Antibacterial Activity of Methyl Gallate Isolated from Galla Rhois or Carvacrol Combined with Nalidixic Acid Against Nalidixic Acid Resistant Bacteria
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Abstract: Methyl gallate is a major component of Galla Rhois, as carvacrol is of oregano essential oils. Both have shown good antibacterial activity against intestinal bacteria. This study investigated the antibacterial activities of nalidixic acid in combination with methyl gallate and carvacrol against nalidixic acid resistant bacteria. The combined effect of nalidixic acid with methyl gallate and carvacrol was evaluated using the checkerboard method to obtain a fractional inhibitory concentration index. The results showed that the combinations of nalidixic acid + methyl gallate/carvacrol improved nalidixic acid resistant pathogenic bacteria inhibition with synergy or partial synergy activity. Thus, a strong bactericidal effect of the drug combinations was observed. In vitro data thus suggested that nalidixic acid combined with methyl gallate and carvacrol may be microbiologically beneficial, rather than antagonists.
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**Introduction**

The rates of resistance of pathogenic microorganisms to antimicrobial agents are increasing with alarming frequency. The emergence of bacterial resistance to antibiotics has consequently become a worldwide concern [1,2]. Therefore, combination therapy is often beneficial for patients with serious infections caused by drug-resistant pathogens [3,4]. The use of combination therapy can broaden the spectrum of antibacterial activity, minimize the emergence of resistant bacterial variants and can sometimes result in synergic interaction, thereby exhibiting antibacterial activity greater than what would be expected from each drug individually [5].

Nalidixic acid is a synthetic antimicrobial drug introduced in 1963 for the therapy of urinary tract infections as it active against the majority of Gram-negative organisms that cause such diseases [6,7]. It is especially active against *E. Coli*, and also often effective against other coli form of bacteria such as *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter aerogenosa* [6]. Early reports on nalidixic acid indicated the development of single step type resistance, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Most recent studies have confirmed that resistance to this drug develops rapidly during treatment, irrespective of the dose used [7], so nalidixic acid resistant pathogenic bacterial infections are becoming more and more common in the clinical setting. To prevent or delay the emergence of resistance, it has been suggested to use nalidixic acid in combination with another antimicrobial agent [7].

On the other hand, there has been a considerable interest for the use of plant materials as an alternative method to control pathogenic microorganisms. These plant products have proven to be effective and safe [8]. In this context, carvacrol as a major component of oregano essential oil, exhibits its strong antimicrobial activity [9] by destroying the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, releasing lipopolysaccharides and increasing permeability of cytoplasmic membrane to ATP [10,11]. Other activities include antifungal [12,13] antitoxigenic [14], insecticidal [15], and antiparasitic [16] properties.

Methyl gallate, a major component of *Galla Rhois*, also exhibits strong antimicrobial activity. It is known to possess growth-inhibiting activity against *E. coli*, without adversely affecting the growth of lactic acid-producing bacteria, with the activity being more pronounced by the presence of methyl gallate [17,18]. It is noteworthy that the antibacterial activity of methyl gallate described in this study is in agreement with results reported by others, showing that the activity of *Galla Rhois*, and of other species against bacteria and synergistic combination with ciprofloxacin against *Salmonella* [19], is due to this compound [20-21].

For all these reasons, we decided to study the bactericidal effects of the combinations of nalidixic acid with methyl gallate and carvacrol against nalidixic acid resistant bacteria.

**Results and Discussion**

The MICs for methyl gallate and carvacrol against the eight tested strains of nalidixic acid resistant bacteria are shown in Tables 1-2.
Table 1. Result of the combined effect of methyl gallate (MG) and nalidixic acid (NA) against nalidixic acid-resistant pathogenic bacteria.

| Strain   | Serotypes     | a) MIC MG (µg/mL) | With NA (µg/mL) | MIC NA (µg/mL) | With MG (µg/mL) | b) FICI |
|----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|          |               | Alone             | With NA         | Alone          | With MG         |        |
| CCARM 1  | NR-E.coli     | 250               | 125             | 250            | 15.6            | 0.56   |
| CCARM 2  | NR-E.coli 078 | 250               | 125             | 250            | 15.6            | 0.56   |
| CCARM 3  | NR-S. typhimurium | 500           | 125             | 500            | 31.25           | 0.31   |
| CCARM 4  | NR-S. derby   | 250               | 125             | 250            | 62.5            | 0.75   |
| CCARM 5  | NR-S. enteritidis | 500            | 250             | 500            | 31.25           | 0.56   |
| CCARM 6  | NR-S. minesota | 250            | 500             | 250            | 62.5            | 2.25   |
| CCARM 7  | NR-K. oxytoca | 1000              | 500             | 250            | 15.6            | 0.56   |
| CCARM 8  | NR-E.cloacae  | 250               | 125             | 500            | 31.25           | 0.56   |

*a) MIC, Minimum inhibitory concentration; b) FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index.

Table 2. Result of the combined effect of carvacrol (CA) and nalidixic acid (NA) against nalidixic acid-resistant pathogenic bacteria.

| Strain   | Serotypes     | a) MIC CA (µg/mL) | With NA (µg/mL) | MIC NA (µg/mL) | With CA (µg/mL) | b) FICI |
|----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|          |               | Alone             | With NA         | Alone          | With CA         |        |
| CCARM 1  | NR-E.coli     | 250               | 31.25           | 250            | 31.25           | 0.25   |
| CCARM 2  | NR-E.coli 078 | 250               | 62.5            | 250            | 500             | 2.25   |
| CCARM 3  | NR-S. typhimurium | 250            | 62.5            | 500            | 31.25           | 0.31   |
| CCARM 4  | NR-S. derby   | 250               | 31.25           | 250            | 31.25           | 0.25   |
| CCARM 5  | NR-S. enteritidis | 500            | 125             | 500            | 15.6            | 0.28   |
| CCARM 6  | NR-S. minesota | 500            | 31.25           | 250            | 15.6            | 0.12   |
| CCARM 7  | NR-K. oxytoca | 125               | 62.5            | 250            | 250             | 1.5    |
| CCARM 8  | NR-E.cloacae  | 500               | 250             | 500            | 500             | 1.5    |

*a) MIC, Minimum inhibitory concentration; b) FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index.

The MICs were determined using the broth dilution method. They confirmed the antimicrobial effects we found by the disc diffusion method. Methyl gallate and carvacrol showed antimicrobial activity against all the tested strains. The MICs of methyl gallate against the nalidixic acid resistant bacteria strains ranged from 250 to 1000 µg/mL, and for carvacrol MICs ranged from 125 to 500 µg/mL.

In order to better assess the efficiency of the natural products, a known antibiotic was used for comparison. The microorganisms used in the experiment are known to our knowledge to be sensitive to norfloxacin (NO) as shown in Table 3. Even though the synergistic activity of methyl gallate + nalidixic acid and carvacrol + nalidixic acid are encouraging, the MIC of norfloxacin appear to be better. This can be explained by the fact that these bacteria are sensitive to norfloxacin but resistant to nalidixic acid. However, the presence of either methyl gallate or carvacrol open the possibility of using...
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nalidixic acid in combination despite the fact that these microorganisms are resistant to it. While further research need to be done, the present combinations validate the no longer prescribed drug and contribute to the fight of drug resistant bacteria.

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of nalidixic acid (NA), nalidixic acid (NA) + methyl gallate (MG), nalidixic acid (NA) + carvacrol (CA), and norfloxacin (NO) against nalidixic acid-resistant pathogenic bacteria (NR).

| Strain  | Serotypes       | a) MIC (µg/mL) | b) NO |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
|         | NA             | NA+MG          | NA+CA |       |
| CCARM 1 | NR-E.coli       | 250            | 15.6  | 31.25 | 0.97 |
| CCARM 2 | NR-E.coli 078  | 250            | 15.6  | 500   | 0.03 |
| CCARM 3 | NR-S. typhimurium | 500         | 31.25 | 31.25 | 0.97 |
| CCARM 4 | NR-S. derby     | 250            | 62.5  | 31.25 | 1.97 |
| CCARM 5 | NR-S. enteritidis | 500       | 31.25 | 15.6  | 1.97 |
| CCARM 6 | NR-S. minesota | 250            | 62.5  | 15.6  | 1.97 |
| CCARM 7 | NR-K. oxytoca   | 250            | 15.6  | 250   | 1.97 |
| CCARM 8 | NR-E.cloacae    | 500            | 31.25 | 500   | 3.9  |

a) MIC, Minimum inhibitory concentration; b) Positive control.

