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Abstract

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is recognized as a serious global health problem that is characterized by high blood sugar levels. Type 2 DM is more common in diabetic populations. In this type of DM, inhibition of $\alpha$-glucosidase is a useful treatment to delay the absorption of glucose after meals. As a megabiodiversity country, Indonesia still has a lot of potential unexploited forests to be developed as a medicine source, including as the $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitor. In this study, we determine the $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitory activity of 80% ethanol extracts of leaves and twigs of some plants from the Apocynaceae, Clusiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Rubiaceae. Inhibitory activity test of the $\alpha$-glucosidase was performed in vitro using spectrophotometric methods. Compared with the control acarbose ($IC_{50}$ 117.20 $\mu$g/mL), thirty-seven samples of forty-five were shown to be more potent $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitors with $IC_{50}$ values in the range 2.33–112.02 $\mu$g/mL.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is the most common endocrine disease worldwide. About 173 million people suffer from diabetes mellitus. The number of people with diabetes mellitus will more than double over the next 25 years to reach a total of 366 million by 2030 [1]. In 2000, Indonesia is ranked the fourth largest number of people with DM, after India, China, and the United States, which is about 8.4 million people. The amount is expected to rise to 21.3 million in 2030 [2].

DM consists of several types, one of which is noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (type 2 DM). This type of DM is more common, reaching 90–95% of the population with DM [3]. This increasing trend in type 2 DM has become a serious medical concern worldwide that prompts every effort in exploring for new therapeutic agents to stem its progress.

In type 2 DM, inhibition of $\alpha$-glucosidase therapy is beneficial to delay absorption of glucose after a meal [4]. $\alpha$-glucosidase plays a role in the conversion of carbohydrates into glucose. By inhibiting $\alpha$-glucosidase, glucose levels in the blood can be returned within normal limits [5].

Natural resources provide a huge and highly diversified chemical bank from which we can explore for potential therapeutic agents by bioactivity-targeted screenings [6]. As a megabiodiversity country, Indonesia still has a lot of potential unexploited forests to be developed as a source phytopharma or modern medicine [7]. Opportunity exploration of medicinal plants is still very wide open in line with the development of herbal industry, herbal medicine, and phytopharmaca. Therefore, researchers try to explore the potential antidiabetic agents with the mechanism of action of $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibition in several plant species from four families: Apocynaceae, Clusiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Rubiaceae. The four families were chosen because members of some species have been scientifically proven to have antidiabetic activity. Based on the theory of kinship through a systematic approach to plant (chemotaxonomy), plants with the same family generally have similar chemical content,
Table 1: Phytochemical screening of 80% ethanol extracts from some plants of Apocynaceae, Clusiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Rubiaceae.

| Simplicia                                      | Chemical contents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                               | Alkaloid | Flavonoid | Terpenoid | Tannin | Glycoside | Saponin | Anthraquinone |
| Apocynaceae                                   |          |            |           |        |           |         |              |
| Beaumontia multiflora Teijsm. & Binn. Folium  | +        | +          | −          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Beaumontia multiflora Teijsm. & Binn. Cortex  | −        | −          | −          | −      | +         | +       | −             |
| Carissa carandas L. Folium                    | −        | +          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Carissa carandas L. Cortex                    | −        | −          | −          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Ochrosia citrodora Lauterb. & K. Schum. Folium| +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Rauvolfia sumatrana Jack Folium               | +        | −          | +          | −      | +         | +       | −             |
| Strophanthus caudatus (Blume.f.) Kurz Folium  | −        | −          | +          | −      | +         | +       | +             |
| Strophanthus caudatus (Blume.f.) Kurz Cortex  | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Strophanthus gratus Baill. Folium             | −        | −          | +          | −      | +         | +       | −             |
| Strophanthus gratus Baill. Cortex             | +        | −          | +          | −      | +         | +       | −             |
| Tabernaemontana sphaerocarpa Blume Folium     | +        | −          | +          | −      | +         | +       | −             |
| Willughbeia tenuiflora Dyer ex Hook.f Folium | −        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Willughbeia tenuiflora Dyer ex Hook.f Cortex | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Clusiaceae                                    |          |            |           |        |           |         |              |
| Calophyllum tomentosum Wight. Folium          | +        | +          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Garcinia bancana Miq. Folium                  | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Garcinia daedalanthera Pierre. Folium         | −        | +          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Garcinia daedalanthera Pierre. Cortex         | −        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Garcinia hombroniana Pierre. Folium           | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Garcinia kydia Roxb. Folium                   | −        | +          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Garcinia rigida Miq. Folium                   | +        | +          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Euphorbiaceae                                 |          |            |           |        |           |         |              |
| Antidesma buntuus (L.) Spreng Folium          | −        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Antidesma buntuus (L.) Spreng Cortex          | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Antidesma celebicium Cortex                   | −        | −          | −          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Antidesma celebicium Folium                   | −        | +          | −          | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Antidesma montanum (Blume) Folium             | +        | −          | +          | +      | +         | +       | −             |
| Antidesma neurocarpum Miq. Folium             | +        | +          | −          | +      | +         | −       | +             |
| Blumeodendron toksbrai (Blume.) Kurz. Cortex  | +        | −          | −          | +      | +         | −       | −             |
| Blumeodendron toksbrai (Blume.) Kurz. Folium  | +        | −          | +          | −      | +         | −       | −             |
| Croton argyratus Blume. Folium                | −        | −          | +          | −      | +         | −       | −             |
Table 1: Continued.

