Association between skeletal muscle mass to visceral fat area ratio and arterial stiffness in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus
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Abstract

Background: The skeletal muscle mass-to-visceral fat area ratio (SVR) has been linked to arterial stiffness in non-diabetic adults. We examined the association between the SVR and arterial stiffness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Methods: Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (252 men and 171 women) aged 40–75 years were enrolled and divided into three groups according to SVR tertiles. Arterial stiffness was measured as brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (baPWV), with baPWV > 1800 mm/s defined as high. Spearman’s partial correlation was used to adjust confounding factors. The odds ratio for high baPWV was determined by multiple logistic regression analyses, and receiver-operating characteristic analysis was conducted.

Results: SVR was associated with baPWV in Chinese patients with T2DM (Spearman’s partial correlation = −0.129, P < 0.01). SVR was found to be significantly associated with baPWV on multiple logistic regression analysis. Patients in the lower SVR tertiles had a higher OR than did those in the higher SVR tertiles, after adjusting for multiple covariates (Q1: OR = 4.33 in men and 4.66 in women; Q3: OR = 1). The area under the curve for SVR was significantly greater than that for appendicular skeletal muscle (ASM), ASM/height², and visceral fat area (VAF) for identifying high baPWV (0.747 in men and 0.710 in women). The optimal cutoffs values of SVR for detecting high baPWV were 191.7 g/cm² for men and 157.3 g/cm² for women.

Conclusions: SVR has an independent, negative association with arterial stiffness, and is a better risk-assessment tool than ASM, ASM/height², and VFA in clinical practice to identify patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk.
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Background

For patients with type 2 diabetes, the major cause of morbidity and mortality is cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1]. As the traditional risk factors, including low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels, smoking, as well as hypertension fail to explain the increased cardiovascular risk in patients with T2DM completely [1], it is essential to develop a risk factor assessment tool that is clinically applicable, economical, and non-invasive.

Sarcopenia is associated with the atherosclerotic process and it has been proved that the levels of skeletal muscle mass of patients with type 2 diabetes present is lower than patients with no diabetes [2, 3]. On the other hand, as is well-known, visceral fat accumulation can increase the risk for CVD [4]. The phenomenon of sarcopenic obesity has been found recently, which indicates that sarcopenia and visceral obesity often coexists with each other. Previous studies have shown that sarcopenic obesity presents a dual metabolic burden and is emerging as a major concern for public health [5, 6]. However, most of previous studies have explored the role of sarcopenia and obesity separately; their...
synergistic effects on cardiovascular disease in T2DM patients are not clear. Therefore, here, we have evaluated and presented an index of sarcopenic obesity using the ratio of appendicular skeletal muscle (ASM) to visceral fat area (VFA), known as the skeletal-to-visceral ratio (SVR).

Arterial stiffness measured as brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (baPWV) is an indicator of atherosclerosis and an independent predictor risk factor for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality [7]. Some clinical studies have demonstrated an independent association between arterial stiffness and atherosclerosis [8].

The aim of this study was to explore the association between SVR, an index of skeletal muscle mass corrected by visceral fat area, and arterial stiffness in T2DM patients. We further evaluated the association of SVR, ASM, ASM/height², and VFA with arterial stiffness, in middle aged and old patients with T2DM.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The subjects in this cross-sectional study were 423 Chinese patients with T2DM (40 years ≤ age ≤ 75 years). We consecutively enrolled the subjects who visited the first hospital affiliated with Wenzhou medical university for evaluation or treatment of T2DM. Patients with renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²), nutritionally compromise (such as cancer, thyroid disease, skeletal deformities and amputations), chronic heart failure, and atrial fibrillation were excluded from this study. Patients with peripheral artery disease whose ankle-brachial index< 0.9 were also excluded [9]. We have received the agreement from all subjects that they were willing to participate in this study and the informed consent was provided too. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the first affiliated hospital of Wenzhou Medical University.

**Anthropometric and biochemical measurements**

Physical examination included anthropometric measures (body height, weight, and waist circumference) and blood pressure assessment. The body mass index (BMI) was then calculated as weight (kg) divided by stature index (ASM/height²)
[12]. As it was described above, we also calculated the ASM adjusted by stature index (ASM/height²) [12]. By dividing the ASM (g) by VFA (cm²), SVR (g/cm²) was also calculated to be an index of sarcopenic obesity.

