High plasma concentration of non-esterified polyunsaturated fatty acids is a specific feature of severe COVID-19 pneumonia
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COVID-19 pneumonia has specific features and outcomes that suggest a unique immunopathogenesis. Severe forms of COVID-19 appear to be more frequent in obese patients, but an association with metabolic disorders is not established. Here, we focused on lipoprotein metabolism in patients hospitalized for severe pneumonia, depending on COVID-19 status. Thirty-four non-COVID-19 and 27 COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia were enrolled. Most of them required intensive care. Plasma lipid levels, lipoprotein metabolism, and clinical and biological (including plasma cytokines) features were assessed. Despite similar initial metabolic comorbidities and respiratory severity, COVID-19 patients displayed a lower acute phase response but higher plasmatic concentrations of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs). NEFA profiling was characterised by higher level of polyunsaturated NEFAs (mainly linoleic and arachidonic acids) in COVID-19 patients. Multivariable analysis showed that among severe pneumonia, COVID-19-associated pneumonia was associated with higher NEFAs, lower apolipoprotein E and lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations, independently of body mass index, sequential organ failure (SOFA) score, and C-reactive protein levels. NEFAs and PUFA concentrations were negatively correlated with the number of ventilator-free days. Among hospitalized patients with severe pneumonia, COVID-19 is independently associated with higher NEFAs (mainly linoleic and arachidonic acids) and lower apolipoprotein E and HDL concentrations. These features might act as mediators in COVID-19 pathogenesis and emerge as new therapeutic targets. Further investigations are required to define the role of NEFAs in the pathogenesis and the dysregulated immune response associated with COVID-19.

Trial registration: NCT04435223.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), recently emerged as a major threat for human health. In its severe form, COVID-19 often leads to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). It also has specific features and outcomes that suggest a unique immunopathogenesis. A high proportion of obese patients has been reported among severe COVID-19 cases, and obesity has been associated with disease severity2-9. Obesity is characterized by metabolic disorders. We therefore assume that specific metabolic disorders rather than obesity per se contribute to the severity of...
COVID-19. In this context, lipid metabolism is of particular interest. Indeed, obesity is associated with impaired lipid metabolism (i.e. increased plasma triglyceride levels, decreased low density lipoprotein (LDL) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) concentrations, and disturbed lipolysis and fatty acid metabolism). Lipoproteins were previously shown to be involved in inflammation and innate immunity. During SARS-CoV-2 infection, it was recently reported that lipid metabolism is dysregulated, and lipid abnormalities were associated with poorer prognoses. It has also been demonstrated that HDL-scavenger receptor B type 1 (SR-B1) facilitates virus pathogenicity and participate in the specific immune response. The current study aims to investigate the contribution of blood lipids and plasma lipoprotein abnormalities in COVID-19 pathogenesis by comparing virus pathogenicity and participate in the specific immune response. The current study aims to investigate the contribution of blood lipids and plasma lipoprotein abnormalities in COVID-19 pathogenesis by comparing virus pathogenicity and participate in the specific immune response. The current study aims to investigate the contribution of blood lipids and plasma lipoprotein abnormalities in COVID-19 pathogenesis by comparing virus pathogenicity and participate in the specific immune response.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics.** Sixty-one patients were enrolled (34 in the non-COVID-19 group and 27 in the COVID-19 group). Bacterial, viral, or mixed etiologies were proven in 10 (29%) and 3 (9%) patients, respectively, from the non-COVID-19 group. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Mean age was lower in the COVID-19 group than in the non-COVID-19 group (62 ± 11 vs. 69 ± 12; p = 0.03). Diabetes and dyslipidemia were not significantly different between the two groups. Obesity was more frequent in the COVID-19 group (52%; n = 14) than in the non-COVID-19 group (35%; n = 12) but the difference did not reach statistical significance. At inclusion, global severity (assessed by SOFA score) and respiratory severity, (assessed by PaO2:FIO2 ratio) were not significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.43 for both). However, we observed a higher proportion of ARDS (93% vs 62%; p < 0.01) and a lower proportion of septic shock (0% vs 32%; p < 0.01) among COVID-19 patients. In the biological parameters, we observed lower plasma concentrations of C-reactive protein (CRP), lactate and glucose in COVID-19 patients.

