Chitosan and Lemon Extract Applied during Giuncata Cheese Production to Improve the Microbiological Stability
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Featured Application: Two natural compounds were investigated for antimicrobial activity against specific spoilage of fresh cheese. Results from the current study could found interest in dairy sector because the two natural compounds were found effective in preserving Giuncata cheese.

Abstract: In this study, lemon extract and chitosan were used as antimicrobial agents during Giuncata cheese production in order to assess whether the natural compounds would improve the cheese’s microbial quality. In particular, the viable cell concentration of the main spoilage microbial growth (Pseudomonas spp. and total coliforms) was monitored during refrigerated storage at 4 °C. A central composite design (CCD) was adopted to highlight a possible synergic effect of the two selected compounds. The results showed that a decrease in the cell growth rate of the monitored spoilage microorganisms was observed for all cheese samples added with active agents, when compared with the control cheese. Despite the recorded antimicrobial activity, an antagonist effect was detected when the two compounds were combined at the highest concentrations. In fact, the best performance was obtained when the lemon and the chitosan were used individually at concentrations of 500 and 60 ppm, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Giuncata cheese is a typical fresh cheese from the Apulia region (Italy). It is made from cow’s milk and it takes its name from the specific container used for the draining process of cheese, which, in the Italian language, is called “giunco”. It is produced with milk heated to 80 °C and then cooled to 32–38 °C and coagulated over 25–30 min without adding a starter culture but with the addition of calf or lamb liquid rennet. The curd is collected and drained into the above-mentioned specific container. In fresh cheese, there is no rind and the dough is white. The texture is soft and slightly consistent. The odour of the Giuncata cheese is generally fine and delicate, while the flavour is mainly acidic, depending on the prevalent lactic acid bacteria species that are present in the milk and then in the cheese [1]. Giuncata is usually packaged under an ordinary atmosphere and stored under refrigerated conditions for a storage time that lasts only 4–5 days.

In recent years, food science and technology interest has been driven to innovate in the field of food shelf-life extensions as a consequence of the need for food business operators to adapt to new distribution systems and the change in the eating habits of the consumers. An extended shelf-life is a differentiating attribute for businesses that is capable to meet the modern trends of dynamic lifestyles, where consumers are less and less engaged with food courses and meal preparation [2].

In particular, shelf-life extension in the dairy sector can be considered an innovation that positively leads to improvements in product quality, production efficiency and logistic management along the supply chain. From the side of technological innovation, several solutions have been studied to extend the shelf-life of dairy products in terms of food...
formulations, new processes and packaging systems [3–6]. However, the scientific literature that has investigated dairy product shelf life does not include specific information on a typical cheese, such as Giuncata. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, only one article is available on this specific dairy food and it investigated Giuncata packaging under MAP conditions [7]; therefore, there is the need to further explore the potential of different preservation strategies.

Among the various mild solutions for dairy food that involve alternatives to MAP, particular attention is focused on natural preservatives and active compounds derived from animals or plants, such as chitosan and essential oils [8–10].

Chitosan gained significant attention and was evaluated for numerous applications other than food, mainly due to its high biodegradability and antimicrobial properties [11–13]. Specifically, in the dairy sector, other researchers successfully tested its application as an active agent in mozzarella cheese [14]. Moreover, researchers also assessed combinations of chitosan with other preservation strategies that could further improve the shelf life of fresh cheese [3,15,16]. The biological activity of chitosan depends on its molecular weight, deacetylation degree, chitosan derivatization, degree of substitution, length and position of a substitute in glucosamine units of chitosan, solution pH and, of course, the target microorganisms [17,18].

