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Abstract

Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids are defined as a natural generalization of Poisson–Nijenhuis algebroids, in the case where there exists a Nijenhuis operator on a Jacobi algebroid which is compatible with it. We study modular classes of Jacobi and Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids.
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1. Introduction

It is well known that the cotangent bundle $T^*M$ of any Poisson manifold $M$ admits a Lie algebroid structure and the pair $(TM, T^*M)$ is a Lie bialgebroid over $M$. As a kind of reciprocal result, any Lie bialgebroid $(A, A^*)$ induces a Poisson structure on its base manifold. A special kind of Lie bialgebroid is the triangular Lie bialgebroid. This is a Lie algebroid $(A, P)$ equipped with an $A$-bivector field $P$ such that $[P, P] = 0$. The $A$-bivector field $P$ induces a Lie algebroid structure on the dual vector bundle $A^*$ so that the pair $(A, A^*)$ is a Lie bialgebroid. Triangular bialgebroids are also called Lie algebroids with a Poisson structure.

If one moves from the Poisson to the Jacobi framework, these statements are not true. In fact, if $M$ is a Jacobi manifold, its cotangent bundle $T^*M$ is not, in general, a Lie algebroid. In order to associate a Lie algebroid with a Jacobi manifold, one has to consider the 1-jet bundle $T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$. However, if we take the dual vector bundle $TM \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ endowed with its natural Lie algebroid structure, the pair $(TM \times \mathbb{R}, T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ is not a Lie bialgebroid. Motivated by this, Iglesias and Marrero [7] and Grabowski and Marmo [3] introduced the concepts of Jacobi algebroid, i.e., a Lie algebroid $A$ with a 1-cocycle $\phi_0$, and of Jacobi bialgebroid, i.e., a pair $((A, \phi_0), (A^*, X_0))$ of Jacobi algebroids in duality satisfying a compatibility condition. Jacobi bialgebroids admit Lie bialgebroids as particular cases and are well adapted to the Jacobi context since every Jacobi manifold $(M, \Lambda, E)$ has an associated Jacobi bialgebroid $((TM \times \mathbb{R}, (0, 1)), (T^*M \times \mathbb{R}, (-E, 0)))$. Imitating the Poisson case, Iglesias and Marrero introduced in [7] the notion of a triangular Jacobi bialgebroid, as follows. If $(A, \phi_0)$ is a Jacobi algebroid and $P$ is a Jacobi bivector field, i.e., an $A$-bivector field such that $[P, P]^{\phi_0} = 0$, then

$$[P, P]^{\phi_0} = 0.$$
then there exists a Lie algebroid structure on $A^*$ with a 1-cocycle such that the pair of Jacobi algebroids in duality is a Jacobi bialgebroid. As it happens in the Poisson case, the base manifold of any Jacobi bialgebroid inherits a Jacobi structure.

On the other hand, Poisson–Nijenhuis structures on Lie algebroids, i.e., Poisson–Nijenhuis algebroids, were introduced by Grabowski and Urbanski in [6], as Lie algebroids equipped with a Poisson structure and a Nijenhuis operator fulfilling some compatibility conditions. In the first part of this paper, we extend this concept to the Jacobi framework and we study Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids.

The other main goal of this paper is to study modular classes, including modular classes of Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids. The modular class of a Poisson manifold was defined by Weinstein in [19], as an analogue in Poisson geometry of the modular automorphism group of a von Neumann algebra. In [2], Evens, Lu and Weinstein introduced the notion of the modular class of a Lie algebroid $A$ over $M$, using a representation of $A$ on the line bundle $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{top}}(A) \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$. For the case of the cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*M$ of a Poisson manifold $M$, they showed that its modular class is twice the modular class of $M$, in the sense of [19]. Modular classes of triangular Lie bialgebroids were studied in [11], from the point of view of generating operators for Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras.

Regarding the Jacobi context, the first work on modular classes is due to Vaisman, who introduced in [18] the concept of the modular class of a Jacobi manifold. Then, in [8], modular classes of the triangular Jacobi bialgebroids were studied.

In the second part of this paper, we consider a Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0)$ and we define, using the 1-cocycle $\phi_0$, a new representation of $A$ on the line bundle $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{top}}(A) \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$, which leads to the definition of the modular class of a Jacobi algebroid.

Modular classes of Poisson–Nijenhuis algebroids were defined in [1]. Inspired in [1], we define the modular class of a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid. We obtain a hierarchy of vector fields on the Jacobi algebroid that covers a hierarchy of Jacobi structures on the base.

The paper is divided into five sections. Section 2 is devoted to Jacobi algebroids. We recall how to obtain a Lie algebroid structure on $A \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ from a Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0)$ over $M$ [3, 7]. The notion of compatibility of two Jacobi bivectors on a Jacobi algebroid is introduced, and we prove that these Jacobi bivectors cover two compatible Jacobi structures on the base manifold. In section 3, we define Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid and we show that a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid defines a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi bivectors on the Jacobi algebroid and a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi structures on the base manifold. Moreover, the dual vector bundle also inherits a hierarchy of Jacobi algebroid structures that provides the existence of a family of triangular Jacobi bialgebroids. As a particular case of this construction, we recover the notion of strong (or strict) Jacobi–Nijenhuis manifold [9, 17]. In section 4, we introduce the notion of the modular class of a Jacobi algebroid and we discuss the relation between the modular class of a Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0)$ over $M$ and the modular class of the Lie algebroid $A \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Relations between modular forms of $A^*$ and $A^* \times \mathbb{R}$, in the triangular case, as well as duality between modular classes of $A$ and $A^*$ are also discussed. At this point we relate our results with those obtained in [8]. In section 5, we give the definition of the modular class of a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid and we prove a result which generalizes the corresponding one of [1]: there exists a hierarchy of $A$-vector fields that defines two hierarchies of vector fields, one on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and another on $M$. These hierarchies determine a family of Jacobi structures on the manifold $M$.

Notation and conventions: Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebroid over $M$. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}^k(A)$ (resp. $\Omega^k(A)$) the $C^\infty(M)$-module of $A$-k-vector fields (resp. $A$-k-forms), by $\mathfrak{X}(A) = \oplus_k \mathfrak{X}^k(A)$ (resp. $\Omega(A) = \oplus_k \Omega^k(A)$) the corresponding Gerstenhaber algebra of $A$-multivector
fields (resp. $A$-forms) and by $\mathcal{X}^{\top}(A)$ the top-degree sections of $A$. The De Rham differential is denoted by $d$ while $d$ stands for the Lie algebroid differential.

Regarding the conventions of sign for the Schouten bracket and for the interior product by a multivector field, we use the same conventions of [3, 11], which are different from those of [7, 8].

2. Jacobi algebroids

We begin by recalling some well-known facts about Jacobi algebroids.

2.1. Jacobi algebroids

A Jacobi algebroid [3] or generalized Lie algebroid [7] is a pair $(A, \phi_0)$, where $A = (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid over a manifold $M$ and $\phi_0 \in \Omega^1(A)$ is a 1-cocycle in the Lie algebroid cohomology with trivial coefficients, $d\phi_0 = 0$. A Jacobi algebroid has an associated Schouten–Jacobi bracket on the graded algebra $\mathcal{X}(A)$ of multivector fields on $A$ given by

$$[P, Q]^\phi_0 = [P, Q] + (p - 1) P \wedge i_{\phi_0} Q - (-1)^{p-1}(q-1)i_{\phi_0} P \wedge Q,$$

(1)

for $P \in \mathcal{X}^p(A), Q \in \mathcal{X}^q(A)$.

This bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^\phi_0$ satisfies the following properties (in fact it is totally defined by them), with $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}^1(A), P \in \mathcal{X}^p(A), Q \in \mathcal{X}^q(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$:

$$[X, f]^\phi_0 = \rho^\phi_0(X)f,$$

(2)

$$[X, Y]^\phi_0 = [X, Y],$$

(3)

$$[P, Q]^\phi_0 = -(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[Q, P]^\phi_0,$$

(4)

$$[P, Q \wedge R]^\phi_0 = [P, Q]^\phi_0 \wedge R + (-1)^{(p-1)q} Q \wedge [P, R]^\phi_0 - (-1)^{p-1}i_{\phi_0} P \wedge Q \wedge R,$$

(5)

$$-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[P, [Q, R]^\phi_0] + (-1)^{(q-1)(p-1)}[Q, [P, R]^\phi_0] + (-1)^{(r-1)(q-1)}[R, [P, Q]^\phi_0] = 0.$$  

(6)

In property (2), $\rho^\phi_0$ is the representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}^1(A)$ on $C^\infty(M)$ given by $\rho^\phi_0(X)f = \rho(X)f + f(\phi_0, X)$.

