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Abstract
Several tectonic processes combine to produce the crustal deformation observed across the Cascadia margin: (1) Cascadia subduction, (2) the northward propagation of the Mendocino Triple Junction (MTJ), (3) the translation of the Sierra Nevada–Great Valley (SNGV) block along the Eastern California Shear Zone–Walker Lane and, (3) extension in the northwestern Basin and Range, east of the Cascade Arc. The superposition of deformation associated with these processes produces the present-day GPS velocity field. North of ~ 45° N observed crustal displacements are consistent with inter-seismic subduction coupling. South of ~ 45° N, NNW-directed crustal shortening produced by the Mendocino crustal conveyor (MCC) and deformation associated with SNGV-block motion overprint the NE-directed Cascadia subduction coupling signal. Embedded in this overall pattern of crustal deformation is the rigid translation of the Klamath terrane, bounded on its north and west by localized zones of deformation. Since the MCC and SNGV processes migrate northward, their impact on the crustal deformation in southern Cascadia is a relatively recent phenomenon, since ~ 2 – 3 Ma.
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Introduction
The development of dense, continuous GPS networks in subduction zones has provided key upper-plate displacement data that can be used to infer the nature of plate coupling along the megathrust interface. This subduction coupling signal is interpreted to be largely ephemeral, with upper-plate displacements recovered during slip events on the plate interface including both relatively regularly occurring episodic tremor and slip (Rogers and Dragert 2003; McKenzie et al. 2020) and infrequent but substantial megathrust earthquakes (Satake 2015; Moreno et al. 2018). In many subduction zones, for example along Middle America, this subduction coupling signal is overprinted by the effects of other tectonic processes; generating a hybrid signal that cannot directly be used to either constrain megathrust coupling or define the effects of these non-subduction tectonic processes (LaFemina et al. 2009; Morell et al. 2012). Such a hybrid signal occurs along the Cascadia subduction zone (Fig. 1), where subduction coupling is overprinted by non-subduction tectonic processes, especially in southern Cascadia. In particular, associated with the NNW migration of the Mendocino Triple Junction (MTJ), the Mendocino crustal conveyor (MCC) produces crustal shortening, and associated crustal thickening and uplift in advance of the MTJ (Furlong and Govers 1999; Lock et al. 2006). This crustal deformation is geologically long-lived and, based on modeling, should extend several 100s of km beyond the MTJ. Inboard (east) of the MCC deformation, the Sierra Nevada–Great Valley (SNGV) block is also migrating northwestward (Dixon et al. 2000, Bennet et al. 2003) (at a substantially lower rate than the MTJ), with its motion impinging on the inboard regions of southern Cascadia (Fig. 1). The geographical extent of deformation associated with these two tectonic drivers is undefined, but in both cases, the deformational signal will be...
superimposed on the subduction coupling signal. In this study, we decompose the observed Cascadia GPS velocity field into its subduction-driven and non-subduction components and we analyze the non-subduction components to assess the tectonic effects of MCC and SNGV tectonics on the crust of southern Cascadia.

Active tectonic processes deforming Cascadia

In Cascadia, we see the expected NE-directed subduction coupling signal (with respect to stable North America) north of ~45° N (Fig. 2). South of ~45° N, the GPS velocity field deviates from a subduction coupling displacement pattern, which precludes using a simple data inversion to infer subduction coupling (Additional file 1: Figure S1). South of the MTJ, observed GPS velocities reflect motion across the Pacific–(SNGV)–North America plate boundary system, directed to the NW–NNW. From immediately south of the MTJ to ~45° N, the directions of upper-plate velocities rotate smoothly from NNW (sub-parallel to the direction of San Andreas shear motion) to NE (sub-parallel to the direction of Cascadia subduction convergence) (Fig. 2). Through our decomposition, we can assess if this clockwise rotation of GPS velocities in southern Cascadia can be the result of a superimposition of a MCC/SNGV driven NNW-directed velocity field (that is expected to decay in magnitude from south to north) onto a NE-directed velocity field (produced by subduction coupling). North of ~45° N, since the effects of the MCC/SNGV driven deformation are likely quite small, the directions of upper-plate GPS velocities predominantly reflect subduction coupling, and fall within a ~10° range centered on the Juan de Fuca–North America relative plate motions (Fig. 2, Additional file 1: Table S1).

