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Age-dependent modifications of AMPA receptor subunit expression levels and related cognitive effects in 3xTg-AD mice

Pamela Cantanelli, Samantha Sperduti, Domenico Ciavardelli, Liborio Stuppia, Valentina Gatta and Stefano Luca Sensi

INTRODUCTION
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder leading to the most common form of dementia. Amyloid- and tau-dependent pathology (Goedert and Spillantini, 2006) are the main features of the disease, but impairment of glutamatergic transmission and excitotoxicity also play an important role in the pathogenic cascade that leads to synaptic dysfunction, neuronal loss, and brain atrophy (Small et al., 2001; Selkoe, 2002).

The glutamate receptor family encompasses three types of ionotropic receptors, NMDAR, amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors (AMPA), and some kainate receptors as well as metabotropic receptors (mGluRs I–III) (Traynelis et al., 2010). In the mammalian central nervous system, glutamatergic receptors selectively activated by the α-AMPA mediate the majority of fast excitatory synaptic transmission (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993). AMPARs are composed of four different subunits: GluA1, GluA2, GluA3, and GluA4. AMPAR subunits are organized in homo- or heterotetrameric complexes (Borges and Dingledine, 1998). The ordered combination of AMPAR subunits leads to the formation of distinct receptors that can differ upon functional features like ion selectivity or inward rectification as well as capability to assemble in functional complexes...
containing scaffolding proteins and signaling molecules. AMPAR subunits, although similar in structure, show distinct variations in their C-terminal domains, a property that results due to the presence of selective sites that are targets for phosphorylation or protein–protein interactions (Shepherd and Huganir, 2007). Post-transcriptional modifications of GluA1–4 pre-mRNAs, like RNA editing or alternative splicing, further modulate AMPAR functioning.

The GluA2 subunit represents a major functional switch for the receptor as its presence confers impermeability to important divalent cations like Ca\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\). The GluA2 subunit undergoes a post-transcriptional editing process at the Q/R site that promotes a glutamine to arginine substitution in the channel-forming intramembrane segment. The presence of the arginine residue in the pore of the channel inhibits the permeability to divalents (Hollmann et al., 1993; Hume et al., 1991; Sommer et al., 1991; Lomeli et al., 1994; Rosenthal and Seeburg, 2012). Most AMPARs contain the GluA2 subunit and are therefore Ca\(^{2+}\)-impermeable (CaP-AMPARs). However, significant expression of Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable AMPARs (CaP-AMPARs) occurs in several brain regions and in subsets (like hippocampal GABAergic interneurons or glutamatergic hippocampal pyramidal neurons) of neuronal populations, a process that is also dependent upon development (Jonas et al., 1994; Geiger et al., 1995; Ogoshi and Weiss, 2003; Cull-Candy et al., 2006). Interestingly, the AMPAR subunit composition and resulting Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeability of the channels are not static processes. AMPARs undergo a constant and “on demand” dynamic remodeling that is largely driven by variations in neuronal activity or changed in response to pathological conditions (Liu and Cull-Candy, 2000, 2002; Liu and Zikun, 2007).

Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors play a central role in the modulation of synaptic plasticity. A strong body of evidence has shown that regulation of AMPAR trafficking at membranes and variations in receptor functioning are critically involved in many forms of synaptic plasticity (Liu and Cull-Candy, 2002; Huganir and Nicoll, 2013). For instance, synaptic recruitment of AMPARs is required for the development of long-term potentiation (LTP), whereas a decrease in number and function of these receptors affects long-term depression (LTD) (Carroll et al., 1999; Song and Huganir, 2002; Bredt and Nicoll, 2003). The switch between CaI- and CaP-AMPARs is occurring at different stages of synaptic plasticity. CaP-AMPARs are transiently incorporated during early stages of NMDAR-dependent LTP in CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons and replaced with CaI-AMPARs for plasticity maintenance (Plant et al., 2006). CaP-AMPARs also play a key role in NMDAR-independent plasticity mechanisms like synaptic scaling, an homeostatic form of plasticity by which neurons restore and adjust baseline levels of activity via a slow modification in glutamatergic receptor trafficking at synaptic sites (Pérez-Otaño and Ehlers, 2003; Hou et al., 2008; Huganir and Nicoll, 2013).

