Effects of short-term caffeine consumption on speech and sound reception in individuals with normal hearing
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Caffeine consumes targeted attention to the signal, which is expected to lead to increased noise tolerance and ultimately improved speech perception. In the current study, the effect of short-term caffeine consumption on speech and noise simultaneous reception function was evaluated using acceptable noise level (ANL) test.

Methods: In this interventional double-blind study, 90 cases (45 male, 45 female) aged 18–34 years were randomly assigned into three groups: the test groups, 3 and 5 mg/kg caffeine, and the control group, just placebo. The ANL test was recorded before and one hour after intervention. The results were compared before and after taking caffeine in three groups.

Results: The statistical analysis revealed that there was significant difference in ANL result in dose 3 mg/kg caffeine before and one hour after intervention (p=0.043) and there was a significant difference in ANL result in dose 5 mg/kg caffeine before and one hour after intervention (p=0.001). Also, there was a significant difference in ANL before and one hour after taking caffeine between the group receiving 3 mg/kg dose of caffeine and the 5 mg/kg dose of caffeine (p=0.015).

Conclusion: According to the findings of the study, after an hour of caffeine consumption, the ANL decreases. In other words, the individuals tolerate higher levels of speech noise. This is also dependent on the dose of caffeine.
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Introduction

The responses in the central nervous system (CNS) as well as the central auditory area can be affected by drugs and chemical compositions [1]. Caffeine is a CNS stimulant [2] that reduces blood flow to the brain and helps with the dopamine release. Dopamine is a neurotransmitter that helps with concentration [3]. Caffeine, on the other hand, is the most widely used drug...
among humans. Approximately 90% of humans use caffeine on a daily basis [4,5]. Although similar effects have already been reported in drugs such as Ritalin, caffeine is far less dangerous than Ritalin and has been used as an alternative to Ritalin in hyperactivity due to its significant clinical effects in some studies [6,7]. Caffeine exerts most of its biologic effects on CNS by blocking adenosine receptors [8]. It affects brain functions such as sleep, cognition, learning and memory and also alleviates disorders such as Alzheimer's, Huntington's, epilepsy, migraine, depression, and schizophrenia by blocking these receptors [8]. Given that adenosine is present in all parts of the body, it is expected that caffeine, as an adenosine receptor antagonist, can adjust the mental and physiological state [8,9].

In particular, consuming moderate amounts of caffeine improves cognitive function, increases awareness and energy, reduces mental fatigue, increases the ability to focus and solve problems that need to be reasoned, increases the accuracy of responses to environmental stimuli, enhances short-term memory, increase the ability to focus and pay attention, increase the ability to make right decisions and ultimately increases cognitive skills [10]. In an animal study performed on hyperactivity and learning disorder in mice, significant results were found in caffeine consumption and it was found that caffeine improves spatial learning in mice with hyperactivity and learning disorder [11]. In another study, tea was recommended as an effective treatment for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder because of its caffeine contents [6].

The effect of this chemical compound has already been studied on the auditory system. Recent studies have shown that caffeine significantly reduces the action potential (AP) and the summation potential (SP) at low intensities. It also reduces the distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAE) at low intensities and increases it in high intensities that eventually shortens the outer hair cells [12]. Caffeine can reduce the interval between the I-V waves of auditory brainstem response (ABR) [13,14]. In higher level potentials, the results are controversial and the effects of caffeine has been observed in the form of reduced middle latency responses (MLR) and P1 [14], decreased P300 latency [15], increased P1, P2 and P3b amplitudes with, no effect on their latency [16] as well as no effect on the vestibular responses of p13 and n23 [17].

Speech perception in noise and noise isolation are among the activities of the central auditory area [18]. Speech perception in noise depends on the interaction of the sensory and cognitive processes. The least part of the ability to create auditory object is to separate several sources of sound into separate streams top-down cognitive processing, such as attention and memory. Speech processing in a noisy environment is carried out in several steps. The role of the auditory brain stem is phase-locked responses to stimulation regulators, strong encoding, and the preservation of time resolution in the presence of noise. The auditory brainstem performance is modulated and adjusted through the top-down levels. This sensory-cognitive interaction is possible through the afferent pathways that transmit sensory information to the inferior colliculus and the auditory cortex associated with the corticofugal pathway. In other words, only the signal input from the cochlea to the brain stem is not determinative in order to accurately receive the signal in the presence of noise, but it is actually the top-down processing that is involved [18].

As a result, it can be said that the central areas of the auditory system are effective in the reception of noise [19]. Given the fact that caffeine is a CNS stimulant, and given the effects of caffeine on the auditory system, it is likely that caffeine can increase the tolerance of people against noise.

