Effect of Growth Regulators and Methods of Application on Vegetative Growth and Spike Yield of Tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) cv. ‘Suvasini’
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An investigation was carried out to find out the effect of different plant growth regulators by foliar spray and dipping treatment on growth and spike yield of tuberose cv. Suvasini. Experiment was conducted in a two factorial randomized block design with four growth regulators each at two concentrations and two methods of application. Application of GA₃ at the rate of 250 ppm recorded significantly early sprouting and lowest number of days required to reach to 50% sprouting of bulbs. Among different methods of application foliar spray recorded significantly maximum vegetative growth, early flowering, improved quality and higher yield in comparison to dipping method. Application of GA₃ at 250 ppm concentration through foliar spray recorded significantly highest number of leaves (137.62), leaf area index (5.10), lowest number of days to reach to 50% spike emergence (100.35), longest spike length (103.77 cm), spike weight (93.25 g), number of florets per spike (64.34) and yield of spikes per hectare (3.42 lakhs/ha). Thus, foliar spraying of GA₃ at 250 ppm concentration proved to be ideal to realize higher spike yield in tuberose.
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Introduction

Flowers are an integral part of human life due to their diversity in beauty, form, texture, colour and fragrance. Tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) is a commercial flowering bulbous plant popularly known as Rajnigandha (Bengali), Gu-e-chari (Hindi), and Nela sempangi in Telugu. Tuberose is considered to be native of Mexico and belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae. It is commercially propagated by bulb. Tuberose is commonly grown for garden decoration in pots, beds, borders and even for cut flower and loose flower production. Flowers are considered as an excellent source of essential oil. Flower is very popular among the masses because of its sweet and pleasant fragrance.
apart from its better keeping quality. Quality of tuberose flower is considered to be affected by various pre and post-harvest factors such as temperature, relative humidity, frequency of irrigation, nutrition and time of picking the flowers. Overwhelming response of growth regulators in regulating the growth and yield of flowers in floriculture is well recognized. Plant growth substances play a pivotal role in the overall performance of plant growth, flower yield and bulb production (Biswas et al., 1983). The present investigation was undertaken to elucidate the effect of different plant growth regulators at different concentrations through different methods of their application to find out their influence on vegetative growth and spike yield in tuberose cv. Suvasini.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out at College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh during the period from 2012 - 2014. The experiment was conducted with two factors in a randomized block design. Factor-I was consisting of four growth regulators each at two different concentrations viz., NAA 150 ppm (G1), NAA 250 ppm (G2), GA3 150 ppm (G3), GA3 250 ppm (G4), TIBA 50 ppm (G5), TIBA 100 ppm (G6), PBZ (Paclobutrazol) 50 ppm (G7) and PBZ 100 ppm (G8); while Factor-II was consisting of two methods of application of growth regulators viz., Dipping method (M1) and Foliar spray method (M2) of application, thus altogether 16 treatment combinations were replicated thrice. Solutions of GA3 150 ppm and 250 ppm, NAA 150 ppm and 250 ppm and TIBA 50 ppm and 100 ppm were prepared by dissolving calculated quantity of chemicals in small quantity of ethyl alcohol and volume was made up to one liter. Paclobutrazol 50 ppm and 100 ppm solutions were prepared by dissolving calculated quantity of chemical in distilled water and volume was made up to one liter. Teapol (0.2 ml/L) was used as surfactant. The treatments were imposed as dipping of bulbs for 12 hours separately in the respective treatment solutions before planting. Bulbs dipped in growth regulator solutions were air dried and used for planting at a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm with a depth of 4 cm. Bulbs sown without dipping in the growth regulator solutions were applied through foliar sprays at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting. Data were collected on different vegetative growth and yield parameters viz., number of days taken for sprouting of bulbs, time taken for spraying of 50% bulbs, number of leaves produced, leaf area index and total chlorophyll content in SPAD units at crop maturity stage.

Results and Discussion

Data presented in Table 1 indicated significant differences for sprouting of bulbs. Dipping of bulbs in GA3 at 150 ppm recorded significantly lowest number of days taken for sprouting of bulbs (5.33 days) and 50% spraying of bulbs (8.85 days) followed by NAA at 150 ppm (10.70 days and 14.05 days respectively). Presence of free GA3 helps in
breaking down the reserve food material by hydrolytic enzymes, thereby recorded early sprouting with enough moisture (Kumar and Singh, 2005). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA to the bulbs of tuberose through dipping method reduced the level of inhibitors thereby leading to early sprouting which also helped in breaking the dormancy of bulbs and thus promoted cell division and cell elongation in the apical meristematic tissue. Similar kind of observation was reported earlier in gladiolus corm sprouting by Tripathi et al., (2009) and in tuberose by Kumar et al., (2011) and Wagh et al., (2012).