Glutamate receptors are crucial for synaptic plasticity, but also possess a dark side as large influx of divalents like Ca\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) through sustained activation of NMDARs and CaP-AMPARs is considered a major trigger for the initiation of the excitotoxic cascade that leads to neuronal death in a number of acute brain disorders like ischemia, brain trauma, and seizures as well as in neurodegenerative disease like amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and AD (Lee et al., 1999; Weiss and Sensi, 2000; Weiss, 2011). In particular, overactivation of CaP-AMPARs leads to Ca\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) overloads in the neuronal cytosol and in mitochondria where the two cations synergetically act to promote generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), necrosis as well as release of pro-apoptotic mediators (Weiss and Sensi, 2000; Sensi et al., 2009). Thus, alterations in levels of GluA2 and/or CaP-AMPARs mRNA expression levels or changes in AMPAR trafficking at the post-synaptic membrane can greatly influence synaptic efficacy as well as neuronal survival (Weiss and Sensi, 2000; Cull-Candy et al., 2006; Liu and Zikun, 2007; Weiss, 2011). Deregulation in GluA2 mRNA editing at the Q/R site, a process usually extremely efficient (near 100%), has been associated with important neurotoxic consequences and shown to occur in transient global ischemia or several neurodegenerative conditions (Pellegrini-Giampietro et al., 1997; Kawahara et al., 2004; Peng et al., 2006).

Whereas the involvement of AMPARs has been widely established in several neurological disorders (Weiss, 2011), the role of these receptors in AD remains elusive. Furthermore, largely unknown is what CaP-AMPARs do in the AD brain, a major knowledge gap given the important deregulation of divalent cations that occurs in the disease (Corona et al., 2011).

To investigate this issue in a preclinical AD model, we employed a triple transgenic mouse model of AD, the 3xTg-AD. These Tg mice, by harboring three human dementia-related transgenes, APP\(_{swet}\), PS1\(_{M146V}\), and tau\(_{P301L}\) reproduce key AD-related features like amyloid beta- (A\(\beta\)) and tau-dependent pathology, as well as an age-dependent development of synaptic dysfunction and LTP deficits (Oddo et al., 2003). The model also shows an important acceleration of expression changes of genes that are related to aging, the other major contributing factor in the disease (Gatta et al., 2014). Both A\(\beta\) and tau pathologies occur in an age- and region-dependent manner, another feature that resembles what found in the AD brain (Mesulam, 2000) where A\(\beta\) plaques are known to precede neurofibrillar tangles (NFTs) formation (Mastrangelo and Bowers, 2008).

Thus, the aim of our study was to evaluate age-dependent changes in AMPAR gene transcriptional levels as well as GluA2 editing in a strategic region, the 3xTg-AD hippocampus.

Age-dependent modifications in GluA expression as well as GluA2 editing were studied in young (3 months of age; m.o.a.) and old (12 m.o.a) 3xTg-AD mice. Expression changes were compared to age-dependent modifications occurring in age-matched wild type (WT) mice. In the second part of the study, correlations between GluA expression and modulation of cognitive performances were also evaluated.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMALS**

Procedures involving animals and their care were approved by the institutional Ethics Committee (CeSI protocol #: AD-301) conducted in conformity with the institutional guidelines that are in compliance with national (D.L. n. 116, G.U., suppl. 40, 18 February, 1992) and international laws and policies. All efforts were made to reduce animal number and to minimize...
their suffering. Triple-transgenic mice, the 3xTg-AD, overexpressing mutant APP (APPswe), PS1 (PS1M146V), and hyperphosphorylated tau (tauP301L), were originally obtained by Oddo et al. (2003), by coinjecting two independent human transgenes, APPswe and tauP301L, in single-cell embryos that are harvested from mutant homozygous PS1M146V knock-in mice (PS1-KI). PS1-KI mice were originally generated on a hybrid 129/C57BL6 background (Guo et al., 1999). Hemizygous F1 mice were crossed to obtain mice homozygous for all three transgenes. These mice have been characterized by Oddo et al. (2003) and generously provided by Frank LaFerla. Thus, non-Tg mice of the same mixed 129/C57BL6 background have been used as (WT) controls.

Three months of age (m.o.a.) female 3xTg-AD and WT mice (n = 6 for group) together with 12 m.o.a. female 3xTg-AD and WT mice (n = 6 for group) were enrolled for the study. As previously indicated (Giménez-Llort et al., 2007; Sterniczuk et al., 2010), we have not observed major motor or visuomotor coordination deficits. We also did not observe major motivational problems.