As defined in the checkerboard dilution test protocol, the desired synergy will have a FICI below 0.5. The methyl gallate + nalidixic acid and carvacrol + nalidixic acid combinations exhibited a synergistic effect (1 with methyl gallate + nalidixic acid and 5 with carvacrol + nalidixic acid) or partial synergy (6 methyl gallate with + nalidixic acid) and indifference (1 with methyl gallate + nalidixic acid and 3 with carvacrol + nalidixic acid) effect (Table 4).

Table 4. ΣFIC values and number of nalidixic acid-resistant pathogenic bacteria showing synergy, partial synergy or indifference with methyl gallate (MG) + nalidixic acid (NA) and carvacrol (CA) + nalidixic acid (NA) combinations.

| Combination | a) ΣFICI | No. of strains showing |       |
|-------------|---------|------------------------|-------|
|             | Range   | Median | Synergy(%) | Partial Synergy (%) | Additive (%) | Indifference (%) |
| MG+NA       | 0.31~2.25 | 0.76 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Total       | 1/8 (12.5) | 6/8 (75) | 0 | 1/8 (12.5) |
| CA+NA       | 0.12 ~2.25 | 0.77 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total       | 5/8 (62.5) | 0 | 0 | 3/8 (37.5) |

a) FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index.

The results thus suggest that the combinations of nalidixic acid + methyl gallate and nalidixic acid + carvacrol exhibited improved inhibition of nalidixic acid resistant bacteria with synergy or partial synergy, as the methyl gallate + nalidixic acid and carvacrol + nalidixic acid combinations inhibited the growth of nalidixic acid resistant bacteria strains at a lower concentration than when the single
drugs were assayed separately, thus confirming the hypothesis that antibiotic-resistant inhibitors combined with antibiotics are a potential method for solving the problem caused by resistant bacteria.

**Experimental**

**Plant materials and chemicals**

*Galla Rhois*, purchased from the Daehak Hanyak kuk oriental drug store (Iksan, Korea), was authenticated by Dr. D.Y. Kwon. A voucher specimen was deposited in the Laboratory of Herbalogy, College of Pharmacy, Wonkwang University, Iksan, Korea. The EtOH extracts were partitioned with organic solvents of different polarities to yield in sequence *n*-hexane, EtOAc, *n*-BuOH and H₂O fractions. The EtOAc fraction of each plant was subjected to silica gel chromatography with CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O (lower layers, by volume, 25:7:5, 7:3:1) as the solvents to yield methyl gallate. The structure of the compound was determined by its physico-chemical and spectral data (¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR) which were in agreement with those reported in literature [17,19]. Carvacrol, norfloxacin and nalidixic acid were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Bacterial strains and culture medium**

Nalidixic acid resistant bacteria (*E. coli*, *E. coli* 078, *S. typhimurium*, *S. derby*, *S. enteritidis*, *S. minnesota*, *K. oxytoca*, *E. cloacae*) were provided by the Culture Collection of Antimicrobial Resistant Microbes (CCARM, Republic of Korea). The bacterial strains were suspended in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB, Difco, USA) and incubated at 37 °C for 20 h. Muller Hinton agar (MHA, Difco, USA) was used for the agar diffusion method and MIC.

**Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentrations**

The minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) values were determined for the microorganisms we found to be sensitive to methyl gallate and carvacrol during the disc diffusion assay. We prepared the microorganism inocula from 12 h broth cultures and the suspensions were adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard turbidity. Susceptibility tests were carried out by the standard broth micro dilution method in accordance with the CLSI guidelines [22] in MHB with an inoculum of approximately 5×10⁴ CFU/mL. The MHB was supplemented with serial methyl gallate, carvacrol concentrations ranging from 3.9 to 1000 µg/mL, norfloxacin at concentrations from 0.015 to 250 µg/mL, and nalidixic acid at concentrations from 0.97 to 1000 µg/mL. The data were reported as MICs, the lowest concentration of methyl gallate, carvacrol and nalidixic acid inhibiting visible growth after 24 h of incubation at 37 °C [22]. The MICs of nalidixic acid was also determined, and similarly defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration at which no visible bacterial growth was observed.

**The checkerboard dilution test**

The antibacterial effects that resulted from combining the two antimicrobial agents were assessed by the checkerboard test. The antimicrobial combination we assayed included methyl gallate plus nalidixic acid and carvacrol plus nalidixic acid. The serial dilutions of the two different agents were
mixed in cation-supplemented MHB. The inocula were prepared from colonies that had been grown on MHA overnight. The final bacterial concentration after inoculation was 5×10^4 CFU/mL. The MIC was determined after 24 h of incubation at 37 °C. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index was determined by the formula: FIC index = FIC_A + FIC_B = [A]/MIC_A + [B]/MIC_B, where [A] is the concentration of drug A, MIC_A is its MIC and FIC_A is the FIC of drug A for the organism, while [B], MIC_B, and FIC_B are defined in the same fashion for drug B. The FIC index thus obtained was interpreted as follows: <0.5, synergy; 0.5 to 0.75, partial synergy; 0.76 to 1.0, additive effect; >1.0 to 4.0, indifference; and >4.0, antagonism [23]. Finally, the varying rates of synergy between two agents were determined.

Conclusions

The in vitro activity of methyl gallate and carvacrol against nalidixic acid resistant bacteria and their synergistic, or partially synergistic or indifferent interactions with nalidixic acid were demonstrated for the first time. Methyl gallate and carvacrol have the potential to restore the effectiveness of nalidixic acid against nalidixic acid resistant bacteria, and it could be useful in developing valuable clinical treatments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Wonkwang University in 2008.

References

1. Edmond, M.B.; Wallace, S.E.; McClish, D.K.; Pfaller, M.A.; Jones, R.N.;Wenzel, R.P. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in United States hospitals: a three-year analysis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1999, 29, 239-244.
2. Ge, Y.; Difuntorum, S.; Touami, S.; Critchley, I.; Burli, R.; Jiang, V.; Drazan, K.; Moser, H. In vitro antimicrobial activity of GSQ1530, a new heteroaromatic polycyclic compound. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2002, 46, 3168-3174.
3. Meletiadis, J.; Mouton, J.W.; Meis, J.F.; Verweij, P.E. In vitro drug interaction modeling of combinations of azoles with terbinafine against clinical scedosporium prolificans Isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2003, 47, 106-117.
4. Pankey, G.; Ashcraft, D.; Patel, N. In vitro synergy of daptomycin plus rifampin against enterococcus faecium resistant to both linezolid and vancomycin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2005, 49, 5166-5128.
5. Eliopoulos, G.M.; Eliopoulos, C.T. Antibiotic combinations: should they be tested. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1998, 1, 139-156.
6. Grover, G; Kini, S.G. 2006. Synthesis and evaluation of new quinazolone derivatives of nalidixic acid as potential antibacterial and antifungal agents. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 41, 256-262.
7. Michel, J.; Luboshitzky, R.; Sacks, T. Bactericidal effect of combinations of nalidixic acid and various antibiotics on enterobacteriaceae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1973, 4, 201-204.
8. Nostro, A.; Cellini, L.; Di, Bartolomeo, S.; Cannatelli, M.A.; Di, Campli, E.; Procopio, F.; Grande, R.; Marzio, L.; Alonzo, V. Effects of combining extracts (from propolis or Zingiber officinale) with clarithromycin on Helicobacter pylori. *Phytother Res.* **2006**, *20*, 187-190.

