Measures of postprandial lipoproteins are not associated with coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

Gissette Reyes-Soffer,† Steve Holleran,† Wahida Karmally,§ Colleen I. Ngai,* Niem-Tzu Chen,* Margarita Torres,* Rajasekhar Ramakrishnan,† William S. Blaner, Lars Berglund,* Henry N. Ginsberg,* and Catherine Tuck†,*

Departments of Medicine* and Pediatrics,† and the Irving Institute for Clinical and Translational Research,§ Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032

Abstract  Individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) characteristically have elevated fasting and postprandial (PP) plasma triglycerides (TG). Previous case-control studies indicated that PPTG levels predict the presence of coronary artery disease (CAD) in people without DM; however, the data for patients with DM are conflicting. Therefore, we conducted a case-control study in DM individuals, 84 with (+) and 80 without (−) CAD. Our hypothesis was that DM individuals with or without CAD would have similar PPTG levels, but CAD+ individuals would have more small d<1.006 g/L lipoprotein particles. Several markers of PP lipid metabolism were measured over 10 h after a fat load. PP lipoprotein size and particle number were also determined. There was no significant difference in any measure of PP lipid metabolism between CAD+ and CAD−, except for apoB48, which was actually higher in CAD−. We followed 69 CAD− participants for a mean 8.7 years; 33 remained free of any cardiovascular event. There were no PP differences at baseline between these 33 who remained CAD− and either the 36 original CAD− who subsequently developed CAD or the original CAD+ group. PP measurements of TG-rich lipoproteins do not predict the presence of CAD in individuals with DM.− Reyes-Soffer, G., S. Holleran, W. Karmally, C. I. Ngai, N-T. Chen, M. Torres, R. Ramakrishnan, W. S. Blaner, L. Berglund, H. N. Ginsberg, and C. Tuck. Measures of postprandial lipoproteins are not associated with coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. Lipid Res. 2009, 50: 1901–1909.
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Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with 2- to 4-fold increases in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), particularly coronary artery disease (CAD) (1), and a dyslipidemia characterized by high levels of plasma triglycerides (TG) and low levels of HDL cholesterol (C) (2, 3). Although low HDL-C is an acknowledged risk factor for CAD, high TG levels have not been demonstrated consistently to be a risk factor, usually due to loss of significance when other known risk factors, particularly glucose and HDL-C, are taken into account (4, 5). However, large meta-analyses have identified plasma level of fasting TG as an independent predictor of future CVD, particularly in women (6, 7). Importantly, two recent studies indicated the importance of increased nonfasting or postprandial (PP) TG levels (8, 9) as predictors of ASCVD. In those studies, nonfasting TG concentrations remained independent predictors of ASCVD events, even after adjusting for other risk factors including HDL-C.

The importance of these recent findings is highlighted by the fact that most individuals are usually within a few hours of eating food during much of the day. Indeed, studies from several laboratories, including our own, have demonstrated that the excursion of plasma TG after ingestion of a high-fat meal is predictive of the presence of CAD (10–13) or carotid atherosclerosis (14) in people without DM. In two of those studies (13, 14), the predictive power of PPTG was independent of fasting TG concentrations. On the other hand, there are only a few studies that have examined PP lipemia (PPL) in populations with DM, and
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the results have been conflicting. One small study in DM patients did not show an association between PPL and CAD (15). A later study by the same group of investigators confirmed the initial overall finding but did observe that PP excursions of apolipoprotein (apo) B48 and apoB100 intermediate density lipoproteins (IDL) were greater in the presence of more severe CAD (16). A more recent study demonstrated that participants with myocardial infarction (MI) and DM had a higher response of plasma TG and retinyl palmitate (RP; used as a marker of intestinal remnant lipoproteins) compared with individuals with DM who had not had an MI (17).

In an attempt to clarify the role of PPL in patients with DM, we conducted a case-control study in people with DM, with or without evidence of clinical CAD. Our hypothesis was that, although people with DM and documented CAD would not differ by usual measures of PPL, they would have a greater number of small PP lipoprotein particles in the d<1.006 g/L density range (a mixture of chylomicrons, chylomicron remnants, and VLDL) compared with a group of people with DM but no CAD. These smaller PP d<1.006 lipoproteins might be more atherogenic.

