Epigenome-Wide DNA Methylation in Hearing Ability: New Mechanisms for an Old Problem
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Abstract

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression has been shown to change over time and may be associated with environmental exposures in common complex traits. Age-related hearing impairment is a complex disorder, known to be heritable, with heritability estimates of 57–70%. Epigenetic regulation might explain the observed difference in age of onset and magnitude of hearing impairment with age. Epigenetic epidemiology studies using unrelated samples can be limited in their ability to detect small effects, and recent epigenetic findings in twins underscore the power of this well matched study design. We investigated the association between venous blood DNA methylation epigenome-wide and hearing ability. Pure-tone audiometry (PTA) and Illumina HumanMethylation array data were obtained from female twin volunteers enrolled in the TwinsUK register. Two study groups were explored: first, an epigenome-wide association scan (EWAS) was performed in a discovery sample (n = 115 subjects, age range: 47–83 years, Illumina 27 k array), then replication of the top ten associated probes from the discovery EWAS was attempted in a second unrelated sample (n = 203, age range: 41–86 years, Illumina 450 k array). Finally, a set of monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs (n = 21 pairs) within the discovery sample (Illumina 27 k array) was investigated in more detail in an MZ discordance analysis. Hearing ability was strongly associated with DNA methylation levels in the promoter regions of several genes, including TCF25 (cg01161216, r = 6.6×10⁻⁵), FGFR1 (cg15791248, p = 5.7×10⁻⁷) and POLE (cg18877514, p = 6.3×10⁻⁸). Replication of these results in a second sample confirmed the presence of differential methylation at TCF25 (p(replication) = 6×10⁻⁵) and POLE (p(replication) = 0.016). In the MZ discordance analysis, twins’ intrapair difference in hearing ability correlated with DNA methylation differences at ACP6 (cg01377755, r = −0.75, p = 1.2×10⁻⁴) and MEF2D (cg08156349, r = −0.75, p = 1.4×10⁻⁴). Examination of gene expression in skin, suggests an influence of differential methylation on expression, which may account for the variation in hearing ability with age.
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Introduction

The term epigenetics [1] refers to the regulation of gene expression primarily by DNA methylation and changes to DNA folding. Epigenetics plays an important role in gene expression regulation and cell differentiation in the developing organism [2,3]. While the genetic code is fixed, epigenetic changes may be dynamic and have been shown to change during a lifetime [4]. DNA methylation is one of the most commonly studied epigenetic changes and involves the addition of a methyl-group to the 5th carbon molecule of a cytosine base, generating 5-methyl-cytosine. This stable modification occurs primarily at the CpG dinucleotide, but has also been detected at CpH sides, where H can stand for C, A or T. Each diploid human genome contains on average 10⁸ cytosines, of which about 10⁷ are combined with guanine as CpG dinucleotide [5]. CpG dinucleotides often cluster in CpG-islands in the promoter region of genes. The majority of CpG islands in promoters are unmethylated. DNA methyl-transferases are responsible for de novo methylation of DNA [6] and facilitating stable transmission of epigenetic marks during cell division [7].

Epigenetic changes can be influenced by both environmental exposure [4,8] and genetic variation [9]. Therefore, samples for studying the association of methylation with any given trait should ideally be matched for genetic and environmental variation. This could best be achieved by using family data or monozygotic twins, which are assumed to be genetically identical and well matched for environmental exposures [10]. Family and twin studies have identified differentially methylated regions associated with age [11].
and multiple complex traits [4,12] and have further been used to estimate rates of heritability in DNA methylation [8,11]. Current advances in technology allow for high-resolution screening of DNA methylation profiles across the genome. Multiple platforms exist, but to date the majority of studies have successfully used the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k and HumanMethylation 450 k Bead Chips to assay genome-wide DNA methylation profiles across individuals [11,13].

Age-related hearing impairment (ARHI) is a common complex trait affecting 46% of the population over the age of 48 [14]. Epigenetic changes in the ageing ear have been proposed to account for age-related changes to hearing ability and syndromic forms of hearing loss [15,16]. Changes in DNA methylation are influenced by environmental exposure and could therefore provide the essential link between the environment and changes in gene expression. Furthermore, epigenetic changes with age could explain how a previously healthy individual develops hearing loss with age. Several forms of syndromic hearing loss, such as Rett and Stickler syndrome, have been associated with epigenetic change [17,18,19]. Here, an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of hearing ability was performed, the first EWAS of ARHI to our knowledge. This research aimed to determine significant associations of differentially methylated regions with age. Several forms of syndromic hearing loss, such as Rett and Stickler syndrome, have been associated with epigenetic change [17,18,19].

