The role of released ATP in killing *Candida albicans* and other extracellular microbial pathogens by cationic peptides
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**Abstract** A unifying theme common to the action of many cationic peptides that display lethal activities against microbial pathogens is their specific action at microbial membranes that results in selective loss of ions and small nucleotides—chiefly ATP. One model cationic peptide that induces non-lytic release of ATP from the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* is salivary histatin 5 (Hst 5). The major characteristic of Hst 5-induced ATP release is that it occurs rapidly while cells are still metabolically active and have polarized membranes, thus precluding cell lysis as the means of release of ATP. Other cationic peptides that induce selective release of ATP from target microbes are lactoferricin, human neutrophil defensins, bactenecin, and cathelicidin peptides. The role of released extracellular ATP induced by cationic peptides is not known, but localized increases in extracellular ATP concentration may serve to potentiate cell killing, facilitate further peptide uptake, or function as an additional signal to activate the host innate immune system at the site of infection.
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**Introduction**

Microbes come in contact with naturally occurring cationic proteins from many sources [1]. Fluids and mucosal surfaces in the oral cavity, lacrimal fluids, and gut are all rich in peptides that limit the colonization and overgrowth of indigenous bacteria and fungi. Their protective functions become evident upon loss of these peptides, which often results in dramatic bacterial and fungal overgrowth. Thus, conditions that reduce salivary flow in the oral cavity predispose host tissues to candidiasis and increased bacteria colonization [2].

Understanding the mechanism by which these cation peptides limit microbial growth is important not only because of the crucial role that these innate peptides play in human health, but also because of the possibility of therapeutic use of naturally occurring peptides [3, 4]. Therefore, the mechanism by which host-derived peptides distinguish bacterial and fungal targets from self is a fundamental question. In addition, the discrimination mechanism by which these peptides exert lethal effects on microbes, but not on host tissues, is central to their potential use as alternative or complementary drug therapies. One unifying theme that is common to many peptides that display lethal activities against microbial pathogens is their specific action at microbial membranes that results in selective loss of ions and small nucleotides—chiefly ATP. The commonality of this mode of action and the significance of selective ATP release are themes discussed in this review.
What are shared mechanisms of action among cationic peptides causing ATP release?

Cytoplasmic membrane dysfunction through pore formation appears to be a common mechanism of action of many antimicrobial peptides active against bacteria [5–7]. Peptides initially bind with the target microbe membrane via electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged surface or by binding with lipopolysaccharides (LPS) in the bacterial outer membrane. After binding of peptide monomers has occurred, the peptide is inserted into membranes, followed by self-association and formation of localized aggregates, and culminating in formation of membrane pores. Peptide-induced pores allow release of ATP, ions, and other molecules, membrane depolarization, osmotic dysregulation, and ultimately cell death. Examples of such mechanisms of killing between peptides and their membrane targets include magainin 2 in gram-negative bacteria [8], thrombin-induced platelet microbicidal protein [9], and human defensins and lactoferrin [10, 11].

An alternative mechanism to nonspecific pore formation as a route to release ATP from cells is peptide association with specific proteins within target cell membranes that results in loss of function of the membrane protein. Such interactions confer specificity of the peptide for a vulnerable cell. Many naturally occurring toxins exert their effects through inhibition of sodium or potassium ion channel functions [12, 13]. These small peptides (22–60 amino acid residues) are the venoms produced by diverse animal species such as scorpions, snakes, spiders, and sea anemones. Such toxins blockade ion channels, either by direct occlusion of the pore or by causing allosteric modification to the channel. Other toxins may indirectly affect channel function by alteration of other channel-interacting molecules such as enzymes [14]. In either case, the result is loss of the cellular ion gradient, which in turn causes membrane depolarization or inactivation of potassium-dependent enzymes and pathways. In order to recover and stabilize the membrane potential, the cell compensates by activating other membrane proteins, such as ATP hydrolyzing pumps or channel-mediated transport of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, and thus requires utilization of cellular energy [15].

