The relationship between serum hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and coronary artery calcification in asymptomatic type 2 diabetic patients
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Abstract

Background: Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), a master regulator of oxygen homeostasis, is a heterodimer consisting of HIF-1α and HIF-1β subunits, and is implicated in calcification of cartilage and vasculature. The goal of this study was to determine the relationship between serum HIF-1α with coronary artery calcification (CAC) in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Methods: The subjects were 405 (262 males, 143 females, age 51.3 ± 6.4 years) asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Serum HIF-1α and interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels were measured by ELISA. CAC scores were assessed by a 320-slice CT scanner. The subjects were divided into 4 quartiles depending on serum HIF-1α levels.

Results: Average serum HIF-1α was 184.4 ± 66.7 pg/ml. Among patients with higher CAC scores, HIF-1α levels were also significantly increased (p <0.001). HIF-1α levels positively correlated with CRP, IL-6, UKPDS risk score, HbA1c, FBG, and CACS, but did not correlate with diabetes duration, age, and LDL. According to the multivariate analysis, HIF-1α levels significantly and independently predict the presence of CAC. ROC curve analysis showed that the serum HIF-1α level can predict the extent of CAC, but the specificity was lower than the traditional risk factors UKPDS and HbA1c.

Conclusion: As a marker of hypoxia, serum HIF-1α level may be an independent risk factor for the presence of CAC. These findings indicate that elevated serum HIF-1α may be involved in vascular calcification in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
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Introduction

Vascular calcification is a complex and dynamic process and regulated by multiple mechanisms [1]. It is associated with aging and several disease states, including atherosclerosis, osteoporosis, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes. Vascular calcification is an active, cell-mediated process resembling cartilage and bone formation [2-5]. Contemporary studies have shown that hypoxia plays an important role in the calcification process. When endothelial cells are exposed to hypoxia, they produce bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) and induce vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) toward the osteoblast phenotype by BMP2 [6]. Recently, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), a heterodimer consisting of the HIF-1α and HIF-1β subunits, was implicated in calcification of cartilage and vasculature as master regulators of oxygen homeostasis. Idelevich A [7] and Kapustin AN [8] concluded that HIF-1α is an important regulator of VSMC osteochondrogenic differentiation and metabolism that can be activated by osteocalcin signaling activity, which ultimately promotes vascular calcification. However, the direct relationship between HIF-1α and vascular calcification has not yet been elucidated.

As an important part of vascular calcification, coronary artery calcification (CAC) can be rapidly and noninvasively quantitatively determined by computed tomography (CT). It may reflect the overall load of coronary atherosclerosis plaque and major adverse cardiac events in outpatients.
Methods

Subjects
We included 405 (average age 51.3 ± 6.4 years, 262 men) consecutive type 2 diabetics without known cardiovascular disease, between September 2009 and November 2011, according to ADA and WHO guidelines. Subjects were required to have type 2 diabetes for at least one year, and with an age at or above 35 years. Exclusion criteria were as follows: history of heart failure or cardiomyopathy; coronary heart disease; resting ECG abnormalities (eg. Q waves or left bundle branch block); cerebrovascular or peripheral artery disease; renal insufficiency; hepatitis B, hepatitis C, or levels of liver transaminases 3 times more than the normal range; hemolytic disease; cancer; thyroid disease; and acute infection or inflammation. Physical examinations were performed to record patient demographics, including height, weight, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, and 12-lead ECG. The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) risk scores of all patients were also recorded [19]. All participants were provided written informed consent at the visits. This study was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Our study was approved by our institutional ethics committee.

Biochemical measurements
Blood samples were collected from subjects at a visit to the outpatient clinic after an overnight fast. The samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm at 4°C for 15 minutes. The supernatants were decanted and frozen at −80°C until assayed. Serum creatinine, fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), C-reactive protein (CRP), and calcium levels were measured using standard methods. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits were used to measure serum levels of HIF-1α (Cayman Chemical Co, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) and interleukin-6 (IL-6, R&D systems Inc, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). Intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation for HIF-1α and IL-6 were 3.3% and 3.7%, and 6.4% and 7.8%, respectively.

