Epidemic of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Europe is driven by nosocomial spread
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Public health interventions to control the current epidemic of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* rely on a comprehensive understanding of its emergence and spread over a wide range of geographical scales. We analysed the genome sequences and epidemiological data of >1,700 *K. pneumoniae* samples isolated from patients in 244 hospitals in 32 countries during the European Survey of Carbapenemase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae. We demonstrate that carbapenemase acquisition is the main cause of carbapenem resistance and that it occurred across diverse phylogenetic backgrounds. However, 477 of 682 (69.9%) carbapenemase-positive isolates are concentrated in four clonal lineages, sequence types 11, 15, 101, 258/512 and their derivatives. Combined analysis of the genetic and geographic distances between isolates with different β-lactam resistance determinants suggests that the propensity of *K. pneumoniae* to spread in hospital environments correlates with the degree of resistance and that carbapenemase-positive isolates have the highest transmissibility. Indeed, we found that over half of the hospitals that contributed carbapenemase-positive isolates probably experienced within-hospital transmission, and interhospital spread is far more frequent within, rather than between, countries. Finally, we propose a value of 21 for the number of single nucleotide polymorphisms that optimizes the discrimination of hospital clusters and detail the international spread of the successful epidemic lineage, ST258/512.

The bacterium *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, a major cause of both hospital- and community-acquired infections, is listed by the World Health Organization as a critical priority antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogen for which new antibiotics are urgently needed¹. Indeed, a recent study showed that carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* represents the fastest growing antibiotic resistance threat in Europe, in terms of human morbidity and mortality². It is therefore critical to identify priority areas on which to intensify public health intervention strategies.

Rapid expansion of carbapenem resistance in *K. pneumoniae* has been attributed to the acquisition of carbapenemase enzymes that hydrolyse carbapenems (a last-line class of antibiotics) and other β-lactam antibiotics to varying degrees. Carbapenemase genes are associated with mobile elements that can spread horizontally within, and between, bacterial species; this has facilitated their widespread dissemination³⁴. In addition to being an important pathogen, *K. pneumoniae* has been identified as a crucial entry point of antibiotic resistance genes into the Enterobacteriales (Enterobacteriaceae) family⁵–⁷.

Emergence of carbapenem resistance seems to occur in clinical⁵, urban⁷ and agricultural settings⁸ and is a worldwide phenomenon¹¹–¹³. However, biased and fragmented surveillance combined with a lack of standardization in the characterization of isolates has made it difficult to discern the primary reservoirs and transmission dynamics of this global epidemic. The European Survey of Carbapenemase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE) was the first study to systematically determine the incidence and epidemiology of carbapenem-non-susceptible *K. pneumoniae* at a continental scale, enrolling 455 hospitals across Europe and neighbouring countries¹⁴. Between November 2013 and May 2014, hospital laboratories were asked to submit their first ten consecutive carbapenem-non-susceptible clinical isolates of either *K. pneumoniae* or *E. coli*, together with one carbapenem-susceptible same-species clinical isolate (per non-susceptible isolate) to serve as a comparator. Isolates were obtained from clinical specimens submitted for diagnostic purposes and samples obtained for screening were excluded.

To elucidate the European-wide population structure and determine the epidemiology of carbapenem-non-susceptible *K. pneumoniae* with maximum resolution, we analysed the genomes of 1,717 *K. pneumoniae* isolates submitted during the survey. This
unprecedented sample provides an unbiased, continental and contemporary population snapshot of representative clinical isolates from hospitalized patients and links lineage abundance and spatial expansion to variable genomic resistance determinants.

**Results**

**Population structure and carbapenem resistance determinants.** Genome sequences were obtained for 1,717 clinical isolates that originated from 244 hospitals in 32 countries (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1–4). Of the 1,717 isolates, 944 (55.0%) were submitted by hospital laboratories as carbapenem-non-susceptible and 773 (45.0%) were submitted as carbapenem-susceptible.

Core genome phylogenetic analysis revealed a partitioning into four species, supporting recent taxonomic classifications15,16 (Fig. 2a). Of the 1,717 isolates, 1,649 (96.0%) belong to *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto, 48 (2.8%) to *K. variicola*, 17 (1.0%) to *K. quasipneumoniae* and 3 (0.2%) to a newly described species *K. quasivaricola*. Of the 944 isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible, 939 (99.5%) belong to *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto, 3 (0.3%) to *K. variicola* and 2 (0.2%) to *K. quasipneumoniae*.

