Sculpting liquids with ultrathin shells
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Thin elastic films can spontaneously attach to liquid interfaces, offering a platform for tailoring their physical, chemical, and optical properties. Current understanding of the elastocapillarity of thin films is based primarily on studies of planar sheets. We show that curved shells can be used to manipulate interfaces in qualitatively different ways. We elucidate a regime where an ultrathin shell with vanishing bending rigidity imposes its own rest shape on a liquid surface, using experiment and theory. Conceptually, the pressure across the interface “inflates” the shell into its original shape. The setup is amenable to optical applications as the shell is transparent, free of wrinkles, and may be manufactured over a range of curvatures.

Capillary forces can anchor a sufficiently thin elastic solid onto a fluid interface [1–3]. Such adsorbed films offer a means to control interfaces by modifying their shape [4], mechanics [5, 6], or permeability [7], or by providing a substrate for physical or chemical patterning [8]. Crucial to such applications is an understanding of how geometric incompatibilities between a film and an interface are resolved [9–11]. Here we focus on ultrathin (~100 nm) polymer films that strongly resist in-plane stretching yet readily wrinkle, allowing them to conform to a wide range of surface topographies [12]. Such films have given a window into the rich interplay between geometry and mechanics in thin solids [5, 10], including connections to pattern formation in liquid crystals [13–15]. Current understanding in this area has been driven primarily by studies on planar sheets [16, 17]. Do thin polymer shells exhibit qualitatively different behaviors from planar sheets, or is the response dictated primarily by the difference in curvature between the film and the interface, as suggested by recent work [18, 19]? More generally, can shells offer new ways to control fluid interfaces, beyond what is possible with planar sheets?

Here we study the deformations of ultrathin axisymmetric shells on curved liquid interfaces using experiment and theory. Surprisingly, we find that over a wide range of parameters, the underlying liquid simply takes on the intrinsic shape of the shell. This behavior is distinct from that of planar films, which are inevitably deformed by a curved liquid interface [10, 20]. The ability to “sculpt” a liquid with a polymer shell offers a novel route to controlling the optical properties of an interface.

We form spherical polystyrene shells of Young’s modulus $E = 3.4$ GPa and thickness $0 < t < 631$ nm by spin coating onto optical lenses with radius of curvature $7 < R < 500$ mm [21]. A circular domain of radius $1.8 < W < 11.4$ mm is then cut and delivered to a flat air-water interface with surface tension $\gamma = 72$ mN/m. The mechanical properties of the shell are set by its stretching and bending moduli, $Y = Et$ and $B = Et^3/(12(1-\nu^2))$ respectively, and its Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.34$. Our parameters place us in the high bendability regime $\epsilon^{-1} = \gamma W^2/B > 10^3$ [10]: our films buckle under minute compression. As we will show, their ability to impose their shape on a liquid is rooted in the high cost of stretching, analogous to the rigidity of a stiff mylar balloon rather than the geometric rigidity of shells that underlies the strength of architectural domes [22, 23].

In our experiments, we capture the floating shell with a tube as drawn in Fig. 1(a), so that the interface curvature can be varied continuously by injecting air with a syringe. In the top-view images in Fig. 1(b), we observe a central wrinkled “core” that shrinks as the interfacial curvature increases. We can identify two regions with different curvatures in panels (ii) and (iii), a central core and an outer rim; the core has roughly the same size as the wrinkled region in panel (i). This distinction disappears in panel (iv), where the curvature seems uniform. In panel (v), radial wrinkles appear at the edge of the sheet, similar to those observed when a flat sheet is placed on a curved interface [10], suggesting that the liquid interface is more curved than the rest shape of the shell.

To quantify the interface shape during this process, we view a checkerboard pattern through the interface; tracking the optical distortion of the pattern allows us to deduce the height profile of the interface using a synthetic Schlieren technique [21, 24, 25]. Figure 1(c) shows the measured mean curvature $\bar{H}$ (averaged azimuthally and non-dimensionalized by $R^{-1}$) versus the fractional distance to the center, $r/W$. The data are from a range of pressures where no wrinkles are observed [panels (iii) and (iv) in Fig. 1(b)]. As we increase the pressure, the curvature in the center of the shell remains approximately constant and close to the intrinsic curvature of the shell. These observations herald the existence of a regime where the shell sculpts the fluid into its rest shape.

Model.— The rest shape of the shell is described by
an axisymmetric height function \( h(r) = r^2/(2R) \), for \( 0 \leq r \leq W \); our shells have small slope, \( W \ll R \). The shell is placed at the interface of a liquid with density \( \rho \), and a pressure drop \( P_0 \) is imposed across the interface at the edge of the shell, setting the curvature of the interface through the Laplace law.

