A Comparison Method for 3D Laser Point Clouds in Displacement Change Detection for Arch Dams
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Abstract: Dam deformation monitoring can directly identify the safe operation state of a dam in advance, which plays an important role in dam safety management. Three-dimensional (3D) terrestrial laser scanning technology is widely used in the field of deformation monitoring due to its fast, complete, and high-density 3D data acquisition capabilities. However, 3D point clouds are characterized by rough surfaces, discrete distributions, which affect the accuracy of deformation analysis of two states data. In addition, it is impossible to directly extract the correspondence points from an irregularly distributed point cloud to unify the coordinates of the two states' data, and the correspondence lines and planes are often difficult to obtain in the natural environment. To solve the above problems, this paper studies a displacement change detection method for arch dams based on two-step point cloud registration and contour model comparison method. In the environment around a dam, the stable rock is used as the correspondence element to improve the registration accuracy, and a two-step registration method from rough to fine using the iterative closest point algorithm is present to describe the coordinate unification of the two states’ data without control network and target. Then, to analyze the displacement variation of an arch dam surface in two states and improve the accuracy of comparing the two surfaces without being affected by the roughness of the point cloud, the contour model fitting the point clouds is used to compare the change in distance between models. Finally, the method of this paper is applied to the Xiahuikeng Arch Dam, and the displacement changes of the entire dam in different periods are visualized by comparing with the existing methods. The results show that the displacement change in the middle area of the dam is generally greater than that of the two banks, increasing with the increase in elevation, which is consistent with the displacement change behavior of the arch dam during operation and can reach millimeter-level accuracy.
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1. Introduction

Dam safety is required to protect people’s lives and the social benefits produced by dams. Deformation monitoring by comparing measured data during different periods describes the operational state of the dam in advance and intuitively, and plays an important role in scientific deformation prediction and safe operation judgment during the construction, operation, and management of the dam. In recent decades, many monitoring instruments have used appropriate methods to assess the status and safety of dams and other facilities [1–5]. Dam surface displacement is the primary metric of dam monitoring, and traditional monitoring methods (e.g., total station [6,7] and GPS [8–10]) primarily use single-point measurement modes, which use multiple monitoring points on the dam surface. These limited monitoring points cannot fully describe the deformation of the entire struc-
ture. Compared to the traditional single-point measurement modes, terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) and ground-based interferometric synthetic aperture radar (GB InSAR) [11,12] have the characteristics of non-contact and surface measurement. GB-InSAR collects two-dimensional images and implements deformation monitoring through processing steps such as image registration, phase unwrapping, estimation of the atmospheric phase component, and displacement computation and geocoding. However, there is no reliable atmospheric phase correction model, and the shorter radar wavelength increases the difficulty of phase unwrapping, all of which affects the accuracy of GB InSAR data processing [13–16]. TLS technology can efficiently measure spatial data, describe an object’s surface across large areas, and produce high-density, high-resolution large 3D point clouds of the object more directly, quickly, and accurately. Compared to GB InSAR, the data collected by TLS are more visualized and easier to process. TLS is widely used in all types of topographic surveys [17–21], landslide monitoring [22–25], deformation monitoring [26–29], and surface reconstruction [30,31], and provides a new method for measurement work in water-conservancy projects’ construction, operation, and management. Extracting dam displacement information from TLS data is a challenging and important task that is critical to displacement detection using TLS technology [1,32–36]. Wan [37] monitored the extrusion sidewall of concrete-faced rockfill dam based on TLS by drawing the displacement field model. However, this field model was a contour line and lacked intuitiveness. Guo [38] used TLS to monitor the settlement of the dam in the mining area and selected 50 points at equal intervals along the strike of the dam to analyze the deformation. However, these sampling points at equal intervals were unable to fully represent the entire deformation of the dam. Wang [39] proposed an earth–rock dam deformation monitoring method based on 3D laser scanning technology, and the cross-section point cloud data series at the same location collected at different times were compared and analyzed to figure out the dam deformation. However, the cross section did not reflect the entire deformation of the dam surface. The above studies have verified the feasibility of the application of 3D laser scanning technology to dam deformation monitoring, but they have not fully utilized the dense point cloud to describe the overall deformation of the dam. Using the two-phase point cloud comparison to make a dam surface deformation cloud map can intuitively and completely show the dam deformation distribution.

