Salt-tolerant plant growth-promoting bacteria enhanced salinity tolerance of salt-tolerant alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) cultivars at high salinity
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**Abstract**

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) plant growth decreases when cultivated under salinity or irrigated with salty water. Inoculation with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) is a method for mitigating the harmful effects of salinity on plants growth. To investigate salt-tolerant PGPB with salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive alfalfa cultivar interactions under salinity, some physiological and agronomical aspects were investigated. The inoculated plants of alfalfa cultivars with *Hartmannibacter diazotrophicus* and *Pseudomonas* sp. bacteria were compared with non-inoculated plants. Plants were grown in growth room and irrigated with tap water until 6–7 weeks, and then, salinity stress imposed by irrigating with tap water (control), 10 dS m⁻¹ and 20 dS m⁻¹ NaCl. Salinity reduced relative water content (RWC), membrane stability index (MSI), K⁺, photosynthesis rate (Pn) and stomatal conductance (gs), leaf number, height, and dry weight, and increased sodium in all cultivars. Inoculation of cultivars with both PGPB mitigated the negative effects of salinity on plants growth by increasing the root length and weight, nodule number, chlorophyll pigments, RWC, MSI, Pn, and gs. Chlorophyll pigments, plant height and leaf number, Na⁺, K⁺/Na⁺, and nodule number improved more pronounced through inoculating with *Pseudomonas* sp., whereas K⁺, carotenoids, and RWC improved more pronounced through *H. diazotrophicus* under salinity. The results showed inoculation with two bacteria improved growth performance in salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive cultivars under 10 dS m⁻¹, but at high salinity (20 dS m⁻¹), inoculation was successful only in salt-tolerant alfalfa cultivars.
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**Introduction**

Soil salinity is one of the most important factors that restrains plants’ productivity and quality. It also spreads out in the world year to year. All growth aspects and yield components decrease under salinity in many plants (Munns and Tester 2008; Shahbaz and Ashraf 2013). Photosynthesis, gas exchanges, and plant growth decrease due to the osmotic and ionic stress of salinity stress (Chaves et al. 2009). Two main strategies to overcome salinity stress include (i) technological strategy which is expensive; (ii) biological strategy which is economically feasible (Ashraf and Foolad 2013). One biological method for decreasing deleterious effects of salinity is application of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) (Bacilio et al. 2004; Shrivastava and Kumar 2015; Trdan et al. 2019). Alleviation of salt stress by PGBP has been reported in alfalfa (Noori et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2016), legume (Zahran 1999), barley (Suarez et al. 2015),
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okra (Habib et al. 2016), lettuce (Han and Lee 2005), and pepper (Del Amor and Cuadra-Crespo 2012). Recent studies emphasize on the mitigating effect of PGPB on plants growth by nutrient solubilization, nitrogen fixation, and phytohormone production mechanisms (Dodd, and Pérez-Alfocea 2012; Paul and Lade 2014).

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) is a perennial plant which is cultivated as a forage in large areas of irrigated lands in the world. This plant has high economic and agronomical importance due to its high forage quality and N2 fixation ability (Anower et al. 2013). Alfalfa is moderately saline tolerant plant among the legumes; however, its production decreases at salinity above 2 dS m\(^{-1}\) (Maas and Hoffman 1977). With regard to high water need of alfalfa production among plants, and also water shortages in many areas, the need to use saline or wastewater for alfalfa irrigation increases every day. Reports show that PGPB has improving effects on alfalfa germination indices and growth aspects under salinity (Bertrand et al. 2015; Ansari et al. 2017; Noori et al. 2018). Bertrand et al. (2015) showed that combining salt-tolerant rhizobial strains with salt-tolerant alfalfa cultivars was an effective strategy to improve alfalfa productivity under salinity. Noori et al. (2018) showed that nodule non-rhizobial strains in alfalfa plants had PGPB abilities and could use for improving salinity tolerance as a Rhizobium bio-fertilizers in salinity conditions.

