Antibacterial Susceptibility Pattern of Bacteria Isolated from Retail Fish in Okada, Edo State Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

This study aimed at the microbiological analysis of retail frozen and smoked fish in Okada Edo state Nigeria. Four different retail fish samples were collected from different point of sale in Okada market. Two fresh iced and smoked samples respectively were used for the study. After sub culturing prepared samples into appropriate media, 38 bacterial isolates were obtained from primary culture plates and characterized using standard microbiological methods. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed on the isolates using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. All the isolates obtained were Gram positive cocci bacteria and had a probable identity of Streptococcus sp, Staphylococcus sp and Coagulase negative Staphylococcus sp. The fresh fish samples had a minimum viable count of $1.7 \times 10^6$ cfu/g and a maximum count of $4 \times 10^6$ cfu/g while the smoked fish samples had a minimum viable count of $1.8 \times 10^6$ cfu/g and a maximum count of $8.5 \times 10^6$ cfu/g. Results from this study shows that the samples do not meet the acceptable limit ($5 \times 10^5$ cfu/g) of the International Commission of Microbiological Specification for Food (ICMSF). The antibiotic susceptibility test showed the presence of antibiotic resistant organisms among the retail fish samples. The detection of high viable counts of bacteria isolates beyond acceptable limits and their antibiotic resistance pattern elucidates the need for continuous monitoring of retail sea foods in the environment. Prudent use of antibiotics in aquaculture should be promoted to avoid the public health impact caused by antibiotic resistance transferred from retail sea foods to humans.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Fish is a food that has excellent nutritional value, providing high quality protein and a wide variety of vitamins and minerals, which includes vitamins A and D, phosphorous, magnesium, selenium and iodine in marine fish. It’s a protein-like meat that can be easily digestible and complements dietary protein provided by cereals and legumes that are typically consumed in many developing countries like Nigeria. It is a particularly important protein source in regions where livestock is relatively scarce. Fish has been reported to supply <10% of animal protein consumed in North America and Europe, but 17% in Africa, 26% in Asia and 22% in China [1]. Aquaculture appears to be one of the last frontiers to increase contributions to food security in the developing world. To meet the ever-increasing demand for fish, aquaculture has expanded very rapidly and now represents the fastest growing agricultural industry in some countries, with freshwater aquaculture dominating total aquaculture production. For example, this global picture is reflected in Africa where aquaculture supply high quality food at low cost to millions of people, generate income for farming and fishing households and play a central role in many local and national economies [2]. Fisheries and aquaculture provide an important source of food and livelihoods for more than one billion people globally. Aquaculture has been reported to be one of the fastest growing food production sectors in the world [3]. Its increasing global importance is directly related to the contribution it makes to reduce the gap between supply and demand for fish production. A previous report by “Reference [4]”, asserted that fish contributes about 60% of the world supply of protein and that 60% of the developing world derives more than 30% of their animal protein from fish. Fish are generally regarded as safe nutritious foods but products from
Aquaculture have sometimes been associated with certain food safety issues [5]. “Reference [6]” reported that an increase in the production of fish increases the likelihood of and severity of parasites and disease outbreaks, which is a major challenge to aquaculture production. The continuous pollution of the aquatic environment also increases the likelihood of infection; untreated wastes are deposited on the ground, washed away by rainwater and discharged into nearby natural water bodies and ponds [7]. A large number of fish species, both marine and freshwater are potential sources of medically important zoonotic diseases [8]. Some of these diseases are highly pathogenic; and the main cause of human infection is the interaction of man with these organisms both in the consumption of raw or inadequately cooked fish or during processing [9, 10].

The use of antimicrobials has played an important role in treatment and growth promotion in the aquaculture industries [11]. Antibiotics are used in aquaculture to treat diseases caused by bacteria [12] but more commonly antibiotics are used for prophylaxis by treating the water or fish before diseases occur [13]. While the prophylactic method of preventing disease is profitable as it prevents loss and allows fish to grow more quickly, the overuse of antibiotics can create antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Antibiotic resistant bacteria can spontaneously arise when selective pressure to survive results in changes to the DNA sequence of the bacterium allowing that bacterium to survive antibiotic treatments. Pathogenic bacteria causing human disease can also become resistant to antibiotics as a result of treatment of fishes with antibiotics as some of the same antibiotics used in aquaculture are also used for treatment in humans [14]. The overuse of antibiotics in aquaculture (among other agricultural uses) could create public health issues [15]. According to a previous report by “Reference [16]”, most fish related food borne illness are traced to Salmonella, Staphylococcus sp, Escherichia sp, Vibrio, Parahaemolyticus, Clostridium perferenges and Enteroviruses [17]. Treating antimicrobial resistant bacterial infections as a result of consumption of contaminated aquaculture products can be difficult. It undermines empirical treatment regimens, thereby delaying the options and administration of appropriate antibiotic therapy. This also contributes to increased patient morbidity and mortality. Hence monitoring of sources of antimicrobial resistance is necessary especially in developing countries like Nigeria that have inadequate data as regards various sources of antimicrobial resistance. The aim of this work was to determine the frequency of possible organisms in retail fish obtained from Okada markets and determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of such isolates.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample collection

