In 2011–2012, northern European livestock faced a threat from a newly emerged virus, Schmallenberg virus (SBV), only a few years after a major outbreak of bluetongue serotype 8 (BTV-8). Like BTV-8, SBV is transmitted by Culicoides biting midges to ruminants and spread throughout Europe. SBV, however, spread faster, reaching the UK within three months of initial discovery. Adult ruminants show only mild, if any, clinical signs; however, infection of naïve ruminants by SBV during the vulnerable period of gestation leads to abortions, stillbirths and fetal malformations. Although some data exist for the prevalence of SBV on UK sheep farms early in the outbreak, we have no information on its current status. Is SBV still circulating in the UK? To answer this, the authors designed a freedom from disease study across the southernmost counties of the UK. During autumn 2015, 1444 sheep, from 131 farms, were tested for antibodies against SBV by ELISA; 5 samples from 4 farms were twice found positive by ELISA but were later confirmed negative by virus neutralisation test. As the sheep were born between October 2014 and April 2015, the authors conclude that it is unlikely that SBV is still circulating in the south of England.
suckling lambs (Rodríguez-Prieto and others 2014). While experimentally infected cattle have been demonstrated to remain immune to reinfection for at least 56 days (Wernike and others 2013). Four cases of SBV were confirmed on January 16, 2012 (Harris and others 2014). Voluntary reporting recorded 81 and 87 serologically confirmed cases in UK sheep in 2012 and 2013, respectively (AHVLA 2013); however, no cases of SBV were confirmed by PCR in lambs or calves presenting with arthrogryposis by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) in 2014 or 2015 (APHA, personal communication). A recent study of naive cattle from the Netherlands detected a low level of SBV (<1 per cent) in 2013 (Veldhuis and others 2015). A German study reported a recurrence of SBV in cattle in 2014, despite an apparent decrease in cases the previous year (Wernike others 2015).

The high circulation of SBV in the UK in 2012 and 2013 followed by a subsequent decline in cases in 2014 and 2015 leads to the following question; is this apparent decline in cases in the UK a true decrease in circulation or a lack of reporting? This study aimed to determine whether SBV was still circulating in the southernmost counties of England in 2015 by examining the serological status of sheep born after the 2014 vector period.

Materials and methods

All animal work was reviewed and approved by the University of Liverpool internal ethics committee (VREC310) and carried out under a Home Office Project Licence (PPL 70/8529). All farmers gave informed written consent and were reminded of their right to withdraw from the study at any point.

To calculate the number of farms needed to substantiate a prevalence of 2.5 per cent or below the software package, FFD was implemented in R (Kopacka 2011). As sheep occur within flocks, a two-stage cluster analysis was used to estimate both the number of flocks and the number of sheep within each flock to be sampled; individual sampling was selected to allow the test sensitivity to remain the same across flocks. The α-error threshold was set to 0.05 (5 per cent). An intraherd prevalence of 20 per cent was set, which is lower than the prevalence recorded in several large-scale continental studies, but closer to the lower range reported in a 2013 UK study (Elbers and others 2012, Nanjiani and others 2013, Veldhuis and others 2013, Mèroc and others 2013b); herd sensitivity of $S_{\text{herd}}=90\%$ was set (EFSA 2014), with a known test sensitivity of $S_{\text{t}}=97.2\%$ (Bréard and others 2013). The total number of sheep holdings in the southernmost counties of England was extracted from the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 2010 census: a total of 6495 sheep holdings were registered. This determined a necessary sample size of 11 sheep per holding collected from 131 holdings to detect prevalence below 2.5 per cent with 95 per cent confidence. Holdings were recruited for the study through the National Sheep Association (NSA) South West show, NSA magazine and large animal veterinary practices (Fig 1). The number of holdings sampled per county was stratified based on DEFRA 2010 census data: Cornwall (n=18), Devon (n=67), Dorset (n=12), Hampshire (n=5), Sussex (n=20) and Kent (n=9).

