Nasal reshaping with hyaluronic acid: technique, risks, and benefits
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ABSTRACT
Rhinoplasty is a common procedure performed by plastic surgeons. Nasal reshaping with hyaluronic acid (HA) is a fast and straightforward procedure that does not involve distancing recovery activities while providing results comparable to surgical rhinoplasty. Indications for nasal filling include patients who want to “test” the result of rhinoplasty, patients undergoing rhinoplasty who do not wish to further surgery to review a deformity, patients who are not candidates for surgery, and patients waiting for the appropriate time interval before undergoing a secondary rhinoplasty. The nose is the subunit of the face most at risk for fillings after glabella. As the number of patients submitted to fillings increases, so does the number of associated adverse effects.
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RESUMO
Rinoplastia é um procedimento comum realizado pelos cirurgiões plásticos. A rinomodelação com ácido hialurônico (AH) é um procedimento rápido e simples que não envolve afastamento das atividades na recuperação, ao mesmo tempo que fornece resultados comparáveis aos da rinoplastia cirúrgica. As indicações para preenchimento nasal incluem: pacientes que querem “testar” o resultado de uma rinoplastia, pacientes submetidos à rinoplastia que não desejam nova cirurgia para revisão de uma deformidade, pacientes que não são candidatos para cirurgia e pacientes que aguardam o intervalo de tempo adequado antes de passar por uma rinoplastia secundária. O nariz é a subunidade da face mais alvo para preenchimentos após a glabella. A medida que aumenta o número de pacientes submetidos a preenchimentos, também aumenta o número de efeitos adversos associados.

Descritores: Ácido hialurônico; Rinoplastia; Preenchedores dérmicos; Estética; Complicações pós-operatórias.
INTRODUCTION

Rhinoplasty remains one of the most common aesthetic procedures\(^1\). More recently, technological advances in injectable products based on hyaluronic acid (HA) and refinements of application techniques have allowed HA to reach the gold standard as a volumizing agent\(^2\). Nasal reshaping with HA is a fast and simple procedure that does not involve distancing recovery activities while providing results comparable to conventional rhinoplasty\(^3\). The most common applications have been the correction of deformities of the tip, back, and columella\(^4\).

Indications for nasal filling include patients who want to “test” the result of rhinoplasty, patients undergoing rhinoplasty who do not wish to further surgery to review a residual deformity, patients who are not candidates for surgery, and patients waiting for the appropriate time interval before undergoing a secondary rhinoplasty\(^5,6\). The nose is the subunit of the face most at risk for fillings after glabella. As the number of patients submitted to fillings increases, so does the number of associated adverse effects.

OBJECTIVES

According to the authors’ experience, this study aims to demonstrate a safe technique, highlighting the anatomical knowledge and problems involved in nasal reshaping in a standard case report.

METHODS

The main techniques used for Nasal reshaping are bolus and retroinjection. For safety, we strongly suggest that this procedure be preferably performed with cannulas. We do not use needles to inject into the nose. Injections should be performed deep in the musculoaponeurotic layers and suprapericondral and supraperiostal layers to avoid injury or cannulation of the vessels (which are subdermal in this region) providing natural results and with greater safety.

In secondary rhinoplasty, extreme caution should be taken. Unpredictable blood vessel repositioning and a more tenuous blood supply in the operated nose may increase the risk of ischemia, necrosis, and vascular embolism after filler injection. Anatomical planes may have been violated or healed. The dermis may be adhered to deep planes; besides, natural anastomoses between contralateral vessels may no longer be present.

The amount of HA injected per patient is variable. On average, the total quantities range from 0.6 to 2ml. The desired modifications are elevation of the nasal tip, increased nasolabial angle, and correction of nasal dorsum irregularity with nasal root repositioning. These modifications will be described below:

- The first step is to photograph the patient. Frontal, lateral, and caudocranial incidences are essential. Images should be standardized to help evaluate results;
- The nose is one of the areas most colonized by bacteria. Extra care in the antisepsis is mandatory for the safety of this procedure;
- Intraoral access is used to block the infraorbital nerve with 2% lidocaine without epinephrine. This time, tiny additional anesthetic buds using lidocaine with epinephrine are injected into the nasal tip and nasolabial angle. Anesthetics with epinephrine help reduce the risk of peri-cannula bleeding. Remember that there will be a halo of pallor due to the vasoconstrictor;
- The cannulas should be long enough to reach from the tip to the nasal root. In general, 50mm in length is a good measure. Our preference is for the 22G 50mm cannulas;
- In a single step, with the entry point at the nasolabial angle, the third stage begins with the tip’s projection. A single bolus is sufficient for this purpose (Figure 1 - step 3);
• In a single step, with the entry point still at the nasolabial angle, the third stage begins with the tip’s projection. A single bolus is sufficient for this purpose (Figure 1 - step 3);
• The last step is to correct the back. Injections before and after the comic can disguise it. Subsequent injection projects the root. The final point is to create a new root at the approximate level of the supratarsal fold, to create an angulation of approximately 135 degrees of the nasal dorsum with the forehead, and to create a new nasal profile, more aesthetically pleasing (Figure 1 - steps 4 and 5);
Cohesive gels can be easily molded and remodeled to sculpt the nose, such as “modeling mass” immediately after injection. Additional syringes may be required at this stage;  
- In all areas, always apply deep injections;  
- In our technique, we start with the columella enhancement, then the tip, and finish with the back;  
- The right hand is used for smooth, measurable, and directional fill injection. The left hand is used to guide placement, shaping, and avoid inadvertent spreading of the injected load. This is particularly important when injecting the dorsum since the lack of accuracy of the injected filler creates a noticeable asymmetry;  
- Slow and constant correction provides the safest way to achieve the best results;  
- Compression of the nasal and dorsal upper part of the angular arteries is also recommended. It should always be injected more than 2 to 3 mm above the alar groove to avoid the lateral nasal artery;  
- Stop injection immediately if there are ischemic changes in the skin of the nose;  
- Other additional fixes are always possible at a later date;  
- Avoid large volumes of superficial injections as this can cause external vascular compression, which can cause ischemia and necrosis of the skin. This is especially important at the tip and nasal base;  
- Closely monitor the nose after the procedure for signs of ischemia, particularly in those patients with a history of the previous rhinoplasty, as their vascular supply may be distorted and compromised;  
- Avoid unnecessary external compression of the nose after injection, such as wearing glasses, for at least a few days;  
- A small dressing is added at the entry points at the end of the procedure to avoid ha reflux and the consequent increased risk of fistulization.

RESULTS

One of the authors developed this technique (Fernandes RL) in 2013, and since then, it has been performed in approximately 60 patients. Results range from good to very good in almost all patients. The duration of the effect of correction of the dorsal gibbon is significant, with an average between 12 and 18 months. The duration of the tip lift is shorter, usually half that time. Pain is considered mild to moderate using this technique. The most common side effects are fistula (or HA vesicle) at the cannula’s entry points and persistent erythema of the nose. No serious adverse events were observed using this technique.

MCB, 28 years old, 1.2 ml HA (Teosyal Ultra Deep® - Teoxane Laboratory - Genéve) with 22G cannula - 50mm (Figures 2,3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

Anatomy

The extensive collateral blood supply of the nose makes this procedure relatively safe. Both a branch of the internal carotid artery, the supratroclear, and a branch of the external carotid artery, the facial artery, give rise to branches that cross the midline. These form a vascular network that runs through the back. Along the way, inferior to the nose, the facial artery originates the upper labial artery, which also originates the filter’s arteries, providing the ascending columellar arteries’ main contribution. Several arches arise from both the supratroclear arteries and the facial arteries (Figure 5); the lateral nasal artery is one of the main sources of blood supply to the nose.
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Tansatit et al., in 2016\(^8\), studied 50 cadaver noses and showed that the dorsal artery of the nose is not a constant artery. It is present as a pair of arteries in 34\%. The typical pattern of the nose’s dorsal artery is a large and long artery that descends through the back or side of the nose and is anastomosed with the lateral nasal artery on one side or both. The lateral nasal artery (LNA) is a constant branch of the alar groove’s facial artery. It represents an anastomosis between the facial artery (FA) and ophthalmic artery (OA) in the paracentral zone of the middle third of the face. In 28\% of the cadavers, a single and sizeable dorsal artery was presented\(^8\). The study used 57 adult hemifaces to allow precise observation of the branches of the facial artery. Four patterns were identified based on the detailed course and origin of the angular artery (AA) concerning the surrounding structures. In type I, the persistent pattern in which the AA traverses the LNA branch point toward the medial corner area. In type II, the deviation pattern, the AA originates from FA near the corner of the mouth and then heads towards the infraorbital area, finally rotating medially along with the nasal areas nasojugal corner. This pattern was the predominant type, and AA emerged along with the lower margin of the eye’s orbicularis. In type III (alternative pattern), AA originates from OA and runs down along the nose’s side. Finally, in type IV (latent pattern), AF
There is no identical or similar HA filler if we compare different brands. The rheological characteristics of each product are unique. They differ among the most diverse products, mainly depending on the following features: being single-phase or biphasic, the molecular weight of its HA chains, the technology and its degree of crosslinking, and the concentration of HA.

The main rheological parameters are viscosity, cohesiveness, and elasticity. Viscosity is the spreading capacity of the gel. The more viscous, the smaller the tissue spread. Surface applications require less viscous gels to make results more natural without irregularities.

Cohesiveness is the resistance capacity of the gel to shear. The more cohesive, the more united its structure remains when subjected to external pressure. We give preference to the more cohesive HAs when we want to get better-defined forms.

Elasticity is the ability to resist deformation when subjected to external pressure. Deep planes are looser and require more elastic HAs for better tissue expansion.

Of course, there is no ideal filler, but we recommend high viscosity, cohesive, and elasticity HA if we want to perform a non-surgical rhinoplasty effectively and safely. They are known as volumizers and are indicated for subdermal application.

**Adverse effects**

The potential adverse events associated with filling are injection site reaction, inappropriate injection (hypercorrection, nodulation, asymmetry), product sensitivity, infection, and necrosis.

