First constraints on the AGN X-ray luminosity function at $z \sim 6$ from an eROSITA-detected quasar
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ABSTRACT

Context. High-redshift quasars signpost the early accretion history of the Universe. The penetrating nature of X-rays enables a less absorption-biased census of the population of these luminous and persistent sources compared to optical/near-infrared colour selection. The ongoing SRG/eROSITA X-ray all-sky survey offers a unique opportunity to uncover the bright end of the high-$z$ quasar population and probe new regions of colour parameter space.

Aims. We searched for high-$z$ quasars within the X-ray source population detected in the contiguous $\sim 140$ deg$^2$ field observed by eROSITA during the performance verification phase. With the purpose of demonstrating the unique survey science capabilities of eROSITA, this field was observed at the depth of the final all-sky survey. The blind X-ray selection of high-redshift sources in a large contiguous, near-uniform survey with a well-understood selection function can be directly translated into constraints on the X-ray luminosity function (XLF), which encodes the luminosity-dependent evolution of accretion through cosmic time.

Methods. We collected the available spectroscopic information in the eFEDS field, including the sample of all currently known optically selected $z > 5.5$ quasars and cross-matched secure Legacy DR8 counterparts of eROSITA-detected X-ray point-like sources with this spectroscopic sample.

Results. We report the X-ray detection of eFEDSU J083644.0+005459, an eROSITA source securely matched to the well-known quasar SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 ($z = 5.81$). The soft X-ray flux of the source derived from eROSITA is consistent with previous Chandra observations. The detection of SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 allows us to place the first constraints on the XLF at $z > 5.5$ based on a secure spectroscopic redshift. Compared to extrapolations from lower-redshift observations, this favours a relatively flat slope for the XLF at $z \sim 6$ beyond $L_*$, the knee in the luminosity function. In addition, we report the detection of the quasar with LOFAR at 145 MHz and ASKAP at 888 MHz. Compared to reported flux densities confirm a spectral flattening at lower frequencies in the emission of the radio core, indicating that SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 could be a (sub-) gigahertz peaked spectrum source. The inferred spectral shape and the parsec-scale radio morphology of SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 indicate that it is in an early stage of its evolution into a large-scale radio source or confined in a dense environment. We find no indications for a strong jet contribution to the X-ray emission of the quasar, which is therefore likely to be linked to accretion processes.

Conclusions. Our results indicate that the population of X-ray luminous AGNs at high redshift may be larger than previously thought. From our XLF constraints, we make the conservative prediction that eROSITA will detect $\sim 90$ X-ray luminous AGNs at redshifts $5.7 < z < 6.4$ in the full-sky survey (De+RU). While subject to different jet physics, both high-redshift quasars detected by eROSITA so far are radio-loud; a hint at the great potential of combined X-ray and radio surveys for the search of luminous high-redshift quasars.
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1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are the brightest persistent beacons in the Universe and sign-post the population of accreting supermassive black holes (SMBHs) and their evolution throughout cosmological time. The detection of quasars at $z > 5.5$ in the past two decades is an intriguing development, because their associated black hole masses challenge our understanding of the formation and initial growth of SMBHs. Testing black hole seed models requires a complete census of high-redshift AGNs
encoded in well-constrained luminosity functions. In this redshift regime, X-rays in the soft band (0.2–2.3 keV for eROSITA) probe the restframe hard X-ray emission of the distant sources (~1.3–15 keV at z > 5.5). The soft X-ray selection of AGNs therefore suffers less from absorption biases. However, so far, optical and infrared dropout-selected AGNs at z > 5.5 (e.g. Fan et al. 2001; Willott et al. 2009; Venemans et al. 2013; Reed et al. 2015; Bahados et al. 2016, 2018; Matsuoka et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017) significantly outnumber X-ray selected ones because of the lack of sufficiently wide and deep X-ray surveys backed up by homogeneous ancillary multi-wavelength data. Currently, about 345 sources have been discovered in dedicated optical/near-infrared (NIR) surveys. Chandra and XMM-Newton pointed observations of known quasars in the range z = 5.7–7.54 have led to the detection of an X-ray signal for only approximately 30 of these objects (e.g. Brandt et al. 2002; Nanni et al. 2017; Vito et al. 2019; Pons et al. 2020). However, such X-ray follow-up samples suffer from the selection biases of the optical selection because of tight colour–magnitude constraints and absorption.

For the study of accretion history, absorption biases can be avoided by constructing the X-ray luminosity function (XLF, Hasinger et al. 2005; Ueda et al. 2014; Vito et al. 2014; Miyaji et al. 2015; Aird et al. 2015; Georgakakis et al. 2015; Buchner et al. 2015; Khomynchev et al. 2018; Ananna et al. 2019) from a purely X-ray-selected sample. However, only three X-ray-selected AGNs have been identified at z > 5 so far (in the Chandra Deep Fields, COSMOS and XMM-XXL Barger et al. 2003; Marchesi et al. 2016; Menzel et al. 2016) with the most distant being at z = 5.3. These surveys suffer from the small cosmological volume they probe. The full-sky survey currently being carried out with the extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array (eROSITA) on board the Spectrum-Roentgen-Gamma (SRG) mission (Predehl et al. 2021) will allow us to overcome these limitations and probe the bright end of the XLF at high redshifts with a limiting flux of ~10^{-12} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. For comparison, the second ROSAT all-sky survey catalogue (2RXS Boller et al. 2016) reached a depth of ~10^{-13} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. Indeed, as early as the early months of the first eROSITA all-sky survey, Medvedev et al. (2020a,b) reported the detection of the X-ray ultra-luminous source SRGE J142952.1+544716, which is matched to the z = 6.18 quasar CFHQ5 J142952+544717 (henceforth CFHQ14).

In the present work, we exploit the contiguous area of ~140 deg^2 observed by eROSITA during the calibration and performance verification phase with the purpose of demonstrating the science capabilities of the all-sky survey after 4 yr (eRASS:8, ~ 2.3 ks): the eROSITA Final Equatorial Depth Survey (eFEDS). We report here the blind detection of a high-redshift X-ray source (eFEDSU J083644.0+005459), that we could identify as the well-known quasar SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 (z = 5.81, Fan et al. 2001, henceforth SDSSJ08). This quasar was initially discovered by i-band dropout selection in the main Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). CFHQ14 and SDSSJ08 are the highest-redshift X-ray-selected AGNs known to date. Taking advantage of the synergy between the eROSITA detection and new radio data from the LOw-Frequency Array (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013) and the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder (ASKAP, Johnston et al. 2008; Hotan et al. 2021) Survey With ASKAP of GAMA-09 + X-Ray (SWAG-X, Moss et al., in prep.) programme, we investigate the origin of the X-ray emission from SDSSJ08 and find further evidence for a confined jetted radio structure. We consequently discuss how the detection of the quasar in a contiguous survey constrains models of the space density of X-ray-emitting AGNs.

The optical counterpart determination procedure for eFEDS sources is outlined in Sect. 2. The identified quasar and the extraction and reduction of the eROSITA spectral data are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, we investigate the multiwavelength properties of eFEDSU J083644.0+005459/SDSSJ08 using ancillary data. The new radio data from LOFAR and ASKAP are presented in Sect. 5. In Sect. 6, we derive constraints on the X-ray AGN space density at high redshift from the detection of SDSSJ08. We discuss the origin of the X-ray emission in Sect. 7. After comparing the detection to expected source counts from optical surveys, we conclude by making a prediction for the expected number of z > 5.7 quasars which will be found in eRASS:8. We assume a flat Ω_{m} = 0.31, Ω_{Λ} = 0.69 and H_{0} = 68 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}. Unless stated otherwise, uncertainties are given at the 68% confidence level.

2. Optical counterparts to eFEDS sources

The eFEDS survey was carried out by eROSITA between 3 and 7 November 2019 to a nominal depth of approximately 2.3 ks, corresponding to a flux limit of F_{0.2–2.3 keV} ~ 10^{-14} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. The source detection is performed in the 0.2–2.3 keV band using a sliding box algorithm from the eROSITA Science Analysis Software System (eSASS). The catalogue is presented in Brunner et al. (in prep.). In Fig. 1, the footprint of the survey is presented. The resulting eFEDS source catalogue contains 27 910 sources from which 27 369 are classified as point-like.

