Physical activity inversely associated with the presence of depression among urban adolescents in regional China
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Abstract

Background: An inverse relationship between physical activity (PA) and depression among adolescents has been reported in developed communities without consideration of sedentary behaviors (SB, including sitting for course study, viewing TV, and sleeping). We explored the association between recreational PA time (hr/wk) and depression after adjustment with SB and other possible confounders among Chinese adolescents.

Methods: A population-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Nanjing municipality of China in 2004 using a multi-stage cluster sampling approach. A total of 72 classes were randomly selected from 24 urban junior high schools and all students completed the structured questionnaire. Adolescent depression was examined by the Children's Depression Inventory (CDI) of Chinese version with cutoff point value of 20 or above as the presence of depression. Recreational PA time was measured by a question on weekly hours of PA outside of school. Descriptive statistics, multivariate logistic and linear regression models were used in analysis.

Results: The overall prevalence of depression was 15.7% (95%CI: 14.3%, 17.1%) among 2,444 eligible participants. It was found that physical activity was negatively associated with depression. After adjustment for sedentary behaviors and other potential confounders, participants who spent 1–7 hr/wk, 8–14 hr/wk and 15+ hr/wk for recreational PA, respectively, had odds ratios of 0.70 (95% CI = 0.57, 0.86), 0.68 (95% CI = 0.53, 0.88) and 0.66 (95% CI = 0.50, 0.87) for likelihood of being depressive, compared to their counterparts who spent 0–0.9 hr/wk for PA. This inverse relationship between PA time and depression remained statistically significant by gender and grade.

Conclusion: This study, conducted among Chinese adolescents, strengthened the evidence that physical activity was inversely associated with depression. Our study has important implications for health officers and public health professionals to pay much attention to the relationship between physical activity and depression in Mainland China.
Background
Depression is a major public health problem worldwide, and it is predicted to be the second leading cause of disability by 2020, immediately behind cardiovascular diseases [1]. The prevalence of depression among adolescents was estimated to be between 0.4% and 8% in 2006 [2]. Evidence from both cross-sectional [3-14] and longitudinal studies [15-18] among Western adolescents consistently showed an inverse relationship between physical activity (PA) and depression. However, duration of PA and sedentary behaviors (SB, including sitting for course study, viewing TV, and sleeping) were seldom considered in these studies. Moreover, one clinical trial found there was a dose-response relation between the exercise amount and reduction in depressive symptoms [19].

China, the most populous country in the world, is undergoing a rapid economic and lifestyle transition from traditional agricultural society to an industrialized community. The employment market is becoming more and more competitive than ever before. Meanwhile, Chinese students typically spend more time on academic studies and less time in physical activity compared to their counterparts in Western countries [20]. Thus, it is of unique importance to explore the associations between PA and depression among adolescents in the current context of Mainland China, with consideration of SB.

We hypothesized that PA time (hr/wk) was inversely associated with depression among Chinese adolescents after taking into consideration of SB and other possible confounders. We conducted a large-scale survey among urban junior high school students between September and November of 2004 in Nanjing of China to examine the hypothesis.

Methods
Sample selection and participants
This population-based cross-sectional study named Nanjing High School Students’ Health Survey (NSHS) was conducted in Nanjing municipality. Nanjing is located in eastern China, with a total population of about 6.0 million in 13 administrative units (11 urban districts and 2 rural counties) in 2004. In Mainland China, the school system consists of four strata: kindergarten, primary school (grade 1–6), high school (junior: grade 7–9, senior: grade 10–12), and college/university.

The class-based samples were selected using a multi-stage cluster sampling method. First, we estimated the number of participants based on the available rate of depression, 11.5%, among urban junior high school adolescents; thus, the total number of participants required was estimated to be 2,500. Second, according to the total number of grade-specific enrolled students and urban junior high schools by district in 2003, we calculated the sample size and sampling proportion for each urban district. Third, based on the estimation of approximate 40 students in one class and the sample size, the number of participated classes was calculated for each urban district. Fourth, taking the principle that one class would be randomly selected from each grade in each selected school, the number of participated schools was calculated; then, we randomly selected schools within each urban district. Finally, each class was randomly selected from each grade within each chosen junior high school. This resulted in a total of 72 classes from 24 junior high schools. All students from those selected classes were invited to participate in this study.

This study was approved by the academic and ethical committee of Nanjing Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention in accordance with the internationally agreed ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects (WORLD MEDICAL ASSOCIATION DECLARATION OF HELSINKI).

Questionnaire
After informed consents were obtained, the students were asked to complete a self-administered anonymized questionnaire. The questionnaire included general information, such as age, gender, grade, class, body weight and height, status of parents’ job and education, family structure; unintentional injuries, health risk behaviors (experience of smoking and drinking), and some specific questions regarding time engaged in recreational physical activity (PA time), time spent on viewing TV/video (TV time), time spent in sitting for course study (study time), and time spent on sleeping (sleep time). The 27 items of the Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI) were also organized in the questionnaire [21].

