Short term changes in the proteome of human cerebral organoids induce by 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine
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Abstract

Dimethyltryptamines are hallucinogenic serotonin-like molecules present in traditional Amerindian medicine (e.g. Ayahuasca, Virola) recently associated with cognitive gains, antidepressant effects and changes in brain areas related to attention, self-referential thought, and internal mentation. Historical and technical restrictions impaired understanding how such substances impact human brain metabolism. Here we used shotgun mass spectrometry to explore proteomic differences induced by dimethyltryptamine (5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine, 5-MeO-DMT) on human cerebral organoids. Out of the 6,728 identified proteins, 934 were found differentially expressed in 5-MeO-DMT-treated cerebral organoids. In silico systems biology analyses support 5-MeO-DMT’s anti-inflammatory effects and reveal a modulation of proteins associated with the formation of dendritic spines, including proteins involved in cellular protrusion formation, microtubule dynamics and cytoskeletal reorganization. Proteins involved in long-term potentiation were modulated in a complex manner, with significant increases in the levels of NMDAR, CaMKII and CREB, but a reduction of PKA and PKC levels. These results offer possible mechanistic insights into the neuropsychological changes caused by the ingestion of substances rich in dimethyltryptamines.
Introduction

Dimethyltryptamines are naturally occurring hallucinogenic molecules hypothesized to be involved in spontaneous altered states of consciousness, such as dreams, free imagination and insightful creativity (Strassman 2001; Barker et al. 2012). N,N-dimethyltryptamine (N,N-DMT) and bufotenine (5-HO-DMT) have been traditionally used as entheogens by Amerindians (McKenna 2004; Ott 2001) as major active ingredients of a brew called Ayahuasca and the Virola snuff (Holmstedt & Lindgren 1967). The popularity of Ayahuasca as part of religious ceremonies continues to spread in South America and other countries (Labate & Feeney 2012), possibly motivated by its strong antidepressant effects (Osório Fde et al. 2015; Sanches et al. 2016). Chronic Ayahuasca ingestion has been associated with cognitive gains and structural brain changes in areas related to attention, self-referential thought, and internal mentation (Bouso et al. 2012; Bouso et al. 2015).

The search for the molecular mechanisms underlying the effects of dimethyltryptamines showed that N,N-DMT and 5-methoxy-N,N-dimethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DMT), two closely related metabolic products, can act as systemic endogenous regulators of inflammation and immune homeostasis through both 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors (5-HTRs) and sigma 1 receptors (σ-1Rs) (Szabo et al. 2014; Fontanilla et al. 2009). Under severe hypoxia, N,N-DMT robustly increased the survival of cultured human cortical neurons in vitro, monocyte-derived macrophages, and dendritic cells acting through σ-1Rs (Szabo et al. 2016). The direct evidence of neuro-immune
communication and neuroregenerative effects of N,N-DMT and 5-MeO-DMT greatly enhanced expectations for dimethyltryptamine research.

Our limited understanding of the physiological activity of dimethyltryptamine and other classic psychedelic substances is caused by legal restrictions on such research (Nutt et al. 2013) but also by the lack of adequate experimental models (Vollenweider & Kometer 2010; Hanks & González-Maeso 2013; de la Torre & Farré 2004). In the past few years, considerable progress has been made regarding the neural differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into mature neurons and cerebral organoids (Kelava & Lancaster 2016). Human neural progenitors (hNPC) are useful cell systems for high-throughput screening due to their homogeneity, with little complexity and limited differentiation potential. On the other hand, cerebral organoids are complex three-dimensional (3D) culture systems with multiple cell types that self-organize into various brain regions similarly to those in vivo, including the cerebral cortex, ventral forebrain, midbrain–hindbrain boundary, and hippocampus (Lancaster & Knoblich 2014; Qian et al. 2016). A comparison of gene expression programs of human fetal neocortex and in vitro cortical development by single-cell RNA sequencing found remarkable similarities (Camp et al. 2015). Cerebral organoids are being widely applied to human-specific biological questions and purposes, since they have been proved to be a good system for drug testing. They may well recapitulate effects in the human nervous system, particularly related to plasticity and growth (Garcez et al. 2016; Lancaster & Knoblich 2014), and they circumvent problems of discrepancies in metabolic pathways occurring in translational studies involving animals. The development of such model offers an exciting new
range of opportunities to investigate the molecular responses of human neural
tissue to psychoactive substances.

