A Pan-Cancer Analysis of Alternative Splicing Events Reveals Novel Tumor-Associated Splice Variants of Matriptase
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Introduction
Alternative splicing (AS) allows a normal cell to generate multiple pre-messenger RNA (mRNA) transcripts of a gene, which can be translated into functionally diverse proteins. Similarly, cancer cells can usurp this mechanism to tailor functional transcripts that favor the malignant state. Splice variants have been identified in a variety of cancers, suggesting that widespread aberrant and AS may be a common consequence or even a cause of cancer.1 The biological activity of the majority of AS isoforms and, in particular, their contribution to cancer biology have yet to be elucidated. However, a number of studies have demonstrated that cancer-associated splice variants can serve as diagnostic or prognostic markers, or predict sensitivity to certain drugs.2-4 Treatments targeting these tumor-associated splice variants [eg, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), CD44, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor] are also showing promising results in preclinical studies and clinical trials.5,6

Massively parallel RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) allows the exploration of cancer-related changes at the level of...
transcription and splicing. In this study, we devised an AS-detection pipeline based on ABySS® and Trans-ABySS® software packages. ABySS is a de novo, parallel, and paired-end sequence assembler designed for short reads. It assembles a dataset multiple times using a De Bruijn graph-based approach. Trans-ABySS postprocesses ABySS assemblies to merge contigs and remove redundancy. This approach reconstructs transcripts from a broad range of expression levels, including those expressed at low levels. Using this approach, we performed a large-scale study to identify tumor-associated AS isoforms in the transcriptome of tumors available from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). We identified two highly frequent novel tumor-associated splice variants of matriptase with restricted expression to epithelial-derived tumors.

Matriptase (MT-SP1/TADG-15/ST14) is a type II transmembrane serine protease (TTSP) encoded by a gene located at human chromosome 11q24–25, and is localized to the cell surface. It has a multi-domain structure common for the TTSP family. The intracellular domain at its amino terminal contains a consensus phosphorylation site for protein kinase C, followed by a signal anchor transmembrane domain. At the extracellular region, matriptase contains a single SEA (sea urchin stem region, enteropeptidase, and agrin) domain, and two CUB repeats (complement C1r/C1s, Uegf, Bmp1) and four tandem repeats of an LDLRA domain (ligand-binding repeats of the low-density lipoprotein receptor class A). It is synthesized as an inactive, single-chain zymogen and catalyzes its own autoactivation.

Once activated, matriptase cleaves and activates the hepatocyte growth factor/scattering factor (HGF/SF) and urokinase plasminogen activator (pro-uPA), suggesting that this protease functions as an epithelial membrane activator for other proteases and latent growth factors. Matriptase substrate proteins are known to play important roles in tumor development. Activated HGF/SF binds to its receptor, Met, and stimulates multiple downstream pathways including rat sarcoma viral oncogene-activated protein kinase (Ras-MAPK), phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K), Schmidt-Ruppin A-2 oncogene (Src), and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3). In turn, this leads to the activation of gene products required for invasive growth. uPA regulates cell/extracellular matrix (ECM) interactions as an adhesion receptor for vitronectin, and cell migration as a signal transduction molecule and by its intrinsic chemotactic activity, thereby promoting tumor invasion and metastasis. By controlling the activity of uPA and HGF/SF, matriptase becomes a prime constituent in the activation cascade for invasive growth and metastasis.

Matriptase activity is tightly regulated via antagonism from HGF activator inhibitor-1 (HAI-1). HAI-1 is a serine peptidase inhibitor encoded by Kunitz-type 1 gene (SPINT1). HAI-1 has not only an inhibitory function but is also required for matriptase activation, and regulates the proper expression and intracellular trafficking of matriptase. It has been shown that in the absence of HAI-1, matriptase biosynthesis is significantly lower because of autoproteolytic activation in the Golgi–endoplasmic reticulum apparatus. This event has a detrimental effect upon the trafficking of the matriptase protease and the cessation of further matriptase translation. The role of HAI-1 as both inhibitor and activator of matriptase provides a means to prevent unwanted proteolysis and the subsequent harmful effects of matriptase on cells.

Matriptase is widely expressed by the epithelia of almost all organs examined so far. Studies of matriptase-deficient mice have shown that matriptase is essential for postnatal survival, epidermal barrier function, hair follicle development, and thymic homeostasis. Matriptase has also been shown to be overexpressed in a variety of human cancers. In many cases, high matriptase expression levels are correlated with poor clinical outcome. In addition to matriptase overexpression, an imbalance in the ratio of matriptase to HAI-1 has been reported in late-stage tumors leading to the proposal that uninhibited matriptase activity may contribute to the development of advanced disease.

