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Mapping Spatial Distribution of Pores in an Additively Manufactured Gold Alloy Using Neutron Microtomography
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Abstract: A crucial criterion for the quality of the additively manufactured parts is the porosity content for achieving an acceptable final relative density. In addition, for jewelry applications, visible pores are unacceptable at or in the vicinity of the surface. In this study, non-destructive 3D neutron microtomography is applied to map the spatial distribution of pores in additively manufactured red-gold samples. The 3D imaging assessment underlines the high relative density of the printed red-gold sample and indicates residual pore sizes are predominantly below the limit of concern for jewelry applications. The 3D maps of pores within printed samples highlight the effect of the scanning strategy on the final quality and location of pores in the printed samples. These results confirm that neutron microtomography is a novel and precise tool to characterize residual porosity in additively manufactured gold alloys and other higher-Z materials where such investigation using other non-destructive methods (such as X-rays) is challenging due to the limited penetration depth.
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1. Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM) refers to different technologies that produce a 3D part in a layer-by-layer mode. Laser powder bed fusion (L-PBF), also known as selective laser melting (SLM), is one of the most important powder bed fusion AM processes, which enables the manufacturing of very complex metallic objects from a computer-aided design (CAD) file. Printing parts with L-PBF technology requires careful optimization of the process parameters, which depend on the metallic powder and its physical and chemical properties [1,2]. Materials with high reflectivity and high thermal conductivity, such as gold and its alloys, are usually difficult to process because they are hard to heat and maintain in a stable molten state. Moreover, for printing in commercial machines, a non-negligible amount of metallic powder is needed to fill the powder supply, which tends to make AM of gold alloys rather uneconomical. Despite these obstacles, L-PBF of precious metals, including gold, is receiving increasing interest, in particular, from the jewelry and watch industries [3–7].

One of the main criteria for the success of AM-produced parts is the porosity content. The occurrence of pores in gold alloys produced by AM is very difficult to avoid. At the same time, it is critical for applications in jewelry and watches to minimize porosity and, in particular, when it could be visible on the material surface. The latter implies that the pore size, especially in the surface region, is required to be smaller than approximately 15–20 microns. Otherwise, the pores can be observed with the naked eye, and even if they are located in close vicinity of the surface, they can appear later due to material wear.
Conventionally, porosity in a bulk material can be investigated using the Archimedes method, microscopy, or X-ray tomography. However, all of these methods are of limited use for AM-produced gold alloys. The Archimedes method [8] has been used in different studies to evaluate the relative density of parts [9,10]. In L-PBF processing, due to the geometrical constraints and/or partial consolidation of powder particles, there might be particles left inside of pores after build completion [11]. Since the used immersion liquid cannot wet rough surfaces efficiently and also does not take these internally trapped powders into consideration, the Archimedes method is not a very reliable technique to precisely measure the porosity content in L-PBF samples. Furthermore, as the size of the precious metal samples is usually limited, the confidence level of the Archimedes method is low. Above all, the Archimedes method does not provide any information about the pore size and spatial distributions within the material, which are important parameters with respect to the abovementioned requirements [12].

Likewise, the high cost associated with material loss also seriously limits the use of optical microscopy on mechanical sections of representative volumes. Klotz et al. [7] conducted a full process study on the L-PBF of yellow gold alloys and analyzed the porosities in the printed samples by mechanical polishing. The effects of the heat treatment and alloying addition (Fe and Ge) on the optical reflectivity and, as a result, on the final porosity were analyzed. The average porosity using untreated powder particles was in the order of 3% to 4%. With the use of thermal heat treatment and alloying, Klotz et al. could decrease the final porosity to 0.7% and 0.1–0.3%, respectively. They used the addition of Ge to the yellow gold chemical composition to lower the solidus temperature, which provided easier conditions to melt the material. Moreover, the addition of Fe increased the oxidation of the powder particles and, as a result, decreased their reflectivity.

In general, quantitative investigations can be performed using X-ray tomography [13–18]. For example, Cai et al. [19] observed the internal structure of AlSi10Mg L-PBF-printed samples to investigate the effect of the process parameters on the final porosity content, and to provide knowledge to control the quality of L-PBF parts. However, the penetration depth of X-rays in gold alloys is rather limited [20], which makes the investigation of representative volume elements hardly possible.

