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Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Signaling in Immune Cells and Inflammation: Roles and Therapeutic Potential
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Sphingosine-1-phosphate (SIP) is a bioactive sphingolipid metabolite involved in many critical cell processes. It is produced by the phosphorylation of sphingosine by sphingosine kinases (SphKs) and exported out of cells via transporters such as spinster homolog 2 (Spns2). SIP regulates diverse physiological processes by binding to specific G protein-binding receptors, SIP receptors (SIPRs) 1–5, through a process coined as “inside-out signaling.” The SIP concentration gradient between various tissues promotes SIPRI-dependent migration of T cells from secondary lymphoid organs into the lymphatic and blood circulation. SIP suppresses T cell egress from and promotes retention in inflamed peripheral tissues. SIPRI in T and B cells as well as Spns2 in endothelial cells contributes to lymphocyte trafficking. FTY720 (Fingolimod) is a functional antagonist of SIPRs that induces systemic lymphopenia by suppression of lymphocyte egress from lymphoid organs. In this review, we summarize previous findings and new discoveries about the importance of SIP and SIPR signaling in the recruitment of immune cells and lymphocyte retention in inflamed tissues. We also discuss the role of SIP-SIPRI axis in inflammatory diseases and wound healing.

1. Introduction

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (SIP) is a bioactive sphingolipid mediator involved in many physiological processes including angiogenesis and immune responses [1, 2]. SIP signaling has been found to be essential for vascular development, neurogenesis, and lymphocyte trafficking [3–5], as well as a second messenger during inflammation [6, 7]. Many of the actions of SIP in innate and adaptive immunity are mediated by its binding to five specific G protein-coupled receptors, SIP receptors (SIPRs) 1–5. To date, a number of SIP receptor modifying compounds have been developed [8]. FTY720 (Fingolimod, Gilenya, Novartis) is a functional antagonist of SIPR and was originally discovered by chemical modification of a natural product, myricin. FTY720 and other SIPR modifying compounds have clarified that SIP is important for the recruitment of various types of inflammatory cells [9, 10].

In this review, we summarize current research findings on the functions of SIP in the recruitment of immune cells into inflamed tissues and discuss its role in inflammatory diseases and wound healing.

2. Sphingosine Kinases (SphKs) and SIP Signaling

SIP is a pleiotropic, bioactive, lipid metabolite of ceramide. Ceramide is the basic unit of sphingolipids and consists of a sphingosine attached to a long-chain fatty acyl group via its amino group. Whereas ceramide and sphingosine are associated with cellular growth arrest and apoptosis, SIP is associated with cellular survival and suppression of apoptosis [11]. Ceramide is broken down by ceramidases to sphingosine, which in turn is phosphorylated by one of two
SphKs, SphK1 and SphK2, to generate SIP [12]. SIP can then either be dephosphorylated by two SIP-specific phosphatases (SPP1 and SPP2) or irreversibly degraded by SIP lyase (SPL) to phosphoethanolamine and hexadecenal [6, 12]. SphK1 is located close to the cell membrane, where it can be activated by numerous stimuli, including proinflammatory cytokines, to generate SIP [6]. Ceramide is also phosphorylated in the Golgi apparatus by ceramide kinase to produce ceramide-1-phosphate (CIP). These sphingolipid metabolites, ceramide, CIP, and SIP, are bioactive molecules which are important in inflammation. SIP is particularly important in immune cell trafficking [13]. There has been extensive investigation into the extracellular signaling of SIP, particularly its role in innate and adaptive immunity. We have learned much less about the intracellular targets and signaling of SIP.

