New sheath nematode, *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Hemicycliophoridae) from Northern forest in Iran
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Abstract *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. from the Tilekenar forest in northern Iran, is described and illustrated. The new species is characterized by having female body length of 907–1197 µm, 286–341 body annuli and a 96–115 µm long stylet. Lateral fields with three longitudinal lines forming two rows of blocks with breaks and Anastomosis of transverse striae; each annulus in lateral field with four subcuticular ovate markings. Tail elongated uniformly conoid, with distinct annuli and narrowly rounded to acute terminus. Male possess robust and semi-circular spicules and a well-developed penial tube. Based on molecular and morphological comparisons, the new species comes close to seven species of the genus namely: *H. conida*, *H. iwia*, *H. ovata*, *H. hellenica*, *H. poranga*, *H. ornamenta* and *H. halophila*, but it differs from them by the body size, R related indices, stylet length, lateral field structure, vulva position and tail shape. Molecular analyses based on sequences of D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA gene supported its morphological status as a new species and revealed that the new species is closest to the *H. conida* clade in the dendrogram inferred using 28S D2-D3 gene.

Nowy gatunek nicienia, *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Hemicycliophoridae) z lasu w Północnym Iranie

Słowa kluczowe 28S D2/D3, Hemicicliophoroidea, morfologia, morfometria, nowy gatunek, taksonomia

Streszczenie Wykonano opis wraz z ilustracjami nowego gatunku, *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. z lasu Tilekenar w północnym Iranie. Samica nowego gatunku charakteryzuje się długością ciała 907–1197 µm, segmentami pierścieniowymi w liczbie 286–341 oraz stylometem o długości 96–115 µm. Pola boczne z trzema liniami podłużnymi tworzącymi dwa rzędy brodawek z przerwami i poprzecznymi prążkami; każdy pierścień z boku ma cztery subkutikularne,
owalne znamiona. Ogon wydłużony, jednolicie stożkowaty, z wyraźnymi pierścieniami i wąsko zwężający się do samego końca. Samce z mocnymi, półokrągłymi spikulami mają oraz dobrze rozwiniętym trzonem penisa. Na podstawie porównań molekularnych i morfologicznych nowy gatunek jest spokrewniony z siedmioma gatunkami z tego samego rodzaju: *H. conida*, *H. iwia*, *H. ovata*, *H. poranga*, *H. ornamenta* i *H. halophila*, od których różni się wielkością ciała, wskaźnikami R, długością sztyletu, strukturą pola bocznego, usytuowaniem otworu genitalnego i kształtem ogona. Analizy molekularne oparte na sekwencjach segmentów D2-D3 genu 28S rRNA potwierdziły jego odmienność morfologiczna jako nowego gatunku i usytuowały go najbliżej klada *H. conida* w dendrogramie skonstruowanym na podstawie genu 28S D2-D3.

**Introduction**

The plant-parasitic nematodes of the superfamily Hemicycliophoroidea Skarbilovich, 1959 commonly known as ‘sheath nematodes. These nematodes are a group of obligate ectoparasitic nematodes. Many species belonging to the superfamily have been found associated with agricultural crops, although pathogenicity has only been studied for a few species (van den Berg et al., 2018). Some species of *Hemicycliophora* e.g., *H. arenaria* Raski, 1958, *H. conida* Thorne, 1955, *H. parvana* Tarjan, 1952, *H. poranga* Monteiro & Lordello, 1978, *H. similis* Thorne 1955, *H. typica* de Man, 1921 have been reported as damaging agricultural crops such as carrot, celery, citrus, maple and tomato in several countries (Chitambar & Subbotin, 2014). Host symptoms produced in response to nematode feeding are not well-known for most species within the genus. Some species could induce root galls, while the others, such as *H. typica*, may induce only slight swellings or no symptoms. Stubby root symptoms on carrots was induced by *H. typica* in sandy soil and *H. conida* caused host stunt and aberrant root development in The Netherlands (Chitambar, 1993).

