Polypyrrole Modified E-Coat Paint for Corrosion Protection of Aluminum AA1200
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This article reports the potential use of Polypyrrole (PPy) particles as anticorrosive additive on an epoxy water-based paint to increase the corrosion protective property of aluminum-coated panels. AA1200 aluminum panels were painted using the electrophoretic deposition method and the coatings with different concentrations of PPy particles were tested. PPy particles were synthesized by oxidative polymerization of pyrrole (Py) with iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O) in the presence of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid (DBSA). Electrically conducting PPy particles (6.5 S cm⁻¹) were obtained with a size average of 154 nm. The as-prepared PPy particles were added into a water-based epoxy paint and AA1200 panels were coated via electrophoretic deposition method. The corrosion protective properties of e-coated AA1200 panels were evaluated by means of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy over prolonged exposure time in neutral non-aerated 0.1 M sodium chloride NaCl electrolyte. In particular, the addition of 0.4% by weight PPy has improved the coating corrosion protective property with respect to epoxy clearcoat and exhibited the highest value of impedance modulus at low frequency among the studied coatings.
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INTRODUCTION

Cathodic electrodeposition of paints, also known as e-coat or cathodic painting, denotes an application paint method used to coat metals with organic coatings. In cathodic electrodeposition, water is used as dispersive medium and the formulations are heavy-metals free (Romano et al., 2011; Chimenti et al., 2017; Fedel, 2017). The water-based formulations, constituted of polymer and stabilized additives are deposited onto the surface of the cathode, i.e., metal to be coated, under the application of an electric field (Wicks et al., 1999). A variety of metals including aluminum alloys can be used as cathodes (Dalmoro et al., 2015). The cathodes are coated with a homogeneous highly adherent layer whose composition and dry film thickness are precisely controlled (usually within 10–30 μm) and with excellent resistance to corrosion (Bodo and Poth, 2012). In industry, cathodic electrodeposition has advantages of ease of automation therefore it is a cost-effective method of applying organic coatings in addition of being environmentally friendly (Krylova, 2001; Garcia and Suay, 2009; Fedel et al., 2010; Rossi et al., 2017). The automotive industry is the biggest successful
example of cathodic electrodeposition application. The cathodic painting has been used to coat automobile bodyworks since its implementation in the 70’s and still remains widely used nowadays due to the advantages previously described (Bodo and Poth, 2012; Bučko et al., 2015). Recent studies in the use of cathodic electrodeposition have dealt with the incorporation of ceria oxide nanoparticles (Zivković et al., 2014), graphene (Rossi and Calovi, 2018), SiO₂ (Abd El-Lateef and Khalaf, 2019) into the paint formulation as attempts to improve their anticorrosion properties (Bodo and Poth, 2012).

In recent years, intrinsically conducting polymers (I) have attracted attention of research groups for anticorrosion applications (Hosseini et al., 2011; Gurunathan et al., 2013; Ecco, 2014; Kamaraj et al., 2015; Aravindan and Sangaranarayanan, 2016; Qiu et al., 2017; Contri et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). Among them, the polypyrrole (PPy) stands out mainly because of its properties, such as: ease of synthesis, low cost, control of electrical conductivity, and high stability in environmental conditions, compared to other polymers of the same class (Ramòa et al., 2014). In addition, the PPy has the ability to change its oxidation state, depending on the characteristics of the medium. This behavior allows to create a passive layer on the surface of the metal, reduce the corrosion reaction rate and improve the corrosion protection of the metal (Castagno et al., 2011; Gergely et al., 2011; González and Saidman, 2012; Qi et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2019). The PPy can be obtained by several techniques and one of the most used is the chemical oxidation of the pyrrole in the presence of stabilizers for the production of an aqueous dispersion (Ramòa et al., 2014). Thus, an aqueous dispersion modified epoxy resin system based on PPy in aqueous dispersion can be employed on a cathodic electrodeposition to produce a polymeric coating on a metal surface.

