Increased Risk of Metabolic Syndrome in Antidepressants Users: A Mini Review
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Mounting evidence has shown that the risk of metabolic syndrome (MetS) is substantially overlapping in the diagnostic subgroups of psychiatric disorders. While it is widely acknowledged that patients receiving antipsychotic medications are at higher risk of MetS than antipsychotic-naive ones, the association between antidepressants and MetS is still debated. The goal of our mini review was to analyse the relationship among depressive symptoms, antidepressant use and the occurrence of MetS. Adhering to PRISMA guidelines, we searched MEDLINE, reference lists and journals, using the following search string: (((“Mental Disorders”\[Mesh\]) AND “Metabolic Syndrome”\[Mesh\]) AND “Antidepressive Agents”\[Mesh\]), and retrieved 36 records. Two reviewers independently assessed records and the mini review eventually included the data extracted from 8 studies. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to assess the quality of the selected studies. Overall, the results of the mini review seem to support the association among depressive symptoms, antidepressants therapy and MetS. Except for H1-R high-affinity ones, the relationship between antidepressants and MetS still needs to be clarified. Considering the widespread prescription of antidepressants, both on behalf of psychiatrists and primary care physicians, further research on this topic is recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes, hyperinsulinemia, elevated triglycerides and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) concentrations (1, 2). A syndrome can be regarded as “a clustering of factors that occur together more often than by chance alone and for which the cause is often uncertain” (3). According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) definition, MetS is characterized by central adiposity plus two or more of the following four factors (4): (1) raised concentration of triglycerides: $\geq$ 150 mg/dl (1.7 mmol/l) or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (2) reduced concentration of HDL cholesterol: 40 mg/dl (1.03 mmol/l) in men and 50 mg/dl (1.29 mmol/l) in women, or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality; (3) raised blood pressure: systolic blood pressure $\geq$ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure $\geq$ 85 mmHg or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension; and (4) raised fasting plasma glucose concentration $\geq$ 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l) or
previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, the IDF lists ethnic group–specific thresholds for waist circumference to define central adiposity (5).

National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) criteria require three out of five factors to establish the diagnosis of MetS, i.e., abdominal obesity (waist circumference >88 cm for women or > 102 cm for men), increased triglycerides (>150 mg/dL), reduced HDL cholesterol (<50 mg/dL for women or <40 mg/dL for men), high blood pressure (>130/85) (6) and high fasting glucose (>100 mg/dL) (7).

A vast body of literature (8–16) has pointed to a major role of mental illness (especially bipolar disorder, depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation) in the future development of MetS and associated diseases. A variety of factors may be responsible for MetS in patients suffering from mental illnesses, such as lifestyle, diet, tendency to insulin resistance, and medication side-effects, especially those of antipsychotics (17). Antipsychotics, mostly second generation ones (SGAs) such as clozapine, olanzapine, and risperidone, seem to be involved in the development of MetS (18, 19). Albeit the pathophysiology of SGAs-induced metabolic alterations is not yet fully elucidated, increased food intake, weight gain, hyperglycemia, lipid accumulation in adipose cells and liver are hallmarks of this problem (19).

Several studies (20–23) have shown a high comorbidity between major depressive disorder (MDD) and MetS (24, 25). Depression can cause a 2-fold increase in the risk of MetS in the general population, probably due to poor health-related behaviors (26). Furthermore, independent of the psychiatric disorder diagnosis, antidepressants may have a direct impact on MetS (27), and overall negative consequences for cardiometabolic health (28–31).

While prescription of antidepressant medication is increasing (30) and there is evidence of weight gain induced by antidepressants (31), the association between Mets and antidepressants still remains only partially understood. The introduction of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) in the late 1960s, followed by that of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in the 1980s, together with the increase of long-term prescriptions (in the 1990s and 2000s) and to the more recent use of higher doses of antidepressants, have contributed to a tendency toward over prescribing of antidepressants (32). Although there is much evidence supporting the association between MetS dysregulations and the use of TCAs, particularly abdominal obesity (33, 34), the effects of SSRIs on MetS are far less clear (35, 36).

