Hepatocellular carcinoma in the elderly: Meta-analysis and systematic literature review
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Abstract

AIM: To conduct a meta-analysis to investigate the clinical outcomes of surgical resection and locoregional treatments for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in elderly patients defined as aged 70 years or more.

METHODS: Literature documenting a comparison of clinical outcomes for elderly and non elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma was identified by searching PubMed, Ovid, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science databases, for those from inception to March 2015 with no limits. Dichotomous outcomes and standard meta-analysis techniques were used. Heterogeneity was tested by the Cochrane Q statistic. Pooled estimates were measured using the fixed or random effect model.

RESULTS: Twenty three studies were included with a total of 12482 patients. Of these patients, 6341 were treated with surgical resection, 3138 were treated with radiofrequency ablation (RFA), and 3003 were treated with transarterial chemoembolization (TACE).

Of the patients who underwent surgical resection, the elderly had significantly more respiratory co-morbidities than the younger group, with both groups having a similar proportion of cardiovascular co-morbidities and diabetes. After 1 year, the elderly group had significantly increased survival rates after surgical resection compared to the younger group (OR = 0.762, 95%CI: 0.583-0.994, \(P = 0.045\)). However, the 3-year and 5-year survival outcomes with surgical resection between the two groups were similar (OR = 0.947, 95%CI: 0.777-1.154, \(P = 0.67\) for the third year; and OR = 1.131, 95%CI: 0.895-1.430, \(P = 0.304\) for the fifth year). Postoperative treatment complications were similar between the elderly and younger group. The elderly group and younger group had similar survival outcomes for the first and third year after RFA (OR = 1.5, 95%CI: 0.788-2.885, \(P = 0.17\)) and OR = 1.352, 95%CI: 0.940-1.944, \(P = 0.104\)). For the fifth year, the elderly group had significantly worse survival rates compared to the younger group after RFA (OR = 1.379, 95%CI: 1.079-1.763, \(P = 0.01\)). For patients who underwent TACE, the elderly group had significantly worse survival rates compared to the younger group (OR = 1.352, 95%CI: 1.079-1.763, \(P = 0.01\)).
The optimal management strategy forelderly patients with HCC is dependent on patient andtumor characteristics. Compared to patients less than70, elderly patients have similar three year survivalafter resection and ablation and an improved three year survival after TACE. At five years, elderly patients hadalower survival after ablation but similar survival withresection and TACE as compared to younger patients.

Heterogeneity of patient populations and selection bias can explain some of these findings. Overall, elderly patients have similar success, if not better, with these treatments and should be considered for all treatments after assessment of their clinical status and cancer burden.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common primary malignancy of the liver, has become the fifth most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide[1]. The management of HCC is multi-disciplinary with a wide range of treatment options ranging from liver resection, liver transplantation, locoregional therapies including ablation and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), and molecular-targeting therapies[1-32]. As our society continues to age, there will be an increasing proportion of elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. In China and South Korea, the mean age at HCC diagnosis was found to be 55-59 years[2]. In Europe and North America, the average age of HCC diagnosis is 63 to 65 years, and up to 75 years in low risk populations[2]. Although HCC is a worldwide public health problem, there are epidemiological differences in the incidence of HCC based on geographic location. In Asia, the overwhelming etiology continues to be endemic hepatitis B. In Western countries, the most common etiologies are hepatitis C and alcoholic cirrhosis.

HCC in the elderly population has been found to demonstrate distinct clinicopathological characteristics from the disease process in the younger population. Reports indicate that elderly patients with HCC were more likely to be female, possibly due to their longer life expectancy[3]. Elderly patients with HCC were more likely to have chronic hepatitis C[3]. Most hepatitis B carriers acquire the disease in the perinatal period via vertical transmission, whereas hepatitis C infection is usually acquired in adulthood. As a result of earlier acquisition of the virus, the average age of diagnosis of HBV-related HCC is usually 10 years earlier than that of HCV-related HCC[1]. In the elderly population with HCC, there is also a larger proportion of patients who are negative for both hepatitis B surface antigen and HCV antibody, as compared to younger patients[4]. Elderly patients with HCC have also been shown to be more likely to have a normal liver with a lower grade of background liver fibrosis[5]. Aging is associated with decreased liver mass, hepatic blood flow, and synthesis or metabolism of exogenous substrates[5]. Whether these physiological changes are associated with worse outcomes in elderly HCC patients remains debatable.

