Running Head: Stable transformation of *C. richardii*

Corresponding Author: Jane Langdale
Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3RB
Tel: +44 (0)1865 275099
Email: jane.langdale@plants.ox.ac.uk

Journal Research Area: Breakthrough Technologies
High-Efficiency Stable Transformation of the Model Fern Species *Ceratopteris richardii*
Via Microparticle Bombardment

Andrew R. G. Plackett¹, Liandong Huang¹,², Heather L. Sanders¹,³ and Jane A. Langdale¹

¹Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3RB, U.K.
² Current address: liandong.huang@gmail.com
³ Current address: Dept. Biology and Biochemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY

Summary:
A new, highly efficient method for transforming fern callus tissue, with rapid and simple selection for stable transgenic lines through antibiotic selection.
Financial Source: ERC Advanced Investigator Grant ‘EDIP’

Corresponding Author: Jane Langdale
Email address: jane.langdale@plants.ox.ac.uk
ABSTRACT

Ferns represent the most closely related extant lineage to seed plants. The aquatic fern *Ceratopteris richardii* has been subject to research for a considerable period of time, but analyses of the genetic programs underpinning developmental processes have been hampered by a large genome size, a lack of available mutants and an inability to create stable transgenic lines. In this paper we report a protocol for efficient stable genetic transformation of *C. richardii*, and of a closely-related species *C. thalictroides*, using microparticle bombardment. Indeterminate callus was generated and maintained from the sporophytes of both species using cytokinin treatment. In proof-of-principle experiments a 35S::GUS expression cassette was introduced into callus cells via tungsten microparticles, and stable transformants were selected via a linked hygromycin B resistance marker. The presence of the transgene in regenerated plants and in subsequent generations was validated using DNA blot analysis, RT-PCR and GUS staining. GUS staining patterns in most vegetative tissues corresponded with constitutive gene expression. The protocol described in this paper yields transformation efficiencies far greater than those previously published and represents a significant step towards the establishment of a tractable fern genetic model.
INTRODUCTION

Ferns represent an under-investigated group compared to many other taxa of land plants. Ferns and horsetails together comprise the monilophytes, which diversified from the seed plant (spermatophyte) lineage approximately 400 Mya (Pryer et al., 2001). As such, monilophytes represent the closest extant sister-group to seed plants. Comparisons between ferns and seed plants should thus provide important insights into the developmental mechanisms present in the ancestral tracheophyte from which both taxa derive, and also elucidate subsequent evolutionary trajectories.

The most extensively-studied fern species is *Ceratopteris richardii*, a homosporous fern increasingly viewed as a viable experimental model (Hickok et al., 1995; Chatterjee and Roux, 2000; Leroux et al., 2013). The *C. richardii* lifecycle comprises gametophyte and sporophyte stages that are capable of growing independently of one another. Dispersal is via haploid spores, which germinate to form thalloid gametophytes. Gametophytes develop into either chordate hermaphrodites, characterized by the presence of a lateral ‘meristem’ (Banks, 1999), or, in the presence of a hermaphrodite-secreted antheridiogen, as males (Banks, 1997). Sexual reproduction in this species requires the presence of water and occurs through fusion of retained egg cells and motile sperm. The resultant diploid embryo develops within the gametophyte archegonium (Johnson and Renzaglia, 2008). Subsequent growth of the sporophyte occurs indeterminately through divisions of a tetrahedral shoot apical cell (Hou and Hill, 2002), the products of which establish both frond primordia and a shoot-derived root system, each with their own associated apical cells (Hou and Hill, 2004). Frond and root development are both heteroblastic in nature, in that the morphology of newly-arising organs alters with the age of the sporophyte (Hou and Hill, 2002). Ultimately, haploid spores are generated on the lower lamina surface of reproductive fronds. The spore to spore lifecycle takes an average of 22 weeks.

The establishment of a fern genetic model has been hindered by a number of technical factors, not least large haploid genomes (Bennett and Leitch, 2001) that in the absence of a pressing incentive remain uneconomical to sequence. For example, *C. richardii* is estimated to have a haploid genome size of approximately 11.3 Gbp (Nakazato et al., 2006). The greatest impediment to detailed genetic analysis, however, is an inability to efficiently transform ferns. Transient transformation of fern gametophyte prothallus cells, typically for RNA interference (RNAi), has been previously demonstrated through either direct DNA...
uptake by germinating *C. richardii* spores (Stout et al., 2003) or direct microparticle bombardment in *Adiantum capillus-veneris* (Kawai-Toyooka et al., 2004), *C. richardii* (Rutherford et al., 2004) and *Pteris vittata* (Indriolo et al., 2010). Evidence of stable transmission to the subsequent sporophyte generation was reported, but where quantified (Rutherford et al., 2004) transmission through self-fertilization was very low (7%), and a significant proportion of transmitted events ultimately reverted to a non-silenced phenotype (32%). Transmission to subsequent generations was not determined. A recently published protocol utilizing *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of spores reported stable transformation in two fern species, *Pteris vittata* and *Ceratopteris thalictroides* (Muthukumar et al., 2013), but the very low transformation efficiencies achieved (0.053% and 0.03% respectively) preclude routine adoption of this approach.

In this paper we demonstrate the genetic transformation of both *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* using microparticle bombardment of callus tissue and hygromycin selection of regenerating transformed plants. Transgenes were stably inherited in subsequent generations. With transformation efficiencies of 72% (*C. richardii*) and 86% (*C. thalictroides*) this technical advance positions *C. richardii* as a tractable genetic model for the analysis of gene function in ferns.

