Efficient Sleeping Beauty DNA Transposition From DNA Minicircles
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DNA transposon-based vectors have emerged as new potential delivery tools in therapeutic gene transfer. Such vectors are now showing promise in hematopoietic stem cells and primary human T cells, and clinical trials with transposon-engineered cells are on the way. However, the use of plasmid DNA as a carrier of the vector raises safety concerns due to the undesirable administration of bacterial sequences. To optimize vectors based on the Sleeping Beauty (SB) DNA transposon for clinical use, we examine here SB transposons from DNA minicircles (MCs) devoid of the bacterial plasmid backbone. Potent DNA transposition, directed by the hyperactive SB100X transposase, is demonstrated from MC donors, and the stable transfection rate is significantly enhanced by expressing the SB100X transposase from MCs. The stable transfection rate is inversely related to the size of circular donor, suggesting that a MC-based SB transposition system benefits primarily from an increased cellular uptake and/or enhanced expression which can be observed with DNA MCs. DNA transposon and transposase MCs are easily produced, are favorable in size, do not carry irrelevant DNA, and are robust substrates for DNA transposition. In accordance, DNA MCs should become a standard source of DNA transposons not only in therapeutic settings but also in the daily use of the SB system.
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Introduction

The Sleeping Beauty (SB) DNA transposon is a promising nonviral integrating vector system for therapeutic gene transfer. The combination of the ability to stably integrate transgene cassettes into the genome of mammalian cells and an easy, cost-effective production, characteristic for a plasmid-based vector system, has made the SB transposon system an attractive and widely studied gene delivery tool for gene therapy applications (reviewed in ref. 1, 2).

Since its reconstruction in 1997 from ancient Tc1/mariner elements resident in the salmonid fish genome,3 the SB vector has been subjected to several modifications aimed at improving the efficacy and safety of the system. Modifications of the inverted repeat sequences in the transposon have resulted in an improved SB transposon, with a more than threefold increased transposition efficiency compared with the original transposon.4 Mutational analyses of the SB transposase sequence have led to several hyperactive transposase mutants with increased transposition activity.5–7 and a high-throughput polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based DNA-shuffling strategy facilitated the development of the hyperactive transposase SB100X, which has an estimated 100-fold enhanced efficiency compared with the original SB10 transposase.8 To remove the risk of inadvertent integration of the transposase gene into the genome and remobilization of inserted transposons, transposition experiments using RNA as a source of SB transposase have been carried out successfully both in vitro and in vivo.9–12 In addition, attempts to direct transposon integration into “safe” chromosomal regions have led to the analysis of DNA-binding fusion proteins designed to tether the SB transposase to specific sequences in the genome,13 and proof-of-principle of SB-mediated targeted chromosomal integration in human cells has been achieved.14 As of today, long-term gene expression after SB-mediated delivery has been observed for a wide range of primary cells (including CD34+8,15–17 primary T,11,18–20 and human embryonic stem cells12,21) and tissues (including liver,22–24 lung,25–29 and neuronal tissue30,31). Recently, the first clinical trial using SB-directed gene insertion has been initiated for treatment of patients with B-lymphoid malignancies with adoptive immunotherapy.32

One of the desirable properties of the SB vector system is consistent excision from donor DNA and genomic integration of defined transposon sequences, leaving out the plasmid bacterial backbone. Before the transposition event can take place, however, plasmid DNA has to be delivered to the nucleus of treated cells, implying that undesirable bacterial sequences, such as origin of replication and antibiotic resistance gene, are administered into patient cells. In patients, horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes to pathogenic bacteria would be possible in theory, raising some safety concerns related to clinical use of plasmid DNA.33 In addition, plasmid bacterial sequences contain unmethylated CpG motifs, which may trigger the activation of inflammatory responses upon plasmid administration,34,35 making bacterial backbone sequences a possible safety concern for plasmid-based vector systems. Adding to these potential drawbacks, antibiotic resistance genes are relatively large and are likely, therefore, to have a negative effect on the plasmid transfection rate.
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Minicircle (MC) DNA vectors are small, supercoiled molecules devoid of plasmid bacterial sequences. They are believed to be superior to standard plasmid DNA as gene delivery vehicles due to observations of more persistent and increased transgene expression both in vitro and in vivo.\textsuperscript{36–42} MC DNA molecules can be obtained through a phage integrase–φC31-mediated site-specific recombination between attB and attP sites, leading to excision of the intervening bacterial backbone sequence, which is then digested by the I-SceI endonuclease and degraded. Production of MC DNA has previously involved costly and labor-intensive techniques, but with the construction, by Chen et al., of a genetically modified \textit{E. coli} strain that stably expresses a set of inducible φC31 integrase and I-SceI endonuclease enzymes, generation of MC DNA is now comparable with plasmid production protocols.\textsuperscript{43}

