Extensive shift in placental transcriptome profile in preeclampsia and placental origin of adverse pregnancy outcomes
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One in five pregnant women suffer from gestational complications, prevalently driven by placental malfunction. Using RNASeq, we analyzed differential placental gene expression in cases of normal gestation, late-onset preeclampsia (LO-PE), gestational diabetes (GD) and pregnancies ending with the birth of small-for-gestational-age (SGA) or large-for-gestational-age (LGA) newborns (n = 8/group).

In all groups, the highest expression was detected for small noncoding RNAs and genes specifically implicated in placental function and hormonal regulation. The transcriptome of LO-PE placentas was clearly distinct, showing statistically significant (after FDR) expressional disturbances for hundreds of genes. Taqman RT-qPCR validation of 45 genes in an extended sample (n = 24/group) provided concordant results. A limited number of transcription factors including LRF, SP1 and AP2 were identified as possible drivers of these changes. Notable differences were detected in differential expression signatures of LO-PE subtypes defined by the presence or absence of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). LO-PE with IUGR showed higher correlation with SGA and LO-PE without IUGR with LGA placentas. Whereas changes in placental transcriptome in SGA, LGA and GD cases were less prominent, the overall profiles of expressional disturbances overlapped among pregnancy complications providing support to shared placental responses. The dataset represent a rich catalogue for potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

The placenta is the only mammalian organ that functions during an explicitly restricted timeframe with the sole task to support the early life period of the developing fetus. Its unique endocrine, immunomodulatory and “cancerous” properties mediate rapid implantation, trophoblast invasion, proliferation and differentiation, and the processes of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. The placenta acts at the maternal-fetal interface and serves two organisms – nutrition, metabolism, growth and development of the fetus, and gestational adaptation of the mother. Impaired implantation, aberrant placental development and placental malfunction represent high risks for pregnancy complications such as miscarriage, preeclampsia characterized by de novo hypertension and proteinuria, gestational diabetes, fetal growth restriction or preterm birth. Studies on candidate genes and expression microarrays have convincingly established a link between pregnancy complications and aberrant placental gene expression, reflecting impaired placental health. Several placental secreted proteins, hormones, mRNAs and miRNAs molecules crossing the maternal-fetal barrier represent specific biomarkers for impaired placental function, as their aberrant expression levels are measurable in the maternal circulation. As pregnancy complications represent
common disorders concerning up to 20% of all gestations, the explicit understanding of gene expression signatures of the malfunctioning placenta is crucial to pinpoint critically involved genes and mechanisms, and to discover novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets for early prediction and clinical management.

So far, the published RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) studies on the human placenta have been limited to normal term pregnancies and confined to small sample sizes (5–22 samples)\(^1\)\(^{-13}\). More placental gene expression data is available from microarray-based experiments\(^1\)\(^{-3}\), but these projects have focused mostly on a specific gestational complication, predominantly preeclampsia. Consequently, there is still an open question, whether each pregnancy complication is characterized by distinct aberrant placental gene expression profiles or whether gestational disturbances also share common molecular signatures reflecting overall impaired implantation, placental development and function.

We aimed at a comprehensive and systematic analysis of placental transcriptomes of 40 samples over a broad range of pregnancy outcomes, utilizing the most up-to-date methodology, RNA-Seq. The study profiles placental differential gene expression signatures in prevalent adverse pregnancy outcomes at term, focusing on maternal late-onset preeclampsia (LO-PE), gestational diabetes (GD), and pregnancies ending with the birth of either small-for-gestational-age (SGA) or large-for-gestational-age (LGA) newborns. As the main outcome, we show that preeclamptic placentas are distinguished from normal placentas and other complications by a prominent shift in expression of a large number of genes. Notably, whereas the change in placental gene expression in SGA, LGA and GD cases was less prominent than in PE, the overall profiles of differential expression overlapped among pregnancy complications. The study data represent a rich catalogue for potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

**Results**

**Placental RNA-Seq dataset.** To characterize the placental transcriptome profile in normal compared to complicated pregnancies, we performed RNA-Seq for 40 placental samples taken at delivery and covering multiple well-defined pregnancy outcomes at term. The placental samples represented cases of normal pregnancy (NORM) and gestational complications of the mother (severe late preeclampsia, PE; gestational diabetes, GD) or the newborn (small-for-gestational age, SGA; large-for-gestational age, LGA) (n = 8/group; gestational age > 37 weeks; Table 1). The ratio between male and female placentas, as well as between vaginal delivery/caesarean-section was 19 to 21.

