A clinical and radiographic evaluation of the management of periodontal osseous defects with alloplast and platelet rich plasma
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Abstract
Background and objectives: Tissue engineering is fast gaining popularity in the field of periodontics, as periodontal disease is a complex condition, involving destruction of vital tooth supporting structures. Therefore, regeneration of these tissues lost due to disease in order to restore normal oral health and integrity is imperative. Research in this field has had far reaching consequences and conflicting reports. Although bone grafts and other regenerative options have been evolving over the last few decades, the most recent innovation in this field has been the advent of platelet rich plasma (PRP) and its derivative platelet rich fibrin (PRF). The purpose of this study was to evaluate clinically and radiographically, periodontal regeneration/alveolar bone fill with the use of synthetic alloplast (Biograft-HT) alone and with a combination of platelet rich plasma (PRP) in the treatment of human periodontal intrabony defects.

Methods: In this randomized controlled study, 15 patients (30 intrabony defects) in the age range of 25-55 years, showing bilaterally similar but not identical intrabony defects were selected. The defects were assigned randomly to Test site (PRP with Biograft-HT) and Control site (Biograft-HT alone). The clinical parameters recorded were gingival index, plaque index, probing pocket depth and relative attachment level at baseline, and at 9 months post-operatively, using standardized intra-oral periapical radiographs taken with long cone paralleling technique, which were then assessed using computer assisted image analysis software.

Results: Statistical analysis using ’t’ tests and Mann-Whitney tests revealed that there was a significant reduction in gingival index, plaque index, probing pocket depth and gain in clinical attachment level at the various time intervals within both the groups. Radiographic evaluation revealed statistically significant defect fill (p<0.001) at the end of 9 months within both test and control groups. However, the differences in the mean scores between the 2 groups were not statistically significant both clinically and radiographically.

Interpretation and conclusion: Both groups showed potential in enhancing periodontal regeneration; however the results with PRP with Biograft-HT were comparatively better, although not statistically significant when compared to Biograft-HT alone.
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Introduction
Periodontitis involves an inflammatory process, of multifactorial origin, affecting the periodontal tissues viz alveolar bone, periodontal ligament, cementum of the tooth and gingiva, and provoking the destruction of the supporting tissues to the teeth. The ultimate goal of periodontal therapy is to regenerate the lost periodontal tissues caused by periodontitis [1]. The advent of regenerative approaches in contemporary periodontics has increased patient’s treatment options and enhanced the long-term prognosis of many teeth that have advanced periodontal destruction. Regenerative procedures have been evaluated in several studies using grafting materials, tooth root surface conditioning, guided tissue regeneration and application of growth factors [1-4].

Porous hydroxyapatite bone augmentation material has been used to fill periodontal intrabony defects, which has resulted in clinically acceptable responses [5]. Hydroxyapatite (HA) has good biocompatibility when in contact with bone as its chemical composition is similar to that of bone. Tricalcium phosphate, a calcium salt of phosphoric acid has an alpha and a beta crystal form. It can be used as a tissue replacement for repairing bony defects when autogenous bone graft is not feasible or possible [6-8]. HA and ß-TCP have been widely used as bone substitutes. They are biocompatible, non-toxic, resorbable, and non-inflammatory; cause no immunological, foreign-body, or irritating response; and have excellent osteoconductive ability.

The next line of regenerative materials which have gained popularity are Growth factors, a class of polypeptide hormones known to promote proliferation and migration of periodontal ligament cells, synthesis of extracellular matrix as well as differentiation of cementoblasts and osteoblasts. They...
have been suggested to represent a potential aid in an attempt to regenerate the periodontium [9]. Growth factors that seem to play an important role in periodontal and bone wound healing are platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF) combined with PDGF and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) [9]. PDGF has been shown to exert a favorable effect on periodontal regeneration as measured by increase in clinical attachment levels and osseous defect fill in humans [10]. Topical application of TGF-β has shown to stimulate proliferation of gingival fibroblastic cells, formation of blood vessels and remodeling of extracellular matrix, which results in increased proliferation of granulation tissue within healing periodontal tissues. TGF-β when coated onto β tricalcium phosphate pellets have shown to substantially stimulate cell proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast lineage cells and induce bone formation in rat calvarial osseous defects [11].

