Discovery of a weak magnetic field in the photosphere of the single giant Pollux*
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We used the nearby, weakly-active single giant, Pollux, in order to directly study and infer the nature of its magnetic field.

Methods. We used the new generation spectropolarimeters ESPaDOnS and NARVAL to observe and detect circular polarization within the photospheric absorption lines of Pollux. Our observations span 18 months from 2007–2009. We treated the spectropolarimetric data using the Least-Squares Deconvolution method to create high signal-to-noise ratio mean Stokes V profiles. We also measured the classical activity indicator S-index for the Ca ii H and K lines, and the stellar radial velocity (RV).

Results. We have unambiguously detected a weak Stokes V signal in the spectral lines of Pollux, and measured the related surface-averaged longitudinal magnetic field $B_l$. The longitudinal field averaged over the span of the observations is below one gauss. Our data suggest variations of the longitudinal magnetic field, but no significant variation of the S-index. We observe variations of $B_l$ which are qualitatively consistent with the published ephemeris for a proposed exoplanet orbiting Pollux. The observed variations of $B_l$ appear to mimic those of RV, but additional data for this relationship to be established. Using evolutionary models including the effects of rotation, we derive the mass of Pollux and we discuss its evolutionary status and the origin of its magnetic field.

Conclusions. This work presents the first direct detection of the magnetic field of Pollux, and demonstrates that ESPaDOnS and NARVAL are capable of obtaining sub-G measurements of the surface-averaged longitudinal magnetic field of giant stars, and of directly studying the relationships between magnetic activity, stellar evolution and planet hosting of these stars.
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1. Introduction

Pollux ($\beta$ Geminorum, HD 62509, HR 2990, HIP 37826), is a KOIII giant neighbour of the Sun (Hipparcos distance of 10.3 pc, $m_V = 1.16$ mag). Activity indicators suggest that it may be a weakly-active magnetic star; the core emission of the Ca ii H and K lines is marginal (at the basal level, Strassmeier et al. 1990) and a long pointed exposure with ROSAT detected weak X-ray emission. Activity studies of Pollux have been performed following the discovery of a RV variation with a total amplitude of about 100 m/s (Walkerg et al. 1989) and a period of about 580 days (Larson et al. 1993; Hatzes & Cochran 1993). To interpret these variations, the planetary companion hypothesis is now strongly preferred (Reffert et al. 2006; Hatzes et al. 2006; Han et al. 2008). Furthermore, the discovery of stellar oscillations in Pollux has been recently reported (Hatzes & Zechmeister 2007).

In this context, we have undertaken a very sensitive magnetic study of Pollux using the new-generation twin spectropolarimeters ESPaDOnS at the Canada-France-Hawaii telescope (CFHT) and NARVAL at Télescope Bernard Lyot (TBL, Pic du Midi Observatory). We report here observations spanning about 18 months in the 2007/2008 season, which establish the definite detection of a weak magnetic field at the surface of this star. Section 2 describes our observations, Sect. 3 our results, and Sect. 4 the fundamental parameters of Pollux and its evolutionary status. Section 5 includes a discussion of the nature of the magnetic field of Pollux and Sect. 6 presents our conclusions.

2. Observations with ESPaDOnS and NARVAL

Observations of Pollux were obtained at the CFHT using ESPaDOnS (Donati et al. 2006) and at the TBL using NARVAL (Aurière 2003). ESPaDOnS and NARVAL are twin spectropolarimeters. Each instrument consists of a Cassegrain polarimetric module connected by optical fibres to an echelle spectrometer. In polarimetric mode, the instrument simultaneously acquires two orthogonally-polarized spectra covering the spectral range from
370 nm to 1000 nm in a single exposure, with a resolving power of about 65 000.

