Association of Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) With Obesity And Underweight In Children

Tomasz Hanć  Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii: Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii  https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8888-4140

Ewa Bryl  (ewa.bryl@amu.edu.pl)  Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii: Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii  https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4874-459X

Paula Szcześniawska  Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii: Uniwersytet im Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu Wydział Biologii

Agata Dutkiewicz  Uniwersytet Medyczny im Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu: Uniwersytet Medyczny imienia Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu

Aneta Rita Borkowska  Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Sklodowskiej w Lublinie Wydział Pedagogiki i Psychologii

Elżbieta Paszyńska  Uniwersytet Medyczny im Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu: Uniwersytet Medyczny imienia Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu

Agnieszka Słopień  Uniwersytet Medyczny im Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu: Uniwersytet Medyczny imienia Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu

Monika Dmitrzak-Weglarz  Uniwersytet Medyczny im Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu: Uniwersytet Medyczny imienia Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu

Research Article

Keywords: Adverse childhood experiences, underweight, overweight, obesity, parental education, socioeconomic status, children

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-773703/v1

License: © This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.  Read Full License
Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to test the hypothesis that adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are related to both obesity and underweight from childhood, and that the association of ACEs with weight abnormalities is modulated by type of ACEs, sex and socioeconomic status (SES) indices.

Methods: The relations between ACEs (0 vs ≥ 1), ACE accumulation and ACE type with weight status and z scores BMI were assessed in 503 children aged 6-12 years from Poznan, Poland. The effects of interaction of ACEs with sex and SES on z scores BMI were included in the analyses.

Results: ACEs were significantly related to both obesity and underweight, in unadjusted analysis, and when sex and SES indices, such as size of place of residence, people per room in household, and parental education were controlled. The relation of ACEs with z scores BMI was modulated by ACE type, parental subjective assessment of economic situation of a family and parental education. ACE accumulation was not related to an increase of obesity or underweight rate, or z scores BMI.

Conclusion: The study implicates the need for both obesity and underweight prevention in individuals with adverse experiences as early as in childhood.

What Is Already Known On This Subject?

An association between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and obesity was found in adults, but the studies of children and adolescents gave contrasting findings. ACEs may be related not only to obesity but also to underweight. Nevertheless, studies including possible ACE-underweight association are sparse and have produced inconsistent results.

What this study adds?

The research presents important results on the ACE-obesity as well as ACE-underweight link. The associations were found to be significant in school age children. The role of such modulators as the ACE type, economic situation of a family and the level of education of parents has been revealed.

Background

Over the last decade research has revealed an association of obesity with psychosocial determinants, e.g.: adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Examples of ACEs are childhood maltreatment, being a victim or witness of violence, parental divorce, death or disease of a family member, family problems [1]. Numerous studies have found that the risk of obesity in adults is significantly related to adversity in earlier phases of an individual’s development [2–5]. A number of potential biosocial mechanisms for the association of ACEs with obesity have been proposed. The results of previous research suggest an important role of stress-induced changes of regulation in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, autonomic nervous system and prefrontal cortex, expressed in behavioural effects [6]. Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying the ACE-obesity link require further research.

Although a relationship between ACEs and body size has been found in many studies, there are two aspects of this link that still require further investigation. First, as shown in the meta-analysis of Danese and Tan [7], the association between childhood maltreatment and obesity was best documented in adults, while studies of children and adolescents gave contrasting findings. Although the latest recent meta-analysis [1] on the relationship between accumulation of ACEs and overweight indices in children gave significant results, no association was found for the most recent ACEs in children’s lives. This suggests that some incubation period may occur before the ACE related tendency for obesity emerges [8]. Secondly, ACEs relation with underweight has been found conflicting. Nevertheless, studies including possible ACE-underweight association are sparse and have produced contrasting results. The most recent study on a large sample of adolescents from the USA revealed a number of ACEs related to increasing risk of obesity but not underweight [6]. Other studies suggest that results may be diversified by sex, socioeconomic status and type of ACE. One study on adults found ACEs related to obesity in women and to underweight in men [9]. Soares et
al. [10] examined two birth cohorts within contrasting socioeconomic contexts: the United Kingdom and Brazil. The research showed that ACEs was related to adiposity indices in the UK adolescents, but in the Brazilian cohort ACEs were rather associated with lower waist circumference and BMI. In Danish military veterans sexual abuse in childhood was related to obesity, and emotional abuse to underweight [11]. In another study the association of sexual abuse with obesity was confirmed in a large group of Finnish adolescents, whereas parental unemployment was related to both obesity and underweight [12]. Finally, research on Polish children and adolescents found parental addiction to alcohol associated with underweight but not obesity [13]. These ambiguous results justify further research on the link between ACEs and both obesity and underweight, with the inclusion of the role of possible modulating factors.

