Earthquakes drive large-scale submarine canyon development and sediment supply to deep-ocean basins
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Although the global flux of sediment and carbon from land to the coastal ocean is well known, the volume of material that reaches the deep ocean—the ultimate sink—and the mechanisms by which it is transferred are poorly documented. Using a globally unique data set of repeat seafloor measurements and samples, we show that the moment magnitude (MW) 7.8 November 2016 Kaikoura earthquake (New Zealand) triggered widespread landslides in a submarine canyon, causing a powerful “canyon flushing” event and turbidity current that traveled >680 km along one of the world’s longest deep-sea channels. These observations provide the first quantification of seafloor landscape change and large-scale sediment transport associated with an earthquake-triggered full canyon flushing event. The calculated interevent time of ~140 years indicates a canyon incision rate of 40 mm year−1, substantially higher than that of most terrestrial rivers, while synchronously transferring large volumes of sediment [850 metric megatons (Mt)] and organic carbon (7 Mt) to the deep ocean. These observations demonstrate that earthquake-triggered canyon flushing is a primary driver of submarine canyon development and material transfer from active continental margins to the deep ocean.

INTRODUCTION

The 18,000 metric megatons (Mt) year−1 of sediment delivered to the global coastal ocean (1, 2) is controlled by a combination of climatic and tectonic forcing (3). Our knowledge of how this sediment and the entrained carbon and nutrients are transferred to the deep ocean via continental slope canyons is based on the geological record (4). Because of the infrequent, unpredictable, and hidden nature of large submarine sediment-transport events, observational opportunities are extremely rare (5–7). The process that drives large-scale sediment transfer is “canyon flushing,” episodic events in which high-energy currents transport sediment stored within submarine canyons to the deep ocean. Flushing is hypothesized to nourish deep-sea benthic ecosystems through rapid export of organic material from the continental shelf (8) and to drive incision of canyon substrates, making this the primary process in submarine canyon morphological development (9). This event-driven morphological development is analogous to terrestrial canyon systems, where significant amounts of incision can occur during individual flood events (10). Submarine canyons (n = 9477) are a primary connection between continental margins and the deep ocean (11). Better understanding of the dynamics and triggering of canyon flushing will help resolve how the cross-margin transfer of geological and organic material occurs, how submarine canyons develop, and how their benthic ecosystems function.

Reconstructions from sedimentary records show that canyons undergo flushing infrequently (102- to 103-year time scales), and on passive margin canyons, this might occur only during periods of glacially lowered sea level and direct sediment supply (7, 12). Determining the role of canyon flushing events in canyon development and margin-scale sediment dispersal requires direct and timely evidence that is currently lacking because the relative rarity of flushing events has precluded direct observation of their impacts. Here, we demonstrate that strong ground shaking during the moment magnitude (MW) 7.8 November 2016 Kaikoura earthquake (New Zealand) triggered a full canyon flushing sediment flow through the Kaikoura Canyon to the deep-ocean Hikurangi Channel (Fig. 1). We draw on a data set of pre- and post-earthquake bathymetry, seafloor video, and sediment core samples. This data set enables us to quantify the scale, timing, and seafloor impacts of a canyon flushing event for the first time, placing quantitative constraints on this important global process.

The Kaikoura earthquake was the most complex crustal earthquake ever recorded (13). The earthquake ruptured more than 21 onshore and offshore faults along >100 km of the coastal northeastern South Island (Fig. 1), producing widespread coastal uplift (up to 6 m), vertical ground motions of up to 1.0g, and a 3500-km2 swath of landslide activity along the coastal ranges (14). Offshore, the Hundalee Fault was the southernmost fault to rupture across the coastline, with 3 m of measured vertical fault displacement of the seafloor near the head of the Kaikoura Canyon.

The Kaikoura Canyon links into the southernmost reach of the >1500-km-long Hikurangi Channel system, one of the longest continental margin sediment dispersal systems on Earth (Fig. 1) (15, 16). The Kaikoura Canyon is incised into bedrock and comes to within 1 km of the coast south of the Kaikoura Peninsula, intersecting the zone of northward-flowing coastal currents that transport sediment into the canyon head (16). The position of the Kaikoura Canyon at the confluence of nutrient inputs from coastal and oceanic upwelling sources supports a benthic community with one of the highest biomasses measured in the deep sea (17).