Total RNA extracted from placental samples and depleted of ribosomal RNA was subjected to RNA-Seq (Illumina HiSeq 2000). After filtering, each individual transcriptome yielded, on average 37.7 million 46-base pair paired-end reads (range: 25.2–50.5 million; Supplementary Fig. S1). The reads were aligned to the human genome (version GRCh37) with an average success rate of 83.4% (range: 76.5–87.3%). To evaluate the adequacy of the sequencing length and depth, we used 100-base pairs paired-end sequencing for two placental samples. Although it yielded an increased amount of sequences per sample, the fraction of unaligned sequences was higher, reducing the benefit of longer reads (mapped sequence: 57.8%; Supplementary Fig. S1). The complete RNA-Seq dataset over 40 sequenced placental samples was comprised of 123.7 billion aligned bases and in total providing ~680 fold mean coverage over exonic regions (17-fold per sample; Supplementary Fig. S1).

The placenta is a unique organ, and the preparations of placental tissues may contain not only fetal cells, but also cells of maternal origin. We estimated the proportion of RNA originating from maternal nucleated cells (decidual and nucleated blood cells) by examining the comparative expression of the Xist transcript in the XY- and XX-placental samples. Xist expression was undetectable for 11 of the 19 placental samples representing male offspring and was at marginal level in the rest of XY- (maximum normalized fragment count: 2881) compared to the XX-placentas (median fragment count: 23948; Supplementary Fig. S2). Median estimate for the fraction of RNA originating from maternal cells in XY-placental samples was 0.93% (mean 3.18%). We expect this estimate to be applicable to female samples as well. Overall, the considered quality assessments indicate that the generated placental RNA-Seq dataset is both technically and biologically of high quality.

**The most highly expressed placental genes at term.** We ordered the genes by their median expression level, ranging from 0 to 40,923 FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exons per million mapped fragments) in placentas from normal pregnancies (Fig. 1, Supplementary Data S1). The subsequent analysis included genes with >0 FPKM (n = 22,896) and among these 20,983 genes (15,389 protein coding genes) exhibited expression > 0.1 FPKM (91.6%). Highest expression in both, normal and complicated pregnancies was observed for genes encoding small noncoding RNAs, including small nuclear RNAs contributing to pre-mRNA splicing and processing (e.g. RNU7SK, RNU4-2, RNU4-1, RNU7SL128P), small nucleolar RNAs involved in ribosomal RNA processing (e.g. SNORA73B, SNORD17) and small RNAs specific to Cajal body, a hallmark of proliferating cells (e.g. SCARNA10, SCARNA5) (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Data S1). Among the highest expressed placental transcripts is a highly conserved mammalian long non-coding RNA MALAT1, which regulates expression of genes implicated in cellular motility\(^15\), endothelial cell function and angiogenesis\(^16\). Its placental expression has not been previously studied.

