A dehydrin gene isolated from feral olive enhances drought tolerance in Arabidopsis transgenic plants
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Dehydrins belong to a protein family whose expression may be induced or enhanced by developmental process and environmental stresses that lead to cell dehydration. A dehydrin gene named OesDHN was isolated and characterized from oleaster (Olea europaea L. subsp. europaea, var. sylvestris), the wild form of olive. To elucidate the contribution of OesDHN in the development of drought tolerance, its expression levels were investigated in oleaster plants during development and under drought stress condition. The involvement of OesDHN in plant stress response was also evaluated in Arabidopsis transgenic lines, engineered to overexpress this gene, and exposed to a controlled mild osmotic stress. OesDHN expression was found to be modulated during development and induced under mild drought stress in oleaster plants. In addition, the Arabidopsis transgenic plants showed a better tolerance to osmotic stress than wild-type plants. The results demonstrated that OesDHN expression is induced by drought stress and is able to confer osmotic stress tolerance. We suggest a role for OesDHN, as a putative functional marker of plant stress tolerance.
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Introduction

Due to their sessile organization, plants are exposed to wide diurnal, seasonal, and stochastic fluctuations. Consequently, plants developed a large spectrum of molecular programs to sense environmental changes rapidly and adapt accordingly (Sakuma et al., 2006; Meehl et al., 2007; Ahuja et al., 2010). However, despite this adaptive plasticity, in the last century the continuous anthropogenic impact and the ongoing climate changes negatively affected plant development and productivity (Zohary and Spiegel-Roy, 1975; Ceccarelli et al., 2007). Therefore, there is an increasing requirement to develop varieties with enhanced tolerance to multiple stresses, because in nature plants are often simultaneously exposed to several perturbations factors.

Understanding the reprogramming events that plants put in place in response to environment changes is of longstanding interest for plant biologist. Among defense mechanisms, a number of regulatory and/or protective proteins involved in plant tolerance to different stresses, have been identified (Choi et al., 1999; Skinner et al., 2005; Svensson et al., 2006; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). Dehydrin proteins (DHNs) belong to these common protectants and their presence has been observed in several independent studies on drought, and salinity stresses as well as on cold acclimation and after abscisic acid (ABA) treatment.
DHNs are the group II, D 11 family of highly hydrophilic proteins, known as LEA (Late Embryogenesis Abundant), that accumulate in the late stages of embryogenesis, when water content in seeds declines, or in response to various stressors (Dure, 1993; Close, 1996, 1997; Battaglia et al., 2008). DHNs are intrinsically unstructured proteins and their molecular mass ranges from 9 to 70 kilo dalton (kD) (Receveur-Bréchot et al., 2005; Graether and Boddington, 2014). Commonly, DHNs contain several conserved/identifiable motifs: the Y-segment, characterized by the consensus motif (T/V)D(E/Q)YGNP that is located near the N-terminus, the S-segment consisting of 5–7 Ser residues and the Lys-rich K-segment, usually located near the C-terminus, with an EKKGIMD comic prediction (Q/E)K(P/A)G(M/L)LDKIK(A/Q)(K/M)(I/L)PG motif in angiosperms and gymnosperms, respectively (Close et al., 1995; Allagulova et al., 2003; Receveur-Bréchot et al., 2005; Graether and Boddington, 2014). Recently, three dehydrin genes with the absence of a typical K-segment were identified and characterized in Pinus pinaster (Perdiguero et al., 2014). Several others motifs have been suggested for DHNs, but their conservation and ubiquity have not yet been defined (Perdiguero et al., 2012; Graether and Boddington, 2014). On the basis of the number of Y-, S-, and K-segments that they individually contain, DHNs are classified into five subclasses: YnSK2, Kn, SKn, Y2Kn, and KnS (Mundy and Chua, 1988).

In plants DHNs are expressed in different tissues and organs (Rorat et al., 2004). In some cases DHNs activity is restricted to specific domains or cells within the organs, as in guard cells, meristematic cells or in pollen sacs (Nylander et al., 2001; Karlson et al., 2003; Rorat et al., 2004; Layton et al., 2010). At cellular level DHNs can be found commonly in the cytoplasm and the nucleus, but also at the level of plasmodesmata, mitochondria, chloroplast and near the plasma membrane (Danylyuk et al., 1998; Har a et al., 2003; Graether and Boddington, 2014; Xu et al., 2014).

Phylogenetically widespread, DHNs genes have been identified in cyanobacteria, algae, mosses, liverworts, lycopods and are ubiquitous in both angiosperms and gymnosperms species (Close, 1996, 1997; Campbell and Close, 1997; Allagulova et al., 2003; Layton et al., 2010). In the Arabidopsis proteome database 10 proteins bearing the typical DHN structure and 6 proteins with a K-segment-like sequence have been identified (Close, 1996; Bies-Eth eve et al., 2008; Hundertmark and Hincha, 2008). Multiple DHN members have been also identified in different unrelated species and recently, 54 dehydrin unigenes have been identified in the Triticum genome (Velasco et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007, 2014; Tommasini et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012; Perdiguero et al., 2014).

