Influence of Aberrations on Modal Decomposition for LMA Fiber Laser Systems
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Understanding the mode components is of great importance to manipulate the optical modes and to improve the optical system performance. However, various forms of aberrations, stemming from misalignment and imperfect optical components and system design, degrade the performance of the modal decomposition (MD) system. Here we analyze the influence of various Zernike aberrations on MD performance in large-mode-area fiber laser systems. Using computer-generated optical correlation filter together with angular multiplexing technique, we can simultaneously measure multi-modal contents. Among the common aberrations, we find that the MD results are least sensitive to vertical astigmatism aberration. However, the vertical coma aberration and horizontal coma aberration have a large impact on MD results under the same aberration strength, which show a rather large change in modal weight and intermodal phase. Our analysis is useful to construct a precise MD system applicable for high-power optical fiber modal analysis and mode control.
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INTRODUCTION

High-power fiber lasers have shown wide applications in industrial processing, fundamental science, and national defense [1]. In recent years, the output power of fiber lasers has rapidly increased compared to other solid-state lasers, reaching above 10 kW from a single-mode fiber [2, 3]. To increase the output power of the fiber laser, enlarging the diameter of the fiber core is usually adopted, which suppresses the nonlinear effect and increases the damage threshold of the fiber end face [4, 5]. Step-index large-mode-area (LMA) fibers, also called few-mode fibers, with a low numerical aperture (NA) are then selected to keep a relatively good beam quality at high powers. The core diameters of common LMA fibers usually range from 15 to 50 μm. However, the enlarged fiber core, compared with single-mode fibers, supports more eigenmodes, allowing such to be transmitted in the fiber. With more high-order modes transmitted in the fiber, the beam quality of the laser output degrades. Under high-power and intense pumping conditions, the thermal optical modulation intensifies the inter-mode coupling between the transverse modes, which causes the transverse mode instability (TMI) [6]. This phenomenon leads to degradation of the beam quality and more heat generation, making the power scaling difficult to continue.
After TMI is commonly observed in the experiment, researchers have delivered huge efforts to mitigate this effect, for example, by modifying the fiber parameter [7–9], using different-linewidth seed lasers [10, 11], and optimizing the pump direction or its wavelength [12–14]. These methods mainly focus on passive optical devices, but they cannot change the inherent mechanism which causes TMI. Taking the idea from the field of adaptive optics, active beam control may stabilize the output beam and help to mitigate TMI [15]. In order to combat the mode instability, the key is to control the optical frequency of mode coupling can be as high as several kilohertz, optical laser MD model based on OCF method. Then, possible but there is a lack of quantitative research. Therefore, we use analyzed.

Researchers have also found that the MD results may be affected by aberrations in the actual mode decomposition [26], but there is a lack of quantitative research. Therefore, we use numerical simulation methods to carry out a detailed analysis of aberration on MD results. In this work, we first develop a fiber laser MD model based on OCF method. Then, possible aberrations under different strengths are induced on the optical field of the fiber laser. Finally, their influences on the MD results (including modal weights and intermodal phases) are analyzed.

**THEORY OF MD AND ABERRATIONS**

**Spatial Mode Properties in Fibers**

When the refractive index difference between the core and the cladding of the step-index fiber (SIF) is small, it can be treated as weakly guiding fibers. In the case of weakly guiding approximation, it is considered that the rays in the fiber are completely transmitted along the fiber axis. Therefore, electromagnetic fields are solved via the transverse component, while the longitudinal component can be ignored. The transverse component of the optical field can be decomposed into linear polarization component in the horizontal and vertical directions, which are described by a linear polarization (LP) mode. The optical field of each polarization component is expressed in terms of modes, which constitute orthogonal basis functions as follows:

$$U(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \psi_n(r)$$

with $N$ denoting the total number of modes supported by the fiber, $\psi_n(r)$ being the LP mode set, $c_n = \rho_n \phi_n$, representing the $n$th modal coefficient, $\rho_n$ denoting the $n$th modal amplitude, and $\phi_n$ representing the $n$th intermodal phase (phase difference between two modes, with fundamental mode acting as a reference). Knowledge about the above-mentioned parameters makes it possible to reconstruct a scalar optical field in amplitude and phase. The fiber beam intensity $I(r)$ and phase distributions of this field $\phi$ can be easily computed from the following:

$$I(r) = |U(r)|^2$$

$$\phi_j(r) = \arg[U_j(r)]$$

where $\phi_j(r)$ is the phase distribution for each component $U_j(r)$.

