), the output of step two, implies that the spatial modulator (59) adds frequency-dependent phase factor \(e^{-i\theta(\omega)}\) to the corresponding spectral component of the input operator (56).

**C. STEP THREE: BEAM ASSEMBLY VIA A 4F-PROCESSOR**

The purpose of the third step is to gather the spatially separated and appropriately (spectrally) phase-modulated components of the creation operator (61), the output of the second step, into a single spatial mode. Reassembling procedure can be realized by the inverse operation of the first step’s 4f-operator, \(\hat{F}^{-1}_{\phi_p} = \hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\). In other words, in step three, the 4f-processor \(\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\), whose pupil phase factor \(P^- (x)\) is related to the first 4f-processor \(\hat{F}_p\) as \(P^- (x) = P^+ (x) = e^{i\phi_p(x)}\) [see Appendix D-C–(D19)], can reassemble the single-photon creation operator \(\hat{c}^\dagger\) (61) into a single spatial mode. To demonstrate that, using (52), we first apply the 1-D 4f-transformation \(\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\) on operator \(\hat{b}^\dagger_{x_0^i}(\omega)\)

\[\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p} \hat{b}^\dagger_{x_0^i}(\omega) \hat{F}_{\phi_p} = -e^{i4k_f} \sum_{r'=-R}^{R} q_{r'} \hat{d}^\dagger_{x_0^i - x_0^r}(\omega)\]

where the single-photon creation operator \(\hat{d}^\dagger_{x_0^i - x_0^r}(\omega)\) is associated with the output plane of the 4f-processor \(\hat{F}^{-1}_{\phi_p} = \hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\). And also, (D21), \(q_{r'} = q_{r}^*\), is used, where \(q_{r}\) is the corresponding Fourier coefficient of 4f-processor \(\hat{F}_{\phi_p}\). Using (62), the 4f-processor \(\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\) transforms the input (61) as follows:

\[\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p} \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{F}_{\phi_p} = \sum_{r,r'} q_{r} q_{r'} \int d\omega \xi(\omega) \hat{d}^\dagger_{x_0^r - x_0^i}(\omega) \tag{63}\]

where

\[\xi(\omega) = \xi(\omega) e^{i\omega k f \frac{4\delta}{x_0^i}} e^{-i\theta(\omega)}\]  

Change of variables \(r\) to \(r'\), \(r''\), as \(r'' = r' - r\), allows us to sum over the index \(r\), which gives \(\sum_{r} q_{r} q_{r'} = \delta_{r'^0,0}\), where (D13) is used. Therefore, position \(x_0^{r' - r} = x_0^{r'} = r'' x_0^{(1)}\) due to \(\delta_{r'^0,0}\), becomes frequency-independent and zero, \(x_0^{r'} = x_0^{(0)} = 0\), and thus reduces (63) into a single spatial mode located at the central lattice-point \(x_0^{(0)} = 0\):

\[\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p} \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{F}_{\phi_p} = \int d\omega \xi(\omega) \hat{d}^\dagger_{x_0^i}(\omega)\]

Equation (65) gives the output of the pulse shaper. Therefore, combining the above three steps transformation (56), (61), and (65) makes the quantum pulse shaper transformation as

\[\hat{P}\hat{S} \hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{P}\hat{S}^\dagger = \hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi}\]  

where

\[\hat{P}\hat{S} = \hat{F}\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p} \hat{U}_B \hat{F}\hat{F}^\dagger_{\phi_p}\]  

denotes the quantum pulse-shaping operator. Equation (66) indicates that the pulse shaper’s output creation operator \(\hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi}\) becomes spatially single-mode at position \(x = 0\), similar to the input creation operator \(\hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi}\). However, the output spectral photon-wavepacket is transformed from \(\xi\) to \(\xi\), given by (64), which eventually reshapes the pulse or the probability of the photon-wavepacket in the time domain [31] (see Fig. 5). It is worth noting that the phase factor \(e^{i\omega k f \frac{4\delta}{x_0^i}}\), in the shaped wavepacket \(\hat{d}^{\dagger}_{\xi}(\omega)\), is due to the net displacement transition (see Section III-B) by \(\delta = 8 f\) through the 8f-pulse shaper. This phase factor is equivalent to a time evolution (see Section III-A) by \(t = -\frac{1}{c} k f\). Thus, ignoring the trivial phase factor \(e^{i\omega k f \frac{4\delta}{x_0^i}}\), the quantum pulse shaper \(\hat{P}\hat{S}\) has shaped the photon-wavepacket \(\xi(\omega)\) into \(\hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi}(\omega) = \xi(\omega) e^{-i\theta(\omega)}\), meaning the photon component of frequency \(\omega\) got its corresponding phase factor \(e^{-i\theta(\omega)}\).

**D. EXAMPLES**

As before, we take the single-photon state and the Glauber state as the inputs to the quantum pulse shaper to clarify its operation!

1) **Example 1: Single-Photon Input:** Consider a single-photon number state with spectral-wavepacket \(\xi(\omega)\) [see (53)], which corresponds to the quantum state

\[|1\rangle = \hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi} |0\rangle = \int d\omega \xi(\omega) |1\rangle\]

as the input of the pulse shaper. State \(|1\rangle\) denotes continuous mode single-photon number state with angular frequency \(\omega\). The pulse shaping operation (66) transforms the single-photon quantum state (68) as follows:

\[\hat{P}\hat{S}|1\rangle = \hat{P}\hat{S}\hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi} |0\rangle = \hat{P}\hat{S}\hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi} \hat{P}\hat{S}^\dagger |0\rangle = \hat{d}^\dagger_{\xi}|0\rangle\]

where (64) is used. The applied frequency-dependent phase \(\theta(\omega)\) would reshape the input single photon’s quantum state in the time domain, hence the name [30], [31] (see Fig. 5).

