Magnetic dipole moments of $B_{(s)}^{(*)}B_{(s)}^{(*)}$ states
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Abstract: We systematically study the magnetic dipole moments of multiquark states. In this study, the magnetic dipole moments of possible $B^+B^-$, $B^0B^{*0}$, $B^0B^{*0}$, $B^0B^{*0}$, $B^0B^{*0}$, and $B^0B^{*0}$ states are extracted using light-cone sum rules. We explore the magnetic dipole moments of these states in a molecular picture with spin-parity $I^P = 1^+$. The magnetic dipole moments of hadrons include useful information on the distributions of internal charge and magnetization, which can be used to understand their geometrical shapes and quark-gluon organization. The results of the present study along with the spectroscopic parameters may help future theoretical and experimental research on the characteristics of doubly-bottom tetraquark states.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration made a great breakthrough in the search for multiquark states and reported a new state ($T_{cc}^+$ for short) below the $D^0D^{*+}$ mass threshold in the $D^0D^{*+}\pi^+$ invariant mass spectrum [1, 2]. The fact that this observed state contains two charm quarks and has an electrical charge makes it a good candidate for an exotic state with the quark content $cc\bar{c}\bar{d}$. The significance of the $T_{cc}^+$ state is the same as that of $X(3872)$; therefore, the newly discovered state provides an important new platform for both experimental and theoretical hadron physics. In literature, there are numerous theoretical studies that have been performed to understand the spectroscopic parameters, magnetic dipole moments, production mechanisms, and decay modes of doubly-charmed tetraquark states within different models [3–30].

If $T_{cc}^+$ is the doubly-charmed tetraquark state, there may also be doubly-bottom tetraquark states. Even if these doubly-bottom tetraquark states do not exist, it is important, in our opinion, to explore the reasons why. Inspired by this, we are well-motivated to search for the possible doubly-bottom tetraquark states. Therefore, besides the doubly-charmed states, the properties of doubly-bottom tetraquark states have also been extracted in different configurations [5, 24, 25, 31–48]. In Ref. [24], the mass and decay width of the $T_{cc}$ and $T_{bb}$ states were investigated in the framework of the one-boson exchange potential model. The researchers predicted that $T_{bb}$ states are more stable than $T_{cc}$ states. In Ref. [31], the authors studied the interaction of $T_{bb}$ states by means of vector meson exchange with Lagrangians from an extension of the local hidden-gauge approach. They predicted that only $B^+B^-$, $(B^0B^*-B^0B^*)$, $B^+B^*$, and $B^0B^*$ states form bound states with the quantum numbers $J^P = 1^+$. In Ref. [35], the masses of the $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ tetraquark states were obtained with the help of heavy diquark-antiquark symmetry and the chromomagnetic interaction model. They predicted that only the $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{q}\bar{s}$ states are stable with respect to strong decays and discussed the constraints on the masses of these tetraquark states. In Ref. [36], the authors predicted the masses of doubly-heavy tetraquark states in the heavy quark limit. They found that only doubly-bottom tetraquarks with $\bar{u}d$, $\bar{s}u$, and $\bar{s}d$ are stable with strong decays. In Ref. [37], the bound states of doubly-heavy tetraquarks were investigated via the non-relativistic quark model. The authors obtained several stable states, one of which was a strongly bound $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ with isospin and spin-parity, $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$. In Ref. [46], the spectroscopic parameters of $T_{bb}$ states were investigated within QCD sum rules using molecular pictures with quantum numbers $J^P = 1^+$. Furthermore, in Ref. [47], the authors attempted to extract possible $bb\bar{u}\bar{d}$ states within lattice QCD and found that one of these states form a bound state. In addition to spectroscopic parameters, the semi leptonic and nonleptonic decays of double-bottom tetraquark states were extracted in the
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framework of the QCD sum rule method in Ref. [41, 45].

