Identification of CD14 and lipopolysaccharide-binding protein as novel biomarkers for sarcoidosis using proteomics of serum extracellular vesicles
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Abstract

Sarcoidosis is a complex, polygenic, inflammatory granulomatous multi-organ disease of unknown cause. The granulomatous inflammation in sarcoidosis is driven by the interplay between T cells and macrophages. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) play important roles in intercellular communication. We subjected serum EVs, isolated by size exclusion chromatography, from seven patients with sarcoidosis and five control subjects to non-targeted proteomics analysis. Non-targeted, label-free proteomics analysis detected 2292 proteins in serum EVs; 42 proteins were up-regulated in patients with sarcoidosis relative to control subjects; and 324 proteins were down-regulated. The protein signature of EVs from patients with sarcoidosis reflected disease characteristics such as antigen presentation and immunological disease. Candidate biomarkers were further verified by targeted proteomics analysis (selected reaction monitoring) in 46 patients and 10 control subjects. Notably, CD14 and lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP) were validated by targeted proteomics analysis. Up-regulation of these proteins was further confirmed by immunoblotting, and their expression was strongly increased in macrophages of lung granulomatous lesions. Consistent with these findings, CD14 levels were increased in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated macrophages during multinucleation, concomitant with increased levels of CD14 and LBP in EVs. The area under the curve values of CD14 and LBP were 0.81 and 0.84, respectively, and further increased to 0.98 in combination with angiotensin-converting enzyme and soluble interleukin-2 receptor. These findings suggest that CD14 and LBP in serum EVs, which are associated with granulomatous pathogenesis, can improve the diagnostic accuracy in patients with sarcoidosis.
Introduction

Sarcoidosis is a systemic granulomatous disease associated with T-lymphocyte and macrophage activation and migration into affected organs. There is heterogeneity in disease manifestation, severity and clinical course (1–3). To better understand this disease, effective biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis are required; however, the ideal biomarker for sarcoidosis has not yet been discovered. Among the serum biomarkers identified to date, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R) are the most relevant but they lack sensitivity and specificity (4). Roughly 30–80% of patients with sarcoidosis have increased ACE levels, with a 22–86% sensitivity and 54–95% specificity (5). Similarly, although sIL-2R levels are proposed as a marker of sarcoidosis severity, elevated sIL-2R levels are not specific for sarcoidosis and can be found in other granulomatous diseases, haematological malignancies and various autoimmune disorders (4). Thus, the use of ACE and sIL-2R as diagnostic markers for sarcoidosis remains a matter of debate.

In light of the progress in mass spectrometry (MS) technology, a great deal of attention has been given to omics approaches for the study of heterogeneous diseases (6). Although advances in proteomics have facilitated the discovery of protein biomarkers, the application of proteomics to measure features close to the phenotype has been limited when compared to genomics and transcriptomics (7).

Serum is an ideal source of molecules for biomarker discovery because of its high reproducibility and minimal invasiveness. However, it is difficult to detect small amounts of key proteins in blood because the dynamic range of blood proteins is extremely large (8).

To address this problem, in this study we focused on extracellular vesicles (EVs) in the serum. EVs are being
increasingly appreciated as important carriers of biologic cargo that also play key roles in intercellular communication by transferring contents such as messenger RNA, microRNA and proteins between neighbouring cells. Moreover, EV constituents play a variety of pathological roles in diseases including malignancies, inflammatory disorders and infections (7, 9, 10). Thus, an EV sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) system has been developed to diagnose lung cancer in clinics (11). While some methods, including ultracentrifugation, affinity-based methods and size exclusion chromatography (SEC), have been developed for the isolation of EVs, a standard method for the quantitative and qualitative analyses of EVs does not exist (12). Although ultracentrifugation is widely regarded as the gold standard to isolate EVs, SEC-based EV isolation yields much higher purity through MS analysis (9). Some comparative studies have shown that the isolation of EVs by SEC retains the biophysical properties of EVs, and results in a higher yield (13). Tandem mass tag-based non-targeted proteomic analysis of serum EVs isolated by ultracentrifugation revealed that fibulin-3 in EVs may serve as a novel diagnostic biomarker for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and might be closely related to its pathophysiology (14). With this background, we isolated serum EVs using SEC, which can detect less abundant serum proteins, and applied label-free proteomic strategies to discover novel biomarkers for sarcoidosis.

