INTRODUCTION

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is the main risk factor for the development and progression of glaucoma. Routine office measurements may not detect IOP peaks in roughly 30% of patients, and this detection failure may be responsible for visual field progression in apparently controlled patients.

Twenty-four hour daily tension curves (DTC) can estimate IOP peaks and fluctuations to provide the practitioner with more reliable information regarding the short-term IOP profile. However, 24-hour IOP monitoring may be unfeasible and time consuming for both patients and physicians; thus, it may be a restricted tool in clinical practice.

The modified diurnal tension curve (mDTC), an alternative that involves IOP measurements every 2 or 3 hours during office hours, is more feasible and may provide better information regarding the IOP profile than single IOP measurements.

Stress tests, such as the glucose-50 test for diabetes and dobutamine stress echo in cardiology, have been used in general medicine to assess risk factors for different types of disease.
The water drinking test (WDT) has been used as a stress test to evaluate how an eye is able to deal with a transient IOP elevation. Recent studies have shown the relationship between the WDT results and the severity and progression of glaucoma. It has been proposed that there is a significant correlation between the IOP peaks detected during the WDT and the 24-hour DTC.

The aim of this study is to assess whether there is correlation between IOP peaks detected during the WDT and mDTC in untreated open angle glaucomatous eyes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approval from the Institutional Review Board Ethics Committee was obtained for this study. The study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and informed consent was obtained from all participants enrolled in the study.

This was a prospective intervention study involving primary open angle glaucoma patients. Glaucoma was defined by the presence of typical optic neuropathy with associated visual field (VF) defects that were demonstrated in at least two consecutive reliable examinations using Humphrey standard automated perimetry (Humphrey Inc., Dublin, CA, USA). Gonioscopic evaluation was performed in all patients, and only those who presented open angles (i.e., such that the pigmented trabeculum was visible in all four sectors without indentation) were enrolled in the study. Subjects with severe stage glaucoma (vertical cup/disc ratio larger than 0.8 and mean deviation value $\leq -12.0$ dB), submitted to previous intraocular procedures, and those who were receiving topical and/or systemic medication that could interfere with IOP measurements were excluded.

Following screening, eligible patients began the required washout periods for current ocular medication as follows: 4 weeks for beta-adrenergic receptor antagonists and prostaglandin analogs, 2 weeks for adrenergic agonists, and 5 days for cholinergic agonists and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Patients whose ocular hypotensive therapy required a 4-week washout had an additional safety check visit 2 weeks prior to the baseline measurement. If a patient’s IOP had risen to a level thought to be detrimental (based on the severity of VF damage) at the time of the safety visit, the patient was withdrawn from the study. After this period, all patients were submitted to the mDTC and underwent IOP measurements at 8 am, 10 am, 12 pm, 2 pm, and 4 pm. After the last measurement, the WDT was performed. This procedure involved ingestion of 1 liter of tap water in 5 minutes, and IOP measurements 15, 30, and 45 minutes later. Goldman Tonometry was used in both situations.

The IOP peaks of the right eye of each eligible subject were recorded and compared. For statistical analysis, Pearson’s coefficient ($r$) and the Bland-Altman plots were used to study the correlation and agreement between the mDTC and WDT peaks, respectively. Computerized analysis was performed using MedCalc® (MedCalc Software version 9.3.2.0, Belgium).

RESULTS

Ninety-seven patients (97 eyes) fulfilled the inclusion criteria and completed the study.

The average age was 43.2 years ($\pm 6.4$). Females were 60.8% of the studied population, and 30.9% were black.

Figure 1 shows the distribution frequency of differences between IOP peaks during the WDT and mDTC.

The WDT peak was significantly correlated with the mDTC peak (Person’s Correlation Coefficient $r=0.780$ for a 95% confidence interval between 0.716 to 0.831, $p<0.0001$) (Figure 2).
Figure 3 shows a Bland-Altman Plot demonstrating limited agreement between IOP peak measurements. The 95% limits of agreement between the WDT and mDTC IOP peaks ranged from -3.9 mmHg to 8.2 mmHg. In 52.5% of the tests, the agreement was within ± 2 mmHg.