The aim of the study was to assess the relationship between ACEs, BMI, and weight status in Polish children. We hypothesised that ACEs are related to both obesity and underweight from childhood, and the association of ACEs with weight abnormalities is modulated by ACE type, sex and socioeconomic status indices.

Materials And Methods

2.1 Ethical statements and basic information about procedure

The study was funded by the National Science Centre, Poland, grant number: 2016/21/B/NZ5/00492. The work described has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans and approved by the Institutional Bioethics Board of Poznan University of Medical Sciences (approval no. 542/14). The subjects and their legal guardians were fully informed about the research procedures and legal guardians gave a written consent to participate in the study. The children, aged 6–12 years, were recruited in 11 randomly selected elementary schools in the district of Poznań, Poland. Data on adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), height and weight measurements as well as socioeconomic (SES) factors were collected for each child.

2.2 Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) assessment

Parents of children from the sample were asked to mark all types of events experienced by their child: 1. The life or health of the child was threatened, 2. The child experienced an event in which the life or health of another person was endangered or someone died, 3. The child was physically (e.g. hitting, pushing, choking, shaking, biting, burning, forced into any type of sexual activity) or psychologically (e.g. calling him/her in an unpleasant way, mocking, gossiping, shouting at him/her very hard, threatening him, the child felt rejected by someone close to him) assaulted, 4. The child was a witness of physical or psychological assault, 5. The child experienced the death of someone close to him/her, 6. The child experienced serious family problems (e.g. quarrels, conflicts, fighting, parting, alcohol problems or other addiction types, emotional or mental problems of family members), 7. The child was separated from his/her parents for many days, 8. Other, not mentioned above. These experiences were classified as 'life/health threat', 'life/health threat witness', 'violence victim', 'violence witness', 'death of someone close', 'stressful family problems', 'long separation from parents' and 'other unspecified'. The accumulation of adverse experiences was assessed as a sum of ACE types (ACE score) in a child's life. ACE scores were coded as 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 + groupings consistent with previous research [4, 14].

2.3 Anthropometric assessment and body weight classification

Measurement of body height was carried out with the anthropometer Seca 213, with a measurement accuracy of ± 1mm. Body weight was measured with a medical scale (TANITA MC-780) and a measurement accuracy of ± 100g. Measurements were performed by trained medical personnel. Children were measured in light clothes, between 8am and 2pm. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated on the basis of height and weight measurements. Effects of sex and age on BMI were controlled by transformation BMI into z scores on the basis of World Health Organization (WHO) growth charts [15] with the use of WHO AnthroPlus software. Underweight, overweight and obesity were diagnosed by an adequate classification of BMI under the guidance of the International Obesity Task-Force [16–17].

2.4 Characteristics of SES
Questions concerning SES characteristics were included in the questionnaire for parents. The size of place of residence was assessed using the number of residents and divided into 3 categories for the purpose of statistical analysis: village, small and medium size cities (10–100 thous. residents, large city (> 100 thous. residents). The level of parents’ education was assessed based on their level of formal education and grouped as follows: primary and vocational, secondary, higher (bachelor or master of science degree). The economic situation of a family was assessed subjectively by parents and grouped into 3 categories: bad, average, good, and objectively, by the people per room indicator, grouped as follows: ≥2, 1–2, ≤1.

2.5 Statistical methods

The size and significance of the effects of ACEs (at least 1 type of ACE vs 0, or ACE scores vs 0, or ACE types vs 0), sex and all SES indicators on underweight (1-underweight vs. 0-normal weight), overweight (1-overweight vs. 0-normal weight) and obesity (1-obesity vs. 0-normal weight) rates were assessed using the stepwise logistic regression with the Quasi-Newton estimation method. The effects of all factors were tested in unadjusted analysis and in models including all other variables.

We used two-way ANOVA to assess the effects of interaction between sex, SES indicators and ACEs on z scores BMI. The analysis was applied for ACEs as a nominal variable (At least 1 type of ACE vs 0) and for the types of ACEs for which unadjusted or adjusted logistic regression analysis showed association with both underweight and overweight or obesity. ANOVA and Pearson’s correlation analysis were applied to assess the relationship of ACE score with z scores BMI. The size of effects was assessed with Cohen’s $d$.

