Diurnal variations in the stratosphere of the ultrahot giant exoplanet WASP-121b
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The temperature profile of a planetary atmosphere is a key diagnostic of radiative and dynamical processes governing the absorption, redistribution and emission of energy. Observations have revealed dayside stratospheres that either cool 1–2 or warm 3,4 with altitude for a small number of gas giant exoplanets, whereas other dayside stratospheres are consistent with constant temperatures 5–13. Here we report spectroscopic phase curve measurements for the gas giant WASP-121b (ref. 14) that constrain stratospheric temperatures throughout the diurnal cycle. Variations measured for a water vapour spectral feature reveal a temperature profile that transitions from warming with altitude on the dayside hemisphere to cooling with altitude on the nightside hemisphere. The data are well explained by models assuming chemical equilibrium, with water molecules thermally dissociating at low pressures on the dayside and recombining on the nightside 13,14. Nightside temperatures are low enough for perovskite (CaTiO3) to condense, which could deplete titanium from the gas phase 5,12 and explain recent non-detections at the day–night terminator 13–16. Nightside temperatures are also consistent with the condensation of refractory species such as magnesium, iron and vanadium. Detections 15–18 of these metals at the day–night terminator suggest, however, that if they do form nightside clouds, cold trapping does not efficiently remove them from the upper atmosphere. Horizontal winds and vertical mixing could keep these refractory condensates aloft in the upper atmosphere of the nightside hemisphere until they are recirculated to the hotter dayside hemisphere and vaporized.

WASP-121b is an ultrahot (>2,000 K) gas giant exoplanet orbiting an F6V star every 30.6 h (ref. 19). Previous observations have shown that the dayside hemisphere of WASP-121b has a thermal inversion, with a temperature profile that increases with increasing altitude or, equivalently, with decreasing atmospheric pressure 19–21. The thermal inversion is thought to be caused by the presence of optical absorbers capturing a large fraction of incident stellar radiation at low pressures in the atmosphere 19–21. Observations of the planet during transit geometry have identified a number of such absorbers, including gaseous Fe, Mg, Cr, V and VO1–18.

Two full-orbit phase curves of WASP-121b were observed at epochs in 2018 and 2019 with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) infrared spectrograph. For each observation, a time series of spectra was acquired using the G141 grism, which covers the 1.12–1.64 μm wavelength range. Further technical details of the observations are provided in Methods. A broadband light curve was produced by summing each spectrum in the time series across the full wavelength range (Extended Data Fig. 1). This light curve was fit by simultaneously modelling the planet signal and instrumental systematics (Methods). Quantitative results are reported in Extended Data Fig. 2 and the best-fit model is shown in Fig. 1a, with orbital phases of 0 and 0.5 corresponding to the primary transit and secondary eclipse mid-times, respectively. As described in Methods, the best-fit phase curve model was inverted to generate a global temperature map for WASP-121b (Fig. 1b). On the dayside hemisphere temperatures exceed 3,000 K, and drop to below 1,500 K in the coolest regions of the nightside hemisphere.

To recover the planetary emission spectrum at different orbital phases, light curves were generated for 12 spectroscopic channels across the 1.12–1.64 μm wavelength range (Extended Data Fig. 3). These light curves were analysed using a method similar to that of the broadband light curve fit (Methods). The measured emission maxima of the spectroscopic phase curves give the spectrum of the planetary dayside hemisphere (Fig. 2a). In addition, phase-resolved emission spectra were generated by averaging the planetary flux inferred from the spectroscopic light curve fits across 16 bins in orbital phase. The planetary emission spectrum recovered immediately prior to the primary transit (Fig. 2b) is comprised almost entirely of emission from the nightside hemisphere of the planet. At intermediate phases, the emission received from WASP-121b emanates from a combination of the dayside and nightside hemispheres 22–24.

Wavelengths covered by the data are sensitive to an opacity band of H2O vapour and continuum opacity of H+ (Fig. 2). The measured shape and amplitude of these spectral features allow the chemical abundances and vertical temperature profile of the atmosphere to be inferred 20–22. To recover these properties from the data, a retrieval analysis was first performed on the dayside emission spectrum. The overall heavy element enrichment (‘metallicity’) of the atmosphere
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was allowed to vary, with the relative abundances of individual elements held fixed to solar ratios, and a one-dimensional analytic temperature profile was adopted with three free parameters (Methods). As the metallicity and temperature profile were varied in the fitting, chemical abundances were computed assuming chemical equilibrium. Results of this analysis are reported in Extended Data Fig. 4, including a measured metallicity of $[\text{M/H}] = 0.76^{+0.30}_{-0.62}$ (approximately 1–10× solar). A second retrieval analysis was also performed for the nightside emission spectrum. Due to the lower signal-to-noise ratio, the atmospheric metallicity was held fixed to the value determined from the dayside retrieval analysis, leaving only the three temperature profile parameters free. The contribution to the overall emission from the narrow crescent of dayside hemisphere visible at this phase was also factored in to the modelled emission (Methods).

The inferred dayside and nightside emission spectra are shown in Fig. 2, and the corresponding pressure-dependent temperatures, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ abundances, $\text{H}^+$ abundances and contribution functions are shown in Fig. 3. A dayside thermal inversion is inferred at the pressures probed by the data (below ~30 mbar), consistent with previous results. On the dayside, the $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ abundance drops sharply with decreasing pressure, due to thermal dissociation of molecules. Thermal ionization also raises the abundance of free electrons, which bind with atomic hydrogen to form $\text{H}^+$. As temperatures decrease on the nightside, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ molecules recombine at low pressures. Rotational-vibrational transitions of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ molecules at near-infrared wavelengths increase the efficiency of radiative cooling in the upper atmosphere (Extended Data Fig. 5), resulting in a temperature profile that cools with decreasing pressure on the nightside (Fig. 3a). As described in Methods, consistent results

---

**Fig. 1 | Broadband phase curve and inverted temperature map for WASP-121b.**

- **a**, Planet emission relative to the host star emission as a function of the planetary orbital phase. Pink and green circles show WFC3 measurements made at epochs in 2018 and 2019, respectively. Black circles show binned data for individual HST orbits, with error bars indicating the 1σ measurement uncertainties. The red line shows the maximum likelihood second-order sinusoidal model, including primary transit and secondary eclipse signals. The blue line shows the prediction of a 3D GCM simulation. The inset shows the full primary transit signal and has the same units as the main axes.

