The effect of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on pulmonary surfactant function and ultrastructure
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Abstract

Background: Pulmonary surfactant reduces surface tension and is present at the air-liquid interface in the alveoli where inhaled nanoparticles preferentially deposit. We investigated the effect of titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanosized particles (NSP) and microsized particles (MSP) on biophysical surfactant function after direct particle contact and after surface area cycling in vitro. In addition, TiO2 effects on surfactant ultrastructure were visualized.

Methods: A natural porcine surfactant preparation was incubated with increasing concentrations (50-500 μg/ml) of TiO2 NSP or MSP, respectively. Biophysical surfactant function was measured in a pulsating bubble surfactometer before and after surface area cycling. Furthermore, surfactant ultrastructure was evaluated with a transmission electron microscope.

Results: TiO2 NSP, but not MSP, induced a surfactant dysfunction. For TiO2 NSP, adsorption surface tension (γ_ads) increased in a dose-dependent manner from 28.2 ± 2.3 mN/m to 33.2 ± 2.3 mN/m (p < 0.01), and surface tension at minimum bubble size (γ_min) slightly increased from 4.8 ± 0.5 mN/m up to 8.4 ± 1.3 mN/m (p < 0.01) at high TiO2 NSP concentrations. Presence of NSP during surface area cycling caused large and significant increases in both γ_ads (63.6 ± 0.4 mN/m) and γ_min (21.1 ± 0.4 mN/m). Interestingly, TiO2 NSP induced aberrations in the surfactant ultrastructure. Lamellar body like structures were deformed and decreased in size. In addition, unilamellar vesicles were formed. Particle aggregates were found between single lamellae.

Conclusion: TiO2 nanosized particles can alter the structure and function of pulmonary surfactant. Particle size and surface area respectively play a critical role for the biophysical surfactant response in the lung.
Background
High amounts of ambient particulate matter (PM) exist in our atmosphere, and it is known that a high proportion of these particles are nanosized particles (NSP) with a diameter of ≤ 100 nm. NSP can be found in the air as a result of combustion processes such as automobile engines and fires. In addition, the rapidly developing field of nanotechnology is becoming a potential source for human exposure to NSP. Titanium dioxide (TiO\textsubscript{2}) NSP e.g. are widely produced for industrial processes since several years [11]. Importantly, PM exposure is linked with the occurrence of cardio-respiratory disease as well as mortality [2,3]. Epidemiological and experimental data suggest a relationship between PM and e.g. asthma [4], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [5], and cystic fibrosis [6,7]. Unfortunately, the exact mechanism by which PM induces or aggravates airway disease is still unknown.

Dependent on their size, particles preferentially deposit in different compartments of the lung. Importantly, most of the nanosized particles have a high alveolar deposition rate [8]. In the alveoli, these particles come into contact with the pulmonary surfactant layer that covers the entire alveolar region. Surfactant decreases the surface tension at the air-liquid interface and thereby prevents alveolar collapse. Surface activity is mainly accomplished by surfactant phospholipids and the specific surfactant proteins (SP)-B, and -C. Morphologically, surfactant exists in different subfractions. The surface active fraction consists of lamellar bodies and tubular myelin whereas the less surface active fraction is comprised of unilamellar vesicles. By ultracentrifugation, lamellar bodies and tubular myelin can be pelleted and are thereby called large aggregates (LA). In contrast, unilamellar vesicles remain in the supernatant and are defined as small aggregates (SA). Conversion of LA into SA occurs during respiration [9].

It has been demonstrated that particles of anthropogenic origin are able to directly interact with pulmonary surfactant components [10-13]. Further, it has been shown that nanosized particles can disturb surfactant function [14,15]. However, a systematic comparison of nanosized and microsized particles (MSP) of different composition has not been made. Moreover, it is unclear whether particle-surfactant interactions during dynamic conditions of surface area cycling aggravate the biophysical surfactant dysfunction. Therefore, we investigated the effect of increasing concentrations of TiO\textsubscript{2} NSP and TiO\textsubscript{2} MSP, as model particles, on pulmonary surfactant function by means of a pulsating bubble surfactometer both under native conditions and following surface area cycling. For comparison reasons, the effect on surfactant function was investigated for nanosized and microsized polystyrene particles as well as for quartz particles. Furthermore, we studied the effect of nanosized TiO\textsubscript{2} particles on surfactant ultrastructure by transmission electron microscope (TEM). In order to elaborate on the in-vivo relevance, rats were exposed to TiO\textsubscript{2} NSP versus TiO\textsubscript{2} MSP, lungs were fixed and lung tissue blocks were prepared for electron microscopy. The ultrastructure and distribution of the different subtypes of intra-alveolar surfactant was observed.

