High-efficiency and stable piezo-phototronic organic perovskite solar cell
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Perovskite materials are regarded as next-generation organic photovoltaic (OPV) materials due to their excellent physical and chemical properties. Recent theoretical and experimental advances also revealed the piezoelectric properties of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ perovskite thin films. In this work, a CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ perovskite piezo-phototronic solar cell is studied in theory. The output parameters such as open circuit voltage, current-voltage characteristics, fill factor, power conversion efficiency, and maximum output power with external strains are presented. The coefficient to characterize piezo-phototronic modulation is also calculated for the piezo-phototronic solar cell. With the change of strain, the output performance can be controlled and enhanced. This principle can offer not only a novel and unique approach to produce high-performance, stable perovskite solar cells, but also a principle to design new piezoelectric perovskite optoelectronic devices.

1. Introduction

Organic photovoltaic (OPV) devices have been leading the research into energy science and technology.¹-³ In recent years, much attention has been devoted to the perovskite materials and their applications in solar energy conversion because of their high absorbance, low carrier recombination rate, outstanding ferroelectric properties and easier synthesis.⁴-⁶ Among them, perovskite solar cells (PSC) with CH$_3$NH$_3$PbX$_3$ perovskites (X = Cl, Pb, and I) as absorber layers show great potential in fabricating low-cost and large-scale commercial solar cells, whose efficiency has increased from 3.8% to over 22% in the past five years.⁷-¹⁰

The concept of piezoelectric enhanced performance of nanostructure semiconductor device were put forward in 2007, promoting the interdisciplinary development in the field of nanotechnology.¹¹ Combining piezoelectric and semiconductor properties, piezoelectric semiconductor materials such as ZnO, GaN, CdS have been used to fabricate multifunctional stretchable semiconductor devices, such as flexible photodetectors,¹² piezophototronic light emitting diodes¹³ and piezo-nanogenerator.¹⁴ Besides, piezo-phototronic and pyro-phototronic effect also enables the improvement in power conversion efficiency of low-dimensional solar cells.¹⁵-¹⁸

Recent breakthrough in photovoltaic devices has developed a stable and durable CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ perovskite solar cell with a stable efficiency of 8%, which overcomes the problem of PSC’s application in the ambient environment.¹⁹ CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ thin films have the piezoelectric properties in recent experiments.²⁰ Piezoelectric materials have been used to design energy harvesting devices, such as piezoelectric generator.²¹

From semiconductor physics point of view, light management and carrier management are two critical factors determining the performance of solar cells.²² The basic principle of a solar cell is that photo-generated electron-hole pairs are separated on the interface of metal-semiconductor or p-n junction. In piezo-phototronic solar cell, piezoelectric charges increase the built-in electric field, which can efficiently enhance the separation of electron-hole pairs and improve the carrier management.¹⁵ The piezo-phototronic perovskite solar cells can be a promising candidate for flexible OPV devices. There are several newly discovered semiconductor properties of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$. The large piezoelectric constant (0.83 C m$^{-2}$) and the small relative dielectric constant (32) of the perovskite materials result in higher current density and higher adjustability. The adjustability shows the higher modulation of output parameters including open-circuit voltage, output power density, fill factor, PCE as well as the regulator further presented. Besides, the stable and durable CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ also demonstrates perfect output characteristics with short circuit current density as 14 mA cm$^{-2}$ and open circuit voltage as 0.45 V, comparable to other novel solar energy conversions, which sets the foundation for the high-performance PPSC.¹⁹
This work provides a prototype of the perovskite piezo-phototronic solar cell, which has improved performances by applying piezoelectric and photovoltaic properties of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ thin films. A schematic of the device architecture is shown in Fig. 1. The main structure is the perovskite material sandwiched by CuI (as HTM) and TiO$_2$ (as ETM). The upper layer is an Au electrode, while the base is the PET substrate. Here, we treat the interface between the top layer and the layer beneath as metal–semiconductor (M–S) contact, and the bottom contact (between the base layer and the layer on its top) as ohmic contact. When compressive and tensile strains are applied on the CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ layer, the piezoelectric polarization charges are induced at the contact. Fig. 1(b) and (c) show the modulation role in the Schottky barrier played by piezoelectric field, which can increase or decrease the barrier respectively. The characteristic parameters to measure the performance of solar cells, such as current–voltage characteristics, open circuit voltage, maximum output power, fill factor, and power conversion efficiency are studied. The principle of piezo-phototronic solar cell can offer a unique and novel approach to produce an organic photovoltaic cell with stability, higher efficiency and lower cost.

