Therapeutic efficacy of macrolides in management of patients with mild COVID-19
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Evidence on the efficacy of adding macrolides (azithromycin or clarithromycin) to the treatment regimen for COVID-19 is limited. We testify whether adding azithromycin or clarithromycin to a standard of care regimen was superior to standard of supportive care alone in patients with mild COVID-19. This randomized trial included three groups of patients with COVID-19. The azithromycin group included 107 patients who received azithromycin 500 mg/24 h for 7 days, the clarithromycin group included 99 patients who received clarithromycin 500 mg/12 h for 7 days, and the control group included 99 patients who received standard care only. All three groups received only symptomatic treatment for control of fever and cough. Clinical and biochemical evaluations of the study participants including assessment of the symptoms duration, real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR), C-reactive protein (CRP), serum ferritin, D-dimer, complete blood count (CBC), in addition to non-contrast chest computed tomography (CT), were performed. The overall results revealed significant early improvement of symptoms (fever, dyspnea and cough) in patients treated with either azithromycin or clarithromycin compared to control group, also there was significant early conversion of SARS-CoV-2 PCR to negative in patients treated with either azithromycin or clarithromycin compared to control group (p < 0.05 for all). There was no significant difference in time to improvement of fever, cough, dyspnea, anosmia, gastrointestinal tract “GIT” symptoms and time to PCR negative conversion between patients treated with azithromycin compared to patients treated with clarithromycin (p > 0.05 for all). Follow up chest CT done after 2 weeks of start of treatment showed significant improvement in patients treated with either azithromycin or clarithromycin compared to control group (p < 0.05 for all). Adding Clarithromycin or azithromycin to the therapeutic protocols for COVID-19 could be beneficial for early control of fever and early PCR negative conversion in Mild COVID-19. Trial registration: (NCT04622891) www.ClinicalTrials.gov retrospectively registered (November 10, 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 infection is a major global health emergency with many countries still experiencing an increase in cases and related fatalities1,2. As of December 30, 2020, Egypt has reported 135,333 cases of COVID-19 and 7,574 deaths. Most cases were asymptomatic or had mild to moderate symptoms as dyspnea, fever, dry cough, sore throat, or malaise3-5. Mild cases pass unnoticed but they can transmit the infection and increase the disease burden. Therapeutic strategies vary between using newly developed medications and repurposing existing medications for COVID-19. Drugs such as remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir; (anti HIV drugs), chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine (antimalarial drugs) were recommended in COVID-19 treatment due to their antiviral activity6-8. Other drugs still under...
trial as tocilizumab and sarilumab can attenuate COVID-19 and associated cytokine storm by interfering with interleukin-6, also the mesenchymal stem cell therapy described earlier. The therapeutic use of convalescent plasma therapy in critically ill patients with COVID-19 has recently emerged. Isolating the SARS-CoV-2 disease-associated antibodies from the population of recovered patients would be considerably more advantageous if done in accordance with the disease's regional distribution. For the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 patients, raised antibodies should be manufactured on a large scale. These antibodies could provide an immediate strategy for SARS-CoV-2 treatment while the alternative, more time-consuming process of developing vaccines and new medicines continues.

Azithromycin is a widely available drug that might decrease viral load when added to hydroxychloroquine in patients with non-severe COVID-19. Furthermore, preclinical studies have reported immunomodulatory effects of azithromycin and other macrolides, which could control the intense inflammatory responses that might cause progression to organ failure.

Macrolides are bacteriostatic antibiotics, which are widely used in clinical practice against respiratory tract pathogens as gram-positive and atypical bacterial have been shown to have immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory effects. Macrolides have a glycosylic bond connects amino sugar and/or neutral sugar moieties to form a macro-lactone ring of variable size (12–22 carbon atoms). The only 15-membered ring is azithromycin (AZI), a macroide derivative known as an azalide. (CLA) is 14-membered macrolide, has largely replaced erythromycin (ERY) in clinical practice. The anti-inflammatory potential of macrolides to reduce the production of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF−), interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-8 has been reported in critical cases.