Methods

Study design

Participants were recruited for a discovery and a validation phase from the database of patients diagnosed with sarcoidosis at Osaka University Hospital (2013–19). All patients were diagnosed with sarcoidosis according to the international ATS/ERS/WASOG criteria (1). Serum samples were separated by centrifugation and stored at −80°C for further analyses. To sustain the sample quality, freezing and thawing of serum was avoided as much as possible. For the discovery cohort, five control subjects and seven patients with sarcoidosis were selected (Table 1). Patients with sarcoidosis were untreated when the samples were collected. The samples were subjected to quantitative high-throughput proteomics using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The validation cohort included 10 control subjects and 46 patients with sarcoidosis (Table 2). For validation, candidate proteins identified in the discovery cohort were quantified by targeted proteomics using MS [selected reaction monitoring (SRM)] as described previously (15). This study was performed according to the guidelines described in the Declaration of Helsinki for medical research involving human subjects. This study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka University (no. 17148), and all study participants provided written informed consent.

EV isolation

Serum EVs were isolated by SEC, using an EV Second L70 SEC column (GL Science, Tokyo, Japan) (16). Briefly, after blocking with foetal bovine serum (FBS) and washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 400 μl of serum was loaded onto the column and eluted with PBS. In this study, we used equal volumes of serum to isolate EVs for each experiment. The first 300 μl of the eluate was discarded and thereafter, the eluate was collected in three fractions of 100 μl.

Table 1. Participants’ shotgun proteomics characteristics (the discovery cohort)

| Age      | Sex | Lung | Eye | Skin | Heart | Other |
|----------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Control  | 58  | F    |     |      |       |       |
| Control  | 58  | F    |     |      |       |       |
| Control  | 59  | F    |     |      |       |       |
| Control  | 47  | M    |     |      |       |       |
| Control  | 45  | M    |     |      |       |       |
| Sarcoidosis 47 | F | I |     | - | - | Neuropathy |
| Sarcoidosis 65 | M | IV |     | - | - | Kidney disorder |
| Sarcoidosis 65 | F | I |     | - | - | Muscle |
| Sarcoidosis 43 | F | I |     | + | - | Breast |
| Sarcoidosis 29 | M | 0  |     | + | - | Neuropathy |
| Sarcoidosis 60 | F | I |     | - | + | |
| Sarcoidosis 63 | F | I I | - | + | |

Chest radiographic staging. Stage 0: normal chest radiograph, I: bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy (BHL), I: BHL plus pulmonary infiltration, I I: pulmonary infiltration (without BHL), I I I: pulmonary fibrosis.

Table 2. Participants’ SRM proteomics characteristics (the validation cohort)

| Control subjects (n = 10) | Patients with sarcoidosis (n = 46) | P-value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Male/female | 4/6 | 16/30 | 0.73 |
| Average age | 56.2 ± 17.6 | 59.0 ± 15.6 | 0.61 |
| Chest X-ray stage | 0/I/I II/III/IV | 13/20/8/4/1 |
| Eye | 24 | |
| Skin | 10 | |
| Heart | 3 | |
| Other | 10 | |
| ACE (U l−1) | 25.7 ± 9.1 | |
| sIL-2R (U ml−1) | 774.2 ± 830.4 | |
| KL-6 (U ml−1) | 412.4 ± 539.9 | |

KL-6, Krebs von den Lungen-6.
each. The isolation of EVs was confirmed using the guidelines delineated in minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018) (17). Size distributions and numbers were confirmed by NanoSight nanoparticle tracking analysis (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). The processing of EV proteins for proteomic analysis was performed as described in Supplementary Information.

Non-targeted proteomics and targeted proteomics (SRM)
In the discovery phase, quantitative proteomics was performed using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) combined with UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano-flow high-performance liquid chromatography (Thermo Scientific). In the validation phase, protein abundance was measured by SRM on a TSQVantage triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described previously (18–20). SRM data were analysed using the Skyline software (MacCoss Lab Software, Seattle, WA, USA) (21). Peak area ratios of endogenous light (L) peptides and their heavy (H) isotope-labelled internal standards were used for accurate quantification. Peptide light/heavy ratios were log2 transformed and median-centred.

