La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$: a new ternary superconducting rhodium-antimonide
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Abstract

Rhodium-containing compounds offer a fertile playground to explore novel materials with superconductivity (SC) and other fantastic electronic correlation effects. A new ternary rhodium-antimonide La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ ($\delta \approx 1/8$) has been synthesized by a Bi-flux method. It crystallizes in the orthorhombic Pr$_2$Ir$_3$Sb$_4$-like structure, with the space group $Pnma$ (No. 62). The crystalline structure appears as stacking the two-dimensional RhSb$_5$- and RhSb$_4$-polyhedra networks along b axis, and the La atoms embed in the cavities of these networks. Band structure calculations confirm it as a multi-band metal with a van-Hove singularity like feature at the Fermi level, whose density of states are mainly of Rh-4$d$ and Sb-5$p$ characters. The calculations also imply that the redundant Rh acts as charge dopant. SC is observed in this material with onset transition at $T_{on} \approx 0.8$ K. Ultra-low temperature magnetic susceptibility and specific heat measurements suggest that it is an s-wave type-II superconductor. Our work may also imply that the broad Ln$_2$Tm$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ ($Ln$ = rare earth, $Tm$ = Rh, Ir) family may host new material bases where new superconductors, quantum magnetism and other electronic correlation effects could be found.

Supplementary material for this article is available online
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1. Introduction

Ternary rhodium-containing compounds have spanned fertile material bases for studies of unconventional superconductivity (SC) and electronic correlation effects. On the one hand, Rh-4$d$ electrons possess moderate on-site Coulomb repulsion $U$ and spin–orbit coupling (SOC) strength, which endow them with variable—either localized or itinerant—electronic states (e.g. see [1, 2]). On the other hand, the orbital configuration 4$d^85$s$^1$ of Rh may lead to a large density of states (DOS) in the presence of specific crystalline electric field, which enhances inter-site hybridization and in turn facilitates rich physical properties such as heavy-fermion SC observed in CeRh$_2$Si$_2$ [3], CeRhIn$_5$ [4], PuRhGa$_5$ [5], URhGe [6] and CeRh$_2$As$_2$ [7, 8], Kondo insulator in CeRh(As,Sb) [9], unconventional...
quantum criticality in YbRh$_2$Si$_2$ [10] and CeRh$_6$Ge$_4$ [11], and quadrupolar Kondo effect in PrRh$_2$Z$_{20}$ [12].

The chemical formula $\text{La}_{2}\text{Tm}_{2-\delta}\text{Pr}_\delta$ ($\text{La} = \text{real earth, } Tm = \text{transition metal, } \text{Pr} = \text{Pr, } \text{As, } \text{Sb}$) stands for a big class of ternary pnictides that attracted a broad audience in recent years. Amongst them, the most well-known phases should be the so-called ‘122’ family ($x = 0$) that consists of two branches of tetragonal crystalline structures: the $\text{ThCr}_2\text{Si}_2$ type ($I4/mmm$, No. 139) and $\text{CaBe}_2\text{Ge}_2$ type ($P4/nmm$, No. 129). Besides these stoichiometric 122 phases, substantial deficient can exist on the transition metal site [13–16]. It is interesting to note that in some cases, such deficient can maintain the 122-type structure, e.g. $\text{CeNi}_2\text{Si}_2$ [17], while in other cases, the structure collapses and changes into a new structure. A representative example is $\text{Pr}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{Sb}_4$ ($x = 1$) which belongs to the orthorhombic $\text{Pnma}$ space group (No. 62). Multiple compounds have been found to crystallize in this 234 phase, such as $\text{Ln}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{Sb}_4$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{La, Ce, Pr, Nd}$) [18] and $\text{Ce}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ [19]. In particular, previous studies on the rhodium-antimonide $\text{Ce}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ based on polycrystal samples manifested that the local moments of Ce$^{3+}$ remain paramagnetic down to 2K [19], implying that it probably sits nearby a quantum critical point. Single crystals with high quality are needed to better understand its ground state.

