Apert syndrome diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound combined with magnetic resonance imaging and whole exome sequencing: A case report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND

Most cases of Apert syndrome (AS) are found after birth. Cases of AS diagnosed by ultrasound combined with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and whole exome sequencing (WES) during pregnancy are rare.

CASE SUMMARY

We present the case of a 34-year old female patient (gravida 2, para 1) whose fetus was diagnosed with AS during pregnancy. Fetal ultrasound performed at 30, 2/7 wk of pregnancy showed abnormalities. MRI and three-dimensional ultrasound performed at 31, 1/7 wk of pregnancy showed the possibility of AS. Chromosome examination and core family WES were conducted at 31, 5/7 wk of pregnancy. The results showed that FGFR2 in the fetus had a c.755C>G missense mutation in its nucleotide, and AS was confirmed.

CONCLUSION

This case highlights the importance of imaging examinations. Prenatal ultrasound combined with MRI can identify fetal morphological abnormalities accurately, which can be confirmed by WES.
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Core Tip: Apert syndrome (AS) during pregnancy is rare. We present a case of AS diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound combined with magnetic resonance imaging and whole exome sequencing in late pregnancy. Our case also shows that S252W mutation of AS is related to syndactyly and craniofacial dysplasia, and suggests that young paternal age is also related to AS. We reviewed the three-dimensional fetal ultrasound at 23, 5/7 wk of gestation and found some suspicious images such as craniosynostosis and raised forehead. This shows that improved awareness of AS and prenatal imaging are important.
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INTRODUCTION
Apert syndrome (AS) is characterized by craniosynostosis, hypoplasia of the middle of the face, and syndactyly[1-3]. Since AS is difficult to detect during pregnancy, it is usually diagnosed after birth. The incidence of AS is 1 in 65000 births[4]. At present, few cases of AS during pregnancy have been reported, and the reported cases are usually based on prenatal ultrasound diagnosis. AS diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound combined with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and whole exome sequencing (WES) during pregnancy has not been reported previously. Here, we report a case of AS diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound combined with MRI and WES in late pregnancy and showed that young paternal age is also related to AS.

CASE PRESENTATION
Chief complaints
On April 13, 2020, a 34-year old female patient at 31, 5/7 wk of pregnancy was admitted to our hospital due to the abnormalities on fetal imaging examination 10 d previously.

History of present illness
There was no history of present disease.

History of past illness
There was no history of past disease.

Personal and family history
The family history was unremarkable.

Physical examination
Physical examination on admission showed no abnormalities.

Laboratory examinations
Laboratory examinations showed no abnormalities.

Imaging examinations
Fetal ultrasound performed at 30, 2/7 wk of pregnancy showed that the anterior horn of the lateral ventricle of the fetus was widened by 10 mm and 5 mm on the right and left, respectively (Figure 1A). A cystic dark area 9 mm × 9 mm × 8 mm in size was seen near the right eye, suggesting the possibility of a nasolacrimal duct cyst (Figure 1B).

MRI performed at 31, 1/7 wk of pregnancy showed craniosynostosis (Figure 2A) in the coronal position, and the fetal skull was narrow and pointed (Figure 2B). In the sagittal position, the forehead was uplifted and raised, the nasal root was slightly
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Figure 1 Fetal ultrasound performed at 30, 2/7 wk of pregnancy showed the following: A: Widened anterior horn of the lateral ventricle; B: Nasolacrimal duct cyst.

Figure 2 Magnetic resonance imaging performed at 31, 1/7 wk of pregnancy showed the following: A: Acrocephalia; B: Craniosynostosis, widened eye distances; C: Protruding forehead, concave nasal root, widened anterior horn of the lateral ventricle and the posterior cranial fossa; D: Nasolacrimal duct cyst; E: Syndactyly of hands; and F: Syndactyly of feet.

Concave (Figure 2C), eye distance was widened (the ratio of inner to outer diameter was 0.4) (Figure 2B). Cystic shadows were seen at the inner edges of both eyes, the diameter was 3.8 mm on the left and 5.7 mm on the right, indicating a nasolacrimal duct cyst on both sides (Figure 2D). Both sides of the anterior horn of the lateral ventricle were widened, about 7.4 mm on the right side and 5.7 mm on the left. The posterior cranial fossa was widened and the width was 10.4 mm (Figure 2C). The midline above the cerebellum showed a cystoid shadow of about 12 mm × 10 mm × 22 mm, suggesting an arachnoid cyst (Figure 2C). MRI also showed syndactyly of the hands and feet (Figure 2E and F).

Three-dimensional (3D) prenatal ultrasound performed on the same day as MRI showed craniosynostosis (Figure 3A), forehead protrusion (Figure 3B and C), widened anterior horns of the lateral ventricle (Figure 3D), nasolacrimal duct cyst (Figure 3E) and syndactyly (Figure 3F–I).
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Figure 3 Three-dimensional prenatal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging showed the following: A: Craniosynostosis; B and C: Forehead protrusion; D: Widened anterior horns of the lateral ventricle; E: Nasolacrimal duct cyst; F-I: Syndactyly.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
Apert syndrome.

