Influence of the ventilatory mode on acute adverse effects and facial thermography after noninvasive ventilation
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects and the variation in the temperature of facial skin by thermography after the use of noninvasive ventilation (NIV). Methods: We included 20 healthy volunteers receiving NIV via oronasal mask for 1 h. The volunteers were randomly divided into two groups according to the ventilatory mode: bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) or continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). Facial thermography was performed in order to determine the temperature of the face where it was in contact with the mask and of the nasal dorsum at various time points. After removal of the mask, the volunteers completed a questionnaire about adverse effects of NIV. Results: The incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects were higher in the individuals receiving BiPAP than in those receiving CPAP (16.1% vs. 5.6%). Thermographic analysis showed a significant cooling of the facial skin in the two regions of interest immediately after removal of the mask. The more intense acute adverse effects occurred predominantly among the participants in whom the decrease in the mean temperature of the nasal dorsum was lower (14.4% vs. 7.2%). The thermographic visual analysis of the zones of cooling and heating on the face identified areas of hypoperfusion or reactive hyperemia. Conclusions: The use of BiPAP mode was associated with a higher incidence and intensity of NIV-related acute adverse effects. There was an association between acute adverse effects and less cooling of the nasal dorsum immediately after removal of the mask. Cutaneous thermography can be an additional tool to detect adverse effects that the use of NIV has on facial skin.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in acute respiratory failure is associated with a reduced need for tracheal intubation, decreased length of hospital stay, and decreased mortality.1-3 NIV intolerance is one of the reasons for NIV failure.4,5 NIV failure can occur in 5-40% of cases, being associated with a 4-fold greater likelihood of in-hospital mortality—NIV failure (OR = 3.95; 95% CI: 1.74-8.99).6 Interface-related problems are the most common adverse effects, accounting for 50-100% of all complications, such as excessive air leaks, discomfort caused by air pressure on the face, claustrophobia, rebreathing of carbon dioxide, skin lesions, facial pain, and oronasal dryness.7 Acute adverse effects are related to the type of mask and the pressure settings.8,9 Rates for the incidence of facial skin lesions resulting from the use of NIV masks range from 10-31% in adults.10 Pediatric studies have reported a 60% incidence of pressure ulcers associated with the use of medical devices, including masks for NIV.11 The incidence of pressure ulcers on the face and in the area of the nasal dorsum has been reported to be approximately 17%.12 The area of the nasal dorsum, with its scarce subcutaneous cellular tissue and its poor vascularization, is more likely to develop severe skin lesions because it is subject to greater contact pressure with the oronasal mask.13 Infrared skin thermometry or thermography is the most efficient means to study skin temperature distribution, by means of measurement of the temperature variations caused by greater or lesser irrigation of the microvascular territory.14,15 Thermography has contributed to the diagnosis and intensity monitoring of various conditions in which skin temperature may reflect an inflammatory process in the underlying tissues or may indicate where the blood flow may increase or decrease.16

The hypotheses of the present study were as follows: NIV-related variables (ventilatory mode and pressure settings) have an effect on local skin microcirculation in the zones of contact between the skin and the mask, changing the temperature levels of the facial skin tissue in these zones; and these possible temperature changes in the contact areas are measurable by infrared thermography and can be related to acute adverse effects.
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The objective of the present study was to compare the incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects and the variation in facial skin temperature between NIV modes—continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) vs. bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP)—and to analyze the relationship between acute adverse effects of the application of NIV via oronasal mask and the variations in facial skin temperature in two areas: the zones of contact between the face and the mask; and the nasal dorsum.

METHODS

This was a prospective, analytical intervention study in humans. It was carried out in the Respiratory Laboratory of the Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC, Federal University of Ceará) between March of 2014 and December of 2015. The sample consisted of 20 individuals (18-45 years of age) who were selected by convenience from among the participants in the Respiratory Laboratory and the student body of the UFC campus and who agreed to participate in the study. There were no dropouts or exclusions. All individuals participated in ventilatory mode randomization. The exclusion criteria were as follows: being under dermatological treatment for skin lesions or making use of creams or any type of chemical substance on the face; being on corticosteroids, anti-inflammatory drugs, or antihistamines; having an axillary temperature outside the normal range (36.5°C-36.8°C); having excessive sun exposure, which was previously defined by the researchers as direct, unprotected sun exposure for more than 30 min before starting the experiment; and having a neurological disease, diabetes mellitus, nutritional disorders, or dehydration. The study was submitted to and approved by the UFC Research Ethics Committee (CAAE 20060113.4.0000.5045).

