Preliminary investigation on the use of allyl isothiocyanate to increase the shelf-life of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) fillets

Filippo Giarratana, Chiara Crinò, Daniele Muscolino, Chiara Beninati, Graziella Ziino, Alessandro Giuffrida, Daniele Muscolino, Chiara Beninati, Filippo Giarratana, Chiara Crinò, Antonio Panebianco

Abstract

The aim of this work is to evaluate the activity of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) against fish spoilage bacteria (specific spoilage organisms; SSOs) as well as its possible use in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) fillets to extend their shelf-life. In this regard, in vitro tests are carried out in order to evaluate the inhibitory activity of AITC and its vapours on several strains of SSOs. The AITC effect on the shelf-life of gilthead sea bream fillets was made by putting them in plastic trays hermetically closed with the addition AITC. Microbiological and sensorial evaluations were made on fish fillets during storage. Treated fillets maintained microbial populations at a significantly lower level compared with the control samples during storage, showing better sensorial characteristics. Therefore, the use of AITC’s vapours seems to be a new and interesting alternative way to increase fish product shelf-life.

Introduction

Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) is a natural compound from plants belonging to the family Cruciferae. It is found in the seeds, stem, leaves, and roots of cruciferous plants including horseradish, black and brown mustard, cabbage, Brussels sprouts, broccoli, cauliflower, kohlrabi, kale, turnip, rutabaga, watercress, wasabi, radish and papaya (EFSAs., 2010). It appears as an oily liquid, insoluble in water, with molecular weight of 99.1 g/mol. Commercially it is produced by the reaction of chloride of allyl and thiocyanate of potassium, or by dry distillation of seeds. As known, AITC is bitter in taste with a strong, pungent and mustard-like smell and it is authorized as a flavouring substance in foods by the European Regulation EC No 872/2012 (European Commission, 2012). Moreover the AITC possesses also antimicrobial, antifungal, insecticide and nematocidal properties (Minarini et al., 2014; Giarratana et al., 2015). The antimicrobial activity of AITC was demonstrated on several microorganisms, especially foodborne pathogens, including Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella montevideo, Salmonella typhimurium and Listeria monocytogenes (Delaquais and Sholberg, 1997; Lin et al., 2000a, 2000b; Luciano and Holley, 2009). The antibacterial effectiveness of AITC against foodborne pathogens was also demonstrated in several food matrix such as meat sauce (Chacon et al., 2006b), minced beef (Chacon et al., 2006a), fresh chicken breasts (Shin et al., 2010), and cheese (Winther and Nielsen, 2006). Although its antibacterial activity is well known on foodborne agent, few studies have been carried out on its effectiveness against spoilage bacteria of fishery products (Pang et al., 2013). Microorganisms, notably SSOs (specific spoilage organisms) are in fact the major cause of spoilage in seafood products. Therefore, the aim of this work is to assess the antibacterial activity of AITC on the growth of SSOs.

Materials and Methods

In vitro test

A total of 39 fish isolates belonging to the group of SSOs were used for the assessment of AITC vapour inhibiting activity in vitro. Bacteria strains were isolated from skin and muscle of farmed gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata). Strains tested were: 2 Pseudomonas fluorescens/patitida; 1 Pseudomonas fluorescens; 2 Pseudomonas stutzeri; 6 Pseudomonas putida; 2 Pseudomonas syringae; 1 Pseudomonas gessen/di/fluorescens; 1 Pseudomonas mandoli; 3 Pseudomonas fragi; 3 Pseudomonas putrefaciens; 11 Shewanella algae/putrefaciens; 1 Shewanella putitida; 4 Shewanella putrefaciens; 1 Shewanella baltica; 1 Achromobacter ssp. Agar gel diffusion technique was used in order to assess the effectiveness of AITC against SSOs. Therefore, the jars were sealed immediately and were incubated at 30°C for 24 h. The inhibitory effect of AITC against SSOs strains tested was determined by evaluation of bacterial growth after incubation.