Considering the possible role of mental illness in the future development of MetS and the need to clarify the impact of antidepressant treatment on MetS, the aim of this mini-review was to address the relationship among depressive symptoms, antidepressant use and the occurrence of MetS.

METHODS

Adhering to PRISMA guidelines, a literature search was conducted in MEDLINE on 13 February 2018, using the following search string: (((“Mental Disorders”[Mesh]) AND “Metabolic Syndrome”[Mesh]) AND “Antidepressive Agents”[Mesh])). The search was restricted to the English language. To be included in the mini review, papers had to be cross-sectional or cohort studies designed with the purpose of analyzing the association between MetS, depressive symptoms or antidepressants therapy. Two reviewers (CG and EG) independently triaged the titles and then the abstracts to exclude those that were clearly inappropriate. Possible disagreement between reviewers was resolved by joint discussion with a third review author (PZ). Reasons for the exclusion of papers from the review were reported in the PRISMA flow diagram.

After selection of the relevant studies, reviewers extracted and tabulated data using a standard form (Table 1). Extracted data included country of origin, research objectives, databases and period assessed, study design, participants’ features. Some data were tabulated, while other, including those about educational and occupational level, socio-demographic data collection methods, lifestyle data collection methods, anthropometric measurements (including waist circumference and Body Mass Index [BMI]), were reported in the text description in the Results section.

Outcome data were presented as count data. Narrative data extracted from the papers included in this mini review are reported in Table 1. Where necessary, text descriptions were used to highlight information that was not captured in the Table.

Finally, the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (44) was used to assess the quality of the selected cohort studies. The quality of cross sectional studies was evaluated through an adapted version of the NOS (45).

The need for an Ethics Committee approval was waived, since we just collected and synthesized data from previous clinical trials in which informed consent had already been obtained.

RESULTS

Selection

The PubMed literature search identified 36 articles. After title, abstract, and eventually full-text screening, 8 papers (1, 37–43) met inclusion criteria for this mini-review (see Figure 1 for more details) (46).

Study Design and Features

Of the 8 studies included in our mini-review, 2 used a prospective cohort design (37, 41), and 6 a cross-sectional design (1, 38–40, 42, 43). In 3 studies the number of participants was ≤99 (38, 40, 43), 2 studies had a sample ranging from 100 to 240 individuals (37, 41), 3 studies included more than 250 patients (1, 39, 42). The average number of participants was of 277 ± 296 (SD) (min 60, max 970 participants). Only one study (39) was multicentric, involving 5 centers, while the other 7 ones were monocentric. Six studies were performed in Europe (38–43), 1 in the United States of America (1), and 1 in Asia (37). Only five studies reported data on the recruitment setting: specifically, two studies included inpatients from a psychiatric ward (40, 41); two studies recruited outpatients (1, 37), while the sample was mixed (both inpatients and outpatients) in 1 study (39). Three studies
TABLE 1 | Narrative synthesis of the studies included in the mini-review.