The antibiotic azithromycin suppressed the increase in mucin and TNF-α levels in respiratory epithelial cells from several human patients. It also helps to repair damaged tissues caused by inflammation because of its connection to the T-helper (TH) phenotype. Clarithromycin could regulate viral respiratory tract inflammatory infections by lowering sialic acid a 2,6-galactose sialyloligosaccharides (SA2,6Gal), partially inhibiting NF-kB, and raising endosome pH in respiratory epithelial cells. The anti-inflammatory mechanism of clarithromycin through inhibiting the activation of NF-kB in cell nuclei and transcription reduction make it a good candidate drug in COVID-19 setting as most of the disease pathology is due to inflammation. Together with the suppressing effect of clarithromycin on SAa 2,6Gal, a receptor for human influenza located on human tracheae surface mucosa, and prevents viral invasion, will lead to protein synthesis inhibition, translocation of aminoacyl transfer-RNA, and preventing peptide chain elongation. The same scenario can happen in SARS-Cov-2 viral infection.

To date, evidence on the efficacy and safety of adding azithromycin or clarithromycin to the treatment regimen for mild/moderate COVID-19 is limited by low-quality studies. The main objective of the current research is to address the effectiveness of these drugs in improving the clinical status and fasten PCR negative conversion in patients with mild COVID-19.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design and participants.** The current study is a clinical trial conducted at Qena Governorate, Egypt, during the period from May 2020, to September 2020. An institutional ethical committee approval, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt, was taken. Ethical approval code: SVU202(SVUCHT019–420860). A written informed consent was taken from all the patients participate in this study and the procedures were in accordance to Helsinki guidelines for human research.

Our study included 305 confirmed cases of mild COVID-19 according to WHO classification of clinical cases and all of them received the standard care regimen. They have active COVID-19, Oxygen saturation > 90% on room air and imaging shows less than 25% infiltrates on CT chest. They were randomly assigned to one of three study groups. The azithromycin group included, 107 patients who received oral azithromycin 500 mg/24 h for 7 days, the clarithromycin group included 99 patients who received oral clarithromycin 500 mg/12 h for 7 days, and the control group included 99 patients who received standard care only. All three groups received standard care regimen to control fever (paracetamol) and cough. The three included groups of patients were matched regarding to age, sex, and body mass index (BMI), they were non-smokers and not have any co-morbidities. Patients were followed in intermediate care facility for quarantine of mild COVID-19 cases. The study flow chart done in intermediate care facility for quarantine of mild COVID-19 cases was presented in (Fig. 1). Not all included patients at the start of the study were reported in this data because they did not follow up with CT or consent withdraws either because they were recovered or due to limited funding sources.

All study participants underwent full clinical evaluation including duration of fever, cough, dyspnea, anosmia or gastrointestinal tract “GIT” symptoms, daily evaluation of symptoms was done, fever chart was plotted 3 times daily measurement, patient was considered a febrile if body temperature < 37.2 C for two consecutive days. During the study period, an electroencephalogram (ECG) was performed on a regular basis to detect any potential cardiac arrhythmias caused by macrolides therapy, especially prolonged QT interval.

**Hematological, biochemical and molecular measurements, and imaging.**

A. Prior to therapy, 6 mls of venous blood were collected from each patient and divided into three parts: (2 mls were evacuated into EDTA tubes for CBCs; 2 mls were evacuated into serum gel separator tubes and allowed to clotted at 37 OC for 30 min until centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 10 min, and the separated sera were used for C-reactive protein (CRP) and ferritin assays, while the remaining 2 mls were calibrated to be evacuated into citrate tubes, and the separated citrated plasma was used for D-dimmer assays after centrifugation) as follows:
Figure 1. Algorithm of the study design and participants.

1. CBC with differential: automatic cell counter, cell dye-Ruby (Abbott Diagnostics, Santa Clara, California, USA).
2. D-dimer is measured using a CS-1600 digital blood coagulation analyzer from Japan. Spectrum, Egyptian company of biotechnology, Cairo, Egypt, catalogue N.585002, provided the assay kit. The assay is focused on reinforced immunoturbidimetry, in which monoclonal anti-D-dimer antibodies in the reagent react with D-dimer antigen in the sample to form antigen/antibody complexes that increase the turbidity of work solution. Reference range: up to 0.5 ng/dL.
3. CRP (C-reactive protein): the semi-quantitative latex agglutination test was used to conduct the assays (AVITEX CRP kits; Catalog No. OD023; supplied by Omega Diagnostics, UK). Reference range: < 6 mg/dL.
4. Serum ferritin assays were carried out using commercially available ELISA assay kits (provided by BIO-CHECK, INC, 323 VNTAGE Park Dr. Foster City, CA94404-catalog number: BC-1025) and a thermo science ELISA multisikan EX microplate photometer (STAT FAX-2100, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Reference range: 10–232 ng/dL.
B. PCR analysis was carried on aliquots of Universal Transport Medium (UTM) that were used to collect nasopharyngeal swabs (Huachenyang Technology, China). On a Rotor-Gene Q (QIAGEN Hilden, Germany) and QIA symphony platform (QIAGEN, USA), aliquots were extracted and tested with real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) using the QIAamp DSP Virus Spin Kit (QIAGEN Hilden, Germany)24,25. The PCR was repeated on the fifth day and every 48 h thereafter till two consecutive negative PCR results were obtained.
C. Non-contrast chest computed tomography (CT) which was repeated 2 weeks after start of treatment. Improvement in CT chest was defined as complete resolution of infiltrates in follow up CT chest done after 15 days from start of treatment.