We defined phylogenetic lineages in *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto by their multilocus sequence type (ST)15. Of the 254 different STs identified among isolates of this species, 94 (37.0%) contain isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible and 15 (5.9%) contain isolates submitted as carbapenem-susceptible and 15 (5.9%) contain isolates submitted as carbapenem-susceptible. All of the 254 different STs identified among isolates submitted during the EuSCAPE survey. We searched the genomes for -lactam resistance determinants that could be relevant to carbapenem resistance and divided the entire collection into five -lactam resistome groups. Group (1) comprised the 684 isolates that carried one or more of any carbapenemase gene published in the literature before April 2018 (see Methods), regardless of other mechanisms; group (2) contained any extended spectrums -lactamase (ESBL) gene and/or an AmpC gene, in combination with porin defects; group (3) contained any ESBL gene and/or an AmpC gene, without any obvious porin defects; group (4) showed an absence of any ESBL or AmpC gene but the presence of porin defects; and in group (5), all of the above determinants were absent.

Group (1) comprised the 684 isolates that carried one or more carbapenemase genes. Of these, all but two belonged to *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto, which contained *bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub> (n = 311), *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub> (n = 248), *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub> (n = 79), *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub> (n = 56) and *bla*<sub>IMP-like</sub> (n = 3) genes in 28, 44, 13, 13 and 1 ST, respectively (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4). A *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub> gene was found in one *K. quasipneumoniae* genome and a *bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub> gene in one *K. variicola* genome. Eighteen *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto strain isolates carried two carbapenemase genes, the most commonly observed combination being that of *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub> and *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub> genes, which was found in ten isolates. Furthermore, in silico detection of the *bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>VIM-like</sub> carbapenemase genes showed 98.3–99.0% concordance with PCR results obtained previously by the national expert laboratories of individual countries (Supplementary Table 5). Of the 684 group (1) isolates, 657 (96.1%) were submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible, including 655 (98.6%) of those belonging to *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto (Table 1). On central retesting with reference broth microdilution, we found that group (1) isolates possessed the highest phenotypic resistance to meropenem with a median minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) value of 32 (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 2).

We found 150 isolates belonging to group (2) that lacked a carbapenemase but harboured an ESBL gene and/or an AmpC gene, in combination with porin defects (see Methods; Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4). These resistance determinants can also account for a carbapenem-non-susceptible phenotype. All belong to *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto and 114 of 150 (76.0%) were submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible, a lower proportion than that observed for group (1) isolates. Central retesting of these isolates also demonstrated lower phenotypic resistance to meropenem than group (1) isolates, with a median MIC value of 1 (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 2).

---

**Fig. 1** Geographical distribution of carbapenem resistance mechanisms among isolates submitted during the EuSCAPE survey. a, All of the isolates submitted by participating countries and analysed in this study, partitioned into: those that possess one or more of four major carbapenemase genes (*bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>VIM-like</sub>); one or more of four major carbapenemase genes (*bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>CM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>VIM-like</sub>); and those submitted as carbapenem-sensitive. E, England; W, Wales; NI, Northern Ireland.

---

**Fig. 2** Distribution of STs grouped into five resistome groups. a, Pie charts showing the proportions of different resistance mechanisms in isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible by participating hospitals. Colour key as in a. E, England; W, Wales; NI, Northern Ireland.
Limited genetic diversity among carbapenemase-positive isolates. Carbapenemase-positive isolates are concentrated in the major clonal lineages of *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto (Table 1 and Fig. 2b). In particular, 477 of the 682 (69.9%) carbapenemase-positive isolates in this species belong to four lineages comprising STs 11, 15, 101 and ST258/512, as well as their derivatives (other closely related STs that have evolved from these major STs). Among these STs (without their derivatives), the majority of isolates were carbapenemase-positive, except for ST11 (ST258/512: 97.5%; ST101: 69.9%; ST15: 55.9%; ST11: 37.9%), while the proportion of carbapenemase-positive isolates among the total *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto sample was 39.8%. The major lineages are also characterized by low levels of core genome diversity, reflected by an average nucleotide identity (ANI) of 99.9–100% between same-ST pairs of STs 15, 101 and 258/512. This indicates a recent and common evolutionary descent. Furthermore, ST258 and ST312 harbour less diversity overall than each of the other three STs individually, supporting their combined grouping. However, ST11 (also a single-locus variant of ST258) consists of several sublineages that are distinct from ST258 and exhibits the highest diversity of the major STs with an ANI of 99.7–100% between pairs. For context, the ANI ranges from 94.1–95.4% between genomes of the four different species and from 98.9–100% between genomes of *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto. Despite an overall limited genetic diversity, STs 11, 15, 101 and 258/512 are widely distributed across Europe, having been submitted from 22, 19, 15 and 15 countries, respectively.