All tests were performed with Statistica (Version 12) software and considered to be statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

Results

3.1 Characteristics of the sample

The sample comprised 503 children at age of 6–12 years (mean = 8.98, SD = 1.30) with a comparable proportion of boys and girls (52.49% and 47.51% respectively). For these individuals complete information on ACEs and weight status was available. Although in several cases parents did not respond to questions concerning size of place of residence (n = 16, 3.18%), parents’ level of education (mothers’ education: n = 7, 1.39% or fathers’ education: n = 23, 4.57%), parental subjective assessment of economic situation of a family (n = 17, 3.38%) or people per room (n = 6, 1.19%), they were not excluded from the sample. Nevertheless, for obvious reasons, all analysis were limited to cases with complete data on all included variables.

The majority of the sample consisted of residents of large cities (79.47%), individuals whose parents declared ‘good’ family economic situation, higher education of mother (65.32%) and father (48.17%) living in a household of ≤ 1 people per room (41.45%). Almost half of the sample had experienced at least 1 type of ACE (47.51%), the death of close person being the most frequent (18.33%). Ten percent of the sample was classified as underweight (10.14%), 15.91% as overweight and 4.57% as obese. The basic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1.
|                          | Full sample | zBMI, mean (SD) | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| **Age, mean (SD)**       | 8.98 (1.30) | 8.95 (1.30)    | 9.11 (1.27) | 8.98 (1.31)|         |
| **Sex, n (%)**           |             |                |             |            |         |
| Boys                     | 264 (52.49) | 0.40 (1.28)    | 22 (8.33)   | 45 (17.05) | 12 (4.55)|
| Girls                    | 239 (47.51) | 0.25 (1.13)    | 29 (12.13)  | 35 (14.64) | 13 (5.44)|
| **Place of residence n (%)** |         |                |             |            |         |
| Villages                 | 40 (8.21)   | 0.03 (1.18)    | 8 (20.00)   | 4 (10.00)  | 1 (2.50) |
| Small and medium size city (10–100 thous. residents) | 60 (12.32) | 0.43 (1.40)    | 4 (6.67)    | 9 (15.00)  | 5 (8.33) |
| Large city (>100 thous. residents) | 387 (79.47) | 0.33 (1.18)    | 38 (9.82)   | 61 (15.76) | 18 (4.65)|
| **Parental subjective assessment of economic situation of a family, n (%)** |         |                |             |            |         |
| Bad                      | 17 (3.50)   | 0.70 (1.50)    | 1 (5.88)    | 3 (17.65)  | 2 (11.76)|
| Average                  | 312 (64.20) | 0.34 (1.23)    | 32 (10.26)  | 53 (16.99) | 16 (5.13)|
| Good                     | 157 (32.30) | 0.23 (1.17)    | 18 (11.46)  | 19 (12.10) | 7 (4.46) |
| **People per room, n (%)** |         |                |             |            |         |
| ≥ 2                      | 100 (20.12) | 0.43 (1.35)    | 11 (11.00)  | 17 (17.00) | 8 (8.00) |
| >1 and <2                | 191 (38.43) | 0.30 (1.25)    | 20 (10.47)  | 34 (17.80) | 11 (5.67)|
| ≤ 1                      | 206 (41.45) | 0.28 (1.11)    | 20 (9.71)   | 26 (12.62) | 6 (2.91) |
| **Education of mother, n (%)** |         |                |             |            |         |
| Primary                  | 4 (0.81)    | 0.85 (1.48)    | 0           | 1 (25.00)  | 1 (25.00)|
| Vocational               | 48 (9.68)   | 0.97 (1.49)    | 2 (4.17)    | 11 (22.92) | 9 (18.75)|
| Secondary                | 120 (24.19) | 0.45 (1.41)    | 14 (11.67)  | 22 (18.22) | 10 (8.33)|
| Higher                   | 324 (65.32) | 0.20 (1.05)    | 33 (10.19)  | 46 (14.20) | 5 (1.54) |
| **Education of father, n (%)** |         |                |             |            |         |
| Primary                  | 15 (3.13)   | 0.70 (1.46)    | 1 (6.67)    | 2 (13.33)  | 2 (13.33)|

Note: ACEs – adverse childhood experiences, zBMI – z scores for BMI, n – number, % - percent, SD – standard deviation
| Type of ACE | Full sample | zBMI, mean (SD) | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Vocational | 92 (19.17)  | 0.77 (1.29)    | 5 (5.43)    | 28 (30.43) | 8 (8.70) |
| Secondary  | 137 (28.54) | 0.44 (1.24)    | 11 (8.03)   | 21 (15.33) | 9 (6.57) |
| Higher     | 236 (48.17) | 0.11 (1.05)    | 29 (12.29)  | 27 (11.44) | 4 (1.69) |

