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Abstract

The BABAR detector at SLAC’s PEP-II storage ring has collected data equivalent to about 30.4 fb$^{-1}$ through June 2001. Results on $CP$ violation, and in particular searches for direct $CP$ violation, and measurement of rare $B$ decays are presented.
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1 Introduction

We present a sample of recent results from BABAR including the observation of CP violation with the measurement of sin2β and of rare decay modes.

The BABAR experiment has been running at the PEP-II asymmetric e+e− collider since 1999. Its main goal is a high statistics study of B decays, including a study of CP violation in the B sector. The center-of-mass energy is tuned to the Υ(4S) just above the B̄B threshold. The asymmetry of the energies of the two beams provides a longitudinal boost so that the average B flight length is ≈ 250 µm and can be measured. The high nominal luminosity of 3 × 10^{33} cm^{−2}s^{−1} allows the study of many rare B decay channels.

The BABAR detector is described elsewhere. Charged particle track parameters are obtained from measurements in a 5-layer double-sided silicon vertex tracker and a 40-layer drift chamber located in a 1.5-T magnetic field; both devices provide dE/dx information. Additional charged particle identification (PID) information is obtained from a detector of internally reflected Cherenkov light (DIRC) consisting of quartz bars that carry the light to a volume filled with water, and equipped with 10752 photomultiplier tubes. Electromagnetic showers are measured in a calorimeter (EMC) consisting of 6580 CsI(Tl) crystals. An instrumented flux return (IFR), containing multiple layers of resistive plate chambers, provides µ identification.

Most results presented here are obtained with a data sample of 30.4 fb^{−1} collected through June 2001, for a total amount of about 32 × 10^{6} B̄B pairs.

2 Measurement of sin2β

The primary goal of the experiment is the observation of CP violation in the B sector. In the standard model (SM), CP violation occurs via a complex term in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. The phase of such a complex term can eventually be measured from the interference of two amplitudes contributing to the same final state. In the Wolfenstein parametrization of V_{CKM}, all the matrix elements are real, except V_{td} and V_{ub}. Most of the envisaged strategies to observe CP violation therefore use the interference between an amplitude containing one of these target complex CKM elements and a real amplitude, to a CP eigenstate.

In the case of a b → c̄c s decay like B → J/ψ K^{0}_{S}, the B can either decay directly via a real amplitude ∝ V_{cb}^{∗} V_{cs}, or decay after a B^{0} → B̄B^{0} oscillation that proceeds through a box diagram with an amplitude (V_{tb}^{∗} V_{td})^{2} with a phase −2β. The measurement is theoretically clean, as the main higher-order diagrams have the same weak phase as the first-order ones. It is also relatively background free due to the presence of a J/ψ in the final state, and benefits from a branching ratio B ≈ 10^{−3}, a rather high value in the land of B decays.

The resulting evolution function g_{±}(t), for a decay to a final state f, involves a complex quantity λ_{f}. If the (so called direct) CP violation in the decay itself can be neglected – as it is the case here, within 1 %, in the standard model – we have |λ_{f}| = 1, and we obtain:

\[ g_{±}(t) = \frac{e^{-t/\tau_{B}}}{\tau_{B}} \times [1 ± i \mu \lambda_{f} \sin(\Delta m_{d}t)], \]

where t is the B proper decay time, and the + or − corresponds to an initial B^{0} or B̄B^{0} meson. In the present case of a b → c̄c s decay, λ_{f} is η_{f}e^{−2iβ}, where η_{f} is the CP eigenvalue of f, and g simplifies to:

\[ g_{±}(t) = \frac{e^{-t/\tau_{B}}}{\tau_{B}} \times [1 ± \eta_{f} \sin2\beta \sin(\Delta m_{d}t)], \]
allowing the measurement of $\sin 2\beta$. The “initial” state of the $CP$ $B$ can be known thanks to the EPR paradox: the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance having spin-parity 1$^{--}$, decays to a coherent $B\bar{B}$ pair in an antisymmetric state, so that when the first $B$ decays, say to a $B^0$, the other one is in the opposite state, a $\bar{B}^0$. The oscillation time is then the difference $\Delta t$ of the time of flight of the two $B$’s, measured from the difference $\Delta z$ of their flight lengths. The vertexing of the $CP$ $B$ is performed with an excellent precision, $\sigma_\Delta z \approx 60 \, \mu m$, thanks to the presence of the hard leptons from the $J/\psi$. The other $B$ is vertexed exclusively, with a $\chi^2$ cut that limits the systematics due to cascade charmed decays; the contribution of the other $B$ dominates the resolution on $\Delta z$, which is of the order of 180 $\mu m$ independent of the $CP$ channel used.

The flavor determination of the other $B$, called tagging, is also performed inclusively: events are classified in four mutually-exclusive categories, using respectively the charge of the fastest lepton, the total charge of identified kaons, the output of neural networks that use the information carried by non-identified leptons and kaons, and by soft pions from $D^*$’s, or are not tagged \[3\]. The effective tagging efficiency $Q_i$ of each category $i$ is defined as the product of its efficiency $\epsilon_i$ and of the square of its dilution $(1 - 2w_i)$. The total effective tagging efficiency $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \epsilon_i (1 - 2w_i)^2$ is measured on a large sample of exclusively reconstructed $B$ decays of specific flavor ($B \to D^{(*)-}h^+, h = \pi, \rho, a_1$, and $B \to J/\psi K^{*0}(K^+\pi^-)$), and is equal to $(26.1 \pm 1.2)$ %.

With a real detector, $\sin 2\beta$ is multiplied by a dilution factor $(1 - 2w)$ in eq. \[3\], and $g_{\pm}(\Delta t)$ is convoluted with a $\Delta t$ resolution function. The value of $\sin 2\beta$ is obtained from an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the $\Delta t$ distribution of a combined event sample consisting of the $CP$ sample and of the flavor sample. (The number of tagged events, the purity, and the $CP$ effective value of the channels used are given in table \[3\]). In this way, the mistagging probabilities $w_i$ and the parameters of the resolution function are determined from the data, and their correlation with $\sin 2\beta$ is taken into account.

Background events are taken into account by a separate pdf that enters the likelihood. When the $CP$ $B$ has no $K_S^0$ in the final state, the probability for an event to be a signal event is computed from the value of the energy substituted mass $m_{ES} = (s/4 - \vec{p}_B^2)^{1/2}$ where $s$ is the total energy and $\vec{p}_B$ the measured momentum of the $B$ candidate, in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame (fig. \[4\]). For a $CP$ $B$ with a $K_S^0$ in the final state, only the direction of the $K_S^0$ is measured in the detector, and a kinematic fit of $m_{ES}$ to the nominal $B$ mass is performed. The signal probability of the event is computed from the difference $\Delta E = E^*_B - E^*_{beam}$ between the energy of the $B$ candidate and the beam energy, in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame (fig. \[4\]).

| Channel | $N_{tag}$ | Purity (%) | $\langle \eta_f \rangle$ |
|---------|----------|------------|------------------|
| CP sample | $J/\psi K_S^0 (\pi^+ \pi^-)$ | 316 | 98. | -1 |
| | $J/\psi K_S^0 (\pi^0 \pi^0)$ | 64 | 94. | -1 |
| | $\psi(2S) K_S^0 (\pi^+ \pi^-)$ | 67 | 98. | -1 |
| | $\chi_{cl} K_S^0 (\pi^+ \pi^-)$ | 33 | 97. | -1 |
| | $J/\psi K^{*0} (K_S^0 \pi^0)$ | 50 | 74. | 0.65 $\pm$ 0.07 |
| | $J/\psi K_S^0$ | 273 | 51. | +1 |
| non CP sample | Flavor | 7591 | 86 |

Table 1: Number of tagged events, signal purity and $CP$ content of the $CP$ and flavor samples.