Randomization and masking. Patients were randomly assigned (1:1:1) either to; azithromycin plus standard of care, clarithromycin plus standard of care or standard of care alone. Randomization in blocks of variable size (4, 6, and 8) was performed in an electronic case report form system and stratified by age (≥ 50 years vs. < 50 years), CRP, ferritin and D-dimer. Allocation was done by a web-based, automated randomization system. Patients and data interpreter were blinded to study drug assignment, while investigators, and health-care providers were not masked to study drug assignment.

Statistical analysis. Statistical package of social sciences (SPSS), version (21) (SPSS: An IBM Company, version 21.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. Quantitative data presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and qualitative data presented in the form of frequency and percentage. Independent Sample T-test, ANOVA or Chi-square test was used to determine the statistically significant variances among the study groups. Pearson's correlation was used to compare the studied parameters. P ≤ 0.05 reflected significance.
Ethical approval. An institutional ethical committee approval, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt, was taken, Ethical approval code: SVU202(SVU-CHT019-420860). Clinical trial registration no. is (NCT04622891). First posted November 10, 2020.

Informed consent. A written informed consent was taken from all the patients participate in this study and the procedures were in accordance to Helsinki guidelines for human research.

Results
Baseline demographic, clinical, laboratory and imaging data of the study groups. The current study included 305 confirmed cases of mild COVID-19 who were allocated into three groups. The azithromycin group included, 107 patients, mean age 45.8 ± 18 years, 73 male and 34 female, the clarithromycin group included 99 patients mean age 46.1 ± 19 years, 68 males and 31 female, the control group included 99 patients, with mean age 41.1 ± 18 years, 73 male and 28 female with non-significant differences between the study groups regarding to the age and sex, indicating matching, p > 0.05 for all (Table 1).

As regards the baseline clinical and laboratory data, there were no significant differences in the frequency percentage of fever, cough, dyspnea and anosmia, or the mean ± SD of the baseline CRP, serum ferritin, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and D-dimer, or the extent of CT chest infiltrates between azithromycin, clarithromycin or control groups, p > 0.05 for all (Table 1).

Therapeutic efficacies of macrolids in patients with mild COVID-19 compared to standard supportive therapy. There was significant shorter duration of fever (days), in patients treated with either azithromycin (5.2 ± 2.3) or clarithromycin (4.9 ± 1.5) compared to control group (12.9 ± 2.2), P < 0.000. Duration of cough also showed significant fewer days for improvement in patients treated with either azithromycin (5.4 ± 2.7) or clarithromycin (5.1 ± 2) compared to control group (12.9 ± 2.2) P < 0.0001, in addition, dyspnea duration (days) showed significant early improvement in patients treated with either azithromycin (4.6 ± 3.3) or clarithromycin (4.7 ± 2.9) compared to control group (9.3 ± 2.7), P < 0.0001 (Table 2). There was significant early conversion (days) of SARS-CoV-2 PCR to negative in patients treated with either azithromycin (8.7 ± 2.8) or clarithromycin (8.3 ± 2.6) compared to control group (13.2 ± 4.2), P < 0.0001 (Table 2).

There was no significant difference in time to improvement of fever (P = 0.351), cough (P = 0.481), dyspnea (P = 0.726), and time to PCR negative conversion (P = 0.351) between patients treated with azithromycin compared to patients treated with clarithromycin (Table 2).

Follow up chest CT chest done 2 weeks after the start of treatment showed significant improvement in patients treated with either azithromycin 70 (65%) or clarithromycin 76 (77%) compared to control group 53 (54%) P < 0.0001, (Table 2).