Methods
Particles
Nanosized and microsized titanium dioxide particles (Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe, Germany; product numbers: 44689 & 42681) were used in this study. For comparison, polystyrene particles (Micromod, Rostock-Warnemuende, Germany), Sikron SF 800 quartz particles (Quarzwerke, Frechen, Germany) as well as citrate coated nanosized gold particles (Plano, Wetzlar Germany; product number: EM.GC5) were studied [for details see additional file 1]. Particle stock solutions were prepared in sterilized bidistilled water at a concentration of 25 mg/ml or 50 mg/ml. Particles were sonicated prior to each experiment.

Acute Effects on Biophysical Surfactant Function
A natural porcine surfactant preparation (Curosurf\textsuperscript{®}, Asche Chiesi, Hamburg, Germany) was used as a standard and was adjusted to 1.5 mg/ml phospholipids in Ringer’s solution. Particles at increasing concentrations were added (50 μg/ml - 500 μg/ml) and biophysical surfactant function was assessed with a pulsating bubble surfactometer (PBS) (Electronetics, Buffalo, NY, USA) as described below.

Surface Area Cycling
Surface area cycling is a standardized method to simulate the in vivo conversion of surface active surfactant subtypes (lamellar bodies, tubular myelin) to inferior surfactant subtypes (unilamellar vesicles) in vitro [16-20]. We measured the biophysical surfactant function following surface area cycling in the presence or absence of particles in order to assess the effect of particles during the conversion process. Curosurf\textsuperscript{®} was adjusted to 1.5 mg/ml phospholipids in ringer solution with or without particles in increasing concentrations (50 μg/ml - 500 μg/ml). Aliquots were placed in 12 × 75 mm capped plastic tubes (Falcon 2058) and rotated end over end for 8 hours at 0.43 Hz and 37°C in the dark. Thereby, surface area changed from 1.1 cm\textsuperscript{2} to 9 cm\textsuperscript{2} twice per cycle. After surface area cycling biophysical surfactant function was measured in a pulsating bubble surfactometer as described below.

Surface Activity Evaluated with the Pulsating Bubble Surfactometer
Surface activity of pulmonary surfactant was measured with a PBS. Forty μl of the surfactant mixture were filled into the sample chamber. The surface tension used for sta-
stistical analysis of this study was the value at minimum bubble size (\(\gamma_{\min}\)) registered after 330 seconds of pulsation at a rate of 20 cycles/min and a temperature of 37°C. In addition, adsorption surface tension (\(\gamma_{\text{ads}}\)) was evaluated by determining surface tension 10 s after formation of a bubble under static bubble conditions. All data were digitalized and recorded by computer. All assays were performed in duplicate and the mean value was reported. The PBS was calibrated and checked with reference substances for proper operation before starting the measurements on each day.

**Transmission Electron Microscope**

Surfactant was fixed in Eppendorf tubes with 1.5% glutaraldehyde and 1.5% paraformaldehyde in 0.15 M Hepes buffer. The samples were stored in the fixative for 1 hour at room temperature and at least 24 hours at 4°C. Afterwards, samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g to obtain a surfactant pellet. After several washings in buffer, the samples were subsequently postfixed in osmium tetroxide and half-saturated aqueous uranyl acetate, dehydrated in an ascending acetone series and embedded in Epon at 60°C. The Eppendorf cups were removed and ultrathin 50 nm sections were cut using an ultramicrotome. The sections were analyzed with a Philips CM12 transmission electron microscope (FEI Co. Philips Electron Optics, Zürich, Switzerland).