The fish samples were collected between April-May, 2019. Four different retail fish samples were obtained from different point of sale in Okada market. Two fresh iced and smoked samples respectively were obtained for the study. The fish samples were aseptically collected in sterile polythene bags and transported to the laboratory for microbiological analysis. The samples were processed within an hour of collection.

2.2 Microbiological evaluation of samples

The skin of the fish samples was aseptically scraped using procedures described by “Reference [18]”. Samples were prepared using a previously described method by “Reference [19]” with little modifications. The fish samples were cut from the head, middle and tail regions using a sterile knife and mashed in a sterile laboratory type mortar with pestle. From the crushed samples, a gram of each sample was weighed and a tenfold serial dilution was prepared for the microbiological analysis of the samples. The samples were inoculated on Nutrient agar and MacConkey agar plates. All plates were incubated at a temperature of 37°C for 24 hours. The plates were examined for bacterial growth through formation of isolated colonies. Visible colonies were counted to obtain the total viable count on each plate. Discrete colonies were picked out. Isolates were identified and characterized based on their cultural characteristics, colonial and microscopic appearance and biochemical reactions. Standard microbiological/biochemical methods were used in the identification of bacteria [20]. The Biochemical tests included Gram staining reaction, Citrate, Catalase, Coagulase and Oxidase test.

2.3 Antimicrobial sensitivity test

The Kirby-Bauer susceptibility testing technique [21] was carried out. Isolates were cultured on Nutrient agar overnight at 37°C. The inoculum was adjusted to McFarland 0.5. The isolates were tested with 8 antibiotics which include; Ofloxxacin(5µg), Erythromycin(5µg), Cloxacillin(5µg), Gentamicin(10µg), Augmentin(30µg), Ceftriaxone(30µg), Ceftazidine(30µg), Cefuroxime(30µg) on Mueller Hinton agar plates. Incubation was performed at 37°C for 24 hours and results were also interpreted using EUCAST criteria [22].

3.0 RESULTS
The viable colony count (cfu/g) of the samples showed that smoked fish samples had higher colony count than that of the frozen fish samples. Table 1 shows the viable colony count for the frozen and smoked fish samples.

**Table 1 Viable colony count for the frozen and smoked fish samples.**

| Fish samples | Viable colony count (cfu/g) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| T1           | 1.7x 10⁶                    |
| T2           | 4x 10⁶                     |
| S1           | 1.8x 10⁶                    |
| S2           | 8.5x 10⁶                   |

Key T-Fresh fish sample, S-Smoked fish sample

Out of the four samples examined, thirty eight distinct isolates were obtained from the various isolates observed from the primary plates. The identified bacteria obtained from the fish samples include *Staphylococcus* sp, *Coagulase negative Staphylococcus* sp and *Streptococcus* sp. *Streptococcus* sp was the most prevalent bacteria isolated from the samples especially the smoked fish samples. Table 2 shows the frequency distribution of the isolates from the fish samples.

**Table 2 Frequency distribution of the isolates from the fish samples**

| Isolates                      | No of isolates | Frequency % |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| *Staphylococcus* sp           | 3              | 7.9%        |
| *Coagulase negative Staphylococcus* sp | 2 | 5.3% |
| *Streptococcus* sp            | 33             | 86.8%       |

Using the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST guidelines) for determining susceptibility, the antimicrobial susceptibility test showed the presence of resistant isolates to antibiotics examined (Table 3 and 4).

