Mediator, TATA-binding Protein, and RNA Polymerase II Contribute to Low Histone Occupancy at Active Gene Promoters in Yeast*
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Transcription by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) in eukaryotes requires the Mediator complex, and often involves chromatin remodeling and histone eviction at active promoters. Here we address the role of Mediator in recruitment of the Swi/Snf chromatin remodeling complex and its role, along with components of the preinitiation complex (PIC), in histone eviction at inducible and constitutively active promoters in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. We show that recruitment of the Swi/Snf chromatin remodeling complex to the induced CHA1 promoter, as well as its association with several constitutively active promoters, depends on the Mediator complex but is independent of Mediator at the induced MET2 and MET6 genes. Although transcriptional activation and histone eviction at CHA1 depends on Swi/Snf, Swi/Snf recruitment is not sufficient for histone eviction at the induced CHA1 promoter. Loss of Swi/Snf activity does not affect histone occupancy of several constitutively active promoters; in contrast, higher histone occupancy is seen at these promoters in Mediator and PIC component mutants. We propose that an initial activator-dependent, nucleosome remodeling step allows PIC components to outcompete histones for occupancy of promoter sequences. We also observe reduced promoter association of Mediator and TATA-binding protein in a Pol II (rpb1-1) mutant, indicating mutually cooperative binding of these components of the transcription machinery and indicating that it is the PIC as a whole whose binding results in stable histone eviction.
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ing it may be regarded as part of the general transcription machinery (5–7).

In addition to its role in PIC recruitment, Mediator also plays a role at some promoters in the recruitment of other co-activator complexes. For example, in S. cerevisiae, Mediator was reported to be required for recruitment of the Swi/Snf chromatin remodeling complex at several glucose-regulated promoters and at the Gcn4-dependent ARG1 and SNZ1 promoters, and for Swi/Snf-dependent remodeling as well as Swi/Snf recruitment at the induced RNR3 promoter (8–10).

To better understand the functional relationship between Mediator and other coactivator complexes, we previously examined the dependence on Mediator of Swi/Snf recruitment and chromatin remodeling at the induced CHA1 promoter (11). CHA1 encodes a serine-threonine dehydratase that enables yeast cells to use serine or threonine as nitrogen sources, and is rapidly induced upon addition of serine to complete synthetic medium (CSM) (12). CHA1 activation is essentially abolished at the restrictive temperature in yeast harboring a temperature-sensitive mutation in the essential Mediator subunit Srb4/Med17, and recruitment of PIC components TBP, Kin28, and Pol II, is greatly impaired (11). Induction of CHA1 also results in recruitment of the Swi/Snf complex and in remodeling of a nucleosome that incorporates the TATA element (11, 13–15). Surprisingly, this nucleosome remodeling, which is accompanied by histone eviction, occurs normally in swi/snf mutant yeast (11, 14). In contrast to the strong effect seen on recruitment of PIC components TBP, Kin28, and Pol II in srb4/med17 ts yeast, neither recruitment of Swi/Snf nor chromatin remodeling were affected in this Mediator mutant (11). This result suggested that chromatin remodeling and Swi/Snf recruitment at the induced CHA1 promoter occur independently of Mediator. However, we also found that although head and middle module subunits of Mediator were not recruited in srb4/med17 ts yeast, tail module subunits were still associated with several active promoters, including that of CHA1 (3, 11). Thus, we could not rule out a role for the tail module of Mediator in Swi/Snf recruitment or chromatin remodeling, and we report here the results of investigating this possibility.

We also found that CHA1 activation in wild type yeast, as has been reported for other inducible promoters, was accompanied by reduced histone association at the promoter, as monitored by ChIP against histone H3 (11). Surprisingly, histone eviction was not observed upon CHA1 induction in srb4/med17 ts yeast, despite the chromatin remodeling observed by altered cleavage of chromatin by micrococcal nuclease (MNase). To explain this apparent discrepancy, we hypothesized that the altered MNase accessibility measured in both wild type and srb4/med17 ts yeast at the induced CHA1 promoter reflected an alteration in nucleosome dynamics, whereas stable eviction resulting in a diminished ChIP signal occurred in a later step requiring PIC assembly. A prediction of this model was that deficient PIC assembly caused by other means than the srb4/med17 ts mutation would similarly result in a lack of histone eviction at the induced CHA1 promoter without compromising remodeling assessed by MNase cleavage. Furthermore, we speculated that other active promoters might also exhibit increased (relative to wild type controls) histone promoter occupancy in mutants that compromised PIC formation. We report here the results of examining the effects of mutations in Mediator and PIC components on histone H3 association with the induced CHA1 and additional gene promoters, and provide support for PIC-dependent histone eviction at active promoters.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions—The S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. For the experiments of Fig. 1, B and C, BY4741 was used as wild type except for the kinetic analysis of SNF5A yeast, where the Rpb3-TAP strain from the yeast TAP-tagged collection was used (16); deletion mutants were from the Yeast Deletion Collection (17). SNF2-TAP and SRB5-13MYC alleles were introduced into yeast (see Table 1) by standard techniques (18). Transformations were done using a standard lithium acetate protocol (19). Yeast cells were grown at 30 °C on CSM lacking appropriate...
amino acids (6.7 g/liter Yeast Nitrogen Base without amino acids, 2% glucose, or 1.5% raffinose, CSM (DropOut) powder (Bio101)) or Yeast Extract Peptone dextrose (YPD) (20 g/liter of bactopeptone, 10 g/liter of yeast extract, 2% glucose, 0.15 g/liter of L-tryptophan) media. For temperature shift experiments, samples were grown at 25 °C to an optical density at 600 nm between 0.7 and 1.0, and then shifted rapidly to 37 °C by addition of pre-warmed media and incubated at 37 °C for times as indicated in the figure legends prior to cross-linking for ChIP. For CHA1 induction, serine was added to a concentration of 1 mg/ml for 2 h prior to measuring transcription (Fig. 1B), 30 min before cross-linking for ChIP, and 30 min before nuclei preparation for MNase digestion. For MET gene induction, CdCl₂ was added to a concentration of 0.5 mM 60–90 min prior to cross-linking. Yeast harboring the kin28-as analog-sensitive mutation, and the control strain, were grown in CSM-ura containing 1 mg/ml of serine (to allow CHA1 activation) and treated for 1 h with 1 μg/ml of NA-PP1 prior to cross-linking. Plasmid pPₚₚₘₒ₅v₃₃-lacZ and galactose induction conditions were as reported previously (20, 21).