**BEHAVIORAL TASK – MORRIS WATER MAZE**

To perform the Morris Water Maze (MWM) (Morris, 1984), we employed a circular pool (1.3 m diameter) filled with water. The maze was placed in a room containing several intra and extra-maze visual landmarks. The test was performed in accordance with what described for AD mouse models (Puzzo et al., 2014). Mice were trained to swim in the pool until they climbed on a white escape platform (10 cm in diameter), located in the middle of one of the four quadrants positioned at a depth of 2 cm below water surface. Before the beginning of the first training session, mice were placed on the escape platform for 10 s in order to allow an initial orientation in the pool. If a mouse did not find the platform within 90 s, the animal was guided to it by the experimenter and allowed to remain there for an additional 10 s. Mice were given four trials per day separated by an inter-trial interval of 20 min for four consecutive days. Analysis of the learning curve in a subset of mice (3 m.o.a. WT = 3n; 3 m.o.a. 3xTg-AD = 4n; 12 m.o.a. WT = 5n; 12 m.o.a.; 12 m.o.a. 3xTg-AD = 4n), showed that all the animals learned the task equally well within the fourth day of learning. Spatial memory retention was evaluated 1.5 and 24 h after the end of the last training trial. Both probe trials consisted of a 60 s free swim in the pool where the platform has been removed. Parameters employed to evaluate memory skills were time to reach the platform location (latency), number of crosses over the platform location (crosses), and time spent in target (T. Target) and opposite (T. Opposite) quadrants. All the enrolled animals were tested and completed the evaluation. No differences in navigation speed were observed in old mice when comparing the two strains. Analysis of swim speed [with the SMART 2.5.21 program (Panlab, Barcelona, Spain)] showed statistically non-significant differences among the two study groups as mean speed (cm/s) ± SEM was 24.99 ± 1.78 in 12 m.o.a. WT mice and 27.45 ± 3.78 in age-matched 3xTg-AD mice (P = 0.058). Interestingly (possibly indicating a compensatory mechanism taking place in pre-symptomatic AD mice), young (3 m.o.a) 3xTg-AD mice were significantly faster with 34.00 ± 0.53 compared to age-matched WT mice (25.98 ± 1.66; P = 0.01).

**QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR ANALYSIS**

After completion of behavioral tasks, animal were sacrificed by carbon dioxide inhalation, hippocampus dissected, kept in RNA later RNA Stabilization Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and stored at −80°C. For RNA analysis, hippocampi were homogenized by a hand glass potter and total RNA extracted using the RNeasy Microarray Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer protocol. The purity and quantity of RNA were assessed by Agilent 8453 Spectrophotometer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). One microgram of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis performed in a 20 µl reaction through the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK). Reaction conditions were as follows: 37°C for 60 min, 95°C for 5 min, and cool at 4°C. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qRT-PCR) was carried out on the ABI 7900HT Sequencing Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK). Amplification reaction was performed in a total volume of 30 µl containing 1 × TaqMan Universal PCR Master mix, no AmpErase UNG (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK), and 1.5 µl of target cDNA along with the Prime Time Mini qPCR Assay (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA). Mouse gene-specific primer and fluorescent probe sets were: primers Fw: 5′-TTTCTGCTAGCTTCCTTCTGG-3′, primer Rev: 5′-TCCTCTAGCTTGGGTCTG-3′, probe 5′-CACCCCTGTTGTGAGCCGATCA-3′, for glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); primer Fw: 5′-ACCCCTCAT CTTCTTCTTTTG-3′, primer Rev: 5′-GCCCTATTCTGGAC CGT-3′, probe: 5′-ATAATTTCGTTCCCAGGACCAC-3′, for GluA1; primer Fw: 5′-AAAGAATACCCGACGACAC-3′, primer Rev: 5′-CGAAACATCTCCTCCTGTCC-3′, probe: 5′-ACTTCTCGGCCTTGACTTATGTCG-3′, for GluA2; primer Fw: 5′-AGTGGAGAAGTTTTGTGACTCC-3′, Rev: 5′-TAATGCCTGTC TGAATCCTTGG-3′, probe: 5′-ACAGAAGAGGTGTTTCCTCATC TTCG-3′, for GluA3; primer Rev: 5′-CTTACATTCTGTTGG CCG-3′, primer Rev: 5′-CTTCTTGTTTGTCTTATTGCC-3′, probe: 5′-TCAGCTTCTATTCTTCTGGCCTTG-3′, for GluA4. Six biological replicates were performed for each study group for a total of 24 mice. All the experiments were carried out in triplicates for each data point. The positive reaction was detected by accumulation of fluorescent signals. Data analysis was performed using the Ct value (threshold cycle) defined as the PCR cycle at which the amplification curve intersects the threshold fluorescence. Threshold fluorescence has been defined as the numerical value that reflects a statistically significant point above the background signal (baseline). Using the 2−ΔCt method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008), relative expression levels of GluA1–4 mRNAs were calculated for each sample after normalization against GAPDH, the housekeeping gene.

