Maternal diabetes and the risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring: a national population-based cohort study

Hui Wang,1 Hua He,1,2 Yongfu Yu,3 Xiujuan Su,4 Fei Li,1,2 Jiong Li 1,3,5

ABSTRACT

Introduction Previous studies have suggested that maternal diabetes may have programming effect on fetal brain development. However, little is known about the association between maternal diabetes and neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring that mainly manifest in infancy or early childhood. We aimed to examine the association between maternal diabetes before or during pregnancy and feeding and eating disorders (FED) in offspring.

Research design and methods This population-based cohort study included 1 193 891 singletons born in Denmark during 1996–2015. These children were followed from birth until the onset of FED, the sixth birthday, death, emigration, or 31 December 2016, whichever came first. Relative risk of FED was estimated by HRs using Cox proportional hazards model.

Results A total of 40 867 (3.4%) children were born to mothers with diabetes (20 887 with pregestational diabetes and 19 980 with gestational diabetes). The incidence rates of FED were 6.8, 4.6 and 2.9 per 10 000 person-years among children of mothers with pregestational diabetes, gestational diabetes and no diabetes, respectively. Offspring of mothers with diabetes had a 64% increased risk of FED (HR 1.64; 95% CI 1.36 to 1.99; p<0.001). The HR for maternal pregestational diabetes and gestational diabetes was 2.01 (95% CI 1.59 to 2.56; p<0.001) and 1.28 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.72; p=0.097), respectively. The increased risk was more pronounced among offspring of mothers with diabetic complications (HR 2.97; 95% CI 1.54 to 5.72; p=0.001).

Conclusions Maternal diabetes was associated with an increased risk of FED in offspring in infancy and early childhood. Our findings can inform clinical decisions for better management of maternal diabetes, in particular before pregnancy, which can reduce early neurodevelopmental problems in the offspring.

INTRODUCTION

Feeding and eating disorders (FED) are one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders during infancy and early childhood,1 affecting approximately 0.8%–1.4% of the normally developing children.2 3 FED are characterized by persistent eating disturbances, such as refusal of food and extreme faddiness, and eating-related problems, such as eating of non-edible substances, or voluntary regurgitation of foods after eating in the absence of nausea, involuntary retching, or disgust.4 Children with FED often demonstrate lower performances in neuropsychological assessments of executive functioning, visuospatial memory, as well as central coherence.5 The etiology of FED is poorly understood, resulting in difficulties in disease prevention and management.6

It has been proposed that prenatal risk factors may be involved in the development of FED.1 7 Emerging evidence suggests that adverse intrauterine environment may play a role in the development of neurodevelopmental disorders.8 9 Maternal diabetes during pregnancy may exert long-lasting effects on
organ development and function in offspring. The diabetic intrauterine environment can interfere with fetal brain development, which would contribute to an increased susceptibility to neurodevelopmental disorders. Experimental studies have suggested that hyperglycemia during early embryogenesis can disturb neocortical neurogenesis via epigenetic regulations. For example, in a mouse model of hyperglycemia, it was observed that maternal hyperglycemia could alter histone acetylation and its regulation on the transcription of proneural genes that were associated with disrupted differentiation of neural stem cells and newborn projection neurons in the neocortex. Epidemiological studies have shown that maternal pregestational type 1 and type 2 diabetes and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) are associated with an increased risk of several neurodevelopment disorders in childhood or adolescence, such as autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. However, little is known about the association between maternal diabetes and the risk of FED that mainly manifests in infancy or early childhood.

We hypothesized that intrauterine exposure to maternal diabetes contributes to an increased risk of FED in offspring. As the odds of neurodevelopment disorders varies by types of maternal diabetes, we conducted a large cohort study to examine the associations between specific types of maternal diabetes and FED in offspring and whether diabetic complications during pregnancy further increased the risk of FED.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Data source and cohort identification

We conducted this secondary analysis of previously collected data of the Danish national registers, such as the Medical Birth Registry, the National Patient Register, the National Prescription Register, and the Psychiatric Central Research Register. In Denmark, all live births have a unique personal identification number which permits the accurate linkage of individual-level data. We identified all singleton live births from 1 January 1996 to 31 December 2016 (n=1224358) from the Danish Medical Birth Registry, excluded 26951 children who had missing or extreme gestational age (<154 or >315 days), 3223 children with chromosomal abnormalities, and 293 children without links to their fathers. The final analysis included 193891 children (shown in figure 1). We followed each child from birth until the date of the first diagnosis of FED, date of sixth birthday, emigration, death, or end of follow-up (31 December 2016), whichever came first.

