INTRODUCTION

Oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) reduces the risk of injury to the lumbar plexus and psoas muscle compared with direct lumbar interbody fusion (DLIF). It is increasingly used as an alternative to conventional anterior or posterior procedures.12 OLIF is useful for sagittal and coronal balance correction, allowing large cages to be inserted into the disc space while preserving the anterior and posterior longitudinal ligaments.12

In OLIF, the disc height is increased by inserting a large cage into the interbody space. This results in indirect neural decompression by correcting spondylolisthesis, stretching the ligament flavum, and releasing the facet joints. Oliveira et al.5 investigated indirect neural decompression using stand-alone extreme
lateral interbody fusion (XLIF). They reported an increase of 41.9% in disc height, 24.7% in the foraminal area, and 33.1% in the central canal diameter. However, if postoperative subsidence occurred, it reduced the effect of indirect neural decompression.

Many studies have reported that DLIF, XLIF, or OLIF provide efficient indirect decompression by releasing the spinal canal and foraminal areas. However, the study used computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examinations shortly after surgery. There is no research on the long-term effects of indirect decompression.

In our prospective study, we examined the effect of indirect decompression using MRI in the preoperative, early postoperative, and late postoperative periods. In addition, since subsidence frequently occurs after OLIF, we investigated whether subsidence could affect the spinal canal and ligament flavum remodeling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients and Methods

We enrolled 27 patients who underwent OLIF L 4–5 between 2015 and 2018 in this prospective observational study. The study included 27 patients diagnosed with degenerative spondylolisthesis on L4–5 for back pain and radiating pain after more than 6 months of conservative treatment. We included only patients who underwent L4–5 level OLIF to enable consistent measurements. We excluded patients with spinal tumors, infections, vertebral fracture, and revision surgery from the study. The age, sex, and degree of osteoporosis of the patients were examined.

Twenty-seven patients underwent MRI before surgery, within 5 days of surgery (early follow-up), and 10–14 months after surgery (late follow-up). The postoperative results were evaluated by the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and visual analog scale (VAS). Plain radiography was done to evaluate spondylolisthesis and the intervertebral disc height (IVH) preoperatively, 3 days, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months postoperatively (Fig. 1A). IVH was defined as the distance between the superior and inferior endplate at the midpoint of the anteroposterior diameter of the inferior vertebral body.

Subsidence was defined as a decrease in the IVH of more than 2 mm immediately and 12 months after surgery. Patients were divided into subsidence (+) and subsidence (−) groups according to the degree of subsidence.

The fusion rate was graded with the Bridwell fusion grading system (Table 1). Based on this classification system, grade 1–2 was a successful fusion. We evaluated fusion using plain radiography 1 year after surgery.

We obtained informed consent from all the patients. The study was carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Hospital (No. 2014-10-034-001).

2. Surgical Technique

OLIF involves minimally invasive lateral interbody fusion using a left-sided retroperitoneal approach and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation.

Table 1. Fusion grading system

| Grade | Fusion status |
|-------|---------------|
| I     | Completely remodeled with trabeculae across the disc space |
| II    | Graft intact with no lucent lines seen between the graft and adjacent endplates |
| III   | Graft intact, but a radiolucent line is seen between the graft and an adjacent endplate |
| IV    | Lucency along an entire border of the graft or around a pedicle screw or subsidence of the graft |
The intervertebral disc was exposed through an open corridor between the psoas muscle and aorta. The sympathetic chain and ureter were mobilized anteriorly. The procedure was performed using an OLIF system (Medtronic, Memphis, TN, USA), fusion material (Grafton, Medtronic, Memphis, TN, USA), and a percutaneous pedicle screw fixation system (Longitude system, Medtronic, Memphis, TN, USA). We determined the height of the cage to be inserted by using the presurgery CT scan. If the intervertebral disc space was < 6 mm, a 10-mm cage was inserted. If the intervertebral disc space was ≥ 6 mm, a cage 4 mm greater than the disc height was inserted. The indirect decompression effect on the constant disc height was evaluated. We did not perform posterior decompression on any of the patients.