Neutron tomography provides a viable alternative to visualize the distribution of pores in additively manufactured samples [21]. Here, recent advances in the available instrumentation have been utilized [22,23] that allow resolution of features with dimensions of a few micrometers [24]. The neutron cross-section of gold and, hence, the penetration depth of thermal neutrons for gold alloys is such that it provides excellent transmission imaging contrast conditions for samples in the range of several millimeters in thickness. Thus, imaging with neutrons has evolved to be a highly suitable experimental technique for studying the relationship between porosity and L-PBF processing parameters in samples opaque to X-rays. While a proof-of-concept neutron microtomography experiment with high-resolution detector capability at Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI) [25] recently demonstrated the feasibility to assess porosity in gold at about 10 µm spatial resolution, we focus, here, on the assessment of porosity in gold alloy samples of representative volumes manufactured by L-PBF.

2. Materials and Methods

Two samples of 18-carat red-gold alloy (Au58.1 Cu33.3 Ag5.6, expected density 15.2 g cm$^{-3}$) of the intended size of 2 × 2 × 2 mm$^3$ have been printed with an in-house customized L-PBF machine, dedicated to research activities and designed for operating with a low amount of powder [26] using the process parameters shown in Table 1. In the L-PBF processes, the scanning of the laser beam for each layer follows specific patterns called scanning strategies, and the hatching distance defines the separation between two consecutive laser tracks [27]. In this study, two different scanning strategies are used, parallel and crosshatch. The parallel scan path is the most frequently applied scanning strategy for L-PBF in which parallel unidirectional tracks are traced with a fixed distance (hatching distance) between
adjacent tracks. At the end of each track, there is a discontinuous jump of the laser beam from the end of one track to the beginning of the next track. The crosshatch scanning strategy consists of two parallel scan paths which are perpendicular to each other, and both are applied on each layer [28].

Table 1. Laser powder bed fusion (L-PBF) process parameters for two red-gold samples.

| Sample | Power (W) | Speed (mm/s) | Hatching Distance (µm) | Layer Thickness (µm) | Scanning Strategy |
|--------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1      | 210       | 220          | 100                    | 40                   | Parallel         |
| 2      | 230       | 200          | 70                     | 40                   | Crosshatch       |

The L-PBF machine is equipped with a 500 W fiberlaser (SPI, Southampton, UK.) with a wavelength of 1070 nm and a laser spot diameter of 85 µm. Information on the applied optimization process determined via experiments and simulation can be found in [26]. First, analyses confirmed major improvements in printing gold samples with high relative density, compared, e.g., to previous studies where the chemical composition of the alloy had to be altered to print samples with a reasonably low amount of porosity [7].

The process parameters were selected such as to reach the highest possible relative density in one sample (Sample 1) and allowing for a higher porosity content and higher amount of partially melted zones in the other (Sample 2). This is done in order to not only investigate a sample of low porosity from a process that is assumed to be optimized but also to establish confidence in the method by detecting higher porosity from a less optimized process.

The presented investigation has been performed with the high-resolution imaging detector [29] of PSI at the D50 beamline of the ILL (Institute Laue Langevin) [30]. Neutron microscope installed at ILL-D50 beamline allowed for 2D images of the Siemens star to be positioned in contact with the scintillator screen and showed the spatial resolution of approximately 5 µm [29]. The scintillator screen ($^{157}$Gd$_2$O$_2$:Tb [31]) of the detector system has been positioned at 11.13 m downstream of the 30 mm diameter pinhole, thus providing a neutron beam collimation ratio of 371. The light emitted from the scintillator has been captured by a CCD camera (Andor iKon-L, 2048 × 2048 pixels, 13.5 µm pixel size). Due to the fivefold magnification of the high-resolution detector, the effective pixel size of the acquired images was 2.7 µm, and the available image field of view (FOV) was 5.5 × 5.5 mm$^2$.

The samples were attached to sample holders using UV-hardening glue, and both were scanned in a single tomographic run using 375 projections evenly distributed between 0 and 359.04°. Five images with 30 s exposure time were acquired for each angular position that were after the white spot (gamma ray artifacts) removal averaged in a single projection image. The tomographic measurement was complemented with the acquisition of 100 open beam images without sample, 30 dark current images, as well as the acquisition of black body (BB) images for background correction according to references [32,33]. The individual projections were aligned during post-processing to correct for the sample positioning instabilities using an alignment algorithm originally developed for X-ray ptychographic tomography [34]. The tomographic dataset was reconstructed using the MuhRec software [35]. Ring artifacts were suppressed by a combined wavelet–Fourier stripe cleaning algorithm [36]. The tomographic dataset was then post-processed using the Kiptool software [37] with an edge-preserving smoothing inverse scale space (ISS) filter [38]. The dataset visualizations and quantitative analysis were subsequently performed using Avizo 3D visualization software.

