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Abstract

Objective The significance of pelvic osteotomies in developed coxarthrosis is still disputable. Some authors believe that incongruence and early osteoarthritis of the articular surfaces are contraindications for joint-preserving surgery and will stimulate further progression. The opposite view is that triple pelvic osteotomy can be an alternative to early joint replacement. The present study reports the mid to long term results of adolescent patients with developed coxarthrosis treated by proximal femoral and triple pelvic osteotomies and fixed by the Ilizarov technique.

Methods A retrospective review between 2002 and 2014 of the treatment of 26 patients with coxarthrosis due to developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH). The sample was composed of 22 female and 4 male subjects with a mean age at operation of 14.7 years (range: 12–18 years) and mean follow-up of 5.9 years (range: 3–13 years).

Results The initial functional results according to the Merle d’Aubigné and Postel criteria were: pain – 4.3 ± 0.05 points; range of motion – 3.6 ± 0.3 points; and gait – 4 ± 0.15 points. The average index of the weight bearing zone (WBZ) was of 38.7° ± 2.721°. The acetabular coefficient (AC) was of 162 ± 6.8, the center–edge angle (CEA) of Wiberg was of 3° ± 0.2°. The outcomes were followed up from 3 to 13 years. At the final follow-up, the radiographic outcomes showed that the value of the WBZ decreased to 8.2° ± 1.293° (0–15°), and that the AC increased to 249 ± 12.05. The average neck–shaft angle (NSA) was of 115° ± 4°, the articulo-trochanteric distance (ATD) was of 8.5 ± 1.5 mm, and the CEA of Wiberg was of 28° ± 1.6° at the final follow-up. The distribution of the joints according to Tönnis et al was: grade I – 17 joints; grade II – 8 joints; and grade III – 1 joint. The outcomes were good for 14 patients (54%), fair for 10 patients (34.5%), and poor for 2 (11.5%) patients.
Introduction

Redirecting triple pelvic and other osteotomies around the hip gained popularity long ago with the aim of decreasing or preventing further progression of congenital dysplastic hip osteoarthritis; these procedures also have successful short-, mid- and long-term results in terms of symptom relief for most patients, but a small proportion of patients does not show any improvement, and an even smaller proportion shows progression of their symptoms despite the operative intervention.\textsuperscript{1-12}

Incongruence and early osteoarthritic symptoms of the articular surfaces are considered by some authors as negative predictors for joint preserving surgery.\textsuperscript{9,13} The opposite point of view is that triple pelvic osteotomy can be an alternative to the early joint-replacement surgery.\textsuperscript{12,14} The increase in the contact area between the congruent head and the acetabulum can promote remodeling of the degenerating cartilage.\textsuperscript{10} Some authors\textsuperscript{8} believe that technical complexity and corrective potentials are correlated. However, triple pelvic osteotomy is a reliable method to reconstruct residual acetabular dysplasia, and it promotes future pelvic remodeling and femoral head containment in young and adolescent patients.\textsuperscript{15} With over 25 years of experience using the Ilizarov technique for hip reconstruction in children, we report our mid- to long-term results regarding hip reconstruction in adolescents with dysplastic hips due to developmental dysplasia.
Materials and Methods

Between 2002 and 2014, with the approval of our Institutional Review Board (IRB) and Ethical Committee, the results of the treatment of 26 patients with dysplastic coxarthrosis were analyzed. The mean age at the intervention was 14.7 years (range: 12–18 years). The sample was composed of 22 female and 4 male patients. The mean follow-up was 5.9 years (range: 3–13). Our inclusion criteria were: 1) patients aged > 12 and < 19 years; 2) with grades I or II of developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) according to Tönnis et al; 3) with grades II, III and IV of articular congruence according to the Coleman criteria; and 4) follow-up period > 3 years. The exclusion criteria were: 1) patients aged < 12 and > 19 years; 2) with grades 0 and II of DDH according to Tönnis et al; 3) with grade I of articular congruence according to Coleman; and 4) dysplastic hips with causes other than DDH.

The clinical history and examination, in addition to plain pelvic radiographs, were used for the preoperative diagnosis and to follow up on the functional results. The clinical outcome was assessed using the Merle d’Aubigné and Postel criteria, and the radiographic improvement, by the Severin criteria. The qualitative assessment of the condition of the hip was made in accordance with the criteria by Tönnis et al and Coleman. The radiographs of the hip joint analyzed were performed in anteroposterior and profile projections before the operation, during the treatment, and all throughout the follow-up period. Manual drawing on X-rays was used to assess the radiographic parameters. The following radiographic parameters were calculated: index of the weight bearing zone (WBZ); acetabular coefficient (AC); neck-shaft angle (NSA); articulo-trochanteric distance (ATD); center–edge angle (CEA) of Wiberg; vertical-center-anterior (VCA) angle; and the migration index (MI).