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the National Research Ethics service London-Westminster REC reference number: 07/H0802/84. Fully informed written consent was obtained from all participants prior to study conduction. All research described was conducted according to the rules described in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Subjects

Hearing data in form of air-conduction PTA was collected from participants of the TwinsUK cohort between 2009 and 2013. Hearing thresholds were determined at frequencies 0.125–8 kHz for each ear according to the recommendations of the British Society of Audiology [20]. Pure-tone audiometry information was summarised by principal component analysis (PCA) [23]. All participants completed a questionnaire covering exposure to environmental risk factors for ARHI and previous ear diseases. Subjects reporting a family history of hereditary hearing loss or signs of conductive hearing loss were excluded from the analysis.

DNA methylation profiles

Whole blood samples for DNA methylation screening were profiled using two different DNA methylation assays, the Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k BeadChip (26,690 CpG sites) and the Infinium HumanMethylation 450 k BeadChip Kit. In both arrays, the DNA methylation level at a specific CpG site is expressed as the β value, which represents the ratio of the methylated probe signal over the methylated and unmethylated probe signals. The β score ranges from 0 to 1, where 0 indicates absence of methylation and 1 represents a fully methylated CpG site.

The Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k array measures methylation at 27,578 CpG sites, covering 14,495 genes. This array covers primarily CpG sites located in promoter regions of genes with an average two CpG sites per consensus coding sequence and three to twenty assays per cancer gene [21]. The Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 450 k array covers 485,577 methylation sites in 99% of RefSeq genes (21,231 genes) with an average of 17.2 CpG sites per gene region [22].

To identify potential confounders of the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k array, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the normalised DNA methylation values. The first five principal components resulting from this analysis were correlated with following covariates: chronological age, methylation chip and position of sample on the chip. Both methylation chip and position of sample on the chip were significantly correlated with the first two principal components from this analysis and therefore included as fixed effects in further analysis [11]. The same procedure was performed for the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 450 k array with covariates age, chip, position of sample on the chip and bisulphite converted DNA concentration levels. Chip, position on the chip and bisulphite converted DNA concentration levels were significantly associated with the first 3 principal components and were therefore included as fixed effects in the linear mixed effects models.

Epigenome-wide association study

The discovery EWAS of hearing was performed in 115 adult female subjects with available PTA data and Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k profiles [11]. The DNA methylation profiles used in the EWAS were obtained from 26,690 DNA methylation probes, which mapped uniquely to the human genome (hg18) [9]. After further exclusion of probes mapping to the X-chromosome and probes with missing data, 24,641 autosomal probes remained for the EWAS [11]. The Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k profiles have been published previously [11]. DNA methylation was transformed to a standard normal distribution per probe using quantile normalisation. To determine the association between hearing ability and DNA methylation a linear mixed effect model was applied. DNA methylation levels at each CpG site were regressed against hearing ability (PC1), with adjustment for age, methylation chip, order of samples on the chip and twin relatedness. To exclude associations with DNA methylation due to covariates other than hearing, the full model was compared to a null model, excluding hearing as a predictor variable. The null and the full model were compared for model fit in an analysis of variance (ANOVA). Only associations where the full model fitted the data significantly better (p<0.05) than the null model were reported. For each significantly associated probe, the effect size (beta), standard error of effect (se) and the p-value from the analysis of variance comparing full and null model were reported. To confirm that the regions of association were not age-dependent differentially methylated regions (age DMRs), models including and excluding age as a fixed effect were compared. Furthermore, associated probes were checked against previously reported age DMRs [11]. In addition, to exclude an underlying association between genetic (rather than epigenetic) variation and PC1, genetic variants in the DMR genomic loci were tested for association with PC1, in a PC1 genome-wide association scan from the TwinsUK cohort (n=1028). To adjust for multiple testing in the EWAS initially a Bonferroni corrected significance threshold assuming 24,641 independent tests (p = 0.05/24641 = 2.03×10^{-5}) was assumed epigenome-wide significant. Furthermore, since the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation 27 k array contains on average 2 probes per promoter and high levels of co-methylation between nearby probes have previously been reported [9], we also considered 2 additional Bonferroni corrected thresholds: a genome-wide significant threshold correcting for 14,495 independent genes (p = 0.05/14495 = 3.45×10^{-5}) and a genome-wide
suggestive threshold correcting for 14,495 independent genes (p = 0.1/14,495 = 6.90 × 10^{-6}).