To improve the safety profile and the efficacy of the SB system, we combined in this study the SB transposon vector technology with the MC DNA technology. We created a MC-based SB transposon donor vector, and measured efficient transposition from the MC donor in a range of different cell lines in vitro. In HeLa cells, stable transfection rates obtained by mobilizing transposons from the MC donor at restricted transposase dosages were improved relative to the standard plasmid vector. To analyze SB-mediated transposition by a MC-based system by which both the transposon and the transposase expression cassette were delivered in the context of MC DNA, we also constructed a MC-based SB100X transposase vector, and found increased stable transfection rates in transfections with the MC SB100X vector compared to the corresponding plasmid vector. Our findings suggest that MC-based SB transposon vectors represent an efficient alternative to plasmid DNA as donors of SB transposons and as carriers of SB transposase expression cassettes.

\section*{Results}
\subsection*{Construction and production of SB transposon MCs}
To study SB DNA transposition from MC DNA, we generated the plasmids pMC.SBT/PGK-puro and pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP, which contain a PGK-puro and a CMV-eGFP cassette, respectively, situated in a SB transposon flanked by attB and attP recombination sites (Figure 1a). To allow the left inverted repeat and right inverted repeat to be separated in the MC context, we inserted a 193-bp fragment, taken from the eGFP gene, after the right inverted repeat of the SB/PGK-puro transposon and a 225-bp fragment, taken from the β-gal gene, after the right inverted repeat of the SB/CMV-eGFP transposon. We aimed at an outer distance of approximately 300 bp between the transposon ends in the transposon MC constructs, as this distance has previously been shown to be favorable for mobilizing the SB transposon from circular DNA substrates.\textsuperscript{5} The pMC.SBT/PGK-puro and pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP plasmids were then transformed into the \textit{E. coli} strain ZYCY10P3S2T, and MC DNA was subsequently produced by overnight growth of a transformed colony, followed by incubation with a MC induction mix and purification on a plasmid purification column. This procedure resulted in the production of MC DNA without plasmid contamination as verified by restriction digestion analysis (Figure 1b).

\section*{Efficient SB-mediated transposition from MC vectors}
To examine the rate of stably transfecting cells using the MC transposon donor, we made a colony-forming transposition assay in HeLa cells that have been used conventionally in studies of SB efficiency. MC DNA of 380 ng or pMC.SBT/PGK-puro plasmid DNA of 900 ng (corresponding to equal molar amounts) were cotransfected into HeLa cells together with 100 ng of a helper plasmid expressing either the inactive transposase mSB, the original transposase SB10, or the hyperactive transposase SB100X. Transposition efficiencies were determined based on number of resistant colonies obtained after puromycin selection. Efficient transposition from the MC donor was observed with both the SB10 and SB100X transposase (Figure 2a). Although there was no statistical difference between plasmid and MC transposition, a tendency of increased transposition from the MC donor was observed for SB10 ($P = 0.05$). The SB100X gave rise to an approximately fivefold increase in transposition compared with SB10, which is in agreement with results from previous HeLa transfection experiments using relatively high transposase amounts.\textsuperscript{3} Southern blot analysis of the puromycin-resistant clones showed that both types of transposon donors created a mean of approximately seven insertions per clone (Supplementary Figure S1). For the plasmid donor, we identified from 2 to 13 insertions per clone (total of 8 clones), whereas the number of insertions per clone ranged from 3 to 12 with the MC donor (total of 13 clones). Notably, the far majority of these insertions were transposon insertions, although random insertion of plasmid and MC DNA could also be detected in some of the clones. We also evaluated transposition activities by detecting excision circles, which are plasmid, or MC, backbone DNA molecules formed after transposon excision and cellular repair of the excision site. As the outer length between the transposon inverted repeats was much smaller in the MC donor compared with the plasmid donor (4,325 bp compared with 327 bp, respectively), we were interested in studying if excision circles were also formed after transposon excision from MC DNA. MC or the parental pMC.SBT/PGK-puro plasmid was cotransfected with helper plasmids pCMV-mSB or pCMV-SB100X into HeLa cells, and low-molecular weight DNA was extracted 2 days after transfection. A PCR specific for the repaired excision site in MC excision circles resulted in a distinct 259-bp PCR band that was detected in the DNA sample originating from MC and pCMV-SB100X cotransfections (Figure 2b, top). This showed that excision site repair leading to robust formation and purification of a 327-bp circle took place after transposition from the MC donor. In addition, we used a primer set that would amplify excision sites from both plasmid and MC donors. The expected 128-bp PCR band was observed for both types of substrates (Figure 2b, bottom). Approximately, equal band intensities suggested that transposon excision frequencies from plasmid and MC DNA were in the same range, although potential differences in plasmid and MC excision circle stability and repaired circle extraction could have some impact on a direct comparison.