Many studies reported in the open literature have shown the potentiality of PPy-filled epoxy coatings in preventing for corrosion of aluminum alloys (Arenas et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2010; Castagno et al., 2011; Jadhav et al., 2013). Good adhesiveness on metallic substrate, suitable chemical and mechanical properties, environmental stability, excellent corrosion resistance for metallic materials and non-toxicity are among the strengths of PPy-filled epoxy coatings (Gupta et al., 2013). Jadhav et al. (2013) noted the effectiveness of PPy-filled-epoxy systems on the corrosion inhibition onto AA 2024-T3 aluminum alloy surface. They concluded that these coatings containing epoxy resin and conducting polymer present comparable electrochemical properties to hexavalent chromates. Therefore, they are promising candidates for chromates replacement (Jadhav et al., 2013). Moreover, Hosseini et al. (2011) have formulated “smart” corrosion protective PPy/Epoxy coatings on AA5000 aluminum alloy panels. These authors also demonstrated the importance of the secondary dopant for corrosion mechanism (Hosseini et al., 2011). In this work, anionic surfactant DBSA was used as the secondary dopant to improve pigment dispersibility by improving pigment wetting characteristics, preventing reaggregation, and increasing the stability of the dispersion (Tracton, 2007). DBSA also is used in the PPy synthesis acting as co-dopant improving its electrical conductivity (Ramòa et al., 2015; Contri et al., 2018; Vargas et al., 2018). To advance knowledge on the corrosion inhibition effect of PPy as well as the viability of using PPy as anticorrosive additive for e-coat water-based formulations, this paper presents the potential of PPy particles to be used as anticorrosive additive on an epoxy water-based paint in order to increase the corrosion protective property of aluminum-coated panels. The scientific and technological contribution of this study is related to three main aspects: (i) obtaining a stable cataphoretic bath containing PPy particles; (ii) deposition of a thin film on the surface of a metal substrate by cataphoresis technique and (iii) development of a coating for corrosion protection of AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy. The obtained results are expected to provide understandings on the potential of PPy for Al corrosion inhibition as well as on the application of PPy particles as anticorrosive additive for E-coat water-based formulations.

EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis of PPy

The used materials were: Pyrrole (Py) 98% and dodecylbenzene sulfonic acid (DBSA) purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃.6H₂O) analytical grade purchased from Vetec Química Fina, Brazil. Before use, the Py has been double distilled for impurities removal and stored at 4°C while DBSA and FeCl₃.6H₂O were used as received.

In a typical preparation route to obtain PPy (Ramòa et al., 2014), two aqueous solutions of 2.5 g DBSA and 0.25 mol of FeCl₃.6H₂O were prepared in distilled water under mechanical stirring. FeCl₃.6H₂O was selected as reaction initiator and DBSA acted as surfactant and dopant agent. The solution containing FeCl₃.6H₂O was poured onto the DBSA solution under mechanical stirring. The mixture was kept under agitation for 10 min. After that, an aqueous solution of 0.11 mol of Py was poured dropwise on the previous prepared mixture under agitation. The reaction was carried out for 24 h under agitation at room temperature, 22°C. The obtained PPy particles were vacuum filtered, abundantly washed using distilled water and dried under reduced pressure at 60°C for 24 h.

PPy Particles Characterization

The mean volumetric size and size distribution of the PPy particles were evaluated using Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) at 180° with 780 nm laser using a NANO-flex equipment from MICROTRAC EUROPE. Due to the pasty appearance of the PPy dispersion, was necessary dilute with deionized water to 6 mg mL⁻¹, to conduct the analysis. The analysis was conducted at a temperature of 21 ± 2°C, using as parameters spherical and absorptive particles in the Microntrac software 11.1.1.0.3. Readings were reported as the average of three consecutive readings calculated by the software.

The PPy particles surface zeta potential measurements, were evaluated using Microtrac Stabino® equipment from MICRONTRAC EUROPE. The zeta potential obtained was directly
calculated by Stabino Particle Metrix 2.00.27.02 software. The PPy dispersion was diluted with deionized water to 6 mg mL\(^{-1}\) at pH 5, equal to the pH of the cataphoretic bath, and the analysis conducted at a temperature of 21 \(\pm\) 2\(^{\circ}\)C.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to observe the PPy particles morphology using a JEOL equipment model JSM-6390LV (JEOL, United States). Prior to the analysis, PPy powder particles were gold-coated. An accelerating voltage of 15 keV was used.