**Table 3 Antibiogram of isolates from the Smoked fish samples**

| S/N | Isolate | Caz 30ug | Crx 30ug | Gen 10ug | Ctr 30ug | Ery 5ug | OfI 5ug | Aug 30ug | Cxc 5ug |
|-----|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 10      | 17      | R        | R       |
| 2   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 20      | R       | R        | R       |
| 3   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 15      | R       | R        | R       |
| 4   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 29      | R       | R        | R       |
| 5   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 10      | 38      | R        | R       |
| 6   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | R       | R       | R        | R       |
| 7   | CoN     | R        | R        | 10       | R        | 11      | R       | R        | R       |
| 8   | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 30      | R       | R        | R       |
| 9   | CoN     | R        | R        | R        | R        | 19      | R       | R        | R       |
| 10  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 20      | R       | R        | R       |
| 11  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | R       | R       | R        | R       |
| 12  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 29      | R       | R        | R       |
| 13  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | 20      | R       | R        | R       |
| 14  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | R       | R       | R        | R       |
| 15  | Strep sp| R        | R        | R        | R        | R       | R       | 5        | R       |
| 16  | Strep sp| R        | R        | 9        | 22       | 33      | R       | R        | R       |
| 17  | Staph   | 20       | R        | 15       | R        | R       | R       | R        | R       |
Table 4 Antibiogram of isolates from the fresh fish samples

| S/N | Isolates | Caz | Crx | Gen | Ctr | Ery | OfI | Aug | Cxc |
|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     |          | 30μg| 30μg| 10μg| 30μg| 5μg | 5μg | 30μg| 5μg |
| 1   | Strep sp | R   | R   | 17  | R   | R   | 22  | R   | R   |
| 2   | Strep sp | R   | R   | 22  | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| 3   | Strep sp | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| 4   | Strep sp | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | 25  | R   |
| 5   | Staph sp | R   | R   | 14X | R   | R   | 19  | R   | R   |
| 6   | Strep sp | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | 18  | R   | R   |
| 7   | Strep sp | R   | 10  | 7   | 10  | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| 8   | Strep sp | R   | R   | R   | R   | 15  | R   | R   | R   |

Key: Cxc- Cloxacillin, Gen- Gentamicin, Ctr- Ceftriaxone, Ery- Erythromycin, Caz- Ceftazidine, OfI- Ofloxacin, Crx- Cefuroxime, Aug- Augmentin, x-zone of inhibition in mm, Strep sp- Streptococcus sp, Staph sp- Staphylococcus sp, CoNStaph sp- Coagulase negative Staphylococcus sp

The resistance phenotype of isolates recovered from the fresh fish and smoked fish samples showed the least number of antibiotics that isolates were totally resistant to was five (5) and the highest number of antibiotics was eight (8). Table 5 and 6 shows the resistance phenotype of isolates recovered from the fresh and smoked fish samples.
### Table 5 Resistance phenotype of isolates from smoked fish samples

| Isolates   | Antibiotics                  | Number |
|------------|------------------------------|--------|
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| CoN Staph sp | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 8      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Ofl, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| CoN Staph sp | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Staph sp   | Caz, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Gen, Ctr, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 6      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp   | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
Table 6 Resistance phenotype of isolates from frozen fish samples

| Isolates | Antibiotics          | Number |
|----------|----------------------|--------|
| Strep sp | Caz, Crx, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 6      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Crx, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ery, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 8      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Staph sp | Caz, Crx, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 6      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Crx, Gen, Ctr, Ery, Aug, Cxc | 7      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Ctr, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 5      |
| Strep sp | Caz, Ctr, Gen, Ctr, Ofl, Aug, Cxc | 7      |

4.0 DISCUSSION

The results of the bacteriological status of both fresh and smoked fish are summarized with variations found in the total bacterial counts. The fresh fish samples had a minimum count of $1.7 \times 10^5$ cfu/g and a maximum count of $4.0 \times 10^6$ cfu/g while the smoked fish samples had a minimum count of $1.8 \times 10^5$ cfu/g and a maximum count of $8.5 \times 10^5$ cfu/g. Results from this study show that the samples do not meet the acceptable limit of $5 \times 10^5$ cfu/g of the International Commission of Microbiological Specification for Food (ICMSF) [23]. This shows the microbiological quality of the frozen fishes and smoked fishes which is a public health concern. Reasons for the bad quality could be due to environmental air pollution, contamination by various hands touching the fish, and for the frozen fish it could get contaminated by not storing the fish at right temperature. The international institute of refrigeration recommends a storage temperature of fatty fish such as mackerel at -24°C and cooked fish should be kept at a temperature of 140°F or higher to keep it outside the temperature zone in which bacteria, that causes food borne illness grows quickly [24]. “Reference [25]” reported different microbial counts in fish samples obtained from a Nigerian market with respect to environmental conditions (temperature and length of exposure) with highest viable counts obtained at room temperature (25°C) and above 8 hours of exposure (out of refrigeration). Therefore, keeping fish under atmospheric conditions or at room temperatures for a very long time is shown to predispose it to microbial deterioration.