| Simplicia                                      | Chemical contents |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                               | Alkaloid | Flavonoid | Terpenoid | Tannin | Glycoside | Saponin | Anthraquinone |
| Cephalomappa mallotica J.J.Sm. Cortex         | −        | +         | +         | +      | +         | +       | +             |
| Cephalomappa mallotica J.J.Sm. Folium         | −        | −         | +         | +      | −         | +       | −             |
| Galearia filiformis Blume. Folium             | +        | −         | +         | +      | −         | +       | −             |
| Sumbaviopsis albicans (Blume) J.J.Sm. Cortex | −        | +         | −         | +      | +         | −       | −             |
| Sumbaviopsis albicans (Blume) J.J.Sm. Folium | −        | +         | −         | +      | +         | −       | −             |
| Suregada glomerulata (Blume) Baill. Folium    | +        | −         | +         | −      | +         | −       | −             |
| Rubiaceae                                      |          |           |           |        |           |         |               |
| Adina trichotoma Zoll. & Moritzi. Folium      | +        | −         | +         | −      | +         | −       | +             |
| Amaracarpus pubescens Blume. Folium           | +        | +         | +         | −      | −         | +       | −             |
| Canthium glabrum Blume. Folium                | +        | +         | +         | +      | −         | +       | −             |
| Chiococca javanica Blume. Folium              | +        | +         | −         | +      | −         | +       | −             |
| Hydnophytum formicarum Folium                 | +        | −         | +         | +      | +         | −       | −             |
| Hydnophytum formicarum Cortex                 | +        | +         | −         | +      | −         | +       | −             |
| Nauclea calycina (BatrLex DC.) Merr. Folium   | +        | −         | −         | +      | +         | −       | −             |
| Nauclea calycina (BatrLex DC.) Merr. Cortex   | −        | +         | +         | −      | +         | −       | −             |
| Posoqueria latifolia (Lam.) Roem. & Schult. Folium | −        | +         | +         | +      | −         | −       | −             |

Key: +: present; −: absent.

so it may just have the same potential for the treatment of a disease [8].

2. Method and Material

2.1. Plant Material. The stem bark and leaves of plants material were collected in November 2010 and identified by Center for Plant Conservation-Bogor Botanical Garden.

2.2. Extraction. Each dried powdered of wood bark, twig and leaves (20 g) were extracted by reflux with ethanol 80% then evaporated.

2.3. Inhibition Assay for α-Glucosidase Activity. The inhibition of α-glucosidase activity was determined using the modified published method [9]. One mg of α-glucosidase (Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was dissolved in 100 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) containing 200 mg of bovine serum albumin (Merck, German). The reaction mixture consisting 10 μL of sample at varying concentrations (0.52 to 33 μg/mL) was premixed with 490 μL phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and 250 μL of 5 mM p-nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside as a substrate in the absence or presence of ethanolic extract at different concentrations. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 2000 μL Na2CO3 200 mM. α-glucosidase activity was determined spectrophotometrically at 400 nm on spectrophotometer UV-Vis (Shimadzu 265, Jepang) by measuring the quantity of p-nitrophenol released from p-NPG. Acarbose was used as positive control of α-glucosidase inhibitor. The concentration of the extract required to inhibit 50% of α-glucosidase activity under the assay conditions was defined as the IC50 value.