The cohomology operator $d^\phi_0$ associated with this representation is called the $\phi_0$-differential of $A$ and is given by

$$d^\phi_0 \omega = d\omega + \phi_0 \wedge \omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega(A).$$

(7)

With the $\phi_0$-differential we can define a $\phi_0$-Lie derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_X^\phi \omega = i_X d^\phi_0 \omega + (-1)^{p-1} d^\phi_0 i_X \omega, \quad X \in \mathcal{X}^p(A), \quad \omega \in \Omega(A).$$

(8)

In [4, 7], we can find a construction which allow us to obtain a Lie algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ from a Jacobi algebroid over $M$. This construction is very useful when we speak about Jacobi algebroids, in fact it contains the essence of philosophy adopted in the proofs in this paper, so we explain it now.

Consider the natural vector bundle $\hat{A} = A \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. The sections of $\hat{A}$ may be seen as time-dependent sections of $A$ and this space is generated as a $C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$-module by the space of sections of $A$, which are simply the time-independent sections of $\hat{A}$. 
The anchor
\[ \hat{\rho}(X) = \rho(X) + \langle \phi_0, X \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A), \]
and the bracket defined by \([,\,]\) for time-independent multivectors
\[ [X, Y]_A = [X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(A), \]
define a Lie algebroid structure on \(\hat{A}\) that we call the \textit{induced Lie algebroid structure from} \(A\) by \(\phi_0\). If \(\hat{d}\) is the differential in \(\hat{A}\), from (9) we get
\[ \phi_0 = \hat{d}t, \]
which means that the 1-cocycle \(\phi_0\) can be seen as an exact 1-form on \(\hat{A}\).

Considering the gauging in \(\mathfrak{X}(A)\) defined by \(\hat{X} = e^{-(p-1)t}X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)\),
we have the following relation between the Lie bracket in \(\mathfrak{X}(\hat{A})\) and the Jacobi bracket (1):
\[ [\hat{X}, \hat{Y}]_\hat{A} = [X, Y]^\phi_0. \]

Now consider a Jacobi bivector on \(A\), i.e., a bivector \(P \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)\) such that
\[ [P, P]^\phi_0 = 0. \]
From relation (12) we deduce that \(\hat{P} = e^{-t}P\) is a Poisson bivector on \(\hat{A}\) and, consequently, it defines a Lie algebroid structure over \(M \times \mathbb{R}\) on \(\hat{A}^*\) given by
\[ [\alpha, \beta]_P = L_{\hat{P}_\beta} \alpha - L_{\hat{P}_\alpha} \beta - \hat{d} \hat{P}(\alpha, \beta), \]
\[ \hat{\rho}_\alpha(\alpha) = \hat{\rho} \circ \hat{P}^2(\alpha), \]
where \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{X}^1(\hat{A}^*)\) and \(\hat{L}\) is the Lie derivative in \(\hat{A}\). In particular, for \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A^*)\), we have
\[ [e^t \alpha, e^t \beta]_P = e^t \left( L_{\hat{P}_\beta} \alpha - L_{\hat{P}_\alpha} \beta - d^{\phi_0} P(\alpha, \beta) \right). \]
The Lie bracket
\[ [\alpha, \beta]_P = L_{\hat{P}_\beta} \alpha - L_{\hat{P}_\alpha} \beta - d^{\phi_0} P(\alpha, \beta), \]
together with the anchor
\[ \rho_* = \rho \circ P^2, \]
endows \(A^*\) with a Lie algebroid structure over \(M\).

The section on \(A, X_0 = -P^2(\phi_0)\) is a 1-cocycle of \(A^*\), and so \((A^*, X_0)\) is a Jacobi algebroid. The pair \(((A, \phi_0), (A^*, X_0))\) is a special kind of Jacobi bialgebroid called the \textit{triangular Jacobi bialgebroid} and we will denote it by \((A, \phi_0, P)\).

Recall that a \textit{Jacobi bialgebroid} (see \([3, 7]\)) is a pair of Jacobi algebroids in duality, \(((A, \phi_0), (A^*, X_0))\), such that \(d^{\phi_0}\) is a derivation of \((\mathfrak{X}(A), [,\,])\) or, equivalently, \(d^{\phi_0}\) is a derivation of \((\mathfrak{X}(A^*), [,\,]^\phi_0)\).

The relation (16) can be generalized to multisections of \(A^*\) if we consider the gauging in \(\Omega^p(A)\):
\[ \hat{\omega} = e^{\hat{d}t} \omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega^p(A). \]

**Proposition 1.** Let \(\alpha, \beta\) be multisections of \(A^*\). Then
\[ [\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}]_P = [\alpha, \beta]_P. \]
One should also note that the structure of Lie algebroid on $\hat{A}^*$ does not coincide with Lie algebroid structure induced from $A^*$ by the 1-cocycle $X_0$ (at least not in the same way it was done with $A$ and $\phi_0$). In fact, the bracket of two time-independent sections on $\hat{A}^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A)$, is given by

$$\{\alpha, \beta\}_p = e^{-t}\left(\{\alpha, \beta\}_p - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \beta + \langle \beta, X_0 \rangle \alpha\right)$$

and the anchor of $\hat{A}^*$ is defined by

$$\hat{\rho}_\ast(\alpha) = e^{-t}\left(\hat{\rho}_\ast(\alpha) + \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right).$$

Any Jacobi bialgebroid $((A, \phi_0), (A^*, X_0))$ gives to $M$ a structure of Jacobi manifold, i.e., it equips $M$ with a bivector field $P_M$ and a vector field $E_M$ satisfying

$$[P_M, P_M] = -2E_M \wedge P_M, \quad [E_M, P_M] = 0,$$

or, equivalently, it defines a Jacobi bracket on $C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\{f, g\}_M = [df, dg],$$

In particular, if $(A, \phi_0, P)$ is a triangular Jacobi bialgebroid then $(P_M, E_M)$ is defined by

$$P_M(df, dg) = \rho^2 P(df, dg) = P(\rho^* df, \rho^* dg) = P(df, dg),$$

$$E_M = \rho \circ P^*(\phi_0).$$

2.2. The triangular Jacobi bialgebroid of a Jacobi manifold

Let $(M, \Lambda, E)$ be a Jacobi manifold, i.e., a manifold equipped with a bivector $\Lambda$ and a vector field $E$ such that

$$[\Lambda, \Lambda] = -2E \wedge \Lambda, \quad [E, \Lambda] = 0.$$

The vector bundle $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ is endowed with a Lie algebroid structure over $M$ [10]. The Lie bracket and the anchor are defined by

$$[(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)]_{(\Lambda, E)} = \langle L_{\Lambda} \alpha \beta - L_{\Lambda} \beta \alpha \rangle - d(\Lambda(\alpha, \beta)) + fL_{E} \beta - gL_{E} \alpha$$

$$-i_{E}(\alpha \wedge \beta), \Lambda(\beta, \alpha) + \Lambda(\alpha, dg) - \Lambda(\beta, df) + fE(g) - gE(f))$$

and

$$\langle \hat{\Lambda}, E \rangle (\alpha, f) = \hat{\Lambda}(\alpha) + fE.$$

In this Lie algebroid the differential is given by

$$d_\ast(X, Y) = [(\Lambda, X) + kE \wedge X + \Lambda \wedge Y, -[\Lambda, Y] - (k - 1)E \wedge Y + [E, X)],$$

for $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M)$. The section $X_0 = (-E, 0)$ is a 1-cocycle of $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ and the $X_0$-differential is

$$d_\ast^{-E, 0}(X, Y) = [(\Lambda, X) + (k - 1)E \wedge X + \Lambda \wedge Y, -[\Lambda, Y] - (k - 2)E \wedge Y + [E, X]),$$

for $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M)$.