According to the suggested involvement of DHNs in abiotic stress response, in several plants DHNs genes are induced under drought, cold and salinity (Porat et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005). In addition, heterologous expression of DHNs genes, in different species, including relevant agronomic one such as rice, is associated with drought, cold and salinity tolerance (Hara et al., 2003; Rorat et al., 2006; Choudhury et al., 2007; Brini et al., 2010). Therefore, the identification of new DHNs associated with drought tolerance may provide useful markers for the selection of drought-tolerant genotypes by either breeding or transformation technologies.

To meet such requirement, in the present work we isolated and characterized a DHN gene from the wild form of olive, commonly named oleaster (Olea europaea L. subsp. europaea, var. sylvestris (Hoffm et Link). Oleaster is a typical and important shrub of vegetation present in the Mediterranean area, a climate region characterized by rainy winters and dry summers, with low air humidity, high solar radiation and high rates of evapotranspiration. Oleaster is largely prevalent in this habitat and displays a high photosynthetic efficiency and drought tolerance, related to its tap-root system that allows a deep exploration of the soil and a maximization of water uptake (Bacchetta et al., 2003; Mulas et al., 2011).

The oleaster identified gene, named OesDHN (Olea europaea subsp. europaea var. sylvestris DEHYDRIN), encodes a SK2-typeDHN and its expression has been found to be up-regulated in oleaster plants exposed to drought conditions. To elucidate the contribution of OesDHN in the development of drought tolerance, stable transgenic lines of Arabidopsis thaliana overexpressing this gene have been also developed. We show that under a mild osmotic stress, these Arabidopsis transgenic plants exhibit increased drought tolerance. The role of OesDHN, as a putative functional marker of plant stress tolerance is discussed.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and Sequence Analyses of OesDHN

The gene was identified in a cDNA library generated from leaves of oleaster plants, growing in open field in the Mediterranean area in South Italy (117 meter above sea level, 39° 30’ 52. 77”N; 15° 56’ 28. 83”E). Leaves were collected in the summer, after a prolonged (2 weeks) period of high temperature (35 ± 2°C). The cDNA library was generated using the SMART system and cloning the specific probe, corresponding to the OesDHN ORF (Open
Reading Frame), labeled with DIG-dNTPs (PCR Dig Probe Synthesis Kit; Roche Diagnostics, Monza, Italy). The detection was performed with nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) mixture, following the manufacturer's instructions (Roche, Monza, Italy) and hybridization signals were scanned with a computer (Umax Speed II).

The gDNA was also used in order to evaluate the putative presence of introns, using the following primers: FwORFOsDHN5'-ATGGCCGAGGAAGGACCCGTC-3'; BwORFOsDHN-5'-TTAGTGCCATGCGCCCTCCCTT-3'.

**Isolation of the 5'-Flanking Region of OesDHN**
The OesDHN promoter region was obtained by using the “Universal GenomeWalker™ kit” (Clontech, Diatech lab line, Milan, Italy) with some modifications. The purified gDNA was digested with four different blunt-ended restriction enzymes (DraI, EcoRV, Pvu II, Stu I) and the products were purified and ligated, separately, to “Genome Walker adaptors,” in order to produce four libraries. Two gene specific primers were used for the amplification of the promoter region. For the primary PCR (PCR1) were used: an API1 primer (outer Adapter Primer), provided by the kit, a GSP1 primer (Gene Specific Primer 1; 5'-TTTTCCTTCTTGTGCTCCGGTTCACA-3') and the ligated products as template. The thermal cycling program was 94°C for 25 s and 72°C for 3 min; 7 cycles were used followed by 32 cycles of 94°C for 25 s and 67°C for 3 min, with a final extension at 67°C for 3 min. The products of the PCRI were then diluted and used as template for the secondary PCR (PCR2), using an AP2 primer (nested Adapter Primer 2) provided by the kit, and a GSP2 primer (Gene Specific Primer 2; 5'-CCCTGAAATCAAACAACCCACGCGTTTACA-3'). For the PCR2 the used parameters were 5 cycles of 94°C for 25 s and 72°C for 3 min, followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 25 s and 67°C for 3 min, with a final extension at 67°C for 7 min. PCR amplifications were performed on a Step One machine (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) and products were sequenced by GENELab laboratory (ENEA, Rome).

