INVERSE PROBLEM FOR FRACTIONAL ORDER PSEUDO-PARABOLIC EQUATION WITH INVOLUTION
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Abstract. In this paper, we consider an inverse problem on recovering the right-hand side of a fractional pseudo-parabolic equation with an involution operator. The major obstacle for considering the inverse problems is related with the well-posedness of the problem. Inverse problems are often ill-posed. For example, the inverse heat equation, deducing a previous distribution of temperature from final data, is not well-posed since the solution is highly sensitive to variations in the final data.

The advantage of this paper is two-fold. On the one hand, we investigate the solvability of the direct problem and prove the solvability to this problem. On the other hand, we study the inverse problem based on this direct problem and prove the solvability results in this problem, too.

First, we investigate the Cauchy problem for the time-fractional pseudo-parabolic equation with the involution operator, and secondly, we consider the inverse problem on recovering the right-hand side from an overdetermined final condition and prove that it is solvable.

To achieve our goals, we use methods corresponding to different areas of mathematics such as the theory of partial differential equations, mathematical physics, and functional analysis. In particular, we use the $L$-Fourier analysis method to establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to this problem on the Sobolev space.

The classical and generalized solutions of the inverse problem are studied.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we study the inverse problem for the nonlocal pseudo-parabolic equation with an involution of the space variable $x$. We investigate the equation

$$
\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha [u(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x)] - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x) = f(x),
$$

for

$$(t,x) \in \Omega = \{0 < t < T < \infty, \ 0 < x < \pi\}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ is the Caputo derivative (see [14]) for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha := \partial_t$ for $\alpha = 1$. In $L^2(0,\pi)$ we consider a second order differential operator generated by the differential expression

$$
\mathcal{L}(u) = -u''(x) + \varepsilon u''(\pi - x), \quad 0 < x < \pi,
$$
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subject to the boundary conditions
\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u(\pi) = 0, \quad (1.3) \]
where \(|\varepsilon| < 1, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}\). We can check easily that the introduced operator is self-adjoint (see \([1, 13, 28]\)). For all \(|\varepsilon| < 1\), nonlocal problem \((1.2), (1.3)\) has the following eigenvalues:
\[ \lambda_{2k} = 4(1 + \varepsilon)k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2k+1} = (1 - \varepsilon)(2k + 1)^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \]
and the corresponding system of eigenfunctions
\[ u_{2k}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin 2kx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]
\[ u_{2k+1}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (2k + 1)x, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.4) \]

As a motivation, we mention that pseudo-parabolic equations have numerous applications in sciences and engineering. For example, the energy functions of the isotropic materials can be expressed as solutions of pseudo-parabolic equations \([5]\). Some wave processes \([3]\), filtration of the two-phase flow in porous media with the dynamic capillary pressure \([4]\) are also modeled by pseudo-parabolic equations. The time-fractional pseudo-parabolic equation \((1.1)\) occurs in studying flows of the Oldroyd-B fluid, one of the most important classes of dilute solutions of polymers \([7, 27]\).

The study of inverse problems for pseudo-parabolic equations was initiated in the 1980s. The first result obtained by Rundell \([22]\) refers to the inverse identification problems for an unknown source function \(f\) in the following equation
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[u(t, x) + Lu(t, x)] + Lu(t, x) = f, \quad (1.5) \]
where \(L\) is an even order linear differential operator. Rundell proved global existence and uniqueness theorems for then cases when \(f\) depends either only on \(x\) or only on \(t\).

The inverse problems on identifying the right hand sides of the pseudo-parabolic equations from a local over-determination condition have important applications in various areas of applied sciences and engineering. Inverse source problems for the diffusion, sub-diffusion and for other types of equations are well studied. In this area some recent progresses have been done in the series of articles, see, for example, \([1, 2, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 21, 23, 24, 26, 28]\). However, inverse problems for pseudo-parabolic equations and for their fractional analogues have been studied relatively less, see \([8, 11, 17–19, 22]\). In our paper, we aim to fill this gap.

For more information on pseudo-parabolic equations, we refer to a book by Demidenko and Uspenskii \([6]\) and the references therein.

2. DIRECT PROBLEM

Before formulating a problem, we first introduce fractional differentiation operators.

**Definition 2.1.** The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral \(I^\alpha\) of order \(0 < \alpha < 1\) for an integrable function \(f\) is defined by the formula
\[ I^\alpha[f](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_c^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1}f(s)ds, \quad t \in [c, d], \]
where \(\Gamma\) is the Euler gamma function.
The Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ of a differentiable function $f$ is defined by the formula
\[
D_\alpha^t[f](t) = I^{1-\alpha}[f'(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_c^t \frac{f'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \quad t \in [c,d].
\]

Further information on fractional derivatives can be found in [14].