The antimicrobial activity of essential oils was recognized long ago, and numerous applications to food as natural compounds were found recently [19–23]. The antimicrobial properties of plant essential oils against a wide spectrum of microbes, including bacteria, yeasts and fungi, are well known [24–26]. The ability of essential oils to differently inhibit Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria is of considerable importance in the food industry. It has generally been found that a greater concentration of essential oils is needed to achieve the same effect in foods compared to the same antibacterial in an in vitro assay [27]. The great availability of nutrients in foods, compared to the laboratory media, may enable bacteria to repair damaged cells [28]. In addition, both the intrinsic (fat, protein, water content, antioxidants, pH, salt and other additives) and extrinsic properties (temperature, packaging in vacuum/gas/air, characteristics of microorganisms) of food can influence bacterial sensitivity to natural extracts from plants [29].

Due to a lack of information about chitosan or essential oils applied to Giuncata, in the present study, for the first time, these two compounds were combined during the cheese-making process according to a central composite design (CCD). Single and combined effects of these two active agents on the cheese’s microbial quality were assessed. Towards this aim, the microbiological quality of Giuncata, which was properly prepared with and without the two active compounds, was assessed by monitoring the main spoilage bacteria (Pseudomonas spp. and total coliforms) during the refrigerated storage period. To quantitatively determine the effectiveness of the investigated antimicrobial compounds, the time at which the viable cell concentration of the spoilage microorganisms reached the maximum acceptability limit was calculated.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Giuncata Cheese Production Process

The Giuncata cheese used in this study was manufactured in the dairy plant “Posta la Via” (Foggia, Italy) according to the following procedure: the cow milk was heated to 80 °C and then cooled to 38 °C and liquid calf rennet was added. Curd formation was achieved after 45–60 min, and then the curd was cut, collected and drained in specific containers. Simultaneously, different batches of modified cheese were made by adding high-molecular-weight chitosan (PM = 310,000–375,000 Da and viscosity = 800–2000 cP) (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) and lemon extract (Boyajian, Canton, MA, USA) to working milk. The lemon extract used was a mixture of hydrocarbons, oxygenated compounds and non-volatile residues, including terpenes, sesquiterpenes, aldehydes, alcohols, esters and sterols. The concentration values varied according to a two-factor, five-level central composite design (CCD). The 11 variable combinations used are listed in Table 1. When
the two-factor, five-level CCD was set, 9 different runs and two further repetitions of the central point (level 0, runs 10 and 11) could be found. Each run combination was repeated twice. The samples were stored at 4 °C for 8 days.

Table 1. Level, run, experimental factors and concentrations of the central composite design.

| Level | Lemon Extract (ppm) | Chitosan (ppm) |
|-------|----------------------|----------------|
| −2    | 0                    | 0              |
| −1    | 250                  | 30             |
| 0     | 500                  | 60             |
| +1    | 750                  | 90             |
| +2    | 1000                 | 120            |

| Sample | Experimental Factors | Lemon Extract (ppm) | Chitosan (ppm) |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Run 1  | −1 −1                | 250                 | 30             |
| Run 2  | −1 +1                | 750                 | 30             |
| Run 3  | +1 −1                | 250                 | 90             |
| Run 4  | +1 +1                | 750                 | 90             |
| Run 5  | −2 0                 | 500                 | 0              |
| Run 6  | +2 0                 | 500                 | 120            |
| Run 7  | 0 −2                 | 1000                | 60             |
| Run 8  | 0 +2                 | 500                 | 60             |
| Run 9  | 0 0                  | 500                 | 60             |
| Run 10 | 0 0                  | 500                 | 60             |
| Run 11 | 0 0                  | 500                 | 60             |

