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Abstract: In this work we derive a general expression for the greybody factor of non-minimally coupled scalar fields in Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter spacetime in low frequency approximation. Greybody factor as a characteristic of effective potential barrier, will be presented. We discuss the role of cosmological constant both, in the absence as well as in the presence of non-minimal coupling. Considering non-minimal coupling as a mass term, its effect on the greybody factor will be discussed. We also elaborate the significance of the results by giving formulae of differential energy rate and general absorption cross section. The greybody factor gives insight into the spectrum of Hawking radiations.
1 Introduction

The study of asymptotically non-flat spacetime geometries received a lot of attention after it was discovered that our Universe has entered into a new phase of accelerated expansion [1]. Among these non-flat geometries de Sitter spacetime is of great interest due to its rich symmetries and also because it could incorporate the accelerated expansion of the Universe due to the presence of non-zero cosmological constant in the Einstein field equations. As predicted, the Universe is in continuous expansion, so in far future it will pass through a de Sitter phase. Further, de Sitter geometry could also approximate the inflationary phase of our Universe [2]. The fact that all black hole spacetimes of Kerr-Newman family can be generalized to include a cosmological constant makes black hole de Sitter spacetimes an interesting field of investigation. De Sitter spacetime is the maximally symmetric Lorentzian space having positive curvature. In four dimensions the symmetry group is $SO(1,4)$ and topology is $R \times S$. Due to the structure of de Sitter spacetime inertial observers are surrounded by cosmological horizons, which are a characteristic of spacetimes having positive cosmological constant. Also dS/CFT correspondence enhances the interest in the study of de Sitter spacetimes as they provide connection with conformal field theories. The absorption and emission spectra of a Schwarzschild black hole was studied in Ref. [3]. There has been a considerable interest in the study of greybody factor of scalar and fermionic radiations from asymptotically flat spacetimes and black strings [4–15].

Black hole emission and absorption phenomena is related to this important quantity known as greybody factor. It is this quantity that makes it different from emission and absorption of black body. The question is, how this quantity originated? It is generated
by an effective potential barrier by black hole spacetimes. This potential quantum mechanically allows some of the radiation to transmit and remaining to reflect back. This leads to the frequency dependent greybody factor. Due to this factor black hole thermal radiation formula is different from the black body radiation formula. Greybody factor not only alters the thermal radiation formula but is also important to compute the partial absorption cross section of black holes \[16-18\]. In the literature there are investigations for greybody factor of scalar fields for Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole. These include the cases of lowest partial modes in low energy regimes \[19-22\].

In this paper we use the simple matching technique to solve the radial equation resulting from the Klein Gordon equation in the background of the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole. In this method we divide the space into two regimes, namely near the black hole horizon and near the cosmological horizon and find solutions for radial equations in both the regimes separately. Then we stretch these solutions to an intermediate point \(r_m\). The choice of \(r_m\) is such that

\[r_h < r_m, \quad r_c > r_m \quad \text{and} \quad \omega r_m \ll 1,\]  

where \(r_h\) and \(r_c\) correspond to black hole and cosmological horizons respectively and \(\omega\) is the frequency.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will discuss the Klein Gordon equation and the profile of effective potential in the background of Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole. In Section 3 we compute the greybody factor, starting from near black hole horizon solution, near cosmological horizon solution, and then matching them at an intermediate point. This yields expressions for greybody factor and spectrum of Hawking radiations. At the end we make some concluding remarks.

## 2 Klein Gordon equation and profile of effective potential

The spacetime metric for Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole is given by,

\[ds^2 = g(r)dt^2 - \frac{1}{g(r)}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),\]  

where

\[g(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}.\]  

and related electromagnetic field is given by the four-potential

\[A_\mu = \frac{Q}{r}\delta^t_\mu.\]  

Here \(M\) is mass and \(Q\) is charge of the black hole. Introducing a dimensionless cosmological parameter \(\lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{3} M^2\), a dimensionless charge \(e = \frac{Q}{M}\) and dimensionless coordinates \(t \rightarrow t/M, r \rightarrow r/M\). It is equivalent to putting \(M = 1\) \[23\]. The horizons are determined by the condition

\[1 - \frac{2}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2} - \lambda r^2 = 0.\]  

\[-2-\]
It is clear from above equation that in the special case of $e = 0$, the black hole spacetimes exist for all $\lambda \leq 0$ and for $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{r_+}$.