It has been proposed that SIP formed by SphK1 in response to tumor-necrosis factor (TNF) binds to the TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) and enhances its E3 ligase activity. This leads to lysine-63-linked polyubiquitination of receptor interacting protein 1 (RIP1) and eventually NF-κB activation [14]. TRAF-activating protein (TRIP) suppresses the TRAF2 ubiquitin-dependent pathway by modulating the TRAF2-SIP interaction [15]. Within sites of sterile inflammation, SIP formed by SphK1 binds to the cellular inhibitor of apoptosis 2 (cIAP2) in response to interleukin-1 (IL-1) and enhances its lysine-63-linked polyubiquitination activities [16]. In response to IL-1, SphK1 and cIAP2 form a complex with interferon- regulatory factor 1 (IRF1), leading to its polyubiquitination and activation. Consequently, IRF1 enhances expression of the chemokines CXCL10 and CCL5, which recruit mononuclear cells into sites of sterile inflammation [16]. Despite these findings, SphKs are not indispensable for the inflammatory response by macrophages [17]. This suggests that the role of SphKs as mediators in inflammatory cytokine signaling may be system or disease specific and not an essential part of the inflammatory cascade.

In contrast to the prosurvival SphK1, SphK2 inhibits cell growth and enhances apoptosis [18]. Furthermore, SIP formed in the nucleus by SphK2, or by inhibition of SPL, binds and inhibits the histone deacetylases HDAC1 and HDAC2, linking sphingolipid metabolism to inflammatory and metabolic gene expression [19, 20]. Interestingly, SIP produced in the mitochondria by SphK2 binds with high affinity and specificity to prohibitin 2 (PHB2), a highly conserved protein that regulates mitochondrial assembly and function [21]. Conjugated bile acids also bind to SIP in hepatocytes [22] and the SphK2 generated SIP regulates hepatic lipid metabolism via histone deacetylase inhibition in the nucleus. This provides evidence for the role of SIP in the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [23]. On the other hand, SphK1 was also reported to possess potential anti-inflammatory function by activation of p38 MAPK that suppress chemokine levels, and, in this system, activation of NF-κB is separated from SphK1 [24]. Further, the neuroinflammatory response was significantly upregulated in LPS-induced brain injury in SphK1−/− mice [25]. The function of SphKs and SIP signaling in inflammation is still unclear and may be more complex than the current dogma.

Following transport out of cells, SIP binds to its ligand, consisting of a family of five specific G protein-coupled receptors in a paracrine and/or autocrine manner, known as "inside-out signaling" [1, 2, 11, 14, 16]. The crystal structure of SIPRI suggests that extracellular access to the binding pocket by SIP occurs by sliding in the plane of membrane [26]. SIP regulates lymphocyte trafficking in immunity and allergy by attracting the lymphocytes to migrate via various receptors [27]. SIPRI induces chemotaxis and membrane ruffling in phosphoinositide (PI) 3-kinase- and Rac-dependent manners, which induces a biphasic increase in the amount of the GTP-bound Rac. This causes the formation of the stress fibers and cytoskeletal rearrangement that decreases vascular permeability. SIPRI and SIP3 induce a migratory response in various types of immune cells. SIPR2 has been thought to possess function opposite of SIPRI and SIP3. As a G protein-coupled receptor, SIPR2 couples to Gi/o, Gq, and G12, and G13, as opposed to SIPRI, which couples solely to Gi/o. Activation of G12 and G13 leads to activation of Rho. SIPR2 has been associated with abolishment of IGF1-directed chemotaxis and membrane ruffling, thus increasing vascular permeability in a manner dependent on the concentration gradient of SIP [28].

Recently, bile acids were found to bind to SIPR2 and regulate lipid metabolism in hepatocytes [23]. SIPR3 signaling in endothelial cells contributes to vasorelaxation. On the other hand, SIPR3 signaling in vascular smooth muscle cells contributes to vasopressor effect. Through such mechanisms, SIP and its analogues can influence heart rate via SIPR3 [29]. SIPR4 and SIPR5 have limited, specialized function in inflammation. SIPR4 is related to the migration of neutrophils from blood to tissue [30]. SIPR5 is expressed predominantly by oligodendrocytes and/or fibrous astrocytes in the rat brain and couples with Gi/o proteins for migration and survival of those cells [31–33]. Patrolling monocytes also express high levels of SIPR5 similar to Natural Killer (NK) cells; however, it is suggested that SIPR5 in monocytes regulate their trafficking via a mechanism independent of SIP gradients [34]. SIP transport and extracellular signaling are an area of active research as they have implications for the tumor microenvironment in cancer and immune cell trafficking [2].

