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Abstract
A common pathological hallmark of age-related neurodegenerative diseases is the intracellular accumulation of protein aggregates such as α-synuclein in Parkinson's disease, TDP-43 in ALS, and tau in Alzheimer's disease. Enhancing intracellular clearance of aggregation-prone proteins is a plausible strategy for slowing progression of neurodegenerative diseases and there is great interest in identifying molecular targets that control protein turnover. One of the main routes for protein degradation is through the proteasome, a multisubunit protease that degrades proteins that have been tagged with a polyubiquitin chain by ubiquitin activating and conjugating enzymes. Published data from cellular models indicate that Ubiquitin-specific protease 14 (USP14), a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB), slows the degradation of tau and TDP-43 by the proteasome and that an inhibitor of USP14 increases the degradation of these substrates. We conducted similar experiments designed to evaluate tau, TDP-43, or α-synuclein levels in cells after overexpressing USP14 or knocking down endogenous expression by siRNA.
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Amendments from Version 1

In the new version we have specified the experimental conditions for repeat experiments. Repeated experiments showed similar results, but the conditions in each case were slightly different (i.e., varied concentrations of constructs or a different set of siRNAs were used). Therefore, we were unable to report averages across experiments or perform statistical analyses.

We have updated the discussion to address the comments by Scott Wilson and also the post-review comment from Dr. Kodadek. We cite two publications describing changes in neurodegenerative protein levels (prion protein or huntingtin) after transfection with USP14 or catalytically inactive mutant in vitro. However, it is beyond the scope of this research note to review the literature on I1.

We changed “arbitrary units” to “tau/actin” or “Flag-TDP-43/actin” as appropriate on the graphs in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

See referee reports

Introduction

Research on the ubiquitin-proteasome system has far reaching implications for the development of drugs to treat illnesses associated with the accumulation of misfolded proteins, including Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease (Ciechanover & Kwon, 2015). Ubiquitin-specific protease 14 (USP14), like its yeast ortholog Ubp6, is a proteasome-associated deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) that is activated upon binding to the proteasome and catalyzes the cleavage of ubiquitin subunits from substrates before degradation by the proteasome (Borodovsky et al., 2001; Hanna et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2005). By releasing ubiquitin molecules from the substrate, USP14/Ubp6 helps to prevent the rapid degradation of ubiquitin molecules together with the substrate protein (Hanna et al., 2007). A critical role of USP14 in stabilizing cellular ubiquitin levels was demonstrated in vivo in USP14 deficient ax1 mice which display decreased ubiquitin levels in all tissues with the greatest loss observed at synaptic terminals (Anderson et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2002).

In addition to maintaining cellular ubiquitin pools, USP14/Ubp6 has been shown to modulate substrate degradation. Goldberg and colleagues showed that upon binding to a substrate’s polyubiquitin chain, activated USP14/Ubp6 facilitates gate-opening of the proteasome (Peth et al., 2009). This mutual interaction of USP14/Ubp6 with the proteasome is thought to enhance selectivity of the proteasome for ubiquitinated proteins and couple deubiquitination to degradation. In contrast, Finley and colleagues found that USP14/Ubp6, and in some instances a catalytically inactive mutant (C114A in mammals), could cause an inhibition of the degradation of substrates (Hanna et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2010). For model substrates and ataxin3, this effect was shown to require USP14/Ubp6 protein but not its catalytic activity. For two proteins involved in neurodegenerative diseases, tau and TDP-43, inhibition of proteasomal degradation by USP14 was dependent on its deubiquitinating activity, since the catalytically inactive mutant had no effect (Lee et al., 2010). This led to the hypothesis and proof thereof that deubiquitination of substrates by USP14 at a faster rate than the proteasome initiates degradation could cause rejection of otherwise competent substrates from the proteasome (Lee et al., 2016).

Inhibition of USP14 by a small molecule inhibitor (IU1) enhanced proteasomal substrate degradation in cells overexpressing tau or TDP-43 (Lee et al., 2010). Thus, inhibition of USP14 was proposed as a therapeutic strategy to enhance proteasomal function in neurodegenerative diseases in which these proteins accumulate.

Methods

Constructs. Human USP14 (hUSP14wt), V5-tagged hUSP14wt (V5-hUSP14wt), catalytically inactive mutant USP14-C114A (hUSP14CA), V5-tagged hUSP14CA (V5-hUSP14CA), human tau, and Flag-tagged human TDP-43 (Flag-TDP-43) were cloned into the pTT5d expression vector by Amgen’s Protein Sciences department and confirmed by sequencing. Human α-synuclein-Flag CMV6 expression vector was purchased from Origene (#RC221446) and confirmed by sequencing.

