PEDRERA. Positive Energy District Renovation Model for Large Scale Actions
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Abstract: In this paper, we provide a view of the ongoing PEDRERA project, whose main scope is to design a district simulation model able to set and analyze a reliable prediction of potential business scenarios on large scale retrofitting actions, and to evaluate the overall co-benefits resulting from the renovation process of a cluster of buildings. According to this purpose and to a Positive Energy Districts (PEDs) approach, the model combines systemized data—at both building and district scale—from multiple sources and domains. A sensitive analysis of 200 scenarios provided a quick perception on how results will change once inputs are defined, and how attended results will answer to stakeholders’ requirements. In order to enable a clever input analysis and to appraise wide-ranging ranks of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) suited to each stakeholder and design phase targets, the model is currently under the implementation in the urbanZEB tool’s web platform.
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1. Introduction

The European Commission has set ambitious targets to make Europe the first carbon-neutral continent by 2050. As the building sector is one of the largest energy consumers, the European Union (EU) is now stepping up efforts towards citywide transformation to enable transitions towards a climate neutral economy. A refurbished and improved building stock in the EU will help to pave the way for a decarbonized and clean energy system as well as for the development of neutral cities [1]. The improvement of the intervention rate up to 3% per year, which means the need to promote renovation actions, will raise the overall quality of the building stock, especially regarding the energy neutrality, high efficiency and health [2,3]. Indeed, large-scale renovation means also to regenerate and revitalize the social and economic structures locally, and to build trust in the business opportunities and benefits for each actor involved in the process [4]. To pursue these ambitious energy and climate benefits and the economic growth, the Positive Energy Districts (PEDs) approach will be a driving force, bringing the European Green Deal (GD) closer to citizens in an attractive, innovative and human-centered way [3]. The pioneering concept of PEDs, which builds on the paradigm of smart cities, will be incrementally introduced in the energy planning of many cities and communities in the coming years [5]. The Positive Energy District is directly contributing to the Renovation Wave through the strengthening of national innovation policies by coordinating, pooling and increasing of Research and Innovation funding for developing 100 Positive Energy Districts in Europe by 2025. Furthermore, PEDs will help to fulfill the goals set out by international policy frameworks such as the Urban Agenda for the EU, the COP21 Paris Agreement, the Habitat III New Urban Agenda, and the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (notably SDG 11), and to boost the large-scale regeneration of the built environment.
Aligned with this perspective, the paper describes the ongoing research project “PEDRERA. Positive Energy District renovation model” whose main goal is to handle several key challenges and sectoral priorities of this urban transitions, by unlocking the potential of business models geared towards large scale refurbishment plans [6]. A model able to provide a reliable prediction of potential scenarios—and their benefits in terms of energy efficiency, well-being and economic topics, among others—in order to plan and execute investment. Indeed, the purpose of the hereunder described PEDRERA project is to create a multidimensional urban building energy modeling (UBEM) [7] tool able to assess and promote the large-scale renovation in the urban areas. According to this objective, the model supports the simulation of different renovation scenarios moving from a set of information that firstly is automatically gathered and/or extracted. The definition of the main input and the calculation of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for large-scale renovation strategies are proposed according to a PEDs vision including different stakeholders’ perspectives and with special attention to the most vulnerable groups, as well to make the transition perspective, feasible. Once collected, inputs are used to run the PEDRERA model algorithms and suited on each stakeholder involved in the renovation process. In this way, it will promote an action of urban regeneration focused on clear long-term environmental, social and economic objectives [8].

The present paper, oriented to the problem definition and formulation, ready for the implementation, demonstrates how the research addresses several challenges which represent some of the main barriers for this transition process, especially:

- How to achieve and integrate heterogeneous data from different domain in order to get a comprehensive understanding of district scale renovation complexity.
- How the information is systematized and addressed to specific KPIs and to stakeholders’ targets along the renovation process phases.

Although the potential of available data, some critical barriers could hinder their effectiveness and implementation of the PEDs in the urban environment, and are represented by the ability to aggregate data from different sources and to exploit this information according to specific target groups’ “scope”. Indeed, the challenge is not only how to gather fair data (reliable, verified and continuous over time), but also how to integrate them in order to formulate predictive and feasible business models adherent to the purpose. One of the key innovation aspects of the PEDRERA model means the way to solve the gaps and the integration from different databases and dispersed information.

According to these addressed challenges, it is well known that the availability of widely monitored and shared data is certainly one of the key aspects of smart and digital cities [9]. Aimed to facilitate collecting, sharing and integrating data about the environment, several initiatives—like the OECD’s Open Government Data project and the INSPIRE directive (currently under revision and that will be implemented by 2021)—are meant to create a European Union spatial data infrastructure for the purposes of EU environmental policies [10,11]. In compliance with these initiatives, national land registers—such as the Spanish cadaster—are already sharing their spatial data, including parcels and building characteristics (gross surfaces, number of dwellings and of floors), among others.