Here we analyzed the effect of 5-MeO-DMT on neural cells and human brain
organoids. By employing mass spectrometry-based proteomics to analyze
cerebral organoids, we managed to investigate effects in a large scale and in
an unbiased manner and to get insights into the molecular mechanisms and
biochemical pathways involved (Martins-de-Souza 2012). Our results show
that 5-MeO-DMT modulates long term-potentiation (LTP), in addition to
morphogenesis and maturation of dendritic spines, while inhibiting
neurodegeneration and cell death.

Results

**Human neural progenitor cells are unaffected by 5-MeO-DMT**

First, we examined the effects of 5-MeO-DMT in hNPCs (detailed
characterization in (Dakic et al. 2016). hNPCs showed basal expression of σ-
1Rs but not 5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$ (Fig. 1A and B). Using a high content
screening analysis, we tested the effects of 5-MeO-DMT (23 nM to 7.11 µM)
upon cell death, proliferation and differentiation of hNPCs. There was no
evidence of change in cell death or proliferation in response to 5-MeO-DMT
(Fig. 1C and D). In addition, by quantifying some aspects of dendritic branch
complexity, we measured neural arborization based on MAP2 staining of
young neurons exposed or not to 5-MeO-DMT. Despite a slight trend, there
were no statistically significant differences in the measured parameters (Fig. 1
E, F, G and H).
Figure 1. Effects of 5-MeO-DMT on hNPCs. (A) Expression of mRNA for internal control (GAPDH), SR1, 5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$ in hNPCs. (B) Confirmation of σ-1R protein (green) expression by immunocytochemistry, phalloidin showing the cytoskeleton (red) and DAPI staining nuclei (blue), scale bar 20 µm. (C) Quantification of cell proliferation based on BrDU staining after treatment with 5-MeO-DMT. (D) Percentage of dead cells in hNPCs treated with 5-MeO-DMT. (E, F, G, H) Effects of 5-MeO-DMT on neuronal arborization by quantification of (E) total neurite length (sum of the length of all neurites attached to the cell), (F) number of segments, (G) number of extremities, and (H) number of nodes type 1. Bar represents median. Data analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

**Human cerebral organoids express 5-MeO-DMT receptors**

The lack of alterations in cell death, proliferation or differentiation/arborization could be due to the low cellular diversity and lack of complex interactions among different cell types. Thus, we challenged human cerebral organoids, which recapitulate better the complexity and function of in vivo neural circuitry.
Basal immunostaining of ionotropic receptors AMPA and NMDA, characteristic of glutamatergic synapses, along with the neuronal marker MAP2 was observed in 45-days-old cerebral organoids (Fig. 2A-D), as previously described (Sartore et al. 2017). Glial cells (GFAP+) are also present in organoids, as shown in Fig. 2E. Interestingly, in contrast with hNPCs, we were able to detect the expression of 5-HT$_{2A}$ via PCR and/or immunostaining, as well as of σ-1Rs, the primary pharmacological molecular targets for 5-MeO-DMT. As shown in Figure 2F-G, cells with the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor are present within the cerebral organoid, and the sigma-1 receptor was detected as well. RT-PCR confirmed the expression of 5-HT$_{2A}$ and σ-1 receptors, and allowed the detection of serotonin 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors as well (Fig. 2H). Taken together, these data validate the cerebral organoids as an appropriate platform to seek for 5-MeO-DMT effects in an amenable yet realistic human neuronal network.

Figure 2. Cerebral organoids express 5-MeO-DMT receptors and different cell type markers (A) Cerebral organoids presenting smooth texture and homogeneous coloring at 45 days of differentiation (scale bar 1000 µm). (B) Cerebral organoids are
composed by several cell types, including mature neurons, as shown by MAP2 staining. (C) Cells expressing AMPAR1 are found in the organoid edge, while (D) cells expressing NMDAR1 and (E) GFAP are detected within the organoid. (F) Cells positive for 5-HT2A receptor, and (G) σ-1R, the primary molecular targets for 5-MeO-DMT, are also found in the organoid. Scale bars: A = 1000 µm; B = 50 µm; C, D, E, F, and G = 20 µm. (H) The expression of molecular targets for 5-MeO-DMT was also confirmed by RT-PCR.