To measure the stable transfection rate of the transposon MC vector in other cell types, we performed colony-forming...
assays in retina pigment epithelium (RPE) cells, embryonic carcinoma cells (F9), and human keratinocytes (HaCaT). Efficient transposition from the transposon MC vector was observed in all three cell types, although statistical difference between plasmid and MC transposition could not be detected (Figure 2c). These data collectively demonstrated that MC transposon donors under the experimental conditions used mediated levels of DNA transposition that were comparable with those of conventional plasmid donors.

**Increased transposition efficiencies from MC DNA with low transposase dosages in HeLa cells**

The transposon-to-transposase plasmid ratio is known to affect SB transposition efficiency. Too high transposase concentrations, for instance, may lead to overproduction inhibition that reduces the transposition activity through unknown mechanisms. To investigate transposition from the MC donor at low transposase amounts and at different transposon-to-transposase ratios, we lowered the amount of SB100X-encoding plasmid to 20 ng and measured the stable transfection rate in HeLa cells transfected with increasing amounts of transposon donor DNA (ranging from 50 to 1,500 ng plasmid DNA and from 21 to 635 ng MC DNA). Irrelevant plasmid DNA was included in each transfection to ensure that the cells were transfected with equal amounts of DNA. As shown in Figure 3a, reducing the amount of SB100X-encoding plasmid resulted in a considerable relative enhancement of transposition from the MC donor compared with the plasmid vector ($P < 0.05$ for the four lowest transposon concentrations; $P = 0.09$ for transfections with 1,500/635 ng donor DNA). These findings demonstrated improved performance of MC DNA as a transposon donor in cells with restricted levels of transposase.

**Stable transfection rate is inversely related to size of transposon donor**

We hypothesized that the difference in transposition rates from the MC and pMC.SBT/PGK-puro donors was a reflection of the different sizes of these donors. To test this possibility and to ensure that the enhanced transposition rate obtained with the MC donor did not simply reflect that the pMC.SBT/PGK-puro for other reasons was suboptimal as...
Our initial findings lent support to the notion that MC DNA from increased MC DNA transfection efficiency benefits primarily from increased rates of transfection due to the lack of irrelevant DNA sequences. To address this aspect further, equal molar amounts of MC.SBT/CMV-eGFP and the pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP plasmid were transfected into HeLa cells together with a plasmid encoding the AsRed reporter as a transfection control. Levels of AsRed and eGFP expression were measured 2 days after transfection by flow cytometry. Whereas the AsRed level and the percentage of AsRed positive cells were equal in all transfections, a fivefold increase in eGFP median fluorescence intensity ($P < 0.0004$; Figure 3c, left) and a 1.4-fold increase in percentage of eGFP-positive cells ($P < 0.0004$; Figure 3c, right) was observed for the MC construct compared with the plasmid construct. Although we cannot formally exclude thatMC DNA supported an enhanced level of transgene expression relative to plasmid DNA, this result most likely indicated that the transfection efficiency of MC DNA was increased relative to that of its plasmid counterpart.

