Evidence of multisensory plasticity: Asymmetrical medial geniculate body in people with one eye
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Abstract
The medial geniculate body (MGB) plays a central role in auditory processing with both efferent and afferent tracts to primary auditory cortex. People who have lost one eye early in life have enhanced sound localization, lack visual over auditory dominance and integrate auditory and visual information optimally, similar to controls, despite taking longer to localize unimodal visual stimuli. Compared to controls, people with one eye have decreased lateral geniculate nuclei (LGN) volume as expected given the 50% deafferentation of the visual system. However, LGN volume is larger than predicted contralateral to the remaining eye, indicating altered structural development likely through recruitment of deafferented LGN cells. The current study investigated whether structural MGB changes are also present in this group given the changes they exhibit in auditory processing.

Methods: MGB volumes were measured in adults who had undergone early unilateral eye enucleation and were compared to binocularly intact controls.

Results: unlike controls, people with one eye had a significant asymmetry with a larger left compared to right MGB, independent of eye of enucleation. MGB volume correlated positively with LGN volume in people with one eye.

Conclusions: volume asymmetry in the MGB in people with one eye may represent increased interactions between the left MGB and primary auditory cortex. This interaction could contribute to increased auditory and other left hemisphere-dominant processing, including language, as compensation for the loss of one half of visual inputs early in life. The positive correlation between MGB and LGN volume is not due to space constraints but rather indicates increased plasticity in both auditory and visual sensory systems following early eye enucleation.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Every day, as we interact with the world, we take in important information from the environment through our sensory systems. Sensory information, with the exception of olfaction, is first processed subcortically by the thalamus, which then projects information to the cortex for further processing (Bartlett, 2013). There is evidence that when one sense is compromised, as in the case of complete blindness, brain regions associated with the lost sense (i.e., visual cortex) can be reorganized for use by the other senses (e.g., Röder et al., 2002). We have recently shown that structural reorganization at the subcortical level in the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) of the thalamus occurs in cases of sensory deprivation (Kelly et al., 2014).

The medial geniculate body (MGB) of the thalamus plays a central role in auditory processing (Devlin, 2006; Bartlett, 2013). It relays auditory information for higher order processing in primary auditory cortex, however, substantial reciprocal connections from the primary auditory cortex back to the MGB also exist (Bartlett, 2013; Lee et al., 2004; Lee, 2013). This combination of ascending/descending sensory connections allows for the complex perception of sounds (Bartlett, 2013). In humans, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has shown activation in the MGB during sound localization and sound recognition (Maeder et al., 2001), as well as speech and emotional voice discrimination (von Kriegstein et al., 2008; Ethofer et al., 2012). Cortical feedback can alter MGB responses and provide dynamic gain enhancement or suppression through direct excitatory (corticothalamic feedback) or indirect inhibitory (corticothalamic influence on the thalamic reticular...
atomical re-modelling (Hunt et al., 2005; Karlen, 2006). Reorganization that it is possible for primary sensory afferents, such as retinal projecting the interaction between vision and other senses, since vision Monocular enucleation also provides an excellent model for investigating multisensory stimuli to provide an enhanced contextual processing in their remaining senses. For example, they show increased processing of stimuli and in its sensitivity (Devlin, 2006). Devlin et al. (2006) have been able to structurally image the MGB to resolve the functional localization concerns. Their method is now considered the gold standard for anatomical localization of the MGB and other thalamic structures. Given the existing auditory and audiovisual behavioural differences and the morphological changes in the LGN in people with one eye, we investigated whether structural changes in the MGB also exist in this group.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

2.1.1. People with one eye (monocular enucleation, ME)

Ten adult participants who had undergone monocular enucleation (ME) at The Hospital for Sick Children participated in this study (mean age = 26 years, SD = 10; 5 female). All ME participants had been unilaterally eye enucleated (5 right eye removed) due to retinoblastoma, a rare childhood cancer of the retina. Age at enucleation ranged from 4 to 60 months (mean age at enucleation = 21 months, SD = 16).

2.1.2. Binocular viewing control participants (BV)

Fifteen binocularly intact controls with a mean age of 30 years (SD = 11; 6 female; 11 right eye dominant) were tested and reported no history of abnormal visual experience. All participants (ME, BV) reported normal hearing, normal or corrected-to-normal acuity as assessed by an EDTRS eye chart (Precision Vision™, La Salle, IL) and wore optical correction if needed. All participants gave informed consent prior to inclusion in the study, which adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the York University Office of Research Ethics.