In the previous study, we selected two salt-tolerant bacteria (two strains) among four commercial PGPB strains and we showed their significant positive influence on improving germination indices in nine alfalfa cultivars under salinity (Ansari et al. 2017). In this study, we investigated the hypothesis that salt-tolerant bacteria can improve salinity tolerance in alfalfa and this effect in salt-tolerant cultivars is more than salt-sensitive cultivars under saline conditions. The aims of this study were to investigate (i) whether inoculation with PGPB alleviates growth parameters and physiological properties in alfalfa cultivars, (ii) the interaction between salt-tolerant PGPB with salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive alfalfa cultivars, (iii) the differences between inoculated plants of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive alfalfa cultivars under salinity, and (iv) evaluation of the effect of inoculation with PGPB on Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ions absorption.

## Materials and methods

### Salt-tolerant bacteria strains

This study is continuation of the previous experiments about the effects of alfalfa cultivar inoculation with salt-tolerant bacteria under salinity. To inoculate salt-tolerant and sensitive alfalfa cultivars seeds with salt-tolerant bacteria, two representative soil bacteria (Pseudomonas sp. DSMZ 13134 proradix and Hartmannibacter diazotrophicus) were prepared and used (Ansari et al. 2017). The Pseudomonas sp. bacteria came from an industrial product used in BIO-JECTOR project (https://www.biojector.info) and H. diazotrophicus came from the Justus-Liebig-University, of Gießen in Germany from the collection of Prof. Sylvia Schnell and Stefan Ratering.

### Alfalfa cultivars, inoculum procedure, and cultivation

Four alfalfa cultivars Hamadan, Hashtroud, Heris salt-tolerant, and Local 253 (salt-sensitive) were selected in germination test (Ansari et al. 2017). Alfalfa seeds were scarified for 2 min in 98% sulphuric acid and then surface-sterilized for 3 min in 5% sodium hypochloride. After washing, the seeds were placed in separated and sterile Petri dishes and 10 ml microbial solution cells (1.6 × 10\(^{13}\) CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) added to each of them. The Petri dishes were placed in room temperature for 1 h, and then, the seeds were dried. Forty seeds of alfalfa cultivars were planted in plastic pots (20 cm diameter) that were filled with mixture of farm soil and perlite (4, 1). The pots were placed in the growth room of the Soil Science and Water Management Department of Szent Istvan University under a 25/15 °C day/night temperatures, a 15 h photoperiod, and a photosynthetic photon flux density of 600–800 mmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). The Pots were irrigated with tap water after sowing and extra seedlings were cut after establishment and 20 plants retained in each pot.

### Salinity treatments and experimental design

The pots irrigated with tap water until 6–7 weeks, and then, salinity treatments (tap water: 0 dS m\(^{-1}\) (control), 10 dS m\(^{-1}\), and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\)) imposed by irrigating with salty water every 5 days. Irrigation was continued until the end of two harvests. The experimental design was factorial and comprised three levels of salt, four alfalfa cultivars (randomized complete block design), two bacteria, three replicates, and two harvests in 108 pots.

### Measurement

Relative water content (RWC) and membrane stability index (MSI) were measured after the first and second week salinity treatment. RWC, six leaf samples were detached in each treatment and replication and weighed immediately to measure fresh weight (FW); after that, the samples were dipped in the distilled water for 24 h. The leaves were weighed to record fully turgid weight (TW) and were subjected to oven drying at 70 °C for 48 h to record the dry weight (DW). The RWC were calculated by the equation of 

\[
RWC = \frac{FW - DW}{TW - DW} \times 100
\]

(Smart and Bingham 2015).
Carotenoids content calculated by dividing photosynthesis rate to transpiration (ADC Bioscientific LTD. UK). Water-use efficiency (WUE) was measured using a portable infrared gas analyzer (two times) after the first week and second week salinity by LCi were measured using a portable infrared gas analyzer (two times) after the first week and second week salinity by LCi (Shivakrishna et al. 2018). The chlorophyll a (Chla) and chlorophyll b (Chlb) were calculated according to the following equations:

\[
\text{Chl}_a (\text{mg/g}) = [12.7A_{663} - 2.69A_{645}](V/W) \text{ Chl}_b (\text{mg/g}) = [22.9A_{645} - 4.68A_{663}](V/W)
\]

Total chlorophyll (mg/g) = \[20.2A_{645} + 8.02A_{663}\] \[\frac{\text{V}}{\text{W}}\]

Carotenoids content (mg/g) = \[\frac{1000A_{470} - 1.8\text{ Chl}_a - 85.02\text{ Chl}_b}{198}\]

where A is the optical density at specific wavelength (Arnon 1949).