**RESULTS**

**Induction of callus tissue from fern sporophytes with cytokinin**

Callus tissue has been generated from numerous angiosperm species and maintained in an undifferentiated state by treatment with the phytohormones auxin and cytokinin (CK) (Ikeuchi et al., 2013). A similar approach was attempted in both *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides*, first by treating gametophytes with either CK or auxin. Although gametophyte development was visibly altered, neither hormone treatment induced callus formation in either species (Supplemental Fig. S1). To determine the effect on sporophyte development, 11 day-old *C. richardii* sporophytes (Fig. 1A) were incubated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) media containing CK or auxin analogues. After 14 days, new fronds and roots had emerged on untreated sporophytes (Fig. 1B), whereas sporophytes treated with the auxin analogue 1-napthaleneacetic acid (NAA) produced new fronds, but new roots were replaced by disorganized, callus-like tissue (Fig. 1C). Treatment with a second auxin analogue, indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) did not dramatically perturb shoot or root development (Fig. 1D); however, the tip of the embryonic root remained green for longer than in control or other
hormone-treated sporophytes. In contrast to the root-specific effects of auxin, treatment with two separate cytokinins, benzylaminopurine (BAP) and kinetin (KT), prevented the production of both fronds and roots on growing sporophytes. Instead, undifferentiated callus tissue was visible at the shoot apex (Fig. 1E, 1F) and, occasionally, the root apex (Fig. 1E). CK treatment of *C. thalictroides* sporophytes also successfully induced callus at the shoot apex (Supplemental Fig. S2).

When grown on MS media without further hormone treatment, shoot-derived *C. richardii* callus completely differentiated into new shoots and roots within four weeks (Fig. 1G, 1H). Callus treated with auxin analogues also regenerated into new shoots over the same period (Fig. 1I-1L), with differentiation slightly delayed under NAA treatment (Fig. 1I, 1J). In contrast, continued incubation on BAP maintained callus tissue in an apparently undifferentiated state (Fig. 1M, 1N). Treatment with KT, although partially successful in preventing cell differentiation, was less effective (Fig. 1O, 1P). Combined treatment with BAP plus NAA resulted in reduced callus growth, whereas BAP and BAP plus IBA treatments were essentially indistinguishable, both yielding highly-friable callus (Supplemental Fig. S3). Fern callus can thus be maintained on BAP, or on a combination of BAP plus IBA. To determine callus longevity, both *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* calli were repeatedly subcultured on successive BAP plus IBA treatments at 14 day intervals. Indeterminate cell fate was successfully maintained in this manner for over a year.

**High-efficiency transformation of *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* using microparticle bombardment and hygromycin selection**

Callus transformation was carried out using microparticle bombardment with a hygromycin-selectable 35S::GUS construct (pCAMB1A1305.2: see Materials and Methods). To first determine the effectiveness of hygromycin as a selection agent, untransformed *C. richardii* callus was subjected to a range of antibiotic concentrations across different timeframes. A two week incubation period on 40ugml⁻¹ hygromycin B was sufficient to prevent regeneration of shoots from untransformed callus (Supplemental Figure S4) and was therefore used in all subsequent callus selection assays. Test bombardments with either the 35S::GUS construct or with uncoated control microparticles were performed on both *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* callus. Callus was incubated on MS media containing CK (5µm KT) during and following bombardment to prevent premature tissue differentiation. Callus was transferred to this media two days prior to bombardment and left on the same media after
bombardment for a three day recovery period without antibiotic selection. After that time, GUS staining analysis was performed on samples of bombarded *C. richardii* callus tissue. Figure 2A shows that callus bombarded with the *35S::GUS* construct exhibited numerous spots of GUS staining, whereas callus bombarded with uncoated microparticles showed none (Fig. 2B). Multiple transformation events had thus taken place.

After the three day recovery period, the remaining callus was transferred to antibiotic selection media and a week later GUS assays were repeated. Once more, spots of GUS expression were visible within the population of *35S::GUS*-bombarded calli (Fig. 2C) but not on control calli (Fig. 2D). The frequency of spots visible on callus under selection was visibly reduced compared to callus stained immediately after bombardment (compare Fig. 2A and 2C). This difference most likely reflects stable versus transient transformation events. Antibiotic selection was maintained for 14 days, after which time callus was transferred to non-selective MS media to regenerate. At this stage CK treatment was stopped. After a further seven days, small protruding regions of green tissue were visible on *35S::GUS*-bombarded callus (Fig. 2E) whereas control callus had turned dark brown and stopped growing (Fig. 2F). Regenerating tissue subsequently went on to differentiate discrete organs and continued GUS expression in these regenerating tissues was confirmed by GUS staining (Fig. 2G). Eight weeks after bombardment, regenerated sporophyte shoots had successfully established an indeterminate growth pattern (Fig. 2I), with most individual calli regenerating more than one shoot.

To assess transformation and regeneration frequencies, 18 bombardments were performed on *C. richardii* callus over a period of 10 weeks, each replicate comprising 45-60 calli. On average, 81.21% ± 2.45 of calli from each bombardment regenerated at least one shoot after selection, whereas corresponding control calli showed no regeneration (Table 1). Of these regenerating calli, 88.06% ± 1.60 exhibited GUS expression when stained, resulting in a final transformation efficiency of 71.58% ± 2.56. A parallel experiment using *C. thalictroides* yielded efficiencies of 96.76% ± 0.82, 88.58% ± 1.18 and 85.79% ± 1.62, respectively.

