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Abstract. This paper focuses on searching sufficient conditions for the solvability of systems of partial fuzzy relational equations. In the case of solvable systems, we provide solutions of the systems. Two standard systems of fuzzy relational equations – namely the systems built on the basic composition and on the Bandler-Kohout subproduct – are considered under the assumption of partiality. Such an extension requires to employ partial algebras of operations for dealing with undefined values. In this investigation, we consider seven most-known algebras of undefined values in partial fuzzy set theory such as the Bochvar, Bochvar external, Sobociński, McCarthy, Nelson, Kleene, and the Łukasiewicz algebra. Conditions that are sufficient for the solvability of the systems are provided. The crucial role will be played by the so-called boundary condition.
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1 Introduction

Systems of fuzzy relational equations were initially studied by Sanchez in the 1970s [17] and later on, many authors have focused on this topic and it becomes an important topic in fuzzy mathematics especially in fuzzy control. The most concerned problem attracting a large number of researchers regards the solvability criterions or at least conditions sufficient for the solvability of the systems. The applications of the topic are various including in the dynamic fuzzy system [14], solving nonlinear optimization problems and covering problem [13, 15], and many others. It is worth mentioning that the topic is still a point of the interest in the recent research [5, 10, 12].

Recently, investigations of the systems of fuzzy relational equations allowing the appearance of undefined values in the involved fuzzy sets were initiated.
Partial fuzzy logic which is considered as a generalization of the three-valued logic, and the related partial fuzzy set theory has been established [1–3,11]. Several well-known algebras were already generalized in partial fuzzy set theory such as the Bochvar algebra, the Sobociński algebra, the Kleene algebra, or Nelson algebra [2]. Let us note that it seems there is no absolutely accepted general agreement on what types of undefined values are the particular algebras mostly appropriate for but they turned out to be useful in various areas and applications [9].

Recently, further algebras for partial fuzzy logics motivated by dealing with missing values were designed [6,18]. In [4], the initial investigation on the solvability of the systems of partial fuzzy relational equations was provided. The study was restricted on the equations with fully defined (non-partial) consequents. In [7], the problem was extended by considering the partially defined consequents, however, only the Dragonfly algebra [18] was considered and only one of the systems of equations was investigated. The article [7] provided readers with the particular shape of the solution however, under the assumption of the solvability. However, the solvability was not ensured, no criterion was provided. This article aims at paying this debt and focuses on the determination of the sufficient conditions for the solvability of both standard systems of partial fuzzy relational equations. Various kinds of algebras dealing with undefined values are considered, in particular the Bochvar, Sobociński, Kleene, McCarthy, Bochvar external, Nelson, and Łukasiewicz algebras.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Various Kinds of Algebras of Undefined Values

In this subsection, we briefly recall the definitions of several algebras of undefined values we apply in this work. Let us consider a complete residuated lattice \( L = \langle [0, 1], \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle \) as the structure for the whole article and thus, all the used operations will be stemming from it. Let \( \ast \) denotes the undefined values regardless its particular semantic sub-type of the undefinedness [9]. Then the operations dealing with undefined values are defined on the support \( L^\ast = [0, 1] \cup \{ \ast \} \), for more details we refer to [2]. Note that the operations on \( L^\ast \) applying to \( a, b \in [0, 1] \) are identical with the operations from the lattice \( L \). The following brief explanation of the role of \( \ast \) in particular algebras is based on Tables 1, 2 and 3.

The value \( \ast \) in the Bochvar (abbr. B when denoting the operations) algebra works as an annihilator and so, no matter which values \( a \in L^\ast \) is combined with it, the result is always \( \ast \). In the Sobociński (abbr. S) algebra, \( \ast \) acts like a neutral element for the conjunction and the disjunction as well. It means that the conjunctive/disjunctive combination of any value \( a \in L^\ast \) with \( \ast \) results in \( a \). In the Kleene algebra (abbr. K), the operations combining \( \ast \) and 0 or 1 comply the ordering \( 0 \leq \ast \leq 1 \), otherwise they coincide with the Bochvar algebra operations when \( \ast \) is combined with \( a \notin \{0, 1\} \). The Łukasiewicz algebra (abbr. L) and the Nelson (abbr. N) algebra are identical with the Kleene algebra
regarding their conjunctions and disjunctions however, the difference lies in the implication operations. In particular, in the Łukasiewicz case $\star \rightarrow_L \star = 1$ holds, and in the Nelson case the equalities $\star \rightarrow_N 0 = 1$ and $\star \rightarrow_N \star = 1$ hold, while in both cases, the Kleene implication results into $\star$ again. The McCarthy (abbr. Mc) algebra interestingly combines the Kleene and the Bochvar behavior with the distinction between the cases whether $\star$ appears in the first argument or in the second argument of the operation.

