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Abstract

Background: The current opioid overdose epidemic affecting communities across North America is increasingly driven by illicitly manufactured fentanyl and its related analogues. A variety of public health interventions have been implemented and scaled up, including opioid agonist treatments (OAT). While these treatments are successful for many individuals, they have a variety of limitations. It is critical to trial alternative treatments if conventional opioid agonist treatment options are not successful for a proportion of patients who use illicit fentanyl.

Main body: Prescription fentanyl has been widely used for pain management. The use of transdermal fentanyl, specifically, which provides long acting and stable drug levels post-titration over several days, should be explored as an opioid agonist treatment option. The use of transdermal fentanyl for patients who use illicit fentanyl is currently being piloted in Vancouver, Canada. To address potential diversion, the patch is signed, dated, and a film dressing is applied to mitigate tampering. Evaluation outcomes are still pending, but there have been no adverse outcomes thus far and clinical improvements have been noted for many patients. This exploratory therapeutic approach should be considered across multiple settings and rigorously evaluated.

Conclusions: There are known limitations to existing OAT options and there is a need to urgently evaluate alternative strategies for patients who are using illicit fentanyl not successfully treated with conventional OAT. Many patients may be attracted to, and retained in, fentanyl assisted treatment. This may be another strategy for certain patients to prevent harms caused by illicit fentanyl use, including overdose and death.
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suggest a potential role for alternative approaches that may be better able to attract and retain patients in treatment in the fentanyl era. Additionally, current available evidence for the efficacy of OAT is in the context of illicit opioid use (e.g., heroin, prescription opioids) prior to the proliferation of fentanyl. Anecdotally, many patients are refractory to traditional OAT regarding sustained abstinence from illicit fentanyl, though more research is needed in this area to fully characterize the effects of these OAT options. Recently, a study found fentanyl detected in the urine samples of more than 50% of participants that were enrolled in various OATs, demonstrating further limitations to existing treatments [9]. Moreover, while many individuals are ambivalent or have negative feelings about the proliferation of fentanyl in street-acquired drug supplies, emerging evidence also indicates that some individuals are now increasingly seeking fentanyl, not only because of its availability, but also due to its increased strength and the emergence of high tolerance to traditionally used street-acquired opioids [10]. In BC, for example, intentional use of illicit fentanyl has more than tripled in the last 3.5 years [11]. Thus, it is critical to trial alternative options if conventional OAT options are not successful for patients who use fentanyl.

Main text
Following the logic of all OAT models whereby a long acting opioid is prescribed to treat illicit heroin use, we argue that the same type of approach should be explored for the treatment of illicit fentanyl use. Prescription fentanyl has been widely used for pain management. It can be administered orally, transdermally, and intravenously [12]. Additionally, fentanyl is inexpensive [12]. Fentanyl as an injectable is currently only used in anesthesia settings due to respiratory drive suppression, and thus presents pharmacologic risk outside of these settings. However, the use of transdermal fentanyl, which provides long acting and stable drug levels post-titration over several days, warrants further exploration as an innovative potential therapeutic approach.

The use of fentanyl patches for treatment of fentanyl use disorder is currently being piloted in Vancouver, BC, where there are eight patients (six males, two females; average age of 45) enrolled in the program. The pilot started in July 2019 and the selection criteria encompasses participants who use illicit fentanyl and have not benefited from oral OAT nor injectable OAT. All participants have a fixed address and are polysubstance users. Patients are started on a fentanyl patch titration, where the patch is changed every 2 days by a nurse. Diversion is important to consider as the patches can be tampered with and potentially sold on the street. In our setting, to address potential diversion, the patch is signed and dated and a transparent film dressing (i.e., Tegaderm) is applied to mitigate any tampering issues. Tampered or missing patches result in transitioning the patient to a different form of OAT. This pilot project is in its early stages, so evaluation outcomes are still pending. However, there have been no adverse outcomes thus far and clinical improvement has been noted for many patients.