**5-MeO-DMT alters the proteome of human cerebral organoids**

Due to the complexity of the organoid system, we decided to cast a much wider net to detect potentially important 5-MeO-DMT effects. By analyzing the proteome of organoids with or without treatment, we were able to look for changes in the expression of a considerable number of proteins, in an unbiased approach. Thus, to resolve the proteome of human neural tissue under the effect of 5-MeO-DMT, we analyzed 45-days-old cerebral organoids after 24-hour treatment (Fig. 3A). A total of 144,700 peptides were identified at a false discovery rate (FDR) below 1%. These led to the identification of 6,728 unique proteins by, at least, two unique peptides present in no less than two out of three biological replicates analyzed. Notably, there was an overlap of 99% of identified proteins among all treatment groups (Fig. 3B), demonstrating the robustness of the method. From these commonly identified proteins, we found 934 differentially expressed (using a -2 < Log2 ratio > 2 cut-off), comprising 360 downregulated and 574 upregulated proteins, when comparing 5-MeO-DMT and vehicle groups. Functional enrichment for
combined up and downregulated proteins predicted the biological functions of those changes. Regarding diseases or functions, using prediction effect analysis (-2 < z-score > 2.0 is significant for inhibition/activation) (Fig. 3C), we observed a significant activation score for dendritic spine and cellular protrusion formation, microtubule and cytoskeletal organization, and also mild activation of T lymphocyte differentiation. On the other hand, biological functions such as neurodegeneration, cell death, and brain lesion were predicted to be inhibited.
Figure 3. 5-MeO-DMT treatment effects on human cerebral organoid proteomics. (A) Experimental design workflow. 45-day-old cerebral organoids were treated with 5-MeO-DMT, vehicle or left untreated during 24h. Samples were analyzed using label-free state-of-the-art quantitative proteomics on a two-dimensional fractionation and high-resolution mass spectrometry. (B) Venn diagram
comparing the number of proteins identified by shotgun mass spectrometry in control human cerebral organoids, and those treated with vehicle (EtOH), or 5-MeO-DMT. (C) Heat map showing significant functional enrichment between 5-MeO-DMT versus vehicle human cerebral organoids.

5-MeO-DMT leads to inhibition of NF-κB signaling pathway

Among the canonical pathways identified are nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signaling via toll-like receptor (TLR), and Gq-coupled receptors, which are all inhibited by 5-MeO-DMT treatment (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the direct targets of 5-MeO-DMT, receptors 5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$, are Gq-coupled. Furthermore, NF-κB is very well known as the main transcriptional regulator of inflammatory, pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Szabo & Rajnavolgyi, 2013).
Figure 4. Schematic representation of the changes in protein expression of NFAT and NF-κB pathways by 5-MeO-DMT. Canonical pathways showing upregulated (red) and downregulated proteins (green) after 5-MeO-DMT treatment.

Long-term potentiation components are modulated by 5-MeO-DMT

We have also identified regulation of specific proteins that participate in LTP, one of the main properties of most excitatory synapses throughout the CNS (Malenka & Bear 2004). Proteins found upregulated are NMDAR (ionotropic glutamate receptor, NMDA), Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase
(CaMK2) and CREB (cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein). The group of downregulated proteins included mGluR5, Gαq protein, protein kinase C (PKC), PLC, calmodulin (CaM), AC1/8, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (IP3R), exchange factor directly activated by cAMP 1 (EPAC1) and PKA. These key components changes, and further regulation of several other proteins and secondary messengers suggest a complex regulation of this pathway. AMPAR (AMPA-selective glutamate receptor 2), and the signaling cascade leading to c-Raf, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2), extracellular regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) are upregulated, suggesting activation (Fig. 5). Based on the literature, activated ERK1/2 is transported to the nucleus and activates CREB, resulting in the expression of a large number of downstream genes (Alberini 2009).
Figure 5. Schematic representation of long term potentiation modulation by 5-MeO-DMT treatment. Z-scores were calculated from an upstream shortest path analysis and give the probability that the interaction between the proteins and the common regulator is not occurring by chance. In red, upregulated proteins; in green, downregulated proteins after 5-MeO-DMT treatment. Glu, glutamate.
**Cytoskeletal reorganization and dendritic spine morphogenesis proteins altered by 5-MeO-DMT**

Ephrin B was another canonical pathway upregulated, including both forward and reverse signaling, as shown by the analysis of proteins differentially expressed (see Fig. 6). Upregulation of ephrin-B2 causes activation of ephrin type-B receptor (EPHB) and the cascade of CDC42 (cell division control protein 42 homolog), N-WASP (neural Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein) and ARP2/3 (actin-related protein 2/3) over intersectin, and interaction of ELMO1 activating RAC1 (Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1), triggering dynamic reorganization of actin cytoskeleton and dendritic spine morphogenesis in forward signaling (Boyd et al. 2014). Meanwhile reverse signaling activates plexin, a protein that acts as a receptor for semaphorin over NCK adaptor protein 2 (GRB4) and focal adhesion kinase (FAK), causing axon repulsion through paxillin (PXN).
Figure 6. Pathway showing influence of 5-MeO-DMT on cytoskeletal reorganization and dendritic spine morphogenesis. Canonical pathway showing upregulated (red) and downregulated proteins (green).

