Identification of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Gene Polymorphisms and Their Association With Fat Deposition-Related Traits in Hu Sheep
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Fat deposition is an important economic trait that is closely related to feed efficiency and carcass performance in livestock. In this study, the fat deposition-related traits of 1,293 Hu sheep were measured and descriptive statistical analysis was conducted. The results showed that the coefficient of variation of all fat deposition-related traits was higher than 24%. In addition, single nucleotide polymorphisms and the expression characteristics of TRAPPC9 (encoding trafficking protein particle complex subunit 9) and BAIAP2 (encoding brain-specific Angiogenesis inhibitor 1-associated protein 2) genes in Hu sheep were detected using PCR amplification, Sanger sequencing, KASPar genotyping, and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). The associations between SNPs and fat deposition-related traits were also analyzed. Two intronic mutations, TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T, were identified in Hu sheep. The result of association analysis showed that TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T were both significantly associated with the weight of tail fat, tail fat relative weight (body weight), and tail fat relative weight (carcass) (P < 0.05). Comprehensive effects analysis showed that there were significant differences between the combined genotypes and tail fat and perirenal fat deposition. Moreover, qRT-PCR analysis showed that TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 are widely expressed, and their expression levels were significantly higher in the small-tail group compared with those in the big-tail group (P < 0.01). These results provided important candidate molecular markers that could be used in strategies to reduce tail fat deposition in Hu sheep.

Keywords: Hu sheep, TRAPPC9, BAIAP2, fat deposition related traits, qRT-PCR
INTRODUCTION

Hu sheep are one of the important livestock breeds in Taihu Lake Basin region of China. They have the advantages of high reproductive performance (long estrus period, average litter size 2.06), fast growth and development performance, strong environmental adaptability, and good lactation performance (1, 2). Nowadays, sheep can be classified into five types on the basis of their tail size: short fat-tailed sheep, long fat-tailed sheep, short thin-tailed sheep, long thin-tailed sheep, and fat-rumped sheep (3). The important fat-tailed breed of sheep was first recorded 5,000 years ago (4). Hu sheep belong to short fat-tailed sheep (3). Adipose tissue plays a vital role in maintaining the balance of homeostatic metabolic processes in domestic animals. And it is found in various parts of the sheep body, including the perirenal, mesentery, and tail. Tail fat is the most typical type of deposited fat (5). During severe conditions, such as food scarcity resulting from migration, drought, and winter, tail fat can provide energy (6). Fat has added value to humans as it can provide high-energy food during droughts and famines (4). Currently, with the improvement of people's living standards and diet structure, consumers are paying increased attention to their own health and meat quality. However, for fat-tailed sheep, most of the fat is deposited in the tail, leading to the reduction of fat deposition in other parts of the body, which affects meat quality (7). In modern mutton sheep production systems, tail fat deposition requires higher energy costs. In addition, tail fat accounts for 20% of the carcass weight, which greatly reduces the economic value of the carcass and increases the feeding cost (8). Thus, reducing tail fat deposition has become a research hotspot in sheep genetic improvement.

NIK- and IKKβ-binding protein (NIBP), also known as trafficking protein particle complex 9 (TRAPPC9), is a nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signaling pathway regulating factor that has been detected in human nerve cells. It has become clear that the complex might exist in different forms depending on its specific functions (9). In addition, the NF-κB signaling pathway is the key mediator of cell proliferation, apoptosis, and physiological and pathological events in tumorigenesis (10). Wang et al., conducted genome-wide association studies on Chinese Holstein cows, and the results supported the presence of significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), mainly located in Bos taurus autosome (BTA) 14 of Chinese Holstein cows, revealing a new candidate gene, TRAPPC9, gene related to cow mastitis resistance (11). Another study showed that microphalaxy and obesity are common features of TRAPPC9-deficient patients (12/23 cases) and summarized this phenotype in a TRAPPC9-deficient mouse model (12). Briollais et al. showed that the mean effect of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) was associated with a 0.06 reduction in the M value of the TRAPPC9 CpG locus in the first 2 years of life, which resulted in a 0.20 kg/m^2 reduction in body mass index (BMI) (13). In a study by Liang et al., deleting TRAPPC9 in mice resulted in the weight of mice increasing significantly, and it was concluded that the loss of TRAPPC9 function led to the weight gain (14). Liu et al. showed that TRAPPC9 is related to body shape traits in pigs, in which it participates in the regulation of bone growth and development and nutrient absorption, and is associated with obesity (15). Insulin receptor substrate p53 (IRSp53), also known as brain-specific angiogenesis inhibitor 1-associated protein 2 (BAIAP2), is a multi-domain adapter protein originally identified as a tyrosine protein phosphorylated by the insulin receptor and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) receptor (16). Lakshman et al. found that BAIAP2 was significantly associated with weight loss in participants with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (17). Al-Dokhi showed that adults tend to gain weight as they get older, caused by fat deposits (18). In addition, Lee and Shin reported that TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 were related to fat accumulation of pigs using a genome-wide association study (GWAS) (19). However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports on the association of polymorphisms in TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 with fat deposition-related traits in Hu sheep.

