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1. Introduction

The least luminous known galaxies have historically been those closest to the Milky Way. Whether visually or with automated searches, resolved stars reveal the presence of nearby dwarf galaxies with surface brightnesses too low to be discovered by diffuse light alone. Even until recently, nearly all cataloged dwarfs fainter than \( M_V = -11 \) resided within the Local Group of galaxies (LG) [1]. In 1999 the LG contained 36 known members, of which eleven are Milky Way (MW) satellites [2]. Four of these eleven MW dwarf galaxies are less luminous than \( M_V = -10 \), more than 10,000 times less luminous than the Milky Way itself. Although such low luminosity dwarfs almost certainly contribute a cosmologically insignificant amount to the luminosity budget of the Universe, all eight of the Milky Way’s classical dwarf spheroidal companions (\( -9 > M_V > -13 \), not including Sagittarius or the Magellanic Clouds) have been studied in extensive detail. (“Classical” will be used in the paper to refer to the Milky Way dwarf companions known prior to 2003.) There is now a new class of “ultra-faint” dwarf companions to the Milky Way known to have absolute magnitudes as low as \( M_V \sim -2 \) ([3], see Section 3). The resolved stellar populations of these near-field cosmological laboratories have been used to derive their star formation and chemical evolution histories [4] and to model their dark mass content in detail (see article by Strigari in this volume and references therein). These complete histories of individual systems complement studies that rely on high redshift observations to stitch together an average view of the Universe’s evolution with time.

The need for an automated, “systematic, statistically complete, and homogeneous search” for LG dwarf galaxies has been known for some time [5]. A combination of theoretical results and the advent of digital sky surveys have initiated a renaissance in the pursuit of a well-measured sample of the least luminous galaxies. This renaissance began in 1999, when simulations were used to highlight the discrepancy between the number of dark matter halos predicted to orbit the MW and the eleven observed to be lit up by dwarf galaxies orbiting the MW [6, 7]. As the resolution of simulations has increased over the last ten years, so has the magnitude of this apparent discrepancy. The most recent simulations predict tens (\( M_{\text{halo}} > 10^6 M_\odot \), [8]) or even hundreds of thousands (\( M_{\text{halo}} > 10^5 M_\odot \), [9]) of dark matter halos around the Milky Way. In light of this “missing satellite problem”, great attention has been paid to the total number of Milky Way dwarf galaxies. However, this is only one metric with which to learn about the properties of dark matter. The intrinsically faintest dwarfs (which can only be found and studied close to the Milky Way) likely inhabit the least massive dark matter halos that can host stars. Such dwarfs may thus provide...
the most direct measurement of the mass spectrum, spatial distribution, and clustering scale of dark matter.

What was initially viewed as a problem now provides an opportunity to simultaneously learn about dark matter and galaxy formation physics. Many studies have invoked simple models of galaxy formation within low-mass dark matter halos to successfully resolve the apparent satellite discrepancy within the context of ΛCDM (e.g., [10–13]). See the review article in this volume on “Dark matter substructure and dwarf galactic satellites” by A. Kravtsov for more details on the original missing satellite problem and on resolutions to this problem based on models of star formation in low-mass halos.

To untangle the extent to which dark matter physics, galaxy formation physics, and incompleteness in the census of dwarf galaxies contribute to this missing satellite “opportunity” requires a well-defined dwarf galaxy census that is as uniform as possible to the faintest limits. For example—Well defined: to compare observations of the MW dwarf population with models requires a detailed, quantitative description of the current census. Quantitative assessments of the detectability of MW dwarfs in recent survey data, plus an assumed spatial distribution of dwarfs, enabled extrapolation of the known population to predict a total number of ∼100–500 dwarf satellites [14, 15]. Uniform: because the very least luminous MW dwarfs (MV ∼ −2) can currently only be found within 50 kpc, it is presently unclear whether dwarfs can form with such intrinsically low luminosities, or whether the tidal field of the Milky Way has removed stars from these nearby objects. The epoch of reionization and its effect on the formation of stars in low-mass dark matter halos also leaves an imprint on both the spatial distribution [16, 17] and mass function of MW satellites [13, 18]. Other studies have claimed that the spatial distribution of MW satellites is inconsistent with that expected in a Cold Dark Matter-dominated model [19, 20]. Robust tests of these models are not possible without improving the uniformity of the MW census with direction and with distance. Faintest limits: reaching the low luminosity limit of galaxy formation is necessary to probe the smallest possible scales of dark matter, the scales on which the model faces the greatest challenges. Moreover, a census to faint limits over a large fraction of the MW’s virial volume may yield enough dwarfs to rule out dark matter models with reduced power on small scales, although numerical effects presently inhibit concrete predictions of such models [21].