**Replication study**

The replication sample consisted of 203 females from the TwinsUK registry. For the replication study only the 10 probes most highly associated in the discovery EWAS were investigated, while the remaining 485,567 probes from the 450 k array were neglected. The 10 selected probes were examined for replication in the second sample using a linear mixed effect model. DNA methylation was transformed to standard normal per probe using a quantile normalisation. DNA methylation at each CpG site was regressed against hearing ability (PC1) with adjustment for age, methylation chip, order of samples on the chip, bisulfite conversion levels and twin relatedness. To exclude association with DNA methylation due to covariates, the full model was compared to a null model, in which hearing was excluded as a predictor variable. The null and the full models were compared for model fit in an analysis of variance. For each of the 10 probes, the effect size (beta), standard error of effect (se) and the p-value from the analysis of variance comparing full and null model were reported. Replication of association was considered if association was in the same direction and nominally significant (p ≤ 0.05). To confirm that replicating probes were not age-dependent DMRs, models including and excluding age as a fixed effect were compared. To determine the significance and effect of joint association signals in the discovery (27 k) and replication (450 k) samples, a meta-analysis was conducted for the ten most highly associated probes using METAL [23] based on the inverse-variance option.

**DMR validation using methylated DNA immunoprecipitation sequencing (MeDIPseq)**

To further validate the findings from the EWAS (27 k) and replication study (450 k) using an alternative technique, the top ranked DMR was also explored using methylated DNA immunoprecipitation followed by high throughput sequencing (MeDIPseq) data. The MeDIPseq validation sample consisted of 46 unrelated healthy females with PTA scores and previously published MeDIPseq profiles [24]. MeDIPseq DNA methylation levels were generated and quantified as previously described [24], and relative methylation scores in a 1 kb region on chr 16 (chr16: 88465901–88467500 on hg 18) overlapping probe cg01161216 (chr16: 88466949 on hg 18) were explored for association with PTA. A linear fixed effect model was applied, where the DNA methylation signal at the locus surrounding the chromosomal position of probe cg01161216 was regressed on hearing ability (PC1), adjusted for age. To exclude an association of DNA methylation with age, the full model was compared to a null model, excluding hearing as a predictor variable. The full and null models were compared for model fit using analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Whole blood cell subtype heterogeneity**

Previous studies have reported that association with DNA methylation measured in whole blood samples can be driven by blood cell subtype heterogeneity [25]. To adjust for this, eosinophil, lymphocyte, neutrophil and monocyte cell counts in the blood samples were included (as fixed effects) in the full and null models for the ten most highly associated probes. 106 out of 115 subjects had complete blood cell counts available and were included in this analysis.

**Exploring methylation changes in monozygotic twins**

Monozygotic twin pairs with PTA and Illumina HumanMethylation 27 k data were selected for the MZ discordance analysis (n = 21 pairs). Intra-pair DNA methylation difference per probe was calculated as the difference in DNA methylation residuals (adjusted for chip and position on the chip) between co-twins. DNA methylation residuals were calculated from quantile normalised β values per probe. Differences in DNA methylation were compared to differences in PC1 were using Spearman rank correlation.

**Effect of DNA methylation on gene expression**

To investigate the influence of DNA methylation on gene expression, expression levels in skin tissue collected as part of the Multiple Tissue Human Expression Resource (MuTHER) (http://www.murther.ac.uk) were examined [26]. Quantile normalised gene expression in skin was adjusted for experimental batch effect and RNA concentration in the tissue sample and residuals correlated with DNA methylation residuals (adjusted for chip and position on the chip) at the corresponding probes using Pearson correlation. Furthermore, skin expression residuals were correlated with PC1 values, to test for an effect of gene expression on the phenotype.

**Results**

**Subjects and phenotypes**

Two independent samples with hearing data and DNA methylation profiles were selected from the TwinsUK registry to perform the discovery EWAS (n = 115) using Illumina HumanMethylation 27 k profiles, and the replication EWAS (n = 203) using Illumina HumanMethylation 450 k profiles. Subjects included in the discovery EWAS had a mean age of 56.7 years (± 7.9 years of standard deviation from the mean, age range 33–80 years) and included 25 dizygotic twin (DZs) pairs, 21 monozygotic twin (MZs) pairs and 23 singletons. The replication sample included 203 females, comprising 61 MZ twin pairs, 22 DZ twin pairs and 37 unpaired twins (singletons), with a mean age of 63.21 (±3.07 years of standard deviation from the mean, age range 41–92 years). The discovery and replication samples are summarised in Table 1. Variance in PTA was summarised using principal component analysis, where PC1 represented the threshold shift over all frequencies (0.125–8.0 kHz) and captured 54.25% of the variance. A high PC1 score thus corresponded to reduced hearing ability [27].

**DNA methylation profiles**

Genome-wide DNA methylation levels were obtained in the set of 115 female twins using the Illumina 27 k array. The majority of autosomal CpG sites included in this analysis were unmethylated (β ≤ 0.3, 68.9% of probes), few probes were hemi-methylated (β: 0.3–0.7, 11.2% of probes) or fully methylated (β > 0.7, 19.9% of probes).