The slight asymmetry of the time distributions (fig. \[2\]) is barely visible, but the effect is clear
Figure 1: a) Distribution of $m_{ES}$ for $B$ candidates having a $K^0_S$ in the final state; b) distribution of $\Delta E$ for $J/\psi K^0_L$ candidates.

in the plot of the asymmetry itself $A_{CP}(\Delta t) = -(1 - 2w)\eta_f \sin 2\beta \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t)$. We obtain $\sin 2\beta = 0.59 \pm 0.14(stat) \pm 0.05(syst)$. If there were no CP violation, i.e. $\beta = 0$, the probability of such a measurement would be $3 \times 10^{-5}$. Therefore, our result for $\sin 2\beta$ represents a 4.1 standard deviation observation of CP violation \[4\] in the neutral $B$ meson system.

The impact of the present measurement on the knowledge of the CKM matrix is shown in fig. 3. The set of measurements is clearly consistent within the framework of the standard model, while the present measurement significantly decreases the size of the 2$\sigma$ allowed region.

We have also searched for direct CP violation in the decay. Releasing the constraint $|\lambda_f| = 1$, the evolution equation becomes:

$$g_{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t/\tau_B}}{2\tau_B(1 + |\lambda_f|^2)} \times \left[ \frac{1 + |\lambda_f|^2}{2} \pm \left( -\frac{1}{2}(1 - |\lambda_f|^2) \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t) + \Im \lambda_f \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \right) \right]$$

Fitting for $|\lambda_f|, \Im \lambda_f / |\lambda_f|$ with the $\eta_f = -1$ sample, we obtain $|\lambda_f| = 0.93 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$, showing the absence of direct CP violation at the 10% level.

3 Charmless two body $B$ decays

The $V_{ub}$ term that appears in the amplitude of the decay $b \to u$ carries a $\gamma$ phase: this makes the measurement of $\sin 2\alpha$ in $B \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decay-mixing interference attractive, using a time distribution

\[\text{but still assuming that there is no CP violation in mixing.}\]
Figure 2: Left: Time distribution of $\eta_f = -1$ candidates with a $B^0$ tag $N_{B^0}$ and with a $\bar{B}^0$ tag $N_{\bar{B}^0}$, and the asymmetry $(N_{B^0} - N_{\bar{B}^0})/(N_{B^0} + N_{\bar{B}^0})$. The solid curves represent the result of the combined fit to all selected CP events; the shaded regions represent the background contributions. Right: Corresponding information for the $\eta_f = +1$ mode ($J/\psi K^0_L$).

analysis similar to that for $\sin 2\beta$. However, in this case the decay has a strong CKM suppression ($V_{ub} \propto \lambda^3$), so that the higher-order diagrams, so called penguins, contribute at the same level as the tree diagram. This leads to a complication in the measurement of $\sin 2\alpha$, but also makes the process sensitive to the contribution of new heavy objects (Higgs, SUSY) in the penguin diagram, which would result in direct CP violation via tree-penguin interference in $B \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays.

On the experimental side, the difficulty is background rejection. The decaying $B$'s are almost at rest in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame, and their 2-body decay products are approximately back-to-back in that frame, while continuum ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$) events have a two-jet topology that provides a lot of back-to-back track pairs. $B\bar{B}$ decay products are roughly isotropically distributed in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ frame and we use this behaviour to separate signal from noise.

Preselection cuts on global event shape parameters (Fox-Wolfram moment, sphericity), on the angle between the sphericity axes of the $B$ and that of the rest of event, and on a Fisher discriminant $F$ that optimizes the use of the energy flow with respect to the decay axis. Kaon/pion separation is achieved using the Cherenkov angle $\theta_c$ measured in the DIRC and the value of $\Delta E$ (computed with the pion hypothesis for both tracks).