The specific observational requirements to fully exploit the population of MW dwarfs (and beyond) to effectively test dark matter theories and/or to learn about galaxy formation therefore include the following:

(i) a census of dwarfs (we apply the term “dwarf” only to stellar systems that, through direct or indirect evidence, are known to be dark matter dominated either now or at any point in the past) that is minimally biased with respect to Galactic latitude, distance (at least out to the virial radius of the Milky Way), star formation history, and structural parameters,

(ii) a statistically significant sample of lowest luminosity dwarfs,

(iii) a sample of the least luminous dwarfs in a range of environments.

This article focuses on the roles of wide-field, optical imaging surveys of the past, present, and future in the pursuit of a minimally biased census of the least luminous galaxies. In particular, it focuses on automated analyses of resolved star counts as a method to reveal these systems. Since the visual searches of the 20th century, new digital sky survey data have substantially progressed the completeness and uniformity of the MW satellite census. Although this progress has already revolutionized the landscape of dwarf galaxy cosmology, it has also revealed great incompleteness in our knowledge of the least luminous galaxies. Imminent and future surveys such as the Southern Sky Survey [22], PanSTARRS 1 (http://pan-starrs.ifa.hawaii.edu/public/) the Dark Energy Survey [23], and the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope [24] are poised to ultimately achieve the observational requirements needed for MW dwarf galaxy cosmology.

2. Discovering Milky Way Dwarf Galaxies, Pre-SDSS

All Milky Way dwarf galaxies known prior to 1990 were discovered in visual inspections of photographic survey data. Sculptor (MV = −11.1) and Fornax (MV = −13.1) were discovered in 1938 by Shapley [25, 26] in images obtained with a 24-inch telescope at Harvard’s Boyden Station. Leo I (MV = −11.9), Leo II (MV = −10.1), Ursa Minor (MV = −8.9), and Draco (MV = −9.4) were discovered in the 1950’s in the images obtained with a 48-inch Schmidt telescope as part of the original Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (POSS) [27, 28]. The last Milky Way companion discovered by an eyeball search was Carina (1977, MV = −9.4), found on photographic plates obtained in the Southern hemisphere counterpart to the Palmar Observatory surveys—the ESO/SRC Southern Sky Survey [29]. Magnitudes listed above are from [30], except for Sculptor [1].

At the time of Carina’s discovery, it was hypothesized that “The only possibility for detecting new systems of this type would seem to be in regions of relatively high foreground stars density and will probably require careful scanning under low-power magnification or detailed star counts” [29]. This hypothesis was validated by the discovery of Sextans in 1990 (MV = −9.5) [31] as an overdensity of star counts from automated plate machine (APM) scans of the same POSS and ESO/SRC survey data that had been carefully inspected decades earlier. Sextans was discovered as part of the first large-scale, automated search for Milky Way companions [32]. The serendipitous discovery of the eleventh Milky Way companion, Sagittarius, in 1994 [33] as a moving group of stars was the final Milky Way dwarf discovered in the photographic survey data of the 20th century.
Since the discoveries of the eleven classical Milky Way dwarf satellites, Klement et al. [34] and Whiting et al. [35] conducted systematic searches of the COSMOS/UKST survey of the southern sky and the POSS-II and ESO/SRC survey data, respectively. Whiting’s eyeball, all-sky search resulted in the discoveries of the Local Group dwarfs Antlia (M_V ≈ −11.2) and Cetus (M_V ≈ −11.3), but not new Milky Way satellites. The closest predecessor to the modern searches described in Section 3, Klement et al. searched for overdensities of resolved stars in spatially smoothed, pixelated maps of star counts. Although their survey revealed no new dwarf galaxies, they performed the first detailed characterization of the Milky Way dwarf satellite census. The detection limits of these searches are discussed in Section 4.

