RNAi Screen Reveals Host Cell Kinases Specifically Involved in *Listeria monocytogenes* Spread from Cell to Cell
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**Abstract**

Intracellular bacterial pathogens, such as *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Rickettsia conorii* display actin-based motility in the cytosol of infected cells and spread from cell to cell through the formation of membrane protrusions at the cell cortex. Whereas the mechanisms supporting cytosolic actin-based motility are fairly well understood, it is unclear whether specific host factors may be required for supporting the formation and resolution of membrane protrusions. To address this gap in knowledge, we have developed high-throughput fluorescence microscopy and computer-assisted image analysis procedures to quantify pathogen spread in human epithelial cells. We used the approach to screen a siRNA library covering the human kinome and identified 7 candidate kinases whose depletion led to severe spreading defects in cells infected with *L. monocytogenes*. We conducted systematic validation procedures with redundant silencing reagents and confirmed the involvement of the serine/threonine kinases, CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B. We conducted secondary assays showing that, in contrast with the situation observed in CSNK2B-depleted cells, *L. monocytogenes* formed wild-type cytosolic tails and displayed wild-type actin-based motility in the cytosol of CSNK1A1-depleted cells. Furthermore, we developed a protrusion formation assay and showed that the spreading defect observed in CSNK1A1-depleted cells correlated with the formation of protrusion that did not resolve into double-membrane vacuoles. Moreover, we developed sending and receiving cell-specific RNAi procedures and showed that CSNK1A1 was required in the sending cells, but was dispensable in the receiving cells, for protrusion resolution. Finally, we showed that the observed defects were specific to *Listeria monocytogenes*, as *Rickettsia conorii* displayed wild-type cell-to-cell spread in CSNK1A1- and CSNK2B-depleted cells.

We conclude that, in addition to the specific host factors supporting cytosolic actin-based motility, such as CSNK2B, *Listeria monocytogenes* requires specific host factors, such as CSNK1A1 in order to form productive membrane protrusions and spread from cell to cell.
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**Introduction**

Various intracellular bacterial pathogens display actin-based motility within human cells, including *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Rickettsia conorii* [1,2]. *L. monocytogenes* is a Gram-positive bacterium that invades epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa and causes rare but potentially lethal food-borne infections. *R. conorii* is an obligate Gram-negative bacterium responsible for Mediterranean spotted fever (MSF), a disease transmitted to humans by the brown dog tick *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*. The ability to spread from cell to cell is an important determinant of virulence since infection in animal models with strains of *L. monocytogenes* and *R. conorii* impaired in actin-based motility leads to attenuated pathogenesis [3,4,5].

The bacterial and host factors supporting actin-based motility have been extensively investigated. Seminal genetic studies identified ActA as the bacterial factor required for *L. monocytogenes* actin tail formation [3,4]. ActA displays structural similarities with WASP/WAVE family members, thereby mimicking their nucleation-promoting activity towards the ARP2/3 complex [6,7,8]. It was initially thought that, similar to *L. monocytogenes*, *Rickettsia* spp.

actin-based motility relies on the expression of RickA, a bacterial factor that mimics the activity of WASP/WAVE family members [9,10]. However, recent studies revealed that *R. rickettsii* actin-based motility is probably mediated by the formin-like bacterial factor, Sca2 [5].

The host factors involved in actin-based motility were primarily investigated by a biochemical approach using *L. monocytogenes* as a model system. Because the formation of actin tails could be observed in cells as well as in cell extracts, an *in vitro* system was used to identify the host factors required for actin tail formation [11], an approach that led to the identification of the ARP2/3 complex and its major role in actin nucleation [12]. Recently, a set of host factors required for *Rickettsia parkeri* actin tail formation was identified by a targeted approach using the *Drosophila* S2R+ cell line as a model system [13]. This study further revealed that, in order to display wild-type actin-based motility in COS-7 cells, *R. parkeri* requires the actin cytoskeleton factors Profilin and Fimbrin, two host factors that were seemingly dispensable for *Listeria monocytogenes* actin-based motility under these experimental conditions [13].
Whereas our understanding of cellular mechanisms supporting actin-based motility is now quite substantial, the host factors potentially supporting the formation of membrane protrusions are unknown. A common assumption postulates that the propelling force developed by bacteria displaying actin-based motility may be sufficient to deform the plasma membrane when moving bacteria reach the cell cortex. In support of this assumption, an E. coli strain engineered to express IcsA, the Shigella virulence factor, was shown to display cytosolic actin-based motility and spread from cell to cell through membrane protrusion formation [14]. While this study suggests that the ability to develop actin-based motility may be the only requirement for the bacteria to protrude into the plasma membrane, the identity of the host factors potentially involved in the formation of productive protrusions and efficient spread from cell to cell is unknown.

