Comparative transcriptome analysis of flower bud transition and functional characterization of EjAGL17 involved in regulating floral initiation in loquat
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Abstract

Floral initiation plays a critical role for reproductive success in plants, especially fruit trees. However, little information is known on the mechanism of the initiation in loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.). Here, we used transcriptomic, expression and functional analysis to investigate the candidate genes in floral initiation in loquat. Comparative transcriptome analysis showed differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were mainly enriched in the metabolic pathways of plant hormone signal transduction. The DEGs were mainly involved in the gibberellin, auxin, cytokinin, abscisic acid, salicylic acid and ethylene signaling pathways. Meanwhile, some transcription factors, including MADS-box (MCM1, AGAMOUS, DEFICIENS and SRF), MYB (Myeloblastosis), TCP (TEOSINTE BRANCHE D 1, CYCLOIDEA and PCF1), WOX (WUSCHEL-related homeobox) and WRKY (WRKY DNA-binding protein), were significantly differentially expressed. Among these key DEGs, we confirmed that an AGL17 ortholog EjAGL17 was significantly upregulated at the flower bud transition stage. Phylogenetic tree analysis revealed that EjAGL17 was grouped into an AGL17 clade of MADS-box transcription factors. Protein sequence alignment showed that EjAGL17 included a distinctive C-terminal domain. Subcellular localization of EjAGL17 was found only in the nucleus. Expression levels of EjAGL17 reached the highest at the development stage of flower bud transition. Moreover, ectopic expression of EjAGL17 in Arabidopsis significantly exhibited early flowering. Our study provides abundant resources of candidate genes for studying the mechanisms underlying the floral initiation in loquat and other Rosaceae species.
1. Introduction

Floral initiation is a critical phase for reproductive success in the life cycle of plants [1, 2]. Molecular mechanisms of floral initiation are based primarily on studies in the model plant *Arabidopsis* [2–4]. Flower initiation is controlled by the environmental signals and molecular networks in *Arabidopsis*. Among the molecular networks, floral integrators including *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (FT) and *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1* (SOC1), and transcription factors such as MADS-box (MCM1, AGAMOUS, DEFICIENS and SRF) genes play important regulatory roles in floral initiation and flowering time control, providing a fundament for studying gene regulation of flower development in angiosperm [5–13].

Transcriptomics is an effective method for discovering the gene networks and regulatory mechanisms underlying floral initiation in non-model plants [14–16]. For example, in *Rosa chinensis*, comparative transcriptome analysis of the floral transition revealed that differentially expressed genes (DEGs) are mainly involved in the pathways of vernalization, photoperiod and gibberellin (GA) [14]. In *Agropyron cristatum*, transcriptomic analysis of floral transition indicated that DEGs are mainly involved in plant circadian clock pathway and flowering time-associated genes [15]. Comparative transcriptome analysis indicated that DEGs of cytokinin biosynthesis pathway are mainly associated with floral transition in *Dendrobium nobile*. However, global gene expression changes of floral initiation in *Eriobotrya* remain unknown and need further investigated.

Loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* Lindl.) is a subtropical evergreen species and belongs to the Amygdaloideae subfamily of the Rosaceae [17]. Floral initiation of loquat is a continuous process, which is not interrupted by winter dormancy [18, 19]. In this study, we first investigated the transcriptomic changes in the floral transition of loquat between vegetative apex (VA) and flower bud transition (FBT). The DEGs were mainly involved in the metabolic pathways of hormone signal transduction. Furthermore, we identified that a candidate gene *EjAGL17* was significantly upregulated at the flower bud transition stage and involved in floral initiation. Our results provide abundant gene resources for studying the mechanisms underlying the floral initiation in loquat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Plant material

Flower buds of loquat cultivar ‘Changbai No.1’ at different development stages were provided and collected from the experimental farm of Southwest University in Xiema town (Chongqing, China). The field experiments were conducted under local legislation and permissions. According to our previous study, the development stages included vegetative apex (S1), flower bud transition and flower bud differentiation (S2), elongation of the main axis in a panicle (S3), rapid panicle elongation (S4), visible floral buds (S5), elongation of branches in a panicle (S6), white corollas (S7) and full bloom (S8) [20]. Then, these samples were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until used.

2.2 Morphological analysis of the floral initiation

Morphology of developmental stages was collected and photographed using Canon EOS 6D (Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan) according to the stages described previously [20]. The buds of loquat at the stage of vegetative apex (VA) and flower bud transition (FBT) were fixed in a FAA solution under vacuum. Samples were dehydrated by a series of ethanol solution, and then decolorized by dimethylbenzene: ethanol (50:50, by vol.) and 100% dimethylbenzene. Then, samples were treated using three changes of 100% paraffin at 60°C and finally...
The paraffin-embedded samples were cut to a thickness of 10 μm. After dewaxing, the samples were stained with safranine-fast green solution and photographed using an Observer DP80 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3 RNA extraction, library construction and sequencing
Total RNA was extracted from VA and FBT samples using the EASYspin Plant RNA Extraction kit (Aidlab, Beijing, China). Three independent biological replicates were performed for each sample. Residual DNA was removed from the total RNA using RNase-free DNase I (Takara, Japan) at 37˚C for 20 min. Integrity of RNA was validated by 1% agarose gel and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Then, oligo (dT)-attached magnetic beads enriched method was used in purifying mRNA.

The mRNAs were broken into short fragments and synthesized second-strand cDNA. Then, the synthesized cDNA fragments were subjected to end pairing, the addition of a single ‘A’ nucleotide and ligation with sequencing adapters. The ligation fragments were amplified by PCR, and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq X-Ten platform (Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China). Sequencing raw data are available with an accession number CRA003214 in the Genome Sequence Archive (GSA, https://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa/).