3. Mining for the Lowest Luminosity Dwarfs in the SDSS Era

Although the searches for dwarfs in the survey data available in the 20th century were impressively successful, empirical evidence suggested that the census of Milky Way dwarf galaxies may not yet be complete [2, 16]. Since then, the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, [36]) revolutionized the field of dwarf galaxy cosmology with the discoveries of 14 MW dwarfs (and possible dwarfs) as overdensities of resolved stars: 2005—Ursa Major [37] and Willman 1 (originally known as SDSSJ1049+5103, [38]); 2006—Boötes I [39], Ursa Major II [40], Canes Venatici I [41]; 2007—Segue 1, Coma Berenices, Leo IV, Canes Venatici II, Hercules (all announced in [42]), Leo T [43], Boötes II [44]; 2008—Leo V [45]; 2009—Segue 2 [46]. Follow-up observations confirmed most of these to be the most dark matter dominated (central M/L up to 1000 [3, 13]), least luminous (−1.5 > M_V > −8.6 [47]), and among the least chemically evolved galaxies known in the Universe [48, 49]. Among these 14, Willman 1, Segue 2, and Boötes II have not yet been shown to be dwarf galaxies rather than star clusters or unbound remnants thereof. The ultra-faint dwarfs are also predicted to be the most detectable sources of gamma-rays from dark matter annihilation [50, 51]. In parallel with these Milky Way discoveries, 11 new M31 satellite galaxies have been discovered, primarily in large INT and CFHT surveys of M31 (And IX - And XX, −6.3 > M_V > −9 [52–58]).

The accomplishments of the SDSS dataset seem particularly remarkable given that the data were obtained with 1-minute exposures taken on a 2.5 m telescope, with a resulting r-magnitude limit of 22.2. In general, pushing the census of resolved dwarf galaxies to lower luminosities and greater distances can be accomplished by (1) obtaining photometry of stars to fainter apparent magnitudes, (2) more efficiently suppressing the noise from point sources contaminating the signal from stars belonging to a dwarf galaxy, and/or (3) reducing spurious detections, the primary source of which had been cluster galaxies misclassified as point sources [32, 34]. The features of the SDSS that facilitated (2) and (3) were its multiband photometry and accurate star-galaxy separation. The digital camera and uniformity of the survey also played key roles in its richness as a hunting ground for dwarfs.

With a median luminosity of M_V ≈ −5 (10^4 L⊙), the ultra-faints are up to ten million times less luminous than the Milky Way. All but Willman 1 and Leo T of the new Milky Way satellites are invisible in the SDSS images, even in hindsight. How was the presence of these invisible galaxies revealed? The seventh data release of SDSS, DR 7 [59], includes 11 663 deg^2 of imaging and over 100 million cataloged stars. The searches that resulted in the discoveries of the ultra-faint dwarfs were based only on analyses of these cataloged stars. The methods applied were all similar in spirit, starting with the search of Willman et al. [60]. The search technique summarized here is the specific method used in the most recent automated search, that of Walsh et al. (WWJ [61]).

(i) Apply a Color-Magnitude Filter to Point Sources. The primary source of noise in searches for dwarfs in SDSS-depth data is MW stars. Figure 1(b) shows that MW stars are smeared out in color and magnitude. The red plume contains thin disk main sequence stars, the bright blue plume contains thick disk main sequence turnoff (MSTO) stars, and the faint blue plume contains halo MSTO and MS stars. However, the stars belonging to a dwarf galaxy will occupy a well-defined region of color-magnitude space. All stars with colors and magnitudes inconsistent with a dwarf galaxy (at a particular distance) can thus be filtered out. WWJ used Girardi isochrones to define a color-magnitude (CM) filter for stars between 8 and 14 Gyr old and with −1.5 < [Fe/H] < −2.3. This filter is shown Figure 1(a) for a dwarf galaxy with d = 20 kpc. Unlike the matched filter technique of [62], stars outside of the filter are simply removed from the analysis. No weighting is done, because the filter is not intended to exactly match stars from a specific stellar population. The CM filter was shifted to 16 values of m-M between 16.5 and 24.0 to search for dwarfs with 20 ≤ d ≤ 600 kpc. Figure 1(a) shows that a 20 kpc color-magnitude filter contains substantial noise from both thick disk and halo stars. Figure 1(d) shows that a 100 kpc filter resides primarily between the two plumes and includes contamination from faint halo stars. The horizontal branch (HB) extension of this 100 kpc filter passes through MSTO halo stars, suggesting that this HB extension may include more noise than signal from the least luminous systems. Although the analysis of WWJ was automated and included no visual component, the result of this processing step is illustrated in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). The Ursa Major I ultra-faint dwarf (M_V = −5.5, d = 100 kpc) is not visible in the star count map on the left. After CM filtering, a slight overdensity of point sources becomes visible.