Once transplanted to soil, maturation of transgenic *C. richardii* sporophytes took 10-14 weeks (harvesting of first spores to harvesting of final spores), with a total minimum regeneration period of 18 weeks from bombardment to harvesting of earliest T₁ spores (compared to 22 weeks for non-transformed plants). In comparison, maturation
of *C. thalictroides* after tissue regeneration took 8-10 weeks, the total minimum regeneration period of 16 weeks being slightly longer than the lifecycle of untransformed plants (11 weeks).

**Chimeric transgene expression in T₀ transformants**

GUS staining of newly-regenerated T₀ *C. richardii* shoots revealed two types of expression pattern: expression in all shoot tissues (Fig. 3A-3C); or expression specifically in vasculature (Fig. 3D, 3E). Across three independent bombardments these occurred at mean frequencies of 32.60% ± 4.22 (all tissues) and 67.40% ± 4.22 (vasculature only) of GUS-expressing shoot clusters. There were no instances of these two expression patterns coexisting within the same shoot cluster. The same patterns of GUS expression were observed in regenerated T₀ *C. thalictroides* shoots (Supplemental Fig. S5) at mean frequencies of 46.95% ± 7.95 and 53.05 ± 7.95, respectively. Regenerated shoots were transplanted to soil and allowed to mature, with final T₀ population sizes of 28 (*C. richardii*) and 43 (*C. thalictroides*) individuals. GUS staining of sporogenous frond tissue found the same two patterns in the sporophytes of both species (Fig. 3F-3L; Supplemental Fig. S5), at frequencies of 28.57% and 55.81% (whole tissue staining, including staining of sporangia; e.g. Fig. 3G, 3H, 3K), and 42.86% and 18.60% (vasculature staining; e.g. Fig. 3L) of the *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* populations, respectively. The persistence of these two staining patterns suggests that expression patterns established in newly-regenerated shoots are maintained through subsequent development.

Not all of the T₀ shoots that regenerated after hygromycin selection displayed GUS staining. The extent of GUS expression in regenerating shoots also varied, from staining across entire shoot clusters originating from a single callus (e.g. Fig. 3A), staining of individual shoots within a shoot cluster (Fig. 3B), to staining of sectors within a single shoot or frond (Fig. 3C). Staining across entire shoot clusters was observed at mean frequencies of 18.93% ± 2.92 (whole tissue staining) and 45.54% ± 2.91 (vasculature only) of GUS-staining shoots in *C. richardii*, and 25.20% ± 6.37 and 34.78% ± 6.02 in *C. thalictroides*, respectively. 11.94% ± 1.60 and 11.42% ± 1.18 of the regenerated *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* populations displayed no GUS staining at all.

The occasional non-coincidence of GUS staining and hygromycin resistance was further observed in mature sporophytes: 28.57% (*C. richardii*) and 25.58% (*C. thalictroides*) of
mature individuals sampled within the regenerated T₀ populations showed no GUS staining. T-DNA expression was analysed in greater detail in six T₀ *C. richardii* transformants through RT-PCR (Fig. 3). Of these six, only two (plants 2 and 10) showed GUS staining (Fig. 3G, 3H) in conjunction with amplification of both 35S::GUS and *Hyg*R gene products (Fig. 3M, 3N). Two further individuals (plants 16 and 17) showed no evidence of transgene expression except hygromycin resistance during tissue regeneration, and the remaining two (plants 18 and 23) each produced conflicting results: plant 18 was positive for GUS staining but negative for both 35S::GUS and *Hyg*R amplification, whereas plant 23 was positive for GUS staining and *Hyg*R amplification but negative for GUS amplification. Different frond tissues were necessarily sampled for GUS and RT-PCR assays, which might explain these discrepancies (see Discussion).

**Transgene inheritance and stable expression in T₁ transformants**

To assess transgene inheritance, T₁ spores that were harvested from 25 *C. richardii* T₀ individuals were screened for hygromycin resistance (Fig. 4). The possibility that T₀ transformants are chimeric necessarily creates the hypothesis that T₁ progeny will comprise a mix of transformed and untransformed individuals, requiring an efficient method to identify transgenics. Empirical testing determined that 20µgml⁻¹ hygromycin is sufficient to kill untransformed, germinating *C. richardii* spores (Supplemental Fig. S4; Fig. 4A, 4B) and untransformed sporophytes (Supplemental Fig. S4). Under this selection regime, spores harvested from 18 T₀ individuals (72%) produced hygromycin-resistant gametophytes. Approximately 500-1000 spores from each line were screened, and in comparison with unselected controls the estimated frequency of resistant individuals ranged from 1% (T₁ Line 2; Fig. 4D, 4E) to up to 85% (e.g. T₁ lines 10 and 17, Fig. 4G, 4H, 4J, 4K). In *C. thalictroides*, under similar screening conditions, 94% of lines produced resistant individuals, with frequencies within each line over a similar range (Supplemental Fig. S6). GUS staining of hygromycin-resistant T₁ individuals revealed that gametophytes in 90% (*C. richardii*) and 68% (*C. thalictroides*) of transgenic lines also expressed GUS. Hygromycin selection of T₁ germinating spores is thus a highly efficient method for identifying lines that carry intact transgenes.