In what follows, we widely use the properties of the Mittag-Leffler type function (see [16]), which is introduced as
\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{z^m}{\Gamma(\alpha m + \beta)}.
\]

In [25], the following estimate for the Mittag-Leffler function was proved:
\[
\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1-\alpha)z} \leq E_{\alpha,1}(-z) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1+\alpha)z^\alpha}, \quad z > 0,
\]
as $0 < \alpha < 1$ and this estimate fails for $\alpha \geq 1$. Thus, it follows that
\[
0 < E_{\alpha,1}(z) < 1, \quad z > 0. \tag{2.1}
\]

**Definition 2.2.** The space $W^{2,2}[0,\pi]$ is a Hilbert one consisting of all elements of $L^2[0,\pi]$ having generalized derivatives up to order 2 in $L^2$, i.e.
\[
W^{2,2}[0,\pi] = \{ f \in L^2[0,\pi] \mid \ f', f'' \in L^2[0,\pi] \}
\]
and the norm is defined by
\[
\| f \|_{W^{2,2}[0,\pi]}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^2 \left\| \frac{d^i f}{dx^i} \right\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2.
\]

- $W_0^{2,2}[0,\pi]$ is the subspace $W^{2,2}[0,\pi]$ defined as the closure with respect to $\| \cdot \|_{W^{2,2}[0,\pi]}$ of all twice continuously differentiable in $[0,\pi]$ functions vanishing at the points 0 and $\pi$.
- The $L^2$-scalar product of two functions $f, g : [0,\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by
\[
(f, g)_{L^2} = \int_0^\pi f(x)g(x)dx.
\]

In this section, we study the Cauchy problem for the pseudo-parabolic equation
\[
D_\alpha^t[u(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x)] - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x) = f(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}
\]
with the initial data
\[
u(0,x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0,\pi], \tag{2.3}
\]
and the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions
\[
u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \tag{2.4}
\]
where $\Omega := \{ 0 < t < T < \infty, \ 0 < x < \pi \}$, $f(t,x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are given functions.

The following statement holds true.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $|\varepsilon| < 1$, $f \in C([0,T];C^2[0,\pi])$ and $f(t,0) = f(t,\pi) = 0$, $\varphi \in C^4[0,\pi]$ and $\varphi^{(i)}(0) = \varphi^{(i)}(\pi) = 0$, $i = 0, 2$. Then there exists a unique regular solution $u \in C^1([0,T];C^2[0,\pi])$ of problem (2.2)–(2.4) and this solution can be written in the form
\[
u(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty w_k(t) \sin(2k+1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty v_k(t) \sin 2kx,
\]
where
\[ w_k(t) = \varphi_{1k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k+1}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^1(t - s) ds, \]
\[ v_k(t) = \varphi_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^2(t - s) ds, \]
for \( t \in [0, T] \) and for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where
\[ w_{1k} = (\varphi, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \varphi_{1k} = (\varphi, u_{2k})_{L^2}, \]
\[ f_k^1(t) = (f(t, \cdot), u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad f_k^2(t) = (f(t, \cdot), u_{2k})_{L^2}. \]

Proof. We begin with proving the existence. Since the system of eigenfunctions \( 1.4 \) is an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(0, \pi) \), we seek the function \( u(t, x) \) in the form
\[ u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty w_k(t) \sin(2k + 1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty v_k(t) \sin 2kx, \quad (2.5) \]
where \( w_k(t) \) and \( v_k(t) \) are unknown functions. Substituting equation \( 2.5 \) into equations \( 2.2 \), \( 2.3 \), we obtain the following equations corresponding to the functions \( w_k(t) \) and \( v_k(t) \):
\[ D_t^\alpha w_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} w_k(t) = \frac{f_k^1(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.6) \]
\[ w_k(0) = \varphi_{1k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.7) \]
and
\[ D_t^\alpha v_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} v_k(t) = \frac{f_k^2(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.8) \]
\[ v_k(0) = \varphi_{2k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.9) \]

According to \( 16 \), the solutions of equations \( 2.6 \) and \( 2.8 \) satisfying initial conditions \( 2.7 \) and \( 2.9 \) can be represented in the form
\[ w_k(t) = \varphi_{1k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k+1}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^1(t - s) ds, \quad (2.10) \]
and
\[ v_k(t) = \varphi_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^2(t - s) ds, \quad (2.11) \]
for \( t \in [0, T] \) and for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Substituting \( 2.10 \) and \( 2.11 \) into \( 2.5 \), we obtain a solution of problem \( 2.2 \)-\( 2.4 \).