Considering synonymy by Raski and Luc (1987), the superfamily includes two families with three genera *i.e.* *Hemicycliophora* de Man 1921, *Caloosia* Siddiqi & Goodey, 1964 and *Hemicaloosia* Ray & Das, 1978 (Chitambar, Subbotin, 2014). The taxonomic position of the genus *Hemicycliophora* has been changed by some authors since 1921 when de Man recovered a single male nematode specimen in a compost heap in Netherland and described it as a new species and genus. For a long time, males and females of this genus were been considered as two different nematodes by nematologists until Loos (1948) discovered males and females together in a population collected in Sri Lanka. The genus *Hemicycliophora* now belongs to subfamily Hemicycliophorinae and is known to have a global distribution with 135 valid species (Maria et al., 2018; Subbotin et al., 2014; van den Berg et al., 2018). The subfamily Hemicycliophorinae including the monotypic genus, is characterized by the presence of an extra cuticular layer adpressed or loose from the inner cuticle along the body. The lip region has two or three lip annuli which are not modified or separated. Transverse vulval slit bearing lips which are mostly modified. Males have smooth and offset labial region and semi-circular, U- or hook-shaped spicule (Chitambar, Subbotin, 2014; Raski, Luc, 1987).

Loof (1984) described six new and one known species of *Hemicycliophora* based on material collected by Sturhan from different regions in Iran during 1970–1974. More recently, five other members of the genus have been reported from Iran (Ghaderi et al., 2019; Ghaderi et al., 2018). In a nematological survey conducted in a forest of Mazandaran province in northern Iran, a population of an unknown *Hemicycliophora* species was recovered from soil samples collected from the rhizosphere of grasses. It is herein described as *H. paraconida* n. sp. through morphological observation and molecular characterization by the partial 28S D2-D3 rRNA gene sequence.
Materials and Methods

**Sampling, extracting, mounting and drawing.** Specimens of *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. were obtained from soil samples collected around roots of grasses in Tilekenar Forest, Mazandaran province. To obtain a cleaner suspension of nematodes, the tray method was used (Whitehead, Hemming, 1965). Nematodes were handpicked under a stereomicroscope, heat-killed by adding boiling 4% formalin solution, transferred to anhydrous glycerin (De Grisse, 1969), mounted on permanent slides and examined under a Nikon E200 light microscope. Drawings and measurements were made using a drawing tube attached to the microscope. Photographs were taken using a camera (Dino-Lite digital microscope) attached to the same microscope.

**DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing.** Total DNA was extracted from single individual nematodes using worm lysis buffer containing proteinase K (Williams et al., 1992) and DNA extracts were stored at -20°C. The forward D2A (5’–ACAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG–3’) and reverse D3B (5’–TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA–3’) primers were used for amplification and sequencing of the fragment of the 28S rRNA gene (Nunn, 1992). Fifty μL of PCR mixture contained 1× Taq DNA polymerase incubation buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.5 μM of each primers and 2 μL of DNA. The PCR amplification profile consisted of 5 min at 95°C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 55°C and 2 min at 72°C, followed by a final step of 10 min at 72°C. Two μL of the PCR product was run on a 1.2% TBE buffered agarose gel (100 V, 40 min). The band of the correct size was excised and purified using QIAquick gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen: www.qiagen.com) and sequenced directly for both strands using the amplification primers with an ABI 3730XL sequencer (Macrogen Corporation, South Korea).