The data presented in Table 1 has revealed significant differences in the number of leaves produced per plant and the leaf area index at maturity. Among the growth regulators, application of GA<sub>3</sub> at 250 ppm recorded significantly highest number of leaves produced per plant (128.81) and leaf area index (4.87) followed by GA<sub>3</sub> at 150 ppm. Between the methods of application, foliar spraying of growth regulators recorded significantly highest number of leaves produced per plant (96.44) and leaf area index (3.22) in comparison to dipping method. Interaction effect between growth regulators and methods of application recorded significant differences in the number of leaves produced per plant and leaf area index. Among the combination treatments, foliar spraying of GA<sub>3</sub> at 250 ppm recorded significantly highest number of leaves produced per plant (137.62) and leaf area index (5.10) followed by dipping with GA<sub>3</sub> at 250 ppm (120.00 and 4.65 respectively). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> through foliar application induced cell division and cell elongation in the plant tissue thus recorded significantly highest number of leaves produced per plant and highest leaf area index. Gibberellins play an instrumental role in the abolition of apical dominance and thus aid in cell elongation thereby improved the vegetative growth in tuberose. Similar kind of observation has been reported earlier by Kumar et al., (2011) and Wagh et al., (2012) in tuberose.

Significant changes have been noticed in the total chlorophyll content of leaves at maturity by application of different growth regulators through different methods of application (Table 2). Among the growth regulators, application of TIBA at 100 ppm concentration recorded significantly lowest total chlorophyll content (44.45 SPAD) followed by TIBA at 50 ppm concentration in the leaf tissue, whereas, significantly highest total chlorophyll content was observed by application of PBZ at 100 ppm concentration (70.95 SPAD) followed by application of PBZ at 50 ppm concentration. Between methods of application, foliar spraying of growth regulators recorded significantly highest total chlorophyll content in the leaf tissue (58.0 SPAD) compared to dipping method. Interaction effect between the growth regulators and methods of application was found significant. Foliar application of PBZ at 100 ppm concentration recorded significantly highest total chlorophyll content (73.71 SPAD) followed by application of PBZ at 100 ppm concentration through dipping method.

Dark green colour appearance of leaves has been correlated with increased total chlorophyll content (Sankhla et al., 1985). Kulkarni et al., (1995) and Zaky et al., (1999) also supported the statement made by Sankhla et al., (1985). Foliar spraying of plant growth retardants (antigibberillin/dwarfing agents) enhanced the chlorophyll content of leaves which helped to increase the functional life of source for a longer period leading to improved photosynthetic efficiency thereby enhanced the accumulation of photo assimilates in the plant (Kumar et al., 2006).
Table 1 Effect of growth regulators and methods of application on vegetative growth parameters of tuberose cv. Suvasini

| Growth regulator (G) | Method of application (M) | Days to sprouting of bulbs | Days to 50% sprouting of bulbs | Number of leaves at maturity | Leaf area index at maturity |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Dipping (M1) | Foliar spray (M2) | Mean | Dipping (M1) | Foliar spray (M2) | Mean | Dipping (M1) | Foliar spray (M2) | Mean | Dipping (M1) | Foliar spray (M2) | Mean |
| NAA 150             | 10.70        | -                  | -    | 14.05        | -                  | -    | 100.33       | 103.45       | 101.94       | 3.21         | 3.55         | 3.38         |
| NAA 250             | 14.80        | -                  | -    | 20.70        | -                  | -    | 93.71        | 96.48        | 95.10        | 3.19         | 3.32         | 3.25         |
| GA<sub>3</sub> 150   | 5.33         | -                  | -    | 8.85         | -                  | -    | 111.42       | 114.71       | 113.06       | 3.50         | 3.64         | 3.57         |
| GA<sub>3</sub> 250   | 12.45        | -                  | -    | 18.35        | -                  | -    | 120.00       | 137.62       | 128.81       | 4.65         | 5.10         | 4.87         |
| TIBA 50             | 15.00        | -                  | -    | 23.95        | -                  | -    | 92.14        | 96.45        | 94.30        | 2.51         | 2.90         | 2.70         |
| TIBA 100            | 16.75        | -                  | -    | 26.60        | -                  | -    | 73.70        | 75.33        | 72.72        | 2.02         | 2.95         | 2.48         |
| PBZ 50              | 16.50        | -                  | -    | 27.85        | -                  | -    | 71.25        | 75.59        | 73.42        | 2.33         | 2.42         | 2.37         |
| PBZ 100             | 18.15        | -                  | -    | 28.75        | -                  | -    | 67.70        | 69.85        | 70.70        | 1.86         | 1.89         | 1.87         |
| Mean                | 13.71        | -                  | -    | 21.14        | -                  | -    | 90.90        | 96.44        | 2.90         | 3.22         |               |               |