RNA isolation and Northern Analysis—RNA was isolated from yeast cultures grown to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6 to 1.0. For kinetic analyses of CHA1 induction (Fig. 1D), an aliquot was taken from each culture to serve as a no serine control. The CHA1 promoter was then induced by adding 1 mg/ml of serine to the cultures. At time points ranging from 1 min to 3 h, 10-ml aliquots were transferred to 50 ml of polypropylene tubes containing 50 μl of 10% sodium azide to halt transcription. RNA isolation and Northern analysis were performed as described (22, 23). Probes were prepared by PCR and blots successively probed for CHA1 and PYK1 or 26 S rRNA for normalization. For kinetic analyses, the values from each replicate were normalized by setting the sum of the 5-, 15-, 30-, and 60-min samples to 100 prior to calculating mean ± S.D. for each time point. This normalization allows easier visual comparison between kinetics of wild type and mutant, but erases the differences in mRNA levels between the deletions and the wild type, which are therefore not apparent in the plots of Fig. 1D.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)—ChIP was performed essentially as described previously (3, 11, 20) with the following modifications: the samples were sonicated using a Diagenode Bioruptor at high power setting, 30-s “on”/30-s “off” for a total of 15 min to obtain sheared DNA 200–600 bp in length. For induction of the CHA1 gene, 1 mg/ml of serine was added to the medium 30 min before cross-linking. To induce methionine biosynthesis genes, 0.5 mχ CdCl₂ was added to the medium for 60–90 min (24) before cross-linking. For ChIP of myc-tagged proteins, whole cell extract was incubated with ~2 μg of anti-myc antibody (Roche Applied Science). For ChIP of TAP-tagged proteins, antibody to protein A (Sigma) was used. Antibodies against TBP, Snf5, Sp3, Gen5, and Ada2 were a generous gift of Tony Weil (Vanderbilt University). Other antibodies used in ChIP reactions were against Rpb4 from Abcam (5 μl/ChIP reaction) and pan-H3 from Abcam (2.5 μl/reaction). For ChIP against Snf5, initial experiments (Fig. 2A) were done using antibody from Abcam; subsequent experiments (performed after this antibody was discontinued for sale) were done using antibody generously provided by Tony Weil (Vanderbilt University). ChIP at the PHO5v33 locus was performed as described, and normalized relative to ACT1 (20). A paired t test was used to calculate p values.

Quantitations were done by real time PCR; log₂ ratios of immunoprecipitation/input are depicted in figures after subtracting the log₂ ratios obtained for a non-transcribed region of ChrV (25). Promoter regions were interrogated using primers from yeast cultures grown to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6. For analyses of the plasmid-borne PHO5v33 promoter, the probe for indirect end labeling and the primers for ChIP analysis were specific for the plasmid-borne PHO5v33 as opposed to the chromosomal PHO5 locus.

Chromatin Preparation and Indirect End Label Analysis—Yeast nuclear extract was prepared from yeast grown to mid-log phase, aliquots were digested for 8–10 min at 37 °C with micrococcal nuclease (Worthington Biochemicals), and samples were analyzed by indirect end labeling as previously described (26). Clal accessibility assays were done as described previously (27, 28).

RESULTS

Dependence of Swi/Snf Complex Recruitment on Mediator—CHA1 activation is accompanied by remodeling of a nucleosome that incorporates the TATA element (Fig. 1A) (14, 15). Previously, it was reported that this remodeling does not

| Gene  | Primer sequence                     |
|-------|------------------------------------|
| PHO5v33 |                                 |
| UASP2-For | GAATAGCAAGATCTCTTAATGATGATCA     |
| UASP2-Rev | GCCAAACTGCAGCAAGATCTCTAAAATT     |
| UASP2-TaqMan | AC-TGCGATGACATCCTCTCCG     |
| ACT1-For | TGCAATGCTGTTAGATGTGTT         |
| ACT1-Rev | TCAAAATGCTGTAGATGTGTT         |
| ACT1-TaqMan | CCTACGTGCTTTCAAAATCTCCGCTGTGTT |

Table 2: Primers used in this study
require Gcn5, Swi/Snf, or Rpb1 (11, 14). To gain additional insight into chromatin remodeling during activation of \textit{CHA1}, we examined the effects of the loss of core subunits of known nucleosome remodeling complexes on \textit{CHA1} activation. Little or no change was seen in steady-state-induced expression levels of \textit{CHA1} in yeast strains lacking core members of the ISW and INO80 complexes (30, 31), or Fun30, which has homology to Snf2 and functions in gene silencing in heterochromatin and DNA repair (Fig. 1B) (32–34). We also tested the effect on \textit{CHA1} induction in the absence of the histone chaperone Asf1, which contributes to chromatin remodeling and transcriptional activation of the paradigmatic PHOS gene in yeast, particularly under conditions of partial phosphate depletion (35, 36), and again found no significant effect (Fig. 1B). In contrast, individual deletion of genes encoding three core members of the Swi/Snf complex, SNF2, \textit{SWI3}, and SNF5, decreased \textit{CHA1} expression by 2–4-fold (14) (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, we observed delayed induction of \textit{CHA1} in \textit{snf2}\Delta and \textit{swi3}\Delta yeast (Fig. 1D). Thus, although the Swi/Snf complex is not required for chromatin remodeling at the \textit{CHA1} promoter upon activation (11, 14), it contributes to both the kinetics and steady-state level of induced expression.

Consistent with its playing a role in \textit{CHA1} activation, the Swi/Snf complex is recruited to the \textit{CHA1} promoter upon induction (11, 13). In previous work examining the relationship between the Mediator complex and chromatin remodeling of \textit{CHA1}, we found that Swi/Snf was recruited in an \textit{srb4/med17\textit{ts}} mutant, in which recruitment of the Mediator middle and head modules was not observed (11). This suggested that Swi/Snf recruitment might occur via a pathway independent of Mediator. However, the tail module of Mediator remains associated with the induced \textit{CHA1} promoter, and with many other promoters, in \textit{srb4/med17\textit{ts}} yeast (3, 11), leaving open the possibility that the tail module could play a role in recruitment of the Swi/Snf complex. We recently showed that although deletions of individual subunits from the Mediator tail module do not affect \textit{CHA1} activation, a \textit{gal11/med15\Delta med3\Delta} mutation abrogates \textit{CHA1} activation and results in a failure to recruit sub-

**FIGURE 1.** \textit{CHA1} induction depends on the Swi/Snf complex. A, schematic diagram of the \textit{CHA1} promoter. The dashed ellipse represents the nucleosome that occludes the TATA element in the uninduced promoter and is remodeled upon activation. \textit{B}, \textit{CHA1} expression in CSM containing 1 mg/ml of serine was measured by Northern analysis and normalized to PYK1 mRNA in wild type (BY4741) and deletion mutants as indicated. Error bars represent S.D. (\(n = 4\)). \textit{C}, \textit{CHA1} expression in CSM containing 1 mg/ml of serine was measured by Northern analysis and normalized to 26 S rRNA in wild type (BY4741) and snf/swi deletion mutants as indicated. Error bars represent S.D. (\(n = 4\)). \textit{D}, delayed induction kinetics of \textit{CHA1} in \textit{snf5}\Delta and \textit{swi3}\Delta yeast. Transcription was halted by addition of 10 mM sodium azide at the indicated times following addition of 1 mg/ml of serine to yeast cultures grown in CSM, and RNA analyzed by Northern blotting. Error bars represent S.D. (\(n = 4\); note \(n = 4\) for \(t = 0, 5, 15, 30, \) and 60 min (left panel)). For the kinetic analyses in D, data were normalized to allow easier visual comparison (see “Experimental Procedures”); this erases the difference in transcription level between wild type and mutant, which was otherwise consistent with that in \textit{C}.
units from the head, middle, and tail modules of Mediator to the induced CHA1 promoter (37). Based on this result, we tested the effect of a gal11/med15Δ med3Δ mutation on Swi/Snf recruitment to the CHA1 promoter under inducing conditions and found that Swi/Snf recruitment was abolished (Fig. 2A). Thus, Mediator, and specifically the tail module, is required for Swi/Snf recruitment to the induced CHA1 promoter.

