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ABSTRACT

In biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles, it is possible to replace the old chemical synthesis methods with new environment-friendly procedures. In this study, AgNP was synthesized using extracts of Rosmarinus officinalis L. leaves, and then its effect on biochemical characters, antioxidant enzymes activity, and finally carnosic acid content was studied with its various concentrations. UV–visible spectroscopy was utilized to identify the formation of synthesized AgNP. Synthesized AgNP was confirmed the absorption maxima at 500 nm wavelength. Synthesized AgNP were measured using SEM and showed 21 nm at size and spherical shape. Application of various concentrations of synthesized AgNP demonstrated the concentration dependency so that, in concentration of 40 and 60 mM of synthesized AgNP, dry and fresh weight increased. Application of synthesized AgNP at 40 mM resulted in increase of chlorophyll amount which caused more activity in anti-oxidant enzymes and also biomass accumulation such as soluble carbohydrate and flavonoid. After treatment of synthesized AgNP at 40 mM concentration, HPLC demonstrated an increase in carnosic acid. Here, small nanoparticles revealed increased in secondary metabolites. Synthesized nanoparticles can also be utilized in order to produce natural products and plants with faster growth rates.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rosmarinus officinalis L. (R. officinalis) is well-known for having a very high antioxidant activities compared to other herbs [1]. It is popularly utilized as a preserving agent in food stuffs and cosmetic products and it is identified as a source for nutritional supplements [2, 3]. Compounds that cause this antioxidant activity are known as phenolic diterpenes. Diterpene carnosic acid is the strongest antioxidant agent in rosemary plant. There are also other diterpenes in this plant such as derivative products of carnosic acid which are not effective. Generally, carnosic is converted to carnosol in order to form the phenolic diterpene with δ- and γ-lactone functions. In polar and non-polar solvents, carnosic acid will be oxidized into phenolic diterpene under thermal stress [4, 5].

Besides antioxidant activity of rosemary extract, its elements are useful for medicinal and physiological applications [6]. For instance, it is reported that small doses of rosemary extract demonstrate the inhibitory effects for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection [7]. Moreover, it is reported that R. officinalis has antitumor activities in animal based on in vitro studies. Its main elements, namely rosmarinic acid, ursolic acid, carnosol and carnosic acid are obtained from its activities. It was thought that rosemary’s antitumor effect was due to its antioxidant activity, while recently it was reported that there are no connection between rosemary’s antioxidant and antitumor effects. However, The U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Food and Safety Authority confirmed that certain compositions of rosemary extract are safe for human consumption and are added to foodstuffs as antioxidant. This could be suggested that this agent may potentially be used as an additional method in treatment of cancer [8].

Elicitors are biological and non-biological molecules to which plant receptors on the cytoplasmic membrane react. Thus, plant cells produce a signal that stimulates the gene’s expression within the pathway which causes the plant’s secondary metabolites to synthesize. The metal nanoparticles application was considered as a potent complement in the area of nanotechnology. Nanotechnology can reduce silver ions to silver nanoparticles with an increase in the surface area of silver [2, 5–8].

In recent years, silver nanomaterial has established considerable attention due to their attractive chemical and electronic properties and their potential applications in improvement of novel technologies. New developments in studies of silver nanomaterials have led to their application including biosensing, diagnostic imaging, cancer diagnosis, therapy, catalysis, food industry, environmental conservation, anti-microbial and cyto-genotoxic properties, nucleic acids-based biosensors, glucose biosensors, fluorescence biosensors, protein-based biosensors, and finally effective drug delivery vehicles [9].
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Fuel explored the synthesis of metallic (AgNP and AuNP) and bimetallic nanoparticle (Ag-AuNP) including L-cysteine as reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite (AgNPs/cis/rGO, AuNPs/cis/rGO, and Ag@Au/cis/rGO) and act as an electrolyte for methanol electro-oxidation. Researches demonstrated that the prepared nanocomposites improved electrochemical efficiency for methanol electro-oxidation regarding diffusion efficiency, potential of oxidation, and forward oxidation peak current [16]. A research was performed by John et al [17] showed that AgNP fixed into zeolite Y and pesticide fenoxycarb decomposed effectively. The photochemistry of fenoxycarb showed that AgY act as catalyst.