Definitions
Depression
In this study, CDI was used as the instrument to screen depression in junior high school students [21]. CDI is a validated questionnaire originally developed by Kovacs and has been widely used to measure depression for children and adolescents in epidemiological studies [22-27]. It contains 27-items with a self-rating scale ranging from 0 to 2 (e.g., What do you think of yourself? 0 = I like myself; 1 = I do not like myself; 2 = I hate myself) that yield total scores from 0 (no indication of depression) to 54 (high depressive tendencies), where higher scores reflect severity of depression.

The Chinese version of CDI has also been validated, with satisfied internal consistency (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.85–0.89$) and test-retest reliability ($r = 0.75–0.85$) with cut-off point value of 20 [28-30]. In this study, the CDI Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.85 showing an acceptable validity. The term of "depression" based on CDI refers to an epidemiological idea not a clinical diagnosis in this study. That is to say, it
definitely means that all adolescents with CDI value of 20 or above were at elevated risk of being depressive, which should not be interpreted as a clinical diagnosis.

**Time spent on physical activity and sedentary behaviors**
Each student reported time spent in doing recreational physical activity, viewing TV, sitting for course study, and sleeping through the survey question “How much time (in minutes) did you spend (doing recreational physical activity/watching TV/sitting for course study/sleeping at night or during the day) on a typical weekday in the past two weeks?” The same question was repeated for a typical weekend day. Then, PA Time, TV Time, study Time and sleep time were, respectively, calculated as the average time spent in recreational physical activity, viewing TV, sitting for course study, and sleeping per week. Sedentary behaviors refer to sitting for viewing TV, course study and sleeping in this study. The PA and sedentary behaviors were mainly based on international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) with recall period extended from last week to last 2 weeks [31]. PA time was treated as either a continuous (hr/wk) or categorical (grouped as: 0–0.9, 1–7, 8–14 and 15+ hr/wk) variable to examine its association with depression, while TV time, Study time, and Sleep time were categorized into three levels by tertiles: low, middle and high. Time spent on sedentary behaviors included TV time, Study time, and Sleep time.

**Overweight**
Body weight (kg) and height (cm) were self-reported by students. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the square of height (m²). Overweight was defined as a BMI ≥ 85 percentile value for age- and sex-specific reference data according to the recommendation for Chinese adolescents by the Group of China Obesity Task Force [32]. In China, all students are required to have their body weight and height measured in the commencement month (generally September) of each academic year. This was one of the most important reasons for us to conduct this study between September and November: to minimize potential recall errors for self-reported body weight and height.

**Smoking behavior and alcohol consumption**
A student who ever smoked at least one entire cigarette one time was defined as having an experience of smoking behavior. Having an experience of alcohol consumption referred to ever drinking at least 50 gram distilled spirit (at least 40% alcohol) or one bottle of (≥ 600 ml) beer.

**Unintentional injuries**
Participants were asked to report the frequencies and kinds of unintentional injuries happened in the past year. Unintentional injuries covered car accidents, bone fractures, falls, knife punctures and other injuries

**Socioeconomic status (SES)**
Parental educational attainments were categorized into four groups: Junior high school or less, Senior high school, Undergraduate, and Graduate, while parental job statuses were classified as both employed, single employed, and both unemployed. Family structure was grouped into core family (both parents), single parent family and others.

**Data management and analysis**
Chi-square test was used to examine the association between time spent on PA (by category) and conventional potential confounders of depression. We calculated the prevalence of depression in each population group. Association of PA category (1–7 hr/wk, 8–14 hr/wk and 15+ hr/wk, respectively) with depression was analyzed via both multivariate logistic and linear regression models with adjustment for age, gender, school grade, BMI, TV time, study time, sleep time, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, unintentional injuries, parents’ educational attainments, parents’ job statuses and family structure.

Data were double-entered and cleaned with EpiData (Version 3.0, 2005; the Epidata Association; Odense Denmark), and managed and analyzed using SPSS (Version 13.0, 2001; SPSS Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**Results**
**Demographic characteristics of the participants**
The total number of respondents was of 2,444 in the survey, with a response rate of 93.4%. Of total participants, 31.4% (768), 33.9% (829) and 34.7% (847) were, respectively, from grade 7, 8 and 9, with 48.3% (1180) of boys and 51.7% (1264) of girls. The mean age was 13.85 ± 1.04 years old, and there was no significant difference between boys (13.86 ± 1.05) and girls (13.84 ± 1.03) (p = 0.590). No significant differences were observed between the respondents and non-respondents in terms of age, gender, and grade.

**Factors associated with time spent on physical activity**
The mean hours spent on physical activity was 9.8 ± 7.8 hr/wk in this sample population, while 43.1% of students spent 1–7 hr/wk on physical activity. As shown in Table 1, PA time was significantly associated with gender, school grade, parental educational attainments, family structure, alcohol consumption, and time spent on SB, with exception for BMI, smoking behavior and unintentional injuries. Participants in inactive group had more alcohol consumption experiences, and spent more time on studying and watching TV relative to their active counterparts.

