Structural biology of plant lectins and macromolecular crystallography in India

Nukathoti Sivaji, Kaza Suguna, Avadhesha Surolia and Mamannamana Vijayan*

Molecular Biophysics Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru 560 012, India

Earnest attempts at initiating macromolecular crystallography, which is central to modern biology, started in India in the 1970s. The most important component of the efforts was on plant lectins. The lectin programme was continuously and almost exclusively supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. Lectins are quintessential carbohydrate-binding proteins and have acquired considerable importance in relation to biological recognition at cell surface. The plant lectin programme at Bengaluru spanned 40 years and was instrumental in making significant contributions to glycobiology. The work has also produced important results pertaining to protein folding, quaternary association and strategies for generating ligand specificities. The role of the lectin programme in mentoring scientists has been important and many of the senior macromolecular crystallographers in the country were initially trained under this programme. Studies on plant lectins led to those on mycobacterial and archeal lectins. The lectin work has also served as a springboard for the initiation of several other long-range programmes which, in addition to yielding important results, have also helped in training scientists, many of whom are again leaders of structural biology in India. Perhaps, majority of macromolecular crystallographers in India are those who have been trained at Bengaluru and their descendants. Particularly in recent years, there has been an influx of scientists trained in other centres, who have added vibrance to the macromolecular crystallography community. The community is now reasonably coherent, with constructive interactions among its members, and with several common programmes and shared facilities.
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LECTINS are often described as multivalent carbohydrate-binding proteins of non-immune origin, which specifically recognize different sugar structures. They were originally discovered in plants and their best-known property was the ability to agglutinate red blood cells. Therefore, they were described as phytohemagglutinines. Many plant lectins have specificity for different blood groups. Subsequently, lectins were found in animals, algae, bacteria, fungi, yeast and viruses as well. Most recently, in our laboratory, we have established the presence of lectins in archea. Thus lectins exist in all the three domains of life, viz. eukaryotes, eubacteria and archea. It would therefore appear that lectins are a group of important proteins which presumably originated before the three domains diverged.

Sugar and their polymers, viz. oligosaccharides and polysaccharides, abound in nature. They often occur in combination with proteins and lipids. Cellulose, a polymer of the sugar glucose, is the most abundant biomolecule in nature as it forms a structural component of plants. There are also many other polysaccharides with important structural roles. Sugars can polymerize in different ways. For instance, some sugars polymerize in ways different from that observed in structural polysaccharides, to yield important food materials, e.g. sucrose, starch, etc. in the form of carbohydrates. In spite of their importance as structural materials and components of food, they received much less attention than proteins and nucleic acids. The situation changed with the realization that most of the recognitive processes on the cell surface are mediated by sugars. Consequently, lectins which are quintessential sugar-binding molecules with recognitive functions, began to receive considerable attention. To start with, much of this attention was on plant lectins. It is in these early stages that we initiated our work on plant lectins which enabled us to make important, globally competitive contributions in the area. Furthermore, structural biological studies on plant lectins turned out to be an important vehicle for the initiation and development of macromolecular crystallography in India. In what follows, we outline the historical context and review our major findings in that context. We also describe briefly, succeeding and parallel efforts at Bengaluru on related and other systems.

Background

India has had a distinguished tradition in X-ray crystallography. G. N. Ramachandran (G.N.R.) and his colleagues...
gave a head start to the country in structural biology, in addition to contributing to the foundations of crystallography. However, most of their efforts in structural biology were computational in nature. G.N.R. very much wanted to initiate macromolecular crystallography, the most important component of structural biology in India, but the time was not ripe for doing so. A few Indians were involved in early macromolecular crystallography studies abroad. However, one of us (M.V.) was the first trained macromolecular crystallographer to return home.

When M.V. returned to India and joined the Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bengaluru in 1971, the available resources were totally inadequate to start structural work on macromolecules like proteins. The interactions between crystallographers and biochemists were also inadequate. Therefore, much of his early work was on crystalline complexes involving amino acids and peptides, with emphasis on aggregation and interaction patterns. This effort turned into a major programme with its implications for supramolecular association and chemical evolution. However, he was constantly on the lookout for avenues to initiate macromolecular crystallographic studies. It is in this context, that interaction with A.S. became important. In 1978, M.V. was a comparatively young faculty member in the Molecular Biophysics Unit (MBU) at IISc. By then, A.S. had obtained his doctorate working on the biochemistry and physical chemistry of plant lectins and was at the Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata. Their meeting at Hyderabad was arranged during late 1978 by a common friend, S. K. Poddar, who was a faculty member of the Department of Biochemistry at IISc. That was the beginning of structural biology studies on plant lectins in India. Forty years later, in 2018, the very last structural biology paper of (M.V. and A.S.) on plant lectins appeared in *Glycobiology*. During these four decades M.V. and A.S. have collaborated on plant lectins. In the meantime, A.S. joined MBU as a faculty member in 1981. That naturally further facilitated the collaboration. K.S. joined MBU in 1988 with considerable experience in work on plant lectins in a US laboratory. Since then, the three of us (M.V., A.S. and K.S.) formed a core group in the structural biology programme at Bengaluru on plant lectins. Of course, as indicated later, many other distinguished scientists contributed to the work as students and postdoctoral fellows.