Cell lines. All cell lines were obtained from ATCC. HEK293 cells were grown in DMEM/10% fetal bovine serum/1% penicillin, streptomycin, glutamine. U2OS cells stably expressing Flag-tagged human α-synuclein (U2OS/synuclein) were generated by Amgen Neuroscience in San Francisco and grown in McCoy’s 5A/10% fetal bovine serum/1% penicillin, streptomycin/2% glutamine and 0.5mg/mL G418. SH-SY5Y cells were grown DMEM/10% fetal bovine serum/1% penicillin, streptomycin, glutamine and 0.5mg/mL G418. All cells were grown in incubators at 5%CO2/37°C. All cell culture reagents were purchased from Gibco.

Transfections. HEK293 cells were plated at a density of 10⁶ cells/well in 6-well plates and transfected with plasmids using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Thermofisher) for 4 hours, and analyzed 48 hours after transfection. U2OS/synuclein cells were plated at 5x10⁴ cells/well in 24-well plates and SH-SY5Y cells were plated at 2x10⁵ cells/well in 6-well plates. Cells were transfected with Opti-MEM™ (Thermofisher) containing 100nM siRNA, and analyzed 60, 72 or 96 hours after transfection. USP14 siRNAs were obtained from Ambion.

Western blot. Cells were lysed with Lysis Reagent (Roche) containing 1% SDS/1X Complete™ protease inhibitors cocktail tablets (Roche). Samples were boiled and Benzonase Nuclease (Sigma) was added following the manufacturer’s instructions. 10ug of lysate was loaded on a 12% Bis-Tris gel (Life-Sciences) and proteins were separated by electrophoresis (100mA, 200V) and transferred onto 0.2μm nitrocellulose membrane (Life Sciences) for a minimum of 4hrs (100mA, 25V). Membranes were blocked with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (Li-Cor), incubated with primary antibodies diluted in Li-Cor buffer with 0.2% Tween-20 at 4°C shaking overnight, and washed 3x with phosphate-buffered saline/0.1% Tween-20 (PBST). Membranes were then incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark, washed 3x with PBST, and analyzed with the Odyssey imaging system at a relative intensity setting of 2–2.5 for the 800 channel and 1–2 for the 700 channel. Beta-actin or GAPDH served as a loading control.

Antibodies. Mouse monoclonal anti-tau5 (1μg/ml; Invitrogen AHB0042), mouse monoclonal beta-actin (1:1000; Cell Signaling 3700S), mouse monoclonal anti-flag (1:500; Sigma-Aldrich F1804), mouse monoclonal anti-V5 (1μg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich V8012), mouse
monoclonal anti-GAPDH (1µg/ml; Invitrogen 39-8600), chicken polyclonal anti-USP14 (5µg/ml; Lifesensors AB505), IRDye 680 or 800 anti-mouse or anti-chicken infrared secondary antibodies (1:10000; Li-Cor).

Data analysis. Ratios of the intensity readings for the protein of interest and the loading control were calculated in Microsoft Excel 2010 and plotted using GraphPad Prism 6.05.

Results
A key experiment from Lee et al., 2010, (Figure 1g) showed that recombinantly expressed tau or TDP-43 levels in HEK293 cells were higher when coexpressed with wild type compared to catalytically inactive (C114A) USP14. We cotransfected V5-pTT5d-USP14 or V5-pTT5d-USP14 (C114A) plasmids (ranging from 0.5 to 2µg) and 2µg pTT5d-Tau or pTT5d-Flag-TDP-43 plasmids in HEK293 cells. Note that we used a pTT5d vector to express proteins, while Finley and colleagues used a pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen). Despite robust expression of USP14 or the catalytically inactive mutant as detected by anti-V5 antibody (Figure 1A, C), no decrease was observed in the levels of tau (Figure 1A, B) or TDP-43 (Figure 1C, D) in cells transfected with the catalytically inactive mutant compared to wild type USP14. A similar experiment was performed in which 1 µg of Tau or TDP-43 was cotransfected with 2 µg USP14 constructs for 48 hours and this also did not appear to alter Tau or TDP-43 levels (not shown).