**Depression and risk factors**
The mean value of CDI score was 11.62 ± 7.49 for all the participants, being significantly higher among boys than that among girls (12.00 ± 7.70 vs. 11.22 ± 7.26, t = 2.56, p = 0.01).
Table 1: Associations of physical activity time categories with potential confounders among urban high-school students in Nanjing, China

| Characteristics                  | Physical Activity Time (hr/wk) (n, %) | \( \chi^2 \) | p* |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|----|
| **Gender**                       |                                      |            |    |
| Girls                            | 286 (54.8) 590 (56.0) 243 (49.2) 145 (38.7) 36.659 < 0.001 |
| Boys                             | 236 (45.2) 463 (44.0) 251 (50.8) 230 (61.3) |
| **School Grade**                 |                                      |            |    |
| 7                                | 132 (25.3) 337 (32.0) 159 (32.2) 140 (37.3) 24.219 < 0.001 |
| 8                                | 173 (33.1) 350 (33.2) 176 (35.6) 130 (34.7) |
| 9                                | 217 (41.6) 366 (34.8) 159 (32.2) 105 (28.0) |
| **Body Mass Index**              |                                      |            |    |
| Non-overweight                   | 450 (86.2) 933 (88.6) 444 (89.9) 314 (90.9) 6.588 0.361 |
| Overweight                       | 47 (9.0) 77 (7.3) 35 (7.1) 24 (6.4) |
| Obesity                          | 25 (4.8) 43 (4.1) 15 (3.0) 10 (2.7) |
| **Father education**             |                                      |            |    |
| Junior high school or less       | 180 (34.5) 366 (34.8) 142 (28.7) 140 (37.3) 31.108 < 0.001 |
| Senior high school               | 222 (42.5) 454 (43.1) 205 (41.5) 175 (46.7) |
| Undergraduate                     | 102 (19.5) 195 (18.5) 125 (25.3) 42 (11.2) |
| Graduate                         | 18 (3.4) 38 (3.6) 22 (4.5) 18 (4.8) |
| **Mother education**             |                                      |            |    |
| Junior high school or less       | 242 (46.4) 475 (45.1) 188 (38.1) 135 (36.0) 50.965 < 0.001 |
| Senior high school               | 222 (42.5) 434 (41.2) 189 (38.3) 155 (41.3) |
| Undergraduate                     | 52 (10.0) 130 (12.3) 110 (22.3) 77 (20.5) |
| Graduate                         | 6 (1.1) 14 (1.3) 7 (1.4) 8 (2.1) |
| **Family structure**             |                                      |            |    |
| Both parents                     | 480 (92.0) 925 (87.8) 413 (83.6) 320 (85.3) 24.745 < 0.001 |
| Single parent                    | 24 (4.6) 88 (8.4) 63 (12.8) 43 (11.5) |
| Others                           | 18 (3.4) 40 (3.8) 18 (3.6) 12 (3.2) |
| **Study time†**                  |                                      |            |    |
| Low                              | 205 (39.3) 314 (29.8) 171 (34.6) 141 (37.6) 28.148 < 0.001 |
| Middle                           | 141 (27.0) 351 (33.3) 175 (35.4) 132 (35.2) |
| High                             | 176 (33.7) 388 (36.8) 148 (30.0) 102 (27.2) |
| **Sleep time†**                  |                                      |            |    |
| Low                              | 200 (38.3) 348 (33.0) 138 (27.9) 112 (29.9) 22.317 0.001 |
| Middle                           | 144 (27.6) 366 (34.8) 173 (35.0) 113 (30.1) |
| High                             | 178 (34.1) 339 (32.2) 183 (37.0) 150 (40.0) |
| **TV time†**                     |                                      |            |    |
| Low                              | 148 (28.4) 338 (32.1) 170 (34.4) 150 (40.0) 41.527 < 0.001 |
| Middle                           | 150 (28.7) 313 (29.7) 182 (36.8) 125 (33.3) |
| High                             | 224 (42.9) 402 (38.2) 142 (28.7) 100 (26.7) |
| **Alcohol consumption**          |                                      |            |    |
| Non                              | 380 (72.8) 715 (67.9) 394 (79.8) 285 (76.0) 26.589 < 0.001 |
| Yes                              | 142 (27.2) 338 (32.1) 100 (20.2) 90 (24.0) |
| **Smoking behavior**             |                                      |            |    |
| Non                              | 491 (94.1) 989 (93.9) 476 (96.4) 360 (96.0) 5.701 0.127 |
| Yes                              | 31 (5.9) 64 (6.1) 18 (3.6) 15 (4.0) |
| **Unintentional injuries**       |                                      |            |    |
| Non                              | 348 (66.7) 666 (63.2) 311 (63.0) 224 (59.7) 4.624 0.201 |
| Yes                              | 174 (33.3) 387 (36.8) 183 (37.0) 151 (40.3) |
| **Overall**                      | 522 (21.4) 1053 (43.1) 494 (20.2) 375 (15.3) | -  - |

n = number of participants within subgroup; % = Percentages across column.

