Pleistocene relative sea levels in the Chesapeake Bay region and their implications for the next century

Benjamin D. Dejong
United States Geological Survey

Paul R. Bierman
University of Vermont

Wayne L. Newell
United States Geological Survey

Tammy M. Rittenour
Utah State University

Shannon A. Mahan
United States Geological Survey

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/casfac

Part of the Climate Commons, Community Health Commons, Human Ecology Commons, Nature and Society Relations Commons, Place and Environment Commons, and the Sustainability Commons

Recommended Citation
DeJong BD, Bierman PR, Newell WL, Rittenour TM, Mahan SA, Balco G, Rood DH. Pleistocene relative sea levels in the Chesapeake Bay region and their implications for the next century. GSA Today. 2015 Aug;25(8):4-10.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UVM. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Arts and Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UVM. For more information, please contact donna.omalley@uvm.edu.
Authors
Benjamin D. Dejong, Paul R. Bierman, Wayne L. Newell, Tammy M. Rittenour, Shannon A. Mahan, Greg Balco, and Dylan H. Rood

This article is available at ScholarWorks @ UVM: https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/casfac/8
Pleistocene relative sea levels in the Chesapeake Bay region and their implications for the next century

Benjamin D. DeJong, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia 20192, USA, and Rubenstein School of the Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405, USA; Paul R. Bierman, Geology Dept. and Rubenstein School of the Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405, USA; Wayne L. Newell, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia 20192, USA; Tammy M. Rittenour, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322, USA; Shannon A. Mahan, U.S. Geological Survey, Lakewood, Colorado 80225, USA; Greg Balco, Berkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley, California 94709, USA; Dylan H. Rood, Dept. of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK, and Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, East Kilbride G75 0QF, UK.

ABSTRACT

Today, relative sea-level rise (3.4 mm/yr) is faster in the Chesapeake Bay region than any other location on the Atlantic coast of North America, and twice the global average eustatic rate (1.7 mm/yr). Dated interglacial deposits suggest that relative sea levels in the Chesapeake Bay region deviate from global trends over a range of timescales. Glacio-isostatic adjustment of the land surface from loading and unloading of continental ice is likely responsible for these deviations, but our understanding of the scale and timeframe over which isostatic response operates in this region remains incomplete because dated sea-level proxies are mostly limited to the Holocene and to deposits 80 ka or older.

To better understand glacio-isostatic control over past and present relative sea level, we applied a suite of dating methods to the stratigraphy of the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge, one of the most rapidly subsiding and lowest-elevation surfaces bordering Chesapeake Bay. Data indicate that the region was submerged at least for portions of marine isotope stage (MIS) 3 (ca. 60–30 ka), although multiple proxies suggest that global sea level was 40–80 m lower than present. Today MIS 3 deposits are above sea level because they were raised by the Last Glacial Maximum forebulge, but decay of that same forebulge is causing ongoing subsidence. These results suggest that glacio-isostasy controlled relative sea level in the mid-Atlantic region for tens of thousands of years following retreat of the Laurentide Ice Sheet and continues to influence relative sea level in the region. Thus, isostatically driven subsidence of the Chesapeake Bay region will continue for millennia, exacerbating the effects of global sea-level rise and impacting the region’s large population centers and valuable coastal natural resources.

INTRODUCTION

The sea level for any location at a given point in time represents a sum of factors, including the volume of ocean water, steric (thermal) effects, tectonic activity, and crustal deformation in response to glacio-hydro-isostatic adjustment (GIA) from loading and unloading of continental ice and water masses (Church et al., 2010). GIA can be a dominant driver of relative sea level (RSL) near ice margins, where the weight of ice displaces the mantle beneath glaciated regions, uplifting a “forebulge” in the peripheral, non-glaciated region (Peltier, 1986). With ice retreat, the forebulge progressively subsides at rates dependent on mantle rheology and lithosphere thickness (Peltier, 1996).

