Hydrogen production from the air

Green hydrogen produced by water splitting using renewable energy is the most promising energy carrier of the low-carbon economy. However, the geographic mismatch between renewables distribution and freshwater availability poses a significant challenge to its production. Here, we demonstrate a method of direct hydrogen production from the air, namely, in situ capture of freshwater from the atmosphere using hygroscopic electrolyte and electrolysis powered by solar or wind with a current density up to 574 mA cm$^{-2}$. A prototype of such has been established and operated for 12 consecutive days with a stable performance at a Faradaic efficiency around 95%. This so-called direct air electrolysis (DAE) module can work under a bone-dry environment with a relative humidity of 4%, overcoming water supply issues and producing green hydrogen sustainably with minimal impact to the environment. The DAE modules can be easily scaled to provide hydrogen to remote, (semi-) arid, and scattered areas.
here, we demonstrate a method to produce high purity hydrogen by electrolyzing in situ hygroscopic electrolyte exposed to air. The electrolyzer operates steadily under a wide range of R.H., as low as 4%, while producing high purity hydrogen with a Faradaic efficiency around 95% for more than 12 consecutive days, without any input of liquid water. A solar-driven prototype with five parallel electrolyzers has been devised to work in the open air, achieving an average hydrogen generation rate of 745 L H$_2$ day$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ cathode; and a wind-driven prototype has also been demonstrated for H$_2$ production from the air. This work opens up a sustainable pathway to produce green hydrogen without consuming liquid water.

Results
Design of the Direct Air Electrolysis (DAE) module for hydrogen production

Hydrogen production from the air was realized through our DAE module. As shown in the sandwich structure in Fig.2a, b, this module consists of a water harvesting unit in the middle and electrodes on both sides paired with gas collectors. The module is integrated with a power supply, for example, a solar panel, a wind turbine, and any other renewable generators. Importantly, the water harvesting unit also serves as the reservoir to hold the electrolyte. Porous medium such as melamine sponge, sintered glass foam is soaked with deliquescent ionic substance to absorb moisture from the air via the exposed surfaces. The captured water in the liquid phase is transferred to the surfaces of the electrodes via diffusion and subsequently split into hydrogen and oxygen in situ which are collected separately as a pure gas, since both electrodes are isolated from air (Supplementary Figs. 4–6). The reservoir between the endplate and the porous foam (Supplementary Fig. 5b) works as an air barrier and a buffer for the volume of the ionic solution at excessive fluctuation of the air humidity. This reservoir avoids the overflow of the electrolyte from the DAE module or the dry-up of the wetted foam. When glass foam is chosen as the porous media, quartz wool is tightly packed in between the foam and the electrodes to ensure the connectivity of the aqueous phase (Supplementary Fig. 7). The porous media also ensure the free movement of the electrolyte in the capillary of the foam (Supplementary Fig. 8, Supplementary Movie 1). The foam filled with ionic solutions forms a physical barrier that effectively isolates hydrogen, oxygen, and air from any mixing.

Hygroscopic substances characterized with a strong affinity with water tend to extract moisture from the atmosphere at exposure, absorbing sufficient water to form an aqueous solution which is hygroscopic in nature. When the chemical potential ($\mu$) of water vapor

Fig. 1 | Superimposed atlas of water risk and the renewable energy. a water risk and solar energy potential; b water risk and wind energy potential (excluding coast areas). Separate maps are available in Supplementary Fig. 1–3. Source: World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct$^4$, accessed on [04.2021], aqueduct.wri.org; World Bank Group$^5$, accessed on [04.2021], https://globalsolaratlas.info; Technical University of Denmark$^6$, accessed on [04.2021], https://globalwindatlas.info—Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License.
Based DAE module, the voltage was as high as 3.70 V due to the large period with a continual supply of air and power. For CH$_3$COOK lytes were able to produce hydrogen gases successfully for an extent that the direct air electrolysis modules using the respective electrolytes can absorb moisture from the air and form ionic electrolytes. It was found along with O$_2$ at the anode (Supplementary Fig. 9).