**Early efforts and the national mandate**

When we initiated crystallographic work at Bengaluru in 1978, lectin crystallography was at its infancy. Three-dimensional structure of only concanavalin A (Con A) from jack bean and wheat germ agglutinin from *Trifolium aestivum* were then available. Our first effort at crystallization was on *Ricinus communis* agglutinin (RCA). Despite efforts lasting a couple of years, the lectin could not be crystallized. We had better luck with peanut agglutinin (PNA), which readily crystallized. A crystallization note outlining this work was published in early 1982 (ref. 9). In the meantime, M.V. had initiated a programme on the hydration of proteins and its consequences based on water-mediated transformation. Yet another major programme at MBU involved fibre diffraction studies on DNA by V. Sasishekaran in collaboration with S. K. Brahmacari and others. The Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India (GoI) had by then formulated their Thrust Area Programme. In 1982, V. Sasishekaran, M.V., A.S. and Brahmacari submitted, a comprehensive X-ray project involving work on DNA and proteins. After much deliberation, the project was sanctioned in 1983. In the course of discussions, it was agreed, particularly at the instance of then DST Secretary, S. Varadarajan and Chairman of the Programme Advisory Committee, N. Seshagirir, that the Bengaluru group should function as a national nucleus for the development of macromolecular X-ray crystallographic studies in India. The handsome grant provided by DST in 1983 was perceived as a first step in this direction. Within a few years, the work on fibre diffraction was wound up. Funds for studies based on water-mediated transformations were obtained from other sources. The lectin programme remained with DST. Overall support for the X-ray facility at MBU and that for the lectin programme has been provided by DST from 1983 to date. Indeed, during a period, the programme on lectins used to be projected as a flagship programme of DST.

In the early 1980s, the PNA molecule was large even by global standards. The available experimental and computational facilities in India were inadequate to deal with the problem. Furthermore, the unusual nature of the quaternary association of the protein, elucidated subsequently, also contributed to the difficulty in solving the structure. It was established that PNA is a tetramer like ConA. Most of the early efforts were to solve the structure using molecular replacement on the basis of this similarity and the known substantial sequence identity between PNA and ConA. These efforts failed. The success during the first 10 years of lectin crystallography at Bengaluru was in crystallizing and characterizing important lectins, which formed a springboard for further detailed structural work. In addition to new crystal forms of PNA, several crystal forms of jacalin, a lectin from jackfruit (*Artocarpus integrifolia*) seeds and winged bean (*Psophocarpus tetragonolobus*) lectin were prepared during this period. Eventually, the structure of peanut lectin was determined by the multiple isomorphous replacement method in the early 1990s (ref. 15). This was closely followed by the structure analysis of jacalin, which revealed a new lectin fold. These two major structure determinations, which attracted widespread global attention, truly launched the structural biology studies of lectins in the country.
They also have had great impact on the development of macromolecular crystallography studies in India.

**Technological bottlenecks**

Early 1990s also marked the advent of position-sensitive detectors in India. Till then, the method of choice was oscillation photography coupled with microdensitometry. In the absence of ready access to a synchrotron facility, collection of X-ray intensity data from a given protein typically took several months and often involved dozens of crystals. That left us at a considerable disadvantage in comparison with those in developed countries, who had ready access to synchrotron facilities on which data collection from a protein could be collected within a day or a few days, often using a single crystal. For us, the availability of position-sensitive detectors substantially changed the scenario. Using these devices, data from a protein crystal could now be collected in a few days. The absence of ready access to synchrotron facilities was still a major problem, but it was not as formidable as before. The first position-sensitive detector for X-ray intensity data collection was installed at Bengaluru as a national facility accessible to all macromolecular crystallographers in the country. Over the decades, different kinds of position-sensitive detectors have become routine tools for data collection and dozens of them are now distributed in different macromolecular crystallography laboratories in the country. The Bengaluru group has played an effective role in making this possible.

Yet another problem in the 1980s and the 1990s had to do with computational facilities. India was often under sanctions and the advanced countries, particularly USA, were hesitant to supply computer facilities to us. For example, even though GoI sanctioned funds for a supercomputer to IISc, on the occasion of its Platinum Jubilee in 1984, we could not procure one, because USA was unwilling to permit us to obtain a supercomputer on normal commercial terms. The same difficulty was encountered in relation to graphics workstations as well. These difficulties led to delays in the macromolecular crystallography studies. In the course of time, computer technology changed and one could then go ahead with the work even without mainframe supercomputers. Eventually, computation ceased to be a major problem. Thus, with the availability of position-sensitive detectors and the removal of the computational bottleneck, technological impediments that slowed down macromolecular crystallography studies were substantially removed, although the absence of an Indian synchrotron facility affected our competitive edge.

**Structural information – an overview**

Con A, the first lectin to be structure analysed, had what came to be described as the legume lectin fold57. The structure of wheat germ agglutinin was determined next, again in the 1970s. This lectin had the haevein fold57. Type-II ribosome inactivating proteins (RIPs) have two chains connected by a disulphide bridge. One of them is a lectin chain made up of two identical domains. Through the structure analysis of ricin from *Ricinus communis*, it was established that each lectin domain has a $\beta$-trefoil fold57. In the first half of the 90s, $\beta$-prism II was identified as a lectin fold through the X-ray analysis of snow-drop lectin55. Our work on jacalin, one of the two lectins in jackfruit seeds, brought to light a fifth lectin fold described as $\beta$-prism I (ref. 16). Most of the plant lectin structures determined to date have one of the five folds mentioned above. We have dealt with four of these five in our laboratory. As shown in Table 1, these studies involved 90 crystal structures of 12 lectins and their sugar complexes. Most of the plant lectins are either specific to galactose (Gal)/N-acetyl galactosamine (GalNAc) or mannose (Man)/glucose (Glc) at the primary binding site. This specificity, where known, is also indicated in the table. The specificity of different lectins for oligosaccharides such as blood group substances, arises out of interactions at secondary binding sites.