The most abundantly transcribed placental protein coding genes display a highly organ-specific expression that is robustly captured in our dataset (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Data S1). Several of them encode for hormones supporting the role of the placenta as an endocrine organ important for communication and signaling. The majority of the top-20 placenta-expressed protein coding genes are implicated specifically
| A. Individuals for RNA-Seq (n = 8/group) | Normal term | SGA | LGA | PE | GD |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|----|----|
| Maternal age (years)                  | 29.3 ± 7.85 | 24.5 ± 3.51 | 30.9 ± 5.06 | 27.4 ± 7.39 | 30.3 ± 5.15 |
| Maternal height (cm)                  | 164.5 ± 5.34 | 165.4 ± 6.23 | 168.4 ± 6.39 | 168.8 ± 3.85 | 166.1 ± 5.28 |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)             | 23.9 ± 3.77 | 20.6 ± 2.92 | 24.83 ± 4.61 | 26.3 ± 5.00 | 26.3 ± 7.85 |
| Gestational weight gain (kg)          | 17.2 ± 3.52 | 13.3 ± 3.47 | 21.7 ± 7.25 | 10.9 ± 3.36 | 14.8 ± 5.76 |
| Nulliparity (n)                       | 3            | 7*           | 2            | 6            | 3            |
| Smokers during pregnancy (n)          | 2            | 2            | 0            | 2            | 1            |
| Gestational age at birth (days)       | 278.6 ± 11.5 | 272 ± 9.46 | 281.6 ± 4.41 | 266.1 ± 3.94 | 275.5 ± 5.98 |
| Labor activity (yes/no)               | 5/3          | 7/1          | 3/5          | 2/6          | 4/4          |
| Delivery mode (vaginal/c-sect)        | 5/3          | 6/2          | 3/5          | 2/6          | 3/5          |
| Paternal age (years)                  | 31.8 ± 5.99 | 27.14 ± 5.64 | 35.8 ± 8.28 | 32.8 ± 8.94 | 33.5 ± 7.05 |
| Paternal BMI (kg/m²)                  | 25.1 ± 3.83 | 22.7 ± 2.04 | 29.9 ± 5.65 | 28.8 ± 6.34 | 27.8 ± 4.34 |
| Newborn weight (g)                    | 3703 ± 392  | 2442 ± 235  | 4726 ± 208* | 2794 ± 488* | 4269 ± 238* |
| Newborn length (cm)                   | 51.3 ± 1.89 | 46.3 ± 1.04 | 53.4 ± 1.18 | 47.6 ± 1.51 | 52.4 ± 1.30 |
| Ponderal index (g/cm³)                | 2.74 ± 0.27 | 2.48 ± 0.29 | 3.11 ± 0.26 | 2.57 ± 0.29 | 2.98 ± 0.27 |
| IUGR (n)                              | 0            | 5            | 0            | 4            | 0            |
| Newborn sex (F/M)                     | 3/5          | 5/3          | 4/4          | 4/4          | 3/5          |
| Placental weight (g)                  | 571.3 ± 115.8 | 397.5 ± 89.9* | 816.2 ± 116.0* | 476.9 ± 119.9 | 658.1 ± 185.2 |