Results
A total of 405 consecutive patients met inclusion criteria and were enrolled in this study. Of the 405 patients, 195 (48.3%) presented with minimal or insignificant CAC (≤10). The remaining 210 patients (51.7%) showed varying degrees of CAC (Figure 1). Mild calcification (11–100) was noted in 94 patients (23.2%), moderate (101–400) in 72 (17.8%), severe (401–1000) in 36 (8.9%), and extensive (> 1,000) in 8 patients (1.9%). The average serum HIF-1α level was 184.4 ± 66.7 pg/ml. Among patients with higher CAC scores, HIF-1α levels were also significantly increased (p <0.001, Figure 2).

CAC score determination
A Toshiba Aquilion One 320-slice CT scanner was used for data collection. The methodology for acquisition and interpretation of scans has been published [20]. Participants were scanned by 320-slice CT and the scan was read by a single trained physician-reader independently. CAC was defined as a hyper-attenuating lesion greater than 130 Hounsfield units with an area of greater than 3 pixels. Calcium volume scores (CVS) were quantified CAC and were based on averaging results from each scan. The total CAC score was generated by calculating the CAC scores of the left main coronary artery, the left anterior descending coronary artery, the left circumflex coronary artery, and the right coronary artery [20]. CAC scores were categorized into five degrees according to the cutoff values commonly used in the literature: ≤10 (minimal or none); 11–100 (mild calcification); 101–400 (moderate calcification); 401–1000 (severe calcification); and greater than 1,000 (extensive calcification) [21].
Clinical and laboratory data for the quartiles based on serum HIF-1α levels are shown in Table 1. Patients in higher HIF-1α quartiles have elevated SBP, CRP, IL-6, FBG, HbA1c, UA, LDL, and UKPDS levels (Table 2; P<0.01 for quartile trend). HIF-1α levels positively correlated with CRP (r = 0.226, P = 0.023), IL-6 (r = 0.316, P<0.001), UKPDS risk score (r = 0.144, P = 0.009), HbA1c (r = 0.242, P<0.001) FBG (r = 0.244, P = 0.029), and CAC scores (r = 0.360, P<0.001), but did not correlate with diabetes duration, age, and LDL (Table 3).

In the present study, the CAC scores of 115 (28.4%) patients were zero. According to multivariate logic analyses, after adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors such as age, gender, SBP, BMI, smoking, diabetes duration, HbA1c, CRP, IL-6, LDL and medication, HIF-1α levels still significantly and independently predicted the presence of CAC (Table 3).

Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis (Figure 3) showed that serum HIF-1α level can predict the extent of CAC, but the specificity was lower than traditional risk factors UKPDS and HbA1c (area under the curve values were 0.775, 0.884, and 0.934 for HIF-1α, UKPDS, and HbA1c, respectively [P<0.001]). The sum of sensitivity and specificity for prediction of the extent of CAC was maximal at an HIF-1α level of ≥236.5 pg/ml (sensitivity =61.1% [95% CI 43.5% to 76.9%], specificity =87.6% [95% CI 83.2% to 91.2%]).

**Discussion**

Key findings

In this cross-sectional study on asymptomatic type 2 diabetic subjects, we first evaluated the association between serum HIF-1α level and CAC, which is a good biomarker of the presence and amount of coronary atherosclerosis.
The major finding of our study is that HIF-1α is positively correlated with CAC, and HIF-1α is an independent risk factor for presence of CAC. In our study, HIF-1α correlated with some cardiovascular risk factors (CRP, IL-6, UKPDS, HbA1c and FBG), but were not correlated with others (diabetes duration, age and LDL).