Since deformation monitoring must compare different periods of data in the same coordinate system, data registration is a key component of point cloud processing. However, there are no corresponding points in the discrete point cloud collected by the 3D laser scanner, which yields difficulties in data registration. To ensure the accuracy of the point cloud registration and the quality of the deformation analysis, many studies have set up high-precision control networks and used total stations or GPS and other measuring equipment for joint observations in fieldwork [40–46]. However, this method requires more time for fieldwork and increases costs. Manual target setting is an efficient method for point cloud registration [47–50]. However, to collect data in different periods, the target must be fixed for a long time, which is difficult to accomplish in the natural environment of the dam area. Many studies have fitted straight lines and planes with corresponding geometric elements from point clouds to solve these problems [51,52]. However, for dam deformation monitoring, the stable areas used for registration, such as the bedrock on both sides of the dam and the ground downstream, often lack linear and plane elements. The iterative closest point (ICP) algorithm is a classical point cloud registration method that does not require the corresponding elements [53–55] and has a high registration accuracy. However, this method easily falls into a local optimum due to the effects of the initial value and has a high computational cost. In this paper, in order to adapt the registration method to the natural scene around a dam, the stable rock mass point cloud in front of the dam is used to replace the manual target and other corresponding elements to improve the registration accuracy. Via two-step rough-fine ICP registration, the data of the two periods are efficiently, quickly, and accurately incorporated into the unified coordinate system from rough to fine.
By calculating the distance between point clouds in different periods, the deformation of the dam surface can be analyzed comprehensively. Compared to the high level of maturity reached by instruments, solutions to perform point cloud comparison in 3D are scarce and hardly adapted to complex natural surfaces [56]. When the point cloud scene is a relatively flat surface, the most commonly used point cloud comparison method is the deformation compared to the digital elevation model (DEM) [7,57–59]. This method interpolates two periods of point clouds into the DEM and then measures the distance of two DEMs in the vertical direction pixel by pixel. The calculation is small and fast but is not suitable for engineering buildings with large surface changes. Additionally, due to the missing holes in the point cloud or the sparse point density caused by the scanning angle or occlusion, DEM interpolation with a fixed resolution will reduce the accuracy of the comparison. A point cloud comparison method called point cloud to point cloud (C2C) is used to perform deformation analysis by calculating the distance between adjacent points in two sets of point cloud data. Girardeau-Montaut [60] introduced several simple C2C comparison techniques and improved the best-performance method using a Hausdorff distance calculation. The C2C method does not require model building or DEM interpolation for point cloud data, nor must it calculate the surface normal; thus, the C2C method is the most efficient and simplest method in point cloud deformation analysis. However, the distance measured by this method is sensitive to the roughness, outliers, and point spacing of point clouds; thus, it is primarily applied to measure rapid changes in dense point clouds rather than to provide accurate distance measurements. The point cloud comparison based on point-to-model comparison calculates the distance between a group of point cloud data and another group of reference models instead of the distance between point clouds; thus, this method can effectively reduce the influence of point cloud roughness on a comparison accuracy. Xu [61] developed a high-precision NURBS surface reference model of earth rock dams, compared the difference between the point clouds of earth rock dams in different periods and this reference model. However, the fitting surface may not be subtle enough to represent the surface of objects with the large curvatures where large errors are likely to be induced, and the construction of the dam surface with four procedures is also time consuming and complicated. Monserrat [62] estimated the deformation parameters by local surface matchings and the validation results achieved on the simulation experiment and landslide test site. Rinske [63] and Xie [64] fitted the point cloud to a cylindrical model to detect the deformation in the tunnel. M.Alba [65] analyzed dam deformation by calculating the distance between points to mesh, which are triangular or polynomial surfaces interpolated from resampled point clouds. However, creating a surface mesh is complex for point clouds with significant roughness at all scales or missing data due to occlusion [56]. Therefore, for the surface of complex structures or large curvatures, the DEM-based comparison is not suitable for overhanging parts, and the fixed resolution limits the details of the original data, whereas the point-to-surface model comparison overcomes the roughness well under a fixed fitting scale; in the point-to-surface model, however, it is more difficult to choose the appropriate scale and model to fit the surface and overcome the roughness of the point cloud. In order to solve the above problems and reduce the impact of point cloud roughness on the comparison accuracy more effectively, a dam contour model is designed in this study to describe the distance comparison. The line model that replaces the surface model is simpler to construct, more flexible, and more suitable for arch dams.

