Radiative lifetime measurements of rubidium Rydberg states
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Abstract
We have measured the radiative lifetimes of ns, np and nd Rydberg states of rubidium in the range 28 ≤ n ≤ 45. To enable long-lived states to be measured, our experiment uses slow-moving (~100 μK) 85Rb atoms in a magneto-optical trap (MOT). Two experimental techniques have been adopted to reduce random and systematic errors. First, a narrow-bandwidth pulsed laser is used to excite the target nℓ Rydberg state, resulting in minimal shot-to-shot variation in the initial state population. Second, we monitor the target state population as a function of time delay from the laser pulse using a short-duration, millimetre-wave pulse that is resonant with a one- or two-photon transition to a higher energy ‘monitor state’, nℓ′. We then selectively field ionize the monitor state, and detect the resulting electrons with a micro-channel plate. This signal is an accurate mirror of the nℓ target state population, and is uncontaminated by contributions from other states which are populated by black body radiation. Our results are generally consistent with other recent experimental results obtained using a method which is more prone to systematic error, and are also in excellent agreement with theory.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

In the 1970s and early 1980s, there was a significant amount of interest in the radiative lifetimes of Rydberg states of alkali metal atoms. From the experimental perspective, alkali atoms are relatively easy to work with. High atomic densities can be achieved easily in a cell or atomic beam, and the wavelengths needed to photo-excite specific Rydberg states coincide well with those available from the (then) newly invented tunable, pulsed dye lasers [1, 2]. The state of interest (termed the ‘target state’ in this paper) could thus be excited with a temporal precision of 10 ns or less, and the radiative decay could be monitored by observing the emitted fluorescence using a photomultiplier. There is theoretical interest in radiative lifetime measurements of Rydberg states of alkalis since their wavefunctions are very similar to those of hydrogen, and calculation of the atomic properties of alkalis is much less computationally intensive than for other groups of elements. Experimental values for radiative lifetimes provide useful data for testing the accuracy of the wavefunctions used in the calculations [3–6].

A comprehensive list of Rydberg state lifetime measurements in Li, Na, K, Rb, and Cs as it existed in 1984 can be found in Theodosiou’s theoretical paper [4]. A very significant issue that became apparent as higher n-states (where n is the principal quantum number) were measured was the effect of black body radiation (BBR) on Rydberg state lifetimes. BBR has a negligible effect on low-lying energy states whose radiative lifetimes are dominated by spontaneous electric dipole transitions to the lowest atomic states allowed
by the selection rules. However, dipole matrix elements for \( n, \ell \to n \pm 1, \ell \pm 1 \) transitions increase approximately as \( n^{-2} \). In addition, the energy spacing of states decreases as \( n^{-3} \), and thus the photon energy needed to drive transitions between Rydberg states tends towards the peak of the BBR spectrum as \( n \) increases. Above \( n \approx 10 \), therefore, BBR stimulated depopulation becomes non-negligible compared to the spontaneous decay of a state. Experimentally, the effect of BBR became apparent when the measured Rydberg state lifetimes were found to be significantly lower than those calculated assuming purely spontaneous decay, i.e. ignoring stimulated depopulation by BBR. The ability of BBR to significantly affect Rydberg state lifetimes of alkalis was investigated in a number of experimental studies in the late 1970s [7–9]. In particular, Spencer et al made a thorough study of the effect of changing the BBR spectral temperature on the state lifetime [10]. Calculations of BBR redistribution rates, and the effect of BBR on Rydberg state lifetimes, were made by Farley and Wing [11] and Theodosiou [4]. Additionally, Galvez et al [12] compared the experimentally observed population redistribution due to BBR stimulated transitions with calculated distributions based on theoretical rate values obtained by similar methods to those reported in [4] and [11].

Measurements of Rydberg state lifetimes that use thermal samples are limited to states below \( n \approx 20 \). This is because the radiative lifetimes increase with \( n \), and at \( n = 20 \), they are of order 10 \( \mu s \). During this time, thermal atoms travel distances on the order of 1 cm. Accurate measurements require observation for several lifetimes, which necessitates a significant detection volume. Additionally, collisional depopulation effects and superradiance become significant at long radiative lifetimes.

In the past 10–15 years, there has been great interest in cold Rydberg atoms. When made in sufficiently dense samples, they exhibit many-body collision behaviour [13, 14], as well as suppressed laser excitation probability due to an interaction-induced blockade (for instance the van der Waals blockade, or the dipole blockade, or some other density-dependent excitation suppression mechanism) [15–18]. In addition, novel long-range molecules can be created [19, 20], and dense, cold Rydberg samples have also been found to evolve spontaneously into ultra-cold, strongly coupled plasma [21–23]. All of these studies start with cold atoms in a magneto-optical trap (MOT), and such a source is ideal for measurements of Rydberg atom properties such as quantum defects [24, 25] and radiative lifetimes [26–32]. In the ultra-cold MOT environment, the atomic velocities are less than 1 m s\(^{-1}\) and hence the atoms remain in the detection volume long enough for an accurate determination of the properties of Rydberg states to be made.

While various atoms have been trapped in a MOT successfully, Rydberg lifetime measurements using cold atoms have so far been reported only for Rb [26–31] and Cs [32]. In all of these experiments except [30], the technique that was used to monitor the decay of the Rydberg state population was selective field ionization (SFI) with an \( \sim 1 \mu s \) rise-time high-voltage pulse. In [30], the technique for monitoring the Rydberg population was to detect photo-electrons or photons created when a mid-infrared (\( \approx 10 \mu m \) wavelength) laser pulse illuminated the sample at a well-determined time after the target state was excited. (These techniques are discussed more fully below in section 3.1.) These recent experiments have stimulated renewed interest in extending theoretical calculations to the previously unstudied region \( n \geq 30 \) [6]. Additionally, lifetime measurements have been used to distinguish exotic Rydberg molecular states from their parent atomic states [20].

The SFI detection technique that was used to monitor the Rydberg state population in [26–29, 31, 32] must be applied carefully to avoid significant systematic errors in the lifetime measurement. (Photoionization by an infrared laser pulse, the technique used in [30], may also lead to systematic errors.) Specifically, the arrival times of electrons (or ions) created by SFI at the detector must be carefully gated so that only those from field-ionization of the target state are observed. Due to BBR, states with similar energies to the target are populated by stimulated transitions during the decay time of the target, and if the SFI signal from these nearby states cannot be rejected, the method yields an inaccurate lifetime measurement. One of us has commented on this issue [33] with regard to the results of a group at Universidade de São Paulo, which are presented in [26–28], and the comment describes fully the problem and its implications. In their response [34], the São Paulo group clarifies their experimental procedure, but as they observe in [29] and [34], systematic uncertainty when the SFI detection technique is used is still possible.

The present paper presents Rydberg state lifetime measurements of Rb for most of the same states previously reported in [26–29]. However, our measurements were made with a modification of the SFI technique that allowed the decay of the target state to be unambiguously distinguished from signals arising from the effects of BBR stimulated transfer of population to other Rydberg states which also contribute to the SFI signal. This was achieved by using frequency-tuned pulses of millimetre-waves (mm-waves) to sample the population of the target state as a function of delay after the exciting laser. Previous work by a group at University of Virginia [24, 25] has determined the frequencies of \( n\ell \to n'\ell' \) mm-wave transitions in Rb with great precision, and we use this knowledge to accurately identify which part of the SFI signal is characteristic of the target state population.

