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Abstract

Nitric oxide (NO) is a unique and nearly ubiquitous molecule that is widely utilized as a signaling molecule in cells throughout the body. NO is highly diffusible, labile, and multiply reactive, suiting it well for its role as an important regulator of a number of diverse biologic processes, including vascular tone and permeability, platelet adhesion, neurotransmission, and mitochondrial respiration. NO can protect cells against antioxidant injury, can inhibit leukocyte adhesion, and can participate in antimicrobial defense, but can also have deleterious effects, including inhibition of enzyme function, promotion of DNA damage, and activation of inflammatory processes. This molecule’s chemistry dictates its biologic activity, which can be both direct and indirect. In addition, NO has bimodal effects in a number of cells, maintaining homeostasis at low doses, and participating in pathophysiology in others. Perturbation of NO regulation is involved in the most important and prevalent disease processes in critical care units, including sepsis, acute lung injury, and multiple organ failure. Given that NO is ubiquitous, highly diffusible, and promiscuously reactive, its regulation is complex. The NO concentration, kinetics, and localization, both inside and outside the cell, are clearly crucial factors. In the present update we review a selection of studies that have yielded important information on these complex but important issues. Interpretation of these and other studies aimed at elucidating physiologic and pathophysiologic roles of NO must take this complexity into account. A full review of the role of NO in these diseases is beyond the scope of the current manuscript; the present article will focus on recent advances in understanding the complex role of NO in health and disease.

Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a unique and nearly ubiquitous molecule that is widely utilized as a signaling molecule in cells throughout the body. NO is a highly diffusible, labile, and multiply reactive free radical gas, suiting it well for its role as an important regulator of a number of diverse biologic processes, including vascular tone and permeability, platelet adhesion, neurotransmission, and mitochondrial respiration. NO can protect cells against antioxidant injury, can inhibit leukocyte adhesion, and can participate in antimicrobial defense, but can also have deleterious effects, including inhibition of enzyme function, promotion of DNA damage, and activation of inflammatory processes.

The chemistry of NO dictates its biologic activity. NO has direct effects on metal complexes and alkyl radicals – including, most importantly, guanylate cyclase, cytochromes, and lipid radicals – but also has indirect effects through nitration to form nitrotyrosine, through nitrosation to form nitrosothiols and nitrosoamines, and through combination with oxidative radicals, the most important of which is peroxynitrite (see Figure 1) [1,2]. NO acts as a second messenger by activating soluble guanylate cyclase and participating in signal transduction pathways involving cyclic GMP, but also operates independently of guanylate cyclase [2]. NO regulates the cytoplasmic redox balance and also signal transduction, either by regulating cytoplasmic and mitochondrial calcium signals or by post-translational modification of intracellular proteins. NO is a bifunctional modulator of cell death, capable of either stimulating or inhibiting apoptosis.

Given that NO is ubiquitous, highly diffusible, and promiscuously reactive, one might well ask how it is regulated with precision. The answers are complex and remain to be fully elucidated, but the NO concentration, kinetics, and localization, both inside and outside the cell, are clearly crucial factors [2]. In the present update we shall review a selection of studies that have yielded important information on these complex but important issues. Interpretation of these and other studies aimed at elucidating physiologic and pathophysiologic roles of NO must take this complexity into account.

ADMA = asymmetric dimethyl-L-arginine; BH4 = tetrahydrobiopterin; CLP = cecal ligation and puncture; eNOS = endothelial nitric oxide synthase (NOS3); IFN = interferon; IL = interleukin; iNOS = inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS2); L-NMMA = Nω-methyl-L-arginine; NF = nuclear factor; nNOS = neuronal nitric oxide synthase (NOS1); NO = nitric oxide; NOS = nitric oxide synthase; O2− = superoxide; TNF = tumor necrosis factor.
Perturbation of NO regulation is involved in the most important and prevalent disease processes in critical care units, including sepsis, acute lung injury, and multiple organ failure [3]. A full review of the role of NO in these diseases is beyond the scope of the current manuscript; the present article will focus on recent advances in understanding the complex role of NO in health and disease.