To exclude the possibility that the V5-tag rendered the USP14 constructs non-functional, we validated an anti-USP14 antibody (Supplementary material) and tested untagged USP14 constructs in TDP-43 overexpressing cells. HEK293 cells were transfected with USP14 or USP14(C114A) plasmids at concentrations ranging from 31ng to 4µg and tau and Flag-TDP-43 at concentrations of 0.5µg; representative blots are shown in Figure 2. Despite robust expression of USP14 or its catalytically inactive mutant as detected by the USP14 antibody, no decrease was observed in tau or Flag-TDP-43 protein levels in cells transfected with the catalytically inactive mutant compared to wild type USP14 (Figure 2A, B). Two similar experiments were conducted with 2 µg Tau or TDP-43 cotransfected with 0.05 or 0.1 µg USP14 constructs or 4 µg Tau or TDP-43 cotransfected with 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 or 4.0 µg of the USP14 constructs; Tau and TDP-43 levels did not appear altered in either experiment (not shown).

**Figure 1.** Tau and TDP-43 levels were not increased when coexpressed with V5-tagged hUSP14wt versus V5-tagged hUSP14(CA). 1, 1.5 or 2ug of V5-hUSP14wt (wt = wild type) or V5-hUSP14(CA) (CA = C114A, catalytically inactive) were cotransfected with 2ug Tau or Flag-TDP-43 plasmid in HEK293 cells. Cells were lysed after 48 hours and analyzed by western blot using a standard protocol. Actin served as loading control. Despite robust expression of USP14 or its catalytically inactive mutant as detected by the V5-tag (A, C), no differences were observed in Tau (A, B) or Flag-TDP-43 (C, D) protein levels. Note that we did not observe differences in the expression levels of USP14 versus USP14(CA). Control = empty vector control.
Figure 2. No decreases observed in tau or TDP-43 levels after cotransfection with untagged hUSP14(CA) versus untagged hUSP14wt. 31 to 500ng of hUSP14wt or hUSP14(CA) plasmids were cotransfected with 2ug tau or TDP-43 plasmid in HEK293 cells. Cells were lysed after 48 hours and analyzed by western blot using a standard protocol. Actin served as loading control. Despite robust expression of USP14 or the catalytically inactive mutant as detected by anti-USP14 antibody (A, C), no decreases were observed in tau (A, B) or TDP-43 (C, D) protein levels in the cells transfected with hUSP14CA. Note that we did not observe differences in the expression levels of USP14 versus USP14(CA). Mock = empty vector control, GOI = gene of interest and refers to either tau or TDP-43 in the absence of USP14 cotransfection.
Because there was a possibility that even the untagged-USP14 constructs were not functional, we tested whether siRNA knock down of endogenous USP14 would increase turnover of substrate. Lee et al. (2010) showed that Usp14−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts had lower levels of tau or TDP-43 than those overexpressing wild-type USP14. Therefore, we reasoned that USP14 knockdown should result in lower levels of substrate. To avoid variability resulting from transient transfections, we tested USP14 knockdown in a stable Flag-tagged α-synuclein U2OS cell line. As shown in Figure 3, four different siRNAs (A58, A59, A60 and A90; 100nM) caused a 50–75% decrease in endogenous USP14 protein levels at 60 or 96 hours post-transfection (Figure 3A, B). No changes in Flag-α-synuclein were detected (Figure 3A, C).

Finally, to eliminate the concern that the artificial levels of the transiently or stably overexpressed substrates caused the lack of effect, we repeated the siRNA knockdown experiment in SH-SY5Y cells that endogenously express tau using siRNAs from Ambion (A58, A59, A60 and A90; 100nM). As shown in a representative western blot in Figure 4, no changes in endogenous tau levels were observed despite a 50–75% knockdown of endogenous USP14 protein levels. This experiment was repeated with four siRNAs from

Figure 3. siRNA knockdown of endogenous USP14 does not decrease α-synuclein levels in U2OS cells stably expressing α-synuclein. U2OS cells stably expressing Flag-α-synuclein were treated with 100nM USP14 siRNA from Ambion (A58, A59, A60 or A90) for 60 or 96 hours (A). Scrambled siRNA (AS) served as control for the specificity of the siRNA knockdown. Despite 50–75% knockdown of basal USP14 protein levels (B), no changes in Flag-α-synuclein expression were detected (C).
Figure 4. siRNA knockdown of endogenous USP14 does not decrease levels of endogenous tau in SH-SY5Y cells. SH-SY5Y cells endogenously expressing tau were transfected with 100nM USP14 siRNAs from Ambion (A58, A59, A60 or A90) or scrambled siRNA (AS) for 72 hours. Cells were lysed and analyzed by western blot using a standard protocol. A 50–75% decrease in USP14 protein levels was achieved compared to scrambled control, but no change in basal tau protein levels (A, B).

