Plasma α-synuclein and phosphorylated tau 181 as a diagnostic biomarker panel for de novo Parkinson's disease
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Abstract

The use of a diagnostic panel comprising multiple biomarkers has the potential to accurately diagnose Parkinson’s disease (PD). However, a panel consisting solely of plasma biomarkers to diagnose PD is not available. This study aimed to examine the diagnostic ability of plasma biomarker panels for de novo PD using novel digital ultra-sensitive immunoassay technology. We recruited 45 patients with de novo PD and 20 healthy controls (HCs). The concentrations of plasma α-synuclein (α-syn), amyloid β-42 (Aβ42), Aβ40, phosphorylated tau 181 (p-tau181), neurofilament light (NFL), and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) were quantified using the ultrasensitive single molecule array (Simoa) platform. Patients with de novo PD had higher plasma levels of α-syn and p-tau181 than HCs, adjusting for age and sex. Plasma levels of α-syn and p-tau181 were positively correlated in de novo PD patients. Higher plasma α-syn levels were significantly associated with worse Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) Part III motor scores, modified Hoehn and Yahr (H-Y) stages, and increased risk of PD with mild cognitive impairment (PD-MCI). Higher plasma p-tau181 concentrations were linked to worse H-Y stages. The diagnostic panel using plasma α-syn and p-tau181, combined with age and sex, showed good performance in discriminating de novo PD patients from HCs (area under the curve = 0.806). These findings suggest that plasma α-syn and p-tau181 together may be a promising diagnostic biomarker panel for de novo PD patients.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide and its incidence and prevalence have risen dramatically with the trend of population aging (Bloem et al., 2021). According to the latest statistics, approximately 6.1 million people globally were affected by PD in 2016 (Feigin et al., 2019). Currently, the diagnostic criteria for PD rely mainly on clinical motor manifestations, which do not appear until several years after the neurodegenerative process begins (Bloem et al., 2021). Even when the PD diagnostic criteria are correctly applied, the misdiagnosis rate is very high because of the large amount of clinical overlap between PD and other neurodegenerative diseases, especially during the early stages of the disease (Tolosa et al., 2006). Therefore, reliable biomarkers for early identification of PD patients are urgently needed.

Positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging are very sensitive at detecting decreases in the density of dopaminergic nerve terminals in the basal ganglia; however, these decreases are not unique to PD and these methods are expensive and expose patients to radiation (Verger et al., 2021). The proximity of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to the central nervous system (CNS) makes it an ideal source of diagnostic biomarkers for ongoing pathological processes. However, lumbar puncture (LP) is the only way to collect CSF and is unpopular because of its moderate invasiveness and potentially adverse effects (Duits et al., 2016). Peripheral biofluid samples mainly include saliva and blood (plasma/serum). As saliva is more accessible than blood, it is advantageous to measure biomarkers in saliva. However, there are several technical challenges of proteomic analysis of saliva samples, including low protein concentrations and high inter- and intra-individual variability. Thus, blood appears to be more suitable for assessing biomarkers.

It must be noted that the presence of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) contributes to a very low concentration range of PD blood biomarkers and an extensive body of complex blood matrix proteins further interferes with the measurement of low-abundance target proteins, making it extremely challenging to accurately quantify PD blood biomarkers (Hansson, 2021). With advancements in ultrasensitive immunoassay technology in recent years, the ultrasensitive single-molecule array (Simoa), which is based on the principle of digital protein detection, is 1000 times more sensitive than traditional immunoassay methods, making it the most sensitive protein detection technology available (Rissin et al., 2010). At present, ultrasensitive Simoa analysis kits have achieved successful quantitative detection of candidate biomarkers in plasma for the diagnosis of PD.