Here, we present a kinome RNAi screen for host factors required for L. monocytogenes spread from cell to cell and report the identification and validation of the serine/threonine kinase CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B. We have previously shown that CSNK2B-mediated phosphorylation of ActA is required for cytosolic motility [15]. In contrast, we found that CSNK1A1 is not required for the development of cytosolic actin-based motility. We showed that CSNK1A1 depletion in the sending cells leads to a decrease in the resolution of membrane protrusions into double membrane vacuoles in the receiving cells, thus accounting for the observed cell-to-cell spreading defects. This study indicates that, in addition to their ability to display cytosolic actin-based motility, intracellular pathogens require specific host factors in order to form productive membrane protrusions and spread from cell to cell.

**Results**

Identification of L. monocytogenes spreading defects by high-throughput fluorescence microscopy and computer-assisted image analysis

The most widely used assay to study pathogen spread is the plaque assay. Macroscopic in nature, the plaque assay is not informative at the cellular level, nor is it amenable to high-throughput screening procedures. With the objective of identifying host factors involved in pathogen spread, we have developed a high-throughput screening strategy for quantification of L. monocytogenes spread in human epithelial cells using automated fluorescence microscopy and computer-assisted image analysis (Figure 1). In wild-type cells, GFP-expressing bacteria spread from cell to cell, forming characteristic infection foci (Figure 1, MOCK, 10403S). As expected, the spreading-defective *ActA* mutant invaded cells as efficiently as the wild-type bacteria, but did not form the characteristic infection foci (Figure 1, MOCK, 10403S*ΔactA*). We used computer-assisted image analysis to determine the average intensity of the GFP signal (AI-GFP) in infected cells (Figure 1, Image analysis). For comparative analysis between samples, we used Z-scores to determine how many standard deviation units a given AI-GFP is above or below the mean (see Materials and Methods). Statistical analysis of hundreds of images demonstrated that more than 90% of wild-type cells infected with wild-type bacteria displayed AI-GFP dispersed within a 2 standard deviation interval, with corresponding Z-scores ranging from -2 to +2 (Figure 1, MOCK, 10403S, Image analysis, Z = 0.2). We showed that the accumulation of non-spreading bacteria in primarily infected cells resulted in a dramatic increase of AI-GFP (Figure 1, MOCK, 10403S*ΔactA*, Image analysis, Z-score = 8.8). Thus, the quantification of AI-GFP and the determination of the corresponding Z-scores provide a simple readout to identify spreading defects by computer-assisted image analysis.

Identification of host factors required for L. monocytogenes spread by RNA interference

To test the feasibility of identifying host factors involved in cell-to-cell spread, we imaged L. monocytogenes infection in ARPC4-depleted cells. ARPC4 is a component of the ARP2/3 complex, a key cellular regulator of actin polymerization [16,17]. We determined by real-time PCR analysis that siRNA treatment led to ~90% depletion in ARPC4 transcript (not shown). As expected, in ARPC4-depleted cells, infection with wild-type bacteria displayed a spreading defect phenotype similar to the one observed in wild-type cells infected with the *ActA* mutant strain (Figure 1, ARPC4, 10403S). Computer-assisted image analysis showed a dramatic increase of AI-GFP in ARPC4-depleted cells (Figure 1, Image analysis, ARPC4, Z-score = 9.4). These results demonstrated the feasibility of using RNAi in combination with fluorescence microscopy and computer-assisted image analysis to identify host factors involved in *L. monocytogenes* spread from cell to cell.