Before going into detailed calculations of analytic result of greybody factor we comment about its validity. It is interesting to note that it is valid for arbitrary quantum number $l$ and coupling $\xi$. On the other hand the accuracy of the result is guaranteed only if the two asymptotic regions overlap, which implies that it is only valid for small frequencies. Also the approximation which we have used is justified for “small” black holes (compared with characteristic dS scale) that is $\lambda << 1$. Therefore the result of greybody factor is valid only in complementary regions of parameter space.

We consider a scalar field theory in which the field is either minimally or non-minimally coupled to gravity and described by the following action

$$ S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}[R - \xi \Phi^2 - \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi]. \quad (2.5) $$

The equation of motion for the above theory can be written as

$$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} \left[ \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) \right] = -4\xi \Lambda \Phi. \quad (2.6) $$

In above, we have used $R = -4\Lambda$ and $\xi$ is a coupling constant determining the magnitude of coupling between the scalar and gravitational field, with $\xi = 0$ corresponding to the minimal coupling. In matrix form the above line element can be written as

$$ g_{\mu \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g(r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g(r)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7) $$

Also,

$$ \sqrt{-g} = r^2 \sin \theta. \quad (2.8) $$

Using these values in equation (2.6), it takes the form

$$ \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \partial_t \left( \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{g(r)} \partial_t \Phi \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \partial_r \left( r^2 \sin \theta (-g(r)) \partial_r \Phi \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \partial_\theta \left( -\sin \theta \partial_\theta \Phi \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \partial_\phi (\partial_\phi \Phi) = -4\xi \Lambda \Phi. \quad (2.9) $$

Let

$$ \Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = e^{-i\omega t} R(r) Y(\theta, \phi), \quad (2.10) $$

therefore, the radial part of equation (2.9) is

$$ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 g(r) \right) \frac{dR(r)}{dr} + \left[ \frac{\omega^2}{g(r)} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + 4\xi \Lambda \right] R(r) = 0, \quad (2.11) $$

where $l(l+1)$ are the eigenvalues coming from the $(\theta, \phi)$ part.
Before solving equation (2.11) we will discuss the profile of effective potential due to which greybody factor originates. We employ the following transformation on equation (2.11)

$$R(r) = \frac{U(r)}{r}$$

(2.12)

and the tortoise coordinate

$$x \equiv \int \frac{dr}{g},$$

(2.13)

such that

$$\frac{d}{dx} = g \frac{d}{dr}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = g^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + gg' \frac{d}{dr}.$$ Thus equation (2.11) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \omega^2 - V_{eff}(r)\right)U(r) = 0,$$

(2.14)

with

$$V_{eff}(r) = g(r) \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - 4\xi\Lambda + \frac{g'}{r}\right).$$

(2.15)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{profile.png}
\caption{Profile of effective potential for different values of the cosmological constant for $\xi = 0.01$, $q = 1$ and $l = 0$.}
\end{figure}

In Fig. 1, we draw the profile of effective potential for different values of the cosmological constant for $\xi = 0.01$, $q = 1$ and $l = 0$. It is observed that an increase in the value of cosmological constant, decreases the height of gravitational barrier and thus enhances the greybody factor. In Fig. 2, the effective potential is depicted for different values of the coupling constant and $\Lambda = 0.01$, $q = 1$ and $l = 0$. It is observed that increase in the value of the coupling constant leads to the increase in gravitational barrier, which subsequently suppresses the emission of scalar fields.
3 Greybody factor computation

3.1 Near black hole horizon solution

Equation (2.11) is the master equation of our interest. We will solve this equation in two regions separately, namely, near the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon by using a semi-classical approach known as simple matching technique. Then we will match both the solutions to an intermediate region to get the analytical expression for the greybody factor.

