Laparoscopic Resection of a Huge Retrorectal Tumor
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Retrorectal space tumors are rare, and so are frequently unrecognized, misdiagnosed, and mistreated. A 57-year-old man visited the outpatient clinic with the chief complaints of thin stool and lower pelvic heaviness. A smooth, round huge palpable mass on the right posterolateral rectal wall was detected and pelvic computed tomography showed a 7.8-cm cystic lesion in the right retrorectal space. Laparoscopic procedures were initiated with perirectal dissection for rectal mobilization. After fixation of the peritoneum and tying the rectum for intracorporeal traction, the rectum was mobilized to identify the cyst. The cyst was removed using an endo-bag, with completion of cyst dissection. The final pathologic diagnosis was a tailgut cyst, or retrorectal cystic hamartoma without evidence of malignancy. The patient was discharged without any complications. The patient had no dyschezia or problems with bowel function. Laparoscopic resection is a safe and feasible method for surgical treatment, even for bulky retrorectal tumors, with an early recovery period.
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INTRODUCTION

Retrorectal space tumors are rare, and so are frequently unrecognized, misdiagnosed, and mistreated. According to a case series from the Mayo Clinic [1], primary tumors in the retrorectal or presacral space are very rare, with an incidence of one in 40,000. Glasgow et al. [2] reported 34 primary retrorectal tumors in a span of 22 years. Tumors are most commonly benign in this area, but may also rarely be malignant. Thus definite radiologic diagnosis and precise surgical resection is required. Such tumors can be removed by various approaches. Surgery can be performed by the transperineal or abdominal approach, depending on the location of the lesion, as well as the preference of the surgeon, and a laparoscopic approach has also been recently reported. This report describes successful excision by laparoscopic surgery of a huge, symptomatic retrorectal tumor.

CASE REPORT

A 57-year-old man presented to the outpatient clinic with a complaint of thin stools since three months; he reported anal bleeding, outlet dysfunction constipation with incomplete emptying, and lower pelvic heaviness 1 week before his visit. The patient had undergone hemorrhoidectomy 8 years ago, and had no underlying diseases such as hypertension and diabetes. He had never undergone a colonoscopy before. Four centimeters proximal to the anal verge, at the 6 o'clock position, a smooth, round huge palpable mass estimated to be more than 5 cm in diameter could be felt outside the rectum, accompanied by a small amount of bleeding on digital rectal exam. There was no visible tumor in the rectal mucosa, but mucosal modification was seen due to external compression at the same location on colonoscopy. Pelvic computed tomography (CT) revealed a 7.8 × 6.3 × 7.2-cm cystic lesion with thin, enhancing wall lining in the right retrorectal space. The tumor was located just below the level of the right seminal vesicle, superiorly abutting onto the right posterolateral wall of the rectum, pushing the rectum to the left (Fig. 1). On colonoscopy, there were no tumors in the mucosa, but external compression was noted, arising from the retrorectal space.

Preoperative bowel preparation was not done, and prophylactic intravenous 2nd generation cephalosporin was administered just before the operation. The patient was placed in the lithotomy position after induction of general anesthesia, to enable digital rectal exam during surgery. A 12-mm trocar was inserted into the um-
bilicus for the camera, and four 5-mm trocars were inserted into the right and left upper and lower quadrants, respectively. The small intestine was shifted upward with the Trendelenburg position with a slight tilt to the left side. Dissection was conducted to separate the left side of the tumor and the rectum (Fig. 2A, B), and the anterior peritoneum was fixed to the abdominal skin using 2-0 Prolene with linear needles following dissection of the peritoneal reflection (Fig. 2C). The separated rectum was tied with a nylon tape and controlled with a needle holder by an assistant (Fig. 2D). The cystic lesion was seen after additional rectal mobilization (Fig. 2E, F), and meticulous dissection was carried out around the cyst (Fig. 2G). The tumor was resected without damage, and no remnant sac was observed (Fig. 2H). There was little bleeding during the operation, which was completed after insertion of a drainage catheter in the pelvic cavity. The time from skin incision to closure of the trocar sites was around 120 minutes.