Conclusions

Though we took several different approaches to assay the effects of USP14 on substrate levels, we were unable to confirm a robust role for USP14 in tau or TDP-43 degradation in our experimental systems. The possibility remains that differences in our methods (such as using a different expression vector) caused the discrepancies between our data and those in Lee et al. (2010). For example, the levels of proteasome-bound USP14 may have differred or protein synthesis and degradation rates may have been altered with our expression system. USP14 might also exert alternative functions that are dependent on substrate or cellular context: In a cellular model of prion disease, overexpression of catalytically inactive USP14 reduced accumulation of prion protein (Homma et al., 2015), whereas in a cellular Huntington’s disease model overexpression of catalytically inactive USP14 had no effect on huntingtin protein aggregates (Hyrskyluoto et al., 2014). Instead, overexpression of wild type USP14 reduced huntingtin aggregation. In USP14-deficient ax1 mice in vivo Wilson and colleagues found no changes in endogenous tau or ataxin-3 protein levels, but did observe a difference in phosphorylated tau (Jin et al., 2012). They also generated mice expressing catalytically inactive USP14 and could not detect altered proteosomal function in these mice, although tau levels were not analyzed (Vaden et al., 2015). In combination, these studies highlight the complexity of USP14 biology and future research is needed to unravel the mechanisms that give rise to the apparent discrepancies. We hope our findings serve as a starting point for further discussion, collaboration, and research in this field.

Data availability

Open Science Framework: Dataset: Does inactivation of USP14 enhance degradation of proteasomal substrates that are associated with neurodegenerative diseases?, doi 10.17605/OSF.IO/7G3MJ (Ortuno et al., 2016).
Figure S1. Validation of chicken polyclonal USP14 antibodies. 1µg V5-tagged USP14, V5-tagged USP14(CA) or empty vector control constructs were transfected in HEK293 cells and probed with V5 or chicken polyclonal anti-USP14 antibodies. Beta-actin served as loading control.
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Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation involves the assembly of ubiquitin chains on specific proteins followed by their recognition and subsequent degradation by the proteasome. Since ubiquitination is a reversible reaction, there has been great interest in understanding the role of protein deubiquitination as a mechanism to regulate protein degradation. This is particularly true in post-mitotic neurons where lowering the burden of ubiquitinated aggregates of tau, Htt, alpha-synuclein and TDP-43 observed in Alzheimer's Disease, Huntington's Disease, Parkinson's Disease and Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, respectively, is an attractive therapeutic intervention for the treatment of these diseases.

Ubiquitinated proteins stably associate with the proteasome through their interaction with proteasomal ubiquitin binding proteins. Following binding, and prior to degradation by the proteasome, ubiquitinated proteins are stripped of their ubiquitin tag. The disassembly of ubiquitin chains by proteasomal deubiquitinating enzymes serves multiple functions, including maintaining ubiquitin pools and determining whether a substrate will be released or degraded by the proteasome. The ubiquitin-specific protease 14 (USP14) is a proteasome-associated deubiquitinating enzyme that is required to maintain ubiquitin levels by preventing conjugated ubiquitin from entering the proteasome. Previous studies have also indicated that either a pharmacological block or genetic inactivation of USP14's ubiquitin-hydrolase activity can reduce the steady-state levels of overexpressed aggregate-prone proteins tau, TDP-43 and ataxin-3 in immortalized cell lines. These findings suggested that blocking USP14's deubiquitinating activity would lead to enhanced degradation of ubiquitinated substrates by preventing the substrates from being released by the proteasome prior to their commitment to degradation.

Studies in mice provide support for an essential role for USP14 in controlling ubiquitin pools. Analysis of USP14-deficient mice revealed a significant loss of ubiquitin in multiple tissues, including the brain, and even greater loss of ubiquitin at synaptic terminals. Restoration of ubiquitin pools in these mice restored some of the synaptic transmission deficits caused by the loss of USP14, indicating a requirement for USP14 in ubiquitin homeostasis. Contrary to what was observed in immortalized cell lines, there was no detectable change in the steady state levels of
the aggregate-prone proteins tau and ataxin-3 in the USP14-deficient mice. However, increased levels of phosphorylated tau were observed in the USP14-deficient mice and correlated with elevated levels of activated JNK, ERK and AKT. While USP14 still remains an interesting target for therapeutic intervention in protein-aggregate diseases, its role in controlling the degradation of specific proteins is not clear.