2) **Example 2: Glauber State Input:** For a Glauber state input \(|\alpha\rangle\), the pulse shaper gives another Glauber state
with the same amplitude $\alpha$

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}} \hat{\mathcal{S}} \phi(\omega) = \hat{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{S} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2 + \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger \mathcal{S}} \hat{\mathcal{S}} \phi(\omega) = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2 + \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger \mathcal{S}} \phi(\omega) = |\alpha\rangle \tilde{\xi}.$$  

Yet, each photons’ wavepacket is transformed from $\tilde{\xi}(\omega)$ to function $\tilde{\xi}_c(\omega)$.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

The complete representation of the quantum state of light boils down to two aspects. One is the quantum light’s photon statistics or its Fock representation [represented by function $f(\hat{a}^\dagger \mathcal{S})$ in this article]. For example, coherent, single-photon, and squeezed states of light are discriminated against due to their different representation in the Fock space. The other is the quantum wave function or wavepacket (usually symbolized by $\tilde{\xi}$, and appears as $\hat{a}^\dagger \mathcal{S}$ in this article) of each constituent photon of the quantum light. The spatial mode (image) or the wavefront of photons is one essential feature of the photon wavepacket ($\tilde{\xi}$) that brings vast information capacity.

Developing the quantum model of optical components is essential for various quantum information processing. For example, the quantum model of a single beam splitter [38], array waveguide gratings [39], star coupler, and spectral phase shifter [31] have a significant impact on the mathematical modeling of quantum operations and applications. This article mathematically models Fourier optical systems’ components that transform photons’ wavefronts and, consequently, process the information encoded on them.

It introduces the fundamental concepts of quantum Fourier optics and shows that unitary quantum Fourier optics operations can be mathematically modeled with a sequence of phase-shifting operations. Accordingly, it analyzes the main building blocks of quantum Fourier optics, namely lens operator and 4f-processors and shows that lens operators and 4f-processors perform continuous mode quantum Fourier transformation and convolution on the quantum state of a single photon.

Furthermore, this article details the quantum pulse shaping procedure as an actual application of the concepts mentioned above. This technique plays a crucial role in quantum information processing and computation based on frequency-encoded optical comb [5], [30] and is employed in QCDMA communication systems [31]. The concepts and mathematical models introduced in this article would benefit quantum technologies extensively. Its application ranges from quantum computation and communications and quantum orbital angular momentum information processing to quantum sensing, quantum imaging, quantum radar, and quantum multiple-input/multiple-output antennas.

**APPENDIX A**

**PHOTON-WAVEPACKET CREATION OPERATOR**

The corresponding creation operator of a photon concerning its occupation mode can be in the most general case expanded as [34], [40], [41]

$$\hat{a}^\dagger \xi = \sum_{P=h,v} \int d^3 K \xi_P(K) \hat{a}^\dagger_{P,K}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where $\hat{a}^\dagger_{P,K}$ denotes the photon creation operator at the mode with wavevector $K$ and polarization $P$, and the corresponding photon’s probability amplitude of this mode is $\xi_P(K)$, which is normalized and satisfies the canonical commutation relation

$$\begin{align*}
\left[\hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\xi}^\dagger\right] &= \sum_{P',P=h,v} \int d^3 K' d^3 K \tilde{\xi}_p \xi_p(K) \left[\hat{a}_{P',K'}^\dagger \hat{a}_{P,K}^\dagger\right] \\
&= \sum_{P',P=h,v} \int d^3 K' d^3 K \tilde{\xi}_p \xi_p(K) \delta_{P,P'} \delta(K' - K) \\
&= \sum_{P'=h,v} \int d^3 K |\xi_p(K)|^2 \\
&= \langle \xi|\xi\rangle = \|\tilde{\xi}\|^2 = 1
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where commutation relation $[\hat{a}_{P,K}, \hat{a}_{P',K'}^\dagger] = \delta_{P,P'} \delta(K' - K)$ is used, and $\langle \xi|\xi\rangle$ and $\|\tilde{\xi}\|$ denote the inner product of the single-photon wave function $\tilde{\xi}$ with itself and its norm, respectively.

Equations (A1) and (A2) show that there are at most four degrees of freedom for the occupation mode of any photon, one discrete polarization mode, and three continuous modes of wavevector components ($K_x, K_y, K_z$). This article assumes the polarization of photons remains invariant throughout all introduced processes, which reduces the occupation mode’s degree of freedom to three. The photons that singularly occupy one of the mode’s degrees of freedom are referred to as the so-called single-mode photons with respect to that singular mode; for example, spectrally single-mode photons singularly occupy one spectral frequency alone. In this case, we drop its corresponding variable from the notation of photon-wavepacket $\tilde{\xi}_p$ and creation operator $\hat{a}_{P,K}^\dagger$. Therefore, in this article, we leave the polarization mode’s variable from the consideration and write photon-wavepacket $\tilde{\xi}_p(K)$ simply as $\tilde{\xi}(K)$ and creation operator $\hat{a}_{P,K}^\dagger$ as $\hat{a}_{P,K}^\dagger$.

Furthermore, let us take a spectrally single-mode quantum light with frequency $\omega$ and angular wavenumber $k = \frac{\omega}{c}$. The article uses bold letters to represent wavevectors, and their corresponding nonbold letter indicates their wavenumber, $|k| = k$. Due to the single-frequency-mode assumption (see Fig. 6), photon-wavepacket $\tilde{\xi}(K)$ is nonzero only at wavevector with amplitude $K = \frac{\omega}{c} = k$. Therefore, the photon-wavepacket is proportional to $\tilde{\xi}(K) \propto \delta(|K| - k)$, which reduces one more from the mode’s degree of freedom. Thus, in (A1), the general volume integration over all wavevectors reduces to the surface integration over the

\begin{align*}
\int d^3 K &= \int_{4\pi} d\Omega \int d^2 K' \tilde{\xi}_p(K') \xi_p(K') \\
&= \int_{4\pi} d\Omega \int d^2 K' \xi_p(K') \\
&= \int_{4\pi} d\Omega \int d^2 K' \xi_p(K') \\
&= \int_{4\pi} d\Omega \int d^2 K' \xi_p(K')
\end{align*}
FIGURE 6. Single-frequency-mode assumption on photon wavevectors. The single-frequency-mode assumption limits single-photons to be only in the superposition of states with wavevectors shown by the sphere. The no-propagation in (−z)-direction assumption reduces the allowed state to the upper red hemisphere, consequently makes \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) the sufficient parameters to locate the involved wavevectors.