- **b**, Latitude-longitude temperature map obtained by inverting the maximum likelihood phase curve model (Methods). Note that this is a non-unique inversion and assumes that the temperature map can be described by a low-order spherical harmonics expansion. The green cross indicates the location of the substellar point.
for the dayside and nightside hemisphere properties were obtained when retrievals were performed at intermediate phases (Extended Data Figs. 6–9) and when the assumption of chemical equilibrium was relaxed (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 10).

These measurements provide empirical constraints for the theory that refractory species may be lost from the upper atmosphere of highly irradiated planets due to cold trap processes\(^{11,12}\). For example, due to the large temperature contrasts expected between the dayside and nightside hemispheres, refractory species could condense on the nightside and settle to deeper layers of the atmosphere, despite dayside temperatures being high enough to maintain them in the gas phase. However, day–night cold trapping of this kind might be avoided if vertical mixing is vigorous within the atmosphere, allowing condensates to be suspended aloft long enough for lateral winds to return them to the dayside hemisphere\(^{16,27}\). Alternatively, condensates may gravitationally settle to deeper layers of the atmosphere and subsequently re-enter the gas phase as they are returned to lower pressures by updrafts\(^{12}\).

Condensation curves for relevant refractory species\(^{27–29}\) are shown in Fig. 3a, namely corundum (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)), perovskite (CaTiO\(_3\)), VO, Fe, forsterite (Mg\(_2\)SiO\(_4\)) and enstatite (Mg\(_2\)SiO\(_3\)). The condurn, perovskite and Fe condensation curves are crossed during the WASP-121b diurnal cycle (Fig. 3a), and it is also likely that those of forsterite, VO and enstatite are crossed in the coolest regions of the nightside hemisphere (Fig. 1b). It is particularly notable that temperatures drop low enough for Fe, Ca, Mg and V to condense, as recent observations have revealed these heavy metals in the gas phase at the day–night terminator\(^{14–18}\). Vertical mixing must therefore be operating efficiently within the atmosphere of WASP-121b, to avoid day–night cold trapping. This also appears to be the case for another ultrahot gas giant, WASP-76b, for which gaseous Fe has been detected at the eastern terminator but not detected at the cooler western terminator, where it has presumably condensed\(^{30}\). However, non-detections of Ti and TiO at the day–night terminator of WASP-121b complicate this picture\(^{13–16}\), as these gases should also form condensates such as perovskite and TiO\(_2\) on the nightside\(^{30–32}\). It would be surprising if Ti-bearing condensates are efficiently cold trapped while other refractory species avoid a similar fate. This is especially true for V, which is chemically similar to Ti but an order of magnitude less abundant in the solar neighbourhood\(^{1}\). For now, this remains an outstanding puzzle, with a solution that may depend on additional factors such as variations in surface energies between different condensate species\(^{33}\).

The dayside and nightside emission spectra predicted by a cloud-free three-dimensional (3D) general circulation model (GCM) simulation generated for this study (Methods) and results from two published GCM simulations\(^{9}\) are shown in Fig. 2. Good agreement with the data is obtained, suggesting that the GCMs have successfully captured much of the interplay between the radiation, chemistry and dynamics of the WASP-121b atmosphere. The broadband phase curve predicted by the GCM simulation run for this study is also shown in Fig. 1a, having an overall amplitude in respectable agreement with the data. However, around the quadrature phases (that is, at 0.25 and 0.75), the GCM underpredicts the planetary emission (see also Extended Data Figs. 3 and 6). Nightside clouds are unlikely to explain this discrepancy, as they would be expected to lower the emission by blocking radiation from deeper, warmer layers of the atmosphere. Refractory clouds forming close to the terminator region, however, could potentially boost the emission received from the dayside crescent by reflecting light from the host star\(^{33,34}\). Another possible explanation may be provided by the optically thick exosphere of WASP-121b that has been observed to extend to the planet’s Roche limit\(^{3}\), well below the pressure range considered by the GCMs. Heated layers of the stellar-facing exosphere would be maximally visible at quadrature, raising the overall emission received from the planet, whereas at superior and inferior conjunction, the data are sensitive to deeper atmospheric layers due to the zenith viewing geometry (Fig. 3d), and as such are well matched by the GCM predictions (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the GCMs did not include opacities for gaseous metals such as Fe and Mg, which are known to be present in the atmosphere of WASP-121b\(^{15–18}\) and could contribute substantially to the outgoing emission\(^{19}\). These effects, along with others not considered here, such as latent heat release from the dissociation/recombination of hydrogen\(^{35}\) and atmospheric drag\(^{36}\), should be investigated in future modelling.

The dynamics and chemistry of ultrahot gas giants such as WASP-121b are exotic by Solar System standards, driven by dramatic contrasts in the irradiation environments of the dayside and
nightside hemispheres. Until now, exploration of these diurnal variations has proved challenging due to the narrow infrared wavelength coverage of HST.""}. [43x520]nightside hemispheres. Until now, exploration of these diurnal variations has proved challenging due to the narrow infrared wavelength coverage of HST. For WASP-121b, these wavelengths are fortuitously sensitive to a pressure range that allows the transition from inverted to non-inverted temperature profiles to be mapped globally. Further insights are anticipated with the James Webb Space Telescope, which will enable higher signal-to-noise ratio spectroscopy across the broader 0.8–11 μm wavelength range. This will provide fuller coverage of the H⁺ opacity continuum and access to stronger H₂O bands at longer wavelengths, breaking the degeneracy between the two species. Additional spectral features, such as the CO spectral band at 4.5 μm, will provide further leverage for constraining the chemical composition, thermal structure and wind patterns of the atmosphere.