**Exposure of rats to particles and assessment of surfactant ultrastructure**

Female Wistar rats (162 - 200 g) were randomly exposed once for 6 hours to either TiO\(_2\) NSP (P25; Evonik Degussa, Essen, Germany), TiO\(_2\) MSP (Bayertitan T, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany), or clean air, respectively (n = 5 per group). The exposure atmosphere was adjusted to either 10 mg TiO\(_2\) MSP/m\(^3\) or 25 mg TiO\(_2\) NSP/Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\)/m\(^3\) (60% Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\); 40% TiO\(_2\) NSP). Since the TiO\(_2\) MSP and TiO\(_2\) NSP/Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) droplets in the atmosphere were approximately of the same size, a similar alveolar deposition rate of 60 μg TiO\(_2\) particles per animal was accomplished [21]. Rats were sacrificed by pentobarbital overdose at the end of the exposure and the lungs were perfusion-fixated as described before [22]. Surfactant ultrastructure was assessed on ultrathin sections by electron microscopy and surfactant subtype conversion was studied semiquantitatively.

**Statistical Analysis**

Values are given as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism\(^\circledast\), Version 4.03. The one-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis of the data. A Bonferroni correction was used throughout. P values < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Direct Effect of Particles on Pulmonary Surfactant Function**

To assess the direct effect of particles on surfactant function, surface tension was measured after addition of particles. Pure surfactant showed an adsorption surface tension of ~28 mN/m and addition of TiO\(_2\) NSP in concentrations up to 200 μg/ml did not affect this active surface tension (Figure 1A). However, further increase of the particle dose up to 500 μg/ml led to a significant increase in adsorption surface tension to 33.2 ± 2.3 mN/m. In contrast, surface tension was unaffected by treatment with the same mass concentrations of TiO\(_2\) MSP (Figure 1B). TiO\(_2\) NSP slightly increased \(\gamma_{\min}\) from ≤ 5 mN/m, which denotes active surfactant, up to 8.4 ± 1.3 mN/m at 500 μg/ml (Figure 1C). Again, TiO\(_2\) MSP showed no effect on surface tension in this concentration range (Figure 1D). However, at very high particle concentrations of TiO\(_2\) MSP (~10 mg/ml) that deliver a similar surface area compared to TiO\(_2\) NSP \(\gamma_{\min}\) increased to 15.9 ± 1.3 mN/m (n = 6, p < 0.01).

As for the TiO\(_2\) particles similar results were observed for the other particles. Whereas polystyrene NSP significantly increased adsorption surface tension for 500 μg/ml, polystyrene and quartz MSP did not influence the surface tension up to a concentration of 500 μg/ml (Table 1). In addition, nanosized polystyrene particles increased surface tension at minimum bubble size significantly at 500 μg/ml up to 6.8 ± 1.2 mN/m, whereas microsized particles did not influence surfactant function in this concentration range (Table 1). Again, MSP (Quartz) at a very high concentration (~10 mg/ml) that deliver a similar surface area compared to TiO\(_2\) NSP increased \(\gamma_{\min}\) to 15.5 ± 1.8 mN/m (n = 5, p < 0.05).

Furthermore, we tested commercially available gold NSP with citrate coating (5 nm) in single experiments. At 200 μg/ml and 500 μg/ml, gold NSP increased \(\gamma_{\min}\) to 7.7 ± 2.8 and 13.2 ± 5.3, respectively (n = 4).

**Effects of Particles Following Surface Area Cycling**

Surface area cycling alone led to an increase in adsorption surface tension from ~28 to ~45 mN/m (Figure 2A and B). The presence of TiO\(_2\) NSP in concentrations of 200 μg/ml and 500 μg/ml during the cycling process led to a further increase of adsorption surface tension to 53.3 ± 1.3 mN/m and 63.6 ± 0.4 mN/m, respectively (Figure 2A). TiO\(_2\) MSP concentrations up to 500 μg/ml did not affect adsorption surface tension (Figure 2B). The influence of TiO\(_2\) NSP on surface tension at minimum bubble size was pronounced (Figure 2C). TiO\(_2\) NSP at 100 μg/ml led to a significant increase in surface tension from 1.1 ± 0.1 mN/m up to 8.4 ± 3.1 mN/m. Further increase of particle dose induced a strong surfactant dysfunction with \(\gamma_{\min}\) of 18.0 ± 1.6 mN/m and 21.1 ± 0.4 mN/m after incubation with...
200 μg/ml and 500 μg/ml TiO₂ NSP, respectively. TiO₂ MSP led to a slight but non-significant increase in γ_{min} (Figure 2D).