**ACE, n (%)**

| Type          | Full sample | zBMI, mean (SD) | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| 0             | 264 (52.49) | 0.30 (1.06)    | 17 (6.44)   | 42 (15.91) | 5 (1.89) |
| At least 1 type | 239 (47.51) | 0.36 (1.37)    | 34 (14.23)  | 38 (15.90) | 20 (8.37) |

**ACE score, n (%)**

| ACE score | Full sample | zBMI, mean (SD) | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| 1         | 120 (23.86) | 0.45 (1.31)    | 16 (13.33)  | 19 (15.83) | 11 (9.17) |
| 2         | 64 (12.72)  | 0.36 (1.57)    | 12 (18.75)  | 9 (14.06)  | 8 (12.50) |
| 3         | 25 (4.97)   | 0.22 (1.15)    | 3 (12.00)   | 5 (20.00)  | 0        |
| 4         | 19 (3.78)   | 0.31 (1.26)    | 2 (10.53)   | 4 (21.05)  | 0        |
| 5         | 6 (0.80)    | 0.42 (1.46)    | 0           | 1 (15.67)  | 1 (16.67) |
| 6         | 4 (0.80)    | -0.88 (1.43)   | 1 (25.00)   | 0          | 0        |
| 7         | 1 (0.20)    | -0.48          | 0           | 0          | 0        |
| 8         | 0           | -              | -           | -          | -        |

**Type of ACE**

| Type                            | Full sample | zBMI, mean (SD) | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Life/Health Threat              | 43 (8.55)   | 0.11 (1.21)    | 6 (13.95)   | 5 (11.62)  | 2 (4.65) |
| Life/Health Threat witness      | 38 (7.55)   | 0.51 (0.97)    | 1 (2.63)    | 4 (10.53)  | 2 (5.26) |
| Violence victim                 | 45 (8.95)   | 0.11 (1.51)    | 8 (17.78)   | 5 (11.11)  | 4 (8.89) |
| Violence witness                | 35 (6.99)   | 0.30 (1.38)    | 3 (8.57)    | 7 (20.00)  | 2 (5.71) |
| Death of someone close          | 92 (18.33)  | 0.26 (1.30)    | 12 (13.04)  | 13 (14.13) | 5 (5.43) |
| Stressful family problems       | 90 (17.93)  | 0.33 (1.43)    | 14 (15.56)  | 14 (15.56) | 9 (10.00) |
| Long separation from parents    | 69 (13.77)  | 0.27 (1.43)    | 11 (15.94)  | 11 (15.94) | 6 (8.70) |
| Other unspecified               | 24 (4.87)   | 0.34 (1.52)    | 4 (16.67)   | 7 (29.17)  | 1 (4.17) |

*Note: ACEs – adverse childhood experiences, zBMI – z scores for BMI, n – number, % - percent, SD – standard deviation*
3.2 The effects of ACEs, sex and SES on weight status.