**Methods**

**Observations and data reduction.** Two full-orbit phase curves of WASP-121b were observed on 2018 March 12–13 and 2019 February 3–4 using the HST WFC3 infrared spectrograph with the G141 grism, covering a wavelength range of approximately 1.12–1.64 μm. Each observation was performed over 26 consecutive HST orbits and lasted approximately 40.3 h. The timing of each observation was designed to encompass two consecutive secondary eclipses, as these correspond to times when only the star is visible, allowing the baseline flux level to be calibrated at the beginning and end of the observation. Furthermore, both observations were scheduled such that the combined dataset provided maximum phase coverage for the planetary orbit, which has a period of 30.6 h. Due to the long duration of the observations, full guide star re-acquisitions were performed at the beginning of the 10th and 20th HST orbits. Integration times per exposure were 103 s over 15 non-destructive reads (NSAMP=15) using the SPARS10 sampling sequence. Science exposures were made using the spatial scanning mode with scans along approximately 60 pixel rows of the detector cross-dispersion axis at a rate of 0.073 arcsec s⁻¹. With this set-up, 415 exposures were acquired for each phase curve observation. Peak frame counts were kept below ~40,000 electrons per pixel, within the recommended range for the detector.

Spectra were extracted from each data frame using a custom Python code. In brief, this involved first estimating the background flux for each exposure by taking the median count within a 10×170 pixel box away from the target on the detector. The background was then subtracted from each exposure and the target flux summed along the cross-dispersion axis within a rectangular aperture spanning 100 pixel rows, giving the target flux as a function of location along the detector dispersion axis. The mapping from the dispersion axis to wavelength was determined by cross-correlating these measured fluxes against a model stellar spectrum modulated by the G141 grism throughput.

**Broadband light curve analysis.** Broadband light curves were produced by integrating the time series of target spectra across the dispersion axis, and are shown in Extended Data Fig. 1. The primary transits, secondary eclipses and phase curve variations for the planet are easily visible by eye. However, the data are also affected by instrumental systematics caused by charge trapping on the detector, producing a ramp-like trend in each HST orbit. In addition, longer-term instrumental drifts are evident, particularly at the beginning of the observations, and suble discontinuities affect the measured flux at the HST orbits immediately following guide star re-acquisitions.

We modelled both light curves jointly to extract properties of the planet. Our overall model \( M \) took the form

\[
M_{ij} = B_{ij} \Psi_k \Pi, \tag{1}
\]

where \( B \) is the instrumental baseline trend, \( \Psi \) is the detector ramp systematic and \( \Pi \) is the astrophysical signal. Here, the \( k \) subscripts refer to the observation number \( k = 1 \) for the 2018 observation and \( k = 2 \) for the 2019 observation and the \( j \) subscripts refer to the data segment number. For the latter, we divided each observation into three data segments, defined by the guide star re-acquisitions (Extended Data Fig. 1). In summary, we modelled three data segments with independent baseline trends for each observation, ramp systematics separately for each observation but shared across data segments and a planet signal shared across all data segments of both observations.

For \( B_{ij} \), we followed standard practice and adopted a quadratic trend in time for the first data segment \( j=1 \) of each visit. For the second \( j=2 \) and third \( j=3 \) data segments, we adopted linear \( t \) trends. This was done because the baseline drift is clearly less pronounced following the first data segment (Extended Data Fig. 1), as the spacecraft and instrument have settled into a stable configuration. We also tested quadratic \( t \) trends for \( j=2 \) and \( j=3 \), but found that this did not improve the quality of the fit, justifying the use of the simpler linear \( t \) trends.

For \( \Psi_{i} \), we adopted the analytic treatment of ref. 40, which is motivated by a simple model of electron charge trapping on the detector. Explicitly,

\[
\Psi(t, \tau) = \rho \tau, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
\tau = 1 + a_1 \exp \left[ -t/a_2 \right], \tag{3}
\]

\[
\rho = 1 + a_3 \exp \left[ -\left( t - a_4 \right)/a_5 \right]. \tag{4}
\]

...
The astrophysical signal II is comprised of the combined flux received from the star–planet system:

\[ H = F_p + F_0 \]  

(5)

where \( F_p \) is the emission from the host star and \( F_0 \) is the emission from the planet. The stellar flux \( F_p \) is assumed to be constant except when the planet transits in front of the host star. We used a publicly available software package\(^7\) to compute the drop in \( F_p(\phi) \) during primary transit. We modelled the planet emission signal as

\[ F_p = \Phi + \Gamma \]  

(6)

where \( \Phi \) denotes the phase variations, \( \Gamma \) is the drop in flux received from the planet during secondary eclipse and \( E \) are ellipsoidal variations caused by tidal distortion of the planet. For \( \Phi \), we used a second-order cosine function:

\[ \Phi = c_0 + (c_2/2) \{ \cos(2\phi) - 1 \} + (c_4/2) [1 - \cos(2\phi) - c_2] \]  

(7)

where \( \Phi = 2\pi(t - T_p)/P \) is the planetary orbital phase, \( P \) is the planetary orbital period and \( T_p \) is the time of mid-transit. To compute \( \Phi \) we used the same publicly available software used for the primary transit signal\(^7\). For \( E \), we used a cosine function of the form

\[ E = 1 + (x_0/2) \{ 1 - \cos(2\phi) \} \]  

(8)

giving maximum cross-sectional area at orbital quadrature.

