Cold Pressor Stress Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Myocardial Flow Reserve Is Not Useful for Detection of Coronary Endothelial Dysfunction in Women with Signs and Symptoms of Ischemia and No Obstructive CAD
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Abstract

Background
Coronary endothelial function testing using acetylcholine is not routinely available, while non-pharmacological cold pressor testing (CPT) is considered an endothelial stressor. Non-invasive cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI) myocardial perfusion reserve index (MPRI) can detect coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD). We evaluated if CPT stress CMRI MPRI could detect invasive coronary endothelial dysfunction.

Methods
Coronary reactivity testing was performed in 189 women with symptoms and signs of ischemic but no obstructive coronary artery disease as previously described plus CPT stress. Subjects also underwent pharmacologic and CPT stress during CMRI (1.5 T). Statistical analysis comparing CPT MPRI between groups was performed by Welch’s t-test and Mann-Whitney where appropriate. Anderson-Darling test and Levene test were considered to verify the normality and homogeneity of variances assumptions. Correlation analyses between CPT MPRI and both invasive and noninvasive measures of CMD were performed using Spearman correlation.

Results
While CPT MPRI correlated with pharmacological stress MPRI, it did not correlate with invasive measures of CMD including invasively measured responses to intracoronary (IC) adenosine, IC acetylcholine, CPT, or IC nitroglycerin. Additionally CPT MPRI was not
Cold Pressor Stress Cardiac MRI in Women with Suspected CMD

Women with symptoms and signs of ischemia and no obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD) by angiography frequently have coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD)[1, 2], which carries an adverse prognosis for cardiovascular events including myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death compared to normal controls. [3–11]

Treatment targeting endothelial dysfunction can reduce angina, coronary spasm, heart failure, and stroke. [12–15] It is therefore important to establish the diagnosis in order to provide appropriate medical management.

The gold standard for diagnosis of CMD is invasive coronary reactivity testing (CRT). [16] While CRT has been shown to be safe [16] it is time consuming and requires an experienced interventionalist with advanced training to perform, and therefore is not routinely available.

Studies have demonstrated that cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI) with myocardial perfusion imaging has been shown to be predictive of death, MI, hospitalization for worsening angina in women with CMD. [17] Myocardial perfusion reserve index (MPRI), a semi-quantitative measurement on CMRI, has shown promise for non-invasive detection of CMD. Pharmacologic vasodilator stress MPRI (adenosine or regadenoson) is reduced in women with angina and coronary endothelial dysfunction, and predicts presence of invasive CRT abnormality. [18]

Cold pressor testing (CPT) is a non-pharmacologic stressor [19] which has been shown to elicit the same endothelial dependent response in the coronary microvasculature. [19–21] We hypothesized that CPT stress MPRI could detect invasive coronary endothelial dysfunction.

Methods

Study subjects

We evaluated 189 women with signs and symptoms of myocardial ischemia (chest pain and abnormal routine stress testing) and no obstructive CAD (<50% epicardial coronary stenosis in all epicardial coronary arteries on clinically indicated coronary angiography), who were enrolled in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)-sponsored Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation- Coronary Vascular Dysfunction (WISE-CVD) (clinicaltrials.gov NCT00832702). Details of the WISE study design have been described elsewhere. [18, 22] The Institutional Review Boards at Cedars-Sinai Medical Center and University of Florida Medical Center approved the study, and all subjects gave written informed consent before study participation.

CPT protocol

Left heart catheterization, quantitative coronary angiography, and coronary reactivity testing were performed according to previously published protocol. [16, 23] CPT was subsequently performed.
performed by placing an ice pack on either the hand and forearm (n = 100), or the forehead (n = 89), for two minutes. Coronary angiography was performed following the third dose of adenosine and after each subsequently administered vasoactive substance. Vessel diameter for evaluation of change in coronary diameter to intracoronary (IC) acetylcholine, CPT, and IC nitroglycerin was calculated 5 mm distal to the Doppler wire. Change in coronary blood flow in response to IC acetylcholine (ΔCBF) was calculated from average peak velocity and coronary cross section area. Data was analyzed by WISE core laboratory, who were blinded to the clinical data.

