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REDUCTION OF CONTACT STRESSES USING INVOLUTE GEARS WITH ASYMMETRIC TEETH

Summary. Asymmetrical involute gears have a different value of the operating pressure angle for right and left side of the gear. These teeth are suitable for one direction of rotation. Such teeth enable to change the length of the generating line. They enable to improve the value of reduced radii of curvature. Asymmetrical teeth allow reducing the values of Hertz's pressures, especially on the root of the teeth. Hertz pressures are directly related to the asymmetry.

Keywords: contact stresses, gear, involute, asymmetric teeth

1. INTRODUCTION

In practice, cogwheels with involute gears are used the most. Their production is common and their accuracy is acceptable. [1-7]. However, to lower the value of contact stresses, gears with asymmetric teeth might be more suitable. Their price should not be a main criterion when working with them. With a well-designed gear with asymmetrical teeth, a considerable decrease in the values of contact stresses can be noticed, and in some cases also a decrease in vibrations. From this point of view, the spur gears with non-symmetrical teeth are becoming a great alternative. [1-2].

¹ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, The Technical University of Košice, Letná 9 Street, 042 00 Košice, Slovak Republic. E-mail: jarmila.vojtkova@tuke.sk
2. SUITABLE TOOTH DESIGN AREA FOR GEAR WITH ASYMMETRIC TEETH

The driving side has a different pressure angle than the opposite side, and therefore an asymmetrical tooth is being created. An angle larger than $20^\circ$ is more advantageous for the driving side. The angle of the opposite side has a considerable influence only during the reverse movement. Base circle diameter significantly decreases with increasing pressure angle. The larger the difference between the angles of a profile, the more pronounced is the asymmetry, and hence there is a significant difference between the diameters of the base circle. [1].

An asymmetrical tooth, an axis of tooth, and a pitch circle are drawn on Fig. 1. The circular pitch measured on the pitch circle is identical for the left and right side. The tooth thickness, measured mostly on a top land, is changing relative to the angle of stress, which influences the ability to create a correct tooth.

![Asymmetrical tooth](image)

**Fig. 1. Asymmetrical tooth, $h_a^* = 1$, left side pressure angle $\alpha_L=20^\circ$, right side pressure angle $\alpha_P=35^\circ$**

The minimum number of teeth with allowable undercutting $z_{\text{min}}$

$$z_{\text{min}} = \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2 \cdot h_a^*}{\sin^2 \alpha} \quad (1)$$

Where:

$h_a^*$ – sufficient tooth addendum,

$\alpha$ – pressure angle [$^\circ$].

Values of a minimal amount of teeth $z_{\text{min}}$ relative to the angle of profile $\alpha$, for various values of top land tooth height $h_a^*$, are depicted on Fig. 2. The curves take into consideration the allowable undercutting. For larger values of the profile $\alpha$ angle, the values of minimal amount of teeth are decreasing pronouncedly.

The area of the accurate tooth design is dependent on the following parameters:

- number of teeth,
- sufficient tooth addendum $h_a^*$,
- pressure angle for the opposite side of tooth,
- the stresses on the non-functional side of the tooth,
- the required value of top land tooth thickness.
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Fig. 2. The minimum number of teeth with allowable undercut depending on the pressure angle

Half the top land thickness

\[
\frac{s_a}{2} = \frac{d_{a \text{ inv}}}{2} \left( \frac{\pi \cdot m}{2 \cdot d} + \text{inv} \alpha - \text{inv} \alpha_a \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where:
\( d_a \) – tip circle diameter [mm],
\( d \) – pitch circle diameter [mm],
\( m \) – module [mm],
\( \alpha_a \) – pressure angle at the tip circle [°].

These parameters imply a possible design area of an accurate tooth creation, which satisfies the geometrical parameters. An area for accurate tooth creation for parameters \( z_1=17 \), \( h_{a*}=1 \) is shown in Fig. 3. For a certain number of teeth, based on an angle \( \alpha_L \), the values of the angle on the right side can be determined in the graph (Fig. 3). For example, \( z_1=17 \), \( h_{a*}=1 \), \( \alpha_L=20° \) can have an angle \( \alpha_P \) in the interval \(< 20°, 38.5°>\). This area decreases in size with a smaller number of teeth.

Fig. 3. Suitable tooth design area for \( z_1=17 \), \( h_{a*}=1 \)
Table 1

| $h_a$ | $z_1$ | $\alpha_L$ (°) | $\alpha_P$ (°) | $s_{aL}/2$ (mm) | $s_{aP}/2$ (mm) | $\varepsilon_{aL}$ | $\varepsilon_{aP}$ | $z_{2hL}$ |
|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| 0,9   |  8    |    25          |    38          |  2,692          |   -0,185        |  1,128           |  1,041         |   11     |
|       | 10    |    23          |    40          |  3,190          |   -0,550        |  1,202           |  1,059         |   22     |
|       | 14    |    19          |    43,5        |  4,013          |   -1,362        |  1,370           |  1,083         |   27     |
|       | 17    |    17          |    45,5        |  4,401          |   -1,898        |  1,488           |  1,097         |   30     |
| 1     | 10    |    24          |    32,5        |  2,232          |    0,372        |  1,294           |  1,194         |   18     |
|       | 14    |    20          |    37,5        |  3,230          |    -0,669       |  1,463           |  1,201         |   26     |
|       | 17    |    18          |    39,5        |  3,697          |    -1,116       |  1,582           |  1,209         |   31     |
| 1,1   | 14    |    21          |    30          |  2,375          |    0,270        |  1,553           |  1,370         |   25     |
|       | 17    |    19          |    33,5        |  2,929          |    -0,351       |  1,672           |  1,361         |   32     |

The limits values of the angles $\alpha$ for the right and left side, with a full degree precision for the left side and 0,5° precision for the right side, for a various amount of teeth are mentioned in Tab. 1. The values of half top land tooth thickness are also mentioned there. The value $z_{2hL}$ is the limit value of the number of teeth, for the gear ratio $u=1$. If the number of teeth is greater than $z_{2hL}$, point A is outside the interval $N_1N_2$ (interference). For a larger gear ratio, the value of the limit of the teeth $z_{2h}$ increases.