Additionally, we found significant regulation of plexins, integrins, SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase-activating protein (srGAP), Netrin receptor DCC,
metalloprotease (Table 1) on 5-MeO-DMT-treated cerebral organoids, which corroborates actin regulation and orchestrate cytoskeletal reorganization.

Table 1. Table of proteins showing regulation of integrins, netrins, plexins and semaphorins by 5-MeO-DMT.

| Accession | Gene Name | Peptide count | Description | Log₂ Ratio |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| Q95965    | ITGB1     | 4 (2)         | Integrin beta-like protein 1 | 3.69 |
| P23229    | ITGA6     | 21 (3)        | Integrin alpha-6 | 3.18 |
| P13612    | ITGA4     | 10 (5)        | Integrin alpha-4 | 2.57 |
| P16144    | ITGB4     | 42 (12)       | Integrin alpha-4 | 1.66 |
| P11215    | ITGAM     | 8 (3)         | Integrin alpha-M | 1.65 |
| P20701    | ITGAL     | 8 (3)         | Integrin alpha-L | 1.38 |
| P05556    | ITGB1     | 34 (15)       | Integrin alpha-1 | 1.26 |
| P38570    | ITGAE     | 16 (3)        | Integrin alpha-E | 1.10 |
| P26006    | ITGA3     | 22 (4)        | Integrin alpha-3 | 0.69 |
| Q9H0C8    | ILKAP     | 13 (6)        | Integrin-linked kinase-associated serine/threonine phosphatase 2C | 0.26 |
| Q969R8    | ITFG2     | 3 (2)         | Integrin-alpha FG-GAP repeat-containing protein 2 | -0.99 |
| P20702    | ITGAX     | 5 (1)         | Integrin alpha-X | -1.53 |
| P06756    | ITGAV     | 16 (7)        | Integrin alpha-V | -2.08 |
| Q13683    | ITGA7     | 10 (3)        | Integrin alpha-7 | -2.32 |
| P17301    | ITGA2     | 19 (2)        | Integrin alpha-2 | -2.64 |
| Q9ULL4    | PLXNB3    | 19 (5)        | Plexin-B3 | 0.71 |
| Q43157    | PLXNB1    | 10 (2)        | Plexin-B1 | 0.33 |
| Q8NEU8    | APPL2     | 37 (11)       | DCC-interacting protein 13-beta | -0.30 |
| Q9UKG1    | APPL1     | 43 (21)       | DCC-interacting protein 13-alpha | -0.41 |
| Q15031    | PLXNB2    | 156 (45)      | Plexin-B2 | -2.14 |
| P43146    | DCC       | 50 (9)        | Netrin receptor DCC | -4.51 |
| Q13214    | SEMA3B    | 14 (4)        | Semaphorin-3B | 2.11 |
| Q13591    | SEMA3A    | 16 (4)        | Semaphorin-5A | -1.66 |
| Q8NFY4    | SEMA6D    | 8 (2)         | Semaphorin-6D | -1.69 |
| Q9NPR2    | SEMA4B    | 15 (3)        | Semaphorin-4B | -1.82 |
Discussion

5-MeO-DMT is a structural analog of serotonin and melatonin and a functional analog of other psychedelic tryptamines such as N,N-DMT and 5-HO-DMT, group of molecules we know very little about. The present results suggest that 5-MeO-DMT modulates the anti-inflammatory response, as well as the formation and maturation of novel dendritic spines, via proteins implicated with cellular protrusion formation, microtubule dynamics, cytoskeletal reorganization, and LTP. These changes were observed in the organoids and not in monolayer cultures of neuronal cells, which suggests a more mature and complex 3D circuitry are necessary for the actions of 5-MeO-DMT.

