Species determination and phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Betula* inferred from multiple chloroplast and nuclear regions reveal the high methyl salicylate-producing ability of the ancestor
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Abstract

**Key message** The investigation provides initial knowledge on the distribution and evolution of the high and low methyl salicylate-producing trait in the *Betula* genus. Mislabelled birch species could be identified and removed.

**Abstract** The genus *Betula* is the largest group of ecologically and economically dominant perennial woody plants in subalpine forests. The taxonomy of *Betula* is complex due to an extensive history of hybridization and periodic introgression events among the species. Although almost all land plants including birches produce methyl salicylate (MeSA) as a signaling molecule and in response to stress (“low MeSA producer”), some birch species produce high amounts of MeSA in the leaves and bark (“high MeSA producer”). Unfortunately, the evolution of high levels of MeSA production in the genus *Betula* remains unclear. The salicylic acid-binding protein 2 (*SABP2*) and salicylic acid methyltransferase (*SAMT*) genes involved in MeSA biosynthesis were incorporated into this study to examine the interspecific relationship of high and low MeSA-producing birches. Additionally, eight chloroplast and three nuclear regions were included to evaluate their potential application in species determination. The analysis resulted in 25 and 61 nucleotide variations, respectively, which allowed for a visualization of the genetic architecture in the 18 *Betula* species investigated. The high MeSA-producing *B. lenta*, *B. grossa*, and *B. alleghaniensis* formed the basal clade in the phylogenetic analysis, thus revealing their ancestral status, and the network analysis postulates that the diploid *B. lenta* is one of the ancestors of the genus *Betula*. The results indicate that the ability to produce high levels of MeSA that were initially present in the genus has been lost several times during its evolution. Placing species of the subgenus *Acuminata* alongside the subgenus *Betula*, together with a fragrance analysis, questions their ability to produce high levels of MeSA.
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**Introduction**

Birches (*Betula* L., *Betulaceae*) are ecologically important trees and shrubs that are widely distributed throughout the Northern Hemisphere (Furlow 1990). They diverged from other genera of the *Betulaceae* family around 75 million years ago (Bina et al. 2016). The genus *Betula* plays a vital role in landscape structure, forestry, breeding for biomass production and horticulture (Repola 2008; Ashburner and McAllister 2013; Smith et al. 2014), and some *Betula* species are listed as endangered in the International Union for Conservation of Nature’s (IUCN) red list of threatened species (Shaw et al. 2014).

Plant taxonomy helps in the monitoring and conservation of biodiversity (Hinchliff et al. 2015). However, hybridization, introgression, and misidentification of *Betula* species...
have led to difficulties in the systematics of this genus (Winkler 1904; Furlow 1990). Although birch species contain different biochemical compounds in their bark and buds (Williams and Arnold 2001) and vary in leaf shape and bark color (Li et al. 2005), these characteristics have not provided reliable interspecies relationships (Li et al. 2005; Schenk et al. 2008), and have resulted in several taxonomical classifications (Regel 1865; Winkler 1904; De Jong 1993; Skvortsov 2002). Regel (1865) acknowledged two subgenera: \textit{Eubetula} consisting of six sections and \textit{Alnaster} consisting of a single section, \textit{Acuminatae}. Winkler (1904) classified the \textit{Betula} genus extensively and further divided the genus into two main sections: \textit{Betulaster} and \textit{Eubetula}, with three subsections of \textit{Eubetula} (\textit{Costatae}, \textit{Nanae}, and \textit{Albae}). The same author suggested that the subsection \textit{Costatae} required reconsideration (Winkler 1904). Ashburner and McAllister (2013) proposed the latest classification with four subgenera and eight sections, thereby substantially supporting the previous categorization (Skvortsov 2002).

Several studies have variously investigated the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers (Schenk et al. 2008), single genes (Li et al. 2007) and a combination of chloroplast barcoding and nuclear genes (Järvinen et al. 2004; Bina et al. 2016) to resolve the systematics of \textit{Betula}. The official DNA barcoding regions \textit{rbcL} and \textit{matK} have been used to recognize different plant species due to their discrimination power, easy amplification, and good sequence quality (CBOL Plant Working Group 2009; Hollingsworth et al. 2011). However, the interspecific relationships of birch species remains unclear (Järvinen et al. 2004). The first (Li et al. 2005) and most recent (Wang et al. 2016) molecular phylogenetic analyses of the genus \textit{Betula}, based on internal transcribed spacers (ITS), did not resolve all the ambiguities in the interspecific relationships of birches. These studies also advocated the inclusion of multiple nuclear and/or chloroplast regions to resolve these classification issues.

The phylogenetic analysis by Wang et al. (2016), however, is congruent with the conclusions of Ashburner and McAllister (2013). Apart from the classification debate, birches have an extensive history of medicinal use in the treatment of skin diseases, infections, rheumatism and urinary disorders (Menković et al. 2011; Shikov et al. 2011; Angmo et al. 2012; Rastogi et al. 2015). Analytical evidence suggests that terpenoids and methyl salicylate (MeSA) are the major components of birch essential oil (Can Baser et al. 2007; Pal et al. 2015). Terpenoids play a key role in plant defense (Singh and Sharma 2015) and their medicinal value is the subject of current clinical trials (Yin et al. 2017).

