Vector Bessel beams: General classification and scattering simulations
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Apart from a lot of fundamental interest, vector Bessel beams are widely used in optical manipulation, material processing, and imaging. However, the existing description of such beams remains fragmentary, especially when their scattering by small particles is considered. We propose a general classification of all existing vortex Bessel beam types in an isotropic medium based on the superposition of transverse Hertz vector potentials. This theoretical framework contains duality and coordinate rotations as elementary matrix operations and naturally describes all relations between various beam types. This leads to various bases for Bessel beams and uncovers a different beam type with circularly symmetric energy density. We also discuss quadratic functionals of the fields (such as the energy density and Poynting vector) and derive orthogonality relations between various beam types. Altogether, it provides a comprehensive reference of all properties of Bessel beams that may be relevant for applications. Next, we generalize the formalism of the Mueller scattering matrices to arbitrary Bessel beams accounting for their vorticity. Finally, we implement these beams in the ADDA code—an open-source parallel implementation of the discrete dipole approximation. This enables easy and efficient simulation of Bessel beam scattering by particles with arbitrary shape and internal structure.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic Bessel beams take prominent positions among other shaped beams and find applications in a vast range of advanced photonics technologies. One of the most remarkable features of the Bessel beam is its lack of diffraction, i.e., the ability to propagate maintaining the profile near the beam axis [1]. Also, they are vortex beams, possessing orbital angular momentum. That means that their phase has a helical structure defined by a beam order [2,3]. Like the plane wave, such ideal Bessel beams are not square integrable (have infinite power flux) and, thus, cannot be produced experimentally. However, using finite apertures allows one to obtain a truncated Bessel beam possessing an extended focus [1]. Such quasi-Bessel beams can propagate over long distances without significant divergence. A lot of efforts have been devoted to the generation of higher-order and/or vortex Bessel beams using axicons [4], holograms [5], or metasurfaces [6]. Other approaches include radial slot arrays [7,8], near-field plates [9], leaky radial waveguides [10,11], and dielectric planar lenses [12]. The resulting Bessel beams are actively used in such fields as optical manipulation [13,14], material processing [15,16], and imaging [17].

Bessel beams have the simplest form in the paraxial approximation when the size of the focal spot is much larger than the wavelength [18]. The electric (or magnetic) field direction is then almost independent of position, which explains the term scalar used for them [4,13,19]. Such beams are always circularly symmetric (CS) and have unambiguously defined polarization. By contrast, tightly focused Bessel beams are called vector ones since they support nontrivial variation of the field vectors with coordinates, all being rigorous solutions of Maxwell’s equations [18]. This dependence, especially that on the azimuthal angle (around the beam axis), can be described as a spin-orbit interaction [3,20,21].

The theoretical description of vector Bessel beams has received much attention over the previous two decades. Several proposed classifications [18,22,23] were successful in describing some types in a common framework, such as Davis beams derived using the Hertz vector potentials and aplanatic Bessel beams obtained with the angular spectrum decomposition or representation [24]. Despite the conflicting naming of Bessel beam types in the literature, the following groups exist: beams with CS energy density (CS type), with transverse electric and magnetic fields (TE and TM types), and with linear polarizations of electric and magnetic fields (LE and LM beams). There also exist Bessel beams of fractional order [25,26], but we do not consider it in this paper. Importantly, the previous theoretical descriptions are mostly fragmentary and focus on specific applications. More general classifications [23,26,27] are cumbersome, hiding the relations between various Bessel beam types behind long formulas. Moreover, the discussion of orthogonality of various beam types or quadratic functionals of the fields, in general, is grossly incomplete. Finally, all existing descriptions lack discussion of rotation transformations of Bessel beams, which is required for the generalization of the scattering-matrix formalism (Mueller calculus [28]) that is commonly used in the case of plane-wave scattering.

Such generalization is desirable since most Bessel beam applications are related to the scattering by (or other
linear interaction with) small particles [29]. Another existing limitation is that such scattering has been theoretically considered mostly by particles with spherical symmetry using the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory (GLMT) [30]. The scattering of a zero-order Bessel beam has also been studied for cases of arbitrary spheroids [31–33], coated spheres [34], cylinders [35], large nonspherical homogenous particles [36], and clusters of spheres [37–39]. By contrast, high-order Bessel beam scattering has been examined only for spheres and spheroids, potentially multilayered and chiral [33,37,38,40]. Importantly, we are not aware of any simulations of Bessel beams for inhomogeneous particles of irregular shape. Moreover, there is a gap between the existing general classification of Bessel beams and scattering simulations for nontrivial particle shapes, i.e., there are no combinations of the latter with complicated beams.

One of the versatile light-scattering simulation methods, applicable to particles with arbitrary shape and internal structure, is the discrete dipole approximation (DDA) [41]. Its popularity is based on the conceptual simplicity and availability of well-tested open-source codes, such as DDSCAT [42] and ADDA [43]. In principle, the DDA and corresponding computer codes are applicable to arbitrary incident fields, if it is known at all dipoles (volume discretization elements) [44]. However, practical simulations for any beam type are much more accessible to practitioners if these beams are built into the code. Unfortunately, that is currently not the case for any DDA code.

The goal of this paper is twofold. First, we aim to close the existing knowledge gap by improving the Bessel beam classification using Hertz vector potentials, keeping the focus on the interrelations between different beam types and their polarizations. Section II introduces the reader to Hertz vector potentials and the description of Bessel beam types known in the literature but rewritten in an alternative form. In Sec. III, we propose a general classification of Bessel beams in any homogeneous isotropic medium based on a $2 \times 2$ matrix of coefficients and thoroughly discuss its various properties. The latter range from rotation and duality transformations (Sec. III B and III C) to the description of quadratic functionals of the fields, such as the energy density and Poynting vector (Sec. III D), and discussion of various bases of Bessel beam polarizations. Section II concludes the paper. Preliminary results of this paper have been reported at conferences [45,46].

II. EXISTING BESSEL BEAM TYPES

A. Hertz vector potentials

We consider monochromatic electromagnetic waves (beams) with the time dependence $\exp(-i\omega t)$ in a homogeneous isotropic medium (with absolute permittivity $\varepsilon$, permeability $\mu$, and wave impedance $\eta \equiv \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon}$) without explicit consideration of the sources that produce them. In other words, we assume the sources to be located at infinity. We use SI units in this paper, although this makes some expressions a bit more complicated than that for commonly used Gaussian units. Maxwell’s equations are then given by [47]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -i\omega \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}),
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = -i\omega \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}),
\]

which implies that both electric and magnetic fields ($\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$) satisfy a homogeneous vector Helmholtz equation:

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) + k^2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) - k^2 \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 0,
\]

where $k \equiv \omega \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon}$ is the wave number, and the two equations are equivalent due to $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. However, Eq. (3) [but not Eq. (2)] implies $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ and is, thus, alone equivalent to Eq. (1). Here and further, we present expressions mostly for $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$ since it uniquely determines $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})$ through Eq. (1). We also further omit the common dependence of fields, potentials, etc., on $\mathbf{r}$ for brevity. We consider arbitrary $k$, including complex ones. The strongly absorbing host medium implies that the fields decay over a small distance, which is incompatible with the field sources located far from the scatterer. However, a weakly absorbing medium (relatively small imaginary part of $k$) is compatible with such a scattering problem, but practical aspects of generating Bessel beams in such a host medium is outside the scope of this paper.

To describe various Bessel beams, it is convenient to use Hertz vector potentials [22]. They are related to the scalar and vector potentials ($\phi$, $\mathbf{A}$) that define $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ through:

\[
\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi + i\omega \mathbf{A},
\]

\[
\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}.
\]

Electric and magnetic Hertz vector potentials $\mathbf{P}_e$ and $\mathbf{P}_m$ are introduced as [48]

\[
\mathbf{A} = -ik^2 \omega^{-1} \mathbf{P}_e + \mu \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_m,
\]

\[
\phi = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_e,
\]

which implies the Lorenz gauge condition for $\phi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ and that these potentials satisfy scalar and vector Helmholtz equations, respectively [Eq. (2)]. Note, however, that this gauge condition uniquely determines potentials only if they are additionally required to decay sufficiently fast at infinity [49]. In a source-free case as considered here, this would imply vanishing of both potentials and fields in the whole space. Equations (4)–(7) imply the following expressions for the fields:

\[
\mathbf{E} = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_e + k^2 \mathbf{P}_e + ik\eta \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_m,
\]

\[
\mathbf{H} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{P}_m - ik\eta^{-1} \mathbf{P}_e),
\]

which leaves a lot of freedom in choosing $\mathbf{P}_e$ and $\mathbf{P}_m$ for given fields. The first restriction, which we postulate is
that both \( \Pi_e \) and \( \Pi_m \) satisfy the vector Helmholtz equation [Eq. (2)] [49]. This allows us to rewrite Eq. (8) in a form symmetric to Eq. (9):

\[
\mathbf{E} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + ik\eta\mathbf{H}^m).
\]  

(10)

Conversely, any \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H}^m \), solutions to Eq. (2), being substituted into Eqs. (8) and (9), lead to \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) satisfying Eq. (1).

Let us briefly mention the remaining degrees of freedom, which we do not fix. First, for any function \( g \), satisfying the scalar Helmholtz equation:

\[
\nabla^2 g + k^2 g = 0,
\]

(11)

\( \Pi_e \) (or \( \Pi_m \)) can be incremented by \( \nabla g \) without changing the fields but decrements \( \nabla \cdot \Pi_e \) (or \( \nabla \cdot \Pi_m \)) by \( k^2 g \). This variation of \( \Pi_e \) (but not of \( \Pi_m \)) also modifies \( \phi \) and \( \lambda \) without violating the Lorenz gauge. In other words, only the curls of those vector potentials determine the fields. Second, the fields are not affected if \( \Pi_e \) and \( \Pi_m \) are simultaneously incremented by any auxiliary fields \( \mathbf{E}' \) and \( \mathbf{H}' \), respectively, if these fields satisfy Eq. (1). Combining the above options, either \( \Pi_e \) or \( \Pi_m \) can be set to zero, then the other one will equal the corresponding (electric or magnetic) field divided by \( k^2 \).

For Bessel beams propagating along the \( z \) axis, both \( \Pi_e \) and \( \Pi_m \) have the following simple functional form in the cylindrical coordinate system [18]:

\[
f_n(r) = J_n(k_0 r) \exp(in\varphi) \exp(ik_0 z),
\]

(12)
i.e., they are constant vectors multiplied by this scalar function. Here, \( J_n \) is the Bessel function of the first kind \( (n \) is the order of the Bessel beam), \( k_0 \equiv k \sin\alpha_0 \) and \( k_\alpha \equiv k \cos\alpha_0 \) are the transverse and longitudinal components of the wave vector \( k \), respectively, and \( \alpha_0 \) is the half cone angle (Fig. 1). More generally, \( k_\theta \) and \( k_\phi \) can be arbitrary complex values with the only constraint \( k_\theta^2 + k_\phi^2 = k^2 \), but most applications consider real angles \( \alpha_0 \), i.e., the ratio of any two of these wave numbers is real. Note that some researchers [36,38,50] introduce additional factor \( r^n \) into the definition of \( f_n \) [Eq. (12)], which affects constant factors in all further relations where several orders of \( f_n \) (or corresponding potentials or fields) are present. We consider only integer values of \( n \) in this paper since, otherwise, \( f_n \) is discontinuous with respect to \( r \). Importantly, \( f_n \) is the solution to Eq. (11); thus, any linear superposition of \( f_n \) with constant vectors (and potentially different \( n \) and \( \alpha_0 \)) satisfies Eq. (2) and qualifies as either \( \Pi_e \) or \( \Pi_m \).

Before continuing, let us introduce a convenient notation \( \mathbf{e}_\pm \equiv \mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y \) (where \( \mathbf{e}_x \) and \( \mathbf{e}_y \) are unit vectors along the corresponding axes), having the following properties:

\[
\mathbf{e}_\pm \times \mathbf{e}_\pm = \pm\mathbf{e}_z,
\mathbf{e}_\pm \times \mathbf{e}_\mp = \pm2i\mathbf{e}_z,
\mathbf{e}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{e}_\pm = 0,
\mathbf{e}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{e}_\mp = 2.
\]

(13)

Note that we define the (bilinear) dot product without conjugation of the second argument to be compatible with the notation for divergence, i.e., this is not a proper inner product of two complex vectors. The latter can be obtained with the help of trivial relation \( \mathbf{e}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{e}_\pm = 2 \), where \( \ast \) denotes a complex conjugate. Similar circular unit vectors are common, e.g., in description of vector spherical harmonics, e.g., Ref. [18], but here, we keep them not normalized (by a factor \( \sqrt{2} \)) to simplify further expressions. Next, elementary calculus with recurrent relations for Bessel functions leads to the following identities:

\[
\nabla f_n = ik_z f_n e_z + \frac{k_\theta}{2} (f_{n-1} e_+ - f_{n+1} e_-),
\]

(14)

\[
\nabla \times (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) = \frac{ik_z}{2} (f_{n-1} e_+ + f_{n+1} e_-),
\]

(15)

\[
\nabla \cdot (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) = \mp ik_z f_{n\pm1},
\]

(16)

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) = i k_\theta f_{n\pm1} + k_\phi^2 f_n e_\pm.
\]

(17)

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) = \mp i k_\theta f_{n\pm1} + k_\phi^2 f_n e_\pm.
\]

(18)

Similar expressions were discussed in Ref. [2]; they make most of the following expressions and derivations substantially more concise. Note that curls and double curls in Eqs. (15), (17), and (18) are divergence free and act as building blocks for the fields due to Eqs. (8) and (9), as illustrated in the next section. However, they are not all linearly independent, if several orders \( n \) are considered together (see Sec. III). Here, \( \nabla \times (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) \) and \( \nabla \times \nabla \times (f_n \mathbf{e}_\pm) \) are the cylindrical vector wave functions (CVWFs), which are known to be a complete basis set for expansion of electromagnetic field in free space [51,52].

