Healthcare and wider societal implications of stillbirth: a population-based cost-of-illness study
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Objective To extend previous work and estimate health and social care costs, litigation costs, funeral-related costs, and productivity losses associated with stillbirth in the UK.

Design A population-based cost-of-illness study using a synthesis of secondary data.

Setting The National Health Service (NHS) and wider society in the UK.

Population Stillbirths occurring within a 12-month period and subsequent events occurring over the following 2 years.

Methods Costs were estimated using published data on events, resource use, and unit costs.

Main outcome measures Mean health and social care costs, litigation costs, funeral-related costs, and productivity costs for 2 years, reported for a single stillbirth and at a national level.

Results Mean health and social care costs per stillbirth were £4191. Additionally, funeral-related costs were £559, and workplace absence (parents and healthcare professionals) was estimated to cost £3829 per stillbirth. For the UK, the annual health and social care costs were estimated at £13.6 million, and total productivity losses amounted to £706.1 million (98% of this cost was attributable to the loss of the life of the baby). The figures for total productivity losses were sensitive to the perspective adopted about the loss of life of the baby.

Conclusion This work expands the current intelligence on the costs of stillbirth beyond the health service to costs for parents and society, and yet these additional findings must still be regarded as conservative estimates of the true economic costs.

Keywords Costs, funeral, health care, productivity, resource use, societal, stillbirth.

Tweetable abstract The costs of stillbirth are significant, affecting the health service, parents, professionals, and society.

Linked article This article is commented on by JJHM Erwich, p. 118 in this issue. To view this mini commentary visit https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14988.

Plain Language Summary

Why and how was the study carried out? The personal, social, and emotional consequences of stillbirth are profound. Placing a monetary value on such consequences is emotive, yet necessary, when deciding how best to invest limited healthcare resources. We estimated the average costs associated with a single stillbirth and the costs for all stillbirths occurring in the UK over a 1-year period.

What were the main findings? The average cost to the National Health Service (NHS) of care related to the stillbirth and a first subsequent pregnancy was £4191 for each stillbirth. For the UK, this cost was £13.6 million annually. Clinical negligence payments to bereaved parents were estimated at £2.5 million per year. Parents were estimated to spend £1.8 million per year on funerals. The cost of workplace absence as parents cope with the effects of grief was estimated at £2476 per stillbirth. For the UK, this cost was £8.1 million annually. The loss of a baby is also the loss of an individual with the potential to become a valued and productive member of society. The expected value of an adult’s lifetime working hours was taken as an estimate of this productivity loss, and was £213,304 for each stillbirth. The annual cost for all stillbirths was £694 million. We know from parents that the birth of a subsequent child in no way replaces a stillborn baby. We found that 52% of women fall pregnant within 12 months of a stillbirth. From a purely economic perspective concerned only with the number of individuals in society, babies born during this period could potentially replace the productivity losses of the stillborn baby. Adopting this approach, which we understand is controversial and difficult for bereaved parents, the expected productivity losses would be lower, at £333 million.
What are the limitations of the work? For some categories, existing data were unavailable and we used clinical opinion to estimate costs. Furthermore, we were unable to quantify some indirect consequences, for example the psychological distress experienced by wider family members.

What is the implication for parents? Placing a monetary value on what is for parents a profound personal tragedy may seem unkind. It is, however, unavoidable if we are to provide policy makers with vital information on the wide-ranging consequences that could be prevented through future investments in initiatives to reduce stillbirth.

Introduction

In 2014 there were 3252 stillbirths in the UK, and at 1.4% per 1000 births, the annual rate of reduction of stillbirth remains slower than in neighbouring countries, including the Netherlands (6.8% per 1000 births) and the Republic of Ireland (3.5% per 1000 births). Although stillbirth poses a substantial emotional and financial burden for women, families, healthcare professionals, and wider society, no comprehensive cost estimates have been derived to date. A recent UK-based study conducted a preliminary exploration of the healthcare costs associated with stillbirth. The authors acknowledged that other costs had not been accounted for, but their initial assessment was restricted to a health service perspective. The lack of accurate data regarding the costs of stillbirth impedes the economic analysis of efforts to prevent stillbirth.