On the other hand, in order to address information to specific KPIs and thus explore different scenarios and potentialities allowed, the PEDRERA model adopts the innovative methodology of UBEM developed in the urbanZEB tool provided by the CICLICA, partner of the research project [12,13]. UBEMs have emerged in recent years as efficient hybrid of top-down statistical and bottom-up engineering approaches [14–17] and they are expected to become the main planning tool for energy utilities, municipalities, urban planners and other professionals [18–21]. Both the UBEMs and the virtual city maps are considered as the new generation of tools that based on the digital twins concept, allow the analysis and monitoring of large urban areas and built stock [22–25]. The UBEM allows the multilevel integration of several sources of information, the energy simulation of building and characterization of buildings, and as a result, the generation of new essential knowledge and new scenarios for urban regeneration [26–28].
Two main UBEM approaches can be identified from the literature: physical modelling and data-driven modelling that provide automated generation of building energy models through abstraction of building stock by different “building archetypes”, i.e., sample or virtual buildings that characterize subsets of buildings of the same kind [29,30]. With regards to the other existent tools, the joint venture PEDRERA and urbanZEB tool leads to a very dynamic, flexible and definitively accurate data-driven UBEM tool for large-scale prediction. Furthermore, PEDRERA is benchmarking innovative and adaptable refurbishment packages on buildings and supporting the design of successful and effective business models for their large-scale deployment and replication. Based on a quantitative aggregation of data, obtained from different sources (e.g., cadaster, public energy performance certificates, statistical socio-economic local conditions, etc.) the adopted software engine algorithms are already able to both support the calculation of different packages of intervention and provide the simulation of potential scenarios, while dealing with different energy goals (energy efficiency and production), as well costs savings and environmental/welfare co-benefits.

Together these models also enable the analysis of the energy supply and demand in a region; make it possible to develop scenarios; determine a preferred mix of technologies, given certain constraints; simulate behavior of energy producers and consumers in response to prices and other signals, etc.

Nevertheless, based on the lesson learned from similar existent models and software, PEDRERA project aims to build a user-friendly, easy replicable and updatable model that could be useful for energy system transformation and supporting PEDs implementation. For this reason, the number of output (KPIs) handled by the model is reduced to the most relevant information (Table 1). Furthermore, the KPIs are strictly based on each type of actor of the process, thus when specific aspects (e.g., socioeconomic ones) mean the main issue to be considered in the process scenario, prioritization ranking will help to select both the crucial inputs and the drivers to be adopted.

The urbanZEB platform, where PEDRERA model will be implemented, is currently in use and has been adopted to define and support local and national plans and strategies. The results of urbanZEB are accessible through an interactive online platform that allows to consult both the single building level and multiple buildings through mapping, based on graphical data, which include functionalities for comparing scenarios and spatial geographic filtering. The visualization of urban information is in three possible output formats: 3d cartography, database and tables and graphs, while the consultation of urban information is according to three spatial units of analysis: building, census section or neighborhood and municipality. The most relevant experience of implementing urbanZEB so far was the long-term renovation strategy (LTRS) in the Basque Country’s building stock, in 2019. The project challenged an innovative action plan which, for the first time on a regional scale, was based on the building-by-building diagnosis of 1.1 million dwellings, providing a significant advancement in the methodology so far employed for large-scale renovation strategies. At metropolitan scale, the urbanZEB tool was implemented in the Barcelona Metropolitan Area, in order to prioritize the intervention of energy renovation in an area of special vulnerability, formed by more than 200,000 dwellings. The urbanZEB tool’s calculation engine was also used in the Spanish LTRS 2020 for the energy simulation of the archetypes identified, with the aim of obtaining the energy reduction after the intervention in the national stock.

Once implemented, the PEDRERA tool will facilitate the engagement of multiple stakeholders involved in the building renovation programs to make effective and well-informed decisions from a cluster of georeferenced buildings. Indeed, the urbanZEB tool is able to simulate each of the sub-models implemented, and where it is possible to choose among many existing simulation engines or tailor-made models, which fit the characteristics of an application case. Hence, the integration and systematization of the information from multiple domains (e.g., building, energy, economy, financing) is the first step to assess and to manage accurately both the complexity of financing renewal processes at district scale and the interests of each stakeholder. The bottom-up approach of the
urbanZEB tool, dealing with the numerical representation of interconnections between the buildings and the surrounding environment, can assess the needs of several stakeholders—including the final users’ requirement—with a high resolution of outputs. In that case of the PEDRERA model, the accuracy of the simulation engine has been tested and validated to forecast potential scenarios with results that adhere to the market values.

2. Methods

The methodology deployed in this analysis is summarized in Figure 1. The tool framework is drawn according to a step by step interactive approach where a different deepening of input belongs to each phase of the renovation process: (1) data aggregation, (2) leading phase, (3) demand aggregation, (4–5) concept and technical design, (6) construction, (7) use. As described above, the PEDRERA data-driven model is based firstly on the aggregation of the information system that means to: (1) collect and gather the available data from multiple domains; (2) integrate the available data to create data-driven models and scenarios that enable to gain a better understanding of the complex reality—extended to no-directly related building stock information.

According to the interactive approach scheme presented in Figure 1, the data aggregation from multiple domains and sources (Step 1—data aggregation) is arranged in a four main domains framework that will be used to assess the renovation program: business model, environmental, operative and social issues (tags).
Indicators to evaluate the status renovation potential of a particular urban area are supposed to capture and process fair data from multiple sources: energy performance, census, cadaster, building physical characteristics, etc. Each input means an indicator that will be introduced in the algorithms for the calculation of the different KPIs according to the scopes:

- Financial appraisal.
- Renovation strategy.
- Energy community.
- Welfare and security.
- Marketing.