In Refs. [26, 27], we extracted the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-charmed tetraquark states in the molecular picture using the light-cone sum rule method. For the case of \( T_{cc}^+ \), the magnetic dipole moment was also obtained by considering it as a diquark-antidiquark state. We extend our work to doubly-bottom tetraquark states. In this study, we evaluate the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states in the molecular framework using the light-cone sum rule method [49–51]. The light-cone sum rules method has been employed in literature to obtain information about the dynamic and static parameters of conventional and non-conventional hadrons, providing successful predictions that are consistent with experimental values. The magnetic dipole moment of hadrons represents an important tool for understanding their internal structure in terms of quarks and gluons. Thus, it is important and also interesting to investigate the magnetic dipole moments of conventional and non-conventional hadrons.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, light-cone sum rules for the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states are calculated. Sec. III is devoted to the numerical calculations of the magnetic dipole moment sum rules and discussion. Explicit expressions for the magnetic dipole moments of the doubly-bottom tetraquark states and distribution amplitudes (DAs) of photons are presented in the appendices.

II. FORMALISM

In the light-cone sum rules method, the correlation function is evaluated in terms of hadrons (hadronic side) and quark-gluon degrees of freedom (QCD side). Then, by applying the continuum subtraction and double Borel transformation to eliminate the effects from higher states and the continuum and enhance the contributions of the ground state, and matching these results, we can obtain the desired sum rules.

To identify the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states within the light-cone sum rules, we introduce the following correlation function:

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{had}(p,q) = \int d^4x e^{i p \cdot x} \langle 0 | J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0) | 0 \rangle, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \gamma \) indicates the external electromagnetic field, and \( J_\mu(x) \) is the interpolating current of \( T_{bb} \) states with the spin-parity \( J^P = 1^+ \). Following the molecular configuration for \( T_{bb} \) states, we consider the interpolating current as

\[
J_\mu(x) = [\bar{q}_1 \gamma^\mu q_1(x)][\bar{q}_2 \gamma^\mu q_2(x)], \tag{2}
\]

where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) denote the \( u, d \), and \( s \)-quarks.

To acquire the hadronic side of the correlation function, we insert a complete set of hadronic states with quantum number \( J^P = 1^+ \) into the correlation function and isolate the contributions of the ground state of doubly-bottom tetraquark states. As a result of these calculations, we obtain the following:

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{had}(p, q) = \langle 0 | J_\mu(x) | T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) \rangle \frac{\epsilon^\nu}{p^2 - m_{T_{bb}}^2} \times \langle T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) | T_{bb}(p + q, \epsilon^\nu) \rangle_{\gamma} \times \langle T_{bb}(p + q, \epsilon^\nu) | J_\nu(0) | 0 \rangle_{\gamma} \times \frac{(p + q)^2}{(p + q)^2 - m_{T_{bb}}^2} + \text{other independent structures}, \tag{3}
\]

The amplitude \( \langle 0 | J_\mu(x) | T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) \rangle \) can be parameterized in terms of the residue \( \lambda_{T_{bb}} \) and polarization vector \( \epsilon^\mu \) of \( T_{bb} \) states as

\[
\langle 0 | J_\mu(x) | T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) \rangle = \lambda_{T_{bb}} \epsilon^\mu, \tag{4}
\]

whereas the matrix element \( \langle T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) | T_{bb}(p + q, \epsilon^\nu) \rangle_{\gamma} \) is given by

\[
\langle T_{bb}(p, \epsilon^\mu) | T_{bb}(p + q, \epsilon^\nu) \rangle_{\gamma} = -\epsilon^\nu (\epsilon^\mu)^\gamma \left( G_1(Q^2) \times (2p + q)_\gamma g_{\epsilon^\nu} + G_2(Q^2) \times (2p + q)_\gamma q_{\epsilon^\mu} q_{\epsilon^\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{m_{T_{bb}}^2} G_3(Q^2) (2p + q)_\gamma q_{\epsilon^\mu} q_{\epsilon^\nu}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \epsilon^\gamma \) is the polarization of the photon, and \( G_1(Q^2), G_2(Q^2), \) and \( G_3(Q^2) \) are electromagnetic form factors, with \( Q^2 = -q^2 \).

