Evaluation of patella height in native knees and arthroplasty:
an instructional review
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Abstract – Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the gold standard for treating advanced knee osteoarthritis. Among the postoperative complications of TKA are true patella infera (TPI) and pseudo patella infera (PPI), which should be differentiated since TPI exhibits significantly worse clinical outcomes. Multiple radiological patella height indices (PHI) exist; some were modified or originally designed for knees with implanted endoprostheses. However, there is no consensus on measuring and comparing patella height. Due to the lack of established, simple, reliable, and reproducible concepts for assessing patella height for arthroplasty, measuring patella height and the change of patella height by or after TKA have been challenging tasks for clinicians and researchers. This is a review of the current literature on methods for measuring patella height, with special attention to the ability to differentiate between the TPI and PPI after TKA. All literature on the topic was retrieved, and references from relevant articles were investigated until the end of April 2022.
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Introduction

The position of the patella is one of the most significant components for optimal knee joint function, and anomalies in patella height can impact knee function [1]. Two distinct problems have been described as a result of a misaligned patella in reference to the patellofemoral joint line: patella alta or high-riding patella in relation to the femur is associated with patellofemoral pain, recurrent patella dislocations, and Osgood-Schlatter’s disease [2]. Patella infera or an abnormally low patella is linked to a limited range of motion, joint pain, and crepitations after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or trauma [3].

In recent years, patella height has become a significant concern in assessing knee problems and planning therapy, particularly following knee arthroplasty, since the number of TKAs performed every day has increased dramatically [4, 5]. Patella infera is one of the complications that might occur during or after TKA and is divided into two types. True patella infera (TPI) is characterized by a direct shortening of the patella tendon, most likely caused by scarring. Pseudo patella infera (PPI) is described as a change in patella height that is indirectly caused by the proximalisation of the femorotibial joint line (joint line elevation during TKA). This relative reduction in patella height in reference to the femoro-tibial joint line (knee in 0° of flexion) is common in TKA, and if it is severe, it can lead to poor functional results [6–8].

Several approaches have been reported for estimating patella height in normal knees and after the implantation of a knee endoprosthesis [9–15]. These approaches have advantages and disadvantages, as well as varying levels of reliability, reproducibility, and intra- and interobserver variability [16–18]. These approaches relate the patella position to the femur (direct evaluation) or the tibia (indirect assessment). Direct evaluation approaches are not generally used since they have been described as too difficult to implement [6]. The most extensively used radiographic methods are the Insall-Salvati (IS) [9], the modified Insall-Salvati (MIS) [10], the Blackburne-Peel (BP) [11], and the Caton-Deschamps (CD) [12] indices. The derived Caton-Deschamps index (dCDI) has recently been characterized by Konrads et al. as a simple and reliable method to evaluate patella height in knee arthroplasty postoperatively, and it can directly be compared to the original CD index used in the native knee before arthroplasty [13].
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Patella height measurement is crucial in assessing knee conditions and can help with treatment planning [19]. Both TPI and PPI should be included in a comprehensive patella height evaluation. A reliable technique for assessing patella height and the change in patella height caused by TKA must be established for effective treatment planning. Developing concepts for assessing patella height is important to allow clinicians to distinguish between TPI and PPI postoperatively. The main objective of this review was to discuss radiological patella height indices for identifying the amount of TPI and PPI after TKA.

The clinical relevance of patella height

Patella height is an important factor for the biomechanics of the whole knee, which is true for native knees and knee arthroplasty.

Patella height plays a role in patellofemoral instability. Patella alta contributes to instability because the patella will engage with the femoral trochlea only at higher degrees of knee flexion.

Patella infera can lead to limited flexion of the knee, to higher retropatellar pressures, and it can also affect active knee extension. It often leads to anterior knee pain.

True patella infera can develop by scarring after surgery even in the second postoperative year, but not later than 24 months postoperatively [16, 20, 21]. Pseudo patella infera due to proximalisation of the joint line during primary total knee arthroplasty and patella infera, in general, does not deterio-rate the clinical outcome of primary total knee arthroplasty if the reduction of patella height does not exceed 10% [16].

