Circadian disruption promotes tumor-immune microenvironment remodeling favoring tumor cell proliferation
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Circadian disruption negatively affects physiology, posing a global health threat that manifests in proliferative, metabolic, and immune diseases, among others. Because outputs of the circadian clock regulate daily fluctuations in the immune response, we determined whether circadian disruption results in tumor-associated immune cell remodeling, facilitating tumor growth. Our findings show that tumor growth rate increased and latency decreased under circadian disruption conditions compared to normal light-dark (LD) schedules in a murine melanoma model. Circadian disruption induced the loss or inversion of daily patterns of M1 (proinflammatory) and M2 (anti-inflammatory) macrophages and cytokine levels in spleen and tumor tissues. Circadian disruption also induced (i) deregulation of rhythmic expression of clock genes and (ii) of cyclin genes in the liver, (iii) increased CcnA2 levels in the tumor, and (iv) dampened expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p21WAF1/CIP1, all of which contribute to a proliferative phenotype.

INTRODUCTION
The circadian clock is responsible for the generation and entrainment of rhythms for almost all physiological and behavioral functions of the body (e.g., body temperature, hormonal secretion, sleep, and locomotor activity), enabling adaptation to cyclic environmental changes. The molecular mechanism of the circadian clock arises from negative transcriptional feedback, which generates oscillations with periods close to 24 hours. In mammals, the core loop includes the positive elements Clock and Bmal1, inducing the expression of the negative elements Per1 to Per3 and Cry1 and Cry2, which, in turn, repress the transcriptional activity of the positive elements in a process where compartmentalization, shuttling, and posttranslational events determine the pace of the circadian clock (1). This cell-autonomous pacemaker mechanism has been found in almost every cell in the body. The main biological clock resides in the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), and the principal environmental signal that adjusts its activity is the light-dark (LD) cycle. In turn, the SCN controls circadian oscillators in peripheral tissues (e.g., liver, lung, and other brain areas) through neural, hormonal, and behavioral pathways to maintain an optimal phase relation between them and with the environment (2).

In mammals, shifting the activity period to an atypical time of the day (e.g., by shift work or jet lag in humans) causes a temporal misalignment that, under chronic conditions, might result in disease onset (e.g., cardiometabolic syndromes, obesity, and cancer) and physical and mental health disorders. Whereas these findings were initially brought to our attention by epidemiological studies, the use of animal models has been pivotal to uncovering the genetic and environmental links that, when compromised by circadian disruption, lead to disease onset [reviewed in (3)]. A case in point was the initial finding that tumor-bearing mice increased their tumor growth rate when exposed to experimental chronic jet lag (CJL), constant light (LL), or other alterations of the molecular circadian clock (3). Whole genome-wide transcript profiling showed that ~10% of the cell transcriptome, including genes involved in cell cycle transition, response to DNA damage, and cell death processes, were under circadian control [reviewed in (4)], all of which suggest a relevant role for clock components in establishing proliferative disorders.

The development of cancer and its response to therapeutic protocols are strongly influenced by innate and adaptive immune systems, which either promote or attenuate tumorigenesis. As the circadian clock modulates several immune parameters including the number of different cell types (e.g., macrophages and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells) in different tissues (e.g., peripheral blood and spleen), daily cytokine fluctuations, phagocytic activity, and response to the immune challenge (e.g., lipopolysaccharide) [reviewed in (5)], its derangement deregulates the inflammatory processes that are predicted to favor establishment and progression of tumors (6). Specifically, macrophages can differentiate into two functional profiles, M1 and M2. The M1 phenotype is more closely associated with inflammatory antitumor immunity, whereas the M2 phenotype, a more functionally diverse subset of macrophages, exhibits immunosuppressive and homeostatic functions (7). Chronic inflammation can promote tumor development and progression; nevertheless, the balance between the activation of immunological tolerance and inflammatory pathways is certainly relevant to tumor immunity. Tumor-specific or tumor-associated antigens can activate antitumor immune responses by dendritic cells (DCs) and M1 macrophage–mediated activation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells. In addition, induction of tolerance can be achieved by recruitment to the tumor microenvironment and differentiation of immunosuppressive cells such as M2 macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), tumor-associated neutrophils, and regulatory T cells (Treg) [reviewed in (8)].

The aim of this study was to analyze the impact of chronic circadian derangement in tumor-immune microenvironment remodeling and tumor growth in a nonmetastatic melanoma mouse model.
Because there were no significant differences between data obtained under LD or CJL schedule (Fig. 2 and fig. S2), ZT9, ZT15, and ZT21 from animals maintained in either constant conditions.

We then determined whether alterations in the light schedule influenced tumor vasculogenesis. We found that, whereas the number of blood vessels around the site of tumor cell inoculation (1 week after injection) remained comparable between both circadian protocols (fig. S1, A and B), the number of intratumor blood vessels (vol. of 2000 to 2500 mm^3) increased significantly under CJL conditions (P = 0.0007; fig. S1, C and D).

Circadian desynchronization promotes tumor-immune microenvironment remodeling

Because the composition of the tumor-immune microenvironment influences tumor growth and shapes therapeutic treatments (11), we determined whether circadian disruption could influence the proportion of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment and, in turn, favor tumor progression. Accordingly, we first examined the percentage of different tumor-infiltrating leukocytes in tumor samples collected over a 24-hour period [Zeitgeber time 3 (ZT3), ZT9, ZT15, and ZT21] from animals maintained in either constant LD or chronic circadian disruption (CJL) schedules (Fig. 2 and fig. S2).

Because there were no significant differences between data obtained in ZT3 and ZT9 or between ZT15 and ZT21, we grouped the values obtained during the day (ZT3 and ZT9) and night (ZT15 and ZT21) and summarized them as diurnal and nocturnal data, respectively (table S1).