The total viable count in the smoked fish samples was higher than that of the frozen samples. The presence and high number of the isolates could be explained by the fact that these organisms can withstand the adverse processing conditions and also the sanitary conditions under which the smoked fish samples are handled and kept [26, 27, 28].

Previous studies show various organisms have been isolated from fish samples. They include Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Shigella sp, Staphylococcus epidermis, Streptococcus sp, Salmonella typhi, E.coli, Klebsiella sp and Proteus mirabilis [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. The result of this study showed that Streptococcus sp and Staphylococcus sp as the only organisms isolated from the samples. The high number of Streptococcus sp isolated from the samples is noteworthy. Previous reports worldwide have shown Streptococcusal diseases in wild and farmed populations of diverse fresh water and marine fish [32, 33, 34]. In Nigeria, previous studies also confirm the isolation of Staphylococcus sp and Streptococcus sp alongside other bacteria from fish samples [25, 27, 29].

The antibiotics susceptibility test showed high level of resistance in the isolates. “Reference [35]” reported antibiotic resistance among bacterial species isolated from the fish (Clarias gariepinus). “Reference [36]” reported multidrug resistant bacteria isolated from fresh fish and fish handlers in Maiduguri, Nigeria. A previous report also show the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of microorganisms isolated from smoked and frozen fishes sold in Benin and Warri Metropolis in Nigeria [37]. High levels of
bacterial resistance to antibiotics are indications of abuse and misuse of antibiotics in the environment. Antibiotic resistant isolates have been isolated from fish farms especially farms with history of antibiotic use. When antibiotics are mixed with fish food, residual antibiotics may be found in fish products and fish meat. The use of antibiotics in the rearing of fish could be detrimental to the health of the fish and also that of animals and humans. Bacterial groups co-habiting a common environment may express a similar antibiotic sensitivity pattern if they share a common pool of R-factor plasmids [38]. The resistant bacteria may transfer resistance directly to humans or indirectly by transferring resistant determinants to other pathogenic bacteria of humans. The presence of highly resistant pathogens which are also human pathogens is a public health threat as these resistant bacteria may cause diseases in humans.

Also, the continuous pollution of the aquatic environment which is a situation more peculiar to rural settings like the Okada community in Edo state, Nigeria increases the likelihood of infection as untreated wastes are dumped on the grounds, washed away by rainwater and discharged into nearby water bodies and ponds [7]. The use of organic manure by fish farmers may contribute to antibiotic resistance of bacterial isolates from their farms and this occurs through the transfer of antibiotic residues and resistant bacteria to fish farms if the commercial farm from which the manure is sourced uses antibiotics [39].

Compliance with standard microbiological measures to prevent contamination by these organisms becomes very necessary and should be enforced. A global effort to curb the over-use of antibiotics in the rearing of fish is essential in preventing detrimental effects to fish, animal and human health. However, diseases from contaminated sea foods can be curtailed by preparing food hygienically. Political awareness and consumer education on food safety will help strengthen enforcement of food standards, improve hygienic practices, and prevent foodborne illnesses. In 2006, WHO introduced the five keys to safe foods. Each key contains a simple message that, when practiced, help prevent foodborne disease. The Five keys are: (keep clean, separate raw and cooked food, cook thoroughly, keep food at safe temperatures, use safe water and raw materials) and choose foods that have been produced without the use of antibiotics for growth promotion or disease prevention in healthy animals [40].

5.0 CONCLUSION

Considering the rapid growth and importance of the aquaculture industry in many regions of the world and the widespread, intensive, and often unregulated use of antibacterial agents for fish and shellfish production, additional efforts are required to prevent the development and spread of antibacterial resistance in aquaculture. Fish processors and vendors should improve handling hygiene and consumers should also cook smoked fish properly to minimise early spoilage and possible health hazards. Continuous monitoring of antibiotic resistant bacteria in fish samples will not only reduce the risk of diseases to the fishes but public health hazard to handlers and consumers in general. Aseptic and proper hygienic condition need to be maintained throughout all steps which include catching, transportation, processing, handling and preservation of retail fish samples. Prudent use of antibiotics in aquaculture should be promoted.
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