The impact of stillbirth is more wide reaching than the healthcare sector, and includes: the immediate effects of grief on the mental health and psychological wellbeing of the bereaved parents, and wider family members; the effects on the medical staff involved and their ability to work; and the longer-term effects of parental bereavement, which can result in anxiety and/or depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). These have the potential to affect the parents’ ability to care for existing and subsequent children, and their ability to work, which can result in family debt, leading in some cases, to homelessness and family break-up. An additional cost rarely considered is that although the loss of a baby is a profoundly sad personal tragedy, it also represents the loss to society of a potential economically productive member. These wider, indirect, societal costs are much harder to quantify. The recent 2016 Ending Preventable Stillbirths Lancet series identified that the scarcity of data about the psychological effects and social care costs, in particular for fathers, wider family, and healthcare professionals, has prevented accurate figures of the economic costs of stillbirth.

As a result, the economic impact of stillbirth remains an under-researched area.

Our aim was to expand the work started by Mistry and colleagues and conduct a ‘cost of illness study’ capturing the societal costs associated with stillbirth in the UK using secondary analyses of currently available evidence.

Methods

The study used a prevalence-based cohort approach in which costs associated with a cohort of stillbirths during a 12-month period in the UK were estimated for up to 2 years after the stillbirth. Costs represented additional resources consumed compared with a live birth: this reflects de novo costs for some categories of resource use or additional costs (or savings) compared with a live birth. Costs following a single stillbirth were estimated by applying unit costs to resource-use estimates identified from secondary sources. Costs were scaled up to a national level using information about the total number of stillbirths in the UK in 2014.

The following costs were estimated: health and social care, funeral-related, litigation, and productivity costs, and were expressed in 2013/14 UK pounds. Detailed information on the costing methodology is provided in Appendix S1, with abridged detail presented below. Unit costs are listed in Table S1 in Appendix S1.

Costing health and social care

Health and social care costs were estimated for seven ordered phases, derived to include events with known resource consequences (Figure 1). Column 1 of Table 1 details the care in phases relating to the pregnancy affected by the stillbirth (phases 1–4), with columns 2 and 4 showing the associated probability of receiving that care and its unit cost, respectively. Table 2 shows the same information for a subsequent pregnancy (phases 5–7).

Phase 1: care provided at the time that an antepartum stillbirth is suspected and confirmed

About 90% of stillbirths in England occur in the antepartum period. Care provided at this point was costed using data on healthcare contacts and investigations reported by bereaved parents in the ‘Listening to Parents’ (LTP) survey (Table S2 in Appendix S1). For stillbirths occurring during labour, care provided up until the tragedy was assumed to
be the same as for a live birth, and no additional costs were included as part of phase 1.

**Phase 2: immediate postpartum care**

Women were assumed to stay in hospital on average 0.43 fewer days than women having a live birth (Table 1; Section 3.1.2, Appendix S1). The types of postmortem conducted were costed, as were other routine post-stillbirth investigations (Table 1 and Table S3 in Appendix S1).

The LTP survey provided information on the proportion of parents meeting with a consultant, and the mean number of visits from various healthcare professionals in the 3 months following hospital discharge. When costing, adjustments were made for contacts that women would have received had they had a live birth (Table 1 and Table S4 in Appendix S1).

**Phase 3: parental anxiety and depression**

The additional proportions of women experiencing symptoms of anxiety and depression as a result of a stillbirth were estimated. The proportion then likely to receive care was estimated, and a treatment duration of 12 months was assumed and costed (Table 1). The prevalence and cost of paternal anxiety and depression was estimated using the same approach (Table S5 in Appendix S1).

**Phase 4: experiences of healthcare professionals**

Published data revealed that 33% of midwives involved in the care of families experiencing perinatal tragedies had symptoms of post-traumatic stress, and around 17% of obstetricians reported ‘very much’ experiencing depression. The proportion of these professionals likely to have received treatment was estimated and appropriate unit costs were assigned (Table 1 and Table S6 in Appendix S1).

**Phase 5: pre-conception and antenatal care during a subsequent pregnancy within 12 months of the stillbirth**

Following a stillbirth, around 52% of women become pregnant again within 12 months. It was assumed that prospective parents plan for a specific family size and therefore the costs of monitoring and delivering these pregnancies were included. Costs were assumed to include tailored pre-conception and antenatal care (clinic visits and ultrasound scans; Table 2, Tables S7 and S8 in Appendix S1).