Differences in GUS expression were occasionally found between the gametophyte and sporophyte stages of some T₁ lines, in that constitutive expression was not seen in transgenic gametophytes but was seen in sporophytes. No variation in expression pattern was observed
between individual resistant gametophytes within a line. 70% of *C. richardii* T₁ lines displayed GUS expression in all gametophyte tissues, including lines 2 (Fig. 4F), 10 (Fig. 4I) and 17 (Fig. 4L), the latter displaying no GUS expression in the T₀ parent (Fig. 3J, 3M). Two lines (16 and 18), which had low frequencies of resistant gametophytes (Fig. 4M, 4N, 4P, 4Q), showed GUS expression only in basal thallus tissues and rhizoids (Fig. 4O, 4R), again inconsistent with the expression observed in the T₀ parents (Fig. 3I, 3K). A single T₁ line (23) displayed no GUS expression within the gametophyte despite a high frequency of hygromycin resistance (Fig. 4S-4U). The absence of GUS expression from the gametophyte persisted in four out of five T₂ lines descended from this line (Supplemental Fig. S7), whilst sporophytic GUS expression was observed in all five. This absence of GUS expression in the gametophyte suggests that transgene expression might be influenced by surrounding genomic sequence i.e. the transgene might be located near to an element that represses expression in the gametophyte. The occurrence of GUS expression in the gametophyte stage of one descendent T₂ line (Supplemental Fig. S7) might therefore have occurred through genomic recombination. In *C. thalictroides* gametophytes, all GUS staining was constitutive where present, but nine lines (32.26%) lacked GUS staining despite being hygromycin resistant (Supplemental Fig. S6).

In contrast to the variable staining patterns observed in T₁ gametophytes, GUS staining of *C. richardii* T₁ sporophytes revealed constitutive expression in all lines tested (Fig. 5A-5E; Supplemental Fig. S8), with the exception of line 23 (Fig. 5F), where GUS expression (and hygromycin resistance) was initially lower than in other lines. Notably, vasculature-specific GUS expression was not observed in the mature sporophyte tissues of any line, even when present in the T₀ parent (Fig. 3E; Supplemental Fig S8). RT-PCR analysis later in sporophyte development confirmed stable 35S::GUS (Fig. 5G; Supplemental Fig. S8) and HygR (Fig. 5H; Supplemental Fig. S8) expression in all individuals tested, including those in *C. richardii* line 23. Constitutive GUS expression in all sporophytes was subsequently confirmed by GUS staining of vegetative (Fig. 5I-5M; Supplemental Fig. S8) and reproductive fronds (Fig. 5N-5W; Supplemental Fig. S8).

**DNA blot analysis of transformed *C. richardii* lines**

To determine transgene copy number in transformed *C. richardii* T₀ plants, genomic DNA blots were hybridized to fragments of both the *Hyg*R and *GUS* genes (Figure 6A). Figure 6B and 6C show that the fragments hybridized to multiple copies of each transgene in all T₀
transformants tested. The fewest insertions were found in T₀ plant 2, with two \( \text{Hyg}^R \) and two \( \text{GUS} \) fragments hybridized. The remaining individuals all contained in excess of eight copies of each transgene. Hybridization patterns in individuals 10, 16, 17 and 18 were very similar, raising the possibility that these lines were derived from a single transformation event. Importantly, in each T₀ individual, both \( \text{Hyg}^R \) and \( \text{GUS} \) probes hybridized to genomic fragments greater than the size of the introduced plasmid (11.9 kb, Fig. 6A), with at least one instance per individual of a hybridized fragment being shared between the two probes (Supplemental Fig. S9), supporting linked insertion of the \( \text{Hyg}^R \) and \( \text{GUS} \) genes.

To assess the inheritance of transgene insertions, DNA blot analysis was performed on T₁ progeny from four of the T₀ transformants analyzed above. Similar numbers of hybridized fragments were identified in T₁ individuals (Fig. 6D, 6E) as in the T₀ parents (Fig. 6B, 6C). Of the two progeny tested from line 2, one (plant 2) demonstrated a hybridization pattern very similar to the T₀ parent for both \( \text{Hyg}^R \) (Fig. 6B, 6D) and GUS (Fig. 6C, 6E) probes, but the second (plant 1) had apparently lost the insertion carrying the linked transgenes (Supplemental Fig. S9). The T₁ individuals tested from other lines also demonstrated very similar hybridization patterns to their T₀ parents, including the presence of linked 35S::GUS and \( \text{Hyg}^R \) cassettes (Supplemental Fig. S9). Transgene insertions thus remained stably integrated within the \( C. \ richardii \) genome between the T₀ and T₁ generations, and linkage was maintained through meiosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Stable transformation of \( Cerasopteris \ richardii \) and its sister species \( C. \ thalictroides \) has been achieved using a combination of tissue culture, microparticle bombardment and antibiotic selection. Callus was initiated from the apical region of developing sporophytes of both species by application of the phytohormone cytokinin, and was maintained in an indeterminate state *in vitro* under the same treatment (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2, S3). A 35S::GUS cassette linked to a hygromycin B resistance (\( \text{Hyg}^R \)) selectable marker cassette was introduced into callus cells by microparticle bombardment, and the regeneration of transformant sporophytes was successfully selected through hygromycin selection in tissue culture (Fig. 2-3). GUS expression was detected in bombarded \( C. \ richardii \) calli before (transient expression), during, and after (stable expression) antibiotic selection. The expression of the binary cassette in regenerated \( C. \ richardii \) T₀ tissues was confirmed by RT-PCR and GUS staining (Fig. 3A). 35S::GUS was successfully transmitted through the
gametophyte and sporophyte stages of the T1 generation of both species (Fig. 4-5), suggesting stable integration into the Ceratopteris genomes. DNA blot analysis of individual C. richardii T0 sporophytes and T1 progeny confirmed inheritance of the two cassettes, with multiple insertion events present in each of the lines examined (Fig. 6). This approach achieved transformation efficiencies of 72% for C. richardii and 86% for C. thalictroides.