In the study of Tanju Eren et al [18], it was reported the green synthesis of AgNPs on polyoxometalate (POM) and its application as an improved anode material for lithium-ion batteries (LiBs). AgNPs/POM nano composite were measured for charge/discharge specific capacities at different current rates in CR2032 coin-type cells. The prepared AgNPs/POM composite showed a high specific gravimetric capacity and long-term cycle stability.

In a study by Sofian et al [19] showed that Ag nanoclusters loaded in zeolites were prepared and analyzed for catalysts reaction. Ag nanoclusters–zeolites component improved the photodecomposition speed of carbafuran, respectively, and the photodecomposition of carbaryl in the presence of these catalysts indicated room temperature rate that are faster than the photodecomposition of carbaryl in the absence of the silver-loaded zeolite catalysts. Another application of AgNPs was shown that AgNP has effective cytogenotoxic effects in NT2 (human testicular embryonic carcinoma cells) and primary mouse testicular cells. Researchers discovered that the cytogenotoxic effects of AgNPs was attributed to a dose-dependent increase in DNA-strand breaks, finally leading to cell death [20].

Due to the high efficiency of plants-mediated biosynthesized nanoparticles in various fields such as medicine, pharmaceuticals and agriculture, the aim of present study was to examine the effects of biosynthesized silver nanoparticle on carnosic acid as well as the effects of biosynthesized AgNP on certain physio-biochemical attributes of R. officinalis plant.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was carried out based on factorial experiment with a totally randomized design using three replications in the research greenhouse of Sana Institute of Higher Education. R. officinalis seedlings were purchased and after planting and ensuring their successful placement, they were sprayed with biosynthesized silver nanoparticles in various concentrations of 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 mM for two times in three weeks.

Lastly, all treated plants were gathered for biochemical and morphological analyses. At the end of the experiment (15 days from planting), growth factors including the fresh and dry weight of root were measured.

2.1 Preparation of biologically synthesis of AgNP

R. officinalis leaves were gathered, cut and dried for two days at the normal room temperature. Dry leaves were completely washed using distilled water and the aqueous extract was prepared. 20 gr of leaves was added to 90 mL water and 20 mL of ethanol. The extract was heated at 70 °C for 30 minutes.

To achieve a clear solution, the resulted extract was centrifuged and filtered using Whatman 41 filter paper. The remainder was immediately used for the synthesis of AgNP which acted as a reducer and stabilizer agent. 25 mL of aqueous extract of R. officinalis was mixed with 50 mL of 1 mM AgNO₃ using a magnetic stirrer. To ensure complete mixing of the mixture, the mixture was shaken and was then put down at room temperature to settle. The color change to yellow indicated that the silver nanoparticles have formed. The 0.5 mL of sample was diluted using 2.5 mL of Milli-Q water and then its UV spectrum was measured. The same process was performed for each extract.

2.2 Monitoring and Characteristics of Biosynthesized Silver Nanoparticles

To synthesize AgNPs, 50 mL of silver nitrate (0.001 M) was added to an Erlenmeyer flask as a standard solution. Around 0.5 mL of R. officinalis extract was mixed using a magnetic stirrer (250 rpm) at room temperature (25°C) for 24 hours. After 0, 15, 30, 45 min of reduction, synthesis of AgNPs (Ag ions turn into AgNPs) was monitored by UV-VIS spectroscopy.

Green synthesized AgNPs were characterized using SEM, which was also used to morphologically analyze the particles. The aqueous AgNO₃ sample was put on the carbon-coated copper grid and was left for an hour at room temperature to allow evaporation of the solvent. Zeiss - EM10C was used to capture the SEM micrograph image on carbon coated copper grids utilizing an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Microscopic pictures were recorded and documented at various levels of magnification.

2.3 Extraction of Carnosic Acid from Rosemary and Analysis using HPLC

Crushed rosemary leaves (10.0 g) were put in ethyl alcohol, water and 100 mL of ethyl acetate, and water in 4.5:1:4.5 volume ratio. After put at room temperature for 4 full days, we filtered the mixture and analyzed a small amount of the extract analyzed by HPLC using an Aqua C18–125A (150 × 4.0 mm, 5 microns) from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA). The elution was happened gradually starting at 10% methanol and 90% water containing 2.5% acetic acid [21].