Below, we describe our experiment and our results. First, however, we discuss the present state of lifetime calculations. Theoretical considerations have an important impact on this experiment, since our lifetime measurements are made in a BBR field with a spectral temperature of nominally 300 K. While our experiment (as with the previous cold-atom lifetime studies [26–32]) has no ability to parse the zero-Kelvin lifetime from BBR-stimulated depopulation rates, the theoretical calculations do distinguish these effects. Comparison of experiment with theory then becomes dependent on theoretical calculations for the BBR depopulation rates, and as we describe, the works described in [26–29, 32] all use an inaccurate equation for these rates.


2. Review of previous theoretical results for Rb Rydberg state lifetimes

The total radiative decay rate of a Rydberg state at temperature T, \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(T) \), is related to the radiative lifetime of that state, \( \tau_0 \), by the relation \( \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(T) = 1/\tau_0 \). It is convenient to consider two radiative depopulation effects that constitute the total radiative decay rate separately. The first effect is the decay rate in the absence of black body radiation, \( \Gamma_0 = 1/\tau_0 \), where \( \tau_0 \) will be referred to throughout this paper as the ‘zero-Kelvin lifetime’. The second effect is the stimulated black body depopulation rate due to radiation with a characteristic energy states, and the transitions with the highest probability. The total radiative decay rate is the sum of these two effects:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(T) = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{\text{BBR}}(T). \tag{1}
\]

The zero-Kelvin vacuum radiation field that produces \( \Gamma_0 \) generally results in transitions from the target state to the lowest energy state accessible by an electric dipole transition. On the other hand, black body radiation stimulates electric dipole transitions from the target to both higher and lower energy states, and the transitions with the highest probability are those with the smallest change in \( n \).

2.1. Calculations of zero-Kelvin lifetimes

There have been a number of Rydberg state lifetime calculations for alkali metals, but until very recently, there was no theoretical analysis that was directly relevant to the present work on Rb. There are three principal reasons for this. First, the theoretical studies were confined to low-\( n \) values; for instance, Thodosiou’s work [4] was limited to \( n \leq 20 \) for Rb, and the study of Gounand [3] considered only \( n \leq 28 \), though that of He et al [5] extended up to \( n = 50 \). (All three of these theory papers calculated lifetimes for all group I elements except H and Fr.) Second, while Thodosiou calculated lifetimes for 0 K, 350 K and 460 K black body radiation fields, both Gounand and He et al calculated only \( \tau_0 \), i.e. they ignored stimulated depopulation by BBR. Finally, none of these three theoretical works discussed how their results could be extended to arbitrary-temperature BBR fields. Very recently, however, a group in Novosibirsk [6] has calculated both the zero-Kelvin lifetimes and black body depopulation rates (discussed below) in all the alcalis at arbitrary temperature for \( 10 \leq n \leq 80 \) (their paper gives an extensive discussion of the calculation techniques used in previous studies which we omit here).

It is usual to describe theoretical zero-Kelvin lifetimes using a simple analytical expression of the form

\[
\tau_0 = \tau_0 n_{\text{eff}}^\epsilon, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) are constants found by fitting the calculated \( \tau_0 \) values as a function of the effective principal quantum number, \( n_{\text{eff}} = n - \delta_1 \), where \( \delta_1 \) is the quantum defect of the state in question [35]. Values for \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) reported in [3, 5] and [6] are given in table 1. However, fitting different ranges of \( n_{\text{eff}} \) yields slightly different \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) values. This led He et al to specify the maximum error for the use of the constants outside the range of validity given in table 1 (10 \( \leq n \leq 30 \)), and also to propose an alternative fitting function for the behaviour of \( \tau_0 \) versus \( n_{\text{eff}} \)

\[
\tau_0 = a_0 + a_1 n_{\text{eff}} + a_2 n_{\text{eff}}^2 + a_3 n_{\text{eff}}^3, \tag{3}
\]

where \( a_0, a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are obtained from the fit and are given in table 9 of [5]. The maximum error when using (3) outside the specified range of 10 \( \leq n \leq 30 \) is significantly lower than for (2).

2.2. Calculations of black body depopulation rates

The BBR depopulation rate, \( \Gamma_{\text{BBR}}(T) \), has been calculated at different levels of precision. Cooke and Kanghe [37] and others [26–29, 32] have used the following approximation:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{BBR}}^{\text{App}} = \frac{4\alpha B T}{3n_{\text{eff}}^2}, \tag{4}
\]

where atomic units (au) are assumed, and the symbols have their standard meanings. While the accuracy of the approximation improves as \( n_{\text{eff}} \) increases, the work of Farley and Wing [11] reveals that it overestimates the black body decay rates by \( \approx 20\% \) for ns states, \( \approx 30\% \) for np states and \( \approx 40\% \) for nd states in Rb at \( n = 30 \). Unfortunately, the decay rates of hydrogen, helium and the alcalis in Farley and Wing’s work extend only up to \( n = 30 \).

Recently, Beterov et al [6] calculated \( \Gamma_{\text{BBR}}(T) \) numerically for alkali atom Rydberg states in the range 10 \( \leq n \leq 80 \) at several temperatures, and found a semi-empirical analytical function that accurately fits their results in the form

\[
\Gamma_{\text{BBR}}^{\text{Bet}}(T) = \frac{A}{n_{\text{eff}}^D} \exp\left[\frac{2.14 \times 10^{10}}{315780 \times B/(n_{\text{eff}}^2 T)} - 1\right], \tag{5}
\]

where the constants \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) are found from the fit and are given in table I in [6].

| Reference | State | \( \tau_0 \) (ns) | \( \epsilon \) | Validity range |
|-----------|-------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| Gounand [3] | \( n_{\text{s1/2}} \) | 1.43 | 2.94 | 10 \( \leq n \leq 28 \) |
| | \( np \) | 2.76 | 3.02 |            |
| | \( nd \) | 2.09 | 2.85 |            |
| He et al [5] | \( n_{\text{s1/2}} \) | 1.25 | 2.99 | 10 \( \leq n \leq 30 \) |
| | \( np \) | 2.65 | 2.95 |            |
| | \( nd \) | 1.65 | 2.84 |            |
| Beterov et al [6] | \( n_{\text{s1/2}} \) | 1.368 | 3.0008 | 10 \( \leq n \leq 80 \) |
| | \( np \) | 2.4360 | 2.9989 |            |
| | \( np_{3/2} \) | 2.5341* | 3.0019* |            |
| | \( nd \) | 2.2214\* | 3.0026\* |            |
| | \( nd_{3/2} \) | 1.0761 | 2.9898 |            |
| | \( nd_{5/2} \) | 1.0687 | 2.9897 |            |
the target state lifetime at any temperature above zero Kelvin; however, Depopulation of the target state by BBR contributes to the measured, due to population redistribution caused by BBR. In principle, SFI with an \(\sim 1\) \(\mu\)s rise-time voltage pulse may be used to distinguish nearby states, provided that electrons (and not ions) are detected. In atomic units, the field required to ionize a state with effective principal quantum number \(n_{\text{eff}}\) is \(1/(16n_{\text{eff}}^4)\) \cite{moderate_field}. The time-of-flight of the electrons to the detector is much less than \(1\) \(\mu\)s, and so they arrive at the detector at essentially the same time that the electric field of the voltage pulse becomes large enough to adiabatically ionize the Rydberg state. Electrons from field ionization of states with different \(n_{\text{eff}}\) arrive at the detector at different times, and the original state can, in principle, be determined. However, states with similar \(n_{\text{eff}}\) but different \(\ell\) have different field ionization threshold behaviours \cite{thresholds}, and unambiguous correlation of electrons arriving at the detector at a certain time with a specific \(n\ell\) state is not possible at high \(n\) \cite{specificity}. Finally, an intense mid-infrared laser pulse with frequency \(\nu\) (e.g. from the 10.6 \(\mu\)m CO\(_2\) laser used in \cite{high_field}) will photionize almost any state closer to the ionization limit than \(h\nu\). This technique therefore has no state specificity.