Using the above model, we defined a log-likelihood function for the joint dataset of the form

\[ \log P = \log N(y_1 - M_1, K_1) + \log N(y_2 - M_2, K_2) \]  

(9)

where \( N(g, K) \) denotes a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector \( g \) and covariance matrix \( K \). In equation (9), the mean vector is given by the \( t \)-dependent model residuals, \( y_1 \) is a vector containing the data points and \( M_1 \) is a vector containing the corresponding model values for the \( t \)th observation. The covariance matrices for each observation are assumed to be diagonal, with the form \( K_{d\phi} = \sigma_1^2 \), where \( \sigma_1 \) is the photon noise value, \( \beta_i \) is a white noise rescaling factor and \( I \) is the identity matrix.

For the systematics components, our free parameters were the coefficients for the \( t \)-dependent baseline trends \((b_i)\), the \( a_{i_1} \), \( a_{i_2} \), \( a_{i_3} \) and \( a_{i_4} \) parameters for the detector ramp \((r_i)\) and the white noise rescaling factors \( \beta_i \). For the primary transit (that is, \( F_p \)), the following parameters were allowed to vary: the planet-to-star radius ratio \((R_p/R_*)\), the normalized semi-major axis \((a/R_*)\), the orbital impact parameter \((b = a \cos i/R_*)\), where \( i \) is the orbital inclination) and the primary transit mid-times \((T_p)\). These parameters were shared across both datasets, except for \( T_p \), which was allowed to vary separately for each dataset. As the orbital planetary period has been previously determined to a high level of precision, it was fixed to \( P = 1.2749247646 \) days (ref.\(^7\)). A circular orbit was assumed, given constraints from previous measurements\(^7\). A quadratic stellar limb darkening profile was adopted with coefficients \((u_1, u_2)\) fixed to values determined using a model of the host star atmosphere, as described previously. For the phase variations \( \Phi \), the parameters \( c_2, c_4, c_6, c_8 \) and \( c_{10} \) were allowed to vary. For \( \Gamma \), the secondary eclipse mid-time \( T_E \) was linked to the primary transit mid-time \( T_p \) according to \( T_E = T_p + P/2 \), given the assumed circular orbit. Rather than treating the eclipse depth as a separate free parameter, it was constrained such that \( F_0 = 0 \) at the bottom of the eclipse. The ellipsoidal variation in the planetary cross-sectional area, \( \epsilon _v \), was also treated as a free parameter. Uniform priors were adopted for all free parameters. Marginalization of the posterior distribution was performed using affine-invariant Markov chain Monte Carlo with 300 walkers and 1,600 steps, as implemented by a publicly available software package\(^7\). The best-fit model is shown in Fig. 1 and the results for the astrophysical model parameters are summarized in Extended Data Fig. 2.

In addition, a simpler first-order sinusoidal model was tested, equivalent to fixing \( c_2, c_4, c_6 = 0 \) in equation (7). The results of this fit are also reported in Extended Data Fig. 2, with good agreement obtained for parameters common to both models. However, the first-order sinusoidal model has a significantly higher Bayesian information criterion (BIC) value of 2,129.5 compared to 2,111.0 for the second-order sinusoidal model, corresponding to a Bayes factor of exp(-\( \Delta \text{BIC}/2 \)) = 10\(^{-2} \) in favour of the second-order sinusoidal model. This provides strong evidence for asymmetry in the phase curve about the ‘hot spot’ (that is, phase of peak emission), which is better accounted for by the second-order sinusoidal model than by the first-order sinusoidal model. For this reason, the second-order sinusoidal model is adopted as the preferred fit for the broadband light curve.

Extended Data Fig. 2 reports the heat redistribution factors \( A_r \) obtained for the first-order and second-order sinusoidal model fits. These were derived by computing \( A_r = (F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}})/F_{\text{max}} \) for each phase curve model sampled, where \( F_{\text{max}} \) and \( F_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum values of the phase curve. The obtained value for \( A_r \) (95.1 ± 2.5% for the preferred second-order sinusoid and 98.6 ± 2.1% for the first-order sinusoid) is broadly in line with those reported for the three other giant exoplanets with published WFC3 phase curve measurements:

\[ A_r = 100.5 ± 1.3\% \text{ for WASP-43b (ref.\(^7\))}, A_r = 91.2 ± 2\% \text{ for WASP-103b (ref.\(^7\)), and} A_r > 96\% \text{ for WASP-18b (ref.\(^7\)).} \]

A spherical harmonic of degree \( l = 2 \) was also used to generate a temperature map of the planet. The map was generated by projecting the corresponding phase curve signal in the GI41 passband using a publicly available code\(^7\). Coefficients were adjusted to optimize the match of the resulting phase curve with the second-order sinusoidal function derived from the light curve fits (Supplementary Fig. 1). As the available phase curve data do not constrain latitudinal temperature variations, coefficients of order \( m = 0 \) were fixed to zero. The resulting temperature map is shown in Fig. 1b. At longitudes approximately 9° eastward of the substellar point, the atmosphere reaches its highest temperatures of around 3,200 K. On the nightside hemisphere, the coldest regions of the atmosphere are around 1,200 K, cool enough for numerous refractory species to condense (Fig. 3a).

Spectroscopic light curve analysis. Spectroscopic light curves were generated by binning the spectra into 12 wavelength channels. Before fitting these data, systematics common to all wavelengths were corrected using a cross-correlation technique\(^9\) based on an original implementation by ref.\(^7\). This common-mode correction effectively cleaned the detector ramp systematics in all but the first HST orbit of both datasets. It also substantially reduced the baseline trend systematics due to instrumental drift.