METHODS

We performed a cross-sectional, case-control study in DM individuals. Participants were first identified by querying a large clinic database maintained by the New York Presbyterian Hospital and Columbia University Medical Center for patients with DM who had a MI any time in the past, a coronary angiogram within the previous year, or an exercise perforation scan (stress thallium study) within one year. The physicians caring for those patients then obtained permission for the investigators to contact them. The presence of DM was defined by medical record diagnosis, fasting glucose >140 mg/dl, or the use of diabetes medications. The CAD+ (N = 84) group was defined by the following criteria: documented prior MI, PTCA/stent, CABG, or >75% stenosis in any vessel by coronary angiography. The CAD− (N = 80) group was defined as: the absence of a prior MI and <50% stenosis in all vessels by coronary angiography within the past year, or the combination of a normal exercise perfusion scan and the absence of a prior MI or any interventional cardiac procedures. In the CAD+, 62 of the 84 participants had a previous MI, 41 had a CABG, 38 had an angioplasty or stent placement, and 70 had >75% stenosis in at least one vessel on angiography. Among the CAD−, 21 of the 80 participants had no history of an MI and <50% stenosis in all vessels on angiography, while 59 had normal perfusion scans and no prior CAD event.

Because of the untimely death of the lead investigator (C.T.), analysis of the data and generation of the manuscript were delayed for several years. However, this allowed us to determine outcome data in our study populations. Thus, we were able to ascertain the health status of 69 of the 80 CAD− participants (11 participants were lost to follow-up) 7 years after the original study was completed (mean follow-up of 8.7 years). In those 69 participants, we determined if they had had a MI, developed an abnormal ECG consistent with a MI, or required any interventional treatment (PTCA or CABG).

Participants

The study was approved by the Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board and all participants signed informed consent. Exclusion criteria included: MI or cardiac procedures within the past 3 months, cancer, severe congestive heart failure (ejection fraction < 20%), kidney or liver disease, pancreatitis, other gastrointestinal conditions, creatinine levels > 1.3, abnormal liver function tests, abnormal CBC, fasting TG > 400 mg/dl, urine protein > 2+ on urinalysis or > 1000 mg/24 h, abnormal thyroid function tests, body mass index (BMI) > 34 for men and > 37 for women or BMI < 20 for both sexes, and age < 35 or > 75 years. All participants completed the study.

Study procedures

The protocol we used has been described previously by our group (13). Briefly, participants were admitted to the Clinical Research Center after fasting for 12 h. Participants had not ingested any alcoholic beverages for at least 5 days prior to study. Lipid-altering medications had been stopped 4 weeks prior to the study. Participants had a fasting blood glucose measured by a bedside device and, based on the results, were given some or all of their diabetes medications. This approach was introduced because of the relatively low carbohydrate and caloric intake during the 10h test. Participants were weighed and their height, waist, and hip circumferences were measured. After fasting bloods were obtained, participants ingested a high-fat beverage that provided 1,237 kcal/2 m2 body surface area. The beverage consisted of 75% fat (40% saturated, 20% monounsaturated, and 15% polyunsaturated), 10% protein, and 15% carbohydrate. Aqueous vitamin A (Vitadral Trophen, Pharma Wenigerode) was added to provide 100,000 U/2 m2 body surface area. The high-fat beverage was consumed within 15 min. Sequential blood samples were obtained at 3, 5, 7, and 10 h after ingestion of the beverage. Except for use of the bathroom, participants remained in a semirecumbent position for the complete study.

Nutrition

Standardized Block 98.2 food frequency questionnaires were obtained on all participants by a registered dietitian and tabulated.