Electrical conductivity of PPy measurements were taken at room temperature using a four-probe apparatus. The electrical current was applied with a Keithley 6220 (United States) current source and the resulting voltage was registered by a Keithley Model 6517A (United States) electrometer. For sample preparation, first the powder was oven dried, and then molded to a 13 mm diameter pastille by compression molding. A Bovenau hydraulic press, model P15 ST, was used. The average of five measurements for each sample was calculated for results expression.

Aluminum alloy AA1200 panels (dimension of 75 \(\times\) 35 \(\times\) 1 mm) were used as substrates. Table 1 reports the alloy composition. Before the experimental tests, the panels were immersed in acetone for 10 min in an ultrasonic bath, followed by rinsing with distilled water, soaking in 5% by weight NaOH for 6 min, rinsed again with water and let dry using compressed air. All steps were conducted at room temperature.

### Coatings Preparation

The epoxy paints deposition on AA1200 substrates was conducted by electrophoretic deposition method using an epoxy-based binder supplied by Arsonkote 212. The coatings were prepared by diluting the epoxy-based binder with distilled water under mechanical stirring. The final volume was adjusted to 0.5 L. The produced and studied specimens were the epoxy clearcoat and epoxy loaded with PPy particles at 0.4%, 0.8%, and 1.2% by weight, as described in Table 2. The incorporation of as-prepared PPy particles was calculated considering the dissolved epoxy-based binder final weight. The epoxy clearcoat was used as the reference specimen and the variable under investigation was the concentration of PPy particles in the coating formulation. The deposition bath presented pH of 5.7, total solids content of 15.2% by weight and ionic conductivity near 1.14 mS cm\(^{-1}\). The pH and the ionic conductivity did not change by adding the PPy particles in the cataphoretic bath.

Aluminum alloy AA1200 panels of 75 \(\times\) 35 \(\times\) 1 mm prepared as mentioned in the item 2.2. were used as cathodes and one AISI 316 stainless steel panel was used as the anode during coatings deposition. The ratio between the electrodes areas was 1:1. The electrodeposition on the cathodes was carried out applying 150 Volts for 120 s with a Pulsed DC Generator MKS, model RDPG 50 5KW (United States). The cataphoretic bath temperature was kept at 28\(^{\circ}\)C. After the depositions, the coated aluminum panels were put at 180\(^{\circ}\)C for 30 min in vacuum oven for crosslinking. The time, temperature, voltage, and pH values used during the coating procedure and crosslinking, are in accordance with the epoxy-based binder supplier’s data sheet. Thickness measurements of dry film coatings were taken with an AKSO equipment, model AK157 following the ASTM D6132-13 standard (Table 2). Table 2 lists the coatings identification, amount of added PPy particles and samples dry film thickness.

### Characterization of the Coatings

The obtained coatings were evaluated by fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) in the range from 4000 up to 600 cm\(^{-1}\) with a step-size of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). An infrared spectrometer Bruker Tensor 27 (Bruker, United States) with attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory were used for spectra acquisition.

Adhesion of e-coat films was assessed via cross-cut test according to ISO 2409:2007 using Scotch fibrous tape #880 (purchased from 3M) before immersion tests. The spacing of the cuts were 2 mm. The tape was placed parallel to one cutting direction on the e-coat film, pressed for air removal and after 5 min it was pulled off. The appearance of the cross-cut site was evaluated based on coating detachment amount and classified according to the ISO 2409.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out to evaluate the coatings protective properties. Single-sine EIS measurements were performed using a potentiostat combined with a frequency response analyzer (FRA) module (Autolab Metrohm PGSTAT302N). A single sine perturbation of 10 mV of amplitude (peak-to-peak) was applied on the samples based on the average open circuit potential (OCP), within the frequency range of 10\(^{-2}\) up to 10\(^{-2}\) Hz. An OCP reading time of 5 min and potential stabilization of dE/dt lower than 1 mV/s were set before EIS data acquisition. In a typical three-electrode arrangement, the coated AA1200 panels were used as the working electrodes with an Ag|AgCl reference electrode (+205 mV vs. SHE) and a stainless-steel ring counter electrode (21 cm\(^{2}\)). The coating area in contact with the electrolyte was 1 cm\(^{2}\). All the measurements were conducted at 23\(^{\circ}\)C using a non-aerated 0.1 M NaCl (sodium chloride) solution at a pH of 6.0 \(\pm\) 0.2 as electrolyte.