The effect of age on cancer treatment allocation is controversial. In general, elderly patients are thought to have increased co-morbidities including cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, and renal insufficiency[1]. Whether elderly patients with HCC have equal access to and outcomes from treatment as compared to their younger counterparts is controversial, with some data to suggest increased co-morbidities and poorer functional status in elderly HCC patients[14]. In a large multicentre report by the Italian Liver Cancer group, it was shown that elderly patients with HCC were more likely to undergo percutaneous procedures and were less likely to undergo surgical resection and TACE[14]. The data regarding safety and clinical outcomes of locoregional therapies for HCC in the elderly population remains limited.

There are a wide variety of treatment options for HCC depending on the stage of disease. Current treatments include surgical resection, liver transplantation, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, radioembolization, percutaneous radiofrequency...
ablation, percutaneous ethanol injection, percutaneous microwave coagulation therapy, and molecular-targeted therapy with sorafenib. Most studies evaluating clinical outcomes of such treatments in the elderly have been limited by small sample size and have varying results\(^1,5,13,14\). Most reports have demonstrated comparable outcomes between elderly and younger patients\(^5,14,23\). Previous studies showed similar survival rates after surgical resection with the exception of Hirokawa et al\(^{[17]}\), who reported better disease free survival in younger patients and Liu et al\(^{[20]}\) who reported better overall survival in younger patients\(^{[18,21]}\).

In respect to radio frequency ablation (RFA), there were two studies which showed better long term outcomes in the younger patients\(^{[26,27]}\). For TACE, previous studies have reported comparable outcomes with the largest study showing better outcomes in the elderly group\(^{[27]}\). In this meta-analysis, we analyze characteristics and clinical outcomes of elderly patients with HCC treated with different modalities including surgical resection, percutaneous radiofrequency ablation, and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature documenting a comparison of clinical outcomes in elderly patients undergoing surgical and locoregional therapies for HCC was identified by searching PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science databases, for those from inception to March 2015 with no limits.

The inclusion criteria for the present meta-analysis were as follows: published comparative studies reporting extractable data for survival outcomes for elderly and non elderly patients with HCC who underwent surgical resection, RFA, and TACE with elderly defined as aged 70 and above. Studies which included only Kaplan-Meier survival curves and did not include the number of annual survivals were not considered. A total of 125 references were identified through the literature search. Of these, 23 studies were included. All studies were observational and were either prospective or retrospective by design. Exclusion criteria included nonhuman studies, case reports, editorials, studies lacking control group of non elderly patients, studies in which patients were diagnosed with liver metastases or cholangiocarcinoma.

Demographics and clinical patient characteristics were thoroughly reviewed. Co-morbidities were assessed and included cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, and diabetes. Cardiovascular co-morbidities included hypertension, arrhythmia, coronary artery occlusion, and old stroke. Respiratory co-morbidities included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma. Treatment complications, defined as adverse events occurring within 30 d after operation or within the same hospitalization, were also assessed. Student’s t test was used to analyze significant differences in clinical characteristics between the elderly and younger patient groups.

The meta-analyses were performed by STATA/SE 13.1 statistical software\(^{[6]}\). All analyzed data was treated as binary. Odds ratios and 95%CIs were computed from the binary data and used for the final meta-analyses. Both fixed and random effect models were calculated. Heterogeneity was assessed by the Cochrane Q test and was considered significant when P < 0.10. When there was substantially significant heterogeneity, a random effect model was used for meta-analysis. If heterogeneity was not significant, then a fixed effect model was used. In the meta-analysis, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Publication bias was analyzed using funnel plot.

### RESULTS

The prevalence of viral hepatitis comparing the young and elderly cohorts is shown in Table 1. The younger patient population was more likely to have positive HBsAg. The proportion of HCV Ab infection was comparable between the elderly and younger patients.

#### Surgical resection

Characteristics of patients who underwent surgical resection are shown in Table 2. Medical co-morbidities comparing the elderly vs younger patient populations are presented in Table 3. The elderly and younger patients were similar in respect to sex ratio, tumor size, cardiovascular complications, and diabetes. However, the elderly patients were more likely to have respiratory complications.

#### Overall survival

There were 9 studies which reported 1-year overall survival data, 13 studies which reported 3-year overall survival data, and 16 studies which reported 5-year survival data. After 1 year, the elderly group had significantly increased survival rates after surgical resection compared to the younger group (OR = 0.762, 95%CI: 0.583-0.994, P = 0.045). The meta-analysis demonstrated similar survival outcomes with surgical resection between the two groups (OR = 0.947, 95%CI: 0.777-1.154, P = 0.67 for the third year; and OR = 1.131, 95%CI: 0.895-1.430, P = 0.304 for the fifth year) (Figure 1). In terms of long term survival, the meta-analysis demonstrated no significant differences in outcomes of the elderly patients compared to younger patients, suggesting that surgical resection is a safe and effective treatment strategy for older patients. In the analysis of effects of overall survival at 1 year, there was no significant heterogeneity. There was substantial heterogeneity detected in the analysis of 5-year outcomes.