On the other hand, MeSA is a volatile compound produced by almost all land plants, including birches, as a signaling molecule and in response to stress (Forouhar et al. 2005) (termed here “low MeSA producers”). Some birch species produce high amounts of MeSA (“high MeSA producers”) in the leaves and bark and are used in many drugs for the treatment of muscle and joint pain (Williams and Arnold 2001; Dadakova et al. 2010). However, information about the content of the pharmacologically important MeSA in birch species is almost absent in the literature. Therefore, improved knowledge of the genetic framework of birches is required for selection and breeding purposes (Baum 2008).

Salicylic acid methyltransferase (SAMT) is a member of the \textit{O}-methyltransferase gene family that catalyzes the methylation of salicylic acid (SA), while salicylic acid-binding protein (3) reverts MeSA to SA (Ross et al. 1999; Forouhar et al. 2005) by demethylation (Du and Klessig 1997). Therefore, SAMT and SABP2 are the putative vital genes for the variation in MeSA production. Thus, they are included in the study together with the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) (White et al. 1990), nitrate reductase (NIA) (Li et al. 2007) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) (Järvinen et al. 2004) gene regions. Additionally, eight chloroplast coding and intergenic spacers, including \textit{rbcL}, \textit{matK}, \textit{trnH-psbA}, \textit{psbK-psbI}, \textit{trnQ-trnS}, \textit{matK-trnK}, \textit{trnC-petN}, and \textit{rpoC2-rpoC1} (Schroeder et al. 2012; Schroeder and Fladung 2015), were also used to investigate their discrimination potential.

According to the monograph by Ashburner and McAllister (2013), \textit{B. alleghaniensis}, \textit{B. alnoides}, \textit{B. globisepica}, \textit{B. grossa}, \textit{B. lenta}, \textit{B. luminifera}, \textit{B. maximowicziana} and \textit{B. medwediewii} are classified as high MeSA producers, whereas \textit{B. costata}, \textit{B. davurica}, \textit{B. ermani}, \textit{B. nana}, \textit{B. papyrifera}, \textit{B. pendula}, \textit{B. platyphylla}, \textit{B. pubescens}, \textit{B. tianchanica} and \textit{B. utilis} are considered as low MeSA producers. Considering the uneven distribution of high MeSA content in the genus \textit{Betula}, the purpose of the study was to uncover the interspecific relationships of high and low MeSA-producing birch species. Since data of the MeSA content in birches is only available in Ashburner and McAllister (2013), we applied an olfactory analysis by scratching and then smelling the bark to obtain an indication of high and low MeSA producers among birch species.

This study aims to answer the following questions: (1) Is the olfactory analysis of the MeSA fragrance consistent with the previous monographic report (Ashburner and McAllister 2013)? (2) Are the sequences of multiple chloroplasts and nuclear genomic regions capable of distinguishing between and within \textit{Betula} groups of high and low MeSA productivity? (3) Are the phylogenies based on chloroplast and nuclear sequences compatible?

To this end, each chloroplast and the nuclear genomic region has been critically analyzed to detect nucleotide variations and to examine the discrimination potential. Although the taxonomy of \textit{Betula} is complex, this investigation has helped in the design of further state-of-the-art experiments.
Materials and methods

Sample collection

Seeds from eight Betula species (*B. alleghaniensis*, *B. maximowicziana*, *B. medwediewii*, *B. grossa*, *B. costata*, *B. lenta*, *B. alnoides* and *B. globispica*) were collected from different botanical gardens in Germany (Frankfurt, Giessen, Leipzig, Marburg and Tharandt), Great Britain (Liverpool) and Lithuania (Alytus). Samples of *B. utilis* were collected from a private supplier (Kiel, Germany). In addition, the Northwest German Forestry Research Institute provided frozen seeds of *B. alleghaniensis* (Table 1).

Seeds were germinated in soil with pH 6.5 and 10–30% humidity in a natural environment without any fertilizer in a polyhouse at the Institute of Agricultural Process Engineering, Kiel University, Germany. Plantlet cultivation was carried out with the required dose of fertilizers in a glasshouse without any artificial light at the Thünen Institute of Forest Genetics, Grosshansdorf, Germany.

In addition, leaf or twig samples from nine more species (*B. pubescens*, *B. pendula*, *B. papyrifera*, *B. luminifera*, *B. ermanii*, *B. platyphylla*, *B. nana*, *B. tianschanica*, and *B. davurica*) were collected from botanical gardens in Canada (Montreal), Germany (Grosshansdorf, Göttingen and Munich) and Great Britain (Cambridge). The geographical distribution and place of origin are described in Table 1.

Table 1 Detailed information on the species used for phylogenetic analyses: names of the species, places of sample origin, geographical distribution, ploidy levels (according to Wang et al. (2016)) and taxonomic positions according to Ashburner and McAllister (2013)

