Inhibition of GSK3 by lithium, from single molecules to signaling networks
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For more than 60 years, the mood stabilizer lithium has been used alone or in combination for the treatment of bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, depression, and other mental illnesses. Despite this long history, the molecular mechanisms through which lithium regulates behavior are still poorly understood. Among several targets, lithium has been shown to directly inhibit glycogen synthase kinase 3 alpha and beta (GSK3α and GSK3β). However in vivo, lithium also inhibits GSK3 by regulating other mechanisms like the formation of a signaling complex comprised of beta-arrestin 2 (βArr2) and Akt. Here, we provide an overview of in vivo evidence supporting a role for inhibition of GSK3 in some behavioral effects of lithium. We also explore how regulation of GSK3 by lithium within a signaling network involving several molecular targets and cell surface receptors [e.g., G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs)] may provide clues to its relative pharmacological selectivity and its effects on disease mechanisms. A better understanding of these intricate actions of lithium at a systems level may allow the rational development of better mood stabilizer drugs with enhanced selectivity, efficacy, and lesser side effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Lithium is an alkali metal that is used medically under the form of a cationic salt Li⁺ in association with carbonate (COO⁻) or citrate. Lithium salts have been used medically since the late nineteenth century for instance as part of popular tonics such as the “Bib-Label Lithiated Lemon-Lime Soda” better known under the name of 7UP (Williams and Harwood, 2005). The first publication on the use of lithium to treat acute mania was published by John Cade more than 60 years ago (Cade, 1949). However, it took 22 years for the U.S. food and Drug administration to approve lithium for the treatment of bipolar disorder. Over time, lithium has become a gold standard for the management of bipolar mood disorders and cyclothymia. Each of these mental illnesses affects 1–2% of the population and is characterized by alternating episodes of manic and depressive symptoms (Cade, 1949; Schou et al., 1954; Blanco et al., 2002). Lithium has been reported to reduce suicide rates and prevent manic episodes in individuals with bipolar disorder, major depression, or schizoaffective disorders (Bowden, 2000; Cipriani et al., 2005; Muller-Oerlinghausen et al., 2005; Tondo and Baldessarini, 2009). Lithium is also used as part of combination therapies for augmenting the effects of other psychoactive drugs such as antidepressants and antipsychotics affecting monoaminergic neurotransmission (De Montigny et al., 1981; Valenstein et al., 2006).

Despite important clinical applications, the molecular mechanisms by which lithium exerts its therapeutic effects in mental disorders are still not well understood (Phiel and Klein, 2001; Beaulieu and Caron, 2008). Over the years, lithium has been shown to inhibit various enzymes directly in vitro (Figure 1). These include inositol monophosphatases (IMPAs) (Berridge et al., 1989), bisphosphate 3’-nucleotidase (BPNT1) (Spiegelberg et al., 2005), cyclooxygenase (COX) (Rapoport and Bosetti, 2002) and isoforms of glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) (Klein and Melton, 1996; Stambolic et al., 1996; Phiel and Klein, 2001). Studies conducted using different cellular models or organisms [e.g., Mus musculus, Caenorhabditis elegans or Dictyostelium discoideum] have documented the importance of these effects of lithium on different physiological or pathological processes including development and epilepsy (Klein and Melton, 1996; Williams et al., 2002; Shaldubina et al., 2006; Cryns et al., 2007, 2008). However, the relative involvement of these direct molecular targets of lithium in behavioral effects that would be clinically relevant to depression or mania has often not been demonstrated. Furthermore, it is also unclear how the action of lithium on ubiquitously expressed molecules that are mostly involved in the regulation of cell signaling and not to specific neurotransmitter systems can explain its relative selectivity as a therapeutic agent in psychiatry.

Here we provide an overview of several independent line of evidence suggesting that lithium can exert “anti-manic” and “antidepressant” like effects by affecting the activity of GSK3α and β both as a direct inhibitor and as a modulator of cell signaling pathways regulating the activity of these kinases. We also speculate on the possible importance of such intricate regulation mechanisms for lithium efficacy in several types of combination therapies and for its relative clinical selectivity.