There are a few other groups in India that have worked on the structural biology of plant lectins, not only as part of a long-range programme. For instance Dinkar Salunke, then at the National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi, used plant lectins to explore molecular mimicry19–24. T. P. Singh (All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi) and S. Ramakumar (IISc) have worked on type-II RIPs25–28. Others who have contributed significantly to the work on plant lectins include C.G. Suresh (National Chemical Laboratory, Pune)29,30 and M. Haridas (Kannur University)31–34. However, the bulk of the structural biology studies on plant lectins in India emanated from our group. The primary focus of the present article is on this effort with its implications to macromolecular crystallography in India. Furthermore, the emphasis in what follows is on aspects of general interest rather than on specific details of individual lectins.

**Structure of subunits (folds)**

Lectin molecules generally exist as oligomers. The monomers, also referred to as subunits or protomers, of lectins exhibit only a limited number of structures (folds). Figure 1 shows the folds of the lectins under review here.

The legume lectin fold, also called $\beta$-sandwich or jelly roll fold, was structurally characterized in its essential features before the first legume lectin structure was determined in our laboratory. However, studies on PNA55–48, basic winged bean lectin (WBAI)54, acidic winged bean lectin (WBAII)55, Erythrina corallodendron lectin (EcorL)55–56 and *Dolichos lablab* lectin57 (the last mentioned studied in collaboration with Nadimpalli Siva
Table 1. Basic data on plant lectins and their complexes studied at Bengaluru using crystallography

| Lectin                        | Source                  | Specificity at primary binding site | No. of independent crystals analysed | Important references |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Legume lectins                |                         |                                     |                                      |                      |
| Peanut lectin (PNA)           | Arachis hypogaea        | Gal                                 | 21                                   | 15, 35–48            |
| Basic winged bean lectin (WBAI) | Psophocarpus tetragonolobus | Gal/GalNAc                       | 14                                   | 8, 49–53             |
| Acidic winged bean lectin (WBAII) | Psophocarpus tetragonolobus | Gal/GalNAc                      | 2                                    | 54                   |
| Erythrina corallodendron lectin (EcorL) | Erythrina corallodendron | Gal/GalNAc                  | 4                                    | 55, 56               |
| Dolichos lablab lectin        | Dolichos lablab         | Man/Glc                            | 4                                    | 57                   |
| β-prism I fold lectins        |                         |                                     |                                      |                      |
| Jacalin                      | Artocarpus integrifolia | Gal                                 | 19                                   | 16, 58–64            |
| Artocarpin                   | Artocarpus integrifolia | Man/Glc                            | 5                                    | 65, 66               |
| Banana lectin                | Musa paradisiaca        | Man/Glc                            | 4                                    | 67, 68               |
| β-prism II fold lectins       |                         |                                     |                                      |                      |
| Garlic lectin                | Allium sativum          | Man                                 | 2                                    | 69–71                |
| Remusatia vivipara lectin (RVL) | Remusatia vivipara     | Man                                 | 1                                    | 72                   |
| β-trefoil lectins            |                         |                                     |                                      |                      |
| Snake gourd seed lectin (SGSL) | Trichosanthes anguina  | Gal/GalNAc                        | 3                                    | 5, 73                |
| Bitter gourd seed lectin (BGSL) | Momordica charantia   | Gal/GalNAc                        | 9                                    | 74                   |

Figure 1. Subunit structures of four families of plant lectins studied in the laboratory. The top panel illustrates the folds and the bottom panel shows the corresponding three-dimensional structures. Bound sugars are shown as sticks. 

Kumar, from the University of Hyderabad), brought out some of the unappreciated aspects of this fold and provided insights into the variability within the fold. The features, outlined below, incorporate this additional information as well. The fold is robust and remains intact even when circular permutations in the sequence or glycosylation occur.

The legume lectin fold, as observed in PNA for example, essentially consists of a six-stranded, flat ‘back β-sheet’, a seven-stranded, curved ‘front β-sheet’ and a smaller, five-stranded ‘top β-sheet’ connecting the first two. The β-sheets are well conserved among legume lectins. Variations occur through the elaboration of the loops. The subunit with a legume lectin fold has two hydrophobic cores, one bounded by the three sheets and the other between the curved front sheet and the long overhanging loops that connect the strands in it. The legume lectin fold is found in several other families of proteins as well. A comparison across families reveals that the presence of the second hydrophobic core is related to the curvature of the front sheet and the lengths of the loops that overhang in front of it. When the loops are long, the sheet curves and a second hydrophobic core is generated between the sheet and the loops.
The β-prism I fold was identified as a lectin fold through the X-ray analysis of jacalin\textsuperscript{16,58–64}. Since then, a few plant lectins with β-prism I fold have been reported. In our laboratory, the structure of artocarpin, the second lectin from jackfruit seeds, was subsequently determined\textsuperscript{65,66}. Artocarpin is a single-chain subunit which is 149 amino acid residues long. Two chains, an α-chain consisting of 133 amino acid residues and a β-chain comprising 20 residues, constitute the subunit of jacalin. The two chains are produced by a post-translational proteolysis of a single-chain processor which has 44.2% sequence identity with the single-chain artocarpin subunit. The two lectins have the same fold and nearly the same threedimensional structure. The two chains of jacalin form an integral part of the same three-dimensional structure. The β-prism I fold essentially consists of three Greek keys aligned as three faces of a threefold symmetric prism, the strands in the Greek keys being parallel to the threefold axis (Figure 1b). Loops which connect the strands are sequestered at the two ends of the prism. The threefold symmetry in the three-dimensional structure is not reflected in the sequence. Jackfruit tree is a dicot. A monocot lectin, viz. banana lectin, was also studied in our laboratory\textsuperscript{67,68} and elsewhere. Banana lectin also exhibits the β-prism I fold. Unlike in the dicot lectins, the threefold symmetry of the structure is reflected in the amino acid sequence of banana lectin.

