Observation of inclusive $D^{\pm}$ production in the decay of $\Upsilon(1S)$
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We present a study of the inclusive $D^{*\pm}$ production in the decay of $\Upsilon(1S)$ using $(98.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^6 \Upsilon(2S)$ mesons collected with the BABAR detector at the $\Upsilon(2S)$ resonance. Using the decay chain $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X$, where $X$ is unobserved, we measure the branching fraction $B[\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X] = (2.52 \pm 0.13(\text{stat}) \pm 0.15(\text{syst}))\%$ and the $D^{*\pm}$ momentum distribution in the rest frame of the $\Upsilon(1S)$. We find evidence for an excess of $D^{*\pm}$ production over the expected rate from the virtual photon annihilation process $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow c\bar{c} \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X$.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Gv, 13.87.Fh, 14.65.Dw
I. INTRODUCTION

Bound states of heavy quarks provide a powerful testing ground for quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Experimental studies of charmonium and bottomonium spectroscopy have helped uncover some of the key aspects of the quarkonium potential [1, 2]. Studies of the decays of quarkonia and of their decay products can also reveal important information on QCD processes [3]. The hadronic decays of the narrow quarkonia, states which are below the threshold for open flavor production, are dominated by couplings to gluons and the fragmentation process into light hadrons. The decay properties of charmonia, which have a relatively low multiplicity particle content, have been extensively studied [4]. However, little is known about the final state contents of bottomonia. In particular, scarcely any experimental information exists on the decays of bottomonium to open charm. The CLEO Collaboration has observed [5] charm production in the decays of the χb states with branching fractions of the order of 10%. The ARGUS Collaboration searched [6] for the decay \( \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X \) and set a limit on its branching fraction of \( B < 1.9\% \) at 90% confidence level.

In this article, we report a study of the inclusive process \( \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X \), yielding the decay branching fraction and the \( D^{*\pm} \) momentum spectrum in the \( \Upsilon(1S) \) rest frame, using data recorded by the \( \text{BaBar} \) Collaboration at the \( \Upsilon(2S) \) resonance. The decay \( \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X \) can proceed through the QED virtual photon annihilation process, \( \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow cc \), followed by the hadronization of the \( cc \) system. The expected decay rate and the \( D^{*\pm} \) momentum spectrum from this process can be accurately estimated from the measured properties of the \( \Upsilon(1S) \) decays and the charm fragmentation function measured at the center-of-mass energy \( \sqrt{s} \sim 10 \) GeV. Other QCD processes such as the splitting of a virtual gluon [7–9] or the annihilation of the \( bb \) system in an octet state [10], have also been suggested as major contributors to this decay channel. Measurements of the \( D^{*\pm} \) yield and of its momentum spectrum can help test the predictions of the proposed QCD mechanisms, and possibly reveal the presence of new physics processes with exotic couplings to heavy quarks [11, 12].

II. THE \( \text{BaBar} \) DETECTOR

The results presented in this work are based on data collected at center-of-mass energy corresponding to the mass of \( \Upsilon(2S) \) resonance with the \( \text{BaBar} \) detector at the PEP-II asymmetric energy \( e^+e^- \) storage ring operating at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The data consist of 14.4 \( fb^{-1} \) of integrated luminosity, corresponding to 98.6 ± 0.9 million \( \Upsilon(2S) \) mesons produced. The study of \( \Upsilon(1S) \) decays is performed by reconstructing the decay chain \( \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S) \), which yields approximately 17.8 million \( \Upsilon(1S) \) decays. An additional off-resonance data sample corresponding to 44.5 \( fb^{-1} \) collected at \( \sqrt{s} \) about 40 MeV below the \( \Upsilon(4S) \) resonance is used to study the background. A GEANT4-based [12] simulation of the detector is used to determine the properties of the signal and to study the background sources.