2.2. Data acquisition, processing and measurements

All scans were acquired on a Siemens MAGNETOM Trio 3 T MRI scanner with a 32-channel head coil in the Sherman Health Sciences Research Centre at York University. Proton density (PD) weighted images were processed using tools from the freely available FMRIB’s Software Library (FSL; version 4.1.8) (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/). All data acquisition and processing were conducted according to methods used in Kelly et al. (2014) for assessing LGN volume. Thalamic nuclei have been successfully identified using PD weighted images previously (Devlin et al., 2006; Kelly et al., 2014). See Kelly et al. (2014) for more detailed data acquisition and processing procedures.

High-resolution T1-weighted images were acquired with the following parameters: rapid gradient echo, 1 mm3 isotropic voxels, TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.52 ms, 256x256 matrix, and flip angle = 9°. Either 30 or 40 PD weighted images per participant were acquired coronally with the following parameters: turbo spin echo, 800 x 800 μm in-plane resolution, slice thickness = 2 or 1 mm, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 22 or 26 ms, 256x256 matrix, and flip angle = 120°. Total scan time per participant was approximately 1.5 h. The smaller number (30) of PD weighted images for some participants was due to time constraints.

Using FSL toolbox applications, all PD weighted images for each participant were interpolated to twice the resolution and half the voxel size using FLIRT (Jenkinson and Smith, 2001; Jenkinson et al., 2002) to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. These images were then concatenated using fslmerge and motion-corrected using MCFLIRT (Jenkinson et al., 2002). From the series of interpolated PD weighted images, a mean high resolution PD image was created per participant using fslmaths.

Following image acquisition and processing, three independent raters manually traced the left and right MGB region of interest (ROI)
masks three times each for each participant using the mean PD weighted image. For each rater, ROIs were merged and a median mask was created using fslmerge and fslmaths, respectively. Median masks from each of the three raters were merged together and a final median mask across raters was created. MGB volumes (left and right) were calculated for each participant from this final median mask using fslstats. All intra-rater inter-class correlations (ICC) were above 0.85 and all inter-rater ICCs were above 0.85. ICCs above 0.70 indicate that measurements were consistent both within and between raters (Cohen, 2001). These methods are the gold standard and most appropriate for evaluating thalamic structures in clinical settings (e.g., Bridge et al., 2009; Devlin, 2006; Schmitz et al., 2003). An averaged, interpolated PD weighted image with an outline of the final median ROI left and right MGB mask is shown in Fig. 1.

3. Results

3.1. Ipsilateral vs contralateral MGB volume

A 2 × 2 repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing group (ME vs BV) and MGB side (ipsilateral vs contralateral to remaining or dominant eye) revealed no significant interaction, \( F(1,23) = 0.825, p = 0.373 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.035 \). There was no main effect of participant group, \( F(1,23) = 0.059, p = 0.810 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.003 \), or MGB side, \( F(1,23) = 0.013, p = 0.909 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.001 \). People with one eye did not differ in MGB volume compared to controls. Fig. 2a plots MGB volume ipsilateral and contralateral to the dominant or remaining eye of BV and ME groups respectively.

3.2. Left vs right MGB volume

A 2 × 2 repeated measures ANOVA comparing participant group (ME vs BV) and MGB side (left vs right) revealed a trend toward an interaction, \( F(1,23) = 4.159, p = 0.053 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.153 \). There was no main effect of participant group, \( F(1,23) = 0.599, p = 0.810 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.003 \), or MGB side, \( F(1,23) = 0.003, p = 0.990 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.001 \). People with one eye did not differ in MGB volume compared to controls. \( F(1,23) = 0.810 \) and \( \eta^2_p = 0.003 \). People with one eye did not differ in MGB volume compared to controls. Fig. 2b plots the left and the right MGB volume of the BV and ME groups.

3.3. Comparing LGN and MGB volumes

We investigated the relationship between the existing LGN volumes (Kelly et al., 2014) and our current MGB volumes. For our control participants non-parametric Spearman correlations comparing left MGB to left LGN, \( r(15) = -0.25, p = 0.36 \) and right MGB to right LGN, \( r(15) = 0.13, p = 0.64 \) were not significant. For our ME group, there is a strong positive Spearman’s correlation comparing left MGB to left LGN, \( r(10) = 0.73, p = 0.02 \), while there is no significant correlation when comparing the right MGB to right LGN volumes, \( r(10) = -0.03, p = 0.95 \). Furthermore, there were no significant correlations when comparing the contralateral (to the dominant or remaining eye of participants) LGN to the contralateral MGB in both controls: \( r(15) = -0.11, p = 0.71 \) and ME group: \( r(10) = 0.28, p = 0.43 \), or when comparing ipsilateral LGN to ipsilateral MGB in both controls: \( r(15) = -0.02, p = 0.96 \) and ME group: \( r(10) = -0.16, p = 0.66 \). Fig. 3A plots the LGN and MGB correlations for the control participants. B: plots the LGN and MGB correlations for the ME group.