Nitric oxide synthases

NO is synthesized from L-arginine and oxygen by the enzyme nitric oxide synthase (NOS). At least three distinct isoforms of NOS are known, including neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS, NOS1), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS, NOS2), and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS, NOS3) enzymes. Whereas iNOS activity depends on transcription, eNOS and nNOS are constitutively expressed and are activated by elevated intracellular calcium; iNOS gene expression is modulated by inflammatory mediators, such as the cytokines TNFα, IFNγ, and lipopolysaccharide, which activate transcription factors such as NFκB or activator protein 1; and the iNOS isoform generates much larger quantities of NO (nanomolar range) than constitutive NOS isoforms (picomolar range) [4].

Some of the diversity of cellular responses to NO can be explained by different actions of NO at different concentration levels [2]. For example, physiological actions due to cyclic-GMP-mediated processes predominate at NO concentrations ranging from 1 to 30 nM, tissue repair processes including AKT phosphorylation (30 to 100 nM) and hypoxia inducible factor-1 stabilization (100 to 300 nM) at somewhat higher concentrations, antipathogenic and tumoricidal responses from 300 to 800 nM, and nitrosative stress at concentrations >1 μM [2]. In general, lower concentrations promote cell survival and proliferation, and higher concentrations favor cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and senescence. Interactions of NO with other reactive species can modulate its concentrations and thus change its cellular profile [2].

Another important factor contributing to the diversity of NO action is localization within the cell. For example, eNOS binding to the cell membrane anchoring protein caveolin decreases enzyme activity, whereas binding to heat shock protein 90 increases its activity [5]. In addition, different NOS enzymes localize to different portions of the cell, allowing for local action and regulation. In the heart, for example, nNOS is present in presynaptic nerves and the cardiomyocyte sarcoplasmic reticulum, eNOS is localized in caveolae of endothelial cells and in myocytes at the T-tube sarcoplasmic reticulum, and iNOS is induced in the myocyte cytoplasm [6]. NO localization allows for potential modulation of intracellular calcium concentrations through interaction with ryanodine receptors, which are also localized to T-tubules [6].

Another isoform, a mitochondrial variant of NOS, was described recently. Whether this is a distinct NOS isoform coded by mitochondrial DNA or whether it represents nNOS translocated to mitochondria with specific post-translational modifications is unclear [7]. This mitochondrial variant of NOS is bound to the mitochondrial PDZ domains of cytochrome oxidase and to complex I [5], and this localization allows the released NO to modulate mitochondrial respiration [7]. The source of mitochondrial NO also remains somewhat controversial; diffusion of cytosolic NO into the mitochondria may also contribute to mitochondrial NO concentrations. In any event, mitochondrial NO is an important regulator of mitochondrial respiration. In hypoxic conditions, mitochondrial NO could be maintained either by stimulation of NOS or from the reduction of nitrite by cytochrome oxidase [7]. In other pathologic conditions, such as sepsis, diffusion of NO produced by cytosolic iNOS may result in an increased mitochondrial NO concentration [7].

As nNOS has been increasingly studied, its importance in regulation of the circulatory system – not only by modulating neurotransmission, but also acting on its own – has become more apparent [8]. nNOS is present not only in sympathetic and parasympathetic nerve terminals, but also in cardiac myocytes, where it localizes to the sarcoplasmic reticulum and modulates calcium influx [6]. nNOS was recently shown
to decrease endotoxin-induced TNF synthesis in cultured cardiac myocytes by inhibiting L-type calcium channel-dependent ERK signaling, suggesting nNOS might modulate inflammatory signaling [9]. On the other hand, nNOS appeared to play a role in mediating impaired microcirculatory responses in sepsis. In a rat cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) model, both inhibition and deletion of nNOS restored eNOS signaling and vasodilatory responses and increased downstream capillary red blood cell velocity [10]. Studies of the role of nNOS isoforms in the pathophysiology of disease are likely to proliferate as the importance of its role, both by producing NO and also by modulating other NOS isoforms, gains recognition.