Although many studies present matriptase as a promising potential therapeutic target in oncology, its therapeutic use is limited by its widespread expression and essential function in normal epithelial tissues. However, a unique form of matriptase within tumor cells could potentially overcome this limitation. Using our AS-detection pipeline, we identified two novel tumor-associated spliced isoforms of matriptase in the transcriptome of primary ovarian, breast, prostate, head and neck, lung, stomach, and bladder carcinoma that were not in normal transcriptomes from the adjacent non-tumor tissue. We confirmed quantitative miRNA expression of matriptase splice variants using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) on cDNA panels obtained from an orthogonal set of tumor tissues and cell lines. Using flow cytometry, we further demonstrated the presence of matriptase splice variants on the surface of transfected Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells with cDNA encoding these variants. Tumor association and the high frequency of matriptase splice variants within and across epithelial tumors suggest that these mutant matriptase transcripts may be of potential therapeutic value. This is the first study reporting tumor-associated transcripts of matriptase in human cancers.

Material and Methods

Obtaining transcriptome data from TCGA. Raw RNA-seq data (Table 1) and clinicopathological data were downloaded from the TCGA data portal (http://cancergenome.nih.gov). Permission to access TCGA data was obtained from the Data Access Committee of the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Genotypes and Phenotypes Database (dbGAP) at the National Institute of Heath. Sample collection, library preparation, and RNA-seq were described by TCGA previously. TCGA transcriptomes were generated from specific normal and tumor samples. Using our AS-detection pipeline, we identified two novel tumor-associated splice variants within and across epithelial tumors suggesting that these mutant matriptase transcripts may be of potential therapeutic value. This is the first study reporting tumor-associated transcripts of matriptase in human cancers.

AS-detection pipeline. The AS-detection pipeline starts with raw RNA-seq data (fastq files). Fastq files were either
directly downloaded from the TCGA data portal or extracted from downloaded Binary Alignment/Map (BAM) files using SamToFastq.\textsuperscript{28} The pipeline core steps include \textit{de novo} transcriptome assembly, identifying tumor-associated events, assessing the quality of assembled transcripts, quantifying predicted transcripts, and prediction of protein sequence and domains (Fig. 1). The key steps are described below:

1. \textit{De novo transcriptome construction:} The \textit{de novo} transcriptome assembly leverages the redundancy of short-read sequencing to find overlaps between the reads and assembles them into transcripts. We assembled short RNA-seq reads into contigs using ABySS version 1.3.4 for multiple values of K-mer. K-mer is all the possible subsequences (of length $K$) from a read obtained through sequencing of RNA. TCGA RNA-seq libraries are paired end, and the read length is 48 bp. We assembled each library for 13 different values of K-mer from 24 to 48 (increasing by 2). This approach captures transcripts from a broad range of expression levels, thus allowing low-expressing transcripts to be constructed. Trans-ABySS (version 1.4.4) was then used to merge ABySS assemblies, removing redundancy and reconstructing transcripts. The \textit{de novo} transcriptome construction captures major splice rearrangements and novel variations that occur in the transcriptome, including exon skipping, novel exons, retained introns, and AS at 3’-acceptor and 5’-donor sites. As this approach does not rely on a reference genome, it can assemble novel AS as well as trans-spliced transcripts. Constructed transcripts were then annotated by mapping them to the human reference genome (hg19).

2. \textit{Identification of tumor-associated transcripts:} In order to identify and remove tissue-specific splicing variants, we compared predicted transcripts from tumor libraries with matched-normal and BodyMap samples as control. Using TCGA matched-normal and BodyMap samples as control, we estimated FPKM values based on the number of unique reads supporting a novel junction.

| TUMOR TYPE | TUMOR TISSUE | #TUMOR | #ADJACENT NORMAL |
|------------|--------------|--------|------------------|
| Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma (OV) | Primary | 420 | N/A |
| Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) | Primary | 109 | 10 |
| Stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD) | Primary | 285 | 33 |
| Skin Cutaneous Melanoma (SKCM) | Primary | 260 | N/A |
| Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) | Primary | 181 | 27 |
| Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) | Primary | 73 | N/A |
| Prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) | Primary | 166 | 41 |
| Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma (BLCA) | Primary | 116 | 15 |
| Lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) | Primary | 305 | 41 |
| Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) | Primary | 185 | 55 |
| Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) | Primary | 161 | N/A |

Figure 1. An overview of AS-detection pipeline.
the ones present in available corresponding normal data from TCGA, as well as Illumina BodyMap 2.0 project (Supplementary Table S1). BodyMap consists of 19 normal transcriptomes from 16 different tissue types, making it an invaluable source for studying tissue-specific transcript models. Tissue-specific AS events were also predicted using ABYSS/Trans-ABYSS software package as described above. Transcript variants not detected by the de novo transcriptome assembly approach are considered as not being expressed.