One of the tools used to check noise reception is the acceptable noise level test. This test was developed by Nabelek et al. at the University of Tennessee in 1991 [20] which is a central evaluation of the noise reception function. One of its features is that it's not affected by gender, age, level of intensity, speaker, and hearing loss [21]. The materials of this test are already available in Persian and have already been used by Ahmadi.
et al. [22]. The present study aims to investigate the effect of short term caffeine consumption on noise reception in people with normal hearing using the Persian version of the acceptable noise level (ANL) test.

Methods
The current study is double-blind with a control group. It was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The study population consisted of 90 patients (45 female and 45 male) including 15 female and 15 male in the placebo group, 15 female and 15 male in the 3kg/mg dose group and 15 female and 15 male in the 5kg/mg dose group. These individuals aged 18 to 34 years old with a mean of 23.62 (SD=2.89) years for men and a mean of 22.00 (SD=2.86) years for female. All subjects had normal hearing thresholds with no history of neurological and psychological disorders. They did not use drugs that affect CNS, and did not have any history of alcohol and other ototoxic drugs.

These people normally used less than 200 mg of caffeine per day, or a maximum of three cups of tea, four glasses of soda, or two cups of coffee per day (low caffeine consumer). After giving a full description of the purpose and method of study, the participants consented to the participation in the study. To ensure the health of the auditory system, subjects underwent outer ear physical examination with an otoscope, an acoustic immittance testing, and pure tone and speech audiometry. The subjects were asked to refrain from drinking caffeine-containing substances (tea, coffee and soda) at least six hours before the test [23]. Different doses of caffeine have been used in various studies [24]. The 3 mg/kg dose is the standard dose used in many studies that have examined the effect of caffeine on the nervous system [25]. In addition, the recommended dose with no side effects is normally equal to or less than 5.71 mg/kg for a healthy adult. Therefore, 0, 3 and 5 mg/kg doses were used in this study [15]. The subjects were randomly divided into three groups based on caffeine doses. In the groups receiving caffeine, this substance was dissolved in 100 ml of water, and to improve the taste of the solution, dry milk and sugar was added, which, of course, was very little and the amount of dry milk added to water was the same in all the groups to make the solution even. For the group receiving placebo (0 mg dose), dry milk was used which has the highest similarity to pure caffeine [13,14]. In this study, the examiner and the participants were unaware of the dosage (double-blind), and only the research partner who recorded the information was aware of the consumption dosage of the individuals. Prior to delivering caffeine or placebo, the standard method for performing the ANL test that was the method for obtaining the most comfortable level (MCL) and background noise level (BNL) was provided in a written form for the subjects. After placing the person to be tested in the acoustic room, the instructions were also orally explained to the person once and then the ANL test was performed after ensuring that the person was justified.

The ANL test was performed using the standard method and its values were calculated [20,26]. Then, the participant received caffeine or placebo. Since caffeine reaches its peak in the blood plasma for 30 to 60 minutes after consumption [27], an ANL test was re-performed one hour later.

Data was analyzed by SPSS 24. Normality of data (the difference in pre and post caffeine consumption at different doses) was investigated using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for different doses. Due to the lack of normal distribution of data, the Mann-Whitney test with Bonferroni correction was used to compare the differences between the three doses.

Results
To investigate the effect of inter-group caffeine consumption in each group, ANL test results were first calculated before and one hour after the intervention. To investigate the effect of caffeine consumption between groups, the results of both interventional and control groups were compared before and one hour after the intervention. The results of statistical calculations are listed in the following Tables. The mean and standard deviation of the MCL,
BNL, and ANL values before intervention in both sexes are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in ANL between two sexes (p=0.507).

Table 2 shows the comparison of the ANL before and one hour after the intervention between the placebo group and the 3 mg/kg dose consumption group. These data indicate that the mean of ANL values in the 3 mg consumption group was significantly different from that of the placebo group one hour after caffeine consumption (p=0.043), so that ANL had significantly decreased after caffeine consumption in this group compared to the placebo.

Table 2 also shows the difference in ANL before and one hour after the intervention between the placebo group and the 5 mg/kg dose consumption group. These data indicate that the mean values of ANL in the 5 mg dose group were significantly different from that of the placebo group one hour after caffeine consumption (p<0.001), so that ANL had significantly decreased after taking caffeine in this group compared to the placebo.

Finally, Table 2 shows the comparison of ANL before and one hour after intervention between the 3 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg dose groups. These data indicate that the mean of ANL values in the 3 mg dose group was significantly different from that of the 5 mg group one hour after caffeine consumption (p=0.015).

**Discussion**

This research is intended to answer the hypothesis that the use of caffeine can affect the reception of noise in individuals with normal hearing. In the present study, MCL, BNL, and ANL parameters were compared in the previous stages and one hour after taking 0 mg/kg, 3 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg doses of caffeine in three groups of normal subjects aged 18-34 years. The results indicated that the mean values of ANL in the 3 and 5 mg dose group were lower than the placebo group and also between the 3 and 5 mg groups, respectively one hour after caffeine consumption, and the level of this decrease was statistically significant (p<0.05). The results of this study are based on the effect of caffeine on MCL and ANL.