**DNA sequence alignment and phylogenetic inference.** The obtained sequences of the partial 28S D2-D3 region of the nematode specimen were aligned using the online version of MAFFFT version 7 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) (Katoh, Standley, 2013) with those of the other *Hemicycliophora* species and some related genera available in GenBank using the BLAST homology search program. After manually trimming the alignment, the Gblocks program (version 0.91b) using all three less stringent parameters, a server tool at the Castresana Lab (http://molevol.cmm.ub.es/castresana/Gblocks_server.html), was used to eliminate poorly aligned regions or divergent positions. The best-fitted model of DNA evolution was obtained using MrModeltest 2 (Nylander, 2004). Phylogenetic analyses was then performed using the Akaike-supported model, a general time reversible model, including among-site rate heterogeneity and estimates of invariant sites (GTR + G + I) together with the base frequency, the proportion of invariable sites, and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates. The tree topology was confirmed using MrBayes v3.2.3 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) with four chains (three heated and one cold). The number of generations for the total analysis was set to 2×10⁶, with the chain sampled every 100 generations, and the burn-in value was 25%. The Markov chain MonteCarlo (MCMC) method within a Bayesian framework was used to estimate the posterior probabilities of the phylogenetic trees using 50% majority rule (Larget, Simon, 1999). A maximum likelihood (ML) tree was also reconstructed by using RaxmlGUI 1.3 (Silvestro, Michalak, 2012) software using the same alignment and nucleotide substitution model as in the Bayesian inference in 1000 bootstrap (BS) replicates. The consensus tree were selected to represent the phylogenetic relationships with branch length and support level and visualized using Dendroscope V.3.2.8 (Huson, Scornavacca, 2012) and redrawn in Adobe® Photoshop® 7.0 ME. *Paratylenchus hamatus* and *Gracilacus* sp. (Accession numbers: KF242218 and KM061782) were used as the outgroup taxa. The Bayesian
posterior probability (BPP) and ML BS values exceeding 0.50 and 50%, respectively, are given on appropriate clades in the format of BPP/ML BS.

**Results**

*Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp.

Table 1. Morphometrics of *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. All measurements are in μm and in the form: mean ± s.d. (range).

| Characters                        | Female holotype | Female paratypes | Male paratypes |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| n                                | –               | 12               | 10             |
| L                                | 933             | 1017 ±100 (907–1197) | 973 ±21 (944–994) |
| L’                               | 786             | 879 ±96 (766–1047) | 801 ±21 (773–820) |
| a                                | 21              | 21 ±1 (19.5–22.5) | 37 ±3.3 (33–41) |
| b                                | 4.6             | 5.3 ±0.5 (4.6–6.1) | 7.5 ±0.8 (6.8–8.4) |
| c                                | 6.3             | 7.4 ±0.7 (6.3–8.3) | 5.6 ±0.2 (5.5–6) |
| c’                               | 3.9             | 3.3 ±0.3 (2.8–3.9) | 6.5 ±0.5 (6–7) |
| V or T                           | 83.6            | 83.0 ±0.6 (82–84) | 15 ±3 (12–17) |
| V’                               | 99              | 96 ±2 (94–99)    | –              |
| Head height                       | 10              | 9.5 ±0.8 (8.5–10.5) | 8.3 ±0.5 (8–9) |
| Head width                        | 20.5            | 21 ±0.8 (20–22)  | 12 ±0.0        |
| Stylet                           | 101             | 104 ±6 (96–115)  | –              |
| Conus                             | 81              | 82.5 ±4 (78–91)  | –              |
| Shaft                             | 20              | 21 ±3.5 (16–27)  | –              |
| m                                | 80.2            | 80 ±2.5 (75–84)  | –              |
| DGO from base of knobs            | 17              | 13 ±2.2 (11–17)  | –              |
| Anterior end to centre of median bulb | 137         | 134 ±6.5 (123–143) | 94 ±13 (87–114) |
| Nerve ring from anterior end      | 170             | 159 ±8 (147–170) | 131 ±4.2 (128–134) |
| Excretory pore from anterior end  | 195             | 188 ±17 (150–213) | 165 ±8 (154–171) |
| Pharynx length                    | 201             | 190 ±9 (176–201) | 130 ±16 (113–145) |
| Head–vulva                        | 780             | 844 ±84 (757–1002) | –              |
| Max. body diam.                   | 45              | 48.5 ±3 (44–53)  | 27 ±2.5 (24–30) |
| Anal/cloacal body diam.           | 38              | 43 ±4 (37–49)    | 27 ±2.5 (24–30) |
| Vulva – anus                      | 43              | 45 ±4.5 (36–53)  | –              |
| Ovary or testis length            | 398             | 359 ±80 (259–507) | 145 ±27 (114–163) |
| Tail length                       | 147             | 138 ±11 (118–150) | 172 ±5.5 (166–179) |
| Rs                                | 32              | 30 ±3 (23–33)    | –              |
| R_ex                             | 57              | 52 ±4 (46–57)    | –              |
| R_pha                            | 59              | 53 ±5 (44–59)    | –              |
| RV(ant)                           | 268             | 244 ±16 (230–272) | –              |
| Ran                              | 287             | 259 ±18 (243–290) | –              |
| Rvan                             | 19              | 15 ±2.5 (12–19)  | –              |
| R                                | 338             | 304 ±21 (286–341) | –              |
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| VL/VB                          | 4.3 | 3.8 ±0.3 (3.3–3.4) | – |
|-------------------------------|-----|--------------------|---|
| Spicule (arc line)            | –   | –                  | 55.5 ±2 (54–58) |
| Spicule width (straight distance between extremities) | –   | –                  | 28 ±0.6 (27–29.5) |
| Penial tube length            | –   | –                  | 17 ±2 (15–19)   |
| Gubernaculum length           | –   | –                  | 12 ±0.5 (11.5–13) |