| Species name           | Place of sample origin | Distribution          | 2n  | Subgenus       | Section       |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------|---------------|
| *B. alleghaniensis*    | BG Tharandt, Germany   | North America         | 6n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. alleghaniensis*    | NW-FVA*, Germany       | North America         | 6n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. alleghaniensis*    | BG Giessen, Germany    | North America         | 6n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. maximowicziana*    | BG Giessen, Germany    | Japan                 | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminata     |
| *B. maximowicziana*    | BG Tharandt, Germany   | Japan                 | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminata     |
| *B. maximowicziana*    | BG Leipzig, Germany    | Japan                 | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminata     |
| *B. maximowicziana*    | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | Japan              | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminata     |
| *B. medwediewii*       | BG Giessen, Germany    | Caucasus Mountains    | 10n | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. medwediewii*       | BG Liverpool, Great Britain | Caucasus Mountains   | 10n | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. medwediewii Regel* | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | Caucasus Mountains   | 10n | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. grossa*            | BG Tharandt, Germany   | Japan                 | 12n | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. utilis*            | Kiel (private), Germany | Himalayas            | 4n  | Betula         | Costatae      |
| *B. costata*           | OMC Seeds, Lithuania   | Central China and Korea | 2n  | Betula         | Costatae      |
| *B. pubescens*         | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | Europe and North Asia | 4n  | Betula         | Betula        |
| *B. pendula*           | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | Europe and East Asia  | 2n  | Betula         | Betula        |
| *B. papyrifera*        | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | North America        | 4n  | Betula         | Betula        |
| *B. luminifera*        | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | China              | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminatae    |
| *B. ermanii*           | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | China, Korea, and Japan | 4n  | Betula         | Costatae      |
| *B. platyphylla*       | BG Grosshansdorf, Germany | Japan, China, Siberia | 2n  | Betula         | Betula        |
| *B. lenta*             | BG Giessen, Germany    | North America         | 2n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. lenta*             | BG Tharandt, Germany   | North America         | 2n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. lenta*             | BG Marburg, Germany    | North America         | 2n  | Aspera         | Lentae        |
| *B. alnoides*          | BG Tharandt, Germany   | India, Bhutan, Nepal, China | 2n  | Acuminata      | Acuminatae    |
| *B. globispica*        | BG Frankfurt, Germany  | Japan                 | 10n | Aspera         | Asperae       |
| *B. nana*              | BG Munich, Germany     | Arctic region         | 2n  | Betula         | Apterocaryon  |
| *B. nana*              | BG Cambridge, England  | Arctic region         | 2n  | Betula         | Apterocaryon  |
| *B. nana*              | BG Montreal, Canada    | Arctic region         | 2n  | Betula         | Apterocaryon  |
| *B. tianschanica*      | BG Göttingen, Germany  | Kazakhstan            | 4n  | Betula         | Betula        |
| *B. davurica*          | BG Göttingen, Germany  | China, Japan, Korea   | 8n  | Betula         | Dahuricae     |

The ploidy conditions and taxonomical positions (subgenus and sections) of species are defined according to Wang et al. (2016) and Ashburner and McAllister (2013), respectively.

*Northwest German Forestry Research Institute

BG Botanical Garden
*Alnus incana* and *Carpinus betulus*, as outgroup species, were sampled from BG Grosshansdorf.

**Olfactory analysis of MeSA fragrance**

To maintain consistency with previous studies, we conducted the olfactory analysis of MeSA fragrance by scratching the bark of young plants and twigs of the trees. Volunteers from the institute participated in the analysis. All volunteers were provided with bark from the young plants and twig samples from the different birches for scratching and sniffing. According to MeSA fragrance intensity, the samples were unanimously categorized as high, intermediate and low MeSA-producing *Betula* species (Supplementary Table 1).

**Selection of chloroplast and nuclear regions and PCR primer design**

Functions of the selected chloroplast and nuclear regions were defined according to previous reference studies (Supplementary Table 2). Previously described and newly designed primer combinations of all chloroplast and nuclear regions were used in the current study (Supplementary Table 2). Birch-specific primers were newly designed to amplify the *SABP2*, *SAMT* and *NIA* genes as well as the ITS regions with the help of the *B. pendula* genome (Salojärvi et al. 2017).

**DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing**

Total DNA was extracted from the leaves of the plants (and the twigs of *B. tianschanica*, and *B. davurica*) according to the CTAB protocol of Dumolin et al. (1995). DNA extraction in *B. nana*, *B. medwediewii*, *B. alleghaniensis*, and *B. lenta* was difficult due to the presence of high levels of polysaccharides. Thus a pre-washing buffer (1.6 mL ice-cold TNE buffer: 200 mM Tris–HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 50 mM EDTA; Wang et al. 2013) was used to extract DNA of good quality.

The following PCR cycling conditions were used for all regions except *ADH*: 95 °C for 3 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s, annealing with respective temperature for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. The amplification of *ADH* was carried out using the PCR reaction described by Järvinen et al. (2004). All PCR reactions were performed in a SensoQuest thermocycler (Göttingen, Germany). *B. papyrifera*, *B. alnoides*, *B. globispica* and *B. pubescens* individuals displayed two fragments after amplification with *ADH* primers. Therefore, gel purification of the ~1060 bp fragment of these species was carried out using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. PCR products were confirmed on 1% agarose gel stained with Roti®-GelStain (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). The StarSEQ (Mainz, Germany) service was used for sequencing.

