Altered Brain Function in Drug-Naïve Major Depressive Disorder Patients With Early-Life Maltreatment: A Resting-State fMRI Study
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Childhood Maltreatment (CM) is an important risk factor for major depressive disorder (MDD). Previous studies using emotional task-state functional magnetic resonance (task-state fMRI) found that altered brain function in prefrontal-limbic regions was the key neuropathological mechanism in adult MDD patients with experience of early-life maltreatment. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no published study investigating brain function in MDD patients with CM experience using resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI). In present study, we aimed to detect altered resting-state brain activity in MDD patients with CM experience, and identify significantly activated brain regions, which may provide new insights into the neural mechanism underlying the relationship between MDD and CM experience. The results showed MDD patients with CM experience were associated with increased amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) and altered function connection (FC) in the prefrontal cortex, when compared to MDD patients without CM. Of note, left frontal middle gyrus (LFEG) was found as a specific brain region which differentiates MDD patients with CM from patients without CM. These results suggest that rs-fMRI is a useful method in studying the correlation between MDD and CM experience and altered function of LFEG in resting-state may explain the correlation between MDD and CM experience.
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INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) has become the single largest contributor to nonfatal health loss globally in 2015 (1). The causality of MDD is heterogeneous. Although stress, poor family relationship and social support in adulthood have been thoroughly studied as environmental risks for MDD, unpleasant psychical or physical experiences during childhood were often overlooked. Childhood maltreatment (CM) has recently gained greater attention because it may confer susceptibility to depression in later-life. Clinical evidence from retrospective and prospective
cohort studies suggests that CM could markedly increase the risk of MDD (2–5). Furthermore, it has been reported that approximately 65% of chronically depressed patients have a history of CM, which is associated with more relapses and heightened therapy resistance (6, 7). Therefore, it is important to identify the neural mechanisms underlying the impact of CM on MDD pathophysiology, for pursuing early intervention and mechanism-based treatment strategies.

CM has been proved to affect brain function and development in MDD patients (8–11). Task- and resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has been widely used to non-invasively evaluate functional brain activity for identification of specific brain regions and neural circuits associated with disease conditions. Previous studies using emotional task-state fMRI (12–15) showed altered activation of prefrontal-limbic regions, including ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), amygdale and hippocampus, in MDD patients with CM.

Nonetheless, to our best knowledge, resting-state brain function in MDD patients with CM still remains to be investigated. In the present study, we utilized rs-fMRI to examine the neuropathological mechanisms of drug-naive MDD with CM experience. We hypothesize that altered blood oxygenation level dependency (BOLD) in certain brain regions may be correlated with CM experience in MDD patients and these brain areas may include subregions of the prefrontal-limbic system, which has previously been reported to be associated with MDD patients with CM using task-state fMRI.

METHODS

Participants

Fifteen MDD patients with CM and fifteen patients without CM were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Third Affiliated Hospital of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, and Beijing Anding Hospital. All of the patients were diagnosed with modified structure clinical interview for DSM-V (16) by two senior clinical psychiatrists, and were rated with a 17-item Hamilton depression scale (HAMD). All MDD patients were drug-naïve and in their first episode of illness. These patients were right-handed and would be excluded if they had another major psychiatric illness, neurological illness, head injury, alcohol or drug abuse. CM was assessed by a short form childhood trauma questionnaire (CTQ-SF) (17).

Seventeen age-, gender- and education-matched healthy controls (HC) were recruited from community-based advertising through flyers posted at hospital and university campuses. They were also interviewed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-V. All HC were right-handed, free of depression and any other psychiatric or neurological illness and had no history of head injury, alcohol or drug abuse.