2.2. Microbiological Analyses

Microbiological analyses were performed before the packaging took place and after 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 days of storage. Twenty grams of cheese were diluted in 180 mL of Ringer’s solution and homogenized in a blender (Stomacher, International PBI, Milan, Italy). The Ringer’s solution composition (pH at 25 °C = 7 ± 0.2) was: 8.5 Gms/L sodium chloride, 0.2 Gms/L potassium chloride, 0.2 Gms/L calcium chloride anhydrous and 0.01 Gms/L sodium bicarbonate. Subsequent serial dilutions were made in the Ringer’s solution and plated in the following media: PCA, incubated at 30 °C for 48 h for total mesophilic bacteria; MRS agar, supplemented with cycloheximide (100 mg/L) (Sigma), incubated under anaerobiosis (Anaerogen Gas Pack, Oxoid) at 37 °C for 48 h for lactic acid bacteria; M17 agar, incubated at 37 °C for 48 h for coccus-shaped lactic acid bacteria; VRBLA incubated at 37 °C for 24 h for total coliforms; *Pseudomonas* Agar Base, added with SR103 E selective supplement (Oxoid) and incubated at 25 °C for 48 h for *Pseudomonas* spp. All media were from Oxoid (Milan, Italy).

The pH determination was performed at the same sampling time using a pH meter (Crisom, 2001). Measures were carried out twice on two different cheese samples.

2.3. Modeling of Experimental Data

The specific microbiological acceptability limit (MAL) values of each monitored spoilage microbial group were obtained by fitting the Gompertz Equation (1) as re-parameterized by Gammariento et al. [30] to the microbial growth data:

\[
\log(N(t)) = \log(N_{max}) - A \cdot \exp\left\{-\exp\left\{\left(\mu_{max} \cdot 2.71 \cdot \frac{\Lambda - MAL}{A}\right) + 1\right\}\right\} + A \cdot \exp\left\{-\exp\left\{\left(\mu_{max} \cdot 2.71 \cdot \frac{\Lambda - t}{A}\right) + 1\right\}\right\}
\]

(1)

where \(N(t)\) is the viable cell concentration (CFU/g) at time \(t\), \(A\) is related to the difference between the decimal logarithm of the maximum bacteria growth attained at the stationary phase and the decimal logarithm of the initial value of the viable cell concentration, \(\mu_{max}\) is
the maximal specific growth rate ($\Delta \log(\text{CFU/g})$/day), $\lambda$ is the lag time (day) and $t$ is the
time (day), $N_{\text{max}}$ is the microbial threshold value (CFU/g), MAL is the time at which
the microbiological threshold is reached (day) (i.e., the time at which $N(t)$ is equal to $N_{\text{max}}$).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Differences between the fitting parameters (MAL values) were compared using one-
way variance analysis (ANOVA). A Duncan’s multiple range test with the option of ho-
mogeneous groups ($p < 0.05$) was used to determine the significance between the means.
STATISTICA 7.1 for Windows (Stat-Soft, Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA) was used for this purpose.

3. Results

In this study, the evolution of the microbial quality during the refrigerated storage
of Giuncata cheese was assessed by monitoring the growth of both $Pseudomonas$ spp.
and total coliforms as representative spoilage microbial groups [3,15,31]. In addition, to
evaluate the effects of the two different natural compounds on the cheese quality, the viable
cell concentration of lactic acid bacteria was monitored. The results are reported in the
following for each group. Figure 1 shows the evolution of $Pseudomonas$ spp.’s viable cell
concentration in the control cheese and some of the investigated samples (runs 1, 5, 7
and 11).

Figure 1. Best fit of modified Gompertz equation (Equation (1)) to the experimental data on the
growth kinetics of $Pseudomonas$ spp. Experimental data are presented as means ± standard deviation.
Control (○) — Giuncata without any compounds; run 1 (♦) — Giuncata with 250 ppm lemon extract
and 30 ppm chitosan; run 5 (■) — Giuncata with 500 ppm lemon extract and without chitosan; run 7
(◇) — Giuncata with 60 ppm chitosan and without lemon extract; run 11 (□) — Giuncata with 500 ppm
lemon extract and 60 ppm chitosan.