B. Davis description of Bessel beams

Varying the direction of the Hertz vector potentials, we obtain different beam types [22], which are sometimes called Davis formulation of Bessel beams in the literature [23]. The following field expressions can be easily derived using Eqs. (13)–(18).

Here, TE and TM Bessel beams are obtained from \( \Pi_m = r_{-1} f_{n} e_\perp \), \( \Pi_e = 0 \), and \( \Pi_e = r_{-1} f_{n} e_\perp \), \( \Pi_m = 0 \), respectively. We denote the corresponding fields \( \mathbf{E}_{TE} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_{TM} \), respectively; they have zero \( z \) components. The accompanying fields \( \mathbf{H}_{TE} \) and \( \mathbf{E}_{TM} \) generally have no zero components. The amplitudes (scaling factors) for \( \Pi_e \) are related to that for the electric field, as \( \Pi_0 = E_0/k^2 \). Here and further, we omit the
index $n$ for fields and potentials to avoid clutter, unless several orders appear in the same equation. The electric fields of the TE and TM beams are the following:

$$E_{\text{TE},x} = -\frac{E_0 k_0}{2k} (f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}),$$

$$E_{\text{TE},y} = i \frac{E_0 k_0}{2k} (f_{n+1} - f_{n-1}), \quad E_{\text{TE},z} = 0,$$

$$E_{\text{TM},x} = i \frac{E_0 k_0 k_z}{2k^2} (f_{n-1} - f_{n+1}),$$

$$E_{\text{TM},y} = -i \frac{E_0 k_0 k_z}{2k^2} (f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}), \quad E_{\text{TM},z} = \frac{E_0 k_0^2}{k^2} f_n.$$ (19) (20)

Magnitude profiles for the electric field and time-averaged Poynting vector (both separate components and total vector magnitude), as well as profiles of time-averaged energy density of the TE and TM beams are presented in Figs. 2 and 3. Note that these beams have identical Poynting vectors and energy densities due to the duality transformation (see Sec. III C). Moreover, the rigorous definition and discussion of these quadratic functionals of the fields are deferred to Sec. III D.

Mathematically, these Bessel beams are the most fundamental ones since they are directly proportional to the CVWFs. They are very convenient in reflection and transmission problems [53]. Moreover, such beams of zero order relate to the azimuthal and radial beam polarizations [22].

Bessel beams with LE and LM fields are obtained from $\Pi_m = \eta^{-1} \Pi_0 f_n \epsilon_e$, $\Pi_e = 0$ and $\Pi_c = \Pi_0 f_n \epsilon_e$, $\Pi_m = 0$, respectively. Here, $\epsilon_e$ is a transverse polarization vector perpendicular to $\epsilon_z$. When it equals $\epsilon_x \times \epsilon_{x,y}$ (i.e., $\epsilon_y$ or $-\epsilon_x$), it leads to so-called $x$ or $y$ linear polarizations of the corresponding fields, respectively, which are denoted as $E^{(x)}_e$, $E^{(y)}_e$ and $H^{(x)}_e$, $H^{(y)}_e$ for LE and LM fields in the literature [18]. Here, subscripts $m$ and $e$ correspond to the type of nonzero Hertz vector potential, and superscripts $(x)$ and $(y)$ denoting polarization should not be confused with subscripts $x$, $y$, and $z$ denoting vector components. However, we prefer to use subscripts LE and LM in the field expressions to keep uniform notation across all Bessel beam types. Moreover, our definition of $(y)$ polarization ($\epsilon_e = -\epsilon_x$) corresponds to the linear $y$ polarization of a plane wave in the limit of $\alpha_0 = 0^\circ$ and $n = 0$ (see Sec. III B) but has inverse sign to that in Ref. [18]. The resulting electric fields for the $x$-polarized LE and LM Bessel
beams are the following:

\[ E_{\text{LE}, x}^{(x)} = \frac{E_0 k_z}{k} f_x, \quad E_{\text{LE}, y}^{(x)} = 0, \]
\[ E_{\text{LM}, x}^{(x)} = i \frac{E_0 k_t}{2k} (f_{n-1} - f_{n+1}), \]
\[ E_{\text{LM}, x}^{(x)} = \frac{i E_0 k_z^2}{4k^2} (f_{n-2} - f_{n+2}), \]
\[ E_{\text{LM}, y}^{(x)} = \frac{E_0 k_z^2}{k^2} \left[ \frac{k^2 + k_z^2}{2} f_n - k_z^2 \left( f_{n-2} + f_{n+2} \right) \right], \]
\[ E_{\text{LM}, z}^{(x)} = -\frac{E_0 k_z k_x}{2k^2} (f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}). \] (21)

Magnitude profiles for the electric field, Poynting vector, and energy density of LE and LM types are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. Note that these fields always have nonzero longitudinal components; however, the electric (for LE type) or magnetic (for LM type) field has a zero component along \( x \). The accompanying magnetic \( H_{\text{LE}}^{(x)} \), \( H_{\text{LM}}^{(x)} \) and electric fields \( E_{\text{LM}}^{(x)} \), \( E_{\text{LM}}^{(x)} \) have no zero components at all. Choosing \( e_\phi = e_\alpha \) above leads to circularly polarized Bessel beams (LE or LM) [18], which are discussed in Sec. III B along with other polarization relations.

Next, CS Bessel beam types are defined by \( \Pi_m = \eta^{-1} \Pi_0 \kappa_x e_\phi / 2 \), \( \Pi_x = \Pi_0 \kappa_x e_\phi / 2 \) and \( \Pi_m = -\eta^{-1} \Pi_0 \kappa_x e_\phi / 2 \), leading to two Bessel beam polarizations \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(1,0)} \) and \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(0,1)} \) (the subscript CS is usually omitted in the literature) with CS magnitude of the Poynting vector and energy density (see Fig. 6). This beam type should not be confused with circularly polarized beams, described above [18]. CS Bessel beams are much more common in experiments since they can be easily generated with an axicon [54]. To make the notation of different Bessel beams more uniform, we introduce the equivalent definitions \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(1,0)} \) \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(0,1)} \), \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(1,0)} \) \( E_{\text{CS}}^{(0,1)} \), which are further justified in Sec. III B. This beam type can also be produced using the angular-spectrum representation [18]. The electric field of the CS beam is the following:

\[ E_{\text{CS}, x}^{(x)} = \frac{E_0}{4k^2} \left[ (k + k_z)^2 f_n + \frac{k_z^2}{2} \left( f_{n-2} + f_{n+2} \right) \right], \]
\[ E_{\text{CS}, y}^{(x)} = i \frac{E_0 k_z^2}{4k^2} (f_{n-2} - f_{n+2}), \]
\[ E_{\text{CS}, z}^{(x)} = \frac{E_0 k_z (k_x + k)}{4k^2} (f_{n-1} - f_{n+1}). \] (23)

FIG. 4. Intensity profiles of components of \( E_{\text{LE}}^{(x)} \) and \( E_{\text{LM}}^{(x)} \) with the same parameters as in Fig. 2. Some components are scaled for better visibility. The \( y \) component of \( E_{\text{LE}}^{(y)} \) is identically zero [see Eq. (21)].
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components are scaled for better visibility.

Magnitude profiles for the electric field, Poynting vector, and energy density of the CS beam are presented in Figs. 6 and 7.

One may note that the choice of minus in one of the expressions for \( \Pi_m \) in the definition of the CS beam is somewhat arbitrary. Another set of CS beams can be obtained by inverting this sign (for both polarizations)—we will further denote them as CS’. We are not aware of their occurrence in the literature, but we discuss them in detail in further sections. Magnitude profiles for electric field, Poynting vector, and energy density of the CS’ beam are presented in Sec.III D.

Here, we only provide the explicit expressions for \( E_{CS}^{(x)} \), which corresponds to \( \Pi_m = -\eta^{-1}\Pi_0 f_0 e_1/2, \: \Pi_e = \Pi_0 f_0 e_2/2: \)

\[
E_{CS,x} = \frac{E_0}{4k^2} \left( (k - k_1)^2 f_1 + \frac{k_2^2}{2} (f_{n-2} + f_{n+2}) \right),
E_{CS,y} = \frac{i E_0 k_1^2}{8k^2} (f_{n-2} - f_{n+2}),
E_{CS,z} = \frac{i E_0 k_1 (k_2 - k)}{4k^2} (f_{n-1} - f_{n+1}).
\]

(24)

III. GENERALIZATION OF ALL BESSEL BEAM TYPES

A. Combination of transverse Hertz vector potentials

Let us first introduce a few convenient definitions. Maxwell’s equations in Eq. (1) are invariant with respect to the duality transformation or, more generally, to the duality rotation expressed as \( R_\chi \)

\[
R_\chi = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \chi & -\sin \chi \\
\sin \chi & \cos \chi
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(26)

which is effectively applied to the pair \((E, R_\chi)\). We also define \( R_{\pi/2} \) for brevity. The standard duality transformations correspond to \( R_{\pi/2} \). The duality invariance follows trivially from representation of Eq. (1) as

\[
(ik \Psi_{\pi/2} + \nabla \times) \begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(27)

and noticing that transformations \( R_\chi \) (or rotations \( R_\chi \)) for any complex \( \chi \) commute with each other.

The same process can be applied to the pair of Hertz vector potentials. For that, we write Eqs. (8) and (9) in a matrix form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_e \\ \Pi_m \end{pmatrix},
\]

(28)

where \( \mathcal{L} \) is a differential matrix operator:

\[
\mathcal{L} \equiv \nabla \times \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \times & k \eta \\
-ik \eta^{-1} & \nabla \times \end{pmatrix} = \nabla \times (ik \Psi_{\pi/2} + \nabla \times),
\]

(29)

and the vector operations (like curl) evidently commute with linear superposition operations (like duality rotation). This decomposition of \( \mathcal{L} \) implies that it commutes with \( P_\chi \), i.e., \( \mathcal{L} P_\chi = P_\chi \mathcal{L} \), which together with Eq. (28) implies that Eq. (25) can be equivalently applied to the pair \((\Pi_e, \Pi_m)\) instead. Combining Eqs. (27)–(29), we obtain

\[
\nabla \times (\nabla^2 + k^2) \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_e \\ \Pi_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(30)
i.e., the satisfaction of the Helmholtz equation by $\Pi_x$ and $\Pi_m$ is a sufficient but not a necessary condition (it is necessary only for their curls), which explains why the former was postulated in Sec. II A.

Both linear polarized (LE and LM) and CS Bessel beam types correspond to transverse Hertz potentials, i.e., those limited to the $xy$ plane. A natural generalization of these cases is a definition through an arbitrary complex matrix $\mathbf{M}$:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\Pi_x \\
\Pi_m
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\Pi_{xx} & \Pi_{xy} \\
\Pi_{mx} & \Pi_{my}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
e_x \\
e_y
\end{pmatrix} = \Pi_0 \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \eta^{-1}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
M_{ex} \\
M_{ey}
\end{pmatrix} f_n.
$$

(31)

As discussed in Sec. II A, any such linear combination leads to the Hertz potentials automatically satisfying the Helmholtz equation, and hence, the resulting fields satisfy Maxwell’s equations. Another convenient property of this matrix is that duality transformation $\mathbf{P}_r$ of Hertz potentials is equivalent to $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mathbf{M}$, while rotation in the $xy$ plane by the angle $\psi$ (rotation of field polarization) is equivalent to $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}^{-\psi}$ (explained in Sec. III B).