RESULTS

Sediment cores collected from the Kaikoura Canyon, the Hikurangi Channel, and channel-overbank regions 4 days (voyage TAN1613), 10 weeks (TAN1701), and 8 months (TAN1705) after the Kaikoura Earthquake (table S2) show normally graded turbidites, consistent with
a recent sediment density flow that traversed much, if not all, of the Hikurangi Channel (Fig. 2 and fig. S2). During the first phase of coring, 4 days after the earthquake, the deposit was still highly fluidized and settling from the water column at the sediment-water interface. At the most distal core sites located 680 km down-channel from the mouth of the Kaikoura Canyon, the turbidite is 65 cm thick in the Hikurangi Channel axis and 7 cm thick on the overbank levee. The elevation difference between the channel axis and overbank levee sites indicates a minimum flow height of 180 m above the channel floor (Fig. 2C). Hence, the flow likely propagated far beyond 680 km down-channel. This is the first time a long run-out turbidity current has been sampled in the Hikurangi Channel with coseismic turbidite sample sites indicated by stars.

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the surficial deposit was emplaced from a very recent sediment density flow. The surficial turbidites had exceptionally high fluid content, lacked bioturbation, were unplaced from a very recent sediment density flow that traversed much, if not all, of the Hikurangi Channel (Fig. 2 and fig. S2). During the first phase of coring, 4 days after the earthquake, the deposit was still highly fluidized and settling from the water column at the sediment-water interface. At the most distal core sites located 680 km down-channel from the mouth of the Kaikoura Canyon, the turbidite is 65 cm thick in the Hikurangi Channel axis and 7 cm thick on the overbank levee. The elevation difference between the channel axis and overbank levee sites indicates a minimum flow height of 180 m above the channel floor (Fig. 2C). Hence, the flow likely propagated far beyond 680 km down-channel. This is the first time a long run-out turbidity current has been sampled immediately following the coseismic triggering event.

Methods for more details was removed from the canyon rim during the earthquake ground shaking, leaving rugged, eroded head scarps of recent landslides in place of smooth mud-draped slopes. This “fresh landslide” morphology encompasses a total length of 30 km along the canyon rim. To the north and south of the landslide morphology, a comparatively smooth and sediment-draped upper slope defines the limit of canyon rim sediment failure. To assess the relations between earthquake-generated ground motion and subaqueous landsliding on the canyon rim, we modeled shaking using the Kaikoura earthquake source and ground motion prediction equations from the New Zealand National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM; fig. S5) (19). Both the northern and southern transitions between the undisturbed and disturbed canyon rim correlate to the peak ground acceleration contours between 0.38g and 0.44g, defining the threshold for canyon rim failure during this ground-shaking event.

The morphological impacts of the earthquake on the Kaikoura Canyon seafloor are revealed by comparisons of pre- and post-earthquake bathymetry (Fig. 3) and seabed photographic transects (Fig. 4). Bathymetric differences show that 14.2(12.6) × 10⁶ m³ of sediment [with total volumes indicated by X(Y), with X being the estimated value and Y being the lower-bound estimate with 95% confidence, according to the study of Schimel et al. (18); refer to the Materials and Methods for more details] was removed from the canyon rim during the earthquake ground shaking, leaving rugged, eroded head scarps of recent landslides in place of smooth mud-draped slopes. This “fresh landslide” morphology encompasses a total length of 30 km along the canyon rim. To the north and south of the landslide morphology, a comparatively smooth and sediment-draped upper slope defines the limit of canyon rim sediment failure. To assess the relations between earthquake-generated ground motion and subaqueous landsliding on the canyon rim, we modeled shaking using the Kaikoura earthquake source and ground motion prediction equations from the New Zealand National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM; fig. S5) (19). Both the northern and southern transitions between the undisturbed and disturbed canyon rim correlate to the peak ground acceleration contours between 0.38g and 0.44g, defining the threshold for canyon rim failure during this ground-shaking event.
Within the upper canyon, pre- and post-earthquake bathymetry differencing shows that the floor of the two main reaches of the canyon head, Kaikōura and Haumuri, has deepened by up to 50 m in places, with total calculated sediment volume losses of $0.9(0.8) \times 10^8$ m$^3$ and $1.2(1.1) \times 10^8$ m$^3$, respectively (Fig. 3A and the Supplementary Materials). In the mid-canyon region, 200- to 300-m-diameter depressions in the canyon floor have deepened by 20 to 30 m. We calculate a spatially averaged erosion depth of 5.6 m over an approximately 11-km$^2$ middle reach of the canyon, where bedrock was exposed before the earthquake. Deposition also occurred in upper- to mid-canyon areas but appeared to be localized and of limited thickness (generally less than 5 m; Fig. 3A). Sediment waves in the lower 35 km of the canyon, with amplitudes of 10 to 20 m and an average crest spacing of 250 m, were substantially modified from their pre-earthquake configuration (Fig. 3, D and E). In the central canyon, where sediment waves do not span the full canyon floor, down-canyon migration and reorganization of sediment waves occurred (Fig. S7). In the lowermost canyon, sediment waves cover the entire canyon floor and are known to be constructed predominantly of gravel and boulders (16). Digital image correlation (20) of the repeat bathymetry shows that pre-existing sediment waves moved down-canyon by up to 560 m but maintained the same planform configuration (Fig. 3D). On the basis of pre- and post-earthquake bathymetric differences, we measure the total net erosion volume of sediment from the canyon floor and rim as $9.4(4.0) \times 10^8$ m$^3$. Because this calculation does not include sediment shed from the steep canyon walls, this is a minimum eroded volume.