GIA played a role in RSL near the Chesapeake Bay region of the United States (Fig. 1) for many millennia after the ice melted away (Peltier, 2009). GIA effects were first recognized in the region when shoreline deposits ~3–5 m above present sea level, long assumed to be ca. 125 ka (marine isotope stage [MIS] 5e; MIS designations from Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), were found to have ca. 80 ka ages (MIS 5a; Cronin, 1981). During this time, global average sea level was as much as 20 m below its present level (Fig. 2). While flexural isostatic uplift and subsidence have been documented in the Chesapeake Bay region (i.e., Pazzaglia and Gardner, 1993), the rates (~0.006 mm/yr) associated with these processes are insufficient to account for the age-elevation relationships of MIS 5a shorelines.

The presence of MIS 5a shorelines 3–5 m above present sea level indicates that the land surface within the Chesapeake Bay region was significantly lower during the formation of these shorelines due to regional land subsidence from the collapse of the MIS 6 forebulge, and that the Chesapeake Bay region experienced renewed forebulge uplift during the MIS 2 to raise these shorelines above present sea level (Potter and Lambeck, 2003; Wehmiller et al., 2004). The Holocene stratigraphic record in the Chesapeake Bay region helps illuminate forebulge dynamics; differential subsidence from the collapse of the MIS 2 forebulge caused variable timing and rates of inundation along the eastern seaboard during the Holocene transgression (Peltier, 1996). These differential rates have been exploited to reconstruct the form of the forebulge (Engelhart et al., 2009) and to constrain GIA models (Fig. 1) (Davis and Mitrovica, 1996; Peltier, 1996).

Recent studies employing optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating suggest that the lowest-elevation, emerged estuarine deposits within the mid-Atlantic were deposited during MIS 3, significantly extending the inferred duration and magnitude of land subsidence due to collapse of the MIS 6 forebulge. Shoreline...
landforms above sea level (<8 m above mean sea level [asl]) near central Chesapeake Bay (PL, Fig. 1), at the mouth of Chesapeake Bay (S, Fig. 1), and on the North Carolina coast (MP, Fig. 1) indicate estuarine deposition throughout MIS 3 (67–32 ka). Eustatic sea level during this time was highly variable but always ~40–80 m lower than present (Fig. 2) (Siddall et al., 2008). These new data challenge the long-held implication that locations within the Chesapeake Bay region, and specifically the Delmarva Peninsula, did not experience high-stand deposition after MIS 5 (e.g., Ramsey, 2010). The presence of near-shore MIS 3 deposits near present sea level suggests an alternative sea-level history for the region, one that implies forebulge uplift of at least 40 m since the time of deposition. This uplift has been attributed to growth of the last glacial maximum (LGM; MIS 2) forebulge (Pavich et al., 2006; Mallinson et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2010; Parham et al., 2013) that remains uplifted out of isostatic equilibrium (Potter and Lambeck, 2003).

This paper uses multiple methods to date deposits within the zone of greatest subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay region (Fig. 1) and place today’s rapid relative sea-level rise into the context of a several-million-year geologic framework. We used a light detection and ranging (LiDAR) digital elevation model (DEM) to analyze low-relief landforms and conducted extensive drilling to constrain the Pleistocene stratigraphic framework. Our data show that regional subsidence related to collapse of the MIS 6 glacioisostatic forebulge impacted the mid-Atlantic region well into MIS 3, tens of thousands of years after MIS 5 deglaciation. Long-lasting subsidence associated with collapse of the MIS 6 forebulge suggests that present-day subsidence related to the collapse of the MIS 2 forebulge will continue for the foreseeable future. We conclude that ongoing subsidence adds to the impacts of sea-level rise driven by warming climate and melting ice sheets and should be considered in coastal sea-level risk assessments.