**Alignments and Phylogenetics Analysis**
OesDHN amino acid sequence was obtained using the ExPASy Proteomic Tools (http://www.expasy.org/tools/dna.html) program. The analysis and the comparison of OesDHN deduced amino acid sequence with other dehydrin proteins were performed with blastp (Standard Protein-Protein BLAST) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastp&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome) program. OesDHN sequence was aligned with other plant dehydrins using the ClustalW2 program (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/). A phylogenetic tree was generated by the MEGA4 software (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) (Tamura et al., 2007) with bootstrap values obtained from 1000 replications and using the listed protein sequence: Arabidopsis thaliana COR47 (P31168), Arabidopsis thaliana ERD10 (P42759), Arabidopsis thaliana ERD14 (P42763), Arabidopsis thaliana DHLEA or PAP310, (Q96261), Arabidopsis thaliana Q9SL12 (At1g54410), Arabidopsis thaliana Q9SVE4 (75313932), Arabidopsis thaliana Q9T022, Arabidopsis thaliana RAB18 (P30185), Arabidopsis thaliana XERO1 (P42758), Avicennia marina AmDHN1 (A8CVF3), Brassica juncea DH2N (ABD95986), Brassica oleracea BOPC34 (CA64428), Citrus trifoliata COR11 (AAA99963.1), Craterostigma plantagineum (P22238), Glycine max MAT9 (AAA33992), Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare DHN1 (P12951), Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare DHN5 (AAF10693), Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare PAF93 (CA58875), Jatropha curcas x;DHN-1 (ADT65200), Medicago sativa G2 (AEV52291), Medicago sativa subsp. falcata CAS18 (AAA21185), Opuntia streptacantha DHN1 (AEI52546), Oryza sativa Japonica WS724 (BAA05539), Oryza sativa Japonica DH16D or RAB16D (P22913), Pismus sativum DHN2 (CA44788), Pismus sativum dhn-cog (CA78515), Populus alba x Populus glandulosa PoDHN (ABH11546), Prunus persica DHN1 (P28639), Prunus persica PCA60 (AAC49657), Rhododendron catabiense DHN-5 (ACB41781), Solanum sagoardinum DHN24 (AAP44575), Triticum aestivum COR410 (P46524), Triticum aestivum CS120(P46525), Triticum aestivum CS66 (P46526), Triticum aestivum RAB15 or DHR15 (Q06742), Vitis vinifera DHN1b (526118232), Zea mays COR10 Zea mays (226532837), Zea mays DEHYDRIN 13 (EU962627.1), Zea mays DHN1 (18963), Zea mays lipase DHN2 (L35913.1), Zea mays Put. unch. protein (219363418), Zea mays RAB17 (18963). Analysis of targeting sequences was performed using PSORT (http://psort.nibb.ac.jp/) and Wolf PSORT (http://wolfsort.seq.cbcrc.jp/) programs.

**Drought Assay in OLEASTER Plants**
Drought stress was performed on 2 years old oleaster plants (n = 10) obtained through micropropagation and grown in pots on standard sandy loam soil and daily irrigation. Drought condition was applied by depriving progressively the plants of water content as described by Tommasini et al. (2008). The soil water content (SWC) was calculated relative to 100% water capacity of soil by weighing plant at different times. We considered the 100% SWC the weight of plants wetted until saturation, covered with parafilm at the soil surface and drained for 1 h. These samples were used as control.

For drought stress samples were collected when SWC values were approximatively the 45%, the mild drought stress, and 37%, the high drought stress, reached after 14 and 35 days, respectively.

**qRT-PCR in OLEASTER Plants**
qRT-PCR analysis was performed: (i) on oleaster plants (n = 5) growing in open field in the Mediterranean area, in South of Italy (117 meter above sea level, 39° 30’ 52. 77”N; 15° 56’ 28. 83’E). In this case different organs were analyzed: apical vegetative shoot, young (1 – 2 cm) and adult (6 – 8 cm) leaves, stem (harvested at the resumption of the vegetative growth) and green drupes; (ii) on mature leaves (2.5 cm) and stem of 2 years old oleaster plants, (n = 10), exposed to drought assay.

For both groups, excised organs were immediately frozen. Total RNA was isolated from 100 mg of oleaster samples, using the RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), as previously described by Bruno et al. (2009). One microgram of total RNA was used to generate the single-strand cDNA by
the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase and the oligo(dT)(20), following manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Milan, Italy). The cDNA concentration was determined by the NanoDrop Spectrophotometer ND-1000.

A Step One single color thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix 2X (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) (Cat. no. 4368702) were utilized. The primer sets used, the OesDHN FW 5'-AAG GAG AAG CTC CTT GGT TA -3' and OesDHN BW 5'- AAA CCA CCA AAG AAG TCA TA -3' were designed using the Primer3 (http://primer3.ut.ee/) program, according to Yokoyama and Nishitani (2001). The olive 18S histone was used as a normalization control. The Oes18S primer sequences were Oes18S FW 5'-CAG CTC TCA ATG ATC GGA AT-3' and Oes18S BW 5'GCC CTT TAA TTT CCT TGC TC. Amplification reactions were prepared in a final volume of 25 µl adding 12.5 µl of the iTaq SYBR-Green Mix with ROX (Bio-Rad, Milan, Italy), 1 µl (0.4 mM) of primers, and 2 µl of cDNA. All reactions were set in triplicate, in 48-well reaction plates, and negative controls were set. The cycling parameters were performed as described in Bruno et al. (2014). The quantitative qRT-PCR data were analyzed using the Step One single color thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) with the 2−∆∆CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The means of OesDHN expression levels were calculated from three biological repeats, obtained from three independent experiments.