Bioinformatics analysis of the proteome with version information
To identify biologically relevant molecular networks and pathways in the proteome, up-regulated protein IDs were used as input data. The following tools were used for analysis: Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (ver 01.13, Qiagen) used as input data. The following tools were used for analysis: Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (ver 01.13, Qiagen) for enrichment analysis and KeyMolnet (ver 2.5.7) from Cytoscape (ver 3.8.0) (22) for enrichment analysis and KeyMolnet (ver 6.2, KM Data Inc., https://www.km-data.jp) for network analysis.

Statistical analysis
Pearson’s chi-square test or Welch’s t-test were used to compare healthy control subjects and patients with sarcoidosis. Correlation between two parameters was calculated using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( P < 0.05 \). Receiver operating characteristic curves were constructed using the SRM results. Area under the curve (AUC) values were used to evaluate the diagnostic value of each marker. Multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to calculate the predictive probability of a multimarker for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis. Statistical analysis was conducted using JMP Pro v. 14.3.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Transmission electron microscopy
EV samples were adsorbed onto a formvar/carbon-coated nickel grid for 1 h. EVs were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and then incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-CD9 (MM2/57; Thermo Fisher Scientific), anti-CD14 (EPR3653) (ab133335; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP) polyclonal (PA5-21642; Invitrogen, USA). Immunoreactive EVs were visualized using anti-mouse IgG(H+L) (EMGFAR10; BBI Solutions, UK) antibodies preabsorbed with 10-nm gold particles.

Cell culture and lipopolysaccharide stimulation
RAW 264.7, a murine macrophage/monocyte lineage cell line, obtained from ATCC (ATCC no.: TIB-71), was cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium) containing 0.5% FBS, 100 U ml\(^{-1}\) penicillin and 100 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\) streptomycin. For stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), these cells (1 \( \times \) 10\(^5\) cells per ml) were seeded into 6-well plates in DMEM with exosome-free FBS and stimulated with 10 ng ml\(^{-1}\) LPS for 6 h. EVs in the supernatant (650 \( \mu \)l per well) were collected using a SEC column and then concentrated by ultracentrifugation (100 000 \( \times \) g, 4°C, 70 min), dissolved in RIPA buffer and evaluated by blotting. RAW 264.7 cells were fixed and stained with Diff-Quick stain (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan).

Results
Proteomics for discovery of novel biomarkers for sarcoidosis
To discover novel biomarkers for sarcoidosis, we performed quantitative high-throughput proteomics using LC-MS/MS, followed by SRM verification (Fig. 1A). EVs were isolated by SEC from serum samples of control subjects and patients with sarcoidosis (Table 1) (16). The isolation of EVs was confirmed using the MISEV2018 guidelines (17). EVs from both groups expressed the EV marker protein CD9 and were similar in shape and size, less than 100 nm (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, the EVs were positive for flotillin-1, CD63 and CD9 negative for calnexin and haptoglobin (Fig. 1C). In the nanoparticle tracking analysis, serum EVs from both groups were indistinguishable in size and number (Fig. 1D–F).

The non-targeted proteomic analysis of EVs identified 2292 proteins. Of these, 42 proteins were significantly up-regulated in patients with sarcoidosis, while 324 were down-regulated (Fig. 2; Table 3; Supplementary Table 1). A principal component analysis of EV protein abundance partially separated control subjects from patients with sarcoidosis (Fig. 2B). The identified proteins were present in the cytoplasm (51%), plasma membrane (29%) and extracellular space (6%; Fig. 2C). Notably, IPA of the protein signature of sarcoidosis EVs revealed factors involved in antigen presentation, the immune response and the inflammatory response (Fig. 2D). Both tumour necrosis factor-\( \alpha \) and transforming growth factor \( \beta \) pathways ranked highly as upstream signalling factors, suggesting that the protein fingerprints of serum EVs from patients with sarcoidosis reflect not only the disease characteristics, but also its pathogenesis (Fig. 2E). We visualized the network of functions and pathways of the 42 up-regulated proteins using the Clue GO/Clue Pedia plugin from Cytoscape. The nominally significant (\( P < 0.05 \)) pathways and associated proteins are shown in Fig. 2(F). Protein–protein interaction analyses revealed that the 42 up-regulated proteins were clustered in six functional groups including transfer of LPS from its carrier LBP to CD14 (37.5%), and antigen processing cross-presentation (12.5%).