Hence, the five carbapenemase genes identified among isolates in *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto are—for the majority—confined to recently emerging and vastly successful clonal backgrounds. This can also be seen by the limited population diversity; diversity indices for carbapenemase-positive isolates were significantly lower than for the other β-lactam resistome groups (Table 1). The only exception is *bla*<sub>ESBL</sub>-containing isolates for which the diversity index was slightly higher and CIs overlapped with group (2) isolates (ESBL/AmpC-positive isolates with porin defects).

**Geographic spread.** To explore the differential ecological success of *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto with different β-lactam resistance determinants, we measured the genetic relatedness of isolates belonging to the five previously defined β-lactam resistome groups with respect to three geographic contexts: from the same hospital; from the same country but different hospitals; and from different countries. We found that for 359 of the 682 (52.6%) carbapenemase-positive (group 1) isolates, the genetically nearest neighbour (gNN) in the collection originated from patients treated in the same hospital (Fig. 3b). When stratifying by carbapenemase, we observed that the gNN was from the same hospital for 159 of 311 (51.1%) isolates carrying *bla*<sub>KPC-3-like</sub> genes, 125 of 248 (50.4%) carrying *bla*<sub>OXA-48-like</sub> genes, 43 of 79 (54.4%) carrying *bla*<sub>NDM-1-like</sub> genes and 42 of 56 (75.0%) carrying *bla*<sub>VIM-1-like</sub> genes. Yet isolates from other β-lactam resistome groups (2–5) had a lower proportion (34.7%, 28.3%, 29.7%, 9.2%) of gNNs obtained from same-hospital patients, with a significant downward trend that coincided with a decreasing ability to express carbapenem resistance (*P < 0.01*, χ² test for trend; Fig. 3b). The ANI among gNNs was very high (>99.95–100%), irrespective of the β-lactam resistome group. We tested the observed proportions against the null hypothesis of a random geographic distribution by the permutation of the hospital codes for all isolates and obtained a permutation index was slightly higher and CIs overlapped with group (2) isolates (ESBL/AmpC-positive isolates with porin defects).
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As described above, suggestive of within-hospital transmission. Isolates with an AmpC K. pneumoniae the propensity of ancestor, our findings suggest that carbapenemase-positive strains 171 hospitals that contributed carbapenemase-positive isolates, 96 Of the that of the random distribution in this geographic context (Fig. 3b).

β-lactam resistance determinants (group (5)) approaches with no Notably, the lower bound of the CI of gNNs in the group of isolates carbapenemase-positive isolates and those for which none of these gene and/or porin defects occupy an intermediate position between a similar but less pronounced distribution for gNNs originating β-lactam resistance determinants were detected. We also observed carbapenemase/porin defects, but no ESBL and/or AmpC gene + porin defects, but no carbapenemase (group (2)) ESBL and/or AmpC gene, but no carbapenemase/porin defects (group (3)) Porin defects, but no carbapenemase/ESBL/AmpC (group (4)) No carbapenemase/ESBL/AmpC/porin defects (group (5))