**Sequence examination and data validation**

The electropherograms of each sequence were visually inspected. Upstream and downstream regions were trimmed. In addition, all sequences were aligned and screened for the presence of nucleotide substitutions using the SeqMan Pro15 program from the DNASTAR Lasergene bioinformatics software suite (Madison, Wisconsin USA). The sequences generated were validated with the NCBI gene database, if available. Verifying the reliability of the newly obtained sequences was an important step in avoiding any sequence misclassification.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

All sequences generated from the 29 birch individuals and the outgroup species were aligned with the bioinformatics computer program ClustalW and nexus files were created using MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). Phylogenetic trees were inferred using ML (maximum likelihood) analysis with the substitution model JC69 + I for heterozygosity in the program PAUP* 4.0a (build 163) (https://paup.phylosolutions.com/). All characters, including indels and extended codes from the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) (Johnson et al. 2010), were equally weighted and their status was kept unordered. Further, a bootstrap analysis of 100 replicates was performed to evaluate the individual clades with random and simple sequence additions (Maddison 1991). Generated trees were visualized using FigTree v1.4.3 (https://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) program. Furthermore, a phylogenetic network analysis was performed using the median-joining algorithm with the program package Network 5 (Bandelt et al. 1999).

Analyzed sequences of nuclear and chloroplast regions were treated as an individual-specific single-locus. Three different data sets (chloroplast, nuclear and a combined “league data set”) were created to evaluate the congruence between chloroplast and nuclear DNA. In addition, another phylogeny was constructed by deleting the possible ambiguous regions from the aligned league data set sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1). During alignment, sequences from fast-evolving genes and different species may produce potential gaps in the alignment defined as “ambiguous regions”. The presence of these regions may mean that the assumptions are inaccurate, while deleting them might result in the loss of vital information (Lutzoni et al. 2000).

The outgroup species, *A. incana* and *C. betulus*, were amplified with all the chloroplast primers. However, *NIA* and *ADH* primers were only able to amplify *A. incana*. Therefore, *C. betulus* was excluded from the nuclear phylogenetic
analysis. Several heterozygous sites were observed in nuclear regions and treated according to the IUPAC nucleotide extended codes (https://www.bioinformatics.org/sms/iupac.html). The pairwise distance between *Betula* and the outgroup species was summarized using a function in MEGA7 based on the league data.

**Results**

**Olfactory analysis of MeSA fragrance**

To observe the consistency of high and low MeSA producers with monographic evidence (Ashburner and McAllister 2013), we conducted an olfactory analysis of MeSA fragrance by scratching the bark of young plants and twigs from the trees. The MeSA fragrance comparison analysis resulted in high (*B. grossa*, *B. lentia* and *B. alleghaniensis*), intermediate (*B. maximowicziana*, *B. medwediewii*) and low (all others) MeSA producers. Notably, the analysis showed that *B. costata* (subgenus *Betula*, section Costatae) produced the MeSA fragrance, while *B. luminifera*, *B. alnoides* (subgenus Acuminata, section Acuminatae) and *B. globispica* (subgenus Aspera, section Asperae) did not produce any MeSA that could unanimously be recognized by the human nose (Supplementary Table 1).

**Sequence analysis**

In the present study, 400 new *Betula* sequences were submitted to the NCBI GenBank database (Supplementary Table 3). Annotations and descriptions were assigned according to previous records available in the GenBank.

Polymorphic sites were found in chloroplast regions excluding the official barcode *rbcL*. The sequencing results indicated that the intergenic spacers *trnH-psbA*, *psbK-psbl*, *trnC-petN* and *trnQ-trnS* retained a higher degree of polymorphism than the coding regions *matK*, *matK-trnK* and *rpoC2-rpoC1*. The chloroplast intergenic spacer *trnH-psbA* had the highest number of substitutions, whereas the coding region *rpoC2-rpoC1* showed an average number of nucleotide variations (Table 2).

The exon regions of the nuclear gene *SABP2* (exon-3) and *SAMT* (exon-2) revealed a total number of 50 and 68 polymorphic sites, and 21 and 12 substitutions, respectively. The *NIA* and *ADH* sequences had a total number of 22 and 84 variable sites including six and 16 substitutions, respectively. In the ITS region, only 12 sites appeared to show variation (Table 3).

In addition, intraspecific sequence analysis of chloroplast regions was also conducted and this showed variations in *B. maximowicziana*, *B. medwediewii*, *B. lenta* and *B. nana* (Supplementary Table 4). Sequence alignments of *B. alleghaniensis* _10_ sampled from the arboretum of the Thünen Institute, Grosshansdorf (BG), differed from the other *B. alleghaniensis* individuals. Therefore, we considered this individual as mislabelled and removed it from the study.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

**Chloroplast regions**

The aligned sequences of eight chloroplast regions of 29 individuals belonging to 18 birch species and two outgroup species contained 4587 characters, of which 204 were variable and 81 were parsimony-informative. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed (Fig. 1). According to our fragrance analysis (Supplementary Table 1), all species which produce low MeSA, except for *B. maximowicziana* and *B. medwediewii*, which produce an intermediate amount of MeSA, are clustered in clade I. Moreover, *B. luminifera*, *B. alnoides* and *B. globispica*, which are classified as high MeSA according to Ashburner and McAllister (2013) but which failed the olfactory analysis (Supplementary Table 1), are also clustered in clade I. On the other hand, the high MeSA producers are clustered together in clade II, except for *B. costata*, *B. utilis* and *B. papyrifera*.

**Nuclear regions**

The aligned sequences of five nuclear regions of 29 individuals belonging to 18 birch species and one outgroup species contained 2,818 characters, of which 377 were variable and 133 were parsimony-informative. *B. papyrifera* and *B. utilis*, which clustered with the high MeSA producers *B. lenta*, *B. grossa* and *B. alleghaniensis* in the chloroplast phylogeny (Fig. 1), congregated with most of the species from the subgenus *Betula* and *Acuminata* in clade I in the nuclear maximum likelihood phylogeny (Fig. 2). Therefore, clade II contains all the high MeSA producer species and only one low MeSA producer, *B. costata*.