3. Role of SIP and SIPRs in the Regulation of Immune Cell Trafficking

SIP signaling via SIPRs is involved in various aspects of inflammatory cell function. T and B lymphocytes, as well as endothelial cells, express distinctive profiles of SIPRs. These SIPR profiles are major regulators of development, recirculation, tissue homing patterns, and chemotactic responses to chemokines of B and T cells [35]. SIPR signaling is also involved in modulation of circulating monocytes similar to lymphocytes and affects monocyte activation through CD40 expression and TNF-α production [36]. Notably, SIP regulates migration and endocytosis of mature dendritic cells via SIPR3, but not SIPRI [37]. SIP increases macrophage homing, lymphocyte contact, and endothelial junctional complex formation in lymph nodes (LN) [38].
SIP mediates chemotaxis of macrophages in vitro and in vivo via SIPR3 and causes atherosclerosis by promoting inflammatory macrophage recruitment and altering smooth muscle cell behavior [10]. SIP is also involved in mast cell and eosinophil and dendritic cell recruitment in asthma [39].

Both the SIP gradient between the bone marrow and blood and the expression of SIPRI are essential for optimal hematopoietic stem cell mobilization and trafficking during steady-state hematopoiesis [40]. During the inflammatory process, both SIPR expression on lymphocytes and endothelial cells and SIP levels in various immune compartments are modified. This results in transient arrest of lymphocytes in secondary lymphoid tissues, which is crucial for the generation of adaptive immunity and subsequent promotion of lymphocyte recruitment to sites of inflammation [29].

3.1. SIP-SIPRI Axis in Lymphocyte Trafficking and Retention in Inflamed Tissue. Separate sources provide SIP to blood and lymphatic fluid [41]. Circulating blood SIP is believed to be mainly hematopoietic in origin, with erythrocytes as a major contributor, whereas lymphatic fluid SIP is from lymphatic endothelial cells. Recent studies clarified that hepatic apolipoprotein M (ApoM) produced by the liver increases SIP biosynthesis in hepatocytes and also influences plasma SIP levels [42, 43]. The majority of plasma SIP binds to ApoM in high-density lipoprotein (HDL). In spite of the fact that ApoM-SIP is not essential for lymphocyte trafficking, it inhibits lymphopoiesis through SIPRI signaling in bone marrow lymphocyte progenitors [44].

The differential SIP concentration gradient facilitates egress of lymphocytes from lymphoid organs into blood and lymphatic fluid [13, 45]. In addition to the SIP gradient, SIPRI is also essential for lymphocyte egress from the thymus and secondary lymphoid organs [46]. The positive gradient of SIP concentration between secondary lymphoid organs and lymphatic fluid presumably promotes SIPRI-dependent movement of T cells from secondary lymphoid organs back into the lymphatic circulation and then into blood [47]. Dynamin 2 is essential for SIPRI internalization in low SIP concentrations and enables uninterrupted SIPRI signaling and promotes SIP egress from both the thymus and LN. This function may be involved in the mechanism by which T cells sense low SIP concentrations and egress into circulatory fluids [48] (Figure 1).

Multiple SIPRs have been shown to be associated with lymphocyte biology, recirculation, and determination of T cell phenotypes. The expression of SIPRI on T cells regulates their egress from the thymus and entry into the blood [49]. Lymphocyte SIPRI expression is downregulated in the blood, upregulated in lymphoid organs, and downregulated again in
the lymphatic fluid. This ligand-induced modulation of S1P1 in circulating lymphocytes contributes to establishing their lymphoid organ transit time [50].