3. Measurement of the Spinal Canal and Ligament Flavum

The MRI scans were acquired on a 1.5-T EXCITE whole-body imaging system (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI, USA). An axial localizing sequence was then done to identify the lumbar L4–5 disc-space intervals. Four slices per level were obtained, with 4.0-mm thickness at 1.0 mm intervals. T2-weighted images were then obtained using the following imaging sequence: repetition time, 3,800 msec; echo times, 102 msec; matrix, 416 × 224; excitations, 4; and field of view, 20 cm. The images were displayed and analyzed using PiView (INFINITT, Seoul, Korea) digital image viewing software. The cross-sectional areas of the spinal canal and ligament flavum area were outlined using a graphic cursor. The thickness of the ligament flavum was averaged by measuring the greatest thickness of the ligament on both sides (Fig. 1B). The areas of the spinal canal and thickness and area of the ligament flavum were measured by MRI at the L4–5 middisc level preoperatively and at < 5 days (early follow-up) and 10–14 months (late follow-up) postoperatively. The radiography measurements and evaluations were performed by 2 other surgeons who reviewed and confirmed the results. The interobserver reliability for measurements was excellent (intra-class correlation coefficient, 0.91; 95% confidence interval, 0.80–0.96).

4. Statistical Analysis

The parametric and nonparametric variables preoperatively and at 3 days, 6 months, and 12 months postoperatively were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance. A post hoc comparison was also performed using the paired t-test. The comparison of variables between the subsidence (+) and subsidence (−) groups preoperatively and at 3 days, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months postoperatively was made using the t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were done using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 21.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

1. Patient Demographics

Of the 27 patients who underwent OLIF at L4–5, 3 (11.1%) were male, and 24 (88.9%) were female. Subsidence occurred in 7 patients (25.9%). The subsidence was 1.5 ± 1.8 mm on average, and it occurred within 5 mm in all patients. The Bridwell fusion grades were grade 1 in 12 patients, grade 2 in 13, and grade 3 in 2. Therefore, the fusion rate was 92.6%, with grades 1–2 regarded as successful fusion (Table 2).

2. Changes in Spondylolisthesis and IVH

After OLIF, the correction of spondylolisthesis was from 5.3 ± 2.3 mm before surgery, to 2.5 ± 1.6 mm at 3 days, 2.5 ± 1.8 mm at 3 months, 2.4 ± 1.7 mm at 6 months, and 2.5 ± 1.8 mm at 12 months postoperatively (p < 0.001). After OLIF, the IVH changed

| Table 2. Patient demographic data |
|-------------------------------|
| Variable                       | Value   | p-value |
| Age (yr)                       | 66.0 ± 9.1 |        |
| Sex                            |          |        |
| Male                           | 3 (11.1) |        |
| Female                         | 24 (88.9)|        |
| Osteoporosis                   | 6 (22.2)|        |
| Subsidence > 2 mm              | 7 (25.9)|        |
| Fusion rate                    | 25 (92.6)|        |
| Spondylolisthesis (mm)         |          |        |
| Preoperative                   | 5.3 ± 2.3| < 0.001|
| 3 Days after surgery           | 2.5 ± 1.6| < 0.001|
| 3 Months after surgery         | 2.5 ± 1.8| < 0.001|
| 6 Months after surgery         | 2.4 ± 1.7| < 0.001|
| 12 Months after surgery        | 2.5 ± 1.8| < 0.001|
| Intervertebral height (mm)     |          |        |
| Preoperative                   | 8.2 ± 2.4| < 0.001|
| 3 Days after surgery           | 13.6 ± 1.5| < 0.001|
| 3 Months after surgery         | 13.4 ± 1.5| < 0.001|
| 6 Months after surgery         | 12.5 ± 1.6| < 0.001|
| 12 Months after surgery        | 12.2 ± 1.6| < 0.001|

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). *Compared to preoperative.
from 8.2 ± 2.4 mm preoperatively to 13.6 ± 1.5 mm at 3 days, 13.4 ± 1.5 mm at 3 months, 12.5 ± 1.6 mm at 6 months, and 12.2 ± 1.6 mm at 12 months postoperatively (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2).

3. Changes in the Spinal Canal

After OLIF, the spinal canal area gradually increased from 78.5 ± 42.8 mm$^2$ preoperatively, 112.5 ± 47.8 mm$^2$ at early follow-up, and 151.8 ± 62.4 mm$^2$ at late follow-up postoperatively (p < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

4. Changes of Ligament Flavum

After OLIF, the ligament flavum thickness gradually decreased from 4.2 ± 1.1 mm preoperatively to 3.7 ± 0.9 mm at early follow-up and 2.6 ± 0.7 mm at late follow-up postoperatively (p < 0.001). In addition, the ligament flavum area gradually decreased from 123.5 ± 37.1 mm$^2$ before surgery to 102.2 ± 28.3 mm$^2$ at early follow-up and 70.1 ± 26.1 mm$^2$ at late follow-up (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4).