To further probe the relationship between Mediator and Swi/Snf recruitment, we examined Swi/Snf recruitment to the Met4-activated genes MET2 and MET6, which can be induced by addition of CdCl2 (24) and require the Mediator tail module for activation (37, 38). We observed a modest but consistent recruitment of both Snf2 and Snf5 to the induced MET2 and MET6 promoters (about 1.8-fold \(p < 0.02\); Fig. 2B and data not shown) in wild type yeast. However, in contrast to CHA1, Snf2 recruitment in gal11/med15Δ med3Δ yeast at MET2 \(p < 0.02\) was indistinguishable from that seen in wild type yeast. Recruitment of Snf2 also appeared similar at MET6, although this latter finding was not statistically significant \(p = 0.15\). Thus, the dependence on Mediator of Swi/Snf recruitment to
active promoters can vary in a promoter/activator-dependent manner.

Swi/Snf-dependent chromatin remodeling has for the most part been investigated during gene induction (39, 40). However, Swi/Snf complex subunits are also found associated with some gene promoters that show constitutive activity in yeast grown in rich medium (41, 42). We examined Snf5 association with several such promoters in wild type and \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast (Fig. 2C). Although only modest Swi/Snf association was observed relative to a non-transcribed region of Chromosome V (25), decreased association was consistently seen in \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast (\( p < 0.05 \) for \( {\text{PMA1}}, {\text{PHO84}}, \) and \( {\text{RPL3}} \)), indicating Mediator-dependent association of Swi/Snf at these constitutively active promoters. To control for possible general effects of this Mediator mutation, we also examined Swi/Snf association in a \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) mutant that only affects transcription and Mediator recruitment at a subset of genes. This mutation reduces transcription and Mediator recruitment at \( {\text{PMA1}} \) and \( {\text{PHO84}} \), but not at \( {\text{RPL12A}}, {\text{RPL3}}, {\text{PIK1}}, {\text{EFB1}}, \) or \( {\text{SPS19}} \) (37). Correspondingly, the former two genes exhibit reduced Snf5 association in this mutant (\( p < 0.06 \)), whereas the latter five are not affected (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these results indicate that the Swi/Snf complex is present at many active gene promoters in yeast, and that its association often depends on the Mediator complex. In contrast, the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter appears to be unusual in that the tail module of Mediator can recruit the Swi/Snf complex independently of the middle and head modules (in \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast), and Swi/Snf recruitment occurs completely independently of Mediator at the induced \( {\text{MET2}} \) genes.

Dependence of SAGA Recruitment on Mediator Is Promoter-dependent—Induction of \( {\text{CHA1}} \) and \( {\text{MET2}} \) and \( {\text{MET6}} \) genes results in recruitment of the SAGA complex as well as of the Swi/Snf complex (13, 38). We examined recruitment of Spt3 from the SAGA complex and found that Spt3 recruitment is lost in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast at the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter but is still observed in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast at the induced \( {\text{MET2}} \) and \( {\text{MET6}} \) promoters (Fig. 2E). Because recruitment of Mediator is greatly reduced at these promoters in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast (37), this indicates that Spt3 (and by inference the SAGA complex) can be recruited to \( {\text{MET2}} \) and \( {\text{MET6}} \) independently of Mediator, whereas it depends on Mediator at the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter. ChIP experiments also showed recruitment of SAGA subunits Ada2 and Gcn5 to the induced \( {\text{MET2}} \) and \( {\text{MET6}} \) promoters in both wild type and \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast, although Gcn5 recruitment was somewhat reduced in the mutant (Fig. 2E, right panel). We also examined Spt3 association with promoters of several constitutively active genes, including both SAGA-dependent genes whose activity is reduced in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast and TFIIID-dependent genes whose activity was unchanged in this mutant (37), and did not see any clear dependence on Mediator for Spt3 association. These results indicate that SAGA recruitment depends on Mediator at the active \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter, but occurs independently of Mediator at \( {\text{MET2}} \) and \( {\text{MET6}} \), consistent with previous findings that SAGA and Mediator are recruited independently to genes activated by Met4 (38).

Chromatin Remodeling at the Induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) Promoter Is Independent of Mediator—Chromatin remodeling of the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter occurs independently of the Swi/Snf complex, and also does not depend on Gcn5 (11, 14). We previously found that chromatin remodeling, assessed by altered MNase accessibility, occurred normally upon \( {\text{CHA1}} \) induction in \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast, indicating that remodeling did not depend on the middle or head modules of Mediator (11). However, as mentioned earlier, Mediator tail module subunits are present at normal levels at the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter in \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast (3, 11). Because Mediator and Swi/Snf association with the \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter is completely abolished in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast (Fig. 2) (37), we examined the chromatin structure under inducing conditions in this mutant to determine whether remodeling occurs independently of the Mediator and Swi/Snf complexes.

MNase cleavage sites in the region of the \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter were mapped by indirect end labeling (43, 44) in nuclei from yeast under non-inducing and serine-induced conditions. Remodeling was clearly seen by increased MNase cleavage in the vicinity of the TATA element (Fig. 3, arrow). These alterations in MNase cleavage characteristic of \( {\text{CHA1}} \) induction occurred indistinguishably in wild type yeast and \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast (Fig. 3, left panel). We also examined chromatin remodeling in a \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( -{\text{myc}} \) strain; in the \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) background, the \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( -{\text{myc}} \) allele behaves as a hypomorph, with similar but less pronounced effects on transcription and much less effect on growth in CSM than the \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) mutant (37, 45). This strain also showed altered MNase cleavage upon \( {\text{CHA1}} \) induction that was indistinguishable from that seen in the corresponding wild type strain (Fig. 3, right panel). These results indicate that the chromatin structure is altered upon induction of the \( {\text{CHA1}} \) gene via a pathway that is independent of the Mediator and Swi/Snf complexes. We conclude that some other, unknown activator-dependent function is able to effect a change in the chromatin structure of the \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter upon induction.

Dependence of Histone Eviction on Mediator—Previously we showed that in wild type yeast, chromatin remodeling of the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter is accompanied by histone eviction as assessed by ChIP against histone H3. Surprisingly, histone eviction was not observed in \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast, even though remodeling detected by an altered MNase cleavage pattern still occurs (11). We corroborated and extended this finding by measuring histone H3 association at the uninduced and induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter in \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) yeast by ChIP; in this mutant, transcriptional activation of \( {\text{CHA1}} \) and concomitant Mediator recruitment are abolished (37), but chromatin remodeling is still observed upon induction (Fig. 3). Similarly to \( {\text{sr}}b4/{\text{med17}} \) ts yeast, no change was seen in histone H3 association in the \( {\text{gal11}}/{\text{med15}} \) \( \Delta {\text{med3}} \) mutant upon \( {\text{CHA1}} \) induction, whereas about a 2-fold decrease in H3 association was seen at the induced \( {\text{CHA1}} \) promoter in wild type cells (Fig. 4A). This experiment provides further evidence for
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uncoupling of chromatin remodeling and histone eviction at the induced \textit{CHA1} promoter.