2.4 Biochemical Assay

2.4.1 Chlorophyll Measurement

We used fully developed leaves to measure the amount of chlorophyll [22]. The formulas bellow was used to find the dosage of chlorophyll a and b. Hiscox & Israelstam [23] proved that Arnon’s [22] equations for calculation of chlorophyll extracted in 90% acetone are equal to chlorophyll extracted in DMSO. Here are Arnon’s (1949) equations:

\[
\text{Chl}_a (g\ell) = 0.0202 A_{663} - 0.00269 A_{645}
\]

\[
\text{Chl}_b (g\ell) = 0.0029 A_{663} - 0.00468 A_{645}
\]

\[
\text{Total Chl} (g\ell) = 0.0202 A_{663} + 0.00802 A_{645}
\]

2.4.2 Measurement of Total Carbohydrate

To calculate carbohydrates content, proteins were detached from the extracts of ethanol by putting at 0°C temperature for 15 min. The extracts were concentrated via evaporation of ethanol at 70°C under vacuum. To remove chlorophyll, the extracts was mixed with chloroform and then was centrifuged for 5 min.

Total carbohydrates content was calculated using Yemm and Willis enthrone modified method [24]. 1 mL of the extract was incubated with 5 mL of enthrone solution (150 mg enthrone in 76 mL H₂SO₄ and 30 mL H₂O) at 90°C temperature for 10 min. The green product was measured to have absorbed at 630 nm.

2.4.3 Preparation of the Extract for Determination of Flavonoid Content

The dried plant materials (10 mg) were extracted using 75 mL of ethanol (95%) at 4°C for 10 min. The solvent was left to evaporate at 40°C underneath a reduced pressure chamber. The evaporated extract was conserved for more analyses.

2.4.3.1 Assessment of Total Flavonoid Content

The aluminum chloride calorimetric method was utilized to determine the total flavonoid content and the quercetin was applied to create the standard calibration curve. To prepare the quercetin solution, quercetin (5.0 mg) was dissolved in methanol (1.0 mL).

Then, standard quercetin solution (0.6 mL) was mixed with 2% aluminum chloride (0.6 mL). The mixture was incubated for 60 min at room temperature. The absorbance was analyzed at 420 nm by spectrophotometer. The total flavonoid content was considered as mg g⁻¹ FW [25].

2.4.4 Catalase Activity Analysis

Aebi’s modified method [26] was used to determine the catalase (CAT) enzyme activity. The enzyme activity was measured using a reaction mixture (3 mL), which consisted of 2400 μL sodium-potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM), 100 μL of the enzyme extract and 500 μL of hydrogen peroxide (10 mM). Absorption of the reaction mixture was measured at 240 nm by means of a spectrophotometer (Biochrom WPA Biowave II) with 2 min intervals.
2.4.5 Peroxidase Activity Analysis

By using Chance and Maehly’s [27] modified method, the Peroxidase (POX) activity was measured using guaiacol oxidation in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$. Extraction buffer contained 1700 μL of phosphate buffer (100 mM), 600 μL of Guaiacol (20 mM) and 600 μL of the enzyme extract. The reaction commenced after the addition of 100 μl of 10 mM hydrogen peroxide to the mixture. Using a spectrophotometer (Biochrom WPA Biowave II) absorbance of mixture was measured at 470 nm.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

This experiment was employed a fully factorial randomized design (CRD) and was executed in three biological iterations for each treatment. Variance between various means and its impact was analyzed using one-way ANOVA and least significant difference (LSD) methods in SPSS software (version 23, SPSS, Chicago, IL). The mean comparison was executed using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), followed by drawing graphs with MS Office excel.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Change of Color in the Mixture after the Emergence of Biosynthesized Nanoparticles

After the production of nanoparticles, the color of the mixture changed from green to dark brown by exposure to sunlight (Figure 1), which indicates the reduction of AgNO$_3$ to AgNPs during the treatment with *R. officinalis* extracts. Enhancement of surface plasmons vibrations caused the change of color to brown. Figure 2 demonstrates the OD value and UV–vis spectra which was periodically recorded from the reaction.

