Establishment and application of a new diagnostic definition of metabolic syndrome in the Shantou region of southern China
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The existing definitions of metabolic syndrome (MetS) may not be fully appropriate for the Shantou population because of ethnic and regional differences. We sought to establish a 95% multivariate medical reference range (MMRR) model for diagnosing MetS in Shantou adults and to evaluate the prevalence of MetS by the MMRR, JCDCG (the Chinese Guidelines), and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) criteria. A total of 4,580 participants were recruited in Shantou, southern China. We developed a MMRR model based on the combinatorial indicatrixes method for three categorized indicatrixes. According to the developed MMRR criteria, men (women) in Shantou have MetS by meeting 3 or more of the following: waist circumference $\geq 89$ (81) cm; triglycerides level $\geq 1.73$ (1.64) mmol/L; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level $\leq 1.07$ (1.05) mmol/L; blood pressure $\geq 138/89$ (136/85) mmHg; and fasting plasma glucose $\geq 5.8$ (5.7) mmol/L. The agreement of the MMRR with JCDCG and IDF criteria was “substantial” (both $\kappa > 0.68$), but the recommended reference values and proportion of individual components of MetS defined by the 3 criteria differed. The population-based MMRR criteria may be appropriate for diagnosing MetS in Shantou population and the model might be useful for generalization to other geographic regions.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a constellation of metabolic disorder components, including obesity (particularly central obesity), dyslipidemia (elevated level of triglycerides [TG] and/or lowered level of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]), hypertension or hyperglycaemia, it is associated with the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular events, and death.

Several definitions of MetS have been proposed by different organizations for clinical practice or research. In 1999, the World Health Organization proposed the first definition, and in 2001, the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel formulated a working definition (NCEP-ATPIII). In 2005, the American Heart Association and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute updated the NCEP-ATPIII definition for the diagnosis and management of MetS in adults. During the same period, the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) released a clinically accessible worldwide uniform definition of MetS that could be used by any physician in any country, with ethnic-specific central obesity criteria as a prerequisite for diagnosis of MetS. In 2007, the Chinese Joint Committee for Developing Chinese Guidelines on Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults (JCDCG) proposed another definition of MetS, focusing on metabolic disturbance in the Chinese population; limited information is available about the prevalence of MetS by the JCDCG definition. Despite differences in cut-off points for metabolic disorder components, the core components (obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and glucose intolerance) among these definitions are similar, but the relative importance of the core components differs.

The population of the Shantou region in southern China was approximately 13.94 million (7.06 million men) in 2010. People who reside in this area still retain their own dialect and have distinct dietary habits, lifestyles, and physical activity. For example, oolong tea is the most widely consumed beverage in the Shantou region.
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and long-term oolong tea consumption is associated with reduced risk of dyslipidemia (reduced TG level and elevated HDL-C level)\(^6\). In addition, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), body weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) are lower in people from southern than northern China\(^7\).

Ethnic and regional differences likely exist among populations across the world\(^7,8\), and the various existing definitions of MetS may not be appropriate for the Shantou population. The diagnostic definition of MetS for Shantou adults should be based on the characteristics of metabolic disturbance in the Shantou region. This study aimed to establish and evaluate a 95% multivariate medical reference range (MMRR) model that may improve the sensitivity and specificity of MetS diagnosis and simplify the clinical diagnosis of MetS in the Shantou region. An additional aim was to estimate the prevalence of MetS among Shantou adults by comparing the developed MMRR diagnostic definition and the JCDCG and IDF definitions.

**Methods**

**Study subjects.** We conducted a population-based study of MetS in the Shantou region of southern China from January 2010 to June 2013 with 4,580 participants (3,062 men) aged 18 to 90 years. All participants were >18 years old, of Han nationality, and were Shantou natives who had been living in the area for at least 5 years before the survey. Immigrants, pregnant women, and people with serious health problems (e.g., cancer, heart disease, and stroke) were excluded. Participants were randomly recruited from the chronic disease-monitoring sites in the health care centres of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College and the Chaonan Minsheng Hospital of Shantou.