**Discovery EWAS**

Genome-wide DNA methylation levels at 24,461 autosomal probes were previously obtained in the set of 115 discovery female twins using the Illumina 27 k array [11] and compared to hearing ability. DNA methylation at 2,519 (out of 24,461) probes was nominally associated (ANOVA p-value ≤ 0.05) with hearing ability for PC1. A Manhattan plot of the EWAS for hearing PC1 is shown in Figure 1 and the strongest signal reachedBonferroni adjusted genome-wide suggestive evidence for association (p = 6.9 × 10^{-6}).
Table 1. Characteristics of the female TwinsUK samples.

| sample                  | age at hearing test mean ± sd | range | age at DNA extraction mean ± sd | range | PC1 ± sd |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|----------|
| discovery (27 k)        | 45.68 ± 6.69                 | 37.72 | 42.68 ± 8.88                   | 33.00 | 47.43    |
| DZ                      | 45.70 ± 7.35                 | 33.40 | 42.90 ± 7.91                   | 33.78 | 47.83    |
| singleton               | 45.61 ± 8.83                 | 37.73 | 45.82 ± 10.59                  | 33.78 | 47.83    |
| Total                   | 45.67 ± 7.91                 | 33.78 | 45.83 ± 10.58                  | 33.78 | 47.83    |
| validation (450 k)      | 50.16 ± 7.25                 | 40.02 | 50.09 ± 8.87                   | 33.78 | 41.46    |
| singleton               | 50.14 ± 7.25                 | 40.02 | 50.09 ± 8.87                   | 33.78 | 41.46    |
| total                   | 50.15 ± 7.25                 | 40.02 | 50.09 ± 8.87                   | 33.78 | 41.46    |

The most highly associated probe was cg01161216 which maps to the promoter region of transcription factor 25 (TCF25) (\(\beta = -0.243 \pm 0.05\), \(p = 6.6 \times 10^{-8}\)). Further associations were observed for CpG sites in the promoter regions of the phosphoglucomutase 3 (PGM3) gene (\(\beta = -0.26 \pm 0.06\), \(p = 4.5 \times 10^{-8}\)), the cysteine dioxygenase type 1 (CDO1) gene (\(\beta = -0.24 \pm 0.06\), \(p = 4.7 \times 10^{-8}\)), the nuclear complex associated 2 homolog (NOC2L) gene (\(\beta = -0.20 \pm 0.05\), \(p = 5.4 \times 10^{-8}\)), the myosin binding protein C (MYBPC3) gene (\(\beta = -0.19 \pm 0.05\), \(p = 5.4 \times 10^{-8}\)), the fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1) gene (\(\beta = -0.24 \pm 0.06\), \(p = 5.7 \times 10^{-8}\)), the DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit (POLE) gene (\(\beta = -0.16 \pm 0.04\), \(p = 6.3 \times 10^{-8}\)), the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A0 (HNRNPA0) gene (\(\beta = 0.14 \pm 0.03\), \(p = 6.9 \times 10^{-5}\)), and probe cg25017250 (\(\beta = -0.23 \pm 0.06\), \(p = 7.0 \times 10^{-5}\)) mapping to the apolipoprotein C-4 (APOC4) gene. The ten most highly associated EWAS probes are listed in Table 2.

After exclusion of chronological age as a fixed effect, association of DNA methylation with hearing PC1 remained significant for all of the ten most highly associated probes (Table 2).

Replication of highly associated EWAS probes

The ten most highly associated CpG probes from the discovery sample were examined in the replication sample (Table 1). Association between DNA methylation and PC1 was replicated at 2 probes - in the promoter regions of genes TCF25 and POLE (Table 2 and depicted in Figure 2). Figure 2 depicts the association between raw methylation betas with hearing PC1 at TCF25 and POLE in the discovery and replication samples. While probe cg01161216 (TCF25) was hypomethylated (\(\beta < 0.3\)) in all subjects, probe cg18877514 (POLE) was hypermethylated (\(\beta > 0.7\)) (Figure 2). The association between adjusted DNA methylation residuals and PC1 at TCF25 and POLE in the discovery and replication samples can be found in Figure S1. None of the replicating DMRs showed an underlying association of single nucleotide polymorphisms with PC1 200 kb up- and downstream of the respective genes (TCF25, POLE) in a genome-wide association study.

After exclusion of chronological age as a fixed effect, association of DNA methylation with PC1 remained significant at TCF25 and POLE (cg01161216: \(p \text{ (no age)} = 1.06 \times 10^{-3}\); cg18877514: \(p \text{ (no age)} = 2.83 \times 10^{-2}\)) (Table 2). To assess the behaviour of additional probes mapping to the TCF25 and POLE loci with respect to hearing, the association between DNA methylation and hearing PC1 was explored for all probes mapping to TCF25 and POLE according to hg19 (Figure 3). According to the locus plots, 3 further nominally significant associated DMRs (\(p < 0.05\)) mapped to each TCF25 and POLE.