The stresses in the radial and azimuthal directions, \( \sigma_{rr} \) and \( \sigma_{\theta\theta} \), and the height \( z \) follow the Föppl-von Kármán equations, which read in polar coordinates \([10, 21]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_r(r\sigma_{rr}) &= \sigma_{\theta\theta}, \\
\partial_r(r\sigma_{\theta\theta}) &= \sigma_{rr} + \frac{Y}{2} \left( h'^2 - z'^2 \right), \\
z''\sigma_{rr} + \frac{z'}{r}\sigma_{\theta\theta} &= P_0 + \rho gz,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration. The first equation is the in-plane force balance in the radial direction. The second equation is a compatibility condition, which highlights the role of the mismatch between the rest shape and the actual shape of the sheet as a source of stress. The third equation is the vertical force balance, where we have discarded the bending contribution. These equations must be supplemented with boundary conditions, provided at \( r = 0 \) by the smoothness of the shape, \( z'(0) = 0 \), the continuity of displacement, \( \sigma_{rr}(0) = \sigma_{\theta\theta}(0) \); and at \( r = W \) by the radial force balance, \( \sigma_{rr}(W) = \gamma \), and the convention \( z(W) = 0 \).

We use tension field theory to predict the shape of our shells \([10, 26]\): we impose that the stress field in any direction is positive or zero. A vanishing stress means that compression is released by small scale features such as wrinkles; we do not describe such features and describe instead the gross shape of the sheet through the height function \( z(r) \) \([4]\).

**Flat interface.**— We first consider the situation where no pressure drop is imposed across the interface, \( P_0 = 0 \) [Fig. 2(a)]. In this case the sheet remains flat, as \( z = 0 \) solves the vertical force balance [Eq. (3)]. Then, the solution to Eqs. (1, 2) depends only on the dimensionless confinement parameter \([10, 21]\)

\[
\alpha = \frac{YW^2}{2\gamma R^2},
\]

which compares the tension applied at the edge, \( \gamma \), to the stress that is required to flatten the shell, \( YW^2/R^2 \). There is a critical value of the confinement, \( \alpha_c = 8 \), below which the stresses remain positive over the whole sheet [Fig. 2(b), black lines].

On the contrary, above the critical value, the solution should vanish in a circular region around the center of the sheet, indicating the appearance of small-scale features [Fig. 2(c)]. Inspection of Eqs. (1, 2) shows that the stress vanishes in the same region in the two directions: \( \sigma_{rr} = 0 \) and \( \sigma_{\theta\theta} = 0 \) for \( r < W' \), so that the boundary condition at \( r = 0 \) has to be replaced by the condition \( \sigma_{rr}(W') = 0 \). Solving the force balance equations with

**FIG. 1. Sculpting a curved liquid interface.** (a) Experimental schematic. Adjusting the air pressure in the tube leads to different shell configurations. (b) Top-view images of a deformed shell with \( t = 60 \) nm, \( W = 2.1 \) nm, and \( R = 13.9 \) nm, with side-view schematics. Pressure increases from left to right: i. a flat interface recovers the behavior in Fig. 2; ii. part of the wrinkled region "inflates" to its rest curvature but a wrinkled core remains; iii. the entire wrinkled region is inflated; iv. the interface curvature matches the shell curvature; v. radial wrinkles grow from the outer edge of the shell. (c) Mean interfacial curvature \( H \) (non-dimensionalized by the intrinsic curvature of the shell \( R^{-1} \)) versus \( r/W \), for a shell with \( t = 123 \) nm, \( W = 2.2 \) mm, and \( R = 13.9 \) mm. The data span from stage iii. (bottom yellow curve) to stage iv. (top curve). The center of the shell maintains a constant curvature that is close to its intrinsic value (dashed line: \( H = R^{-1} \)).
the new boundary condition for $W' < r < W$ provides the stress field in the sheet [Fig. 2(b), red lines], and the value of $W'$:

$$
W' = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_c}{\alpha}}.
$$

We thus predict a central wrinkled region whenever $\alpha \geq \alpha_c$, having a size $W'$ that grows continuously with $\alpha$, reaching $W' = W$ in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$ [Fig. 2(d), solid line]. A similar result was obtained for a neutral scarred rim. The data fall onto Eq. (5) over 4 orders of magnitude in $\alpha$ with no free parameters. We also find good agreement at a second value of surface tension.

Curved interface.— We turn to the situation where a pressure difference is imposed across the interface. Once again there are solutions to Eqs. (1-3) with a wrinkled core or without one. If there is a wrinkled core with radius $W'$, then the hydrostatic pressure vanishes there: $z(r) = -P_0/(\rho g)$ for $0 \leq r \leq W'$, consistent with the vertical force balance (3) in the absence of stress. This sets the boundary condition at $r = W'$. In the unwrinkled portion, we integrate Eqs. (1-3) numerically using the boundary value problem solver `integrate.solve_bvp` implemented in SciPy.