The direct impact of canyon erosion and transmission of sediment through the canyon is apparent from the effect this has had on the benthic ecosystem. Repeated seafloor photographic transects along lines first surveyed in 2006 show evidence for significant benthic impacts from the 2016 earthquake, with no indication of the exceptionally high biomass communities of benthic invertebrates previously recorded in sediments at the head of the canyon (Supplementary Materials) (17). Three months after the earthquake, seafloor imagery shows a drape of soft sediment with occasional rock falls and a complete absence of any sign of benthic metazoan invertebrate life. The only visible indications of benthic life are small (<1 m$^2$) bacterial mats similar to those observed at cold seep sites (Fig. 4) (21). On the basis of earlier estimates of the faunal density in the upper canyon before the earthquake (17), $39 \times 10^6$ kg (wet weight) of biomass, equivalent to $1.67 \times 10^6$ kg of carbon (using a conversion factor of 4.3% for weight of carbon from wet tissue) (22), has been removed from the upper canyon.

Using New Zealand’s NSHM (23), we estimate a 140 ± 30–year interevent time for earthquake-triggered canyon flushing in the Kaikōura Canyon by calculating the recurrence interval for ground motions required to trigger the observed sediment failure on the canyon rim (Supplementary Materials). The interevent time is consistent with previous recurrence estimates for turbidite records recovered from this canyon (16) and allows us to quantify the role of earthquake-triggered canyon flushing in the morphological development of canyons, the flux of sediment and carbon to the deep ocean, and the structure and function of deep-sea benthic ecosystems.

**DISCUSSION**

The morphological development of submarine canyons that have incised 1000 m into the continental slope requires erosion into underlying bedrock substrate, which is commonly armored by coarse bedload material (24). Our data show that canyon flushing not only mobilizes bedload, exposing the underlying substrate, but also drives canyon incision. Incision into the Kaikōura Canyon floor averaged over the mid-canyon (1300 to 1500 m depth) reach (Fig. S3) was 5.6(1.8) m dur-
ing this event, giving an annualized local incision rate of $40 \pm 11 (13 \pm 3)$ mm year$^{-1}$. This is 3 to 30 times higher than long-term river incision rates measured in the dynamic landscape of Taiwan (3) and is comparable to localized short-term rates in steep upland rivers where debris flows are the primary erosion mechanism (25). We use these data to consider overall downcutting in the Kaikōura Canyon and derive a rate of 6.4 m ky$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Materials). The Kaikōura event demonstrates that canyon flushing drives canyon development via (i) rapid excavation of large volumes of material from staging points in the upper canyon reaches, (ii) coincident incision into bedrock in the middle canyon, and (iii) transport of coarse clastic material via sediment wave migration through the lower canyon. The incision rate calculated from our observations indicates that, on active continental margins, canyons could advance from inception to maturity in 10$^{5}$-year time frames.