| Authors          | Country and study period | Design and number of participants | Participants' features (mean age, gender, % M) | Assessment of depression | Antidepressant class | Other medications | Comorbidities MetS criteria and % | Main results                                                                 |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Crichton et al. | USA, 1975–2011         | Cross-Sectional N = 970           | n/a, M/F , 41%                                | Performed by an examiner | n/a                 | n/a                | n/a                           | High risk of MetS and low HDL in patients with depressive symptoms; high risk of MetS, low HDL, carbohydrate metabolism disorders (diabetes) and hypertension in patients on antidepressant therapy. |
| Hung et al.     | Taiwan, 2008–2009       | Cross Sectional N = 229           | 44 year, M/F , 63%                            | n/a                      | SSRI—SNRI—Others    | yes                | n/a                           | BMI related to MetS. Pharmacotherapy seem to be related to high BMI.           |
| Kopf et al.     | Germany, n/a            | Prospective Cohort N = 78         | 53 year, M/F , 31%                            | Performed by an examiner and self-administered questionnaire | SSRI—TCA             | yes                | no                            | Treatment of depression exerts a mainly beneficial effect on lipid regulation. |
| Luppino et al.  | Holland, 2004–2009      | Cross Sectional N = 827           | 43 year, M/F , 38%                            | Performed by an examiner | SSRI—TCA—Others    | n/a                | yes                           | Depression severity weakly associated with glucose levels. There seem to be a mediating role for TCA and NSRI antidepressant use in increasing triglycerides levels, with limited clinical differences. |
| Margari et al.  | Italy, 2013–2013        | Cross Sectional N = 160           | 50 y, M/F , n/a                               | Performed by an examiner | n/a                 | yes                | yes                           | Positive association between antidepressant treatment and triglycerides and triglycerides/HDL ratio levels. |
| Sagud et al.    | Croatia, n/a            | Cross Sectional N = 203           | 53 year, n/a, n/a                             | Performed by an examiner | SSRI—NRI—SNRI—Others | yes                | yes                           | MetS was observed in 33.5 % of patients (no significant differences between TRD and non-TRD), without correlation with cardiovascular risk factors. |
| Salvi et al.    | Italy, 2008–2012        | Cross Sectional N = 289           | 50 year, M/F , 63%                            | Performed by an examiner | SSRI—TCA—SNRI—Others | yes                | no                            | Greater frequency of MetS in patients treated with H1 high affinity antagonists. |
| Stančević et al.| Serbia, 2013–2013       | Prospective Cohort N = 60         | 48 year, M/F , 52%                            | Performed by an examiner | SSRI                | n/a                | n/a                           | In depressed patients, elevated CRP levels associated with increased frequency of MetS. |

N, Number; M, Male; F, Female; y, Years; MetS, Metabolic Syndrome; n/a, not applicable; SSRI, Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCA, Tricyclic antidepressant; SNRI, Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; BMI, Body Mass Index; HDL, High Density Lipoprotein; NOS, Newcastle Ottawa Scale.
did not report information about recruitment setting (38, 42, 43). Only 2 studies (38, 41) reported data about the staff involved in the research, composed by doctors and nurses.

The average duration of the studies was 113 ± 180 (SD) months, ranging from a minimum of 10 to a maximum of 432 months. All the studies included in the mini review had obtained ethic committee approval.

**Socio-Demographic and Lifestyle Features**

Participants’ age ranged from 18 to 98, with a mean of 47.73 ± 3.51 (SD) years. All the included studies involved participants of both genders. All studies reported sociodemographic data, which were retrieved from medical records in 6 studies (1, 38, 40–43); only one study (37) specified details about participants’ occupational status. Six studies provided lifestyle data, obtained by medical records in 2 studies (38, 41), by the anamnesis in 2 other studies (40, 42), and via a specific questionnaire in the last 2 studies (1, 43).

Seven studies (1, 37, 39–43) analyzed participants’ smoking habits; this information was retrieved from a direct question in 3 studies (40, 42, 43), from a self-report measure (the Nutrition and Health Questionnaire) in one study (1), and from previous-year medical records consultation in another one study (41). The source of this information was not specified in 2 studies (37, 39).

Alcohol consumption was described by all included studies. Anyway, only 1 study reported data on participants’ eating habits (1), as assessed by the Nutrition and Health Information Questionnaire (32).

Two studies reported information about physical activity: 1 study (48) used the Nurses’ Health Study Activity Questionnaire (49) and MET-hours per week (a metabolic equivalent is a unit that describes the energy expenditure of a specific activity) for each activity (50); 1 study reported data on structured physical activity or 30 min of walking per day (42).