All of the patients underwent extra-articular hip reconstruction with the Ilizarov apparatus for correction of the acetabular dysplasia, and pelvic ostotomies such as those described by Carlioz et al and Steel were performed (Fig. 1 and 2). In six cases, the pelvic osteotomy was supplemented with incomplete periacetabular osteotomy to change the shape of the cavity arch. We performed pelvic osteotomies in all of the 26 study patients. As well as the pelvic correction, correction of the proximal femur deformity was performed in 22 cases, the details of which are as follows: in 5 cases we performed detorsion osteotomy; in 8 cases, varus derotation osteotomy; in 4 cases, valgus derotation osteotomy; and, in 5 cases, double transtrochanteric osteotomy (Fig. 3). The remaining four cases were only submitted to pelvic osteotomies. The Ilizarov apparatus was used to fix the osteotomized fragments. Over the course of the treatment, supportive distraction between the

Fig. 1 Steel osteotomy. (A) Ischial bone osteotomy. (B) Pubic bone osteotomy. (C) Iliac bone osteotomy.

Fig. 2 Carlioz et al osteotomy. (A, B) Pubic bone osteotomy. (C, D) Ischial bone osteotomy. (E, F) Iliac bone osteotomy.
articulate surfaces was performed to provide a mode of decompression.

The radiographic results were analyzed using the Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond WA, US) software. The angles and other parameters were calculated as means ± standard deviations, and the p-value of statistical significance was calculated using data dispersion, and the Student t-test. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant. Consent was taken from the patients or their guardians for the purpose of the study without personal identification.

**Surgical Technique**

In the operating room under general anesthesia, 5 Kirschner wires (k-wires) with olives are passed through the iliac wing, at least one of them in the opposite direction, and another 4 k-wires are passed to the distal femoral metaphysis. All wires are then connected to the Ilizarov apparatus by arches that are joined together by rods (Fig. 3). Using the Steel\(^\text{18}\) method (Fig. 1a-c), we perform a longitudinal incision of 3–4 cm laterally from the ischial tuberosity to osteotomize the ischium, an incision of 2–3 cm medial to the neurovascular bundle, and, below the inguinal ligament, we perform a pubic osteotomy and a 3–4-cm incision above the anterior superior iliac spine to perform an iliac osteotomy. After mobilizing the fragments in a favorable position for anterolateral head coverage, the acetabular fragment is fixed to the pelvic frame by means of wires or Schanz screws (Fig. 4) in the supra-acetabular region. If the Carlioz et al\(^\text{1}\) technique is used, an incision of 5–6 cm on the inner surface of the thigh is made 1 cm distal to the inguinal fold, and, between the adductor longus and pectineus muscles, we perform a blunt dissection to reach the pubic bone and perform an osteotomy (Fig. 2a,b). Then, through the same incision and between the adductor longus and magnus muscles, we reach the sciatic bone to perform a transverse osteotomy distal to the sciatic spine (Fig. 2c,d). Osteotomy of the ilium and acetabular coverage are performed just as in the Steel\(^\text{18}\) osteotomy. If necessary, an incomplete periacetabular osteotomy can be performed by making a 5–6 cm incision along the inguinal crease between the origins of the sartorius and tensor fasciae latae muscles. Care should be taken not to breach the articular cartilage. The supra-acetabular fragment is then connected to the frame via wires or Schanz pins. Corrective surgery on the femur is performed 2 weeks after the pelvic osteotomy. It is worth noting that, when using the Ilizarov technique, and when the pelvic and femoral osteotomies are performed separately, they become relatively simple and less traumatic interventions. However, simultaneous surgery is

![Fig. 3](image-url) Techniques for reconstruction of the femoral and pelvic components of the hip. (A) Detorsion subtrochanteric osteotomy. (B) Valgus derotation subtrochanteric osteotomy. (C) Varus derotation subtrochanteric osteotomy. (D) Double transtrochanteric osteotomy. (E) Pelvic osteotomy connected to the frame.