Candidate biomarkers for PD include α-synuclein (α-syn), amyloid β (Aβ), tau protein, neurofilament light (NFL), and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP). Pathologically, PD is characterized by degeneration of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal system; the accumulation of α-syn in Lewy bodies (LBs) and Lewy neurites; and various structural changes including amyloid pathology, tauopathy, and axonal damage (Jellinger, 2012). Notably, GFAP, which is a major structural component of astrocytes, is proven to be a useful blood biomarker of astrogliosis in neurodegenerative conditions (Ishiki et al., 2016; Yang & Wang, 2015).

When prior studies have looked for biomarkers that distinguish PD patients from healthy controls (HCs), it has been found that the level of a single biomarker exhibits considerable overlap between the two groups. Considering that PD is a multifactorial condition, a panel of biomarkers reflecting different pathological processes may be better at distinguishing PD patients from controls (Parnetti et al., 2019). A recent study demonstrated that the combination of CSF α-syn species, classic Alzheimer’s disease (AD) biomarkers, and plasma NFL can achieve significant diagnostic accuracy in PD (Oosterveld et al., 2020). However, the disadvantage of the panel used is that it requires some CSF biomarkers obtained by LP.

To the best of our knowledge, a panel solely composed of plasma biomarkers to distinguish between PD patients and HCs has not been investigated using the ultrasensitive Simoa HD-X platform. To address these gaps, we assessed candidate biomarkers in plasma, including α-syn, Aβ42, Aβ40, phosphorylated tau 181 (p-tau181), NFL, and GFAP, to constitute a plasma biomarker panel that can discriminate de novo PD patients from HCs. We hypothesized that a plasma biomarker panel can differentiate de novo PD patients from HCs.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

The study population included 45 patients with de novo PD recruited from the Department of Neurology, Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, and 20 sex- and age-matched HCs free of obvious neurological, psychiatric, or systemic diseases recruited from the community through advertisements between 2018 and 2021. Patients were defined as “de novo PD” according to the following inclusion criteria: (i) newly diagnosed with PD based on the United Kingdom Parkinson’s Disease Society Brain Bank clinical diagnostic criteria (Gibb & Lees, 1988); (ii) drug naive; (iii) early- or middle-stage PD (modified Hoehn and Yahr (H-Y) stage ≤3); (iv) follow-up for at least 1 year; and (v) detailed clinical assessment information. The exclusion criteria were: (i) atypical or secondary parkinsonism disorders; (ii) a diagnosis of dementia associated with PD (PD-D) based on the Movement Disorder Society (MDS) clinical diagnostic criteria (Emre et al., 2007); (iii) brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans indicating obvious clinically significant lesions; and (iv) serious chronic diseases including cardiac failure and diabetestes with complications.

This exploratory study was not pre-registered. We also did not perform blinding or conduct sample calculation. The sample size was based on previous similar studies exploring PD biomarkers (Delgado-Alvarado et al., 2017; Schulz et al., 2021). Ethics approval was obtained from the Medical Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2015-KY030 and 2019-KY019-01) for the use of human subjects in the present study. All participants provided written informed consent.
2.2 | Clinical evaluation

Demographics, motor symptoms, and non-motor symptoms (NMSs), including comprehensive neuropsychological assessments for five cognitive domains, were collected for all PD patients. The demographic data included age, sex, years of formal education, age of PD onset, and disease duration. Age of onset was defined as the age at which subjective motor symptoms first appeared, while disease duration was quantified as the time from first subjective motor symptoms until the time of blood sampling for this study. The severity of motor symptoms and stage of disease were rated using the UPDRS part II, UPDRS part III, and the modified H-Y stage, respectively. NMSs were evaluated using the non-motor symptoms questionnaire (NMSQuest) (Chaudhuri et al., 2006). Emotional state and sleep problems were assessed using the Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D), Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA), and Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale (PDSS). The cognitive complaints interview (CCI) was used to assess subjective cognitive complaints (SCCs) (Thomas-Anterion et al., 2006). Global cognition was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) (Nasreddine et al., 2005). To correct for educational effect, if PD patients were educated for ≤12 years, the MoCA scores (if <30) were increased by 1 point. Detailed neuropsychological tests based on MDS recommendations (Litvan et al., 2012) were used to evaluate the following five cognitive domains: (i) attention/working memory: Digit Span Backward Test (DST), Trail Making Test A (TMT-A), and Stroop Color-Word Test (SCWT; comprising three tasks); (ii) executive function: Trail Making Test B (TMT-B), Clock Drawing Test (CDT), and Verbal Fluence Test (VFT); (iii) language: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III (WAIS-III) Similarities Test and Boston Naming Test (BNT); (iv) memory: Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT) and Logical Memory Test (LMT); and (v) visuospatial function: Benton’s Judgment of Line Orientation Test (JLOT) and Hooper Visual Organization Test (HVOT).