Measures

Maternal diabetes

Information on maternal diabetes was obtained from the Danish National Patient Register (DNPR) including all inpatient and outpatient records, the Danish National Diabetes Register, and the National Prescription Register, using International Classification of Diseases codes (ICD-8 codes during 1970–1993 and ICD-10 codes since 1994) and Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification codes. In Denmark, the diagnostic criteria for diabetes are as follows: fasting plasma glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L or 2-hour postload plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L or HBA1c ≥6.5%. According to local screening procedures, GDM was diagnosed when two or more glucose values exceeded the following capillary whole blood values on oral glucose tolerance test results: 5.7 mmol/L at 0 min, 11.9 mmol/L at 30 min, 12.0 mmol/L at 60 min, 9.7 mmol/L at 90 min, 8.9 mmol/L at 120 min, 8.5 mmol/L at 150 min and 7.4 mmol/L at 180 min. Maternal diabetes before childbirth was categorized as pregestational diabetes and gestational diabetes. Pregestational diabetes was ascertained using the
following criteria: (1) diagnosis of diabetes (ICD-8 codes: 249, 250; ICD-10 codes: E10–E11, H36.0, O24 excluding O24.4 and O24.9); (2) receipt of chirophy for patients with diabetes; (3) two redeemed prescriptions for insulin (ATC code: A10A); or (4) two redeemed prescriptions for oral antidiabetics (ATC code: A10B), and type 2 diabetes (ICD-8: 250). Prepregestational diabetes was further classified as type 1 diabetes (ICD-8 code: 249; ICD-10 codes: E10, O24.0; ATC codes: A10A) or type 2 diabetes (ICD-8 code: 250; ICD-10 codes: E11, O24.1; ATC code: A10B). During 1977–1986 in Denmark, type 1 and type 2 diabetes were recorded using the single ICD-8 code (250). We used two approaches to distinguish between type 1 and type 2 diabetes: (1) a specific code for type 1 or type 2 diabetes registered later; or (2) age of diabetes onset (type 1: <30 years and type 2: ≥30 years), as in other studies. Gestational diabetes has been diagnosed independently throughout the study period (ICD-8: 63474, Y6449; ICD-10: O244, O249). If a mother was recorded with different diabetes types at various hospital contacts, she was classified based on the first diagnosis type. Diabetic complications, such as diabetic coma, ketoacidosis, nephropathy, ophthalmic, neurological, circulatory, and unspecified, or multiple complications, could in part reflect poor glycemic regulation. We used DNPR to identify mothers with pregestational diabetes who had diabetic complications (ICD-10 codes: E100–E108, E110–E118, and H56.0).

Feeding and eating disorders

FED, specific to infancy and early childhood, are characterized by refusal of food and extreme faddiness in the presence of an adequate food supply, a reasonably competent caregiver, with the absence of organic disease, and behavioral problems such as eating of non-edible substances (pica) or voluntary regurgitation of foods (rumination-regurgitation disorder). FED should be diagnosed only if the difficulties are clearly beyond the normal range, if the nature of the eating problem is qualitatively abnormal in character, or if the child fails to gain weight or loses weight over a period of at least 1 month. Information on FED diagnosed before 6 years old was obtained from the DNPR and the Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register, which hold all inpatient and outpatient psychiatric disorders diagnosis in Denmark. The ICD-10 codes for FED were F98.2 and F50.8.

Covariates

Based on previous research and according to directed acyclic graphs (online supplemental figure 2), the following factors were considered as potential confounders and included in the adjusted models: gender of the child (male, female), calendar period of birth (a 5-year interval during 1996–2015), parity (1, 2, ≥3), maternal age at birth (≤25, 26–30, 31–35, ≥36 years), paternal age at birth (≤25, 26–30, 31–35, ≥36 years), maternal country of origin (Denmark, other countries), maternal education level (0–9, 10–14, ≥15 years), maternal cohabitation status (yes, no), maternal smoking status (yes, no), maternal psychiatric disorder history (yes, no), and paternal psychiatric disorder history (yes, no). The information for maternal social status and origin of country was obtained from the Danish Integrated Database for Longitudinal Labor Market Research.