(ii) Create Spatially Smoothed Image of Stellar Surface Density. As originally done in searches for nearby dwarf galaxies performed in the 1990’s [32, 34], the number density map of stars passing CM filtering is smoothed with a spatial kernel to enhance the signals from resolved objects with angular scale sizes expected for nearby dwarf galaxies. WWJ used only a 4.5’ scale length filter, while [14] applied filters of two different angular sizes. The result of this analysis step is illustrated Figure 2(c), which shows that Ursa Major I appears prominent in a spatially smoothed map of CM-filtered stars.
(iii) **Identify Statistically Significant Overdensities.** A search of 10 000 deg$^2$ of SDSS data, optimized for dwarfs at 16 different distances, and a single choice of stellar population and scale size require evaluating the statistical significance of 600 million data pixels that do not necessarily follow a Gaussian distribution of signal. Setting the detection threshold to select candidate dwarf galaxies was done by simulating numerous realizations of the search, assuming a random distribution of point sources and permitting only one completely spurious detection. The threshold is set to be a function of point source number density after CM filtering.

(iv) **Follow-up Candidates.** Regions detected above the detection threshold are considered candidates for MW dwarf galaxies. Although the threshold is set to prevent the detection of any stochastic fluctuations of a randomly distributed set of point sources [61], the detections are only “candidates” because resolved dwarf galaxies are not the only possible overdensities of point sources expected in the sky. For example, fluctuations in the abundant tidal debris in the Milky Way’s halo or (un)bound star clusters could be detected. It is essential to obtain follow-up photometry to find the color-magnitude sequence of stars expected for a dwarf galaxy and also follow-up spectroscopy to measure the dark mass content (dark matter is required to be classified as a galaxy) based on the observed line-of-sight velocities.

This search algorithm is very efficient. In the WWJ search, the eleven strongest detections of sources unclassified prior to SDSS were 11 of the 14 (probable) ultra-faint Milky Way dwarfs. All of these but Bootes II were known prior to the WWJ search. See references in Section 3 for details of the follow-up observations that confirmed these objects to be dwarf galaxies.

Follow-up observations of as-yet unclassified SDSS dwarf galaxy candidates are ongoing by several groups, including a group at the IoA at

---

**Figure 1: A color-magnitude (CM) filter used to suppress the noise from foreground stars while preserving the signal from dwarf galaxy stars at a specific distance.**

(a) and (c) CM filters for an old and metal-poor stellar population at a distance modulus of 16.5 and 20.0, respectively. The solid lines show Girardi isochrones for 8 and 14 Gyr populations with [Fe/H] = −1.5 and −2.3.

(b) and (d) These CM filters overplotted on stars from a 1 deg$^2$ field to illustrate the character of the foreground contamination as a function of dwarf distance. Data are from SDSS DR7.

---

**Figure 2: (a) Map of all stars in the field around the Ursa Major I dwarf satellite, $M_V = -5.5$, $d = 100$ kpc.** (b) Map of stars passing the CM filter projected to $m - M = 20.0$ shown in Figure 1(c). (c) Spatially smoothed number density map of the stars in (b). The Ursa Major I dwarf galaxy has a $\mu_{V,0}$ of only 27.5 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ [63]. Data are from SDSS DR7.
Cambridge (M. Walker, private communication) and at the MPIA (N. Martin, private communication). The Stromlo Missing Satellites team (PI H. Jerjen) is also now obtaining and analyzing observations of the ~ two dozen candidates from the WWJ search of 9500 square degrees of SDSS DR6.

Because most probable candidates for dwarf galaxies have already been followed up, it is possible that SDSS I has already been completely mined for ultra-faint dwarfs. Nevertheless, it is essential to concretely classify all objects identified down to the detection threshold used to quantify the limits of a survey. If there are dwarf galaxies hiding in the low significance detections, then they must be included when interpreting the properties of the global population down to the observational limits. If there are no dwarf galaxies anywhere close to the detection thresholds, then there may not be many unseen dwarfs with luminosities (distances) slightly fainter than (a bit more distant than) those of similar dwarfs in the known population.

4. Current Limitations of the Census of Milky Way Dwarfs

As discussed in Section 1, a well-defined census of dwarfs is essential to use the MW dwarf galaxy population as a probe of dark matter and galaxy formation physics. Astronomers have used a variety of approaches to characterize the completeness of the Milky Way dwarf census for more than 50 years, beginning with Wilson [28] in 1955 who observed that “The uniform coverage of the sky provided by the (Palomar Observatory) Sky Survey allows an estimate to be made of the probable total number of Sculptor-type galaxies in the local group.”