Laboratory

Total C, TG, HDL-C, and glucose were measured using standard enzymatic techniques on a Roche Hitachi 912 chemistry analyzer. LDL-C levels were calculated by the Friedewald method (18). Lipoproteins were isolated from the fasting and PP samples by sequential ultracentrifugation of 2 ml of plasma, beginning with d1.006 (19), in a 50.3tl rotor using a Beckman TL100 ultracentrifuge. The lipoproteins were removed in volumes of 2.0 ml and used for determination of TG and C. Lipoprotein particle number and size were determined at Liposcience Inc., Raleigh, NC (20). Total apoB and apoA-I were measured in serum using an immunoturbidometric method (Roche Diagnostics Systems) on a Hitachi 912 chemistry analyzer. ApoB48 levels were measured by an ELISA kit from BioVendor USA/Canada (21). Remnant lipoprotein (RLP)-C was determined using reagents obtained from Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. (22) RP levels were determined using reverse phase HPLC (23). Additionally, CBC, metabolic panel, and HbA1c were measured on fasting samples by the hospital clinical laboratory.

Statistics

PP incremental area under the curve (IAUC) for TG, d<1.006 TG, RP, RLP-C, and other analytes were calculated as previously described (13). TG and RP levels, as well as IAUCs for TG and RP, were log-transformed to achieve normal distributions prior to statistical analysis; results for these measures are reported as medians and interquartile ranges. All comparisons between cases
RESULTS

Cases and controls had similar demographic characteristics except for sex and ethnicity

Our study enrolled 164 individuals with DM (66 females and 98 males), 84 of whom were CAD+ and 80 were CAD−. The clinical characteristics of each group are presented in Table 1. The groups were similar regarding age, duration of diabetes, HbA1c, BMI, and waist-hip ratio. There were no significant differences in dietary intake between the CAD− (percent of calories: 17.8% protein, 34.2% fat, 46.9% carbohydrate, 10.1% saturated fat) and CAD+ percent of calories: 18.1% protein, 33.4% fat, 47.6% carbohydrate, 10.1% saturated fat) group. Total calories (CAD+, 1739.4; CAD−, 1754.1 kcal/d) and dietary C (CAD−, 261.7; CAD+, 247.2 mg/d) were also similar in the groups, although it is likely that there was underreporting of caloric intake in both groups. There were significantly more Hispanics (68% vs. 52%) in the CAD− group, and more whites/others among the CAD+ (41% vs. 21%). However, we did not find case-control differences in PPL by ethnicity (data not shown). There were also more women than men (50% vs. 31%) in the study population (see below).

HbA1c levels were elevated in both CAD− and CAD+ groups (Table 1); they were not different by gender but tended to be higher in participants receiving insulin (10.9 ± 3 vs. 9.9 ± 3; P = 0.07). There was a trend to differences by ethnicity: 10.0 ± 2.1 for Blacks, 10.5 ± 2.7 for Hispanics, and 9.5 ± 2.4 for others (mostly Whites) (ANOVA P = 0.09).

Cases and controls received similar treatment for diabetes except for the use of metformin

Participants were on different regimens for the treatment of their DM. A small number of participants were only on diet treatment at the time of the study (10 cases and 6 controls). Of those receiving medications, use of sulfonylurea or repaglinide was reported in 62% of CAD+ and 69% of CAD−; metformin in 32% of CAD+ and 50% of CAD−; thiazolidinediones in 5% of CAD+ and 9% of CAD−; and insulin in 19% of CAD+ and 21% of CAD−. We performed separate analyses in cases and controls to see if the TG and VLDL-TG PP areas were different between participants who were on metformin and those who were not; we found no significant differences in either group (data not shown).

Fasting lipid and lipoprotein levels were similar in cases and controls

The lipid and lipoprotein results for females and males were similar and were combined for analyses. Fasting levels of total C, TG, VLDL TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, and apoA-1 were similar in both groups. Fasting levels of total apoB were higher in the CAD+ (117 ± 27 mg/dl) than in the CAD− group (108 ± 27 mg/dl); P < 0.04 (Table 2).