In the atmosphere is greater than that in a hygroscopic solution, i.e., $\mu_{\text{air}} > \mu_{\text{solution}}$, the solution will continue absorbing water vapor and being diluted until the vapor-liquid equilibrium is reached at $\mu_{\text{air}} = \mu_{\text{solution}}$, making the concentration of the solution C equal to the equilibrium one C* (Fig.2c). In this study, we tested several hygroscopic materials, including CH$_3$COOK, KOH, and H$_2$SO$_4$, representing a salt, a base, and an acid, respectively. All three materials spontaneously absorb moisture from the air and form ionic electrolytes. It was found that the direct air electrolysis modules using the respective electrolytes were able to produce hydrogen gases successfully for an extended period with a continual supply of air and power. For CH$_3$COOK based DAE module, the voltage was as high as 3.70 V due to the large size of acetate anions and substantial CO$_2$ and ethane byproducts found along with O$_2$ at the anode (Supplementary Fig. 9).

Importantly, the DAE module using Ni electrode (Supplementary Fig. 10) and KOH electrolyte with moisture supplied by 60% R.H. air achieved a high current density of 273 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 3.0 V and 574 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 4.0 V, or 177 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 3.0 V and 15% R.H. (Fig.2d). However, the performance of this DAE module started to decline after 72 hr and we had to stop it at 96 h. This was because the voltage of the DAE module increased from 2.3 V to 2.4 V due to the gradual conversion of KOH into K$_2$CO$_3$ and eventually KHCO$_3$ at exposure to the 420 ppm level CO$_2$ in the air. KHCO$_3$ is less soluble in water hence less conductive as an electrolyte, and critically it is non-deliquescent, unable to absorb moisture from the air. We believe if the CO$_2$ in the feed air can be rejected by a barrier, KOH would stay as a top choice for the DAE module.

Sulfuric acid has been identified as one of the best hygroscopic materials that can absorb moisture from the air down to relative humidity 5% or below$^{33,34}$. Meanwhile, the sulfuric acid solutions are high in conductivity (0.61 S cm$^{-1}$ at 50.0 wt%$^{35,36}$), non-volatile, and it is non-toxic to the environment. It was found the current density of the DAE using H$_2$SO$_4$ soaked melamine sponge could also reach 150 mA cm$^{-2}$, 2.5 times higher than that using sintered glass foam, because the series resistance of the former was 50% lower owning to its high open-pore fraction (Fig.2e). However, the melamine sponge gradually degrades in the H$_2$SO$_4$ solution after a week. In this regard, the following studies were carried out using sulfuric acid electrolyte equipped with glass foams and platinum (Pt) mesh electrodes (Supplementary Fig. 11) for long-term stability and CO$_2$ resistance. It is also interesting to note that in the concentration range of sulfuric acid of this work, the corresponding freezing point of the electrolyte is below ~30°C$^{37}$, implying potential working temperature under an icing environment.
The DAE module’s performance was investigated using current density (J) and voltage (V) characteristic experiments conducted at 25 °C. The effect of relative humidity ranging from 20% to 80%, as well as the pore size and thickness of the sintered glass foams, were studied. Sintered glass foams were labelled as G1, G2, and G3 corresponding to the pore size of 50–70, 30–50, 16–30 μm, respectively. Also, a series of experiments with extended time durations of 288 h was conducted to investigate the stability of the DAE module.

The effect of the different pore sizes of sintered glass foams on the J–V behavior is shown in Fig. 3a, using 62.0 wt% H₂SO₄ solution as electrolytes. Current density is negligible (<1 mA cm⁻²) at a voltage below 2.0 V due to the overpotential of the Pt mesh. As long as the capillary force still holds the electrolyte, the current density increases with the use of larger pored sintered glass foams, indicating higher conductivity and energy efficiency for overall water splitting due to better mobility of electrolyte in larger pores. At 3.0 V, a current density of 27.1 mA cm⁻² was achieved using G3 sintered glass foam and it...
increased to 37.8 mA cm^{-2} using GI sintered glass foam. Therefore, the GI sintered glass foam was chosen for further study of the foam thickness, owning to the high electrical conductivity, low resistance, and high energy efficiency it brings to the DAE module.