Now we are going to prove the convergence of the obtained infinite series corresponding to the functions \( u(t, x) \), \( D_t^\alpha u(t, x) \), \( u_{xx}(t, x) \) and \( D_t^\alpha u_{xx}(t, x) \).

By the assumptions of the theorem we have
\[ \varphi^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad \varphi^{(i)}(\pi) = 0, \quad i = 0, 2, \quad f(t, 0) = f(t, \pi) = 0. \]
This implies that

\[
\varphi_{1k} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2k + 1)^4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi^{(4)}(x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx = \frac{1}{(2k + 1)^4} \varphi_{1k}^{(4)},
\]

(2.12)

\[
\varphi_{2k} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) \sin 2k x dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{16k^4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi^{(4)}(x) \sin 2k x dx = \frac{1}{16k^4} \varphi_{2k}^{(4)},
\]

\[
f_{1k}^{1}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f(t, x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{(2k + 1)^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f''(t, x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx = -\frac{1}{(2k + 1)^2} f_{1k}^{1}(t),
\]

(2.13)

\[
f_{2k}^{2}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f(t, x) \sin 2k x dx
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{4k^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f''(t, x) \sin 2k x dx = -\frac{1}{4k^2} f_{2k}^{2}(t).
\]

Here \(\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}, \varphi_{2k}^{(4)}, f_{k}^{1(2)}(t)\) and \(f_{k}^{2(2)}(t)\) are

\[
\varphi_{1k}^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi^{(4)}(x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx,
\]

\[
\varphi_{2k}^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \varphi^{(4)}(x) \sin 2k x dx,
\]

\[
f_{k}^{1(2)}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f''(t, x) \sin(2k + 1) x dx,
\]

\[
f_{k}^{2(2)}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f''(t, x) \sin 2k x dx,
\]

where

\[
f''(t, x) = \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(t, x).
\]

Our next step is to calculate \(D_t^\alpha u(t, x), u_{x}(t, x)\) and \(D_t^\alpha u_{xx}(t, x)\). We have:

\[
D_t^\alpha u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty D_t^\alpha w_k(t) \sin(2k + 1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty D_t^\alpha v_k(t) \sin 2k x.
\]

(2.14)

By (2.6) and (2.8) we find \(D_t^\alpha w_k(t)\) and \(D_t^\alpha v_k(t)\):

\[
D_t^\alpha w_k(t) = \frac{f_k^1(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} - \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} w_k(t),
\]

(2.15)

and

\[
D_t^\alpha v_k(t) = \frac{f_k^2(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} - \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} v_k(t).
\]

(2.16)
Applying the operator $\partial^{12}_{12}$ (2.13), we have

Substituting (2.15), (2.16) into (2.14) and taking into consideration formulae (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), we get:

$$D^\alpha_t u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{f_k^{1(2)}(t)}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})(2k+1)^2} \sin(2k+1)x - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{f_k^{2(2)}(t)}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})4k^2} \sin 2kx$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})(2k+1)^2} \varphi_{1k}^{(4)} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right) \sin(2k+1)x$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\sin(2k+1)x}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})(2k+1)^2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{1(2)}(t - s)ds$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1 + \varepsilon) \sin 2kx}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})4k^2} \varphi_{2k}^{(4)} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right)$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sin 2kx}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})4k^2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{2(2)}(t - s)ds. \tag{2.17}$$

Applying the operator $\partial^2_{2x^2}$ to (2.5) and taking into consideration formulae (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), we have

$$u_{xx}(t, x) = -\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}}{(2k+1)^2} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right) \sin(2k+1)x$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\sin(2k+1)x}{\lambda_{2k+1}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{1(2)}(t - s)ds$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\varphi_{2k}^{(4)}}{4k^2} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right) \sin 2kx$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sin 2kx}{\lambda_{2k}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{2(2)}(t - s)ds. \tag{2.18}$$

Finally, applying the operator $\partial^2_{2x^2}$ to (2.17), we have

$$D^\alpha_t u_{xx}(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{f_k^{1(2)}(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \sin(2k+1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{f_k^{2(2)}(t)}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \sin 2kx$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \varphi_{1k}^{(4)} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right) \sin(2k+1)x$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{1(2)}(t - s)ds \right] \sin(2k+1)x \tag{2.19}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1 + \varepsilon) \sin 2kx}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \varphi_{2k}^{(4)} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right) \sin 2kx$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^{2(2)}(t - s)ds \right] \sin 2kx.$$
Let us to show the convergence of the integral term:

\[
\left| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^i(t-s) ds \right|
\leq \left| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) |f_k^i(t-s)| ds \right|
\leq \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} |f_k^i(t-s)| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) |ds|
\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_k^i(t)| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) |ds.
\]  