The field is embedded in the footprint of the Legacy Survey DR8 (LS8) survey, which provides photometry in the g, r, and z bands and in mid-infrared wavebands via forced photometry at the optical positions on Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) images (unWISE data release, Wright et al. 2010; Schlafly et al. 2019). With close-to uniform 5σ depths g ~ 24.0, r ~ 23.4, and z ~ 22.5 (AB magnitudes), LS8 ensures the determination of secure counterparts for eFEDS sources to a high level of completeness.

The eFEDS point-like sources were cross-match to LS8 optical counterparts in a two-method approach, which will be...
of 24 spectroscopically confirmed \( z > 5.5 \) quasars in the eFEDS footprint, which were all discovered in dedicated optical searches (Fan et al. 2001; Venemans et al. 2015; Matsuoka et al. 2018a,b, 2019). In Fig. 1, we have colour-coded these sources according to their \( z \)-band magnitude, which clearly reveals a decrease in space-density with increasing optical brightness. SDSSJ08 is by far the brightest of all \( z > 5.5 \) quasars in the field. It has previously been observed in X-rays in a follow-up program of high-redshift Sloan quasars (Brandt et al. 2002). The measured flux is higher than the average soft flux limit of eROSITA in the field \(( \sim 8 \times 10^{-15} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\). This high-redshift quasar is also the only radio-loud one in the list.

In the eROSITA catalogue, eFEDSU J083644.0+005459 has \( 14.4 \pm 5.0 \) source model counts. These model counts are obtained by fitting the point-spread function (PSF) to a count rate image (the ratio of the spatial count distribution and on-axis exposure time corrected for vignetting). Background and exposure maps are used in the fitting procedure. The corrected exposure time for the source is \( M_L, \text{EXP} = 1179 s \).

3.1. Manual eROSITA spectrum extraction

The eSASS task srcrtool was used to extract source and background spectra, along with instrumental responses. The source coordinates as well as calibrated event files are passed to the extraction algorithm, with the background and source-extraction regions determined manually. The background extraction region was defined as an annulus of inner and outer radii \((60'', 198'')\). The source region is delimited by a circle \( 30'' \) in radius centred at the X-ray position. Detected sources in the background region were excluded. The photons are collected over the full eROSITA band \((0.2–10 \text{ keV})\). We obtain a total of 20 counts in the spectrum (source and background).

3.2. X-ray spectral analysis

A spectral analysis was performed to infer the primary X-ray properties of the quasar (see Liu et al., in prep., for more details about the spectral analysis of eFEDS sources). We used the analysis software BXA (Buchner et al. 2014), which connects the X-ray spectral fitting tool XSPEC (v12.11, Arnaud 1996) to the nested sampling algorithm MultiNest (Feroz et al. 2009). The fit was performed in the \( 0.3–8 \text{ keV} \) energy range. A simple redshifted power-law model only accounting for Galactic absorption was chosen to fit the extracted spectrum: \( \text{phabs*powerlaw} \).

In addition we used a background model, which was trained on eFEDS AGN spectra using a principal component analysis and scaled to the source and background extraction sizes following Simmonds et al. (2018, see their Appendix A). The corresponding Galactic absorbing column density is taken from HI4PI Collaboration (2016): \( N_H = 4.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). The power law is shifted to the spectroscopic redshift of the quasar. The low photon counting statistics limit our ability to accurately retrieve X-ray spectral parameters. Nevertheless, we allow the photon index \( \Gamma \), the normalisation of the power law, and the normalisation of the background model to vary freely in the fit in order to retrieve realistic error bars on the measured X-ray fluxes. The best fit was determined with the C-statistic (Cash 1979). We assumed a flat uniform prior for the photon index, restricting the range to \( \Gamma = 1–3 \). The resulting posterior parameter distributions and the associated X-ray spectrum are shown respectively in Figs. 3 and 4. We obtain a photon index of \( 2.20^{+0.49}_{-0.60} \). While \( \Gamma \) is not well constrained, it tends to typical values of X-ray-detected, radio-quiet quasars in this redshift regime. From their joint

---

1. https://github.com/JohannesBuchner/nway
2. https://github.com/ruizca/astromatch

Table 1. Basic source and counterpart information.

| eFEDS ID          | eFEDSU J083644.0+005459 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| RA\(_{\text{eFEDS}}\) [deg] | 129.1834 |
| Dec\(_{\text{eFEDS}}\) [deg]  | 0.9164 |
| \(\sigma_{\text{RADEC eFEDS}}\) [arcsec] | 4.1 |
| DET\(_{\text{LIKE}}\)            | 11.00 |
| Counts \((0.2–2.3 \text{ keV})\)  | 14.4 ± 5.0 |
| LS8 objID/brickID         | 926/336644 |
| Sep.X/LS8 [arcsec]        | 6.3 |
| QSO ID                    | SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 |
| QSO Redshift              | 5.81 |
| Sep.QSO/LS8/arcsec        | <0.1 |

Notes. The coordinates of the eFEDS source are equatorial, with \(\sigma_{\text{RADEC eFEDS}}\) being the 1\(\sigma\) X-ray positional uncertainty. The net counts and errors are obtained via photon-mode PSF-fitting (Brunner et al., in prep.). The Sep. X/LS8 measures the separation between the centroid of the detected X-ray source and the position of the LS8 counterpart. Sep. QSO/L8 corresponds to the separation between the quasar optical position and the LS8 counterpart.

detailed further in Salvato et al. (in prep.). All LS8 sources within \(30''\) of an X-ray source are considered as potential counterparts. There are an average of approximately 20 LS8 sources within this radius for each X-ray source at the depth of eFEDS.

A careful treatment of astrometry and additional photometric information is needed to associate each source with its correct optical counterpart. The counterpart identification was performed using the Bayesian cross-matching algorithm NWAY\(^1\) (Salvato et al. 2018). In addition to the positional offset and positional uncertainty, it uses a multi-dimensional photometric prior which was modelled using a Random Forest classifier. The prior was defined using 23,058 X-ray sources from the XMM-Newton serendipitous survey (3XMM DR8, Rosen et al. 2016) and the Chandra Source Catalogue (CSC 2.0, Evans et al. 2020) with comparable fluxes to the eFEDS sources and secure counterparts. In parallel a similar multi-dimensional photometric prior was applied to the classical Likelihood Ratio technique\(^2\) (Sutherland & Saunders 1992). The reconciliation of both approaches delivers a highly reliable set of optical counterparts to the eFEDS sources. Tests on a validation set of simulated eFEDS-like sources indicate that the chosen approaches reach \(~96\%\) purity and \(~96\%\) completeness (Salvato et al., in prep.).

3. X-ray properties of SDSSJ08

By matching eFEDS LS8 optical counterparts to our compilation of all spectroscopic entries in the field we were able to determine that the X-ray emission from the eROSITA source eFEDSU J083644.0+005459 is associated with the \(z=5.81\) SDSS quasar SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 (hereafter SDSSJ08). At the current level of spectroscopic completeness, it is the highest redshift eFEDS source identified so far. The quasar lies at a distance of \(6.3''\) from the eROSITA position and is the brightest source within a radius of \(30''\). The LS8 counterpart to the eROSITA source matches SDSSJ08 within \(0.1''\). The eROSITA-eFEDS and HyperSuprime Cam (HSC, Aihara et al. 2018) images of the matching region are shown in Fig. 2. A summary of the match is presented in Table 1. The source belongs to an up-to-date list of 24 spectroscopically confirmed \( z > 5.5 \) quasars in the eFEDS footprint, which were all discovered in dedicated optical searches (Fan et al. 2001; Venemans et al. 2015; Matsuoka et al. 2018a,b, 2019). In Fig. 1, we have colour-coded these sources according to their \( z \)-band magnitude, which clearly reveals a decrease in space-density with increasing optical brightness. SDSSJ08 is by far the brightest of all \( z > 5.5 \) quasars in the field. It has previously been observed in X-rays in a follow-up program of high-redshift Sloan quasars (Brandt et al. 2002). The measured flux is higher than the average soft flux limit of eROSITA in the field \(( \sim 8 \times 10^{-15} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\). This high-redshift quasar is also the only radio-loud one in the list.

In the eROSITA catalogue, eFEDSU J083644.0+005459 has \(14.4 \pm 5.0\) source model counts. These model counts are obtained by fitting the point-spread function (PSF) to a count rate image (the ratio of the spatial count distribution and on-axis exposure time corrected for vignetting). Background and exposure maps are used in the fitting procedure. The corrected exposure time for the source is \(M_L, \text{EXP} = 1179 \text{s}\).

