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Abstract. The quality of drinking water is dependent on its source(s) and means of collection. The water available for drinking in the study area is accessible through groundwater exploration. This research was carried out to determine the quality of water from the selected boreholes within the university and checked by the World Health Organization (WHO) drinking water standards for the various parameters considered. Samples were gotten from seven (7) of the sited boreholes and various physico-chemical parameter tests such as turbidity test, conductivity test, pH test, copper test, manganese test, chloride test, sulphate test, chromium test, nitrate test and cadmium test and bacteriological tests as total plate count, total coliform count and faecal coliform count were carried out following due procedure, precautions and the results analyzed. The results show that most samples were satisfactory for the tests carried out while only two (samples from Behind Admin and Engineering) were unsatisfactory in turbidity while one sample (sample from Back of College 2) was unsatisfactory in the cadmium test. WQI showed that the water samples had excellent qualities except for that from Back of College 2 which had poor quality and is unsuitable consumption.

1 Introduction

Groundwater is one of the most important sources for drinking water and domestic activities in Africa. It is likely to be less affected than surface resources by climate variability, higher temperatures, evaporation and contamination. While the people of Ado Ekiti have access to various water sources for their domestic usage, the most sought and reliable source of drinking water is the groundwater accessed through borehole (Oyebode et al., 2019). It is the cheapest of the reliable drinking water sources. Studies carried out by Odeyemi et al. (2013) and Oyebode et al. (2019) showed that other water sources in Ekiti are exposed too much more contamination including physical, chemical and bacteriological. The quality of water tells a lot about the wellbeing of the consumer and also indirectly influences economic productivity. Afe Babalola University Ado-Ekiti (ABUAD) is the most growing Nigerian university in recent times, having a population of over 9000 people. Majority of students and academic staff members prefer to drink water from packaged water in sachets and bottles because of lack of confidence in the purity level of the borehole waters in the institutions. Water supplied through boreholes in ABUAD for so long has been perceived as unhealthy for drinking by staff and students and this perception has led to the limited use and has created an inconvenience financially as students of the university have to spend money on the purchase of drinking water daily. This has also affected the environment in a lot of ways because plastic sachets and bottles are found in excess as a part of waste generated in the university. It is for this reason that this research is being carried out. This study was embarked on to begin the needed periodic assessment of the quality of groundwater in this area.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area and Sampling sites

The study area is ABUAD, a young private university having a population of about 11 000 people. It is located in eastern part of Ado-Ekiti along Ijan road, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, lying on area of about 130 ha within latitude 7°35′59.16″ and 7°36′31.32″ N and longitude 5°18′6.61″ and 5°18′37.56″ E. This research was carried out on water
samples taken from seven of the boreholes sited in ABUAD. ABUAD has a geology that is of basement complex, igneous rock of South-Western, Nigeria (Ogungbemi et al., 2013; Oyegoke et al., 2015; Oladimeji and Ogungbemi, 2013; Ogundana and Talabi, 2014) comprising of crystalline basement rocks which include coarse grained charnockite (the most abundant in Ado Ekiti), fine grained granite, medium grained granite and porphyritic biotite, medium grained granite and quartzite as its lithological rock units (Oyegoke et al., 2020). Its climate is tropical with distinct wet and dry seasons that are associated with the prevalence of maritime south westerly monsoon winds from the Atlantic Ocean and the dry continental north easterly harmattan winds from the Sahara Desert having abundant rainwater between May and October with substantial rainwater deficit between November and April (Oyegoke et al., 2020).

Physico-chemical parameters tests as well as bacteriological tests were carried out on the collected water samples from the boreholes according to the procedures outlined by the World Health Organisation standards for drinking water (WHO, 2004, 2006, 2008). The physico-chemical tests include turbidity test, electrical conductivity test, pH test, copper test, manganese test, chloride test, sulphate test, chromium test, nitrate test and cadmium test and the bacteriological tests include total plate count, total coliform count and faecal coliform count. Water quality Index (WQI) was used to analyse the parameters tested in the groundwater samples collected.

### 2.2 Water Quality Index

The weighted arithmetic index method was used to determine the WQI of groundwater from the selected locations. Thirteen physico-chemical parameters i.e. Turbidity, pH, EC, Cu$^{2+}$, NO$_3^−$, SO$_4^{2−}$, Cl$^−$, Cd$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Cr$^{2+}$, TPC, TCC, and FCC were used to calculate WQI. The formula to calculate the WQI is given as (Yisa and Jimoh, 2010; Tyagi et al., 2014):

\[ q_i = \frac{C_i}{S_i} \times 100 \]  

Where, \( q_i \), \( C_i \), and \( S_i \) indicate quality rating scale, concentration of \( i \)th parameter, and standard value of \( i \)th parameter respectively.