3. Transcript quality assessment: Predicted AS transcripts were evaluated by their contig size, number of reads supporting predicted novel junction, and their alignment quality. Transcripts with contigs smaller than 200 bp and less than four supporting reads to predicted novel junction were removed from the analysis. Misassembly of transcriptome reads may occur as a result of mutation, low quality and low complexity of the reads, as well as presence of repeats. This could lead to the prediction of a false junction. In order to identify such cases, we aligned predicted AS transcripts back to the human genome (hg19) using stand-alone BLAT from UCSC (http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/admin/exe/) and evaluated the alignment quality of sequences that span predicted novel junctions. BLAT was run using default parameters. If sequences that span a novel junction were also aligned to a different part of genome with similarity greater than 70%, we labeled such transcripts as unreliable and removed them from further analysis. Transcripts that passed quality assessment were visualized by UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) or Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV; http://www.broadinstitute.org/igv/).

4. Quantifying predicted transcripts: Only the reads that align to a novel junction are isoform informative. Trans-ABYSS estimates the number of these reads, which allows quantifying the novel AS isoforms. Assuming each unique read spanning a novel junction is generated from a transcript uniformly, each exon in an isoform was assigned an equal number of reads as the number of spanning reads, and estimated fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) values (Supplementary Method).

5. Prediction of protein sequence and domain: Open reading frame (ORF) prediction was performed using NCBI ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gorf/) to identify the longest ORF in each transcript. Protein domains were predicted by RPS-BLAST at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi).

qRT-PCR validation. Reverse transcription reaction was performed using commercially available sets of human normal tissue or ovarian, breast, lung, and bladder cancer cDNA (OriGene Technologies); as well as cDNA synthesized from RNA isolated from ovarian cell lines, including OvCAR3, CaOV3, UACC-1598, Ov-90, and triple negative breast cell lines, including MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and HCC 1937. All cells lines were cultured under ATCC recommended culture conditions (Supplementary Method). PCR amplification was performed for 40 cycles with matriptase A1 forward 5′-GAC ACC GCC TTC TTA GCT GAA T-3′ and A1 reverse 5′-GAA GAG GGG CTT GCA GAA CTT G-3′, as well as A3 forward 5′-GAA CGA CTG CGG AGA CAA CA-3′ and A3 reverse 5′-TGC TAC AGC AGA GCC CAT T-3′ primers. For each target, relative levels of expression were normalized against housekeeping gene beta-glucuronidase signal (GusB), generating ΔCt value for each reaction (Supplementary Method). GusB was found to be stably expressed across ovarian samples for use as a reference gene by Li et al.20 The relative fold change for each sample was calculated similar to the approach taken by Beillard et al.30 Samples were grouped by cell lines, cancer subtypes, or normal tissues, and graphed using GraphPad Prism software version 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

Transfection constructs. Total RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) from MDA-MB-468 and HCC 1937 cells to generate cDNA encoding HAI-1 and wild-type matriptase, respectively. cDNA was generated as per manufacturer’s instructions using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) and Oligo(dT)18 primer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). HAI-1 and wild-type matriptase were amplified from the above cDNA using Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). SPINT1 (GenBank accession no.: NM_181642.2) encoding HAI-1 was cloned into the pT7T5 vector (National Research Council of Canada, Biotechnology Research Institute) using Gibson Assembly® (New England Biolabs) as per manufacturer’s instructions. The HAI-1 forward primer was 5′-aaagggatctctagctggaaccATGGGGCCCTTGGCAGAGGA CG-3′ and the reverse primer was 5′-agctgactgctggggttc TCAGAGGGGCCTGGTGT-3′. Lower case letters correspond to the pT7T5 vector and upper case to HAI-1, and the start/stop codons are underlined. Wild-type matriptase (aka ST14, GenBank accession no.: NM_021978) was cloned into the pT7T5 vector using 5′ EcoRI and 3′ BamHI restriction sites (in bold) incorporated into the ST14 forward primer (5′-GATCGAATTCCGCACCATGGGAGCGATCGG GCCGGCAAA-3′) and ST14 reverse primer (5′-GATCG GATCCCTATACCCATCTTGTTCTCTTTGATCCAG TCCC-3′). The exon 12 deletion (variant A1) was introduced by amplifying the regions 5′ and 3′ to the exon deletion from the wild-type matriptase cDNA using the ST14 forward and reverse primers. The ST14 forward primer was paired with the variant A1 reverse primer (5′-CCGGCGTGCACCGGT CACTGAGTCGATTTAGGAGG-3′) to amplify the region 5′ to the exon deletion, and the ST14 reverse primer was paired with the variant A1 forward primer (5′-ACTCCAGTGAC CGTGGCGACGGCGCCCACTGTT-3′) to amplify the region 3′ to the exon deletion. The variant A1 primers
introduced an overhang depicted by the underlined sequence. Equimolar amounts of the above 5’ and 3’ PCR products were added to a PCR reaction as the template, along with the ST74 forward and reverse primers to produce a full-length construct with the region corresponding to exon 12 deleted. The exon 14 deletion (variant A3) was constructed the same way using the variant A3 forward primer (5’-AGCAGGCGGTGACGACACCA-3’) and the variant A3 reverse primer (5’-TGACGACCTCATGCACCTGCTCGTGCTGTT-3’). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