Now consider the canonical vector bundle $TM \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M$ with its structure of Lie algebroid given by the Lie bracket

$$[(X, f), (Y, g)] = [(X, f), X(g) - Y(f)).$$

1 We denote by $\rho^\circ$ the morphism $\rho^\circ : \mathfrak{X}^q(A) \to \mathfrak{X}^p(M)$, given by $\rho^\circ P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p) = P(\rho^* \alpha_1, \ldots, \rho^* \alpha_p)$, with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \in \Omega^q(M)$. Since $\rho$ is a Lie algebroid morphism, we have that $\rho^\circ = 1_{\mathfrak{X}^q(A)} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{X}^p(M)}$, with $P \in \mathfrak{X}^q(A)$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^p(M)$.
and the anchor
\[ \rho(X, f) = X. \]

The differential \( d \) of this Lie algebroid is
\[ d(\alpha, \beta) = (d\alpha, -d\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M). \]

Obviously, \( \phi_0 = (0, 1) \) is a 1-cocycle of \( TM \times \mathbb{R} \). The \( \phi_0 \)-differential is given by
\[ d^{(0,1)}(\alpha, \beta) = (d\alpha, \alpha - d\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M). \]

A Jacobi bivector on the Jacobi algebroid \( (TM \times \mathbb{R}, (0, 1)) \) is a section \((/\Lambda^1, E)\) on \( X^2(M) \oplus X^1(M)\) such that
\[ [(/\Lambda^1, E), (/\Lambda^1, E)] = 0. \tag{27} \]

Since (27) is equivalent to (25), \( (\Lambda, E) \) defines a Jacobi structure on the manifold \( M \). Moreover, \( (\Lambda, E)^2(0, 1) = (E, 0) \), where \( (\Lambda, E)^2 : T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \to TM \times \mathbb{R} \) is the vector bundle morphism defined by \( (\Lambda, E)^2(\alpha, f) = (\Lambda^2\alpha + f E, -i_{E}\alpha) \).

The Lie algebroid structure \(([, ], (\Lambda, E)), (\Lambda, E) \)) in \( T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \) coincides with the Lie algebroid structure defined by the Jacobi bivector \( (\Lambda, E) \). In fact, one can check that
\[ [(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)]_{(\Lambda, E)} = L_{(\Lambda, E)(\alpha, f)}(\beta, g) - L_{(\Lambda, E)(\beta, g)}(\alpha, f) - d^{(0,1)}((\Lambda, E)((\alpha, f), (\beta, g))) \]
and
\[ (\Lambda, E)^\sharp = \rho \circ (\Lambda, E)^2. \]

So we may conclude that the pair \(((TM \times \mathbb{R}, (0, 1)), (T^*M \times \mathbb{R}, (-E, 0)))\) is a triangular Jacobi bialgebroid [7]. Moreover, the Jacobi structure induced on the base manifold coincides with the initial one.

2.3. Compatible Jacobi bivectors

With the construction presented in section 2.1 the notion of compatible Jacobi bivectors appears naturally.

**Definition 2.** Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid. Two Jacobi bivectors \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) on \(A\) are said to be compatible if
\[ [P_1, P_2]^{\phi_0} = 0. \tag{28} \]

Due to relation (12), compatible Jacobi bivectors \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) on \(A\) are obviously associated with compatible Poisson bivectors on \( \hat{A} \), \( \hat{P}_1 = e^{-t}P_1 \) and \( \hat{P}_2 = e^{-t}P_2 \):
\[ [\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2]_{\hat{A}} = 0. \]

Moreover, they cover compatible Jacobi structures on the base manifold \(M\). Recall that two compatible Jacobi structures on a manifold \(M\) (see [16]) is a pair of Jacobi structures \((\Lambda_1, E_1)\) and \((\Lambda_2, E_2)\) such that \((\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2, E_1 + E_2)\) is also a Jacobi structure, or, equivalently, they satisfy the following two conditions:
\[ [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] = -E_1 \wedge \Lambda_2 - E_2 \wedge \Lambda_1, \quad [E_1, \Lambda_2] + [E_2, \Lambda_1] = 0. \]

**Theorem 3.** Let \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) be compatible Jacobi bivectors on a Jacobi algebroid \((A, \phi_0)\). These bivectors cover two compatible Jacobi structures on the base manifold \(M\).
Proof. By definition of the Schouten–Jacobi bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]^{\phi_0}\), the compatibility condition \([P_1, P_2]^{\phi_0} = 0\) is equivalent to
\[
[P_1, P_2] = -P_1^2(\phi_0) \wedge P_2 - P_2^2(\phi_0) \wedge P_1.
\]
(29)

On another hand, as we have mentioned, compatible Jacobi bivectors \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) are associated with the compatible Poisson tensors \(P_1 = e^{-\gamma} P_1\) and \(P_2 = e^{-\gamma} P_2\) on \(\hat{A}\). Since \(\phi_0 = \hat{d}\), compatibility between these Poisson tensors implies that
\[
\left[ \hat{P}_1^2(\phi_0), \hat{P}_2 \right]_A + \left[ \hat{P}_2^2(\phi_0), \hat{P}_1 \right]_A = 0,
\]
or, using relation (12),
\[
\left[ P_1^2(\phi_0), P_2 \right]^{\phi_0} + \left[ P_2^2(\phi_0), P_1 \right]^{\phi_0} = 0.
\]
Now note that
\[
\left[ P_1^2(\phi_0), P_2 \right]^{\phi_0} = \left[ P_1^2(\phi_0), P_2 \right] - i_{\phi_0} P_1^2(\phi_0) \wedge P_2 = \left[ P_1^2(\phi_0), P_2 \right],
\]
so, compatibility between Jacobi bivectors also implies that
\[
\left[ P_1^2(\phi_0), P_2 \right] + \left[ P_2^2(\phi_0), P_1 \right] = 0.
\]
(30)

Now, let \((P_{1M}^M = \rho^2 P_1, E_{1M}^M = \rho (P_1^1(\phi_0))\) and \((P_{2M}^M = \rho^2 P_2, E_{2M}^M = \rho (P_2^1(\phi_0)))\) be the Jacobi structures on \(M\) induced by the triangular Jacobi algebroids \((A, \phi_0, P_1)\) and \((A, \phi_0, P_2)\) (see (23) and (24)).

Since \(\rho\) is a Lie algebroid morphism, we have
\[
\left[ P_{1M}^M, P_{2M}^M \right] = [\rho^2 P_1, \rho^2 P_2] = \rho^3 [P_1, P_2] = \rho^3 (-P_1^2(\phi_0) \wedge P_2 - P_2^2(\phi_0) \wedge P_1)
\]
\[
= -\rho (P_1^1(\phi_0)) \wedge \rho^2 P_2 - \rho (P_2^1(\phi_0)) \wedge \rho^2 P_1
\]
\[
= -E_{1M}^M \wedge P_{2M}^2 - E_{2M}^M \wedge P_{1M}^2
\]
and
\[
\left[ E_{1M}^M, P_{2M}^2 \right] + \left[ E_{2M}^M, P_{1M}^2 \right] = \rho^3 ([P_1^1(\phi_0), P_2] + [P_2^1(\phi_0), P_1]) = 0.
\]

So the given Jacobi structures on \(M\) are compatible. \(\square\)

3. Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids

We begin this section exposing some well-known results about Nijenhuis operators and compatible Poisson structures on Lie algebroids.

3.1. Poisson–Nijenhuis Lie algebroids

Let \((A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)\) be a Lie algebroid over a manifold \(M\). Recall that a Nijenhuis operator is a bundle map \(N : A \to A\) (over the identity) such that the induced map on the sections (denoted by the same symbol \(N\)) has vanishing torsion:

\[
T_N(X, Y) := [NX, NY] - N[X, Y] = 0, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(A),
\]
(31)

where \([\cdot, \cdot]_N\) is defined by
\[
[X, Y]_N := [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(A).
\]

Let us set \(\rho_N := \rho \circ N\). For a Nijenhuis operator \(N\), one easily checks that the triple \(A_N = (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho_N)\) is a new Lie algebroid, and then \(N : A_N \to A\) is a Lie algebroid morphism.
Since \( N \) is a Lie algebroid morphism, its transpose gives a chain map of complexes of differential forms \( N^* : (\Omega^2(A), d_A) \rightarrow (\Omega^2(AN), d_{AN}) \). Hence, we also have a map at the level of algebroid cohomology \( N^* : H^*(A) \rightarrow H^*(AN) \).