This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College and Chaonan Minsheng Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Data collection.** WC was measured by a trained physician who used a non-elastic tape at the horizontal plane between the inferior costal margin and the iliac crest on the midaxillary line, with subjects standing relaxed and wearing one layer of clothing. The same physician used a mercury sphygmomanometer to record blood pressure (BP) to the nearest 1 mmHg on the right upper limb with subjects in the sitting position. BP readings were taken at least 30 sec apart after participants had rested for 5 to 10 min. SBP and DBP were averaged from 3 measurements. Fasting blood samples were obtained by venipuncture in the early morning and all assessments were performed in the clinical laboratory at the hospitals. Blood plasma TG, HDL-C, and FPG concentrations were measured with the same batch reagents by an enzymatic method with a Beckman auto-analyzer (Beckman Dxc800, Beckman Coulter, Inc.).

**Definitions of MetS by the JCDCG and IDF criteria.** According to the JCDCG definition\(^17,18\), MetS is diagnosed with at least 3 of the 5 component risk factors (elevated WC, TG level, BP [SBP and/or DBP], and FPG, or reduced HDL-C level) (Table 1). With IDF diagnostic criteria\(^2,16\), MetS is diagnosed in a Chinese person with central obesity (increased WC) plus at least 2 other risk factors (Table 1). These criteria include 6 individual components of MetS (WC, TG, HDL-C, SBP, DBP, and FPG), which can be divided into 3 categories: WC, TG, and HDL-C for type I; SBP and DBP for type II; and FPG for type III.

**Establishing a new diagnostic definition of MetS.** The 95% univariate reference interval is commonly used in clinical studies as the standard tool for interpreting medical data\(^19,20\). However, a well-known statistical dilemma appears with the simultaneous use of >1 univariate reference interval\(^21,22\). The proportion of observations classified as abnormal increases with the 95% multiple univariate reference intervals\(^23\). For instance, a healthy person has an *a priori* 5% probability of abnormal values; the same person evaluated with 6 independent

| Components of MetS | MMRR | JCDCG | IDF |
|-------------------|------|-------|-----|
| WC, mmol/L        | ≥ 98 | > 90  | ≥ 90|
| TG, mmol/L        | ≥ 1.64 | ≥ 1.70 | ≥ 1.70 |
| HDL-C, mmol/L     | ≤ 1.04 | < 1.04 | < 1.04 |
| BP, mmHg          | ≥ 130 | ≥ 130 | ≥ 130 |
| FPG, mmol/L       | ≥ 6.0 | ≥ 6.0 | ≥ 6.0 |

Table 1. Definitions of metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF diagnostic criteria. MMRR, multivariate medical reference range; JCDCG, Chinese Joint Committee for Developing Chinese Guidelines; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; WC, waist circumference; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP/DBP, systolic/diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose.
95% univariate reference intervals will have an \textit{a priori} probability of 1–0.95, or 26.5% probability abnormal values for all intervals. Thus, the probability of false-positive observations increases with number of univariate intervals, and one way to deal with this dilemma is the use of a multivariate reference model, which decreases the probability of both false-positive and false-negative observations\textsuperscript{21,22}. The classification of MetS requires meeting several individual components; therefore, the simultaneous interpretation of multiple risk factors for MetS should involve a multivariate reference model (e.g., the 95% MMRR model) but not multiple univariate reference intervals from a statistical point of view.

To establish a new diagnostic definition of MetS for the Shantou population, we randomly selected 800 “normal” participants (400 men and 400 women) from our sample during January to December in 2010, normal referring to subjects without hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and dyslipidemia history and not taking medications. The diagnostic definition was established separately for men and women.

In our study, a novel method, the “combinatorial indicatrixes method for three categorized indicatrixes”, was developed by the authors for the 95% MMRR model, a multivariate reference model. The 6 component risk factors, or indicatrixes, of MetS (WC, TG, HDL-C, SBP, DBP, and FPG) could be grouped into 3 categorized indicatrixes: type I with 3 indicatrixes (WC, TG, and HDL-C) \((n_1 = 3)\), type II with 2 indicatrixes (SBP and DBP) \((n_2 = 2)\), and type III with 1 indicatrix (FPG) \((n_3 = 1)\), for \(n_1, n_2, n_3\) indicatrixes for types I, II, and III, respectively. We assumed that each of the risk factors had the same \textit{a priori} probability of 1–0.95, or 26.5% probability abnormal. With abnormal rates labeled as \(q\). A cut-off percentile (in this study, 95%) was selected to differentiate multivariate “normal” from “abnormal” results. MetS was defined as any of the following 4 situations: (1) type I with \(h\) abnormal indicatrixes \(3 \leq h \leq n_1\); (2) type I with \(h\) abnormal indicatrixes \(2 < h < 3\) and type III with \(k\) abnormal indicatrixes \(0 < k < n_3\); (3) type I with \(h\) abnormal indicatrixes \(2 < h < 3\) and type II with \(j\) abnormal indicatrixes \(0 < j < n_2\); (4) type I with \(h\) abnormal indicatrixes \(0 < h < 2\), type II with \(j\) abnormal indicatrixes \(0 < j < n_2\), and type III with \(k\) abnormal indicatrixes \(0 < k < n_3\). The italics \(h, j, k\) and \(q\) are the number of abnormal indicatrixes for types I, II, and III, respectively. Therefore, the 95% MMRR model for diagnosing MetS in the analysis was as follows:

