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**ABSTRACT**

Pearl millet is a climate-resilient crop which is most widely grown in the arid and semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa over 26 mha. It is a highly nutritious cereal crop and rightly termed as nutricereal. This crop requires low inputs and delivers high cost-effective benefits. Development of high yielding hybrids is the major target of pearl millet researchers globally. The understanding of genetic diversity is very important and must for developing superior hybrids and crop improvement programs. In the present study, we evaluated the diversity among 30 different released hybrids and varieties of pearl millet using 125 Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) markers. Out of these, 61 polymorphic SSRs were reported giving 191 alleles with an average of 3.13 alleles per primer. Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) varied from 0.33 to 0.76 with an average of 0.55 PIC value. The cluster analysis based on these SSR markers categorized the genotypes into four major clusters viz., I, II, III, IV with similarity coefficient ranging from 0.58 to 0.73. The results depicted that...
sufficient genetic variability exists among the different hybrids and varieties used in the study which can further prove useful for pearl millet improvement programs. The study also reveals that SSR markers are proficient and may be used efficiently for genetic diversity studies in pearl millet. It is also anticipated that findings of this study may be further used for DNA fingerprinting and varietal identification.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] is a broadly cultivated, climate-resilient, nutritious cereal grown in arid and semi-arid regions of Africa and South Asia. It contributes mainly for around half of the total global production of millets [1]. It is the essential and important fraction of traditional farming systems and is cultivated since thousands of years. It is being consumed by humans due to its more nutritive value in comparison to other cereals. It has huge yield potential and both forage and grain are vital for the espousal of improved cultivars. Pearl millet is drought tolerant, highly photosynthetic efficient crop with high dry matter production capacity and can even sustain on less fertile soils having poor water and nutrient holding capacity. Such types of features make it a highly desirable crop among farmers under changing climatic scenario [2]. It has significant amount of genetic diversity due to its ample distribution all over the world, high adaptability towards harsh environments, cross pollination mechanism and protogynous flowering [3]. Although pearl millet has a very efficient energy production system but its genetic improvement is lesser as compared to other major cereals giving lesser yields. This lower productivity is mainly due to inadequate genetic improvement and accessibility of improved hybrids and varieties besides agronomic and socioeconomic production limitations [4]. Identification of superior genotypes and genetic diversity estimation are the major goals of any crop improvement programme. Several studies have been carried out in various crops to assess genetic diversity for augmenting the genetic base of parental lines to develop superior cultivars [5-8]. Availability, assessment, and exploitation of genetic diversity are quite useful and have been used in pearl millet as well to develop new cultivars and heterotic group. Cultivars of pearl millet have been generated from a narrow gene pool and thus there is a high need to study genetic diversity to strengthen current breeding programs in pearl millet which will put forward the potential for their use to improve pearl millet hybrids and open-pollinated varieties [9]. Subsequently, analysis of genetic relationships in pearl millet is of utmost importance as it will give information of genetic diversity, which can be further used for several breeding applications, conservation of genetic resources and ultimately to hasten its genetic enhancement for agronomical and nutritional traits.

Improved and superior climate-smart pearl millet cultivars can be developed using innovative breeding strategies to meet out the increasing demands of food for growing population [10]. Hybrid breeding has led to a progressive yield improvement, especially in India and is the most significant approach for pearl millet improvement. Morphological characterization is mainly used by researchers to select superior genotypes but different studies revealed that morphological markers are not appropriate for traits exhibiting lower heritability and greatly affected by environmental conditions. Such constraints gave rise to the evolution of molecular markers which can not only be used to distinguish various germplasm accessions, but can also characterize and estimate genetic distances among different groups of genotypes ultimately enhancing the power of conventional plant breeding and genetics methods [11]. Thus, molecular markers put forward significant advantages over morphological markers for assessment of genetic diversity. Since past several years, various molecular markers were used to analyze genetic diversity among different cultivars and land races of pearl millet [12-17].

Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) markers were first time used in pearl millet to create the first genetic linkage map. After this, several molecular markers including RFLPs, Sequence Tagged Sites (STSs), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLPs), genomic SSRs, Single-Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCPs) and genic SSRs were developed and applied in pearl millet improvement studies [14]. Later, high throughput platforms like Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) [14], Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) [17], Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs) [18] were developed and used for profiling
genome-wide nucleotide variations in pearl millet. Although nowadays, Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based single-nucleotide polymorphisms have become the marker of choice but still SSRs seem to be largely useful for estimating diversity, defining heterotic groups and DNA fingerprinting. Among the different DNA markers used earlier for estimation of genetic diversity in cultivars and land races of pearl millet, SSRs are the markers of choice and more consistent owing to several features like multi-allelism, codominant inheritance, genome specificity, cost effectiveness, even distribution throughout the genome, high polymorphism, technically simple method, automation and easy detection, requirement of common lab equipments etc. [8,19]. Further, they can be swapped amid laboratories and are vastly transferable among populations and hence have been extensively used for analyzing genetic diversity and germplasm characterization, identification of genotypes, DNA fingerprinting, estimation of genetic distances among populations and defining heterotic groups for inbred lines [20]. Thus, keeping all this in view, the current study was aimed to investigate the nature and extent of genetic variance among released hybrids/varieties of pearl millet using SSR markers and take initiative for genomic studies in pearl millet under (ICAR) Indian Council of Agricultural Research - All India Coordinated Research Program (AICRP) on Pearl millet because database on various morphological parameters for these hybrids/varieties is already available but till now no molecular database is existing so that it can be further used in pearl millet improvement programs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

Plant material comprised of a total of 30 genotypes including popular released hybrids and varieties of pearl millet developed under Indian Council of Agricultural Research-All India Coordinated Research Program on Pearl Millet, Jodhpur, India. The molecular marker analysis was performed at PC Unit, ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Jodhpur during 2019-20.

2.2 Genomic DNA Isolation and Quantification

DNA extraction was done from fresh and young leaves of 12 days old plants of 30 genotypes following CTAB method along with some modifications without using liquid nitrogen as described in other study [21] and quantified on 0.8 % agarose gel using the standard λ DNA.

2.3 Molecular Characterization

A total of 125 SSR primers were used for PCR amplification and study of molecular diversity among 30 pearl millet genotypes. The sequence of these PSMP series genomic SSR markers were obtained from the previous studies [22-24]. For PCR reaction, DNA was diluted according to make available final concentration of 10 ng/μl and amplification reactions were performed according to a volume of 10 μl containing 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ 50 mM KCl, 200 mM each dNTP, 0.4 μM 10-mer primer mix, 1 unit Taq NA polymerase (Bangalore GeNei, India) and 10 ng of DNA. Amplifications were carried out in a 96-well thermal cycler (Agilent Technologies). PCR programme constituted of 1 cycle of 5 min at 94°C for initial denaturation followed by 35 cycles of 30s at 94°C for denaturation, 30 s of 55°C for annealing and 1 min at 72°C for primer extension. Finally, a step of final extension was carried out for 10 mins at 72°C followed by hold at 4°C. The PCR products were analyzed on 2.5% agarose gel.

2.4 Diversity Analysis and Dendrogram Construction

Each band represented a genetic locus and the variations in the bands were scored manually as presence (1) and absence (0) of bands using gel photographs. Only the clear, unambiguous amplicons were scored and their sizes were estimated using 50 bp DNA ladder (HiMedia) as standard. Based on Jaccard’s similarity coefficients, cluster analysis was performed among the genotypes using UPGMA and SAHN-clustering algorithms of NTSYS-PC (Numerical Taxonomy System, Version 2.02e NTSYS-pc, version 2.02e (Applied Biostatistics) software. Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) was calculated as, Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) =Σ (1-P²i)/n, where n is the number of band positions analyzed in the set of accessions and P²i is the frequency of i allele.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Molecular Characterization and SSR Analysis