Although DNA methylation was not found significantly associated at 8 out of 10 probes in the replication sample, DNA methylation at five further probes (cg25383093, cg19923810, cg1370143, cg15791248 and cg25017250) showed the same direction of effect as in the discovery sample (Table 2). In the meta-analysis of results from the 27 k and 450 k chips, DNA methylation at 7 out of 10 probes was nominally significantly associated with PC1 (Table 2), with differential DNA methylation at TCF25 showing the most significant association (cg01161216, \(p = 4.89 \times 10^{-5}\)) (Table 2).
Validation of TCF25 using MeDIPseq

To validate the peak EWAS DMR using a different technology, TCF25 DNA methylation levels based on MeDIPseq data were also explored for association with hearing in 46 unrelated females from TwinsUK [24]. The mean age of subjects in the validation sample was 62.28 (±7.86 years of standard deviation from the mean, age range 43–86 years) (Table 1). MeDIPseq DNA methylation levels at a 1 kb locus overlapping probe cg01161216 were selected and compared to PC1. DNA methylation at this locus was significantly associated with hearing PC1 ($p = 4.09 \times 10^{-2}$) and showed the same direction of effect ($\beta = 6.72 \times 10^{-1}$) as both the discovery EWAS and replication datasets.

Blood cell heterogeneity

To account for potential effects of blood cell heterogeneity, the peak DMRs were also explored for association with proportion of eosinophils, lymphocytes, neutrophils and monocytes in a subset of 106 subjects from the discovery sample. The ten most highly associated probes in the discovery EWAS remained significantly associated ($p < 0.005$) with PC1 after adjustment for blood cell heterogeneity.

Monozygotic co-twin study

MZ discordance analyses were performed in 21 female MZ twin pairs ($n = 42$) selected from the discovery sample with a mean age of 55.43 years ($\pm 9.3$ years of standard deviation, age range: 45–68 years) (Table 1). Mean intrapair difference in PC1 was $-0.42$ ($\pm 1.34$ sd, range: 3.47 and $-2.86$). The intra-pair differences in PC1 were compared with intra-pair differences in DNA methylation at 24,641 autosomal CpG sites. Of these, 794 CpG sites were nominally significant ($p < 0.05$). PC1 discordance was most strongly associated with differential methylation at lysophosphatidic acid phosphatase 6 ($ACP6$, cg01377755, $r = -0.75, p = 1.2 \times 10^{-2}$). Further strongly correlated differentially methylated genes included myocyte enhancer factor 2D ($MEF2D$, cg08156349, $r = -0.75, p = 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$), tachykinin precursor 1 ($TAC1$, cg07550362, $r = -0.72, p = 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$), ATPase family AAA domain-containing 3C ($ATAD3C$, cg27383362, $r = 0.70, p = 8.6 \times 10^{-2}$), brain-specific serine protease 3 ($PRSS12$, cg21208104, $r = 0.70, p = 6.3 \times 10^{-2}$), ADAM metallopeptidase domain 18 ($ADAM18$, cg23865651, $r = 0.68, p = 8.6 \times 10^{-1}$) and torsin family 1 member B ($TOR1B$, cg14299800, $r = 0.68, p = 9.1 \times 10^{-2}$) (Table 3).

Influence of DNA methylation on gene expression in skin

To investigate the influence of DNA methylation on gene expression, expression profiles in skin were explored because skin originates from the same embryonic tissues as the inner ear, and expression profiles were not available for the cochlea. For 172 individuals with 27 k array data, DNA methylation at the two replicating probes (cg01161216 and cg18877514) was examined for association with gene expression ($TCF25$ and $POLE$, respectively). After adjustment of both DNA methylation and skin expression for batch effects, DNA methylation residuals and gene

---

**Figure 1. Manhattan Plot of PC1 EWAS results.** The manhattan plot depicts the significance of association with PC1 as the negative logarithm of the p-value (-log(p-value)) versus the chromosomal location (chromosomes) for each of the 24,641 tested DNA-methylation probes. The red line defines a Bonferroni adjusted genome-wide suggestive significance threshold of $p = 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$. The ten most highly associated probes are located above the horizontal blue line corresponding to $p < 6.985 \times 10^{-7}$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105729.g001
### Table 2. Results of epigenome wide association of hearing PC1 for discovery and replication samples and their meta-analysis.