RNAi kinome screen and validation procedures

We next screened a siRNA library covering the human kinome (779 genes). The library was constituted of pools of four redundant silencing duplexes each targeting a given gene. Genes scoring two standard deviation units above Al-GFP were considered as potential hits (Z-score >2). We identified 7 candidate kinase genes that passed our selection criteria in three independent primary screens (ACVRL1, CDK5R1, CSNK1A1, CSNK2B, PDGFRB, SNARK and TTK). As part of our validation procedures, we re-tested the siRNA pools corresponding to the candidate genes and we confirmed the spreading defect phenotypes observed in the primary screen, with the exception of CDK5R1, which displayed a Z-score <2 (Figure 2A). A major
drawback of the RNAi methodology is the unintended silencing of genes displaying limited sequence homology with the targeted gene, a phenomenon referred to as the off-target effect [18]. In order to establish a functional relationship between the silencing of the targeted genes and the observed spreading defects, we tested individually the four siRNA duplexes constituting the original pools and determined their silencing efficiency by real-time PCR and the strength of the conferred spreading defects by image analysis. For CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B, we observed a good correlation between Z-score values and silencing efficiencies (Figure 2B, CSNK1A1 and Table 1). These candidate genes are therefore likely to be specifically involved in the observed phenotypes (Fig. 2B, on-target). For the other candidate genes, however, our validation strategy revealed an extensive rate of false-positive hits (off-target). ACVRL1 was disregarded from further analysis because we could not detect any expression in HeLa 229 cells. For TTK, we confirmed that the pool of four siRNA duplexes conferred a spreading defect, as observed in the primary screen. However, none of the siRNA duplexes conferred a spreading defect when tested individually (Table 1). For ACVRL1, CDK5R1, PDGFRB and SNARK, only one siRNA duplex out of the 4 tested for each gene was found to confer the observed spreading phenotype, even though other duplexes displayed similar silencing efficiencies (Figure 2B, PDGFRB and Table 1). Since we could not establish an unambiguous relationship between the silencing of the targeted genes and the observed spreading defects, ACVRL1, CDK5R1, PDGFRB, SNARK and TTK were disregarded from further analysis (Fig. 2B, off-target and Table 1). Altogether our validation procedures suggest that 5 out of the 7 identified candidate genes were false-positive hits. In conclusion,

Figure 2. Primary screen and validation procedures. (A) Representative images for all candidate genes displaying a Z-score of ≥2. Merged images: red, Nuclei; green, Bacteria (B) Validation of individual siRNA duplexes and characterization of ON- and OFF-target candidates. Left panel: Real-time PCR analysis showing silencing efficiency compared to mock-treated cells (% Expression). Right panel: Representative images for the four individual siRNA duplexes tested and corresponding Z-scores. Merged image: red, Nuclei; green, Bacteria. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023399.g002

Host Kinases Involved in Listeria Spread
our RNAi kinome screen revealed that CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B are specifically involved in L. monocytogenes spread from cell to cell.

L. monocytogenes displays normal cytosolic actin-based motility in CSNK1A1-depleted cells

To further investigate the role of CSNK1A1 in L. monocytogenes spread, we analyzed actin tail pattern formation in MOCK- and CSNK1A1-depleted cells (Fig. 3A). We scored bacteria that displayed long or short actin tails, or were surrounded by F-actin (clouds), or were not associated with F-actin (Fig. 3B). As opposed to the situation observed in CSNK2B-depleted cells, actin tail patterns formed in CSNK1A1-depleted cells were indistinguishable from the ones observed in mock-treated cells (Fig. 3C), suggesting that the bacteria displayed wild-type actin-based motility in CSNK1A1-depleted cells. We also conducted time-lapse video microscopy experiments and confirmed that the velocity of the bacteria in the cytosol of CSNK1A1-depleted cells was comparable to the velocity recorded in mock-treated cells (~0.1 μm/s, Fig. 3D). We conclude that the spreading defect observed in CSNK1A1-depleted cells was not due to a detectable defect in cytosolic actin-based motility.