Moreover, socio-economic indicators such as low income and aging population are also observed in order to cluster most intensely certain urban environments as representative of social cohesion as well as useful to design the business models and to evaluate their impact on each scenario. Since they are significantly representative of household vulnerability, they are likewise considered as input of the risk poverty for both the sustainability of the business model, and the renovation strategy to adopt. That information brings together the first collection of input that will be used as basis of knowledge and for the design of the renovation scenarios. For this scope, the different types of sources are defined and listed. Otherwise, inputs are imported, calculated and/or simulated from statistical information for single customized projects.

The aggregated data are systemized according to the sub-categories and scopes of the PEDRERA framework, as described later. All the information is georeferenced to the single buildings according to the above mentioned four “tags” (Figure 2) that make quick and clever the selection and the assessment of potential buildings to be renovated, as well as taking into account the engagement of the stakeholders (agent/user) involved in the process (Step 2—leading) (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Multidimensional model indicators (layers) displayed in the platform and supporting residential building retrofitting programs. Source: authors.
1. Data aggregation

Figure 3. Extract of the PEDRERA model approach expected visualization in the urbanZEB tool: (a) Data aggregation at urban level; (b) leading phase and stakeholder engagement for the cluster of building selection. Source: authors.

Input data are supposed to be automatically gathered from a large number of external data sources parameters (e.g., technical and architectural aspects, weather, demographic/aging condition, income/energy poverty indicators or socio-economic rating, energy demand and consumption data, etc.). Multiple sources of data are available for this scope (open data) and can be freely used, shared and built-on by anyone, anywhere, for any purpose, although each one is managed by a different organization, and collected for a specific purpose (e.g., improving building energy efficiency, evaluating the status of the building stock, etc.). In addition to gathering and integrating the aggregated data, the PEDRERA model algorithm exploits the potential relationships between data to design each renovation measure and to evaluate their impact on all the above listed scopes (Step 3—demand aggregation) (Figure 4).

3. Demand aggregation

Figure 4. Extract of the PEDRERA model approach, expected visualization in the urbanZEB tool. Demand aggregation menu with: (a) the renovation measures input; (b) the integration of aggregated and gathered data for the cost analysis. Source: authors.

Once inputs are collected, systemized and filtered, the model provides the calculation of composite performance output (KPIs), as well as the information on compliance with the targets established by the stakeholders. Both the value and the prioritization rank of each input (attributes and variables to be included in the algorithms) and output KPIs...
will modify the renovation strategy to be adopted and, consequently, the scenarios results (Step 4—concept design). The forecasted scenarios displayed do reflect almost a range of the potential menus of intervention and, thanks to their friendly visualization, will help the exploitation of the results obtained for the next design phases (Steps 5, 6 and 7 of the renovation process), integrating the stakeholders’ requirement and expectations (Figure 5). After the conclusion of the renovation process, the same platform will also enable to update data stored in the repository for future analysis and intervention.

4. Concept Design

Table 1 provides a summary of the inputs required by the PEDRERA model to properly run simulations. Most of the input data for the algorithms are already collected from public sources and from case study sources and integrated in the urbanZEB tool (e.g., cadaster, energy performance certificates and census, among other) by Semantic Web processing.

Each input is systemized in the model framework in order to predicts the suitable output (KPIs) for given inputs. The input’s features are namely: type of issue and type of parameter, indicator, unit, scope (the goal where input is adopted), data source (imported from database, calculated, simulated), scale (building, district, urban area/census unit) and source dataset.

Therefore, the PEDRERA model will run data through a special wizard able to filter input data which are already displayed and shared on the urbanZEB web platform. Through Geographical Information System (GIS), Big Data and Extract, Transform and Load (ETL) techniques these sources are integrated in a Data Warehouse that allows the dynamic crossing of the different levels of information. The connectors will be implemented with API calls, data dump digestion or in web scraping techniques based on the characteristics of the sources. In this way, the processes are configured to cover specific case studies on extended urban areas. The repository is implemented as a PostgreSQL/PostGIS database in order to facilitate displaying the data in map visualizations. Data sources that are not published in a structured format such as CSV, RDF, API REST and XLS, among others, are processed using web scraping techniques. The database file stores all the information resulting from the characterization phase of the project (with all the parameters and indicators) and the prioritization indices. In addition, to facilitate the reading and visualization of the data, an X-ray file (ArcMap) holds the layers of information established in the project and their set of symbols.