In this study, the processing method of 3D laser point cloud data in arch-dam deformation monitoring is investigated. A two-step registration method, based on the rock mass in front of the dam, and a point cloud comparison method, based on the contour model, are proposed. Lastly, this study analyzes the overall displacement variation of the dam surface between two states of point cloud data.

2. Methodology
Dam displacement monitoring based on TLS is able to fully describe the displacement changes on the dam surface. In order to improve the accuracy and efficiency of the registration, and apply it to the natural scene around the dam, the complete point clouds and rock mass point clouds of the second status are incorporated into the first phase’s coordinate system via rough to fine registrations by the ICP algorithm. Then, the model-to-model distance calculation and dam surface displacement variation analysis are described using contour model construction and curve fitting in order to eliminate the influence of point cloud roughness on the comparison accuracy. The flow chart describing this process is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Flow chart of dam displacement variation analysis based on the 3D point cloud.](image)

2.1. Registration

The point cloud data of the two states of the dam come from different collection times and station locations. To compare the deformation of the dam surface, the point cloud registration of the two states should be performed first. The registration of point clouds in different periods is an important part of dam deformation monitoring, and the accuracy of registration directly affects the results of the deformation analysis. The corresponding points cannot be directly obtained from the discrete and irregular point cloud for registration, and the dam surface is the monitoring object of the unstable structure; thus, it is
not suitable to extract the registration elements, and it is more difficult to detect the corresponding line or plane in the natural environment of the dam area. Therefore, the stable rock mass in front of and on both sides of the dam is selected as the registration element, and the two-phase coordinate transformation parameters are calculated by the ICP algorithm from rough to fine. In the rough registration, the initial value is given manually, and the complete point clouds of two states are automatically registered by the ICP algorithm. Then, the point cloud of the dam surface and rock mass are manually classified. Finally, the rough registration result is used as the initial value to perform secondary automatic fine registration on the rock mass point clouds.

2.1.1. Rough Registration

The ICP algorithm is used for rock mass registration, which leads the result to fall into the local optimum, thereby reducing the accuracy of registration. Therefore, the first rough registration is performed for the complete point clouds of two phases, and the translation and rotation parameters of the second phase point cloud coordinates are calculated with the first phase point cloud coordinates as the reference. By setting the initial value manually, reducing the standard deviation accuracy of the ICP algorithm, and optimizing the sampling points, the operation efficiency can be improved. The entire point cloud includes non-dam surface points, such as vegetation in front of dams, surrounding mountains, rock masses, and workers. Unstable objects, such as a large amount of vegetation and walking people, will seriously interfere with the registration accuracy of point clouds. Therefore, the first rough registration should be considered as the initial value, and then the second fine registration based on the stable rock mass should be performed for the point cloud data used to analyze the fine deformation of the dam.

