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Some structural details of the hind wings detected in staphylinids of 7 subfamilies (Coleoptera)

Abstract - Study of 41 species provided information as follows: (a) a setigerous lobe is located at the costal margin in every species in the subf. Staphylininae; (b) a setal comb occupies the same margin in Xantholinini and Omaliinae; (c) one or more spinulae do line the anal field of all Omaliinae and most Oxytelinae, Tachyporinae and Aleocharinae; (d) number of these spinulae in Aleocharinae ranges from 1 up to about 100 and is null in two species. Hypothetically, a functional importance may be attributed to both the setigerous lobe, which suggests a mechanical receptor for wing folding control, and to the flabellum-like anal field of the Aleochara, which looks as a device affecting the flying trim.

Riassunto - Dettagli strutturali delle ali posteriori in stafilinidi di 7 sottofamiglie (Coleoptera)

Lo studio delle ali posteriori in 41 specie ha fornito le seguenti informazioni: (a) un “lobo setigero” occupa il margine costale in tutte le specie della subf. Staphylininae; (b) un “pettine costale” di setole spiniformi si trova in Staphylininae-Xantholininae e Omaliinae; (c) il campo anale reca una o più spinale nella maggior parte delle specie esamate delle sottofamiglie Proteininae, Omaliinae, Oxytelinae, Tachyporinae e Aleocharinae. Le tabelle riassumono: (A) il numero di unità nel lobo setigero delle Staphylininae; (B) la presenza/assenza e il numero delle spinule del campo anale nelle varie sottofamiglie; (C) il numero delle spinule del campo anale nelle Aleocharinae. La variabilità interspecifica in quest’ultima sottofamiglia si estende in una gamma da 1 fino a più di 100 e prevede anche l’assenza delle spinule in tre specie. Dal punto di vista funzionale, si ipotizza che il lobo setigero delle Staphylininae rappresenti un propriocettore per il controllo del ripiegamento dell’ala e che la struttura a ventaglio del campo anale delle Aleochara possa avere importanza nell’assetto del volo.
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INTRODUCTION

Clever authors, such as d’Orchimont (1920), Graham (1922), Forbes (1922), Crowson (1967) and Wallace & Fox (1975) have studied the wings of the Insecta; they
underlined the main features of different orders and did speculate on the wing evolution also on the basis of fossil material. Authors’ interest about the Coleoptera was mainly paid to the hind wings and especially to folding patterns of them. Hind wings of the staphyllinids were studied to some extent by Botturi (1978), Hammond (1979) and Kukalova’-Peck & Lawrence (1993); anyhow, some details of them did remain to be described. This contribution deals with 41 species of staphyllinids, most of which were identified thanks to the courtesy of Dr. Adriano Zanetti (Verona Museum). The two species of Stenus were courteously identified by Dr. Volker Assing (Hannover).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hind wings were obtained either from specimens collected directly in the field or from specimens preserved in liquids or dry collections. In every case, specimens were treated in a bath 1:1 of glycerol and ethanol 70% for 3-4 hours at least. Examined species are listed behind, according to the checklists of Ciceroni et al. (1995) and Smetana (2004).