The β-prism II fold (Figure 1c) was characterized as a lectin fold for the first time through the X-ray crystallographic analysis of snowdrop lectin\textsuperscript{18}. The first lectin with a β-prism II fold to be determined in our laboratory was from garlic\textsuperscript{69–71}, Remusatia vivipara lectin (RVL), also exhibits the β-prism II fold. The subunit of β-prism II fold lectins is nearly as long as that of β-prism I fold lectins. They also exhibit threefold symmetry. However, in contrast to the β-prism I fold, strands in the β-prism II fold are perpendicular to the threefold axis and symmetry of the structure is reflected in the sequence as well.

The β-trefoil fold was well characterized in other proteins, much before it was observed in the lectin chains of ricin\textsuperscript{17} and abrin\textsuperscript{28}. Type-II RIPs consists of a catalytic chain and a lectin chain. The lectin chain is made up of two similar domains, each having three homologous subdomains α, β and γ (Figure 1d). Each sub-domain has a four-stranded β-sheet formed by three strands of one subdomain and the fourth strand from a neighbouring subdomain. The twist in the sheet is extensive due to which a cylindrical β-barrel is formed. There are non-toxic homologues of type-II RIPs as well. Snake gourd seed lectin (SGSL)\textsuperscript{5,73} and bitter gourd seed lectin (BGSL)\textsuperscript{74} studied in our laboratory (the former in collaboration with M. J. Swamy of the University of Hyderabad) are such homologues. Much of the discussion presented below is concerned with the additional variations in the structure and function of lectins, based on the folds outlined above, generated through the variability in multimeric association (quaternary structure) and ligand specificity\textsuperscript{75–78}.

**Quaternary association**

Many proteins, perhaps a majority of them, exist as multimers. A well-established paradigm of protein architecture is that such multimers should have a ‘closed’ structure with point group symmetry. This paradigm is almost universally followed. Thus, a dimeric protein would have twofold symmetry. The subunits in a tetrameric protein would be related to one another either by 222 symmetry or a fourfold axis. When the tetramer is a dimer of a dimers, the expected symmetry is 222. The most striking feature of the structure of PNA is that the tetrameric molecule does not possess 222 or fourfold symmetry\textsuperscript{15,79}. As illustrated in Figure 2, the tetramer is a dimer of dimers. Subunits A and D form a dimer, while subunits B and C form the other dimer. Both are...
individually twofold symmetric. The two dimers are also related to each other by a twofold symmetry. However, the three two-fold axes do not intersect to give 222 symmetry. Considering the two dimers, interactions exist only between subunits A and B. Subunits C and D are not in contact with each other. The structure of peanut lectin established that multimers with ‘open’ structures can also exist.

The work on PNA also led to a thorough examination of the variability in quaternary association of legume lectins. Con A, the first legume lectin to be structure analysed, is a dimer of dimers. In each dimer, the back \( \beta \)-sheet forms a contiguous 12-stranded \( \beta \)-sheet resulting from a side-to-side disposition of the two subunits. The same type of association was found in dimeric pea lectin and favin as well. Therefore, this type of dimerization came to be described as ‘canonical’. Subsequently, a back-to-back arrangement of back \( \beta \)-sheets, as in AD and BC in PNA, was found in \textit{Griffonia simplicifolia} lectin (GS IV)\(^{80}\). It was suggested that this non-canonical mode of dimerization in GS IV was caused by interactions involving covalently bound sugar and to avoid the burial of a glutamic acid residue. Soon afterwards, a related handshake mode of dimerization was observed in EcorL\(^{81}\). Presence of a glycosylation site at the interface was suggested to be the reason why EcorL cannot form canonical dimers. PNA is not glycosylated, yet it exhibits a non-canonical mode of dimerization. This indicates that the departure from canonical mode is not necessarily caused by interactions involving covalently bound sugar.

The issue was further examined using the structures of winged bean lectins\(^{89,85}\). WBAI has a sequence identity of 54% with EcorL. However, unlike in EcorL, the glycosylation site in the former is far removed from the inter-subunit interface of the canonical dimer. Thus WBAI would associate into a canonical dimer if the formation of such a dimer is prevented by covalently linked sugar. On the contrary, it would form a handshake-type dimer as in EcorL, if the non-canonical mode is adopted for reasons intrinsic to the protein. It was found that the crystal structure of WBAI exhibited the handshake mode of dimerization (Figure 2), in consonance with differential scanning calorimetry studies carried out on WBAI and EcorL\(^{82,83}\).
The same was true for WBAII as well. Subsequently, the crystal structure of non-glycosylated recombinant EcorL (rEcorL) was determined. This also adopts a handshake mode of dimerization. It would thus appear that the modes of dimerization in legume lectins are caused by factors intrinsic to the protein. Once the oligomeric structure is set, glycosylation does not alter it.

A detailed examination showed that legume lectins are a family of proteins in which small alterations in essentially the same tertiary structure lead to large changes in quaternary association. All legume lectins of known three-dimensional structure are dimers or tetramers (dimers of dimers). A variety of modes of quaternary association are observed in the dimeric as well as tetrameric lectins (Figure 3). The back β-sheet is involved in oligomerization in all of them. The observed variability in quaternary association could be rationalized to a substantial extent using computational approaches.