**Metabolic Parameters**

NCE-ATP III and IDF criteria for MetS were adopted, respectively, by 5 (39–43) and 3 of the selected studies (1, 37, 38). Seven studies collected blood examinations (1, 37–43); 7 studies recorded blood pressure (1, 37, 39–43); last, 7 studies reported information about anthropometric measures (1, 37, 39–43). All the studies included in this mini-review collected data about hypertriglyceridemia (>150 mg/dl), arterial hypertension (>130/85 mmHg) and fasting hyperglycemia (>110 mg/dl); furthermore, 7 studies assessed HDL cholesterol as well (men <40 mg/dl, women <50 mg/dl) (37–43). Waist circumference was measured in 6 studies; in 4 of them, abdominal obesity was diagnosed for values above the following cutoffs: >102 cm.
for men and >88 cm for women (39, 41–43); 2 studies adopted different cutoff values: >94 cm (1), and >90 cm for men and >80 cm for women (37), respectively. Seven studies reported data on BMI (1, 37–43).

Antidepressant Medication and Depressive Symptoms

Six studies reported data on type of antidepressant agents (37–39, 41–43).

Six studies reported data on SSRI (37–43); only one on norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (NRIs) (41); 4 studies on Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) (37, 39, 41, 42); 3 studies reported data on Tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants (TCA) (38, 39, 42); 3 studies reported data also on other drugs (37, 39, 41).

With more detail, Stanovejč et al. (43) compared 35 SSRI medication-treated patients; Kopf et al. (38) analyzed 78 depressed patients in treatment with amitriptyline or paroxetine; Salvi et al. (42) involved 294 antidepressant-treated patients with bipolar disorder, treated with the use of antidepressants (SSRI, TCA, SNRI, and other medications); Hung et al. (37) analyzed different antidepressants, including paroxetine, trazodone, escitalopram, fluoxetine and venlafaxine; Luppino et al. (39) compared 302 primary care outpatients, 445 secondary care outpatients and 80 inpatients, with major depressive disorder (MDD), treated with TCA or Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) and mirtazapine; Sagud et al. (41) analyzed patients data in therapy with SSRIs, SNRIs, tianeptine, mirtazapine, bupropion, reboxetine, and maprotiline.

Only 1 study reported information about the specific phase of MDD (41), while 4 studies included details about the severity of depressive symptoms (38–42). All studies adopted specific questionnaires for the assessment of depression (1, 38–43). In 7 studies the questionnaire was a clinician-administered interview (1, 37–39, 41–43), while in 1 study both a clinician-administered interview and a self-administered questionnaire were used (40).

More specifically, 4 studies (37, 38, 41, 43) used the Hamilton Depression (HAM-D) Rating Scale (44), one (1) the CES-D scale (44), one (1) the Zung self-rating depression scale (44), another one (42) the Clinical Global Impressions Scale (CGI-BD) (51) and the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID) (52), and a last one (40) used the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) (53), the HAM-D Rating Scale (44), the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (53, 54) and the Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire (PDQ-4+) (53).

Psychiatric and Medical Comorbidity

Four studies included participants who were treated with other psychiatric medications beyond antidepressants (37, 38, 40, 43). One study evaluated cognitive function (1). Five studies analyzed other psychiatric variables (37, 38, 40–42). Three studies reported information about medical comorbidities (39, 41), and 2 studies about treatment for medical conditions beyond depression (40, 41).

Outcomes and Assessment of the Quality of Studies

Stroke was reported in 1 study (1), Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) in 3 studies (37, 39, 41). The NOS scores ranged from 3 to 9, with a mean score of 5.75 ± 1.75 (SD).

Cross-Sectional Studies

Sagud et al. (41) assessed 203 inpatients with MDD, including both treatment resistant (TRD) and non-treatment resistant (non-TRD) individuals. They did not find any relationship between MetS and treatment resistance.

Crichton et al. (1) suggested that cardiovascular risk factors attenuated the relation between depression and MetS; moreover they shown that, while depression appeared to increase the risk of CVD (1), CVD could promote the onset of depression.

The cross-sectional study by Salvi et al. (42) involved 294 antidepressant-treated patients with bipolar disorder. No association was found between the use of antidepressants (SSRI, TCA, SNRI, and others) and MetS. However, subjects on H1-R high-affinity antidepressants treatment (N = 15) showed a higher prevalence of MetS, likely due to the anti-histaminic effect of this type of antidepressants, which counteracts histamine central anorexigenic effects (6) and increases adipose tissue deposition (8).