Proteininae: Megarthrus affinis Miller, Metopsis clypeata (Müller), Proteinus atomarius Erichson (n=1). Omaliinae: Eusphalerum montivagum (Heer), Omalium riparium Thompson, Paraphloeostiba gayndahensis (MacLeay). Oxytelinae: Anotylus inustus (Gravenhorst), Bleulius furcatus (Olivier) (n=1), Oxytelus piceus (Linnaeus), Platystethus nitens (C. Sahlberg). Steninae: Stenus aceris Stephens (n=2), S. longitarsis Thomson (n=2). Paederinae: Paederus fuscipes Curtis, Pseudolathra lusitanica (Erichson), Rugilus orbiculatus (Paykull). Staphylininae-Staphylinini: Creophilus maxillosus (Linnaeus), Emus hirtus (Linnaeus) (n=2), Ocybus olens (O. Müller). Staphylininae-Philonthini: Cafius xantholoma (Gravenhorst), Gabronthus maritimus (Motschulsky), Philonthus intermedius (Lacordaire). Staphylininae-Quediini: Quedius pallipes P. Lucas. Staphylininae-Xantholinini: Leptacinus othioides Baudi, Megalinus glabratus (Gravenhorst). Tachyporinae: Cilea silphoides (Linnaeus), Tachinus flavolimbatus Pandelle, Tachyporus hypnorum (Fabricius). Aleocharinae: Aleochara bipustulata (Linnaeus), A. curtula (Goeze) (n=2), A. tristis Gravenhorst, Atheta aeneicollis (Sharp), A. elongatula (Gravenhorst) (n=2), A. inquinula (Gravenhorst), Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst), Diestota guadalupensis Pace (n=1), Halobrecta flavipes Thomson (n=2), Myrmecopora uvida (Erichson), Nemehitropia lividipennis (Mannerheim), Oligota parva Kraatz, Thecturota marchii (Dodero), Trichiusa immigrata Lohse (n=2).

Four specimens (both wings) were examined for each species, except for the minor numbers indicated in the above list. Exposition of results starts from a new analysis of the hind wings of Creophilus maxillosus, which has been already examined by Kukalova’-Peck & Lawrence (l.c.). The morphological terms - costal margin, radial spring, anal field - are in agreement with these authors.

RESULTS

A new morphological analysis of the hind wings of Creophilus maxillosus (Staphylininae-Staphylinini) allows to observed on the costal margin a new structure,
which is indicated in Fig. 1.A as “setigerous lobe”. It is a small membranous protuberance, located behind the “marginal blade” of the latter. This setigerous lobe can be easily recognized in every other examined species of the subf. Staphylininae, because it gives some short setae. Number of these is somewhat variable according to species (Figs. 1-3); within the tribe Staphylinini they are 20±5% in both Creophilus maxillosus and Ocyopus olens (Fig. 1.B), whereas there are about 100 setae in Emus hirtus (Fig. 2.B). Further values are listed in Tab. A.

Tab. A - Hind wings in the examined species of the subf. Staphylininae: approximate number of the setae of the “setigerous lobe”.

| Tribe and species       | No. of setae |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Staphylinini            |              |
| Creophilus maxillosus   | 20           |
| Emus hirtus             | 100          |
| Ocyopus olens           | 20           |
| Philonthini             |              |
| Cafius xantholoma       | 28           |
| Gabronthus maritimus    | 8            |
| Philonthus intermedius  | 16           |
| Remus filum             | 13           |
| Quedini                 |              |
| Quedius pallipes        | 20           |
| Xantholinini            |              |
| Leptacinus othioides    | 8            |
| Megalinus glabratus     | 14           |

A second new structure occupies the costal margin in the two examined members of the tribe Xantholinini; it is indicated as “setal comb” in Fig. 3 and consists in a series of spine-like setae, somewhat uniform in their length and disposed in a single row; it includes about 30 setae in Leptacinus othioides and more than 200 setae in Megalinus glabratus.