Using Eqs. (3)–(5) and after doing some necessary calculations, we obtain the hadronic side of the correlation function as follows:

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{had}(p, q) = \frac{\epsilon^\nu}{[m_{T_{bb}}^2 - (p + q)^2][m_{T_{bb}}^2 - p^2]} \left\{ G_2(Q^2) \times \left( q_\mu g_{\epsilon^\nu} - q_\nu g_{\epsilon^\mu} - \frac{p_\nu}{m_{T_{bb}}^2} (g_{\epsilon^\nu} p_\mu - \frac{1}{2} Q^2 g_{\epsilon^\mu}) \right) + \frac{(p + q)_\mu}{m_{T_{bb}}^2} \left( q_\nu (p + q)_\mu + \frac{1}{2} Q^2 g_{\epsilon^\nu} \right) - \frac{(p + q)_\nu}{m_{T_{bb}}^2} \left( q_\mu (p + q)_\nu + \frac{1}{2} Q^2 g_{\epsilon^\mu} \right) \right\} + \text{other independent structures}, \tag{6}
\]
To characterize the magnetic dipole moment, we demand the value of the \(G_2(Q^2)\) form factor to be at \(Q^2 = 0\). The magnetic form factor \(F_M(Q^2)\) is determined as

\[
F_M(Q^2) = G_2(Q^2),
\]

and the magnetic dipole moment \(\mu_{T_a}\) is described in terms of \(F_M(Q^2 = 0)\) as follows:

\[
\mu_{T_a} = \frac{e}{2m_{T_a}} F_M(Q^2 = 0).
\]

The next step in obtaining the analytical expressions of the magnetic dipole moment calculations is used to calculate the QCD side of the correlation function, which can be obtained by inserting the expression of the interpolating current given in Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) and using the Wick theorem. As a result, we get

\[
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{QCD}(p, q) = -i \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | \left[ \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma_5 S^{aa'}_b (x) \gamma_5 S^{bb'}_b (-x) \right] \right] | 0 \rangle,
\]

where \(S_a(x)\) and \(S_b(x)\) denote the light and bottom-quark propagators. During our calculations, we utilize the x-space expressions for the light and bottom-quark propagators,

\[
S_a(x) = \frac{-i}{2\pi^2 x^4} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_q^2 - \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{4} \right) - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{192} m_0^2 x^2 \right] \times \left( 1 - i \frac{m_q}{32\pi^2 x^2} G^\mu \left( x \right) \right) \left( k \sigma_{\mu \nu} + \sigma_{\mu \nu} k \right),
\]

\[
S_b(x) = \frac{m_b^2}{4\pi^2} \left[ K_1 (m_b \sqrt{-x^2}) + i \frac{K_2 (m_b \sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \right] - \frac{g_s m_b}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 dv G^\mu \left( v x \right) \left( \sigma_{\mu \nu} k + k \sigma_{\mu \nu} \right) K_0 \left( m_b \sqrt{-v x^2} \right) \times \frac{k_1 (m_b \sqrt{-v x^2})}{\sqrt{-v x^2}} + 2\sigma_{\mu \nu} K_0 \left( m_b \sqrt{-v x^2} \right),
\]

where \(\langle \bar{q}q \rangle\) is the light-quark condensate, \(m_0\) is characterized via the quark-gluon mixed condensate \(\langle 0 | \bar{q}g \gamma_{\mu} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} G^{\alpha \beta} q | 0 \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle\), \(v\) is the line variable, \(G^\mu\) is the gluon field strength tensor, and \(K_1, K_2, \) and \(K_3\) are modified Bessel functions of the second kind.

In Fig. 1, we present some of the possible Feynman diagrams that contribute to the QCD side of the analysis. For simplicity, we do not present the Feynman diagrams of terms proportional to higher-dimensional operators, but they are considered in numerical analysis.

The correlation function in Eq. (9) includes different contributions, that is, the photon can be emitted both perturbatively (contributions of short-distance) and non-perturbatively (contributions of long-distance). In the first case, the photon perturbatively interacts with one of the light or heavy quarks. To obtain this contribution, the propagator of the quark interacting with the photon perturbatively is modified via

\[
S_{\mu \nu}^{\text{free}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \left( \bar{q}^\nu(x) \Gamma_{\mu \nu}(x) q^\mu(x) \right) (\Gamma)_{\mu \nu},
\]

where \(S_{\mu \nu}^{\text{free}}(x)\) is one of the light quark propagators given in Eq. (10), \(\Gamma_i = \gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} / 2\), and the remaining light and heavy quark propagators are replaced with full quark propagators. Because a photon interacts with light-quark fields at long distances, there are matrix elements of nonlocal operators between the vacuum and photon state, such as \(\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_{\mu \nu}(q) (0) | 0 \rangle = \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_{\mu \nu}(q) (0) | 0 \rangle\). These matrix elements are described with respect to the DAs of the photon, which are defined in Ref. [52]. After these calculations, the QCD side of the correlation function is obtained.