2.4. Kinetics of Inhibition against α-Glucosidase. Inhibition modes of sample that had the best α-glucosidase inhibiting activity in Clusiaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Rubiaceae were measured with increasing concentration of p-nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside as a substrate in the absence or presence of ethanolic extract at different concentrations. Inhibition type was determined by the Lineweaver-Burk plots analysis of the data, which were calculated from the result according to the Michaelis-Menten kinetics.

2.5. Phytochemistry Test. In this research we performed phytochemistry test which consists of alkaloid test with Mayer, Dragendorff, and Bouchardat reagents; Flavonoid test with Shinoda and Wilson Töbuck reaction; tannin test with
Table 2: IC$_{50}$ values of rude extracts against α-glucosidase.

| Number Sample | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|---------------|-------------------|
| (1) Acarbose | 117.20 |
| (2) Beaumontia multiflora Teijsm. & Binn. Folium | 79.80 |
| (3) Beaumontia multiflora Teijsm. & Binn. Cortex | 130.20 |
| (4) Carissa carandas L.Foliun | 21.14 |
| (5) Carissa carandas L.Cortex | 20.44 |
| (6) Ochrosia citrodora Lauterb. & K. Schum. | 174.27 |
| (7) Rauwolfia serpentina J. J. Sm. | 706.81 |
| (8) Strophanthus caudatus (Blume.f.) Kurz Folium | 13.93 |
| (9) Strophanthus gratus Baill.Foliun | 50.61 |
| (10) Strophanthus gratus Baill. Cortex | 202.17 |
| (11) Tabernaemontana sphaerocarpa Blume Folium | 554.32 |
| (12) Willughbeia tenuiflora Dyer ex Hook.f Folium | 8.16 |
| (13) Willughbeia tenuiflora Dyer ex Hook.f Cortex | 42.11 |
| (14) Calophyllum tomentosum Wight. Folium | 15.83 |
| (15) Garcinia bancana Miq. Folium | 22.41 |
| (16) Garcinia daedalanthera Pierre. Folium | 2.33 |
| (17) Garcinia daedalanthera Pierre. Cortex | 3.71 |
| (18) Garcinia hombroniana Pierre. Folium | 11.30 |
| (19) Garcinia kydia Roxb. Folium | 3.88 |
| (20) Garcinia rigida Miq. Folium | 24.48 |
| (21) Antidesma bunius (L.) Spreng Folium | 7.94 |
| (22) Antidesma bunius (L.) Spreng Cortex | 3.90 |
| (23) Antidesma celebicum Cortex | 3.93 |
| (24) Antidesma celebicum Folium | 2.34 |
| (25) Antidesma montanum (Blume) Folium | 2.83 |
| (26) Antidesma neurocarpum Miq. Folium | 4.22 |
| (27) Blumeoeodendron toksbrai (Blume.) Kurz. Folium | 22.82 |
| (28) Blumeoeodendron toksbrai (Blume.) Kurz. Cortex | 64.78 |
| (29) Croton argyratus Blume. Folium | 366.07 |
| (30) Cephalomappa mollotica (J.J.Sm. Cortex | 12.22 |
| (31) Cephalomappa mollotica (J.J.Sm. Folium | 2.66 |
| (32) Galearia filiformis Blume. Folium | 21.54 |
| (33) Sumbaviopsis albicans (Blume.) J.J.Sm. Cortex | 42.66 |
| (34) Sumbaviopsis albicans (Blume.) J.J.Sm. Folium | 43.40 |
| (35) Suregada glomerulata (Blume) Baill. Folium | 57.46 |
| (36) Adina trichatoma Zoll. & Moritzi. Folium | 28.22 |
| (37) Amaranthus pubescens Blume. Folium | 3.64 |
| (38) Canthium glabrum Blume. Folium | 117.85 |
| (39) Chiococca javanica Blume. Folium | 23.86 |
| (40) Hydnophyllum fornicarum Folium | 181.90 |
| (41) Hydnophyllum fornicarum Cortex | 11.04 |