In the current study, a total of 30 popular pearl millet hybrids and varieties were used for molecular characterization using 125 SSRs. Of
these 125 primers used here, 93 SSRs amplified products of varying sizes ranging from 100 to 780 bp and 32 (25.6 %) were monomorphic (Table 2) and 61 (48.8%) were polymorphic (Tables 1 and 2). Figs. 1 and 2 show the amplification profile of 30 genotypes using primer pairs PSMP 2070 and PSMP 2218. Thus, a good amount of polymorphic markers were obtained which could be helpful for genotype identification, germplasm management and genetic diversity assessment and further introgress the genes underlying them to desirable genetic backgrounds. A total of 191 alleles were obtained in this study and the number of alleles per locus varied between 2 to 6 (Table 1) with an average of 3.13 alleles. These values are similar to 3.4 alleles per locus and 3.1 alleles per locus as observed by other researchers [25,26], respectively. But, they were relatively lower than 2-18 alleles (6.8 alleles per locus), 4.62 alleles per primer and 12.5 alleles per locus as observed by different investigators in other studies [12,27,28], respectively. Such observations could be attributed to diverse world collection of germplasm. Similar results regarding effectiveness of SSR markers in monitoring genetic diversity have also been reported by other investigators [12,15,27,29-31]. Several reports have been observed on estimation of genetic diversity among parental lines of pearl millet on the basis of molecular profiling [14,15,16,11,17,27]. But, this study is the first report on molecular characterization and genetic diversity analysis of hybrids and varieties which will provide molecular database for the existing hybrids and varieties and will be helpful for developing genomic studies and DNA fingerprinting for pearl millet hybrids and varieties. Molecular characterization has various purposes like management of genetic resources, identification and characterization of new genotypes, revealing genetic relationships among breeds/varieties, utilizing association of traits and markers and analysis of population structure [19], PCR-based markers are largely preferred for genotypic characterization because of their simplicity in use, nondistractive nature and requirement of small quantity of DNA. SSRs provide unique allelic profiles or DNA fingerprints thus can effectively and precisely establish genotypic identity. They also have better distinguishing power than RFLP markers and can reveal genetic relations and pedigree of the inbred lines more effectively. Thus, SSR markers are the most preferred and proficient markers owing to their capability to detect multiallelic loci, simple to use, co-dominance, higher reproducibility, high polymorphism with enormous ability to differentiate the genotypes [27]. Previously, SSRs have been used to assess crop germplasm and genetic diversity in several species such as rice, olive, rye, sugarcane, grape, Brassica etc. [19]. SSR markers possess various advantages in comparison to SNPs in diversity analysis and over and again SSR data can be more useful in defining pedigrees than SNP data. PIC values can range between 0 and 0.5 because of bi-allelic nature of SNPs while it can go above 0.5 in case of SSRs due to their multi-allelic nature. Although in the present genomic era, SNP markers are gaining popularity and are considered as markers of choice but SSR markers will persist to be useful and favourable because of several advantages [19,32]. In several studies, in-depth genotyping revealed by SNPs is not much essential and in such cases SSRs are an appropriate choice as they can be used for larger expansion of sample size without increasing much cost. On the other hand, existing SSR data can be easily used and integrated along with new studies. Thus, SSR markers are the most excellent and of preference for small scale laboratories having limited facilities and budget in comparison to SNPs [33].