During the process of reshaping the Kaikōura Canyon, the earthquake-triggered flow evacuated 850 (360) Mt of sediment (assuming...
a dry bulk density of ~900 kg m$^{-3}$ from down-core measurements elsewhere on the Hikurangi Margin (26) from the canyon and transported it to the deep ocean. Because little is known about event-driven sediment transfer to the deep ocean, we consider our results in relation to well-documented short-term sediment fluxes from terrestrial systems. Our estimate of a near-instantaneous sediment flux is significantly larger than sediment fluxes to the ocean during typhoon floods [for example, 175 Mt over 2 days during Typhoon Toraji in Taiwan (27)]. It is also two to four times greater than the annual terrestrial sediment flux from New Zealand to the ocean [209 Mt year$^{-1}$ (28)] and equivalent to 3 to 7% of the total annual flux from rivers globally (1). When the recurrence interval for canyon flushing events is considered, the area-normalized sediment yield from the canyon is 7200 ± 1500 (3200 ± 700) metric tons km$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, a rate that is among those of the highest-yield rivers draining the continents into the coastal ocean (1). Fluxes of organic compounds to the deep ocean, such as those estimated by measurements of particulate organic carbon (POC), are coupled with this sediment transfer. We estimate that 7.2 ± 3.1 (3.2 ± 1.4) MtC was exported from the canyon during the flow (Supplementary Materials). This near-instantaneous flux of POC to the deep ocean is an order of magnitude greater than POC fluxes quantified for typhoon floods [for example, 0.0142 MtC (29)]. It is equivalent to twice the annual terrestrial POC flux from New Zealand rivers [2.7 ± 1.0 MtC (30)] and 2 to 6% of the annual global terrestrial POC flux to the coastal ocean [200$^{\pm}135$ MtC (31)]. Large fluxes of organic material into the deep ocean, which occur almost instantaneously in the case of canyon flushing, are likely to have an important influence on the regional productivity of ocean ecosystems and provide a pathway for sequestering CO$_2$ from the atmosphere over geological time scales.

CONCLUSION

While the flushing of submarine canyons on active and passive continental margins can potentially be triggered by a variety of processes, including earthquakes, typhoons, and extreme river discharges, our results confirm long-standing hypotheses that, on active tectonic margins, earthquake-triggered canyon flushing is (i) the dominant process driving the transfer of sediment and organic matter from continents to the deep ocean and (ii) a significant driver of geomorphic change in canyons over centennial to geological time scales and hence of their long-term development. The complete removal of a major benthic community from the canyon system during canyon flushing also indicates that earthquakes regulate the structure of benthic ecosystems in active margin submarine canyons. This study provides the first direct evidence of the impacts of a full canyon flushing event on canyon morphology and quantitative data on the scale of coseismic sediment and organic carbon transport from continental landmasses to deep-ocean basins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sedimentology

Cores that reliably preserve the sediment-water interface and hence recently deposited sediments were collected using an Ocean Instruments MC-800 Multi-Corer during voyages 4 days (TAN1613), 2.5 months (TAN1701), and 8 months (TAN1705) after the 16 November 2016 $M_w$ 7.8 Kaikōura earthquake. Cores were located in the Kaikoura Canyon, along the Hikurangi Channel and its levee, and on the Hikurangi Trough basin floor plain. High-resolution digital elevation models (25 m grid) obtained from multibeam echosounder data and TOPAS PS 18 sub-bottom profiler data were used to select core sites.
that were optimally situated to record turbidite deposition. Cores were photographed and logged visually in the field and then imaged ashore using a Geotek Ltd linescan camera, and x-ray CT was conducted on a GE BrightSpeed medical CT scanner set to 120 kV, 250 mA, a pitch of 0.625 mm, and a 100-cm² window. CT tomography was analyzed in the software ImageJ to produce sagittal slice images and down-core Hounsfield value/CT number curves, as a proxy for bulk density (32).

Chronology
Quantitative evidence for recent emplacement was provided by radiometric dating of turbidite sediments and underlying oxic layers that represent the paleo-seafloor using the short-lived radioisotope 234Th, which has a half-life of 24 days. Once sediment was isolated from seawater through deposition excess, 234Th activity became undetectable within five half-lives (120 days) of deposition. Consequently, the presence of excess 234Th in the turbidite and the sediments that immediately underlie it provided evidence for recent deposition. Radionuclide measurements were made on sediment from cores TAN1613_52, TAN1613_53, and TAN1613_61 using gamma spectrometry at the Institute of Environmental Science and Research, Christchurch, New Zealand, using a gamma counter with a high-purity germanium detector. Activities were reported in becquerels per kilogram, and the uncertainties were based on the combined standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor (k) of 2 (providing a level of confidence of 95%), as described by the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (1995). Excess 234Th was determined by repeat measurements, where the initial samples were measured within three half-lives of the earthquake (72 days). Supported 234Th was determined by remeasuring each sample after five half-lives (120 days) had elapsed. The reported excess 234Th activities were decay-corrected to the date of the earthquake.