Figure 1. Line drawings of paratypes of *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp.

a – male entire body; b – female entire body; c – female pharyngeal region; d – female anterior region; e – male posterior region; f, g – lateral lines at mid body in male and female, respectively; h–j – female posterior region.
**Description. Female.** Relatively large sized nematodes (Figures 1 and 2). Body straight or irregularly arcuate upon fixation, cuticular sheath fitting closely or loosely to body, more loosely on tail and vulval region. Cuticle with coarse annulation, annuli. Annuli outside lateral field marked by a row of short scratches at their anterior and posterior border. Lateral field bordered by two distinct longitudinal lines; within the lateral field the body annuli may continue as complete transverse striae or show breaks appearing as a third longitudinal line in the middle and resulting in two longitudinal rows of blocks, each block ornamented by two vague subcuticular ovate markings, hardly visible just in few specimens (Figure 2e). Labial region broad, rounded and anteriorly truncate, continuous with body contour, but slightly narrower than body at base, bearing two distinct annuli (three in two specimens) with elevated labial disc. Stylet slender, straight or slightly curved, conus extremely larger than shaft *i.e.* 75–84% of total stylet length. Stylet.

---

**Figure 2.** Photomicrographs of paratypes of *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp.

a, b – female anterior region; c – surface view of male anterior region; d – male anterior region; e – details of lateral field in female; f – genital tract showing spermatheca and crustaformeria; g–i – female tail region; j, k – vulval region; l, m – cloacal region. Scale bars: a, b, g–i, l, m = 20 µm, c–f, j, k = 10 µm.
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knobs large and oblong to rectangular shape, posteriorly sloping creating large “cavity”. Pharynx typically criconematoid with a fused procormpus and metacorpus with a large valve, a short isthmus connected to a reduced basal bulb. Secretory- Excretory pore varying in position from three annuli anterior to the base of pharynx to nine annuli posterior to it, but usually located opposite to base of basal bulb; hemizonid indistinct. Genital system mono-prodelphic occupying 26–42% of the body length, with outstretched ovary comprising oocytes in single line, spermatheca rounded to oblong in shape, offset and filled with tiny spheroid sperm cells. Crustaformeria more or less indistinct visible as a combination of small cells in few specimens; uterus thin-walled and less developed lacking a post uterus sac (PUS); vagina oblique. Vulva a wide transverse slit, not modified, vulval lips usually bearing two to three annuli appearing rounded protuberant. Tail elongate conoid with distinct annulation, tapering gradually and uniformly; approximately three times anal body diam. in length. Distal portion in some specimens slightly offset with a shallow depression at terminal one-third of tail region and tapering to a narrowly rounded to acute terminus. Intestine with indistinct lumen; rectum faintly distinguishable, most likely non-functional.