Therefore, in the present study, we analyzed the relationship between the single SNPs of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 and fat deposition-related traits in Hu sheep. In addition, the expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 mRNAs in ten different tissues of Hu sheep and tail adipose tissue of small-tail and big-tail Hu sheep were also investigated. This study provided valuable molecular markers for Hu sheep breeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Sheep and Extraction of DNA

A total of 1,293 Male Hu sheep were purchased from Jinchang Zhongtian Sheep Industry Co., Ltd., Gansu Zhongsheng Huamei Sheep Industry Development Co., Ltd., Gansu Sanyang Jinyuan Animal Husbandry Co., Ltd., Shandong Runlin Sheep Industry Co., Ltd., and Wuwei Pukang Sheep Industry Co., Ltd. Lambs were immunized according to standard procedures before weaning at 56 days of age. All weaned lambs were raised at Minqin Defu Agriculture Co., Ltd. (Gansu, China). The lamb acclimation period was 14 days, the pre-experiment period was 10 days, and the experimental period was 100 days. The feeding conditions were consistent, including housing, feeding, and drinking water. Hu sheep were fed with pellet feed purchased from Gansu Sanyang Jinyuan Animal Husbandry Co., Ltd. All experimental animals were weighed and slaughtered at 180 days. After slaughter, the weight of tail fat, mesenteric fat, and perirenal fat were measured, and collected, and then stored at −80 °C for subsequent RNA extraction. DNA was extracted from blood of 1,293 adult sheep (6 months old) using an EASYPURE Blood Genomic DNA Kit (Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China). DNA was stored in elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA; pH 8.0) at −20 °C.

SNP Identification and Genotyping

PCR primers were designed according to the gene sequences to conduct PCR amplification of TRAPPC9 (NC_040260.1 Chromosome 9 Reference Oar_rambouillet_v1.0 Primary Assembly) and BAIAP2 (NC_040262.1 Chromosome 11 Reference Oar_rambouillet_v1.0 Primary Assembly) sequences.
Expression Features of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Using Quantitative Real-Time Reverse Transcription PCR

The total RNA of each tissue was extracted using Transzol (Transgen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using an Evo M-MLV RT Kit with gDNA Clean for qPCR (Accurate Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Hunan, China) following the manufacturer’s protocols. Six 180-day-old Hu sheep were randomly selected to analyze the expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 mRNA in ten tissues (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, rumen, duodenum, muscle, lymph, and tail fat, n = 4 for each tissue). In addition, the mRNA expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in the tail adipose tissue of six small-tail and six big-tail Hu sheep were detected. We assessed the tail fat deposition traits of the sheep, which are shown in Table 3. The mRNA sequences of sheep TRAPPC9 (XM_042254050.1 Chromosome 9 Reference Oar_rambouillet_v1.0 Primary Assembly) and BAIAP2 (XM_024450535.2 Chromosome 11 Reference Oar_rambouillet_v1.0 Primary Assembly), were used as templates. Specific primer pairs used for detecting TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 expression were designed using Oligo 7.0 software, which the expected band sizes are 197 bp and 152 bp, respectively. β-actin (GenBank Accession no. NM_001009784.3) as reference gene (Table 1). The quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) step of the qRT-PCR protocol was carried out at 94°C for 3 min; followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, the optimum annealing temperature for 15 s, and 72°C for 20 s; with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The 2−ΔΔCT method was used to analyze the data (21).