Figure 5. Extract of the PEDRERA model approach, expected visualization in the urbanZEB tool: (a) concept design based on financial appraisal and KPIs prioritization; (b) scenario visualization for scenario selection according to priorities from stakeholders. Source: authors.
Table 1. List of main input implemented and managed in the PEDRERA model. Source: authors.

| Issue                  | Parameter                              | Indicators                             | Unit | Scope                      | Data Source          | Scale           | Source Dataset                       |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Business model         |                                        |                                        |      |                            |                      |                 |                                      |
| Building attributes    | Property (public/private ownership)    | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | National cadaster                    |
| Building attributes    | Property ratio (Owners/tenants)        | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | Condominium/Insurance company        |
| Building attributes    | Entities/Dwellings                     | no.                                    |      |                            |                      |                 | National cadaster                    |
| Economies of Scale     | Reduction on contract (tender phase)   | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model +ITEC                  |
| Economies of Scale     | Reduction on PEM (market prize)        | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model +ITEC                  |
| Economies of Scale     | Increment/Reduction on involved entities | %                                     |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Economies of Scale     | Reduction on project fees              | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Economies of Scale     | Increment Market value                 | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | Incasol/AMB/PMRH                      |
| Cost analysis          | VAT (Technical + Operational)          | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Financing amount                       | €                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Operational Cost Breakdown             | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | PPP ratio according renovation process | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Technical Project fees                 | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Operational costs (Fix. + Var.)        | €                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Overhead                               | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Benefits                               | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Public Grant (costs covered by public) | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | ERDF/National EE Fund/PAREER II/IDAE |
| Cost analysis          | Loans years                            | no.                                    |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Yearly TAE                             | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Renovation investment (PEM/Ent.)        | €                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| Cost analysis          | Maintenance cost (costr. elements)     | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | GBCe + BEDEC/CYPE                    |
| Cost analysis          | Maintenance cost (equipments)          | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | GBCe + BEDEC/CYPE                    |
| Cost analysis          | Life span maintenance investment       | years                                  |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| User Types             | UT A (A1, A2, A3, . . .)               | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| User Types             | UT B (vulnerable persons)              | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
| User Types             | UT C (Defaulters)                      | %                                      |      |                            |                      |                 | PEDRERA model                        |
Table 1. Cont.

| Issue               | Parameter             | Indicators | Unit | Scope                      | Data Source                      | Scale                | Source Dataset               |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Architectural       | Character. Floors     | no.        |      |                            |                                  |                      | National cadaster            |
| Architectural       | Character. Roof       | extension  | Sqm  |                            |                                  |                      | National cadaster + AMB/DIBA |
| Architectural       | Character. Envelope   | extension  | Sqm  |                            |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool                |
| Architectural       | Character. Facades    | ratio      | %    |                            |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool                |
| Architectural       | Character. Windows    | ratio      | %    |                            |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool                |
| Architectural       | Character. Building   | cluster    | type | (Age)                     |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool                |
| Architectural       | Character. Building   | cluster    | type | (Use)                     |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool                |
| Building attribute  | Residential/tertiary  | %          |      |                            |                                  |                      | National cadaster / Comdomium/Insur. company |
| Building attribute  | Accessibility         |            |      |                            |                                  |                      | AHC/comdomium/Insurance company |
| Building attribute  | Degradation Condition | Range      |      |                            |                                  |                      | AHC/comdomium/Insurance company |
| Energy character.  | Energy Demand         |            |      |                            |                                  |                      | AMB/DIBA                     |
| Energy character.  | Final energy consumption |            |      |                            |                                  |                      | AMB/DIBA                     |
| Renovation measures | Renovation targets A  | (Env. + Equip. + Struct.) | - |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Renovation measures | Renovation targets B  | (Accessibility) | - |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Renovation measures | Renovation targets C  | (RES production) | - |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Renovation measures | Renovation process    | (TimeSeries) | Months |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model                |
| Climate & Environment | Solar irradiance     | (horizontal surface) | W/Sqm  |                            |                                  |                      | District modelization/Solar atlas |
| Climate & Environment | Solar irradiance     | (vertical surfaces) | W/Sqm  |                            |                                  |                      | District modelization/Solar atlas |
| Energy character.  | Electric consumption  | kWh/y      |      |                            |                                  |                      | Energy Traders               |
| Energy character.  | Installable PV kWp factor | %          |      |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Energy character.  | Ratio PV production   | Sqm/kW      |      |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Energy character.  | Required kW           | kW          |      |                            |                                  |                      | PEDRERA model/UrbanZEB tool |
| Energy character.  | Boundary of the EC    | (Radius < 500 m) | m    |                            |                                  |                      | UrbanZEB tool               |
The inputs are organized according to both tags and specific parameters, and refer to special feature types adopted in the model engine to calculate the KPIs: building attributes, cost analysis, user types, architectural characterization, energy characterization, renovation measures, economies of scale, climate and environment (Figure 6).

As described above, one of the main information required for the definition of potential scenarios is represented by the architectural characterization feature type of the residential stock. For this purpose and with the aim of simplifying the assessment of building performance in large-scale retrofitting programs, different building archetypes are used (Figure 7 and Table 2).

The description of archetypes, which comes from the methodology used in the national Grupo de Trabajo sobre Rehabilitación (GTR) 2011 report and the LTRS 2020—set out in the EPBD 2010/31/EU—allows the understanding of the residential stock based on the segmentation in groups of buildings that present similar conditions and therefore require similar intervention actions [31]. This characterization is focused on setting the parameters that will have the greatest impact on its energy performance, as well as its related weakness, in order to design the best intervention strategy and assess the economic investment required. This phase is mainly based on processing extraction of cadastral data and is available throughout the urban classifications and by generating more than 300 cross variables related to: location, use and areas, type of residential property, year of construction, number of floors, number of dwellings.