While the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is very simple for most existing Bessel beam types (see Sec. III C), the expressions for field components derived using Eqs. (14)–(18) are simpler using the matrix $\mathbf{M}'$, corresponding to the basis vectors $e_\pm$:

$$
\mathbf{M}' \begin{pmatrix} e_+ \\ e_-
\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}' \begin{pmatrix} e_+ \\ e_-
\end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}^{-1},
$$

(32)

where $\mathbf{W}$ is the basis-transformation matrix:

$$
\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & i \\
1 & -i
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{W}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & i \\
1 & -i
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(33)

To avoid confusion, we will use different subscripts to denote components of these matrices but omit primes for components of matrix $\mathbf{M}'$:

$$
\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{ex} & M_{ey} \\
M_{mx} & M_{my}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}' = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{e,+} & M_{e,-} \\
M_{m,+} & M_{m,-}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(34)

The general expressions for $\mathbf{E}$ in the basis $e_\pm, e_\pm$ are obtained from Eqs. (15), (18), and (28)–(32):

$$
\begin{align*}
E_\pm &= \Pi_0 \left[ \left( \frac{k^2 + k_z^2}{2} M_{e,\pm} \pm ik_z M_{m,\pm} \right) f_n + \frac{k_z^2}{2} M_{e,\pm} f_{n+2} \right], \\
E_\pm &= \Pi_0 k_i \left( \left( M_{e,\pm} + k M_{m,\pm} \right) f_{n-1} - \left( i k_z M_{e,\pm} + k M_{m,\pm} \right) f_{n+1} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

(35)

which can be recast into the Cartesian basis as well:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_x &= \Pi_0 \left[ \left( \frac{k^2 + k_z^2}{2} M_{e,x} + k k_z M_{m,y} \right) f_n \\
&+ \frac{k_z^2}{4} \left( (M_{e,x} + i M_{e,y}) f_{n-2} + (M_{e,x} - i M_{e,y}) f_{n+2} \right) \right], \\
E_y &= \Pi_0 \left[ \left( \frac{k^2 + k_z^2}{2} M_{e,y} - k k_z M_{m,x} \right) f_n \\
&+ \frac{k_z^2}{4} \left( (M_{e,y} + i M_{e,x}) f_{n-2} - (M_{e,y} - i M_{e,x}) f_{n+2} \right) \right], \\
E_z &= \frac{\Pi_0 k_i}{2} \left( [i k_z (M_{e,x} + i M_{e,y}) \pm k (M_{m,x} + i M_{m,y})] f_{n-1} \\
&- [i k_z (M_{e,x} - i M_{e,y}) \pm k M_{m,x} \pm i M_{m,y}] f_{n+1} \right). \quad (36)
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions for the LE, LM, and CS Bessel beams in Sec. II B are specific cases of Eq. (36).

At this point, one may wonder why we have not included $z$ components of the potentials in Eq. (31), e.g., to describe the TE and TM Bessel beams. To explain this, let us recall that any gradient can be added to either $\Pi_x$ or $\Pi_m$ without changing the fields. Applying this argument to $\nabla f_n$ [Eq. (14)], we see that any potential of the form $f_n e_\pm$ is equivalent to the superposition of transverse potentials of orders $n \pm 1$.

Let us further discuss the ambiguity of potentials. The basic functions $f_n$ for different orders $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are linearly independent. Therefore, if all orders $n$ are considered at once, Eq. (31) has 4 (complex) degrees of freedom (matrix $\mathbf{M}$) per order (keeping $k_z$ and $k_l$ fixed). By contrast, $\mathbf{E}$ is also a linear superposition of $f_n$, but its divergence [again, superposition of $f_n$, see Eq. (16)] must be zero (one constraint for each order). Therefore, the fields have only two degrees of freedom per order since $\mathbf{H}$ is fully determined by $\mathbf{E}$. To determine the relations between matrices $\mathbf{M}$ for various orders, note that any pair of potentials of the form:

$$
(i k \mathbf{P}_{r/2} + \nabla \times) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{V} \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},
$$

(37)

where $u, v, \mathbf{U}$, and $\mathbf{V}$ are arbitrary solutions to scalar and vector Helmholtz equations, respectively, is also a pair of solutions to this equation and belongs to the null space of operator $\mathcal{L}$ (also mentioned in Sec. II A). In the following, we express these functions as a superposition of several $f_n$.

The action of curl can be simplified using Eqs. (14) and (15):

$$
\nabla \times (f_n e_\pm) = \pm \frac{1}{2 k_z} \left[ (k^2 + k_z^2) f_n e_\pm - k_z^2 f_{n \pm 2} e_\pm \right],
$$

(38)

where the equivalence is up to a gradient. We will not track the explicit values of $u$ and $v$, noting that their values are determined by the requirement of transversality of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$. Using Eq. (38), we obtain

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} \left( \begin{pmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{pmatrix} f_n \right) = \mathbf{A} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 + k_z^2 & -k_z^2 N_2 \\ k_z^2 N_2 & -(k_z^2 + k_l^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{pmatrix} f_n \right),
$$

(39)

where the operator $N$ changes the order of $f_n \left( N \overset{\text{def}}{=} f_{n+1} \right)$, and $\mathbf{A}$ is an arbitrary matrix with the same structure as $\mathbf{M}'$. Combining Eq. (39) with Eqs. (31) and (37), we obtain the following trivial increment for $\mathbf{M}'$:

$$
i k R \mathbf{A} + \frac{k^2 + k_z^2}{2 k_z} \mathbf{A} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) + \frac{k_l^2}{2 k_z} \mathbf{A} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -N_2 \\ N_2 & 0 \end{array} \right) \sim 0,
$$

(40)

i.e., it can be added to $\mathbf{M}'$ without changing the fields. Denoting the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ as $\mathbf{A}_+$ and $\mathbf{A}_-$, we rewrite Eq. (40) as

$$
(R_+ \mathbf{A}_+ - R_- \mathbf{A}_-) \sim (-N_2 \mathbf{A}_- N_2 \mathbf{A}_+),
$$

(41)
where we introduced imaginary rotation angle $\xi$:

$$\xi \equiv i \text{arsinh} \frac{2kk_z}{k_i^2} = 2i \ln \frac{k + k_z}{k_i} = 2i \ln \cot \frac{a_0}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_\xi = \frac{1}{k_i^2} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 + k_i^2 & -2kk_z \\ 2kk_z & k^2 + k_i^2 \end{pmatrix}$$ (42)

Recalling that $\mathbf{A}$ is an arbitrary matrix, we equate the left-hand side of Eq. (41) to $\mathbf{M}'$, which leads to a surprisingly concise expression:

$$\mathbf{M}' \sim (\mathbf{N}_- \mathbf{R}_\xi \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{R}_\perp \mathbf{M}_+ )$$

$$= \mathbf{N}_- \mathbf{R}_\xi \mathbf{M} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{R}_\perp \mathbf{M} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ (43)

The equivalent expressions in the Cartesian basis are obtained using Eq. (32):

$$\mathbf{M} \sim \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{N}_- \mathbf{R}_\xi \mathbf{M} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{R}_\perp \mathbf{M} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$ (44)

We proved that an arbitrary pair of transverse Hertz vector potentials of order $n$ is equivalent (in terms of resulting field) to a superposition of the potentials of orders $n \pm 2$. This implies that every second odd and every second even order are, strictly speaking, redundant. However, if only one order $n$ is considered, as is common in applications, then all elements of $\mathbf{M}$ (or $\mathbf{M}'$) lead to linearly independent fields.

Let us now come back to longitudinal potentials, specifically to a general expression of order $n$, specified by two-dimensional (2D) vector of coefficients $\mathbf{q}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi_e \\ \Pi_m \end{pmatrix} = \Pi_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_e \\ q_m \end{pmatrix} f_n e_z.$$ (45)

Using Eqs. (15) and (43), the equivalent transverse potentials can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{M}' = \frac{ik_i}{2k_z} \begin{pmatrix} N_{-1} \mathbf{q} & -N_1 \mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sim \frac{ik_i}{2k_z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - R_\xi \mathbf{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix} N_{-1}$$

$$\sim -\frac{ik_i}{2k_z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - R_{-\xi} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix} N_1.$$ (46)

Importantly, it is sufficient to use transverse potentials of a single order (either $n - 1$ or $n + 1$) and only a single direction ($e_+$ or $e_-$, respectively) but at a cost of using both $\Pi_e$ and $\Pi_m$ even for the simplest TE and TM beams (see specific expressions in Sec. III.C). Conversely, Eq. (46) implies that any transverse potential of order $n$ is equivalent to a superposition of longitudinal potentials of orders $n \pm 1$. Therefore, the set of longitudinal Hertz vector potentials of all orders is another complete basis for Bessel beams, equivalent to the basis of CVWFs.

To conclude this section, the above results show that specifying the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ or $\mathbf{M}'$ for a specific order $n$ allows one not only to describe the Bessel beams for arbitrary transverse Hertz vector potential of order $n$ but also for arbitrary longitudinal potentials of orders $n \pm 1$, for transverse potentials of orders $n \pm 2$ proportional to $\mathbf{e}_+ \mathbf{e}_+$ and $\mathbf{e}_+ \mathbf{e}_-$, respectively, and for any linear combination of the above.

### B. Polarization rotation

Most light-scattering codes are tailored for the calculation of the Mueller (or amplitude) scattering matrices, which requires simulations for two polarizations (commonly linear) of the incident field [28]. These polarizations need to be connected by $\pi/2$ rotation to enable rotation relations for the scattering matrices (discussed below in Sec. IV A). In the case of Bessel beams (of any specific type), our goal is to also define two such basis polarizations:

$$\mathbf{E}^\parallel (\mathbf{r}) \propto R_{\pi/2} \mathbf{E}^\perp (\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{R}_{\pi/2} \mathbf{E}^\perp (\mathbf{R}_{-\pi/2} \mathbf{r}),$$ (47)

where $\mathbf{R}_\psi$ is the rotation operator (acting on a field), and $\mathbf{R}_\psi$ is the $3 \times 3$ rotation matrix (acting on a vector) over the angle $\psi$ around the beam propagation axis (positive value in a clockwise direction when viewing along this axis). For the default propagation along the $z$ axis (as considered in this paper), $\mathbf{R}_\psi$ is exactly 2D-rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}_\psi$ accompanied by 1 at the position (3,3). Parallel and perpendicular polarizations are considered with respect to the scattering plane, as typically used for scattering matrices [28]. For a plane wave, the rotation of $\mathbf{r}$ in Eq. (47) is redundant, and the proportionality can be replaced by equality. By contrast, a Bessel beam is generally a vortex beam (i.e., its phase depends on the rotation of $\mathbf{r}$, specified by $\mathbf{R}_\psi$) around the beam propagation axis (positive value

Using the definitions of Sec. III A [Eqs. (28) and (31)], we can apply rotation transformation to an arbitrary Bessel beam, for both electric and magnetic fields:

$$\mathbf{R}_\psi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_\psi \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \mathbf{r}) \\ \mathbf{R}_\psi \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \Pi_0 \mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \mathbf{r} \frac{f_n}{\mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \mathbf{r}}.$$ (48)

where we used that the rotation and curl operators commute, rotation of each element in a row is equivalent to multiplication by $\mathbf{R}_\psi^T = \mathbf{R}_{-\psi}$ from the right, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_+ \\ \mathbf{e}_- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_+ \\ \mathbf{e}_- \end{pmatrix}.$$ (49)

Moreover, the rotation of the argument of $f_n$ is trivial:

$$f_n(\mathbf{R}_{-\psi} \mathbf{r}) = f_n(\rho, \phi, -\psi, z) = \exp(-in\psi)f_n(\mathbf{r}),$$ (50)

leading to the following general relation:

$$\mathbf{R}_\psi \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \exp(-in\psi) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R}_{-\psi}).$$ (51)

In other words, the rotation of any Bessel beam is equivalent to the transformation of its defining matrix $\mathbf{M}$. The rotations through the angles $\pi$ and $2\pi$ are especially simple:

$$\mathbf{R}_\pi \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{M}) = (-1)^{n+1} \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{M}),$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{2\pi} \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{M}).$$ (52)
Here and further in this section, we discuss only electric fields since the corresponding rotation expressions for magnetic fields are the same.

Based on the above, we postulate the two orthogonal polarizations of the Bessel beams to be related as \[\text{[cf. Eq. (47)]}\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_{\pi/2} \vec{E}^{\perp} = i^{-n} \vec{E}^{\parallel} \Leftrightarrow \vec{M}_{\perp} = \vec{M}_{\parallel} R, \tag{53}\]

i.e., their matrices are related by simple rotation without any additional phase factors. We discuss the exact meaning of orthogonality in Sec. III E. Here, we note that this definition complies with existing linear and circular polarizations of the Bessel beams, discussed in Sec. II B (corresponding to the rotation of Hertz vector potentials). The pair \(\{\vec{E}^{(x)}, \vec{E}^{(y)}\}\) for any of LE, LM, CS, and CS’ beams can be used as a pair \(\{\vec{E}^{\perp}, \vec{E}^{\parallel}\}\), although their relation to the scattering plane (yz plane by default) cannot be described by simple perpendicular or parallel notions.