| B. Individuals for Taqman RT-qPCR experiments (n = 24/group) | Normal term | SGA | LGA | PE | GD |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|----|----|
| Maternal age (years)                                         | 29.5 ± 6.53 | 26.7 ± 5.29 | 30.6 ± 6.57 | 27.3 ± 5.15 | 31.6 ± 6.09 |
| Maternal height (cm)                                         | 166.2 ± 4.94 | 166.3 ± 5.73 | 168.4 ± 6.72 | 167.6 ± 5.68 | 166.5 ± 6.35 |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)                                   | 23.2 ± 3.53 | 21.1 ± 2.39 | 25.6 ± 5.67 | 24.0 ± 4.39 | 25.8 ± 6.50 |
| Gestational weight gain (kg)                                | 15.7 ± 4.74 | 12.7 ± 3.00 | 18.3 ± 6.11 | 15.0 ± 5.38 | 15.5 ± 5.51 |
| Nulliparity (n)                                              | 9            | 14           | 10           | 17*          | 8            |
| Smokers during pregnancy (n)                                 | 4            | 6            | 0*           | 3            | 5            |
| Gestational age at birth (days)                              | 274.7 ± 9.94 | 270.7 ± 9.40 | 282.0 ± 6.13 | 261.7 ± 13.0 | 274.6 ± 6.38 |
| Delivery mode (vaginal/c-sect)                               | 17/7         | 15/9         | 14/10        | 6/18*        | 14/10        |
| Paternal age (years)                                         | 32.3 ± 5.32 | 28.3 ± 6.01 | 34.2 ± 7.81 | 30.6 ± 6.56 | 33.5 ± 5.61 |
| Paternal BMI (kg/m²)                                         | 27.3 ± 4.11 | 24.6 ± 3.10* | 27.8 ± 4.60 | 26.6 ± 4.78 | 26.5 ± 4.11 |
| Newborn weight (g)                                           | 3568 ± 419  | 2416 ± 273* | 4824.5 ± 280* | 2702 ± 611* | 4110 ± 520* |
| Newborn length (cm)                                          | 50.9 ± 1.86 | 46.1 ± 1.71 | 53.7 ± 1.70 | 47.1 ± 3.22 | 52.0 ± 1.52* |
| Ponderal index (g/cm³)                                       | 2.70 ± 0.304 | 2.47 ± 0.27* | 3.12 ± 0.29 | 2.54 ± 0.25 | 2.91 ± 0.25* |
| IUGR (n)                                                     | 0            | 17           | 0            | 8            | 0            |
| Newborn sex (F/M)                                            | 12/12        | 13/11        | 12/12        | 14/10        | 12/12        |
| Placental weight (g)                                         | 550 ± 114.8 | 413.3 ± 82.3 | 807.5 ± 141.3 | 469 ± 115.6* | 677.8 ± 152.5* |

Table 1. Mean (standard deviation) of maternal and newborn characteristics of samples used for the RNA-Seq and Taqman RT-qPCR experiments. Data are given as arithmetic mean ± standard deviation, except where indicated differently. Nulliparity refers to no previous childbirth; normal term indicates uncomplicated pregnancies resulting with the birth of a newborn with appropriate-for-gestational age; Ponderal index is a ratio of body weight to length: [weight (in g) x 100] ÷ [length (in cm)]³; BMI, body mass index; c-sect, caesarean section; F, female; GD, gestational diabetes; LGA, large-for-gestational age (birth weight > 90th percentile); M, male; PE, preeclampsia; SGA, small-for-gestational age (birth weight < 10th percentile); IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction. *P value < 0.05 compared to normal term pregnancy group, Student's T test (for quantitative variables) or χ² test (for binary variables).
Figure 1. **Top 20 transcripts** ((a) logarithmic scale) and protein coding genes ((b) linear scale) with the highest expression in placentas representing normal pregnancy (NORM) and cases of preeclampsia (PE), gestational diabetes (GD), small- and large-for-gestational-age newborns (SGA, LGA) (n = 8/group). Annotation of placental transcripts detected and quantified by the RNA-Seq pipeline was based on ENSEMBL v67 database. Gene expression levels are expressed in FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exons per million mapped fragments) as determined by cufflinks v 2.0.2. Data on the enrichment of gene expression in the placenta compared to other tissues was derived from Protein Atlas v12. Expression profile across tissues for noncoding RNAs was not available. Boxed gene names indicate transcripts ranked among the top-20 highest expressed genes only in specific pregnancy complications, comparative expression values of these transcripts in all studied groups are shown in Supplementary Fig. S3.
pregnancy complications included also a few group-specific genes (n = 10 across all pathologies; Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. S3).

Confounding variables. We addressed maternal, fetal/pregnancy and delivery-related potential confounding variables for the placental transcript profile of all (n = 40) samples. The studied parameters affected the placental gene expression of a limited number of genes. A statistically significant effect (FDR < 0.1) of the delivery mode (vaginal/caesarian section) and labor onset was detected on the expression level of 27 and 28 genes, respectively (Table 2; Supplementary Data S2). As the majority of caesarian-sections are performed to pregnancies without onset of labor, the effect of these parameters cannot be explicitly distinguished. Several genes identified in association with initiated labor are modulators of the progesterone and estrogen function, such as $KLF9$ encoding a progesterone receptor co-regulator; $ID4$, involved in estrogen signaling19; and $FKBP5$, interacting with functionally mature progesterone receptor complexes20. Functional profiling implemented in g:Profiler21 revealed significant enrichment of genes down-regulated with the onset of labor to belong to the TGF-beta signaling pathway (KEGG:04350; $P = 2.57 \times 10^{-4}$; Supplementary Data S2).