(2.20)

Hereinafter we suppose that \( i = 1, 2 \) and if \( i = 1 \), then \( \xi = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), while if \( i = 2 \), then \( \xi = 2k, k \in \mathbb{N} \). In the above estimates, the integrand is the derivative of the Mittag-Leffler function, which is a non-positive function since

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) = -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s \right) \leq 0.
\]  

(2.21)

It is known that

\[ E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-z) > 0, \quad z > 0, \]

see, for instance, [20]. Hence,

\[
\int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) ds = \left\| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) ds \right\|.
\]  

(2.22)

Substituting the latter relations into (2.20) and employing (2.1), we obtain:

\[
\left| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} s^\alpha \right) \right) f_k^i(t-s) ds \right| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_k^i(t)| \left( 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_\xi}{1 + \lambda_\xi} t \right) \right)
\leq C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_k^i(t)|.
\]  

(2.23)

Using the estimates (2.1), (2.23) and taking into consideration the formulae (2.12), (2.13), we arrive at the following inequality:

\[
\| u \|_{C_t,\Omega(\mathfrak{M})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{(2k+1)^4} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{16k^4}
+ C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{1(2)}(t)|}{\lambda_{2k+1}(2k+1)^2} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{2(2)}(t)|}{\lambda_{2k}4k^2}.
\]  

(2.24)

By formula (2.17), we have

\[
\| \mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} u \|_{C_t,\Omega(\mathfrak{M})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})(2k+1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})4k^2}
+ C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{1(2)}(t)|}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})(2k+1)^2} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{2(2)}(t)|}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})4k^2}.
\]  

(2.25)
It follows from formula (2.18) that
\[
\|u_{xx}\|_{C_{t,x}(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{(2k + 1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{4k^2} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{1(2)}(t)|}{\lambda_{2k+1}} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{2(2)}(t)|}{\lambda_{2k}},
\]
(2.26)
and by the formula (2.19), we have
\[
\|D_t^\alpha u_{xx}\|_{C_{t,x}(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{1(2)}(t)|}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f_k^{2(2)}(t)|}{1 + \lambda_{2k}}.
\]
(2.27)
Hence, series (2.24), (2.25), (2.26) and (2.27) converge absolutely and uniformly in the domain \(\Omega\).

Now we are in a position to show the uniqueness of the solutions. Let \(w(t,x)\) and \(v(x,t)\) be solutions of problem (2.2)–(2.4), that is,
\[
D_t^\alpha (w(t,x) - w_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon w_{xx}(t,\pi - x)) - w_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon w_{xx}(t,\pi - x) = f(x,t), \quad w(0, x) = \varphi(x),
\]
\[
D_t^\alpha (v(t,x) - v_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon v_{xx}(t,\pi - x)) - v_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon v_{xx}(t,\pi - x) = f(x,t), \quad v(0, x) = \varphi(x).
\]
By subtracting these equations one from the other and denoting \(u(t,x) = w(t,x) - v(t,x)\), we obtain that
\[
D_t^\alpha [u(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x)] - u_{xx}(t,x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t,\pi - x) = 0,
\]
(2.28)
\[
u(0, x) = 0.
\]
(2.29)
We also have
\[
w_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi u(t,x) \sin(2k + 1)x \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]
(2.30)
\[
v_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi u(t,x) \sin(2kx) \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
(2.31)
Applying the operator \(D_t^\alpha\) to (2.30), we obtain
\[
D_t^\alpha w_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi D_t^\alpha u(t,x) \sin(2k + 1)x \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]
(2.32)
\[
D_t^\alpha v_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi D_t^\alpha u(t,x) \sin(2kx) \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
We multiply both sides of equations (2.28), (2.29) by the functions \(\sin(2k + 1)x\), \(\sin 2kx\) and integrate in the variable \(x\) from 0 to \(\pi\). Taking into consideration the self-adjointness of the nonlocal operator \(\mathcal{L}\), and by (2.30)–(2.31), we have
\[
D_t^\alpha w_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} w_k(t) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]
(2.32)
\[
w_k(0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]
(2.33)
and
\[ D_t^\alpha v_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} v_k(t) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]  
\[ v_k(0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \]  
(2.34)  
(2.35)