Unadjusted logistic regression analysis revealed associations of ACEs (≥ 1 vs 0) with both underweight (OR = 2.93, 95%CI: 1.56–5.52) and obesity (OR = 5.44, 95%CI: 1.99–14.87). Similar results were found for ACE types: violence victim, stressful family problems and long separation from parents. Other unspecified ACEs were related to underweight and overweight, and the death of someone close only with underweight. The analyses adjusted for other variables confirmed the association of ACEs (≥ 1 vs 0), and specifically of ACE types, such as violence victim and stressful family problems, with underweight and obesity. Long separation from parents remained significantly related to underweight, and other unspecified ACEs to overweight. The association of the death of someone close with underweight lost statistical significance in adjusted analysis. When an effects of ACE accumulation were tested, both underweight and obesity were significantly related only with 1 type of adverse experiences or accumulation of 2 ACE types in adjusted but not in unadjusted analysis. Further accumulation of 3 or ≥ 4 ACE types were not associated with weight abnormalities. The results did not indicate that the accumulation/dose of ACEs is related to higher risk of underweight or obesity comparing to a single adverse experience (Table 2).
| Table 2 | Logistic regression models of weight status, by sex, socioeconomic status and ACEs |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | **Anadjusted analyses** | **Analyses adjusted for all other variables** |
|          | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity | Underweight | Overweight | Obesity |
|          | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
| Sex      |             |            |          |             |            |          |             |            |          |             |            |          |
| (Reference: boys) | 1.58 (0.86–2.88) | 0.89 (0.54–1.45) | 1.24 (0.55–2.79) | 1.54 (0.78–3.02) | 1.01 (0.60–1.69) | 1.76 (0.67–4.62) | 1.89 (0.54–1.45) | 1.01 (0.77–2.06) | 0.83 (0.51–1.35) | 1.76 (0.67–4.62) | 1.09 (0.45–2.61) | 1.09 (0.45–2.61) |
| Place of residence | 1.32 (0.86–2.04) | 0.85 (0.54–1.34) | 1.02 (0.51–2.03) | 1.26 (0.77–2.06) | 0.83 (0.51–1.35) | 1.09 (0.45–2.61) | 1.40 (0.86–2.29) | 0.85 (0.54–1.34) | 1.02 (0.51–2.03) | 1.26 (0.77–2.06) | 0.83 (0.51–1.35) | 1.09 (0.45–2.61) |
| Parental subjective assessment of economic situation of a family (Reference: 'good') | 0.88 (0.50–1.54) | 1.40 (0.86–2.29) | 1.46 (0.67–3.19) | 0.66 (0.34–1.30) | 1.33 (0.77–2.32) | 0.57 (0.22–1.50) | 1.02 (0.51–2.03) | 0.66 (0.34–1.30) | 1.33 (0.77–2.32) | 0.57 (0.22–1.50) |
| People per room (Reference: ≤1 person/ room) | 1.14 (0.77–1.69) | 1.29 (0.93–1.78) | 1.78 (1.05–3.01) | 1.05 (0.64–1.70) | 0.95 (0.65–1.38) | 1.29 (0.67–2.48) | 1.29 (0.67–2.48) | 1.05 (0.64–1.70) | 0.95 (0.65–1.38) | 1.29 (0.67–2.48) |
| Education of mother (Reference: 'higher education') | 0.94 (0.57–1.54) | 1.51 (1.07–2.12) | 4.02 (2.36–6.85) | 1.12 (0.53–2.38) | 1.01 (0.63–1.60) | 4.02 (1.87–8.66) | 1.01 (0.63–1.60) | 1.01 (0.63–1.60) | 4.02 (1.87–8.66) |
| Education of father (Reference: 'higher education') | 0.74 (0.47–1.15) | 1.78 (1.31–2.41) | 2.54 (1.48–4.36) | 0.75 (0.42–1.33) | 1.60 (1.09–2.36) | 1.10 (0.53–2.28) | 1.78 (1.31–2.41) | 0.75 (0.42–1.33) | 1.60 (1.09–2.36) | 1.10 (0.53–2.28) |
| At least 1 type of ACE (Reference: 0) | 2.93 (1.56–5.52) | 1.23 (0.75–2.01) | 5.44 (1.99–14.87) | 3.48 (1.70–7.10) | 1.14 (0.66–1.99) | 5.46 (1.80–16.56) | 1.23 (0.75–2.01) | 3.48 (1.70–7.10) | 1.14 (0.66–1.99) | 5.46 (1.80–16.56) |
| ACE score (Reference: 0) |             |            |          |             |            |          |             |            |          |             |            |          |
| 1        | 1.62 (0.82–3.24) | 1.03 (0.56–1.88) | 3.12 (0.84–11.57) | 3.27 (1.44–7.41) | 1.24 (0.63–2.43) | 6.61 (2.05–21.37) | 1.03 (0.56–1.88) | 3.27 (1.44–7.41) | 1.24 (0.63–2.43) | 6.61 (2.05–21.37) |
| 2        | 1.14 (0.77–1.70) | 1.00 (0.71–1.39) | 1.81 (0.83–3.94) | 2.24 (1.39–3.63) | 0.97 (0.60–1.57) | 2.60 (1.21–5.57) | 1.00 (0.71–1.39) | 2.24 (1.39–3.63) | 0.97 (0.60–1.57) | 2.60 (1.21–5.57) |
| 3        | 1.08 (0.73–1.59) | 1.02 (0.71–1.47) | - | 1.38 (0.84–2.26) | 1.10 (0.74–1.62) | - | 1.02 (0.71–1.47) | 1.38 (0.84–2.26) | 1.10 (0.74–1.62) | - |
| 4+       | 0.94 (0.54–1.63) | 1.05 (0.62–1.79) | 1.33 (0.62–2.83) | 1.00 (0.61–1.63) | 1.05 (0.79–1.39) | 1.06 (0.58–1.92) | 1.05 (0.62–1.79) | 1.33 (0.62–2.83) | 1.00 (0.61–1.63) | 1.05 (0.79–1.39) | 1.06 (0.58–1.92) |

