One-step growth of multilayer-graphene hollow nanospheres via the self-elimination of SiC nuclei templates
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We introduce a one-step growth method for producing multilayer-graphene hollow nanospheres via a high-temperature chemical vapor deposition process using tetramethylsilane as an organic precursor. When the SiC nuclei were grown under an excess carbon atmosphere, they were surrounded via desorption of the hydrocarbon gas species, and graphene layers formed on the surface of the SiC nuclei via the rearrangement of solid carbon during the heating and cooling. The core SiC nuclei were spontaneously removed by the subsequent thermal decomposition, which also supplied the carbon for the graphene layers. Hence, multilayer-graphene hollow nanospheres were acquired via a one-step process, which was simply controlled by the growth temperature. In this growth process, the SiC nuclei acted as both the template and carbon source for the formation of multilayer-graphene hollow nanospheres.

Over the past few decades, research on carbon nanostructures has been extensively undertaken in various fields, such as electronic devices and energy systems- and bio-systems. Diverse shapes have also been developed, e.g., carbon nanotubes (CNTs)1, graphene2, carbon anions3–6, graphene oxides7,8, and carbon nanospheres filled with and without metal nanoparticles9–17. Moreover, complexes with carbon nanostructures have been used in applications such as Li-ion batteries18, supercapacitors19–21, photovoltaic devices22, thermal energy storage23, solar cells24, and thermoelectric devices25,26.

In particular, hollow carbon nanospheres have been utilized owing to their excellent performance, large reversible capacity, and good cyclability. For supercapacitors27 and Li-S batteries28, the large specific surface area and short diffusion distance of hollow carbon nanospheres can be advantages. Methods such as electron-, laser-, and chlorine-assisted methods have been developed to produce hollow carbon nanospheres11,13,27. However, the electron- and laser-assisted methods are not suitable for the mass production of graphitic carbon nanospheres11,13,27. Hence, an easier mass production method to produce high-quality hollow carbon nanospheres is essential for their future industrial feasibility.

Carbon nanostructures have been produced from various carbides, such as SiC18,30–32, TiC27, and Ti3AlC29, via the selective extraction of metal from carbides using chlorination and thermal treatments. Cambaz et al. reported the formation of a carbide-derived carbon on beta-SiC whiskers via heat treatment under a high vacuum atmosphere18. They observed that all the SiC whiskers completely transformed into graphitic whiskers above 2000 °C. Graphene30 and CNTs31,32 were also grown on carbides via the thermal decomposition of carbides and out-diffusion of carbon. These studies indicated that the carbides act as both a template and source for fabricating carbon nanostructures27–32. In addition, hydrocarbon gas species can be used as carbon sources, and a high temperature can promote the graphitization of the carbon nanostructures17. From the results of the previous
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research, the important factors for the production of graphitic carbon nanostructures are summarized as follows: (1) carbide templates, (2) thermal treatment at high temperatures, and 3) a hydrocarbon supply.

In consideration of these requirements, a high-temperature chemical vapor deposition (HTCVD) process could be a solution for the mass-production of graphitic carbon nanostructures. We previously reported the growth of 6H-SiC single crystals with a tetramethylysilane (TMS, Si(CH₂)₄₃) precursor using a HTCVD³⁵. Commonly, HTCVD has been used to grow crystalline bulk materials, mainly SiC ingots, with a wide band gap and a high melting temperature and a hydrocarbon supply. When the precursors are injected into the chamber of the HTCVD system with a carrier gas (H₂), they are thermally decomposed as the temperature increases. Passage through the ‘heat zone’ of the HTCVD system induces the formation of Si₃C clusters. When the Si₃C clusters reach the surface of the SiC seed crystals in the ‘growth zone’, they are adequate sources for growing the SiC crystals (Fig. S1). In a HTCVD process, mass production is possible because the sources, such as the gas species, are continuously supplied to the HTCVD chamber during the growth process. Moreover, the ratio of C to Si can be easily controlled via the flow of the source and carrier gases and the design of susceptors³³⁻³⁸,⁳⁹. This aspect is important because controlling the Si/C ratio determines whether SiC single crystals can be obtained without excess carbon. Finally, the operating temperature is very high (approximately 2000°C) and suitable for growing highly ordered crystalline materials. For these reasons, we are convinced that the unique characteristics of the HTCVD process create a favorable environment for the formation of graphitic carbon nanostructures.

