West African monsoon precipitation impacted by the South Eastern Atlantic biomass burning aerosol outflow
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The West African Monsoon (WAM) is a complex system depending on global climate influences and multiple regional environmental factors. Central and Southern African biomass-burning (SABB) aerosols have been shown to perturb WAM during episodic northward inter-hemispheric transport events, but a possible dynamical connection between the core of the SABB aerosol outflow and the WAM system remains unexplored. Through regional climate modeling experiments, we show that SABB aerosols can indeed impact WAM dynamics via two competitive regional scale and inter-hemispheric dynamical feedbacks originating from (i) enhanced diabatic heating occurring in the Southeastern Atlantic low-cloud deck region, and (ii) aerosol and cloud-induced sea surface temperature cooling. These mechanisms, related to aerosol direct, semi-direct, and indirect effects, are shown to have different seasonal timings, resulting in a reduction of June to September WAM precipitation, while possibly enhancing late-season rainfall in WAM coastal areas.
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INTRODUCTION

The understanding of climate variability in West Africa is of prime importance for regional socioeconomic sustainability, especially in the context of climate change and population growth. Since the dramatic Sahelian droughts of the eighties, much effort has been devoted to a better scientific understanding and modeling of the West African Monsoon (WAM) system and its connection to global dynamics and to regional factors, including anthropogenic perturbations. For example, at the regional scale, the Saharan heat low and the South East Atlantic (SEA) cold tongue intensity, land-use changes, and soil moisture feedbacks are important for the meridional distribution of diabatic and moisture sources impacting the WAM regional moist static energy gradient, convective activity, and rainfall. Absorbing aerosol particles, which are emitted both naturally and as a result of anthropogenic activity in large quantity over Africa, may also impact monsoon dynamics through complex interactions with solar and thermal radiation, and with clouds through microphysical effects. The impact of mineral dusts present over the Sahara and Sahel during the June to September (JJAS) WAM season has received much attention in studies covering a broad range of spatial and temporal scales. On the contrary, possible impacts of biomass-burning aerosol emissions, which are mostly active over southern hemisphere Africa during the WAM season, have received less attention. A few studies have nevertheless suggested continental-scale connections between the central and southern Africa biomass-burning (SABB) aerosol radiative forcing and tropical Africa precipitation, mostly attributed to the perturbation of continental static stability. Recent studies have also pointed out that a fraction of SABB particles, once northwardly advected over West Africa, could contribute to impacting the local climate via cloud interactions or modification of local atmospheric stability. Here, we show that SABB can also have an important effect on WAM through a regional inter-hemispheric dynamical feedback originating from the highly concentrated SABB aerosol outflow located over the South Eastern Atlantic (SEA) ocean. This impact is shown to be associated with the SEA semi-permanent stratocumulus cloud cover and involves atmospheric fast adjustment vs. slower sea surface temperature (SST) response to aerosol perturbation. Over recent years, the SABB aerosol outflow region has received much scientific attention notably through the Aerosol RadiatiOn and CLOUDs in Southern Africa AEROCLO-sA, the ObseRvations of Aerosols Clouds and their interactions ORACLES, the Clouds and Aerosol Radiative Impacts and Forcing CLARIFY, and the Dynamics-Aerosol-Chemistry-Cloud Interactions in West Africa DACCIWA programs. The combination of new observations and state-of-the-art models implemented in these programs are shedding new light on the SEA aerosol outflow properties and its interaction with low-level clouds.

In this context, we use a suite of regional climate simulations to explore the role of several regional scale interaction mechanisms between SABB aerosol, clouds, SST, and WAM dynamics. Numerical experiments are performed using the RegCM4 model on a large pan-African domain covering the period from 2002 to 2015 and makes use of an interactive aerosol scheme, and a slab ocean model. The RegCM4 baseline simulations (described as AERSLAB in Methods) present a detailed evaluation of the RegCM4 baseline simulations (described as AERSLAB in Methods), based on updated cloud and aerosol observations in the SEA outflow, and an inter-comparison with a higher resolution regional climate model. Complementary model evaluations regarding WAM precipitation and dynamics are also reported in the Supplementary Notes. Despite specific biases discussed in and (Supplementary Notes), we consider that key aspects of WAM, SEA cloud cover, and SABB aerosol outflow are reasonably captured on the climatic time scale. Starting from the baseline model configuration, additional sensitivity experiments (described in
RESULTS