Although past attempts to transform C. richardii via Agrobacterium reportedly failed (Hickok et al., 1987), a protocol for successful Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of C. thalictroides has recently been published (Muthukumar et al., 2013), with transformation achieved through infection of gernminating spores. Interestingly, Muthukumar et al. (2013) report that their attempts to use hygromycin as a selectable marker for transformation were unsuccessful. This is potentially explained through our observation that hygromycin killed untransformed T1 gametophytes on germination (Supplemental Figure S4). Transformed T0 spores may not have sufficient time to express the introduced HygR gene at levels sufficient to confer resistance. In contrast, hygromycin selection was found to be a very efficient screening mechanism when identifying transformants regenerating from callus tissue. Regardless of the selection technique, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of both C. thalictroides and P. vittata spores occurred at very low frequencies (0.03% and 0.053% respectively; Muthukumar et al., 2013). Attempts in the same report to directly transform a population of P. vittata spores by microparticle bombardment similarly yielded a very low transformation efficiency of only 0.012%. The available data thus suggest that transformation of spores is an inherently less efficient method than transformation of callus tissue.

Using the protocol described in this paper, the time from callus transformation to recovery of T1 spores was 18 and 16 weeks for C. richardii and C. thalictroides respectively, in contrast to an 11-13 week period for C. thalictroides via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Muthukumar et al., 2013). Despite the longer time frame, transformation of the sporophyte generation confers the advantage of direct production of T1 spores without an intervening recombination/outcrossing event (sexual reproduction of T0 gametophytes). Outcrossing of T0 transformant gametophytes could conceivably reduce initial transgene copy number, however, cross-fertilization of two independent transformants is also possible. Notably, Muthukumar et al. (2013) report occurrences of multiple transgene insertions, similar to those observed using microparticle bombardment. Overall, the initial high efficiency of
transformation offered by microparticle bombardment and the concomitant ability to screen effectively using hygromycin offset the slightly longer T₀ generation time required, and provide significant benefits over the *Agrobacterium*-mediated protocol.

**Evidence for a conserved role of cytokinin in the shoot meristem of ferns and angiosperms**

Treatment with CK was sufficient to induce the formation of callus tissue in place of new fronds at the shoot apex of *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* sporophytes, and to maintain the callus in an undifferentiated state (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2). Application of auxin failed to induce shoot callus but did perturb cellular activity specifically at the root apex. It was recently reported that auxin treatment of the lycophyte *Selaginella kraussiana* similarly disturbs root organization (Sanders and Langdale, 2013). Organogenesis in the *C. richardii* shoot arises from divisions of a single tetrahedral apical cell (Hou and Hill, 2002) instead of a multicellular meristem as found in angiosperms (Sussex, 1989). The observed formation of callus suggests that CK treatment can block the differentiation of cells derived from the apical initial cell. In Arabidopsis, CK acts downstream of *knotted1*-like homeobox (KNOX) genes to maintain undifferentiated cell fate in the shoot apex, in part through antagonism of the gibberellin signaling pathway (Jasinski et al., 2005; Bartrina et al. 2011). Given the results observed here it is possible that CK acts in both ferns and angiosperms to promote indeterminate cell fate at the shoot apex. Interestingly, CK treatment did not induce callus formation from *C. richardii* or *C. thalictroides* gametophytes, although morphology at the notch meristem was slightly affected (Supplemental Fig. S1). This could indicate that the mechanisms regulating initial cell specification differ between the notch meristem and shoot apex, and could reflect an important distinction between 2D (gametophyte) and 3D (sporophyte) growth and patterning.

**Developmental trajectories explain chimeric versus stable transgene expression in T₀ and T₁ generations**

The transmission of transgenes from regenerated T₀ plants to stable T₁ lines was assessed in *C. richardii* by comparing the transgenic status of T₀ parents (as assessed by GUS staining) (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S5) to their T₁ progeny (as assessed by gametophyte hygromycin resistance) (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S6). 60% of lines demonstrated consistent transgenic status between the T₀ and T₁ generations, i.e. both parent and offspring were transgenic (52%) or neither parent nor offspring were transgenic (8%). The remaining 40% of lines...
showed inconsistent inheritance patterns, with transgenic T1 individuals identified from apparently non-transgenic T0 parents (20%) or non-transgenic T1 progeny descending from apparently transgenic T0 parents (20%). In C. thalictroides, corresponding frequencies of 67% consistent (64% plus 3%) and 33% inconsistent (3% and 30%) lines were recorded. A distinction in GUS expression patterns between the T0 and T1 generations was also observed, with frequent examples of partial or tissue-specific (vasculature) GUS staining in T0 regenerated sporophytes (young and mature) but constitutive GUS expression in subsequent T1 progeny. A low incidence (11-12%) of regenerated shoot clusters that lacked any GUS staining could reflect stable transformation with only the HygR cassette or subsequent loss of the 35S::GUS cassette after hygromycin selection. Non-congruence of GUS staining patterns between the T0 and T1 generations is unlikely to reflect technical issues of GUS substrate penetration given the examples of constitutive staining observed. Instead these results are most simply explained through the regeneration of chimeric T0 transformants as previously seen in transformed gametophytes (Rutherford et al., 2004). This interpretation would also explain the observed discrepancies between GUS analysis, antibiotic selection and transgene expression data in T0 plants (Fig. 3), as different fronds, potentially not all of them transgenic, were sampled for each analysis.