When the Lie algebroid \( A \) is equipped with a Poisson structure \( P \) and a Nijenhuis operator \( N \) which are compatible, it is called a Poisson–Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.

The compatibility condition between \( N \) and \( P \) means that \( NP \) is a bivector field and \([ , ]_{NP} = [ , ]_P^N\),

where \([ , ]_{NP}\) is the bracket defined by the bivector field \( NP \in X^2(A) \) and \([ , ]_P^N\) is the bracket obtained from the Lie bracket \([ , ]_N\) by the Poisson bivector \( P \).

As a consequence, \( NP \) defines a new Poisson structure on \( A \), compatible with \( P \):

\[
[P, NP] = [NP, NP] = 0,
\]

and one has a commutative diagram of morphisms of Lie algebroids:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{NP}) & \xrightarrow{N^*} & (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_P) \\
\downarrow^{p^†} & & \downarrow^{p^†} \\
(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N) & \xrightarrow{N} & (A, [\cdot, \cdot])
\end{array}
\]

In fact, we have a whole hierarchy \( N^kP \ (k \in \mathbb{N}) \) of pairwise compatible Poisson structures on \( A \).

### 3.2. Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids

Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid and \( N \) a Nijenhuis operator on \( A \). The definition of the Lie algebroid structure on \( \hat{A} = A \times \mathbb{R} \) given by (9) and (10) allows us to say that \( N \) is also a Nijenhuis operator on \( \hat{A} \). So we have an additional Lie algebroid structure on \( \hat{A} \).

**Proposition 4.** The 1-form \( \phi_1 = N^*\phi_0 \) is a 1-cocycle of \( AN \). The Lie algebroid structure \( \hat{A}_N \) coincides with the Lie algebroid structure \( \hat{A} \) induced from \( AN \) by \( \phi_1 \).

**Proof.** First note that, since \( N : AN \rightarrow A \) is a Lie algebroid morphism, \( d_A \phi_1 = d_AN^*\phi_0 = N^*(d\phi_0) = 0 \), and then \( \phi_1 \) is a 1-cocycle of \( AN \). Besides, for \( X, Y \in X^1(A) \), we have \( NX, NY \in X^1(A) \),

\[
[X, Y]_{\hat{A}_N} = [NX, Y]_{\hat{A}} + [X, NY]_{\hat{A}} - N[X, Y]_{\hat{A}} = [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y] = [X, Y]_{\hat{A}}
\]

and

\[
\hat{\rho}_N(X) = \hat{\rho} \circ N(X) = \rho(NX) + \langle \phi_0, NX \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
= \rho \circ N(X) + \langle N^*\phi_0, X \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
= \hat{\rho}_N(X).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{X}(\hat{A}) \), as \( C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}) \)-module, is generated by \( \mathcal{X}(A) \), we conclude that \( \hat{A}_N \) and the Lie algebroid structure on \( \hat{A} \) induced from \( AN \) by \( \phi_1 \) are the same. \( \square \)
In fact, we have a whole sequence of Lie algebroid structures on $\tilde{A}$ given by $N^k$ or, equivalently, by the 1-cocycle of $A_{N^k}$, $\phi_k = N^k\phi_0$:

$$\tilde{A}_{N^k} = (\tilde{A}, [\ , \ ]_{N^k}, \tilde{\rho}_{N^k} = \tilde{\rho} \circ N^k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (32)$$

Now suppose $P \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$ is a Jacobi bivector, i.e., a bivector field such that $[P, P]^{\phi_0} = 0$. If $NP$ is a bivector on $A$, we can consider the bracket on $A^*$ obtained from $(A, \phi_0)$ by $NP$:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{NP} = L^N_{P,\alpha} \beta - L^N_{P,\beta} \alpha - d^\phi N P(\alpha, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A^*). \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, we can also consider the bracket on $A^*$ obtained from $(A_N, \phi_1 = N^*\phi_0)$ by the Jacobi bivector $P$:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{NP}^N = L^N_{P,\alpha} \beta - L^N_{P,\beta} \alpha - d^\phi_1 N P(\alpha, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A^*), \quad (34)$$

where $L^N_{\phi_1}$ is the $\phi_1$-Lie derivative on $A_N$.

**Definition 5.** The Jacobi bivector $P$ and the Nijenhuis operator $N$ are compatible if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $NP = PN^*;
(ii) the brackets $[,]_{NP}$ and $[,]_{NP}^N$, given by (33) and (34), respectively, coincide.

In this case, the Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0)$ is said to be a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid and is denoted by $(A, \phi_0, P, N)$.

The compatibility between $N$ and $P$ can be expressed by the vanishing of a suitable concomitant.

On a Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0)$ consider a Nijenhuis operator $N$ and a Jacobi bivector $P$ such that $NP$ is a bivector. Following [12], we define the concomitant of $P$ and $N$ as

$$C(P, N)(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]_{NP} - [\alpha, \beta]_{NP}^N, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A), \quad (35)$$

where $[,]_{NP}$ and $[,]_{NP}^N$ are the brackets on $A^*$ given by (33) and (34), respectively. We immediately see that condition (2) on definition 5 is equivalent to $C(P, N) = 0$.

A direct computation gives the following equalities, with $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A)$:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{NP} = e^t [\alpha, \beta]_{NP} - \langle \alpha, NP^2(\phi_0) \rangle \beta + \langle \beta, NP^2(\phi_0) \rangle \alpha$$

and

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{NP}^N = e^t [\alpha, \beta]_{NP}^N - \langle \alpha, P^2(\phi_1) \rangle \beta + \langle \beta, P^2(\phi_1) \rangle \alpha,$$

where $[,]_{NP}$ is the bracket on $\tilde{A}^*$ obtained from $\tilde{A}_N$ by $\tilde{P}$ and $[,]_{NP}^N$ is the bracket on $\tilde{A}^*$ obtained from $\tilde{A}$ by the bivector $NP$.

Recall that compatibility between the Poisson bivector $\tilde{P}$ and the Nijenhuis operator $N$, on the Lie algebroid $\tilde{A}$, means that $NP$ is a bivector and $\tilde{C}(\tilde{P}, N) = 0$, where $\tilde{C}(\tilde{P}, N)$ is the concomitant of $\tilde{P}$ and $N$. Observing that

$$C(P, N)(\alpha, \beta) = e^t \tilde{C}(\tilde{P}, N)(\alpha, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A),$$

and also that

$$C(P, N)(\phi_0, \alpha) = e^t \tilde{C}(\tilde{P}, N)(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A),$$

we conclude that $N$ and $P$ are compatible (on $(A, \phi_0)$) if and only if $N$ and $\tilde{P}$ are compatible (on $\tilde{A}$).

The Poisson-compatibility between $N$ and $\tilde{P}$ implies $[NP, \tilde{P}]_\lambda = 0$ (see [12]). From $[NP, P]^{\phi_0} = e^t [NP, \tilde{P}]_\lambda$, we conclude that the Jacobi-compatibility between $N$ and $P$ implies $[NP, P]^{\phi_0} = 0$. 


Proposition 6. On a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\), we have a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi bivectors.

Proof. Consider the Poisson bivector \(\tilde{P} = e^{-t}P\) on \(\hat{A}\). As we have already seen, the Jacobi-compatibility between \(N\) and \(P\) is equivalent to Poisson-compatibility between \(N\) and \(\tilde{P}\) and we have a hierarchy of compatible Poisson bivectors \(N^k \tilde{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}\), on \(\hat{A}\). This hierarchy induces a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi bivectors on \(A, N^k P\):

\[
[N^i P, N^j P]^{\phi_0} = 0, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N}).
\]  

(36)

Corollary 7. The Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\) defines a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi structures on \(M\).

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the above proposition and theorem 3.

Also, the compatibility conditions define a sequence of Lie algebroid structures on \(A^*\).

Theorem 8. Let \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\) be a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid. Then \(A^*\) has a hierarchy of Jacobi algebroid structures \((A^*, X_k)\), such that \(((A, \phi_i), (A^*, X_k)), \phi_i = N^* \phi_0\) and \(X_k = N^k X_0, i \leq k, k \in \mathbb{N}\), are triangular Jacobi bialgebroids.