In terms of the survival analysis, our study protocol stated that the follow-up period would be 7 days only, and none of the patients would die during that time. Furthermore, none of the patients who were included in the study complained of arrhythmias or chest pain.

| Variables | Azithromycin Group N = 107 | Clarithromycin Group N = 99 | Control Group N = 99 | P value |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (mean ± SD) | 45.8 ± 18 | 46.1 ± 19 | 41.1 ± 18 | 0.098 |
| Sex : N (%) | | | | |
| Males | 73(68.2%) | 68(68.6%) | 73(73.7%) | 0.638 |
| Females | 34 (31.8%) | 31 (31.4%) | 26 (26.3%) | |
| Fever N (%) | 107 (100%) | 99 (100%) | 99 (100%) | 0.135 |
| Cough N (%) | 107 (100%) | 96 (97%) | 96 (97%) | 0.154 |
| Dyspnea N (%) | 78 (73%) | 86 (87%) | 85 (86%) | 0.056 |
| Anosmia N (%) | 21 (19.6%) | 23 (23.2%) | 23 (23.2%) | 0.768 |
| GIT symptoms N (%) | 32 (30%) | 40 (40%) | 33 (33%) | 0.027 |
| CRP (mean ± SD, mg/dl) | 16.3 ± 15.8 | 20.6 ± 19.4 | 18.5 ± 18.1 | 0.216 |
| D-dimer (mean ± SD, ng/mL) | 191 ± 291 | 182 ± 260 | 237 ± 285 | 0.334 |
| Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (mean ± SD) | 3.2 ± 0.9 | 3.1 ± 1.2 | 3 ± 1.2 | 0.598 |
| Ferritin (mean ± SD, ng/mL) | 715 ± 417 | 790 ± 507 | 690 ± 477 | 0.292 |
| CT Chest | | | | |
| <5% infiltrates | 0 | 3 (3%) | 2 (2%) | 0.171 |
| 6–25% infiltrates | 107 (100%) | 96 (97%) | 97 (98%) | |
had no benefit in the management of COVID-19 patients compared to standard of care. All of the evidence was
tination with other medications—to placebo or no treatment in patients with COVID-19 indicated that macrolides
contrast, a report that comprised randomized trials comparing macrolides—as monotherapy or in combina-
lower respiratory tract27. Clarithromycin’s efficacy against viral infections such as rhinovirus (RV), respiratory
in combination with azithromycin, did not improve clinical status at 15 days as compared to standard therapy
in patients hospitalized with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 37.

Discussion
COVID-19 care presented significant problems to physicians and specialists, in part due to the disease’s novelty
and the severe symptoms it causes. Vaccination, despite its recent introduction, is still only used in a limited
number of situations. Identifying safe and effective therapeutic options for the treatment of such a highly con-
tagious disease is crucial in this scenario36. Antiviral therapies or neutralizing monoclonal antibodies should be
used to treat the causal virus as soon as possible; however, treating the underlying inflammatory disease may
not be beneficial. The antiviral effect of macrolids was originally discovered in individuals with widespread pan
bronchitis who were given a modest dose of erythromycin and saw a reduction in inflammation in the upper and
lower respiratory tract27. Clarithromycin’s efficacy against viral infections such as rhinovirus (RV), respiratory
syncytial virus (RSV), and influenza virus has been studied previously28–31.

In this trial, two groups of patients were randomly assigned to receive either azithromycin or clarithromycin
in addition to standard care, and were compared to a third group that only got standard care. The average mean
of age was comparable among the 3 study groups (azithromycin was 45.8 years, clarithromycin was 46.1 years,
and control group was 41.1 years respectively, P > 0.05). Before starting the therapy regimen, the clinical and
laboratory investigations of the patients do not differ. Fever, dyspnea, and cough improved significantly, and
SARS-CoV-2 PCR was converted to negative in both azithromycin and clarithromycin groups earlier than in
the control group. The benefit–risk profile of macrolides in COVID-19 patients is currently being discovered.