2.1.2. Fine Registration

Based on the distribution distance of the point cloud, the entire point cloud collected by the survey station is segmented using the connected component labeling method. The extracted point clouds of the dam surface and rock mass are used for deformation analysis and fine registration, respectively. Vegetation and rock mass show marked differences in the surface change rate $S_{V_{pi}}$, surface density $S_{D_{pi}}$, and flatness $Planarity_{pi}$ of the point clouds, as shown in Table 1. These three characteristic values can be used to roughly classify the rock mass point cloud using simple thresholds. However, to ensure the registration accuracy of the rock mass' point cloud, a small amount of vegetation on the surface of the rock mass must be manually removed.

| Point Cloud Feature Descriptor | Feature Distribution Histogram | Point Cloud Eigenvalues |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Surface change rate:** $S_{V_{pi}} = \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}$ | ![Histogram](image1.png) | $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$, the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix composed of neighboring points of point $p_i$ |
| **Flatness:** $Planarity_{pi} = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$ | ![Histogram](image2.png) | |
Surface density:
\[ SD_{pi} = \frac{\text{Num}_{pj}}{\text{Area}_{pj}} \]

\( pj \) is the neighboring point of \( pi \)

Third, the ICP algorithm is used for the second high-precision registration of the rock point cloud to obtain the final coordinate transformation parameters. Taking the coordinate transformation result of a rough registration as the initial value, only iterating the ICP algorithm on the rock point cloud can make the result converge quickly. By filtering out the interference point cloud and setting a smaller standard deviation, the registration accuracy can be improved. Affected by the location of the station, the greater the distance difference between the two stations is, the greater the change in the scanning angle, the greater the difference between the density and integrity of the rock point cloud, and the greater the corresponding decrease in the point cloud registration accuracy. Therefore, the two stations should be near each other during data acquisition. Additionally, to ensure the accuracy of the registration, many large, symmetrical, uniform, and stable rocks should be selected in the dam environment for secondary fine registration.

2.2. Methods of Point Cloud Comparison

Since an arch dam is a highly statically indeterminate shell structure constrained by rock masses on three sides, the arch dam transmits most of the reservoir’s water pressure to the rock mass on both sides of the dam end in the form of horizontal thrust, and a small part of the load is transferred to the foundation as a cantilevered beam. These phenomena show that the displacements of the arch end and dam foundation are small; thus, deformation monitoring is primarily used to compare the radial displacement of the arch dam along the flow direction. The radial displacement of the entire dam can be obtained by comparing the distance between the two-phase point clouds after registration. However, due to the limitation of laser scanning equipment, the inevitable roughness of the point cloud directly affects the accuracy of the distance comparison. The influence of roughness on comparison results can be weakened by modeling the contour buffer zone of the point cloud on the dam surface and then calculating the distance between the models.

2.2.1. Construction of Contour Model

Due to the irregular discrete distribution characteristics of the point cloud, a contour buffer zone is developed for any elevation value \( Z_a \) to better fit the contour curve model. As shown in Figure 2a, the buffer zone radius \( \Delta Z \) should be marginally above the point cloud density. Then, the point cloud in the buffer zone is projected along the \( Z \) axis to the \( Z = Z_a \) projection plane to form a two-dimensional contour point cloud, as shown in Figure 2b.

Since the extracted contour point cloud cannot be guaranteed to fit on a parameter curve completely, this is also caused by the roughness of the point cloud and other interference point clouds. In order to improve the accuracy of curve fitting, a random sampling consensus algorithm (RANSAC) is used to remove some large outliers. Then, The least square method is used to fit the contour point cloud to a curve to establish the contour curve model. As there is no design function for the arch ring on the downstream surface of most arch dams, particularly the design curves of hyperbolic arch dams, the left, and right arches are inconsistent. To build an accurate contour model, partial curve model fitting of the contour point clouds of the two states of the dam surface is performed, as shown in Figure 3a. Among them, the RANSAC algorithm and the least square method can be implemented well on the MATLAB platform, and the algorithm can be automatically calculated after the program is written.
2.2.2. Dam Displacement Variation