**GluA2 Q/R EDITING**

To assess GluA2 editing, we performed a sequencing analysis in agreement with the method described by Barbon et al. (2003). For each sample, PCR amplification of the GluA2 cDNA region containing the editing Q/R site was carried out using the primer pairs Fw: 5′-CGAATGCTGACACTGAGAAC-3′ and Rev: 5′-CTTTTTAGTGAGCCAGAGTCTA-3′, thereby generating a fragment of 325 bp in length. All amplicons were subsequently sequenced with forward and reverse primers. A Big Dye
Terminator Kit (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK) was employed to perform sequencing and samples then analyzed on an ABI 3130xl automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK), an analytical tool that has an accuracy of base calling of 98.5%.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis of MWM and gene expression data was performed by two-factor ANOVA followed by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test using Statistica 6.0 software (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Genotype and age were the independent factors. Outliers were detected by Grubbs’ test. Significance of correlations between MWM cognitive performances (latency and crosses) and qRT-PCR expression levels of AMPAR subunits were assessed by Spearman correlation analysis using MetaboAnalyst statistical analysis module (Xia and Wishart, 2011). Data were derived from each study group (i.e., 3 and 12 months old 3xTg-AD mice and 3 and 12 months old WT mice). p < 0.050 were considered statistically significant. Correction for multiple comparisons was performed using the false discovery rate (FDR) method. p < 0.050 (FDR < 0.100) were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**EXPRESSION ANALYSIS OF GluA1–4 BY qRT-PCR**

Hippocampal GluA1, GluA2, GluA3, and GluA4 transcriptional levels in 3xTg-AD mice at 3 or 12 m.o.a. as well as in age-matched WT animals were studied by qRT-PCR. Each gene level was evaluated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$, an index of gene expression that is normalized to the GAPDH. This reference gene showed comparable expression levels through the study groups (mean $C_t$ ± 95% confidence level = 17.6 ± 0.3 and 17.7 ± 0.2 for 3 and 12 m.o.a. for WT mice, and 17.7 ± 0.2 and 17.5 ± 0.3 for 3 and 12 m.o.a. for 3xTg-AD mice; Table S1). For GluA1, expression (mean ± S.E.M.) values were 0.068 ± 0.005 in 3xTg-AD mice at 3 m.o.a. and 0.055 ± 0.009 in age-matched WT mice while in 3xTg-AD mice at 12 m.o.a. values were 0.049 ± 0.007 and 0.046 ± 0.002 in age-matched WT animals. For GluA2, we found 0.030 ± 0.002 in young 3xTg-AD mice and 0.022 ± 0.005 in age-matched WT mice or 0.019 ± 0.003 in old 3xTg-AD animals and 0.037 ± 0.009 in age-matched WT animals. For GluA3, expression levels were 0.011 ± 0.001 in young 3xTg-AD mice and 0.006 ± 0.001 in age-matched WT mice or 0.006 ± 0.001 in old 3xTg-AD animals and 0.013 ± 0.002 in age-matched WT mice. For GluA4, values were 0.003 ± 0.0005 in young 3xTg-AD mice and 0.002 ± 0.0003 in age-matched WT mice or 0.002 ± 0.0001 in old 3xTg-AD animals and 0.002 ± 0.0002 in age-matched WT animals.

We then sorted effects of aging and genotype on AMPAR subunit expression levels. For clarity, results are presented as follows.

**Effect of aging**

GluA1–4 genes expression varied upon aging in WT and 3xTg-AD mice. In WT mice, aging promoted a significant up-regulation of GluA3 gene expression (p = 0.004). A similar trend, that, however, did not reach statistical significance, was observed for GluA2 (p = 0.073). No effects were observed for the other subunits (Figure 1A). In 3xTg-AD mice, aging promoted a statistically significant reduction of all the AMPAR subunits (GluA1, p = 0.045; GluA3, p = 0.047; GluA4, p = 0.011; Figure 1B) with the exception of GluA2 that remained stable (Table S2).

**Effect of genotype**

Comparison between young 3xTg-AD vs. age-matched WT mice revealed that Tg-AD animals showed a significant up-regulation of GluA3 (p = 0.039) and GluA4 (p = 0.007) whereas no changes were detected for GluA1 and GluA2 (Figure 1C).

