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Abstract—We propose blind adaptive multi-input multi-output (MIMO) linear receivers for DS-CDMA systems using multiple transmit antennas and space-time block codes (STBC) in multipath channels. A space-time code-constrained constant modulus (CCM) design criterion based on constrained optimization techniques is considered and recursive least squares (RLS) adaptive algorithms are developed for estimating the parameters of the linear receivers. A blind space-time channel estimation method for MIMO DS-CDMA systems with STBC based on a subspace approach is also proposed along with an efficient RLS algorithm. Simulations for a downlink scenario assess the proposed algorithms in several situations against existing methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing demand for performance and capacity in wireless networks has motivated the development of numerous signal processing and communications techniques for utilizing these resources efficiently. Recent results on information theory have shown that higher spectral efficiency [1], [2] and diversity [3], [4] can be achieved with multiple antennas at both transmitter and receiver. Space-time coding (STC) techniques can exploit spatial and temporal transmit diversity [13], [14], [15]. The problem of receiver design for DS-CDMA systems using multiple transmit antennas and STBC has been considered in recent works [6], [7], [8]. However, there are still some open problems. One key issue is the amount of training required by MIMO channels which motivates the use of blind techniques.

In addition, the existing blind MIMO schemes [8], [9], [12], [14], [15], which are susceptible to the problem of signature mismatch. The code-constrained constant modulus (CCM) approach has demonstrated increased robustness and better performance than CMV techniques [16], [17], [21] for single-antenna systems although it has not been considered for MIMO systems.

The goal of this work is to propose blind adaptive MIMO receivers for DS-CDMA systems using multiple transmit antennas and STBC based on the CCM design in multipath channels. In the proposed scheme, we exploit the unique structure of the spreading codes and STBC to derive efficient blind receivers based on the CCM design and develop computationally efficient RLS algorithms. The proposed design approach for MIMO receivers requires the knowledge of the space-time channel. In order to blindly estimate the channel, we present a subspace approach that exploits the STBC structure present in the received signal and derive an adaptive RLS type channel estimator. We also establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for the channel identifiability of the method. The only requirement for the receivers is the knowledge of the signature sequences for the desired user.

II. SPACE-TIME DS-CDMA SYSTEM MODEL

Consider the downlink of a symbol synchronous QPSK DS-CDMA system shown in Fig. 1 with $K$ users, $N$ chips per symbol, $N_t$ antennas at the transmitter, $N_r$ antennas at the receiver and $L_p$ propagation paths. For simplicity, we assume that the transmitter (Tx) employs only $N_t = 2$ antennas and adopts Alamouti’s STBC scheme [3], although other STBC can be used. In this scheme, for $i = 1, \ldots, P$ two symbols $b_k^{(2i-1)}$ and $b_k^{(2i)}$ are transmitted from Tx1 and Tx2, respectively, during the $(2i-1)$th symbol interval and, during the next symbol interval, $-b_k^{(2i-1)}$ and $b_k^{(2i-1)}$ are transmitted from Tx1 and Tx2, respectively. Each user is assigned a unique spreading code for each Tx, which may be constructed in different ways [7], [8]. We assume that the receiver (Rx) is synchronized with the main path, the delays of the channel paths are multiples of the chip rate, the channel is constant during two symbol intervals and the spreading codes are repeated from symbol to symbol. The received signal at antenna $m$ after chip-pulse matched filtering and sampling at chip rate over two consecutive symbols yields the $M$-dimensional received vectors

$$r(2i-1) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k b_k^{(2i-1)} C_k^1 h_{m,k}^{(2i-1)} + \eta_{k,m}^{(2i-1)} + n_m^{(2i-1)}$$

$$r(2i) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k b_k^{(2i)} C_k^2 h_{m,k}^{(2i)} - A_k b_{k}^{(2i)} C_k^2 h_{m,k}^{(2i)} + \eta_{k,m}^{(2i)} + n_m^{(2i)}$$