Photosynthesis rate (Pn) and stomatal conductance (gs) were measured using a portable infrared gas analyzer (two times) after the first week and second week salinity by LCi (Biochrom Libra S22, UK) at wavelengths 470, 663, and 645 nm. Chlorophyll pigments were measured (one time) 2 weeks after salinity treatment. The content of chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b was extracted and quantified by the modified method of Arnon (1949). 500 mg (W) fresh leaf tissue was extracted using the volume (V) of 10 ml 80% Acetone. The extracted solutions were measured using a spectrophotometer (Biochrom Libra S22, UK) at wavelengths 470, 663, and 645 nm (Shivakrishna et al. 2018). The chlorophyll a (Chla) and chlorophyll b (Chlb) were calculated according to the following equations:

\[
\text{MSI} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\text{C1}}{\text{C2}}\right)\right] \times 100
\]

Ion content

Plant height in inoculated plant improved 14% and 15% and leaf number at second harvest were less than the first harvest in all cultivars under salinity. Inoculated plants of Hamadan and Local 253 was higher significantly than non-inoculated plants. The improvement effect of inoculation with bacteria was more pronounced in Heris and Local 253 at 10 dS m⁻¹. Inoculation with bacteria had no significant effect on the dry weight of alfalfa cultivars except Heris at the second harvest at 20 dS m⁻¹. The dry weight of inoculated plants of Heris was more than non-inoculated plants. Also, the inoculated plants with Pseudomonas sp. bacteria had higher height and leaf number than inoculated plants with H. diazotrophicus (Fig. 2). Plant height in inoculated plant improved 14% and 15% and leaf number improved 18% and 23% at control and salinity,
respectively, compared with non-inoculated plants. Study of interactions among harvest, salinity, and bacteria showed that at the first harvest, Heris and Local 253, at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ and Local 253 at 20 dS m$^{-1}$ had higher plant height and leaf number, but at second harvest, there was no significant difference among cultivars under salinity.

**Effect of inoculation with bacteria on nodule number, root length, and root weight**

Nodule number and root length decreased with increase in salinity in all cultivars; however, both of them in inoculated plants were more than non-inoculated at control and salinity. Study of the interactions between salinity and cultivar showed nodule number in inoculated plants with *Pseudomonas* sp. bacteria was more than inoculated plants with *H. diazotrophicus* and non-inoculated plants in control (Table 1). There was no significant difference in nodule number of inoculated plants with either bacterium at 10 dS m$^{-1}$. Also, the nodule number of inoculated plants of Heris and Hamadan was higher than non-inoculated plants, but in Local 253 and Hashtrod, there was no significant difference between inoculated and non-inoculated plants at 10 dS m$^{-1}$. At 20 dS m$^{-1}$, there was no significant difference in nodule number between inoculated and non-inoculated plants except Local 253. The interaction between salinity and cultivar showed at control Local 253, at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ Hashtrod, and at 20 dS m$^{-1}$ Hamadan had the highest root length among the cultivars. Root weight increased under salinity in all cultivars, and in inoculated plants, it was higher than non-inoculated. Investigating the interactions of salinity and cultivar showed that Heris and Hashtrod at control, Heris at 10 dS m$^{-1}$, and Local 253 at 20 dS m$^{-1}$ had the highest root weight (Table 1).