Statistical analysis

We used Cox proportional hazards regression model to estimate the HR with 95% CIs for the association of maternal diabetes with the risk of FED in offspring, with offspring’s age as the timescale. We categorized mothers with diabetes into four groups: no diabetes, type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes and GDM. The mothers with no diabetes were included in the reference group. To control for the correlations of sequential birth of the same mother, the robust sandwich estimator for SE was used. Furthermore, we examined the association between maternal pregestational diabetes and FED in offspring stratified by maternal diabetes complications.

We performed two models for adjusting potential confounders. Model 1 was adjusted for birth of year. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for sex, parity, parental age at birth, maternal education level, maternal origin, maternal cohabitation, maternal smoking during pregnancy, and parental psychiatric disorders before the childbirth.

We performed the causal inference methods for mediation analyses to examine whether adverse birth outcomes (low birth weight, preterm birth, low Apgar score, and small for gestational age) mediated the association between maternal diabetes and FED in offspring by calculating direct and indirect effects (via the mediator) in the STATA module PARAMED. The proportion of mediation was calculated as log (natural indirect relationship)/log (total relationship).

We did several sensitivity analyses. First, in order to examine the potential mediating effects of preterm birth, we repeated the analyses only including term-born children (≥37 gestational weeks) to see whether the associations would be changed significantly, compared with those overall estimates. Second, we also examined the paternal diabetes as the exposure to explore the potential roles of genetic components and family environmental factors. Paternal diabetes was identified with the same strategy as for maternal diabetes. Additionally, we also conducted coisibilising analyses to explore potential confounding by shared familial factors (genetic and/or environmental).

Third, as maternal pregnancy body mass index became available since 2004, we restricted the analysis to offspring born afterward. Fourth, we repeated our analysis by excluding children born with cleft lip and cleft palate (Q35–Q37), and other congenital malformations of the digestive system (Q38–Q45). Fifth, we also conducted analyses by extracting information on maternal diabetes through patient registers only
rather than combination of hospitalization registers and prescription data. Finally, we examined potential sex-specific associations between maternal diabetes and FED in offspring by including an interaction term between sex of the child and maternal diabetes. All statistical analyses were performed with Stata V.15.

RESULTS

Of the 1 193 891 singleton live-born offspring, 20 887 (1.7%) were exposed to maternal pregestational diabetes (type 1: 1.1%, type 2: 0.6%) and 19 980 (1.7%) were exposed to gestational diabetes. The proportion of offspring exposed to maternal diabetes increased with the year of birth (online supplemental figure 1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of mothers and children in the exposed and unexposed groups. Compared with unexposed offspring, exposed offspring were more likely to be born preterm. Compared with mothers without diabetes, mothers with diabetes were on average older, more likely to have higher parity, to live alone, and be non-smokers during pregnancy. Mothers with diabetes also had a higher prevalence of psychiatric disorders.

A total of 2099 (0.2%) offspring were diagnosed with FED with a mean age at diagnosis of 1.2 years. Offspring of women with diabetes were 1.64 times (HR 1.64; 95% CI 1.36 to 1.99; p<0.001) more likely to have FED than the offspring of mothers without diabetes (incidence rate of FED 0.57 per 1000 person-years vs 0.29 per 1000 person-years). For example, the rate of 0.57 per 1000 person-years could be considered that we follow 100 000 children for 1 year, 57 of them will develop FED. The magnitude of association was higher in offspring exposed to maternal pregestational diabetes (HR 2.01; 95% CI 1.59 to 2.56; p<0.001) than in those exposed to gestational diabetes (HR 1.28; 95% CI 0.95 to 1.72; p=0.097). Both maternal pregestational type 1 diabetes (HR 1.73; 95% CI 1.26 to 2.38; p=0.001) and type 2 diabetes (HR 2.53; 95% CI 1.78 to 3.59; p<0.001) were associated with an increased risk of FED in offspring (table 2).

