Common variants near **ABCA1**, **AFAP1** and **GMDS** confer risk of primary open-angle glaucoma
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Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is a major cause of irreversible blindness worldwide. We performed a genome-wide association study in an Australian discovery cohort comprising 1,155 cases with advanced POAG and 1,992 controls. We investigated the association of the top SNPs from the discovery stage in two Australian replication cohorts (932 cases and 6,862 controls total) and two US replication cohorts (2,616 cases and 2,634 controls total). Meta-analysis of all cohorts identified three loci newly associated with development of POAG. These loci are located upstream of **ABCA1** (rs2472493[G], odds ratio (OR) = 1.31, \(P = 2.1 \times 10^{-19}\)), within **AFAP1** (rs4619890[G], OR = 1.20, \(P = 7.0 \times 10^{-10}\)) and within **GMDS** (rs11969985[G], OR = 1.31, \(P = 7.7 \times 10^{-10}\)). Using RT-PCR and immunolabeling, we show that these genes are expressed within human retina, optic nerve and trabecular meshwork and that **ABCA1** and **AFAP1** are also expressed in retinal ganglion cells.

POAG, the most common subtype of glaucoma, is characterized by a progressive loss of peripheral vision, but cases may remain undiagnosed until central vision is affected1–2. The etiology and pathogenesis of POAG are poorly understood. Linkage studies, candidate gene studies and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several loci reproducibly associated with development of POAG3–7. Our previous GWAS of advanced POAG identified two loci at **TMCO1** and **CDKN2B-AS1** (ref. 6), with studies of non-advanced POAG also having implicated **CAV1** (ref. 5), **SIX6** and a region at 8q22 (ref. 7). Here we used a three-stage GWAS to identify additional genetic loci associated with POAG in participants of European descent.

The stage 1 discovery cohort comprised 1,155 cases with advanced glaucoma from the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma (ANZRAG) and 1,992 controls genotyped on Illumina OmniM or OmniExpress arrays (Supplementary Note and Supplementary Table 1). We combined and cleaned the genotype data from cases and controls and used 569,249 SNPs as the base of imputation against the 1000 Genomes phase 1 European-ethnicity data set. We successfully imputed 7,594,768 SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) >0.01 and imputation quality score >0.8.
We performed association analysis using an additive model adjusted for sex and six principal components. We corrected the \( P \) values from the association analysis for the estimated genomic inflation factor, \( \lambda \), of 1.06 (the quantile-quantile plot is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1).

The stage 1 association results across the genome are shown in Supplementary Figure 2, and the association results for all SNPs with \( P < 1 \times 10^{-7} \) are shown in Supplementary Table 2 (two previously unreported regions reached genome-wide significance \( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \) in the stage 1 discovery cohort, with a further previously unreported region showing association at close to genome-wide significance (Table 1). The top newly associated SNPs were rs2472493[G] upstream of \( ABCA1 \) (encoding ATP-binding cassette, subfamily A, member 1) on chromosome 9 (OR = 1.43, \( P = 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \)), rs11827818[G] close to \( ARHGEF12 \) (encoding Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 12) (OR = 1.52, \( P = 9.2 \times 10^{-9} \)) on chromosome 11 and rs114096562[A] in \( GMDS \) (encoding GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase) (OR = 1.55, \( P = 7.0 \times 10^{-8} \)) on chromosome 6. The regional association results for these three SNPs are shown in Figure 1. We also performed the analysis after removing controls affected by other diseases (Supplementary Note) and found that the effect sizes were similar (Supplementary Table 3).

We then investigated the associations of top SNPs in the discovery cohort in a stage 2 set comprising two Australian replication data sets (the ANZRAG and Blue Mountains Eye Study (BMES) data sets, totaling 932 cases and 6,862 controls; Supplementary Note and Supplementary Table 1). All replication cohort participants were of European descent. To make maximum valid use of our cohorts, for replication we focused on SNPs directly genotyped on the Illumina Human610 and Human670 arrays; we used proxy genotyped SNPs.