Cascadia subduction

Along the Cascadia subduction zone, the Juan de Fuca (and Gorda) plate(s) subducts obliquely beneath North America. This oblique convergence does not appear to partition into trench-parallel and trench-perpendicular components, as happens in some obliquely convergent subduction zones (Cashman et al. 1992; McCaffrey et al. 2007)....
Therefore, the expected signal of plate coupling on the subduction interface in Cascadia is NE-directed upper-plate shortening with larger displacements close to the trench that decrease with distance landward. This pattern is seen in the GPS data north of ~45°N, but is obscured in southern Cascadia (Fig. 2).

Northward advance of the Mendocino Triple Junction via the Mendocino Crustal Conveyor

The MTJ is migrating to the NNW at ~50 km/Myr with respect to North America (Furlong 1984; DeMets et al. 2010). Associated with this migration is NNW-directed (permanent) crustal shortening in advance of the MTJ, described by the MCC model (Furlong and Govers 1999; Furlong et al. 2003; Furlong and Schwartz 2004). North of the MTJ, the region of expected crustal shortening coincides with observed increases in crustal thickness (Beaudoin et al. 1996, 1998), geodetically-measured high uplift rates (Fig. 1; Blewitt et al. 2018; Burgette et al. 2009)), relatively high erosion and rock uplift rates, and relatively high elevations (Lock et al. 2006; Balco et al. 2013; Roering et al. 2015; Bennett et al. 2016). This crustal deformation can be seen in a NNW-striking surface displacement field that decays with distance north of the MTJ.

The footprint of shortening, based on the MCC model, should extend ~200 km north of the MTJ, with the width of this deformation controlled by the geometry of the slab window (~100–150 km wide (Furlong and Govers 1999; Furlong and Schwartz 2004)). The footprint of this NNW-shortening will overlap with the NE-directed subduction earthquake cycle deformation in southwest Cascadia (Fig. 1).

Northward motion of the Sierra Nevada–Great Valley block

Adjacent to (east of) the region of MCC deformation, the SNGV–block, a rigid microplate, translates to the NNW along the northern Eastern California Shear Zone–Walker Lane (nECSZ-WL) shear zones at ~11–13 mm/year (Argus and Gordon 1991; Dixon et al. 2000; Plattner et al. 2010) relative to North America. The motion along the nECSZ initiated in the 12–6 Ma interval with significant northward motion of the SNGV beginning by 6 Ma (McQuarrie and Wernicke 2005; Plattner et al. 2010). The northward motion of the SNGV block, similar to the MCC, will likely produce a NNW-directed shortening signal in southern Cascadia extending some distance to the north (Fig. 1).
Decomposition
We decompose the present-day, observed GPS velocity field (Fig. 2) into two principle components: one directed to the NE (parallel to the Juan de Fuca–North America relative plate motions) and a second directed to the NNW (reflecting effects of the motion of the MTJ and the SNGV block with respect to North America) (Fig. 1). This separates the subduction component (largely recoverable over an earthquake cycle) from a NNW-directed geologic (shortening) component. Since Cascadia arc volcanism may also produce a signal recorded at some GPS stations, in our analysis, we do not use the GPS stations associated with volcanic centers.