STUDY SITE AND METHODS

To reconstruct the sea-level history in Chesapeake Bay, we focused on the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge (~110 km²; red-bordered rectangle on Fig. 1), which experienced major inundation and transformation of wetlands to open water in the twentieth century (Fig. 3). Sediment from 70 boreholes was described, analyzed, and sampled. The DEM (Fig. 4) was used to characterize the geomorphology. We constrained the oldest erosional event preserved directly above the underlying Miocene strata using cosmogenic nuclide isochron burial dating (Balco and Rovey, 2008). We dated 28 samples using optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating. The OSL ages allow us to develop a
RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS

The Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge is underlain by Pleistocene deposits that vary in thickness from ~3–55 m (Fig. 5). Glacial-interglacial climate fluctuations induced major cycles of localized river incision and aggradation in the Chesapeake Bay region (Colman et al., 1990), and the subsurface Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge stratigraphy includes cut-fill deposits associated with at least three paleochannel systems (Fig. 5). Isochron ages at the base of the Pleistocene section are 1.72 ± 0.08 Ma for a Susquehanna River paleochannel and 2.06 ± 0.14 Ma for a local paleochannel system (2σ; Fig. 5 and GSA Supplemental Data Table S1 [see footnote 1]). The older age indicates that major cutting and filling commenced in the study area shortly after the onset of major Northern Hemisphere continental glaciation (2.4 Ma; Balco and Rovey, 2010). These ages are significantly older than previous age estimates for paleochannels of the Chesapeake Bay (ca. 18–450 ka; Colman et al., 1990). The complex Pleistocene stratigraphic record and age range of material overlying these dated deposits suggest that fluvi-estuarine processes dominated landscape evolution over glacial-interglacial timescales in the field area (Fig. 5).

LiDAR allows us to identify a variety of landforms on the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge surface that form a continuum with the shallow stratigraphy (<12 m depth; Figs. 4 and 5). A regressive, wave-cut scarp with multiple bifurcations (beach ridges, Fig. 4B) separates upland areas to the north and east from the lower terrain in the south and west that is occupied by an expansive tidal marsh. These shoreline features consist of an ~3 m fining upward sequence of burrowed, silty fine sand to massive, medium sand (GSA Supplemental Data Fig. S5 [see footnote 1]) with an age range of 53–40 ka (n = 6; see Fig. 5 and GSA Supplemental Data Table S2). Below the scarp, large subaqueous bars (Fig. 4B) that roughly parallel the paleoshoreline dominate the geomorphology. The bars consist of facies ranging from horizontally bedded, alternating sand and silt to moderately sorted, fine-to-medium sand interpreted as wave-sorted tidal channel deposits and wave-built bars within tidal tributaries or bays. OSL ages for surficial landforms below the scarp range from 69 to 35 ka (n = 15). The morphology, lithology, and ages of these features indicate that estuarine conditions prevailed, at least intermittently, during most of MIS 3, with active bar migration continuing during regression. Locally, unconformities separate multiple, stacked MIS 3 deposits, and in some locations MIS 3 deposits cut older estuarine units that were dated to both MIS 5a and MIS 5e (Fig. 5; GSA Supplemental Data Figs. S4 and S5 [see footnote 1]).

The MIS 3 estuarine surface is truncated by a north-south-trending, meandering channel with scroll bars as well as elliptical depressions interpreted as ephemeral basins (Fig. 4B). The rims of basins are composed of laminated, silty, fine-to-medium sand with ages 30–26 ka (n = 3); the meandering channel must be younger than the ca. 35 ka sand bars it cuts. The basins and channel are likely relict from periglacial processes that dominated this landscape beginning ca. 30 ka and continued through the LGM (Denny et al., 1979; Newell and Clark, 2008; French et al., 2009; Markewich et al., 2009; Newell and De Jong, 2011; Gao, 2014). Sediments from the Holocene transgression (yellow, Fig. 5) overlap MIS 3 estuarine deposits within incised valleys of the Blackwater River and its tributaries. They consist of a lower silt (~3–4 m) with locally abundant organic material that transitions to an upper, dense, organic peat (~3–4 m). A radiocarbon (14C) age from woody material near the base of the silt (~8.5 m) suggests

---

1 GSA supplemental data item 2015211, data tables and methodology, is online at www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2015.htm. You can also request a copy from GSA Today, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA; gsatoday@geosociety.org.
initial Holocene transgression into the Blackwater River valley by 5310–5570 cal yr B.P. Woody material within the silt, just below the peat boundary, is 690–910 cal yr B.P., setting a maximum age for marsh accretion. Radiocarbon samples collected above this boundary and within the peat have modern ages (GSA Supplemental Data Table S3 [see footnote 1]).