* p-value between sub-groups of each variable.

† Study time, sleep time, and TV time were classified into tertiles, separately.
Based on the epidemiological definition of "depression" in this study, the overall prevalence of depression was 15.7% (95%CI: 14.3%, 17.1%) among these students. The depression prevalence was positively associated with obesity (adj. OR = 1.43, 95% CI = 1.01, 2.02), parental unemployed status (adj. OR = 1.60, 95% CI = 1.02, 2.51), other family structure (adj. OR = 2.39, 95% CI = 1.35, 4.29), alcohol consumption (adj. OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.55, 2.52), smoking experience (adj. OR = 2.69, 95% CI = 1.80, 4.03), and unintentional injuries (adj. OR = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.34, 1.86), but negatively associated with long sleep time (adj. OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.47, 0.85) (Table 2).

### Table 2: Prevalence of depression (n and %) and its association with gender, grade, BMI, SES, SB time, alcohol consumption, smoking behavior, and unintentional injuries among urban high-school students in Nanjing, China

|                                      | Depression n (%) | Non-depression n (%) | Adj. Odds ratio (95% CI)** |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| **Gender**                           |                  |                      |                           |
| Girls                                | 172 (13.6)       | 1092 (86.4)          |                           |
| Boys                                 | 212 (18.0)       | 968 (82.0)           | 1.04 (0.79, 1.38)         |
| **School Grade**                     |                  |                      |                           |
| 7                                    | 102 (13.3)       | 666 (86.7)           |                           |
| 8                                    | 145 (17.5)       | 684 (82.5)           | 1.06 (0.77, 1.45)         |
| 9                                    | 137 (16.2)       | 710 (83.8)           | 0.84 (0.61, 1.17)         |
| **Body Mass Index**                  |                  |                      |                           |
| Non-overweight                       | 329 (15.2)       | 1839 (84.8)          |                           |
| Overweight                           | 35 (19.1)        | 148 (80.9)           | 1.23 (0.97, 1.56)         |
| Obesity                              | 20 (21.5)        | 73 (78.5)            | 1.43 (1.01, 2.02)         |
| **Parental job statuses**            |                  |                      |                           |
| Both employed                        | 256 (14.1)       | 1564 (85.9)          |                           |
| Father unemployed                    | 24 (24.0)        | 76 (76.0)            | 1.69 (0.96, 2.97)         |
| Mother unemployed                    | 66 (18.4)        | 292 (81.6)           | 1.25 (0.89, 1.77)         |
| Both unemployed                      | 38 (22.8)        | 128 (77.2)           | 1.60 (1.02, 2.51)         |
| **Family structure**                 |                  |                      |                           |
| Both parents                         | 320 (15.0)       | 1818 (85.0)          |                           |
| Single parent                        | 40 (18.3)        | 178 (81.7)           | 1.11 (0.72, 1.70)         |
| Others                               | 24 (27.3)        | 64 (72.7)            | 2.39 (1.35, 4.29)         |
| **Study time†**                      |                  |                      |                           |
| Low                                  | 120 (14.4)       | 711 (85.6)           |                           |
| Middle                               | 128 (16.0)       | 671 (84.0)           | 1.03 (0.77, 1.38)         |
| High                                 | 136 (16.7)       | 678 (83.3)           | 1.12 (0.84, 1.49)         |
| **Sleep time†**                      |                  |                      |                           |
| Low                                  | 155 (19.4)       | 643 (80.6)           |                           |
| Middle                               | 120 (15.1)       | 676 (84.9)           | 0.77 (0.58, 1.02)         |
| High                                 | 109 (12.8)       | 741 (87.2)           | 0.63 (0.47, 0.85)         |
| **TV time†**                         |                  |                      |                           |
| Low                                  | 128 (15.9)       | 678 (84.1)           |                           |
| Middle                               | 99 (12.9)        | 671 (87.1)           | 0.84 (0.62, 1.13)         |
| High                                 | 157 (18.1)       | 711 (81.9)           | 1.27 (0.94, 1.67)         |
| **Alcohol consumption**              |                  |                      |                           |
| Non                                  | 216 (12.2)       | 1558 (87.8)          |                           |
| Yes                                  | 168 (25.1)       | 502 (74.9)           | 1.97 (1.55, 2.52)         |
| **Smoking behavior**                 |                  |                      |                           |
| Non                                  | 332 (14.3)       | 1984 (85.7)          |                           |
| Yes                                  | 52 (40.5)        | 76 (59.5)            | 2.69 (1.80, 4.03)         |
| **Unintentional injuries**           |                  |                      |                           |
| Non                                  | 207 (13.4)       | 1342 (86.6)          |                           |
| Yes                                  | 177 (19.4)       | 718 (80.2)           | 1.45 (1.34, 1.86)         |
| **Overall**                          | 384 (15.7)       | 2060 (84.3)          | -                         |

**n = number of participants within subgroup; % = Percentages across row.**

** odds ratios adjusted for age, gender, school grade, BMI, TV time, study time, sleep time, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, unintentional injuries, parents’ educational attainments, parents’ job statuses, family structure.