Let us recall two useful external ones [9]: $\downarrow$ is given by $\downarrow \alpha = 0$ if $\alpha = \star$ and $\downarrow \alpha = \alpha$ otherwise; and $\uparrow$ is given by $\uparrow \alpha = 1$ if $\alpha = \star$ and $\uparrow \alpha = \alpha$ otherwise. The external operations play a significant role in the so-called Bochvar external algebra (abbr. Be) as it applies operation $\downarrow$ to $\star$ and lowers it to 0 in any combinations with $a \in L^\star$.

**Table 1.** Conjunctive operations of distinct algebras ($\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$).

|       | Bochvar | Bochvar external | Sobociński | Kleene | McCarthy | Nelson | Łukasiewicz |
|-------|---------|------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|
| $\alpha \star \beta$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\alpha \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\beta \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |

**Table 2.** Disjunctive operations of distinct algebras ($\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$).

|       | Bochvar | Bochvar external | Sobociński | Kleene | McCarthy | Nelson | Łukasiewicz |
|-------|---------|------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|
| $\alpha \star \beta$ | $\star \star$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\beta$ | $\beta \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |

**Table 3.** Implicative operations of distinct algebras ($\alpha \in (0, 1], \beta \in (0, 1]$).

|       | Bochvar | Bochvar external | Sobociński | Kleene | McCarthy | Nelson | Łukasiewicz |
|-------|---------|------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|
| $\alpha \star \beta$ | $\star \star$ | $\neg \alpha$ | $\neg \alpha \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\beta$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 1 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
| $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | 0 | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ | $\star \star$ |
2.2 Systems of Fuzzy Relational Equations

Let us denote the set of all fuzzy sets on a universe \( U \) by \( \mathcal{F}(U) \). Then two standard systems of fuzzy relational equations are provided in the forms:

\[
A_i \circ R = B_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \tag{1}
\]

\[
A_i \triangleleft R = B_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \tag{2}
\]

where \( A_i \in \mathcal{F}(X) \), \( B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y) \), for some universes \( X, Y \). The direct product \( \circ \) and the Bandler-Kohout subproduct (BK-subproduct) \( \triangleleft \) in systems (1) and (2) are expanded as follows:

\[
(A_i \circ R)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A_i(x) \otimes R(x, y)), \quad (A_i \triangleleft R)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A_i(x) \to R(x, y)).
\]

In [8], the authors defined so-called boundary condition and shown, that it is a sufficient condition for the solvability of the direct product systems (1). In [16], using the so-called skeleton matrix, it was shown that it serves as the sufficient condition also for the solvability of (2) and in [19], an alternative proof not requiring the skeleton matrix was presented.

**Definition 1.** Let \( A_i \in \mathcal{F}(X) \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) be normal. We say, that \( A_i \) meet the boundary condition if for each \( i \) there exists an \( x_i \in X \) such that \( A_i(x_i) = 1 \) and \( A_j(x_i) = 0 \) for any \( j \neq i \).

**Theorem 1** [8,16]. Let \( A_i \) fulfill the boundary condition. Then systems (1)–(2) are solvable and the following models are solutions of the systems, respectively:

\[
\hat{R}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \to B_i(y)), \quad \check{R}(x, y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \otimes B_i(y)).
\]

3 Sufficient Conditions Under Partiality

As we have recalled above, the standard systems of fuzzy relational equations are solvable if the antecedents fulfil the boundary condition [8]. Of course, the question whether the solvability of partial fuzzy relational equations can be ensured by the same or similar condition appears seems natural. As we will demonstrate the answer is often positive. Moreover, we investigate some specific cases of solvable systems even if the boundary condition is not preserved.