The single-frequency-mode assumption limits single-photons to be only in the superposition of states with wavevectors shown by the sphere. The no-propagation in (−z)-direction assumption reduces the allowed state to the upper red hemisphere, consequently makes \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) the sufficient parameters to locate the involved wavevectors.

\[
\hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^\dagger = \hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^{\dagger} \quad \text{nearer to } \hat{a}_{n, n}^{\dagger} \quad \text{and sufficient parameters to locate the wavevector.}
\]

As a result, \( \hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^\dagger \) takes the wavefront, for its subscript but not \( \hat{\xi} \) [compare (A3) with (A7)].

\section{A. MULTIFREQUENCY MODE}

The first line of (A3) denotes the general photon-wavepacket creation operator, where photon-wavepacket \( \hat{\xi}(\mathbf{K}) \) gives the probability amplitude that the associated single-photon be in wavevector \( \mathbf{K} \). Above, due to the single-frequency-mode assumption and no-propagation in the (−z)-direction assumption, the two wavevector’s components \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) became sufficient parameters to locate the wavevector \( \mathbf{K} = (k_x, k_y, k_z) = (k_x, k_y, \sqrt{k^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2}) \) [see (A4)]. As a result, the volume integration was reduced to the integration over the surface, which is the upper hemisphere in Fig. 6.

This section allows a single-photon to occupy multiple frequencies (wavenumbers) \( K = \frac{\omega}{c} \). Furthermore, it holds the no-propagation in (−z)-direction assumption. Therefore, wavenumber (wave-frequency) \( K = \frac{\omega}{c} \) together with wavevector’s components \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) can locate the wavevector \( \mathbf{K} \). For the sake of practicality, we choose frequency \( \omega \) rather than wavenumber \( K \) to locate the wavevector \( \mathbf{K} \). This choice alters the photon-wavepacket creation operator (A3) as follows:

\[
\hat{a}_{\omega}^\dagger = \int d^3 K \hat{\xi}(\mathbf{K}) \hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^\dagger \quad \text{with} \quad \omega = \sqrt{k^2 - k_x^2 - k_y^2} \Rightarrow \hat{a}_{\omega} (\omega) = \int d^3 K \hat{\xi}(\mathbf{K}) \hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^\dagger (\omega) .
\]
This article uses the frequency-dependent photon-wavefront function \( \xi(\omega, x, y) \) in the subscript of the photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_\xi^\dagger \):

\[
\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger = \iiint d\omega dx dy \xi(\omega, x, y) \hat{a}_\xi^\dagger(\omega)
\]

\[
= \iiint d\omega dk_x dk_y \xi(\omega, k_x, k_y) \hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}^\dagger(\omega).
\]  

(A12)

The above equation is equivalent to (A10) in the wavevector space.

For the sake of completeness, we consider the Fourier transform of the photon-wavepacket with respect to angular frequency \( \omega \), which gives the representation of the photon-wavepacket in the time domain [42]

\[
\hat{\xi}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \xi(\omega, x, y)e^{-i\omega t}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \iiint dk_x dk_y d\omega \xi(\omega, k_x, k_y)e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \iiint dx dy d\omega \xi(\omega, x, y)e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y + \omega t)}
\]

(A13)

where (A11) is used, and the dot sign over the function denotes the representation of the photon-wavepacket in the time domain. This article adds the dot sign and removes the dot sign over a photon-wavepacket function to represent the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform of the function in the frequency domain, respectively. Therefore, we use four representations for the photon-wavepacket function in the frequency domain, respectively. There-

\[
\hat{\xi}(t, x, y), \hat{\xi}(t, x, y), \hat{\xi}(t, x, y), \xi(t, k_x, k_y), \xi(t, k_x, k_y), \xi(t, k_x, k_y), \text{and } \xi(t, k_x, k_y), \text{related to each other as shown in (A5), (A6), (A11), and (A13). Let us highlight that as (A2) indicates, all the representations of the photon-wavepacket are normalized, for example}

\[
\iiint d\omega dx dy |\xi(\omega, x, y)|^2 = ||\xi||^2 = 1
\]

\[
\iiint dt dk_x dk_y |\hat{\xi}(t, k_x, k_y)|^2 = ||\hat{\xi}||^2 = 1.
\]  

(A14)

In some situations, quantum light’s spatial occupation mode becomes unimportant, such as single-mode fibers. For example, suppose a photon occupying a single spatial mode with central coordinate \((x), (y)\); therefore, its frequency-dependent photon-wavefront function is rewritable as \( \xi(\omega, x, y) = \xi(\omega)\xi(x, y) \). This photon-wavefront function reduces (A12) as follows:

\[
\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger = \iiint d\omega dx dy \xi(\omega)\xi(x, y)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega)
\]

\[
= \int d\omega \xi(\omega)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega)
\]  

(A15)

where

\[
\hat{a}_{(x), (y)}^\dagger(\omega) = \int d\omega dx dy \xi(\omega, x, y)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega).
\]

(A16)

Note that the photon-wavefront of a point source at \((x), (y)\) is the normalized function \( \xi(\omega, x, y) \), i.e., \( ||\xi(\omega, x, y)|| = 1 \). Thus, when the shape of photon-wavefront is of no account, instead of the photon-wavefront, we may write its central point at the subscript of the creation operator [compare (A7) with (A16)]. Furthermore, for systems that even the central point is trivial, such as collimated quantum light or quantum light in optical fibers, the subscripts \((x), (y)\) become redundant. Then, for simplicity, one can rewrite (A15) as follows:

\[
\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger = \int d\omega \xi(\omega)\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger(\omega)
\]

(A17)

which is the notation used in [34].