Growth factors are known to be abundant in α granules of platelets. A convenient and economical approach to obtain autologous PDGF and TGF-β is the use of platelet rich plasma (PRP) [12]. PRP is a component of blood in which the platelets are concentrated in a limited volume of plasma. Autologous platelet gel was first developed as a byproduct of multicomponent pheresis. The platelet count in PRP can exceed 2 million platelets per micro liter. A natural blood clot contains 95% red blood cells, 5% platelets, less than 1% white blood cells, and numerous amounts of fibrin strands. A PRP blood clot contains 4% red blood cells, 95% platelets, and 1% white blood cells. It can be considered that PRP "jump starts" the cascade of regenerative events leading to the formation of a mature graft site [13]. The PRP obtained offers up to a 2.16-times increase in the maturation rate and substantially greater density of a bone graft procedure [14].

Many applications of PRP include, sinus lift procedures, onlay grafts, particulate grafts, alveolar cleft palate repair, oral/nasal fistula repair, post-operative hemostasis of bone graft donor sites, continuity defects of the mandible and hemophiliacs undergoing surgery. In periodontal surgery it has been used in gingival grafting, crown lengthening, ridge augmentation, implant procedures and periodontal regeneration.

The use of porous HA+β TCP and PRP in a combination for periodontal regenerative therapy offers a potentially useful modality to the clinician in treating periodontal intrabony defects. However, only a few clinical trials have tested the efficacy of a combination of PRP and bone grafts in the treatment of intrabony defects [12,15-17]. It is yet unknown whether a combination of HA+β TCP and PRP may enhance the outcome of therapy. Therefore, the purpose of this prospective, randomized controlled study was to compare the clinical and radiographic outcomes obtained by a combination of HA+β TCP and PRP to HA+β TCP alone in treatment of periodontal intrabony defects.

Materials and methods
The research protocol and study design was initially submitted to the Institutional Ethical Committee and Review Board, Bangalore Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital and Research Centre, Bangalore. After initial approval, all patients received verbal information regarding participation, and written informed consent was obtained for participation in the study.

Following the final approval of the Ethical committee, a total of 28 systemically healthy patients with chronic periodontitis (13 males and 15 females; age range of 25-55 years) were screened in the outpatient section of the Department of Periodontics at the institute in the period between September 2011 to November 2012.

Patients with any systemic condition or disease, compromised immune system, pregnant or lactating women, physically or mentally challenged patients, patients taking drugs known to cause gingival enlargement, those allergic or sensitive to any of the medications to be used in the study, those not maintaining optimum oral hygiene in the course of the follow up visits were excluded from the study.

After the initial assessment, scaling and root planning of all the teeth was performed as part of phase 1 therapy which also included oral hygiene instructions and maintenance recalls. Plaque control programme varied in duration depending upon the response of the patients.

Six to 8 weeks after the phase 1 therapy, a periodontal evaluation was performed to confirm the desired sites for the study.

Patients with still existing one or more bilateral intrabony pockets (in same arch) or unilateral intrabony pockets (in different arches) with probing depth of ≥5mm with osseous defects were enrolled for the study. Only 15 patients fulfilled the above inclusion criteria, thus a total of 30 sites (using split-mouth design) were then segregated randomly into test group (15 sites) and control group (15 sites). The nature and purpose of the study was explained to the patients and written consent was obtained. The patients in the test group were treated with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and synthetic bone replacement alloplast (biograft-HT). The control group patients were treated with biograft-HT alone. The results were evaluated clinically and radiographically (with intra-oral periapical X-rays) at 0, 6 and 9 months.

Clinical and radiographic measurements
Clinical parameters recorded before surgical procedures included the site-specific Plaque index(PI), Gingival index(GI), Pocket depth(PD) from the gingival margin, relative attachment level (RAL) and depth of the osseous defects from the apical level of customized acrylic stents with grooves to ensure a reproducible placement of the periodontal probe. All intrabony defects were evaluated at baseline and 9 months postoperatively.