Study protocol

All participants were assessed by the same dermatologist of the UFC Department of Clinical Medicine, who used a specific, dermatological assessment form to check skin integrity and determine skin type and subsequently performed the first thermographic measurement.

Randomization was performed as follows: 20 labels reading either BiPAP or CPAP were placed into an opaque container; and the participants themselves drew a label out of the container.

After this randomization, the ventilator was set up—CPAP = 10 cmH₂O or BiPAP; expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) = 5 cmH₂O; inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP) = 20 cmH₂O; and pressure support = 15 cmH₂O—and the choice of interface to minimize air leak was made on the basis of the anatomical characteristics of the volunteer’s face. The Mirage Quattro FX mask (ResMed, Bella Vista, Australia), which is an oronasal interface equipped with an exhalation valve, was the model made available to the participants.

Subsequently, the mask was gently placed on the participant’s face and was connected to the NIV ventilator; the entire system was checked for the presence of leaks, and the necessary corrections were made.

We used two dedicated, single-limb NIV ventilators that can be set in CPAP mode and BiPAP mode, both equipped with a memory card to record data during therapy, without a humidifier. The Auto VPAP (ResMed) was set in BiPAP mode, with an EPAP of 5 cmH₂O and an IPAP of 20 cmH₂O; the Autoset II (ResMed) was set in CPAP mode, with a fixed pressure of 10 cmH₂O.

The individual remained seated for an initial 10-min period of adaptation to NIV, which was then continued for an additional 50 min, totaling 60 min of NIV.

Immediately after removal of the mask, the second thermographic measurement was performed, and, to prevent any kind of influence by the team, the participants then viewed their own faces in a high-definition digital photographic image in order to complete a questionnaire about NIV-related adverse effects, with a score ranging from zero (no problem) to three (intense problem). The adverse effects were grouped into three categories: skin lesions; pain; and other adverse effects (Appendix 1; available in the online version of the JBP; http://www.jornaldepneumologia.com.br/detalhe_anexo.asp?id=49). Subsequently, thermographic images were taken at 5, 15, and 30 min after removal of the mask, with the participants in the same posture and under the same conditions as those of the previous measurements.

The memory cards of the ventilators were read with ResScan software (ResMed) in order to record leaks occurring around the mask.

The thermographic images taken with a VarioCAM thermographic camera (InfraTec, Jena, Germany) were stored in the memory card of the camera and analyzed with IRBIS® Professional analysis software for thermographic images, version 2.2 (InfraTec). The thermographic images were converted and transferred to MATLAB® simulation software, version 2015a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). On the basis of a reference point established by a piece of styrofoam glued between the participant’s eyebrows (Figure 1A), the regions of interest in the original images were cut out in the shape of a trapezoid (Figures 1B and 1C). By means of a specific script, a color-based comparative analysis of the temperature variation in the zones of contact between the skin and the mask was carried out by superimposing the images taken at each of the time points after removal of the mask on those taken at baseline. The room where the protocols were performed was kept well lit at a temperature of 22°C (range, 21.5°C-22.5°C) and at a relative humidity of 60% (range, 57.94%-60.26%). The variation in room temperature did not exceed 1°C over the 20-min period.

