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Abstract
The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 remains a major global crisis and continues to spread relentlessly around the world. In Japan, the number of infected people has incrementally increased since April 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic has exerted a major impact not only on our daily lives but also on healthcare. As the infection continues to spread, many medical institutions have devoted all efforts to minimize the risk of infection not only for patients but also for medical personnel by prioritizing medical care, reserving treatment, and extending consultation intervals. Cancer treatment is one of the priorities for medical care even during an epidemic infection as there is a concern of decreasing curability or therapeutic effect from postponement. As the COVID-19 situation evolves rapidly, we created an informative triage to provide appropriate medical treatment to breast cancer patients. In this triage, we offer guidance on preparing for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in breast cancer patients, prioritizing triage and diagnostic procedures, and providing advice on surgical, radiation, and oncological treatments.

Introduction
The COVID-19 outbreak poses unprecedented challenges for patients, clinicians, and the healthcare system. In many aspects of medicine, clinicians are responding to the global epidemic by modifying their practices to minimize exposure risks and ensure availability of resources. The management of cancer patients is presenting unique challenges [1]. As hospital resources and staff become more limited during the COVID-19 pandemic, it becomes very important to define patients who require more urgent care and patients whose care can be deferred until the pandemic is over. Thus, several societies have issued guidelines for the treatment of breast cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic [2–4]. Based on the guidelines from the Japan Surgical Society, the Japan Breast Cancer Society have developed recommendations for managing breast cancer patients in Japan.

The priority levels for breast cancer-related management in diagnostic imaging, surgical oncology, radiation oncology, and medical oncology are classified into three levels:
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high, medium, and low. Patients with unstable conditions who immediately succumb to a life-threatening condition or whose prognosis may be altered by delayed treatment are classified as high priority. Patients in whom certain treatments or medical services can be indefinitely delayed until the pandemic is over without adversely affecting their survival are classified as low priority.

These recommendations are aimed at improving management and will not worsen the outcome of breast cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. A common goal is ensuring adequate hospital resources for patients with COVID-19 by prioritizing aspects of breast cancer management without significantly compromising the outcome of individual patients. The status of COVID-19 infection differs among facilities and continues to change in time. It is important to make a final decision regarding each aspect of management by a multidisciplinary team taking into consideration the facility situation. Importantly, these recommendations are not meant to replace individual doctors’ decisions or institutional policies or guidelines but to augment them.

Priority structure

Consider prioritization was established on the basis of the severity of individual patient conditions and the potential effectiveness of treatment [5]. The priorities were categorized as high priority, medium priority, and low priority.

High priority

In this category, the patient’s condition is immediately life threatening, clinically unstable, and/or completely intolerable. Even a short delay in attending to the patient would significantly alter the prognosis. The first priority should be given to the efficacious management of the patient even if resources become scarce by administering urgent treatment to control the progressing disease, providing symptomatic relief, or preserving life.

Medium priority

In this category, the patient does not immediately succumb to a fatal condition. However, if treatments or medical services are delayed indefinitely until the end of the pandemic, the patient’s outcome may be affected. Many breast cancer patients would fall into this category compared with other malignant diseases.

Low priority

In this category, certain treatments or medical services for a patient could be indefinitely deferred until the pandemic is over without any adverse impact on the patient’s outcome. However, caution should be exercised in terms of reassessment of the priority level and clinical validity even when management is considered to be safely deferred in this group.

Some examples of practical situations in prioritization of the management of breast cancer patients are as follows. High priority includes the confirmation of diagnosis for clinically malignant and aggressive cases and severe inflammatory diseases including infectious mastitis. Medium priority includes the confirmation of diagnosis for suspected malignant cases, the decision of adjuvant treatment for cases completing operation, the immediate change of treatment for metastatic breast cancer, and the biopsy of cases with a suspected malignant tumor. Low priority includes the screening of breast cancer including the high-risk population, the follow-up of breast cancer, and the biopsy of cases with a suspected benign tumor [Table 1].

Herein, we describe the prioritization of breast cancer-related management for each category in the areas of diagnostic imaging, surgical oncology, radiation oncology, and medical oncology.