To examine the relationship between Mediator, chromatin remodeling, and histone eviction at another inducible promoter, we used a variant of the classic \textit{PHO5} promoter, \textit{PHO5v33}, in which the two binding sites for the activator Pho4 were replaced by two strong Gal4 binding sites (20, 21). A \textit{lacZ} reporter gene fused to this promoter was rapidly induced upon addition of galactose to yeast grown in raffinose medium, and this induction was greatly reduced in \textit{gal11/med15} yeast (Fig. 5A). As seen for \textit{CHA1}, chromatin remodeling, monitored in this case by accessibility to the restriction enzyme ClaI, was similar in wild type and \textit{gal11/med15} yeast (Fig. 5B). Histone H3 association with the \textit{PHO5v33} promoter, measured by ChIP, was strongly reduced following galactose induction in wild type yeast (Fig. 5C). However, in contrast to \textit{CHA1}, the decrease in H3 association following induction was very similar in wild type and \textit{gal11/med15} yeast (Fig. 5C). Thus, the uncoupling of chromatin remodeling, monitored by nuclease accessibility, and histone eviction, monitored by ChIP, seen at \textit{CHA1} in Mediator-deficient yeast is not universal.

To further examine the role of Mediator in determining nucleosome occupancy at promoters, we measured histone H3 occupancy at several additional promoters. All of the promoters we examined showed increased H3 levels in \textit{srb4/med17} mutant yeast (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, in \textit{gal11/med15} yeast (grown

**FIGURE 3.** Chromatin remodeling of the induced \textit{CHA1} promoter occurs normally in yeast harboring Mediator tail mutants that are defective for \textit{CHA1} transcriptional activation. MNase cleavage sites were mapped relative to the BamHI site at + 602 in the \textit{CHA1} ORF in chromatin prepared from yeast grown in CSM without or with 1 mg/ml of serine (30 min induction). Increasing amounts of MNase were used for each sample as indicated by the triangles at the bottom; the lowest level in each group corresponds to no MNase addition, and the highest to 50 units/ml. Marker lanes (M) contain 100-bp DNA ladders. The small arrow in each panel indicates the strong cleavage induced by serine addition in the vicinity of the \textit{CHA1} TATA element.

**FIGURE 4.** Histone H3 association with active gene promoters is increased in Mediator mutants. A, histone H3 association with the \textit{CHA1} promoter was measured by ChIP using wild type (BY4742) and \textit{gal11/med15} yeast grown in CSM without (uninduced) or with (induced) 1 mg/ml of serine. B, histone H3 association with the indicated promoters was measured in wild type (RMY521) and \textit{srb4/med17} (RMY522) yeast grown in YPD after 1 h growth at 37 °C. C, histone H3 association with promoters whose transcription is dependent or independent of the Mediator tail module, as indicated, was measured in wild type (BY4742) and \textit{gal11/med15} yeast grown in YPD. Relative association in all cases was determined by quantitative PCR analysis of input and immunoprecipitated (IP) samples, and normalized to a non-transcribed region of ChrV (25). Error bars represent S.D. (n = 3).
in YPD), we observed increased H3 occupancy at promoters of genes showing dependence on the Mediator tail module (CHA1, PMA1, and PHO84) (p < 0.02) but not at genes that do not show such dependence (RPL12A, RPL3, and PIK1) (Fig. 4C). (CHA1 is partially induced in YPD medium, which contains serine.) Thus, promoters showing decreased Mediator association also showed increased histone H3 occupancy.

The Swi/Snf complex is required for histone eviction at the induced SUC2, DAN1, PHO5, and PHO8 promoters (20, 41, 46, 47), and Swi/Snf association is decreased at several promoters when Mediator recruitment is deficient (Fig. 2). Furthermore, CHA1 induction is partially inhibited and is delayed in swi/snf mutant yeast (Fig. 1). These observations suggest that the effect of impaired Mediator function on histone H3 eviction could be caused by impaired Swi/Snf recruitment. To test this idea, we examined H3 association at the CHA1 promoter 15 and 30 min after serine induction in wild type and snf5Δ yeast (Fig. 6A). A significant decrease (p < 0.05) in histone H3 association was observed in wild type yeast 15 and 30 min after CHA1 induction, consistent with its transcriptional induction (Fig. 1). In contrast, histone H3 association was essentially unchanged 15 or 30 min after serine induction in snf5Δ yeast. These results

FIGURE 5. Dependence on Mediator for transcription, chromatin opening, and histone eviction of the PHO5v33 promoter. A, β-galactosidase activity (Miller units) was measured for wild type (BY4742) and gal11Δ/med15Δ med3Δ (LS10) yeast harboring the plasmid pPHO5v33-lacZ and grown in raffinose-containing medium and induced by addition of galactose for the indicated times. Error bars represent S.D. (n = 3). B, ClaI accessibility of the PHO5v33 promoter was determined in nuclei prepared from strains and using growth conditions as in A. Biological replicates for the 30-min induction time point of wild type and mutant gave 88 and 94% ClaI accessibility, respectively. C, histone H3 association at the PHO5v33 promoter was measured by ChIP using strains and growth conditions as in A. Error bars represent the variation between two biological replicates.

FIGURE 6. Dependence of histone H3 association on the Swi/Snf complex. A, association of histone H3 with the CHA1 and SPO20 promoters was measured by ChIP in wild type (BY4741) or snf5Δ (yeast deletion collection) yeast grown in CSM under non-inducing conditions (no serine) or after induction for 15 or 30 min by addition of 1 mg/ml of serine. B, histone H3 association at the indicated promoters was measured using the samples from A obtained from yeast grown under non-inducing conditions for 30 min. Relative association in all cases was determined by quantitative PCR analysis of input and immunoprecipitated (IP) samples, and normalized to a non-transcribed region of ChrV (25). Error bars represent S.D. (n = 3).
indicate that the Swi/Snf complex contributes to histone eviction during CHA1 induction.

Swi/Snf is also present at constitutively active genes such as PMA1 and PHO84 (Fig. 2), and these promoters, like the active CHA1 promoter, show increased H3 association in Mediator-defective yeast (Fig. 4). We therefore asked whether H3 association at such genes increased in snf5Δ yeast. In contrast to the increased H3 occupancy seen during CHA1 induction in snf5Δ yeast (Fig. 6A), we did not observe significant changes in H3 association at these genes in snf5Δ compared with wild type yeast (Fig. 6B). This suggests that the role of Swi/Snf in assisting histone eviction may be specific to gene induction and that Swi/Snf is not needed to maintain low levels of histone association at constitutively expressed promoters. Furthermore, it implies that the increased H3 occupancy seen in Mediator mutants (Fig. 4) is not directly due to failure to recruit Swi/Snf. Rather, some other feature of Mediator recruitment is important for low histone occupancy at active gene promoters.

**Dependence of Histone H3 Eviction on PIC Components**—Histone eviction is observed at the induced CHA1 promoter in wild type yeast but not in srb4/med17 ts and gal11/med15Δ med3Δ mutants, whereas chromatin remodeling, seen by altered MNase accessibility, occurs in both wild type and mutant strains. To explain this uncoupling, we hypothesized a two-stage mechanism leading to histone eviction. In this scenario, gene activation leads to recruitment of a factor or factors that alter nucleosome conformation or positioning without causing histone displacement. This alteration increases accessibility to the associated DNA by MNase, thus exposing cleavage sites that are diagnostic of chromatin remodeling (Fig. 3), and renders the DNA of the proximal promoter region accessible to the general transcription machinery. In wild type cells, activation also leads to recruitment of Mediator and other co-activators, such as Swi/Snf and SAGA, and formation of a functional PIC. We hypothesized that association of the PIC with the promoter occurs in competition with histone binding, leading to histone eviction. According to this scenario, in yeast defective for Mediator recruitment, defective PIC recruitment allows histones to remain associated with activated promoters as in the uninduced state, albeit in a state different from canonical nucleosomes.

