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The generation of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in cancer cells: From nuclear chromatin reorganization to extracellular presentation

Zhiwei Fan¹,², Changyue Wu²,³,य, Miaomiao Chen⁴, Yongying Jiang⁵, Yuanyuan Wu⁶,*, Renfang Mao⁷,*, Yihui Fan¹,²,*

¹Department of Pathogenic Biology, School of Medicine, Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China
²Laboratory of Medical Science, School of Medicine, Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China
³Department of Dermatology, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China
⁴Department of Pathophysiology, School of Medicine, Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China

Received 15 June 2021; received in revised form 27 July 2021; accepted 25 August 2021

KEY WORDS
Immune checkpoint blockade; PD-L1; PD-L2; Topologically associating

Abstract The immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) targeting on PD-1/PD-L1 has shown remarkable promise in treating cancers. However, the low response rate and frequently observed severe side effects limit its broad benefits. It is partially due to less understanding of the biological regulation of PD-L1. Here, we systematically and comprehensively summarized the regulation of PD-L1 from nuclear chromatin reorganization to extracellular presentation. In PD-L1 and PD-L2 highly expressed cancer cells, a new TAD (topologically associating domain) (chr9: 5,400,000–5,600,000) around CD274 and
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1. Introduction

Immunotherapy augments the host immune system to generate an effective antitumor immunity, and along with chemotherapy, radiation and surgery become standard of care in the treatment of many malignancies. Among different types of immunotherapy, neutralization of immune checkpoints (called immune checkpoint blockade, ICB) that limit antitumor response, has resulted in unprecedented responses in patients with a variety of cancers, and some patients even showed long-lasting effect. The inhibitory molecules including ligand PD-L1 (programmed death-ligand 1) and receptor PD-1 (programmed cell death-1) attract great attention because targeting them causes more beneficial tumor response-to-toxicity. However, the overall patient response rate is still low, and has no significant improvement in recent years. Furthermore, in a portion of patients, the ICB treatment can cause the immune system to attack healthy tissue (immune related adverse events, irAEs) and eventually leads to discontinuing the lifesaving treatment. Severe irAEs frequently occur at gastrointestinal, pulmonary and dermatological organs, which show considerable expression of cancers, and some patients even showed long-lasting effect. The inhibitory molecules including ligand PD-L1 (programmed death-ligand 1) and receptor PD-1 (programmed cell death-1) attract great attention because targeting them causes more beneficial tumor response-to-toxicity. However, the overall patient response rate is still low, and has no significant improvement in recent years. Furthermore, in a portion of patients, the ICB treatment can cause the immune system to attack healthy tissue (immune related adverse events, irAEs) and eventually leads to discontinuing the lifesaving treatment. Severe irAEs frequently occur at gastrointestinal, pulmonary and dermatological organs, which show considerable expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2. The re-shaped TAD allows transcription factors such as STAT3 and IRF1 recruit to PD-L1 locus in order to guide the expression of PD-L1. After transcription, the PD-L1 is tightly regulated by miRNAs and RNA-binding proteins via the 3’UTR. At translational level, PD-L1 protein and its membrane presentation are tightly regulated by post-translational modification such as glycosylation and ubiquitination. In addition, PD-L1 can be secreted via exosome to systematically inhibit immune response. Therefore, fully dissecting the regulation of PD-L1/PD-L2 and thoroughly detecting PD-L1/PD-L2 as well as their regulatory networks will bring more insights in ICB and ICB-based combinational therapy.