Diagnostic imaging

Clinical palpation, mammography, and ultrasonography are the basic diagnostic procedures for breast cancer. Therefore, there are a number of examinations performed in routine clinical practice. However, it is necessary to limit these examinations during the COVID-19 pandemic. There are a very few situations designated as high priority for breast imaging except imaging for the definitive diagnosis of clinically malignant cases.

Medium priority includes diagnosis of non-urgent cases of suspected metastases. Low priority includes follow-up for early breast cancers and metastatic breast cancers without symptoms. All screening tests including mammography, ultrasonography, and magnetic resonance imaging should be considered as low priority and should be suspended until the end of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, screening of BRCA mutation carriers younger than 40 years of age should be classified as medium priority [6, 7].

Surgical oncology

To minimize the use of operating room resources, we must consider the time required for recovery and how to reduce the risk of complications. Patients should be triaged for
Table 1  Clinical triage in breast cancer

| Priority level | Outpatient | Diagnostic imaging | Surgical procedure | Radiation therapy (RT) |
|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| (A) High priority | Urgent treatment is required as usual strategy when possible | Confirmation of diagnosis for clinically malignant cases | Operative drainage of abscesses | Palliative RT when no other effective therapy for the control of symptoms is available (inoperable bleeding, symptomatic brain metastases, or life-threatening metastases) |
| (B) Medium priority | Medium priority | Severe inflammatory diseases including infectious mastitis | Surgical operation for surgical complications including: rapid-growing phyllodes tumor, rapidly growing phyllodes tumor | Postoperative RT for elderly patients (≥ 70) with low-risk disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and for patients with ductal carcinoma in situ |
| (C) Low priority | Immediate treatment is not required and can be delayed until the pandemic is over | Immediate change of treatment for metastatic breast cancer | Excision of local recurrence | Postoperative RT for patients with high-risk features such as inflammatory breast cancer, HER2-positive breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, and HER2-positive breast cancer in the elderly (≥ 70 years) with additional high-risk factors. |
|               | Biopsy of cases with suspected ductal carcinoma in situ | Immediate change of treatment for metastatic breast cancer | Avoid autologous reconstruction and use implant or tissue expanders in breast cancer operation accompanied by immediate reconstruction | Postoperative RT for patients with high-risk features such as inflammatory breast cancer, HER2-positive breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, and HER2-positive breast cancer in the elderly (≥ 70 years) with additional high-risk factors. |

| Priority level | Outpatient | Diagnostic imaging | Surgical procedure | Radiation therapy (RT) |
|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| (A) High priority | Urgent treatment is required as usual strategy when possible | Confirmation of diagnosis for clinically malignant cases | Operative drainage of abscesses | Palliative RT when no other effective therapy for the control of symptoms is available (inoperable bleeding, symptomatic brain metastases, or life-threatening metastases) |
| (B) Medium priority | Medium priority | Severe inflammatory diseases including infectious mastitis | Surgical operation for surgical complications including: rapid-growing phyllodes tumor, rapidly growing phyllodes tumor | Postoperative RT for elderly patients (≥ 70) with low-risk disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and for patients with ductal carcinoma in situ |
| (C) Low priority | Immediate treatment is not required and can be delayed until the pandemic is over | Immediate change of treatment for metastatic breast cancer | Excision of local recurrence | Postoperative RT for patients with high-risk features such as inflammatory breast cancer, HER2-positive breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, and HER2-positive breast cancer in the elderly (≥ 70 years) with additional high-risk factors. |
|               | Biopsy of cases with suspected ductal carcinoma in situ | Immediate change of treatment for metastatic breast cancer | Avoid autologous reconstruction and use implant or tissue expanders in breast cancer operation accompanied by immediate reconstruction | Postoperative RT for patients with high-risk features such as inflammatory breast cancer, HER2-positive breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, and HER2-positive breast cancer in the elderly (≥ 70 years) with additional high-risk factors. |
| Priority level | (A) High-priority | (B) Medium priority | (C) Low priority |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Urgent treatment is required as usual strategy when possible | Treatment delay may cause inferior outcome | Immediate treatment is not required and can be deferred until the pandemic is over |
| **Medication therapy** | Neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapies for triple-negative or HER2-positive breast cancer<br>Continuation of ongoing neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapies<br>Initiate chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer in a case, in which improved prognosis is expected<br>Consideration points: Consider interruption or cessation of neoadjuvant and adjuvant endocrine therapies for old patients or those treated for more than 5 years<br>Consider dosages and administration, or modification of dosing interval for reduced visit to hospital<br>Consider use of PEGylated granulocyte colony-stimulating factor to prevent febrile neutropenia<br>Consider limited use of dexamethasone appropriately avoiding immune suppression<br>Anti-HER2 antibody therapy and endocrine therapy should not affect immune function<br>Select long-acting luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist | Palliative chemotherapy<br>Consideration points: Duration of adjuvant trastuzumab can be shortened to 7 months instead of 12 months<br>The interval of anti-HER2 therapy can be extended to 4 weeks<br>Consider stopping anti-HER2 therapy in cases responding for more than 2 years without disease progression<br>Addition of CDK4/6 or mTOR inhibitors for breast cancers can be delayed when endocrine monotherapy is acceptable or when responding to endocrine monotherapy | Bone-modifying agents for bone metastases<br>Venous access device (port) flush |
initial alternative therapies such as primary systematic therapy whenever possible [8]. Therefore, it becomes very important to define patients who require more urgent care and patients whose care can be deferred until the pandemic is over [Fig. 1].

