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We fabricate superconducting ion traps with niobium and niobium nitride and trap single 88Sr ions at cryogenic temperatures. The superconducting transition is verified and characterized by measuring the resistance and critical current using a four-wire measurement on the trap structure, and observing change in the rf reflection. The lowest observed heating rate is 2.1(3) quanta/s at 800 kHz at 6 K and shows no significant change across the superconducting transition, suggesting that anomalous heating is primarily caused by noise sources on the surface. This demonstration of superconducting ion traps opens up possibilities for integrating trapped ions and molecular ions with superconducting devices. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3526733]

Microfabricated surface electrode ion traps have significantly advanced the capabilities of trapped ion systems for quantum information processing,1–3 by enabling increased level of precision, density, and system integration. The ions trapped in these devices represent quantum bits and are confined by oscillating electric fields. While typical ion traps currently employ aluminum, gold, or doped semiconductor as the electrode material,4,5 the anomalous electric field noise6 affecting such traps provides significant motivation to explore qualitatively different materials for microfabricated ion traps, such as superconductors. In particular, the fact that a superconductor expels electric fields provides an opportunity to test the theoretical understanding that anomalous noise results from surface patch potentials,6,7 rather than sources in the bulk, since the bulk noise sources would be screened by the superconductor. A similar approach was taken for neutral atoms, where in superconducting traps it was found that magnetic near-field noise is suppressed resulting in lower heating rate and longer spin-flip lifetimes.8,9 For a thin-film superconducting ion trap, blue lasers are typically employed for Doppler cooling and state detection of trapped ions, and the short (279–422 nm) (Ref. 10) wavelengths may create quasiparticles in the superconductor, driving it into a normal state. Therefore, verifying that the superconductor employed is actually superconducting during an experiment is required.

Here, we demonstrate the operation of several superconducting microfabricated ion traps made with niobium and niobium nitride, describe how superconductivity is verified during trap operation, and apply these traps to test the physical mechanisms of anomalous noise. The demonstration of superconducting ion traps opens up possibilities for integrating trapped ions and molecular ions with superconducting devices, such as photon counting detectors, microwave resonators,11 and circuit-QED systems.12

The ion traps used in this experiment consist of Nb or NbN on a sapphire substrate. One Nb and one NbN trap are identical to a prior five-electrode design.13 An additional Nb trap (Nb-g) includes a thin wire structure14 on the center ground electrode that is electrically connected in a four-wire configuration to measure the resistivity of the electrode. The thinnest part of the wire is 10 μm wide. The fabrication procedure is as follows. A 400 nm layer of Nb is grown by dc magnetron sputtering of a niobium target in Ar gas; NbN is grown by adding N2 gas during sputtering. Electrodes are defined by optical lithography using NR9-3000 photoresist, exposed through a chrome mask and developed in RD6 developer. Reactive ion etch with CF4 and O2 is used to etch exposed metal. Gold contact pads for wirebonding are then defined by optical lithography using NR9-3000 photoresist.

We fabricate superconducting ion traps with niobium and niobium nitride and trap single 88Sr ions at cryogenic temperatures. The superconducting transition is verified and characterized by measuring the resistance and critical current using a four-wire measurement on the trap structure, and observing change in the rf reflection. The lowest observed heating rate is 2.1(3) quanta/s at 800 kHz at 6 K and shows no significant change across the superconducting transition, suggesting that anomalous heating is primarily caused by noise sources on the surface. This demonstration of superconducting ion traps opens up possibilities for integrating trapped ions and molecular ions with superconducting devices.
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In Fig. 1, (Color online) (a) Resistance in Nb-g during warmup of the cryostat. The baseplate temperature is lower than the trap temperature. (b) Fraction of reflected rf power vs frequency in the NbN trap during warmup of the cryostat; top curve: below \( T_c \), bottom curve: above \( T_c \). The observed value switches multiple times between the two curves due to warmup and cooldown of the trap as the rf is moved on and off the resonant frequency.

The heating rates in all traps are obtained by measuring the average number of motional quanta with a varied delay after ground state cooling on the 5\( S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{5/2} \) optical transition. The number of motional quanta is determined by probing the sidebands of the transition using the shelving technique, and comparing the ratio of shelving probability on each sideband.\(^6\) The measured heating rate is weakly dependent on the rf voltage and dc compensation voltages, so these parameters are varied during measurement to find the operating point that gives the lowest heating rate. This value can still vary between different days depending on the trap’s processing history, temperature cycling, and other unknown factors; but is typically within the same order-of-magnitude.