| Factor | SE<sub>D</sub> | CD at 5% | SE<sub>D</sub> | CD at 5% | SE<sub>D</sub> | CD at 5% | SE<sub>D</sub> | CD at 5% |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| G      | 0.35           | 0.74     | 0.68           | 1.43     | 1.18           | 2.50     | 0.013          | 0.027    |
| M      | 0.35           | 0.74     | 0.68           | 1.43     | 0.34           | 0.72     | 0.013          | 0.027    |
| G x M  | -              | -        | -              | -        | 1.67           | 3.53     | 0.031          | 0.082    |
Table 2 Effect of growth regulators and methods of application on physiological and floral parameters of tuberose cv. Suvasini

| Growth regulator (G) | Method of application (M) | Total chlorophyll content at maturity | Days to 50% floret opening | Days to 50% spike emergence |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean |
| NAA 150              | 52.78       | 55.82               | **54.30** | 142.96 | 138.25 | **140.61** | 175.85 | 169.25 | **172.55** |
| NAA 250              | 53.45       | 57.36               | **55.41** | 108.89 | 101.61 | **105.25** | 178.46 | 160.46 | **169.46** |
| GA₃ 150              | 55.05       | 57.10               | **56.08** | 103.55 | 97.95  | **100.75** | 115.95 | 108.95 | **112.45** |
| GA₃ 250              | 56.44       | 59.55               | **58.00** | 102.23 | 96.73  | **99.48**  | 103.30 | 100.30 | **101.80** |
| TIBA 50              | 45.39       | 48.41               | **46.90** | 154.00 | 150.00 | **152.00** | 184.46 | 180.85 | **182.66** |
| TIBA 100             | 42.19       | 46.71               | **44.45** | 160.56 | 156.84 | **158.70** | 200.00 | 196.25 | **198.13** |
| PBZ 50               | 61.39       | 65.41               | **63.40** | 95.75  | 89.75  | **92.75**  | 144.84 | 134.34 | **139.59** |
| PBZ 100              | 68.19       | 73.71               | **70.95** | 85.56  | 75.70  | **80.78**  | 128.01 | 121.01 | **124.51** |
| Mean                 | **54.36**   | **58.00**            | **119.23** | **113.40** | **151.60** | **146.42** |}

| Factor          | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|
| G               | 0.51 | 1.08    | 1.14 | 2.43    | 0.84 | 1.78    |
| M               | 0.25 | 0.54    | 0.57 | 1.21    | 0.84 | 1.78    |
| G x M           | 0.72 | 1.52    | 1.61 | 3.42    | 2.37 | 5.03    |
### Table 3: Effect of growth regulators and methods of application on spike yield parameters of tuberose cv. Suvasini