Eighty-two percent of eyes showed higher IOP peaks during the WDT than the mDTC (95% confidence interval between 0.0 and 6.99 mmHg).

**DISCUSSION**

Mean IOP, IOP peaks, and IOP fluctuation are important risk factors for the progression of glaucoma, but precise IOP assessment is very difficult in clinical practice. A 24-hour DTC would better characterize a given patient’s short-term IOP profile. However, the cost and labor involved make the determination of the 24-hour IOP course difficult and not possible for all patients. Previous studies observed significant correlations between IOP peaks measured during the 24-hour DTC and WDT. The WDT is a stress test that was widely used a few decades ago to diagnose open angle glaucoma; due to its high incidence of false positive and false negative results, however, it was deemed inadequate. Recently, the emphasis on the value of this test has changed. It has been used as a surrogate marker for the outflow facility reserve and may indicate the likelihood of progression.

Brubaker suggested that the WDT is a clinically useful test for assessing the status of outflow facility. Previous studies have also suggested the importance of the WDT as a risk factor for the development of glaucomatous VF defects. Based on a large prospective study (Collaborative Glaucoma Study), Armaly and coworkers reported in the 1980s that the pressure change after drinking water is one of five potential risk factors significantly related to the development of glaucomatous VF defects in patients with ocular hypertension. Yoshikawa et al also showed that the WDT was the most clinically relevant useful predictor of VF progression in normal tension glaucomatous eyes. Susanna et al. demonstrated that eyes with similar IOP levels under steady state conditions can respond to pressure perturbations differently in terms of both the pressure rise and speed of recovery. In more affected eyes, the pressure rises higher for a longer time than it does in less affected eyes.

The mechanisms involved in the WDT are not completely understood. After water or any hypotonic fluid is consumed, water is absorbed into the blood and body tissues (including the eye). This is associated with a consequent rise in IOP. The ability of the eye to recover from this transient IOP rise depends on the outflow facility. The rapid inflow of aqueous humor and the impaired facility of outflow in the glaucomatous eye may partially lead to IOP elevation. Bruculeri et al. suggested that factors affecting aqueous drainage may explain the water drinking effect.

The mDTC has become a common practice and consists of four to five IOP measurements taken during office hours (from 8 am to 6 pm). However, this test may miss as much as 70% of IOP peaks due to both IOP variability and the fact that 70% of the highest IOP levels occur in the morning in the supine position.

The present study showed that there is a strong correlation between the peak of the WDT and that of the mDTC; however, the agreement between these peaks is limited (Bland-Altman Plot in Figure 3). It is interesting to note that 82% of the WDT peaks were higher than the mDTC peaks.

In order to understand the practical implications of our findings, the clinician should be reminded of the definitions of correlation and agreement. Correlation indicates the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables. Once an independent continuous variable (x) increases, the other variable (y) will increase, decrease, or remain stable depending on the degree of association between them. The strong and positive correlation between the IOP peaks during the WDT and mDTC can thus be interpreted as follows. If an eye presents high IOP peaks during this stress test, this eye might also present higher peaks during the mDTC. However, this does not mean that the values are the same. Roughly 82% of the WDT peaks were higher during the WDT, and the difference between them averaged 2mm Hg. Thus, the two methods show limited agreement after statistical analysis. Because of the considerable inter-observer and intra-observer variability in the accuracy of IOP measurements made with Goldman tonometry (around 2 mmHg), however, the relevance of such a difference may be diminished during WDT evaluation in
a clinical practice. Moreover, even though most IOP peaks usually occur between 5 and 7 am in a complete DTC (gold standard), the mDTC performed in this study started at 8 am. This may have led to a failure to detect IOP peaks,\(^\text{23}\) which may explain the limited agreement between the methods.

In summary, this study corroborates previous reports that demonstrate the clinical applicability of the WDT as a useful tool for assessing both the status of the eye’s outflow facility and the likelihood of glaucoma progression.\(^{11,17-20}\)

Furthermore, prospective studies are necessary to evaluate whether measurements obtained during the WDT and mDTC are important indicators of prognostic outcome in glaucoma patients.

---
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