By formulae (2.10), (2.11) with \( \varphi_{1k} = 0, f^1_k(t) = 0, \varphi_{2k} = 0, f^2_k(t) = 0 \), the solutions of problem (2.32), (2.33) and (2.34), (2.35) read as \( w_k(t) = v_k(t) \equiv 0 \). Hence, by the completeness of the system \( u_{2k}(x), u_{2k+1}(x) \) in \( L^2 \), we obtain \( u(x, t) \equiv 0 \). \( \square \)

2.1. Generalized solution. In this section we provide a solution to the direct problem with data from Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( |\varepsilon| < 1, \ f \in C([0, T]; L^2[0, \pi]) \) and \( \varphi \in W^{2,2}_0[0, \pi] \). Then there exists a unique generalized solution \( u \in C^1([0, T]; W^{2,2}_0[0, \pi]) \) of problem (2.2)–(2.4) and it can be written as

\[ u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty w_k(t) \sin(2k+1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty v_k(t) \sin 2kx, \]

where

\[ w_k(t) = \varphi_{1k} E_{\alpha,1} - \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha + \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k+1}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} - \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} s^\alpha \right) f^1_k(t-s) ds, \]

and

\[ v_k(t) = \varphi_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} - \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha + \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k}} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \left( E_{\alpha,1} - \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} s^\alpha \right) f^2_k(t-s) ds, \]

for all \( t \in [0, T] \) and for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where

\[ \varphi_{1k} = (\varphi, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \varphi_{2k} = (\varphi, u_{2k})_{L^2}, \]

\[ f^1_k(t) = (f(t, \cdot), u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad f^2_k(t) = (f(t, \cdot), u_{2k})_{L^2}. \]

**Proof.** Using property (2.1), we get the following estimates:

\[ ||u||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])} \leq C||\varphi||^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{|f^1_k(t)|^2}{\lambda_{2k+1}^2} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|f^2_k(t)|^2}{\lambda_{2k}^2}, \]

\[ ||D^\alpha_t u||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])} \leq C||\varphi||^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{|f^1_k(t)|^2}{(1 + \lambda_{2k+1})^2} + C \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|f^2_k(t)|^2}{(1 + \lambda_{2k})^2}, \]

\[ ||u_{xx}||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])} \leq C||\varphi_{xx}||^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} + \frac{C}{(1 - |\varepsilon|)^2} ||f||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])}, \]

\[ ||D^\alpha_t u_{xx}||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])} \leq C||\varphi_{xx}||^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} + C ||f||^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2[0,\pi])}. \]

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we complete the proof. \( \square \)

3. INVERSE PROBLEM

In this Section, we study an inverse problem for the pseudo–parabolic equation (1.1).

**Problem 3.1.** Find a pair of functions \((u(t, x), f(x))\) satisfying equation (1.1), the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

\[ u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, \]

(3.1)

and an initial condition

\[ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \]

(3.2)
with an additional condition

\[ u(T, x) = \psi(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \]  

(3.3)

where \( \varphi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) are sufficiently smooth given functions.

Using the Fourier method, one can check the unique solvability of this problem. A regular solution of problem 3.1 is a pair of functions \((u(t, x), f(x))\), where \( u \in C^1([0, T], C^2([0, \pi])) \) and \( f \in C^2([0, \pi]) \). A generalized solution of problem 3.1 is a pair of functions \((u(t, x), f(x))\), where \( u \in C^1([0, T], W^{2,2}([0, \pi])) \) and \( f \in L^2([0, \pi]) \).

3.1. Main results. For equation (1.1) with conditions (3.1)–(3.3) the following theorem holds true.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( T > 0, |\varepsilon| < 1, \varphi, \psi \in C^4[0, \pi] \) and

\[ \varphi^{(i)}(0) = \varphi^{(i)}(\pi) = \psi^{(i)}(0) = \psi^{(i)}(\pi) = 0, \quad i = 0, 2. \]

