ESCRT-0 Protein Hepatocyte Growth Factor-regulated Tyrosine Kinase Substrate (Hrs) Is Targeted to Endosomes Independently of Signal-transducing Adaptor Molecule (STAM) and the Complex Formation with STAM Promotes Its Endosomal Dissociation*
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The ESCRT-0 complex, consisting of the hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate (Hrs) and the signal-transducing adaptor molecule (STAM) proteins, recognizes ubiquitylated cargo during the initial step of endosomal sorting. The endosomal accumulation of overexpressed Hrs has been reported previously to be associated with endosome enlargement. In this study, we have found that co-expressing exogenous STAM1 in Hrs-overexpressing cells leads to a diffuse localization for a large part of the Hrs accumulated on endosomes and a recovery of the impaired cargo protein degradation process, thus suggesting that exogenous STAM abrogates the abnormalities of the Hrs-positive endosomes. A fluorescently labeled Hrs, introduced into the cells by membrane permeabilization, exhibited endosomal localization in the absence of STAM1 and gradually dissociated from the endosomes upon the sequential addition of recombinant STAM1. Furthermore, when microinjected into cells, the fluorescently labeled Hrs also showed endosomal accumulation; however, ESCRT-0 complexes formed prior to the microinjection did not. Analysis of the state of the complex in HeLa cells using blue-native PAGE revealed that the membrane-associated Hrs exists partly as a monomer and not only in the STAM1-bound form. Thus, our data suggest that the membrane binding and dissociation cycle of the ESCRT-0 proteins on the endosomal membrane is a critical step during the cargo sorting process.

Endocytosed membrane proteins are either recycled to the plasma membrane or transported to the lysosome for degradation. The ubiquitylation of membrane proteins acts as a sorting signal for their selective sequestration into an invaginated membrane and thus into multivesicular endosomes or bodies (MVBs). Ubiquitylation-dependent protein sorting on endosomes is mediated by the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery, which was genetically identified as yeast vacuolar protein sorting class E mutants and classified as four different complexes, ESCRT-0, -I, -II, and -III. The ESCRT-0, -I, and -II complexes contain some ubiquitin-binding subunits (1). Early models of ESCRT-mediated cargo sorting suggested that ubiquitylated cargo is passed from one complex to another and hence is sorted into the intraluminal vesicles of MVBs (2). Further functional and structural studies proposed that the cooperative action of the ubiquitin-binding complexes overcomes the low affinity interactions of the individual ubiquitin-binding domains (UBDs) and the ubiquitin moieties, thus enabling selective recognition of the ubiquitylated cargo (1, 3). Reconstitution experiments using liposomes revealed the functional roles of ESCRT-III in membrane deformation and abscission of the bud neck, as driven by the spiral assembly of the ESCRT-III subunits (4, 5). However, other liposome reconstitution experiments using purified ESCRT proteins and model ubiquitylated cargo showed that, under physi-
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Endosomal recruitment and dissociation of ESCRT-0 proteins, an ESCRT-I/-II super-complex, not ESCRT-III, induces bud formation (6).

The ESCRT-0 complex, which consists of the hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate (Hrs) and the signal-transducing adaptor molecule (STAM) 1/2 proteins in metazoans, recognizes ubiquitylated cargo and concentrates it into endosomal microdomains via its interaction with clathrin (7). The Hrs and STAM proteins are structurally related, and both contain an N-terminal VHS (Vps27, Hrs, and STAM) domain, a ubiquitin-interacting motif (UIM), and a clathrin-binding motif. Both Hrs and STAM can interact with ubiquitin not only via their UIMs but also via their VHS domains (8). The crystal structures of Hrs and STAM, and the core complex of the yeast ortholog Vps27/Hse1, revealed that the ESCRT-0 proteins form two domain-swapped GAT (GGA1 and TOM) domains connected by an anti-parallel coiled coil (9, 10). The monomeric GAT domains of GGA1, GGA3, and TOM1 show ubiquitin binding properties (11), although the ubiquitin-binding ability of the Vps27/Hse1 coiled-coil core was undetectable (7). Thus, multiple UBDs in the Hrs STAM complex seem to strengthen the overall affinity for ubiquitylated cargo and enable effective cargo sorting. Interestingly, in the absence of STAM, purified Hrs forms a cylindrical hexameric complex composed of three sets of antiparallel pairs (12). The multiple UBDs in the Hrs hexamer may also facilitate the binding to ubiquitylated cargo. The biological relationship between the Hrs-STAM heteromer and the homotypic Hrs hexamer in the cargo sorting process remains unresolved.

A reconstitution study also revealed that ESCRT-0 forms a cluster with ubiquitylated cargo in endosomal microdomains (6). The recruitment of this cluster to the bud necks via its interaction with ESCRT-I causes the confinement of cargo proteins within the buds (6). Meanwhile, endosomal accumulation of overexpressed Hrs causes a severe impairment in cargo degradation (13, 14). These experimental data suggest the functionally significant recruitment and dissociation cycle of ESCRT-0 on endosomes during cargo sorting. Here, we demonstrate that STAM mediates the dissociation of Hrs from the endosomal membrane. Prior to the dissociation process, the formation of the ESCRT-0 protein complex is not a prerequisite for endosomal targeting. The behavior of ESCRT-0 described in this study is required for the maintenance of normal endosomal morphology and the effective degradation of membrane proteins.