Among women with pregestational diabetes, 16.6% had pregestational diabetic complications. The risk of FED in offspring of mothers with pregestational diabetes and diabetic complications was 2.97-fold (HR 2.97; 95% CI 1.54 to 5.72; p=0.001) than those of mothers with pregestational diabetes but without diabetic complications (HR 1.92; 95% CI 1.49 to 2.48; p<0.001) (table 3).

Adverse birth outcomes accounted for a very small proportion of the association between maternal diabetes and risk of FED, although almost all the natural indirect association estimates were marginally statistically significant (table 4).

When excluding offspring with preterm birth, the estimates remained unchanged (online supplemental table 1). No association was observed for paternal diabetes and FED risk in offspring (online supplemental table 2). In the cosibling analyses, similar association was yielded (online supplemental table 3). Results from the analyses restricted to offspring born after 2004 or excluding children born with cleft lip and cleft palate, and other congenital malformations of the digestive system were consistent (online supplemental tables 4 and 5). Stratification for sex of the offspring did not show any significant differences in estimates from the main analyses (online supplemental table 6). When obtaining information on maternal diabetes only from patient registers, results were similar (online supplemental table 7).

DISCUSSION

In this large cohort study, we found that maternal diabetes before or during pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of FED in offspring. Children of mothers with pregestational diabetes (type 1 or type 2 diabetes) had varied increased risks (1.73 for type 1; 2.53 for type 2) than those of mothers without diabetes, which were higher than that in children of mothers with GDM only. The highest risk of FED was observed among offspring of mothers with diabetic complications. Mediation analyses further indicated that adverse birth outcomes could only explain a very small proportion of the overall effects.

Our findings are, in general, consistent with previous studies on the association between maternal pregestational diabetes and neurodevelopmental disorders in childhood or adolescence, but it is new to observe the elevated risk in infancy and early childhood. We further observed that the associations of type 1 and type 2 diabetes with FED might vary in magnitude. The variations in the magnitude of the FED risk in offspring by subtypes of maternal diabetes could be due to the different pathophysiological mechanisms underlying subtypes of diabetes, which warrants further investigations.

Regarding GDM, a meta-analysis of both prospective and cross-sectional studies reported that GDM was associated with ASD in offspring. However, a large population-based study in the USA found that only GDM diagnosed before 26 weeks was significantly associated with risk for ASD. Our stratified analyses found that children exposed to GDM diagnosed before 26 weeks had a similar HR, compared with children exposed to GDM at any time. Several register-based studies have suggested that the association between GDM and neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring was only observed in children of parents with lower socioeconomic position or of mothers with obesity. These discrepancies might be explained by different screening procedures, diagnostic criteria, and treatment guidelines for GDM, or measurements for offspring neurodevelopment.