Let \( \mathcal{F}^*(U) \) stands for the set of all partially defined fuzzy sets (partial fuzzy sets) on a universe \( U \), i.e., let

\[
\mathcal{F}^*(U) = \{ A \mid A : U \to L^* \}.
\]

The following denotations will be used in the article assuming that the right-hand side expressions hold for all \( u \in U \):

\[
A = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad A(u) = 0,
\]

\[
A = \emptyset^* \quad \text{if} \quad A(u) = *,
\]

\[
A = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad A(u) = 1.
\]
Moreover, let us introduce the following denotations for particular parts of the universe $U$ with respect to a given partial fuzzy set $A \in \mathcal{F}^*(U)$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Def}(A) &= \{ u \mid A(u) \neq \star \}, \\
A_0 &= \{ u \in U \mid A_i(u) = 0 \}, \\
A_* &= \{ u \in U \mid A_i(u) = \star \}, \\
A_P &= \{ u \in U \mid A_i(u) \notin \{0, \star\} \}.
\end{align*}
\]

### 3.1 Bochvar Algebra and McCarthy Algebra

Let us first consider the use of the Bochvar operations in the systems:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_i \circ_B R &= B_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad (3) \\
A_i \triangleleft_B R &= B_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m. \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

We recall that in the Bochvar algebra the $\star$ behaves like an annihilator i.e., when it combines with any other values the result is always $\star$. Thus, when there is an $x \in X$ such that $A_i(x) = \star$ the inferred output $B_i$ is a fuzzy set to which all the elements have an undefined membership degree, i.e., $B_i = \emptyset^\star$. It immediately leads to the following theorems with necessary conditions demonstrating that the solvability of both systems falls into trivial cases as long as the partial fuzzy sets appear on the inputs.

**Theorem 2.** The necessary condition for the solvability of system (3) is that $B_j = \emptyset^\star$ for all such indexes $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ for which the corresponding antecedents $A_j \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$.

**Sketch of the proof:** As there exists $x \in X$ such that $A_i(x) = \star$, one can check that the following holds for any $R \in \mathcal{F}^*(X \times Y)$:

\[
(A_i \circ_B R)(y) = \star \vee_B \bigvee_{x \notin A_*} (A_i(x) \otimes_B R(x, y)) = \star
\]

which leads to that $B_i$ has to be equal to $\emptyset^\star$. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 1.** If $B_i = \emptyset^\star$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ then system (3) is solvable.

**Sketch of the proof:** Based on a simple demonstration that $R^*_B \in \mathcal{F}^*(X \times Y)$ given by $R^*_B(x, y) = \star$ is a solution. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 3.** The necessary condition for the solvability of system (4) is that $B_j = \emptyset^\star$ for all such indexes $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ for which the corresponding antecedents $A_j \in \mathcal{F}^*(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$.

**Sketch of the proof:** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 2.** If $B_i = \emptyset^\star$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ then system (4) is solvable.
Sketch of the proof: Based on a simple demonstration that \( R^*_B \in F^*(X \times Y) \) given by \( R^*_B(x, y) = \ast \) is a solution.

Theorems 2 and 3 are direct consequences of the “annihilating effect” of \( \ast \) in the Bochvar algebra. Whenever the input is undefined, the consequents have to be even fully undefined.

Now, we focus on the systems applying the McCarthy algebra:

\[
A_i \circ_{Mc} R = B_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, m,
\]

\[
A_i \triangleleft_{Mc} R = B_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, m.
\]

As the McCarthy operations provide the same result as the Bochvar operations whenever \( \ast \) appears in their first argument, we naturally come to results about solvability of (5)–(6) that are the analogous to the results about solvability of (3)–(4).

**Theorem 4.** The necessary condition for the solvability of system (5) is that \( B_j = \emptyset^* \) for all such indexes \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) for which the corresponding antecedents \( A_j \in F^*(X) \setminus F(X) \).

**Sketch of the proof:** Analogous to Theorem 2.

**Theorem 5.** The necessary condition for the solvability of system (6) is that \( B_j = \emptyset^* \) for all such indexes \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) for which the corresponding antecedents \( A_j \in F^*(X) \setminus F(X) \).

