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Abstract

Background
Globally, road traffic accidents are the leading causes of death among young people in general, and the main cause of death among young people aged 15–29 years. Recently, in Ethiopia, the number of road traffic accidents has been increasing. The study aimed to identify the potential factors associated with the number of human deaths by road traffic accidents in the Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia.

Methods
We used data obtained from the Oromia region traffic police office recorded on daily basis road traffic accidents from July 2016 up to July 2017. Count regression models were used to analyses the factors associated with the number of human deaths from road traffic accidents.

Results
Age of the driver’s 31–50 years (AOR = 0.289, 95%CI: 0.175, 0.479) and higher than 50 years old (AOR = 0.311, 95%CI: 0.129, 0.751), driver’s years of experience 5–10 years (AOR = 0.014, 95%CI: 0.007, 0.027), and more than 10 years (AOR = 0.101, 95%CI: 0.057, 0.176), automobile vehicle type (AOR = 8.642, 95%CI: 2.764, 27.023), vehicle years of service 5–10 years (AOR = 2.484, 95%CI: 1.194, 5.169), and more than 10 years (AOR = 2.639, 95%CI: 1.268, 5.497), vehicle upside down accidents (AOR = 5.560, 95%CI: 2.506, 12.336), turning illegal position (AOR = 0.454, 95%CI: 0.226, 0.913), residential areas (AOR = 108.506, 95%CI: 13.725, 857.798), and working areas (AOR = 129.606, 95%CI: 16.448, 1021.263) were significant associated number of human deaths per road traffic accident factors in the study area.

Conclusion
Human deaths per road traffic accidents occurred due to the younger age of the driver, driver’s lack of sufficient experience, vehicle serviced for long years, driving on a wet road,
driving in the afternoon, driving near/around residential places and vehicle to driver’s relation. Thus, the regional traffic police should give special attention to younger drivers, less experienced drivers, old vehicles, driving near residential areas, driving automobiles, and driving in the afternoon to control traffic system to reduce the number of human deaths per road traffic accident.

**Background**

Road traffic accidents (RTA) constitute a major public health and development crisis globally. Over 1.2 million people die each year worldwide due to road traffic accidents [1], with millions suffering serious injuries and living with long-term adverse health consequences. Road traffic accidents are a leading cause of death, particularly among young people, aged 15–29 years [2]. Even though small numbers of vehicles the vast majority of road traffic deaths happened in low-income countries. More than 90% of road traffic deaths occur in low and middle-income countries, yet these countries have just 54% of the world’s vehicles [3].

Road traffic accidents place a heavy burden on national economies as well as on households. In low and middle-income regions they predominantly affect the economically energetic age group, or those set to subsidize the household and the labor force in general. Numerous families are driven further into neediness by the passing of a provider, or by the expenses of extended clinical consideration, or the additional weight of thinking about a relative who is crippled due to street traffic injury. The monetary expenses also strike hard from one side of the country to the other, forcing a critical weight on wellbeing, protection, and lawful frameworks. This is transcendentally verifiable in countries battling with other improvement needs, where interest in street security isn’t proportionate with the size of the issue. Data suggest that road traffic deaths and injuries cause economic losses up to 3% of gross domestic product (GDP) globally, whereas in low and middle-income countries they are estimated at 5% of GDP [3].

The African countries remain to have the highest road traffic death rates. The situation of road traffic accidents is most severe in sub-Saharan Africa, where the lives of millions are lost and a significant amount of property is damaged. In Ethiopia, the condition has been crumbled as the number of vehicles has risen and accordingly because of expanded traffic stream and experiences among vehicles and people on foot. Despite government efforts in road development, road accidents remain to be one of the critical problems of the road transport sector in Ethiopia [4]. Every year, many lives are lost and much property is destroyed due to road traffic accidents in the country. The country has experienced average annual road accidents of 8115 during 2000–2010 [5].

Among many low-income nations in Africa; Ethiopia has a high rate of road traffic injury and passing [6]. Road accident in Ethiopia is one of the most exceedingly terrible accident records in the world, as communicated per 10,000 vehicles. Moreover, road accidents are concentrated in Addis Ababa, which is the capital city of Ethiopia and Oromia regional State, representing 58% of all deaths and two-third of all injuries [7]. During 2006–2015, the average number of road traffic accidents expanded in Ethiopia, where Oromia regional, state represents most of the complete fatalities happened and Addis Ababa city administration represents the major genuine and slight injuries just as property harms [8].

According to [8], Road traffic accident in Ethiopia is showing a rising trend over the last decades. Moreover, higher road accidents are concentrated in Oromia regional State and
Addis Ababa. Not only the traffic accidents in Ethiopia are concentrated in the Oromia region and Addis Ababa city, but also the volume of motorized traffic is very high as compared to the other regions of the country.

Previous studies conducted on road traffic accident focused on Addis Ababa city survey of road traffic accidents. Further, these investigations utilized descriptive analysis, logistic regression, and Poisson regression models for data analysis [9–13]. In the investigation of the number of human fatalities, count regression models are more fitting than other methods. Many prior researchers categorized the count variable as binary, but this may underestimate the total number of deaths due to road traffic accidents since multiple human deaths are collapsed into a single unit.

Ethiopia is one of the developing countries with a low level of income coupled with a high rate of population growth, where Oromia is the largest region in the country with a total population estimated more than 37 Million [14]. To extend our comprehension of the most well-known and steady factors on the risk of human death due to road traffic accidents, this study has identified the human, environmental, road, and vehicle characteristics associated with human death by road traffic accidents in Oromia regional state of Ethiopia. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, none of these researchers use zero-inflated models and other higher count regression models. Therefore, this study aims to identify the major factors determining the number of human deaths per road traffic accident in the Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia via the appropriate count regression models.