DISCUSSION

Fluctuating sea levels, resulting from changes in eustatic sea level, and crustal deformation (uplift and subsidence) related to GIA, define the Pleistocene history of the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge and the greater Chesapeake Bay region. The Pleistocene record and cosmogenic ages suggest that the onset of Northern Hemisphere glaciation at the Plio-Pleistocene boundary initiated cycles of incision and deposition. The paleo–Susquehanna River and its tributaries responded to repeated ~50–100-m sea-level fluctuations (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) with deep incision of river valleys during glacial lowstands and fluvio-estuarine deposition during transgressions. Estuarine conditions prevailed during portions of MIS 3, when global proxies indicate that eustatic sea level was ~40–80 m below present, suggesting prolonged relaxation of a MIS 6 forebulge during MIS 3.
Temperatures and sea levels plunged prior to ca. 30 ka, from their already low MIS 3 levels (Lambeck et al., 2014) (Fig. 2). As the LIS grew, so did the forebulge that uplifted the Chesapeake Bay region through the LGM, likely contributing to rapid incision documented along the Susquehanna and Potomac Rivers (Reusser et al., 2004) as the Chesapeake Bay region was transformed into a periglacial landscape. During the Holocene, the forebulge progressively subsided, as indicated by differential timing of Holocene inundation and variable rates of sea-level rise along the U.S. Atlantic Coast (Engelhart et al., 2009). The Blackwater River valley was inundated by ca. 5 ka, initiating deposition of bay bottom silt. Widespread marshes were established sometime after the last millennium and accreted, keeping pace with sea-level rise. RSL rise accelerated along the U.S. Atlantic coast during the twentieth century (Engelhart et al., 2009), resulting in inundation, erosion, and ponding in the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge as sea-level rise outpaced marsh accretionary processes (Fig. 3) (Stevenson et al., 2002).

The presence of MIS 3 estuarine deposits near today’s sea level confirms the effects of GIA over long timescales for the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge and supports similar interpretations within the greater Chesapeake Bay region. The elevations of MIS 3 estuarine deposits generally decrease from the Central Delmarva Peninsula southward to North Carolina (Scott et al., 2010); dated, emerged MIS 3 estuarine deposits are not found south of North Carolina. While the maximum elevations of MIS 3 deposits vary (GSA Supplemental Data Fig. S8 [see footnote 1]), decreasing elevations to the south are consistent with the shape of the forebulge based on subsidence rates (Engelhart et al., 2009). High-precision GPS data, though limited to a short time series, also indicate the highest rates of subsidence on the Atlantic coast are centered on the Chesapeake Bay region (Sella et al., 2007; Snay et al., 2007).

Our data support the hypothesis that subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay region is caused by the continued collapse of the MIS 2 forebulge (Potter and Lambeck, 2003). While subsidence rates vary within the Chesapeake Bay region (Fig. 1) (Engelhart et al., 2009), potentially due to local groundwater withdrawal for commercial use (Engleston and Pope, 2013), the central Delmarva Peninsula has the highest rates of subsidence in the mid-Atlantic region (~1.3–1.7 mm/yr; Engelhart et al., 2009). Parsing GIA-driven subsidence from other RSL drivers is uncertain (e.g., Cronin, 2012), but the agreement of twentieth-century subsidence values calculated from tide gauge records where effects of seasonal and decadal variability are removed (~1.6 mm/yr; Boon et al., 2010) and from dated Holocene deposits (~1.3 mm/yr; Engelhart et al., 2009) from the same location near our study area implies consistency of rates over millennial timescales. Subsidence is thus primarily driven by GIA in the Chesapeake Bay region, which makes RSL rise in the Chesapeake Bay–Washington D.C. area twice the twentieth-century global average rate of sea-level rise (1.7 mm/yr; IPCC, 2013). If timescales of MIS 6 forebulge subsidence are used for comparison, subsidence from the LGM forebulge collapse will continue for many more millennia.