SABB aerosol semi-direct effect and impacts on regional circulation

The SABB aerosol semi-direct effect (SDE) quantifies the top of atmosphere (TOA) radiative changes associated with cloud adjustments to aerosol radiative perturbation (Methods). The aerosol perturbation is itself quantified by the direct effect (DE), which does not include any cloud adjustment (Methods). Considered together, DE and SDE can be used to assess the effective aerosol radiative climate forcing and to evaluate and inter-compare climate model sensitivities to aerosol effects. By nature, SDE is associated with dynamical and thermodynamical changes that we seek to better understand hereafter for impact assessments.

Focusing first on the region including SABB sources and the SEA aerosol outflow (region A, Fig. 1), the SDE calculated from the baseline AERSLAB experiment (Methods) shows a sharp zonal land-ocean contrast. Over the SEA, a radiative cooling is obtained due to an increase of the low-level cloud water content and cloud fraction when SABB particles are accounted for (Fig. 1a, b). The low-level cloud feedback mostly results from the diabatic heating produced by shortwave absorption in the SABB aerosol layer, materialized by extinction contours in Fig. 1b, in relationship with a positive DE above the bright low-level cloud deck (see Supplementary Figure 1a and 1b). This heating is associated with an increase in above-cloud air buoyancy and a relative reduction of above-cloud subsidence (upward circulation anomaly in Fig. 1b) and associated dry air intrusions. This translates into an increase of low-level cloud liquid water content on the zonal cross-section displayed in Fig. 1b. The low-level cloud increase is also reinforced by an increase in boundary layer stability associated with decreasing SST response (Supplementary Figure 1d). Similar low-level cloud feedbacks have been discussed in several studies based on both observations and multi-scale model analyses. Over SEA, the negative SDE compensates for the positive DE resulting in a negative effective radiative effect of about $-1.7 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ during JJAS in the outflow box region ($-10^\circ\text{E}; -10^\circ\text{W}; 20^\circ\text{S}; -5^\circ\text{S}$), a result which is consistent with the continental part of region A, shortwave SDE is positive due to a reduction of mid- to high-level cloudiness and convective activity (Fig. 1b) and associated cloud liquid water mixing ratio anomaly. Anomaly calculations are based on the difference between a perturbation run including standard aerosol species and SABB particles, and a control run including standard aerosol species without SABB particles.

Methods and along the following sections) are used for further analysis of the SABB aerosol impacts.
region B of Fig. 1a over the Gulf of Guinea and continental West Africa, and is associated with dryer and warmer conditions under the descending branch. When including longwave radiation (Supplementary Figure 1b), the positive shortwave SDE signal over West Africa is partly compensated by the reduction of high-level cloudiness resulting in more longwave cooling at the top of the atmosphere. For convenience in the following discussion, the above described meridional circulation anomaly and climate feedback, which originate from SABB aerosol anomalous shortwave diabatic heating will be referred as the Aerosol Diabatic Heating Feedback (ADHF).

A question arising at this point is to what extent aerosol radiative forcing over continental southern Africa, which is larger in magnitude than over the SEA, can impact the above results. The additional AERSEAONLY experiment (Methods), suggests that the ADHF and meridional precipitation anomaly over the WAM domain are not fundamentally changed (in sign and position, Fig. 2a, d, g and Supplementary Fig. 3a, c, e) when the continental part of SABB aerosol radiative forcing is removed. However, the signal magnitude is affected, with convective heating and precipitation anomalies being larger by about 30% in the AERSLAB experiment with respect to the AERSEAONLY experiment. The conclusions of this experiment are that i) the SABB aerosol diabatic warming and the ADHF triggered in the SEA outflow, which depend on a low-level cloud deck presence and feedback, are of prime importance for the impact on WAM, and ii) that the continental SABB aerosol radiative forcing, while likely inducing the dry anomaly over southern Africa through increased stability, might only be a secondary contributor to the drying obtained over the WAM domain.