1. https://github.com/JohannesBuchner/nway
2. https://github.com/ruizca/astromatch
Fig. 2. Left: 7′ image of the eROSITA events centred at the optical position of SDSSJ08 (full band 0.2–10 keV). The circle has a radius of 30″. The square shows the size of the field shown in Fig. 8. Right: G, I, and Y 60″ × 60″ HSC (PDR2) image centred at the coordinates of the SDSSJ08 associated eFEDS source. The orange cross shows the optical position of the quasar. The eROSITA contours are derived from the eFEDS image smoothed with a Gaussian kernel. The black dot in the lower left corner shows the eROSITA FWHM of the eROSITA PSF in survey mode (12″).

Fig. 3. Posterior marginal distributions of $\Gamma$, normalisation of the power law (zpl), and normalisation of the PCA background model (bkg). The photon index remains poorly constrained but is consistent with typical X-ray spectral slopes of the radio quiet quasar population.

We compute the soft band flux and intrinsic luminosity from the fitted model. The errors are propagated with XSPEC using the posterior samples. The resulting soft-band flux-corrected for Galactic absorption, intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity, monochromatic luminosity at 2 keV, as well as the photon index and the two-point spectral X-ray to optical spectral index (see Sect. 3.3) are displayed in Table 2.

SDSSJ08 has an X-ray detection from a Chandra follow-up observation in 2002 (Brandt et al. 2002). Using a frozen power-law model with $\Gamma=2$ and Galactic absorption $N_H=4.4\times10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Stark et al. 1992) these authors obtained a soft-band flux of $F_{0.5-2.0\text{keV}} = 1.05\times10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The broad-band Chandra image taken at the optical position of the quasar reveals a single point-like source, which is strong evidence against any contamination in eROSITA from X-ray emission from any other source within a radius of 30″. Re-analysing the Chandra data with a slightly lower photon index ($\Gamma=1.9$), Nanni et al. (2017) derived the rest-frame intrinsic luminosity $L_{2.0-10.0\text{keV}} = 4.2^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. With respect to the complete sample of X-ray detected $z>5.7$ quasars (Nanni et al. 2017; Vito et al. 2019; Pons et al. 2020), this makes SDSSJ08 one of the four most X-ray luminous high-redshift...
Table 2. Derived X-ray properties.

| X-ray property | Units | Value |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| $F_{0.5–2\text{keV}}$ | $10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 9.9$^{+3.7}_{-3.2}$ |
| $L_{2–10\text{keV}}$ | $10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | 4.7$^{+2.2}_{-1.6}$ |
| $L_x$ | $10^{27}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ | 7.0$^{+6.0}_{-4.9}$ |
| $\alpha_{OX}$ | – | $1.57^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ |
| $\Gamma$ | – | 2.2$^{+0.49}_{-0.60}$ |

Notes. (1) Soft X-ray flux corrected for Galactic absorption. (2) X-ray 2–10 keV rest-frame luminosity. (3) Monochromatic rest-frame luminosity at 2 keV. (4) X-ray to optical spectral slope. (5) Photon index.

Fig. 5. Intrinsic hard X-ray luminosity as a function of redshift for X-ray detected $z > 5.7$ quasars. SDSSJ08 lies at the X-ray luminous end of the sample. The luminosity of SDSSJ08 is computed from the eROSITA data. eROSITA detected sources are marked by an empty square. In the case of overlying sources in the sample of Nanni et al. (2017) and Vito et al. (2019), only the data points from the latter are shown.

The X-ray emission in the active core:

$$\alpha_{OX} = 0.384 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{L_{2\text{keV}}}{L_{2500\AA}} \right),$$

(1)

where $L_{2\text{keV}}$ and $L_{2500\AA}$ are the rest-frame monochromatic luminosities at 2 keV and 2500 Å. We computed the 2 keV monochromatic luminosity and its uncertainties from the posterior distribution of the $L_{2–10\text{keV}}$ rest-frame luminosity and the associated photon index $\Gamma$ for each solution:

$$L_{2\text{keV}} = \frac{L_{2–10\text{keV}}}{\nu_{2\text{keV}}} \nu^{-\Gamma} d\nu.$$  

(2)

$L_{2500\AA}$ was extrapolated from the UV absolute magnitude $M_{2500\AA}$ listed by Jiang et al. (2016). An optical spectral slope of $\alpha = -0.3$ was assumed (e.g. Vito et al. 2019), corresponding to a correction $M_{2500\AA} = M_{2500\AA} - 0.18$. The value of the X-ray to optical slope for SDSSJ08 is given in Table 2. For the same quasar, Nanni et al. (2017) measured $\alpha_{OX} = -1.61^{+0.03}_{-0.06}$, consistent with our estimated $-1.57^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$. There is a well-established anti-correlation between $\alpha_{OX}$ and $L_{2500\AA}$ for $z < 5$ AGNs, also investigated directly in the $L_{2\text{keV}} - L_{2500\AA}$ plane (e.g. Avni & Tananbaum 1986; Statveva et al. 2005; Just et al. 2007; Lusso et al. 2010). Performing a linear regression in the $\alpha_{OX} - L_{2500\AA}$ plane, Nanni et al. (2017) argue that their sample of 29 X-ray-detected $z > 5.7$ quasars followed the anti-correlation well. From their sample of $z > 6$ radio-quiet quasars, Vito et al. (2019) find no significant evolution of this trend with redshift.

The eFEDS quasar shows a typical ‘X-ray loudness’ with respect to the $\alpha_{OX}$-luminosity relation. For CFHJ14, Medvedev et al. (2020a) reported a significant deviation from the relation, arguing that this flattening of the spectral slope could be caused by an excess X-ray emission, possibly related to the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic microwave background photons off the jet (iC-CMB, Tavecchio et al. 2000; Celotti et al. 2001). Despite being radio-loud (Bañados et al. 2015), SDSSJ08 does not display such an X-ray excess. We show how the two eROSITA-detected $z > 5.7$ quasars are distributed with respect to this relation in Fig. 6. The $\alpha_{OX} - L_{2500\AA}$ relation derived by Lusso et al. (2010) on a sample of XMM-COSMOS AGNs is shown, as is the one obtained by Nanni et al. (2017) on their sample of $z > 5.7$ quasars.

4. Archival multi-wavelength properties

4.1. Optical selection and spectroscopy

SDSSJ08 was initially discovered through i-dropout selection and consecutive spectroscopic confirmation in ~15000 deg$^2$ of the SDSS main survey (Fan et al. 2001). It is part of a sample of 52 $z > 5.4$ quasars that were found by exploiting imaging data in the SDSS main survey (Jiang et al. 2016). The $i - z > 2.2$ dropout criterion selects $z > 5.8$ quasars, because the neutral hydrogen absorption bluewards of the Lyα line is shifted in the i-band. A redshift of $z = 5.81$ was measured by Kurk et al. (2009) using VLTI/ISAAC NIR observations. Fan et al. (2001) report a strong and broad Lyα and NV complex with an equivalent width of ~70 Å. The quasar is extremely luminous with an absolute AB magnitude $M_{1480\AA} = -27.86$ (Jiang et al. 2016). Its black hole mass of $(2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^8 M_\odot$, was derived from the width of the broad MgII L2800 Å line (Kurk et al. 2009). Stern et al. (2003) observed SDSSJ08 with the FLAMINGOS multi-object, NIR spectrograph at the 8 m Gemini-South Observatory.
and reported an optical power-law index of $\alpha = 1.55$ (measured over the rest-frame wavelength range $\lambda = 1480-2510 \, \text{Å}$). This red spectral slope is indicative of the presence of substantial amounts of dust in the environment of SDSSJ08.