Given the scope of the opioid overdose epidemic and the fact that it is largely driven by illicit fentanyl, implementation of this exploratory therapeutic approach coupled with rigorous evaluation should be an immediate priority. It could be implemented in various settings, including hospitals, inner city health and social services, and pharmacies. Evaluation efforts should focus on treatment uptake, adherence, and retention; suppression of illicit fentanyl use and other opioids; exposure to more lethal analogues (e.g., carfentanil) and other contaminants; impacts on quality of life; unintended effects; diversion; and patient linkages to other health and social services.

Conclusions
In conclusion, a range of existing OAT options are effective for some patients, but not all. The increasing availability of illicit fentanyl is changing the treatment equation due to the need to move urgently to pursue strategies to better attract and retain high-risk individuals in OAT. In an era where existing OAT has known limitations for some, and where individuals are predominantly using fentanyl in many settings, some patients may be attracted to, and retained in, fentanyl assisted treatment programs. As long as diversion and safety are sufficiently addressed, fentanyl assisted treatment may be another strategy to preventing harms caused by illicit fentanyl use, including overdose and death.

Abbreviations
BC: British Columbia; OAT: Opioid agonist treatment

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank Drs. Nadia Fairbairn and Thomas Kerr for their feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Authors’ contributions
GB conceptualized the commentary, conducted the literature review, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the development and editing of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding
This research is supported by a United States National Institutes of Health Grant (R01DA044181). Geoff Bardwell is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Postdoctoral Fellowship and Evan Wood is supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program.

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.
Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 British Columbia Centre on Substance Use, 400-1045 Howe Street, Vancouver, BC V6Z 2A9, Canada. 2 Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, 400-1045 Howe Street, Vancouver, BC V6Z 2A9, Canada. 3 Department of Family Practice, University of British Columbia, 5950 University Boulevard, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3, Canada.

Received: 9 September 2019 Accepted: 31 October 2019
Published online: 11 November 2019

References
1. Ahmad F, Rossen L, Spencer M, Warner M, Sutton P. Provisional drug overdose death counts. National Center for Health Statistics: United States; 2018.
2. Special Advisory Committee on the Epidemic of Opioid Overdoses. National report: apparent opioid-related deaths in Canada (released September 2018). Ottawa: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2018.
3. Somerville N, O’Donnell J, Gladden R, Zibbell J, Green T, Younkin M, et al. Characteristics of fentanyl overdose — Massachusetts, 2014–2016. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2017.
4. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Overdose death rates. United States of America: National Institutes of Health; 2018.
5. BC Coroners Service. Fentanyl-Detected Illicit Drug Toxicity Deaths January 1 2012 to May 31, 2019 2019 Available from: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/birth-adoption-death-marriage-and-divorce/deaths/coroners-service/statistical/fentanyl-detected-overdose.pdf.
6. Strike C, Watson TM. Losing the uphill battle? Emergent harm reduction interventions and barriers during the opioid overdose crisis in Canada. Int J Drug Policy. 2019. [Epub ahead of print]
7. Socías M, Wood E. Evaluating slow-release oral morphine to narrow the treatment gap for opioid use disorders. Ann Intern Med. 2018;168(2):141–2.
8. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(2).
9. Jones AA, Jang K, Panenka WJ, Barr AM, MacEwan GW, Thornton AE, et al. Rapid change in fentanyl prevalence in a community-based, high-risk SampleLetters. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018;75(3):298–300.
10. Meier A, Moore S, Saunders E, Metcalf S, McLeman B, Aty S, et al. HotSpot Report: understanding opioid overdoses in New Hampshire. College Park: University of Maryland; 2017.
11. Papamihali KG, B, Crabtree A, Mill C, Karamouzian M, Kuo M, Young S, Buxton JA. Changing landscape of opioid use in British Columbia, Canada: A shift towards fentanyl-seeking behaviour. Porto: Harm Reduction International; 2019.
12. Stanley TH. The fentanyl story. J Pain. 2014;15(12):1215–26.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

• fast, convenient online submission
• thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
• rapid publication on acceptance
• support for research data, including large and complex data types
• gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
• maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.
Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions