Bound on induced gravitational wave background from primordial black holes
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The today’s energy density of the induced (second order) gravitational wave background in the frequency region $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^3$ Hz is constrained using the existing limits on primordial black hole production in the early Universe. It is shown, in particular, that at frequencies near $\sim 40$ Hz (which is the region explored by LIGO detector), the value of the induced part of $\Omega_{GW}$ cannot exceed $(1 - 3) \times 10^{-7}$. The spread of values of the bound is caused by the uncertainty in parameters of the gravitational collapse of black holes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known now that gravitational waves (GWs) can be effectively generated by density perturbations during the radiation dominated era. Tensor and scalar perturbations are decoupled at the first order, but it is not so in higher orders of cosmological perturbation theory. Namely, the primordial density perturbations and the associated scalar metric perturbations generate a cosmological background of GWs at second order through a coupling of modes [1, 2, 3]. In particular, a second order contribution to the tensor mode, $h_{ij}^{(2)}$, depends quadratically on the first order scalar metric perturbation, i.e., the observed scalar spectrum sources the generation of secondary tensor modes. By other words, the stochastic spectrum of second order GWs is induced by the first order scalar perturbations. Calculations of $\Omega_{GW}$ at second order and discussions on perspectives of measurements of the second order GWs are contained in works [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

It is natural to conjecture that the detection of GWs from primordial density perturbations on small scales (not directly probed by observations) could be used to constrain overdensities on these scales. However, at the present time, gravitational wave background (GWB) is not yet detected. So, on the contrary, one can constrain GWB using existing limits on amplitudes of primordial density perturbations. Such limits are available, in particular, from studies of primordial black hole (PBH) production at the beginning of radiation era.

It is generally known that PBHs form from the density perturbations, induced by quantum vacuum fluctuations during inflationary expansion. The details of the PBH formation had been studied in [9, 10], the astrophysical and cosmological constraints on the PBH density had been obtained in many subsequent works (see, e.g., the recent review [11]). The detailed constraints on amplitudes of density perturbation spectrum had been reviewed in recent works [12, 13].

Having the bounds on the primordial density spectrum, it is possible to determine the corresponding bounds on amplitudes of produced GWB. The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the basic relations connecting the primordial power spectrum of density perturbations and induced GWB. The main result of the paper - the PBH bound on the energy density of GWB - is shown in Fig. 1. In Sec. 3 the mini-review of theoretical estimates for $\Omega_{GW}$ is given. Sec. 4 contains the conclusions.

2. DERIVATION OF THE PBH BOUND

The energy density of GWs per logarithmic interval of $k$ in units of the critical density is given by

$$\Omega_{gw}(k, \tau) = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{k}{a(\tau)H(\tau)} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_h(k, \tau).$$

The power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_h$ is defined by the standard expression

$$\langle h_k(\tau)h_{k'}(\tau) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2}{k^3} \delta^3(k + k') \mathcal{P}_h(k, \tau),$$

where $h_k(\tau)$ is the Fourier component of the tensor metric perturbation. For scalar-induced GWs, $\mathcal{P}_h(k, \tau)$ is obtained from the formula [6]

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k, \tau) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tilde{k}}{2} \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \mathcal{P}_\Psi(|\mathbf{k} - \tilde{k}|) \mathcal{F}(k, \tilde{k}, \mu, \tau)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_\Psi(k)$ is the power spectrum of the Bardeen potential, and $\mathcal{F}$ is the function determined by the transfer
functions of the Bardeen potentials and Green functions of the evolution equation for the GW amplitude,

$$h''_k + 2Hh'_k + k^2h_k = S(k, \tau). \tag{4}$$

The source term in this equation is given by

$$S(k, \tau) = \int d^3\bar{k} \bar{k}^2(1 - \mu^2) f(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \tau)\Psi_{k - \bar{k}}\Psi_k, \tag{5}$$

where $f$ can be explicitly expressed through the Bardeen potential’s transfer functions $\delta$. It is seen from Eqs. 3 0 that both the source term and the power spectrum of induced background of second order GWs depend quadratically on the first-order scalar perturbation $\Psi_k$.