![Fig. 4](image-url) 16 year-old female with left dysplastic coxarthrosis. (A) X-ray with grade-I osteoarthritis, Coleman type-II congruence of articular surfaces, weight-bearing zone (WBZ): 28°, acetabular coefficient (AC): 190, center-edge angle (CEA): 5°, vertical-center-anterior (VCA) angle: 5°, and migration index (MI): 32%. (B) Pelvic anteroposterior radiograph of hip reconstruction with closed reduction pelvic triple and double transtrochanteric osteotomies. (C,D) 12-year follow-up anteroposterior and lateral radiographs with femoral head containment and Coleman grade-I congruence, WBZ: 0°, AC: 210, CEA: 30°, VCA angle: 20°, and MI: 0%.
possible, but, in this case the duration and aggressiveness of the operation increases. Therefore, the two-stage treatment is accepted at our center. When performing hip surgery two weeks after pelvic osteotomy, the total period of hardware treatment does not change, as it is determined primarily by the period of consolidation of the pelvic bones. With respect to the studied group, it is also important to mention that in the case of initial incongruence and deformation of the joint components, a two-stage reconstruction significantly facilitates the adaptation of joint surfaces.

**Results**

The duration of the rehabilitation was of 76 ± 2.2 days. The duration of the period of hardware treatment was 27 ± 1.0 months. The initial functional results in accordance with the Merle d’Aubigné and Postel criteria were: pain – 4.3 ± 0.05 points; range of motion (RoM) – 3.6 ± 0.3 points; and gait – 4 ± 0.15 points. The leading radiographic signs in all of the joints were acetabular dysplasia and subluxation of the femoral head. The average index of the WBZ was of 38.7° ± 2.7°, and the AC was of 162 ± 0.8. The CEA of Wiberg was of 3° ± 0.2°, the VCA was of 10° ± 1.5°, and the MI was of 38% ± 4.5%. In every case, there was an excessive femoral neck anteversion (41.6° ± 1.24°). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3). In 22 cases, various types of proximal femur deformities were observed, which were corrected accordingly (►Fig. 3).

The distribution of joints by the degree of dislocation according to Tönnis et al was: grade I – 10 cases; grade II – 13 cases; and grade III – 3 cases. The grade of coxarthrosis was also assessed according to Tönnis et al: grade I – 16 cases; and grade II – 10 cases. The type of congruence of the articular surfaces was assessed according to Coleman: grade II – 7 cases; grade III – 8 cases; and grade IV – 11 cases.

The outcomes were followed up from 3 to 13 years. The functional results according to Merle d’Aubigné and Postel criteria were: pain – 4.7 ± 0.1 points; RoM – 4.1 ± 0.2 points; and gait – 4.6 ± 0.1 points. According to the radiographic outcomes, the value of the WBZ decreased to 8.2° ± 1.293°, and the AC increased to 249 ± 12.05°. The average NSA was of 115° ± 4°, and the ATD, 8.5 ± 1.5 mm. The average reduction indices improved with the CEA of Wiberg of (28° ± 1.6°), VCA angle of 26° ± 1.5°, and MI of 12.6 ± 1.5°. There was a significant difference between all of the preoperative and postoperative values (►Table 1). The radiographic findings according to the Severin criteria were: grade IIA – 14 cases; grade IIB – 8 cases; and grade III – 4 cases. According to the Coleman criteria, they were: grade I – 6 cases; grade II – 5 cases; grade III – 12 cases; and grade IV – 3 cases. The distribution of the joint according to Tönnis et al was: grade I – 17 joints; grade II – 8 joints; and grade III – 1 joint. There was an improvement in the congruence of the articular surfaces, and at the final follow-up, the grade of arthrosis remained unchanged in 20 cases, had reduced in 4 joints, and had progressed by 1 grade in 2 joints. Overall, the outcomes were good for 14 patients (54%), fair for 10 patients (34.5%), and poor for 2 patients (11.5%), which means that positive results with halting of the osteoarthritis progression were observed in 24 patients (88.5%) (►Figs. 4 and 5).

**Discussion**

In hip dysplasia, the acetabular component is usually affected, as well as the femoral component, but to a lesser extent. In the shallow acetabulum, the femoral head is lateralized and dislocated with abnormal anatomical ratios of the hip components, disturbed biomechanics and peri-articular muscular and ligamentous contracture. The long-term results of many pelvic reorientation osteotomies for symptomatic hip dysplasia are satisfactory. The increase in the contact area between the congruent head and the acetabulum can promote remodeling of the degenerated cartilage. Pelvic osteotomy can even prevent the further development of second-stage coxarthrosis and promote its regression. Different pelvic reorientation osteotomy techniques have been studied and compared, including the Bernese periacetabular osteotomy, and some of them enable rotation in unwanted directions and result in inferior outcomes. When comparing the Ganz, Carlioz et al and Tönnis et al osteotomies, the Carlioz et al osteotomy provided less motion, with predictable displacement of osteotomy fragments in the proposed directions. The Tönnis et al and Ganz osteotomies enabled unrestrained motion in different directions. The Carlioz et al osteotomy was used