THE REGULATION OF GSK3 SIGNALING BY AKT AND CELL SURFACE RECEPTORS

The GSK3 family of serine threonine kinases is composed of two isoenzymes/paralogous proteins GSK3α and GSK3β, which...
were originally identified for their role in insulin receptors signaling (Embi et al., 1980; Parker et al., 1983; Woodgett, 1990; Cross et al., 1995). Since then, the biological roles of these kinases have been demonstrated to be much broader, including implications in the regulation of development, immunity/inflammation, cancer, neuro transmission, and several other biological processes (Cohen and Frame, 2001; Woodgett, 2001; Kaidanovich-Beilin and Woodgett, 2011). GSK3 is constitutively active in cells under resting/unstimulated conditions (Sutherland et al., 1993; Stambolic and Woodgett, 1994) and is primarily regulated through inhibition of its activity either by phosphorylation or the regulation of its incorporation into protein complexes. For instance activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling regulates GSK3 by increasing its phosphorylation on negative regulatory serine residues (Sutherland et al., 1993; Stambolic and Woodgett, 1994; Sutherland and Cohen, 1994; Cross et al., 1995). In contrasts, activation of canonical Wnt signaling prevents the action of GSK3 on β-catenin by preventing the formation of a protein complex involving adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and axin that targets active GSK3 to β-catenin (Behrens et al., 1998; Kishida et al., 1998).

The activity of GSK3α/β is positively regulated by phosphorylation on tyrosine residues (Thy 279 for GSK3α and Thy 216 for GSK3β) (Hughes et al., 1993; Lohhead et al., 2006) and negatively regulated by serine phosphorylation (Ser 21 for GSK3α and Ser 9 for GSK3β) that are situated in the N-terminal domains of these kinases (Sutherland et al., 1993; Stambolic and Woodgett, 1994; Sutherland and Cohen, 1994; Cross et al., 1995). While several serine/threonine kinases can phosphorylate these domains, the most prevalent negative regulators of GSK3 are members of a small family of kinases termed Akt. In mammals, this family is composed of three isoforms (Akt1, 2, and 3) that are themselves regulated by PI3K signaling that leads to an activatory phosphorylation of two residues (Alessi and Cohen, 1998). The Thr 308 residue of Akt1 is phosphorylated by phosphatidyldependent kinases, PDK1 and the Ser 473 residue is phosphorylated by the PDK2/riotor-mTOR, in response to PI3K signaling (Scheid and Woodgett, 2001; Jacinto et al., 2006). Of these two phosphorylation events, phosphorylation of Akt on Thr 308 has been shown to be essential and sufficient for the regulation of GSK3 by Akt (Jacinto et al., 2006). Over the years, myriads of cell surface receptors including several G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and most receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) have been shown to be involved in the activation of Akt isoforms via PI3K mediated signaling (Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010; Liao and Hung, 2010; Beaulieu, 2011; Swift et al., 2011).

In addition to these kinase-mediated mechanisms, phosphatases are also playing a role in the regulation of Akt and GSK3 activity. For instance, the serine/threonine protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) is known to dephosphorylate the N-terminal serine residues of GSK3, therefore leading to its activation (Zhang et al., 2003). The protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) has also been shown to participate in the inhibition of Akt (Beaulieu et al., 2005). Importantly, there is evidence for a regulation of Akt activity by PP2A in response to GPCR stimulation. Activation of the dopamine receptor 2 (D2R) has been shown to stimulate the inactivation of Akt by PP2A (Beaulieu et al., 2005; Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011), therefore providing a mechanism through which GPCR action can inhibit Akt in response to extracellular signals.