De novo PD patients were classified as PD with normal cognitive function (PD-NC) or PD with mild cognitive impairment (PD-MCI). The PD-MCI diagnostic criteria proposed by MDS level 2 were applied (Litvan et al., 2012): (i) impaired on at least two tests within a single cognitive domain or across different cognitive domains and (ii) with cognitive performance of 1.5 standard deviations (SDs) below the normative sample.

2.3 | Measurement of plasma α-syn, Aβ42, Aβ40, p-tau181, NFL, and GFAP

Venous blood samples were collected from all participants (45 PD patients and 20 HCs) using 9-ml K3-ethylenediamine tetracetic acid (EDTA) tubes, and centrifuged at 2500 g for 15 min at 15-25°C within 1 h of collection to obtain plasma. Plasma samples were then aliquoted and stored at −80°C until testing. Hemolysis of plasma samples was excluded.

Plasma concentrations of α-syn, Aβ42, Aβ40, p-tau181, NFL, and GFAP were measured using the ultrasensitive Simoa Human α-syn Discovery Kit (α-syn; Cat. No. 102233), Neurology 4-Plex E Advantage Kit (Aβ40, Aβ42, GFAP, and NFL; Cat. No. 103670), p-tau 181 V2 Advantage Kit (p-tau181; Cat. No. 103714), and Simoa HD-X Analyzer, in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Samples were measured in duplicate. The coefficient of variation (CV) was less than 10%, demonstrating the stability and reliability of the results. The two quality control samples with high and low concentrations provided as part of the kit were included in each run and the values were all within the expected range.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS, Inc.), and figures were created in GraphPad Prism version 8.3.0 (GraphPad Software) and OriginPro 2021 version 9.8.0.200 (OriginLab). The Shapiro–Wilks test was employed to assess the normal distribution of the data. Outliers were evaluated using the box-plot method with thresholds <Q1 - 1.5 * IQR and > Q3 + 1.5 * IQR. No outliers or individual data points were excluded from the analysis. The mean and SD are presented for continuous variables, while numbers, and percentages are reported for categorical variables. The difference in sex composition between the HC and PD groups was assessed by the chi-square test. Depending on the normality of the variables, the two-sample t test, or Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare demographics other than sex and clinical characteristics between the HC and PD groups. Correlations between age and plasma biomarker levels were assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation or Pearson correlation analysis. Multivariable linear regression analysis was used to compare demographics other than sex and clinical characteristics between the HC and PD groups. Correlations between plasma biomarkers in de novo PD patients were calculated using Spearman’s rank correlation analysis. For the multivariable linear or logistic regression analysis, Z-score transformation of all plasma biomarkers was performed to achieve similar scales, and the association between biomarker levels and clinical outcomes (motor and cognitive) was then investigated controlling for age, sex, and disease duration as potential confounders. The ability of individual or combined biomarkers to predict PD diagnosis was quantified via receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. A two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Participant characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical features of the 20 HCs and 45 de novo PD participants. PD patients and HCs were matched for age, sex, and formal education. The mean age of onset in the PD patients was 56.1 years old and the mean disease
### TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of healthy controls (HCs) and patients with de novo Parkinson’s disease (PD)