Proof 5. The last proposition guarantees that \(N^i P, i \in \mathbb{N}\), is a hierarchy of compatible Jacobi bivectors.

Each one of the Poisson bivectors \(N^k \tilde{P} = e^{-t}N^k P\) defines a Lie algebroid structure on \(\hat{A}^*\),

\[
\hat{A}^*_N = (\hat{A}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{N^k \tilde{P}}, \hat{\rho}_k = \hat{\rho} \circ N^k \tilde{P}^c),
\]

and a Lie algebroid structure on \(A^*\),

\[
A^*_N = (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{N^k P}, \rho_k = \rho \circ N^k P^c),
\]

where

\[
[\alpha, \beta]_{N^k P} = e^{-t}[e^t \alpha, e^t \beta]_{N^k \tilde{P}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A).
\]

Each Lie algebroid structure \(A^*_N\) coincides with the Lie algebroid structure obtained from the Jacobi algebroid \((A, \phi_{k-i})\) by the Jacobi bivector \(N^i P, i = 1, \ldots, k\). So, the pairs \(((A, \phi_{k-i}), (A^*, X_k)), i = 1, \ldots, k\) are triangular Jacobi bialgebroids.

As in the Poisson case, \(N^*\) is a Nijenhuis operator of \(A^*\) and we have a commutative relation between duality by \(P\) and deformation along \(N^*\).

Proposition 9. Let \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\) be a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid and consider the Lie algebroid structure on \(A^*\) given by (17) and (18). The operator \(N^*\) is a Nijenhuis operator on \(A^*\).

Proof. Since relation (20) holds and \(N^*\) is a Nijenhuis operator on \(\hat{A}^*\), we have

\[
T^N_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta) = [N^* \alpha, N^* \beta]_P - N^*([N^* \alpha, \beta]_P + [\alpha, N^* \beta]_P) - N^*([\alpha, \beta]_P)
\]

\[
= e^{-t}([N^* \hat{\alpha}, N^* \hat{\beta}]_P - N^*([N^* \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}]_P + [\hat{\alpha}, N^* \hat{\beta}]_P - N^*([\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}]_P))
\]

\[
= e^{-t}T^N_{N^*}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = 0,
\]

where \(\hat{\alpha} = e^t \alpha, \hat{\beta} = e^t \beta\) and \(\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(A)\).
This way $A^*$ can be deformed by $N^*$ into $A^*_{N^*}$, and one can easily check that this is exactly the Lie algebroid $A^*_{N^*}$.

**Proposition 10.** The Lie algebroid $A^*_{N^*}$ coincides with the Lie algebroid $A^*_{N^*k}$, obtained from $A^*$ by deformation along $N^*k$.

We finish this section, showing that the definition of strong (or strict) Jacobi–Nijenhuis structure defined for Jacobi manifolds in [9, 17] can be recovered in this framework.

**Example 11.** Consider a Jacobi manifold $(M, (\Lambda_1, E))$ and the Lie algebroid $A = TM \times \mathbb{R}$ defined in section 2.2. A strong (or strict) Jacobi–Nijenhuis structure on $M$ is given by a Nijenhuis operator on $A$, $N$, compatible with $(\Lambda_1, E)$ in the following sense:

(i) $N \circ (\Lambda_1, E)^\flat = (\Lambda_1, E)^\flat \circ N^\ast$. This condition defines a new skew-symmetric bivector $\Lambda_1$ and a vector field $E_1$ such that $(\Lambda_1, E_1)^\flat = N \circ (\Lambda_1, E)^\flat$.

(ii) The concomitant of $(\Lambda_1, E)$ and $N$, $C((\Lambda_1, E), N)$ identically vanishes.

The concomitant $C((\Lambda_1, E), N)$ is given in [9, 17] by

$$C((\Lambda_1, E), N)((\alpha, f), (\beta, g)) = [(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)]_{(\Lambda_1, E)} - [N^\ast(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)]_{(\Lambda_1, E)} - [N^\ast(\beta, g), (\alpha, f)]_{(\Lambda_1, E)}.$$ 

for $(\alpha, f), (\beta, g) \in \Omega^1(M) \oplus C^\infty(M)$, where the brackets $[\ , ]_{(\Lambda_1, E)}$ and $[\ , ]_{(\Lambda_1, E)}$ are defined in (26).

The concomitant can be rewritten as

$$C((\Lambda_1, E), N)((\alpha, f), (\beta, g)) = [(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)]_{(\Lambda_1, E)} - [\eta \otimes f, \mu]_{(\Lambda_1, E)}$$

and we obtain the symmetric of (35).

We conclude that a strong Jacobi–Nijenhuis structure is a pair of compatible Nijenhuis and Jacobi structures in the sense of definition 5.

4. Modular classes of Jacobi algebroids

4.1. Modular class of a Lie algebroid

Let $(A, [\ , ]_\rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over the manifold $M$. For simplicity, we will assume that both $M$ and $A$ are orientable, so that there exist non-vanishing sections $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^\text{top}(A)$ and $\mu \in \Omega^1\text{top}(M)$.

The modular form of the Lie algebroid $A$ with respect to $\eta \otimes \mu$ (see [2]) is the 1-form $\xi_A^{\eta \otimes \mu} \in \Omega^1(A)$, defined by

$$\{\xi_A^{\eta \otimes \mu}, X\} \eta \otimes \mu = \mathcal{L}_X \eta \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)} \mu,$$

$X \in \mathfrak{X}(A).$ (37)

This is a 1-cocycle of the Lie algebroid cohomology of $A$. If one makes a different choice of sections $\eta'$ and $\mu'$, then $\eta' \otimes \mu' = f \eta \otimes \mu$, for some non-vanishing smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. One checks easily that the modular form $\xi_A^{\eta' \otimes \mu'}$ associated with this new choice is given by

$$\xi_A^{\eta' \otimes \mu'} = \xi_A^{\eta \otimes \mu'} - d \ln |f|,$$

so that the cohomology class $[\xi_A^{\eta \otimes \mu}] \in H^1(A)$ is independent of the choice of $\eta$ and $\mu$. This cohomology class is called the modular class of the Lie algebroid $A$ and we will denote it by $\text{mod} A := [\xi_A^{\eta \otimes \mu}]$. 

4.2. Modular class of a Jacobi algebroid

Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid of rank \(n\). The Schouten–Jacobi bracket \([,]^{\phi_0}\), given by (1), allows us to define a representation of \(A\) on \(Q_A = \mathfrak{X}^1(A) \otimes \Omega^{top}(M)\).

**Proposition 12.** Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid. The bilinear map \(D^{\phi_0} : \mathfrak{X}^1(A) \otimes Q_A \to Q_A\) defined by

\[
D^{\phi_0}_X(\eta \otimes \mu) = [X, \eta]^{\phi_0} \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \rho(X)\mu,
\]

is a representation of the Lie algebroid \(A\) on \(Q_A\).

**Proof.** By definition of \([,]^{\phi_0}\), we have

\[
D^{\phi_0}_X(\eta \otimes \mu) = ([X, \eta] - (n - 1)(\phi_0, X)\eta) \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \rho(X)\mu,
\]

so \(D^{\phi_0} = D - (n - 1)\phi_0\), where \(D\) is the representation of \(A\) on \(Q_A\) considered in [2] to define the modular class of the Lie algebroid \(A\).

Obviously, for \(f \in C^\infty(M)\), \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A)\) and \(s \in \Gamma(Q_A)\), \(D^{\phi_0}\) satisfies

\[
D^{\phi_0}_f s = f D^{\phi_0}_X s,
\]

and

\[
D^{\phi_0}_X(f s) = f D^{\phi_0}_X s + (\rho(X)f)s.
\]

Moreover, since \(D\) is a representation and \(\phi_0\) a 1-cocycle of \(A\),

\[
D^{\phi_0}_X(D^{\phi_0}_Y s) - D^{\phi_0}_Y(D^{\phi_0}_X s) = D^{\phi_0}_X(DY s - (n - 1)(\phi_0, Y)s) - D^{\phi_0}_Y(DX s - (n - 1)(\phi_0, X)s)
\]

\[
= (DXD_Y - D XD_Y)s - (n - 1)(\rho(X)\phi_0(Y) - \rho(Y)\phi_0(X))s
\]

\[
= D_{[X,Y]}s - (n - 1)\phi_0([X,Y])s = D^{\phi_0}_{[X,Y]}s.
\]

We conclude that \(D^{\phi_0}\) is a representation of \(A\) on \(Q_A\). \(\square\)

**Definition 13.** The modular form of the Jacobi algebroid \((A, \phi_0)\) with respect to \(\eta \otimes \mu\) is the \(A\)-form \(\xi^{\phi_0, \eta \otimes \mu}_A\) defined by

\[
\xi^{\phi_0, \eta \otimes \mu}_A = \xi^{\eta \otimes \mu}_A - (n - 1)\phi_0.
\]

Again, the cohomology class of a modular form is independent of the section of \(Q_A\) chosen.

