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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) and central macular thickness (CMT) changes in adults with hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia using optical coherence tomography.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Combined Military Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan, from Oct 2019 to Feb 2020.

Methodology: In this study 30 adults, 18-40 years of age were included who presented in our clinic with monococular poor vision. They underwent detailed ophthalmic clinical examination: including corrected and uncorrected distance visual acuity, slit lamp bio-microscopy and fundus examination with 90 diopter lens. After fulfilling criteria of anisometropic amblyopia, central macular and peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness was measured by using RS-3000 SLO, NIDEK Co, Japan spectral domain optical coherence tomography device and compared the central macular thickness and peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness in amblyopic and fellow eye of same individual.

Results: The mean change in peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness in amblyopic eyes, 121.48 ± 4.90 μm and non amblyopic eyes was 112.92 ± 4.72 μm with statistical significance (p<0.001). The mean change in central macular thickness in amblyopic eyes was 198.50 ± 5.30 μm and non amblyopic eyes was 206.80 ± 3.11 μm with statistical significance (p<0.001). There was significant increase in peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness and significant decrease in central macular thickness on comparing the amblyopic and the fellow eyes of the same patients.

Conclusion: Central macular thickness decreased while the peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thickness increased in patients with hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia.
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INTRODUCTION

Worldwide the most commonest cause of moderate to severe visual impairment is considered to be refractive errors. In Pakistan it is thought to be about 8.9% in school going children. Refractive errors are classified as hypermetropia, myopia and astigmatism. Difference in refractive status of both eyes is known as anisometropia. Anisometropia further leads to amblyopia in presence or absence of strabismus. Anisometropia is considered to be most important cause of amblyopia. Mechanism of anisometropic amblyopia is still not fully known. Monocular reduction of vision is seldom reported by children which later develops amblyopia and affects vision related quality of life. The diagnosis is usually incidental on routine ophthalmic examination or mass community screening.

Amblyopia is a decrease in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), in the absence of any identifiable pathology of the human optical system. There are multiple types, namely: strabismus, meridional, ametropic, anisometropic and stimulus deprivation amblyopia. Amongst these, anisometropic amblyopia is least diagnosed early. Anisometropic amblyopia can be defined as visual acuity in worse eye <20/32 with difference of
Hyperopic Anisometropic Amblyopia

As the definition suggests, amblyopia is a decrease in best corrected visual acuity in absence of any identifiable pathology of human optical system. Thus, the difference in structure between the two eyes logically constitutes sufficient evidence to exclude anisometropia from the etiology of amblyopia. Limited works conducted in Pakistan to measure peripapillary retinal nerve fibre (pRNFL) and central macular thickness (CMT) in adults with hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia. There are also conflicting results about peripapillary retinal nerve fibre (pRNFL) and central macular thickness (CMT) measurements in amblyopic eyes warranting the need for carrying out this study in the Pakistani population.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Combined Military Hospital Lahore Pakistan, from October 2019 to February 2020. Patients selected for study after fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria and written informed consent was taken after permission from research review board Combined Military Hospital Lahore, ref no 149/2020. Sampling was done through consecutive non-probability sampling. Thirty healthy subjects 1, 2 between 18-40 years of age, diagnosed hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia with best corrected visual acuity of 6/6 in normal eye were included. Sample size was calculated by comparing two means of RNFL thickness, using statistics and sample size pro. Patients having family history of glaucoma, corneal or retinal dystrophy, previous history of ocular or laser surgery, previous history of trauma, history of diabetic retinopathy and any identifiable pathology in eyes which has been confirmed via a slit lamp examination were excluded. Selected patients fulfilling the criteria underwent ophthalmic clinical examination including corrected and uncorrected distance visual acuity measurements, slit lamp examination, Ophthalmoscopy and measurement of IOP by applanation tonometer. All measurements/examinations were taken by a single person (1st author) in order to exclude observer bias.
Pupils were dilated with one drop of 1% Cyclopentolate; instilled three times, with gap of 10 minutes, cycloplegic refraction was carried out using Full Auto Ref-Keratometer (Canon RK-F1, U.S.A). Upon confirmation of hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia, pRNFL thickness was measured using Spectral Domain OCT (RS-3000 SLO, NIDEK Co, Japan) in both eyes. After measuring pRNFL thickness, CMT was measured in central 3.5mm of the macula, by SD OCT similarly for both eyes. Data was evaluated and analysed using a version of SPSS-21. Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to check normality. Independent sample Mann Whitney U-test was applied as normality test shows significant p-value (p<0.05).

RESULTS

A total number of 30 patients participated in this study. Out of 30 patients 13 (43%) were female and 17 (57%) were male. Mean age of patients was 29 ± 11 years (table-I). Mean change in pRNFL thickness in amblyopic eyes were 112.9280 ± 4.72011 m and non amblyopic eyes were 121.4830 ± 4.90973 m. Mean change in central macular thickness in amblyopic eyes were 198.50 ± 5.309 and non amblyopic eyes were 206.80 ± 3.112 (table-II).

DISCUSSION

Amblyopia is a decrease in the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in absence of any organic cause. Vision disorders which are most common in children are strabismus, amblyopia and significant refractive error. Amblyopia is the foremost cause of monocular loss of vision and involves about 1-5% of children worldwide. Normal development of vision occurs in infancy and is the most critical period for development of eye sight in children and adults in future.