### 4.2. Spectral energy distribution

SDSSJ08 has been covered by a number of imaging surveys over the entire spectral energy distribution (SED)\(^{3}\). In addition, we carried out simultaneous $g', r', i', z', J, H,$ and $K_s$-band photometry of SDSSJ08 with the Gamma-Ray Burst Optical/Near-Infrared Detector (GROND, Greiner et al. 2008) at the MPG 2.2 m telescope at the ESO La Silla observatory. The resulting SED is presented in Fig. 7. We fitted the composite of radio-quiet quasars by Shang et al. (2011) with the photometric code LePhare (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006). This figure can be directly compared to the SED of CFHQS14 presented by Medvedev et al. (2020a). For the fit, the redshift was fixed to its spectroscopic value. We note that SDSSJ08 does not display the X-ray excess typically observed in radio-quiet quasars (Wilkes & Elvis 1987; Shastri et al. 1993; Reeves et al. 1997) and is more consistent with the radio-quiet template in the radio and X-ray part of the SED. The overall radio output is well below that of the radio-loud template. We summarise all available archival radio data for SDSSJ08 in the following section.

### 4.3. Archival radio properties

All the radio fluxes measurements and upper limits associated to SDSSJ08 are reported in Table 3. Coppejans et al. (2017) ascribe the tension between some of the 1.4 GHz flux-density measurements to resolution effects (e.g. NVSS has a resolution of 45′′) but could not entirely exclude variability. Assembling all available radio data on this quasar, these latter authors estimated the radio spectral slope of $\alpha_r = -0.89 \pm 0.29$. In this work, sources with $\alpha < -0.5$ were classified as steep-spectrum sources. Coppejans et al. (2017) further note that their computed radio spectrum slope for SDSSJ08 would predict a flux density of $\sim 12.0 \, \text{mJy}$ at 148 MHz, but Coppejans et al. (2016) argue that most steep-spectrum high-redshift quasars must have turnovers in their synchrotron spectra effectively making them MHz-peaked or GHz-peaked sources. The Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) 150 MHz All-sky Radio Survey (TGSS-ADR1; Intema et al. 2017) does not detect SDSSJ08. Using the TGSS-ADR1 data, Coppejans et al. (2017) derived an upper limit of 6.1 mJy on the flux density of the source. If variability and resolution effects can be excluded, these latter authors conclude that this lower flux density could indicate a spectral turnover below 150 MHz in the observed frame (~1 GHz rest-frame). Using high-resolution imaging of SDSSJ08 from the European Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) Network at 5 GHz and simultaneous VLA observation, Frey et al. (2005) were able to demonstrate the compactness of the source down to an accuracy of 2 mas, thereby showing that the radio emission is concentrated within the central 40 pc of the AGN.

### 5. Confirmation of a sub-GHz spectral flattening with LOFAR and ASKAP

Within 6 months of the eROSITA observations, the entire eFEDS field was observed with LOFAR 145 MHz and ASKAP

---

\(^{3}\) Gaia (Gaia Collaboration 2018), Pan-STARRS1 (Chambers et al. 2016), HSC SSP, LS8. It has also been detected in NIR and mid-infrared (MIR) surveys: United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS, Lawrence et al. 2007), Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope (VISTA) Kilo-degree Infrared Galaxy Survey (VIKING Kuijken et al. 2019), and WISE (AllWISE data release, Wright et al. 2010; Cutri et al. 2013).

---

**Fig. 6.** X-ray-to-optical slope anti-correlates with the UV monochromatic luminosity at 2500 Å. SDSSJ08 (orange circle) is consistent with the best-fitting relation of Lusso et al. (2010, dashed line) and Nanni et al. (2017, red dotted line). The $z > 5.7$ X-ray-detected sources from Nanni et al. (2017), restricted to $z < 6$ and ignoring SDSSJ08, Vito et al. (2019) and Pons et al. (2020) are shown. The empty triangles denote upper limits from undetected sources (same colour code as detected sources). The grey density scale shows a sample of 2685 XMM-Newton-detected $z < 5$ SDSS quasars (Lusso & Risaliti 2016). The contours show the eFEDS normalised, sensitive area derived from synthetic power-law spectra at $z = 6$ with $\Gamma = 2$ and Galactic absorption. We note that CFHQS14 (black point) tends towards flatter $\alpha_{\text{OX}}$ values than X-ray-detected quasars at similar UV luminosities. Its $\alpha_{\text{OX}}$ was computed from the luminosity reported in Medvedev et al. (2020b).

**Table 3.** Archival radio observations of SDSSJ08.

| Telescope | Frequency [GHz] | Flux density [mJy] | Survey/Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| GMRT      | 0.150           | <6.1 (3σ)          | TGSS-ADR1\(^{(1)}\) |
| VLA       | 1.4             | 1.11 ± 0.15        | FIRST\(^{(2)}\) |
| VLA       | 1.4             | 2.1                | NVSS\(^{(3)}\), Petric et al. (2003) |
| VLA       | 1.4             | 1.75 ± 0.04        | Petric et al. (2005) |
| VLA       | 1.4             | 1.96 ± 0.31        | Frey et al. (2005) |
| EVN       | 1.6             | 1.1                | Frey et al. (2003) |
| VLA       | 5               | 0.580 ± 0.057      | Petric et al. (2003) |
| VLA       | 5               | 0.43 ± 0.06        | Frey et al. (2005) |
| EVN       | 5               | 0.34               | Frey et al. (2005) |
| MAMBO     | 250             | <2.9 (3σ)          | Petric et al. (2003) |

**Notes.**\(^{(1)}\) Intema et al. (2017), Coppejans et al. (2017),\(^{(2)}\) Becker et al. (1995), White et al. (1997).\(^{(3)}\) Due to the low resolution of NVSS (45′′), the reported flux density of 2.5 ± 0.5 in Condon et al. (1998) is contaminated by a source located 10′′ to the south of SDSSJ08. We subtracted the contribution of the contaminated source (0.44 mJy; Petric et al. 2003) from the reported value.
5.1. LOFAR 145 MHz observations

The eFEDS field was observed with LOFAR in the 120–168 frequency band (Project: LC13_029) between February and May of 2020. The LOFAR data were processed with the data reduction pipelines (PREFACToR; van Weeren et al. 2016; Williams et al. 2016; de Gasperin et al. 2019 and ddf-pipeline5; Tasse 2014a,b; Smirnov & Tasse 2015; Tasse et al. 2018) that were developed by the LOFAR Surveys Key Science Projects (Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019). Direction-independent (bandpass, instrumental delays) and direction-dependent (ionospheric, beam) effects were corrected for in the calibration. The flux scale of the LOFAR final image in the region of interest (i.e. 1.5 degree square region centred on SDSSJ08) was found to be consistent within ~15 percent with the flux scale of the TGSS-ADR1 150 MHz data (Intema et al. 2017). In this paper, we use a conservative uncertainty of 20 percent for the flux scale of the LOFAR data. For details of the data reduction, we refer to Ghirardini et al. (2021) and Hoang et al. (in prep.).

In Fig. 8 we report the detection of SDSSJ08 with LOFAR at 145 MHz. The emission peak is detected up to 14σ, where σ = 200 μJy beam−1. The flux density of SDSSJ08 at 145 MHz is 3.35 ± 0.7 mJy. In addition, our LOFAR image confirms the presence of a second fainter radio source with a flux density of 1.62 ± 0.32 mJy to the south of SDSSJ08 (i.e. with an angular separation of ~10′). This source was found with high-frequency (1.4 and 5 GHz) observations and is associated to a lower redshift galaxy (Petric et al. 2003; Frey et al. 2005). Combining our

---

4 https://github.com/lofar-astron/prefactor
5 https://github.com/mhardcastle/ddf-pipeline
measurement with the 1.4 GHz flux density (0.44 mJy) reported in Petric et al. (2003), we find that the spectrum of the source has a spectral slope of \(\sim -0.57\).

5.2. ASKAP SWAG-X 888 MHz observations

eFEDS was also observed by the ASKAP telescope as part of the SWAG-X Observatory Project (Moss et al., in prep.) almost simultaneously with the eROSITA observations. In this paper, we characterise SDSSJ08 based on the continuum-only SWAG-X data observed at 888 MHz in October 2019. This dataset comprises six ASKAP tiles for complete coverage of the eFEDS region, with 8hr integration per tile. Each tile was processed using ASKAPsoft with standard continuum settings (Whiting et al., in prep), including bandpass calibration, flagging, and self-calibration. Imaging was carried out using multi-scale, multi-frequency synthesis, resulting in average sensitivities across the full field of \(\sim 50\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\). Sources and fluxes were extracted using Selavy (Whiting & Humphreys 2012). The resolution of the image containing SDSSJ08 is 13.3 \(\times\) 12.1 arcsec, and at this resolution the two components seen with LOFAR are confused. The source extraction done as part of the pipeline processing fitted a single extended Gaussian component. A subsequent fit was performed that forced the size of the Gaussian components to be that of the PSF, and two components were fitted. These are spatially coincident with the components seen in LOFAR, and have a spectral slope of \(\sim 0.30 \pm 0.13\) and \(\sim 1.02 \pm 0.16\) in the frequency ranges below and above 1.4 GHz, respectively. The best-fitting line for all data points with an index of \(\sim -0.62 \pm 0.12\) is shown with the grey dashed line.