### Table 1: Association results for the top SNPs in previously unreported regions with \( P < 1 \times 10^{-7} \) in the discovery cohort

| Chr. | SNP | Position\(^a\) | Gene | Risk allele | \( P\) | OR | s.e.m. | Frequency\(^c\) |
|------|-----|----------------|------|-------------|-------|-----|--------|----------------|
| 9    | rs2472493 | 107695848 | \( ABCA1 \)\(^d\) | G    | \( 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \) | 1.43 | 0.05 | 0.51/0.43 |
| 11   | rs11827818 | 120198728 | \( ARHGEF12 \)\(^d\) | G    | \( 9.2 \times 10^{-9} \) | 1.52 | 0.07 | 0.20/0.14 |
| 6    | rs114096562 | 1984385 | \( GMDS \) | A    | \( 7.0 \times 10^{-8} \) | 1.55 | 0.08 | 0.88/0.83 |

\(^a\)Position in build 37. \(^b\)Corrected for the genomic inflation factor \( \lambda = 1.06 \). \(^c\)Allele frequency in cases/controls. \(^d\)The corresponding SNP is not in the indicated gene; instead, characterized genes located near these SNPs are shown. Chr., chromosome.

**Figure 1**: Association results for the regions reaching genome-wide significance. These plots show the regional association (using logistic regression with sex and the first six principal components fitted as covariates) and recombination rates for the top SNPs in the discovery data set (1,155 cases with advanced POAG and 1,992 controls). In each plot, the solid diamond indicates the top-ranked SNP in the region based on two-sided \( P \) values. The colored box at the right or left corner of each plot indicates the pairwise correlation (\( r^2 \)) between the top SNP and the other SNPs in the region. The blue spikes show the estimated recombination rates. The box underneath each plot shows the gene annotations in the region. Each plot was created using LocusZoom (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/) for the top-ranked SNP in each region with a 400-kb region surrounding it. (a) The top-ranked SNP for this plot is rs2472493 on chromosome 9 upstream of \( ABCA1 \) with \( P = 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \). (b) The top-ranked SNP for this plot is rs11827818 on chromosome 11 near \( ARHGEF12 \) with \( P = 9.2 \times 10^{-9} \). (c) The top-ranked SNP for this plot is rs114096562 on chromosome 6 in \( GMDS \) with \( P = 7.0 \times 10^{-8} \). (d) This plot is centered on rs4619890 on chromosome 4 in \( AFAP1 \) with \( P = 9.7 \times 10^{-6} \). This SNP clearly reached genome-wide significance \( (P = 7.0 \times 10^{-10}) \) in the meta-analysis of the results between the discovery and replication cohorts.
Examining all autosomal SNPs with \( P < 1 \times 10^{-4} \) in stage 1 (24 SNPs with the best \( P \) values were used as the lead SNPs; Supplementary Table 4), four regions showed nominal evidence \((P < 0.05 \text{ for seven SNPs in or near } ABCA1, GMDS, ITIH1 \text{ and } AFAP1)\) for replication in the ANZRAG replication samples (Supplementary Table 4). When we combined stages 1 and 2, SNPs near ABCA1 and in AFAP1 exceeded genome-wide significance \((P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ for } rs2472493 \text{ and } rs4619890, \text{ respectively})\) with consistent effect sizes and directions of effects among the cohorts (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 4).

In the stage 3 replication, we examined the newly identified top SNPs from stage 2 in data available from two additional replication cohorts (Supplementary Note and Supplementary Table 1): the National Eye Institute Glaucoma Human Genetics Collaboration (NEIGHBOR) and the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary (MEEI) (totaling 2,616 cases and 2,634 controls). We also performed a meta-analysis of the results for these SNPs between all cohorts (the discovery stage and all four replication cohorts) using the effect sizes and their standard errors. In the meta-analysis results, SNPs in or near ABCA1, AFAP1 and GMDS clearly reached genome-wide significance \((P < 5 \times 10^{-8}) \text{ (Table 2)}\).

The top SNP within ARHGEF12 \((rs2276035)\) did not reach the significance level \((P < 5 \times 10^{-8})\) in our standard meta-analysis (Table 2), primarily because of heterogeneity between stage 1 and stages 2 and 3. This heterogeneity could be explained by the difference in glaucoma status in these cohorts, the ‘winner’s curse’ effect that leads to inflated \( OR \) estimates in GWAS or chance. The top SNP within ITIH1 \((rs2710323)\) was not genome-wide significant in our meta-analysis (Table 2).