A similar comb has been found along the costal margin in every examined species of the subf. Omaliinae (Figs. 4 and 5.A). Moreover, the anal field of the Omaliinae bears a tuft of long spinulae (= “anal field spinulae”), whose number ranges from 12 to 20. Although it is clearly delimited by an indentation, the anal field of all Staphylininae, Steninae and Paederinae is lacking of such an equipment of spinulae (Figs. 5.B and 6). Anyhow, a similar equipment is present in the subf. Proteininae (Fig. 7), both in the normal wings of Proteinus atomarius and Megarthrus affinis and in the rudimentary
Fig. 1 - Subf. Staphylininae: entire hind wing and details in two species of the tribe Staphylinini.
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Fig. 2 - Subf. Staphylininae: setigerous lobe in the indicated species of the tribes Staphylinini, Philonthini and Quediini.
Fig. 3 - Subf. Staphylininae: hind wing details in two species of the tribe Xantholinini.
Fig. 4 - Subf. Omaliinae: entire hind wing and details of the anal field in the indicated species.
Fig. 5 - Entire hind wing or detail in: A, another species of the subf. Omaliinae; B, a species of the subf. Steninae.
Fig. 6 - Subf. Paederinae: entire hind wing and details in the indicated species.
Fig. 7 - Subf. Proteininae: entire hind wing and details in the indicated species.
wings of *Metopsia clypeata*; moreover, it can be found in most species of the subfamilies Oxytelinae (Fig. 8), Tachyporinae (Fig. 9) and Aleocharinae. Absence of spinulae has been registered in the following species of these subfamilies: *Carpelimus obesus* (Oxytelinae), *Cilea silphoides* (Tachyporinae) (Fig. 9.B), *Atheta inquinula*, *Diestota guadalupensis* and *Oligota parva* (Fig. 10.A) (Aleocharinae). As a whole, data on the anal field are reported in Tab. B.

*Tab. B - Presence/absence and numerical range of the anal field spinulae in each subfamil*

| Subfamily              | No. of spinulae |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Proteininae (3 species)| 5-10            |
| Omalinae (3 species)   | 12-20           |
| Oxytelinae (4 species) | 0-30            |
| Steninae (2 species)   | 0               |
| Paederinae (3 species) | 0               |
| Staphylininae (7 species) | 0            |
| Tachyporinae (3 species) | 0-30         |
| Aleocharinae (14 species) | 0-100       |

The number of spinulae within the subf. Aleocharinae (Tab. C) ranges from a single one of *Halobrecta flavipes* (Fig. 10.B) to about one hundred of *Aleochara curtula* (Fig. 12.D). Intermediate values belong to the species reported in Fig. 11. Owing to the high number of its spinulae, the anal field of the *Aleochara* is flabellum-like (Fig. 12.A-C).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Opinion of Botturi (l.c.) is that the hind wings of the staphylinids are built in agreement with a defined structural project, which does mechanically improve both wing folding and unfolding through the reduction of the transversal veins. Actually, hind wings of the species examined here exhibit a reduced venation; anyhow, they aren’t really uniform for a number of structural details: presence/absence of the setigerous lobe, number of setae of the latter, presence/absence of the setal comb, anal filed sometimes equipment with spinulae. Moreover, two wing structures seem to have a functional role: (I) the setigerous lobe of the Staphylininae, which suggests a mechanical receptor for wing folding, and (II) the flabellum-like anal field of the *Aleochara*, which likely does affect the flying trim.
Fig. 8 - Subf. Oxytelinae: details of hind wings provided with anal field spinulae in the indicated species.
Fig. 9 - Subf. Tachyporinae: details of hind wings provided with anal field spinulae in the indicated species.
Fig. 10 - Subf. Aleocharinae: A, instance of hind wings without distinct anal field; B, hind wing bearing a single spinula at its anal field.
Fig. 11 - Subf. Aleocharinae: hind wings provided with 5-12 spinulae at the anal field.
Fig. 12 - Subf. Aleocharinae: entire hind wings and details of the anal field in the examined species of the genus *Aleochara*. 
**Examined species** | **No. of spinulae**
--- | ---
*Aleochara bipustulata* | about 30
*Aleochara curtula* | about 100
*Aleochara tristis* | about 60
*Atheta aeneicollis* | 7
*Atheta elongatula* | 9
*Atheta inquinula* | 0
*Cordalia obscura* | 6
*Diestota guadalupensis* | 0
*Halobrecta flavipes* | 1
*Myrmecopora uvida* | 6
*Nehemitropia lividipennis* | 12
*Oligota parva* | 0
*Thecturota marchii* | 5
*Trichiusa immigrata* | 5

---
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