The detection strategy of our experiment is shown schematically in figure 1, and is a modification of the SFI detection technique. We avoid the limitation in the SFI method by applying a short \((\sim 1\) \(\mu\)s) pulse of mm-waves just before the SFI pulse to ‘tag’ the target state. We use this ability to identify the part of the SFI electron signal that unambiguously mirrors the target state population, and rejects signal from nearby states populated by BBR. Briefly, a short (0.5–5 \(\mu\)s), frequency-tuned, mm-wave pulse is used to excite a fixed fraction of the target state population to a higher ‘monitor’ state at a well-determined delay after the target state is populated. We then field ionize the monitor state with a voltage pulse at a fixed time after the end of the mm-wave pulse. The SFI pulse amplitude is set so that the monitor state is ionized, but not the target, and we gate and detect the electron signal at a specific time-of-flight. As described below, these two techniques (mm-wave excitation and gating the electron signal) provide the necessary discrimination against signals originating from states close in energy to the target.

We now describe the four main parts of the apparatus. Specifically, these are the magneto-optical trap, the pulsed laser system, the mm-wave apparatus, and the timing and detection electronics.

### 3.2. Magneto-optical trap

Our apparatus is basically a vapour-cell MOT \cite{magneto-optical} with internal field plates for applying electric fields and a micro-channel plate detector (MCP) \cite{micro-channel}. A vapour of rubidium atoms is contained in a cylindrical stainless steel vacuum chamber of diameter 150 mm and height 200 mm. The chamber is pumped by a 20 \(1 \text{s}^{-1}\) ion pump to a base pressure of less than \(1 \times 10^{-9}\) Torr. There is ample optical access for the.

---

**Figure 1.** The various techniques that have been used to measure Rydberg state lifetimes. The experiment begins when a target state (TS) is excited by a short laser pulse (PL) at \(t = 0\). The target state population may then be monitored by SFI with a voltage pulse at a known time delay after \(t = 0\), and detecting the resulting ions or electrons. Alternatively fluorescence (FL) from radiative decay of the target state population to a higher ‘monitor state’ (MS), which is then field ionized. (The atom ionization potential is represented by the line labelled IP.)
trapping laser beams, as well as for pulsed lasers and mm-wave radiation. There is a magnetic field gradient of up to 0.2 T m⁻¹ at the centre of the chamber, created by a pair of air-cooled anti-Helmholtz coils outside the chamber. The source of rubidium atoms is a side arm heated to 60–80 °C.

The Rb atoms are cooled by three orthogonal, retro-reflected laser beams of the appropriate circular polarizations. These beams are derived from a single external cavity diode laser [45] that is locked to the appropriate hyperfine component of the 5p₁/₂ → 5p₃/₂ transition in ⁸⁷Rb. This light is amplified (after suitable isolation) by an injection-locked non-cavity diode laser, expanded to a diameter of 10 mm and split into the three orthogonal beams that are introduced into the vacuum chamber. Each trapping laser beam has a power of ≈20 mW.

A second amplified external-cavity laser system is used as a repumper. The trapped atoms are observed using a video camera, and we measure the diameter of the cloud of atoms by imaging the fluorescence from the atoms onto a linear diode array. We determine the number of trapped atoms by measuring the fluorescence emitted into a known solid angle using a sensitive optical power meter. Using this apparatus, we trap up to 1 × 10⁸ ⁸⁷Rb atoms in a spherical volume of diameter ≈1.5 mm (FWHM inferred from a diode array signal). The maximum cold-atom number density is therefore ≈5 × 10¹⁰ cm⁻³, though we typically run our experiments at number densities which are at least ten times lower than this to avoid collisional depopulation of the target state. Rubidium atoms trapped by this method typically have temperatures of ≈0.2 μK, corresponding to atom velocities of ≲0.2 m s⁻¹.

The atoms are trapped midway between two parallel field plates made from high-transparency stainless steel mesh, separated by 18.3 mm. Excitation of 5p₁/₂ atoms to a Rydberg state is achieved using a tunable pulsed laser (see section 3.3), and this state is field ionized at a specified delay after excitation using an ∼1000 V, 1 μs rise time voltage pulse applied to the parallel meshes. The resulting electrons are detected using the MCP.

3.3. Pulsed laser

We use a blue (≈480 nm) pulsed laser to excite ns and nd Rydberg states of ⁸⁷Rb starting from the 5p₂⁻––⁻3/2 state. The np states are also accessible provided a small (1–5 V cm⁻¹) 1 μs long electric field pulse is applied simultaneously with the laser pulse. (The 5p₁/₂ state is the upper state of the MOT trapping and repump transitions, and close to 50% of the cold Rb atoms in the MOT are in this state.) For 5p₁/₂ → nd transitions, the Doppler widths of optical transitions for 100 μK Rb atoms are less than 1 MHz. The spectral width of such a transition is thus limited by the 5p₁/₂ state’s 5 MHz natural width, since the nd Rydberg states have much longer lifetimes than the 5p₁/₂ state. For this reason, many groups excite cold Rydberg states using continuous-wave (cw) lasers [16–18, 20] because the 1 MHz linewidth available from commercial cw dye, diode and titanium–sapphire lasers is ideal for exciting and performing spectroscopy on cold Rydberg atoms.

On the other hand, it is difficult to make the short pulse of light (<100 ns long) needed to excite the target state for a radiative lifetime measurement from a cw laser source. Instead, we used a variation of a Nd:YAG pumped pulsed dye laser. However, when exciting cold Rydberg atoms using an ∼10 ns pulsed dye laser (for instance a Hänisch- [1] or a Littmann-type [2] dye laser), shot-to-shot fluctuations in the target state population are very large (∼100% is not unusual). This is due mainly to the rapidly changing spectral overlap between the longitudinal modes of the dye laser and the spectral width of the atomic transition from one laser shot to the next, an issue discussed fully in [21]. To reduce the shot-to-shot excitation efficiency variation, we seed a Nd:YAG pumped dye amplifier with light from an external cavity diode laser (ECDL). The output of this amplifier is frequency-doubled to produce narrow-bandwidth pulses of 480 nm light [22, 23].