The only missing component is the polarizations for TE and TM types since they are almost axisymmetric and have trivial rotation transformations (due to their expressions through the \(z\)-polarized Hertz vector potentials):

\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi \vec{E}_{TE,TM,n} = \exp(-i n \psi) \vec{E}_{TE,TM,n}, \tag{54}\]

where we explicitly specify the order of the Bessel beam as a subscript to avoid confusion. Let us introduce \(x\) and \(y\) components for these beams \(\{\vec{E}^{(x)}_{TE}, \vec{E}^{(y)}_{TE}, \vec{E}^{(x)}_{TM}, \vec{E}^{(y)}_{TM}\}\), where \(L\) stands for a linearly polarized component through the following relations:

\[
\vec{E}_{TE,TM,n,n+1} = \vec{E}^{(x)}_{TE,TM,n} \pm i \vec{E}^{(y)}_{TE,TM,n}, \tag{55}\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi \vec{E}^{(x)}_{TE,TM,n} = i^{-n} \vec{E}^{(y)}_{TE,TM,n}. \tag{56}\]

These are not sufficient for unique determination of the introduced components. However, if we additionally require the corresponding matrices \(\vec{M}\) to be real (for real \(\alpha_0\)), which is important for orthogonality relations, see Sec. III E, then the only possibility is

\[
\vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{TE} = k^{-1} \left[ k_0 \vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{LE} \pm k \vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{LM} \right], \tag{57}\]

\[
\vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{TM} = k^{-1} \left[ k_0 \vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{LM} \pm k \vec{E}^{(x,y)}_{LE} \right]. \tag{58}\]

where \(\pm\) corresponds to \(x\) and \(y\) polarizations on the left-hand sides, respectively. The examples of the corresponding magnitude profiles for electric field, Poynting vector, and energy density are presented in Figs. 8 and 9.

While the resulting relations between the LE, LM, TE, and TM Bessel beams are known in the literature [23], Eqs. (54)–(58) can be trivially derived from the \(\vec{M}\)-matrix representations of these beams (see Table III below). The fundamental reason for the change of order between the original TE and TM beams and their components is explained in Sec. III A [Eq. (46)]. Moreover, Eq. (56) implies that the \(x\) and \(y\) components form a proper pair \(\{\vec{E}^{x}, \vec{E}^{y}\}\), as was our intention.

To get a better understanding of the introduced beam types, let us consider the plane-wave limit, i.e., \(\alpha_0 = 0^\circ\) and \(n = 0\) (for fixed \(r\)). The limiting expressions for these and other Bessel beam types are given in Table I; they follow from the expressions in Sec. II B. The LE beam corresponds to the same-polarized electric field, LM corresponds to the same-polarized magnetic field and, hence, to the orthogonal (rotated) electric-field polarization. The CS beam approaches a linearly polarized plane wave, which illustrates that CS is related not to circular polarization but rather to a CS intensity (which is always the case for any polarization of a plane wave). By contrast, the CS’ beam vanishes in this limit for any order \(n\) as \(\mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2)\) due to the cancelation of the corresponding contributions from electric and magnetic vector potentials. However, it is \(\mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2)\) for \(n = 1\), while for \(n = 2\), we have \(\lim \alpha_0 \to 0 \vec{E}^{(\psi)}_{CS} = \alpha_0^2 E_0 e_\psi / 8\), i.e., the CS’ beam is distinctly related to the circular polarization. Similar limiting behavior is
obtained for the TE and TM beams. They are $O(\alpha_0^2)$ for $n = 0$, while
\[
\lim_{n \to 0} E_{\text{TE}} = -\frac{\alpha_0 E_0 e_+}{2}, \quad \lim_{n \to 0} E_{\text{TM}} = \frac{\alpha_0 E_0 e_+}{2},
\]
(59)
which follows from the limiting expressions for the TEL and TML beams of order 0. The latter are like the LE and LM beams but are proportional to $\alpha_0$. Thus, the TEL and TML beams are analogous to linear polarizations of a plane wave (justifying $L$ in their names), while the TE and TM beams are analogous to a circular one. We do not consider the paraxial (or scalar-beam) limit in detail, which can be described as $\alpha_0 \ll 1$ with $k, \rho$ fixed (meaningful for any $n$). However, it has the same vectorial structure (polarization) as the plane-wave limit, while the principal difference is the radial dependence $J_n(k, \rho)$ spanning over distances much larger than the wavelength.

Another illustrative limit, considered in Table I, is that of a tightly focused Bessel beam, i.e., $a_0 \to \pi/2$ ($k \to 0$, $k \to k$). When combined with short pulses, this limit is also known as the electromagnetic bullet [57]. The corresponding expressions make use of the following shorthand definitions:
\[
F_n \equiv \frac{1}{2} f_n e_x, \quad F_n^* \equiv \frac{1}{4} (f_{n-1} e_+ + f_{n+1} e_-),
\]
(60)
and $f_n(r) \to J_n(k \rho) \exp(i n \phi)$. Note that both $F_n$ and $F_n^*$ are eigenfunctions of the rotation operator:
\[
\mathcal{R}_\phi F_n = \exp(-i n \phi) F_n, \quad \mathcal{R}_\phi F_n^* = \exp(-i n \phi) F_n^*,
\]
(61)
TABLE I. The electric field in two limiting cases for different Bessel beam types. Definitions of the constituent functions are given in the text. Expressions for other polarizations can be obtained by rotation [Eqs. (53) and (56)].

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Type} & \text{Description} & \text{Field} & \text{Plane-wave limit (units of $E_0 \exp(ikz)$)} & \text{Bullet limit (units of $E_0$)} \\
\hline
\text{LE} & \text{Linearly polarized electric field} & F_{\text{LE}}^{(x)} & e_x & (F_{n-1}^x - F_{n+1}^x) \\
\text{LM} & \text{Linearly polarized magnetic field} & F_{\text{LM}}^{(x)} & e_y & (F_{n-1}^y - F_{n+1}^y) \\
\text{CS} & \text{Circularly symmetric energy density} & F_{\text{CS}}^{(x)} & \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2) & \frac{1}{2} (F_{n-1}^x + F_{n+1}^x + i \mathcal{R}_\phi F_{n-1}^x - i \mathcal{R}_\phi F_{n+1}^x) \\
\text{CS'} & \text{Alternative CS} & F_{\text{CS}}^{(y)} & \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2) & \frac{1}{2} (F_{n-1}^y + F_{n+1}^y + i \mathcal{R}_\phi F_{n-1}^y - i \mathcal{R}_\phi F_{n+1}^y) \\
\text{TE} & \text{Transverse electric field} & F_{\text{TE}} & \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2) & -2F_n^x \\
\text{TM} & \text{Transverse magnetic field} & F_{\text{TM}} & \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2) & 2F_n^y \\
\text{TEL} & \text{Linear component of TE} & E_{\text{TEL}}^{(x)} & -\alpha_0 e_x/2 & -F_{n-1}^x + F_{n+1}^x \\
\text{TML} & \text{Linear component of TM} & E_{\text{TML}}^{(x)} & -\alpha_0 e_y/2 & F_{n-1}^x + F_{n+1}^x \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

FIG. 9. Magnitude profiles of the Poynting vector components and energy density for either $E_{\text{TEL}}^{(x)}$ or $E_{\text{TML}}^{(x)}$, with the same parameters as in Fig. 2.
An alternative normalization is also an option leading to a rotation matrix for a complex angle \( \alpha, \beta \) that will be discussed in Sec. III D. Moreover, the symmetry of the CS beams under polarization and duality rotation leads to the symmetry of the time-averaged energy density and magnitude of the Poynting vector that will be discussed in Sec. III D.

Another important property, evident from Table III, is that a beam defined by an arbitrary matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) is a linear combination of \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{LE}}^{(\psi)}, \mathbf{E}_{\text{LM}}^{(\psi)}, \mathbf{E}_{\text{TE}}^{(\psi)}, \) and \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{TM}}^{(\psi)} \). Therefore, one can immediately express other Bessel beam types through these 4 beams [see also Eqns. (57) and (58)]:

\[
\mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\text{LE}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} + \mathbf{E}_{\text{LM}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{2},
\]

valid for arbitrary \( \alpha, \beta \) and each Bessel beam type (subscripts LE, LM, CS, CS', TEL, and TML). This relation follows from the matrix representation and Eqs. (53) and (64). The result is a straightforward generalization of the rotation relation for plane waves \([58]\).

Moreover, note that, by definition, \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{LE}}^{(-1,0)} = -\mathbf{E}_{\text{LE}}^{(1,0)} \), and Eq. (69) implies Eq. (52) for \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \). Thus, any pair \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \) and \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(-\beta, \alpha)} \) can be used as a pair \( \{\mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(\pm1, \pm1)}\} \) [cf. Eq. (53)] but becomes degenerate whenever \( (-\beta, \alpha) \) is proportional to \( (\alpha, \beta) \), which is equivalent to \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0 \). The latter case corresponds to generalized circular polarizations \((1, \pm i)\) (up to a constant factor), which break the normalization given by Eq. (66) and are eigenfunctions of the rotation operator:

\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi \mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(1, \pm i)} = \exp[-in(\pm 1)\psi] \mathbf{E}_{\text{CS}}^{(1, \pm i)}.
\]

This equation is a generalization of expressions for TE and TM beams [cf. Eqs. (54) and (63)] and is simpler if written in terms of matrix \( \mathbf{M} \), using Eq. (51):

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\text{TE}}^{(1, \pm 2i)} = \exp(\pm i \psi) \mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}^{(1, \pm i)}.
\]

C. Duality rotation and relations between Bessel beam types

Duality transformations are naturally incorporated into the general framework described in Sec. III A. Specifically, Table III demonstrates that the LE and LM, TE and TM, and TEL and TML Bessel beam types are paired by the duality transformation. We do not show the corresponding expressions for matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) since they are trivially obtained from Eq. (32) and are in most cases more complicated. The only exception is the TE and TM beams, for which

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\text{TE}} = \frac{1}{k_1} \begin{pmatrix} -k & 0 \\ -ikz & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{\text{TM}} = \frac{1}{k_1} \begin{pmatrix} -ik_x & 0 \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where the matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) of order \( n \) corresponds to the TE and TM beams of order \( n + 1 \). Equation (72) follows from the definition of these beams in Sec. II B and Eq. (46). Note that duality transformations directly relate the electric field of one beam to the magnetic field of the other. If one is interested in the \(|\mathbf{H}|^2\) profiles of various beams, one may look at the \(|\mathbf{E}|^2\) profile of the corresponding dual beam.

For the CS beams, the matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) is a (scaled) rotation matrix itself, even for \( (\alpha, \beta) \), see Table II; hence, it commutes with other rotations:

\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi \mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \mathcal{R}_\psi.
\]

That means that a duality rotation is equivalent to the polarization rotation by the inverse angle. By contrast, for the CS’ beams, the matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) is a (scaled) reflection matrix; thus, it satisfies

\[
\mathcal{R}_\psi \mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \mathcal{R}_{-\psi}.
\]
TABLE III. The relations between different Bessel beams via polarization and duality rotations. Note that the order of the TE and TM beams is larger by 1 than the order of the corresponding matrix M.

| Type   | Field | M                     | Polarization rotation | Duality rotation |
|--------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| LE     | \(E_{LE}^{(x)}\) | \(
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\) | \(M_{LE}^{(x)} R = M_{LE}^{(x)}\) | \(RM_{LM}^{(x)} = M_{LE}^{(x)}\) |
|        | \(E_{LE}^{(y)}\) | \(
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\) |                       |                  |
| LM     | \(E_{LM}^{(x)}\) | \(
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\) | \(M_{LM}^{(y)} R = M_{LM}^{(y)}\) |                  |
|        | \(E_{LM}^{(y)}\) | \(
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\) |                       |                  |
| CS     | \(E_{CS}^{(x)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\) | \(M_{CS}^{(x)} R = M_{CS}^{(x)}\) | \(RM_{CS}^{(x)} = M_{CS}^{(x)}\) |
|        | \(E_{CS}^{(y)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\) |                       |                  |
| CS'    | \(E_{CS'}^{(x)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\) | \(M_{CS'}^{(x)} R = M_{CS'}^{(x)}\) | \(RM_{CS'}^{(x)} = M_{CS'}^{(x)}\) |
|        | \(E_{CS'}^{(y)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\) |                       |                  |
| TE     | \(E_{TEL,n+1}^{(x)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{k_1}(-k \alpha + i\beta)
\) | \(M_{TEL} R_{\psi}^{x} = \exp(i\psi) M_{TEL}\) | \(RM_{TM} = M_{TE}\) |
|        | \(E_{TEL,n+1}^{(y)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{k_1}(-i\alpha + k \beta)
\) |                       |                  |
| TM     | \(E_{TM,n+1}^{(x)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{k_1}(-k \alpha + i\beta)
\) | \(M_{TM} R_{\psi}^{x} = \exp(i\psi) M_{TM}\) |                  |
|        | \(E_{TM,n+1}^{(y)}\) | \(
\frac{1}{k_1}(-i\alpha + k \beta)
\) |                       |                  |

\begin{align}
E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} &= k_1^{-1} \{-ik \left[ E_{LM,n}^{(x)} + i E_{LM,n}^{(y)} \right] + k_1 \left[ E_{LE,n}^{(x)} + i E_{LE,n}^{(y)} \right] \}, \\
E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} &= \frac{i}{2}(E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n}^{(y)}), \\
E_{TM,n}^{(x)} &= \frac{i}{2}(E_{TM,n}^{(x)} + E_{TM,n}^{(y)}), \\
E_{TM,n}^{(y)} &= \frac{i}{2}(E_{TM,n}^{(x)} - E_{TM,n}^{(y)}),
\end{align}

(77)

which can then be combined into any basic type with transverse potentials:

\begin{align}
F_{LE}^{(x)}(x,y) &= k_1^{-1} \left[ \pm \left[ E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} \right] \right], \\
F_{LM}^{(x)}(x,y) &= k_1 \left[ \mp \left[ E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} \right] \right], \\
F_{CS}^{(x)}(x,y) &= \frac{k_1}{2(k - k_z)} \left[ \mp \left[ E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} \right] \right], \\
F_{CS'}^{(x)}(x,y) &= \frac{k_1}{2(k + k_z)} \left[ \mp \left[ E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} \right] \right],
\end{align}

(81, 82, 83, 84)

where the beam orders are specified only when they are different inside one equation.