In this study, we successfully demonstrated the growth of multilayer-graphene hollow nanospheres (MHNs) using a TMS-based HTCVD process. When a conventional CVD process was utilized for the fabrication of carbon nanostructures in previous reports, solid templates were separately prepared, and additional steps, such as the removal of the templates, were needed to obtain pure carbon nanostructures. In a TMS-based HTCVD process, however, the production of MHNs is simply controlled by the growth temperature. In addition, the template materials (SiC nuclei) can be continuously produced and spontaneously removed after the fabrication of the MHNs due to the high process temperature. This strategy facilitates a one-step process to produce MHNs without any follow-up steps. The comprehensive growth mechanisms of the MHNs are discussed with the experimental results and thermodynamic calculations herein.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1(a) presents the FESEM images of the products acquired at 1900°C and 2100°C. The SiC crystals and MHNs were easily distinguished by the small size of the MHNs (diameter <20 nm). Moreover, the FESEM images show the circular shape of the MHNs. In the XRD analyses (Fig. 1(b)), the SiC and graphite phases were found in the products acquired at 1900°C, but only the graphite phases were detected at 2100°C. All the peaks were indexed with 3C-SiC (JCPDS no. 29–1131) and graphite (JCPDS no. 41–1487). In the case of the product obtained at 1900°C, the corresponding peaks for 6H-SiC and 3C-SiC were found in the Raman analysis (Fig. S2).

In the FESEM- and TEM-EDS analyses, only C was detected in the product obtained at 2100°C, whereas both Si and C were found in the product obtained at 1900°C (Figs S3–S4). These results indicated that both SiC and graphite formed at 1900°C whereas only graphite formed at 2100°C.

Figure 1(c) presents the TEM images of the products acquired at 1900°C. A high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of the SiC crystal clearly shows the single-crystalline nature of 3C-SiC (inset of Fig. 1(c)). Notably, the SiC crystals were surrounded by graphene layers. We will explore this aspect later in detail. Figure 1(d) and (e) show the TEM images of the products obtained at 2100°C. Only the MHNs were observed, and they were composed of highly oriented graphene multilayers with empty interiors. The value of the d-spacing between the graphene layers was 0.365 nm, which was slightly larger than that of ideal graphite (0.335 nm)³⁶. The additional TEM images of the products obtained at 1900°C and 2100°C are shown in Fig. S5. Figure 2 presents the Raman spectrum of the MHNs acquired at 2100°C. The peaks at ~1354.5 cm⁻¹, ~1624 cm⁻¹, ~1585.5 cm⁻¹, and ~2700 cm⁻¹ represent the defect-induced peaks (D and D'), crystalline graphite peak (G) and second-order peak (2D), respectively, of graphite⁴¹,⁴². The I_D/I_G ratio was 0.79 ± 0.02. No SiC or Si peaks were found, which was consistent with the results of the EDS and XRD analyses (Figs 1b and 3–5). The results of the XRD, FESEM, and Raman analyses were also consistent with those from the XPS (Fig. S6). Finally, we confirmed that the formation of the MHNs and SiC crystals was governed by the growth temperature. MHNs without SiC crystals could be produced at temperatures over 2100°C.