To investigate SB transposition from MC DNA under non-selective conditions, we performed a DNA transposition assay based on the mobilization of an eGFP-expressing DNA transposon. Equal molar amounts of pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP plasmid and MC.SBT/CMV-eGFP (Figure 1a) were transfected into HeLa cells together with pCMV-SB100X or
Two days after transfection, eGFP-positive cells were sorted by flow cytometry and further passaged for 16 days to allow dilution of episomal DNA. As shown in Figure 3d (left panel), a significant higher percentage of eGFP-positive cells ($P < 0.05$) was seen with the MC donor compared with the plasmid donor at 2 days after transfection. After sorting and passaging of the cells for 18 days, we measured a marked increase in the percentage of eGFP-positive cells for both plasmid and MC donors ($P = 0.69$; Figure 3d, right). In the presence of mSB, a significant increase in eGFP-positive cells ($P < 0.01$) was observed for both donors.
the MC donor compared with the plasmid donor, suggesting that MC episomes were more stable or more stably supported expression of the eGFP gene relative to episomal plasmid DNA. Alternatively, the MC transfections gave rise to random insertion events more frequently than the plasmid transfections, but this remains questionable as the far majority of such insertions would not result in eGFP expression due to expected damage of the CMV-eGFP transgene cassette in the case of random MC integration. Together, our data suggest that the high transposition activity observed with the MC donor at restricted transposase amounts is a result of enhanced transfection of MC DNA due to the smaller size compared with plasmid DNA and that this difference does not necessarily reflect an increased rate of transposition per se from the MC donor.

MC DNA is superior to plasmid DNA as transposon donor in comparisons of transfections performed with equal weight units of the two donors

Conventional plasmid transfections suffer from the fact that the bacterial plasmid backbone, all in all a large amount of irrelevant DNA, is loaded into the cells. By excluding irrelevant segments of DNA, it is possible, within a fixed amount of DNA, to increase copy numbers of the transgene expression cassette. To illustrate this advantage, we analyzed the stable transfection rate at restricted transposase amounts in RPE, F9, and HaCaT cells. First, we transfected these cell types with equal molar amounts of pMC.SBT/PGK-puro plasmid (100 ng) or MC.SBT/PGK-puro (42 ng) in the presence of SB100X expressed from 20 ng pCMV-SB100X. A slightly higher number of resistant colonies was obtained with MC DNA compared with plasmid DNA in all three cell types (Figure 4a), although this increase in transposition efficiency was not statistically significant. However, when we transfected equal weight units of MC and plasmid DNA, the MC donor led to a significantly higher transposition activity than the plasmid donor (P < 0.01), with a 1.9-fold, 1.8-fold, and 2.0-fold increase in activity for MC transposon versus plasmid transposon in RPE, F9, and HaCaT cells, respectively (Figure 4b). These data show that at fixed DNA amounts, higher transposition efficiencies can be obtained with MC transposon donors compared with plasmid transposon donors.

Expression of SB100X from MC DNA leads to enhanced stable transfection rates

Recent examples of successful use of mRNA as a source of SB transposase represent one approach to avoid delivery of irrelevant nucleic acids, such as bacterial backbone DNA sequences, to cells treated by DNA transposition vectors. Expression of the SB transposase from a MC vector would also allow for a SB transposition system that is devoid of bacterial backbone sequences. To obtain an SB vector system in which both transposon donor and
transposase helper was contained in MC DNA, we constructed the pMC.CMV-SB100X plasmid, containing a CMV-SB100X cassette (Figure 5a), and produced MC DNA as previously described. Pure MC DNA without plasmid contamination was produced as verified by restriction digestion analysis (Figure 5b). To measure transposition mediated by the SB100X MC vector, we performed a colony-forming assay in HeLa cells using 450 ng of MC.SBT/PGK-puro MC transposon donor and 50 ng pMC.CMV-SB100X plasmid or 17 ng of MC.CMV-SB100X MC DNA into HeLa cells. The pcDNA3.1/D-8,TOPO plasmid was included as irrelevant DNA to obtain a total amount of 1,000 ng in each transfection. Colony numbers were obtained as described in Figure 2a. *Significant difference between SB100X plasmid and MC (Student’s t test, P < 0.05). Mean ± SEM values are shown (N = 3). attP, phage attachment site; attB, bacterial attachment site; attT, right hybrid sequence; CoEl Ori, E. coli origin of replication; CMV, cytomegalovirus promoter; I-SceI, I-SceI restriction site; KanR, kanamycin resistance gene; MC, minicircle; P, plasmid; PGK, phosphoglycerate kinase promoter; SB, Sleeping Beauty; SB100X, SB100X transposase gene.