| Growth regulator (G) | Method of application (M) | Spike length (cm) | Spike weight (g) | Number of florets per spike | Spike yield/hectare (lakhs) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean | Dipping (M₁) | Foliar spray (M₂) | Mean |
| NAA 150             | 80.77        | 83.97             | 82.37 | 90.42        | 90.55             | 90.48 | 43.34        | 47.96             | 45.65 | 2.31        | 2.62             | 2.46 |
| NAA 250             | 79.13        | 83.30             | 81.21 | 88.46        | 89.25             | 88.85 | 44.15        | 46.50             | 45.32 | 2.10        | 2.50             | 2.30 |
| GA₃ 150             | 85.79        | 90.38             | 88.10 | 92.75        | 92.86             | 92.80 | 50.36        | 52.50             | 51.43 | 2.73        | 2.76             | 2.75 |
| GA₃ 250             | 94.38        | 103.77            | 99.10 | 92.98        | 93.25             | 93.12 | 57.13        | 64.39             | 60.76 | 3.24        | 3.42             | 3.33 |
| TIBA 50             | 77.08        | 74.95             | 76.01 | 83.90        | 83.98             | 83.94 | 36.67        | 38.00             | 37.30 | 1.63        | 1.57             | 1.60 |
| TIBA 100            | 73.14        | 71.25             | 72.20 | 83.76        | 83.84             | 83.80 | 38.25        | 40.50             | 39.38 | 1.43        | 1.51             | 1.47 |
| PBZ 50              | 47.98        | 49.48             | 48.73 | 83.00        | 83.56             | 83.28 | 32.42        | 34.25             | 33.34 | 0.85        | 0.96             | 0.90 |
| PBZ 100             | 41.54        | 43.64             | 42.59 | 83.60        | 83.68             | 83.64 | 26.00        | 28.00             | 27.00 | 1.05        | 1.14             | 1.09 |
| Mean                | 72.11        | 75.34             | 87.36 | 87.62        | 41.03             | 44.00 | 1.94         | 2.04              |       |             |                  |      |

| Factor             | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% | SEd⁺ | CD at 5% |
|--------------------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|
| G                  | 0.58 | 1.23     | 0.74 | 1.57     | 1.54 | 3.27     | 0.05 | 0.11     |
| M                  | 0.29 | 0.62     | 0.37 | N.S      | 0.77 | 1.64     | 0.03 | 0.06     |
| G x M              | 0.82 | 1.75     | 4.90 | N.S      | 2.18 | 4.63     | 0.07 | 0.16     |
The analyzed data has revealed significant differences in the physiological and floral parameters (Table 2). Among the growth regulators, application of PBZ 100 ppm recorded significantly lowest number of days taken to 50% floret opening (80.78 days) followed by PBZ at 50 ppm, whereas, application of TIBA at 100 ppm recorded significantly highest number of days taken to 50% floret opening (158.70) followed by TIBA at 50 ppm. Between the methods of application, foliar spraying of growth regulators recorded significantly lowest number of days taken to 50% floret opening (113.40 days) in comparison to dipping method of application. Interaction effect between growth regulators and methods of application recorded significant differences for days to 50% floret opening. Foliar spraying of paclobutrazol at 100 ppm concentration recorded significantly lowest number of days to 50% floret opening (75.70 days) followed by application of paclobutrazol at 100 ppm through dipping method. Among the growth regulators, application of GA$_3$ at 250 ppm concentration recorded significantly lowest number of days taken to 50% flower spike emergence (101.80 days) followed by GA$_3$ at 150 ppm concentration, whereas, application of TIBA at 100 ppm concentration recorded significantly highest number of days taken to 50% flower spike emergence (198.13 days) followed by TIBA at 50 ppm concentration. Between the methods of application, foliar spraying of growth regulators recorded significantly lowest number of days to 50% flower spike emergence (146.42 days) compared to dipping method. Interaction effect between growth regulators and methods of application recorded significant differences in the flower spike emergence. Foliar application of GA$_3$ at 250 ppm concentration recorded significantly lowest number of days to 50% spike emergence (100.30 days) followed by foliar application of GA$_3$ at 250 ppm concentration. Padaganuru et al., (2005) explained that exogenous application of GA$_3$ enhanced the induction of floral bud break by differentiation of vegetative primordia to floral primordia in the apical meristem thereby induced the early spike emergence and floret opening.