Subsequently, after taking previous reports into consideration, we selected 25 proteins for the validation cohort using SRM (Fig. 3A; Table 2; Supplementary Table 2). Targeted
Fig. 1. Strategy for discovery of novel biomarkers for sarcoidosis. (A) In the discovery phase, serum EVs from patients with sarcoidosis and healthy control subjects were isolated using SEC and analysed. In the validation phase, biomarker candidates were quantified using SRM. Finally, the biomarkers were confirmed by immunoblotting. (B) Transmission electron microscopy images of serum EVs from a healthy control subject and a patient with sarcoidosis (CD9 immunogold labelling). (C) Immunoblots comparing flotillin-1, CD63, CD9, haptoglobin and calnexin levels in serum EVs versus serum and A549 cell lysates. (D) Representative distribution curves showing particle sizes of serum EVs from a healthy control subject and a patient with sarcoidosis, analysed using NanoSight. (E and F) The mean diameter and number of serum EVs from patients with sarcoidosis and healthy control subjects, analysed using NanoSight, are not significantly different. Error bars represent mean ± standard deviation. ESI, electrospray ionization; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; N.S., not significant.
Fig. 2. The proteomic profile of serum EVs reflects sarcoidosis characteristics and pathogenesis. (A) A volcano plot of all 2292 serum EV proteins identified by non-targeted proteomic analyses in patients with sarcoidosis and healthy control subjects. A total of 42 proteins were significantly up-regulated, and 324 proteins were significantly down-regulated in sarcoidosis patients compared to controls. The horizontal line, \( P \)-value = 0.05. (B) Healthy control subjects and patients with sarcoidosis are separated by principal component analysis using all EV proteins identified by non-targeted proteomics analysis. (C) Localization of all identified proteins in the IPA. (D and E) Pathways (D) and upstream molecules (E) determined by the IPA to be over- (×1.5 or more) or under- (×0.8 or less) represented in the non-targeted proteomic analyses of serum EVs from patients with sarcoidosis compared to those from healthy control subjects. The vertical line, \( P \)-value = 0.05. (F) A protein–protein network constructed with enrichment analysis based on the Reactome database reveals interactions between the 42 up-regulated proteins.
proteomics enables the efficient and specific verification of candidates without requiring antibodies and is, thus, a powerful tool for biomarker validation (14, 15, 20). Among the 25 biomarker candidates, the expression levels of LBP and the monocyte differentiation antigen CD14 were markedly elevated in patients with sarcoidosis (Fig. 3B). These findings corroborated our IPA upstream analysis, where LPS signalling was highly ranked (Fig. 1F), suggesting that these proteins are involved in sarcoidosis pathogenesis. Although serum CD14 levels have been previously shown to be elevated in patients with sarcoidosis (23), we did not observe this difference when we measured serum levels of both CD14 and LBP by ELISA (Fig. 3C), but only saw increased levels in the EVs. Analyses in KeyMolnet revealed that both CD14 and LBP were closely linked, both upstream and downstream, to key molecules related to granuloma formation (Fig. 3D). The presence and up-regulation of these proteins was subsequently confirmed by immunoelectron microscopy (Fig. 4A) and western blotting (Fig. 4B), respectively. Densitometric analyses of the immunoblotting results revealed a significant increase in CD14 and LBP levels in serum EVs (Fig. 4C and D). Furthermore, we confirmed the presence of CD14 and LBP in serum EVs isolated by both ultracentrifugation and the phosphatidylserine affinity method (data not shown).

