Screening and identification of hub genes in bladder cancer by bioinformatics analysis and KIF11 is a potential prognostic biomarker
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Abstract. Bladder cancer (BC) is the ninth most common lethal malignancy worldwide. Great efforts have been devoted to clarify the pathogenesis of BC, but the underlying molecular mechanisms remain unclear. To screen for the genes associated with the progression and carcinogenesis of BC, three datasets were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus. A total of 37 tumor and 16 non-cancerous samples were analyzed to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Subsequently, 141 genes were identified, including 55 upregulated and 86 downregulated genes. The protein–protein interaction network was established using the Search Tool for Retrieval of Interacting Genes database. Hub gene identification and module analysis were performed using Cytoscape software. Hierarchical clustering of hub genes was conducted using the University of California, Santa Cruz Cancer Genomics Browser. Among the hub genes, kinesin family member 11 (KIF11) was identified as one of the most significant prognostic biomarkers among all the candidates. The Kaplan Meier Plotter database was used for survival analysis of KIF11. The expression profile of KIF11 was analyzed using the ONCOMINE database. The expression levels of KIF11 in BC samples and bladder cells were measured using reverse transcription-quantitative PCR, immunohistochemistry and western blotting. In summary, KIF11 was significantly upregulated in BC and might act as a potential prognostic biomarker. The present identification of DEGs and hub genes in BC may provide novel insight for investigating the molecular mechanisms of BC.

Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is the ninth most common malignancy worldwide with substantial morbidity and mortality. In the United States in 2019, there were an estimated 80,470 new cases and 17,670 deaths due to bladder cancer (1). The incidence rate of BC is increasing rapidly (2). Therefore, developing precise diagnostic strategies and treatments are necessary. Though numerous previous studies have revealed several insights into the pathogenesis of BC, the underlying molecular mechanisms are largely unknown (3-5). To increase the diagnostic and therapeutic accuracy of BC, it is necessary to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms.

In the present study, three original microarray datasets [GSE37817 (6), GSE42089 (7) and GSE52519 (8)] were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in bladder tumors and non-cancerous samples. Afterwards, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses and PPI were conducted to explore the molecular mechanisms of tumorigenesis and progression. These hub genes were identified and analyzed using the eBioPortal online platform. In addition, upregulation of KIF11 was verified in BC samples and bladder cells. The expression levels of KIF11 were measured using reverse transcription-quantitative PCR, immunohistochemistry analysis and western blotting.

Materials and methods

Microarray data. The GEO database (9) is a publicly available functional genomics database, including microarrays, chips and high-throughput gene expression data. Three datasets downloaded from GEO were used in the present...
study, including GSE37817, GSE42089 and GSE52519. The GSE37817 dataset contained 18 BC tissue samples and five non-cancerous samples. GSE42089 contained 10 tissues from BC and eight tissue samples from normal bladder. GSE52519 contained nine BC cancerous tissue samples and three normal bladder tissue samples. Detailed information of the three gene expression datasets are presented in Table I.

### Table I. Detailed information for datasets downloaded from the GEO database.

| Series accession | Country       | Year | Sample size (case/control) | Platform                                      |
|------------------|---------------|------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| GSE37817         | South Korea   | 2012 | 18/5                       | GPL6102 Illumina human-6 v2.0 expression beadchip |
| GSE42089         | United States of America | 2012 | 10/8                       | GPL9828 Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array |
| GSE52519         | Russia        | 2013 | 9/3                        | GPL6884 Illumina HumanWG-6 v3.0 expression beadchip |

DEGs analysis. GEO2R tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r) was applied to screen the DEGs in BC and non-cancerous samples, which compared different datasets to identify DEGs in a GEO series. The adjusted P-value (adj. P) and Benjamini and HEBerg false discovery rate were used to identify statistically significant DEGs and rule out false-positives. An adj. P<0.01 and a log FC (fold-change)≥1 were considered statistically significant. Genes with >1 probe sets or probe sets without corresponding gene symbols were averaged or removed, respectively. The overlapping DEGs among the three datasets were compared using Venn analysis.

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction and module analyses. The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) (version 11.0) database was used to predict and analyze the PPIs using networks (10). The cut-off value of the combined score was set at >0.4. Subsequently, the PPI networks were drawn using Cytoscape software, which was used to visualize intermolecular interaction networks (11). The Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) plug-in of Cytoscape, designed for clustering networks to find connected regions, was used to screen significant modules from PPI networks (12). The selection criteria for significant modules were as follows: MCODE Scores ≥5, degree cut-off ≥2, node score cut-off ≥0.2, k-score ≥2 and max depth ≥100. Afterwards, the functional analysis of the most significant module was analyzed using Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) database version 6.8 (13).