**Cell culture conditions and transfection.** CHO-K1 cells (ATCC) were maintained in Ham’s F-12 media (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. The day before transfection, 2.5 × 10⁴ cells per plate were seeded in the above media on four 10-cm plates for each transfection. The four transfections consisted of empty pTT5 vector alone, wild type plus HAI-1, variant A1 plus HAI-1, and variant A3 plus HAI-1. Twenty-four hours later, each transfection was performed by mixing a total of 10 µg of cDNA into 500 µL of Opti-MEM® (Life Technologies), and 30 µg of polyethylenimine (PEI) Max (Polysciences, Inc.) into another tube with 500 µL of Opti-MEM®. The two tubes were incubated at room temperature for five minutes, and then, the PEI Max solution was added to the cDNA solution followed by a 25-minute incubation. PEI/cDNA complexes were added dropwise to the 10-cm plate while swirling/rocking to mix, and the cells were returned to the incubator.

**Flow cytometry.** Twenty-four hours after transfection, the plates were washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Life Technologies), and the cells were dissociated from the plate with non-enzymatic cell dissociation solution (Sigma-Aldrich). After 15 minutes at 37 °C, the cells were collected by pipetting up and down in PBS plus 1% FBS, Life Technologies, and the cells were returned to the incubator. The plates were washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Life Technologies), and the cells were dissociated from the plate with non-enzymatic cell dissociation solution (Sigma-Aldrich). After 15 minutes at 37 °C, the cells were collected by pipetting up and down in PBS plus 1% FBS, Life Technologies, and the cells were returned to the incubator.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis.** The immunoprecipitation was performed as described with the following modifications. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from Sigma. As outlined above for the flow cytometry experiment, HAI-1 plus either wild type, A1, or A3 transfected CHO-K1 cells were dissociated from 10-cm plates with non-enzymatic dissociation solution, and collected by pipetting up and down in PBS alone. Cells were spun for five minutes at 400 × g, and the supernatant was aspirated. Pellets were resuspended in 0.5–1 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and one complete mini ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche) per 10 mL of buffer]. While on ice, the cells were broken open with 10 strokes of the pestle using a pestle and microtube set (VWR), and then the lysate was passed through a 26-gage syringe 10 times to shear the DNA. DNase was added to 10 µg/mL, and the lysates were gently rotated at 4 °C for 30 minutes. Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 °C, and supernatant was subjected to bicinechonic acid (BCA) protein concentration assay (Pierce). Clarified lysates were adjusted to 1 mg/mL in 1 mL (Fig. 5G “start”). In all, 40 µL of a 50% slurry of Protein G Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer was added followed by rotation at 4 °C for one to two hours to preclear the lysate. The beads were removed by centrifugation at 2,500 × g for 2.5 minutes at 4 °C, and the precleared lysate was transferred to a new 1.7 mL tube. In all, 1.5 µg of human anti-matriptase antibody was added followed by rotation for 14–16 hours at 4 °C. Matriptase-antibody complexes were then rotated with 40 µL of the above Sepharose bead preparation for another two hours at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times in 1 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer by centrifuging at 2,500 × g for 2.5 minutes at 4 °C followed by supernatant aspiration. The beads were resuspended in non-reducing Laemmli sample buffer, and heated at 95 °C for five minutes to dissociate the matriptase-antibody bead complex. The beads were removed by centrifugation using a custom-made spin column, and the proteins (Fig. 5G “elution”) were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 1× Tris/glycine/SDS buffer (Bio-Rad). The resolved proteins were electrotransferred to 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) at 100 V for 90 minutes in 1× Tris/glycine buffer with 20% methanol (Bio-Rad). The nitrocellulose was air dried to fix the proteins, and then subjected to Western blot analysis as described. The primary rabbit anti-matriptase antibody was used at 1:2,000 (Millipore), and the secondary anti-rabbit conjugated horseradish peroxidase was used at
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(version 3.4.0.0), and HyperView iDM® Client Edition 4.0 (R2 version 4.0.4395). Analysis was carried out using the CFlow® Software (version 1.0.227.4) and FCS Express 4 Professional Standalone Research Edition with histogram smoothing set to 1 (De Novo Software™, version 4.07.0014).

**Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis.** The immunoprecipitation was performed as described with the following modifications. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from Sigma. As outlined above for the flow cytometry experiment, HAI-1 plus either wild type, A1, or A3 transfected CHO-K1 cells were dissociated from 10-cm plates with non-enzymatic dissociation solution, and collected by pipetting up and down in PBS alone. Cells were spun for five minutes at 400 × g, and the supernatant was aspirated. Pellets were resuspended in 0.5–1 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and one complete mini ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche) per 10 mL of buffer]. While on ice, the cells were broken open with 10 strokes of the pestle using a pestle and microtube set (VWR), and then the lysate was passed through a 26-gage syringe 10 times to shear the DNA. DNase was added to 10 µg/mL, and the lysates were gently rotated at 4 °C for 30 minutes. Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 °C, and supernatant was subjected to bicinechonic acid (BCA) protein concentration assay (Pierce). Clarified lysates were adjusted to 1 mg/mL in 1 mL (Fig. 5G “start”). In all, 40 µL of a 50% slurry of Protein G Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer was added followed by rotation at 4 °C for one to two hours to preclear the lysate. The beads were removed by centrifugation at 2,500 × g for 2.5 minutes at 4 °C, and the precleared lysate was transferred to a new 1.7 mL tube. In all, 1.5 µg of human anti-matriptase antibody was added followed by rotation for 14–16 hours at 4 °C. Matriptase-antibody complexes were then rotated with 40 µL of the above Sepharose bead preparation for another two hours at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times in 1 mL of ice-cold lysis buffer by centrifuging at 2,500 × g for 2.5 minutes at 4 °C followed by supernatant aspiration. The beads were resuspended in non-reducing Laemmli sample buffer, and heated at 95 °C for five minutes to dissociate the matriptase-antibody bead complex. The beads were removed by centrifugation using a custom-made spin column, and the proteins (Fig. 5G “elution”) were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 1× Tris/glycine/SDS buffer (Bio-Rad). The resolved proteins were electrotransferred to 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) at 100 V for 90 minutes in 1× Tris/glycine buffer with 20% methanol (Bio-Rad). The nitrocellulose was air dried to fix the proteins, and then subjected to Western blot analysis as described. The primary rabbit anti-matriptase antibody was used at 1:2,000 (Millipore), and the secondary anti-rabbit conjugated horseradish peroxidase was used at
Results

Epithelial-derived tumors express novel splicing variants of matriptase. De novo assembly of matriptase transcripts revealed two novel splice variants in epithelial-derived tumors. As depicted in Figure 2, these variants contain an in-frame exon skipping of the LDLRA1 or LDLRA3 domain. The novel transcripts were, therefore, denoted as A1 (skipping LDLRA1), and A3 (skipping LDLRA3). Similar analysis for transcriptomes derived from melanoma, leukemia, and glioblastoma tumors did not identify A1 and A3 variants. This is consistent with the observation that matriptase is predominantly expressed by the epithelial tissue (\(P = 0.006\) and \(P = 0.0242\), respectively).

An estimation of A1 and A3 transcript abundances using the number of reads supporting the novel exon–exon junction from Trans-ABYSS indicated higher expression for A1 compared to the A3 transcript in all tumors studied (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). We observed a wide range in the frequency of epithelial tumors displaying these matriptase splice variants, from 3% in prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) to 69% in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) (Fig. 3). Matriptase variant A1 was found more frequent than A3 across all tumors studied (\(P = 0.01\)). In addition, A3 variant was not detected in the transcriptomes from the PRAD. Among samples with matriptase splice variant-positive cancer, we observed cases that either express one or both splice variants of matriptase (Fig. 3).