**Sketch of the proof:** Analogous to Theorem 3.

Although the necessary conditions formulated in Theorems 4 and 5 are identical for McCarthy and Bochvar algebra, the sufficient condition for the McCarthy algebra has to also take into account the differences in the operations of these two otherwise very similar algebras.

**Corollary 3.** If \( B_i = \emptyset^* \) and \( A_i \neq \emptyset \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) then system (5) is solvable.

**Sketch of the proof:** As in the case of Corollary 1 the proof is based on a simple demonstration that \( R^*_B \in F^*(X \times Y) \) given by \( R^*_B(x, y) = \ast \) is a solution however, the case of the empty input that would lead to the empty output has to be eliminated from the consideration.

**Corollary 4.** If \( B_i = \emptyset^* \) and \( A_i \neq \emptyset \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) then system (6) is solvable.

**Sketch of the proof:** As in the case of Corollary 2 the proof is based on a simple demonstration that \( R^*_B \in F^*(X \times Y) \) given by \( R^*_B(x, y) = \ast \) is a solution however, the case of the empty input that would lead to the output constantly equal to 1, has to be eliminated from the consideration.
3.2 Bochvar External Algebra and Sobociński Algebra

In this section, we present the investigation of the solvability of systems of partial fuzzy relational equations in the case of the Bochvar external algebra and in the case of Sobociński algebra. Let us start with the Bochvar external operations employed in the systems:

\[ A_i \circ_{\text{Be}} R = B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m, \tag{7} \]
\[ A_i \triangleleft_{\text{Be}} R = B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m. \tag{8} \]

Theorem 6. Let \( A_i \) meet the boundary condition. Then

\[ (A_i \circ_{\text{Be}} \hat{R}_{\text{Be}})(y) = B_i(y), \quad \text{for } y \in \text{Def}(B_i), \]

where

\[ \hat{R}_{\text{Be}}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\text{Be}} B_i(y)). \]

Sketch of the proof: Based on the definition of the Bochvar external operations, \( A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\text{Be}} B_i(y) \neq \star \), no matter the choice of \( x, y \), and hence:

\[ (A_i \circ_{\text{Be}} \hat{R}_{\text{Be}})(y) \leq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A_i(x) \otimes_{\text{Be}} ((A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\text{Be}} B_i(y))). \]

We may split the right-hand side expression running over \( X \) into two expressions, one running over \( A_{i0} \cup A_{i\star} \), the other one running over \( A_{iP} \) and show, that each of them is smaller or equal to \( B_i \):

\[ \bigvee_{x \in A_{i0} \cup A_{i\star}} (A_i(x) \otimes_{\text{Be}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\text{Be}} B_i(y))) = 0 \leq B_i(y) \]
\[ \bigvee_{x \in A_{iP}} (A_i(x) \otimes_{\text{Be}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\text{Be}} B_i(y))) \leq B_i(y) \]

which implies \((A_i \circ_{\text{Be}} \hat{R}_{\text{Be}})(y) \leq B_i(y)\).

Now, we prove the opposite inequality. Based on the assumption of the boundary condition, let us pick \( x_i \) such that \( A_i'(x_i) = 1 \) and \( A_j(x_i) = 0, j \neq i \). Then we may check

\[ (A_i \circ_{\text{Be}} \hat{R}_{\text{Be}})(y) \geq A_i(x_i) \otimes_{\text{Be}} \hat{R}_{\text{Be}}(x_i, y) = B_i(y) \]

which completes the sketch of the proof. \( \square \)

If we assume that the output fuzzy sets \( B_i \) are fully defined we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5. Let \( A_i \) meet the boundary condition and let \( B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y) \). Then system \((7)\) is solvable and \( \hat{R}_{\text{Be}} \) is its solution.
The following theorem and corollary provides us with similar results for the BK-subproduct system of partial fuzzy relational equations (8).