**Phase 6: outcome of subsequent pregnancies occurring within 12 months of a prior stillbirth**

The proportions of women with a subsequent pregnancy within 12 months and having a live birth delivery were estimated and costed (Table 2 and Table S9 in Appendix S1). The proportion purchasing memorials or headstones and other funeral-related items. Proportions were multiplied by the mean cost of litigation per stillbirth.

**Phase 7: excess preterm births**

Following stillbirth the risk of a subsequent preterm live birth is increased. Published data were used to estimate the proportion of pregnancies ending in a live preterm birth in the general population, and the proportion ending in a live preterm birth in women conceiving within 12 months of a stillbirth (Table S10, Appendix S1). The difference between these proportions was multiplied by the additional costs associated with preterm birth (Table S1 in Appendix S1).

**NHS litigation costs**

The NHS Litigation Authority data showed that between 2000 and 2010, litigation costs relating to stillbirth made up, on average, around 0.5% of all NHS maternity litigation costs in England. This proportion was assumed to remain constant and was applied to the total litigation payment figure for obstetrics in England in 2013/14 (£398,614,623). The resulting estimated stillbirth-related litigation cost was then divided by the total number of registered stillbirths in England during 2014 to estimate a mean cost of litigation per stillbirth.

**Funeral and related costs**

Responses to the International Stillbirth Alliance survey from a subset of UK parents were used to identify the proportions incurring costs relating to the funeral service, and the burial or cremation of their baby. Data were also analysed for the proportion purchasing memorials or headstones and other funeral-related items. Proportions were multiplied by the appropriate unit costs (Table S11 in Appendix S1).
Table 1. Resource use, unit costs, and mean costs for health and social care provided in relation to stillbirth

| Phase, events, resources | Proportion of all parents with event/mean duration of event | Source of proportion/resource use estimates | Unit cost* | Mean cost per stillbirth† |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| **Phase 1: care provided at the time an antepartum stillbirth is suspected and confirmed (proportion)** | 0.880 5,6 | £244‡ | £215 |
| **Phase 1: mean total cost per stillbirth** |  |  |  | £215 |
| **Phase 2: immediate postpartum care** | Difference in post-delivery hospital length of stay between live birth and stillbirth (mean days) | 0.426 6,7 | £408 | £174 |
| | Full postmortem & placental pathology (proportion) | 0.421 | 9 | £980 | £412 |
| | Partial postmortem & placental pathology (proportion) | 0.030 | 9 | £580 | £17 |
| | Placental pathology only (proportion) | 0.421 | 9 | £130 | £55 |
| | None/not known (proportion) | 0.128 | 9 | £0 | £0 |
| | Other investigations (proportion) | Various§ | 8 | Various§ | £440 |
| | Meeting with consultant to discuss case/postmortem results (proportion) | 0.919 | 6 | £152 | £139 |
| **Phase 2: mean total cost per stillbirth** |  |  |  | £802 |
| **Phase 3: parental anxiety and depression** | Additional treated maternal anxiety following a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.105†‡ | 6,10,11 | £1146 | £121 |
| | Additional treated maternal depression following a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.105†‡ | 6,10,11 | £2231 | £235 |
| | Additional treated paternal anxiety following a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.039†‡ | 6,10,11 | £1447 | £56 |
| | Additional treated paternal depression following a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.061†‡ | 6,11,12 | £2231 | £137 |
| **Phase 3: mean total cost per stillbirth** |  |  |  | £549 |
| **Phase 4: experiences of healthcare professionals** | Midwives treated for post-traumatic stress after managing a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.214§§ | 11,14 | £1688*** | £361 |
| | Obstetricians treated for depression after managing a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.109§§ | 11,13 | £2231 | £243 |
| **Phase 4: mean total cost per stillbirth** |  |  |  | £604 |