Cases and controls had similar levels of PPL

The excursions of plasma TG and d<1.006 TG in cases and controls after the high-fat load were nearly identical (Fig. 1A, B, respectively), confirming our hypothesis that there were no differences in the CAD+ vs. the CAD− groups. The actual IAUCs for TG and d<1.006 TG are presented in Table 3. Because gender has been shown to affect PP responses and we had more women in this study, we analyzed the data separately by gender. Although women in both groups had lower TG and d<1.006 TG areas than did men, there were no case-control differences within gender (Fig. 1C, D; Table 3). Additionally, because administration of insulin just prior to the study (see “Methods”) might have had an acute effect on TG or VLDL-TG PP areas, we analyzed our data separately for those patients taking and those not taking insulin (Table 3). Although insulin use was associated with lower PPTG and PP d<1.006 TG areas, there were no case-control differences in either group. Additionally, there was no difference in HbA1c levels between cases and controls, nor did HbA1c affect case-control differences in PPTG areas.

Cases did not have greater PP responses of apoB48 and total apoB than controls, and there were no differences in the size distribution or number of d<1.006 particles during PPL

Our main hypothesis was that, despite the absence of differences in PP lipids in CAD+ versus CAD−, there would be a greater number of smaller lipoprotein particles within the d<1.006 range in the cases after the fat load. We examined this specific hypothesis with several approaches. First, we determined the number of intestinal particles, as reflected by levels of apoB48. Plasma apoB48 levels at 0, 5, and 10 h were similar between cases and controls (Fig. 2A).

However, the apoB48 IAUC (mg/L/hour) was slightly, but significantly, higher in CAD− compared with CAD+ (55.2 ± 44 vs. 41.5 ± 27, respectively; P < 0.02). Total apoB, which even in the PP state is >95% apoB100, was (as noted above) higher in CAD+ at time 0 and remained higher at 5 and 10 h (Fig. 2B). However, apoB levels changed very

| TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics |
|---------------------------------|
| Controls (n = 80) | Cases (n = 84) | P |
|-----------------|---------------|---|
| **Age (years)** | 61.1 ± 8.9 | 61.4 ± 7.4 | n.s. |
| **Duration of DM (years)** | 9.1 ± 7.2 | 8.5 ± 7.5 | n.s. |
| **HbA1c (%)** | 9.8 ± 2.2 | 10.4 ± 2.9 | n.s. |
| **BMI (kg/m²)** | 31.4 ± 5.6 | 30.2 ± 5.3 | n.s. |
| **Waist-hip ratio** | 0.98 ± .09 | 0.99 ± .07 | n.s. |
| **Females n (%)** | 40 (50%) | 26 (31%) | 0.01 |
| **Ethnicity n (%)** | | | |
| **Hispanics** | 54 (68%) | 44 (52%) | 0.05 |
| **African American** | 9 (11%) | 6 (7%) | n.s. |
| **White + other** | 17 (21%) | 34 (41%) | 0.01 |

n.s, nonsignificant.
the number of d<1.006 particles in small (CAD+, 128 ± 270; CAD−, 189.3 ± 249), medium (CAD+, 29.2 ± 36; CAD−, 34.2 ± 34) particles did not differ between the CAD+ and CAD− groups. In addition, sizes of LDL (0 h, 20.3 ± 0.8 nm CAD+ vs. 20.4 ± 0.9 nm CAD−; 5 h, 20.3 ± 0.8 nm CAD+ vs. 20.4 ± 0.9 nm CAD−; 10 h, 20.4 ± 0.9 nm CAD+ vs. 20.5 ± 1 nm CAD−) and of HDL (0 h, 8.8 ± 0.3 nm CAD+ vs. 8.8 ± 0.4 nm CAD−; 5 h, 8.92 ± 0.3 nm CAD+ vs. 8.98 ± 0.3 nm CAD−; 10 h, 8.81 ± 0.3 nm CAD+ vs. 8.89 ± 0.3 nm CAD−) did not differ between the two groups at any of the PP times.

Cases and controls had similar levels of remnant lipoproteins during PPL

We next looked for differences in PP remnant lipoproteins between CAD+ and CAD−. First, we incorporated retinol (vitamin A) into the fat load as a means of following the removal of intestinal particles, particularly remnant particles, during the PP period (24, 13); there were no differences in the levels of RP between CAD+ and CAD− participants (Fig. 3A). Second, we determined the levels of RLP-C as a second measure of remnant lipoproteins during PPL, although this measurement includes both apoB48 and some apoB100 lipoproteins (22). Again, there were no case-control differences (Fig. 3B).