Plasmids—The expression vector pCXN2 has been described previously (15). The retroviral vector pMXs-puro and the lentiviral vector CSII-EF-MCS were kindly provided by Dr. T. Kitamura (Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan) and Dr. H. Miyoshi (RIKEN BRC, Tsukuba, Japan), respectively. The cDNAs of HA-tagged Hrs, FLAG-tagged STAM1, V5-tagged STAM1, and FLAG-tagged associated molecule of the SH3 domain of STAM (AMSH) were introduced into these expression vectors. The mutant constructs were generated using PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were sequenced with a PRISM 3100 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems/Invitrogen). Bacterial expression vector pCold-I was purchased from TAKARA BIO (Shiga, Japan). pCO12-EGF receptor (EGFR) was obtained from the RIKEN BRC. pcDNA3-c-Cbl was a generous gift from Dr. Y. Yarden (Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel). pcDNA3-myc-ubiquitin was constructed by replacement of the HA tag in pcDNA3-HA-ubiquitin (a gift from Dr. K. Miyazono, Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan) with the c-Myc epitope sequence using PCR-based mutagenesis. pEGFP-SARA-FYVE and pcDNA3-mRuby2-SARA-FYVE were constructed by the introduction of a cDNA fragment corresponding to the FYVE domain of human SARA into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) and pcDNA3-mRuby2 (Addgene, Cambridge, MA).

Cell Culture—All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. 2HP67 cells were generated by the retroviral transduction of HA-tagged Hrs into human embryonic kidney-derived 293T cells. The SL and DL cell lines were generated by lentiviral transduction of the wild-type STAM1 and the DSH mutant constructs, respectively, into 2HP67 cells. The mouse embryonic fibroblast cell lines HRSd and SSD were derived and cultured from Hrs-/- and STAM1-/-, STAM2-/- mice, respectively, as described previously (16). The SSDRe-S1, SSD-DH3, and SSD-dCC sub-lines were generated by retrovirally transducing SSD cells with expression vectors for wild-type STAM1 or the STAM1 mutants DSH3 or dCC, respectively.

Antibodies—The rabbit polyclonal anti-Hrs antibody (M120) (17), the rat monoclonal anti-Hrs antibody (5G12) (16), the mouse monoclonal anti-STAM1 antibody (TUS1) (18), and the mouse monoclonal anti-AMSH antibody (9E521) (19) were generated as described previously. The mouse monoclonal anti-LAMP1 antibody was a generous gift from Dr. M. Fukuda (Burnham Institute for Medical Research, La Jolla, CA). The following antibodies were also used: anti-HA (Y-11; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), anti-FLAG (FLAGM2; Sigma), anti-EGFR (1005; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-actin (AC-74; Sigma), anti-EEA1 (14/EEA1; BD Biosciences), and anti-Tsg101 (4A10; GeneTex, San Antonio, TX).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy—Cells grown on coverslips were fixed with ice-cold methanol for 3 min or 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Following paraformaldehyde treatment, cells were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3 min at room temperature. The samples were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies (1 μg/ml) at 4 °C overnight, washed three times with PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and incubated with the appropriate Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (1 μg/ml; Invitrogen) at 37 °C for 1 h. All antibodies were diluted into PBS containing 1% BSA. Fluorescence images were captured using a TCS SP2 AOS confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

EGFR Degradation Assay—Cells were transiently transfected with ubiquitin, c-Cbl, and EGFR expression vectors and then, 24 h later, treated with 100 ng/ml EGF for the indicated time periods at 37 °C. The cells were washed with PBS and lysed in lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na3VO4, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophos-
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His6-tagged ESCRT-0 proteins were analyzed. Liemide Blue G-250 dye and then subjected to immunoblotting. The proteins were resolved on a 5–12% gradient BN-polyacrylamide gel. The membrane and cytosolic fractions from post-nuclear supernatants were prepared by ultracentrifugation at a final concentration of 0.2%. BN-PAGE was performed according to the method described by Fiala et al. (21) with 0.5 mM isopropyl thiogalactoside for 24 h at 15 °C. The membrane pellets were dissolved in HB containing 0.5% Triton X-100 to a volume equal to that of the cytosolic supernatants. Membrane pellets were suspended in 1× Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting.

To analyze the state of the ESCRT-0 complex, blue native (BN)-PAGE was used. HeLa cells were fractionated into membrane and cytosolic fractions at pH 5.5 or 7.2 using the ultracentrifugation technique, as described above. The cytosolic fraction at pH 5.5 was neutralized with 0.1 volumes of 500 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. Then, n-dodecyl-β-maltoside was added to all cell fractions at a final concentration of 0.2%. BN-PAGE was performed according to the method described by Fiala et al. (20). Samples were resolved on a 5–12% gradient BN-polyacrylamide gel. The membrane-blotted PVDF membrane was treated with 25% methanol containing 10% acetic acid to remove the Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 dye and then subjected to immunoblotting analysis.

In Vitro Transport Assays Using a Semi-intact Cell System and Microinjection—His6-tagged ESCRT-0 proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) derivative, Nico21 (DE3) cells (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), by induction with 0.5 mM isopropyl thiogalactoside for 24 h at 15 °C. The proteins were affinity-purified using a His-Trap HP column (GE Healthcare) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified Hrs was fluorescently labeled by incubating with equal mole amounts of Alexa Fluor 488 C5-maleimide (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen) for 1 h at 30 °C. The unreacted dye was then removed by passage through a Sephadex G-25 column. The labeling efficiencies were greater than 80%.