Luppino et al. (39) compared 302 primary care outpatients, 445 secondary care outpatients and 80 inpatients with MDD.

No significant difference among patients recruited in the three treatment settings was found either in the prevalence of MetS (26% primary, 24% secondary care, and 28% inpatients) or in clinical and laboratory measures including waist circumference (WC), BMI, LDL cholesterol, glucose and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). However, inpatients reported higher waist-hip ratio, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels and lower HDL cholesterol levels and systolic blood pressure than outpatients. Results showed significant associations for TCA use with higher DBP (β = 0.10, P = 0.003) and LDL-cholesterol (β = 0.07, P = 0.04), while the use of other antidepressants (Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors-SNRIs) and mirtazapine was associated with higher triglyceride levels (β = 0.10, P = 0.004).

Hung et al. (37) studied 229 outpatients, 85 males and 144 females, recruited by systematic sampling of 1,147 outpatients affected by anxiety and mood disorders. The authors analyzed the impact of pharmacotherapy and psychiatric diagnoses on MetS and found that 51 (22.3%) subjects of 229 outpatients matched MetS criteria, likely due to treatment with antipsychotics and mood stabilizers. The study also shown that antidepressant-treated (paroxetine, trazodone, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, and venlafaxine) patients and patients treated with other medication than antidepressants (antipsychotics and mood stabilizers) did not significantly differ as far as MetS risk is concerned.

Margari et al. (40) evaluated the differences in anthropometric measures, biochemical variables, MetS and cardiovascular risk in a sample of 83 psychiatric inpatients under pharmacological treatment and 77 internal medicine patients. Female psychiatric patients showed higher levels of triglycerides (mg) and of
homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index than males. Patients with unipolar depression reported highest triglycerides and triglycerides/HDL ratio levels with a strong relation with antidepressant treatment.

**Cohort Studies**

Stanojević et al. (43) compared 35 SSRI medication-treated patients with recurrent depressive disorder and 25 healthy controls. Elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were found to be associated with an increased frequency of MetS in depressed patients. While no statistically significant difference was found between depressed patients and controls regarding either the prevalence of MetS or CRP levels, waist circumference and total cholesterol levels were significantly higher in the first than in the latter.

Kopf et al. (38) analyzed lipoprotein composition, insulin sensitivity and salivary cortisol in 78 depressed patients in treatment with amitriptyline or paroxetine at baseline (t0) and after 35 days of treatment. No change in quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) was found after 35 days of treatment. Moreover, only patients on amitriptyline treatment showed increased levels of triglycerides (P <0.05). Parameters of cholesterol metabolism improved slightly without differences between treatment groups. The authors concluded that both the antidepressant treatments assessed might exert a mainly beneficial effect on lipid regulation.

**Narrative Analysis**

A summary of extracted data is reported in Table 1.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies suggested a higher risk of unhealthy behaviors (i.e., excessive alcohol consumption, smoking, obesity, lack of physical activity) (55, 56) in depressed patients, both with a current depressive episode or with a lifetime diagnosis of depression (57), which may contribute to their increased risk of MetS. Moreover, severity of depression seems to correlate with smoking, obesity and physical inactivity following a dose–response mechanism (58–60). Actually, Pan and coworkers’ systematic review (18) described an association between depression and MetS in adults and supported early detection and management of depression among patients with MetS and vice versa.

The current mini review included two cohort (37, 41) and six cross-sectional studies (1, 38–40, 42, 43). Most of them were performed in Europe and involved a single center. Patients were recruited from different settings, including psychiatric wards, outpatient services or both. Six studies reported data on the type of antidepressant assessed (37–39, 41–43), and 6 studies included patients treated with other psychiatric medications beyond antidepressants (37, 38, 40–43).