Cationic peptides induce ATP release integral to microbicidal activity

Histatins

In terms of mechanism of antimicrobial activity, perhaps one of the best studied cationic peptides causing non-lytic release of ATP is the salivary histatin 5 (Hst 5). Histatin 5 first binds to Candida albicans cell wall proteins [16], identified as Ssa1/2 proteins from the heat shock 70 family [17], which facilitate intracellular transport to other effector sites for its toxic activity. Hst 5 binding, translocation, and toxicity are closely related processes, and loss of either Ssa1 or Ssa2 proteins results in diminished Hst 5 intracellular transport and cell killing [17]. Similarly, internalization of histatin variant peptides dhvar4 and dhvar5 has been demonstrated [18, 19], but it is not clear whether they also require binding to ssa1/2p on the fungal cell envelope for their activity. A specific binding site for Hst 5 has been identified on Porphyromonas gingivalis as well, showing that the antimicrobial activity of Hst 5 may be mediated by binding to specific receptor(s) on the cell surface [20].

One of the most prominent features of salivary histatins and variant peptides killing of C. albicans is the rapid efflux of cellular ATP and other small nucleotides such as NAD⁺, AMP, ADP, and ions, as well as concurrent intracellular uptake of the DNA-binding dye propidium iodide [19, 21, 22]. Histatin-induced ATP release does not require extracellular binding, since these effects can be initiated solely from intracellular sites [23]. ATP efflux and depletion of intracellular ATP in C. albicans cells following Hst 5 treatment has been shown to be concentration and time dependent, with maximal depletion of about 85% of intracellular ATP occurring within 30 min of exposure to physiological concentrations of Hst 5 [21]. The major characteristic of Hst 5-induced ATP release was that it occurred while C. albicans cells were metabolically active and had polarized membranes, thus precluding cell lysis as a possible route by which ATP was released from the cells [21]. Interestingly, Hst 5 killing of anaerobic cultures was reduced compared to cells grown under air, although incubation of anaerobically grown cells with Hst 5 caused a marked increase in extracellular ATP (ATPe) and a drastic depletion of cellular ATP compared to the untreated cells [21]. Pretreatment of C. albicans cells with ion transport inhibitors, such as 4,4′-diisothiocyanastilbene-2, 2′-disulfonic acid (DIDS), and niflumic acid all blocked ATP loss and killing in Hst 5-treated C. albicans, suggesting that Hst 5 activity is mediated by ion transporter(s) on yeast plasma membrane [24]. Indeed, deletion of TRK1, the major plasma membrane K⁺ uptake system in C. albicans, nearly abolished Hst 5-initiated ATP release, cell killing, and cellular permeability for propidium iodide [25, 26, 27], indicating that Hst 5 ultimately results in alteration of Trk1p function. The current model for Hst 5 activity, which may be similar to other cationic peptides, is shown in Fig. 1.

Whether the ATPe released from C. albicans in response to Hst 5 might have a further physiological role in cell death is not clear. Previously we suggested that ATP released from C. albicans by Hst 5 may auto-activate membrane receptors to cause cell death, although we have
not been able to identify conventional purinergic receptors in \textit{C. albicans} cells [21]. In higher eukaryotic cells, released purine nucleotides and nucleosides interact with cell surface receptors (purinoreceptors) to induce a range of biological effects including cytotoxicity [28]. In this regard, application of the peptide antibiotic polymyxin B to immune cells synergized plasma membrane permeabilization induced by ATP, so that cell killing was enhanced by the combined application of both ATP and polymyxin B [29]. For fungal cells, treatment of \textit{C. albicans} cells for 3 h with high doses of P2X7-selective ATP analogues BzATP, ATPγS, and ATP$^4^-$ caused about a 60% loss of viability of aerobically grown cells, suggesting that ATPe itself may potentiate cell killing [21]. Pretreatment of \textit{C. albicans} cells with the phosphatase apyrase (an ATP-consuming enzyme) greatly reduced Hst 5-induced cell death [21], further supporting the requirement for available ATPe in Hst 5 activity. In the case of Hst 5-treated cells, released ATP may act upon cells already severely depleted of ATP, so that cells may be more prone to ATP-mediated killing in a synergistic manner with Hst 5. Alternatively, Hst 5 entry into the cells may itself require ATP. In this respect, ATP might facilitate Hst 5 binding to ssa1/2p on the cell envelope, since heat shock proteins function in a strongly ATP-dependent manner [30]. Whether Ssa proteins utilize intracellular and/or ATPe for Hst 5 binding and translocation has not been demonstrated, but since inhibition of mitochondrial ATP synthesis protected \textit{C. albicans} from the fungicidal activity of histatin 5 and this protection appeared to be due to reduced cellular accumulation of the peptide [31], such a possibility should be considered.