Until this day, little is known about the possible population of MW dwarfs at |b| < 20° [32, 34], which includes 1/3 of the volume around our galaxy, owing to obscuration by the Galaxy’s disk. A substantial fraction of the SDSS footprint is at b > 30°; so no progress has yet been made on this severe observational bias at optical wavelengths. Searches for satellites near the Galactic plane at radio and near-infrared wavelengths (2MASS) are less affected by disk obscuration than optical studies. Although two satellites have tentatively been discovered at these wavelengths (high-velocity cloud Complex H in HI survey data [64], Canis Major in 2MASS [65]), searches for MW dwarfs at nonoptical wavelengths have not yet been very fruitful or quantified in detail.

Likewise, the limitations of the Southern hemisphere dwarf galaxy census remain unchanged since the searches conducted with photographic plate data. Klyna et al. [34] derived detailed detection limits for their search by inserting simulated galaxies with the physical scale size of Sculptor into the COSMOS survey data. They found that the Southern sky at b < −15° was complete to dwarfs closer than 180 kpc and as faint as 1/8 L_{Sculptor}, corresponding to M_{r} = −8.8. Whiting et al. also quantitatively characterized the completeness of their visual search for dwarfs in the Southern Sky and estimated a limiting surface brightness (25 < μ_{lim} < 26 mag arcsec^{-2}), with a 77% completeness of dwarfs above this surface brightness limit [35].

It is thus likely that no dwarf similar to any of the 14 ultra-faints discovered in SDSS I data could have been found outside of the SDSS footprint. Within the SDSS footprint, the most extensive calculation of the limitations of the ultra-faint dwarf census is that of WWJ. WWJ simulated the detectability of nearly 4 million artificial galaxies with a range of luminosity, scale size, distance, and Galactic latitude [61]. They estimate that the SDSS MW dwarf census is more than 99% complete within 300 kpc to dwarfs brighter than M_{V} = −6.5 with scale sizes up to 1 kpc. Although this is a tremendous improvement, only four of the 14 new MW satellites are brighter than this limit. d_{0}, the distance at which 90% of dwarfs with some set of properties can be detected, is independent of the distribution of objects. d_{0} is ~35, 60, and 100 kpc for dwarfs with M_{V} ~ −2, −3, and −4 with scale sizes similar to those of the known ultra-faints at like absolute magnitude. (This is smaller than the distance within which 90% of dwarfs with some set of properties can be detected.) Larger scale length (lower surface brightness) systems are less detectable. For example, systems with M_{r} = −2 and a scale size of 100 pc or with M_{r} = −4 and a scale size of 500 pc would have been undetectable in SDSS. Koposov et al. [14] derived quantitative detection limits for their SDSS search for ultra-faint dwarfs and found similar results.

The luminosity bias still present in the MW dwarf census as a function of distance has several major implications. First, the unknown underlying radial distribution of MW dwarfs prevents assumption-free predictions of their total number or luminosity function. Second, assumption-free comparisons between the observed and predicted spatial distribution of MW dwarfs are still not possible. However, studies of the spatial distribution that only include the brighter MW dwarfs (M_{V} < −5.5) would provide initial insight into models. Finally, four of the MW ultra-faint companions (Willman 1, Boötes II, Segue 1 and 2) have L < 10^{4}L_{⊙} (M_{V} ≥ −2.5). At present, only ~1/200 of the volume within the SDSS footprint has been mined for such ultra-faints. Are there pristine dwarfs in other environments with such low luminosities? Answering this question will be critical for determining whether they have extremely low luminosities because of nature (they formed that way) or nurture (e.g., the tidal field of the Milky Way removed bound stars). Preliminary morphological studies suggest that the properties of the nearest ultra-faints may have been affected by the MW’s tidal field.

These limitations and achievements do not substantively vary across most of the SDSS footprint. ~50% of the SDSS DR6 footprint resides at b > 50° and only ~10% at b < 30°. d_{0} is almost identical for dwarfs with b = 53° and b = 73° and is up to ~25% less for b ~ 30°, depending on M_{V,dwarf}. The relatively weak variation with latitude is owing to the CM filter (Figure 1) that does not include stars with g − r > 1.0, cutting the majority of thin disk stars from analysis. Although the spatial variation is weak on average, regions of lower Galactic latitude plus longitude or regions containing substantial Sagittarius stream debris do have a lower sensitivity for dwarfs. For searches extending to b ≲ 30°, careful attention must be paid to the dependence of detectability on Galactic direction.
5. Mining for Ultra-Faint Dwarfs Post-SDSS

To move from the excitement of discovery to more concrete comparisons between observations and predictions will require progress on the observational limitations described in Section 4. Here we highlight several new and upcoming wide-field optical surveys that contain the qualities necessary to make this progress.