Role of aerosol-induced SST perturbation

So far, we considered the aerosol solar absorption above the SEA cloud deck as the only driver of the regional climatic response impacting WAM. However, surface solar dimming resulting from direct and semi-direct effects may also affect SST and play a role in this response. Based on the AERSLAB experiment, we estimate that SABB aerosol induce a SST cooling that can reach up to $-1.3$ K over SEA (Figures S1d and 3). Both the amplitude and spatial pattern of this signal are consistent with7. The SST response includes not only the SABB aerosol direct forcing but also the positive low-level cloud feedback which further increases solar dimming at the surface. To investigate the role of SST adjustment response vs. the ADHF mechanism, we compare results from (i) the AERFSST experiment in which SSTs are forced by reanalysis and thus not sensitive to SABB aerosol and cloud radiative perturbations (Methods), and (ii) the ANOSST experiment which only accounts for feedbacks generated through the SST cooling induced by aerosol and low-level cloud perturbation, while not considering anomalous diabatic heating in the SABB layer.

Figure 2 displays the meridional circulation, large-scale cloud liquid water content, convective heating rate, and precipitation anomalies obtained for each experiment in region B. In the AERFSST experiment (Fig. 2b, e, h), the ADHF pattern is still clearly identified and associated with a southward anomalous circulation in the lower troposphere, i.e., opposed to the climatological
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monsoon flow (Fig. 2b and S5a). Convective activity and precipitation reduction are mostly reduced north of 2.5°N (Fig. 2e, h), with a drying effect over land from 5°N to 13°N, slightly more pronounced than in the AERSLAB case. The response obtained in the ANOSST experiment is significantly different in terms of latitudinal positioning (Fig. 2c, f, i). There is no more ADHF-like pattern identified, while convective activity and precipitation tend to be shifted northward with wetter continental conditions. The anomalous flow in the lower troposphere is northward between 5°S and 7.5°N, contributing to enhance moisture transport to the WAM coastal area. In summary, the aerosol-induced SST perturbation triggers a sea breeze like feedback driven by a sharpening of meridional low-level thermal gradient between a colder ocean and the continent (an effect qualitatively similar to the Atlantic cold tongue installation)\textsuperscript{25,26}.

Between 15°N and 5°S, we note that both the position and intensity of the anomalous precipitation pattern obtained with the AERSLAB experiment can almost be reproduced by the addition of the competitive ADHF and SST cooling feedback (SSTCF) obtained in the AERFSST and ANOSST experiments, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. 3). Considering continental precipitation in West Africa, the ADHF tends to decrease precipitation while the SSTCF tends to increase them, especially over the coastal area. Over the full monsoon season, our results suggest that the ADHF impact is dominant and results in dryer JJAS conditions, with a statistically significant reduction of precipitation in the coastal WAM region of up to −12% (calculated in a box 10°W−10°E, 0-5°N, and considering baseline AERLASB JIAS precipitation larger than 2 mm/day). The timing of these feedbacks and their effective impact on precipitation throughout the wet season is discussed further.

**Contribution of aerosol indirect effects**

There is still a debate on the importance of SABB aerosol indirect effects on low-level clouds. Some recent studies\textsuperscript{22,29}, argue that semi-direct effect is predominant over the indirect effects over SEA while others\textsuperscript{27} suggest that aerosol intrusions in low-level cloud and associated indirect effects could be important regarding aerosol effective radiative forcing and feedbacks in the SEA. In order to assess how indirect effects could modify the previously described mechanisms, we considered an additional experiment where SABB aerosols interact with cloud droplet effective radius and auto conversion rates through simplified approaches (experiment AERIND described Methods). Supplementary Fig. 3b, d, f displays the anomalies obtained in the AERIND for comparison to the baseline AERSLAB case (Fig. 2). Our result suggests that meridional circulation, cloud, convection, and rainfall anomalies are not much modified in term of pattern and position, but are significantly amplified. We attribute this amplification to (i) increasing low-level cloud albedo due to in cloud SABB aerosol, which enhances above-cloud SABB aerosol radiative warming in the core outflow, and (ii) a decrease in surface incoming solar radiation due to enhanced cloud extinction (resulting from indirect effects) and an induced stabilization in convective regions of the Guinea Gulf notably. The slight enhancement of convection and precipitation on West African continental areas could also be the signature of a slightly enhanced SSTCF occurring due to slightly colder SSTs when the aerosol indirect effect is at play (see also next section). As reported in\textsuperscript{29}, our results suggest that the simulated first indirect effect is dominant over the second indirect effect. Overall, it contributes to reinforce the feedbacks driven by direct and semi-directs effects on the domain. In term of magnitude, the indirect effect contribution shall be taken with caution, due to a rather simplified representation of complex cloud and aerosol microphysical processes at play (cf Discussion).