**Table 3.** Significantly up-regulated proteins in EVs from patients with sarcoidosis compared to those from healthy subjects

| Uniprot ID | Description | Fold change | P-value |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| P36222    | Chitinase-3-like protein1 | ∞ | 0.032 |
| O60704    | Protein-tyrosine sulfotransferase 2 | ∞ | 0.033 |
| Q9NZM1    | Myoferlin | ∞ | 0.004 |
| Q7RTS3    | Pancreas transcription factor 1 subunit alpha | ∞ | 0.024 |
| Q9Y230    | RuvB-like 2 | ∞ | 0.034 |
| Q8TAY7    | Protein FAM11 D | ∞ | 0.047 |
| Q02522    | Histone H1x | ∞ | 0.038 |
| P01040    | Cystatin-A | ∞ | 0.040 |
| P06732    | Creatine kinase M-type | ∞ | 0.049 |
| P98171    | Rho GTPase-activating protein 4 | ∞ | 0.014 |
| P36269    | Glutathione hydrolase 5proenzyme | ∞ | 0.007 |
| A8NVU1    | Putative neutrophil cytosol factor 1C | ∞ | 0.040 |
| P14598    | Neutrophil cytosol factor 1 | ∞ | 0.040 |
| A6N72    | Putative neutrophil cytosol factor 1B | ∞ | 0.040 |
| Q8NC7    | Sn1-specific diacylglycerol lipase beta | ∞ | 0.004 |
| Q8N5C1    | ProteinFAM26E | ∞ | 0.048 |
| O15357    | Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate 5-phosphatase 2 | ∞ | 0.019 |
| Q7S66    | Anoctamin-5 | ∞ | 0.045 |
| Q14406    | Chorionic somatomammotropin hormone-like 1 | 12.92 | 0.015 |
| A5YKK6    | CCR4-NOT transcription complex subunit1 | 11.77 | 0.027 |
| Q9BYX4    | Interferon-induced helicase C domain-containing protein 1 | 7.52 | 0.008 |
| Q6A163    | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 39 | 5.78 | 0.019 |
| P20963    | T-cell surface glycoprotein CD3 zeta chain | 5.53 | 0.030 |
| Q15256    | Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase R | 5.22 | 0.007 |
| Q14815    | Calpain-9 | 4.22 | 0.032 |
| P42574    | Caspase-3 | 4.15 | 0.001 |
| Q10588    | ADP-ribosyl cyclase/cyclic ADP-ribose hydrolase 2 | 3.83 | 0.018 |
| A6A0756K4  | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-1 | 3.74 | 0.013 |
| Q92928    | Putative Ras-related proteinRab-1C | 3.38 | 0.048 |
| Q3Mi6    | TBC1 domain family member 25 | 3.24 | 0.025 |
| P2I491    | Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 | 2.72 | 0.039 |
| Q1U81    | Interferon regulatory factor 2-binding protein1 | 2.55 | 0.038 |
| Q06JQ0    | Protocadherin-16 | 2.26 | 0.044 |
| Q9YX7    | Endothelial lipase | 2.26 | 0.024 |
| P58166    | Inhibin beta E chain | 2.26 | 0.042 |
| PI1S91    | Beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase 1 | 1.91 | 0.024 |
| P26572    | Alpha-1,3-mannosyl-glycoprotein 2-beta-N-acetylgalcosaminyltransferase | 1.89 | 0.012 |
| P18428    | Lipoplysaccharide-binding protein | 1.79 | 0.004 |
| PI2766    | Transthyretin | 1.78 | 0.027 |
| P08571    | Monocyte differentiation antigenCD14 | 1.71 | 0.019 |
| P04003    | C4b-binding protein alpha chain | 1.67 | 0.041 |
| Q9UK55    | Protein Z-dependent protease inhibitor | 1.58 | 0.019 |