2. Nuclear chromatin organization and DNA regulatory elements in the induction of PD-L1 and PD-L2

Eukaryotic genomes are extraordinarily packaged and dynamically organized within the nuclear space. The nuclear 3D genome architecture is the fundamental base to achieve spatio-temporal gene expression for cell identity. The position of a specific gene locus and its surrounding DNA regulatory elements determine the transcription of this locus. At the smaller scales, chromatin is organized into insulated spatial neighborhoods referred to as topologically associating domains (TAD), within which dynamic DNA interactions are relatively restrained by the boundaries. In many cancers, the distribution of TADs is significantly changed. For some cancers, such as breast cancer, the generation of new TAD boundaries results in an increased TAD number. In the initiation and progress of cancer, cancer cells gradually hijack their favored loci and re-shape surrounding context to establish new TAD for their needs. The coding genes of PD-L1 and PD-L2 are CD274 (cluster of differentiation 274) and CD273 (cluster of differentiation 273) respectively. Both CD274 and CD273 locate on chromosome 9p24.1 (Fig. 1A). In healthy individuals, PD-L1 and PD-L2 mainly express in immune cells such as antigen presenting cells (APCs), B cells and activated T cells. But a significant portion of cancer cells is able to re-organize the genetic locus of CD274 and CD273 (Fig. 1C). High-resolution chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) is a powerful tool to explore the genome-wide interaction and TAD. By exploring the 3D genome browser (http://3dgenome.fsm.northwestern.edu/), the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in SK-N-AS is higher than it in SK-N-DZ and SK-N-MC cells (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, comparing to SK-N-DZ and SK-N-MC cells, SK-N-AS cells re-shape a new TAD (chr9: 5,496,361–5,504,556) between CD274 and CD273 locus (Fig. 1C). It is consistent with our recent finding that there is a super-enhancer (PD-L1L2-SE, Super-enhancer-C; chr9: 5,496,361–5,504,556) between CD274 and CD273 (Fig. 1A). We defined this super-enhancer as PD-L1L2-SE, which is required for constitutive expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2. Genetic knockout of PD-L1L2-SE in cancer cells is sufficient to disrupt the PD-L1-mediated immune evasion.

Besides super-enhancer, there is an enhancer (called enhancer-9 or enhancer B, chr9: 5,580,709–5,504,556) located on 140 kb downstream of CD274 (Fig. 1A). Deletion of this
enhancer-9 results in great reduction of PD-L1 expression, but the effect on PD-L2 is not examined. In addition, another enhancer (called enhancer-A) around the 3’-UTR of CD274 increases the expression of PD-L1. The enhancer-A interacts with the promoter of CD274, which can increase the promoter activity when constructed in the same plasmid (Fig. 1A). Intriguingly, the activity of super-enhancer (PD-L1-SE) is independent on interferon γ (IFNγ). Thus, in a significant portion of cancers that show constitutive expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2, the achievement of CD274/CD273-associated TAD (CD274-TAD) might increase the interactions between enhancer/super-enhancer and promoter. The CD274-TAD might be the three-dimensional genomic foundation for the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in cancers as well as immune evasion. However, the tumor-specificity and generation of CD274-TAD as well as how does CD274-TAD cooperate with other factors still need to be further explored.

3. Abnormal activity of transcriptional factors (TFs) in the up-regulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2

The generation of newly established chromatin domain and subsequently performing its function rely on DNA associated factors. A very large proportion of DNA associated proteins are TFs that bind DNA helix at specific regulatory sequences. The abnormal activity of TFs is involved in a large number of human diseases including cancer. Lambert et al. reported a list of 294 oncogenic TFs by investigating the list of 1571 known oncogenic proteins from the Network of Cancer Genes NCG5.0 (http://ncg.kcl.ac.uk/statistics.php) and the list of 1988 human TFs as
well as their regulators. The transcription of PD-L1 and PD-L2 is tightly regulated and many TFs have been reported to regulate PD-L1 in different context (Fig. 1D). MYC is the well-studied oncogenic transcription factor that had also been reported to bind directly to the promoter of PD-L1 and CD47. Suppression of MYC in cancer cells results in reduced mRNA level of PD-L1 and enhanced anti-tumor immune response. Enforced expression of PD-L1 and CD47 in the context of MYC inactivation can suppress anti-tumor immune response and support tumor growth. It was reported that MYC-induced transcription of PD-L1 also contributes to cisplatin-resistance in ovarian cancer. Thus, transcription factor MYC not only transforms cells in the initiation of tumor but also drives tumor cells to escape anti-tumor immunity by directly induction of PD-L1.