The absorption spectrum of *R. officinalis* extracts ranged from 300 to 700 nm at different wavelengths and peaked at 500 nm. SEM analysis confirmed the proposal that green syntheses of AgNPs are spherical and revealed that the obtained silver nanoparticles were spherical in shape and averagely measured about 21 nm (Figure 3).

![Figure 1. Color modification of synthesized silver nanoparticles from *R. officinalis* extract after 24 h of synthesizes](image)

![Figure 2. UV–visible spectrum of biosynthesized AgNPs exhibited peak at 435 nm.](image)

![Figure 3. SEM micrograph of synthesized AgNPs from aqueous *R. officinalis* extract.](image)

3.2 Results of Morphological Analysis

Figure 4 demonstrates the effect of various synthesized AgNP concentrations on the fresh and dry weight of the shoot. The results showed a significant increase in the fresh and dry weight of biosynthesized plants leaves treated with AgNP in tandem with the increase in AgNPs. The highest dry and fresh weight was recorded in 40 and 60 mM concentrations of AgNP.

![Figure 4. Effect of various concentrations of biosynthesized AgNP (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag20, Ag40, Ag 60 mM) on fresh weight and dry weight of *R. officinalis*. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: P <0.05. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.](image)
3.3 The Result of Biochemical Analysis

The overall results of this study revealed that treatment with biologically synthesized AgNP led to some biochemical changes in *R. officinalis* plants. The significant difference was observed between different concentrations of AgNP compared to the control plants (*P* ≤ 0.05).

In case of chlorophyll a (Chl a), alteration was just like Chl b, total Chl and carotenoid. After treatment of plant by synthesized AgNP, a remarkable increase in Chl a, Chl b, total Chl and carotenoid (41%, 41%, 38% and 50%) was observed at high concentration of AgNP (40 mM) but followed by a decrease at 60 mM of AgNP (Figure 5 A, B, C, D).

It was achieved the highest overall carbohydrate content (0.07 mg. g⁻¹ FW) at 40 mM concentration of biosynthesized AgNP treated plant before a decrease at 60 mM biosynthesized AgNP. Plants that were treated with 5 mM of biosynthesized AgNP showed the lowest carbohydrate content (0.04 mg. g⁻¹ FW) (Figure 6).

The highest flavonoids production in biosynthesized AgNP treated plants was observed in 40 and 60 mM (50.8 mg quercetin/g FW). The lowest flavonoid levels were reported in plants treated with 5 mM biosynthesized AgNP (30.2 mg quercetin/g FW) (Figure 7).

3.4 Effect of Biosynthesized AgNP on Enzymatic Activity

Among all treatments, plants treated with 40 and 60 mM of biosynthesized AgNP demonstrated the highest CAT enzymatic activity (48 U mg⁻¹ proteins), while the lowest CAT activity (26 U mg⁻¹ proteins) was recorded in plants treated with 5 mM biosynthesized AgNP (Figure 8).

Figure 5. Effect of various concentrations of biosynthesized AgNP (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag20, Ag40, Ag60 mM) on A: Chlorophyll a, B: Chlorophyll b, C: Overall chlorophyll and D: Carotenoid in *R. officinalis*. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: *P* <0.05. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.

Figure 6. Effect of various concentration of biosynthesized AgNP (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag20, Ag40, Ag60 mM) on soluble *R. officinalis* carbohydrate. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: *P* <0.05. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.

Figure 7. Effect of various concentrations of biosynthesized AgNP (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag20, Ag40, C40, C60 mM) on flavonoid content (mg quercetin/g FW) of *R. officinalis*. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: *P* <0.05. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.

Figure 8. Effect of various concentration of biosynthesized AgNP (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag20, Ag40, Ag60 mM) on CAT activity (U mg⁻¹ proteins) of *R. officinalis*. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: *P* <0.05. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.
POX activity peaked at 40 mM biosynthesized AgNP concentration (57 U mg\(^{-1}\) protein) and the lowest activity was recorded at 5 mM biosynthesized AgNP concentration (39 U mg\(^{-1}\) protein) followed by a decrease in 60 mM nanoparticle concentration (Figure 9).