**Male.** Body almost cylindrical, slightly curved ventrally, marked by finer annuli than female. Labial region distinctly trapezoid, offset by distinct expansion, without annulation. Lateral fields marked by three distinct lines, two outers incisures strongly areolated. Pharynx consumptive, sometimes appearing as an open chamber. Hemizonid distinct, three to four annuli long, located three to five annuli anterior to excretory pore, marked externally by slight elevation of cuticle. Spicules robust and semi-circular; the tips slightly recurved; penial tube well-developed and almost completely covering spicule. Gubernaculum slightly curved, and slightly thickened proximally. Bursa well developed, crenate, folded over ventrally and covering spicule. Tail elongate conoid, tapering to an acute terminus.

**Juvenile.** Body straight or slightly ventrally arcuate. Resembling female except for lower values of body length, stylet length, and total annuli body.

**Type host and locality.** Specimens were recovered during July to September 2015, from the rhizosphere of undetermined grasses collected in Tilekenar Forest (coordinates: 36°37’04.9"N 51°18’43.6"E), Motelqo city, Mazandaran province, northern Iran.

**Type material.** Holotype female, five paratype females and three paratype males (Slides HPH001- HPH003) deposited in the nematode collection of the Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Iran. Three female paratypes and two paratype males deposited in the National Nematode Collection of the Department of Nematology, Iranian Research Institute of Plant protection, Tehran, Iran. Two paratype females and two paratype males deposited in Wageningen Nematode Collection, The Netherlands.

**Polytomous key code.** According to the polytomous key of Chitambar and Subbotin (2014) the new species has the following specific alphanumeric codes: A2, B7, C4, D1, E2, F1, G2, H1, I2, J2, K4, L3, M2, N1, O23, P1, Q2, R2, S3, T12, U2, V-, W2, X2, Y1

**Diagnosis.** *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. is characterized by female being 907–1,198 µm long with 286–341 body annuli. Broad labial region, anteriorly truncate, bearing two distinct annuli and an elevated labial disc. Stylet 96–115 µm long with three rounded, posteriorly sloped knobs creating a large “cavity”. Lateral fields with by three longitudinal lines forming two rows of blocks with breaks and anastomosis of transverse striae; each annulus in lateral field with four hardly visible subcuticular ovate markings. Vulva not modified and vulval lips appearing rounded protuberant, bearing two to three annuli. Tail elongate uniformly conoid except for slightly marked narrower terminal third, with distinct annulation and narrowly rounded to acute
tail end. Male with robust and semi-circular spicules with slightly recurved tips, anteriorly inside of well-developed penial tube of 15–19 µm.

**Relationships.** After molecular comparisons, four species namely *H. conida*, *H. hellenica* Vovlas, 2000, *H. poranga* (syn. *H. ripa* Van den Berg, 1981) (van den Berg et al., 2018) and *H. halophila* Yeates, 1967 shows closest similarity to the new species. Furthermore, three other species, i.e. *H. iwia* Brzeski, 1974, *H. ovata* Colbran, 1962, *H. ornamenta* Bajaj, 1998 are selected because of the similarity in morphological characters such as Lateral field structure, cephalic region shape, body length and female tail shape.