Lactoferrin and derived peptides

Lactoferrin is an abundant 77-kDa iron-binding glycoprotein found in saliva. The iron-binding domain of the lactoferrin molecule is located at the carboxy terminus, while the highly basic N-terminal region contains a 25-amino-acid microbicidal domain termed lactoferricin (LF). The N-terminus of LF, LF(1–11) consisting of only 11 amino acid residues, retains complete bactericidal and antifungal properties [32]. LF(1–11) appears to have similar features in its antifungal activity to histatin 5, in that it induces extracellular release of ATP and other nucleotides and ions [33], and subsequent loss of viability occurs in an energy-dependent manner [32]. These effects were not related to extensive membrane permeabilization [34] since LF did not cause release of macromolecular cytosolic constituents and was unable to disrupt the liposomal phospholipid bilayer in vitro [35]. In addition, LF was internalized prior to cell death [36], thus indicating that LF affects cytoplasmic targets rather than forming pores in plasma membrane of susceptible fungi.

Like Hst 5, LF(1–11) caused a time- and dose-dependent increase in ATPe, which was completely blocked by pretreatment of cells with azide [32]. ATPe seems to play a role in the killing of \textit{Candida} cells following treatment with peptides derived from the N-terminus of human lactoferrin, since preincubation with oATP, which irreversibly blocks the interaction between ATPe and extracellular receptors, resulted in significant protection from killing [37]. However, addition of ATP or ATP analogues (such as BzATP and ATPγS) was not sufficient to kill \textit{C. albicans}, nor did ATP act synergistically with LF peptide to induce \textit{C. albicans} cell death [32, 37].

Despite the striking similarities to the Hst 5 mechanism of antifungal action, lactoferricin killing of \textit{C. albicans} has unique steps as well. In this respect, \textit{C. albicans} spheroplast susceptibility to human LF was significantly reduced following treatment with protease K [33], thus suggesting the possibility that LF-induced killing is mediated by specific protein receptor(s) on the cell surface. However, our results showed that \textit{C. albicans} susceptibility to LF(1–11) was not altered in \textit{SSA} mutant strains [27], thus suggesting that the Hst 5 \textit{Candida} cell wall binding protein ssa1/2p is not a binding site for LF.
We have shown that TRK1-deficient strains are resistant to LF peptides compared to wild-type cells. As for Hst 5, TRK1 knockdown strains were protected from cell death and concurrent propidium iodide uptake induced by LF [27]. Although we did not quantify the effect of LF on ATP release in TRK1-deficient cells, ATP release correlates with the percentage of PI-positive cells as previously reported [32]. Similarly to the effect of salivary Hst 5, LF treatment of C. albicans cells seems to involve transporter-mediated efflux of intracellular ATP and ions, which contributes to cell death [38]. Thus, LF may modulate the function of Candida cell membrane transporters, and possibly other proteins maintaining membrane integrity. In this context, the synthetic d-decapeptide BM2 (d-NH₂–RRRFWWFRRR–CONH₂), which shares the first nine residues of LF(1–11), acts as a specific inhibitor of the yeast plasma membrane ATP-driven proton pump Pma1p [39]. However, we found that LF(1–11)-induced killing of PMA1 knockdown strains was undistinguishable from that of wild-type cells (unpublished data), thus indicating that LF(1–11) does not exert its effects by affecting Pma1p function.