*Unit costs used and their sources are shown in Table S1 in Appendix S1, unless otherwise indicated.
†Unit cost multiplied by proportion of patients with event/mean duration of event.
‡Unit cost estimation described in Table S2 in Appendix S1.
§Proportions and unit cost estimation described in Table S3 in Appendix S1.
**Estimation of mean visits is described in Table S4 in Appendix S1.
††General practitioner contacts assumed to be surgery based following a live birth (the 6-week check) and home based following a stillbirth. For the associated unit costs, see Table S1 in Appendix S1.
‡‡Proportion estimations are described in Table S5 in Appendix S1.
§§Proportion estimations are described in Table S6 in Appendix S1.
***In the absence of a cost for post-traumatic stress, the unit cost used is an average of the cost for anxiety (£1146) and for depression (£2231).
Productivity costs

Productivity costs associated with stillbirth included the cost of absence from the workplace by grieving parents and healthcare professionals, and the cost of the lost opportunity for the stillborn baby to reach adulthood, gain employment, and contribute to the nation’s productivity over a lifetime.

Parental productivity costs

It was assumed that parental absence from the workplace resulted in lost productivity only for the 64% of stillbirths occurring before 37 weeks of gestation. For these stillbirths, the mean duration of paternal compassionate leave was costed using male mean gross wage rates, and for mothers, the expected number of working days lost from the time of the stillbirth up to 37 weeks of gestation was calculated and costed using female mean gross wage rates. Each of these costs were multiplied by an age- and sex-specific likelihood of being in paid employment (Table S12 in Appendix S1).

Healthcare professional productivity costs

Managing a stillbirth is one of the most difficult experiences for healthcare professionals. Published data show that 12% of midwives involved in traumatic perinatal events were consequently absent from the workplace. An absence of 1 month was assumed and costed using a midwife salary. Around one-fifth of midwives also reported reducing their working shifts in the short term. Full-time hours were assumed to be reduced to half-time hours for a period of 1 month, and this absence was costed.

Productivity losses from the loss of the baby’s life

A stillbirth can be considered a loss to society, as the stillborn baby represents a lost future adult who would have contributed to a nation’s productivity over its lifetime. When calculating this cost, the greater risk of preterm birth in this cohort had they not been stillborn was acknowledged, together with evidence to show that preterm birth impacts negatively upon an individual’s future earnings. The cost of lost productivity was estimated separately for males and females by calculating working life years lost, and estimating for each year lost the probability that the individual would have been in full- or part-time paid employment. Estimated gender-specific mean gross salary costs for adults born full term and

| Phase, events, resources | Proportion of all parents with event/ Mean duration of event | Source of proportion/ resource use estimates | Unit cost* | Mean cost per stillbirth† |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Phase 5: preconception and antenatal care during pregnancies occurring within 12 months of a stillbirth (proportion) | 0.517 | 15 | Various‡ | £795 |
| Phase 5: mean total cost per stillbirth | £795 |
| Phase 6: outcome of subsequent pregnancies occurring within 12 months of a prior stillbirth | 0.103§ | 15,17 | £0 | £0 |
| Miscarriage before 24 weeks of gestation (proportion) | 0.138§ | 15–18 | £1767 | £244 |
| Live birth, normal delivery (proportion) | 0.053§ | 15–18 | £2607 | £139 |
| Live birth, instrumental delivery (proportion) | 0.079§ | 15–18 | £3094 | £245 |
| Live birth, elective caesarean section (proportion) | 0.135§ | 15–18 | £3934 | £530 |
| Second stillbirth (proportion) | 0.008§ | 15–17 | £1017 | £8 |
| Phase 6: mean total cost per stillbirth | £1167 |
| Phase 7: excess preterm live births | 0.003** | 15–17,20 | £22,769 | £60 |
| Phase 7: mean total cost per stillbirth | £60 |
preterm were multiplied by the number of working years lost to estimate total productivity losses for each gender/term group (Tables S13 and S14 in Appendix S1). Gender proportions for stillborn babies were then used to estimate a weighted total average of productivity costs for each term group, and then these costs were weighted by estimated proportions of births likely to be preterm and full term to give an overall productivity loss. As these costs would be incurred in the future, a discount rate of 3.5% was used.

Statistical and sensitivity analysis
The costing exercise was conducted in Microsoft Excel 2013. Mean total health and social care costs per stillbirth were estimated by summing the costs for phases 1–7. Mean total productivity costs per stillbirth were estimated by summing the three productivity cost groups.