GO functional annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses. DAVID, an online bioinformatics database, was used to integrate biological data and functional annotation information of genes and proteins. The functions of DEGs were analyzed using the DAVID database. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The GO database was used to annotate genes and identify the associated biological characteristics of the genes (14). KEGG, a database resource for functions of biological systems generated using high-throughput techniques, was conducted using Cytoscape software version 3.7.1 (15).

Identification and analysis of hub genes. Hub genes were identified using cytoHubba tool kits of Cytoscape (16). Top hub genes with ≥10 degrees in BC samples were selected. Hub genes were identified and analyzed using the cBioPortal platform (17). The Biological Networks Gene Oncology (BiNGO) plug-in of Cytoscape was used to analyze the biological process of the hub genes (18). The University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Cancer Genomics Browser was used to construct the clustering of hub genes in the TCGA database (19). Moreover, the Kaplan-Meier method was used to compare overall survival curves between different expression gene groups in the survival probability study. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Oncomine online database analyzed the expression of KIF11 between cancer and normal tissue in four different datasets (20-23). Meanwhile, the relationships between gene expressions and tumor grades were analyzed using the Oncomine online database.

Patients and BC samples. The present study was approved by The Research Ethics Committee of the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (Guangzhou, China; approval no. B2020-198). The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) No history of any other malignant tumors; and ii) no anticancer therapy before surgery. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Other malignant tumors; and ii) preoperative treatments, such as adjuvant chemotherapy or radiation therapy. In total, 31 paired BC and adjacent tissues from 31 patients were obtained from the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. The tissue samples were acquired by resection. The tissue samples were stored at -80°C before fixation. Tissue were embedded in paraffin for long-term preservation. Written informed consent was obtained from enrolled patients. The BC tissues were confirmed by pathological diagnosis by independent pathologists. Clinicopathological data of patients with BC were also obtained, including age, sex, tumor stage, tumor grade, lymph node metastasis status, distant metastasis status and invasiveness.

Cell culture. Overall, four human bladder cell lines (Tcc-Sup, UM-UC-3, RT4 and J82) and a normal urinary tract cell line (HCV29) were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were supplemented with 10% fetal...
bovine serum (HyClone; Cvtyva) and incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis. Total RNA was isolated from tissues using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was generated by reverse transcription using a SuperScript III First-Strand cDNA system (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) under the following conditions: 42°C for 50 min followed by heat inactivation for 5 min at 80°C. The KIF11 sense primer was 5’-TAT TGAATGGCCTAGTCT-3’ and the antisense primer was 5’-TCG TCT GCG AAG AAG AAA GA-3’. For the housekeeping gene GAPDH, the sense primer was 5’-ACC ACA GTC CAT ACT TT-3’. RT-qPCR was performed using a 7900HT Fast Real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) as follows: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The crossing threshold (Cq) value was calculated and recorded using the instrument’s software (SDS version 2.3). The mRNA expression data was normalized to GAPDH and calculated using the comparative ΔΔCq method (24).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC). The tissue sample were immersed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 3 days at room temperature (27°C) for fixation. Samples were cut into 4-µm thick paraffin sections. Paraffin sections were incubated in an oven at 60°C for 2 h. Slides were boiled in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide. Slides were blocked in 5% goat blocking serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature for 1 h. Next, the slides were incubated with anti-KIF11 antibody (1:500; cat. no. ab61199; Abcam) overnight at 4°C. After rinsing thrice with phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 mol/L, pH 7.4), the stained sections were incubated with goat-anti-rabbit polyclonal peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:200; cat. no. 32260; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 30 min at room temperature and stained with 3,3-diaminobenzidine for 3 min at room temperature. Then, the tissue sections were counterstained with hematoxylin for 1 min at room temperature and dehydrated with graded ethanol (75, 85, 95 and 100%). These slides were observed using a light microscope (magnification, x100 and x200). Two experienced pathologists, who are independent from the present study group, calculated the H-Score of IHC staining based on the percentage score and intensity score of positively stained cells. The percentage of positively stained cells was scored as follows: 0=absent staining; 1=weak; 2=moderate; 3=strong. The intensity of positively stained cells was scored as follows: 0=staining 0-5%; 1=staining 6-25%; 2=staining 26-50%; 3=staining 51-75%; 4=staining >76%. The intensity of positively stained cells was scored as follows: 0=absent staining; 1=weak; 2=moderate; 3=strong. The IHC score was calculated by multiplying the percentage and intensity score and ranged from 0 to 12.