Table 1 | Characteristics of all submitted K. pneumoniae sensu stricto isolates with different β-lactam resistance determinants

| Isolate subset | Number of submitted isolates (% of total) | Number of isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible (%) | Number of STs (and STs with >10% isolates)* | Simpson’s diversity of STs (and 95% CI)* | Number of countries (and countries with >10% isolates) | Carbapenemase gene variants (and number of isolates) |
|----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| blaKPC-like     | 311 (18.9)                                | 304 (97.7)                                                  | 28 (ST258, n = 69; ST512, n = 157)        | 0.68 (0.63–0.73)                         | 17 (Italy, n = 150; Portugal, n = 34; Greece, n = 34) | KPC-2 (n = 78), KPC-3 (n = 232), KPC-12 (n = 1) |
| blaoxa-48-like  | 248 (15.0)                                | 237 (95.6)                                                  | 44 (ST15, n = 38; ST101, n = 67)          | 0.88 (0.85–0.91)                         | 20 (Romania, n = 47; Spain, n = 46; Turkey, n = 70) | OXA-8 (n = 240), OXA-204 (n = 1), OXA-162 (n = 2), OXA-181 (n = 1), OXA-232 (n = 4) |
| blavim-like     | 79 (4.8)                                  | 76 (96.2)                                                  | 13 (ST11, n = 25; ST101, n = 12; ST274, n = 10; ST395, n = 8) | 0.84 (0.78–0.89)                         | 19 (Serbia, n = 24; Greece, n = 13; Turkey, n = 10; Montenegro, n = 8) | NDM-1 (n = 79) |
| blaamp-like     | 56 (3.4)                                  | 53 (94.6)                                                  | 13 (ST15, n = 27; ST147, n = 7)           | 0.73 (0.61–0.85)                         | 9 (Hungary, n = 21; Spain, n = 12) | VIM-1 (n = 33), VIM-4 (n = 23) |
| Any carbapenemase gene (group(1)) | 3 (0.2) | 3 (100) | 1 (ST15, n = 3) | 0 (0–0) | 1 (Turkey, n = 3) | IMP-1 (n = 3) |
| ESBL and/or AmpC gene + porin defects, but no carbapenemase (group (2)) | 150 (9.1) | 114 (76.0) | 35 (ST11, n = 30; ST15, n = 18) | 0.92 (0.90–0.95) | 25 (Slovakia, n = 19) | NA |
| ESBL and/or AmpC gene, but no carbapenemase/porin defects (group (3)) | 400 (24.3) | 123 (30.8) | 90 (ST11, n = 52; ST15, n = 44) | 0.95 (0.94–0.96) | 27 (Italy, n = 43; Serbia, n = 43) | NA |
| Porin defects, but no carbapenemase/ESBL/AmpC (group (4)) | 37 (2.2) | 24 (64.9) | 21 (ST17, n = 5; ST437, n = 4; ST512, n = 4) | 0.96 (0.93–0.98) | 18 (Croatia, n = 4; Italy, n = 4; Portugal, n = 4; United Kingdom, n = 5) | NA |
| No carbapenemase/ESBL/AmpC/porin defects (group (5)) | 380 (23.0) | 23 (6.1) | 161 | 0.98 (0.98–0.99) | 27 (Italy, n = 7; Spain, n = 41; Turkey, n = 49) | NA |

*Ambiguous STs (those with one or more uncertain alleles) were excluded for these calculations. These include three isolates that were found to carry blaoxa-48 or blaoxa-68. However, as these genes are usually found in only Acinetobacter baumannii and were found at a low level in our samples, we suspect that they are contaminants. NA, not applicable. CI, confidence interval.

Thresholds for discriminating likely transmission events. Of the 171 hospitals that contributed carbapenemase-positive isolates, 96 (56.1%) had at least two isolates with a nearest neighbour relationship as described above, suggestive of within-hospital transmission. Given the high frequency of the nosocomial spread of carbapenemase-positive K. pneumoniae sensu stricto, the ability to identify likely transmission events within hospitals directly from SNP distances would present a significant advance with respect to both immediate infection control priorities and retrospective outbreak investigations. Due to widespread sampling that allows comparisons across increasing geographic scales, the EuSCAPE collection provides an opportunity to investigate levels of diversity in relation to potential transmission.

We focused on the largest epidemic clone in the EuSCAPE collection, ST258/512, and first analysed how pairwise SNP differences vary for the same geographic contexts as used previously. For each of these three contexts, we calculated the pairwise minimum SNP differences between isolates (Fig. 4a–c). The minimum SNP differences showed a central tendency and a shift of the mode to the right from 0 in the same-hospital context, to 27 in the different-hospital context and 45 in the different-country context. These results lend
support to the notion that, among carbapenemase-positive isolates, geographic and evolutionary distance correspond and also provide an indication that pairwise SNP differences between isolates from a given hospital provide meaningful information about transmissions within an institution. Assuming that isolates submitted from different hospitals/countries are less likely than those from the same hospital to be closely linked in a transmission chain, we would expect an optimum SNP threshold for defining an institutional transmission to be lower than the number of SNPs typically seen between isolates from different hospitals/countries.