**League data set (combined chloroplast and nuclear sequence data)**

The aligned chloroplast and nuclear sequences of all birch individuals were used to construct the concatenated data phylogeny (Fig. 3) which revealed a similar topology to the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 2), with one exception: *B. medwediewii* displayed a discrete lineage (clade II). The pairwise distance between *Betula* and the outgroup species was summarized using a function in MEGA7 based on the league data (Supplementary Table 5).
Table 2 Characteristics of the variable sites in eight cpDNA regions in all the *Betula* species studied: *B. alleganiensis* (ale), *B. maximowicziana* (max), *B. medwediewi* (med), *B. grossa* (gro), *B. utilis* (util), *B. costata* (cos), *B. pubescens* (pub), *B. pendula* (pen), *B. papyrifera* (pap), *B. luminifera* (lum), *B. ermanii* (erm), *B. platyphylla* (play), *B. lenta* (len), *B. abnoides* (ahn), *B. globisepica* (glo), *B. nana* (nan), *B. tianschanica* (tia), *B. davurica* (dav)

| Chlo-roplast regions | Frag-ment length | No. of S. | Position of S. | ale | max | med | gro | pen | pap | lum | erm | play | len | ahh | glo | nan | tia | dav | %d poly |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| *rbcL*               | 488              | –         | –              | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | 0.42   |
| *matK*               | 809              | 3         | 3              | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | 3.44   |
| trnH-psbA            | 481              | 12        | 6              | A   | T   | T   | C   | C   | C   | C   | T   | T   | T   | T   | C   | T   | T   | T   | 0.42   |
| *psbK-psbI*          | 789              | 6         | 3              | A   | T   | T   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | 1.31   |
| trnQ-trnS            | 577              | 9         | 3              | A   | C   | C   | C   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | T   | 0.19   |
| *matK-trnK*          | 740              | 9         | 4              | C   | C   | C   | T   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | 1.22   |
| rpoC2-rpoC1          | 506              | 2         | 1              | G   | A   | A   | A   | G   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | 0.50   |
| trnC-petN            | 958              | 6         | 5              | A   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | G   | A   | A   | A   | A   | 0.72   |

The “–” indicates no variation
S. Substitutions
a Fragment length obtained after trimming
b Total number of nucleotide variations present in all selected individuals
c Detected number of substitutions (S)
d Percentage variable sites calculated as the total number of polymorphisms in relation to the sequence length
Table 3 Characteristics of the variable sites in five nuclear DNA regions in five Betula species: *B. alleghaniensis* (ale), *B. maximowicziana* (max), *B. medwediewii* (med), *B. lenta* (len) and *B. nana* (nan)

| Nuclear regions | Fragment length | No. of var. | No. of S. | Position of S | ale | max | med | len | nan | % poly. |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| ITS             | 597            | 12         | 5        | 182          | G   | A   | A   | A   | A   | 2.01    |
|                 | 203            | A           | G        | A            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 252            | T           | A        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 552            | G           | A        | A            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 571            | G           | A        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
| NIA             | 451            | 22         | 6        | 165          | T   | G   | G   | T   | G   | 4.87    |
|                 | 243            | A           | T        | T            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 333            | C           | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 365            | C           | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 393            | G           | G        | T            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 414            | T           | G        | G            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
| ADH             | 915            | 84         | 16       | 62           | C   | C/T | T   | C   | C   | 9.18    |
|                 | 77             | C/T         | T        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 80             | G           | G        | A            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 102            | T           | C/T      | C            | C/T |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 118            | A/G         | A/T      | A            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 213            | A           | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 245            | C           | A        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 292            | C           | C/T      | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 325            | A           | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 364            | G           | G        | T            | T/G |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 713            | C           | T        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 735            | G           | T        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 736            | A           | A        | A            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 775            | A           | A        | G            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 811            | T           | C        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 899            | Y           | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
| SABP2           | 400            | 50         | 21       | 44           | T   | T   | T   | T   | C/T | 12.50   |
|                 | 72             | A           | A        | G            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 76             | A           | A        | C            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 79             | T           | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 83             | G/T         | T        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 88             | G/C         | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 94             | T           | T        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 98             | T           | T        | T            | T   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 100            | C/T         | C        | C            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 127            | A/C         | A        | A            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 148            | A/G         | C        | C            | C   | A/T |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 151            | A           | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 160            | A/C         | A        | A            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 234            | G/T         | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 244            | A           | A        | A            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 294            | A           | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 299            | T           | T        | T            | C   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 302            | A           | T        | T            | A   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 307            | A/G         | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 313            | A/G         | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |         |
|                 | 347            | G           | G        | G            | G   |     |     |     |     |  A/G    |
Silencing of ambiguous regions

A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed by deleting the possible ambiguous regions in the league data set to examine the reliability of the generated data (Supplementary Fig. 1) and then compared with the league data set (Fig. 3). Constructing a phylogeny excluding any ambiguous regions did not result in any marked differences (Supplementary Fig. 1). Therefore, it can be concluded that alignment artifacts do not have any substantial effect on the existing phylogenetic analyses.