The heating rates of Nb and NbN traps are comparable to the lowest heating rates of traps of the same design and tested in the same cryogenic experiment but made with normal metals including Au, Ag, and Al, as listed in Table I.

We measured the heating rate above and below the superconducting transition in the Nb-g trap. For the data above \( T_c \), 3 mA of current is driven to the 1 k\( \Omega \) resistor so as to heat the trap just past \( T_c \) as observed by monitoring resistance of the wire structure, corresponding to 9 mW of power dissipated on the trap. In a subsequent cooldown, we mounted RuO\(_2\) temperature sensors on the trap\(^6\) and estimate that the operating temperature in the normal state is \(-2\) K above \( T_c \). The trap heating rate is measured immediately after and before this change, as shown in Fig. 2. Measurements above and below \( T_c \) are interleaved and taken in quick succession, and they are found to be comparable. All data were taken within one cooldown over 2 days.

The negligible change in heating rate across \( T_c \) suggests that buried defects have a little effect on anomalous heating. First it is useful to note that at cryogenic temperatures, the expected level of Johnson noise is on the order of \( 10^{-20} \) V\(^2\)/(m\(^2\) Hz), while the field noise as measured by the ion is on the order of \( 10^{-14} \) V\(^2\)/(m\(^2\) Hz). Thus it is not surprising that removing the Johnson noise may not have much effect on anomalous heating. The remaining explanation is that anomalous heating is predominantly a surface effect and is unrelated to resistivity. The distinction between surface and bulk is given by the London penetration depth, which in Nb is about an order-of-magnitude less than the 400 nm film thickness. The results here are still consistent with the current theory of patch potentials on metal surfaces. For superconductors, a recent theory proposed that surface plasmons can be an additional source of electromagnetic noise.\(^17\)

In one Nb trap we tested, the heating rate was measured on multiple instances over the period of over 1 year. During this time the trap was installed and removed from the cryostat multiple times and exposed to air in between with no
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) (a) Heating rate in Nb-g in the normal and superconducting states, with mean and errorbars at 1 standard deviation. Individual data points are shown. The difference between normal and superconducting data is not significant. (b) Photo of Nb-g. Top right corner: 1 k\( \Omega \) resistor for heating the trap; top left corner: copper braid to thermally connect the trap to the helium baseplate.
processing or cleaning. The lowest heating rate obtained during any data run shows no significant variation over the year. In contrast, in many of our electroplated gold traps, the heating rate can change by an order-of-magnitude between temperature cycling, and after a few months in storage, increase in surface roughness and color changes along all electrode edges observable under an optical microscope is apparent.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated superconducting ion traps that show good trapping stability and low heating rates. The heating rate does not change appreciably across $T_c$, indicating that anomalous heating is primarily a surface effect unrelated to bulk resistivity. Though the anomalous heating was not reduced by superconductivity, the consistency of the low anomalous heating through temperature cycling and exposure to air is an advantage over other materials such as electroplated gold traps. The feasibility of superconducting ion traps invites the possibility of integrating trapped ions with superconducting devices such as Josephson junctions and superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs), though the compatibility of such devices is open to investigation. Recent progress in using the ion as an extremely sensitive detector of forces and charges also suggests the possibility of detecting superconducting vortices with trapped ions. Magnetic flux trapped in vortices would modify the magnetic field above the superconductor. The vortex density is determined by the applied external field during cooling across the superconducting transition. The resulting change in local magnetic field can be detected by the ion via the Ramsey method on a narrow transition. Changes in local magnetic field can be detected by the ion via the Ramsey method on a narrow transition. An estimated change in local magnetic field can be detected by the ion via the Ramsey method on a narrow transition. An estimate of the ion’s sensitivity to magnetic field of $1.1 \times 10^{-11} T/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ and typical ion height of 100 $\mu$m are comparable to parameters in early experiments that demonstrated vortex detection using SQUIDs. Such couplings to superconducting vortices have been demonstrated with trapped neutral atoms in a recent experiment.
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