The operation temperature and sintered glass foam’s thickness also plays a role in the J-V behavior. As shown in Fig.3b, with the increase of temperature from 25 °C to 45 °C, the current density for the DAE module increased from 37.5 mA cm^{-2} to 44.8 mA cm^{-2} under a constant voltage of 3.0 V. This can be attributed to the improved ion conductivity of H_2SO_4 with elevating the temperature. In the meantime, the J-V curve shifts upwards with decreasing glass foam thickness at 25 °C. At 3.0 V, the current densities are 17.5 and 37.5 mA cm^{-2} while using 2.5 and 1.5 cm thickness GI sintered glass foams, respectively. According to Pouillet’s law, the resistance is proportional to the distance between the electrodes, suggesting that a large distance between the cathode and anode contributed to high resistance for overall water splitting. Hence, under specific current density, the gap between two electrodes should be as small as possible to maintain relatively high energy efficiency. However, the mass transfer area for water absorption is proportional to the sintered glass foam’s thickness. There is a trade-off between the water absorption area and conductivity. Considering both factors, we chose the GI sintered glass foam with 1.5 cm total thickness for further investigation, given that it could provide sufficient mass transfer area for air-electrolyte contact while maintaining moderate energy efficiency.

The observed experimental concentration of sulfuric acid C is constantly above its equilibrium concentration C* during the direct air electrolysis process. This difference represents the driving force for moisture absorption (ΔC = C_{exp}[51 wt%]−C_{eq}[47.7 wt%] ≈ 3.4 wt%) and the H_2 Faradaic efficiency (around 95%) are all stabilized. Accordingly, the corresponding current densities collected under specific voltages (2.4, 2.7, 3.0 V) also reached steady state in this 12-day continual operation (Fig.3f). This result indicates excellent adaptability and long-term stability of the DAE modules under different air R.H., cell voltage, and electrolyte concentrations.

Demonstration of DAE modules stack with solar panel in the open air

To further demonstrate the DAE module’s working capability in a practical environment, we designed and constructed a free-standing hydrogen generation tower consisting of five DAE modules stacked in parallel superimposed vertically with a solar panel for power supply. The details of the structure of the tower are shown in Fig.4a and Supplementary Fig. 17. One of the advantages of such design is that the footprint of the tower is no more than the solar panel, meaning our DAE will not occupy extra land, which can be costly in some areas. The tower was tested for two days, 8 h per day, in the open air of a hot-dry summer (Mediterranean climate) in the campus of the University of Melbourne. The setup of the module of the outdoor surroundings is provided in Supplementary Movie 2. The outdoor temperature varied from 20 °C to 40 °C, and the relative humidity ranged from 20% to 40% over the testing period. Since the solar panel was used as a renewable energy supply, the voltage, and the current of each DAE module were solely determined by solar intensity (Supplementary Movie 3), which varies every hour. The product hydrogen gas evolved from the cathode was collected in an inverted, water-filled cylinder over water, which was then used to examine the gas production rate (Supplementary Movie 4). The oxygen generated on the anode of the DAE was vented into the air.

The performance of the tower was shown in Fig.4b and Supplementary Fig. 18, in the form of the hydrogen generation rate, hydrogen evolution Faradaic efficiency (η_{H_2}), the overall current, and the voltage. During the open-air demonstration, η_{H_2} was at an average of 95% during the daytime, shown as the red line in Fig.4b. On the first day, when the weather was sunny, the current output was stable around 400 mA, and voltage 2.68 V. The hydrogen evolution rate was 186 m^3 h^−1, with the total hydrogen production at 1490 ml in a day, which is equivalent to 745 L H_2 day^−1 m^−2 of the cathode, or 3.7 m^3 H_2 day^−1 (m^2 tower)^−1.