Besides oncogenic signals inside cancer cells, factors in tumor microenvironment also largely contribute on the expression of PD-L1 in tumor mass. Interferon gamma (IFNγ) in tumor microenvironment is critical in primary and acquired resistance to ICB therapy by regulating PD-L1 expression. IFNγ upregulates PD-L1 on the surface of tumor cells, then tumor cell-associated PD-L1 subsequently increases apoptosis of antigen-specific T cells. Interferons can be divided into two types (Type I: alpha, beta and omega; Type II: gamma). Although it was firstly described in 1950s as molecules that interfere with viral replication, IFN shows strongest effect on the induction of PD-L1 expression. Upon IFN binds to interferon receptors, it transduces signal through Janus kinases (JAKs), signal transducer and activators of transcriptions (STATs). The interferon regulatory factor-1 is the downstream TF of STATs that is prerequisite to the IFNγ-induced upregulation of PD-L1. By systematically shRNA-based screening of IFN-associated molecules, the TFs including STAT1, STAT2, STAT3 and IRF1 are important in the regulation of PD-L1 promoter. Slightly different with PD-L1, both IFNγ and IFNβ show strong effect on the induction of PD-L2. Further analysis reveals STAT3 and IRF1 are important transcriptional factors that bind to PD-L2 promoter under interferon receptor signaling. The role of STAT3 and IRF1 in the regulation of PD-L1 has been extensively studied and consistently observed by several groups. In addition, STAT3 activation can be modulated by several factors that thus regulate PD-L1 and PD-L2. For example, PARP1 poly (ADP-ribosyl)ates STAT3 and subsequently reduces STAT3 phosphorylation as well as STAT3-mediated PD-L1 expression. A portion of cancer cells achieves direct functional mutations (D427H and E616G) in STAT3 and its regulators such as SOCS1 to induce PD-L1 expression in the absence of cytokine.

In addition, several other TFs have been reported to play critical role in the regulation of PD-L1. RelA is a member of NFκB family that translocates to nucleus and binds to several NFκB binding sites at the promoter of PD-L1 to induce transcription upon cytokine stimulation. In the promoter of PD-L1, there is a polymorphism (rs10815225:G/C) that is associated with the mRNA level of PD-L1 and the occurrence of gastric cancer. This polymorphism locates in the binding-site of Sp1, and transcription factor Sp1 favors G-allelic instead of C-allelic to induce PD-L1 expression. The transcription factor EB (TFEB) positively correlates with PD-L1 expression in renal cell carcinoma (RCC). TFEB binds to PD-L1 promoter and the nuclear translocation of TFEB can be regulated by mTOR. TFEB can also induce the expression of PD-L2 for immune evasion and drug resistance. In brain tumor, ectopic expression of GATA2 is sufficient to induce the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2. In such tumor, GATA2 is also essential for constitutive high expression of PD-L2. Heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1), the master regulator of proteotoxic stress response, can also bind to PD-L1 promoter and subsequently enhance the expression of PD-L1. Inhibition of the adenosine A1 receptor (ADORA1) suppresses tumor development in vivo in immune-deficient xenografts, but this effect is greatly reduced in an immune-competent mouse model due to upregulation of PD-L1. Deletion of ADORA1 upregulates ATF3 and then upregulates PD-L1 via the PD-L1 promoter. Combination of PD-L1 mAb treatment and ADORA1 antagonist could significantly improve the effect of each treatment alone. FOXP3 is a master transcription factor that guides the differentiation of Treg cells. A recent report showed that FOXP3 expresses in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells and activates the transcription of PD-L1. Thus, the TFs that contribute to PD-L1 expression in different cancer types are quite different and largely dependent on the context of tumor. However, TFs that were explored previously in regulation of PD-L1 expression are focus on the promoter region of PD-L1. Given the essential role of super-enhancer (PD-L1L2-SE) in IFNγ-independent upregulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2, it is necessary to identify transcription factors that bind to PD-L1L2-SE and their functional interaction with promoter-associated transcription factors.