A schematic diagram of our laser system appears in figure 2. The ECDL (Sacher Lasertechnik TEC-520-0960-100) wavelength was tunable between 967 nm and 958 nm, which, when frequency doubled, can excite 5p₁/₂ → nd where 25 ≤ n ≤ ∞. Light from the laser is passed through two Faraday isolators (≥72 dB of isolation), and a small part is split off and directed towards a wavemeter (Burleigh WA-1500) and a 0.5 m confocal etalon. For optimal stability, the ECDL is located on an optical table, but the dye amplifier is much less sensitive to acoustic and mechanical noise caused by the Nd:YAG pump laser. We therefore convey the 960 nm light from the ECDL through a fibre to the table where the dye amplifier is located. We use three flowing dye amplifier cells containing LDS925 dye. The first cell has a concentration of 0.12 g l⁻¹ Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Surelite-II), and the energy/pulse directed to each cell (from the first stage to the third stage) is 20 mJ, 10 mJ and 20 mJ respectively. We then frequency double the amplified 960 nm light in a 3 × 3 × 5 mm³ KNbO₃ crystal, and separate out the 480 nm light using
a Pellin–Broca prism. Using this apparatus and seeding the amplifier with 10–15 mW of 960 nm light (after the fibre and isolators), we obtain as much as 200 μJ/pulse with new dye, but more typically 50–100 μJ/pulse. The spectral width of the 480 nm light is Fourier transform limited by the 10 ns Nd:YAG pulse to approximately 200 MHz. (We can easily resolve the Rb 32d3/2 and 32d5/2 states which are separated by 370 MHz.) The ≈480 nm light from the pulsed laser is directed into the centre of the MOT chamber via a 1 m focal length lens which is defocussed from the centre of the trap so that all the cold atoms are illuminated.

Using this system, the shot-to-shot variation in the Rydberg signal is ≈±10%. (This variation could perhaps be improved by pumping the amplifier with an injection-seeded Nd:YAG laser, but the present stability is adequate for our purposes.) To excite a particular 5p3/2 → nℓ transition, the ECDL needs to be tuned to within 100 MHz of the correct transition frequency. The necessary ECDL frequencies were calculated using known physical data for Rb [24, 25, 46–49], and the laser frequency was measured to a precision of ±30 MHz using the wavemeter.

3.4. The millimetre-wave system

A schematic diagram of our mm-wave source can be seen in figure 3 [22–25]. It can generate some 10 mW of radiation in the range 20–110 GHz and, at lower power levels, frequencies of up to 200 GHz. The source is based on a 10 MHz–26.5 GHz sweep oscillator capable of producing 200 mW from 10–20 GHz, and 10 mW from 20–26.5 GHz (Hewlett-Packard HP83630). The sweep oscillator has a resolution of 1 Hz. The output from the sweep oscillator is switched to make pulses of arbitrary length (General Microwave F9114A; 0.5–5 μs pulse lengths are typical in this experiment), and then amplified and/or frequency multiplied using active or passive GaAs diode doublers or triplers (Pacific Millimeter and Narda) [24]. We use four different combinations of multipliers and amplifiers to cover the 20–110 GHz range, each optimized to a particular frequency interval. For the range 20–40 GHz, only an active doubler (Narda DBS-2640X220) is used; for 50–75 GHz and 75–110 GHz, the active doubler is followed by a passive doubler (Pacific Millimeter V2W0) and a passive tripler (Pacific Millimeter W3W0) respectively. For 40–54 GHz, we amplify the sweep oscillator output (Narda DBS-0618N223), and then use a passive tripler (Pacific Millimeter U3). Once the appropriate frequency is generated, the mm-waves are directed into the MOT chamber using a horn and gold-coated off-axis paraboloid reflector through a 150 mm diameter viewport.

Various mm-wave transitions were used to populate the monitor state from the target. For the nS1/2 lifetime measurements, we used either an nS1/2 → np3/2 single-photon transition or an nS1/2 → (n + 1)s1/2 two-photon transition. For the np3/2 measurements, either np3/2 → (n + 1)s1/2 (one-photon) or np3/2 → (n + 1)p3/2 (two-photon) transitions were used. Finally, for the nd5/2 measurements, either nd5/2 → (n − 2)f7/2 (one-photon) or nd5/2 → (n + 1)d5/2 (two-photon) transitions were employed.

3.5. Timing and detection electronics, data acquisition and analysis

The 480 nm laser pulse, the mm-wave pulse, SFI pulse and the detection electronics (boxcar amplifier and digital oscilloscope) are all synchronized using two digital delay generators (DDG; Stanford Research Systems DDG-535). One DDG is used as a master oscillator, and is internally triggered at 20 Hz. The master DDG triggers the flashlamp and Q-switch of the Nd:YAG laser used to pump the dye amplifier for the ECDL (see section 3.3). The master DDG also triggers an analogue pulse generator which is used to apply a small (≤5 V cm−1), 1 μs long pulse to the Rb atoms just before the arrival of the 480 nm laser pulse when np states are being excited. The rest of the experiment is synchronized by the second DDG, which is triggered (at time t = 0) by the master DDG. The second DDG provides three output pulses that synchronize the mm-waves and the detection apparatus, as diagrammed in figure 4. One pulse controls the microwave switch in the mm-wave system (see section 3.4). This pulse is delayed by Δ after t = 0, and has a duration A of between 0.5 μs and 5 μs, depending on the state being measured (we ensure that A ≪ τ eff). At a fixed delay of B = 2 μs after the microwave pulse is switched off, the second pulse from the DDG triggers the SFI pulse. The SFI pulse is applied to one of the two parallel meshes surrounding the cold atoms in the MOT (see section 3.2), and ionizes the monitor state (and all higher energy states), but does not ionize the target state. The resulting electrons are pushed towards the MCP. The output of the MCP is amplified and passed to a digital oscilloscope and a boxcar amplifier. (The third pulse from the DDG triggers the digital oscilloscope and boxcar amplifier 1 μs before the SFI pulse is triggered.) The boxcar amplifier is set to acquire the part of the electron time-of-flight signal corresponding to field ionization of the monitor state.