At each of the newly discovered loci, the effect size was larger in the discovery cohort than in the replication cohorts (Table 2). The discovery cohort comprises cases with advanced POAG only, whereas the replication cohorts contained cases with POAG representing a range of disease severity. One cannot directly infer, however, that the true effect size is largest in advanced POAG. A winner’s curse effect in the ANZRAG discovery cohort would inflate the OR estimates. Furthermore, there may have been greater diagnostic certainty in the cases with advanced POAG. To investigate further whether the newly discovered loci conferred higher risk in advanced compared to non-advanced POAG, we performed a subanalysis on the ANZRAG replication cohort. We found no consistent difference between the ORs for the cases with non-advanced \((n = 605)\) and advanced \((n = 220)\) POAG separately (Supplementary Table 5). This subanalysis, together with the significant results in the replication cohorts taken alone, suggest that the newly discovered loci in this study are associated with POAG in general (and not advanced POAG only), indicating the generalizability of our findings.

Intraocular pressure (IOP) was not a criterion in the definition of POAG in this study because patients with POAG may have either normal or elevated IOP. Thus, the new loci identified in this study are associated with POAG in general regardless of IOP levels. However, we had peak IOP measures available for 1,039 of the 1,155 cases in the ANZRAG discovery cohort. Of these cases, 330 (31.8%) had normal-tension glaucoma (NTG) \((\text{IOP} \leq 21 \text{ mm Hg})\) and 709 (68.2%) had high-tension glaucoma (HTG) \((\text{IOP} > 21 \text{ mm Hg})\). We investigated the association of the new loci identified in this study in 330 cases with NTG and 709 cases with HTG compared to 1,992 population controls in the discovery cohort (Supplementary Table 6). The direction and magnitude of effects of the risk alleles were similar for NTG, HTG and all POAG (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 6).

### Table 2 Association and meta-analysis of the discovery and replication cohorts for the top-ranked loci

| Chr. | SNP | Position | A1 | A2 | Gene | ANZRAG (discovery) | ANZRAG (replication) | BMES | NEIGHBOR | Meta-analysis |
|------|-----|----------|----|----|------|---------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|--------------|
| 3    | rs2710323 | 52195905 | T  | C  | ITIH1 | 1.25 \(9.16 \times 10^{-6}\) | 1.14 \(9.20 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.07 \(1.37 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.07 \(1.37 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.07 \(1.37 \times 10^{-5}\) |
| 4    | rs4619890 | 7853160 | G  | A  | AFAP1 | 1.26 \(9.76 \times 10^{-6}\) | 1.20 \(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.11 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.11 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.11 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) |
| 4    | rs4478172 | 7902003 | C  | G  | AFAP1 | 1.29 \(2.73 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.15 \(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.14 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.14 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.14 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) |
| 6    | rs11969985 | 1922907 | G  | A  | GMDS | 1.53 \(3.18 \times 10^{-7}\) | 1.23 \(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.21 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.21 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.21 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) |
| 9    | rs2472493 | 107695848 | C  | T  | ABCA1 | 1.47 \(1.13 \times 10^{-7}\) | 1.08 \(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.09 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.09 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) | 1.09 \(1.26 \times 10^{-5}\) |

**Note:** Position in build 37. Effect allele in all the cohorts. OR statistic measuring heterogeneity (Het) on a scale of 0% to 100%. \( p \) values for the heterogeneity test. The corresponding SNP is not in the online methods.
analysis for NTG and HTG was less powerful compared to that for POAG because of the smaller sample sizes of the subgroups.

None of our newly identified POAG loci overlapped with the previously published loci associated with POAG subphenotypes, including IOP and vertical cup–disk ratio\(^9\)\(^{11}\). We also investigated the association of the new loci identified in this study with peak measured IOP in 1,039 cases with POAG with available data in the ANZRAG discovery cohort. The new loci were not associated with peak IOP in the ANZRAG discovery cohort (Supplementary Table 7), although the \(ABCA1\) SNP showed a trend toward significance (\(P = 0.0675\), two-sided test). The \(ABCA1\) glaucoma risk–increasing allele acts in the expected direction on IOP (the allele increases IOP), resulting in a \(P\) value of 0.034 in a one-sided test. Larger sample sizes and further meta-analyses of multiple studies will unambiguously determine whether the new loci identified in this study are associated with subphenotypes such as IOP.

We also investigated previously reported GWAS hits identified in other studies\(^5\)\(^–\)\(^7\) in the meta-analysis of results between our discovery and replication cohorts (Supplementary Table 8). The \(TMCO1\), \(CDKN2B-AS1\) and \(SIX6\) loci were clearly genome-wide significant (\(P < 5 \times 10^{-8}\)), whereas \(CAV1\)-\(CAV2\) and the locus on chromosome 8 were associated with POAG but not at a genome-wide significance level. SNP rs11669977 at \(NTF4\) was not associated with POAG in our meta-analysis.