T cell activation and proliferation are mediated by the T cell antigen receptor (TCR), which translocates plasma membrane S1P1 to the nuclear envelope membranes to facilitate association with Gi/o, Erk1/2, and other proteins [51]. T cells switch to a state favoring egress over retention by simultaneously upregulating S1P1 and downregulating CCR7. LN retention of naïve lymphocytes depends on fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs) of LN, while activated T cells remain in LN because of downregulated S1P1 and are independent in FRCs [52]. CD69 can additionally form a complex with S1P1 and downregulate S1P1 through downstream IFN-α/IFN-β, and possibly other activating stimuli, to promote lymphocyte retention in lymphoid organs [53]. On the other hand, the S1P/SIP2 axis inhibits early airway T cell recruitment in mast cell-dependent acute allergic responses in mice [54].

The increased S1P present in inflamed peripheral tissues may induce T cell retention. T cell migration from blood into tissue is induced by chemokines CXCL9–CXCL11 presented on the endothelial surface, which activates b1- and b2-integrin adhesion molecules and surface expression of S1P1 and S1P4 on T cells [55]. S1P1 agonism inhibits migration of T cells into afferent lymphatics in homeostatic and inflammatory conditions and causes the arrest of egress into inflamed tissues from the blood. This is mediated at least partially by interactions of the integrin LFA-1/ICAM-1 and the integrin VLA-4 with its ligand VCAM-1 at the basal surface of lymphatic endothelium [56]. Heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding protein-coupled receptor kinase-2 (GRK2) has been shown to function in downregulating S1P1 on blood-exposed lymphocytes, allowing them to be retained in inflamed tissues [57]. According to the latest findings, regulation of KLF2 and S1P1 transcription is associated with early CD69 expression and dictates whether CD8⁺ T cell recirculates or resides in the tissue [58] (Figure 2). CD69 interferes with S1P1 function and regulates T cell retention and local memory formation [59]. On endothelial cells, B cell-derived peptide (PEPT1EM) binds cadherin-15, promoting synthesis and release of S1P, thereby regulating T cell trafficking during inflammation and in response to adiponectin [55].

Activity of SPL, which metabolizes S1P, has been demonstrated to partially regulate S1P gradient-mediated lymphocyte trafficking [60, 61]. CD68⁻ cells on the parenchymal side of marginal reticular cells express SPL in human LN [62]. Inhibition of SPL by caramel food colorant, 2-acetyl-4-tetrahydroxybutylimidazole (THI), also prevents T cell egress from the thymus and secondary lymphoid organs under conditions of vitamin B6 deficiency [63].

B lymphocyte egress from secondary lymphoid organs also requires S1P and S1P1. S1P1 provides necessary signals for the transfer of newly generated immature B cells from the bone marrow to the blood [64, 65]. Marginal zone B cell localization to the marginal zone is regulated by response to the blood S1P, with S1P1 signaling overcoming the recruiting activity of CXCL13 [66]. Marginal zone B cells migrate continually between the marginal zone and follicles, establishing the marginal zone as a site of S1P1-dependent B cell egress from the follicles [67]. On the other hand, S1P1 antagonism blocks passage through the cortical lymphatic endothelium and argues against a functional role for S1P gradient chemotaxis in B lymphocyte egress [68]. Overexpression of SIP2 promotes the centering of activated B cells in the follicle and inhibits germinal center B cell responses to follicular chemoattractants and helps confine it to the germinal center [69]. S1P2 suppresses growth and promotes local confinement of germinal center B cells through the Gα13-dependent pathway [70]. Combinations of S1P receptors are different in various B cell populations and regulate the circulation of human B cell subsets. In human B cells, S1P1-induced signaling is transmitted through β-arrestin 2, LPS-responsive beige-like anchor protein, dedicator of cytokinesis 8, and Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein [71].

3.2. S1P-SIP5 Axis and Recruitment of NK Cells. Messenger RNA for SIP1, SIP4, and SIP5, but not SIP3, are expressed in NK cells [72]. SIP-deficient mice exhibit increased NK cell retention with inhibition of egress, indicating that while NK cells can develop within the thymus without S1P1 expression, they are not retained in the peripheral tissue [73]. SIP5 has also been shown to be required for NK cell egress from LN and bone marrow [74], and SIP5-deficient mice have been reported to have aberrant...
NK cell homing during steady-state conditions. S1PR5 is also required for the mobilization of NK cells to inflamed tissues [75]. CD56bright NK cells, a minority population of NK cells, express CCR7, and SIP influences the population, phenotype, and function of NK cells in peripheral circulation [76].