2.4 Assembly and functional annotation
The raw reads containing adapter sequences and low quality bases were removed. Reads containing ambiguous 'N' bases, and fragment less than 50 bp were filtered to generate high quality clean reads. Then, the clean reads were subsequently assembled using Trinity assembly program [21]. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to annotate the function of unique sequences using the databases of the non-redundant (NR) proteins, Swiss-Prot, Gene Ontology (GO) [22], evolutionary genealogy of genes: Non-supervised Orthologous Groups (eggNOG) [23] and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [24].

2.5 Analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)
Expression of unigenes were calculated by the method of fragments per kb per million from the mapped reads (FPKM) [25]. Differential expression of genes were analyzed using DESeq software [26], and unigenes showing at least a two-fold change and \( P \)-value \( \leq 0.05 \) were considered to be DEGs. Then, the DEGs were mapped to GO terms and KEGG pathways the method described previously [27, 28].

2.6 Validation of RNA-Seq analysis by qRT-PCR
Total RNA was extracted from the collected from VA and FBT. Then, 2 μg RNA of each sample was used for cDNA synthesis using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara, Japan). For quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), 1 μL cDNA was used as a template in a 20 μL PCR. Then, qRT-PCR was performed using CFX96 Touch Real-time PCR Detection System (BioRad, USA). The parameters were at 95˚C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 20 s, 56˚C for 20 s, 72˚C for 20 s; then, temperature change 1.0˚C/s to 95˚C for melt curve. The actin of loquat was used as a normalization control with the primers qEjactinF and qEjactinR [29]. These primers of subcellular localization and qRT-PCR were shown in S1 Table. Three biological replicates of each sample were performed. The expression levels were calculated using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta CT} \) method [30].
2.7 Isolation of an AGL17 ortholog in loquat

Total RNA was extracted from flower buds of loquat as described above. The extracted RNA was treated with DNase I (TakaRa, Japan), and the concentrations were detected by UV spectrophotometry (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, USA). Then, the DNase I-treated RNA was used for rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). From these key DEGs obtained by RNA-Seq, the primers of an AGL17 ortholog (EjAGL17) were designed from the DN37075_c0_g1 sequence. The 3’ RACE of EjAGL17 was performed using 3’-full RACE Core Set Version 2.0 kit (Takara, Japan) and 2 μg of the DNase I-treated RNA. First PCR was performed using 3’ RACE Outer Primer and the gene-specific primer 3RAGL17F1. A second PCR was performed using 3’ RACE Inner Primer and 3RAGL17F2. PCR parameters were a 5 min at 95°C denaturation step, followed by 30 cycles of 40 s at 95°C, 40 s annealing at 56°C and 40 s extension at 72°C, with a final step of 10 min at 72°C. The 5’ RACE of EjAGL17 was carried out using 2 μg of the DNase I-treated RNA and SMARTer RACE 5’/3’ Kit (Takara, Japan). PCR amplification was performed using Universal Primer Mix, the gene-specific primer 5RAGL17R1 and 5RAGL17R2. PCR parameters were 5 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 72°C for 3 min; 5 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 70°C for 30 s, 72°C for 3 min; 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 68°C for 30 s and 72°C for 3 min.

2 μg of the DNase I-treated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using M-MLV reverse transcriptase and oligo(dT)-15 adaptor primer (Takara, Japan). Then, the cDNA sequence integrity of EjAGL17 was verified using the primers FLAGL17F and FLAGL17R. PCR parameters were at 95°C denaturation step for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 50 s, 56°C for 50 s and 72°C for 40 s, with a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. The primer sequences of EjAGL17 isolation were shown in S2 Table. Finally, PCR products were recycled and cloned into pMD18-T vector (Takara, Japan) and sequenced.

2.8 Analyses of sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree

The BLAST analysis of amino acid sequences of EjAGL17 was performed. Multiple AG, AGL17, SOC1, AP1, SEP, AP3, PI and TM6-clade proteins from various eudicots were selected for alignment from the GenBank database. Accession numbers of these clade proteins were selected for alignment from various eudicots and listed in S3 Table. A ClustalW program was used to align amino acid sequences of these proteins including the M, I, K and C domains [31]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA 5.0 software [32, 33], and the parameters were the maximum likelihood method, 1000 replicates of bootstrap and Jones–Taylor–Thornton (JTT) model [34, 35].

2.9 Subcellular localization and quantitative real-time PCR

Subcellular localization of EjAGL17 was observed using the modified pCAMBIA 1300 vector and the primers SLEjAGL17F and SLEjAGL17R [36]. Then, the 35S::EjAGL17-GFP was constructed and transformed into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves using Agrobacterium-mediated transient transformation. The vector expressing GFP alone was as a negative control. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorescence signals were observed using a fluorescence microscope Observer DP80 (Olympus, Japan).

Total RNA was extracted from the collected flower buds of eight developmental stages (S1-S8). Then, 2 μg RNA of each sample was used for cDNA synthesis as described above. For quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), the primers of subcellular localization and qRT-PCR were shown in S4 Table.
2.10 Vectors construction and *Arabidopsis* transformation

The *EjAGL17* coding sequences was cloned into the expression vector pBI121 (BD Biosciences Clontech, USA) using the restriction enzymes of *Xba I* and *Sma I* (Takara, Japan), and the primers TEjAGL17F and TEjAGL17R. The vector expressing *EjAGL17* under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter was constructed and transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101-90. The 35S::*EjAGL17* was transformed into wild-type *Arabidopsis* using the floral-dip method [37]. Then, transgenic seeds were germinated on a solid 0.5×MS medium including 50 μg/ml kanamycin at 4˚C for 2 days. These seeds after vernalization were transferred to the greenhouse under the conditions of 16 h light/8 h dark at 22˚C for 2 weeks. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* lines were selected according to the described method [35]. Subsequently, the *EjAGL17* expression levels of transgenic lines were detected by qRT-PCR with the primers qEjAGL17F and qEjAGL17R, qagl17F and qagl17R [3]. As an internal control, the *Actin of Arabidopsis* was used with the primers qactinF and qactinR [38]. These primers of vectors construction and qRT-PCR were shown in S4 Table.