5. Changes of the Spinal Canal and Ligament Flavum According to Subsidence (> 2 mm)

The spinal canal area of the subsidence (+) and subsidence (−) groups were compared between the preoperative and follow-up periods. The spinal canal area was 81.7 ± 48.8 mm$^2$ in subsidence (+), 77.4 ± 42.9 mm$^2$ in subsidence (−) at preoperative. At early postoperative follow-up, the spinal canal area was 110.8 ± 48.9 mm$^2$ in subsidence (+) and 113.2 ± 49.8 mm$^2$ in subsidence (−). At the late postoperative follow-up, the spinal canal area of subsidence (+) was 121.4 ± 52.7 mm$^2$ and subsidence (−) was 162.5 ± 64.8 mm$^2$ (p > 0.05).

Fig. 2. Changes of spondylolisthesis and intervertebral height after surgery. Preop, preoperative; POD, postoperative day; POM, postoperative month. **p < 0.05.

Fig. 3. Changes in the spinal canal area after surgery. Preop, preoperative; POD, postoperative day; POM, postoperative month. **p < 0.05.

Fig. 4. Changes in the ligament flavum area (A) and thickness (B) after surgery. Preop, preoperative; POD, postoperative day; POM, postoperative month. **p < 0.05.
The ligament flavum thickness and area of the subsidence (+) and subsidence (−) groups were compared between the preoperative and follow-up periods. The ligament flavum thickness was 4.2 ± 0.6 mm in subsidence (+) and 4.2 ± 1.2 mm in subsidence (−). And at early postoperative follow-up, it was 3.6 ± 0.7 mm in subsidence (+) and 3.7 ± 1.0 mm in subsidence (−). There was no statistical difference in subsidence (+) of 2.6 ± 0.6 mm and subsidence (−) of 2.6 ± 0.8 mm at late follow-up after surgery (p > 0.05). The ligament flavum area was 111.9 ± 34.5 mm² in subsidence (+) and 127.6 ± 38.9 mm² in subsidence (−) preoperatively. It was 92.5 ± 20.3 mm² in subsidence (+) and 105.6 ± 31.0 mm² in subsidence (−) at early follow-up. There was no statistical difference in ligament flavum area at late follow-up after surgery: 72.4 ± 20.2 mm² in subsidence (+) and 69.3 ± 29.0 mm² in subsidence (−) (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

6. Clinical Outcomes
The ODI was 27.6 ± 4.7 preoperatively, 13.5 ± 4.8 at 3 months, 13.4 ± 5.2 at 6 months, and 11.1 ± 4.5 at 12 months postoperatively. ODI improved significantly at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively (p < 0.001). The VAS-leg was 7.2 ± 1.1 preoperatively, 1.8 ± 1.2 at 3 months, 2.0 ± 1.5 at 6 months, and 1.5 ± 1.3 at 12 months postoperatively. The VAS-back was 4.2 ± 1.5 preoperatively, 2.7 ± 1.4 at 3 months, 2.8 ± 1.5 at 6 months, and 2.4 ± 1.3 at 12 months postoperatively. VAS-leg and -back improved significantly at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively (p < 0.001) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION
There has been much research into indirect neural decompression in lateral lumbar interbody fusion (XLIF, DLIF, and OLIF).4,5,11 These procedures allow the insertion of large cages at intervertebral disc space. As a result, the height of the intervertebral disc can be increased. The indirect neural decompression is effective because the spinal canal and the neural fora-
expansion of 14%–54%. A systematic review found that the central canal area increased by 25.4%, and the central canal diameter increased by 33.1%. In our study, the spinal canal area increased by 43.3% at early follow-up and 93.4% at late follow-up. This change in the spinal canal area is caused by a reduction of the disc bulge, stretching of a buckled ligament flavum, atrophy of ligament flavum due to spinal stability, facet joint release, and spondylolisthesis correction. These changes occur early or late. Early effects come from stretching the disc annulus and ligament flavum, correction of the spondylolisthesis, and release of the facet joints. The late effects are caused by atrophy of the disc annulus and ligament flavum.