The human matriptase is located on chromosome 11q24–25, spanning a genomic region of 50 kb. It is composed of 19 exons (NCBI reference sequence GenBank: NM_021978), and encodes a protein containing 855 amino acids. Our nucleotide sequence analysis revealed that A1 was produced as a result of skipping exon 12. Similarly, the A3 deletion occurred by skipping exon 14 (Fig. 2). Analysis of predicted protein sequences revealed that both matriptase variants contain fully functional ORFs, suggesting the possibility of expressing two novel proteins (Supplementary Sequence S2). Protein domain prediction further demonstrated that matriptase variants A1 and A3 lack LDLRA1 and LDLRA3 domains, respectively. Pairwise protein sequence alignment versus wild-type matriptase showed that the predicted protein for A1 transcript skips amino acids 452–487 followed by occurrence of an amino acid arginine (R) through the resultant of a novel exon–exon junction (Supplementary Sequence S3.1). The protein product of A1 transcript contains 820 amino acids. The A3 transcript encodes a protein of 817 amino acids, which is the result of skipping amino acids 524–562 followed by substitution of methionine (M) as a result of the formation of a novel exon–exon junction (Supplementary Sequence S3.2).

Matriptase splice variants are novel and tumor associated. To search AS information for matriptase, we performed literature searches using PubMed, online mendelian inheritance in man (OMIM), and other databases of AS, including the AS and Transcript Discovery (ASTD) database. In addition, we searched publicly available expressed sequence tag (EST) and mRNA databases including GenBank, Ensembl, dbEST, and UniGene. Our search did not find these novel matriptase variants. We only found three AS transcripts of matriptase, which are formed as a result of an intron retention event (Ensembl ID: ENST00000530532, ENST00000524718, and ENST00000530376). Furthermore, we did not detect the novel transcripts of matriptase in adjacent non-cancerous tissue from TCGA or in the transcriptome data available from the BodyMap 2.0 project, thus suggesting these variants are tumor associated.

qRT-PCR analysis confirms differential expression of novel matriptase transcripts in epithelial-derived tumors. To validate the expression of matriptase splice variants in epithelial tumors, we designed matriptase wild type or splice variant-specific probes for qRT-PCR (Material and methods). qRT-PCR was carried out on orthogonal panels of cell lines, and human primary and metastatic tumor tissues from ovarian, breast, lung, and bladder cancer and a panel of normal tissues. The normal panel included 48 healthy tissues...
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Figure 3. Frequency of novel matriptase AS transcripts across tumors studied. Samples expressing matriptase novel transcripts were divided into three groups: (1) expressing transcript A1, (2) expressing transcript A3, and (3) expressing both A1 and A3 transcripts. Matriptase transcript A1 is more frequent than A3 ($P = 0.01$). Transcript A3 was not detected in prostate cancer samples. Expression of matriptase splice variants is restricted to epithelial-derived tumors ($P < 0.05$); therefore, AML, SKCM, and GBM do not express these variants. Abbreviations are described in Table 1.

( Supplementary Table S2) from normal ovary, lung, bladder, and breast. We measured changes in the gene expression by comparing the threshold cycle (Ct) of PCR product detection normalized against a reference gene transcript. The expression levels detected by qRT-PCR for wild-type matriptase and its splice variants showed that wild-type matriptase was the predominant transcript in both tumor and normal tissues ($P < 0.0001$). A1 transcript was overexpressed in tumor samples compared to normal tissues of ovarian ($P < 0.0001$) and lung panels ($P = 0.0082$). However, this did not apply to the bladder ($P = 0.6414$) and breast ($P = 0.6466$) panels. We also investigated the expression level of A3 splice variant in a panel of ovarian tissues and cell lines. A3 was overexpressed in ovarian tumors compared to normal samples ($P = 0.0004$). However, we observed lower expression of A3 transcript compared to A1 transcript in ovarian tumors ($P = 0.0004$).

We further tested the expression of matriptase splice variants in a panel of normal tissue samples including 48 normal tissues from across the human body. Both matriptase splice variants A1 and A3 showed higher expression in tumor samples compared to the normal tissue panel ($P < 0.0001$). In fact, the majority of tissues in the normal tissue panel did not express matriptase A1 and A3 transcript variants at all, while a small number showed a much lower expression compared to tumor samples (Fig. 4). That is, we detected the presence of the A1 and A3 transcripts in only 16 and 17 out of the 48 normal tissues in the normal tissue panel, respectively. The $\Delta$Ct values and detailed figures from qRT-PCR experiments are available in Supplementary Figures S3–S11 and Supplementary Tables S2–S6.