3. Results

3.1 Analysis of sequencing, assembly, annotation and DEGs

Developmental stages of vegetative apex (VA) and flower bud transition (FBT) were observed in loquat (Fig 1). The VA was embraced by rudimentary leaves. Then, FBT were formed from VA and the bud was swelled. The paraffin section observation of the apical buds showed that the transformation from vegetative to reproductive growth was from VA to FBT (Fig 1Vc and 1Fc).

To identify candidate genes for the floral initiation, RNA-Seq libraries were constructed from VA and FBT. Over 6.1 Gb data of RNA sequencing from each sample was obtained with Q20 and Q30 values that were all greater than 90% (S5 Table). A total of 109 965 unigenes

---

**Fig 1. Flower bud transition in loquat.** Va, vegetative apex (VA) was embraced by rudimentary leaves (blue arrow); Vb, morphological anatomy of VA; Vc, microscopic observations of VA (black arrow); Fa, flower bud transition (FBT) and the bud was swelled (blue arrow); Fb, morphological anatomy of FBT; Fc, microscopic observations of FBT (black arrow).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g001
were generated with an average length of 837.1 bp and N50 of 1527 bp (Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) analysis of global gene expression in VA and FBT were classified into two distinct groups, reflecting significant differences in global gene expression from VA to FBT. For each sample of the two developmental stages, three biological replicates were gathered into one cluster, suggesting the correlation of the biological replicates were high (Fig 2A).

In total, 52,750, 45,770, 41,679, 18,950 and 6045 unigenes were annotated in the NR, eggNOG, Swiss-Prot, GO and KEGG databases, respectively. In all five databases, 3379 (3.07%) unigenes were annotated (S1 Fig and S6 Table). Based on GO term analysis, the unigenes were classified into biological process, cellular component and molecular function (S2 Fig). The biological processes were mainly involved in metabolic process, cellular process and single-organism process. The cellular components were mainly cell, cell part and membrane. The molecular function was mainly involved in binding and catalytic activity. Meanwhile, the unigenes from the two stages were mapped into the pathways of metabolism, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, cellular processes and organismal systems on the basis of KEGG enrichment (S3 Fig).

### 3.2 Analysis of key DEGs involved in hormone signal transduction pathways

To obtain gene alterations in floral initiation, comparative transcriptome analysis of FBT vs VA were performed to detect floral initiation-related genes. In comparison of FBT vs VA, 13,087 DEGs were identified, of which 10,580 were upregulated and 2507 were downregulated (Fig 2B). The DEGs were mainly involved in plant hormone signal transduction (23 transcripts), carbon metabolism (29 transcripts), phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (17 transcripts),

| Table 1. Summary of de novo assembly for VA and FBT. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Transcript**  | **Unigene**     |                 |
| Total Length (bp) | 374,265,354    | 92,048,617      |
| Sequence Number  | 312,595         | 109,965         |
| Mean Length (bp) | 1197.3          | 837.1           |
| N50 (bp)         | 1971            | 1527            |
| N50 Sequence Number | 61,659         | 17,263          |
| N90 (bp)         | 522             | 309             |
| N90 Sequence Number | 196,950        | 72,704          |
| GC %             | 42.94           | 43.91           |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.t001

![Fig 2. Analysis of gene expression cluster and DEGs numbers.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g002)
glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (13 transcripts) and nitrogen metabolism (12 transcripts) (S4 Fig).

To further investigate plant hormone signal transduction of the floral initiation, we analyzed gene expression of key DEGs in the signaling pathways of gibberellin (GA), auxin, cytokinin, abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA) and ethylene (Fig 3, Tables 2 and 3). In the GA signaling pathway, a total of 4 key genes, including \textit{GA20OX2}, \textit{GA3OX1}, \textit{GA2OX} and \textit{KAO1}, were identified and all were significantly upregulated at FBT stage (Fig 3A and Table 2). In the auxin signaling pathway, a total of 7 key genes were identified, of which \textit{IAA3}, \textit{IAA29}, \textit{SAUR32}, \textit{SAUR50}, \textit{GH317} and \textit{GH36} were significantly upregulated at FBT stage (Fig 3A and Table 2). A total of 2 key genes, including \textit{AHK4} and \textit{ARR3}, were identified and significantly upregulated in cytokinin signaling pathway at FBT stage (Fig 3A and Table 2). In ABA signaling pathway, six key genes were identified, including \textit{ABI5}, \textit{AI5L5}, \textit{PP2C8}, \textit{PP2C16}, \textit{PP2C24} and \textit{PYL4}, of which \textit{PYL4} was significantly downregulated (Fig 3B and Table 3). In SA signaling pathway, two genes, including \textit{PRB1} and \textit{TGA4}, were identified and both were significantly upregulated at FBT stage (Fig 3B and Table 3). A total of 2 key genes, including \textit{ERF92} and

![Fig 3. Expression analysis of DEGs involved in plant hormone signal transduction. (A) DEGs involved in the signaling pathways of GA, auxin and cytokinin. (B) DEGs involved in the signaling pathways of ABA, SA and ethylene.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g003)