**CMRI with CPT protocol**

A standardized CMRI protocol and equipment were used (1.5 T Magnetom Avanto; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) as previously published. [24, 25] First-pass contrast perfusion imaging was performed using gadolinium contrast of 0.05 mmol/L/kg (Gadodiamide; Omniscan, Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) infused at 4 mL/s, followed by 20 mL saline at 4 mL/s. Cold pressor stress utilized an ice pack wrapped around either the hand and forearm (n = 89) contralateral to the contrast injection, or the forehead (n = 100), for 2 minutes prior to first-pass perfusion imaging, and removed after completion of the first pass perfusion imaging data acquisition. Resting first-pass perfusion CMRI was acquired 10 minutes later. MPRI was measured as a ratio of the stress and rest upslopes of the whole myocardium, normalized to LV cavity blood pool input function, using CAAS MRV 3.3 software (Pie Medical Imaging, Netherlands), as previously described. [18]

**Statistical analysis**

Baseline characteristics and clinical variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All statistical analysis was performed using SAS (ver. 9.2; The SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Spearman correlations were used to evaluate for correlations between CPT MPRI and several measures of CMD. Welch’s t-test and Mann-Whitney where appropriate were used to evaluate for difference between those with normal and abnormal invasive CRT measures. Anderson-Darling test and Levene test were considered to verify the normality and homogeneity of variances assumptions. Normal coronary flow reserve in response to IC adenosine (CFR) was considered >2.5[9, 11], normal ΔCBF was considered ≥ 50% [5], normal change in coronary diameter in response to IC acetylcholine (ΔACH) was considered >0%[5], normal change in coronary diameter in response to CPT (ΔCOP) was considered >0%, and normal change in coronary diameter in response to nitroglycerin (ΔNTG) was considered >20%. [16] Statistical significance was considered p<0.05.

**Results**

Patient baseline demographics as well as results of CRT and MRI are summarized in Table 1. The majority were Caucasian. Hypertension and history of smoking was common, while the frequency of dyslipidemia and diabetes was low. Of note the majority were overweight.

Mean CPT MPRI was 1.13 ± 0.22 (range 0.55–2.44). As demonstrated in Fig 1, correlation analysis showed a moderate positive correlation between global pharmacological stress MPRI and CPT MPRI. This was true when evaluating midventricular MPRI, subendocardial MPRI, and subepicardial MPRI. (Table 2) However there was no correlation between CPT MPRI and invasive CRT measures of CMD, including CFR, ΔCBF, ΔACH, ΔCOP, and ΔNTG.

The relationship between CPT MPRI and CRT was further examined by comparing the CPT MRPIs of subjects with normal and abnormal invasive CRT measures, as previously defined above. This analysis demonstrated that there was no difference in CPT MPRI between
Discussion

We assessed the utility of noninvasive, non-pharmacologic CPT during CMRI for the evaluation of coronary endothelial dysfunction in women with suspected CMD by comparing CPT CMRI results to pharmacological stress MPRI, and to invasive measures of CMD using traditional thresholds of normality. We found that despite a correlation between pharmacological stress MPRI and CPT MPRI, there was no difference in CPT MPRI between those with and without abnormal CMD pathways.

Vasodilator stress CMRI with semi-quantitative MPRI has been successfully evaluated for the non-invasive diagnosis of CMD, demonstrating in past studies that MPRI is lower in CMD cases compared to reference controls. [18] The mechanism of vasodilation by both regadenoson and adenosine is thought to be via stimulation of the adenosine A2A receptors on vascular
smooth muscle cells which unlike acetylcholine represents a non-endothelial dependent pathway.\[26, 27\]

The cold pressor test originated in 1936, has been used to assess the function of the sympathetic branch of the cardiovascular system by observing the pressor response during immersion of one hand in cold water. \[28\] Nabel et al. showed that the normal response to CPT was vasodilation in normal and vasoconstriction in diseased coronary arteries, related to $\beta$-adrenergoreceptor stimulation and possibly flow-mediated endothelial dilation or $\alpha_2$-adrenergic activity. \[19, 20\] Coronary diameter response to CPT is related to the capacity of the coronary

Table 2. Spearman Correlation between pharmacological stress MPRI and CPT MPRI.