As the common-mode correction successfully removed most of the systematics affecting each spectroscopic channel, a simpler model than described above for the broadband analysis was adopted for the light curve fitting. Rather than fit a quadratic trend for the instrumental baseline of the first data segments (that is, the \( R_i \) terms of equation (1)), we used linear time trends for all datasets, except for the exception of the first phase curve of each dataset, a model for the detector ramp was unnecessary. We therefore opted to discard the first HST orbit from each spectroscopic light curve effectively set \( R_i = 1 \) (equation (1)) for the remaining orbits. The astrophysical signal was modelled using the same model II as for the broadband light curve fit. However, for the spectroscopic light curve fits, a number of parameters were held fixed to the best-fit values determined from the broadband light curve (Extended Data Fig. 2), namely \( T_p \), \( a/R_\ast \) and \( b \), which do not vary with wavelength, and the ellipsoidal variation amplitude \( \epsilon_v \). Quadratic limb darkening coefficients \((u_1, u_2)\) were determined for each spectroscopic channel as for the broadband light curve and were also held fixed during fitting. Aside from these details, light curve fitting proceeded as for the broadband light curve. The results of the fits are reported in Supplementary Table 1 and the inferred wavelength-dependent hot-spot phases are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. A second suite of spectroscopic light curve fits was also performed with the hot-spot phase \((\epsilon_v)\) and higher-order phase curve terms \((c_3, c_4, c_6, c_8)\) held fixed to the maximum likelihood values derived from the broadband light curve fit. The results of these fits are reported in Supplementary Table 2. Derived heat redistribution factors \( A_r \) (see above) for both suites of spectroscopic light curve fits are reported in Supplementary Table 3.

In all spectroscopic wavelength channels, the fits for which \( c_6, c_4, c_2 \) were fixed performed lower BIC values than the fits for which these parameters were allowed to vary freely (Supplementary Table 3). For this reason, to derive the phase-resolution emission spectra of WASP-121b we adopt the light curve fits for which \( c_6, c_4, c_2 \) were fixed and show the corresponding best-fit light curves in Extended Data Fig. 3. To extract the phase-resolution emission spectra, the measured planetary emission for each spectroscopic light curve shown in Extended Data Fig. 3 was binned into 16 orbital phases. Residuals added in quadrature to the standard deviation from the best-fit values determined from the broadband light curve fit are shown in Supplementary Table 4 and plotted in Extended Data Fig. 6.

As described previously, a dayside emission spectrum was also generated from the distribution of light curve emission maxima generated during the fitting. This dayside spectrum is reported in Supplementary Table 5 and, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 3, is in excellent agreement with the spectrum obtained from the emission measured in the phase bin immediately preceding secondary eclipse ingress. It also has a shape similar to, but a slightly higher overall level than, the equivalent in the phase bin immediately following secondary eclipse ingress. Dayside spectra with comparable levels of in-eclipse model residuals (that is, the uncertainty in the stellar baseline flux level), following ref.\(^7\). The planetary emission measurements obtained in this way are reported in Supplementary Table 4 and plotted in Extended Data Fig. 6. As described previously, a dayside emission spectrum was also generated from the distribution of light curve emission maxima generated during the fitting. This dayside spectrum is reported in Supplementary Table 5 and, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 3, is in excellent agreement with the spectrum obtained from the emission measured in the phase bin immediately preceding secondary eclipse ingress. It also has a shape similar to, but a slightly higher overall level than, the equivalent in the phase bin immediately following secondary eclipse ingress.
Retrieval analyses assuming chemical equilibrium. Atmospheric retrieval analyses were performed on the phase-resolved emission spectra using ATMO, a one-dimensional radiative transfer code used to simulate substellar atmospheres\textsuperscript{20,24}. ATMO solves the radiative transfer equation in plane-parallel geometry assuming hydrostatic and radiative-convective equilibrium. The first step of the analysis was to perform a retrieval on the dayside spectrum derived from the measured emission maxima in each spectroscopic wavelength channel (Supplementary Table 5). For this retrieval, the pressure–temperature (PT) profile was freely fit using the analytic profile of ref. \textsuperscript{25}, with three free parameters: the infrared opacity ($\kappa_\text{IR}$), the ratio of the visible-to-infrared opacity ($\gamma = \kappa_\text{VIS}/\kappa_\text{IR}$) and an irradiation efficiency factor ($\eta$). The atmosphere was assumed to be in chemical equilibrium, with the heavy element abundances (that is, metallicity) varied as a free parameter ([M/H]). Chemical abundances were calculated using Gibbs energy minimization for 175 gaseous species, 9 ionic species and 93 condensate species\textsuperscript{51–54}. Rainout of condensed species occurred with the retrieved PT profiles included\textsuperscript{121}, as was thermal ionization and dissociation. Opacities for the spectrally active species H$_2$O, CO, CO$_2$, CH$_4$, NH$_3$, Na, K, Li, Rb, Cs, TiO, VO, FeH, H$_2$S, HCN, CH$_3$OH, Fe and H$^+$ were included, along with collision-induced absorption due to H$_2$–H$_2$ and H$_2$–He. Uniform priors were adopted for all model parameters ($\kappa_\text{IR}$, $\gamma$ and [M/H]) and fitting was performed using nested sampling\textsuperscript{133}. A PHOENIX BT-Settl model\textsuperscript{20} was adopted for the stellar spectrum, assuming a stellar effective temperature $T_\text{eff}$ = 5,600 K, surface gravity log $g = 4.0$ cm s$^{-2}$ and radius $R_*$ = 1.458 solar radii, based on the values provided by ref. \textsuperscript{22}. The resulting posterior distributions are reported in Extended Data Fig. 4 and the corresponding emission spectrum distribution is shown in Fig. 2a. The maximum likelihood model has a $\chi^2$ value of 9.74 for eight degrees of freedom (that is, $\Delta\chi^2 = 1.2$), indicating a good fit to the data. Also shown in Fig. 3 are posterior distributions for the PT profile (Fig. 3a), H$_2$O abundance (Fig. 3b) and H$^+$ abundance (Fig. 3c), and the contribution function for the maximum likelihood model (Fig. 3d). Note that the H$_2$O and H$^+$ abundances were determined from the chemical equilibrium abundances and were not fit directly as free parameters.