As a general mechanism, activation of GPCR leads to the activation of their cognate G proteins, which is rapidly followed by homologous receptor desensitization. This latter process involves the phosphorylation of the GPCR by GPCR kinases and the recruitment of the adaptor proteins β-arrestin 1 and β-arrestin 2 (βArr2) (Lohse et al., 1990; Ferguson et al., 1996). The recruitment of β-arrestins to GPCR leads to an uncoupling of the G protein and to clathrin mediated receptor internalization. However, β-arrestins can also participate to GPCR signaling by acting as molecular scaffolds for signaling molecules such as kinases and phosphatases (Luttrell et al., 1999; Luttrell and Gesty-Palmer, 2010). In the specific case of D2R, activation of the receptor by dopamine promotes the formation of a signaling complex composed of Akt, βArr2, and PP2A, which favor the dephosphorylation of Akt on Thr 308 and Akt inactivation. This in turn results in an activation of GSK3 by dopamine (Beaulieu et al., 2004, 2005). This mechanism of D2R signaling appears to play important roles in the regulation of locomotor behavior and sensory motor gating by dopamine (Beaulieu et al., 2004; Emamian et al., 2004).

It could also contribute to the therapeutic and/or adverse effects of psychoactive drugs like amphetamines and antipsychotics that act on dopamine neurotransmission (Emamian et al., 2004; Masri et al., 2008; Beaulieu et al., 2009).

**DIRECT AND INDIRECT REGULATION OF GSK3 BY LITHIUM**

In 1996, two independent studies (Klein and Melton, 1996; Stambolic et al., 1996) of the effects of lithium on cell signaling and development have identified a direct effect of lithium on the activity of GSK3 both in vitro and in cells. However, the therapeutic relevance of this finding has been unclear mostly...
because the high $K_i$ values of lithium for GSK3β ($\sim$3.5 mM) and GSK3β ($\sim$2.0 mM) are superior to therapeutic lithium serum concentrations in humans (0.5–1.2 mM) (Phiel and Klein, 2001; Gould et al., 2004; Beaulieu and Caron, 2008; Beaulieu et al., 2008). However, these values can be affected by experimental conditions including the availability of Mg$^{2+}$ ions in the assay system. Indeed, one hypothesis explaining the inhibition of GSK3 by lithium is that Li$^+$ ions act as uncompetitive inhibitors for the binding of the co-factor magnesium to GSK3 (Ryves and Harwood, 2001). Magnesium and lithium share similar ionic radii (0.072 and 0.076 nm, respectively) and it has been suggested long ago (Birch, 1974) that this may explain several of the biochemical effects of lithium since Mg$^{2+}$ is a cofactor for multiple enzymes (Figure 1). The proposed competitive mechanism of inhibition by lithium predicts that the in vivo inhibition of GSK3 may be greater than inhibition levels observed in vitro at optimal concentrations of magnesium ions (Ryves and Harwood, 2001).

In addition to direct inhibition, lithium can also affect both isoforms of GSK3 indirectly by activating Akt (Figure 2). This results in an increased phosphorylation/inactivation of these kinases by Akt in cultured neurons (Chalecka-Franaszek and Chuang, 1999). A similar activation of Akt by lithium has also been found in the striatum, frontal cortex, and hippocampus of rodents following either acute or chronic treatment with lithium under conditions resulting in lithium brain concentrations that are compatible with therapeutic serum concentrations in humans (De Sarno et al., 2002; Beaulieu et al., 2004).

The activation of Akt by lithium is explained in part by an effect of lithium on the regulation of Akt by βArr2 and the D2R. Co-immunoprecipitation studies conducted both in vitro and in vivo, have shown that the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex can be dissociated in response to therapeutically relevant lithium concentrations, thus providing a mechanism for the activation of Akt by lithium (Beaulieu et al., 2008). In support to this, both chronic and acute lithium treatment failed to activate Akt and increase GSK3 phosphorylation in mice lacking βArr2, in which the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex cannot be formed (Beaulieu et al., 2008). Furthermore, lithium also failed to regulate GSK3 phosphorylation in mice with reduced Akt1 activity (Pan et al., 2011). The exact detailed mechanism through which lithium interferes with the formation of the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex is only partially understood. In vitro experiments with recombinant purified Akt1 and βArr2 suggest that the interactions of these two proteins and thus complex formation, requires magnesium (Beaulieu et al., 2008). Therefore, competition between lithium and magnesium could underlie the instability of the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex in the presence of lithium (Birch, 1974; Beaulieu et al., 2008).