To test this model, we used ChIP to measure histone H3 association in the temperature-sensitive mutants rpb1-1 and tpb ts-1 (48, 49), which show defective association of Pol II and TBP, respectively, with target genes at 37 °C (8, 50, 51). Wild type and mutant strains were grown at 24 °C, shifted to 37 °C for 30 min, and CHA1 induced by addition of serine for an additional 30 min while maintaining cultures at 37 °C prior to ChIP (11). We found that in both rpb1-1 ts and tpb ts-1 yeast, histone H3 association with the CHA1 promoter was unchanged upon induction, in contrast to the ~2-fold decrease seen in wild type yeast (Fig. 7A). Thus, Pol II is required for stable histone eviction upon CHA1 induction. We also examined several other promoters in rpb1-1 ts and found increased H3 occupancy compared with wild type (p < 0.01, not including the data for CHA1, for the null hypothesis of no change in H3 association between wild type and rpb1-1 mutant yeast) (Fig. 7B). Because these latter promoters are, unlike CHA1, constitutively active, this result indicates that Pol II is needed for the maintenance of low histone occupancy at these active promoters.

In tpb ts-1 yeast, histone H3 levels increased at some promoters (PDC1, RPL12A, and RPL3; Fig. 7C), but some promoters (MAE1, ARG4, and SNQ2) did not show increased H3 occupancy. However, the latter promoters also did not show decreased TBP binding in the tpb ts-1 mutant, for unknown reasons, whereas those promoters showing decreased TBP binding also showed increased H3 levels in the tpb ts-1 mutant (3). (Fig. 7E). All of the promoters examined in the rpb1-1 mutant showed decreased binding of Pol II (Fig. 7D). Thus, defective PIC formation correlated well with increased histone H3 occupancy.

To test whether processes related to or depending on PIC recruitment are important for histone H3 eviction, we compared H3 occupancy at active gene promoters in two additional mutant strains. The sua7-1 mutation encodes a point mutation in TFIIB (TFIIB-E62K) that affects start site selection and confers a strong cold-sensitive phenotype (which we verified), but does not impair interactions with Pol II or TBP in vitro, and has little effect on transcription levels in vivo (52–54). TFIIB is also important in bringing 5' and 3' regions of transcribed genes into close proximity (gene looping), and this looping is strongly impaired in sua7-1 mutant yeast, including at the active CHA1 promoter (53, 55). We observed a modest (about 2-fold) increase in induced levels of CHA1 expression in the sua7-1 mutant, whereas ChIP experiments revealed decreased H3 occupancy upon CHA1 induction that was indistinguishable in sua7-1 and wild type yeast (Fig. 8A). We also monitored H3 occupancy in sua7-1 yeast at several of the same promoters that exhibited increased H3 occupancy in srb4/med17 ts and rpb1-1 ts yeast and saw no significant differences in histone H3 occupancy between the sua7-1 mutant and wild type yeast (Fig. 8B). The sua7-1 mutation did not cause any defects in Pol II or TBP association with the induced CHA1 promoter or with the constitutively active promoters that we tested, consistent with in vitro results (52) (Fig. 8, A and B).

We also compared histone H3 occupancy at promoters of active genes in wild type and bur2Δ kin28-as mutant yeast. Kin28 contributes to phosphorylation of Ser-5 of the carboxyl-terminal domain of the large subunit (Rpb1) of Pol II, which is important for recruitment of various factors involved in transcriptional elongation and associated processes, including mRNA capping enzyme, the Paf1 complex, Bur1, and the Rpd3(S) complex (56–60). The kin28-as mutation allows inactivation of kin28 kinase activity by the chemical inhibitor NA-PP1 (61). However, although this treatment results in reduced recruitment of Paf1C and the Rpd3(S) complex, compensatory Ser-5 phosphorylation occurs via the Bur1/2 kinase complex (62). We therefore examined histone H3 occupancy in the kin28-as bur2Δ double mutant after 15 min of NA-PP1 treatment (58, 60). No significant difference was seen in histone H3 occupancy at any of the promoters examined, although a modest trend toward decreased, not increased (as in PIC mutants) H3 occupancy may be present (Fig. 8B). Thus, our examination of mutations affecting events occurring post-PIC recruitment do not indicate any contribution to promoter occupancy by histone H3.
Mediator contributes to recruitment of TBP and Pol II, and TBP to binding of Pol II (11, 51, 63, 64). Thus, the reduced histone H3 eviction seen in yeast harboring defective Mediator complex could reflect a direct role of Mediator or could be due to defective PIC recruitment, as we have postulated. To investigate this further, we measured association of TBP and the Mediator head module subunit Srb5/Med18 by ChIP in wild type and \textit{rpb1-1 ts} yeast (Fig. 9). We found reduced binding of both TBP and Srb5/Med18 at all five of the core promoters that we examined in \textit{rpb1-1 ts} yeast compared with wild type. These findings indicate mutual cooperative interactions among Mediator, TBP, and Pol II. We conclude that all three types of mutants examined here, in Mediator, Pol II, and TBP, affect PIC and Mediator association, and that the effects measured for histone H3 association reflect the reduced association of all of these components. Thus, histone eviction that accompanies \textit{CHA1} activation depends on Mediator recruitment and PIC formation, and reduced histone association with constitutively active promoters (65, 66) also depends in part on binding of these same components.

**DISCUSSION**

The work reported here had two principal objectives. The first was to test the hypothesis that histone eviction accompanying transcriptional activation depends on stable PIC formation. The second major objective was to gain additional insight into the interdependencies of coactivator complex recruitment during transcriptional activation in yeast.

Previously we showed that during induction of the \textit{CHA1} promoter, histone eviction, as monitored by ChIP, could occur in a step distinct from chromatin remodeling, as monitored by MNase cleavage (11). Here, we confirm and extend these results by showing that whereas histone eviction depends on the integrity of the Mediator complex, the initial chromatin remodeling step occurs completely independently of Mediator. However, dependence on Mediator for histone eviction is not universal,
as we show for a variant PHOS promoter driven by the Gal4 activator. Possibly the Gal4 activator recruits additional factors not present at the induced CHA1 promoter that contribute to histone eviction.

Other examples of uncoupling of chromatin remodeling from histone eviction, in addition to that shown here and previously for CHA1 (11), have also been reported. The PHOS promoter is constitutively active in the absence of the cyclin Pho80. In pho80 gcn5 yeast, activity of PHOS was greatly reduced compared with the pho80 mutant and a novel chromatin state was observed (67). This chromatin state was characterized by altered accessibility to DNase I and restriction enzymes compared with the repressed or fully active PHOS promoter, and thus represented a remodeled state compared with the repressed promoter (67). However, a later study showed that the number of nucleosomes at the PHOS promoter in pho80 gcn5 yeast was unchanged relative to the repressed state in wild type yeast, implying that histone eviction did not occur (68). Similarly, at the yeast PHO84 promoter, activation is accompanied by increased accessibility to the restriction enzyme HpaI and histone eviction in wild type yeast, whereas in gcn5 mutant yeast, a delay in transcriptional induction is accompanied by a corresponding delay in histone eviction, with no alteration in the kinetics of increased HpaI accessibility (69). In another example, activation of the yeast RNR3 promoter in response to DNA damage results in altered MNase cleavage and histone eviction in wild type yeast. In contrast, loss of SAGA complex components in spt3/H9004 or spt7/H9004 yeast strongly inhibits RNR3 induction and histone eviction, while still allowing essentially normal chromatin remodeling as monitored by MNase cleavage (70). These examples, together with our studies on CHA1 induction, suggest that during normal transcriptional activa-
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FIGURE 9. Dependence of TBP and Srb5/Med18 binding on Pol II. TBP (A) and Srb5/Med18 (B) association with the indicated core promoters was measured by ChIP in wild type (RMY521) and rpb1-1 ts (Z111-Srb5-Myr) yeast grown in YPD after 1 h at 37 °C. Relative association in all cases was determined by quantitative PCR analysis of input and immunoprecipitated (IP) samples, and normalized to a non-transcribed region of ChrV (25). Error bars represent S.D. (n = 3).

tion, nucleosome mobilization and histone eviction are generally tightly coupled, with uncoupling being observed when recruitment of coactivators or the general transcriptional machinery is defective.