The branching fractions are obtained by an unbinned maximum likelihood fit with the 4 variables: $m_{ES}$, $\Delta E$, $F$, $\theta_c$ and are given in table 2. The corresponding $m_{ES}$ and $\Delta E$ spectra, after appropriate cut on the likelihood ratio to enhance the signal fraction, are given in
Table 2: Detection efficiencies ($\varepsilon$), fitted signal yields ($N_S$), statistical significances ($S$), branching fractions ($B$), and charge asymmetries of the charmless two body decays (20.7 fb$^{-1}$).

| Mode | $\varepsilon$ (%) | $N_S$ | $S$ ($\sigma$) | $B/10^{-6}$ | $A_{CP}$ |
|------|------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| $\pi^+\pi^-$ | 45 | 41 $\pm$ 10 $\pm$ 7 | 4.7 | 4.1 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 0.7 | |
| $K^+\pi^-$ | 45 | 169 $\pm$ 17 $\pm$ 13 | 15.8 | 16.7 $\pm$ 1.6 $\pm$ 1.3 | $-0.19 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.03$ |
| $K^+K^-$ | 32 | $8.2^{+7.8}_{-6.4}$ $\pm$ 3.5 | 1.3 | $< 2.5$ (90% C.L.) |
| $\pi^+\pi^0$ | 31 | 75 $\pm$ 14 $\pm$ 6 | 3.4 | $< 9.6$ (90% C.L.) |
| $K^+\pi^0$ | 14 | 59 $^{+11}_{-10}$ $\pm$ 6 | 9.8 | 18.2 $^{+3.3}_{-3.0}$ $\pm$ 2.0 | $-0.21 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.03$ |
| $K^0\pi^+$ | 14 | $-4.1^{+4.0}_{-3.9}$ $\pm$ 2.3 | 8.0 | $10.8^{+2.1}_{-1.9}$ $\pm$ 1.0 | $0.00 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.04$ |
| $K^0\pi^0$ | 10 | 17.9 $^{+6.8}_{-5.8}$ $\pm$ 1.9 | 4.5 | $8.2^{+3.1}_{-2.7}$ $\pm$ 1.2 | |

Self-tagging modes are used to search for direct $CP$ violation in the charge asymmetry $A_{CP} = (B(\bar{B} \rightarrow f) - B(B \rightarrow f))/(B(\bar{B} \rightarrow f) + B(B \rightarrow f))$. A vigorous effort is under way to understand the shift in $\sin 2\alpha$ due to the presence of the penguins. An unbinned maximum likelihood fit similar to that used for the branching fractions is used to measure $\sin 2\alpha_{eff}$: the variable $\Delta t$ is added in the likelihood. The vertexing and tagging are similar to that used for $\sin 2\beta$. There is here a (strong) probability of direct $CP$ violation so that the evolution equation used is:

$$g_\pm(t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau_B}}{4\tau_B} \times [1 \pm (-C_\ell \cos(\Delta m_{d}t) + S_\ell \sin(\Delta m_{d}t))]$$

Fitting for the coefficients $C_\ell = (1 - |\lambda_\ell|^2)/(1 + |\lambda_\ell|^2)$ and $S_\ell = 2|\lambda_\ell^2/(1 + |\lambda_\ell|^2)$ we get...
Figure 4: The $m_{ES}$ and $\Delta E$ distributions of charmless two body decays, after a likelihood ratio cut. The solid curves represent the fit predictions for both signal and background; the dashed curve represents the given signal mode only and the dotted curve represents other modes of the same topology (20.7 fb$^{-1}$).

on 30.4 fb$^{-1}$, $S_f = 0.03^{+0.53}_{-0.56} \pm 0.11$ and $C_f = -0.25^{+0.45}_{-0.47} \pm 0.14$ (preliminary), where $S_f$ would be equal to $\sin 2\alpha_{eff}$ if $|\lambda_f| = 1$ (No direct CP violation).

4 Radiative penguin decays

$b \to s\gamma$ decays like $B \to K^*\gamma$ are of particular interest because flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC) are forbidden in the standard model. Effective FCNC are induced by one-loop penguins in which the top quark dominates. Again, unknown heavy objects like charged Higgses or SUSY partners can contribute in the loop, and the interference with the SM diagram can induce CP violating charge asymmetries as large as 20%, while the SM predicts $A_{CP} < 1\%$ [9].

