Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Photosynthesis, Water Status, and Gas Exchange of Plants Under Salt Stress–A Meta-Analysis
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Soil salinization is one of the most serious abiotic stress factors affecting plant productivity through reduction of soil water potential, decreasing the absorptive capacity of the roots for water and nutrients. A weighted meta-analysis was conducted to study the effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation in alleviating salt stress in C3 and C4 plants. We analyzed the salt stress influence on seven independent variables such as chlorophyll, leaf area, photosynthetic rate (Amax), stomatal conductance (Gs), transpiration rate (E), relative water content (RWC), and water use efficiency (WUE) on AMF inoculated plants. Responses were compared between C3 and C4 plants, AMF species, plant functional groups, level of salinity, and environmental conditions. Our results showed that AMF inoculated plants had a positive impact on gas exchange and water status under salt stress. The total chlorophyll contents of C3 plants were higher than C4 plants. However, C3 plants responses regarding Gs, Amax, and E were more positive compared to C4 plants. The increase in Gs mainly maintained E and it explains the increase in Amax and increase in E. When the two major AMF species (Rhizophagus intraradices and Funneliformis mosseae) were considered, the effect sizes of RWC and WUE in R. intraradices were lower than those in F. mosseae indicating that F. mosseae inoculated plants performed better under salt stress. In terms of C3 and C4 plant photosynthetic pathways, the effect size of C4 was lower than C3 plants indicating that AMF inoculation more effectively alleviated salt stress in C3 compared to C4 plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Salinity, especially in the very dry areas of the world, limits crop production seriously. It negatively impacts plant water potential and ionic balance through compounding effects of osmotic stress and/or Na+ and Cl− cytotoxicity resulting in significant reduction of plant growth and crop production (Zhu, 2001; Chinnusamy et al., 2005; Teakle et al., 2006; Munns and Tester, 2008). These changes affect plant growth by impairing metabolic processes and decrease photosynthetic efficiency (Munns and Tester, 2008). Tolerance and sensitivity to salt stress greatly vary among
plant species but many studies have indicated that the decrease in growth of plants under saline conditions is linked to the decline in photosynthesis and related metabolic processes (Stepien and Klobus, 2006) thereby affecting the other important biological activities such as cell growth (Munns et al., 2006; Geisler et al., 2009). One of the most immediate plant responses to soil salinity is the reduction of the stomatal aperture. This, in turn, leads to reduced stomatal conductance ($G_s$), leaf transpiration rate ($E$), and photosynthetic rate (light-saturated photosynthetic rate under ambient conditions) ($A_{max}$) (Bethke and Drew, 1992; Koyro, 2006; Lu et al., 2009). This could be one of the probable reasons for the difference in resistance to stress in plants.

Photosynthesis has changed the biochemical processes on Earth by utilizing the energy from the sun in the course of carbon fixation. In the C$_4$ pathway, the Calvin cycle is optimized by a more efficient concentration of CO$_2$ reacting to RuBisCO. This minimizes photorespiration and enhances the plant's utilization of water and nitrogen. There were at least 60 occasions of independent evolution leading to several thousand plant species diverging from their C$_3$ lineage developing C$_4$ photosynthetic pathway (Reyna-Llorens and Hibberd, 2017). C$_4$ photosynthesis greatly minimizes photorespiration and allowing stomatal function while producing sugar in a more efficient way to that of C$_3$ plants. As a consequence, C$_4$ plants grow faster and have greater biomass and plant productivity relative to plants with C$_3$ photosynthesis. A comparative study performed on C$_3$ and C$_4$ systems indicated that increase in mass by C$_4$ plants is linked to their tolerance to abiotic stresses (Ali et al., 2002).

Nevertheless, the responses of particular plant species belonging to C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic type showing high-stress tolerance, may not be true for all species from the same family (Chapin, 1991; Ali et al., 2002; Niu et al., 2006). The increased production of biomass along with more efficient use of water leads to the notion that C$_4$ plants are more tolerant to salt stress and are better adapted to conditions in semi-arid and arid regions. However, they are able to reach the same photosynthetic rate as C$_3$ plants but with much smaller stomatal aperture and therefore much less water loss (Way et al., 2014).