Statistical Analysis

The association analysis between genotypes and the fat deposition-related traits was performed using a general linear model program, which was defined as:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + G_i + F_j + \epsilon_{imjk} \]

\[ Y_{imjkn} = \mu + G_i + G_m + F_j + C_n + \epsilon_{imjkn} \]

| Gene name | Primer name | Primer sequence (5' - 3') | GenBank accession number | Size (bp) | Tm (°C) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| TRAPPC9   | TRAPPC9-SNP-F | AGCACATACCCCTTCTTTGTTGA | NC_056062                | 988       | 54      |
|           | TRAPPC9-SNP-R | TGGCAGACAATTTAAAATGAGGAA |                           |           |         |
| BAIAP2    | BAIAP2-SNP-F  | GGGTGCTGGATTTACAGTTGGCT  | NS_040262                | 604       | 60      |
|           | BAIAP2-SNP-R  | AGTGGGACTCAGCTGCGACAGCA  |                           |           |         |
| β-actin   | β-actin-F     | TCCGCCATGATATGAGGAGAGCC  | NM_001009784.3           | 267       | 60      |
|           | β-actin-R     | CCGTGCTGGAGGAGGAGGAGG    |                           |           |         |
| TRAPPC9   | TRAPPC9-expression-R | AACTCAATACACACACCCGCTTTTC | XM_042254050.1           | 197       | 54      |
| BAIAP2    | BAIAP2-expression-F | ACGCCGCAAGAAAAATGAGGACCA | XM_024450535.2           | 152       | 60      |
|           | BAIAP2-expression-R | GGGCGACTCAGCTTTTCACCA |                           |           |         |

Table 1 | Primer pairs for the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 genes used for qRT-PCR and PCR amplification.

Table 2 | KASPar genotyping primers.
TABLE 3 | Performance of the sheep used in qRT-PCR.

| Traits                                      | Small-tail group | Big-tail group | P-value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| The weight of tail fat                     | 0.572 ± 0.138    | 1.917 ± 0.138  | <0.01   |
| The relative weight of tail fat (body weight) | 0.018 ± 0.002    | 0.086 ± 0.002  | <0.01   |
| The relative weight of tail fat (carcass weight) | 0.034 ± 0.003    | 0.065 ± 0.003  | <0.01   |

Values for the phenotypic data are shown as the mean ± standard error (SE). P-value as calculated using a t-test.

TABLE 4 | Descriptive statistics of fat traits.

| Items                                      | Min  | Max  | Mean | SD  | CV (%) |
|--------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-----|--------|
| The weight of tail fat                     | 0.31 | 3.23 | 1.50 | 0.01 | 30%    |
| The relative weight of tail fat (carcass weight) | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 26%    |
| The relative weight of tail fat (body weight) | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 25%    |
| The weight of perirenal fat                | 0.07 | 2.12 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 47%    |
| The relative weight of perirenal fat (carcass weight) | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 42%    |
| The relative weight of perirenal fat (body weight) | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 42%    |
| The weight of mesenteric fat               | 0.15 | 3.14 | 1.08 | 0.01 | 38%    |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat (carcass weight) | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 33%    |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat (body weight) | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 33%    |

Where, Y_{ijk} and Y_{imjkn} are the phenotypic observation value of the tail fat deposition traits, μ is the mean, G_{i} and G_{m} is the effect of the ith and mth genotypes, F_{j} represents the farm effect (j = 1, 2, …, 5), C_{n} refers to the effect of combination, and ε_{ijk} and ε_{imjkn} are the residuals corresponding to the observed trait values. A P-value < 0.05 or a P-value < 0.01 were regarded as statistically significant and highly significant, respectively. The genotypic frequency and allele frequency were calculated. SPSS v.23 software was used for all statistical analyses (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics of Fat Deposition Related Traits

In the present study, the fat deposition-related traits of all lambs (n = 1,293) were measured after slaughter at 180 days of age, and the descriptive statistics for the phenotypes of all the traits are shown in Table 4. The coefficient of variation for all traits was greater than 24%, among which the variation coefficient of tail fat weight, perirenal fat weight, and mesenteric fat weight were 30.28, 47.43, and 38.34%, respectively. These results suggested the fat deposition traits have marked phenotypic variation in the experimental population.