This study by Ortuno et al. aims to further investigate a role for USP14 in controlling proteasomal degradation of aggregate-prone proteins. To do this, the authors first investigated if either overexpression of wild type USP14 or ubiquitin-hydrolase inactive USP14 would alter the levels of transfected tau or alpha-synuclein in an HEK293 cell line. If USP14 acts as an inhibitor of protein degradation, then overexpression of wild type USP14 should lead to increased levels of these aggregate-prone proteins while overexpression of ubiquitin-hydrolase inactive USP14 should reduce their levels. However, increasing either wild type or ubiquitin hydrolase inactive USP14 did not result in a detectable change in the steady-state levels of tau or alpha-synuclein. The authors then investigated if lowering the levels of USP14 in the neuronal SHSY5Y cell line, which expresses endogenous tau, would result in decreased tau levels. Although the authors were able to significantly decrease the expression of USP14, they did not observe any significant change in the level of endogenous tau. The authors were therefore unable to confirm a role for USP14 in controlling the degradation of aggregate-prone proteins.

The title is appropriate and the abstract provides a suitable summary. The experiments conducted in this study all generated high quality data and included appropriate controls. The authors provided a reasonable conclusion and potential reasons for differences between their results and those previously reported on USP14.

Concerns:

1. The entire premise of this paper is based on the manipulation of proteasome-bound USP14. Unfortunately, the authors did not determine the level of proteasome-bound USP14. This is particularly important for the transfection of USP14(CA) and the Usp14 siRNA knockdown experiments. If proteasome-bound USP14 has a long half-life, then these manipulations may not have significantly displaced endogenous USP14 from the proteasome.

2. The steady state level of any protein depends on the rates of synthesis and degradation. However, the measurements in this report did not take into account either of these variables. While highly unlikely, changes in protein turnover due to manipulation of USP14 may have caused increased turnover of tau, alpha-synuclein or TDP-43 with a corresponding increase in synthesis, resulting in no change in protein abundance.

3. There are no error bars in figures 1, 2 and 4.

4. It is not clear if the quantitations represent averages from replicate immunoblots or if a single blot was performed for each experiment.
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This study tests the previously published assertion that reducing the activity of USP14, a proteasome-associated deubiquitylase, results in decreased levels of neurotoxic proteins such as TDP43 and Tau. The bottom line of the study is that manipulation of USP14 level and activity in a cell model system has no discernable effect on the levels of these proteins, contrary to expectations based on a previously published 2010 study. While this is obviously a model system with unknown relevance to bona fide neurons in vivo, the experiments appear to be well done and the data support the conclusions. The authors are careful to point out that there are minor differences between some of their protocols and those used in the 2010 study and call for increased communication and collaboration between interested laboratories to determine if USP14 is truly a good drug target for neurodegenerative diseases. This is entirely appropriate.
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Thomas Kodadek

Since posting my review of this F1000Research article, a colleague made me aware of two manuscripts that are highly relevant to this topic, but were not cited by Ortuno et al.

They are:

Homma T, Ishibashi D, Nakagaki T, et al.: Ubiquitin-specific protease 14 modulates degradation of cellular prion protein. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5:11028.
McKinnon C, Goold R, Andre R, et al.: Prion-mediated neurodegeneration is associated with early impairment of the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Acta Neuropathol. 2016; 131(3): 411-425.

Both of these papers report that levels of prion proteins in neurons are strongly influenced by USP14 activity.

Thus, while the experiments conducted by Ortuno et al. reported in this communication do not seem to indicate that manipulation of USP14 has a major effect on TDP-43 and α-synuclein levels under their conditions, the major findings of the 2010 Nature paper by Lee et al. are strongly supported by these two studies. Thus, these papers should have been cited by Ortuno et al. in their F1000Research article. I apologize for not being aware of these two studies when I reviewed this work.
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Takujiro Homma

Thank you for introducing our work. It supports Lee's findings. Inhibition of USP14 has a major effect on prion aggregation. McKinnon et al. reproduced our result.
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Many adult-onset neurodegenerative diseases are associated with aggregates of misfolded proteins or peptides. A number of groups have proposed that those aggregates that are intracellular, such as tau, synuclein and TDP-43, may result from defects in the protein-degradation pathways like the proteasome which slows normal protein turnover. Such proposals lead naturally to the idea that enhancing endogenous protein degradation pathways is a potential therapeutic strategy to reduce aggregate levels, thereby slowing or blocking disease progression. This manuscript focuses on USP14, a deubiquinating enzyme associated with the proteasome that catalyzes the release of ubiquitin for proteins targeted for degradation and allow the ubiquitin to be recycled for targeting other proteins to the proteasome. Recycling of ubiquitin is particularly important in domains far removed from sites where newly synthesized ubiquitin is available. The
need to transport ubiquitin to synaptic terminals is an obvious example. Studies in a mouse model deficient in USP14 found reduced tissue ubiquitin levels in all tissues with a particularly significant loss in synaptic terminals and there is evidence of altered synaptic transmission in these mice. Curiously, there were no obvious accumulations of specific proteins or increased aggregates in brains noted in descriptions of this USP14-deficient mouse, despite its putative role in proteasome function. Subsequent studies failed to show a difference in endogenous levels of tau in the USP14-deficient mouse and a second mouse line expressing a catalytically dead USP14 did not find altered proteasomal activity.

