Case Report

Long Term Follow-Up of a Successful Lower Limb Replantation in a 3-Year-Old Child
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Replantation of the lower extremity has controversial indications but nevertheless it may be considered in carefully selected patients who present early and are expected to show good functional recoveries. Here we present a successful replantation in a 3-year-old boy who has made excellent recovery with no functional deficit evident at 12 years of follow-up.

1. Introduction

Replantation of the lower extremity has controversial indications because crushing and avulsion of the involved parts make the procedure difficult to perform and the results of modern prostheses are better than a poorly functional replanted limb [1, 2]. Nevertheless replantation may be considered in carefully selected patients who present early and are expected to show good functional recoveries [3–6].

Here we present a successful replantation in a 3-year-old boy who has made excellent recovery with no functional deficit evident at 12 years of follow-up.

2. Case Description

A 3-year-old boy presented to our emergency department six hours after sustaining a traumatic amputation of his left lower limb when he fell of a “Qing Qi” (motorcycle rickshaw). The patient had received first aid from a local hospital and then was referred to our institute for hope of replantation.

At presentation he had a pulse rate of 160/min and blood pressure of 108/64 mm Hg. The left leg was severed 10 cm distal to the knee joint and the amputated foot was being carried in a polythene bag filled with ice (Figure 1). There were no other systemic or limb injuries. Decision to attempt replantation was taken considering the age of the patient and borderline ischemia time even though the mechanism of injury was not in favor of this decision.

Replantation was started at 7 hours cold ischemia time after adequately washing both the stump and the amputated foot. The tibia was shortened 4 cm to facilitate tendon, plantar and dorsiflexion power 4/5, and recovery of sensation over the foot. Now at 12 years of follow-up patient has a normal gait and has integrated into society with no functional deficit. Considering the functional outcome of our case, replantation should be attempted whenever possible and feasible especially in children.
anastomoses site (Figure 2). Immediate postoperative period
went uneventful with regular dressing changes. Skin grafting
for the skin defects over the medial (3 × 7 cm) and lateral (2 ×
4 cm) sides of the wound was undertaken after 10 days during
the same hospital stay (Figure 2).

Subsequently the patient made good recovery with recov-
er of skin sensation over the foot at 6 months, with less than
3 cm of limb length discrepancy, good plantar, dorsiflexion
power of 4/5 on the BMC scale, and ability to ambulate
without support (Figure 3). The plate was removed at 2 years
and tenolysis of the long toe extensors was done in the same
setting.

Now after 12 years of follow-up the patient has a normal
gait with no limp. He does not require a shoe raise for
his negligible limb length discrepancy. He has 5/5 power of
plantar and dorsiflexion with ability to walk on his toes and
heels, respectively (Figure 4). He has integrated back into
society with no functional deficit or handicap.
Replantation is a complex surgical procedure performed by microsurgeons requiring specialized intraoperative instrumentation and postoperative care. Even though reports of replantations are reported in the literature since the 1960s there are still no reports of replantations from our country. In our resource constrained country availability of a microsurgeon and surgical instrumentation is scarce. As a result most children and adults alike end up in an amputation with no choice of salvage available. Rarely do such patients arrive in time to a facility with available resources where such an attempt can be made.

In the developing countries where patients finance themselves the debate between a nonfunctional replanted limb versus a functional prosthesis has a different angle to it. Attempting to salvage the extremity with the requirement of multiple procedures may be expensive but even good functional prostheses are scarce, expensive, and not within the reach of the common man [7, 8]. Similarly lack of proper facilities for the physically impaired at public places hinders their smooth integration into society [9]. These factors result in patients faring better with a less than optimal functional limb as compared to a prosthesis.

In children stump revisions, angular deformities and frequent change of prosthesis during growth spurts present another challenge with added cost [10–12]. The psychological impact of this disability to the children and their parents is also immense [13]. On the other hand replantation outcomes in children have been seen to be superior to adults with less wound complications and limb shortening [14, 15].

Children have a physiologically better healing response than adults with good bone healing secondary to a rich periosteal blood supply, faster soft tissue healing, less scar formation, improved nerve regeneration, easier joint mobilization, and enhanced tendon gliding [16]. Even though outcome of more proximal replantations may not be as favorable as the more distal ones many microvascular surgeons now feel that an attempt for replantation should be made in children due to their improved subsequent function and psychosocial adaptability [16].

Considering the functional outcome of our case we feel that replantation should be attempted whenever possible and feasible even in our society especially in children.
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