Comparison between old 3xTg-AD mice vs. age-matched WT animals showed, in the AD mice, a significant down-regulation of GluA2 (p = 0.038) and GluA3 (p = 0.005) while expression levels of GluA1 and GluA4 were unchanged (Figure 1D; Table S2).

**EDITING**

The editing process of GluA2 pre-mRNAs results in the substitution of a codon for glutamine (CAG) with a codon for arginine (CGG) at the so-called Q/R site. This post-transcriptional change of GluA2 transcripts confers to AMPARs impermeability to Ca$^{2+}$ and other divalents (Kawahara et al., 2004). Incomplete editing has been shown to occur in neuropathological conditions and we have therefore evaluated the process in our preclinical AD model.

To assess Q/R site editing of GluA2 pre-mRNAs, each sample was sequenced using forward and reverse primers. Editing remained extremely efficient in all animals throughout development and aging. The Adenine to Guanine substitution in the Q/R site occurred in all the analyzed sequences (Figure 2). The forward and reverse sequences provided equivalent results.

3xTG-AD MICE SHOW COGNITIVE DEFICITS AS ASSESSED BY MWM TEST

Spatial learning and memory were investigated with the MWM test, a behavioral test that evaluates hippocampal-dependent memory, one of the first cognitive functions that is lost in the course of AD (Morris, 1984; Puzzo et al., 2014). Young and old animals (at 3 and 12 m.o.a.) underwent a 4 days training period. 1.5 and 24 h after the last training trials, mice were tested with probe trials in order to evaluate short- and long-term memory performances, respectively. While all the 3xTg-AD mice eventually learned as well as the WT animals at the end of the fourth day of training, clear differences were found especially in old 3xTg-AD animals that showed impairments in the first 3 days of training (Figure S1). When compared to age-matched WT mice, old Tg-AD animals showed statistically significant differences in learning performances as expressed by latencies in the first and second day of training (Figure S1B; p = 0.012 and p = 0.032, respectively).

As expected (Oddo et al., 2003), old 3xTg-AD mice also showed deficits in long-term memory (24 h probe test; Figures 3E,F) as indicated by the statistically significant reduction in the number of crosses made over the site where the platform used to be located in the training phase (p = 0.023) and also exhibited a trend toward increased time spent in search of the platform (p = 0.092). When comparing old 3xtg-AD mice with age-matched WT animals, analysis of additional parameters showed no significant differences in T. Target scores (Figure 3G), while a trend toward significance was found in term of decreased T. Opposite scores of old 3xtg-AD mice (Figure 3H). A single item, the T. Opposite result is not indicative of a better performance as, when considered in conjunction with latencies and number of crosses, it simply indicates that...
FIGURE 1 | Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of GluA1–4 genes is shown. Graph bars depict mean expression levels of GluA1–4 relative to the internal reference. (A) Comparison between 12 m.o.a (old) vs. 3 m.o.a (young) animals WT mice showed an increase in GluA3 expression level (*p = 0.004). A trend toward increased levels of GluA2 expression was also found (~p = 0.073). (B) Comparison between old vs. young mice 3xTg-AD animals showed decreases in GluA1, 3, and 4 expression levels (*p = 0.045; *p = 0.047; *p = 0.011, respectively). (C) In AD mice, comparison between 3xTg-AD mice vs. WT mice at 3 m.o.a. showed increases in GluA3 (*p = 0.039) and GluA4 (*p = 0.030) expression levels. (D) Comparison between 3xTg-AD mice vs. age-matched WT mice showed a decrease in GluA2 (*p = 0.038) and GluA3 (*p = 0.059) expression levels in the 3xTg-AD animals. Data are expressed as mean values ± SEM obtained from six mice in each study group. P value is the result of two-factor ANOVA and Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test (*p < 0.05; ~trend 0.05 < p < 0.1).

FIGURE 2 | Representative electropherogram of GluA2 Q/R editing-site sequence analysis. All animals, 3xTg-AD and WT, showed full editing with the Adenine to Guanine (A > G) substitution in the GluA2 Q/R editing site (arrow) indicated by the presence of a black peak (G) and the absence of a green peak (A).
3xTg-AD mice show cognitive deficits assessed by MWM test. MWM performances of 3xTg-AD mice at 3 and 12 m.o.a. (n = 6 for group) and age-matched control mice (WT) expressed as latency (A,E), number of crosses (B,F), and time spent in target (C,G) and opposite (D,H) quadrants, all tested 1.5 h and at 24 h after the last training session. Compared to age-matched controls, long-term memory of old 3xTg-AD mice was impaired as indicated by statistically significant reduction in number of crosses (*p = 0.022) and increase in time (latency; ~p = 0.092) that animals employed to reach the point where the platform used to be. Results are expressed as mean values ±SEM. P value is the result of two-factor ANOVA and Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test (*p < 0.05; ~trend 0.05 < p < 0.1).
old 3xTg-AD mice show deficits in reaching the platform quadrant and randomly navigate over out-of-target quadrants. No cognitive deficits were observed in young 3xTg-AD mice when compared to age-matched WT animals (Figures 3A–D; Table S3).