We next used a statistical method to distinguish between intra and interhospital transmission, through the comparison of SNP profiles of a given query isolate against a reference database. We used all ST258/512 genomes from the EuSCAPE collection as the database, representing isolates from 59 hospitals, and removed one isolate at a time to be used as the query. Using a naive Bayesian classifier, the method determines the likelihood of any given query isolate originating from each of the hospitals represented in the reference database based on the presence of SNPs called against a standard reference genome. Intrahospital transmission events are assigned in those cases where the most likely source hospital of a query isolate coincides with the hospital from which the isolate was actually recovered. In contrast, if a given query isolate is found to be most similar to those from a hospital that is different to the one from which it was sampled, then it is assigned as corresponding to an interhospital transmission, although it is not possible to assign directionality.

Using these predictions as a reference, we were able to determine an optimal value of 21 SNPs to discriminate hospital clusters, which minimizes the number of false positives (pairs of isolates erroneously assigned to intrahospital transmission) and false negatives (cases of missed intrahospital transmission) (Fig. 4d–f). At the same time, this method provides a measure of uncertainty at different thresholds, which equates to a false-positive rate of 14.6% and a false-negative rate of 11.7% at 21 SNPs.

International spread of the epidemic ST258/512 clone. Finally, to determine the ancestral relationships between the dispersed populations of the ST258/512 clone, we analysed 236 ST258/512 genomes from the EuSCAPE collection together with 415 publicly available draft genomes of STs 258, 512 and another derivative, ST868 (Supplementary Table 6), which were isolated in 20 countries across Europe, the Middle East and North and South America. After mapping sequence reads to a ST258 reference genome (accession no. CP006923), we identified 68 recombined regions larger than 1 kb. These included a 57.1 kb region encompassing the capsular locus that introduced 563 SNPs, thereby accounting for the capsular-type (K-type) switch from K107 to K106, which coincides with the previously described evolutionary transition from clade I to clade II (ref. 19; Supplementary Fig. 3). Another two recombination events over the capsular region that affected single isolates could also be traced to switches in K-type.

We then removed the recombined regions and used the resulting alignment to reconstruct a maximum likelihood tree of all 651 isolates (Fig. 5). ST258 isolates from the United States are basal in the phylogenetic tree, supporting the conventional view that this lineage emerged in the United States. All but three isolates (n = 31) from Greece, sampled between 2007 and 2014, fall into a single clade (bootstrap support, 100%) suggesting one major introduction into Greece from the United States and subsequent nationwide spread. Many isolates from other European countries (the United Kingdom and Germany, for example) also cluster among these Greek isolates, representing the spread of this lineage out of Greece, probably via human travel. All 268 isolates from Israel, sampled between 2007 and 2014, also cluster together in a single clade (bootstrap support, 97%)
suggesting one successful introduction followed by within-country dissemination. The most basal ST512 isolates are also from Israel, implying emergence of ST512 in Israel. The tree structure demonstrates a single successful introduction of ST512 from Israel to Italy (bootstrap support, 97%), although separate introductions of ST258 to Italy are also evident. The potential of ST258/512 to spread out of endemic countries and spawn outbreaks elsewhere is also exemplified by an ST258 cluster in Spain (four patients in one hospital) and ST512 clusters in Belgium (seven patients in two nearby hospitals) and Austria (three patients in one hospital). Phylogenetic inference suggests that all three clusters probably originated from Italy.