With the osteotomy of the tibial tuberosity, a standard surgical procedure has been developed to directly influence patella height by distalisation or proximalisation of the tibial tubercle (bony insertion of the patella tendon).

The history of patella height measuring

In the year 1938, Blumensaat firstly introduced a method for measuring the height of the patella on radiographs [22]. Since then, multiple different methods have been described;
some of them were specially invented for utilization in arthroplasty.

Strict lateral radiographs should be used for measuring the patella height. Further, many indices are dependent on the knee flexion angle.

In Lyon, several works have been performed leading to the CD index, which can be used on lateral radiography or MRI. The CD index is rather independent of the knee flexion angle and can be used throughout a range of 10° to 80° of knee flexion [9, 12].

From MRI studies, it is known that the distance between the tibial plateau and the insertion of the patella tendon at the tibial tuberosity is rather constant, about 29 mm. A patella tendon length of more than 52 mm was considered patella alta.

Until 2022, no broadly accepted method or concept that has the common consensus of orthopedic surgeons and radiologists for measuring and assessing patella height in native knees and in arthroplasty.

Materials and methods

Figure 1 shows four different basic indices (BP and mBP, mIS, CD, and dCD, mCD) used for patella height measuring in knees without and with knee arthroplasty.

Some indices are femoral referenced, meaning they refer to the femoro-tibial joint line in the extended knee (direct evaluation), e.g., dCD index, mBP index. Some indices are tibial referenced (indirect assessment), e.g., IS index, mIS index, mCD index. The original CD and BP indices directly reference the joint line.

Results

When the point of reference is tibial and not on the joint line but further distal, the value of the index is not altered, although a PPI might have been created by joint line elevation via insert exchange to a thicker one. Figure 2 shows such a case. The index values measured for this case are listed in Table 1. Indirect measures with tibial reference like ISI, mISI, and mCDI remained unchanged. They measured true patella height (length of patella tendon) but could not detect PPI. The dCDI and the mBPI were able to correctly demonstrate the creation of PPI (Figure 2, Table 1).

Discussion

The need for a standard method

With a continuous increase in the number of TKA, determining the optimal method for measuring patella height after TKA has become paramount to optimizing the management postoperatively. In current daily practice, different imaging techniques and methods for measuring patella height are used [9–14]. Although the optimal method for measuring patella height after TKA is yet to be determined, we propose a standardized measuring concept based on current evidence, which could assist in the harmonization and comparability of clinical practice and future studies.
detect PPI. The total number of positive cases was just 26 in this study. This limits our ability to reach a definitive conclusion on the reliability of these methods.

In a recent study, a new approach for evaluating patella height and the position of the joint line before and after total knee arthroplasty was reported, which included the use of axis-patella, joint axis-patella indices, and the joint line height [4]. This method showed comparable intra- and interobserver differences with the commonly used methods, showing that the new method is reliable. However, since this is the first study to describe this novel approach, further research is required to possibly support the scientific rigor and measurement validity of the study.

There are several factors to consider when measuring the patella height, but the reproducibility and accuracy of the ratios used are one of the most crucial aspects. The IS index demonstrated excellent reliability and has the best reliability of all the ratios, whereas the MIS index demonstrated moderate to excellent reliability, still being among the most reliable patella height indices [14, 25–27]. Similarly, a recent study showed that the most reliable technique of measuring patella height on conventional radiographs is the IS ratio compared to BP, CD, and MIS [28]. The high reliability of the IS index can be explained by the fact that it is easy to identify the superior and inferior ends of the patella joint surface on plain radiographs used for this index. However, the ICC for the IS index was lower postoperatively, possibly due to difficulty identifying the tibial tuberosity [29]. In contrast, Seil et al. and Berg et al. reported that the BP ratio is the most reliable [18, 30]. In addition, a review by Philips et al. in 2010 stated that BP and CD indices were the most reliable ratios [31]. Finally, an important factor to consider is the level of experience of the observer, with orthopedists and radiologist specialists having the highest interobserver agreement compared to orthopedic residents [25].