Relative weight, \( w_j = \frac{1}{S_i} \); 

and WQI \[ = \sum w_i q_i \sum w_i \]  

### 3 Result and Discussion

The results of the various parameter tests that were carried out on the collected samples are as presented in Table 2. Results in Table 2 when compared with the WHO standards for drinking water (WHO, 2004, 2006, 2008; Gray, 2008) show that, water samples taken from all boreholes except for those at Engineering (1.24 NTU) and Behind Admin (1.16 NTU) are of values greater than the set standard of 1 NTU in turbidity, samples from all the boreholes fall within the standards for pH of drinking water which between 6.5–8.5 and the electrical conductivity of 1000 µS/cm. Copper ions detected in the samples fall within acceptable limit of 2.00 mg/L by standard, the amount of nitrate contained in the samples falls within acceptable limit of 50.00 mg/L by standard, sulphate of the samples falls within acceptable limit of 100.00 mg/L by standard, the chloride ions in the samples fall within acceptable limit of 250.00 mg/L by standard, cadmium ion was only detected in the borehole at the Back of College 2 (0.004 mg/L) and was in an amount exceeding the acceptable limit of 0.003 mg/L by standard, the manganese ions in the samples fall within acceptable limit of 0.05 mg/L by standard, and WQI was used to calculate the WQI is given as (Yisa and Jimoh, 2010; Tyagi et al., 2014):
Table 2. Quality parameters for samples from boreholes.

| Samples                      | WEMA Hostel | Main Gate | New Female Hostel | Back of College 2 | Amphi-Theatre | Engineering | Behind Admin | WHO standards |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Turbidity (NTU)              | 0.56        | 0.62      | 0.8               | 0.59              | 0.48         | 1.24        | 1.16        | 1             |
| pH                           | 7.56        | 7.29      | 7.7               | 8.36              | 7.22         | 7.74        | 8.24        | 6.5–8.5       |
| Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | 582.1      | 316.7     | 212.1             | 587.2             | 204.4        | 285.1       | 419.2       | 1000          |
| Copper (mg/L)                | < 0.01      | 0.04      | < 0.01            | < 0.01            | < 0.01       | 0.03        | < 0.01      | 2             |
| Nitrate (mg/L)               | 0.02        | 0.01      | 0.01              | 0.02              | 0.04         | 0.22        | 0.01        | 50            |
| Sulphate (mg/L)              | 10.57       | 0.62      | 0.83              | 0.5               | 0.14         | 0.26        | 0.44        | 100           |
| Chloride (mg/L)              | 92          | 68.03     | 57.82             | 80.03             | 32.41        | 5.4         | 71.08       | 250           |
| Cadmium (mg/L)               | Not Detected| Not Detected| Not Detected     | 0.004             | Not Detected| Not Detected| Not Detected| 0.003         |
| Manganese (mg/L)             | 0.06        | 0.09      | 0.04              | 0.07              | 0.06         | 0.06        | 0.08        | 0.5           |
| Chromium (mg/L)              | < 0.001     | < 0.001   | Not Detected      | Not Detected      | < 0.001      | < 0.001     | Not Detected| 0.05          |
| Total Plate Count (cfu)      | 0           | 0         | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 0           | 0           | Not Detectable|
| Total Coliform Count (MPN/100 mL) | 0         | 0         | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 0           | 0           | Not Detectable|
| Faecal Coliform Count (cfu/mL) | 0        | 0         | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 0           | 0           | Not Detectable|

Table 3. Water Quality Index.

| SN  | Groundwater Location | WQI value | Water quality status     |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 1   | WEMA Hostel          | 0.2384    | Excellent water          |
| 2   | Main Gate            | 0.2852    | Excellent water          |
| 3   | New Female Hostel    | 0.2846    | Excellent water          |
| 4   | Back of College 2    | 124.77    | Poor quality water       |
| 5   | Amphi-Theatre        | 0.2079    | Excellent water          |
| 6   | Engineering          | 0.4342    | Excellent water          |
| 7   | Behind Admin         | 0.4423    | Excellent water          |

4 Conclusions

The results and analysis of the physico-chemical quality parameters of the water samples from the seven boreholes taken for this study in ABUAD revealed that, all water samples when compared with the permissible limits presented by WHO standard are satisfactory in pH, electrical conductivity, nitrate, sulphate and chloride; are satisfactory in examined heavy metal constituents except for that from borehole at Back of College 2 which was unsatisfactory in cadmium ion constituent. Turbidity level was within the limit for acceptability for samples from all boreholes except for those at Engineering and Behind Admin which will therefore need to be coagulated, filtered and disinfected before drinking. Investigation with Water Quality Index (WQI) calculation showed that all water samples were excellent quality except for samples collected at Back of College 2 which showed poor quality.

Results and analysis of the Total Plate Count, Total Coliform Count and Faecal Coliform Count revealed that there is no form of bacteriological contamination in all the borehole samples.

Water from the boreholes in ABUAD can generally be regarded as been good and fit for drinking and for domestic use while further investigation should be conducted on that at the Back of College 2.
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