Blood samples were collected between September 15, 2015, and December 11, 2015, from the jugular vein of 12 sheep per holding (11, plus 1 to account for failures). Sampling began after the spring and summer peaks in midge activity, with the majority of samples collected after the final autumn peak in midge activity (Sanders and others 2011). All sampled sheep were born after October 2014 and were more than six months old at time of sampling to exclude animals with immunity following infection in 2012, 2013 or 2014 and to avoid maternal antibodies. This assumption is based on the maternal antibodies of calves lasting less than six months for both SBV and Akabane virus (Tsutsui and others 2009, Elbers and others 2014). Serum was

---

**FIG 1:** Map of the south of England showing the distribution of sampled farms. Exact farm location has been jittered and enlarged to prevent individual participant identification. Ten farmers asked that their farm location was not mapped.
extracted from the blood samples and analysed by a commercially available SBV antibody ELISA (ID Screen SBV indirect, IDvet, France) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Serum samples were considered negative if the S/P% (sample to positive percentage) was up to 50 per cent calculated as per manufacturer’s instructions. Samples returning an S/P% greater than 50 per cent were sent to the APHA to be confirmed by a virus neutralisation test (VNT). An equal number of samples returning an S/P% less than 50 per cent were also sent as blind controls; they were selected randomly using the RANDBETWEEN function in Microsoft Excel to determine farm number and then sample number from farm. Two positive controls were used in the VNT, with VNT titres of 1/40 and 1/80, respectively. Samples were determined to be negative if the VNT titre was greater than 1/5 based on the minimum dilution undertaken at the APHA (La Rocca, personal communication).

Results

A total of 1572 sheep from 131 holdings were sampled between September 15 and December 11, 2015. Flock sizes ranged from 20 to 5000, all sheep sampled were born between October 2014 and April 2015. Of the 131 holdings sampled, 103 were lowland flocks, 3 hill, 8 upland, 10 had flocks across lowland, hill and/or upland pastures and 2 holdings declined to answer or were unsure.

Half (50.0 per cent) of farmers (57/124, seven farmers declined to answer) reported that they had previously suspected cases of SBV infection in their flocks in the form of birth of lambs showing typical congenital abnormalities. Of these 57 farmers, 12 had cases that were diagnosed by a vet but not laboratory confirmed, while 13 had cases that were diagnosed by a vet and laboratory confirmed as SBV. In the remaining 32 suspect case farms, none had disease diagnosed, either by a vet or laboratory.

Only 13.7 per cent (17/124) of farmers stated that they had vaccinated their sheep against SBV; 15 farmers stated they vaccinated in 2013, while 2 farmers vaccinated in both 2013 and 2014. One farmer vaccinated only their cattle against SBV but not their sheep.

By contrast, only 1.6 per cent (2/124) of the farmers stated that they had had cases of BTV on farm, with 78.2 per cent (97/124) stating that they had vaccinated against BTV for at least one year.

A total of 11 sheep from each holding were tested by ELISA for antibodies against SBV (1444 samples in total). Overall nine samples, from eight holdings, returned doubtful or positive (S/P per cent > 50 per cent) results for antibodies to SBV when tested by ELISA. These samples were retested by ELISA, with five samples, from four holdings returning positive for antibodies against SBV. No antibodies were detected in these five samples when tested by VNT at the APHA (Table 1).

Discussion

This study found it unlikely that any antibodies against SBV were circulating in the sheep tested. As these sheep were born between October 2014 and May 2015, we can be 95 per cent confident that if SBV was circulating in the south of England in the 2015 vector period, it was present below the 2.5 per cent prevalence threshold designed by this study. Using a similar testing procedure, a study of cattle in the Netherlands determined a maximum possible prevalence of herds to be <1 per cent prevalence in 2015 (Veldhuis and others 2015).

The specificity of the commercial ELISA kit used was reported to be 99.9 per cent, giving a likely false-positive rate of ~3 samples of the 1444 tested. Initially 9 out of the 1444 samples returned positive by ELISA for SBV-specific antibodies, higher than the calculated test false-positive rate. However, other studies have cast doubt on the high specificity of the test if the virus is circulating below the peak outbreak levels, with a false-positive rate of 41 per cent reported in wild cervids (Laloy and others 2014) tested by both the indirect ELISA used here and the VNT (Bréard and others 2013, Laloy and others 2014). The use of VNTs as conformational tests for commercial ELISAs is considered advisable due to the high (~99–100 per cent) sensitivity and specificity of the VNT (Loeffen and others 2012).