Histological Analysis

Mature leaves (n = 15) collected from stressed and unstressed plants were fixed in 3% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 0.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer (135 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, 8 mM K2HPO4 pH 7.3) for 3h at 4°C. After washing in the same buffer, samples were dehydrated and embedded in Tecknovit 8100 resin Heraeus Kulzer (Germany). Semi-thin sections (4 µm) were obtained using an ultracart microtome (Leica RM 2155, Milan, Italy) and stained with toluidine blue O 0.05% in distilled water.

The histological samples were analyzed by bright-field illumination (Leica DMRB, Milan, Italy) and images were taken with the digital camera Leica DFC 320 (Leica, Milan, Italy).

Dehydrins Immunolocalization

Dehydrins immunolocalization was performed as described in Carjuzaa et al. (2008). Mature leaves (n = 15) were collected from stressed and unstressed plants and fixed in 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 1% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH7.2 for 2 h at 4°C. Samples were washed with deionized water for 30 min, dehydrated and embedded in paraplast (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy). Afterwards, they were cut with an RM 2125 RT microtome (Leica, Milan, Italy) into 8 µm sections that were transferred to charged slides and incubated with anti-dehydrin primary antibody (LiStarFish, Chicago, Milan, Italy) diluted 1:200 at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, sections were incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG alkaline phosphate conjugate, (Calbiochem, Milan, Italy) diluted 1:100 for 4 h at 4°C. After washing, the sections were developed with a NBT (nitro blue tetrazolium) and BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate) mixture, for 5 min, rinsed and immediately observed with a Leica DRMB microscope (Leica, Milan, Italy) equipped with a Leica DFC 320 camera. To verify the effectiveness of the immunolocalization technique, sections were processed with the omission of the primary anti-dehydrin antibody.

Recombinant Constructs using the Gateway Technology

OesDHN cDNA, as previously described, was cloned into the pDONOR221 (Invitrogen) plasmid using the Gateway method. The sequence captured as entry clone was recombined in both pK7WG2 and pKF7WG2/pK7WG2 vectors (Karimi et al., 2002) for OesDHN overexpression analysis and for its localization in N- and C- terminal with the green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion, respectively, under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter.

For Gateway the following primers were used: FWattB1 ORFmaxSTOP: 5`GGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAG GCTTCTAGGCGAGGGGACCCGTC-3'; BWattB2plus STOP: 5`GGGACCACTTTGTTGACAAAGAAGCTGGTCTT AGTGCGATGCCCTCCTTCTT-3'; BWattB2plusSTOP: 5`GGGG ACCAATTTGTACAAGAAGCTGGGTCTT GCCCTCTTT-3'; FWattB1 5`GGGACCAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAG GCTTCTAGGCGAGGGGACCCGTC-3'; BWattB2plus STOP: 5`GGGACCACTTTGTTGACAAAGAAGCTGGTCTT AGTGCGATGCCCTCCTTCTT-3'; BWattB2plusSTOP: 5`GGGG ACCAATTTGTACAAGAAGCTGGGTCTT GCCCTCTTT-3'; FWattB1 5`GGGACCAAGTTTGTACAAAAA AGCAGGCT-3'; BWattB25` GGACCACCACTTTGTTGACAAAGAAGCTGGGTCTT GCCCTCTTT-3'.

The constructs are schematized in Figure 1S. They were sequenced by GENE Lab (ENEA, Rome), transferred into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV3101) by heat shock and used to transform Arabidopsis thaliana accession Col-0 plants by floral dipping (Clough and Bent, 1998; Davis et al., 2009).

Selection and qRT-PCR of Arabidopsis Transgenic Lines

Arabidopsis Col-0 seedlings were grown in vitro on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) plus vitamins (Sigma, Milan, Italy), supplemented with 0.1 g/l of myo-inositol, 0.5 g/l of 2-N-morpholine ethane sulphonic acid (MES), 10 g/l sucrose and 8 g/l agar, pH of 5.7. Plants were grown under 16 h day at 22°C and 8 h night at 18°C regime, light intensity was 100 µmol m−2 s−1 and the relative humidity (RH) was 65–70%.

Arabidopsis transgenic lines were selected on a MS medium plus vitamins, supplemented with 50 µg/ml Kanamycin (Km), 250 µg/ml di Carbenocillin (Cb) and 50 µg/ml Nystatin (Ns) or with only 50 µg/ml Km.

The number of T-DNA loci for each line was determined by chi-square statistical method. The presence of the transgene, in T3 homozygous lines with 1 T-DNA locus, was confirmed through qRT-PCR, using at least three pairs of gene specific primers (FW1OesDHNRT: 5`TGGTGAGAACAAATTTCCCTC-3'; BW2OesDHNRT: 5`TTGTTTGAGTTCCTC-3'; BW3OesDHNRT: 5`TTGTTTGAGTTCCTC-3'; BW4OesDHNRT: 5`TTGTTTGAGTTCCTCutl-3'), located at different points of the ORF
and designed by GenScript Real-time Primer Design program (https://www.genscript.com/ssl-bin/app/primer).