The expression of CD14 and LBP in vivo and in vitro

We also assessed the expression level of these proteins in vivo by immunostaining of tissue samples. CD14 and LBP were weakly expressed in mononuclear cells in the lung and lymph nodes of healthy control subjects. In contrast, the expression levels of CD14 and LBP were strikingly increased in granulomatous lesions, especially in multinucleated giant cells (MGCs) and surrounding mononuclear cells (Fig. 5A and B). MGCs, the hallmarks of granuloma, are generated by monocytes in response to various stimuli, including LPS (24). To observe the dynamic changes of CD14 and LBP during MGC formation in vitro, we stimulated RAW 264.7 cells with LPS. Consistent with the in vivo results, CD14 levels were significantly elevated in EVs from cell culture supernatants as well as in LPS-stimulated macrophages.
Fig. 3. Validation of the SRM results. (A) A flow chart of this study and representative SRM figure. The quantitation of each endogenous protein in serum EVs was performed by comparing the brown area of an endogenous peptide (Light) to the corresponding synthetic peptide (Heavy). For further information, please see the sub-section Non-targeted proteomics and targeted proteomics (SRM) in the Methods section. (B) CD14, LBP and CD9 levels in serum EVs from patients with sarcoidosis compared to healthy control subjects, as determined by SRM. (C) No significant difference in the serum levels of soluble CD14 and LBP can be detected between healthy control subjects and patients with sarcoidosis. (D) A highly complex network of targets with significant relationships, generated by KeyMolnet. Solid line ellipses: up-regulated proteins. Dotted line ellipses: down-regulated proteins. ESI, electrospray ionization; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; N.S., not significant.
In contrast, while LBP levels in EVs were also elevated, they were unaltered in LPS-stimulated macrophages (Fig. 5C). Taken together, both CD14 and LBP were up-regulated in the process of granuloma formation in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, given that in macrophages, the tetraspanin CD9 is closely colocalized with CD14, thereby inhibiting LPS-induced signalling (25), and that CD9 is strongly involved in macrophage fusion into MGCs (26), CD14 in macrophages and EVs might positively or negatively participate in the pathogenesis of granulomatous diseases (Fig. 5D).

**Diagnostic potential of CD14 and LBP in serum EVs for sarcoidosis**

To further evaluate the diagnostic potential of the identified biomarkers, we analysed their AUC values. The AUC values for CD14 and LBP were 0.81 and 0.84, respectively (Fig. 6A). Considering that the previously reported biomarkers appear to have some limitations in terms of sensitivity and specificity, we thought that it would be intriguing to investigate different combinations. By combining the novel biomarkers with ACE, sIL-2R and both, the AUC values increased to 0.96, 0.96 and 0.98, respectively (Fig. 6A). LBP correlated well with clinical
parameters such as ACE ($r = 0.45; P < 0.01$), Krebs von den Lungen-6 ($r = 0.35; P < 0.05$) and C-reactive protein (CRP) ($r = 0.60; P < 0.01$), while CD14 correlated only with CRP ($r = 0.29; P < 0.05$) and not with any other parameters (Table 4). Despite 59.6% of the patients being ACE-negative, as many as 45.1% and 48.3% of these ACE-negative patients could be further diagnosed using CD14 and LBP, respectively. Similarly, while 46.8% of patients were sIL-2R-negative, 40.8% and 45.5% of these sIL-2R-negative patients could be further diagnosed using CD14 and LBP, respectively (Fig. 6C). These findings suggest that our novel biomarkers possess distinct properties as compared to the conventional biomarkers.

**Discussion**

Since both ACE and sIL-2R have several limitations such as insufficient sensitivity and specificity, there is an urgent need to develop better biomarkers for sarcoidosis. Although peripheral blood may be regarded as an ideal source of biomarkers, MS-based serum proteomics is exceptionally challenging due to its broad dynamic range with abundant
proteins such as albumin (8). To overcome these problems, we focused on serum EVs and identified new biomarkers for sarcoidosis by employing both non-targeted, label-free proteomics and targeted proteomics. Although the importance of EVs, especially exosomes, is being increasingly demonstrated in cancer and immune diseases (11, 27–29), proteomics-based discovery of biomarkers for inflammatory diseases is still in its infancy. Importantly, serum EVs reflected the pathophysiology of sarcoidosis in our study, as shown by the IPA in Fig. 2(D). Using data from previous reports that compared gene expression changes in the lungs and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of patients with sarcoidosis with those of control subjects (30–32), we examined the significance of each pathway.
in sarcoidosis on the basis of the overlap with our top-enriched pathways in Fig. 2(D). We found that pathways such as Immunological Disease, Organismal Injury and Abnormalities, Inflammatory Disease, Hereditary Disorder and Carbohydrate Metabolism were enriched by differentially expressed genes in the lungs of sarcoidosis patients. In addition, Immunological Disease, Inflammatory Disease, Organismal Injury and Abnormalities, Hereditary Disorder, Small molecule Biochemistry and Lipid Metabolism were enriched by differentially expressed genes in the PBMCs of sarcoidosis patients. Typical roles of these pathways include inflammatory cell infiltration and tissue repair, which are related to the pathophysiology of sarcoidosis.

