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Differentiation between suture recurrence and suture granuloma after pulmonary resection for lung cancer by diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging or FDG-PET / CT
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A B S T R A C T

There has been no publication which supports the usefulness of DWI differentiating for suture recurrence and suture granuloma after resection for lung cancer. We presented efficacy of DWI or FDG-PET/CT for an assessment of suture lesions after resection for lung cancer. Thirteen suture recurrences and 15 suture granulomas were examined. There were 24 adenocarcinomas and 4 squamous cell carcinomas, and 26 partial resections and 2 segmentectomies. The period of time (907±907 days) between surgery and suture recurrence was not significantly longer than that (546±547 days) between surgery and suture granuloma. Diffusion detectability scores (a 5-point scale) of suture recurrences was significantly higher than that of suture granulomas. The ADC value (1.35±0.24 × 10⁻³mm²/sec) of suture recurrences was significantly lower than that (1.85±0.60 × 10⁻³mm²/sec) of suture granulomas. The SUVmax (6.1±5.0) of suture recurrences was not significantly higher than that (4.2±2.5) of suture granulomas. The sensitivity of 85% (11/13) with DWI was not significantly higher than 69% (9/13) with FDG-PET/CT for suture recurrences. The specificity of 73% (11/15) with DWI was not significantly higher than the 60% (9/15) with FDG-PET/CT for suture granulomas. The accuracy of 79% (22/28) with DWI was not significantly higher than that of 64% (18/28) with FDG-PET/CT for suture recurrences and granulomas. DWI can differentiate suture granuloma from suture recurrence after resection of lung cancer, DWI is more useful than FDG-PET/CT for the differentiation between suture recurrence and suture granuloma after resection for lung cancer.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths and has many patterns of progression and treatment responses. Suture recurrences after a limited resection for lung cancer have been increasing [1,2]. Suture recurrences after surgery of cancer were detected by FDG-PET/CT and were problems for treatment [3]. On the other hand, suture granulomas developed at the surgical margin of neoplasms were also reported [4]. A suture granuloma was reported to be false-positive by FDG-PET/CT [5,6]. It is difficult to determine whether new suture lesions after pulmonary resection for lung cancer can be differentiated for benignity or malignancy by CT or PET-CT [6,7]. When there was an FDG uptake at the suture site after resection of cancer, the possibility of postoperative suture granuloma should be considered [8,9]. We have to avoid unnecessary operations for suture granuloma. We reported that diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) was a useful ex-
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amination for the detection of recurrence or metastasis from lung cancer [10]. To our knowledge, there has been no publication which supports the usefulness of DWI differentiating for suture recurrence and suture granuloma after pulmonary resection for lung cancer. DWI has been used to detect the restricted diffusion of water molecules. The principal of DWI utilizes the random motion of water molecules in biological tissues [11]. Its apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value shows a quantitative parameter of the diffusion of water molecules in biological tissues, and is usually significantly lower in malignant tumors compared with normal tissues or benign lesions [12]. DWI holds great potential for monitoring treatment response in cancer patients shortly after initiation of radiotherapy [13].

In this paper, we presented diagnostic efficacies of DWI or FDG-PET/CT for an assessment of new suture lesions after pulmonary resection for lung cancer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Eligibility

The Institutional Review Board in Kanazawa Medical University approved our study for examining patients with thoracic diseases with DWI and FDG-PET/CT (the approval number: No. 1302). Due to contraindication some patients with metal or pacemakers in their body or tattoos did not qualify for the study. After explaining the risks and benefits of the study informed consent was obtained from each participating patient.

2.2. Patients

During 8 years from Jan 2010 to Dec 2017, there were 17 pneumectomies, 9 bilobectomies, 347 lobectomies, 31 segmentectomies and 117 partial resections for patients with lung cancer.

The patients who underwent a partial resection or a segmentectomy for lung cancer, and had a new suture lesion which was examined by magnetic resonance diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and FDG-PET/CT were enrolled in this study. Finally 28 patients (19% of patients with a segmentectomy or a partial resection) were enrolled in this study (Table 1). For the follow-up after pulmonary resection, CT examinations were performed every 6 months, DWI and FDG-PET/CT were performed when a new lesion was developed. There were 18 males and 10 females, and their mean age was 74 years (range 57 - 85). There were 24 adenocarcinomas and 4 squamous cell carcinomas. There were 26 partial resections and 2 segmentectomies.