The qRT-PCR was performed by the LightCycler 480 machine (Roche Diagnostics) according to the manual and the parameters of annealing and extension were: 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 min, 60°C for 50 s, 72°C for 50 s. The reaction mix was prepared by using a robotic platform (IANUS, Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, USA). The melting curves were performed to analyze the specificity of primers. Normalization was performed using the following housekeeping genes: SAND family (AT2G28390), TIP41-like (AT4G34270), UBC (AT5G25760) and PP2A subunits (AT1G13320) and primers (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Confocal Microscopy

Confocal analysis were performed on 6 old days Arabidopsis seedlings of T3 35S::OesDHN-GFP line A 4-1, 35S::GFP:OesDHN line C11-5 and Col-0 plants grown in vitro, in vertical position and under continuous light regime. Samples were mounted in water and observed under a confocal microscope 100M Zeiss (Zeiss, Germany), equipped with the software package LSM 510 version 3.2. Excitation and emission wavelengths used were 488-nm and 500–550-nm band-pass filter. Chlorophyll autofluorescence was imaged using 488 nm for excitation and 633 nm for emission. The green and red autofluorescence were collected in separate channels.

Sequences Deposition

The sequence obtained in this study was submitted to the GenBank with the following accession number: KR349290.

### Osmotic Assay in Arabidopsis 35S::OesDHN Transgenic Plants

Sixteen seedlings of transgenic line, 35S::OesDHN C1-2, with high transgene expression were germinated on MS medium containing mannitol 25 mM (Sigma, Milan, Italy) and leaves were harvested after 22 days. Leaves were dissected under a stereomicroscope, placed in square plates on 1% (w/v) plant tissue agar, and then photographed. Length and leaf area were measured by ImageJ program (rsweb.nih.gov/ijddownload.html) and mean values of three independent experiments were subjected to statistical analysis.

### Genomic Organization of OesDHN and Analysis of its 5’S-Flanking Region

OesDHN was identified from a cDNA full length library, generated from total RNA of oleaster leaves harvested in summer, after a prolonged period (2 weeks) of high temperatures ($T_{\text{Max}} = 35 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$; $T_{\text{Min}} = 26.5 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$). The generated 1200 unigenes were functionally annotated based to the best BLASTX hit, against the NCBI database with a cut-off of $E < 10^{-15}$. A large number of genes (40%), corresponding to stress-responsive gene were identified, and among these we selected a member of DHN gene family.

The full-length cDNA of OesDHN was 1207 bp long and showed an ORF of 636 and a 31 bp 5’-UTR and a 540 bp 3’-UTR regions. Comparison of genomic and cDNA sequence and T BLASTX analysis showed that the OesDHN gene contained a single intron of 95 bp (Figure 1A).

To determine the copy number of OesDHN gene in the oleaster genome we performed a southern blot analysis using the 636 bp cDNA fragment as probe. After gDNA digestion by EcoRI and XbaI the hybridization pattern showed two cross-hybridizing bands, suggesting that at least two copies are present in the oleaster genome (Figure 1B).

We also isolated a 676 bp OesDHN promoter region. Analysis of its sequence, using the “PLACE” and “PlantCARE” databases (Lescot et al., 2002), revealed the presence of a TATA-box sequence, located 69 bp upstream of the translational start site (TSS) (Figure 1C). As reported for many dehydration-responsive genes (Zhu, 2002), the 5’ region contained putative cis-regulatory sequences, such as an ABA-responsive element (ACGT sequences) also named ABRE and a G-box (CACGTT) element identified at the -631 bp position, and two MYB-binding consensus sequences (WAACCA) located at -502 and -264 bp upstream the TSS, respectively Furthermore, two GATA boxes regulatory elements, with homology to those identified in light-responsive genes, were detected at the position -497 and -438 bp. Finally, a CAAT-box (C-box), a common cis-enhancer promoter region, was identified at the position -586 (Figure 1C).

### Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis of Deduced OesDHN

OesDHN encodes a putative protein of 211 amino acids, with a predicted molecular weight of 241 kDa and an isoelectric point of 53 (Genious 7.1.5). The multiple amino acid sequence alignment revealed that OesDHN shares all common features of plant DHNs family (Figure 1C). Namely, a conserved region with serine residues, the S-segment, was located between the residues 66 and 80 and two lysine-rich consensus motifs, the K-segments, were present between the residues 126–140 and 171–185, respectively, close to the C-terminal region. As showed for other plant DHNs, a putative nuclear localization signal peptide (NLS), identified by the KKKK sequence, was located between the 90–93 residues downstream the S segment (Figure 1C). Finally, a poly-proline cluster, an important component of intrinsically unstructured proteins (Rath et al., 2005; Harauz and Libich, 2009), was located at residues 151–156 between the K segments (Figure 1C).
The OesDHN deduced protein exhibited a significant degree of amino acid sequence identity with known homologs, i.e., 63% identity and 73% similarity with DHN of *Solanum chilense*, by 60% identity and 67% similarity with BDN1 of *Paraboea crassifolia*, 50% identity and 70% similarity with *Populus maximowiczi*, 56% identity and 68% similarity with *Coffea canephora*, and 52% identity and 66% similarity with DHN in *Citrus x paradise* (50%) (Figure 2).

