Case report

Complex regional pain syndrome and bone marrow oedema syndrome: family ties potentially closer than expected
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SUMMARY

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) and bone marrow edema syndrome (BMES) are two rare conditions that are still being discussed. They are generally considered as two distinct entities, yet they share similarities such as a homogeneous bone marrow edema is also often found in the early phase of CRPS. We present the case of a 41-year-old man with CRPS after a foot fracture followed by the development of painful BMES of the ipsilateral knee and hip a few weeks later. The search for another pathologies was negative. After pamidronate infusions, the evolution was spectacular: the disappearance of hip pain at 1 month and more than 50% reduction in knee and foot pain at 2 months. At final follow-up (1 year), the patient was asymptomatic. This case reinforces the idea of a possible link between CRPS and BMES probably through similar trabecular bone involvement. Imaging remains useful in diagnosis of CRPS.

BACKGROUND

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) and bone marrow edema syndrome (BMES) are two rare entities whose physiopathology and treatment remain debated. CRPS is considered as a disabling condition that progresses to chronicity (more than 1 year) in about one-third of cases, while BMES is considered to be a painful self-limiting condition with spontaneous resolution in 4–24 months. Over the years, the CRPS diagnostic criteria have evolved towards purely clinical criteria (the so-called Budapest criteria) and any reference to deep bone involvement with osteopenia revealed by radiology, as already shown by Paul Sudeck a century ago, has been removed. On the other hand, the diagnosis of BMES may be suspected clinically (pain and progressive functional impairment) but requires imaging confirmation (MRI). Although generally considered to be separate entities, links are suspected between them, particularly because of similar bone involvements also often documented in the early phase of CRPS. In addition, both syndromes may occur in multifocal and migrant forms. Except for the Steinbrocker’s syndrome (or shoulder-arm syndrome), however multifocal or migrant forms remain scarce. Bisphosphonates (BPs), a classical treatment for many bone disorders, can also be effective in both situations (CRPS, BMES). However, it is unusual to find them together in the same individual a few weeks apart. The case depicted here has the particularity of sequentially combining both entities in a short period of time (weeks): first a CRPS of the foot with a diffuse bone marrow edema and then multifocal BMES of the knee and the hip in the ipsilateral side. Curiously, after BPs administration, the clinical recovery and disappearance of the bone marrow edema went the other way in a few months: first the hip (clinical recovery at 1 month and disappearance of bone marrow edema at 3 months), then the knee (clinical recovery at 2 months and disappearance of bone marrow edema at 3 months) and finally the foot (1 year), where it all began.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 41-year-old winegrower, a smoker, moderately overweight but without any other known comorbidity, fell at work. A left navicular fracture of the foot was diagnosed and conservatively treated with a VACOped for over 7 weeks. After immobilisation, the foot remained painful, swollen and hot, while the healing of the fracture was considered to be favourable. After 3 months, a CRPS was suspected and the orthopaedic surgeon started a calcitonin treatment for 6 weeks. Because of the inefficacy of this treatment and the severe pain and functional impairment, the patient was referred to the rehabilitation service. CRPS diagnosis was confirmed according to the following criteria: continuing pain and disability, the patient was referred to the rehabilitation service. CRPS diagnosis was confirmed according to the following criteria: continuing pain and disability, the patient was referred to the rehabilitation service.

Figure 1 The bone scintigraphy, late-bone phase, showing uptake of the tracers to the three sites concerned: hip, knee and foot.
announced that he was suffering from the left hip. and treatment options. During the consultation, he incidentally days later, the patient was called to discuss the results of the BS bone damage to the knee, osteonecrosis or multifocal CRPS. Ten therapeutic activity). For the left knee and hip, only the scintigraphic the three phases (capillarity permeability, hyperemia and osteo- BS showed an increased uptake of the tracers in the left foot across investigative at the three phases, which seems to be a good predictor of treatment was well tolerated with only myalgia abnormalities were hyperlipidaemia and moderately disturbed liver tests (Aspartate Aminotransferase (ASAT) 67, Gamma- Glutamyl Transferase (GGT) 233), which are compatible with excess weight and regular alcohol consumption (winegrower), but without any signs of dependence (normal Carbohydrate Deficient Transferrin (CDT)). A thoraco-abdominal scan was normal.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
Multifocal bone scintigraphic images opened up a broad differential diagnosis: osteonecrosis, stress fractures, inflammatory rheumatism, infections, metastases. However, MRI images were typical of BMES (homogeneous bone edema, hyposignal in T1, hypersignal in T2 with blurred contours, no clear limits and no associated cartilage lesions) and also typical of an early form of CRPS. In particular, they reasonably excluded osteonecrosis (even if in early forms, the initial image can be very similar), stress fractures and metastases. The other examinations excluded other differential diagnoses in an otherwise healthy patient. The final diagnosis was foot and ankle CRPS, combined with BMES of the knee and hip. The whole clinical presentation can also be interpreted as a migrant multifocal form of CRPS.