For the remaining phase-resolved emission spectra, varying fractions of the dayside and nightside hemispheres are visible. Due to the strong contrast in effective temperature between each hemisphere, the dayside and nightside spectra are expected to differ substantially. To accommodate this, retrievals were performed using a method similar to the 2TP-Fixed framework described by ref. \textsuperscript{25}. Under this approach, denoted here as ‘2TP’, the combined emission $\Phi$ received from the planet at each orbital phase was assumed to be described by

$$\Phi = \eta_\text{LS} \Phi_\text{LS} + (1-\eta_\text{LS}) \Phi_\text{WS},$$

(10)

where $\eta_\text{LS}$ is the fractional area of the visible dayside hemisphere, $\Phi_\text{LS}$ is the planetary emission from the dayside hemisphere and $\Phi_\text{WS}$ is the planetary emission from the nightside hemisphere. The fractional area of the visible dayside hemisphere $\eta_\text{LS}$ is given by

$$\eta_\text{LS} = \frac{1 - \cos(2\beta))}{2} = \frac{1 + \cos(2\beta)}{2},$$

(11)

This is a slight variation of equation (4) in ref. \textsuperscript{25}, with $c_\beta$, corresponding to the phase of maximum brightness and set to the value obtained from the broadband light curve fit (Extended Data Fig. 2). Given that the data are primarily sensitive to thermal emission rather than reflected light, the inclusion of the light curve fit (Extended Data Fig. 2). Given that the data are primarily sensitive to thermal emission rather than reflected light, the inclusion of the light curve fit (Extended Data Fig. 2).

The planetary Bond albedo ($A$) and heat redistribution efficiency ($\varepsilon$) were assumed to be derived values for the heat redistribution efficiency ($\varepsilon$) was the only free parameter. The maximum likelihood spectra are plotted in Extended Data Fig. 6 and the results are reported in Supplementary Table 6. These blackbody fits had a mean reduced $\chi^2 = 2.1$ and median reduced $\chi^2 = 2.0$ across the 16 phase bins, which are significantly poorer than those obtained for the ‘2TP’ fits (Supplementary Table 6). However, the brightness temperatures derived from the blackbody fits for the dayside and nightside hemispheres are likely to be determined directly as free parameters, namely: $T_\text{D} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} T_\text{B}$ and $T_\text{N} = (1-\varepsilon) T_\text{B}$. The derived value for the heat redistribution efficiency ($\varepsilon = 0.29 \pm 0.02$ for WASP-112b) is notably higher than for two of those shown in Supplementary Fig. 4c with similar irradiation temperatures, namely WASP-18b ($T_\text{B}$ = 3, 412 $\pm$ 49 K, $\varepsilon = 0.01 \pm 0.01$) and KELT-1b ($T_\text{B}$ = 3, 391 $\pm$ 29 K, $\varepsilon = 0.06 \pm 0.05$) (ref. \textsuperscript{72}). These measurements hint at a diversity of circulation regimes among highly irradiated substellar objects.

Retrieval analyses with unconstrained chemistry. A second suite of retrieval analyses was performed using the NEMESIS radiative transfer and retrieval model\textsuperscript{133–136}, NEMESIS couples a parametric, one-dimensional radiative transfer simulation to the PyMultiNest algorithm\textsuperscript{137}, which uses nested sampling to explore the model parameter space. The correlated-$k$ approximation\textsuperscript{138} is used to pre-tabulate gas absorption data.

There were a number of important differences between the approaches used by ATMO and NEMESIS to model the WASP-112b atmosphere. First, NEMESIS retrieves only for the abundances of H$_2$O and H$^+$; the other two main species expected to be spectrally active at the wavelengths probed by the data are VO and H$_2$S. Each were included with a fixed abundance of $10^{-8}$ and a fixed fraction of the values inferred by ref. \textsuperscript{25}. Second, the abundances of H$_2$O and H$^+$ were allowed to vary freely at each orbital phase, without the requirement of satisfying chemical equilibrium. Third, following ref. \textsuperscript{25}, a simple analytic treatment was adopted to account for thermal dissociation of H$_2$O at phases for which the dayside properties were retrieved (that is, $\Phi = 0.0$; $R_{\text{H}_2} = 0$). Specifically, the following parameterization for the H$_2$O mole fraction ($X$) as a function of pressure ($P$) was adopted:

$$X(P) = \begin{cases} X_{\text{deep}} & \text{for } P \geq P_{\text{mole}} \\ X_{\text{deep}}(P/P_{\text{mole}}^{1/2}) & \text{for } P < P_{\text{mole}} \end{cases}$$

(12)

where the deep atmosphere H$_2$O mole fraction ($X_{\text{deep}}$), knee pressure ($P_{\text{mole}}$) and power law index ($\alpha$) were fitted as free parameters. As in ref. \textsuperscript{25}, H$^+$ was assumed to be well mixed throughout the atmosphere, with constant mole fraction retrieved as an additional parameter. The remaining atmosphere was assumed to be composed of H$_2$ and He in a 9:1 ratio. To account for VO and H$_2$S, the tables were computed according to ref. \textsuperscript{135}, using the data presented in refs. \textsuperscript{133–136}. The H$^+$ bound-free and free–free–free opacities were calculated according to ref. \textsuperscript{25}.

Also included were $e^{-}$ and H, both assumed to be well mixed with abundances fixed to the deep H$^+$ abundance. The latter was justified because the abundances of $e^{-}$ and H do not affect the observed emission spectrum provided that the abundances are sufficiently high to allow viewing an interaction with the H$_2$; collisional ionization ($\text{Coll}$) of $e^{-}$ to H$^+$ and H$^+$ to He was also included\textsuperscript{136}. A parameterization identical to that used by the ATMO retrievals was adopted for the PT profile. At each orbital phase, this gave
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a final model with seven free parameters: three parameters for the PT profile ($E_0$, $\gamma$ and $\phi$), the H\textsuperscript{+} mole fraction and the three parameters defined above for the pressure-dependent H\textsubscript{2}O mole fraction ($X_\text{H2O}, X_\text{H2O,0}$ and $\phi$).

