Codelivery of mRNA with α-Galactosylceramide Using a New Lipopolyplex Formulation Induces a Strong Antitumor Response upon Intravenous Administration
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ABSTRACT: Recently, the use of mRNA-based vaccines for cancer immunotherapy has gained growing attention. Several studies have shown that mRNA delivered in a vectorized format can generate a robust and efficient immune response. In this work, a new lipopolyplex vector (multi-LP), incorporating the immune adjuvant α-galactosylceramide (α-GalCer) and a multivalent cationic lipid, was proposed for the in vivo delivery of mRNA into antigen-presenting cells. We demonstrate that dendritic cells (DCs) can be targeted in vivo by intravenous administration of a α-GalCer-/mRNA-loaded multi-LP vector, without the need for its functionalization with cell-specific antibodies or ligands. The multi-LP nanoparticles loaded with a reporter mRNA efficiently led to high expression of the enhanced green fluorescence protein in DCs both in vitro and in vivo, exhibiting an intrinsic selectivity for DCs. Finally, the TRP2-mRNA/α-GalCer-based multi-LP vaccine induced a significant therapeutic effect against a highly malignant B16-F10 melanoma tumor. This study provides the first evidence that a combination of antigen-mRNA and α-GalCer can be used as an effective antitumor vaccine, inducing strong innate and adaptive immune responses.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer immunotherapy is a therapeutic strategy that exploits the natural ability of the immune system to recognize and kill cancer cells.1 Several cancer immunotherapies have been proposed such as vaccines and antibody- and cell-based therapies.2−4 Vaccines, compared to other immunotherapies, offer the advantage of promoting both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses and, more importantly, they can create immunological memory, which could ensure the promotion of an immunological response of greater magnitude and faster kinetics in the case of tumor recurrence.5

Nucleic acids have been extensively investigated in the context of vaccine development for the priming of tumor-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs).6−8 The use of nucleic acid-based vaccines is a novel approach developed to address the issues associated with more traditional antigen forms, such as proteins and peptides. They are easily produced, provide opportunities for molecular engineering, and have the potential to promote both innate and adaptive immune responses.7,9 Moreover, unlike peptide-based vaccines, nucleic acid-based vaccines expressing complete genes are not a priori human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-restricted.7,9

Particularly, mRNA-based vaccines are attractive because they retain the same appealing characteristics of DNA-based vaccines and also offer additional benefits, such as superior immunogenicity, and, unlike DNA, mRNA only needs to gain entry to the cytoplasm, where translation occurs, in order to achieve cell transfection.8−10 Moreover, mRNA does not have any risk of integration into the genome and therefore has no oncogenic potential and can be easily synthesized.10 Finally, mRNA can act not only as a source for antigen but also as an adjuvant by providing costimulatory signals, for example, via toll-like receptor (TLR) 3, TLR7, and TLR8, to amplify the immune response.8,10 The main challenge associated with the use of mRNA for vaccine development is its sensitivity toward catalytic hydrolysis by omnipresent ribonucleases.9,10 Unprotected mRNA is highly unstable under physiological conditions and hence unsuitable for broad therapeutic applications. Because of the potential advantages of mRNA-based vaccines, intense efforts have been made toward the stabilization of mRNA in vivo.9,11 Several strategies have been proposed for mRNA delivery, including viral vectors and nonviral vectors.12−19

Nonviral vectors represent a simple, flexible, and, more importantly, safer alternative to viral vectors. Thanks to their relatively simple quantitative production and their low host immunogenicity, nonviral vectors are attractive tools in vaccine strategies. With the development of new biocompatible materials and innovative fabrication approaches, nonviral vectors are becoming the preferred vehicle to deliver mRNA.
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A variety of nonviral vectors have been explored as platforms for mRNA-based vaccine development, focusing mainly on the use of lipids and polymers.\textsuperscript{30–36} An additional advantage associated with the use of nonviral vectors for vaccine development is that they can facilitate delivery of antigens with adjuvants, enhancing significantly the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccine.\textsuperscript{77–80} The codelivery of antigens with adjuvants has been extensively investigated for peptide-based vaccines, but little is known whether mRNA-based vaccines may benefit from its combination with vaccine adjuvants. In this framework, α-GalCer represents an optimal new class of vaccine adjuvant as it acts as an effective link between innate and adaptive antitumor immunity. Indeed, α-GalCer, also known as KRN7000, is an invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cell antigen presented on CD1d of antigen-presenting cells (APCs).\textsuperscript{31–53} Previous studies have reported that α-GalCer shows stronger ability to generate antigen-specific CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells, compared to TLR ligands.\textsuperscript{29,34,35} In response to α-GalCer, invariant iNKT cells rapidly produce immunostimulatory cytokines, particularly interferon-γ (IFN-γ), and elicit the induction of several costimulatory molecules.\textsuperscript{31–53} These events promote the activation APCs, which release key Th1 cytokines (e.g., interleukin (IL)-12), and the downstream activation of CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T lymphocytes, as well as NK cells and B cells, with important effects on the magnitude and effectiveness of the immune responses.\textsuperscript{31–53} Despite this, there is a limitation for the clinical application of α-GalCer; its poor hydrophilicity makes it essential to incorporate α-GalCer into a vector in order to improve its solubility, uptake, and immunogenicity.\textsuperscript{28,36,37} In addition, it has been demonstrated that vectorization of α-GalCer can prevent iNKT cell anergy, which usually occurs after repeated injections of α-GalCer.\textsuperscript{14}