We evaluated the percentage of total macrophages within tumor samples and did not observe significant differences between time points [LD: ZT3: 52.2 ± 8.97%, ZT9: 51.48 ± 8.34%, ZT15: 55.16 ± 4.08%, ZT21: 31.08 ± 6.83%; CJL: ZT3: 56.32 ± 5.08%, ZT9: 47.04%, ZT15: 28.2 ± 8.46%, ZT21: 28.08 ± 9.98%; P = n.s. (not significant); table S1]. However, our results show several remarkable changes in M1 and M2 macrophage levels between the two experimental conditions (Fig. 2). Under LD exposure, M1 macrophages exhibited a nearly twofold increase in their levels at ZT15 and ZT21 compared to ZT9 (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A), while in animals exposed to CJL this difference was inverted, showing higher levels at ZT9 (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A). Despite the lack of significant differences between time points in the levels of M2 cells (Fig. 2B), we found interesting results when comparing diurnal versus nocturnal levels. Under LD exposure, M1 and M2 macrophage levels were in antiphase, with an increase in the level of M1 cells during the night (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.004; table S1) and a comparable reduction of M2 cells in this phase (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.003; table S1). The distribution of M1 and M2 cells in tumors from animals exposed to CJL exhibited a sharp contrast with that of LD animals (table S1). Whereas chronic circadian disruption reversed and dampened the levels of M1-infiltrated cells in the tumor (CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = 0.049; LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.0004; table S1), the levels of M2 macrophages lost their day–night differences and remained constant throughout the whole cycle (CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = n.s., LD Day versus CJL Day: P = 0.017; table S1). These results indicate that circadian disruption induces important changes in the composition of the tumor-infiltrated immune cells at different times of the day.

Because M1 and M2 cells have opposite functions and can inhibit one another, the M1/M2 ratio has a predictive value on tumor growth (12). Although we did not observe substantial differences among time points (Fig. 2C), tumors from animals under LD exhibited a ratio that favored M1-mediated immunostimulation and tumor suppressor activity during the night and a more tumor-prone phenotype characterized by M2-mediated immune suppression during the day (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.0028; table S1). These temporal differences in infiltration were blunted in CJL animals, favoring a phenotype in which tumor progression is

**RESULTS**

Circadian disruption promotes tumor growth

To understand the effect of a circadian disruption protocol on the rate of tumor growth, mice were maintained under an experimental LD (LD12:12) or CJL schedule [6-hour advance of the LD12:12 cycle every 2 days (9)] for 3 weeks before they were subcutaneously challenged with B16F0 nonmetastatic melanoma cells. Following inoculation, animals were maintained under each experimental light schedule, i.e., LD or CJL, until reaching the end point of the tumor protocol as defined in Materials and Methods. We chose to work with B16F0 cells because their tumor growth rate in vivo is modulated by the immune system (10).

First, we recapitulated the circadian disruption tumor growth model in vivo in CJL-treated mice and compared tumor growth to matching points in mice maintained under LD conditions. Tumor size under circadian desynchronization increased significantly compared to those grown under LD conditions (P < 0.01; Fig. 1A). Moreover, the survival curve was significantly different between the CJL and LD mice groups (P = 0.0153; Fig. 1B). In addition, tumor latency, measured as the elapsed time between the injection of B16F0 cells and the moment a palpable tumor was detectable in the animal (vol. ~ 1 mm^3), was 15 ± 0.85 days after inoculation in CJL versus 25.8 ± 3.64 days in LD treated-animals (P = 0.037; Fig. 1C).

We then determined whether alterations in the light schedule influenced tumor vasculogenesis. We found that, whereas the number of blood vessels around the site of tumor cell inoculation (1 week after injection) remained comparable between both circadian protocols (fig. S1, A and B), the number of intratumor blood vessels (vol. of 2000 to 2500 mm^3) increased significantly under CJL conditions (P = 0.0007; fig. S1, C and D).

Circadian desynchronization promotes tumor-immune microenvironment remodeling

Because the composition of the tumor-immune microenvironment influences tumor growth and shapes therapeutic treatments (11), we determined whether circadian disruption could influence the proportion of various immune cells in the tumor microenvironment and, in turn, favor tumor progression. Accordingly, we first examined the percentage of different tumor-infiltrating leukocytes in tumor samples collected over a 24-hour period [Zeitgeber time 3 (ZT3), ZT9, ZT15, and ZT21] from animals maintained in either constant LD or chronic circadian disruption (CJL) schedules (Fig. 2 and fig. S2).

Because there were no significant differences between data obtained in ZT3 and ZT9 or between ZT15 and ZT21, we grouped the values obtained during the day (ZT3 and ZT9) and night (ZT15 and ZT21) and summarized them as diurnal and nocturnal data, respectively (table S1).

We evaluated the percentage of total macrophages within tumor samples and did not observe significant differences between time points [LD: ZT3: 52.2 ± 8.97%, ZT9: 51.48 ± 8.34%, ZT15: 55.16 ± 4.08%, ZT21: 31.08 ± 6.83%; CJL: ZT3: 56.32 ± 5.08%, ZT9: 47.04%, ZT15: 28.2 ± 8.46%, ZT21: 28.08 ± 9.98%; P = n.s. (not significant); table S1]. However, our results show several remarkable changes in M1 and M2 macrophage levels between the two experimental conditions (Fig. 2). Under LD exposure, M1 macrophages exhibited a nearly twofold increase in their levels at ZT15 and ZT21 compared to ZT9 (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A), while in animals exposed to CJL this difference was inverted, showing higher levels at ZT9 (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A). Despite the lack of significant differences between time points in the levels of M2 cells (Fig. 2B), we found interesting results when comparing diurnal versus nocturnal levels. Under LD exposure, M1 and M2 macrophage levels were in antiphase, with an increase in the level of M1 cells during the night (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.004; table S1) and a comparable reduction of M2 cells in this phase (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.003; table S1). The distribution of M1 and M2 cells in tumors from animals exposed to CJL exhibited a sharp contrast with that of LD animals (table S1). Whereas chronic circadian disruption reversed and dampened the levels of M1-infiltrated cells in the tumor (CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = 0.049; LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.0004; table S1), the levels of M2 macrophages lost their day–night differences and remained constant throughout the whole cycle (CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = n.s., LD Day versus CJL Day: P = 0.017; table S1). These results indicate that circadian disruption induces important changes in the composition of the tumor-infiltrated immune cells at different times of the day.

Because M1 and M2 cells have opposite functions and can inhibit one another, the M1/M2 ratio has a predictive value on tumor growth (12). Although we did not observe substantial differences among time points (Fig. 2C), tumors from animals under LD exhibited a ratio that favored M1-mediated immunostimulation and tumor suppressor activity during the night and a more tumor-prone phenotype characterized by M2-mediated immune suppression during the day (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.0028; table S1). These temporal differences in infiltration were blunted in CJL animals, favoring a phenotype in which tumor progression is...