Considering that isolating EVs can reduce the complexity of serum protein analysis and that EVs reflect the pathophysiology of the disease, serum EVs could become an ideal source of novel biomarkers, providing liquid biopsy samples for personalized medicine. While EVs have advantages such as stability and accessibility, they also have certain disadvantages such as difficulties in both isolation and quantitation (17). Although ultracentrifugation is widely regarded as the gold standard, SEC-based EV isolation yields much higher purity through MS analysis than ultracentrifugation (9). For this reason, we isolated EVs using SEC instead of ultracentrifugation. Previous studies leveraging MS-based proteomics to examine bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, alveolar macrophages, plasma and EVs in sarcoidosis identified several differentially expressed proteins, including pulmonary surfactant A2, vitamin D-binding protein and amyloid P (7). Regarding serum EVs, the vitamin D-binding protein levels in EVs derived from plasma samples or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid are elevated in patients with sarcoidosis (33). Although we detected a higher number of proteins, we could not confirm this finding, which was presumably due to the differences in isolation and MS methods.

The verification of many biomarker candidates by conventional immunoblotting would have been time-consuming and less specific; the targeted proteomics approach allowed us to verify biomarker candidates specifically and efficiently without using any antibody. Combining non-targeted and targeted proteomics approaches, we identified CD14 and LBP as potential biomarkers of sarcoidosis, and further confirmed them by western blots and immunohistochemical tissue staining.

CD14 is a myeloid differentiation antigen mainly expressed on monocytes and macrophages. Both CD14 and LBP are required for recognition of LPS by Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 (34). LPS is a major component of the gram-negative bacterial cell wall and is a typical example of a pathogen-associated molecular pattern. To facilitate microbial recognition and to amplify cellular responses, certain TLRs require additional proteins, such as LBP, CD14, CD36 and high mobility group box 1. These additional proteins are required since several infectious organisms like viruses, Mycobacterium spp., and Propionibacterium acnes have been implicated in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis (1, 35, 36). Thus, the newly identified biomarkers CD14 and LBP may support an infectious etiology of sarcoidosis. Previous studies have also shown that the Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) signalling pathway was more activated in patients with sarcoidosis, and particularly STAT1 and STAT3 played a role in granuloma formation (37–39). In this study, some EV proteins detected in the discovery phase were correlated with CD14 and LBP through TLRs and the STAT pathway (Fig. 3D). Given that CD14 and LBP were up-regulated in the process of granuloma formation in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 5) and that CD14-positive monocytes promote MGC formation (40), both CD14 and LBP in serum EVs could be involved in the pathophysiology of sarcoidosis. Considering the limited sensitivity and specificity of the conventional biomarkers ACE and sIL-2R, as well as the positive correlations between various biomarkers, different combinations might be warranted. Our novel biomarkers possess both distinct properties and higher diagnostic potential in comparison to conventional biomarkers. Several studies have demonstrated that a combination of different biomarkers increases both the sensitivity and specificity (41). Hence, a combination of our novel biomarkers with either ACE or sIL-2R may strikingly improve the diagnostic potential in patients with sarcoidosis.

Despite the great advantages of SRM to verify many biomarkers efficiently, our approach has a couple of limitations. First, we examined our new biomarkers regarding organ specificity and disease severity but observed no significant correlation (Supplementary Figure 1). This could be caused by the relatively small sample size, and thus further studies are warranted. Moreover, the expression levels of the novel biomarkers were not increased in inflammatory lung disease, including COPD, bronchial asthma and lung fibrosis (data not shown), demonstrating their disease specificity. Next, given that genomic, transcriptomic and metabolomic networks are also important for precision medicine, it would be intriguing to integrate our data with multiple omics approaches (6, 42).
bioinformatics may lead to a better understanding of sarcoidosis (6).
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