After fitting and optimizing the contour point cloud model, taking the first phase data as a reference, the distance between the interpolation points on the second phase contour model and the first phase contour model is compared under the corresponding elevation to describe the calculation of dam surface displacement variation. As shown in Figure 3b, the shortest distance $d_{\text{min}}$ from the interpolation point $Q$ of the second phase contour to the first phase model curve is the distance between the two models at this position (the details were specified in reference [66]). By calculating the shortest distance from all the interpolation points of the second-stage model to the first-stage model, the displacement distribution of the two dam surfaces at the same elevation can be obtained. As shown in Figure 3(a,b), there is a large error between a comparison distance $d_{\text{c2c}}$ based on the nearest point and the real distance $d$ of the two groups of data in the point cloud with a large roughness, while the distance $d_{\text{min}}$ between the contour models is not affected by the roughness of the point cloud, which can more accurately describe the displacement variation of the dam surface.

Figure 2. Contour point cloud extraction. (a) Extracting point cloud buffer and (b) extracting contour point cloud.
Figure 3. Local curve fitting and model distance comparison. (a) Piecewise curve fitting and (b) model-to-model distance comparison.

3. Materials

The Xiahuikeng Reservoir is located on Huating Water, a secondary tributary of the Xinjiang River in Huating Township, Shangrao County, Jiangxi Province, China at 118°09’~118°11’ east longitude and 28°11’~28°15’ north latitude. The reservoir is a medium-sized water conservancy project that focuses on power generation and considers the comprehensive use of irrigation, flood control, and aquaculture. The primary buildings of the project include dams, power generation and water diversion systems, ground-mounted powerhouses, and booster stations. The control basin area of the dam site is 106.7 km², the annual average flow is 4.54 m³/s, the normal storage level of the reservoir is 421.0 m, the design flood level is 423.02 m (P = 2%), and the check flood level is 423.46 m (P = 0.2%). When the total storage capacity of the reservoir is 0.35×10⁸ m³, the installed capacity of the power station is 2×8000 KW. The Xiahuikeng Arch Dam, as shown in Figure 4a, is a mortar-masonry double-curvature arch dam. The dam crest elevation is 423.5 m, the maximum dam height is 102.39 m, the arch thickness-to-height ratio is 0.2, the dam crest is 4.5 m wide, the crest center arc length is 247.4 m, the horizontal arch ring line type is a unified quadratic curve, and the maximum dam height is 102.4 m. The spillway of the reservoir is arranged on the top of the dam with three holes, each with a net width of 10 m, and the top elevation of the weir is 416.0 m, which is controlled by an open-top curved steel gate. The gate has a normal water retention depth of 5.0 m and uses jet energy dissipation.

The experiment takes the arch dam as the object and uses a Trimble TX8 ground 3D laser scanning device to collect two-phase point cloud data on the dam surface. The water levels of the dam during the two phases of data collection were 410.5 m and 396.25 m, respectively, with a difference of 14.25 m. This difference is the largest water level difference of the Xiahuikeng Dam and is suitable for the measurement and research of dam displacement changes.

To avoid the effect of splicing errors of multiple stations on the accuracy of deformation analysis, we collected eight sets of data at different locations downstream of the dam in two periods. In order to obtain more complete dam surface data, two sets of data in different periods were selected for experimentation. Since the farther the instrument is from the dam, the greater the distance error, and the standard maximum measurement distance of Trimble TX8 is 120 m; therefore, the selected two sets of data collection stations are about 80 m away from the dam. Figure 4b shows these two sets of complete point
clouds, in which the blue-green point cloud is the data collected in the first phase, and the pink-red point cloud is the data collected in the second phase.

Figure 4. (a) Xiahuikeng Arch Dam and (b) two-phase point cloud used in the experiment.