Potential biological mechanisms linking maternal diabetes during pregnancy and FED risk in offspring may involve multiple pathways. During diabetic pregnancies, maternal hyperglycemia may predispose fetuses to a proinflammatory state with fetal hyperinsulinemia, increased oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and hypoxia, which in turn could interfere with brain development.
| Characteristic                  | Maternal diabetes status |          |          |          |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                | Pregestational diabetes  | Gestational diabetes | Unexposed |
|                                | n (%)                    | n (%)    | n (%)    |
| **Calendar year**              |                          |          |          |          |
| 1996–2000                      | 2148 (10.3)              | 2447 (12.3) | 306 762 (26.6) |
| 2001–2005                      | 3050 (14.6)              | 3457 (17.3) | 298 294 (25.9) |
| 2006–2010                      | 7113 (34.0)              | 6448 (32.2) | 289 956 (25.1) |
| 2011–2015                      | 8576 (41.1)              | 7628 (38.2) | 258 012 (22.4) |
| **Parity**                     |                          |          |          |          |
| 1                              | 9190 (44.0)              | 7797 (39.0) | 510 514 (44.3) |
| 2                              | 7739 (37.1)              | 7192 (36.0) | 429 393 (37.2) |
| ≥3                             | 3958 (18.9)              | 4991 (25.0) | 213 117 (18.5) |
| **Sex**                        |                          |          |          |          |
| Boys                           | 10 761 (51.5)            | 10 421 (52.2) | 591 782 (51.3) |
| Girls                          | 10 126 (48.5)            | 9559 (47.8) | 561 242 (48.7) |
| **Preterm birth**              |                          |          |          |          |
| No                             | 17 821 (85.3)            | 18 518 (92.7) | 1 097 399 (95.2) |
| Yes                            | 3066 (14.7)              | 1462 (7.3)   | 55 625 (4.8)   |
| **Low birth weight**           |                          |          |          |          |
| No                             | 19 345 (92.6)            | 19 038 (95.3) | 1 103 427 (95.7) |
| Yes                            | 1248 (6.0)               | 751 (3.7)    | 39 670 (3.4)    |
| Missing                        | 294 (1.4)                | 191 (1.0)    | 9927 (0.9)     |
| **Apgar at 5 min**             |                          |          |          |          |
| 10                             | 18 372 (88.0)            | 18 037 (90.3) | 1 060 912 (92.0) |
| 7–9                            | 2052 (9.8)               | 1574 (7.9)   | 74 925 (6.5)    |
| 0–6                            | 250 (1.2)                | 203 (1.0)    | 8602 (0.8)      |
| Missing                        | 213 (1.0)                | 166 (0.8)    | 8585 (0.7)      |
| **Maternal age at birth**      |                          |          |          |          |
| ≤25                            | 2286 (10.9)              | 2239 (11.2) | 215 076 (18.7) |
| 26–30                          | 7149 (34.2)              | 5824 (29.2) | 430 254 (37.3) |
| 31–35                          | 7529 (36.1)              | 7045 (35.2) | 361 849 (31.4) |
| ≥36                            | 3923 (18.8)              | 4872 (24.4) | 145 845 (12.6) |
| **Paternal age at birth**      |                          |          |          |          |
| ≤25                            | 1102 (5.3)               | 1140 (5.7)  | 108 414 (9.4)  |
| 26–30                          | 4913 (23.5)              | 4309 (21.6) | 333 714 (28.9) |
| 31–35                          | 7296 (34.9)              | 6564 (32.9) | 396 431 (34.4) |
| ≥36                            | 7269 (34.8)              | 7637 (38.2) | 302 410 (26.2) |
| Missing                        | 307 (1.5)                | 330 (1.6)   | 12 055 (1.1)   |
| **Maternal years of education**|                          |          |          |          |
| 0–9                            | 3799 (18.2)              | 4142 (20.7) | 210 413 (18.3) |
| 10–14                          | 8983 (43.0)              | 8991 (45.0) | 515 176 (44.7) |
| ≥15                            | 7744 (37.1)              | 5904 (29.6) | 400 847 (34.7) |
| Missing                        | 361 (1.7)                | 943 (4.7)   | 26 588 (2.3)   |
| **Maternal cohabitation status**|                          |          |          |          |
| Yes                            | 12 325 (59.0)            | 11 582 (58.0) | 604 184 (52.4) |
| No                             | 8556 (41.0)              | 8381 (42.0) | 548 115 (47.5) |
| Missing                        | 6 (<0.1)                 | 17 (<0.1)    | 725 (0.1)     |
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during the critical period and lead to subsequently neurobehavioral disorders in later life. Previous studies have established that the development of neurons and brain circuits, that is, proliferation, migration, differentiation, is an array of complex processes, and are more susceptible to environmental insults during early pregnancy. Maternal hyperglycemia may play a more critical role in the etiology of neurodevelopment disorders. Maternal hyperglycemia has also been associated with epigenetic modifications which potentially mediate the link between maternal diabetes and FED risk in offspring. Experimental studies in rats have suggested that epigenetic modifications of neocortical neurogenesis due to alterations in the hyperglycemic intrauterine environment increased the susceptibility to neurodevelopment disorders in later life. In addition, infants born to mother with diabetes have higher serum leptin and Mendelian randomization supports the causal relationship between maternal hyperglycemia and epigenetic regulation of leptin gene in newborns. Leptin, one of the anorexigenic neurotransmitters, may restrain the feeding behavior by restricting the availability or counteracting the orexigenic effect of neuropeptide Y, which may partly explain the disturbed feeding behaviors in offspring of mothers with diabetes.

It is noteworthy that children of mothers with diabetic complications have the highest risk of FED. Diabetic complications are closely correlated with insulin resistance and may reflect the severity of pregestational diabetes and poor glycemic control. Similarly, previous studies have found a higher risk of genital anomalies and cardiovascular diseases in offspring of mothers with diabetic complications. Maternal poor glycemic control in pregnancy may exert potential implications in offspring for behavioral and emotional problems.