| Variables                      | HC (n = 20) | PD (n = 45) | p value |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (years)                    | 61.4 ± 7.1  | 58.0 ± 8.0  | 0.112   |
| Sex (Male/Female)              | 9/11        | 16/29       | 0.470   |
| Formal education (years)       | 11.6 ± 2.4  | 10.0 ± 3.0  | 0.076   |
| Age at onset (years)           | NA          | 56.1 ± 7.9  | NA      |
| Disease duration (years)       | NA          | 1.9 ± 1.1   | NA      |
| UPDRS part II                  | NA          | 7.2 ± 2.9   | NA      |
| UPDRS part III                 | 0.5 ± 1.4   | 21.9 ± 10.3 | <0.001  |
| H-Y stage                      | NA          | 1.6 ± 0.5   | NA      |
| NMSQuest                       | 1.8 ± 1.8   | 7.5 ± 3.7   | <0.001  |
| HAMD                           | 1.1 ± 2.4   | 8.6 ± 5.7   | <0.001  |
| HAMA                           | 0.6 ± 1.2   | 6.3 ± 4.4   | <0.001  |
| PDSS                           | NA          | 128.3 ± 17.6| NA      |
| CCI                            | 1.7 ± 1.7   | 3.0 ± 2.4   | 0.030   |
| MMSE                           | 28.7 ± 1.1  | 27.7 ± 2.3  | 0.214   |
| MCI                            | NA          | 27 (60.0%)  | NA      |
| Attention/working memory       |             |             |         |
| DST                            | 10.7 ± 2.1  | 11.9 ± 2.6  | 0.073   |
| DST-forward                    | 6.7 ± 1.7   | 7.2 ± 1.4   | 0.119   |
| DST-backward                   | 4.1 ± 1.1   | 4.6 ± 1.5   | 0.181   |
| TMT-A (second)                 | 82.7 ± 24.2 | 97.4 ± 46.8 | 0.238   |
| SCWT-A-time (second)           | 23.0 ± 4.1  | 28.8 ± 10.0 | 0.003   |
| SCWT-B-time (second)           | 32.4 ± 6.3  | 40.6 ± 16.4 | 0.008   |
| SCWT-C-time (second)           | 66.1 ± 14.5 | 73.1 ± 21.5 | 0.186   |
| SCWT-A-right                   | 50.0 ± 0.2  | 49.9 ± 0.4  | 0.785   |
| SCWT-B-right                   | 49.6 ± 1.0  | 48.8 ± 2.8  | 0.312   |
| SCWT-C-right                   | 47.4 ± 2.3  | 46.7 ± 5.9  | 0.194   |
| Executive function             |             |             |         |
| TMT-B (second)                 | 156.0 ± 38.4| 203.1 ± 88.5| 0.057   |
| CDT                            | 10.0 ± 0.0  | 8.2 ± 2.4   | <0.001  |
| VFT                            | 19.2 ± 2.9  | 18.2 ± 4.9  | 0.272   |
| Language                       |             |             |         |
| Similarities                   | 17.4 ± 3.4  | 15.6 ± 4.9  | 0.228   |
| BNT                            | 25.5 ± 2.5  | 23.4 ± 4.4  | 0.110   |
| Memory                         |             |             |         |
| AVLT-delayed recall            | 7.2 ± 2.5   | 5.7 ± 2.4   | 0.031   |
| AVLT-recognition               | 23.0 ± 1.1  | 22.0 ± 1.7  | 0.032   |
| LMT-delayed recall             | 6.9 ± 1.7   | 5.9 ± 2.4   | 0.109   |
| Visuospatial function          |             |             |         |
| JLOT                           | 24.8 ± 2.5  | 22.7 ± 3.1  | 0.009   |
| HVOT                           | 16.9 ± 3.9  | 13.5 ± 4.9  | 0.008   |

Note: "n" refers to the number of participants.