To sum up, suppose the photon-wavepacket function is separable into normalized functions of independent variables, for example, \( \xi(\omega, x, y) = \xi(\omega)\xi(x, y) \) with Fourier dual \( \hat{\xi}(t, k_x, k_y) = \xi(t)\xi(k_x, k_y) \). And imagine the considered operation depends on independent variables, for example, time evolution operator (8), which depends only on frequency \( \omega \). If this is the case, one can drop the unintended variables such as in (A17) and grating operation Fig. 2. As another example, when the considered quantum operations are independent of frequency \( \omega \) and the photon-wavepacket is separable, we write

\[
\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger = \iiint d\omega dx dy (\xi(\omega, x, y))\xi(\omega, x, y)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega)
\]

\[
= \int d\omega dx dy \xi(\omega, x, y) \int d\omega \xi(\omega)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega)
\]

\[
= \int d\omega dx dy \xi(x, y)\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger(\omega)
\]

(A18)

where

\[
\hat{a}_{x, y}^\dagger = \int d\omega \xi(\omega)\hat{a}^\dagger_{x, y}(\omega).
\]

(A19)

Such systems are the main focus of this article, where we drop frequency \( \omega \) from consideration.

**APPENDIX B**

**RESCALING PROPORTIONALITY RELATION FOR THE FIELD OPERATORS**

The commutation relations for the spatial and wavevector field operators are as follows:

\[
[\hat{a}_{k_x, k_y}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{k'_x, k'_y}] = \delta(k_x - k'_x)\delta(k_y - k'_y)
\]

(B1a)

\[
[\hat{a}_{x, y}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{x', y'}] = \delta(x - x')\delta(y - y')
\]

(B1b)

where \( \delta \) denotes the Dirac delta function. To obtain the rescaling proportionality relation for the field operators, we assume parameters \( u \) and \( v \) are, respectively, related to parameters \( x \) and \( y \) with rescaling proportionality constants \( \alpha \)
and \( \beta \), i.e., \( x = \alpha u \) and \( y = \beta v \). Substituting these relations into (B1b) gives

\[
\left[ \hat{a}_{u,v}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{u',v'}^\dagger \right] = \delta \left( \alpha (u - u') \right) \delta \left( \beta (v - v') \right) \frac{1}{|\alpha \beta|} = \left[ \hat{a}_{u,v}, \hat{a}_{u',v'}^\dagger \right],
\]

where the Dirac delta function’s proportionality relation \( \delta(\alpha (u - u')) = \frac{\delta u - \delta u'}{|\alpha|} \) and (B1) are used. Equation (B2) is rewriting as \( \left[ \hat{a}_{u,v}, \hat{a}_{u',v'}^\dagger \right] = \left[ \hat{a}_{u,v}, \hat{a}_{u',v'}^\dagger \right] \), which denotes the rescaling proportionality relation for the field operator is

\[
\hat{a}_{u,v}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha \beta|}} \hat{a}_{u',v'}^\dagger. \quad (B3)
\]

The field’s rescaling (B3) can only be used in the context of its corresponding photon-wavepacket’s \emph{integrand}.

**APPENDIX C**

**LENS QUANTUM OPERATION**

The quantum transformation operator from the front focal plane to the back focal plane of the lens can be expressed as the products of three sequential operators, \( \hat{L} = \hat{T}(f)\hat{U}_\phi \hat{T}(f) \). We follow these three operations to evaluate the transformation of operator \( \hat{L} \) on photon-wavepacket creation operator \( \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \).

The first transformation, the displacement transition by distance \( f \), is due to the displacement from the front focal plane up to the lens, which under the paraxial (Fresnel) approximation (14) becomes

\[
\hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f) = \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \quad (C1)
\]

where the photon-wavepacket at the front of the lens in the wavevector space is given by (16) for \( z = f \)

\[
\xi^-(k_x, k_y) = \xi^\phi(k_x, k_y)
\]

\[
= \xi(k_x, k_y) e^{ikf(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2f^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2f^2})}. \quad (C2)
\]

Using the Fourier transform (A5), the spatial representation (wavefront) reads

\[
\xi^-(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint dk_x dk_y \xi^-(k_x, k_y) e^{ik(xk_x + yk_y)} \quad (C3)
\]

The minus sign in the superscript of \( \xi^-(x, y) \) indicates the photon-wavefront before entering the lens. After exiting the lens, the lens transforms the photon-wavepacket creation operator as follows:

\[
\hat{L} \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{L}^\dagger = \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{U}_\phi \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{U}_\phi^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \iint dk'_x dk'_y \xi^+(k'_x, k'_y) \left( \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k'_x, k'_y}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f) \right)
\]

where the lens phase function (25) is used, and the photon-wavefront \( \xi^+(x, y) \) (the plus superscript denotes after exiting the lens) is defined as

\[
\xi^+(x, y) = \xi^-(x, y) e^{-i\frac{f}{2f^2}((x^2 + y^2)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint dk_x dk_y \left[ \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y) e^{ikf\left(1 - \frac{k_x^2}{2f^2} - \frac{k_y^2}{2f^2}\right)} \right] \times e^{-i\frac{f}{2f^2}((x^2 + y^2) + (k_x x + k_y y))} \quad (C5)
\]

where (C2) and (C3) are used. The displacement transition operator (10) is diagonal in the wavevector basis. To apply the final displacement transition from the lens up to the back focal plane, therefore, using (A6), we calculate the photon-wavepacket amplitude in the wavevector basis