Methods
Study setting and design
This study was based on a retrospective study of all registered road traffic accidents in the Oromia region from July 2016 up to July 2017. Secondary data from the Oromia regional state traffic police office registers were taken. 3900 recorded RTA was included in the study. The Oromia regional state was one of the nine regions in Ethiopia, which is the largest region of the country. The major towns of the region include Adama, Ambo, Asella, Bale Robe, Bishoftu, Fiche, Goba, Jimma, Metu, Nekemte, Sebeta, Sululta, Shashemene, and Wolliso among many others [15]. The Oromia region has the highest traffic movement in the country, next to Addis Ababa. The major road from Addis Ababa to Djibouti passes through this region. All major roads between Addis Ababa city and other regions’ capital cities will pass this region.

Data collection
The secondary data were obtained from Oromia region traffic police control and investigation offices records using a checklist that was prepared based on the road traffic control and registry format. The collected data were tested for completeness. Then, cleaning, editing, and eliminating missing values were done using STATA version 13 software.

Variables of the study
The response variable of this study was the number of human deaths per road traffic accident in the Oromia region measured as 0, 1, 2, 3... A human death includes pedestrians, road users, passengers, or other people’s death due to road traffic accident. The potential predictors related to the number of human deaths per road traffic accident adopted from various literature [16–22] were demographic characteristics (sex and age of driver), environmental characteristics (time of the accident, road condition, the environment of the accident, weather condition, location of the accident, day of the accident), vehicle-related characteristics (vehicle
type, vehicle service years), road pavement, driving experience, education level of the driver, driver-vehicle relationship, accident cause, and accident type (collision between vehicles, vehicle, and pedestrian, vehicle and animal, or vehicle upside down).

**Statistical data analysis.** We used STATA version 13 [23] to analyze data. We illustrated the distribution of data using tables, percentages, mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis. Count regression models were used as a method to model the discrete nature of the response variable (number of human deaths per road traffic accidents) measured as 0, 1, 2, 3 . . . [24,25].

Count regression models, unlike linear regression, have counted response variable that can take only non-negative integer values, 0, 1, 2, 3 . . . measured in natural units on a fixed scale representing the number of times an event (number of human deaths per road traffic accident) occurs in a fixed domain. For count data, the standard framework for explaining the relationship between the outcome variable and a set of predictors includes the standard count regression models (Poisson Regression and Negative Binomial (NB) Regression), Zero-inflated models (Zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) and Zero-inflated Negative Binomial (ZINB)) and Hurdle models (Hurdle Poisson (HP) and Hurdle Negative binomial (HNB)) were used.

The conventional Poisson regression model for count data is often of limited use because the empirical count data set typically exhibit over-dispersion and/or have an excess number of zeros. This problem can be addressed by extending the ordinary Poisson regression model by including the dispersion term in the model. The family of generalized linear models (GLMs) negative binomial regression model was the solution [26–28] However, even though these models normally can catch over-dispersion rather well, they are in many applications not adequate for modeling overabundance zeros. Zero-augmented models were addressing this issue by capturing zero counts [29,30]. Conversely, Zero-inflated models [29], are mixture models that combine a count component and a point mass at zero. A comprehensive and up-to-date account of count models and methods as well as the interpretations of fitted count models are provided by [31–35]. Hurdle models [30,36–38] combine a left-truncated count component with a right-censored hurdle component.

Let \( y_i \) represent counts of events (number of deaths) occurring of the random variable \( Y \) in a given time or exposure periods with rate \( \mu \) and the vector \( x_i' = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}] \) a group of \( p \) predictors variables. Then the probability mass function for a Poison random variable \( Y \) is given by;

\[
p(Y = y_i | \mu) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^{y_i}}{y_i!}, \ \mu \geq 0, \ y_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

where \( y_i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots \) are discrete counts (the number of human deaths per accident) and \( \mu \) is the rate parameter [29].

Then the relationship between the predictors the non-negative mean parameter \( \mu_i \) is the exponential specification given by;

\[
E(y_i) = \mu_i = \exp (x_i' \beta)
\]

where \( x_i' = (1, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip}) \), is a vector of explanatory variables and \( \beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p) \) is the corresponding \((p + 1)\) dimensional column vector of unknown parameters to be estimated.

The unknown parameters of the model were estimated using the maximum likelihood estimation of the log-likelihood function.

Given that over-dispersion is the norm, the negative binomial model has more generality than the Poisson model. Over-dispersion is most often caused by the highly skewed response/dependent variables or often due to variables with high numbers of zeros [25,30,31]. The
probability mass function of a negative binomial distribution random variable \( Y \) is given by:

\[
p(y_i | \mu, \delta) = \frac{\Gamma(y_i + \frac{1}{\delta})}{y! \Gamma(\frac{1}{\delta})} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta \mu} \right)^{-\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta \mu} \right)^{-y_i}, \quad y_i \geq 0, \quad \delta > 0
\]  

(2)

The mean and variance of NB distribution are \( E(Y | \mu, \delta) = \mu \) and \( \text{var}(Y | \mu, \delta) = \mu(1 + \delta \mu) \).

Where \( \delta \) is the dispersion parameter [29]. The predictor variables related to the parameter \( \mu \) through the \text{log-link} function defined as \( \log \mu = x_i \beta \).

In the real-life data, the major source of over-dispersion is a relatively large number of zero counts and the resulting over-dispersion cannot be modeled accurately with the negative binomial model [34,35]. In such cases, one may use zero-inflated models [27] that are a mixture of two separate data generation processes: one generates only zeros, and the other is either a Poisson or negative binomial data-generating process are immediate solution.