Network analysis

According to the requirements, only the variable sites of the league data set were used to create a FASTA file and perform the network analysis (Bandelt et al. 1999). The network revealed four clades (Fig. 4) similar to the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 2). Clade I, II, III, and IV included both high and low MeSA-producing birches with complete heterozygosity between the birches. The network analysis clearly shows the ancestral node formed by *B. lenta* that carries a high MeSA-producing ability.

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to examine the differences in the ability of the multiple chloroplast and nuclear regions to determine the phylogenetic relationship between high and low MeSA-producing birches.

The relevance of the investigated markers

A total number of thirteen regions, including the chloroplast and nuclear regions were investigated for nucleotide variation in selected birches. Among the eight chloroplast regions, the intergenic spacer *trnH-psbA* delivered the highest number of variable sites, which is consistent with previous studies (Bina et al. 2016; Schroeder et al. 2017). The often-recommended official barcoding region *matK* is more variable in genera like *Lycium* (Fukuda et al. 2001) and indicated low variation in the genus *Betula*, which is in agreement with previous studies (Järvinen et al. 2004; Cräutlein et al. 2011).

Although the official barcoding region *rbcL* varies in *Populus* (Schroeder et al. 2012; Schroeder and Fladung 2015) and complements the *trnH-psbA* sequences (Kress and Erickson 2007), no variants were found in the present study. This observation agrees with the previous analysis that the gene content of the chloroplast genomes is preserved in comparison with the nuclear genome, resulting in low variation (Kato et al. 2000; Järvinen et al. 2004; Palme et al. 2004; Tang et al. 2004). The current study suggests using the multi-locus chloroplast combinations *trnH-psbA, matK-trnK,* and *trnC-petN* in agreement with previous results (Fazekas et al. 2008; Hollingsworth et al. 2009; Levin et al. 2009) since the official barcoding and the coding regions of the chloroplast are highly conserved with less polymorphic sites.

In agreement with Järvinen et al. (2004), the low-copy nuclear *ADH* gene region displayed the highest number of variables in this study, most probably since the amplified *ADH* sequences include few exons and few introns. The

---

### Table 3 (continued)

| Nuclear regions | Fragment length<sup>a</sup> | No. of var.<sup>b</sup> | No. of S.<sup>c</sup> | Position of S | *ale* | *max* | *med* | *len* | *nan* | % poly.<sup>d</sup> |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| SAMT            | 383                         | 68                     | 12                   | 62            | C     | A/G   | C     | C     | C     | 17.75               |
|                 | 72                           | G/T                   |                      | A/G           | A     | T     | A     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 94                           | G                     |                      | G             | G     | G     | G     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 118                          | A                     |                      | G             | G     | A     | G     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 126                          | A/T                   |                      | T             | T     | A/T   | T     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 132                          | G                     |                      | A             | A     | A/G   | A     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 191                          | C                     |                      | A             | A     | C     | A     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 259                          | A/C                   |                      | A             | A     | A     | C     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 265                          | A/G                   |                      | A             | A     | A/G   | A     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 333                          | T                     |                      | C             | C     | T     | C     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 338                          | A                     |                      | C             | C     | C     | A     |       |       |                     |
|                 | 363                          | T                     |                      | C             | C     | T     | T     |       |       |                     |

Substitutions detection was carried out only in the species which have more than one individual in the study

S. Substitutions

<sup>a</sup>Fragmente length obtained after sequence analysis and trimming

<sup>b</sup>Total number of nucleotide variations present in all selected individuals

<sup>c</sup>Detected number of substitutions (S)

<sup>d</sup>Percentage variable sites calculated as the total number of polymorphisms in relation to the sequence length
universal ITS primers are also able to amplify fungal DNA and additional steps are needed to confirm the resulting sequences (Jobes et al. 1997). To bypass this step, Betula-specific ITS primers were designed (Supplementary Table 1) using B. pendula as the reference genome (Salojärvi et al. 2017). The new primers allowed for an easy amplification of the nuclear ribosomal ITS regions (ITS1 and ITS2). According to previous analysis (Li et al. 2007), NIA is a useful marker and helps in the inference of Betula phylogeny. However, NIA demonstrates low genetic variation. The reason could be that the new NIA PCR primers, designed on the first exon of the gene in the current study, might reduce the degree of polymorphism.

The SABP2 and SAMT gene regions are involved in the biogenesis of MeSA and serve as a signaling molecule in the systemic acquired resistance (SAR) mechanism of plants (Shah et al. 2014). Considering their organized interaction with numerous molecules, SABP2 and SAMT genes displayed a higher percentage of polymorphism (17.75% and 12.50%, respectively) in comparison with all analyzed regions. The current analysis indicates that slow-evolving uniparental chloroplast and fast-evolving bi-parental nuclear regions are more efficient in discrimination of the low and high MeSA birch producers than those gene sets studied independently.

**Phylogenetic relationship of birches**

The phylogenetic analysis of the genus Betula showed that the MeSA producing ability is not monophyletic but distributed among species from different subgenera. The phylogeny based on chloroplast regions (Fig. 1) revealed the relationship between three North American species, B. alleghaniensis, B. lenta, the high MeSA-producers and B. papyrifera located in one clade. Although we used eight different chloroplast regions, the results based on the birch
species considered in Järvinen et al. (2004) and Bina et al. (2016) are consistent based on just the chloroplast either matK or trnH-psbA regions. However, B. grossa, B. costata, and B. utilis were not studied in Järvinen et al. (2004) and B. grossa not in Bina et al. (2016). In our study, B. utilis is closely grouped with B. grossa, while it formed a separate lineage in the phylogeny based on trnH-psbA region (Bina et al. 2016).