Although most complications are transient, some irreversible ones can cause severe functional and aesthetic deficits. Complications, fortunately, are not typical and range from hematomas, edemas, and late granulomatous reactions to more severe skin necrosis. Necrosis of the nose’s tip’s skin is particularly worrisome in the procedure, as it inevitably leads to permanent disfigurement. However, the nasal dorsum correction without considering the tip’s correction does not produce a comprehensive aesthetic improvement.

Inadvertent injection of intravascular filler would lead to irreversible necrosis of the skin. If an artery compression causes ischemia, it can eventually be reversed by dissolving the filling of AH. Due to this reason, in case of use of needles, we recommend the filling injection only after the aspiration test, and we recommend that you observe closely immediately after rhinoplasty with HA and be ready to inject hyaluronidase.
Intermittent swelling followed by the development of palpable and/or painful nodular papulocystic lesions, from weeks to months after the injection, can progress to aseptic abscesses, the most common evolution being drainage through a fistula. These reactions usually occur after patients have their second or third injection. The histopathological analysis may show non-granulomatous inflammatory infiltrate (chronic supplicative inflammatory process with eosinophilia) or granulomatous reactions.

The mechanisms underlying the activation of the immune system and leading to chronic granuloma formation are still unclear. Various agents, including biomaterials, can trigger granulomatous reactions. These late reactions related to HA fillers are immunological in nature, but an infectious origin cannot be ruled out. It is important to differentiate two possible bacterial presence sources: 1 - bacteria are directly inoculated in the filling material or reach the filler from distant locations; 2 - systemic or remote infection can provoke inflammatory immunomediator reactions harmful to fillers in the absence of bacterial colonies in the filler. Clinically, a nodule of consistency and late-onset may suggest a granulomatous response. However, granuloma is a pathological diagnosis. True granuloma appears late (especially after 6 to 24 months) at all injected sites at approximately the same time; they grow quite fast.

The initial adverse effects described above tend to disappear within one or two weeks spontaneously with symptomatic treatment. In cases of severe or persistent swelling requiring corticosteroid use, betamethasone (0.05mg/kg/day) is preferable due to its higher mineralocorticoid action (antiedema) compared to the others.

Persistent hypercorrection can be treated early by incision and drainage. A blade is inserted directed to the nodule, and an expression is performed.

Hypersensitivity reactions usually regress without sequelae with the triple therapeutic regimen: hyaluronidase injection (once a week while the reaction persists) + antibiotics (macrolides such as clarithromycin or lymphomycins such as clindamycin) for 14 to 21 days + oral prednisolone (0.5mg/kg/day, while the reaction persists).

Due to frequent recurrence, corticosteroid treatment can last a long time. All precautions related to corticosteroid side effects should be taken: chest X-ray, bone densitometry, serum lipid dosage, blood pressure, and blood glucose monitoring should be considered. For treatment for more than three months, ophthalmological evaluation and supplementation of calcium carbonate (1.5g per day) and sodium alendronate (70mg per week) is recommended.

True granuloma usually reacts well to intrasional steroid injections (triamcinolone acetonide), despite or associated with oral corticosteroid ingestion.

The concomitance of HA reactions and other infectious conditions nearby is quite common. Investigation of periodontal disease and chronic sinusitis should be encouraged, especially in suggestive signs and symptoms.

The possibility of intravascular injection blindness is described in the literature, but cases with HA showed better results than other fillers due to hyaluronidase use, according to a meta-analysis study15. Another meta-analysis analyzed the cases described and found that most were unilateral cases with acute visual symptoms and signs, with a better prognosis in patients with partial loss of vision and cases of anterior artery branch obstruction, with a worse prognosis of complete blindness and obstructions in the central retinal artery or ophthalmic artery16. In arterial embolization cases, the immediate application of hyaluronidase and the emergency evaluation of a specialist in angiology and vascular surgery (possibly also an ophthalmologist qualified for retrobulbar injection) is the best way to minimize sequelae.

**CONCLUSION**

We are in the midst of a new era of rhinoplasty, in which surgery is not the only means to treat heart defects17. Non-surgical options seem more feasible than they would be before the advent of the new synthetic fillers18. In the literature, however, there are few prospective studies focused on the efficacy, safety, and longevity of HA fillers to support their usefulness as a non-surgical alternative to rhinoplasty. Several rhinoplasty surgeons have used fillers in the nose for many years, recognizing that HA can accurately smooth irregularities and asymmetries in the nose after aesthetic rhinoplasty. Indeed, the ability to smooth irregularities and asymmetries in the nose with an injectable material still has great appeal because imperfections after rhinoplasty are common.

The main advantage of using fillers in the nose is correcting a deformity without the financial cost, anesthetic risk, or downtime usually associated with surgical intervention. Disadvantages include potential damage to the nasal skin envelope, the need for serial treatments to maintain correction, and a decrease in the surgeon's impulse to achieve the perfect intraoperative outcome3. Fear of occlusion or vascular compression is undoubtedly the most threatening. However, we believe that by following the simple steps of safety and having refined anatomical knowledge, fillers can be a good tool for a safe and comprehensive improvement of modeling rhinoplasty.
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