Naturally, there are other ways to choose the basis for Bessel beams. For instance, Wang et al. [23] proposed the basis consisting solely of the TE and TM beams. We have mentioned this possibility at the end of Sec. III A, but let us discuss in more detail below. First, we express the TEL and TML beams of order \(n\), using Eq. (63):

\begin{align}
E_{TEL,n}^{(x)} &= \frac{E_{TEL,n-1}^{(x)} + E_{TEL,n+1}^{(x)}}{2}, \\
E_{TEL,n}^{(y)} &= \frac{i(E_{TEL,n-1}^{(x)} - E_{TEL,n+1}^{(x)})}{2}, \\
E_{TM,n}^{(x)} &= \frac{E_{TM,n-1}^{(x)} + E_{TM,n+1}^{(x)}}{2}, \\
E_{TM,n}^{(y)} &= \frac{i(E_{TM,n-1}^{(x)} - E_{TM,n+1}^{(x)})}{2},
\end{align}

(79, 80)

where the order of all beams is the same. These expressions for the LE and CS beams are analogous to that in Ref. [23] up to constant factors due to different definitions of \(f_n\). Moreover, they show that the TEL and TML beams also form a convenient basis, as well as the CS and CS' beams. Further discussion of these bases with respect to orthogonality is postponed until Sec. III E. Interestingly, Eqs. (79) and (80) demonstrate that \(E_{TEL}^{(x,y)}\) and \(H_{TEL}^{(x,y)}\) are fully transverse (have zero \(z\) components), inheriting this property from the TE and TM beams, respectively.
Finally, many recurrent relations can be derived from Eq. (44). For instance, starting with \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{LE},n}^{(1,-i)\prime} \) or \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{LM},n}^{(1,-i)\prime} \), the last term in Eq. (44) vanishes, and we obtain, respectively,

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{1}\mathbf{E}_{\text{LE},n}^{(1,-i)\prime} &= 2i\kappa_{1}\mathbf{E}_{\text{LM},n-2}^{(1)} - (k^{2} + k_{0}^{2})\mathbf{E}_{\text{LE},n-2}^{(1)}, \\
\kappa_{1}\mathbf{E}_{\text{LM},n}^{(1,-i)\prime} &= -2i\kappa_{1}\mathbf{E}_{\text{LM},n-2}^{(1)} - (k^{2} + k_{0}^{2})\mathbf{E}_{\text{LM},n-2}^{(1)}.
\end{align*}
\]  

These relationships of Bessel beams of different orders [and Eq. (44), in general] can be considered specific cases of spin-orbit interactions for vector beams [3].

D. Quadratic functionals of fields

In this and the next section, we will consider complex conjugation of fields. Thus, to simplify discussion, we require the angle \( \alpha_{0} \) to be real, which implies that both \( \hat{k}_{1} \equiv k_{1}/k \) and \( \hat{k}_{2} \equiv k_{2}/k \) are also real. We have introduced \( \hat{k}_{1} \) and \( \hat{k}_{2} \) to shorten further expressions, although they are equal to \( \sin \alpha_{0} \) and \( \cos \alpha_{0} \), respectively. Let us first rewrite some of the previous formulae in matrix form. Equations (15), (17), and (18) can be rewritten as

\[
\nabla \times \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \\ \mathbf{e}_{z} \end{pmatrix} f_{n} = i\hat{T} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \\ \mathbf{e}_{z} \end{pmatrix} f_{n},
\]

where, as before, the tilde and prime denote that the matrix describes the action on full three-dimensional vectors (not only transverse ones), and the circular transverse basis is used, respectively. If the standard Cartesian basis is used, Eq. (87) is transformed into

\[
\nabla \times \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \\ \mathbf{e}_{z} \end{pmatrix} f_{n} = \hat{T} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \\ \mathbf{e}_{z} \end{pmatrix} f_{n},
\]

where \( \hat{T} \) is defined as

\[
\hat{T} = \hat{W}^{-1}\hat{T}\hat{W}.
\]

which is equivalent to Eqs. (35) and (36) and not necessarily simpler since it requires a lot of additional definitions. The true power of this representation, however, manifests itself in computing the quadratic or sesquilinear combinations of the fields. For instance, a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix of vector norms and scalar products \( \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H} \) is given as

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E} \\ \eta \mathbf{H} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E} \\ \eta \mathbf{H} \end{array} \right)^{H} = |E_{0}|^{2}(M^{T}T - i\mathbf{R}M^{T})
\]

where the matrices \( T \) and \( T' \) are multiplied as usual with the only caveat that operators \( N_{1} \) in the original and Hermitian transposed matrices act on \( f_{n} \) and \( f_{n}^{*} \), respectively. The diagonal matrix \( C_{1} \) is defined as

\[
C_{1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{+} \\ \mathbf{e}_{-} \end{pmatrix}^{H} = \hat{W}\hat{W}^{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The first element of the resulting matrix in Eq. (93) is \( |\mathbf{E}|^{2} \), but the explicit expression is cumbersome and can also be obtained from Eq. (35):

\[
|\mathbf{E}|^{2} = |E_{0}|^{2} \left[ \left| \left( (1 + \hat{k}_{2})M_{e,+} + 2i\hat{k}_{1}M_{m,+} \right) \left|^{2} + \left| \left( 1 + \hat{k}_{2} \right)M_{e,-} - 2i\hat{k}_{1}M_{m,-} \right|^{2} \right| + \frac{\hat{k}_{1}^{2}}{2} \left| M_{e,+} - f_{n-1} \right|^{2} + \frac{\hat{k}_{1}^{2}}{2} \left| M_{e,-} + iM_{m,+} \right|^{2} \right| f_{n}^{2} + \frac{\hat{k}_{1}^{4}}{2} \left| M_{e,-} - f_{n-1} \right|^{2} + \left| M_{e,+} + f_{n+1} \right|^{2} \right] + \Re \left( \hat{k}_{2} \left( \left| M_{e,+} \right|^{2} + 2i\hat{k}_{1}M_{m,+}^{*} \right) f_{n+2} \right) + \Re \left( \hat{k}_{2} \left( \left| M_{e,-} \right|^{2} - 2i\hat{k}_{1}M_{m,-}^{*} \right) f_{n-2} \right) - 2\left( \hat{k}_{2} \right) \left( \left| M_{e,+} + iM_{m,+} \right|^{2} \right) f_{n+1} f_{n-1}^{*}.
\]
Let us instead consider a symmetric combination \( w \equiv \| \mathbf{E} \|^2 + \| \mathbf{H} \|^2 \), which is proportional to the time-averaged energy density of the electromagnetic field if the host medium is nonabsorbing (i.e., both \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) are real). The latter is conventionally given as \( (\varepsilon \| \mathbf{E} \|^2 + \mu \| \mathbf{H} \|^2)/4 \) [59], although other definitions have been proposed in the case of a magnetic medium [60]. In a weakly absorbing medium, \( w \) is approximately equal to the energy density. Using the property of a trace of matrix product, i.e., \( \text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA) \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  w &= \{ E_0^2 \} \| \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{M}^*) - \mathbf{Y}_1 (\mathbf{M}^H i \mathbf{RM}^*) \},
\end{align*}
\]

where the Hermitian matrices \( \mathbf{X}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{Y}_1 \) are defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \mathbf{X}_1 &\equiv \mathbf{T}_f \mathbf{f}_n \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{T}^H f_n^* + \mathbf{T}_2^* \mathbf{f}_n \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{T}_2^H f_n^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (k_n^2 + 8k_2^2) |f_n|^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + k_n^2 \begin{pmatrix} |f_{n+1}^*|^2 & 2f_{n+1} f_{n+1}^* \\ 2f_{n-1} f_{n-1}^* & |f_{n-1}^*|^2 \end{pmatrix} \right], \\
  \mathbf{Y}_1 &\equiv \mathbf{T}_f \mathbf{f}_n \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{T}_2^H f_n^* + \mathbf{T}_2^* \mathbf{f}_n \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{T}_2^H f_n^* = 2k_n (1 + k_n^2) |f_n|^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + k_n^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2|f_{n+1}^*|^2 & f_{n+1} f_{n+1}^* - f_{n-1} f_{n-1}^* \\ 2f_{n-1} f_{n-1}^* & -2|f_{n-1}^*|^2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c.c. \) denotes the complex conjugate of another off-diagonal term in the same matrix.

Equation (96) consists of traces of products of two Hermitian matrices; each equals the element-wise inner product of these matrices. Thus, albeit being still cumbersome, \( w \) has a simple structure of linear combinations of various products of \( f_n \) with weights given by elements of matrices \( \mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{M}^* \) and \( \mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{RM}^* \). Obviously, Eq. (12) implies

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_n f_n^* &= J_n(k_n \rho) J_n(k_n \rho) \exp[i(n - l) \varphi] \exp(-2 \varepsilon \text{Im} k_n),
\end{align*}
\]

which means that the diagonals of matrices \( \mathbf{X}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{Y}_1 \) contribute to \( \varphi \)-independent terms, while off-diagonal terms contribute to \( \varphi \)-dependent ones.

The first important conclusion is that \( w \) is CS (independent of \( \varphi \)) if and only if both \( \mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{M}^* \) and \( \mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{RM}^* \) are diagonal since the terms \( f_{n+1} f_{n+1}^* \mathbf{M}^* \mathbf{M} \) in \( \mathbf{X}_1 \) cannot be compensated by any terms in \( \mathbf{Y}_1 \). This is equivalent to the condition:

\[
\begin{align*}
  M_{e,+} M_{e,+}^* - M_{m,+} M_{m,+}^* &= 0 = M_{e,+} M_{m,+}^* - M_{m,-} M_{m,-}^*,
\end{align*}
\]

which, in turn, is equivalent to either (1) \( \mathbf{M}^e = \mathbf{0} \) or \( \mathbf{M}^m = \mathbf{0} \), i.e., any linear combination of the TE and TM beams of the same order [cf. Eqs. (46) and (72)] or (2) all elements of \( \mathbf{M}^e \) or \( \mathbf{M}^m \) are zero, and

\[
\begin{align*}
  M_{e,+} &= M_{e,-} \quad M_{m,+} = M_{m,-} = \pm i.
\end{align*}
\]

In terms of matrix \( \mathbf{M} \), Eq. (101) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
  M_{e,x} &= \pm M_{m,y} \\
  M_{e,y} &= \mp M_{m,x},
\end{align*}
\]

where the \( \pm \) sign corresponds to that in Eq. (101). Looking at Table II, one can see that the corresponding matrix is either \( \mathbf{M}^{(e,0)}_{CS} \) or \( \mathbf{M}^{(e,0)}_{CS} \). On the one hand, we have justified the same CS for these beams—they indeed have CS \( w \) (see Figs. 6 and 10). On the other hand, we have enumerated all Bessel beams described by a single matrix \( \mathbf{M} \), possessing such symmetry.

One-way implication, i.e., the symmetry of the TE, TM, and CS beams, is known [18,23] and can be easily derived from their properties (as well as for the CS beam). The rotation of the field is given by Eq. (51), where the phase factor vanishes after multiplication by its transpose in sesquilinear combinations of fields. Thus, Eq. (54) implies circular symmetry of both \( |\mathbf{E}| \) and \( |\mathbf{H}| \) and, hence, of \( w \) for the TE and TM beams. For the CS and CS beams, Eqs. (73) and (74) allow one to move \( \mathbf{R}_x \) and \( \mathbf{R}_y \) to the outer sides of the right-hand side of Eq. (93), where they cancel each other, after taking the trace, proving the circular symmetry of \( w \). In other words, for the latter beams, the polarization rotation is replaced by duality rotation, to which \( w \) is obviously invariant.