In this study, we have developed a lipopolypeptide vector termed multi-LP, which consists of a poly-(β-amino ester) polymer (PbAE)/mRNA polyplex core entrapped into a lipid shell composed of multivalent cationic lipid (MLVS), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), DSPE-PEG, and α-GalCer. In the multi-LP platform, the cationic polymer condenses the mRNA into a polyplex nanoparticle by electrostatic interaction. A lipid shell is included in the system to improve mRNA delivery into dendritic cells (DCs) and to enhance the immune response because of the adjuvant activity mediated by α-GalCer.\textsuperscript{39} The resulting construct can not only protect mRNA molecules in the polyplex core structure from RNase attack but also be efficiently internalized by DCs, promoting the release of mRNA molecules to the cytosol for antigen translation.

Here, the nanovaccine was prepared by co-loading into the carrier system α-GalCer and antigen-mRNA coding for tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TRP-2) and was tested in a poorly immunogenic and highly aggressive B16-F10 murine melanoma model, generated by injecting the tumor cells into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice.\textsuperscript{40}

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. Lipids 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-ethylphosphocholine (EDOPC), DOPE, N1-{2-([1S]-1-[(3-amino propyl)amino]-4-[[3-amino propyl] amino]-butylcarboxamido) ethyl]-3,4-di[oleoyl]-benzamide (MVLS), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG), and α-galactosyl ceramide (α-GalCer or KRN7000) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. Sodium acetate buffer solution (3 M, pH 5.2), nuclease-free water, and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TRP-2, 180–188) peptide was purchased from GenScript. The following antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences: anti-TCRβ (clone H57-597), anti-CD8α (clone S3–6.7), anti-CD11b (clone M1/70), anti-CD45R/B220 (clone RA3-6B2), anti-IFN-γ, and anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11); BioLegend: anti-F4/80 (clone BM8), anti-CD19 (clone B4), anti-CD11c (clone N418), anti-CD69 (clone H1.2F3), anti-MHC-II (clone M5/114.15.2), and anti-NK1.1 (clone PK136). Anti-CD8 (clone KT15) and iTag Tetramer H-2 Kb TRP2 (SVYDFFVWL) were purchased from MBL International.

Unmodified TRP2-mRNA, enhanced green fluorescence protein (eGFP)-mRNA, Cy5-mRNA, ovalbumin (OVA)-mRNA, and luciferase (LUC)-mRNA were purchased from TriLink Biotechnologies. Six to eight week-old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River (Canada).

2.2. Synthesis of PbAE. The PbAE was synthesized in a two-step reaction procedure as previously described.\textsuperscript{32,44} In the first step, the base polymer (B4S4) was synthesized by mixing 1,4-butanediol diacrylate (B4) (Sigma-Aldrich) with 5-amino-1-pentanol (S4) (Sigma-Aldrich) at a molar ratio of B4/S4 = 1:2:1. The reaction was maintained at 90 °C and 1000 rpm of stirring speed for the first 4 h. After 4 h, the stirring speed was slowed to 300 rpm and the reaction was maintained at 90 °C for further 12–16 h. The B4S4 polymer was dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) at a final concentration of 100 mg/mL. In a separate glass vial, 1-(3-amino propyl)-4-methylpiperaizine (E7) (Alfa Aesar) was dissolved in 40 mL of THF at 0.2 M final concentration. End-chain capping reaction was performed by mixing the two solutions and leaving it at room temperature, under stirring at 400 rpm for 24 h, and protected from light. The final product is termed 447.