Discussion

Bacterial backbone sequences, situated in plasmid DNA, contain elements that can cause serious safety problems when plasmid-based vectors are used for gene therapy. One such element is the antibiotic resistance gene necessary for bacterial selection. Due to risks such as (i) expression of antibiotic resistance genes in patient cells leading to unintended immune responses and (ii) transmission of antibiotic resistance genes to host bacteria via horizontal gene transfer, regulatory agencies recommend avoiding the use of antibiotic resistance markers. Furthermore, unmethylated CpG motifs, frequently present in bacterial DNA sequences, have been observed to activate the innate immune system of the host by binding to the Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) of antigen-presenting cells. The SB DNA transposon delivery system typically consists of two plasmids, a transposon donor and a transposase helper plasmid, respectively. In this study, we have shown that MC DNA devoid of plasmid bacterial backbone sequences can serve as a donor substrate for SB-mediated transposition and as an expression vector for the SB100X transposase. We detected efficient transposition in transfected HeLa, RPE, F9, and HaCaT cells with the MC donor showing a transposition activity that was equal to or higher than the activity of the standard plasmid donor at same molar amounts. We also observed a correlation between transposition efficiency and transposon vector size, suggesting that reducing the size of the transposon vector, as in the MC donor, leads to better overall transposition activities. In continuation hereof, we observed higher transgene expression levels with the MC donor compared with the plasmid donor, shortly after transfection, suggesting that reduced vector size gives rise to increased transfection efficiency, at least in lipid-based transfections. When transfected the same amount of MC donor and plasmid donor (equal weight units), we saw significant higher transposition efficiencies with the MC donor compared with plasmid donor, reflecting that an increased amount of transposon units per weight of DNA can be obtained with the MC donor. Finally, we observed an increased stable transfection rate when both transposon donor and transposase helper was situated in MC DNA, indicating that robust transposition with low DNA transposase amounts is possible when using an SB100X MC expression vector. In conclusion, we propose that MC-based SB transposon vectors constitute an efficient, bacterial backbone free alternative to plasmid-based transposon vectors for gene therapy applications. On the basis of our findings, we recommend that MC DNA is considered a primary donor for transposon delivery for future clinical use of DNA transposons and for the daily use of the SB system with particular relevance in hard-to-transfect cell types and preclinical animal models.