**Temporal aspects of SABB aerosol impact on WAM**

The analysis of aerosol SDE at the global scale often distinguishes between a fast atmospheric response, usually evaluated by mean of forced SST experiments\textsuperscript{11}, and a slow response accounting for the climatic adjustment of SST and calculated using fully coupled simulation responses\textsuperscript{29}. In our study, the aerosol-induced SST perturbation is seasonally impacted by the slab ocean mixed layer inertia: In Fig. 3, we can see that the SEA surface shortwave radiation anomaly resulting from SABB aerosol extinction and cloud adjustments starts in June and peaks in August-September, corresponding to maximum BB activity in southern hemisphere Africa, while the maximum SEA SST cooling is obtained about 2 months later. Figure 3 also shows the effect of northern hemisphere biomass-burning aerosol emissions peaking from December to February, inducing a SST cooling between 0 and 5°N in the Guinea Gulf. In our simulations, these seasonal perturbations have a residual SST cooling effect throughout the year. As a
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**Fig. 3** SABB aerosol impact on shortwave radiation and SST over the SEA. Time-latitude Hovmoller diagram of mean 2003-2015 daily SABB aerosol-induced surface shortwave radiation anomaly (color contours), and SST anomaly (solid contours). Anomalies are zonally averaged over 10°W−10°E (corresponding to Fig. 1, Region B) and are calculated from the AERLASB experiment perturbed and control runs. The SST cooling response in the SEA is delayed by about 2 months compared to the peak of surface shortwave negative anomaly.
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result of this delayed SST response, the relative contributions of
the ADHF, associated to the AERSLAB experiment (Fig. 4b), and the
SSTCF, associated to the ANOSST experiment (Fig. 4c), to the
baseline AERSLAB precipitation anomaly (Fig. 4a) are variable in
time. Particularly, Fig. 4c suggests that the SSTCF is more effective
towards the end of the WAM season. The influence of SSTCF and
the associated positive precipitation anomaly is also detectable in
the AERSLAB experiment, between October and November (Fig.
4a). This result suggests that SABB aerosol could contribute to
enhance precipitation during the secondary rainy season which
occurs during September-November over coastal southern West
Africa. On the contrary, the fast response occurring through the
ADHF is dominant from June to mid-September, resulting in a
negative precipitation anomaly at the JJAS seasonal scale (Figs. 4a,
b). Nevertheless, due to the persistence of the SST perturbation,
our simulations suggest that the SSTCF still contributes to dampen
the ADHF even earlier in the WAM season. Taking into account the
SABB aerosol indirect effects in the AERIND experiment does not
modify much the structure of the seasonal anomaly pattern
( Supplementary Figure 4 to be compared with Fig. 4a). However,
as mentioned and discussed earlier, we can note that both the
magnitude of drying and late-season rainfall enhancement over
land are amplified compared to the AERSLAB baseline.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we describe mechanisms by which SABB aerosols are
likely to modulate the WAM dynamics and precipitation over
southern West Africa. Particularly, these results provide causal
relationships compatible with the recent observation-based analysis
of31, outlining notably a link between inter-hemispheric aerosol
transport, AOD anomaly, and negative precipitation anomaly in the
southern WAM coastal area. Using model sensitivity tests, we
furthermore suggest that, at a climatic scale, SABB aerosol-induced
convection and precipitation suppression in the WAM coastal area is
primarily a result of a regional scale dynamical adjustment triggered
by the large positive SABB aerosol radiative forcing in the SEA outflow
and over the stratocumulus deck region. When isolating the
feedbacks occurring through the regional SST slow response, we
show that, in addition to inhibiting precipitation via local stabiliza-
tion33 in the Guinea Gulf, the SABB aerosol-induced SST cooling could
promote enhanced continental precipitation via sharpened land-sea
contrast. This effect is stronger towards the end of the WAM season.
The balance between the ADHF and the SSTCF depends,
however, on a number of factors. First, the simulated low-level
cloud and SABB aerosol properties and interactions play a key role
in determining the relative magnitude of atmospheric heating and
SST cooling which are fueling the ADHF and SSTCF mechanisms.
The recent ORACLES, CLARIFY – 2017, and AEROCLO-sA campaigns
have notably shown that SABB aerosol particles are more
absorbing than previously thought33. Measurements made by34
highlight that smoke aerosol single scattering albedo could be as
low as 0.75 (at 550 nm), when RegCM4 simulated values are about