---

**Table 1** | AA1200 H14 composition alloy as informed by the supplier.

| Element | Si + Fe | Cu | Mn | Mg | Zn | Ti | Others | Al |
|--------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|----|
| %      | 0.74   | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 99.18 |

**Table 2** | Coatings identification (labels), fillings of each sample and their dry film thickness.

| Label       | Material                        | PPy into E-coat formulation (% by weight) | Dry film thickness (\(\mu\)m) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| E-coat      | Dissolved epoxy-based binder (Arsonkote 212) | –                         | 10.7 \(\pm\) 0.4              |
| E-coat/PPy 0.4 | Dissolved epoxy-based          | 0.4                          | 12.4 \(\pm\) 1.5              |
| E-coat/PPy 0.8 | binder + PPy particles      | 0.8                          | 17.0 \(\pm\) 1.1              |
| E-coat/PPy 1.2 |                                 | 1.2                          | 20.0 \(\pm\) 1.0              |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of PPy Particles

Figure 1 depicts the relative frequency distribution by volumetric size of PPy particles in water. A bimodal distribution is observed and can be related to the difficulty of maintaining a homogeneous PPy dispersion. The mean size of PPy particles was found to be near 154.1 nm. The zeta potential of the PPy dispersion at pH 5 was verified to be near $-35$ mV. This can be attributed to the presence of the DBSA's $\text{SO}_3^-$ counter ions as the negative charge source in the diffuse layer of the particles.

Scanning electron microscopy micrograph of PPy particles is shown in Figure 2. The PPy in dry powder form is composed of agglomerates particles of different sizes and irregular shapes (Saremi and Yeganeh, 2014; Mert, 2016). Some aggregates are observed, probably responsible by the larger size particles detected in the particle size analysis. The observed particles are not perfectly spherical, due to the not formation of micelles surrounded by monomer during pyrrole polymerization (Aldissi and Armes, 1991; Boeva and Sergeyev, 2014; Vargas et al., 2018).

Characterization of the Coatings

The infrared spectra of neat e-coat, e-coat/PPy filled with 0.4%, 0.8%, and 1.2% by weight of conductive filler are depicted in Figure 3. The absorption band of neat e-coat in 3697 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the N-H group of the primary amide of polyurethane present in the epoxy-based coating formulation, according to the product technical data sheet. The absorption band at 3361 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the hydroxyl/amine group of hydrogen bonds. Absorption bands at 2975, 2934, and 2855 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the C-H stretch of aliphatic chain. In addition, the stretching vibrations of the carbonyl group urethane are observed in the absorption band at 1724 cm$^{-1}$. The bands at 1646 and 1609 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the NH$_2$ group while at 1507 cm$^{-1}$ the NH stretch of the benzene rings. The absorption band at 1226 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the elongation of the vibrations of the group $\text{C-O-C}$, at 913 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the absorption band of the epoxy ring. And the absorption at 824 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to the CH bonds of the aromatic ring.

The band at 3361 cm$^{-1}$, Figure 4A, is related to the bonded OH or NH group, a displacement to 3324 cm$^{-1}$ for e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight and to 3294 cm$^{-1}$ for e-coat/PPy 0.8% and 1.2% by weight. According to Petrovic and Ferguson (1991), the frequency of hydrogen bonds changes with the strength of bonds, stronger bonds are displaced to larger wave numbers. Possibly, the observed displacements are due to the intermolecular interactions (H bonds) between the PPy additive and the neat e-coat matrix.