2.3. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

All MR images were produced with a 1.5 T superconducting magnetic scanner (Magnetom Avanto; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with two anterior six-channel body phased-array coils and two posterior spinal clusters (six-channels each). The conventional MR images consisted of a coronal T1-weighted spin-echo sequence and coronal and axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo sequences. DWIs using a single-shot echoplanar method were applied with slice thickness of 6 mm under SPIAIR (spectral attenuated inversion recovery) with respiratory triggered scan with the following parameter: TR/TE/flip angle, 3000–4500/65/90; diffusion gradient encoding in three orthogonal directions; b value = 0 and 800 s/mm²; field of view, 350 mm; matrix size, 128 × 128. After image reconstruction, a 2-dimensional (2D) round or elliptical region of interest (ROI) was drawn on the lesion which was detected visually on the ADC map with reference to T2-weighted or CT image by a radiologist (M.D.) with 25 years of MRI experience who was unaware of the patients’ clinical data. The procedure was repeated three times and the minimum ADC value was obtained. The radiologist (M.D.) and one pulmonologist (K.U.) with 28 years of experience evaluated the MRI data. They eventually reached the same consensus. When a positive diffusion was identified on DWI, diffusion detectability scores were classified with a variation of the Soo Yeon Hahn model on a 5-point scale as follows: 1=not detectable, 2=slightly detectable, 3=fairly detectable, 4=moderately detectable, and 5=Highly detectable. [7] (Fig. 1).

2.4. FDG-PET / CT

FDG-PET scanning was performed with a dedicated PET camera (SIEMENS Biograph Sensation 16, Erlangen Germany) before surgery. All patients fasted for 6 h before scanning. The dose of 18F-FDG administered was 3.7MBq/Kg of body weight. After a 60- min uptake period, an emission scan was acquired for 3 min per bed position and a whole-body scan (from head to pelvis) was performed. After image reconstruction, a 2-dimensional (2D) round region of interest (ROI) was drawn on a slice after visual detection of the highest count on the fused CT image by a radiologist (N.W.) with 29 years of radioisotope scintigraphy and PET-CT experience who was unaware of the patients’ clinical data. For the lesions with negative or faintly positive PET findings, the ROI was drawn on the fusion image with the corresponding CT. From those ROI, the maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) was calculated.

2.5. Pathological finding and clinical diagnosis

All the suture recurrences were confirmed by bronchoscopic examination or additional resection. Suture granulomas were judged based on pathology or a decrease in size during follow-up examinations.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The data is expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. A two-tailed Student t-test was applied for comparison of values. Chi-square test was used for comparison of ratios. A receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC curve) was constructed according to the ADC value and SUV max using GraphPad Prism (Version 5.02, GraphPad Software, Inc. La Jolla, CA, USA), and the cutoff values for a differential diagnosis were determined. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of DWI versus FDG-PET/CT for suture lesion after resection of lung cancer were compared using the McNemar test. The statistical analyses were performed using the computer software program StatView for Windows (Version 5.0; SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA). A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

The ROC curve for the ADC value for differential diagnosis of suture lesions in DWI revealed the optimal cutoff value was 1.5 × 10⁻³mm²/sec (Fig. 2a). The ROC curve for the SUVmax for differential diagnosis of suture lesions in FDG-PET/CT revealed the optimal cutoff value was 3.4 (Fig. 2b).

| Table 1 | Patients characteristics and previous records of operation. |
|---|---|
| **Age** | **64 - 85** | **Mean 68** |
| **Sex** | Male | 18 |
| | Female | 10 |
| **Cell type** | Adenoca. | 24 |
| | Squamous cell ca. | 4 |
| **Operation** | Partial resection | 26 |
| | Segmentectomy | 2 |
| **Pathological T** | T1a | 6 |
| | T1b | 12 |
| | T1c | 3 |
| | T2a | 5 |
| | T2b | 2 |
| **Pathological stage** | IA1 | 6 |
| | IA2 | 12 |
| | IA3 | 3 |
| | IB | 5 |
| | IIA | 2 |
Fig. 1. Diffusion detectability scores were classified with a variation of the Soo Yeon Hahn model on a 5-point scale as follows: 1=not detectable, 2=slightly detectable, 3=fairly detectable, 4=moderately detectable, and 5=Highly detectable [7].