We hypothesized that in this two-step model for chromatin remodeling and histone eviction, the latter step might require stable binding of the PIC to compete against histones for promoter occupancy. Others have made similar suggestions; for example, paused Pol II has been argued to compete with histones for occupancy of highly regulated promoters in mammalian cells (71). To test the role of the PIC in histone eviction, we examined the effect of mutations in PIC components TBP and Pol II on histone association at various promoters. We found that in both rpb1-1 and tpb ts-1 mutants, histone eviction was impaired at the induced CHA1 promoter (Fig. 7). Furthermore, higher histone levels were observed at a number of constitutively active promoters in both mutants, consistent with a previous genome-wide study that also found a modest increase in promoter nucleosome occupancy in rpb1-1 yeast (72). This latter finding implies that the PIC is important for maintenance of low histone occupancy at active promoters, and not just for eviction during activation. These results favor the idea that PIC components assist histone eviction by competing for occupancy at promoters.

An alternative interpretation for the dependence of low promoter histone occupancy on components of the PIC is that histone eviction depends on some other chromatin remodeler whose recruitment depends on the PIC. We did not observe altered H3 occupancy in a súa7-1 mutant that impairs DNA looping, or in a bur2Δ kin28-as mutant that affects Ser-5 phosphorylation of the Pol II CTD and associated processes, and it seems less likely that events occurring post-PIC formation would affect promoter chromatin structure. However, we cannot formally exclude this possibility, nor the possibility that other chromatin remodelers might be recruited in a PIC-dependent fashion. Our examination of CHA1 activation in yeast lacking several chromatin remodelers and histone chaperones that are known to contribute to chromatin remodeling and histone eviction at specific promoters (35, 36, 73), and are thus also good candidates for contributing to the outcome between competition between histones and PIC components, did not reveal any candidates for such a role (Fig. 1B).

PIC components contribute to Swi/Snf recruitment to the induced RNR3 promoter (8), suggesting that the increased H3 occupancy seen in Mediator, Pol II, and TBP mutants could occur indirectly via deficient Swi/Snf recruitment. Two arguments can be made against this scenario: first, Swi/Snf recruitment occurs normally at the CHA1 promoter under inducing conditions in srb4/med17 ts yeast, but histone H3 eviction does not (11); thus, Swi/Snf is not sufficient for histone eviction. Second, no change was seen in histone occupancy at several constitutively active promoters in snfΔ yeast (Fig. 6), but H3 occupancy was increased in srb4/med17, rpb1-1, and tpb ts mutant yeast at such promoters (Figs. 4 and 7).

Which PIC components are most important in contributing to histone eviction may not only be difficult to answer, it may be the wrong question to ask. The dependence on Mediator for recruitment of TBP and Pol II is well established, as is the requirement for TBP for Pol II binding at most promoters. We also observed reduced binding of TBP and Mediator in rpb1-1 ts yeast, suggesting mutual cooperativity in binding of all of these components at many promoters (Fig. 9). Mutual cooperativity between Mediator and TFIIID binding has been observed in vitro (74), and reduced association of TBP and Mediator was observed at the activated RNR3 promoter in rpb1-1 yeast (8). Furthermore, Mediator can be recruited to a promoter that is activated by direct recruitment of TBP or TFIIIB (5). Whether these cooperative interactions depend on promoter structure or activator type remains uncertain, but these observations indicate that it is the PIC as a whole, including Mediator, that needs to be considered as participating in histone eviction at active gene promoters.

Our second major objective was to gain new insight into the interdependencies of coactivator recruitment during transcriptional activation in yeast. We found variable dependence on Mediator for recruitment of Swi/Snf and the SAGA component Sp3 at specific gene promoters, including an unexpected dependence on Swi/Snf recruitment on the Mediator tail module at the induced CHA1 promoter. Association of Swi/Snf to several constitutively active promoters was reduced in Mediator-defective yeast, suggesting a widespread dependence on Mediator for association of Swi/Snf with transcriptionally active genes. Curiously, despite its presence at constitutively active promoters, Swi/Snf loss did not affect histone occupancy. Future genome-wide studies should provide insight into commonalities and promoter-specific features governing interdependence of coactivator complex association.

In summary, our results support a model in which numerous mutually cooperative interactions govern association of coactivators and the transcriptional apparatus with active gene promoters. Specifically, recruitment of the Swi/Snf complex depends on Mediator not only at inducible promoters such as
CHAI, but also at constitutively active genes such as PMA1 and PIK1. Previous work has also reported complex interdependencies for coactivator recruitment that can exhibit promoter specificity even for the same activator (9, 75). Similarly, we report dependence on Pol II for association of Mediator and TBP with several active gene promoters, indicating mutual cooperativity among PIC components and Mediator. We also provide evidence for distinct steps for nucleosome remodeling and histone eviction, and for PIC recruitment contributing to the second of these steps but not the first. This model suggests that it is not actually histone eviction that is important for allowing PIC access, but rather an altered (remodeled) nucleosome structure that allows effective competition against the histones for binding. Based on this idea, it might also be expected that histone eviction during replication and repair of chromatin-associated sequences also occurs in two stages, with binding of relevant proteins following a chromatin remodeling step that is a necessary prerequisite to these processes leading to stable loss of histones.