Intraoral periapical radiographs were taken by long cone/
Preparation of platelet-rich plasma (PRP)

(Figure 1) Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) was prepared according to the procedure described by Robert Marx [12]. One hour prior to the periodontal surgery 10 ml of whole blood was drawn from each subject by venipuncture of antecubital vein. Blood was collected in a vacutainer (VACUTTE–Greiner bio-one) coated with an anticoagulant (3.2% sodium citrate). The tubes were inverted several times to ensure the mixing of blood and anticoagulant. The whole blood was initially centrifuged in a REMI digital centrifugal device at 5400rpm for 10 minutes to separate the PRP and platelet-poor plasma (PPP) from the red blood cell fraction. The PPP was discarded, leaving just about 1ml of PPP present above the buffy coat. The 1ml of PPP, the whole of buffy coat and 1ml of red blood cell fraction rich in newly synthesized platelets was pipetted out and transferred to another test tube without an anticoagulant. The test tubes were centrifuged at 2400 rpm for 12 minutes, to separate the PRP and PPP. The PRP was then drawn into a syringe and expressed into a sterile container.

Treatment procedure

(Figures 2 and 3) All periodontal surgical procedures were performed on an outpatient basis under aseptic conditions. Surgical area was anesthetized using local anesthetic (2% lignocaine with adrenaline 1:80,000). Intracrevicular incisions were made and full thickness mucoperiosteal flaps were elevated, to retain as much soft tissue as possible in order to obtain primary closure. The periodontal surgical procedure
subgingival plaque, calculus, diseased granulation tissue and pocket epithelium. The surgical sites were irrigated with sterile saline and care was taken to keep the area free of saliva. Measurement of the osseous defect was made utilizing the stent and Williams graduated probe.

Immediately before application the PRP was activated by clot initiator (100 IU of lyophilized human thrombin with 1 ml of 10% CaCl2 solution). Within a few seconds, the PRP preparation assumed a sticky gel consistency. Depending on the extent of the intrabony osseous defect the coagulated PRP + biograft-HT mixture or biograft-HT alone was placed up to the vertical height of the corresponding adjacent bone level. Surgical flaps were repositioned to the presurgical level and sutured with 3-0 silk suture utilizing an interdental, direct suturing technique achieving primary closure. Care was taken not to displace the graft material during suturing. A periodontal dressing (Coe-pak) was placed on the surgical area.

Post operative care
Postoperative care included systemic administration of amoxicillin 500 mg thrice daily for 5 days and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent thrice daily for 5 days and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinse twice daily for a period of 2 weeks. One week following surgery, the periodontal dressing and sutures were removed and the area was irrigated thoroughly with saline.

Recall appointments were made after 1 month, 3 months, 6 months and 9 months post-surgery and at each visit, the clinical parameters were recorded, oral hygiene instructions were reinforced and scaling was done whenever necessary. Radiographs were taken at 6 and 9 months respectively. (Figures 4 and 5).

Statistical analysis
All the clinical parameters were recorded at baseline, 3, 6 and 9 months. The radiographic measurements were recorded at baseline, 6 and 9 months post-operatively. All the data were subjected to statistical analysis. Frequency tables and measures of central tendency were obtained by using the statistical package SPSS for comparison of mean values of test and control groups across various parameters at each time period. Clinical and radiographic parameters were subjected to student ‘t’ test and the ‘t’ and ‘p’ values were obtained with appropriate levels of significance.

Descriptive analysis that included mean, standard deviation percentages were found for each parameter in two groups and were used for analysis. Within each group, Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test was performed to compare post treatment changes from baseline. For comparison between the inter-group variations unpaired ‘t’ tests was performed. Wherever the data was presumed to be non-normal, “Mann-Whitney” test was used. A ‘p’ value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.
Results

Gingival index
Test site–The mean gingival index score at baseline was 1.95±0.27 which was reduced to 1.47±0.25 at 3 months, and further reduced to 0.97±0.27 and 0.53±0.19 at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control site–The mean gingival index score at baseline was 1.95±0.22 which was reduced to 1.48±0.26 at 3 months, and further reduced to 0.95±0.34 and 0.58±0.24 at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

However, comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference at 3, 6 and 9 months (p >0.05).

Plaque index
Test site–The mean plaque index score at baseline was 1.62±0.39 which was reduced to 1.17±0.37 at 3 months, and further reduced to 0.80±0.39 and 0.42±0.28 at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control site–The mean plaque index score at baseline was 1.52±0.31 which was reduced to 1.10±0.30 at 3 months, and further reduced to 0.73±0.22 and 0.43±0.20 at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

However, comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference at 3, 6 and 9 months (p >0.05).