Then there exists a unique regular solution \( u \in C^1([0, T], C^2[0, \pi]), f \in C^2[0, \pi] \) of problem 3.1 and it can be written as

\[
\begin{aligned}
    u(t, x) &= \varphi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha,1}}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1})} \sin(2k + 1)x (\varphi^{(2)}_{1k} - \psi^{(2)}_{1k}) \\
    &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha,1}}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1})} \sin 2kx (\varphi^{(2)}_{2k} - \psi^{(2)}_{2k}),
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
    f(x) &= - \varphi_{xx}(x) + \varepsilon \varphi_{xx}(\pi - x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1})} \sin(2k + 1)x (\varphi^{(2)}_{1k} - \psi^{(2)}_{1k}) \\
    &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1})} \sin 2kx,
\end{aligned}
\]

for \((t, x) \in \Omega\), where

\[ \varphi^{(2)}_{1k} = (\varphi_{xx}, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \varphi^{(2)}_{2k} = (\varphi_{xx}, u_{2k})_{L^2}, \quad \psi^{(2)}_{1k} = (\psi_{xx}, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \psi^{(2)}_{2k} = (\psi_{xx}, u_{2k})_{L^2}. \]

**Proof.** We begin with proving the existence. Since the eigenfunctions (1.4) form an orthonormal basis in \( L^2[0, \pi] \), we seek functions \( u(t, x) \) and \( f(x) \) in the form

\[ u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k(t) \sin(2k + 1)x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(t) \sin 2kx, \]

(3.4)

and

\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^1_k \sin(2k + 1)x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^2_k \sin 2kx, \]

(3.5)

where \( w_k(t), v_k(t), f^1_k \) and \( f^2_k \) are unknown. Substituting equations (3.4) and (3.5) into equation (1.1), we obtain the following equations for the functions \( w_k(t), v_k(t) \) and the constants \( f^1_k, f^2_k \):

\[
D^\alpha_t w_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} w_k(t) = \frac{f^1_k}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}}, \quad D^\alpha_t v_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} v_k(t) = \frac{f^2_k}{1 + \lambda_{2k}}.
\]
Solving these equations, we obtain
\[ w_k(t) = \frac{f_1^k}{\lambda_{2k+1}} + C_{1k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right), \quad v_k(t) = \frac{f_2^k}{\lambda_{2k}} + C_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k} t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \right), \]
where the constants \( f_1^k, f_2^k, C_{1k} \) and \( C_{2k} \) are unknown. To find these constants, we use conditions (3.2) and (3.3). Let
\[ \varphi_{1k} = (\varphi, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \varphi_{2k} = (\varphi, u_{2k})_{L^2}, \quad \psi_{1k} = (\psi, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \psi_{2k} = (\psi, u_{2k})_{L^2}. \]
We first find \( C_{1k} \):
\[ w_k(0) = \frac{f_1^k}{\lambda_{2k+1}} + C_{1k} = \varphi_{1k}, \]
\[ w_k(T) = \frac{f_1^k}{\lambda_{2k+1}} + C_{1k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right) = \psi_{1k}. \]
Then we have
\[ C_{1k} = \frac{\varphi_{1k} - \psi_{1k}}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right)}. \]
The constant \( f_1^k \) is represented as
\[ f_1^k = \varphi_{1k} \lambda_{2k+1} - C_{1k} \lambda_{2k+1}. \]
Now we find \( C_{2k} \):
\[ v_k(0) = \frac{f_2^k}{\lambda_{2k}} + C_{2k} = \varphi_{2k}, \]
\[ v_k(T) = \frac{f_2^k}{\lambda_{2k}} + C_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \right) = \psi_{2k}, \]
\[ \varphi_{2k} - C_{2k} + C_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \right) = \psi_{2k}. \]
Then we obtain
\[ C_{2k} = \frac{\varphi_{2k} - \psi_{2k}}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \right)}. \]
For the constant \( f_2^k \) we find
\[ f_2^k = \varphi_{2k} \lambda_{2k} - C_{2k} \lambda_{2k}. \]
Substituting \( w_k(t), v_k(t), f_1^k \) and \( f_2^k \) into equations (3.4) and (3.5), we find
\[ \begin{align*}
    u(t, x) &= \varphi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty C_{1k} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right) - 1 \right) \sin(2k+1)x \\
    &+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty C_{2k} \left( E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k} t^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} \right) - 1 \right) \sin 2kx.
\end{align*} \]
By the assumption of the theorem we have
\[ \varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = \psi(0) = \psi(\pi) = 0. \]
This implies
\[ C_{1k} = \frac{\varphi_{1k} - \psi_{1k}}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right)} = -\frac{\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1} T^\alpha}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} \right)} (2k + 1)^2. \]
In the same way we obtain:

\[ C_{2k} = -\frac{\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}}{\left(1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha\right)\right) 4k^2}. \]

Then we get

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(t, x) &= \varphi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha\right)\right) \sin(2k + 1)x}{\left(1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha\right)\right) (2k + 1)^2} (\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}) \\
    &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha\right)\right) \sin 2kx}{\left(1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha\right)\right) 4k^2} (\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}).
\end{align*}
\]