The emergence of chimeric shoots does not correspond with our current understanding of fern shoot development from single apical initial cells (Hou and Hill, 2002; Sanders et al., 2011). However, in accordance with the observations made above regarding callus induction, we hypothesize that induction of callus through CK treatment prevents the mitotic derivatives of the apical initial from differentiating into frond and root initials, and thus artificially expands the population of undifferentiated shoot apical initial cells. When this constraint is removed after bombardment, normal developmental patterning and gradients are presumably re-imposed onto an abnormally large population of undifferentiated cells. This could theoretically result in the incorporation of multiple apical initials into single regenerating shoots, allowing the formation of different tissue types from distinct subpopulations of initials. This scenario could theoretically explain our observations of vasculature-specific GUS staining patterns. However, given that regenerated plants appear morphologically similar to untreated controls, such a hypothesis would also imply that fern shoots and organs can successfully organize both from a founding population of multiple cells and from single initials.
In contrast to the scenario proposed above for T_0 transformants, T_1 individuals must necessarily arise from a single progenitor cell (spore and zygote), and thus all cells within the individual have a common genetic ancestry. This is evidenced by the stable and constitutive GUS expression observed in the T_1 generation (Fig. 4, 5; Supplemental Fig. S6, S8). In the case of T_1 gametophytes, variations in the frequency of transgenics within each line (Fig. 4) most likely reflect the frequency of transformed sporangia on chimeric fronds: each sporangium arises from a single separate initial cell (Hill, 2001). This conclusion is supported by observations of T_0 pinnae containing both GUS-stained and unstained sporangia (Fig. 3H, Supplemental Fig. S5). Importantly, the recovery of constitutively-expressing T_1 progeny from apparently chimeric T_0 transformants demonstrates that chimeric expression in the T_0 generation does not represent a barrier to establishing stable and pure-breeding transgenic lines, and argues that screening for stable transformants should occur in the T_1 generation instead of in regenerated T_0 shoots.

**Transgene copy number**

Microparticle bombardment resulted in the incorporation of multiple T-DNA fragments into the genomes of individual *C. richardii* T_0 transformants, including fragmented copies of individual expression cassettes. The complex insertion of multiple transgene copies is a known factor in biolistic-based transformation techniques (Hansen and Wright, 1999), and can in part be mitigated through bombardment with linearised DNA (Lowe et al., 2009). In all of the T_0 plants tested, the presence of linked *HygR* and 35S::GUS cassettes was revealed by shared hybridization to large genomic DNA fragments. Importantly, very little rearrangement of hybridization fragments was observed between the T_0 and T_1 generations, suggesting that transgene insertions remain essentially stable after initial integration. Within the relatively small sample of nine transgenic lines selected for DNA blot analysis in this study, one (line 2) was found to carry an entire transgene containing both the *HygR* and 35S::GUS cassettes, plus a single unlinked copy of both the *HygR* and 35S::GUS cassettes. This observation suggests that the isolation of single insertion lines is feasible, especially if coupled with outcrossing to untransformed individuals. Although microparticle bombardment typically results in a higher T-DNA copy number in transgenics than *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, as demonstrated by side-by-side transformations into barley (*Hordeum vulgare*; Travella et al., 2005), recent *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *C. thalictroides* resulted in similar copy numbers to those reported here (Muthukumar et al., 2013).
**C. richardii as a fern genetic model**

The protocol described in this paper was able to successfully generate stable transformants in *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* at high efficiencies, and may be more generally applicable to other fern species. As stated in the introduction, *C. richardii* has previously been proposed as a candidate model fern: examples from a number of important classes of transcription factor have already been identified (Hasebe et al., 1998; Aso et al., 1999; Sano et al., 2005; Himi et al., 2001), and phylogenetic and cross-species complementation analyses have been published, for example with *CrLFY* (Maizel et al., 2005). With its smaller size, more rapid lifecycle and smaller genome (3.7 Gbp; Bennett and Leitch, 2001), *C. thalictroides* must now also be seriously considered as a candidate model. Although, the advantage of a smaller genome in this species is offset by polyploidy in relation to *C. richardii* (McGrath et al., 1994), the power of *C. richardii* as a genetic tool is most likely to be further enhanced by the availability of *C. thalictroides* as a closely-related, comparable, genetically-tractable species. The advent of a high-efficiency stable transformation system in *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* removes one of the final technical barriers for the adoption of ferns as genetic models.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material and growth conditions**