TREATMENT
Pamidronate infusions (90 mg) were administered four times: J1, J2, J8 and J9, with vitamino-calcic substitution (to prevent hypocalcaemia). Treatment was well tolerated with only myalgia during a few days. The patient continued his analgesic (paracetamol) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (ibuprofen) treatment. After the infusions, no physiotherapy was required. The patient did exercises at home and used a Compex instrument (electromyostimulation) that he had bought himself.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Clinically, the pain assessment measured with the Brief Pain Inventory Questionnaire showed a dramatic positive trend, both in the severity and in the interference pain scales. After 1 month, hip pain had completely disappeared and knee and foot pain had markedly decreased. After 2 months, the knee pain disappeared and the foot pain on the numeric rating scale was reduced by more than 50% (see figure 3). Gradually, the patient abandoned his crutches (3 months), stopped medication and was able to return to work, first at 50%, then without any restrictions. Radiologically, the BMES of the hip and knee completely disappeared after 3 months, while the BMES of the foot had decreased significantly. After 1 year, there was no trace of bone marrow edema of the foot on MRI.
DISCUSSION
This case report highlights two key points. First, CRPS and BMES are generally considered as separate entities. However, this case shows that they share at least an important common feature, namely the involvement of the trabecular bone that almost cannot be distinguished between the two conditions, even if in CRPS, the T2 and Short-T1 Inversion Recovery (STIR) increased marrow signal is quite less homogeneous. This is perfectly illustrated here, the patient having the two entities developed at a few weeks’ interval only. Second, and contrary to the prevailing opinion, this case report also suggests that imaging remains an important part of the diagnosis and management of CRPS. Deep-bone damage revealed by radiology, as already shown by Paul Sudeck a century ago is evident here. In CRPS, deep bone involvement is not rare. Recent studies combining BS and the Budapest criteria have found between 24% and 80% positive BS. A shorter duration of disease and a higher proportion of men being associated with a higher proportion of positive BS. Imaging is probably not mandatory for the diagnosis of all cases of CRPS, although they may be useful to improve sensitivity and for the diagnosis of partial or incomplete forms and to determine if deep joints, as hips, are implicated or not.

From the physiopathological point of view, in both syndromes, bone insult is not characterised by an increased osteoclastic activity. Rather, it is vascular phenomena that would be at work with a diffuse increased blood flow, vascular permeability that induces plasma exudation, acidosis, local tissue hypoxia, promoting hydroxyapatite chemical dissolution. Vascular phenomena would explain the increased tracer uptake in the early phases of BS, while late hyperfixation would be explained by the increase in available binding sites due to the reduction of hydroxyapatite crystals. The efficacy of BPs infusions is also another common feature of both syndromes. The action mechanisms involved are still unclear but probably multifactorial. Among them, the ability of BPs to reduce the dissolution of hydroxyapatite crystals secondary to hypoxia and local acidosis is proposed. Their plausible ability to limit the release of proinflammatory cytokines and neuropeptides involved in the painful sensation (substance P, nerve growth factor, calcitonin-gene-related peptide) is another possible way, with encouraging reports in clinical conditions but also in an animal model of CRPS. The most convincing efficacy obtained with BPs was with neridronate, which is not available in Switzerland. Therefore, we chose to use pamidronate in a bioequivalent dosage. This dosage is high, even higher than that administered in moderate forms of Paget’s disease. Lower dosages have been associated with lower efficacy or failure in the treatment of CRPS. Clinicians should therefore be aware that if they prescribe BPs, they should prescribe adequate dosages and avoid oral forms. It seems important to obtain a sufficient dose of medication in the area of interest in a short period of time. The confirmation of bone marrow edema should therefore motivate early use of BPs, especially when the pain and disability are severe. A retrospective analysis of predictors of responsiveness to BPs treatment in the presence of CRPS suggests that patients who respond to this treatment have a shorter duration of illness than non-responders. An OR of 0.83 could be measured for each additional month. In other words, each additional month reduces the odds of a favourable outcome. The faster recovery of the most recent insults here (first the hip, then the knee, finally the foot) could perhaps be explained in this way.

In conclusion, although not considered as identical syndromes to date, CRPS and BMES share common bone imagery, physiopathology, and therapeutic response to BPs. Imagery remains useful in the diagnosis of CRPS. Further studies of the mechanisms involved in bone damage could advance both understanding and treatment of these two intriguing syndromes.
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