### CAC significance and prognostic implications in diabetes

Vascular calcification is a feature of atherosclerosis. It can be considered a dynamic process vulnerable to the effects of environmental factors and therapeutic measures. CAC can reflect the overall load of coronary atherosclerosis plaque. Recently, some study confirmed CAC prognostic value in asymptomatic and diabetes patients. In asymptomatic subjects with extensive CAC, Shemesh J et al. demonstrated that first acute CAD-related events occurred mostly in subjects with mild and moderate CAC score [22]. Lau KK et al. [23] found that in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients identified as low-intermediate risk by the Framingham Risk Score (FRS), a raised CACS > 40 was an independent predictor for atherosclerotic events. van Eupen MG et al. [24] indicated that AGEs were possibly involved in the development of CAC in individuals with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Hyperglycaemia was associated with impaired vasa vasorum neovascularization and accelerated atherosclerosis [25].

### Table 1: Clinical and laboratory data for 405 patients with type 2 diabetes divided into quartiles of serum HIF-1α levels (pg/ml)

| Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P value |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| <139.8     | 139.8-165.2| 165.3-209.7| >209.8     |         |
| Subjects (male/female) | 106(66/40) | 98(71/27) | 99(63/36) | 102(62/40) |
| Age (years) | 51.54 ± 5.98 | 51.32 ± 5.39 | 51.10 ± 6.25 | 51.73 ± 6.90 | 0.932 |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 7.29 ± 4.58 | 7.09 ± 4.45 | 6.93 ± 4.55 | 6.95 ± 4.68 | 0.952 |
| Smoking, n (%) | 35(33.0%) | 31(31.6%) | 29(29.3%) | 32(31.4%) | 0.854 |
| Oral agent, n (%) | 77(72.6%) | 70(71.5%) | 71(71.3%) | 68(67.1%) | 0.220 |
| Insulin only, n (%) | 11(10.4%) | 14(14.3%) | 10(10.1%) | 13(12.7%) | 0.241 |
| Insulin + oral agent, n (%) | 18(17.0%) | 13(13.3%) | 18(18.2%) | 20(19.6%) | 0.220 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 22.88 ± 2.57 | 22.61 ± 2.684 | 23.21 ± 2.71 | 23.71 ± 2.86 | 0.062 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 134.95 ± 14.3 | 136.48 ± 14.6 | 139.1 ± 19.1 | 141.8 ± 17.4 | 0.041 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 83.5 ± 9.3 | 84.5 ± 11.2 | 82.9 ± 10.8 | 85.8 ± 11.0 | 0.083 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.89 ± 0.102 | 0.90 ± 0.107 | 0.89 ± 0.12 | 0.93 ± 0.13 | 0.074 |
| FBG (mmol/L) | 6.11 ± 1.18 | 6.12 ± 1.09 | 6.15 ± 1.17 | 6.67 ± 1.67 | 0.013 |
| HbA1c | 6.31 ± 0.82 | 6.22 ± 0.82 | 6.32 ± 0.93 | 6.68 ± 1.07 | 0.007 |
| CRP (mg/dl) | 1.91 ± 0.61 | 1.82 ± 0.64 | 1.89 ± 0.71 | 2.22 ± 0.97 | 0.003 |
| IL-6 (pg/ml) | 2.10 ± 0.64 | 2.18 ± 0.77 | 2.37 ± 0.91 | 3.08 ± 1.58 | 0.001 |
| Calcium (mmol/L) | 2.49 ± 0.16 | 2.50 ± 0.15 | 2.47 ± 0.14 | 2.45 ± 0.12 | 0.058 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.98 ± 1.21 | 5.05 ± 1.18 | 5.18 ± 1.15 | 5.70 ± 1.34 | 0.001 |
| TC (mmol/L) | 4.35 ± 0.65 | 4.38 ± 0.60 | 4.34 ± 0.64 | 4.58 ± 0.69 | 0.076 |
| TG (mmol/L) | 1.15 ± 0.44 | 1.23 ± 0.44 | 1.21 ± 0.45 | 1.21 ± 0.43 | 0.649 |
| LDL (mmol/L) | 2.88 ± 0.39 | 2.96 ± 0.51 | 2.87 ± 0.35 | 3.04 ± 0.48 | 0.031 |
| HDL (mmol/L) | 1.47 ± 0.46 | 1.42 ± 0.42 | 1.49 ± 0.44 | 1.53 ± 0.48 | 0.467 |
| UKPDS score | 8.01 ± 5.90 | 8.25 ± 5.58 | 8.65 ± 4.99 | 9.26 ± 6.78 | 0.038 |