Figure 3 presents the enlarged TEM images of the surface between the graphene layers and the SiC crystal, which is indicated by the red dotted circle in Fig. 1(c). The TEM images clearly indicated that the SiC crystal is surrounded by graphene layers. The HRTEM images of the red dotted squares in Fig. 3 show that the growth of the graphene layers started perpendicular to the surface of the SiC crystal (yellow arrows). This graphene growth behavior is similar to that reported in previous studies of the direct growth of graphene layers from SiC crystals. Recently, many research groups have demonstrated that graphene layers are directly grown via the thermal decomposition of bulk SiC at temperatures 1225°C–2000°C without additional sources in a vacuum or Ar gas atmosphere³⁶,⁴³,⁴⁴. The TEM analyses shown in Fig. 3 indicate that the C source for the graphene layers originated from the SiC crystals. A similar growth behavior was also observed at a low temperature (1500°C), as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4(a) and (b) show the TEM analyses of the products obtained at 1500°C. In this study, the MHNs obtained at different temperatures were named 'MHNs-temperature' (for example, MHN-1500). We found that some MHNs-1500 were not hollow, which was identified by the different contrast in the TEM images (Fig. 4(a) and Fig. S7). The TEM-EDS analysis (inset in Fig. 4(a)), HRTEM images (Fig. 4(b)), and Fast Fourier transform (FFT) (bottom and left inset of Fig. 4(b)) images show that SiC crystals with a small size (<15 nm) filled the interior of the MHNs-1500, which was not observed in the MHNs-2100. The small SiC crystals embedded in the MHNs were named 'SiC nuclei' to separate them from the SiC crystals. The HRTEM image (white dotted
circle in Fig. 4(b)) clearly shows the (102) plane with the d-spacing (0.252 nm) of 6H-SiC. Two bright dots in the FFT image represent the (101) and (102) planes of 6H-SiC. The results of the TEM analyses are further shown in Fig. S8.

We observed a unique growth form of the MHNs (top and right inset of Fig. 4(b) and (c)), which resembles bamboo. This growth form has been observed for the growth of CNTs with metal catalysts, such as Ni nanoparticles. When metal catalysts gradually decompose at high temperatures, the segregation or bulk diffusion of C atoms can form graphene layers. If the surface of the SiC nuclei thermally decomposes, e.g., in our case, the Si atoms can be removed, and graphene layers can form via self-organization of the remaining C atoms. Hence, the volume of the SiC nuclei gradually decreases, and the bamboo-like growth can form via the subsequent

**Figure 1.** (a) FESEM images and (b) XRD patterns of the products acquired at different temperatures (1900 °C and 2100 °C). S and G in the XRD patterns denote the SiC and graphite phases, respectively. TEM images of the product obtained at (c) 1900 °C and (d,e) 2100 °C. Inset in Fig. 1(c) is the HRTEM image of the SiC crystal.

**Figure 2.** Raman spectrum of the MHNs obtained at 2100 °C.
sublimation of the SiC nuclei (top and middle insets in Fig. 4(b,c), respectively)\textsuperscript{10,45}. From the results of TEM analyses (Figs 3–4), we confirmed that the growth of the graphene layers via the thermal decomposition of SiC provides important information about the growth mechanism of the MHNs. The SiC nuclei can act as templates and sources for the growth of the MHNs. It should be noted, however, that other sources (hydrocarbon gas species) were supplied during the TMS-based HTCVD process. This method is different from previous reports about the direct growth of graphene layers on SiC\textsuperscript{30,43,44}. There are two methods to grow graphene layers on SiC: 1) direct growth via the thermal decomposition of SiC without the supply of other C sources\textsuperscript{30,43,44} and 2) epitaxial growth with the supply of hydrocarbon gases such as propane\textsuperscript{46}. In the former case, the growth of the graphene layers is performed under a vacuum or under an Ar gas atmosphere, and no external sources are supplied except SiC\textsuperscript{30,43,44}. In the latter case, hydrocarbon gas species, such as CH\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, are supplied as the sources for the graphene layers\textsuperscript{17,46}. Considering our experimental conditions (extremely high temperature and the supply of C-containing gas species), the growth from the desorption of hydrocarbon species must be considered along with the direct growth from SiC because 27 gas species, such as CH\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, H, Si, and Si\textsubscript{2}C, are formed in the ‘growth zone’ during the TMS-based HTCVD (Fig. S9). Thus, we investigated the influence of the gas species on the growth of the graphene layers and SiC crystals using classical thermodynamic calculations (Fig. 5(a)).