**Fig. 1. Characterization of tumor growth and animal survival under CJL schedules.** Mean ± SEM of tumor size (A), survival (B), and tumor latency (C) in C57BL/6j mice under LD and CJL conditions injected subcutaneously with 30,000 cells of the murine melanoma cell line B16F0. Tumor latency: day of tumor detection by palpation (approximately 1 mm^3). (A) Repeated-measures ANOVA interaction time x light schedule, P = 0.001, post hoc test: day 33: CJL: 1607.5 ± 431.7 mm^3, LD: 432.7 ± 272.9 mm^3; **P < 0.01; (B) survival [log-rank (Mantel-Cox)] test: **P = 0.0153; (C) t test: *P = 0.037; n = 14 per condition.
Fig. 2. Daily pattern of immune parameters in tumor under CJL conditions. Mean ± SEM of the percentage of M1 (A) and M2 (B) macrophages, and the M1/M2 ratio (C) in tumor samples detected by flow cytometry taken at ZT3, ZT9, ZT15, and ZT21 as described in fig. S4, and mean ± SEM of protein levels of IL-1β (D), IL-6 (E), and TNF-α (F) in tumor tissue samples taken at ZT6 and ZT18 (day and night, respectively) measured by ELISA. (A) Kruskal Wallis test: P = 0.028, LD: ZT3: 10.09 ± 1.90%, ZT9: 5.41 ± 0.43%, ZT15: 16.07 ± 2.0%, ZT21: 12.99 ± 3.80%; CJL: ZT3: 9.55 ± 2.12%, ZT9: 10.15 ± 1.65%, ZT15: 3.49 ± 1.79%, ZT21: 5.51 ± 2.26; post hoc test: *P < 0.05. (D) Two-way ANOVA: interaction time × light schedule: P = 0.038, LD Day: 1.55 ± 0.04 pg/mg, LD Night: 1.03 ± 0.06 pg/mg, CJL Day: 1.48 ± 0.04 pg/mg, CJL Night: 1.55 ± 0.18 pg/mg; post hoc test: *P = 0.019 for LD Day versus LD Night, and *P = 0.027 for LD Night versus CJL Night. (F) Two-way ANOVA: interaction time × light schedule: P = 0.0067, LD Day: 1.48 ± 0.06 pg/mg, LD Night: 0.90 ± 0.19 pg/mg, CJL Day: 1.03 ± 0.06 pg/mg, CJL Night: 1.52 ± 0.21 pg/mg, post hoc test: *P = 0.03 for LD Day versus LD Night, *P = 0.04 for CJL Day versus CJL Night, and *P = 0.038 for LD Night versus CJL Night. n = 3 to 4 per condition and time point.

likely favored at all times (LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.0038; table S1).

Together, the data obtained for the M1 and M2 macrophages suggest that (i) under normal LD conditions, there is a daily pattern in the levels of M1 and M2 cells in the tumor, which translates into daily variations in the M1/M2 ratio, with the antitumor immunity being favored during the night, and (ii) circadian disruption not only induces the loss of these daily patterns but also decreases the M1/M2 ratio, which could facilitate the tumor growth.

In this context, we chose to evaluate the levels of proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β and interleukin-6 (IL-1β and IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α; Fig. 2, D to F). Circadian oscillation of the mentioned cytokines was previously observed in macrophages in vitro (13–15). Intratumor levels of IL-1β and IL-6 switched from a daily cycle variation under LD conditions to a largely invariant level under CJL (IL-1β: LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.019 and LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.027; Fig. 2, D and E). Unlike interleukins, TNF-α also maintained distinct expression levels in a daily cycle within the tumor but appeared to be in antiphase in samples from animals treated under LD and CJL conditions (LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.03, CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = 0.04, LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.038; Fig. 2F).

The outcome of tumor growth is tightly linked to the balance among infiltrating leukocytes with antagonistic functions, which constitutes the tumor-immune microenvironment [reviewed in (8)]. To obtain a more accurate representation of the changes in intratumor leukocyte populations in animals subjected to circadian disruption, we also analyzed the total leukocyte (CD45+ cells) percentage and its composition (i.e., Treg and MDSCs; fig. S2 and table S1). Our results indicate that tumor samples from animals maintained under the CJL schedule have higher levels of leukocytes than animals subjected to LD conditions (LD versus CJL: P = 0.01; table S1), without time-dependent differences in accumulation (LD Day versus LD Night: P = n.s.; CJL Day: versus CJL Night: P = n.s.; table S1 and fig. S2A). Accordingly, total T helper lymphocytes (CD4+) showed a modest, yet substantial intratumor accumulation, which was larger in CJL-treated animals than in LD-treated animals (LD versus CJL: P = 0.038; table S1) and remained unaltered over the different time points (LD Day versus LD Night: P = n.s., CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = n.s.; table S1 and fig. S2B). T regulatory CD4+ lymphocytes and MDSC accumulation among treatments were not significantly different, although increased intratumor levels of these cells are usually associated with higher tumor grade and poorer prognosis in some cancers [fig. S2, C and D, and table S1 (8)].

Overall, our results favor a model in which circadian disruption modifies the immune microenvironment, which, in turn, could facilitate tumor growth. The decrease in the M1/M2 ratio observed during the night in tumors of mice under CJL would tilt the balance toward a more tolerogenic immune profile, which promotes tumor progression.

Circadian deregulation and tumor growth alter macrophage distribution in peripheral organs
To evaluate the impact of circadian desynchronization on the immune system, we analyzed the temporal distribution of immune cells in the spleen of control animals (without tumor; Fig. 3, A to E). We reasoned that alterations in the peripheral immune response could be related to the shorter tumoral latency observed in mice under CJL conditions. In addition, because the presence of the tumor can influence the immune response, we also assessed the combined effect of tumor presence and circadian desynchronization on spleen immune cells.
ZT15: 0.21 ± 0.14%. (B) Kruskal-Wallis test: *P < 0.05, n = 3 to 4 per condition and time point.

Fig. 3. Daily pattern of immune cells in spleen tissue of mice carrying tumors under CJL conditions. Mean ± SEM of the percentage of M1 (A and F) and M2 (B and G) macrophages, M1/M2 ratio (C and H), LT CD4+ (D and I), and LT Treg (E and J), detected by flow cytometry, in spleen tissue of control mice (A to D) or tumor-bearing mice (E to I) maintained under an LD or CJL schedule. (A) Kruskal-Wallis test: P = 0.01; LD: ZT3: 3.05 ± 0.43%, ZT9: 3.85 ± 0.29%, ZT15: 1.16 ± 0.27%; ZT21: 1.72 ± 0.18%; CJL: ZT3: 2.06 ± 0.34%, ZT9: 1.76 ± 0.20%, ZT15: 1.69 ± 0.60%, ZT21: 2.55 ± 0.14%. (B) Kruskal-Wallis test: P = 0.017; LD: ZT3: 0.63 ± 0.12%, ZT9: 0.79 ± 0.03%, ZT15: 0.21 ± 0.05%, ZT21: 0.12 ± 0.03%; CJL: ZT3: 0.17 ± 0.17%, ZT9: 0.50 ± 0.15%, ZT15: 0.51 ± 0.06%, ZT21: 0.44 ± 0.10%. For (C to H) Kruskal-Wallis test: P = n.s.; post hoc test: *P < 0.05; n = 4 to 5 per condition and time point.