In the final step, by applying a double Borel transformation over the variables \(-p^2\) and \(-(p + q)^2\), choosing the coefficients of the same Lorentz structures \((e.p (p_d q_v - p_v q_d))\) in both the QCD and hadronic sides and equating them, and performing quark-hadron duality approximation, we obtain the required light-cone sum rules for these magnetic dipole moments.

\[
\mu_{T_a} = \frac{m_{T_a}^2 g_{\mu \nu}^{M^\mu} (M^\nu) \Delta (M^2, s_0)}{\Lambda_{T_a}^2}.
\]

The explicit expression of the \(\Delta(M^2, s_0)\) function is given in Appendix A.
Fig. 1. Feynman diagrams of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states. The thick, thin, wavy, and curly lines represent the heavy quark, light quark, photon, and gluon propagators, respectively. Diagrams (a) correspond to the perturbative photon vertex, and diagrams (b) represent the contributions originating from the DAs of the photon.
III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this section, numerical computations are performed for the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states.

The light-cone sum rules contain various input parameters, such as the light and heavy quark masses and light-quark and gluon condensates. These parameters are given as $m_u = m_d = 0$, $m_s = 96.4 + 0.6$ MeV, $m_b = (4.78 + 0.06)$ GeV, $f_{3y} = -0.0039$ GeV$^2$ [52], $\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = 0.8(\bar{u}u)$ with $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = (-0.24 + 0.01)^3$ GeV$^3$ [53], $m_0^2 = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ GeV$^2$ [53], $\langle q^2 G^2 \rangle = 0.88$ GeV$^4$ [54], and $\chi = -2.85 \pm 0.5$ GeV$^{-2}$ [55]. To obtain a numerical value for the magnetic dipole moments, we must define the values of the mass and residue of the doubly-bottom tetraquark states. These parameters are borrowed from Ref. [46]. Another set of crucial input parameters are the photon DAs of different twists. Explicit expression of these DAs is given in Appendix B.

It follows from the explicit expressions of the light-cone sum rules for the magnetic dipole moments of the doubly-bottom tetraquark states that in addition to DAs, they contain two arbitrary parameters, namely, the Borel mass parameter $M^2$ and continuum threshold $s_0$. According to the light-cone sum rules methodology, we must find working intervals of these arbitrary parameters, where the magnetic dipole moments are insensitive to variations in these arbitrary parameters in their working intervals. However, in practice, it is necessary to find the working region where the variation in the calculations of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states according to these parameters is minimum. The continuum threshold $s_0$ is not arbitrary and is related to the energy of the first excited state in the initial channel. However, because we have very limited information on the energy of excited states, we must decide on the method of choosing the working interval of $s_0$. There are various proposals on how to determine this parameter.

The analysis of various sum rules predicts that $s_0 = (m_{\text{ground}}+0.5+0.1)^2$ GeV$^2$. We use two criteria to determine the working region of $M^2$. The lower bound of $M^2$ is constrained by operator product expansion (OPE) convergence, demanding the higher twist and higher condensate terms be less than 10% of the total. The upper bound of $M^2$ is constrained by the pole contribution (PC)

$$PC = \frac{\Delta(M^2, s_0)}{\Delta(M^2, \infty)},$$

which represents the lowest-lying state contribution to the correlation function.

Our numerical calculations indicate that the requirements of the light-cone sum rules method are satisfied in the working intervals of the arbitrary parameters presented in Table 1. In our computations, for the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states, the PC varies on average within the limits 0.35–0.61. In the standard analysis of sum rules, the PC is expected to be larger than 0.5 for conventional hadrons. However, in the case of tetraquark states, $PC > 0.2$. When we analyze the OPE convergence, we find that the contribution of the higher dimensional term in OPE is less than ~ 1%. As these results suggest, the chosen working intervals for $M^2$ and $s_0$ satisfy the requirements of the light-cone sum rules method. In Fig. 2, we present the dependence of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states on $M^2$ at various $s_0$ values. As shown, the variation in magnetic dipole moment with respect to $M^2$ is roughly 10%–15%. Although the magnetic dipole moments indicate some dependence on $s_0$, it remains inside the limits allowed by the light-cone sum rules and generates most of the uncertainties.