Data Acquisition

T1-weighted and resting-state fMRI data were acquired using a 3T Siemens Trio scanner (Magnetom Allegra, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) in the Beijing Guang’anmen Hospital China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences. The scanning sessions included the following: (i) three-dimensional T1-weighted whole-brain images: 3D-MPRAGE sequence, Repetition Time (TR)/Echo Time (TE) = 2300/3ms, 176 sagittal slices. (ii) Rs-fMRI scans contain 180 functional volumes, using a T2-weighted Echo Planar Imaging sequence, TR/TE = 2000/30 ms, flip angle = 90°, acquisition matrix = 64 × 64 axial slices = 40, thickness/gap = 3/0 mm, Voxel size: 3.0 × 3.0 × 3.0 mm (3), Field of view = 210 × 210 mm. During the scanning, subjects laid supine in the scanner with their heads fixed with foam pads to decrease head motion. They were informed to close their eyes but remain awake, and a simple inquiry was conducted to exclude any sleeping periods.

Pre-Processing

Image preprocessing and statistical analysis were performed using the Data Processing Assistant for Resting-state fMRI (DPARSF, http://www.rfmri.org/DPARSF) toolkits (18), Resting State fMRI Data Analysis Toolkit 1.8 version (REST, https://www.nitrc.org/projects/rest/) (19) and SPM8 software (SPM8, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) (20).

Images were drafted by REST and BrainNet Viewer toolkit. Data pre-processing was performed by DPARSF toolkits. The steps were as follows: (i) Raw DICOM data were converted to the NiTiT format; (ii) To allow for instrumental stabilization of the initial signal, first 10 images were discarded; (iii) Images were slice-timing and 3D motion corrected for head motions, we excluded images if patients’ and HC’s head movement data in translational and rotational planes i.e. exceeded 2mm or 2° and 1mm or 1°; (iv) Images were normalized based on the Montreal Neurological Institute (MINI) Space with Smoothing Method (Full Width at Half Maximum, FWHM 4mm); (v) rs-fMRI data were processed with linear detrending and band-pass filtering.

ALFF and FC Analysis

After pre-processing, very low-frequency drift and high-frequency noise was first filtered (band-pass, 0.01–0.08Hz), and then a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) was used to convert the frequency domain. This averaged square root was termed Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation (ALFF) at the given voxel (21). Furthermore, in order to eliminate the physiological signals, fractional ALFF (fALFF) was also performed. In the following FC analysis, according to our present results and referenced by previous CM task-fMRI study results (22), the left orbital part of inferior frontal gyrus, left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri, left middle frontal gyrus and left inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyri, were chosen as a region of interest (ROI). After, seed-to-voxel functional connectivity was performed.

Statistical Analysis

Subjects’ demographic information, including age, gender, education level, and their matched HC groups were analyzed by One-way ANCOVA. Gender related differences were detected by Chi-square tests. ALFF, fALFF and FC results were performed in correlation with CM scale using Pearson Correlation.
The statistical significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA).

The technologists who performed fMRI data analysis were blind to the subjects. Significant brain activation in the whole brain was computed using one-sample t-test in REST (Threshold $p < 0.05$ for every group. Voxel-wise group comparisons were detected with two-sample t-test (AlphaSim correction $p < 0.01$; continuous voxels > 16). The precise anatomical position in the brain, with statistical significance on the corresponding MNI coordinate, was identified using the Viewer in REST. Voxel-wise FC analyses revealed the Pearson correlation coefficients between the seeds and the rest of the whole brain areas. Fisher r-to-z transformation were used to transform FC values into z-values. The group differences in the functional connectivity (AlphaSim correction $p < 0.01$; continuous voxels > 16) were disclosed using two sample t-tests.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Group**

MDD patients ($n = 15$ MDD with CM and $n = 15$ MDD without CM) and matched HC ($n = 17$) participated in this study. As a subject in MDD without CM group was excluded for a big head motion, there were 14 subjects in MDD without CM group in practice. The demographic information, HAMD scores, and CM scores for these groups were shown in Table 1. There were no statistical differences in age, gender, and years of education between the groups. The MDD with or without CM showed higher HAMD scores compared to those in matched HC (MDD with CM: $26.33 \pm 7.99$; MDD without CM: $24.14 \pm 4.88$, HC: $1.06 \pm 1.19$, $p < 0.01$), whereas no significant difference in HAMD scores between CM and without CM groups was observed. MDD with CM had a higher CM score than MDD without CM and HC (MDD with CM: $36.33 \pm 4.03$; MDD without CM: $31.16 \pm 5.63$, HC: $30.83 \pm 4.02$, $p < 0.01$), and there was no significant difference found between MDD without CM and HC.