Values are shown as the mean ± SD and were analyzed by ANOVA. *P <0.05 vs. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quartile. **P <0.005 vs. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quartile. ***P <0.001 vs. 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quartile. ****P <0.05 vs. 1st and 3rd quartile. † P <0.05 vs. 1st quartile.

The major finding of our study is that HIF-1α is positively correlated with CAC, and HIF-1α is an independent risk factor for presence of CAC. In our study, HIF-1α correlated with some cardiovascular risk factors (CRP, IL-6, UKPDS, HbA1c and FBG), but were not correlated with others (diabetes duration, age and LDL).

HIF-1α and diabetes

HIF-1α is a major factor to regulate oxygen homeostasis, and plays a key role in many physiologic and pathologic processes, with more than 100 genes under its control [26-28]. These gene products have important roles in angiopoiesis and remodeling, glucose and energy metabolism, and cell multiplication. Diabetes is a major risk factor of cardiovascular disease, HIF-1α has also been shown to be closely associated with it. Hypoxia has a prominent effect at all diabetic complications [29]. Hyperglycaemia regulates HIF-1α protein stability and functions, destabilizing it, ultimately resulting in poor cell and tissue responses to hypoxia [30,31]. Hypoxia may interact with hyperglycaemia and promote diabetes and its complications.
Previous studies have clarified the relationship between HIF-1α and diabetes. Jiang et al. found that inhibition of HIF-1α in adipose tissue ameliorates obesity and insulin resistance [32]. Similarly, another study showed that depletion of HIF-1α mRNA with antisense oligonucleotides (ASO) may improve hepatic steatosis, liver insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and induces glycogen accumulation in the liver [33]. In Japanese type 2 diabetes, HIF-1α is associated with type 2 diabetes and the P582S HIF-1α mutation was associated with type 2 diabetes by a consistently higher level of transcriptional activity than wild type, especially under hypoxic conditions [34]. However, in Caucasians, a rare genetic variant of the HIF-1 gene has been found to be protective against type 2 diabetes [35].

At present, we found there to be a significant correlation between serum HIF-1α levels with UKPDS, HbA1c, and FBG in type 2 diabetes. Patients with hyperglycemia have higher serum HIF-1α levels, accordingly. The presence of serum HIF-1α also has been detected in non-diabetic patients [36]. Recently, a study showed that serum HIF-1α levels in diabetic patients with breast cancer were significantly higher than in the normal population [37]. These findings have shown an association between diabetes and circulating levels of HIF-1α.

**HIF-1α and vascular calcification**

Vascular calcification is an important indicator of atherosclerosis. It has re-emerged as an active, cell-mediated process resembling cartilage and bone formation and is regulated by cytokines related to bone metabolism [1,3,5,6]. In patients with diabetes, vascular calcification includes intimal and medial calcification or Monckeberg sclerosis [38]. Intimal calcification primarily related to atherosclerotic lesions [17]. It has been shown that when calcification is observed in the coronary arteries, it is almost certainly associated with intimal plaque [16-18].