With the goal of growing the single crystalline $\text{Ce}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ and its non-4$f$ reference, we discovered a new ternary rhodium-antimonide $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3-\delta}\text{Sb}_4$ that crystallizes in the orthorhombic $\text{Pr}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{Sb}_4$-like structure with redundant Rh. Herein, we report the synthesis of $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3-\delta}\text{Sb}_4$ single crystals, determination of its crystalline structure, and systematic study of its physical properties by a combination of electrical transport/magnetic/thermodynamic measurements and first-principles band structure calculations. Our work reveals that $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3-\delta}\text{Sb}_4$ is a new superconducting material with onset temperature $T_c \approx 0.8$ K, and its normal state is a multi-band metal with van-Hove singularity like feature at the Fermi level.

2. Experimental

Single crystals of $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3-\delta}\text{Sb}_4$ were synthesized by a Bi-flux method. La chunk (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%), Rh powder (Aladdin, 99.95%), Sb granule (Aladdin, 99.9999%), and Bi granule (Aladdin, 99.9999%) were weighed in a molar ratio of 2:3:4:40. The mixture was transferred into an alumina crucible which was sealed in an evacuated quartz tube. All these operations were conducted in an argon-filled glove box to avoid the contamination of water and oxygen. The quartz tube was heated to 500°C in 20 h and held for one day. Then, it was raised to 1100°C in 30 h and sintered at this temperature for 100 h. After that, in two weeks it was slowly cooled down to 500°C at which temperature the Bi-flux was removed by centrifugation. The remaining Bi flux can be dissolved by a mixture of an equal volume of hydrogen peroxide and acetic acid. The obtained samples were needle-like with typical dimensions $\sim 4 \times 0.5 \times 0.3$ mm$^3$ (see figure 1(a)), and are stable in air and moisture. The same method is also applicable to grow the $\text{Ce}_2\text{Rh}_{3+\delta}\text{Sb}_4$ single crystals, a heavy-fermion compound whose low-temperature physical properties will be published elsewhere [20].

The chemical composition of the new compound was verified by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) affiliated to a field emission scanning electron microscope (FEI Model SIRION), which gives the atomic ratio $\text{La}_{2}\text{Rh}_{3}\text{Sb}_4 = 22.2(9):33.2(4):44.7(6)$, close to the stoichiometric $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$, as shown in supplementary information (SI). The crystalline structure of the compound was determined by a Rigaku XtaLAB mini II single crystal x-ray diffractometer (XRD) with Mo radiation ($\lambda_{\text{Mo}} = 0.71073$ Å). Several single crystals were selected to collect diffraction data for structural refinement. We used the olex2-1.5 software of SHELX-2018 package and full-matrix least squares on the $F^2$ model to perform the refinement. The cell parameters and atomic coordinates were all determined, and the qualified CIF report was generated (SI). Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images were recorded at 300 kV using a probe Cs-corrected Spectra 300 (Thermo Fisher Ltd) in both dark-field (DF) and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) modes. Cross-section TEM sample was prepared on the [0 1 0] plane using Helios 5UX 30 kV Ga focused ion beam.

A Magnetic Property Measurement System (SQUID-VSM, Quantum Design) was employed to measure the DC magnetic susceptibility between 1.8 and 300 K in the presence of external field 1000 Oe ($\text{H} \perp \text{b}$). Electrical resistivity was measured in a standard four-probe method with current parallel to $\text{b}$ axis, in a Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS-9, Quantum Design). The same instrument was also used for the specific heat measurements. For sub-Kelvin experiments, a dilution refrigerator insert was utilized to measure resistivity, AC susceptibility and specific heat. The AC susceptibility was measured at zero static magnetic field with AC driving field 0.5 Oe and various frequencies.