In ZIP models, the underlying Poisson distribution for the first subpopulation is assumed to have a variance that is equal to the distribution’s mean. If this is an invalid assumption, the data exhibit overdispersion (or under dispersion). The probability distribution of a zero-inflated Poisson random variable is given by:

\[
p(y_i | \mu_i) = \begin{cases} 
\omega_i + (1 - \omega_i)e^{-\mu_i} & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\
(1 - \omega_i) \frac{e^{-\mu_i} \mu_i^{y_i}}{y_i!} & \text{if } y_i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \quad 0 \leq \omega_i \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]  

(3)

The response variable \( y_i \) is a non-negative integer, \( \mu_i \) is the expected Poisson count for the \( i \)th individual; \( \omega_i \) is the probability of extra zeros. The mean and variance of ZIP distribution are \( E(Y_i) = (1 - \omega_i) \mu_i \) and \( \text{Var}(Y_i) = E(Y_i)(1 + \omega_i \mu_i) \). The parameters \( \mu_i \) and \( \omega_i \) depend on covariates \( x_i \) and \( z_i \) respectively, where \( \log(\mu_i) = x_i \beta \) and \( \log \left( \frac{\omega_i}{1 - \omega_i} \right) = z_i \gamma \).

Furthermore, the theory suggests that the excess zeros are generated by a separate process from the count values and that the excess zeros can be modeled independently. The probability distribution of a zero-inflated negative binomial response variable is given by:

\[
p(y_i | \mu_i, \omega_i) = \begin{cases} 
\omega_i + (1 - \omega_i)(1 + \delta \mu_i) \frac{1}{\delta} & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\
(1 - \omega_i) \frac{\Gamma(y_i + \frac{1}{\delta})}{y! \Gamma(\frac{1}{\delta})} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta \mu_i} \right)^{-\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta \mu_i} \right)^{-y_i} & \text{if } y_i > 0 
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

where \( \delta > 0 \) is an over-dispersion parameter. The mean and variance of the ZINB model are \( E(Y_i) = (1 - \omega_i) \mu_i \) and \( \text{Var}(Y_i) = (1 - \omega_i)(1 + \omega_i \mu_i + \delta \mu_i) \mu_i \). The parameters \( \mu_i \) and \( \omega_i \) depend on vectors of covariates \( x_i' = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}] \) and \( z_i \), respectively. The method of Fisher scoring is more appropriate to obtain the parameter estimates of ZINB regression models.

Hurdle count models are two-component models with a truncated count component for positive counts and a hurdle component that models the zero counts. The count model is typically a truncated Poisson or negative binomial regression (with log link) [24,25,37,38]. The probability mass function of the response variable \( y \) in the hurdle model is given by:

\[
p(y = y_i | x_i, z_i, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} 
f_{\text{zero}}(0; z_i; \gamma) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\
(1 - f_{\text{zero}}(0; z_i; \gamma)) \left( \frac{f_{\text{count}}(y_i; x_i; \beta)}{(1 - f_{\text{count}}(0; x_i; \beta))} (y_i; x_i; \beta) \right) & \text{if } y_i > 0 
\end{cases}
\]  

(5)

where \( y_i \) is the value of the dependent variable for the \( i \)th person \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), \( z_i \) is a vector
denoting the number of predictor variables in the zero counts, \( x_i \) represents a vector denoting the number of predictor variables in the hurdle part, \( \gamma \) is a vector of coefficients belonging to \( z \), and \( \beta \) denotes a vector of coefficients related to \( x \). \( f_{\text{zero}} \) is a probability density function at least binary outcome (0, 1) or counts (0, 1, 2, 3...), and \( f_{\text{count}} \) is a probability density function of counts (0, 1, 2, 3...).

The \( f_{\text{zero}} \) part, where \( y_i = 0 \) is typically modeled with a binary logit (logistic regression) model, where all counts greater than 0 are given a value of one. Using a binary logistic regression model for this part, the probability of \( y_i = 0 \) is denoted as:

\[
f_{\text{zero}}(0; z_i; \gamma) = \psi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\gamma^T z_i}}
\]

where \( z_i \) represents the observed data and \( \gamma \) the vector of coefficients belonging to \( z \). The probability of a nonzero count is given by \( 1 - \psi_i \). The non-zero count part (\( f_{\text{count}} \)) is modeled with a truncated (\( y_i > 0 \)) count model [37–39].

The model selection criterion was based on the Log pseudo likelihood, Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC). A smaller Log pseudo likelihood, AIC, and BIC value suggest that the model is of better fit [40]. Furthermore, the Vuong statistic was used to compare the ZIP versus the Poisson model and ZINB versus the NB model for predicting human death per accident [40,41]. The Deviance and Pearson Chi-square statistics were used for testing overall model goodness of fit [42].

**Results**

**Descriptive statistics**

A total of 3,900 road traffic accidents happened in the study period, of which 1,188 accidents involved the death of 1,541 people. As shown in Fig 1, the variance of the number of human

![Fig 1. Bar chart of distribution of response variable, count of human death per road traffic accidents.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251492.g001)
deaths per accident is greater than its mean, suggesting a possibility of over-dispersion. About 69% of the accidents were nonfatal; suggesting excess zeros in the dataset. Further, the bar chart in Fig 1 is highly skewed to the right showing massive counts of zero outcomes. However, a large number of human deaths per accident were less frequently observed, implying that dual regime event count models such as zero-inflated models and hurdle models will often tend to indicate over-dispersion in the data as a result of a large number of zero counts (Fig 1).