The direct inhibition of GSK3 by lithium also appears to contribute to the activation of Akt (Figure 2). Early experiments conducted in cells have suggested that GSK3 may promote its own activation by preventing the activation of Akt (Zhang et al., 2003). GSK3β has also been shown to interact with βArr2 (Beaulieu et al., 2005). Experiments conducted using transgenic mice over-expressing frog GSK3β in neurons, suggest the existence of a feed forward mechanism by which GSK3 promotes its own activation by stabilizing the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex (O’Brien et al., 2011). According to this model, direct inhibition of GSK3 would constitute an additional mechanism that can promote the disassembly of the Akt:βArr2:PP2A signaling complex in response to lithium. Interestingly, such feedback effects resulting from GSK3 inhibition may also contribute to strengthen the effects of lithium under other conditions. For instance there is evidence that GSK3 can regulate its own activation by phosphorylation of the Thy 279/Thy 216 residues (Lochhead et al., 2006). In addition, GSK3 can dampen PI3K signaling by destabilizing the insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) protein that contributes to PI3K and Akt activation downstream of the insulin receptor (Eldar-Finkelman and Krebs, 1997; Liberman et al., 2008; Waraich et al., 2008). Therefore, it would be interesting to examine how such effects of GSK3 inhibition at the level of signaling networks can contribute to the amplification and maintenance of the effects of lithium in different cell types or organs.

**EVIDENCE FOR A ROLE OF AKT AND GSK3 SIGNALING IN THE BEHAVIORAL EFFECTS OF LITHIUM**

To our knowledge, there is no human study implicating GSK3 or any of the other potential molecular targets of lithium in its therapeutic effects. Furthermore, research has been hindered by a lack of a good animal model of bipolar disorder with construct validity. However, behavioral tests with predictive validity for antimanic or antidepressant drug effects have been used...
Interestingly, inhibition of GSK3 activity seems to replicate both the “antidepressant” and “an antimanic” like effects of these drugs in rat and mice, at least in some genetic backgrounds (Beaulieu et al., 2004, 2008; Kaidanovich-Beilin et al., 2004; O’Brien et al., 2004; Mines et al., 2010).

While reduction of GSK3 activity does replicate several behavioral effects of lithium it remains improbable that direct inhibition of GSK3 by lithium solely explains its effects on behavior. One major reason for this is that lithium concentrations in excess of therapeutic concentrations are often necessary to inhibit GSK3 in cell based assays (Kremer et al., 2011). Furthermore, molecules acting upstream of GSK3 also appear to be essential for the behavioral effects of lithium. Indeed, βArr2-KO mice, in which lithium does not affect the phosphorylation of Akt (Thr 308) and GSK3β (Ser 9), have been shown not to respond behaviorally to either chronic or acute lithium treatment (Beaulieu et al., 2008). Similarly, a recent study conducted on different strains of inbred mice has shown that lithium fails to affect the phosphorylation of Thr 308 Akt in DBA/2J mice (Pan et al., 2011). This lack of an effect of lithium on Akt phosphorylation was accompanied by a lack of antimanic and antidepressant like behavioral responses to lithium in these mice. Importantly, increased expression of Akt1 using a herpes simplex (HSV) viral vectors in the brain of DBA/2J mice restored lithium sensitivity (Pan et al., 2011). Therefore, not only βArr2 but also Akt1 would be necessary for the regulation of GSK3β Ser 9 phosphorylation and behavior by lithium. However, the effects of lithium have not been tested in these behavioral paradigms in mice lacking a functional Akt1 gene and it remains possible that the effects observed in DBA/2J mice might be associated to other characteristics of this genetic background.