where $M = N + L_p - 1$, $n_m^{(i)} = [n_1^{(i)} \ldots n_M^{(i)}]^T$ is the complex Gaussian noise vector, with mean zero and $E[n_m^{(i)} n_m^{(i)*}] = \sigma^2 I$, where $(\cdot)^T$ and $(\cdot)^*$ denote transpose and Hermitian transpose, respectively. The quantity $E[\cdot]$ stands for expected value and the amplitude of user $k$ is $A_k$. The channel vector for the users’ signals transmitted from each transmit antenna $n_k$ ($n_k = 1, 2$) and received at the $m$-th receive antenna are $h_{m,k}^{(i)} = [h_{m,k}^{(i,0)} \ldots h_{m,k}^{(i,L_p-1)}]^T$ and $\eta_{m,k}^{(i)}$ for the intersymbol interference at the $m$th receive antenna. The $M \times L_p$ convolution matrix $C_{k,m}^{i(i)}$ contains one-chip shifted versions of the signature sequence for user $k$ and each transmit antenna given by $s_k^{(i)} = [a_k^{(i)}(1) \ldots a_k^{(i)}(N)]^T$ (the reader is referred to [8], [16], [20] for details on the structure of $C_{k,m}^{i(i)}$). The received data in (1) organized into a single $2M \times 1$ vector $y_m(i) = [r^{T}(2i-1) r^{T}(2i)]^T$ within the $i$th symbol interval at the $m$th receive antenna is

$$y_m(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k b_k^{(2i-1)} C_k h_{m,k}^{(2i-1)} + A_k b_k^{(2i)} C_k h_{m,k}^{(2i)} + \eta_{k,m}^{(i)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(i) + \eta_{m,k}^{(i)} + \eta_{m,k}^{(i)}$$

(2)
where
\[ C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C^1_k & 0 \\ 0 & C^2_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{C}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C^2_k \\ -C^1_k & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C^1_k, C^2_k \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times L} \]
\[ g_m(i) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{k,m}^1(i) \\ h_{k,m}^2(i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta_k(i) = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{k,1}(2i-1) \\ \eta_{k,2}(2i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad n(i) = \begin{bmatrix} n_1(2i) - n_2(2i) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ \tilde{W}_{k,m}(i) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{k,m}(i) \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} \]
The \( 2M \times 1 \) received vectors \( y_m(i) \) are linearly combined with the \( 2M \times 2 \) parameter matrix \( W_{k,m}(i) \) of user \( k \) of the \( m \)th antenna at the Rx to provide the soft estimates
\[ z_m(i) = W^H_{k,m}(i)y_m(i) = [z_{k,m}(i) \ z_{bars,k,m}(i)]^T \]
By collecting the soft estimates \( z_m(i) \) at the Rx, the designer can also exploit the spatial diversity at the receiver as
\[ z(i) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m(i) z_m(i) \]
where \( \alpha_m(i) = \text{diag}(\alpha_{m,1}(i), \alpha_{m,2}(i)) \) are the gains of the combiner at the receiver, which can be equal leading to Equal Gain Combining (EGC) or proportional to the channel gains as with Maximal Ratio Combining (MRC) [29].