**Type of ACE**  
(Reference: 0 – no ACE reported)

**Note:** * - incidence of underweight, overweight, obesity were tested against the reference - normal weight, ACEs – adverse childhood experiences, OR - odds’ ratio, CI – confidence interval, **bold** – the relation is statistically significant at the level < 0.05
### Anadjusted analyses* vs Analyses adjusted for all other variables*

| Variable                          | Anadjusted analyses* | Analyses adjusted for all other variables* |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Life/Health Threat               | 2.67 (0.96–7.45)    | 2.67 (0.49–14.47)                        |
|                                  | 0.79 (0.29–2.17)    | 2.62 (0.81–8.55)                        |
|                                  | 0.91 (0.31–2.62)    | 1.51 (0.15–15.60)                       |
| Life/Health Threat witness       | 0.40 (0.05–3.19)    | 2.58 (0.48–13.99)                        |
|                                  | 0.61 (0.20–1.84)    | 1.40 (0.15–13.55)                       |
|                                  | 0.52 (0.16–1.70)    | 1.00 (0.09–10.52)                       |
| Violence victim                  | 3.57 (1.39–9.15)    | 5.71 (1.44–22.68)                       |
|                                  | 0.85 (0.31–2.34)    | 4.92 (1.63–14.82)                       |
|                                  | 0.98 (0.34–2.87)    | 6.31 (1.20–33.21)                       |
| Violence witness                 | 1.63 (0.44–6.06)    | 3.48 (0.63–19.10)                       |
|                                  | 1.45 (0.58–3.61)    | 0.71 (0.07–6.76)                        |
|                                  | 1.63 (0.59–4.50)    | 3.92 (0.59–26.23)                       |
| Death of someone close           | 2.46 (1.10–5.50)    | 3.23 (0.90–11.56)                       |
|                                  | 1.00 (0.50–1.98)    | 2.52 (0.98–6.47)                        |
|                                  | 0.99 (0.46–2.16)    | 3.07 (0.69–13.57)                       |
| Stressful family problems        | 6.79 (2.17–21.21)   | 6.79 (2.17–21.21)                       |
|                                  | 1.26 (0.64–2.48)    | 5.10 (1.86–14.00)                       |
|                                  | 1.15 (0.52–2.58)    | 6.00 (1.46–24.65)                       |
| Long separation from parents     | 3.35 (1.45–7.78)    | 5.85 (1.70–20.19)                       |
|                                  | 1.28 (0.60–2.70)    | 3.91 (1.48–10.32)                       |
|                                  | 1.06 (0.44–2.53)    | 2.96 (0.63–13.83)                       |
| Other unspecified                | 4.17 (1.20–14.50)   | 3.33 (0.36–31.15)                       |
|                                  | 2.78 (1.03–7.51)    | 4.93 (0.96–25.40)                       |
|                                  | 3.62 (1.11–11.79)   | 2.08 (0.15–28.89)                       |

**Note:** * - incidence of underweight, overweight, obesity were tested against the reference - normal weight, ACEs – adverse childhood experiences, OR - odds' ratio, CI – confidence interval, **bold** – the relation is statistically significant at the level < 0.05

Low education of mother and father as well as > 1 people per room were associated with excess weight in unadjusted analyses. The relation remained significant for education of mother (OR = 4.02, 95%CI: 1.87–8.66 for obesity) and father (OR = 1.60, 95%CI: 1.09–2.36 for overweight) but not for people per room in adjusted analyses. Abnormal weight was not related to child’s sex, place of residence or parental subjective assessment of SES.

### 3.3 The effects of ACEs, sex and SES interactions on z scores BMI

The analysis revealed effects of interaction between parental subjective assessment of the economic situation of the family, education of parents and ACE types on z scores BMI (Table 3). Experience of violence was related to decreased z scores BMI (-0.22 vs 0.63, Cohen's $d = 0.65$) in children whose fathers' education was 'primary/ vocational' (Fig. 1). Separation from parents was related to an increase of z scores BMI in children whose parents assessed the economic situation of the family as ‘bad’ (2.15 vs 0.18, Cohen's $d = 1.39$) and whose mothers’ education was primary or vocational (2.53 vs. 0.66, Cohen's $d = 1.95$) in comparison to children with no ACEs (Figs. 2 and 3). There were no significant interaction effects of ACEs and sex, places of residence and people per room on z scores BMI.
Table 3

ACEs, socioeconomic factors, and the z scores BMI. The main effects and interactions.

| Main effects of ACEs | Interaction effects |
|----------------------|---------------------|
|                      | Sex | Place of residence | Parental subjective assessment of economic situation of a family | People per room | Education of mother | Education of father |
|                      | F   | p    | F   | p    | F   | p    | F   | p    | F   | p |
| At least 1 type of ACE | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.26 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 1.71 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| Violence victim*     | 1.08 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 2.46 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 3.71 | 0.03 |
| Stressful family problems* | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 1.01 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.72 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 0.33 | 0.87 | 0.42 |
| Long separation from parents* | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.002 | 0.97 | 1.85 | 0.16 | 3.52 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 9.24 | < 0.001 |
| Other unspecified*   | 0.03 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 2.68 | 0.07 | 1.91 | 0.15 | 0.89 | 0.41 | 2.69 | 0.07 | 1.58 | 0.21 |