All plant material was derived from *C. richardii* strain Hnn (Hickok et al., 1995) and *C. thalictroides* strain C-21 (Carolina Biological Supplies, Burlington, U.S.A.). Plants were grown in Sanyo MLR-350H incubators (Panasonic, Osaka, Japan), at 28°C, 90% humidity, 16hrs light/8 hrs dark, fluence 150 µmol.m⁻².s⁻¹. Gametophytes were grown and fertilized on 1% agar media (pH6.0) containing C-fern nutrient mix (Hickok and Warne, 1998). Sporophytes were subsequently transplanted to Sinclair potting growing medium (William Sinclair Horticulture Ltd., Lincoln, U.K.), typically when the third frond had expanded. Callus tissue was cultured on 0.7% agar media (pH5.8) containing 1x Murashige and Skoog nutrients (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, NL) and 2% sucrose, supplemented with hormone (5µM) and/or hygromycin B (40µgml⁻¹) treatments (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, U.S.A.) as specified in the text. Attempts to grow callus on C-fern media were not successful (Supplemental Fig. S3). All hormone stocks were prepared to 1000x working concentration in 1N NaOH. 1000x hygromycin B was prepared in dH₂O.
Spores were sterilized by incubating for 10 minutes at room temperature in 2% sodium hypochlorite solution, 0.1% Tween, which was subsequently removed by six sequential rinses in sterile dH₂O. Sterile spores were imbibed in dH₂O and incubated for 48 hrs at room temperature in darkness before sowing. Gametophytes were fertilized between 9 and 11 days after germination by the application of sterile dH₂O. Fertilization of transgenic T₁ gametophytes was not successful if grown under hygromycin selection. T₁ sporophytes were recovered through fertilization within T₁ gametophyte populations grown without hygromycin selection, and transgenic individuals subsequently identified though hygromycin selection on C-fern media. 20µg/ml hygromycin is sufficient to kill untransformed young sporophytes within seven days (Supplemental Fig. S4). Transgenic sporophytes were removed from selection after 14 days and transplanted to soil.

**Ceratopteris microparticle bombardment**

All callus utilized in bombardments was derived from sporophyte shoot tissues under BAP treatment: root-derived callus excised from NAA-treated *C. richardii* sporophytes differentiated solely into roots within 14 days irrespective of hormone treatment (Supplemental Fig. S3). Bombardments utilized the 35S::GUS plasmid pCAMBIA1305.2 (Cambia, Canberra, Australia). Bombardment was performed using a PDS-1000/He biolistic delivery system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, U.S.A.). Tungsten M-20 microcarriers (diameter ≈1.3um; Bio-Rad, Hercules, U.S.A.) were prepared for bombardment according to Sanford et al. (1993). Bombardments were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transgenic plants were regenerated from bombardment of two-week old callus tissue at 900 psi under vacuum conditions of 28 psi, with callus tissue placed at a distance of 6cm from the firing disc. Bombarded callus tissue was allowed to recover for three days before transfer to hygromycin selection. All mean efficiency values are expressed as a percentage of the bombarded population ± S.E.

**Analysis of transgenic lines**

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from sporophyte frond tissues using a CTAB-based protocol modified from Porebski et al. (1997). 2% CTAB extraction buffer additionally contained 2% PVP-40 (w/v), 0.3% β-Mercaptoethanol (v/v) and 50µg/ml RNase A (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, U.S.A.), extracting gDNA from ≤ 1g of tissue in 10ml buffer. Chloroform:IAA extraction was performed three times, and NaCl/EtOH precipitation twice. gDNA was resuspended in 1x TAE buffer (pH 8.0) and used for PCR.
RNA was extracted from \( \leq 100 \) mg sporophyte frond tissues using the RNeasy RNA extraction kit (QIAgen, Maryland, U.S.A.). cDNA was synthesized from 250 ng RNA template using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, U.S.A.). Genotyping PCR and RT-PCR were performed using the following primer pairs: HygF2 (CTTCTACACAGCCATCGGTC) and HygR (CCGATGGTTTCTACAAAGATCG); GUSF (CTTGCCATCCTTGTCCTCC) and GUSR4 (CGAAGTTCGGCTTGTTACG).

\textit{C. richardii} gDNA (10 ug per sample) was prepared for DNA blotting by digestion with \textit{Hind}III and \textit{Xba}I (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, U.S.A.) and separated by gel electrophoresis (25V, 16 hrs). Blots were prepared and hybridized to \(^{32}\)P-dCTP-labelled DNA probes as described by Langdale et al. (1988). Probes against the \textit{Hyg}^R and \textit{35S::GUS} cassettes were synthesized from 810bp and 761bp fragments (Fig. 6A), using the Redprime II DNA labeling kit (G.E. Healthcare, Pittsburgh, U.S.A.). Primers used to synthesize probe templates are as described above for PCR.

Histochemical GUS staining was performed on gametophyte and sporophyte tissues using 0.5 mgml\(^{-1}\) X-glC\(\text{A}\) (Melford, Poole, U.K.) at 37\(^{\circ}\)C for 16 hours, following 20 minutes pretreatment in 90\% acetone at 4\(^{\circ}\)C. GUS-stained tissue was cleared by incubation in 70\% EtOH.
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### TABLES

| Species         | C. richardii | C. thalictroides |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| **Mean Regeneration Efficiency** (% callus) | 81.21±2.45 | 96.76±0.82     |
| **Mean Final Transformation Efficiency** (% callus) | 71.58±2.56 | 85.79±1.62     |

**Table 1.** Estimated transformation efficiency after microparticle bombardment of *C. richardii* and *C. thalictroides* callus. Values shown are the means of 18 independent bombardments of each species ±S.E. All values are expressed as percentages of the population of callus bombarded. Regeneration efficiency refers to the number of separate calli bombarded that regenerated at least one shoot following hygromycin selection. Final transformation efficiency refers to the number of separate calli regenerating at least one shoot that also displayed GUS staining.
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Figure S1. CK and auxin treatments do not induce callus formation in gametophytes of *C. richardii* or *C. thalictroides*.