The electronic band structure and DOS were calculated on the stoichiometric $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ using density-functional theory (DFT) method as implemented in Vienna $\text{Ab initio}$ Simulation Package [21]. The plane-wave basis up to 400 eV and Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhoff (PBE) [22] flavor of generalized gradient approximation to exchange-correlation functional were employed. The ion-valence electron interaction were approximated with projected augmented wave method [23, 24]. SOC was considered in all calculations. A $3 \times 12 \times 4$ Monkhorst–Pack K-grid for Brillouin zone integration was found to converge the total energy within 1 meV/atom.
The lattice parameters of CeRh$_3$Sb$_4$ are relatively smaller than in LaRh$_3$Sb$_4$, as expected, the lattice parameters that was reported previously by polycrystal studies. The structure we derived is essentially isomorphic with CeRh$_3$Sb$_4$ and internal stress less than 1 kBar. During the structural refinement, we were aware of some redundant electron density near the Rh4 site that has a partial occupation rate $\sim$0.122(4), by STEM experiments, as shown in figure 1(b). The refined lattice parameters are $a = 16.2667(5)$ Å, $b = 4.5858(2)$ Å, $c = 11.0068(4)$ Å, $a = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$, and $Z = 4$. The density of this material is 8.787 g cm$^{-3}$. More details about the structural information can be found in table 1, while the atomic coordination and anisotropic displacement parameters are listed in tables 2 and S1, respectively. The refinement results for $R_1$ and $wR_2$ are 0.0286 and 0.0560, respectively, confirming the validity of XRD refinement. It can be seen from the analysis that the structure we derived is essentially isomorphic with Ce$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ that was reported previously by polycrystal studies. As expected, the lattice parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$ of Ce$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ are relatively smaller than in La$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$, owing to the lanthanide contraction effect.

### Table 1. Single-crystal XRD refinement data for La$_2$Rh$_{3.4}$Sb$_4$ at 290 K.

| Composition                  | La$_2$Rh$_{3.4}$Sb$_4$ |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Formula weight (g mol$^{-1}$) | 1086.16                 |
| Space group                  | Pnma (No. 62)           |
| $a$ (Å)                      | 16.2667(5)              |
| $b$ (Å)                      | 4.5858(2)               |
| $c$ (Å)                      | 11.0068(4)              |
| $V$ (Å$^3$)                  | 821.06(5)               |
| $\rho$ (g cm$^{-3}$)         | 8.787                   |
| $2\theta$ range              | 4.468°–51.998°          |
| No. of reflections, $R_{int}$| 3112, 0.307             |
| No. of independent reflections | 916                    |
| No. of parameters            | 62                      |
| $R_1$, $wR_2$ (all data)     | 0.0250, 0.0545          |
| $R_1$, $wR_2$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) | 0.0286, 0.0560          |
| Goodness of fit on $F^2$     | 1.135                   |
| Largest diffraction peak and hole (Å$^{-3}$) | 1.92 and −1.90 |

To clarify more details about the crystalline phase, we performed STEM measurements, as shown in figure 2. The high spatial resolution enables us to view individual atoms in the [0 1 0] plane, and the coordinates of the atoms are in good agreement with XRD refinement. We then project the Rh4

### Figure 1. (a) A photograph of La$_2$Rh$_{3.4}$Sb$_4$ single crystals on millimeter-grid paper. The single crystals are needle-like along b axis. Figures (b)–(c) show the crystalline structure. The partially occupied Rh4 is double-colored. The black-dotted rectangles mean the original unit cell as determined by XRD refinement. To better compare the crystalline structure to the STEM image, a translated unit cell is also shown by the blue-solid rectangle. The blue-dashed rectangular shows the part that mimics the distorted CaBe$_2$Ge$_2$-type structure.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystalline structure