A detailed description of the \( \text{BaBar} \) detector can be found elsewhere [14]. The tracking system is composed of a 5 layer silicon vertex tracker (SVT) and a 40 layer drift chamber (DCH) in a 1.5 T magnetic field. The SVT provides a precise determination of the track impact parameters and angles near the interaction point (IP) with 15 \( \mu \)m spatial resolution at normal incidence at a radius of 3.2 cm, and is capable of stand-alone tracking for low momentum particles down to 50 MeV/c. Charged hadron identification is achieved through measurements of the specific ionization energy loss in the SVT and DCH, and of the Cherenkov angle from a detector of internally reflected Cherenkov light (DIRC). A CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) provides photon detection, electron identification, and \( \pi^0 \), \( \eta \) and \( K_L^0 \) reconstruction. Finally, the instrumented flux return (IFR) of the magnet allows discrimination of muons from pions and detection of neutral kaons.

III. CANDIDATE RECONSTRUCTION AND SELECTION

The \( \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S) \) candidates are identified by forming pairs of oppositely charged tracks whose recoil mass is consistent with the mass of the \( \Upsilon(1S) \) resonance, when the tracks are interpreted as pions. The recoil mass \( M_{\text{recoil}} \) is computed using

\[
M_{\text{recoil}} = \sqrt{(P_{e^+e^-} - P_{\pi\pi})^2}
\]  

(1)

where \( P_{e^+e^-} \) is the known 4-momentum of the \( e^+e^- \) system and \( P_{\pi\pi} \) is the reconstructed 4-momentum of the \( \pi^+\pi^- \) pair. The pion tracks are required to have energy losses and Cherenkov angles consistent with the pion hypothesis. The track pair is fitted to a common vertex and the probability of the vertex fit is required to
be greater than 1%. The measured di-pion mass distribution peaks near 0.52 GeV/c² for signal events [13], whereas background events are approximately uniformly distributed in the kinematically allowed mass interval [0.28, 0.56] GeV/c². Requiring the mass of the pion pair to be greater than 0.4 GeV/c² retains 96% of the signal candidates while rejecting approximately 1/3 of the background events. Figure 1 shows the recoil mass distribution for the event sample passing the above selection criteria; a signal region consisting of two standard deviations around the Υ(1S) mass is highlighted (cross hatching), as well as two sideband regions used for background studies, the lower ([9432.1, 9444.3] GeV/c²) and upper ([9477.7, 9490.0] GeV/c²) sidebands (diagonal shading). These events form the full event-set used in the measurement of the D*± yield.

We reconstruct D*± candidates using the decay chain D*± → D⁰π±, D⁰ → K−π+. A kaon candidate and an oppositely charged pion candidate are combined to form the D⁰ candidate. The identification efficiency for kaons (pions) is about 98% (93%); the misidentification rate of kaons (pions) as pions (kaons) is about 5% (15%). The identification performance is obtained from a control sample of inclusive D*± → D⁰π±, D⁰ → K−π+. The kaon and pion tracks are geometrically constrained to originate from a common vertex and the probability of the vertex fit is required to be greater than 1%. The mass of the D⁰ candidate is required to be within 75 MeV/c² of the nominal D⁰ mass, which corresponds to about 18 times the experimental resolution on the D⁰ candidate mass. This large mass interval is necessary for the subtraction of the combinatorial background. The D⁰ candidate is finally combined with a soft pion with its charge opposite to that of the kaon candidate to form a D*± candidate. The mass difference between the D*± and the D⁰ (∆m) is required to be in the interval [143.20, 147.64] MeV/c², which corresponds to approximately six times the experimental resolution. The soft pion and the D⁰ candidates are fitted to a common vertex constrained to originate from the interaction region. The probability of the D*± vertex fit is required to be greater than 1%. For events with multiple candidate, the candidate with the best combined vertex fit χ², defined as the sum of the χ² values from the vertex fits described above, is kept. The multiplicity of the reconstructed candidates in simulated signal MC events is 1.2, after the final selection. 74% of these candidates are correctly matched to a signal candidate. The best candidate algorithm retains 90% of the correctly matched candidates and 68% of the ones not correctly matched.