A standard circular polarization observation consists of a series of 4 sub-exposures between which the half-wave retarders (Fresnel rhombs) are rotated in order to exchange the paths of the orthogonally-polarized beams within the whole instrument (and therefore the positions of the two spectra on the CCD), thereby reducing spurious polarization signatures. The extraction of the spectra, including wavelength calibration, correction to the heliocentric frame and continuum normalization, was performed using Libre-ESpRIT (Donati et al. 1997), a dedicated and automatic reduction package installed both at CFHT and at TBL. The extracted spectra are output in ascii format, and consist of the normalised Stokes $I$ series, the single Stokes $V$ per 2.6 km s$^{-1}$ spectrum. We used a solar abundance line mask calculated for an effective temperature of 5000 K, log $g$ = 3.0, and a microturbulence of 2.0 km s$^{-1}$, consistent with the physical parameters of Pollux (Hekker & Meléndez 2007; Takeda et al. 2008). The dashed line illustrates the zero level for the Stokes $V$ profile.

We observed Pollux on 21 different dates between 29 September 2007 and 18 March 2009, acquiring a total of 91 sequences of 4 sub-exposures. Observations at CFHT were part of a “snapshot” program and were sometimes obtained through clouds (data obtained on some of the cloudy nights were discarded because of large and rapid variations in sky transparency induced spurious signatures in the “diagnostic null” spectra $N$). Each spectrum used in this work is of good quality with a peak signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) in Stokes $I$ per 2.6 km s$^{-1}$ spectral bin greater than 1000 (see Table 1). As the rotation period of Pollux is expected to be rather long, and in order to increase the precision of our measurements, the data were time-averaged in 12 groups (each group containing from 4 to 15 Stokes $V$ (and Stokes $I$) series) which are presented in Table 1 (see above), and the mean heliocentric Julian date (HJD) of the binned measurement. Table 1 also reports the phase for the periodic variations of the radial velocity as computed from the ephemeris of Hatzes et al. (2006). In the last row of Table 1 is described the single Stokes $V$ series obtained on 18 October 2008 with ESPaDOnS, that we used for making Fig. 1, for inferring the $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C ratio (Sect. 4.1) and the $v$ sin $i$ (Sect. 4.2). However, this single ESPaDOnS spectrum has not been averaged with the 30 Sep. 08 NARVAL spectra, and is not used in the variability studies. To obtain a high-precision diagnosis of the spectral line circular polarization, least-squares deconvolution (LSD, Donati et al. 1997) was applied to each reduced Stokes $V$ and $I$ profiles. For display purposes, the profiles are shifted vertically, and the Stokes $V$ and diagnostic $N$ profiles are expanded by a factor of 5000. The dashed line illustrates the zero level for the Stokes $V$ profile.

From these mean Stokes profiles we computed the surface-averaged longitudinal magnetic field $B_l$ in G, using the first-order moment method (Rees & Semel 1979), adapted to LSD profiles (Donati et al. 1997; Wade et al. 2000). These measurements of $B_l$ are presented in Table 1 with their 1σ error, in G. These errors are computed from photon statistical error bars propagated through the reduction of the polarization spectra and

| Date           | Instrument   | Stokes V | $S/N$ | HJD          | Phase | $B_l$ | $\sigma$ | $S$-index | RV            |
|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|--------------|-------|------|--------|----------|---------------|
| 29 Sep.–02 Oct. 07 | ESPaDOnS    | 4        | 1196  | 4373.1       | 0.25  | -0.67| 0.26   | 0.1090   | 3.651         |
| 12 Dec.–18 Dec. 07 | NARVAL      | 6        | 1792  | 4450.7       | 0.38  | -0.51| 0.14   | 0.1234   | (3.557)       |
| 31 Dec. 07     | ESPaDOnS    | 5        | 1327  | 4467.0       | 0.40  | -0.57| 0.19   | 0.1081   | 3.538         |
| 05 Apr. 08     | ESPaDOnS    | 4        | 1986  | 4562.4       | 0.57  | -0.15| 0.15   | 0.1263   | 3.532         |
| 15 Apr. 08     | NARVAL      | 8        | 960   | 4572.4       | 0.58  | -0.12| 0.23   | 0.1267   | 3.508         |
| 16 Sep. 08     | NARVAL      | 8        | 1566  | 4726.7       | 0.85  | -0.45| 0.13   | 0.1249   | 3.551         |
| 20 Sep.–21 Sep. 08 | NARVAL      | 8        | 1328  | 4731.2       | 0.86  | -0.21| 0.16   | 0.1262   | 3.576         |
| 30 Sep. 08     | NARVAL      | 8        | 1955  | 4740.7       | 0.87  | -0.27| 0.11   | 0.1240   | 3.584         |
| 21 Dec. 08     | NARVAL      | 8        | 1532  | 4822.6       | 0.01  | -0.33| 0.14   | 0.1255   | 3.616         |
| 25 Feb. 09     | NARVAL      | 8        | 1934  | 4888.3       | 0.12  | -0.59| 0.11   | 0.1259   | 3.633         |
| 12 Mar. 09     | NARVAL      | 8        | 1190  | 4903.4       | 0.15  | -0.69| 0.14   | 0.1239   | 3.640         |
| 18 Mar. 09     | NARVAL      | 15       | 1528  | 4909.4       | 0.16  | -0.56| 0.10   | 0.1225   | (3.634)       |
| 18 Oct. 08     | ESPaDOnS    | 1        | 1839  | 4759.1       | 0.90  | -1.40| 0.35   | 0.1102   | 3.586         |