Results for the fiducial dayside and nightside hemisphere PT profiles, H\textsubscript{2}O abundances and H\textsuperscript{+} abundances are compared to those obtained by the ATMO retrievals in Extended Data Fig. 10. Maximum likelihood emission spectra are shown for all orbital phases in Extended Data Fig. 6, with the PT profiles, H\textsubscript{2}O abundances and H\textsuperscript{+} abundances that were retrieved separately for each phase shown in Extended Data Figs. 7–9, respectively. Overall, the PT profiles inferred by NEMESIS for the nightside phases are in good agreement with those inferred by ATMO. The agreement is reasonable, but not as good, for the dayside phases. The latter is likely due to the challenge of accounting for thermal dissociation and ionization using the parameterized approach described above for the free chemistry NEMESIS retrievals. The NEMESIS retrievals at dayside phases do not succeed in accounting for the thermal dissociation of H\textsubscript{2}O (Extended Data Fig. 10b). Instead, to account for the muted H\textsubscript{2}O spectral band, the NEMESIS retrieval favours a higher H\textsuperscript{+} abundance compared to ATMO (Extended Data Fig. 10c), which raises the opacity at wavelengths shorter than 1.3 $\mu$m in particular (Extended Data Fig. 5). The overall raised opacity produces an extended wing in the contribution function towards lower pressures (Extended Data Fig. 5b), in turn favouring a thermal inversion at lower pressures than with ATMO (Extended Data Fig. 10a). This also explains why NEMESIS infers thermal inversions at lower pressures than ATMO does for phases $\phi < 0.43$, 0.57 and 0.62 (Extended Data Fig. 7).

However, the broad agreement between the NEMESIS and ATMO results is reassuring, given the different methodologies adopted. The NEMESIS $\chi^2$ fit statistics are reported alongside those for ATMO in Supplementary Table 6. For NEMESIS, the mean reduced $\chi^2 = 2.43$ and the median reduced $\chi^2 = 2.34$, which are significantly higher than the equivalent fit quality metrics achieved by ATMO. This is primarily a consequence of the larger number of parameters required for the NEMESIS retrievals (that is, seven for NEMESIS versus three for ATMO), although the absolute $\chi^2$ values are also higher, indicating that the NEMESIS models do not replicate the data as well as the ATMO models overall. The latter is due to the failure of NEMESIS to adequately treat the thermal dissociation of H\textsubscript{2}O for the dayside spectra, as noted above. For these reasons, along with the physically motivated enforcement of chemical equilibrium, we present the ATMO retrievals as our primary analysis (Figs. 2 and 3).

General circulation models. A 3D GCM simulation was performed for the atmosphere of WASP-121b using the Substellar and Planetary Radiation and General circulation Models (SPARC) GCM23. The model couples the MITgcm dynamical core24, a finite-volume code that solves the 3D primitive equations on a staggered Arakawa C grid25, with a plane-parallel, two-stream version of a multi-stream radiation code developed for planetary atmospheres26. Opacities are calculated using the correlated-k method27 assuming local thermodynamic and chemical equilibrium for each PT point, using the solar photosphere elemental abundances of ref. 28. In particular, the model includes opacity due to important absorbers such as H\textsubscript{2}O, H\textsuperscript{+}, CO, TiO and VO, but does not yet include atomic metals such as Fe and Mg.