Uncoupling of endothelial nitric oxide synthase
Although eNOS exists as a homodimer that produces NO from L-arginine, under certain conditions it can become uncoupled – to become a monomer that produces not NO, but the oxidative free radical superoxide (O$_2^-$) [11]. The most prominent of these conditions is a lack of availability of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH$_4$), which usually results from its oxidation to dihydrobiopterin under conditions of oxidative stress. The resultant oxidative stress from O$_2^-$ production can further promote NOS uncoupling, a forward feedback loop that can magnify the amount of oxidative stress within the cell. Other conditions that can uncouple eNOS include relative deficiency of its substrate, arginine and the presence of an endogenous competitive NOS inhibitor, asymmetric dimethyl-L-arginine (ADMA) [11].

The pathophysiology of eNOS uncoupling suggests several possible approaches to restore eNOS functionality in clinical situations, including administration of BH$_4$, of folic acid to increase intracellular BH$_4$ levels, or of exogenous arginine. In sepsis, there was concern that arginine treatment would provide a substrate for increased NO production from iNOS, but in fact arginine has been given to patients with sepsis without worsening hemodynamics, and arginine has been proposed as a component of immunomodulatory nutritional formulations [12]. More clinical trials are needed to evaluate the potential of these therapies.

Nitrosothiols
S-nitrosoylation refers to the reaction of NO with sulfhydryl groups on critical protein cysteine residues, often the site of disulfide bonds. S-nitrosothiol species have been implicated in controlling oxygen delivery to tissues, and modulating both the function and activity of transcription factors, enzymes, and ion channels. S-nitrosoylation may also be a mechanism for localizing NO activity within the cell. Recent evidence in cardiac myocytes suggests that S-nitrosylated proteins located in the vicinity of phosphatases regulate calcium sensitivity and reuptake, modulating both systolic and diastolic function [13]. Interestingly, NOS itself can be nitrated and nitrosylated; in the case of the nNOS isoform, this decreases enzyme activity [14]. Intravascular proteins such as albumin and also cysteine 93 on the β subunit of hemoglobin can be S-nitrosylated, and this may serve to buffer excess NO, ameliorating potential toxic effects of overabundant NO release. S-nitrosylation may also allow NO to exert distant effects, and also to transport NO bioactivity in response to vasoregulatory signals in areas that may require increased blood flow. In fact, S-nitrosylated human serum albumin has recently been tested as a means of NO supplementation, and has been shown to reduce lung injury and to prolong survival in a rat model of endotoxin infusion [15].

Nitrite
Nitrite has recently been shown to be a reservoir of NO in the circulation, and may in fact be the most important such reservoir. Nitrite is uniquely poised to behave as a carrier of NO bioactivity in the systemic circulation due to its relative stability and its ability to be reduced to NO. Nitrite converts to NO under acidic and ischemic conditions, ensuring NO production in situations in which oxygen-dependent NOS enzyme activities may be compromised and in areas in which increased blood flow would be desirable [16]. Nitrite reduction to NO can therefore be an important regulator of regional blood flow.

A recent set of careful studies in normal volunteers has broadened our knowledge about the kinetics, potency, and mechanisms of action of nitrite; its vasodilatory effects do not appear to be susceptible to tolerance, which sets this anion apart from the organic nitrates [17]. Accumulating evidence has suggested that nitrite infusion may represent a therapeutic alternative for diseases associated with regional hypoxia and vasoconstriction, including sickle cell disease, pulmonary hypertension, and stroke, and clinical trials are currently underway.

