Effect of biochar on soil characteristics of Yellow River Delta coastal wetland, China
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Abstract. Coastal wetland soil quality has been threatened due to anthropogenic disturbance and thus an effective measure is urgent to be used to reclaim the degraded wetland soils. Biochar is a promising carbonaceous material that has been proved an effective strategy for soil carbon sequestration and soil remediation. However, few researches have paid attention to effect of biochar on soil characteristics under rainfall conditions in coastal wetland. Thus, we conducted a leaching experiment to explore the effect of biochar on soil pH, EC, CEC, Ex-Na+ and ESP. The results showed that biochar addition significantly decreased soil pH, EC, Ex-Na+ and ESP, and increased soil CEC. Our findings showed that biochar could be a promising soil remediation in degraded coastal wetland ecosystems with multiple benefits.

1 Introduction
Coastal wetland, referred as “blue carbon”, could sequester 13-17.2 Pg of carbon, acting as a natural carbon sink, which has been widely concerned [1]. Yet ‘blue carbon’ has been suffering dramatic loss due to anthropogenic disturbance, such as land type change, oil pollution, resulting in a potential threat to coastal wetland ecosystems [2]. Consequently, an effective measure is urgent to be used to reclaim the degraded wetland soils. Traditional tools such as chemical measures and water irrigation are expensive and less effective for elevating soil carbon sequestration.

Biochar is solid carbonaceous residue pyrolyzed from biomass under anaerobic conditions [3]. Biochar has been widely proposed as one of promising measures to promote soil carbon sequestration capacity due to its recalcitrant structure and resistance to various environmental changes [4]. In addition to it, biochar could improve soil quality and enrich soil nutrients via sorption due to its high pore volume, large surface area and negative surface charge [5]. Some studies showed that biochar application to soil significantly decreased soil salinity and improved plant growth [6]. These results showed that biochar could effectively affect soil characteristics. However, few studies paid attention to the influence of biochar on soil characteristics under periodic rainfall conditions.

To explore effect of biochar on soil characteristics under rainfall conditions, we collected soil samples from Yellow River Delta, a typical coastal wetland, and conducted a leaching experiment for three months to simulate periodic rainfall. We hypothesized that biochar could decrease soil pH, EC, exchangeable Na+ and increase soil CEC.

2 Materials and methods
2.1 Soil and biochar
The surface soils (0-20cm) and subsoils (30-60cm) were collected at random from Yellow River Delta Wetland Nature Reserve (37°51’N ,119°00’E). The soil samples were air dried, ground to pass through a 2mm sieve for further experiment. Biochar was pyrolyzed from corn cob at 550°C for 3h.

2.2 Soil leaching experiment
Biochar was added to soil (topsoil and subsoil) at rates of 0% and 3%, and mixed thoroughly. The first layer of soil column (0-20cm) was filled with topsoil and the second layer was crowded with subsoil (20-60cm). The experiment was set in two treatments that depended on whether biochar was added. The two treatments were replicated in three times and soil characteristics of all treatments were tested before and after the leaching experiment, named as (1) CK-B; (2) CK-A; (3) BC-B; (4) BC-A.

The leaching device was made of plexiglass. The inner diameter of the device was 6cm, the height was 70cm and the diameter of water outlet hole was 5mm. The bottom of device was covered with a layer of nylon and dry quartz sand with thickness of 3cm to prevent leakage of soil particles. After soil was filled, quartz
sand with a thickness of about 3 cm was spread on soil to prevent uneven water flow.

All treatments were kept in the same conditions at room temperature for three months. During the incubation, a hanging bottle infusion was set to slowly drip 200ml ultrapure water to simulate precipitation. After the experiment, soil columns were divided into 6 layers every 10cm depth. All soil samples were tested pH, EC, CEC, Exchangeable-Na+ and ESP.

2.3 Samples analysis

The pH of samples was determined by pH meter and EC was determined by portable conductivity meter. CEC of soil samples was measured by ammonium acetate method. Soil samples were treated with NH_{4}OAc (pH 7.0) to replace all base cations with ammonium ions. The adsorbed NH_{4}^{+} was replaced with K^{+} from sorption sites. The concentration of NH_{4}^{+} in solution was measured to calculate CEC by capturing NH_{3} in saturated H_{3}BO_{3} solution and titrated with 0.1M HCl in the presence of indicators. Exchangeable-Na^{+} of samples was measured by flame photometry method. ESP was determined by ratio of exchangeable-Na^{+} and CEC.