Hrs-deficient HRSd cells grown on a 35-mm glass bottom dish were permeabilized with 0.006% digitonin in Transport buffer (TB: 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 110 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM sodium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM DTT) for 5 min at 37 °C. The permeabilized HRSd cells were washed twice with TB and then incubated in TB containing 10 μg/ml of the indicated proteins at 37 °C. Time-lapse images were collected at 5-min intervals with a Zeiss LSM7 LIVE laser-scanning microscope and analyzed using a ZEN software system (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Detection of Ubiquitylated Hrs—The boiling SDS-lysis method described by Urbé et al. (21) was employed to detect ubiquitylated Hrs. Cells were transfected with expression plasmids for Myc-ubiquitin, c-Cbl, and EGFR. After a 24-h transfection, cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 10 min and then lysed in 400 μl of boiling lysis buffer (2% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, and mammalian protease inhibitor mixture), transferred to 2-ml screw-cap tubes, and then incubated for 30 min at 110 °C. The lysates were diluted with 4 volumes of dilution buffer (2.5% Triton X-100, 12.5 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 187.5 mM NaCl, and mammalian protease inhibitor mixture) and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were subjected to immunoprecipitation at 4 °C overnight with the anti-HA probe antibody and protein A-Sepharose (GE Healthcare). The immunoprecipitates were extensively washed with lysis buffer and boiled with 1× Laemmli sample buffer before SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting.

RESULTS

STAM1 Expression Leads to the Diffuse Localization of Overexpressed Hrs and Recovery from Hrs-induced Endosomal Swelling—Overexpression of Hrs alone causes its accumulation on early endosomes, leading to the enlargement of the endosomes and the impairment of cargo sorting (13, 14). In the cell line 2HP67, which we established by stably transfecting HA-tagged Hrs into HEK293T cells, the overexpression of Hrs led to enlarged endosomes with a vesicular structure, with Hrs localized to microdomains distinct from the early endosomal marker SARA-FYVE-GFP (Fig. 1A). Endogenous STAM1 in normal HEK293T cells showed nearly the same punctate localization as Hrs (Fig. 1B), whereas in 2HP67 cells STAM1 was somewhat clustered in these Hrs-positive microdomains (Fig. 1C). Previous studies have reported that cooperative ubiquitin binding by the Hrs-STAM complex is required for endosomal sorting of the ubiquitylated cargo (8, 14). Moreover, there is a report that in Caenorhabditis elegans Hrs and STAM assemble into a heterotetrameric complex on the membrane both in vitro and in vivo (22). To investigate the effect of STAM co-expression on Hrs accumulation and endosome morphology, FLAG-tagged STAM1 was overexpressed in 2HP67 cells. We herein found that both Hrs and STAM1 exhibited a diffuse staining pattern (Fig. 1D). The same result was obtained in 2HP67 cells.
transfected with FLAG-tagged STAM2 (data not shown). Moreover, the effect of STAM1 expression on Hrs subcellular localization was also observed in HeLa cells (data not shown). Intriguingly, the Hrs-positive endosomes with the enlarged vesicular structures observed in the 2HP67 cells almost disappeared in the presence of exogenous STAM1, although punctate structures where Hrs and EEA1 co-localized were present (Fig. 1E). Overexpression of FLAG-tagged STAM1 in HEK293T cells did not affect the morphology of EEA1-positive endosomes (Fig. 1F). These results suggest that STAM1 can cause cells to recover from overexpressed Hrs-induced endosomal swelling.

**SH3 Domain of STAM Is Required for the Diffuse Localization of Hrs and the Rescue of Hrs-induced Endosomal Swelling**—We next sought to determine the functional domain within STAM required for the diffuse localization by an immunostaining analysis on HEK293T cells transiently co-transfected with HA-tagged Hrs and wild-type STAM1 or a series of STAM1 deletion mutants (Fig. 2A). We often observed much larger endosomes in HEK293T cells transiently expressing HA-Hrs than the endosomes in 2HP67 cells (Fig. 2B). However, cells transiently co-transfected with wild-type STAM1 and HA-Hrs exhibited a diffuse staining for these proteins and an absence of enlarged endosomes (Fig. 2B) as well as STAM1-stably transfected 2HP67 cells. Co-expression of the dVHS(Δ1–139), dUIM(Δ170–186), dPQ1(Δ388–540), or dPQ2(Δ472–540) mutants with HA-Hrs produced almost the same results as co-expression of wild-type ESCRT-0 proteins (Fig. 2B). In contrast, co-expression of HA-Hrs and either the dCC(Δ350–377) or the DSH3(Δ217–266) STAM1 mutants that lack the coiled-coil region or the SH3 domain, respectively, led to Hrs-accumulated enlarged endosomes (Fig. 2B). This was also observed for cells where only HA-Hrs was introduced (Fig. 2B). The STAM1 dCC mutant staining pattern was diffuse in the cytoplasm and did not co-localize with Hrs on endosomes. Because the endosomal localization of STAM proteins is defined by their interaction with Hrs through their coiled-coil region (16, 23), it was expected that the Hrs binding-deficient dCC mutant would show a localization pattern distinct from that of Hrs. In contrast, the STAM1 DSH3 mutant predominantly localized to the Hrs-positive domains of the enlarged vesicular structures and concomitantly exhibited a diffuse weak cytoplasmic stain (Fig. 2B). The same effect of the STAM1 DSH3 mutant on
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Hrs-induced enlarged endosomes was observed in HeLa cells (data not shown). Thus, despite retaining its Hrs binding ability (17), expression of the STAM1 DSH3 mutant failed to reduce the endosomal accumulation of Hrs.