Vascular endothelial cells have an important role in the osteogenic process. HIF-1 plays an equally profound role as a mediator of EC autonomous responses to hypoxia. Cellular assays demonstrate that HIF-1 induces autonomic EC activation. Wu et al. [39] confirmed a paracrine EC-mediated effect of PGE2 and VEGF on the differentiation of PDLSCs into osteoblasts. Sakakura et al. [40] demonstrated that HIF-1α becomes stabilized independently of the concentration of oxygen, and largely contributes to the development and resorption of Meckel’s

---

**Table 2** Bivariate correlation between serum HIF-1α levels and cardiovascular risk factors

| Variable                  | Correlation coefficient | P value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               | 0.051                   | 0.363   |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 0.067                   | 0.226   |
| Smoking (years)           | 0.182                   | 0.437   |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 0.150                   | 0.327   |
| CAC score                 | 0.360                   | <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)                | 0.145                   | 0.409   |
| DBP (mmHg)                | 0.125                   | 0.424   |
| FBG (mmol/L)              | 0.244                   | 0.029   |
| Hba1c                     | 0.242                   | <0.001  |
| CRP (mg/dl)               | 0.226                   | 0.023   |
| IL-6 (pg/ml)              | 0.316                   | <0.001  |
| Uric acid (mg/dl)         | 0.273                   | 0.881   |
| TC (mmol/L)               | 0.201                   | 0.537   |
| TG (mmol/L)               | -0.012                  | 0.824   |
| LDL (mmol/L)              | 0.183                   | 0.647   |
| HDL (mmol/L)              | 0.111                   | 0.544   |
| UKPDS score               | 0.144                   | 0.009   |

**Table 3** Serum HIF-1α levels predict the presence of coronary artery calcium for multivariate logistic regression analysis model

| Model | OR(95%CI) | P value |
|-------|----------|---------|
| Unadjusted | 1.007(1.002-1.011) | 0.004 |
| Model 1 | 1.009(1.004-1.015) | 0.001 |
| Model 2 | 1.008(1.002-1.014) | 0.007 |
| Model 3 | 1.007(1.001-1.013) | 0.025 |
| Model 4 | 1.006(1.000-1.013) | 0.05 |

Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained by the logistic regression model. Model 1: age, gender, BMI, SBP; Model 2: Model 1 + smoking (years), DB time, Hba1c; Model 3: Model 2 + CRP, IL-6, LDL; Model 4: Model 3+ medication (oral agent, insulin only and insulin + oral agent).

Figure 3 Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis showing the prognostic value of HIF-1α levels, and United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study nUKPDS) and HbA1c in predicting severe and extensive calcification (CAC score > 400). The 95% confidence intervals are provided. AUC = area under the curve.
cartilage, probably through shifting the predominant metabolic mode from aerobic to anaerobic glycolysis. However, Gianluca et al. [41] reported that low oxygen tension inhibits osteogenic differentiation.

In our study, we found that along with the extent of calcification, serum HIF-1α levels also significantly increased. Multivariate logistic regression analysis and ROC curves showed that HIF-1α can predict the presence and severity of coronary artery calcification. In our participants, we also found that serum HIF-1α levels significantly correlated with inflammatory factors CRP and IL-6. HIF-1α may be associated with inflammatory factors and interact to promote calcification. Many studies have repeatedly confirmed the correlation between coronary calcification with CRP and IL-6. Under hypoxic condition, serum IL-6 and CRP levels noticeably increased, as well as HIF-1α in humans [42]. However, HIF-1α is not only induced by hypoxia, but is also activated in cells with normal oxygen tension, in response to a variety of peptide mediators, including insulin and insulin-like growth factors, interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), angiotensin II, and thrombin [43-47]. Atherosclerosis as an inflammatory disease can release a variety of inflammatory mediators. Hypoxia and inflammation are intertwined at the molecular, cellular, and clinical levels and may lead to atheromatous plaque progression or calcification [26].

Serum HIF-1α levels were significantly increased in patients with serious coronary calcification and may not only reflect myocardial anoxia, but also hypoxia at the local tissue level. Recent studies demonstrated that obesity is associated with adipose tissue hypoxia in humans and rodents [48-50]. Adipose tissue hypoxia leads to upregulation of HIF-1α [51,52]. With CAC as a surrogate measure of total atherosclerotic plaque burden, we are the first to have demonstrated a significant correlation between serum HIF-1α levels and CAC scores in type 2 diabetics. In our study population, the multivariate logistic regression model, either unadjusted or after age, gender, and multiple cardiovascular risk factors have shown HIF-1α to be an independent risk factor for the presence of CAC.