| Gene ID         | Annotation                                      | * FC (FBT/VA) | P value          |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| **GA signaling pathway** |                                                |               |                 |
| DN39821_c0_g1   | Gibberellin 20 oxidase 2 (GA20OX2)              | 13.14         | Up              |
| DN32181_c0_g1   | Gibberellin 3-beta-dioxygenase 1 (GA3OX1)       | 16.36         | Up              |
| DN42423_c2_g1   | Gibberellin 2-beta-dioxygenase (GA2OX)          | 10.64         | Up              |
| DN41605_c0_g2   | Ent-kaurenolic acid oxidase 1 (KAO1)            | 2.23          | Up              |
| **Auxin signaling pathway** |                                               |               |                 |
| DN42017_c1_g10  | Auxin-induced protein 6B (AX6B)                | 0.12          | Down            |
| DN24631_c0_g1   | Auxin-responsive protein IAA3 (IAA3)            | 2.97          | Up              |
| DN46222_c1_g2   | Auxin-responsive protein IAA29 (IAA29)         | 6.52          | Up              |
| DN35870_c1_g2   | Auxin-responsive protein SAUR32 (SAUR32)       | 2.21          | Up              |
| DN32884_c1_g2   | Auxin-responsive protein SAUR50 (SAUR50)       | 3.08          | Up              |
| DN31440_c0_g1   | Indole-3-acetic acid-amido synthetase GH3.17 (GH317) | 5.97          | Up              |
| DN41385_c1_g1   | Indole-3-acetic acid-amido synthetase GH3.6 (GH36) | 2.03          | Up              |
| **Cytokinin signaling pathway** |                                               |               |                 |
| DN45628_c1_g1   | Histidine kinase 4 (AHK4)                       | 2.06          | Up              |
| DN39006_c2_g2   | Two-component response regulator ARR3 (ARR3)   | 2.22          | Up              |

* FC = Fold Change (FBT/VA). 0 ≤ FC ≤ 0.5 indicates downregulation. FC ≥ 2 indicates downregulation. Up: upregulated unigenes. Down: downregulated unigenes. They are the same below.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.t002
were identified in ethylene signaling pathways, but ERF92 was significantly downregulated at FBT stage (Fig 3B and Table 3).

3.3 Identification of flower initiation-related transcription factors

Based on expression levels of key DEGs, we further analyzed the expression dynamics of key TF genes, such as MADS-box, MYB (Myeloblastosis), TCP (TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1, CYCLOIDEA and PCF1), WOX (WUSCHEL-related homeobox) and WRKY (WRKY DNA-binding protein) at flower bud transition stage. In MADS-box family genes, the expression levels of AGAMOUS (AG), AGAMOUS-Like 16 (AGL16), AGL17, AGL24, APETALA1 (AP1), CAULIFLOWER (CAL), Carnation MADS Box 1 (CMB1), PISTILLATA (PI) and SEPALLATA (SEP1), were significantly upregulated (Fig 4A and Table 4). In MYB family genes, three key genes, including MYB3, MYB6 and MYB62 were identified, and expression levels of MYB3 and MYB6 were significantly downregulated (Fig 4A and Table 4). In TCP family genes, a total of 5 key genes were identified, of which TCP2, TCP4, TCP5 and TCP13 were significantly upregulated at flower bud transition stage (Fig 4B and Table 4). In WOX family genes, two genes, including WOX4 and WOX9, were identified and both were significantly upregulated at FBT stage (Fig 4B and Table 4). In WRKY family genes, four key genes were identified, including WRKY7, WRKY19, WRKY70 and WRKY76, of which WRKY7 and WRKY70 were significantly downregulated (Fig 4B and Table 4).

3.4 Validation of the expression analysis of several key flower initiation-related genes

Analysis of qRT-PCR was used to validate the expression levels of several key DEGs that were identified using RNA-Seq. A total of nine flower bud transition-related unigenes were randomly detected via qRT-PCR (Fig 5). The expression levels of these nine genes corresponded well with the FPKM values obtained by RNAseq, suggesting that the correlation coefficients between the FPKM and qRT-PCR values were high.

3.5 Sequence and phylogenetic analysis of EjAGL17

Based on the analysis of key DEGs, one candidate gene EjAGL17 (DN37075_c0_g1) was isolated from the MADS-box family. Comparative protein sequence analysis between EjAGL17

| Gene_ID          | Annotation                              | FC (FBT/VA) | P value         |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| ABA signaling pathway |                                         |             |                |
| DN43301_c1_g1    | Abscisic acid-insensitive 5 (ABI5)      | 2.67        | Up 8.1970x10^-5 |
| DN38203_c0_g4    | Abscisic acid-insensitive 5-like protein 5 (AIS5) | 2.10        | Up 0.0168 |
| DN36087_c0_g1    | Protein phosphatase 2C 8 (P2C8)         | 4.28        | Up 5.6161x10^-14 |
| DN33780_c0_g2    | Protein phosphatase 2C 16 (P2C16)       | 2.52        | Up 0.0441 |
| DN44811_c1_g1    | Protein phosphatase 2C 24 (P2C24)       | 5.94        | Up 1.4114x10^-7 |
| DN40379_c3_g2    | Abscisic acid receptor PYL4 (PYL4)      | 0.42        | Down 0.0011 |
| SA signaling pathway |                                         |             |                |
| DN27310_c0_g1    | Pathogenesis-related protein 1 (PRB1)   | 8.21        | Up 0.2647 |
| DN26065_c0_g1    | Transcription factor TGA4 (TGA4)        | 4.17        | Up 0.0684 |
| Ethylene signaling pathway |                                         |             |                |
| DN28998_c0_g1    | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 1B (ERF92) | 0.26        | Down 3.7658x10^-10 |
| DN36915_c0_g3    | Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase protein 23 (XTH23) | 2.23        | Up 0.0497 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.t003
and other four AGL17 orthologous proteins showed that EjAGL17 has a 59-aa highly conserved MADS domain (1–59 aa), a 19-aa I domain (60–78 aa), an 80-aa K domain (79–158 aa) and a 65-aa completely divergent C domain (159–223 aa) (Fig 6). Meanwhile, these AGL17 orthologous proteins including EjAGL17 contained a distinctive C terminal domain (Fig 6).

Molecular weight and theoretical isoelectric points of EjAGL17 protein are 25.59 kD and 9.48, respectively (S7 Table). Accession number of EjAGL17 sequence was MT344109 in the GenBank.