Next, when expressed at a physiological concentration, we examined whether the STAM1 DSH3 mutant could affect the localization of endogenous Hrs. SSd cells, which are embryonic fibroblasts derived from STAM1/2 double knock-out mice (Fig. 3) (23), were stably transduced with either wild-type STAM1 or the STAM1 dCC or DSH3 mutant constructs. Immunostaining for Hrs in wild-type STAM1-transduced (SSdRe-S1) or dCC mutant-transduced (SSd-dCC) cells showed essentially the same staining patterns as the parental SSd cells (Fig. 3). In contrast, in DSH3 mutant-transduced (SSd-DSH) cells, Hrs-positive endosomes were found to decrease in number and presented a swollen ring-shaped structure (Fig. 3), indicating that physiological levels of the STAM1 DSH3 mutant cause the accumulation of endogenous Hrs and the associated abnormalities in endosomal morphology. Although SSd cells exhibit no enlargement in endosome size, despite lacking STAM1/2, these cells appear to be a sensitive detector of the effects of mutant expression. Together, these findings indicate that the SH3 domain of STAM1 is a key functional domain for endosomal dissociation of Hrs and the maintenance of normal endosomal morphology. However, it is unclear whether the diffuse localization of ESCRT-0 results from a STAM-mediated endosomal dissociation of Hrs or a STAM-dependent restriction on the endosomal targeting of Hrs.
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Hrs Is Targeted to Endosomes without Complex Formation with STAM1 and Dissociates from Endosomes in a STAM1 Association-independent Manner—To examine the direct action(s) of STAM1 on endosome-localized Hrs, we used a combination of recombinant proteins and semi-intact cells. We prepared recombinant histidine-tagged Hrs, STAM1, STAM1 DSH3, and dCC mutants by expression in E. coli and purified the proteins on a metal-chelating column (Fig. 4A). On loading of digitonin-permeabilized HRSd cells, embryonic fibroblasts derived from Hrs knock-out mice (16), with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled Hrs, a gradual increase of the fluorescence in the cytoplasmic punctate structures was observed (Fig. 4, C, D, and P). After replacing the culture medium, with the “mock” buffer solution not containing recombinant Hrs, 95% of the initial fluorescence signal was retained for up to 120 min (Fig. 4, D, E, and Q). To confirm that the fluorescently labeled Hrs localized structures are early endosomes, the permeabilized HRSd cells expressing the mRuby2-SARA-FYVE protein as an early endosome marker were loaded with the fluorescently labeled Hrs. Both the marker protein and the Hrs were significantly co-localized in intracellular puncta (Fig. 4F), representing an early endosomal targeting of the fluorescent-labeled Hrs. Lee et al. (24) reported that increasing the cytosolic pH weakened the binding affinity between the FYVE domain and phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI(3)P), a phospholipid enriched in the early endosome membranes, resulting in the dissociation of the FYVE domain-containing proteins from the endosomal membrane. Consistent with this, when HRSd cells expressing the FYVE domain-fused mRuby2 as an endosome-associated marker protein were treated with a buffer solution containing 100 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2, the mRuby2 fluorescence on endosomal structures was clearly observed to decrease, whereas the diffuse cytoplasmic signal concomitantly increased (Fig. 4, G and H). Analysis using LysoSensor Green dye (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen), which becomes more fluorescent in acidic organelles, revealed that endosomes and lysosomes in semi-intact HRSd cells maintain their acidic environment (Fig. 4I). Most of the acidic organelle-probe fluorescence was lost following treatment with a buffer containing 100 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2, representing a neutralization of the acidic organelles (Fig. 4J). When added subsequently to the buffer treatment, fluorescently labeled Hrs no longer showed its punctate pattern in the semi-intact HRSd cells (Fig. 4K). These data suggest that endosomal localization of a peripheral membrane protein Hrs depends on the acidic microenvironment on the endosomal surface. We next examined whether the subsequent addition of nonlabeled recombinant STAM1 affects the endosomal localization of the previously loaded fluorescent Hrs. The fluorescence of the labeled Hrs on endosomes decreased over time and had ~60% of the initial intensity at 120 min after the input of the recombinant STAM1 (Fig. 4, L, M, and Q). As a control, the loading for 120 min of Hrs binding-deficient dCC mutant resulted in a similar change in the fluorescence of the endosomal Hrs after replacing the mock buffer solution (Fig. 4Q). In contrast, addition of the nonlabeled DSH mutants led to a small increase in the fluorescence of the labeled Hrs on endosomes (Fig. 4, N, O, and Q). We further investigated the requirement for the formation of the ESCRT-0 complex prior to its endosomal targeting. Loading of neither the Hrs-STAM1 nor the Hrs-DSH3 pre-formed complexes showed an endosomal localization in semi-intact HRSd cells (data not shown). However, because this may be due to the impermeability of the large ESCRT-0 complex to the digitonin-treated plasma membrane, we microinjected the recombinant proteins into HRSd cells. Microinjection of the Alexa Fluor 488-labeled Hrs alone resulted in the punctate fluorescent pattern, as observed for Hrs loading into the semi-intact cells (Fig. 4R). Conversely, neither the Hrs-STAM1 nor the Hrs-DSH3 pre-formed complexes showed endosomal accumulation (Fig. 4, S and T). Thus, complex formation of Hrs with STAM1 appears not to have a facilitatory but rather an inhibitory effect on their endosomal localization. When the Hrs-DSH3 pre-formed complex was microinjected into cells, it exhibited a diffuse cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 4T), whereas in the digitonin-permeabilized cells, a nonlabeled DSH3 mutant seemed to rather promote the accumulation of the Hrs pre-localized on endosomes (Fig. 4, N, O, and Q). These data suggest that the pre-existence of Hrs on endosomes is a prerequisite for the endosomal localization of STAM1. When taken together, our data suggest that Hrs may be targeted to endosomes without complex formation with STAM1 and associate with STAM1 on endosomes in vivo. However, the ESCRT-0 complex does eventually dissociate from endosomes.