**Study limitations**

Most of our enrolled study patients were university teachers, who usually had a better understanding of health and better living habits compared with the general population. In our study patients, diabetes management was better than average compared with other Chinese patients [53]. Therefore, our patient population differed from the general population; this may challenge the ability to apply our finding to general population. The cross-sectional nature of our study does not permit the determination of causality.

**Conclusion**

In type 2 diabetics, serum HIF-1α levels are closely related to coronary calcification. As a marker of hypoxia, serum HIF-1α may be an independent risk factor for the presence of CAC. These findings indicate that elevated serum HIF-1α may be involved in vascular calcification in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, the exact mechanisms underlying the association between HIF-1α and vascular calcification remain unclear. Further histopathologic and prospective studies are required to clarify this.

**Abbreviations**

CAC: Coronary artery calcium; CAD: Coronary artery disease; CT: Computed tomography; ECG: Electrocardiogram; LBBB: Left bundle branch block; ASO: Antiserum oligonucleotides.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors’ contributions**

GL carried out the study and wrote the manuscript; RA performed the statistical analysis; WHL, JHY and FC recruited the subjects and performed the vascular assessments; ZTZ supervised the study as well as writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to thank the other investigators, staff, and participants for expert technical assistance.

**Author details**

1. Emergency Department, Wuhan General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command, Wuhan, China. 2. Department of Medicine Laboratory, Wuhan General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command, Wuhan, China.

**Received:** 5 December 2013 Accepted: 20 February 2014

**Published:** 24 February 2014

**References**

1. Abedin M, Tintut Y, Demer LL: *Vascular calcification mechanisms and clinical ramifications*. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004, 24(7):1161–1170.

2. Kovacic JC, Fuster V: *Vascular calcification, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease connecting the dots*. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2012, 5(4):367–369.

3. Shroff RC, Shanahan CM: *The vascular biology of calcification*. Semin Dial 2007, 20:103–109.

4. Gachelli CM: *The emerging role of phosphate in vascular calcification*. Kidney Int 2009, 75:890–897.

5. Magné D, Julien M, Vinatier C, Merhi-Soussi F, Weiss P, Guicheux J: *Cartilage formation in growth plate and arteries: from physiology to pathology*. Bioessays 2005, 27:708–716.

6. Johnson RC, Leopold JA, Loscalzo J: *Vascular calcification: pathobiological mechanisms and clinical implications*. Circ Res 2006, 99:1044–1059.

7. Iselevich A, Rais Y, Monsonero-Ornan E: *Bone Glα protein increases HIF-1α-dependent glucose metabolism and induces cartilage and vascular calcification*. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011, 31:e55–e71.

8. Kapustin AN, Shanahan CM: *Osteocalcin: a novel vascular metabolic and osteoinductive factor?*. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011, 31:2169–2171.

9. Hou ZH, Lu B, Gao Y, Jiang SL, Wang Y, Li W, Budoff MJ: *Prognostic value of coronary CT angiography and calcium score for major adverse cardiac events in outpatients*. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2012, 5:990–999.

10. O’Donnell CJ, Kavousi M, Smith AV, Kardia SLR, Feitosa MF, Hwang S-J, Sun YV, Province MA, Aspelund T, Dehghan A, Hoffmann U, Bielak LF, Zhang Q, Erikssdotter G, van Duijn CM, Fox CS, de Andrade M, Kraja AT, Sigurdsson S, Elias-Smale SE, Murabito JM, Launer LJ, van der Lugt A, Kähriesian S, Kriesten GP, Herrington DM, Howard TD, Liu Y, Post W.
Mitchell BD, et al. Geno-Macro-Whole association study for coronary artery calcification with follow-up in myocardial infarction clinical perspective. Circulation 2011, 124(25):2855–2864.