The second DDG, the microwave sweep oscillator, and the boxcar amplifier are controlled by a computer via a GPIB.
interface using a program written in the LabVIEW environment (National Instruments). The program controls the microwave oscillator output frequency and power level, and can turn the microwave output on and off. The program also sets the mm-wave pulse length \( A \), and steps the delay \( \Delta \) from an initial value to a maximum value in 20 equal steps. Typically, the initial value of \( \Delta \) is between 1 and 5 \( \mu s \), and the maximum value of \( \Delta \) is between 60 and 200 \( \mu s \) later than the initial value, depending on the state being measured. At each delay step \( \Delta \), LabVIEW acquires the time-gated MCP signal from the monitor state for a predetermined number of laser pulses (usually 10) when the microwave oscillator is on (resulting in a measurement \( S(\Delta)_{\text{on}} \)) and when it is off (\( S(\Delta)_{\text{off}} \)). The means and standard deviations of the means of \( S(\Delta)_{\text{on}} \) and \( S(\Delta)_{\text{off}} \) for the ten laser shots are calculated. The delay is then stepped back to ‘zero’ (this means the initial value of \( \Delta \), and the corresponding means and standard deviations of the means are calculated for \( S(\Delta = 0)_{\text{on}} \) and \( S(\Delta = 0)_{\text{off}} \) over ten laser shots each. For this \( \Delta \), LabVIEW calculates the fraction \( F \):

\[
F = \frac{S(\Delta)_{\text{on}} - S(\Delta)_{\text{off}}}{S(\Delta = 0)_{\text{on}} - S(\Delta = 0)_{\text{off}}},
\]

along with its uncertainty (\( \sigma_F \)). Subtracting the signal acquired when the mm-waves are off allows us to reject spurious signals from electrons originating from SFI of states other than the monitor state, and from BBR stimulated population of the monitor state from the target state; neither of which is a constant fraction of the target state population. In addition, repetitively stepping \( \Delta \) back to zero allows us to normalize against slow variations in the target state population, due, for instance, to changes in the MOT atom density and variations in the 480 nm laser pulse energy and frequency. LabVIEW then steps \( \Delta \) to the next delay value, and the process is repeated until we have 21 \( F \) and \( \sigma_F \) values for 21 different \( \Delta \) values. The \( F \) versus \( \Delta \) curve is then plotted and fitted to find the decay lifetime using Igor Pro (Wavemetrics). The uncertainty in the \( \Delta \) measurement is negligible due to the high precision of the DDGs used in the timing electronics (\( \sigma_{\Delta} \approx \pm 10 \) ns), while the uncertainty in each \( F \) value is \( \sigma_F \), and the \( \sigma_F \) values are used to weight the decay curve fit.

We measure the lifetime of each state between 5 and 40 times. From each state’s set of lifetime measurements, we calculate the mean of the set, which is our experimental lifetime determination, and also the standard deviation of the mean. This standard deviation of the mean is combined in quadrature with the mean uncertainty arising from the fitting process to find the uncertainty in the lifetime measurement. The resulting experimental uncertainty is between 2% and 8% of the measured lifetime, with around 5% being typical.

### 4. Results and discussion

In the following sections, we present our results, and compare them with other recent experimental results. We also extract the zero-Kelvin lifetimes and decay rates from our results using Beterov’s equation for \( \Gamma_{\text{BBR}}(T) \) (see (5) above), and compare them with previous theoretical results. Finally, we discuss the impact of the BBR spectral temperature on the inferred zero-Kelvin lifetimes.

#### 4.1. Experimental results

Our experimental lifetimes for the Rb \( ns_{1/2}, np_{3/2} \) and \( nd_{5/2} \) states are listed in Table 2, and plotted in Figure 5. We obtained lifetimes for all the \( ns_{1/2} \) states in the range \( 28 \leq n \leq 45 \) (18 states), for \( np_{3/2} \) states in the range \( 34 \leq n \leq 44 \) (11 states) and for \( nd_{5/2} \) states in the range \( 29 \leq n \leq 44 \) (16 states).

| State | \( ns_{1/2} \) (\( \mu s \)) | \( np_{3/2} \) (\( \mu s \)) | \( nd_{5/2} \) (\( \mu s \)) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 28    | 15.2 ± 0.7      | 13.5 ± 0.7      |                 |
| 29    | 14.9 ± 0.8      | 13.5 ± 0.7      |                 |
| 30    | 18.9 ± 0.8      | 15.8 ± 0.5      |                 |
| 31    | 17.9 ± 0.8      | 19.5 ± 1.5      |                 |
| 32    | 18.1 ± 0.5      | 19.9 ± 1.2      |                 |
| 33    | 20.1 ± 0.8      | 20.5 ± 2.3      |                 |
| 34    | 23.8 ± 1.7      | 24.1 ± 0.6      |                 |
| 35    | 24.4 ± 1.0      | 25.4 ± 1.8      |                 |
| 36    | 25.7 ± 1.4      | 28.6 ± 1.6      |                 |
| 37    | 26.8 ± 1.0      | 31.5 ± 0.8      |                 |
| 38    | 28.6 ± 1.1      | 32.3 ± 1.2      |                 |
| 39    | 34.3 ± 1.5      | 36.4 ± 1.4*     |                 |
| 40    | 34.2 ± 0.9      | 35.0 ± 1.0*     |                 |
| 41    | 38.1 ± 2.1      | 36.9 ± 1.8*     |                 |
| 42    | 40.9 ± 1.2      | 38.7 ± 2.4*     |                 |
| 43    | 40.1 ± 1.6      | 38.9 ± 3.0*     |                 |
| 44    | 47.0 ± 1.7      | 42.0 ± 3.2*     |                 |
| 45    | 50.8 ± 2.4      |                 |                 |
This range of states is limited by several factors. At low \( n \), the energy spacing of adjacent levels exceeds the maximum mm-wave photon energy available from our apparatus (around 200 GHz), even when two-photon transitions are used. At high \( n \), collisions become an issue due to long-range dipole–dipole interactions [13, 14, 21–23, 35]. Though we took extensive measures to work at low background gas pressure and low trap density, we noted that the lifetime measurements tended towards a limit as \( n \) increased above 45–50, an effect that we attributed to collisions. (This is apparent even though our measurements for these states were made with the Rb reservoir valved off from the MOT chamber, i.e. we trapped from the residual Rb atoms in the vacuum chamber.) Another issue that came into play above \( n \approx 45 \) was the effect of microwaves 'leaking through' the switch that synchronized the mm-waves with the pulsed laser. As \( n \) increases, Rydberg states become more and more sensitive to microwave radiation, and the small amount of leak-through radiation was enough to affect the measured lifetime. For \( nd \) states with \( n \geq 39 \), the bandwidth of the pulsed laser which excites the target state at \( t = 0 \) (\( \approx 200 \text{ MHz} \)) exceeds the separations of the \( j = 3/2 \) and \( j = 5/2 \) sub-levels, which is close to 200 MHz at \( n = 39 \) and approximately 120 MHz at \( n = 44 \) [24]. These \( nd \) state lifetime measurements may therefore be subject to some averaging over the different \( j \)-levels. The mm-wave source that was used to populate the monitor state has a resolution that is more than enough to distinguish the \( 45d_{3/2} \rightarrow (n+1) d_{3/2} \) two-photon transitions that were used for these states, which are separated by between 20 MHz and 10 MHz in the range \( 39 \leq n \leq 44 \). However, it is possible that power broadening may result in some \( j = 3/2 \) character being present in the nominally ‘pure’ \( j = 5/2 \) monitor state signal for these target states. On the other hand, the calculations of Beterov et al indicate that the lifetimes of the two \( 45d_{j} \) sub-levels differ by less than 0.2 \( \mu \text{s} \) at 300 K (see table VII in [6]), so the impact of any inadvertent averaging on our results is probably negligible.