### III. SPACE-TIME LINEARLY CONSTRAINED RECEIVERS BASED ON THE CCM DESIGN CRITERION

Consider the \( 2M \)-dimensional received vector at the \( m \)th receiver \( y_m(i) \), the \( 2M \times 2L_p \) constraint matrices \( C_k \) and \( \tilde{C}_k \) that were defined in (3) and the \( 2L_p \times 1 \) space-time channel vector \( g_m(i) \) with the multipath components of the unknown channels from Tx1 and Tx2 to the \( m \)th antenna at the receiver. The space-time linearly constrained receiver design according to the CCM criterion corresponds to determining an \( 2M \times 2 \) FIR filter matrix \( W_{k,m}(i) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{k,m}(i) \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} \) composed of two FIR filters \( w_{k,m}(i) \) and \( \nu \) with dimensions \( 2M \times 1 \). The filters \( w_{k,m}(i) \) and \( \nu \) provide estimates of the desired symbols at the \( m \)th antenna of the receiver as given by
\[ \tilde{b}_k(i) = \text{sgn} \{ \Re \{ W^H_{k,m}(i)y_m(i) \} \} + j \text{sgn} \{ \Im \{ W^H_{k,m}(i)y_m(i) \} \} \]
where \( \text{sgn}(\cdot) \) is the signum function, \( \Re(\cdot) \) selects the real component, \( \Im(\cdot) \) selects the imaginary component and \( W^H_{k,m}(i) \) is designed according to the minimization of the following constant modulus (CM) cost functions
\[ J_{CM}(w_{k,m}(i)) = E[|y_m(i)y_m^*(i)|^2 - 1]^2 \]
\[ J_{CM}(\nu) = E[|y_m(i)y_m^*(i)|^2 - 1]^2 \]
subject to the set of constraints described by
\[ C^H_k w_{k,m}(i) = \nu g_m(i), \quad \tilde{C}^H_k w_{k,m}(i) = \nu g_m^*(i) \]
where \( \nu \) is a constant to ensure the convexity of (8) and (9), which is detailed in Appendix I along with the convergence properties. The proposed approach is to consider the design problems in (8) and (9) via the optimization of the two filters \( w_{k,m}(i) \) and \( \nu \) in a simultaneous fashion. The optimization of each filter aims to suppress the interference and estimate the symbols transmitted by each transmit antenna. The expressions for the filters of the space-time CCM linear receiver are derived using the method of Lagrange multipliers [29] and are given by
\[ w_{k,m}(i + 1) = R_{k,m}(i)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d_{k,m}(i) - C_k(C_k^H R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) C_k)^{-1} \nu g_m(i) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ w_{k,m}(i + 1) = \tilde{R}_{k,m}(i)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d_{k,m}(i) - \tilde{C}_k(\tilde{C}_k^H \tilde{R}_{k,m}^{-1}(i) \tilde{C}_k)^{-1} \nu g_m(i) \end{bmatrix} \]
where \( R_{k,m}(i) = E[|z_{k,m}(i)|^2 y_m(i)y_m^*(i)] \) and \( \tilde{R}_{k,m}(i) = E[|z_{bars,k,m}(i)|^2 y_m(i)y_m^*(i)] \) are correlation matrices, where \( d_{k,m}(i) = E[z_{k,m}(i)y_m(i)] \) and \( \tilde{d}_{k,m}(i) = E[\tilde{z}_{bars,k,m}(i) y_m(i)] \) are cross-correlation problems, which are originated from the proposed optimization. The expressions (11) and (12) require matrix inversions which lead to a complexity \( \Omega((2M)^3) \). It should also be remarked that (11) and (12) are functions of previous values of the filter and therefore must be iterated in order to reach a solution. Since (11) and (12) assume the knowledge of the space-time channel parameters, channel estimation is required.

### IV. SPACE-TIME CHANNEL ESTIMATION

In this section, we present a method that exploits the signature sequences of the desired user and the structure of STBC for blind channel estimation. Consider the received vector \( y_k(i) \) at the \( m \)th Rx, its associated \( 2M \times 2M \) covariance matrix \( R_m = E[y_m(i)y_m^H(i)] \), the space-time \( 2M \times 2L_p \) constraint matrices \( C_k \) and \( \tilde{C}_k \) given in (6) and the space-time channel vector \( g_m(i) \). From (5) we have that the \( k \)th user space-time coded transmitted signals are given by
\[ x_k(i) = \chi_k b_k(2i-1) \chi_k m(i), \quad \chi_k(i) = \chi_k b_k(2i) \chi_k^* m(i) \]
Let us perform singular value decomposition (SVD) on the space-time \( JM \times JM \) covariance matrix \( R_m \)
\[ R_m = \sum_{k=1}^{K} E[x_k(i)x_k^H(i)] + E[y_k(i)y_k^H(i)] + E[y_k(i)y_k^H(i)] + \sigma^2 I \]

\[ = [V_m V_n] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda + \sigma^2 I & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 I \end{bmatrix} [V_m V_n]^H \]
where $V_s$ and $V_n$ are the signal (that includes the ISI) and noise subspaces, respectively. Since the signal and noise subspaces are orthogonal [24, 25], we have the conditions $V_s^H x_k(i) = V_s^H c g_m(i) = 0$ and $V_n^H x_k(i) = V_n^H c g_m(i) = 0$ and hence we have $\Omega = g_m(i)^H V_s V_s^H c g_m(i) = 0$ and $\Omega = g_m(i)^H V_n V_n^H c g_m(i) = 0$. From these conditions and taking into account the conjugate symmetric properties induced by STBC [3], it suffices to consider only $\Omega$, which allows the recovery of $g_m(i)$ as the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $C_k = V_s V_s^H$ or $C_k$, provided $V_s$ is known. To avoid the SVD on $R_m$ and overcome the need for determining the noise subspace that is necessary to obtain $V_s$, we resort to the following approach.