The PPy particles showed d.c. electrical conductivity average of 6.5 S cm$^{-1}$.
From Figure 4B, a shift in the absorption bands associated with the hydrogen bonded carbonyl group at 1724 cm$^{-1}$ of the neat e-coat is observed for smaller values (1720, 1722, and 1721 cm$^{-1}$), with the addition of PPy, at respective concentrations of 0.4%, 0.8%, and 1.2% by weight. This result indicates the occurrence of a higher number of hydrogen bonds between the C = O and the -NH of the urethane and/or -NH of the PPy.

**Adhesion Test**

The adhesion of neat e-coat and e-coats containing 0.4%, 0.8%, and 1.2% by weight of PPy was assessed using a tape peel-test, according to ISO 2409:2007, before immersion tests. All coatings were classified as grade 0 according to the ISO standard due to the absence of coating detachment as depicted in Figure 5. The excellent coating adhesion on AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy, implies that the coating-substrate interface presents good mechanical stability. These results indicate a proper substrate surface preparation and that the addition of different conductive additive contents in the e-coat formulation did not affect the coating adhesion on the metal substrate.

**Electrochemical Tests of Coatings**

Dry layer thickness is one of the most important measures for inspection and quality control of anti-corrosion coatings, which relates the barrier effect to the durability of the coating (Olajire, 2018). According to the Table 2, with increasing PPy concentration in the neat e-coat, the coating thickness and electrolyte permeability in the coating metal interface increased.

Figure 6 shows the Bode diagrams of EIS spectra of the samples with neat e-coat (a1, a2), e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight (b1, b2), e-coat/PPy 0.8% by weight (c1, c2), and e-coat/PPy 1.2% by weight (d1, d2) during 672 h immersion in chloride rich solution. In the Bode phase plot for neat e-coat, Figure 6A1, partially overlapping peaks indicating two-time constants are noticed. One time constant is located in 10$^{4}$–10$^{2}$ Hz and the second one in 10$^{1}$–10$^{-1}$ Hz regarding the substrate-coating interface and the dissipative phenomena occurring in the presence of the coating, respectively. The presence of two-time constants in the e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight appeared just within 336–672 h of exposure, which suggests an improvement in the corrosion protection of the AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy panel at this PPy load for a prolonged length of time. While the e-coat/PPy 0.8% by weight, Figure 6C1, and the e-coat/PPy 1.2% by weight, Figure 6D1, behaved similarly, with two different segments of the high and low frequency regions. These results indicate that the corrosion protection at both PPy contents (0.8% and 1.2% by weight) was not improved, since they have phase angles near to the neat e-coat. Similar results to these described above were found for Jadhav et al. (2013), Živković et al. (2015), Mert (2016), Kumar et al. (2017).

The total impedance modulus of the neat e-coat at low frequency range ($|Z|_{0-0.1}$ Hz) was 10$^{5}$ Ohm cm$^{2}$ within the first 24 h of exposure (Figure 6A2). After 24 h, $|Z|_{0-0.1}$ Hz, decreased with exposure time indicating a gradual reduction of the coating barrier effect until reaching 10$^{3}$ Ohm cm$^{2}$ at 168 h of immersion and remaining in this impedance range up to the end of the test.
FIGURE 6 | Bode diagram for neat e-coat (A1,A2), e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight (B1,B2), e-coat/PPy 0.8% by weight (C1,C2), and e-coat/PPy 1.2% by weight (D1,D2) during 672 h exposure.
The e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight, Figure 6B2, shown values of $|Z|$ at $10^9$ Ohm cm$^2$ in the first 24 h of immersion test decreasing up to $10^8$ Ohm cm$^2$ at the end of exposure time to the electrolyte. Whereas the e-coat/PPy 0.8% by weight, Figure 6C2, behaved similarly to the neat e-coat. And the e-coat/PPy 1.2% by weight, Figure 6D2, increased by only one order of magnitude ($10^7$ Ohm cm$^2$) when compared to the neat e-coat, remaining in this impedance range without further protection improvement.