Supplemental Figure S2. Cytokinin treatment induces shoot apical callus formation in *C. thalictroides* sporophytes.

Supplemental Figure S3. Maintenance of undifferentiated *C. richardii* callus on cytokinin-MS media.

Supplemental Figure S4. Hygromycin sensitivity of *C. richardii* callus, gametophytes and sporophytes.

Supplemental Figure S5. Chimeric GUS expression in *C. thalictroides* T₀ transformants.

Supplemental Figure S6. Stable GUS expression in *C. thalictroides* T₁ transgenic lines.

Supplemental Figure S7. Absence of GUS expression during gametophyte development of *C. richardii* transgenic line 23 persists in the T₂ generation.

Supplemental Figure S8. Transgene expression patterns change from chimeric to stable between the T₀ and T₁ generations of *C. richardii* transgenic lines 24, 25 and 28.

Supplemental Figure S9. *Hyg*<sup>R</sup> and *35S::GUS* remain linked between the T₀ and T₁ generations of *C. richardii* transgenic lines.
Figure 1. Induction and maintenance of *C. richardii* callus on cytokinin.  
A-F 11 day old sporophytes (A) were grown for 14 days on media containing dH₂O mock treatment (B), 5μM NAA (C), 5μM IBA (D), 5μM BAP (E), or 5μM KT (F). Callus was induced at the shoot apex by BAP and KT treatments (E, F) and at the root apex by NAA treatment (C) (black arrowheads).  
G, H BAP-induced shoot callus entirely differentiates into shoots after 4 weeks without hormone treatment.  
I-L Auxin treatment of callus tissue. Callus tissue differentiates into shoots when incubated with either 5μM NAA (I, J) or 5μM IBA (K, L).  
M-P CK treatment of callus tissue. Incubation with 5μM BAP prevents tissue differentiation (M, N), while incubation with 5μM KT delays differentiation (O, P).  
Scale bars = 2 mm.
Figure 2. Transformation, selection and regeneration of *C. richardii* callus. 
A-H GUS analysis of regenerating callus tissue bombarded with 35S::GUS (A, C, E, G) or uncoated microparticles (B, D, F, H) before (A, B), during (C, D) and after (E-H) antibiotic selection. Scale bars = 1 mm.
I, J Regenerating *C. richardii* to shoots 8 weeks after bombardment with 35S::GUS (I) or uncoated microparticles (J). Scale bars = 20 mm.
Figure 3. Chimeric transgene expression in *C. richardii* T₀ transformants.

A-E Typical GUS staining patterns of T₀ regenerated shoots eight weeks after bombardment, staining either whole tissues (A-C) or restricted to the vasculature (D, E). Scale bars = 2 mm.

F-L GUS-stained sporogenous pinnae from untransformed (F) and mature regenerated T₀ sporophytes (G-L). White arrowheads indicate GUS-stained sporangia containing T₁ spores. Scale bars = 1 mm.

M, N RT-PCR analysis of 35S::GUS (M) and Hyg² (N) expression in T₀ transformants depicted in (G-L). RNA was extracted from sporogenous pinnae.
Figure 4. Hygromycin resistance and GUS expression are maintained in *C. richardii* T1 gametophytes. Antibiotic resistance screening and GUS analysis of untransformed gametophytes (A-C) and T1 progeny of T1 transformants (D-U). Growth was compared between control media (A, D, G, J, M, P, S) and media containing 20 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin (B, E, H, K, N, Q, T), sufficient to kill WT gametophytes at spore germination (B; Supplemental Fig. S4). GUS expression was compared between untransformed gametophytes (C) and T1 gametophytes resistant to hygromycin (F, I, L, O, R, U). Scale bars = 200 μm.
**Figure 5.** Constitutive transgene expression in *C. richardii* T<sub>1</sub> sporophytes.

A-F  GUS analysis of whole sporophytes 28d after gametophyte fertilization, comparing untransformed (A) against individual transgenics from separate T<sub>1</sub> lines (B-F). Scale bars = 2 mm.

G, H  RT-PCR analysis of 35S::GUS (G) and Hyg<sup>R</sup> (H) expression in individual sporophytes from T<sub>1</sub> lines depicted in (B-F). RNA was extracted from vegetative frond tissue.

I-W  GUS expression in vegetative (I-M) and reproductive fronds (N-W) of untransformed (I, N, S) and individual sporophytes from T<sub>1</sub> lines (J-M, O-R, S-W). Black arrowheads indicate GUS-stained sporangia containing T<sub>2</sub> spores. Partial staining of regions within reproductive fronds probably reflect limitations in GUS substrate access, or potentially regions of frond senescence prior to GUS staining. Scale bars = 20 mm (I-R) and 5 mm (S-W).
Figure 6. Transgene copy number in C. richardii transgenic lines.
A Map of pCambia1305.2 (see Materials and Methods). Fragments used for hybridization to HygR and 35S::GUS are indicated and relevant restriction enzyme sites are shown.
B, C Southern blots of T0 transformants, hybridized with HygR(B) and 35S::GUS (C) fragments.
D, E Composite Southern blots of individual T0 transformants, hybridized with HygR (D) and 35S::GUS (E) fragments. All lanes are scaled against the 1kb ladder shown.