According to morphological, morphometrical and molecular comparisons, *Hemicycliophora conida* is the closest species to the new species. Table 2 represents differential morphometric characters of *H. paraconida* n. sp. and *H. conida*; the new species has a longer body length, longer stylet, more body annuli, longer tail, more posterior vulva and greater spicule compared to *H. conida*. The new species differs from *H. iwia* by having different type of lateral field (three faint lines vs without lateral line often with irregular longitudinal striae), anteriorly position of vulva (*V* = 82–84 vs 86–94), more body annuli (*R* = 286–341 vs 188–219), longer tail in female and male (*c* = 6.3–8.3 vs 13–16.6 and 5.5–6 vs 10.5, respectively) and sharper ending tail in both sexes. It differs from *H. ovata*, in having a slightly different lateral field (four subcuticular ovate markings vs two rows of ovate ornamentations), different vulval lips (vulval lips protuberant bearing two to three annuli vs not protuberant) and different tail shape (elongated uniformly conoid vs convex–conoid). The new species differs from *H. hellenica* by having shorter body length (907–1197 vs 1078–1634 µm and 944–994 vs 1013–1302 µm in female and male respectively), different cephalic region (anteriorly truncate labial region with slightly elevated labial disc vs greaty protruding offset labial disc), shorter stylet (96–115 vs 115–143µm), shorter spicule (arc line = 54–58 vs 58–72 µm in arc line). It’s distinguishable from *H. poranga* by greater length of female tail (*c* index = 6.3–8.3 vs 8.9–12) and slightly greater styel length (96–115 vs 82–100 µm) (Chaves, 1983; Costa Manso, 1996; Crozzoli, Lamberti, 2006; Monteiro, Lordello, 1978). It differs from *H. ornamenta* by having slightly posteriorly position of vulva (*V* = 82–84 vs 78–81 %), less annuli number of whole body (*R* = 286–341 vs 355–390), different tail terminus (narrowly rounded to acute vs filiform), and completely different form of cuticular ornamentation (four subcuticular ovate markings vs appearing as blocks inside annuli). It differs from *H. halophila* by having more annuli number of whole body (*R* = 286–341 vs 190–238), greater length of female and male tail (*c* = 6.3–8.3 vs 9.1–14.6 and mean = 5.6 vs 8.6 respectively), shorter spicule (arc line mean = 55.5 vs 68 µm in arc line) and penial tube (17 vs 22 µm) and shape of tail terminus (narrowly rounded to acute terminus vs rounded) (Reay, 1984; van den Berg, 1977, 1987; Yeates, 1967).

**Molecular characterisation.** Amplification of the D2-D3 expansion segment of 28S, sequence from *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. specimens yielded a single fragment of 698 bp. The 698-bp 28S D2-D3 sequence data was less than 97.9% homologous from any available DNA sequences from GenBank. For molecular analysis, the species with the highest identity matches in BlastN search were included. A majority consensus phylogenetic tree generated using both Bayesian and ML method of the D2-D3 of the 28S rRNA gene sequence alignment under the GTR + I + G model is presented in Figure 3. The dataset for phylogenetic tree composed of respectively 661 total characters from which 311 characters were variable after aligning with MAFFT and manually editing. Based on the D2-D3 28S gene sequence, *H. paraconida* n. sp. clustered with the phylogenetically most similar species *H. conida* (FN433875, KF430448 and KF430447) with maximal BPP and high ML BS values (0.1/99) (Fig. 3). This clade is a part of a greater clade with *H. hellenica, H. onubensis* van den Berg, Tiedt, Liebanas, Chitamber, Stanley, Inserra, Castillo,
Table 2. Comparison of morphometrics between *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. and *H. conida*, reported by different authors

