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Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of dehydroandrographolide succinate injection after intravenous administration in healthy Chinese volunteers
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Aim: Dehydroandrographolide succinate (DAS) is extracted from herbal medicine Andrographis paniculata (Burm f) Nees. DAS injection is used in China for the treatment of viral pneumonia and upper respiratory tract infections. The aim of this study is to investigate the pharmacokinetics and tolerance of DAS injection in healthy Chinese volunteers.

Methods: This was a single-center, randomized, single-dose, three-way crossover design study. Nine eligible subjects were randomly divided into 3 groups, and each group sequentially received 80, 160, or 320 mg of DAS infusion according to a three-way Latin square design. Plasma and urine samples were collected and determined using an LC-MS/MS method. Safety and tolerability were determined via clinical evaluation and adverse event monitoring.

Results: For the 80, 160, and 320 mg dose groups, the mean $C_{\text{max}}$ were 4.82, 12.85, and 26.90 mg/L, respectively, and the mean $\text{AUC}_{0-12}$ were 6.18, 16.95, and 40.65 mg·L$^{-1}$·h, respectively. DAS was rapidly cleared, with a mean $T_{\text{max}}$ of 0.94–1.0 h and a $t_{1/2}$ of approximately 1.51–1.89 h. Approximately 10.1%–15.5% of the intravenous DAS dose was excreted unchanged in urine within 24 h in the 3 groups, and more than 90% of unchanged DAS was excreted between 0 and 4 h. The pharmacokinetic profile was similar between male and female subjects. No serious or unexpected adverse events were found during the study, but one mild adverse event (stomachache) was reported.

Conclusion: This study shows that DAS has nonlinear pharmacokinetic characteristics. To guarantee the effective concentration, multiple small doses are recommended in clinical regimens.
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Introduction

Dehydroandrographolide succinate (DAS, 14-deoxy-11, 12-didehydroandrographolide-3,19-disuccinate, Yanhuning) is obtained by extraction from the well-known herbal medicine Andrographis paniculata (Burm f) Nees, which belongs to the Acanthaceae family and the Andrographis genus (Figure 1). DAS is usually administered through injection after salification with potassium or a combination of potassium and sodium salt[1, 2].

In China, DAS injection is widely used for the treatment of viral pneumonia and upper respiratory tract infections because of its immunostimulatory, anti-infective and anti-inflammatory effect[3–5]. It has also been reported that DAS can show anti-atherosclerosis and anti-diabetic nephropathy effects by the regulation of intracellular signaling transduction and clearance of reactive oxygen species[6, 7].
Numerous traditional Chinese herbs and extracted drugs have been used in clinical treatment without safety and pharmacokinetic studies in humans, and their appropriate use has been determined based on thousands of years of experience. Injectable DAS is one of these types of drugs, and it is widely used in China. Only a few studies have been conducted on the pharmacokinetics of DAS tablets or injection in rabbit or rat plasma [8-10]. Currently, there is no information on human pharmacokinetics. The objectives of this study were to assess the pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerance of DAS injection in healthy adult subjects and to evaluate the potential pharmacokinetic differences between male and female subjects.

Materials and methods
This study was approved by the Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) of Shanghai Xuhui Central Hospital. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines on good clinical practice recommended by the SFDA of China [11] and with the ethical standards for human experimentation established by the Declaration of Helsinki [12]. All of the subjects were informed of the study’s aim, procedures, and risks by a clinical investigator. Each subject gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Subjects
Healthy, nonsmoking Chinese males and females aged 18 to 40 years with a body mass index (BMI) between 19 and 25 kg/m² were recruited. Each subject met the following criteria: (1) no contraindication or sensitization response to DAS or any related drugs; (2) no history of significant cardiac, hepatic, renal, pulmonary, neurologic, gastrointestinal, or hematologic diseases; (3) no drug abuse, alcoholism or smoking in the recent year; (4) normal vital signs, physical examination, ECG and laboratory findings and negative for HIV and hepatitis B/C; and (5) no pregnancy or lactation for female subjects. Volunteers who took any prescription drugs two weeks before or during the study period or any over-the-counter remedies (including nutritional supplements) one week before or during the study period were excluded. Additionally, volunteers who suffered from serious disease, took part in drug trials, or donated blood in the prior three months were excluded.

