Malignant pilomatricoma of the cheek in an infant
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant pilomatricoma (pilomatrical carcinoma) is a rare, locally occurring malignant tumor with a high rate of recurrence in the case of incomplete excision [1,2]. The first report that described malignant pilomatricoma was published in 1980. In 2017, Otero et al.'s review [3] found only 136 cases that had been reported in English-speaking literature worldwide. Local recurrence rate is rather high, but distant metastasis is rare. The histological features of pilomatrical carcinoma are high mitotic counts, cellular atypia, and local invasion. Although fine needle aspiration and excisional biopsy could help to confirm this tumor diagnosis, pathologic findings are critical. Pilomatrixomas have some characteristic features in histological aspect, such as epithelial islands of basaloid cells and shadow cells or ghost cell. Also, various types of immunohistochemical staining are used to confirm the diagnosis. Despite the lack of clear surgical criteria, treatment is a wide local excision with histologically clear resection margins with or without adjuvant radiotherapy.
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CASE REPORT

A 8-month-old boy presented with a 3-month history of erythematous nodule with a mild swelling and serous discharge in the right cheek. A patient had no specific medical singularities or congenital anomalies. At birth, there were no marks on his face. We decided to evaluate the patient with nonaggressive tools first. Ultrasonography showed a well-defined, oval-shaped hypoechoic 0.5 × 0.7-cm-sized nodule with calcification and a hypervascular lesion (Fig. 1). The clinical and assumed diagnosis was hemangioma. As the patient had inflammation on the right cheek, we prescribed parental antibiotics and did a follow-up. Five days later, erythema and swelling subsided, and we planned an excisional biopsy with general anesthesia. In operation, there was a mass 1.0 × 1.0 cm in size with necrotizing lesions and calcification (Fig. 2). There were no vascular components, and the mass was passed to a pathologist. As the total excision was made, the defect was covered by a local advancement flap (Fig. 3). After surgery, the patient had an uneventful recovery, but histologic examination revealed malignant pilomatricoma with infiltrative borders, atypical cytologi-
cal features, and high mitotic rate (Fig. 4). Also, the tumor was composed with basaloid cells with central necrosis. Within the necrosis, some shadow cells were found. Basaloid cells revealed polymorphism and atypia with a high mitotic rate and also contained calcification, confirming a diagnosis of malignant pilomatricoma. However, histologic analyses showed no vascular or lymphatic involvement.

To complete staging, an enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan was performed (Fig. 5). No enlarged nonnecrotic lymph node either in retropharyngeal space or in the neck level was found. There was no lytic or blastic bone lesion in the skull and the facial bone. Furthermore, in a simple chest X-ray, no signs of any metastasis were observed. Therefore, we planned further excision; the lesion was removed with a 1-cm margin and covered with a local advancement flap. No residual tumor was evident on histopathology. We consulted a hematologist, but no additional adjuvant therapy was done, and there were no signs of recurrence after a year.

**DISCUSSION**

Pilomatricoma, a common adnexal tumor that differentiates towards hair cortex cells, is usually benign and rarely invasive. Malignant changes of pilomatricoma were first described as a malignant pilomatricoma or calcifying epitheliocarcinoma of Malherbe [1]. This tumor is usually of the insidious nature, and also it is difficult to distinguish it from benign adnexal tumors. Locally aggressive and low grade are the features of malignant pilomatricoma [5].

The main presentation of pilomatricoma is asymptomatic and firm nodules with mobility. The size of the mass varies from 1 to 10 cm [6]. This tumor is located in deep dermis to subcutis and epidermal invasion is rare [7]. It has a predilection for the scalp, face, posterior neck, upper back, upper extremities, and the preauricular area. In contrast to the benign lesion, malignant counterpart is mostly observed in older patients, with the
male to female ratio of 5:1; the patient age ranges from 8 to 93 years (mean age, 52 years) [5,8-12]. Metastases are reported in 10% of cases, and major sites of metastasis are lungs, bones, and regional lymph nodes [7].

Usually, pilomatricoma is misdiagnosed as a dermoid and epidermoid cyst, hemangioma, basal cell carcinoma, or squamous cell carcinoma [13]. Some studies suggested that there is some possibility for a precursor lesion that includes the nevus sebaceous or the residual benign pilomatricoma. However, it is not clear whether malignant pilomatricoma is derived from a benign one through malignant transformations or not. Furthermore, it is also difficult to differentiate benign and malignant pilomatricoma clinically [5].

However, compared to benign pilomatricomas, malignant pilomatricomas, as revealed in several studies, contained mutations of exon 3 of the \textit{CTNNB1} gene [9]. Pilomatricomas have some characteristic histological features, such as epithelial islands of basaloid cells, shadow cells, or ghost cells. The basaloid cells have basophilic oval or round nuclei. Deposits of basophilic calcium can also be seen; thus calcification occasionally occurs [14].

Histologically, the diagnosis of malignant pilomatricoma can be challenging. There are no clear histologic criteria distinguishing this tumor from other matrical tumors. However, the key differentiating aspect is mitotic rate [13]. In general, a pilomatrical carcinoma usually exhibits cellular pleomorphism, prominent nucleoli, and an increased mitotic rate up to 20 per high power field [6]. In order to diagnose a malignant pilomatricoma, various types of immunohistochemical staining are used to confirm the diagnosis. Fine needle aspiration biopsy is considerable in preoperative evaluation to distinguish a pilomatrical carcinoma from a tumor; however, cytological results showed malignancy only in aspiration cells. This means that needle aspiration cytology is not useful in differential diagnosis of pilomatricoma and malignant pilomatricoma [14]. Imaging studies including computed tomography or magnetic resonance image (MRI) can be useful for the evaluation of malignant pilomatricoma and for determining the bony invasions. However, there are no clear radiological and morphological criteria, as repeated CT scans and ultrasonography were inconclusive.

In the literature, treatment for pilomatrical carcinomas is a wide local excision with histologically clear resection margins. Because this tumor is locally aggressive, key role of preventing local recurrence is to secure surgically clear safety margin. Most authors recommend resection of this tumor with at least 5–10-mm safety margin [5,7]. The recurrence rate of cases of a simple excision exceeds 50%. On the other hand, a complete wide excision of this tumor is associated with a low rate of recurrence. Treatment by Mohs micrographic surgery may be an option to consider as well. In the case Mohs surgery, it is possible to use precise margin control [15].

It is recommended that postoperative radiotherapy be done in the case of recurrence or a residual macroscopic tumor. However, the data for dosage of radiotherapy or therapeutic result remains unclear. With regard to the effect of chemotherapy, there are no regimens for an effective local control or modifying the course of the disease [1,16]. Although Adriamycin and ifosfamide could be applied for nonmetastatic malignant pilomatricoma patients, the effectiveness of this formula remains questionable [17]. To check-up the recurrence, major tools are a regular follow-up for several years after treatment and physical exams. If any stigma or symptoms appear, the MRI scan or ultrasonography could be used. Also, any suspicious mass could be biopsied for surveillance. Distant metastasis is rare. However, if it occurs, it is fatal. Therefore, a regular follow-up by a clinician is crucial.
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