6. AGN space density at \(z \sim 6\)

The X-ray-selected AGNs with the highest and second-highest redshift to date are CFHQJ14 and SDSSJ08 detected by eROSITA. By 'X-ray-selected', we mean the blind, serendipitous detection of a source in a contiguous survey (in contrast to count extraction at known quasar coordinates). The extremely low expected space density of luminous X-ray sources at high redshifts requires wide surveys to reach within the epoch of re-ionisation. In the Chandra Deep Fields, COSMOS and XMM-XXL, only three X-ray-selected quasars have been identified at \(z > 5\) (Barger et al. 2003; Marchesi et al. 2016; Menzel et al. 2016), the highest redshift being \(z = 5.3\) (Capak et al. 2011). The absence of \(z > 5.5\) X-ray-selected quasars can arise from technical difficulties such as the definition of appropriate source-extraction parameters (i.e. adapted to the detection of faint sources). However, a dominant factor is the probed cosmological volume which must be large enough to sample sources beyond the knee of the XLF at higher redshifts.

The evolution of AGNs selected in X-ray surveys has been extensively studied up to \(z = 5\) (e.g. Hasinger et al. 2005; Ueda et al. 2014; Miyaji et al. 2015; Buchner et al. 2015; Georgakakis et al. 2015; Aird et al. 2015; Ananna et al. 2019). Vito et al. (2018) investigated the AGN space density in the CDF-N and CDF-S up to \(z = 6\), focusing on the log \(L_{2-10\text{keV}} / (\text{erg s}^{-1})\) < 44
regime, and particularly addressing the question of the evolution of the slope at the low-luminosity end. The highest spectroscopically confirmed redshift in their sample is \( z = 5.186 \) (Vignali et al. 2002). The detection of eFEDS J083644.0+005459 in a contiguous and flux-limited survey of near-homogeneous exposure allows us to impose constraints on the space density of X-ray-selected AGNs at \( z \sim 6 \) based on secure spectroscopic data.

In the following section, we derive a binned estimate of the XLF in the range \( 5.7 < z < 6.4 \). In a complementary step, we compute number estimates from extrapolated fits to the XLF from the literature and verify whether they are consistent with the detection of eFEDS J083644.0+005459.

6.1. Binned estimate of the XLF

In population studies, the XLF traces the space density evolution of AGNs as a function of redshift and luminosity, while accounting for the effect of obscuration; it must be constructed from a purely X-ray-selected sample.

The XLF \( \phi \) expresses the number \( N \) of objects per unit comoving volume \( V \) and X-ray luminosity \( L_X \):

\[
\phi = \frac{d^2N}{dV d \log L_X} = \frac{d\Phi}{d \log L_X}(z, \log L_X).
\]

Following Page & Carrera (2000), under the assumption that the XLF changes little in a given bin of redshift and luminosity \((\Delta z, \Delta L_X)\) one can estimate the XLF from the number \( N \) of detected sources in this bin as:

\[
\phi_{\text{est}} = \frac{N}{\int A(\log L_X, z) dz d \log L_X}.
\]

where \( A(\log L_X, z) \) is the effective area of the survey sensitive to \( L_X \) at redshift \( z \), and \( dV/dz \) is the differential comoving volume. The exact treatment of the eFEDS sensitivity is presented in Appendix A. The statistical uncertainty on \( \phi_{\text{est}} \) is given by the Poisson error on \( N \) (e.g. Gehrels 1986) normalised by the comoving sensitive volume. We compute \( \phi_{\text{est}} \) in the bin \( \Delta z = 5.7-6.4 \) and \( \Delta \log (L_X/(\text{erg s}^{-1})) = 45.5-46 \), which contains SDSSJ08. The chosen redshift range corresponds to the selection function of bright SDSS quasars (Jiang et al. 2016) and arises from colour-selection criteria. The resulting binned XLF point is shown in Fig. 10.

The XLF can be parametrised as a double power law:

\[
\phi_{\text{mod}} = \frac{K}{(L_X/L_1)^{\gamma_1} + (L_X/L_2)^{\gamma_2}},
\]

where \( K \) is the normalisation, \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) the slopes of the power-law components, and \( L_1 \) the break luminosity. This double power law is modified by a redshift evolution-term which can either be applied to the normalisation or the break luminosity (or both).

We extrapolated fitted parametric models of the XLF from various authors to redshift \( z = 6.05 \) (i.e. the central redshift of \( \Delta z \)), in order to compare \( \phi_{\text{est}} \) with the expected number density from studies at lower redshift. For the comparison with our binned estimate, we have chosen to extrapolate XLFs which were measured up to \( z < 5 \) by Ueda et al. (2014, U14), Vito et al. (2014, V14), Buchner et al. (2015, B15), Miyaji et al. (2015, M15), Aird et al. (2015, A15), and Georgakakis et al. (2015, G15). In these works, the XLF was measured from samples of soft-X-ray-selected AGNs found in Chandra\(^6\), XMM-Newton\(^7\), Swift/BAT, MAXI, ASCA, and ROSAT data. The best-fitting model from each of these works is retained here.

V14 and G15 reported that a pure density evolution (PDE) best fits their data. This model assumes an evolutionary term, parametrised as a multiplicative factor to the normalisation \( K \). U14 assumed a luminosity-dependent density evolution (LDDE). Based on previous observations of a decline in the comoving number of \( \log (L_{2-10\text{keV}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})) = 44 \) AGNs at higher redshifts (Brusa et al. 2009; Civano et al. 2011; Hiroi et al. 2012), two cut-off redshifts were introduced in the parametrisation of the evolutionary term. M15 also used LDDE, accounting for the probability distribution of photometric redshifts in addition to absorption effects. A15 introduced a flexible double power law (FDPL) as parametrisation of the XLF. This allows any parameter in Eq. (5) to evolve with redshift. This redshift dependence is modelled by polynomials of \( \log (1+z) \). The extrapolations are presented in Fig. 10. For U14, V14, M15, and G15, uncertainties are derived by sampling from the 1\( \sigma \) confidence intervals of the parameters entering the models fitted by these authors. We note that we are not accounting for the correlation between the parameters, which may result in an over-estimation of the computed uncertainties. In B15, the XLF is measured in a non-parametric approach based on the Bayesian spectral analysis of individual sources and a smoothness prior connecting bins of the XLF. The associated interval presented in Fig. 10 is obtained from a tabulated version of the non-parametric XLF, allowing intrinsic absorption to vary over \( \log N_H = 21-26 \). This function was initially derived in the \( z = 4-7 \) range within which it is constant. We have re-scaled it to the cosmological volume in the range \( z = 5.7-6.4 \). The hard luminosity cut-off displayed in Fig. 10 is due to the luminosity range on which B15 evaluated the XLF.

\(^6\) CDF-S, CDF-N, AEGIS, ECDF-S,C-COSMOS.

\(^7\) XMM-XXL, XMM-COSMOS, SXDS.
The model by A15 shown in Fig. 10 corresponds to the best fit to the unobscured sample (20 < log N_H < 22) from this work.

The error bars of φ_{est} appear only marginally consistent with the extrapolations of the functions of V14, U14, M15, and G15, while tending noticeably to higher space densities. The function by B15 shows the best agreement with our data. φ_{est} is not corrected for redshift-completeness. The 30% spectroscopic completeness of eFEDS makes this single detection a lower limit on the number of bright high-redshift quasars in the field. The 1σ Poisson uncertainty on φ_{est} indicates that expected number counts for z \sim 6 X-ray-selected AGNs based on the integration of current XLF models (for eROSITA expected counts, see Kolodzig et al. 2013) may in fact represent quite a conservative estimate of the true number of luminous, high-redshift AGNs that eROSITA will detect.