Clinical factors such as the knee flexion angle and quadriceps action are the key factors to consider when evaluating patella height ratios since they might have an impact on the accuracy of the assessment [32]. Furthermore, it is important to keep in mind while interpreting the results of the patella height measurement that there could be a difference in the normal reference range between cultural groups, as shown in a study among southern Chinese, Indian, and Middle Eastern populations since the reference range are based primarily on studies on western populations [33–35].

When deciding on a standard concept to analyze patella height in daily practice routine and science, a point of major importance is that the concept should be easy to measure in a reasonable time, and the chosen indices can be used preoperatively and postoperatively without and with implanted implants like partial or total arthroplasty of the knee. The CD index is accepted to be independent of the amount of knee flexion or extension. It can be used with native lateral radiography or MRI of the knee.

Overall, the concept described and illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 seems to be the most practicable and comprehensive way to analyze patella height before and after knee arthroplasty or revision knee arthroplasty. The amounts of PPI and TPI contributing to the full amount of patella infera can be determined easily, knowing that CDI and dCDI identify full patella infera and the (m)ISI measures TPI only. Reference numbers for the classification of patella height in patella infera, norma, or alta are summarized in Table 2.

### The drawback of current methods

The IS and MIS ratios do not change in cases of proximalization of the joint line following total knee replacement surgery; on the other hand, the BP and CD ratios show a change in the position of the patella articular surface in reference to the joint line, indicating the presence of a PPI [18]. The IS and MIS indices depend either on the length of the patella tendon or on the ability of the observer to identify the tibial insertion of the patella tendon at the tibial tuberosity. So, a standardized identification of the tibial attachment of the patella tendon is needed to avoid a significant variation in measurements [18]. Comparing preop and postop radiographs can help in this regard. In addition, the patella shape might result in a higher incidence of patella infera when utilizing the IS index, particularly in Cyrano patella (patella with a long, non-articulating inferior pole) [23, 36]. For the BP index, it is necessary to determine the tibial articular surface to draw a joint line. This can be difficult, especially if the radiograph is not perfectly lateral. Variations in the polyethylene inserts can cause additional difficulties.

### Table 1. Patella height measurements before and after exchange of the tibial insert to a thicker one creating a pseudo patella infera.

| Index | Before revision | After revision | Classification preop | Classification postop |
|-------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Insall-Salvati (ISI) | 1.2 | 1.2 | Patella norma | Patella norma |
| Modified Insall-Salvati (mISI) | 1.6 | 1.6 | Patella norma | Patella norma |
| Modified Caton-Deschamps (mCDI) | 1.4 | 1.4 | norma? | norma? |
| Derived Caton-Deschamps (dCDI) | 0.6 | 0.4 | Patella norma | Patella infera |
| Modified Blackburn-Peel (mBPI) | 0.7 | 0.5 | Patella norma | Patella infera |

### Figure 3. Definitions, reasons, and modalities of assessment of true and pseudo patella infera. CDI, Caton-Deschamps index; dCDI, derived Caton-Deschamps index; mISI, modified Insall-Salvati index.
Limitations

Our present work has some limitations. Firstly, the narrative review design may be subjective and biased; however, to minimize publication bias, we conducted a literature search for current and historical publications, and every attempt was made to ensure that all relevant studies were included and discussed. Secondly, we did not consider non-English language studies. Thirdly, due to limited research, the optimal method to measure the patella height is not yet established. In the future, further investigations are needed to test the measurement method and validate it in greater depth.

Conclusions

Finally, after reviewing the literature on this controversial subject and the currently available methods of measurements, the solution to detect the pseudo and true patella infera postoperatively with the highest accuracy is using the right combination of indices. In our opinion, the combination of Insall-Salvati and Caton-Deschamps or Blackburne-Peel preoperatively and the combination of Insall-Salvati and derived Caton-Deschamps or modified Blackburne-Peel postoperatively is the best concept.

It is critical to establish a gold-standard protocol that will enable surgeons to precisely identify surgical indications and will also allow us to compare clinical studies. In the absence of a comparison scale, the dilemma of choosing an ideal patella height ratio remains unanswered. However, the most important factor in measuring the patella height is using a combination of methods to avoid misdiagnosing the patient. Therefore, the concept proposed in the present instructional review seems sufficient and easy to use in daily practice and science.
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