Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between perfectionism and competitive anxiety in a sample of athletes. One hundred and seventy three volunteer athletes (115 males, 58 females) were included in this study. All participants were asked to complete the Competitive Perfectionism Scale (CPS) and the Multidimensional Competitive Anxiety Questionnaire (MCAQ). The results revealed that striving for perfection (positive perfectionism) was negatively associated with cognitive and somatic anxiety, and positively associated with self-confidence. The results also revealed that negative reaction to imperfection (negative perfectionism) was positively associated with cognitive and somatic anxiety, and negatively associated with self-confidence.
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1. Introduction

The stressful nature of sport and the competitive environment place many demands on athletes (Jones, 1995). Accordingly, an area of research in sport psychology has been directed towards the emotional responses to such stressors and in particular the study of competitive anxiety (Martens, Vealey, & Burton, 1990; Woodman & Hardy, 2001). Competitive state anxiety is conceptualized as a situation-specific multidimensional construct comprising cognitive and somatic components (Martens et al., 1990; Smith, Smoll, Cumming, & Grossbard, 2006). In the experience of competitive anxiety, three main dimensions have been differentiated: cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety, and self-confidence (Martens et al., 1990). Cognitive anxiety involves cognitions about possible failure, while somatic anxiety involves the perception of bodily symptoms and heightened negative arousal. Self-confidence, on the other hand, involves cognitions that one is up to the task and able to give one’s best possible performance. Consequently, self-confidence prior to and during competitions usually indicates low competitive anxiety and is often associated with higher performance (Craft, Magyar, Becker, & Feltz, 2003).

While some researchers have identified adaptive perfectionism as a key characteristic to achieve elite performance in sports (Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett, 2002), others see perfectionism as a maladaptive characteristic that undermines, rather than helps athletic performance and thus represents an impediment to athletic development (Flett & Hewitt, 2005; Hall, 2006). Perfectionism is commonly conceived of as a personality style characterized by striving for flawlessness and setting of excessively high standards for performance accompanied by...
tendencies for overly critical evaluations of one’s behavior (Flett & Hewitt, 2002; Frost, Marten, Lahart, & Rosenblate, 1990). Perfectionism, however, is a multidimensional and multifaceted characteristic (Benson, 2003).

In particular, two major dimensions of perfectionism need to be differentiated (Frost, Heimberg, Holt, Mattia, & Neubauer, 1993; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). The first dimension has been described as positive striving perfectionism (Frost et al., 1993) and captures those facets of perfectionism that relate to perfectionistic striving, having perfectionistic personal standards, and setting exacting standards for one’s performance. This dimension has shown positive correlations with indicators of good psychological adjustment such as positive affect, endurance, academic achievement, and test performance (e.g., Bieling, Israeli, Smith, & Antony, 2003; Frost et al., 1993; Stoeber & Kersting, 2007; Stumpf & Parker, 2000). The second dimension has been described as self-critical perfectionism (Dunkley, Zuroff, & Blankstein, 2003) and captures those facets of perfectionism that relate to critical self-evaluations of one’s performance, feelings of discrepancy between expectations and results, perfectionistic concern over mistakes and others’ high expectations, and fears that others’ acceptance is conditional on one’s being perfect. This dimension has shown positive correlations with indicators of maladjustment such as negative affect, low self-esteem, and low self-efficacy (e.g., Dunkley et al., 2003; Frost et al., 1993; Stumpf & Otto, 2006, for a comprehensive review). However, perfectionism is multidimensional and multifaceted, and only some dimensions and facets are clearly negative, harmful, and maladaptive whereas others are positive, benign, and possibly adaptive (Chang, 2003; Enns & Cox, 2002; Stoeber & Otto, 2006).