**Table 1** Radiographic parameters, preoperatively and postoperatively, and last follow-up results

| Parameters | Treatment intervals | Preoperative | After frame removal | Last follow-up |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|
| NSA (°)    | 118.5 ± 3.9         | 121 ± 1.0°   | 116 ± 1.0°          | p < 0.05       |
| AA (°)     | 41.6 ± 1.6          | 15 ± 0.8°   | 16 ± 0.8            |
| ATD (mm)   | 7.2 ± 2.8           | 13.7 ± 0.3° | 11.5 ± 0.4°         |
| WBZ        | 39 ± 1.6            | 9 ± 0.7°    | 8.2 ± 0.8           |
| AC         | 162 ± 1.9           | 190 ± 7.5°  | 249 ± 6.6           | p < 0.01       |
| CEA (°)    | 3 ± 0.8             | 30.5 ± 1.4° | 28 ± 1.8            |
| MI (%)     | 38 ± 1.5            | 8.5 ± 1.5°  | 12.6 ± 1.8          |
| VCA (°)    | 10 ± 0.7            | 27 ± 0.8°   | 26 ± 0.9            |

Abbreviations: AA, epiphysis-shaft angle of Alsum; AC, acetabular edge angle; ATD, articulo-trochanteric distance; CEA, center-edge angle; migration index (MI); neck–shaft angle (NSA); VCA, vertical-center–anterior angle; WBZ, weight-bearing zone (WBZ). Note:*Statistically significant p-value.
results after triple pelvic osteotomy of 48 hips: 42 (88%) patients with long-term follow-up of 15 years did not have progression of their osteoarthritis, and 27 (64%) patients had good to excellent clinical results. Interestingly, Johnsen et al.22 found that, among the Sámi population of Norway, a hip with DDH has low predictive value for concurrent radiographic osteoarthritis. Thus, radiographic indices cannot predict the rate at which the hip joint will develop osteoarthritis; however, we believe there is no contraindication per se to perform reorientation pelvic osteotomies in patients with dysplastic radiographic changes. Regarding our choice of osteotomy, we prefer the Carlioz et al. technique if a considerable transposition of the acetabulum is required.

Regarding the method of fixation after osteotomy, the conventional methods of fixation are more likely to be strong k-wires or pins and plates, and some authors4,27,28 have reported a higher incidence of nonunion, which is associated with patient dissatisfaction. In the present study, we did not observe any case of nonunion, probably because of the relative stability of the frame. However, were this to occur, we could still modify the frame and add or remove wires to make the bone fragments more dynamic as needed. Other complications, like infection, bleeding and postoperative pain can be bothersome, and Trousdale et al.4 reported pain related to the hardware that led to its removal in 9 patients (21%) in their series. Regarding the complications observed by us, there were two cases of pintract infection (PTI), which were treated with intravenous (IV) cefazolin and frequent dressing changes. We also observed two cases of rapid development of the osteoarthritis during the first two years of follow-up: one patient had overcorrection of the femoral head, but this has been refuted by Klein et al.15 who found in their study that 33 (80.5%) hips with overcorrection in the 3 planes showed no significant differences when compared with hips with normal parameters. We think the cause was femoroacetabular impingement and narrowing of the joint space, as it appeared on the radiograph. The other patient had undercoverage of the femoral head by osteotomy, which probably played a role in the progression of the osteoarthritis. In our opinion, the advantages of our technique are primarily due to the use of the Ilizarov apparatus, with great versatility of correction and movement of the acetabular fragments, and it is less invasive, and results in lower blood loss, which might be associated with better outcomes.3,29 The use of the Ilizarov technique also enables early rehabilitation, with the patients being able to walk on the second postoperative day.

The use of the Ilizarov technique for correction and lengthening in hip ischemic deformities has been reported with good mid-term results.30 However, some patients might be uncomfortable with the bulky frame, but in time they will get used and comfortable with it, focusing on their treatment. Another limitation is that it requires experience on the part of the surgeon with the use of the Ilizarov technique, which takes some time to acquire.
Conclusion

Application of the ilizarov technique for reconstructive pelvic surgery due to congenital hip dysplasia in adolescents has proven to be a successful and reliable method. The technique can be an alternative to joint replacement in adolescents and young adults, and it provides sufficient function to the affected joint. Overall, the outcomes in the present study were good for 14 patients (54%), fair for 10 patients (34.5%), and poor for 2 (11.5%) patients, which means that positive results, with halting of the osteoarthritis progression, were observed in 24 patients (88.5%).
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