Table 2: Continued.

| Number Sample | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|---------------|-------------------|
| (42) Posoqueria latifolia (Lam.) Roem. & Schult. Folium | 18.81 |
| (43) Nauclea calycina (Batrl.ex DC.) Merr. Folium | 80.27 |
| (44) Nauclea calycina (Batrl.ex DC.) Merr. Cortex | 25.99 |
| (45) Sumbaviopsis albicans (Blume) J.J.Sm. Cortex | 42.66 |
| gelatin test, gelatin-salt test, and test with ferrous (III) chloride; glycoside test with Molisch reaction; saponin test with honeycomb froth test; anthraquinone test with Borntrager reaction; terpenoid test with Liebermann-Burchard reagent. |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Phytochemistry Test. Compounds with α-glucosidase inhibitory activity were preliminary identified by the existence of alkaloid, terpene, saponin, tannin, glycoside, flavonoid, and quinone (Table 1).

3.2. Assay for α-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activity. The α-glucosidase of S. cerevisiae is used to investigate the inhibitory activity of the rude extracts. α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of rude extracts compounds against α-glucosidases were determined using p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (p-NPG) as a substrate and these were compared with acarbose (Table 2). The IC$_{50}$ values of compounds range from 2.33 μg/mL to 706.81 μg/mL. There are thirty-seven of samples which have IC$_{50}$ lower than acarbose. Extracts derived from leaves of Garicinia daedalanthera showed inhibitory activity against α-glucosidase enzyme significantly, with IC$_{50}$ value of 3.33 μg/mL. Inhibitory activity of the enzyme α-glucosidase at forty-five extracts may be due to the glycoside content in each extract. Glycosides consist of sugars that may be structurally similar to carbohydrate which is a substrate of the enzyme α-glucosidase [10]. IC$_{50}$ value of samples of plant extracts are lower than acarbose because their active chemical compounds have no further fractionation and may have a synergistic effect in inhibiting α-glucosidase [11].

Inhibition mode of leaves extract of Antidesma celebicum from Euphorbiaceae was investigated. Inhibition mode of 80% ethanol extract showed competitive inhibitory mode. This mode may have been due because the structure is similar with glucose. This result is similar with inhibition mode of Nojirimycin which has a competitive inhibition against α-glucosidase [9] (Figure 1).

Inhibition mode of leaves extract of Garicinia kydia from Clusiaceae was investigated. Inhibition mode of 80% ethanol extract showed noncompetitive inhibitory mode [12] (Figure 2).

Inhibition mode of 80% ethanol extract from Amaranthus pubescens Blume. leaves had a combination of competitive and uncompetitive inhibition. Combination of competitive and noncompetitive may have been due to the extract having more than one compound that has α-glucosidase inhibitory activity [13] (Figure 3).
4. Conclusion

In vitro assays of α-glucosidase activity showed thirty-seven of forty-five samples had IC_{50} values of between 2.33 µg/mL and 112.02 µg/mL, which were lower than that of acarbose (117.20 µg/mL). Based on family, 80% ethanol extract from Garcinia daedalanthera Pierre. leaves (Clusiaceae), Antidesma celebicum leaves (Euphorbiaceae), Amaranarpus pubescens Blume. leaves (Rubiaceae), and Willughbeia tenui-flora Dyer ex Hook.f leaves (Apocynaceae) had the highest α-glucosidase inhibiting activity with IC_{50} of 2.33 µg/mL, 2.34 µg/mL, 3.64 µg/mL, and 8,16 µg/mL. Meanwhile, types of enzyme inhibition mechanism from Garcinia kydia Roxb. leaves (Clusiaceae), Antidesma celebicum leaves (Euphorbiaceae), and Amaranarpus pubescens Blume. leaves (Rubiaceae) were noncompetitive inhibitor, competitive inhibitor, and mixed inhibitor. Currently attempts to purify the active compound from leaves extract of Garcinia kydia Roxb. (Clusiaceae), Antidesma celebicum (Euphorbiaceae), and Amaranarpus pubescens Blume. (Rubiaceae) are conducted to understand the inhibitory mechanisms more clearly. Moreover, further in vivo study is also required.
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