GGCT promotes colorectal cancer migration and invasion via epithelial-mesenchymal transition
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignancies, and the fourth most common cause of cancer-associated mortality globally. The epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) serves an important function in metastatic dissemination and determines the aggressiveness of CRC. However, the regulatory mechanism of EMT in CRC has not yet been elucidated. γ-glutamylcyclotransferase (GGCT) is an important enzyme in glutathione metabolism and highly expressed in numerous forms of cancer, making it a promising therapeutic target. In the present study, GGCT was demonstrated to be highly expressed in CRC tissues, and patients with CRC with a higher expression of GGCT exhibited a worse prognosis compared with patients exhibiting a lower expression of GGCT. This result suggests that GGCT may serve as a novel prognostic marker for CRC. Furthermore, GGCT was indicated to promote CRC cell migration and invasion through regulating EMT-associated genes, including N-cadherin, Vimentin, snail family transcriptional repressor 2 and snail family transcriptional repressor 1. In conclusion, these data support that GGCT may be a novel therapeutic target for use in the treatment of CRC.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignancies and the second most common cause of cancer-associated mortality globally (1). The incidence and mortality of CRC has increased in previous years, particularly in developing Asian countries, including China (2). Despite advances in the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of CRC, to the best of our knowledge, no effective treatment strategy for this disease has yet been developed. Metastasis and recurrence are the main reasons why CRC treatment may fail (3,4). Therefore, it is vital to elucidate the molecular mechanisms governing CRC progression and migration and invasion.

γ-glutamylcyclotransferase (GGCT) is an important enzyme in glutathione metabolism, which catalyzes the reaction of the γ-glutamyl peptide to produce 5-oxyproline and free amino acids (5). The GGCT gene is highly preserved in a number of species, including in bacteria, plants and nematodes, and a number of other higher organisms (6). GGCT has been reported to accumulate in a variety of cancer types, including breast, ovarian, cervical, lung, bladder, prostate, colon, osteosarcoma and glioma, indicating that it may serve as an oncogene in these tumor types (7-12). The depletion of GGCT has been indicated to inhibit the proliferation of bladder cancer cells and induce the cytotoxicity of McF-7/ADR cells in vitro and in vivo (13). In previous years, one study has identified the suppression of cancer cell proliferation by disrupting GGCT, highlighting the potential for treatment of malignant tumor types through inhibiting GGCT (14). However, the underlying mechanism as to how GGCT regulates CRC progression remains yet to be determined. Therefore, investigation into the precise function of GGCT in human CRC is urgently required.

The present study demonstrated the association between GGCT expression level and the prognosis of patients with CRC. Further results indicated that GGCT promoted CRC cells migration and invasion via the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). In summary, these data support that GGCT may be a novel therapeutic target for use in the treatment of CRC.

Materials and methods

Microarray and database analysis. A microarray of mRNA from 286 colorectal tumor samples was downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Colorectal Cancer database (https://www.cancer.gov/tcga). These samples were separated into a low and high group based on the cut-off value of GGCT median expression (cut-off value=39.1), which was obtained from the online TCGA Colorectal Cancer database. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of this microarray was performed.
using KEGG PathwayFinder with a gene correlation that was based on GGCT expression using the R2 web application (15-17). The absolute r cutoff was set at r=0.5, P<0.05. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA; http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp) was conducted using the KEGG_CELL_ADHENSION_MOLECULES_CAMS, Gene Ontology (GO)_EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX, KEGG_FOCAL_ADHENSION and HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION gene sets (18,19). A group of 594 patient specimens from the TCGA Colorectal Cancer database (http://www.cbioportal.org/datasets) was used to evaluate the expression and prognostic value of GGCT in patients with CRC.

Cell culture and patient specimens. CRC cell lines HCT-116 (ATCC® CCL-247) and SW620 (ATCC® CCL-227) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. These cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), digested using trypsin every 2 days and cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. A total of 6 paired CRC surgical specimens and corresponding adjacent normal colon specimens were obtained from the Department of General Surgery, Renhe Hospital (Shanghai, China) once written informed consent was obtained from patients or their guardians. The present study was ethically approved by the Ethics Committee of Renhe Hospital.