We first addressed whether the distribution of spleen M1 and M2 macrophages was influenced by changes in circadian synchronization (Fig. 3, A to C, and table S1). Thereby, in animals without tumor, our results showed an increased level at ZT3 and ZT9 for both M1 and M2 cells compared to ZT15 and ZT21 (M1: P < 0.05 for ZT3 versus ZT15 and ZT9 versus ZT15 and ZT21; M2: P < 0.05 for ZT3 and ZT9 versus ZT15 and ZT21; Fig. 3, A and B, respectively). Moreover, these results were consistent with a daily pattern of M1 and M2 percentages in this tissue, with higher levels during the day for both types of cells (without tumor: M1: LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.000016; M2: LD Day versus LD Night: P = 0.000038; table S1). Circadian desynchronization was sufficient to suppress LD patterns of M1 and M2 levels in the spleen, which, per se, could be a contributing factor favoring early stages of tumor development (M1 and M2: P = n.s. for all comparisons; Fig. 3, A and B, respectively). Moreover, when we compared the daily pattern from LD with CJL, we found a decrease in M1 diurnal levels under CJL conditions (M1: CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = n.s., LD Day versus CJL Day: P = 0.001; table S1), which was not compensated for during the night as was observed for M2 cells (M2: CJL Day versus CJL Night: P = n.s.; LD Day versus CJL Day: P = 0.0005; LD Night versus CJL Night: P = 0.049; table S1). However, we did not find significant differences in the M1/M2 ratio between these samples (M1/M2: P = n.s. for all comparisons; Fig. 3C and table S1).

Next, we evaluated the effect that tumor growth had on macrophage distribution in the host spleen under LD and CJL conditions (with tumor; Fig. 3, F to J). Our results showed that the sole presence of the tumor was sufficient to influence the polarization of macrophages under LD conditions compared to sham animals. For both M1 and M2 cell types, the daily pattern was abolished, with maximum levels at all time points (LD: M1 and M2: P = n.s. for all comparisons; Fig. 3, F and G, and table S1). In tumor-bearing animals under CJL, the levels of M1 and M2 cells were similar to those under LD, suggesting that the impact of the presence of the tumor is more relevant than the circadian effect (CJL: M1 and M2: P = n.s. for all comparisons; Fig. 3, F and G, and table S1). As expected, we did not find any significant difference in the M1/M2 ratio between these groups (M1/M2: P = n.s. for all comparisons; Fig. 3H and table S1).

In addition, the percentage of total LT CD4+ and Treg in spleen did not present daily differences and their levels were similar between both light schedules and in animals with or without tumors (Fig. 3, D, E, I, and J, and table S2), suggesting that these variables do not participate in the increased tumor growth rate observed in desynchronized mice. Together, our results emphasize a connection between components of the tumor microenvironment, particularly macrophages, and circadian variables that are altered in peripheral tissues under chronic circadian desynchronization. Thus, we speculate that circadian desynchronization in peripheral organs, e.g., spleen, resulting from a CJL schedule would favor the early stages of tumor development.

Circadian disruption alters the expression pattern of clock genes in the liver

To gain a deeper understanding of the contribution of host and tumor clocks to the rate of abnormal tumor growth, we monitored the oscillatory expression of the core clock genes Bmal1 and Cry1 using real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in liver samples obtained from mice maintained under LD or CJL schedules (Fig. 4). As expected, the mRNA levels of Bmal1 and Cry1 exhibited a rhythmic expression pattern in the liver of animals maintained under constant LD conditions and in the absence of tumors [cosinor: Bmal1: P = 0.006, acrophase = 23.59 hours; Cry1: P = 0.024, acrophase = 21.23 hours; Fig. 4, A and B, and table S2 (16)]. When similar studies were carried out in sham animals exposed to a CJL schedule, the oscillation of Bmal1 and Cry1 genes, measured by the cosinor method,
was deemed not significant (Fig. 4, C and D, and table S2). Nevertheless, analysis of variance (ANOVA) analyses showed marginal, yet significant, daily differences of mRNA expression for Bmal1 and Cry1 that peaked during the day. These results suggest that the liver circadian clock is altered but not completely abrogated. In this context, CJL might cause a phase advance in the rhythmic expression of clock genes that peak at ZT3, 3 and 6 hours later than the acrophases for Cry1 that peaked during the day. These results suggest that the liver tissue was excised at different time points, and markers for cell cycle progression were assessed. We chose to monitor mRNA levels of G1-S and G2-M cyclins and of the cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) inhibitor p21\(^{WAF/CIP1}\) (Cdkn1a) as these markers have been extensively characterized and represent entry into and progression through the cell cycle. In agreement with the in vivo quiescent nature of hepatocytes, the gene expression profile of CcnE1, CcnA2, and CcnB1 (which encode for cyclins E, A2, and B1, respectively) exhibited low levels of expression in liver samples from animals exposed to a normal LD schedule (Fig. 5, A to C). Our results suggest that some hepatocytes might progress from quiescence to early G\(_1\) as evidenced by a modest, yet significant, rise and progressive decline in the CcnE1 level detected within the ZT9 and ZT15 window in LD samples (LD: ZT9 versus ZT18: \(P < 0.05\); Fig. 5A). A basal level of CcnA2 expression was identified, overlapping with CcnE1 at

**The oscillations of Bmal1 and Cry1 are abrogated in the tumor tissue**

Clock function was then monitored in tumoral tissue extracted at different times from animals maintained under different light schedules (fig. S3). The expression of Bmal1 and Cry1 genes did not show a rhythmic pattern under either an LD or CJL schedule (fig. S3, A to D). The lack of rhythmic patterns in these genes could be associated with a difference in the phase of the rhythms in each individual cell. However, the absence of differences between LD and CJL samples suggests that the expression of the clock genes Bmal1 and Cry1 in the tumor itself may not be implied in the increased growth rate under desynchronized conditions but could instead be related to deregulation of time-dependent gene expression in tumoral cells.