For the near horizon region \( r \sim r_h \), we will perform the following transformation to simplify the radial equation [22]

\[ r \to f = \frac{g(r)}{1 - \Xi r^2}, \tag{3.1} \]

where

\[ \Xi = \frac{\Lambda}{3}. \]

Thus we get

\[ \frac{df}{dr} = (1 - f) \frac{B(r_h)}{r_h (1 - \Xi r^2)}, \tag{3.2} \]

where, in the above

\[ B(r_h) = \left( \frac{-6\Lambda M r_h^3 + 4\Lambda Q^2 r_h^2 + 6Mr_h - 6Q^2}{6Mr_h - 3Q^2} \right). \tag{3.3} \]

Using equations (3.1) and (3.2) in (2.11), we obtain

\[ f(1-f) \frac{d^2R(f)}{df^2} + (1 - C_* f) \frac{dR(f)}{df} + \left[ \frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h) (1 - f)} f - \frac{\lambda_r}{B^2(r_h) (1 - f)} \right] R(f) = 0. \tag{3.4} \]

Here

\[ F_* = \omega r_h, \tag{3.5} \]
\[ C_s = \frac{r_h (1 - \Xi r_h^2)}{(1 - f)^2 B (r_h)} - \frac{2r_h^2 \Xi (1 - \Xi r_h^2)}{(1 - f) B (r_h)}, \]  
\[ \lambda_h = [\ell (l + 1) - 4 \xi \Lambda] r_h^2. \]

In order to further simplify the above equation we use field redefinition
\[ R(f) = f^{\mu_1} (1 - f)^{\nu_1} F(f). \]

In equation (3.4) we use this definition of \( R(f) \) to get
\[ f (1 - f) \frac{d^2 F(f)}{df^2} + [1 + 2 \mu_1 - (2 \mu_1 + 2 \nu_1 + C_s) f] \frac{dF}{df} + \left( \mu_1^2 f - \mu_1^2 + \mu_1 - 2 \mu_1 \nu_1 + \frac{\nu_1^2}{1 - f} - \frac{2 \nu_1}{1 - f} \right) \]
\[ + \nu_1 - \mu_1 C_s + \frac{\nu_1 C_s}{1 - f} - \nu_1 C_s + \frac{F_s^2}{B^2 (r_h)} f^2 + \frac{F_s^2}{B^2 (r_h)} (1 - f) - \frac{\lambda_h (1 - \Xi r_h^2)}{B^2 (r_h) (1 - f)} F(f) = 0 \]  \[ (3.9) \]

Now, define
\[ a_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1 + C_s - 1, \]
\[ b_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1, \]
\[ c_1 = 1 + 2 \mu_1. \]

Also constraints coming from the coefficients of \( F(f) \) give
\[ \mu_1^2 + \frac{F_s^2}{B^2 (r_h)} = 0, \]  \[ (3.13) \]
and
\[ \nu_1^2 + \nu_1 (C_s - 2) - \frac{F_s^2}{B^2 (r_h)} - \frac{\lambda_h (1 - \Xi r_h^2)}{B^2 (r_h)} = 0. \]  \[ (3.14) \]

From here we find the values of \( \mu_1 \) and \( \nu_1 \) as
\[ \mu_1 = \pm \frac{F_s}{B (r_h)}, \]
and
\[ \nu_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (2 - C_s) \pm \sqrt{(2 - C_s)^2 - 4 \left( \frac{F_s^2}{B^2 (r_h)} - \frac{4 \lambda_h (1 - \Xi r_h^2)}{B^2 (r_h)} \right)} \right]. \]  \[ (3.16) \]

Thus equation (3.9) by virtue of equations (3.10, 3.11, 3.12) and constraints (3.13, 3.14) becomes
\[ f (1 - f) \frac{d^2 F(f)}{df^2} + [c_1 - (1 + a_1 + b_1) f] \frac{dF(f)}{df} - a_1 b_1 F(f) = 0. \]  \[ (3.17) \]

In the near horizon region the solution can be written in the form of general hypergeometric function, which has the form
\[ R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\mu_1} (1 - f)^{\nu_1} F(a, b; c; f) + A_2 f^{-\mu_1} (1 - f)^{\nu_1} F(a - c + 1, b - c + 1, 2 - c; f) \]  \[ (3.18) \]
where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are arbitrary constants. For the near horizon case there exists no outgoing mode, we choose \(A_2 = 0\), thus we get

\[
R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\mu_1} (1 - f)^{\nu_1} F(a, b, c; f).
\]