We used ENCODE project data\(^12\) and the Genevar database\(^13\) (expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) database) to predict the possible functional effects of the top SNPs identified in this study. The top SNP rs2472493 located upstream of \(ABCA1\) is an eQTL in lymphoblastoid cell lines (Genevar database) and may alter the sequence of motifs for proteins such as \(FOXJ2\) and \(SIX5\) (HaploReg v2)\(^14\). One of the SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium (LD, \(r^2 > 0.8\)) with the top SNP near \(ABCA1\) (rs2472494) alters the regulatory motif for binding of \(PAX6\) (HaploReg v2). \(PAX6\) is an established master control gene in eye development\(^15\). A SNP (rs28495790) in high LD (\(r^2 > 0.8\)) with the best SNP in \(AFAP1\) (rs4619890) is likely to affect the binding of proteins (score 2b in RegulomeDB)\(^16\) such as \(CTCF\) and \(RAD21\) in a variety of cell lines, including \(WERT\)-\(Rh-1\) (retinoblastoma). rs28495790 alters the sequence of regulatory motifs for binding of several proteins, including \(PAX6\) (HaploReg v2). This may suggest a regulatory role for this SNP in gene expression in a pathway similar to that of rs2472494 near \(ABCA1\). In GMDs, rs3046543 (in high LD, \(r^2 = 0.8\), with the top imputed SNP rs114096562) alters the sequence of the regulatory motif for binding of \(SIX6\); \(SIX6\) variants confer glaucoma risk\(^7\). SNPs close to \(SIX6\) also clearly reached genome-wide significance in our meta-analysis (top SNP rs10483727[T], OR = 1.32, \(P = 1.56 \times 10^{-17}\)). These data suggest that the top SNPs identified in this study may have important regulatory roles.

\(ABCA1\) is a membrane-bound receptor involved in phospholipid and cholesterol efflux from cells. In monkey retinas, \(ABCA1\) is expressed in retinal ganglion cells\(^17\), the cells that undergo apoptosis in glaucoma. We analyzed the expression of \(ABCA1\) mRNA in human ocular tissues by RT-PCR and found that the iris, ciliary body, retina, optic nerve head, optic nerve and trabecular meshwork cell lines derived from normal and glaucomatous eyes expressed the main transcript that encodes the full-length protein (Supplementary Fig. 3a). We also detected an alternative transcript in the ocular tissues (Supplementary Fig. 3a) that had unknown function\(^18\)\(^,\)\(^19\). Immunolabeling of sections of normal human eye with \(ABCA1\)-specific antibody (Supplementary Fig. 4) showed a distribution of the protein in the trabecular meshwork, all layers of the retina (including retinal ganglion cells) and the optic nerve (Fig. 2). We observed similar \(ABCA1\) labeling in a glaucomatous eye, including in the layers of the retina (Fig. 2g). \(ABCA1\) has been reported to regulate neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration through coordinated activity in various cell types in mouse brains\(^20\), and it may be involved in glaucoma through a similar function in the retina.

\(AFAP1\) encodes a protein that binds to actin filaments and allows their crosslinking\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\). Actin cytoskeleton-modulating signals have been shown to be involved in the regulation of aqueous outflow and
intraocular pressure\textsuperscript{23–25}, which are important parts of glaucoma pathogenesis. \textit{AFAP1} encodes two isoforms, the neuronal cell–specific A isoform and the ubiquitously expressed B isoform. By RT-PCR, we detected expression of both the A and B isoforms in human retina (Supplementary Fig. 3c) and expression of the B isoform in other ocular tissues, including the iris, ciliary body, lens, optic nerve and optic nerve head, as well as in cultured trabecular meshwork cells (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Consistent with the mRNA expression data, we observed AFAP1-positive immunolabeling in the trabecular meshwork, retina (including retinal ganglion cells) and optic nerve of normal human eye (Supplementary Fig. 5) using AFAP1-specific antibody (Supplementary Fig. 6). We observed similar AFAP1 labeling in a glaucomatous eye, including in the retina (Supplementary Fig. 5g,h). These data indicate that the function of AFAP1 in the trabecular meshwork and retina may be relevant in the pathogenesis of glaucoma.

