Trends and disparities in the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma
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Abstract

Introduction: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) prior to radical or partial cystectomy is considered the standard of care for eligible patients with muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma. Despite guideline recommendations, adoption of NAC has historically been low, although prior studies have suggested that use is increasing. In this contemporary study, we examine trends in the use of NAC and explore factors associated with its receipt.

Methods: We identified patients in the National Cancer Database who underwent radical or partial cystectomy for cT2-cT4N0M0 urothelial carcinoma from 2006–2014. The proportion of patients receiving NAC during each year was examined. Logistic regression models were used to evaluate clinical and socioeconomic factors associated with the receipt of NAC.

Results: A total of 18 188 patients were identified who underwent radical or partial cystectomy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Overall, 3940 (21.7%) received NAC. We noted a significant increase in the use of NAC over time, from 9.7% in 2006 to 32.2% in 2014. Factors associated with lower use of NAC include older age, higher comorbidity score, lower cT stage, lower hospital radical cystectomy volume, treatment at a non-academic facility, lower patient income, and receipt of partial cystectomy (all p<0.001). Interestingly, neither sex nor race were associated with receipt of NAC.

Conclusions: Use of NAC has increased significantly over time to a modest rate of 32%. However, disparities still exist in the receipt of NAC, and future efforts aimed at mitigating these disparities are warranted.
Trained personnel using standardized methodology abstracted clinical, pathological, treatment, and demographic data.

Study population

We identified all patients in the NCDB who underwent radical or partial cystectomy between 2006 and 2014 for cT2-cT4N0M0 urothelial carcinoma. Patients with non-urothelial histology were excluded.

Outcomes of interest

The primary aim of the study was to determine the proportion of patients receiving NAC prior to partial cystectomy (PC) or RC during each year included in the study. The secondary aim was to evaluate clinical, pathological, treatment facility, and demographic factors associated with the receipt of NAC. NAC was defined as the administration of systemic chemotherapy prior to undergoing RC or PC.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics between patients who did vs. did not receive NAC were compared using Chi-square test for categorical variables and t-test for continuous variables, as appropriate in univariate analysis. The proportion of patients during each year who received NAC was calculated and trends were plotted by calendar year. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to examine factors associated with the receipt of NAC. The following variables were included in the model: age, hospital volume, sex, race, income, comorbidities, treatment facility type, clinical stage, and PC. Predefined subgroup analyses were performed to determine the rates and trends in the use of NAC among patients undergoing RC and PC separately.

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). All tests were two-sided, with p<0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

We identified 18 188 patients in the NCDB who underwent RC or PC for cT2-T4N0M0 MIBC from 2006–2014. The mean age at diagnosis was 68.5 years (±10.32); 75.4% were male. The majority of patients were cT2 (80.4%). Additional patient demographic factors are listed in Table 1.

NAC vs. no NAC

A total of 3940 (21.7%) received NAC prior to cystectomy. Significant baseline differences in age, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), insurance, income level, treatment facility, and clinical stage were identified between those receiving and not receiving NAC. The median time from diagnosis to surgery (RC or PC) in patients receiving NAC was 154 days (interquartile range [IQR] 125–187) vs. 52 days (IQR 33–84) in those not receiving NAC. The
median time from diagnosis to NAC initiation was 37 days (IQR 23–56) (Table 2).

### Table 2. Patient demographics of those receiving vs. not receiving NAC prior to radical or partial cystectomy from 2006–2014