The polymorphic information content (PIC) value measures polymorphism for a marker locus as it calculates informativeness of markers and measures the diversity of alleles. It takes into account the number of expressed alleles as well as their relative frequencies to assess the discriminatory power of a locus. PIC index evaluates the intensity of gene variation and a PIC value of ≥0.5 is an indication of higher diversity, while PIC ≤ 0.25 depicts lower diversity and PIC value between 0.25 and 0.5 is indicator of intermediate diversity [34]. Here, in this study, PIC values ranged from 0.33 (PSMP 2059) to 0.76 (PSMP 2203) (Table 1) with an average of 0.55. In some of the previous reports, PIC values varying between 0.02 to 0.97 were reported [31,27,35-37]. An average PIC value of 0.55 observed in the present study is similar to 0.56 and 0.58 reported by other investigators [27,29], respectively. However, it was lesser than average PIC value of 0.671 recorded in a study using Indian rice germplasm [36] while higher than 0.37 PIC value reported when investigating the reliability of the RAPD technique for sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) germplasm [38] and 0.41 and 0.43 as observed in other studies on rice germplasm [35,39], respectively. Out of 61 markers, 30 markers (49.2%) had PIC value > 0.5 indicating that these were highly informative
and the most useful markers for differentiating these hybrids and varieties. Markers having PIC values of 0.5 or above are believed to be extremely valuable in discriminating the genotypes and useful for molecular genetic diversity studies [40]. A high PIC value between 0.65 and 0.75 were recorded in 27.9 % (17 SSR) markers (Table 1). Marker PSMP2203 gave the highest PIC value (0.76) followed by PSMP2072 (0.75), PSMP3032 (0.74) and PSMP2001, PSMP3017, PSMP2066 (0.73) revealing that PSMP2203 is the most informative and best marker for identification and diversity estimation of these pearl millet genotypes followed by PSMP2072, PSMP3032, PSMP2001, PSMP3017 and PSMP2066 markers while the lowest PIC value 0.33 was for maker PSMP 2059 indicating it as the least powerful marker. High PIC values may be observed due to the use of large number of informative markers [40]. Similar reports were observed in several other studies in different crops like pearl millet [12,27,28,29], rice [30,36] and brassica [31].
| S. No. | Name of Primer | Forward Primer Sequence (5’ – 3’) | Reverse Primer Sequence (5’ – 3’) | Product range (bp) | No. of alleles amplified | PIC |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| 1.     | PSMP 2203      | GAACCTTGATGAGTGCACACTAGC          | TTGTTGAGGGGCAACCTTGTGAT          | 170-460            | 5                        | 0.76|
| 2.     | PSMP 3032      | AGCTGACAGGAAAGTGGAG              | CAAACAGCATACAGCAAGGAGA           | 180-400            | 4                        | 0.74|
| 3.     | PSMP 2027      | AGCAATTCGATTACACAGGGAG            | AGCTTTGGAAGGCTGTGTGAC             | 250-280            | 2                        | 0.50|
| 4.     | PSMP 2275      | CGAGTTCGACTCTCCTGCC              | GATCATGTTGTCATGAACCTC             | 270-300            | 2                        | 0.47|
| 5.     | PSMP 3017      | CACAAACACAGCATACAGCAAGG           | AGCTTTGAGGCAAGGCAAGTGGAGA         | 200-550            | 4                        | 0.73|
| 6.     | PSMP 3080      | CAAACACAGCATACAGCAAGG             | GCTGAGGACGCGCATGAGGAA            | 220-500            | 3                        | 0.63|
| 7.     | PSMP 2072      | GAAATCTACACAAAGGCTTCTCAA          | GTACGGAGCAAATGACATCGAA            | 150-155            | 2                        | 0.38|
| 8.     | PSMP 2225      | CCGTACTGATGACTGATGACTG           | TGGGAGGATAGCTAGTGTGAA            | 220-570            | 4                        | 0.69|
| 9.     | PSMP 2070      | ACCGAAAAAGGAGGCACAGGAGA           | GCCACTGATGAGGAAATGAA            | 200-700            | 5                        | 0.72|