4. Discussion

The current study used structural MRI to anatomically localize and measure the MGB volume in people with one eye and binocularly intact controls. Overall, people with one eye displayed an asymmetry in MGB volume with a larger left than right MGB, regardless of which eye was enucleated. Binocularly intact controls did not display an asymmetry.

Although traditionally viewed as an audiocentric structure, rat studies have shown that subnuclei of the MGB also receive some visual input (Limke et al., 2000; Komura et al., 2001, 2005). In humans, participants presented with low intensity visual stimuli paired with an auditory tone showed increased behavioural sensitivity and functional activation in the MGB, indicating crossmodal influences on the MGB (Noesselt et al., 2010). These crossmodal influences on the MGB might be driven
through corticothalamic feedback mechanisms, which in turn may influence multisensory interactions (Noesselt et al., 2010). In addition, people with one eye have superior sound localization abilities (Hoover et al., 2012) supporting the documented coding of sound localization in the MGB (Samson et al., 2000). Given that our patient population is missing half of the retinal inputs to the visual system, the MGB asymmetry observed in people with one eye may reflect neural reorganization at the subcortical level during postnatal maturation. This is consistent with

Fig. 3. MGB volume (mm$^3$) correlated with LGN volume (mm$^3$) (taken from Kelly et al., 2014) for control participants (Column A) and for the ME group (Column B).
the cortical changes that have been found in this patient group described below.

Recently, Kelly et al. (2015) found that people with one eye exhibited significantly larger cortical surface area in auditory supramarginal and superior temporal regions, specifically in the left hemisphere compared to binocularly intact controls. These regions are implicated in short-term memory of auditory information (Paulsen et al., 1993) and audiovisual multisensory integration (Beauchamp et al., 2004), respectively, indicating reorganization in cortical areas outside of primary visual regions following early monocular enucleation (Kelly et al., 2015). The cortical surface area, LGN and the present findings complement auditory and audiovisual behavioural data in this monocular enucleation group showing equal processing of paired auditory and visual signals (Moro and Steeves, 2012), better sound localization (Hoover et al., 2012) and optimal audiovisual integration (Moro et al., 2014) compared to controls. It is possible that the increase in cortical surface area in the left hemisphere auditory and multisensory regions with monocular enucleation is reflected in strengthened corticothalamic feedback to the left MGB and has lead to the presently observed MGB volume asymmetry.

The relationship between the previously published LGN and the present MGB volumes in both controls and people with one eye were correlated. If the larger MGB volume were limited by space constraints then the MGB asymmetry should be related to a decrease in size of the LGN and one would predict a negative correlation. We found no relationship in any comparison except for a strong positive correlation between the left MGB and left LGN in people with one eye. This signifies that a larger left MGB is related to a larger left LGN in people with one eye and rules out the space constraints prediction. A larger left MGB cannot be solely explained as a harvesting of unused subcortical real estate since a larger left MGB is associated with a larger left LGN. Instead, a strong positive correlation indicates overall increased plasticity across sensory systems that is not restricted by subcortical space confines but rather is related to corticothalamic feedback from left hemisphere cortical areas that have larger surface area (Kelly et al., 2015) thereby demonstrating multi-level reorganization of sensory systems.

Multisensory events, such as audiovisual speech processing, have been reported to involve thalamic modulation (Baier et al., 2006; Cappe et al., 2009; Musacchia et al., 2007) and the MGB responds preferentially to more complex speech-like structure (Jiang et al., 2013). People with dyslexia show a reversed hemispheric asymmetry to our current findings (i.e., smaller left versus right MGB) (Galaburda, 1994). The left hemisphere of cortex plays a central role in language processing due to its analysis of fast temporal auditory transitions (Galaburda, 1994). Phonological abnormalities in people with dyslexia may be reflected in adverse left hemisphere cortical reorganization contributing to the reverse MGB asymmetry found in dyslexia (Galaburda, 1994). People with one eye have mild face recognition deficits compared to binocular and monocular viewing controls (Kelly et al., 2012). It is possible that as a consequence of their mild face-processing deficit, the brain of people with one eye has reorganized to emphasize speech and language for identifying individuals, which could lead to specific structural changes to language areas in the left hemisphere of the brain. Future studies investigating behavioural measures of speech and language processing in this group would be an ideal extension of these anatomical data.

5. Summary

The MGB volume asymmetry in people with one eye may represent increased interactions between the left MGB and primary auditory cortex. This interaction could contribute to increased auditory and other aspects of left hemisphere-dominant processing, including language. We observed overall multisensory plasticity with a relationship showing increasing plasticity in the visual LGN and the auditory MGB, reflecting subcortical reorganization as compensation for the loss of one half of visual inputs to the brain early in life.
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