|                          | No NAC          | NAC             | p   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| Total number of patients (%) | 14248 (78.3)    | 3940 (21.7)     |     |
| Radical cystectomy       | 13323 (77.7)    | 3834 (22.3)     |     |
| Partial cystectomy       | 925 (89.7)      | 106 (10.3)      |     |
| Age, mean (SD)           | 69.41 (10.33)   | 65.17 (9.57)    | <0.001 |
| Sex                      | 0.219           |                 |     |
| Male                     | 10707 (75.1)    | 2999 (76.1)     |     |
| Female                   | 3541 (24.9)     | 941 (23.1)      |     |
| Race                     | 0.798           |                 |     |
| White                    | 13065 (91.7)    | 3616 (91.8)     |     |
| Black                    | 740 (5.2)       | 198 (5)         |     |
| Other                    | 313 (2.2)       | 94 (2.4)        |     |
| Unknown                  | 130 (0.9)       | 32 (0.8)        |     |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index | <0.001         |                 |     |
| ≤2                       | 13134 (92.2)    | 3740 (94.9)     |     |
| >2                       | 1114 (7.8)      | 200 (5.1)       |     |
| Insurance                | <0.001          |                 |     |
| Government               | 9679 (67.9)     | 2211 (66.1)     |     |
| No insurance             | 346 (2.4)       | 105 (2.7)       |     |
| Private                  | 4063 (28.5)     | 1557 (39.5)     |     |
| Unknown                  | 160 (1.1)       | 67 (1.7)        |     |
| Income                   | <0.001          |                 |     |
| ≤46 000                  | 8353 (58.6)     | 2085 (52.9)     |     |
| >46 000                  | 5373 (37.7)     | 1706 (43.3)     |     |
| Unknown                  | 522 (3.7)       | 149 (3.8)       |     |
| Community                | 0.74            |                 |     |
| Metro                    | 10804 (75.8)    | 3011 (76.4)     |     |
| Urban                    | 2082 (14.6)     | 574 (14.6)      |     |
| Rural                    | 858 (6)         | 220 (5.6)       |     |
| Unknown                  | 504 (3.5)       | 315 (3.4)       |     |
| Treatment facility       | <0.001          |                 |     |
| Community cancer program/comprehensive community cancer program | 7388 (61.9) | 1646 (41.8) |     |
| Academic/research program | 6800 (47.7)     | 2270 (57.6)     |     |
| Other                    | 60 (0.4)        | 24 (0.6)        |     |
| Clinical stage           | <0.001          |                 |     |
| cT2                      | 11608 (81.5)    | 3023 (76.7)     |     |
| cT3                      | 1673 (11.7)     | 525 (13.3)      |     |
| cT4                      | 967 (6.8)       | 392 (9.9)       |     |
| Median time from diagnosis to surgery, days (IQR) | 52 (33–84) | 154 (125–187) |     |
| Median time from diagnosis to NAC, days (IQR) | - | 37 (23–56) |     |

IQR: interquartile range; NAC: neoadjuvant chemotherapy; SD: standard deviation.

### NAC trend

Overall, increased use of NAC was noted during the study period, from 9.7% in 2006 to 32.2% in 2014 (Fig. 1A). In those undergoing radical cystectomy, we found an increase in use of 23.1% over an eight-year period. Utilization rates increased in PC patients as well, although to a lesser degree (Fig. 1B).

### Factors associated with the receipt of NAC

On multivariable analysis (Table 3), factors associated with lower use of NAC include older age, increased number of comorbidities, lower cT stage, lower hospital RC volume, treatment received at a non-academic facility, lower patient income, and receipt of partial cystectomy (all p<0.01). Neither patient sex nor race was associated with the receipt of NAC (Table 3).

### Table 3. Multivariable regression model of factors associated with delivery/receipt of NAC

| Factor                                         | Odds ratio | 95% CI     | p     |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Age (per each increased year)                  | 0.96       | 0.95–0.97  | <0.001|
| Hospital volume                                |            |            |       |
| <20                                            | 0.87       | 0.79–0.95  | <0.001|
| ≥20                                            | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| Sex                                             |            |            |       |
| Male                                            | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| Female                                          | 1.00       | 0.91–1.09  | 0.98  |
| Race                                            |            |            |       |
| White                                           | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| Black                                           | 0.89       | 0.75–1.06  | 0.19  |
| Other                                           | 0.96       | 0.75–1.23  | 0.76  |
| Income                                          |            |            |       |
| ≤46 000                                        | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| >46 000                                        | 1.28       | 1.19–1.39  | <0.001|
| Comorbidities                                   |            |            |       |
| ≤2                                             | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| >2                                             | 0.70       | 0.60–0.82  | <0.001|
| Facility                                        |            |            |       |
| Community cancer program/comprehensive community cancer program | 0.75     | 0.69–0.81  | <0.001|
| Academic/research program                       | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| Clinical stage                                  |            |            |       |
| cT2                                            | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| cT3                                            | 1.28       | 1.15–1.43  | <0.001|
| cT4                                            | 1.56       | 1.37–1.78  | <0.001|
| Type of surgery                                 |            |            |       |
| Radical cystectomy                              | 1.00       | –          | –     |
| Partial cystectomy                              | 0.50       | 0.40–0.62  | <0.001|

CI: confidence interval; NAC: neoadjuvant chemotherapy.
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for MIBC

Discussion

Herein, we identified that the use of NAC has continued to increase with time. Over an eight-year period, the rate of NAC use in MIBC patients tripled from 9.7% in 2006 to 32.2% in 2014. The odds of receiving NAC were significantly lower in patients with advanced age, increased comorbidities, lower cT stage, and lower income. Non-patient factors associated with decreased NAC receipt were lower hospital RC volume and treatment at non-academic centres. Uniquely, our study noted that receipt of NAC in those undergoing PC was low despite guideline recommendations.7