**Discussion**

The emergence of carbapenem resistance is a major setback for the effective treatment of Gram-negative bacterial infections. In *K. pneumoniae*, carbapenemases are the main contributing factor to extensive drug resistance and their recent acquisition and dissemination probably forebodes pan-drug resistance in the near future. Across Europe, resistance rates differ between countries, which may be explained in part by different levels of antibiotic use. However, critical knowledge gaps remain regarding how the characteristics of the pathogen population also contribute to the epidemic. Numerous studies have highlighted the role of animals and environmental reservoirs as important evolutionary drivers in the mobilization of resistance genes both within and between species and argued that increasing selective pressure in animals and the environment warrants a ‘one-health’ surveillance approach. However, this study reasserts the central role of intra and interhospital transmission for the most burdensome carbapenemase-positive clones that are already well-established in the human population. Indeed, strong links between hospital care and the acquisition of carbapenem-non-susceptible bacteria have been noted previously and the impact of community or animal and environmental reservoirs on clinical cases remains circumstantial. In this context, we note that a large faecal resistome analysis from slaughter pigs and broilers failed to identify carbapenem genes in 181 pig and 178 poultry farms from nine European countries.

Here we infer the epidemiology of carbapenemase-positive *K. pneumoniae* in Europe using the genome data for 1,717 carbapenem-non-susceptible and -susceptible isolates obtained from consecutive clinical samples in 244 hospitals in 32 countries over a 6 month prevalence survey (EuSCAPE). Most (99.5%) of the 944 isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible belonged to the species *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto. Furthermore, the majority (69.6%) of isolates submitted as carbapenem-non-susceptible possessed one or more carbapenemase genes, which included the five most frequently reported carbapenemase genes worldwide: *bla*<sub>KPC-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>NDM-like</sub>, *bla*<sub>VIM-like</sub> and *bla*<sub>MIP-like</sub>. Carbapenemase-positive isolates were concentrated among four major clonal lineages of *K. pneumoniae* sensu stricto, comprising STs 11, 15, 101 and 258/512. All have recently emerged, gained abundance and, for the most part, expanded in southern or eastern European countries.
Hence, these lineages bear the hallmarks of so-called ‘high-risk’ clones, which commonly share a recent ancestor, epidemic success and a defined geographic distribution\textsuperscript{31}. They are also often associated with outbreaks\textsuperscript{32–35} and probably possess particular characteristics that increase their tenacity, transmissibility and population size, providing a greater opportunity for the acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes\textsuperscript{36}.

We demonstrated a strong relationship between genetic and geographic distance among carbapenemase-positive isolates, which cluster at the country level and, even more strikingly, at the hospital level. More than half of the carbapenemase-positive isolates had their gNN sampled from the same hospital and likewise the majority of hospitals that contributed carbapenemase-positive \textit{K. pneumoniae} had at least one pair of gNNs isolated from patients treated within a 6 month period at the same hospital. This suggests frequent within-hospital transmission. At the same time, the proportion of gNNs sampled from the same hospital or same country incrementally declined with a decreasing spectrum of β-lactam resistance determinants. This suggests that, in hospitals, ecological constraints exerted by antibiotic exposure obstruct the spread of isolates with a lower capacity for expressing resistance.

In contrast to our finding that carbapenemase-positive \textit{K. pneumoniae} is transmitted predominantly via nosocomial routes, a study that also used genomic data found that carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (including those of the \textit{K. pneumoniae} species complex), collected both retrospectively and prospectively in four US hospitals, could mostly not be linked to transmissions\textsuperscript{37}. We cannot explain this difference but suggest that the lack of a consistent and purpose-designed sampling frame, ensuring that isolates from consecutive clinical samples were collected, may have obscured transmission events in these US hospitals. However, this study did find geographical structuring of ST258 isolates, demonstrating local spread of this particular lineage.

We also noted that the distributions of core genome diversities differentiated same-hospital versus different-hospital and different-country isolates. This epidemiological signature provided the means to determine an optimal cut-off value for SNP differences that could be used to aid epidemiological investigations of putative institutional outbreaks of ST258/512. In addition to this, we could also provide predictions of the sensitivity and specificity of using a cut-off at different SNP thresholds (Fig. 4f). However, one major limitation of our approach is that we had no detailed epidemiological information to help confirm whether pairs of patient isolates were linked at the ward level and by overlapping treatment intervals. Nonetheless, our analysis of a large number of contemporaneous genomes sampled over different geographical scales should improve the external validity over case studies that report epidemiological cut-off values by discriminating single hospital outbreaks from a convenience sample of unrelated isolates. Furthermore, limiting epidemiologic conclusions to the number of core genome differences will also fail to detect possible plasmid transmission events. However, we argue that plasmid spread between ST258/512 isolates probably plays a relatively minor role compared with clonal spread, considering the strong relationship between the core genome diversity and geographic distance observed.