We categorised the source information for each parameter into one of a number of categories, following a convention used elsewhere. Classifications reflected the most to least preferential input sources (labelled A–H), and the categorisation for each input parameter is listed in Table S15 in Appendix S1. Parameters classified as D–H were subjected to one-way sensitivity analyses in which their base-case estimates were increased and decreased by 25%.

Two additional scenario analyses were performed. In the first, we considered that parents might not plan ahead for a specific family size, and so in the 12 months following the stillbirth only the costs of additional pregnancies (additional when compared with those that would have been expected within 12 months of a prior live birth) were considered (Section 4.1, Appendix S1). In the second analysis, it was assumed that the 52% of women falling pregnant within 12 months of a stillbirth would go on to have a live birth, and so from a purely economic perspective productivity losses of the stillborn child would decrease by this proportion.

Results
Health and social care costs
Table 1 shows the mean cost of investigating and confirming antepartum stillbirth was £215 per death, and costs incurred immediately following a stillbirth were £802 per death. The cost of treating symptoms of parental anxiety and depression was estimated at £549 per death, and treatment of healthcare professionals experiencing mental health problems was estimated to be £604 per death.

Table 2 shows estimated costs associated with a subsequent pregnancy within 12 months of a stillbirth (phases 5–7). Pre-conception and antenatal care was estimated to cost £795 for each previous stillbirth, with the mean cost of providing care at the final outcome of the pregnancy calculated for each previous stillbirth as £1167. The increased risk of preterm birth was estimated to cost £60 for each previous stillbirth.

The total health and social care costs were estimated to be £4191 for each stillbirth (Table 3), almost half of which was attributable to care relating to a subsequent pregnancy within 12 months of a stillbirth, and a quarter was attributable to care provided at the time of, or immediately after, the stillbirth.

Litigation costs
The mean litigation cost for each stillbirth was £778.

Funeral and related costs
Funeral and related costs for each stillbirth were estimated to be £559, as shown in Table 3.

Productivity costs
Table 3 summarises the productivity cost estimates. Overall, total productivity losses per stillbirth were estimated to be £217,133, with the lost productivity of the stillborn child accounting for around 98% of these costs (£213,304).

National cost estimates for the UK
In 2014, there were 3252 stillbirths in the UK. Multiplying the mean total health and social care cost by this figure generated a national cost estimate of £13.6 million. For UK parents, funeral-related expenses amounted to an estimated £1.8 million. At the UK level, litigation costs were £2.5 million, productivity losses of parents and healthcare professionals were valued at £12.5 million, and the productivity losses associated with the stillborn child were estimated as £693.7 million. Table 3 shows the total cost data for the UK and for each country separately.

Sensitivity analysis
Nine parameters used to estimate costs in phases 1–7 were subjected to sensitivity analysis (Figure S1 in Appendix S1). The total UK healthcare costs were relatively insensitive to changes in most parameter estimates. Altering the proportion of women falling pregnant within 12 months of a stillbirth by 25% had the largest impact: raising or lowering the total UK healthcare costs by around 20% (£2.78 million) to £16.41 and £10.86 million, respectively. Additional sensitivity analysis results relating to litigation, funeral and productivity cost parameters are shown in Section 4.2 of Appendix S1.

The results of the first scenario analysis, in which only additional subsequent pregnancies were costed, showed that UK healthcare costs decreased from £13.6 to £10.5 million. In the second scenario analysis, productivity losses from the loss of the baby decreased from £693.7 to £333.0 million.
Table 3. Mean health and social care, litigation, funeral, and productivity costs per stillbirth, and total costs by country