The resulting 447 was purified by precipitation in anhydrous diethyl ether (1:3 v/v THF/diethyl ether) and dried under vacuum for 24 h. Polymers were then dissolved in acetate buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.4) and stored at −20 °C until use.

2.3. Preparation and Characterization of PbAE/mRNA Polyplex. PbAE/mRNA polyplex was prepared by mixing one volume of the PbAE polymer with one volume of mRNA molecules in acetate buffer using a PbAE/mRNA (w/w) mass ratio = 30. After a 20 min incubation at room temperature, the polyplex was analyzed for size distribution and zeta potential ratio = 30. After a 20 min incubation at room temperature, the polyplex was analyzed for size distribution and zeta potential ratio = 30.
or 16% MVLS, 82% DOPE, and 2% DSPE-PEG for mono-LP and multi-LP, respectively. The formulations included 0.5 μg of α-GalCer. Hydrodynamic size and zeta potential of the lipopolyplexes were measured with a Zetasizer Nano ZS dynamic light-scattering instrument (Malvern). The complete construct was also analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The incorporation of α-GalCer was confirmed as previously reported. Briefly, multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA nanoparticles loaded with different amounts of α-GalCer (50, 100, 250, and 500 ng) were added to the RPMI-1640 medium with 1 × 10^5 BMDCs. After 24 h of incubation, the supernatants were removed, and 1 × 10^3 DN32.D3 mouse iNKT hybridoma cells were added and cocultured with bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs). IL-2 levels were measured by ELISA after 24 h of incubation.

2.5. Preparation of BMDCs. BMDCs were prepared from C57BL/6 mice as previously described. Briefly, bone marrow cells from the femur and tibia were flushed out with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS)-containing phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) using a syringe. Cells were centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min, treated with ACK lysis buffer (Quality Biological) to remove red blood cells, and resuspended in RPMI-1640 culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 20 ng/mL of granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and 20 ng/mL of interleukin-4 (IL-4). Cells were seeded into six-well plates at a seeding density of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL; the growth medium was changed every other day. The nonadherent DCs were harvested on day 5. BMDCs were stained for CD11b, CD11c, and MHC-II and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20).

2.6. MTS Test with BMDCs. To test the potential cytotoxicity from vaccine formulations, BMDCs were seeded in a 96-well plate at a seeding density of 3 × 10^4 cells/well and treated with 0.1 μg of mRNA loaded into mono-LP or multi-LP. Cell viability was measured 24 h later with a tetrazolium-based Cell Titer 96Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation (MTS) assay (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.7. Transfection of BMDCs. Enhanced GFP-mRNA was used as a reporter to test the transfection efficiency in BMDCs. On day 5, 3 × 10^5 cells/well were seeded in 24-well plates and treated in complete RPMI-1640 with mono-LP and multi-LP loaded with 0.5 μg of eGFP-mRNA. The expression of eGFP in cells was determined with a fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss) and the percentage of eGFP-expressing cells was measured by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20).

2.8. Bioluminescence Imaging in Live Mice. C57BL/6 mice (n = 5 per group) were injected with multi-LP/LUC-mRNA (20 μg of mRNA) following an intravenous (i.v.) administration route. After 6 h, the mice were injected intraperitoneally with 200 μL of d-luciferin (15 mg/mL) (Gold Biotechnology), and bioluminescence was measured using a PerkinElmer IVIS Spectrum imaging system. The mice were monitored for body weight every 2 days for 4 weeks. Luminescence quantification was carried out using Living-Image software (PerkinElmer).

2.9. In Vivo APC Uptake and Transfection. C57BL/6 mice (n = 5 in each group from two pooled experiments) were intravenously or intradermally (i.d.) injected with lipopolyplexes loaded with Cy5-eGFP-mRNA (20 μg) for the evaluation of the cellular uptake and transfection efficiency. After 24 h, mice were sacrificed and the spleen and draining lymph nodes (dLN)s (inguinal lymph nodes, ILNs) were harvested and processed into single-cell suspensions.