Here we demonstrate anti-inflammatory effects of 5-MeO-DMT using human cerebral organoids. NFAT and NF-κB signaling pathways were shown to be downregulated, via Toll-like receptors (TLR) and Gq-coupled protein receptors, most probably 5-HT$_{2A}$ and 5-HT$_{2C}$. Anti-inflammatory effects of 5-MeO-DMT were previously reported on human monocyte-derived dendritic cells, where inflammatory cytokine and chemokine release was shown to be blocked (Szabo et al. 2014). The immunomodulatory potential of other serotonergic psychedelics like lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) (Voss & Winkelhake 1974; House et al. 1994), 3,4-methylenedioxy-methamphetamine (MDMA) (Connor et al. 2000; Boyle & Connor 2010), and 2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine (DOI) (Yu et al. 2008; Nau et al. 2013) were also previously reported. It is hypothesized that there is a cross-talk between TLR, serotonin receptors and sigma 1 receptors (Szabo 2015).
Our work also revealed that only a 24h-treatment with 5-MeO-DMT, i.e., a single dose, modulates specific signaling molecules identified as key players in LTP, a classic mechanism of learning and memory (Malenka & Bear 2004). Based on in silico predictions using proteomics data, modulation of these signaling molecules by 5-MeO-DMT would produce a complex regulation of LTP. One possibility is that LTP may be augmented in some cell types and inhibited in others, leading to a mixed profile.

Additionally, we observed major downregulation of mGluR5 after treatment with 5-MeO-DMT, which has a role in the rewarding effects of several drugs of abuse. It was shown that mice lacking the mGluR5 gene do not self-administer cocaine and show no cocaine-induced hyperactivity (Chiamulera et al. 2001). They also have somatic signs of withdrawal to nicotine attenuated (Stoker et al. 2012), and reduced ethanol consumption behavior (Bird et al. 2008), suggesting mGluR5 may be involved in addiction. The same effect has been demonstrated with the use of mGlu5 receptor antagonists for cocaine, nicotine, and ethanol in rats (Stoker et al. 2012). This effects of 5-MeO-DMT possibly can explain the therapeutic effect of Ayahuasca on substance dependence (Fábregas et al. 2010; Thomas et al. 2013; Crews et al. 2011; Doering-Silveira et al. 2005; McKenna 2004; Barbosa et al. 2012). Moreover, Ayahuasca seems to inhibit addictive behaviors in an animal model of alcohol dependence (Oliveira-Lima et al. 2015). In humans, Ayahuasca administration to healthy subjects reduces rapid-eye-movement sleep (REM) and increases slow-wave sleep (SWS) (Barbanoj et al. 2008), two major sleep stages respectively associated with increased or decreased LTP (Ribeiro 2012; Tononi & Cirelli 2014; Blanco et al. 2015; Dumoulin Bridi et al. 2015), while
producing dream-like effects. Thus, the complex regulation of LTP detected here may also indicate that 5-MeO-DMT produces a mix of SWS and REM-like effects.

Changes in LTP/LTD balance are directly connected with increase/decrease of dendritic spine number and size, respectively (Bourne & Harris 2008). Spine morphogenesis relies on alterations in the actin cytoskeleton, but the molecular mechanisms that regulate this process are still not clear. 5-MeO-DMT caused significant upregulation of EFNB2, EPHB, and various second messengers involved in dendritic spine formation. Dendritic spine formation can be caused by direct stimulation of serotonergic receptors. Indeed the selective 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonist, DOI, have been shown to modulate spine morphology of mature cortical pyramidal neurons (Jones et al. 2009). In this study, a transient increase in spine size induced by DOI was kalirin-dependent and enhanced phosphorylation of PAK, whereas here we show upregulation of EFNB2, EPHB, intersectin, ELMO1, CDC42 and RAC1. Binding of ephrin-Bs to EphB receptors initiate bidirectional signaling, which, by altering actin cytoskeleton, lead to changes in dendritic spine shape, size, and number (Klein 2009). It was shown that EPHB2 interacts with intersectin and activates its GEF activity in cooperation with N-WASP, which in succession activates the Rho-family GTPase Cdc42 and spine morphogenesis (Irie & Yamaguchi 2002). N-WASP is a critical regulator of Arp2/3-mediated actin polymerization (Takenawa & Miki 2001). Henkemeyer and colleagues demonstrated that triple EphB1,2,3-deficient hippocampal neurons have abnormal formation of actin clusters along dendrites, impairing normal dendritic spine formation in vivo (Henkemeyer et al. 2003). Meanwhile,
in vitro, knockdown of EphB2 alone is sufficient to reduce synapse density (Kayser et al. 2006). Postnatal re-expression of EphB2 in slice cultures from animals lacking EphB1–3 is sufficient to rescue dendritic spine defects (Kayser et al. 2006). Although EphB signaling has a clear role in dendritic spine morphogenesis through kinase domain activity, it can also regulate activity-dependent synaptic plasticity interacting with both NMDA (Takasu et al. 2002) and AMPA receptors (Kayser et al. 2006). Literature shows that \( \sigma \)-1R also could contribute to the brain plasticity effects of 5-MeO-DMT. \( \sigma \)-1R is an endogenous regulator of dendritic spine morphology (Tsai et al. 2009; Ruscher et al. 2011) and neurite outgrowth (Ruscher et al. 2011).