Fig. 2. a: The ROC curve for the ADC value for differential diagnosis of suture lesions in DWI: the optimal cutoff value $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec. Area under the ROC curve: 0.772. b: The ROC curve for the SUVmax for differential diagnosis of suture lesions in PET-CT: the optimal cutoff value 3.4. Area under the ROC curve 0.596.
On suture. The period of suture recurrence (907±907 days) was likely to be longer than that (546±447 days) of suture granuloma, but there were no significant differences (p = 0.21).

In 28 suture lesions after pulmonary resection of lung cancer, 13 lesions of suture recurrences were confirmed by bronchoscopic examination or additional resection. The remaining 15 suture lesions were judged as suture granuloma based on bronchoscopic examinations or a decrease in size during follow-up (Table 2). There were no significant differences in sex, cell type, operation and pStage between suture granulomas and suture recurrences. The size of suture lesions was 10 to 48 mm (21±11 mm). Lesion sizes (24.8±12.9 mm) of suture recurrences were likely to be larger than that (18.5±8.3 mm) of suture granulomas, but there were no significant differences (p = 0.19). Period of time (907±907 days) between surgery and suture recurrence was likely to be longer than (546 ± 547 days) between surgery and suture granuloma, but there were no significant differences (p = 0.21) (Fig. 3).

Several cases are presented in Figs. 4–6. In Fig. 4, a 67-year-old male underwent partial resection of right upper lobe for adenocarcinoma. One year and 10 months after the resection, a new shadow at the suture had developed. Its DWI diffusion detectability score was “moderately detectable,” and the ADC was 1.464×10−3 mm²/sec on ADC map, meeting malignancy requirements. A right upper lobectomy was performed and it was revealed to be a suture recurrence of adenocarcinoma. In Fig. 5, a 78-year-old male underwent partial resection of the right lower lobe (S10) for squamous cell carcinoma. A new shadow at the suture (S10) showed “slightly detectable” on the diffusion detectability score. The ADC was 2.079×10−3 mm²/sec on the ADC map, meeting benignity requirements. The new shadow had decreased in size in 10 months and judged to be a suture granuloma. In Fig. 6, a 70-year-old male under-

| Table 2 | Patients characteristic based on suture lesions. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Suture granuloma | Suture recurrence | Total cases |
| Sex     | Male            | 10               | 8            | 18           |
|         | Female          | 5                | 5            | 10           |
| Cell type| Adenocarcinoma | 13               | 11           | 24           |
|         | Squamous cell ca. | 2               | 2            | 4            |
| Operation| Partial resection | 13              | 13           | 26           |
|         | Segmentectomy   | 2                | 0            | 2            |
| pStage  | IA1             | 4                | 2            | 6            |
|         | IA2             | 6                | 6            | 12           |
|         | IA3             | 2                | 1            | 3            |
|         | IB              | 3                | 2            | 5            |
|         | IIA             | 0                | 2            | 2            |
| Size of suture lesion (mm) | (mean±S.D.) | 18.5±8.3 | 24.8±12.9 | p = 0.19 |

Fig. 4. A 67 year-old male underwent partial resection of right upper lobe for adenocarcinoma. One year and 10 months after the resection, a new shadow at the suture had developed. C: PET-CT showed a slight accumulation (SUVmax 4.1) of FDG. e: Diffusion detectability score was “moderately detectable”. e: ADC was 1.464×10−3 mm²/sec on ADC map. f: HE stain of the suture recurrence (adenocarcinoma).
78-year-old male underwent partial resection of right lower lobe (S10) for squamous cell carcinoma. a: A new shadow at the suture (S10) on CT. b: The lesion had SUV max of 4.84 in FDG-PET/CT. c: The lesion was only slightly visible on DWI thus scoring “slightly detectable” on the diffusion detectability score. d: ADC of the suture was $2.079 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec on ADC map. e: The new shadow had decreased in size in 10 months and judged to be a suture granuloma.

A 70-year-old male underwent right S3 segmentectomy for adenocarcinoma. Five months after the resection, a new shadow at the suture had developed on CT (a, b) and FDG-PET/CT (c). d: Classifying this as “slightly detectable” on the diffusion detectability score. e: ADC of the shadow at the suture was $2.612 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec on ADC map. The new shadow at the stump had decreased in size, and judged to be a suture granuloma.