Sediment volume budget analysis
Pre- and post-earthquake bathymetry were obtained from multibeam echosounder data processed using CARIS HIPS and SIPS. Soundings were automatically filtered, manually cleaned, tide-corrected using tide models, and combined into DEMs using the inbuilt CUBE algorithm. To visualize the change that has taken place in the canyon, the following animations have been created based on differential multibeam analysis: (i) www.niwa.co.nz/static/web/2017-canyon-animation/canyon-rim.html, (ii) www.niwa.co.nz/static/web/2017-canyon-animation/mid-canyon.html, and (iii) www.niwa.co.nz/static/web/2017-canyon-animation/lower-canyon.html.

CUBE uses total propagated error to generate several sounding hypotheses to select optimal values. We used the difference of DEMs analysis developed for sediment budgeting in braided rivers and adapted to multibeam echosounder data for marine bathymetry (18). For the present study, volumes of erosion and deposition were estimated by calculating the difference between the pre- and post-Kaikoura earthquake DEMs (fig. S2A), integrating this difference over areas of interest, estimating the uncertainty of this difference as the propagation in quadrature of the DEMs’ individual spatially variable uncertainty (fig. S2B), and using this combined uncertainty as a spatially variable threshold to provide lower-bound estimates of volumetric change at a set confidence level. The canyon walls were not included in the volume analysis because their steep slope resulted in uncertainty too high for the analysis; instead, we limited our analysis area to the gentler slope gradient of the canyon floor. Volumes of change (eroded, deposited, and net) and their respective lower-bound estimates were calculated for different areas in the canyon, as shown in table S4. We report volumes in the text as X(Y), with X being the estimated value and Y in parentheses being the lower-bound estimate with 95% confidence. Calculated volumes are provided in table S4.

Canyon incision and flux calculations
We used our localized incision rate to project what the long-term downcutting rate is for this canyon. We made the assumption that the long-term uplift of 1.1 ± 0.1 mm year−1 recorded from marine terraces at the Kaikoura Peninsula (33) was appropriate across the canyon region. We assumed that spatial distribution and magnitude of localized incision derived for this canyon flushing event were characteristic of the long-term incision and that the location of incision would occur at different locations in the canyon axis through time and over millennial time scales that affect the full length of the canyon axis.

We calculate long-term incision rate I_longterm (in millimeters per year) as

\[
I_{\text{longterm}} = \left( \frac{I_{\text{local}}}{P_{\text{total}}} \right) - U
\]

where \(P_{\text{total}}\) is the total canyon profile length (in meters), \(I_{\text{local}}\) is the local canyon profile length affected by incision during canyon flushing (in meters), \(T\) is the event recurrence (in years), and \(U\) is the uplift (in millimeters per year).

We calculated the biological and detrital material flux through the canyon associated with this event. We calculated the mass (M) of sediment removed from the Kaikoura Canyon during the canyon flushing event using the following equation

\[
M = V \times \rho
\]

where \(V\) is the volume (in cubic meters) of eroded material derived from differencing the 2011 and 2017 multibeam bathymetric grids and \(\rho\) is an assumed dry density of marine muds and sands equal to ~900 kg m⁻³ from down-core measurements elsewhere on the Hikurangi Margin (26). Mass values were reported in metric megatons. Area-normalized sediment flux rates (Qₖ) were generated using the equation

\[
Q_k = \frac{M}{A} / T
\]

where \(A\) (in square kilometers) is the area of the Kaikoura Canyon and \(T\) (in years) is the recurrence interval of earthquake-triggered, canyon flushing events. The area of the Kaikoura Canyon is defined by the catchment in the fluvial sense of including all areas where fluid would flow into the canyon axis and has been derived using routines for terrestrial catchment definition in ESRI ArcGIS. These calculations were conducted for both the preferred and minimum limit of detection volume estimates. Reported uncertainties for \(Qₖ\) represent upper and lower bounds based on the minimum and maximum recurrence interval estimates.

The mass of POC fluxed to the deep ocean by the canyon flushing event was derived from M and the Kaikoura Canyon sediment POC
content using the following equation

$$Q_c = M \times POC (%)$$

where POC (%) is the average POC content of Kaikoura Canyon surficial sediments (0 to 5 cm) of 0.84 ± 0.36% (2σ, n = 90) determined by Elemental Carbon/Nitrogen analyzer measurement of sediment from pre–Kaikoura earthquake multicores from throughout the Kaikoura Canyon. POC flux values were reported in metric megatons, and the uncertainties represent the 2σ uncertainty based on propagation of the sediment POC estimate uncertainty.
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