Single-cell suspensions were obtained as described previously. Briefly, spleens or lymph nodes were pressed through a 70 μm cell strainer with the plunger of a 5 mL syringe. Erythrocytes were removed by the addition of ACK lysis buffer (Quality Biological). The cells were stained with anti-B220, anti-CD19, anti-CD11c, and anti-F4/80 in order to gate DCs (CD11c+ and F4/80−), macrophages (CD11c+ and F4/80+), and B-cells (CD11c−, B220+ and CD19+) populations. The samples were analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20). Animal experiments in this study were carried out in accordance with guidelines evaluated and approved by the ethics committee of the University of Ottawa to ensure the humane animal care and use.

2.10. Detection of CD69 in Spleen and Lymph Nodes by Flow Cytometry. Mice (C57BL/6, n = 5 in each group from two pooled experiments) were injected i.d. or i.v. with multi-LP vaccine loaded with 10 μg of TRP2-mRNA and with or without 1 μg of α-GalCer. After 24 h, mice were sacrificed, and lymph nodes (ILNs and axillary lymph nodes) and spleens were harvested and processed into single-cell suspensions.

Lymphocytes were stained for V450/viability dye, TCRβ, NK1.1, and CD69 to gate NK cells (TCRβ+ and NK1.1+), NKT cells (TCRβ− and NK1.1+), and T cells (TCRβ+ and NK1.1+). The samples were analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20).

2.11. Measurement of Proinflammatory Cytokines. C57BL/6 mice (n = 8 for each group from three pooled experiments) were vaccinated with different vaccine formulations (multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA, multi-LP/mRNA, multi-LP/α-GalCer, free α-GalCer + mRNA, and free α-GalCer) loaded with 10 μg of TRP-2 mRNA and or 0.5 μg of α-GalCer. After 6 h, blood was collected by lateral saphenous bleeding and allowed to clot overnight at 4 °C; serum was separated by centrifugation at 12 000 rpm for 15 min, 4 °C. The serum was quickly frozen at −80 °C and stored until use. Serum TNF-α, IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-12 levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (eBioscience).

2.12. Detection of Antigen-Specific T Cells by Intracellular and Tetramer Staining. Mice (C57BL/6, n = 5 in each group from two pooled experiments) were intravenously or intradermally with different vaccine formulations, such as multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA, multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA, multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA + free α-GalCer, free α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA, and PBS as a negative control. The vaccine formulations were prepared using 10 μg of TRP2-mRNA and 0.5 μg of α-GalCer. Blood was collected from vaccinated mice by saphenous bleeding and erythrocytes were removed by addition of ACK lysis buffer (Quality Biological). peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were stimulated with 1 μg/mL of TRP2-peptide (SVYDFFVWL) for 6 h in the presence of a protein transport inhibitor, Brefeldin A (BD GolgiPlug). After incubation, cells were washed and stained with Abs for surface markers–TCRβ, CD8, and V450/viability dye. Cells were then washed, fixed, and permeabilized with a BD Fixation/Permeabilization Solution Kit and stained with anti-IFN-γ. The cells were washed, resuspended in FACS buffer (1× PBS and 0.5% BSA), and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20).

SVYDFFVWL-specific tetramer (MBL International) staining was carried out by incubation at room temperature for 10
min in FACS buffer. After washing, PBMCs were stained with anti-TCR/α, anti-CD8 clone KT15, and V450/viability for 30 min at 4 °C in FACS buffer. Then, the samples were analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20).

2.13. Measurement of Antibody Titters. OVA-specific antibody titers were measured in the serum of C57BL/6 mice (n = 5 in each group from two pooled experiments) immunized on days 0, 7, and 14 by i.v. injection. Blood was collected by saphenous bleeding on day 28 after priming and allowed to clot overnight at 4 °C. The serum was separated by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 min. The serum was collected, and anti-OVA antibody titers were determined by ELISA, as previously described.22 Briefly, 96-well plates (Nunc-Immuno) were coated by overnight incubation at 4 °C with 2 μg/well of OVA in PBS. Plates were blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 2 h, and serial two-fold dilutions of serum samples in PBS were added to the wells. After a 2 h incubation, the plates were washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG), IgG1, IgG2b, and IgG2c antibodies (Southern Biotechnology Associates). The plates were incubated with tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate and the reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 N HCl (Sigma). Absorbance was read at 450 nm with a microplate reader (BioRad). Antibody titers were calculated as the inverse dilution at which the absorbance equaled that of control mice plus 2 standard deviations.