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{1} \binom{n_1}{j} \binom{n_2}{k} \right) q^{3+j+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{2} \binom{n_1}{j} \left( \sum_{h=0}^{1} \binom{n_1}{h} \right) q^{2+j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \binom{n_1}{j} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{1} \binom{n_3}{k} \right) q^{1+j+1} = 1 - P
\]

where \(n_1 = 3, n_2 = 2, n_3 = 1\), and \(P = 0.95\). Then we obtained a function \(f(q) = q^3 + 15q^2 + 6q + q - 0.05, 0 \leq q \leq 1\). We used the Newton’s iterative method with the desired accuracy of \(10^{-10}\) to find an approximate real root of the nonlinear equation and then obtained the approximate real root \((q = 0.14000)\) after 12 iterations. In other words, each of the 6 risk factors had an \textit{a priori} probability of 14.0% of being classified as abnormal. Using a rank-based method (i.e., the percentile method), we obtained the cut-off points for 6 metabolic disorder components for diagnosing MetS in the Shantou population.

MetS classification by the newly established MMRR criteria required at least 3 of the 5 component risk factors (abnormal WC, TG and HDL-C level, BP, and FPG). In reference to the IDF criteria, the following conditions were also included in the MMRR criteria: treatments for TG or HDL-C abnormality and previously diagnosed (abnormal WC, TG and HDL-C level, BP, and FPG). In reference to the IDF criteria, the following conditions were also included in the MMRR criteria: treatments for TG or HDL-C abnormality and previously diagnosed hypertension and type 2 diabetes.

Statistical analysis. The normal distribution of data was determined by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Significance for intergroup differences was assessed by the Mann–Whitney U test for skewed variables and Pearson’s chi-square test with or without Bonferroni correction for categorical variables. A chi-square test for trend was also performed. Categorical variables with percentages and continuous non-normally distributed variables are described with median (interquartile range \([IQR]\)). Study subjects were divided into 6 age groups \((18–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, \text{ and } \geq 70\) years) to standardize the prevalence of MetS and analyze the association of MetS prevalence and age. Age- or standard-predicted prevalence rates were calculated according to the distribution of the Chinese population in the 2010 China population census by the direct standardization method. Cohen’s kappa \((\hat{\kappa})\) was used to determine agreement between the MMRR and JCDCG, MMRR and IDF criteria; the degree of agreement was interpreted according to Landis and Koch\textsuperscript{23}. All statistical analyses involved use of R 2.12.0 (http://www.R-project.org, the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), with the fmsb package used to calculate \(\hat{\kappa}\) values. Two-tailed tests of significance were reported, with \(P < 0.05\) considered statistically significant.

Results

Newly established MMRR criteria for MetS. According to the developed MMRR criteria, men (women) in the Shantou region had MetS by meeting \(\geq 3\) of the following abnormalities: WC \(\geq 89\) (81) cm; TG level \(\geq 1.73\) (1.64) mmol/L or specific treatment for TG abnormality; HDL-C level \(\leq 1.07\) (1.05) mmol/L or specific treatment for HDL-C abnormality; BP \(\geq 138/89\) (136/85) mmHg or treatment for previously diagnosed hypertension; and FPG \(\geq 5.8\) (5.7) mmol/L or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes (Table 1).