\textit{GMDS} encodes a protein that is required for the first step in \textit{de novo} synthesis of fucose\textsuperscript{26}. Fucose is required for diverse biological functions, including growth factor receptor signaling\textsuperscript{27}. Several studies have suggested effects of growth factors on the development of glaucoma\textsuperscript{28–32}. \textit{GMDS} expresses two variant transcripts, 1 and 2. We detected expression of the variant 1 transcript in human ocular tissues and cultured trabecular meshwork cells by RT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. 3d), which indicates ubiquitous expression of the gene in the eye.

In this study we identified three new risk loci for POAG and highlighted related candidate genes and pathways that might be involved in developing POAG. These new loci, in addition to the previously known risk loci, will improve risk profiling for glaucoma, with better opportunities for the management of high-risk individuals. Currently, many cases of glaucoma remain undiagnosed until severe visual loss occurs; early detection and treatment can slow disease progression and prevent blindness\textsuperscript{33}. Further dissection of these new POAG risk loci will likely lead to insights into the etiology of this common, irreversible cause of blindness.

\textbf{METHODS}

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Study design. In total, 1,155 cases with glaucoma and 1,992 controls, genotyped on Illumina Omni1M or OmniExpress arrays and imputed to the 1000 Genomes phase I Europeans panel, were used as the discovery cohort in this study to perform a GWAS for POAG (stage 1). The association results for the top SNPs from the discovery cohort were replicated in stage 2 and 3 replication cohorts. The cohort details, genotyping platforms for each cohort and diagnostic criteria are listed in the Supplementary Note. In addition, we performed a meta-analysis for the top SNPs in the discovery and replication cohorts. In this methods section, we describe the methods used for imputation and statistical analyses in the discovery cohort. Methods used for each replication cohort are included in the Supplementary Note.

Quality control. The quality-control (QC) methods for the discovery cohort were performed in PLINK34 by removing individuals with more than 3% missing genotypes, SNPs with call rate <97%, MAF < 0.01 and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P < 0.0001 in controls and P < 5 × 10^-10 in cases. We used the same QC protocol before merging the cases and controls in our discovery cohort to avoid mismatches between the merged data sets. After merging, the genotypes for 569,249 SNPs common to the arrays were taken forward for analysis. Identity by descent was computed in PLINK based on autosomal markers, with one of each pair of individuals with relatedness >0.2 removed. Principal components were computed for all participants and reference samples of known northern European ancestry (1000G British, CEU and Finland participants) using the smartpca package from EIGENSOFT software.35,36 Participants with principal component 1 (PC1) or PC2 values >6 s.d. from the known northern European ancestry group were excluded.

Imputation. Imputation was conducted using IMPUTE2 (ref. 37) in 1-Mb sections, with the 1000 Genomes phase 1 Europeans (March 2012 release) used as the reference panel.13 Genotyped SNPs that were strand ambiguous (for example, A/T or C/G) were dropped from the input genotype panel before imputation; given that these are deliberately under-represented on Illumina arrays, this step has limited effects on the ability to impute data but gives greater confidence in the imputation’s quality. Imputation was performed with the recommended settings for IMPUTE2, including a 250-kb buffer flanking the imputation sections and an effective size of the sampled population of 20,000 (ref. 37). Reference panel SNPs with MAF < 0.001 in Europeans were not imputed. SNPs with imputation quality score (INFO) >0.8 and MAF > 0.01 were carried forward for analysis.

Statistical analyses. Association testing on the imputed data was performed in SNPTEST39,40 using an additive model (- frequentist 1) and full dosage scores (-method expected) with sex and the first six principal components fitted as covariates. The genomic inflation factor λ was calculated to investigate the presence of population stratification and inflation. The P values were corrected for the genomic inflation factor λ. Quantile-quantile and Manhattan plots were created in R41. Regional association plots for the regions reaching genome-wide significance were created using LocusZoom.42

To investigate whether any hits identified in the discovery cohort were driven by a subset of controls affected by the other diseases (i.e., esophageal cancer, Barrett’s oesophagus or inflammatory bowel diseases), we also performed a genome-wide association analysis after removing the controls that were affected by these other diseases (the structure of controls in the discovery cohort is provided in the Supplementary Note). This analysis included 1,155 cases with glaucoma and 1,147 controls.