Apart of acting as a direct molecular mediator of plasticity, 5-MeO-DMT had effects on cell surface and extracellular proteins involved in regulating synaptic architecture, like plexins (Laht et al. 2014), DCC (Horn et al. 2013), metalloproteinase (Bozdagi et al. 2007) and integrins (Shi & Ethell 2006; Dityatev & Schachner 2006). An upregulation of integrins, as we observed here in 5-MeO-DMT-treated organoids, was also found in major depression patients who presented good response to antidepressants, suggesting the importance of this class of proteins in brain plasticity (Martins-De-souza et al. 2014). One more protein significantly downregulated is srGAP, an intracellular signaling molecule with a role in processes underlying synaptic plasticity, higher cognitive function, learning, and memory (Endris et al. 2002).

Finally, we also found important functions such as neurodegeneration, cell death, and brain lesion inhibited by 5-MeO-DMT. These neurorestorative and cellular protective effects are expected after activation of \( \sigma \)1R (Frecska et al. 2016).
2013; Szabo et al. 2016). σ1R agonists exert neuroprotective effects by regulating intracellular calcium levels (Mueller et al. 2013), preventing expression of pro-apoptotic genes (Tchedre & Yorio 2008) and protecting mRNA of anti-apoptotic genes, such as Bcl-2.

Fast antidepressants also have strong effect on synaptic plasticity, reversing functional and structural synaptic deficits caused by stress. A typical example of this group is ketamine, an hallucinogenic, non-competitive NMDA glutamate receptor channel antagonist, which causes an improvement in mood ratings within hours, as opposed to weeks as in typical antidepressants (Duman et al. 2016). Ketamine increases mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signaling, via activation of Protein kinase B (PKB or Akt) and ERK. mTOR signaling than boosts synaptic protein synthesis, spine stability and function in the prefrontal cortex (Duman & Aghajanian 2012; Duman et al. 2016; Gerhard et al. 2016).

Therefore, the pattern of proteins altered after 5-MeO-DMT treatment points to robust actions on synaptic plasticity and improvement of cell survival. Taken together our data offer a possible mechanistic insight into the neural changes produced by the chronic ingestion of substances containing dimethyltryptamines.
Materials and methods

Human embryonic stem cells

BR1 lineage of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (Fraga et al. 2011) was cultured in mTeSR1 media (Stemcell Technologies) on Matrigel (BD Biosciences) - coated surface. The colonies were manually passaged every seven days and maintained at 37°C in humidified air with 5% CO2.

Human neural progenitor cells

To induce hESCs towards neural differentiation, we adapted Baharvand and coworkers’ protocol (Baharvand et al. 2007; Dakic et al. 2016). Briefly, 70% confluent human embryonic stem cells were differentiated to the neural lineage in defined adherent culture by retinoic acid and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) within 18 days of culture. On the 18th day, neural tube-like structures were collected and plated on dishes coated with 10 µg/mL of poly-L-ornithine and 2.5 µg/mL of laminin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The population of human neural progenitor cells (hNPCs) that migrated from neural tube-like structures was tested for the expression of neuronal markers and expanded. Expansion was done in N2B27 medium supplemented with 25 ng/mL bFGF and 20 ng/mL EGF (Thermo Fisher Scientific). N2B27 medium consisted of DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 1X N2, 1X B-27, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2. Medium was replaced every other day. hNPCs were expanded for no more than 5 passages. Basic characterization of this culture was published in Dakic et al., 2016.
High Content Screening

Cell proliferation, cell death and arborization experiments were performed in a High Content Screening (HCS) format. hNPCs (1,500 cells) were plated per well on a multiwell 384 µClear plate (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria) coated with 100 µg/mL poly-L-ornithine and 10 µg/mL laminin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 24h, cells were treated for 4 days in quintuplicate (five wells per condition) with 5-MeO-DMT (Sigma-Aldrich) in N2B27 medium supplemented with bFGF and EGF. On day 4 cells were labeled with 10 µM EdU for 2h (cell proliferation) or BOBO™-3 (cell death) for 30 min prior to fixation or image acquisition, respectively. For arborization experiments, neural differentiation was induced 24h after plating by removing of bFGF and EGF from N2B27 medium. Treatment with 5-MeO-DMT was done concomitantly with neural differentiation. Medium was changed after 4 days of treatment and cells were allowed to differentiate for 3 more days. On day 7 cells were fixed for immunocytochemistry.