Western blotting. Total protein samples were extracted in lysis buffer (Vazyme Biotech, Co., Ltd.). Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid assay kit. Protein samples (20 µg per lane) were separated using 12% SDS-PAGE. Electrophoresis was carried out at a constant voltage. Proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (EMD Millipore). After electrophoresis, the PVDF membrane was sealed with 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and incubated in 0.05% Tween-20, anti-rabbit horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000; cat. no. 7074; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) for 60 min. The membrane was washed with water and incubated with the membrane for 1 h at room temperature. Secondary antibody was washed away with...
PBST and the membranes were prepared for exposure. The PVDF membrane were detected using the hypersensitive ECL chemiluminescence kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Two exposure reagents were mixed in equal proportion and applied to the PVDF membrane. The intensity was measured using Quantity One software (version 4.6.6; Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Statistical analysis.** All the experiments were repeated three times. All of the statistical analyses were performed using

| Pathway ID     | Pathway description                  | Count in gene set | FDR         |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| GO:1903047    | Mitotic cell cycle process           | 35                | 1.73x10^-32 |
| GO:0007049    | Cell cycle                           | 38                | 4.27x10^-38 |
| GO:0007067    | Mitotic nuclear division             | 25                | 3.48x10^-24 |
| GO:0051301    | Cell division                        | 26                | 9.13x10^-23 |
| GO:0005819    | Spindle                              | 17                | 1.33x10^-13 |
| GO:0015630    | Microtubule cytoskeleton             | 24                | 3.51x10^-12 |
| GO:0000793    | Condensed chromosome                 | 13                | 7.37x10^-10 |
| GO:0005524    | ATP binding                          | 17                | 4.35x10^-7  |
| GO:0032559    | Adenyl ribonucleotide binding        | 17                | 6.09x10^-7  |
| GO:0030554    | Adenyl nucleotide binding            | 17                | 6.74x10^-7  |
| hsa04110      | Cell cycle                           | 9                 | 4.08x10^-4  |
| hsa04114      | Oocyte meiosis                       | 5                 | 0.061       |

GO, Gene Ontology; hsa, homo sapiens; FDR, false discovery rate.
SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp) and GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 (GraphPad Software). The data was analyzed using ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, paired Student's t-tests (two-sided) or Fisher’s exact tests (two-sided). Data was expressed as the means ± standard deviation. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Identification of DEGs in BC. The GEO2R tool was used to identify the DEGs (933 in GSE37817, 1,454 in GSE42089 and 753 in GSE52519) in BC. A total of 141 overlapping DEGs were identified among the three datasets (Fig. 1A), consisting of 55 upregulated genes and 86 downregulated genes between BC and non-cancerous tissues. These DEGs were visualized using Venn diagrams and are listed in Table II.

PPI network construction and module analyses. A PPI network of the 141 DEGs was constructed to elucidate and investigate the PPIs (Fig. 1B). The MCODE plug-in of Cytoscape was used to identify the clusters in the PPI networks. (Fig. 1C). The cluster network consisted of 45 nodes and 954 edges. Sequentially it was showed as follows: CKS2, ASPM, MELK, BUB1, DLGAP5, AURKB, CKAP2L, CCNB2, TK1, MCM2, CDC45, CEP55, HJURP, KIF20A, CDK1, KIAA0101, ASF1B, KIF11, HMMR, TPX2, CCNA2, CDC45, AURKA, CENPM, NCAPG, CENPE, KIF2C, TACC3, CENPF, FAM64A, CENPN, RAD51AP1, TYMS, POLQ, CDT1, NUSAP1, MCM5, CDCA8, TROAP, PRC1, CDC20, TRIP13, TOP2A, TTK and CENPA. These node genes with high hub degrees may play critical roles in BC. Subsequently, the results indicated that the genes in the most significant module were mainly enriched in 'mitotic cell cycle process', 'cell cycle', 'mitotic nuclear division', 'cell division', 'adenyl nucleotide binding', 'ATP binding' and 'oocyte meiosis' (Table III).