**Cell cycle progression is compromised in hepatocytes exposed to chronic circadian disruption**

Differentiated mammalian hepatocytes have the unique ability to exit quiescence and reenter the cell cycle as a result of physical (e.g., partial resection) or mitogenic stimuli, for which the presence of proinflammatory cytokines remains a required priming event (17). TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6, two of the cytokines we found to be deregulated in tumor-bearing CJL-treated animals, are among the ligands favoring G0-G\(_1\) transition and are required for the establishment of early G\(_1\) in vivo during liver regeneration (18). As a result, we first determined whether alterations in the immune makeup of animals exposed to circadian disruption translate into hepatocyte cell cycle remodeling in vivo and then evaluated whether the observed phenotype was altered in tumor-bearing animals (Fig. 5).

Initially, mice were exposed to LD or CJL light schedules, the liver tissue was excised at different time points, and markers for cell cycle progression were assessed. We chose to monitor mRNA levels of G1-S and G2-M cyclins and of the cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) inhibitor p21\(^{WAF/CIP1}\) (Cdkn1a) as these markers have been extensively characterized and represent entry into and progression through the cell cycle. In agreement with the in vivo quiescent nature of hepatocytes, the gene expression profile of CcnE1, CcnA2, and CcnB1 (which encode for cyclins E, A2, and B1, respectively) exhibited low levels of expression in liver samples from animals exposed to a normal LD schedule (Fig. 5, A to C). Our results suggest that some hepatocytes might progress from quiescence to early G\(_1\), as evidenced by a modest, yet significant, rise and progressive decline in the CcnE1 level detected within the ZT9 and ZT15 window in LD samples (LD: ZT9 versus ZT18: \(P < 0.05\); Fig. 5A). A basal level of CcnA2 expression was identified, overlapping with CcnE1 at
ZT9 to ZT15 (Fig. 5B); thus, our results support a model in which cells become arrested at a restriction point located in mid-late G1. This assumption is supported by the observation that p21WAF/CIP1 accumulation occurred within the same time frame than the expression of G1-S cyclins (cosinor: \( P = 0.04 \); Fig. 5D and table S2) and that the level of CcnB1 remained low and invariable at all times (Fig. 5C).

The effect of the CJL schedule in the expression of cell cycle components was particularly noticeable when monitoring the mRNA profile of p21WAF/CIP1 in liver samples (Fig. 5H). Figure 5 shows that the cyclin/Cdk inhibitor level (Cdkn1a) remained steady and low at all times under the CJL condition compared to LD (Fig. 5, D versus H). In addition, the profile of G1-S cyclins was perturbed under a CJL schedule (Fig. 5, E to G) as reflected by the peak of maximum expression of cyclin E1 being shifted when compared to LD (i.e., CcnE1; Fig. 5, A versus E). Overall, these data suggest that the liver tissue from animals subjected to a CJL schedule exhibits perturbation at the cellular level, which could make the hepatocytes entirely competent to mitogenic and inflammatory signals.

We then determined whether the presence of a nonmetastatic tumor alters the molecular makeup of the liver favoring a proliferative state when animals were subjected to distinct light schedules. As shown in Fig. 5 (I to L), the sole presence of the tumor led to CcnE1 up-regulation (by comparing the confidence interval of the mesor in table S2 and Fig. 5, A versus I) and p21WAF/CIP1 dampening (cosinor: \( P = \text{n.s.} \); table S1 and Fig. 5, D versus L) under LD conditions, suggesting that progression to late G1 was favored. However, most cells likely remained uncommitted to DNA replication due to the lack of CcnA2 expression (Fig. 5J).

The liver proliferative phenotype was enhanced in the presence of a tumor and under conditions of CJL (confidence interval of the mesor in table S2 for CcnE1: LD without tumor versus CJL with tumor, CcnA2: LD with tumor versus CJL with tumor, CcnB1: LD without...
tumor versus CJL with tumor, Cdkn1a: LD with tumor versus CJL with tumor; table S2 and Fig. 5, M to P). Accordingly, both G1-S and G2-M cyclins showed an enhanced, cascade-like (CcnE1, CcnA2, and CcnB1; Fig. 5, N to P) expression of CcnE1, which was detected in early and late G1 and before S-phase entry (Fig. 5M), whereas detectable levels of CcnA2 and CcnB1 were observed before or during G2-M transitions (Fig. 5, N and O). We speculate that the enhanced proliferative phenotype observed in the liver tissue of tumor-bearing animals might have been facilitated by the accumulation of proinflammatory cytokines that made the hepatocytes competent to mitogenic signals.

**Circadian modulation of cell cycle gene expression in tumor**

Last, we evaluated whether chronic circadian deregulation affects the expression of cell cycle components in the tumor or, instead, disrupts their daily oscillation. Accordingly, tumor samples from animals maintained in either LD or CJL schedules were collected at different times and their expression was monitored by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (Fig. 6). In agreement with the cell proliferative status within the tumor, mRNA expression of p21WAF1/CIP1 (Cdkn1a) remained at low levels throughout the time course analyzed (Fig. 6, A and B). Whereas exposure to CJL has a negligible effect on the expression and oscillation of the cyclin E1 and B1 transcripts (Fig. 6, C to E and I to K), both remained detectable and largely steady at all times; the expression of CcnA2 exhibited a sustained increase (Fig. 6, F versus G: ZT9 to ZT15; Fig. 6H). These results suggest that, in addition to promoting tumor proliferation by developing a favorable immune niche, chronic disruption favors the up-regulation of key regulatory components, such as CcnA2, which favors cell cycle transitions.

**DISCUSSION**

The CJL protocol used in this work, 6-hour advances of the LD cycle every 2 days, generates an internal circadian desynchronization in which animals fail to entrain to the environmental cycle (9). Our results show and confirm an increased rate of tumor growth in mice under circadian disruption. Here, we first confirm data previously reported using different carcinogenesis models and light schedules of circadian disruption [CJL and constant light (6, 19–24)]. Moreover, mice carrying mutations of clock genes (22, 25) or those whose SCN was ablated (26) also showed an increased rate of tumoral growth. In addition, we report a decrease in tumor latency indicating an early effect of the circadian disruption protocol over the progression of the disease.