On the second day, a few hours of good sunlight guaranteed the current output stable at 400 mA from 9:00 to 13:00, with an average hydrogen generation rate of about 179 ml h^−1, similar to that of the first day. However, in the early morning from 8:00 to 9:00, the solar intensity was limited, resulting in a relatively lower current output of 270–370 mA and a hydrogen generation rate of 140 ml h^−1. In the cloudy late afternoon (14:00 to 16:00), the poor weather conditions reduced the solar panel’s current output to as low as 50 mA, and hence, the hydrogen generation rate dropped to 21 ml h^−1. On the whole, under non-ideal weather conditions, the total hydrogen production could still reach 1188 ml on the second day.

The gas product collected from the cathode has been analyzed with gas chromatography (GC), suggesting pure hydrogen (>99%) (Supplementary Fig. 19). The gas produced from the anode has also been measured (Supplementary Fig. 20) with a GC, showing it is a high-
purity oxygen (>99%) (Supplementary Fig. 21). The Faradaic efficiency of oxygen \( \eta_{O_2} \) at the anode is over 91.0%. Since the Faradaic efficiency of both H\(_2\) and O\(_2\) measured and calculated by energy and mass balances are comparable, we again confirm the overall electrolysis is a water-splitting process. After keeping the above DAE module unattended in air for 8 months, the Faradaic efficiency of hydrogen remains around 90%, without any maintenance.

We compared the DAE modules against the top-performing solar-driven water/vapor splitting by others. The top criterion is the ability to produce high-purity hydrogen. As shown in Fig.4c, apart from our work, the existing electrolyzers using vapor feed or photocatalytic water splitting cannot produce >99% purity hydrogen at the cathode output with their current process setup. Normally, vapor-fed electrolyzer can only produce H\(_2\) with no more than 2% purity\(^{14–18}\), e.g., works by Kumari et al\(^{17}\) and Kistler et al\(^{18}\); whereas photocatalysts produce a mixture of H\(_2\)/O\(_2\). It must be emphasized that all the literature using vapor feed (at the anode) need inert carrier gases (N\(_2\) or Ar) at the cathode which explains why their product H\(_2\) purity was so low. Frequently, for those using vapor feed to the anode, artificial humidification has been employed to boost the humidity of the feed to suit the reaction process. However, this brings in its own challenges and costs.

Fig. 4 | Open-air demonstration of the hydrogen generation tower in Dec 2020 Melbourne. a Photo of the designed hydrogen generation tower featuring scalable DAE modules with minimized footprint. b Hydrogen generation rate and Faradaic efficiency on an hourly basis at corresponding air humidity and temperature for two days under different weather conditions. Source data are provided as a Source Data file. c Comparisons between our work and the top performing solar-driven water/vapor splitting reported by others (see Supplementary Table 2 for more details)\(^{14–20,22}\).
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above 60%14–20 making them unsuitable for (semi-)arid environment. Also, the hydrogen production rates in the existing vapor-fed electrolyzers are mostly lower than 65 L m⁻² h⁻¹, while our DAE prototype can reach 93.1 L m⁻² h⁻¹ in the outdoor test (Fig. 4c). In comparison, our DAE module is the only one that ticks all the above boxes, plus a demonstrated 8 months long term stability to-date, and a hydrogen production rate substantially (from a few times to a few orders of magnitude) higher than literature works in the same domain14–20,22. Very importantly, to the best of our knowledge, our DAE could be the first technology exceeding the target of 20% solar-to-hydrogen (STH) energy efficiency set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)4. DAE coupled with a triple-junction solar panel can achieve a theoretical STH efficiency of 15.7% under different H₂SO₄ concentration (Supplementary Fig. 22), while coupling with the best performing solar panel using H₂SO₄ and KOH hygroscopic electrolyte can achieve a theoretical STH efficiency of 24.9% and 32%, respectively (see Supplementary Tables 1, 3 and 4 for more details).

Furthermore, the energy supply to our DAE module is not limited to solar. In another demonstration (Supplementary Fig. 23 and Supplementary Movie 5), we coupled the DAE module with a wind turbine and successfully produced high-purity hydrogen from the air feed.