GO functional annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. GO functional annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were used to investigate the biological functions of DEGs using DAVID. GO enrichment analysis results indicated that the DEGs in biological processes (BP) were mainly enriched in 'mitotic cell cycle process', 'cell cycle', 'mitotic nuclear division', 'cell division', 'adenyl nucleotide binding', 'ATP binding' and 'oocyte meiosis' (Table III).
enriched in ‘spindle’, ‘condensed chromosome’, ‘midbody’ and ‘microtubule cytoskeleton’ (Fig. 2B). Alterations in molecular function (MF) were abundant in ‘carbohydrate derivative binding’, ‘cytoskeletal protein binding’, ‘ATP binding’, ‘ribonucleoside binding’ and ‘chromatin binding’ (Fig. 2C). The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that the DEGs were mainly abundant in ‘cell cycle’, ‘oocyte meiosis’ and ‘progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation’ (Fig. 2D).

**Identification and analysis of hub genes.** According to the degree score generated by the cytohubba plug-in, the top ten genes, including TYMS, AURKB, CDK1, CCNB2, CEP55, KIF20A, KIF11, CENPE, PRC1 and CDC20, were identified as potential hub genes. A co-expression network of these genes was generated for analysis using the cBioPortal platform (Fig. 3A). Moreover, the BiNGO plug-in analyzed the biological process analyses of the hub genes. The alterations were enriched in ‘cell cycle’, ‘oocyte meiosis’ and ‘progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation’ (Fig. 2D).

**KIF11 is a promising gene target in bladder cancer.** Among the identified hub genes, KIF11 was connected to 36 nodes in the cluster network constructed using Cytoscape, indicating it may play significant roles in the progression or carcinogenesis of BC. The overall survival of KIF11 was performed using Kaplan-Meier curve according to the data from cBioPortal. Patients with BC with KIF11 mRNA alterations exhibited a poorer overall survival rate (P=0.011; Fig. 4A). As for the patient samples included in IHC analysis, the overall survival rate of patients with high KIF11 expression was lower compared with that in patients with low KIF11 expression (P=0.030; Fig. 4B). Moreover, Oncomine analysis of cancer vs. normal tissue indicated that KIF11 was overexpressed in BC in four different datasets, including Dyrskjot Bladder 3 (20), Lee Bladder (21), Sanchez-Carbayo Bladder 2 (22) and Sanchez-Carbayo Bladder 2 (23) (P<0.001; Fig. 4C). In the Sanchez-Carbayo Bladder 2 dataset, three groups in different tumor grade were compared, and higher expression levels of KIF11 mRNA were associated with tumor grade (22) (P<0.001; Fig. 4D).
Figure 4. Overall survival, Oncomine analysis and association between KIF11 mRNA expression and tumor grade. (A) Overall survival analysis of hub gene was performed using the Kaplan-Meier analysis. (B) Overall survival analysis of the patients included in immunohistochemistry analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier curve. (C) Oncomine online database analysis of cancer vs. normal tissue of KIF11. Heat maps of KIF11 gene expression in clinical bladder cancer samples vs. normal tissues. 1. Infiltrating Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma vs. Normal Dyrskjot Bladder 3 (20). 2. Infiltrating Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma vs. Normal Lee Bladder (21). 3. Infiltrating Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma vs. Normal Sanchez-Carbayo Bladder 2 (22). 4. Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma Type: Infiltrating Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma Stransky Bladder (23). (D) Association between KIF11 mRNA expression levels and tumor grade in BC samples in the Sanchez-Carbayo Bladder 2 dataset. KIF11, kinesin family member 11.

Figure 5. Expression of KIF11 mRNA and protein in BC and adjacent tissue samples. (A) Expression of KIF11 mRNA in BC and adjacent tissue samples. (B) IHC analysis of KIF11 protein expression in BC and adjacent tissues. (C) IHC score of KIF11 protein expression in BC and adjacent tissues. (D) Western blot analysis of KIF11 protein expression in four pairs of BC and adjacent tissues. (E) Western blot analysis of KIF11 protein expression in human BC cell lines Tcc-Sup, UM-UC-3, RT4 and J82 and the normal urinary tract cell lines (HCV29). KIF11, kinesin family member 11; BC, bladder cancer; IHC, immunohistochemistry.
KIF11 expression is upregulated in BC samples and bladder cells. The mRNA expression level of KIF11 was examined in 31 BC and adjacent tissues. RT-qPCR analysis revealed that KIF11 was highly expressed in BC tissues compared with the adjacent tissues (P<0.001; Fig. 5A). Subsequently, protein expression levels of KIF11 were analyzed using IHC (Fig. 5B). Increased IHC score of KIF11 was observed in BC samples compared with the adjacent tissues (P=0.002; Fig. 5C). The clinicopathological characteristics of patients with BC were included in the IHC analysis and their associations with KIF11 expression are presented in Table IV. The age at diagnosis ranged from 26 to 85 years and there were 22 males and 9 females. The results suggested that KIF11 expression was significantly associated with tumor stage (P=0.035), tumor grade (P=0.046) and invasiveness (P=0.023). However, KIF11 expression was not associated with age, sex, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis. Furthermore, western blot analysis showed that the expression of the KIF11 protein was higher in these four samples compared with in the adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 5D). Also, the expression of the KIF11 protein was upregulated in four human bladder cell lines (Tcc-Sup, UM-UC-3, RT4 and J82) compared with a normal urinary tract cell line (HCV29) (Fig. 5E). Together, these results indicated increased expression of KIF11 mRNA and protein in bladder cancer at both the transcriptional and translational levels.