4. Increased mRNA stability to promote expression of PD-L1

The length of PD-L1 mRNA is 3634 bp containing seven exons. However, the coding sequence of PD-L1 mRNA is relative short and majority of the sequence comprises the 3’ untranslated region (3’-UTR) (2692 bp) (Fig. 2A). Comparing to PD-L1, the PD-L2 encoding mRNA contains relative short 3’-UTR (1323bp) (Fig. 2A). The abundant 3’-UTR in PD-L1 mRNA indicates the existence of a sophisticated regulatory mechanism at post-transcriptional level. Indeed, to achieve high expression of PD-L1 and immune evasion, cancer cells develop a mechanism to disrupt the 3’-UTR of PD-L1 transcripts. Genome-wide mapping reveals frequent breakpoints at a 3.1 kilobase region within the 3’ region of the PD-L1 locus (Fig. 2A). These breakpoints caused by diverse structural variations such as large deletions, tandem duplications, inversions and translocations are significantly associated with high expression of PD-L1 mRNA (Fig. 2A). The entire PD-L1 open reading frame (ORF) is completely preserved or slightly affected at C-terminus, thus extracellular receptor-binding and transmembrane domains of PD-L1 are not affected. These 3’-UTR-associated structural variations are frequently observed in adult T-cell leukaemia lymphoma but less common in other cancers. In the PD-L1 3’-UTR, there are at least four AU-rich elements (AREs) with core ATTAAA motif in an AU-rich context (Fig. 2B). These AU-rich elements can be recognized by AU-rich-element-binding protein tristetraprolin (TTP), which negatively regulates PD-L1 mRNA level. However, in cancer cells, activated RAS signaling inhibits TTP via MK2-mediated phosphorylation and thus rescues PD-L1 mRNA from TTP.

Besides RNA binding proteins, there are multiple miRNAs that have been identified to target on PD-L1 mRNA (Fig. 2C). For example, miRNA-513 represses PD-L1 translation by targeting on PD-L1 3’UTR. MiRNA-513 also plays critical role in IFNγ-induced PD-L1 expression because it is regulated by IFNγ. In acute myeloid leukemia, an inverse correlation between PD-L1 and miR-34a expression was observed. MiR-34a binds at PD-
Figure 2  Post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of PD-L1. (A) The diagram showing the PD-L1 encoding gene CD274 and CD273. The exons and the 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTR) of CD274 and CD273 are listed. The frequently observed breakpoints in CD274 resulting from tandem duplication, deletion, inversion and translocation around 3'UTR region are indicated. (B) Four potential AU rich regions in 3'-UTR of CD274 are shown. (C) The reported miRNAs that target on 3'UTR to regulate PD-L1 are summarized. These miRNAs include but not limited to miRNA-513, miRNA-34A, miRNA-3609, Let-7, miRNA-155, miRNA-15A, miRNA-16, miRNA-148A, miRNA-200, miRNA-93, miRNA-27A, miRNA-106b and miRNA-140. (D) The protein modification of PD-L1 and the associated enzymes are demonstrated. The upper panel shows the protein modification and the modified amino acids including glycosylation at N35, N192, N200, N219 and phosphorylation at Y112, T180, S184, S195 and S283 as well as acetylation at K263. The low panel shows the associated enzymes that regulate protein modification. The PD-L1 associated regulators include but not limited to AMPK, JAK1, GSK3β, β-TrCP, SPOP, CSN5, USP9X, USP22, OTUB1, UCHL1, STT3A, P300, HDAC2, CMTM6, CMTM4 and EpCAM.
L1-3'UTR and downregulates the expression of PD-L1. Upon IFNγ and TNFs treatment, miR-155 is among the most highly upregulated miRNAs. The PD-L1-3'UTR contains two functional miR-155 binding sites. Endogenous miR-155 controlled the kinetics and maximal levels of PD-L1 induction after treatment with IFNγ and TNFα. In miR-25-93-106b cluster, PD-L1 is a novel target of miR-93 and miRNA-106b. The expression of PD-L1 can be diminished upon over-expression of miR-93 and miR106b mimics. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the low expression of miR-140 is associated with high expression of PD-L1. Over-expression of miR-140 suppresses the expression of PD-L1 by directly binding at PD-L1 3'-UTR. miRNA let-7 post-transcriptionally suppresses PD-L1 expression and the biogenesis of let-7 can be inhibited by RNA binding protein LIN28. miR-3609 is down-regulated in resistant breast cancer cells and mediated the chemoresistance to adriamycin. Transfection of a miR-3609 mimic markedly suppresses PD-L1 expression in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. MiR-148A identified in a comprehensive miRNA screening using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset in colorectal cancer is a negative regulator of PD-L1 expression particularly in mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) subset. MiR-148A directly binds to the 3'-UTR of PD-L1 and regulates immunosuppression. MiR-200 is a cellular autonomous suppressor of EMT and it also targets PD-L1. The ZEB1 and other EMT activators repress miRNA-200 expression and relieve inhibitory effect of miR-200 on PD-L1. In addition to tumor intrinsic miRNAs, exosome-containing miRNAs such as miR-27A, miRAN-15A and miRNA-16 can also target and modulate the expression of PD-L1. Interestingly, a Epstein–Barr virus encoding miRNA named miR-BHRF1-2-5p binds to both PD-L1 and PD-L2 3'-UTR for inhibiting their surface expression. Although many regulators have been identified in regulation of PD-L1 via its 3'-UTR, the comprehensive regulating network in the regulation of PD-L1 3'-UTR is still poorly understood. Due to the critical role of PD-L1 in immune homeostasis, it is reasonable to set up a precise network to control PD-L1 in different setting. However, dissecting this regulatory network is challenging but is important to understand immune evasion and ICB-based therapy.