In SIF, the number of modes $N$ is usually determined by the normalized cutoff frequency value $V$. In general, the number of modes that the optical fiber can support for transmission increases as the value of the normalized cutoff frequency increases, such that:

$$V = \frac{2na}{\lambda} NA$$

where $NA = \sqrt{n_1^2 - n_2^2}$ is the numerical aperture of the optical fiber, $n_1$ is the refractive index of the core, and $n_2$ is the refractive index of the cladding.

**Fiber Laser MD Using the OCF Method**

Fiber laser MD using the OCF method based on computer-generated hologram (CGH) is a powerful tool for the detailed investigation of laser fields [27, 28]. The OCF that we mention here is transmission functions by design. The transmission functions consist of amplitudes and conjugate phase information related to the eigenmodes of LMA fiber laser, which can be converted into a phase-only CGH. This phase-only CGH is loaded into a liquid crystal spatial light modulator (LC-SLM). When the incident beam illuminates the LC-SLM, the beam is diffracted according to the pattern on the LC-SLM. In this way, we realize the superimposition of the designed transmission functions and the incident beam. Only those light containing the same mode information as the filter can be diffracted and converge at the far-field optical axis ($r = 0$). Hence, the far-field intensity signal (grayscale value in the simulation) $I$ on the optical axis representing one modal amplitude is proportional to the correlation of the incident optical field $U(r)$ and the transmission function $T^*(r)$. It is an inner product relation that can be expressed as $I = \int \int T^*(r) U(r) d^2 r$. In this way, the amplitude of one mode can be directly accessible by a simple intensity measurement. Figure 1 shows the process of matched filter for $LP_{11e}$ mode using the OCF method. When a $LP_{11e}$ mode passes through a CGH containing its conjugate phase, the optical axis of the far-field Fourier plane will present the maximum value. For those modes orthogonal to $LP_{11e}$ mode, it will not contribute to the central optical intensity. Thus, the amplitude at the centroid of this far-field spot represents the $LP_{11e}$ mode content.

To measure the amplitudes of a variety of modes simultaneously, it is essential to use angular multiplexing technique. The detailed design of the transmission function can be found elsewhere [29]. The final transmission functions for fiber laser MD are as follows:
\[ T_{\text{final}}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{3N-2} T_{\text{ideal}}(r) e^{iK_{\text{ideal}}(r)} = \sum_{s=1}^{N} T_s(r) e^{iK_s(r)} + \sum_{s=2}^{N} (T^c_s(r) e^{iK^c_s(r)} + T^m_s(r) e^{iK^m_s(r)}) \]  

(5)

where \( T_s(r) \) is the transmission function for the modal amplitude measurement, and \( T^c_s(r) \) and \( T^m_s(r) \) are the transmission functions for the intermodal phase measurement. Each of the above-mentioned transmission function is multiplied with a certain carrier frequency \( K_s(r) \), \( K^c_s(r) \), and \( K^m_s(r) \) to achieve a spatial separation of the information in the Fourier plane. For a fiber laser to decompose into \( N \) modes, it usually requires \( N + 2(N - 1) = 3N - 2 \) transmission functions. In the Fourier plane, the diffractive patterns with their respective transmission functions are simultaneously arranged at specific positions, corresponding to beam information at certain carrier frequencies. Eventually, we can obtain the modal weight and intermodal phase information according to the corresponding intensity on the sub-optical axes (corresponding to +1st diffracted order) in the far-field Fourier plane. When all the modal amplitudes and intermodal phases are obtained, the scalar optical field can be reconstructed using Equation 1.

To evaluate the accuracy of the MD, the cross-correlation function evaluates the agreement between the actual optical field and the reconstructed optical field as follows:

\[ C = \frac{\int \int \Delta I_{\text{Rec}}(x, y) \Delta I_{\text{Mea}}(x, y) dx dy}{\sqrt{\int \int \Delta I^2_{\text{Rec}}(x, y) dx dy \int \int \Delta I^2_{\text{Mea}}(x, y) dx dy}} \]  

(6)

where \( \Delta I_j(x, y) = I_j(x, y) - \bar{I}_j(x, y) \), \( \bar{I}_j(x, y) \) denotes the mean value of the near-field or far-field intensity distribution \( I_j(x, y) \) over time, with \( j = \text{Rec, Mea} \) denoting the reconstructed and measured ones, respectively. For an ideal MD, the cross-correlation is 1. When the cross-correlation is closer to 1, the MD result is more accurate. Note that the cross-correlation is always greater than 0 [30].