The vast majority (92.4%) of study participants were male drivers and about half (50.9%) were in the age range between 18 and 30 years old. Nearly three-fourths (71.2%) of the drivers had primary school or lower and only one-tenth (11%) were attained higher education. Regarding driving experience, more than two-thirds (69.2%) had only five or fewer years of driving experience, while only 12.9% had at least 10 years of driving experience. Similarly, as a driver to vehicle relationship was concerned 86% were employed drivers. Most of the accidents were due to not keeping distance between while driving and not given priority to pedestrians. On the other hand, when the type of accident was concerned, the majority (51.4%) were reported a collision between vehicles. Table 1 reveals that about 60% of the accidents happened in the central zone of the region. It also indicates that 58.9% of vehicles have five or fewer years of services.

Table 1 shows that the mean number of human deaths per accident was almost similar between male and female drivers. The average number of human deaths per accident was inversely related to driver’s age, the highest average number of human deaths per accident (mean = 0.4874) was seen among the youngest age group drivers (18–30 years). Considering the experience of the driver, the highest mean number of human deaths occurred among drivers with less than 5 years of driving experience (mean = 0.4362), while the smallest (mean = 0.2361) was reported among drivers with more than 10 years of driving experience. Employed drivers had the highest mean number of human deaths (mean = 0.4399); while the smallest was among owner-drivers (mean = 0.1161). Considering the vehicle type, the highest mean number of human deaths (mean = 1.2517) was attributable to buses with 13–45 seats, whilst the smallest (mean = 0.1983) was due to minibusses and automobiles. Although it showed that the highest vehicle service years (10 years or above), was related to the highest mean number of human deaths per road traffic accidents (mean = 0.5997).

Concerning the accident time, the highest mean number of human deaths per road traffic accident occurs in the evening time. On the other hand, regarding the geographical distribution, the highest mean number of human deaths per accident occurred in central zones (special zones surrounding Finfinne and, Shoa zones mean = 0.4496), whereas the lowest was occurred in the western zones of the region (mean = 0.2113). Furthermore, the highest mean number of human death was happening near residential areas. As a type of accident was concerned, accident collision between vehicle and pedestrian had the highest mean number of human deaths (mean = 0.5366), followed by a collision between vehicles and animals (mean = 0.4826), and collision between vehicles (mean = 0.4361). Comparatively, accidents that turned vehicles upside down had the smallest mean number of human deaths per accident (mean = 0.2145).

Regarding the educational level of drivers, the highest mean number of human deaths (mean = 0.4449) was reported among drivers with primary education, while the smallest (mean = 0.0564) was seen among drivers having more than high school. Moreover, the highest mean number of human deaths per accident occurred during the weekend as compared to the other days. The highest mean number of human deaths per accident happened on-site clearing roads and gravel roads as compared to the other road pavements such as asphalt roads. Similarly, a higher mean number of human deaths happened on roads that were sloppy, curved, sharp, and uphill than straight roads. Concerning the causes of accidents, the highest mean
Table 1. Descriptive statistics RTA by predictor variables.

| Predictor variables          | Categories                          | n     | percent | Mean  | Variance |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|
| Gender of the Driver         | Male                                | 3605  | 92.44   | 0.3953| 0.5066   |
|                             | Female                              | 295   | 7.56    | 0.3932| 1.4231   |
| Age of Driver                | 18–30                               | 1986  | 50.92   | 0.4874| 0.9109   |
|                             | 31–50                               | 1121  | 28.74   | 0.3568| 0.2297   |
|                             | 51 and above                        | 793   | 20.33   | 0.2181| 0.1707   |
| Driving Experience           | Less than 5 years                   | 2696  | 69.18   | 0.4362| 0.7325   |
|                             | 5–10 years                          | 698   | 17.90   | 0.3510| 0.2281   |
|                             | Above 10 years                      | 504   | 12.92   | 0.2361| 0.1807   |
| Vehicle driver relationship  | Employee                            | 3355  | 86.03   | 0.4399| 0.6364   |
|                             | Owner                               | 379   | 9.72    | 0.1160| 0.1028   |
|                             | Others                              | 166   | 4.26    | 0.3072| 0.2141   |
| Vehicle Type                 | Taxi-minibuses (up to 12 Seats)     | 1457  | 37.36   | 0.1983| 0.1591   |
|                             | Automobile                          | 90    | 2.31    | 0.5555| 0.2497   |
|                             | Pick up (up to 10 quintals)         | 375   | 9.62    | 0.2960| 0.2089   |
|                             | Cargo (11–40 quintals)              | 416   | 10.67   | 0.2764| 0.2005   |
|                             | Cargo (41–100 quintals)             | 284   | 7.28    | 0.3838| 0.2373   |
|                             | Cargo with trailer (up to 400 quintals) | 503 | 12.90 | 0.3837| 0.2369   |
|                             | Buses (13–45 seats)                 | 290   | 7.44    | 1.2517| 1.1094   |
|                             | Buses (above 46 seats)              | 114   | 2.92    | 0.9824| 3.9288   |
|                             | Others                              | 371   | 9.51    | 0.5364| 1.4872   |
| Vehicle service              | Up to 5 years                       | 2296  | 58.87   | 0.3649| 0.2318   |
|                             | 6–10 years                          | 927   | 23.77   | 0.3204| 0.4058   |
|                             | Above 10 years                      | 677   | 17.36   | 0.5997| 1.928    |
| Road Condition               | Wet                                 | 1817  | 46.59   | 0.4259| 0.6863   |
|                             | Dry                                 | 414   | 10.62   | 0.4082| 0.2421   |
|                             | Muddy                               | 1669  | 42.79   | 0.3583| 0.5358   |
| Weather Condition            | Not normal (cloudy, rainy, cold, hot)| 3432 | 88.0    | 0.4146| 0.6252   |
|                             | Normal                              | 468   | 12.0    | 0.2521| 0.1889   |
| Accident Time                | Afternoon                           | 1309  | 33.56   | 0.4553| 0.9928   |
|                             | Morning                             | 705   | 18.08   | 0.3049| 0.2122   |
|                             | Evening                             | 1303  | 33.41   | 0.4029| 0.3728   |
|                             | Night                               | 583   | 14.95   | 0.3516| 0.5136   |
| Location of the Accident     | Central zones                       | 2371  | 60.79   | 0.4496| 0.7960   |
|                             | Western zones                       | 407   | 10.44   | 0.2113| 0.1670   |
|                             | Southwest zones                     | 311   | 7.97    | 0.3633| 0.2320   |
|                             | Easter Zones                        | 359   | 9.21    | 0.4011| 0.2409   |
|                             | Southeast zones                     | 452   | 11.59   | 0.2920| 0.2338   |
| Environment of the Accident  | Factory place                       | 303   | 7.77    | 0.1320| 0.1149   |
|                             | Residence place                     | 447   | 11.46   | 0.8009| 2.6037   |
|                             | Commercial place                    | 491   | 12.59   | 0.4562| 0.2486   |
|                             | Religious place                     | 340   | 8.72    | 0.3471| 0.2273   |
|                             | Entertainment place                 | 355   | 9.10    | 0.3662| 0.2327   |
|                             | School place                        | 361   | 9.26    | 0.2770| 0.2008   |
|                             | Hospital place                      | 325   | 8.33    | 0.3323| 0.2225   |
|                             | In village rural area               | 454   | 11.64   | 0.2378| 0.1817   |
|                             | Out of village in a rural area      | 442   | 11.33   | 0.2262| 0.1754   |
|                             | Government office place             | 382   | 9.79    | 0.6675| 0.8052   |