It is also seen from the Eq. 3 that for scalar-induced GWs, the single mode in scalar spectrum does not correspond to the only one mode in $P_\delta$. For example, for the $\delta$-function-like spectrum $P_\delta(k) \sim \delta(k - k_0)$, the GW spectrum turns out to be continuous and stretching from 0 to $2k_0$. However, the order of magnitude of wave numbers of induced GWs is typically the same as of scalar perturbations [8], so, the GW’s typical wave number that will be generated from perturbations entering horizon at its mass scale $M_k$ can be estimated by

$$k = k^\text{eq} \frac{M_k}{M^\text{eq}}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{g_\ast}{g^\text{eq}}\right)^{-1/12} \approx 2 \times 10^{23} (M_k[g])^{-1/2} \text{ Mpc}^{-1},$$

where in the last equality we have adopted that $g^\text{eq} \approx 3$, $g_\ast \approx 100$, $M^\text{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{49} \text{g} \cdot (\Omega_m h^2)^{-2} \approx 8 \times 10^{50} \text{g}$. The connection between $f$ and $k$ for GW is

$$f = \frac{ck}{2\pi} = 1.54 \times 10^{-15} \left(\frac{k}{\text{Mpc}}\right) \text{ Hz}. \tag{7}$$

If PBHs form from a scalar spectrum of perturbations at a horizon mass scale $M_k$, the typical PBH mass will be of order of $M_k$ (see, e.g., [12]), so [6] relates the typical PBH mass with the characteristic $k$ of second order GWs produced.

We assume in this work, that the power spectrum $P_\delta(k)$ can have a peak at some wave number $k_0$. Such peaks can arise in several types of inflationary models (see, e.g., [14] and references therein) and lead to PBH production, so that the peak’s parameters (such as height and width) can be constrained from PBH non-observation. It is convenient to use some kind of parametrization to model the realistic peaked power spectrum of finite width, e.g.,

$$\lg P_\delta(k) = B + (\lg P^0_\delta - B) \exp \left[-\frac{(\lg k/k_0)^2}{2\Sigma^2}\right], \tag{8}$$

where $B \approx -8.6$, $P^0_\delta$ and $\Sigma$ characterize the height and width of the peak, $k_0$ is the position of its maximum. Parameters of such a distribution have been constrained previously [12] from non-observation of PBHs and products of their Hawking evaporation.

The frequency region $\sim 10^3 - 10^5 \text{ Hz}$ which we explore in this work corresponds to horizon and PBH mass region of $\sim 10^{11} - 10^{23} \text{ g}$. For a rather wide peak ($\Sigma \gtrsim 1$), all constraints on $P^0_\delta$ for this region are in the range 0.01 – 0.04, depending on $k$ and the parameter $\delta$, which is a density contrast threshold for PBH production (see [12] for details).

Particularly, it turns out that for mass range $\sim 10^{13} - 10^{17} \text{ g}$, the best constraints are obtained from the requirement that the diffuse gamma ray flux produced by PBHs does not exceed the observed extragalactic one. The condition that the fraction of the energy density of the universe contained in PBHs, $\Omega_\text{PBH}$, does not exceed the one for non-baryonic dark matter, $\Omega_\text{nbm} \approx 0.2$, gives the most stringent limit for $M_{PBH} \gtrsim 10^{17} \text{ g}$ (this constraint exists for black holes with initial mass $M_{PBH} > M_\ast \approx 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ g}$, i.e., ones that did not evaporate up to the present time). For $M_{PBH} > 10^{13} \text{ g}$ we use constraints on $P^0_\delta$ obtained in [12] using the above two conditions.

In the region $M_{PBH} \sim 10^{11} - 10^{13} \text{ g}$ several types of constraints coexist, including ones considering PBH influence on the photodissociation of deuterium [15, 16], CMB distortions [17] and electron antineutrino background flux [12]. It turns out that the constraint from deuterium photodissociation is the strongest one, so for this mass region we use the constraints on $P_\delta$ of [13], where limits derived in [16] were updated. One should note that an idea of constraining PBH production rate from an influence of PBH evaporations on the light element abundances had been proposed in pioneering paper [18]. CMB distortion constraints obtained in [17] are basically about the same order of magnitude, and limits from considering the neutrino background are somewhat weaker. For even smaller PBH masses, other useful limits exist, e.g., the constraints from hadron injection by PBHs during nucleosynthesis [18] (see the review [13]), but we do not explore this region here.

Numerical integration of (3) with the input given by [3] shows that for realistic peak with the finite width (particularly, $\Sigma \gtrsim 1$), the good estimate for maximum value of $\Omega_{GW}(k)$ is

$$\Omega_{GW}^{\text{max}}(\tau_0) \approx \Omega_{GW}(k_0, \tau_0) \approx 0.002 \left(\frac{g^\text{eq}}{g_\ast}\right)^{1/3} \cdot (P^0_\delta)^2, \tag{9}$$

i.e., maximum value does not depend on $\Sigma$ and is
approached at the scale $k_0$ (the particular shape of $\Omega_{GW}(k)$, of course, depends on $\Sigma$ - see analysis in the work [3]). Since the PBH constraints on $P_R^0$ weakly depend on $\Sigma$, formula (9) can be used to obtain the limits on maximum possible $\Omega_{GW}(k)$ for second order GWs.