**Measurement of baPWV**

In this study, we measured the baPWV as well as the brachial and ankle blood pressures on the left and right sides of the subjects with a plethysmography apparatus (model BP-203RPE II; Colin, Komaki, Japan) after rested in a supine position for 5 min. In this study, baPWV was calculated as the mean of the left and right baPWV values. High baPWV was defined as baPWV > 1800 mm/s [13].

**Statistical analysis**

The T2DM patients were divided into sex-specific SVR tertiles (Q) as follows: Q1, < 182 g/cm²; Q2, 182 g/cm²–237 g/cm²; Q3, > 237 g/cm² in men; and Q1, < 126 g/cm²; Q2, 126 g/cm²–156 g/cm²; Q3, > 156 g/cm² in women. Numerical data are expressed as mean ± SD or median [inter-quartile range]. Categorical variables are presented as percentage. Comparisons of the means and proportions were performed by one-way ANOVA analysis and Pearson’s χ²-test, respectively. In this study, we adopted the partial correlation adjusting for age and gender of Spearman to estimate the correlation between SVR, ASM, ASM/height², VFA, and other variables. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to estimate significant trends across decreasing tertiles based on SVR and to estimate the odds ratio of high baPWV in each tertile compared with the highest tertile as the reference category. The regression models were adjusted for age, duration of diabetes, duration of hypertension, BMI, waist circumference, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), HbA1c, smoking status, alcohol intake, FINS, uric acid, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C.

To evaluate the clinical utility of SVR in predicting arterial stiffness, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted and the area under the curve was calculated.

All analyses were carried out using statistical computer programs, Med Calc version 12.3.0 (MedCalc Software, Broekstraat 52, 9030 Mariakerke, Belgium) and IBM SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.
**Results**

Comparisons of the general characteristics among T2DM patients with different tertiles of SVR

Clinical data and baseline characteristics of patients with different tertiles of SVR are presented in Table 1. Among both men and women, patients in the lower tertiles of SVR had a higher age, duration of hypertension, BMI, waist circumference, total body fat percentage, visceral fat area, and baPWV than did patients in the higher tertiles of SVR, and the significant differences were mainly between Q1 and Q2, and Q1 and Q3. In addition, the TC levels were significantly higher in women Q3 than in those in Q1. The TG, HDL, LDL, albumin, uric acid, and HbA1c levels were not significantly different among different SVR tertiles.

Spearman’s partial correlations between body composition and other variables after adjustment for age and gender

Spearman’s partial correlations adjusted for age and gender are shown in Table 2. SVR had a negative association with BMI, waist circumference, DBP, SBP, baPWV (Fig. 1). ASM was significantly and positively correlated with BMI, waist circumference, TG, uric acid and negatively with HDL-C, HbA1c, baPWV. ASM/height² was significantly and positively correlated with waist circumference and uric acid level. VFA was significantly and positively correlated with BMI, waist circumference, DBP, uric acid level, and baPWV and negatively correlated with HDL-C, HbA1c (all \( P < 0.05 \)).