Notes: Individual columns report dates of observation, instrument, number of averaged Stokes $V$ series, mean peak $S/N$ in Stokes $I$ for one spectrum, mean HJD, Phase according to Hatzes et al. (2006), $B_l$ and its error in G, the $S$-index for Ca II H and K, and the radial velocity measured from the LSD Stokes $I$ profile.

![Fig. 1. Mean LSD profiles of Pollux from all 91 spectra acquired with ESPaDOnS and NARVAL in the September 2007–March 2009 period. From top to bottom are Stokes $V$, null polarisation $N$, and Stokes $I$ profiles. For display purposes, the profiles are shifted vertically, and the Stokes $V$ and diagnostic $N$ profiles are expanded by a factor of 5000. The dashed line illustrates the zero level for the Stokes $V$ profile.](image-url)
the computation of the LSD profiles, as described by Wade et al. (2000).

3. Results of the observations

3.1. Direct detection of a weak magnetic field on Pollux

A characteristic magnetic Stokes V Zeeman signature appears in nearly all the individual Stokes V LSD spectra. As ESPaDOnS and NARVAL are twin instruments and as no large variation of the Stokes V signature is observed (see Sect. 3.2), we averaged the 91 LSD profiles to produce global mean Stokes I, V and N profiles to obtain the highest S/N ratio possible, and applied to them the LSD statistical detection criteria (Donati et al. 1997).

The global mean LSD profiles obtained are presented in Fig. 1. The average Stokes V profile shows a definite Zeeman detection with a reduced \( \chi^2 \) equal to 5.79. The polarisation signal is weak with an amplitude of \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) of the continuum. The corresponding surface-averaged longitudinal magnetic field is \( B_l = -0.46 \pm 0.04 \) G. The associated error bar is the formal root mean square error associated with the global mean LSD profiles.

3.2. Variations of radial velocity, magnetic field and activity indicators

Clear variations of radial velocity of Pollux reported in the literature are interpreted as the result of the presence of an exoplanet (Reffert et al. 2006; Hatzes et al. 2006; Han et al. 2008). Our magnetic study is critical in this context, as magnetic activity represents a credible alternative to the exoplanet hypothesis (Queloz et al. 2001).

The radial velocity RV of Pollux was measured from the averaged LSD Stokes I profiles using a Gaussian fit. The radial velocity stability of ESPaDOnS and NARVAL is about 20–30 \( \text{ms}^{-1} \) (Moutou et al. 2007). Table 1 presents our measurements of RV as well as the phase computed from the ephemeris of Hatzes et al. (2006; phase 0 corresponds to the maximum RV in their observations (periastron); \( \text{HJD}_0 = 2447739.02, P = 589.64 \) days). The RV reported for 12–18 December 2007 is that obtained for 18 December and is given between brackets because the measurements for the other dates are significantly (about 100 \( \text{ms}^{-1} \)) smaller than the typical value for Pollux. The same is true for 18 March 2009, when only 9 about the 15 RV measurements could be used. In the lower frame of Fig. 2 we show the variations of RV with respect to HJD (constant error bars of 30 \( \text{ms}^{-1} \) are shown). Table 1 shows that the observed variations are qualitatively consistent with the ephemeris of Hatzes et al. (2006): higher values occur near phase 0, while smaller values occur near phase 0.5, and the total amplitude is near 100 \( \text{ms}^{-1} \). However, the best fit of our RV data with the Hatzes et al. period results in an 80-day shift of \( \text{HJD}_0 \) with respect to that reported by Hatzes et al.