A phylogenetic tree, using YnSk, Skn, Kn, YnKn, and KnS-type DHNs indicated that OesDHN clustered together with Skn-type dehydrins (Figure 3). Thus, the results of both homology and phylogenetic analyses suggest that OesDHN is an SK2-type DHN.

In OLEASTER Plants OesDHN Is Modulated During Development and Induced under Drought Stress Condition

Tissue specific OesDHN expression was analyzed through qRT-PCR in *oleaster* plants grown in open field (Figure 4A). OesDHN expression was observed in all examined tissues, although at different level. Indeed, transcript accumulation OesDHN was
FIGURE 2 | Sequence alignment of OesDHN protein with homologs from other plant species performed with the NCBI database. Accession numbers are given in parentheses: Solanum chilense (ADQ73953.1), Paraboea crassifolia (AAF014662.2), Populus maximowiczii (ABS12346.1), Coffea canephora (ABC68275.1), and Citrus x paradise (AAN78125.1). The multiple amino acid sequence alignment reveals the common features of OesDHN with plant dehydrin family, such as the S- and K-segment, that are boxed.

higher in mature leaves and stem than in young leaves and shoot apex and was lowest in green mature fruits (Figure 4A).

To test whether OesDHN expression could be induced in response to stress 2 years old oleaster plants growing in pots, in greenhouse, were exposed to mild [soil water content (SWC) = 45%] and high (SWC = 37%) drought stress (Figures 4B–F). Gene expression level was evaluated in the mature leaves and stem of stressed vs. unstressed plants. A significant increase in transcript level was detected both in leaves (four-fold increase) and stem (two-fold increase) under mild stress condition while a reduction was monitored in the organs of plants exposed to high drought stress compared to those of unstressed plants (Figure 4B).

Leaf anatomy was analyzed in stressed vs. unstressed plants as a parameter to monitor the effects of water deficit at the tissue organization level (Figures 4C–F). Typically, in control plant mature leaf (length 2.5 ± 0.3 cm) exhibited a dorsoventral anatomy with mesophyll formed by a two-layered palisade tissue and a well-developed spongious tissue (Figure 4C). No significant alterations in leaf structure were observed when a mild drought stress (45% SWC) was imposed (Figure 4D). In contrast, in the leaves of plants exposed to high drought stress...
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FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic tree of DHN proteins. The neighbor-joining tree was generated using the MEGA4 (www.megasoftware.net) version 5.0 software, with bootstrap value obtained from 1000 replications.

(37% SWC) cells featured clearly plasmolyzed and mesophyll exhibited wide intercellular spaces although leaf collapse was prevented thanks to the presence of numerous sclerenchyma fibers (Figures 4E,F).

All together these results demonstrated that OesDHN expression is modulated during development and induced under mild drought stress.

Immunoctyological assay allowed us to verify that DHNs accumulate under drought stress in oleaster leaves and exhibit both a cytosol and nuclear localization (Figure 2S).

**Overexpression of OesDHN Improves Osmotic Stress Tolerance in Arabidopsis Transgenic Lines**

To confirm the involvement of OesDHN in plant stress response, *Arabidopsis 35S::OesDHN* lines were generated and the effects of a controlled mild osmotic stress (Skirycz et al., 2010) were analyzed using *Arabidopsis 35S::OesDHN* T3 B1-2 and 35S::OesDHN T3 B9-7 transgenic lines, with highest transgene expression (Figure 5A). We report the results obtained with the 35S::OesDHN T3 B1-2 (Figures 5B–E).

Leaf series were made of seedling rosettes, 22 days after germination and before bolting and leaf area was measured (Figures 5F–I). Under normal conditions, no difference in leaf area was observed between the transgenic line and wild type with the exception of leaf 7, 8, and 9 (Figures 5F,H). Under mannitol stress 25 mM leaf size was constantly and significantly higher in transgenic line compared to the control (Figures 5D,E,G,I). The strongest effects were observed on 4 and 5 leaves that in 35S::OesDHN B 1-2 line were respectively 15 and 10%, larger then control (Figures 5G,I).
These results suggest that the growth of *Arabidopsis 35S::OesDHN* plants, under a mild osmotic stress, is less inhibited by mannitol treatment as compared to that of the wild type. No significant difference were observed on the primary root length and lateral roots formation in both genotypes grown in presence of mannitol (data not shown).
**FIGURE 5**  
(A) Relative expression levels of OesDHN, estimated by qRT-PCR, in 35S::OesDHN lines. The levels of OesDHN were normalized to TIP41 and PP2 housekeeping genes. Data shown are averages of three biological replicates, with error bars representing SD. (B–E) Effects of mannitol concentration on the vegetative growth of Col-0 (B,D) and 35S::OesDHN B 1-2 line (C,E) plants grown under control conditions (B,C) and a controlled mild osmotic stress (D,E) for 22 days. Leaf series of Col-0 and 35S::OesDHN B 1-2 line plants grown under control conditions (F) and a controlled mild osmotic stress (G) for 22 days. Leaf area (mm²) of Col-0 and 35S::OesDHN B 1-2 line plants grown under control conditions (H) and a controlled mild osmotic stress (I) for 22 days. The measurements were carried out using the program Image J and * indicates the significance. (t-test *p < 0.05 is significant; **p < 0.01 is very significant; ***p < 0.001 is highly significant). dhn1, dhn2, dhn3 indicate three pairs of gene specific primers located in different points of the OesDHN ORF. Bars 1 cm (B–G).