Understanding the role of USP14 in clearance of pathogenic proteins is complicated by the fact that different proteins may involve different actions of USP14. For example, degradation of some proteins is normal in the presence of catalytically dead USP14, while others require catalytic activity. Tau and TDP-43 were both reported to be in the latter category as recombinant proteins accumulated to a higher level when expressed with wild type, but not with catalytically inactive USP14. This finding was the basis for suggestions that inhibition of USP14 might enhance clearance of these proteins.

Experiments described in this report sought to further characterize the ability of USP14 to modulate the clearance of tau, TDP-43 and α-synuclein. Unfortunately, increased levels of either wild type or catalytically inactive USP14 had no effect on levels of tau or TDP-43 and siRNA knockdown of endogenous USP14 failed to affect cellular levels of α-synuclein or alter endogenous expression of tau protein in a differentiated neuroblastoma cell line. A variety of different approaches to alter levels of USP14 failed to confirm the previous reports of altered clearance. The experiments are carefully documented and well controlled, suggesting that USP14 does not play a role in modulating the clearance of these proteins, consistent with the mouse studies. The conclusion is that inhibition of USP14 is not a promising therapeutic target for enhancing clearance of pathogenic proteins in adult-onset neurodegeneration. Although these cell-based assays make a strong case for this conclusion, data from the mouse models were never consistent with this proposal, since they showed no obvious evidence of proteasomal dysfunction or reduced tau levels. Indeed, given the fact that loss of USP14 catalytic activity in the mouse led to defects in synaptic transmission, it is hard to see how inhibition of USP14 was a plausible therapeutic strategy.

Minor Points.

1. The quantitative data in figures 1 and 2 are expressed as being normalized to beta actin levels. No indication is given as to the number of replicates or whether any statistical analysis was done. The raw data is shown as immunoblots with epitope tags, so the conclusions appear justifiable. Nevertheless, the number of experimental replicates must be given and the case would be more compelling with statistics.

2. Technically, the bar graphs show ratios, which are dimensionless, not arbitrary units.
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Response from Daniel Finley:

Most generally, Ortuna et al (2016) pose the question of whether the deubiquitinating enzyme USP14 is in fact a regulator of the proteasome, or if it is, whether the mode of regulation that we uncovered in a series of studies, especially Lee et al Nature 467, 179 (2010), is real. To begin with, here is a list of 38 studies that employ our inhibitors (IU1 or derivatives of IU1) and/or USP14 mutants, revealing accelerated degradation of some protein, as predicted by our model that USP14 and its yeast ortholog Ubp6 are inhibitory to the proteasome (we exclude papers co-authored by any authors of Lee et al 2010):

Jia et al Cell Mol Biol Lett 27:111 [2022]
Hai et al Acta Biochim Biophys Sin Shanghai 54:1 [2022]
Su et al J Biol Chem 299:102734 [2022]
Shi et al Nat Comm 13:5644 [2022]
Pan et al Cell Biocem Biophys doi: 10.1007/s12013-022-01107-y [2022]
Zhao et al Cell Death Differ doi: 10.1038/s41418-022-01040-w [2022]
Wu et al Cell Biol Toxicol doi: 10.1007/s10565-022-09729-x [2022]
Zhu et al Hereditas 159:21 [2022]
Li et al Pharmacol Res 174:105933 [2021]
Xu et al FASEB J 35:e21870 [2021]
Lv et al Cell Death Dis 12:803 [2021]
Yan et al J Biosci 46:19 [2021]
Xu et al Int J Biol Sci 16:2951 [2021]
Shen et al BBRC 524:683 [2020]
Rathore et al Scientific Reports 10:5350 [2020]
Geng et al BBRC 524:16 [2020]
Liu el al PNAS 116:21732 [2019]
Massa et al Hum Mol Genet 28, 764 [2019]
Schattling et al at Nature Neurosci 22:887 [2019]
Xia et al J Exp Clin Cancer Res 38:220 [2019]
Liao et al Oncogene 37, 1896 [2018]
Liu et al Nat Comm 9, 4770 [2018]
Chen et al Nature Communications 9, 1223 [2018]
Chakraborty et al EMBO Mol Med 10:e9014 [2018]
VerPlank et al Glia 66:379 [2018]
Wei et al Sci Signal 10:eaak9660 [2017]
Kim and Goldberg, J Biol Chem 292:9830 [2017]
Wei et al Sci Signal 10: pii: eaak9660 [2017]
Liao et al Cell Death Dis 8, e2585 [2017]
There is obviously an abundance of observations that are in agreement with the model of Lee et al (2010). Our extensive prior work adds to this body of findings (Hanna et al Cell 127:99 [2006]; Hanna et al Cell 129:747 [2007], and others).