Monochromatic photons are selected ($2.30 < E_\gamma^* < 2.85$ GeV). As already noticed before, a large background from continuum events is present in this two body decay mode. The direction of the photon and the thrust of the rest of the event, in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ rest frame, are uncorrelated for $B \to K^*\gamma$ events, and strongly correlated for background, and a cut on their respective angle is the most powerful means of rejection available here. The branching fractions are measured with
Table 3: Number of events, significance, and branching fraction of $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$ decays (20.7 fb$^{-1}$).

| Mode | $B(B \rightarrow K^*\gamma)/10^{-3}$ |
|------|----------------------------------|
| $K^+ \pi^-$ | $4.39 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.27$ |
| $K_S^0 \pi^0$ | $4.10 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.42$ |
| $K_S^0 \pi^+$ | $3.12 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.21$ |
| $K^+ \pi^0$ | $5.52 \pm 1.07 \pm 0.33$ |

an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the $m_{ES}$ distribution (fig. 5, table 3).

![Figure 5: $m_{ES}$ distributions of the four $K^*\gamma$ channels (20.7 fb$^{-1}$).](image)

Charge asymmetry is measured for self-tagging modes (i.e. not $\gamma K_S^0\pi^0$) and is found compatible with zero, $A_{CP} = -0.035 \pm 0.076 \pm 0.012$: this measurement already constrains possible physics beyond the SM.

5 Gluonic penguins

The $B \rightarrow \phi K^{(*)}$ decays present several interesting aspects: the decay is dominated by a gluonic penguin contribution, making this mode a smoking gun for the observation of this processes; the $CP$ final eigenstate and the real decay amplitude make the measurement of $\sin^2\beta$ possible, in a system that has a different sensitivity to physics beyond the SM compared to $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ decays [1].
Table 4: $B \to \phi K^{(*)}$ fitted number of signal event $n_{\text{sig}}$, statistical significance $S$, and measured branching ratio $B$. The subscripts in the $\phi K^{(*)}$ modes refer to the kaon daughter of the $\phi K^{(*)}$ ($20.7$ fb$^{-1}$).

| Mode       | $n_{\text{sig}}$ | $S$  | $B(10^{-6})$ |
|------------|------------------|------|--------------|
| $\phi K^+$ | $31.4^{+10.7}_{-5.9}$ | 10.5 | $7.7^{+1.0}_{-1.4}$ $\pm$ 0.8 |
| $\phi K^0$ | $10.8^{+4.1}_{-3.4}$ | 6.4  | $8.1^{+2.1}_{-1.5}$ $\pm$ 0.8 |
| $\phi K^{*+}$ | -- | 4.5  | $9.7^{+4.2}_{-3.4}$ $\pm$ 1.7 |
| $\phi K^{*+}_{K^+}$ | $7.1^{+4.3}_{-3.4}$ | 2.7  | $12.8^{+6.1}_{-7.7}$ $\pm$ 3.2 |
| $\phi K^{*+}_{K^0}$ | $4.4^{+2.7}_{-2.0}$ | 3.6  | $8.0^{+3.0}_{-3.7}$ $\pm$ 1.3 |
| $\phi K^{*0}$ | $20.8^{+5.9}_{-5.1}$ | 7.5  | $8.7^{+2.5}_{-2.1}$ $\pm$ 1.1 |

Branching fractions are measured (table 4) with an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to $m_{ES}$, $\Delta E$, $F$, $m(K^+K^-)$ (and $K\pi$ mass and $K^*$ helicity angle for $\phi K^{(*)}$ modes). The decays $B^+ \to \phi K^{*+}$ and $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ are first observations.

6 Summary

We have presented a sample of recent results of the BABAR experiment. CP violation is observed in the $B$ sector with a significance of 4.1 standard deviations. The observation of several rare $B$ decays is reported, several of which allowed a search for direct CP violation in charge asymmetries.

The measurements presented here are statistically limited. The increase in integrated luminosity that is expected over the next few years – 500 fb$^{-1}$ in year 2005 – will allow a significant improvement in the precision of these results.
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