The circular symmetry of \( |\mathbf{E}| \) is harder to achieve—it requires all three quadratic combinations of coefficients inside \( \text{Re}(\cdots) \) in Eq. (95) to vanish. This holds if and only if either \( \mathbf{M}^e = \mathbf{0} \) or \( \mathbf{M}^m = \mathbf{0} \), i.e., for any linear combination of the TE and TM beams of the same order. Again, this symmetry is known [23], but we also proved that no other Bessel beam described by a matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) has such symmetry. Due to the duality, the same requirement holds for the circular symmetry of \( |\mathbf{H}| \).}

We are further interested in the time-averaged Poynting vector [59]:

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*),
\]

although three different alternative expressions exist [61,62]. Analogously to Eq. (93), let us consider the \( 2 \times 2 \) skew-Hermitian matrix:

\[
\begin{align*}
  &\left( \begin{array}{c}
    \mathbf{E} \\
    \eta \mathbf{H}
  \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c}
    \mathbf{E} \\
    \eta \mathbf{H}
  \end{array} \right)^H \\
  &= \left( \begin{array}{c}
    |E_0|^2 (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{T}^e_2 - i \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{T}^e_2) f_n / C_2 (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{T}^e_2 - i \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{T}^e_2)^H f_n^*,
  \end{array} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( C_2 \) is the Hermitian matrix of vectors, obtained using Eq. (13):

\[
\begin{align*}
  C_2 &\equiv -i \left( \begin{array}{c}
    \mathbf{e}_+ \\
    \mathbf{e}_-
  \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c}
    \mathbf{e}_+ \\
    \mathbf{e}_-
  \end{array} \right)^H = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
    0 & \mathbf{e}_+ \\
    \mathbf{e}_- & -\mathbf{e}_+
  \end{array} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
We will further calculate the expression $2\text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times (\eta \mathbf{H})^*]$ by combining off-diagonal elements in Eq. (104). This expression is proportional both to Eq. (103) and to alternative definitions in a nonabsorbing host medium and is approximately proportional to them in a weakly absorbing one, like the discussion of the energy density above.

Taking the trace of $\mathbf{R}$ times Eq. (104), we obtain

$$2\text{Re}[\mathbf{E} \times (\eta \mathbf{H})^*] = |E_0|^2 tr[\mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{M}^H i \mathbf{R} \mathbf{M}) - \mathbf{Y}_2 (\mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{M})],$$

(106)

where the Hermitian matrices $\mathbf{X}_2$ and $\mathbf{Y}_2$ are defined analogously to Eqs. (97) and (98), but their elements are vector functions:

$$\mathbf{X}_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{T} f_n \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}_n^H f_n^* + \mathbf{T}_2^H f_n \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}_n^H f_n^* = \frac{\mathbf{e}}{2} \left[ (\hat{k}_t^4 + 8\hat{k}_t^2) |f_n|^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \hat{k}_t^2 \begin{pmatrix} (f_{n+2}^* f_n - f_n f_{n+2}^*) \\ (f_{n+2} f_n^* - f_n^* f_{n+2}) \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

(107)

and the transverse vector functions $\mathbf{g}_n$ and $\mathbf{h}_n$ are the following:

$$\mathbf{g}_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} i(\mathbf{e}_n f_{n+1} f_n^* - \mathbf{e}_n f_n f_{n+1}^*) = 2\text{Im}(\mathbf{e}_n f_n f_{n+1}^*).$$

(109)

$$\mathbf{h}_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} i(\mathbf{e}_n f_{n+1} f_n^* - \mathbf{e}_n f_n f_{n+1}^*).$$

(110)

Again, the final expressions are cumbersome but allow straightforward analysis. First, the $z$ component of Eq. (106) (in many cases proportional to $S_z$) is CS if and only if both $\mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{M}$ are diagonal (completely analogous to $w$). In this case, the transverse component of Eq. (106) is a linear combination of $\mathbf{g}_n$. On the one hand, this combination never vanishes (unless $\alpha \rightarrow 0$) due to slightly different coefficients in Eqs. (107) and (108) $- (3\hat{k}_t^2 + \hat{k}_t^2)$ vs $(\hat{k}_t^2 + 3\hat{k}_t^2)$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{g}_n$ has a simple azimuthal dependence:

$$\mathbf{g}_n = 2\text{Im}(\mathbf{e}_n \mathbf{e}_n^* \alpha |f_n(k \rho)|^2 (k \rho)^* |(k \rho)|\exp(-2\imath \ln k \rho)),$$

(111)

i.e., it rotates with $\phi$ the same way as the unit vectors of the cylindrical coordinate system $\mathbf{e}_\rho$ and $\mathbf{e}_\phi$. Moreover, for real $k$, the vector $\mathbf{g}_n$ is always directed along $\mathbf{e}_\phi$. However, even for complex $k$, any linear combination of $\mathbf{g}_n$ would not change its magnitude with $\phi$. Thus, we have proved that, for Bessel beams, given by Eq. (31) in a nonabsorbing host medium (for real $k$), both $S_z$ and $|S_z|$ (and hence $|S|$) are CS if and only if $w$ has the same property, i.e., the beam is either CS, CS’, or a linear combination of the TE and TM beams. This symmetry is well known for the TE, TM, and CS [18,23] and can be derived directly like the case of $w$ above, but here, we present a complete list of conforming beams. For a weakly absorbing medium, the above symmetry property is approximately satisfied.

To finalize this section, let us show an example of the CS’ beam profiles in Figs. 10 and 11.

E. Orthogonality and norm

The discussion of relations of different Bessel beam types naturally leads to a question: What is the basis of Bessel beams? While there is no unique answer to this question, one can at least examine the orthogonality and norm relations. Any reasonable norm for electromagnetic fields is based on the quadratic functionals, described in the previous section, such as $w_1 |\mathbf{E}|^2$ and $|\mathbf{H}|^2$. However, they should be integrated over some domains to become independent of $\mathbf{r}$. Since the idealized Bessel beams have trivial dependence on $z$, integration over this coordinate is meaningless in contrast to the transverse coordinate $\rho$. However, plain integration over $\rho$ leads to an infinite result due to slow decay of the Bessel functions, like the case of plane waves. Hence, some renormalization is re-
required. Using asymptotic expansion of a Bessel function for large (complex) arguments (eq. 10.17.3 of Ref. [63]), one can obtain
\[
\int_{\rho \leq R} d^2 \rho |J_n(k_\rho \rho)|^2 = 2\pi \int_0^R d\rho \rho |J_n(k_\rho \rho)|^2 \sim \xi^{-1}(k, R),
\]
where the normalization function is
\[
\xi(k, R) \equiv |k_i| \operatorname{Im} k_i \sinh(2R \operatorname{Im} k_i) \lim_{k_i \to 0} \frac{|k_i|}{2R}.
\]
Thus, we further assume \( z = 0 \) in this section and define the finite norm of the electric field of Bessel beams as
\[
\|E(r)\|^2 \equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \xi(k, R) \int_{\rho \leq R} d^2 \rho |E(r)|^2,
\]
which can also be applied to the magnetic field. Note that, in a weakly absorbing medium (i.e., when \( \operatorname{Im} k_i \ll |k_i| \)), the limiting value is obtained already for moderate values of \( R \), when the limit of \( \xi(k, R) \) in Eq. (113) is approximately valid.

A natural next step is to define the functional inner product conforming to the above norm:
\[
|\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle|^2 \equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \xi(k, R) \int_{\rho \leq R} d^2 \rho E_1(r) \cdot E_2^*(r),
\]
which we further evaluate for two beams specified by arbitrary matrices \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). For that, we use the following equality (for \( z = 0 \)), which follows from Eqs. (99) and (112):
\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \xi(k, R) \int_{\rho \leq R} d^2 \rho f_{n_1} f_{n_2}^* = \delta_{n_1 n_2},
\]
where \( \delta_{n_1 n_2} \) is the Kronecker symbol. Let us start from Eq. (93) generalized to two different beams and integrate it over the beam cross section:
\[
|\langle E_1, \eta H_1 \rangle| \cdot |\langle E_2, \eta H_2 \rangle| = |E_0| [\sqrt{(1 + \hat{k}^2) M_1 M_2^{H}} - \text{RM}_M] R
\]
\[
-2i\hat{k}_z \{ \text{RM}_M \hat{\Gamma} M_2^{H} + M_1 \hat{\Gamma} M_2^{H} R \}.
\]

(117)

where \( \hat{\Gamma} \) is the reflection matrix:
\[
\hat{\Gamma} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
and we used the equivalence with respect to calculation of integrals, following from Eq. (116):
\[
T^2 C_1 \hat{\Gamma} T^H \sim T^2 C_1 \hat{\Gamma} T^H \sim (1 + \hat{k}^2) I.
\]

(119)

[cf. also Eq. (97) and (98)].

Equation (117) implies that both diagonal elements of the resulting matrix are equal and can be concisely expressed through its trace:
\[
|\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle| = |\eta|^2 (H_1, H_2)
\]
\[
= |E_0|^2 \text{tr} \{ (1 + \hat{k}^2) M_1 M_2^{H} - 2i\hat{k}_z \text{RM}_M \hat{\Gamma} M_2^{H} \}
\]
\[
= |E_0|^2 \frac{2}{2} \text{tr} \{ (1 + \hat{k}^2) M_1 M_2^{H} - 2i\hat{k}_z \text{RM}_M \hat{\Gamma} M_2^{H} \}.
\]

(120)

where the last part follows from Eq. (32) and identities \( W^{-1} W^{-H} = I/2, W^{-1} \hat{\Gamma} W^{-H} = -i \hat{\Gamma} R/2 \). One can also define the norm of the beam through \( w \)—the conforming inner product will be equal to \( 2 |\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle| \). As expected, this inner product is invariant to both duality and polarization rotation, which is evident from the corresponding transformations of matrices \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) (see Sec. III A). Moreover, beams with two orthogonal polarizations, i.e., with matrices \( M \) and MR [Eq. (53)], have zero inner product whenever
\[
\text{tr}(\text{RM}_M) = \text{tr}(\text{RM}_M^H) = 0.
\]

(121)

This is true for arbitrary real matrix \( M \) (due to the trace of a product of a symmetric and a skew-symmetric matrix being zero) as well as for any its linear transformation of the form \( a_1 M + b_1 \text{RMR} \) (\( a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{C} \)). This obviously includes the LE, LM, CS, CS', TEL, and TML beams with any real polarization (given by \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), see Table II). Based on this rigorous analysis, we define the linear and elliptical polarizations of the Bessel beams as the ones for which Eq. (121) is valid and not valid, respectively. Constructing the second (orthogonal) polarization and using \((a, b)\) notation should be done only when starting with a linear polarization. The normalization, given by Eq. (68), then preserves the beam norm, and any \((a, b)\) combination with \(a/b \notin \mathbb{R}\), applied to a linear polarization, necessarily leads to an elliptical (or circular) polarization. The circular polarization can generally be defined as an eigenfunction of the rotation operator [Eq. (70)], which includes the TE and TM beams.

It is straightforward to compute the norms of various beams using Eq. (120) (and \( |\langle E(r)\rangle|^2 = \langle E, E \rangle \)); the results are summarized in Table IV. Different norms of the CS and CS' beams correspond to their plane-wave limits (see Table I); however, this relation is not that trivial. The plane-wave limit is taken for fixed \( r \), i.e., effectively for \( r = 0 \), while the norm is computed over the whole cross section, including the maxima.
of the fields located at large values of ρ (at certain fixed values of k_ρ). This difference is crucial for beams that have zero field intensity in the center.

Let us further discuss the cross products of the Bessel beams. While the LE and LM beams have the simplest matrices M (see Table III), they do not constitute an orthogonal basis due to the last term in Eq. (120). Specifically,

$$\langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \frac{k}{E_0^2},$$

while other cross products are zero due to the factors being connected by duality or polarization rotations. Alternatively, a beam with diagonal M is always orthogonal to the beam with zero-diagonal M. The same applies to the sets \(\langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle\) and \(\langle E_{\text{TEL}}(x) E_{\text{TML}}(y) \rangle\), proving the vanishing of most cross products. One only need to check manually the inner products involving diagonal matrices, which happen to vanish as well:

$$\langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \langle E_{\text{TEL}}(x) E_{\text{TML}}(y) \rangle = 0.$$  

Thus, we have identified two orthogonal bases for beams of a fixed order specified by any matrix M: \{CS, CS'\} and \{TEL, TML\} (using two orthogonal linear polarizations for each). They can easily be made orthonormal by dividing by the corresponding norms (Table IV). After normalization, the pair of the basic vectors are related by rotation, e.g., those in Eq. (123) [cf. Eqs. (83) and (84)]. Obviously, any other (four-dimensional) rotation of a set of four orthonormal beams will produce another orthonormal basis. For instance, another obvious option is \(\langle E_{\text{TEL}}(x) E_{\text{TML}}(y) \rangle\), which corresponds to the TE and TM beams of orders \(m \pm 1\) [Eq. (63)] or, more generally, to the orthogonal basis of CVWFs (see Secs. II A and III A). Interestingly, the basis of \{CS, CS'\} is closely related to the set of Pauli matrices.