Responses of mycorrhizal plants to salt stress in relation to the exchange of O$_2$-CO$_2$ and water use efficiency are complex. These depend on the level of salinity, metabolic CO$_2$ assimilation, and biological form. Mutualistic interaction of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) residing on the root endosphere of many terrestrial plants is capable of mitigating salinity stress and promoting continued growth (Al-Karaki, 2000; Porcel et al., 2012). There are numerous studies showing positive AMF-inoculated plant responses on the alleviation of salt stress, but the magnitudes of effect greatly differ among various studies (Evelin et al., 2009; Porcel et al., 2012). These differences could be attributed to the compounding effects of salinity, different types of mycorrhizal plant used and the complicated interactions between these factors. There is also a paucity of information on the relative importance and magnitude of AMF symbiotic features such as the type of AMF species, AMF richness, plant species, and root morphology on the mitigation of the damaging effects of salinity in both C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic groups. While a lot of literature available on the comparative responses such as elevated metabolic processes of C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic types, transport involving plasids, CO$_2$ concentration and water availability, shoot and root biomass, nitrogen availability and competition, stomatal conductance and transpiration rate (Wand et al., 1999; Derner et al., 2003; Niu et al., 2006; Caird et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2009; Weber and Caemmerer, 2010), only limited information is available on their gas exchange and their water status under salt stress. Meta-analysis is a numerical way of analyzing potential experimental factors that causes variations among studies when individual and independent data from different studies are collected and collated (Rosenberg et al., 2000; Treseder, 2004; Lehmann et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014, 2015; Pellegrino et al., 2015).

Up to date and to our knowledge, no comprehensive studies have yet been conducted to assess how gas exchange and water status are related in C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic plants and their response to AMF inoculation under saline condition. In this study, a meta-analysis was conducted within over published studies spanning the period 1987–2017 dealing with plant gas exchange ($A_{max}$, $G_s$, and $E$) and water status (relative water content-RWC) and water use efficiency (WUE) responses toward AMF inoculation under salt stress. Specifically, we hypothesized different responses between C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic groups of plants to AMF inoculation under the influence of salinity stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Search and Data Collection

The database was prepared by searching and retrieving cited references in the Web of Knowledge™. Keywords related to AMF were used particularly: AM fungal, AM fungi, fungal, mycorrhiza, mycorrhizal, mycorrhizae, arbuscular, and AMF. Keywords related to plant responses and salt stress include gas exchange, photosynthetic efficiency, stomatal conductance, transpiration, water use efficiency and water status, under the saline condition, salinity stress, and salt stress. Screening and selection were done on the searches in order to include studies containing quantitatively measured C$_3$ and C$_4$ plant responses after mycorrhizal inoculation under salt stress especially parameters on gas exchange and water status. Initial screening brought about 657 research publications meticulously reassessed to meet criteria for inclusion in the study: (i) studies with response variables on photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration, chlorophyll, water status (ii) studies with a treatment containing one or more AMF species (iii) studies with non-inoculated control (iv) experiments performed under salt stress. Based on our inclusion criteria 587 publications were excluded, and the list was refined to 69 publications (from 1987 until 2017) (Datasheet, Appendix S1). From the 69 publications, 540 trials were identified for the comparative analysis of gas exchange and water status response to AMF inoculation under salt stress (Supplementary Information Dataset), all of which hypothetically passed the criteria of selection for inclusion in the study.
Data Acquisition

The number of replication or sample size (n), the mean and the standard deviation (SD) of the control as well as the treatment (AMF inoculation) under salinity stress conditions were categorically analyzed. Specific fixed factors were as follow:

Photosynthetic types were grouped into two levels: AMF-inoculated C₃ and C₄ plant groups were tested for significant differences in their photosynthetic state and water status during salinity stress.

Chlorophyll content was divided into three parameters: chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll. This allowed the testing for significant tissue differences and chlorophyll content mediated by inoculation of AMF.

The gas exchange includes three parameters: Photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and leaf transpiration rate.

Water status had two parameters: relative water content (measured from photosynthetically active tissues) and water use efficiency (the ratio of net photosynthetic rate per transpiration rate).

AMF richness is divided into single and mixed levels.

The use of AMF belonging to only one species was categorized as “single species inoculum” and was dominated by members of Glomeraceae. Most of the studies were conducted on those species compared to those of other species. Moreover, we did not get enough studies for meta-analysis for other species. Co-inoculation with more than one AMF species was categorized as mixed species inoculum.