**ALFF and fALFF Analysis**

Intergroup differences of results from ALFF analysis were shown in Table 2. Compared to HC group, MDD with CM showed increased ALFF in the left orbital part of inferior frontal gyrus ($-45, 18, -9$. BA47/38), left middle frontal gyrus ($-36, 39, 21$. BA10/9/46), left medial of superior frontal gyrus ($-3, 48, 33$. BA9), left supplementary motor area ($-3, -3, 75$. BA6), left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri ($-3, 45, 9$. BA32), left supramarginal gyrus ($-60, -27, 39$. BA1/2), left inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyrus ($-45, -48, 57$. BA40/7), right orbitoal part of middle frontal gyrus ($48, 51, -6$. BA47/10/45), right triangular part of inferior frontal gyrus ($51, 33, 18$. BA46) and right dorsolateral part of superior frontal gyrus ($21, 48, 36$. BA9/8) (Figure 1). Increased ALFF in MDD without CM, compared to HC group, was observed in left triangular part of inferior frontal gyrus ($-48, 48, 3$. BA10/46/47), left middle frontal gyrus ($-24, 51, 36$. BA9), left inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyrus ($-45, -51, 48$. BA40/39), left precuneus ($-6, -72, 57$. BA7), left middle occipital gyrus ($-27, -96, 12$. BA19), right orbitoal part of inferior frontal gyrus ($54, 42, -6$. BA10/47/45), right medial part of superior frontal gyrus ($18, 66, 12$. BA10/9/6/8), right supplementary motor area ($3, -6, 72$. BA6), right precuneus ($3, -66, 45$. BA7), right angular ($57, -60, 24$. BA39) and right temporal role: superior temporal gyrus ($45, 18, -15$. BA38/47/34/28) (Figure 2).

Compared to MDD without CM, increased ALFF was observed in the left frontal middle frontal gyrus ($-27, 48, 12$. NS), left cerebellum ($-33, -75, -39$. NS) and right cerebellum ($39, -78, -39$. NS) in MDD with CM (Figure 3).

Intergroup differences detected in fALFF analysis were shown in Table 3. Compared to HC group, MDD with CM showed increased fALFF in left cuneus ($-9, -84, 18$. BA19) (Figure 4). Increased fALFF in MDD without CM, compared to HC group, was observed in left middle temporal gyrus ($-54, -57, 9$. BA39) (Figure 4).

**FC Analysis**

Intergroup differences observed in FC analysis were shown in Table 4. Left middle frontal gyrus where ALFF was significantly changed between MDD with CM, compared with MDD without CM, was taken as ROI. The left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri, left orbitoal part of inferior frontal gyrus and left inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyrus where ALFF was altered in MDD with CM and MDD without CM, when compared to HC, were also taken as ROIs.

In MDD with CM group, positive FC was observed between left middle frontal gyrus and left precentral gyrus ($-45, -6, -60$. BA39)
 TABLE 2  |  The comparison of ALFF in MDD with CM, MDD patients without CM and controls (AlphaSim-corrected, p < 0.01).