**Effect of inoculation with bacteria on relative water content (RWC) and membrane stability (MSI)**

To understand the inoculation effect on RWC and MSI in alfalfa plants under salinity, both of them were measured at the first and the second week after salinity. Data showed that RWC and MSI decreased under salinity stress during 2 weeks after salinity, and in inoculated plants, RWC and MSI were higher than non-inoculated plants (Fig. 1). Investigating the interactions among harvest, salinity, bacteria, and cultivar showed that there was no significant difference between inoculated and non-inoculated plants at 20 dS m$^{-1}$ a week after salinity; however, inoculated plants had relatively higher RWC compared with non-inoculated plants. Inoculated plants of the Local 253 and Heris had higher RWC compared with other cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ a week after salinity. At the second week, the inoculated plants of Heris and Hashtrod had a high RWC among the cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ and there was no significant difference among cultivars at 20 dS m$^{-1}$. Inoculated plants with *H. diazotrophicus* had higher RWC than inoculated plants with *Pseudomonas* sp. bacteria. Investigating the interaction harvest with bacteria and salinity with bacteria showed that the inoculated plants of Heris and Hashtrod had a higher MSI than other cultivars at 20 dS m$^{-1}$, but there was no significant difference in MSI between inoculated and non-inoculated plants at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ a week after salinity treatment (Fig. 1). At the second week, the inoculated plants of Hamadan and Local 253 had a higher MSI than other cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$, but there was no significant difference among cultivars at 20 dS m$^{-1}$.

**Chlorophyll a (Chla), chlorophyll b (Chlb), total chlorophyll (Chlab), and carotenoids content (Car)**

To understand the effects of inoculation with bacteria on chlorophyll pigments in alfalfa plants under salinity, *Chla*, *Chlb*, *Chlab*, and *Car* were measured 2 weeks after salinity treatment. Data showed that *Chla*, *Chlb*, *Chlab*, and *Car* decreased under salinity, but the rate of reduction in inoculated plants was less than non-inoculated plants (Table 1). Moreover, inoculated plants with *Pseudomonas* sp. had a higher *Chla*, *Chlb*, and *Chlab* than the inoculated plants with *H. diazotrophicus*. The study of interactions among salinity, bacteria, and cultivar showed that *Chla* in inoculated plants of all cultivars except Local 253 was more than non-inoculated under salinity. The study of the interaction between salinity and cultivar showed Heris and Hamadan had higher *Chlb* than other cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$, but there was no significant difference among cultivars at 20 dS m$^{-1}$. And also, the study of the interactions between salinity and cultivars showed Heris had higher *Chlab* and *Car* content compared with other cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ and 20 dS m$^{-1}$. Inoculated plants with *H. diazotrophicus* had higher *Car* than the inoculated plants with *Pseudomonas* sp. (Table 1).

**Photosynthesis rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (gs), and water-use efficiency (WUE)**

Pn, gs, and WUE were measured to understand the effect of inoculation with bacteria on the photosynthesis process in alfalfa plants under salinity at the first week and the second week after salinity treatment. Data showed that Pn, gs, and WUE in inoculated plants with bacteria were higher than non-inoculated plants under control and salinity. Pn and gs decreased, but WUE increased in all cultivars with the increase in salinity. There was no significant difference between the inoculated plants of *Pseudomonas* sp. and *H. diazotrophicus* bacteria in Pn and gs (Fig. 1). The interactions between salinity and cultivar showed that inoculated plants of Hamadan at control and Hashtrod at 10 dS m$^{-1}$ had the highest gs among the cultivars, and there was no significant difference among
| Treatment          | EC = 10 DSm⁻¹ | EC = 20 DSm⁻¹ |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Hamadan            |               |               |
| Non-inoculated     | 2.84 ± 0.14   | 1.94 ± 0.12   |
| H. diazotrophicus  | 2.22 ± 0.13   | 1.61 ± 0.16   |
| P. sp. Proradix    | 2.22 ± 0.14   | 1.61 ± 0.16   |
| Local 253          | 2.22 ± 0.14   | 1.61 ± 0.16   |
| Hamadan            | 2.84 ± 0.14   | 1.94 ± 0.12   |
| P. sp. Proradix    | 2.22 ± 0.14   | 1.61 ± 0.16   |
| Local 253          | 2.22 ± 0.14   | 1.61 ± 0.16   |