Associations of the top autosomal SNPs in the discovery cohort (P < 1 × 10^-4) (stage 1) were investigated in the replication cohorts (stages 2 and 3) (the structure of the replication cohorts, QC protocols and statistical analyses for each cohort are provided in the Supplementary Note). Stage 2 included two Australian replication data sets (total of 932 cases and 6,862 controls), and stage 3 included two US cohorts (total of 2,616 cases and 2,634 controls). For replication in stage 2, 24 SNPs with the best P values in the discovery cohort were used as the lead SNPs for the autosomal regions with P < 1 × 10^-4 (Supplementary Table 4). The SNPs that were nominally replicated in stage 2 (P < 0.05) were taken forward for replication in stage 3. To make maximum valid use of our cohorts, for replication we focused on SNPs directly genotyped on the Illumina Human610 and Human670 arrays. Because some of the cases in stage 2 were genotyped on a non–genome wide platform (Sequenom), we could not accurately evaluate the imputed SNPs from stage 1. Hence, the most-associated SNP upstream of ABCA1 (rs2472493) and SNPs in high LD with the most-associated SNP near ARHGEF12 (rs1217878 and rs2276035, r^2 = 1 and r^2 = 0.94, respectively, with rs11827818) were used in the replication studies. Similarly, SNPs in high LD with the most-associated SNP in GMD3 (rs2761233 and rs11969985, r^2 = 0.93 and r^2 = 0.87, respectively, with rs114096562) were used for replication studies.

Fixed-effects meta-analysis for the top SNPs was performed between the discovery and replication cohorts in METAL43 using the effect sizes and their standard errors for the risk alleles. The presence of heterogeneity between cohorts for the effect sizes of risk alleles was investigated using the I^2 statistic as implemented in METAL.

Identifying candidate genes. Candidate genes in the regions of association were selected on the basis of the location and function of the genes, the pathways that the genes are involved in, the tissue location of expression of the gene and whether similar phenotypes have been reported to be caused by mutations in these genes. This information was found in Ensembl, NCBI, UCSC genome Bioinformatics, GeneCards and UniprotKB, as well as in available published data. To predict functional effects of the top POAG-associated SNPs identified in this study, we used the ENCODE project data and the associated databases RegulomeDB and HaploReg v2 (ref. 14). We used the Genevar database to investigate eQTLs within genetic regions of interest.

Expression analysis of genes at associated loci in ocular tissues and cells. Ocular tissues from post-mortem human eyes were obtained through the Eye Bank of South Australia according to guidelines of the Southern Adelaide Clinical Human Research Ethics Committee. Normal and glaucomatous trabecular meshwork cell lines, NTM-5 and GTM-3, respectively, were a kind gift from C. Abbot (Alcon Research Ltd.). Both the cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen Pty Ltd.). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Life Technologies Australia Pty Ltd.) and random hexamers. PCR was performed using Hot Star Taq Plus polymerase (Qiagen) and gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 9). PCR was performed at the conditions specified in Supplementary Table 9. The enzyme was activated at 95 °C for 5 min, denaturation was at 95 °C for 30 s and elongation was at 72 °C. Additional elongation at 72 °C for 5 min was allowed after completion of the amplification cycles. The specificity of each amplified product was confirmed by sequencing.

Immunohistochemical labeling. Eye tissue was fixed in neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. For immunolabeling, 4-μm sections were blocked with 5% normal goat serum and incubated with the mouse anti-ABCA1 (1:2,000, Ab66217, Sapphire Biosciences) or anti-AFAP (1:1,000, 610200, BD Transduction Laboratories) primary antibody at 4 °C overnight. Primary antibody binding was detected with the Novolink Polymer detection kit (Leica Microsystems) and Chromogen substrate coloration (Dako). Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin and mounted in dePeX (Merck KGaA). Light microscopy was performed on an Olympus BX50 brightfield upright microscope with a Q-Imaging color charge-coupled device (CCD) camera attached; images were taken using the QCapture software (Q-Imaging Corporate).

Western blotting. For western blotting, proteins from NTM-5 and GTM-3 human trabecular meshwork cells established from a normal individual and an individual with glaucoma, respectively, were extracted in RIPA buffer, analyzed by SDS-PAGE using the mini-PROTEAN TGX gel and transferred onto a polyvinyldene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories Pty Ltd.). Western blotting was performed using the mouse anti-ABCA1 (1:500, Ab66217, Sapphire Biosciences) or anti-AFAP (1:250, 610200, BD Transduction Laboratories) primary antibody followed by hybridization with the hydrogen peroxide–conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (1:1,000, 115-035-003, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.). ABCA1 antibody binding was detected using the Pierce SuperSignal West Pico (Jackson ImmunoResearch).
Laboratories Inc.), and AFAP1 antibody binding was detected using ECL Prime (GE Healthcare Australia and New Zealand) chemiluminescence reagents.
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