In our study, we found that ligament flavum was remodeled by stretching and atrophy after OLIF. Ohtori et al. reported a ligament flavum changes from 150 mm² to 78 mm² after anterior lumbar interbody fusion. This study was conducted with an inconsistent periods MRI scan within 10 years of surgery. In contrast, our study demonstrated the stretching effect of the ligament flavum in the early follow-up (<5 days) MRI and the atrophic changes in the ligament flavum resulting from spinal stability through the late follow-up (10–14 months) MRI. The results showed the thickness and area of ligament flavum decreased by 11.9% and 17.2% from stretching (early effect) and decreased by 29.7% and 29.5% because of atrophic change (late effect) after OLIF (Fig. 5).

Hypertrophy and buckling of the ligament flavum are the leading causes of spinal stenosis. Hypertrophy of the ligament flavum leads to fibrosis due to a decrease in elastic fibers and an increase in collagen fibers. Altinkaya et al. suggest that buckling of the ligament flavum influences the ligament flavum thickness in spinal stenosis. In our study, as the buckling of the ligament flavum was released early follow-up, the area of the spinal

Fig. 5. (A, D) Two cases of L4–5 oblique lumbar interbody fusion. Panels A–C are the same patients, panels D–F are the same patient. Central spinal stenosis in preoperative axial magnetic resonance images (B, E), early (postoperative 2 days), late (postoperative 12 months) axial images (C, F). In panels B and E, the area of the foramen and spinal canal were enlarged by facet joint release (arrows) and ligament flavum and disc annulus stretching (early effects). In panels C and F, the area of the foramen and spinal canal were widened by atrophy of the ligament flavum and disc annulus (late effects).
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Fig. 6. Early and late effects of remodeling of the spinal canal and ligament flavum (LF) after oblique lumbar interbody fusion in degenerative spondylolisthesis.
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Early and late effects of remodeling of the spinal canal and ligament flavum (LF) after oblique lumbar interbody fusion in degenerative spondylolisthesis.

canal increased, and the thickness and area of the ligament flavum decreased. Mechanical stress affects hypertrophy of the ligament flavum. Since the surgical site was stabilized by fusion and fixation, the decrease of mechanical stress on the ligament flavum led to its late atrophy (Fig. 6). However, the effect of indirect decompression of OLIF on spinal stenosis caused by calcified discs and disc fragments has not yet been clearly elucidated.

A previous study reported an incidence of subsidence after lateral lumbar interbody fusion of 13.8%; however, the incidence of subsidence gradually decreased because of the use of wider cages and the improvement in surgical techniques. However, subsidence still occurred at 25.9% in this study. We investigated whether subsidence after OLIF caused deterioration of the indirect neural decompression. The subsidence causes a decrease in the stretching effect on the ligament flavum and a reduction in spondylolisthesis correction. In our study, subsidence ranged from 2.0 to 4.4 mm. Applying the evaluation of cage subsidence described by Marchi et al., subsidence was classified using the following scale: grade 0 (0%–24%), grade I (25%–49%), grade II (50%–74%), and grade III (75%–100%) loss of postoperative disc height. All patients in our study had grade 0 or I (low-grade subsidence). Thus the occurrence of low-grade subsidence did not affect the spinal canal area. It also did not affect the thickness and area of the ligament flavum. However, high-grade subsidence (> 5 mm) might affect the indirect neural decompression effect by reducing disc height and thus the neural foramen.

Also, Lang et al. found that the use of 26 mm wide cages in OLIF significantly reduced the incidence of subsidence compared to 18- and 22-mm cages. The use of larger cages may preserve the indirect neural decompression effects by a reduction in the incidence of subsidence.

Our study showed similar results to others for the release of the spinal canal. However, we confirmed the remodeling of the spinal canal and ligament flavum by examining serial MRIs. In addition, the remodeling effect of the spinal canal and ligament flavum was not affected even if low-grade subsidence occurred (2–5 mm).

The main limitation of our study is its small sample size. However, only patients who underwent a single level of surgery, L4–5, were selected to enable a direct comparison of the effects of indirect neural decompression. Longer follow-up observations may be necessary, but a 1-year follow-up has yielded adequate results. In addition, as the disc height increases for each patient, the area of the spinal canal increases, and the ligament flavum
stretching effect may be different. However, we tailored the height of the cage to the patient, using CT, before surgery.

**CONCLUSION**

After OLIF, the spinal canal and ligament flavum undergo remodeling over time. A decrease in the ligament area and thickness were induced by ligament flavum stretching and atrophy. In addition, changes in the spinal canal area were caused by increased disc height, spondylolisthesis correction, and changes in the ligament flavum. In addition, low-grade subsidence after OLIF did not affect the changes in the spinal canal and ligament flavum.
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