| Mean cost per stillbirth | Stillbirths in 2014 and the total costs of stillbirth in 2013/14 thousand pounds sterling, by country |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | Crown dependencies | UK |
| Number of stillbirths in 2014 |         |       |          |                  |                    |    |
| Antepartum stillbirths  | –       | 2559  | 136      | 192              | 87                 | 3  | 2977 |
| Intrapartum stillbirths | –       | 230   | 23       | 17               | 5                  | 0  | 275  |
| Total stillbirths       | –       | 2789  | 159      | 209              | 92                 | 3  | 3252 |
| Health and social care costs |       |       |          |                  |                    |    |
| Phase 1: care provided when an antepartum stillbirth is suspected and confirmed | £215  | £600  | £34      | £45              | £20                | £1 | £700 |
| Phase 2: immediate postpartum care for all parents experiencing a stillbirth | £802  | £2237 | £128     | £168             | £74                | £2 | £2608 |
| Phase 3: parental anxiety and depression | £549  | £1530 | £87      | £115             | £50                | £2 | £1784 |
| Phase 4: experiences of healthcare professionals | £604  | £1865 | £96      | £126             | £56                | £2 | £1965 |
| Phase 5: antenatal care for pregnancies occurring within 12 months of a prior stillbirth | £795  | £2217 | £126     | £166             | £73                | £2 | £2585 |
| Phase 6: outcome of pregnancies occurring within 12 months of a prior stillbirth | £1167 | £3254 | £186     | £244             | £107               | £4 | £3794 |
| Phase 7: excess preterm live births | £60   | £167  | £10      | £13              | £6                 | £0 | £195  |
| Total health and social care costs | £4191 | £11,690 | £666 | £876 | £386 | £13 | £13,630 |
| Litigation costs | £778  | £12169 | £124 | £163 | £72 | £2 | £2529 |
| Funeral and related costs for parents | £559  | £1559 | £89 | £117 | £51 | £2 | £1817 |
| Productivity costs |         |       |          |                  |                    |    |
| Parental productivity losses | £2476 | £6795 | £394 | £517 | £228 | £7 | £8051 |
| Health professional productivity losses | £1353 | £3774 | £215 | £283 | £124 | £4 | £4400 |
| Productivity losses of the potential child/adult | £213,304 | £594,904 | £33,915 | £44,580 | £19,624 | £640 | £693,664 |
| Cost of total productivity losses | £217,133 | £605,583 | £34,524 | £45,381 | £19,976 | £651 | £706,115 |
| Total costs | £222,660 | £621,000 | £35,403 | £46,536 | £20,485 | £668 | £724,092 |

Discussion

Main findings
This paper reports a comprehensive cost-of-illness study of the economic burden of stillbirth in the UK. Findings show that in 2014, stillbirth was estimated to cost: the NHS £13.6 million in terms of healthcare costs and £2.5 million in litigation costs; society £706.1 million, by way of quantifiable productivity losses (with 98% of this cost attributable to the loss of life of the potential child); and parents £1.8 million in funeral-related costs.

Strengths and limitations
This study expanded the work started by Mistry et al. and includes a wider perspective on the economic costs associated with stillbirth. Indeed, the consideration of resource-use items identified by data sources such as the LTP study and the International Stillbirth Alliance Survey allowed the inclusion of cost categories that may not have previously been considered. Comparing the healthcare costs estimated here and by Mistry et al. is problematic on account of the different costing methodologies employed. For example, Mistry et al. included postmortem costs for all cases, whereas here, based on uptake data, we assumed less than half of parents would consent to an examination.2,9 Furthermore, and unlike Mistry et al., our study captured the incremental costs of stillbirth and so adjusted for the costs of care that would have been received had the pregnancy resulted in a live birth rather than a stillbirth.

We also considered the additional health and social costs associated with the psychological distress and mental health problems of parents and health professionals following a stillbirth. We have effectively assumed that parents not receiving treatment for mental health problems did not incur any costs, whereas in reality a lack of treatment may result in a need for treatment at a later point; it is likely that there were many more parents who could have benefited from such care. As a consequence, these figures...
should be regarded as a conservative estimate of the cost of what was provided, rather than the cost of what care was needed. In the absence of data, we were also unable to estimate the costs associated with the distress to wider family members. It is undoubtedly the case that other relatives experience distress, and for some this may lead to conditions requiring treatment and possibly productivity losses as they support bereaved family members.

Novel aspects of our analysis included the consideration of the wider impact of stillbirths from the point of view of the lost productivity of parents, health professionals, and the stillborn child. A lack of published data and difficulty in placing monetary valuations on some wider-reaching consequences, often referred to as ‘intangible’ costs, must be acknowledged however. Families may lose their homes, relationships and friendships can falter, and couples can break up.\(^3\)\(^7\)–\(^9\) Funeral expenses and lost productivity address only two elements of these personal tragedies.\(^3\) Critically, just because we have not been able to account for other intangible costs does not diminish their importance, nor the need to acknowledge them.