Polystyrene NSP led to a slight increase in adsorption surface tension from 45.7 ± 1.0 mN/ml up to 51.4 ± 0.9 mN/ml which was only significant at a concentration of 500 μg/ml polystyrene NSP (Table 1). All other MSP did not influence adsorption surface tension (Table 1). Surface tension at minimum bubble size was also unaffected by polystyrene MSP and quartz MSP (Table 1), while polystyrene NSP induced a strong surfactant dysfunction at minimum bubble size. Incubation with 500 μg/ml polystyrene NSP during the cycling process led to a surface tension of 17.5 ± 1.4 mN/m (Table 1).

**Figure 1**

**Surface activity evaluated with the pulsating bubble surfactometer.** A) Adsorption surface tension (γ_{ads}) after incubation with TiO₂ nanosized particles (NSP) at a static bubble condition. B) Influence of TiO₂ microsized particles (MSP) on γ_{ads}. C) Influence of TiO₂ NSP on surface tension at minimal bubble size (γ_{min}) during pulsation. D) γ_{min} after incubation with TiO₂ MSP. Values are given as means of at least 4 experiments ± SEM. ** indicates p values < 0.01 compared with the control at 0 μg/ml particle concentration.

**Influence of Nanosized TiO₂ Particles on Surfactant Ultrastructure**

Natural porcine surfactant used in this study consisted mostly of lamellar body-like forms. Unilamellar vesicles were hardly present (Figure 3A and 3B). After addition of 100 μg/ml TiO₂ NSP, lamellar body-like forms were decreased in size and deformed (Figure 3C). In addition, an increase in the amount of unilamellar vesicles appeared (Figure 3C). Interestingly, small TiO₂ NSP aggregates accumulated between lamellae of the lamellar body-like forms (Figure 3D). Rotation of the pure surfactant in the absence of particles readily led to a conversion of lamellar body-like forms to unilamellar vesicles (Figure 3E). Rotation in the presence of TiO₂ NSP did not further change subtype conversion (Figure 3F). However, large
TiO₂ aggregates were found after rotation (Figure 3F). These aggregates were larger in size than the TiO₂ aggregates in the non-rotated sample (Figure 3D).

**Discussion**

The present data show that nanosized particles, but not microsized particles, induce a dysfunction of pulmonary surfactant. Nanosized titanium dioxide as well as nanosized polystyrene particles at high concentrations can induce a slight pulmonary surfactant dysfunction in vitro. Interestingly, surface area cycling in vitro aggravated the surfactant dysfunction induced by nanoparticles, both by TiO₂ NSP and by polystyrene NSP. In addition, biophysical alterations of pulmonary surfactant by TiO₂ NSP were accompanied by changes of the surfactant ultrastructure indicating increased surfactant subtype conversion.

A direct interaction between particles and the surfactant constituents is the most likely explanation for the observed surfactant dysfunction. It is well known that phospholipids bind to particles [10,14,23] and to TiO₂ structures [24,25]. In this respect, surface area seems to be the major determinant of the observed biophysical and ultrastructural changes. Accordingly, particles with the

---

**Table 1: Surface activity evaluated with the pulsating bubble surfactometer.**

|                      | Polystyrene NSP | Polystyrene MSP | Quartz MSP |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Direct effects - γ<sub>ads</sub> [mN/m] |                 |                 |            |
| 0 µg/ml              | 29.2 ± 1.5      | 28.9 ± 2.7      | 25.9 ± 1.5 |
| 50 µg/ml             | 28.3 ± 1.4      | 28.3 ± 2.2      | 25.0 ± 1.6 |
| 100 µg/ml            | 30.8 ± 2.2      | 28.6 ± 2.3      | 25.0 ± 2.0 |
| 200 µg/ml            | 32.4 ± 2.1      | 30.1 ± 3.0      | 26.3 ± 2.9 |
| 500 µg/ml            | 34.1 ± 2.2<sup>##</sup> | 28.3 ± 2.3      | 25.2 ± 1.5 |