|                | *H. paraconida* n. sp. | *H. conida* Thorne, 1955 |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|                | Iran                    | Paratypes Loof (1968)     | The Netherlands | Paratypes Brezeski (1974) | Spain | Paratypes Castillo et al. (1989) | Spicule width (27–29.5) | USA | Paratypes Subbotin et al. (2014) | Spicule width (4.6–6.1) |
| **Female (n)** | 12                      | 7                         | 4              | 100 | 83 | 9  | 10 | 3  | 51                     |
| **L**          | 1,017 (907–1197)        | 700–780                   | 810 (750–850) | 840 | 690 | 790 | 817 | 770 | 836 (810–873) |
| **a**          | 21 (19.5–22.5)          | 24 (23–26)                | 23 (18–27)    | 23  | 18–28 | 21 | 20–22.5 | 25 | 24–26.4 |
| **b**          | 5.3 (4.6–6.1)           | 5.5 (5.3–5.7)             | 5.2 (4.5–5.6) | 5.1 | 4.1–5.7 | 5.7 | 5.1–6.2 | 5 | 4.8–5.3 |
| **c**          | 7.4 (6.3–8.3)           | 10 (9.2–10.8)             | 11 (8.7–14.4) | 11  | 8.3–13.5 | 10.3 | 9–13.7 | 11.4 | 10.2–12.6 |
| **c’**         | 3.3 (2.8–3.9)           | –                         | –             | –   | – | 2.7 | 1.9–3.2 | 2.9 | 1.3–3.6 |
| **V**          | 83.0 (82–84)            | 86 (85–87)                | 86 (84–88)    | 87  | 83–90 | 84  | 84–87 | 85.6 | 84–87 |
| **Stylet**     | 104 (96–115)            | 73–82                     | 87 (78–96)    | 80  | 69–86 | 85  | 77–94 | 74 | 70–80 |
| **Tail length**| 138 (118–150)           | –                         | –             | –   | – | 80 | 60–93 | 73 | 66–79 |
| **R**          | 304 (286–341)           | 180–201                   | 202 (190–211) | 245 | 227–273 | 204 | 180–220 | 231 | 209–278 |
| **RV (ant)**   | 244 (230–272)           | –                         | 165 (155–170) | –   | – | – | 194 | 167–229 | 206 | 202–210 |
| **RV (pos)**   | 60 (53–70)              | 31–41                     | –             | 49  | 38–57 | 41  | 35–46 | 46 | 42–49 |
| **PV/ABD**     | 4.3 (4.9–5)             | –                         | –             | –   | – | 4.6 | 3.9–5.6 | 4.7 | 4–5 |
| **Male (n)**   | 10                      | –                         | –             | 51  | 5 | – | – | – |
| **L**          | 973 (944–994)           | –                         | –             | 660 | 530–840 | 620–680 | – | – |
| **a**          | 37 (33–41)              | –                         | –             | 30  | 22–39 | 29–33 | – | – |
| **b**          | 7.5 (6.8–8.4)           | –                         | –             | 6.1 | 5.1–7 | 5–6 | – | – |
| **c**          | 5.6 (5.5–6)             | –                         | –             | 7.2 | 6.4–9 | 7–8 | – | – |
| **c’**         | 6.5 (6–7)               | –                         | –             | 5.6 | 4.6–6.1 | 4.9–5.2 | – | – |
| **Spicule**    | 28 (27–29.5)            | –                         | –             | 23  | 18–29 | 18–20 | – | – |
| **Tail length**| 172 (166–179)           | –                         | –             | 92  | 79–109 | 81–88 | – | – |
Subbotin 2018, *H. thornei*, *H. poranga* and *H. halophila*. The comparison of pairwise sequence revealed that the sequences of 28S domain for the new species with other similar species were largely different and the sequence divergences are marked in the tree (Figure 3). The branch length of the new species clade was fairly long compared with specimens of *Hemicycliophora conida*, its sister species.

*Identified as *H. wyei* by Zeng et al. (2015).

Figure 3. Phylogenetic relationships of *Hemicycliophora paraconida* n. sp. within related species and genera based on partial 28S D2/D3 under GTR+I+G model. Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) and maximum likelihood bootstrap (ML/BS) values >50% are given for appropriate clades in the form: BPP/ML BS.