As observed during the height of the SBV outbreak in Europe, the transmission of SBV is highly efficient, spreading rapidly both within and between flocks (Veldhuis and others 2015, Méroc and others 2013a, EFSA 2014, Wernike and others 2014). This spread was far faster than that of BTV-8, likely due to the much shorter viraemia, much higher probability of host to vector transmission and SBV’s predicted faster replication rate and replication at a lower temperature threshold than BTV-8 (Gubbins and others 2014). Even with low levels of SBV circulation and few susceptible hosts on farm, previous studies have demonstrated eventual seroconversion of these individuals (Elbers and others 2013). These characteristics of SBV make it also highly unlikely that the five ELISA-positive samples were true positives as that would mean SBV was persisting at a very low prevalence, within a large naive population. However, this

| Sheep ID | Holding ID | County | Breed | Born | Sampled | S/P% ELISA | S/P% retest | VNT result | Previous Suspected SBV cases on farm | Vaccinated flock against SBV in 2013 |
|----------|------------|--------|-------|------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1        | 21         | Dorset | Texel | March 2015 | September 2015 | 166.55$^*$ | 9.82$^*$ | Not Tested | No | Yes |
| 2        | 25         | Dorset | Poll Dorset | January 2015 | September 2015 | 64.93$^*$ | 46.40$^*$ | Not Tested | No | No |
| 3        | 46         | Hampshire | Hampshire | December 2014 | October 2015 | 60.77$^*$ | 44.41$^*$ | Not Tested | Yes$^†$ | No |
| 4        | 48         | Cornwall | Roussin | February 2015 | October 2015 | 55.43$^*$ | 39.54$^*$ | Not Tested | Yes | No |
| 5        | 59         | Devon | Highlander | March 2015 | October 2015 | 78.14$^*$ | 120.62$^*$ | Negative | No | No |
| 6        | 108        | Sussex | Dorset | February 2015 | November 2015 | 110.32$^*$ | 80.97$^*$ | Negative | Yes$^†$ | No |
| 7        | 113        | Sussex | Charolais | February 2015 | November 2015 | 90.61$^*$ | 127.66$^*$ | Negative | Yes | No |
| 8        | 113        | Sussex | Charolais | February 2015 | November 2015 | 66.45$^*$ | 125.91$^*$ | Negative | No | No |
| 9        | 121        | Cornwall | Lleyn X Texel | March 2015 | November 2015 | 117.97$^*$ | 123.79$^*$ | Negative | No | No |
| 10       | 12         | Devon | Poll Dorset | December 2014 | September 2015 | 3.19$^†$ | Not Tested | Negative | Yes | No |
| 11       | 50         | Cornwall | Zwartable | March 2015 | October 2015 | 3.93$^†$ | Not Tested | Negative | No | No |
| 12       | 99         | Kent | Charolais | March 2015 | October 2015 | 8.46$^*$ | Not Tested | Negative | Yes | No |
| 13       | 106        | Sussex | Charolais | March 2015 | November 2015 | 2.50$^*$ | Not Tested | Negative | Yes$^‡$ | No |
| 14       | 115        | Sussex | Southdown X Dorset | April 2015 | November 2015 | 5.18$^*$ | Not Tested | Negative | Yes$^‡$ | No |

Samples 10–14 are negative controls for the VNT
ELISA positive S/P% ELISA negative S/P%
Laboratory-confirmed cases of SBV on farm
does not mean that it is impossible for SBV to persist at very low levels, particularly if reintroduced late in the 
Caliciviridae season, as the current knowledge of the epidemiology of SBV is still expanding.

Despite this, for SBV to continue, with a German study describing a decline of SBV occurrence in cattle in 2015 compared with 2011–2012 seroprevalence, followed by an increase in cases the following year (Wernike and others 2015). This is a frequent occurrence with midge-borne arboviruses. For example, since the end of the most recent BTV-8 outbreak, the serotype was considered absent from France, with disease-free status granted in 2012; only for it to re-emerge in August 2015 (Saillau and others 2015). It has been postulated that this new outbreak may have re-emerged from wildlife reservoirs, with red deer in Spain previously testing positive for BTV when local live-stock remained disease free (Ruzí-Fons and others 2014). If this was indeed the case, then greater emphasis should be put on surveil-
ance of wild ruminant populations to determine freedom within this potential reservoir source, particularly as far more wild species have been demonstrated to have SBV-specific anti-
bodies, with far higher prevalence in populations described, than for BTV-5 (Rossi and others 2015). An alternative to invasive on-farm procedures would be the widespread trapping of 
Caliciviridae for surveillance, perhaps by bulk testing by county/ 
canton to rapidly test large numbers of the insects (Poskin and 
others 2016). Targeted surveillance could also be used, collecting 
Caliciviridae at sites deemed ‘high risk’ for possible passive wind 
transfer from Europe, particularly in the event of recurrence on 
the continent. Regardless of the current status of SBV in Europe, this study has highlighted a large, naïve population, susceptible to future potential outbreaks within the south of England. Effective surve-
illance systems are therefore needed to warn vets and farmers of future disease risks.
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