USP14 inhibitors are highly effective in a wide variety of physiological and cellular and disease-related contexts, as discussed below. In support of the paradigm of Lee et al (2010), a remarkable study reported that the severe phenotypes of Parkin and Pink1 mutants in the fly were significantly and specifically suppressed by either loss of function mutations in the gene encoding Usp14 or by simple oral administration of IU1, with dramatic effects on both motor function and longevity (Chakraborty et al EMBO Mol Med 10:e9014 [2018]). The underlying nature of the effect seems to be conserved to mammals (Chakraborty 2018). Another interesting example involving neurodegeneration is myelin protein zero. Deletion of codon 63 from the gene for this protein gives raise to Charcot Marie Tooth 1B disease. In mouse models of this disease, IU1 enhances the rate of degradation of the mutant protein and of overall protein degradation ex vivo in sciatic nerves (VerPlank et al Glia 66:379 [2018]), in accord with the paradigm of Lee et al 2010. A third neurodegenerative disease model, bassoon proteinopathy, a form of multiple sclerosis, was ameliorated phenotypically by IU1 administration in mouse models, and enhanced clearance of the mutant Bassoon protein was observed (Schattling et al Nature Neurosci 22:887 [2019]). Thus, contrary to Ortuna et al (2016), USP14 inhibitors have performed well in multiple models of neurodegenerative disease, including in vivo models in multiple organisms. Importantly, the three examples discussed above provide the most interesting disease models at this point.

The main point of Lee et al (2010) was that specific small-molecule inhibitors of a deubiquitinating enzyme can be identified (against the prevailing view at the time; IU1 was the first specific inhibitor of a deubiquitinating enzyme), and that such inhibitors can be used to accelerate the degradation of specific proteins. It was an important advance for the field and had good generality: it inspired similar work on many other deubiquitinating enzymes. The basis for the specificity of these compounds was elegantly described by Wang et al Cell Research 28:1186 (2018). After extensive medicinal chemistry efforts, USP14 inhibitors of higher potency and selectivity now exist and will hopefully be in the public domain soon.

If contacted, I would have recommended that the Ortuno group try their experiments with our reagents, since it is possible that their various vectors produce levels of expression that obscure the effects. To argue that a study cannot be replicated, it is best to try to replicate the
original experimental design. For loss of function studies, Ortuna et al relied on a very weak siRNA knockdown, which is poor practice, since, for many enzymes, phenotypic effects require drastic reduction of their level or activity. There was no indication that the modest knockdown achieved by Ortuna et al was effective functionally, and the data cannot be interpreted with any confidence. I would have advised a more reliable approach, as we used in Lee et al (2010): null mutants. Comments to this effect were subsequently posted on the website of the Ortuno study by Dr. Peter Walter, and elaborated on more fully at https://www.ascb.org/publications-columns/presidents-column/on-reproducibility-and-clocks/.

Interestingly, the USP14 inhibitors reported in Lee et al (2010) have also been found to be cytoprotective in many settings (Sareen-Khanna et al Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 311, F1035 [2016]; Min et al J Neurochem 140, 826 [2017]; Chen et al Nature Communications 9, 1223 [2018]; VerPlank et al Glia 66:379 [2018]; Pan et al Cell Biochem Biophys doi: 10.1007/s12013-022-01107-y [2022]; Schattling et al Nature Neurosci 22:887 [2019]). These studies involve multiple neurodegenerative models, as noted above, neuronal injury via ischemia, and stressed renal cells. Also, consistent with the model of Lee et al (2010), elevated USP14 levels were found to give rise to marked increases in both protein aggregates and amyloid in HCT116 cells (Chen et al Nature Communications 9, 1223 [2018]), consistent with Schattling et al Nature Neurosci 22:887 [2019].

Ortuna et al (2016) entertained a hypothesis contrary to the model of Lee et al (2010), namely that USP14 activates the proteasome instead of inhibiting it. This has since been put to the test by others through examining USP14 knockout MEFs; overall protein degradation was accelerated in the mutant cells, exactly as predicted by our paradigm that USP14 is inhibitory to the proteasome (Kim and Goldberg, J Biol Chem 292:9830 [2017]). This is consistent with the 37 other studies listed above.