With reference to the existing evidence, competitive anxiety can be attributed to the negative aspects of perfectionism and specifically to the “negative reactions to imperfection”. These evidences show the need to make distinctions between the negative and positive aspects of perfectionism among athletes. Accordingly, based on the aforementioned points and on the existing findings, the following hypotheses can posed for the study:

1) “striving for perfection” (positive perfectionism) is related negatively to competitive anxiety and positively to self-confidence;

2) “Negative reaction to imperfection” is related positively to competitive anxiety and negatively to self-confidence.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

The population of this study included professional athletes engaged in different sports majors across the country. 173 athletes (115 males and 58 females) from federations of wrestling, basketball, football, volleyball, karate, Taekwondo, swimming and gymnastics participated voluntarily in this study from Aban 87 till Shahrivar 88. Participants were asked to fill Competitive Perfectionism Scale (CPS; Besharat, 2009) and Multidimensional Competitive Anxiety Questionnaire (MCAQ; Besharat, 2007). Total mean score for the athletes’ ages was 23, ranging from 18 to 29 (SD=2.85); mean age score was 23.5, ranging from 19 to 29 (SD=2.90) for male athletes, and 22.5, ranging from 18 to 28 (SD=2.80) for female athletes.

2.2. Instruments

Competitive perfectionism Scale (CPS)- The CPS is a 10-item test designed and standardized by Besharat (2009) to assess the positive and negative dimensions of competitive perfectionism among Iranian samples. Items tap the two dimensions of perfectionism, i.e. striving for perfection and negative reaction to imperfection on a 5-point Likert Scale ranging from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high). The psychometric properties of CPS have been confirmed across several studies (Besharat, M.A, 2009). According to preliminary findings, Cronbach alpha levels of each of the subscales, for a sample consisting of 133 athletes of different athletic levels and different sport majors, were estimated at .93 and .90 for items of the subscales respectively, which indicate a high internal consistency for the test. Correlation coefficients between the scores of 54 subjects of the aforementioned sample, measured at two times over a two-to-four-weeks span, were calculated as r=.83 (for “striving for perfection”) and r= .78 (for “negative reaction to imperfection”), both of which were significant at p<.001. These coefficients are indicative of the desirable test-retest reliability of CPS. Content validity of the scale was examined based on judgments obtained from ten psychology and physical education experts; Kendall’s coefficient of concordance were calculated .81 and .85 for each of the subscales of the questionnaire.
Multidimensional Competitive Anxiety Questionnaire (MCAQ)- The MCAQ is a 15-item questionnaire devised and standardized by Besharat (2007) to assess various dimensions of competitive anxiety in Iranian samples. Items measure the three dimensions of competitive anxiety- i.e. cognitive anxiety, somatic anxiety and self confidence- rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high). Psychometric properties of the Multidimensional Competitive Anxiety Questionnaire have been confirmed across several studies (Besharat M.A, 2007). Based on preliminary findings, Cronbach alpha levels of each of the subscales, for a sample consisting of 133 athletes of different athletic levels and different sport majors, were estimated at .90, .83 and .89, respectively which represent a high internal consistency for the test. Correlation coefficients between the scores of 54 subjects of the aforementioned sample, measured at two times over a two-to-four-weeks span, were calculated as r=.81 (for cognitive anxiety), r=.72 (for somatic anxiety) and r=.77 (for self-confidence) all of which were significant at p<.001. These coefficients represent the favorable test-retest reliability of MCAQ. Content validity of MCAQ was assessed with reference to the judgments of ten psychology and physical education experts, with Kendall’s coefficient of concordance being calculated at .079, .081 and .082 for each of the subscales of the questionnaire, respectively.

3. Results

Mean and standard deviation scores for perfectionism and competitive anxiety were as follows, respectively: 20.31 & 3.41 for striving for perfection; 15.82 & 3.14 for negative reaction to imperfection; 12.54 & 3.05 for cognitive anxiety; 15.58 & 3.02 for somatic anxiety; 18.43 & 3.71 for self-confidence. Results of Pearson’s correlation test are shown in table 1. These results are in line with the hypotheses of the study.

| variable              | striving for perfection | Negative reactions to imperfection |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| cognitive anxiety     | -0.48                   | 0.45                              |
| somatic anxiety       | -0.47                   | 0.53                              |
| self-confidence       | 0.43                    | -0.52                             |

All coefficients are significant at P<0.00 and p<0.000.