Decomposition methodology
We define the direction of the subduction signal using the MORVEL Juan de Fuca–North America relative plate motions (DeMets et al. 2010), and treat the MCC and SNGV components in combination, since the direction of the SNGV block motion (~ N50W (McCaffrey 2005)) and the direction of the MTJ migration (~ N60W (DeMets et al. 2010)) are approximately sub-parallel. We have tested the effects of using varying directions for the combination of these two NNW tectonic drivers, and find that the resulting component velocity fields are very similar (Additional file 1: Figure S2). As would be expected, SNGV–North America motion (McCaffrey 2005) better explains the observed GPS velocities east of ~ 123° W (Fig. 3), and motions closest to the triple junction are better represented by a slightly more northerly directed motion (i.e., the Pacific–SNGV or Pacific–North America relative motions).

Decomposition results
Results of our GPS vector decomposition are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The subduction coupling component of the GPS velocity field, typical of most subduction systems, is characterized by relatively high velocities at the coastline, closest to the trench, that decrease moving landward (Figs. 3b and 4a). The distance over which this decrease occurs, varies along the margin from north to south. NE-striking profiles (Fig. 4a) in central Cascadia (at ~ 44° N) show that the velocities decay to a background level of ~ 2–4 mm/year, ~ 300–350 km from the coastline (measured in the direction of relative plate motion), while further south, the velocities decay to ~ 2–4 mm/year within 200 km landward of the coastline (Fig. 4a). North of 45° N, the subduction field dominates and the decomposed subduction component comprises more

Fig. 3 Cascadia GPS vector decomposition results. a Example vector triangles illustrating the decomposition methodology (V_{GPS: observed GPS velocity; V_{CSZ: Cascadia subduction zone component of velocity; V_{NNW: NNW-shortening component of velocity) and general patterns from north to south. Maps showing the decomposition results. b NE-directed (subduction coupling) component of the GPS velocity field. c NNW-directed (shortening) component of the GPS velocity field
than 80% of the total GPS velocity field (Additional file 1: Figure S4).

The NNW component of the GPS velocity field, which decreases from south to north, is 5 mm/year or greater to ~ 44° N, approximately 400 km north of the MTJ (Fig. 4c). North of this, the NNW component decreases to ~ 2 mm/year or less by 47° N (within the uncertainty of our analyses) (Fig. 4b). NNW-striking and NE-striking profiles through this component of the velocity field reveal details of the footprint of the MCC and SNGV components of the velocity field (Fig. 4). A NNW-directed profile in southwest Cascadia (where velocities are dominated by MCC effects), records a steep decrease in the NNW component over ~ 50 km. This suggests relatively high NNW-directed shortening in southwest Cascadia west of the Klamath terrane (immediately north of the MTJ). East of this, a second NNW profile shows that, in contrast, within the Klamath terrane, velocities are relatively constant, at ~ 8–12 mm/year; implying the upper plate (Klamath terrane) is behaving relatively rigidly (Fig. 4c and Additional file 1: Figure S3). Similarly, NE profiles in southern Cascadia show relatively constant velocities across the Klamath terrane (Fig. 4b and Additional file 1: S3). However, to the west of the Klamath terrane, these NE profiles record a distinctive decrease in velocities from ~ 35 mm/year to ~ 10 mm/year over ~ 200 km (Fig. 4b). To the east of the (rigid) Klamath terrane, these NE profiles, velocities decrease again reaching < 5 mm/year (Figs. 4b, 4c, Additional file 1: S3).

In northern Cascadia, NE profiles through the NNW component (at ~ 44° N) show a gradual decay from 5 mm/year to 1 mm/year or less over 500 km from the coastline (Fig. 4b).