Acknowledgments—We are indebted to Tony Weil and Justin Layer (Vanderbilt University) for generous provision of antibodies, Chhabi Govind for providing yeast strains and the NA-PP1 inhibitor (Oakland University, Rochester, MI), and to Mike Hampsey, Alan Hinnebusch, Kevin Struhl, and Rick Young for providing yeast strains. We thank Joe Wade for many helpful discussions, and the Wadsworth Center AGTC Core Facility for their assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Ansari, S. A., and Morse, R. H. (2013) Mechanisms of Mediator complex action in transcriptional activation. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 70, 2743–2756
2. Larivière, L., Seizl, M., and Cramer, P. (2012) A structural perspective on Mediator function. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 24, 305–313
3. Ansari, S. A., He, Q., and Morse, R. H. (2009) Mediator complex association with constitutively transcribed genes in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 16734–16739
4. Thompson, C. M., and Young, R. A. (1995) General requirement for RNA polymerase II holoenzymes in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92, 4587–4590
5. Lacombe, T., Poh, S. L., Barbey, R., and Kuras, L. (2013) Mediator is an intrinsic component of the basal RNA polymerase II machinery in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 9651–9662
6. Takagi, Y., and Kornberg, R. D. (2006) Mediator as a general transcription factor. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 80–89
7. Malik, S., and Roeder, R. G. (2010) The metazoan Mediator co-activator complex as an integrative hub for transcriptional regulation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11, 761–772
8. Sharma, V. M., Li, B., and Reese, J. C. (2003) SWI/SNF-dependent chromatin remodeling of RNR3 requires TAFII19 and the general transcription machinery. Genes Dev. 17, 502–515
9. Yoon, S., Qiu, H., Swanson, M. J., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2003) Recruitment of SWI/SNF by Gcn4p does not require Snf2p or Gcn5p but depends strongly on SWI/SNF integrity, SRB mediator, and SAGA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23, 8829–8845
10. Biddick, R. K., Law, G. L., Chin, K. K., and Young, E. T. (2008) The transcriptional coactivators SAGA, SWI/SNF, and mediator make distinct contributions to activation of glucose-repressed genes. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 33101–33109
11. He, Q., Battistella, L., and Morse, R. H. (2008) Mediator requirement downstream of chromatin remodeling during transcriptional activation of CHAI in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 5276–5286
12. Bornaes, C., Petersen, J. G., and Holmberg, S. (1992) Serine and threonine catabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: the CHAI polypeptide is homologous with other serine and threonine dehydratases. Genetics 131, 531–539
13. Martens, J. A., Wu, P. Y., and Winston, F. (2005) Regulation of an intergenic transcript controls adjacent gene transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 19, 2695–2704
14. Moreira, J. M., and Holmberg, S. (1998) Nucleosome structure of the yeast CHAI promoter: analysis of activation-dependent chromatin remodeling of an RNA-polymerase-II-transcribed gene in TBP and RNA pol II mutants defective in vivo in response to acetic activators. EMBO J. 17, 6028–6038
15. Sabet, N., Tong, F., Madigan, J. P., Volo, S., Smith, M. M., and Morse, R. H. (2003) Global and specific transcriptional repression by the histone H3 amino terminus in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 4084–4089
16. Ghaemmaghami, S., Huh, W. K., Bower, K., Howson, R. W., Belle, A., Dephoure, N., O’Shea, E. K., and Weissman, J. S. (2003) Global analysis of protein expression in yeast. Nature 425, 737–741
17. Giaever, G., Chu, A. M., Li, N., Connelly, C., Riles, L., Veronneau, S., Dow, S., Lucau-Danila, A., Anderson, K., André, B., Arkin, A. P., Astromoff, A., El-Bakkoury, M., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Brachat, S., Campanaro, S., Curtis, M., Davis, K., Deutschbauer, A., Entian, K. D., Flaherty, P., Fouy, F., Garfinkel, D. J., Gerstein, M., Gottes, D., Gülener, U., Hegemann, J. H., Hempel, S., Herman, Z., Jaramillo, D. F., Kelly, D. E., Kelly, S. L., Köttner, P., LaBonte, D., Lamb, D. C., Lan, N., Liang, H., Liao, H., Liu, L., Luo, C., Lussier, M., Mao, R., Menard, P., Ooi, S. L., Revuelta, J. L., Roberts, C. J., Rose, M., Ross-Macdonald, P., Scherens, B., Schimmack, G., Shafer, B., Shoemaker, D. D., Sookhai-Mahadeo, S., Storms, R. N., Strathern, J. N., Valle, G., Voet, M., Volckaert, G., Wang, C. Y., Ward, T. R., Wilhelmy, J., Winzeler, E. A., Yang, Y., Yen, G., Youngman, E., Yu, K., Bussey, H., Boeke, J. D., Snyder, M., Philippus, P., Davis, R. W., and Johnston, M. (2002) Functional profiling of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. Nature 418, 387–391
18. Longtine, M. S., McKenzie, A. J., Demarini, D. J., Shah, N. G., Wach, A., Brachat, A., Philippus, P., and Pringle, R. J. (1998) Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 14, 953–961
19. Hill, J., Donald, K. A., Griffiths, D. E., and Donald, G. (1991) DMSO-enhanced whole cell yeast transformation (published erratum appears in Nucleic Acids Res. (1991) 19, 6688). Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 5791
20. Barbaric, S., Luckenbach, T., Schmid, A., Blaschke, D., Hörz, W., and Korber, P. (2007) Redundancy of chromatin remodeling pathways for the induction of the yeast PHO5 promoter in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 27610–27621
21. Barbaric, S., Walker, J., Schmid, A., Svejstrup, J. Q., and Hörz, W. (2001) Increasing the rate of chromatin remodeling and gene activation: a novel role for the histone acetyltransferase Gcn5. EMBO J. 20, 4944–4951
22. Schmitt, M. E., Brown, T. A., and Trumpower, B. L. (1990) A rapid and simple method for preparation of RNA from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 3091–3092
23. Tsang, S. S., Yin, X., Guzzo-Arkuran, C., Jones, V. S., and Davison, A. J. (1993) Loss of resolution in gel electrophoresis of RNA: a problem associated with the presence of formaldehyde gradients. BioTechniques 14, 380–381
24. Cormier, L., Barbey, R., and Kuras, L. (2010) Transcriptional plasticity through differential assembly of a multiprotein activation complex. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, 4988–5014
25. Keogh, M. C., and Buratowski, S. (2004) Using chromatin immunoprecipitation to map cotranscriptional mRNA processing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Methods Mol. Biol. 257, 1–16
26. Ryan, M. P., Stafford, G. A., Yu, L., Cummings, K. B., and Morse, R. H. (1999) Assays for nucleosome positioning in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 304, 376–399
27. Almer, A., Rudolph, H., Hinnen, A., and Hörz, W. (1986) Removal of positioned nucleosomes from the yeast PHO5 promoter upon PHO5 induction releases additional upstream activating DNA elements. EMBO J. 5, 2689–2696
28. Gregory, P. D., Barbaric, S., and Hörz, W. (1999) Restriction nucleases as probes for chromatin structure. Methods Mol. Biol. 119, 417–425
PIC Contributes to Histone Eviction

29. Brachmann, C. B., Davies, A., Cost, G. J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J. D. (1998) Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast 14, 115–132

30. Tsukiyama, T., Palmer, J., Landel, C. C., Shiboach, J., and Wu, C. (1999) Characterization of the initiation switch subfamily of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling factors in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 13, 686–697

31. Shen, X., Ranallo, R., Choi, E., and Wu, C. (2003) Involvement of actin-related proteins in ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. Mol. Cell 12, 147–155

32. Neves-Costa, A., Will, W. R., Vetter, A. T., Miller, J. R., and Varga-Weisz, P. (2009) The Snf2-family member Fun30 promotes gene silencing in heterochromatin loci. PLoS One 4, e8111

33. Costelloe, T., Louge, R., Tomimatsu, N., Mukherjee, B., Martini, E., Khadaroo, B., Dubois, K., Wiegant, W. W., Thierry, A., Burna, S., van Attikum, H., and Lorente, B. (2012) The yeast Fun30 and human SMARCAD1 chromatin remodelers promote DNA end resection. Nature 489, 581–584

34. Chen, X., Cui, D., Papusha, A., Zhang, X., Chu, C. D., Tang, J., Chen, K., Pan, X., and Ira, G. (2012) The Fun30 nucleosome remodeler promotes resection of DNA double-strand break ends. Nature 489, 576–580

35. Adkins, M. W., Howar, S. R., and Tyler, J. K. (2004) Chromatin disassembly mediated by the histone chaperone Asf1 is essential for transcriptional activation of the yeast PHOS and PHO8 genes. Mol. Cell. 14, 657–666