Table 4. The expression of key transcription factors in floral initiation.

| Gene_ID     | Annotation                      | FC (FBT/VA) | P value       |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| MADS-box    |                                 |             |               |
| DN19033_c0_g1 | Floral homeotic protein AGAMOUS (AG) | 31.21 Up     | 0.0002        |
| DN40991_c0_g1 | Agamous-like MADS-box protein 16 (AGL16) | 2.08 Up     | 0.0286        |
| DN37075_c0_g1 | Agamous-like MADS-box protein 17 (AGL17) | 2.20 Up     | 0.0007        |
| DN44520_c1_g3 | AGL24 MADS-box protein 24 (AGL24) | 4.24 Up     | 4.8571×10^-15 |
| DN41297_c0_g5 | Floral homeotic protein APETALA 1 (AP1) | 18.33 Up     | 0.0260        |
| DN44752_c1_g4 | Transcription factor CAULIFLOWER (CAL) | 136.94 Up   | 1.6800×10^-49 |
| DN35997_c1_g2 | MADS-box protein CMB1 (CMB1) | 20.49 Up     | 0.0011        |
| DN35997_c1_g3 | Developmental protein SEPALLATA 1 (SEP1) | 80.15 Up   | 0.0205        |
| MYB         |                                 |             |               |
| DN40114_c3_g1 | Transcription factor MYB3 (MYB3) | 0.23 Down   | 0.0002        |
| DN39018_c0_g1 | Transcription factor MYB6 (MYB6) | 0.23 Down   | 0.0056        |
| DN39749_c3_g1 | Transcription factor MYB62 (MYB62) | 10.37 Up   | 1.897×10^-9   |
| TCP         |                                 |             |               |
| DN46671_c1_g1 | Transcription factor TCP2 (TCP2) | 0.41 Down   | 3.2263×10^-5  |
| DN36486_c1_g1 | Transcription factor TCP4 (TCP4) | 0.36 Down   | 0.0002        |
| DN39943_c1_g1 | Transcription factor TCP5 (TCP5) | 0.24 Down   | 0.0012        |
| DN27152_c0_g1 | Transcription factor TCP10 (TCP10) | 2.56 Up     | 0.0441        |
| DN34930_c0_g1 | Transcription factor TCP13 (TCP13) | 0.34 Down   | 0.0078        |
| WOX         |                                 |             |               |
| DN37723_c0_g1 | WUSCHEL-related homeobox 4 (WOX4) | 2.24 Up     | 0.0173        |
| DN36324_c0_g1 | WUSCHEL-related homeobox 9 (WOX9) | 4.05 Up     | 4.3454×10^-5  |
| DN20573_c0_g1 | Protein WUSCHEL (WUS) | 86.47 Up     | 0.0063        |
| WRKY        |                                 |             |               |
| DN38859_c0_g1 | WRKY transcription factor 7 (WRKY7) | 0.64 Down   | 0.0380        |
| DN46827_c1_g3 | WRKY transcription factor 19 (WRKY19) | 2.04 Up     | 0.0061        |
| DN40348_c5_g1 | WRKY transcription factor 70 (WRKY70) | 0.35 Down   | 0.0045        |
| DN39683_c3_g1 | WRKY transcription factor 76 (WRKY76) | 4.48 Up     | 0.0046        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.t004
Protein sequence of EjAGL17 was blasted to reveal the molecular evolution with AG, SOC1, AP1, SEP, AP3, TM6 and PI clade proteins from other angiosperms. Phylogenetic tree analysis revealed that the EjAGL17 is grouped into the AGL17-clade of MADS-box transcription factors in the eudicots (Fig 7).

3.6 Subcellular localization and expression levels of EjAGL17

Subcellular localization of 35S::EjAGL17-GFP were detected and transiently expressed in epidermal cells of N. benthamiana leaf. As a negative control, fluorescence of the 35S::GFP was

Fig 5. Validation of the expression of nine floral initiation-related genes by qRT-PCR analysis. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three biological replicates. * is indicated significant difference at P < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g005

Fig 6. Analysis of sequence comparisons of EjAGL17. (A) Sequence comparisons of EjAGL17. The underlined regions represent the M, I, K and C domain. Amino acid residues identical to EjAGL17 are indicated as dots. To improve the alignment, dashes were introduced into the sequence.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g006
observed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm. However, fluorescence of 35S::EjAGL17-GFP was found only in the nucleus (Fig 8A). These results showed that EjAGL17 is a nuclear-localized protein and its subcellular localization pattern is consistent with that of AGL17 orthologs in soybean and maize [39, 40], having subcellular localization characteristics of typical transcription factors.

During the process of flower development, expression of EjAGL17 was persisted from vegetative apex to full bloom in loquat. Meanwhile, the expression levels of EjAGL17 reached the highest at the development stage of FBT (Fig 8B).

### 3.7 Ectopic expression of EjAGL17 in Arabidopsis

To further investigate the function of EjAGL17, overexpression assays were conducted by ectopic expression of EjAGL17 in Arabidopsis. A total of thirty-five 35S::EjAGL17 transgenic Arabidopsis lines were obtained by screening and detecting with MS medium containing kanamycin. Flowering phenotypes of three T3 generation of 35S::EjAGL17 transgenic lines were observed. Comparison with untransformed lines, 35S::EjAGL17 transgenic plants caused early flowering under the same long-day conditions (Fig 9A).

Expression levels of EjAGL17 in transgenic lines were significantly higher than that of untransgenic Arabidopsis (Fig 9B). However, expression levels of Arabidopsis AtAGL17 gene were no significant difference between transgenic and untransgenic Arabidopsis (Fig 9C).

![Phylogenetic analysis of MADS-box gene family.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g007)
Floral initiation of woody angiosperm undergoes morphological changes, and is generally controlled by the regulatory network and environmental signals [41, 42]. However, reproductive development of loquat is a continuous process, and do not exhibit dormancy [18, 19], indicating that expression pattern of genes controlling floral initiation might be distinctive. In this study, we used comparative transcriptome analysis to investigate gene expression changes at the stages of VA and FBT. The DEGs were mainly involved in the hormone signal transduction pathways and transcription factor genes. Among these DEGs, we identified that one candidate gene EjAGL17 was involved in floral initiation. Our study provides abundant candidate genes for studying the mechanisms underlying the floral initiation in loquat.