† Study time, sleep time, and TV time were classified into tertiles, separately.
Relationship between depression and physical activity time

We observed a significantly negative association between PA time and depression among participants (Table 3). Compared to the inactive group (0–0.9 hours PA time per week), active students who spent at least one hour per week in physical activity were at significantly lower (<30%) risk of being depressive, and they had the OR (95%CI) for being depressive from 0.63 (95% CI = 0.54, 0.74), 0.58 (95% CI = 0.48, 0.71) to 0.53 (95% CI = 0.43, 0.66) with PA time increasing from 1–7 hr/wk, 8–14 hr/wk to 15+ hr/wk, respectively.

After adjustment for age, gender, school grade, BMI, TV time, study time, sleeping time, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, unintentional injuries, parents' educational attainments, parents' job statuses, and family structure, active participants were less likely to be depressive relative to their inactive counterparts. However, there

Table 3: Prevalence of depression (n and %) and its association with physical activity time by gender and grade among junior high school students in Nanjing, China

| PA category (hr/wk) | Prevalence | Depression | Non-depression | Unadjusted Odds ratio (95% CI)* | Adjusted Odds ratio (95% CI)** |
|---------------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total               |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 120 (23.0) | 402 (77.0) | 1              |                               |                                |
| 1–7                | 147 (14.0) | 906 (86.0) | 0.63 (0.54, 0.74) | 0.70 (0.57, 0.86)            |
| 8–14               | 68 (13.8)  | 426 (86.2) | 0.58 (0.48, 0.71) | 0.68 (0.53, 0.88)            |
| 15+                | 49 (13.1)  | 326 (86.9) | 0.53 (0.43, 0.66) | 0.66 (0.50, 0.87)            |
| Gender             |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| Girls              |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 62 (21.7)  | 224 (78.3) | 0.42 (0.29, 0.62) | 0.56 (0.27, 0.64)            |
| 1–7                | 63 (10.7)  | 527 (89.3) | 0.55 (0.34, 0.87) | 0.65 (0.40, 1.08)            |
| 8–14               | 32 (13.2)  | 211 (86.8) | 0.42 (0.23, 0.76) | 0.35 (0.17, 0.69)            |
| 15+                | 15 (10.3)  | 130 (89.7) | 0.42 (0.23, 0.76) | 0.35 (0.17, 0.69)            |
| Boys               |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 58 (24.6)  | 178 (75.4) | 0.68 (0.46, 0.99) | 0.74 (0.48, 1.13)            |
| 1–7                | 84 (18.1)  | 379 (81.9) | 0.51 (0.32, 0.82) | 0.54 (0.32, 0.91)            |
| 8–14               | 36 (14.3)  | 215 (85.7) | 0.54 (0.34, 0.87) | 0.56 (0.33, 0.96)            |
| 15+                | 34 (14.8)  | 196 (85.2) | 0.54 (0.34, 0.87) | 0.56 (0.33, 0.96)            |
| School Grade       |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 7                  |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 25 (18.9)  | 107 (81.1) | 0.66 (0.39, 1.13) | 0.73 (0.49, 0.98)            |
| 1–7                | 45 (13.4)  | 292 (86.6) | 0.41 (0.21, 0.83) | 0.50 (0.28, 0.88)            |
| 8–14               | 14 (8.8)   | 145 (91.2) | 0.63 (0.33, 1.22) | 0.59 (0.28, 1.26)            |
| 15+                | 18 (12.9)  | 122 (87.1) | 0.63 (0.33, 1.22) | 0.59 (0.28, 1.26)            |
| 8                  |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 41 (23.7)  | 132 (76.3) | 0.55 (0.35, 0.87) | 0.61 (0.31, 0.85)            |
| 1–7                | 51 (14.6)  | 299 (85.4) | 0.66 (0.39, 1.12) | 0.60 (0.33, 1.08)            |
| 8–14               | 30 (17.0)  | 146 (83.0) | 0.69 (0.39, 1.23) | 0.49 (0.26, 0.96)            |
| 15+                | 23 (17.7)  | 107 (82.3) | 0.69 (0.39, 1.23) | 0.49 (0.26, 0.96)            |
| 9                  |            |            |                |                               |                                |
| 0–0.9              | 54 (24.9)  | 163 (75.1) | 0.49 (0.32, 0.75) | 0.53 (0.33, 0.85)            |
| 1–7                | 51 (13.9)  | 315 (86.1) | 0.54 (0.32, 0.91) | 0.58 (0.32, 1.04)            |
| 8–14               | 24 (15.1)  | 135 (84.9) | 0.25 (0.11, 0.55) | 0.24 (0.10, 0.58)            |

n = number of participants within subgroup; % = Percentages across row. Using “0–0.9 hr/wk” as the reference group.