Our main hypothesis was that desynchronization of the immune response could be involved in increased tumor growth rate in mice maintained under a CJL schedule. In this context, we first analyzed immune variables under normal LD conditions and found a daily pattern in the percentage of M1 and M2 macrophages in the spleen and in the tumor, as well as a daily variation in cytokine levels in the tumor. Specifically, our results showed higher levels of cytokines during the day under LD conditions, decreased under the CJL schedule. This change suggests a functional defect in the immune system inside the tumor, because a decrease in the M1/M2 ratio has been associated with a worsening prognosis in tumors (12). In addition, the higher levels of leukocytes (total CD45+ and LTh CD4+) observed in the tumors of animals subjected to CJL could be related to the deregulation of macrophage LD patterns because of their main role as chemokine producers. Here, we show that the increase of total leukocytes is not due to an increase in the percentage of total macrophages, MDSCs, or Treg. These results are in line with reports showing that immune infiltration of monocytes, DCs, and lymphocytes did not change after synchronizing tumor tissue with dexamethasone (30), all of which point to the need for a more thorough characterization of specific profiles of immune cells and secreted chemokines. For example, the recruitment of monocytes to inflammatory sites is mediated by the CCL2 ligand (also known as MCP-1), an important chemoattractant that is regulated in a diurnal fashion and secreted by macrophages, among other cells (31). It was shown that BMAL1 is necessary to generate the rhythmic oscillations in Ccl2 expression via BMAL1/CLOCK binding to E-box motifs in the Ccl2’s promoter and recruitment of members of the repressor complex polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) (31). We previously showed that CCL2, which has a rhythmic mRNA expression in the SCN, is involved in circadian-immune bidirectional regulation (32).

As a result, we could not rule out that the altered pattern of immune variables reported in the present work results from deregulation in the circadian expression of the CCL2 chemokine. For another chemokine pathway, the Cxcr2 inhibitor SB266510 was able to reverse IL-1β-induced metastases and changes in immune cells (29). In this context, it has been reported that chronic circadian deregulation can modify both the endogenous clock and the immune phenotypes and functions of macrophages (33, 34). One possible mechanism to explain the increased tumoral growth rate in mice under the CJL schedule could be that the macrophage internal clock is impaired or deregulated, as we have found in liver tissue. In turn, this would affect macrophage function and therefore modify the immune microenvironment.
As previously mentioned, chronic inflammation may be related to tumor progression. T helper 17 (T\textsubscript{H17}) cells in some tumors act as promoters of chronic inflammation (8). However, in melanoma, these cells seem to be involved in the tumor regression process. In addition, the T\textsubscript{H17}/T\textsubscript{reg} rate inside the tumors is particularly relevant because they share differentiation pathways (35). Differentiation from CD4\textsuperscript{+} naive T cells to a T\textsubscript{H17} profile is under circadian regulation through the inhibitory transcription factor NFIL3, which is regulated by Rev-erb-\textalpha. Accordingly, the T\textsubscript{H17} lineage is altered in Rev-erb-\textalpha\textsuperscript{-/-} mice. In addition, light cycle disruptions increased intestinal T\textsubscript{H17} cell frequencies and susceptibility to inflammatory disease (36). Furthermore, melatonin induces the expression of the repressor NFIL3, blocking differentiation to T\textsubscript{H17} cells and promoting the generation of T\textsubscript{reg} and expression of IL-10 through induction by ROR-\alpha (37). Deregulation in the T\textsubscript{H17} differentiation pathway induced by the CJL schedule may be related to the tendency to increase CD4\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{reg} (FoxP3\textsuperscript{+}) in the tumors reported in the present study.

The CJL schedule altered the rhythmic expression patterns of the clock genes Bmal1 and Cry1 in the liver of control animals. The analysis of the rhythms of clock gene expression in the liver is relevant because it has been related to hepatocarcinogenesis (21). In addition, a complete loss of circadian rhythms in clock gene expression in several tissues has been reported in animals maintained under different CJL schedules (21, 38). In line with our results, analysis of the molecular clock in muscles and lungs demonstrated that CJL schedules that advance the LD cycle 6 hours every 4 days induce an advance in the phase of the rhythm when studied immediately after CJL (39). Similarly, clock gene expression is phase-shifted in the spleen of control rats under a 6-hour advance every 2 days (6). Likewise, a phase shift in clock gene expression in the liver has been reported in tumor-bearing mice maintained under different CJL schedules (20, 38).

As previously mentioned, the CJL schedule used in this work induces an activity pattern that includes two components of activity rhythm with periods of about 21 and 24.7 hours. It is possible to

---

Fig. 6. Daily pattern of cell cycle–related molecules in tumor tissue under a CJL schedule. Mean ± SEM of relative mRNA levels of the inhibitor p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/CIP1} (Cdkn1a) (A and B), and CcnE1 (C and D), CcnA2 (F and G) and CcnB1 (I and J) in tumor tissue of mice under LD (A, C, F, and I) and CJL (B, D, G, and J) conditions detected by real-time PCR at eight time points (ZT0, ZT3, ZT6, ZT9, ZT12, ZT15, ZT18, and ZT21), and mean ± SEM of 24-hour mean levels of CcnE1 (E), CcnA2 (H), and CcnB1 (K). Curves plotted over the data (A) correspond to cosinor analysis adjusted to 24 hours. Only the statistically significant cosinor analyses were plotted. Student t test: *P < 0.05; n = 3 to 4 per condition and time point.
divide this pattern in four phases: two “aligned” (activity or rest for both components) and two “misaligned” (activity for one component and rest for the other, in both directions). Although there are no data on the phases in peripheral tissues, we might speculate that when the phases are aligned, the peripheral rhythms will be more similar to a normal LD cycle, and in the misaligned condition, these variables lose their daily differences.

A potential confounding factor for the effect of circadian disruption is the lack of information about the “internal time” of the mice at the moment of the inoculation of tumor cells. The relative meaning of the different body rhythms (e.g., activity rhythms, temperature rhythms, and hormone rhythms) in this specific context is not yet fully understood. We established a 2-hour window for inoculation (ZT9 to ZT11) on the day following the 12-hour night of the CJL schedule. Moreover, because tumor development occurred throughout several desynchronization events (eight phase shifts per week), we could speculate that the differential tumoral growth depended on the complete schedule of disruption (30 to 45 days) and not necessarily on the time of inoculation. While we interpreted that the CJL protocol could affect the proliferation rate of the tumor cells and/or the antitumoral immune response, the circadian timing of inoculation could also modify the percentage of mice that developed tumors (a variable frequently reported in the existing literature), because the immune defense mechanisms would be different throughout the day.