Western blot analysis. Western blot analysis was performed as previously described (20). A total of 5x10⁵ CRC cells were washed three times in cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and total protein extracts were obtained using RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1% NP40, 1 mM EDTA and a mix of protease inhibitors]. Total proteins were quantified using a bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Lysates were subsequently collected using centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature, and 20 µg proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dried milk in TBS and Tweek-20 for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following specific primary antibodies: Anti-GGCT (1:1,000; cat. no. PA5-54263; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), anti-β-Actin (1:1,000; cat. no. 13116; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), anti-vimentin (1:1,000; cat. no. 5741; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), anti-snail family transcriptional repressor 2 (Slug; 1:1,000; cat. no. 9585; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), anti-snail family transcriptional repressor 1 (Snail; 1:1,000; cat. no. 3879; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) and anti-β-Actin (1:1,000; cat. no. 3700; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Following the primary incubation, membranes were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with either of the following horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies: Goat anti-mouse (1:2,000; cat. no. sc-2005) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-2004) (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Lentiviral vectors construction. GGCT-short-hairpin (sh)RNA lentiviral vectors and a non-targeting control shRNA (shNT; SHC002) vector were purchased from Hanbio Biotechnology Co., Ltd. The shRNAs used were as follows; shCtrl forward, 5'-GCTGACGGCAGGAGTATATTGTGAA GAGT TT-3' and reverse, 5'-ACTTGAACAAAGCACGCG GAGGACAGTGTCTCT-3'; shGGCT#1 forward, 5'-GAC AGCAGCTTCCGTTAGGCTGATGCTACAGCGGCTC GGCAT-3' and reverse, 5'-ACGGCGACCCTGCGACTTTGT GCATAACAC-3'; shGGCT#2 forward, 5'-GCTCTG TGATCAGTGTTCGTCATGATGCTT-3'; and reverse, 5'-ACTTGGATAAGTTGACCTATTCGCTATCCTTTGGA AT-3'. A total of 8x10⁴ CRC cells were plated overnight in 500 µl growth medium, in a single well of a 24-well plate. Cells were transiently transfected with 15 pmol shRNAs against GGCT or a non-targeting control using 1.5 µl Lipofectamine 3000 reagent for 48 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ according to the manufacturer's protocol (cat. no. L3000015; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assay. Total RNA of GGCT-knockdown and control HCT-116 and SW620 cells were extracted using a total RNA extracting kit purchased from Fastagen Biotechnology according to the manufacturer's protocol. For RT-qPCR, mRNAs mixture was incubated at 42°C for 60 min and 70°C for 15 min to be reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Reverse Transcription kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Pre-denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 33 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 64°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 45 sec; and 72°C for 10 min. Results were normalized to the expression of β-actin using the 2⁻ΔΔCq method (21). The sequence of the primers used were as follows: β-actin forward, 5'-CATGTCAGCTGTGATTTCCAGGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTCTCTTAATGTCAAGCAGCAT-3'; GGCT forward, 5'-TGGCAGATCTCCCAAGGCGAAAC-3' and reverse, 5'-CCC TTCTTTGCTATCCAGACAG-3'; N-cadherin forward, 5'-TCA GCTTGGCTTACGAGCTT-3' and reverse, 5'-ATGACGAT CCCTTTGCTATCCAGACAG-3'; Vimentin forward 5'-GAG GCCATCCAACCGGAGTT-3' and reverse, 5'-CTTGGTGC TGTTAGCTGT-3'; Slug forward, 5'-CGAATCTGGAGAC GACAATACGT-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGAGATCTCTCTGGTT GGTGTT-3'; Snail forward, 5'-TCGAAAGCCCTAATCACG CAGA-3' and reverse, 5'-AGATGAGCATTTGGCAGCGAG-3'.

Transwell invasion and migration assay. For the transwell invasion assay, GGCT-knockdown and control HCT-116 cells were trypsinized and resuspended with serum-free DMEM. Cells were then seeded into suspension cell culture inserts (8.0 µm; EMD Millipore), which were firstly coated with 1 mg/ml Matrigel (BD Biosciences), at a density of 4x10⁴ cells/well in 200 µl DMEM with 6 replicate wells, and 500 µl DMEM with 10% FBS was added to the lower chambers of the 24-well plate. Subsequent to incubation at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h, inserts were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. The migration ability of cells was examined by using 8.0 µm cell culture inserts without coating Matrigel. Following 12 h incubation at 37°C, the upper inserts were removed and then washed and fixed as described above. All
inserts were subsequently wiped and cells were stained using a crystal violet solution (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 15 min at room temperature. Stained cells were counted and images were acquired using a microscope (Olympus Corporation).