The overall picture emerging from several studies of the role of GSK3 in the effects of lithium suggests a contribution of this kinase to at least some of its actions on behavior. However, this is based mostly on studies conducted with rodents using tests that were developed to predict the “an antimanic” or “antidepressant” like effects of drugs. In the absence of a better understanding of the etiology of bipolar disorder, it may be more difficult to establish the exact contribution of GSK3 inhibition to the treatment of this psychiatric condition. That being said, a convergence of pharmacological and genetic evidences also supports the role of GSK3 in mood regulation as well as in the action of several drugs used for the management of psychiatric illnesses (Beaulieu et al., 2011; Jope, 2011). In this context, a contribution of GSK3 inhibition to the clinical effects of lithium provides a working model that may explain its relative clinical selectivity as well as its efficacy as an augmentation agent for other psychoactive drugs.

**CONVERGENCE OF ACTIONS, MULTIPLE HITS, AND TARGET SELECTIVITY**

The Akt1/GSK3 pathway sits at a convergence of several signaling mechanisms that have been associated to mental disorders (Figure 1). Among those, partial loss of function mutations in the genes encoding cognate ligands for the RTKs tropomyosin-receptor-kinaseß (TrkB) and epidermal growth factor receptor family 4 (ErbB-4) have been associated with enhanced risk for bipolar disorder, depression and schizophrenia (Neves-Pereira et al., 2002; Law et al., 2007; Jaaro-Peled et al., 2009; Schmidt and Duman, 2010). By reducing the activation of PI3K signaling, such genetic variation should result in a reduction in the activity of Akt and increased GSK3 activation. Similarly, genetic variation affecting the well-established negative regulator of GSK3—Akt1—and of potential negative GSK3 regulator—disrupted in schizophrenia 1 (Disc1)—have also been associated with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia (Emamian et al., 2004; Chubb et al., 2008; Kvajo et al., 2008; Mao et al., 2009). This suggests that several genetic risk factors for mental illnesses could lead to an increase in brain GSK3 activity. In contrast, lithium, antidepressant, and several antipsychotics have been shown to increase the inhibitory N-terminal phosphorylation of brain GSK3 in rodents (Beaulieu et al., 2004, 2009; Emamian et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004). Taken together, these observations suggest that inhibition of GSK3 is a plausible model for the action of lithium that may allow to reconcile its therapeutic effects with disease etiology, at least in some patient populations. Furthermore, this model predicts that lithium and several other psychoactive drugs may have an additive effect on GSK3 by inhibiting its activity through different mechanisms that would complement and/or facilitate each other. Such a “multiple hit” inhibition of GSK3 by several drugs acting through different mechanisms may be one of the reasons underlying their mutual reinforcing effects in the clinic.

Interestingly, lithium also appears to inhibit GSK3 in vivo through a combination of mechanisms including its direct and indirect inhibition following Akt activation (Figure 2). This second type of inactivation mechanism would involve the disruption of a protein complex that is held together by βArr2 and stabilized by GSK3 (Beaulieu et al., 2008; O’Brien et al., 2011). While the direct inhibition of GSK3 by lithium is a relatively simple general mode of action that can affect all cells, its inhibition by an Akt/βArr2 dependent mechanism points toward the possibility that lithium may inhibit GSK3 with varying levels of efficacy in different cellular/neuronal populations. Indeed, the formation of βArr2 signaling complexes is dependent upon the activation of GPCRs (Luttrell et al., 1999) and such complex would only exist in cells that express the specific receptors (e.g., the D2R) that are responsible for their formation. By disrupting a βArr2 signaling complex that is responsible for the inhibition of Akt, lithium may, therefore, have a stronger effect on GSK3 activity in cells in which this complex is formed. In this case, targeting this complex may confer some level of selectivity, which may explain why lithium can be useful in the treatment of bipolar disorders without significantly disrupting GSK3 mediated physiological functions in the whole organism.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Lithium’s mechanism of therapeutic action remains a mystery, more than 60 years after its first use for the treatment of mania in a clinical context. This situation has seriously prevented the development of improved pharmacotherapies for bipolar disorder. Some of the data reported in this review indicate that inhibition of GSK3 either directly or—more possibly—indirectly is a credible mechanism that may be responsible for some of lithium’s actions.
However, this remains not solidly established and several additional studies will be needed to clarify the exact contribution of GSK3 inhibition in lithium therapy.