Plant species and plant family: There were 40 plant host species included for analysis spanning members of different families particularly Anacardiaceae, Asteraceae, Caryophyllaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Lamiaceae, Liliaceae, Malvaceae, Moraceae, Poaceae, Rutaceae, Solanaceae, and Verbenaceae. The plants were classified by using the PLANTS database of the USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service (https://plants.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/research/guide/).

Plant group comprised of two levels: monocot and dicot. Classification of the plants was done according to the PLANTS database of the USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service (http://plants.usda.gov/java/).

Life cycle: Plants were categorized as annual or perennial for the plant life cycle.

Plant growth habit: This categorical variable was described as herbaceous, grass, shrub, and woody form of plants.

Soil textural type was grouped into five: clay loamy, loamy, sandy, sandy loamy, and silty soil. Soil textural classification was done following the soil database of the USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/research/guide/).

Soil salinity was defined in three categories: low, moderate and high. Categorization of the level of imposed soil salinity was done following USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. Low soil salinity has an ECₑ ≤ 4 dS m⁻¹; moderate soil salinity ranged from 4 to 8 dS m⁻¹, and; higher than 8 dS m⁻¹ was high salinity.

The experimental condition comprised of two levels: greenhouse included all experiments were done under protected and controlled set-up (i.e., pot trails); and field, containing all outdoor studies (i.e., soil trails).

Statistics

The metric for the AMF inoculation response under salt stress was computed as the natural log of the response ratio (ln R) which showed the effect size of the AMF inoculation on gas exchange and water status. The ln R is a measure of outcome in an experimental group to that of the control group. The ln R calculations and statistical analysis were conducted using the MetaWin v2.1 software (Rosenberg et al., 2000).

\[
\ln R = \ln \left( \frac{X^E}{X^C} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{\bar{X}^E}{\bar{X}^C} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{X^C}{X^C} \right)
\]

\[
\ln_{inR} = \frac{(S^E)^2}{N^E (\bar{X}^E)^2} + \frac{(S^C)^2}{N^C (\bar{X}^C)^2}
\]

In this calculation, R represents the response ratio, ln R represents natural log of the response ratio, V lnR denotes variance of ln R, X^E denotes the mean of the control (plants under salt stress having no AMF), X^E is the mean of the treatment (plants under salt stress were inoculated with AMF), S^E represents the standard deviation of the control, S^E denotes the standard deviation of the treatment, N^E is the control replication number and N^E is the treatment replication number (Rosenberg et al., 2000). A positive value of ln R indicates a beneficial AMFmediated effect while negative values represent a detrimental effect. A permutation procedure containing 3,999 iterations was run for the computation of P-values since the effect sizes violated the assumption of normality. To calculate the confidence intervals (CIs), a bootstrapping approach was done with implemented bias correction (Adams et al., 1997). Two univariate random effects meta-analysis was conducted corresponding to the two effect sizes as well as related datasets. These assessed the whole effect of AMF inoculation on the plants’ gas exchange and water status. Heterogeneity in the effect sizes was calculated using Q statistics (Lehmann and Rillig, 2015), and was compared against a chi-squared distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom (Lehmann and Rillig, 2015). A dataset indicates more heterogeneity than expected due to errors in sampling if the calculated Q is significant (Cooper, 1998). Furthermore, categorical independent variables were analyzed to find the observed variability in the datasets. Therefore, the significance level of the random value between-level difference of categorical moderators was investigated and a significant level at <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

Overall AMF Inoculation Effects on Gas Exchange and Water Status
Irrespective of photosynthetic type, an overall positive effect of AMF inoculation on gas exchange and water status under salt stress was observed in both C\textsubscript{3} and C\textsubscript{4} plants (Figure 1; Table 1). The results showed positive effect sizes of $A_{\text{max}}$, $G_s$, and $E$ across studies (Figure 1A; Table 1). Also, total chlorophyll, chlorophyll $a$ and chlorophyll $b$ contents of AMF-inoculated plants under salt stress had increased effect size, compared to un-inoculated plants. Moreover, leaf area, water use efficiency, and relative water content also had significantly increased effect sizes (Table 1). In terms of the C\textsubscript{3} and C\textsubscript{4} photosynthetic pathways, the effect size of C\textsubscript{4} plants were lower than those in C\textsubscript{3} plants (Figure 1B).

