Tracheostomy Ventilation in a Patient with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis
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Editorial

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a rapidly progressive terminal neurological disorder. It causes profound disability and average life span is 2-3 years from symptom onset. Death is usually due to respiratory failure. ALS can affect adults of any age, but the mean age of onset is 55-60 years. There is no cure and treatment is only supportive. Of supportive interventions, supporting respiratory function with non-invasive ventilation (NIV) has been demonstrated to have the largest survival benefit[1]. Due to the relentless degeneration of the motor neurones innervating the respiratory muscles, after a period of successful benefit, NIV becomes ineffective and the patient can only survive if provided with invasive ventilation i.e., tracheostomy ventilation (TV).

There are illustrious cases such as that of Prof. Stephen Hawking where life expectancy was significantly prolonged with TV and good quality nursing care. TV, however requires extensive resources and 24 hour nursing care. It is also associated with complications such as stoma infection, aspiration, fistula formation etc. There are also ethical issues as to prolong life in the face of a progressive and disabling illness may cause a patient being trapped in his body and quality of life may not be sustained. Also, once initiated the decision to stop ventilator can be emotionally challenging for the patient as well as the physician, as it can be seen as a form of euthanasia. For these reasons TV is not actively offered to the patients with ALS in Europe. In the United States, most insurance policies do not cover the cost of TV, however, in Japan cost of TV is fully covered by the government and medical insurance. According to a survey only 30% of the patients took this option with informed choice[2]. Another survey reported that only 8% of ALS specialists discussed this option with their patient[3].

Studies on quality of life after tracheostomy have reported that patients were satisfied with their decision to have a tracheostomy and their quality of life was acceptable[4,5]. Benefits of TV, however, have not been confirmed in a randomised controlled trial. Despite the lack of level 1 evidence but support from prospective studies, I feel that the option of TV as a life prolonging measure should be available to some carefully selected patients with ALS, for examples patients who have specific aims or reasons to live for. I illustrate this argument with the following case.

Mr. X was an active researcher when diagnosed with ALS at the age of 55 years. He was initially supported with NIV, which gradually became ineffective. He discussed the option of having a tracheostomy with his neurologist. He expressed a specific desire to finish his scientific papers and to spend some time with his family. He said “As long as I can continue to use my brain to communicate, life is worth living”. He also expressed a desire to have control over stopping ventilation when he feels that the burden of disease was too much for him and his family. With the help of his neurologist, he wrote an advanced directive clearly outlining the circumstances when he would wish TV to be withdrawn. He underwent tracheostomy and was discharged home with 24 hour nursing care. He coped well for about a year following which he became almost bed bound and concentration span of reading and writing on computer became less. Another 6 months later he expressed that he had enough and was prepared to stop the ventilation. As per his advanced directive palliative care was initiated and his ventilator was stopped while he was kept sedated with midazolam. His respiration ceased 15 minutes post withdrawal. His family felt the transition was peaceful.

This case illustrates that in carefully selected patients TV can be used to accomplish specific goals which may be
important for patients and their families. However, there are
two ethical arguments against this approach. An important
principle of medical ethics is “equality of access” i.e., access
to medical interventions should be same for all patients and
patients are not discriminated on the grounds of age and
social class or productivity. In other words, if we were to offer
TV to the patients with ALS, it should be offered to all without
discrimination. Secondly, health care resources are finite and
interventions need to fulfil the criteria of cost effectiveness and
health economics. TV may not be considered as cost effective if
assessed in an unselected cohort of ALS patients. It will also be
unethical to inform patients of an intervention which has high
financial burden and the cost cannot be covered in the national
health services. Hence, realistically speaking, TV is an option for
only those patients who could fund its cost by their own means.
Where appropriate TV should be carefully planned with detailed
discussion and decisions/goals documented in an advanced
directive.
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