| probe     | gene   | 27 k (n = 115) | 450 k (n = 203) | meta-analysis (n = 318) |
|------------|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|            |        | beta  se  p-value | beta  se  p-value | dir beta  se  p-value |
| cg01161216 | TCF25  | -0.24454 0.05189 6.60E-06 | -0.12362 0.03087 8.55E-05 | - 0.1552 0.0265 4.89E-09 |
| cg25383093 | PGM3   | -0.26056 0.05631 4.46E-05 | -0.00816 0.03367 8.08E-01 | - 0.0746 0.0289 9.80E-03 |
| cg07644368 | CDO1   | -0.23819 0.05639 4.67E-05 | 0.02340 0.03877 5.50E-01 | + 0.0606 0.0319 5.80E-02 |
| cg19923810 | NOC2L  | -0.20035 0.04784 5.38E-05 | -0.02523 0.03111 4.26E-01 | - 0.0773 0.0261 3.05E-03 |
| cg21370143 | MYBPC3 | -0.19031 0.04546 5.44E-05 | -0.05170 0.03407 1.30E-01 | - 0.1016 0.0273 1.95E-04 |
| cg15791248 | FGFR1  | -0.24243 0.05799 5.73E-05 | -0.01488 0.03846 6.96E-01 | - 0.0844 0.0321 8.46E-03 |
| cg18877514 | POLE   | -0.16287 0.03931 6.33E-05 | -0.06827 0.02839 1.70E-02 | - 0.1007 0.0230 1.20E-05 |
| cg05934874 | VPS4B  | 0.19644 0.04751 6.55E-05 | -0.08786 0.02993 3.67E-03 | - 0.0071 0.0253 7.00E-01 |
| cg12241297 | HNRNPA0| 0.13623 0.03269 6.90E-05 | -0.06433 0.02882 2.65E-02 | + 0.0234 0.0216 2.80E-01 |
| cg25017250 | APOC4  | -0.23167 0.05495 6.98E-05 | -0.03949 0.03585 2.71E-01 | - 0.0969 0.0300 1.25E-03 |

The ten most highly associated differentially methylated regions in the discovery EWAS (27 k) are shown. Probes are characterised by the nearest gene, the association effect (beta), standard error of the effect (se) and significance of model fit (p-value). Significance of model fit excluding age as a model parameter (p-value (no age)) is reported for both the discovery (27 k) and replication (450 k) sample. The ten most highly associated probes were taken forward for replication (450 k) with effect (beta), standard error of the effect (se) and significance of model fit (p-value) listed. Results of the meta-analysis are presented as direction of effect (dir), combined effect (beta), combined standard error (se) and significance of the combined association (p-value). Association of DNA methylation and hearing PC1 was replicated for probes cg01161216 and cg18877514 (highlighted in bold).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105729.t002
Expression residuals showed a weak negative correlation for TCF25 (r = 0.02) (Figure 4, A) and POLE (r = 0.06) (Figure 4, B). In general, DNA methylation in whole blood was only weakly correlated with gene expression in skin tissue. Furthermore, the effect of gene expression levels of TCF25 and POLE on hearing ability was explored. Gene expression showed a weak positive correlation with PC1 values (TCF25: r = 0.12; POLE: r = 0.16) (Figure 4, Panel C and D).

**Discussion**

Changes in DNA methylation have been associated with increasing age and age-related disorders [11]. Here, for the first time the effect of genome-wide DNA methylation on hearing ability was investigated. Genome-wide association and candidate gene studies of hearing ability with age have yet to explain much of the estimated variance in this phenotype. Our approach identified
Epigenetic changes at a number of genes that were associated with hearing ability, and two of these changes in genes TCF25 and POLE replicated in an independent sample. DNA methylation levels at the strongest signal in TCF25 validated using an alternative method (MeDIPseq). Hearing PC1 was also strongly associated with DNA methylation at FGFR1, a gene known to be essential for maintenance of glial cells and cochlear neurons in the spiral ganglion [28]. These findings suggest that epigenetic changes may account for the variance in severity and age of onset of ARHI.

Nominal significant associations (p<0.05) with PC1, which represents the overall threshold shift in the pure-tone audiogram and hence impaired hearing ability, were identified at 2,519 CpG sites. The ten most highly associated probes remained nominally significant after exclusion of chronological age as a fixed effect in the model, showing that none of these associated probes are age-related differentially methylated probes. Furthermore association remained significant after adjustment for blood cell heterogeneity, indicating that blood cell subtypes were not driving these association signals. Two of the ten signals were replicated in a second independent sample. Changes in DNA methylation in the promoter region of TCF25 were highly associated with PC1 in both the discovery EWAS and the replication cohort, with meta-analysis p = 4.89×10^-7. The meta-analysis of the discovery and replication sample was conducted to determine the joint effect of both samples; nevertheless the results of this analysis were driven primarily by the discovery EWAS findings.