3.5 HPLC Analysis of Carnosic Acid Content

The Performance of HPLC analysis on *R. officinalis* extract revealed major peaks which were identified as carnosic acid based on authentic standards. The carnosic acid retention time was 7.8 ± 0.6 min (Figure 10). Overall, this study showed that the treatment of *R. officinalis* plants with biosynthesized AgNPs with various concentrations resulted in a change of carnosic acid content.

We observed major differences between various concentrations in comparison to the control plant (*P* ≤ 0.05), which was demonstrated in figure 11. Figure 11 shows how carnosic acid content varies within the range of 0.5 to 38 mg/g dry weight when various concentrations of synthesized AgNP are applied.

![Figure 10: Chromatograms of concomitant treatments of A: synthesized AgNP at 40 mM, B: synthesized AgNP at 20 mM, C: synthesized AgNP at 10 mM on carnosic acid content of *R. officinalis.*](image)

It was observed the highest amount of carnosic acid content (34.6 mg/g dry weight) in plants treated by 40 mM biosynthesized AgNP concentration and the lowest amount was recorded at 5 mM nanoparticle concentration. The carnosic acid content gradually increased in tandem with the increase of the concentration of biosynthesized AgNP to the concentration of 40 mM up to 60 mM, in which it started to decrease.
In the present study, the change of color in the mixture from yellow to brown under sunlight exposure indicated the formation of biosynthesized AgNPs as a result of the reduction of AgNO$_3$ after treatment with $R$. officinalis extract (Figure 1). A study by Moteriya et al. [32] exhibited a similar color change in Cassia roxburghii flower extract that signaled the formation of AgNP particles. According to the extracts absorption spectrum, enhancement of surface plasmons vibrations caused the color change to brown within 300 to 700 nm wavelengths, which peaked at 500 nm. In general, shape, dimensions, arrangement, morphology, and dielectric in the vicinity of the green synthesized AgNPs determine the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band [33].

Altogether, results of this research demonstrated a positive elicitation effect of synthesized AgNPs on some morphological and biochemical characters in $R$. officinalis. In addition, concentration of elicitor acts an important role in stimulation of physiological process and secondary metabolite biosynthesis in $R$. officinalis. Overall, this study showed a positive elicitation effect on fresh and dry weight and biochemical properties of $R$. officinalis after application of biosynthesized AgNPs. It was suggested that elicitor concentration plays an important role in stimulating the physiological process and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in $R$. officinalis [34, 35].

According to chlorophyll analysis, the content of chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll increased after treatment of plants with synthesized AgNP which was similar to previous experiments results reported on the increase of photosynthetic pigments by increment of nanoparticles concentrations [36, 37, 38 and 39].

Treatment of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) and corn (Zea mays L.) with AgNP resulted in enhanced biochemical properties such as chlorophyll content, carbohydrate and protein [36, 37]. A research by Tarafdar and Raliya [38] showed that application of AgNP on Cyanopsis tetragonoloba improved the biosynthesis of chlorophyll and protein.

Govorov and Carmeli [39] reported that chemical energy generation in photosynthetic systems was induced by metal nanoparticles. The novel hybrid system formed after AgNPs bound to the chlorophyll in photosynthetic reaction center due to the increased excitation of electrons induced by plasmon resonance and major acceleration of electron-hole separation [39].

Application of nanoparticles increases the gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence and also affects other factors such as electron transfer rate, stomatal uptake, transpiration, photosynthesis rate (PSII), phytochemical and effective phytochemical productivity. In one study, SiO$_2$NP increased photosynthesis rate and improved the anhydride carbonic enzyme activity and photosynthetic pigments synthesis [40]. In another study, investigation of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles applications showed improvements in the photosynthesis rate, water conductivity, and transpiration in plant [41]. Also, treatment of plant with nanoparticles exhibited high photosynthesis rate which resulted in increased nutrients and carbohydrate content due to photosynthesis and also larger shoot and heavier fresh and dry weight [42].