IV. SIGNAL EXTRACTION

The sample of D*± candidates is studied in intervals of the scaled momentum xₚ, defined as:

\[ x_p = \frac{p_{D*±}}{p_{max}} \]  

where \( p_{D*±} \) is the D*± momentum in the rest frame of the Υ(1S), \( p_{max} = \sqrt{E_{max}^2 - m_{D*±}^2} \), \( E_{max} = m_{Υ(1S)}/2 \) and \( m_{D*±} \) is the world average of the D*± mass [10].

The sample is divided into xₚ intervals of 0.05 width in the range [0.1, 1.0]; the region xₚ < 0.1, which is dominated by combinatorial background, is excluded.

The invariant mass distribution of the D⁰ candidates in each xₚ interval is used to determine the D*± yield from the Υ(1S) → D*±X. The D⁰ mass distribution is obtained from the K−π+ candidates mass distribution by two background subtractions. Combinatorial backgrounds, events that are not Υ(2S) → π⁺π⁻Υ(1S) decays, are removed by subtracting the lower and upper sidebands of the π⁺π⁻ recoil mass. The K−π+ invariant mass distribution from the sidebands is rescaled to the expected number of background events in the signal region to determine the K−π+ mass distribution from the combinatorial background component under the Υ(1S) peak. In addition, the K−π+ mass distribution for “wrong-sign” D⁰(→ K−π+)π⁻ combinations (where the soft pion has the same charge as that of the kaon candidate) is used to subtract the D* combinatoric background including a possible peaking backgrounds from D⁰(→ K−π+)π⁺ combinations, involving a true D⁰ decay and a random soft pion. This method leads to a small over-subtraction of signal events due to doubly Cabibbo suppressed (DCS) D⁰ decays reconstructed as wrong-sign combinations. This is accounted for in the final estimation of the branching fraction. The background subtracted invariant mass distribution of D⁰ candidates in the full xₚ range is shown in Figure 2.

Finally the invariant mass distribution of the D⁰ candidates in each xₚ interval is fitted to a probability density function (p.d.f.) using a minimum χ² estimator. The fitted p.d.f., \( P(m) \), is the sum of a signal p.d.f., \( P_{sig}(m) \), and a p.d.f. which accounts for unsubtracted backgrounds, \( P_{bkg}(m) \),

\[ P(m) = n_{sig} \times P_{sig}(m) + n_{bkg} \times P_{bkg}(m) \]  

where \( n_{sig} \) and \( n_{bkg} \) are the number of signal and background events in the fitted region. The fit region corresponds to the D⁰ mass range in which we accept signal candidates \([m_{D⁰} - 75 \text{ MeV}/c², m_{D⁰} + 75 \text{ MeV}/c²]\). The signal p.d.f. is the sum of two Gaussian functions with the same mean:

\[ P_{sig}(m; f, \mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = f G(m; \mu, \sigma_1) + (1 - f) G(m; \mu, \sigma_2) \]  

The background p.d.f. is a linear function:

\[ P_{bkg}(m; \mu, \sigma_1) = 1/w + p_1(m - \mu) \]  

where \( w \) is the fit range. The parameters of the signal p.d.f., \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \) and \( f \) are determined from a fit to the corresponding distribution from Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. However, the mean of the D⁰ mass, \( \mu \), is fixed to the value determined from a fit to the D⁰ mass distribution in the full xₚ interval.
The event selection efficiency is determined using a
simulation study of the signal and background processes.
Signal events are obtained by generating the transition
$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$ according to the decay model
determined by CLEO \cite{17}, followed by the decay $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow c\bar{c}$
and the hadronization of the $c\bar{c}$ pair via JETSET \cite{17}.
Signal events are required to contain at least one $D^{*\pm}$ meson
after the hadronization process. The small fraction
of events (0.4%) containing both $D^{*+}$ and $D^{*-}$ decays is
accounted for by normalizing the efficiency to the number
of signal decays generated. The selection efficiency as
a function of $x_p$, $\epsilon(x_p)$, is shown in Figure 3. The dependence
on $x_p$ is mainly due to the reconstruction efficiency of the slow pion from the $D^{*\pm}$ decay. The average re-
construction efficiency in data depends on the measured
$x_p$ distribution and can be estimated from the relation
$\langle \epsilon_{\text{data}} \rangle = \frac{\sum_{x_p} n_{\text{sig}}(x_p)}{\sum_{x_p} n_{\text{sig}}(x_p)/\epsilon(x_p)} = (17.7 \pm 0.3)\%$ where the
error is statistical only. The ratio of the $\chi^2$ to the number
of degrees of freedom for the individual fits ranges from
0.5 to 2.5, with 16 degrees of freedom.