Two other features of our experiment have a potential to impact our lifetime measurements. First, our experiments were performed without switching the anti-Helmholtz coils off for a short time in synchronism with the laser pulse. Hence, the MOT magnetic field was on at all times, which could lead to state mixing due to the Zeeman interaction. Given the spatial size of the atoms in the MOT (\( \approx 1 \text{ mm} \)), and the fact that the atoms were trapped at the zero point of a magnetic field with a gradient of \( \leq 0.2 \text{ T m}^{-1} \), the maximum field magnitude experienced by the Rydberg atoms was \( \approx 10^{-4} \text{ T} \). Such small fields are well below the Paschen−Back regime, which is reached around \( 10^{-3}−10^{-2} \text{ T} \) for the \( np_{1/2}−np_{3/2} \) and \( nd_{3/2}−nd_{5/2} \) fine structure splittings at \( n = 50 \) [35]. Hence, both \( \ell \) and \( j \) are good quantum numbers, and additionally, any splitting of the different \( m_{j} \) levels of a given \( j \) state is much smaller than the pulsed laser linewidth. In other words, we can be certain which \( n\ell j \) state we are exciting, and there is no \( \ell \)-mixing of states with different lifetimes.

The second issue is that there is a small residual electric field in the interaction region, which could lead to Stark mixing of different \( \ell \) states. The electric field is due primarily to the bias voltage on the MCP, which (at the time of these experiments) was not housed in a grounded enclosure, though it was separated from the interaction region by the meshes described in section 3.2. We have used this same apparatus to study ultra-cold plasmas (similar to those described in [21] and [50]). In the plasma experiments we found it necessary to apply a nulling field of not more than 1 V cm\(^{-1}\) to maximize...
the plasma lifetime. However, this field was not corrected in the lifetime measurements described in this paper, and we therefore estimate that the Rydberg atoms experienced a static electric field of \( \leq 1 \) \( \text{V cm}^{-1} \). The Stark interaction mixes states with orbital angular momentum \( \ell \) with states having \( \ell' = \ell \pm 1 \) (which themselves mix with states \( \ell' \pm 1 \)). However, \( m_\ell \) (or, in atoms with large fine structure splittings such as Rb, \( m_\ell \)) remains a good quantum number. This mixing obviously has the potential to impact our lifetime measurements, given generally the much longer lifetimes of the \( np \) states than of the \( ns \) and \( nd \) states (whose lifetimes are similar) at comparable \( n_{\text{eff}} \).

To estimate the extent to which our measurements are an average over Stark-mixed \( n\ell \) states, we have calculated the amount of mixing that could result from an electric field using a program that diagonalizes the Stark energy matrix [51]. For a given electric field, the mixing is stronger as \( n \) increases, so we calculated the mixing at the highest \( n_{\text{eff}} \) for any state whose lifetime was measured in our experiments (44d\(_{5/2} \), corresponding to \( n_{\text{eff}} \approx 43 \)). Additionally, we assumed an electric field of 2 \( \text{V cm}^{-1} \), i.e. twice the field that was present in our experiments. We found that in this regime, the admixture of states with a different orbital angular momentum quantum number \( \ell \) was negligible. For instance, the 44d\(_{5/2} \) \( |m_\ell| = 1/2 \) state is 1.57\% (probability) \( \ell = 1 \), 97.10\% \( \ell = 2 \), and 1.29\% \( \ell = 3 \), while the 46s\(_{1/2} \) \( |m_\ell| = 1/2 \) state is 98.95\% \( \ell = 0 \), and 1.05\% \( \ell = 1 \). We looked at all the possible \( |m_\ell| \) eigenstates in the 46s\(_{1/2} \), 45p\(_{3/2} \), 45p\(_{1/2} \), 44d\(_{5/2} \) and 44d\(_{3/2} \) states in a 2 \( \text{V cm}^{-1} \) field, and found that none had less than 97.1\% (by probability) of its zero-field \( \ell \) eigenstate content, and the maximum amount of a state with a different \( \ell \) content was the 1.57\% p-character in the 44d\(_{5/2} \) \( |m_\ell| = 1/2 \) state. Such small admixtures do not impact the measured state lifetimes at a level that can be distinguished in this experiment.

We therefore conclude that the residual electric and magnetic fields have no impact on our lifetime measurements. (The \( \leq 5 \) \( \text{V cm}^{-1} \) voltage pulse which is applied simultaneously with the laser when \( np \) states are to be excited decays to zero over \( \approx 1 \) \( \mu s \). Hence, the pulse switches off slowly enough that the Stark state excited adiabatically couples to \( \ell = 1 \) in zero field and introduces no \( \ell \)-mixing [52].)

Our measurements roughly correspond to those made using a slightly different method by a group at Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil [27, 28]. Their full set of results for \( ns \) (28 \( \leq n \leq 44 \)) and \( nd \) (27 \( \leq n \leq 42 \)) states is given in [28] and [29], and their results for \( np \) (33 \( \leq n \leq 42 \)) are given in [27] (their laser excitation method prevented isolation of the different \( j \)-states for \( np \) and \( nd \)). The \( np \) lifetimes given in [27] were erroneously reported to be twice the actual values, as they pointed out in the reference section of their subsequent paper [28], and their \( np \) lifetime results were afterwards reported correctly in [29]. (This group’s experimental results for the \( ns \), \( np \) and \( nd \) lifetimes are also reproduced in full in figure 6 of the paper by Beterov et al [6].) In general, our \( s \)- and \( d \)-state results are higher than the São Paulo group’s measurements by an average of 7–8\%. While some values differ by 20–30\% for low \( n \), there are negative as well as positive differences between the data sets. Furthermore, our \( p \)-state results are all lower than the previous measurements by between 5\% and 16\%. However, the difference between the two data sets is not large when compared with the experimental uncertainties, which are approximately 5\% for each set of experimental values. We conclude that while there seem to be systematic differences between our results and those of the São Paulo group, these differences are comparable with the measurement
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Figure 6. (a) Log–log plot of an extended set of rubidium Rydberg state zero-Kelvin decay rate measurements, \( \Gamma_0^{\text{Exp}} \), comprising our results and those of [38, 41, 42] for the \( ns1/2 \) (full red diamonds, \( \bullet \)), \( np1/2 \) (open green circles, \( \circ \)) and \( nds1/2 \) (blue crosses, \( + \)) states with \( n \geq 10 \). The range of \( n_{\text{eff}} \) values is 6 \( \leq n_{\text{eff}} \leq 50 \), and the range of \( \Gamma_0^{\text{Exp}} \) values is \( 4 \times 10^3 \text{s}^{-1} \leq \Gamma_0^{\text{Exp}} \leq 4 \times 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} \). The previous results are the most precise values given in table V of [4] that satisfy the criterion that \( n \geq 10 \). The zero-Kelvin decay rates are obtained from the data using (8), assuming that the calculations of Beterov and co-workers for the BBR depopulation rates are correct. The straight lines are fits of the data to (2), i.e. we fit the data to the equation \( \Gamma_0^{\text{Exp}} = (1/\tau_n) n_{\text{eff}} ^{-1} \). The full line (----) is the fit to the \( ns1/2 \) data, the dotted line (-----) to the \( np1/2 \) data and the dashed line (----) to the \( nds1/2 \) data. The dotted box shows our data, and is expanded in graph (b). (b) Inset of data presented in (a) between 24 \( \leq n_{\text{eff}} \leq 44 \) showing only our results as a linear–linear plot. The \( y \)-axis is calibrated in units of \( 1000 \) \( \text{s}^{-1} \). This plot also shows the fit lines to the entire data set shown in (a).
uncertainties. Tretyakov et al have also measured the lifetime of the 37p state of Rb using a MOT source, obtaining \( \tau = 38 \pm 3 \) \( \mu s \), which is lower than our value of 43.5 \( \pm 1.9 \) \( \mu s \) [31].