Alternatively, AgNP plant treatment increases Fe, Ca and water absorption and thus magnifies growth factors [43]. A research by Rezvani et al. [44] showed that AgNP accelerated growth by blocking the ethylene signaling pathway. Furthermore, it was proved that silver ions prevent ethylene from acting by preventing it from connection with some of its receptors in plant cells. The AgNPs could also induce the growth of Brassica juncea seedlings and their antioxidant status followed by increase of fresh weight, root and shoot length [37]. A study by Syu et al. [45] showed promotion effects by AgNPs using various morphology and size in Arabidopsis growth. Arase researchers [46] confirmed that the expression of genes engaged in ABA signaling pathway, IAA biosynthesis, etc. was up-regulated by AgNP treated plants, and afterward an increase in growth was observed. Vannini et al. [47] reported that treatment with silver nanoparticles in Eruc a sativa enhanced plant growth and Jacalin-related lectin (JAL) gene expression induction that was associated with the releasing auxin synthesis precursor (nitrile). It could be demonstrated that the pathways interfere in treatment of plant cells with silver nanoparticles affect plant growth ultimately.

Our data showed that application of nanoparticles in $R$. officinalis increased soluble carbohydrate and protein content. Similarly, studies on treatment of ZnNP on Bacopa monnieri showed the increased protein synthesis as well as carbohydrate induction [48]. Monosaccharides are the reducing sugars that may play a role in the reduction of metal ions [48, 49]. It is also reported that nanoparticles accelerate growth by increasing soluble carbohydrates [50].

The results of the present research confirmed the nanoparticles ability to decrease plant growth in low concentration, emphasizing the disturbance of cell separation process which causes cell disintegration Chromatin Bridge and stickiness [51, 52]. Furthermore, the negative effects of low concentration of nanoparticle on plant growth was directly affects cell division and indirectly affects Ag ions release from nanoparticles as well as the production of free radicals in silver nanoparticle plan treatment [53]. Moreover, some nanoparticle properties such as size, shape, plants species, treatment duration, and condition are important factors which can effect on plant’s physiological and morphological aspects positively/negatively (toxicity).

The unfavorable size and shape of nanoparticles up-regulated genes expression and protein syntheses such as fructose-1, 6 bisphosphate aldolase, protoclorophyllide oxidoreductase, dehydro-responsive (RD22) and cell-division-cycle kinase 2, 9-cis-epoxy-carotenoid dioxygenase (NCED3) and induction of gene expression in inole acetic acid protein 8 (IAA8). Also, AgNPs are associated with several cellular events, for instance, silver nanoparticles act as ethylene perception inhibitors and can potentially hinder ethylene biosynthesis by decreasing the expression of ACC synthase 7 and ACC oxidase 2 [54, 22].

AgNPs solubility and ROS production affect their activity. Thus, nanoparticles enter plant cells more easily which results in production of secondary metabolites [28]. El-Temsah and Joner [55] reported that various AgNP concentrations affect the growth in different ways. It was reported by Savithramma et al. [56] that Ag nanoparticles led to rapid growth since new pores penetrated the plant and induced the acceleration of nutrients influx.

Here, the results showed that the biosynthesized AgNP treated plants included increased flavonoids. It was observed that the plant’s defensive ability depended greatly on the results of phenylpropanoid pathway products such as flavonoid content which played a major role in elicitor resistivity [57, 58]. AgNP could up-regulate main anthocyanin genes and flavonoid biosynthesis pathway in A. thaliana [60]. Similarly, the enhancement of flavonoids production was reported by some researchers after treating plants with nanoparticles [61, 62, 63]. A study on maize by Suryaprabha et al. [64] demonstrated how silver nanoparticles significantly affect phenol and flavonoid content. Lipid peroxidation, CAT activity and oxidative stress ($H_2O_2$ production) are the causes of this increase.

**Figure 11:** Effect of applying various concentrations of biosynthesized AgNP in (Ag0, Ag5, Ag10, Ag40, Ag60 mM) on carnosic acid content (mg/g dry.wt) in tissues of $R$. officinalis leaves. The mean values were the result of three separate experiments. Data represent the mean ± SD, n=3; LSD: least significant difference; significance level: $P <0.05$. In each column, means that have at least one letter in common are not significantly different.

**DISCUSSION**

Medicinal plants are able to benefit from nanoparticles in their pharmacological properties. Synergistic actions of nanoparticles with some biological compounds can be targeted towards sites related to physiological process [28, 29]. Plant has the reducing agent that may contribute to metal ions reduction. These compounds include several flavonoids/flavonoids derivatives, several hydroxycinnamic and one monosaccharide. These components are the substances which are often considered as possible reducing agents in NPs green synthesis [30, 31].
The exposure of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* L to AgNP treatment increased plant growth and diosgenin concentration [65].