**Outcomes.** COVID-19 patients had significantly higher duration of mechanical ventilation (15 [7–22] vs. 4 [0–15] days; p < 0.01). The 30-day mortality rate was 6% in the non-COVID-19 group and 4% in the COVID-19 group (p = 0.70) (Table 1).

**Plasma lipid profile is discriminating factor for non-COVID-19 vs COVID-19 patients.** Plasma concentration of total cholesterol, phospholipids and triglycerides were not significantly different between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 patients (Fig. 1, Table S1).

By contrast, COVID-19 patients showed higher HDL diameter (p = 0.02), lower ApoE concentrations (p = 0.01) and lower phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP) activity (p < 0.01) than non-COVID-19 patients, while the plasma levels of HDL cholesterol, apo A1 and cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) activity were not significantly different (Fig. 1).

The study of LDL metabolism revealed higher concentrations of apoprotein B (ApoB) in COVID-19 patients (p = 0.03), while LDL cholesterol levels and particle size were not different in the two groups (Fig. 1).

Plasma triglyceride concentrations and VLDL size did not significantly differ between the two groups (p = 0.86 and p = 0.12), whereas the plasma concentration of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs) was significantly higher in COVID-19 patients (0.35 ± 0.22 vs. 0.21 ± 0.18 mg/dl; p = 0.01).

**Principal component analysis (PCA) identifies two patterns linking lipid metabolism and outcomes that enables distinguishing between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 patients.** Using PCA, four factors were retained for interpretation. These factors preserve 58.4% of the total information contained in the 25 original correlated variables (Figure S1). The first component represents 26.6% of the data variability and was correlated to initial severity (SOFA score, pneumonia severity index (PSI)) and acute phase response (CRP). Levels of HDL cholesterol, Apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1), HDL size, PLTP activity, LDL, ApoB, and LDLC size were negatively correlated with this first component, while PLTP activity was positively correlated (Table 2). The second component represents 13.8% of the data variability and was correlated with outcomes (duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay). NEFAs concentrations were correlated with this second dimension, as were ApoA1 and HDL diameter (Table 2). The third component (10.1% of the data variability) represents the relationship between HDL, LDL and VLDL metabolism, but there was not a strong correlation with the outcome. The fourth component (7.8% of the data variability) is correlated with glucose metabolism and body mass index (BMI) (Table 2). The projection of patient data on the plan defined by factors 1 and 2 made it possible to discriminate COVID-19 from non-COVID-19 patients (Fig. 2). By plotting patients according to pneumonia etiology, we noticed that non-COVID-19 patients with pneumonia of proven bacterial origin were represented by high factor 1 values, while COVID-19 patients were represented by high factor 2 values and moderate factor 1 values (Fig. 2).

**COVID-19 status is independently associated with NEFAs, HDL cholesterol and ApoE.** Multiple linear regression showed that COVID-19 status was associated with high plasma NEFAs, low HDL cholesterol levels and low ApoE plasma concentrations, independently from body mass index (BMI), SOFA score and plasma CRP (Table 3). In COVID-19 patients, we estimated a proper excess of 0.12 ± 0.06 mg/dl for NEFAs (p = 0.04), and reductions of 0.36 ± 0.10 mmol/L in HDL cholesterol (p < 0.01) and 116.05 ± 45.49 ug/ml in ApoE.
Non-esterified fatty acid profile is characterized by high poly unsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) levels in COVID-19 patients. To gain further insights regarding the increase in plasma NEFAs, we performed a GC–MS profile of fatty acids (Fig. 3, Table S2). As expected, saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids (C16:0, C18:0 and C18:1) were the most represented fatty acids. However, the increase in NEFAs in COVID-19 patients is characterized by high polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) levels. To gain further insights regarding the increase in plasma NEFAs, we performed a GC–MS profile of fatty acids (Fig. 3, Table S2). As expected, saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids (C16:0, C18:0 and C18:1) were the most represented fatty acids. However, the increase in NEFAs in COVID-19 patients is characterized by high polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) levels.
19 patients was mainly driven by an increase in PUFA levels. Indeed among the lipid species with a plasma concentration higher than 10 nmol/mL, linoleic acid (C18:2 n-6) and arachidonic acid (C20:4 n-6) were significantly increased in COVID-19 patients (207 ± 109 vs. 113 ± 67 nmol/ml; *p* < 0.01 and 16 ± 6 vs 12 ± 5 nmol/ml *p* < 0.01, COVID-19 vs non-COVID-19 patients). Moreover, the relative proportion of linoleic acid among FAs was significantly higher in COVID-19 patients than in non-COVID-19 patients (12.8 ± 3.6 vs. 8.3 ± 2.3%; *p* < 0.01) (Fig. 2). However, sPLA2, Lp-PLA2, Leukotriene B4 and prostaglandin E concentrations did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table S1).