|                      |                 |                 |            |
| Direct effects - γ<sub>min</sub> [mN/m] |                 |                 |            |
| 0 µg/ml              | 4.0 ± 0.9       | 2.4 ± 1.2       | 4.9 ± 0.5  |
| 50 µg/ml             | 5.1 ± 0.9       | 2.2 ± 0.9       | 4.1 ± 0.9  |
| 100 µg/ml            | 4.0 ± 1.0       | 2.8 ± 1.8       | 4.6 ± 0.8  |
| 200 µg/ml            | 4.7 ± 1.1       | 3.1 ± 1.3       | 4.1 ± 0.9  |
| 500 µg/ml            | 6.8 ± 1.2<sup>##</sup> | 3.0 ± 1.5       | 5.0 ± 0.8  |

|                      |                 |                 |            |
| Surface area cycling - γ<sub>ads</sub> [mN/m] |                 |                 |            |
| Control              | 27.1 ± 1.5      | 27.4 ± 2.7      | 28.1 ± 0.8 |
| 0 µg/ml              | 45.7 ± 1.0      | 46.4 ± 1.3      | 43.7 ± 0.8 |
| 50 µg/ml             | 42.4 ± 2.2      | 43.7 ± 2.2      | 47.1 ± 0.9 |
| 100 µg/ml            | 47.1 ± 1.3      | 43.1 ± 0.8      | 45.8 ± 2.8 |
| 200 µg/ml            | 44.6 ± 2.1      | 45.3 ± 2.3      | 45.4 ± 3.1 |
| 500 µg/ml            | 51.4 ± 0.9<sup>##</sup> | 42.7 ± 1.7      | 48.4 ± 1.5 |

|                      |                 |                 |            |
| Surface area cycling - γ<sub>min</sub> [mN/m] |                 |                 |            |
| Control              | 1.1 ± 0.2       | 1.1 ± 0.2       | 1.2 ± 0.4  |
| 0 µg/ml              | 1.2 ± 0.2       | 1.1 ± 0.2       | 1.7 ± 0.4  |
| 50 µg/ml             | 2.1 ± 0.4       | 1.1 ± 0.4       | 0.9 ± 0.3  |
| 100 µg/ml            | 1.5 ± 0.5       | 1.2 ± 0.2       | 2.1 ± 0.9  |
| 200 µg/ml            | 6.3 ± 2.8       | 1.8 ± 0.5       | 2.8 ± 0.7  |
| 500 µg/ml            | 17.5 ± 1.4<sup>###</sup> | 1.9 ± 0.5       | 1.0 ± 0.3  |

Direct effects were measured immediately after addition of particles, effects after surface area cycling were measured following 8 hour rotation at 0.43 Hz with or without particles. Adsorption surface tension (γ<sub>ads</sub>) was obtained from the value of a static bubble. Surface tension at minimal bubble size (γ<sub>min</sub>) was recorded during pulsation. Values are given as means ± SEM of at least 4 experiments. * indicates p values < 0.05; ** indicates p values < 0.01; *** indicates p values < 0.001; all compared with the control without particles (0 µg/ml). In case of surface area cycling, the 0 µg/ml control was rotated for 8 hours at 0.43 Hz. NSP - nanosized particles; MSP - microsized particles.
highest surface area - TiO$_2$ NSP and also reference polystyrene NSP - induced the most prominent alterations. Microsized particles with a relatively low surface area did not induce a surfactant dysfunction in our study.

In separate experiments, we compared equal surface areas by testing very high microparticle mass concentrations. With concentrations of ~10 mg/ml TiO$_2$ MSP and quartz MSP, we observed a strong surfactant dysfunction. However, the experimental conditions were limited because microsized particles at this very high concentration aggregated and rapidly sedimented to the bottom of the test capillary. By this segregation, the phospholipid concentration was not stable which limits the comparison of NSP and MSP at similar surface areas.

Bakshi and coworkers demonstrated a potent pulmonary surfactant dysfunction at low concentrations of ~2 μg/ml gold nanoparticles [14]. In contrast, much higher concentrations of TiO$_2$ NSP were required to induce an increase of surface tension in our experiments. In addition, the degree of surfactant dysfunction was less with TiO$_2$ NSP in our study compared to the gold nanoparticles used by Bakshi et al. Differences in 1) the measuring system, 2) the surfactant preparation and concentration, or 3) the nanoparticles themselves might account for the discrepancy.