Strengths and limitations of this study

This study has several strengths. First, methodological strengths of this study include its use of the Danish register data, which provide a large and representative sample with more than 1 million children. Second, in this study, we have used Cox proportional hazards model. This statistical model can take into consideration of time until FED occur and compare the incidence rate of events over time for different groups, while adjusting for various potential confounders. Third, the data used in

| Characteristic | Maternal diabetes status | Pregestational diabetes | Gestational diabetes | Unexposed |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Maternal origin |                          |                         |                      |           |
| Born in Denmark | 17 800 (85.2)            | 14 937 (74.8)           | 984 608 (85.4)       |
| Not born in Denmark | 3083 (14.8)           | 5036 (25.2)             | 168 064 (14.6)       |
| Missing | 4 (<0.1)                | 7 (<0.1)                | 352 (<0.1)           |
| Maternal psychiatric disorders |          |                         |                      |           |
| Yes | 2983 (14.3)             | 2457 (12.3)             | 99 820 (8.7)         |
| No | 17 904 (85.7)           | 17 523 (87.7)           | 1 053 204 (91.3)     |
| Maternal smoking status during pregnancy |            |                         |                      |           |
| Yes | 2924 (14.0)             | 3130 (15.1)             | 196 070 (17.0)       |
| No | 17 167 (82.2)           | 16 328 (81.7)           | 926 720 (80.4)       |
| Missing | 796 (3.8)              | 64 (3.2)                | 30 234 (2.6)         |

Table 2: Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring

| Exposure | Cases (n) | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 | P value |
|----------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| No diabetes | 1966 | 0.29 | Ref 1.00 | Ref 1.00 |          |
| Maternal diabetes | 133 | 0.57 | 1.81 (1.52 to 2.16) | 1.64 (1.36 to 1.99) | <0.001 |
| Pregestational diabetes | 79 | 0.68 | 2.15 (1.71 to 2.69) | 2.01 (1.59 to 2.56) | <0.001 |
| Type 1 diabetes | 44 | 0.59 | 1.85 (1.37 to 2.50) | 1.73 (1.26 to 2.38) | 0.001 |
| Type 2 diabetes | 35 | 0.84 | 2.68 (1.92 to 3.74) | 2.53 (1.78 to 3.59) | <0.001 |
| Gestational diabetes | 54 | 0.46 | 1.47 (1.12 to 1.93) | 1.28 (0.95 to 1.72) | 0.097 |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; model 2 additionally adjusted for parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age.
we cannot completely rule out the possibility of residual relevant confounders, as in other observational studies, epidemiological studies. Third, although we adjusted for indicated the high validity of Danish diabetic diagnosis in information for diabetes later and previous studies have validated the diagnosis by using the specific register misclassification of FED might be expected to be non-treated in private clinics could not be included. However, possibility of recall bias could be ruled out. Furthermore, the data are prospectively collected, so the potential misclassification bias might also remain for maternal diabetes. Before 1986, type 1 and type 2 diabetes were recorded using the same ICD-8 codes.28 We further validated the diagnosis by using the specific register information for diabetes later and previous studies have indicated the high validity of Danish diabetic diagnosis in epidemiological studies. Third, although we adjusted for relevant confounders, as in other observational studies, we cannot completely rule out the possibility of residual confounding by unmeasured confounders. However, the cosibling analyses showed that they were not explained by unmeasured shared familial factors. In addition, no significant association between paternal diabetes further suggested the findings are unlikely to be explained by the uncontrolled confounding completely. Lastly, pregestational diabetes with complications could indicate severity of diabetes, poor glycemic control or duration of exposure to hyperglycemia. However, due to lack of detailed information on these factors, we could not explore further on this issue and further research is warranted.

### CONCLUSION

Maternal diabetes was associated with an increased risk of FED in offspring in infancy and early childhood. Our findings highlight the importance of better management of maternal diabetes, which may contribute to reduce the incidence of neurodevelopmental problems in the offspring like FED.