Finally, let us discuss the orthogonality of beams of various orders, more specifically, with orders \(n\) and \(n + l\) (for fixed \(l > 0\)). Let us start from the known relations for the TE and TM beams. Orthogonality of these beams for \(l = 2\) follows from the discussion above (they are parts of the same orthonormal basis), while for all other \(l \neq 0\), the orthogonality follows immediately from Eqs. (19), (20), and (116) since the same orders of \(f_n\) and \(f_n^*\) appear only for \(l = 1\) and only in different vector components, e.g., in transverse and longitudinal ones. This, again, is a well-known orthogonality of the set of CVWFs [52]. Together with Eqs. (79) and (80), it immediately implies that two beams with any matrices \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) are always orthogonal for \(l \neq 2\) [also obvious from Eq. (35)].

For the case of \(l = 2\), we first consider the basis of the TEL and TML beams, for which we obtain

$$\langle E_{\text{TEL,n}}(x) E_{\text{TEL,n+2}}(y) \rangle = \langle E_{\text{TML,n}}(x) E_{\text{TML,n+4}}(y) \rangle = \frac{k_n^2}{4},$$

and the same for TML, while the TEL and TML beams remain orthogonal to each other for any polarizations. The additional minus sign appears during rotation (switching between \(x\) and \(y\) polarizations) due to the phase factor in Eq. (51), which is opposite for orders \(n\) and \(n + 2\). This can be continued to calculate cross products of other beams, but let us first, derive a general expression for any two matrices \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) from the first principles. Analogously to Eq. (117), we obtain

$$\langle E_{\text{TEL}}(x) E_{\text{TML}}(y) \rangle = \frac{k_4}{E_0^2},$$

where we used the equivalence with respect to calculation of the integrals:

$$\text{T}_1 \text{T}_2^H \sim \text{T}_2 \text{T}_1^H \sim \frac{k_4}{E_0^2}.$$

[cf. also Eqs. (97) and (98)]. Again, both diagonal elements of the resulting matrix are equal and can be concisely expressed through its trace:

$$\langle E_{\text{TEL}}(x) E_{\text{TML}}(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [M_1 \langle H_1(x) H_2(y) \rangle M_2^H].$$

The expressions for any specific beams, including Eq. (124) and orthogonality of the TE and TM beams, follow trivially from Eq. (127). Moreover, any beam of order \(n\) with real matrix \(M\) is orthogonal to the dual beam (with matrix \(RM\)) of order \(n + 2\) since the argument of trace is then the product of \(R\) and a symmetric matrix. Specifically, the CS beams are mutually orthogonal even with changing orders; the same holds for the CS' beams. However, their cross products are not zero:

$$\langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \frac{k_n^2}{4},$$

$$\langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \langle E_{\text{CS}}(x) E_{\text{CS}}(y) \rangle = \frac{-i k_n^2}{8}.$$

Similarly, the LE beams are always orthogonal to the LM beams (for any two polarizations), while the cross products of
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the LE beams are minus that for the TEL beams [Eq. (124)]:
\[
\begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{LE},n}^{(s)} \\ E_{\text{LE},n+2}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{LE},n}^{(v)} \\ E_{\text{LE},n+2}^{(v)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{ik^2}{4},
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{LE},n}^{(s)} \\ E_{\text{LE},n+2}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{LE},n}^{(v)} \\ E_{\text{LE},n+2}^{(v)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{ik^2}{4}.
\]
(129)
The results for the LM beams are the same.

To conclude this section, we have shown that the basis based on either TEL and TML or CS and CS’ beams is orthogonal and complete if we consider every second odd and every second even order, for instance, \( n = 4l \) and \( n = 4l + 1 \) for any integer \( l \) (see also Sec. III A). Thus, they are comparable to the well-known basis of the TE and TM beams of all orders \( n \). The basis of the LE and LM beams, which directly corresponds to elements of the matrix \( \mathbf{M} \), is not fully orthogonal but is the most convenient one, when a single order \( n \) is considered due to simple rotation and duality transformations and ability to express all other beam types. Thus, we chose it for implementation in the light-scattering simulation code, as discussed in the next section.

IV. SCATTERING OF BESSEL BEAMS

A. Extension of the Mueller calculus to Bessel beams

Most light scattering codes, including ADDA, are tailored for the Mueller calculus that implies consideration of two polarizations of incident electromagnetic field [28]. This calculus is typically considered for nonmagnetic materials and a nonabsorbing host medium; the same limitation is currently present in ADDA. Thus, we further assume real \( k \) and \( \mu = 1 \) and discuss only the electric field. The amplitude scattering matrix, by definition, relates polarizations of incident and scattered fields, expanded into a basis of two orthogonal components, so-called Jones vectors [28]:
\[
\begin{pmatrix} E_\parallel \\ E_\perp \end{pmatrix}_{\text{scattered}} = \frac{\exp[i(kr - z)]}{-ikr} \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & S_3 \\ S_4 & S_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_\parallel \\ E_\perp \end{pmatrix}_{\text{incident}}.
\]
(130)
The central role in scattering problems is played by the Stokes parameters \( I, Q, U, V \) which are quadratic combinations of the fields, when the latter are fully coherent [28]:
\[
I = E_\parallel E_\parallel^* + E_\perp E_\perp^*,
\]
(131)
\[
Q = E_\parallel E_\perp^* - E_\perp E_\parallel^*,
\]
(132)
\[
U = E_\parallel E_\perp^* + E_\perp E_\parallel^*,
\]
(133)
\[
V = i(E_\parallel E_\perp^* - E_\perp E_\parallel^*).
\]
(134)
Originally, Stokes parameters were proposed as a set of measurable quantities that describe polarization states for plane waves [28] (e.g., \( I \) is the intensity). Importantly, the Stokes vectors for the scattered and incident waves are linearly related through the Mueller (scattering) matrix:
\[
\begin{pmatrix} I \\ Q \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\text{scattered}} = \frac{1}{k^2r^2} \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} \\ S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ Q \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\text{incident}}.
\]
(135)

The elements of the Mueller matrix can be expressed through that of the amplitude scattering matrix [28] and allow expressing the measured signal for any detector configuration. For instance, the scattering intensities for parallel and perpendicular incident polarizations are given as
\[
I_\parallel = S_{11} + S_{12},
\]
(136)
\[
I_\perp = S_{11} - S_{12},
\]
(137)
which, for axisymmetric particles, are equivalent to scattering intensities in parallel and perpendicular scattering planes (\( E \) and \( H \) planes, respectively) for the same incident polarization.

In the following, we extend the Mueller calculus to the Bessel beams. For that, we focus on the linear relation in Eq. (130), which remains valid for any definition of two incident polarizations (basis components). Specifically, once one solves the scattering problem for two incident fields and obtains the corresponding amplitude matrix, Eq. (130) provides the solution of the scattering problem for any linear combination of these two incident fields. In contrast to the case of a plane wave, such linear combinations do not cover the full range of incident fields. Still, if the two polarizations are related by a rotation, the linear combinations will cover all their possible rotations. Note that both Jones and Mueller vectors remain intact for the scattered field since the latter is equivalent to a plane wave in the far field irrespective of the incident beam.

Next, we postulate that two basic fields (polarizations) of the incident beam \( E^{(b)}(r) \) and \( E^{(b)}(r) \) are related by Eq. (53), i.e., by a \( \pi/2 \) rotation with additional phase factor. Omitting further the dependence on \( r \), this definition corresponds to \( \{ E^{(b)}, E^{(b)} \} \) for most of the Bessel beam types, as discussed in Sec. III B. The components \( E_\parallel \) and \( E_\perp \) then exactly correspond to \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively, in the definition of \( E^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) [Eq. (62)]. The advantage of such a postulate is the simplest rotation relations, based on Eq. (69). Specifically, rotating the beam by angle \( \psi \) over the \( z \) axis transforms its components as
\[
\begin{pmatrix} E_\parallel \\ E_\perp \end{pmatrix}_{\text{incident}} = \exp(-i\psi)\mathbf{R}_{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} E_\parallel \\ E_\perp \end{pmatrix}_{\text{incident}}.
\]
(138)

The same rotation of the coordinate frame (by angle \( \psi \)), which corresponds, e.g., to rotation of the scatterer, is equivalent to the inverse rotation of the incident beam. The action of the amplitude matrices in the original and rotated reference frames \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{A}' \), respectively on the corresponding Jones vectors must lead to the same result, if the scattered direction is the same (its azimuthal scattering angle changes accordingly) [see Eq. (130)]. Thus, they are related as
\[
\mathbf{A}' = \exp(-i\psi)\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_{-\psi}.
\]
(139)
i.e., the relation is different from that for the plane wave [58] only by a common phase factor, naturally related to the beam vorticity.

We further postulate that the Stokes vector is still defined by Eqs. (131)–(134), which implies the same relation between the amplitude and the scattering matrix as for the plane wave. Thus, when the reference frame (or the scatterer) is rotated,
the generalized Mueller matrix is transformed as

\[
\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} 
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos(2\psi) & -\sin(2\psi) & 0 \\
0 & \sin(2\psi) & \cos(2\psi) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (140)

As mentioned above, the main goal of the generalized Mueller calculus is to provide a quick solution for any linear combination of two basic beams. For instance, Eqs. (136) and (137) remain valid together with their meaning of scattering intensity in two planes for axisymmetric particles.

The main drawback of this generalization is that the Stokes vector of the vortex beam no longer has a clear physical meaning. The fundamental issue with measurability of this vector stems from the fact that the signal of the detector, illuminated by the Bessel beam, is not proportional to the detector area in contrast to the plane-wave case. In principle, one can normalize the reading of a large detector placed on the beam axis (integral of the Poynting vector) by inverse radius [cf. Eq. (114)]. According to Secs. III D and III E, such normalized intensity will be related to the Stokes parameter \(\langle s_1 \rangle\).

Moreover, in the case of the TE and TM beams, applying the above Mueller calculus will be misleading since there are no two independent polarizations related by rotation [see Eq. (54)]. However, as we showed previously [Eq. (55)], these beams are circular polarizations of the TEL or TML beams, respectively. A similar correspondence holds for the Stokes vector of the Bessel beam to that of a plane wave [64].

First, we test our implementation of the Bessel beams in ADDA by comparing its results to reference data. The obvious choice for the reference method is the GLMT [30], which is real for most of the Bessel beam types, only the real part of \(\mathbf{M}\) is strictly required; the imaginary part is optional. Coordinates of the beam center relative to the particle center can be defined with a separate command line option (-beam_center ...).

To simplify the code usage for standard Bessel beams, we also enabled separate specification of the LE, LM, CS, CS*, TEL, and TML types (although, internally, it is a wrapper substituting a specific matrix \(\mathbf{M}\)). Those beams can be selected by the command line options:

\[
-\text{beambessel}\ <X> <n> <\alpha_0>,
\]

where \(<X>\) is the beam identifier, for example, -beambesselLE .... We chose these beam types since they have well-defined parallel and perpendicular components (see Sec. III B). ADDA performs separate simulations for these two incident polarizations to calculate the amplitude and scattering matrices (see Sec. IV A). The same happens for any other matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) — it is assumed to define the perpendicular polarization, while the parallel one is taken from Eq. (53).

In other words, matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) (or beam type) is considered with respect to the beam reference frame, in which the \(yz\) plane is the scattering one. Then in the case of a scatterer rotationally symmetric with respect to the beam axis, both the amplitude and Mueller matrix are invariant with respect to the rotation of the scattering plane around this axis.

Technically speaking, ADDA performs 90° rotation of a perpendicular polarization with further correction of the phase to obtain the parallel one. Such a transformation may lead to redundant calculations when \(\mathbf{MR}\) is proportional to \(\mathbf{M}\), which is equivalent to \(\mathbf{M}\) being a superposition of generalized circular polarizations of the same handedness [cf. Eq. (71)]. A specific case of the latter is the TE and TM beams, which can only be specified through their matrix \(\mathbf{M}\). However, an alternative option is to specify TEL or TML incident beam, respectively; the scattered Stokes vector can then be obtained from the computed Mueller matrix using Eq. (142). Finally, the initialization of the Bessel beam, i.e., computation of the incident electric field at each dipole position in ADDA, is negligibly fast. In most cases, it is faster than a single iteration of the iterative solver used to determine the dipole polarizations.