| Variable               | Correlation (CI 95%) | p-value |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Global MPRI            | 0.32 (0.22; 0.41)    | < 0.001 |
| Midventricular MPRI    | 0.29 (0.18; 0.39)    | < 0.001 |
| Subendocardial MPRI    | 0.28 (0.18; 0.38)    | < 0.001 |
| Subepicardial MPRI     | 0.36 (0.26; 0.46)    | < 0.001 |
microvasculature to dilate in response to intracoronary acetylcholine infusion \[19–21\], which is itself used to assess endothelial dependent micro- and macrovascular function by calculating ΔCBF and ΔACH. \[16, 23\] Multiple studies have shown that abnormal coronary response to CPT increases risk of adverse myocardial events. \[10, 29\]

To our knowledge no prior work has addressed the relationship between invasive pharmacologic testing of endothelial function and CPT CMRI. Endothelial function has been evaluated using CPT CMRI or CPT PET in other populations and shown to be reproducible \[30\]; however these were not compared to invasive measures. \[31, 32\] In contrast to our study which showed no relationship between CPT MPRI and invasive CMD measures, there is a single small study of ten patients by Meeder et al which showed that CPT stress non-invasive PET coronary blood flow increase is highly correlated with the intracoronary Doppler flow response to both ACh infusion and CPT. \[33\] There are several possible explanations for why this result differed from ours. To begin the aforementioned study was done in patients with obstructive CAD who were going for angioplasty, although the Doppler flow measurement was done in a non-stenotic vessel. Second, there is no currently established method to reproduce the changes discussed in this study—our CPT was done with an ice pack instead of using an ice bucket to completely submerge the hand as was done in the Meeder study. This was due to safety and feasibility constraints of the catheterization lab and MRI suite, and we cannot rule out the possibility of this affecting the CPT response. In our study CPT response was initially tested with an ice pack placed to the forehead (89/189 of the CRTs and 100/189 of the MRIs) instead of the forearm. In this cohort the systolic blood pressure and the heart rate responses were higher in those in whom CPT was done to the forehead. This difference may be due to in unintentional provocation of the diving reflex, which stimulates both the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system. \[34, 35\] Lastly response to CPT is heterogeneous, generally causing a relatively small increase in coronary flow compared to what is expected with pharmacological stress, and CMRI MPRI may not be sensitive enough to detect this smaller level of response.

Another possible explanation is that, as with all imaging, both CRT and MPRI show microvascular function at a single point in time- we did not record the time interval between the two studies (MRI and CRT) and whether patients had treatment in the intervening time period, which could improve the underlying dysfunction and alter results. Also, although a minority of patients had diabetes, it is possible that diabetic neuropathy could affect response to CPT. \[36\]

Our study has several limitations including those regarding heterogeneity of cold pressor testing techniques, physiologic response, and measurement of response. In addition, the WISE-CVD study is designed to evaluate symptomatic women, and therefor results cannot be applied to a more generalized population, including men and asymptomatic women.

**Conclusions and Implications**

In women with signs and symptoms of ischemia and no obstructive CAD, CPT MPRI was not useful in the detection of coronary endothelial dysfunction. The identification of CMD is important because it carries an adverse prognosis \[3–8\] and directed treatment targeting endothelial dysfunction (e.g. external counterpulsation, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, ACEi) can improve the endothelial function. \[12–15\] For the benefit of the patient further investigation is needed to diagnose CMD non-invasively; however the gold standard for comprehensive assessment and diagnosis of CMD remains invasive CRT. \[16, 37\] Further work is needed to define normal ranges for CPT CMRI, as well as prognostic significance in women with suspected CMD.
Acknowledgments

This work was supported by contracts from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institutes nos. N01-HV-68161, N01-HV-68162, N01-HV-68163, N01-HV-68164, grants U0164829, U01 HL649141, U01 HL649241, K23HL105787, T32HL69751, R01 HL090957, 1R03AG032631 from the National Institute on Aging, GCRC grant MO1-RR00425 from the National Center for Research Resources, the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences Grant UL1TR000124 and UL1TR000064, and grants from the Gustavus and Louis Pfeiffer Research Foundation, Danville, NJ, The Women’s Guild of Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, The Ladies Hospital Aid Society of Western Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, PA, and QMED, Inc., Laurence Harbor, NJ, the Edythe L. Broad and the Constance Austin Women’s Heart Research Fellowships, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, the Barbra Streisand Women’s Cardiovascular Research and Education Program, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, The Society for Women’s Health Research (SWHR), Washington, D.C., The Linda Joy Pollin Women’s Heart Health Program, and the Erika Glazer Women’s Heart Health Project, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California.