As regards correlation between MetS and antidepressants use, only one study (42) showed that the risk of Mets was greater for patients treated with antidepressants with high affinity binding to histamine H1-receptors. There seems to be an association between the use of antidepressant medication and alterations of lipid profile. In particular, Luppino et al. (39) suggested a mediating role of TCA and NSRI antidepressant use on the increase of triglycerides level (40), while Crichton et al. (1) suggested a correlation with carbohydrate metabolism disorders (diabetes) and hypertension in patients on antidepressant therapy. Hung et al. (37) postulated that pharmacotherapy may lead to over-weight problems.

The studies included in this mini review, consistent with a previous report on this topic (61), support the hypothesis that, beyond the use of antidepressant medications, MetS may be associated with depressive symptoms, especially when associated with neurovegetative features, and that the inflammatory response may play a key role in this phenomenon. Indeed, the study by Stanojević et al. (43) found that elevated CRP levels in depressed patients were associated with an increased frequency of MetS.

Two studies included in the mini review (1, 39) support the idea that the increased rate of Mets in depressed patients might depend on the involvement of both depressive symptoms and antidepressants use. In particular, one study (1) showed that, among patients with depressive symptoms and lower HDL rates, there seems to be a higher risk of MetS Sagud et al. (41) did not find any significant difference between TRD and non-TRD in the occurrence of MetS or cardiovascular risk factors.

Only the cross sectional study by Kopf et al. (38), observed an inverse correlation between cortisol and cholesterol in the obese subgroup of amitriptyline or paroxetine-treated patients, consistent with the widely acknowledged association of depression and low cholesterol levels (9, 10), and supporting the hypothesis that antidepressants may exert a mainly beneficial effect on lipid regulation.

Moreover, studies using IDF criteria reported stronger correlations among depression and Mets than those using NCEP ATP-III ones (40–42) with the exception of the study by Kopf et al. (38).

**Strengths**

Our mini review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (46). Quality of included studies was assessed with the NOS (NOS scores ranged from 3 to 9). Accuracy of MEDLINE search was guaranteed by using MeSH terms and text words related to research studies on association between MetS, depression and antidepressants.

**LIMITS**

The mini review was limited to publications in English language available in the MEDLINE database. The choice of a simple single search query might have caused the inclusion of a limited number of articles in the mini review. Therefore, it is possible that the literature search we performed was not comprehensive, and that excluding other electronic databases and languages other than English may have caused the exclusion of potentially interesting articles. Nonetheless, recently it has been suggested that there is no significant effect on the outcome of a review including only one database rather than more than one (62, 63).

---

**Table 1**

| Study | Criteria | Findings |
|-------|----------|----------|
| Kopf et al. (38) | Lipoprotein composition, insulin sensitivity, salivary cortisol | Improved slightly without differences between groups. |
| Luppino et al. (39) | Increase of triglycerides level with antidepressants use | Mediating role suggested. |
| Crichton et al. (1) | Carbohydrate metabolism disorders (diabetes) and hypertension | Correlation suggested. |
| Hung et al. (37) | Pharmacotherapy | May lead to overweight problems. |
| Stanojević et al. (43) | Elevated CRP levels | Associated with an increased frequency of MetS. |

---

**Note:**

- **NOS:** Newcastle-Ottawa Scale
- **MeSH:** Medical Subject Headings
- **TRD:** Treatment-resistant depression.
CONCLUSIONS

The results of this mini review seem to slightly support the association among depressive symptoms, antidepressants therapy and MetS. Nonetheless, due to the absence of reliable and detailed trial data reported in the studies included in the review, it could be difficult to tease out effects of depression from those of the medications used.

Overall, antidepressants do not seem clearly associated with MetS, except for H1-R high-affinity ones. Notwithstanding the limitations described above and the heterogeneity of the selected studies (i.e., study design, sample size, analysis, participants’ and setting features, classification criteria of depression and MetS, different antidepressant drugs), implications seem to emerge especially for antidepressant-treated patients with depressive symptoms, receiving also antipsychotics or mood stabilizers, who should be carefully monitored for MetS and the correlated potentially life-threatening clinical conditions (such as diabetes/CVD).
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