0.88 during JJAS. Combined with the fact that simulated above-
cloud AOD are slightly underestimated (Supplementary Notes),
the simulated SABB aerosol solar absorption and heating rates
might fall on a lower end compared to real situations. In this
regard, the ADHF- and CSSTF-related impacts could be even
larger, but this also depends on the realism of simulated low-
clouds. Second, based on the AERIND sensitivity experiment, we
suggest that the SABB aerosol first indirect effect on SEA and
Guinea Gulf low-level clouds is likely to strengthen the direct and
semi-direct induced anomalies. There are however potentially
large uncertainties in this regard, notably concerning the impact
of the SABB aerosol second indirect effect on WAM convective
clouds at climatic time scale35,36 as well as stratocumulus and
convective cloud adjustments reacting to direct and indirect
perturbation in terms of diurnal cycles37, cloud cell dynamics38
and transition to cumulus regime39. All these feedbacks can affect
in return marine boundary layer albedo, aerosol radiative forcing,
and effective aerosol impacts on WAM. Third, we acknowledge
that a slab ocean approach might oversimplify the response of SST
to aerosol and cloud cover perturbation. Regional circulation
complexity and atmosphere-ocean interactions, which are recog-
nized to be significant sources of biases in climate model40,41, are
likely to modify SST responses. For instance, a possible mixed layer
depth overestimation in the Guinea gulf (Methods) could result in
a local underestimation of the SST response and the magnitude of
the SSTCF. The use of a fully interactive high-resolution ocean
model would likely help to refine regional effective SST response
and the SSTCF mechanism depicted in this study. Finally, although
that our experiments run over several years and that simulated
feedbacks have been tested for statistical significance, model
internal variability can still impact our results to some extent.
Gaining more robustness on the aerosol-induced signal quantifi-
cation would require a large simulation ensemble for each of the
experiments considered here.
METHODS
Model configuration
We use the International Center for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) regional climate model RegCM4 at 80 km horizontal resolution and with 42 vertical levels extending from the surface to 50 hPa, with a refined grid in the lower troposphere and the boundary layer. Runs are performed on a pan-African domain (35°S–30°N; 30°W–45°E) over the period 2002–2015, including a one year spin up. Lateral boundary conditions are provided by ERA-Interim reanalysis. The simulation domain is considered large enough to allow for meridional dynamical feedbacks to develop, while global scale adjustments (zonal waves notably) cannot be fully accounted for. The main physics options used for this study are listed in (Supplementary Methods). As described in RegCM4 aerosol scheme includes a bulk representation of anthropogenic sulfate, black and organic carbon particles as well as natural sea-salt and dust aerosol emission and transport schemes. Biomass-burning carbonaceous aerosol is simulated using two specific smoke tracers corresponding to fresh and aged particles. The optical properties of these particles are discussed in (Supplementary Information). Daily biomass-burning emissions issued from the Global Fire Emission Data Base version 4 are prescribed to the model, including emission correction factors. All aerosol particles can induce feedbacks to meteorology and climate through the radiative transfer scheme. Both the aerosol and multiphase cloud microphysics schemes used in this study are based on a single moment representation. Aerosol indirect effects can be activated through modification of cloud droplet effective radius and cloud water to rainwater auto-conversion depending on hydrophilic species concentrations. The calculation of representative CCN concentration from aerosol concentration relies on simplified empirical relationships, initially developed for sulfate and linking bulk hydrophilic aerosol concentrations to CCN number concentration. The slab ocean parameterization is based on a 50-m deep ocean mixed layer energy budget. This depth is consistent with climatological values reported in for the SABB aerosol outflow region, but tends to be overestimated for the Gulf of Guinea region. Large-scale driven SST seasonal cycle is kept nondiagnostic and realistic using a flux-correction term built from Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) observations and a restore run technique as described in. Aerosol and cloud-induced perturbations of the mixed layer energy budget occur through modification of incoming surface radiation and meteorological adjustments (e.g., wind), but the resulting impact on SST depends also on the corrective flux regional pattern.