*Odds ratio calculated via univariate logistic regression model.
**Odds ratios calculated via multivariate logistic regression model with adjustment for age, gender, school grade, BMI, TV time, study time, sleep time, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, unintentional injuries, parents educational attainments, parents' job statuses, family structure.
was no difference in PA-depression association among three subgroups of active subjects.

To further explore the impact of physical activity on depression, we conducted linear regression analysis with CDI score and physical activity time as continuous variables (Table 4). Both univariate and multivariate linear regression analysis showed that PA time was also negatively associated with CDI score, which can strengthen the finding from logistic regression analysis.

**Discussion**

Using the unique definition of depression in this study, we observed a higher prevalence (15.7%) of depression among Chinese urban adolescents than those reported among similar age-group adolescents in Western countries. In this large-scale cross-sectional study, with consideration of sedentary behaviors and other potential confounders, we found a negative relationship between PA and depression that students in the active group were less likely to be depressive than their inactive counterparts. In other words, inactive adolescents were at elevated risk of being depressive compared to their active counterparts.

Most previous studies reported a negative association between physical activity and depression but none of them took into consideration of sedentary behaviors and other potential confounders, we found a negative relationship between PA and depression that students in the active group were less likely to be depressive than their inactive counterparts. In other words, inactive adolescents were at elevated risk of being depressive compared to their active counterparts.

Several plausible mechanisms for how physical activity affects depression have been proposed. Physical activity may have physiological effects on depression due to an increased release of β-endorphins, brain neurotransmitters (e.g., serotonin, dopamine, and norepinephrine) [33]. Another possible explanation is that exercise reduces emotional strain and serves as a buffer against stressful events. Next, participation in regular physical exercise programs may convey a sense of mastery and increased self-esteem [34-36]. Participation in sport and exercise groups may also provide social interaction and promote participants’ social skills. In addition, adolescents participated in after-class physical activity in natural or ‘green’ environments, typically parks, open spaces, and playgrounds, which would benefit their mental health [37,38].

The negative relationship between physical activity and depression may have implications for primary and secondary prevention of depression in adolescents. Though drugs are a common and recommended modality for the short-term treatment of adolescent depression [39], medications have unwanted side-effects, and the long-term efficacy and safety of antidepressants have not yet been confirmed by large-scale randomized controlled trials. Given an uncertain long-term efficacy of drug therapy and another often used approach, psychotherapy, for treating adolescent depression, it is important to continue to investigate the efficacy of low-risk interventions for reducing depression, such as physical activity, that may be more acceptable to youth and families.

To the best of our knowledge, this is not only the first study regarding relationship between physical activity and depression in Mainland China, but also the first one to reveal a negative association between physical activity time and depression with consideration of sedentary behaviors among high school students. This study could thus be able to add more solid evidence to the current literature on PA-depression association. The sample in this study was randomly selected from urban high-school students in Nanjing, China, with a high response rate. However, there were still several limitations of our study. First, our study did not allow us to infer causality for the depression-PA relationships, because adolescents with depression might be inactive. As the study was cross-sectional, the temporal relationship between adolescent depression and physical activity could not be accurately defined. A prospective study is recommended to further examine the potential causal relationship between physical activity and depression. Ultimately, randomized controlled trials are needed to evaluate the causal relationship. Another major limitation was related to self-reported time spent in physical activity and sedentary behaviors. This may have resulted in some potential bias. However, the self-reporting measurements have demonstrated to have sufficient validity and reliability in epidemiological study, and it has been widely accepted in such public health researches [40-42]. Next, there might be potential clustering effects at class level in the study sample, because the participants were selected using multi-stage cluster (class-based) sampling approach rather than a simple random sampling method. Fourth, disabilities/illness and life events may influence both participants’ activity level and the occurrence of depression. However, because such data were unavailable, we could not put them into consideration. We are aware of this as one of the limitations of the current study.

In a developing society like China with rapid social and economic transition to industrialization, students spend more time on academic studies but less time on physical activity compared to their counterparts in developed societies. As a consequence of such ‘unhealthy’ lifestyles, more
and more students are tending to become overweight and depressive, especially in urban areas [27]. Depression is a substantial problem facing young adolescents. The study highlights the need for more intervention at the middle school level. Health promotion strategies and lifestyle interventions targeting students with lower physical activity time in the current context of Mainland China could be helpful in the campaigns against depression.

Conclusion

Physical activity was negatively associated with depression among Chinese adolescents in Mainland China. Our study has important implications for health officers and public health professionals to pay much attention on association between physical activity and depression in Mainland China.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

XH contributed to data analysis and paper writing. FX (PI of the project) contributed to study design, data collection, and paper writing. JQL (Co-PI of the project) contributed to manuscript writing and language editing. All authors reviewed drafts of the manuscript and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Nanjing Municipal Department of Health (2004-84-ZKXX0418) and Nanjing Municipal Department of Science and Technology (2004-168-0414). Our special thanks go to the schools and investigators for their support of the study.