Sample characteristics. The baseline characteristics of the study population by gender are in Table 2. A total of 4,580 Shantou adults were recruited, with median age 44.0 years \((IQR 36.0–57.0)\). Men were younger than women and had significantly higher WC, TG level, SBP, and DBP but lower HDL-C level \((P < 0.001)\). Men and women did not differ in level of FPG \((P = 0.277)\).
Crude prevalence of MetS. Among all subjects, the crude prevalence (and number) with MetS was 16.2% \((n = 774)\), 14.3% \((n = 653)\), and 15.2% \((n = 694)\) by MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF criteria, respectively (Fig. 1). Overall, 438 (9.6%) were identified as having MetS by all 3 definitions, and the number of subjects overlapping between the MMRR and JCDCG and MMRR and IDF criteria was 572 (12.5%) and 533 (11.6%), respectively (Fig. 1). The prevalence of MetS was higher for women than men by the MMRR \((17.3\% \text{ vs } 15.7\%\); \(P = 0.190\)) and IDF criteria \((20.6\% \text{ vs } 12.4\%\); \(P < 0.001\)) but higher for men than women by the JCDCG \((12.5\% \text{ vs } 15.1\%\); \(P = 0.018\)). The proportion of MetS by the 3 criteria differed for men, women (both \(P < 0.001\)), and total subjects \((P = 0.030)\). The prevalence of MetS was higher by the MMRR than JCDCG criteria for women \((P < 0.001)\) and total subjects \((P = 0.008)\) and by the IDF criteria for men \((P < 0.001)\).

### Table 2. Characteristics of the study population in the Shantou region of southern China. Data are median (interquartile range [IQR]). *\(P < 0.001\) comparing women with men by the Mann–Whitney U test. WC, waist circumference; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP/DBP, systolic/diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose.

| Variables          | Total \((n = 4,580)\) | Men \((n = 3,062)\) | Women \((n = 1,518)\) |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Age, years         | 44.0 (36.0–57.0)       | 43.0 (35.0–54.0)    | 47.0 (37.0–60.0)       |
| WC, cm             | 81.0 (74.0–87.0)       | 82.8 (77.0–88.0)    | 76.0 (69.0–83.0)       |
| TG, mmol/L         | 1.27 (0.89–1.93)       | 1.35 (0.96–2.09)    | 1.08 (0.76–1.63)       |
| HDL-C, mmol/L      | 1.31 (1.13–1.50)       | 1.28 (1.11–1.46)    | 1.36 (1.16–1.58)       |
| SBP, mmHg          | 121.0 (110.0–134.4)    | 122.0 (112.0–134.0) | 120.0 (110.0–135.0)    |
| DBP, mmHg          | 80.0 (70.0–85.0)       | 80.0 (72.0–86.0)    | 77.0 (70.0–82.0)       |
| FPG, mmol/L        | 5.07 (4.70–5.53)       | 5.07 (4.70–5.51)    | 5.08 (4.70–5.59)       |

Figure 1. Overall crude prevalence and overlap of metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the JCDCG, MMRR, and IDF criteria among Shantou adults.

**Crude prevalence of MetS.** Among all subjects, the crude prevalence (and number) with MetS was 16.2% \((n = 774)\), 14.3% \((n = 653)\), and 15.2% \((n = 694)\) by MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF criteria, respectively (Fig. 1). Overall, 438 (9.6%) were identified as having MetS by all 3 definitions, and the number of subjects overlapping between the MMRR and JCDCG and MMRR and IDF criteria was 572 (12.5%) and 533 (11.6%), respectively (Fig. 1). The prevalence of MetS was higher for women than men by the MMRR \((17.3\% \text{ vs } 15.7\%\); \(P = 0.190\)) and IDF criteria \((20.6\% \text{ vs } 12.4\%\); \(P < 0.001\)) but higher for men than women by the JCDCG \((12.5\% \text{ vs } 15.1\%\); \(P = 0.018\)). The proportion of MetS by the 3 criteria differed for men, women (both \(P < 0.001\)), and total subjects \((P = 0.030)\). The prevalence of MetS was higher by the MMRR than JCDCG criteria for women \((P < 0.001)\) and total subjects \((P = 0.008)\) and by the IDF criteria for men \((P < 0.001)\).

