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Abstract

Background: Many people facing life difficulties are unable to sort out these problems. Objectives: A study was designed to determine students’ life skills at the Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS).

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted at IUMS in 2016 - 17 with a sample of 342 students. A questionnaire was used with multi-choice questions from poor to high skills. Latent class models were applied for data analysis using Mplus. Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and Bootstrap likelihood ratio tests were used to determine the number of classes.

Results: A two-class model had the best fit since the BIC had the lowest amount. Almost 76% and 24% of the cases entered the high and moderate skill classes of the model, respectively. The level of education (LOE) was the only significant variable (P = 0.004) for classifying the students.

Conclusions: The model could predict the probability of high life skilled students. Also, LOE had a high impact on the probability of high life skills.
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1. Background

Life skills include some attitudes, behaviors, and abilities that help the person to overcome life problems for a successful life. The promotion in educational and occupational situations in early life is one of the consequences of these life skills (1). Learning the skills of coping, adaptability, and flexibility in early life can promote mental health. Social-emotional learning to manage stress and teaching life skills in Iranian schools can reduce the risk factors of behavioral and emotional problems later in life; thus, it has a positive effect on mental health (2). Therefore, having higher life skills enables one to control his interpersonal behaviors and have less social and mental stress (3).

Singh et al. believe that life skills are group competencies and skills that can help people to solve problems, think critically, communicate effectively, and build relationships (4). Sahebalzamani et al. believe that many psychological disorders are due to the disability of people in solving their personal problems, correct facing difficult conditions, and managing difficult life situations (5). Ndetei et al. mentioned in their study in Kenya that life skills for school children improved their physical and mental health status (6). Wingenbach introduced life skills as the skills that help the individual promote the level of relationship and decision-making power, make relationships, learn his understanding, and work with groups (7). Lolaty stated in her study that a transition from high school to university causes some stress for students. These stresses are due to the need for creating a relationship, learning new study habits, and being an independent individual (8).

2. Objectives

The study objective was to investigate the students' abilities in 10 subscales of life skills using latent class models, find the number of classes, and check the effect of demographic variables on these probabilities.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS) faculties in 2017. The target population was IUMS students, 342 of whom were selected by the stratified sampling method. The following formula was used to calculate the sample size based on the sample required to estimate a population mean with an
approximate 95% confidence level and unknown standard deviation:

\[ n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} \times \sigma}{d} \right)^2, \sigma = \frac{\max(\text{mean}(x_i)) - \min(\text{mean}(x_i))}{6} \]

Where the maximum and minimum mean scores of responses were 4 and 0, respectively, \( \sigma = (4 - 0) / 6 = 0.66 \), and the degree of precision of 0.07.

The questionnaire of the study consisted of demographic variables including age, gender, education level (B.Sc., M.Sc., MD, and Ph.D.), marital status, income level (less than 100,000, 1,000,000 to 2,000,000, 2,000,000 to 3000,000, more than 3,000,000 Toman), and residence situation (university accommodation, other). The life skill questionnaire completed by the students had 78 questions in 10 subscales (Table 1).

The questionnaire had five-scale Likert questions, which were standardized in another study (3). In this questionnaire, “no skill” was shown by zero, “weak skill” by 1, “relatively weak skill” by 2, “relatively strong skill” by 3, and “strong skill” by 4. Therefore, the mean score of each question for each student is between zero and 4; the higher the mean score, the more the students’ acquired life skills (3).

To prepare the data for latent class analysis, quantitative variables were changed to binary variables by dividing the mean scores of 10 subscale variables into two categories of \( \geq 2 \) (good life skills) and \( < 2 \) (weak life skills).

