Rigidly linking cyclometallated Ir(III) and Pt(II) centres: an efficient approach to strongly absorbing and highly phosphorescent red emitters†
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The synthesis and photophysical properties of an unprecedented tetranuclear complex are described, in which a fac-tris-cyclometallated Ir(III) centre is rigidly connected to three cyclometallated Pt(II) centres. The complex absorbs strongly up to ~600 nm and emits red light with unusually high efficiency.

Phosphorescent metal complexes that emit light with high efficiency are being explored for a variety of applications. For example, some are used as phosphors in commercial organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), whilst ionic variants are under investigation for light-emitting electrochemical cells (LEECs). Meanwhile, their relatively long luminescence lifetimes and good photostability have rendered them of interest as probes for bioimaging,1 particularly for time-resolved detection procedures,4 and for sensing of biologically and environmentally relevant analytes such as metal ions, molecular oxygen and organic vapours.5,6 The most successful complexes have tended to be based around cyclometallated iridium complexes, where the high spin–orbit coupling associated with a 3rd-row metal ion in a pseudo-octahedral geometry typically ensures that the formally forbidden T1 → S0 phosphorescence is promoted effectively.7 The brightest such emitters emit in the green region of the spectrum; e.g., the archetypal complex fac-Ir(ppy)3 emits in degassed solution8 with a λmax of 508 nm and a photo-luminescence quantum yield recently re-assessed to be 0.97.9c

Strategies for shifting the emission of this family of compounds to the red and near-infrared rely on increasing the conjugation within the ligands, and/or on the use of more electron-rich cyclometallating rings (e.g. thienyl in place of phenyl).9,10 Whilst such methods do lead to desired red shifts, efficiencies invariably fall off compared to green emitters, owing to the combined effects of increased non-radiative decay of lower-energy excited states, and the decrease in radiative rate constants. The latter effect can be understood not only in terms of the ν3 term within the Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission (which affects all emitters) but also through the fact that the extent of participation of the metal in the excited state necessarily decreases as the ligands become more electron rich and/or the conjugation increases. The effect may even be manifest through such complexes displaying fluorescence from the singlet excited state, in competition with triplet state formation and subsequent phosphorescence.10

We have recently shown how the introduction of a second iridium centre to generate dinuclear complexes can lead to efficient red emitters that display unusually high triplet radiative decay rates, kT, and hence high phosphorescence quantum yields.11 The complexes feature two iridium ions each bound to a common, bridging heterocyclic ring, such as a pyrimidine, within a bi- or tridentate ligand. Similar results were found for related dinuclear platinum complexes, whose emission was red-shifted and kT values increased relative to mononuclear analogues.12

Given the importance of fac-Ir(ppy)3 in the field, we have now sought to modify this archetypal complex in such a way that a Pt(II) ion is introduced into each ligand, to generate an unprecedented heterometallic tetranuclear complex, 1 (Fig. 1).

Our strategy relies on the use of 4,6-bis-(4-t-butylphenyl)-pyrimidine (bppymH2), as a bis-N,C-coordinating ligand that can bind simultaneously to two metal ions through cyclometallation.12e tert-Butyl substituents were included in the para positions of the phenyl rings, in order to improve the solubility of the final complexes. The target complex Ir([μ-bppym]Pt(acac)2), 1 was obtained in two steps (Scheme 1). Owing to the harsh conditions required for the preparation of fac tris-cyclometallated Ir(III) complexes, we chose to incorporate the iridium centre first, to be followed by the introduction of the platinum ions. The fac tris-cyclometallated complex Ir(bppymH)3, 2 was initially obtained in 30% yield from the corresponding bis-cyclometallated complex Ir(bppymH)2(acac) 3 upon reaction with bppymH2 in glycerol at 200 °C, ESI.† Compound 3 is itself prepared through the intermediacy of
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[Ir(bppymH)_2(μ-Cl)]_2, obtained upon reaction of bppymH_2 with IrCl_3·3H_2O in a 2:1 molar ratio, followed by treatment with sodium acetylacetonate. An alternative, more direct and higher-yielding synthesis of 2 proved to be the reaction of bppymH_2 with Ir(acac)_3 in the presence of o-phosphoric acid, which gave the product in 52% yield after column chromatography.

The mononuclear complex 2 was then reacted with K_2PtCl_4 (3.75 equiv.) in a mixture of acetic acid and acetonitrile at reflux, followed by treatment of the resulting solid with an excess of sodium acetylacetonate in acetone, leading to the target tetranuclear complex 1 in 6% yield. The low yield may be related to the need for a total of three such metallations and subsequent chloride metatheses to occur. The fac geometry around the Ir centre ensures that the three Pt units are able to be accommodated on one side of the molecule without excessive steric hindrance, despite the large size and apparently congested nature of the molecule. Molecular mechanics and DFT calculations confirm that the structure is feasible, with the distances between neighbouring ligands being no shorter than van der Waals contacts (see ESI,† including Fig. S2.1 for 3D views of the molecule).