Though the total chlorophyll content was high in both C\textsubscript{3} and C\textsubscript{4} plant, the C\textsubscript{3} plants had higher chlorophyll content compared to C\textsubscript{4} plants. Under the same saline conditions, the effect sizes of $A_{\text{max}}$, leaf area, and $E$ in C\textsubscript{3} plants were higher than C\textsubscript{4} plants. Whereas, the effect sizes of stomatal conductance and relative water content were higher in C\textsubscript{4} plants than C\textsubscript{3} plants. Among AMF species, $R$. intraradices inoculated C\textsubscript{3} plants had more positive effect size values for $G_s$ and $E$ than C\textsubscript{4} plants (Figure 2). For moderator variables plant functional groups, growth habits, and types, categorical analyses showed significant influence on effect sizes (Figure 3). The effect sizes of $A_{\text{max}}$, $G_s$, and $E$ under high salinity were higher in C\textsubscript{3} plants than in C\textsubscript{4} plants (Figure 4).

C\textsubscript{3} and C\textsubscript{4} Plants Response to Water Status and Water Content
RWC and WUE were the main indicators reflecting the water status of plants suffering from salinity. The RWC and WUE had positive effect sizes ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 1). However, RWC and WUE effect sizes differed according to the level of salinity under low, moderate and high salinity. Plant growth forms differed in effect sizes in terms of RWC and WUE where highest WUE was exhibited in grasses while highest RWC though lower WUE was observed in herbaceous plants. When the two major AMF species ($R$. intraradices and $F$. mosseae) were considered, the effect sizes of RWC and WUE in $R$. intraradices were lower than those in $F$. mosseae indicating that $F$. mosseae inoculated plants performed well under salt stress. The effect sizes of RWC and WUE in C\textsubscript{4} plants were higher than C\textsubscript{3} plants under the same saline conditions.
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| Trait                        | Effect size | N   | 95% BS CI       | QT    | Q_7(P) |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-------|--------|
| All studies                  | 0.2341      | 540 | 0.1962–0.2708   | 11095.385 | 0.0000 |
| Total chlorophyll            | 0.3090      | 104 | 0.3363–0.4206   | 295.3383 | 0.0000 |
| Leaf area                    | 0.2284      | 90  | 0.2110–0.2458   | 212.8646 | 0.0000 |
| Stomatal conductance         | 0.2317      | 54  | 0.1559–0.3133   | 568.2233 | 0.0000 |
| Transpiration rate           | 0.3765      | 22  | 0.1744–0.6280   | 120.0216 | 0.0000 |
| Photosynthetic rate          | 0.2071      | 60  | 0.1834–0.2309   | 337.9870 | 0.0000 |
| Relative water content       | 0.1056      | 68  | 0.0717–0.1411   | 271.0928 | 0.0000 |
| Water use efficiency         | 0.1241      | 17  | 0.0668–0.1813   | 452.3051 | 0.0000 |

**FIGURE 2 | AMF species-categorical analysis.**

(A) Overall AMF species and AMF inoculation response to gas exchange and water status. (B) Response variables reaction to AMF species and AMF inoculation. Error bars are means ± BS CIs. Where the CIs do not overlap each other, the effect size for a parameter is significant at \( P < 0.05 \). The number of trials included in the meta-analysis is denoted above the bar.

Mycorrhizal C\(_3\) and C\(_4\) plants under salt stress performed differently for relative water content. While both respond favorably, C\(_4\) plants showed higher effect size values than C\(_3\) plants. Among the AMF fungi, *F. mosseae* shows relatively increased relative water content than other species in both C\(_3\) and C\(_4\) plants under salt stress (Figure 2B). Moreover, single inoculation showed a significant increase in relative water content than mixed inoculation in C\(_3\) plants whereas C\(_4\) plant results were exactly opposite. However, these results should be treated with caution due to low sample size. There were no significant differences for other experimental conditions and functional groups in terms of relative water content under soil salinity stress.