V. RESULTS

Figure 4 shows the efficiency-corrected distribution of the $D^{*\pm}$ yield as a function of $x_p$. The branching fraction
for the exclusive decay $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X$ in the $x_p$ range
[0.1,1.0] is computed from:

$$B[\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X] = \frac{n_{\text{sig}}}{k_{\text{DCS}} \times B_{\text{decay}} \times N_T(1S)}$$

$$= (2.52 \pm 0.13(\text{stat}) \pm 0.15(\text{syst}))\%$$

where $n_{\text{sig}} = \sum_{x_p} n_{\text{sig}}(x_p)/\epsilon(x_p) = 11845 \pm 596$ is the efficiency-corrected signal yield in the $x_p$ range [0.1,1.0],
k_{\text{DCS}} = (99.62 \pm 0.02)\% is a correction factor to account
for the subtraction of DCS $D^0$ decays, $B_{\text{decay}}$ is the product
of the branching fractions \cite{16} in the $D^{*\pm}$ decay chain
$B[D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+] = (67.7 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B[D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+] = (3.91 \pm 0.05)\%$, $N_T(2S) = (98.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^6$, and $N_T(1S) = N_T(2S) \times B[\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S)] = (17.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6$
is the number of $\Upsilon(1S)$ mesons produced in this decay
chain.

We verify that our analysis procedure is unbiased by fitting
off-resonance data and a Monte Carlo simulation of the
background; we find no significant signal. We also
compare the lower and upper sidebands and use the $D^0$
mass sidebands instead of the recoil mass to subtract
the background, and we find no significant shift in the sig-
nal. The sources of systematic uncertainty are listed in

FIG. 1: Distribution of the recoil mass, $M_{\text{recoil}}$, for the selected $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$ events. The cross hatching shows the signal region, and the lower and upper sideband regions are indicated by the diagonal shading.

FIG. 2: Distribution of the $D^0$ invariant mass for the complete [0.1,1.0] $x_p$ range after subtraction of the combinatoric background and wrong-sign combinations. The solid line represents the fit to the data of the p.d.f. described in the text.

FIG. 3: Reconstruction efficiency for the decay chain $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm}X$ as a function of the scaled $D^{*\pm}$ momentum $x_p$. 

FIG. 4: Distribution of signal events as a function of the recoil mass, $M_{\text{recoil}}$. The cross hatching shows the signal region, and the lower and upper sideband regions are indicated by the diagonal shading.
The fitted function in a window around the distribution of the soft pion in the rest frame of the $\eta$ meson. The $\eta$ meson. The dominant systematic uncertainties in the $Y$ counting come from the modeling of the track reconstruction efficiency and of the total energy of the events. The signal shape uncertainty is due to data-MC differences in the $D^0$ mass signal distribution. A possible curvature of the background is extracted from off-resonance data, and the systematic uncertainty is obtained by adding the corresponding second order polynomial to the background p.d.f. The uncertainties due to MC efficiency, $k_{\text{DCS}}$ and $B_{\text{decay}}\times B[Y(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-Y(1S)]$ arise from imperfect knowledge of these parameters.