SNP Scanning of Sheep TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Genes

The 988 bp fragment of TRAPPC9 and the 604 bp fragment of BAIAP2 were amplified using the primers shown in Table 2 (Figure 1). The amplified PCR products were sequenced by Tsingke Ltd. (Xi’an, China). A new mutation was found in TRAPPC9, located in intron 10 (g.57654 A > G), and a new mutation was also found in BAIAP2, located in intron 6 (g.46061 C > T, Figure 2).

Genotyping, and Genotype and Allele Frequency Analysis

KASPar analysis was used to genotype the two SNPs, and three genotypes of the two genes were determined: AA, AG, and
FIGURE 2 | Image of the sequencing peaks of sheep TRAPPC9 (A) and BAIAP2 (B) loci.

FIGURE 3 | KASPar-based single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping of sheep TRAPPC9 g.57654 A &gt; G (A) and BAIAP2 g.46061 C &gt; T (B).

GG (TRAPPC9) and CC, CT, and TT (BAIAP2) (Figure 3). The genetic parameters of the SNPs recognized in Hu sheep at TRAPPC9 g.57654 A &gt; G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C &gt; T loci were calculated (Table 5). For the TRAPPC9 g.57654 A &gt; G locus, the genotype frequencies were 0.45, 0.44, and 0.11, respectively. The results of allele frequency analysis showed that the frequency of the A allele was 0.67, which accounted for the highest proportion in the population. For the BAIAP2 g.46061 C &gt; T locus, the genotype frequencies were 0.58, 0.29, and 0.13, respectively. The results of allele frequency analysis showed that the frequency of the C allele was 0.73, which accounted for the highest proportion in the population. The polymorphism information content (PIC), effective allele number (Ne), expected homozygosity (Ho), expected heterozygosity (He), and the P-value of the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (PHWE) of TRAPPC9 were 0.34, 1.79, 0.56, 0.44, and 0.84, respectively, and the PIC, Ne, Ho, He, and PHWE of BAIAP2 were 0.31, 1.64, 0.61, 0.39, and 0, respectively (Table 5).

Association Analysis Between TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Genes and Fat Deposition Traits in Hu Sheep

The association analysis showed that the TRAPPC9 g.57654 A &gt; G gene polymorphism correlated significantly with tail fat deposition traits ($P < 0.05$). The tail fat weight, relative tail fat weight (body weight), and relative tail fat weight (carcass) of the GG genotype were significantly lower than those of the AA genotype ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, GG was a significant genotype associated with tail fat deposition in Hu sheep. The BAIAP2 g.46061 C &gt; T gene polymorphism also correlated significantly...
with tail fat deposition traits (P < 0.05). The tail fat weight, relative tail fat weight (body weight), and relative tail fat weight (carcass) of the CT genotype were significantly lower than those of the TT genotype (P < 0.05). However, no significant association was observed between the genes and perirenal fat weight and mesenteric fat weight (P > 0.05) (Table 6).

### Association Analysis of the Combination Genotypes of the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Genes With the Tail Fat Deposition Traits of the Hu Sheep

The comprehensive effects of TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T on tail fat deposition traits were analyzed (Table 7). The results showed that tail fat weight, tail fat relative weight (body weight), and tail fat relative weight (carcass) of the GGTRAPPC9/CTBAIAP2, AGTRAPPC9/CCBAIAP2, GGTRAPPC9/CCBAIAP2, and AA TRAPPC9/CCBAIAP2 genotype were significantly lower than those of the AA TRAPPC9/TTBAIAP2, AA TRAPPC9/CTBAIAP2, AGTRAPPC9/TTBAIAP2, and GGTRAPPC9/CCBAIAP2 combined genotypes (P < 0.05). The mesenteric fat weight of the AGTRAPPC9/CTBAIAP2 genotype was significantly lower than that of the AA TRAPPC9/CTBAIAP2 genotype (P < 0.05), but not significantly different compared with other genotypes, and there was no significant difference between the mesenteric fat relative weight (body weight) and the mesenteric fat relative weight (carcass) among the genotypes (P > 0.05).