**Definition 14.** The modular class of the Jacobi algebroid \((A, \phi_0)\) is the cohomology class of a modular form. It will be denoted by \(\text{mod}^{\phi_0}A = [\xi^{\eta \otimes \mu}_A]\).

Obviously \(\text{mod}^{\phi_0}A = \text{mod} A\) if and only if \(\phi_0\) is exact.

4.3. Relation between the modular classes of \(A\) and \(\hat{A}\) and of \(A^*\) and \(\hat{A}^*\)

Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid of rank \(n\). In this section, we compute modular forms of \(\hat{A}\) and \(\hat{A}^*\) (in the triangular case) and we establish relations between them and the modular forms of \(A\) and \(A^*\). Let \(\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^0(A)\) and \(\mu \in \Omega^{top}(M)\), then \(\eta\) is also a \(n\)-section of \(\hat{A}\) and \(\hat{\mu} = \mu \wedge dt\) is a volume form of \(M \times \mathbb{R}\).

The Lie bracket on \(\hat{A}\) coincides with the Lie bracket on \(A\) for time-independent multivectors, so

\[
\xi^{\eta \otimes \hat{\mu}}_{\hat{A}}(X) \eta \otimes \hat{\mu} = [X, \eta]_{\hat{A}} \otimes \hat{\mu} + \eta \otimes \rho(X)\hat{\mu}
\]

\[
= [X, \eta] \otimes \hat{\mu} + \eta \otimes \rho(X)(\phi_0, X)_+ \hat{\mu}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A).
\]
is given by

\[ L_{\rho(X)}(\phi, X) \xi^\mu = \xi^\mu + dt \]

we have

\[ \xi^\alpha_{\rho(X)}(X)\eta = [X, \eta] \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes L_{\rho(X)} \mu = \xi^\alpha_{\rho(X)}(X)\eta \otimes \mu. \]

Proposition 16. The modular form of the Lie algebroid \( \mathcal{A} \) with respect to \( \bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\mu} \) is given by

\[ \xi^\alpha_{\rho(X)}(X)\bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\mu} = \left( \xi^\alpha_{\rho(X)}(X) - (n-1)\phi(\eta, X)\right) \bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\mu} = \xi^\alpha_{\rho(X)}(X)\bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\mu}. \]

Proposition 15. Let \((\mathcal{A}, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid, then

\[ [\xi_{\mathcal{A}}] = \left[ \xi^\phi_{\mu} \right] = [\xi_{\mathcal{A}}]. \]

It is clear that the cohomology considered in the previous proposition is the \( \hat{A} \)-cohomology.

In \( \hat{A} \) the 1-form \( \phi_0 \) is exact, \( \phi_0 = dt \) and, generally, this is not the case in \( A \).

Now suppose we also have a Jacobi bivector \( P \) on \((\mathcal{A}, \phi_0)\). We saw that it induces a Poisson structure on \( \hat{A} \), a Lie algebroid structure on \( \hat{A}^* \) and another one on \( A^* \). Consider \( v \in \Omega^1(A^*) \) a top section on \( A^* \) and \( \mu \) a volume form on \( M \).

Proposition 16. The modular form of the Lie algebroid \( A^* \) with respect to \( v \otimes \mu \) is given by

\[ \xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha) = e^{\xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A), \tag{41} \]

with \( \tilde{v} = e^{st} v \) and \( \tilde{\mu} = \mu \wedge dt \).

The modular form of the Jacobi algebroid \((A^*, X_0)\), where \( X_0 = -P^*(\phi_0) \), with respect to \( v \otimes \mu \) is given by

\[ \xi^X_{X^*v^\otimes\mu} = e^{\xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu} + X_0}. \tag{42} \]

Proof. By definition of modular form and relation (20), for \( \alpha \in \Omega^1(A) \), we have

\[ e^{\xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha)} = e^{\xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha)} \]

So

\[ \xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha) = e^{\xi^\alpha_{v^\otimes\mu}(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A). \]

Since \( v \) is an n-form of \( A \), we have \( \alpha \wedge i_{X_0} v = \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle v, \alpha \in \Omega^1(A) \) and using relation (21) we obtain

\[ [\alpha, v]_p = e^{-s} ([\alpha, v]_p - n\langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle v + \alpha \wedge i_{X_0} v) = e^{-s} ([\alpha, v]_p - (n-1)\langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle v) = e^{-s} [\alpha, v]_p. \]
Also, we have
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\rho},(\alpha)}\hat{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{e^{-t}\hat{\rho}(P^{\alpha})}\hat{\mu} = e^{-t}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\rho}(P^{\alpha})}\hat{\mu} + \langle \hat{\mu}, \mathcal{L}_t \hat{\rho}(P^{\alpha}) \rangle \hat{\mu} \\
= e^{-t} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\rho(P^{\alpha})} + \langle \phi_0, P^{\alpha} \rangle \right) \hat{\mu} \\
= e^{-t} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\rho(P^{\alpha})} \mu + \mathcal{L}_{\phi_0, P^{\alpha}} \hat{\mu} - \langle \phi_0, P^{\alpha} \rangle \hat{\mu} \right) \\
= e^{-t} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\rho(P^{\alpha})} \mu \wedge dt - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \mu \right) \\
= e^{-t} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\rho(P^{\alpha})} \mu \wedge dt - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \hat{\mu} \right).
\]

These relations imply that
\[
\xi_{\hat{A}^\circ}^{\phi_0}(\alpha)v \otimes \hat{\mu} = [\alpha, v]_P \otimes \hat{\mu} + v \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\rho},(\alpha)}(\mu \wedge dt) \\
= e^{-t} [\alpha, v]_P \otimes \hat{\mu} + e^{-t} v \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(P^{\alpha})}\mu \wedge dt - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \mu) \\
= e^{-t} (\xi_{\hat{A}^\circ}^{\phi_0}(\alpha) - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle) v \otimes \hat{\mu}
\]
and relation (42) follows. \(\square\)

4.4. Relation with the modular vector field of a triangular Jacobi bialgebroid

The definition of the modular class of a triangular Jacobi bialgebroid was given in [8]. In this section, we will present this definition using the approach we have chosen, relating it with the modular field of the triangular bialgebroid associated with the Jacobi bialgebroid.

Let \((\hat{A}, P, \phi_0, P)\) be the triangular Lie bialgebroid associated with the triangular Jacobi algebroid \((A, \phi, P)\) of rank \(n\) and \(v\) a section of \(\wedge^n A^*\). The modular field of the triangular Lie bialgebroid \((\hat{A}, P)\) with respect to \(\hat{v} = e^{\Omega_1} v\) (see [11]) is the section \(\hat{X}^\circ\) of \(\hat{A}\) given by
\[
\hat{X}^\circ(\alpha) = -\alpha \wedge \hat{\Omega}_P + \hat{\Omega}(e^{\Omega_1} v) \\
= -\alpha \wedge \hat{\Omega}(e^{\Omega_1}) \hat{\Omega}_P \\
= -e^{\Omega_1} \alpha \wedge (\Omega(x_0) + \hat{\Omega}) v, \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A).
\]

Comparing with the definition of \(M_{(A, \phi_0, P)}^{\nu}\), the modular vector field of the triangular Jacobi bialgebroid \((A, \phi_0, P)\) given in [8], we note that
\[
\hat{X}^\circ = e^{-t} M_{(A, \phi_0, P)}^{\nu}
\]
(44)

Since \([., .]_P\) is generated by \(\hat{\Omega}_P = \hat{\Omega}_{P} - i_P \hat{\Omega}\), we have
\[
\hat{X}^\circ(\alpha) \hat{v} = [\alpha, \hat{v}]_P + e^{-t} (i_P \hat{\Omega}) \hat{v}.
\]