The Southern Sky Survey (SSS) [22] and PanSTARRs (PS1) are optical surveys of the entire Southern and Northern skies, respectively. The SSS is anticipated to begin survey operations at the end of 2009, and PS1 has already begun obtaining survey data. The SDSS filter set [66] plus a Strömgren u filter will be used for the SSS, while SDSS griz plus a y filter at 1 micron is being used for PS1. These surveys are both conducted on small aperture telescopes (1.3 m for SSS, 1.8 m for PS1), with images of the sky obtained repeatedly over a period of about 5 years. The coadded point source catalogs anticipated from these surveys will be 0.5 (SSS) to 1 (PS1) magnitude deeper than the SDSS catalog.

Searches for resolved dwarf galaxies in the SSS will be led by H. Jerjen and the Strömgren Missing Satellites team and in PS1 will be lead by N. Martin at MPIA. Between the SSS and PS1, a full digital picture of the sky at optical wavelengths will be obtained, nearly 75% of it for the very first time. The region of sky at |b| < 20° to be observed by the SSS should contain many discoverable ultra-faint galaxies – perhaps a dozen by comparison with those already known in the North. These new surveys will also substantially progress our understanding of the distribution of dwarfs close to the disk. However, mining for dwarfs at low b will require careful adjustments to the search techniques applied to SDSS data owing to severe Galactic contamination and obscuration at low Galactic latitudes. For example, it has been common to use a 1° × 1° running windows to measure the local density of the foreground [14, 61]. The steep spatial gradient in the number density of disk stars at low b will demand a more careful characterization of the average point source counts when searching for localized overdensities.

These imminent surveys will also reveal ultra-faint dwarfs throughout a greater fraction of the Milky Way’s virial volume. A naive extrapolation from the detectability of dwarfs in the SDSS yields

\[
d_{\text{max,PS1}}/d_{\text{max,SDSS}} = (\lim_{\text{PS1}}/\lim_{\text{SDSS}})^{0.5}.
\]

In this approximation, analyzing the PS1 star catalog with methods analogous to those applied to SDSS data will reveal dwarfs (at |b| > 20°) to distances ~1.6 times farther, which is a factor of 4 in volume. Despite this anticipated improvement, these surveys will not provide an unbiased measurement of the ultra-faint dwarf galaxy population all the way out to the virial radius of the Milky Way (~300 kpc).

Only a survey such as the planned Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) (http://www.lsst.org/) project, currently scheduled to begin survey operations in 2016, will potentially yield a measurement of the ultra-faint dwarf galaxy population that truly satisfies all of the observational requirements needed to fully exploit these objects for dark matter and galaxy formation science. LSST’s primary mode will be the planned “deep-wide-fast” survey that will observe 20,000 deg² of sky at δ < 34° roughly 1000 times over 6 bands (SDSS ugriz plus y). Single 15-second exposures have an anticipated 5σ limit of r = 24.5, and the final 10-year co-added catalog has an anticipated limit of r = 27.5 [24].

Using the same naive extrapolation of the detectability of dwarfs in SDSS applied above to the PS1 survey, Tollerud et al. [15] showed that an SDSS-like analysis of a 10-year LSST-like catalog of stars would reveal M_V = −2.0 dwarfs to distances of at least 400 kpc. More luminous ultra-faints would be detectable throughout the entire Local Group, and even beyond, based on this sort of extrapolation. Such a calculation assumes that the number density of contaminating point sources passing color-magnitude filtering (such as shown in Figure 1) does not substantially vary with distance. However, the landscape of the point source population at magnitudes fainter than r ~ 24 does differ greatly from that in the SDSS-deep data shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 showed that thick disk and halo main sequence and main sequence turnoff stars in the Milky Way were the primary noise in SDSS searches. At fainter apparent magnitudes, the number density of unresolved galaxies, galaxies at high redshift that cannot be distinguished from individual stars by morphology alone, rapidly increases. Figure 3 shows the (V − I, V) color-magnitude diagram of galaxies in the 9 arcmin² Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF) with an angular full-width half-max size smaller than 0.8", the expected average image quality of LSST. Overplotted in red are the stellar sources in the HUDF; they are outnumbered by galaxies by a factor of 75.