Note: * − 0 (no ACE reported) vs 1 (specific ACE type occurrence in the life history), ACEs – adverse childhood

A negative trend was observed in the relationship between the number of ACEs and z scores BMI, nevertheless the correlation was not significant ($r = -0.02$, $p = 0.71$) and the ANOVA showed that the differences in z scores between the categories of ACE accumulation were not significant (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The research revealed a high prevalence of adverse childhood experiences among the examined children and youths. Almost half of the sample had experienced at least one type of ACE. This result is consistent with other cross-sectional studies that showed a high life time frequency of traumatic stimuli in youth [6]. Taking into consideration the wide scale of the problem, there is a need for a reliable assessment of the consequences of ACEs for physical and mental health, especially in childhood and adolescence, which are periods of increased sensitivity to stress [18].

The results of the study justify the statement that the one of the earliest comorbid conditions of an ACE is an abnormal weight. It has been suggested previously that the link between ACEs and obesity may not be expressed in children because of their dependency on caregivers, also in the aspect of food consumption [19]. Contrary to this, our study confirmed a significant association between ACEs and obesity in Polish children and adolescents. This finding of the ACE-obesity link examination is consistent with relevant studies on adults [2–5] and several studies on children and adolescents [6, 12, 20–21]. However, unlike most of the previous research [1], our research does not support a stepwise positive association between accumulation of ACEs and obesity rates. The relation was became weaker from 1 to 2 ACEs, and for > 2 ACEs it lost statistical significance. The analysis of the ACE-underweight link gave the same outcomes as in the case of obesity. What is more, although the z scores BMI decreased with ACE accumulation, the trend was not statistically significant. Whereas the study of Soares et al. [10] produced similar outcomes when the BMI and waist circumference were analysed in Brazilian adolescents, the ACE score was positively associated with obesity indices in the UK sample. This result suggests that social factors may modulate the link between adverse childhood experiences and weight abnormalities.
As we noted in a previous paragraph, our study found ACEs related to obesity, but also to underweight. The ACEs-underweight link has been also revealed in several other studies [9, 11–13]. The results of these studies suggested also that the association of ACEs with abnormal weight is modulated by sex, socioeconomic status and ACE type. Our analysis showed that the death of someone close was associated only with underweight in unadjusted analysis, but the analysis adjusted for sex and socioeconomic indices gave insignificant results. The association of violence (victim), stressful family problems, long separation from parents and other, unspecified ACEs, were related to both underweight and increased weight in unadjusted analyses, but when analyses were controlled for other factors, long separation from parents remained associated only with underweight and other, unspecified ACEs, with overweight. In these cases, other factors may play a role in modulating the ACEs-weight link. Violence and stressful family problems were found to be related to underweight and obesity when other factors were included in the analysis. Thus, sex and socioeconomic indices have been tested as possible moderators of ACEs - z scores BMI relation. There were no effects of interaction of 0 vs ≥ 1 ACEs, stressful family problems, other unspecified ACEs with other factors. Significant effects were found for the interaction between long separation from parents and parental subjective assessment of SES and maternal education, with the highest z scores BMI in children of parents who declared the worst economic situation of family or whose mothers had finished education with a primary or vocational degree. This is in line with previous research. Similarities to our results were found in the work of Gardener et al. [21], which revealed an effect of interaction between income and adverse experiences on z scores BMI in youth. Children from low-income households are likely to experience a disproportionate burden of psychosocial stressors, such as housing or food insecurity. These adverse experiences related to economic situation were previously found to be associated with obesity [22]. Maternal low education has been linked in previous research to material and food insecurity, and also other predictors of childhood obesity, such as smoking during pregnancy, foetal growth restriction and limited breast feeding [23].

Although we do not have any information on the causes of separation, we can speculate that it may be related to single parenthood (as an effect of divorce) or time-limited migration, or permanent residence of at least one parent in other EU countries. Because of insufficient earnings, economic migrations of parents have been common in Polish families during recent years [24–26]. In such situations the parent or caregiver who remains has to reconcile their own work with raising the child or children. This may result in low possibility of engagement of family members in healthy habits related to food [27–28] and physical activity [29]. Suglia et al. [22] suggested that the relation between childhood stress and obesity may be explained by a limitation of parental availability for caretaking needs of a child. In such cases food may be used in excess as a method of pacifying emotional needs of a child.