Moreover (see (43)),
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\rho},(\alpha)}\hat{\mu} = e^{-t} \left( \text{div}_\mu \rho(P^{\alpha}) - \langle \alpha, X_0 \rangle \right) \hat{\mu},
\]
where \(\hat{\mu} = \mu \wedge dt, \mu \in \Omega^{op}(M)\). Using the definition of modular form of a Lie algebroid (37);
\[
\xi_{\hat{A}^\circ}^{\phi_0}(\alpha) \hat{v} \otimes \hat{\mu} = [\alpha, v]_P \hat{\mu} + \hat{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\rho},(\alpha)}\hat{\mu}
\]
and relation (41), we obtain
\[
\xi_{\hat{A}^\circ}^{\phi_0}(\alpha) = M_{(A, \phi_0, P)}^{\nu}(\alpha) - i_P \hat{\Omega} \alpha + \text{div}_\mu(\rho(P^\circ(\alpha))\nu).
\]

On the other hand, note that relation (45) implies \(\langle \hat{\Omega} f, \hat{X}^\circ \hat{v} \rangle = [\hat{\Omega} f, \hat{v}]_P, f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})\), so
\[
\hat{\rho}(\xi_{\hat{A}^\circ}^{\phi_0}) = \hat{\rho}(\hat{X}^\circ) + X^T(M \times \mathbb{R}),
\]
(47)
where $X^{T(M \times \mathbb{R})}$ is the modular vector field of the Poisson manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (endowed with the Poisson bivector induced from the triangular Lie bialgebroid $(\hat{A}, \hat{P})$).

Since the 1-form $\phi_0$ is closed, we have

$$\xi^{\nu} A^* (\phi_0) = \mathcal{M}^{\nu}_{(A, \phi_0, P)}(\phi_0) - \text{div}_\mu (\rho(X_0)),$$

so

$$\hat{\rho}(\xi^{\nu} A^*) = e^{-t} \hat{\rho}(\xi^{\nu} A^*) = e^{-t} \left( \rho(\xi^{\nu} A^*) + \left\{ \phi_0, \xi^{\nu} A^* \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right).$$

On another hand, $\hat{\rho}(\hat{X}^0) = e^{-t} (\rho(\mathcal{M}^{\nu}_{(A, \phi_0, P)}(\phi_0) + \mathcal{M}^{\nu}_{(A, \phi_0, P)}(\phi_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ and equation (47) can be rewritten as

$$\rho(\xi^{\nu} A^*) = \rho(\mathcal{M}^{\nu}_{(A, \phi_0, P)}) + e^t X^{T(M \times \mathbb{R})} + \text{div}_\mu (\rho(X_0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$ (48)

Let $(P_M, E_M)$ be the Jacobi structure on $M$ induced by the triangular Jacobi algebroid $(A, \phi_0, P)$, i.e.,

$$P_M(df, dg) = P(df, dg), \quad E_M = \rho \circ P^*(\phi_0).$$

The modular field of the Jacobi manifold $(M, P_M, E_M)$, $V^{(P_M, E_M)}$, was introduced in [18] and is defined as

$$V^{(P_M, E_M)} = e^t X^{T(M \times \mathbb{R})}.$$ (49)

So, equation (48) is equivalent to

$$\rho(\xi^{\nu} A^*) = \rho(\mathcal{M}^{\nu}_{(A, \phi_0, P)}) + V^{(P_M, E_M)} + \text{div}_\mu (\rho(X_0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$ (50)

4.5. Duality between modular classes of $A$ and $A^*$

Following the philosophy of this paper, we will find a relation between the modular classes of the Jacobi algebroids $(A, \phi_0)$ and $(A^*, X_0)$ using relations on the associated Lie bialgebroid. 

So we begin by presenting some results about duality of modular classes on Lie bialgebroids.

**Proposition 17.** Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid equipped with a Poisson bivector $P$, $(\cdot, \cdot)_P = \rho \circ P^*$ the Lie algebroid structure induced by $P$ on $A^*$ and $v$ a top section on $A^*$. For all $\alpha \in \Omega^1(A)$, we have

$$L^{\rho v}_\alpha = [\alpha, v]_P + 2i_P (d\alpha) v = \rho([\alpha, v]_P - 2\alpha \wedge d_P v).$$ (49)

**Proof.** Since $v$ is a top section of $A^*$, using Cartan’s formula, we have

$$L^{\rho v}_\alpha v = d_P (\rho v).$$

But $\alpha \wedge v = 0$ and $i_P (\alpha \wedge v) = -i_P (\rho v) + \alpha \wedge i_P v$, so $i_P (\alpha v) = \alpha \wedge i_P v$. Substituting into (49) we have

$$L^{\rho v}_\alpha v = d\alpha \wedge i_P v - \alpha \wedge d_P v.$$ (50)

Again because $v$ is a top section, we have that $i_P (d\alpha \wedge v) = 0$, so $i_P (d\alpha) v = d\alpha \wedge i_P v$ and

$$L^{\rho v}_\alpha v = i_P (d\alpha) v - \alpha \wedge d_P v.$$(50)
On the other hand, using the fact that $\partial_P = [d, i_P]$ is a generator of the Gerstenhaber algebra of $A^*$, we have

$$[\alpha, v]_P = -i_P(d\alpha)v - \alpha \wedge di_P v = \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v - 2i_P(d\alpha)v$$

or, equivalently, $[\alpha, v]_P = -\mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v - 2\alpha \wedge di_P v$.

**Proposition 18.** Let $(A, A^*, P)$ be a triangular Lie bialgebroid. Then

$$P^*\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) = -L^{P^\alpha}_\alpha(\alpha) - 2(\alpha \wedge di_P, v), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A),$$

(51)

where $\mu$ is a volume form of $M$, $\eta \in \mathcal{X}^\text{op}(A)$ and $v \in \Omega^\text{op}(A)$ such that $(v, \eta) = 1$.

**Proof.** Since $(v, \eta) = 1$, we have

$$(v, [X, \eta]) = -(\mathcal{L}_X v, \eta), \quad X \in \mathcal{X}^1(A)$$

and

$$\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(P^*\alpha) \eta = \mu = [P^*\alpha, \eta] \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha(\alpha)} \mu$$

$$= (v, [P^*\alpha, \eta]) \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha(\alpha)} \mu$$

$$= -(\mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta) \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha(\alpha)} \mu$$

$$= ([\alpha, v]_P + 2\alpha \wedge di_P, v, \eta) \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha(\alpha)} \mu$$

$$= (\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A, \alpha + 2(\alpha \wedge di_P, v)) \eta \otimes \mu.$$

So, $P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) - 2(\alpha \wedge di_P, v)$.

Now let $(A, \phi_0)$ be a Jacobi algebroid of rank $n$ and $P$ a Jacobi bivector on $A$. The pair $(\hat{A}, \hat{P})$ is a triangular Lie bialgebroid and we can use the previous proposition to relate the modular classes of $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^*$.

Consider $\eta \in \mathcal{X}^\text{op}(A)$ and $v \in \Omega^\text{op}(A)$ such that $(v, \eta) = 1$, then we have

$$P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) - 2\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta).$$

Relations (40) and (42) imply that

$$P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -e^{-i}(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) - (\alpha, X_0)) - 2\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta),$$

and, since $\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v = \alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_P(\phi_0, v) = e^{-i}(\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v - P(\phi_0, \alpha)v),$ we have

$$P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) - (\alpha, X_0) - 2\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A).$$

The previous equation is obviously equivalent to the duality equation written in [8]. It can also be rewritten as

$$P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) + (n - 2)(\alpha, X_0) - 2\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A)$$

or as

$$P^*(\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A)(\alpha) = -\xi^{\otimes \mu}_A(\alpha) + (n - 2)(\alpha, X_0) - 2\alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{P^\alpha} v, \eta), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^1(A).$$
5. Modular classes of Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids

Let \((A, \phi_0)\) be a Jacobi algebroid and \(N\) a Nijenhuis operator. Consider a Jacobi bivector \(P\) on \(A\) compatible with the Nijenhuis operator \(N\). The sections \(X_0 = -P^\sharp(\phi_0)\) and \(X_1 = -NP^\sharp(\phi_0) = -P^\sharp N^*(\phi_0)\) are 1-cocycles of the Lie algebroid \(A^*_N\).