L. monocytogenes forms non-productive membrane protrusions in CSNK1A1-depleted cells

Fluorescence microscopy analysis of actin tail pattern, as well as time-lapse video microscopy experiments, suggested that L. monocytogenes could move in the cytosol of infected cells and reached the plasma membrane after 4 hours of infection. However, as opposed to the situation observed in mock-treated cells (Fig. 4A, MOCK), L. monocytogenes did not spread to the neighboring cells in CSNK1A1-depleted cells (Fig. 4A, CSNK1A1). We used a plasma membrane-targeted version of RFP to test the hypothesis that, in CSNK1A1-depleted cells, the bacteria were not able to form productive membrane protrusions. This assay allowed us to identify, among the bacteria that crossed the cell boundary of an infected cell, the membrane marker-positive bacteria still present in membrane protrusions or double-membrane vacuoles and the membrane-marker-negative bacteria, that presumably reached the cytosol of the neighboring cells (Fig. 4B). We determined that in wild-type cells, ~20% of the bacteria that crossed the cell boundary of an infected cell were found in protrusions (Fig. 4C, Mock, Protrusions). In stark contrast, ~60% of the bacteria that crossed the cell boundary were located in protrusions in CSNK1A1-depleted cells (Fig. 4C, CSNK1A1, Protrusions). Interestingly, this accumulation of protrusions in CSNK1A1-depleted cells correlated with a significant decrease in the formation of double membrane vacuoles (Fig. 4C, CSNK1A1, Vacuoles), suggesting that the spreading phenotype observed in CSNK1A1-depleted cells was related to a defect in the resolution of protrusions into double membrane vacuoles. Accordingly, more than 60% and less than 30% of the bacteria that crossed the cell boundary were not associated with the membrane marker and therefore presumably reached the cytosol of the neighboring cells, in mock-treated CSNK1A1-depleted cells, respectively (Fig. 4C, Mock and CSNK1A1, Absent). These experiments indicate that, in CSNK1A1-depleted cells, L. monocytogenes forms abortive protrusions that do not resolve in double-membrane vacuoles.

CSNK1A1 is required in sending cells, but not in receiving cells

We next determined the requirement for CSNK1A1 in the sending and receiving cells for efficient spread. To determine the requirement in the sending cells, we depleted CSNK1A1 in cells expressing a membrane-GFP marker and mixed them with mock-treated cells previously stained with a red cytosolic marker. CSNK1A1-depleted cells expressing membrane-GFP infected with L. monocytogenes displayed a typical spreading defect phenotype with formation of protrusions that failed to resolve in the receiving cells (Figure 5A). To determine the requirement in the receiving cells, we depleted CSNK1A1 in cells prior to labeling them with the red cytosolic dye and mixed them with mock-treated cells expressing the membrane-GFP marker. The protrusions sent by mock-treated cells into CSNK1A1-depleted cells were resolved as demonstrated by confocal microscopy (Figure 5B). These experiments indicate that CSNK1A1 is required in sending cells, but not in receiving cells for protrusion resolution.

Rickettsia conorii displays normal cell-to-cell spread in CSNK1A1- or CSNK2B-depleted cells

In order to determine the specificity of the spreading defect phenotypes observed in CSNK1A1- and CSNK2B-depleted cells infected with L. monocytogenes, we tested the impact of CSNK1A1 or CSNK2B depletion on Rickettsia conorii spread from cell to cell. We first quantified R. conorii spread in mock-treated cells and in CAPZB-

### Table 1. Hit validation summary.

| SNAR | RT-PCR | Z-Score | PDGFRB | RT-PCR | Z-Score |
|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| C    | 38.3±13.6 | -0.15±0.4 | C      | 31.6±11.0 | 0.68±0.2 |
| A    | 69.5±17.1 | -0.45±0.1 | B      | 32.6±6.8 | 6.06±2.3 |
| B    | 72.0±27.7 | 4.36±0.9 | A      | 35.6±9.1 | -0.49±0.4 |
| D    | 73.4±14.1 | -0.15±0.1 | D      | 36.5±6.2 | 1.16±0.4 |
| Pool | 54.8±18.8 | 5.16±2.8 | Pool   | 23.4±4.8 | 8.7±1.5 |