The UV-visible absorption spectrum of the new tetranuclear complex 1 in dichloromethane solution at room temperature is shown in Fig. 2, together with the spectra of the mononuclear complex 2 as a model and of fac-Ir(ppy)_3. Corresponding data are provided in Table 1. It can be seen that the absorption of complex 1 is strongly red-shifted compared to fac-Ir(ppy)_3 by around 4500 cm⁻¹ for most of the bands – and that the extinction coefficients are greatly increased, by a factor of around 4, throughout the spectrum. By reference to the model complex 2, it is evident that one contributing factor in generating these effects is the change from a pyridine to a pyrimidine ring in the N^C-cyclometallating ligand. This is readily rationalised since the lowest-energy transitions in Ir(N^C)_3 complexes are normally associated with charge-transfer transitions in which the heterocyclic ring is the acceptor. 1c,9,13 However, the introduction of the Pt(II) centres onto the second N^C unit of each ligand leads to a further significant red shift of the bands in the visible region – and indeed also in the UV – of around 2000 cm⁻¹. Moreover, the molar absorptivity is further increased; for example, ε for the most intense band in the visible region of each complex is increased by a factor of 2.
Table 1  Photophysical properties of the heterometallic tetranuclear complex 1, the model mononuclear iridium complex 2, and fac-Ir(ppy)₃ for comparison. Data refer to solutions in CH₂Cl₂ at 298 ± 3 K except where stated otherwise

| Complex | λ<sub>max</sub>/nm (ε/10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) | Emission | λ<sub>max</sub>/nm | Φ<sub>lum</sub> | τ/lstub | k<sub>r</sub>/10⁵ s⁻¹ | Σk<sub>nr</sub>/10⁴ s⁻¹ | k<sub>D</sub>/10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ | Emission at 77 K² |
|---------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1       | 255 (97.7), 334 (121), 404 (41.6), 455 (49.6), 554 (12.5) | 611      | 0.76              | 720 [300]     | 11       | 3.3             | 8.8             | 586, 635        | 2600            |
| 2       | 260 (66.0), 279 (73.5), 313 (102), 416 (27.5), 506 (9.15) | 590      | 0.61              | 1400 [200]    | 4.4      | 2.8             | 19              | 556, 593        | 3900            |
| Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> | 283 (44.8), 341 (9.2), 377 (12.0), 405 (8.1), 455 (2.8), 488 (1.6) | 508      | 0.97              | 1600 [36]     | 6.1      | 0.2             | —               | 491             | 4000            |

² Band positions > 250 nm are listed. ³ In degassed solution. ⁴ Luminescence quantum yield measured for 1 and 2 using [Ru(bpy)]₂⁺Cl₂ as the standard.

(For complex 1, λ<sub>max</sub> = 455 nm, ε = 49 600 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; for complex 2, λ<sub>max</sub> = 416 nm, ε = 27 500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). It may be noted that there are no bands with ε > 10 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ in the visible region for fac-Ir(ppy)₃.

TD-DFT calculations confirm the observed red-shift in the lowest-energy absorption bands in 1 compared to 2 (see ESIF), and suggest that the effect upon introduction of the platinum centres is due to a stabilisation of the LUMO that exceeds that of the HOMO. Thus, the LUMO energies of 1 and 2 are calculated to be −1.90 and −2.27 eV respectively (a stabilisation of 0.37 eV) whilst the HOMO values are −5.12 and −5.34 eV (stabilisation of 0.22 eV). A large stabilisation of the LUMO upon platination is confirmed by cyclic voltammetry (see Fig. S3.1 of the ESIF). Complex 1 shows a first reduction at −2.22 V (in CH₂Cl₂ vs. ferrocene) whereas 2, in common with complexes like Ir(ppy)₃, shows no reduction within the accessible window (the region down to −3 V in CH₂Cl₂ was probed). The first oxidation, in contrast, is shifted by <0.3 V.