The linear attenuation coefficient (LAC) of the gold alloy has been found to be about 6.55 cm$^{-1}$. This value is in rather good agreement with the theoretically predicted value (6.43 cm$^{-1}$) [39] for the mentioned red-gold alloy composition at 2.8 Å neutron wavelength (peak wavelength of the neutron spectrum at D50 beamline). The porosity has been
segmented using the Otsu method [40], setting the global threshold between gold alloy and hollow space to $3.226 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Subsequently, the closed porosity volume fractions in both samples have been analyzed.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 concisely summarizes the results of the porosity quantification based on neutron microtomography and shows the 3D renderings of both samples, revealing the sample shape and the spatial distribution of the closed pores (in blue) larger than 10 micrometers in equivalent diameter. The pore size distributions of the closed pores differ significantly between the two samples, and for the large pores (larger than 10 micrometers), the fractions are 0.012% and 0.047%, respectively.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** 3D renderings of the L-PBF-printed red-gold samples revealing sample shapes and their closed porosities in blue. For each sample, the number of pores $>10 \mu m$ (all pores which are larger than 10 $\mu m$) and their volume relative to the total sample volume has been quantified.

Higher laser power and lower hatching distance used in the manufacturing process of Sample 2 increased the heat accumulation [41] and, as a result, the amount of sintered powder at the border of the samples. Moreover, in the crosshatch scanning strategy, which is used for Sample 2, the laser passes two times in each layer, in two directions perpendicular to each other, which also increases the total heat input and leads to the formation of large fractions of sintered powders at the sample edges. By contrast, in Sample 1, using optimal process parameters and a parallel scanning strategy, the fraction of sintered powder at the sample surface is reduced. These surface features explain the relatively large difference between the total volumes of Samples 1 and 2.

The investigated samples have been printed with an in-house customized L-PBF machine that is not equipped with contour correction algorithms. Such options exist in some machines, and they may improve the sample quality (e.g., its final shape) at the borders and edges [42].

Figure 2 shows optical microscopy images acquired after neutron microtomography, following the mechanical sectioning of the samples (Figure 2b,e). Juxtaposed are the corresponding sections from the 3D neutron microtomography reconstructions (Figure 2a,d).
The location of the corresponding section in the neutron tomographic datasets is difficult to precisely identify for several reasons: (a) the real metallographic sections may not necessarily be planar, (b) the preparation of the metallographic section may lead to relocation of some parts of the sample, in particular, within the sintered zones at the edges of the sample. Despite this fact, the image comparison indicates a very good match between 2D optical microscopy and 3D neutron microtomography cross-sections.

Figure 2. Comparison of the neutron microtomography (a,d) sections and their equivalent optical microscopy (b,e) sections. Graphs (c,f) show pore size distributions extracted from the full volume of the 3D neutron microtomography datasets and from a single slice of optical microscopy (b,e) sections, respectively.

Figure 2c,f show the pore size distribution based on the neutron microtomography (3D) and optical microscopy (2D). The neutron tomography data reveals the following. The number of individual pore entities in Sample 2 is almost two-times larger compared to Sample 1, with a considerable number of large pores (larger than 30 micrometers). The pore size distribution indicates that almost 88% of the total porosity in Sample 1 is composed of pores smaller than 15 µm (critical pore size for watch and jewelry industry), which are generally due to entrapped gas in powder particles [43]. There are only six pores in the entire sample volume with an equivalent diameter larger than 30 µm. On the other hand, Sample 2 exhibits a larger number of pores, in particular, also with increased sizes (90 pores larger than 30 µm), and only 55% of the total porosity corresponds to pores smaller than 15 µm. These results show a significant improvement in the choice of the optimum process parameters for printing red-gold samples and highlight the effect of the scanning strategy on the final quality of the printed samples. Although the initial porosity entrapped in powder particles cannot fully account for the total amount of porosities in the printed samples, increasing powder quality would further improve density, e.g., by using the plasma rotating electrode process (PREP) [43,44].

Pore size analysis from the optical microscopy cross-sections indicates that most of the pores are actually much smaller than 10 micrometers (Figure 2c,f) and, thus, below the current resolution of the neutron microtomography datasets. However, the comparison of
relative densities from the optical 2D section and the corresponding 2D slice of the 3D data (see Figure 2a–d) reveals that the contribution of pores smaller than 10 micrometers to the total relative density of the printed samples is negligible. Density values acquired from 2D optical microscopy (99.73% and 98.35% for Samples 1 and 2, respectively), and from the corresponding 2D slices of 3D neutron micromotography data (99.81% and 98.42% for Samples 1 and 2, respectively) are indeed very close. The difference in relative density with and without considering pores smaller than 10 micrometers in the equivalent diameter is less than 0.1%. It should be noted that in 2D optical microscopy cross-sections, there is a contribution of open porosity to what appears to be closed porosity; however, the provision of the 3D datasets reveals such artefacts.