**Theorem 7.** Let $A_i$ meet the boundary condition. Then

$$(A_i \triangleleft_{Be} \tilde{R}_{Be})(y) = B_i(y), \quad \text{for } y \in \text{Def}(B_i)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_{Be}(x, y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \otimes_{Be} B_i(y)).$$

**Sketch of the proof:** Due to the external operations, $A_i(x) \otimes_{Be} B_i(y) \neq \star$ holds independently on the choice of $x$ and $y$. Jointly with the property $c \rightarrow_{Be} a \leq c \rightarrow_{Be} b$ that holds for $a \leq b$ it leads to the inequality

$$(A_i \triangleleft_{Be} \tilde{R}_{Be})(y) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{Be} (A_i(x) \otimes_{Be} B_i(y))).$$

For $y \in \text{Def}(B_i)$ we get the following inequalities

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A_{i \lor A_i \ominus}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{Be} (A_i(x) \otimes_{Be} B_i(y))) = 1 \geq B_i(y),$$

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A_{i \land A_i \ominus}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{Be} (A_i(x) \otimes_{Be} B_i(y))) \geq B_i(y)$$

that jointly prove that $(A_i \triangleleft_{Be} \tilde{R}_{Be})(y) \geq B_i(y)$. In order to prove the opposite inequality, we again pick up the point $x_i$ in order to use the boundary condition. \hfill $\square$

If the consequents $B_i$ in system (8) are fully defined we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** Let $A_i$ meet the boundary condition and let $B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$. Then system (8) is solvable and $\tilde{R}_{Be}$ is its solution.

Now let us focus on the following systems applying the Sobociński operations:

$$(A_i \circ_S R = B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m, \quad (9)$$

$$(A_i \triangleleft_S R = B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m. \quad (10)$$

**Theorem 8.** Let $A_i$ meet the boundary condition and let $B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$. Then system (9) is solvable and the following fuzzy relation

$$\hat{R}_{S}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_S B_i(y))$$

is its solution.
Sketch of the proof: The proof uses an analogous technique as the proof of Theorem 6. □

Theorem 9. Let $A_i$ meet the boundary condition and let $B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$. Then system (10) is solvable and the following fuzzy relation

$$R_S(x,y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \otimes_S B_i(y))$$

is its solution.

Sketch of the proof: The proof uses an analogous technique as the proof of Theorem 7. □

Remark 1. Let us mention that the fuzzy relations introduced in the theorems above as the solutions to the systems of partial fuzzy relational equations are not the only solutions. They are indeed the most expected solutions as their construction mimics the shape of the preferable solutions of fully defined fuzzy relational systems, but, for instance, fuzzy relation

$$R'_S(x,y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (\uparrow A_i(x) \otimes_S B_i(y))$$

has been shown to be a solution of the system (10) under the assumption of its solvability [4]. And the solvability can ensured by the boundary condition, see Theorem 9.

3.3 Kleene Algebra, Lukasiewicz Algebra and Nelson Algebra

Let us start with the focus on the systems employing the Kleene operations:

$$A_i \circ_K R = B_i, i = 1 \ldots, m, \quad (11)$$

$$A_i \triangleleft_K R = B_i, i = 1 \ldots, m. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 10. Let for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ one of the following conditions holds

(a) $A_j$ is a normal fuzzy set and $B_j = 1$,

(b) $A_j \neq \emptyset$ and $B_j = 0^*$.

Then system (11) is solvable and moreover, the following partial fuzzy relation

$$\hat{R}_K(x,y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K B_i(y))$$

is one of the solutions.
Sketch of the proof: By proving that \( \hat{R}_K \) is a solution we prove also the solvability of the system. Let us take arbitrary \( j \) and assume that condition (a) holds. Then, for \( x' \in X \) such that \( A_j(x') = 1 \) we can prove that \( A_j(x') \otimes_K \hat{R}_K(x', y) = 1 \) and hence, the following holds

\[
(A_j \circ_K \hat{R}_K)(y) = \bigvee_{x \neq x'} (A_j(x) \otimes_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) \vee_K 1 = 1.
\]

Now, let us assume that (b) holds for the given \( j \). Then independently on the choice of \( x \) and \( y \), \( \hat{R}_K(x, y) \in \{*, 1\} \), and based on the following facts

\[
\bigvee_{x \in A_i} (A_i(x) \otimes_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) = 0, \quad \bigvee_{x \in A_i, \cup A_i, P} (A_j(x) \otimes_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) = *
\]

we may derive \( (A_j \circ_K \hat{R}_K)(y) = * \).