Data related to the control cheese showed a short lag phase, followed by an increase in
the viable cell concentration until the stationary phase was attained (about 8 log(CFU/g)).
A different trend was found when chitosan or lemon extract was used during the process.
As can be inferred from the data shown in Figure 1, the presence of the antimicrobials
slowed down the growth of $Pseudomonas$ spp. compared with the control sample. In fact,
a more pronounced lag phase and a reduced microbial concentration at the stationary
phase were found in all the samples obtained with active agents (runs 1, 5, 7 and 11). Some further differences between these runs can be highlighted. Specifically, in run 1, the kinetic growth was more similar to the control and, therefore, \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. proliferation reached the threshold within the first 4 days, which also happened for the control cheese. In contrast, a significant delay in microbial proliferation was recorded in runs 5, 7 and 11.

To quantitatively determine the effectiveness of the tested compounds regarding inhibiting the \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. growth cycle, Equation (1) was fitted to the experimental data. The value of log($N_{\text{max}}$) was set to 6 because at this level of contamination with \textit{Pseudomonas} spp., alterations in the product begin to appear [4,5]. The fitting procedure allowed for determining the MAL values related to \textit{Pseudomonas} (MAL$_P$) for each combination of the two preservatives under study (Table 2).

Table 2. Microbial acceptability limit (MAL) values relative to \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. (MAL$_P$) and coliforms (MAL$_C$) of each investigated run. P-MAL is the lowest value between MAL$_P$ and MAL$_C$ and it is considered the MAL value of the entire product.

| Sample | MAL$_P$ (Day) | MAL$_C$ (Day) | P-MAL (Day) |
|--------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| CNT    | 4.5 ± 0.2 $^a$ | 6.7 ± 0.3 $^{ab}$ | 4.5 ± 0.2 $^a$ |
| Run 1  | 4.5 ± 0.4 $^a$ | 5.7 ± 1.8 $^{ab}$ | 4.5 ± 0.4 $^a$ |
| Run 2  | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^a$ | >8 | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^a$ |
| Run 3  | 5.3 ± 0.5 $^b$ | >8 | 5.3 ± 0.5 $^{bc}$ |
| Run 4  | 5.6 ± 0.2 $^{bc}$ | >8 | 5.6 ± 0.2 $^b$ |
| Run 5  | 6.5 ± 0.6 $^d$ | >8 | 6.5 ± 0.6 $^d$ |
| Run 6  | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^a$ | 6.8 ± 0.3 $^b$ | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^a$ |
| Run 7  | 5.4 ± 0.2 $^b$ | 6.5 ± 0.9 $^{ab}$ | 5.4 ± 0.2 $^b$ |
| Run 8  | 5.4 ± 0.1 $^b$ | 6.4 ± 0.5 $^{ab}$ | 5.4 ± 0.1 $^b$ |
| Run 9  | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^a$ | 5.3 ± 0.7 $^{ab}$ | 4.6 ± 0.2 $^{ac}$ |
| Run 10 | 5.5 ± 0.2 $^b$ | 5.9 ± 0.4 $^{ab}$ | 5.5 ± 0.2 $^b$ |
| Run 11 | 6.1 ± 0.4 $^{cd}$ | 5.1 ± 0.8 $^a$ | 5.1 ± 0.8 $^{abc}$ |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. $^a$-$^d$ Data in columns with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