Circadian regulation of the expression and activity of cell cycle–related molecules has been reported extensively, and circadian rhythms have been observed in the levels of c-Myc; cyclins E, A, B1, and D1; and the inhibitors Wee1, p16, p21, and p53, among others, in different systems [reviewed in (40, 41)]. In addition, the distribution of the phases of the cell cycle (G1-S-G2) and the expression levels of molecules involved in apoptosis exhibit circadian rhythms in the bone marrow of mice (42). Here, we show that the daily expression pattern of cell cycle–related molecules observed under LD conditions was modified in the liver of mice maintained under the CJL schedule. In particular, the mRNA levels of the inhibitor p21<sup>WAF/CIP1</sup> lost their rhythmic expression patterns, while CcnE1 rhythms were dampened. In line with our results, it was reported that the circadian expression of the proto-oncogene c-Myc, the cyclin D1, and the tumor suppressor Gadd45 was modified in the liver of Per2 mutant mice (43), with an increase of c-Myc levels, a decrease of Gadd45 levels, and a phase shift of the circadian expression pattern of cyclin D1. Moreover, circadian clock disruption induced by a CJL schedule down-regulates p53 and up-regulates c-Myc levels in the liver of mice (44). Furthermore, chronic circadian desynchronization induces multiple oncogenic pathways to promote cell proliferation, thereby favoring G1-S phase cell cycle progression (45). In addition, using a model of liver regeneration in mice, it has been shown that the circadian clock modulates the expression of cyclin B1, Cdc2 kinase, and wee1 (46). In particular, wee1 could be transcriptionally regulated by Bmal1/Clock through the E-box sequences present in its promoter region. Here, we explored the mRNA levels of cell cycle–related molecules, but not their protein products, their activation levels, or the cell cycle phases; however, our results suggest an important deregulation of cell cycle–related transcription induced by circadian desynchronization.

As we previously mentioned, the night-day crepuscular peak of p21<sup>WAF/CIP1</sup> in the liver was lost in desynchronized animals, exhibiting low levels throughout the day. Similar LD patterns were reported in liver, heart, and skeletal muscle (47). In addition, the liver expression of p21<sup>WAF/CIP1</sup> was increased in Bmal1-deficient mice (47). However, as the p21<sup>WAF/CIP1</sup> promoter lacks E-box sequences, it is unlikely to be regulated directly by Bmal1/Clock. The expression of this molecule is regulated by Rev-erb-α (inhibitor) and ROR-α/ ROR-γ (stimulator) through the RORE sequences present in its promoter region (46). Moreover, it is interesting that, in line with our observation about p21, circadian patterns of p53 in the thymus also became arrhythmic under a CJL schedule (22). On the other hand, an increased rate of cell division in the liver of mice maintained under a CJL schedule has been reported and was associated with the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatocarcinogenesis (21). This fact could be related to the decreased levels of the mentioned inhibitors p21 and p53.

In tumor tissue, we did not observe any rhythmic pattern in the mRNA levels of clock genes or cyclins. Similar results were recently published using the same tumor model (30). It is interesting that the intratumoral injection of dexamethasone in mice under an LD schedule not only reduced tumor growth rate but also induced the rhythmic expression of clock genes and a rhythmic pattern of mitosis and apoptosis in B16 melanoma tumors. These data show the relevance of studying (and inducing) rhythmic patterns inside the tumors.

However, it is important to emphasize that we measured the mRNA levels from a whole tumor tissue’s fragment but did not evaluate the single-cell clock function. In this context, it is possible that each tumor cell maintains their rhythmic, cell-autonomous clock gene expression, but it is not identified because each cell exhibits a different circadian phase and the individual levels of these genes were compensated and, thus, invariant in the whole tissue extract. On the other hand, it is also possible that the circadian disruption observed in immune cell patterns inside the tumor affects the clock gene expression in the tumor cells through the secreted cytokines, because it has been reported that these molecules (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1, and CCL2) can modulate the molecular clock mechanism both in vitro and in vivo (32, 48).

On the other hand, we observed that the levels of CcnA2 were increased under CJL conditions. Despite the circadian expression of clock genes in several tumor cell lines in vitro, clock gene expression is generally impaired in most human cancers (40). Moreover, the number of rhythmic genes is reduced in human cancer samples and in immortalized cell lines compared to normal tissues (40). In addition, c-Myc levels inversely correlated with Bmal1, Clock, and Cry1/2 levels in human lymphomas. C-Myc is a transcription factor that binds the genome through E-boxes, the same binding sites of the Bmal1/Clock heterodimer. It has been reported that c-Myc inhibits clock gene expression in U2OS cells (49). Together, these data indicate a direct relationship between circadian and cell cycle–related molecules inside the tumors, which suggests that in our CJL model, deregulation of clock genes is part of the mechanism that affects tumoral transcription and activity.

In conclusion, our results suggest that circadian disruption could facilitate tumoral growth by modulating immune response and cell cycle–regulated factors. Specifically, we demonstrated that the circadian pattern of the immune response in the spleen and in the tumor, particularly in the percentage of pro- and anti-inflammatory macrophages, is deregulated under circadian desynchronization. The decrease in the M1 cells (and M1/M2 ratio) observed under CJL would tilt the balance toward a more tolerogenic immune profile.
which promotes tumor escape and tumoral progression. The peripheral immune desynchronization observed in the spleen of control animals maintained under the CJL schedule could affect the early stages of tumor development. In addition, animals subjected to a CJL schedule exhibited perturbations at the cellular level, which seems to make the liver cells competent to mitogenic signals. Our data suggest that desynchronization of the daily patterns of proinflammatory cytokines could favor cells becoming competent to mitogenic signals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Adult (2-month-old) C57BL/6J wild-type (WT) male mice (*Mus musculus*) were raised in our colony. Mice were housed under a 12:12-hour LD photoperiod (with lights on at 7:00 a.m. and lights off at 7:00 p.m.) with food and water ad libitum for at least 2 weeks before entering into experimental conditions. All animal protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the National University of Quilmes, and experiments were carried out in accordance with international ethical standards for the care and use of laboratory animals.

**Cell culture**

The mouse melanoma cell line B16F0, from D. F. Alonso from the Oncology Laboratory of the National University of Quilmes, was cultured in complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 5% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

**Experimental lighting schedules**

Individually caged animals (C57BL/6J, males, 2 to 3 months old) were maintained for 3 weeks under light-dark (LD12:12) or CJL [6-hour advance of the LD12:12 cycle every 2 days, shortening of every second dark phase (9)] schedules with food and water ad libitum. ZT was used as a temporal reference: ZT0 is the moment of lights on, and ZT12 is the moment of lights off. For the CJL schedule, samples were taken during the 12-hour night and on the following day. Effective circadian desynchronization was confirmed by individually monitoring locomotor activity rhythms using infrared sensors connected to a computer interface and by recording activity counts every 5 min. Data collection was used for posterior time series analysis (Archon, Buenos Aires, Argentina). In all cases, CJL-treated animals were confirmed for the presence of two components of activity rhythms with periods of ~21 and 24.7 hours as previously described (9).