**OesDHN Exhibits a Nuclear Localization**

To define the subcellular localization of OesDHN, *Arabidopsis* 35S::GFP::OesDHN and 35S::OesDHN::GFP T3 lines were generated and OesDHN localization was performed by confocal microscope on 8 old days seedlings of the 35S::OesDHN::GFP A 4-1, 35S::GFP::OesDHN C11-5 lines, exhibiting the highest levels of gene expression (Figure 6A).
OesDHN presence was observed in the cytosol near the putative cell membrane and clearly in the nucleus in the epidermis of primary roots and guard cells of stomata (Figures 6B,C). This last result is consistent with in silico characterization, indicating the presence of a nuclear localization signal peptide.

Discussion

Understanding the molecular mechanisms that allow plants to cope with abiotic stress can help to manipulate plant breeding with the aim to improve their tolerance and adaptation and preserve their productivity. Currently, drought is among major abiotic stresses impacting on plant development and productivity. Therefore, in the present study we focused our attention on DHNs, a protein family known to play a protective role during dehydration process induced by either developmental cues, such as embryo maturation, pollen development and bud dormancy, or different environmental factors including drought, salinity heat and cold (Gilmore et al., 1992; Nylander et al., 2001; Ali-Benali et al., 2005; Brini et al., 2007, 2010; Hanin et al., 2011; Tripepi et al., 2011). So far, the precise role of DHNs in plants has not yet been fully defined. At this respect, we may recall that due to their intrinsically disordered structure DHNs are prevented from denaturation and exhibit high flexibility, structural adaptability and extended conformations (Tompa, 2002; Bokor et al., 2005; Mouillon et al., 2008; Cuevas-Velazquez et al., 2014).

Consistently with these characteristics, DHNs have been found to bind to divalent cations and other macromolecules, such as proteins, nucleic acid and negatively charged lipids (Campbell and Close, 1997; Waterer et al., 2010). Based on these features, numerous activities have been suggested for DHNs, including buffering water, sequestering ions, acting as space filler, stabilizing membranes and cellular structural components, preventing enzyme inactivation by protein-protein interaction or acting as chaperones and molecular shields in response to the different stresses (Ouellet et al., 1993; Hara et al., 2005; Kovacs et al., 2008; Brini et al., 2010; Cuevas-Velazquez et al., 2014). More recently, a reduction of stomatal density has been observed in transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing MtCAS31 through the interaction with the ICE1 transcription factor (Xie et al., 2012).

On the other hand, a positive relationship between the expression levels of DHNs transcripts or proteins and plant stress tolerance has been verified and usually more tolerant genotypes or cultivars have higher levels of DHNs than the less tolerant ones (Porat et al., 2004; Kosovà et al., 2010). Moreover, in different species heterologous expression of DHN genes, was found to confer tolerance to drought, cold and salinity (Hara et al., 2003; Ronat et al., 2006; Choudhury et al., 2007). For example, Nicotiana tabacum transgenic plants overexpressing Citrus unshiu COR19 gene exhibited increased cold tolerance (Hara et al., 2003) as well as tolerance to water deficit and salinity was induced in transgenic plants of Oryza sativa overexpressing both Hordeum vulgare HVA1 (Xu et al., 1996) and wheat PMA80 and PMA1959 genes (Cheng et al., 2002). Furthermore, an enhanced tolerance to osmotic stresses was also observed in Arabidopsis transgenic plants overexpressing the dehydrin DHN-5 of Triticum durum (Brini et al., 2010). Based on all these results, DHNs feature as useful tool to develop stress tolerance traits and this prompted us to enlarge information on this protein family.

In this context, we isolated and characterized a novel DHN gene from oleaster plants which is a prevalent component of evergreen vegetation that extends along the coastal and subcoastal areas in the Mediterranean, due to high photosynthetic efficiency and drought tolerance (Bacchetta et al., 2003; Mulas et al., 2011). The gene was named OesDHN and includes an intron sequence within the S segment, a feature observed in other DHNs of both angiosperms and gymnosperms (Perdigueró et al., 2012, 2014; Jiménez-Bremont et al., 2013). The deduced amino acid sequence showed the typical lysine-rich K domain of angiosperm DHNs, and both homology and phylogenetic analyses categorized OesDHN as an SK2-type DHN (Mundy and Chua, 1988; Close, 1996, 1997). Moreover, a nuclear target sequence, marked by the KKKK motif, was identified in the NLS region of OesDHN. Such predicted nuclear localization was then confirmed by laser confocal analysis.
performed on 35S::OesDHN-GFP A 4-1 and 35S::GFP::OesDHN C11-5 Arabidopsis transgenic lines, showing the presence of OesDHN protein inside the nucleus, This result is consistent with the nuclear localization detected for other DHNs, such as wheat DHN-5 and maize RAB17 proteins (Riera et al., 2004; Brini et al., 2007). Noteworthy, the nucleo-cytoplasmic distribution of DHNs is supposed to depend on protein phosphorylation status, which in turn can be controlled by stress conditions (Goday et al., 1994; Alsheikh et al., 2003; Brini et al., 2007; Mehta et al., 2009).