4.1 Expression pattern of key DEGs associated with floral initiation

In our study, the DEGs were mainly involved in the signaling pathways of GA, auxin, cytokinin, ABA, SA and ethylene. Previously, in Litchi chinensis, comparative transcriptomic analysis of floral initiation was mainly enriched in the metabolic pathways of plant hormone signal transduction, and the DEGs were mainly involved in the biosynthesis of auxin, cytokinin, jasmonic acid (JA), SA and ABA [43]. In Camellia sinensis, transcriptomic analysis of flower development indicated that DEGs were mainly associated with metabolic pathways of auxin, cytokinin, GA, ABA, ethylene, brassinosteroid, JA and SA [44]. In Malus domestica,
transcriptomic analysis of flower induction showed that the DEGs were mainly enriched in cytokinin, ABA and GA pathways [45]. However, transcriptomic analysis of floral bud initiation, floral organ differentiation and bud outgrowth revealed that DEGs were mainly involved in the signaling pathways of GA, auxin, JA and brassinosteroid in *Camellia azalea* [42]. In *Annona squamosa*, comparative transcriptomic analysis of floral transition and flower development reported that DEGs were mainly enriched in the signaling pathways of GA, auxin, cytokinin and ABA [46]. These previous studies and our data suggest that key DEGs are mainly enriched in hormone signal transduction pathways in floral initiation.

### 4.2 DEGs of key transcription factors that affect floral initiation

In our work, transcription factors, including MADS-box, MYB, TCP, WOX and WRKY, were significantly differentially expressed at FBT stage. Similarly, some transcription factors, such as MADS-box, TCP and MYB, were also significantly differentially expressed at flower development in double-flower loquat [28]. Especially, MADS-box transcription factors play important regulatory roles in floral transition, flower organ identity determination and flowering time control in the molecular networks in angiosperm [5–7]. Importantly, MIKC-type MADS-domain transcription factors exhibit a characteristic domain organization and contain a MADS (M), an intervening (I), a keratin-like (K) and a C-terminal (C) domain [47–49]. The designated domains of MADS-domain transcription factors provide a fundament for studying gene regulation of flower development in angiosperms [50].

![Figure 9](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239382.g009)
4.3 Expression pattern and functional analysis of *EjAGL17*

In our study, *EjAGL17* expression level were upregulated at the stage of floral initiation in loquat. Meanwhile, expression pattern of *EjAGL17* is responsible largely for its functional specificity, and is a good predictor of function. This indicated that *EjAGL17* might be associated with floral initiation in loquat. Previously, *PpAGL17* were mainly detected in the flower in *P. pyrifolia* [51]. However, expression level of *AGL17* ortholog in soybean was much higher in roots and nodules than that in other organs [39]. In rice, *AGL17* ortholog was mainly expressed in root development [52, 53]. In maize, *AGL17* ortholog was mainly expressed in root xylem parenchyma cells [40]. These data showed that expression patterns of *AGL17* orthologs exhibit diverse in development process in angiosperm.

Ectopic expression of *EjAGL17* in *Arabidopsis* promoted early flowering, indicating function of *EjAGL17* is involved in flowering time in loquat. Meanwhile, expression levels of *EjAGL17* and *AtAGL17* were analyzed in transgenic *Arabidopsis* to verify that the early-flowering phenotype was caused by overexpression of *EjAGL17*. Similarly, overexpression of *AGL17* promoted early flowering, but mutant of *AGL17* caused late flowering in *Arabidopsis* [3, 4]. Therefore, we conclude that the functions of *AGL17* orthologs in regulating flowering time between loquat and *Arabidopsis* were conserved. However, in soybean, overexpression of *AGL17* orthologs in transgenic soybean significantly promotes the formation and development of lateral root [39]. Function of *AGL17* ortholog is involved in the regulation of root and seed development in rice, and participated in responses to osmotic stress and nutrient supply [52–54]. In maize, functional analysis of *AGL17* ortholog suggests that its involvement in root nitrate-foraging [40]. These data revealed that characterization of *AGL17* orthologs is involved in regulating different development aspects and functional diversification. Furthermore, function of *EjAGL17* should be further explored to reveal the possible roles in the control of other developmental programs. Our results provide a better understanding for the functional roles of *AGL17* orthologs involving in floral induction in *Eriobotrya*.

5. Conclusions

In this study, transcriptome expression and functional analyses were used to analyze gene expression changes at the stages of VA and FBT. The DEGs were mainly involved in the hormone signal transduction pathways. Meanwhile, some transcription factors were significantly differentially expressed. Among these DEGs, one candidate gene *EjAGL17*, which was significantly up-regulated at the development stage of floral initiation, was isolated and identified from loquat. Analyses of protein sequence and phylogenetic tree revealed that *EjAGL17* was grouped into the AGL17 clade MADS-box transcription factors, and included a distinctive C-terminal domain. Ectopic expression of *EjAGL17* transgenic *Arabidopsis* significantly promoted early flowering. Furthermore, other key DEGs should be further explored to reveal their possible roles in floral initiation. Our results provide a better understanding for metabolic pathways and candidate genes involving in floral induction in *Eriobotrya*.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Venn diagram analysis of the unigenes annotated among five databases.
(DOCX)

S2 Fig. Gene Ontology analysis of assembled unigenes.
(DOCX)

S3 Fig. KEGG enrichment analysis of assembled unigenes.
(DOCX)
S4 Fig. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs.

S1 Table. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR.

S2 Table. Isolation of EjAGL17 gene using the primer sequences.

S3 Table. EjAGL17 and multiple AG, AGL17, SOC1, AP1, SEP, AP3, PI and TM6-clade proteins from various angiosperm lineages were selected, including their family names and the accession numbers.

S4 Table. The primer sequences of subcellular localization and qRT-PCR of EjAGL17 gene.

S5 Table. The RNA sequencing quality of vegetative apex (VA) and flower bud transition (FBT) in loquat.