4. Results

4.1. Two-Phase Point Cloud Registration

The coordinate conversion matrix of the two-phase point cloud is solved by the two-stage registration method based on the rock mass. The conversion matrix is shown in formula (1) as follows:

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \beta \cos \alpha & \cos \beta \sin \gamma & -\sin \beta & \Delta x \\
-\cos \alpha \sin \gamma + \sin \alpha \sin \gamma \cos \gamma & \cos \alpha \cos \gamma + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \beta & \Delta y \\
\sin \alpha \sin \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma & -\sin \alpha \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma & \cos \alpha \cos \beta & \Delta z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are the rotation angles of the three coordinate axes, and \( \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z \) are the translation parameters of the coordinate origin along the three coordinate axes. Taking the point cloud coordinates collected in the first phase as a reference, the first rough registration of the complete point cloud is performed, and the conversion matrix is determined, as shown in Table 2. The root mean square (RMS) refers to the root mean square of the nearest points of the two sets of point clouds after registration, and the RMS difference is the accuracy threshold of the ICP iteration. The rock mass in front of the dam (Figure 5a) is used for the second precision registration based on the rock mass to obtain the conversion matrix shown in Table 3, and the dam surface point cloud registration result is shown in Figure 5b. Figure 5c–f shows a comparison of the point cloud of the rock mass and the dam surface before and after the second fine registration. Based on the degree of coincidence of the rock mass and the dam before and after fine registration, and the RMS value of the two registrations, fine registration based on the stable rock mass eliminates the interference of unstable point clouds, such as vegetation, and improves registration accuracy. Additionally, because the first rough registration is given a more accurate initial value, it effectively prevents the accurate registration from falling into the local optimum and quickly converges to the optimal solution, which improves the computational efficiency of the method. In Figure 5b, the point cloud in the red rectangular box is not completely registered due to a problem in the device’s firmware during the second phase of data collection, which caused a large distance measurement error in this part of the point cloud. To prevent this error from affecting the analysis of the changes in dam displacement, this portion of the point cloud is deleted in the subsequent point cloud comparison.
Figure 5. Two registrations based on the complete point cloud and rock mass point cloud. (a) The rock mass in front of the dam used for secondary precision registration; (b) two-stage dam surface point cloud after the second fine registration and classification; (c) two-stage rock mass point cloud for the first rough registration; (d) two-stage rock mass point cloud for the second fine registration; (e) part of the point cloud of the two dam faces after the first rough registration; and (f) part of the point cloud of the two-stage dam surface after the second fine registration.
Table 2. Coordinate transformation matrix for the first rough registration of two complete point clouds.

|    |   |   |   |   |
|----|---|---|---|---|
|  0.996 | -0.047 | -0.070 | 3.143 |
|  0.049 |  0.998 |  0.033 |  2.633 |
|  0.068 | -0.036 |  0.997 |  6.071 |
|  0.000 |  0.000 |  0.000 |  1.000 |
| RMS = 0.755561 m | RMS Difference = 1.0E-05 |

Table 3. Second precision registration coordinate transformation matrix of the two-phase rock mass point cloud.

|    |   |   |   |   |
|----|---|---|---|---|
|  0.999991834164 | -0.000157242248 | -0.004154545255 | 0.233834072948 |
|  0.000160262105 |  1.000000000000 |  0.000726647791 | -0.141341060400 |
|  0.004154435359 | -0.000727307750 |  0.999991595745 | -0.353730350733 |
|  0.000000000000 |  0.000000000000 |  0.000000000000 |  1.000000000000 |
| RMS = 0.00719947 m | RMS Difference = 1.0E-08 |

4.2. Analysis of Displacement Change

By establishing the contour model of the point cloud of the two states and calculating the distance between the models, the analysis of the displacement change across the dam surface and any height of the two states is described. To ensure a sufficient number of point clouds in the contour buffer zone and to achieve a complete comparison of the displacement changes in the dam surface, the dam surface, and the contour buffer strips with a distance of 0.02 m are extracted, for a total of 3891 buffer strip data points, as shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Color rendering of dam arch ring.