In a recent paper reporting the first experimental observation of a new class of Rydberg molecules, lifetime measurements were used to distinguish signals from atomic and molecular species. Specifically, Bendkowsky et al observed \(^{89}\)Rb\(_2\) dimers comprising one atom in the \( 5\)s\(_{1/2}\) ground state, and the other atom in an \( n\)s\(_{1/2}\) Rydberg state, where \( 34 \leq n \leq 40 \) [20]. The molecular resonances were observed as satellites to atomic resonances when a tunable 480 nm cw laser was scanned over the \( 5p_{3/2} \rightarrow ns_{1/2} \) transition, and the resonances were observed using SFI followed by detection of the resulting ions with an MCP. The atomic sample had a temperature of 3.5 \( \mu K \) and a density of 1.5 \( \times 10^{13} \) \( \text{cm}^{-3} \), i.e. similar conditions to those used to create a quantum-degenerate gas of Rb. Bendkowsky et al report a number of experimental observations that strongly justify their claim that they observe new molecular species, including measurements of lifetimes for the different spectral features. While their method was able to distinguish different decay times for the atomic and molecular states, their measured lifetimes of the atomic states are very different from our own (and those of the other experimental works discussed in the previous paragraph), ranging from 65 \( \pm 9 \) \( \mu s \) for 35s to 57 \( \pm 5 \) \( \mu s \) for 37s. These differences are surprising, even when it is considered that in [20], the detection of positive ions meant that the observed signal was insensitive to redistribution by BBR so that many Rydberg states likely contributed to it. On the other hand, the experiments reported in [20] were carried out with MOT atom densities \( \sim 10^4 \) times larger than in our own study and those reported in [26–32]. Indeed, it is unclear that an atomic property such as a radiative lifetime can be measured under these conditions, given the occurrence of many-body effects at much lower densities [13–18]. It is, however, curious that in this instance, such effects (or other mechanism) cause longer state lifetimes to be observed for dense samples than for individual atoms.

4.2. Comparison with theory

We considered three different means of comparison between our data and existing theoretical results. First, we estimated which theoretical result was most compatible with our data and existing theoretical results. First, we estimated the accuracy of the theoretical calculations of both of these. For all of the measurements, Gounand’s predictions [3] have a much poorer agreement than either of He et al results or that of Beterov et al.

We conclude that our data set is unable to definitively distinguish which of the works of Beterov et al or He et al gives better parameters \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) in (2), nor whether (2) or (3) is more accurate. However, it seems that the accuracy of the theoretical calculations of both of these theoretical papers is better than that of Gounand [3].

4.2.2. Experimental dependence of \( \tau_0 \) on \( n_{\text{eff}} \)

We initially tried fitting our data to (2), assuming a radiation temperature of 300 K and the equation for the BBR depopulation rate, (5), from Beterov et al. That is, we equated our experimental lifetimes, \( \tau_{\text{eff}}(T) \), with 1/\( \Gamma_{\text{eff}} \) (300 K) in (1) assuming that (5) was correct, to find \( \Gamma_0 \) and hence experimental values for \( \tau_0 \) (designated \( \tau_{0\text{Exp}} \)).

\[
\Gamma_0^{\text{Exp}} = \left( \frac{1}{\tau_0^{\text{Exp}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{BBR}}(T)} \right).
\]

(8)

We then used (2) to extract experimental values for \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) (assuming that \( T = 300 \text{ K} \)), using a least-squares fit. However, since our measurements were taken at relatively high \( n_0 \), there is a large uncertainty in the values obtained, \( \approx \pm 100\% \) for \( \tau_0 \) and \( \approx \pm 10\% \) for \( \epsilon \). We concluded that using only our own lifetime results was insufficient to make a meaningful comparison with theory.

To obtain more accurate values for \( \tau_0 \) and \( \epsilon \), we added data points from previous measurements of Rydberg state lifetimes in Rb. Specifically, we included values for the following states:
Our own, we plotted the et al, being 15% lower than Gounand's and 70% higher than that of Beterov/Gamma1 accuracy with which (5) reproduces the numerical result for that dependence of \( n \) states in the range 27-30 K. Comparing these experimental values, as well as our own, we plotted the \( \Gamma_{0}^{\text{Exp}} \) values obtained using (8) versus \( n_{\text{eff}} \), and these data are presented in figure 6.

We excluded previous data for \( n < 10 \) because the accuracy with which (5) reproduces the numerical result for \( \Gamma_{0}^{\text{BBR}} \) is unspecified below \( n = 10 \) [6]. When obtaining the zero-Kelvin decay rates shown in figure 6, we assumed a BBR spectral temperature of exactly 300 K for our own experiment. In fact, this may not be the case, an issue which is considered below in section 4.2.3. With regard to the previous results shown in figure 6, we have used the estimated BBR temperatures given in those papers. Specifically, in [42], the Rb was contained in a 320 K cell; in [38], the sample was at 460 K; and in [41] the cell was maintained at 520 K. We have assumed these temperatures to be exact, i.e. the uncertainty in the \( \Gamma_{0}^{\text{Exp}} \) values is entirely due to the experimental uncertainty of the lifetime measurements, with no contribution from \( \Gamma_{0}^{\text{BBR}}(T) \).

We fitted the data presented in figure 6 to (2) to obtain experimental values for \( \tau_{c} \) and \( \epsilon \) for the \( n_{s1/2} \), \( n_{p3/2} \) and \( n_{d5/2} \) states of Rb (see table 3). Comparing these experimental results to the theoretical values given in table 1, it appears that the dependence of \( \tau_{c}^{\text{Exp}} \) on \( n_{\text{eff}} \) is best reproduced by He et al [5], rather than by that of Beterov et al (in which the parameter \( c \) shows essentially no dependence on \( \ell \)). The three theoretical papers [3, 5, 6] substantially agree on the \( \tau_{c} \) values for the \( n_{s1/2} \) and \( n_{p3/2} \) series, and our value of \( \tau_{c} \) for \( n_{s1/2} \) is consistent with this finding, although our value for \( n_{p3/2} \) is higher than the theoretical values by \( \approx 30\% \). For the \( n_{d3/2} \) data, the \( \tau_{c} \) value best agrees (within 10%) with the result from He et al, being 15% lower than Gounand’s and 70% higher than that of Beterov et al.

It is unclear whether any differences of the experimental \( \tau_{c} \) values from those given in any of the three theoretical papers are meaningful, given the 10% uncertainty of the experimental values. On the other hand, a preliminary consideration of the experimental \( \epsilon \) values obtained from the extended data set seems to favour the predictions of He et al, rather than those of Gounand or Beterov et al. However, we believe that any such comparison must be treated with caution, given the uncertainty in our knowledge of the BBR temperature, which we discuss below.