**Discussion**

In summary, to overcome the water shortage problem in the case of widespread deployment of hydrogen production, we have demonstrated a method of producing high purity hydrogen from the air by using hygroscopic electrolyte soaked in a porous medium as the moisture absorbent. Our direct air electrolysis (DAE) module can achieve exceptional performance under specific conditions, such as operational as low as 4% relative humidity with H₂SO₄ hygroscopic electrolyte, or more than 12 days continuous H₂ generation at 40% relative humidity performing at a hydrogen Faraday efficiency around 95% without any decay or attendance; while in the case of using KOH hygroscopic electrolyte and nickel foam electrodes, the current density can reach 754 mA cm⁻² at 4.0 V and 60% R.H., or 177 mA cm⁻² at 3.0 V and 15% R.H. This DAE module can be numbered up easily and integrated with various renewable powers. In our demonstration, a prototype of standalone hydrogen generation tower with five DAE modules stacked in parallel superimposed vertically under a solar panel (with minimum footprint) was constructed and tested outdoor in a hot-dry summer. The prototype achieved automated steady hydrogen production depending on the solar intensity under varying weather conditions. On a warm sunny day, the hydrogen production rate can reach 3.7 m³ H₂ day⁻¹ m⁻² tower. The integration of the DAE with wind turbine was demonstrated in this study as well. Such DAE farms hold the potential for generating abundant hydrogen in arid and semi-arid areas with negligible disrupt to the regional air humidity and minimal impact to the environment (Supplementary Note 1). Further improvement of the surface-to-volume ratio by engineering channels or increasing the aspect ratios of the sponge material will guarantee the rate of water uptake which is essential to the upscaling of the DAE units.

**Methods**

**DAE module fabrication**

A self-designed DAE module was used under each R.H. in this project. More details can be found in the Supplementary document. Pt mesh electrodes (99.99% purity, made by 0.12 mm Pt wire, while the frame was 0.5 mm Pt wire, Yueci technology Co.) or Ni Foam electrodes (1.6 mm thickness, Keshenghe metal materials Co.) with geometric area 4 cm² were attached directly to the quartz wool (99.95% purity, 5–10 μm, Xinhu Co.), and then connected with the sintered glass surfaces, with geometric area 7.84 cm² (Shundao sintered glass foam Co.). The melamine sponge (Daiso Industries Co., Ltd.) could replace the glass foam and the quartz wool, with the geometric area 7.84 cm².

The sintered glass foams’ thickness was 3 mm, and quartz wool was layered between two foams. For example, for 1.5 cm total thickness, three foams and four layers of quartz wool were used stacked. Teflon plate with Pt wireline (99.99% purity, Xudong Co., Ltd.) was used as current feeders and electrolyte distributors. After assembly, the DAE module was put inside the climate test chamber (DHT-100-40-P-SD, Shanghai Doaho Co., Ltd.), keeping a close environment at a constant R.H. and temperature. The DAE module connected directly with a D.C. power supply (DSPS3010U, Wanptek Co.), which could supply constant current for electrolysis. The cathode’s output gas production was bubbled through a water bath, and collected in an inverted, liquid-filled cylinder.

**Data collection**

Another DC power supply (Nice Power R-SPS605D, ShenZhen Kuaiqu electronic Co., Ltd.) was used to connect with the DAE module or foam-free electrolyzer to collect the current density vs. voltage (J–V) performance curve. The foam-free electrolyzer was a 50 ml volume two-electrode cell, with a 1.5 cm electrode distance. The current was measured after 30 s under each voltage, using an applied voltage from 1.80 V to 3.00 V (H₂SO₄) or 1.00 V to 4.00 V (KOH) with a 100 mV increase per 30 s. The area of the electrode was 4 cm². Under each R.H., the J-V behavior was tested before putting into the environment oven and after operating over 24 h and 48 h. Each J-V behavior was verified by repeated measurements three times, with the current densities variation controlled within 5–10%.