References

1. Murray CJ, Lopez AD: Evidence-based health policy-lessons from the Global Burden of Disease Study. Science 1996, 274:740-743.
2. Allen NB, Hetrick SE, Simmons JG, Hickie IB: Early intervention for depressive disorders in young people: the opportunity and the (lack of) evidence. Med J Aust 2007, 187:515-17.
3. Field T, Diego M, Sanders CE: Exercise is positively related to adolescents’ relationships and academics. Adolescence 2001, 36:105-110.
4. Sanders CE, Field TM, Diego M, Kaplan M: Moderate involvement in sports is related to lowered depression levels among adolescents. Adolescence 2000, 35:793-797.
5. Brodersen NH, Steptoe A, Williamson S, Wardle J: Sociodemographic, developmental, environmental, and psychological correlates of physical activity and sedentary behavior at age 11 to 12. Ann Behav Med 2005, 29:2-11.
6. Kirkcaldy BD, Shephard RJ, Siefen RG: The relationship between physical activity and self-image and problem behaviour among adolescents. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2002, 37:544-550.
7. Usher MH, Owen CG, Cook DG, Whincup PH: The relationship between physical activity, sedentary behaviour and psychological wellbeing among adolescents. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2007, 42:851-856.
8. Allison KR, Adlaf EM, Irving HM, Hatch JL, Smith TF, Dwyer JJ, Goodman J: Relationship of vigorous physical activity to psychological distress among adolescents. J Adolesc Health 2005, 37:164-166.
9. Tomson LM, Pangrazi RP, Friedman G: Childhood depressive symptoms, physical activity and health related fitness. J Sport Exerc Psychol 2003, 25:419-439.
10. Fullkerson JA, Sherwood NE, Perry CL, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M: Depressive symptoms and adolescent eating and health behaviours: a multifaceted view in a population-based sample. Prev Med 2004, 38:865-875.
11. Brosnahan J, Steffen LM, Lytle L, Patterson J, Boothrom A: The relation between physical activity and mental health among Hispanic and non-Hispanic white adolescents. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2004, 158:818-823.
12. Desha LN, Ziviani JM, Nicholson JM, Martin G, Darnell RE: Physical activity and depressive symptoms in American adolescents. J Sport Exerc Psychol 2007, 29:534-543.
13. Johnson CC, Murray DM, Elder JP, et al.: Depressive symptoms and physical activity in adolescent girls. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008, 40:818-826.
14. Tao FB, Xu ML, Kim SD, Sun Y, Su PY, Huang K: Physical activity might not be the protective factor for health risk behaviours and psychopathological symptoms in adolescents. J Paediatr Child Health 2007, 43:762-767.
15. Clark C, Haines MM, Head J, Klineberg E, Arephim M, Viner R, Taylor SJ, Booy R, Bhui K, Stansfeld SA: Psychological symptoms and physical health and health behaviours in adolescents: a prospective 2-year study in East London. Addiction 2007, 102:126-135.
16. Mort RW, Birnbaum AS, Kubik MY, Dishman RK: Naturally occurring changes in physical activity are inversely related to depressive symptoms during early adolescence. Psychosom Med 2004, 66:336-342.
17. Sagatun A, Sagiard A, Bjertnes E, Selmer R, Heyerdahl S: The association between weekly hours of physical activity and mental health: a three-year follow-up study of 15-16-year-old stu-

Table 4: The association between physical activity time and CDI value* among urban junior high-school students in Nanjing, China, using linear regression models

| Gender | School Grade |
|--------|--------------|
|        | Total | Girls | Boys | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Model 1# |       |       |       |   |   |   |
| B      | -0.033 | -0.051 | -0.029 | -0.015 | -0.027 | -0.057 |
| SE     | 0.005  | 0.010  | 0.006  | 0.009  | 0.013  | 0.021  |
| R²     | 0.005  | 0.007  | 0.006  | 0.002  | 0.002  | 0.009  |
| p-value| 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.101  | 0.045  | 0.005  |
| DF     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Model 2§ |       |       |       |   |   |   |
| B      | -0.030 | -0.046 | -0.024 | -0.011 | -0.024 | -0.050 |
| SE     | 0.005  | 0.015  | 0.006  | 0.008  | 0.012  | 0.010  |
| R²     | 0.011  | 0.013  | 0.008  | 0.006  | 0.009  | 0.012  |
| p-value| 0.000  | 0.002  | 0.000  | 0.186  | 0.040  | 0.000  |
| DF     | 15    | 14    | 14    | 14    | 14    | 14    |

*Time spent in physical activity and CDI were both considered as continuous variables, with CDI as the dependent variable.
B: unstandardized coefficient; SE: standard error.
# Model 1: regression coefficient calculated via univariate linear regression model.
§ Model 2: regression coefficient calculated via multivariate linear regression model with adjustment for age, gender, school grade, BMI, TV time, study time, sleep time, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, unintentional injuries, parents’ educational attainments, parents’ job statuses, and family structure.
dents in the city of Oslo, Norway. BMC Public Health 2007, 7:155-163.