It can be concluded that the addition of PPy in the cataphoretic bath above an optimal concentration presented a detrimental effect. Instead of increasing the corrosion protection compared to the neat e-coat. This behavior can be attributed to an increased porosity allowing the free migration of the electrolyte through the coating (Bandeira et al., 2017). In this work the probable optimal concentration of PPy particles is below 0.8% by weight once the e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight coating offered much higher impedance moduli compared to neat e-coat, that means an improved barrier effect (Huerta-Vilca et al., 2004; Contri et al., 2018).

The electrical parameters have been extracted after modeling the EIS data and the electrical equivalent circuit presented in Figure 7. In the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 7A, $R_s$ is the electrolyte resistance, $R_c$ the coating resistance and $Q_c$ the constant phase element (CPE) of the coating, related to the coating capacitance. A CPE replaced the pure capacitance since the use of a CPE element with exponent $n$ gives a better fitting of the spectra. The Figure 7B shows two-time phase constant, where $R_c$ is the resistance associated with the transfer of charges between the electrolyte and the surface of Al and the resistance associated with the passive film, $Q_{dl}$ is the dielectric contribution of the electric double layer generated at the Al electrolyte/surface interface and the passive oxide film. Over immersion time, the electrolyte reaches the metal, resulting in two-time phase constants associated responses of oxides/hydroxides present in the metal/coating interface (Kumar et al., 2017).

The stack plot given in Figure 8 shows the evolution, as function of time, of the electrical parameters $R_c$ as well as the pre-factor “$Y_0$” and the exponent “n” of the CPE labeled $Q_{dl} + \omega (Z_{CPE} = [Y_0(\omega j)^n])^{-1}$. $R_c$ and $Q_c$ values were taken into account to evaluate the level of defects in the obtained coatings (Figures 8A,B). The graph in Figure 8A shows a significant decrease in $R_c$ value after 24 h of testing due to water absorption in the coating at the beginning of the test. Again, the sample e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight shows a superior behavior, with resistance values always higher than $10^6$ $\Omega$ cm$^2$. The other samples (neat e-coat, e-coat/PPy 0.8% and 1.2% by weight) presented a poorer performance attributed to a higher coating porosity due to conductive additive concentration bigger than an optimal content losing of the barrier effect.

The graph of Figure 8B shows the evolution of $Q_c$ in the time of exposure. The pre-factor of the CPE showed a continuous reduction of its magnitudes during the period of testing. The behavior for e-coat/PPy 0.8% and 1.2% by weight were similar the neat e-coat. As a consequence, e-coat/PPy 0.8% and 1.2% by weight samples exhibited higher capacitance values by increasing the absorption of electroactive species with the time of exposure. However, it is observed for the e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight that the capacitance values are smaller in comparison to the other coatings, indicating low water absorption by the film and presenting elevated barrier effect over time (Zanella et al., 2014).
CONCLUSION

In this study, a new coating for corrosion application of the AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy was successfully obtained from epoxy resin (e-coat) and PPy by the cataphoresis technique. According to the results presented in this work, it can be concluded that the e-coat/PPy 0.4%, 0.8%, and 1.2% by weight showed shifts to lower wavelengths for the absorption bands to the bonded OH and NH groups and to the carbonyl absorption bands of the urethane group, indicating that there was interaction between the PPy and the epoxy matrix. The electrodeposited coatings presented good adhesion on AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy panels, indicating that the addition of different conductive additive contents in the e-coat formulation did not affect coating performance on adhesion to the metal substrate. The electrochemical tests showed that e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight coating provides higher impedance modulus values when compared to neat e-coat, e-coat/PPy 0.8% and e-coat/PPy 1.2% by weight.

Finally, this study showed that the coatings of e-coat/PPy are promising coatings with potential of corrosion protection for the AA1200 H14 aluminum alloy, in particular e-coat/PPy 0.4% by weight, which presented the highest anticorrosive performance during the exposure.
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