Membrane Binding of Hrs Is Controlled by Environmental pH and STAM Expression—Most immunostaining studies in normal cells show a punctate staining pattern for Hrs and STAM, representing their endosomal localization. However, subcellular fractionation assays in previous reports have demonstrated that Hrs and STAM are predominantly localized to the cyto-
plasm (14, 21, 25). Considering an acidic pH-dependent interaction of the FYVE domain with the endosomal lipid PI(3)P (24), membrane-bound forms of Hrs and STAM are probably underestimated, because of the membrane dissociation that occurs during cell fractionation under neutral pH conditions. We therefore fractionated HEK293T cells, using a centrifugation technique, into cytosolic and membrane fractions at pH 5.5 and 7.2. The FYVE protein EEA1 was predominantly found in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 but was distributed equally in both fractions at pH 7.2 (Fig. 5A), providing assurance that when cell fractionation is performed under acidic pH conditions it does indeed show a pH-dependent membrane binding for a FYVE protein. However, only 10% of endogenous Hrs, which is a member of the FYVE protein family, was recovered in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5, whereas almost all Hrs proteins were found in the cytoplasmic fraction at pH 7.2 (Fig. 5A). Thus, Hrs exhibits a pH-dependent membrane association, apparently with a lower affinity than EEA1. Endogenous STAM1 was distributed between both fractions in almost the same manner as Hrs (Fig. 5A). We then validated whether the ESCRT-0 proteins and EEA1 that were observed in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 are not their aggregates due to the acidic solution. When the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 was subjected to 1% Triton X-100 protein extraction followed by ultracentrifugation, most of Hrs, STAM1, and EEA1 were subjected to 1% Triton X-100 protein extraction followed by ultracentrifugation, most of Hrs, STAM1, and EEA1 were recovered in the supernatants (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, the treatment with a 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, buffer led to detachment of a large part of these proteins from the membrane prepared under acidic conditions at pH 5.5 (Fig. 5B). As a control, we verified the distribution of lysosomal integral membrane protein LAMP1 and Triton X-100-insoluble raft-associated protein flotillin-1. LAMP1 was solubilized when treated with Triton X-100, whereas flotillin-1 was recovered in the precipitate following both treatments (Fig. 5B). These results indicate that distributions of the ESCRT-0 proteins and EEA1 to the membrane fraction at acidic pH are due to their pH-dependent membrane associations and not to aggregation of these proteins. Fractionation of 2HP67 cells overexpressing HA-tagged Hrs showed that half of the total Hrs was recovered in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 (Fig. 5A), reflecting the endosomal accumulation of overexpressed Hrs. Unlike in HEK293T cells, endogenous STAM1 in 2HP67 cells was scarcely membrane-associated upon fractionation under acidic pH (Fig. 5A). Both Hrs and STAM1 in SL cells, established by the stable transfection of FLAG-tagged STAM1 into 2HP67 cells, have a fractionation pattern similar to that of the endogenous ESCRT-0 proteins in HEK293T cells (Fig. 5A). This indicates that the STAM1-mediated control of the subcellular localization of Hrs results in the diffuse staining pattern of the ESCRT-0 proteins, as shown in Fig. 1D. The Hrs fractionation pattern at pH 5.5 from the cell line DL, stably transfected with the FLAG-tagged DSH mutants, was very similar to that of 2HP67 cells (Fig. 5A). However, accumulation of the DSH mutant in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 (40% of total mutant protein) is consistent with the data from the immunostaining of HEK293T cells over-expressing either Hrs or the DSH mutant (Figs. 2B and 5A), suggesting a requirement for the STAM1 SH3 domain in the control of Hrs localization. As mentioned above, fluorescently labeled Hrs also targets to endosomes in a pH-dependent manner (Fig. 4K). These data indicate that Hrs exhibits binding to endosomal membranes by a mechanism dependent on its acidic environment, whereas Hrs tends to be localized to the cytoplasm in the presence of STAM1. STAM1-unbound Form of Hrs Exists on Endosomes in Vivo—The distributions of the ESCRT-0 subunit proteins between the cytosolic and membrane fractions from HeLa cells were similar to those from HEK293T cells (Figs. 5A and 6A). We next analyzed the state of the Hrs and STAM1 complexes in the cytosolic and membrane fractions by employing BN-PAGE. In the following immunoblot, an anti-Hrs antibody gave three major signals, at ~140, ~250, and ~600 kDa, among all the fractions (Fig. 6B, left panel, lanes 2–5). BN-PAGE/immunoblotting of a cytoplasmic fraction isolated from cells at pH 7.2, which had been pre-denatured by SDS, showed an Hrs-positive band almost corresponding to the ~250-kDa band of the native protein (Fig. 6B, left panel, lane 1), indicating that the ~250-kDa band is likely to be a monomer of Hrs, whereas the ~140-kDa band is probably a degraded product. The broad signal at ~600 kDa was observed on the immunoblot against STAM1 as well (Fig. 6B, right panel, lane 2–5). When the fractions at pH 5.5