This work is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute or National Institutes of Health.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: CNBM CJP.

Data curation: MAD JW CS PM LET MAD XZ JWP RDA DSB.

Formal analysis: CNBM SL SDC AA JW CS PM LET MAD XZ JWP RDA DSB.

Funding acquisition: CJP CNBM.

Investigation: CNBM.

Methodology: CNBM JW CS PM LET MAD XZ JWP RDA DSB.

Project administration: JWP RDA CJP DSB CNBM.

Resources: CNBM CJP LET XZ DSB JWP RDA.

Software: XZ LET JW DSB.

Supervision: CNBM.

Validation: CNBM JW CS PM LET MAD XZ JWP RDA DSB.

Writing – original draft: SL.

Writing – review & editing: SL SDC JW AA CS PM LET MAD XZ JWP RDA CJP DSB CNBM.

References

1. Bairey Merz CN, Shaw LJ, Reis SE, Bittner V, Kelsey SF, Olson M, et al. Insights from the NHLBI-Sponsored Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) Study: Part II: gender differences in presentation, diagnosis, and outcome with regard to gender-based pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and macrovascular and microvascular coronary disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2006; 47(3 Suppl):S21–9. Epub 2006/02/07. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.12.084 PMID: 16458167

2. Reis SE, Holubkov R, Conrad Smith AJ, Kelsey SF, Sharaf BL, Reichek N, et al. Coronary microvascular dysfunction is highly prevalent in women with chest pain in the absence of coronary artery disease: results from the NHLBI WISE study. American heart journal. 2001; 141(5):735–41. Epub 2001/04/26. PMID: 11320360
3. Pepine CJ. Ischemic Heart Disease in Women. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2006; 47(3, Supplement):S1–S3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2005.10.022.

4. Johnson BD, Shaw LJ, Buchthal SD, Bairey Merz CN, Kim HW, Scott KN, et al. Prognosis in women with myocardial ischemia in the absence of obstructive coronary disease: results from the National Institutes of Health-National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-Sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE). Circulation. 2004; 109(24):2993–9. Epub 2004/06/16. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000130642.79868.B2 PMID: 15197152

5. von Mering GO, Arant CB, Wessel TR, McGorray SP, Bairey Merz CN, Sharaf BL, et al. Abnormal coronary vasomotion as a prognostic indicator of cardiovascular events in women: results from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-Sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE). Circulation. 2004; 109(6):722–5. Epub 2004/02/19. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000115525.92645.16 PMID: 14933695

6. Shaw LJ, Bugiardini R, Merz CNB. Women and Ischemic Heart Disease: Evolving Knowledge. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2009; 54(17):1561–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.04.098 PMID: 19832555

7. Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Zalos G, Minemoyer R, Prasad A, Waclawiw MA, et al. Prognostic value of coronary vascular endothelial dysfunction. Circulation. 2002; 106(6):653–8. Epub 2002/08/07. PMID: 12163423

8. Gulati M, Cooper-DeHoff RM, McClure C, Johnson BD, Shaw LJ, Handberg EM, et al. Adverse cardiovascular outcomes in women with nonobstructive coronary artery disease: a report from the Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation Study and the St James Women Take Heart Project. Archives of internal medicine. 2009; 169(9):843–50. Epub 2009/05/13. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.50 PMID: 19433695

9. Suwaidi JA, Hamasaki S, Higano ST, Nishimura RA, Holmes DR Jr, Lerman A. Long-term follow-up of patients with mild coronary artery disease and endothelial dysfunction. Circulation. 2000; 101(9):948–54. Epub 2000/03/13. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.101.9.948 PMID: 10704159

10. Schachinger V, Britten MB, Zeiher AM. Prognostic impact of coronary vasodilator dysfunction on adverse long-term outcome of coronary heart disease. Circulation. 2000; 101(16):1899–906. Epub 2000/04/26. PMID: 10779454