S6 Table. Functional annotation for VA and FBT.

S7 Table. Molecular weight and isoelectric points of EjAGL17 protein.

Author Contributions

Data curation: Yan Xia, Min Shi, Danlong Jing, Shuming Wang.

Formal analysis: Yan Xia, Baogui Xue, Min Shi, Feng Zhan, Danlong Jing, Qigao Guo.

Funding acquisition: Di Wu.

Investigation: Yan Xia, Baogui Xue.

Project administration: Di Wu, Qiao He.

Resources: Guolu Liang, Qiao He.

References

1. Hanke MV, Flachowsky H, Peil A, Hättasch C (2007) No flower no fruit—genetic potential to trigger flowering in fruit trees. Genes Genomes Gnome 1: 1–20.

2. Baurle I, Dean C (2006) The timing of developmental transitions in plants. Cell 125: 655–664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.005 PMID: 16713560

3. Han P, García-Ponce B, Fonseca-Salazar G, Alvarez-Buylla ER, Yu H (2008) AGAMOUS-LIKE 17, a novel flowering promoter, acts in a FT-independent photoperiod pathway. Plant J 55: 253–265. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03499.x PMID: 18363787

4. Rounsley SD, Ditta GS, Yanofsky MF (1995) Diverse roles for MADS box genes in Arabidopsis development. Plant Cell 7: 1259–1269. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.7.8.1259 PMID: 7549482

5. Coen ES, Meyerowitz EM (1991) The war of the whorls: genetic interactions controlling flower development. Nature 353: 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/353031a0 PMID: 1715520

6. Lit A, Kramer EM (2010) The ABC model and the diversification of floral organ identity. Semin Cell Dev Biol 21: 129–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2009.11.019 PMID: 19948236
7. Theissen G, Saedler H (2001) Plant biology: floral quartets. Nature 409: 469–471. https://doi.org/10.1038/35054172 PMID: 11206529
8. Theißen G, Melzer R, Rümpel F (2016) MADS-domain transcription factors and the floral quartet model of flower development: linking plant development and evolution. Development 143: 3259–3271. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.134080 PMID: 27624831
9. Torti S, Fornara F, Vincent C, Andrés F, Nordström K, et al. (2012) Analysis of the Arabidopsis shoot meristem transcriptome during floral transition identifies distinct regulatory patterns and a leucine-rich repeat protein that promotes flowering. Plant Cell 24: 444–462. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.092791 PMID: 22319055
10. Turck F, Fornara F, Coupland G (2008) Regulation and identity of florigen: FLOWERING LOCUS T moves center stage. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59: 573–594. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092755 PMID: 18444908
11. Melzer S, Lens F, Gennen J, Vanneste S, Rohde A, et al. (2008) Flowering-time genes modulate meristem determinacy and growth form in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature Genetics 40: 1489–1492. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.253 PMID: 18997783
12. Khan MR, Ai XY, Zhang JZ (2014) Genetic regulation of flowering time in annual and perennial plants. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 5: 347–359. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1215 PMID: 24357620
13. Fornara F, De MA, Coupland G (2010) SnapShot: Control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Cell 141: 550, 550.e551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.04.024 PMID: 20434991
14. Guo X, Yu C, Luo L, Wan H, Li Y, et al. (2017) Comparative transcriptomic analysis of the floral transition in Rosa chinensis ‘Old Blush’ and R. odorata var. gigantea. Sci Rep 7: 6068. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05850-8 PMID: 28729527
15. Zeng F, Bill B, Bruce C, Schellenberg MP, Fu YB, et al. (2017) RNA-Seq analysis of gene expression for floral development in crested wheatgrass (Agropyron cristatum L.). Plos One 12: e0177417. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177417 PMID: 28531235
16. Wen Z, Guo W, Li J, Lin H, He C, et al. (2017) Comparative transcriptomic analysis of vernalization- and cytokinin-induced floral transition in Dendrobium nobile. Sci Rep 7: 45748. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45748 PMID: 28361995
17. Lin S, Sharpe RH, Janick J (1999) Loquat: botany and horticulture. Hortic Rev 23: 233–269.
18. Reig C, Gil-Muñoz F, Vera-Sirera F, García-Lorca A, Martínez-Fuentes A, et al. (2017) Bud sprouting and floral induction and expression of FT in loquat [Eriobotrya japonica (Thunb.) Lindl.]. Planta 246: 915–925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-017-2740-6 PMID: 28710586
19. Chen W, Wang P, Wang D, Shi M, Xia Y, et al. (2020) EJFR1, FRIGIDA (FR1) ortholog from Eriobotrya japonica, delays flowering in Arabidopsis. Int J Mol Sci 21: 1087.
20. Jing D, Chen W, Shi M, Wang D, Xia Y, et al. (2020) Ectopic expression of an Eriobotrya japonica APE-TALÀ3 ortholog rescues the petal and stamen identities in Arabidopsis ap3-3 mutant. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 523: 33–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.11.177 PMID: 31831173
21. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, et al. (2011) Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data. Nature Biotechnology 29: 454–458. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883 PMID: 21572440
22. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, et al. (2005) Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics 21: 3674–3676. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610 PMID: 16081474
23. Powell S, Szklarczyk D, Trachana K, Roth A, Kuhn M, et al. (2012) eggNOG v3.0: orthogonal groups covering 1133 organisms at 41 different taxonomic ranges. Nucleic Acids Research 40: 284–289. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr712 PMID: 21896618
24. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M (2016) KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 44: D457–D462. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070 PMID: 26476454
25. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B (2008) Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat Methods 5: 621–628. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1226 PMID: 18516045
26. Anders S, Huber W (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biology 11: R106. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106 PMID: 20979621
27. Wang J, Wang H, Ding L, Song A, Shen F, et al. (2017) Transcriptomic and hormone analyses reveal mechanisms underlying petal elongation in Chrysanthemum morifolium ‘Jinba’. Plant Molecular Biology 93: 593–606. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0584-x PMID: 28108965
28. Jing D, Chen W, Xia Y, Shi M, Wang P, et al. (2020) Homeotic transformation from stamen to petal in *Eriobotrya japonica* is associated with hormone signal transduction and reduction of the transcriptional activity of *EPF1*. *Planta* 168: 893–908. https://doi.org/10.1111/pla.13029 PMID: 31587280