(Continued)
number of human deaths occurred due to the steering problem (mean = 0.8034) followed by drivers denying priority to pedestrians (mean = 0.7085). On the contrary, over-speed and overload accounted for the lowest mean number of human deaths. Whereas turning to illegal direction, brake problem, the respire of the tire and not keeping the minimum required distance between had an intermediate mean human death per accident.

Test of over-dispersion, goodness-of-fit test, and comparison

Initially, we fitted the Poison model. Then the fitted Poison model was tested for over-dispersion. It was found that the over-dispersion parameter is significant (deviance statistics = 4060.98, p-value = 0.035), (Pearson Chi-square = 5679.44, p-value < 0.0001). Further, the six different models namely; Poisson, NB, ZIP and ZINB, HP, and HNB were compared using Log pseudo-likelihood, AIC, and BIC to identify an appropriate model that fits the data well. Moreover, the Vuong statistic (Voung = 27.74, p-values < 0.0001) for comparing the ZIP versus Poisson model was significant, implying that the ZIP model is preferred to the Poisson model for predicting the human death per accident. Likewise, the calculated value of the Vuong test statistic for comparing ZINB versus NB models (Voung = 28.45, p-
values $< 0.0001$) was significant, indicating that the ZINB model is preferred to the NB regression model. Finally, the Log pseudo-likelihood, AIC, and BIC found that the HP model was the most appropriate model to fit the number of human deaths per road traffic accident than other models since it has the smallest AIC, BIC, and maximum log pseudo-likelihood (Table 2).

### Factors associated with the number of human deaths per road traffic accidents

The estimated Hurdle Poison regression model was presented in Table 3. The model has two parts. The first part is from the equation predicting counts for numbers of human death per road traffic accidents (truncated Poisson with log link). The second part of the model predicts the zero hurdle model (binomial with logit link) zero deaths versus not zero deaths.

The covariates age of drivers, driving experience, vehicle type, vehicle service years, road condition, time of the accident, location, environment of the accident, type of accident, and cause of the accident were statistically significant predictors of experiencing human deaths. The multivariable HP analysis presented in Table 3, illustrated that drivers aged 30–50 years old were associated with a 71% (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.289; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.175, 0.479) decreased risk of experiencing human death per accident due to road traffic accident, whereas those drivers aged at least 50 years old was associated with a 69% decreased risk of experiencing human deaths (AOR = 0.311; 95%CI: 0.129, 0.751) as compared to drivers 18–30 years old holding all other variables in the model constant. The driving experience of drivers was found inversely statistically significant. The driving experience of 5–10 years associated with a 98% (AOR = 0.014; 95%CI: 0.007, 0.027) lower risk experiencing human deaths per road traffic accident, likewise driving at least 10 years of experience had an 89.9% (AOR = 0.101; 95%CI: 0.057, 0.176) lower risk of experiencing human deaths due to road traffic accidents compared to the driving experience of lower than 5 years.

As vehicle type was concerned, automobiles were 8.642 (AOR = 8.642; 95%CI: 2.764, 27.023) times more likely to experience human deaths per road traffic accident compared to taxi minibus with up to 12 seats. Moreover, vehicles served 5–10 years (AOR = 2.484; 95%CI: 1.194, 5.169) and at more than 10 years (AOR = 2.639; 95%CI: 1.268, 5.497) was associated with a higher risk of experiencing human deaths per road traffic accident as compared to vehicles served not more than five years. Furthermore, the expected number of human deaths per road traffic accident was 4.739 (AOR = 4.739; 95%CI: 2.400, 9.356) times higher for driving on wet roads as compared to dry roads. The average number of human deaths per road traffic accident occurred during the evening and night time was statistically significantly lower than the number of human deaths occurred in the afternoons (AOR = 0.472; 95%CI: 0.369, 0.603) and (AOR = 0.480; 95%CI: 0.370, 0.623), respectively.