Discussion

Rigid versus deformable upper plate

Our results show that the upper-plate displacements/velocities (recorded by GPS data) in Cascadia can be described by the combination of an ephemeral subduction coupling signal and NNW-directed deformation produced by non-subduction tectonic processes (Figs. 3 and 4)—the advance of the MCC (Furlong and Govers 1999) and the SNGV block (Dixon et al. 2000). These non-subduction tectonic processes, unlike subduction coupling, produce significant, long-lived deformation in southern Cascadia. In some previous studies, the observed GPS data (north of ~ 41° N) have been alternatively interpreted as representing the superposition of the subduction coupling signal with an upper-plate that is acting as a rigid rotating block (or blocks) (i.e., Wells et al. 1998; Savage et al. 2000; McCaffrey et al. 2000, 2007, 2013; McCaffrey 2005). The assumption of a rotating, rigid upper plate implies little internal deformation in Cascadia, except that associated with subduction coupling and along block boundaries. We have compared our GPS decomposition results to the results of GPS decompositions that assume an upper-plate block rotation (specifically the single block model (m01r) and the multi-block model (m05G) from McCaffrey et al. (2007)). In our analyses, as described in the “Decomposition methodology” section, we assumed the direction of the subduction velocity field and the direction of MCC/SNGV velocity fields. We then decomposed the observed GPS velocity field into these
two assumed directions and, thus, the magnitude of each component is defined by the observed GPS (non-decomposed) velocities (Fig. 3a). In the various rotating block models, in contrast, both the direction and magnitude of the rotation velocity in each block are determined by rotation poles. The subduction component in these models, that assume rigid block rotations, is then the difference between the rigid rotations (calculated from each block’s pole of rotation) and the observed GPS. One consequence of this approach is that the azimuth of the subduction component varies with location and often differs significantly (~20°) from the direction of relative plate motions. To assess the implications of that issue, we have also compared our decomposition results to rotating block models that assume the direction of the subduction coupling component (given by the Juan de Fuca–North America relative plate motions) and the direction (but not the magnitude) of the block rotation (given by each block’s rotation pole). We then decompose the observed GPS velocity field into these two directions—similar to how we did our decomposition.

The subduction components of the GPS velocity field in the model presented here and the block models (single and multi-block) are similar in magnitude (Additional file 1: Figure S5), with the main difference being (as noted above) in the orientation of the subduction component between relative plate motions and the orientation of the residual subduction component after removing rigid block motions (Additional file 1: Figure S6). In the rigid block case, in general, the direction of the subduction component is more eastward and varies significantly between and within blocks (Additional file 1: Figure S6). In our analyses we have found that north of 45° N, the observed GPS velocity field is well described considering a subduction coupling signal alone (Fig. 2 and Additional file 1: Figure S1). Although the NNW-directed GPS component (from our decomposition) and the displacement direction of the blocks are similar in southern Cascadia (south of 45° N) (Additional file 1: Figure S6), the block rotation models do not include internal deformation within blocks. This is inconsistent with geologic observations in southern Cascadia, including crustal thickening (Beaudoin et al. 1996; Beaudoin et al. 1988; Hayes and Furlong 2007), quaternary active faulting (Kelsey and Carver 1988) and high present-day and sustained geologic uplift rates (Burgette et al. 2009; Balco et al. 2013).

**Spatial variations in the subduction component**

The overall pattern of the subduction component of the GPS velocity field represents the characteristics (i.e., geometry and slip deficit) of Cascadia subduction coupling. The distance over which the velocities decrease in southern Cascadia, however, is shorter than in northern Cascadia. This could be caused by several factors including a narrower coupled zone in southern Cascadia or alternatively different materials in the upper plate that accommodate inter-seismic deformation differently (McKenzie and Furlong 2020). The transition from a narrower to wider zone of decreasing velocities is at approximately 43° N and may also reflect one of several major changes in the subduction system. It coincides with a transition in the character of the down-going plate from south (Gorda) to north (Juan de Fuca) (Wilson 1989), a change in the geology of the upper plate from the Klamath terrane (south) to the Siletzia terrane (north), and changes in recent and current observations of upper-plate uplift and exhumation (Burgette et al. 2009; Balco et al. 2013). Although there are some differences in the character of the upper plate and subducting plate, the dip of the plate interface stays relatively similar (to ~35 km depth) across this transition (Hayes 2018).