\( B_l \) remains of negative polarity for all our observations. Table 1 suggests that the unsigned value of the longitudinal magnetic field decreases towards a minimum in April 2008, then increases. Averaging all the LSD Stokes V profiles of 2007 and all those of April 2008 we obtain corresponding values of \( B_l \) of respectively \(-0.56 \pm 0.1 \) G (the same value as for 18 March 2009) and \(-0.12 \pm 0.13 \) G. The error bars are the root mean square errors associated with averaging the data in the group. The variation of \( B_l \) between the 2007 and 18 March 2009 observations, and the April 2008 observations, is significant at the 2.75\( \sigma \) level.

Figure 3 illustrates the variations of the averaged Stokes V profiles for the 12 groups of observations reported in Table 1.

The upper frame of Fig. 2 shows the variation of \( B_l \) with respect to HJD (1\( \sigma \) error bars are shown); these variations of \( B_l \) appear to mimic the RV variations. Figure 4 plots the variation of \( B_l \) and that of RV. The correlation factor between \( B_l \) and RV measurements inferred from a linear regression is \(-0.67 \), and is therefore not insignificant. This correlation is discussed in the next subsection.

In order to monitor the line-activity indicators, we computed the \( S \)-index (defined from the Mount Wilson survey, Duncan et al. 1991) for the chromospheric Ca ii H & K line cores. Our procedure was first calibrated on the main sequence solar-type stars of Wright et al. (2004), then we added 0.03 to the index to fit measurements of 5 giant stars observed by Duncan et al. (1991) and Young et al. (1989). Our averaged values of the \( S \)-index of Pollux are presented in Table 1. They are rather weak and consistent with the 2 measurements presented by Duncan et al. (1991). They are also consistent with the McDonald \( S \)-index values of Pollux presented by Hatzes et al. (2006). We do not observe significant variations of the \( S \)-index, apart from a systematic shift between NARVAL and ESPaDOnS values (about 0.016), which could be due to small continuum normalisation differences. The absence of a significant \( S \)-index variation is consistent with the weak activity level of Pollux.

3.3. A possible correlation between \( B_l \) and RV

One conclusion from the previous section is that there may exist a correlation between the \( B_l \) and RV measurements. Hatzes (1999) devised a simple model to infer the effect of magnetic spots on RV variations. His study suggests that the RV variations due to spots are comparable in magnitude to \( v \sin i \). Therefore, as \( v \sin i \) of Pollux is low, a magnetic spot could only explain a small part of the observed RV variation. However, these simulations are from simple modelling and need to be investigated further (Hatzes 1999). For the active red giant EK Eri, a clear correlation between \( B_l \) and RV is observed (Aurière et al. 2008) which supports the suggestion that the RV variations are due to magnetic activity (Dall et al. 2005). In the case of the main sequence star HD 166435, it has been concluded that the observed periodic variations of RV (about 200 \( \text{m} \) total amplitude) could be completely explained by magnetic spots (Queloz et al. 2001; Martinez Fiorenzano et al. 2005). Now, relations between hot Jupiters and stellar activity have been observed in several systems (Lanza 2008); in these cases the hosting stars are on the main sequence and the orbital periods are short. All these results show that it will be important to observe Pollux with ESPaDOnS and NARVAL during more than one period of RV variation to settle the correlation with \( B_l \). Then, it will be possible to discuss more deeply the relation between magnetic activity and RV variations of this star.