#### Disease free survival

The meta-analysis did not demonstrate any significant differences between the elderly and younger patients in terms of disease free survival after surgical resection (OR = 1.115, 95%CI:
Table 1  Prevalence of viral hepatitis among included studies

| Author                     | Year | Country | Design | Age cut off | Sex: Male/female (P = 0.6947) | Child Pugh A/B | Tumor size (cm) (P = 0.984) | Y | E | Y | E |
|----------------------------|------|---------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Hung AK et al[8]           | 2014 | China   | Prospective | 255/44 21/10 | 285/14 30/1 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| Liu et al[9]               | 2011 | Taiwan  | Retrospective | 31/189 | 190/49 19/2 | 194/34 16/4 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| Hanazaki et al[10]         | 2001 | Japan   | Retrospective | 222/61 71/32 | 226/56 76/27 | NA | NA |
| Yeh et al[11]              | 2001 | Taiwan  | Prospective | 310/88 27/7 | 152/199 12/18 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
| Yeh et al[12]              | 2002 | Japan   | Retrospective | 269/64 119/36 | 302/31 139/16 | 4.3 | 3.76 |
| Oishi et al[13]            | 2002 | Japan   | Prospective | 381/121 48/16 | 427/NA 59/NA | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Zhang et al[14]            | 2009 | China   | Prospective | 222/46 58/9 | 257/11 63/4 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
| Hanazaki et al[10]         | 2003 | Japan   | Retrospective | 116/26 32/11 | 123/18 40/3 | 4.09 | 3.42 |
| Tsujita et al[15]          | 2009 | Japan   | Retrospective | 253/132 15/8 | 264/121 18/5 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Yamada et al[16]           | 2009 | Japan   | Prospective | 205/62 6/5 | 245/22 9/2 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| Nishikawa et al[17]        | 2009 | Japan   | Retrospective | 99/21 69/31 | 98/22 88/12 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| Hirokawa et al[18]         | 2009 | Japan   | Retrospective | 165/45 61/31 | 198/8 90/2 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Ide et al[19]              | 2009 | Japan   | Retrospective | 155/35 43/21 | 168/24 57/7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Tanaka et al[20]           | 2009 | Japan   | Retrospective | 271/82 39/24 | 265/84 56/7 | NA | NA |
| Liu et al[21]              | 2010 | Taiwan  | Prospective | 571/159 107/22 | 694/36 116/13 | NA | NA |
| Kishida et al[22]          | 2010 | Japan   | Retrospective | 66/16 20/2 | NA | NA |
| Kim et al[23]              | 2010 | Japan   | Retrospective | 168/51 46/14 | NA | NA |

NA: Not available; E: Elderly; Y: Young.

0.815-1.359, P = 0.5488 for the first year; OR = 0.931, 95%CI: 0.730-1.189, P = 0.567 for the third year; and OR = 0.949, 95%CI: 0.748-1.205, P = 0.667 for the fifth year) (Figure 2). There was significant heterogeneity detected in the analysis of the effects of disease free survival rates.

**Treatment complications:** The meta-analysis did not demonstrate any significant differences between the elderly and younger patient groups in terms of treatment complications after surgical resection (OR = 1.082, 95%CI: 0.761-1.359, P = 0.659) (Figure 3). There was significant heterogeneity detected in the

---

Table 2  Preoperative data of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent surgical resection