Figure 1(b) shows the crystal structure of La$_2$Rh$_{3.4}$Sb$_4$. It is of the orthorhombic Pnma$_3$-like structure [18, 19], in the space group Pnma (No. 62). During the structural refinement, we were aware of some redundant electron density near the site (0.3471, 0.25, 0.6223), and this was later recognized as the Rh4 site that has a partial occupation rate $\sim$0.122(4), by STEM experiments (see below). The refined lattice parameters are $a = 16.2667(5)$ Å, $b = 4.5858(2)$ Å, $c = 11.0068(4)$ Å, $a = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$, and $Z = 4$. The density of this material is 8.787 g cm$^{-3}$. More details about the structural information can be found in table 1, while the atomic coordination and anisotropic displacement parameters are listed in tables 2 and S1, respectively. The refinement results for $R_1$ and $wR_2$ are 0.0286 and 0.0560, respectively, confirming the validity of XRD refinement. It can be seen from the analysis that the structure we derived is essentially isomorphic with Ce$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ that was reported previously by polycrystal studies [18, 19]. As expected, the lattice parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$ of Ce$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ are relatively smaller than in La$_2$Rh$_{3.4}$Sb$_4$ [19], owing to the lanthanide contraction effect.
Table 2. Structural parameters and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $U_{eq}$ of La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$. $U_{eq}$ is taken as 1/3 of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{ij}$ tensor.

| Atoms | Wyck. | x      | y      | z      | Occ. | $U_{eq}$ (Å$^2$) |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-----------------|
| La1   | 4c    | 0.249 98(4) | 0.2500 | 0.915 87(7) | 1.000 | 0.0057(2)       |
| La2   | 4c    | 0.503 41(4) | 0.2500 | 0.749 38(6) | 1.000 | 0.0080(2)       |
| Sb1   | 4c    | 0.432 60(4) | 0.2500 | 0.051 18(7) | 1.000 | 0.0053(2)       |
| Sb2   | 4c    | 0.653 45(4) | 0.2500 | 0.273 33(7) | 1.000 | 0.0067(2)       |
| Sb3   | 4c    | 0.293 35(5) | 0.7500 | 0.118 40(7) | 1.000 | 0.0082(2)       |
| Sb4   | 4c    | 0.397 86(4) | 0.2500 | 0.436 16(7) | 1.000 | 0.0091(2)       |
| Rh1   | 4c    | 0.557 19(5) | 0.2500 | 0.464 57(8) | 1.000 | 0.0033(2)       |
| Rh2   | 4c    | 0.592 33(5) | 0.2500 | 0.054 55(8) | 1.000 | 0.0039(2)       |
| Rh3   | 4c    | 0.320 15(5) | 0.2500 | 0.223 21(8) | 1.000 | 0.0055(2)       |
| Rh4   | 4c    | 0.3471(5)   | 0.2500 | 0.6223(7)   | 1.022(4) | 0.0163(2) |

Figure 2. STEM images of La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ on [0 1 0] plane. STEM-EDS elemental maps respectively for (a) total, (b) La, (c) Rh, and (d) Sb. The atomic coordinates in a unit cell of La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ are projected to the images. The filled blue, pink and green circles are La, Rh(1–3) and Sb atoms, respectively, while the open pink circles represent the occupied Rh4 sites. (e) HAADF image. (f) DF image.

coordinates onto the STEM-EDS elemental maps for La, Rh, and Sb (figures 2(b)–(d) respectively), and noticed that they fit only to the Rh image satisfactorily well, cf the open pink circles in figure 2(c), confirming the occupation of Rh atoms at these sites. In general, the contrast at Rh4 sites is relatively lower (figures 2(e) and (f)), because of the partial occupation. Note that the STEM sample is tens of nanometers in thickness which contains an order of magnitude 10–100 layers. Further studies based on first-principles calculations suggest that Rh4 likely serves as charge dopant, seeing below.