The coupling of the dynamical core and radiative transfer scheme allow for the thermal core and radiative transfer scheme allow for the thermal structure of an exoplanet atmosphere from first-principles resolved emission spectroscopy. Science 346, 838–841 (2014).
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Raw broadband light curves for WASP-121b. WFC3 observations made in 2018 and 2019. Gaps in the time series are due to the target disappearing from view for approximately half of each HST orbit. Two secondary eclipses and the primary transit are visible by eye. The data are affected by detector systematics that result in a ramp-up of flux registered during each HST orbit. Guide star re-acquisitions were performed at the beginning of the 10th and 20th HST orbits for both observations.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Astrophysical parameters obtained from fits to the broadband light curve. Results are reported for fits adopting first-order and second-order sinusoidal models for the planetary phase variations. Values listed for free parameters are posterior medians and uncertainties give the 1σ credible ranges. Note that inclination $i$ values have been derived from $b$ and $a/R_\star$. The redistribution factors $A_F$ have been derived from the distribution of phase curve models sampled during fitting. Although the white noise was treated as a free parameter for each dataset as described in Methods, the quoted $\chi^2$ and BIC values were calculated assuming photon noise to allow direct comparison of the two models.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Spectroscopic phase curves for WASP-121b. Systematics-corrected spectroscopic phase curves measured with WFC3. Pink circles show 2018 data and green circles show 2019 data. Black circles show the combined dataset binned in phase, with marker sizes approximately corresponding to the measurement uncertainties. Phase bins are the same as those used for generating the phase-resolved emission spectra, plus an additional in-eclipse bin. Red lines show the maximum likelihood second-order sinusoidal fits, with corresponding reduced $\chi^2$ values listed in the upper right corner of each axis. Blue lines show predictions of the 3D GCM run for the present study.
| $\phi$ | $\mathcal{M}_R$ (cm$^2$.g$^{-1}$) | $\gamma_r$ | $\Psi$ | [M/H] (dex) |
|-------|----------------|-----------|--------|------------|
| Dayside | $-1.78^{+0.08}_{-0.26}$ | $1.76^{+0.16}_{-0.30}$ | $1.22^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ | $0.76^{+0.30}_{-0.62}$ |
| 0.05   | $-2.99^{+1.19}_{-0.99}$  | $-0.52^{+2.26}_{-2.16}$ | $0.30^{+0.18}_{-0.15}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.12   | $-2.09^{+0.91}_{-1.31}$  | $-1.48^{+2.09}_{-1.54}$ | $0.62^{+0.09}_{-0.14}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.17   | $2.59^{+1.24}_{-1.36}$   | $-1.09^{+1.45}_{-1.65}$ | $0.73^{+0.06}_{-0.11}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.23   | $-2.33^{+0.98}_{-1.83}$  | $-0.52^{+3.00}_{-2.03}$ | $0.64^{+0.11}_{-0.21}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.28   | $2.05^{+0.93}_{-1.82}$   | $-0.72^{+3.19}_{-1.64}$ | $0.73^{+0.09}_{-0.21}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.32   | $-2.32^{+0.71}_{-0.45}$  | $3.28^{+0.43}_{-2.85}$  | $1.31^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.38   | $-2.17^{+0.47}_{-0.34}$  | $3.29^{+0.40}_{-1.48}$  | $1.30^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.43   | $-1.75^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$  | $1.64^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$  | $1.25^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.57   | $-2.04^{+0.34}_{-0.44}$  | $3.11^{+0.50}_{-1.27}$  | $1.27^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.62   | $-1.78^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$  | $1.79^{+0.12}_{-0.14}$  | $1.22^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.68   | $-2.77^{+1.17}_{-1.80}$  | $0.20^{+0.71}_{-0.49}$  | $1.13^{+0.19}_{-0.14}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.72   | $-2.61^{+1.01}_{-1.32}$  | $-0.99^{+2.64}_{-1.66}$ | $0.40^{+0.18}_{-0.21}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.78   | $-2.55^{+1.32}_{-1.72}$  | $-0.86^{+3.51}_{-1.78}$ | $0.70^{+0.08}_{-0.14}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.82   | $-2.67^{+1.45}_{-1.62}$  | $-1.23^{+2.32}_{-1.65}$ | $0.69^{+0.07}_{-0.14}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.88   | $-1.88^{+0.52}_{-0.62}$  | $-1.83^{+1.21}_{-1.10}$ | $0.71^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| 0.95   | $-1.89^{+0.56}_{-0.56}$  | $-1.90^{+1.24}_{-1.13}$ | $0.70^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$ | 0.7 (fixed) |
| (free [M/H]) | $-1.76^{+0.54}_{-0.92}$ | $-1.82^{+0.98}_{-1.30}$ | $0.70^{+0.06}_{-0.10}$ | $0.66^{+0.70}_{-1.02}$ |

Extended Data Fig. 4 | Results of ATMO ’2× PT’ retrieval analyses. Values listed are the posterior medians, with uncertainties giving the 1σ credible ranges. First row gives the results of the retrieval analysis performed for the dayside emission spectrum, with metallicity ([M/H]) fitted as a free parameter. Based on the retrieved metallicity for the dayside spectrum, the metallicity was held fixed to [M/H]=0.7 (that is 5× solar) for all other orbital phases. Last row gives the results of an additional retrieval performed as a check for phase $\phi=0.95$ with [M/H] treated as a free parameter.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Spectrally active gases on the nightside (top panel) and dayside (bottom panel) hemispheres. Solid lines show absorption cross-sections for spectrally active species weighted by their mole fractions at pressures just below the contribution function peaks, as inferred by the ATMO ‘2x PT’ retrieval analyses. Dashed grey lines show blackbody emission curves for the retrieved temperatures at the same pressure levels.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Emission spectra at different orbital phases for WASP-121b. Grey circles show measured planet emission as a function of wavelength with error bars indicating 1σ measurement uncertainties. Solid orange and dark blue lines show the maximum likelihood spectra obtained from the ATMO ‘2x PT’ retrievals and NEMESIS ‘2x PT’ retrievals, respectively. Dotted purple lines show dayside contributions for the phases at which retrievals were performed for the nightside emission. Solid light green line shows the emission predicted by the 3D GCM run for the present study assuming 1x solar metallicity. Dashed red and blue lines show the emission predicted by the 3D GCM simulations of ref. 9 assuming 1x and 5x solar metallicity, respectively. Dot-dashed purple lines show best-fit blackbody spectra. Circle symbols indicate the illuminated fraction of the visible hemisphere at each orbital phase.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Pressure-temperature (PT) profiles retrieved for different orbital phases. Blue lines show the median PT profiles obtained from the ATMO ‘2x PT’ nightside retrievals, with blue shading the corresponding 1σ credible ranges. Red lines and shading show the same for the ATMO ‘2x PT’ dayside retrievals. Green and yellow lines and shading show the same for the NEMESIS ‘2x PT’ nightside and dayside retrievals, respectively. For the ATMO retrievals, thick lines correspond to the same pressures highlighted in Fig. 3, where the contribution function is greatest. For the NEMESIS retrievals, thick lines indicate the equivalent pressures of greatest contribution for those retrievals (Extended Data Fig. 8d). Grey lines reproduce the fiducial dayside and nightside PT distributions of Fig. 3.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Retrieved pressure-dependent H₂O abundances. Same format as Extended Data Fig. 5, but showing posterior distributions for the retrieved H₂O abundances. ATMO credible ranges are much narrower than those of NEMESIS, due to the metallicity being fixed for the ATMO retrievals whereas the H₂O abundance was unconstrained for the NEMESIS retrievals.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Retrieved pressure-dependent H− abundances. Same format as Extended Data Figs. 5 and 6, but showing posterior distributions for the retrieved H− abundances.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | ATMO and NEMESIS ‘2x PT’ retrievals for the fiducial dayside and nightside spectra. Top panel shows (from left to right) the retrieved PT profiles, H$_2$O abundances, H$^-$ abundances, and normalised contribution functions for the fiducial dayside spectrum. Bottom panel shows the same for the fiducial nightside spectrum. Same colour scheme as Extended Data Figs 5-7.