We used the latent class analysis to determine the level of life skills and evaluate the effect of demographic predictors on these skills. This analysis is an advanced statistical method that builds a model for the relationship between observed categorical variables and a discrete latent variable. In this method, the sample units are divided into different classes according to their responses, so the units in each class have the same responses and those who are not in the same classes have different responses. This property can let the researcher compare the classes according to the participants’ responses (9, 10). In the latent class models, the effect of predictors on each class of participants can be modeled at the same time (11-13). In this study, the unconditional latent class model (ignoring the effects of predictors) and the conditional latent class model were fitted to the data. To find the best number of latent classes, we used different indices including Bayesian information criterion (BIC), Bootstrap likelihood ratio test (BLRT), Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio (LMRLR), and adjusted Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio (ALMRLR) (14, 15). The low value of BIC indicates a better fit and the significant tests of BLRT, LMRLR, and ALMRLR indicate that the model has a better fit than other models with different classes.

We used logistic regression to review the effect of predictors on the classes. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 18 software and Mplus 6.12.

The study was confirmed by the Ethics Council of IUMS under the reference number of IR-IUMS REC 95-03-27-29485.

4. Results

The recruited participants in this study included 126 (36.8%) B.Sc. students, 92 (26.9%) M.Sc. students, 96 (28.1%) MD students, and 28 (8.2%) Ph.D. students. The highest score of life skills belonged to Ph.D. students and the lowest one belonged to medical students. The highest score of life skills belonged to the participants from the school of Management (Mean = 220.3, SD = 39.42) and Public Health (Mean = 214.3, SD = 34.08) and the lowest belonged to the school of Medicine (Mean = 210.3, SD = 39.42) without any significant differences (\( P = 0.313 \)). The mean age of the participants was 23.7 \pm 4.49 years, with a low, non-significant correlation between age and life skill score (\( r = 0.04, P = 0.548 \)).

Also, 302 (88.3%) students were single and 39 (11.4%) were married; the life skill score of married participants (218.7) was non-significantly more than that of single participants (210). Female students had more life skill scores (Mean = 216.9, SD = 35.89) than male participants (Mean = 208.1, SD = 32.65), but the difference was not significant (\( P = 0.07 \)). The life skill score was lower in students living in the university accommodation than in others but without any significant difference.

To determine the level of life skills in participants, the mean scores of life skills were calculated (between 0 and 4) (Table 2).

| Subscale Number of Question Question |
|-------------------------------------|
| Decision making                     | 8 | 1-8 |
| Problem-solving                     | 6 | 9-14 |
| Creative thinking                   | 6 | 15-20 |
| Critical thinking                   | 10 | 21-30 |
| Effective communication             | 11 | 31-41 |
| Inter-personal relation             | 4 | 42-45 |
| Self-consciousness                  | 15 | 46-60 |
| Empathy                             | 8 | 64-68 |
| Coping with emotions                | 3 | 69-71 |
| Coping with stress                  | 7 | 72-78 |
Table 2. The Mean and Standard Deviation of Students’ Life Skill Scores

| Subscale                | Mean | SD  |
|-------------------------|------|-----|
| Decision-making         | 2.7  | 0.57|
| Problem-solving         | 2.5  | 0.62|
| Creative thinking       | 2.8  | 0.65|
| Critical thinking       | 2.8  | 0.51|
| Effective communication | 2.7  | 0.55|
| Interpersonal relationship | 2.8 | 0.64|
| Self-consciousness      | 2.5  | 0.51|
| Empathy                 | 2.8  | 0.55|
| Coping with Emotions    | 2.8  | 1.01|
| Coping with stress      | 2.7  | 0.59|

Table 2 shows that the highest mean score was related to the interpersonal relationship subscale and the lowest mean score was related to the problem-solving subscale with the mean of 2.5. The mean scores of all subscales were greater than the average 2.

Table 3 shows the frequency and percentage of life skill levels for each subscale. Table 3 also shows that a high percentage of participants were classified as high skill participants.

The presented results in Table 4 showed that BLRT, LM-RLR, and ALMRLR tests were significant for models with 2, 3, and 4 classes; therefore, these models had better fit than previous models. Among these models, the model with two classes had the lowest BIC index and considered the best fitting model.