The CMDs in Figure 4 illustrate in more detail the point source contamination expected in deep searches for resolved ultra-faint dwarfs. Figure 4(a) displays a TRILEGAL (http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/trilegal) [68] simulation of Milky Way stars in a one square deg field at (l, b) = (45, 40). Figure 4(b) displays a simulation of the galaxy population as it will be observed by LSST. The LSST image simulation project (led by A. Connolly at UW) was based on a mock catalog generated from the Millennium simulation [69]. The isochrone of an old and metal-poor stellar population overplotted on Figure 4(a) shows that red giant branch stars belonging to a system ~300 kpc away will be contaminated by MW halo dwarf and subdwarf stars (the plume at g − r ∼ 1.0). In multicolor survey data of sufficient depth and photometric precision, colors can be used to select stars based on temperature, metallicity, and surface gravity [70]. For example, it has been shown that g − r combined with u − g separates metal-poor red giants at halo distances from red dwarf stars in the disk of the Milky Way, but only to r ~ 17 in SDSS-deep data [71]. SDSS was not deep enough in all filters to utilize photometric stellar classification to distances beyond 25 kpc. LSST will have small enough photometric errors to photometrically select red giant stars at outer halo distances. Therefore, color-color selection of red giant stars at outer halo distance may reveal both bound and unbound structure at MW halo distances to unprecedentedly low surface brightnesses.

The overplotted isochrone on Figure 4(b) shows that the main sequence turnoff of stars in an old and metal-poor stellar population in the MW’s outer halo will be
severely contaminated by unresolved galaxies. The mock galaxy catalog predicts $\sim 700\ 000$ galaxies per deg$^2$ with $r < 27.5$ and $g - r < 1.5$. By contrast, the Trilegal model predicts $\sim 35\ 000$ stars per deg$^2$ with those same colors and magnitudes. Based on the HUDF catalog, roughly half of the galaxies at the faint magnitudes to be accessible by LSST have angular sizes smaller than the expected median image quality of $0.8''$. Unresolved galaxies thus outnumber stars by a factor of 100 in observations down to $r = 27.5$ when only angular size is used to morphologically classify objects, consistent with the results obtained from the small HUDF field-of-view.

The very least luminous ($M_V \gtrsim -3$) systems can only be discovered by their MSTO and main sequence stars, because they have few, if any, red giant branch stars. The contamination by unresolved galaxies could therefore be catastrophic for discoveries of such systems at large distances, particularly because galaxies themselves are clustered and thus do not provide a smooth background that can easily be removed. However, a combination of careful morphological classification and color-color-magnitude filtering can be used to drastically reduce the noise from unresolved galaxies. In reality, star-galaxy separation is not performed by a simple measurement of angular size; the extended shapes of the light profiles of sources are often used to discriminate between stars and galaxies. For example, [72] describes a method to use the curve-of-growth of the light profile of individual objects to yield a morphological star-galaxy classification. This type of classification will still yield a star catalog that is dominated by faint galaxies. Galaxies also have colors that differ from those of stars. For example, color-color information has been used to distinguish Milky Way stars from unresolved galaxies at very faint magnitudes in the Deep Lens Survey, a deep, ground-based, survey in multiple optical filters [73].

An important consideration for dwarf searches in LSST-depth data is prospects for meaningful follow-up observations. Follow-up imaging to obtain deep CMDs has been needed to confirm many of the 14 known ultra-faint dwarfs. However, color-magnitude diagrams deeper than the expected LSST limiting $r$-magnitude of 27.5 could likely not be obtained from the ground. Space-based follow-up to confirm new dwarfs with JWST will probably also not be feasible, because the number of dwarfs may be in the hundreds (with a higher number of candidates) and because the fields-of-view of the cameras on JWST ($\sim 2.2'' \times 2.2''$) are smaller than the angular sizes expected for all but the smallest scale size dwarfs. With a half-degree field-of-view, the camera on the Supernova Acceleration Probe (SNAP) could provide the imaging needed to confirm the presence of relatively distant dwarfs tentatively detected in LSST data. There are not currently plans for SNAP to be a pointed tool for such science. Therefore the number of resolved stars required for a certain ultra-faint detection in very deep survey data will necessarily be higher than in SDSS-depth data. The spectroscopic resources now being used to measure the masses of new ultra-faint objects (e.g., DEIMOS on Keck II, Hectochelle on the MMT) are also already being pushed to their limits with the dwarfs discovered in SDSS. Much fainter or more distant dwarfs could not be effectively studied with these resources but instead will require next generation 30 m class telescopes (such as a Giant Magellan Telescope or Thirty Meter Telescope) and/or instrumentation.