| Author                   | Year | Country | Design   | Age cut off | Young, n | Elderly, n | Sex: Male/female (P = 0.6947) | Child Pugh A/B | Tumor size (cm) (P = 0.984) | Y | E | Y | E |
|--------------------------|------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Yau et al[24]            | 2019 | Taiwan  | Prospective | 255/44 21/10 | 285/14 30/1 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| Wu et al[25]             | 2019 | Taiwan  | Retrospective | 31/189 | 190/49 19/2 | 194/34 16/4 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| Hanazaki et al[26]       | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 222/61 71/32 | 226/56 76/27 | NA | NA |
| Yamada et al[27]         | 2019 | Japan   | Prospective | 310/88 27/7 | 152/199 12/18 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
| Yeh et al[28]            | 2019 | Taiwan  | Retrospective | 269/64 119/36 | 302/31 139/16 | 4.3 | 3.76 |
| Oishi et al[29]          | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 381/121 48/16 | 427/NA 59/NA | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Zhang et al[30]          | 2019 | China   | Prospective | 222/46 58/9 | 257/11 63/4 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
| Hanazaki et al[26]       | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 116/26 32/11 | 123/18 40/3 | 4.09 | 3.42 |
| Tsujita et al[31]        | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 253/132 15/8 | 264/121 18/5 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Yamada et al[32]         | 2019 | Japan   | Prospective | 205/62 6/5 | 245/22 9/2 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| Nishikawa et al[33]      | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 99/21 69/31 | 98/22 88/12 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| Hirokawa et al[34]       | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 165/45 61/31 | 198/8 90/2 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Ide et al[35]            | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 155/35 43/21 | 168/24 57/7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Tanaka et al[36]         | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 271/82 39/24 | 265/84 56/7 | NA | NA |
| Liu et al[37]            | 2019 | Taiwan  | Prospective | 571/159 107/22 | 694/36 116/13 | NA | NA |
| Kishida et al[38]        | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 66/16 20/2 | NA | NA |
| Kim et al[39]            | 2019 | Japan   | Retrospective | 168/51 46/14 | NA | NA |

NA: Not available; E: Elderly; Y: Young.

---

**Table 3** Preoperative data of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent surgical resection.
Table 3 Co-morbidities of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent surgical resection

| Author            | Year | Country | CV co-morbidities (P = 0.341) | Resp co-morbidities (P = 0.031) | Diabetes (P = 0.086) | Alcohol (P = 0.737) |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Young             | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly |
| Yaou et al[20]    | 1999 | China   | NA     | NA     | 8.4%   | NA     | 5.4%  | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Chen et al[20]    | 1999 | Taiwan  | 47.60% | 12.60% | 7.40%  | 19.40% | 8.5%  | 31.10% | NA     | NA     |
| Hanazaki et al[20] | 2001 | Japan   | 11.00% | 24.30% | 7.40%  | 19.40% | 8.5%  | 31.10% | NA     | NA     |
| Yeh et al[20]     | 2004 | Taiwan  | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | 15.30%| 38.20% | NA     | NA     |
| Ferrero et al[20] | 2005 | Italy   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | 31.10% | 20.30% |
| Kaiberti et al[20]| 2009 | Japan   | 16%    | 39%    | 4%     | 16%    | 7%    | 23.00% | 48.30% | 35.40% |
| Oishi et al[20]   | 2009 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Huang et al[20]   | 2009 | China   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Mirici-Cappa et al[20] | 2009 | Italy   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | 12.90%| 21.40% | NA     | NA     |
| Tsujita et al[20] | 2012 | Japan   | 11.90% | 22.00% | 13.00% | 8.70%  | 29.40%| 21.70% | NA     | NA     |
| Yamada et al[20]  | 2012 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Nishikawa et al[20]| 2013 | Japan   | 13.10% | 50.80% | 10.70% | 15.20% | 33.50%| 26.00% | NA     | NA     |
| Hirokawa et al[20]| 2013 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Ide et al[20]     | 2013 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Tanii et al[20]   | 2013 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | NA     | NA     | NA     |
| Liu et al[20]     | 2014 | Taiwan  | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | 15.00%| 10.00% | NA     | NA     |
| Kishida et al[20] | 2015 | Japan   | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA     | NA    | 16.00% | 18.00% | NA     |
| Kim et al[20]     | 2015 | South Korea | NA    | NA    | 13.70% | 25.00% | 3.20% | 5.10%  | NA     | NA     |

NA: Not available.

The meta-analysis did not demonstrate any significant differences between the elderly and younger patient groups in terms of treatment complications after RFA (OR = 1.018, 95%CI: 0.522-1.987, P = 0.958) (Figure 5). There was no significant heterogeneity detected in the analysis of the effects of complications. Among the most common complications were hemoperitoneum, bile leakage, intra abdominal abscess, and liver failure. Less common complications included ascites, pleural effusion, wound infection, prolonged jaundice, delirium, and renal failure.

Radio frequency ablation

Baseline characteristics and medical co-morbidities of HCC patients who underwent RFA are summarized in Table 4 and Table 5. Survival data of RFA between elderly and younger patients is shown in Table 6. The two populations were comparable in terms of tumor size, cardiovascular and respiratory co-morbidities, and diabetes. Elderly patients were more likely to be female. Younger patients were more likely to report alcohol use.