It is not the case that, as Ortuna et al argue, the effect on TDP-43 degradation cannot be replicated. See Rathore et al, Scientific Reports 10:5350 [2020], where strong effects were observed both genetically and through the use of IU1 in several human cell lines. This is not to deny that TDP-43 may be a "mediocre substrate" in other contexts (for example if it is not ubiquitinated). Several other reports have confirmed effects on tau levels in various experimental systems, although likewise the strength of the effect may depend on the cell line or on other factors such as the highly heterogeneous nature of tau post-translational modifications (Boselli et al J Biol Chem 292:19209 [2017]; Lee et al Sci Rep 5:10757 [2015]; Kim et al Cell Rep 24:732 [2018]; Singh et al Cell Chem Biol 27: 292 [2020]; Yan et al Cell 185, 3913 [2022]). In general, outcomes can vary depending on the nature of the cells tested, their physiological state, the mutant being tested, the level of expression of target proteins, whether mice or humans and being studied, whether animals of culture cells are being studied, and whether a hypomorph or nullimorph is being studied. Such differences have to do with biology and not with reproducibility. When one group finds such an effect in one context while a group working in a different context does not, it should not in itself be cause for alarmism or be taken to imply that a true contradiction exists.

For the degradation of a protein to be affected by USP14 inhibition, it must not only be a substrate of USP14, it must also be ubiquitinated in the particular cellular, physiological, or organismal context of the experiment. Also, for a significant effect on protein turnover to be seen, Ubp6/USP14 would have to be the dominant or co-dominant deubiquitinating enzyme for the
substrate in question. This is likely a major requirement, since there are approximately 100
deubiquitinating enzymes encoded in the human genome. To cite one recent example, a broad
screen implicated three deubiquitinating enzymes in the control of tau levels: Usp11, Usp13, and
Usp14 (Yan et al Cell 185:3913 [2022]). Such functional redundancy among deubiquitinating
enzymes may pertain even where the underlying selectivity mechanisms differ. An effect seen in
one cell type might be masked in another because of differences in the activity levels of other
deubiquitinating enzymes (not to mention ubiquitin ligases). Inhibitors of deubiquitinating
enzymes might often be effective through synergy, even when they seem to score negative when
tested individually.

To our best current understanding, USP14 and Ubp6 have a very distinct and novel substrate
specificity—their essentially act only on proteins that are ubiquitinated on multiple sites, as we have
shown (Lee et al Nature 532:398 [2016]). Even a protein carrying a long ubiquitin chain is not
detectably deubiquitinated by USP14/Ubp6. This may explain why many proteasome substrates are
not USP14 substrates. But when a substrate is properly modified at multiple sites, USP14/Ubp6 can
remove chains en bloc at a rapid rate, measurable on a millisecond time scale, as we have shown,
until only a single chain remains (Lee et al Nature 2016). This explains how USP14/Ubp6 can
suppress degradation by the proteasome—it is capable of acting significantly faster than the
proteasome, even for good proteasome substrates. But USP14/Ubp6 (especially Ubp6) also inhibits
degradation in a noncatalytic mode (Hanna et al Cell 127:99 2006; Hanna et al Cell 129:747 [2007]),
by inducing the proteasome to adopt unique conformational states, and this is now understood in
detail through cryo-EM studies of both USP14-proteasome and Ubp6-proteasome co-complexes
(Zhang et al Nature 605:567 [2022]; Hung et al Nature Comm 13:838 [2022]). In their discussion,
Ortuna et al seem to argue that altered proteasome function is difficult or impossible to detect in
USP14 mutants, contrary to abundant evidence in the literature. We have observed these effects
repeatedly for over 15 years; other laboratories have reported the same effects (Bashore et al Nat
Struct Mol Biol 22:712 [2015]—also predating Ortuna et al). In summary, extensive understanding of
USP14/Ubp6 has been achieved, including strong experimental support that these enzymes have
the capacity to inhibit proteasome function and protein degradation as we have proposed (Lee et
al 2010).
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• The Ortuno et al. used different expression systems and protein expression levels were not compared with those in a previous report that reached opposite conclusions. This is an important aspect of linking their data to the current state-of-the-art. Every assay has an intrinsic dynamic range and proteolytic systems can be saturated.

• The siRNA knock-down experiments shown by Ortuno et al. left 25% or more of USP14 behind. Nothing can be concluded from low efficiency knock-down experiments that yield negative results. Such data should be removed.

• Results from the original work are substantially supported in publications by others (already pointed out by Thomas Kodadek in the open review). This work needs to be cited and discussed.
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