**B. Implementation in the ADDA code**

Through the discussion in previous sections, we showed that all known Bessel beams can be parametrized by their matrices \(\mathbf{M}\) (Table III). This parametrization constitutes the core of the implementation of the Bessel beams in the ADDA code [65]. Any beam matrix can be specified through the command line:

\[
-\text{beambessel}\ <n> <\alpha_0> <\text{Re}\ M_{<e>}> <\text{Re}\ M_{<e>}>,
\]

where \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denotes the values of the corresponding variables. Since matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) is real for most of the Bessel beam types, only the real part of \(\mathbf{M}\) is strictly required; the imaginary part is optional. Coordinates of the beam center relative to the particle center can be defined with a separate command line option (-beam_center ...).

| \(\mathbf{M}\) | \(\langle \mathbf{M}\rangle\) |
|----------------|-----------------|
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TE}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TM}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{LE}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{LM}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{CS'}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TML}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TML}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TEL}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TEL}}\) |

where (\(\ldots\)) denotes the values of the corresponding variables. Since matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) is real for most of the Bessel beam types, only the real part of \(\mathbf{M}\) is strictly required; the imaginary part is optional. Coordinates of the beam center relative to the particle center can be defined with a separate command line option (-beam_center ...).

To simplify the code usage for standard Bessel beams, we also enabled separate specification of the LE, LM, CS, CS', TEL, and TML types (although, internally, it is a wrapper substituting a specific matrix \(\mathbf{M}\)). Those beams can be selected by the command line options:

\[
-\text{beambessel}\ <X> <n> <\alpha_0>,
\]

where \(<X>\) is the beam identifier, for example, -beambesselLE .... We chose these beam types since they have well-defined parallel and perpendicular components (see Sec. III B). ADDA performs separate simulations for these two incident polarizations to calculate the amplitude and scattering matrices (see Sec. IV A). The same happens for any other matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) — it is assumed to define the perpendicular polarization, while the parallel one is taken from Eq. (53).

In other words, matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) (or beam type) is considered with respect to the beam reference frame, in which the \(yz\) plane is the scattering one. Then in the case of a scatterer rotationally symmetric with respect to the beam axis, both the amplitude and Mueller matrix are invariant with respect to the rotation of the scattering plane around this axis.

| \(\mathbf{M}\) | \(\langle \mathbf{M}\rangle\) |
|----------------|-----------------|
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TE}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TM}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{LE}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{LM}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{CS}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{CS'}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TML}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TML}}\) |
| \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TEL}}\) | \(\mathbf{M}_{\text{TEL}}\) |

where (\(\ldots\)) denotes the values of the corresponding variables. Since matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) is real for most of the Bessel beam types, only the real part of \(\mathbf{M}\) is strictly required; the imaginary part is optional. Coordinates of the beam center relative to the particle center can be defined with a separate command line option (-beam_center ...).

To simplify the code usage for standard Bessel beams, we also enabled separate specification of the LE, LM, CS, CS', TEL, and TML types (although, internally, it is a wrapper substituting a specific matrix \(\mathbf{M}\)). Those beams can be selected by the command line options:

\[
-\text{beambessel}\ <X> <n> <\alpha_0>,
\]

where \(<X>\) is the beam identifier, for example, -beambesselLE .... We chose these beam types since they have well-defined parallel and perpendicular components (see Sec. III B). ADDA performs separate simulations for these two incident polarizations to calculate the amplitude and scattering matrices (see Sec. IV A). The same happens for any other matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) — it is assumed to define the perpendicular polarization, while the parallel one is taken from Eq. (53).

In other words, matrix \(\mathbf{M}\) (or beam type) is considered with respect to the beam reference frame, in which the \(yz\) plane is the scattering one. Then in the case of a scatterer rotationally symmetric with respect to the beam axis, both the amplitude and Mueller matrix are invariant with respect to the rotation of the scattering plane around this axis.

Technically speaking, ADDA performs 90° rotation of a perpendicular polarization with further correction of the phase to obtain the parallel one. Such a transformation may lead to redundant calculations when \(\mathbf{MR}\) is proportional to \(\mathbf{M}\), which is equivalent to \(\mathbf{M}\) being a superposition of generalized circular polarizations of the same handedness [cf. Eq. (71)]. A specific case of the latter is the TE and TM beams, which can only be specified through their matrix \(\mathbf{M}\). However, an alternative option is to specify TEL or TML incident beam, respectively; the scattered Stokes vector can then be obtained from the computed Mueller matrix using Eq. (142). Finally, the initialization of the Bessel beam, i.e., computation of the incident electric field at each dipole position in ADDA, is negligibly fast. In most cases, it is faster than a single iteration of the iterative solver used to determine the dipole polarizations.

**V. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

First, we test our implementation of the Bessel beams in ADDA by comparing its results to reference data. The obvious choice for the reference method is the GLMT [30], which
allows one to calculate the scattering of sophisticated beams by particles with spherical symmetry. For instance, it was used to simulate the scattering of the zero-order Bessel beam by a concentric sphere [34]. Hereinafter, we compare the scattering intensities in two planes: \( E \) (parallel) and \( H \) (perpendicular), given by Eqs. (136) and (137), respectively.

To quantify the discrepancy between the DDA and GLMT, we use the root mean square error (RMSE), defined as [36]

\[
\text{RMSE} = \frac{1}{\max(I_{\text{ref}}(\theta))} \left[ \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \left( I(\theta_j) - I_{\text{ref}}(\theta_j) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{143}
\]

where \( I \) and \( I_{\text{ref}} \) are the scattering intensity calculated by the DDA and the GLMT, respectively, and \( N_0 = 181 \) is the number of scattering angles.

The first test is on-axis scattering of the CS beam with the beam order \( n = 0 \) and half-cone angle \( \alpha_0 = 15^\circ \) by a concentric sphere with outer and inner radii equal to \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda/2 \), respectively. The refractive indices of the shell and core are denoted as \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), respectively. We chose excessively fine discretization (\( N_x = 128 \) dipoles per the particle diameter) to avoid any concerns about the accuracy and used the default DDA formulation (so-called, lattice dispersion relation) [43].

The results for the case \( m_1 = m_2 = 1.33 \), i.e., a homogeneous sphere, are shown in Fig. 12. The small discrepancy is visible only in the backscattering, the overall RMSE is 1.6% for both scattering planes. The second case is \( m_1 = 1.33 \) and \( m_2 = 1.55 \); the results are presented in Fig. 13. Here, the agreement is even better (within the size of symbols), with RMSE being 0.3% for both scattering planes.

While it is known that the DDA accuracy is commonly the worst at the backscattering direction [66–68], we investigate the remaining discrepancy in Fig. 12 in some detail. For that, we performed the DDA simulations for discretization from \( N_x = 64 \) to 256 and performed quadratic extrapolation of the backscattering intensity vs the discretization parameter \( kdm_1 = 2\pi m_1/N_x \) (where \( d \) is the dipole size) to the value of \( d = 0 \). The details of this procedure are described in Ref. [66]. Note that the backscattering intensity is the same for both scattering planes due to the rotational symmetry of the scatterer.

The convergence curve and the extrapolation results (including the 95% confidence interval) are shown in Fig. 14 together with the reference value. The DDA shows very smooth (and almost linear) convergence for the finer discretization, which
FIG. 14. Discrete dipole approximation (DDA) convergence with refining discretization for backscattering intensity of the same sphere as in Fig. 12. Quadratic extrapolation to $d = 0$ is performed, and a 95% confidence interval for the corresponding value is also shown. See the text for details.

is reflected by small uncertainty of the extrapolation result. Thus, $\sim 1/3$ of the discrepancy between the DDA (for $N_x = 128$) and the GLMT at backscattering is due to the DDA error, while $2/3$ are due to the GLMT one. The latter can probably be decreased by tuning the parameters of that method.

Second, to illustrate the DDA capabilities for nonspherical particles, we demonstrate the scattering of high-order Bessel beams of CS, CS', TEL, and TML types ($n = 4$, $\alpha_0 = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 632.8$ nm) by a glass cube (cube side $a = 1\, \mu m$, $m = 1.52$, $N_x = 15$) in Fig. 15. The intensity profiles of these beams are also shown; they were calculated with ADDA itself using command line option `-store_beam`. This figure demonstrates the wide variation between the vector Bessel beam types of the same parameters. Naturally, the similarity in beam profiles leads to similarity in scattering intensities (e.g., TEL and TML types). Note also that lack of global intensity maximum at the forward direction is due to the dark spot in the center of Bessel beams of nonzero order.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed an alternative approach to describe any vortex Bessel beam using a $2 \times 2$ matrix $M$ comprised of transverse Hertz vector potentials. The duality and polarization rotations are then trivially expressed through the multiplication of $M$ by rotation matrices from the left and right, respectively. Previously known beam types, specifically the TE, TM, LE, LM, and CS beams, are included as specific cases in this framework. It additionally includes an alternative type with circular symmetric energy density, denoted as CS'. When combined with the CS type and two different polarizations, it leads to a complete basis of all Bessel beams, like the previously known bases of the TE, TM or LE, LM beams. For each beam type (except TE and TM), we introduced the notation for elliptical polarization ($\alpha, \beta$). This definition also applies to the introduced linearly polarized components of the TE and TM beams, denoted as TEL and TML. The latter two also form a complete basis for Bessel beams, while their circular polarizations result in the TE and TM beams, respectively, of adjacent orders. The generalized elliptical polarizations are tightly connected with the beam rotations, which are expressed by standard rotation matrices.

FIG. 15. Intensity profiles for incident Bessel beam of (a) circularly symmetric (CS), (b) alternative CS (CS'), (c) linear component of transverse electric (TEL), and (d) linear component of transverse magnetic (TML) types ($n = 4$, $\alpha_0 = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 632.8$ nm) over the central slice ($z = 0$) of the dipole grid for a cube ($a = 1\, \mu m$, $m = 1.52$, $N_x = 15$) calculated by ADDA. Also shown are the simulated scattering intensities (e) $I_\parallel$ and (f) $I_\perp$ for these scattering problems. See the text for details.
with additional phase factor depending on the beam order $n$ (vorticity). The orthogonal polarizations for linearly polarized beam types are then defined accounting for this phase factor in agreement with the existing $x$ and $y$ polarizations of some beam types in the literature.

To gain more insight into both previously known and alternative Bessel beam types, we described their behavior in two limiting cases: the plane-wave (alternative Bessel beam types, we described their behavior in the literature. The orthogonal polarizations for linearly polarized beams.

For instance, the basis of LE and LM beams, which directly corresponds to the elements of matrix $\mathbf{M}$, is not completely orthogonal. Thus, we constructed a general unified framework for description of Bessel beams and provided a comprehensive reference of all their properties that may be relevant for any applications.

To enable simulation of scattering of Bessel beams by arbitrary particles, we first generalized the classical Mueller calculus to this case. We defined the amplitude matrix in terms of two orthogonal polarizations for the same beam type (as discussed above), which leads to the simplest transformation rule under the rotation of the scattering plane around the beam axis. This rule differs from the case of plane-wave scattering only by a constant phase factor that cancels out in the rotation transformations of Mueller matrices. Importantly, the same generalized definitions can be used for any vortex beams.

Finally, we have modified the open-source code ADDA to enable simulation of Bessel beam scattering. Apart from the beam order, half-cone angle, and its position relative to the particle, a user needs to specify either the beam type (one of the above options) or a complete matrix $\mathbf{M}$. ADDA then computes the angle-resolved Mueller matrix, which can be used to compute the scattering of any elliptical polarization of the same beam without any extra efforts. The simulated scattering intensity of a zero-order Bessel beam by a homogeneous or coated spheres shows perfect agreement with the reference data, obtained with the GLMT. Thus, this version of ADDA allows easy and efficient simulation of scattering of any Bessel beam by a particle with arbitrary shape and internal structure. This can be used to supplement theoretical analysis of Bessel beams, including their symmetry, as well as for advancing various practical applications of such beams.

Promising future research directions include consideration of Bessel beam scattering near a plane substrate and efficient analysis of Bessel beams, including their symmetry, as well as for advancing various practical applications of such beams. Finally, we have modified the open-source code ADDA to enable simulation of Bessel beam scattering. Apart from the beam order, half-cone angle, and its position relative to the particle, a user needs to specify either the beam type (one of the above options) or a complete matrix $\mathbf{M}$. ADDA then computes the angle-resolved Mueller matrix, which can be used to compute the scattering of any elliptical polarization of the same beam without any extra efforts. The simulated scattering intensity of a zero-order Bessel beam by a homogeneous or coated spheres shows perfect agreement with the reference data, obtained with the GLMT. Thus, this version of ADDA allows easy and efficient simulation of scattering of any Bessel beam by a particle with arbitrary shape and internal structure. This can be used to supplement theoretical analysis of Bessel beams, including their symmetry, as well as for advancing various practical applications of such beams.

Figures 12–15 and the underlying DDA data can be reproduced by Python scripts in the ADDA repository [69].
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