Since \((\hat{A}, \hat{P}, N)\) is a Poisson–Nijenhuis Lie algebroid it has a modular vector field (see [1]) given by

\[
\hat{X}_{(N,P)} = \hat{\xi}_{A^*_N} - N\hat{\xi}_{A^*_N} = \hat{d}_P(\text{tr } N) = e^{-t} \hat{P}^\sharp(\text{tr } N).
\]

This \(\hat{A}\)-vector field is independent of the \(Q_{\hat{A}}\)-section considered to compute the modular vector fields \(\xi_{A^*_N}\) and \(\hat{\xi}_{A^*_N}\). So equation (41) implies

\[
\hat{X}_{(N,P)} = e^{-t}(\xi_{A^*_N} - N\xi_{A^*_N})
\]

and equation (42) implies

\[
\hat{X}_{(N,P)} = e^{-t}(\xi_{X^1 A^*_N} - N\xi_{X^0 A^*_N}),
\]

therefore

\[
\xi_{X^1 A^*_N} - N\xi_{X^0 A^*_N} = \hat{d}_P(\text{tr } N).
\]

This relation motivates the following definition.

**Definition 19.** The modular vector field of the Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\) is defined by

\[
X_{(N,P)} = \xi_{A^*_N} - N\xi_{A^*_N} = \xi_{X^1 A^*_N} - N\xi_{X^0 A^*_N}
\]

and is independent of the section of \(Q_{\hat{A}}\) chosen. Its cohomology class is called the modular class of \((A, \phi_0, P, N)\) and is denoted by \(\text{mod}(N,P) A = [X_{(N,P)}]\).

**Remark 20.** In fact, the modular class defined above is \(\text{mod}(N^* A)\), the relative modular class of the Lie algebroid morphism \(N^* : A^*_N \to A^*\) [14]. As in the Poisson case, \(\text{mod}(N)\) and \(\text{mod}(N^*)\) are related by \(P^\sharp\text{mod}(N) = -\text{mod}(N^*)\).

Following [1], if \(N\) is non-degenerated, we have a hierarchy of \(\hat{A}\)-vector fields

\[
\hat{X}^{i+j}_{(N,P)} = N^{i+j-1}\hat{X}_{(N,P)} = d_{N^i/P^j} h_j = d_{N^i/P^j} h_j,
\]

and a hierarchy of \(A\)-vector fields

\[
X^{i+j}_{(N,P)} = N^{i+j-1}X_{(N,P)} = d_{N^i/P^j} h_j = d_{N^i/P^j} h_j,
\]

where

\[
h_0 = \ln(\det N) \quad \text{and} \quad h_i = \frac{1}{i} \text{tr } N^i, \quad (i \neq 0, \ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

These hierarchies cover two hierarchies, one on \(M \times \mathbb{R}\) and another one on \(M\). The hierarchy on \(M\) is given by

\[
X^{i+j}_{M} = \rho(X^{i+j}_{(N,P)}) = -(N^j P)^\sharp_M(\text{dh}_j) = -(N^j P)^\sharp_M(\text{dh}_j)
\]
and the hierarchy on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by

\[
\dot{X}_{i+j} = \dot{\rho} (\dot{X}_{i+j}^{(N,P)}) = \dot{\rho} (\rho^{i+j-1} X_{(N,P)}^{(N,P)})
\]

\[
= e^{-t} \rho (X_{i+j}^{(N,P)})
\]

\[
= e^{-t} \left( \rho (X_{i+j}^{(N,P)}) + \{\phi_0, X_{i+j}^{(N,P)}\} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-t} \left( X_{i+j}^{(N,P)} + (d h_j, N^i P(\phi_0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-t} \left( X_{i+j}^{(N,P)} + |d h_j, E_{i}^{M}| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-t} \left( -(N^j P)^{i}_{j} (d h_i) + |d h_j, E_{i}^{M}| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right),
\]

where $(N^i P)^{i}_{j}$ is the Jacobi structure on $M$ induced by the Jacobi bivector $(A, \phi_0, N^i P)$ (see (23) and (24)).

This way we have proven the following theorem, which is a generalization to Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroids of the analogous result for Poisson–Nijenhuis Lie algebroids [1] (see [15, 13] for the Poisson–Nijenhuis manifold case).

**Theorem 21.** Let $(A, \phi_0, P)$ be a Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid with $N$ a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator compatible with $P$. Then the modular vector field $X_{(N,P)}$ is a $d N P$-coboundary and determines a hierarchy of vector fields

\[
X_{i+j}(N,P) = d N_{i} P h_j = d N_{i} P h_i,
\]

where

\[
h_0 = \ln (\det N) \quad \text{and} \quad h_i = \frac{1}{i} \text{tr} N^i, \quad (i \neq 0).
\]

This hierarchy covers a hierarchy of vector fields on $M$ given by

\[
X_{i+j} = -(N^j P)^{i}_{j} (d h_i) = -(N^j P)^{i}_{j} (d h_j)
\]

and defines a hierarchy of vector fields on the Lie algebroid $TM \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

\[
Y_{i+j} = X_{i+j} + \{d h_j, E_{i}^{M}| \frac{\partial}{\partial t},
\]

where $((N^j P)^{i}_{j})$ are the Jacobi structures on $M$ induced by the Jacobi bivectors $N^j P$ on $A$.

**Remark 22.** Some remarks should be made at this point. First, one should note that even if $N$ is degenerated the hierarchy exists but only for $i + j > 1$, i.e.,

\[
X_{i+j}(N,P) = d N_{i} P h_j = d N_{i} P h_i, \quad (0 \leq i < j, 1 < j).
\]

In case $N$ is degenerated we can always consider a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator of the form $N + \lambda I$, $\lambda$ constant, and we obtain the same algebra of commuting integrals.

It is also important to observe that although the hierarchy of vector fields on $A$ is defined by a Nijenhuis operator, we may not have a Nijenhuis operator on $M$ nor on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ that generates neither one of the covered hierarchies.

We will finish with a relation between the sequence of modular vector fields of the Jacobi–Nijenhuis algebroid and the sequence of modular vector fields of the Jacobi bialgebroid (in the sense of [8]).
First recall the relation (46):
\[ M_{\nu}(A,\phi^0,P)(\alpha) = \xi_{\nu}^{\Lambda}\partial_{\rho}(\alpha) + X_0(\alpha) + i_P d\alpha - \text{div}_{\rho}(\rho \circ P^2(\alpha)). \] (59)

Now we have
\[
M_{\nu}(A,N,P)(\alpha) - N M_{\nu}(A,\phi^0,P)(\alpha) = \xi_{\nu}^{\Lambda}\partial_{\rho}(\alpha) + X_0(\alpha) + i_P d\alpha - \text{div}_{\rho}(\rho \circ P^2(\alpha))
\]

or equivalently, since \( i_P d = i_P d_N \),
\[
M_{\nu}(A,N,P)(\alpha) - N M_{\nu}(A,\phi^0,P)(\alpha) = \langle \alpha, d_P(\text{tr}N) \rangle + i_P d\alpha - i_P d_N^* \alpha.
\]

The vector field
\[ M_{(N,P)} = M_{(A,N,P)} - N M_{(A,\phi^0,P)} \]
do not depend on the top section of \( A^* \) chosen and is related to \( X_{(N,P)} \) by
\[
\langle \alpha, M_{(N,P)} \rangle = \langle \alpha, X_{(N,P)} \rangle + i_P d\alpha - i_P d_N^* \alpha.
\] (60)

**Example 23.** Consider a Jacobi–Nijenhuis manifold \((M, (\Lambda, E), N)\). The modular class of the Jacobi manifold \((M, (\Lambda, E))\) is defined by (see [8, 18])
\[
2[V^{(\Lambda,E)}] = \text{mod}(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}) - (n + 1) [(E, 0)],
\]
so
\[
[V^{(\Lambda,E)}] - N[V^{(\Lambda,E)}] = \frac{1}{2} [d_N(\text{tr}N)] = \frac{1}{2} \text{mod}^{(N,(\Lambda,E))}(T^*M \times \mathbb{R})
\]
and we have the analogous relation as in the Poisson case.
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