3.3. Contribution of Spns2 to Lymphocyte Trafficking. Spns2, which is a member of the major facilitator superfamily of non-ATP-dependent transporters, has been identified as a transporter of SIP in some cell types [77, 78]. SIP cannot spontaneously traverse the cell membrane lipid bilayer due to its polar head group and is secreted by either Spns2 or promiscuous ABC transporters [2, 79]. In breast cancer, multidrug-resistant proteins ATP-binding cassette transporters, ABCG2 and ABCG1, export SIP after estrogen stimulation of breast cancer cells [79]. Spns2 is involved in angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and the generation of the lymphatic network in LN during development [80]. Although it was initially assumed that the SIP gradient between the thymus and blood is the primary determinant of egress of mature T cells from the thymus, blood SIP level alone is insufficient to promote the egress [41, 80–82]. Spns2 plays a role in the regulation of SIP levels not only in the blood, but also in LN and lymphatic fluid, thus influencing lymphocyte trafficking and development of the lymphatic vessel network [80]. The immunological phenotype of Spns2 knockout mice closely mimics the phenotype of partial SIP deficiency, including impaired SIP-dependent lymphocyte trafficking, depletion of lymphocytes in the circulation, an increase in mature single-positive T cells in the thymus, and a selective reduction in mature B cells in the spleen and bone marrow, resulting in redistribution of lymphocytes from the spleen to LN [83]. This is consistent with the notion that normal egress from the spleen is due to blood SIP gradient, and blocked egress from LN is due to lymphatic fluid SIP gradient. Spns2 is needed in endothelial cells to supply lymphatic fluid SIP and support lymphocyte circulation [84]. Spns2 is currently believed to contribute to the SIP gradient required for T and B cells to egress from their respective primary lymphoid organs into lymphatic endothelial cells [85] (Figure 2). In agreement with this notion, we have recently found that Spns2-mediated SIP transport plays a significant role in the initiation and development of adaptive immune-related disorders and autoimmune diseases, such as asthma, colitis, multiple sclerosis, and arthritis in animal models [86].

3.4. FTY720 and Lymphopenia. FTY720 is a prodrug that acts as an immunomodulator after activation [4]. FTY720 was discovered by the chemical modification of the natural product, myriocin (ISP-1), which is a metabolite of the fungus Isaria sinclairii. Later, FTY720 was found to be a structural analogue of sphingosine and a functional antagonist of S1PRs [87]. Use of FTY720 has revealed that SIP is involved in lymphocyte egress from the thymus and secondary lymph organs into the circulation [88]. FTY720 can be administered orally and is approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration as a new treatment for multiple sclerosis, the most common inflammatory disorder of the central nervous system [89].

FTY720 is phosphorylated in vivo by SphKs to generate phosphorylated-FTY720 (p-FTY720), SIP mimetic which acts as a ligand for all of the S1PRs except S1PR2. p-FTY720 modulates chemotactic responses and lymphocyte trafficking by internalization of the S1PRs [6], thus strongly suppressing lymphocyte egress from the thymus and secondary lymphoid organs [90]. As SIP mimetic, p-FTY720 is also transported by Spns2 through the same pathway as SIP [91]. S1PR1 activated by p-FTY720 maintains signaling activity for several hours despite quantitative internalization. This sustained intracellular agonism may be an important mechanism that distinguishes FTY720 from other S1PR antagonists and contributes to the therapeutic potential of FTY720 [92]. p-FTY720 causes continued cAMP signaling that is not dependent on S1PR redistribution and induces functional antagonism of Ca^{2+} signaling after transient stimulation [93].