Nitric oxide synthase inhibition
NO overproduction has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a number of diseases, and so therapeutic strategies aimed at blocking NOS have been tested both in preclinical models and in clinical trials. Interpretation of these studies, particularly those in animal models, has been complicated by a number of factors, several of which merit discussion. The first factor is isoform specificity. Many pharmacologic NOS inhibitors are arginine analogs, and these may inhibit more than one NOS isoform. Structurally distinct NOS isoform inhibitors can overcome this limitation, but may have effects unrelated to NO synthesis. More broadly, although the different localization and kinetics of different isoforms confer a degree of specificity of function, there is always some degree of overlap. In the setting of experiments with knockout animals, one isoform may potentially take over the functions of another – a difficulty that may be mitigated, but not eliminated, by use of tissue specific promoters, conditional knockouts, or multiple knockouts. This complicates not only interpretation of experiments, but also of disease pathophysiology.
A second factor is the reactivity of NO with other radicals, particularly reactive oxygen intermediates. Many of these reactions are concentration-dependent, which means that they can and do differ in different parts of the cell [5]. For example, when local NO levels exceed \( \text{O}_2^- \) concentrations, NO tends to reduce oxidative stress. When \( \text{O}_2^- \) levels exceed NO concentrations, \( \text{O}_2^- \) reduces the amount of bioavailable NO. When local NO and \( \text{O}_2^- \) levels are roughly equimolar, however, the highly reactive and toxic radical peroxynitrite (\( \text{ONOO}^- \)) is formed, with kinetics substantially faster than dismutation of \( \text{O}_2^- \) by superoxide dismutase (see Figure 2) [18]. Peroxynitrite can rapidly oxidize the active NOS cofactor BH4 to inactive dihydrobiopterin, uncoupling eNOS. The formation of peroxynitrite when concentrations of NO and \( \text{O}_2^- \) are similar may be the explanation for some settings, prominently those in which reperfusion injury plays a role, in which both NO inhibition and NO donors appear to have beneficial effects [18], as altering NO concentration in either direction would be expected to reduce peroxynitrite levels.

A third, and to some extent related, factor is that NO action is often bimodal, depending on the concentration. In cardiac myocytes, for example, NO can have either positive or negative inotropic effects, depending on the concentration (see Figure 3) [19]. NO at low concentrations stimulates protein kinase A, increasing contractility by opening sarcolemmal calcium channels and stimulating ryanodine receptors. At high concentrations, however, actions of NO and cyclic GMP decrease contractility by hyperpolarizing the plasma membrane, decreasing calcium influx, and also by decreasing the sensitivity of troponin T to calcium [19].

Given this complexity, it becomes less surprising that NOS inhibition can have different effects in different settings, and that sometimes both NOS inhibition and NO donors can have
similar effects in the same setting. Despite this complexity, NO inhibition can provide useful insights into disease pathophysiology, and may have therapeutic potential in some settings as well.

Sepsis

NO overproduction due to activation by cytokine-inducible NOS has been implicated in the pathophysiology of microcirculatory failure and organ dysfunction in sepsis, and NOS inhibition was shown to prevent vasodilation and hypotension in several experimental models [20-22]. The nonspecific NOS inhibitor NG\(^\text{\textsuperscript{\text{3}}}\)-methyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA), however, did not improve mortality in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of patients with septic shock, although hypotension was improved [23]. Precisely why this clinical trial failed is not entirely certain, but concerns have been expressed about the dosage, patient selection, titration to maintain blood pressure, as well as the nonspecific nature of the NOS inhibitor used. Despite the lack of benefit of NOS inhibition in this large clinical trial, studies of NO in sepsis continue to yield important pathophysiologic insights – and a role for manipulation of NO in the treatment of sepsis has not yet been ruled out definitively.

One recent study suggested that nNOS plays a more important role in sepsis than previously recognized. Mice deficient in nNOS had impaired bacterial clearance and decreased survival in a CLP model of sepsis; the results were similar when wild-type mice were treated with a selective nNOS inhibitor, suggesting that nNOS may have a protective role in sepsis [24].

The role of the other constitutive NOS isoform in sepsis is also being elucidated. Nonselective NOS inhibition is known to increase both leukocyte rolling and adhesion in a rat CLP model of sepsis [25], suggesting that eNOS may also have a protective role. In a recent study, mice with cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of eNOS were protected from myocardial dysfunction associated with endotoxin infusion and also with polymicrobial bacterial challenge, an effect that appeared to be mediated by preserved myofilament sensitivity to calcium [26]. In an interesting clinical study, an eNOS polymorphism that is associated with decreased basal NO production and hypertension was associated with lower blood pressures in patients with Escherichia coli bacteremia, although this effect was not seen with Gram-positive bacteremia, and the eNOS polymorphism did not correlate with outcome in this relatively small study (147 patients) [27]. Genetic studies of this size are probably not robust enough to permit firm conclusions and the results require confirmation in other populations, but they do have the potential to generate provocative hypotheses.