Probing pocket depth
Test sites-The mean probing depth at baseline was 6.87±0.92mm which was reduced to 5.47±0.83mm at three months, and further reduced to 4.60±0.91 and 3.87±0.74mm at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control sites-The mean probing depth at baseline was 7.13±1.55mm, which was reduced to 5.87±1.30mm at three months, and further reduced to 4.93±1.03mm and 2.90±0.54mm at 6 and 9 months respectively. This difference was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant change in probing pocket depth at 3, 6 and 9 months respectively (p>0.05).

Relative attachment level
Test sites–The mean relative attachment level at baseline was 11.40±2.10mm, which was reduced to 10.27±1.94mm at three months. At six months, it was further reduced to 9.47±2.13mm and at nine months, to 8.73±1.98mm. This difference at 3, 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control sites–The mean relative attachment level at baseline was 11.73±3.13mm, which was reduced to 10.53±2.92mm at three months. At six months, it was further reduced to 9.73±2.55mm and at nine months, reduced to 9.40±2.72mm. This difference at 3, 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference in the change in relative attachment level at 3, 6 and 9 months respectively (p>0.05).

Radiographic evaluation

Radiographic defect depth
Test sites-The mean radiographic defect depth at baseline was 5.40±2.03mm. At six months, it was reduced to 3.67±1.84mm and at nine months, it was further reduced to 2.47±2.07mm. This difference at 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control sites–The mean radiographic defect depth at baseline was 6.07±2.43mm. At six months, it was reduced to 4.20±1.66mm and at nine months, it was further reduced to 3.13±1.51mm. This difference at 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference in the reduction of radiographic defect depth at 3, 6 and 9 months respectively (p>0.05).

Radiographic defect fill
Test sites–The mean radiographic defect fill at six months was 1.73±0.70mm which increased to 2.87±0.99mm at 9 months. This difference at 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Control sites–The mean radiographic defect fill at six months was 1.87±0.99mm which increased to 2.93±1.39mm at 9 months. This difference at 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant (p<0.001).

Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference in the radiographic defect fill when measured at 6 and 9 months respectively (p>0.05).

Percentage radiographic defect fill
Test sites–The percentage of radiographic defect fill at 6 months was 33.91±12.54% which increased to 60.04±24.84% at 9 months.

Control sites–The percentage of radiographic defect fill at 6 months was 30.37±8.67% which increased to 48.56±15.46% at 9 months.

Thus, although the test sites showed higher percentage of radiographic defect fill, no statistically significant difference in the percentage defect fill was found between the two groups when measured at 6 and 9 months respectively (p>0.05).

Discussion
The primary aim of periodontal therapy is to eliminate the inflammatory processes in order to arrest the progression
of the disease and keep the dentition in a state of health and function. The purpose is to arrest the destruction of soft tissue and bone caused by periodontal disease, and regenerate the lost tissue, if possible [18]. A deep intraosseous defect presents a major challenge in achieving the goal of regeneration as it increases the risk for disease progression and recurrence after traditional systemic therapy [19]. Therefore for regeneration of periodontal intraosseous defects, combination of different materials like root conditioning agents, guided tissue regeneration procedures, bone replacement grafts and growth and attachment factors have been used with varying degrees of success. PRP alone and in combination with hydroxyapatite [20], bovine porous bone mineral and barrier membranes [21] have been extensively researched and tested in periodontal defects. However, at present, there is no available literature about the combination of biograft and PRP in periodontal regeneration. The aim of the present study was therefore to evaluate and compare clinically and radiographically the efficacy of this novel bone graft material [Biograft-HT®] in combination with PRP and Biograft-HT® alone (i.e., without PRP) in the treatment of periodontal intrabony defects.