### Expression Profile Analysis

The expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in the tail fat, lymph, muscle, duodenum, rumen, kidney, lung, spleen, liver, and heart were detected using qRT-PCR. The results showed that TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 were expressed in these ten tissues. Moreover, the expression levels of TRAPPC9 in the tail fat and rumen were significantly higher than those in the other tissues (P < 0.05), and the expression levels of BAIAP2 in the heart, liver, kidney, muscle, and tail fat were significantly higher than those in the other tissues (P < 0.05; Figure 4).

---

**Table 5** | The genotype frequency, allele frequency, and genetic diversity of the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 SNP sites.

| Loci          | Genotype | Genotype frequency | Allele | Allele frequency | Ne  | Ho  | He  | PIC  | PHWE |
|---------------|----------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| TRAPPC9 g.57654A > G | AA (527) | 0.45               | A      | 0.67            | 1.79| 0.56| 0.44| 0.34 | 0.84 |
|               | AG (509) | 0.44               |        |                 |     |     |     |      |      |
|               | GG (126) | 0.11               | G      | 0.33            |     |     |     |      |      |
| BAIAP2 g.46061A > G | CC (610) | 0.58               | C      | 0.73            | 1.64| 0.81| 0.39| 0.31 | 0.00 |
|               | CT (299) | 0.29               |        |                 |     |     |     |      |      |
|               | TT (137) | 0.13               | T      | 0.27            |     |     |     |      |      |

Expected heterozygosity (He), expected homozygosity (Ho), effective allele number (Ne), polymorphism information content (PIC), and the P-value of the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (PHWE).

**Table 6** | Analysis of the associations of sheep TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T SNPs.

| Items                          | TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G | BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| No.                           | AA  | AG  | GG  | CC  | CT  | TT  |
| The weight of tail fat        | 527 | 509 | 126 | 610 | 299 | 137 |
| The relative weight of tail fat (carcass weight) | 1.546 ± 0.02a | 1.484 ± 0.02ab | 1.413 ± 0.04b | 1.503 ± 0.018ab | 1.45 ± 0.02bc | 1.57 ± 0.038bc |
| The relative weight of tail fat (body weight)   | 0.059 ± 0.001a | 0.058 ± 0.001ab | 0.056 ± 0.001b | 0.032 ± 0.000e | 0.031 ± 0.000f | 0.030 ± 0.000g |
| The weight of perirenal fat    | 0.032 ± 0.000b | 0.031 ± 0.000ab | 0.030 ± 0.000b | 0.058 ± 0.001de | 0.057 ± 0.001ef | 0.061 ± 0.001fg |
| The weight of mesenteric fat   | 0.638 ± 0.013c | 0.623 ± 0.013c | 0.619 ± 0.027c | 0.627 ± 0.012de | 0.609 ± 0.017ef | 0.646 ± 0.024f |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat (carcass weight) | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.023 ± 0.000 | 0.025 ± 0.000 |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat (body weight) | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.024 ± 0.000 | 0.023 ± 0.000 | 0.025 ± 0.000 |

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism.