### Table 1 Clinical, anthropometric and metabolic characteristics of patients according to SVR tertiles

|                  | Men          |          |          |          | Women       |          |          |
|------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|
|                  | Q1           | Q2       | Q3       |          | Q1          | Q2       | Q3       |
| N                | 82           | 86       | 84       |          | 56          | 57       | 58       |
| Age, years       | 63.2±9.1     | 58.6±9.0*| 51.7±7.6*|          | 64.6±8.3    | 62.8±7.6 | 54.7±8.4 |
| Duration of diabetes, years | 9.0±6.7      | 9.4±7.4  | 8.2±6.1  |          | 11.2±6.8    | 9.7±5.9  | 9.3±5.8  |
| Duration of hypertension, years | 5.5±6.8      | 3.8±6.4  | 2.1±5.6  |          | 8.0±8.0     | 6.2±7.1  | 4.1±5.2  |
| Height, cm       | 165±6        | 169±5    | 170±5*   |          | 154±5       | 155±5    | 157±6    |
| Weight, Kg       | 69±11        | 69±9     | 65±9*    |          | 63±11       | 59±9§    | 58±9§    |
| BMI, Kg/m²       | 25.3±3.6     | 24.3±3.0*| 22.7±3.0*|          | 26.7±3.9    | 24.4±3.3 | 23.6±3.3 |
| Waist, cm        | 92.6±8.7     | 88.9±8.1*| 84.8±8.2*|          | 94.3±9.6    | 88.5±12.8| 82.3±8.4 |
| SBP, mmHG        | 143±22       | 136±19   | 133±21   |          | 143±22      | 145±26   | 144±24   |
| DBP, mmHG        | 80±13        | 77±12    | 78±12    |          | 74±11       | 78±14    | 78±13    |
| TC, mmol/l       | 4.3±1.1      | 4.3±1.2  | 4.3±1.2  |          | 4.6±1.4     | 4.6±1.2  | 5.1±1.5  |
| TG, mmol/l       | 1.6±0.8      | 1.8±1.3  | 1.8±2.1  |          | 1.9±0.9     | 2.0±1.2  | 2.5±3.3  |
| HDL, mmol/l      | 30.6±9.0     | 30.4±9.2 | 30.0±9.0 |          | 30.6±9.0    | 30.4±9.2 | 30.0±9.0 |
| LDL, mmol/l      | 2.5±0.9      | 2.5±0.9  | 2.4±0.9  |          | 2.6±1.1     | 2.5±0.8  | 2.9±1.0  |
| Albumin, g       | 38.3±3.9     | 39.3±3.6 | 38.2±4.9 |          | 37.4±3.4    | 37.5±4.0 | 37.2±5.2 |
| Uric, umol/l     | 335±95       | 339±107  | 322±87   |          | 309±85      | 286±86   | 299±92   |
| HbA1c, mmol/l    | 10.1±2.2     | 9.6±2.4  | 10.5±3.0 |          | 9.2±1.9     | 9.2±1.9  | 9.9±2.2  |
| FINS, pmol/l     | 139±330      | 60±65    | 40±37    |          | 114±189     | 74±77    | 60±72    |
| Smoking, %       | 52.4         | 36.0*    | 36.9     |          | 59.5        | 0        | 0        |
| Alcohol intake, %| 39.0         | 38.4     | 36.9     |          | 1.8         | 0        | 3.4      |
| VFA, cm²         | 131±18       | 108±13   | 78±17    |          | 135±18      | 111±15   | 84±18    |
| BF (%)           | 27.2±5.5     | 22.0±4.5*| 16.4±4.5*|          | 38.0±8.1    | 31.7±5.2 | 26.7±6.7 |
| ASM, Kg          | 20.4±2.7     | 22.4±2.3*| 22.8±2.7*|          | 14.7±2.7    | 15.3±2.0 | 16.3±2.4 |
| ASM/Height², Kg/m²| 7.4±0.7      | 7.8±0.7  | 7.9±0.7  |          | 6.8±4.3     | 6.3±0.8  | 6.6±0.8  |
| SVR, g/cm²       | 157±17       | 209±16   | 323±84*  |          | 109±16      | 138±57*  | 201±45*  |
| baPWV            | 1813±441     | 1617±33* | 1480±35* |          | 2073±448    | 1852±248 | 1740±407 |

**Abbreviations:** BMI - body mass index, SBP - systolic blood pressure, DBP - diastolic blood pressure, TC - total cholesterol, TG - triglyceride, HDL-C - high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C - low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HbA1c - glycated hemoglobin A1c, FINS - fasting serum insulin, HOMA-IR - homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, BF - body fat percentage, ASM - appendicular skeletal muscle, VFA - visceral fat area, SVR - skeletal muscle mass to visceral fat area ratio, baPWV - brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity

*\( P < 0.05 \), vs Q1 in men group, \( *P < 0.05 \), vs Q1 in women group
Comparison of the incidence of high baPWV in different tertiles of SVR

The prevalence of high baPWV tended to decline with increasing levels of SVR (Fig. 2). Women had a higher prevalence of baPWV than men. Among men, the prevalence of high baPWV in the Q1, Q2, Q3 levels was 56.1, 29.1, 17.9% respectively. Among women, the prevalence of high baPWV in the Q1, Q2, Q3 levels was 76.8, 63.2, 39.7%, respectively ($P < 0.05$).