**Fatty acid metabolism cascade exploration.** As phospholipase A2 (PLA₂) mediates the release of fatty acids from membrane phospholipids, including linoleic and arachidonic acids, we measured both, secreted PLA₂ (sPLA₂) and lipoprotein-associated PLA₂ (Lp-PLA₂) plasma concentrations. Plasma sPLA₂ and Lp-PLA₂ concentrations were not significantly different between the two groups (respectively *p* = 0.93 and *p* = 0.25) (Table S1). In addition, we did not observe any correlation between plasma concentration of sPLA₂ and Lp-PLA₂ and PUFAs (Table S3).
In addition, two final products of the arachidonic cascade were measured, namely leukotriene B4 and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). We observed a correlation between arachidonic acid and leukotriene B4 concentrations ($r = 0.302, p = 0.02$) but not with PGE2 ($r = -0.048, p = 0.9$) (Fig. 4).

### Relationship between NEFAs, plasma cytokines and outcomes.

Plasma NEFAs concentrations were marginally correlated with CXCL10, GMCSF, and IL-10 (respectively $p = 0.064$, $p = 0.091$, $p = 0.075$), but negatively correlated with CRP levels ($r = -0.343, p = 0.007$). In addition, linoleic and arachidonic acids proportions were marginally negatively correlated with IL-6 ($p = 0.05$ for both) and TNF-α concentrations (respectively $p = 0.05$ and $p = 0.04$) (Fig. 4).

Plasma NEFAs concentrations and linoleic acid proportion were inversely correlated with the number of ventilator-free days (respectively $r = -0.279, p = 0.029$ and $r = -0.404, p = 0.001$) (Fig. 4).

### Discussion

In patients with severe pneumonia, we report here an independent association between lipid metabolism disorders and COVID-19. Multivariate analysis showed that high NEFAs, low ApoE and low HDL cholesterol concentrations are significantly associated with COVID-19 status, independently of body mass index, baseline severity (SOFA score) and acute phase response (CRP level). The characterization of NEFAs highlighted an increase in polyunsaturated fatty acids (mainly linoleic and arachidonic acids). The concentrations of NEFAs, and particularly linoleic acids, were correlated with the duration of the mechanical ventilation.

COVID-19 pneumonia has unique features when compared with pneumonia from other origins, and it displays a specific inflammatory pathogenesis$^{17-19}$. The beneficial effect of dexamethasone in the most severe forms of COVID-19 argues in favor of a dysregulated immune response that mediates lung injury and outcome$^{20}$. We recently identified a unique cytokine response in COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia with higher plasma GM-CSF and CXCL10 (a Th1 chemokine) in COVID-19 patients, that were independently associated with the longer duration of mechanical ventilation$^{21,22}$. Obesity has been described as a factor of poor prognosis in COVID-19 patients and associated with disease severity$^{23}$. In various medical settings, obese patients display immune dysregulation (e.g. Th1 hyperpolarization) and metabolic disorders, and there is an obvious relationship between the two$^{23}$. We assumed that metabolic disorders may contribute to the pathophysiology of COVID-19.