9. Lambert, R.J.W.; Skandamis, P.N.; Coote, P.; Nychas, G.J.E. A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2001**, *91*, 453-462.

10. Juven, B.J.; Kanner, J.; Schved, F.; Weisslowicz, H. Factors that interact with the antibacterial action of thyme essential oil and its active constituents. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **1994**, *76*, 626-631.

11. Helander, I.M.; Alakomi, H.L.; Latva-Kala, K.; Mattila-Sandholm, T.; Pol, I.; Smid, E.J.; Gorris, L.G.M.; Von-Wright, A. Characterization of the action of selected essential oil components on gram-negative bacteria. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 3590-3595.

12. Chami, N.; Bennis, S.; Chami, F.; Aboussekhra, A.; Remmal, A. Study of anticandidal activity of carvacrol and eugenol in vitro and in vivo. *Oral Microbiol. Immunol.* **2005**, *20*, 106-111.

13. Tampieri, M.; Galuppi, P.; Macchioni, R.; Carelle, F.; Falcioni, M.S.; Cioni, L.; Morelli, P.L.I. The inhibition of *Candida albicans* by selected essential oils and their major components. *Mycopathologia* **2005**, *59*, 339-345.

14. Ultee, A.; Smid, E.J. Influence of carvacrol on growth and toxin production by Bacillus cereus. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2001**, *64*, 373-378.

15. Khasawneh, M.; Montenieri, J.A.; Maupin, G.O. Use of novel compounds for pest control: insecticidal and acaricidal activity of essential oil components from heartwood of Alaska yellow cedar. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2005**, *42*, 352-358.

16. Lindberg, C.M.; Melathopoulos, A.P.; Winston, M.L. Laboratory evaluation of miticides to control Varroa jacobsoni (Acari:Varroidae), a honey bee (Hymenoptera:Apidae) parasite. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2000**, *93*, 189-198.

17. Ahn, Y.J.; Lee, C.O.; Kweon, J.H.; Ahn, J.W.; Park, J.H. Growth-inhibitory effects of Galla Rhois-derived tannins on intestinal bacteria. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **1998**, *84*, 439-443.

18. Ahn, Y.J.; Lee, H.S.; Oh, H.S.; Kim, H.T.; Lee, Y.H. Antifungal activity and mode of action of Galla Rhois-derived phenolics against phytopathogenic fungi. *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* **2005**, *81*, 105-112.

19. Choi, J.G.; Kang, O.H.; Lee, Y.S.; Oh, Y.C.; Chae, H.S.; Jang, H.J.; Kim, J.H.; Sohn, D.H.; Shin, D.W.; Park, H.; Kwon, D.Y. In vitro activity of methyl gallate isolated from galla rhois alone and in combination with ciprofloxacin against clinical isolates of salmonella. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* **2008**, *18*, 1848-1852.

20. Chung, K.T.; Lu, Z.; Chou, M.W. Mechanism of inhibition of tannic acid and related compounds on the growth of intestinal bacteria. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1998**, *36*, 1053-1060.

21. Kubo, I.; Fujita, K.; Nihei, K. Anti-Salmonella Activity of Alkyl Gallates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50*, 6692-6696.

22. Chen, J.C.; Ho, T.Y.; Chang, Y.S.; Wu, S.L.; Hsiang, C.Y. Anti-diarrheal effect of Galla Chinensis on the Escherichia coli heat-labile enterotoxin and gangloside interaction. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2006**, *103*, 385-391.
22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically (Approved standards)*. CLSI document M7-A5, CLSI: Wayne, PA, USA, 2000.

23. Timurkaynak, F.; Can, F.; Azap, O.K.; Demirbilek, M.; Arslan, H.; Karaman, S.O. In vitro activities of non-traditional antimicrobials alone or in combination against multidrug-resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolated from intensive care units. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2006, 27, 224-228.

*Sample Availability:* Available from the corresponding author.

© 2009 by the authors; licensee Molecular Diversity Preservation International, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).