**Lemma:** Consider the SVD on $R_m$ as in (14), then we have:

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} (R_m/\sigma^2)^{-p} = V_n V_n^H$$

(15)

**Proof:** Using the decomposition in (14) and since $I + A/\sigma^2$ is a diagonal matrix with elements strictly greater than unity, by induction we have as $p \to \infty$ that $(R_m/\sigma^2)^{-p} = V_n V_n^H$.

To blindly estimate the space-time channel of user $m$ of the estimator can be improved by increasing $p$ even though our studies reveal that it suffices to use powers up to $p = 2$ to obtain a good estimate of $V_s V_n^H$. For the space-time block coded CCM receiver design, we employ the matrix $R_k$ instead of $R_m$ to avoid the estimation of both $R_m$ and $R_k$, and an equivalence of these matrices is established in Appendix II. An analysis of the capacity of the system and the necessary and sufficient conditions for the method to work is included in Appendix III.

V. BLIND ADAPTIVE RLS ALGORITHMS FOR RECEIVER AND CHANNEL PARAMETER ESTIMATION

In this section we present RLS algorithms for estimating the parameters of the space-time receiver and channel as described in Sections III and IV, respectively.

A. RLS Algorithm for CCM Receiver Parameter Estimation

Considering the expressions obtained for $w_{k,m}(i)$ and $w_{k,m}(i)$ in [11] and [12], replacing $E[.]$ with time averages, we can develop an RLS algorithm through the recursive estimation of the matrices $R_{k,m}^{-1}$, $R_{k,m}^{-1}$, $R_{k,m}^{-1}$ = $(C_k^H R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) C_k)^{-1}$ and $R_{k,m}^{-1}$ = $(C_k^H R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) C_k)^{-1}$ using the matrix inversion lemma (MIL) and Kalman RLS recursions [26]. The space-time CCM linear receiver estimates are obtained with

$$\hat{w}_{k,m}(i+1) = R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) \left[ \hat{d}_{k,m}(i) - C_k \Gamma_{k,m}^{-1}(i) \right]$$

(17)

$$\hat{w}_{k,m}(i+1) = R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) \left[ \hat{d}_{k,m}(i) - C_k \Gamma_{k,m}^{-1}(i) \right]$$

(18)

where

$$\hat{d}_{k,m}(i) = \alpha \hat{d}_{k,m}(i) - (1 - \alpha) z_{k,m}(i) y_m(i)$$

(19)

$$\hat{d}_{k,m}(i) = \alpha \hat{d}_{k,m}(i) - (1 - \alpha) z_{k,m}(i) y_m(i)$$

(20)

correspond to estimates of $d_{k,m}(i)$ and $\hat{d}_{k,m}(i)$, respectively. In terms of computational complexity, the space-time CCM-RLS algorithm requires $O((2M)^2)$ to suppress MAI and ISI against $O((2M)^2)$ required by [11] and [12].

B. RLS Algorithm for Space-Time Channel Estimation

We develop an RLS algorithm for the estimation of the space-time channel $g_m(i)$ at the $m$th receive antenna. The proposed RLS algorithm avoids the SVD and the matrix inversion required in (19) via the MIL and a variation of the power method used in numerical analysis [24]. Following this approach, we first compute the inverse of the matrices $R_{k,m}^{-1}$ and $R_{k,m}^{-1}$ with the MIL, as part of the space-time receiver design. Then, we construct the matrices $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ = $C_k^H R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) C_k$ and $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ = $C_k^H R_{k,m}^{-1}(i) C_k$. At this point, the SVD on the $2L_p \times 2L_p$ matrices $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ and $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ that requires $O(L_p^3)$ is avoided and replaced by a single matrix-vector multiplication, resulting in the reduction of the corresponding computational complexity on one order of magnitude and no performance loss. To estimate the channel and avoid the SVD on $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ and $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$, we employ the variant of the power method introduced in [25]

$$g_m(i) = (I - \gamma(i) \Gamma_{k,m}(i))g_m(i - 1)$$

(21)

where $\gamma(i) = 1/(tr(\Gamma_{k,m}(i))$ and we make $\hat{g}_m(i) \leftarrow g_m(i - 1)/|g_m(i)|$ to normalize the channel. It is worth pointing out that due to certain conjugate symmetric properties induced by STBC, it is possible to exploit the data record size for estimation purposes by using both $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ and $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ and thus the proposed RLS algorithm computes

$$\hat{g}_{k,m}(i) = \left( I - \theta(i) (\Gamma_{k,m}(i + \Gamma_{k,m}(i)) \right) \hat{g}_m(i - 1)$$