It has been over a decade since Grossman et al demonstrated a survival advantage with administration of NAC prior to RC in MIBC.2 Subsequent trials and a meta-analysis of over 3000 patients have further confirmed this finding, resulting in NAC being current standard of care.3 Despite this robust evidence, use of NAC in the U.S. has been historically low. A study in by Rehman et al in 2012 identified factors such as patient refusal, need for immediate surgery, and medical comorbidities as reasons for patients not receiving NAC. They also found an alarming 71% of patients were not offered consultation regarding NAC prior to their RC.8

Prior NCDB analyses have shown a variety of patient, social, and medical system factors to be related with NAC use.9,10 Similarly, our study noted elderly age and increased comorbidity to be associated with decreased NAC use. Importantly, age and morbidity status are key factors in deciding fitness for NAC. Bladder cancer, for the most part, is a disease of the elderly, with the median age of diagnosis being 73 years old. NAC itself is not definitive treatment, but rather part of a multimodal approach ultimately ending in RC. Therefore, if potential exists for significant chemotherapy-related toxicity, then treating physicians often proceed directly to surgery, in part explaining the low use noted in our study.

Both social and societal factors influenced the use of NAC in our study. Hospitals with lower RC volume and treatment at non-academic facilities are associated with decreased use of NAC. Studies have shown worse survival outcomes in patients treated at low-volume, non-academic centres.12,13 In light of this, some argue RC should be performed only in high-volume centres, where access to multidisciplinary care and established perioperative care pathways improve patient outcome.14 We also found patients with lower income were less likely to receive NAC in treatment of their MIBC, potentially a result of inadequate insurance coverage.

NAC use in patients undergoing PC in our study cohort was low, with only 16.5% of patients receiving treatment in 2014. In highly select cases, PC can be offered as a treatment option for MIBC.7 However, it is of utmost importance that those offering PC recognize they are treating the same pathology as those undergoing RC. Therefore, adjunctive treatment and procedures such as NAC and pelvic lymph node dissection apply in the same way they do for RC patients. In fact, when used prior to PC, NAC has been shown to have acceptable oncological outcomes in highly selected patients.15

Underuse of NAC for bladder cancer is not unique to the U.S. Similar trends have been identified elsewhere in the world. In South Korea, Kim et al noted very low NAC utilization rates of 8.4% in 2013. Although very low, the author’s state use had increased significantly from prior years.16 They believe the low rates observed in their study relate to healthcare policy in their country and the lack of national support for NAC use. Contrary to our data and that of the Koreans is the NAC use rate in Japan. A recent publication from Anan et al found 83% utilization rate over the past decade.17 It should be noted, however, that 83% of their patient cohort received carboplatin-based NAC, a regimen not recommended in the U.S.7

Although current guidelines recommend considering NAC in all patients with MIBC, significant efforts are underway to optimize patient selection for NAC. As an example, MD Anderson Cancer Centre has developed and validated a clinical risk stratification model to identify those believed to

Fig. 1A. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy use from 2006–2014 in all patients.

Fig. 1B. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy use from 2006–2014 in patients undergoing radical (n=17 157) or partial cystectomy (n=1031).
gain the most benefit from NAC. In this model, patients are considered high-risk based on a combination of clinical and pathological variables (hydronephrosis, cT3b-T4a, lympho-vascular invasion, micropapillary or neuroendocrine histology on transurethral resection). Patients not possessing these features are considered “low-risk” and not offered NAC, as they were found to have similar-if not worse-disease-specific survival to those with organ-confined disease (≥pT2).19

Recently, we have come to understand not all MBCs are the same and thus standard treatment algorithms and guidelines may not be applicable in all cases. Genomic subtypes and genetic alterations with distinct molecular profiles and varied response to NAC have been described. As our understanding of MIBC biology continues to evolve, more personalized treatment decisions will allow us to better select chemo-sensitive tumours.

We recognize several limitations to this study. First, NCDB does not include information on the type of NAC used or the number of cycles received. Second, we are unable to assess the proportion of patients denied NAC following appropriate medical oncology assessment vs. those without consultation. Furthermore, we are unable to assess reasons for denial (i.e., comorbidities, renal function) and/or patient refusal secondary to NCDB limitations. We know from our previous work that these factors are substantial reasons why patients do not receive NAC. Nonetheless, we believe this contemporary study highlights an encouraging trend of increased NAC use, but also recognizes much work still needs to be done.

Conclusion

NAC use continues to increase over time, however, significant disparities exist in who receives it. Continued efforts aimed at understanding and mitigating these disparities are required. Improved risk stratification and identification of those with chemo-sensitive tumour types are potential strategies that will increase the use and effectiveness of NAC.
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