Overall survival: There were 4 studies which reported 1-year outcomes and 5 studies which reported 3-year, and 5-year overall survival data. The elderly group and younger group had similar survival outcomes for the first and third year after RFA (OR = 1.5, 95%CI: 0.788-2.885, P = 0.217 and OR = 1.352, 95%CI: 0.940-1.944, P = 0.104). For the fifth year, the elderly group had significantly worse survival rates compared to the younger group after RFA (OR = 1.379, 95%CI: 1.079-1.763, P = 0.01) (Figure 4). In the analysis of effects of overall survival, there was significant heterogeneity detected.

Treatment complications: The meta-analysis did not demonstrate any significant differences between the elderly and younger patient groups in terms of}
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Figure 1 Forest plots for overall survival rates after surgical resection. A: Meta-analysis of 1-year overall survival outcomes; B: Meta-analysis of 5-year overall survival outcomes.

treatment complications after TACE (OR = 0.880, 95%CI: 0.650-1.191, P = 0.407) (Figure 7). There was no significant heterogeneity detected in the analysis of the effects of complications. Among the most common complications were liver failure, liver abscess, peptic ulcers, and renal impairment\(^{(5,28,29)}\). Less common complications included acute pancreatitis, acute cholecystitis, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hepatic artery dissection\(^{(5,28,29)}\). No significant heterogeneity was detected in the analysis of effects of treatment complications.

**Publication bias**

Publication bias was assessed by symmetry of Begg’s funnel plot (Figure 8). All the studies included had comparative data for 5-year overall survival. Level of symmetry was found to be high, indicating that there was no significant publication bias.
DISCUSSION

As the prevalence of older patients with HCC has increased, there has been ongoing debate regarding appropriate treatment strategies for these patients. Multiple treatment options are available for HCC patients, with treatment allocation determined by multiple factors including patient clinical characteristics, tumor burden, and geographic variations in treatment expertise and utilization\(^7\). Our aim was to determine if age is an important contributor to patient survival after resection, ablation and chemoembolization. Given the relatively fewer number of patients eligible for liver transplantation in this population, this treatment strategy was not specifically evaluated in our study.

Surgical resection is a potentially curative option for the elderly patient. According to BCLC staging and treatment algorithm, surgical resection is indicated in...
HCC patients with a single tumor, PS 0, Child-Pugh class A, and no portal hypertension. Among the studies analyzed in this meta-analysis, the elderly and younger patient populations did not differ significantly in terms of male: female sex ratio and tumor size. In terms of co-morbidities, the elderly patients had a higher proportion of respiratory disease. The proportion of cardiovascular disease and diabetes did not differ significantly between the two groups.

Our meta-analysis demonstrated that immediate surgical complications were also not significantly different between elderly and younger patients. In terms of long-term outcomes, elderly patients tended to have a worse survival rate compared to younger patients, although these differences were not statistically significant in all studies.

| Table 4  Preoperative data of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent radiofrequency ablation |
| Author | Year | Country | Design | Treatment | Age cut off | Young, n | Elderly, n | Sex: male/female (P = 0.031) | Child Pugh A/B/C | Tumor size (cm) (P = 0.662) |
|---------|------|---------|--------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Takahashi et al | 2010 | Japan | Prospective | RFA | 75 | 354 | 107 | 218/136 | 46/61 | 278/76 | 77/30 | NA | NA |
| Mirici-Cappa et al | 2010 | Italy | Retrospective | RFA or PEI | 70 | 230 | 195 | 165/65 | 138/77 | 147/70/10 | 157/37/2 | 3.04 | 3.13 |
| Nishikawa et al | 2012 | Japan | Prospective | RFA | 75 | 238 | 130 | 150/88 | 67/63 | 145/36/4 | 87/16 | 1.92 | 2.31 |
| Liu et al | 2014 | Taiwan | Prospective | RFA | 75 | 336 | 147 | 221/115 | 96/51 | 80/17/3 | 89/11 | NA | NA |
| Fujiwara et al | 2014 | Japan | Retrospective | RFA | 75 | 1048 | 333 | 705/343 | 191/162 | 782/255/11 | 287/63/3 | 2.40 | 2.50 |

RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; NA: Not available.