(3.6)

As above, we also find that

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x) &= -\varphi_{xx}(x) + \varepsilon \varphi_{xx}(\pi - x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)(\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}) \sin(2k + 1)x}{1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha\right)} \\
    &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)(\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}) \sin 2kx}{1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha\right)}. \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
\]

The assumption \( T > 0 \) and the estimate (2.1) guarantee that the denominators in formulae (3.6) and (3.7) do not vanish. Moreover, there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ 1 - E_{\alpha,1}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{1+\lambda_{\xi}} T^\alpha\right) > C > 0, \tag{3.8} \]

for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

Let us calculate \( \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t, x), u_{xx}(t, x) \) and \( \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_{xx}(t, x) \). According to [16], we have

\[ \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = -\lambda E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha). \tag{3.9} \]
Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ to (3.6) and taking into consideration (3.9), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon) E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha \right)) (1 + \lambda_{2k+1})} (\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon) E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha \right)) (1 + \lambda_{2k})} (\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}) ,$$  

(3.10)

$$u_{xx}(t, x) = \varphi_{xx}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha \right))} (\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha \right))} (\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}) ,$$  

(3.11)

and we also have

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_{xx}(t, x) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{2k+1} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1+\lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha \right)) (1 + \lambda_{2k+1})} (\varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{2k} E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} t^\alpha \right)}{(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1+\lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha \right)) (1 + \lambda_{2k})} (\varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)}) ,$$  

(3.12)

In view of estimate (2.1), in order to ensure the convergence of series (3.6), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.7), we have the following estimate

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}_t(x)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \pi])} + C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \varphi_{1k}^{(2)} \right| + \left| \psi_{1k}^{(2)} \right| \frac{1}{(2k + 1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \varphi_{2k}^{(2)} \right| + \left| \psi_{2k}^{(2)} \right| \frac{1}{4k^2} .$$  

(3.13)

By the assumptions of the theorem we have

$$\varphi^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad \varphi^{(i)}(\pi) = 0, \quad i = 0, 2,$$

$$\psi^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad \psi^{(i)}(\pi) = 0, \quad i = 0, 2.$$

This implies that the coefficients of the function $\psi(x)$ satisfy identities (2.12). Using this fact for $f(x)$, we arrive at the estimate

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \pi])} \leq C \|\varphi_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \pi])} + C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \varphi_{1k}^{(4)} \right| + \left| \psi_{1k}^{(4)} \right| \frac{1}{(2k + 1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \varphi_{2k}^{(4)} \right| + \left| \psi_{2k}^{(4)} \right| \frac{1}{4k^2} .$$  

(3.14)
Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_t \mathcal{L}$ nonlocal operator $\mathcal{L}$, integrate in the variable $x$.

We also have:

$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u\|_{C_t,x(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(2)}| + |\psi_{1k}^{(2)}|}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(2)}| + |\psi_{2k}^{(2)}|}{1 + \lambda_{2k}}$,

$\|u_{xx}\|_{C_t,x(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}| + |\psi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{(2k + 1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(4)}| + |\psi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{4k^2}$,

$\|\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u_{xx}\|_{C_t,x(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(4)}| + |\psi_{1k}^{(4)}|}{(2k + 1)^2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(4)}| + |\psi_{2k}^{(4)}|}{4k^2}$.

This completes the proof of the existence of the solution.

We proceed to proving the uniqueness. We suppose that $\{u_1(t, x), f_1(x)\}$ and $\{u_2(t, x), f_2(x)\}$ are solutions of Problem 3.1. Then $u(t, x) = u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)$ and $f(x) = f_1(x) - f_2(x)$ satisfy the following problem:

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha [u(t, x) - u_{xx}(t, x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t, \pi - x)] - u_{xx}(t, x) + \varepsilon u_{xx}(t, \pi - x) = f(x),$$

$$u(0, x) = 0, \quad u(T, x) = 0.$$

We also have

$$w_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi u(t, x) \sin(2k + 1)x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$v_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi u(t, x) \sin 2k x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$f_k^1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin(2k + 1)x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$f_k^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin 2k x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ to (3.18), we get