FIGURE 4. STAM-independent endosomal targeting and STAM-mediated endosomal dissociation of Hrs. A, purification of the recombinant histidine-tagged Hrs, STAM1, and STAM1 mutants. The purified proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. B, fluorescent labeling of the recombinant Hrs. The purified Hrs was labeled with the Alexa Fluor 488 dye. Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained gel (left panel) and a UV-transilluminated (right panel) SDS-polyacrylamide gel after electrophoresis are shown. C and D, digitonin-permeabilized HRS knock-out mouse embryonic fibroblasts HRSd cells were incubated in transport buffer (TB) containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled Hrs (Alexa488-Hrs) at 37 °C. C and D represent the fluorescence images after 5- and 60-min incubation periods, respectively. The mean fluorescence intensity of the Alexa488-Hrs on endosomal punctate structures in semi-intact cells was quantified from the fluorescence images every 5 min for 1 h after Hrs loading (P). E, semi-intact cells in D were further incubated in TB buffer in the absence of Alexa488-Hrs and images captured every 5 min for the subsequent 2 h. The relative values of the mean intensity of Alexa488-Hrs fluorescence were plotted as the Mock dataset in Q, T, Alexa488-Hrs is targeted to the early endosome marker mRuby2-SARA-FYVE (mRuby2-FYVE) positive endosomes in semi-intact HRSd cells. HRSd cells expressing mRuby2-FYVE (red) were permeabilized with digitonin and then treated with the TB-containing Alexa488-Hrs (green). The fluorescence image was captured after a 60-min incubation. G and H, neutralization of the intracellular pH elicits dissociation of the FYVE domain-containing proteins from the endosomal surface. Permeabilized HRSd cells expressing mRuby2-FYVE were treated with TB containing 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. G and H represent the fluorescence images before and after a 60-min incubation, respectively. I, analysis using the acidic organelles probe LysoSensor dye demonstrates the acidic environment of endosomes in semi-intact HRSd cells. J, intracellular environment of the cells in I is neutralized by treatment with TB containing 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. K, endosome-neutered semi-intact cells in J were incubated in a TB containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, with Alexa488-Hrs at 37 °C for 1 h. L–O, effect of STAM1- and DSH3-mutant-loading on the endosomal localization of Hrs. Alexa488-Hrs loaded semi-intact cells, as shown in D, were further incubated in a TB containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, with recombinant STAM1 (L and M) and DSH3 mutants (N and O). The fluorescence images after a 5-min (L and N) and a 120-min incubation (M and O) are presented. The relative values of the mean intensity of Alexa488-Hrs fluorescence in STAM1-, DSH3-, and dCC-loaded semi-intact cells were plotted as the +STAM1, +DSH3, and +dCC datasets, respectively, to test the requirement for the complex formation of ESCRT-0 proteins on their endosomal targeting. Alexa488-Hrs was mixed with nonlabeled STAM1 or DSH3 mutants, and then microinjected into HRSd cells. After a 1-h incubation, the fluorescence images of the cells microinjected with Alexa488-Hrs alone as a control (R), the Alexa488-Hrs-STAM1 complex (S), or the Alexa488-Hrs/DSH3 mutant (T) were acquired. Scale bars, 10 μm.
were pretreated with an anti-STAM1 antibody, the Hrs-positive signal at \( \approx 600 \text{kDa} \) was shifted to a position equivalent to \( 800 \text{kDa} \), whereas the signals at positions of \( \approx 250 \text{kDa} \) did not change (Fig. 6B, left panel, lanes 6 and 7). This result indicates that the \( \approx 600\text{-kDa} \) signal represents a complex formed between Hrs and STAM1, whereas the lower bands do not. The STAM1-positive band at the position of \( 150 \text{kDa} \) was observed in both the SDS-denatured and native samples (Fig. 6B, right panel, lanes 1, 2, and 4), representing a monomeric form of STAM1. Comparison between these two STAM1-positive signals revealed that a large proportion of STAM1 in HeLa cells forms a complex with Hrs, appearing as a \( \approx 600\text{-kDa} \) signal on the BN-polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 6B, right panel). However, a remarkable proportion of Hrs exists in a STAM1-unbound, probably monomeric, form, as described above. We noticed that the relative abundance of STAM1-unbound Hrs in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 appeared to be higher than that in the cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 6B, left panel, lanes 4 and 5). To confirm this, STAM1-unbound Hrs was estimated by depleting the STAM1-bound Hrs pool using anti-STAM1 antibody-immobilized beads (Fig. 6C). After depletion of STAM1-bound Hrs, the residual Hrs remaining in the membrane fraction at pH 5.5 was 30\%, with <5\% in the cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 6C). Thus, the ESCRT-0 complex consisting of Hrs and STAM1 is observed in both the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions. However, membrane-associated Hrs exists to some extent as a STAM1-unbound form, supporting the notion of the STAM-independent endosomal targeting activity of Hrs in vivo.