11. Graham G, Blaha MJ, Budoff MJ, Rivera JJ, Agatston A, Raggi P, Nasir K. Impact of coronary artery calcification on all-cause mortality in individuals with and without hypertension. Atherosclerosis 2012, 225(2):432–437.

12. Budoff MJ, Hakim JS, Nasir K, Shaw LI, Kinney GL, Chow D, Demiss D, Nuguri V, Nabaiv V, Rataokanda R, Berman DS, Raggi P. Progression of coronary artery calcification predicts all-cause mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2010, 3(12):1229–1236.

13. Agarwal S, Morgan T, Herrington DM, Xu JZ, Cox AJ, Freedman BI, Carr JJ, Bowden DW. Coronary calcium score and prediction of all-cause mortality in diabetes the diabetes heart study. Diabetes Care 2011, 34:S129–1224.

14. Wong ND, Sciammarella MG, Polik D, Gallagher A, Miranda-Phats L, Whitcomb B, Hachamovitch R, Friedman JD, Hayes S, Berman DS. The metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and subclinical atherosclerosis assessed by coronary calcium. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003, 41:S147–S153.

15. Olson JC, Edmundowicz D, Becker DJ, Kuller LH, Orchard TJ. Coronal calcium in adults with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2000, 49:1571–1578.

16. Milek CH, Shields JP, Bremeleng LD. Coronary artery calcium, coronary artery disease, and diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Practice 2001, 53S5–61.

17. Proudfoot D, Shanahan CM. Biology of calcium in vascular cells: intima versus media. Herz 2001, 26(4):245–251.

18. Giacchelli CM. Vascular calcium mechanisms. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004, 15(12):2994–2996.

19. Stevens RJ, Kotthar V, Miranda-Phats L, Whitcomb B, Hachamovitch R, Friedman JD, Hayes S, Berman DS. The metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and subclinical atherosclerosis assessed by coronary calcium. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003, 41:S147–S153.

20. Hong C, Bae KT, Pilgram TK. Coronary artery calcium: accuracy and reproducibility of measurements with multi-detector row CT-assessment patterns with and without diabetes: first manifestation of acute or chronic coronary events is characterized by different calculation patterns. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2013, 12(1):161.

21. Li et al. Cardiovascular Diabetology 2011, 13:52.

22. Jiang CT, Qu AJ, Matsubara T, Chanturiya T, Jou W, Gavrilova O, Shah YM, Gonzalez FJ. Disruption of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in adipocytes improves insulin sensitivity and decreases adiposity in high-fat diet-fed mice. Diabetes 2011, 60:2484–2495.

23. Shin MK, Drager LF, Yao QL, Belvani-Font S, Yao DY, Jun IC, Aj A, Banot S, Polotsky VY. Metabolic consequences of high-fat diet are attenuated by suppression of HIF-1α. PLoS One 2012, 7(10):e46582.

24. Yamada N, Honkaka Y, Oda N, Iizuka K, Shihara N, Kishi S, Takeda J. Genetic variation in the hypoxia-inducible factor-1α gene is associated with type 2 diabetes in Japanese. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005, 90:5841–5847.

25. Naga G, Kovacs-Nagy R, Kerevtsz E, Somogyi A, Szekely A, Nemeth N, Hosszufalusi N, Panczel P, Ronai Z, Szayvai-Szekey M. Association of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha gene polymorphism with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes in a Caucasian (Hungarian) sample. BMC Med Genet 2009, 10:79.

26. Liang J, Qian Y, Xu D, Yin Q, Pan HJ. Serum Tumor Markers: Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α HIF-1α and vascular endothelial growth factor, in patients with non-small cell lung cancer before and after intervention. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013, 14(6):3851–3854.