In the next stage, to determine the contribution of positive and negative perfectionism, each, in explaining the variance of athletes’ competitive anxiety, the scales of “striving for perfection” and “negative reaction to imperfection” were analyzed as predictive variables and “somatic anxiety” and “self-confidence” as criterion variables in the regression analysis. Results of Analysis of Variance, as well as the statistical indices of the regression analyses of the mean scores of perfectionism and competitive anxiety indicates the observed F [for cognitive anxiety was significant (p<.001) and dimensions of perfectionism accounted for 34 percent of variance in cognitive anxiety. Regression coefficients indicated striving for perfection ($\beta= -.0388$, t= -5.991) and negative reaction to imperfection ($\beta= .0347$, t= 5.356) would account for a significant variance in cognitive anxiety. As for somatic anxiety, it was found the observed F was significant (P<.001), and 39 percent of variance in somatic anxiety was explained by dimensions of perfectionism. More specifically, regression analyses showed striving for perfection ($\beta= -.343$, t= -5.498) and negative reaction to imperfection ($\beta= .436$, t= 6.992) could significantly explain the variance in somatic anxiety. Results obtained from self-confidence indicated that the observed F was significant (p<.001) and dimensions of perfectionism accounted for 36 percent of the variance in self-confidence. In addition, regression coefficients revealed striving for perfection ($\beta= .0303$, t= 4.730) and negative reaction to imperfection ($\beta= -.0440$, t= -6.867) could significantly explain the variance in self-confidence.

4. Discussion

The results of the study showed athletes’ striving for perfection (positive perfectionism) was negatively correlated to their cognitive and somatic anxieties and positively to their self-confidence. On the contrary, negative reaction to imperfection (negative perfectionism) had a positive correlation with the athletes’ cognitive and somatic anxieties, and a negative one with their self-confidence. Additional analyses of these findings showed dimensions of perfectionism could predict variances in the competitive anxiety of athletes. These findings which are in accord with results from previous researches (Stoeber et al, 2007; Craft et al, 2003), may be explained according to the following
damage both the athletes’ self-confidence and their feelings of self-competence. This explanation is corroborated for them. Under such circumstances, anxieties and worries within the competitive situation increase, which will athletes’ concentration and lowers their accuracy which, in turn, increases the likelihoods of failure and frustration their onerous and tiresome efforts and feeling satisfied at them (Hamacheck, 1978). The dissatisfaction distracts the of perfectionism predicted changes in competitive anxiety.

To sum it up, results of this study indicated both negative and positive aspects & Otto, 2006; Stoeber et al, 2007; Bieling et al, 2003; Suddarth & Slaney, 2001; Flett et al, 2003; Suddarth & Slaney, 2001; Hamachek, 1978). The main product and result of such condition is the athletes’ helplessness and inability to appropriately utilize their athletic skills and techniques. Such feelings of helplessness and inability not only increases competitive anxiety (cognitive and somatic), but also has a debilitating effect on the athletes’ self-confidence.

Moreover, negative reaction to imperfection weakens athletes’ feelings of self-competence and self-worth by its devastating effects on self-esteem and self-confidence and consequently impedes them from an appropriate utilization of their capacities and capabilities for the execution of their sport techniques and skills. Such an undesirable and threatening condition will increase competitive anxiety (cognitive and somatic) and reduce self-confidence. In addition, negative reaction to imperfection lowers the athlete’s power to control and manage the competitive situation, through characteristics such as unrealistic expectations, lack of accepting personal limitations, inflexibility, and enduring feelings of discontent and dissatisfaction at one’s personal performance (Frost et al, 1990, 1993; Hamacheck, 1978), which give rise to competitive anxiety. The increase of competitive anxiety in the athletes and the athletes’ failure in managing the competition situation, not only affect each other negatively but also debilitate severely the athletes’ self-confidence in a negative cycle of interaction.

Negative reaction to imperfection, as a negative element of perfectionism, impedes the athletes from enjoying their onerous and tiresome efforts and feeling satisfied at them (Hamacheck, 1978). The dissatisfaction distracts the athletes’ concentration and lowers their accuracy which, in turn, increases the likelihoods of failure and frustration for them. Under such circumstances, anxieties and worries within the competitive situation increase, which will damage both the athletes’ self-confidence and their feelings of self-competence. This explanation is corroborated once the approved correlations of negative perfectionism with indices of maladjustment and negative affect (Stoeber & Otto, 2006; Stoeber et al, 2007; Bieling et al, 2003; Dunkley et al, 2003; Suddarth & Slaney, 2001; Flett et al, 2007) are also taken into account. To sum it up, results of this study indicated both negative and positive aspects of perfectionism predicted changes in competitive anxiety.