### CSNK1A1

| RT-PCR | Z-Score | ACVR1 | RT-PCR | Z-Score |
|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|
| D      | 10.8±1.9 | 3.46±0.5 | B      | NA      | 2.74±0.5 |
| A      | 20.0±3.3 | 2.46±0.3 | C      | NA      | 0.78±0.1 |
| B      | 27.2±4.0 | 2.65±0.5 | A      | NA      | -0.39±0.1 |
| C      | 37.8±3.6 | 0.18±0.2 | D      | NA      | 0.33±0.2 |
| Pool   | 13.6±2.6 | 3.34±0.9 | Pool   | NA      | 2.35±1.1 |

### CSNK2B

| RT-PCR | Z-Score | CDK5R1 | RT-PCR | Z-Score |
|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| C      | 16.2±4.1 | 5.2±0.2 | B      | 44.3±23.2 | 0.25±0.8 |
| A      | 16.4±2.2 | 6.0±1.1 | D      | 51.0±12.9 | 0.69±0.8 |
| B      | 20.5±2.9 | 2.8±0.4 | C      | 59.8±13.5 | -0.26±0.1 |
| Pool   | 18.2±1.7 | 5.0±0.2 | Pool   | 51.8±14.4 | 1.94±0.8 |

### TTK

| RT-PCR | Z-Score | Validation Summary |
|--------|---------|-------------------|
| D      | 14.3±2.2 | ON-Target OFF-Target |
| C      | 15.0±2.0 | CSNK1A1 ACVR1 PDGFRB |
| B      | 18.7±5.5 | CSNK2B CDK5R1 TTK |
| A      | 40.3±2.3 | 0.12±0.2 SNARK |
| Pool   | 10.3±0.6 | 2.93±1.3 |

For each hit identified in the primary screens, four redundant siRNA duplexes were tested individually to determine the silencing efficiency by real-time PCR analysis (RT-PCR, % mRNA remaining) and the strength of the observed spreading phenotype (Z-score). In bold are the duplexes conferring a spreading defect (Z-score >2). Only CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B targeting duplexes display a strict correlation between silencing efficiency and spreading defect phenotype and are therefore specifically involved in L. monocytogenes cell-to-cell spread. The bottom right corner displays the validation summary with 2 ON-target (true) and 5 OFF-target (false) hits. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023399.t001
depleted cells. CAPZB is the beta subunit of Capping Z proteins, a cytoskeleton factor that was shown to be important for R. conorii actin-based motility [13]. R. conorii formed large infection foci in mock-treated cells (Fig. 6, MOCK). As expected, CAPZB depletion resulted in a striking decrease of the size of the infection foci (Fig. 6, CAPZB). We next analyzed R. conorii spread in CSNK1A1-, CSNK2B-depleted cells and determined that the infection foci formed in the corresponding cells were indistinguishable from the ones observed in wild-type cells (Fig. 6). Given the predicted role of RickA in Rickettsia spread and the predicted role of CSNK2B in the regulation of RickA affinity for the ARP2/3 complex, we also tested the potential role of the ARP2/3 complex in Rickettsia spread (Fig. 6, ARP2/3). We found that the ARP2/3 complex is not required for Rickettsia spread in the cellular system under investigation. In conclusion, CSNK1A1, CSNK2B and the ARP2/3 complex are not required for R. conorii spread and are therefore specifically required for L. monocytogenes spread from cell to cell.