11. Pepine CJ, Anderson RD, Sharaf BL, Reis SE, Smith KM, Handberg EM, et al. Coronary microvascular reactivity to adenosine predicts adverse outcome in women evaluated for suspected ischemia results from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute WISE (Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation) study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2010; 55(25):2825–32. Epub 2010/06/29. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.01.054 PMID: 20579539

12. Bonetti PO, Barsness GW, Keelan PC, Schnell TI, Pumper GM, Kuvin JT, et al. Enhanced external counterpulsation improves endothelial function in patients with symptomatic coronary artery disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2003; 41(10):1761–8. Epub 2003/05/28. PMID: 12767662

13. Modena MG, Bonetti L, Coppi F, Bursi F, Rossi R. Prognostic role of reversible endothelial dysfunction in hypertensive postmenopausal women. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2002; 40(3):505–10. Epub 2002/07/27. PMID: 12142118

14. Yasue H, Mizuno Y, Harada E, Itoh T, Nakagawa H, Nakayama M, et al. Effects of a 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitor, fluvastatin, on coronary spasm after withdrawal of calcium-channel blockers. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2008; 51(18):1742–8. Epub 2008/05/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2007.12.049 PMID: 18452779

15. Pauly DF, Johnson BD, Anderson RD, Handberg EM, Smith KM, Cooper-DeHoff RM, et al. In women with symptoms of cardiac ischemia, nonobstructive coronary arteries, and microvascular dysfunction, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition is associated with improved microvascular function: A double-blind randomized study from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE). American heart journal. 2011; 162(4):678–84. Epub 2011/10/11. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2011.07.011 PMID: 21982660

16. Wei J, Mehta PK, Johnson BD, Samuels B, Kar S, Anderson RD, et al. Safety of coronary reactivity testing in women with no obstructive coronary artery disease: results from the NHLBI-sponsored WISE (Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation) study. JACC Cardiovascular interventions. 2012; 5(6):646–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012.01.023 PMID: 22721660

17. Doyle M, Weinberg N, Pohost GM, Bairey Merz CN, Shaw LJ, Sopko G, et al. Prognostic value of global MR myocardial perfusion imaging in women with suspected myocardial ischemia and no obstructive coronary disease: results from the NHLBI-sponsored WISE (Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation) study. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2010; 3(10):1030–6. Epub 2010/10/16. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.07.008 PMID: 20947048
18. Thomson LE, Wei J, Agarwal M, Haft-Baradaran A, Shufelt C, Mehta PK, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion reserve index is reduced in women with coronary microvascular dysfunction. A National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-sponsored study from the Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation. Circulation Cardiovascular Imaging. 2015; 8(4).

19. Nabel EG, Ganz P, Gordon JB, Alexander RW, Selwyn AP. Dilation of normal and constriction of atherosclerotic coronary arteries caused by the cold pressor test. Circulation. 1988; 77(1):43–52. Epub 1988/01/01. PMID: 2626047

20. Zeiher AM, Drexler H, Wollschläger H, Just H. Endothelial dysfunction of the coronary microvasculature is associated with coronary blood flow regulation in patients with early atherosclerosis. Circulation. 1991; 84(5):1984–92. Epub 1991/11/01. PMID: 1934373

21. Zeiher AM, Drexler H, Wollschläger H, Saurbier B, Just H. Coronary vasomotion in response to sympathetic stimulation in humans: importance of the functional integrity of the endothelium. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 1989; 14(5):1181–90. Epub 1989/11/01. PMID: 2808971

22. Merz CN, Kelsey SF, Pepine CJ, Reichel K, Reis SE, Rogers WJ, et al. The Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) study: protocol design, methodology and feasibility report. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 1999; 33(6):1453–61. Epub 1999/05/20. PMID: 10334408

23. Hasdai D, Gibbons RJ, Holmes DR Jr, Higano ST, Lerman A. Coronary endothelial dysfunction in humans is associated with myocardial perfusion defects. Circulation. 1997; 96(10):3390–5. Epub 1997/12/13. PMID: 9396432

24. Ishimori ML, Martin R, Berman DS, Goykhman P, Shufelt C, Mehta PK, et al. Myocardial ischemia in the absence of obstructive coronary artery disease in systemic lupus erythematosus. JACC Cardiovascular Imaging. 2015; 8(4). doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8703(36)90370-8.