High priority includes the operative drainage of abscesses, salvage operation for surgical complications including hematoma and ischemic autologous tissue flap, revision of ischemic autologous flap or repair, and rapidly growing phyllodes tumors.

For medium priority, definitive surgery can be postponed and substituted with endocrine therapy for stages I and II hormone receptor (HR)-positive cases. The safety and efficacy of neoadjuvant endocrine therapy are reported during 6–12 months in luminal type breast cancer [9–11].

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) may be a good option for HR-negative breast cancer in routine clinical situation. When surgical resources are limited, NAC could be administered with a wider indication for these subtypes. For NAC cases with T2 or N1 HR-positive HER2-negative breast cancers, NAC can be switched or one may proceed to endocrine therapy until surgical resources are recovered. In contrast, it may also be possible to consider proceeding with surgery before or during NAC depending on the situation of the facility.

Low-priority surgical treatments include those for benign diseases, ductal carcinoma in situ, prophylactics, re-excision of a positive margin or local recurrence, and cases responsive to neoadjuvant endocrine therapy.

Plastic surgical treatments are categorized as low priority. From an oncoplastic point of view, reconstruction with implants or tissue expanders is preferred to autologous reconstruction for immediate reconstruction.

**Radiation oncology**

Radiation therapy (RT) plays a crucial role in the treatment of breast cancer patients. However, most RTs are administered for a specified period of several weeks, such as conventional-fractionated postoperative RT which is given for 5–6 weeks. During the COVID-19 pandemic, there is, therefore, a need to minimize the burden of patients and healthcare providers without compromising patient prognosis. Reducing fractions, postponing RTs, or omitting RTs must be carefully considered depending on patient-related factors (i.e., age, symptoms, life-threatening risks, or comorbidities) and risk of recurrence. Moderate hypofractionated RT can help reduce hospital visits. Thus, its use should be considered even in patients requiring regional node irradiation, including postmastectomy RT during the COVID-19 pandemic.

High priority includes palliative RT for patients presenting with symptomatic diseases such as inoperable bleeding.

---

**Fig. 1** Surgical choices based on hospital phases and Elective Surgery Acuity Scale (ESAS). This figure was created by the Department of Anesthesiology at Tokyo Medical University Hospital. This figure was used as an official document with the permission of the director of the hospital. Indications of surgery to be performed or postponed were cited from the American College of Surgeons (ACS) guidelines. Tier 1a: low acuity surgery/healthy patient, outpatient surgery, and not life-threatening illness. Tier 1b: low acuity surgery/unhealthy patient. Tier 2a: intermediate acuity surgery/healthy patient, not life-threatening illness but potential for future morbidity and mortality, and requires in hospital stay. Tier 2b: intermediate acuity surgery/unhealthy patient. Tier 3a: high acuity surgery/healthy patient. Tier 3b: high acuity surgery/unhealthy patient.
or a painful breast mass, spinal cord compression, symptomatic brain metastases, or life-threatening metastases, in whom no other effective therapies are available for the control of symptoms, as well as RT for patients already on treatment.