Diffusion detectability scores based on suture lesions were presented in Table 3. For 13 lesions of suture recurrence, there were 8 lesions of a 2 “slightly detectable”, 3 lesion of a 1 “not detectable,” 2 lesion of a 3 “fairly detectable”, 1 lesion of a 4 “moderately detectable” and 1 lesion of a 5 “highly detectable.” On the other hand, for the remaining 15 lesions of suture granuloma, there were 8 lesions of a 2 “slightly detectable”, 3 lesion of a 1 “not detectable,” 2 lesion of a 3 “fairly detectable”, 1 lesion of a 4 “moderately detectable” and 1 lesion of a 5 “highly detectable.” The diffusion detectability scores of the 13 lesion of suture recurrence was a significantly higher than that of the 15 lesions of suture granuloma in Chi-square test ($p=0.0019$). The ADC value ($1.35 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec) of the 13 suture recurrences was significantly lower than that ($1.85 \pm 0.60 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec) of the 15 suture...
### Table 3
Diffusion detectability cores based on malignancy or benignity.

| Suture lesion | Diffusion detectability | Total cases |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|               | 1 not detectable        | 2 slightly detectable | 3 fairly detectable | 4 moderately detectable | 5 highly detectable |
| recurrence    | 9                       | 8            | 1              | 8                     | 13                |
| granuloma     | 3                       | 8            | 2              | 1                     | 15                |
| Total cases   | 9                       | 8            | 6              | 2                     | 9                 |

Chi-square test $p = 0.0019$.

Fig. 7. Difference of ADC value between suture granuloma and suture recurrence of lung cancer. ADC value ($1.35 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec) of suture recurrence was significantly lower than that ($1.85 \pm 0.60 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/sec) of suture granuloma ($P = 0.012$).

### Table 4
Comparison of sensitivities between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for 13 suture recurrences after surgery of lung cancer in the McNemar test.

|                  | DWI | FDG-PET/CT |
|------------------|-----|------------|
|                  | True-positive | False-negative |
| DWI              | 7   | 4          |
| Total            | 9   | 4          |

$P = 0.453$.

### Table 5
Comparison of specificities between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for 15 suture granulomas after surgery of lung cancer in the McNemar test.

|                  | DWI | FDG-PET/CT |
|------------------|-----|------------|
|                  | True-negative | False-positive |
| DWI              | 8   | 3          |
| Total            | 9   | 6          |

$P = 0.625$.

### Table 6
Comparison of accuracies between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for 28 suture lesions after surgery of lung cancer in the McNemar test.

|                  | Correct | Incorrect |
|------------------|---------|-----------|
| DWI              | 15      | 7         |
| Total            | 18      | 10        |

$P = 0.343$.

Concerning specificities between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for 15 suture granulomas, 8 (53%) were TN with DWI and FDG-PET/CT, 3 (20%) were TN with DWI but FP with FDG-PET/CT, and 4 (25%) were FP with DWI but FN with FDG-PET/CT (Table 5). The specificity 73% (11/15) of DWI was likely to be higher than 60% (9/15) of FDG-PET/CT for suture granulomas after surgery of lung cancer, but not significant on the McNemar test ($P = 0.625$).

Concerning accuracies between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for all 28 patients with the suture lesions after surgery of lung cancer, 15 (53%) were correct with DWI and FDG-PET/CT, 7 (25%) were correct with DWI but incorrect with FDG-PET/CT, and 3 (11%) were correct with FDG-PET/CT but incorrect with DWI, and 3 (11%) were incorrect with both DWI and FDG-PET/CT (Table 6). The accuracy 79% (22/28) of DWI was likely to be

---

granulomas ($P = 0.012$) (Fig. 7). The SUVmax (6.1 ± 5.0) of the 13 suture recurrences was likely to be higher than that (4.2 ± 2.5) of the 15 suture granulomas, but there were no significant differences ($P = 0.29$) (Fig. 8).
higher than 64% (18/28) of FDG-PET/CT for the 28 suture lesions, but not significant on the McNemar test (P = 0.343).

4. Discussion

Diagnosis of suture lesion after pulmonary resection for lung cancer was reported to be difficult [14]. Although much progress has been made in imaging techniques, especially in the promising field of FDG-PET/CT, these procedures should be still investigated in order to rule out suture granulomas and avoid unnecessary surgery [15]. FDG-PET/CT is the most sensitive non-invasive imaging method for the detection of tumor metastasis and recurrence, but sometimes reveals false-positive results [16]. In various organs, there were several false-positive case reports of suture granuloma by FDG-PET/CT developed at surgical margin of neoplasms in the lung [4,16–21], in the oral cavity [22], in the thyroid [23,24], and in the neck [25,26], in the stomach [27,28], in the colon [8,9,29] and in the ovary and testes [15,30]. It should be emphasized that suture granulomas can show false-positive findings on FDG-PET/CT, thus requiring differential diagnosis from recurrent tumors [26]. Surgeons must bear in mind that false-positive FDG-PET/CT results can be observed in suture granuloma [27]. In order to avoid unnecessary operations, the differential diagnosis between postoperative suture granulomas and suture recurrence is important [23].