On the whole, the crystalline structure of La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ can be viewed as stacking the Rh–Sb polyhedron networks along the b axis, and the La and Rh4 atoms reside inside the cavities of these networks (figure 1(c)). The local environments for Rh1, Rh2 and Rh3 are depicted in figure 3. Rh1 is surrounded by one Sb2 and three Sb4, forming a distorted tetrahedron. Rh2 has five near-neighbor Sb atoms: three Sb1, one Sb2 and one Sb3, and they construct a hexahedron. The situation for Rh3 is similar, i.e. one Sb1, one Sb2, two Sb3 and one Sb4 also build up a hexahedron; however, here the Sb1, Sb2 and two
at a decreasing temperature in a dilution refrigerator, we find disorders introduced by the partially occupied Rh4. Further decreasing temperature in a dilution refrigerator, we find disorders introduced by the partially occupied Rh4. For the ratio $R_{RRR}$ residual resistivity, this fit also yields the residual resistivity $\rho_0$ linearly until for $T_c$ the onset of SC, $T_c$, is determined at which temperature $\rho_0$ drops to 99% of $\rho_0(1 \text{ K})$, seeing the inset to figure 4(a).

Next, we took the resistivity measurements under magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ perpendicular to and parallel with $\mathbf{b}$, and the results are displayed in figures 4(b) and (c), respectively. For $\mathbf{H} \perp \mathbf{b}$, magnetic field gradually suppresses the SC transition, and when $\mu_0 H = 0.12 \text{T}$, seldom resistivity drop can be seen. The upper critical field $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ can be obtained from the $\rho_0(T, H)$ data, by fitting the field dependent $T_c^\text{on}$ to the Ginzburg–Landau formula [25], $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c2}(0)(1 - T^2)/(1 + T^2)$, where $t = T/T_c^\text{on}$ is the reduced temperature. This fit results in $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = 0.13 \text{T}$. The measurements for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{b}$ were made on another sample whose SC transition is similar. In this field orientation, we derived $\mu_0 H_{c2}^\text{on}(0) = 0.26 \text{T}$. The anisotropy of upper critical field thus is $\sim 2$. These $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ values are far less than the Pauli paramagnetic limit $\mu_0 H_p = 1.84T_c \approx 1.4 \text T [26, 27]$, implying that orbital limiting is the dominant. The SC of La$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ is further confirmed by magnetic shielding effect, as shown in figure 5. Our DC magnetic susceptibility results reveal a weak paramagnetic property above $2 \text K$, characteristic of itinerant metals with little local moment. Note that the upturn tail at low temperature is probably due to a tiny amount of magnetic impurity. AC susceptibility was employed for the sub-Kelvin region. The real part of AC susceptibility $\chi'$ drops rapidly below $0.65 \text K$ and the crystal becomes diamagnetic. We should point out that the transition temperature determined by $\chi''(T)$ is very close to $T_c^\text{on}$ from resistivity measurements, manifesting that bulk SC is realized below $0.65 \text K$. Far below $T_c$, the magnetic shielding fraction of SC (without taking demagnetization-factor correction) exceeds 40% (cf upper inset to figure 5). Furthermore, we also notice that the SC transition is frequency dependent, i.e. the peak of $\chi''(T)$, the imaginary part of AC susceptibility, moves to higher temperature as the frequency increases. This is reminiscent of dissipation caused by flux motion in the mixed state of SC, and implies that La$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$ is a type-II superconductor.

To further study the SC properties of La$_2$Rh$_3$Sb$_4$, we turn to specific heat measurements, the results of which...
Figure 4. Electrical resistivity of $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3+\delta}\text{Sb}_4$. (a) $\rho_b(T)$ profile showing superconducting transition with $T^c_{on} = 0.8$ K and $T^c_0 = 0.65$ K. Figures (b) and (c) for $\rho_b(T)$ measured under magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \perp \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{b}$, respectively. (d) Temperature dependent upper critical field $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$; the solid lines are fits to Ginzburg–Landau law.

Figure 5. Temperature dependence of DC magnetic susceptibility for field perpendicular to $\mathbf{b}$. The insets present real ($\chi'$) and imaginary ($\chi''$) parts of AC susceptibility as functions of $T$, measured at various frequencies.