| Table 5  Co-morbidities of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who underwent radiofrequency ablation |
| Author | Year | Country | Design | Age cut off | Young, n | Elderly, n | Alcohol (P = 0.031) | CV comorbidities (P = 0.446) | Resp comorbidities (P = 0.474) | DM (P = 0.602) |
|---------|------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Takahashi et al | 2010 | Japan | Prospective | 75 | 354 | 107 | 24.9% | 8.4% | 79% | 131% | 3.4% | 9.3% | 21.4% | 16.8% |
| Mirici-Cappa et al | 2010 | Italy | Retrospective | 70 | 230 | 195 | 12.6% | 5.6% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Nishikawa et al | 2012 | Japan | Prospective | 75 | 238 | 130 | NA | NA | 151% | 34.6% | 13.9% | 25.4% | 34.9% | 28.5% |
| Liu et al | 2014 | Taiwan | Prospective | 75 | 336 | 147 | 19.0% | 10.0% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Fujiwara et al | 2014 | Japan | Retrospective | 75 | 1048 | 333 | 15.9% | 11.9% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA: Not available.

| Table 6  Survival data comparing elderly and younger patients undergoing radiofrequency ablation |
| Author | Year | Young, n | Elderly, n | 1-yr overall survival | 3-yr overall survival | 5-yr overall survival | P value |
|---------|------|----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly | Young | Elderly |
| Takahashi et al | 2010 | 354 | 107 | NA | NA | 80.0% | 82.0% | 63.0% | 61.0% | 0.824 |
| Mirici-Cappa et al | 2010 | 230 | 195 | 89.9% | 90.1% | 52.9% | 53.4% | 35.1% | 29.0% | 0.797 |
| Nishikawa et al | 2012 | 238 | 130 | 97.6% | 90.0% | 85.7% | 64.1% | 64.0% | 44.8% | 0.001 |
| Liu et al | 2014 | 336 | 147 | 95.0% | 96.0% | 81.0% | 78.0% | 65.0% | 65.0% | 0.690 |
| Fujiwara et al | 2014 | 1048 | 333 | 97.3% | 95.5% | 82.3% | 75.6% | 62.9% | 52.7% | < 0.001 |

NA: Not available.
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Figure 4  Forest plots for overall survival rates after radiofrequency ablation. A: Meta-analysis of 1-year overall survival outcomes; B: Meta-analysis of 5-year overall survival outcomes.

Figure 5  Forest plot for treatment complications after radiofrequency ablation.
term outcomes, overall survival was similar between elderly and younger patients. Huang et al.\(^{[12]}\) reported that elderly patients actually had a significantly increased overall survival rate compared to non elderly patients\(^{[18]}\). Huang also found lower hepatitis B surface antigen positive rate, higher hepatitis C virus infection rate, and more preoperative co-morbidities in the elderly population. Hirokawa et al.\(^{[18]}\) found that disease free survival was worse in elderly patients compared to non elderly patients after surgical resection\(^{[18]}\). Like in many other studies with elderly patients and HCC, they found a high prevalence of HCV infection\(^{[18]}\). Disease free survival was stratified to response to interferon in HCV-infected patients and the study showed that responders to IFN had significantly increased survival to non responders. Generally, elderly patients have had poor response to interferon therapy due to severe side effects. Improvements of antiviral therapy with the newer oral agents could possibly lead to improvements in disease free survival in elderly HCC patients with HCV infection.

Radiofrequency ablation has become an increasingly popular option for the elderly HCC patient\(^{[34]}\). The AASLD and EASL guidelines present RFA as a potential treatment option for compensated cirrhotic patients with good functional status and lesions less than 5 cm in size\(^{[32,31]}\). There have not been many studies investigating the outcomes of RFA in elderly patients. In our analysis of preoperative patient characteristics, we found that there was a higher proportion of females in the elderly population and a higher proportion of alcohol use in the younger patient population. The proportion of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory disease were similar in both patient groups. Our meta-analysis demonstrated no significant differences in 1-year and 3-year overall survival in elderly and non elderly patients who underwent RFA. At 5 years, the younger had significantly better clinical outcomes. As the data regarding long term outcomes of elderly patients with RFA continues to be lacking, the meta-analysis is limited by a small number of studies and showed significant heterogenity. Similar to our findings, Fujiwara et al.\(^{[26]}\) also showed lower mortality at 5 years in the elderly patients. However, an additional risk analysis demonstrated that there was a significant difference in liver-unrelated death between