Fig. 1 shows the resulting constraints on $\Omega_{GW}(k)$ calculated using known PBH limits on the scalar spectrum. The two curves correspond to the constraints obtained for two different gravitational collapse models (standard and critical) that assume different values of $\delta_c$. Since for critical collapse larger $\delta_c$ was used, larger values of $\Omega_{GW}(k)$ are possible in this case.

It should be noted that in the case of a very narrow, $\delta$-function like, spectrum of $P_R(k)$, the constraints on $P_R^0$ used by us, of course, do not hold anymore, and the formula (9) can not be applied. However, the analysis shows [7] that in this case maximal possible GW energy density is $\Omega_{GW}^{max} \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-8}$ in the range of frequencies which we consider ($\sim$ mHz - kHz), i.e., the values are smaller than bounds of Fig. 1. So the presented bounds are the actual upper limits on $\Omega_{GW}(k)$.

Note that the constraints shown in Fig. 1 cover both the regions of $f$ that are currently probed by ground-based experiments LIGO and Virgo (best sensitivity at $f \sim 100$ Hz) and the ones that will be accessible to future space-based experiments LISA ($f \sim$ mHz) and BBO/DECIGO ($f \sim 0.1$ Hz).

3. THEORETICAL ESTIMATES FOR THE STOCHASTIC GWB

Standard inflationary model. It has been derived in [19] that de Sitter expansion phase in the early universe generates the stochastic GWB with scale invariant power spectrum through the mechanism of amplification of quantum vacuum fluctuations. So, inflation is one of the most promising sources of GWB [21,22,23,24]. The prediction for it is given by [19,29]

$$\Omega_{GW}^{inf}(k) h^2 \approx \Omega_r h^2 \frac{16}{9} \left( \frac{V_{inf}}{m_P^2} \right) \left( \frac{g_0}{g_*} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (10)$$

Here, $\Omega_r h^2 \approx 4 \times 10^{-5}$ is the total energy density fraction of radiation at present time, $V_{inf}$ is the energy scale of inflation. From WMAP observations, $V_{inf}^{1/4} < 3.4 \times 10^{16}$ GeV, and $\Omega_{GW}^{inf} h^2 < 2 \times 10^{-15}$ for $f > 10^{-10}$ Hz [29].

GWB from preheating. The peak value of the background density is estimated by the formula [27,28]

$$\Omega_{GW}^{pr}(k_{peak}) h^2 = \Omega_r h^2 \frac{\mu^2}{g^* m_P^2} \left( \frac{g_0}{g_*} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (11)$$

It is assumed here that the inflationary potential at the end of inflation is given by

$$V_{inf}(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2, \quad (12)$$

i.e., $\mu$ is the effective mass [28] and the frequency of an oscillation of the field $\phi$, $g$ is the coupling constant. Energy scale of inflation is $V_{inf}^{1/4} \sim \sqrt{m_P g}$, the Hubble function is $H_e = m_P \sqrt{V_{inf}} \sim \mu$, and the peak frequency (the predicted spectrum has a definite maximum) is

$$f_{peak} \approx 6 \times 10^{10} \sqrt{\frac{H_e}{M_P}} \text{ Hz} \approx 6 \times 10^{10} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{M_P}} \text{ Hz}. \quad (13)$$

If $\mu$ is very small, $\mu \sim 10^{-18} m_P$, then $f_{peak} \approx 200$ Hz, i.e., the peak is situated in the region studied by the LIGO detector. In such a case, however, the coupling constant $g^2$ is extremely small, of order of $10^{-30}$. Note that in standard models of chaotic inflation the parameter $\mu$ is not free, $\mu \sim 10^{-6} m_P$, and the peak frequency is $\sim 10^8$ Hz.

The predictions of hybrid inflation models are more optimistic [29,30,31]. It was shown, in particular, that GWB can be relatively large in the LIGO/BBO region. For the case $g^2/\mu \ll 1$ the prediction of [30] is

$$f_{peak} \approx \frac{g}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{10^{10.25}}{\text{Hz}}, \quad (14)$$
\[ h^2 \Omega_{GW} \ll 10^{-8.1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{g^2} \right)^{0.1}. \]  

Here, \( \lambda \) and \( g \) are parameters of the inflationary potential: \( \lambda \) is the Higgs self-coupling, \( g \) is the Higgs-inflaton coupling. For instance, for \( \lambda \sim 0.1 \), \( f_{\text{peak}} \lesssim 10^3 \) Hz, \( g^2 \lesssim 10^{-15} \) one has \( h^2 \Omega_{GW} \lesssim 10^{-6} \).

In supersymmetric inflation models GWs can appear also as a result of an explosive decay of flat direction condensates \[32\]. Typical frequencies of these GWs are in Hz-kHz range, and the amplitude can be as high as \( h^2 \Omega_{GW} \sim 10^{-6} \) \[33\].