Under each R.H., the DAE module was operated under constant current density, and the weight needed to be checked each 4 h until it maintained stable over an 8-hr period. The electrolyte equilibrium concentration was calculated by the weight changes of the DAE module before operation and after steady state.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were employed at 0 V vs (OCP) with the frequency range from 10⁴ Hz to 10⁻² Hz and an AC signal of 10 mV in amplitude as the perturbation for collecting the series resistance (CS350 Electrochemical Workstation, Wuhan Corrtest Instrument Co., Ltd.).

In this paper, the iR-compensation was calculated by the following equation:

\[ V_{\text{ir-compensation}} = V_{\text{original}} - J(\text{mA cm}^{-2}) \times R_s (\text{cm}^2) \times 10^{-3} (\text{A m}^{-1}) \] (1)

where voltage \(V_{\text{original}}\) and current density \(J(\text{mA cm}^{-2})\) were collected from the J–V curve, and \(R_s (\text{cm}^2)\) was series resistance for each DAE module.

**Faradaic efficiency**

The gas product flowed into a measuring cylinder (25.0 ml) through a rubber pipeline for volume measurement by a collection of gases in an inverted, water-filled cylinder over water. Gas collected inside the cylinder was drawn out by the syringe and then pushed into the gas chromatography (GC) system (7890B, Agilent technologies, Inc.) with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for analyzation. The separation columns used in the GC were HP-INNOWAX, HP- PLOT U, and CP-Molsieve 5 Å Columns. The Faradaic efficiencies \(\eta_{\text{H}_2}\) and \(\eta_{\text{O}_2}\) were compared to the gas production with the ideal production rate, which is calculated according to the following equations:

\[ FE_{\text{H}_2} = \frac{f_{\text{H}_2}}{f_{\text{H}_2, \text{ideal}}} = \frac{r_{\text{H}_2}(\text{ml s}^{-1})}{\text{Scm}^{-2} \times \text{S}_{\text{cm}} \times 10^{-3} (\text{A m}^{-1}) \times \frac{r_\text{f}}{R_s} \times 10^6 (\text{ml m}^{-3})} \] (2)

\[ FE_{\text{O}_2} = \frac{f_{\text{O}_2}}{f_{\text{O}_2, \text{ideal}}} = \frac{r_{\text{O}_2}(\text{ml s}^{-1})}{\text{Scm}^{-2} \times \text{S}_{\text{cm}} \times 10^{-3} (\text{A m}^{-1}) \times \frac{r_\text{f}}{R_s} \times 10^6 (\text{ml m}^{-3})} \] (3)
where \( r_{\text{H}_2} \) and \( r_{\text{O}_2} \) were the rate of hydrogen production and oxygen generation rate respectively, while \( r_{\text{H}_2,\text{ideal}} \) and \( r_{\text{O}_2,\text{ideal}} \) were the ideal rate of hydrogen production and oxygen generation rate respectively. \( P_0 \) was the standard atmospheric pressure (101,325 Pa), \( T \) was the operating temperature (298.15 K), \( R \) was the gas constant (8.3145 m³ Pa K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), \( F \) was Faradaic constant (96,485 C mol⁻¹) and \( S \) was the electrode area (4 cm²).

**Materials characterization**

The FlexSEM 1000 scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi Co., Ltd.) and Binocular microscope BM-500T (Ruihong Co., Ltd.) were used for imaging the glass foam.

**Stability test**

55.2 wt% \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) (formulated with 98% sulfuric acid and R.O. water) was used as the electrolyte and tested under 40% R.H. and 25 °C in the climate test chamber for 12 days under constant current density 15.0 mA cm⁻².

**Open air demonstration with solar panel**

Five DAE modules were stacked vertically in parallel, and the hydrogen generation was collected. Supporters were used to keep the distance between each unit. Here, a commercial silicon solar panel was connected in series and put on the top of the units, with an open-circuit voltage of around 6.0 V and a short circuit current around 400 mA under Melbourne’s natural sunlight. The gas product was also flowed into a measuring cylinder by collecting gases in an inverted, water-filled cylinder over water. Also, the gas product could be collected in an inverted, oil-filled cylinder over oil.