Logistic regression analyses for baPWV

Logistic regression analyses to examine the relationship between the tertiles of SVR and baPWV are listed in Table 3. In an unadjusted model, the odds ratio for high baPWV was 8.18 (95% confidential interval, 3.78–17.70) in men and 6.78 in women (95% confidential interval, 2.15–20.19) in the lowest SVR tertile, compared to the highest SVR tertile. Although adjusting for age, duration of diabetes, duration of hypertension, BMI, waist

### Table 2 age and gender adjusted spearman's correlations between SVR, ASM, ASM/height2 and VFA and other variables

|                  | SVR adjusted for age and gender | ASM adjusted for age and gender | ASM/height2 adjusted for age and gender | VFA adjusted for age and gender |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                  | $r$  | $P$     | $r$  | $P$     | $r$  | $P$     | $r$  | $P$     |
| BMI              | −0.169 | 0.003 | 0.534 | 0.000 | −0.047 | 0.412 | 0.687 | 0.000 |
| Waist            | −0.119 | 0.036 | 0.414 | 0.000 | 0.253 | 0.000 | 0.565 | 0.000 |
| SBP              | −0.071 | 0.216 | 0.057 | 0.315 | 0.016 | 0.784 | 0.105 | 0.066 |
| DBP              | −0.132 | 0.021 | 0.058 | 0.306 | 0.015 | 0.800 | 0.154 | 0.007 |
| TC               | −0.076 | 0.185 | 0.02  | 0.723 | −0.043 | 0.452 | 0.015 | 0.789 |
| TG               | 0.001  | 0.989 | 0.159 | 0.005 | 0.065 | 0.257 | 0.016 | 0.778 |
| HDL-C            | −0.005 | 0.925 | −0.120 | 0.035 | −0.106 | 0.064 | −0.134 | 0.019 |
| LDL-C            | −0.087 | 0.126 | −0.047 | 0.411 | −0.063 | 0.270 | 0.033 | 0.567 |
| Uric             | 0.021  | 0.710 | 0.147 | 0.01  | 0.180 | 0.002 | 0.161 | 0.005 |
| Hba1c            | 0.047  | 0.411 | −0.121 | 0.033 | −0.078 | 0.172 | −0.123 | 0.031 |
| baPWV            | −0.129 | 0.024 | −0.104 | 0.049 | −0.047 | 0.412 | 0.130 | 0.020 |

**Abbreviations:** SVR skeletal muscle mass to visceral fat area ratio, ASM appendicular skeletal muscle, VFA visceral fat area, BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, TC total cholesterol, TG triglyceride, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

---

**Fig. 1** Relationship between SVR and mean brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (baPWV). Men, $r = −0.329, P < 0.001$. Women, $r = −0.253, P < 0.001$
circumference, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, smoking status, alcohol intake, FINS, uric acid, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C attenuated the magnitude of the odds ratio, the association between SVR and high baPWV remained significant (odds ratio 4.33 in men and 4.66 in women, \( P < 0.01 \)).

**ROC curves of body composition area for high baPWV**

To compare the predictability of a high baPWV, ROC curves for SVR, ASM, ASM/height\(^2\), and VSA were plotted (see in Fig. 3 and Table 4). SVR had the highest area under the ROC curve, with statistical significance (0.747 in men and 0.710 in women); the optimal cutoffs of SVR for detecting a high baPWV were 191.7 g/cm\(^2\) in men and 157.3 g/cm\(^2\) in women.

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that baPWV increased with decreasing SVR levels. Furthermore, SVR was independently associated with arterial stiffness in Chinese patients with T2DM, even after adjusting for age, duration diabetes, duration of hypertension, BMI, waist circumference, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, smoking status, alcohol intake, FINS, uric acid level, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C. Additionally, we found that SVR was a more powerful indicator of baPWV, than ASM, ASM/height\(^2\), and VFA.