6.2. Comparison to eFEDS expected number counts

We can compare the single detection in eFEDS to the number of counts expected from extrapolated parametric XLFs (for the same type of object, in the same field). The expected number of detected AGNs in a survey for an XLF model φ_m with parameters θ can be written as:

\[ N = \int \int A(\log L_X, z) \frac{dV}{dz} \phi_m(\theta) \, dz \, d \log L_X. \]  

(6)

To account for the area sensitive to a luminosity L_X at a redshift z, we used the model presented in Appendix A. We compute N in the bin z = 5.7–6.4 and allow luminosities \( \log (L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 45.5–50 \). The lower bound \( \log (L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 45.5 \) is roughly the 1σ lower edge of the confidence interval calculated for SDSSJ08 in Sect. 3.2. These bounds are effectively probing whether or not the extrapolated XLFs are consistent with at least one detection of a quasar, which is at least as X-ray luminous as SDSSJ08, in the redshift range covered by the SDSS selection in eFEDS (given its area and sensitivity). We first perform the integration on the nominal models of U14, V14, M15, B15, A15, and G15. For B15, we use the upper and lower bounds of the non-parametric XLF, because these delimit the 90% credible interval between their constant-slope model (upper bound) and constant-value model (lower bound). The uncertainties of the expected number of AGN counts from U14, V14, M15, and G15 are derived from the 1σ errors of the parameters of the XLFs. Sampling from these, we generate 5000 counts with Eq. (6). For each integration result \( \hat{N} \), we draw a random integer from a Poisson distribution with rate \( \lambda = \hat{N} \). Similarly, we perform 5000 Poisson draws using the expected counts from the B15 lower and upper bounds and the nominal A15 model. The generated count distributions are well approximated by the log-normal distribution as can be verified using quantile-quantile plots (Waller & Turnbull 1992). The resulting count distributions are shown in Fig. 11. They are compared to the lower limit imposed by the detection of SDSSJ08 in eFEDS. The expected counts from the nominal as well as the 50th and 84.1th percentiles of the Poisson count distributions are presented in Table 4.

Except for the higher bound of the XLF of B15, the nominal parametric XLFs investigated in this paper all predict less than one count in the probed redshift–luminosity bin. Accounting for the parameter uncertainties, the count expectations computed from the extrapolated XLFs from M15, G15, and U14 are consistent with the detection of SDSSJ08 at the 84.1th percentile. The PDE model by V14 is rejected at the 88th percentile. This indicates that a shallower slope on the XLF beyond the break luminosity is favoured at z \sim 6. This result is best illustrated in Fig. 12, in which we show samples drawn from the 1σ uncertainties on the XLF models from B15, M15, G15, V14, and U14. For each of these functions, expected counts were computed with Eq. (6) over the ranges z = 5.7–6.4 and \( L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}/\text{erg s}^{-1} = 45.5–50 \). We colour-code the sampled XLFs according to the Poisson probability of detecting at least one source in this bin in eFEDS: \( 1 - P_{\text{Poisson}}(0, k_{\text{pred}}) \), where \( k_{\text{pred}} \) is the expected value obtained from Eq. (6). It clearly appears that a milder decrease in AGN space density beyond \( L_\ast \) (e.g. B15) is more consistent with our data.

7. Discussion

Here, we report the X-ray detection of SDSSJ08 at z = 5.81 in eFEDS. Medvedev et al. (2020a) reported the detection of CFHQ14 at z = 6.18 in the eROSITA all-sky survey. While both quasars were previously known from optical surveys, they were both detected as X-ray sources in ‘blind’ X-ray surveys, distinguishing them from distant quasars detected in dedicated follow-up observations (e.g. Brandt et al. 2002; Vito et al. 2019; Pons et al. 2020). As such these two objects can be considered the highest redshift X-ray-selected AGNs discovered thus far, and demonstrate the power of eROSITA to push the boundaries of our knowledge of accretion power at high redshift.

### Table 4. Source count predictions.

| XLF     | \( \hat{N} \) | Method | \( N_{50\text{-}84\text{.1th}} \) eFEDS | \( N_{84\text{.1th}} \) eFEDS |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| V14     | 0.0           | Sampled| 0                                | 0                     |
| U14     | 0.2           | Sampled| 0                                | 1                     |
| M15     | 0.4           | Sampled| 0                                | 1                     |
| G15     | 0.2           | Sampled| 0                                | 1                     |
| B15 lower | 0.2         | Nominal| 0                                | 1                     |
| B15 upper | 15.9         | Nominal| 15                               | 19                    |
| A15     | 0.0           | Nominal| 0                                | 0                     |

Notes. \( \hat{N}_{\text{eFEDS}} \) are expected source counts in eFEDS obtained from the integration of various nominal best-fitting XLF models. We also list the 50th and 84.1th percentiles of the distributions of expected Poisson counts in eFEDS (accounting for the XLF fit uncertainties). The investigated intervals are z = 5.7–6.4 and \( \log (L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 45.5–50 \). The method indicates whether the 1σ uncertainties on the XLF parameters were accounted for (sampled) or if the nominal model was used (nominal) for the derivation of the percentiles of the count distributions.
Even with the detection of this single object, we are able to set constraints on the evolution of the high-redshift XLF, given the very well-characterised selection function in the eFEDS field. We furthermore argue in this paper that SDSSJ08 has X-ray emission dominated by the X-ray corona. As a result, the X-ray luminosity should be representative of the bolometric luminosity. Extrapolating from the results in Sect. 6, we predict the number of $z > 5.7$ quasars that will be detected by eROSITA in the full-sky survey. The resulting constraints on the XLF can provide important information on the accretion power in the early Universe.

Here, we first discuss the physical nature of SDSSJ08 as inferred from our results, and thereafter the implications for AGN demographics and in particular the eROSITA all-sky survey.

### 7.1. The radio core of SDSSJ08

Bañados et al. (2015) classified SDSSJ08 as radio loud ($R = 11.9 \pm 0.3$), although close to the threshold of the definition by Kellermann et al. (1989): $R = f_{5 \text{GHz}}/f_{4400 \text{Å}} > 10$. To date, nine radio-loud $z > 5.5$ quasars have been discovered (e.g. Bañados et al. 2015; Coppejans et al. 2016), of which only three have been detected in X-rays: SDSSJ08, CFHQJ14 (Medvedev et al. 2020a,b) and PSO J030947.49+271757.3 (Belladitta et al. 2020). CFHQJ14 is also classified as a steep-spectrum radio source (Coppejans et al. 2017), while PSO J030947.49+271757.3 has a flat radio spectrum, typically observed in blazars. Besides its spectral shape, CFHQJ14 is also similar to SDSSJ08 in terms of morphology. Indeed, Frey et al. (2011) showed with VLBI images that its radio core is confined to scales $<100$ pc.

The steep radio spectral slopes of SDSSJ08 and CFHQJ14, as well as their compact morphologies are characteristic of compact steep-spectrum sources and peaked spectrum sources (i.e. GHz-peaked sources and MHz-peaked sources). The steep radio spectra exclude the possibility of a jetted AGN seen at a small inclination, i.e. relativistic beaming (Padovani & Urry 1992). While variability cannot be excluded, the spectral flattening at lower frequencies reported in this work for SDSSJ08 hints at the peaked nature of its radio spectrum. MHz-peaked sources and GHz-peaked sources are thought to be at the very beginning of their evolution into large-scale radio sources (Fanaroff-Riley I or II, Fanaroff & Riley 1974), a stage at which their jets are still contained within the $\sim 1 \text{kpc}$ of their narrow line region (e.g. Orienti 2016, and references therein). Measurements of the hot-spot separation velocities of compact sources with steep spectra and the associated kinematic age support the youth hypothesis (Giroletti & Polatidis 2009). We note here that radio spectral variability in SDSSJ08 would not necessarily contradict this scenario, as the adiabatic expansion of young jets would result in the shift of the peak towards lower frequencies (see Sect. 5.3). An alternative to the young radio source scenario is confinement through the surrounding dense interstellar medium (e.g. O’Dea 1998; O’Dea & Saikia 2020, and references therein). The turnover observed in the spectra of these objects is thought to be due to synchrotron self-absorption or free–free absorption through shocks in the dense environment surrounding the quasar (for a review see O’Dea & Saikia 2020), to name just a few. However, these mechanisms have difficulty in describing MHz-to-GHz spectra of both compact radio sources and extended structures of radio galaxies (e.g. Tingay & de Kool 2003). More recently, jet energy dissipation and a change in acceleration mechanism have been put forward as alternative explanations (Godfrey et al. 2009). Harris et al. (2019) discovered spectral curvature in a blazar using LOFAR long-baseline observations and showed how radio observations in the MHz energy range can improve estimates of source parameters such as the equipartition magnetic field.