| 10.    | PSMP 2076      | GAATTAGTATATGCGCAAATGTG           | ATACATACACATGTAAGACTGTA          | 150-160            | 2                        | 0.47|
| 11.    | PSMP 2084      | AATCATGACATCTGATGCTTCC           | GGTGATTCTTGATGAGGAAATGC          | 180-500            | 5                        | 0.65|
| 12.    | PSMP 2078      | CATGCGCATGACAGATCTTCAAAT          | ACTGTTTGGTTGACAAATCTT            | 140-220            | 3                        | 0.62|
| 13.    | PSMP 2063      | GAGACATGAATAGAAGGAC              | AAGGTAGTTATGATGACTGTGATC          | 150-450            | 4                        | 0.69|
| 14.    | PSMP 2204      | TGCCTTCTGACTCTGTTTT              | AGATATGCGGACGCTGTGGAG            | 150-220            | 2                        | 0.52|
| 15.    | PSMP 2205      | AGTGTGACTAGCTGTTGAAGG             | AGCAAGACATTTGTACATTTGAGAT          | 180-250            | 2                        | 0.46|
| 16.    | PSMP 2210      | CAATATGACGTCCTAGCTCCTAGG          | GGGCAAGATGTTGCAAGTACA            | 190-450            | 5                        | 0.60|
| 17.    | PSMP 2211      | CTGCATGACGTTGCTGACACATT          | ACGAAATGACGAGGACGTCC             | 250-780            | 4                        | 0.70|
| 18.    | PSMP 2215      | CACGTGCTATGATGACTGCG             | ACTGAATGCTCGACATTG GGAAATG       | 130-210            | 3                        | 0.42|
| 19.    | PSMP 2216      | GTATGAAGTTGATGTTGTTGGTC          | AGTGGAGAGGAATGCGTTAAAGG          | 140-160            | 2                        | 0.36|
| 20.    | PSMP 2218      | CTCTGTCAGTGCTTCCGCC              | TCACTCGATCTCTGGCTCAGAA           | 250-550            | 4                        | 0.62|
| 21.    | PSMP 2001      | CATACAGGACTCTACAATGCTCCT          | ACCATCTGACGTCTTTTACTTCC          | 200-400            | 6                        | 0.73|
| 22.    | PSMP 2074      | CACCACTACACTACATTCACACTCAAGC     | ATATCAAAGTAGATCGACATCCCAAA        | 250-260            | 2                        | 0.50|
| 23.    | PSMP 2089      | TTGCGGCGGTCATACCTTC              | TGGTACATGGCTGTGTCATT             | 200-700            | 6                        | 0.71|
| 24.    | PSMP 2008      | GATCATGTTGCTCATGACACTAC          | ACACACACATCATGACATGTCCC          | 190-700            | 5                        | 0.65|
| 25.    | PSMP 2018      | CGAAGACAGTTTTACGATTCACTC         | AGACACATGTCATCAGTCC              | 150-200            | 2                        | 0.43|
| 26.    | PSMP 2019      | TGGTCAGCACCGCTTCTCCTCC           | CGAAGACAGCGATCGGTGCA             | 200-250            | 2                        | 0.52|
| 27.    | PSMP 2030      | ACCGACAGTTGGAATACACAGC           | CATAATGCTTTCAATGCGACAC           | 125-450            | 3                        | 0.62|
| 28.    | PSMP 2043      | TCAATTTGCTGTACTGTTAAAGGTC        | ACAAATGCTGACAGTCCAA              | 190-620            | 4                        | 0.72|
| 29.    | PSMP 2056      | ACCTGAGACGTTTCCAAAAATCCAAAA      | AATTCTGATGGTATCTGAGTGC           | 120-250            | 3                        | 0.42|
| 30.    | PSMP 2059      | GGGAGAGTGAAGGAAACTACACTACAGC     | TGAGAGAGAGAACACTGTCCAA           | 125-300            | 3                        | 0.63|
| 31.    | PSMP 2060      | AGTTAATTAAGTGTGCGCACG            | TACCAATTTTCAATATACTAGGC          | 160-500            | 4                        | 0.69|
| 32.    | PSMP 2064      | ACCGAAATTAAAGTGCAGTTGC          | TTGATTTGTGGTAGACAAATG            | 90-190             | 9                        | 0.68|
| 33.    | PSMP 2066      | ATATTAGACAGTTGACGTCG            | GCATGACACATACAGCAAAAGAAGATGAG   | 190-580            | 5                        | 0.73|
| 34.    | PSMP 2072      | GAAATCTACCAAGGCTCTCCA           | GTACGGAGCAATGACATCTGAA           | 150-600            | 6                        | 0.75|
| 35.    | PSMP 2077      | GCAATATTACACACTGCAAGAACA        | CTCTTGTGCTGATCTTTTCTCTT          | 150-160            | 2                        | 0.48|
| 36.    | PSMP 2080      | CAGAATCCACATCTGCA               | TCTGACGTGCTGGAGTCAA              | 190-210            | 2                        | 0.49|