Nascimento et al [28] found \( \tau_{c} = 1.43 \pm 0.05 \) ns and \( \epsilon = 2.94 \pm 0.03 \) for the Rb \( n_{s1/2} \) states in the range 28 \( \leq n \leq 44 \), and \( \tau_{c} = 1.90 \pm 0.07 \) ns and \( \epsilon = 2.83 \pm 0.04 \) for the Rb \( n_{d3/2} \) states in the range 27 \( \leq n \leq 42 \) (these values are also reported in [29]). These results agree quite well with our findings shown in table 3, and with theory [3, 5, 6]. However, as one of us has observed [33], any agreement of the results in [28] with ours, or with the theoretical values, is apparently accidental, since Nascimento et al used the approximate equation (4) for BBR depopulation rates to find the zero-Kelvin lifetimes from their experimental data. As noted above, the approximate equation overestimates the BBR depopulation rates by between 20% and 40% at \( n = 30 \) in Rb. (A very recent experimental paper on cesium Rydberg state lifetimes also uses the approximation for the BBR rates when comparing results with theory [32], as does [29] when comparing the \( \tau_{c} \) and \( \epsilon \) values for Rb \( np \) states with theory.)

4.2.3. Effect of BBR temperature. The ability of BBR to significantly affect state lifetimes was investigated in several studies in the late 1970s [7-9]. We have not attempted to measure or control the BBR temperature with any high degree of precision in our experiment, and this approach is similar to other recent Rydberg lifetime experiments [26-32]. Our lab is stabilized at 295 K; however, numerous pieces of equipment become warm during use, and, as pointed out by Spencer et al [10], it is very difficult to shield any experiment from BBR from external sources. Most of the BBR absorbed by atoms in our apparatus will come from the walls of the vacuum chamber, and will have a radiation temperature close to 300 K. However, the atoms will also be illuminated by BBR from the Rb side arm, and this source was heated to between 330 and 350 K. In other words, there is no single temperature that characterizes the BBR field, since the vacuum chamber is not in thermal equilibrium.

To investigate the potential impact on the values of \( \Gamma_{0} \) and \( \tau_{0} \) that we inferred from our experimental data, we performed a simple analysis using expressions from Beterov et al for \( \tau_{0} \) ((2) and the values for \( \tau_{c} \) and \( \epsilon \) given at the bottom of table 1) and \( \Gamma_{0}^{\text{BBR}} \) ((5) and the values for \( A, B, C, D \) and \( \tau_{0} \) given in table I in [6]). We make the gross assumption that (2) and (5), when used with parameter values from Beterov et al, exactly predict the result of an experiment carried out on atoms illuminated with BBR with characteristic temperature \( T \). In this situation, the lifetime values measured, \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \), would be

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}(T)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{0}} + \Gamma_{0}^{\text{BBR}}(T). \tag{9}
\]

On the other hand, if these values of \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) are used to infer values for the zero-Kelvin lifetime, \( \tau_{0}^{\text{Inf}} \), assuming that the BBR temperature is 300 K, we have

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}(T)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{\text{Inf}}} + \Gamma_{0}^{\text{BBR}}(300 \text{ K}). \tag{10}
\]

Obviously, if \( T = 300 \) K, fitting the values of \( \tau_{0}^{\text{Inf}} \) to (2) would yield the original values for \( \tau_{c} \) and \( \epsilon \). The question we want to investigate is, what happens to the values of these parameters obtained if \( T \neq 300 \) K? We consider two situations: \( T = 270 \) K, and \( T = 330 \) K, and made another gross assumption, namely that the ‘experimental’ values of \( \tau_{0}^{\text{Inf}} \) have uncertainties that are a fixed percentage of their absolute values. (If the data points are unweighted, the low-\( n \) lifetimes have a much

| State | \( \tau_{c} \) (ns) | \( \epsilon \) |
|-------|----------------|---------|
| \( n_{s1/2} \) | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 2.99 ± 0.03 |
| \( n_{p3/2} \) | 3.5 ± 0.4 | 2.90 ± 0.03 |
| \( n_{d5/2} \) | 1.8 ± 0.3 | 2.84 ± 0.04 |
smaller impact on the fit than the high-\(n\) lifetimes.) Finally, we assume that we ‘measured’ lifetimes of only the states shown in figure 6.

We did this analysis for the \(ns\), \(np\) and \(nd\) series, but we will discuss only the \(np\) results. Because the \(np\) states have longer zero-Kelvin lifetimes, BBR has a bigger impact on the effective \(np\) lifetimes, and therefore a wrong temperature assignment has a bigger impact on the inferred zero-Kelvin lifetime. We found that while a difference of 10% between the actual value of \(T\) and its assumed value (300 K) changed \(\epsilon\) by only 3%, from approximately 3.0 to 2.9 or 3.1, the value of \(\tau\) changed by about 15%, from 2.5 ns to 2.1 ns or 2.9 ns. (The range of these values does not correspond to the \(\tau_{\text{fit}}\) value for the \(np_{3/2}\) states given in table 3 since we are starting with theoretical values from [6], not our own experimental data.) As expected, the effect on the \(ns\) and \(nd\) results was smaller.

We conclude that the ability of experimental measurements of Rydberg state lifetimes to inform the results of theoretical calculations is substantially dependent on accurate knowledge of the BBR field temperature. This is an issue that can only be resolved if BBR depopulation rates of the Rydberg states can be measured under the same experimental conditions as those of the lifetime measurements. It is unclear, however, whether the BBR transition rates can be measured with sufficient precision to yield zero-Kelvin lifetimes with small enough uncertainties for useful comparison with theory. The two studies that have specifically addressed this question, those of Spencer et al [10] and Galvez et al [12], did not measure the BBR transfer rates explicitly. Rather, they showed that their models (based on theoretical rates) were consistent with the observed time-evolution of population over a range of Rydberg states [12], or that the observed variation of the radiative lifetime of a state with temperature was in agreement with calculations [10].

5. Conclusion

We have measured the radiative lifetimes of high-\(n\) Rydberg states of rubidium using cold atoms in a MOT. The slow velocities of these atoms allow them to be observed for long enough that lifetimes of states up to at least \(n = 50\) may be measured. Our experiment has a significant improvement over earlier work that reduces the impact of a possible source of systematic error. Specifically, we use mm-waves to ‘tag’ a particular state so that the detected signal is an accurate mirror of the target state population. This eliminates ambiguities in the signal caused by BBR stimulated population transfer to nearby Rydberg states.

Our results are consistent with other recent experimental studies [27–29], and also agree well with several theoretical papers [3, 5, 6]. However, we find that the ability of our data to distinguish the merits of the three different theoretical studies is limited by a lack of experimental data of BBR depopulation rates in rubidium, and by our imperfect knowledge of the BBR spectral temperature. On the other hand, we have used available theoretical information on BBR rates to obtain the highest possible accuracy for our values for the zero-Kelvin lifetimes of \(\text{Rb}\) Rydberg states.
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