Bactenecins

Bactenecins are proline-rich peptides processed from precursor cathelicidins, residing with other antimicrobial peptides in large granules within polymorphonuclear neutrophils. Bactenecins are active against gram-positive, gram-negative bacteria and fungi. Similar to antibacterial activity of LF, bactenecin and derived peptides have been shown to bind electrostatically to negatively charged LPS molecules of the outer membrane of bacteria [40], then enter the cytoplasm and inhibit multiple intracellular targets [40, 41]. Although the exact intracellular targets for bactenecin action have not been identified, we found that TRK1 potassium transporter-deficient C. albicans cells had reduced susceptibility to bactenecin compared with wild-type cells, indicating that ion transporters may be possible cytoplasmic-localized effectors involved in the killing mechanism of fungi [27].

Human neutrophil defensins

Mammalian defensins are a family of cationic peptides that are divided into α- and β-defensin subfamilies on the basis of the connectivity of highly conserved cysteine residues. Human neutrophil defensins (HNP-1 to HNP-4) are stored in azurophilic granules of the neutrophil. They are transferred to phagolysosomes upon phagocytosis to kill ingested microbes. However, these peptides can also be released into the extracellular space, where they not only contribute to extracellular killing of microorganisms but also can recruit neutrophils, memory T cells, and immature dendritic cells to the site of infection. Human β-defensins (HBD-1 through HBD-4), expressed predominantly in epithelial cells of mucous membranes and in keratinocytes, are well studied in terms of active concentrations and spectrum of antimicrobial activity, but very little is known about their mechanism of action.

The precise mechanism for antibacterial activity of human neutrophil defensins has not yet been clearly defined, but it has been widely believed that defensins permeabilize membranes through multimeric pore formation [42]. It has been suggested that the cationic characteristic and amphipathic nature of antimicrobial peptides allows binding to and direct interaction with the lipid bilayer of cell membrane, leading to leakage of the internal aqueous content of the cell. Defensins contain β-sheet structures, a feature that enables formation of ion channels in model lipid membranes [43]. The presumed mechanism for their action includes (1) binding to anionic groups located outside of the bacterial membrane, (2) insertion into the membrane to form aggregates, and (3) finally produce an assembly of six or more dimers to form a pore as it was shown with Staphylococcus aureus [44]. Studies of α-defensins did not reveal specificity toward a distinct target membrane, since they were active against bacteria, enveloped viruses, and fungi. However, defensins are able to discriminate between bacterial membranes and membranes of higher eukaryotic organisms by preferential interaction with anionic phospholipids that are predominant in prokaryotic cells [44].

The antifungal mechanism of action of defensins differs greatly from the nonspecific membrane permeabilization found against bacteria. HNP-1 induced a dose-dependent efflux of ATP from C. albicans cells that was inhibited by the same pharmacological agents (CCCP, DNP, and azide) and ionic conditions (Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺) found to inhibit Hst 5 killing of C. albicans [45]. HNP-1-induced efflux of cellular ATP occurred while cells were respiring and had polarized membranes, showing that membrane disruption was not the cause of selective loss of nucleotide [45]. Similarly, incubation of C. albicans cells with lethal doses of HBD-2 or HBD-3 did not result in loss of membrane integrity [46].

Cathelicidin peptides and LL37

LL37 belongs to the cathelicidins family, a group of proteins that are characterized by a highly conserved cathelin pro-sequence linked to a variable antimicrobial domain [47]. In C. albicans, the localization of LL37 was confined mainly to the cell perimeter in a pattern very similar to cells treated with Calcofluor White M2R (a specific cell wall marker), suggesting an association with
the cell wall and/or cell membrane [47]. Prolonged treatment of these cells with the peptide caused a complete disappearance of the integrity of cellular membranes, resulting in leakage of ATP and other small nucleotides, but without loss of proteins larger than 40 kDa [47].

The ability of LL37 to cause membrane perforation of the membrane in *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* [48] and other gram-negative bacteria has been shown to be dependent on more negatively charged cell membranes, compared with strains having lower zeta potential values [48]. Disintegration of the outer and inner membrane of *A. actinomycetemcomitans* resulted in cell membrane perforation, suggesting that the target of LL37 is the bacterial membrane and LPS. In this regard, it has been reported that *Treponema denticola* showed resistance to antimicrobial peptides due to the lack of LPS [48]. These results suggested that the strains with a highly negative charge are more susceptible to antimicrobial peptides among the same bacterial species as shown for *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *S. aureus* [48, 49]. Interestingly, LL37 itself may be able to stimulate immune cells, since application of LL37 to LPS-primed monocytes induced a transient release of ATP and interleukin (IL)-1 beta release via the P2X(7) receptor without cell cytotoxicity [50] (Table 1).