4. Fundamental parameters and evolutionary status of Pollux

As Pollux is a bright, nearby, and well-studied star with an Hipparcos distance and an interferometric radius measurement (Nordgren et al. 2001), it should be a good case for precise determination of its fundamental parameters. However, published values of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) range from 4925–4660 K, \( \log g \) from 3.15–2.52, metallicity \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]\) from \(-0.07\) to \(+0.19\), and mass from 1.7–2.3 \( M_\odot \). In Table 2 we present some parameters for Pollux
from the more commonly cited papers in the modern literature. References are those given at the end of the paper.

4.1. The mass of Pollux and its evolutionary status

Knowledge of the mass of Pollux is important both for understanding its evolutionary status and for inferring the mass of its hypothetical planetary companion. Figure 5 shows the position of Pollux in the HR diagram, using the luminosity deduced from the Hipparcos parallax and $T_{\text{eff}}$ determinations by different authors. Among the most recent published values, we note that the effective temperatures derived by Takeda et al. (2008) or Massarotti et al. (2008) are in better agreement with the $T_{\text{eff}}$ one obtains when assuming the Hipparcos luminosity and the radius of $8.8 \pm 0.1 R_\odot$ determined from the interferometric angular diameter of Nordgren et al. (2001) evaluated at the Hipparcos distance. However we also show the low $T_{\text{eff}}$ value derived by Allende-Prieto et al. (2004) for illustration of the uncertainties.

Figure 5 shows the position of Pollux in the HR diagram, where it is compared with standard evolutionary tracks (ignoring stellar rotation), as well as those computed with the STAREVOL code (including stellar rotation), all assuming solar metallicity (with the composition of Asplund et al. 2005) and various initial masses (Charbonnel et al. in preparation). This comparison indicates an initial mass of Pollux of either $2.55 \pm 0.25 M_\odot$ or $2.4 \pm 0.25 M_\odot$, when the Takeda or Massarotti $T_{\text{eff}}$s are considered respectively. According to this diagram, the star appears to be either at the base of the red giant branch (RGB), or at the clump (i.e. burning He in its core) as already suggested by Drake & Smith (1991). Our theoretical mass is consistent with the mass range of $2.04 \pm 0.3 M_\odot$ inferred by Hatzes and Zechmeister (2007) from their asteroseismic study.

Let us note that the effective temperature determined by Allende-Prieto et al. (2004) implies masses of $2.0 \pm 0.2 M_\odot$ when compared to our tracks. However, in this case the theoretical stellar radius is much larger (i.e., $9.49 R_\odot$) compared to the Nordgren interferometric measurement.

For a $2.5 M_\odot$ star, three models including the effects of stellar rotation (i.e., the transport of angular momentum and of chemicals by meridional circulation and shear-induced turbulence, see e.g. Decressin et al. 2009) are also shown. These models assume initial rotational velocities of 50, 100, and 180 km s$^{-1}$ that are
characteristic of the possible A-type main sequence progenitors of Pollux (see Sect. 5.2). Figure 5 shows that our determination of the mass and of the evolutionary status of Pollux does not change when rotation is included.

More information about Pollux can be inferred from its surface Li abundance. Values of N(Li)\(^1\) equal to 0.6 and 0.83 are reported by Brown et al. (1989) and Mallik (1999) respectively. Figure 6 shows how these measurements fit with predictions of our models for a star of 2.5 \( M_\odot \) and different initial rotations. It suggests that the initial (i.e., ZAMS) rotation velocity of Pollux was of the order of 75 \( \pm 25 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The Li abundance does not allow us however to further constrain the evolutionary status of Pollux, since this quantity is not expected to change between the end of the first dredge-up phase (around \( T_{\text{eff}} \approx 4700 \) K) and the clump in a 2.5 \( M_\odot \) star (e.g., Charbonnel & Zahn 2007).