**Standardized prevalence of MetS and its individual components.** Overall, the age- and sex-standardized prevalence of MetS by the MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF diagnostic criteria was 15.5%, 11.6%, and 13.4%, respectively \((P = 0.009)\) (Table 3). The standardized prevalence of MetS by the MMRR \((P = 0.006)\) and IDF criteria \((P = 0.010)\) was higher than by the JCDCG criteria, with no significant difference between the MMRR and IDF criteria \((P = 0.903)\). The age-standardized prevalence of MetS was higher for men than women by the MMRR \((14.2\% \text{ vs } 12.9\%\); \(P = 0.237\)) and JCDCG criteria \((13.8\% \text{ vs } 9.4\%\); \(P < 0.001\)) but higher for women than men by the IDF criteria \((15.7\% \text{ vs } 11.2\%\); \(P < 0.001)\).

Among men, women, and total subjects, the proportions of elevated WC (central obesity), reduced HDL-C level, elevated BP, and elevated FPG by the 3 criteria differed \((all \ P < 0.001)\), with no significant difference in proportion of elevated TG level \((all \ P > 0.05)\) (Table 3). The proportions of central obesity (increased WC), reduced HDL-C level, and elevated FPG was higher by the MMRR than JCDCG criteria but lower for elevated BP. Although the prevalence of MetS was approximately equal by the MMRR and IDF criteria, the proportions of the
the overall prevalence of MetS increased from 2.7% to 30.2% for subjects 18–29 years old to those ≥70 years. 

Elevated WC 21.5 28.7† 25.0† 15.9† 14.3† 15.1† 18.7 32.5† 25.5
Elevated TG 30.4† 19.8 25.2 31.1† 18.5 24.9 31.1† 18.5 24.9
Reduced HDL-C 19.2† 13.9 16.6† 13.9† 11.2† 12.6† 12.8 40.0† 26.3
Elevated SBP and/or DBP 25.3† 24.5† 24.9† 42.5† 29.6† 36.1† 42.5† 29.6 36.1
Elevated FPG 14.4† 16.1† 15.2† 10.3† 9.7† 10.0† 19.6 15.7 19.7
MetS 14.2† 12.9† 13.5† 13.8† 9.4† 11.6† 11.2 15.7† 13.4

Table 3. Standardized prevalence of MetS and its individual components by the MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF criteria. *Age-standardized prevalence rates. †Age-standardized and sex-standardized prevalence rates. Standardization was conducted with the 2010 Chinese National Census Population by the direct method. ‡P < 0.001, significantly higher than for the other sex. §P < 0.001, the standardized proportions were significantly different among the MMRR, JCDCG, and IDF criteria. †P < 0.001 comparing the JCDCG with the MMRR criteria. ¶P < 0.001 comparing the IDF with the MMRR criteria. MetS, metabolic syndrome; MMRR, multivariate medical reference range; JCDCG, Chinese Joint Committee for Developing Chinese Guidelines; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; WC, waist circumference; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP/DBP, systolic/diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose.

individual components of MetS by the 2 criteria differed (Table 3). The proportion of men with reduced HDL-C level was greater with the MMRR than the IDF criteria. According to thresholds for Shantou adults by the new criteria, elevated TG level (30.4%) and WC (28.7%) was the most prevalent component for men and women, respectively; the age-standardized prevalence of elevated TG and reduced HDL-C levels was higher for men than women, but the prevalence of central obesity (WC) was lower (all P < 0.001). With MMRR criteria, central obesity, elevated TG level, and elevated BP had a similar proportion among all subjects: 41.6% of all subjects had no individual components of MetS and 0.6% had all 5 metabolic abnormalities (Table 3). Overall, 36.1% of all subjects had elevated BP by both the JCDCG and IDF criteria. The prevalence of reduced HDL-C level by the IDF criteria among men and women was 12.8% and 40.0%, respectively (P < 0.001).

Regardless of the definition used, the prevalence of MetS greatly increased with increasing age and peaked in the oldest group for men, women, and all subjects (all Pbrand < 0.001); the prevalence was higher for women than men among older adults (age ≥ 60) and lower among younger adults (age ≤ 50) (Fig. 2). By the MMRR criteria, the overall prevalence of MetS increased from 2.7% to 30.2% for subjects 18–29 years old to those > 70 years.