**Table 1**: Mean values and standard deviations of chlorophyll a and b, and total chlorophyll (Chla, Chlb, and Chl) in different treatments (EC = 10 DSm⁻¹ and EC = 20 DSm⁻¹) and different inorganic amendments (non-inoculated, inoculated with H. diazotrophicus, and inoculated with P. sp. Proradix).
the cultivars at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). The study of the interactions harvest with salinity and salinity with cultivar showed that \(Pn\) in the second week significantly was less than the first week at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\). And there was no significant difference in \(Pn\) between the first week and the second week at control and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) treatments. Hamadan at control and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) and Local 253 at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\) had the highest \(Pn\) among the cultivars. Study of the interactions among harvest, salinity and cultivar showed that WUE increased in the second week compared with the first week also WUE at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\) was more than control and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). Moreover, Hamadan at control and Local 253 at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) had the lowest WUE, and there was no significant difference among other cultivars; besides, Local 253 at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\) had the highest WUE (Fig. 2).

### Ion content

Potassium (K\(^{+}\)) and K\(^{+}/\)Na\(^{+}\) ratio decreased and sodium (Na\(^{+}\)) increased by increasing salinity in all cultivars. The interactions among salinity, bacteria, and cultivar showed that Na\(^{+}\) in inoculated plants with \(Pseudomonas\) sp. bacteria was less than inoculated plants with \(H.\ diazotrophicus\) and non-inoculated plants at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\). Also, the inoculated plants with both bacteria had fewer Na\(^{+}\) at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). The inoculated plants of Local 253 had fewer Na\(^{+}\) than other cultivars under salinity (Table 1). Investigating the interaction among salinity and cultivar showed that K\(^{+}\) in Local 253 which inoculated with \(Pseudomonas\) sp. at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\) and Hashtrod inoculated with \(H.\ diazotrophicus\) at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) was higher than other cultivars. The inoculated cultivars by \(H.\ diazotrophicus\) had higher K\(^{+}\) than inoculated by \(Pseudomonas\) sp. (Table 1). The interaction among salinity, cultivar, and bacteria showed that K\(^{+}/\)Na\(^{+}\) in Local 253 and Heris was higher than other cultivars at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\), but there was no significant difference among cultivars at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the K\(^{+}/\)Na\(^{+}\) ratio in inoculated plants with \(Pseudomonas\) sp. was higher than inoculated plants with \(H.\ diazotrophicus\) at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\). Local 253 which inoculated with \(Pseudomonas\) sp. had higher K\(^{+}/\)Na\(^{+}\) ratio compared with other inoculated cultivars at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\).