Low skeletal muscle mass and high visceral fat, are known to be associated with atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [2, 4]. In addition, some surveys showed that in western non-diabetic populations, SVR was negatively related to arterial stiffness [14]. However, this association has not been explored in Chinese T2DM patients, and it is uncertain whether SVR could predict atherosclerosis better than skeletal muscle mass or visceral fat. People with type 2 diabetes often have excess body fat mass and show accelerated muscle mass loss [15, 16], which is termed as sarcopenic obesity, and CVD in individuals with type 2 diabetes might be synergistically affected by a combination of high fat mass and low muscle mass. SVR, and not absolute amount of skeletal muscle mass or visceral fat, might be more appropriate to predict atherosclerosis in patients of diabetes mellitus. Fat mass should be considered in evaluating skeletal muscle mass.

**Table 3** multivariable-adjusted odd ratios for high baPWV accordingly to each tertile of SVR

|        | Univariate | Model1 | Model2 |
|--------|------------|--------|--------|
|        | OR         | P      | OR     | P     | OR     | P     |
| Men    |            |        |        |       |        |       |
| Q1     | 8.18(3.78–17.70) | 0.00 | 3.30(1.40–7.80) | 0.01 | 4.33(1.06–17.78) | 0.04 |
| Q2     | 2.58(1.17–5.68)  | 0.02 | 1.40(0.59–3.30) | 0.44 | 1.25(0.32–4.92)  | 0.75 |
| Q3     | 1(reference) | –     | 1      | –     | 1      | –     |
| Women  |            |        |        |       |        |       |
| Q1     | 6.78(2.93–15.69) | 0.00 | 3.63(1.45–9.10) | 0.01 | 4.66(1.06–20.57) | 0.04 |
| Q2     | 3.33(1.54–7.20)  | 0.00 | 1.96(0.84–4.53) | 0.12 | 3.60(1.00–12.91) | 0.05 |
| Q3     | 1           | –     | 1      | –     | 1      | –     |

Model1: adjusted for age
Model2: further adjusted for duration diabetes, duration of hypertension, BMI, waist, SBP, DBP, HbA1c, smoker, alcohol, FINS, uric, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C

**Abbreviations:** SVR skeletal muscle mass to visceral fat area ratio, BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, FINS fasting serum insulin, TC total cholesterol, TG triglyceride, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
in these subjects as reported previously [17]. While our study confirmed this hypothesis, as shown in Fig. 1, SVR had the largest area under the ROC curve compared with ASM, ASM/height^2, and VSA, reaching diagnostic significance. Therefore, SVR has an advantage over conventional diagnostics in the screening of arterial stiffness in T2DM.

Ageing is associated with a decrease in skeletal muscle mass and an increase in body fat [18]. Similarly, in our study, a negative association between age and SVR was also observed in both men and women \( (r = -0.304 \text{ in men and } -0.459 \text{ in women}) \). Previous studies have demonstrated the relationship between SVR and CVD risk in individuals with no diabetes. According to the observation of Lim et al. on the Korean adult population, it has been found that among subjects with metabolic syndrome and arterial stiffness, the visceral fat to thigh muscle area ratio is increased dramatically [19]. The study of Kim et al. revealed a significantly association between the muscle mass/fat mass ratio with waist circumference, blood pressure, lipid profiles, and blood glucose levels [14]. In this study, as is shown in Table 2, we additionally showed that lower SVR is associated with CVD risk factors such as BMI \( (r = -0.169, P = 0.003) \), DBP \( (r = -0.132, P = 0.021) \), and waist circumference \( (r = -0.119, P = 0.036) \) among subjects with T2DM after adjusting for age and gender. Given the multiple CVD risk factors associated with atherosclerosis, a lower SVR indicates the need for more aggressive efforts to manage atherosclerosis.