TREATMENT
According to the observed abnormalities such as acrocephalia, craniosynostosis, midfacial dysplasia and syndactyly, AS was considered. After antenatal consultation, the patient selected WES for further diagnosis and requested termination of the pregnancy.

At 31 5/7 wk of gestation, the patient received ultrasound-guided umbilical vein puncture plus amniotic cavity 6, 9-diamino2-ethoxylacridine lactate hydrate (Rivanol, Guangxi Hefeng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China) injection for labor induction. Umbilical cord blood (3.5 mL) was successfully extracted during the operation. The peripheral blood of the patient and her husband was simultaneously extracted for chromosome examination and core family WES analysis.

The fetus was stillborn 2 d after Rivanol injection with a weight of 2.3 kg, a pointed head, bulging forehead, collapsed nose root, protruding eyes, and complete syndactyly of the hands and feet (Figure 4A-D).
The fetus was stillborn 2 d after Rivanol injection. A: Whole stillborn infant; B and C: Complete syndactyly of the hands; D: Complete syndactyly of the feet.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Twenty-eight days after the operation, chromosome examination and WES showed that FGFR2 of the fetus had a c.755C>G missense mutation in its nucleotides (Figure 5). According to the relevant guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, the disease gene variation database and literature reports, we confirmed that c.755C>G was the pathogenic variation and AS was diagnosed. Neither parent had the same genetic mutation.

DISCUSSION

AS is one of the most common severe craniomaxillofacial deformity syndromes. It is characterized by craniofacial deformity and syndactyly, and is often accompanied by varying degrees of mental retardation and other abnormalities[5,6]. Premature coronal suture closure, acrocephaly, prominent forehead, abnormal development in the middle of the face, collapse of the nasal root, and syndactyly were found in our case, which were consistent with previous reports[7,8].

AS is an autosomal disorder and 98% of cases are caused by functional mutations in the FGFR2 gene located on chromosome 10[4]. The sudden mutation of S252W and P253R of the FGFR2 gene is the main cause of AS. Patients with the S252W mutation mainly present with craniofacial dysplasia, while those with the 253R mutation mainly present with syndactyly[9-11]. In the present case, WES indicated that the nucleotides of FGFR2 had a c.755C>G missense mutation, which changed the amino acid at position 252 from serine to tryptophan. Although the genetic mutation was S252W, craniofacial dysplasia and syndactyly were obvious. That means that the mutation of S252W is also related to syndactyly.

Older paternal age is considered to be a high risk factor for AS, and with increased paternal age, the frequency of gene FGFR2 mutations is also increased, and the corresponding incidence of AS is increased[12]. Advanced paternal age is not well defined at present, which is usually defined as ≥ 40 years of age at conception[13]. The 34-year-old father of this fetus does not belong to the high-risk category, but AS still occurred. This suggests that the older age of the father had little relationship with the occurrence of AS in this case.
It is difficult to diagnose AS with prenatal ultrasound. Most cases are found after birth. With the improvement of ultrasound detection technology, reports on the prenatal diagnosis of AS have increased, and most of them were found in the middle and late stages of pregnancy. In this case, 3D ultrasonography of the fetus in the second trimester showed no abnormalities, and the diagnosis was not made until the third trimester. We reviewed the 3D fetal ultrasound at 23, 5/7 wk of gestation and found suspicious images such as craniosynostosis (Figure 6A) and raised forehead (Figure 6B). It is speculated that missed diagnosis may be related to the low incidence and insufficient diagnostic experience and cognition of the disease. In addition, due to the close proximity between the fingers and toes of the fetus in utero and low mobility, ultrasound observation is not easy, which may also cause missed diagnosis. Ultrasound is the preferred method of prenatal screening, but it is affected by fetal position and resolution of the instrument\textsuperscript{14}. Fetal MRI can be used to scan various sections with high soft tissue resolution\textsuperscript{15}. The technical level of operators has little influence on resolution. In this case, fetal MRI was performed after abnormal ultrasound examination to confirm skull morphology and intracranial tissue, providing the morphological basis for the diagnosis of AS. Due to the poor quality of life of children with AS, ultrasound combined with MRI should be used to detect fetal malformations as early as possible, and further genetic testing can be performed to terminate the pregnancy in a timely manner, or children can receive rehabilitation treatment as soon as possible.

CONCLUSION

To improve the diagnosis of AS, prenatal ultrasound combined with MRI should be used to identify fetal morphological abnormalities as soon as possible. For AS diagnosed by imaging, WES can be used to finally confirm AS. These methods are important to help pregnant women choose whether to continue the pregnancy or to develop an early treatment plan for the newborn infant.
Figure 6 Three-dimensional fetal ultrasound at 23, 5/7 wk of gestation. A: Craniosynostosis; B: Raised forehead.
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