The tumor was an 8 × 5 × 5-cm-sized round cyst (Fig. 3A) that had a 3-mm-thick shell filled with nonodorous, mud-like material (Fig. 3B). The patient started oral feeding on the day after surgery and was discharged without complications on the fifth day. The pathologic report confirmed a tailgut cyst, or retrorectal cystic hamartoma without evidence of malignancy, 7.5 cm × 5.0 cm × 2.0 cm in size. The patient had no abdominal or anal symptoms at the 1-month outpatient follow-up visit.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chonnam National University Hospital (CNUH-EXP-2018-088); even though the patient’s informed consent was exempted, the risk to the patient was extremely low.

**DISCUSSION**

We present a case of successful laparoscopic removal of a huge retrorectal tumor presenting with dyschezia and hematochezia.
The authors chose to operate laparoscopically as the lesion was slightly distant from the perineum and located in the narrow pelvis. Laparoscopy offered good visualization during the operation, effective hemostasis, and allowed for a short duration of hospitalization. The laparoscopic approach has gained popularity, owing to reduced surgical trauma and minimal vascular and neurological injuries, and is an excellent tool for perfect visualization of deep structures in the retrorectal space. In 2011, laparoscopic resection was reported for a retrorectal tailgut cyst at the level of S4 and the levator ani muscle measuring 4 cm × 3.5 cm × 3 cm [3]. Laparoscopic excision was described for nine retrorectal tumors from 2005 to 2011. Although 1 case required open conversion because of a huge tumor > 7 cm, it was possible to reduce surgical trauma along with excellent visualization [4]. Surgeons must choose the surgical approach according to individual patient characteristics, considering the position of the tumor and its relationship with the pelvic structures. This report shows that laparoscopic resection is possible for huge retrorectal tumors through proper rectal mobilization.

As described earlier, a retrorectal tumor is a very rare condition, and the first case of this disease was described in 1885 [5]. According to a study that analyzed 53 cases in 1988, the disease is prevalent in women, with complaints of uncomfortable symptoms with accompanying inflammation in about half of the patients. One case showed poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, but in most patients, the remnant of an embryonic tailgut was confirmed, which is different from teratoma [6]. A review article reported that various cystic lesions could occur in the retrorectal space, most of them being congenital. Those tumors are classified according to their origin and histopathologic features, but radiologic evidence is not diagnostic, and differential diagnosis can only be accomplished through histopathological analysis. Epidermoid or dermoid cysts arise from the ectoderm, while tailgut cysts and rectal duplication are thought to be from the remnants of the embryologic hindgut. Tailgut cysts are lined with various epithelia, unlike duplication cysts, which contain all components of the intestinal wall [7]. Retrorectal cystic hamartomas may in theory be classified as a teratoma, but may not have a dermal appendage, neural element, or derivatives such as cartilage or bone.

Many authors use the term retrorectal cystic hamartoma according to its anatomical location, and tailgut cyst depending on its developmental origin. This is, of course, supported only by pathological confirmation of the variety of epithelia [8].

In 2002, Ludwig and Reynolds [9] suggested that surgical extraction was necessary as cystic lesions may become spontaneously infected and increase the odds of recurrence, necessitating reoperative intervention. Further, a presacral mass may cause dystocia and prevent vaginal delivery, there may be an occult malignancy, or teratomas may degenerate and turn malignant. Removal can also improve the quality of life, and address cases with uncertain pathology [9]. Especially if it is suspected to be a malignant disease, preoperative diagnosis with a transsacral or transperineal biopsy of the tumor is essential to determine the necessity of neoadjuvant treatment, which may decrease local recurrence following surgery and avoid delays in instituting additional options [10]. When a tumor is diagnosed as malignant, multidisciplinary treatment includes colorectal surgeons, radiologists, and oncostologists, who can contribute to successful treatment of the disease [2]. This case was an indication for surgical resection on account of uncomfortable symptoms and the large size of the tumor, which resulted in changes in bowel habit, although diagnostic imaging and colonoscopy showed minimal likelihood of malignancy disease.

In conclusion, laparoscopic resection is a safe and feasible surgical treatment with early recovery, even for bulky retrorectal tumors.
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