---

**Fig. 5 | International spread of the epidemic ST258/512 clone.**\textit{a}, A phylogenetic tree of 651 isolates of ST258 and 512 (and a derivative of ST512, ST868), comprising 236 isolates submitted during the EuSCAPE survey and 415 isolates with publicly available sequence data. The tree was rooted using an ST11 isolate that was later removed. The colours of the isolate tips represent the country of isolation and metadata columns show the \textit{bla}_{KPC-\text{like}} variant and K-type.\textit{b}, An unrooted version of the tree shown in \textit{a}. Similar visualizations are available at: https://microreact.org/project/EuSCAPE_ST258/bbafcc1c (\textit{a}) and https://microreact.org/project/EuSCAPE_ST258/dd960284 (\textit{b}).
It has been previously shown that ST258 emerged from an ST11 ancestor via a large recombination event with an ST442-like strain. It has been divided into two sublineages, clade I and clade II, that are differentiated by a region of recombination affecting the capsular loci. ST258 is predicted to have emerged in the 1990s in the United States where it remains endemic, ST258 and its derivative, ST512, are now also endemic in Israel and in some southern European countries, most notably Italy and Greece, and have caused outbreaks elsewhere. However, the ancestral relationships between the dispersed populations of this clone were previously unclear. Here, we observed strong geographical structuring by analysing 236 ST258/512 genomes together with 415 publicly available genomes from diverse international origins. The tree structure (Fig. 5) shows a clear country-specific partitioning with US isolates at the tips of long basal branches and monophyletic short-branched expansions in different countries, suggesting that ST258/512 originated in the United States and successively spread to Mediterranean countries. Most of the high-incidence countries that reported a rapid rise in carbapenem resistance over the past 15 yr in Europe witnessed epidemic expansion of ST258/512, as illustrated in Fig. 5 (ref. 6,7,17).

In summary, we have shown that the epidemic of carbapenem-non-susceptible \textit{K. pneumoniae} in Europe is driven by the expansion of a small number of clonal carbapenemase-positive lineages that propagate along nosocomial transmission routes and that antibiotic use serves as a major effect modifier. Introduction of progenitor carbapenem resistance genes are rare events in the natural history of the current epidemic and, although there is no denial that gene flow between the one-health compartments exists, this phenomenon has had no substantial impact on the course of the epidemiology of the major carbapenemase-positive clones of \textit{K. pneumoniae} in Europe, in the period up to 2014. Therefore, public health efforts should focus on genomic pathogen surveillance, identifying introductions of high-risk clones and their expansion early in the course of an incipient epidemic, and reinforcing the resilience of national hospital referral networks with a no-tolerance infection control policy. All data from this publication are publicly available (see Data availability) and can be used as contextual information for public health decision-making involving investigations of outbreaks and/or isolate collections. It may also serve as a benchmark of the status of carbapenem-non-susceptible \textit{K. pneumoniae} in Europe in 2013–14, to which future survey data can be compared, facilitating critical surveillance efforts.

Methods

Culture, DNA extraction and Illumina sequencing. Of the 2,301 samples submitted as \textit{K. pneumoniae} during the EuSCAPE survey, 2,162 (94.0%) were prepared for whole-genome sequencing. The remaining 139 of 2,301 (6.0%) were discarded due to a lack of growth, contamination of the culture plates, or having multiple phenotypes suggestive of contamination with different species. Of the 2,162 samples, 470 were plated on MacConkey agar (Sigma Aldrich) overnight at 37°C and a single colony representing each sample was picked into PBS solution. For the remaining 1,692 of 2,162 samples, a storage bead was picked into 1.5 ml of low salt LB broth in a well of a 96-well S-block (QIAGEN) and incubated at 37°C overnight with shaking. The bacteria were pelleted and resuspended in 1x PBS solution. Lysozyme (Sigma Aldrich), RNase A (Invitrogen) and Proteinase K (QIAGEN) were added to all of the samples, which were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. DNA was extracted using the QIAamp 96-well kit on the QIACube HT system (QIAGEN). Isolates were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq platform with 125 base pair (bp) paired-end reads.
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