As both the discovery and replication data used the same array design from Illumina based on DNA hybridisation an alternative technique, MeDIPseq, was used to validate our findings. MeDIPseq in venous blood from 46 unrelated samples confirmed the association between hearing PC1 and DNA methylation levels at TCF25 (p = 0.04). This transcription factor belongs to the family of basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors, which is widely expressed in many organs including dorsal root ganglia in mouse embryos [29]; however mouse models of Tcf25 deficiency are not yet available. Over-expression of Tcf25 leads to increased cell death and binding to the X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein [30]. Using the ENCODE database [31], probe cg01161216 maps to an area with enhancer and promoter associated histone marks and transcription factor binding sites. Differential expression of TCF25 might be involved in increased cell death of sensory cells and neurons of the cochlea, resulting in ARHI.

We also identified a differentially methylated DNA methylation probe mapping to the promoter of the POLE gene. POLE is a DNA polymerase essential for elongation of the leading strand in cell division. In addition, POLE is involved in cell cycle regulation and therefore regulates a variety of cellular processes. According to UCSC browser and the ENCODE database [31], probe cg18877514 maps to an area rich in repeating elements with transcription factor binding sites. Pole knockout mice with a random gene disruption are embryonic lethal, while Pole targeted knock-in mice present with premature death due to cancer and increased tumourigenesis in general [32].

Among the top associations in the discovery EWAS was a DMR in the promoter of FGFR1, a gene known to be essential for maintenance of glial cells and cochlear neurons in the spiral ganglion [28]. However, our replication study did not confirm the differential methylation in the promoter of FGFR1 identified in the discovery EWAS, but the DMR did manifest the same direction of effect. This gene is of particular interest, having been associated with hearing ability in mice [28]. FGFR1 encodes a fibroblast growth factor receptor, reported to be essential for healthy development of the organ of Corti [33]. Conditional knockout of fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFR1 and FGFR2) in glial cells in the spiral ganglion resulted in loss of spiral ganglion neurons and age-related hearing loss in mice [28]. Our results show a negative association between DNA methylation at the promoter of FGFR1 and hearing PC1 (beta = -0.24±0.06 se), indicating that greater methylation (and expected reduced gene expression) of FGFR1 showed good hearing ability. This direction of effect is not consistent with that observed in mouse cochlea [28].

DNA methylation in the promoter of genes has been associated with repression of gene expression. At our peak DMR in TCF25, DNA methylation was found minimally negatively correlated with gene expression in skin (r = -0.02; POLE: r = -0.06) – the tissue with the most embryologic similarity to cochlea. However, DNA methylation may be highly tissue specific [34,35] and in this study DNA methylation was determined from whole blood samples. That gene expression in skin showed a weak positive correlation with hearing PC1 for both TCF25 (r = 0.12) and POLE (r = 0.16),

Table 3. Results of the MZ intra-pair difference association analysis.

| MZ pair difference analysis (n = 42) | probe | gene | rho | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-----|---------|
| cg01377755                        | ACP6  | 0.682| 9.13E-04 |
| cg08156349                        | MEF2D | 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg07550362                        | TAC1  | 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg27383362                        | ATAD3C| 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg21208104                        | PRSS12| 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg23566335                        | ADAM18| 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg22892904                        | CBX2  | 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg04283938                        | SEPT3 | 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg23886551                        | TMEM121| 0.684| 8.59E-04 |
| cg14299800                        | TOR1B | 0.684| 8.59E-04 |

This table shows the results for the MZ discordance analysis. Results are listed for the ten most highly correlated probes with corresponding gene, Spearman rank correlation coefficient (rho) and significance of correlation (p-value). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105729.t003
indicates that individuals with decreased hearing ability (high PC1 value) show higher RNA levels of *TCF25* and *POLE* in skin. Whether these findings pertain to RNA expression in the inner ear remains to be determined.

Monozygotic twin pairs are a preferred study sample for epigenetic studies as they are assumed to be genetically identical. In addition, both dizygotic and monozygotic twin pairs show an increased proportion of shared environment due to the nature of