2. Piezo-phototronic modulation on PPSC

Previous research works have elucidated the characteristics of piezo-phototronic solar cell by semiconductor physics and piezoelectric theory. Here, the detailed PPSC theoretical analysis is presented combining the physics-based analytical model for perovskite solar cells$^{23}$ and piezo-phototronic theory. Given the extraordinarily long diffusion length, the recombination in the perovskite layer is ignored, so the analytical model of diffusion and drift of photo-generated carriers can be derived as:

$$D \frac{\partial^2 n(x)}{\partial x^2} + \mu E(x) \frac{\partial n(x)}{\partial x} + G(x) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n/p$ is the electron/hole concentration, $D$ and $\mu$ are the diffusion coefficient and mobility, respectively, and $G(x)$ represents photogeneration varying with the position $x$. $E(x)$ is the electric field with the perovskite layer determined by the position. Then the detailed current–voltage characteristics can be expressed as:

$$J_{\text{dark}} = (\alpha_t \times J_{b0} + \alpha_b \times J_{b0})(\frac{e V}{kT})$$

$$J_{\text{photo}} = qG_{\text{max}}(A - W(x))$$

$$J = J_{\text{dark}} + J_{\text{photo}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $A, B, \alpha, m$ are the functions of $t_0$ (the thickness of the perovskite layer), $J_{b0}$ and $J_{b0}$ are the dark diode current at the transport layer, $V_{bi}$ is the built-in potential across the perovskite layer, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $s$ is the effective surface recombination velocity, $W_d$ is the equilibrium depletion width, $G_{\text{max}}$ is the integration of the position-dependent photon absorption by calculating the transfer matrix.

Based on piezo-phototronic theory, the current density of piezo-phototronic solar cell is given by:

$$J = J_{\text{MS}} \exp \left( \frac{q \rho_{\text{piezo}} W_{\text{piezo}}^2}{2 \epsilon_r kT} \right) \left[ \exp \left( \frac{qV}{kT} \right) - 1 \right] - J_{\text{solar}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $J_{\text{MS}}$ is the saturation current density at the MS interface, $\rho_{\text{piezo}}$ expresses the density of the piezoelectric charges, $W_{\text{piezo}}$ is the width of charges distribution, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ denotes the temperature, $\epsilon_r$ represents the dielectric constant, $J_{\text{solar}}$ is the short circuit current density, and $V$ stands for the applied voltage.

The open circuit voltage can be expressed as:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_{\text{solar}}}{J_{\text{MS}}} \right) + \frac{q \rho_{\text{piezo}} W_{\text{piezo}}^2}{2 \epsilon_r kT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
where $\gamma$ represents the scale ratio which depicts piezo-phototronic modulation for open circuit voltage and output characteristics of piezo-phototronic solar cell:

$$
\gamma = \frac{q^2 P_{\text{piezo}} W_{\text{piezo}}^2}{2e_k kT} \ln \left( \frac{J_{\text{MS}}}{J_{\text{solar}}} \right)
$$

In solar cell physics, the fill factor is the ratio of the maximum output power to the product of open circuit voltage and short circuit current, and can be obtained by:

$$
\text{FF} = \frac{P_m}{J_{\text{solar}} V_{\text{oc}}} = \frac{J_m V_m}{J_{\text{solar}} V_{\text{oc}}}
$$

Fill factor can be influenced when the generation of charges changes significantly between open-circuit and short-circuit conditions. In the classical drift-diffusion model, recombination process which depends on a complex interplay between the effects of thickness, charge transport, recombination strength and light intensity plays an important role in the loss of photo-generated pairs. However, the extraordinarily long diffusion length in perovskite materials is larger than the active layer, thus the effect of recombination is neglected in this work.