Statistical analysis of variables

For descriptive statistics, means and standard deviations or medians and interquartile ranges were
calculated for quantitative variables, depending on the sample distribution. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies. Categorical parameters were compared by means of Fisher’s exact test. Since the scoring of adverse effects resulted from a subjective finding by each volunteer, we chose to group the adverse effects into three categories.\(^\text{18}\)

The variation in mean temperature was compared between the two ventilatory modes (CPAP vs. BiPAP) and between the two regions of interest (zone of contact between the nasal dorsum and the mask vs. zone of contact between the face and the mask) by ANOVA, depending on the normality of test results. In addition, Pearson’s correlation coefficient between skin temperature and adverse effects was analyzed. The level of statistical significance required to reject the null hypothesis was set at 5% (\(p < 0.05\)).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the participant demographic characteristics and the room environmental conditions, by ventilatory mode. The sample consisted of 20 volunteers with a mean age of 28.15 ± 8.08 years (95% CI: 20.07-36.23); the anthropometric characteristics (mean BMI of 23.57 ± 2.51 kg/m\(^2\) and mean axillary temperature of 36.39°C) were homogeneous. The room environmental conditions were kept under control (mean relative humidity of 59.1 ± 11.6% and mean room temperature of 22.1 ± 0.31°C). The mean air leak measured by the NIV ventilator during NIV was 2.30 ± 3.19 L/min.

Dermatological assessment showed no dermatological lesions, facial malformations, or changes in touch or pain sensitivity. Skin elasticity was preserved. The oval face shape predominated, being found in 80% of the individuals receiving CPAP and in 90% of those receiving BiPAP. Skin color was light brown in 80% of the volunteers. Skin type was oily in 70% of the individuals.

Table 2 shows the results of the scores for adverse effects in three predefined categories, by ventilatory mode. The effects causing a greater degree of problem or discomfort during BiPAP ventilation were pruritus on the nose, claustrophobia, dryness, and nasal congestion, whereas, during CPAP ventilation, none of the adverse effects reached the maximum degree of discomfort.

When comparing the participants’ answers regarding the intensity of each adverse effect individually, by ventilatory mode, we found no significant differences; however (Figure 2A), when grouping the participants’ answers to all categories of adverse effects, we found a significant difference in occurrence of the more intense adverse effects in BiPAP mode. We significantly found that moderate and intense adverse effects (scores 2 and 3) were more common immediately after removal of the mask in the group of participants in whom there was no reduction in the temperature of the nasal dorsum (Figure 2B). There was a statistically significant decrease in the mean temperature of the nasal dorsum, as compared

---

**Table 1.** Participant demographic characteristics and room environmental conditions, by ventilatory mode.*

| Characteristic                  | BiPAP (n = 10) | CPAP (n = 10) | Total (N = 20) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age, years                     | 27.4 ± 9.20   | 28.9 ± 7.20   | 28.15 ± 8.08  |
| Weight, kg                     | 66.3 ± 11.09  | 69.38 ± 16.84 | 67.84 ± 13.97 |
| Height, m                      | 1.69 ± 0.07   | 1.68 ± 0.12   | 1.68 ± 0.09   |
| BMI, kg/m\(^2\)                | 22.92 ± 2.13  | 24.23 ± 2.80  | 23.57 ± 2.51  |
| Axillary temperature, °C       | 36.4 ± 0.39   | 36.39 ± 0.29  | 36.39 ± 0.34  |
| Male gender, n (%)             | 5 (50)        | 5 (50)        | 10 (50)       |
| Relative humidity, %           | 59.3 ± 1.16   | 58.9 ± 1.19   | 59.1 ± 1.16   |
| Room temperature, °C           | 22.14 ± 0.30  | 22.06 ± 0.33  | 22.1 ± 0.31   |
| Air leak, L/min                | 2.16 ± 1.56   | 2.83 ± 4.31   | 2.32 ± 3.19   |

BiPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; and CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure. *Values expressed as mean ± SD, except where otherwise indicated.

---

Figure 1. Conventional photograph (in A) and thermographic images of the areas of contact between the face and the mask (in B) and between the nasal dorsum and the mask (in C).
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with baseline, immediately, at 5 min, at 15 min, and at 30 min after removal of the mask. Figure 3A depicts the mean temperature in the areas of contact between the face and the mask and between the nasal dorsum and the mask before and immediately after 60 min of NIV, as well as at 5, 15, and 30 min after removal of the mask. We observed a significantly different behavior between the two areas. In the area of contact between the face and the mask immediately after removal of the mask, there was a decrease in temperature; however, at the subsequent time points (at 5, 15, and 30 min after removal of the mask), there was a trend toward a return to baseline values. In the area of contact between the nasal dorsum and the mask, there was a decrease in mean temperature immediately after removal of the mask, and the cooling persisted at the subsequent time points. Figure 3B depicts the temperature variation in the area of the nasal dorsum by ventilatory mode. We observed that the decrease in temperature persisted in CPAP mode.