18. Orme PJ, Perreira KM, Ayala GX: Parental influences on adolescent physical activity: a longitudinal study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2007, 4:12.

19. Dunn AL, Trivedi MH, Kampert JB, Dunn AL. Trivedi MH, Kampert JB, Clark CG, Chambless HO, et al.: The DOSE study: a clinical trial to examine efficacy and dose response of exercise as treatment for depression. Control Clin Trials 2002, 23:584-603.

20. Yang XZ, Liu BC: Cross-sectional comparison of study burden among students in different countries. Shanghai Educ Res 2002, 4:58-61.

21. Kovacs M: Rating scales to assess depression in school-aged children. Acta Paedopsychiatr 1981, 46:305-315.

22. Cowell JM, Gross D, MaNaughton D, Alley S, Fogg L: Depression and suicidal ideation among Mexican American school aged children. Res Theory Nurs Pract 2005, 19:77-94.

23. Poli P, Sbrana B, Marcheschi M, Masi G: Self-reported depressive symptoms in a school sample of Italian children and adolescents. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev 2003, 33:209-226.

24. Frigerio A, Pesenti S, Molteni M, Snider J, Battaglia M: Depressive symptoms as measured by the CDI in a population of northern Italian children. Eur Psychiatry 2001, 16:33-37.

25. Swallen KC, Reither EN, Haas SA, Meier AM: Overweight, obesity, and health-related quality of life among adolescents: the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. Pediatrics 2005, 115:340-347.

26. Ozmen D, Ozmen E, Ergin D, Cinikaya AC, Sen N, Dundar PE, Taskin EO: The association of self-esteem, depression and body satisfaction with obesity among Turkish adolescents. BMC Public Health 2007, 7:80-86.

27. Li YP, Ma GS, Schouten EG, Hu XQ, Cui ZH, Wang D, Kok FJ: Report on childhood obesity in China (5) body weight, body dissatisfaction, and depression symptoms of Chinese children aged 9–10 years. Biomed Environ Sci 2007, 20:11-18.

28. Chao CC, Chen SH, Wang CY, Wu YC, Yeh CH: Psychosocial adjustment among pediatric cancer patients and their parents. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2003, 57:75-81.

29. Chang HJ, Yang CY, Lin CR, Ku YL, Lee MB: Determinants of suicidal ideation in Taiwanese urban adolescents. J Formos Med Assoc 2008, 107:156-164.

30. Yu DW, Li X: Preliminary use of the children’s depression inventory in China. Zhong Gao Xin Li Wei Sheng Za Zhi 2000, 14:225-227.

31. Qu NN, Li Kj: Study on the reliability and validity of international physical activity questionnaire. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2004, 25:265-268.

32. Group of China Obesity Task Force: Body mass index reference norm for screening overweight and obesity in Chinese children and adolescents. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2004, 25:97-102.

33. Craft LL, Perna FM: The benefits of exercise for the clinically depressed. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry 2004, 6:104-111.

34. Schmalz DL, Deane GD, Birch LL, Davison KK: A longitudinal assessment of the links between physical activity and self-esteem in early adolescent non-Hispanic females. J Adolesc Health 2007, 41:559-565.

35. Marquez DX, McAuley E: Social cognitive correlates of leisure time physical activity among Latinos. J Behav Med 2006, 29:281-289.

36. Ekeland E, Heian F, Hagen KB: Can exercise improve self esteem in children and young people? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Br J Sports Med 2005, 39:792-798.

37. Cohen S: Social relationships and health. Am Psychol 2004, 59:676-684.

38. Kawachi I, Kennedy BP, Glass R: Social capital and self-rated health: A contextual analysis. Am J Public Health 1999, 89:1187-1193.

39. Emslie GJ, Rush AJ, Weinberg WA, Kowatch RA, Hughes CW, Carmody T, Rintelmann J: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of fluoxetine in children and adolescents with depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997, 54:1031-1037.

40. Berkley CS, Rockett HR, Field AE, Gillman MW, Frazier AL, Camargo CA Jr, Colditz GA: Activity, dietary intake, and weight changes in a longitudinal study of preadolescent and adolescent boys and girls. Pediatrics 2000, 105:556.

41. Rifas-Shiman SL, Gillman MW, Field AE, Frazier AL, Berkley CS, Tomeo CA, Colditz GA: Comparing physical activity questionnaires for youth: seasonal vs annual format. Am J Prev Med 2001, 20:282-285.

42. Booth ML, Okely AD, Chey T, Bauman A: The reliability and validity of the physical activity questions in the WHO health behaviour in schoolchildren (HBSC) survey: a population study. Br J Sports Med 2001, 35:263-267.

Pre-publication history
The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/9/148/prepub

Publish with BioMed Central and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."
Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:
• available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
• peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
• cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
• yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

BMC Public Health 2009, 9:148
http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/9/148