There was no association between severity of disease and PPL in CAD+ participants

We conducted a post hoc analysis of the participants who had been enrolled based on the finding of >75% stenosis in a major coronary artery by angiography. We could ascertain the number of vessels affected with >75% disease.

### TABLE 2. Fasting lipids and lipoproteins

|                     | Controls (n = 80) | Cases (n = 84) | P     |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|
| Total C (mg/dl)     | 188 ± 36          | 198 ± 400     | n.s.  |
| TG (mg/dl)          | 147 (113–207)     | 145 (104–225) | n.s.  |
| VLDL TG (mg/dl)     | 89 (60–151)       | 91 (55–159)   | n.s.  |
| HDL-C (mg/dl)       | 35 ± 100          | 32 ± 9        | n.s.  |
| LDL-C (mg/dl)       | 119 ± 34          | 127 ± 34      | n.s.  |
| ApoA1 (mg/dl)       | 124 ± 19          | 120 ± 22      | n.s.  |
| ApoB (mg/dl)        | 108 ± 27          | 117 ± 27      | 0.04  |
| ApoB48 (mg/l)       | 7.6 ± 5           | 9.0 ± 7       | n.s.  |

Values for total C, HDL-C, LDL-C, ApoA1, ApoB, and ApoB48 are expressed as mean ± SD. Values for TG and VLDL TG are expressed as median and interquartile range. These measurements were obtained on the day of the PP test, one month after discontinuation of any lipid-altering medications. n.s., nonsignificant.

![Fig. 1. Levels of plasma and d<1.006 TG during the PP period. Percent change from baseline of PPTG (A) and PP d<1.006 lipoprotein TG (B) for all participants, and PPTG for men (C) and women (D) over a 10 h period after a high-fat formula feeding. There were no differences between the CAD+ and CAD− groups.](image-url)
TABLE 3. IAUC for PPTG and PP d<1.006 TG in cases versus control, adjusting for gender and insulin used

|          | n     | Control | Cases | Control | Cases | P    | n     | Control | Cases | P    |
|----------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| All      |       |         |       |         |       |      |       |         |       |      |
| subjects | 80    | 84      | 1450  | (908–2230) | 1441  | (979–2436) | n.s. | 1299    | (716–1884) | 1170  | (767–1869) | n.s. |
| Men      | 40    | 58      | 1656  | (976–2619) | 1562  | (1057–2501) | n.s. | 1456    | (797–2229) | 1328  | (790–1953) | n.s. |
| Women    | 40    | 26      | 1223b (777–1901) | 1126a (821–2371) | 968a (621–1711) | 873a (469–1738) | n.s. | 1277     | (796–1909) | 1379  | (819–1994) | n.s. |
| On insulin | No   | 63      | 1497  | (938–2395) | 1582  | (1034–2575) | n.s. | 1277    | (796–1909) | 1379  | (819–1994) | n.s. |
|          | Yes   | 17      | 1126b (631–1829) | 1023b (885–1586) | 877b (396–1577) | 789b (493–1206) | n.s. | 1170    | (767–1869) | 1170  | (767–1869) | n.s. |

a Women had lower TG IAUC and d<1.006 IAUC than men (P < 0.01).

b Insulin use was associated with lower TG IAUC and d<1.006 IAUC (P < 0.05).

n.s, nonsignificant.

... three of the 69 participants remained free of CAD, defined as the absence of ECG abnormalities, MI, or PTCA/stent during the follow-up. When we compared the original PPTG responses in these 33 participants to the original PPTG responses in the participants who later converted from CAD to CAD+, there were no differences between the groups (Fig. 5). We have included the PPTG IAUC for the originally defined 84 CAD+ participants for comparison. There was also no difference in baseline lipids between CAD− participants who remained CAD− and those who converted to CAD+.