In conducting this analysis, it was necessary to make simplifying assumptions. For example, about the duration of maternal workplace absence and the proportion of obstetricians taking time away from work. Analyses showed the results to be sensitive to a small number of study parameters (in particular the proportion of women falling pregnant within 12 months of a stillbirth), and so indicate a need for further research. One further assumption was that couples plan ahead for a final family size, and that when a pregnancy results in stillbirth that a further pregnancy will be attempted. We hope parents may not find this notion offensive; we know from charities supporting bereaved family members. It is undoubtedly the case that other relatives needed. In the absence of data, we were also unable to estimate the costs associated with the distress to wider family members. It is undoubtedly the case that other relatives experience distress, and for some this may lead to conditions requiring treatment and possibly productivity losses as they support bereaved family members.

Novel aspects of our analysis included the consideration of the wider impact of stillbirths from the point of view of the lost productivity of parents, health professionals, and the stillborn child. A lack of published data and difficulty in placing monetary valuations on some wider-reaching consequences, often referred to as ‘intangible’ costs, must be acknowledged however. Families may lose their homes, relationships and friendships can falter, and couples can break up.\(^3\)\(^7\)–\(^9\) Funeral expenses and lost productivity address only two elements of these personal tragedies.\(^3\) Critically, just because we have not been able to account for other intangible costs does not diminish their importance, nor the need to acknowledge them.

In conducting this analysis, it was necessary to make simplifying assumptions. For example, about the duration of maternal workplace absence and the proportion of obstetricians taking time away from work. Analyses showed the results to be sensitive to a small number of study parameters (in particular the proportion of women falling pregnant within 12 months of a stillbirth), and so indicate a need for further research. One further assumption was that couples plan ahead for a final family size, and that when a pregnancy results in stillbirth that a further pregnancy will be attempted. We hope parents may not find this notion offensive; we know from charities supporting parents that the birth of a subsequent child does not replace a stillborn child, but this was a necessary assumption to capture the costs to the NHS of providing health care for a pregnancy that may not have happened had the stillbirth not occurred. Relaxing this assumption and instead costing only the excess pregnancies arising following a stillbirth reduced healthcare costs by £3.1 million annually. The calculation of productivity losses from the loss of a baby was also sensitive to the assumption of whether the birth of a subsequent child alleviates the loss of a member of society from a purely economic perspective. In this case, productivity costs were reduced by £360.7 million, indicating that estimates are affected by the perspective chosen for the analysis.

Finally, we present only mean costs. Conventional simulation analyses were not performed to generate estimates of uncertainty around mean costs because in this context they would reflect only the size of the studies contributing model input data, rather than their quality.

**Interpretation**

Healthcare costs associated with stillbirth are a fraction of the cost of NHS health care overall, and are small compared with common chronic diseases: for example, dementia (£1.2 billion), cancer (£4 billion), and cardiovascular disease (£2.2 billion).\(^3\)\(^6\),\(^4\)\(^0\),\(^4\) The prevalence of stillbirth is substantially lower than many of these chronic conditions, although no less important. This study corroborates previous work that the economic burden of stillbirth extends far beyond the NHS to families and the wider economy, and by depicting costs should help bring about a better appreciation of the need for cost-effectiveness analyses.\(^3\) A substantial proportion of stillbirths could still potentially be avoided, thereby reducing the personal suffering, and monetary expense experienced by bereaved parents, their wider family, and healthcare professionals.\(^4\) Evaluations of initiatives to reduce stillbirth such as the ‘Saving Babies Lives’ Care Bundle in England need to acknowledge and consider the costs associated with stillbirth.\(^4\)\(^3\)

**Conclusion**

The economic burden of stillbirth extends far beyond the NHS to families and the wider economy. The figures reported here provide the most up-to-date information on the economic burden of stillbirth in the UK, yet must still be regarded as conservative as the data available for some cost categories were sparse or absent. Further data collected in the context of robust research would help to refine many of the assumptions made in this analysis. Future research should combine our results with estimates of the burden of disease, assessing mortality and morbidity of stillbirth, to provide a complete framework of the implications at a population level.
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