\[
\hat{\xi}^+(k'_x, k'_y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint dx dy \xi^+(x, y) e^{-i(k'_x x + k'_y y)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k} e^{\frac{i}{f}((k'_x x + k'_y y) (k'_x - k_x) + (k'_y - k_y))}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k} e^{\frac{i}{f}((k'_x x + k'_y y) (k'_x - k_x) + (k'_y - k_y))}
\]

\[
\text{where integration}
\]

\[
\text{Int} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint dx dy e^{-i\frac{f}{2f^2}((x^2 + y^2) e^{-i((k'_x x + k'_y y) (k'_x - k_x) + (k'_y - k_y))}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k} e^{\frac{i}{f}((k'_x x + k'_y y) (k'_x - k_x) + (k'_y - k_y))}
\]

is used, and (A5) replaces the inverse Fourier transform of \( \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y) \) at \( x = \frac{k'_x}{f} \) and \( y = \frac{k'_y}{f} \) with \( \frac{(k'_x - k_x)}{f} \) and \( \frac{(k'_y - k_y)}{f} \). After the displacement operation \( \hat{T}^\phi(f) \) (C1) and the lens spatial modulation \( \hat{U}_\phi \) (C4) of the lens operator \( \hat{L} = \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi \hat{T}^\phi(f) \), eventually, the Fresnel displacement transition (13) from lens to the back focal plane transforms the photon-wavepacket creation operator as follows:

\[
\hat{L} \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{L}^\dagger = \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \hat{T}^\phi(f)\hat{U}_\phi \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f)
\]

\[
= \iint dk'_x dk'_y \xi^+(k'_x, k'_y) \left( \hat{T}^\phi(f) \hat{a}_{k'_x, k'_y}^\dagger \hat{T}^\phi(f) \right)
\]
\[ \frac{d}{dx} \kappa \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{d}{dy} \kappa \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]

where (C6) is used to substitute \( \hat{\xi}^+ (k'_x, k'_y) \). Equation (C8) shows the transformation of lens operator \( \hat{\mathcal{L}} \) on the photon-wavepacket creation operator in the wavevector space. Equivalently, one can write this transformation on spatial mode

\[
\hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\dagger = \int dxdy \hat{\xi}'(x,y) \hat{a}^\dagger_{x,y}
\]

where the wavefront function \( \hat{\xi}' \) can be achieved, as (A5) demonstrates, by inverse Fourier transforming the photon-wavepacket amplitude in the wavevector space of (C8), which gives

\[
\hat{\xi}'(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{-i\phi}{k} e^{2ikf} \int dk'dk_y \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y) \times \left( \frac{f}{k} k'_x - \frac{f}{k} k'_y \right) e^{ik(k_x x + k_y y)}
\]

\[
= -\frac{i\phi}{k} e^{2ikf} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\xi}(k_x, k_y) e^{ik(k_x x + k_y y)} \right) \times \left( -e^{ikf} P(-\frac{f}{k} k'_x - \frac{f}{k} k'_y) \right) e^{ik(k'_x x + k'_y y)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk'_x dk'_y \hat{\xi}(x-y,x-y) h(x,y)
\]

where \( k'_x = -\frac{f}{k} k_x, k'_y = -\frac{f}{k} k_y \), and the transfer function of the 4f-processing system is

\[
\hat{h}(k_x, k_y) = -e^{ikf} P \left( -\frac{f}{k} k_x - \frac{f}{k} k_y \right) \]

\[
= -e^{ikf} e^{-i\phi f} \left( -\frac{f}{k} k_x - \frac{f}{k} k_y \right).
\]

The third line of (D3) indicates that the Fourier transform of the 4f-operation’s output photon-wavefront \( \hat{\eta}(x,y) \) is \( \hat{\xi}(-k_x, -k_y) \hat{h}(k_x, k_y) \). Therefore, according to the convolution theorem, the 4f-operation’s output photon-wavefront \( \hat{\eta}(x,y) \) corresponds to the convolution of the inverse Fourier transform of \( \hat{\xi}(-k_x, -k_y) \) and \( \hat{h}(k_x, k_y) \), expressed in the last line of (D3).

According to the scaling property of the Fourier transform, \( \hat{F}[f(ax)] = \frac{1}{|a|^2} \hat{f}(\frac{a}{A}) \), the inverse Fourier transform of \( \hat{\xi}(-k_x, -k_y) \) is the parity transformed input photon-wavefront, \( \hat{\xi}(-x, -y) \), and the inverse Fourier transform of photon-wavefront Fourier transformation (C10)

\[
\hat{\eta}(x,y) = \frac{-i\phi}{k} e^{2ikf} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \hat{\eta}(k_x, k_y) e^{-i\phi f} \left( \frac{f}{k} k_x + \frac{f}{k} k_y \right)
\]

(D1)

Also, the relation between photon-wavefront \( \hat{\eta}' \), the input to the second lens, and photon-wavefront \( \hat{\xi}'(x,y) \), the output of the first lens, is given by (41), \( \hat{\eta}'(x,y) = \hat{\xi}'(x,y) e^{-i\phi f} \), where \( \hat{\xi}'(x,y) \), the output of the first lens, is related to its input by the lens photon-wavefront Fourier transformation (C10). Therefore, (41) and (C10) give

\[
\hat{\eta}'(x,y) = \hat{\xi}'(x,y) e^{-i\phi f}
\]

\[
\hat{\xi}'(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{-i\phi}{k} e^{2ikf} \int dk'_x dk'_y \hat{\xi}(x-y,x-y) h(x,y)
\]