The entropy value for the chosen model (with two classes) was 0.807 that revealed that the evaluated classification was fine. The probability of having high life skills was calculated for each subscale for the chosen model (Table 5). Table 5 shows that the first class included 260 sample units (76%) with high life skills with a probability of more than 0.95. Therefore, 76% of the sample units were included in the first class, which had high life skills with high probability. About 24% of the sample units were included in the second class, which had a moderate probability to have high life skills. Also, according to the BIC index and tests, classes 4 and 5 did not enter the model.

We can infer according to Table 5 if a sample includes in class 2, it has a probability of 0.835 to have high critical thinking and probability of 0.536 to have high problem-solving, and so on.

In the next stage to evaluate the effect of demographic factors such as age, gender, education level, marital status, income level, and accommodation status, the latent class conditional model was fitted to the data. Table 6 shows the results of the conditional model.

The results of the conditional latent class presented in Table 6 were similar to the data of Table 5 with just one difference including 29 missed data; therefore, the interpretation of Table 6 is similar to Table 5.

To evaluate the effect of covariates on the latent class, the logistic regression was fitted to the data. In this model, the class number (classes 1 or 2) was considered as the response variable and the demographic variables were entered into the model as predictors (Table 7). In this logistic regression model, class 1 was considered as the reference
Table 4. Results of BIC Index and Different Statistical Tests to Determine the Number of Latent Classes

| Number of Classes | BIC       | BLRT      | LMR LR   | ALMR     |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| One classes       | 2065.88   | N.P.      | -        | -        |
| Two classes       | 1868.87   | PV < 0.001| PV < 0.001| PV < 0.001|
| Three classes     | 1889.86   | PV < 0.005| PV < 0.034| PV < 0.036|
| Four classes      | 1914.28   | PV < 0.001| PV < 0.010| PV < 0.011|
| Five classes      | 1960.19   | PV = 0.485| PV = 0.342| PV = 0.348|

Abbreviation: N.P., not possible to calculate.

Table 5. The Probability of High Life Skills

| Subscale               | The First Latent Class (N = 260) Had High Life Skills with High Probability | The Second Latent Class (N = 82) Had High Life Skills with Moderate Probability |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Decision-making        | 1.0                                                                         | 0.656                                                                         |
| Problem-solving        | 0.976                                                                      | 0.536                                                                         |
| Creative thinking      | 0.987                                                                      | 0.806                                                                         |
| Critical thinking      | 0.994                                                                      | 0.835                                                                         |
| Effective communication| 0.996                                                                      | 0.703                                                                         |
| Interpersonal relationship | 0.986                                                                    | 0.778                                                                         |
| Self-consciousness     | 0.991                                                                      | 0.635                                                                         |
| Empathy                | 0.975                                                                      | 0.819                                                                         |
| Coping with emotions   | 0.957                                                                      | 0.666                                                                         |
| Coping with stress     | 0.953                                                                      | 0.620                                                                         |

Table 6. Probability of Having High Probability in Conditional Model

| Subscale               | The First Latent Class (N = 231) Had High Life Skills with High Probability | The Second Latent Class (N = 82) Had High Life Skills with Moderate Probability |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Decision-making        | 0.999                                                                        | 0.699                                                                         |
| Problem-solving        | 0.982                                                                        | 0.568                                                                         |
| Creative thinking      | 0.995                                                                        | 0.809                                                                         |
| Critical thinking      | 0.994                                                                        | 0.835                                                                         |
| Effective communication| 1.0                                                                          | 0.742                                                                         |
| Interpersonal relation | 0.989                                                                        | 0.804                                                                         |
| Self-consciousness     | 0.994                                                                        | 0.642                                                                         |
| Empathy                | 0.989                                                                        | 0.814                                                                         |
| Coping with emotions   | 0.964                                                                        | 0.720                                                                         |
| Coping with stress     | 0.958                                                                        | 0.623                                                                         |

In some variables, there were missed data, so 29 sample units were deleted from the model.

group, so in the interpretation of the predictor variables, the odds of being in class 2 (versus class 1) were estimated. For example, in Table 7, the education level was significant and the odds ratio for this variable was equal to 1.77, which indicated that the odds of being in class 2 (versus class 1) increased by 1.77 with each unit increase in education level (while holding other variables fixed). The other predictor variables were not significant at the 0.05 significance level.