**Demonstration of the Influence of Aberration on the MD Results**

In order to analyze the influence of the aberrations on the MD results, a phase distortion is usually imposed on the initial field \( U_i \) as follows:
\[ U = U_i \exp(i n b Z_{nm}) \]  
(7)

where \( Z_{nm} \) denotes the Zernike polynomial of radial order \( n \) and azimuthal order \( m \), and \( b \) is the Zernike coefficient corresponding to the aberration strength [26].

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the MD result of an ideal fundamental Gaussian beam with and without defocus aberration. When the fundamental beam is superimposed with a plane wavefront, the modal weight of \( LP_{01} \) is analyzed to be 1. However, when it is superimposed with the defocus aberration, the MD result may no longer be the pure fundamental mode but the superposition of the fundamental mode and other high-order modes. This demonstration shows that the MD results can be greatly deteriorated by the existing aberrations in the optical path.

**SIMULATION AND RESULTS**

**Simulation of MD for Scalar Beam**

In the simulation, we use a 25/250 (NA = 0.065) step-index LMA fiber. The supported eigenmodes in this LMA fiber at an operating wavelength \( \lambda \) of 1,064 nm are \( LP_{01} \), \( LP_{11e} \), \( LP_{11o} \), \( LP_{02} \), \( LP_{21e} \), and \( LP_{21o} \). Table 1 shows the initial fiber optical...
The CGH is usually loaded on the phase-only LC-SLM. The far-Fourier plane. The far-intermodal phase.

intermodal phase. The far-order spot is acquired to calculate the modal weight and value at one pixel of each centroid of the +1st diffraction light (+1st diffraction order) spots. Then, the grayscale undiffracted light (0th diffraction order) and diffracted light (1st diffraction order) are acquired. After superimposing the CGH phase on the preset multimode light field, the near-field beam is now modulated by CGH. Figure 3B depicts the correlation signals in the far-field Fourier plane. The far-field intensity distribution involves undiffracted light (0th diffraction order) and diffracted light (+1st diffraction order) spots. Then, the grayscale value at one pixel of each centroid of the +1st diffraction order spot is acquired to calculate the modal weight and intermodal phase.

Influence of Aberration on the MD Results
To research the impact of various Zernike aberrations on the correlation coefficients and MD results, we individually superimpose the first fifteen Zernike terms on the preset fiber laser optical field. We then estimate the correlation coefficients by comparing the similarity between the original near-field and far-field optical fields and reconstructed optical fields from the MD results. In order to better compare the sensitivity of the correlation coefficient to the aberration strength, we take 90% as the threshold—when one of the near-field correlation coefficient (NFCC) and far-field correlation coefficient (FFCC) is lower than 90%, we define that the MD method is not accurate. Figure 4A shows a comparison of the original optical field and the reconstructed optical field under various defocus aberration strengths. When the defocus aberration strength increases from 1.1 to 1.2, the FFCC drops from 90.90 to 89.37%. Although the correlation coefficient is less than 90%, we define that the MD method is not accurate.
Figures 4B, C show the change of NFCC and FFCC under the first fifteen Zernike aberrations, respectively. We find that some aberrations have a greater impact on the optical field, e.g., defocus, horizontal coma, vertical coma, etc. When the aberration strength $b$ increases, either NFCC or FFCC influenced by the above-mentioned three aberrations drop.

**FIGURE 5** The curves of modal weights and intermodal phases correlated with the aberration strength of (A) vertical coma aberration and (B) horizontal coma aberration. Six modes including $LP_{01}$, $LP_{02}$, $LP_{11e}$, $LP_{11o}$, $LP_{21e}$, and $LP_{21o}$ are analyzed. (C) Two Zernike terms normalized to unit circle: left, vertical coma aberration (the inserted graph is $LP_{11o}$); right, horizontal coma aberration (the inserted graph is $LP_{11e}$).