In both cases (a) and (b), the result of \( (A_j \circ_K \hat{R}_K) \) was equal to the consequent \( B_j \) and the proof was made for arbitrarily chosen index \( j \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 11.** Let for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) one of the following conditions holds

(a) \( A_j \) is a normal fuzzy set and \( B_j = \emptyset \),
(b) \( A_j \neq \emptyset \) and \( B_j = \emptyset^* \).

Then system (12) is solvable and moreover, the following partial fuzzy relation

\[
\hat{R}_K(x, y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \otimes_K B_i(y))
\]

is one of the solutions.

Sketch of the proof: Let us take an arbitrary \( j \) and assume that (a) holds. Then, for \( x' \in X \) such that \( A_j(x') = 1 \) we can prove that \( A_i(x') \rightarrow_K \hat{R}_K(x, y) = 0 \) and hence, the following holds

\[
(A_i \triangleleft_K \hat{R}_K)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X \setminus \{x'\}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) \wedge_K 0 = 0.
\]

Now, let us assume that (b) holds for the given \( j \). Then \( \hat{R}_K(x, y) \in \{*, 1\} \) independently on the choice of \( x \) and \( y \), and based on the following facts

\[
\bigwedge_{x \in A_{i_0}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) = 1, \quad \bigwedge_{x \in A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup A_{i_3}} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \hat{R}_K(x, y)) = *
\]

we may derive \( (A_j \triangleleft_K \hat{R}_K)(y) = * \).

In both cases (a) and (b), the result of \( (A_j \circ_K \hat{R}_K) \) was equal to the consequent \( B_j \) and the proof was made for arbitrarily chosen index \( j \). \( \square \)
Theorem 12. Let for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) the following condition holds
(c) \( B_j = 1 \).

Then system \((12)\) is solvable and moreover, the following fuzzy relation
\[
\hat{R}'_K(x, y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (\uparrow A_i(x) \otimes_K B_i(y))
\]
is one of the solutions.

Sketch of the proof: The proof is based on the following three equalities
\[
\begin{align*}
\bigwedge_{x \in A_i \cap \emptyset} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \hat{R}'_K(x, y)) &= 1 \\
\bigwedge_{x \in A_i \cup \ast} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \hat{R}'_K(x, y)) &= 1 \\
\bigwedge_{x \in A_i \cup P} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (\uparrow A_i(x) \otimes_K B_i(y))) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in A_i \cup P} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_K A_i(x)) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The use of the Łukasiewicz operations and the Nelson operations give the same results and very similar to the use of the Kleene operations. Therefore, we will study the system jointly for both algebras of operations, in particular, we will consider
\[
\begin{align*}
A_i \circ_{\gamma} R &= B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m, \quad (13) \\
A_i \triangleleft_{\gamma} R &= B_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots, m. \quad (14)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \gamma \in \{L, N\} \) will stand for the the Łukasiewicz and Nelson algebra, respectively. Therefore, the following results will hold for both algebras.

Theorem 13. Let for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) one of the following conditions holds
(a) \( A_i \) is a normal fuzzy set and \( B_i = 1 \),
(b) \( A_i \neq \emptyset \) and \( B_i = \emptyset^* \).

Then system \((13)\) is solvable and moreover, the following partial fuzzy relation
\[
\hat{R}_{\gamma}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (A_i(x) \rightarrow_{\gamma} B_i(y))
\]
is one of the solutions.

Sketch of the proof: The proof uses an analogous technique as the proof of Theorem 10. \[\square\]
Theorem 14. Let for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) one of the following conditions holds

(a) \( A_j \) is a normal fuzzy set and \( B_i = \emptyset \),
(b) there exists \( x \in X \) such that \( A_j(x) \notin \{0, *\} \) and \( B_i = \emptyset^* \),
(c) \( B_i = 1 \).

Then system (14) is solvable and the following partial fuzzy relation

\[
\hat{R}_\gamma(x, y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^m (A_i(x) \otimes \gamma B_i(y))
\]

is one of the solutions.

Sketch of the proof: Under the assumption that (a) holds, the proof uses the same technique as the proof of Theorem 11.

When proving the theorem under the assumption of the preservation of (b), we stem from the fact that \( A_j(x) \to \gamma \hat{R}_\gamma(x, y) = 1 \) when \( A_j(x) = * \), and from the fact that \( A_j(x) \to \gamma \hat{R}_\gamma(x, y) = * \) when \( A_j(x) \notin \{0, *\} \), and hence, we come to the conclusion that \( (A_j \triangleleft \gamma \hat{R}_\gamma)(y) = * \) for any \( y \in Y \).