Discussion

RNAi screens and the rate of off-target effects in mammalian cells

We have developed a novel approach to quantify pathogen spread using high-throughput fluorescence microscopy and computer-assisted image analysis. We used the approach to screen a siRNA library covering the human kinome (779 genes) and identified 7 candidate genes involved in L. monocytogenes spread. In order to unambiguously establish a functional relationship between the silencing of the targeted gene and the observed phenotype, we required a strict correlation between silencing efficiency and the observed phenotype. To this end, we tested individually the 4 siRNA duplexes constituting the screened pools. For CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B, we found a total of 3 and 4 siRNA duplexes, respectively, conferring the observed phenotype and we could establish a perfect correlation with the silencing efficiency (Table 1). Therefore, our validation procedures revealed that CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B are specifically involved in L. monocytogenes spread. For ACVRL1, CDK5R1, PDGFRB, SNARK and TTK, however, we found only 1 duplex out of the 4 duplexes tested for each pool that conferred a spreading defect, and we could not establish any correlation between silencing efficiency and the observed phenotype. We conclude that the siRNA duplexes conferring a spreading defect phenotype for ACVRL1, CDK5R1, PDGFRB, SNARK and TTK probably display off-target activity. These results indicate that the rate of false-positive hits in our RNAi screen was extremely high (5/7 candidate genes, ~70%), and that the validation procedure conducted using redundant silencing reagent was therefore essential in order to unambiguously demonstrate the involvement of the identified factors, including CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B.
Host factors specifically involved in pathogen spread from cell to cell

Our comparative analyses with *R. conorii* revealed the specific requirement for CSNK1A1 and CSNK2B in *L. monocytogenes* spread from cell to cell. These two kinases, which were historically characterized by their ability to phosphorylate casein in vitro (hence their names), are in fact not related and display different substrate specificity. We have previously shown that CSNK2B mediates the phosphorylation of the C region of ActA, which regulates its affinity for the ARP2/3 complex [15]. On the basis of comparative analyses, we predicted that the activity of RickA, a *Rickettsia* protein shown to activate the ARP2/3 complex in vitro, would also be regulated by CSNK2B upon *Rickettsia* infection [15]. However, the data presented here indicate that *R. conorii* spreads normally in CSNK2B-depleted cells. Moreover, in agreement with a recent report showing that the ARP2/3 complex is not required for *R. conorii* spread [16], the data presented here indicate that the ARP2/3 complex is not required for *R. conorii* spread.

**Figure 4. Characterization of membrane protrusion formation in mock-treated and CSNK1A1-depleted cells.** (A) Representative images showing protrusion formation in mock-treated and CSNK1A1-depleted cells transiently transfected with membrane-targeted RFP. Top panel; bright field. Bottom panel; fluorescence (green, bacteria; red, plasma membrane). (B) Representative images of bacteria protrusions (top panel), double membrane vacuole (middle panel) and not associated with membrane marker (bottom panel). Left: merged image; green, bacteria; red, plasma membrane. Right; plasma membrane only. (C) Quantification of bacteria that crossed the cell boundary in mock-treated and CSNK1A1-depleted cells and found in protrusions, vacuoles or not associated with the membrane-RFP marker. Values represent the mean and standard deviation of 5 independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023399.g004

**Figure 5. CSNK1A1 is required in sending cells, but not in receiving cells.** (A) Representative image of CSNK1A1-depleted cells expressing membrane-GFP marker (green) infected with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* and sending bacteria into mock-treated cells labeled with CMTPX (red). The dotted line indicates the position of the YZ section shown on the right. Arrow indicates a protrusion in the CMTPX-labeled neighboring cell. (B) Representative image of mock-treated cells expressing membrane-GFP marker (green) infected with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* and sending bacteria into CSNK1A1-depleted cells labeled with CMTPX (red). The dotted line indicates the position of the YZ section shown on the right. Arrow indicates a free bacterium in the CMTPX-labeled neighboring cell.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023399.g005
parkeri actin tail formation in Drosophila S2R+ cells [13], we showed that ARP2/3 is not required for R. conorii spread in human HeLa 229 cells. Finally, a recent report showed that a R. rickettsii mutant defective in the production of the putative outer membrane protein Sca2, which acts as a formin-like actin nucleator [19], displayed a spreading defect phenotype [5]. Collectively, these data suggest that the activity of the ARP2/3 complex and the nucleation-promoting factor RickA is not required for R. conorii spread in HeLa 229 cells.