Research on legume lectins was the first among detailed studies on the variability in the quaternary association of proteins with essentially the same tertiary structure. Subsequently such variability was recognized to be widespread. Among plant lectins, those with β-prism I fold also exhibited substantial variability in quaternary association in spite of all of them having nearly the same tertiary structure. This variability is most striking in mannose binding β-prism I fold lectins, the subunits of which are made up of a single polypeptide chain (Figure 4). Among them, banana lectin and calsepa are dimeric, artocarpin is a tetramer and heltuba is an octamer. The modes of dimeric association in banana lectins and calsepa are different. Tetrameric artocarpin and octameric heltuba are made up of banana lectin-type dimers. The variability in the quaternary association in β-prism I fold lectins was also studied and rationalized using energy minimization of different models and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

Substantial variability in quaternary association is exhibited by β-prism II fold lectins as well (Figure 5). For instance, Gastrodia elata lectin (GEL) is a monomer, a rare example of monomeric lectin. Typical of dimeric β-prism II fold lectins is garlic lectin, in which dimerization involves a strand exchange. Snowdrop lectin is a tetramer made up of two garlic lectin-type domains. The structural basis of this variability could also be understood to a substantial extent.

The type-II RIPs, including the two non-toxic homologues studied by us, are technically monomeric molecules. However, most of them contain a lectin chain and a toxic chain held together by a disulphide bridge (Figure 6). It has been demonstrated that the structural integrity of the two-chain molecule is likely to be preserved even when the disulphide bridge is disrupted. There are also a few type-II RIPs, including BGSL, in which two two-chain units are further linked by a disulphide bridge, giving rise to a four-chain molecule. The differences in the mutual orientation of different chains in type-II RIPs are akin to those in the mode of quaternary association in multi-subunit proteins. This aspect of the structures of type-II RIPs has been explored only to a limited extent.

### Protein–carbohydrate interactions and strategies for generation of ligand specificity

The most important characteristic feature of lectins is their ability to distinguish different sugars structures (specificity) and to bind to appropriate sugars using different interactions (affinity). High affinity is often generated through multivalency (see later) and interactions...
with different regions of the concerned oligosaccharide (oligomers of different monomeric sugars). The first level of specificity is based on interactions with the terminal sugar. The location at which this terminal sugar binds in the lectin molecule is often referred to as the primary binding site. On this basis, most plant lectins can be classified as Gal/GalNAc-binding lectins and Man/Glc-binding lectins. Gal, Man and Glc have the same chemical structures (Figure 7). The difference is only in the configuration at ring carbon atoms. The main difference between Man and Glc on the one hand and Gal and GalNAc on the other hand, is in the orientation of O4. This difference leads to differences in the distribution of partial charges in the ring in such a way as to facilitate the stacking of an aromatic ring against the rings in Gal and GalNAc. Therefore, the combining site of Gal/GalNAc-specific lectin is always associated with aromatic residues.

PNA is among the very few lectins which binds Gal, but not GalNAc. The reason for this could be deduced from MD studies\textsuperscript{44}. A point mutant of PNA which binds to Gal and GalNAc with equal affinity could also be produced\textsuperscript{19}. EcorL binds Gal and GalNAc equally well. It was also possible through site-directed mutagenesis to produce a mutant of EcorL which showed preference for one over the other\textsuperscript{56}. These mutational studies have provided further insights into the structural basis of the carbohydrate specificity of these lectins. Legume lectins could be Man/Glc-specific or Gal/GalNAc-specific. The same is true with \(\beta\)-prism I fold lectins. \(\beta\)-prism II fold lectins are always Man/Glc-specific, while type-II RIPs are always Gal/GalNAc-specific. Within each group of lectins, further levels of specificity are generated through interactions involving sites other than the primary site as well. It is important to delineate the interactions at primary and secondary sites to elucidate the structural basis of carbohydrate specificity and its consequences. That is what we have done in relation to the lectins we have undertaken for study. Details of this effort can be obtained from primary publications. Here we only deal with some representative examples to illustrate a few general principles.
PNA is specific to Gal at the monosaccharide level. At the disaccharide level, the lectin has the highest affinity for Gal $\beta$1–3 GalNAc, which is the proper name for tumour-associated T-antigen. That confers diagnostic value to PNA. The affinity of the lectin for the T-antigenic disaccharide is 20 times more than that for, say, lactose which is a similar disaccharide. This higher affinity is achieved on account of water-mediated interactions between the lectin and the acetalmo group of T-antigen $^{58}$ (Figure 8). Thus PNA demonstrates how water bridges can be used as a strategy for generating ligand specificity. Yet another strategy was brought to light when comparing WBAI and WBAII. Both have similar structures and are specific to Gal/GalNAc at the monosaccharide level. While WBAII can bind to blood-group substances A, B and O, WBAI can bind only to A and B and not O. Different blood groups are distinguished on the basis of the oligosaccharides that are attached to the surface of red blood cells. In all the three blood groups, the terminal residue of the oligosaccharide is Gal. However, the way the rest of the oligosaccharide is attached to Gal is different in A and B on the one hand, and O on the other hand. The attachment involves a $\beta$-link in O, while it is an $\alpha$-link in A and B. In WBAI, the $\beta$-link results in a steric clash with a long loop, while no steric clash exists when it is an $\alpha$-link $^{54}$ (Figure 9). In WBAII, the concerned loop is much shorter and hence can support $\beta$-linked oligosaccharides as well. This is an example where loop length has been used as a strategy for generating ligand specificity, as suggested in a comprehensive analysis $^{65}$.