The thermodynamic calculations were performed using the same parameters (working pressure, temperature, and starting materials) as the experimental conditions. Based on the Gibbs energy of formation of the compounds (pure substances) at the equilibrium state, the moles of the products (solids and gases) were obtained as a function of the temperature using a thermodynamic program (FactSage\textsuperscript{136}) as a function of the temperature. In the HTCVD system, the abnormal fluid flow of the unreacted gas species can occur near the outlet, which is called a ‘bottleneck’ phenomenon\textsuperscript{38,47}. This effect occurs due to the accumulation of carbon in the ‘growth zone’ via the condensation of unreacted gas species near the outlet. As a result, the formation of C(s) at high temperatures is enhanced, which was verified by the thermodynamic calculations\textsuperscript{38} and the mass/heat transfer analysis by the finite element method (FEM)\textsuperscript{47}. As carbon accumulates over time, TMS is directly injected into the carbon-accumulated atmosphere. Hence, the amount of carbon in the thermodynamic modeling can be estimated as the increasing carbon ratio per TMS molecule (ACR), \( ACR = \frac{\text{(the amount of the additional carbon/the amount of carbon in a TMS molecule)}}{100} \). In consideration of this factor, the thermodynamic equilibria of the solids as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. 5(a). When the temperature increased, the formed content (mole) of C(s) and SiC(s) gradually decreased (Fig. 5(a)). The moles of C(s) gradually decreased above 1500 °C, whereas that of SiC(s) decreased above 2000 °C. However, the moles of C(s) were much higher than that of SiC(s) with the increase in the ACR.

Based on the results of the experiments and the thermodynamic simulations, we propose a possible growth mechanism for the MHNs, as shown in Figs 5(b) and S10. When the TMS precursors are injected into the quartz tube for the HTCVD, they are thermally decomposed into Si- and C-containing gas species. If no bottleneck phenomenon occurs under the experimental conditions for obtaining only SiC(s), the SiC nuclei form via the chemical reaction between the Si- and C-containing gas species under the thermodynamic equilibrium state.
They grow into SiC crystals via both the continuous supply of the sources and coalescence with other SiC nuclei. Hence, high-quality SiC crystals can be grown.

However, the growth of the SiC nuclei can be disturbed by excess C(s). When C(s) is deposited on the surface of the SiC nuclei, it forms graphene layers, and the growth of the SiC nuclei may be limited by the layers because the formed-graphene layers act as passivation layers to prevent the supply of source molecules with a large size. As a result, the core SiC nuclei embedded in the MHNs may begin thermally decomposing due to the high thermal energy. When SiC(s) is stably formed at 1500 °C~2000 °C (Fig. 5(a)), some of the SiC nuclei can grow into large SiC crystals. However, this growth is difficult at 2100 °C because the formation of SiC(s) is unstable above 2000 °C (Fig. 5(a)). For this reason, no SiC crystals were found in the products obtained at 2100 °C.