| Hemisphere          | Region                        | BA     | Voxels | MNI      | T-scores | Correlation r&p |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|-----------------|
|                     |                               |        |        | x        | y        | z               |
| Left (Abuse > HC)   | Frontal Inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part | 47/38  | 34     | -45      | 18       | -9              | 4.88          | r=0.06 p=0.81 |
|                     | Middle frontal gyrus          | 10/9/46| 131    | -36      | 39       | 21              | 4.27          | r=-0.1 p=0.71 |
|                     | Superior frontal gyrus, medial | 9      | 16     | -3       | 48       | 33              | 3.75          | r=0.09 p=0.74 |
|                     | Supplementary motor area      | 6      | 29     | -3       | -3       | 75              | 3.63          | NS            |
|                     | Cingulate Anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri | 32    | 59     | -3       | 45       | 9               | 4.76          | r=0.09 p=0.74 |
|                     | Parietal Supramarginal gyrus   | 1/2    | 20     | -60      | -27      | 39              | 4.87          | r=-0.11 p=0.69 |
|                     | Inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyr | 40/7   | 100    | -45      | -48      | 57              | 4.61          | r=-0.08 p=0.77 |
| Right (NS-Abuse > HC) | Frontal Middle frontal gyrus, orbital part | 47/10/45 | 42  | 48       | 51       | -6              | 4.57          | r=-0.09 p=0.73 |
|                     | Inferior frontal gyrus, triangular part | 46     | 18     | 51       | 33       | 18              | 3.39          | r=-0.10 p=0.70 |
|                     | Superior frontal gyrus, dorsolateral | 9/8    | 24     | 21       | 48       | 36              | 3.66          | r=-0.08 p=0.75 |
|                     | Occipital Middle occipital gyrus | 19     | 16     | -27      | -96      | 12              | 4.73          | NS            |
| Right (Abuse > NS-Abuse) | Frontal Inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part | 10/47/45 | 100   | -48      | 48       | 3               | 7.58          | NS            |
|                     | Middle frontal gyrus          | 9      | 34     | -24      | 51       | 36              | 4.11          | NS            |
|                     | Parietal Inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyr | 40/39  | 217    | -45      | -51      | 48              | 5.70          | NS            |
|                     | Occipital Middle occipital gyrus | 19     | 16     | -27      | -96      | 12              | 4.73          | NS            |
|                     | Cerebelum Frontal middle frontal gyrus | NS    | 19     | -27      | 48       | 12              | 3.79          | NS            |
|                     | Cerebelum Middle frontal gyrus | NS    | 43     | -33      | -75      | -39             | 4.12          | NS            |
|                     | Cerebelum Middle frontal gyrus | NS    | 27     | 39       | -78      | -39             | 4.03          | NS            |

ALFF, amplitude of low frequency fluctuation; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; Abuse, MDD patients with CM; NS-Abuse, MDD patients without CM; HC, matched healthy controls; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; x, y and z, coordinates of primary peak locations in the MNI space.; Correlation, the correlation between ALFF and CM scales.

BA6), and negative FC was observed in right medial of superior frontal gyrus (6, 51, 33, BA10). The left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri had positive FC with left parahippocampal gyrus (-24, -6, -36, BA36) and right triangular part of inferior frontal gyrus (33, 18, 27. NS). Negative FC was observed in right superior parietal gyrus (39, -51, 57, BA40). Left orbital part of inferior frontal gyrus had positive FC with left triangular part of inferior frontal gyrus (-24, 30, 6. NS) and negative FC was observed in left medial of superior frontal gyrus (-3, 66, 0, BA10) and right medial of superior frontal gyrus (9, 42, 48, BA8). The left inferior parietal, extending to supramarginal and angular gyri, had positive FC with left Fusiform gyrus (-39, -57, -12. BA19). Negative FCs were found in left inferior temporal gyrus (-48, -3, -42. BA20), left paracentral lobule (-9, -33, 60, BA19), and right middle frontal gyrus (45, 57, 6. BA19) (Figure 5). Negative FC was found in left precentral gyrus (-54, 0, 24, BA19) (Figure 5).

**Correlation between Brain Functional Alteration**

Pearson Correlation showed that FC alteration between the left inferior, extending to supramarginal and angular gyri, and right middle frontal gyrus, had a positive correlation with CM scale (r = 0.68, p < 0.01). All details are shown in Table 2, 3, 4.

**DISCUSSION**

The current study has investigated the impact of maltreatment during early-life in MDD patients by examining functional activation and connectivity during resting state. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that visualizes the whole brain ALFF profiles of MDD with CM during spontaneous brain activity using rs-fMRI. Moreover, FC also has been adopted to detect special brain connectivity. The purpose of this study is to elucidate the mechanism of brain function underlying correlations between MDD and CM experience, based on ALFF...
and FC results. We also aimed to discover distinct brain regions which could differentiate MDD patients with CM experience from patients without CM.