5. Discussion

According to the results, 36.8% of the students were undergraduates, 26.9% were in the master level, 28.1% were in medicine discipline, and 8.2% were Ph.D. students. Also, 88.3% of the participants were single and 11.5% were married. The life skill score was non-significantly higher in married participants than in single participants, which were almost older than single students.

The highest score of life skills belonged to the interpersonal relationship subscale with the mean score of 2.8 out of 4 and the lowest was related to the problem-solving subscale with the mean score of 2.5; also, the level of life skills was between 86.8% and 95.3% for most subscales.

In most life skills, female students were more skilled than males and had better scores, but without any significant differences. Tuttle et al. (16) and Akhavan Rezayat et al. (3) showed in their research that there was a significant relationship between life skills and gender, which is in contrast to the results of this study.

According to different educational levels, the highest life skills belonged to Ph.D. students and the lowest one belonged to medical students, but without any significant differences. It seems that due to the long period of the study of medical students, especially in clinical courses, they are too busy with their courses, patients, etc., thus, their life skills are lower than the skills of others.

To assess life skills according to the faculties of participants, the highest score belonged to the schools of Management and Public Health and the lowest belonged to the
school of Medicine, without any significant differences. It seems that medical students are very busy with their studies, especially clinical courses; thus, they have not enough time to learn life skills.

The life skills of students living in university accommodation were less than the life skills of others but without any significant differences. It seems that non-accommodated students have more relationships with other students of different ages, ethnicity, etc., thus, their life skills are more than others.

There was a low, non-significant correlation between the age of the participants and the life skill scores, but a study proved that the last-year undergraduate students had more life skills than others (17).

Using BIC indices and tests, the latent model with two classes was chosen as the best model. In this model, latent class 1 included 76% of the sample units and this sample had high life skills with a probability of 0.95. The frequency of the sample in latent class 2 was 24% and this sample had moderate life skills.

The probability of high life skills in latent class 1 for all subscales was more than 0.95 and at most, it was equal to one. The probability of high life skills in latent class 2 was between 0.536 and 0.835. With adding demographic variables to the model, there were no changes in the inclusion probabilities of samples in the latent class model.

In the latent class regression model with the first class as the reference group, the second class as the response variable, and demographic variables as the predictor variables, it was shown that the education level is the only significant variable that was entered into the model. Also, with increasing the level of this variable, the odds ratio of samples to be in latent class 2 increased by 0.77.

Different factors can affect the life skills of students. Some of these factors, which are the most important ones, were investigated using an advanced statistical method. However, some other factors such as education discipline, education of parents, race, socioeconomic status, etc. have effects on the life skills of the students while they were not investigated by the authors due to the limitation of sample size. It is suggested that future studies assess the role of these variables in students’ life skills. Also, due to differences in different parts of the country, the authors suggest conducting similar studies in different regions of the country.

Using the results of this study, university decision-makers can identify students with low life skills to increase their skills using educational workshops, lectures, and life skill seminars with suitable planning.

5.1. Conclusions

In all subscales of life skills, more than 86% of the students were classified as highly skilled. The entropy (0.807) for the two-class model revealed that the evaluated classification was good. Moreover, 76% of the sample units included in the high life skills class had a high probability (95%). Also, using logistic regression with class 1 as the reference group, it was shown that with an increase in the unit of education level, the chance of including the predictors to be in class 2 increased by 1.77.
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