29. Shan LL, Li X, Wang P, Cai C, Zhang B, et al. (2008) Characterization of cDNAs associated with lignification and their expression profiles in loquat fruit with different lignin accumulation. *Planta* 227: 1243–1254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-008-0696-2 PMID: 18273642

30. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^−ΔΔCT method. Methods 25: 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262 PMID: 11846609

31. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22: 4673–4680. https://doi.org/10.1089/nar.22.22.4673 PMID: 7984417

32. Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K (2008) MEGA: a biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. *Brief Bioinform* 9: 299–306. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbn017 PMID: 18417537

33. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, et al. (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 2731–2739. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121 PMID: 21546353

34. Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM (1992) The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. *Comput Appl Biosci* 8: 275–282. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/8.3.275 PMID: 1633570

35. Jing D, Xia Y, Chen F, Wang Z, Zhang S, et al. (2015) Ectopic expression of a *Catalpa bungei* (Bignoniaceae) *PISTILLATA* homologue rescues the petal and stamen identities in *Arabidopsis pi-1* mutant. *Plant Science* 231: 40–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.004 PMID: 25575990

36. Liu H, Huang R, Ma J, Sui S, Guo Y, et al. (2017) Two C3H type zinc finger protein genes, *CpCZF1* and *CpCZF2*, from *Chimonanthus praecox* affect stamen development in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes* 8: 199.

37. Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* 16: 735–743. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x PMID: 10069079

38. Zhang D, Liu D, Lv X, Wang Y, Xun Z, et al. (2014) The cysteine protease CEP1, a key executor involved in tapetal programmed cell death, regulates pollen development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 26: 2939–2961. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.127282 PMID: 25035401

39. Liu W, Han X, Zhan G, Zhao Z, Feng Y, et al. (2015) A novel sucrose-regulatory MADS-Box transcription factor GmNMHC5 promotes root development and nodulation in Soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.). *Int J Mol Sci* 16: 20657–20673. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160920657 PMID: 26404246

40. Liu Y, Jia Z, Li X, Wang Z, Chen F, et al. (2020) Involvement of a truncated MADS-box transcription factor ZmTMM1 in root nitrate foraging. *J Exp Bot* 71(15): 4547–4561. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa116 PMID: 32133500

41. Cooke JE, Eriksson ME, Junttila O (2012) The dynamic nature of bud dormancy in trees: environmental control and molecular mechanisms. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 35: 1707–1728.

42. Fan Z, Li J, Li X, Wu B, Wang J, et al. (2015) Genome-wide transcriptome profiling provides insights into floral bud development of summer-flowering Camellia zialea. *Scientific reports* 5: 9729. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/eru301 PMID: 25978548

43. Zhang HN, Wei YZ, Shen JY, Lai B, Huang XM, et al. (2014) Transcriptomic analysis of floral initiation in loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica*). *Physiol Plant* 168: 893–908. https://doi.org/10.1111/pla.13029 PMID: 25978548

44. Liu F, Wang Y, Ding Z, Zhao L, Xiao J, et al. (2017) Transcriptomic analysis of flower development in tea (*Camellia sinensis* (L.)). *Gene* 631: 39–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2017.08.013 PMID: 28844668

45. Xing LB, Zhang D, Li YM, Shen YW, Zhao CP, et al. (2015) Transcriptome profiles reveal sugar and hormone signaling pathways mediating flower induction in apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.). *Plant Cell Physiol* 56: 2052–2068. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcv124 PMID: 26412779

46. Liu K, Feng S, Pan Y, Zhong J, Chen Y, et al. (2016) Transcriptome analysis and identification of genes associated with floral transition and flower development in sugar apple (*Annona squamosa* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 1695. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01695 PMID: 27881993

47. Theißen G, Gramzow L (2016) Structure and evolution of plant MADS domain transcription factors. In *Plant Transcription Factors: Evolutionary, Structural and Functional Aspects* (ed. Gonzalez D. H.), pp. 127–138. Philadelphia: Elsevier.
48. Kaufmann K, Melzer R, Theissen G (2005) MIKC-type MADS-domain proteins: structural modularity, protein interactions and network evolution in land plants. Gene 347: 183–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2004.12.014 PMID: 15777618

49. Gramzow L, Theissen G (2010) A hitchhiker’s guide to the MADS world of plants. Genome biology 11: 214. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-6-214 PMID: 20587009

50. Fan HY, Hu Y, Tudor M, Ma H (1997) Specific interactions between the K domains of AG and AGLs, members of the MADS domain family of DNA binding proteins. Plant J 12: 999–1010. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1997.12050999.x PMID: 9418042

51. Niu Q, Li J, Cai D, Qian M, Jia H, et al. (2016) Dormancy-associated MADS-box genes and microRNAs jointly control dormancy transition in pear (Pyrus pyrifolia white pear group) flower bud. J Exp Bot 67: 239–257. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv454 PMID: 26466664

52. Puig J, Meynard D, Khong GN, Pauluzzi G, Guiderdoni E, et al. (2013) Analysis of the expression of the AGL17-like clade of MADS-box transcription factors in rice. Gene Expr Patterns 13: 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gep.2013.02.004 PMID: 23466806

53. Yu C, Su S, Xu Y, Zhao Y, Yan A, et al. (2014) The effects of fluctuations in the nutrient supply on the expression of five members of the AGL17 clade of MADS-box genes in rice. PLoS One 9: e105597. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105597 PMID: 25140876

54. Zhang G, Xu N, Chen H, Wang G, Huang J (2018) OsMADS25 regulates root system development via auxin signalling in rice. Plant J 95: 1004–1022. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14007 PMID: 29932274