We found that both men and women with lower SVR had a higher prevalence of high baPWV than did those in the higher SVR group, and women had a higher prevalence than men. Previous studies have reported this

**Table 4** ROC analysis between arterial stiffness versus SVR, ASM, ASM/height^2 and VFA

|         | Cut-off | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC    | 95%CI     | P      |
|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| **Male** |         |             |             |        |           |        |
| SVR     | 191.7   | 0.74        | 0.687       | 0.747  | 0.688–0.800 | 0.000  |
| ASM     | 21.52   | 0.633       | 0.625       | 0.643  | 0.580–0.702 | 0.000  |
| ASM/height^2 | 8.14  | 0.314       | 0.85        | 0.604  | 0.541–0.666 | 0.008  |
| VFA     | 105.55  | 0.738       | 0.627       | 0.700  | 0.639–0.756 | 0.000  |
| **Female** |        |             |             |        |           |        |
| SVR     | 157.3   | 0.536       | 0.82        | 0.710  | 0.635–0.777 | 0.000  |
| ASM     | 17.16   | 0.362       | 0.89        | 0.648  | 0.571–0.719 | 0.001  |
| ASM/height^2 | 5.95  | 0.826       | 0.31        | 0.558  | 0.480–0.634 | 0.196  |
| VFA     | 97.15   | 0.802       | 0.457       | 0.630  | 0.553–0.703 | 0.008  |

Abbreviations: SVR skeletal muscle mass to visceral fat area ratio, ASM appendicular skeletal muscle, VFA visceral fat area

![Fig. 3 ROC curves of SVR, ASM, ASM/height^2 and VFA for high baPWV. SVR had the highest area under the ROC curve, with statistical significance (0.747 in men and 0.710 in women)](image-url)
visceral adiposity assessment; however, in a previous abdominal CT examination is also a gold standard for tri-rectal impedance analyzer was used to measure VFA. Abtivation [27]. Consequently, we believe that diovascular events and mortality was revealed by a recent meta-analysis [28]. Consequently, we believe that arterial stiffness and inflammation, and physical inactivity. In our study, logistic regression analysis using wide range of variates showed that the odds ratio for high baPWV was 4.33 times higher in the lower SVR tertile compared to the higher SVR tertile in men, and 4.66 times in the women groups.

Considering that body compositions differ by ethnicity, with Asians having comparatively low muscle mass but high body fat, sarcopenic obesity poses a serious problem [26]. Consequently, further studies are required to evaluate the superiority of SVR, reflecting a condition resembling sarcopenic obesity, for predicting several cardiometabolic risk factors (such as dysglycemia, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia) in Asians, and to define the optimal cutoffs of SVR for cardio-metabolic risk factors in this population.

There are several limitations to this study. First, we used baPWV for the assessment of arterial stiffness. According to a previous study, carotid-femoral PWV is viewed as the “gold standard” for arterial stiffness evaluation [27]. Besides, the impact of baPWV on total cardiovascular events and mortality was revealed by a recent meta-analysis [28]. Consequently, we believe that the association between arterial stiffness defined by baPWV and SVR would have enough power to predict future cardiovascular events. Secondly, the dual bioelectrical impedance analyzer was used to measure VFA. Abdominal CT examination is also a gold standard for visceral adiposity assessment; however, in a previous report, an obvious correlation between VFA measured by CT and that measured by a dual bioelectrical impedance analyzer \( r = 0.821, P < 0.001 \) was revealed [29]. Moreover, comparing to the abdominal CT scan, the dual bioelectrical impedance analyzer do have some advantages, for example, using dual bioelectrical impedance analyzer can avoid the risk of exposure to ionized radiation. Third, this study did not examine the impact of inflammatory marker and oxidative stress, and previous studies have confirmed that they are closely related to arterial stress [30, 31]. Fourth, in order to make definite conclusions, the sample size was far from enough. Finally, the cross-sectional as well as the longitudinal studies should be conducted to figure out the causal relationship between baPWV and SVR in T2DM.

Conclusions
The present study showed for the first time that in both men and women, reduced SVR, an index of skeletal muscle mass corrected by visceral obesity, is independently associated with increased arterial stiffness in T2DM. SVR could be used as a more appropriate risk-assessment tool than ASM, ASM/height\^2, and VFA in clinical practice to identify patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk (the appropriate cutoff of SVR for high baPWV is 191.7 kg/cm\(^2\) in men and 157.3 kg/m\(^2\) in women).
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