In addition, the collected data include the performance of each building element and envelope—facades, internal wall, roofs, floors and ground floors—as well as the incidence form, the windows performance, rate, size and type. In this way it is possible to carry out the geometric modelling of each building, and to define the features and surfaces of each level on façades and roof, detaching patios and interferences with neighboring or surrounding buildings (e.g., shadows cast) that could be adopted for both the energy demand and the renewable energy sources (RES) production KPIs.
Figure 6. Main input implemented and managed in the PEDRERA model framework. The inputs are organized in compliance with the four issues, and each of them is adopted in the algorithms for the evaluation of KPIs according to the scopes. Source: PEDRERA.

Figure 7. Aerial view showing the filters applied on residential buildings, and presenting the 12 archetypes based on year of construction and typology. Source: urbanZEB web platform.
Table 2. List of main building archetypes implemented and managed in the PEDRERA model. Source: urbanZEB web platform.

| Unifamiliar | Plurifamiliar |
|-------------|---------------|
| before 1900 | A             |
| 1901–1940   | B             |
| 1941–1960   | C             |
| 1961–1980   | D             |
| 1981–2007   | E             |
| 2008–2020   | F             |

An overall overview of the construction systems is also made for each building according to the classification into clusters, thus displaying the current building state and enabling the design of post-intervention building scenarios resulting by the application of each intervention menu provided by the model (i.e., input from renovation measures A, B and C as shown previously) (Figure 4, Table 1) within the feature type renovation measures. Simultaneously with the architectural characterization parameters, the energy characterization inputs are focused on defining the current energy level and the potential from reducing the demand and the energy consumption through the intervention for single buildings. As explained above, for the determination of this set of input, the reference values of the national GTR Reports are used as defined by the Technical Building Code (CTE) and the Institute for Diversification and Energy Saving (IDAÉ) [2].

For the calculation of energy costs and CO2 emissions of materials and intervention belonging to a typological cluster, the Catalan database of construction elements (BEDEC) from the Institut de Tecnologia de la Construcció de Catalunya (ITeC) is available and adopted. Furthermore, according to a PEDs perspective, and the positive energy balance referred to a cluster of buildings (Building Portfolio) at the neighborhood scale, the calculation of the energy performance (EP) follows the overarching framework of the EN ISO 52000-1:2017. The new EN ISO 52000 family of standards to assess the EP of buildings offers a great flexibility in the calculation according to different choice of assessment methods defined [32]. Otherwise, a stochastic model supports a wide volume of simulations from the very beginning of the renovation process by using statistical information [33].

A territorial socio-economic index (IST) from Catalonia region provided by the IDESCAT [34] is adopted. This information, based on the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) census, means a synthetic index by small areas (census units) that aggregates in a single value several socio-economic characteristics of the population. That information is very relevant not only for the evaluation of the economic effort allowable by the users or the revolving funds required to be covered by the Public Sector, but also to predict the impact of the user’ profile on electric consumes or load while designing PEDs. The index concentrates information on the employment situation, educational level, immigration and income of all people living in each territorial unit, based on 6 sectoral indicators. The IST is a relative index, with no units of measurement. A reference value for Catalonia region is established equal to 100, thus each unit is valuable in comparison with this average value. Values per decile are also referred: the first decile refers to the areas with the lowest socio-economic level and the tenth decile to that ones with the highest level.

The PEDRERA model provides a list of renovation measures—integrated in the renovation strategy menu—that, based on operative, environmental, economic and social input gathered from the selection of buildings, will enable users to evaluate the estimative metric computation, but also to simulate the energy improvement on the building according to established menu of intervention already available within the model, as well as the other benefits on welfare and security. The renovation measures included in the PEDRERA model are functional in the large-scale refurbishment projects for every cluster of residential buildings selected, as previously described (Figure 1). The menu of intervention refers to 3 main targets of intervention, with a specific type of solution that can be implemented or replaced within the residential buildings:
• Renovation target A (Deep renovation): facades, windows, solar protection, roof, equipment (boilers, heat pumps, lighting, …), structures reinforcements.
• Renovation target B (Accessibility): ramps, lifts and elevators.
• Renovation target C (RES individual/community): solar and PV panel.

With regards to the cost analysis, the inputs are mainly managed within the model instead to be gathered from an external data source. Inputs are finalized to provide specific information on potential investment KPIs and several co-benefits to be achieved through the renewal process, and that are profitable and/or feasible for the actors involved in the process. Hence, the building renovation assessment does address and disclose the co-benefits from the renovation measures adopted and the economies of scale, the RES production, the appreciation in housing value, the increase of building lifespan, the impact on the environment and the improved health benefits for both the households and the healthcare system [28]. At the same time, the data and related benefits motivate and empower all stakeholders and target groups to do action. In this way, the city planners can focus on the most beneficial areas in both energy and quality renovation efforts, while the investors can get better access on the information of building stock, which eases making the financial decisions related to large-scale renovation projects benefits and risks. Then, data increase building owner’s interest on the performance of their buildings when they can compare their consumption and renovation need, and they can see the potential derived from the renovation and the related businesses of energy improvements (e.g., the estimation if the roof renovation can be combined with PV panel installation and the benefits to establish an energy community) as shown in Table 3.