25. Kleppisch T, Nelson MT. Adenosine activates ATP-sensitive potassium channels in arterial myocytes via A2 receptors and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1995; 92(26):12441–5. Epub 1995/12/19. PMID: 8618917

26. Schindler TH, Nitzsche EU, Schelbert HR, Olschewski M, Sayre J, Mix M, et al. Positron emission tomography-measured abnormal responses of myocardial blood flow to sympathetic stimulation are associated with the risk of developing cardiovascular events. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2005; 45(9):1505–12. Epub 2005/05/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.01.040 PMID: 15982426

27. Gao Z, Li Z, Baker SP, Lasley RD, Meyer S, Elzein E, et al. Novel short-acting A2A adenosine receptor agonists for coronary vasodilation: inverse relationship between affinity and duration of action of A2A agonists. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2001; 298(1):209–18. Epub 2001/06/16. PMID: 11408544

28. Hines EA Jr, Brown GE. The cold pressor test for measuring the reactivity of the blood pressure: Data concerning 571 normal and hypertensive subjects. American heart journal. 1938; 1(1):1–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8703(36)90370-8.

29. Schindler TH, Nitzsche EU, Schelbert HR, Olschewski M, Sayre J, Mix M, et al. Positron emission tomography-measured abnormal responses of myocardial blood flow to sympathetic stimulation are associated with the risk of developing cardiovascular events. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2005; 45(9):1505–12. Epub 2005/05/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.01.040 PMID: 15982426

30. Siegrist PT, Gaemperli O, Koepfler P, Schepis T, Namdar M, Valent A, et al. Repeatability of cold pressor test-induced flow increase assessed with H(2)(15)O and PET. J Nucl Med. 2006; 47(9):1420–6. Epub 2006/09/07. PMID: 16954548

31. Ichikawa Y, Kitagawa K, Kato S, Dohi K, Hirano T, Ito M, et al. Altered coronary endothelial function in young smokers detected by magnetic resonance assessment of myocardial blood flow during the cold pressor test. The international journal of cardiovascular imaging. 2014; 30 Suppl 1:73–80. Epub 2014/02/13.

32. Naya M, Tsukamoto T, Morita K, Katoh C, Furumoto T, Fujii S, et al. Olmesartan, but not amlodipine, improves endothelium-dependent coronary dilation in hypertensive patients. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2007; 50(12):1144–9. Epub 2007/09/18. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.06.013 PMID: 17868805

33. Meeder JG, Peels HO, Blanksma PK, Tan ES, Pruim J, van der Wall EE, et al. Comparison between positron emission tomography myocardial perfusion imaging and intracoronary Doppler flow velocity measurements at rest and during cold pressor testing in angiographically normal coronary arteries in patients with one-vessel coronary artery disease. The American journal of cardiology. 1996; 78(5):526–31. Epub 1996/09/01. PMID: 8806336

34. Duprez D, De Buyzere M, Trouerbach J, Ranschaert W, Clement DL. Continuous monitoring of haemodynamic parameters in humans during the early phase of simulated diving with and without breathholding. European journal of applied physiology. 2000; 81(5):411–7. Epub 2000/04/06. doi: 10.1007/s004220050066 PMID: 10751103
35. Reyners AK, Tio RA, Vlutters FG, van der Woude GF, Reitsma WD, Smit AJ. Re-evaluation of the cold face test in humans. *European journal of applied physiology*. 2000; 82(5–6):487–92. Epub 2000/09/14. doi: 10.1007/s004210000217 PMID: 10985605

36. Pop-Busui R, Kirkwood I, Schmid H, Marinescu V, Schroeder J, Larkin D, et al. Sympathetic dysfunction in type 1 diabetes: association with impaired myocardial blood flow reserve and diastolic dysfunction. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2004; 44(12):2368–74. Epub 2004/12/21. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.09.033 PMID: 15607400

37. Lerman A, Zeiher AM. Endothelial function: cardiac events. *Circulation*. 2005; 111(3):363–8. Epub 2005/01/26. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000153339.27064.14 PMID: 15668353