Table 1. Polymorphic SSR primers used for genetic diversity analysis among *Pennisetum glaucum* genotypes.

Ambawat et al.; CJAST, 39(31): 92-104, 2020; Article no.CJAST.61543
| S. No. | Name of Primer | Forward Primer Sequence (5′ – 3′) | Reverse Primer Sequence (5′ – 3′) | Product range (bp) | No. of alleles amplified | PIC |
|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| 37.   | PSMP 2088      | AAGAAGCCACACCGCAAAAA             | TGATGAAAGTAGAGGTGTTAA            | 150-350            | 3                       | 0.52|
| 38.   | PSMP 2085      | GCACATCATCTCTATAGTAGCAG           | GCATCCGTCTACGAGAAATAA           | 130-180            | 2                       | 0.49|
| 39.   | PSMP 2086      | CGCTTTGTTCTCTTCTCTCTGT            | CTTTCTACAGATGCTGTTTCTTT         | 100-140            | 2                       | 0.48|
| 40.   | PSMP 2087      | GGAACAGACTCCATACTGGA              | TACCTGCTTGCTGTATTAG            | 130-140            | 2                       | 0.50|
| 41.   | PGIR D5        | CAACCAACCCATATTACTTATCTG          | GCAACTCTTGCTCTTCTTGG           | 150-155            | 2                       | 0.50|
| 42.   | PGIR D7        | CGGAGAGCGACTAGAGCCTGG             | CGGATGCTACTGCTCTTAT             | 100-160            | 2                       | 0.43|
| 43.   | PGIR D12       | ACTGTGTCCGATGACTCTCTCT            | CGGGGAAGAGACAGCTACT             | 140-200            | 2                       | 0.50|
| 44.   | PGIR D13       | CAGCAGCGAGAGGATTTGAGCA           | GCGTAGACGCGGTAGTAG             | 200-270            | 3                       | 0.67|
| 45.   | PGIR D19       | TGAGGACGAGAAAGAAGAC              | CAACACCAACAGAAAAGCTGA          | 225-500            | 3                       | 0.48|
| 46.   | PGIR D21       | GTATTGCCACTGCTTCCA               | CCACATGCAACAGCAAAAT             | 130-160            | 2                       | 0.51|
| 47.   | PGIR D25       | CGGAGCTCTATCTATCCAA             | GCAAGCCCAAGGCCCTATCTC          | 160-165            | 2                       | 0.50|
| 48.   | PSMP 2066      | ATATCAGACCTTGCTACGC             | GCTAGACCATACAGCAGAAAT           | 140-145            | 2                       | 0.42|
| 49.   | PSMP 2213      | CCAAAAGAAACACACCCAC             | GTGATGCTACTGCTGTGTTG           | 130-270            | 3                       | 0.47|
| 50.   | PSMP 2220      | GCATCTTCCACCTTCAAGA             | TGGGAAAACAAATGGAGAAAGAG         | 150-350            | 4                       | 0.61|
| 51.   | PSMP 2222      | TGCTTCCGACTAATCATCAC            | TTATCTTACGGCGAGATGAC          | 200-350            | 2                       | 0.44|
| 52.   | PSMP 2223      | CATGCTTTCTCTCTCTGTTAACC         | CAGCTCTTCTCGATCCTACAC          | 190-250            | 2                       | 0.49|
| 53.   | PSMP 2048      | TGAATTGGGAAATAAAGGAGACC         | ACCTTTGCTCTGCTTGGATGAAC         | 200-450            | 5                       | 0.68|
| 54.   | PSMP 2063      | GACACATGAAATAGGAGACG            | AAGTAGTTATATGGTTAGCTG          | 150-350            | 3                       | 0.39|
| 55.   | PSMP 2078      | CATGCCCCATGATCTTCTAAAT         | ACTGTTTGGCTCCCAAATACCT         | 160-350            | 2                       | 0.34|
| 56.   | PSMP 2079      | AGCCGAGGCTATAACTCACA          | GTGTTACCGACAGATGTA               | 250-450            | 3                       | 0.59|
| 57.   | PSMP 2081      | CTGCTTCTCGCTTTCACTCC           | TCAGATCACCTATTCTCTCCTCC         | 170-300            | 2                       | 0.46|
| 58.   | PSMP 2059      | GGGGAGTATGGAAAAACAACTCAC       | TCGAGAGAGAACCCTTGATCTAA         | 100-350            | 3                       | 0.33|
| 59.   | PSMP 2074      | AGGACTGTAGAGGTGTTGACAA         | CCAAGACTACAGATGGAAGA           | 140-500            | 4                       | 0.47|
| 60.   | PSMP 2212      | GATTGAGTGGAGTGGCTGTG          | CAAACAGCATCAGCAGCACAG             | 250-460            | 3                       | 0.54|
| 61.   | PSMP 2221      | TTGCGGTACGCAATGTCCT         | CCGAAGTGCCAGTGCACCA           | 220-400            | 2                       | 0.36|
3.2 Diversity Analysis and Dendrogram Construction