Drug
DAS injection was formulated and supplied by Chongqing Yaoyou Pharma Co, Ltd (Chongqing, China). It is a yellowish powder, and each vial contained 80 mg of DAS (lot number 09081530).

Study design
This was a single-center, randomized, three-way crossover design study. Using a computer-generated table of random numbers provided by a statistician, nine eligible subjects were randomly divided into three groups and intravenously administered 80, 160, and 320 mg of DAS, respectively, in three treatment periods. Each subject received three intravenous doses of DAS according to the order of the three-way Latin square design (Table 1). The interval between two treatment periods was more than 7 half-lives.

| Group | n | Period 1 | Period 2 | Period 3 |
|-------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| 1     | 3 | 80 mg   | 160 mg  | 320 mg  |
| 2     | 3 | 160 mg  | 320 mg  | 80 mg   |
| 3     | 3 | 320 mg  | 80 mg   | 160 mg  |

For intravenous infusion, DAS was diluted in 250 mL of a 5% glucose injection and infused using a pump at a constant rate of 250 mL per 60 min.

Sample collection
Plasma and urine samples were collected and analyzed for DAS concentrations. Blood samples (3 mL) and plasma were collected predose and at 0.167, 0.33, 0.5, 1, 1.167, 1.333, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, and 12.0 h after dosing in each treatment period. The collected blood samples were centrifugated at 1500 × g for 5 min at room temperature (25 ± 5 °C) within 30 min of the collection time. The separated plasma was stored below -30 °C. Urine for the DAS assay was collected predose and 0–4, 4–8, 8–12, and 12–24 h after dosing in each treatment period. After the total volume of urine for each time range was measured, 6 mL was stored below -30 °C until analysis were conducted.

Drug analysis
A liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method for determining DAS in human plasma and urine was established and validated [13]. The analytical method for the plasma consisted of the following steps: 50 µL of human plasma, 5 µL of internal standard (IS) working solution, and 100 µL of precipitant (acetonitrile/methanol, 90:10, v/v) were mixed by shaking in a 1.5-mL polypropylene tube for 10 s and centrifuged at 15000 × g for 3 min. In total, 50 µL of the supernatant was diluted with 450 µL water/acetonitrile (60:40, v/v) in sample vials, and 2 µL of the dilution was injected to LC-MS/MS. The analytical method for the determination of DAS in human urine involved sample dilution and direct injection into the high-pressure liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry system (Applied Biosystems API 5500, CA, USA).

The lower limit of quantitation for the plasma and urine assay was 10 ng/mL, and the linear calibration range was 10–5000 ng/mL. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Shiseido Capcell C18 MG III column (100 mm × 2.0 mm id, 5 µm), which was preceded by a Phenomenex C18 guard column (4 mm × 3 mm id, 5 µm particle size). The mobile phase consisted of 50/50 (v/v%) acetonitrile and water (containing 0.02% formic acid), and the flow rate was 0.3 mL/min. The
mass transitions were 531.2–99.0 (m/z) for DAS and 267.0–252.1 (m/z) for the IS.

Precision and accuracy were evaluated with six replicates of quality control (QC) samples at four different concentrations (10, 30, 500, and 4000 ng/mL) with three consecutive runs. The interday and intraday accuracy of the plasma and urine quality control samples were 95.3%–112.6% of nominal, and the interday and intraday precision were less than 7%[14].

Pharmacokinetic calculations
The pharmacokinetic parameters of DAS were estimated using a standard non-compartmental method[14] with Drug and Statistics software, version 2.1 (University of Science and Technology, Hefei, China). Individual serum concentration-time curves were constructed, and C_{max} and T_{max} were obtained by inspection of the plasma concentration data. AUC_{0–12} was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method for ascending concentrations and the log trapezoidal method for descending concentrations. AUC_{0–∞} was calculated as AUC_{0–n}=AUC_{0–12}+C_{12}/k_{e}, where C_{12} represented the last measurable concentration and k_{e} was the slope of the linear regression of the natural logarithm-transformed (ln) plasma concentration-time curve in the terminal phase. The plasma elimination half-life (t_{1/2}) was calculated using the equation \( t_{1/2}=\ln2/k_{e} \)[14].