5. Post-translational modification and regulation of PD-L1 protein stability

PD-L1 protein also undergoes diverse post-translational modifications including glycosylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation, and acetylation to regulate its stability (Fig. 2D). Glycosylation of membrane proteins is important for protein–protein interactions and proper activities. N-Glycosylation occurs on asparagine (N) at position 192,200 and 219 (N192, N200 and N219) of PD-L1. N-Glycosylated PD-L1 is stabilized by blocking β-TrCP-mediated protein degradation. GSK3β binds to non-N-glycosylated PD-L1 and phosphorylates PD-L1 at threonine 180 (T180) and serine 184 (S184), which leads to promote β-TrCP-mediated PD-L1 degradation (Fig. 2D). The N-glycosylation of PD-L1 is further confirmed by other mass spectrometry analysis. Phosphorylation at Tyr112 of PD-L1 by IL-6-activated JAK1 recruits the endoplasmic reticulum-associated N-glycosyltransferase STT3A to catalyze PD-L1 glycosylation and maintain PD-L1 stability. Metformin is a widely used oral medication to treat type 2 diabetes (T2D) and also maintains high cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) activity in tumor tissues. It is recently found that metformin induces the activation of AMPK to directly phosphorylate S195 of PD-L1 that results in abnormal PD-L1 glycosylation and ER-associated protein degradation. In some cases, the N-linked glycosylation by a recombinant glycosidase (peptide-N-glycosidase F; PNGase F) enhances PD-L1 detection and prediction of anti-PD-1/PD-1 therapeutic efficacy. Due to critical role of glycosylation on PD-L1 function and antibody recognition, targeting on PD-L1 glycosylation and its associated pathways is a very promising filed in cancer therapy and clinical diagnosis.

The protein abundance of PD-L1 fluctuates during cell cycle progression, peaking in M phase, followed by reduction in G1 and S phases. This dynamic change of PD-L1 in cell cycle is regulated by cyclin D-CDK4 and the cullin-3-SPOP E3 ligase via proteasome mediated degradation. CDK4 mediates phosphorylation of speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) and thereby inhibits SPOP degradation by the anaphase-promoting complex activator FZR1 (Fig. 2D). The E3 ligase SPOP interacts with PD-L1 via the last eight amino acids of PD-L1 (C-tail: 283–290) and ubiquitinates PD-L1. The ubiquitination of PD-L1 can be reversed by deubiquitinase CSN5. TNFα-induced CSN5 inhibits the degradation of PD-L1 and the CSN5 inhibitor curcumin sensitizes cancer cells to ICB therapy. In oral squamous cell carcinoma, USP9X deubiquitinates and stabilizes PD-L1. Besides these two deubiquitinatining enzymes, USP22, OTUB1 and UCHL1 have also been identified as PD-L1 deubiquitinases to stabilize PD-L1 in different cancer. As such, the stability of PD-L1 is tightly regulated by E3 ligases and deubiquitinases. The abnormal function of these enzymes plays critical role to stabilize PD-L1 and immune evasion in cancer.