The structures of a large number of sugar complexes of $\beta$-prism I fold lectins have been determined and the extended binding sites have been characterized $^{59-64}$. However, the structure of Me–$\alpha$–Gal complex of jacalin $^{16}$ and the Me–$\alpha$–Man complex of artocarpin $^{65}$ define the basic framework for comparing the structural basis for sugar specificity of $\beta$-prism I fold lectins (Figure 10). It may be noted that one of the three Greek keys in jacalin is broken on account of post-translational proteolysis. In both cases, the loops at one end of the prism constitute the carbohydrate-binding site. There is one carbohydrate-binding site per subunit in both the cases. The binding sites are similar, but with a couple of important differences. The positively charged amino terminus of the chain generated by post-translational proteolysis, strongly interacts with O4 of Gal in jacalin. O4 in Gal has a different orientation from that in Man. This particular lectin–Gal interaction found in jacalin exists in other Gal-specific $\beta$-prism I fold lectins as well $^{66}$. Thus the structure analysis brings to light post-translational modification as a strategy for generating ligand specificity. The combining site of Gal-specific $\beta$-prism I fold lectins also contains aromatic residues, unlike in the case of Man-specific ones. Another interesting observation has to do with the type of linkage. Jacalin has higher affinity for $\alpha$-galactosides compared to that for $\beta$-galactosides. It was established that the difference in binding energy and therefore in affinity is caused by a distortion in the geometry of the sugar in $\beta$-galactosides $^{62}$. Thus distortion of the ligand is a strategy for modulating affinity.

All Man-specific $\beta$-prism I fold lectins have similar primary binding sites where the mannose residue binds. However, differences exist in specificity when binding to oligosaccharides is considered. At least in a couple of instances, difference in specificity is generated by a difference in loop length $^{67}$. Among the Man-specific $\beta$-prism I fold lectins of known three-dimensional structures, banana lectin occupies a special place $^{67}$. In this lectin, the threefold symmetry of the structure is to an extent reflected in the sequence. Furthermore, each subunit carries two binding sites, which has interesting implications.

Another issue studied using $\beta$-prism I fold lectins has to do with the overall orientation of the oligosaccharide in relation to binding. Linear oligosaccharides have a ‘reducing end’ and a ‘non-reducing end’. There are occasions when the reducing end occupies the primary binding site of the lectin, while in other instances the non-reducing end occupies the site. The structural basis for the choice of one or the other end was elucidated using crystallography and modelling $^{63,64,68}$.

The $\beta$-prism II fold lectins are in general mannospecific. Most such lectins analysed so far have three

---

**Figure 9.** Protein–ligand interactions in the WBAI-Me–$\alpha$-Gal complex (PDB code: 1F9K). The polypeptide stretches in the binding region are shown in thick green lines. A stretch from the polypeptide chain observed in WBAII is shown in red. The expected orientation of $\beta$-substituted oligosaccharides is schematically shown by an arrow. Such oligosaccharides can bind WBAI but not WBAII on account of steric interactions with the polypeptide strand illustrated in red.
binding sites per subunit, each Greek key carrying a site. RVL, analysed in our laboratory, has only one binding site per subunit. The interactions of this family of lectins with mannose at the primary binding site are substantially conserved. However, differences occur in the interactions with oligosaccharides. An interesting insight in this respect is obtained when garlic lectin and snowdrop lectin are compared. The former is a dimer, while the latter is a tetramer. Snowdrop lectin binds strongly to the HIV surface glycoprotein gp120, while garlic lectin does so weakly. Structural studies indicated that the branched mannopentose of gp120 crosslinks two dimers in the tetramer of snowdrop lectin. Garlic lectin is a dimer without a partner to be cross-linked (Figure 5). This is an instance where oligomerization is used as a strategy for generating ligand specificity.

As mentioned earlier, type-II RIPs have a lectin chain made up of two \( \beta \)-trefoil domains, designated as 1 and 2. The trefoil in each domain is designated as \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \). In most RIPs 1\( \alpha \) and 2\( \gamma \) carry carbohydrate-binding sites. Defect in binding sites would contribute to non-toxicity on account of the inability of the protein to enter into the cell. There are some RIPs, mostly non-toxic, which carry only one binding site in the lectin chain. In the case of SGSL, the crystal structure showed the presence of two sugar-binding sites, 1\( \alpha \) and 2\( \gamma \), while thermodynamic measurements indicated the existence of only one binding site. Detailed MD calculations led to an interesting observation. Both the sites can bind sugar, but only the ligand at one site is retained in a dynamic situation. Therefore, there is a subtle relationship between binding and retention.

**Plasticity, water structure, conformational selection and multivalency**

The number of independent crystal structures has been large in the cases of PNA, jacalin, and WBAI. A detailed comparison of the different structures of each protein gave valuable information on the comparatively rigid and flexible regions of the molecule, and relatively invariant water molecules surrounding the protein molecule. For example, in the case of legume lectins, the back \( \beta \)-sheet was found to be more flexible than the front \( \beta \)-sheet. This is presumably related to the exclusive involvement of the back \( \beta \)-sheet in the variability in quaternary association. The functionally important water molecules are well conserved. An interesting and somewhat unexpected result was the occurrence of a substantial number of conserved water molecules in the loop regions. Nearly half of a typical legume lectin molecule is made up of loops which are not stabilized by hydrogen bonds, unlike in the case of helices and sheets. It would appear that this stabilizing role is undertaken by water bridges involving highly conserved water molecules.

The first MD simulation in our laboratory was carried out in the early years of this century on PNA and its complexes, in a collaborative effort involving John Helliwell and Gail Bradbrook (University of Manchester, Manchester). The calculations, among other aspects, provided fresh insights into the peculiar sugar specificity of the lectin and rationalization for one of the mutational studies. Subsequently, MD simulations have often been used to supplement information from crystallographic studies. One important result to emanate from this effort has to do with conformational selection. In the context of ligand binding, conformational selection involves using one or more possible conformations from an ensemble of native protein conformations for ligand binding. Induced fit involves the creation of a distortion in the existing native conformation to enable the ligand to bind. It turned out that in many cases both these mechanisms co-exist. MD simulations also provided critical information on the variability of quaternary association.