### Table 3

| Exposure                                      | Cases (n) | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| No pregestational diabetes                    | 1966      | 0.29                        | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |         |
| Pregestational diabetes without complications | 69        | 0.65                        | 2.04 (1.60 to 2.60) | 1.92 (1.49 to 2.48) | <0.001  |
| Pregestational diabetes with complications    | 10        | 0.99                        | 3.30 (1.77 to 6.14) | 2.97 (1.54 to 5.72) | 0.001   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; model 2 additionally adjusted for parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age.

### Table 4

| Variables                  | OR (95% CI)*                        |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Low birth weight          |                                     |
| Natural direct effect     | 1.55 (1.25 to 1.83)                 |
| Natural indirect effect   | 1.03 (1.02 to 1.04)                 |
| Total effect              | 1.60 (1.29 to 1.88)                 |
| Proportion (%)†           | 6.29                                |
| Preterm birth             |                                     |
| Natural direct effect     | 1.49 (1.20 to 1.75)                 |
| Natural indirect effect   | 1.09 (1.07 to 1.11)                 |
| Total effect              | 1.62 (1.30 to 1.91)                 |
| Proportion (%)†           | 17.86                               |
| Low Apgar score           |                                     |
| Natural direct effect     | 1.61 (1.30 to 1.90)                 |
| Natural indirect effect   | 1.00 (1.00 to 1.01)                 |
| Total effect              | 1.62 (1.31 to 1.91)                 |
| Proportion (%)†           | 0.99                                |

*Adjusted for sex, birth year, parity, paternal age, maternal smoking during pregnancy, maternal education level, maternal country of origin, maternal cohabitation and maternal psychiatric disorders.†Proportion mediated was calculated as log (natural indirect relationship)/log (total relationship).
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### Supplementary Table 1 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring excluding preterm birth (n=1,133,738)

| Exposure                  | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| No diabetes               | 1716         | 0.26                        | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes         | 108          | 0.51                        | 1.77 (1.46, 2.16)   | 1.60 (1.30, 1.98)   |
| Pregestational diabetes   | 61           | 0.60                        | 2.05 (1.59, 2.65)   | 1.89 (1.44, 2.49)   |
| Type 1 diabetes           | 34           | 0.52                        | 1.78 (1.27, 2.51)   | 1.59 (1.10, 2.30)   |
| Type 2 diabetes           | 27           | 0.73                        | 2.53 (1.73, 3.71)   | 2.43 (1.63, 3.62)   |
| Gestational diabetes      | 47           | 0.43                        | 1.51 (1.13, 2.02)   | 1.33 (0.98, 1.82)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio.
Appendix Table 2 Association between paternal diabetic and risk of feeding and eating disorders during 1996-2015 (n=1 193 891)

| Exposure          | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1                  | HR (95% CI) Model 2                  |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No paternal diabetes | 2024         | 0.30                       | Ref 1.00                           | Ref 1.00                            |
| Paternal diabetes  | 17           | 0.26                       | 0.86 (0.53, 1.38)                   | 0.71 (0.42, 1.21)                   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Appendix Table 3 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring using co-sibling analysis (n= 886 711)

| Exposure           | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| No diabetes        | 1421         | 0.28                        | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes  | 84           | 0.50                        | 1.65 (1.32, 2.06)   | 1.54 (1.22, 1.94)   |
| Gestational diabetes| 47           | 0.55                        | 1.80 (1.35, 2.41)   | 1.76 (1.31, 2.38)   |
| Type 1 diabetes    | 32           | 0.57                        | 1.88 (1.32, 2.67)   | 1.80 (1.25, 2.59)   |
| Type 2 diabetes    | 15           | 0.50                        | 1.67 (1.00, 2.75)   | 1.70 (1.02, 2.84)   |
| Gestational diabetes| 37           | 0.45                        | 1.49 (1.08, 2.07)   | 1.32 (0.93, 1.86)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Appendix Table 4 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring born during 2004-2015

| Exposure                  | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| No diabetes               | 1313         | 0.33                        | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes         | 111          | 0.58                        | 1.77 (1.45, 2.14)   | 1.63 (1.31, 2.03)   |
| Pregestational diabetes   | 66           | 0.68                        | 2.06 (1.61, 2.64)   | 1.93 (1.47, 2.53)   |
| Type 1 diabetes           | 38           | 0.61                        | 1.84 (1.33, 2.54)   | 1.71 (1.20, 2.43)   |
| Type 2 diabetes           | 28           | 0.81                        | 2.45 (1.69, 3.56)   | 2.34 (1.56, 3.53)   |
| Gestational diabetes      | 45           | 0.48                        | 1.46 (1.08, 1.97)   | 1.31 (0.93, 1.84)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history, pregestational body mass index) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Appendix Table 5 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring stratified by sex