Expression of STAM1, but Not a STAM1 DSH3 Mutant, Rescues Hrs Overexpression-induced Impairment of EGFR Degradation—Concomitant with the morphological changes in endosomes, Hrs overexpression also inhibits the degradation of ubiquitylated cargo (13). Thus, we investigated whether expression of STAM1 can rescue the inhibitory effect of overexpressed Hrs on the EGFR degradation. In this assay, we used cells exogenously expressing ubiquitin and c-Chi, thereby promoting EGFR degradation in HEK293T cells (26). In control cells, EGFR was almost completely degraded 120 min after stimulation (Fig. 7, A and C). In contrast, EGFR did not appear to be degraded in 2HP67 cells, indicating an inhibitory effect of

![FIGURE 5. Environmental pH and STAM expression control the subcellular localization of Hrs.](image-url)
Hrs overexpression on endosomal cargo sorting (Fig. 7, A and C). In SL cells, made by stably transducing wild-type STAM1 into Hrs-overexpressing 2HP67 cells, the EGFR degradation rate recovered, as compared with that seen in control cells, indicating that expression of wild-type STAM1 can abrogate the inhibitory effect of overexpressed Hrs on EGFR degradation. DL cells, made by stably transducing the DSH mutant into Hrs-overexpressing 2HP67 cells, retained the impairment in EGFR degradation, similar to 2HP67 cells (Fig. 7, B and C). Immunofluorescence analysis showed that endocytosed EGFRs in both SSdRe-S1 and SSd-DSH cells after 10 min of stimulation were delivered to STAM1- or DSH3 mutant-positive endosomes (Fig. 7D). At 120 min after EGFR stimulation, EGFR remained localized in DSH3-positive endosomes in SSd-DSH cells, which contrasts with the disappearance of those in SSdRe-S1 cells, indicating the expression of the STAM1 DSH mutant at physiological levels causes impairment of EGFR degradation (Fig. 7D). These results suggested that the SH3 domain is required for the proper function of STAM1 in endosomal cargo sorting. Taken together, the effects of STAM1 and the DSH3 mutant co-expression on the impairment in EGFR degradation in Hrs-overexpressing cells correlate with those describing the abnormalities in endosomal morphology observed in the immunostaining analysis shown in Fig. 2B. Thus, our data suggest that the quantitative control of endosomal Hrs via STAM1-mediated endosomal dissociation is required for both proper cargo sorting and normal endosome morphology.

Defective STAM1-associated Deubiquitylating Enzyme Activity Does Not Lead to Endosomal Swelling—Previous studies have reported that the STAM-associated deubiquitylating enzymes AMSH and UBPY, which interact with the SH3 domain of STAM proteins, promote the down-regulation of ubiquitylated cell surface receptors (27). Expression of either
AMSH or UBPY catalytically inactive mutants leads to endosomal swelling and the accumulation of endosomal ubiquitylated cargo (28–30). Therefore, a similar dominant negative effect of the STAM DSH3 mutant may be attributed to a deficiency in STAM-associated deubiquitylating activity. Consistent with a previous report (28), expression of AMSH-D348A (Fig. 8A) caused an accumulation of endogenous Hrs on enlarged AMSH-D348A-positive endosomes (Fig. 8C). Expression of wild-type AMSH had no effect on Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8B). AMSH and UBPY are known to be associated with the STAM SH3 domain via a STAM-binding motif (SBM), PX(V/I)(D/N)RXXKP (31, 32). We found that a STAM binding-defective AMSH mutant, AMSH-mSBM (Fig. 8A), localized to the cytoplasm and not to Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8C). Expression of wild-type AMSH had no effect on Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8B). AMSH and UBPY are known to be associated with the STAM SH3 domain via a STAM-binding motif (SBM), PX(V/I)(D/N)RXXKP (31, 32). We found that a STAM binding-defective AMSH mutant, AMSH-mSBM (Fig. 8A), localized to the cytoplasm and not to Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8C). Expression of wild-type AMSH had no effect on Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8B). AMSH and UBPY are known to be associated with the STAM SH3 domain via a STAM-binding motif (SBM), PX(V/I)(D/N)RXXKP (31, 32). We found that a STAM binding-defective AMSH mutant, AMSH-mSBM (Fig. 8A), localized to the cytoplasm and not to Hrs-positive endosomes (Fig. 8C).
sion of inactive AMSH-D348A may be due to a failure in the sorting process downstream of ESCRT-0 function. This is supported by the findings that AMSH-ΔMIT/D348A, lacking both the ESCRT-III interacting and deubiquitylating activities (Fig. 8A), as well as an enzymatically active AMSH-ΔMIT mutant (Fig. 8A), had no effect on the morphology of Hrs-positive endosomes, although they did co-localize with Hrs on endosomes (Fig. 8, F and G). Thus, the dominant negative effects on endosome morphology and endosomal cargo sorting by the expression of the DSH3 mutant are likely to be independent of the defect in STAM-associated deubiquitylating activity. We further analyzed the effect of STAM1 expression on the ubiquitylation state of Hrs. We prepared cell lysates from 2HP67, SL, and DL cells, which were transiently transfected with Myc-tagged ubiquitin, c-Cbl, and EGFR, and then immunoprecipitated Hrs, according to the previously described boiling SDS-lysis method (21). Immunoblotting with an anti-Myc antibody revealed mono- and di-ubiquitylated forms of Hrs, as well as poly-ubiquitylated Hrs appearing as a smear in all Hrs-overexpressing cell lines (Fig. 9). Hrs ubiquitylation after EGF stimulation exhibited only a slight increase (Fig. 9), a result that is consistent with those of Urbé et al. (21). It is noteworthy that the Hrs ubiquitylation was similar in all cell lines (Fig. 9), indicating that STAM1 expression has no effect on the level of Hrs ubiquitylation. Together with the immunostaining and cell fractionation analysis (Figs. 2B and 5), the subcellular localiza-