Firstly, we found that under scan of rs-fMRI, compared with HC, MDD patients with CM had enhanced ALFF in prefrontal-limbic regions, left orbital part of inferior frontal gyrus, right orbital part of middle frontal gyrus, which is similar to the results from previous task-state fMRI studies in MDD with CM (23, 24). In MDD patients without CM, compared with HC, increased ALFF only in the right orbital part of inferior frontal gyrus was found. A depressive patient who had CM experience had more activated OFC than MDD without CM in resting state. Furthermore, brain activity in the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) was increased in MDD with CM, but not in MDD without CM. DLPFC had been targeted in transcranial magnetic therapy for MDD. DLPFC is involved in emotional process during the suppression stage, and increased FC was reported in vmPFC (25) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (26). OFC is considered anatomically synonymous with the vmPFC (27–29). The orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) is well-known as a key region for regulating emotion, and any damage in OFC would result in changes in emotion, personality, behavior, and social conduct (30). The loss of volume of OFC was reported in MDD (31, 32). In the present study, both increased OFC and DLPFC were found in MDD with CM. In addition, both precuneus and angular were activated, which play great role in depression (33).

Our results show that ALFF of left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri, which belong to the ACC of limbic system, was increased in MDD with CM, whereas no increased ALFF in any subregion of limbic system was detected in MDD without CM. Previous studies indicated that people with CM had a smaller ACC volume than those without CM (34, 35). Task-state fMRI studies demonstrated that vmPFC/ACC activation plays key roles in processing fear, appraising negative emotions and regulating emotional responses via the limbic system (36–39). Thus, hyperactivity of ACC may underlie fear dysregulation in MDD with CM, compared with patients without CM. Although abnormal function of amygdale (40) and hippocampus (41) are reportedly associated with MDD and CM, we found no alteration in ALFF in the amygdale and hippocampus. Interestingly, altered ALFF in these brain regions in MDD patients with CM was reported using negative emotional discrimination under task-state fMRI (13, 42, 43). The discrepancy between the results may be explained by the following reasons: for the amygdale, vmPFC have direct white matter fiber projection to the amygdale (44, 45) and have a top-down, inhibitory effect on

![Activated brain regions showed by rs-fMRI using method of Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation (ALFF) in MDD patients with childhood maltreatment (CM) compared with the health control (HC). A: Frontal_Mid_L, left middle frontal gyrus; B: Frontal_Inf_Orb_L, left inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part; C: Parietal_Inf_L, left inferior parietal, but supramarginal and angular gyri; D: Cingulum_Ant_L, left anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri; E: Frontal_Sup_Medial_L, left superior frontal gyrus, medial; F: Supp_Motor_Area_L, left supplementary motor area; G: SupraMarginal_L, left supramarginal gyrus; H: Frontal_Mid_Orb_R, right superior frontal gyrus, orbital part; I: Frontal_Inf_Tri_R, right inferior frontal gyrus, triangular part. J: Frontal_Sup_R, right superior frontal gyrus, dorsolateral.](#)
FIGURE 2 | Activated brain regions showed by rs-fMRI using method of ALFF in MDD patients without CM compared with the HC. A: Frontal_Mid_L, left middle frontal gyrus; B: Occipital_Mid_L, left middle occipital gyrus; C: Parietal_Inf_L, left inferior parietal, but supramarginal and angular gyri; D: Frontal_Inf_Tri_L, left inferior frontal gyrus, triangular part; E: Precuneus_R, left precuneus; F: Precuneus_R, right precuneus; G: Frontal_Inf_Orb_R, right inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part; H: Temporal_Pole_Sup_R, right temporal pole: superior temporal gyrus; I: Angular_R, right angular; J: Frontal_Sup_Medial_R, right superior frontal gyurs, medial; K: Supp_Motor_Area_R, right supplementary motor area.