(22)

where $\theta(i) = 1/(tr(\Gamma_{k,m}(i) + \Gamma_{k,m}(i))$ and the normalization procedure remains the same. The algorithm in (22) is adopted since it has a faster convergence than (21) due to the use of more data samples and spreading codes.

C. Computational Complexity

We illustrate the computational complexity of the proposed algorithms and compare them with existing RLS algorithms and subspace techniques, as shown in Table I. The proposed space-time CCM-RLS algorithms have a complexity which is quadratic with $NlM$, i.e. the number of transmit antennas $N_l$ and proportional to the processing gain plus the channel order ($M = N + L_p - 1$). The complexities of the trained RLS algorithm and the blind CMV-RLS [8] are also quadratic with $NlM$, whereas the complexity of the Subspace algorithm of Reynolds et al. [9] is higher due to the subspace computation. The complexity of the RLS channel estimation algorithm in (21) is $2(N_l L_p)^2 + N_l L_p$, as it requires the modified power method on the sum of the $N_l L_p \times N_l L_p$ matrices $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$ and $\Gamma_{k,m}(i$. In contrast, the subspace algorithm of [9] requires an SVD or the use of the power method on the $N_l M \times N_l M$ matrix $R_m$. It turns out that since $M >> L_p$ in practice, the proposed algorithm is substantially simpler than the one in [9].

VI. SIMULATIONS

In this section we evaluate the bit error rate (BER) performance of the proposed blind space-time linear receivers based on the CCM design (STBC-CCM). We also assess the proposed space-time channel estimation method (STBC-CCM-CE) in terms of mean squared error (MSE) performance and their corresponding RLS-type adaptive algorithms. We compare the proposed algorithms with some previously reported techniques, namely, the constrained minimum
TABLE I

COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY OF RLS ESTIMATION ALGORITHMS PER SYMBOL:

| Algorithm          | Multiplications |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| STBC-Trained       | $6(N_t M)^2 + 2N_t M + 2$ |
| STBC-CCM           | $5(N_t M)^2 + 3N_t L_p M^2 + 3N_t^2 L_p M$ + $4N_t M + 4N_t L_p + 2$ |
| STBC-CMV           | $4(N_t M)^2 + 2N_t^2 L_p M + 2(N_t L_p)^2$ + $3N_t M + 4N_t L_p + 2$ |
| STBC-Subspace      | $(N_t M)^2 + 2N_t L_p M + 3N_t M$ |

variance (CMV) with a single antenna [13] and with STBC [8] and the subspace receiver of Wang and Poor without [29] and with STBC [9]. The DS-CDMA system employs randomly generated spreading sequences of length $N = 32$, one or two transmit antennas with the Alamouti STBC [3] and one or two receive antennas with MRC. The downlink channels assume that $L_p = 6$ (upper bound). We use three-path channels with powers $p_{l=1}^{T=2}$ given by 0, $-3$ and $-6$ dB, where in each run and for each transmit antenna and each receive antenna, the second path delay $(\tau_2)$ is given by a discrete uniform random variable (d. u. r. v.) between 1 and 4 chips and the third path delay is taken is between 1 and 5 and $\tau_2$ chips. The sequence of channel coefficients for each transmit antenna $n_t = 1, 2$ and each receive antenna $m = 1, 2$ is $h_{n_t m}^{\tau_2}(i) = p_{l=1}^{T=2} \alpha_{l, m}^{\tau_2}(i) (l = 0, 1, 2, ...)$, where $\alpha_{l, m}^{\tau_2}(i)$ is obtained with Clarke’s model [29]. The phase ambiguity of the blind space-time channel estimation method in [2] is eliminated in our simulations using the phase of $g_{m}(0)$ as a reference to remove the ambiguity and for fading channels we assume ideal phase tracking and express the results in terms of the normalized Doppler frequency $f_d T$ (cycles/symbol). Alternatively, differential modulation can be used to account for the phase rotations as in [2] or the semi-blind approach of [8] adopted.