In the qualitative assessment (Figure 4) of the superimposed images taken before NIV and at each of the other time points (immediately, at 5 min, at 15 min, and at 30 min after removal of the mask), we found that the blue color (maximum cooling) predominated in CPAP mode and the red color (maximum heating) predominated in BiPAP mode.

There were no statistically significant correlations between adverse effects and the temperature variation in the two regions of interest when Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used (See table in Appendix 2; available in the online version of the JBP; http://www.jornaldepneumologia.com.br/detalhe_anexo.asp?id=49).

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects resulting from the use of NIV (claustrophobia, dryness, and nasal congestion) were higher in the participants receiving BiPAP than in those receiving CPAP. Thermographic analysis of the oronasal region showed cooling in the area of contact between the facial skin and the mask and in the area of the nasal dorsum immediately after removal of the mask. The area of the nasal dorsum varied less relative to the baseline temperature, showing less cooling than the zone of contact between the facial skin and the mask. In the area of contact between the facial skin and the mask, the temperature gradually returned to its baseline value, that is, it increased at the subsequent time points. Visual analysis of the zones of cooling and heating on the face over time showed coexisting areas of hypoperfusion or reactive hyperemia from acute use of NIV after removal of the mask, with a trend toward an increase in temperature in the group receiving BiPAP.

The more intense acute adverse effects assessed immediately after removal of the mask occurred

### Table 2. Classification of adverse effect intensity in the participants, by ventilatory mode.

| Adverse effect                   | BiPAP (n = 10) | Ventilatory mode | CPAP (n = 10) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                                  | No or mild     | Moderate or     | No or mild   | Moderate or |
|                                  |                | intense         |              | intense     |
| Category 1 – Skin lesions        |                |                 |              |             |
| Erythema on the cheek            | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Erythema on the nasal dorsum     | 5 (50)         | 5 (50)          | 6 (60)       | 4 (40)      |
| Erythema on the chin             | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Pruritus on the cheek            | 8 (80)         | 2 (20)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Pruritus on the nose             | 7 (70)         | 3 (30)          | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Pruritus on the chin             | 8 (80)         | 2 (20)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Category 2 – Pain                |                |                 |              |             |
| Nasal dorsum                     | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Ear                              | 8 (90)         | 2 (20)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Paranasal sinuses                | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Cheek                            | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Chin                             | 10 (100)       | 0 (0)           | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Head                             | 10 (100)       | 0 (0)           | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Category 3 – Other adverse effects|              |                 |              |             |
| Claustrophobia                   | 9 (90)         | 1 (0)           | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Air leak                         | 8 (80)         | 2 (20)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Dryness                          | 6 (60)         | 4 (40)          | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Nasal congestion                 | 8 (80)         | 2 (20)          | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |
| Respiratory distress             | 9 (90)         | 1 (10)          | 9 (90)       | 1 (10)      |
| Chest discomfort                 | 10 (100)       | 0 (0)           | 10 (100)     | 0 (0)       |

BiPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; and CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure. *Data expressed as n (%).
among the participants in whom the nasal dorsum skin showed less cooling.

The present study is the first to use thermographic analysis of the facial skin before and after the use of NIV at various time points and to test the association of these findings, for BiPAP and CPAP, with acute adverse effects. The facial skin temperature data of the present study are consistent with those obtained by Haddad et al.\(^9\) demonstrating the validity of our methodology.