**Permanent deformation driven by MCC/SNGV motions**

The systematic decrease in the magnitude of the NNW-directed component of the GPS velocity field in southwest Cascadia is indicative of a NNW-shortening strain with strain rates on the order of $10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4c). We expect that this pattern in the NNW-velocity field also continues offshore. This NNW-directed shortening strain in southwest Cascadia is consistent with the rates, areal extent and effects (crustal thickening and tectonic uplift) from the MCC process and coincides with the location of active quaternary faults (Kelsey and Carver 1988) and evidence for ongoing active uplift in the forearc (Burgette et al. 2009; Balco et al. 2013). The crustal thickness in this region (determined from seismicity, the depth to the plate interface and seismic tomography) increases from north to south along a SSE-striking profile towards the MTJ from ~20 km (at ~42° N) to ~30 km at the MTJ (Furlong and Schwartz 2004). Further to the east, the SNGV block impinges on the Klamath terrane and the NNW-velocity component within the Klamath terrane remains relatively constant at ~8–12 mm/year (Figs. 4b and Additional file 1: S3). We interpret this to be a result of the Klamath terrane (primarily composed of plutonic and metamorphic rocks (Hotz 1971)) effectively behaving rigidly to the push on its southern boundary. The relatively high velocities of 8–12 mm/year within the Klamath terrane (comparable in magnitude to the SNGV motions; (Dixon et al. 2000)) suggest that MCC deformation may also be contributing to the motion of the Klamath terrane.

The steep slope seen in NNW velocities seen in the NE-trending profiles in southwest Cascadia and their flattening at the boundary to the Klamath terrane (Fig. 4b) suggests localized right-lateral strain adjacent to the terrane. This slope change is a result of MCC displacements.
being greater (i.e., producing higher upper-plate velocities) in southern Cascadia than the SNGV block to its east. The location of this slope change corresponds to several (active) NNW-trending right-lateral strike-slip faults/fault zones within the upper plate, including the Grogan fault, the Lost Man fault, and the Bald Mountain fault zone (Fig. 1) (Kelsey and Carver 1988).

**Signature of competing tectonic processes**

The NNW component of the observed GPS velocity field in southwest Cascadia produces a NNW-directed shortening strain in the upper plate (shown by the rapid decrease in velocities from south to north (Fig. 4c)). Although there is shallow background seismicity (Mw 2.5–5) within the upper plate in southern Cascadia, any significant (> Mw 5) seismicity within the southern Cascadia subduction zone appears to be at depths consistent with being within the subducting plate, and not in the upper plate or along the plate interface (Additional file 1: Figure S7). This means we cannot easily use the present-day earthquake record in this region to evaluate strain. However, there are many Quaternary active structures in this region that record a relatively complex strain pattern. There are several upper plate trench-parallel reverse faults (i.e., the northern Little Salmon fault (LSF) and Mad River fault zone (Fig. 1)) and folds within southwest Cascadia, mapped both onshore and offshore (Kelsey and Carver 1988; McCrory 2000), that similar to other subduction zones, record permanent (albeit small) subduction earthquake cycle upper-plate deformation. Other structures within southwest Cascadia, for example the Russ and Capetown faults (Fig. 1), show evidence for both strike-slip and reverse faulting, implying that multiple tectonic regimes may act in the region (Kelsey and Carver 1988). To the east of the Russ and Capetown faults is the LSF, an approximately NW striking low-angle reverse fault. The northern section of the LSF trends NNW, whereas its southern section trends NW, indicating that the fault is potentially responding to competing tectonic processes. Adjacent and sub-parallel to the LSF is the Goose Lake fault that has evidence of right-lateral strike-slip motion (Ladinsky et al. 2019; Bold et al. 2020).