A first important question is the role played by GSK3 inhibition in the effects of lithium in humans. Studies conducted over the past decade have relied mostly on studies conducted in vertebrates. While this approach is powerful and allows for a comparison between molecular and behavioral effects of lithium, it nevertheless remains analogical and correlational. Most of the behavioral tests used in animals have good predictive validity for a first evaluation of anamnestic or antidepressant like drug effects (Crawley, 2008). However, these tests certainly do not reflect the complex and variable symptoms of bipolar disorder in humans.

A logical next step of investigation could be to combine studies of drug responsiveness in human subjects with different genetic risk factors that can affect GSK3 activity. In this regard, recent studies have shown a possible association between a GSK3β promoter polymorphism and responsiveness to lithium (Benedetti et al., 2004; Adli et al., 2007; Serretti et al., 2008). However to be truly convincing such studies should be replicated across independent laboratories and expanded to several risk factors.

The study of GSK3’s involvement in humans would also be facilitated by the development of surrogate biomarkers for central nervous system GSK3 activity. The development of such markers would allow monitoring the activity of GSK3 in patients in correlation with disease states and responsiveness to treatment. In line with this, a study has shown that GSK3β phosphorylation in peripheral blood cells can be used as an indicator of responsiveness to treatment (Li et al., 2007). However, this approach has several limitations as it remains biochemical and not functional. Future investigations may add to this by establishing correlates between brain GSK3 activity and, for instance, physiological/electrophysiological response in peripheral tissue or changes in brain activity/physiology that can be monitored by magnetic resonance imaging (Blasi et al., 2011).

In addition to human studies, investigations in mammals can be expanded to address several questions in rodents and eventually non-human primates. Among questions that can be addressed in this way is the identification of molecular targets that are responsible for the impact of GSK3 activity on behavior. Furthermore, it would be important to establish neuroanatomical correlates of GSK3 activity and behavioral regulation. Finally, animal models probably remain the most powerful tool at our disposal to understand how lithium acts not on a single molecular target but on several interconnected cellular signaling networks to produce its therapeutic actions. For instance, the action of lithium on both GSK3 and a βArr2 signaling complex that regulates and is regulated by GSK3 suggests that lithium may affect GSK3 activity differently in cells that express this signaling complex. To our knowledge, only the D2R has been shown to trigger the formation of this complex. This is interesting as this receptor is also a major pharmacological target of antipsychotics (Snyder, 1976; Roth et al., 2004). The relevance of this to the therapeutic selectivity of lithium and its combined action with antipsychotics can be further explored by identifying the complement of GPCRs that are responsible for the formation of this complex and the impact of these receptors on lithium actions in vivo. Similarly, lithium does not only affect GSK3 activity but has several other molecular targets that are also involved in cell signaling (Gould and Manji, 2002; Beaulieu and Caron, 2008). It would thus be of interest to use animal models to explore the possible involvement of modulations of cell signaling landscape involving several of these targets in the overall behavioral effects of lithium. Such an approach may reveal unexpected and important new information that would not be obtainable using a research program aimed at identifying a single molecular target while excluding all others.

Overall, recent studies of the involvement of GSK3 in the action of lithium have established a strong correlation between GSK3 activity and the regulation of behavior. They have also indicated that lithium is regulating GSK3 not only directly but also through more complex network effects affecting more than one molecular target at a time. In the near future, a continuation of these studies in animal models and the establishment of strong associations with human data may allow furthering this knowledge. This could lead to the development of an understanding of the role of GSK3 as well as that of other molecular targets of lithium in its relatively specific effects on several mental illnesses. Such knowledge may be the key to the development of new, safer mood stabilizers having better efficacy and fewer side effects.
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