36. Korber, P., Barbaric, S., Luckenbach, T., Schmid, A., Schermer, U. J., Blaschke, D., and Hörz, W. (2006) The histone chaperone Asf1 increases the rate of histone eviction at the yeast PHOS and PHO8 promoters. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 5539–5545

37. Ansari, S. A., Ganapathi, M., Benshop, J. J., Holstege, F. C., Wade, J. T., and Morse, R. H. (2012) Distinct role of Mediator tail module in regulation of SAGA-dependent, TATA-containing genes in yeast. EMBO J. 31, 44–57

38. Leroy, C., Cormier, L., and Kuras, L. (2006) Independent recruitment of mediator by SAGA via the activator Med4. Mol. Cell. 26, 3149–3163

39. Smith, C. L., and Peterson, C. L. (2005) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 65, 115–148

40. Sudarsanam, P., and Winston, F. (2000) The Swi/Snf family nucleosome-remodeling complexes and transcriptional control. Trends Genet. 16, 345–351

41. Schwabish, M. A., and Struhl, K. (2007) The Swi/Snf complex is important for histone eviction during transcriptional activation and RNA polymerase II elongation in vivo. Mol. Cell. 27, 6987–6995

42. Venter, B. J., Wachi, S., Mavrich, T. N., Andersen, B. E., Jena, P., Sinnaeve, P., and Morse, R. H. (2012) Distinct role of Mediator tail module in regulation of the RNA polymerase II CTD. Mol. Cell. 47, 5539–5545

43. Ansari, S. A., Ganapathi, M., Benshop, J. J., Holstege, F. C., Wade, J. T., and Morse, R. H. (2012) Distinct role of Mediator tail module in regulation of SAGA-dependent, TATA-containing genes in yeast. EMBO J. 31, 44–57

44. Leroy, C., Cormier, L., and Kuras, L. (2006) Independent recruitment of mediator by SAGA via the activator Med4. Mol. Cell. 26, 3149–3163

45. Smith, C. L., and Peterson, C. L. (2005) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 65, 115–148

46. Sudarsanam, P., and Winston, F. (2000) The Swi/Snf family nucleosome-remodeling complexes and transcriptional control. Trends Genet. 16, 345–351

47. Schwabish, M. A., and Struhl, K. (2007) The Swi/Snf complex is important for histone eviction during transcriptional activation and RNA polymerase II elongation in vivo. Mol. Cell. 27, 6987–6995

48. Venter, B. J., Wachi, S., Mavrich, T. N., Andersen, B. E., Jena, P., Sinnaeve, P., and Morse, R. H. (2012) Distinct role of Mediator tail module in regulation of SAGA-dependent, TATA-containing genes in yeast. EMBO J. 31, 44–57

49. Leroy, C., Cormier, L., and Kuras, L. (2006) Independent recruitment of mediator by SAGA via the activator Med4. Mol. Cell. 26, 3149–3163

50. Smith, C. L., and Peterson, C. L. (2005) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 65, 115–148

51. Qiu, H., Hu, C., Yoon, S., Natarajan, K., Swanson, M. J., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2004) Phosphorylation of the Pol II CTD by KIN28 enhances BUR1/BUR2 recruitment and TEF1-2 phosphorylation near promoters. Mol. Cell. 33, 752–762

52. Cho, E. J., Takagi, T., Moore, C. R., and Buratowski, S. (1997) mRNA capping enzyme is recruited to the transcription complex by phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II carboxyl-terminal domain. Genes Dev. 11, 3319–3326

53. Govind, C. K., Qiu, H., Ginsburg, D. S., Ruan, C., Hofmeyer, K., Hu, C., Swaminathan, V., Workman, J. L., Li, B., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2010) Phosphorylated Pol II CTD recruits multiple HDACs, including Rpd3S(S), for methylation-deacetylase dependent deacetylation of ORF nucleosomes. Mol. Cell 39, 234–246

54. Liu, Y., Kung, C., Fishburn, J., Ansari, A. Z., Shokat, K. M., and Hahn, S. (2004) Two cyclin-dependent kinases promote RNA polymerase II transcription and formation of the scaffold complex. Mol. Cell. 24, 1721–1735

55. Bataille, A. R., Jerosim, C., Jacques, P. E., Laramée, L., Fortin, M. É., Forest, A., Bergeron, M., Hanes, S. D., and Robert, F. (2012) A universal RNA polymerase II CTD cycle is orchestrated by complex interplays between kinase, phosphatase, and isomerase enzymes along genes. Mol. Cell. 45, 158–170

56. Kuras, L., and Struhl, K. (1999) Binding of TBP to promoters in vivo is stimulated by activators and requires Pol II holoenzyme. Nature 399, 609–613

57. Li, X., Y., Virbasius, A., Zhu, X., and Green, M. R. (1999) Enhancement of TBP binding by activators and general transcription factors. Nature 399, 605–609

58. Bernstein, B. E., Liu, C. L., Humphrey, E. L., Perlstein, E. O., and Schreiber, S. L. (2006) Global nucleosome occupancy in yeast. Genome Biol. 5, R62

59. Lee, C. K., Shibata, Y., Rao, B., Strahl, B. D., and Lieb, J. D. (2004) Evidence for nucleosome depletion at active regulatory regions genome-wide. Nat. Genet. 36, 900–905

60. Gregory, P. D., Schmid, A., Zavari, M., Lui, L., Berger, S. L., and Hörz, W. (1998) Absence of Gcn5 HAT activity defines a new state in the opening of chromatin at the PHO5 promoter in yeast. Mol. Cell. 4, 495–505

61. Boeger, H., Griesenbeck, J., Strattan, J. S., and Kornberg, R. D. (2004) Removal of promoter nucleosomes by disassembly rather than sliding in vivo. Mol. Cell. 14, 667–673

62. Wippo, C. J., Krstulovic, B. S., Ertel, F., Musladin, S., Blaschke, D., Stürzl, S., Yuan, G. C., Hörz, W., Korber, P., and Barbaric, S. (2009) Differential cofactor requirements for histone eviction from two nucleosomes at the yeast PHO84 promoter are determined by intrinsic nucleosome stability. Mol. Cell. Biol. 29, 2960–2981

63. Zhang, H., Kruk, J. A., and Reese, J. C. (2008) Dissection of coactivator requirement at RNR3 reveals unexpected contributions to TFIIID and
SAGA. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 27360–27368
71. Gilchrist, D. A., Dos Santos, G., Fargo, D. C., Xie, B., Gao, Y., Li, L., and Adelman, K. (2010) Pausing of RNA polymerase II disrupts DNA-specified nucleosome organization to enable precise gene regulation. Cell 143, 540–551
72. Weiner, A., Hughes, A., Yassour, M., Rando, O. J., and Friedman, N. (2010) High-resolution nucleosome mapping reveals transcription-dependent promoter packaging. Genome Res. 20, 90–100
73. Schwabish, M. A., and Struhl, K. (2006) Asf1 mediates histone eviction and deposition during elongation by RNA polymerase II. Mol. Cell 22, 415–422
74. Johnson, K. M., Wang, J., Smallwood, A., Arayata, C., and Carey, M. (2002) TFIID and human mediator coactivator complexes assemble cooperatively on promoter DNA. Genes Dev. 16, 1852–1863
75. Qiu, H., Hu, C., Zhang, F., Hwang, G. J., Swanson, M. J., Boonchird, C., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2005) Interdependent recruitment of SAGA and Srb mediator by transcriptional activator Gcn4p. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 3461–3474