The data shown in the first column of Table 2 highlight that most combinations of chitosan and lemon extract improved the microbial stability because they promoted a significant delay of the \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. proliferation. In fact, in most cases, a MAL$_P$ value higher than that of the control cheese was found, thus suggesting that chitosan alone, lemon extract alone or their proper combination contributed to controlling microbial proliferation. The antimicrobial effects of chitosan are well-known in the literature; however, it is also recognized that the antimicrobial activity of chitosan varies because this activity is associated with its physicochemical characteristics and depends on the type of microorganism [18]. Regarding lemon extract, data relating to dairy applications show that it may exert an inhibitory effect on the spoilage of mozzarella cheese [15,32]. Literature data recorded on different food matrices also confirmed the pronounced antimicrobial effect of citrus essential oils [33,34]. The significant increases in the MAL$_P$ values for runs 5 and 11, compared with the sample without any active agent (CNT), suggest that synergies between the tested natural compounds occurred and that they could be advantageously used in the Giuncata cheese production process.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the total coliform count over the 8 days of storage in some of the investigated runs (runs 1, 5, 7 and 11). As can be inferred from the data shown in Figure 2, the control had a short lag phase, followed by a steady increase in the viable cell concentration up to the stationary phase (about 6 log(CFU/g)). For the active samples, different results were found depending on the run being considered. Specifically, while run 5 was the best one, runs 1 and 11 did not work very well. Run 7 was more similar to the control. To quantitatively compare these experimental findings, the MAL values that were related to coliforms (MAL$_C$) were determined according to the same procedure reported
above for *Pseudomonas* spp. The results are also listed in the second column of Table 2. As stated in DPR 54/97 [35], the value of log($N_{\text{max}}$) was set to 5. As can be seen, some runs presented a $\text{MAL}^C$ that was similar to the control, whereas four runs did not reach the coliforms threshold, thus suggesting that for these samples, the $\text{MAL}^C$ was higher than 8 days. To sum up, as happened with *Pseudomonas* spp., in most cases, the combinations of the two natural compounds extended the coliform microbial acceptability limit. These results agree with the literature data relating to synergies between natural compounds and their interactions with food components in controlling microbial growth [25].

**Figure 2.** Best fit of the modified Gompertz equation (Equation (1)) to the experimental data on the growth kinetics of total coliforms. Experimental data are presented as means ± standard deviation. Control (○)—Giuncata without any compounds; run 1 (●)—Giuncata with 250 ppm lemon extract and 30 ppm chitosan; run 5 (■)—Giuncata with 500 ppm lemon extract and without chitosan; run 7 (◇)—Giuncata with 60 ppm chitosan and without lemon extract; run 11 (□)—Giuncata with 500 ppm lemon extract and 60 ppm chitosan.

Figure 3 shows the growth kinetics of lactic acid bacteria in some of the investigated runs during the entire observation period (runs 1, 5, 7 and 11). Similar results were also obtained for all the other runs. As can be inferred from Figure 3, the functional microorganisms grew during the refrigerated storage. Moreover, there were no marked differences between the control sample and those containing the active compounds, thus demonstrating that the natural agents did not affect the growth of lactic acid bacteria to a great extent. This experimental finding is in agreement with what is reported in the literature. In fact, among the generally sensitive Gram-positive bacteria, lactic acid bacteria are the most resistant to essential oils or chitosan [14,36]. The inefficiency of the tested antimicrobial compounds on useful dairy bacteria is particularly important for fresh cheese because it is increasingly advertised as being “preservative-free and rich in viable lactic acid bacteria”.

The total microbial counts were similar in all the samples (data not shown). As one would expect, their viable cell concentration slightly increased over the storage period.
Results regarding the pH (Figure 4) also show similar trends, with casual fluctuations within a small range. The most striking result of Figure 4 is the lack of statistically significant differences between the samples in each run throughout the entire observation period. In fact, the pH data were all superimposed for different control and active samples. This experimental evidence of pH values not being affected by the two active compounds suggests that the observed antimicrobial activity could be exclusively ascribed to the efficacy of the investigated natural compounds [15]. Regarding the pH decrease over time, a possible explanation could be ascribed to the production process without a starter culture, as reported in the literature for other fresh cheese [37].
Figure 4. Evolution of pH in Giuncata cheese samples during the storage period (8 days).