Multivalency is considered to be an important property of lectins, but it is poorly understood in structural terms. Through crystallography, thermodynamics, dynamic light...
scattering and modelling studies, it was possible to characterize one mode of aggregation of PNA and a bivalent sugar using multivalency46 (Figure 11). Similar studies, but not involving crystallography, on garlic lectin also led to modes of aggregation involving multivalency71. These studies demonstrated that interactions of multivalent lectins with multivalent sugars could lead to an ensemble of a finite number of distinct crosslinked periodic arrays.

**Evolutionary implications**

Structural and related studies on plant lectins brought to light several evolutionarily interesting results. Subsequent work on mycobacterial and archaean lectins introduced additional interesting dimensions to them. These additional dimensions are of course beyond the scope of this article.

At the level of folds, β-prism I fold and β-prism II fold exhibit substantial similarities. Both involve threefold symmetric arrangement of Greek keys or Greek key-like motifs. However, the Greek keys are arranged differently in the two cases. In β-prism I fold lectins, the strands in the Greek keys are parallel to the threefold axis, while they are perpendicular to the threefold axis in β-prism II fold lectins. The binding sites also exhibit differences. A simultaneous genomic search of the two types of lectins and a careful examination of structural results led to significant new insights87.

The number of binding sites in each subunit varies between one and three in both types of lectins. The number is most often one, occasionally two and rarely three, in β-prism I fold lectins; it is always one in those from dicots. Banana lectin is from a monocot. The number of binding sites in each subunit of β-prism II fold lectins is most often three. The diversity in number of binding sites is unrelated to the taxonomical position of the organism. However, a reasonable correlation is seen between the symmetry in the sequence and number of binding sites. The β-prism I fold lectins from plants are found with comparable frequency in dicots and monocots. The β-prism II fold plant lectins mostly occur in monocots. Both the families of lectins are found in other domains of life as well.

A detailed analysis of the relevant genomes indicates that the two types of β-prism lectins perhaps had a common ancestry. Both types of lectins can be considered to have evolved through gene duplication and fusion of a primitive gene coding for a carbohydrate-binding motif involving Greek-key topology. The Greek keys assemble in different ways in the two types of lectins (Figure 12). Since their assembly, the two appear to have followed different evolutionary paths. There is perhaps further divergence in the path between dicots and monocots. In general, the number of binding sites is higher in monocots than in dicots. Dicots are characterized by a cork cambium layer to protect them, while such a layer is absent in monocots. Consequently, monocots probably have a higher dependence on other defensive mechanisms, including that involving lectins. This could perhaps be the reason why monocot lectins carry a higher number of binding sites.
National impact – role in mentoring

A significant impact of lectin crystallography at Bengaluru has been in training leaders of structural biology in India. Many of these leaders cut their macromolecular crystallography teeth on plant lectins. A majority of PhD students who worked on these proteins returned to India after postdoctoral stints abroad. Those who worked on peanut lectin at Bengaluru include Dinakar Salunke (International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), New Delhi), Shekhar Mande (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi), Rahul Banerjee (Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata) and Ravishankar Ramachandran (Central Drug Research Institute (CDRI), Lucknow). R. Sankaranarayanan (Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB), Hyderabad) was involved in the solution of the structure of jacalin, while K. Sekar (IISc) was involved in the efforts as a postdoctoral fellow and faculty member. Nagasuma Chandra (IISc) was primarily responsible for solving the structure of garlic lectin when she was a postdoctoral fellow in our laboratory. Gosu Ramachandriah (Jubilant Biosys, Bengaluru) worked on the same protein for his PhD programme. Venkatesh Pratap (CDRI) solved the structure of artocarpin, as a PhD student. N. Manoj (Indian Institute of Technology-Madras (Chennai)), was involved in work on winged bean lectins, when he was a student. Kiran Kulkarni (National Chemical Laboratory (NCL), Pune) worked on basic winged bean lectin and EcorL during his PhD programme. Subsequently, S. Thamoorthan (Sasutra University, Thanjavur), participated in the work on EcorL. Desh Deepak Singh (Panjab University, Chandigarh) solved the structure of banana lectin when he was a postdoctoral fellow in our laboratory, with the involvement of K. Saikrishnan (IISER, Pune). No other macromolecular crystallography programme has perhaps produced as many leaders of Indian science as the lectin crystallography programme.

Towards microbial lectins

It is generally felt that most of the structural issues connected with plant lectins have already been elucidated. A substantial component of the structural information available globally has emanated from Bengaluru. It was perhaps time to move on to lectins from other sources. In view of the other concerted efforts that have been established at Bengaluru and elsewhere in India, in which three of us (K.S., A.S. and M.V.) were participants, it was appropriate to initiate work on mycobacterial lectins. A thorough genomic search led to the identification of some 94 lectins from 20/30 mycobacterial species/strains. Two of them have been cloned, expressed and purified. In addition to carrying out physico-chemical studies, the crystal structure of one of them has been determined. Further efforts in this area are in progress. Work is also being done on lectins from the pathogenic organism, Entamoeba histolytica.

In relation to lectins, a domain of life that has remained unexplored is archea. Through an extensive genomic search, 46 lectins could be identified from 29 archaean species. One of these lectins has been cloned, expressed and purified. X-ray crystallography studies on it are in progress. With the identification of lectins in archea and the experimental work on one of them, it has been established that lectins exist in all three domains of life. It would also appear that lectins evolved to the present form well before the three domains diverged.

Future efforts on lectins at Bengaluru would revolve around those from microbes, including the ones mentioned above.