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t, x) \sin(2k + 1)x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha v_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t, x) \sin 2k x dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We multiply both sides of equations (3.15) - (3.17) by the functions $\sin (2k + 1)x$, $\sin 2k x$ and integrate in the variable $x$ from 0 to $\pi$. Taking into consideration the self-adjointness of the nonlocal operator $\mathcal{L}$, and by (3.18)- (3.20), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha w_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} w_k(t) = \frac{f_k^1}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$w_k(0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$w_k(T) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
and

\[ D_t^\alpha v_k(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} v_k(t) = \frac{f_k^2}{1 + \lambda_{2k}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]  
\[ v_k(0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]  
\[ v_k(T) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \]  
(3.24)

Arguing as in the proof of the existence result, we confirm easily that the solutions of equations (3.21)–(3.23) and (3.24)–(3.26) are

\[ w_k(t) = v_k(t) = f_k^1 = f_k^2 = 0. \]  
Then

\[ 0 = \sqrt{2 \pi} \int_0^\pi u(t, x) \sin(2k + 1)x \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \]

\[ 0 = \sqrt{2 \pi} \int_0^\pi u(t, x) \sin 2kx \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

and

\[ 0 = \sqrt{2 \pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin(2k + 1)x \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \]

\[ 0 = \sqrt{2 \pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin 2kx \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \]

Therefore, owing to the completeness of the system \( u_{2k}(x), u_{2k+1}(x) \) in \( L^2 \), we obtain \( u(x, t) \equiv 0 \) and \( f(x) \equiv 0 \). This prove the uniqueness of the solution of problem 3.1. The proof is complete.

\[ \square \]

3.2. Generalized solutions. Once we deal with a generalized solution instead of the regular one of problem 3.1 Theorem 3.2 can be modified as follows.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( T > 0, \, |\varepsilon| < 1 \) and \( \varphi, \psi \in W_0^{2,2}[0, \pi] \). Then there exists a unique generalized solution \( u \in C^1([0, T], W_0^{2,2}[0, \pi]) \), \( f \in L^2[0, \pi] \) of problem 3.1 and it can be written as

\[ u(t, x) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( \frac{-\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha \right) \right) \frac{\sin(2k + 1)x}{(2k + 1)^2} \left( \varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left( 1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( \frac{-\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha \right) \right) \frac{\sin 2kx}{4k^2} \left( \varphi_{2k}^{(2)} - \psi_{2k}^{(2)} \right), \]

\[ f(x) = -\varphi xx(x) + \varepsilon \varphi x(x - x) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty (1 - \varepsilon) \left( \frac{\sin(2k + 1)x}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( \frac{-\lambda_{2k+1}}{1 + \lambda_{2k+1}} T^\alpha \right)} \right) \left( \varphi_{1k}^{(2)} - \psi_{1k}^{(2)} \right) \sin(2k + 1)x + \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \frac{\sin 2kx}{1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left( \frac{-\lambda_{2k}}{1 + \lambda_{2k}} T^\alpha \right)} \right) \sin 2kx, \]
for $(t, x) \in \Omega$, where
\[
\varphi^{(2)}_{1k} = (\varphi_{xx}, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \varphi^{(2)}_{2k} = (\varphi_{xx}, u_{2k})_{L^2}, \\
\psi^{(2)}_{1k} = (\psi_{xx}, u_{2k+1})_{L^2}, \quad \psi^{(2)}_{2k} = (\psi_{xx}, u_{2k})_{L^2}.
\]

Proof. Reproducing the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can prove the unique solvability in the considered case, too. We just need to clarify the functional classes. By using Plancherel identity and orthogonality of $u_{2k}$, we get:
\[
\|u\|_{C([0,T], L^2[0,\pi])}^2 \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2 + C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(2)}|^2 + |\psi_{1k}^{(2)}|^2}{(2k+1)^4} + C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(2)}|^2 + |\psi_{2k}^{(2)}|^2}{(2k)^4},
\]
\[
\|f\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2 \leq C\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2 + C\|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2.
\]
Moreover, we find:
\[
\left\| D_t^\alpha u \right\|_{C([0,T], L^2[0,\pi])}^2 \leq C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{1k}^{(2)}|^2 + |\psi_{1k}^{(2)}|^2}{(1+\lambda_{2k+1})^2} + C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varphi_{2k}^{(2)}|^2 + |\psi_{2k}^{(2)}|^2}{(1+\lambda_{2k})^2},
\]
\[
\left\| u_{xx} \right\|_{C([0,T], L^2[0,\pi])}^2 \leq C\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2 + C\|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2,
\]
\[
\left\| D_t^\alpha u_{xx} \right\|_{C([0,T], L^2[0,\pi])}^2 \leq C\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2 + C\|\psi_{xx}\|_{L^2[0,\pi]}^2.
\]
These estimates allow us to reproduce the arguing from the proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof is complete. 
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