### 7.2. Origin of the X-ray emission

For SDSSJ08, our tentative estimation of the photon index yielded $\Gamma = 2.0^{+0.49}_{-0.59}$ Medvedev et al. (2020b) performed a 20ks XMM-Newton DDT follow-up observation of CFHQJ14. Their absorbed power-law fit to CFHQJ14 yielded $\Gamma = 2.5 \pm 0.2$. The source PSO J030947.49+271757.3 was observed with Swift/XRT and its spectral analysis returned $\Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.6$, consistent with typical blazar photon indices. While the uncertainty on $\Gamma$ is too large to unambiguously demonstrate the absence (or presence) of an additional X-ray component in the spectrum of SDSSJ08 due to a potential jet contribution, the posterior distribution of the photon index clearly tends towards higher values, typical for radio loud quasars at these redshifts (Vito et al. 2019)

In summary, the eROSITA detected quasars SDSSJ08 and CFHQJ14 are both X-ray luminous and have steep radio spectra. They differ in two aspects:

(a) Their photon indices: CFHQJ14 has a well-constrained, steep $\Gamma$, while SDSSJ08 tends to a slightly flatter value, consistent with the population of X-ray-detected, radio-quiet $z > 6$ quasars presented in Vito et al. (2019).

(b) The relative strengths of their optical and X-ray emissions: unlike CFHQJ14, SDSSJ08 does not show any significant X-ray excess luminosity with respect to the bulk of the AGN population (Fig. 6).

These observations lead us to believe that the X-ray output of SDSSJ08 is dominated by classical accretion processes and is not boosted by the relativistic bulk motion of a jet (e.g. Siemiginowska et al. 2008). Its radio core bears the typical spectral signature of confined jets, which nevertheless do not appear to contribute strongly to the overall X-ray output of the quasar. With its mass of $\sim 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, SDSSJ08 lies at the high end of the $z > 5.8$ quasar mass distribution (e.g. Fig. 7 in Onoue et al. 2019). Estimating the bolometric luminosity of SDSSJ08 from $M_{1450 \lambda}$ (Runnoe et al. 2012), we find that it accretes at $\sim 0.1 L_{Edd}$. 
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**Fig. 12.** Samples drawn from the 1σ uncertainties on the XLFs from V14, U14, M15, G15, and B15, colour-coded according to their Poisson probability of supporting at least one detection in eFEDS. Flatter slopes of the XLF are preferred.
In summary, the emergent picture for SDSSJ08 is that of a relatively massive and moderately accreting black hole powering a young and expanding radio core.

Investigating the properties of a large sample of radio-loud quasars, Zhu et al. (2020) showed that steep-spectrum radio quasars follow a similar $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ relation to that of radio quiet quasars, indicating that the X-ray emission of these sources originates from the corona. Parametrising the corona–jet relation and performing model fitting, these latter authors find no evidence for a significant jet contribution to the X-ray output of steep spectrum quasars. Our findings for SDSSJ08 fit well within this picture. The coronal origin of the X-ray emission confirms that by deriving constraints on the XLF from the detection of SDSSJ08, we are truly tracing black hole accretion at high redshifts.

7.3. $z \sim 6$ quasar demographics from optical surveys

With an absolute UV magnitude of $M_{1450\text{Å}} = -27.86$, SDSSJ08 is the brightest SDSS $z > 5.7$ quasar found to date (Jiang et al. 2016). As such, it belongs to a class of extremely rare objects, given the steep decline of the quasar luminosity function (QLF) beyond the break luminosity (e.g. Shen et al. 2020). The complete sample of $5.7 < z < 6.4$ quasars found in 11240 deg$^2$ of the SDSS main survey Jiang et al. (2016) contains 29 extremely bright sources ($M_{1450\text{Å}} < -26.22$). We investigate here if the detection of SDSSJ08 is consistent with the space density of bright quasars inferred from optical surveys. We first note that the choice of the location of the eFEDS field was not driven by the presence of spectroscopically confirmed high-redshift quasars; the main motivation was the availability of a large array of complementary multi-wavelength surveys. A second observation is that SDSSJ08 was initially discovered in the main single-epoch imaging survey and not in deeper fields such as overlap regions and SDSS Stripe 82. Therefore, eFEDS is not biased towards a higher density of bright SDSS $z \sim 6$ quasars.

The colour and magnitude incompleteness of high-redshift quasar surveys are encoded in well-defined selection functions (e.g. Fan et al. 2001; Jiang et al. 2016). These selection biases are accounted for in the QLF fit. In Fig. 6, we show contours of the eFEDS normalised sensitive area. The sensitive area is a function of the net count rate (e.g. Georgakakis et al. 2008). Its dependency on $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$, i.e. $L_{2500\text{Å}}$, was computed by simulating X-ray spectra with a redshifted power law as baseline model: $\text{clumin}*\text{tabs}*\text{powerlw}$. The convolutional model $\text{clumin}$ enabled us to generate spectra for configurations of $L_{2-10\text{keV}}$ and $z$. We fixed $\Gamma = 2$, $z = 6$ and assumed a Galactic absorption of $N_H = 3 \times 10^{20}\text{cm}^{-2}$. By converting the broad-band restframe luminosities $L_{2-10\text{keV}}$ to the monochromatic $L_{2500\text{Å}}$ we were able to derive the eFEDS sensitivity for a grid of $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ and $M_{1450\text{Å}}$ using Eq. (1) (a similar procedure was applied in Appendix A). eROSITA is sensitive to $z \sim 6$ quasars which have an $\alpha_{\text{ox}}(L_{2500\text{Å}})$ within 1$\sigma$ of the $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ relation of Lusso et al. (2010) beyond $M_{1450\text{Å}} < -24$.

We can obtain the expected number of sources beyond a certain UV luminosity threshold at a given redshift by computing:

$$N(<M_{1450\text{Å}}, z + \Delta z) = \int_{z}^{z+\Delta z} \int_{-\infty}^{M_{1450\text{Å}}} \phi_{UV}(M, z) \Omega \frac{dV(z)}{dz} dM dz,$$  

(7)

where $\phi_{UV}$ is the quasar UV luminosity function, $\Omega$ is the solid angle subtended by the survey, and $\frac{dV(z)}{dz}$ is the differential comoving volume (e.g. Manti et al. 2017). From the best-fitting double power-law model for the UV luminosity function derived by Kulkarni et al. (2019), which was fitted on a sample including the high-redshift SDSS quasars of Jiang et al. (2016), we find that we expect $0.79^{+0.16}_{-0.10}$ sources at $M_{1450\text{Å}} < -26.22$ (i.e. the absolute magnitude of the faintest high-redshift quasar from the main survey) and $z \in [5.7, 6.4]$ in an eFEDS-sized field. These calculations account for the $1\sigma$ uncertainties on the best-fitting parameters of the broken power law. We note that, at $z \sim 6$, the scatter on the fit parameters is large. From the same calculation using the best-fitting nominal double power-law model of Jiang et al. (2016) we obtain approximately one expected source count in eFEDS. In order to compute the number of bright quasars that we expect to detect with eROSITA in eFEDS, we assume a fixed $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ (Lusso et al. 2010) and positive offsets therefrom (in fractions of its $1\sigma$ uncertainty). Fixing $\alpha_{\text{ox}}(L_{2500\text{Å}})$, we can derive the eFEDS sensitivity as a function of $M_{1450\text{Å}}$ and account for it in the integration of Eq. (7). A spectral slope of $\alpha = -0.3$ was assumed to convert $L_{2500\text{Å}}$ to $M_{1450\text{Å}}$. The cumulative expected integrated counts at $z = 6$ in eFEDS for the UV QLF fitted by Kulkarni et al. (2019) are shown in Fig. 13. The $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ relation from Lusso et al. (2010) has been assumed. At $M_{1450\text{Å}} < -26.22$, we can expect to detect one source assuming at least a $+0.2\sigma$ deviation from the Lusso et al. (2010) $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ scaling relation.