After performing the numerical calculations, the acquired values of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states are collected in Table 2. The uncertainties on the results are due to the variation in $s_0$ and $M^2$, and errors in the values of the input parameters.

The magnitude of the magnetic dipole moments indicates their measurability in experiments. From this perspective, it can be said that it is possible to measure the magnetic dipole moments acquired experimentally. $SU(3)_f$ breaking effects are considered through a nonzero s-quark mass and s-quark condensate, and we predict that $SU(3)_f$ symmetry violation in the magnetic dipole moments is small, except for the relation between $B^0 B^0$ and $B^0 B^0$, where $SU(3)_f$ symmetry violation is large. In Ref. [5], the authors performed a systematic investigation of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly heavy tetraquark states with the molecule configuration within the framework of the non-relativistic quark model with the help of

| $T_{16}$ States | $s_0$/GeV$^2$ | $M^2$/GeV$^2$ | PC |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|----|
| $B^+ B^+$ | 115–119 | 11–15 | 0.38–0.61 |
| $B^+ B^–$ | 115–119 | 11–15 | 0.35–0.58 |
| $B^0 B^0$ | 115–119 | 11–15 | 0.35–0.61 |
| $B^0 B^–$ | 115–119 | 11–15 | 0.37–0.59 |
| $B^+ B^–$ | 117–121 | 11–15 | 0.36–0.58 |
| $B^0 B^0$ | 117–121 | 11–15 | 0.37–0.60 |
| $B^0 B^–$ | 117–121 | 11–15 | 0.36–0.59 |
| $B^+ B^–$ | 117–121 | 11–15 | 0.35–0.61 |
| $B^0 B^0$ | 121–125 | 11–15 | 0.35–0.60 |
the Gaussian expansion method. The obtained magnetic dipole moments depending on their spatial configurations are given as \( \mu_{T_u} = 0.49 - 0.98 \mu_N \) and \( \mu_{T_s} = 1.29 - 1.40 \mu_N \).

As shown by the analytical results given in the appendix, we choose to keep the quark charge factors explicit. The advantage of this is that it can make it possible to investigate individual quark contributions to the magnetic dipole moment. Via a profound analysis, it is observed that the sign of the magnetic dipole moment is determined by the \( q_2 \)-quark in the interpolating current. If \( q_2 \) is a \( u \)-quark, the sign of the magnetic dipole moment is positive (\( B^- B^{0} \), \( B^0 B^{-} \), and \( B_s^0 B_s^- \)), and if \( q_2 \) is one of the \( d \) or \( s \)-quarks, the sign of the magnetic dipole moment is negative (\( B^- B^{0} \), \( B^0 B^{-} \), \( B^- B^0 \), \( B^0 B^0 \), \( B_s^0 B_s^0 \), and \( B_s^0 B_s^0 \)). A detailed examination indicates that the smallness of the \( e_b \) and \( e_p \) contributions are due to an almost exact cancelation of terms involving \( e_b \) and \( e_p \). We would also like to discuss the amount of perturbative and non-perturbative contributions (for example, quark-gluon condensates and the DAs of the photon) to the total results. Our numerical computations indicate that roughly 85 \% of the total contribution belongs to the perturbative part, and the surviving 15 \% corresponds to the non-perturbative contributions.