2.4 Statistical analysis

All results were expressed as mean values. Significant differences between treatments were determined by one-way analysis of variance with Duncan’s multiple range test (P=0.05) and Statistical Product and Service Solutions Software 20.0 (SPSS 20.0).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of biochar on soil pH

The pH of soil decreased with increasing soil depths and biochar significantly decreased pH of soil (Fig.1). Biochar significantly decreased pH of soil by 0.05-0.25 at depth of 0-50cm. After the leaching, pH of soil decreased significantly, which indicated that rainfall could significantly affect the concentrations of alkaline ions. The results were different from some researches in which biochar increased soil pH [7]. It was possible that biochar improved soil aeration facilitating more alkaline ions dissolution and thus offset possible promotion effect. The results showed that biochar could promote soil aeration so as to affect pH of soil.

3.2 Effect of biochar on soil EC

The EC of soil increased at depth of 0-50cm and decreased sharply at depth of 50-60cm with increasing soil depths and biochar significant increased EC of soil (Fig.2). Compared to treatments without biochar, biochar addition significantly increased soil EC by 1-5 mS cm^{-1}, it indicated that cations such as K^{+}, Ca^{2+} could be released from biochar and thus increased EC of soil. After the leaching experiment, EC of the soil samples significantly decreased, which was similar to the changes of soil pH. It was possible that water flow eroded soil cations and more cations accumulated at depth of 30-40cm may result in increase of EC. These results showed that biochar could release cations to affect soil characteristics such as EC, which could affect microbial activity and plant growth [8].

3.3 Effect of biochar on soil CEC

The CEC of soil increased at depth of 0-40cm and decreased at depth of 40-60cm with increasing soil depths, and biochar significant increased CEC of soil (Fig.3). After the leaching experiment, CEC of soil samples increased on average of 12.37% in treatments without biochar addition, soil CEC increased on average...
of 21.32%. The CEC of soil increased at depth of 0-40cm may attribute to upper cations accumulation and the CEC of soil decreased at depth of 40-60cm could result from leaching. Biochar significantly increased soil CEC by 3%-31%, which indicated that biochar improved the ability of soil to adsorb, exchange and retain cations [9]. In addition to it, biochar increased soil CEC at depth of 40-60cm, which indicated that biochar could affect soil characteristics of subsoil and potentially improved soil qualities at various soil layers [10].

**Fig. 3.** Effect of biochar on soil CEC among treatments before and after the leaching experiment. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means (n=3). Different small letters indicate significant difference among treatments (p<0.05).

### 3.4 Effect of biochar on soil Ex-Na⁺

The Ex-Na⁺ of soil decreased at depth of 0-50cm and increased sharply at depth of 50-60cm and biochar significantly decreased Ex-Na⁺ of soil (Fig.4). After the leaching experiment, Ex-Na⁺ of soil significantly decreased on average of 25.71% in treatments without biochar addition. The results were consistent with changes of soil CEC, which indicated that water flow eroded soil cations and affect the retention of nutrition. Biochar significantly decreased soil Ex-Na⁺ on average of 38.96% and decreased by 40.51% at depth of 0-50cm, compared to treatments without biochar addition, which indicated that biochar effectively decreased soil Ex-Na⁺ and improved soil qualities. Our results were consistent with some studies that showed biochar effectively decrease soil Ex-Na⁺ via sorption due to lots of adsorption sites on biochar surface and high surface areas [11].

**Fig. 4.** Effect of biochar on soil Ex-Na⁺ among treatments before and after the leaching experiment. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means (n=3). Different small letters indicate significant difference among treatments (p<0.05).

### 3.5 Effect of biochar on soil ESP

Biochar significantly decreased soil ESP and the ESP of soil decreased significantly by 17.26-54.26% compared to treatments without biochar addition after the leaching (Fig.5). Biochar significantly decreased soil ESP on average of 12.50% before the leaching experiment and ESP decreased by 25.12% after the leaching. Besides it, water flow significantly decreased soil ESP on average of 13.17% and the results indicated that water irrigation measures could be helpful to remediate coastal wetland soil but were less effective than biochar due to higher expense. The effect of biochar on soil ESP was consistent with Ex-Na⁺ concentrations in soil samples. Biochar decreased soil ESP due to decrease of changes of Ex-Na⁺ and increase of soil CEC, which could be contributed to the adsorption of biochar on soil Na⁺ [12]. Decreased ESP may promote plant growth, facilitate microbial activity, improve enzymes activities and increase primary productivity in graded coastal wetland ecosystems.

**Fig. 5.** Effect of biochar on soil ESP among treatments before and after the leaching experiment. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means (n=3). Different small letters indicate significant difference among treatments (p<0.05).
4 Conclusion

We conducted a leaching experiment for three months to explore the effect of biochar on soil characteristics under simulated field conditions. Biochar could decrease soil pH, EC, Ex-Na⁺ and ESP. In addition to, biochar could increase soil CEC. These results demonstrated that biochar implication to coastal wetland soil could effectively improve soil characteristics, which could be beneficial to improve primary productivity and promote plant growth. The results provided perspectives that biochar could be a promising soil remediation in degraded coastal wetland ecosystems with multiple benefits.
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