### Table 2. Principal component analysis of clinical and lipid characteristics. Data are expressed as correlation coefficients. Values less than 0.3 are not edited. HDL high density lipoprotein, PLTP phospholipid transfer protein, LDL low density lipoprotein, VLDL very low density lipoprotein, BMI body mass index, PSI pneumonia severity index, SOFA sequential organ failure assessment, CRP C reactive protein, PCT procalcitonin, BNP brain natriuretic peptide, ICU intensive care unit, MV mechanical ventilation.

| Factor1 | Factor2 | Factor3 | Factor4 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| HDL cholesterol | 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.48 |
| HDL diameter | -0.67 | 0.33 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Apolipoprotein A1 | -0.58 | 0.33 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Apolipoprotein E | 0.79 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.48 |
| PLTP activity (A.U./min) | -0.69 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| LDL cholesterol | 0.48 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| LDL diameter | -0.48 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Apolipoprotein B | -0.48 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Total triglycerides | 0.79 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| VLDL diameter | -0.50 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Non-esterified fatty acid | -0.50 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Glycaemia | 0.79 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Insulin | -0.48 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| BMI | -0.48 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| PSI | 0.79 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| SOFA | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| CRP | 0.69 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| PCT | 0.79 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Creatininemia | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| NT-proBNP | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Lactatemia | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| ICU length of stay | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Duration of MV | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Ventilator-free days | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
| Hospital length of stay | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -0.34 |
In the present study, although obesity was highly frequent in COVID-19 patients (52% of patients), BMI, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and plasma fructosamine levels did not significantly differ between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 patients. Therefore, obesity has a similar frequency in severe pneumonia regardless of the origin and was not likely to acts as a confounding factor between the 2 groups of patients.

Sepsis is associated with profound modifications in HDL (dramatic reduction in HDL-cholesterol, extensive remodelling of HDL particles) and LDL metabolism (lower LDL cholesterol concentrations)\(^24\). However, the mechanisms underlying this decrease are poorly described. PLTP activity is known to increase during systemic inflammation\(^25\). HDL and LDL were found to be protective in patients with sepsis\(^26\). The lower PLTP activity we observed in COVID-19 patients is consistent with the lower proportion of extra-pulmonary organ dysfunction (septic shock, lactatemia, RRT and creatininemia) and the lower acute phase response (CRP levels). With multivariate analyses, negative correlations between CRP and both HDL and LDL cholesterol levels were also consistent with these clinical settings. By contrast, low HDL and ApoE concentrations were independently associated with COVID-19 status. Interestingly, HDL particles are endowed with immune-modulatory properties partly through interaction with lipid rafts\(^27\) and HDL-scavenger receptor B type 1 (SR-B1) has been demonstrated to

### Table 3. Factors associated with lipid parameters in 61 patients with severe pneumonia (multivariate linear regression).

| Outcome variable effect | HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | HDL diameter | Apo E (µg/ml) | LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) | PLTP activity (A.U) | NEFA (mg/dl) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| COVID-19 (Yes vs No)    | −0.36 ± 0.10             | <0.01        | 1.95 ± 3.22   | 0.55                     | 116.05 ± 45.49     | 0.01         |
| BMI (+1 kg/m²)          | 0.0068 ± 0.0062          | 0.20         | 0.34 ± 0.20   | 0.10                     | −2.92 ± 2.86       | 0.31         |
| SOFA (+1 point)         | −0.0054 ± 0.016          | 0.74         | −1.15 ± 0.50  | 0.03                     | −4.21 ± 6.96       | 0.55         |
| CRP (+10 mg/L)          | −0.015 ± 0.0041          | <0.01        | −0.50 ± 0.113 | <0.01                    | −0.52 ± 1.78       | 0.77         |
| R²                      | 25%                      | 42%          | 14%           | 34%                      | 61%                | 20%         |

In the present study, although obesity was highly frequent in COVID-19 patients (52% of patients), BMI, diabetes, dyslipidaemia and plasma fructosamine levels did not significantly differ between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 patients. Therefore, obesity has a similar frequency in severe pneumonia regardless of the origin and was not likely to acts as a confounding factor between the 2 groups of patients.
facilitate SARS-CoV-2 entry into ACE2-expressing cells. Consequently, we assume that the more pronounced drop in HDL associated with COVID-19 origin could be the consequence of a higher consumption of HDL particles and/or the upregulation of SR-B1 expression. However, as in the ApoCOVID study, we did not find any association between HDL concentrations and outcomes.