| Exposure               | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **For boys**           |              |                            |                     |                     |
| No diabetes            | 939          | 0.27                       | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes      | 54           | 0.44                       | 1.54 (1.17, 2.02)   | 1.33 (0.98, 1.80)   |
| Pregestational diabetes| 33           | 0.55                       | 1.89 (1.33, 2.68)   | 1.81 (1.25, 2.61)   |
| Type 1 diabetes        | 21           | 0.54                       | 1.87 (1.21, 2.88)   | 1.80 (1.14, 2.84)   |
| Type 2 diabetes        | 12           | 0.56                       | 1.93 (1.09, 3.41)   | 1.83 (1.07, 3.32)   |
| Gestational diabetes   | 21           | 0.34                       | 1.19 (0.77, 1.83)   | 0.86 (0.52, 1.44)   |
| **For girls**          |              |                            |                     |                     |
| No diabetes            | 1 027        | 0.31                       | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes      | 79           | 0.70                       | 2.06 (1.64-2.60)    | 1.93 (1.51, 2.46)   |
| Pregestational diabetes| 46           | 0.81                       | 2.38 (1.77-3.20)    | 2.19 (1.60, 3.01)   |
| Type 1 diabetes        | 23           | 0.64                       | 1.84 (1.22-2.79)    | 1.66 (1.07, 2.59)   |
| Type 2 diabetes        | 23           | 1.14                       | 3.36 (2.22-5.09)    | 3.16 (2.04, 4.89)   |
| Gestational diabetes   | 33           | 0.59                       | 1.74 (1.23-2.46)    | 1.67 (1.16, 2.39)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Appendix Table 6 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring excluding cleft lip, cleft palate, and other congenital malformations of the digestive system (n=1 182 478)

| Exposure                | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| No diabetes             | 1887         | 0.28                       | Ref 1.00            | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes       | 126          | 0.54                       | 1.79 (1.50, 2.15)   | 1.63 (1.34, 1.98)   |
| Pregestational diabetes | 77           | 0.67                       | 2.19 (1.74, 2.75)   | 2.05 (1.61, 2.61)   |
| Type 1 diabetes         | 43           | 0.58                       | 1.89 (1.40, 2.56)   | 1.76 (1.27, 2.43)   |
| Type 2 diabetes         | 34           | 0.83                       | 2.72 (1.94, 3.83)   | 2.57 (1.79, 3.67)   |
| Gestational diabetes    | 49           | 0.42                       | 1.40 (1.05, 1.86)   | 1.22 (0.90, 1.66)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Appendix Table 7 Association between maternal diabetes and risk of feeding and eating disorders in offspring (n=1 193 891)

| Exposure                    | No. of cases | Rate per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) Model 1 | HR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| No diabetes                 | 1999         | 0.29                        | Ref 1.00             | Ref 1.00            |
| Maternal diabetes           | 100          | 0.55                        | 1.77 (1.45, 2.17)    | 1.59 (1.28, 1.98)   |
| Pregestational diabetes     | 42           | 0.72                        | 2.40 (1.77, 3.26)    | 2.23 (1.61, 3.08)   |
| Type 1 diabetes             | 24           | 0.70                        | 2.35 (1.57, 3.52)    | 2.19 (1.42, 3.37)   |
| Type 2 diabetes             | 18           | 0.75                        | 2.46 (1.55, 3.91)    | 2.28 (1.41, 3.67)   |
| Gestational diabetes        | 58           | 0.47                        | 1.49 (1.15, 1.93)    | 1.31 (0.99, 1.74)   |

Model 1 adjusted for birth year; Model 2 additionally adjusted for sex, parity, maternal characteristics (age, education level, origin, cohabitation, smoking status during pregnancy, psychiatric disorder history) and paternal age; HR, hazard ratio;
Supplementary Figure S1. The proportion of offspring born to mothers diagnosed with diabetes by birth years
Supplementary Figure 2 Directed acyclic graphs showing selection of covariates for confounding control