### 3.2 Near cosmological horizon solution

We now solve the radial equation (2.11) close to the cosmological horizon \(r_c\). In this case we choose the radial function \(h(r)\), in place of \(g(r)\), which is defined as

\[
h(r) = 1 - \Xi r^2.
\]

We employ the following transformation on equation (2.11)

\[
r \to h(r),
\]

so that

\[
\frac{dh}{dr} = \frac{(1 - h)}{r} (-2).
\]

Using this, equation (2.11) becomes

\[
h(1 - h) \frac{d^2 R(h)}{dh^2} + (1 - 2h) \frac{dR(h)}{dh} + \left[ \frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2 (1 - h) h} - \frac{\lambda_c}{(1 - h) B_c^2} \right] R(h) = 0,
\]

where, \(F_c = \omega r_c^2\), \(B_c = -2\) and \(\lambda_c = [l (l + 1) - 4 \xi \Lambda] r_c^2\). We redefine the radial function as

\[
R(h) = h^{\mu_2} (1 - h)^{\nu_2} X(h).
\]

Using equation (3.24) in (3.23) it takes the form

\[
h (1 - h) \frac{d^2 X(h)}{dh^2} + [c_2 - (1 + a_2 + b_2) f] \frac{dX(h)}{dh} - a_2 b_2 X(h) = 0.
\]

In the above we defined

\[
a_2 = \mu_2 + \nu_2 + 1,
\]

\[
b_2 = \mu_2 + \nu_2,
\]

\[
c_2 = 1 + 2 \mu_2.
\]

Also the constraints coming from the coefficients of \(X(h)\) are

\[
\mu_2^2 + \frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2} = 0
\]

and

\[
\nu_2^2 + \frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2} - \frac{\lambda_c}{B_c^2} = 0.
\]
From the above two equations we obtain the values of power coefficients as
\[
µ_{2\pm} = \pm \frac{F_c}{B_c}, \nu_{2\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2} - \frac{\lambda_c}{B_c^2}}.
\] (3.31)

Equation (3.25) is again a hypergeometric equation and in order to ensure the convergence of the hypergeometric function, we choose negative sign of \(\nu_2\). Near the cosmological constant both the modes, incoming and outgoing exist, so the general solution can be written as
\[
R(h)_{CH} = B_1 h^{\nu_2} (1-h)^{\nu_2} F(a_2, b_2; c_2; h) + B_2 h^{-\nu_2} (1-h)^{\nu_2} F(a_2 - c_2 + 1, b_2 - c_2 + 1, 2 - c_2; h).
\] (3.32)

### 3.3 Matching to an intermediate region

In order to match the above two solutions of the radial equation i.e., near horizon and near cosmological horizon solutions, to an intermediate region we use matching technique. We first shift the near horizon solution given in equation (3.19) to an intermediate region, for which we change the argument of the hypergeometric function from \(f\) to \(1 - f\). This gives the following \([8, 24]\).

\[
R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\nu_1} (1-f)^{\nu_1} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1 - a_1 - b_1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} F(a_1, b_1, a_1 + b_1 - c_1 + 1; 1 - f) \right. \\
\left. + (1-f)^{c_1-a_1-b_1} \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1 + b_1 - c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)} F(c_1 - a_1, c_1 - b_1, c_1 - a_1 - b_1 + 1; 1 - f) \right\}. \] (3.33)

In the limit \(r \gg r_h, f \to 1\) and we can use
\[
(1-f)^{\nu_1} \approx \left(\frac{r_h}{r}\right)^{\nu_1} \approx \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^l,
\] (3.34)

and
\[
(1-f)^{\nu_1 + c_1 - a_1 - b_1} \approx \left(\frac{r_h}{r}\right)^{2-B_h-\nu_1} \approx \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{-(l+1)}.
\] (3.35)