Numerical experiments
Five sets of numerical experiments are carried out. They consist each in a control run including standard aerosol but excluding SABB aerosol, and a perturbed run including standard aerosol and SABB aerosol. In our analysis, SABB aerosol-induced anomalies refer to differences between the perturbed and control runs. The five experiments are:

(i) The baseline AERSLAB experiment, which is performed using the slab ocean model. These runs are tested against observations in and (Supplementary Notes).
(ii) The AERFSST experiment, where the model is directly forced by OISST instead of the interactive slab ocean model,
(iii) The ANOSST experiment, where the perturbed run is built using SST anomalies obtained from the AERSLAB experiment added to OISST, while considering no atmospheric diabatic effect of biomass-burning aerosol. The control run is identical to AERFSST control,
(iv) The AERSEASONLY experiment uses the slab ocean model similarly to AERSLAB but in the perturbed run, SABB aerosol radiative properties are set to zero over land, meaning that only the maritime part of the SABB aerosol plume is causing the radiative perturbation.
(v) The AERIND experiment, which is based on the AERSLAB configuration but include also aerosol indirect effects triggered by hydrophilic species in the control and perturbation experiment. For this later, only hydrophilic SABB smoke tracer generates indirect effects.

Semi-direct effect calculation
Following, the SABB aerosol DE and SDE are calculated at TOA from the control and perturbed run of the baseline AERSLAB experiment as:

\[
DE = (F - F_{\text{clean}})_{\text{pert}} - (F - F_{\text{clean}})_{\text{ctl}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
SDE = (F_{\text{pert}} - F_{\text{ctl}}) - DE \tag{2}
\]

where \(F\) refers to either to TOA net shortwave, or total (shortwave + longwave) radiative flux, while \(ctl\) and \(pert\) refer to control and perturbed simulations, respectively. For a given run, \(F - F_{\text{clean}}\) represents TOA radiative forcing resulting from the aerosol species considered in this run. This diagnostic is calculated using a double call to the radiation scheme during the integration and \(F_{\text{clean}}\) refers to the call where all aerosol concentrations are set to zero. The direct effect of SABB aerosol (DE) thus corresponds to the difference between the perturbed and control TOA aerosol radiative forcings, while \((F_{\text{pert}} - F_{\text{ctl}})\) represents the effective radiative forcing of SABB aerosol which includes climatic feedback TOA radiative signatures. We chose to present shortwave SDE in Fig. 1 because it straightforwardly illustrates cloud changes, while total SDE is more complex to interpret since the LW component is more sensitive to the type of cloud involved.

Statistical significance tests
Statistical significance of anomalies and differences reported in Figures and Supplementary Figures are based on a grid-cell level Student’s t test considering a 95% confidence interval.

DATA AVAILABILITY
Model output data (daily or monthly averages) can be obtained from the corresponding author upon request. All observations, reanalysis and aerosol emission data sets used in this study are publically available (see specific references).

CODE AVAILABILITY
The RegCM4 code and related forcing fields can be downloaded through https://github.com/ictp-esp/RegCM. Specific model setups, and post-processing scripts can be obtained from the corresponding author upon request.
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