CSNK1A1 is required for protrusion resolution into double membrane vacuoles

We previously showed that CSNK2B regulates actin-based motility in the cytosol of infected cells [15]. Here, we demonstrated that L. monocytogenes cytosolic motility was normal in CSNK1A1-depleted cells. Therefore, it is unlikely that CSNK1A1 regulate the activity of ActA in the cytosol of infected cells. However, we note that we cannot formally exclude the possibility that CSNK1A1-mediated phosphorylation regulates uncovered aspect(s) of ActA activity, not required for cytosolic actin-based motility, but necessary for spread from cell to cell. Similar to mock-treated cells, most bacteria were motile in the cytosol and could reach the plasma membrane in CSNK1A1-depleted cells. However, as opposed to the situation observed in mock-treated cells, the bacteria accumulated at cell boundaries, suggesting that they were not able to effectively spread from cell to cell. To further investigate the nature of the observed spreading defect, we developed a protrusion formation assay using a membrane-targeted version of RFP to visualize L. monocytogenes-containing plasma membrane protrusions. In addition, we developed a secondary assay to determine whether CSNK1A1 was required in the sending and/or the receiving cells. Altogether, our results indicate that CSNK1A1 is specifically required in the sending cells for the resolution of membrane protrusions into double-membrane vacuoles in the receiving cells.

Mechanisms of protrusion resolution into double membrane vacuoles

The resolution of protrusions into vacuoles is a poorly understood process that requires disruption of the cytoskeleton network constituting the protrusions and subsequent sealing of the plasma membranes surrounding the protrusions. We speculate that these drastic remodeling events may rely on bacterial as well as cellular factors. L. monocytogenes produces three factors known to disrupt eukaryotic membrane integrity, including the pore-forming Listeriolsin O (LLO) [20,21], the PI-specific phospholipase A (PcA) [22,23] and the broad-range phospholipase PlcB [3,24]. PlcB also displays membrane fusion activity that could be involved in the resolution process [25]. It is also likely that the forces generated by L. monocytogenes-mediated actin polymerization in protrusions together with the disruptive activities of the bacterial factors aforementioned contribute to membrane tension and protrusion resolution. Whether CSNK1A1 may be involved in the regulation of these bacterial activities in protrusions remains to be investigated. The cellular factors potentially involved in protrusion resolution are unknown, but the nature of cell-cell contact is likely to be important. Interestingly, the L. monocytogenes factors InlC has been recently shown to be required for disrupting Tuba-mediated apical junctions in polarized cells (Caco-2), thereby releasing cortical tension at the plasma membrane and facilitating cell-to-cell spread [26]. It is therefore tempting to speculate that CSNK1A1 may be required for this process. However, the release of the apical tension for efficient spread is only important in polarized cells and there is no detectable spreading defect in non-polarized cells, such as the HeLa 229 cell line used in our study, when infected with the InlC mutant (Keith Ireton, personal
Materials and Methods

Bacterial and eukaryotic cell growth conditions

*Listeria monocytogenes* strain 10403S [28] was grown overnight in BHI (Difco) at 30°C without agitation prior to infection. *Rickettsia conorii* Brumpt, Strain 7 (ATCC #VR-613) was amplified in Vero cells (ATCC #CCL-81) as previously described [29]. HeLa 229 cells (ATCC #CCL-2.1) were grown in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator.

RNAi screen

The Dharmacon library (ThermoFisher) covering the human kinome (779 genes) was aliquoted in black clear-bottom 384-well plates (Corning, 3712) by dispensing 10 µl of the corresponding siRNA pools (200nM). 20 µl of Dharmafect 1 transfection reagent (ThermoFisher) was diluted in 4 ml of DMEM serum free media (Invitrogen) and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C overnight. The cells were then transfected with pDsRed-Monomer-Mem DNA (Clontech) 18hrs prior to infection with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* (Invitrogen). The plates were then incubated at 37°C, in 5% CO2 for 9 hours and then fixed in PBS/0.1% TritonX containing Alexa-fluor 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde overnight. The cells were then permeabilized and stained in PBS/0.1% TritonX containing Alexa-fluor 4% formaldehyde at 4°C overnight. The cells were then transfected with pDsRed-Monomer-Mem DNA (Clontech) 18hrs prior to infection with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* (Invitrogen). For real-time PCR analysis, total RNA and first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the TaqMan Gene Expression Cells-to-Ct Kit (Applied Biosystems), as recommended by the manufacturer, with the addition of DNaseI for the removal of unwanted genomic DNA. mRNA levels were determined by quantitative real-time PCR using the Universal ProbeLibrary (Roche, Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) and LightCycler 480 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Thermal cycling was carried out using a Light Cycler 480 instrument (Roche Diagnostics) under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min and 45 cycles at 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 25 s.