Holanda et al.\(^8\) studied three types of masks and the acute adverse effects related to their use by comparing two different NIV pressure settings in healthy individuals: a lower pressure setting (IPAP = 11 cmH\(_2\)O and EPAP = 6 cmH\(_2\)O); and a higher pressure setting (IPAP = 15 cmH\(_2\)O and EPAP = 10 cmH\(_2\)O). The increase in pressure increased the incidence of acute adverse effects for the three masks. It is possible to infer, in the present study, BiPAP was associated with a higher incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects, as compared with CPAP, probably because of higher levels of IPAP (20 cmH\(_2\)O vs. 10 cmH\(_2\)O).

Although microcirculation in the area of contact between the mask and the skin was not subjected to direct measurement, it may have been compromised by decreased blood supply (immediately after removal of the mask), which would result in cooling of the contact area, probably caused by pressure ischemia; subsequently, the temperature returned to its baseline values or even surpassed them, resulting in heating of the area, presumably because of reactive hyperemia. In the area of the nasal dorsum, initially there was a smaller decrease in mean temperature relative to the baseline temperature. On average, there was no return to the baseline values (before NIV); however, in some individuals, heating occurred in this area,
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The phenomenon of reactive hyperemia would explain the findings of the present study, or the poor vascularization of the cutaneous territory of the nasal dorsum would be a reason for the smaller impact of the mask pressure on the temperature variation in this area. Visual analysis of the zones of cooling and heating on the face over time showed that the response to the application of NIV is quite individual and varied.

Capillary perfusion pressure plays an important role in skin tissue integrity. The pressures leading to capillary collapse usually range from 12 to 32 mmHg or from 20 to 40 cmH₂O. Direct application of a higher pressure than capillary closing pressure on the skin and soft tissues will cause hypoxia in the area, culminating in tissue ischemia and anoxia. By removing pressure after a short period of time, blood flow is restored through reactive hyperemia. If there is prolonged, persistent application of pressure, the risk for ischemic injury increases, which might cause the onset of a pressure ulcer. In the present study, there was a higher incidence of more intense acute adverse events in the group of participants with a smaller decrease in the mean temperature of the

Figure 4. Qualitative assessment of the superimposed images taken before noninvasive ventilation and at each of the other time points: immediately, at 5 min, at 15 min, and at 30 min after removal of the mask. The visual color bar scale ranging from maximum cooling (blue) to maximum heating (red) was used for each superimposed image. BiPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; and CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure.
nasal dorsum, emphasizing the predisposition of this particular area to skin perfusion disturbances. Souto et al.\textsuperscript{(13)} emphasized that the oronasal mask has several areas that are subject to critical tension values for the development of skin lesions, the most relevant being in the upper lateral region of the nose.

Weng,\textsuperscript{(22)} seeking preventive measures against the development of skin lesions in patients using face masks for BiPAP ventilation, found a proportion of 96% of stage I pressure ulcers with hyperemia on the nasal dorsum, which is in line with data from clinical studies in terms of the susceptibility of the area of the nasal dorsum to skin lesions, including its anatomical characteristic of a thin layer of poorly vascularized epidermis covering the bone surface.

We concluded that the incidence and intensity of acute adverse effects were higher in the participants receiving BiPAP ventilation. There was an association between acute adverse effects of the application of NIV via oronasal mask and less cooling or greater reactive hyperemia in the area of the nasal dorsum after removal of the mask. Thermography can be an additional tool to detect skin areas at high risk of developing skin lesions.

Methodological rigor in preparing the environment and the participants and a literature review on the optimal conditions to perform thermography are the strengths of this study. However, the study has limitations. The sample was small, involving healthy participants, and the results would probably be different in situations in which other risk factors (age, nutritional status, medication use, presence of comorbidities, and level of consciousness) were present.\textsuperscript{(12,21,23)} Another important limitation was the lack of a control group receiving heated humidification.\textsuperscript{(24,25)}

The practical implication is to underscore the importance of monitoring acute adverse effects on the skin of patients receiving NIV. The use of pressure masks is associated with the development of facial skin lesions, probably because of impaired perfusion.\textsuperscript{(26-29)} Thermography would be an additional tool for more accurate determination of facial skin areas at high risk of NIV-related pressure lesions or ulcers. Therefore, the results stimulate interest in future clinical trials assessing this perspective.
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