A precise determination of the carbon isotopic ratio of Pollux appears to be more promising in this respect. Indeed, at \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4900 \) K on the early-RGB, the first dredge-up is not completely finished, and the theoretical \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio predicted by our 2.5 \( M_\odot \) models is \( \sim 28 \). At the same \( T_{\text{eff}} \) but on the clump (i.e., after the completion of the first dredge-up), the \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio is expected to be \( \sim 20 \). These values are from the standard model. Including a rotation of 75 km s\(^{-1}\) values for the \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio, i.e. 27 on the early-RGB and 18 on the clump. We have therefore measured our spectrum obtained on 18 October 2008 to investigate this isotopic ratio.

Abundances were derived using MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008) and the LTE spectral synthesis code TurboSpectrum (Alvarez & Plez 1998). The \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio was determined on the basis of \(^{12}\text{CN}\) and \(^{13}\text{CN}\) features in the \( \lambda 800-800.6 \) nm wavelength range. The CN molecular data (line positions, excitation values, and oscillator strengths) are taken from the investigation of de Laverny & Gustafsson (1998; private communication from de Laverny 2009). Using a MARCS model atmosphere with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5000 \) K, \( \log g = 3.0 \), mass = 2 \( M_\odot \), we derived \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio = 24. Including all the uncertainties (temperature, gravity, microturbulence, continuum determination) we consider that the lower and upper limits on the \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio are 19 and 39, respectively. This is unfortunately insufficiently precise to enable us to derive the \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) ratio with an accuracy able to discriminate between the RGB and clump hypothesis.

In the RGB and clump hypothesis, the theoretical depths of the convective zone of the 2.5 \( M_\odot \) star are \( M_t = 1.58 \) and 1.55 \( M_\odot \) respectively. For the same evolutionary model, the turnover time is 170 days (respectively 176 days) in the RGB (respectively clump) hypothesis, at \( H_\rho / 2 \) above the base of the convective zone (where \( H_\rho \) is the pressure scale height). The data we have used in this paper are consistent with both Pollux being at the base of the RGB or at the clump. This ambiguity will not hamper our discussion in the following sections.

4.2. The rotational velocity and period of Pollux

Knowing the rotational period of Pollux is critical for inferring the origin of the surface magnetic field. In addition to the 589.84 day period of the RV variation, a period of about 130 days is derived from Hipparcos photometry (Hatzes et al. 2006) as a possible rotational period. As the radius of Pollux is known (8.8 \( \pm 0.1 \) \( R_\odot \); Nordgren et al. 2001), the projected rotational velocity \( v \sin i \) and the rigid rotation hypothesis can be used to derive an upper limit on the rotational period. For

Fig. 5. HR diagram showing the position of Pollux for observational values of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) obtained from Takeda et al. (2008; black point, on the left), Massarotti et al. (2008; black square, on the right), and for the Hipparcos luminosity. The observational uncertainties are 50 K for \( T_{\text{eff}} \) (approximately the diameter of the symbol) and 0.1 for log (\( L/L_\odot \)) (tick). Standard evolutionary tracks for solar composition and for various initial stellar masses are also shown, corresponding to initial rotational velocities of 50, 100, and 180 km s\(^{-1}\) (coloured lines, from bottom to top respectively). The He-burning phase and the early-AGB are drawn only for the 2.5 and 2.7 \( M_\odot \) models. For details see Sect. 4.1.

Fig. 6. Lithium abundance versus effective temperature measured in Pollux by Brown et al. (1989) and Mallik (1999) (here we show the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) used in the abundance analyses, i.e., 4830 and 4843 K respectively). The tracks show the theoretical evolution of the surface Li abundance up to the AGB phase for a star of mass 2.5 \( M_\odot \) of solar composition in the cases without rotation (continuous black line) and with initial rotational velocities of 50, 100, and 180 km s\(^{-1}\) (red, blue, and green lines, from top to bottom, respectively). First dredge-up ends around \( T_{\text{eff}} \approx 4700 \) K. For details see Sect. 4.1.

\(^1\) \( N(Li) = \log_{10} (A(Li)) + 12 \).
example, establishing that $v \sin i > 0.75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ would exclude the 589.64 day period (and longer periods). Using the CORAVEL radial velocity scanner, De Medeiros & Mayor (1999) inferred $v \sin i < 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. However, the $v \sin i$ values reported in Table 2 are all greater than 1 km s$^{-1}$.