FIGURE 3 | Activated brain regions showed by rs-fMRI using method of ALFF in MDD patients with CM compared with patients without CM. A: Frontal_Mid_L, left middle frontal gyrus; B: Cerebelum_Crus2_L, left cerebellum; C: Cerebelum_Crus2_R, right cerebellum.
the amygdala, because OFC and ACC were both found to have increased ALFF in our study, thus the function of amygdala might be inhibited by OFC; as for the hippocampus, relative to the prefrontal cortex (PFC), it matures earlier from perceptive of evolution, thus the hippocampus is less vulnerable to CM experience (23, 46). Moreover, other factors, such as limited sample number, different states (rest instead of task), and different measurements (task activation vs. amplitude), may also affect the difference.

Secondly, our FC study showed that the left inferior frontal gyrus (orbital part) had increased FC with left inferior frontal gyrus (triangular part), and decreased FCs with bilateral superior medial frontal gyrus. Also, the left anterior cingulated, paracingulate gyri had increased FC with left parahippocampal gyrus, and decreased FC with right superior parietal gyrus in MDD with CM, compared with HC, whereas no FC was observed in these ROIs in MDD without CM. Our results also revealed the dysfunction of OFC in MDD with CM, which was consistent with previous FC studies showing increased connection between sub-regions within the orbital and prefrontal cortex (47–49), specific brain areas playing critical roles in MDD's aberrant networks. Our results showed that the anterior cingulated and paracingulate gyri had decreased FC with superior parietal gyrus, and had increased FC with parahippocampal gyrus, which was similar to results from previous research (50). Given that ACC was a key node in default-mode network and the parahippocampal gyrus essentially involved in memory encoding, aberrant connectivity in MDD with CM may be involved in episodic memory related to experience of CM.

Lastly, after comparing the ALFF between MDD patients with CM and without CM, notably, altered ALFF in the left middle frontal gyrus (LMFG) and cerebellum was unexpectedly detected in MDD with CM compared to those

| TABLE 3 | The comparison of fALFF in MDD with CM, MDD patients without CM and controls (AlphaSim-corrected, p < 0.01). |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Hemisphere     | Brain areas      | BA   | Voxels | MNI   | T-scores | Correlation r&p |
|-----------------|------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| (Abuse > HC)    | Parietal         | 19   | 27     | -9     | -84      | 17.79           | r=-0.16 p=0.57  |
| (NS-Abuse > HC) | Temporal         | 39   | 16     | -54    | -57      | 9               | NS              |
| (Abuse > NS-Abuse) | NS              | NS   | NS     | NS     | NS       | NS              | NS              |

ALFF: fractional amplitude of low frequency fluctuation; MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; Abuse, MDD patients with CM; NS-Abuse, MDD patients without CM; HC, matched healthy controls; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; x, y and z, coordinates of primary peak locations in the MNI space.; Correlation, the correlation between ALFF and CM scales.
without CM. MFG is a part of frontal lobe which has advanced cognitive function and participates in integrating emotion and information from the internal and external environment, and extracting episodic memory (51, 52). LMFG is located in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, which has inhibitive (53) and recalling (54) function in psychological disease. LMFG may play a role in extracting unpleasant memory of early-life CM experience, especially memory of disagreeable verbal information, and impaired LMFG function may affect MDD onset. As for cerebellum, its volume declines in patients with MDD (55) and is involved in the modulation of emotional processing and may act as ‘emotional pacemaker’ (56) in MDD. Thus, MDD patients with CM may have a greater increase in brain activity in recalling past sufferings and emotional experience, than patients without CM.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study revealed altered resting-state brain activation in drug-naive MDD patients with CM experience. The approach using
rs-fMRI may be useful to investigate neural mechanisms into how CM affects developmental trajectory of brain maturation, leading to MDD in the later life.

**LIMITATIONS**

There are two major limitations. Firstly, for Chinese patients with traditional conservative concept in sex, it is difficult to collect any information regarding sex abuse during their childhood. Secondly, CM contains heterogeneous conditions that include emotional abuse, sex abuse, physical abuse, emotional neglect, and physical neglect. We did not separately analyze our results depending on the subtypes of CM in MDD patients due to limited sample number. These limitations will be a line with future inquiry being pursued by our group.
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