Figure 3 shows the 3D distribution of pores in Samples 1 and 2, with equivalent diameter color coding. Figure 3c,d give distributions from the top view, along the building direction, revealing pore locations with respect to the intended production volume. A strong correlation with the scanning strategy is observed. Although pores in Sample 1 are much more homogeneously distributed than in Sample 2, in both samples, most of the pores are located at specific surface regions corresponding to laser off events. Laser off events refer to the end of the scanning along each scan line, where the scanning speed changes to lower values before the laser is completely turned off, resulting in a local increase of heat input, causing material evaporation and porosity formation [45]. A larger fraction of pores appear, in particular, outside the intended sample production volume. This is much more pronounced in the case of Sample 2, manufactured with lower hatching distance and higher laser power (see Table 1). In Sample 1, this type of porosity is significantly lower and limited to 9% (10% volume-wise) of the total number of closed pores larger than 10 micrometers. By contrast, these amount to 52% (54% volume-wise) in Sample 2.

However, in the jewelry and watch industries, part contours are post-processed with surface treatments to ensure mirror-polished surfaces. Our interest, therefore, mainly lies in the porosity within the target shape of the samples. Figure 3e,f represent the distribution of visualized pores at the surface of the target shape of the printed samples. The results indicate very few pores are found at the target surface of Sample 1. On Sample 2, significant porosity is revealed at the target surface. Considering the surface with the highest porosity in both samples, relative density at the surface for Sample 1 is 99.98%, whereas for sample 2, it is only about 99.60%.

3D analysis of pore morphologies in additively manufactured samples helps to distinguish different types of pores. Most of the pores in Samples 1 and 2 are close to spherical. These round pores (e.g., Figure 4a) form due to the injection of dissolved gas at the liquid–solid interface. Spherical pores typically have four different origins: (i) the moisture absorbed by the powder particles in the ambient atmosphere, (ii) shielding gas during the manufacturing process, (iii) gas entrapped inside the powder particles during the powder atomization process, and (iv) entrapment of the gas during the manufacturing process due to alloy vapors inside the molten pool [46,47].

Figure 4b shows an example of a large pore in Sample 2, which can be assumed to have formed due to keyhole collapse and shrinkage during L-PBF processing. The higher energy density used for printing Sample 2 increases the chance for keyhole formation [48]. Figure 4b indicates a prolate spheroid shape pore located close to the sample edge. As discussed earlier, higher energy densities at the end of a laser track lead to keyhole pore formation. Considering the physical limitations of the laser scanning system optics, stabilizing the energy density by varying the laser power is a solution to solve this problem [43,49]. This emphasizes the fact that modification of process parameters is a practical approach to control defect formation (pores, cracks, etc.) in the final printed samples [50,51].
Figure 3. 3D (a,b) and X-Y (c,d) plane projections of visualized pores (10–50 micrometers) with illustrated laser scanning strategies. Most of the pores are located at the end of the laser tracks, where laser off events occur. Panels (e,f) represent the distribution of the pores at the surfaces of the target shape-printed Samples 1 and 2, respectively (The pores are in white).
Figure 4. Different types of pores in L-PBF-printed red-gold samples characterized by neutron microtomography: (a) round pore, (b) large keyhole pore, and (c) partially melted/sintered powders at the edge of the printed sample.

Irregular pores located in the vicinity of the part surface are due to partially melted/entrapped metallic powder particles, which stick to and agglomerate on the outer edge of the melt pool. These residual powders are associated with the presence of complex voids, which can be distinguished from other types of defects [52,53]. The specific process parameters of Sample 2 (i.e., higher power, lower speed, smaller hatching distance) lead to higher energy density, which leads to the accumulation of more heat during the printing process. This heat input diffuses up to hundreds of micrometers from the melt pool and increases the temperature of the surrounding powder. Some of the powder grains will thus sinter or partially melt, leading to their agglomeration to the solidified surfaces [54], as visualized in Figure 4c. Due to their sub-10-micrometers size, the induced porosities cannot be analyzed in detail using 3D neutron microtomography. However, the partially melted zones exhibit significantly lower LAC than the well-processed zones and can, therefore, be clearly distinguished (Figure 4c).

4. Conclusions

In summary, it has been demonstrated here that the direct additive manufacturing of gold alloys of very low total porosity is possible without the need of any additional post-processing or alloying. This makes the analyzed production parameters directly applicable for the production processes in the jewelry and watch industries. In addition, the results highlight the effect of the scanning strategy on the final quality and pore distribution of the printed samples. Likewise, the results show that neutron microtomography is an excellent tool to characterize relevant residual porosity in additively manufactured gold alloys and other higher-Z materials.
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