3. Results

Preliminary results obtained by the ongoing PEDRERA project refer to the definition of the conceptual framework of the model and in regards to: (a) data sources aggregation according to the four domains described above; (b) input required for the KPIs calculation (Table 1) that can be assumed to assess different “scopes”. Aligned with this vision, the model is powered by the integration of the processed input for the calculation of the most relevant KPIs (outputs) algorithms according to each process phase and stakeholder’s profile (Table 3).

PEDRERA Model Ouput

Table 3 summarizes the outputs provided by running a typical simulation with urbanZEB tool powered by the PEDRERA model. It must be noted that the outputs are assigned to five main targets related with the scopes: (i) financial appraisal, (ii) renovation strategy, (iii) energy community, (iv) welfare and security, (v) marketing.

In order to complete the panel of KPIs requested from large actions on building stock, the project focuses also on the perspectives of selected target groups that can be considered as key actors for urban development. The main considered stakeholders that would manage scenarios from the data-driven model are mainly:

• **End users**: the owners and tenants, intervening as individuals or as members of communities.
• **Public authorities**: the local and/or regional administrations, responsible of the planning and building regulations and partners in public-private partnerships.
• **Financial institutions**: banks, investors and ESCO companies, which provide private funds for building renovation programs.
• **Property developers**: real estate agencies, building administrators, home insurance companies or other stakeholders (e.g., one-stop shop) attracted from an investment or operational point of view.
Table 3. List of output (KPIs) of the PEDRERA model according to the “scopes” and stakeholder engagement for each phase of the process. Source: authors.

| Outputs (KPIs) | Acronym | Units | Phase | Stakeholder | Scope |
|---------------|---------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|
| End-users contribution | UserCont | € | Leading phase | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Monthly/ rate payments | UserPaym | € | Concept & Techn. design | Construction & Use | 
| End-users savings | UserSave | % | Construction & Use | End-users | 
| Revolving fund grants | RevGrant | € | Construction & Use | Public Authorities | 
| Operational Costs | OpCost | € | Construction & Use | Financial institutions | 
| Early Before Taxes | EBT | € | Construction & Use | Financial Appraisal | 
| Gross. Benefits | GrossBen | % | Construction & Use | Energy Community | 
| Financial Costs | FinanCost | € | Construction & Use | Welfare & Security | 
| Financial Needs | FinanNeed | € | Construction & Use | Marketing | 
| Risk solvency | RiskSolv | % | Construction & Use | End-users | 
| Annualized ROI | AnnROI | % | Construction & Use | Financial developers | 
| Entities involved | #Ent | no. | Construction & Use | Financial Appraisal | 
| Cost renovation target A | PECese | €/Entity, €/Community | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Cost renovation target B | PECacc | €/Entity, €/Community | Construction & Use | 
| Cost renovation target C | PECKWPx | €/Entity, €/Community | Construction & Use | 
| Manag. & maint. costs (Equip.) | Opee | €/Building, €/Community | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Manag. & maint. costs (RES inst.) | Opex | €/Building, €/Community | Construction & Use | 
| Primary energy demand reduction | Pedx | % | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Energy balance (Primary energy) | Bex | ΣkWh monthly, ΣkWh yearly | Concept & Techn. design | 
| GhG emissions reduction | Emx | % TnCO₂ yearly | Concept & Techn. design | 
| EPC implementation (upgrade) | EPCx | Value in range scale | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Installable peak power | PVpx | kWp | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Energy produced per Entity | PVex | kWh hourly, ΣkWh yearly | Concept & Techn. design | 
| Hourly balances per Ent. (Exp.or Surplus) | Rox | kWh hourly | Concept & Techn. design |
The stakeholders will be different beneficiaries of the simulated KPIs according to each phase of the renovation process as reported in Table 3. Due to the analysis of the current state and the model’s accuracy, stakeholders are allowed to influence the model’s computation phase by selecting and modifying the desired settings for both the calculation and data, by providing detailed input. Moreover, according to a wide PEDRERA approach beyond a reliable business tool, the model is meant to offer additional services, empowered by its next implementation into the urbanZEB tool web platform. For this reason, it is necessary to first establish each participant stakeholder and their characteristics clearly in order to effectively define the model results.