Table I. The main contributions come from the uncertainties in the knowledge of the slow pion reconstruction efficiency and the selection efficiency of $Y(1S)$ decays in the recoil mass signal region. The former is determined from a control sample of $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ decays by comparing the efficiency in data with that in MC events, for the soft pion momentum range $[50, 400]$ MeV. The efficiency is extracted from a study of the angular distribution of the soft pion in the rest frame of the $D^*$ meson. The $M_{\text{recoil}}$ selection systematic uncertainty is obtained by comparing the recoil mass distribution for signal events in the full $x_p$ range $[0.1, 1.0]$ in data, with the distribution in Monte Carlo simulated events. The fit to data with the sum of two Gauss functions gives an r.m.s. of 2.9 MeV while the fit to MC events gives 3.3 MeV. The efficiency is estimated from the integral of the fitted function in a window around the $Y(1S)$ mass of $\pm 2\times$ the r.m.s. on MC (the recoil mass signal region). The efficiency in data is 96.3% while in MC events is 93.6%, which corresponds to a relative systematic error on the result of 2.8%. The uncertainty associated with the generated $x_p$ distribution is determined by reweighting simulated signal MC events according to the $x_p$ distribution measured using data. In addition the parameters of the $Y(2S)$ decay model have been varied within their uncertainty and the resulting relative efficiency variation has been taken as the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty in the particle identification efficiency (PID) is derived from a study of a $\phi \to K^+K^-$ control sample and by removing the PID requirement from the selection. The dominant systematic uncertainties in the $Y$ counting are from the modeling of the track reconstruction efficiency and of the total energy of the events. The signal shape uncertainty is due to data-MC differences in the $D^0$ mass signal distribution. A possible curvature of the background is extracted from off-resonance data, and the systematic uncertainty is obtained by adding the corresponding second order polynomial to the background p.d.f. The uncertainties due to MC efficiency, $k_{\text{DCS}}$ and $B_{\text{decay}}\times B[Y(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-Y(1S)]$ arise from imperfect knowledge of these parameters.

Figure 4 shows the expected $x_p$ distribution for $D^{*\pm}$ production from the QED virtual photon annihilation process, $Y(1S) \to \gamma^* \to \mu^+\mu^-$. The shape is obtained from the measured $D^{*\pm}$ fragmentation function at $\sqrt{s} = 10.5$ GeV \cite{18} and the normalization is computed from:

$$B[Y(1S) \to \gamma^* \to D^{*\pm}X] = \frac{\sigma_{D^{*\pm}}}{\sigma_{q\bar{q}}} \times R_{\text{had}}$$

where, $R_{\text{had}} = \sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 3.46 \pm 0.13$\cite{19}, $B[Y(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-] = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$\cite{16}, and $\sigma_{q\bar{q}} = (17.7 \pm 2.2)\%$\cite{16} is the measured $D^{*\pm}$ yield from $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.5$ GeV. We find $B[Y(1S) \to \gamma^* \to D^{*\pm}X] = (1.52 \pm 0.20)\%$.

Our measured branching fraction exceeds the expected rate from the QED virtual photon process from Eq. (7) by $(1.00 \pm 0.28)\%$ (including the systematic uncertainty) which corresponds to 3.6 standard deviations. While the measured $x_p$ spectrum agrees in shape with that of the virtual photon process for $x_p > 0.75$, there is a significant excess for $x_p < 0.75$. The probability that the measured spectrum is consistent with the expected distribution from the virtual photon, normalized using Eq. (7), is $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ confidence estimated from a binned $\chi^2$ test. The excess is compatible with the contribution expected from the splitting of a virtual gluon, $(1.20 \pm 0.29)\%$. This does not leave much room for the octet contribution \cite{10}, which is also disfavored from the shape of the excess as a function of $x_p$.

In summary, using the data collected with the $B\bar{A}B\bar{A}$ detector at the $Y(2S)$ resonance, we have observed for
the first time the decay of $\Upsilon(1S)$ mesons to open charm. We have measured the branching fraction $B[\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow D^{*\pm} X] = (2.52 \pm 0.13\text{(stat)} \pm 0.15\text{(syst)})\%$ and the $D^{*\pm}$ momentum distribution in the rest frame of the $\Upsilon(1S)$. We find evidence for a significant excess of $D^{*\pm}$ production with respect to the expectation from the virtual photon annihilation process.
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