2.14. Therapeutic Efficacy Using a Subcutaneous B16-F10 Tumor Model. C57BL/6 mice (n = 5 in each group) were inoculated with 2 × 10^5 B16-F10 melanoma tumor cells by subcutaneous injection to establish subcutaneous tumors, following a previously described protocol.44 Five days after tumor inoculation, mice were intravenously vaccinated with different formulations (10 μg of unmodified TRP2-mRNA with or without 0.5 μg of α-GalCer) and boosted at days 9 and 13 post-tumor inoculation. B16-F10 tumor bearing mice were monitored for survival, body weight, and tumor growth every 2 days. Tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: \( V = \frac{(L \times W \times W)}{2} \), where \( V \) is the tumor volume, \( L \) is the tumor length, and \( W \) is the tumor width.

2.15. Evaluation of Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes and Tetramer-Positive CD8+ T Cells in s.c. B16-F10 Tumors. C57BL/6 mice (n = 5 in each group from two pooled experiments) were inoculated subcutaneously with 2 × 10^5 B16-F10 melanoma tumor cells. Eight days after tumor inoculation, mice were intravenously vaccinated with different formulations (10 μg of TRP2-mRNA with or without 0.5 μg of α-GalCer) and boosted 15 days post-tumor inoculation. Mice were sacrificed 17 days post-tumor inoculation and the tumors were harvested for tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) quantification.

Tumor cell suspensions were prepared from solid tumors by mechanical disaggregation as described previously.44 Erythrocytes were removed by the addition of ACK lysis buffer (Quality Biological). The resulting suspension was passed through a 40 μm cell strainer, washed once with PBS, and resuspended in FACS buffer for flow cytometry analysis. For TIL evaluation, cells were stained with a viability dye, anti-CD45, anti-TCR/β, anti-CD8, and anti-CD4 and analyzed by flow cytometry (BD Fortessa X-20). SVYDFVVL-specific tetramer (MBL International) staining was carried out by incubation at room temperature for 10 min in FACS buffer, followed by surface staining with anti-CD45, anti-TCR/β, anti-CD4, and anti-CD8 clone KT15 for 30 min at 4 °C in FACS buffer.

2.16. Statistical Analysis. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) post hoc tests were used to determine the significance of differences between experimental and relevant control values within each experiment. All analyses were performed using the Graph Pad Prism 8.0 statistical software. Significant differences were reported as \( *p < 0.05 \), \( **p < 0.01 \), and \( ***p < 0.001 \). Survival curves were plotted for each condition and the log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test was used to evaluate statistical significance on GraphPad Prism 8.
where they are rapidly taken up by APCs and can, therefore, be used to elicit different immunological outcomes. Furthermore, it is well known that positively charged nanoparticles exhibit a faster uptake rate by phagocytic cells than negatively charged or neutral nanoparticles, and up to date, they have been revealed as the most effective carriers for gene delivery.

The encapsulation of α-GalCer into multi-LP nanoparticles was confirmed indirectly through the quantification of IL-2 levels released by DN32.D3 iNKT hybridoma cells cocultured with BMDCs pretreated with multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA loaded with different amounts of α-GalCer (Figure 1f).

In line with the above, we designed a delivery platform with optimized physical–chemical properties for passive DC targeting and for the delivery of macromolecules, such as antigen-encoding mRNAs.

### 3.2. Multi-LP Is an Optimal Platform for mRNA-Based Transfection

The multi-LP and mono-LP platforms were prepared using two different lipid formulations, MVL5/DOPE/DSPE-PEG (16:82:2) and EDOPC/DOPE/DSPE-PEG (49:49:2), respectively. To compare the transfection efficiency of the two formulations, mono-LP and multi-LP were loaded with an mRNA encoding for eGFP and the expression of the gene reporter was quantified by flow cytometry (Figure 2a) and confirmed by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 2b). Quantification of the transfection efficiency was carried out at 24 and 48 h post-transfection and peak expression was observed at 48 h. Multi-LP loaded with eGFP-mRNA was highly efficient to transfect BMDCs in vitro, with an efficiency of ~50% (Figure 2a), whereas mono-LP showed a lower transfection efficiency; naked mRNA failed to transfect BMDCs. These data demonstrate that the incorpor-
New research has evaluated the liver accumulation of drug-loaded nanoparticles, finding that those with a size larger than 50 nm are more likely to accumulate in the liver. This is because the liver is a major barrier to clinical load nanoparticles, as they do not want any undesired accumulation in the organs. However, this study found that liver accumulation could be desirable, as it allows for successful transfection by mRNA-loaded multi-LP particles.