So, in an intermediate region the solution will take the following form
\[
R(r)_{BH} = A_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1 - a_1 - b_1)}{\Gamma(c_1 - a_1)\Gamma(c_1 - b_1)} \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^l + A_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1 + b_1 - c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)} \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{-(l+1)}, \] (3.36)

or
\[
R(r)_{BH} = F_1 r^{-(l+1)} + F_2 r^l.
\] (3.37)

In the above we have used
\[
F_1 = A_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1 + b_1 - c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)},
\] (3.38)
\[ F_2 = A_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1 - a_1 - b_1)}{\Gamma(c_1 - a_1)\Gamma(c_1 - b_1)}. \] (3.39) 

Now we turn to equation (3.32) and shift its argument of hypergeometric function from \( h \) to \( 1 - h \). Thus near cosmological horizon, we have \( h(r_c) \to 0 \), therefore

\[ (1 - h)^{\nu_2} \simeq \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{\nu_2} \simeq \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-(l+1)}, \] (3.40)

and

\[ (1 - h)^{\nu_2 + c_2 - a_2 - b_2} \simeq \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-(1 + 2\nu_2)} \simeq \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{l}. \] (3.41)

By using the above approximations and properties of hypergeometric functions [24] we can write equation (3.37) as

\[
R(r)_C = \left[ B_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(c_2 - a_2 - b_2)}{\Gamma(c_2 - a_2)\Gamma(c_2 - b_2)} + B_2 \frac{\Gamma(2 - c_2)\Gamma(c_2 - a_2 - b_2)}{\Gamma(1 - a_2)\Gamma(1 - b_2)} \right] \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-(l+1)}
\]

\[ + \left[ B_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(a_2 + b_2 - c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)} + B_2 \frac{\Gamma(2 - c_2)\Gamma(a_2 - b_2 - c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2 + 1 - c_2)\Gamma(b_2 + 1 - c_2)} \right] \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^l, \] (3.42)

or

\[ R(r)_C = (F_3 B_1 + F_4 B_2) \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^{-(l+1)} + (F_5 B_1 + F_6 B_2) \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^l. \] (3.43)

Here we have used

\[
F_3 = \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(c_2 - a_2 - b_2)}{\Gamma(c_2 - a_2)\Gamma(c_2 - b_2)}, \quad F_4 = \frac{\Gamma(2 - c_2)\Gamma(c_2 - a_2 - b_2)}{\Gamma(1 - a_2)\Gamma(1 - b_2)},
\] (3.44)

\[
F_5 = \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(a_2 + b_2 - c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)}, \quad F_6 = \frac{\Gamma(2 - c_2)\Gamma(a_2 - b_2 - c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2 + 1 - c_2)\Gamma(b_2 + 1 - c_2)}.
\] (3.45)

### 3.4 Greybody factor

Greybody factor for the emission of scalar fields can be defined by the amplitudes of the waves at the stretched cosmological horizon solution [22] that is

\[ |A_l|^2 = 1 - \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right|^2. \] (3.46)

As the power law in (3.37) and (3.43) is same, we match these two solutions to get the values of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) as

\[
B_1 = \frac{F_1 F_6 - F_2 F_4}{F_3 F_6 - F_4 F_5}, \tag{3.47}
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{F_2 F_3 - F_1 F_5}{F_3 F_6 - F_4 F_5}. \tag{3.48}
\]

Using values from equations (3.47) and (3.48) in (3.46), we get the analytic formula for greybody factor for arbitrary mode \( l \) as

\[ |A_l|^2 = 1 - \left| \frac{F_2 F_3 - F_1 F_5}{F_1 F_6 - F_2 F_4} \right|^2. \] (3.49)
In the following plots we depict the greybody factor $|A_l|^2$ as a function of $\omega r_h$. In Fig. 3, we take $\Lambda = 0.001$, $q = 3.5$, $l = 0$, for different values of $\xi$; in Fig. 4 $\Lambda = 0.05$, $q = 3.5$, $l = 0$, for different values of $\xi$; in Fig. 5 $\Lambda = 0.01$, $q = 3.5$ and $l = 0$, for different values of $\xi$; in Fig. 6 $\xi = 0.2$, $\Lambda = 0.001$ and $l = 0$, for different values of $q$; in Fig. 7 $\xi = 1$, $\Lambda = 0.001$, $l = 0$, for different values of $q$. An increase in value of the coupling parameter, decreases the greybody factor. This is due to the fact that non-minimal coupling plays the role of an effective mass and hence suppresses the greybody factor.