Furthermore, PD-L1 also undergoes reversible acetylation mediated by P300 and HDAC2, which is critical for its nuclear translocation. Besides direct post-translational modification, other factors also play important role in regulating the stability of PD-L1. Two groups independently identify CMTM6 (CKLF like MARVEL transmembrane domain containing 6) as a critical factor in the regulation of PD-L1 protein stability by genome-wide sgRNA library screening. CMTM6 is widely expressed in many tissues, but the function is not investigated. In haploid HAP1 cells, IFNγ induces high PD-L1 expression and CMTM6 is a key regulator of PD-L1 protein expression identified by FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorting) sorted sgRNAs targeting CMTM6. CMTM6 localizes at cell surface and binds with PD-L1 protein by reducing the ubiquitination of PD-L1. Using another sgRNA library screen in BxPC-3 cells, CMTM6 was also identified as a critical regulator of PD-L1 expression by preventing PD-L1 from lysosome-mediated degradation. The regulation of PD-L1 protein level by CMTM6 and CMTM4 is widely conserved in multiple cancer types. In energy deprivation condition, aberrant energy status activates AMPK to phosphorylate PD-L1 on Ser283. The phosphorylated PD-L1 on Ser283 disrupts CMTM4-mediated degradation and subsequently triggers its degradation. The EGF-like domain I within the extracellular domain of EpCAM (EpEX) can be released by ADAM17 and γ-secretase-mediated cleavage. EpEX binds EGF-R and activates MAPK-ERK signaling to stabilize PD-L1 protein. Thus, PD-L1 is tightly regulated at post-translational level and the abnormality involved in these pathways is deleterious in immune evasion.
6. Enhanced membrane presentation and cellular transportation of PD-L1

Engagement of PD-1 by PD-L1 leads to the inhibition of T cell receptor-mediated lymphocyte proliferation and cytokine secretion. Thus, the cellular transportation and membrane presentation of PD-L1 in cancer cells is an important aspect to determine the inhibitory activity of PD-L1. Chemotherapy is a first line therapy for many cancers especially advanced cancers. However, it is recently found that chemopreventive agents such as paclitaxel, etoposide and 5-fluorouracil can greatly induce the surface expression of PD-L1 and thus might play a role in immune evasion.83 Besides, the regulation of PD-L1 in tumor microenvironment and the dynamic cellular distribution. In tumor microenvironment, there are lots of reported factors, such as IFNγ, IFNα, IFNβ, IL-17, IL-4, IL-27, IL-6, Fibronectin, Vironectin and the stiffness, that can induce the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 via different mechanism at different levels. Expressed PD-L1 and PD-L2 resident on membrane to inactivate T cells locally. The membrane PD-L1 can translocate to nucleus through HIP1R/KPNA2/KPNB1 pathway. Nuclear PD-L1 is acetylated and translocates to membrane via exportin. Intracellular PD-L1 can secrete to tumor microenvironment or even long distance via exosome. Unlike the membrane resident PD-L1 inactivates T cells locally, the exosome-containing PD-L1 can systematically inactivate T cell function. The protein stability of PD-L1 was regulated by CMTM6 or CMTM4 by blocking SPOP- and β-TrCP-mediated degradation. However, in low energy condition, the activated AMPK can phosphorylate PD-L1 and disrupt the interaction between PD-L1 and CMTM4. Thus, the tumor microenvironment can substantially affects the distribution and function of PD-L1 not only locally but also systematically.
chemotherapeutic agent, pemetrexed, can also increase PD-L1 levels by activating both mTOR/P70S6K and STAT3 pathways.84