Parallel efforts at Bengaluru

For providing a correct perspective of the overall situation, it is important to briefly indicate the efforts on other
systems as well. The most important effort which progressed in parallel with the crystallography of lectins, especially in the early days, was the monumental work of M. R. N. Murthy and H. S. Savithri on the plant viruses, sesbania mosaic virus and physalis mottle virus. As indicated earlier, another parallel effort involved water-mediated transformations in proteins, pursued by one of us (M.V.). Yet another notable contribution, made by M.A. Viswanmitra and S. Ramakumar, pertains to Xylanases.

At the turn of the century, by a deliberate choice, work had started on microbial proteins, particularly those from pathogens. The most important component of this effort was that on mycobacterial proteins with special emphasis on tuberculosis (TB) proteins. An effort was also made to develop a network on structural biology of TB proteins in the country. At present, more than 10 groups in India work on the crystallography of TB proteins. More than 10% of the structure determinations of TB proteins in the world has been carried out in India. The work on TB proteins at Bengaluru has encompassed many different systems. Those who contributed to the extensive programme on mycobacterial proteins include crystallographers M. Vijayan, B. Gopal, Nagasuma Chandra, K. Sekar, M. R. N. Murthy, K.S., and S. Ramakumar, and biochemists K. Muniyappa, Umesh Varshney, Dipankar Chatterji, A.S., Sandhya Visweswariah and V. Nagaraja.

Work on the malarial parasite has also been significant though less extensive and involved M. R. N. Murthy, K.S., A.S., Namita Surolia, P. Balaram and Hema Balaram. Other proteins studied include those from pathogens Salmonella typhimurium (M. R. N. Murthy and H. S. Savithri), Entamoeba histolytica (M. R. N. Murthy and Alok Bhattacharyya), rotavirus (K.S. and Durga Rao), Staphylococcus epidermidis (B. Gopal) and Bacillus subtilis (B. Gopal). Work has also been carried out on phospholipases (K. Sekar), aspartic proteases (K.S.), heat shock proteins (K.S. and Utpal Tatu), methyl transferases (S. Ramakumar and D. N. Rao), pyridoxal phosphate dependent enzymes (M. R. N. Murthy and H. S. Savithri), and proteins from extremophiles (K. Sekar).

The above-mentioned studies also resulted in training future leaders of structural biology in India. Among them, H. S. Subramanaya (Institute of Bioinformatics and Applied Biotechnology, Bengaluru), S. Srikrishna (Institute of Microbial Technology (IMTech), Chandigarh), S. Ramaswamy (InStem, Bengaluru), P. Gayathri (IISER, Pune) and Sangeetha (Amity University, Delhi) worked on plant viruses and related systems. Those who worked on mycobacterial proteins have also now begun to occupy independent positions in different organizations in India. Sunando Datta (IISER, Bhopal), K. Saikrishnan (IISER, Pune), Siddharth Roy (Indian Institute of Chemistry Biology, Kolkata), Prem Singh Kaushal (Regional Centre for Biotechnology, Faridabad), Jeyaraman Jeyakanthan (Alagappa University, Karaikudi), R. Krishna (Pondicherry University, Puducherry) and Krishnan Gopal Thakur (IMTech, Chandigarh) are among them. C. G. Suresh (NCL, Pune), V. Radha Krishan (GVK Biosciences, Hyderabad), H. G. Nagendra (Sir M. Visveswariah Institute of Technology, Bengaluru), B. K. Biswal (National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi), Sudarsana Kumad (Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam), C. Sadasivan (Kannur University), N. T. Saraswathi (SASTRA University) and R. Sankaranaryanan (Saraswathi Narayan College, Madurai) were trained in the programme involving water-mediated transformations in protein crystals. Scientists who were trained in other macromolecular crystallography projects at IISc and are now occupying independent research positions include B. Gopal (IISc), Balaji Prakash (Centre for Food Technology Research Institute, Mysuru), R. Natesh (IISER, Thiruvananthapuram), Udupi Ramagopal (Poornaprajna Institute of Scientific Research, Bengaluru), B. V. L. S. Prasad (Amity university, Delhi) and Shankar P. Kanaujia (IIT, Guwahati).

Concluding remarks

Macromolecular crystallography in India, to a substantial extent, radiated from IISc. From humble beginnings, work in the area is now in progress in about 40 institutions across the country and involves nearly 80 research groups. A majority of the group leaders are those trained in Bengaluru, or their academic descendants. Happily, those trained in other schools, including distinguished ones abroad, also joined the community, giving it added vibrancy. The macromolecular crystallography community in India now is several hundred strong, and is reasonably coherent with multi-institutional programmes and common facilities. The role of the programme on the structural biology of plant lectins in the development of macromolecular crystallography in India has been important, especially in the early stages. Indeed, many of the senior leaders of macromolecular crystallography in India were initially trained at Bengaluru in the crystallography of plant lectins.

In addition to its historical role in the development of an area in the country, the work on plant lectins has been important in its scientific quality and impact. It provides a rare example of a well-focused multidisciplinary programme carried out, without break, for a long period of 40 years. It established our strong presence in a global niche area which assumed considerable importance during the period in which we have been engaged with it. In addition to interesting insights pertaining to individual plant lectins, the work at Bengaluru on them led to a body of results of general interest on protein folding, quaternary association and strategies for generating ligand specificities. Furthermore, the plant lectin programme provided a springboard for several other efforts, including those on mycobacterial proteins. Although the work on the structural biology of plant lectins has now been wound up,
as we have now learnt almost everything that we need to know on the general features of the structure and interactions of plant lectins, it has seamlessly led to structural and related studies on mycobacterial and archael lectins which constitute comparatively unexplored areas.
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