High Content Analysis

All images were acquired on Operetta high-content imaging system (Perkin Elmer, USA). For proliferation, incorporated EdU was detected with Alexa Fluor 488 using Click-iT EdU kit (C10351, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Total number of cells was calculated by nuclei stained with 1 mg/mL of DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). S phase was determined by percentage of total cells labeled with EdU. Images were acquired with a 10x objective with high numerical aperture (NA).
For cell death analysis, cells were labeled with LIVE/DEAD® Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). This kit contains two probes: calcein AM and ethidium homodimer (EthD-1). The first one allows measuring of intracellular esterase activity and second one plasma membrane integrity. Mix of probes was done in DMEM/F-12 (without phenol red, Life Technologies), together with the cell-permeant nuclear dye Hoechst. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the dye cocktail was replaced by new medium and live cell imaging was performed using temperature and CO₂ control option (TCO) of Operetta, set to 37°C and 5% CO₂ at 10x magnification. Quantification analyses were normalized to the number of cells in the well segmented by nucleus dyes.

Neuronal arborization was evaluated on fixed cells stained for MAP2 after 7 days of differentiation. The images were analyzed using the Neurite Outgrowth script of the Harmony software. Briefly, neurites were detected on MAP2 positive cells using the Find Neurite building block, which provides a dedicated algorithm for segmenting neurites. Morphological characteristics of neuronal arborization, such as total neurite length (sum of the length of all neurites attached to the cell), number of extremities, number of segments and number of nodes type I were defined based on selected threshold parameters of the Find Neurite building block.

All analyses sequences were designated by combining segmentation steps with morphological and fluorescence based object characterizations using the image analysis software Harmony 3.5.1 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Differentiation into cerebral organoids**
Differentiation of hESCs into cerebral organoids was based on previously described protocol (Lancaster et al. 2013; Sartore et al. 2017). Briefly, hESC were inoculated into a spinner flask and after six days to enable embryoid bodies formation, media was changed to neural induction media (DMEM/F12, 1x N2 supplement (Gibco), 2 mM Glutamax (Invitrogen), 1% MEM-NEAA and 1 µg/mL heparin (Sigma) and the aggregates were cultured for more five days. After being embedded in matrigel, differentiation media composed of 1:1 DMEM/F12: Neurobasal (Gibco), 0.5 x N2, 1x B27 minus vitamin A (Gibco), 2 mM Glutamax, 0.5% MEM-NEAA, 0.2 µM 2-Mercaptoethanol and 2.5 µg/mL insulin was used. After 4 days cell aggregates were grown in neuronal differentiation media, composed as aforementioned except by replacing with B27 containing vitamin A (Gibco). The media was changed once a week. Cerebral organoids were grown until 45 days (30 days in neuronal differentiation media).

**RNA Isolation and PCR Analysis**

Total RNA was isolated using the GeneJET RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific) and digested with DNase using DNase I (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. Complementary DNA was generated from 1 µg total RNA using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's recommendations. PCR was performed using the following primer sequences: GFAP-For: 5’- TTC GAC AGT CAG CCG CAT C-3’ GFAP-Rev: 5’- GAC TCC ACG ACG TAC TCA GC -3’, Sigma receptor 1-For: 5’- AGT AGG ACC ATG CAC TCA CAC C- 3’ Sigma receptor 1-Rev: 5’- CCC
CAT CCT TAA CTC TAG AAC C -3’, 5-HT\textsubscript{2A}-For: 5’- TTG GGC TAC AGG ACG ATT -3’ 5-HT\textsubscript{2A}-Rev: 5’- GAA GAA AGG GCA CCA CAT C -3’, 5-HT\textsubscript{2C}-For: 5’- TGT CCC TAG CCA TTG CTG ATA TGC -3’ 5-HT\textsubscript{2C}-Rev: 5’- GCA ATC TTC ATG ATG GCC TTA GTC -3’. Each PCR reaction was carried out for 40 cycles in a reaction mixture containing 0.25 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen), 1× Taq DNA Polymerase Buffer containing 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} (Invitrogen), 200 nM of each primer (forward and reverse), 200 µM dNTP mixture containing the four deoxyribonucleotides (dATP, dCTP, dTTP, dGTP), and 0.5 µl of cDNA.