In the present study, the effect of caffeine on the MCL results was compared before and after intervention (caffeine or placebo consumption) and for intergroup analysis, these changes were compared in the three groups. The findings indicated no significant difference between the three groups in the MCL values in the groups receiving 3 mg of caffeine (mean=36.87, SD=3.66) or 5 mg of caffeine (mean=38.67, SD=4.30), compared to the placebo (mean=38.44, SD=4.08). In a study similar to the present study, the effect of Ritalin on ANL was investigated and similar results indicated that the use of a brain stimulant does not affect MCLs [28]. Also, the MCL findings obtained in this study are comparable with those found in a study carried out by Ahmadi et al. in order to prepare the Persian version of the ANL test. In their study, the mean MCL was 45.78 (SD=5.18). In the present study, MCL was calculated 37.80 (SD=4.26) before intervention. These results are in normal range, comparable and overlap with one another [22].

Speech perception in noise is one of the central auditory functions and depends on the interaction of sensory and cognitive processing. Speech processing in a noisy environment is carried out in several steps. The auditory brain stem performance is modulated and adjusted through the top-down levels. This sensory-cognitive interaction is possible through the afferent neurons that transmit sensory information to the inferior colliculus and the auditory cortex associated.
In the present study, intra-group effects of caffeine on ANL were compared before and after intervention (caffeine or placebo consumption) and for intergroup analysis, these changes were compared in the three groups. In this study, the effect of caffeine was investigated as a CNS stimulant on ANL for the first time and it was observed that ANL were significantly reduced with certain doses of caffeine. Since different doses were used in our study, the results indicated that with the dose of 3 mg/kg of caffeine, the ANL decreased, and by increasing the dosage to 5 mg/kg of caffeine, the ANL reduction rates was very significant.

In a study conducted by Freyaldenhoven et al., for the first time to investigate the effect of stimulant drugs (Ritalin) on the ANL, it was found that the use of these drugs significantly reduced the ANL [28]. Although another drug was used in that study, the important point is that both drugs are pharmacologically considered as brain stimuli. This finding is in perfect agreement with the results of this study. On the other hand, the ANL obtained in this study are in line with the ANL obtained in a study conducted by Ahmadi et al when preparing the Persian version of the ANL test. In their study, the mean ANL was calculated 1.77 (SD=2.32) which is comparable with our ANL values (mean 2.91, SD=2.32) before intervention [22]. Although there was no significant difference between the mean values of MCL, BNL, and ANL between the two studies in Persian, and the values obtained were overlapping, but some differences are acceptable due to the differences in the population and also the variability of behavioral tests. Meanwhile, both of the findings fit into the group of people with low ANL according to the classification of Nabelek et al [29].

In another study, it was found that ANL worsened with decreasing speech intelligibility and the listeners tolerate lower levels of noise [30]. Reducing ANL with caffeine consumption can increase the tolerance of noise, focus, and thus increase speech perception in environments with undesirable noise. Therefore, it can be concluded that if people can tolerate more noise in a listening environment, they will ultimately improve speech perception. The reason for these effects seems to be in the CNS signal processing approach, which facilitates or strengthens processes such as suppressing noise activity or activating inhibitory processes through inhibitory neural networks.

Concerning the effect of sex on ANL, in the present study, there was no difference in the effect of caffeine on ANL in male and female. Regarding the findings of this study, it can be expected that by using drugs or chemical compounds, the response of the central system can be modulated in a way to increase the tolerance of people against loud noises by affecting the central auditory area in the brain. Consequently, there is a hope that users of hearing aids will be able to use their hearing aids more, especially in noisy environments and due to reduced background noise. On the other hand, it is possible to increase the attention of the patient to speech signal by reducing the adverse effects of noise. The final result of this situation will increase the

| Group     | N   | Mean difference (SD) | Placebo vs 3 mg/kg | Placebo vs 5 mg/kg | 3 mg/kg vs 5 mg/kg |
|-----------|-----|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Placebo   | 30  | 0.13 (1.14)          | 0.043             | <0.001            | 0.015             |
| 3 mg/kg   | 30  | 0.77 (1.17)          |                   |                   |                   |
| 5 mg/kg   | 30  | 1.67 (1.60)          |                   |                   |                   |
patient's understanding. Given that this study is the first systematic study of caffeine's effects on normal people, it is suggested that this study be conducted in people who use a hearing aid in the future.

Conclusion

According to the results of this study, one hour after taking 3 and 5 mg/kg of caffeine, the ANL was significantly decreased. Also, there was a significant difference between the ANL in the two groups with 3 and 5 mg of caffeine intake compared to each other, indicating a greater effect of 5 mg caffeine intake compared to the 3 mg caffeine intake group. For further examination, it is suggested to repeat the test for longer periods of time after caffeine consumption to determine long-term effects.
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