References

Benson, E. (2003). The many faces of perfectionism. Monitor on Psychology, 34(10), 18.

Besharat, M. A. (2007). Psychometric properties of the Competitive Perfectionism Scale. Unpublished research report. Tehran: University of Tehran.[Farsi]
Besharat, M. A. (2009) Development and validation of a Multidimensional Competitive Anxiety Questionnaire. Unpublished research report. Tehran: University of Tehran. [Farsi]

Bieling, P. J., Israeli, A., Smith, J., & Antony, M. M. (2003). Making the grade: The behavioral consequences of perfectionism in the classroom. *Personality and Individual Differences, 35, 163–178.*

Chang, E. C. (2003). On the perfectibility of the individual: Going beyond the dialectic of good versus evil. In E. C. Chang, & L. J. Sanna (Eds.), *Virtue, vice, and personality: The complexity of behavior* (pp. 125–144). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Craft, I. L., Magyar, T. M., Becker, B. J., & Feltz, D. L. (2003). The relationship between the Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and sport performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 25,* 44–65.

Dunkley, D. M., Zuroff, D. C., & Blankstein, K. R. (2003). Self-critical perfectionism and daily affect: Dispositional and situational influences on stress and coping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84,* 234–252.

Enns, M. W., & Cox, B. J. (2002). The nature and assessment of perfectionism: A critical analysis. In G. L. Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L., & Davis, R. A. (2007). *Perfectionism, silencing the self, and depression. Personality and Individual Differences, 43,* 1211–1222.

Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2002). Perfectionism and maladjustment: An overview of theoretical, definitional, and treatment issues. In P. L. Hewitt, & G. L. Flett (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 5–31). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2005). The perils of perfectionism in sports and exercise. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14,* 14–18.

Frost, R. O., Heinberg, R. G., Holt, C. S., Mattia, J. I., & Neubauer, A. L. (1993). A comparison of two measures of perfectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences, 14,* 119–126.

Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of perfectionism. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 14,* 449–468.

Gould, D., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and their development in Olympic champions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14,* 172–204.

Hall, H. K. (2006). Perfectionism: a hallmark quality of world class performers, or a psychological impediment to athletic development? In D. Hackfort, &G. Tenenbaum (Eds.), *Perspectives in sport and exercise psychology: Essential processes for attaining peak performance,* Vol. 1 (pp. 178–211) Oxford, UK: Meyer & Meyer Publishers.

Hamachek, D. E. (1978). Psychodynamics of normal and neurotic perfectionism. *Psychology, 15,* 27-33.

Jones, G. (1995). More than just a game: research developments and issues in competitive anxiety in sport. *British Journal of Psychology, 86,* 449-478.

Koivula, N., Hassmen, P., & Fallby, J. (2002). Self-esteem and perfectionism in elite athletes: effects on competitive anxiety and self-confidence. *Personality and Individual Differences, 32,* 865-875.

Martens, R., Vealey, R. S., & Burton, D. (1990). Competitive anxiety in sport. Champaign, IL: Human kinetics.

Slaney, R. B., Rice, K. G., Mobley, M., Trippi, J., & Ashby, J. S. (2001). The revised Almost Perfect Scale. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 34,* 130-145.

Smith, R. E., Smoll, F. L., Cumming, S. P., & Grossbard, J. R. (2006). Measurement of multidimensional sport performance anxiety in children and adults: the sport anxiety scale-2. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 28,* 479–501.

Stoeber, J., & Kersting, M. (2007). Perfectionism and aptitude test performance: Testees who strive for perfection achieve better test results. *Personality and Individual Differences, 42,* 1093–1103.

Stoeber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence, challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 10,* 295–319.

Stumpf, H., & Parker, W. D. (2000). A hierarchical structural analysis of perfectionism and its relation to other personality characteristics. *Personality and Individual Differences, 28,* 837–852.

Suddarth, B. H., & Slaney, R. B. (2001). An investigation of the dimensions of perfectionism in college students. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 34,* 157-165.

Woodman, T., & Hardy, L. (2001). Stress and anxiety. In R. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. M. Janelle (Eds.), *Handbook of research on sport psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 290–318). New York: Wiley.