As well as active faulting, there is significant uplift in southern Cascadia that has been sustained over the Quaternary (Balco et al. 2013). Present-day (geodetic) rates of uplift in southern Cascadia are ~ 1–4 mm/year along the coastline from the MTJ to ~ 43° N (Fig. 1) (Burgette et al. 2009; Blewitt et al. 2018). Marine terrace uplift rates during the Quaternary across Cascadia record higher uplift rates in southern Cascadia (~ 0.25 mm/year, 6–25% of the present-day geodetic uplift signal) compared with central Cascadia (~ 0.1 mm/year, < 10% of the present-day geodetic uplift signal) (Kelsey et al. 1994). The near-coastal region of southern Cascadia from the MTJ to ~ 43° N is also characterized by high elevations, high relief (Fig. 1), high channel steepness indices and high basin average erosion rates compared to the region of Cascadia to the north (Balco et al. 2013; Worms et al. 2020; Kirby et al. 2020). These observations all indicate a landscape that is experiencing sustained uplift. This is in conflict with most subduction cycle models, which indicate that the coastline located above or near the locked patch(es) of a subduction zone subsides during the inter-seismic period (e.g., Govers et al. 2018). Typically, this inter-seismic subduction earthquake cycle subsidence would be (largely) recovered by co-seismic and post-seismic vertical motions over multiple subduction earthquake cycles. The permanent uplift observed in southern Cascadia, localized to the forearc region that is also experiencing NNW-shortening related to the MCC, likely reflects the combination of permanent NNW-shortening with small amounts of un-recovered subduction-related shortening within the upper plate, west of the Klamath terrane (McKenzie and Furlong 2020).

**Migration of the MTJ and SNGV over 6 million years**

The GPS velocity field records a snapshot of present-day deformation; however, MCC shortening and the SNGV block are and have been migrating northward over the last 6 million years. This leads to an evolution in the tectonics of southern Cascadia. To determine how this migrating plate boundary has affected deformation in southern Cascadia, we reconstruct plate tectonic interactions over the last 6 million years (Figs. 5 and 6). At 6 Ma, the MTJ and its associated region of active crustal shortening (migrating to the north at ~ 50 km/Myr (Furlong and Govers 1999; Lock et al. 2006)) would have been approximately 300 km south of their current location (Fig. 5). Therefore, at 6 Ma, locations currently in southern Cascadia would have experienced deformation dominated largely by NE-directed subduction coupling effects. From ~ 6 Ma to present, with the increasing addition of the MCC-driven NNW motions, GPS velocities (a proxy for deformational conditions) in southern Cascadia will have undergone a counter-clockwise rotation (Fig. 5). In the future, as the MTJ and SNGV block continue to migrate northward, the North America upper plate in southern Cascadia will transfer from the Cascadia subduction zone to become part of the San Andreas plate boundary system.

Evidence for such a deformation field that initially records subduction deformation followed by a combination of NE-and NNW-shortening (and some right-lateral translation) (Figs. 5 and 6), that later becomes purely right-lateral, is preserved in the faulting history south of the MTJ (Kelsey and Carver 1988). The NNW-striking
Dean Creek and Temblor faults (Figs. 1 and 6), both located south of the present-day MTJ, record low-angle reverse faulting of Franciscan basement above Neogene units (Kelsey and Carver 1988); however, the lack of Quaternary offset across these reverse faults suggests they may no longer be active. Sub-parallel to the Dean Creek and Temblor faults are the Garberville and Lake Mountain fault zones (Figs. 1 and 6) that accommodate right-lateral motion. In particular right-lateral offsets of the Coastal Belt Thrust (across the Garberville fault zone) imply right-lateral motion post-dates contraction.

Similarly, right-lateral faulting within the Lake Mountain fault zone offsets the Temblor fault (that records earlier reverse motion). These observations of recent right-lateral strike-slip faulting that post-date low-angle thrust faulting are evidence for an evolving tectonic regime that was first dominated by NE-SW shortening, assumed to be associated with subduction coupling, followed by NNW-trending right-lateral translation. Although this right-lateral faulting occurs within the San Andreas transform plate boundary, the region of right-lateral strain accumulation at the western boundary of the Klamath terrane
(identified here (Figs. 4c and 6)), suggests the initiation of right-lateral motion on (and the development of) these faults may have initiated prior to passage of the MTJ. At present, several right-lateral strike-slip faults (including the Grogan Fault) appear to be accommodating this right-lateral motion north of the MTJ.