**C\(_3\) and C\(_4\) Plants Response to Chlorophyll**

Categorical analyses indicated significant differences between AMF species, plant species, plant family, plant type and growth form on both C\(_3\) and C\(_4\) plants. Annual and perennial plants had a significant different effect within C\(_3\) plants. Whereas, experimental conditions showed no significant differences under salt stress in C\(_3\) plants. For the moderators like AMF richness, soil type, and level of salinity no significant effects were detectable. Among AMF species, the highest and the lowest effect size values for total chlorophyll were observed in C\(_3\) plants inoculated with *R. intraradices* and *Glomus* sp. and *R. fasciculatus*. While *Glomus* sp., *R. fasciculatus*, and *R. intraradices* had the lowest effect size in C\(_4\) plants, *F. mosseae* demonstrated highest effect size (treat the results with caution due to low sample size) (Figure 2B). Categorical analysis of growth forms showed herbaceous plants with the lowest and grass with the highest effect size values in C\(_3\) plants. Whereas, in C\(_4\) plants, grasses and shrubs had the lowest and herbaceous plants had the highest effect size values (Figure 3B). However, these results are to be considered with caution due to low sample size. Among the families investigated, Fabaceae recorded the lowest while Poaceae had the highest effect size values in C\(_3\) plants (Figure 3C). There were no significant differences exhibited by other plant functional groups under salt stress. Other plant functional groups showed no significant differences under salt stress.
**FIGURE 3** | Plant functional groups—categorical analysis. (A) Overall plant functional groups response to gas exchange and water status. (B) Individual response variables reaction to plant growth form. (C) Individual response variables response to plant type, life cycle, and plant family. Error bars are means ± BS CIs. Where the CIs do not overlap each other, the effect size for a parameter is significant at $P < 0.05$. The number of trials included in the meta-analysis is denoted above the bar.

**FIGURE 4** | The level of salinity and environmental conditions—categorical analysis. (A) Overall level of salinity and environmental conditions response to gas exchange and water status. (B) Individual response variables reaction to level of salinity and environmental conditions. Error bars are means ± BS CIs. Where the CIs do not overlap each other, the effect size for a parameter is significant at $P < 0.05$. The number of trials included in the meta-analysis is denoted above the bar.
C₃ and C₄ Plants Response to Leaf Area

AMF inoculated plants varied in their impact on leaf area. In contrast to relative water content and stomatal conductance, AMF inoculated C₃ plants showed significantly higher effect size values than C₄ plants. While the categorical analyses showed significant differences for all the moderators studied in C₃ plants, C₄ plants showed significant variation only for plant species, plant family, lifestyle, life cycle, and growth form. Among family, Fabaceae showed the highest effect size (Figure 3C). Annual and perennial C₃ plants had the highest effect size values when plant life cycle was tested on leaf area. In addition, under the plant group, dicot plants had the highest effect size in both C₃ and C₄ plants. Among plant functional groups, grass, and herbaceous plants in C₃ plants and herbaceous and grass plants in C₄ plants had the lowest effect size values with shrubs in C₃ plants and herbaceous forms in C₄ plants displaying the highest effect size values. As expected, the level of salinity significantly influenced the leaf area of C₃ plants (Figure 4B). Consequently, the moderate and low level of salinity had the lowest and high level of salinity the highest effect size values.

C₃ and C₄ Plants Response to Stomatal Conductance and Photosynthetic Rate

Highest stomatal conductance was observed in AMF inoculated C₄ plants. Among AMF species, R. intraradices showed a significant increase in stomatal conductance of C₃ plants (Figure 2B). While the significant difference was observed in AMF richness of C₃ plants, mixed inocula showed highest effect size than those of single inocula though the results of which are to be treated with caution due to low sample size. The C₃ plants also had a significant positive effect on plant species, plant family, plant group, growth habit, soil type, the level of salinity and experimental condition (P = 0.006). Whereas, C₄ plants had a significant positive effect only on soil type. Sandy soil had a high effect size value than those of loamy soil. AMF had a positive overall effect on photosynthetic rate under saline conditions. While no significant (P > 0.05) effect was observed in C₃ plants, AMF had a significant positive effect on C₄ plants under saline condition. Among AMF species, R. intraradices showed a significant increase in effect size in C₄ plants. Hence, C₄ plants were tested additionally for a possible compounding effect of categorical variables and found no significant difference between studies. However, in the case of photosynthetic rate, an opposite trend was observed with C₃ plants showing significant variations and C₄ plants (P > 0.05) showing no significant positive effect. Also, the level of salinity significantly influenced the photosynthetic rate of C₄ plants. Among level salinity, moderate level of salinity in C₄ plants had the highest effect size values compared to those of C₃ plants (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