We evaluate the BER convergence performance of the proposed RLS algorithms for both receiver and channel parameter estimation in a scenario where the system has initially 10 users, the power distribution among the interferers follows a log-normal distribution with associated standard deviation of 3 dB. After 1500 symbols, 6 additional users enter the system and the power distribution among interferers is loosen to 6 dB. The results shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the proposed STBC-CCM receiver design achieves the best performance among the analyzed techniques.

We assess the channel estimation (CE) RLS algorithms with single transmit antennas [23, 25], with STBC of Reynolds et al [9] and the proposed space-time RLS channel estimator with STBC given in (21) in terms of MSE between the actual and the estimated channels using the same dynamic scenario of the first experiment. The results, shown in Fig 3, reveal that the proposed space-time channel estimator outperforms the single-antenna channel estimator because it exploits the information transmitted by 2 antennas.

The BER performance versus SNR and number of users is shown in Fig. 4. We consider data packets of $D = 1500$ symbols, 2 transmit antennas, 1 and 2 receive antennas. We measured the BER after 200 independent transmissions. A comparison with previously reported blind techniques with 2 transmit antennas and 1 and 2 receive antennas (2Tx,1Rx) and (2Tx,2Rx) is shown in Fig. 4. The curves illustrate that the schemes with multiple antennas at the transmitter outperform those with single-antennas and the capacity of the system is also increased. With a (2Tx,2Rx) configuration, the diversity is further exploited and the proposed STBC-CCM achieves a performance close to the MMSE (also with (2Tx,2Rx)) which assumes the knowledge of the channel and the noise variance.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We presented blind adaptive space-time block-coded linear receivers for DS-CDMA systems in multipath channels. A CCM design criterion based on constrained optimization was considered and RLS algorithms for parameter estimation were developed. We also derived a blind space-time channel estimation scheme along with an efficient RLS algorithm. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the channel identifiability of the proposed method were established. Simulations for a downlink scenario have shown the proposed techniques outperform previously reported schemes.

APPENDIX

We present an analysis of the proposed space-time CCM algorithms and examine their convergence properties and conditions. Let us consider the cost function expressed as (we will drop the time index $(i)$ for simplicity)

$$J_{CM} = E[|\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{y}_m|^2 - 1] = E[|z_k, m|^4] - 2E[|z_k, m|^2] + 1 \quad (23)$$
Let us recall (2) and define $x = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k b_k (2i-1)p_k$. The received data can be expressed by $y_m = x + \eta + n$. Since the symbols $b_k$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with zero mean and unit variance, and $b_k$ and $n$ are statistically independent, we have $R = Q + T + \sigma^2 I$, where $Q = E[xx^H]$, and $T = E[\eta \eta^H]$. Let us consider user 1 and antenna 1 as the desired ones, let $w_1 = w$ and define $u_k = A_k p_k^H w$ and $u = A^H P^H w = [u_1 \ldots u_K]^T$, where $A = \text{diag}(A_1 \ldots A_K)$, $P = [p_1 \ldots p_K]$, and $b = [b_1(2i-1) \ldots b_K(2i-1)]$. Using the constraints $C_1 w = v y$ and $C_1 w = v g^H$, we have for the desired user the conditions $u_1 = A_1 p_1^H w = A_1 g^H$ and $C_1^T w = \nu A_1 g^H$. Note that the conditions resemble one another and only differ by a conjugate term, i.e. $u_1 = u_1^\dagger$. In the absence of noise and neglecting ISI, the (user 1) cost function is

$$J_{CM}(w) = E[(u^H b b^H u)^2] - 2E[(uu^H b) + 1]$$

$$= 8(F + \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2)^2 - 4F^2 \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2 - 4F - 4 \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2$$

(24)

where $F = u_1 u_1^\dagger = |u_1|^2 = \nu^2 A_1^2 (g^H g)$. Since $u_1 = u_1^\dagger$ and $|u_1|^2 = |u|^2$. The cost functions expressed as above are equivalent. Thus, it suffices to prove the properties of only one of them. Let us consider the constraint $C_1 w = v g$ and rewrite the cost function $J_{CM}(w)$ as

$$J_{CM}(w) = J_{CM}(u) = 8(F + \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2)^2 - 4F^2 \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2 - 4F - 4 \sum_{k=2}^{K} |u_k|^2$$