Quantification of *L. monocytogenes* actin tail formation

HeLa 229 cells infected with *L. monocytogenes* were fixed in PBS 4% formaldehyde overnight. The cells were then permeabilized and stained in PBS/0.1% TritonX containing Alexa-fluor 568 conjugated phalloidin (1:500) (Invitrogen), and Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes, Inc.) and imaged immediately using a TE 2000 microscope (Nikon) and a 60X objective (Nikon) mounted on a piezo focus drive system (Physik Instrumente). Image acquisition and analysis was conducted using the MetaMorph 7.1 software (Molecular Devices, Inc.).

Time-lapse video microscopy

HeLa 229 cells were seeded on 35-mm imaging dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA). Mock- and siRNA-treated cells were grown on the same imaging dishes using a Culture-Insert (Ibidi, Germany). Cells were then transfected with pDsRed-Monomer-Mem DNA construct (Clontech) 10hrs prior to infection with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes*. At 4hrs post infection, images were captured every 8 sec on a Nikon TE2000E spinning disc confocal microscope. Single bacterium tracking was performed using the tracking module of the Velocity software (Improvision, Lexington, MA). Bacteria tracked to calculate average velocity were required to be motile for at least 30 sec and show a minimum velocity of 0.03 µm/sec.

Quantification of *L. monocytogenes* membrane protrusion and vacuole formation

Mock- and siRNA-treated HeLa 229 cells were seeded on Day 1 on coverslips as described previously [15]. Cells were transfected with pDsRed-Monomer-Mem DNA construct (Clontech) on Day...
3. Media was replaced on Day 4 and the cells were subsequently infected with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* for 7hrs, fixed in PBS 4% para-formaldehyde. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using FluoroGuard Antifade Reagent (Bio-Rad) and imaged on a Nikon TE2000 spinning disc confocal microscope with a 60x oil objective. Images were processed using the Volocity software package (Improvision/PerkinElmer).

Respective role of sending and receiving cells

Mock- and siRNA-treated HeLa 229 cells were cultured on glass coverslips in 24-well plates for 72 h as previously described [15]. After 24 hrs of transfection, mock- or siRNA-treated cells were either transfected with 200 ng pAcGFP1-MemHyg DNA construct (Clontech) or incubated with 2.5 μM CellTracker Red CMTPX (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) for 15 min, washed with PBS and fresh DMEM 10% FBS was added. After 6hrs of incubation, the cells were washed with PBS again, supplemented with fresh DMEM 10% FBS and incubated overnight. The next day, both cell populations were lifted, mixed, plated onto coverslips and cultured overnight at 37°C. The mixed population of cells was infected the next day with GFP-expressing *L. monocytogenes* for 7 hours. Coverslips were imaged by spinning disc confocal microscopy as described for the quantification of protrusion and vacuole formation.

*Rickettsia conorii* infection and quantification of spread from cell to cell

Mock- and siRNA-treated HeLa 229 cells were cultured on glass coverslips in 24-well plates for 72 h as described previously [15]. At the time of infection, cells were refreshed with DMEM 10% FBS containing *R. conorii* and incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The cells were fixed in PBS 4% para-formaldehyde. For immunostaining, cells were permeabilized and stained by incubating in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100/1% BSA containing a primary rabbit polyclonal antibody against whole *R. rickettsii* (a gift from R. Heinzen, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, USA) for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit Alexa-480 secondary antibody (Invitrogen) and Hoechst (Invitrogen) for 30 min. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using FluoroGuard Antifade Reagent (Bio-Rad). The area covered by a given focus of infection was determined by using the Image Morphometry Analysis (IMA) module of the MetaMorph 7.1 software (Molecular Devices, Inc.).
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