**Immunohistochemistry**

At the 45th day of differentiation, cerebral organoids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, incubated with sucrose solutions (10, 20 and 30%) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The organoids were sectioned with a cryostat into 20 µm thick sections. Immunofluorescence was performed using the primary antibodies: anti-MAP2 (M1406, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-AMPA1 (Abcam, ab86141), anti-NMDAR1 (Abcam, ab28669), anti-sigma receptor 1 (sc-137075, Santa Cruz), anti-5-HT\textsubscript{2A} (RA24288, Neuromics). Secondary antibodies were used as follows: Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-mouse (A11001, Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 594 Goat anti-mouse (A-11008, Invitrogen). DAPI was used for nuclei staining. Images were acquired using an Operetta Imaging System (Perkin Elmer) and a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope, when specified.
Treatment of cerebral organoids with 5-MeO-DMT

On day 45 of differentiation, four to five organoids per group were transferred from the spinner flask to a non-adherent dish and treated with 13 µM 5-MeO-DMT (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.3% ethanol (vehicle) or only medium (control), for 24 hours. After treatment, cerebral organoids were pelleted and homogenized in buffer containing 7 M Urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 70 mM DTT, and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) (Maccarrone et al. 2014). The homogenates were kept on ice for about 20 min and frozen at -80°C until sample processing for mass spectrometry-based label-free shotgun proteomics. The experiment was repeated three times with the three different derivations of cerebral organoids.

Sample preparation

Sample lysates were thawed and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected and subjected to quantification by Qubit® 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each sample (50 µg) was subjected to a SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis. Gel lanes were sliced and digested in gel overnight as previously described (Maccarrone et al. 2014). Generated peptides were dried in a SpeedVac concentrator and stored at -80°C prior to shotgun mass spectrometry analyses.

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
Qualitative and quantitative proteomic analysis were performed on a 2D-LC-MS/MS system with ion-mobility-enhanced data-independent acquisitions (Souza et al. 2017). Peptides were injected into a two-dimensional reverse phase liquid chromatography using an Acquity UPLC M-Class System (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) coupled to a Synapt G2-Si mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA).

In first dimension chromatography, peptides (5 µg) were loaded into a M-Class BEH C18 Column (130 Å, 5 µm, 300 µm X 50 mm, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). Fractionation was performed using discontinuous steps of acetonitrile (11%, 14%, 17%, 20% and 50% acetonitrile). After each step, peptide loads went for second dimension separation, at nanoACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 Column (100Å, 1.8 µm, 75 µm X 150mm, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). Peptide elution was achieved by using an acetonitrile gradient from 7% to 40% (v/v) for 54 min at a flow rate of 0.4 µL /min directly into a Synapt G2-Si. The mass spectrometer acquired in a data-independent mode (DIA) with ion mobility separation. This approach called high-definition data-independent mass spectrometry (HDMS\textsuperscript{E}) enhances the proteome coverage significantly (Distler et al. 2014). MS/MS analyses were performed by nano-electrospray ionization in positive ion mode nanoESI (+) and a NanoLock Spray (Waters, Manchester, UK) ionization source. The lock mass channel was sampled every 30 s. The mass spectrometer was calibrated with an MS/MS spectrum of [Glu1]-Fibrinopeptide B human (Glu-Fib) solution that was delivered through the reference sprayer of the NanoLock Spray source. Samples were all run in technical and biological triplicates.
Database search and quantification

Raw data was processed with Progenesis® QI version 2.1 (Waters) and proteins were identified. Quantitative data was processed using dedicated algorithms and searching against the Uniprot human proteomics database (version 2015/09), with the default parameters for ion accounting and quantitation (Li et al. 2009). The databases used were reversed “on the fly” during the database queries and appended to the original database to assess the false-positive identification rate. The following parameters were considered in identifying peptides: 1) Digestion by trypsin with at most one missed cleavage; 2) variable modifications by oxidation (M) and fixed modification by carbamidomethyl (C); 3) false discovery rate (FDR) less than 1%. Identifications that did not satisfy these criteria were not considered.

In silico analysis

Protein networks and canonical pathways associated with differentially expressed proteins were identified using software Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Ingenuity Systems, Qiagen, Redwood, CA, USA; www.ingenuity.com). This software uses curated connectivity information from the literature to determine interaction network among the differentially expressed proteins and canonical pathways in which they are involved. Here, we have considered information from nervous system tissues and cells, immune cells and stem cells. The significant biological functions are based on Fisher's exact test. Multiple correlation hypothesis are based on Benjamini-Hochberg (B-H) approach using 1% FDR threshold, the significance of the IPA test is expressed as p-values (Schubert et al. 2015).
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