Values for the phenotypic data are shown as the mean ± standard error. Different superscript lowercase letters against values in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) or extremely significant differences (P < 0.01).
### TABLE 7 | Analysis of the associations of combined genotypes at the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 loci and sheep tail fat deposition traits.

| Items                                      | AA<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / TT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | AA<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / CT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | AG<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / TT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | AG<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / CT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | AA<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / CC<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | GG<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / TT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | GG<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / CT<sup>BAIAP2</sup> | GG<sup>TRAPPC9</sup> / CC<sup>BAIAP2</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| No.                                        | 68                                          | 129                                         | 52                                          | 111                                         | 250                                         | 56                                          | 6                                          | 249                                         | 49                                          |
| The weight of tail fat                     | 1.602 ± 0.054<sup>a</sup>                   | 1.561 ± 0.028<sup>a</sup>                   | 1.522 ± 0.062<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.477 ± 0.042<sup>abc</sup>                  | 1.458 ± 0.039<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.454 ± 0.069<sup>bc</sup>                  | 1.451 ± 0.182<sup>bc</sup>                  | 1.439 ± 0.026<sup>bc</sup>                  | 1.332 ± 0.064<sup>c</sup>                  |
| The relative weight of tail fat            | 0.033 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.033 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.032 ± 0.001<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.032 ± 0.001<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.03 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                    | 0.03 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                    | 0.033 ± 0.003<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.031 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                  | 0.028 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                  |
| The relative weight of tail fat (carcass   | 0.061 ± 0.002<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.06 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                    | 0.06 ± 0.002<sup>ab</sup>                   | 0.058 ± 0.001<sup>bc</sup>                  | 0.057 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                   | 0.056 ± 0.002<sup>bc</sup>                  | 0.061 ± 0.006<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.057 ± 0.001<sup>bc</sup>                  | 0.053 ± 0.002<sup>c</sup>                  |
| weight)                                     |                                              |                                             |                                             |                                             |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |
| The weight of perirenal fat                | 0.656 ± 0.035<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.639 ± 0.019<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.658 ± 0.041<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.601 ± 0.028<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.623 ± 0.026<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.664 ± 0.039<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.655 ± 0.119<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.601 ± 0.019<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.542 ± 0.042<sup>b</sup>                  |
| The relative weight of perirenal fat       | 0.014 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.013 ± 0<sup>a</sup>                       | 0.014 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.013 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.013 ± 0<sup>a</sup>                       | 0.014 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.015 ± 0.002<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.013 ± 0<sup>a</sup>                       | 0.011 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                  |
| (body weight)                              |                                              |                                             |                                             |                                             |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |
| The relative weight of perirenal fat       | 0.025 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.024 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.026 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.023 ± 0.001<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.023 ± 0.001<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.025 ± 0.001<sup>a</sup>                   | 0.027 ± 0.004<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.024 ± 0.001<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.021 ± 0.001<sup>b</sup>                  |
| (carcass weight)                           |                                              |                                             |                                             |                                             |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |
| The weight of mesenteric fat               | 1.133 ± 0.05<sup>ab</sup>                   | 1.117 ± 0.026<sup>a</sup>                   | 1.035 ± 0.057<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.018 ± 0.039<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.063 ± 0.036<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.118 ± 0.055<sup>ab</sup>                  | 0.957 ± 0.169<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1.053 ± 0.026<sup>ab</sup>                  | 1 ± 0.059<sup>b</sup>                      |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat      | 0.024 ± 0.001                                | 0.023 ± 0.001                               | 0.022 ± 0.001                               | 0.022 ± 0.001                               | 0.023 ± 0.001                               | 0.022 ± 0.001                               | 0.022 ± 0.003                               | 0.022 ± 0.003                               | 0.021 ± 0.001                               |
| (body weight)                              |                                              |                                             |                                             |                                             |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |
| The relative weight of mesenteric fat      | 0.043 ± 0.002                                | 0.043 ± 0.001                               | 0.041 ± 0.002                               | 0.04 ± 0.001                                | 0.041 ± 0.001                               | 0.043 ± 0.002                               | 0.04 ± 0.006                                | 0.042 ± 0.001                               | 0.039 ± 0.002                               |
| (carcass weight)                           |                                              |                                             |                                             |                                             |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |                                              |

Different superscript lowercase letters against values in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) or extremely significant differences (P < 0.01) (Tukey test).
Expression of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 Genes in Extreme Tail Fat Tissue Types