In addition, nuclear staining of PD-L1 have been reported in cancer tissues, circulating tumor cells and doxorubicin-treated breast cancer cells, but the function and translocation of nuclear PD-L1 (nPD-L1) are unclear.85,86 Recently, it is found that hypoxia-induced p-STAT3 physically interacts with PD-L1 and facilitates its nuclear translocation. Nuclear PD-L1 enhances the transcription of GSDMC (gasdermin C), which is specifically cleaved by caspase-8 upon TNFα treatment. The cleaved GSDMC generates a GSDMC N-terminal domain that forms pores on cell membrane and induces pyroptosis instead of apoptosis in hypoxia (Fig. 3).87 Nuclear PD-L1 also regulates sister chromatid cohesion by directly interacting with cohesion subunit STAG2 and SCC1. It allows PD-L1 to compete with WAPL binding to PDS5B and secures proper sister chromatid cohesion and segregation.88 Deletion of nPD-L1 impairs the subcellular distribution of STAG1 and provokes chromosome segregation errors.89 Furthermore, the nuclear import of PD-L1 is dependent on importin pathway including KPNB2 and KPNB1 (Fig. 3).90 Thus, PD-L1 not only acts as an immune checkpoint but also has non-immune checkpoint function, which is less explored. The complicated cellular function of PD-L1 makes the clinic prediction be more difficult.

7. The regulation of secretion of exosomal PD-L1 and its role in systematic anti-tumor immune suppression

In addition to contact suppression of T cell function by membrane PD-L1, tumor cells also secrete exosomal PD-L1 to suppress immune response at distance or even systematically. It is recently reported that metastatic melanoma releases a high level of exosomes that carry PD-L1 on their surface (Fig. 3).91 The containing of PD-L1 on exosomes is further increased by IFNγ. The high level of exosomal PD-L1 in patients before treatment is a marker for poor prognosis. However, the high magnitudes of increased exosomal PD-L1 after treatment is an indicator of adaptive response to T cell re-invigorating.92 Systemically introduced exosomal PD-L1 can promote the growth of tumors eventhough these tumors are unable to secrete their own12. Tumor cells obtain the active defense ability to anti-tumor immunity by exosomal PD-L1. The combination of exosome secretion inhibition and ICB has the potential to improve anti-tumor response in clinic.93 The exosomal PD-L1 but not soluble PD-L1 in plasma is an independent prognostic factor in gastric cancer. It seems that exosomal PD-L1 is more powerful than membrane PD-L1 to induce T-cell dysfunction due to stability of exosomal PD-L1 and MHC-I.94 In follow up analysis, PD-L1 levels in circulating exosomes seem to be a more reliable marker than PD-L1 expression in tumor biopsies. It might be useful to predict the response to ICB treatment and clinical outcome.95,96 In the help of technique development, exosomal PD-L1 can be examined by a dual-target-specific aptamer combined with droplet digital PCR (ddPCR).97 It is interesting to explore the nature of exosomal PD-L1 in vivo and its potential in the prediction of response as well as be a direct therapeutic target.

8. Extracellular signals orchestrate oncogenic network to generate PD-L1 and PD-L2 as well as immune evasion

In tumor mass, tumor cells and the surrounding blood vessels, immune cells, fibroblasts, signaling molecules and the extra cellular matrix composed the microenvironment. In tumor microenvironment, a number of factors have been identified to induce the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in tumor cells (Fig. 3). One of the most studied molecules is the inflammatory cytokine IFNγ. IFNγ is produced by activated T cells and subsequently induces PD-L1 expression on tumor cells via JAK–STAT pathway, preferentially through STAT1/IRF1. The IFNγ-induced PD-L1 feedback turns off the activated T cells and renders cancer cells survival.9 Like IFNγ, type-I interferons, i.e., IFNα and IFNβ, also induce PD-L1 expression but with less effective.98,99 Other inflammatory stimuli such as IL-17, TNFα, IL-4 and IL-27 can up-regulate PD-L1 expression in different cancers, too100–102 (Fig. 3).