The result in Table 3, also illustrated that as geographical regions were concerned, the western zone, which includes the four Wollega zones was associated with 72% (AOR = 0.275; 95%
Table 3. Factors associated with the number of human deaths per road traffic accident, Hurdle Poison model.

| Variables                      | Count Model coefficients (truncated Poisson with log link) | Zero hurdle model (binomial with logit link) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                | Odds ratio 95% CI for Odds ratio p-values | Odds ratio 95% CI for Odds ratio p-values |
| Gender of driver               |                                                         |                                             |
| Male                           | 1                                                        |                                             |
| Female                         | 1.113 0.969, 1.28 0.1290                              | 0.132 0.0008, 20.918 0.4340                |
| Age of driver                  |                                                         |                                             |
| 18–30                          | 1                                                        |                                             |
| 31–50                          | 0.289** 0.175, 0.479 < 0.0001                         | 11658.88 4.891E-07, 2.78E+14 0.4420        |
| 51 and above                   | 0.311* 0.129, 0.751 0.0090                             | 19.897** 3.175, 124.685 0.0010              |
| Experience of driver           |                                                         |                                             |
| 0–5 years                      | 1                                                        |                                             |
| 5–10 years                     | 0.014** 0.007, 0.027 < 0.0001                         | 0.0005 2.698E-17, 1.03E+10 0.6290           |
| above 10                       | 0.101** 0.057, 0.176 < 0.0001                         | 0.0348 3.236E-11, 37426516 0.7520           |
| Vehicle-driver ownership       |                                                         |                                             |
| Employee                       | 1                                                        |                                             |
| Owner                          | 0.822 0.289, 0.429 0.7130                              | 0.096** 0.028, 0.336 0.0000                 |
| Others                         | 1.168 0.607, 2.246 0.6420                              | 54.833 0.007, 404707.6 0.3780               |
| Education level of driver      |                                                         |                                             |
| Primary/below                  | 1                                                        |                                             |
| High school                    | 1.029 0.975, 1.087 0.2940                              | 0.980 0.224, 4.287 0.9790                   |
| Above high school              | 1.003 0.573, 1.753 0.9920                              | 0.096 3.8007E-07, 24074.54 0.7110           |
| Type of vehicle                |                                                         |                                             |
| Taxi-minibuses (up to 12 seats)| 1                                                        |                                             |
| Automobile                     | 8.642** 2.764, 27.023 < 0.0001                         | 131.837 1.763E-07, 9.85E+10 0.6400          |
| pick up (up to 10 quintals)    | 0.379 0.085, 1.679 0.2010                              | 747.915 1.606E-06, 3.48E+11 0.5160          |
| Cargo (11–40 quintals)         | 0.453 0.094, 2.182 0.3240                              | 1.28e-7 4.686E-15, 3.5E+28 0.5160           |
| cargo (41–100 quintals)        | 1.059 0.197, 5.692 0.9460                              | 2.29e-11 2.759E-08, 1.9E+30 0.2390          |
| Cargo with trailer (up to 400 quintals) | 3.372 0.506, 22.477 0.2090 | 5.20e-16 2.767E-06, 9.79E+38 0.1410          |
| Buses (13–45 seat)             | 4.614 0.626, 33.989 0.1330                              | 2.44e-20 1.656E-41, 3.6E+81 0.5140          |
| Buses (above 46 seat)          | 6.499 0.863, 48.938 0.0690                              | 2.65e-20 1.615E-41, 4.35E+81 0.5130          |
| Others                         | 4.328 0.584, 32.073 0.1520                              | 5.37e-19 2.503E-42, 1.15E+81 0.5280          |
| Vehicle length of service      |                                                         |                                             |
| 0–5 years                      | 1                                                        |                                             |
| 5–10 years                     | 2.484* 1.194, 5.169 0.0150                              | 66.691 4.623E-36, 9.62E+38 0.9230            |
| above 10 years                 | 2.639* 1.268, 5.497 0.0090                              | 0.978 0.241, 3.975 0.9750                    |
| Road condition                 |                                                         |                                             |
| Wet                            | 1                                                        |                                             |
| Dry                            | 4.739** 2.400, 9.356 < 0.0001                         | 91.464** 46.138, 181.317 0.0000              |
| Muddy                          | 0.937 0.829, 1.058 0.2930                              | 0.158 0.015, 1.652 0.1230                    |
| Time of accident               |                                                         |                                             |
| Afternoon                      | 1                                                        |                                             |
| Morning                        | 0.709 0.179, 2.806 0.6240                              | 0.736 0.020, 27.153 0.8680                   |
| Evening                        | 0.472** 0.369, 0.603 < 0.0001                         | 0.134* 0.020, 0.609 0.0090                   |
| Night                          | 0.480** 0.370, 0.623 < 0.0001                         | 0.009* 0.0002, 0.411 0.0160                  |
| Location of accident           |                                                         |                                             |
| Central zones                  | 1                                                        |                                             |
| Western zones                  | 0.275** 0.167, 0.455 < 0.0001                         | 0.013* 0.0007, 0.224 0.0030                  |

(Continued)
CI: 0.167, 0.455) decreased risk expected human deaths while the south Eastern zone, including Arsi and Guji, was associated with 36% (AOR = 1.363; 95%CI:1.084, 1.887) and 1.380 (AOR = 0.624; 95%CI: 0.410, 0.950) increases the risk of expected human deaths compared to the special zones surrounding Finfinne. Furthermore, the results showed that the odds of experiencing human deaths per road traffic accident was higher among the accidents happened near residential (AOR = 108.506; 95%CI: 13.725, 857.798), government office areas (AOR = 129.606; 95%CI: 16.448, 1021.263) and around hospitals (AOR = 23.789; 95%CI:

---

**Table 3. (Continued)**

| Variables                          | Count Model coefficients (truncated Poisson with log link) | Zero hurdle model (binomial with logit link) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                    | Odds ratio  | 95% CI for Odds ratio | p-values | Odds ratio  | 95% CI for Odds ratio | p-values |
| South west zones                   | 0.991       | 0.779, 1.259          | 0.9400    | 0.044**     | 0.010, 0.192           | 0.0000 |
| Eastern zones                      | 0.810       | 0.608, 1.081          | 0.1520    | 0.072**     | 0.021, 0.253           | 0.0000 |
| South east zones                   | 0.624*      | 0.410, 0.950          | 0.0280    | 0.074*      | 0.014, 0.400           | 0.0030 |
| Environment of accident            |              |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Factory place                      | 1           |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Residence place                    | 108.506**   | 13.725, 857.798        | < 0.0001  | 58.843      | 5.795E-22, 5.97E+24     | 0.8800  |
| Commercial place                   | 0.475       | 0.109, 2.061          | 0.3200    | 174.960**   | 25.519, 1199.53        | 0.0000  |
| Religious place                    | 0.378       | 0.116, 1.235          | 0.1070    | 23.079*     | 1.397, 381.369         | 0.0280  |
| Entertainment place                | 0.439       | 0.067, 2.859          | 0.3890    | 63.152      | 5.150E-19, 7.74E+21    | 0.8610  |
| School place                       | 0.707       | 0.308, 186.298        | 0.0030    | 48.039      | 3.59E-23, 6.43E+25     | 0.8910  |
| Hospital place                     | 0.152*      | 0.028, 0.810          | 0.0270    | 1.28e-09    | 1.35E-21, 1064.976     | 0.1440  |
| Out of village in rural area       | 0.268       | 0.064, 1.128          | 0.0730    | 1.41e-07    | 1.6229E-19, 121649.6   | 0.2610  |
| Government office area             | 129.606**   | 16.448, 1021.263      | < 0.0001  | 64.255      | 2.2128E-22, 1.87E+25   | 0.8800  |
| Road Pavement                      |              |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Good asphalt                       | 1           |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Defected asphalt                   | 0.947       | 0.441, 2.035          | 0.8900    | 0.00001*    | 3.098E-09, 0.039       | 0.0060  |
| Gravel                            | 0.497       | 0.220, 1.125          | 0.0930    | 3.15e-06    | 8.161E-19, 12174328    | 0.3920  |
| Site clearing                      | 0.567       | 0.253, 1.267          | 0.1670    | 6.12e-06    | 7.081E-19, 52909317    | 0.4300  |
| Type of accident                   |              |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Collision between vehicles         | 1           |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Collision between vehicle and pedestrian | 1.408       | 0.861, 2.303          | 0.1730    | 6.872       | 1.9758E-08, 2.39E+09   | 0.8480  |
| Collision between vehicle and animal | 1.298       | 0.784, 2.147          | 0.3110    | 0.815       | 0.109, 6.095           | 0.8410  |
| Vehicle upside down                | 5.560**     | 2.506,12.336          | 0.0000    | 14.758      | 2.929E-08, 7.44E+09    | 0.7920  |
| Others                             | 1.453*      | 1.009, 2.092          | 0.0440    | 0.485       | 0.105, 2.239           | 0.3530  |
| Accident cause                     |              |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Not given priority to pedestrian   | 1           |                          |          |              |                          |          |
| Over load                          | 0.749       | 0.359, 1.559          | 0.4390    | 7.76e-09    | 2.3157E-39, 2.6E+22    | 0.6030  |
| Over speed                         | 0.401       | 0.077, 2.096          | 0.2790    | 1.24e-13**  | 8.178E-17, 1.89E-10    | 0.0000  |
| Turning illegal position           | 0.454*      | 0.226, 0.913          | 0.0270    | 7.24e-14*   | 4.574E-25, 0.000115    | 0.0050  |
| Steering problem                   | 0.693       | 0.249, 1.925          | 0.4820    | 1.87e-21    | 2.160E-84, 1.61E+42    | 0.5190  |
| Brake problem                      | 0.726       | 0.219, 2.411          | 0.6010    | 6.13e-18    | 1.428E-40, 262663.8    | 0.1360  |
| Release of tire                    | 0.708       | 0.256, 1.963          | 0.5070    | 6.56e-22    | 3.312E-85, 1.3E+42     | 0.5120  |
| Following not keeping distance between | 0.699       | 0.415, 1.179          | 0.1800    | 1.31e-07    | 5.887E-19, 29339.79    | 0.2350  |

** Significant p-value < 0.001
* significant p-value < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251492.t003
The result also illustrated that the vehicle upside down accident type (AOR = 5.560; 95%CI: 2.506, 12.336) and vehicle crash to inert (AOR = 1.453; 95%CI: 1.009, 2.092) was associated with experiencing a higher expected human deaths per road traffic accident compared collision between vehicles. In contrast, the number of human deaths per road traffic accident due to illegal turning was 54.5% (AOR = 0.454; 95%CI: 0.226, 0.913) lower as compared to the number of human deaths by accidents due to denying priority to pedestrians.