Because ESPaDOnS and NARVAL have a spectral resolution (about 65,000) equivalent to the best used in the recent studies cited in Table 2, we have performed our own evaluation of the $v \sin i$ using the spectrum observed with ESPaDOnS on 18 October 2008. We used a direct fitting method assuming a gaussian instrumental broadening function, with a FWHM of 4.4 km s$^{-1}$. The ZEEMAN2 code (Landstreet 1988; Wade et al. 2001) was used to compute synthetic spectra of Pollux, taking into account $v \sin i$ and macroturbulence, for which a radial-tangential parametrisation was used. An ATLAS9 model atmosphere with solar metallicity, an effective temperature of 4904 K and a logarithmic surface gravity of 2.84 was employed. The synthetic spectrum was then fitted to the observed spectrum in the 550–560 nm and 600–610 nm ranges, using a Levenberg-Marquardt $\chi^2$ minimisation procedure (Press et al. 1992). Our main result is that there is a degeneracy between macroturbulence and $v \sin i$, i.e. macroturbulence of 4 km s$^{-1}$ and $v \sin i = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (best fit) and macroturbulence of 0 km s$^{-1}$ and $v \sin i = 5.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are both possible solutions. It is known that macroturbulence is seldom absent in red giants, and can reach values higher than 5 km s$^{-1}$ (Carney et al. 2008). It is generally found to be in the range 3–4 km s$^{-1}$ for Pollux (Gray 1982; Carney et al. 2008; Hekker & Melendez 2007). Macroturbulence therefore likely dominates the measured broadening observed for Pollux and our resolution of 4.4 km s$^{-1}$ appears insufficient to disentangle the $v \sin i$ contribution. In conclusion, we consider that with the spectral resolution used in the recent studies of Pollux, small values of $v \sin i$ cannot be excluded, nor can rotational periods as large as the 589.64 d period observed in the RV variations.

5. The magnetic field of Pollux

5.1. Magnetic field and activity of Pollux

Pollux presents weak chromospheric emission at a basal level: this could be due to acoustic or hydrodynamic heating rather than to magnetic activity (Hall 2008). The direct detection of a surface magnetic field now points to magnetism as the probable driver. Figures 1 and 3 show the Stokes V feature typical of a simple magnetic topology or a large-scale field. However, because of the small $v \sin i$ of Pollux, the Stokes V profile does not resolve complex structures which might be associated with dynamo action.

Now we consider the question of the nature and origin of this weak magnetic field. Strong magnetic fields have been observed at the surfaces of rapidly-rotating giants, including RS CVn binaries (Berdyugina et al. 2006) or FK Com stars (e.g. HD 199178, Petit et al. 2004). Weaker magnetic fields ($B_1$ of the order of a few G) have been detected with NARVAL or ESPaDOnS at the surface of active single giants, which rotate faster than the bulk of the red giant class (Konstantinova-Antova et al. 2008, 2009). For all these active stars, the origin of the magnetic field is very likely a dynamo. The exceptional, strong, magnetic field at the surface of the slowly-rotating active giant EK Eri has been recently detected with NARVAL (Aurière et al. 2008). That magnetic study supports the field being the descendant of that of a strongly magnetic Ap star (Stepień 1993; Strassmeier et al. 1999).

The magnetic field we observe at the surface of Pollux is one of the weakest ever measured on a star: it is at the level of, or even weaker than, that which is observed at the surface of solar twins (Petit et al. 2008). This confirms the activity level observed with ROSAT (Schröder et al. 1998), an X-ray luminosity of about $10^{27} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, i.e. the average level of the X-ray luminosity of the Sun (Katsova & Livshits 2006).