Figure 4. Effect of DNA methylation on gene expression in skin and effect of gene expression on PC1. A. DNA methylation residuals showed a weak negative correlation ($r = -0.02$) with expression residuals of *TCF25* in skin samples. Both quantile normalised DNA methylation betas and quantile normalised gene expression values were adjusted for experimental batch effects (chip and position on the chip for methylation betas and experimental batch and RNA concentration for gene expression profiles) previous to analysis. The regression line (blue line) depicts the linear association between DNA methylation residuals and gene expression residuals. B. DNA methylation residuals at probe cg18877514 were weakly negatively correlated ($r = -0.06$) with *POLE* expression residuals in skin tissue. Both quantile normalised DNA methylation betas and quantile normalised gene expression values were adjusted for experimental batch effects (chip and position on the chip for methylation betas and experimental batch and RNA concentration for gene expression profiles) prior to analysis. The regression line (blue line) depicts the linear association between DNA methylation residuals and gene expression residuals. C. *TCF25* expression residuals in skin showed a weak positive correlation ($r = 0.12$) with PC1. Quantile normalised gene expression values were adjusted for experimental batch effects and RNA concentration. The regression line (blue line) depicts the linear association between gene expression residuals and PC1 values. D. *POLE* expression residuals in skin showed a weak positive correlation ($r = 0.16$) with PC1. Quantile normalised gene expression values were adjusted for experimental batch effects and RNA concentration. The regression line (blue line) depicts the linear association between gene expression residuals and PC1 values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105729.g004
their time shared in uterus and upbringing. The MZ discordance analysis was performed to best utilise the unique study sample presented here and for completeness. Nevertheless, the relatively low sample size and restricted discordance within the twin pairs limited the statistical power to detect strong epigenetic effects. Association was examined between intra-pair discordance for PC1 and intra-pair differences in DNA methylation at CpG sites genome-wide. The most highly associated probes were found in the promoters of ACP6 and MEF2D. The function of acidic phosphatase 6 is yet unknown and Acp6 knockout mice are described as phenotypically normal [32]. In contrast, myocyte enhancer factor 2D is a member of the myocyte enhancer factor family of transcription factors, which are involved in neuronal development and differentiation under regulation of class 2 histone deacetylases. MEF2D is expressed in mouse cochlear neurons and sensory cells at P15 and was diminished in IGF knockout mice, which show sensorineural hearing loss [36]. The data indicate that MEF2D is a plausible candidate gene for ARHI and may be under epigenetic control.

Our study has several strengths and limitations. DNA methylation is likely to play an important role in gene expression contributing to important phenotypic differences between tissues, between individuals and with age. Methods of analysis of methylation data are in their infancy: there are many important covariates to be considered. We elected to remove one of these, gender, by confining our studies to females, which predominate in the TwinsUK database. Thus our results pertain to women and may not extrapolate to men. Strengths included ability to exclude age and blood cell heterogeneity as potential confounders. The high proportion of related individuals in this sample reduced both the genetic and environmental variance compared to a population sample of unrelated individuals. Although the discovery and replication datasets were well matched for gender, ethnicity, age and hearing ability, the replication sample included by chance a higher proportion of monozygotic twin pairs (450 k sample: 60% MZs) compared to the discovery sample (27 k sample: 37% MZs), which might have resulted in the reduced significance of associations obtained in the replication sample. Association in the EWAS did not reach epigenome-wide significance by Bonferroni corrected significance levels (considering 24,641 independent tests; p≤2.03×10^-5). However, DNA methylation of neighbouring CpG sites is unlikely to be independent thus a Bonferroni correction may be considered overly stringent. Taking co-methylation into account by correcting for the number of genes, the peak DMR in TCF25 surpassed genome-wide suggestive evidence for association. Further limitations of the study included the choice of tissue: although DNA methylation is tissue specific, whole blood samples were used as an initial approach to this investigation because they were readily available and inner ear tissue from humans was not. In addition, discordance in hearing ability within TwinsUK monozygotic twin pairs was relatively limited. Finally, it should be noted that this study makes no assumptions about causal relationships between DNA methylation and ARHI. A longitudinal study design would be required to confirm that the methylation changes inferred by these results predated the onset of hearing impairment.

In conclusion, this is the first study investigating the association between hearing ability with age and DNA methylation genome-wide in humans. Strong associations with DNA methylation in the promoters of 10 genes were identified, of which two (TCF25 and POLE) were replicated in an independent cohort. Functional studies will be required to explore further the effect of epigenetic regulation of these genes in ARHI. Proof of epigenetic regulation in the development of ARHI would highlight the impact of changes in DNA methylation with age and therefore be of fundamental importance not only for hearing loss but also other age-related disorders.

**Supporting Information**

**Data S1** Replication study dataset (450 k). The replication study dataset shows DNA methylation betas at the 10 probes selected for replication from the Illumina HumanMethylation 450 k Beadchip for all subjects of the replication study (n = 203). Each subject has been allocated an anonymous identification number (PUBLIC.ID) and is presented by a family-identification number to identify twin siblings (family_zygosity), the age at hearing test (Age_pta), their hearing PC1 value (PC1_unadjusted), gender (SEX), age at DNA extraction (DNA_age), bisulphite conversion values (BSCng_ul), DNA methylation chip and order on the chip (chip and chipo, respectively).

**Figure S1** Association of hearing PC1 values and DNA methylation residuals at TCF25 (cg01161216) and POLE (cg18877514). A, B Hearing PC1 values were plotted versus DNA methylation beta residuals (adjusted for age, batch effects and relatedness) for both the discovery (27 k, red dots) and the replication (450 k, blue dots) samples. A linear regression lines was fitted for both datasets (27 k red line, 450 k blue line).
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