Moreover, multi-LP nanoparticles did not elicit signs of toxicity in mice. No changes in the body mass or behavior of mice were detected for up to 4 weeks following a relatively high administration dose (Figure 2f).

The expression of DC maturation markers was quantified in BMDCs after treatment with multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA and compared with the control that was treated with the empty vector. The post-treatment DCs had a significantly increased level of CD40, CD80, and CD86 on their surface (Figure 2g). These data confirm that our nanovaccine is not only able to provide a source of a protein antigen, as demonstrated by eGFP expression after multi-LP/eGFP-mRNA treatment, but also to efficiently induce the expression of important costimulatory molecules that are necessary for efficient antigen presentation to lymphocytes.

3.3. Passive DC Targeting in Vivo for mRNA Delivery.

APCs are responsible for driving the induction of CD8+ T-cell-mediated immune responses. Therefore, it was of particular interest to analyze the ability of the multi-LP vaccine to target the different APC subsets, such as DCs, macrophages, and B cells. For this study, mice were immunized once i.d. or i.v. with different APC markers: DCs (CD11c+ and F4/80+), macrophages (CD11c− and F4/80+), and B cells (CD11c−, B220+, and CD19+).

At 24 h after i.v. administration, multi-LP nanoparticles were taken up predominately by DCs, while a lower uptake was also observed for B cells and macrophages (Figure 3a,b). In contrast, Cy5-eGFP-mRNA-loaded multi-LP failed to target APCs and efficiently release the mRNA into their cytoplasm after i.d. injection (Figure 3a,b).

On the other hand, the uptake of mono-LP nanoparticles by the different APC populations in both spleen and dLNs was significantly lower than that from multi-LP (Figure 3c,d). Surprisingly, the vector showed a certain preference for the i.v. administration route, in terms of its ability to target APC populations. The in vivo transfection efficiency study also
confirmed that the expression of eGFP was predominantly observed in DCs both in spleen and dLNs following i.v. vaccination with multi-LP, whereas a minor fraction of eGFP-positive B cells and macrophages were detected in the spleen (Figure 3e,f). Oppositely, we could not detect any transfected DCs, B-cells, and macrophages upon i.d. injection of multi-LP/eGFP-mRNA (data not shown). In accordance with these results, we can affirm that the multi-LP vector has a superior capability to target passively APCs in lymphoid organs, compared to mono-LP, showing a preferential uptake by DCs after systemic administration.

**3.4. Comparison of i.d. and i.v. Routes for the Capacity To Promote Immune Cell Activation.** It has been demonstrated that the simultaneous activation of various immune pathways, by combining α-GalCer with TLRs adjuvants, can synergistically enhance the effectiveness and duration of antitumor immune responses; therefore, in the present study, we hypothesized that the combination of the lipid antigen α-GalCer and self-adjuvanted mRNA may potentially enhance their immunological properties. For this reason, naive mice were injected either i.v. or i.d. with multi-LP nanoparticles loaded with antigen-mRNA with or without α-GalCer. At 24 h post-immunization, single-cell suspensions obtained from spleens and dLNs were analyzed by flow cytometry in order to measure the upregulation of the lymphocyte activation marker CD69 on NKT, NK, and T cells.

![Figure 4. Flow cytometry analysis of CD69 in spleen NKT, NK, and T cells from mice treated with multi-LP loaded with TRP2-mRNA and with or without α-GalCer administrated i.d. or i.v. Values represent the mean ± SD of two separate experiments involving two to three mice per group. Values were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, n.s. = not significant. Statistical significance was evaluated using a nonparametric one-way ANOVA with a Dunn’s post-test.](image1)

![Figure 5. Quantification of serum levels of IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, and TNF-α, 6 h after i.v. injection of different vaccine formulations. The data represent the mean ± SD of three separate experiments involving two to three mice per group. Values were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, n.s. = not significant. Statistical significance was evaluated using a nonparametric one-way ANOVA with a Dunn’s post-test.](image2)
TRP2 was identified as a candidate antigen given that it is commonly overexpressed in mouse and human melanocytes, and it currently represents one of the major and better-characterized melanoma antigens. Immunization with multi-LP loaded with α-GalCer/TRP2-mRNA resulted in a significantly stronger activation of NKT, NK, and T cells in both spleen and dLNs (data not shown), suggesting that α-GalCer and antigen-mRNA together may potentiate the immune response particularly after i.v. administration, thus confirming that our formulation is well suited for systemic delivery (Figure 4).