Pearl millet has a remarkable amount of diversity at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Genetic variation has much importance and its evaluation and relationship in breeding material can have significant impact in the crop improvement programs as characterization and knowledge of genetic diversity is highly helpful for development of commercial hybrids [2]. The genetic relationships among the genotypes used in the current study were invariably according to the available pedigree data. The cluster analysis based on SSRs categorized the genotypes into four main clusters viz., I, II, III, IV with similarity coefficient ranging from 0.58 to 0.73 (Fig. 3) which are similar to those reported in other pearl millet collections [27,41,42] and sorghum [25]. Cluster I contained fifteen genotypes and grouped together at similarity index of 0.58. In this cluster, early maturing pearl millet hybrids/varieties viz. RHB 177, Dhanshakti, HHB 67 Imp, PB 1756, HHB 272, RHB 223, MPMH 21 clustered together while HHB 299, MPMH 17, AHB 1269, XMT 1497, GHB 558, DHBH 1397 which are medium maturing grouped together. This cluster can be further subdivided into three sub-clusters-

| Markers | No. of markers |
|---------|---------------|
| Number of markers used | 125 |
| Number of amplified markers | 93 |
| Number of non- amplified markers | 32 |
| Number of polymorphic markers | 61 |
| Number of monomorphic markers | 32 |
| Size of amplified products (bp) | 100-780 |
| Percent polymorphism | 48.8% |
| Total number of alleles | 191 |
| Average no. of alleles per primer | 3.13 |