According to clinicaltrials.gov, there are now 20 ongoing research trials using azithromycin, either alone or in
combination with other drugs, in COVID-1932. Azithromycin pretreatment of COVID-19 patients can minimize
LPS-induced lung bioluminescence and airway cell infiltration, lower the amount of proinflammatory cytokines
in bronchoalveolar lavage, and inhibit NF-κβ nuclear translocation, according to a study by Stellari et al.33. In the
other hand, a total of 1438 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 were included in one trial. 735 (51.1%) were
given hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin, 271 (18.8%) were given hydroxychloroquine alone, 211 (14.7%)
on the other hand, a total of 1438 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 were included in one trial. 735 (51.1%) were
given hydroxychloroquine with azithromycin, 271 (18.8%) were given hydroxychloroquine alone, 211 (14.7%)
given azithromycin alone, and 221 (15.4%) were given other medications. In-hospital mortality did not
differ significantly when statistical analyses were adjusted34. It’s important to note that their patients weren’t
in the same circumstances as ours, who were mild cases for which azithromycin or clarithromycin would still
have a chance to suppress the immune response and lower cytokine expression before severe inflammation and
problems developed. They also failed to account for the time required for PCR negative conversion. In agree-
ment, Gautret et al. reported that in six patients, combining azithromycin with hydroxychloroquine resulted in
statistically higher viral clearance (6/6, 100%) compared to hydroxychloroquine monotherapy (8/14, 57%)35. In
contrast, a report that comprised randomized trials comparing macrolides—as monotherapy or in combina-
tion with other medications—to placebo or no treatment in patients with COVID-19 indicated that macrolides
had no benefit in the management of COVID-19 patients compared to standard of care. All of the evidence was
inconclusive, thus a larger trial was advised36. Cavalcanti et al. found that hydroxychloroquine, either alone or
in combination with azithromycin, did not improve clinical status at 15 days as compared to standard therapy
in patients hospitalized with mild-to-moderate COVID-1937.

The use of a chest CT scan in the early diagnosis of COVID-19 patients is critical35. As time passes as the
disease progresses, the radiological results tend to become more confluent and bilateral. Dense consolidations
with ground glass opacities (GGOs) are an example of this36. There was a substantial reduction in lung infiltrates
in patients treated with azithromycin or clarithromycin compared to the control group when it came to the
effect of macrolides therapy on radiological findings of the included patients. In confirmation of azithromycin’s
importance in treating COVID-19, a survey of 6200 physicians in 30 countries found that azithromycin was the
second most regularly recommended antibiotic for COVID-19 treatment37. Based on our results we concluded
that, azithromycin or clarithromycin when used in mild COVID-19 cases are safe and effective adjunctive therapy
for alleviating the early symptoms, viral shedding that can reduce viral transmission.

### Table 2. Duration of symptom improvement and PCR conversion to negative in the study groups among
patients with mild COVID-19. P1 = Azithromycin treated group versus clarithromycin treated group.
P2 = Azithromycin treated group versus control group. P3 = clarithromycin treated group versus control group.

| Variables          | Azithromycin Group | Clarithromycin Group | Control Group | P1 value (1,2) | P2 value (1,3) | P3 value (2,3) | P value ANOVA |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Time to PCR –ve (Mean ± SD, days) | (8.7 ± 2.8) | (8.3 ± 2.6) | (13.2 ± 4.2) | 0.351 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Fever days (Mean ± SD) | (5.2 ± 2.3) | (4.9 ± 1.5) | (12.9 ± 2.2) | 0.353 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Cough days (Mean ± SD) | (5.4 ± 2.7) | (5.1 ± 2) | (12.9 ± 2.2) | 0.481 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Dyspnea days (Mean ± SD) | (4.6 ± 3.3) | (4.7 ± 2.9) | (9.3 ± 2.7) | 0.726 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 |
| Anosmia days (Mean ± SD) | (0.48 ± 0.9) | (1.2 ± 3) | (0.9 ± 2.3) | 0.024 | 0.208 | 0.323 | 0.076 |
| GIT symptoms days (Mean ± SD) | (0.9 ± 1.7) | (1.5 ± 2.4) | (1.2 ± 2) | 0.046 | 0.406 | 0.250 | 0.134 |
| CT chest follow-up | Improved | 70 (65%) | 76 (77%) | 53 (54%) | 1.000 |

Variables: Mean ± SD, days; Fever, Cough, Dyspnea, Anosmia, GIT symptoms, CT chest follow-up.
Conclusion
The current study provides evidence for the use of macrolids (clarithromycin or azithromycin) in mild COVID-19 treatment protocols, which could be effective for early fever management and PCR negative conversion. More research into macrolids’ safety and efficacy, as well as their use in conjunction with other regularly used therapy modalities, is needed.

Study limitations
The study's main limitations were the small sample size, single-center analysis, and absence of long-term comparative follow-up of the included patients to determine any late complications, protection duration, and the probability of re-infection after complete COVID-19 cure.
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