In conclusion, we extract the magnetic dipole moments within the light-cone sum rules method by employing molecular type interpolating currents for doubly bot-

![Fig. 2](color online) Dependence of the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states on \( M^2 \) at three different values of \( s_0 \); (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) represent the \( B^- B^{0} \), \( B^0 B^{-} \), \( B^- B^0 \), \( B^0 B^0 \), \( B_s^0 B_s^0 \), and \( B_s^0 B_s^0 \) states, respectively.
Magnetic dipole moments of the $B^+(s_0)$ states
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APPENDIX A: EXPLICIT EXPRESSION FOR

\[ \Delta(M^2, s_0) \]

In Appendix A, we present the explicit expressions of the function $\Delta(M^2, s_0)$ for the magnetic dipole moments of doubly-bottom tetraquark states entering the sum rule.
\[-2I[0,2,2,1] + 10I[0,2,2,2] + I[0,2,3,1]) - 3I[0,3,2,0] - 127I[0,3,2,1] + 263I[0,3,2,2]
- 133I[0,3,2,3] + 9I[0,3,3,0] + 254I[0,3,3,1] - 263I[0,3,3,2] - 9I[0,3,4,0] - 127I[0,3,4,1]
+ 3I[0,3,5,0]) + m_0(q_0) (3m_0^2I[0,2,2,1] - 9I[0,2,2,1] + 2I[0,2,3,1] - 3I[0,2,3,2] - 9I[0,3,1,1]
- 13I[0,3,2,1] + 3I[0,3,2,2] - 3I[0,3,3,1] + 3I[0,3,3,2] - 3I[0,3,4,0] + 3I[0,3,4,1] + I[0,3,5,0])
+ m_0(q_0) \left[ 72e_q, I[0,2,2,0] + 2I[0,2,2,1] + 3I[0,3,2,0] + 3I[0,3,3,0] + 6I[0,3,3,1] + 3I[0,3,4,0] + 3I[0,3,4,1] + I[0,3,5,0] \right]
+ 3I[0,3,2,0] - 3I[0,3,2,1] + 3I[0,3,2,2] - 3I[0,3,3,0] - 6I[0,3,3,1] + 3I[0,3,4,0] + 3I[0,3,4,1] - 6I[0,4,2,1] + 3I[0,4,2,2]
+ I[0,4,2,3] + I[0,4,2,4] + I[0,4,3,1] - 6I[0,4,3,2] + 3I[0,4,3,3] - 3I[0,4,4,1] + 3I[0,4,4,2]
+ I[0,4,5,1]) \varphi, [u_0] \right] - \left( -4I[0,3,4,0] + 3I[0,3,5,0] \right)
- \left[ -2I[0,2,2,1] + 2I[0,2,3,0] + 2I[0,2,3,1] + I[0,2,4,0] \right)
+ 4e_q, \left[ I[0,3,2,1] - 2I[0,3,2,2] + I[0,3,3,1] + 2I[0,3,3,2] + I[0,3,4,1] \right)
+ e_q, \left( I[0,4,1,3] - 2I[0,4,1,4] + I[0,4,1,5] - 2I[0,4,2,3] + 2I[0,4,2,4] + I[0,4,3,3] \right]
- 8(e_q, - e_q), \left[ I[0,3,2,1] - 2I[0,3,2,2] + I[0,3,3,1] + 2I[0,3,3,2] + I[0,3,4,1] \right)
+ e_q, \left( I[0,4,3,0] - 3I[0,4,3,1] + 3I[0,4,3,2] \right)
+ e_q, \left( I[0,4,4,0] - 6I[0,4,4,1] + 3I[0,4,4,2] - 3I[0,4,5,0] + 3I[0,4,5,1] - I[0,4,6,0] \right)
+ m_b, \left[ - m_b, \left[ I[0,4,2,2] - 2I[0,4,2,3] + I[0,4,2,4] - 2I[0,4,3,2] + 2I[0,4,3,3] + I[0,4,4,2] \right)
+ m_b, \left[ 3I[0,4,1,2] - 9I[0,4,1,3] + 9I[0,4,1,4] - 3I[0,4,1,5] + 2I[0,4,2,2] - 4I[0,4,2,3] + 2I[0,4,2,4] \right)
+ 13I[0,4,3,1] - 2I[0,4,3,2] + 2I[0,4,4,2] - 3I[0,4,4,3] - 3I[0,4,4,4] + I[0,4,2,1] + 3I[0,4,2,2] - 3I[0,4,2,3] + I[0,4,2,4] \right)
+ 3I[0,4,3,1] - 2I[0,4,3,2] + I[0,4,3,3] - I[0,4,4,1] + I[0,4,4,2] - I[0,4,5,1] - 16e_q, m_q, \left[ I[0,3,2,0] - 3I[0,3,2,1] + 3I[0,3,2,2] - I[0,3,2,3] - 3I[0,3,3,0] - 2I[0,3,3,1] + I[0,3,3,2] - I[0,3,4,0] + I[0,3,4,1] - I[0,3,5,0] \right)
+ \frac{3}{5242880\pi^2} - 36e_q, I[0,0,5,3,1] + 11e_q, I[0,5,2,2] - 3I[0,5,2,3] + 3I[0,5,2,4] - I[0,5,2,5] - 3I[0,5,3,2]
+ 2I[0,5,3,3] + I[0,5,3,4] - I[0,5,4,0] - I[0,5,4,1] - 3I[0,5,5,1]
- 2I[0,0,5,3,1] + 3I[0,5,3,2] - 3I[0,5,3,3] + I[0,5,3,4] + 3I[0,5,3,5] + I[0,5,3,6] \right] ,
Magnetic dipole moments of the $B_{(s)}^{(*)} H_{(s)}^{(*)}$ states