Matriptase splice variants can be translocated to the surface of transfected CHO cells. To address the question of whether matriptase A1 and A3 transcripts yield protein variants that are capable of being translocated to the cell surface, we transiently transfected CHO cells with cDNA encoding these genes followed by flow cytometric analysis of surface matriptase proteins (wild type, variant A1, and variant A3). For this experiment, we used a human anti-matriptase antibody that binds to the catalytic domain of all three matriptase variants and is not variant specific. Co-expression of the matriptase variants with HAI-1 resulted in a significant increase in the mean fluorescent intensity for wild type, variant A1, and variant A3 ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 5C–F), whereas expression of matriptase variants alone showed modest increases in surface expression (data not shown). So to verify that the recombinant proteins detected by flow cytometry were the expected molecular weight for each variant, matriptase variants were immunoprecipitated from transfected CHO cells using the same human anti-matriptase antibody and analyzed by Western blot (Fig. 5G). As observed in the flow cytometry experiment, endogenous matriptase was not detected in the elution from CHO cells transfected with the empty vector alone. In contrast, bands corresponding to the expected molecular weight for each variant were detected in the respective elutions. These results support the assertion that proteins corresponding to the expected molecular weight of matriptase variants A1 and A3 are trafficked to the cell surface of transiently transfected cells, despite the deletion of the LDLRA domains.

Discussion

AS is a widespread mechanism for the generation of diverse protein products and regulation of protein expression. Tumor cells exploit this mechanism to favor the malignant state.\textsuperscript{1,35,36} In the past decade, cancer-associated splice variants of genes that control mechanisms such as DNA damage and proliferation [EGFR, fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3),...
breast cancer 1 (BRCA1)], adhesion and invasion [CD44, macrophage stimulating 1 receptor (MST1R)], angiogenesis (VEGF), and apoptosis [B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 10 (BCL10), caspase 2 (CASP2)] have been reported. Among these, AS transcripts with altered protein structure localized to the cell surface are of particular interest as they represent potential biomarkers for discrimination between healthy and cancerous cells. That is, monoclonal antibodies can be produced to selectively target cancerous cells expressing such protein isoforms. An antibody against a tumor-associated surface-localized variant of EGFR (EGFRvIII) with exons 2–7 deleted has shown effective anti-tumor activity in preclinical studies, and is now in phase I clinical trials.

With the advent of massively parallel RNA-seq, the large-scale exploration of cancer-related changes at the stage of transcription and posttranscriptional splicing has the potential to determine many more tumor-associated or enriched targets. In the current study, we devised an AS-detection pipeline from high-throughput RNA-seq data.

The AS-detection pipeline allowed us to mine large sets of tumor transcriptomes to identify novel tumor-associated AS variants. Most notably, we identified two novel tumor-associated splicing variants of matriptase through analysis of more than 2,200 tumor transcriptome data available from TCGA. The variant designated A1 has an in-frame Skipping of exon 12, and variant A3 is generated as a result of skipping exon 14. Our analysis revealed a high frequency of these variants across epithelial-derived tumors, which were absent or expressed at extremely low levels in transcriptomes derived from normal tissues. Novel matriptase isoforms appear to form 2–8% of the overall matriptase gene expression in tumor samples, with wild type being the dominantly expressed form (Supplementary Figs. S1, S2, and S12). qRT-PCR confirmed mRNA expression of matriptase variants, and revealed differential higher expression of variant A1 in ovarian and lung tumor tissues and cell lines compared to low or no expression in normal samples. Similarly, the A3 transcript was overexpressed in ovarian tumor tissues and

![Figure 4. qRT-PCR validation.](image)