This evolution in the tectonics of southern Cascadia with the migration of the MTJ and SNGV block has implications for how we interpret geologic observations. For example, one piece of geologic evidence used in support of the rigid upper-plate rotation is the observed paleomagnetic rotations within Cascadia (Wells and McCaffrey 2013). Present-day block rotation rates of ~ 1°/Myear (McCaffrey et al. 2007), combined with total observed paleomagnetic rotations imply a ~ 16 Ma duration to the block rotations. However, since the MTJ was substantially further south (as recently as 5–6 Ma), and if it (and the SNGV block) are important in driving the translation/rotation of the coastal blocks, then this block rotation would have also migrated northward through time. This is inconsistent with the duration of rotation recorded by paleomagnetic data (of ~16 Ma) and so paleomagnetic data may instead reflect local vertical axis rotations, for example from variable shortening rates across upper-plate trench-perpendicular strike-slip faults and/or variations in coupling on the subduction interface. It should also be noted that the direction of motion of a location in the Cascadia forearc undergoes a counter-clockwise rotation over time as a consequence of the migration of the MTJ and SNGV block.

**Active tectonics driven by Klamath terrane motions**

Our results indicate that at present the Klamath terrane is effectively behaving as a rigid block in response to subduction coupling and NNW-striking tectonic forces. As a result it is translating to the NNW (at ~8–12 mm/year) with little internal deformation. The lack of present-day seismicity (Additional file 1: Figure S7) and internal deformation with the Klamath terrane is consistent with results from Piotraschke et al. (2015) which showed that the primary uplift (seen in rapid exhumation) of the Klamath terrane occurred during two periods of exhumation in the Late Cretaceous–Paleocene and the Oligocene–Miocene. Although the landscape of the Klamath terrane shows current adjustments and crustal thicknesses of ~35–40 km (Liu et al. 2012; Beaudoin et al. 1996), the overall high elevations of the terrane appear to be a middle to late Miocene feature. In contrast, NW of the Klamath terrane is a region of high geodetic uplift rates (Fig. 1) (~1–4 mm/year (Burgett et al. 2009; Blewitt et al. 2018)), high basin average erosion rates (Balco et al. 2013), high relief (Fig. 1b), high normalized channel
steepness indices (Kirby et al. 2020; Worms et al. 2020) and crustal thicknesses of 25–35 km (Porritt et al. 2011; Delph et al. 2021). Since the SNGV block has moved significantly less than the MTJ (Porritt et al. 2011; Delph et al. 2021), the NNW component of the GPS velocity field shows significantly less than the MTJ over the past 6 million years, SNGV-related tectonic forcing reached the Klamath terrane region prior to MCC-related deformation (Figs. 5 and 6), suggesting that shortening in this region NW of the Klamath terrane may have begun at 3–4 Ma, and then accelerated once the footprint of MCC-related deformation reached the region (Fig. 6). This implies that the relatively high topography and relief that makes up the Siskiyou Mountains developed and began eroding significantly over the last few million years.

Conclusions
Several tectonic processes (subduction coupling, the MCC effect and SNGV block northward motion) are deforming the Cascadia upper plate and their superposition is seen in both the present-day GPS velocity field and the geologic record. We have found that north of ~45° N, at present, subduction coupling is the principal driver of upper-plate deformation. However, south of ~45° N, the MCC effect and northward motion of the SNGV block have a significant influence on upper-plate deformation. The superposition of NNW-trending tectonic drivers on the subduction coupling signal produces an apparent clockwise rotation in the GPS velocity field north of the MTJ to ~45° N. Consistent with MCC model results, the NNW component of the GPS velocity field shows significant NNW-shortening in SW Cascadia. In contrast, the NNW motion of the SNGV block (combined with the MCC effect) appears to be “pushing” the Klamath terrane rigidly and causing both localized shortening along its northwest boundary and right-lateral strain across its western boundary.
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