**CORRELATION ANALYSIS BETWEEN mRNA GluA1–4 EXPRESSION LEVELS AND MWM PERFORMANCES**

In order to evaluate how AMPAR modulation can affect cognitive performances in the two study groups, we performed a correlation analysis between mRNA levels of each AMPAR subunit and scores (crosses and latency) of MWM parameters obtained in young and old mice. Young WT mice showed a negative correlation between GluA2 expression levels and efficient long-term memory performances as evaluated by assessing latency in the 24 h probe test (Spearman coefficient = −0.841, p = 0.033, FDR = 0.096; Figure 4; Table S4). Conversely, in young 3xTg-AD mice, GluA2 expression levels positively correlated with impaired short-term memory performances (as evaluated by assessing latency in the 1.5 h probe test; Spearman coefficient = 1.000, p = 0.003, FDR = 0.011; Figure 5; Table S5). Of note, we found that GluA2-dependent effects were found lost in aged mice, similarly to what found for the other subunits, as no significant correlations were found between MWM performances and GluA2 levels in WT and 3xTg-AD mice at 12 m.o.a (Tables S6 and S7).

**DISCUSSION**

Synaptic failure plays a critical role in the etiology of AD. A growing body of evidence indicates that Aβ oligomers, one of the most common hallmarks of AD, induce early morphofunctional and neurotransmission changes that lead to synaptic depression (Lambert et al., 1998; Hsia et al., 1999; Klein et al., 2001; Hardy and Selkoe, 2002; Klein et al., 2002; Kamnetz et al., 2003; Walsh and Selkoe, 2004). Aβ oligomers have been indicated to act on AMPARs to affect LTP (Parameshwaran et al., 2007; Yamin, 2009). Previous studies have shown that direct application of Aβ oligomers on hippocampal slices promote a reduction in amplitude and frequency of miniature excitatory post-synaptic current (mEPSC) in addition to trigger decreases in AMPAR open probability (Parameshwaran et al., 2007; Yamin, 2009). Oligomeric Aβ also promotes, caspase 3-dependent, removal of synaptic AMPARs, a process that, by itself, can induce spine loss and enhances LTD (Hsieh et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009; D’Amelio et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2012).

With this rationale, we have investigated whether changes of AMPAR subunit transcriptional levels may occur in 3xTg-AD mice, a preclinical model that reproduces the main pathological features of the AD brain.

In the hippocampus, a strategic and AD-targeted region, 3xTg-AD mice showed more prominent expression of GluA1 and GluA2 mRNAs while expression of GluA3 was lower and minimal levels of GluA4 were found. The GluA subunit presence is in agreement with prior studies that described a largely bimodal distribution of AMPARs, a process that is physiologically occurring in the hippocampus of non-transgenic mice (Craig et al., 1993; Wenthold et al., 1996; Black, 2005; Bassani et al., 2013).

According to that systematization, the first AMPAR population encompasses channels made of GluA1–GluA2 subunits while the second population is represented by receptors composed of GluA2–GluA3 subunits. Largely less represented are GluA1–GluA3 AMPARs or homomeric GluA1 receptors.

Compared to age-matched WT mice, old 3xTg-AD mice showed a GluA2 down-regulation (Figure 1D). Assessment of age-dependent changes in transcriptional levels for GluA1–4 indicated that, through development, the two strains may have implemented different strategies to maintain a prevalence of CaI-AMPARs.

In WT mice, we observed an aging-dependent increase in GluA2 expression whereas, in 3xTg-AD animals, aging promoted down-regulation of all the GluA subunits with the exception of GluA2, the only subunit that remained unchanged (Figures 1A,B).
In the 3xTg-AD mice, the emerging picture is that 3xTg-AD mice exhibited an overall decrease of AMPARs (Figure 1B), but at least preserved Ca\(^{2+}\)-impermeability of their AMPARs. The phenomenon can be considered as a potential defensive strategy against CaP-AMPAR-driven excitotoxicity, but leaves open the possibility of defective AMPAR-dependent modulation of hippocampal synchronization.