Data are presented as the mean ± SD or n (%).

p-values were calculated using the two-sample t test, Mann-Whitney U test, or Chi-square test. Statistically significant values (p < 0.05) are indicated in bold.

Abbreviations: AVLT, Auditory Verbal Learning Test; BNT, Boston Naming Test; CCI, cognitive complaints interview; CDT, Clock Drawing Test; DST, Digit Span Backward Test; HAMA, Hamilton anxiety scale; HAMD, Hamilton depression scale; HVOT, Hooper Visual Organization Test; H-Y, Hoehn and Yahr; JLOT, Benton’s Judgment of Line Orientation Test; LMT, Logical Memory Test; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; NA, not available; NMSQuest, non-motor symptoms questionnaire; PDSS, Parkinson disease sleep scale; SCWT, Stroop Color-Word Test; TMT-A, Trail Making Test A; TMT-B, Trail Making Test B; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale; VFT, Verbal Fluence Test.
duration was 7.9 years. The PD patients had more severe motor symptoms (UPDRS part III) and NMSs (NMSQuest, HAMD, HAMA, CCI, and MoCA) than the HC group. For the neuropsychological tests, compared with the HC group, the PD patients performed significantly poorer on the SCWT-A-time, SCWT-B-time, CDT, AVLT-delayed recall, AVLT-recognition, JLOT, and HVOT. In PD patients, both plasma A\(^\beta\)\(_{42}\) and p-tau181 levels were positively correlated with age (rs = 0.315, p = 0.035; rs = 0.434, p = 0.003, respectively).

3.2 | Comparisons of plasma biomarkers between HC and PD groups

Comparisons of all plasma biomarker concentrations between HC and PD groups in multivariable analyses adjusted for age and sex are shown in Figure 1. There were no significant differences in terms of plasma A\(^\beta\)\(_{42}\), A\(^\beta\)\(_{40}\), NFL, or GFAP concentrations between the PD and HC groups (A\(^\beta\)\(_{42}\): 6.7 ± 1.2 vs. 6.8 ± 1.6 pg/ml, p = 0.893; A\(^\beta\)\(_{40}\): 93.3 ± 21.9 vs. 98.2 ± 25.0 pg/ml, p = 0.790; NFL: 13.3 ± 8.5 vs. 12.7 ± 5.0 pg/ml, p = 0.599; GFAP: 82.0 ± 28.1 vs. 84.3 ± 32.2 pg/ml, p = 0.990; Figure 1b, c, e, f). However, plasma \(\alpha\)-syn and p-tau181 levels were significantly elevated in PD patients compared to the HC group (\(\alpha\)-syn: 8470.0 ± 7193.9 vs. 4568.4 ± 2878.2 pg/ml, p = 0.040; p-tau181: 2.1 ± 1.3 vs. 1.5 ± 0.3 pg/ml, p = 0.015; Figure 1a, d).

3.3 | Correlations between plasma biomarkers in PD patients

Figure 2 shows the correlations between plasma biomarkers in the PD group. The plasma \(\alpha\)-syn level was positively correlated with plasma p-tau181 concentration (rs = 0.34, p = 0.022), and the plasma A\(^\beta\)\(_{42}\) level was positively associated with plasma A\(^\beta\)\(_{40}\) concentration (rs = 0.66, p < 0.001). Moreover, the plasma NFL level was positively associated with plasma GFAP and A\(^\beta\)\(_{40}\) concentrations (rs = 0.39, p = 0.008; rs = 0.52, p < 0.001, respectively).
3.4 | Relationships between plasma biomarkers and clinical outcomes in PD patients

Next, we explored whether plasma biomarkers were related to motor or cognitive outcomes in de novo PD patients by multivariable regression analysis, controlling for age, sex, and disease duration (Table 2). Higher plasma α-syn levels were significantly associated with worse UPDRS Part III motor scores (β = 3.105, 95% CI: 0.041–6.170, p = 0.047), worse modified H-Y stages (β = 0.203, 95% CI: 0.056–0.350, p = 0.008), and increased risk of PD-MCI (OR = 2.536, 95% CI: 1.030–6.245, p = 0.043). However, higher plasma p-tau181 concentrations were only significantly related to worse H-Y stages (β = 0.243, 95% CI: 0.091–0.394, p = 0.002).