Then, we depict here higher plasma PUFAs concentrations (higher C18:2 n-6 and C20:4 n-6) and a higher proportion of PUFAs among NEFAs in COVID-19 patients as compared to non-COVID-19 patients. High plasma NEFAs concentrations (including PUFAs) were previously reported in COVID-19 patients as compared to healthy patients, independently of the acute phase response. However, to our knowledge, there has not yet been a comparison between patients with severe pneumonia according to COVID-19 status. This absolute and relative increase in PUFAs supports a specific alteration of the fatty-acid metabolism of COVID-19 patients. PUFAs are precursors of eicosanoids and platelet activating factor. Such metabolite production could contribute to the dysregulated immune response and coagulopathy that characterize COVID-19. For example, MAPK activation has previously been suggested to activate cytosolic PLA₂, which in turn increases thromboxane and platelet hyperactivity. These recent data support the hypothesis that PUFAs and the PLA₂ pathway are potential key players in the pathogenesis of COVID-19. In our study, we did not find any correlation between the two plasmatic forms of PLA₂ (namely s-PLA₂ and lp-PLA₂) and PUFAs, but we do not rule out an increase in cytosolic PLA₂ expression and activity in COVID-19 patients, that we were unable to measure. However, we found a marginal positive correlation between NEFAs (and linoleic acid) and the three cytokines previously identified in higher concentrations and associated with poorer outcomes in COVID-19 patients. That suggests the possible involvement of NEFAs in the specific immune response associated with COVID-19. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 comprises a high-affinity pocket that specifically accretes linoleic acids, modifying ACE₂ interaction in vitro. The interaction between linoleic acids and SARS-CoV-2 represents an emerging appealing therapeutic target that requires further investigation.

To date, only corticosteroids have been proved to be effective in reducing mortality in severe COVID-19, strengthening the host-immune response as one of the most promising therapeutic targets. Since corticosteroids have many side effects, targeted therapies likely to dampen the dysregulated immune response in COVID-19

Figure 3. Heatmap of non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) representation (as percentage normalized to the mean percentage in non-COVID-19 patients) according to COVID-19 status. Plasma concentration of NEFAs was measured in 34 non-COVID-19 and 27 COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia. Rows represent patients. Colours represent the ratio between the percentage of NEFAs in the patient and the mean percentage of NEFAs in non-COVID-19 patients. In COVID-19 patients, linoleic acid appeared in red, reflecting a higher representation. *significant between-group differences (p < 0.05).
are urgently needed. Our data highlight NEFAs as a potential driver of this dysregulated immune response that could drive poorer outcome. Restoring lipid homeostasis could represent a promising approach likely to dampen some features of the dysregulated immune response in COVID-19, and as a consequence outcomes. For this purpose, PLA-2 inhibitor is a potential candidate. In unselected patients with severe sepsis, PLA-2 inhibitors was shown to be ineffective in reducing mortality. However, as for sepsis, personalized medicine with targeted immunomodulatory therapy, guided by immune-related biomarkers is mandatory to deal with the heterogeneity of the host-immune response.

Modulating lipid metabolism, using lipid-associated biomarkers (e.g. NEFAs concentrations) for decision making could represent a promising therapeutic strategy that deserve to be investigated in COVID-19.