Although IL-6 does not directly induce the transcription of PD-L1, it promotes endoplasmic reticulum-associated N-glycosylation of PD-L1 and PD-L1 stability.103 The combination of blocking antibodies targeting both IL-6 and PD-L1 reduces progression of pancreatic cancer.103 Besides cytokines, the matrix in tumor microenvironment such as fibronectin and vironectin can regulate constitutive and IFNγ-induced PD-L1 expression via αvβ3-integrin104 (Fig. 3). Increased deposition of extracellular matrix can strengthen stiffness and affect the phenotypes and properties of cancer cells. It was recently observed that the expression of PD-L1 is enhanced as the stiffness of substrates is increased.105 These features make the therapy of solid tumor become more difficulty.

Since the first anti-CTLA4 (cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4) antibody was approved by U.S. food and drug administration (FDA), there are six more ICTs targeting on PD-L1 and PD-L1 have been approved106. Among them, three antibodies targeting on PD-L1 (pembrolizumab, nivolumab, and cemiplimab) and others targeting on PD-L1 (atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab) (Fig. 4). In addition, four antibodies targeting on PD-L1 including tislelizumab, sintilimab, toripalimab and camrelizumab were approved by NMPA (National Medical Products Administration) at China (Fig. 4). To avoid side effects, overcome resistance and improve therapeutic efficiency, combination therapy based on ICIs is an emerging trend in tumor immunology.108 (Fig. 4). However, the clinical value of combination immunotherapy remains controversial and the design of combinational trial is challenging especially the precise recruitment of patients. Simultaneously targeting the regulation pathway of PD-L1/PD-L2 and ICIs might reduce therapeutic doses to attenuate side effects. Ideally, unique molecular mechanism regarding the regulation of PD-L1/PD-L2 in cancer might provide novel ways to specifically evoke anti-tumor immune response but leave immune homeostasis intact. Currently, several combinational clinical trials targeting PD-1/PD-L1 and their regulators such as STAT3 (NCT03647839, NCT02467361, NCT02851004), PARP1 (NCT03951415), BRAF (NCT02902029), CSF-1R (NCT04301778) are ongoing (www.clinicaltrials.gov) (Fig. 4). Results from the clinical trial targeting STAT3 (napabucasin) and PD-1 (pembrolizumab) show anti-tumor activity in metastatic colorectal cancer with microsatellite stable, while the single medicine show no anti-tumor activity in such patients.109 Although the results did not meet the primary end point, it is still very promising by considering no biomarkers were used in recruiting patients. Thus, comprehensive understanding the regulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in cancer particularly dissecting the difference between normal and cancerous cells will not only provide novel targets but also biomarkers for combinational therapy.
9. Conclusions

The immune checkpoint blockade targeting on PD-1/PD-L1 has revolutionized cancer treatment and demonstrated the significant impact on patient outcomes, including durable response and extended survival\(^\text{110}\). However, it is still a long way to broaden the benefit for more cancer patients. The current treatment design for different patients is inadequate. Thus, providing a precision therapy by design of different ICB treatment strategies along with other cancer treatment methods will be benefit to more cancer patients. However, precision of immune-checkpoint blockade is not an easy way, which is largely dependent on the fully understanding on the regulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in cancer as well as in normal tissue. PD-L1 broadly expressed in normal organ tissues including spleen, thymus, stomach, prostate, esophagus, liver, testis, small intestine, skin, lung, bone, pancreas and rectum\(^\text{111,112}\). However the expression of PD-L2 is restricted to several normal tissues such as lymph nodes, thymus, testis and small intestine\(^\text{111,112}\). Upon autoimmune responses as well as stimulations such as LPS (lipopolysaccharide), IFN\(\gamma\), IL-4, GM-
Recent years, although researchers have made great progress in understanding PD-L1, it is still not completely or largely unknown how other treatments affect the regulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2. Thus, it is important to take more efforts to further explore novel regulation of PD-L1 in different levels and in different contexts as well as different characteristics between noncancerous and cancerous tissues. In this paper, we provide a relatively comprehensive summary of known PD-L1/PD-L2 regulatory networks and emphasize more efforts to add new knowledge into this PD-L1/PD-L2 regulatory panorama.
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