Orthodontic Management of Silver-Russell Syndrome. A Case Report
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Abstract: This case report describes the orthodontic management of a 10-year-old female with Silver-Russell syndrome by means of gradual expansion of the mandibular dental arch using removable appliances and subsequent comprehensive treatment of malocclusion by means of fixed appliances.
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INTRODUCTION

The Silver-Russell (SR) syndrome is a single entity, characterized by prenatal growth deficiency and postnatal dwarfism. The incidence is 1/100.000 live births [1] and the chromosomes consistently implicated are the 7 and the 17 [2]. Criteria for diagnosis include intrauterine and postnatal growth retardation, limb asymmetry, and triangular shaped face. Other features occasionally associated include down turned corners of the mouth, fifth finger clinodactyly, low-set ears, weak muscle tone, blue sclera, café-au-lait spots, and achromic patches. There are thus a plethora of manifested indicators associated with the SR syndrome [1, 3-11].

The craniofacial morphology involves frontal bossing, a reduced length of the total cranial base, small linear facial dimensions, decreased posterior facial height, mandibular retrognathia and reduced mandibular measurements [12-14]. In one study this pattern was different since the mandibular body length was reduced, perhaps due to a Class III skeletal pattern of a patient with a large mandible, influencing the mean values of the measurements [15].

The dental manifestations reported are microdontia, congenital absence of lateral incisors and second premolars, presence of primary double molar tooth, and more consistently relevant, crowding of the teeth, especially in the mandible [13, 15-18].

The bibliography concerning management of oro-facial deformities of patients with SR syndrome seems to be sparse. Kisnisci et al. [19], presented a case with severe mandibular hypoplasia, marked Class II, division 1 malocclusion and crowding, which was treated by means of distraction osteogenesis of the mid-symphysis to widen the mandible in concert with bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomy for mandibular advancement. These skeletal changes facilitated the orthodontic treatment in an optimal manner thus successfully achieving optimal occlusion and an orthognathic profile. This article describes the orthodontic management of a 10-year-old female with Silver-Russell syndrome.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 10-year-old Caucasian female, requested treatment at the Postgraduate Orthodontic Clinic of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. The main concern of parents and the patient was the presence of malocclusion, which created esthetic and psychological problems.

Medical history and records revealed the presence of a SR syndrome, which was diagnosed 9 months after patient’s birth, when she was hospitalized for dystrophy, anorexia and a persistent high fever. According to her medical history, growth hormone was never administered to the patient during her childhood.

During the clinical examination the patient presented a short stature (142 cm), leg asymmetry of 4 cm, smooth clinodactyly and a small size of the fifth finger in both hands. No mental retardation was noticed.

Examination revealed a triangular face with low set moderately protrusive asymmetrical ears, the right being in a lower position than the left. The mandible was small, the corners of the mouth were turned down, and the upper central incisors were moderately exposed. The lateral facial view revealed a convex profile. Panoramic radiography showed the presence of all permanent teeth (Fig. 1). However, the patient presented delayed dental eruption. At the age of 10 years, she was on early mixed dentition (stage A), with normal eruption of upper and lower incisors only. The first left and right lower molars were insufficiently erupted, in particular the right, which was fully covered by tissue, and the first upper molars had not yet erupted.

There was a Class I occlusal relationship on the left side. Although molar relationships on the right side could not be clinically assessed because of the buccal occlusion and the excessive overbite, which masked dental relations, examination of dental casts revealed a Class II relationship. The upper arch was slightly crowded, but the lower presented severe crowding, with the lower lateral incisors being displaced in a lingual position. There was a normal overjet, in-
creased overbite, transverse discrepancy of the mandible in relation to the maxilla as well as lower dental arch midline deviation of 2 mm to the left side (Fig. 2).

Lateral radiographic cephalometric evaluation revealed a dolichofacial growth pattern, the main skeletal abnormalities being the slightly increased mandibular plane, the posterior position of mandible, the decreased mandibular length and the increased facial convexity. Soft tissue profile cephalometric analysis showed a slightly anterior position of the lower lip according to the esthetic plane.

Overall diagnosis noted a Class II, division 1, subdivision malocclusion in a Class II skeletal pattern.

As a first step before commencing orthodontic treatment, the patient was referred for surgical exposure of the right 1st permanent lower molar. Then, two phases of orthodontic management took place. During the first phase a conventional tooth borne removable appliance with a median screw for expansion of the mandibular arch was used. The screw was activated 0.5 mm/week. After 20 months, a significant widening of the lower dental arch had been achieved. The
lower incisors were gradually moved forward into a better position and the transverse discrepancy as well as the buccal occlusion had been improved (Fig. 3). Major problems occurring during the period of expansion, were the ectopic appearance of the left lower canine, the movement of the left 1st lower premolar to a lingual position together with rotation, and the need for surgical exposure of the 2nd premolar, which compromised the stability and the efficiency of the removable expansion appliance.

During the second phase, a non-extraction orthodontic treatment by means of fixed appliances was performed for alignment, leveling, coordination and finishing of the dental arches (Fig. 4). At the end of the treatment optimum occlusal
and skeletal relationships were present (Fig. 5). Frontal and lateral face views showed also an acceptable appearance. Main findings in the post-treatment lateral cephalometric analysis included small anterior mandibular rotation, decrease of lower facial height, proclination of lower incisors, and increase of the nasolabial angle (Fig. 6).

Following removal of orthodontic appliances, the patient was instructed to wear removable Essix retainers. Regular examinations during the retention period (every six months) indicate that the patient presents good stability of the occlusion. At the age of 17 years, mandibular right 2nd molar is partially erupted. At this age small changes were noticed on the posterior occlusion, which maybe are due to the dental arch coverage by the thermoplastic retainers or/and the eruption of the 2nd mandibular molar (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The patient with SR syndrome of this case report received a non-surgical conservative orthodontic treatment approach. Firstly by expanding the mandibular dental arch using conventional removable appliances with a median screw, and secondly by utilizing fixed orthodontic appliances in order to correct the problems of tooth malalignment and malposition. There were two main reasons for this decision. The first reason was that despite presenting the typical V-
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shaped face, preserving the facial appearance of the child was an important factor. The main concern of the family was treating the malocclusion. Both parents and child were satisfied with the facial appearance, so that any surgical approach aiming to produce an “ideal” appearance would run the risk of producing a facial esthetic pattern less acceptable to both parents and the patient. As long as severe face deformity was not present, it seems important to preserve the patient’s appearance unchanged, and respect the wishes and opinions of the family. Secondly, as the SR cases present delay in dento-facial development, attempting to create additional space in the anterior mandibular region using orthopedic forces during the restricted normal growth of the child, could be beneficial to the patient, thus avoiding any surgical procedure later on.

In line with the objectives, after 20 months of slight and gradual expansion with removal appliances, the lower arch showed a satisfactory response. The mandibular dental arch had been widened, additional dental arch perimeter was gained for aligning the incisors and the transverse dentoalveolar discrepancy was corrected. Following two years of orthodontic treatment by means of fixed appliances, and despite the remaining convexity of the soft tissue profile, optimum dental occlusion and jaw relationships had been established and maintained.

CONCLUSION

This case report underlines the important contribution of orthodontic treatment in the successful management of a young girl with Silver-Russell syndrome by improving facial esthetics and normalizing dentoalveolar morphology.
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