3.5 | Combined basic clinical features and plasma biomarkers for PD diagnosis

The ability of age, sex, and the aforementioned significant plasma α-syn and p-tau181 biomarkers to diagnose PD was expressed as the area under the curve (AUC) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) in the ROC analysis (Figure 3). Compared to the basic model (age and sex, AUC 0.646, 95% CI 0.497–0.794, sensitivity 55.6%, specificity 80.0%, p = 0.063), diagnostic ability increased when adding the plasma α-syn or p-tau181 biomarker (basic + α-syn: AUC 0.719, 95% CI 0.588–0.849, sensitivity 55.6%, specificity 83.3%, p = 0.007; basic + p-tau181: AUC 0.782, 95% CI 0.665–0.900, sensitivity 66.7%, specificity 83.3%, p = 0.001).
4 | DISCUSSION

This is the first study to evaluate the diagnostic value of plasma biomarkers to identify de novo PD using the ultrasensitive Simoa HD-X platform. The results showed that plasma α-syn and p-tau181 levels were elevated in the de novo PD group compared to the HC group. Furthermore, higher plasma α-syn levels were significantly associated with worse motor and cognitive impairments, and higher plasma p-tau181 concentrations were related to motor symptoms. Importantly, the combination of age, sex, plasma α-syn, and p-tau181 showed good performance for discriminating de novo PD patients from HCs (AUC 0.806). Therefore, plasma α-syn and p-tau181 may serve as a promising biomarker panel for the discrimination of de novo PD patients.

The findings that plasma α-syn levels are higher in PD patients compared with HCs, controlling for age and sex, using the ultrasensitive Simoa HD-X platform, are consistent with previous research results (Ng et al., 2019; Youssef et al., 2021). Studies using other platform immunoassays, such as immunomagnetic reduction (IMR), Biolegend, and Mesoscale Discovery, also report elevated plasma α-synuclein in PD patients compared with controls (Chang et al., 2019; Youssef et al., 2021), although there are some conflicting reports using similar platforms (Besong-Agbo et al., 2013; Fouleds et al., 2013). In view of our findings, it may be less important to use platforms with higher sensitivity and more important to consider pre-analytical factors, including hemolysis and patients' demographic data. However, even using the combination of age and sex with plasma α-synuclein detected by ultrasensitive Simoa, the identification potential of this panel was only 0.719 (AUC), which indicates that plasma α-synuclein level itself may not become a clear diagnostic biomarker of PD. Importantly, the mechanism of plasma α-syn increase in PD patients remains unclear. Some researchers have suggested that plasma α-syn is attributed to CSF efflux (Bates et al., 2015), while others believe that plasma α-syn may derive from peripheral tissues, such as the enteric nerve plexus (Berg et al., 2021; Klingelhofer & Reichmann, 2015). Furthermore, in our study, higher plasma α-syn concentrations were significantly linked with worse motor and cognitive outcomes. Longitudinal follow-up studies have confirmed that plasma α-syn predicts PD motor and cognitive progression (Foulds et al., 2013; Niu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018). In contrast to the limited longitudinal follow-up cohorts with plasma α-syn data, there are many longitudinal assessments of CSF α-syn. Notably, recent studies have found that CSF α-syn does not change significantly over time, implying that it is not a biomarker of PD progression (Ferland et al., 2018; Mollenhauer et al., 2016; Mollenhauer et al., 2017; Mollenhauer et al., 2019). Considering that CSF α-syn level seems unrelated to the corresponding plasma α-syn concentration (Goldman et al., 2018), the conclusions drawn from the longitudinal follow-up cohorts of CSF α-syn may not be applicable to whether plasma α-synuclein is a useful biomarker of PD progression. Therefore, further longitudinal evaluation of plasma α-synuclein is valuable and necessary.