In many regions of the world, high soil salinity affects plants, compounding the effects of pedospheric and atmospheric water deficits recurrently faced by plants throughout their life cycle (Chaves et al., 2009). Salt stress adversely affect plant growth by disturbing the physiological mechanisms including reduction of cell water potential, stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, gas exchange, and disruption of membrane integrity among others (Abdel Latef and Miransari, 2014) through ionic toxicity and osmotic stress (Zhang and Shi, 2013; Pan et al., 2016). Interaction of AMF with plants could alleviate salt stress-induced reduction in plant health, productivity, leaf area, and biomass together with improved root to shoot dry mass ratio (Sheng et al., 2008; Hajiboland et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016; Elhindi et al., 2017). The beneficial symbiosis arose partly due to modification of the fungi’s environment and development of extensive mycelial extensions modulating water absorption and retention, soil volume and AMF-host water relations (Harris-Valle et al., 2018). Additionally, these effects are metabolically connected to Na⁺ exclusion, facilitating down-regulation of toxic Na⁺ build-up concomitant to selective absorption of K⁺ due to AMF-plant symbiosis (Jahromi et al., 2008; Sheng et al., 2008; Evelin et al., 2009; Porcel et al., 2012; Chandrasekaran et al., 2016). In our previous meta-analysis study (Chandrasekaran et al., 2014), we established that the improved growth of mycorrhiza inoculated plants in saline environments was partly related to mycorrhizal-mediated nutrient uptake and growth enhancement of host plants. Recently, with the quantitative analytical evidence, we showed a positive influence of AMF inoculation in both C₃ and C₄ photosynthetic groups grown under salt stress condition in terms of nutrient absorption and growth. A more competitive K⁺ ion absorption was exhibited by C₄ compared to C₃ plants (Chandrasekaran et al., 2016).

In this study, results showed that AMF has an overall positive effect on C₃ and C₄ plants gas exchange and water status under salt stress. The AMF mediated effect on total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, leaf area, photosynthetic rate, and transpiration rate of C₃ plants were higher than C₄ plants. Whereas, C₄ plants were found to have a higher effect size on stomatal conductance, RWC, and WUE. The AMF inoculated plants were able to display higher photosynthetic capacity under salt stress, showing the capacity of AMF to mitigate salt stress (Zuccarini, 2007; Evelin et al., 2009; Abdel Latef and Chaoxing, 2014). Symbiotic association of plants to AMF resulted in upregulated chloroplast gene expression, RppsbA, and RppsbD during different levels of salt stress and only at 100 mM NaCl, respectively. These, in turn, endow the plant with higher PSII efficiency then enhanced photosynthetic capacity during salt stress conditions (Chen et al., 2017). Previous studies also showed that greater chlorophyll represents higher rates of photosynthesis and carbon fixation, sustaining AMF-plant symbiosis (Wright et al., 1998; Elhindi et al., 2017). This study also confirmed that the response of AMF plants to total chlorophyll response of plants is greater than Amax, Gs, leaf area, and RWC. This is consonance with the earlier observations (Hajiboland et al., 2010; Wu and Zou, 2010; Abdel Latef and Chaoxing, 2011, 2014) where AMF plants have higher photosynthetic activity due to higher chlorophyll content. Moreover, the meta-analysis data also showed that the total chlorophyll content of C₃ plants was greater than C₄ plants. This could be the reason for decreased Amax in C₄ plants compared to C₃ plants. Exclusion of toxic Na⁺...
due to inhibited Na\(^+\) transport caused by AMF colonization increased chlorophyll content and continued photosynthetic machinery. Increased absorption of Mg\(^{2+}\) also has been reported to increase the chlorophyll content in mycorrhiza inoculated plants (Zhu et al., 2010) where the AMF maintained absorption of Mg\(^{2+}\) under salinized soils in spite of the antagonistic effects of increased Na\(^+\) concentration (Giri et al., 2003; Talaat and Shawky, 2014).

Regression analysis based on the effect sizes of all plants showed that Gs had a close relationship to E \((P < 0.05, R^2 = 0.19)\), as previous work reported, the role of stomata in the control of transpiration can be defined as the relative change in E for a given relative change in Gs (Jones, 1998; Yan et al., 2016). Therefore, across all studies, we found that an increase in Gs could explain the variation in E, which is higher than that of Amax, indicating that maintaining plant water status may be the most important function under salt stress in AMF inoculated plants. We also found a significant relationship \((P < 0.05, R^2 = 0.12)\) between C4 plants leaf area and total chlorophyll under salt stress. Moreover, we found a significant positive relationship \((P < 0.05, R^2 = 0.25)\) between Gs and Amax in AMF inoculated C4 plants under salt stress. In C4 plants, the increase in Gs indicates the increase in Amax, suggesting that the increase in Gs played a more important role in the increase in Amax under salt stress in the C4 plants. We did not find a significant relationship in AMF inoculated C3 plants under salt stress. Therefore, the regulation of Gs is related to species and genotype, making it difficult to define a pattern of photosynthetic responses to salt stress.