There are some limitations in our work. Because this is an observational study, only association and not causality can be inferred. Statistical analysis may suffer from a lack of power since only 61 patients were included, but this was compensated, at least in part, by the strong observed differences and the use of several statistical methods including PCA and multivariate analysis. However, given this small sample size, our results need to be confirmed in larger cohorts. At this stage, the comparison of fatty acids metabolism and immune response between non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia is still scarce in the literature. We used CRP as a surrogate for acute phase response. However, CRP is also a known acute phase reactant. Finally, we used plasma collection, and cytosolic PLA2 could not be measured to ascertain of the origin of plasma NEFAs.

In conclusion, COVID-19 was independently associated with high concentrations of polyunsaturated NEFAs and low concentrations of apolipoprotein E and HDL. These parameters may be key mediators of the COVID-19 pathogenesis and potential therapeutic targets. Further investigations are required to explore the role of cytosolic phospholipase A2 and PUFAs in the dysregulated immune response and the pathogenicity of COVID-19.

Methods

Design. This ancillary study of the ongoing prospective Lymphonie study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03505281) was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04435223). The study fulfilled legal and ethics requirements: Approval was obtained from the CRP (Full name: Comité de Protection des Personnes SUD MEDITERRANEE V (reference 17.092): Registration number: 2017-A03404-49) for the original study and an amendment was obtained to include supplementary patients with severe COVID-19. All subjects (or their legal representatives) received written information and provided their consent to participate. The present report was drafted in line with the STROBE statement.

Patients. Patients were enrolled in this prospective monocentric cohort if they fulfilled the criteria for the Lymphonie study (previously presented on the original report): severe community-acquired pneumonia.
(CAP): (1) pneumonia (≥ 2 acute signs including cough, purulent sputum, dyspnea, chest pain, temperature < 35 °C or ≥ 38.5 °C, and novel radiological pulmonary infiltrate); (2) at least two criteria of poor prognosis according to the quick-SOFA score (systolic blood pressure ≤ 100 mm Hg, respiratory rate ≥ 22, Glasgow score < 15) and/or the need for mechanical ventilation (MV) and/or vasopressors; and (3) diagnosed within 48 h following admission. Non-inclusion criteria were: age < 18 years, pregnancy, person subject to a measure of legal protection, decision to limit care, known immune deficiency, chronic disorder known to cause deep lymphopenia (Cirrhosis, lympho or myeloproliferative syndrome, solid cancer or active systemic lupus), hospitalization for sepsis in the past 3 months. Non-COVID-19 CAP patients were included until February 20, 2020, one month before the COVID-19 pandemic started in Burgundy, France. COVID-19 patients were eligible if they tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction on one respiratory sample. Patient with no available plasma sample were excluded from this analysis.

Study procedures. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid blood was obtained after inclusion of the patient and within 48 h of hospital admission. Plasma was collected in the biological resource center (CRB Ferdinand Cabanne; NF S96-900 certification), centrifuged at 2000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C and stored at − 80 °C.

Variables of interest, clinical outcomes, and data collection. Clinical and biological parameters, severity scores (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) (9) and the Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) (11)) were calculated at the time of inclusion. Obesity was defined as body mass index (BMI) higher than 30. ARDS was defined according to the Berlin definition[31]. Septic shock was defined according to the third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock[34]. Clinical outcomes were recorded up to 30 days after the hospital admission: 30-day mortality, number of hospital-, ICU-, and ventilator-free days. The ’ventilator-free days’ outcome was defined as the number of days alive from day 1 of severe pneumonia to day 30 during which the patient was breathing without MV. Acute phase response is the systemic response to tissue damage[35]. We used CRP concentrations as a surrogate for APR because it is recognized as the prototype for acute phase reactant[36].

Biological judgment criteria. The primary judgment criterion was cholesterol concentration. Secondary judgment criteria were HDL metabolism parameters (HDL cholesterol, HDL size, ApoA1, ApoE, SAA), LDL metabolism parameter (LDL cholesterol, LDL size, ApoB), VLDL parameters (triglyceride concentration, VLDL size), NEFAs, lipid peroxidation and lipid transfer protein activity (PLTP and CETP activity).