The detection of SDSSJ08 is therefore consistent with the findings of optical surveys and does not require a significant deviation from the $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500\text{Å}}$ relation. We note that Vito et al. (2019) found no significant evolution of $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ with redshift. A larger sample of X-ray-selected quasars will be needed to further characterise the corona-to-disc relation at high redshifts. The detection of sources such as CFHQJ14 with additional jet-driven X-ray-emission components may point to a greater diversity in terms of optical to X-ray properties.
At high redshifts, cosmic variance is another important source of uncertainty in the space density measurement of quasars (Trenti & Stiavelli 2008; Robertson 2010; Moster et al. 2011; Bhowmick et al. 2020). This is particularly true at the bright end of the QLF, because the most luminous sources are expected to populate the most massive haloes. However, the relatively large sky area covered by the eFEDS significantly reduces the effect of clustering in the large-scale structure on the expected space density of high-z quasars. Using the method of Trenti & Stiavelli (2008), which is based on mock observations of dark-matter-only simulations, we compute the cosmic variance in the redshift selection window detailed in Jiang et al. (2016) over the 140 deg$^2$ of eFEDS. A duty cycle of 0.5 was assumed. We obtain a vanishing relative cosmic variance, that is, the effect of large-scale structure is negligible compared to the Poisson noise.

7.4. eRASS:8 count prediction

We investigate how the detection of an X-ray source associated with SDSSJ08 can be used to predict number counts of high-redshift AGNs in eRASS:8. Given that eFEDS was initially designed to reach the average final depth of the all-sky survey, a first-order approach would consist in re-scaling the single detection in eFEDS to the full-extragalactic sky (i.e. eROSITA-DE+eROITA-RU; 34 100 deg$^2$, $|b| > 10^\circ$). The exact sky-area of eFEDS is: 142 deg$^2$. The area-scale factor is therefore: $\Delta S_{\text{AREA}} = 244$. Considering one detection in eFEDS at $z > 5.7$ (the lowest redshift of all spectroscopically confirmed high-redshift quasars in eFEDS), error bars on the number of expected detections in a field of eFEDS-like depth and area can be obtained by inverting the Poisson probability distribution. The lower (upper) bounds are 0.17 (1.8). Multiplying these by the scale factor, we obtain $N_{\text{scaled}} = 244^{+195}_{-192}$. We note that the prediction assumes that the SDSSJ08 is the only source in eFEDS in this redshift regime. However, the average exposure of eFEDS is near-uniformly $\sim 2.3$ ks while the eROSITA scan pattern makes the exposure of eRASS:8 non-uniform, with an average of $\sim 1.6$ ks in the equatorial region (Clerc et al. 2018). $N_{\text{scaled}}$ therefore possibly over-predicts the actual number of detectable $z > 5.7$ sources in eRASS:8.

Alternatively, using Eq. (6), we can also make predictions for eRASS:8 using XLF extrapolations which are consistent with the eFEDS detection. Kołodzig et al. (2013) followed a similar approach to compute pre-mission estimates using the LDDE XLF parametrization of Hasinger et al. (2005). We use the methodology detailed in Appendix A, accounting this time for the predicted eRASS:8 sensitivity to point sources. Clerc et al. (2018) generated sensitivity curves for eRASS:8, by computing the selection function of point sources from a simulated eROSITA sky in three exposure modes: equatorial ($\sim 1.6$ ks), intermediate ($\sim 4$ ks), and deep ($\sim 9.7$ ks). For a more conservative estimate, we select the sensitivity curve computed from the shallower equatorial simulation. We integrate the XLFs over a redshift range $z = 5.7-6.4$ and luminosity range $\log (L_{2-10 keV}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})) = 45.5-50$. Sampling from the uncertainties on the fit parameters, we integrate XLFs by M15, U14, and G15 and obtain distributions of expected source counts. We compute weighted percentiles of these distributions. The weight we ascribe to each count is the Poisson probability of detecting at least one source in the redshift-luminosity bin and in eFEDS given the sampled XLF model (see Sect. 6.2). The resulting weighted percentiles are reported in Table 5. From the extrapolated XLFs we obtain an average of 88 detections in the probed redshift–luminosity bin. The confidence intervals spanned by the 15.9th and 84.1th percentiles are larger and right-skewed because of the poor constraints on the parameters governing the shape of XLF models. This prediction is higher than the one presented in Kołodzig et al. (2013) by a factor of about three. The expected value from simple area scaling is more optimistic with 244 counts, but the error-bars obtained from the inversion of the Poisson distribution are larger than the confidence intervals from the XLF predictions. We underline the conservative nature of the XLF estimates, which is due to the following: (1) eFEDS is currently 30% redshift-complete, (2) the integration of extrapolated XLFs favours a non-detection, with the eFEDS detection only being supported at the +1σ limit, and (3) we have not accounted for regions of deeper exposure in the all-sky survey.

8. Conclusions

We report the blind detection of eFEDSU J083644.0+005459, an eROSITA X-ray source matched to the well-known quasar SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 ($z = 5.81$). The detection is robust in terms of X-ray photon counts, astrometry, and multi-wavelength counterpart association. The eROSITA flux of the source is consistent with previous X-ray observations carried out with Chandra (Brandt et al. 2002).

From GHz radio surveys, SDSS J083643.85+005453.3 is known to host a steep spectrum radio core within its central 40 pc. With the LOFAR 145 MHz and ASKAP 888 MHz observations, we confirm a spectral flattening at frequencies below 1 GHz. The shape of its radio spectrum indicates that this quasar has (possibly young) jets confined in its central region. Alternatively, the observed flattening of the spectral slope could be the spectral signature of adiabatically expanding jets. The multi-wavelength properties of the quasar are evidence against relativistic beaming or iC-CMB boosting of the X-ray emission, suggesting that it originates in the X-ray-emitting corona. We examined the constraints on the XLF implied by this detection which favour a relatively shallow slope of the XLF beyond the break luminosity at $z = 6$. The population of X-ray-luminous high-redshift quasars may therefore be larger than previously thought. From the parametric XLFs presented by G15, M15, and U14 we predict the detection of $\sim 90$ AGNs at the bright end of the XLF ($z = 5.7-6.4$ and $\log (L_{2-10 keV}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})) > 45.5$) in the eROSITA full-sky survey by the end of 2023.
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Appendix A: Accounting for eFEDS sensitivity

The sensitive area $A(L_X, z)$ is derived from the eFEDS sensitivity curve which expresses the sensitive area as a function of counts in the range $0.2-2.3\text{ keV}$. It was generated with the eSASS task APETOOL (for more details on the sensitivity determination please see Georgakakis et al. 2008).

In Eq. (4), we integrate over redshifts and luminosity. In order to convert a given redshift–luminosity in soft band counts, we simulated X-ray spectra with XSPEC using a model $clumin*tbabs*zpowerlw$. This model corresponds to a redshifted power law with Galactic absorption. We have frozen the photon-index to $\Gamma = 2$, a value which is consistent with results from spectral analysis carried out at $z > 5.7$ (Nanni et al. 2017; Vito et al. 2019). The Galactic column density was fixed to the values: $3 \times 10^{20}\text{ cm}^{-2}$. The convolution model $clumin$ was used to fix the values of redshift and luminosity for a given $z - L_X$ configuration. ARF and RMF files for a standard eFEDS source were used. We have generated a grid of spectra over the ranges $z = 4-8$ and $\log(L_{2-10\text{ keV}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})) = 44-47$. For each spectrum, the count-rate in the range $0.2-2.3\text{ keV}$, was computed and the normalised area sensitivity was evaluated with the APETOOL sensitivity curve. This sensitivity grid is shown in Fig. 1. The synthetic $(L_{2-10\text{ keV}}, z, A(L_{2-10\text{ keV}}, z))$ data display a sharp break and are distributed as a multivariate sigmoid. We fitted $A(L_{2-10\text{ keV}}, \log(1 + z))$ using logistic regression. We note that we fit in $\log(1 + z)$ and not $z$ in order to capture the evolution of the flux limit with $\sim L/(1 + z)^4$. The regression was implemented with a generalised linear model (GLM, e.g. Nelder & Wedderburn 1972) using the statsmodels Python library (Seabold & Perktold 2010). A GLM is a regression model for which the probability density function of the outcome variable can be specified. In the case of $A(L_{2-10\text{ keV}}, \log(1 + z))$, we select the binomial distribution with $\text{logit}$ as link function. The resulting fitted surface is shown in Fig. A.1 This function can be used in the integral of Eq. (4).