DWI could differentiate malignancy from benignity based on diffusion. MRI could have detected recurrences of cancer in hepatocellular carcinoma [31], in lung cancer [10]. When comparing ADC values according to local recurrence, the mean ADC value for the local recurrence-free group was 1770 × 10^{-3} mm^2/s (range 1038–305 × 10^{-3} mm^2/s) versus 981 × 10^{-3} mm^2/s (range 926.6–1065 × 10^{-3} mm^2/s) for the local recurrence group (P = 0.0014) [32]. In this study, diffusion detectability cores had a significant value for distinguishing suture granuloma from suture recurrence of lung cancer. Furthermore, the ADC value had a significant value for distinguishing suture granuloma from suture recurrence from lung cancer. DWI can be a valuable examination for distinguishing suture granuloma from suture recurrence after resection of lung cancer. Not only in this study but also Matsuoka et al. [6], FDG-PET/CT did not differentiate suture granuloma from suture recurrence of lung cancer. FDG-PET/CT will be thought to be a secondary recommended examination next to DWI in this situation.

In this study, patients with a suture recurrence had a tendency for a longer period of time (907 ± 907 days) after surgery than that (546 ± 547 days) of patients with a suture granuloma. 2 years and a half after surgery, a new suture lesion would be likely to be a suture recurrence rather than a suture granuloma.

MRIs have several benefits. MRI involves no contrast agents and requires less time for the examination. Furthermore, MRI has no radiation exposure and is preferable for the examinations of children who would react negatively to radiation [33]. On the other hand, there are some negative points with MRIs. An MRI examination is prohibited for people who have devices such as pacemakers or tattoos, and who suffer from claustrophobia. An MRI examination is loud which is uncomfortable for many patients.

DWI has two limitations. First, one of benign lesions which showed restricted diffusion and lower ADC values in DWI is a pulmonary abscess with histopathological necrosis. Abscesses and thrombi impede the diffusivity of water molecules because they have a hyperviscous nature [34,35]. The heavily impeded water mobility of pus may be caused by its high cellularity and viscosity, and shows the low ADC values [36]. Second, in DWI mucinous carcinomas were usually hypointense and showed higher ADC values, which could be misjudged as benign lesions in DWI [37].

This study has several limitations. This study was performed at a single institution and dealt with a small number of patients. We could not get consent forms from all the patients who developed suture lesions after pulmonary resection for lung cancer. At the result our sample size was limited. Diffusion detectability scores would be affected by respiratory movement, cardiac vibration and intrapulmonary air. In this study the size of suture lesions were 10 to 48 mm (21 ± 11 mm), and there was a possibility that smaller suture lesions would not be expressed accurately on the diffusion detectability score.

DWI is a non-invasive method to distinguish suture granuloma from suture recurrence after resection of lung cancer. For widespread clinical applications, DWI examinations will be most suitable to suture lesions after surgery of lung cancer for the patients who do not have devices such as pacemakers, tattoos or claustrophobia. What is possibly the largest barrier to the widespread clinical application is lack of knowledge on the part of doctors on how useful DWI is.

Further research with a larger sample size will be necessary for the conclusion of the differential efficacy of DWI in diagnosing new suture lesions after surgery of malignant tumors. Also, with a larger sample size the comparison of the differential diagnosis for new suture lesions after surgery of lung cancers between DWI and FDG-PET/CT will be necessary, because due to the small sample size, there were not significant differences of sensitivities, specificities or accuracies between DWI and FDG-PET/CT for suture lesions after surgery of lung cancers. We believe that further research into the potential of DWI may result in a new powerful examination tool for the differentiation of new suture lesions after surgery of malignant tumors.

5. Conclusions

Additional DWI examination will be recommended to avoid unnecessary operations for new suture lesions after resection of malignant diseases. In these cases DWI showed to be more useful than FDG-PET / CT for the differentiation between suture recurrence and suture granuloma after pulmonary resection for lung cancer.
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