**DISCUSSION**

Although recent studies have provided additional support for the view that nonfasting or PPTG levels are independent risk factors for the development of ASCVD (8, 9),

---

**Fig. 2.** Levels of apoB48, apoB, d<1.006 particle size, and particle concentration during the PP period. Concentrations of apoB48 (A), total apoB (B), size of density <1.006 lipoproteins in nm (C), and number of d<1.006 particles in nmol/l across three size ranges (D) at baseline, 5 h, and 10 h after ingestion of a high-fat formula feeding. The IAUC for apoB48 was greater in CAD− than in CAD+ (P < 0.02). There were no differences between the CAD+ and CAD− groups for the other variables.
d<1.006 particles are more atherogenic than larger, more TG-enriched d<1.006 particles (25–27). Second, fasting TG, the commonly used measure in epidemiologic studies, might not be as useful for risk assessment as PPTG levels. Several case-control studies in nondiabetics have linked PPTG excursion after a high-fat load to the presence of ASCVD (10–12), including two studies in which PPTG levels were predictive of the presence of CAD (13) or carotid intima medial thickening (14) even after adjusting for both HDL-C and fasting TG levels. Meyer et al. (28) found differences in Sf>1000 TG and IDL apoB48 PP levels in nondiabetic women with CAD versus those without CAD. However, those authors did not observe differences between the two groups in plasma PPTG or d<1.006 apoB48 levels.

The few reports of PPL in individuals with DM have provided varied results (15–17, 29). Syvanne et al. (15) studied 30 participants with and 30 participants without CAD; one-half of each group had DM. In that study, which had a younger population than our study (54.3 vs. 61.4 years), the investigators did not find differences in PPTG between DM patients with and without CAD. In a second study from the same group, 43 participants with DM were studied, and the lack of differences between the groups with severe CAD (>75% stenosis) and mild CAD (<50% stenosis) in the excursions of plasma TG, apoB48, or apoB100 in large or small VLDL, or in IDL during the several hours after a fat load, was confirmed (16). Furthermore, in a subset of these participants, Mero et al. (16) also found no significant associations between CAD severity (determined by angiography) and PP excursions of either apoB48 or apoB100 in the d<1.006 range of lipoproteins. However, they did observe a positive association between CAD severity and the PP excursions of TG, apoB48, and apoB100 in IDL. In our study, we also did not find any associations between severity of disease and either PPTG or d<1.006 TG levels. In addition, the IAUC for plasma apoB48 was actually greater in the CAD+ group, while the IAUC for apoB was not different between the CAD+ and CAD− groups in our study. Although we did not study IDL directly, we found no case-control difference in either the mean size of d<1.006 lipoproteins or the excursions of large, medium, or small d<1.006 particles during the PP period. Furthermore, levels of RP and RLP-C, two accepted measures of remnant lipoproteins, did not differ between the CAD+ and CAD− groups.

Recently, Carstensen et al. (17) examined DM males and found that individuals with a prior MI (N = 17) had greater PPTG-rich lipoprotein responses than those without (N = 15). A significant difference between that study and our study was a difference in fasting TG level between their cases and controls. Their cases had a fasting TG that was about 30% greater than the level in their control group; in fact, the TG concentration in their control group was similar to that in both the cases and controls in our study. Because fasting TG is the major determinant of PPTG levels (30, 31), the differences in fasting TG levels between cases and controls in the study by Carstensen et al. (17) could explain the differences in PPTG excursions.
they observed. Other differences between their study and ours include a longer duration of diabetes and higher HbA1c levels in our patients. It should be noted, however, that fasting TG levels are similar in diabetics with or without CAD (32). In our study, as expected, fasting TG predicted PPTG IAUC in both the CAD+ and CAD− groups, but there was no difference in that relationship between the two groups (data not shown).

Because abnormal PPL is common in DM, we hypothesized that more subtle differences, such as size of TG-rich lipoproteins during the several hours after ingestion of a fat load, might be a better discriminator of case-control status. Several large cohort studies have indicated that abnormalities in the distribution of fasting TG-rich lipoproteins (13) and C-enriched LDL particles (33-35) are associated with ASCVD, although the independent predictive power of lipoprotein subclasses remains in doubt (36-38). Lipoprotein size was not measured in the previous studies of PPTG in patients with DM (15-17). We used NMR (29) to assess the effects of a fat load on the size and number of d<1.006 lipoproteins in our cases and controls. Suter et al. (39) demonstrated increases in d<1.006 particle size after fat loads in healthy participants on Orlistat or placebo that were similar to the changes we have observed. There have been no prior studies of NMR-measured changes in lipoprotein size or number during the PP period in diabetics with or without CAD. The NMR results, together with the other several parameters we measured, do not support our initial hypothesis about qualitative differences in PP d<1.006 lipoproteins in DM participants with CAD. Our data, which demonstrate a greater rise in apoB48 lipoproteins during the first 5 h of the PP period in the CAD− group while the increase in TG levels during the same time period was essentially identical in both groups, suggest that the CAD− group actually had smaller particles. Overall, we have no evidence to support our main hypothesis that CAD+ would have more small d<1.006 lipoproteins during PPL.