DAS urine concentrations, urine volumes from individual collection intervals, and nominal times of collection intervals were used to calculate urinary pharmacokinetic parameters. The amount of DAS excreted unchanged in the urine in each collection interval was determined by the product of the urine concentration and the urine volume. A\%_{0–24} is the sum of DAS collected over all collection intervals (0 to 24 h). The percentage of DAS dose recovered in the urine (urine recovery, A\%_{0–24}) in 24 h was calculated as A\%_{0–24} divided by the administered dose and multiplied by 100.

Safety and tolerance
Safety and tolerance were determined by clinical evaluation, including physical examinations, vital signs (body temperature, blood pressure, heart rate, and breathing rate), 12-lead electrocardiograms and laboratory measurements (hematology, serum chemistry, and urinalysis). Adverse events (AEs) were monitored throughout the study. All of the AEs were recorded and evaluated in terms of intensity (mild, moderate, or severe), duration, severity, outcome, and relationship to study drug. Serious adverse events (SAEs) were defined as death or life-threatening, led to disability, required hospitalization, or required medical intervention to prevent permanent impairment or damage.

Statistical analysis
DAS pharmacokinetic parameters (AUC, C_{max}, T_{max}, and CLz) were analyzed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) model appropriate for the variances among dose groups. DAS pharmacokinetic parameter values between female and male subjects were analyzed using a t-test. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic data and assess safety variables, including AEs, laboratory assays, vital signs and electrocardiograms. Adverse events are presented as reported frequencies and percentages.

Results
Study population
A total of 9 healthy male (n=6) and female (n=3) volunteers were enrolled in the study. All of the subjects were non-smokers, with a mean age of 24.3 years (range: 21.3-29 years), a mean weight of 57.4 kg (range: 49.0–69.8 kg) and a mean BMI of 21.1 (range: 19.1–24.0 kg/m²). All of the participants completed the study as planned.

Pharmacokinetics
The mean plasma DAS concentration versus time profile is presented in Figure 2. The principal pharmacokinetic parameters of DAS are summarized in Table 2. Mean values of the time to peak plasma concentration (T_{max}) of the three dose groups ranged from 0.94 to 1.0 h. Plasma DAS concentrations declined rapidly, with short mean elimination half-lives of approximately 1.51–1.89 h. For the 80, 160, and 320

| Parameters      | 80 mg     | 160 mg    | 320 mg    |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AUC_{0–12} (mg·L⁻¹·h) | 6.18±1.09 | 16.95±2.48 | 40.65±7.53 |
| AUC_{0–∞} (mg·L⁻¹·h) | 6.21±1.10 | 16.99±2.49 | 40.74±7.53 |
| t_{1/2} (h)     | 1.51±0.37 | 1.80±0.26 | 1.89±0.34 |
| T_{max} (h)     | 0.94±0.30 | 0.94±0.17 | 1.00±0.00 |
| C_{max} (mg/L)  | 4.82±0.96 | 12.85±2.76 | 26.90±4.37 |
| MRT_{0–12} (h)  | 1.41±0.13 | 1.48±0.14 | 1.54±0.13 |
| CLz (L/h)       | 13.27±2.42 | 15.56±2.41 | 10.17±2.74 |
| A\%_{0–24} (%)  | 12.33±3.42 | 15.56±2.41 | 10.17±2.74 |

Figure 2. Mean plasma concentrations versus time profiles following single-dose intravenous administration of 80–320 mg DAS injection in healthy Chinese subjects.