When the growth process ends, the heating and supply of the TMS are stopped. At this time, only the vacuum was maintained as the temperature slowly cooled to room temperature over 2 hours. Until this atmosphere, it is possible for thermal decomposition of SiC to occur during the cooling because the thermal decomposition of bulk SiC occurs at low temperatures (~1500 °C) and in a short time (30 min) and the thermal characteristics of these materials are dependent on their size. For example, the melting temperatures of Sb2Te3 and GeTe nanowires are two-thirds lower than those of the bulk materials. Si may evaporate and pass between the graphene layers during the thermal decomposition of SiC. Hence, nanoscale SiC in graphene-encapsulated SiC nuclei can be completely removed during cooling after heating at high temperatures (at 1900 °C and 2100 °C). Therefore, this result can explain why the experimental results and thermodynamic calculations were different from each other at 2100 °C. Although the moles of C(s) and SiC(s) abruptly decreased with the increase in temperature, the results of the thermodynamic calculations indicated that they still formed at 1500 °C~2100 °C. However, only C(s) remained at 2100 °C in the real experiment (Fig. 1). To explain the reason for the discrepancy, we note that the thermodynamic calculations only show...
the reactions at the corresponding temperatures. In a real experiment, however, the entire growth process was divided into two steps (heating and cooling) as a function of the temperatures. The reactions during cooling are not considered by the thermodynamic calculations (Fig. 5(a)). At low temperatures (below 1500 °C), the complete removal of the core SiC nuclei may not occur due to the insufficiency of thermal energy, even if the reaction in the cooling step was considered. Thus, traces of the SiC nuclei remained in the MHNs-1500 (Fig. 4(b)).

We believe that this simple and efficient method can be used for the mass-production of graphitic carbon nanospheres for various applications, such as supercapacitors, gas sensors, energy storage and conversion, and biomedical systems, and contribute to a better understanding of the fabrication mechanisms and crystal growth behaviors of carbon nanostructures.

Conclusions

In summary, we successfully demonstrated a one-step growth of MHNs using a TMS-based HTCVD process. When the TMS precursors were injected into the heating zone of the HTCVD, SiC nuclei formed via the chemical reaction between the Si- and C-containing species. When an excess carbon atmosphere was reached in the growth zone, solid C was deposited on the surface of the SiC nuclei via desorption of the hydrocarbon species, and the growth of the SiC nuclei was disturbed the species. When the SiC nuclei were completely covered by graphene layers, which formed via a rearrangement of the excess solid C, they began to thermally decompose. Through the heating and cooling in the HTCVD process, the SiC nuclei embedded in the MHNs completely disappeared due to the size effect. This process enabled the MHNs to form, and the production of MHNs without SiC nuclei/crystals occurred at 2100°C. The one-step growth of MHNs was possible due to the sequential formation and elimination of the SiC templates, the high temperature process, and the supply of hydrocarbons. The growth mechanism of the MHNs was established by comparing the experimental results and the thermodynamic simulations.

Methods

Preparation of MHNs using the HTCVD process. The HTCVD system consists of a vertical-type tube furnace with a quartz tube, which is surrounded by the cooling water and induction coils (Fig. S1). The induction heating was adjusted in the HTCVD process to control the growth temperature with graphite susceptors. More detailed information about the HTCVD is given in our previous reports. TMS (99.9%) (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and H₂ (99.999%) were used as a source precursor and a carrier gas, respectively. The flow ratio of H₂/TMS was fixed at 320, which was controlled by a mass flow controller (MFC). The working pressure, growth temperature, and growth time were 550 Torr, 1500°C~2100 °C, and 1 hour, respectively. After the growth process, the temperature slowly cooled to room temperature.

Characterization. The structural properties of the samples were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (D/MAX-2500/PC, Rigaku), micro-Raman spectroscopy (LabRAM ARAMIS, Horiba Jobin-Yvon) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEOL-2100F, JEOL) techniques. The Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154056 nm) with the 2 theta mode and 514.5 nm Ar⁺ ion laser was adjusted for the XRD and Raman instruments, respectively. The morphological and compositional analyses of all the samples were performed by both TEM and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) (ISM-7001F, JEOL) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Samples were fixed on the holder with carbon tape, and the FESEM analysis was carried out. For the TEM analysis preparation, the samples were mixed with ethanol and dispersed onto Cu grids to evenly disperse the samples. The thermodynamic calculations were performed using FactSage™ 6.4 software with the FactPS database.
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