With respect to antioxidant enzyme activity, the CAT and POX activity was incremented after synthesized AgNP treatment. In a study by Krishnaraja et al. [66], low concentration of AgNP resulted in increase of CAT activity and POX activity in *Bacopa monnieri*. Additionally, a biosynthesis using zinc-silver nanoparticles on *Bacopa monnieri* showed induction of protein synthesis, and reduction of carbohydrate and phenol content, and decrease of catalyzed (CAT) and peroxidase enzymes activity.

Based on various studies, the general belief is that initial responses of plants to nanoparticle treatment are enhanced in ROS levels, up-regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades and cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ efflux. Plasma membrane-bound receptors recognize AgNPs and then stimulate a Ca²⁺ burst and induce ROS in *A. thaliana* Ca²⁺ levels and several proteins associated with signaling pathway are up-regulated in plant roots treated with AgNPs [67, 68, 69]. The above mentioned studies exhibited that AgNPs or released ions blocked cell metabolism by joining of Ca²⁺ receptors, Ca²⁺ channels and Ca²⁺/Na⁺ ATPases activity. Proteins specific to nanoparticles or calcium-binding proteins mimic Ca²⁺ in the cytosol [70]. Transcription of secondary metabolism in plants changes after the commencement of MAPK phosphorylation and afterward activation of down-regulation of transcription factors [71, 72]. Activation of additional pathways in the antioxidant system such as enzymatic may lead to a significant decrease in carotenoid acid amount at low to high concentrations. This study demonstrated the possibility of increase in production and accumulation of carotenoid acid by utilizing the biosynthetic cycle. Based on the HPLC results, carnosic acid rose in the plant treated with 40 mM concentration biosynthesized AgNP, followed by decrease at 60 mM. The previous research was done with Majlesi et al. [73] indicated that stevioside and rebaudioside content increased after synthesized AgNP treatment on *stevia rebaudiana* plant. Different researches showed that metal nanoparticle separately or in the combination with other nanoparticles such as nanocomposites has ability of secondary metabolites induction like rosmarinic acid in *Melissa officinalis* [74], Saffron in *Crocus sativus* [75], steviosides and rebaudiosides in *Stevia rebaudiana* [76, 77, 78]. Although it was not exactly clear how these elictors affect the production of carnosic acid, consideration of its antioxidant properties, evidently increasing their production and launching the ROS signaling pathway can be used as an effective ingredient in elicitor-treated rosemary plants, perhaps because of the synthesis induction and activation of genes associated with the defense system, suggesting the increase of secondary metabolite amounts. Carnosic acid is consumed upon lipids ROS oxidation, and is then oxidized into multiple derivatives, such as carnosol. However, carnosol resisted the oxidation which suggests that carnosic acid may be chemical quencher of ROS. Carnosol’s antioxidative function depends on another mechanism which occurs during lipid oxidation. In oxidative situations of ROS generation, lipid peroxidation was inhibited by carnosol which does not occur with carnosic acid. Carnosol and carnosic acid are both able to protect biomembranes and act as membrane lipid protectors in *in vivo* conditions [79].

**CONCLUSION**

In this research, we concluded that nanoscale metals showed dissimilar performances than other treatment. Chemical composition and physical attributes of nanoparticles are very important in their ability to affect plants. Since, silver nanoparticles induced stress after treating plants with biosynthesized AgNPs, the increase of CAT and POX activity was recorded. In the present study, biosynthesized AgNPs demonstrated the increase in production of carnosic acid and total sugar and flavonoids content. Thus, by tagging nanoparticles with secondary metabolites, rosemary offers opportunities in the nanomedicine field. These secondary metabolites can potentially treat common human ailments. These findings may be used in future researches on AgNP phytotoxicity: nanoparticles constructed in particular conditions. So far, there has barely been any study on the application of nanomaterials on plant tissue, with the aim of their utilization as stress-inducing factors. Results of this study suggests the possibility to use biotechnological processes for production of pure bioactive compounds with antioxidant/reducing properties for green synthesis of AgNPs colloids and different application such as agriculture and pharmaceutical programs.
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