Likewise, at 6 h after i.v. vaccination with multi-LP loaded with α-GalCer and TRP2-mRNA, we observed a high induction of cytokine secretion. Particularly, the formulation stimulated the release of high levels of type-I interferon (IFN), IL-6, IL-12, and IFN-γ (Figure 5). Although multi-LP/mRNA alone induced the release of IL-12, the addition of α-GalCer and antigen-mRNA together may potentiate the immune response particularly after i.v. administration, thus confirming that our formulation is well suited for systemic delivery (Figure 4).

Figure 6. (a) Quantification of CD8+ T cells expressing IFN-γ in peripheral blood. (b) Quantification of SVYDFFVWL+ CD8+ T cells in peripheral blood. C57BL/6 mice were vaccinated two times with TRP-2-mRNA with a 1-week interval by i.v. administration. All the formulations were prepared with 10 μg of TRP-2-mRNA with or without 0.5 μg of α-GalCer. Values represent the mean ± SD of two separate experiments involving two to three mice per group. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001. Antibody titers of OVA-specific total IgG, IgG1, IgG2b, and IgG2c (c), and IgG2c/IgG1 ratio (d) 4 weeks after prime immunization. Values represent the mean ± SD of two separate experiments involving two to three mice per group. Values were considered significant at *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, n.s. = not significant. Statistical significance was evaluated using a nonparametric one-way ANOVA with a Dunn’s post-test.

TRP2 was identified as a candidate antigen given that it is commonly overexpressed in mouse and human melanocytes, and it currently represents one of the major and better-characterized melanoma antigens. Immunization with multi-LP loaded with α-GalCer/TRP2-mRNA resulted in a significantly stronger activation of NKT, NK, and T cells in both spleen and dLNs (data not shown), suggesting that α-GalCer and antigen-mRNA together may potentiate the immune response particularly after i.v. administration, thus confirming that our formulation is well suited for systemic delivery (Figure 4).

Likewise, at 6 h after i.v. vaccination with multi-LP loaded with α-GalCer and TRP2-mRNA, we observed a high induction of cytokine secretion. Particularly, the formulation stimulated the release of high levels of type-I interferon (IFN), IL-6, IL-12, and IFN-γ (Figure 5). Although multi-LP/mRNA alone induced the release of IL-12, the addition of α-GalCer and antigen-mRNA together may potentiate the immune response particularly after i.v. administration, thus confirming that our formulation is well suited for systemic delivery (Figure 4).

3.5. Antigen-Specific T-Cell Response. To evaluate the impact of our vaccine formulation on the induction of cellular and humoral immunity, mice were intravenously immunized with multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA, multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA, multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA + free α-GalCer, and multi-LP/α-GalCer on days 0 and 7. Ten days after the last immunization, the presence of TRP2-specific CD8+ T cells was evaluated by intracellular staining for IFN-γ- and TRP2-specific tetramer staining.

The number of TRP2(180–188)-specific IFN-γ-secreting CD8+ T cells was significantly higher in mice immunized with the vectorized vaccine formulation compared to the group immunized with multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA + free α-GalCer (Figure 6a).

In addition, the percentage of TRP2-specific IFN-γ-secreting CD8+ T cells was significantly enhanced in the mice...
immunized with multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA compared with those immunized with multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA and multi-LP/α-GalCer, demonstrating that by combining α-GalCer with antigen-mRNA, it is possible to achieve a stronger antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell immune response (Figure 6a).

We also performed tetramer staining which confirmed the same trend obtained by intracellular IFN-γ staining (Figure 6b). In particular, the frequency of TRP2 (180−188) tetramer-positive CD8+ T cells was significantly increased in mice treated with vectorized vaccine formulation including both α-GalCer and TRP2-mRNA (Figure 6b).

The induction of humoral immune responses was also evaluated in response to vaccination (Figure 6c,d). For this purpose, C57BL/6 mice were immunized with multi-LP vector loaded with 10 μg of OVA-encoding mRNA (OVA-mRNA) and/or α-GalCer (0.5 μg). The serum was collected at 4 weeks after the first immunization from the vaccinated mice, and levels of total IgG, IgG1, IgG2b, and IgG2c antibodies were determined by ELISA (Figure 6c). The results of IgG subclass analysis show that treatment with multi-LP/α-GalCer + OVA-mRNA leads to the production of antibodies with a higher IgG2c/IgG1 ratio, compared with the other formulations that have been tested such as multi-LP/OVA-mRNA, multi-LP/α-GalCer, and multi-LP/OVA-mRNA + Free α-GalCer (Figure 6d).