\begin{equation}
+ 3(I[0, 5, 4, 1] - 2I[0, 5, 4, 2] + I[0, 5, 4, 3] - I[0, 5, 5, 1] + I[0, 5, 5, 2]) + I[0, 5, 6, 1])
\end{equation}

where $u_0 = \frac{M_1^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}$, and $\frac{1}{M^2} = \frac{1}{M_1^2} + \frac{1}{M_2^2}$, with $M_1$ and $M_2$ as the Borel parameters in the initial and final states, respectively. Here, $e_{\mu}(q_0)$, $m_{\mu}(q_0)$, and $\langle q_{(s)} q_{(s)} \rangle$ are the electric charge, mass, and condensates of the corresponding light-quark, respectively. For simplicity, we do not present the terms proportional to many higher dimension operators; however, in the numerical computations, we take these terms into account.

The functions $I[\mu, \nu, \lambda, k]$, $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$, $I_2[\mathcal{F}]$, $I_3[\mathcal{F}]$, and $I_4[\mathcal{F}]$ are defined as

$$I[\mu, \nu, \lambda, k] = \int_{-4m_0^2}^{0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}v \text{e}^{-s/M^2} S(s - 4m_0^2) t^l w^k,$$

$$I_1[\mathcal{F}] = \int_{D_{\mathcal{F}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}v \mathcal{F}(x_{\mu}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta(\alpha_q + \overline{\alpha}_g - u_0),$$

$$I_2[\mathcal{F}] = \int_{D_{\mathcal{F}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}v \mathcal{F}(x_{\mu}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta(\alpha_q + \overline{\alpha}_g - u_0),$$

$$I_3[\mathcal{F}] = \int_{D_{\mathcal{F}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}v \mathcal{F}(x_{\mu}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta(\alpha_q + \overline{\alpha}_g - u_0),$$

$$I_4[\mathcal{F}] = \int_{D_{\mathcal{F}}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}v \mathcal{F}(x_{\mu}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) \delta(\alpha_q + \overline{\alpha}_g - u_0),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the corresponding photon DAs.

**APPENDIX B: DISTRIBUTION AMPLITUDES OF THE PHOTON**

In Appendix B, the matrix elements $\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \Gamma_{j\Gamma} q(0)|0 \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \Gamma_{j\Gamma} G_{\mu
u} q(0)|0 \rangle$ associated with the photon DAs are presented as follows [52]:

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} q(0)|0 \rangle = e_{\mu} f_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \text{e}^{i\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}} S(u)$$

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} q(0)|0 \rangle = - i e_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \text{e}^{i\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}} h_{\gamma}(u)$$

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} G_{\mu
u} q(0)|0 \rangle = - i e_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$$

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} G_{\mu
u} (\mathcal{F}) q(0)|0 \rangle = e_{\mu} f_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F})$$