After binding to S1PR1 and internalization into cells, SIP returns to the plasma membrane and is recycled within several hours. However, S1PR1 internalized by p-FTY720 does not lead to receptor recycling, and p-FTY720 strongly induces subsequent polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the S1PR1 [94, 95]. The mechanism of S1PR1 internalization and modulation of autoimmune inflamma-

remains unclear. It was recently reported that incomplete S1PR1 phosphorylation worsens Th17-mediated autoimmune neuroinflammation, and this mechanism may be related to the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis [96]. FTY720-induced S1PR1 internalization in T cells is caused by clathrin-mediated endocytosis and is regulated by moesin, an ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) family member [97].

SIPRI suppression by FTY720 correlates with reduced numbers of lymphocytes and monocytes in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice and rats independent of SIPRI3 [36]. The percentages of central memory T cells (T_{CM}) and naive T cells decrease, while those of effector memory T cells (T_{EM}) and suppressor precursor T cells (T_{SP}) increase in both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells with FTY720 therapy. The percentages of regulatory T cells (T_{reg}) in CD4+ T cells and T_{EM} in CD8+ T cells also increase [98]. FTY720 can impair CD8+ T cell function independently of SIP pathway [99]. On the other hand, absolute numbers of NK cells are unchanged in FTY720-treated multiple sclerosis patients. However, relative proportions of NK cells within the whole circulating lymphoid population are increased. FTY720 causes a relative decrease in CD56bright NK cells expressing CCR7, increased sensitivity to chemokine ligand, and promotes movement into LN [76]. In addition, FTY720 has nonimmunological mechanisms in astrocytes, which present SIP signaling pathways within the central nervous system as targets for multiple sclerosis therapies [100]. Finally, we have recently reported that p-FTY720 is a histone deacetylase inhibitor that reactivates estrogen receptor expression in breast cancer both in vitro and in vivo, suggesting that FTY720 may possess functions more than those that have previously been published [101]. More elucidation of the differences in functional mechanism between FTY720 and other SIP/SIPRI modifying compounds will contribute to the investigation of SIP and the therapeutic potential of such compounds.
4. Role of S1P and S1PR1 in Lymphocyte Differentiation

In addition to trafficking, S1PR1 is also involved in lymphocyte differentiation. S1PR1 delivers intrinsic negative feedback to decrease thymic production and suppress activity of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T<sub>reg</sub>. S1PR1 blocks the differentiation of thymic T<sub>reg</sub> precursors and inhibits the function of mature T<sub>reg</sub> cells, thereby regulating T<sub>reg</sub> cell-mediated immune tolerance [102]. S1PR1 signaling in T cells promotes tumor growth by inducing T<sub>reg</sub> accumulation in tumors via STAT3 and inhibiting CD8⁺ T cell recruitment and activation [103]. FTY720 induces a decrease in circulating CD4⁺ T cells and CD19⁺ B cells while CD39⁺ T<sub>reg</sub> cells increase in multiple sclerosis patients [104]. FTY720 directly potentiates recruitment and function of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and controls the differentiation of Th1 cells to T<sub>reg</sub> by targeting S1PR1 [105]. The effect of S1P in lymphocyte differentiation is related to the immune response against cancer and pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Further investigation and therapeutic application are expected in the near future.

5. Therapeutic Potential through Targeting Local S1P/S1PR Function in Inflamed Tissues

5.1. Asthma. ORM-(yeast-) like protein isoform 3 (ORMDL3), which is identified as a gene associated with susceptibility to asthma, promotes eosinophil trafficking, recruitment, and activation [106] and regulates sphingolipid and ceramide homeostasis [107]. Intranasal application of FTY720 was shown to decrease ORMDL3 expression and is effective for reducing airway inflammation and hyperreactivity and mucus hypersecretion in house dust mite-challenged mice [108]. On the other hand, it has been reported that prolonged FTY720 treatment induces life-threatening asthma attacks and deterioration [109]. Further investigations of therapeutic effects of FTY720 or other S1P/S1PR related-compounds for asthma diseases are expected.