Figure 3 shows the numerical results corresponding to the sheet in Fig. 1(c), which has a confinement $\alpha = 73 \gg \alpha_c$; we plot the profile of the sheet $z(r)$, its mean curvature $H(r) = [z''(r) + z'(r)/r]/2$ and the radial stress field $\sigma_{rr}(r)$ for different values of the pressure $P_0$. The top curve of Fig. 3(a) shows that at zero pressure, there is a wrinkled zone in the center and an unwrinkled rim at the edge; this is simply the flat interface case of Fig. 2. For small positive pressure, the wrinkled region “inflates” to the height $z^* = -P_0/(\rho g)$ with wrinkles persisting in the center where $z = z^*$. As in the flat case, the radial stress falls to 0 at the edge of the wrinkled region [Fig. 3(c)]. Remarkably, between the wrinkled region and the outer rim, the profile of the sheet is very close to its shape at rest: $RH \approx 1$ [Fig. 3(b)]. If the pressure is large enough, the sheet deploys completely: the wrinkles in the center are gone and the sheet is under tension everywhere. We find that the size of this “inflatable” region is close to that of the wrinkled region when the same shell is on a flat bath, so that the size of the rim on a curved interface is also given by Eq. (6). This phenomenology matches the experimental observations (Fig. 1, see [21] for a quantitative comparison). The behavior is very different at small confinement, where there would be no wrinkles on a flat interface: in this case the shell departs significantly from its rest shape on a curved interface [21].

A key quantity is the minimum pressure $P_c$ needed to inflate the shell completely. It can be estimated as $P_{grav} = \rho g \Delta h$, where $\Delta h = W^2/(2R)$ is the initial height of the shell. For the parameters in Fig. 3, we find $P_{grav} \approx 0.2 P_{Lap}$, where $P_{Lap} = 2 \gamma / R$ is the Laplace pressure required to create a liquid interface of the same curvature. This estimate is an upper bound due to the flattened rim; the pressure needed to inflate the sheet in our numerical solution is $0.16 P_{Lap}$.

In the inextensible limit $Y \to \infty$, the rim disappears and the sheet is perfectly inflated for $P_{grav} < P < P_{Lap}$. This range of pressures is shown in Fig. 4(a) as a function of the curvature of the shell; there is a wrinkled core for
FIG. 3. Numerical solution on a curved interface with $\alpha > \alpha_c$. We use $R/W = 6.3$, $\gamma/Y = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$, $W^2\rho g/Y = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\alpha = 73$). (a) Profiles of shells at different pressures. Dashed lines indicate wrinkled regions. The (partially) inflated regions nearly match the initial shape. (b) Curvature versus normalized radial position $r/W$. Dots indicate boundaries between wrinkled and smooth regions. A curvature $RH = 1$ corresponds to the initial shape of the shell. (c) Radial stress versus normalized radial position $r/W$. Green curves: Analytic solution for a flat interface ($P_0 = 0$).

$P < P_{grav}$ and a wrinkled edge for $P > P_{lap}$. For a finite stretching modulus, the range of the “inflated region” increases while the size of the inflated core shrinks [Eq. (5)]. Experiments with a flat sheet by King et al. [10] correspond to the vertical axis of Fig. 4(a) at zero curvature; there only the “wrinkled edge” region is accessible. We validate this theoretical picture by entering the inflated regime in 5 additional experiments spanning a range of curvatures and thickness, all at large confinement. Each shell inflates to its original shape: the measured curvature in the center of the shell is in agreement with the intrinsic shell curvature [Fig. 4(b)].

Discussion.— We have shown how a thin interfacial shell with vanishing bending rigidity behaves qualitatively differently than a planar film. Namely, a shell may impose its own shape on an interface over a range of pressures, offering a straightforward method to control the equilibrium shape of a fluid. One advantage of this self-inflating regime is that the deployed shape is robust to perturbations in pressure, unlike a bare liquid interface where the curvature varies continuously with the Laplace pressure. This property could be useful for optical applications, and it may be achieved with little intervention, which we demonstrate by inflating a shell using an oil droplet floating on water [21].

Although we focused on spheres, our analysis can be generalized to any axisymmetric shell. When the stretched rim is narrow, its size should depend only on the slope of the shell at the edge, $h'(W)$, since this is the sole aspect of the shape that appears explicitly in the force balance [Eqs. (1-3)]. Writing Eq. (6) using the slope $h'(W) = W/R$, we find $L \simeq 2W \sqrt{\gamma/Y/h'(W)}$. This generalization is supported by a detailed analysis of a conical shell on a curved interface [21]. Moreover, our numerical results for a cone show that the region that is wrinkled for $P_0 = 0$ corresponds to the region that inflates to its rest shape at sufficient pressure, just as it does for a spherical shell [21].

Not all axisymmetric shells inflate to their rest shape. The question of which shapes are maintained upon inflation dates back to the optimization of parachutes by Taylor [28]. Since then, closed surfaces have received the most attention [29, 30]. Recently, Gorkavyy reported a condition for an axisymmetric shell to retain its shape upon inflation [31], although these calculations are for a uniform pressure drop across the shell; the condition in the presence of a pressure gradient is as yet unknown. Whatever this condition may be, our work suggests that it is satisfied for a sphere and a cone.
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