---

**Table 7 Preoperative data of patients undergoing transarterial chemoembolization**

| Author          | Year | Country | Design  | Tx    | Age cut off | Young, n | Elderly, n | Sex: male/female (P = 0.272) | Child Pugh A/B/C | Tumor size (cm) (P = 0.953) |
|-----------------|------|---------|---------|-------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Yau et al\(^{[2]}\) | 2009 | China   | Prospective | TACE  | 70          | 317/67  | 272/45/54 | 54/13                          | 262/35/52       | 8.70/8.50                   |
| Yau et al\(^{[2]}\) | 2009 | China   | Prospective | TACE  | 70          | 843/197 | 715/128/143 | 143/54                       | 674/159/10      | NA/NA                       |
| Mirici-Cappa et al\(^{[2]}\) | 2010 | Italy   | Retrospective | TACE  | 70          | 396/158 | 301/117/47 | 117/41                       | 234/135/21      | 3.89/3.86                   |
| Liu et al\(^{[2]}\) | 2014 | Taiwan  | Prospective | TACE  | 75          | 604/271 | 446/138/222 | 222/49                       | 72/22/3         | NA/NA                       |
| Nishikawa et al\(^{[2]}\) | 2014 | Japan   | Prospective | TACE  | 75          | 84/66   | 63/21/34   | 32/43                        | 52/32/53        | 5.10/5.70                   |

TACE: Transarterial chemoembolization; NA: Not available.

**Table 8 Survival data comparing elderly and younger patients undergoing transarterial chemoembolization**

| Author          | Age cut off | Young, n | Elderly, n | Median survival (mo) (P = 0.683) | Post Op complication (P = 0.054) | 30-d mortality (P = 0.678) | 1-yr overall survival (P = 0.678) | 3-yr overall survival (P = 0.953) | 5-yr overall survival (P = 0.953) | P value |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Yau et al\(^{[2]}\) | 70          | 317/67   | 67/12     | 26.0/24.0                       | 5.0/7.0                        | 41.0/50.0                 | 18.0/25.0                     | 13.0/17.0                       | 0.277                            |
| Yau et al\(^{[2]}\) | 70          | 843/197  | 197/14    | 28.9/24.4                       | 3.5/4.7                        | 39.2/54.4                 | 14.9/22.2                     | 8.4/10.6                        | <0.000                            |
| Mirici-Cappa et al\(^{[2]}\) | 70          | 396/158  | 158/27   | 26/26                           | NA/NA                          | 79.9/79.4                 | 32.0/36.4                     | 13.3/6.4                        | 0.750                            |
| Liu et al\(^{[2]}\) | 75          | 604/271  | 271/26   | NA/NA                           | NA/NA                          | 79.0/84.0                 | 57.0/57.0                     | 42.0/39.0                       | 0.953                            |
| Nishikawa et al\(^{[2]}\) | 75          | 84/66    | 66/34    | 29.3/34                         | 6.0/5.0                        | 78.2/84.1                 | 39.3/48.0                     | 33.8/15.0                       | 0.887                            |

Y: Young; E: Elderly; NA: Not available.
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Figure 6 Forest plots for overall survival rates after transarterial chemoembolization. A: Meta-analysis of 1-year overall survival outcomes; B: Meta-analysis of 5-year overall survival outcomes.

Figure 7 Forest plot for treatment complications after transarterial chemoembolization.
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Figure 8  Begg's funnel plot with standard error as the X axis and the log of the odds ratio as the Y axis.

the elderly and younger patients\(^{[26]}\). This suggested that elderly patients tended to die from liver-unrelated causes at 5 years. Nishikawa et al\(^{[25]}\) demonstrated decreased cumulative overall survival and disease free survival at 1-, 3-, and 5-year intervals in the elderly patient group\(^{[26]}\). The results in this study were poorer compared to other reports. Both Nishikawa et al\(^{[25]}\) and Fujiwara et al\(^{[26]}\) did not demonstrate any significant differences in terms of duration of hospitalization and serious adverse events, suggesting that RFA is a safe procedure for elderly patients. Although limited by a small number of studies, our meta-analysis demonstrated no significant difference in treatment complications among the elderly patients and their younger counterparts.

Transarterial chemoembolization is recommended for unresectable, Child-Pugh class A or B patients with multiple HCC with no vascular invasion. In terms of treatment complications, we found that there was no significant difference between the elderly patients and their younger counterparts. Our meta-analysis demonstrated increased overall survival in the elderly patients at 1-year and 3-year intervals. At 5 years, there were no significant differences in clinical outcomes between the elderly and younger patients. There was no significant difference in postoperative complications and 30 d mortality between the two groups. A study by Mondazzi et al\(^{[29]}\) demonstrated that the independent prognostic factors affecting survival in elderly patients undergoing TACE were tumor stage, tumor markers, and hepatic functional reserve. Advanced age was not shown to be an adverse predictor of decreased survival\(^{[20]}\). Our TACE meta-analysis is also limited by a small number of studies and heterogeneity. The largest study conducted by Yau et al\(^{[27]}\) showed increased overall median survival and disease-specific survival in elderly patients compared to younger patients. There are only a limited number of studies on the clinical outcomes of TACE in the elderly. In the studies that were analyzed, co-morbidities of the elderly patients including cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, and diabetes were not included. Further investigation is warranted, but given the limited data, TACE also appears to be a safe treatment option for elderly HCC patients.