Temporins, dermaseptins, and nisin

Other small cationic peptides that act upon pathogenic bacteria and fungi show similar patterns of membrane permeabilization and loss of intracellular ATP. However, this group of peptides appears to have more significant species-dependant membrane effects that include loss of larger intracellular molecules in addition to ATP.

Temporins are a family of linear 10–13 residue cationic peptides isolated from the skin of the European red frog *Rana temporaria* that are active against gram-positive bacteria and *C. albicans*. The reported mechanism of antimicrobial action against *Leishmania* includes rapid induction membrane potential collapse as well as lowering the intracellular ATP levels [51]. Temporins induce permeation of the membrane (characterized by alteration of the K⁺ and Na⁺ gradient across the membrane and influx of vital dyes such as the SYTOX Green) simultaneously with inhibition of parasite proliferation [51].

Dermaseptin S3 has been shown to exert antimicrobial action by specific interactions of the amphipathic α-helix moiety with the plasma membrane phospholipids, leading to cell permeabilization [52]. Studies on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have shown that exposure to the peptide results in significant loss of ADP and ATP and other UV-absorbing compounds into the surrounding medium and that this efflux was significantly increased at, or near, a neutral pH. Upon exposure to dermaseptin, the majority of cells were rapidly permeabilized [53].

Nisin acts against *Listeria monocytogenes* in a combination of effects due to membrane disruption, such as depolarization and inhibition of the respiratory chain and the loss of critical cellular components such as ATP and intracellular K⁺ ions to the external environment [54].

**Role of ATPe on host immune response and microbial clearance** Since a prominent feature of nearly all cationic peptides upon interaction with target microbes is the loss of ATP into the extracellular media, it has been proposed that such localized increases in ATP levels results in potent immunomodulatory activities, such as chemotraction of human monocytes, T cells and mast cells, and induction of chemokines [55, 56]. Thus, peptide-induced ATP release from microbial pathogens not only serves to disable targeted cells, but may also be an important signal for the host immune response. The ability of innate defense peptides, chiefly defensins and cathelicidins, to contribute to stimulation of immune responses has prompted them to be reclassified as “alarmins” in recognition of their immunomodulatory role [57]. It is possible that peptide-induced loss of ATP at the site of infection may serve as an additional signal to activate the innate immune system [58, 59]. Thus, antimicrobial peptides may possess biological functions extending beyond the innate immune system, perhaps synergizing with ATPe in stimulating immune response.

**Conclusions** One of the unifying signatures following interactions of cationic peptide agents with target microbial cells is the rapid release of intracellular nucleotides, especially ATP, into the surrounding environment. A long-held paradigm for the microbicidal action of cationic peptides is that killing of target microbial cell membranes occurs chiefly as a result of creation of membrane pores in the organism, leading to leakage of ions and metabolites. While it is likely that these effects contribute to ultimate microbial cell death, a mounting body of evidence supports additional or complementary mechanisms, so that membrane permeabilization alone appears insufficient to cause cell death. Rather, depletion of intracellular ATP and loss of ion balance are likely to have metabolic effects that cause

---

**Table 1** Effects of cationic peptides on fungal cells

| Peptide   | ATP release | Membrane lysis | PI uptake/ ion transport |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Histatin 5| Yes         | No             | Yes                     |
| Lactoferrin| Yes         | No             | Yes                     |
| Bactenecin| ND          | ND             | Yes                     |
| HNP-1     | Yes         | ND             | Yes                     |
| Cathelicidin| Yes       | Yes            | ND                      |
cell cycle arrest rather than immediate cell death. A localized increase in eATP at the site of contact of cationic peptides with infecting microorganisms may itself be a significant signal for host immune enhancement to promote eradication of pathogenic microbes.
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