Figure 4. qRT-PCR was carried out on orthogonal panels of cell lines and human primary and metastatic tumor tissues from ovarian, breast, lung, and bladder cancer and a panel of normal tissues. Mann–Whitney t-test was used to determine significant differences in gene expression between groups. The resulting P-values are summarized below the x-axis. The x-axis labels from left to right are (1) wild type in normal ovary, (2) wild type in ovarian cancer, (3) A1 in normal ovary, (4) A1 in ovarian cancer, (5) A3 in normal ovary, (6) A3 in ovarian cancer, (7) wild type in normal tissue panel, (8) A1 in normal tissue panel, (9) A3 in normal tissue panel, (10) wild type in normal breast, (11) wild type in breast cancer, (12) A1 in normal breast, (13) A1 in breast cancer, (14) wild type in normal bladder, (15) wild type in bladder cancer, (16) A1 in normal bladder, (17) A1 in bladder cancer, (18) wild type in normal lung, (19) wild type in lung cancer, (20) A1 in normal lung, and (21) A1 in lung cancer. The y-axis is log scaled.
Figure 5. Flow cytometric analysis reveals surface expression of matriptase splice variants. Cells were transfected with 10 μg of empty vector alone (pTT5) or 5 μg of each matriptase variant plus 5 μg of HAI-1 (A–G). The next day, duplicate wells containing 100,000 cells per well were stained with either human anti-matriptase or mouse anti-SPINT1 (HAI-1) antibodies (data not shown) followed by species-specific secondary Alexa Fluor® 647 Goat anti-IgG-Fc antibodies plus the live/dead cell discriminator 7-AAD followed by flow cytometric analysis. The gating tree is as follows: (A) SSC versus FSC depicts the distribution of cells as oppose to the debris that was excluded; to (B) living cells not stained with 7-AAD. (C) Wild-type matriptase, (D) matriptase variant A1, and (E) matriptase variant A3 (F) graph depicting the mean fluorescent intensity plus/minus the standard error of mean of matriptase expressed on the surface of CHO cells. These data are representative of three independent experiments analyzed with a student’s t-test (P < 0.05). Flow cytometry data were acquired on an IntelliCyt® HTFC, which uses an Accuri® C6 Flow Cytometer® (BD Biosciences) with the sip time set at three seconds. Laser lines for this instrument are 488 and 640 nm. FL3 emission detection for 7-AAD is >670 nm, and FL4 emission detection for Alexa Fluor® 647 is 675/25 nm. (G) Recombinant wild type, A1, and A3 variants were immunoprecipitated with 1.5 μg of human anti-matriptase antibody, followed by Western blot analysis on the clarified start lysates (20 μg each) and elutions (15 μL each). The arrow shows the bands corresponding to the expected size of each matriptase variant.
cells. We then investigated variants A1 and A3 in cDNA panels derived from 48 healthy tissue types from across the body, such as brain, heart, kidney, and lung. We observed no mRNA expression of matriptase variants in more than two-thirds of normal samples and a low level of expression in the remainder. Sequence analysis indicates that the transcript variants can produce two fully functional ORFs. Our immunoprecipitation results show that these two novel proteins are being produced in CHO cells transiently transfected with cDNA encoding matriptase splice variants. With matriptase localized to the cell surface, there is a possibility that these novel isoforms of matriptase are also present on the cell surface. We tested this hypothesis by performing flow cytometry on CHO cells expressing these recombinant proteins. This analysis demonstrated the presence of these novel proteins on the surface of CHO cells, where wild-type matriptase surface expression predominated followed by variant A1 and then variant A3. Thus, protein expression of matriptase splice variants on the surface of CHO cells supports the notion that A1 and A3 protein products can localize on the surface of tumor cells as well.

The LDL receptor class A domain is an ~40-amino-acid-long structure. The prototype structure of the LDLRA domain is found in the LDL receptor itself, which contains seven such domains. The crystal structure of the fifth LDLRA domain in the LDL receptor revealed that this domain contains six amino acids that bind calcium in an octahedral arrangement (calcium cage). Point mutations at critical residues in this calcium cage have been found to potently inhibit the LDLRA ligand binding. Oberst et al showed that mutations in the Ca$^{2+}$-binding motifs of any or all of the four LDLRA domains of matriptase prevent its activation. Interestingly, however, the complete deletion of all four LDLRA domains allows constitutive activation of this enzyme. Additional experiments are required to demonstrate the impact of deleting LDLRA1 and LDLRA3 domains as observed in the A1 and A3 variants. Although these two deletions may have variable effects on matriptase activity, our results demonstrate that they do not affect the ability of their protein products to form a complex with HAI-1 and traffic to the cell surface.

We identified no splice-site mutation associated with skipping exons 12 and 14 of matriptase in TCGA mutation analysis data derived from matching whole-exome sequencing dataset. We predicted RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that possibly bind to matriptase mRNA using RBPmap online web server and compared the expression of these RBPs according to the expression status of matriptase variants. This analysis revealed significant change ($P < 0.05$) in the expression of several RBPs (Supplementary Tables S8–S17). We found no correlation between expression of matriptase variants and patient’s survival time ($P > 0.05$, Supplementary Figs. S13–S14), age, tumor size, tumor clinical stage, and histological grade ($P > 0.05$, Supplementary Tables S18–S24) where the data were available. However, the high frequency of matriptase novel splice variants among patients with epithelial tumors and low or no occurrence in normal tissue, as well as cell surface localization could offer a potential use in selective therapy of cancer for these variants.

In the current study, we devised an AS-detection pipeline and performed our discovery analysis on a large number of tumors from TCGA. Our analysis revealed two novel tumor-associated splice variants of matriptase, which were confirmed in an orthogonal set of tumor tissues and cell lines. This approach highlights high frequency of matriptase variants among patients with epithelial-derived tumors as well as low or no occurrence in normal tissue. In addition to gene expression data, our flow cytometric analysis confirmed protein expression of both matriptase variants on the surface of CHO cells, suggesting matriptase variants as potential biomarkers of tumor cells. Clinical validation would prove valuable in confirming the utility of matriptase variants for therapeutic use.
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