Additionally, OesDHN protein was found also near the plasma membrane (Figure 6B). A similar localization has been observed for other SKn and KnS DHNs while it has been never reported for DHNs with the Y consensus (Hara et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2014). Thus, our results support the hypothesis that only the K segment is responsible for binding to membrane (Koag et al., 2009) and together with S segments exert a role in membrane protection (Graether and Boddington, 2014).

Concerning OesDHN expression pattern, it must be underlined that, although only at the transcript levels, for the first time the putative activity of a SK2-type DHN has been localized at the organ/tissue level (Graether and Boddington, 2014). In particular, we observed that in oleaster plants OesDHN is expressed in all organs, although at very different levels. Based on the suggested role of SK2-type DHNs (Kosova et al., 2010), such expression pattern could be related to tolerance traits of oleaster able to grow under multiple stress condition such as high salinity, high temperature and intense solar irradiance (Bacchetta et al., 2003; Mulas et al., 2011). Moreover, it must be mentioned that, although in some cases, expression is confined to specific organs or developmental stage, constitutive expression of DHNs has been commonly observed in both herbaceous and woody plants (Hara et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2007, 2011, 2014).

We also demonstrated that OesDHN expression is modulated during leaf development (i.e., it is higher in mature than in young leaves), and enhanced in the leaf and stem of oleaster plants exposed to mild water deficit. Under mild drought stress an increase of DHNs presence in leaf tissues was also observed through cyto-immunological analysis (Figure 2S) indicating that in oleaster plants defense mechanisms are enhanced at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional level. This result is in agreement with data in literature showing that DHNs highly accumulated in vegetative tissues of plants subjected to cellular dehydration, providing a protective mechanism (Brini et al., 2007, 2010). Notably, under mild drought stress the increased level of OesDHN transcripts and protein in the leaf was coupled to a well-preserved tissue organization, despite the water deficit. This result appears fully consistent with the role of space filler proposed for DHNs (Battaglia et al., 2008).

Finally, to confirm the involvement of OesDHN in plant stress response, its function was investigated by expressing it in Arabidopsis plants via the CaMV 35S promoter. We observed that these transgenic lines engineered to overexpress the OesDHN showed a better tolerance to osmotic stress than wild-type plants. This result fulfills other evidences showing that in transgenic plants DHNs expression is positively related to an increased tolerance to different stresses such as drought and salinity besides cold (Hara et al., 2003; Choudhury et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011). In this context, it must be underlined that the involvement of DHNs in the protective system against osmotic stress is also supported by the up-regulation of DHN transcripts usually found upon salt or ABA treatment (Nylander et al., 2001; Sanchez-Ballesta et al., 2004). ABA is a phytohormone triggered by a multitude of abiotic stresses including drought, salinity, desiccation, and wounding and represents the major physiological signal that induces responses to drought and high salinity in plants (Gilmore et al., 1992; Lata and Prasad, 2011). Interestingly, our analysis of OesDHN promoter region highlighted the presence of different cis-elements involved in drought tolerance and the presence, among them, of an ABRE element suggests that OesDHN could act as an ABA-responsive protein (Abe et al., 2003; Lata and Prasad, 2011).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that OesDHN expression is induced by drought stress and is able to confer osmotic stress tolerance. Based on gene characterization and protein localization we also propose that, as reported for other dehydrins and LEA proteins (Dure, 1993; Riera et al., 2004; Brini et al., 2007) OesDHN, interacting with distinct cellular components, could be involved in cell protection under water deficit and osmotic stress likely through an ABA-dependent pathway.
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Figure 1 | Schematic representation of the 35S::OesDHN, 35S::OesDHN::GFP and 35S::GFP::OesDHN binary expression vectors. LB, left border; RB, right border; Pro35S, nopaline synthase promoter; Kan, kanamycin gene; Tnos, termination signal of nopaline synthase gene; P35S, CaMV 35S promoter; OesDHN Oles, cDNA coding for putative dehydrin; Egfp, Aequorea victoria synthetic green fluorescent protein gene; T3SS, termination signal of CaMV 35S gene; attB1, attB2, modified bacteriophage K attachment sites.

Figure 2S | In situ localization of dehydrin-like proteins on cross sections of mature leaves (length 2.5 ± 0.3 cm) grown in pots under control condition (A,B) and exposed to a SWC of 45% (C,D). n, nucleus; arrowhead indicate nuclear signal. Bars 25 µm (C–E), 43 µm (F), 28 µm (A,C), 40 µm (B,D); 90 µm (E).
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