A final consideration for searches is based on resolved stars in an LSST-depth dataset—the possible crowding of stars belonging to more distant satellites. Although fewer stars are resolved in more distant galaxies, the apparent angular separation of resolved stars decreases with increasing distance. If the average star separation is small relative to the average full-width half-max of stars in the image, then an object may be confusion limited and its individual stars not identified in a standard photometric pipeline. Could ultra-faint dwarf galaxies become confusion limited before they are, in theory, too distant to detect as overdensities of resolved stars? Using the Dotter stellar luminosity functions (http://stellar.dartmouth.edu/) [74] and assuming a star catalog as deep as the LSST 10-year coadd, the average spacing between resolved stars in a 10 Gyr, $[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.0$ stellar population is roughly constant with distance for 100 kpc—$d_{\text{lim}}$. $d_{\text{lim}}$ is the optimistic limiting detection distance for dwarfs with $-2.5 > M_V > -7.5$. For ultra-faint Milky Way satellites with scales sizes $\sim 50\%$ smaller (and thus smaller angular separation between stars) than those of ultra-faints

---

![Figure 3: Color-magnitude diagram of galaxies with small angular sizes and stellar sources in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field [67]. Galaxies outnumber stellar objects by a factor of 75 in this figure, suggesting that unresolved galaxies will be the primary source of contamination in searches for ultra-faint dwarfs in deep survey data. Objects designated “stellar” in this image are those with $type > 0.3$ in the HUDF catalog.](image-url)
with similar magnitudes, this average separation is expected
to range between 1′′ and 2′′. Because this separation is
larger than the average image quality expected for LSST
and because LSST will likely reach its coadded depths by
simultaneous photometering of numerous exposures, rather
than photometering a single stacked image, crowding should
not be a technical issue that will inhibit future dwarf searches.

6. Conclusion

The next 15 years will be an exciting time for near-field dwarf
galaxy cosmology. A lot hinges on the new class of ultra-faint
galaxies that was only discovered in the last 5 years but that
may be the most numerous and cosmologically important
class of galaxies. However, to effectively exploit these dwarfs
cosmological barometers will require improvements on
many observational limitations. Several wide-field, optical
surveys are planned that may finally reveal the true nature
of the MW’s satellite population and the true nature of
ultra-faint dwarfs. Careful statistical analyses of star counts
will continue to be a primary method to identify ultra-
faints, which are known to have surface brightnesses as
low as ~27.5 mag arcsec$^{-2}$. Future surveys could possibly
reveal such objects at Mpc and greater distances by their
diffuse light, rather than just by individual stars. Planned
and current surveys at infrared wavelengths will at minimum
complement searches for dwarf galaxies done with optical
datasets and will provide important support for dwarf
searches near the Galactic plane. The upcoming Vista
Hemisphere Survey (PI Richard McMahon) will image the
entire Southern Sky in $J$ and $K_S$ 4 magnitudes deeper than
2MASS. UKIDSS is in the middle of survey operations and
is obtaining 7000 deg$^2$ of IR imaging in the North to a
depth of $K \sim 18$, including part of the Galactic plane. These
surveys have the promise to open up enough new dwarf
discovery space to reveal systems not yet accessible in optical
datasets.

Pointed surveys will also reveal low luminosity galaxies
in other systems, although they cannot yet reveal objects
as low luminosity as many of the MW’s ultra-faints.
Recently, \[75\] identified 22 dwarf galaxy candidates as
faint as $r = -10$ around M81. They used both eyeball
evaluation and automated analysis of resolved stars in 65
square degrees of deep imaging. The on-going PAndAS
survey (PI A. McConnachie) of 350 square degrees around
M31 and M33 is expected to reveal diffuse objects around
these galaxies as faint as 32 magnitudes per square arcsec-
ond.

The future will reveal whether we have yet seen the
ultimate limit of galaxy formation. The possibilities remain
that either (1) the low luminosities of the ultra-faint dwarfs
are an artifact of nature, rather than nurture, and/or (2)
the present survey data are not deep enough to reveal the
very least luminous systems and a vast population of ultra-
faint dwarfs lie just beyond our fingertips. Regardless, at least
dozens of ultra-faint satellites will be discovered in the near
future, with the possibility of hundreds or more.
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