4.2.1. Contour Model Construction and Comparison

The construction of a local curve model for the point cloud in the buffer zone of the two-phase dam surface is now described. Three contour models were selected in the experiment, and the root mean square error (RMSE) and goodness of fit ($R^2$) were used to evaluate the quality of the model and were compared to the global curve model. The formula for RMSE is as follows:

$$RMSE(x, h) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h(x_i) - y_i)^2},$$

where $h(x_i)$ is the optimized model data, $y_i$ is the contour point cloud data, and $m$ is the number of contour point clouds. The smaller the RMSE value is, the more accurate the model, and vice versa. The formula for $R^2$ is as follows:
where SSR is the sum of squares of the difference between the model data and the mean of the original data, and TSS is the sum of squares of the difference between the original data and the mean of the original data. \( R^2 \) can also characterize model quality—the closer the calculated value is to 1, the better the model quality. Table 4 shows the model quality evaluation results, indicating that the local curve has better model fitting quality than the global curve.

### Table 4. Curve model quality evaluation result.

| Curve Type | Local Curve | Local Curve | Local Curve | Global Curve | Global Curve | Global Curve |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Z1= 69.88m | Z2= 37.88m | Z3= 19.88m  | Z1= 69.88m  | Z2= 37.88m  | Z3= 19.88m  |
| RMSE       | 0.0152      | 0.0138      | 0.0121      | 0.0233       | 0.0327       | 0.0226       |
| \( R^2 \)  | 1           | 1           | 1           | 0.99         | 0.99         | 0.99         |

In the experiment, 10 two-phase contour point clouds were selected at equal intervals for model fitting and distance calculation, and local average displacement change statistics were performed on the middle and both sides of the dam surface on these 10 contours (Figure 7) to analyze the displacement changes in different positions on the dam surface. The red, green, and blue broken lines are the average displacement changes in the middle, left, and right sides of the dam, respectively. The figure shows that the displacement in the middle of the dam is above the left and right sides, and as the height increases, the overall displacement of the dam surface changes gradually increases. Figure 8a–j shows the histograms of the displacement variation distribution of the 10 contour models and their calculated means—the lower the elevation is, the smaller the displacement variation and the concentrated distribution.
4.2.2. Analysis of Dam Surface Displacement Variation

In the experiment, the distance between the models was calculated for all 3891 curve models, and the overall displacement change distribution cloud map of the downstream face of the Xiahuikeng Dam was obtained, as shown in Figure 9a. This figure shows that the point cloud of the dam surface of the second phase has an overall negative displacement change (i.e., toward the upstream direction) relative to the first phase. The displacement change of the dam gradually increases from the base to the crest, and the displacement of the middle part of the dam is higher than that of the left and right sides, as shown in Figure 9(a,c). Since the water level in the reservoir area was higher during the first phase of data collection, the hydrostatic pressure on the middle of the dam will increase as the water level increases, and the pressure on both sides will gradually decrease. Therefore, the analysis results of the dam displacement change appear to describe the real situation accurately. Figure 9b shows a statistical histogram of the dam surface displacement changes in the two periods. The abscissa is the dam displacement change, and the ordinate is the number of point clouds in the interval of different displacement changes. The Gaussian distribution curve is calculated using the statistical histogram, and the interval under the 95% confidence level is the real displacement change in the dam, which is primarily concentrated between $-28$ mm and $-4$ mm. Figure 9c shows the displacement changes in the left, right and middle parts of the dam with different elevations.
5. Discussion

This study investigates the dam displacement change detection method using ground-based 3D laser point clouds, two-step registration of the rock mass, and a comparison of distances using a contour curve model. The two-step registration method uses the ICP algorithm to quickly and roughly register the two states of the complete point cloud and uses the result as the initial value to achieve secondary accurate registration of the stable rock mass point cloud in front of the dam. This method replaces evenly distributed rock masses with manual targets and corresponding lines to describe point cloud registration from the entire dam to specific areas on the dam and from rough to fine resolutions. The proposed method is suitable for natural scenes without control nets and corresponding targets that lack linear and planar features. However, to ensure the quality of registration, we use simple feature thresholds to remove a large amount of vegetation and then manually remove weeds and noise points that are attached to the surface of the rock mass to obtain a pure rock mass point cloud. The classification of rock masses is not automated; therefore, future research should investigate the automatic classification of rock mass point clouds.