Figure 6. Temperature dependent specific heat ($C$) of $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_{3+\delta}\text{Sb}_4$. The left inset shows a plot of $C/T$ vs. $T^2$ in the low temperature range. The upturn of $C/T$ for $T \to 0$ arises from the nuclear Schottky anomaly. The bottom inset, $(C - C_{ph} - C_{Sch})/T$ as a function of $T$, displays the jump due to SC transition $\Delta C/T|_{Tc} = 6.5$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$. This fit leads to the normal-state Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma_n = 19.45$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$, and $\beta = 1.21$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$, and enables us to estimate the Debye temperature, $\Theta_D = (12\pi^4 NR^5 \beta)^{1/3} = 244$ K. Note that the rapid increase of $C/T$ for $T \to 0$ shown in the upper inset of figure 6 arises from the nuclear Schottky anomaly ($C_{Sch}$) and can be properly subtracted by fitting $C_{Sch}$ to a $1/T^2$ law [29]. We then obtain $C_{el}$ by subtracting both $C_{ph}$ and $C_{Sch}$.
and $C_{\text{tot}}$ from the total $C(T)$, as shown in the lower inset to figure 6. The anomaly in specific heat due to the SC transition now can be clearly seen near 0.65 K, and the jump of specific heat at $T_c$ is estimated $\Delta C/T|_{T_c} = 6.5$ mJ mol$^{-1}$K$^2$. Below $T_c$, the electronic specific heat consists of two parts, viz. $C_{\text{el}}(T) = \gamma_0 T + C_{\text{sc}}(T)$, where $\gamma_0$ is the residual Sommerfeld coefficient, and $C_{\text{sc}}$ describes the superconducting part of specific heat. We find that $C_{\text{sc}}$ agrees well to the s-wave model [30], viz. $C_{\text{sc}}/T \propto T^{-\delta} \exp(-\Delta_0/T)$, in which $\Delta_0$ characterizes the superconducting gap. This fit gives rise to $\gamma_0 = 15.0$ mJ mol$^{-1}$K$^2$ and $\Delta_0 = 1.1$ K. This manifests that only $\sim 23\% = (\gamma_n - \gamma_0)/\gamma_n$ of the DOS at Fermi energy are gapped out due to the SC transition. One possible reason for this is the multi-band effect, (see figure 7), as Cooper pairs probably form only in some specific bands. Similar observation has been found in other multi-band superconductors, like PrNiAsO [31]. An alternative possibility could be due to some non-superconducting fraction. It is difficult to rule out this possibility only from the present data. Microscopic and local measurements like nuclear magnetic resonance, scanning tunneling microscope, and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy may be useful to clarify this issue. The analysis of specific heat further leads to two important ratios, $\frac{\Delta C}{(\gamma_n - \gamma_0)T_c} = 1.46$ and $\Delta_0/T_c = 1.69$. These values respectively are close to 1.43 and 1.76 as predicted by the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) theory [%32, 33]. $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$, therefore, is probably an s-wave superconductor.

3.3. Electronic structure

The fully optimized lattice constants of pristine $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ in DFT calculations are $a = 16.3862$ Å, $b = 4.6195$ Å, and $c = 11.0796$ Å. Compared to the experimental results, they are slightly increased, but all are within 3% error limit of the PBE functional, suggesting the validity of our calculations. Moreover, all relaxed internal coordinates are also accurate within 1% of the experimental values. Usually, such pronounced accuracy in plain DFT calculations is a hint of weak correlation of the compound.