27. Ece H, Cagdem E, Yüksel K, Ahmet E, Hakan E, Okten T. Use of oral antidiabetic drugs (Metformin and Pioglitazone) in diabetic patients with breast cancer: how does it affect on serum HIF-1 alpha and 80Hdg levels? Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012, 13(10):5143–5148.

28. Doherty TM, Fitzpatrick LA, Inoue D, Qiao JH, Fishbein MC, Detrano RC, Shah PK, Rajavashisth AB. Molecular, endocrine and genetic mechanisms of arterial calcification. Endoc Rev 2004, 25:629–672.

29. Wu Y, Hao C, Yang Y, Zhou Y, Gu Y, Zhao X, Zhang Y, Zhao Z, Zhang L, Yin J. Effects of vascular endothelial cells on osteogenic differentiation of noncontact co-cultured periodontal ligament stem cells under hypoxia. J Periodontal Res 2013, 48:152–65.

30. Akuraku Y, Shibui T, Ine K, Yajima T. Metabolic mode peculiar to Mekell’s cartilage: immunohistochemical comparison of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and glucose transporters in developing endothelial bones in mice. Eur J Oral Sci 2008, 116(3):341–352.

31. D’Coppolit G, Diabria S, Howard GA, Roos BA, Schiller PC. Low oxygen tension inhibits osteogenic differentiation and enhances stemness of human MIAMI. Cells Bone 2006, 39(5):513–522.

32. Hartmann G, Tsch P, Fischer R, Bidaingmaier C, Riepl R, Tschöp K, Haumann H, Endres S, Toepfer M. High altitude increases circulating interleukin-6, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist and C-reactive protein. Cytokine 2000, 12:246–252.

33. Feldser D, Agami F, Iyer NV, Pak B, Ferreira G, Semenza GL. Reciprocal positive regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and insulin-like growth factor 2. Cancer Res 1999, 59(16):3915–3918.

34. Jung TY, Isacs JS, Lee S, Trepel J, Neckers L. IL-1β-mediated up-regulation of HIF-1α via an Nf-κb/COX-2 pathway identifies HIF-1α as a critical link between inflammation and oncogenesis. PLoS B 2003, 17(4):2115–2117.

35. Albina JE, Mastrofancisco B, Vessella JA, Louis CA, Henry WL, Reicher JS. HIF-1 expression in healing wounds: HIF-1α induction in primary inflammatory cells by TNF-α. Am J Phys Cell Physiol 2001, 281(6):C1971–C1977.

36. Wolf G, Schroeder R, Stahl R. Angiotensin II induces hypoxia-inducible factor-1α in PC 12 cells through a posttranscriptional mechanism: role of AT2 receptors. Am J Nephrol 2004, 24(4):415–421.

37. Déry MC, Michaud MD, Richard DE. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1: regulation by hypoxic and non-hypoxic activators. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2005, 37(3):535–540.

38. Wood S, de Hederia FP, Wang B, Trayhurn P. Cellular hypoxia and adipose tissue dysfunction in obesity. Proc Nutr Soc 2009, 68:370–377.

39. Fleischmann E, Kurz A, Niedermayr M, Schebesta K, Kimberger O, Sessler DI, Polotsky VY. Metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Mol Cell BioI 2003, 1973–1988.

40. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.

41. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.

42. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.

43. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.

44. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.

45. Carmon NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001, 44:1973–1988.
associated Hif1alpha activation in adipocytes restricts fatty acid oxidation and energy expenditure via suppression of the Sirt2-NAD+ system. Genes Dev 2012, 26:259–270.

53. Pan CY, Yang WY, Jia WP, Weng JP, Tian H: Management of Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes, 1998–2006: the Diabcare-China surveys. Curr Med Res Opin 2009, 25(5):39–45.

Cite this article as: Li et al: The relationship between serum hypoxia-inducible factor 1α and coronary artery calcification in asymptomatic type 2 diabetic patients. Cardiovascular Diabetology 2014 13:52.