Complex 1 is intensely luminescent in solution at room temperature, emitting in the red region of the spectrum; λ<sub>max</sub> = 611 nm (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The luminescence quantum yield of 0.76 renders it one of the very brightest red-emitting molecular phosphors reported. The emission is strongly red-shifted compared to fac-Ir(ppy)₃ by over 3000 cm⁻¹, though the shift in λ<sub>max</sub> relative to 2 is only around 500 cm⁻¹ at room temperature (around 1000 cm⁻¹ at 77 K). Inspection of the room-temperature spectra reveals that the polynuclear complex displays a narrower profile than that of the mononuclear model under these conditions (half-height widths of 2170 and 2860 cm⁻¹ respectively). Meanwhile, the Stokes shift between the lowest-energy absorption band and the peak of the emission band is significantly smaller for the polynuclear system (around 1600 cm⁻¹ for 1 compared to 2800 cm⁻¹ for 2). Both observations are suggestive of the polynuclear system being more rigid and subject to less excited-state distortion. It is notable that, at 77 K, the ratio of the intensity of the 0.0 to 0.1 vibrational components is higher for 1 than for 2, an observation that also suggests less excited-state distortion for 1. The luminescence lifetime τ of complex 1 is 720 ns at room temperature, an unusually short lifetime for cyclometallated iridium complexes (e.g., for fac-Ir(ppy)₃, τ = 1.9 µs under comparable conditions) yet the luminescence quantum yield remains high. The short lifetime is thus indicative of an unusually fast radiative decay rate k<sub>r</sub> (as opposed to deleterious non-radiative decay). Assuming that the emitting state is formed with unitary efficiency upon light absorption, k<sub>r</sub> can be estimated from τ and Φ<sub>lum</sub> to be 11 × 10⁵ s⁻¹, which is double that of fac-Ir(ppy)₃. The substantial augmentation of k<sub>r</sub> is consistent with the effect of additional metal ions in polynuclear systems we have investigated previously. Whilst it may be tempting to attribute the effect to enhanced spin–orbit coupling associated with the presence of the additional metal ions, the fact that the spin-allowed bands in absorption are also significantly increased in intensity (higher ε values in the polynuclear system) suggests that there may be other factors at work in increasing oscillator strengths.

Complex 1 is much less sensitive to quenching by dissolved molecular oxygen than typical iridium-based emitters; e.g., τ and Φ<sub>lum</sub> are decreased by a factor of only 2 upon aeration (which compares with 7-fold for 2 and around 13-fold for fac-Ir(ppy)₃). The lower sensitivity to quenching can be attributed not only to the shorter lifetime, but also to a smaller value of the bimolecular...
rate constant for quenching, \( k_Q \) (Table 1). This in turn may be associated, at least in part, with the substantially larger molecular weight of the polynuclear complex.\(^\dagger\)

In summary, the preparation of the new heterometalllic tetrancular complex demonstrates how the introduction of additional heavy metal ions can allow access to phosphorescent molecules that (i) emit with higher efficiency in the red region of the spectrum with narrower spectral profiles, and (ii) absorb out to longer wavelengths and with substantially higher extinction coefficients than conventional mononuclear systems. Point (i) arises from an increase in radiative rate constants associated with the additional metal ions, a trend that is emerging from homonuclear systems too.\(^11\,\,12\) However, in the present instance at least, it may also be related to an increase in the rigidity of the system, helping to minimise excited state distortion and hence reduce competitive non-radiative decay. High rigidity also leads to the narrow spectral profile, important for colour purity in display screen applications. These observations point a way for the development of more efficient red and NIR molecular emitters.

Meanwhile, point (ii) is potentially important with regards to the use of iridium complexes for solar energy conversion and photocatalysis, for example, where more efficient absorption across the visible region of the spectrum is desirable for practicable application.\(^3\,\,4\) Finally, we note that the combination of efficient low-energy [red] absorption and low-energy [red/NIR] emission is a key desirable criterion for bioimaging applications, to exploit the “window of transparency” of biological tissue in this region of the spectrum.\(^3\,\,4\)

Complex 1 may be regarded as a metalloendrimer of first-generation type, where the cyclometallated Pt(II) shell surrounds the central fac-tris-cyclooctettrahedral Ir(III) core. Many other such multinuclear complexes can be envisaged that may offer attractive optical or opto-catalytic properties.
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Notes and references

\(^\dagger\) In deoxygenated 2-MeTHF at 298 K.

\(^\dagger\) The synthesis and isolation of the corresponding trinuclear (Pt3Ir) and dinuclear (Pt2Ir) complexes has been attempted, using ratios of \( \text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4 \) to complex 2 of proportionately less than 3 : 1. Whilst \(^1\)H NMR and MS data did imply the formation of these species (and hence that the formation of 1 from 2 likely proceeds via mono- and bis-platinated intermediates), it has not proved possible to isolate pure samples, apparently due to their co-evolution under a variety of chromatographic conditions.

\(^\ast\) According to the Smoluchowski equation, \( k_{Q}^{\text{DO}} \) is proportional to the diffusion coefficient, which is in turn proportional to \( \sqrt{\text{MW}} \) through Fick’s Law. The MW values of 1 and 2 are 2100 and 1221 respectively.
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