5.2. Origin of the magnetic field

The main sequence progenitor of Pollux was approximately a 2.5 $M_\odot$, A2V star (Sect. 4.1 and Allen 2000). Its ZAMS radius would have been about 2 $R_\odot$. Such a progenitor could have been either a “normal” A-type stars (which are rather fast rotators), or a magnetic Ap star (which represent about 5% of main sequence A-type stars and which are slow rotators, with a rotational velocity generally smaller than 100 km s$^{-1}$, Abt & Morrell 1995). From Sect. 4.1, fitting the Li abundance with our evolutionary models, we infer that the progenitor of Pollux was a moderate rotator, which is consistent both with “normal” A-type star and magnetic Ap origins.

5.2.1. Pollux as the descendant of a “normal” A-type star

Normal A-type stars have weak surface convection on the main sequence and appear to be free of large scale surface magnetic fields (Shorlin et al. 2002 – but see also Lignières et al. 2009). During the subgiant phase, a convection zone appears and deepens and, with the combination of the high rotation rate, a dynamo magnetic field is expected to occur. At the evolutionary phase of Pollux on the RGB, our evolutionary model predicts a convective turnover time of about 170 days at $H_\pi/2$ above the bottom of the convective zone (see Sect. 4.1). As the rotation period is expected to be rather smaller than some hundreds of days (see Sect. 4.2), the Rossby number would be of the order of 1 for Pollux, and the requirements for a dynamo might be fulfilled (Durney & Latour 1978).

5.2.2. Pollux as the descendant of an Ap star

The mass of Pollux corresponds to about the peak of the mass incidence distribution of magnetic Ap stars in the solar neighbourhood (Power et al. 2007, and in prep.). Magnetic Ap stars are slow rotators with respect to “normal” A-type stars (Abt & Morrell 1995) and harbour organised surface magnetic fields which can be roughly modeled by a dipole (Landstreet 1992). Following the calculations of Stepień (1993), assuming only conservation of magnetic flux during stellar evolution, we find that the surface magnetic field of a magnetic Ap star (a main sequence star progenitor of radius 2 $R_\odot$, with a magnetic field typical of the nearby Ap stars (~2.5 KG)), the surface field at the evolutionary phase of Pollux would be about 130 G – much stronger than that measured. On the other hand, if the progenitor’s magnetic field was much weaker – near the field threshold of about 300 G found by Aurière et al. (2007) – the field would be just 15 G at the evolutionary phase of Pollux. This would give a peak $B_1$ smaller than 5 G, and would be roughly compatible with our Pollux measurements.

6. Conclusion

The weak magnetic field detected and measured at the surface of Pollux may be generated by a dynamo occurring naturally during...
the evolution of a “normal” 2.5 M_☉ star. Alternatively, it could be the result of the evolution of the large scale fossil magnetic field of an Ap star. However, such a fossil field would necessarily be very weak, near the 300 G field threshold reported by Aurière et al. (2007). As Ap stars hosting such weak fields appear to be quite rare (Aurière et al. 2007; Power et al. 2007, and in prep.), the latter scenario appears less likely than the former. Whatever the field origin, follow-up observations are required to confirm the measured variations of the magnetic field and to infer the rotation period of Pollux. If correlated with the radial velocity variations, variations of the magnetic field would enable to discuss the relation between RV variations, magnetic activity and the hypothetical exoplanet.

The sensitivity of ESPaDOnS and NARVAL enables us to perform magnetic studies measuring surface-averaged longitudinal fields smaller than 1 G on red giants, i.e. to study magnetic activity at a level similar to that encountered in the Sun. We are thus in a position to complement X-ray surveys (Schröder et al. 1998) in studying stellar magnetic activity in advanced evolutionary stages of the red giant branch. Magnetic fields are also measured on the AGB, in SiO and OH masers (Herpin et al. 2006; Fish et al. 2006). Using NARVAL, a magnetic field of a few G has been recently detected at the surface of the rapidly-rotating single M5 AGB star EK Boo (Konstantinova-Antova et al. 2009). AGB stars in general may be very slow rotators and other dynamo regimes than that which occurs in the Sun may be invoked there. Along the red giant branch, the dominant dynamo mode may evolve from a boundary-layer dynamo mode to a deep-envelope dynamo mode, and then to a turbulent dynamo mode, when the convective zone deepens and rotation slows (Schrijver & Zwaan 2000).
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