FIGURE 8. STAM-mediated endosomal dissociation of Hrs is independent of STAM-associated deubiquitylating activity. A, schematic representation of the structure of the AMSH deletion mutants. The microtubule interacting and transport (MIT) domain, clathrin-binding domain (CBD), STAM-binding motif (SBM), and JAB1/MPN/Mov34 metalloprotease (JAMM) domains are indicated at the top of the diagram. The putative catalytic (Glu<sup>280</sup>) and metal-binding (Asp<sup>348</sup>) residues in the active site are indicated. The mutated residues in the SBM are underlined. B–G, HEK293T cells were transfected with wild-type AMSH, AMSH-D348A, AMSH-mSBM, AMSH-mSBM/D348A, AMSH-ΔMIT, or AMSH-ΔMIT/D348A and were then immunostained for endogenous Hrs and AMSH or the AMSH mutants. B, AMSH partially co-localizes with endogenous Hrs but does not induce morphological changes in Hrs-positive endosomes. Arrows in the inset indicate Hrs-positive endosomes co-localizing with AMSH, and arrowheads indicate Hrs-positive but AMSH-negative endosomes. C, deubiquitylating activity-deficient AMSH (AMSH-D348A) induces endosomal swelling. D, STAM1-binding-deficient AMSH (AMSH-mSBM) does not co-localize with Hrs. E, expression of the AMSH mSBM and the D348A double mutant (AMSH-mSBM/D348A) leads to the enlargement of Hrs-positive endosomes. F and G, both ESCRT-III binding-deficient AMSH (AMSH-ΔMIT) and ΔMIT and the D348A double mutant (AMSH-ΔMIT/D348A) co-localize with endogenous Hrs but have no effect on endosomal morphology. Scale bars, 10 μm.
tion of Hrs appears to be independent of the levels of Hrs ubiquitylation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have shown that the ESCRT-0 subunit Hrs is targeted to endosomes independent of STAM binding and dissociates from endosomes following its association with its counterpart protein STAM1. These processes probably maintain the consistent endosomal/cytoplasmic distribution of the ESCRT-0 complex, and their deficiency consequently leads to abnormal endosome morphology and an impairment of endosomal cargo sorting.

The interaction of FYVE domains with phosphatidylinositol Pi(3)P-enriched endosomal membranes is regulated by intracellular acidic pH (24). The Hrs FYVE domain fused with GST, as well as the EEA1 FYVE domain, has been shown previously to exhibit intensive binding to Pi(3)P-containing liposomes at pH 5.5 (24). We also showed that targeting of Hrs to the endosomal membrane is affected by environmental pH (Figs. 4 and 5). The kinetics study revealed that binding of the EEA1 FYVE domain to Pi(3)P-containing vesicles at pH 6.0 is a 24-fold higher affinity (dissociation constant \( K_d \) at pH 6.0 = 49 nM) than that at pH 8.0 (35). The subsequent NMR studies revealed that the pH dependence of interaction between the FYVE domain and Pi(3)P is attributed to a protonation of two histidine residues conserved in the FYVE domain sequences (24, 35). Combined with the crystal structure data (36), positively charged histidine residues that are protonated in an acidic environment interact with the negatively charged head groups of Pi(3)P. These data thus suggest that endosomal targeting of a peripherally membrane-associated protein Hrs requires acidification of the cytoplasmic surface of endosomal membrane. The proton fluxes induce a transmembrane pH gradient across the lipid bilayer (37), thus providing a possible mechanism for this acidification. Concomitantly, proton leakage from the endosomal lumen by Na\(^+/H^+\) exchangers may contribute to the formation of the acidic microenvironment. Mitsui et al. (38) demonstrated that the pH of the cytoplasmic surface of the endosomes in yeast cells is lower than that in the cytoplasm using a pH-sensitive fluorescent protein fused with Vps27, and the yeast Na\(^+/H^+\) exchanger Nhx1/Vps44 activity is required for endosomal targeting of Vps27. When Hrs was associated with STAM1 prior to its introduction into cells, it no
longer accumulated at endosomes (Fig. 4). In the absence of STAM proteins, purified mouse Hrs forms a hexameric homooligomer, which is able to bind to endosomal membranes in vitro (12). In contrast, we found for the first time that STAM-unbound Hrs exists predominantly as a monomer in vivo (Fig. 6). This finding indicates that complex formation between Hrs and STAM is not constitutive, and it potentially supports the hypothesis of endosomal targeting of Hrs independent of STAM binding.

The overexpressed ESCRT-0 proteins, Hrs and STAM1, do not only co-localize on endosomes but also exhibit a remarkably diffuse localization (Fig. 1, D and E). Their intracellular distributions may be attributed to the STAM-dependent dissociation of endosome-localized Hrs (Fig. 4). In vitro reconstitution studies of MVB biogenesis in giant liposomes, using the purified yeast ESCRT proteins, clearly demonstrated that the ESCRT-0 complex clusters ubiquitylated cargo (6). The same group also demonstrated that the downstream ESCRT-I/II supercomplex induces membrane bud formation, independent of cargo cluster formation by the ESCRT-0 complex. The subsequent entrapment of the cargo in the buds is required for the interaction between the yeast Hrs homolog, Vps27, in ESCRT-0 and the yeast Tsg101 homolog, Vps23, in ESCRT-I (6). However, ESCRT-0 is not entrapped in the buds formed by ESCRT-I/II, suggesting that a process in which ESCRT-0 is released from endosomes following a handover of the ubiquitylated cargo to ESCRT-I. Overexpression of wild-type Hrs causes a severe impairment of endosomal cargo sorting (Fig. 7A) (13). Rescue of this Hrs-induced sorting defect by STAM1 co-expression, as shown in Fig. 7B, may indicate that the STAM1-mediated membrane dissociation of Hrs is an indispensable event for cargo migration from the ESCRT-0/ubiquitylated cargo clusters to the buds.
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