Alignment of the D2-D3 28S sequence obtained from the new species with the sequence from the molecularly similar species of *H. conida* isolates, yielded 692–661 total characters with 675–647 identical nucleotides (97.54–97.90% identity). The new species forms a well-supported molecular clade with *Hemicycliophora* spp. (BPP/ML, 0.1/99) which is in accordance with
Subbotin et al. (2014). However, according to the 28S tree topology, the new species clade is sister to all other *Hemicycliophora* as in Subbotin et al. (2014), whereas ancestral states in the D2-D3 28S gene sequence tree, it is sister to an assemblage of three paraphyletic genera, *Criconema* Hofmänner & Menzel, 1914, *Criconemoides* Taylor, 1936 and *Mesocriconema* Andrássy, 1965. In our phylogram, *Hemicycliophora* appeared to be monophyletic, a finding that agrees with previous studies (Subbotin et al., 2014; Subbotin et al., 2005).

Because of the unevenness of deposited sequences, *e.g.*, many species were sequenced with only one locus, either half or near-full-length of 18S or 28S domain and other markers, more detailed phylogenetic relationship of new species is not clear. However, both Bayesian and Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis suggested that the new species belongs to Clade I (Subbotin et al., 2014), which includes *H. conida, H. poranga, H. halophila, H. thornei* Goodey, 1963, *H. hellenica*, and many other undescribed/unidentified species.

**Remarks.** *H. conida* shows a wide range of morphometrical features in different populations (Brzeski, 1974; Castillo et al., 1989; Loof, 1968; Subbotin et al., 2014). The populations of this species were divided into two conspecific morphological forms only based on their morphometric features (Loof, 1968). The form I with longer female body size at least *ca* 230 annuli, Secretory-excretory pore located *ca* 50 annuli from the anterior end, stylet length with average of *ca* 90 µm and spicule chord (straight distance between extremities) *ca* 23 µm. The form II, has 180–220 annuli in female body, excretory pore *ca* 40 annuli from the anterior end, average stylet length of 80 µm and spicule width *ca* 19 µm. The new species shows highest morphological similarity to *H. conida* within the genus, although molecular study proved the uniqueness of the new species. The difference between these two close species become greater when they were morphometrically compared (Table 2). However, between these two morphometrical forms of *H. conida*, the new species came closer to form I, although *H. paraconida* n. sp. was distinct from Form I with having *R* ≥ 285 annuli (vs. 230) in female body, *R* = 52 annuli (vs. 40), average stylet length of *ca* 104 µm (vs. 90 µm) and spicule width *ca* 28 µm (vs 23 µm).

**Discussion**

This study described a new sheath nematode species with its molecular characterization on D2-D3 expansion segment of 28S rDNA. Molecular analysis was performed based on rDNA sequence and proved this sequence region efficiency in differentiation among morphologically closed populations of *Hemicycliophora*.

Although *Hemicycliophora* spp. have many distinct morphological characters, it becomes very hard, time-consuming and somehow impossible to identify the species using only their morphology, mainly because of the overlapping in morphological features of the species and intra- and inter-specific variations. It suggested that integrative taxonomy using both morphological and molecular study will increase accuracy and decrease the difficulty for identification in this species rich genus. Furthermore, approving novelty of *H. paraconida* n. sp. as a new species using molecular study, despite having morphological similarities with *H. conida* can be considered as an example of the existence of cryptic species among the species of genus, especially those with wide range conspecific features. Furthermore the current study suggests that two conspecific forms *i.e.*, form I and II in *H. conida* may represent two distinct species. In this regard, phylogenetic study on two conspecific forms of *H. conida* is highly recommended as small sequence divergences within the species-complexes may be interpreted as various stages in the speciation process, from recently diverged populations to distinct biological species.
The proposal of *H. wyei* Cordero López, Robbins and Szalanski, 2013 as a junior synonym for *H. parvana* by van den Berg et al. (2018) is also approved in our molecular analysis with maximal support (BPP/ML, 0.1/100). Moreover, the results of the present study also suggest that the observed genetic diversity of *Hemicycliophora* is significantly higher than that shown by morphological observations. Thus, species diversity in *Hemicycliophora* based on morphological characters needs a thorough re-examination of the type materials of the genus. Also, our data suggest that the biodiversity of sheath nematodes is still not fully clarified and other morphological data are needed to help understanding the taxonomy and molecular phylogeny of the genus in the future.
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