### Discussion

Salinity reduced significantly alfalfa dry weight production and growth due to increase in Na\(^{+}\) and reduction in RWC, chlorophyll pigments, photosynthesis rate, nodule number, and K\(^{+}\). The same results were reported by Munns and Tester (2008), Chaves et al. (2009), Ashraf and Foolad (2013) and Acosta-Motos et al. (2017). The results showed that inoculation by bacteria reduced the negative effects of salinity on alfalfa growth and dry weight, because the inoculated plants had high gs, \(Pn\), RWC, K\(^{+}\), leaf number, and height under salinity compared with non-inoculated plants.
Fig. 1 *Pseudomonas* sp. *Proradix* (*P. p*) and *Hartmannbacter diazotrophicus* (*H. d*) effects on means of MSI, RWC, Pn, and gs in alfalfa cultivars. Error bars represent standard deviation * (P<0.05) (three replicates)
Fig. 2  *Pseudomonas sp. Proradix* (*P. p*) and *Hartmannbacteria diazotrophicus* (*H. d*) effects on means of WUE, dry weight, leaf number, and plant height in alfalfa cultivars. Error bars represent standard deviation * (P<0.05) (three replicates)
Also, there was no significant difference in dry weight of salt-tolerant cultivars with salt-sensitive cultivar (Local 253) at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\). However, at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\), inoculated plants of salt-tolerant cultivar (Heris) had higher dry weight than salt-sensitive cultivar and non-inoculated plants which showed under 10 dS m\(^{-1}\), salinity tolerance in both salt-tolerant and sensitive cultivars improved by inoculation. However, at high salinity, salinity tolerance improved by inoculation only in salt-tolerant cultivars. Moreover, no difference between the inoculated plants with both bacteria showed that either bacterium could increase dry weight, height, and leaf number under salinity. The reports showed in inoculated plants with bacteria, root length and nutrient absorption such as phosphor, potassium, and nitrogen (Babalola 2010), synthesis of auxins, cytokinins, and gibberellins (Glick et al. 2007), growth rate, and dry mass production were higher than non-inoculated plant under stress and control conditions (Gupta et al. 2015; Xiao et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2019; Trdan et al. 2019). Liu et al. (2019) and Xiao et al. (2018) suggested that inoculation with rhizomicrobiome increased significantly plant growth in alfalfa plants and also plant biomass was affected by the composition of the rhizomicrobiome, soil pH, N, P, and plant growth stage and species. Also, Liu et al. (2019) showed that shoot height, fresh and dry weights, yield, crude protein, and antioxidant enzyme activity of alfalfa-inoculated plants were higher than non-inoculated plants, but ethylene content was lower in inoculated plants. Trdan et al. (2019) reported that mixture of two PGPB (Pseudomonas fluorescens and Azospirillum brasilense) increased the potato yield 17–31% under dry conditions. Also, investigating data and literature suggested that the reducing effect of salinity on growth aspects of inoculated plants at high salinity was related to root number reduction, root hair deformation (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2015), \(K^+\) ion depletion, carbohydrate composition alteration of bacterial cell surface, bacterial mobility inhibition (Paul and Lade 2014), nodule functions suppress, and photosynthesis rate decrease (Wang et al. 2016).

Reduction in nodule number, root length, and weight of alfalfa plants under salinity was similar to other plants (Zahran 1991; Manchanda, and Garg 2008; Zahaf et al. 2012). The previous studies on root growth under salinity showed that increased synthesis of ethylene and reactive oxygen species under salinity could decrease roots length and weight (Steffens 2014; Habib et al. 2016). Also, reduction in the root hair number, the formation of infection threads, the nutrient availability via photosynthesis products, nodule metabolism, atmospheric nitrogen diffusion, and deformation of root hairs could reduce nodule number under salinity (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2015; Egamberdieva et al. 2017). Our study showed inoculation with bacteria alleviated the harmful effects of salinity on root length, weight, and nodule number at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\), but it had no significant effect at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). Inoculated plants of Heris, the salt tolerant, had a higher root growth and nodule number under salinity which showed the interaction between salt-tolerant cultivar and salt-tolerant bacteria was stronger than the interaction between salt-sensitive cultivar and salt-tolerant bacteria. Our results were similar to Noori et al. (2018)’s results. Noori et al. (2018) showed that PGPB significantly increased alfalfa plant growth indices in the absence of rhizobial strains. Moreover, PGPB could even provide plant nitrogen in the absence of rhizobial strains and nitrogen in the soil. The previous studies demonstrated that inoculated plants had higher RWC and less ethylene content (Babalola 2010; Arora et al. 2012; Cedeno-Garcia et al. 2018). Also, Cedeno-Garcia et al. (2018) reported that nodulation in inoculated alfalfa plants improved earlier than non-inoculated plants under greenhouse conditions. Besides, it was known that bacteria could produce a higher content of phytohormones such as auxin that could increase nodule number, and root and shoot growth under salinity (Gupta et al. 2015; Gopalakrishnan et al. 2015). Auxin had an important role in xylem and root development, nodule formation, and pigment formation (Gupta et al. 2015; Cedeno-Garcia et al. 2018). Therefore, the increase in auxin content and the decrease in ethylene content are the main reasons for increasing the nodule number, and root and shoot growth under salinity in inoculated plants.

Salinity stress decreased RWC and MSI in alfalfa cultivars similar to other plants (Munns and Tester 2008). RWC reduction during 2 weeks after salinity was related to the reduction of soil water potential and water absorption by plants roots. Salinity disturbed the balance between transpiration and water uptake by plants and reduces RWC. Inoculation plants with bacteria increased water absorption and RWC compared with non-inoculated under salinity, because inoculated plants had higher root length and root weight than non-inoculated plants. It appeared that inoculation plant with bacteria could change lateral root system architecture and increase RWC. Similar results were reported in alfalfa (Bertrand et al. 2015), Zea mays (Bano and Fatima 2009), and pea plants (Ali et al. 2015). \(Na^+\) ions could disturb MSI as soon as they enter the cells (Volkov 2015). Water shortage and high level of reactive oxygen species under salinity stress could decrease MSI (Parida and Das 2005; Ashraf and Foolad 2013). MSI in inoculated plants was higher than non-inoculated plants because of less absorption of \(Na^+\) ions and high RWC. Similarly, Werner and Newton (2005) reported that the inoculated plants had fewer symptoms of oxidative damage and high membrane stability under salinity due to high water and nutrient absorption. Inoculated plants of Heris and Hashtrod, the salt-tolerant cultivars, had the highest RWC and MSI at 10 dS m\(^{-1}\), but inoculation had no significant effect at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) during 2 weeks after salinity.

Salinity stress by increasing chlorophyllase activity, decreasing chlorophyll synthesis, and destroying...
pigments caused to decrease chlorophyll pigments in alfalfa and other plants (Santos 2004; Jaleel et al. 2008; Anower et al. 2013). Our results showed inoculation with bacteria saved alfalfa chlorophyll pigments from the harmful effects of salinity. Inoculation with bacteria had no significant effect on chlorophyll pigments in Local 253, the salt-sensitive cultivar. It appeared that the interaction between salt-tolerant cultivar and salt-tolerant bacteria was more effective in saving chlorophyll pigments than the interaction between salt-sensitive cultivar and salt-tolerant bacteria. Similar results reported in inoculated plants of lettuce (Han and Lee 2005), wheat (Bashan et al. 2006), and basil (Heidari and Golpayegani 2012). Investigating the studies showed that higher content of chlorophyll pigments in inoculated plants was related to higher absorption of iron, magnesium, nitrogen (Hossein zadah et al. 2011), and less ethylene synthesis (Nadeem et al. 2010; Habib et al. 2016).

Reduction in stomatal conductance ($g_s$) and photosynthesis ($P_n$) is normal under salinity (Li et al. 2010; Torabi et al. 2014). Inoculation with bacteria could improve the negative effects of salinity on $g_s$ and $P_n$ in all cultivars at 10 dS m$^{-1}$, but it had less effect at 20 dS m$^{-1}$. Inoculated plants of Local 253, the salt-sensitive cultivar, had the highest $P_n$ and WUE at 72 dS m$^{-1}$, but they had the lowest at 20 dS m$^{-1}$. Inoculated plants of Hamadan and Hashtrod, the salt-tolerant cultivars, had the highest $P_n$ and $g_s$, respectively, among the cultivars at 20 dS m$^{-1}$. It is accepted that $g_s$ reduction under salinity is related to decreasing water absorption by roots, increasing abscisic acid, and closing stomata that lead to decrease CO$_2$ availability for $P_n$ (Chaves et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010). Also, stomatal closure, chlorophyll pigments, and photosynthesis enzyme activities reduction, electron-transport chain activity inhibition, and chloroplast structure change could lead to $P_n$ and $g_s$ reduction under salinity (Chaves et al. 2009; Ashraf and Harris 2013). Reduction in stomatal conductance saved water in plant leaves and increased water-use efficiency (WUE) in mild stress (Chaves et al. 2009). The higher rate of $g_s$ in inoculated plants compared with non-inoculated plants may relate to less absorption of chloride ions (Cl$^-$) (del Amor and Cuadra-Crespo 2012), cytokinin increase, and abscisic acid reduction and better hormonal balance alteration (Dodd and Pérez-Alfocea 2012). It appeared that high $P_n$ of inoculated plants in this study was related to higher $g_s$, RWC, and chlorophyll pigments. Similar results were reported in lettuce (Han and Lee 2005), legume (Zahran 1999), sweet pepper (del Amor and Cuadra-Crespo 2012), and other plants (Babalola 2010) under salinity. These results suggested that all photosynthesis aspects improved by inoculation with bacteria in salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive cultivars.

Na$^+$ in alfalfa cultivars increased and K$^+$ and K$^+$/Na$^+$ ratio decreased under salinity, and these results are
common (Munns and Tester 2008; Acosta-Motos et al. 2017). The results also indicated that inoculation with both bacteria increased roots growth and contact with soil and K⁺ uptake, but decreased Na⁺ uptake under salinity. In addition, inoculated plants had higher K⁺/Na⁺ ratio at 10 dS m⁻¹, but there was no significant difference between inoculated and non-inoculated plants at 20 dS m⁻¹. Recent studies of inoculated plants with different bacteria demonstrated that bacteria change the selectivity of Na⁺ and K⁺ by plant roots and decrease Na⁺ uptake and transport in the whole of the plants under salinity (Volkov 2015). Moreover, the reports showed that inoculation with bacteria could increase root growth, macro–micronutrient absorption, organic acid production, pH reduction, and siderophore exudation in the rhizosphere of inoculated plants (Baset et al. 2010; Dodd and Pérez-Alfocea 2012). Also, Etessami and Beattie (2018) showed that salt-tolerant bacteria could enhance K⁺ absorption by mediating the expression of an ion high-affinity K⁺ transporter (AtHKT1) in plants under salinity. Local 253, the salt-sensitive cultivar, which inoculated with Pseudomonas sp. bacteria had less Na⁺ that showed high dry weight production under salinity was related to less Na⁺ absorption.

Comparing inoculated and non-inoculated plants showed that all measured aspects in inoculated plants were higher than non-inoculated plants (Table 2). Inoculation with both bacteria could improve all aspects of plants growth and performance under control and salinity. The improving effect of inoculation with bacteria was less under high salinity (20 dS m⁻¹), but it was higher at salinity levels below 10 dS m⁻¹. Comparing the performance of salt-tolerant bacteria showed chlorophyll pigments (Chla, Chlb, and Chlα), plant height, and leaf number, Na⁺, K⁺/Na⁺ ratio, and nodule number were improved highly by Pseudomonas sp., while K⁺, carotenoids, and RWC were improved highly by H. diazotrophicus under salinity (Table 2). Comparing inoculated plants of salt-tolerant cultivars and salt-sensitive cultivar showed that, in most measured aspects, Local 253, the salt-sensitive cultivar, was the same or similar to other salt-tolerant cultivars at 10 dS m⁻¹. The inoculated plants of Local 253 had high membrane stability, photosynthesis rate and water-use efficiency, dry weight and plant height, root weight, Na⁺ and K⁺, and K⁺/Na⁺ ratio among the cultivars at 10 dS m⁻¹, but, at 20 dS m⁻¹, Heris, the salt-tolerant cultivar, had the highest dry weight. These results suggested that inoculation with both salt-tolerant bacteria improved growth and dry weight in the salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive cultivars under low salinity, but at high salinity, inoculation improved growth aspects only in salt-tolerant cultivars.

Conclusion

Inoculation alfalfa seeds with two salt-tolerant bacteria improved growth aspects such as dry weight, plant height, leaf number, photosynthesis performance (Pn, gs, and WUE), and chlorophyll pigments (Chla, Chlb, Chlα) under salinity and non-salinity. Also, inoculation was successful under salinity blew 10 dS m⁻¹ in all cultivars, but inoculation was successful at 20 dS m⁻¹ only in salt-tolerant cultivars. The results of this study emphasized alleviated effects of inoculation with salt-tolerant bacteria on growth aspects under salinity in alfalfa cultivars under salinity.
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