**Open air demonstration with wind turbine**

Here, a commercial small wind turbine was coupled with a single DAE module, with an open-circuit voltage of around 8.0 V. However, short circuit current was very low (<1 mA). The gas product could be bubbled in oil.

**Data availability**

Source data are provided with this paper.

**References**

1. Turner, J. A. Sustainable hydrogen production. Science 305, 972–974 (2004).
2. Abbasi, R. et al. A roadmap to low-cost hydrogen with hydroxide exchange membrane electrolyzers. Adv. Mater. 31, 1805876 (2019).
3. Gernaat, D. E. H. J. et al. Climate change impacts on renewable energy supply. Nat. Clim. Change 11, 119–125 (2021).
4. Lewis, N. S. Toward cost-effective solar energy use. Science 315, 798–801 (2007).
5. Jia, J. et al. Solar water splitting by photovoltaic–electrolysis with a solar-to-hydrogen efficiency over 30%. Nat. Commun. 7, 1–6 (2016).
6. Landman, A. et al. Photoelectrochemical water splitting in separate oxygen and hydrogen cells. Nat. Mater. 16, 646–651 (2017).
7. Omin, G. S., Gillette, D. A. & Herrick, J. E. Multi-scale controls on and consequences of aeolian processes in landscape change in arid and semi-arid environments. J. Arid Environ. 65, 253–275 (2006).
8. Wickens, G. E. Ecophysiology of Economic Plants in Arid and Semi-Arid Lands Ch. 2 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, 1998).
9. Hu, G. et al. A review of technical advances, barriers, and solutions in the power to hydrogen (P2H) roadmap. Engineering 6, 1364–1380 (2020).
10. Tong, W. et al. Electrolysis of low-grade and saline surface water. Nat. Energy 5, 367–377 (2020).
11. Dreisp, S., Dionigi, F., Klingenhof, M. & Strasser, P. Direct electrolytic splitting of seawater: opportunities and challenges. ACS Energy Lett. 4, 933–942 (2019).
12. Spurgeon, J. M. & Lewis, N. S. Proton exchange membrane electrolysis sustained by water vapor. Energy Environ. Sci. 4, 2993–2998 (2011).
13. Heremans, G., Bosserez, T., Martens, J. A. & Rongé, J. Stability of vapor phase water electrolysis cell with anion exchange membrane. Catal. Today 334, 243–248 (2018).
14. Heremans, G. et al. Vapor-fed solar hydrogen production exceeding 15% efficiency using earth-abundant catalysts and anion exchange membrane. Sustain. Energy Fuels 1, 2061–2065 (2017).
15. Amano, F. et al. Vapor-fed photoelectrolysis of water at 0.3 V using gas-diffusion photoanodes of SrTiO3 layers. Sustain. Energy Fuels 4, 1443–1453 (2020).
16. Zafeiropoulos, G., Johnson, H., K Siege, V., van de Sanden, M. C. M. & Tsarpas, M. N. Solar hydrogen generation from ambient humidity using functionalized porous photoanodes. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11, 41267–41280 (2019).
17. Kumar, S., Turner White, R., Kumar, B. & Spurgeon, J. M. Solar hydrogen production from seawater vapor electrolysis. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 1725–1733 (2016).
18. Kistler, T. A., Um, M. Y. & Agbo, P. Stable photoelectrochemical hydrogen evolution for 1000 h at 14% efficiency in a monolithic vapor-fed device. J. Electrochem. Soc. 167, 066502 (2020).
19. Rongé, J. et al. Air-based photoelectrochemical cell capturing water molecules from ambient air for hydrogen production. RSC Adv. 4, 29286–29290 (2014).
20. Xu, K. et al. Hydrogen from wet air and sunlight in a tandem photoelectrochemical cell. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 44, 587–593 (2019).
21. Daeneke, T. et al. Surface water dependent properties of sulfur-rich molybdenum sulfides: electrolysytel-ease gas phase water splitting. ACS Nano 11, 6782–6794 (2017).
22. Nishiyama, H. et al. Photocatalytic solar hydrogen production from water on a 100 m²-scale. Nature 598, 304–307 (2021).
23. Kim, J. H., Hansora, D., Sharma, P., Jang, J.-W. & Lee, S. J. Toward practical solar hydrogen production—an artificial photosynthetic leaf-to-farm challenge. Chem. Soc. Rev. 48, 1908–1971 (2019).