Measurement of lipid parameters. Total cholesterol concentration, total triglyceride concentration, high density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration (HDLC) and low-density cholesterol concentration (LDLC) were determined by colorimetric enzymatic methods. HDLC and LDLC were determined by direct methods. Apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1) and B (ApoB) were measured by immunonephelometry. All these parameters were determined on Dimension Vista analyzers using dedicated kits (SIEMENS).

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC., Massachusetts). Serum amyloid A was measured by immunoassays using the pro-Quantum method (THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC INC., Massachusetts). Lipid peroxidation was measured by fluorescence using the Tbars method (BIOASSAY SYSTEMS, Hayward, USA).

Lipoprotein size determination. The different fractions of plasma were separated according to their density by ultracentrifugation (TLA100.2 rotor, BECKMAN, Palo Alto, CA). Densities were adjusted by adding appropriate volumes of potassium bromide solution. Three consecutive ultra-centrifugations were conducted at the appropriate densities in order to separate the plasma into 4 fractions: the triglyceride-rich fraction (d < 1.006), the LDL fraction (1.006 < d < 1.063), the HDL fraction (1.063 < d < 1.21) and the lipoprotein-free fraction (d > 1.21).

Triglycerides, cholesterol (free and total) and phospholipids in lipoproteins fractions isolated by ultracentrifugation were measured enzymatically by colorimetry with commercially available kits (DIASYS DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS GMBH, Holtzheim, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Lipoprotein size in isolated fractions was calculated based on the lipid composition as follows[37]: lipoprotein diameter (Å) = 20 * [1.556(moles%TG) + 1.068* (moles%CE)]/[0.685 (moles%PL) + 0.391 (mol%UG)].

PLTP and CETP activity measurement. Phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP) activity was measured using a commercially available fluorescence activity assay (ROAR BIOMEDICAL INC., New York) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fluorescence measurements were performed over time on a Vicor果实 multitabel counter (PERKIN ELMER). Phospholipid transfer activity was calculated from the slope of fluorescence increase between 1 and 30 min.

Cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP) activity was measured using a commercially available fluorescence activity assay (ROAR BIOMEDICAL INC., New York) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence measurements were performed over time on a Vicor果实 multitabel counter. Cholesterol ester transfer activity was calculated from the slope of fluorescence increase between 1 min and 2 h.

Measurement of glucose metabolism parameters. Fructosamine and glucose concentrations were measured on total plasma by colorimetry using commercially available kits (CLINISCIENCES, Nanterre, France, for fructosamine and glucose). Insulin concentrations were measured by ELISA (MERCODIA AB, Sweden).
Total NEFA concentrations. Total non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs), fructosamine and glucose concentrations were measured on total plasma by colorimetry using commercially available kits (DIASYS DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS GMBH, HOLTZHEIM, Germany for NEFA).

Characterization of NEFAs. The abundance of individual free fatty acids was determined by negative chemical ionization mode (NCI) GCMS in plasma. Deuterated fatty acids were from Cayman (BERTIN PHARMA, Montigny le Bretonneux, France). Chemicals of the highest grade available were purchased from SIGMA ALDRICH (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). LCMSMS quality grade solvents were purchased from FISCHER SCIENTIFIC (Illkirch, France). 25 µL plasma were spiked with 5 µL of free fatty acids internal mix containing 635 ng, 326 ng, 95 ng, of linoleic acid d4, arachidonic acid-d8, and DHA-d5, respectively. Samples were mixed with 1.2 ml of Dolec’s reagent (Isopropanol/Hexane/Phosphoric acid 2 M 40/10/1 v/v/v). Free fatty acids were further extracted with 1 ml of Hexane and 1 ml of distilled water. Organic phase was collected and evaporated under vacuum. Fatty acids were analyzed as pentafluorobenzyl esters (PFB-FA esters) by NCI-GCMS as previously described (5). Calibration curves were obtained using linoleic acid (2–8 µg) arachidonic acid (1–4 µg) docosahexaenoic acid (0.4–16 µg) and docosapentaenoic acid (0.1–4 µg) extracted by the same method used for plasma. Linear regression was applied for calculations.