Agreement between the MMRR and JCDCG and MMRR and IDF criteria. With the JCDCG criteria as a reference, the observed agreement (with or without MetS), sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive value of the MMRR criteria was 94.48%, 87.60%, 95.62%, 76.88%, and 97.89%, respectively (Table 4). As compared with the IDF criteria, the sensitivity of the new diagnostic criteria was 76.80% and specificity 94.57%. The levels of agreement between the MMRR and JCDCG criteria were “substantial” for women and all subjects (both y ≥ 0.75, P < 0.001) and “almost perfect” for men (y = 0.81, P < 0.001). The agreements between the MMRR and IDF criteria were “substantial” for men, women, and all subjects (all y ≥ 0.68, P < 0.001) (Table 4).

Discussion
Considering ethnic and regional differences, the JCDCG or IDF criteria for diagnosing MetS may not be fully appropriate for the Shantou population. To simplify the clinical application of MetS criteria and improve its recognition, we performed a 95% multivariate reference model instead of the 95% multiple univariate reference intervals to establish a new diagnostic definition of MetS for Shantou adults. The 95% MMRR model based on the combinatorial indicatrixes method for three categorized indicatrixes is a valid statistical method for establishing diagnostic criteria for MetS and can be generalized to other geographic regions. We also compared the developed MMRR criteria with the JCDCG and IDF criteria and estimated the prevalence of MetS among adults in the Shantou region of southern China.

The MetS and its components are strongly associated with increased risk of incident type 2 diabetes, with hazard ratios ranging from 4.41 to 7.47. A case–control study in California reported that a strong independent determinant of early-onset coronary artery disease is the presence of MetS without diabetes (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 4.9) or with diabetes (aOR = 8.0). MetS is also a significant predictor of prevalent coronary heart disease (OR = 2.07), and the prevalence of coronary heart disease markedly increases with MetS. In addition, a recent
meta-analysis by Mottillo and coworkers showed MetS associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (relative risk [RR] = 2.35), myocardial infarction (RR = 1.99), stroke (RR = 2.27), cardiovascular mortality (RR = 2.40), and all-cause mortality (RR = 1.58); another meta-analysis of longitudinal studies demonstrated a similar result with an overall pooled RR of 1.78 for incident cardiovascular events and death with MetS. The identification of people with MetS may provide opportunities to intervene at an earlier stage of cardiovascular disease and to extend life in the adult population.

MetS is highly prevalent worldwide and affects approximately one-quarter of North Americans. In Asia, the adjusted prevalence of MetS by the IDF criteria was 25.8% (men 23.1%, women 28.2%) among Asian Indians, 12.8% (17.0%) among Mauritian Indian men (women), and 13.8% (2.5%) among Japanese men (women).
the prevalence of MetS was higher for women than men among older adults but lower among younger adults, which is consistent with other surveys21,35. With the IDF criteria, the standardized prevalence of reduced HDL-C level in women was detected with the highest frequency by the IDF criteria, because the highest cut-off point for HDL-C level (1.29 mmol/L) was used for identifying reduced HDL-C level, and such a cut-off respectively; and 24.2% (men 24.7%, women 23.8%) among Malays by the NCEP-ATPIII criteria22. In China, the prevalence of MetS was 9.8% to 18.1% for men and 11.4% to 28.0% for women by the IDF or NCEP-ATPIII criteria18,20,32–34. According to the MMRR criteria, the overall standardized prevalence of MetS among Shantou adults was 13.5% (men 14.2%, women 12.9%). Application of the prevalence rate to 2010 China census counts suggested that about 1.9 million Shantou natives have MetS. However, we should be cautious to directly compare our results with corresponding data from other research because the criteria used for determining MetS, the selection of the sample, and standardization and temporal differences affect prevalence estimation. In line with previous studies29,31,32, we found that the prevalence of MetS greatly increased with increasing age regardless of the definition used. The prevalence of MetS was higher for women than men among older adults but lower among younger adults, which is consistent with other surveys21,35.

Although the agreement of the MMRR with JCDCG and IDF criteria was “substantial”, the proportions of individual MetS components by the MMRR criteria are not exactly the same as those by the JCDCG or IDF criteria. From the Venn diagram, subjects with MetS defined by the 3 criteria have some overlap but not much. In the present study, 438 (9.6%) participants were identified as having MetS by all 3 criteria and 940 (20.5%) by any of the 3 criteria. The MMRR criteria could detect some subjects with MetS who could not be confirmed as having MetS by the JCDCG or IDF criteria.