Figure 2
Surface activity evaluated with the pulsating bubble surfactometer following 8 hour rotation at 0.43 Hz. A) Influence of TiO$_2$ NSP on adsorption surface tension ($\gamma_{ads}$) at a static bubble condition. B) Influence of TiO$_2$ MSP on $\gamma_{ads}$. C) Influence of TiO$_2$ NSP on surface tension at minimal bubble size ($\gamma_{min}$) during pulsation. D) TiO$_2$ MSP effect on $\gamma_{min}$. Values are given as means ± SEM of at least 4 experiments. ** indicates p values < 0.01; *** indicates p values < 0.001; both compared with the rotated 0 μg/ml particle concentration (grey columns). CO/white columns - control surfactant which was placed for 8 hours in an incubator without rotation.
Figure 3
Representative transmission electron microscope pictures of the surfactant ultrastructure. A) and B) untreated control surfactant. C) and D) porcine surfactant after addition of 100 μg/ml TiO₂ nanosized particles. Red circles show small particle aggregates. E) Control surfactant after 8 hours rotation at 0.43 Hz and 37°C. F) Surfactant after 8 hours rotation at 0.43 Hz and 37°C in the presence of 100 μg/ml TiO₂ nanosized particles. Black arrows show large particle aggregates; lbl - lamellar body like forms; ulv - unilamellar vesicles.
Both, the pulsating bubble surfactometer (PBS) and the
captive bubble surfactometer (CBS) are able to evaluate
low surface tensions [26] while the CBS is regarded to
yield even lower surface tensions [27] which makes dif-
erences in the device an unlikely explanation. Regarding
surfactant preparation and concentration, we used Curo-
surf®, a natural surfactant derived from minced porcine
lungs [28] while a semisynthetic surfactant composed of
two phospholipids plus SP-B was used by Bakshi. It is
unlikely that differences in the surfactants are solely
responsible for the different effects seen with gold nano-
particles and TiO₂ NSP. Both surfactants have been
demonstrated to have excellent surface activity and to achieve
very low surface tensions under compression at the con-
centrations used. The most likely explanation for the
potent dysfunction in the study by Bakshi seems related to
the material properties (size/surface) of the gold nanopar-
ticles. Since the gold NSP had citrate groups on their sur-
face, aggregation is mostly avoided [29]. In contrast, pure
TiO₂ nanoparticles highly aggregate. Although the surface
area is not known for the gold NSP from Bakshis study, it
is likely that the surface area per mass unit is higher for the
citrate coated gold NSP than for the TiO₂ NSP. This could
explain the more potent induction of surfactant dysfunc-
tion by gold NSP compared to TiO₂ NSP because sur-
factant components could be bound to the large gold
nanoparticle surface area making them unavailable for
lowering surface tension at the air-liquid interface.

In an attempt of direct comparison between TiO₂ NSP and
the gold nanoparticles by Bakshi (~15 nm), we tested
commercially available gold NSP with citrate coating (5
nm) in single experiments. Interestingly, at equal mass the
surfactant dysfunction by gold NSP was stronger com-
pared with TiO₂ NSP. However, the dysfunction was less
compared with data from Bakshi et al., but this discrep-
ancy can be accounted to differences in surface area of the
gold NSP or the surfactant preparations used in both stud-
ies.

The in vivo conversion of surface active LA to inferior SA
can be simulated in vitro by surface area cycling [16]. By
this technique, the impact of meconium, serum proteins,
or surfactant proteins during the surfactant conversion
process have been studied [19,30-32]. We assessed the
effect of TiO₂ NSP on the conversion process. Importantly,
a dose-dependent increase in surface tension was
obtained. Remarkably, this effect was much stronger than
the direct biophysical effect of TiO₂ NSP without cycling.
TEM pictures demonstrated that the occurrence of unila-
mellar vesicles was independent from NSP presence. Pos-
sibly, binding of NSP to SP-B and subsequent loss of SP-B
from the air-liquid-interface could explain the loss of sur-
face activity following surface area cycling in the presence
of NSP. In vivo, SP-B becomes cleaved by a serine active
carboxylesterase called convertase [33-35]. However,
Curosurf® is prepared by chloroform extraction and hence
does not contain convertase [20]. Therefore, intact SP-B
should be present in Curosurf® following surface area
cycling. We speculate that free SP-B could interact with
TiO₂ NSP which in turn becomes depleted leading to dis-
turbed surfactant function. High ability of SP-B to bind to
surfaces during surface area cycling was shown before
when binding of SP-B to tube walls was investigated dur-
ding surface area cycling [18]. Unfortunately, we were not
able to provide direct evidence of binding of SP-B to TiO₂
NSP by TEM due to methodological limitations.