In addition to the chloroplast regions, five fast-evolving nuclear regions were also used to generate robust data for phylogenetic analysis. In the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 2), B. papyrifera and B. utilis formed a branch with the species from the subgenus Betula, which agrees with previous ITS phylogenies (Bina et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). Individuals in the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 2) were more revealing when compared with the chloroplast region (Fig. 1), which might be due to a high number of variations in the nuclear regions.

The combined analysis (Fig. 3) was influenced by phylogenetic signals from nuclear sequences and chloroplast regions which contributed enough information to improve resolution within the clades. It could also explain why the league data set (Fig. 3) resembles that of the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 2). It is possible that most variable sequences dominate the moderate data set in a concatenated analysis, thus resulting in the topology (Gontcharov et al. 2004).

Species from the subgenus Aspera (B. alleghaniensis, B. grossa and B. lenta) formed a separate clade, whereas species from the subgenus Betula were congregated in another clade (Figs. 1, 2 and 3). Section-wise distribution of species from the subgenus Betula remains complex in all the data sets (Figs. 1, 2 and 3), which supports previous analysis (Li et al. 2005; Bina et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016).

Due to the morphological similarities with B. lenta and B. alleghaniensis, the hexaploid B. grossa is placed in the Lentae section by Ashburner and McAllister (2013), agreeing high amount of MeSA according to the olfactory fragrance analysis conducted in this study. The species with “hi” represent “h” high (according to Ashburner and McAllister (2013)) and “i” intermediate (according to the olfactory analysis, respectively).
with our phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 1, 2 and 3). However, this result disagrees with previous results (Nagamitsu et al. 2006; Schenk et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2016), where it is clustered with species from the subgenus Betula. The reason for this incongruity might be the multiple loci used and may also indicate that one of the parents of B. grossa belongs to the subgenus Betula (Wang et al. 2016). The possible hybridization with a morphologically similar species of section Lentae lead to the formation of an allopolyploid, resulting in it being thus placed (Ashburner and McAllister 2013), while those with “hh” produce a high amount of MeSA according to the olfactory fragrance analysis conducted in this study. The species with “hi” represents, “h” high (according to Ashburner and McAllister (2013)) and “i” intermediate (according to the olfactory analysis, respectively).

Ashburner and McAllister (2013) placed B. globispica in a list of high MeSA producer Betula species that is incongruous with our olfactory analysis (Supplementary Table 1) where it produced no MeSA fragrance. Also, in the phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 1, 2, 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1), it clustered with species from the subgenus Betula, which is consistent with previous results (Bina et al. 2016). The reason for this might be that B. globispica hybridized with a species from the Betula section and thus appeared with the species from the Betula section (Wang et al. 2016). According to the olfactory analysis (Supplementary Table 1), species from the subgenus Acuminata are either intermediate or low MeSA producers and always clustered with species from the subgenus Betula. They did not form a distinct clade in our analysis (Figs. 1, 2 and 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1). The close relationship between the diploid B. maximowicziana and subgenus Betula clearly supports the previous AFLP and ITS studies (Schenk et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2016). The olfactory analysis partially agrees with the morphological distribution (Ashburner and McAllister 2013) since the fragrance analysis could not detect MeSA in the diploid B. luminifera and tetraploid B. alnoides, suggesting further analytical examination. Additionally, it is experimentally proven that no post-zygotic barriers exist between B. maximowicziana and B. pendula subspecies mandshurica.
Thus, fertile hybrids can be formed in crosses between two species (Johnsson 1945). Such evidence of hybridization could explain the intermediate or low production of MeSA in the subgenus *Acuminata*.

The decaploid *B. medwediewii* always clustered with different species of the subgenus *Betula* (Figs. 1, 2, 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1), in contrast to previous results where it clustered with *B. lenta* and *B. alleghaniensis* (Li et al. 2005; Bina et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). All three *B. medwediewii* accessions were from different botanical gardens but each displayed similar clustering, making misidentification unlikely. The unexpected placement of *B. medwediewii* in a clade with species of the subgenus *Betula* may indicate that one of the progenitors of this polyploid belongs to the subgenus *Betula*. This possibility is likely since in the league data set (Fig. 3), *B. medwediewii* occupied its own clade. In addition, it is an intermediate MeSA producer species (Supplementary Table 1) suggesting its authenticity as well as providing a valid reason for Ashburner and McAllister (2013) placing it in the subgenus *Aspera*.

The current analysis led to the identification of a misclassified *B. alleghaniensis* _10_ individual from the arboretum of the Thünen Institute in Grosshansdorf, Germany (Supplementary Fig. 2). Both phylogenies (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 2) were constructed using the league data set. However, considerable differences were found, suggesting that the misclassification of a single individual could affect the complete phylogenetic tree. Such conflicting results and differing opinions are common scenarios in the genus *Betula*, and could be due to the continuous process of hybridization and introgression (Furlow 1990; Järvinen et al. 2004).

In addition, grouping of the diploid *B. costata* (subgenus, *Betula*; section, *Costatae*) with species from the section *Lenta* (Figs. 1, 2 and 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1) is consistent with former studies (Li et al. 2005; Bina et al. 2016). However, *B. costata* individuals used in the present study passed the olfactory analysis (Supplementary Table 1) producing considerable MeSA fragrance, thus contradicting the earlier report (Ashburner and McAllister 2013). However,
the possibility of hybridization with one of the high MeSA-producing Betula species from East Asia and the problem of misclassification needs to be considered. Nevertheless, an analytical examination of more individuals will be required for stronger conclusions regarding the taxonomical and high MeSA-producing status of B. costata.