Plasma PLA2, leukotriene B4 and prostaglandin E concentrations. Plasma sPLA2 and Lp-PLA2 concentrations were measured by ELISA (THERMOFISHER SCIENTIFIC, Massachusetts, United States). Plasma leukotriene B4 and prostaglandin E concentrations were measured by ELISA (CAYMAN CHEMICAL, MICHIGAN, United States).

Plasma cytokines measurement. Plasma IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, CXCL-10, GM-CSF and TNF-α, were quantified using a Luminex® Human Magnetic assay (R&D SYSTEMS, USA) to study the potential link between immune response and plasma lipids21.

Statistical analyses. Collected data were described according to COVID-19 status. Continuous variables were expressed as means +/- standard deviations (SDs) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) according to their distribution. Categorical variables were described as frequencies and percentages. Univariate analysis consists of comparisons between variables according to COVID-19 status, performed using the chi-square test (or Fisher’s exact test when appropriate) for frequencies, the Student’s t-test for means and the Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney test for medians and IQRs. Lipoprotein metabolism parameters with \( p < 0.05 \) for Student’s t-tests were presented as boxplots to visualize potential associations between these parameters and COVID-19 status.

For multivariate analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) was used first to identify potentially significant patterns among the 25 variables (supplementary material): lipoprotein metabolism parameters (13 variables) and the following 12 clinical and biological parameters: BMI, PSI, SOFA, Lactate, ICU and hospital length of stay, ventilation duration and free days at day 30, CRP, PCT, creatinine and NT-ProBNP. PCA identifies factors, called principal components, that induce the most variation in the overall data. These factors are uncorrelated and can be expressed as a linear combination of the correlated original variables (OV). We can inverse these formulas to express each OV as a linear combination of factors. Coefficients defining these linear combinations are interpreted as correlation coefficients. A positive (or negative) coefficient means that the OV is positively (or negatively) associated with the factor. An absolute value approaching 1 indicates that the original variable has a strong influence on the value of the factor, while an absolute value below 0.3 is weak. Each factor describes a percent variation of the OVs. In order to determine the number of components to retain, we used the scree plot test: the number of factors to retain corresponds to the break point between factors with large eigenvalues and those with small eigenvalues on the scree plot37 and the clinical interpretability of these factors38. The labeling was primarily descriptive and based on our interpretation of the factors structure for the OVs strongly associated with the factor. In addition, OVs data can be projected and plotted on the plans defined by the retained factors, which reduces the dimensionality of a large amount of potentially interrelated OVs into a smaller set of factors. This makes it possible to observe variations and strong patterns among patients in a new, two-dimensional space without losing important information39.

Spearman’s rank correlations were computed between clinical characteristics and pertinent lipoprotein metabolism parameters associated with COVID-19 status in univariate analysis comparison tests. Multivariable linear regression was then performed between the selected lipoprotein metabolism parameters as independent variables and the COVID-19 status as a principal explicative covariate. To account for potential confounders, we constructed models adjusted for BMI, CRP and SOFA score. Absence of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity were assessed using the DW statistic and the White test40, respectively. Model variability explicative power was quantified using the R² coefficient. Association results were expressed as mean differences ± SE. A \( p \) value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Ethics approval and consent to participate. This ancillary study of the ongoing prospective Lympho- nie study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03505281) was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04435223). The study fulfilled legal and ethics requirements: Approval was obtained from the CPP (Comité de Protection des Personnes SUD MEDITERRANEE V; 2017-A03404-49) for the original study and an amendment was obtained to include supplementary patients with severe COVID-19. All subjects (or their legal representatives) received written information and provided their informed consent to participate.
Data availability

All relevant data are within the paper. Raw data are available after notification and authorization of the competent authorities. In France, all computer data (including databases, particular patient data) are protected by the National Commission on Informatics and Liberty (CNIL), the national data protection authority for France. CNIL is an independent French administrative regulatory body whose mission is to ensure that data privacy law is applied to the collection, storage, and use of personal data. As the database of this study was authorized by the CNIL, we cannot make available data without prior agreement of the CNIL.
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