Admittedly, inhaled particles act directly on the surfactant
layer at the air-liquid interface and not primarily through
the subphase as in our in vitro experiments. However, after
deposition at the air-liquid interface the particles subse-
quently become dissolved in the epithelial lining layer and
interfere with the dynamic process of phospholipid
arrangement at the interface. Therefore, the assay system
with the pulsating bubble surfactometer is at least capable
to demonstrate differential effects of nanoparticles versus
microparticles in phospholipid suspensions when parti-
cles interfere with the formation of the surfactant layer
from the hypophase. It is very well conceivable that the
initial effect of particles might even be greater when they
are reaching the interface directly.

Although we have demonstrated that TiO₂ NSP elicited
biophysical and structural changes of surfactant in vitro,
the in vivo relevance has to be scrutinized because the par-
ticle concentrations that we found effective in vitro can
hardly occur in vivo. With the human alveolar surface area
of ~100 m² and assuming an average thickness of the alve-
olar lining fluid of approximately 200 nm [36], the
amount of alveolar lining fluid can be assessed as ~20 ml.
In accordance, the epithelial lining fluid has been sug-
gested to be 6 ml/L total lung capacity, resulting in 40 ml
in man [37]. With the assumption of a particle concentra-
tion of 100 μg/m³, which can occur in polluted inner cit-
ies, and an alveolar deposition rate as high as 50%, the
amount of particles deposited per day would be ~360 μg.
At steady state, this would result in a concentration of ~10
μg nanoparticles per ml alveolar lining fluid. This particle
centration is far below what has been demonstrated to
cause a surfactant dysfunction in our study. In addition,
clearance of particles and secretion of newly synthesized
surfactant would further improve this particle/surfactant
ratio and consequently question whether nanoparticles
can cause a surfactant alteration under these conditions in
vivo. This view is supported by our experimental evidence
in rats. Following inhalation of TiO₂ particles that were
aerosolized and adjusted to result in the highest techni-
cally possible alveolar deposition of 60 μg particles per
animal, surfactant ultrastructure was found unaffected in
vivo. Assuming an alveolar lining fluid in rats of approximately 70 μL [36], the in vivo particle concentration in the epithelial lining fluid would have been approximately 53.5 μg/mL (normalized to 1.5 mg/ml phospholipids and assuming static conditions). Noteworthy, the local concentration at the air-liquid interface was probably much higher suggesting that no changes of surfactant ultrastructure occur in vivo under acute maximal TiO₂ particle exposure.

Although these considerations suggest that the impact of TiO₂ NSP on surfactant function in the human lung is highly unlikely to cause adverse effects in healthy individuals, in diseased subjects, however, additive effects of NSP on pulmonary surfactant function and ultrastructure have to be taken into account. For example, it has been demonstrated that a pulmonary surfactant dysfunction can be found in various airway diseases like asthma [38], cystic fibrosis [39], or after lung transplantation [40]. In particular, leakage of plasma proteins into the airway lumen is known to induce a surfactant dysfunction [41,42]. Importantly, NSP can induce [43-45] or enhance [46,47] pulmonary inflammation which is accompanied by protein leakage. This in turn could lead to a surfactant dysfunction in vivo. Moreover, NSP are able to induce oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation [48-50]. Oxidative stress with lipid peroxidation can induce an increase in surface tension [51,52]. In addition, NSPs emitted by engines are contaminated with alkanes and sulfates [53] and it is known, that eicosane, a specific n-alkane constituent of diesel exhaust NSPs, can affect the biophysical surfactant function [54]. Therefore, nanoparticles might amplify alterations of the pulmonary surfactant system, particularly under predisposed conditions of airway inflammation.

Conclusion
Taken together, TiO₂ NSP induce biophysical and structural alterations of pulmonary surfactant in vitro. Under dynamic conditions of surface area cycling, this interfering impact is aggravated. Although our data do not suggest that inhalation of nanoparticles cause a significant disturbance of the pulmonary surfactant system in vivo, nanoparticles might be detrimental in patients with preexisting airway disease.
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