Plant-AMF symbiosis improves water status which also facilitates plant growth and photosynthesis with a positive effect on relative water content due to AMF colonization (Chen et al., 2017). The extensive hyphal extensions of mycorrhiza allow higher hydraulic conductivity even when water potential is low. This is added to the effect of higher stomatal conductance and transpiration which also improve water status (Kapoor et al., 2008; Sheng et al., 2008). Overall, the study shows a decrease in C3 mycorrhizal plants RWC and stomatal conductance as compared to C4 mycorrhizal plants under saline condition. In the present study, C4 plants like Zea mays and Allium sativum showed significantly increased effect sizes in RWC. This effect was more noticeable in Z. mays, which could be related to high stomatal conductance. F. mosseae also significantly increased the RWC content and chlorophyll level of plants under salt stress (Al-Khalil, 2010). This resulted in a more enhanced gas exchange capacity in plants inoculated with mycorrhiza. Previous studies also show the role of AMF on the absorption of much-needed nutrients in the soil along with improved stomatal conductance with a subsequent increase in transpiration (Sheng et al., 2008; Hoeksema et al., 2010).

The increasing concentration of plant cellular Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions under salt stress causes a reduction in the cellular osmotic potential as free water is bound causing a state of physiological drought (Fuzi et al., 2008). On the other hand, AMF generally alters root architecture along with the formation of elaborate hyphal extensions permitting enhanced root conductance (Kothari et al., 1990; Yang et al., 2015) eventually leading to improved water content when compared to plants without symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi (Sheng et al., 2008). AMF associated with plant roots mediates improved hydraulic conductivity which could result in the better relative water content of plants even under environmental stress such as soil salinity (Kapoor et al., 2008). It was related to the ability of mycorrhizal plants to accumulate solutes enabling the AMF inoculated plants to adjust their osmotic potential than in non-mycorrhizal plants (Al-Garni, 2006). The increase in stomatal conductance of AMF plants, observed in this study, also has been reported to increase the transpiration under salt stress (Sheng et al., 2008). All these parameters combine to enhance WUE in mycorrhizal plant leading to improvement in the gas exchange capacity in the AMF plants (Elhindi et al., 2017). AMF symbiosis to plant hosts results to formation of an extensive hyphal network that enables AMF plants to absorb water and nutrients facilitating better photosynthetic rate and water osmotic potential (Hoeksema et al., 2010; Veresoglou et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2016). The current study is in agreement with earlier work (Augé et al., 2008) where Amax, E, and Gs parameters improved in relation to the degree of mycorrhizal inoculation over uninoculated plants experiencing damaging effects of salt stress. AMF plants show an increased transpiration rate in the leaves linked to increased stomatal conductance essential for photosynthesis and transport of carbon to the mycorrhiza (Augé2, 2001; Maggio et al., 2004; Choe et al., 2006). For instance, the water content in the leaves of Jatropha curcas improved under salt stress due to mycorrhiza (Kumar et al., 2010) because of the continued water absorbance in the roots coupled with better stomatal conductance and transpiration (Jahromi et al., 2008).

In summary, our meta-analysis synthesizes AMF plant responses under salt stress in terms of water status and gas exchange and the interaction of these factors. Based on our data sets and the analysis, we conclude that the AMF inoculation not only increases the gas exchange performances but also ameliorate the plant water status in plants under salt stress. Mycorrhizal C3 plants more positively responded in terms of gas exchange compared to C4 plants. The increase in Gs primarily increased E under saline conditions. This increase in Gs could explain the increase in A and of the increase in E under salt stress and its role in the increase of A in C3 plants. Hence, it could be concluded that choosing appropriate AMF for a specific host plant could help alleviate salinity stress and will help use saline soils for the cultivation of crop plants. From the results of this study, it is obvious that F. mosseae is a preferred mycorrhizal partner invoking a positive response in C3 plants only to be followed by C4 plants.
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