The second part of Table 3 provides estimated odds for the factor change in the odds of being in the zero counts group (no human death per road traffic accident faced) compared to the non-zero count group (at least one human death per road traffic accident). The driver’s age, vehicle-driver relationship, road condition, time of the accident, location of the accident, the environment of the accident, road pavement, and cause of the accident had significantly associated with the probability of being in the zero counts group. The odds of being in the zero counts group was 19.89 (AOR = 19.897; 95%CI: 3.175, 124.685) times more likely among drivers aged at least 50 years as compared to those aged 18-30 years controlling other variables in the model. About the vehicle-driver relationship, the odds of being in the zero counts group was 0.096 (AOR = 0.096; 95%CI: 0.028, 0.336) times less likely among owner drivers as compared to employee drivers. In contrast, the odds of being in the zero count group was increased by a factor of 91.464 (AOR = 91.464; 95%CI: 46.138, 181.317) for road traffic accidents on wet roads as compared to accidents on dry roads.

The time of the accident had a significant association with the odds of being in the zero counts group. For instance, as compared to accidents occurred in the afternoon, the odds of being in the zero counts group reduced by 86.6% (AOR = 0.134; 95%CI: 0.020, 0.609), and 99% (AOR = 0.009; 95%CI: 0.0002, 0.411) for accidents happening in the evening and night time, respectively. Similarly, as the location of accidents was concerned the odds of being in the zero counts, was reduced by 98.7% (AOR = 0.013; 95%CI: 0.0007, 0.224), 95.6% (AOR = 0.044; 95%CI: 0.010, 0.192), 92.8% (AOR = 0.072; 95%CI: 0.021, 0.253), and 92.6% (AOR = 0.074; 95%CI: 0.014, 0.400), for western zones, southwestern zones, eastern zones and southeastern zones respectively so as compared to the central zones. On the other hand, the odds of being in the zero counts group was 174.96 (AOR = 174.96; 95%CI: 25.519, 1199.53) and 23.079 (AOR = 23.079; 95%CI: 1.397, 381.369), times more likely for road traffic accidents happened at commercial areas and worship areas respectively, as compared to accidents happened around factory areas. The odds of being in the zero counts group were reduced by 99% of road traffic accidents at road pavements on defected asphalt roads as compared to those on road pavements on good asphalt roads holding all other variables in the model constant. Whereas, the odds of being in the zero counts group was reduced by 99.9% of road traffic accidents due to over-speeding as compared to those due to denying priority to the pedestrian.

Discussion
This study was carried out to identify the major factors associated with the number of human deaths per road traffic accident based on Oromia region road traffic accident data. The total number of accidents from July 2016 to July 2017 was included in the study. The hurdle Poisson regression model was found as the most appropriate model from other possible count models.

Drivers aged 18-30 had a higher attribute to the number of human deaths per road traffic accident as compared to those drivers aged 30 years or higher. This was congruent to previous investigations in Addis Ababa [13,19] and Bahir Dar city [12]. The driving experience of lower than 5 years was related to a higher human death per road traffic accidents when contrasted
with the individuals who have over 5 years of driving experience. Similarly, prior studies reported that the smaller driving experience a higher probability of experiencing human deaths per accident [16–18]. Among vehicle types, the numbers of deaths due to road traffic accidents happened by automobiles were significantly greater than other vehicle types. This finding is consistent with the results of Towelde [13] and Ahmed [34]. Consistent with the findings by Fikadu [20]; older vehicles were associated with accidents resulting in a higher number of human deaths per road traffic accidents as compared to accidents due to vehicles served for less than 5 years. The possible reason for this might be attributed to the poor maintenance of vehicles.

Accident time was another factor significantly associated with the number of human deaths per road traffic accident. The lower number of human deaths per road traffic accident took place in the evenings and night times as compared to afternoons. This finding is similar to the findings of previous studies [20,21,43], and this variation in road traffic accidents by times of the day reflects variations in traffic volumes. Furthermore, the higher number of human deaths per accident happened in the residential areas, hospital areas, and government office areas, whereas, the fewer number of human deaths per accident happened in rural villages than near factory areas. This finding is congruent with the results of previous studies [21,44]. Consistent with the findings of Getahun [19], vehicle upside down and other accident types such as vehicle down, vehicle crash to inert were associated with the increased number of human deaths per road traffic accident as compared to the number of human deaths due to collision between vehicles.

The number of human deaths per road traffic accident that happened due to turning in an illegal direction was lower as compared to the number of deaths that happened due to drivers denying priority to pedestrians. It was observed that the number of human deaths per road traffic accident due to denying priority to pedestrians was more than the number of deaths by accidents due to other causes. This was consistent with the results of studies done in central Ethiopia [20] and Bahir Dar [12]. Similar to a study in Dire Dawa [22], the cause of accidents about 80% of car accidents were attributed to driver faults among which denying priority for a pedestrian was the leading.

Limitation of the study
This study depends on secondary information. However, the reliability of the data related to the driver’s age, vehicle service years, and driving experience was questionable as these were collected by interviewing the drivers themselves. Moreover, some very useful data for variables such as the utilization of seat belts and helmets, the use of alcohol, and talking on a mobile phone while driving were not available.

Conclusion
Fatal RTA was attributed to the younger age of the driver, drivers lack of sufficient experience, type of vehicle (automobile), vehicle serviced for long years, driving on a wet road, driving on the afternoon, driving near/around residential places, vehicle to driver’s relation, type of accident (vehicle upside down) and turning to illegal positions. Special attention should be needed for younger drivers, less experienced drivers, old vehicles, driving near residential areas, driving automobiles, and driving in the afternoon. Thus, the regional traffic police should give special attention to control used vehicles and old vehicles on the road, and controlling traffic systems near residences and workplaces to reduce the number of human deaths per road traffic accident. Finally, further study should be conducted to identify mechanisms for addressing the causes of road traffic accidents and consequences in the study area that will show the seasonal variation.
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