(25)

where $u = [u_2 \ldots u_K]^T = G w$. $G = A^H P^H$, $P = [p_2 \ldots p_K]$ and $A = \text{diag}(A_2 \ldots A_K)$. To evaluate the convexity of $J_{CM}(u)$, we compute its Hessian (H) using the differentiation rule $H = \frac{\partial^2 J_{CM}(u)}{\partial u\partial u}$, which yields:

$$H = 16(F - \frac{1}{4} I + 16 u^H u I + 16 uu^H - 16 \text{diag}([u_2^2 \ldots u_K^2])$$

(26)

Specifically, $H$ is positive definite if $a^H H a > 0$ for all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{C}^{K-1 \times K-1}$. The second, third and fourth terms of $H$ are positive definite matrices, while the first term provides the following condition for convexity

$$\nu A_1^2 |g^H g| \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

(27)

Since $u' = G w$ is a linear function of $w$ then $\tilde{J}_{CM}(u')$ being a convex function of $u'$ implies that $J_{CM}(w) = J_{CM}(G w)$ and $J_{CM}(\tilde{w}) = J_{CM}(G \tilde{w})$ are convex function of $w$ and $\tilde{w}$, respectively, where $G = A^H P^H$ and $P = [p_2 \ldots p_K]$. As the extrema of the cost functions can be considered for small noise variance $\sigma^2$ a slight perturbation of the noise free case, the cost functions will also be convex for small $\sigma^2$ when $\nu A_1^2 |g^H g| \geq \frac{1}{4}$. If we assume ideal channel estimation, i.e. $|g^H g| = 1$, and $\nu = 1$, the condition will collapse to $|A_1|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$, which corroborates previous results with the constant modulus algorithms (19). In the case of larger values of $\sigma^2$, the designer should adjust $\nu$ in order to enforce the convexity of the cost functions in (8) and (9).

We discuss here the the suitability of the matrix $R_{k,m}$, that arises from the space-time CCM design method, for use in the space-time channel estimator. From the analysis in Appendix I for the linear receiver, we have for an ideal and asymptotic case that (we consider receive antenna 1 and user 1 for simplicity) $u_k = (A_1 b_1^H) w_k \approx 0$, for $k = 2, \ldots, K$. Then, we have that

$$w_1^{\dagger} T y \approx A_1 b_1(2i-1) w_1^H p_1 + w_1^H n, \text{ and } w_1^{\dagger} y \approx A_1^2 w_1^H p_1^2 + A_1 b_1(2i-1) (w_1^H p_1) n^2 + A_1 b_1(2i-1) (w_1^H p_1) w_1^H n + w_1^H n n^H w_1.$$ 

Therefore, we have for the desired user:

$$R_1 = E[w_1^{\dagger} y y^H] = \beta R + \bar{N}$$

(28)

where $R = Q + \sigma^2 I$, $Q = E[xx^H] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} |A_k|^2 p_k^H p_k$, the scalar factor is $\beta = A_1^2 (w_1^H p_1^2 + \sigma^2)$ and the noise-like term is $\bar{N} = A_1^2 [\sigma^2 (w_1^H p_1 w_1^H + \sigma) + \{\text{diag}(w_1^H \ldots w_2^H w_1^H) + \text{vec}(w_1^H w_1^H)\}]$.

The conditions presented show that $R_k$, for a general user $k$ can be approximated by $R$ multiplied by a scalar factor $\beta$ plus a noise-like term $\bar{N}$, that for sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) values has an insignificant contribution. The same analysis applies to $R = E[w_i^{\dagger} y y^H]$, which is given by

$$R_k \approx \beta R + \bar{N}$$

(29)

An interesting interpretation of this behavior is the fact that the symbol estimates $z_k = w_k^H y$ and $\hat{z}_k = w_k^H y$ are reliable and the cost functions in (8) and (9) are sufficiently small then $|z_k|^2$ and $|\hat{z}_k|^2$ have small variations around unity, yielding the approximation $E[z_k^2 y y^H] = E[y y^H]$ and $E[(|z_k|^2 - 1) y y^H] \approx E[y y^H] = R$ and $E[|\hat{z}_k|^2 y y^H] = E[y y^H] + E[(|\hat{z}_k|^2 - 1) y y^H] \approx E[y y^H] = R$. Therefore, we can employ $R_k$ in lieu of $R$, since the properties of $R$ studied for the proposed space-time channel estimation method hold for $R_k$.