The reduced number of AMPARs in old 3xTg-AD mice can have important consequences and be coupled with plasticity deficits as reduction in the number of these receptors can impair the desilencing of silent synapses (Hanse et al., 2013), a crucial mechanism that regulates LTP and LTD (Isaac et al., 1995). According to the model (Isaac et al., 1995), silent synapses show relative abundance of NMDARs but lack a significant amount of AMPARs. In this context, AMPARs mediate the depolarization that is critically needed to remove the voltage-dependent Mg\(^{2+}\) blockade of NMDARs, a key step required to achieve efficient glutamatergic neurotransmission (Isaac et al., 1995). The age-related modification in GluA mRNA levels that we have observed matched the cognitive deficits found in our old 3xTg-AD mice (Figures 3C,D).

Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor alterations also occur early in 3xTg-AD mice. Indeed, in 3xTg-AD mice at 3 m.o.a., we found up-regulation of GluA3 and GluA4 expression while GluA1 and GluA2 remained stable (Figure 1C), thereby suggesting a functional switch toward different heterotetrameric AMPAR configurations.

Changes in GluA3 levels are intriguing. The subunit is increased in young AD mice but then decreases with aging in 3xTg-AD mice at 12 m.o.a. (Figure 1B). WT animals showed the opposite phenomenon with the development of age-dependent GluA3 increases (Figure 1A). A functional interpretation of the phenomenon should take into account that increased levels of GluA3 (along with transmembrane AMPAR regulatory proteins [TARPs]) lead to potentiation of synaptic transmission and LTD facilitation (Blair et al., 2013). Thus, GluA3 rises observed in young 3xTg-AD mice could represent an attempt to compensate defective glutamatergic transmission.

As for GluA4, although the subunit expression appears to be minimal, levels were found nevertheless increased in young 3xTg-AD mice. The phenomenon, again, could be read as compensatory as the subunit is critical to reduce AMPAR desensitization time (Geiger et al., 1995; Black, 2005), and therefore, increased GluA4 levels may lead to enhanced glutamatergic transmission. No changes in young 3xTg-AD mice appeared to occur when considering the ratio between CaI- and CaP-AMPARs as expression levels of GluA2 were found to be not significantly changed.

Correlation analysis between GluA2 transcription levels and cognitive performances as assessed with MWM tests highlighted intra-individuals differences that occurred with opposite outputs in young mice of the two strains, thereby leading to intriguing inferences on the functional role played by the subunit.

In young WT mice, high levels of GluA2 expression were associated with better long-term memory performances (Figure 4). One can speculate that this cognitive improvement is related to the known capability of GluA2 to increase spine size and density as well as to promote higher receptor stability at post-synaptic sites and induce LTP (Passafaro et al., 2003; Plant et al., 2006; Ripley et al., 2011; Hanse et al., 2013).

Interestingly, compared to WT animals, AMPAR/behavior correlations occurring in young 3xTg-AD mice were found to go in the opposite direction. The Tg-AD mice that showed higher levels of GluA2 (and therefore increased number of CaI AMPARs and lower of CaP AMPARs) were also the one exhibiting more impaired short-term memory performances (Figure 5B). The possible interpretation of the phenomenon is complex and should take into account expression levels of CaP AMPARs in two
critical hippocampal subpopulations: the glutamatergic pyramidal neurons and the GABAergic interneurons.

In this context, one can speculate that, young 3xTg-AD mice with lower levels of CaP AMPAR have less efficient memory performances because of the lost positive effects of the receptors in the modulation of AMPAR-dependent synaptic plasticity. This is a crucial issue when the loss is occurring in pyramidal neurons and especially in the context of AD-related cognitive deficits.

High number of these highly Ca$^{2+}$-permeable AMPARs may in fact compensate for Aβ-related functional impairment of NMDARs, the other major route for glutamate-driven Ca$^{2+}$ entry (Muller et al., 1988; Watt et al., 2004). Indeed, several studies have indicated defective NMDAR functioning as a critical factor in triggering synaptic depression driven by Aβ (Kamenetz et al., 2003; Snyder et al., 2005; Yamin, 2009). The phenomenon may be related to Aβ binding to NMDARs, a mechanism that promotes altered Ca$^{2+}$-homeostasis (De Felice et al., 2007; Shankar et al., 2007) or by the selective binding of Aβ oligomers to the NMDAR subunit, GluN2B. In that respect, Aβ binding to GluN2B can favor conformational changes that impair NMDAR-dependent signal transduction (Kessels et al., 2013). Thus, these findings support the hypothesis that 3xTg-AD mice may have defective NMDAR-dependent glutamatergic transmission, an occurrence leading to decreased Ca$^{2+}$-dependent signaling and possibly compensated by sustained influx of the cation through GluA2-lacking AMPARs.

On the other hand, lack of CaP AMPARs may lead to decreased functioning of GABAergic interneurons, an inhibitory population that is known to possess high levels of these receptors. In that context, an AMPAR-dependent decreased activity of GABAergic interneurons can result in an out-of-synch hippocampus that further promotes network instability and cognitive dysfunction as well as a potentially excitotoxic overdrive.

This is particularly relevant when considering that, in preclinical models of AD, including the 3xTg-AD mice, hippocampal hyperactivation occurs before the appearance of Aβ plaque deposition (Busche et al., 2012; Davis et al., 2014), a phenomenon also described in AD patients (Bakker et al., 2012; Vossel et al., 2013).

In short, in 3xTg-AD mice that were poorly performing, a reduction in CaP AMPAR expression in GABAergic neurons may have brought to less efficient synchronization of hippocampal networks and led to cognitive deficits. On the same token, a decrease in the number of these receptors in pyramidal neurons could have at least promoted neuroprotection by reducing a major route for excitotoxicity.

Interestingly, the GluA-2/behavioral correlations observed in the two strains in young mice appear to be lost with aging of the animals (Tables S6 and S7). A possible speculation relies on the fact that aging induces a plethora of effects that could diminish and/or overpower the weight of GluA1–4 changes in the modulation of memory performances.

In our study, we did not explore potential effects of the MWM training and tests in the modulation of GluA1–4 mRNA levels. We have not evaluated untrained Tg-AD or control mice, and therefore, we cannot exclude that some of the GluA changes were in part dependent on MWM training. The issue warrants further studies.

Our results do not support the hypothesis that, like in other neurological conditions, the pro-AD background of our Tg-AD mice can trigger an age-dependent increase in the number of CaP-AMPARs. In 3xTg-AD mice, we did not found age-dependent changes in GluA2 levels or in the subunit editing. This finding is not in line with a recent study that showed a slight reduction in editing efficiency in the hippocampus of AD patients (Gaisler-Salomon et al., 2014). The discrepancy is likely explained by the obvious differences between preclinical AD models and the AD brain.

Of note, an additional point that is emerging is that, although 3xTg-AD mice eventually did learn the MWM task as control animals, the learning curve was slower and they showed impairments in intermediate time points of the training. This phenomenon suggests that our AD mice may have implemented different strategies to successfully accomplish the task or, perhaps, exhibited different responses to neuroendocrine modulators that are known to affect AMPAR trafficking as well as plasticity in behavioral tests like the MWM (Oitzl and de Kloet, 1992; Conboy and Sandi, 2010). For instance, behavioral tests set in motion stress- and glucocorticoid-dependent responses that induce facilitation of learning and memory formation through increased GluA2 trafficking (Sandi, 1998; Conboy and Sandi, 2010).

In addition, it is intriguing to speculate that the learning modality exhibited by the 3xTg-AD mice may also represent an indirect index of the status of the animal cognitive reserve. The fact that old 3xTg-AD mice eventually showed no learning deficits at the fourth day of training leads to infer a more exploited use of this resource in the animals.

In summary, present findings lend support to the idea that, upon disease progression, critical modifications of glutamatergic transmission may occur in the AD brain. In that context, and always keeping in mind the limitations of preclinical AD models, our study identifies a distinct AMPAR-mediated component.

In the framework of AD-related glutamatergic deficits, further investigation is warrant to identify better and more selective pharmacological tools that can help in modulating the delicate ratio between AMPAR- and NMDAR-dependent transmission. For instance, in this regard, it could be interesting to explore the efficacy of ampakines as these AMPAR modulators can enhance synaptic plasticity and improve cognitive performances (Stäubli et al., 1994; Ingvar et al., 1997).

Furthermore, at this point, it is not possible to pin down whether AMPAR subunit expression was able to modify behavioral response by acting on many or just one of the critical hippocampal subregions (CA1, CA3, and dentate gyrus). However, in this first attempt to correlate hippocampal GluA levels with behavior, we decided to have a more robust output by using the whole hippocampus. Future studies are needed to explore correlations between behavior and AMPAR distribution in key hippocampal subregions.
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