**Wound-healing assay.** GGCT-knockdown and control HCT-116 cells were seeded at a density of 2x10^3 cells/well in 24-well plates with serum-free DMEM, and a wound was created using a 10 µl plastic pipette tip in three replicate wells. The migration distance was observed and measured after 24 h incubation at 37°C. The images were acquired using a light microscope (Olympus) at a magnification of x100. A total of 9 areas were selected randomly in each well at x100 magnification and the migration distance was measured using the software program HMIAS-2000 version 2.0 (Wuhan Qianping Imaging Technology Co., Ltd.) as previously described (22).

**Statistical analysis.** All experiments were performed at least three times with triplicate samples. The survival curves were created using Kaplan-Meier analysis, and P values were calculated using a Log-rank test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS16.0; SPSS, Inc.) and GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) The unpaired two-group comparison and multiple comparisons were made using the unpaired Student's t-test or one-way analysis of variance with Student-Keuls-Neuman post hoc test, respectively. Multiple comparison between the groups was performed using method. Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**GGCT is upregulated in human CRC tissues and is associated with a poor prognosis.** As GGCT has been previously demonstrated to be overexpressed in a variety of cancer types (5), the effect of GGCT expression on mRNA levels in human CRC was assessed by analyzing TCGA Colorectal Cancer database, and the results indicated that GGCT expression was increased significantly in CRC tissues compared with normal colon tissues (P<0.001; Fig. 1A). Furthermore, the prognostic value of GGCT was evaluated, and the Kaplan-Meier survival curve revealed that the overall survival time for patients with CRC with a higher GGCT expression was significantly decreased compared with patients exhibiting a lower GGCT expression (P=0.0271; Fig. 1B). To evaluate the expression of GGCT on the protein expression in human CRC, six pairs of tissue samples were examined using western blot analysis. The pathological features of the CRC specimens were summarized in Table I. The results demonstrated that GGCT expression was increased in the tissues of patients with CRC compared with corresponding normal colon tissues (Fig. 1C). In conclusion, the results revealed that GGCT was upregulated in human CRC tissues and associated with a poor patient prognosis.

**GGCT is associated with migration and invasion according to GSEA enrichment analysis.** As aforementioned, GGCT
GGCT promotes the migration and invasion of CRC cells in vitro. GSEA enrichment analysis revealed that GGCT may regulate the migration and invasion of CRC; therefore, to assess the function of GGCT in CRC malignancy, GGCT-knockdown colon cancer cell lines HCT-116 and SW620 were established using a lentiviral system. RT-qPCR and western blot analysis demonstrated that GGCT induced EMT in the colon cancer cell line HCT-116. To determine the mechanism in which GGCT promoted the migration and invasion of CRC cells, the present study assessed whether the depletion of GGCT inhibited EMT in HCT-116 cells. The cellular morphology was observed using a light microscope, and the cell morphology of GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 cells was indicated to have notably changed into the epithelial cell type from the original HCT-116 mesenchymal-like cell type (Fig. 4A). EMT-associated biomarkers were subsequently assessed in GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 cells, and it was revealed that the depletion of GGCT significantly decreased the expression of N-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug and Snail compared with control cells (P<0.05; Fig. 4B and C). Overall, these data demonstrated that GGCT induced EMT in the colon cancer cell line HCT-116.

Discussion

CRC is the third most common malignancy, and the fourth most common cause of cancer-associated mortality globally (2). Despite substantial advances in modern medicine, including the development of novel treatment methods, the mortality of patients with CRC remains high, which may be due to the lack of specific biomarkers and effective treatments for the disease (23,24). Therefore the identification of novel prognostic markers and therapeutic targets for use in CRC treatment is urgently required.

