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INTRODUCTION

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), which usually begins at a mean age of 16 years (range, 7-36 years), is an autosomal dominant hereditary colon cancer predisposition syndrome, in which hundreds to thousands of precancerous colonic polyps can develop. Without colectomy, colon cancer is inevitable. The mean age of developing colon cancer in untreated individuals is 39 years (range, 34-43 years)[2]. Prophylactic colectomy has been considered to be the best treatment for familial adenomatous polyposis[2]. In this paper, data of eight pedigrees of FAP were analysed retrospectively. The role of surveillance endoscopy and the effect of different surgical procedures were evaluated.

METHODS

Eight pedigrees of FAP were collected and their pedigree trees were protracted. Clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of FAP patients in these kindreds were analysed.

RESULTS

A total of 157 members were investigated in eight kindreds and 25 patients with FAP were diagnosed. The ratio of male patients and female patients was 16:9, and the average age at onset was 38 years. Among them, six patients died of cancer with a mortality rate of 28%, and 36% (9/25) FAP patients were diagnosed as synchronous colorectal cancer on the basis of FAP. A proband was diagnosed as synchronous colorectal cancer with liver metastasis and died 11 mo later after partial colectomy and hepatic metastatic lesion biopsy. The other seven probands received total abdominal colectomy and rectal mucosectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (IPAA), and one of them was diagnosed as synchronous colon cancer on the basis of FAP and was still alive after 7.5 years follow-up. Among the other seven patients with synchronous colorectal cancer on the basis of FAP who underwent total abdominal colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis (IRA), one underwent total remnant rectum resection and ileostomy for recurrent carcinoma in the retained rectum 2.5 years later after the IRA and was still alive, while the others all died of recurrence with a median survival time of 4.6 years. Through close follow-up and termly endoscopic surveillance, three FAP patients were detected before presenting symptoms at the age of 18, 20 and 23 years, respectively. Prophylactic IPAA was performed and results were satisfactory after the patients were followed-up for 6, 1, and 8 years, respectively.

CONCLUSION

Pedigree investigation, close follow-up and termly endoscopic surveillance are very important for early detection of FAP. Prophylactic IPAA can give satisfactory results to FAP patients.
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DISCUSSION

FAP is an autosomal dominant hereditary disease. Approximately 80% of individuals with FAP had a family history. Offsprings of an affected individual had a 50% risk of the disease. The prevalence of FAP is 1 per 16,000 people to 1 per 8,000 people. Though FAP historically accounted for about 0.5% of all colorectal cancers, this figure has been declining as more family members at-risk underwent successful treatment following early polyp detection and prophylactic colectomy. In our study, the incidence rate of FAP in these eight kindreds was 16%, and most of the FAP patients were in the second and third generation without atavism. The average age of FAP patients was 38 years, and nine patients with FAP (36%) were in an advanced stage when they were diagnosed as colorectal cancer.

Bulow reported that since the establishment of the Danish Polyposis Register, the prevalence of colorectal cancer had decreased considerably and the prognosis had improved substantially. They made a conclusion that the work of the Danish Polyposis Register was probably the main cause of this improvement. Other studies also showed that with pedigree investigation, close follow-up and termly endoscopic surveillance, more family members at-risk underwent successful treatment following early polyp detection and prophylactic colectomy, and the prevalence of colorectal cancer was considerably decreased and the prognosis was substantially improved. In our study, we established a FAP register in 1991, and then investigated all lineal and collateral relatives of FAP patients enrolled in our hospital and protracted their pedigree trees. Close follow-up and termly colonoscopy surveillance were performed to find early cases among the relatives at high risk. At the end, 3 FAP patients were detected before presenting symptoms at the age of 18, 20 and 23 years, respectively. Prophylactic IPAA was performed and functional outcome and quality of life were satisfactory after the patients were followed-up for 6, 1 and 8 years, respectively. No patient was found with colorectal cancer or pouch adenoma or inflammation. Thus, we conclude that pedigree investigation, close follow-up and termly colonoscopy are feasible and effective methods for early diagnosis of FAP, although FAP has been found to be caused by mutations in adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) (chromosomal loci 5q21-q22) and molecular genetic testing and genetic counseling are clinically available in some developed countries while they are still in laboratories in China.

For individuals with classic FAP, prophylactic colectomy is recommended when adenomas emerge. Colectomy includes total colectomy and rectal mucosectomy with IPAA and subtotal colectomy with IRA. Although IRA has fewer complications and comfortable defecation function, it is not generally accepted by patients because carcinoma may develop in 40% retained rectum. On the other hand, during IPAA all colorectal mucous membranes were removed to completely eliminate the risk of developing colonic carcinoma and a small reservoir was created to reduce the frequency of bowel movement. However, temporary ileostomy and creation of a reservoir might lead to more complications and increase the difficulty in operation. Parc et al. found that young patients had a good quality of life after IPAA, and suggested that this procedure was well suitable for the youth. In our study, three symptom-free patients underwent IPAA and all had satisfactory results. Among thirteen patients who had symptoms but no malignancy, although 7 patients underwent IPAA and 6 patients underwent IRA, no carcinoma developed after they were followed-up for 4.2 years and 5.6 years, respectively. But for those patients...
with carcinoma. Only one patient who underwent IPAA 7.5 years before was still alive, while the others who underwent IRA all died of cancer recurrence. Thus, we conclude that symptom-free patients should undergo prophylactic surgical procedure and IPAA should be preferred. Furthermore, IPAA should be the first choice for those patients with carcinoma.
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