24. Hankele, N., Prévot, M. S. & Yaghi, O. M. MOF water harvesters. Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 348–355 (2020).
25. Kim, H. et al. Adsorption-based atmospheric water harvesting device for arid climates. Nat. Commun. 9, 1–8 (2018).
26. Xu, W. & Yaghi, O. M. Metal–organic frameworks for water harvesting from air, anywhere, anytime. ACS Cent. Sci. 6, 1348–1354 (2020).
27. Kalmuzki, M. J., Diercks, C. S. & Yaghi, O. M. Metal-organic frameworks for water harvesting from air. Adv. Mater. 30, 1704304 (2018).
28. Fathieh, F. et al. Practical water production from desert air. Sci. Adv. 4, eaat3198 (2018).
29. Gleick, P. H. Water in crisis: A guide to the world’s fresh water resources Ch. 2 (Oxford University Press, New York, 1992).
30. Bureau of Meteorology. Climate statistics for Australian locations, <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw_015635_All.shtml> (2021).
31. Solomon, M. Control of humidity with potassium hydroxide, sulfuric acid, or other solutions. Bull. Entomol. Res. 42, 543–554 (1951).
32. Steele, R. J. Use of polyols to measure equilibrium relative humidity. Int. J. Food Sci. 22, 377–384 (1987).
33. Åberg, C., Sparr, E., Edler, K. J. & Wennerstro. Nonequilibrium phase transformations at the air–liquid interface. Langmuir 25, 12177–12184 (2009).
34. Kiradjiev, K. B. et al. A simple model for the hygroscopy of sulfuric acid, or other solutions. ACS Cent. Sci. 6, 384 (2020).
35. Darling, H. E. Conductivity of sulfuric acid solutions. J. Chem. Eng. Data 9, 421–426 (1964).
36. Muller, T. L. Sulfuric acid and sulfur trioxide. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2000).
37. Kölbach, M., Rehfeld, K. & May, M. M. Efficiency gains for thermally coupled solar hydrogen production in extreme cold. Energy Environ. Sci. 14, 4110–4417 (2021).
38. Woan, G. The Cambridge handbook of physics formulas. (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
39. Rhodes, F. & Barbour, C. The viscosities of mixtures of sulfuric acid and water. Ind. Eng. Chem. 15, 850–852 (1923).
40. Lei, Q., Wang, B., Wang, P. & Liu, S. Hydrogen generation with acid/alkaline amphoteric water electrolysis. J. Energy Chem. 38, 162–169 (2019).
41. Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office. DOE Technical Targets for Hydrogen Production from Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting, <www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/doe-technical-targets-hydrogen-production-photoelectrochemical-water-splitting> (2021).
42. World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct. Aqueduct water risk atlas, <aqueduct.wri.org> (2018).
43. World Bank Group. Photovoltaic power potential, <globalsolaratlas.info> (2019).
44. Technical University of Denmark (DTU). Wind power density potential, <globalwindatlas.info> (2017).

Acknowledgements
J. G. is grateful for the Melbourne-Manchester Graduate Research scholarship.

This work was performed in part at the Materials Characterization and Fabrication Platform (MCFP) at the University of Melbourne and the Victorian Node of the Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF).

Author contributions
G.L. conceived the idea. J.G. and Y.Z. conducted the experiments. J.G., G.L., A.Z., and Y.Z. analyzed the data. K.C. and Y.G. helped with characterizations. J.G. led the draft of the manuscript with input from G.L., A.Z., X.F., G.H., and Y.Z. This project has been supervised by G.L., G.H., and X.F.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32652-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Guoping Hu, Xiaolei Fan or Gang Kevin Li.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks Fumiaki Amano and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022