EMT is essential for metastatic dissemination (25). During EMT, tumor cells with epithelial characteristics are transformed into tumor cells with mesenchymal characteristics, which contributes to cancer progression (26). It has recently been accepted that EMT is an important and complex phenomenon that determines the aggressiveness of colon cancer (27). Zhang et al (20) demonstrated that Prostate Transmembrane Protein, Androgen Induced 1 modulated CRC tumorigenesis by regulating the status of EMT and autophagy (28). Sun et al (29) demonstrated that Tripartite Motif Containing 29 facilitated the EMT of CRC via the activation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in CRC, and Wei et al (28) indicated that FAT Atypical Cadherin 4 modulated CRC tumorigenesis by regulating the status of EMT and autophagy. The aforementioned results indicated that elucidating the molecular mechanisms of EMT may aid in the progression of CRC research and the identification of novel therapeutic targets.

In the present study, GGCT was revealed to be increased in CRC tissues compared with normal colon tissues, and patients with CRC that had higher GGCT expression exhibited a worse prognosis compared with patients with a lower expression of GGCT, indicating the prognostic value of GGCT. The dysregulation of GGCT has been reported in certain cancer types, for example Li et al (13) demonstrated that GGCT suppressed the progression of prostate cancer, indicating that GGCT may serve as a tumor suppressor gene. However, Zhang et al (30) indicated that the disruption of GGCT inhibited proliferation and induced late apoptosis in human gastric cancer, demonstrating that GGCT may serve as an oncogene. The present study demonstrated that GGCT was highly expressed in CRC and may function as a novel oncogene.

Table I. Basic clinicopathological characteristics of the colorectal cancer specimens used in the present study.

| Characteristic         | Number (%) |
|------------------------|------------|
| Sex                    |            |
| Male                   | 4 (66.7)   |
| Female                 | 2 (33.3)   |
| Tumor size, cm         |            |
| <5                     | 3 (50.0)   |
| ≥5                     | 3 (50.0)   |
| Age, years             |            |
| <65                    | 4 (66.7)   |
| ≥65                    | 2 (33.3)   |
| Lymphatic metastasis   |            |
| No                     | 4 (66.7)   |
| Yes                    | 2 (33.3)   |
prognostic marker for the disease. Furthermore, GGCT was indicated to promote CRC cell migration and invasion through regulating EMT. The disruption of GGCT in HCT-116 cells markedly decreased the EMT associated markers and changed the morphology of GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 cells from mesenchymal-like cell type to the epithelial cell type, compared with the control cells. Disrupting GGCT was also observed to notably decrease the cell migration and invasion of HCT-116 cells, which was consistent with previous reports that indicated GGCT influenced the migration and invasion of cancer cells (5,31).

GGCT has previously been described to serve an important function in the proliferation of gastric, breast and lung cancer cells (12,30,32). In the present study, the proliferation of GGCT-knockdown CRC cells was not assessed, nevertheless this part is worthy of further work. As GGCT has been reported to regulate the penultimate step in glutathione catabolism and serve a critical function in glutathione homeostasis, biochemical factors were not assessed following the disruption of GGCT in CRC cells. However, assessing how the depletion of GGCT influences EMT in CRC cells requires investigation in future studies.

In the present study, GGCT was demonstrated to serve a novel function as an oncogene in CRC, was demonstrated to promote CRC migration and invasion via EMT and was identified as a novel prognostic marker for this disease. In conclusion, the present study provides novel insight into the mechanisms responsible for CRC migration and invasion and
Figure 3. Disruption of GGCT inhibited the migration and invasion of HCT-116 cells. (A) Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay assessed the expression of GGCT in GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 and SW620 cells compared with corresponding control cells. (B) Western blot assay assessed the expression of GGCT in GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 and SW620 cells compared with corresponding control cells. (C) Representative images of wound healing assay with GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 cells and corresponding control cells. Right panel shows the quantified results. (D) Representative images of transwell invasion and migration assays with GGCT-knockdown HCT-116 cells and corresponding control cells. Right panel shows the quantified results. Scale bar, 100 µm. Data are presented as the means ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. shCtrl. sh-, short hairpin RNA; Ctrl, control; GGCT, γ-glutamylcyclotransferase.
identifies GGCT as a promising therapeutic target for use in the treatment of CRC.
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