A gradual increase of z scores BMI were found between higher and primary/vocational paternal education in children who were not violence victims. This is in line with previous data showing the high education of parents as a factor related to health awareness and thus protection against obesity [30], and also underweight [31]. However, a combination of low paternal education and experience of violence was related to the lowest z scores BMI. This may suggest some specific characteristics of violence as one of the ACE types and/or partially different role of paternal and maternal education. Previous study on relationship between violence and weight status has revealed contrasting findings. Whittaker et al. [32] found psychological aggression and physical punishment unrelated to obesity in preschool children, whereas neglect was associated with obesity independently of parental education in the same sample. The results of Sokol et al. [33] suggested maltreatment in adolescence, but not in childhood, was related to excess BMI. In contrast to these studies, our results showed a significant association of experiencing violence with both underweight and obesity. However the z scores BMI analysis indicated that paternal education may have a decisive influence on the type of weight abnormalities in the sample of children who experienced violence. Although both maternal and paternal education effects modulate the ACEs-weight relation, they may be related with different agents. Mothers often have a decisive effect on dietary and generally health-related habits of a family [34]. Thus, low maternal education, concomitant with a lower level of health awareness, may result in less healthy eating habits of a family and in consequence, obesity [35]. Because of the existing gender wage gap in Poland [36], fathers usually make a greater contribution to the household budget. Therefore, low parental education may affect the socio-economic status of a family to a greater extent than education of the mother. Low education of the father and low SES of a family was found previously to be related to an increased risk of different kinds of maltreatment, including violence [37–38]. What is more, low SES and violence seem to be aggregated with food insecurity [39], which is an important risk factor not only of obesity, but also underweight [40]. This is in
line with our previous study on the effects of parental alcohol addiction, which is important risk factor of child abuse and neglect [41]. The research revealed that children from fragile families characterised by parental alcohol addiction and low economic status were at high risk of underweight, and not of obesity [13]. These results suggest that under high stress conditions, and in families with very low socio-economic status, the strategy of reducing discomfort through food may be unavailable. Therefore, underweight may rather be the expected outcome in such situations.

Other mechanisms may explain why in some children ACEs are related to underweight, and in others to obesity. Polymorphisms of genes of obesity risk may play a role. As shown previously, epigenetic changes have been found in several genes participating in regulation of glucose and fat metabolism [42]. Thus, underweight and obesity may be the effects of genetically determined metabolic changes triggered by ACEs. Neurobiological regulation of emotions may also play an important role. Obesity was linked previously to low self-regulation, low inhibitory control skills and dysregulation in the reward pathways [22].

Conclusions

The study revealed an association of adverse childhood experiences with obesity and underweight in children. The effect of ACEs on weight abnormalities was significant and distinct from sex and family characteristics. Nevertheless, the results indicated the interactions between type of ACE and economic situation of a family and education of parents, as possible moderators of ACE-BMI association. The study implicates the need for both obesity and underweight prevention in individuals with adverse experiences as early as in childhood.

Strength And Limits

Unlike most other studies, this research tested the relation of ACEs to both obesity and underweight in children. The associations were checked for several factors in statistical analysis. What is more, the role of ACE type, sex and socioeconomic status indices as modulators of the link between ACEs and BMI was included in the analysis.

Despite the strengths of the research, there are also limitations that should be taken into consideration. The study is of a cross-sectional nature with a parental retrospective assessment of adverse childhood experiences and we did not collect any data on the height and weight of the children prior to the study. Thus, we can only conclude on the association, not causal relationship of ACEs and weight status. We cannot exclude that revealed link between ACE and body size is partially related to greater risk of specific types of adverse experiences, e.g. peer violence, among underweight and obese children. Nevertheless, it is highly unlikely for most types of the ACE tested to be a consequence of child's characteristics.

Parental retrospective assessment of ACEs in children may lead to underestimation, nevertheless, we decided not to question the children on ACEs directly, as potentially harmful. We also did not assess the timing and duration of exposure to ACEs. These characteristics of stress may partially explain the diversified results on ACEs-weight relation. The study was conducted on a relatively large sample, nevertheless, the low number of cases in some groups, may have resulted in insufficient statistical power and increased risk of type II error. The study was aimed to explore the interactions between ACEs, possible modulators and body size. We did not control the results of our analyses for multiple testing, therefore type I errors cannot be excluded, either. The results obtained need to be replicated in future research.
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Figures
Figure 1

The effects of interaction between an education of father and the experience of being victim of violence on the z scores BMI.
Figure 2

The effects of interaction between parental subjective assessment of socioeconomic status of the family and the long separation from parents on the z scores BMI.
Figure 3

The effects of interaction between an education of mother and the long separation from parents on the z scores BMI.
Figure 4

The z scores BMI by ACE score. The trend line and ANOVA results.
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