**TABLE 2** Tolerance of aberration strength for common aberrations and the wavefront deformation.

| Wavefront deformation | Aberration type | Defocus | Oblique astigmatism | Vertical astigmatism | Vertical coma | Horizontal coma |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Aberration tolerance  |                | 1.1000  | 4.2000               | 11.7000              | 0.8000        | 0.6000           |
| Wavefront PV/λ        |                | 1.0061  | 1.0690               | 1.2115               | 1.0027        | 1.0010           |
| Wavefront RMS/λ       |                | 0.2091  | 0.2909               | 0.3067               | 0.1586        | 0.1049           |
steeply. However, some aberrations have a relatively weak influence on the optical field, e.g., oblique trefoil, oblique quatrefoil, vertical quatrefoil, etc. Considering the actual needs in MD equipment, Figure 4D shows a summary of the tolerance of aberration strength of five common aberrations in optical systems, and Table 2 provides wavefront deformation, including wavefront PV and wavefront RMS corresponding to each aberration strength. It can be seen that MD can sustain a relatively large vertical astigmatism aberration strength of up to 11.7, from which we can calculate that the corresponding wavefront PV and RMS values are 1.2115 and 0.3027 λ, respectively. However, MD is sensitive to vertical coma aberration and horizontal coma aberration. The tolerance for horizontal coma is much lower at 0.6, and the corresponding wavefront PV and RMS values are 1.0010 and 0.1049 λ, respectively.

Since the variation of the correlation coefficients are essentially due to the change of the MD results, to further quantify the influence of aberrations on MD results, we choose two most sensitive aberrations, vertical coma aberration and horizontal coma aberration, and simulate how the modal weights and intermodal phases change. The intermodal phase represents the phase difference between each researched high-order mode and LP_01 (as introduced in the “Fiber Laser MD Using the OCF Method” section). Figure 5A shows how the modal weights and intermodal phases change with the aberration strength of the vertical coma aberration for the first six modes. It can be seen that the modal weights of LP_01 (gray), LP_02 (red), and LP_110 (green) are strongly influenced. Especially for LP_110 (green), the modal weight reduces from 6.11 to 0.21% and then increases back to 6.39%. The intermodal phase of LP_110 (green) presents a sharp phase variation under the vertical coma aberration strength of 0.4 when the modal weight is close to 0. For horizontal coma aberration (Figure 5B), the trends of the modal weights are quite similar, except that the drastic change of intermodal phase turns to LP_116 (blue). The sharp phase change that happens for LP_116 (blue) is under the horizontal coma aberration strength of 0.42 when the modal weight is close to 3%. The above-mentioned data reveals that the modal weights undergo a disorderliness change like a modal coupling process in the fiber, and the intermodal phase of a high-order mode may sustain step-change under a certain aberration strength. It seems likely that the large phase change of both LP_110 and LP_116 is a result of the similarity of their intensity distribution and the two aberrations, as depicted in Figure 5C, and since they themselves are step-phases, their phases are more sensitive and are easier to change drastically.

Undoubtedly, effectively pre-correcting the vertical coma aberration and horizontal coma aberration is of great importance for the construction of MD equipment. Before correcting aberrations, a useful wavefront sensor, e.g., Shark–Hartmann sensor, needs to be applied to accurately measure the wavefront [32]. When the actual wavefront aberration in the optical path is obtained, it is essential to correct the aberration to improve the results of fiber laser MD. Since the aberrations of the MD measurement system are stationary, the aberrations can be effectively eliminated using high-precision optical components. The phase-only LC-SLM can also be used to deliberately load targeted coma, defocus, and other phases to compensate the phase error and improve the system performance.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, we investigated the impact of various Zernike aberrations on the MD performance in LMA fiber laser systems. Multi-modal contents were simultaneously acquired via the use of an angular multiplexing CGH using the OCF method. Various single aberrations were deliberately induced on the near-field, and then its influence on far-field MD performance was presented. Among the researched common aberrations, we find that MD is least sensitive to vertical astigmatism. However, the vertical coma aberration and the horizontal coma aberration have a greater influence on the MD results of modal weights and intermodal phases, corresponding to a sharply decreased correlation coefficient. Therefore, when we construct the MD equipment, it is essential to detect the system aberration with common wavefront measurement sensors, e.g., the Shark–Hartmann sensor. According to the wavefront measurement value, we then need to correct or compensate these two aberrations properly. Our analysis is useful to reduce the influence of aberrations on MD performance, serving for modal analysis and mode control in high-power fiber laser systems.
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