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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Real time-sonoelastography (RTE) is a new developed technique that reveals the physical properties of the tissue by characterizing the difference in hardness between diseased tissue and surrounding tissue. Elasticity measurements have been already reported to be useful for the diagnosis and differentiation of many tumors: breast lesions, prostate cancer, lymph nodes and pancreatic masses but there are only few studies for the focal liver lesions. The aim of the study was to analyze whether computer enhanced dynamic analysis of elastography images is able to better characterize and differentiate benign and malignant liver lesions.

Material and methods: The study group included, in total thirty nine liver tumors. RTE was performed by EUS or transabdominal ultrasound. ROC analysis for the mean hue obtained through histogram analysis of the region of interest (liver lesion) after averaging individual pixels over a 10-second elastography movies was used to assess the color information inside the region of interest and to consequently differentiate benign and malignant liver lesions. Based on a cutoff of 170 for the mean hue histogram values recorded on the region of interest, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of differentiation of benign and malignant masses were 92.5%, 88.8%, and 88.6%, respectively. The positive and negative predictive values were 86.7% and 92.3%, respectively.

In conclusion, real time sonoelastography is a promising technique that might improve the characterization and differentiation between benign and malignant focal liver lesions visualized during transabdominal or endoscopic ultrasound.
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Introduction

Text Real time sonoelastography (RTE) is a new sonographic imaging method used for the appreciation of the physical characteristics of the tissues. The method differentiates between the hardness of the diseased tissue and of the surrounding one. Malignant tumors are harder compared to benign ones and about 100 times harder than the surrounding tissue. Because of their reduced elasticity, malignant tumors can change their shape less than the benign ones and the latter less than the surrounding tissue. By means of the ability to appreciate the elasticity of the tissues, the real time sonoelastography can make the difference between benign and malignant tumors. It has already been proved that measuring elasticity is useful in the differential diagnosis of some tumoral lesions, especially breast, prostate, lymph [1, 2], and pancreatic [3] lesions. However, the role of RTE in differentiating liver lesions is approached in few studies only [4-6].

The purpose of this paper is to appreciate if the dynamic computer analysis of the sonoelastography is able to characterize and differentiate between the benign and malignant liver lesions.

Material and methods

The study was a retrospective one and included patients with focal liver lesions examined by means of the RTE between 2007 and 2010. The sonoelastography was performed by a Hitachi 6500 with an RTE module: Hitachi Medical Systems Europe Holding AG, Zug, Switzerland. It was a transabdominal approach with a linear probe of 6,5 MHz or an endoscopic ultrasound. The final diagnosis was made by considering both the sonographic/imaging and histopathological data and/or recheck after 6 months.

Each sonoelastography film was subjected to computer analysis by using a java instrument to process the image-ImageJ-developed at the National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, for which a special dynamic analysis plug-in was created. To minimize the subjectivity given by the examining doctor, all the digital postprocessing analyses were performed by the IT Center of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy in Craiova, the IT team unaware of the clinical and laboratory
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data of the patients. For each sonoelastography film, 10 seconds long (about 125 frames), only the color frames were automatically selected, which contain elastography information, for which the histograms were calculated. The final numeric value attributed to each examination was calculated as the average of individual histograms of each elastography frame.

The ROC analysis of the average color obtained from the direct analysis of the histograms of the concerned region (the liver tumor), after averaging the individual pixels from the 10-second-long elastography film, was used to evaluate the color information about the concerned region for the purpose of differentiating between the benign and the malignant liver lesions.

Results

We included 31 patients having an average age of 58.64±11.99. In all, 39 focal liver lesions have been studied: 30 malignant and 9 benign tumors. Out of the 30 malignant tumors, 6 were hepatocellular carcinoma, 2 cholangiocarcinoma, and 22 liver metastases. The 9 benign tumors were liver haemangioma. The sonoelastographic examination was performed during the endoscopic ultrasound (2 cholangiocarcinoma, 8 liver metastases, and 2 haemangioma) or transabdominal ultrasound (6 hepatocellular carcinoma, 7 haemangioma, 14 liver metastases). Out of the studied tumors, the cholangiocarcinoma were the hardest [Figure 1], followed by the liver metastases [Figure 2], the hepatocellular carcinoma and the liver haemangioma [Figure 3].

The average histogram obtained by analyzing the sonoelastographic films, on types of tumors, was: haemangioma 161.42±26.72; hepatocellular carcinoma 187.71±24.72; cholangiocarcinoma 208.93±18.22 and liver metastasis 204.29±16.99 [Figure 4]. The difference between the four groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Moreover, the difference was statistically significant between the value of the average histogram for the benign and malignant tumors [Figure 5].
Figure 4: Graph shows box plots of average histogram to type of tumors. From examined tumors cholangiocarcinoma and liver metastases had the highest hardness.

Figure 5: Graph shows box plots of average histogram for benign versus malignant tumors. For a cutoff value of 170 of the average histogram obtained from the concerned region, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the differentiation between the benign and malignant liver lesions, was 92.5%, 88.8% and respectively 88.6% [Figure 6]. The positive predictive value was 86.7% and the negative predictive value was 92.3%.

Figure 6: ROC analysis used for the differentiation between benign and malignant focal liver lesions based on pattern analysis of elastography images.

Discussions

For a cutoff value of 170 of the average histogram obtained from the concerned region, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the differentiation between the benign and malignant liver lesions, was 92.5%, 88.8% and respectively 88.6% [Figure 6]. The positive predictive value was 86.7% and the negative predictive value was 92.3%.

Studies have shown that the RTE is really accurate in differentiating breast, thyroid, prostate, pancreas tumors or adenopathies [1-3, 7-12]. For the focal liver lesions, however, there are few studies published. The study conducted by L. Gheorghe has proven that the RTE is accurate enough in differentiating the regenerating hepatocarcinoma nodules. The study has been conducted on patients with cirrhosis with small, under 3 centimetres, subcapsular nodules [4].

The article published by Kato K. [5] and his collaborators has also shown good results of the RTE in differentiating hepatocarcinoma metastases. The authors have divided the tumors according to a new system called elasticity type of liver tumour (ETLT). According to this, most hepatocarcinoma were included into the type B, while most metastases were included into type C and D.

Another role of the RTE was demonstrated by the team led by Inoue Y., namely the discovery of small lesions which are hardly visible at the standard ultrasound [6].

Unlike the previous articles which analysed images of static RTE, our study had as a protocol, the recording and analysis of an elastography film which comprised at least 125 frames. The film was analysed by the IT Department of the University represented by an independent researcher. Thus, we eliminated the subjectivity of the first examiner who has information about the patient, which might influence the diagnosis.

The results obtained in this study are quite promising. The RTE had 88.6% accuracy in differentiating between the benign and malignant lesions. Moreover, the results match those of previous studies that is the significant hardness of cholangiocarcinoma, followed by hepatocarcinoma, while the liver haemangioma have proved the least hard.

Perspectives

The present study included a smaller number of patients with cholangiocarcinoma and included
no patients with adenoma or hepatic nodular hyperplasia. In the future all types of liver tumor are intended to be studied and an increasing number of patients included. The RTE might be used as a noninvasive method to diagnose liver tumor, as well as the ultrasound with contrast (CEUS). It would be interesting to find out if the CEUS combined with RTE would have higher accuracy as compared to CEUS as the only method of diagnosis.

**In conclusion**, the RTE is a sonographic/imaging method with promising results in the characterization and differentiation of focal liver lesions visualized transabdominally or endoscopically ultrasound
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