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction. The MC producer plasmid pMC.BESPX was kindly provided by Z.-Y. Chen and M. A. Kay (Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA) and was previously described in ref. The pMC.SBT/PGK-puro MC transposon donor was constructed by ligation of a SBT/PGK-puro.eGFP PCR fragment into Sall-digested pMC.BESPX. The SBT/PGK-puro.eGFP PCR fragment was generated by overlap extension PCR of an 193-bp eGFP PCR fragment amplified from peGFP.N1 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) and a SBT/PGK-puro PCR fragment.
fragment amplified from pSBT/PGK-puro (previously referred to as pT(puro)).44 pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP was made by insertion of a PCR-amplified SBT/PGK-eGFP-Δβ-gal cassette into Sall-digested pMC.BESPX followed by a replacement of the PGK promoter with a CMV promoter amplified from pcEGFP. N1 (AgeI/Pacl digestion). The SBT/PGK-eGFP-Δβ-gal cassette was constructed by overlap expansion PCR of a 225-bp β-gal PCR fragment amplified from pSBT/β-gal PGK-puro. β-gal49 and a SBT/PGK-eGFP PCR fragment amplified from pSBT/PGK-eGFP (B. Moldt and J.G. Mikkelsen, unpublished data). To construct pCMV-SB100X, the SB100X gene was PCR-amplified from pCMV/(CAT)7-SB100X8 and inserted into SacII-digested pCMV-SB3 replacing the SB3 gene. The pMC.CMV-SB100X plasmid was made by ligation of a CMV-SB100X plasmid vector MC.CMV-SB100X. The pcDNA3.1D/V5.TOPO plasmid (Invitrogen) was used as irrelevant DNA to obtain equal DNA amounts in each transfection. Transfections were carried out using FuGene-6 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) according to manufacturer’s instructions using 3 μl of reagent per 1 μg of DNA. One day after transfection, cells were split in varying densities and plated in 10-cm dishes. Two days after transfection, selection medium containing 1 μg/ml puromycin (Invitrogen) was added to the cells. After 10 days of selection, colonies of cells were stained with 0.6% methylene blue, air-dried, and counted. Southern blot analysis was performed as previously described.49

**Transposon excision assay.** HeLa cells were seeded in six-well dishes (1.5 × 10⁵ cells/well) and transfected with 380 ng of MC DNA or 900 ng of pMC.SBT/PGK-puro plasmid DNA together with 100 ng of pCMV-SB100X or pCMV-mSB. The pcDNA3.1D/V5.TOPO plasmid was used as stuffer DNA. Transfections were carried out using FuGene-6 (Roche) according to manufacturer’s instructions using 3 μl of reagent per 1 μg of DNA. Low-molecular weight DNA was extracted 2 days after transfection using the Qiagen miniprep kit (CA 91355; Qiagen, Valencia, Spain) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, except for a 1-hour incubation period at 55 °C (0.6% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 0.08 mg/ml proteinase K together with buffer P1) instead of the lysis step with buffer P2 after resuspension of the cell pellet. Extracted DNA of 50 ng was used as template for a MC specific excision PCR with primers 5′-GGATCACCTCCTCGGATGAC-3′ and 5′-ACTGGGTGCTAGTGATGTG-3′ and for an excision PCR with primers 5′-GCCCTATTGTCGACGTTTA-3′ and 5′-ACTGGGTGCTAGTGATGT-3′. Thirty cycles (95 °C 30 seconds, 65 °C 30 seconds, and 72 °C 5 seconds) were used in both PCRs.

**Transfection efficiency analysis by flow cytometry.** HeLa cells were seeded in six-well dishes (1.5 × 10⁵ cells/well) and cotransfected with 411 ng of MC DNA or 1,000 ng of pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP plasmid DNA together with 1,000 ng of pAAV2-siRNA (Applied Viromics, Fremont, CA,) encoding the red fluorescent marker AsRed. The pcDNA3.1D/V5.TOPO plasmid was used as irrelevant DNA to obtain equal DNA amounts in each transfection. Transfections were carried out using FuGene-6 according to manufacturer’s instructions using 3 μl of reagent per 1 μg of DNA. Cells were harvested 2 days after transfection and analyzed by flow cytometry on a BD FACSARia III cell sorter to detect eGFP and AsRed expression.

**Transposition assay with cell sorting.** HeLa cells were seeded in six-well dishes (1.5 × 10⁵ cells/well) and transduced with 411 ng of MC DNA or 1,000 ng of pMC.SBT/CMV-eGFP plasmid DNA together with 20 ng of pCMV-SB100X or pCMV-mSB. The pcDNA3.1D/V5.TOPO plasmid was used as irrelevant DNA to obtain equal DNA amounts in each transfection. Transfections were carried out using FuGene-6 according to manufacturer’s instructions using 3 μl of reagent per 1 μg of DNA. Two days after transfection green fluorescent protein positive cells were sorted by FACS using a BD FACSARia III cell sorter and subsequently cultivated. Cells were harvested 18 days after transfection and analyzed by flow cytometry to detect eGFP expression.
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Supplementary material

Figure S1. Copy number detection by Southern blot analysis of puromycin-resistant HeLa colonies.
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