A Study on Lap Joint Welding of Thin Plate ASTM F1684 Using Fiber Laser Welding

Jaewoong Kim, Younghyun Kim, Jisun Kim, Yongtai Kim, Dongwoo Kim, Sungwook Kang and Changmin Pyo

1 Smart Mobility Materials and Components R&D Group, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Gwangju 61012, Korea; kjw0607@kitech.re.kr (J.K.); kyunh27@kitech.re.kr (Y.K.); kimjisun@kitech.re.kr (J.K.)
2 Cargo Containment System Research Dep’t, Hyundai Heavy Industries, Seoul 03058, Korea; yongt@hhi.co.kr (Y.K.); dwkim@hhi.co.kr (D.K.)
3 Transport Machine Components R&D Group, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Jinju-si 52845, Korea; swkang@kitech.re.kr
* Correspondence: changmin@kitech.re.kr; Tel.: +82-62-600-6080

Abstract: The International Maritime Organization (IMO) has developed stricter regulations on emission standards for sulfur oxides, etc., and the demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) is increasing as an alternative to satisfy these standards. This study relates to fiber laser welding, an approach which offers high-speed welding and low welding deformation for ASTM F1684, which has a low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) even in a cryogenic environment. In this study, through three preliminary experiments using 0.25 mm thick Invar, the conditions required to secure sufficient penetration depth and back bead were identified. Through the cross-sectional observation analysis, the welding conditions without defects were identified and the trend of penetration shape according to increasing welding speed was identified. Following a lap joint laser welding experiment under the secured conditions, the mechanical properties were evaluated through the shear strength test and the heat influence range of a fiber laser was identified through the temperature measurement of a welding part. As a result, it was confirmed that the shear strength of the lap joint laser welding part was 86.8% that of the base metal.
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1. Introduction

To reduce marine environmental pollution, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) has strictly regulated the sulfur content standard for ship fuel oil, reducing it from 3.5% m/m (Mass by mass) to 0.5% in January 2020 [1]. As typical offshore petroleum-based fuels cannot meet this regulation, the demand for liquefied natural gas (LNG) as an alternative fuel is increasing and the demand for ships that use LNG as fuel is rising as well [2,3]. When LNG is used, the emission of sulfur oxides (SOx) is reduced by 60–90% and the emission of nitrogen oxides (NOx) is about 30% lower. In terms of CO2 emission, reductions of more than 20% can be achieved [2–5].

Natural Gas (NG) has a boiling point of −163 °C, and cryogenic conditions are required for its storage and transportation in a liquefied state. Since general metals cannot be used due to their brittleness under the above condition, care should be taken when selecting materials [6]. Additionally, many researches of cryogenic metals for treating LNG were performed [7–10]. ASTM F1684 is known to have a low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) from room temperature to around Curie temperature (230 °C), and excellent mechanical properties in cryogenic environments. Due to these characteristics, it is widely used as a high-reliability and high-precision material, in space equipment, precision equipment, and LNG tanks [11–13].
There are quite a few welding studies on ASTM F1684. Harrison et al. confirmed that the low thermal expansion characteristic, which is the main characteristic of Invar, is maintained when using SLM (selective laser melting), a specific 3D printing technology that completely melts and fuse metal powder using a high-density laser [14]. Yakout et al. applied SLM and confirmed that laser energy density of SLM affect the density and microstructure of Invar [15]. Zhan et al. compared the residual stress and deformation amount for various parameters by applying the multi-layer Laser-MIG (Metal Inert Gas) Hybrid Welding method to 19.5 mm thick Invar. As a result, residual stress and deformation were reduced in three-layer welding compared to two-layer welding [16]. Zhan et al. compared MIG Welding with Layer Laser-MIG Hybrid Welding of Invar in terms of welding efficiency and deformation and found that the laser-MIG hybrid welding showed less deformation than MIG welding and the welding time was also reduced by eight times [17]. Bharat et al. applied friction stir welding to Invar with a thickness of 12.7 mm and performed a cross-sectional analysis and compared mechanical properties depending on pin tools. As a result, the coefficients of thermal expansion, tensile strength, and micro hardness of the welds were essentially unchanged from the parent material, and the pin tool produced remnant-free welds with insignificant tool wear [18]. Yue et al. applied friction stir welding to 3.0 mm thick Invar and achieved defect-free welding conditions through X-ray analysis, cross-sectional analysis, and mechanical property tests depending on the parameters [19]. Corbacho et al. applied GTAW (gas tungsten arc welding) to Invar with a thickness of 4 mm to 8 mm and analyzed its microstructure. As a result, GTAW, a low heat input welding process, exhibited a narrow HAZ (Heat Affected Zone) area, and Invar requires attention because surface and grain-boundary oxidation are prone to occur [20]. Wang et al. performed lap joint welding by applying the GTAW welding method to Invar of 0.7 mm and 1.0 mm thickness and compared its microstructure, hardness, and shear tensile characteristics depending on duty cycle size. As a result, the tensile shear force is directly proportional to the duty cycle, and the maximum tensile shear force is 4425 N [11]. Kim et al. applied fiber laser welding using cold wire to a cross-shaped Invar of 1.5 mm and 3.0 mm thickness. By optimizing the welding conditions for the cross-shaped structure, which previously required 4 welding passes, the number of passes was reduced to 2 [21].

Base on the above studies, this study was conducted to confirm the welding feasibility of ultra-thin plates with a thickness of 1 mm or less. To this end, the study was conducted with fiber laser welding with easy systemization, automation, and robotization, enabling automatic welding, high-speed welding, and low welding deformation.

For this study, a preliminary experiment was performed with the laser power and welding speed as variables to secure an appropriate amount of heat input and a stable back bead when welding the 0.2 mm thick ASTM F1684 lap joint through fiber laser welding. After performing lap joint welding under the welding conditions obtained through the preliminary experiment, the bead width, bead height, weld zone width, and penetration were analyzed through cross-sectional observation, and the shear strength of the weld zone was compared through a shear test.

2. Materials and Methods

In this study, a lap joint fiber laser welding experiment was performed on ASTM F1684 with a thickness of 0.25 mm and the optimum welding conditions were derived through three series of preliminary experiments, cross-sectional analyses, and shear strength tests. The material used in this study is ASTM F1684 and its chemical composition is shown in Table 1. Further mechanical properties are shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Chemical composition of ASTM F1684 [21].

| Material   | Ni  | Mo | C  | Mn |
|------------|-----|----|----|----|
| ASTM F1684 | 36  | ~0.5 | ~0.1 | ~0.06 |
|            | P   | ~0.025 | S  | ~0.025 |
|            | Si  | ~0.35 | Cr | ~0.5 |

Table 2. Mechanical properties of ASTM F1684 [21].

| Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (mm/mmK) | Young's Modulus (GPa) | Density (kg/m³) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1.2 × 10⁻⁶                               | 148.0                 | 8100.0          |

In this study, a specimen with a size of 100 mm × 200 mm × 0.25 mm was used for the lap joint fiber laser welding experiment. After overlapping two plates by about 30 mm, a welding experiment was performed on the overlapped central part, and its schematic diagram is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. ASTM F1684 thin plate lap joint welding test piece schematic diagram.](Image)

When a thin plate is used, significant welding deformation can occur, so a jig is required to control the deformation. The layout of a welding system with the jig installed is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Fiber laser welding system with jig.](Image)
In this study, all surfaces except 20 mm around the welding line were tightly fixed in order to control the welding deformation as much as possible and to minimize the gap between the specimens for the lap joint welding. Two specimens were inserted and fixed in the middle of the jig as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Lap joint welding jig setting.

For laser welding, Miyachi 5 kW fiber laser welding equipment was used. The equipment consists of a laser welding oscillator, an optical system, a controller, and a chiller, as shown in Figure 4. The optical system used in this study has a spot diameter of 400 μm, a focal length of 148.8 mm, and a focal depth of 6 mm. The 6-axis automatic robot was from Yaskawa.

Figure 4. Fiber laser equipment.

A macro test was performed to analyze weld zone, width, and penetration. The test was performed based on ASTM E340 and the environmental conditions were a temperature of 23 °C and a humidity of 43% RH, and 3% Nital was used as etching solution. The etched cross-section was observed using equipment made by Olympus and is shown in Figure 5.
A shear tensile strength test was performed to analyze the fracture shape of a welding part and measure its strength. The tensile strength was measured using the Hounsfield H10KS universal testing machine (UTM), as shown in Figure 6. To measure the shear strength, a test piece with a size of 370 mm × 20 mm × 0.25 mm was made, as shown in Figure 7. The tensile speed was fixed at 5 mm/min.
3. Results

To select an appropriate amount of heat input, the welding speed was fixed at 1 m/min and the first preliminary experiment of five cases was performed using the laser output value as a variable. Each experiment condition is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. 1st Conditions of laser welding for 0.25 mm thickness of ASTM F1684.

| Welding Parameters       | Experimental Conditions |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Laser power (kW)         | 0.50, 0.55, 0.60, 0.65, 0.70 |
| Welding speed (m/min)    | 1                       |
| Defocus (mm)             | 0                       |
| Shielding gas Ar (L/min) | 15                      |
| Tilting angle & Working angle (°) | 0                   |

After the welding experiment for each case, the welding part was visually observed and its appearance is as shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Surface geometry of the first experiment of Lap joint welding.](image)

In Cases 1 and 2, there was no penetration due to welding failure. Case 3 showed partial welding and Cases 4 and 5 made a back bead through sufficient penetration, but there was also a humping bead. In addition, through laser power monitoring, it was confirmed that the high-power laser oscillated in the initial stage compared to the input power during low power welding, and it was further confirmed that its output was stable at 1 kW or more. The results are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Laser power monitoring.](image)
It is necessary to increase the laser output power to avoid the welding defects seen in Cases 1 to 5, and the second preliminary experiment for the five cases was performed using the welding speed as a variable after fixing the output value to 1 kW where stable laser power is generated. Each experimental condition is shown in Table 4.

| Welding Parameters | Experimental Conditions |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Laser power (kW)   | 1                       |
| Welding speed (m/min) | 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1 |
| Defocus (mm)       | 0                       |
| Shielding gas Ar (L/min) | 15                     |
| Tilting angle & Working angle (°) | 0 |

After the welding experiment for each case, the welding part was visually observed and the results are as shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10. Surface geometry of the second lap joint welding experiment.

Cases 6 to 10 in the second preliminary experiment had sufficient penetration, leading to the creation of a back bead, but it was confirmed that burn through and humping beads were also produced at the same time. A burn through was created when molten metal flows to the opposite side of a test piece locally, and the humping bead is a welding defect that occurs due to excessive heat input when the molten metal supplied to the rear is supplied unstable. To control burn through and humping beads, it was judged that the amount of heat input needs to be reduced. Therefore, the welding speed was increased in the third preliminary test. The laser output power was fixed to 1 kW, as in the second preliminary experiment, and then its speed was increased to 2.5 m/min, 3.0 m/min, 3.5 m/min, 4.0 m/min, and 4.5 m/min. An experiment was performed for five cases each and each experimental condition is shown in Table 5.

| Welding Parameters | Experimental Conditions |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Laser power (kW)   | 1                       |
| Welding speed (m/min) | 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5 |
| Defocus (mm)       | 0                       |
| Shielding gas Ar (L/min) | 15                     |
| Tilting angle & Working angle | 0 |

After the welding experiment for each case, the welding part was visually observed as shown in Figure 11.
During the third preliminary experiment, Case 11 showed multiple points of burn through. In Case 12, there was burn through at the starting point and the center of welding. In Cases 13, 14, and 15, there was burn through at the starting point of welding, but sufficient penetration depth and back bead were created. The burn through that occurs at the starting point of welding can be solved through welding output pulse control.

3.1. Analysis of Cross-Section Observation Results

A cross-sectional analysis was performed based on the third preliminary test specimen. The specimen was taken from the center of the welding part and the cross-sectional observation results are shown in Figure 12.

Through a visual observation, no internal crack was found in the welding part in Cases 11 to 15, but there were under fill and root concavity in Case 11 and root concavity in Cases 12 and 13. In Cases 14 and 15, there were complete penetration and back bead without any visible cracks or porosity. Next, the top bead width, top bead height, weld zone width, back bead width, back bead height, and penetration of Cases 11 to 15 were measured as shown in Figure 13. Table 6 shows the cross-sectional observation results and the measured values for each welding condition.

Table 6. Sectional observation measurement result.

| Case | Bead Width (mm) | Bead Height (mm) | Weld Zone (mm) | Back Bead Width (mm) | Back Bead Height (mm) | Penetration (mm) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 11   | 0.690           | 0.105           | 0.620          | 0.485               | −0.018               | 0.716           |
| 12   | 0.812           | 0.030           | 0.559          | 0.423               | −0.021               | 0.648           |
| 13   | 0.766           | 0.051           | 0.499          | 0.433               | −0.020               | 0.654           |
| 14   | 0.704           | 0.020           | 0.485          | 0.448               | 0.025                | 0.670           |
| 15   | 0.698           | 0.004           | 0.474          | 0.435               | 0.027                | 0.663           |
As Table 6 shows, Cases 11, 12, and 13 showed a negative value for the back bead height. If the back bead height value is negative, it is considered that root concavity has occurred. In other words, Cases 11, 12, and 13 showed welding defects due to the occurrence of root concavity. Cases 14 and 15 have positive values for the back bead height, meaning back beads were successfully created. Next, the values measured from cross-sectional observation were compared and analyzed for each laser speed. The trends of top bead width, top bead height, weld zone width, back bead width, back bead height, and penetration depending on laser speed increase are as shown in Figure 14 below.

![Figure 14](image-url)

**Figure 14.** Changes in section observation measurement values. (a) Penetration; (b) Bead width; (c) Bead height (d) Weld zone; (e) Back bead width; (f) Back bead height.
In Figure 14, it is not easy to see the tendency related to laser speed at 2.5 m/min, 3.0 m/min, and 3.5 m/min conditions in (a) Penetration, (e) Back bead width, and (f) Back bead height. This is because the value has been affected by the occurrence of root concavity. As the laser speed increased, the (b) Bead width, (c) Bead height, and (d) Weld zone values tended to decrease. It was confirmed that the back bead was created under the conditions of laser speed 4.0 m/min and 4.5 m/min in the (f) Back bead height graph.

3.2. Shear Strength Test

From the results of the cross-sectional analysis in Section 3.1, the optimum conditions Case 14 for lap joint fiber laser welding for 0.25 mm ASTM F1684 can be identified. When \( \sigma = P/A_1 \), \( \tau = P/A_2 \), a fracture occurs in a base metal if the tensile stress(\( \sigma \)) is greater than the shear stress(\( \tau \)) when the load (P) applied to the welding part is the same and the welding line is the same, as shown in Figure 15. To prevent the breakage of a welding part and induce the breakage of a base metal, Cases 14 and 15 were selected, where the WL (weld line) was 0.25 mm or more and the back bead was created.

![Figure 15. Shear strength prediction model.](image)

A total of eight repeated experiments were performed, i.e., four times each under Cases 14 and 15 welding conditions, and the results of the shear strength test are as shown in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 7. Case 14 shear strength test result.

| Case #   | Specimen Size (mm) | Max Force (N) |
|----------|--------------------|---------------|
|          | Thick | Width |              |
| Case 14_1 | 0.25  | 20.22 | 2043         |
| Case 14_2 | 0.25  | 19.97 | 2045         |
| Case 14_3 | 0.25  | 20.14 | 2050         |
| Case 14_4 | 0.25  | 20.15 | 1998         |
| Average  |        |       | 2034         |
| Standard deviation |         |     | 20.94        |

Table 8. Case 15 shear strength test result.

| Case #   | Specimen Size (mm) | Max Force (N) |
|----------|--------------------|---------------|
|          | Thick | Width |              |
| Case 15_1 | 0.25  | 20.00 | 2008         |
| Case 15_2 | 0.25  | 19.90 | 2048         |
| Case 15_3 | 0.25  | 19.61 | 1988         |
| Case 15_4 | 0.25  | 20.23 | 2043         |
| Average  |        |       | 2021.75      |
| Standard deviation |         |     | 24.84        |
In the shear tension test of the fillet joint, only the maximum load value was presented at the time of shear tension because interfacial failure occurred at the weld. As shown in Tables 7 and 8, it was confirmed that the average max force value of Case 14 was 2034 N and the average value of Case 15 was 2021.75 N. After the shear strength test, the fracture appearance of each case was observed, as shown in Figures 16 and 17.

| Laser Power: 1 kW, Welding speed: 4.0 m/min | Case 14_1 | Case 14_2 | Case 14_3 | Case 14_4 |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Case 15_1                                 | Case 15_2 | Case 15_3 | Case 15_4 |

**Figure 16.** Case 14 condition appearance shape after shear fracture.

**Figure 17.** Case 15 condition appearance shape after shear fracture.

Observing the fracture appearance, it was found that under all conditions a fracture occurred at the interface of a welding part, not the base metal.

### 3.3. Temperature Measurement of Welding Part

The temperature in the vicinity of the welding part was measured in order to check the heat-affected zone of the fiber laser of 0.25 mm thick ASTM F1684. A reproduction experiment was performed by applying the welding conditions of Case 14, which did not show welding defects in the cross-sectional analysis, as shown in Section 3.1. To measure the temperature, one thermocouple was attached to each of the top and back surfaces. The temperature was measured at four locations, i.e., 1 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm, and 30 mm, as shown in Figure 18 to check the temperature at a location as close to the welding line as possible, and the actual attachment is shown in Figure 19.
Figure 18. Schematic diagram of thermocouple attachment location.

Figure 19. Thermocouple setting.

The highest temperature of 203 °C was measured at a location 1 mm from the welding part. The temperature distribution chart obtained from the temperature measurements is shown in Figure 20.

Figure 20. Temperature distribution graph.
By comparing top and back, it was confirmed that similar temperature distributions. Thickness is thought to have no effect on the temperature because the experiment was conducted on thin sheet materials with high thermal conductivity. By comparing distance away the weld line, it was found that as the distance from became smaller, the temperature increased. In addition, the temperature decrease per distance showed a non-linear tendency. The maximum temperature and the time when the maximum temperature occurs are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Maximum temperature and the time when the maximum temperature.

| Distance from Welding Line (mm) | Max Temp. (°C) of Top Plate | Time (s) at Max Temp. | Max Temp. (°C) of Back Plate | Time (s) at Max Temp. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1                               | 194.40                      | 27.25                | 203.50                      | 27.5                 |
| 10                              | 81.00                       | 37.50                | 82.50                       | 35.00                |
| 20                              | 39.30                       | 27.25                | 26.90                       | 35.75                |
| 30                              | 33.30                       | 27.25                | 27.30                       | 61.75                |

4. Discussion

In this study, fiber laser welding was applied to the lap joint for 0.25 mm thick ASTM F1684 and an experiment was performed to derive the optimum conditions. As an additional experiment, three tensile strength tests were performed on a base metal specimen 370 mm × 20 mm × 0.25 mm in size, which is the same as the shear tensile strength test specimen from the welding part in Figure 7. The base metal was cut to a size of 200 mm × 20 mm × 0.25 mm in the directions of 0° and 90°, and tensile strength tests were performed three times each. Nine tensile strength tests were performed and then the results were compared to the strength of the welding part. Table 10 shows the maximum load value when the base metal breaks.

Table 10. Base material shear strength test result.

| CASE               | Length (mm) | Rolling Direction (°) | Max Force (N) |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Base metal 1       | 370         | 0                     | 2395          |
| Base metal 2       | 370         | 0                     | 2308          |
| Base metal 3       | 370         | 0                     | 2325          |
| Base metal 4       | 200         | 0                     | 2275          |
| Base metal 5       | 200         | 0                     | 2318          |
| Base metal 6       | 200         | 0                     | 2285          |
| Base metal 7       | 200         | 90                    | 2243          |
| Base metal 8       | 200         | 90                    | 2238          |
| Base metal 9       | 200         | 90                    | 2280          |
| Average            |             |                       | 2296.33       |
| Standard deviation |             |                       | 45.04         |

As shown in Table 10, it was confirmed that there was no difference in tensile strength depending on the direction of a base metal. In addition, even if the length of a specimen was varied and the experiment was performed in UTM, it was confirmed that there was no effect related to the length. The average maximum load of ASTM F1684 base metal is 2296.33 N and the tensile strength of the welding part is about 88.6% that of the base metal because 2034 N is the average maximum load of the lap joint welding part, as shown in Table 6.

Fiber laser welding has a volumetric speed that is 2 to 10 times faster than typical arc welding, which means that a shorter manufacturing time and excellent quality without defects can be expected when applied to thin plate ASTM F1684 welding due to its low welding deformation.
5. Conclusions

In this study, fiber laser welding was applied to lap joint welding of 0.25 mm thick ASTM F1684 to identify welding conditions that can lead to excellent welding quality and to analyze the maximum temperature during welding. The summary of the findings is as follows.

1. The conditions required for excellent lap joint welding quality of 0.25 t ASTM F1684 were a welding power of 1 kW and a welding speed of 4.0 m/min or 4.5 m/min. When welding at the above conditions, it was confirmed that excellent quality was obtained by securing sufficient beads on the back side.

2. The tensile strength of a welding part was compared with that of a base metal after lap joint welding under the condition that sufficient beads appeared on the back side. When the width was 20 mm and the thickness was 0.25 mm, the tensile strength of the base metal was 2296.33 N and the tensile strength of the welding specimen was 2034 N, which was 88.6% that of the base metal.

3. By comparing top and back, it was confirmed that similar temperature distributions were shown. In addition, it was confirmed that the maximum temperature at a location 1 mm from the welding line was 203.5 °C, and the maximum temperature at a location 10 mm away was 81 °C.
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