It is undeniable that there are few studies assessing p-tau181 in plasma samples of PD patients, and most studies still focus on CSF samples. Our study is the first to report increased plasma p-tau181 levels in PD patients compared to the HC group using the ultrasensitive Simoa detection technology, which is in line with previous studies using IMR (Lin et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2021). Similar to plasma α-syn, increased plasma p-tau181 is related to worse motor symptoms in PD, which may be because of the interaction between α-syn and p-tau181. The tau encoding gene MAPT and α-syn encoding gene SNCA were both found to correlate with PD in a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (Nalls et al., 2011), and the PD risk alleles of MAPT were associated with global parkinsonism in a multicenter study (Shulman et al., 2014). In a postmortem study, co-aggregation of tau protein and α-syn was observed in LBs (Ishizawa et al., 2003). In various PD models, α-syn has been shown to trigger focal tau pathology and promote the phosphorylation of tau; in addition, increased p-tau concentrations have been found in the striatum of PD patients (Duka et al., 2009; Hadi et al., 2021; Haggerty et al., 2011). Moreover, longitudinal follow-up studies have proven that high p-tau levels in CSF are associated with worsening motor symptoms (Hall et al., 2015; Hall et al., 2016). These findings support the positive correlation between plasma α-syn and p-tau181 concentrations in this study and suggest that tau pathology plays an important role in motor progression in PD.

Although PD patients have higher plasma α-syn and p-tau181 levels than HCs adjusted for age and sex, we observed a certain
degree of overlap in the concentration ranges of these two biomarkers between groups. Considering the positive correlation between plasma α-syn and p-tau181, as well as its impact on the clinical manifestations of PD, we used plasma α-syn and p-tau181 biomarkers in combination with age and sex to form a panel to identify de novo PD patients from HCs. As a result, the ability to diagnose PD significantly improved. Our ability to improve discrimination ability using the plasma biomarker panel confirms the recent view that a combination of biomarkers can more accurately distinguish PD patients from healthy controls than a single biomarker (Parnetti et al., 2019).

Given the cost-effectiveness and global availability of ultrasensitive Simoa assays, the use of plasma biomarker panels for diagnosing PD—whether in primary care settings or specialist clinics—is gradually approaching clinical application.

The strength of this study lies in the application of the ultrasensitive Simoa HD-X platform to systematically quantify ultralow concentrations of PD-related proteins to establish a panel of plasma biomarkers to distinguish de novo PD patients and HCs. However, there are some limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional study design, we cannot test the predictive value of the panel for motor and cognition outcomes, nor can we obtain the trajectory of these plasma biomarkers over time, which will be important in future research. Therefore, longitudinal follow-up studies are needed to track the biomarker profiles of PD patients to reveal changes in plasma biomarkers during disease progression and predictive performance for clinical outcomes. Second, in view of the potential side effects of LP, it is currently difficult to obtain CSF data to explore the correspondence between biomarkers in plasma and CSF. Third, because of difficulty in obtaining detailed information—especially neuropsychological test scores and qualified blood samples—the number of subjects in this study is limited. Therefore, our findings need to be verified in a larger cohort. Fourth, because of the limitations of detection technology, we did not explore α-syn species, including oligomeric α-syn, phosphorylated α-syn, and α-syn aggregates, which may have better diagnostic value (Parnetti et al., 2019).

In conclusion, the positively correlated plasma α-syn and p-tau181 are associated with motor and cognitive dysfunction in de novo PD patients. These two biomarkers, when combined with age and sex, show a good performance for discriminating de novo PD patients from HCs. Therefore, a biomarker panel that includes plasma α-syn and p-tau181 may be useful for distinguishing de novo PD patients. Larger multicenter longitudinal studies are essential for examining the mechanisms of plasma α-syn and p-tau181 in PD.
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