**Figure 3.** Greybody factor as a function of $\omega r_h$ for different values of $\xi$, when $\Lambda = 0.001$, $q = 3.5$ and $l = 0$.

**Figure 4.** Greybody factor as a function of $\omega r_h$ for different values of $\xi$, when $\Lambda = 0.05$, $q = 3.5$ and $l = 0$. 
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Figure 5. Greybody factor as a function of $\omega r_h$ for different values of $\xi$, when $\Lambda = 0.01$, $q = 3.5$ and $l = 0$.

Figure 6. Greybody factor as a function of $\omega r_h$ for different values of $q$, when $\xi = 0.2$, $\Lambda = 0.001$ and $l = 0$. 
Figure 7. Greybody factor as a function of $\omega r_h$ for different values of $q$, when $\xi = 1$, $\Lambda = 0.001$ and $l = 0$.

### 3.5 Energy emission

The flux spectrum, that is, the number of massless scalar particles emitted by the black hole per unit time is given by \[ (3.50) \]

$$
\frac{dN(\omega)}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{x}{M}} - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) A_l(\omega).
$$

By using the value from equation (3.49) into (3.50) we get

$$
\frac{dN(\omega)}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{x}{M}} - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) \left( 1 - \frac{|F_2 F_3 - F_1 F_5|^2}{F_1 F_6 - F_2 F_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Also, the differential energy rate is given by \[ (3.52) \]

$$
\frac{d^2E(\omega)}{dt d\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{e^{\frac{x}{M}} - 1}{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) A_l(\omega)}.
$$

On using the value of the greybody factor we get

$$
\frac{d^2E(\omega)}{dt d\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{e^{\frac{x}{M}} - 1}{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) \left( 1 - \frac{|F_2 F_3 - F_1 F_5|^2}{F_1 F_6 - F_2 F_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
$$

The relevance of the low frequency limit is evident from the above results, that the coupling is only significant in this regime. As the coupling to scalar field is irrelevant in high frequency limits, the enhancement in emission rate occurs only at low frequencies.

### 3.6 Generalized absorption cross section

The definition of absorption cross section for asymptotically flat spacetimes is not valid for asymptotically non-flat spacetimes. For these cases the general formula for absorption
cross section is given by \[25, 26\]

\[
\sigma = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sigma_l = \frac{\pi}{\omega^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) A_l (\omega). \tag{3.54}
\]

Using the value from equation (3.49) we get

\[
\sigma = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sigma_l = \frac{\pi}{\omega^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l + 1) \sqrt{\left(1 - \left|\frac{F_2 F_3 - F_{1F_5}}{F_{1F_6} - F_{2F_4}}\right|^2\right)}. \]

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived the analytic expression of greybody factor for non-minimally coupled scalar fields from Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole in low energy approximation. This expression is valid for general partial modes. For coupling to scalar curvature, which can be regarded as mass or charge terms, greybody factor tend to zero like \(\omega^2\), irrespective of the values of the coupling parameter. A non-zero greybody factor in low frequency regime means that there is non-zero emission rate of Hawking radiations. The matching technique is used in deriving the formula for greybody factor. The significance of the results is elaborated by giving formulae for differential rate of energy and generalized absorption cross section from greybody factor.

The results of the present study reduce to those of Ref. [22] in appropriate limiting case, that is, if we put charge \(Q = 0\) we recover the previously reported results. The effective potential and greybody factor are also analyzed graphically. We observe that the height of gravitational barrier increases with the increase of \(\xi\), the coupling parameter, whereas in the absence of this parameter it is decreased by increasing values of the cosmological constant. Also from the plot of greybody factor it is observed that an increase in the value of coupling parameter, decreases the greybody factor. This is due to the fact that non-minimal coupling plays the role of an effective mass and hence suppresses the greybody factor. Greybody factor is analyzed for different values of charge in the presence of non-minimal coupling.
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