The results of the study showed that there was significant reduction in the mean gingival index scores within the test and control groups from baseline to 3, 6 and 9 months respectively. However, the reduction of scores was not significant when the two groups were compared at the same intervals during the study. These findings are in accordance with the results of the studies by Okuda K et al., (2005) [22], Dori F et al., (2008) [23] and Kovacs V et al., (2009) [24] which have shown statistically significant changes in gingival index within the groups from baseline but no statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups. The reduction in mean plaque index scores within the test and control groups from baseline to 3, 6 and 9 months respectively was statistically significant. However, the reduction of scores was not significant between the two groups for the same intervals of the study. These findings are consistent with previous studies by Pradeep AR et al., (2009) [25], Yilmaz S et al., (2009) [26], Dori F et al., (2009) [24] and by Kaushick BT et al., (2011) [27] which have shown statistically significant changes in plaque index within the groups from baseline but no statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups. The improved gingival and plaque index scores in both the groups showed the increased level of oral health awareness among patients and a good maintenance of oral hygiene by them throughout the study period. Pocket depth resolution is not only a desirable outcome of periodontal regeneration, but may also be the most important parameter in patient care for the clinician, since it directly impacts his or her ability to instrument a treated area during the maintenance appointments. Pocket probing is not only a crucial and mandatory procedure in diagnosing periodontitis but also in evaluating periodontal therapy. There was a marked reduction in probing pocket depth within both test and control sites from baseline to 6 and 9 months which is in accordance with the studies done by Lekovic V et al., (2003) [12] and Hanna R et al., (2004) [28]. The results of the control sites compare favorably with earlier studies of biograft-HT [29]. Till date, there are no studies on PRP combined with Biograft-HT. However, the results of our study compare favorably with other studies with PRP combined with other alloplasts [23] and bovine porous bone mineral [30,31].

Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant reduction of probing pocket depth when measured at different points of time during the study. Similar results on comparison between two groups were obtained in previous studies [23,24,31-34], but contradictory results were found by Saini N et al., (2011), where there was significant reduction in PPD in test group compared to control group [35].

Currently, the “gold standard” for recording changes in periodontal status is longitudinal measurement of clinical attachment levels from the CEJ or a relative attachment level from a fixed reference point [36]. In the present study, we used relative attachment level (RAL), which was distance from a fixed reference point (base of the customized acrylic stent) to the base of the pocket due to inconsistency in accurately locating CEJ at the selected sites. The changes in mean relative attachment level within both the test group and control at 3, 6 and 9 months was found to be highly statistically significant. This suggests that there was a statistically significant attachment gain from baseline to 9 months within both test and control sites. The results of the test sites compare favorably with earlier studies of Hanna R et al., (2004) [28] and Okuda K et al., (2005) [22] whereas the results at control sites where in accordance to the studies of Drizhal et al., (2010) [37] and Sukumar et al., (2011) [38]. Comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference in the reduction of clinical attachment level when measured at different points of time during the study, in contrast to the study of Okuda et al., (2005) [22] and Saini et al., (2011) [35] where a statistically significant gain in clinical attachment was reported with PRP in combination with other alloplastic materials, when compared to bone grafts alone [12]. The gain in the clinical attachment level has been thought to represent resolution of tissue inflammation, reformation of collagen fibers, new attachment to the root surface and the bone fill [39]. Thus effectively, both the treatment modalities resulted in a significant reduction in pocket depth and clinical attachment gain. The primary reason for reduction in depth after treatment can be attributed to the reduction in gingival inflammation and shrinkage of pocket wall. It can also occur due to combination of gain in clinical attachment as well as post treatment gingival recession [12]. Alternatively, it has also been suggested that...
placement of a graft material into a defect may modify the gingival tissue consistency, therefore impede penetration of the probe without necessarily having induced any gain in clinical attachment.

New bone formation is frequently used as a primary outcome variable in controlled clinical trials of regenerative therapy. Radiographic monitoring of alveolar bone changes following regenerative procedures is a non-invasive painless alternative to direct bone measurements which is obviously the best way to access bone formation. The radiographic variables assessed were the changes in the radiographic defect depth and the extent of defect fill and defect resolution. The minimum time required for bone changes to be evident on a radiograph is 6 months; hence radiographs were taken at 6 and 9 months respectively [28]. There was a significant reduction in radiographic defect depth within both the test and control groups at 6 and 9 months thereby indicating a significantly increased defect fill and increased percentage defect fill within both the groups. These radiographic changes are in accordance with previous studies showing similar results [22, 26, 29, 40, 41]. However, comparison between the two groups revealed no statistically significant difference in the reduction of radiographic defect depth or the defect fill when measured at 6 and 9 months respectively. The results of this inter group comparison were found to be similar to the results of previously conducted studies by Camargo PM et al., (2009) [31], Dori F et al., (2009) [24], Yilmaz S et al., (2011) [34] and Saini A et al., (2011) [42] but contradictory to the results of studies done by Ouyang XY et al., (2006) [40] and Saini N et al., (2011) [35], where they found significant improvement in the test sites as compared to control sites in defect depth reduction and bone fill. Significant improvement in clinical and radiological parameters both at test and control sites may also be attributed to the physical characteristic of the regenerative materials used. The type of attachment formed after periodontal regeneration can be determined accurately only by histological analysis of tissue blocks obtained from the healed area [43]. Although this method can offer clear evidence of a new attachment apparatus, it has certain limitations, the most relevant being the ethical angle and secondly, the need to remove a tooth with its periodontium after successful treatment limits this method to volunteers who need the extraction for prosthetic or other reasons.