By establishing a contour curve model of the arch dam surface and comparing the distance between the two models, the dam surface displacement changes in the two states are detected and described. Compared to the point-to-model comparison, the model-to-model comparison can reduce the impact of point cloud roughness on the accuracy of point cloud distance calculation. The contour curve model construction method is simple and flexible and is thus suitable for a comparison of the overall and local displacement of the arch dam surface with varying curvatures.
To verify the effectiveness of a comparison method further, we used two mainstream distance comparison methods that are referred to as point cloud to point cloud (C2C) and point cloud to TIN (Triangulated Irregular Network) model (C2T) using Cloudcompare software (http://www.cloudcompare.org). Using these methods, the displacement change in the same set of experimental data was calculated. C2C uses the principle of the nearest neighbor algorithm to calculate the distance between two points. For each point in the first group of point clouds, the closest point in the second group of point clouds is identified, and the Euclidean distance between them is calculated. This method does not require processing the data using gridding, resampling, or normal calculation, and has a high calculation efficiency; however, it is sensitive to the roughness of the point cloud and is suitable for rapid change monitoring of dense point clouds. The C2T distance is the distance between the calculated point cloud and the reference model developed by the Delaunay triangulation network. Since the distance between the point and the fitting surface is used instead of the distance between the points, this method is more effective and accurate. The calculation results of the C2C and C2T methods are shown in Figures 10 and 11.

A comparison of these results shows that the dam displacement changes obtained by the contour model comparison method proposed in this paper are more consistent with the results of the C2T method, and the observed changes are shown to be concentrated between -4 mm and -28 mm (Figure 9b and Figure 11b). As the elevation increases, the displacement steadily increases in the distribution characteristics, and even the line graph of the displacement of the middle and left bank of the dam by the contour model comparison method is smoother than that of C2T (Figure 9c and Figure 11c). The C2C algorithm calculates that the average displacement changes in the middle of the dam and on both sides of the dam do not exhibit any displacement law (Figure 10c). The changes are large, scattered, and are primarily between 10 mm and 50 mm (Figure 10a,b). The method proposed in this paper constructs a contour model for the two-phase point cloud, replacing the distance between the scattered point clouds and the distance between the models, which markedly reduces the influence of the point cloud roughness on the calculation of the deformation value compared to C2C. This method does not require complete data modeling and is more flexible and efficient for analyzing and monitoring local elevation deformation.
Figure 10. Results of dam displacement changes by point cloud to point cloud (C2C). (a) Cloud map of dam displacement variation distribution; (b) statistical histogram of displacement changes; and (c) average displacement changes of different parts of the dam with different elevations.

Figure 11. Results of dam displacement changes by point cloud to TIN model (C2T). (a) Cloud map of dam displacement variation distribution; (b) statistical histogram of displacement changes; and (c) average displacement changes of different parts of the dam with different elevations.

6. Conclusions
In this paper, the arch dam displacement change detection method based on a 3D laser point cloud is studied. The two-step registration method from rough to fine is proposed by using the corresponding rock point clouds instead of the point, line, and plane, which is suitable for natural scenes around the dam. This method can reduce the time and economic cost of field measurement in conjunction with other equipment, and at the same time, solve the problem that the artificial target cannot be stored in the field for a long time. Then, a simple and flexible curve contour is used for the model-to-model point cloud comparison for the arch dam to eliminate the influence of point cloud roughness on the comparison accuracy. Curve fitting is simpler than surface fitting, which is not affected by missing data, and it is easier to describe arch dam surfaces with different curvatures.

Considering the Xiahuikeng Arch Dam in the Shangrao area of Jiangxi Province, China, as a test subject, the point clouds on the downstream surface of the dam are registered for two periods of data, and then the local and overall displacement changes from the contour model to the model are analyzed. Results show that the above method is effective in the displacement detection of arch dams and provides a feasible solution for dam deformation analysis using 3D laser technology.
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