(D2)

where \( P(x,y) = e^{-i\phi f} \) is the pupil phase factor. Plugging the above equation into (D1) gives

\[
\hat{\eta}(x,y) = \frac{-k^2}{f^2} e^{ikf} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \left[ \hat{\xi} \left( \frac{k_x}{k}, \frac{k_y}{k} \right) P(k_x, k_y) \right]
\]

\[
\times e^{-i\phi f} \left( \frac{k_x}{k}, \frac{k_y}{k} \right) \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk'_x dk'_y \hat{\xi}(x-y,x-y) h(x,y)
\]

where \( k'_x = -\frac{f}{k} k_x, k'_y = -\frac{f}{k} k_y \), and the transfer function of the 4f-processing system is

\[
\hat{h}(k_x, k_y) = -e^{ikf} P \left( -\frac{f}{k} k_x - \frac{f}{k} k_y \right) \]

\[
= -e^{ikf} e^{-i\phi f} \left( -\frac{f}{k} k_x - \frac{f}{k} k_y \right).
\]

APPENDIX D
QUANTUM 4F-PROCESSING SYSTEM

Fig. 4 shows a 4f-processing system whose operation on photon-wavepacket creation operators is expressed by (43), \( \hat{F} \phi_p \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{F} \phi_p \phi = \hat{a}^\dagger \eta \). Let us first consider the quantum operation of the second lens of Fig. 4, \( \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger \eta \), where the relation between the output photon-wavefront \( \eta \) and the second lens’ input photon-wavefront \( \eta' \) is given by the photon-wavefront Fourier transformation (C10)
the transfer function $h(k_x, k_y)$ gives

$$ h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int dk_x dk_y \hat{h}(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} $$

$$ = -\frac{\alpha^{ikf}}{2\pi} \int \int dk_x dk_y \hat{P} \left( -\frac{f}{k_x}, -\frac{f}{k_y} \right) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} $$

$$ = -\frac{k^2 \alpha^{ikf}}{f^2} \int \int dk'_x dk'_y \hat{P}(k'_x, k'_y) e^{-i(k'_x x + k'_y y)} $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} k^2 \frac{\alpha^{ikf}}{f^2} \hat{P} \left( \frac{k_x}{f}, \frac{k_y}{f} \right) \quad (D5) $$

where $\hat{P}$ is the Fourier transform of the pupil phase factor $P(x, y) = e^{-i\phi_p(x,y)}$, and $h$ is defined to be the impulse response (the point-spread function or Green’s function) of the 4f-processing system with pupil $P(x, y)$.

One can show the photon-wavepacket $\eta(x, y)$ at the output of the 4f-processing system for the phase-only pupil (D3) is a normalized function, $\| \eta \| = 1$.

It is worth noting that, in this article, the convolution of functions $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ is defined as follows:

$$ f(t) \ast g(t) : = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t - \tau) g(\tau) d\tau \bigg|_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) g(t - \tau) d\tau. \quad (D6) $$

A. PERIODIC PUPIL PHASE FACTOR

Assume pupil phase factor $P(x, y) = e^{-i\phi_p(x,y)}$ is a periodic function with period $d_x$ in the x-direction and period $d_y$ in the y-direction. Therefore, according to the Fourier transform theory, the phase factor’s spatial frequency intervals are $\kappa_x = \frac{2\pi}{d_x}$ and $\kappa_y = \frac{2\pi}{d_y}$ for the x and y directions, respectively; accordingly, the phase factor is expressible as

$$ P(x, y) = \sum_r \sum_s q_{rs} e^{i(r\kappa_x + s\kappa_y)} \quad (D7) $$

where $q_{rs}$ is the Fourier coefficient of pupil phase factor $P(x, y) = e^{-i\phi_p(x,y)}$ corresponding to the 2D spatial frequencies $r\kappa_x$ and $s\kappa_y$ in x and y directions, respectively

$$ q_{rs} = \frac{1}{d_x d_y} \int_0^{d_x} dx \int_0^{d_y} dy \int_0^{d_x} dx P(x, y) e^{-i(r\kappa_x + s\kappa_y)} \quad (D8) $$

Consider a 4f-processor with periodic pupil phase factor (D7). Its impulse response function (D5) becomes

$$ h(x, y) = -\alpha^{ikf} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int dk_x dk_y \hat{P} \left( -\frac{f}{k_x}, -\frac{f}{k_y} \right) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r,s} \left[ q_{rs} \right. \left. \times \int \int dk_x dk_y e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \right] $$

$$ = -2\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \delta \left( x - r\frac{f\kappa_x}{k} \right) \delta \left( y - s\frac{f\kappa_y}{k} \right) $$

$$ = -2\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \delta \left( x - r\frac{\kappa_x}{\kappa} \right) \delta \left( y - s\frac{\kappa_y}{\kappa} \right) $$

$$ \cdots \quad (D9) $$

where the 4f-impulse response lattice-constants $x(1)$ and $y(1)$ are defined as $x(1) = \frac{f\kappa_x}{\kappa}$ and $y(1) = \frac{f\kappa_y}{\kappa}$. Therefore, the lattice point $(r, s)$ has x-coordinate $x(r) = x(1)$ and y-coordinate $y(s) = y(1)$. Equation (D9) denotes a discrete power spectral density due to the periodicity assumption of the pupil phase factor.

The 4f-processor with the lattice-like impulse response (D9) transforms the input photon-wavepacket $\xi(x, y)$ as follows [use (D3)]:

$$ \eta(x, y) = \xi(-x, -y) \ast h(x, y) $$

$$ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int dx dy' \xi(-x', -y') h(x - x', y - y') $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \int \int dx dy' \left[ \xi(-x', -y') \times \delta(x - x' - x(r), y - y' - y(s)) \right] $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \xi(-x - x(r), -y - y(s)) $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \xi(x - x(r), y - y(s)) $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \xi_{r,s}(x, y) \quad (D10) $$

where the bar sign over the wavepacket indicates the parity transformation on the wavepacket, i.e., $\xi(x, y) = \xi(-x, -y)$. Photon-wavepacket $\xi_{r,s} = \xi(x - x(r), y - y(s))$ has the same shape as wavepacket $\xi(x, y)$, yet its origin is shifted to the lattice point $(r, s)$ with coordinate $(x(r), y(s))$. Therefore, the single-photon creation operator $\hat{a}^+_n$ with wavefront (D10) is re writable as the following form:

$$ \hat{a}^+_n = \int \int dx dy \eta(x, y) \hat{a}^+_{x,y} $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \int \int dx dy \xi_{r,s}(x, y) \hat{a}^+_{x,y} $$

$$ = -\alpha^{ikf} \sum_{r,s} q_{rs} \hat{a}^+_{r,s}. \quad (D11) $$

B. CYCLIC ORTHOGONALITY OF PHASE FACTOR’S FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

The pupil phase factor is a unit complex number at all x and y positions, $|P(x, y)|^2 = |e^{-i\phi_p(x,y)}|^2 = 1$. This unitarity property, taking the pupil phase factor’s Fourier transform (D7),
Engineering and the transfer function, \( h(x, y) \), is a unit vector, \( q_\mathbf{e} \), respectively.

\[
\eta_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{h}(k_x, k_y)
\]

where \( h(x, y) \) is the pupil's phase factor of operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi \). The above equation indicates that the pupil phase factor's Fourier coefficients form a cyclic orthogonal unit vector. In other words, vector \( \mathbf{q} = (\ldots, q_{-1}, q_{-0}, q_{-1}, \ldots, q_{0_1}, q_{0_0}, q_{0_1}, \ldots) \) is a unit vector, \( |q|^2 = 1 \), and is orthogonal to any of its nonzero element-shifted unit vectors \( \mathbf{q}' \), i.e., \( \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}' = 0 \). For example, for an element shift of \( +1 \), \( \mathbf{q}' = (\ldots, q_{-1,0}, q_{-1,1}, q_{-1,2}, \ldots, q_{0,0}, q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}, \ldots) \), and \( \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}' = 0 \).

### C. INVERSE OF 4F-PROCESSOR

Equation (43), the unitary transformation of a 4f-processing system on a single photon creation operator, and its inverse are

\[
\mathbf{F}_\phi \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger = \delta_k^s
\]

Considering (3) and (D14), one can show that the quantum 4f-operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi \) transforms the quantum light \( |\psi\rangle = f(\mathbf{a}_k^\dagger)|0\rangle \) to quantum state \( \mathbf{F}_\phi |\psi\rangle = f(\mathbf{a}_k^\dagger)|0\rangle = |\Phi\rangle \) [as denoted in (44)]. Also, its conjugated 4f-operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger \) reverses the operation, i.e., \( \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger |\Phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \). This section finds the relation between the pupil phase factor \( P(x, y) \) of the 4f-processor and its inverse operation’s pupil phase factor \( P^{-1}(x, y) \). Assume the corresponding impulse response of operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi \) (\( \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger \)) is \( h(x, y) \), \( h^{-1}(x, y) \), which, according to (D3), transforms the input photon-wavepackets \( \eta(x, y) \) (\( \xi(x, y) \)) as follows:

\[
\eta(x, y) = \xi(-x, y) * h(x, y)
\]

\[
\xi(x, y) = \eta(-x, y) * h^{-1}(x, y)
\]

According to the convolution theorem, the Fourier transforms of (D15) is

\[
\tilde{\eta}(k_x, k_y) = \xi(-k_x, k_y) \tilde{h}(k_x, k_y)
\]

\[
\tilde{\xi}(k_x, k_y) = \tilde{\eta}(-k_x, k_y) \tilde{h}^{-1}(k_x, k_y)
\]

which gives the following relation between the transfer function \( \tilde{h}(k_x, k_y) \) of operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger \) and the transfer function \( \tilde{h}^{-1}(k_x, k_y) \) of operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi \)

\[
\tilde{h}^{-1}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(-k_x, k_y)}
\]

Plugging (D4) (ignore its trivial traveling phase factor \( e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \)), which relates the 4f-operator’s transfer function to its pupil phase factor, into (D17) gives

\[
e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \cdot e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}
\]

where \( P(x, y) = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \) and \( P^{-1}(x, y) = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \) are the pupil’s phase factors of operator \( \mathbf{F}_\phi^\dagger \) and \( \mathbf{F}_\phi \), respectively. Therefore, (D18) indicates that

\[
P^{-1}(x, y) = P^*(x, y).
\]

As denoted in (D7), under the periodicity assumption of the pupil phase factors, phase factors are expressible as follows:

\[
P(x, y) = \sum_r \sum_s q_{rs} e^{i(q_{rs} x + s y)}
\]

\[
P^{-1}(x, y) = \sum_r \sum_s q_{rs} e^{i(q_{rs} x - s y)}.
\]

Inserting (D20) into (D19) gives the following relation between the Fourier coefficients \( q_{rs} \) of the Pupil \( P(x, y) \) and \( q_{rs}^* \) of \( P^{-1}(x, y) \)

\[
q_{rs}^* = q_{rs}^*.
\]

Therefore, noting (D13) and (D21), the Fourier coefficients of the Pupil \( P(x, y) \) and \( P^{-1}(x, y) \), \( q_{rs} \) and \( q_{rs}^* \), are cyclic orthogonal to each other.

### APPENDIX E

#### QUANTUM PULSE SHAPING

##### A. DETERMINISTIC PULSE SHAPING CONDITIONS

As discussed in Section VI-A and shown in (56), a 4f-Processor with periodic pupil phase factor (54) maps each frequency component of the input photons into latticelike
points with frequency-dependent lattice-constant (48)
\[ x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} = \frac{f c k_x}{\omega}. \] (E1)

Still, two lattice points of two different frequencies may overlap, which we need to prevent for perfect and deterministic quantum pulse shaping.