**First-order phase transitions in early Universe.**

The peak frequency is given by the formula \[34\]
\[ f_{\text{peak}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-3} \text{mHz} \left( \frac{g_*}{100} \right)^{1/6} \left( \frac{T_s}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{f_\star}{H_s} \right). \]  

Here, \( H_s \) is the Hubble function at the time of GW production, \( f_\star \) is the characteristic frequency of GWs, \( T_s \) is the temperature at the time of the phase transition. Typically, \( f_\star/H_s \sim 10^2 \) \[34\], and \( f_{\text{peak}} \sim 10^{-3} \) Hz if \( T_s \sim 100 \) GeV and \( f_{\text{peak}} \sim 10^2 \) Hz if \( T_s \sim 10^7 \) GeV.

The energy density at the peak frequency is \[34\]
\[ \Omega_{GW}^{tr}(f_{\text{peak}})h^2 \approx 10^{-5} \left( \frac{100}{g_*} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{H_s}{f_\star} \right)^2, \]  

i.e., \( \Omega_{GW}^{tr}(f_{\text{peak}}) \sim 10^{-9} \).

**Cosmic strings.** The estimate of the energy density is given by the formula \[35\] (in high frequency limit, \( f \gg 10^{-4} \) Hz)
\[ \Omega_{GW}^{str} \sim 10^{-8} \left( \frac{G\mu}{10^{-3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\gamma}{50} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.1} \right)^{1/2}. \]  

Here, \( G\mu \) is the string tension, \( \gamma \) is the radiation efficiency, \( \alpha \) is the initial loop size as a fraction of the Hubble radius. Pulsar timing data constrain \( \Omega_{GW}^{str}(f) \) at \( f \sim 10^{-8} \) Hz, and, according to this constraint \[36\], one has, at high frequency tail,
\[ \Omega_{GW}^{str} \lesssim 10^{-8}. \]  

**Pre-big bang scenario.** In string cosmology (which is the theoretical base of the pre-big bang (PBB) scenario \[37\]), the spectrum is growing with frequency, and the maximum value is determined by the value of the parameter \( M_\star/\tilde{M}_P \) (where \( M_\star \) is the fundamental string mass). According to the analysis of \[38\], the maximum estimate of the energy density is
\[ \Omega_{GW}^{\text{PBB}, \text{max}} < \Omega_\gamma \left( \frac{M_\star}{\tilde{M}_P} \right)^2 \lesssim 10^{-6}. \]  

This maximum value is reached at \( f \sim 100 \) GHz, i.e., in the region which is inaccessible for an experiment (at present time, at least). However, nonminimal variants of the scenario are theoretically possible \[39\], in which the peak is shifted to lower frequencies without changing the peak amplitude (e.g., to \( f \sim 10^2 \) Hz).

**Brane-world models.** In these models, in which our world is a brane embedded in a higher dimensional space, new geometrical degrees of freedom can be introduced (e.g., the position of the brane). Excitations of these degrees of freedom can lead, in principle, to detectable GW radiation. Naturally, the characteristic frequencies depend on the size of the extra dimensions \[39\].

**GWB from PBH evaporations.** GWs are necessarily produced during PBH evaporations in early universe. It is shown in \[40\] \[41\] that the corresponding stochastic GWB can be substantial, depending on the parameters of PBH formation models. Unfortunately, typical frequencies of GWs are rather high (\(10^{12}\) Hz or more).

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented in the previous section that the typical predictions of the energy density of GWB (characterized by the value of \( \Omega_{GW} \)) do not exceed \( 10^{-8} – 10^{-9} \), although the examples of nonminimal variant of the PBB scenario and some variants of preheating models (see Eq. \[15\]) show that larger intensities (\(10^{-7}\) or even \(10^{-6}\) do not, in general, excluded.

The result, obtained in this paper, can be formulated in the following way: the contribution to the total GWB, which is induced by primordial density fluctuations, is constrained, in the region of \( \sim 10^{-3} – 10^3 \) Hz, as it is shown in Fig. \[4\].

This bound should be taken into account in elaborating inflationary models because, in principle, the induced part of GWB (produced as a result of inflation) can significantly exceed the first order part. The well known example is the running mass model \[8\].

From the experimental point of view, the bound of Fig. \[4\] gives the maximum possible signal from second order GWs. It is important because if the experimentalists will be lucky do detect GWs above this bound, it will mean that the signal is not due to induced GWB (e.g., nonminimal variants of the PBB scenario mentioned above predict peak value of \( \Omega_{GW} \) close to \(10^{-6}\)).
The bound shown in Fig. 1 is slightly more restrictive than the nucleosynthesis bound \([42, 43]\) (in LIGO region, at least). The latter bound depends on the effective number of neutrino species \(N_\nu\) while the former one is a straightforward consequence of the PBH limit on the spectrum of primordial density perturbations (and Einstein’s equations).
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