A difference may be found to be statistically nonsignificant for one or both of two reasons: the true difference may be too small to be of biological or practical interest, or the study may have been inadequately powered to detect a meaningful difference. To assess the latter, we carried out a post hoc power analysis by constructing confidence limits on case-control differences (Table 4). The results indicate that we can confidently rule out even fairly small (20–30%) differences between CAD+ and CAD− groups. Importantly, because the upper limit of confidence for the difference in apoB48 IAUCs between CAD+ and CAD− is less than zero, we can rule out any greater PP increase in apoB48 in the CAD+ group.

We realize that potential shortcomings in our recruitment strategy may have introduced a bias against finding differences between cases and controls. For example, all of the CAD− individuals had been referred for diagnostic tests to determine whether they had clinically significant CAD. Thus, our “non-CAD” population was deemed to be at high risk for CVD by their physicians, possibly at a risk that was very close to that of our CAD+ population. However, we were able to follow-up on the present health status of a large percentage of our control group, and those CAD− participants remaining free of disease 8.7 years after the study ended had the same PP IAUCs as control participants who had their first clinical CAD event during that same follow-up period. If we use the 33 participants who remained free of CAD for 7–10 years after they participated in our study as “true controls,” they have the same PPTG IAUC as our original CAD+ group (Fig. 5).

Our participants had relatively high levels of HbA1c, indicating poor control of their DM. Although there was a positive correlation between baseline HbA1c and PPTG area, this did not affect the lack of association between PPTG area and case-control status. HbA1c levels were considerably lower in the three prior published studies that examined the association of PPL and case-control status in DM (15-17). However, two of those studies (15, 16) found essentially the same results that we are reporting.

Our study supports and extends the results from earlier studies that did not find utility in the use of PPL markers to predict the presence of CAD in patients with DM. Thus, unlike the situation in people without DM, where measures of PPL may be useful in identifying individuals at high risk for the presence of ASCVD (13, 14), PPL is not useful as a predictor of the presence of CAD in people.

### Table 4. Confidence limits on case-control differences in IAUCs

|                   | CAD−       | CAD+       | Lower Confidence Limit on Difference | Upper Confidence Limit on Difference |
|-------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| log TG            | 7.22       | 7.31       | −0.11                                | 0.30                                |
| log d<1.006 TG    | 7.03       | 7.09       | −0.18                                | 0.29                                |
| ApoB100           | −13.5      | −17.7      | −21                                  | −1                                  |
| ApoB48            | 55.4       | 41.3       | −25                                  | −3                                  |
| d<1.006 particle size | 110       | 106        | −23                                  | 14                                  |
| log RP            | 3.00       | 3.06       | −0.14                                | 0.27                                |
| RLP-C             | 99.6       | 96.4       | −27.2                                | 20.8                                |
with DM. The reason for the difference between studies of nondiabetics and studies of diabetics relative to PPL as a predictor of CAD status may derive from the fact that dyslipidemia is a central component of the insulin resistance that is characteristic of DM. Our results do not, in any manner, diminish the very convincing compilation of data indicating that PPL is an atherogenic state, nor do our results indicate that our CAD group was free of atherosclerosis at the time of the study. Indeed, almost one-half of our CAD group converted to CAD+ during a 7–10 year follow-up period. However, PPL was not different between the group that had an event and the group that remained CAD− throughout the long follow-up period. Thus, our results do indicate that specifically testing for PPL will not provide valuable information regarding whether someone with DM has or does not have CAD.
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