Discussion

Bioinformatics plays a significant role in current tumor research, contributing to a better understanding of carcinogenesis using systematic bioinformatic methods (25). Novel biomarkers with high prognostic efficiency are needed. Microarray technology has become a method for exploring genetic alterations in BC and identifying potentially useful biomarkers (26).

KIF11 belongs to the kinesin-5 family of proteins and has functions in numerous physiological functions, including cell cycle, cell mitosis and intracellular vesicle transport (27). Several studies have shown that KIF11 is upregulated in a wide variety of tumors, such as gastric, oral and breast cancer, meningioma, clear cell renal cell carcinoma and prostate cancer (28-33). However, the interaction among BC and KIF11 has not been widely reported and the levels of expression and biological functions of KIF11 in BC remain unclear. In the present study,
three microarray datasets were used to identify potential candidate genes in BC. A total of 141 DEGs was identified, including 55 upregulated genes and 86 downregulated genes. The top ten DEGs caused significant alterations in GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis. GO enrichment suggested that the DEGs were associated with the cell cycle, cell division, mitotic nuclear division, chromosome segregation, sister chromatid cohesion and mitotic cytokinesis. Moreover, the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed associations with cell cycle, oocyte meiosis and progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation. Cell division and cell cycle are the basic processes in cell proliferation, and their abnormalities contribute to carcinogenesis and tumor progression (34). Ten hub genes, including TYMS, AURKB, CDK1, CCNB2, CEP55, KIF20A, KIF11, CENPE, PRC1 and CDC20 were identified according to PPI network of DEGs analyses. In previous studies, worse survival outcomes in bladder cancer patients were observed for high AURKB levels (35). High co-expression of TFCP2L1 and CDK1 in tumor tissues of patients with bladder cancer is associated with unfavorable clinical features, including high tumor grade and distant metastasis (36). CCNB2 is overexpressed in bladder cancer, and the downregulation of CCNB2 expression in bladder cancer inhibits cell invasion and migration (37). These previous studies clarified the importance of hub genes in BC. In the present study, KIF11 was connected to 36 nodes in the cluster network and was the most significant gene, indicating it may play significant roles in the progression or carcinogenesis of BC.

The overall survival analysis indicated that patients with BC with alterations in KIF11 mRNA or with elevated KIF11 expression exhibited poorer overall survival rate. To verify this finding, the ONCOMINE database was used to evaluate the mRNA level of KIF11 in other datasets and it revealed that KIF11 overexpression was common in BC in four different datasets. Furthermore, the upregulation of KIF11 was associated with tumor grade. Also, Daigo et al (29) observed that the overexpression of KIF11 in oral cancer is associated with advanced pathological (p) Tumor stage and advanced pNode stage, which is consistent with the present results that KIF11-overexpression was significantly associated with tumor stage (29). Moreover, 31 paired BC and adjacent tissues were analyzed and upregulation of KIF11 in BC samples and bladder cells was further validated using RT-qPCR, IHC analysis and western blotting. The current findings suggested that KIF11 could be used as a potential prognostic biomarker in BC.

In summary, the present study aimed to identify DEGs that may be involved in progression or carcinogenesis of BC and KIF11 was identified as a potential useful candidate gene. However, further studies with larger sample sizes should be performed to validate the present findings. Also, further investigation is required to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of KIF11. Soft agar colony formation and Transwell assays should be used to investigate the effect of the KIF11 proteins on the clonability and invasiveness of the BC cells. As KIF11 is overexpressed in tumor cells and tissues, it is speculated that the change may also exist in circulating tumor cells.
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