The central lattice-point \( x^{(r)}_{\omega_0} = r x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} = 0 \) for \( r = 0 \) is the common lattice-point for all frequencies. Therefore, for a complete frequency separation, we assume the central lattice-point’s probability amplitude \( p_{r=0} \) vanishes \( (p_0 = 0) \); in other words, the Fourier transform of the pupil phase factor (54) has no dc term.

The output lattice-constant \( x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} \) is proportional to the inverse of angular frequency \( \omega \), \( x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} \propto \frac{1}{\omega} \). Then, the higher the frequency is, the shorter its corresponding lattice-constant \( x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} \) becomes. Consequently, it is possible that two noncentral lattice-points of two different frequency components also overlap, which we need to avoid for achieving a perfect frequency separation and then a deterministic quantum pulse shaping operation. Assume \( \omega_{\text{min}} \) and \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) are, respectively, the minimum and maximum angular frequency components that the input photons occupy, i.e., \( \xi(\omega) = 0 \) \( \forall \omega \notin (\omega_{\text{min}}, \omega_{\text{max}}) \). The lattice-constants for these limiting frequency components are \( (x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{max}} = \frac{f c k_x}{\omega_{\text{min}}} \) and \( (x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} = \frac{f c k_x}{\omega_{\text{max}}} \), respectively. The min-lattice’s farthest occupied lattice-point, \( (x^{(R)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} = R(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} \), should be beyond the max-lattice’s second farthest occupied lattice-point, \( (x^{(R-1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{max}} = (R - 1)(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{max}} \), i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
(R - 1)(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{max}} &< (x^{(R)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} \\
(R - 1)(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} &< R(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} \\
(R - 1)(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{min}} &< R(x^{(1)}_{\omega_0})_{\text{max}} \\
(R - 1)\omega_{\text{min}} &< R\omega_{\text{max}} \tag{E2}
\end{align*}
\]

which gives the deterministic pulse shaping condition as
\[ \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{\omega_{\text{min}}} < \frac{R}{R - 1}, \tag{E3a} \]
or equivalently as
\[ \Delta \omega = (\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}) \] \( \leq \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R} \) \( \text{E3b} \)

where \( \Delta \omega = (\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}) \) is the photon spectral bandwidth. Note that if \( R \) equals one, (E3b) becomes \( \Delta \omega < \omega_{\text{max}} \), which is satisfied for any photon-wavepacket \( \xi(\omega) \).

In conclusion, if \( p_{r=0} = 0 \) and the photon bandwidth \( \Delta \omega \) is shorter than \( \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R} \), the 4f-processor spatially separates different spectral components of the input point source as (56). Therefore, it is possible to find the frequency-mapped function \( \Omega(x) \), which determines the frequency component going to position \( x \).

### B. FREQUENCY-MAPPED FUNCTION \( \Omega(x) \)

The \( x \)-coordinate of \( r \)th order of diffraction for frequency \( \omega \) is as follows:
\[ x^{(r)}_{\omega_0} = r x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} = r \frac{f c k_x}{k} = r \frac{f c k_x}{\omega} \tag{E4} \]

where (48) is used. The \( r \)th order of diffraction happens with probability amplitude \( p_r \), as (56) indicates. Assume the conditions of no frequency overlap holds, meaning \( r \neq 0 \), and \( \Delta \omega < \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R} \) as presented in (E3b). Therefore, one can find function \( \Omega(x) \), which gives the mapped angular frequency at each position \( x \); therefore, \( \Omega(x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}) = \omega \) for \( 1 \leq |r| \leq R \). To find function \( \Omega(x) \), we use (E4), which gives
\[ \frac{x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}}{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{\omega}. \]

Then
\[ \omega = \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R} x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}, \tag{E5} \]

Thus, we can define the frequency-mapped function \( \Omega(x) \) as follows:
\[ \Omega(x) = \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{R} x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}} x \tag{E6} \]

where \( r(x) \) determines that diffraction order is mapped to position \( x \). The smallest lattice-constant corresponds to the highest frequency \( (\omega_{\text{max}}) \) denoted as \( x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}} \), which determines the cutting edge of the lowest allowed frequency. Furthermore, since the maximum diffraction order of the grating is \( R \), we can define a diffraction order mapped function \( r(x) \) as follows:
\[ r(x) = \text{sgn}(x) \min \left( R, \left| \frac{x}{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}} \right| \right) \tag{E7} \]

where \( \text{sgn}(x) \), \( |x| \), and \( |x| \) indicate the sign function of \( x \), the floor function of \( x \), and the absolute value function of \( x \), respectively. Substitution of (E7) into (E6) gives
\[ \Omega(x) = \min \left( R, \left| \frac{x}{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}} \right| \right) x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}} \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{|x|} \tag{E8} \]

Let us consider angular frequency \( \omega_0 \), \( \omega_{\text{min}} < \omega_0 < \omega_{\text{max}} \). The \( r \)th order of diffraction maps this frequency to position \( x = x^{(r)}_{\omega_0} = r x^{(1)}_{\omega_0} = r \frac{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}}{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}}, \) where (E5) is used. To inspect if \( \Omega(x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}) = \omega_0 \), we plug \( x = x^{(r)}_{\omega_0} \) in (E8), which gives
\[ \Omega(x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}) = \min \left( R, \left| \frac{x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}}{x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}}} \right| \right) x^{(1)}_{\omega_{\text{max}}} \frac{\omega_{\text{max}}}{|x^{(r)}_{\omega_0}|} \]
The proof of concept.

APPENDIX F
SIMULATION
This article presents several simulation results to illustrate the evolution of photon-wavepacket through the quantum Fourier optical systems. For these simulations, we consider a single photon in a Gaussian wavefront (state) or a superposition of several Gaussian wavefronts as the input of the Fourier optical system. A python 3 script simulates the evolution of the photon-wavepacket and creates the propagating photon-wavepacket data in OpenVDB format. The OpenVDB data file is, for higher quality and a 3-D representation of data, imported into the blender 3 software and visualized.
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