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} g_{\mu
u} G_{\mu
u} (\mathcal{F}) q(0)|0 \rangle = e_{\mu} f_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$$

$$\langle \gamma(q)| \tilde{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} g_{\mu
u} G_{\mu
u} (\mathcal{F}) q(0)|0 \rangle = e_{\mu} f_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}}{q_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{1} \text{d}u \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F})$$
where $\varphi_\gamma(u)$ is the DA of the leading twist-2, $\psi^\nu(u)$, $\psi^\rho(u)$, $\mathcal{A}(\alpha_i)$, and $\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i)$ are the twist-3 amplitudes, and $h_3(u)$, $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $S(\alpha_i)$, $T_1(\alpha_i)$, $T_2(\alpha_i)$, $T_3(\alpha_i)$, and $T_4(\alpha_i)$ are the twist-4 photon DAs. The measured $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_i}$ is defined as

$$
\int \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_i} = \int_0^1 d\alpha_q \int_0^1 d\alpha_g \int_0^1 d\alpha_x \delta(1 - \alpha_q - \alpha_g - \alpha_x).
$$

The expressions of the DAs that are entered into the matrix elements above are as follows:

$$
\varphi_\gamma(u) = 6u\bar{u}\left(1 + \varphi_2(\mu)C_2^{1/2}(u - \bar{u})\right),
$$

$$
\psi^\nu(u) = 3\left(3(2u - 1)^2 - 1\right)\left(15w^\nu - 5w^\rho\right)\left(3 - 30(2u - 1)^2 + 35(2u - 1)^4\right),
$$

$$
\psi^\rho(u) = \left(1 - (2u - 1)^2\right)\left(5(2u - 1)^2 - 1\right)\frac{5}{2}\left(1 + \frac{9}{16}w^\nu - \frac{3}{16}w^\rho\right),
$$

$$
h_3(u) = -10(1 + 2\kappa)C_2^{1/2}(u - \bar{u}),
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}(u) = 40u^2\bar{u}^2(3\kappa - \kappa^* + 1) + 8(\zeta_2^* - 3\zeta_2)[u\bar{u}(2 + 13\bar{u})
$$

$$
+ 2u^3(10 - 15u + 6u^2)\ln(u) + 2\bar{u}^3(10 - 15\bar{u} + 6\bar{u}^2)\ln(\bar{u})],
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) = 360\alpha_q\alpha_g\alpha_x^2\left(1 + w^\rho\frac{1}{2}(7\alpha_x - 3)\right),
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i) = 540w^\rho(\alpha_q - \alpha_g)\alpha_q\alpha_g\alpha_x^2,
$$

$$
T_1(\alpha_i) = -120(3\zeta_2 + \zeta_1^*)(\alpha_q - \alpha_g)\alpha_q\alpha_g\alpha_x^2,
$$

$$
T_2(\alpha_i) = 30\alpha_x^2(\alpha_q - \alpha_g)(12\kappa - 3\kappa^* + 1)(1 - 2\alpha_x) + \zeta_2(3 - 4\alpha_x),
$$

$$
T_3(\alpha_i) = -120(3\zeta_2 - \zeta_1^*)(\alpha_q - \alpha_g)\alpha_q\alpha_g\alpha_x^2,
$$

$$
T_4(\alpha_i) = 30\alpha_x^2(\alpha_q - \alpha_g)(12\kappa + 3\kappa^* + 1)(1 - 2\alpha_x) + \zeta_2(3 - 4\alpha_x),
$$

$$
S(\alpha_i) = 30\alpha_x^2(12\kappa + 3\kappa^* + 1)(1 - \alpha_x) + (\zeta_1^* - \zeta_1)(1 - 2\alpha_x) + \zeta_2(3\alpha_q - \alpha_g^2 - \alpha_q(1 - \alpha_g)),
$$

$$
\tilde{S}(\alpha_i) = -30\alpha_x^2(12\kappa + 3\kappa^* + 1)(1 - \alpha_x) + (\zeta_1^* - \zeta_1)(1 - 2\alpha_x) + \zeta_2(3\alpha_q - \alpha_g^2 - \alpha_q(1 - \alpha_g)).
$$

The numerical values of the parameters used in the DAs are $\varphi_2(1 GeV) = 0$, $w^\nu = 3.8 \pm 1.8$, $w^\rho = -2.1 \pm 1.0$, $\kappa = 0.2$, $\kappa^* = 0$, $\zeta_1 = 0.4$, and $\zeta_2 = 0.3$.
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