Moderate hypofractionated RT for whole breast irradiation (e.g., 40 Gy in 15 fractions, 42.5 Gy in 16 Gy) is the standard of care in many countries [12–14]. This RT is recommended in the Japanese guideline for breast cancer [15]. Medium priority includes postoperative RT for patients with high-risk features such as inflammatory or triple-negative breast cancer and residual disease after NAC, or for young patients aged less than 40 years with additional high-risk features. In these patients, treatment can be postponed up to 8–12 weeks during a pandemic scenario [4]. Medium priority also includes postoperative RT for patients with low or intermediate-risk features such as those aged <70 years and are Stage I/II ER-positive. In these patients, treatment can be postponed up to 20 weeks with the administration of hormonal therapy [16].

Regarding low priority, RT can be delayed or omitted without having an impact on the survival of patients aged 70 years or older with HR-positive and HER2-negative stage I breast cancer if standard endocrine therapy is planned [4].

Medical oncology

Over the last 20 years, the incidence of breast cancer has increased approximately threefold. HR-positive breast cancer accounts for most of the recent increase [17]. Thus, a neoadjuvant endocrine therapy (NET) approach can delay the time to surgery in HR-positive breast cancer patients [18]. NET has been shown to improve surgical outcomes by increasing the rates of eligibility to undergo breast-conserving surgery [19, 20]. Aromatase inhibitors are known to be more effective than tamoxifen for neoadjuvant therapy in postmenopausal women [9, 10] and premenopausal treated with luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) agonist [21]. Therefore, it is desirable to use aromatase inhibitors for HR-positive patients as NET.

High priority includes neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for triple-negative or HER2-positive breast cancer, continuation of ongoing neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy, and initiation of chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer patients whose prognosis are expected to improve by treatment.

Some modifications can be considered to reduce hospital visits including treatments with anticancer drugs, interruption or cessation of neoadjuvant and adjuvant endocrine therapies for old patients or those treated for more than 5 years, use of PEGylated granulocyte colony-stimulating factor to prevent febrile neutropenia, limited use of dexamethasone to avoid immune suppression, and use of a long-acting LH-RH agonist. Notably, anti-Her2 antibody therapy and endocrine therapy are considered not to affect the immune function.

The duration of adjuvant trastuzumab could be shortened to 7 months instead of 12 months. The interval of anti-HER2 therapy could be extended to 4 weeks. Anti-HER2 therapy may be suspended in patients whose diseases are controlled for more than 2 years without disease progression. The addition of CDK4/6 or mTOR inhibitors can be delayed when endocrine monotherapy is acceptable or the present monotherapy has already caused patients to respond.

There are a few medications categorized under low priority for breast cancer treatment. Bone-modifying agents for bone metastases and central venous port flashing may be postponed until the pandemic is under control.

New management of outpatients

The outpatient environment needs to be managed efficiently to prevent the spread of COVID-19. The triage of patients for fever and COVID-19-related conditions is the most important measure that can be instituted at the entrance of all medical facilities.

To reduce the number of patients visiting hospitals, the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare approved the use of telemedicine as an exception during the COVID-19 pandemic [22]. Medical doctors are allowed to prescribe drugs by phone. The prescription is mailed and drugs are received at a nearby pharmacy. ‘Phone treatment’ is a special response in an emergency situation, and it is necessary to consider whether it should be carried out according to the infection situation of each facility.

Conclusion

We are facing an unprecedented national crisis with the COVID-19 pandemic which necessitates re-focusing of the values and priorities of healthcare interventions. These clinical recommendations for consistency throughout for breast cancer management are aimed at providing guidance in developing plans to maintain a patient-centered decision making at the highest level. The priority of these recommendations will vary according to the COVID-19 infection level, the acceptance of infected patients by each institution, and the availability of medical resources. We hope that these current recommendations will help clinicians provide the highest quality of medical care possible during this unparalleled situation.
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