We show the calculated band structure and DOS in figure 7. The electronic DOS near the Fermi level are dominated by Rh-4$d$ and Sb-5$p$ orbitals. The $\text{La}_{5d}$ orbitals have only negligible contribution. The $\text{La}_{4f}$ states are approximately 2 eV above the Fermi level and are irrelevant. Since the crystal structure contains inversion center, the spin degeneracy is preserved with SOC considered. As the crystal structure of the compound contains four formula units of $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$, its band structure is quite complicated too. There are as many as 12 doubly degenerate bands crossing the Fermi level, thus giving rise to 12 doubly degenerate Fermi surface sheets. Around $\Gamma$, semi-flat bands appear along $\Gamma$-$Y$ and $\Gamma$-$Z$, seeing figure 7(c). As a result, a small DOS peak appear at the Fermi level in the pristine compound. These semi-flat bands may be related to reduced dimensionality in certain directions, as two of these bands do not cross the Fermi level along U-R and Z-T. Therefore, the DOS peak at $E_F$ in the pristine $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ may be interpreted as van-Hove singularity like, and yields a large $N(E_F) = 7.31$ states/(f.u.-eV), or equivalently $\gamma_{\text{calc}} = 17.24$ mJ mol$^{-1}$K$^2$. Compared to experimental value assuming $\gamma_n = \gamma_{\text{calc}}(1 + \lambda_{\text{elph}})$, we obtain a small electron–phonon coupling constant $\lambda_{\text{elph}} = 0.12$, which cannot account for the observed $T_c$. Alternatively, if we take the inter-site Rh-4 impurities as charge dopants, we can estimate the amount of electron doping to be about 1 electron/unit cell [34]. Employing the rigid band approximation, the DOS at the Fermi level is found to be $N(E_F) = 6.0$ states/(f.u.-eV), or equivalently $\gamma_{\text{calc}} = 14.14$ mJ mol$^{-1}$K$^2$ for the doped system. Thus, the electron–phonon coupling constant of the $\text{La}_2\text{Rh}_3\text{Sb}_4$ can be estimated to be $\lambda_{\text{elph}} = 0.375$. $T_c$ can be estimated according to the McMillan equation in the weak electron–phonon coupling regime [35],

$$
T_c = \frac{\Theta_D}{1.45} \exp \left[ -\frac{1.04(1 + \lambda_{\text{elph}})}{\lambda_{\text{elph}} - \mu^* (1 + 0.62 \lambda_{\text{elph}})} \right],
$$

where $\mu^*$ is Coulomb pseudopotential. This yields $T_c = 0.6$ K, assuming a commonly used value $\mu^* = 0.10$ [36]. The nice agreement signals BCS weak-coupling scenario and conventional electron–phonon coupled pairing mechanism.
3.4. Discussion added

Some additional questions may have been invoked by this work. (a) It is still unknown how the pristine compound La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ behave at low temperature, and whether and how SC depends on the Rh$_4$ concentration $\delta$. Since stoichiometric La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ sits at the van Hove singularity, and the RRR may also be enhanced with less disorder, one might expect a higher $T_c$, assuming the electron–phonon coupling constant retains. However, this really depends. (b) According to what we have known from La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ and Ce$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ [20], the values of $\delta$ both are close to 1/8. It will be interesting to investigate whether these Rh dopants form a specific order (e.g. the famous ‘1/8 anomaly’ in the high $T_c$ cuprate La$_{1.875}$Ba$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$ [37]). In recent years, many antimonide superconductors have been found to be in close relation with charge order, e.g. CsV$_3$Sb$_5$ [38], IrSb [39, 40]. This remains an open issue in La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$. (c) Our work may also imply that the broad $lnTnTM_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ family may host new quantum material bases where new superconductors, quantum magnetism (note that geometrical frustration probably exists due to the triangular $Ln$ sublattice), Kondo effect and other electronic correlation effects could be found. To address these issues, more investigations are needed in the future.

4. Conclusion

We successfully synthesized a new ternary rhodium-antimonide La$_2$Rh$_{3+\delta}$Sb$_4$ by a Bi-flux method. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic Pr$_2$Ir$_3$Sb$_4$-like structure with redundant Rh acting as charge dopant. The refined crystalline structure has been determined by single-crystal XRD and STEM. First-principles band structure calculations point out that it is a multi-band metal with a van-Hove singularity like feature close to the Fermi level. Ultra-low temperature resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and specific heat measurements unveil it as a candidate of weak-coupling $s$-wave type-II superconductor.
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