3. THE RADII OF CURVATURE AND HERTZ PRESSURES

A view of various sides of the tooth, where the length of the contact line and radii are changing, is on Fig. 4. The change of pressure angle $\alpha$ leads to changes in the radii of curvature (Fig. 4), which affect the Hertz pressures. Tab. 2 shows the values of the radii of curvature, mesh points A, C [1].

Fig. 4. Mesh assymetrical toth: a) driving side $\alpha_L=20°$, b) driving side $\alpha_P=35°$

The value of Hertz pressure is changing in relation to the contact point. It has the least advantageous values in the place of the first mesh point, at the dedendum of the pinion. The regular values of the pressure in the gearing can be approximately determined in a following matter: For symmetrical gearing, if the pressure value of 100% is at the pitch point, then this value at the dedendum is approximately 150% and approximately 95% at the top of the pinion in relation to the gearing geometry. [8]. The values of the pressures can
be determined based on the circumference force. The second option is to determine these values on the basis of normal force, which value changes depending only on the amount of tooth pairs in the mesh, and is constant for a single contact. Double tooth contact is also being considered in the calculation.

| z1 | z2 | $\alpha$ (°) | Point C | Point A |
|----|----|-----------|---------|---------|
| 10 | 24 | 20,337 | 0,3281 | 100 | 1,769 | 0,5688 | 173 |
| 18 | 24 | 20,337 | 0,2894 | 91 | 11,048 | 0,2497 | 79 |
| 32,5 | 26,865 | 0,2620 | 91 | 10,119 | 0,2760 | 90 |
| 14 | 20 | 23,941 | 0,2982 | 100 | 2,351 | 0,4879 | 164 |
| 37,5 | 42,613 | 0,2432 | 82 | 27,643 | 0,1837 | 62 |
| 26 | 20 | 23,941 | 0,2615 | 100 | 0,016 | 5,7701 | 2207 |
| 37,5 | 42,613 | 0,2133 | 82 | 27,079 | 0,1730 | 66 |
| 17 | 18 | 26,266 | 0,2830 | 100 | 2,634 | 0,4584 | 162 |
| 39,5 | 54,067 | 0,2190 | 77 | 39,408 | 0,1608 | 57 |
| 31 | 18 | 26,266 | 0,2490 | 100 | 0,041 | 3,5680 | 1433 |
| 39,5 | 54,067 | 0,1927 | 77 | 38,991 | 0,1494 | 60 |

Reduced radius of curvature $\rho_R$ for the mesh points:

$$\rho_R = \frac{\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \quad (3)$$

Where:

$\rho_1$ – radius of curvature with respect to the pinion [mm],

$\rho_2$ – radius of curvature with respect to the wheel [mm].

Hertz pressures are directly related to the asymmetry. The values of the reduced radii of curvature at the pitch point $C$ and point $A$ are calculated in Fig. 2. The value $\sqrt{(F_t/(\rho_R \cos \alpha))}$ is in proportion to the course of stresses. This value for pitch point $C$ is defined as 100%. The change corresponding to the change in stresses at a specific point can be seen in Fig. 2.

For example, for values $z_1= z_2=17$, $h_a=1$, an angle $\alpha_L=18^\circ$ is the stress at the pitch point $C$ with the value 100%, in point $A$ with 162%, and decreases for angle $\alpha_P=39,5^\circ$ to 77% in point $C$, and to 57% in point $A$. If the angle of the driving side is 39,5°, the values of stresses are considerably more advantageous.
The course of mathematical term determining the course of a Hertz pressure, force $F_t = 1N$, $z_1=17$, $z_2=17$, $h_a^* = 1$, $\alpha_L = 18^\circ$, $\alpha_P = 39.5^\circ$ with a double tooth contact.

Hertz pressure by normal force

$$\sigma_H = \sqrt{0.175 \cdot E \cdot \frac{F_n}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_r}} = z_E \cdot \sqrt{\frac{F_n}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_r}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Hertz pressure by tangential force

$$\sigma_H = \sqrt{0.35 \cdot E \cdot \frac{F_t}{\sqrt{2 \cdot b_w \cdot \rho_r \cdot \cos \alpha_t}}} = \frac{z_M}{\sqrt{2 \cdot b_w \cdot \rho_r \cdot \cos \alpha_t}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{F_t}{\rho_r \cdot \cos \alpha_t}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Where:
- $z_M$ – material factor [MPa$^{1/2}$],
- $F_t$ – tangential force [N],
- $F_n$ – normal force [N],
- $b_w$ – axial face width [mm],
- $\alpha_t$ – pressure angle in a transverse plane [$^\circ$],
- $E$ – modulus of elasticity [MPa].

4. CONCLUSION

The use of gears with asymmetric teeth can be a good alternative to reduce Hertz pressures. Well-designed gearing can be achieved to reduce the size, significantly reduce contact stresses especially in the dedendum of the pinion.

This paper was written in the framework of Grant Project VEGA: “1/0688/12 – Research and application of universal regulation system in order to master the source of mechanical systems excitation.”
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