The mRNA expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 between small-tailed sheep and big-tailed sheep were analyzed quantitatively using qRT-PCR. The results showed that the expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in the small-tailed group were significantly higher than those in the big-tailed group ($P < 0.01$; Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Fat tails in sheep are perceived to have developed following domestication and are a valuable energy reserve for the animals during migration and winter (4, 22). However, fat tails might affect reproduction and fattening, thereby increasing the cost of raising sheep and reducing their economic value (23, 24). Fat deposition in sheep tails is the result of a complex mechanism. Previous studies have conducted several investigations into the inheritance of fat tails (25); nevertheless, the mechanisms of the genes affecting fat deposition in fat tail sheep remain unknown. TRAPPC9 is a subunit of the highly conserved protein complex called the transport protein particle (TRAPP), a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rab proteins that operates in secretory, endocytic, and autophagic pathways (26). Over half of the patients with TRAPPC9 mutations are reported to present different degrees of obesity (27). Hnoonual et al. (12) found that the deletion of the TRAPPC9 gene leads to obesity. BAIAP2, which is located on 17q25 and encodes brain-specific angiogenesis inhibitor 1-associated protein 2 (BAIAP2), has been suggested to be involved in cerebral asymmetry (28). In a study by Lakshman et al. (17), the BAIAP2 gene was significantly associated with weight change in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 were implicated in fat accumulation...
by a GWAS (19). In this study, two intronic mutations were detected in the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 genes, respectively. Recent studies suggest intronic mutations can change protein levels or protein conformation by altering regulatory splice sites, mRNA stability, miRNA binding sites, or translation efficiency (29–33). To further investigate the effect of these two intronic mutations on sheep phenotype, we analyzed the potential association between genotype and tail fat deposition. The results showed that TRAPPC9 g.57654 A > G and BAIAP2 g.46061 C > T were both associated significantly with tail fat deposition.

We detected that TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 were expressed in all ten tissues of Hu sheep tested. Zhang et al. showed that TRAPPC9 was highly expressed in muscles and kidneys of human tissues; showed low expression in the heart, brain, and placenta; and was weakly expressed in the thymus and spleen (34). In Abbott et al.’s study, the tissue distribution of BAIAP2 mRNA was determined by northern blotting, appearing mainly in the heart, brain, spleen, lung, liver, kidney, and testis (35). Our results are consistent with those of previous studies. TRAPPC9 was significantly expressed in the rumen and tail fat (P < 0.05). However, studies on the TRAPPC9 gene in the rumen are rarely reported. This gene may be related to the growth and development of the rumen, but the specific mechanism still needs further study. Usman et al. found through research that mouse TRAPPC9 gene deficiency affects the proliferation and differentiation ability of adipose stem cells (ASCs) (36). Our qRT-PCR results also confirmed this view. Therefore, we speculate that TRAPPC9 has the same function in sheep.

The expression of BAIAP2 in the liver was significantly higher than that in other tissues (P < 0.05). Previous studies have found that the BAIAP2 is significantly expressed in the liver (37–39). The liver plays a pivotal role in regulating the metabolism of fatty acids (FA) and their neutral storage form, triglycerides (TGs) (40, 41). A study identified that interactions between adipose tissue and the liver might play a role in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (42). Therefore, we speculated that BAIAP2 might affect tail fat deposition by regulating FA synthesis.

To verify the potential roles of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in ovine tail fat deposition, we analyzed the mRNA expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 between small-tail and big-tail sheep. The results showed that the expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in the small-tailed group were significantly higher than those in the large-tailed group (P < 0.01). Therefore, we speculated the polymorphic loci in TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 might represent important genetic markers in studies designed to reduce tail fat deposition in Hu sheep, and also provide clues for further search for causal mutations of tail fat deposition. However, the regulatory mechanism of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 on tail fat deposition in Hu sheep require further study.

CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, two novel SNPs in the TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 genes were identified. Association analysis indicated that the two SNPs were significantly related to tail fat weight-related traits of Hu sheep. In addition, the two genes were expressed widely in ten tissues of Hu sheep, and the expression levels of TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 in the small-tail group were significantly higher than those in the big-tail group. Thus, we speculated that the polymorphic loci in TRAPPC9 and BAIAP2 might be used as genetic markers of tail fat deposition in Hu sheep.
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