**In vivo experimental design**

Mice were housed under either an LD or CJL schedule for 3 weeks before being inoculated with B16F0 cells or vehicle and maintained under the corresponding light schedule throughout the experimental procedure (until the end point of the tumorigenic protocol). To induce tumorigenesis, 30,000 cells were inoculated subcutaneously in the dorsal cephalad site of mice. Injections of all animals were carried out during a 2-hour window, ZT9 to ZT11, a time frame at which the day (which followed a 12-hour night) in CJL overlapped with that of the LD animals. Animals were manually palpated three times a week, and the day of tumor detection was recorded (latency to approximately 1 mm³). From then on, the tumor size was measured with a caliper three times a week. The experimental end point was defined by the size of the tumor (2000 to 2500 mm³ of volume) and in accordance with the Ethics Committee and Institutional Animal Care and Use guidelines of the National University of Quilmes. Animals were sacrificed at various ZTs (ZT0, ZT3, ZT6, ZT9, ZT12, ZT15, ZT18, and ZT21) when tumors reached the end point; this was in experiments that involved monitoring tumor growth, survival, and number of blood vessels. In cases where tissue gene expression and the immune makeup were monitored, samples were collected when tumors were of 1000 to 1500 mm³ volume.

**Quantification of blood vessels in tumor samples**

Tumor samples were excised, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and stained using the hematoxylin and eosin method. Images (10 frames) were taken for the different tumor sections. Stained endothelial cells were visually identified and counted as surrounding blood vessel cells.

**Neangiogenesis**

To determine neangiogenesis, animals were intradermally cojected with either B16F0 cells (100,000) or vehicle and trypan blue (0.2%) and sacrificed 7 days later. Blood vessel bifurcations were counted on the open skin. For accurate measurements, a 1.5-cm ruler was placed by the injection spot and pictures were taken. Blood vessel bifurcations were counted using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA; https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

**RNA extraction and real-time PCR**

Liver and tumor tissues were carefully dissected from mice exposed to CJL or LD conditions. Total RNA was isolated using 300 µl of TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA solutions were quantified using NanoDrop1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and their integrity was evaluated by electrophoresis. One microgram of total RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega), and complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using oligo(dT) primers and the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). Gene amplification was performed on a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems), using 10 µl of final reaction volume containing 0.5 µl of cDNA as the template, 1× of the PerfeCTa FastMix II ROX (Quantabio, 95119-2), and the corresponding primers (table S3) at a final concentration of 200 to 400 nM. The cDNA template was amplified in triplicate, with the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Relative gene expression was calculated using the 2−∆∆T method, and *hprt* was the reference housekeeping gene.

**Flow cytometry**

Tumor and spleen tissues were collected in culture medium containing collagenase IV (1 mg/ml; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Following mechanical disruption, tissues were maintained at 37°C for 20 min before the collagenase activity was inhibited by adding 15% FBS. Next, cells were filtered with a 70-µm filter, and red cells were incubated with lysis buffer [NH₄Cl (8290 µg/ml), KHCO₃ (1 µg/ml), and EDTA 1 mM] in a 1:9 ratio and then centrifuged at 400g for 10 min at 4°C. About 1 × 10⁶ cells were incubated with the indicated fluorescent antibody (CD45, F4/80, CD11b, CD86, CD4, CD206, and Gr1; BioLegend) or their corresponding isotype control [phycoerythrin (PE) immunoglobulin G1a (IgG2a), PE IgG2b, PerCP7.5 Cy5.5 rat IgG2a, allophycocyanin (APC) rat IgG2a; BioLegend] for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with 3% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)–FBS, centrifuged at 400g for 10 min, and fixed.
CD4-labeled cells were fixed at 4°C for 30 min, permeabilized for 30 min at 37°C using the FoxP3 buffer set (BD Pharmingen), and incubated with anti-FoxP3 (BioLegend) for an additional 30 min at room temperature. Fluorescence detection was carried out using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.6 software (http://flowjo.com).

The leukocyte population (CD45⁺) in tumor cell suspension was analyzed using a CD45 against side-scatter dot plot, and the cell-specific markers were analyzed inside this gate (fig. S4). For M1 and M2 macrophage analysis, the F4/80⁺ (macrophage marker) population was plotted for CD86 and CD206 markers, and CD45⁺ CD206⁺ cells were considered M1 and CD86⁺ CD206⁻ cells were considered M2 [similar percentage of CD86⁺ CD206⁻ population was observed in all group of samples (50)]. In addition, the M1/M2 ratio was calculated in each sample. MDSCs were identified as CD11b⁺ Gr1⁺ and Treg as CD4⁺FoxP3⁺. A similar procedure was followed to identify the spleen immune populations.

Cytokine detection in tissues
Tumor tissues from samples taken at ZT6 and ZT18 (day and night, respectively) were homogenized in PBS buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated for 15 min at 4°C, and centrifuged at 14,000 g. The supernatant was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; Becton Dickinson Company) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences between two groups were analyzed using the unpaired Student’s t test. Differences between more than two groups were analyzed by one- or two-way ANOVA or the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. The P values of 0.05 or less were considered to be statistically significant. GraphPad Prism7 (GraphPad Software Inc.) and Infostat software were used to perform all statistical analyses. R Temps software was used for chronobiological analyses.

The two-way ANOVA was used to evaluate immunological parameter with the following factors being considered: time of sample extraction (day versus night), light schedule (LD versus CJL), and their interaction time × light schedule. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to evaluate differences in gene expression among time points as experimentally determined by real-time PCR. Cosinor analysis was additionally applied for the expression patterns that adjusted to cosenoidal curves with a period of 24 hours. Values of amplitude, acrophase, and mesor of the significant cosinor adjustments were used to plot the corresponding curves over the data. In expression patterns without any significant difference between time points (Kruskal-Wallis test and cosinor analyses), the 24-hour mean levels were calculated by gathering the values obtained in all samples.

Chi-square periodograms were performed to confirm circadian desynchronization in CJL maintained mice. As expected (9), in all cases, we observed two rhythm components with periods around 21 and 24.7 hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/42/eaaz4530/DC1

View request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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