With regard to the financial appraisal, the design of the model algorithms has been concluded and tested on real large-scale renovation processes (i.e., the “ACR Pirineus” intervention on 32 buildings in Santa Coloma-Barcelona) [35]. In addition to its validation, the sensitivity analysis of the financial appraisal model was conducted (Figure 8) on 200 scenarios in order to determine how target variables (KPIs) are affected based on changes in other input variables. This simulation analysis refers also to show the outcome of a decision given a certain range of variables of the inputs (e.g., the execution budget (PEM), the number of entities involved in the process, the percentage of grants and of defaulters, the operational cost from the private partner or the financial costs in a shifting PPP model) in order to evaluate, for example, the revolving funds impact on the Public Administration or the payment rates covered by each user type, among others. Since various private and public financial mechanisms for energy renovations in buildings are currently available, the financial appraisal can range from well-established and traditional
mechanisms such as grants, subsidies and loans to emerging new models and other oriented PPP models. The test beds demonstrated that, although public bodies are typically involved in large-scale retrofitting projects, the majority of them are only partially engaged, often playing a role in the subsidy plan or, occasionally, by allowing the legal framework to adapt to local conditions. At the same time, several end-user typologies need to be identified in order to better assess different scenarios and apply the most suitable solutions suited on their profile. For this scope five types of users have been stated according to the number of fees and the duration of the loan (between 5 and 10 years) (Table 4). Due the economic vulnerability of some low-income users to cover the cost of the renovation effort, for this reason a specific grant program has been identified for eligible homeowners (vulnerable persons) who are unable to pay their fees. It consists of the registration of a charge in the Property Register equivalent to the overall fee to be paid. This charge must be paid to the City Council in that case of the transfer of ownership (sale, inheritance or other). Thus, the financial capacity of the Public Sector determines the acceptable limit of the revolving funds.

Table 4. List of user types (UT) adopted in the financial appraisal (Figure 8). Source: authors.

| User Type (UT) | Description                        | Loan Duration (Years) | Number of Payments |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| UT 1          | Mode 2 scheduled payments          | 0                     | 2                  |
| UT 2          | Mode 60 scheduled payments         | 5                     | 60                 |
| UT 3          | Mode 120 scheduled payments        | 10                    | 120                |
| UT 4          | Inscription (for vulnerable persons)| 0                     | 2                  |
| UT 5          | Mode 96 scheduled payments         | 8                     | 96                 |

Figure 8 presents the analysis considering two main KPIs related to the end-user perspective (average monthly payments) and the impact of revolving funds size on the Public Sector to cover vulnerable users (UT4). The graphics shows how the revolving funds will surpass 250 K€ when 3 different scenarios occur: (a) grant is lower than 15% of the total cost; (b) investment is high and the number of entities involved is considerable (more than 250 dwellings); (c) the proportion of UT4 increases from 10% to 20%. The amount of 250 K€ represents the suitable value adopted in the testbed and it is adjustable according to the effort that each Public Sector can assume to support limited number of parallel operations of the renovation process. The baseline scenario shown in Figure 8 represents the end-user and the Public Sector perspective when the conditions of Table 5 occur, referring to the Santa Coloma test bed.

The conclusions of the sensitivity analysis for the economic model demonstrate the model is robust enough to allow for different breakdowns between user types, variations in operational costs, variations in financial costs (i.e., interest rates), investment per dwelling and number of entities involved. In those cases, robustness refers to whether final monthly end-user payments remain lower than 100€ and savings offer incentive to undergo a large-scale retrofitting operation. Moreover, large operations with a high number of entities (i.e., 500) or more vulnerable users that may require access to municipality grants do increase both financial need and municipal resources in terms of operational cost and size of revolving fund. In such cases, the size of the operation can be a limiting factor for the Public Sector. Furthermore, the debt financing in the form of loans represents a more sustainable means of up-scaling energy efficiency investments as loans can provide liquidity and direct access to capital, as well as support the cashflow during the process period. Loans can be more relevant for energy efficiency measures attached to high upfront costs, especially in deep renovation projects which comprise a package of multiple intervention measures. Despite this, the market interest rate (TAE) deviations are included in the model, and the result of the financial appraisal reveals how the fluctuance of interests has a strong impact on the financial cost during the loan period, and how the business model will be consequently affected by the financial cost increase.
4. Discussions and Conclusions

In this paper, we presented a description of the approach, purpose, methods and first results obtained by the ongoing research project PEDRERA focused on the design of a PEDs oriented renovation model. The input and KPIs considered for the sensitive analysis shown in the paper (Tables 1 and 3, and Figure 8) mean the main aspects covered by the PEDRERA model reach each “scope”, according to a wider PED vision where both energy efficiency and production strategies are considered together with the operative, social, economic and financial aspects.

The PEDRERA model is currently being developed with Python, a well-known programming language, the same as the urbanZ EB tool. Once the programming phase will be completed then the software will be fully implemented in the web platform, thus delivering the multiple stakeholders’ engagement in large-scale renovation actions, and will support the prediction of the sustainability and positive outcomes of distinct renovation scenarios.

Other areas of development will go in the direction of the Renovation Wave, with different solutions and services that can help to face challenges in terms of supporting renovation program and seeking for innovative financial frameworks. With this perspective, the PEDRERA model implementation will provide a very comprehensive service able to support and promote renovation actions by multidimensional and dynamic scenarios analysis, as well as the prediction of the potential impacts and benefits from feasible measures both at building and district level. In our future work, we plan to further develop a web platform tool service from the PEDRERA model, in order to boost and design...