5.2. Allergic Rhinitis. Allergic rhinitis and asthma are the two most common allergic diseases [110]. Intranasal FTY720 treatment significantly decreases eosinophils, mast cells, and dendritic cells in the nasal mucosa of animal allergic rhinitis models with decreased levels of IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, and IL-13 in LN of FTY720-treated animals. The mechanism includes impairment of Th2 differentiation and proliferation, inhibition of eosinophilia, and induction of apoptosis in mast cells [111].

5.3. Atopic Skin Diseases and Psoriasis. S1P controls several fundamental functions of keratinocytes and skin dendritic cells. S1P suppresses proliferation and promotes differentiation of keratinocytes. Antigen uptake, migration, and cytokine production in dendritic cells are regulated by sphingolipids. Dysregulation of sphingolipid metabolism is involved in inflammatory skin diseases such as atopic dermatitis [112]. Topical administration of S1P or FTY720 inhibits dendritic cell migration and regulates Langerhans cell migration from skin to LN and is an effective treatment for allergic skin diseases such as contact hypersensitivity and atopic dermatitis [113]. Although genetic factors, epithelial disorders, and environmental factors are involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis, inflammation is also implicated in the progression of psoriasis. Topical administration of S1P and FTY720 has been reported to be effective for psoriasis [114]. Ponesimod, a selective S1PR1 modulator, is a functional antagonist of S1PR1, and its oral administration is undergoing clinical trial for psoriasis [115]. Considering that there are various clinical phenotypes of psoriasis, topical therapies targeting S1P/S1PR function might be a new option for the control of mild-to-moderate psoriasis lesions.

5.4. Corneal Allograft. Corneal transplantation is the most common and successful solid organ transplantation. Despite the fact that HLA matching and systemic immunsuppression are not regularly utilized, 90% of first-time corneal allografts succeed [116]. However, in order to achieve even better outcomes, there remains the option of topical administration of immunosuppressive medication. Treatment with FTY720 eye-drops can effectively prolong allogeneic corneal graft survival in mice. Topical application of FTY720 increases the percentage of CD4⁺ T cells and T<sub>reg</sub> in cervical LN, increases TGF-β1 mRNA expression, and decreases infiltration of CD4⁺ T cells in corneal allografts [117]. Corneal graft survival is prolonged by topical application of S1PR1, and S1PR1 selective agonist may be effective in the inhibition of corneal allograft rejection [118,119].

5.5. Wound Healing. Wound healing is one of the most fundamental research topics in surgery, since every surgical intervention creates wounds. The stages of wound healing are classified into three phases: inflammatory, proliferative, and remodeling phases [120]. The inflammatory phase is the first process of wound healing during which purification of the wound and production of cytokines and chemokines by inflammatory cells occur. The inflammatory phase strongly influences the following phases, as discovered through complications such as intractable wounds and abnormal scars, termed hypertrophic scars and keloid formation. Thus, strengthening of the inflammatory reaction by activation of S1P signaling is expected to promote wound healing. In addition, S1P promotes formation of fibronectin matrix at the dermal-epidermal junction, and keratinocyte migration, which is expected to promote wound healing. Further, in response to injury, thrombin promotes the activation of S1P, which promotes angiogenesis for wound healing [121]. Direct SphK1 plasmid application to wounds was shown to accelerate wound closure in diabetic rats [122]. This warrants further detailed analysis and investigation as human wounds heal differently from other mammals. For instance, wound healing takes longer in humans and often results in hypertrophic scar or keloid, which is rarely observed in other mammals. Treatments to promote wound healing, which are currently limited to modifying nutrition and circulation, are expected to have a large potential impact on all phases.
of health recovery. SIP may be an ideal target molecule to promote wound healing.

6. Conclusion

SIP is a bioactive lipid mediator that is increasingly recognized as an important regulator of immune function. SIPR expression and SIP concentration gradient have been implicated in immune cell development, differentiation, and recruitment during both acute and chronic inflammation. Currently, numerous studies are in progress to investigate the possibility of new therapies targeting SIP signaling, including FTY720, which may have great potential as a therapeutic target for many types of diseases such as autoimmune diseases, allergy, infection, and chronic inflammation. A large number of positive results thus far support the development of SIP signaling targeted therapies to treat such conditions.
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