Ongoing GIA-driven subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay region challenges a region already threatened by sea-level rise. At the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge, we use rate consistency to predict ~0.16 m of subsidence for the region in the twenty-first century (using twentieth-century values from Boon and others [2010] that presumably include the effects of groundwater withdrawal). The likely range of average global sea-level rise for the twenty-first century is 0.33–0.82 m, based on a non-aggressive climate mitigation policy (IPCC, 2013). Superimposing this sea-level rise estimate over 0.16 m of subsidence yields a total predicted RSL rise of 0.49–0.98 m for the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge by AD 2100.

These are minimum estimates; several lines of evidence suggest that sea levels will rise more quickly in the Chesapeake Bay region. Recent tide gauge analyses indicate the acceleration of sea-level rise in the North Atlantic in recent decades, possibly due to dynamic ocean circulation processes (Yin et al., 2010; Boon, 2012; Ezer and Corlett, 2012; Sallenger et al., 2012). If this acceleration continues, it could induce an additional rise of 15 cm for the Chesapeake Bay and Washington D.C. areas by AD 2100 (Yin et
al., 2010). Recent evidence also confirms the instability of glaciers in West Antarctica, which has the potential to raise global sea levels significantly, particularly beyond AD 2100 (Joughin et al., 2014; Rignot et al., 2014). As global sea levels rise and the Chesapeake Bay region subsides, storm surges are projected to increase both in frequency (IPCC, 2013) and magnitude (Tebaldi et al., 2012). Superimposing Hurricane Isabel water levels on the range of RSLs we predict for the Chesapeake Bay region would cause a storm tide of ~3.8–4.6 m in Washington D.C. and ~2.8–3.5 m for Chesapeake Bay (NOAA, 2003). Given the location of the Chesapeake Bay region along the path of storms tracking up the Atlantic coast (Fig. 1), increasing RSL rise will further exacerbate already high costs of storm damage, such as the US$65 billion price-tag associated with Hurricane Sandy (NOAA, 2013).

Even the most conservative estimate of projected RSL rise poses significant threats to the Chesapeake Bay region. Bridges, military facilities, national monuments, and portions of the rapid transit system would be flooded in Washington D.C., and ~70,000 residents would be impacted by a 0.4 m rise in sea level (Ayyub et al., 2012). Island communities in Chesapeake Bay are particularly vulnerable to RSL rise. The last two inhabited islands in Chesapeake Bay are ~1 m above sea level; they occupy the same geomorphic surface as the western portion of our field area and will experience similar rates of subsidence. In the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge, a LiDAR-based inundation study using a conservative model for sea-level rise shows that the majority of tidal marsh will be inundated by AD 2050 (Larsen et al., 2004).

The elevated risk of flooding in the Chesapeake Bay region is already triggering a societal response. At the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge, managers are designing corridors for the landward movement of habitat through easements and land acquisition to ensure the persistence of tidal marsh beyond AD 2100. Similar options are increasingly limited on other coastlines, where continued development and site modification for housing severely limit the potential for inland migration of habitat, and wetland loss significantly reduces natural buffers to storms in these regions (Titus et al., 2009). Island communities have limited options; some Chesapeake Bay islands have been abandoned due to sea-level rise in the past century (e.g., Gibbons and Nicholls, 2006).

For Washington D.C. and other coastal cities, risk assessment and adaptation planning based on the full range of possible RSL rise scenarios is critical. The analysis by Ayyub et al. (2012) indicates significant losses for Washington D.C. with a rise of 0.4 m, well below the minimum predicted rise of sea level for AD 2100 of 0.49–0.98 m. This analysis under-predicts the most likely RSL rise over the next century, in part because it does not explicitly consider that GIA will drive increased RSL independent of climate change. We conclude that risk assessments and adaptation planning for sea-level rise should consider the full range of sea-level estimates (e.g., Miller et al., 2013) and take local subsidence values into consideration, particularly for high-density population centers like Washington D.C.
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