The power conversion efficiency (PCE) is the ratio of maximum output power to the total incident power, which can evaluate the overall efficiency of the solar cell. The PCE can be obtained as:

$$
PCE = \frac{J_{\text{solar}} V_{\text{oc}} \text{FF}}{P_m}
$$

3. Results and discussion

Typical parameters and coefficients are used to calculate the open circuit voltage, maximum output power, power efficiency, and fill factor. The temperature is set to 300 K, the width of piezo-charges distribution $W_{\text{piezo}}$ is 54.3 nm, the relative dielectric constant is 32. The piezoelectric constant of polar configuration of single crystal CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ which has molecular dipoles aligned preferentially along $c$-axis while distributed isotopically within the $ab$ plane is used in the calculation and the piezoelectric constant along $c$-axis for CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ is 0.83 C m$^{-2}$.

Fig. 2(a) presents the calculation model. A layer of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ is sandwiched between upper HTM and bottom TiO$_2$ composite layer. The $J$–$V$ characteristics of the PPSC with externally applied strain ranging from −1% to 1% at a short circuit current density of 14 mA cm$^{-2}$ is shown in Fig. 2(b). Fig. 2(c) shows the relation between the output power and voltage, which means the current density increases proportionally to applied strains, and peaks at $V_m$. By solving the above equations, the $V_{oc}$ and $P_m$ as a linear function of the strain are shown respectively in the Fig. 2(d). The introduction of the piezo-phototronic effect improves the performance of the PPSC evidenced by the increased $V_m$ and $P_m$.

The modulation ratio $\gamma$ of PPSC in relation to $W_{\text{piezo}}$ and strain $\varepsilon$ is shown in Fig. 3(a). When $W_{\text{piezo}}$ increases from 48 nm at −1.0% compressive strain to 55 nm at 1.0% tensile strain, the ratio $\gamma$ varies from −1.56% to 1.79%. While an external strain is applied in the CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ layer, the performance of the PPSC improves linearly. Fig. 3(b) is the $\gamma$ as a function of strain when $J_{\text{solar}}$ is 14 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 15.9 mA cm$^{-2}$. The modulation ratio $\gamma$ increases with the width of piezo-charge distribution $W_{\text{piezo}}$ as.

![Fig. 2](a) Schematic of a PPSC with CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ thin film sandwiched between CuI and TiO$_2$. (b) Relative current density varying with voltage when applied compressive strains ranging from −0.9% to 0.9% applied. (c) Output power of a PPSC as a function of voltage with various strains applied. (d) Maximum output power and open-circuit voltage under various compressive strains.
illustrated in Fig. 3(c). Fig. 3(d) presents that the ratio $\gamma$ as a function of the applied strain for two different piezoelectric perovskite materials: CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$, BaTiO$_3$. The piezoelectric constants for these two materials are 0.83 C m$^{-2}$ (CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$), 6.3 C m$^{-2}$ (BaTiO$_3$)$_{30}$ respectively. The relative dielectric constants are 32 (CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$), 600 (BaTiO$_3$)$_{31}$ respectively. Fig. 3(d) shows that the $\gamma$ of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ increases more significantly than that of BaTiO$_3$ with strain varying from −1% to 1%. Though the piezoelectric constant $\varepsilon_{33}$ of BaTiO$_3$ is much higher than that of the CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$, the regulation ability is restricted because of its extremely high dielectric constant. Using the eqn (4) and eqn (5), FF and PCE, two parameters describing the performance of PSCs, are presented in Fig. 4(a) and (b) with the externally applied strain varying from −1% to 1%. Fill factor and power conversion efficiency of CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ piezo-phototronic solar cell are enhanced due to increasing maximum output power and open circuit voltage with the external strain applied.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the theoretical model of piezo-phototronic perovskite solar cells is presented and subsequently calculated in this paper. The open circuit voltage, maximum output power, fill factor and power efficiency are calculated and analyzed. Piezo-phototronic perovskite solar cells have enhanced performance under applied strains. Furthermore, compared to other piezoelectric materials, CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ demonstrates superior potential for applications in high-efficiency piezo-phototronic solar cells for its relatively high modulation factor and easier fabrication process. The theoretic results deepen the physical understanding of the piezo-phototronic perovskite solar cells and provide future guidance for the design of organic photovoltaic nanodevices.
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