Significant differences were observed in the spike yield parameters of tuberose cv. Suvasini by application of different plant growth regulators through different methods of application (Table 3). Among the plant growth regulators, application of GA$_3$ at 250 ppm concentration recorded significantly highest spike length (99.10 cm), spike weight (93.12 g), number of florets per spike (60.76) and spike yield (3.33 lakhs/ha) followed by GA$_3$ at 150 ppm concentration, whereas, significantly lowest spike length (42.59 cm), number of florets per spike (27.00) were recorded with application of paclobutrazol at 100 ppm concentration. However, significantly lowest spike weight (83.28 g) and spike yield (0.90 lakhs/ha) were observed with PBZ at 50 ppm concentration. Significant differences were observed between the methods of application of growth regulators. Foliar application of growth regulators recorded significantly highest spike length (75.34 cm), number of florets per spike (44.00) and spike yield (2.04 lakhs/ha). Spike weight was found non-significant with methods of application of growth regulators. The interaction effect between growth regulators and methods of application was found significant. Foliar application of GA$_3$ at 250 concentration recorded significantly highest spike length (103.77 cm), number of florets per spike (64.39) and spike yield (3.42 lakhs/ha), whereas, dipping of bulbs in paclobutrazol at 100 ppm concentration recorded significantly lowest spike length (41.54 cm), number of florets per spike (26.00) and spike yield
Spike weight was found non-significant with the interaction of growth regulators and methods of application. Sarkar et al., (2009) observed that application of growth promoting compounds showed a significant effect on the promotion of vegetative growth and accumulation of plant biomass due to marginal increase in chlorophyll content which contributed to enhanced photosynthetic efficiency in the plant. Increased spike length, spike weight, number of florets per spike and spike yield with foliar spray of GA$_3$ at 250 ppm concentration was mainly due to an increase in the cell division and cell elongation in the intercalary tissue. Further, it is an established fact that application of GA$_3$ promotes increased number of leaves with maximum leaf area which contributes to production and accumulation of more photosynthates. Thus, increased accumulation of photo-assimilates in the plant might have been translocated towards the reproductive organs of the plant for development of floral organs.

Based on the result obtained, it could be concluded that foliar application of growth regulators was found better than dipping of bulbs in the growth regulator solutions. Foliar application of growth regulators at regular interval might have supplied the required stimulus for cell division, cell elongation and differentiation. Therefore, it is suggested that application of plant growth regulators through foliar spray is better to increase the plant growth and spike yield than dipping the bulbs in growth regulator solutions as it may not provide the required stimulus for the meristematic tissue differentiation during the critical stages of crop development.

References

Biswas, J., Bose, J.K. and Matti. R.G. 1983. Effect of growth substances on growth and flowering of tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.). South Indian Horticulture. 31(2/3): 129-132.

Kulkarni, S.S., Chetti, M.B. and Uppar, D.S. 1995. Influence of growth retardants on biochemical parameters in sunflower. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 29: 352-354.

Kumar, J., Tapendra, S. and Krishan, P. 2011. Effect of GA$_3$ and VAM on growth and flowering in tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) cv. Double, Agricultural Science Digest. 31(4): 289-292.

Kumar, P., Hiremath, S.M. and Chetti, M.B. 2006. Influence of growth regulators on dry matter production and distribution and shelling percentage in determinate and semi-determinate and semi-determinate soybean genotype. Legume Research. 29: 191-195.

Kumar, V. and Singh, R.P. 2005. Effect of soaking of mother corms with plant growth regulators on vegetative growth flowering and corm production in gladiolus. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture (New Series). 8(4): 306-308.

Padaganur, V.G., Mokashi, A.N. and Patil, V.S. 2005. Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of tuberose cv. Single. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 18(2): 469-473.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 176.

Sankhla, N., Davis, T.D., Upadhyay, A., Sankhla, D., Walser, R.H. and Smith, B.N. 1985. Growth and metabolism of soybean as affected by paclobutrazol. Plant Cell Physiology. 26: 913-921.

Sarkar, J., Misra, R.L., Sanjay, K., Singh, Prasad, K.V. and Ajay, A. 2009. Effect of growth regulators on growth and flowering in tuberose under north India conditions, Indian Journal of
Tripathi, V.K., Ramesh, B.T., Shukla, H.S., Mishra, A.N. and Pandey, M. 2009. Effect of gibberellic acid, NAA and kinetin on growth, flowering and corn production of gladiolus cv. Sapna. *Journal of Asian Horticulture*. 5(2): 61-64.

Wagh, V.K., Chawla, S.L., Gaikwad, A.R. and Parolekar, S.S. 2012. Effect of bulb size and GA$_3$ on vegetative and floral characters of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cvs.Prajwal and Calcutta Single. *Progressive Horticulture*. 44(1): 27-31.

Zaky, L.M., El-Bahay, M.M., Dowidar, A.E. and Latif, H.H. 1999. Some physiological studies on the effect of the growth retardant Pix on Vicia faba plant. I. *Egyptian Journal of Physiological*. 23(3): 335-359.

How to cite this article:

Suseela, T., V. Vijaya Bhaskar and Chandrasekhar, R. 2018. Effect of Growth Regulators and Methods of Application on Vegetative Growth and Spike Yield of Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. ‘Suvasini’. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(02): 3617-3625.

doi: [https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.702.430](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.702.430)