We develop an expression of the capacity of the space-time system and discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for the identifiability of space-time channels and the consistency of the estimates. Let $q_s$ and $q_n$, denote the signal and the noise subspace ranks, respectively. The matrix $V_{s}^{H} C_k$ of dimensions $r_n \times J_L P$ will be used for our analysis. If the noise subspace $V_n$ is the exact subspace then, due to $V_{s}^{H} C_k g_k = 0$, we can verify that the column rank of $V_{s}^{H} C_k$ can at most be $J_L P - 1$. In order to have a unique solution (times a phase ambiguity) the column rank of $V_{s}^{H} C_k$ must be exactly equal to $N_T L_P - 1$. Since a column rank of a square matrix is equal to its row rank, a necessary condition for a row rank equal to $N_T L_P - 1$ is to have at least $N_T L_P$ rows, i.e. $q_s \geq N_T L_P - 1$. Since $q_s + q_n = N_T M$ this yields

$$q_s \leq N_T M - N_T L_P + 1$$

(30)
Consider now the signal subspace rank $q_k$ and assume symbol-by-sym- 
bol estimation in a synchronous downlink system. The number of 
columns of $V_\alpha$ (which is an orthonormal basis for $x_k$) is $q_k$ 
and is composed by the effective spatial signatures of all $K$ users 
transmitted by the $N_T$ antennas, which corresponds to a matrix with 
size $N_T M \times K$, and ISI. The ISI corresponds to a matrix with 
dimensions $N_T (L_p - 1) \times K$ since for our transmit diversity configuration 
we have $N_T$ independent multipath channels with a maximum of $L_p$ 
paths each. Assuming that $K < N_T N$, we have that $K + 2 \min \{N_T (L_p - 1) - 1, K \} \leq N_T N - N_T L_p + 1 \leq N_T (N + 1) + 1$ 
then the necessary condition on $q_k$ is satisfied and an upper bound 
for the maximum load of the system with $N_T$ transmit antennas is 
\[ K \leq N_T \left[ N - \frac{(N_T - 1)}{N_T} - 2 \min \left\{ \frac{N}{3} - \frac{(N_T - 1)}{3 N_T}, L_p - 1 \right\} \right] \] 
(31) 
The above result indicates an increase in the system capacity as 
compared to the result \[ C \leq \frac{1}{k} \] $\tilde{X}$ $\tilde{K}$ $g_k = \lambda_k x_k / A_k$, $\tilde{K}_k g_k^* = \nu_k = \tilde{X}_k x_k / A_k$, 
(32) 
where $\nu_k = [0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$. From the above we have 
\[ \dim \{\text{range}(C_k) \cap \text{range}(X)\} = 1, \dim \{\text{range}(\tilde{C}_k) \cap \text{range}(\tilde{X})\} = 1 \] 
(33) 
with the latter condition being satisfied with some $\tilde{g} \neq \alpha g_k$. As the matrix $[X \tilde{X}]$ is full- 
column rank, the relation $V_h^H C_k g_k + V_h^H \tilde{C}_k g_k^* = 0$ directly yields 
\[ [(C_k g_k)^T, (\tilde{C}_k g_k^*)^T] \in \text{range}(X \tilde{X}). \] Hence, from the above we have 
$C_k g_k = X q_k$, $\tilde{C}_k g_k^* = X q_k$. The relations $\dim \{\text{range}(C_k) \cap \text{range}(X)\} = 1$ and 
$\dim \{\text{range}(\tilde{C}_k) \cap \text{range}(\tilde{X})\} = 1$ follows from 
$C_k g_k = X q_k$, $\tilde{C}_k g_k^* = X q_k$ along with (32) and the fact that the 
\[ \begin{align*} 
V_h^H C_k g_k + V_h^H \tilde{C}_k g_k^* &= 0. \end{align*} \] 
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