Test on sea bream fillets

The present study was carried out on 48 fillets of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) from a sea-based aquaculture facility located in Sicily (Italy) stored at 6°C with and without addition of AITC. Three groups were created: i) group 1: control; ii) group 2: fillets permanently exposed to AITC vapours (10 µL AITC); iii) group 3: fillets exposed to AITC vapours (10 µL AITC) only for one hour. Each fillet was packed in transparent polypropylene trays hermetically sealed and stored at 6°C for six days. The addition of AITC was made with a filter paper (2.5 x 2.5 cm) soaked with the compound. The paper filters worked as an AITC vapours carrier. Fillets were stored at 6°C in order to accelerate the spoilage of products and to evaluate the effectiveness of AITC vapours against spoilage bacteria under suboptimal temperature conditions. Microbiological and sensorial evaluations were made at 0, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 hours of storage. Count of SSOs was made on raw and cooked fillets were carried out by the quality index method (QIM) scheme as described in Tables 1 and 2. Fish fillets were cooked in a microwave for 1 min (300 w).

Results and Discussion

In vitro test

In vitro test revealed a strong activity of AITC against all strains tested. No bacterial...
growth was observed at all concentrations used.

**Test on sea bream fillets**

Figure 1 shows the results of microbiological evaluation. The initial SSOs of sea bream fillets was 3.38±0.17 log CFU/g. Treated samples with AITC maintained microbial populations at a significantly lower level compared with the control samples during the storage. After the 144 hours of storage, SSOs count reached 5.68±0.03 log CFU/g and 6.58 log CFU/g in group 2 and 3 respectively. Control group reached the same value just after 72 hours of storage and at 144 hours had bacterial load of 9.28±0.03 log CFU/g.

Figures 2 and 3 show the results of sensorial evaluation. During the first days of storage every groups presented the same sensorial characteristics. Treated fillets (groups 2 and 3) showed a marked AITC odour after opening the

Table 1. Quality index method scheme for raw fillets.

| Odour                          | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Fresh, salt, of seaweed       | 0     |
| Neutral                       | 1     |
| Stale                         | 2     |

| Colour                        | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Whitish, lucid                | 0     |
| Grayish                       | 1     |
| Yellowish, matt               | 2     |

| Texture                       | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Hard-elastic                  | 0     |
| Elastic                       | 1     |
| Flaccid and soft              | 2     |

| Isothiocyanate’s smell        | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Absent                        | 0     |
| Barely detectable             | 1     |
| Moderate                      | 2     |
| Intense                       | 3     |

Table 2. Quality index method scheme for cooked fillets.

| Odour                          | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Typical                       | 0     |
| Neutral                       | 1     |
| Acid                          | 2     |

| Taste                          | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Typical                       | 0     |
| Neutral                       | 1     |
| Bitter                        | 2     |

| Isothiocyanate’s smell         | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Absent                        | 0     |
| Moderate garlicky             | 1     |
| Garlicky                      | 2     |

| Isothiocyanate’s taste         | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Absent                        | 0     |
| Moderate garlicky             | 1     |
| Garlicky                      | 2     |
package, which disappeared after air exposure in few seconds. After 96 hours of storage, control fillets had a neutral or slight acrid flavour, while the texture tended to be flaccid. On the contrary, treated fillets (groups 2 and 3) maintained constant and fully acceptable features until the last day. After cooking, treated fillets showed a slight garlic odour, stronger when fillets was still hot, and perceptible even to chew, but not nasty. At the end of storage (144 hours), treated fillets with AITC were preferred by panellist because of their desirable aspect and flavour.

Conclusions

Results show a high effectiveness of AITC against SSOs in vitro and in raw sea bream fillets stored at refrigerate conditions. QIM results show that AITC addition does not affect sensorial characteristics with the exception of a garlic odour of cooked product that, however, did not appear unpleasant to panellists. The use of AITC’s vapours on fishery products could be a good preservative technique in order to extend the shelf-life of raw fish fillets. This study showed that AITC was an effective antimicrobial agent to inhibit the growth of SSOs, because it provided 2 times longer shelf life of fresh sea bream fillets than the controls. The continuous exposure to AITC of fillets showed more effective antimicrobial effect on SSOs. In addition, treated fillets maintained desirable sensorial characteristics during storage. However, further investigations need to be conducted in order to evaluate the antimicrobial effectiveness of AITC’s vapours at lower concentrations, and to optimize the range of AITC concentration acceptable by consumers.
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