This meta-analysis suggests that age should not be a negative factor when considering treatment allocation and outcomes given equivalent survival and complications with resection, ablation, and TACE between younger and older patients. In the largest cohort of elderly HCC patients published, Liu et al\(^{[20]}\) used propensity score analysis system to match elderly and younger HCC patients and similarly did not find an association with advanced age and inferior long-term survival for HCC patients receiving surgical resection, RFA, and TACE. In that study, 74% of younger patients received curative treatment as the initial treatment, as compared to 67% of elderly patients. Elderly patients were less likely to undergo surgical resection and instead received RFA or TACE compared to younger patients. The elderly patients were more likely to have compensated cirrhosis, lower AFP, fewer tumors with smaller tumor volume, and less vascular invasion but were more likely to advanced BCLC staging. It may seem contradictory that elderly HCC patients tend to have more advanced BCLC grading, but less advanced cancer. This may be explained by the incorporation of performance status in the BCLC grading system as the elderly patients did have poorer performance status compared to their younger counterparts. Advanced BCLC stage could also account for less resection. In this study, age was not found to be an independent predictor of poor prognosis. Thus, when deciding the optimal treatment strategy for elderly HCC patients, thorough evaluation based on cancer stage and general condition is important.

The majority of the studies included in the meta-analysis were done in eastern Asia, predominantly Japan and China. The clinical outcomes of elderly HCC patients in the western world, particularly in the United States, have not been thoroughly investigated. There is an urgent need for further investigation as HCC is becoming a global health problem. In the United States, reports suggest that HCC incidence peaks above the age of 70\(^{[31]}\). Most of the existing literature regarding elderly HCC patients pertains to chronic hepatitis B or C. In the western world, there is an increasing group of elderly HCC patients with non alcoholic fatty liver disease. There is limited data regarding the optimal treatment strategy of this group of patients as they also tend to have multiple co-morbidities. To increase external validity, further studies from western countries are desperately needed as the Asia Pacific region is a highly hepatitis B endemic area.

Several limitations to this meta-analysis exist. Studies included are observational cohorts by design given the lack of randomized controlled trials for HCC treatments. The multiple studies included in this review...
contain diverse patient demographic and geographic features resulting in significant heterogeneity. Few studies investigated the safety and validity of surgical resection, TACE, and RFA for elderly patients with HCC. Regarding other therapeutic options such as molecular-targeted therapy such as sorafenib, radioembolization, and liver transplantation, there is even less data on clinical outcomes in elderly HCC patients and therefore these modalities were not included in our analysis.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis suggests that surgical resection, TACE, and RFA are safe and effective treatment options for elderly patients with HCC. Overall, elderly patients have similar success with these treatments compared to younger patients and should be considered for all treatments pending their clinical status and cancer burden.
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COMMENTS

Background

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common primary malignancy of the liver, has become the fifth most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. The management of HCC is multi-disciplinary with a wide range of treatment options ranging from liver resection, liver transplantation, locoregional therapies including ablation and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), and molecular-targeting therapies. As our society continues to age, there will be an increasing proportion of elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. The optimal management strategy for elderly patients with HCC remains controversial.

Research frontiers

There have been several studies done on elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, comparing their clinical outcomes to non-elderly patients. However, these studies are often limited by small sample size or heterogeneity. This is the first meta-analysis and systematic review to combine data from the multiple studies, in attempt to shed light on the safety and efficacy of various treatment modalities for hepatocellular carcinoma in the elderly population.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Based on this meta-analysis, elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma have similar outcomes compared to non-elderly patients. Specifically, they have similar three year survival after resection and ablation and an improved three year survival after TACE, compared to non-elderly patients. At five years, elderly patients had a lower survival after ablation but similar survival with resection and TACE as compared to younger patients. Heterogeneity of patient populations and selection bias can explain some of these findings. Overall, elderly patients have good success with these treatments and should be considered for all treatments after assessment of their clinical status and cancer burden.

Applications

The findings from this meta-analysis can be used to guide the treatment approach of elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. The analysis included clinical outcomes of locoregional therapies including surgical resection, chemembolization, and radiofrequency ablation. The studies included were limited by heterogeneity with diverse patient demographic and geographic features. Further investigation is warranted regarding other therapeutic options such as molecular-targeted, radioembolization, and liver transplantation.
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