Several recent studies have contributed to elucidating the role of iNOS in the pathophysiology of sepsis. In a rat model of CLP-induced sepsis, selective pharmacologic iNOS inhibition increased capillary red cell velocity and increased local skeletal muscle tissue oxygen consumption, although NOS inhibition did not decrease the number of capillaries with stopped flow [28]. In a murine CLP model, iNOS knockout mice and wild-type mice treated with a selective iNOS inhibitor showed a decrease in microvascular leakage without a significant decrease in abnormal leukocyte rolling and adhesion [29]. This discordance between adhesion of leukocytes and microvascular leakage suggested that these are regulated independently, and that increased vascular permeability in sepsis is dependent on iNOS induction, but that leukocyte activation occurs with or without iNOS. Studies such as these suggest that attenuation of the protective effects of constitutive NOS isoforms may help explain the failure of this therapy when applied in patients with septic shock, and that selective iNOS inhibition might have potential salutary effects, but the impact of such an approach remains to be elucidated by appropriately designed clinical trials.

Since NOS inhibition in septic shock is potentially a double-edged sword, scavenging excess NO has been proposed as another potential approach to counteract the effects of NO production in sepsis. This approach has the theoretical advantage of being most effective in the areas of largest NO overproduction. A chemically modified human-derived hemoglobin conjugate pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene selectively scavenges excessive NO without interfering with NOS expression or activity. Its potential to increase systemic blood pressure and to reduce vasopressor and ventilation needs without adversely affecting cardiac output, organ function, and survival provided the impetus for a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial in patients with distributive shock. Although the overall 28-day mortality was similar, a rapid increase in blood pressure and rapid withdrawal of vasopressors were observed in these patients, and survivors treated with pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene spent less time on mechanical ventilation [30]. This new approach to NO modulation may be useful in distributive shock states, but larger trials with hard outcomes will be needed.

Other studies have suggested that NOS inhibition might be used in combination with other approaches. In a porcine model of continuous infusion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, selective NOS inhibition in conjunction with oxygen free radical scavenging improved sepsis-induced microcirculatory dysfunction, hepatopancreatic dysfunction, metabolic dysfunction, and renal dysfunction [31]. The level of production of reactive oxygen intermediates by cultured endothelial cells when exposed to sera from patients with severe sepsis was recently shown to correlate with mortality [32]. Also, as noted above, oxidative stress contributes to uncoupling of eNOS, increasing formation of reactive oxygen intermediates [11]. Addressing both oxidative and nitrosative stress, by reducing formation of peroxynitrite and other toxic intermediates, might have therapeutic promise.
Cardiogenic shock
The classic hemodynamic profile for patients with cardiogenic shock consists of low cardiac output and high systemic vascular resistance, but wide variations in left ventricular ejection fraction and systemic vascular resistance in patients with cardiogenic shock suggest that pathophysiologic mechanisms of cardiogenic shock may vary among patients. About one-fifth of patients with cardiogenic shock complicating myocardial infarction in the SHOCK trial had clinical evidence of a systemic inflammatory response syndrome, marked by fever, leukocytosis and low systemic vascular resistance [33]. This observation has led to an expansion of the classic paradigm to include the possibility of the contribution of inflammatory responses to vasodilation and myocardial stunning, leading clinically to persistence of shock [34]. Activation of iNOS with production of NO and peroxynitrite was proposed as one potential mechanism [34], and so NOS inhibition was proposed as a therapeutic strategy for patients with persistent shock despite an open infarct artery.

After preliminary studies using the NOS inhibitor L-NMMA showed promising hemodynamic effects, with increased blood pressure and urine output [35], a phase III randomized trial to study L-NMMA in patients with cardiogenic shock – the Tilargin Acetate Injection Randomized International Study in Unstable AMI Patients/Cardiogenic Shock (TRIUMPH) trial – was initiated. The results of this trial have recently been published [36]. Although L-NMMA increased blood pressure significantly at 2 hours, there was no effect on either the primary outcome, the 30-day mortality, or the secondary outcomes of shock resolution and duration and 6-month mortality [36]. Whether the failure of this clinical trial represents the failure of the strategy of NOS inhibition or whether factors such as dosing, timing, and NOS inhibitor selectivity were important is not yet entirely certain.

Acute lung injury
Acute lung injury is another area in which both oxidative stress and nitrosative stress play a role. As in other fields, different isoforms are involved and play different roles. In a sheep model of combined burn injury and Pseudomonas pneumonia in which selective iNOS inhibition had previously been shown to improve gas exchange, a new study showed that selective nNOS inhibition improved pulmonary gas exchange, lung compliance, and lung edema [37]. nNOS inhibition also decreased nitrotyrosine in lung tissue, a marker of peroxynitrite formation, and, interestingly, diminished levels of iNOS protein in the lung [37]. It was speculated that nNOS was a trigger for iNOS formation in this model, but direct evidence was not provided. Additional investigations in the same model examined early nNOS inhibition combined with delayed iNOS inhibition, and found a similar improvement in pulmonary gas exchange and injury score, along with decreased inflammation, although lung edema was not decreased in this study [38]. Support for the notion that peroxynitrite is a pivotal pathogenetic mediator in lung injury was provided when a metalloporphyrinic peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst was shown to prevent IL-2-induced vascular leakage in an ovine model [39].

Interestingly – despite the fact that pathophysiologic considerations, bolstered by clinical association studies, suggest that high levels of NO, either by themselves or in combination with reactive oxygen intermediates, mediate pulmonary damage in acute lung injury – when baseline levels of urinary NO metabolites were examined in 566 patients from the multicenter ARDSNET trial comparing low tidal volumes with high tidal volumes in acute lung injury, higher urine NO was associated with better clinical outcomes, including lower mortality and more organ-failure-free days [40]. There are a number of potential explanations for this surprising finding. Urine NO may not accurately reflect pulmonary NO levels, or higher baseline NO levels may have been a marker for less severe injury. An alternative explanation, however, is that NO has beneficial effects, either in the lung or in organs other than the lung. Potential mechanisms include NO scavenging of reactive oxygen species, microcirculatory vasodilation, and decreased platelet and leukocyte adhesion to the endothelium.

Nitric oxide and diabetes
Failure of NO signaling is an important component of the pathogenesis of endothelial dysfunction in patients with diabetes, leading to accelerated atherosclerosis and vascular events. Hyperglycemia can induce overproduction of $O_2^-$, can decrease NO production, and can damage endothelial cells, suggesting a mechanism by which glucose contributes to endothelial dysfunction in diabetes [41]. Insulin resistance also plays an important role [41]. Recent studies have shown that endothelial dysfunction in diabetes may also be related to production of ADMA, by competitive inhibition of arginine binding to NOS and by uncoupling of eNOS [42]. Studies have begun to explore the role of dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase, an enzyme primarily responsible for ADMA degradation. Levels of dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase are decreased in diabetes, particularly in the kidney [43]. Strategies to enhance dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase activity may have therapeutic potential to modulate ADMA levels in this and other conditions.

Diabetes can also cause iNOS induction, with resultant nitrosative stress. A recent study in streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats showed overexpression of iNOS in the diabetic liver and kidney, suggesting a potential role for iNOS in mediating organ dysfunction [44]. iNOS may also mediate insulin resistance in inflammatory processes, impairing insulin signaling and worsening hyperglycemia.

Nitric oxide and cellular energy metabolism
NO is known to modulate mitochondrial function, generally downregulating mitochondrial energy production by reversibly inhibiting cytochrome c oxidase, the terminal
acceptor in the mitochondrial electron transport chain [45]. This can be advantageous in a phenomenon known as ischemic preconditioning, in which brief periods of ischemia are protective against subsequent episodes of sustained ischemia followed by reperfusion. A recent study showed that cardiomyocyte-specific NOS overexpression was protective against ischemia–reperfusion injury, thus bolstering the role of NO in preconditioning [46]. The mechanisms have not been completely characterized, but they appear to include NO-stimulated increases in protein kinase C, and perhaps opening of mitochondrial K\textsubscript{ATP} channels. Very high levels of NO, such as those that can occur with inflammation after ischemia/reperfusion, however, can cause opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore, with uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation, dissipation of membrane gradients, membrane swelling, and cell death. This is another example of a biphasic effect of NO, with beneficial effects at low doses and deleterious effects at high doses.