Cluster Ia included three hybrids HHB 299, MPMH 17 and RHB 177 which are specific for A1 zone grouped together indicating that they can be used for developing drought tolerant pearl millet hybrids for drier parts of Rajasthan. Here, hybrids HHB 299 and MPMH 17 showed closer relationship with each other at minimal genetic distances of 0.68. Sub-cluster Ib contained AHB 1269, HHB 272, RHB 223, RHB 233, HHB 67 Imp which are specific for A1 zone while XMT 1497 and Dhanshakti for A zone. Sub-cluster Ic contained hybrids MPMH 21, GHB 558, DHBH 1397 and landrace Chanana Bajra which are suitable for A1 zone. Thus, different genotypes grouped according to their characteristics and salient features as described in other report [43]. Further, cluster I contains high Fe/Zn hybrids/varieties like Dhanshakti, RHB 233, HHB 299 and AHB 1269 and here, HHB 67 Imp and PB 1756; AHB 1269 and XMT 1497; MPMH 21 and GHB 558 showed closer relationship with each other at minimal genetic distances of 0.72, 0.73, and 0.68 respectively (Fig. 3 & Table 3). Cluster II was obtained at a similarity index of 0.60 containing six genotypes namely Gadhwal ki Dhani-3, Chadi Bajri, Sulkhaniya Bajra, HHB 197, NBH 5061 and HHB 146. In this cluster, all landraces are grouped together which are having low to medium rancidity and suitable for drier parts of Rajasthan while HHB 197 and HHB 146 are suitable for A zone and NBH 5061 for B zone. Gadhwal ki Dhani-3 and Chadi Bajri; Sulkhaniya Bajra and HHB 146 clustered close to each other at minimal genetic distances of 0.70 (Table 3). Cluster III includes six genotypes namely BHB 1202, NBH 5767, PB 1720, PB 180, Nandi 52, HHB 223 at a similarity index of 0.59 and BHB 1202 & HHB 223 are suitable for A1 zone while NBH 5767 is for B zone and PB 180 is a summer hybrid. Further, BHB 1202 and NBH 5767; PB 180 and Nandi 52 showed closer relationship with each other at minimal genetic distances of 0.66 and 0.69 respectively in this cluster (Table 3). The three genotypes viz. AHB 1200, RHB 234 and HHB 311 are included in cluster IV at a similarity index of 0.67. Here, all three hybrids of medium maturity group and biofortified are grouped together but are entirely separated from other hybrids of medium maturity group. Here, AHB 1200 and RHB 234 clustered together with lowest genetic distance (0.64) while AHB 1200 and HHB 311 showed similarity at 0.69. It has been proved that SSRs can be suitable and efficient tool for molecular characterization of many plant species including pearl millet. Similarly, clustering between genotypes of pearl millet based on molecular markers was also recorded in other studies [11,17,44-46].
### Table 3. Summary of Nei’s (1972) genetic distance among 30 different genotypes of pearl millet

| HHB  | AHB  | Dhans-RHB | RHB  | HHB  | AHB  | HSB  | HHB  | MPMH  | BHB  | RHB  | HHB  | PB  | XMT  | NBH  | MPMH  | HHB  | PB  | DHBH  | NBH  | Gadhwal | Chanana | Sukhmaniya Cha- | GHB  | HHB  | PB  | Nandi | HHB  |
|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-----|------|------|-----|
| 299  | 1200 | hakti     | 233  | 234  | 311  | 1269 | 272  | 21   | 1202 | 223  | 177  | 67   | 1756| 1497| 5767 | 17   | 197  | 1720 | 1397 | 5061 | 3      | di     |       | 558  | 146  | 180  | 52  | 223 |

|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

**Note:** The table shows the genetic distance among 30 different genotypes of pearl millet. The distances are calculated using Nei’s (1972) formula. The distances range from 0.52 to 0.68. The highest genetic distance is seen between HHB 299 and AHB 1200, while the lowest is between HHB 299 and HHB 2002.

**Ambawat et al., CJAST, 39(31): 92-104, 2020; Article no.CJAST.61543**
Fig. 3. UPGMA dendrogram showing genetic relationship among pearl millet hybrids/varieties based on Jaccard’s similarity coefficients using SSR markers

4. CONCLUSION

In the present study, the pearl millet hybrids/varieties have been successfully characterized and categorized into diverse groups which will be useful to assess the evolutionary relationships with the wild relatives. The results indicated that good genetic variability exists among the different hybrids and varieties and can be further used in pearl millet improvement programs. Here, we could get a good amount of polymorphic SSR markers with high PIC values revealing that SSRs can be efficiently used for genetic diversity studies in pearl millet. These results will be useful in removing the gaps in lineage or selection history, detecting differences in allelic frequencies within genotypes or populations. It will be also fruitful to explore new alleles at various loci of interest and DNA fingerprinting and varietal identification.
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