Table 2. Pharmacokinetics parameters of DAS (mean±SD) following intravenous administration of DAS injection in healthy Chinese subjects (n=9). *P<0.01 vs 80 mg.
mg dose groups; mean $C_{\text{max}}$ values were 4.82, 12.85, and 26.90 mg/L, respectively; mean AUC$_{0-12}$ values were 6.18, 16.95, and 40.65 mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$, respectively; and mean AUC$_{0-\infty}$ values were 6.21, 16.99, and 40.74 mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$, respectively. The AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of plasma DAS increased disproportionately to dose, while the clearance rate (13.27, 9.60, and 8.07 mL/min, respectively) decreased as the dose increased in the 80, 160, and 320 mg dose groups. The standardized $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC values of the 80 mg group were statistically significantly different ($P<0.01$) compared with the other dose groups (Table 3). Approximately 10.1%–15.5% of the intravenous DAS dose was excreted unchanged in urine within 24 h, and more than 90% of unchanged DAS was excreted from 0 to 4 h. Statistical comparisons indicated that none of the pharmacokinetic parameters were significantly different between female and male subjects (Table 4).

### Table 3. The standardized pharmacokinetics parameters of DAS (mean±SD) based on 80 mg following intravenous administration of DAS injection in healthy Chinese subjects ($n=9$). $^P<0.01$ vs 80 mg.

| Parameters | 80 mg | 160 mg | 320 mg |
|------------|-------|--------|--------|
| AUC$_{0-12}$ (mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$) | 6.18±1.09 | 8.48±1.24$^c$ | 10.16±1.88$^c$ |
| AUC$_{0-\infty}$ (mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$) | 6.21±1.10 | 8.49±1.25$^c$ | 10.19±1.88$^c$ |
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (μg/L) | 4.82±0.96 | 6.42±1.38$^c$ | 6.72±1.09$^c$ |
| CLz (L/h) | 13.27±2.42 | 4.80±0.70$^c$ | 2.02±0.34$^c$ |

### Safety and tolerance

One mild adverse event was reported during the study. Subject 06 had a stomach ache 24 h post-dose (320 mg) in period 3, which resolved spontaneously in 2 h. No serious adverse events were reported. No clinically significant changes in laboratory values, vital signs, or electrocardiogram safety parameters were observed.

### Discussion

In this study, pharmacokinetic parameters were not proportional to dose. AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ values increased slightly more compared with the dose among the 80 mg, 160 mg and 320 mg dose groups. In contrast, the plasma clearance rate was significantly less than dose proportional over the dose range. A similar phenomenon occurred in the rat pharmacokinetic study of DAS and may be attributed to the saturation of metabolic enzymes by the increase in dosage. This hypothesis can also explain the decrease in the clearance rate in the high-dose group. This result can guide clinical prescription to avoid an overdose of DAS and reduce the risk of possible accumulation after drug administration.

Plasma concentrations rapidly decreased from $C_{\text{max}}$ with a $t_{1/2}$ of approximately 1.51–1.89 h. Because DAS has a high $C_{\text{max}}$ and a short $t_{1/2}$ and is less than dose proportional, multiple small doses are recommended. Infusion should be maintained at an appropriate speed to extend the effective period and avoid high dose accumulation. Urine pharmacokinetic data showed that the concentration of DAS in the urine decreased rapidly within 24 h after drug administration, and more than 90% of unchanged DAS was excreted within the first 4 h.

### Table 4. Pharmacokinetics parameters of DAS (mean±SD) following intravenous administration of DAS injection in male and female Chinese subjects.

| Parameters | M ($n=6$) | F ($n=3$) | 80 mg | 160 mg | 320 mg |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|
| AUC$_{0-12}$ (mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$) | 6.02±1.02 | 6.51±1.39 | 16.37±2.06 | 18.11±3.33 | 37.79±4.82 |
| AUC$_{0-\infty}$ (mg·L$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$) | 6.04±1.03 | 6.53±1.38 | 16.40±2.07 | 18.16±3.33 | 37.89±4.81 |
| $t_{1/2}$ (h) | 1.57±0.45 | 1.40±0.08 | 1.74±0.23 | 1.93±0.23 | 2.04±0.23 |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | 1.03±0.07 | 0.78±0.54 | 1.00±0.00 | 0.83±0.29 | 1.00±0.00 |
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (mg/L) | 4.67±0.80 | 5.15±1.35 | 12.27±2.26 | 14.00±3.85 | 26.84±3.33 |
| CLz (L/h) | 13.61±2.59 | 12.58±2.37 | 9.89±1.28 | 9.02±1.72 | 8.56±1.06 |

M, male; F, female.
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