Let us consider case (c). Using the fact that \( A_j(x) \to \gamma \hat{R}_\gamma(x, y) = 1 \) in case of \( A_j(x) = * \) and also for \( A_j(x) \neq * \) we come to the same conclusion, we prove that \( (A_i \triangleleft \gamma \hat{R}_\gamma)(y) = 1 \) for arbitrary \( y \in Y \).

All the results presented above can be summarized in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Sufficient solvability conditions for systems of partial fuzzy relational equations: \( A_i \circ_\tau R = B_i, A_i \triangleleft_\tau R = B_i \) where \( \tau \in \{B, Mc, Be, S, K, L, N\} \).

| Distinct algebras | \( A_i \circ_\tau R = B_i \) | \( A_i \triangleleft_\tau R = B_i \) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sufficient conditions | Solutions | Sufficient conditions | Solutions |
| Bochvar | \( B_i = \emptyset^* \) | \( R^*_\tau \) | \( B_i = \emptyset^* \) | \( R^*_\tau \) |
| MacCarthy | \( A_i \neq \emptyset, B_i = \emptyset^* \) | \( R^*_\tau \) | \( A_i \neq \emptyset, B_i = \emptyset^* \) | \( R^*_\tau \) |
| Bochvar external and Sobociński | \( A_i \) - boundary and \( B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y) \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) | \( A_i \) - boundary and \( B_i \in \mathcal{F}(Y) \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) |
| Kleene | \( A_i \) - normal, \( B_i = 1 \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) | \( A_i \) - normal, \( B_i = 0 \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) |
| | \( A_i \neq \emptyset, B_i = 0^* \) | | \( A_i \neq \emptyset, B_i = 0^* \) | |
| | \( B_i = 1 \) | | | |
| Lukasiewicz and Nelson | \( A_i \) - normal, \( B_i = 1 \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) | \( A_i \) - normal, \( B_i = 0 \) | \( \hat{R}_\tau \) |
| | \( A_i \neq \emptyset, B_i = 0^* \) | | \( \exists x : A_i(x) \notin \{0, *\} \) and \( B_i = 0^* \) | |
| | \( B_i = 1 \) | | | |
4 Conclusion and Future Work

We have attempted to find, formulate and prove sufficient conditions for the solvability of systems of partial fuzzy relational equations. Distinct well-known algebras dealing with undefined values have been considered, namely Bochvar, Bochvar external, Sobociński, Kleene, Nelson, Łukasiewicz, and McCarthy algebras. Let us recall that the choice of many algebras to apply to such a study was not random but to cover various types of undefined values and consequently, various areas of applications. We have obtained distinct sufficient conditions for distinct algebras. Some of the conditions seem to be rather flexible, e.g., for the case of Bochvar external and Sobociński, it was sufficient to consider the boundary condition met by the antecedent fuzzy sets. On the other hand, most cases showed that the solvability can be guaranteed under very restrictive conditions. Although apart from the Bochvar case, the conditions are not necessary but only sufficient, from the construction of the proofs and from the investigation of the behavior of the particular operations it is clear, that in such algebras, very mild conditions cannot be determined.

For the future work, we intend to complete the study by adding also necessary conditions and by considering also the Dragonfly and Lower estimation algebras that seem to be more promising for obtaining mild solvability conditions similarly to the case of Sobociński or Bochvar external algebra. Furthermore, there exist problems derived from the solvability modeling more practically oriented research that are not expected to be so demanding on the conditions such as the solvability itself. By this, we mean, for instance, modeling the partial inputs incorporated into the fully defined systems of fuzzy relational equations. Indeed, this models very natural situations when the knowledge (antecedents and consequents) is fully defined but the input is partly damaged by, e.g., containing missing values etc.

Finally, we plan to study the compatibility (or the sensitivity) of the used computational machinery with undefined values with respect to ranging values that can possibly replace the $\star$. This investigation should show us which algebras are the most robust ones when we know in advance that $\star$ belongs to a certain range or $\star$ is described using natural language such as “low values”, or “big values”, etc.
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