Difference in refractive power of anisometric amblyopic eyes creates unclear image on retina which further impair visual development. It is thought that anisometric amblyopia develops secondary to different neuronal mechanisms. Normal development of occipital cortex occurs during development and maturation phase of the visual system. Any irregularity in this phase causes permanent loss of vision of that eye. Amblyopia is primarily a disorder of cortex which is caused by asymmetrical input from the two eyes into the primary visual cortex.

This study compared central macular thickness (CMT) and peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) of hyperopic anisometric amblyopic eyes and non amblyopic fellow eyes of adults by using spectral domain Optical coherence tomography (OCT) and investigated whether
these structures are affected in amblyopic eyes. However, this study does not include measurement of other structures such as choroidal thickness, mean macular or foveal volume, macular and optic disc morphology.

Walker et al\textsuperscript{13}, enrolled 30 patients (60 eyes) adult population having anisometropic amblyopia. The average thickness of peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) was 90.6μm (SD=9.6) of the amblyopic and 90.1μm (SD=12.1μm) fellow eye. The average thickness of macula was 260.1 μm (SD=32.0μm) and 254.7μm (SD=32.5μm) of amblyopic and fellow eye respectively. Study determined that there was no significant difference of peripapillary and macular thickness of amblyopic and non amblyopic eyes. Wang et al\textsuperscript{14}. Studied in 14 children, 5-10 years of age having anisometropic hyperopic amblyopia and concluded there is no difference in retinal nerve fibre (RNFL) thickness, central macular thickness (CMT) and total macular volume between amblyopic and non amblyopic fellow eyes. Another study conducted on strabismic amblyopia and refractive amblyopia by Dickmann et al\textsuperscript{15}. Which determined that thickness of macula significantly increases only in the strabismic amblyopic group but did not find significant change in retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) thickness and foveal volume of amblyopic and non amblyopic fellow eyes of both groups (strabismic and refractive group). Yakar et al\textsuperscript{16}, studied 30 adults who were hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia and compared central macular thickness (CMT) and peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) changes in amblyopic and fellow eyes. They observed a mean central macular thickness (CMT) in the amblyopic eyes (266.90 ± 23.22 m) to be almost similar to fellow eyes (263.70 ± 22.84 m) with an insignificant p-value=0.342. Also, the mean peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness in amblyopic eyes (111.90 ± 12.9 m), was comparable to fellow eyes (109.70 ± 9.42 m), with the p-value again being insignificant (p=0.621). Xu et al\textsuperscript{16}, Celik et al\textsuperscript{17}, carried out similar studies and found insignificant difference in pRNFL thickness, central macular thickness and macular volume in hyperopic anisometropic amblyopic population.

Contrary to above results, Andalib et al\textsuperscript{18}, studied two groups, anisometropic and strabismic amblyopic. Anisometropic amblyopia group results showed significant increase in the mean macular thickness while there was no significant change in peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness in amblyopic and non amblyopic fellow eyes. Strabismic amblyopic group results showed no significant change in thickness of central macular thickness (CMT) as well as peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) in amblyopic and nonamblyopic fellow eyes. Pang et al\textsuperscript{19}, studied myopic anisometropic amblyopia, who have unilateral high myopia Huynh et al\textsuperscript{20}. Studied in hypermetropic anisometropic amblyopic eyes. Both studies suggested increase in thickness of central macula (1mm diameter ring) and thickness decreases toward peripheral macula as compared to fellow eye in untreated amblyopic eyes. Al-Haddad et al\textsuperscript{21}, found significantly increase in mean foveal volume in anisometropic amblyopia as well as in strabismic amblyopia patients. Several other studies, Szige\textsuperscript{22}, Yal\textsuperscript{23}, carried out which found significant increase of central macular thickness and insignificant changes in peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness in anisometropic amblyopic patients.

Kim et al\textsuperscript{24}, studied structural changes in deprivational amblyopic and non amblyopic fellow eyes. He found increase in the average thickness of retinal nerve fibre layer (99.64 ± 10.11 μm) of eyes having amblyopia when compared with non amblyopic fellow eyes (97.28 ± 12.34 μm) but change of thickness was not significant, only nasal retinal nerve fibre layer thickness of amblyopic (75.84 ± 19.22 μm) eyes were significant as compared with fellow eyes (63.42 ± 14.05 μm). He also found no significant difference of central macular thickness of amblyopic (237.05 ± 37.74 μm) and non amblyopic fellow eyes (226.67 ± 34.71 μm). Wu et al\textsuperscript{25}, studied on 72 patients of hyperopic anisometropic amblyopia with average age of 5-16 years. He found significant increase...
in average peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness in amblyopic eyes (113.9 ± 7.2 µm) as compared to non amblyopic fellow eyes (109.2 ± 6.9 µm) with statistical significance ($p=0.02$). Mean macular foveola thickness was 181.4 ± 14.2 µm in amblyopic eyes and 175.2 ± 13.3 µm in non amblyopic eyes with statistical significance ($p<0.01$) but there was no significant difference in macular thickness of 1mm, 3mm or 6mm.

This study on anisometropic hyperopic amblyopia adults conducted on Pakistani population showed significant increase in peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness in amblyopic eyes compared to non amblyopic fellow eyes (table-II). On the other hand central macular thickness decreased in hyperopic anisometric amblyopic amblyopic eyes as compared to non amblyopic fellow eyes (table-II).

**CONCLUSION**

Central macular thickness (CMT) decreased, while peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL) thickness increased in patients with anisometropic amblyopia. It is assumed that either structural changes i.e. central macular or retinal nerve fibre layer thickness play essential role in pathogenesis of amblyopia or these structural changes occurs after amblyopia in patients with anisometric hyperopic amblyopia develops.
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