Nitric oxide donors and the microcirculation

As we have seen, explorations of the complex role of NO in health and disease have continued to highlight bimodal actions of NO, generally with protective actions at low levels and deleterious actions at higher levels. The potential for NO to interact with other reactive species is also concentration-dependent – and, perhaps most importantly, localization of NO is a crucial determinant of its effects, both within cells and in tissues and organs. This is perhaps most strikingly observed in the microvasculature, where regional heterogeneity in flow may lead to pathologic events even if the global hemodynamic parameters remain normal. In sepsis, for example, microvascular heterogeneity documented by orthogonal polarization spectral imaging was recently shown to be abnormal in septic patients, and the abnormalities were more pronounced in nonsurvivors than in survivors [51].

The potential for NO to have beneficial effects has provided the rationale for trials of administration of NO donors in various diseases. Interest has been especially keen in sepsis, in which the microcirculatory pathology plays a crucial role and where local administration of vasodilators might be expected to augment microcirculatory flow. Two recent experimental studies demonstrate how NO donors have the potential to improve splanchnic microcirculation in sepsis. In a resuscitated porcine model of Pseudomonas administration, the NO donor sodium nitroprusside increased the ileal mucosal and hepatic microcirculatory blood flows [52]. Another NO donor, SIN-1, was tested in a porcine model of endotoxemia, with use of tonometry to measure splanchnic pCO\textsubscript{2} along with porphyrin phosphorescence to assess microvascular oxygen pressures, which allowed for exploration of mechanisms of NO action [53]. The NO donor normalized mucosal oxygen tension, but improved serosal oxygen tension only when given along with fluids – suggesting that NO along with appropriate resuscitation can redistribute, and thereby improve, splanchnic microvascular perfusion [53].

In two clinical studies of sepsis patients utilizing orthogonal polarization spectral imaging, the sepsis-induced impairment of sublingual microcirculatory blood flow was reversed with topical administration of acetylcholine – suggesting that the endothelium was still NO responsive [54] – and with intravenous nitroglycerin [55]. Precisely how to deliver NO donors in the clinical setting remains an issue, as they have the potential to exacerbate hypotension in shock states. A study in a mouse model of cardiac ischemia and reperfusion showed that NO inhalation was protective, and produced an increase in the concentration of NO metabolites in the lung, the liver, the heart, and the brain [56], suggesting that inhaled NO can have a systemic effect, perhaps via nitrosothiols, nitroamine, or increased generation of nitrite.

Novel agents to rescue the microcirculation may prove to be a cutting-edge strategy to optimize tissue perfusion in shock.
NO is vital to microcirculatory homeostasis, and appears to protect microcirculatory patency when it sustains an insult. The hypothesis that inhaled NO administration will improve microcirculatory perfusion without significant impairment of systemic hemodynamics is supportable on the basis of current data, but will need to be tested in clinical trials. Our bias is that indices of microcirculatory perfusion may yield physiologic information that macrocirculatory indices cannot, and help to guide such therapy. Accordingly, we are initiating a randomized clinical trial to test the efficacy of inhaled NO in improving microcirculatory perfusion and organ failure in septic patients with persistent microcirculatory dysfunction (as assessed by sidestream darkfield imaging of the sublingual circulation) after early goal-directed resuscitation has been successfully accomplished [3]. Since it is possible that NO will improve microcirculatory perfusion without impacting organ failure, or will improve organ failure without an increase in perfusion, this trial may allow for inferences about the relative roles of microcirculatory perfusion and other potential mechanisms, such as inflammation and mitochondrial function, in the development of organ failure in sepsis. Regardless of the outcome of this trial, we look forward to further studies to translate mechanistic and therapeutic insights from animal studies into the clinical area.
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