Biograft bone regenerative materials are both biocompatible and bioactive and hydroxyapatite phase is the major constituent. These materials of porous crystalline structure provide osteoconductivity and resorbability. Hydroxyapatite has a stoichiometry similar to natural bone structure and provides an osteoconductive scaffold in the bone regenerative process [29]. Combination of PRP and biograft-HT demonstrated slightly more favorable results compared to biograft-HT alone. The precise role played by PRP in the defect fill is difficult to determine but may be explained on the basis of tissue engineering mechanics. Tissue engineering combines three key elements for regeneration i) scaffolds or matrix ii) signaling molecules iii) cells [44]. By combining these elements under appropriate biological and environmental conditions tissue regeneration will become more predictable [44]. Applying this concept to the present study, biograft-HT could be considered a scaffold for delivery of growth factors present in PRP. The PDGF and TGF’s in PRP may have worked in promoting the growth and differentiation of periodontal and alveolar bone cells rapidly in the test sites. An in vitro study by Strayhorn et al., suggested PDGF acts mostly on osteoblastic cell proliferation exerting most of its effect during early phases of wound healing, whereas TGF-β plays a role in osteoblast and cementoblast differentiation [45]. PRP is an autologous preparation, consisting of high concentration of platelets and is therefore completely safe for the patient. Clotting was initiated with autologous human thrombin to reduce the risk of formation of cytotoxic antibody. The only drawback was the need to have a clinician proficient in drawing blood and extra time to prepare coagulated PRP for actual use. However the results obtained from PRP studies are quite contradictory. Histomorphometric analysis revealed a higher percentage of bone contact in cases where PRP was used in conjunction with bone graft [33]. Some authors showed that the filling with a PRP gel alone achieved a similar bone regeneration than the use of a membrane for guided tissue regeneration [46,47] or even than the filling with a bone substitute [48]. However, other authors demonstrated that PRP alone was not able to support a significant bone regeneration [25] and that PRP should be associated to other materials in order to give good results for the healing of periodontal lesions [49]. The comparison of the data is difficult since the kind of PRPs used in these studies is once again difficult to determine, but these contradictory studies suggest that the size and form of the defect significantly conditioned the true potential of the platelet concentrate. PRP gels are indeed fragile and soft filling material, and are thus highly sensitive to mechanical constraints. When the intrabony defects present several walls and are easily protected, a platelet gel acts as a stabilized blood clot and therefore becomes the perfect filling material for a natural tissue regeneration. This kind of treatment is obviously more natural and therefore better than filling with a bone substitute that will require many years to resorb and remodel, even if the sole objective of clinical tooth stability is the same with both approaches. But when the local conditions are not optimum, PRP gels alone are not strong enough to promote a clinical filling equivalent to the dense bone filling reached with a bone substitute [50]. Most of the randomized controlled clinical trials(RCTs) demonstrated that the addition of PRP to certain regenerative materials,
The following conclusions were drawn from the present study:

1. Both the regenerative materials (Biograft-HT and PRP) were safe to use, without causing any immunologic or antigenic reactions in any of the patients.

2. There was a significant improvement in clinical parameters and radiographic dimensions within each group during the 6 and 9 month study period. However, the differences between the groups were not significant with regard to both clinical and radiographic parameters.

3. A highly statistically significant difference in the percentage defect resolution was observed at the test sites when compared to the control sites at the end of 9 months.

Limitations and recommendation of the present study

1. Histological evaluation was not done due to ethical considerations. Hence, as the true end point of regenerative therapy further histological evaluation of outcome of treatment with this material is needed.

2. The long term effects of these treatment options need to be assessed with larger sample size and longer study period.

3. In future, third generation probes could be used to overcome the problems with reliability of measurement of clinical recordings.
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