Unfortunately, a comparison between the two selected preservatives with published data is very difficult, as the outcomes of related tests are affected by numerous factors, such as the food matrix and, of course, the sources of the antimicrobial compounds [18]. The composition of essential oils can greatly depend upon the geographical region, the variety, the age of the plant, the method of drying and the extraction method [38]. In addition, some intrinsic food properties (fat/protein/water content, antioxidants, preservatives, pH and salt) and extrinsic determinants (temperature, packaging and target microorganisms) can also influence the antimicrobial effect of these compounds [9]. It is also reported that during the application of an antimicrobial compound to food, interactions between phenolic compounds and some food components can occur [26,27].

In order to evaluate the synergic effect of the selected natural compounds on the microbial stability of Giuncata, the CCD approach was put to use. Towards this aim, the product microbial acceptability limit (hereinafter referred to as P-MAL) was taken into account. The P-MAL was defined as the lowest value between the MAL\textsuperscript{P} and the MAL\textsuperscript{C}.

The values of P-MAL are listed in the last column of Table 2 for each run. As can be inferred, the P-MAL values of most samples were significantly different from that of the control cheese, except for runs 1, 2 and 6. Finally, run 5 recorded the best P-MAL value. The approach used to consider the lowest value between MAL\textsuperscript{P} and the MAL\textsuperscript{C} gave us a global idea of the single and combined effects of selected antimicrobials. However, in order to determine the effects of linear, quadratic and interactive terms of the independent variables on the dependent one, the best fit of Equation (2) was used:

\[
P\text{-MAL} = 0.010022 \text{[lemon extract]} + 0.076685 \text{[chitosan]} - 0.000151 \text{[lemon extract][chitosan]} \tag{2}
\]

The R-value indicates the adequacy of the model proposed; it was equal to 0.9832. The F-value represents the level of significance; it was equal to 77.369 (\(p < 0.0001\)), while the standard error was equal to 1.11.

A three-dimensional surface plot can be advantageously used to assess the influence of the independent variables on P-MAL values. In particular, a 3D graph was obtained by plotting the P-MAL values as a function of the two investigated independent variables. From the graph reported in Figure 5, it is worth noting that the two substances, when separately used, increased the antimicrobial effectiveness and, consequently, the P-MAL value. In fact, we can note that the colour shifted from green to red if each compound were used alone. In particular, the graph highlights that the maximum MAL value was present...
when the lemon and the chitosan were used individually at concentrations of 500 ppm and 60 ppm, respectively, which represent the central levels of the experimental factors.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Effects of the interaction between lemon extract and chitosan on the microbial acceptability limit P-MAL (day) of Giuncata cheese.

This finding is in accordance with literature data related to compounds of natural origin, in particular, essential oils applied to food. In fact, data from other studies that assessed the combination of natural active compounds found that they may lead to additive, synergistic or antagonistic effects [24,39]. The data from the current study are particularly comparable with the results of Gammariello et al. [16], which were related to the use of chitosan and lemon extract during the production process of fiordilatte cheese. These authors also showed that the contact method of the active agents with microorganisms plays a key role in antimicrobial effectiveness. Therefore, the inclusion of chitosan and lemon extract directly into milk during processing generated interactions between them and with food components with high probability, which were responsible for the recorded antimicrobial efficacy. In addition, it is also worth noting that essential oils comprise a large number of components and, therefore, it is likely that their mode of action involves several targets in the bacterial cell.

### 4. Conclusions

Both chitosan and lemon extract were valid compounds regarding their effects on the microbial proliferation of Giuncata cheese without compromising the lactic acid bacteria. The final results from the CCD demonstrated that chitosan and lemon extract worked well when applied individually, in particular, when 500 ppm of lemon extract or 60 ppm of chitosan were incorporated in the cheese formulation during its processing. The current research represents the first attempt to explore the potential of natural agents in typical fresh cheese produced without adding a starter culture and is therefore characterized by certain variability in microbial quality. This result could gain great attention from the dairy industrial sector since the strategy proposed in the current study was simple and cheap to be applied. It could prolong the shelf life of a perishable product that is only known and
commercialized for a local market, thus promoting its diffusion beyond the local borders, allowing for added incomes for the producing areas.
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