As well, the cut-offs for MetS components differ slightly among the 3 criteria. For WC, the cut-off for central obesity was similar for men (89 or 90 cm) but slightly differed for women (80–85 cm). A 7.8-year follow-up study showed that the appropriate WC cut-offs for central obesity were 88 cm for men and 82 cm for women in the Chinese population36, and the cut-off points for WC (89 cm for men and 81 cm for women) by the MMRR criteria are closest to these results. Consistent evidence has demonstrated that Chinese men have a higher TG level than women18,20,33,37, so the cut-off for abnormal TG level should differ by gender, which was considered by the population-based MMRR criteria but not the IDF or JCDCG criteria. Notably, among the 3 criteria, reduced HDL-C level in women was detected with the highest frequency by the IDF criteria, because the highest cut-off point for HDL-C level (1.29 mmol/L) was used for identifying reduced HDL-C level, and such a cut-off may not be appropriate for Chinese people20,37. With the IDF criteria, the standardized prevalence of reduced HDL-C level among Shantou adults was surprisingly more than three-fold higher for women than men (40.0% vs 12.8%) n. Women with normal HDL-C levels will have a higher probability of having reduced HDL-C level by the IDF criteria, which increases false-positive observations. As compared with the JCDCG and IDF criteria, the MMRR criteria have higher cut-offs for BP and therefore produce a lower prevalence of elevated BP. The cut-off of FPG ≥ 6.1 mmol/L for hyperglycaemia by the JCDCG criteria is slightly high for Chinese people1,17, and we suggest decreasing the FPG cut-off to 5.6–5.8 mmol/L. Overall, the population-based MMRR criteria for diagnosing MetS are more appropriate for Shantou adults.

Several limitations in our study should be acknowledged. First, our study had sampling selection bias toward males. To avoid such bias, the MMRR criteria were established separately for men and women. Moreover, we standardized by sex and age for evaluating the prevalence of MetS with different weights. Nevertheless, a larger sample with a gender balance should be investigated in the future. Second, a measure of insulin resistance was not included as a component of MetS in the MMRR criteria because of its complicated test and costly price. It might be considered one of the components for the MMRR criteria in the future with regular testing.

MetS has become an important public health problem20. In the public health arena, the desirable way to reduce MetS is by lifestyle intervention, especially weight reduction, increased physical activity, and an anti-atherogenic diet1. Understanding the prevalence of MetS is critical for allocating health care resources and reducing the enormous economic burden of cardiovascular diseases to society10,35.

In conclusion, the newly established MMRR criteria of MetS agree substantially with the JCDCG and IDF criteria, but the recommended reference values and proportions for MetS components differ. The population-based MMRR criteria may be appropriate for diagnosing MetS in the Shantou population in China and the 95% MMRR model might be useful for generalization to other geographic regions. This study may help in better identifying people with MetS to reduce MetS-related disease.

Table 4. Agreement between the MMRR and JCDCG, and MMRR and IDF criteria. *P < 0.001 testing the null hypothesis that the extent of agreement is same as random (y = 0). MMRR, multivariate medical reference range; JCDCG, Chinese Joint Committee for Developing Chinese Guidelines; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

| Criteria | Observed agreement (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Kappa index (95% CI) | Judgment for agreement |
|----------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
| JCDCG    |                        |                |                |         |         |                     |                        |
| Total    | 94.48                  | 87.60          | 95.62          | 76.88   | 97.89   | 0.79 (0.76, 0.81)   | substantial            |
| Men      | 94.94                  | 85.31          | 96.65          | 81.95   | 97.36   | 0.81 (0.78, 0.84)   | substantial            |
| Women    | 93.54                  | 93.16          | 93.60          | 67.56   | 98.96   | 0.75 (0.70, 0.80)   | substantial            |
| IDF      |                        |                |                |         |         |                     |                        |
| Total    | 91.88                  | 76.80          | 94.57          | 71.64   | 95.80   | 0.69 (0.66, 0.72)   | substantial            |
| Men      | 92.33                  | 82.41          | 93.73          | 65.15   | 97.40   | 0.68 (0.65, 0.72)   | substantial            |
| Women    | 90.97                  | 69.97          | 96.43          | 83.59   | 92.52   | 0.71 (0.66, 0.75)   | substantial            |
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