**Table 5.** List of input from Santa Coloma test bed, adopted as a baseline in the financial appraisal (Figure 8). Source: authors.

| Parameter | Definition | Acronym | Unit | Values |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|--------|
| Entry data | # Entities | #Ent | no. | 350 |
| Premises (% of Locals) | Prem%_Inc | % | 10% |
| Renovation investment (PEM/Ent) | PEM_Ent | € | 5800 |
| Increment Exec. Works | Work%_Inc | % | 0 |
| Technical Project fees | Tech%_Fee | % | 13% |
| Public Grant (covered by Public Sector) | Grant% | % | 35% |
| Operational costs Fix (50 entities) | Opex_Fix | € | 75,000 |
| Operational costs Var. | Opex_Var | € | 385 |
| Corner Stone OverHead | OvH%(Cs) | % | 40% |
| Corner Stone Benefit | Ben%(Cs) | % | 40% |
Table 5. Cont.

| Parameter                  | Definition                                      | Acronym | Unit | Values               |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|------|----------------------|
| Financial Cost             | Yearly TAE                                      | TAE%(i) | %    | 5%                   |
|                            | VAT Technical and Opex (k = 2, 3)               | VAT%(k2,3) | %    | 21%                  |
|                            | VAT Construction (k = 1)                        | VAT%(k1) | %    | 10%                  |
| Economies of Scale         | Incr. Market value after ref.                   | Market%_Inc | %    | 20%                  |
|                            | Reduction on project fees                       | Proj%_Red | %    | 15%                  |
|                            | Reduction on contract (tender offer)            | Contr%_Red | %    | 15%                  |
|                            | Reduction on PEM (market prize)                 | PEM%_Red | %    | 10%                  |
| OPEX Breakdown             | Phases (Fraction Step)                         | F_Step % | %    | 10%, 30%, 20%, 15%, 25% |
|                            | PS (Public Sector)                              | PPP_PS% | %    | 100%, 30%, 30%, 20%, 30% |
|                            | CS (Corner Stone)                               | PPP_CS% | %    | 0%, 70%, 70%, 80, 70% |
| UTs (User Types)           | Mode 2 scheduled payments                       | UTA (1) | %    | 10%                  |
|                            | Mode 60 scheduled payments                      | UT A (2) | %    | 70%                  |
|                            | Mode 120 scheduled payments                     | UT A (3) | %    | 10%                  |
|                            | Inscription (for vulnerable persons)            | UT B (4) | %    | 10%                  |
|                            | Other                                           | UTA (5) | %    | 0%                   |
|                            | Defaulters                                      | UTC (6) | %    | 5%                   |

4. Discussions and Conclusions

In this paper, we presented a description of the approach, purpose, methods and first results obtained by the ongoing research project PEDRERA focused on the design of a PEDs oriented renovation model. The input and KPIs considered for the sensitive analysis shown in the paper (Tables 1 and 3, and Figure 8) mean the main aspects covered by the PEDRERA model reach each “scope”, according to a wider PED vision where both energy efficiency and production strategies are considered together with the operative, social, economic and financial aspects.

The PEDRERA model is currently being developed with Python, a well-known programming language, the same as the urbanZEB tool. Once the programming phase will be completed then the software will be fully implemented in the web platform, thus delivering the multiple stakeholders’ engagement in large-scale renovation actions, and will support the prediction of the sustainability and positive outcomes of distinct renovation scenarios.

Other areas of development will go in the direction of the Renovation Wave, with different solutions and services that can help to face challenges in terms of supporting renovation program and seeking for innovative financial frameworks. With this perspective, the PEDRERA model implementation will provide a very comprehensive service able to support and promote renovation actions by multidimensional and dynamic scenarios analysis, as well as the prediction of the potential impacts and benefits from feasible measures both at building and district level. In our future work, we plan to further develop a web platform tool service from the PEDRERA model, in order to boost and design large-scale renovation actions as well as engage the different stakeholders in the renovation process. Further steps will be to adopt the platform prototype in ongoing EU-wide efficient retrofitting projects at district level. Specific case studies will be selected to ensure the platform performance is tested under different conditions including climate aspects, boundary conditions, uses, building typologies, intervention levels, conservation conditions and other aspects.
Coherently to the deployment of the Clean Energy Transition and the Driving Urban Transition (relevant to the Renovation Wave), the PEDRERA model already establishes appropriate mechanisms to analyze the interdisciplinary aspects addressed by the energy communities (ECs). This can only be done by leveraging a range of very advanced analysis including urban modelling and interoperability of data as well as information from a spread digitalization of cities. According to this pathway, semantic frameworks will help to spatialize, organize and normalize information, as also making possible the graphic representation, the insertion of algorithmic-logical models as well as the realization of complex questions. Nevertheless, there is still a lack of tools/services oriented to the relevant key stakeholders of the building renovation process. Thus, one of the main contributions of the project regards leading and delivering innovation of energy saving and renewables-related services but also improving the consciousness on renovation projects opportunities from the very beginning in order to force and support large-scale actions.

Given to today’s pressing scenarios of energy transition and climate change, and to the economic worldwide circumstances worsened by the COVID-19 pandemic, the energy poverty is one of the main issues that will profoundly characterize urban environments in the next years. The lack of capital is clearly one of the most pressing issues: although many large-scale private funds are eager to find and finance bankable projects, nevertheless the fragmented nature of the renovation market and actors (at least until solutions to deliver high volumes of renovation are available) hinders their interest and ability to fund building renovation at a large scale. In order to take informed decisions in their respective realms, these stakeholders need to have access to information which suits their knowledge and capacities. Furthermore, the possibilities of using city model maps are inherently unlimited and can be addressed to current and future city issues that may arise.
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