The use of SAMT and SABP2 was considered worthwhile for the phylogenetic analysis since these genes are involved in the biosynthesis of MeSA (Shah et al. 2014). As far as we know, this is the first study where SABP2 and SAMT are used in the phylogenetic analysis of Betula. The SAMT gene was previously investigated for the Solanaceae family (Taline et al. 2005) and provided advantages and disadvantages in the analysis. In the current analysis, although SAMT and SABP2 gene regions displayed higher variations (Table 3), they did not confirm a profound phylogenetic relationship between the birches.

An assessment of the sequencing data set generated is a vital operation in systematics for characterizing intrinsic features. The alignment of sequences generated from diverse genomic regions and species may produce ambiguous regions resulting in incongruent assumptions. In addition, if these regions are ignored, they may threaten the correct distribution of the species in the phylogeny (Lutzoni et al. 2000). Nevertheless, differences between the chloroplast and nuclear phylogenies have been reported in previous studies (Semerikov et al. 2003; Järvinen et al. 2004). This also occurred, to a certain extent, in the current analysis, suggesting that multigene analyses may not fully resolve all internal branches (Karol et al. 2001). Considering the disagreement between the data sets, a phylogenetic tree was constructed which ignored ambiguous regions in the alignment (Supplementary Fig. 1). In this case, the phylogeny displayed similar topologies to the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. 3) with better resolution through the branch length. It was concluded that alignment artifacts do not have any substantial effect on the phylogenetic analyses.

In the chloroplast (Fig. 1), nuclear (Fig. 2) and league data (Fig. 3) phylogenies, an exclusive clustering was observed between B. grossa, B. alleghaniensis and B. lenta, which produce high MeSA levels in the bark (Supplementary Table 1; Ashburner and McAllister 2013). The constant phylogenetic positioning of these species suggests their evolutionary relationships. It is possible that one of the species could be among the ancestors of selected Betula species. Although, the biogeographic reconstruction analysis by Bina et al. (2016) suggests that the genus Betula originated in East Asia. Bina et al. (2016) and De Jong (1993) proposed that the two subgenera of Betulenta (including B. grossa, B. alleghaniensis, and B. lenta) and Betulaster contain the oldest species, followed by the ancestral reconstruction that advocated the migration of the species from North America to Europe (Bina et al. 2016). Even the occurrence of disjunction events recognized within the genus Betula has been reported between eastern Asia and North America (B. costata and B. alleghaniensis) (Li et al. 2005). Following this disjunction, Wang et al. (2016) also hypothesized that the common ancestor of the Betula species might have been constantly scattered over the Northern Hemisphere. Bina et al. (2016) also support the occurrence of vicariance events in different regions, including the East Asian, North American and European lineages.

Considering parallel migration, hybridization and introgression events in the genus Betula and the network analysis (Fig. 4), we speculate that the diploid B. lenta, (subgenera; Betulenta) which has the ability to produce high MeSA, is one of the ancestors of the genus Betula. To construct the network analysis (Fig. 4), we utilized a substantial number of nuclear and chloroplast regions. Our network analysis (Fig. 4) results are consistent with previous studies where B. lenta also formed an ancestor node (Bina et al. 2016), along with the high MeSA producer B. uber (Ashburner and McAllister 2013).

We also speculate that in the process of evolution, the ancestrally high MeSA-producing trait was passed on to some younger Betula species, whereas some species lost their MeSA-producing ability, suggesting divergence in the evolutionary events among the species (Hughes 2012; Bina et al. 2016). Our analysis supports some of the previous studies; traits which are not maintained through natural selection may become less functional over time and follow a relaxed evolutionary selection (Reich et al. 2003). If some traits have no active function in the genome, they could disappear in future generations (Lahti et al. 2009).

Conclusions

The present study provides initial knowledge on the distribution of the high and low MeSA-producing trait in the Betula genera. The network analysis suggests that B. lenta is one of the ancestors and that the MeSA-producing trait has been lost several times in the evolution of younger Betula species. The chloroplast and nuclear phylogenies reveal homogenous topologies suggesting compatibility between organelle and nuclear results. This is noteworthy, considering that even a small segment of a nuclear DNA sequence produces high-resolution levels and provides more parsimony information to the chloroplast DNA. The data generated could be important for the Barcode of Life project and will be used to develop rapid molecular test methods to reduce the cost of sequencing for the breeding and selection of birches.
Comprehensive sampling together with genome-wide studies could resolve the questionable phylogenetic position of some Betula species. Additionally, an analytical examination can help to make precise decisions about the taxonomical positions of high and low MeSA-producing Betula species.

Future perspectives

The study successfully provides strong evidence about the evolutionary state of the ancestral species to establish a visible and reliable basis for further next-generation sequencing experiments. The SABP2 and SAMT genes serve as candidate genes for MeSA biosynthesis. The original idea to include these genes in the phylogenetic analysis was to correlate putative nucleotide substitutions with MeSA production. On the basis of the current study, different low and high MeSA-producing Betula species will be selected for bark and leaf RNA sequencing analyses.
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