Vaccination with multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA enhanced IgG1 and IgG2c antibody titers ~1700-fold and ~4000-fold, respectively, over multi-LP/mRNA, multi-LP/α-GalCer, and multi-LP/mRNA + free α-GalCer (Figure 6c). In contrast to other vaccine formulations, the immunization with multi-LP/mRNA failed to further enhance the secretion of IgG2b antibodies.25 Probably, the difference in IgG isotypes could be in part attributed to the different structural characteristics between the delivery platforms employed in this study and in previous works.60,61

3.6. Therapeutic Efficacy of Vectorized α-GalCer/TRP2-mRNA Vaccine in a B16-F10 Melanoma Tumor Model. Once we confirmed that the addition of α-GalCer into the mRNA-vaccine elicited a robust antigen-specific immune response, we wanted to investigate if this could translate into increased therapeutic efficacy compared to the nonadjuvanted mRNA-vaccine, in a relevant tumor model. To test this, B16-F10 melanoma-bearing mice were i.v. vaccinated using unmodified TRP2-mRNA at days 5, 9, and 13 post-tumor inoculation and the therapeutic efficacy of the combined treatments was evaluated in terms of tumor growth inhibition and survival. As shown in Figure 7a, treatment with multi-LP/
mRNA and multi-LP/α-GalCer alone exhibited a modest inhibitory effect on tumor growth, while multi-LP loaded with an irrelevant mRNA (irrel. mRNA) (OVA-mRNA) had no effect, excluding any nonspecific immune response. On the other hand, mice immunized with multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA exhibited a significant delay in tumor growth, demonstrating that although mRNA possesses self-adjuvant property, the addition of vaccine adjuvants can significantly potentiate its therapeutic efficacy.

Moreover, mice vaccinated with TRP2-mRNA/α-GalCer-loaded multi-LP nanoparticles (multi-LP/α-GalCer + mRNA) showed a significantly extended survival if compared with those treated with TRP2-mRNA-loaded multi-LP nanoparticles (multi-LP/mRNA), multi-LP/α-GalCer, or multi-LP/irrel. mRNA (Figure 7c). These findings clearly demonstrate that the codelivery of antigen-mRNA with α-GalCer by multi-LP vector robustly improves the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer mRNA-vaccines.

Consistent with the efficacy studies, we found that multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA vaccination promotes increased infiltration of CD8+ T cells within the tumor microenvironment (Figure 7c). The combined treatment increased the CTL density and CD8/CD4 ratio (Figure 7c). Furthermore, antigen-specific CD8+ T cell analysis revealed a higher frequency of TRP2-positive tumor infiltrating CD8+ T cells in tumor-bearing mice vaccinated with multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA compared with multi-LP/TRP2-mRNA, multi-LP/α-GalCer, or multi-LP/irrel. mRNA (Figure 7d).

4. CONCLUSIONS

The incorporation of α-GalCer into the lipopolyplex vector loaded with TRP2-mRNA potentiated the antitumor immune response and its therapeutic efficacy. Our results revealed that the proposed vector for the delivery of antigen-mRNA in combination with α-GalCer possesses a natural ability to passively target DCs in vivo and to efficiently transfect them, following the i.v. administration route. Our results also showed that the use of multi-LP vector for α-GalCer/TRP2-mRNA codelivery significantly enhanced the induction of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells both systemically and intratumorally. Likewise, multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA vaccination has shown to be an efficient strategy for the induction of humoral immune responses in vivo, eliciting the secretion of high levels of antigen-specific IgG1, IgG2b, and IgG2c. Mice vaccinated with multi-LP/α-GalCer + TRP2-mRNA showed a significantly higher IgG2c/IgG1 ratio compared to mice immunized with nanoparticles loaded only with antigen-mRNA, demonstrating that by combining mRNA-based vaccine with α-GalCer, it is possible to alter the Th1/Th2 balance toward an increased Th1 response.

All this resulted in marked tumor growth retardation and increased survival of B16-F10 melanoma-bearing mice. We were able to achieve the induction of an effective antitumor immune response with a lower amount of mRNA, compared to other proposed vaccination strategies based on the use of lipopoloxes.26

These data may have important implications in the design of novel formulations combining mRNA with lipidic adjuvants for the development of cancer vaccines with enhanced antitumor properties.
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