Among the fetal parameters, the most significant confounders for placental gene expression were the offspring's gender (FDR < 0.1; 20 sex chromosomal genes) and placental weight (FDR < 0.1: 16 genes). Functional enrichment analysis resulted in the pathway ‘histone demethylase activity’ (GO:0032452; $P = 1.81 \times 10^{-5}$), indicating gender-specific differences in placental epigenetic processes. Interestingly, among the top genes exhibiting significant negative correlation in expression level with placental weight, $LEP$ (encoding leptin), $HTRA4$ and $LYN$ are known as biomarkers for preeclampsia2,5. Among the genes exhibiting statistical differences in expression levels in association with infant's birth weight and gestational age at delivery, we detected a novel endothelial scaffold molecule $SASH1$22 and estrogen-receptor co-regulator $NRIP1$ ($RIP140$), a key modulator of energy homeostasis23,24. No significantly enriched biological pathways were identified for pregnancy-specific variables. Neither newborn length nor the maternal parameters (age, BMI, parity and weight gain during pregnancy) influenced the placental gene expression in our samples (Table 2; Supplementary Data S2).

### Table 2. Differentially expressed placental genes affected by confounding variables.

| Variable                                                                 | Differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05)                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Delivery-specific variables**                                        |                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Delivery mode: vaginal delivery or caesarian section                    | $ZBTB16$, $KLF9$, $APOLD1$, $FKBP5$, $TSC22D3$, $ID4$, $BMP2$, $FAM107A$, $MAP1B$, $THBS1$, $IL18R1$, $LDB1$, $PERI$, $NIP1$, $MYC$, $CEBPD$, $DDIT4$, $GADD45B$, $DGRG$, $SDPR$, $RP11-38107.3$, $IGSF8$, $KCNK3$, $SLC4A12$, $PITPNC1$, $EVA1C$, $IRAK3$ |
| Labor onset                                                            | $ZBTB16$, $KLF9$, $APOLD1$, $TSC22D3$, $FKBP5$, $ID4$, $BMP2$, $FAM107A$, $CEBPD$, $IL18R1$, $IGSF8$, $THBS1$, $FAM43A$, $PAK2$, $PERI$, $ATOH8$, $GADD45B$, $DDIT4$, $PITPNC1$, $TMEM132C$, $PREX1$, $MYC$, $FAM107A$, $QB51P1$, $HPCAL1$, $MC32L$, $LDB3$ |
| **Fetal and pregnancy-specific variables**                            |                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Offspring gender                                                       | $KDM5D$, $DJX1Y$, $ZFY$, $PCDH11Y$, $PRKY$, $USP9Y$, $RPS4Y1$, $TXLNG2P$, $UTY$, $EF1FAY$, $PSMA6P1$, $XIST$, $LINC0028$, $TTTY15$, $HIDH1I$, $CD99$, $KDM6A$, $GYG2P1$, $KDM5C$, $STS$ |
| Placenta weight                                                        | $LEP$, $HTRA4$, $TET3$, $RP11-465K1.2$, $PLIN2$, $SH3BP5$, $ARHGEF4$, $KRT19$, $QCT$, $MID1$, $WD85$, $LYN$, $WD86-AS1$, $ChorJ58$, $SASH1$, $MLPH$ |
| Birth weight                                                           | $SASH1$, $NRIP1$, $ARN72$, $RP11-465K1.2$, $BRM14$, $LEP$, $APF1$, $LEPRE1$, $CTR-2383M3.1$, $ARHGAP42$ |
| Gestational age                                                        | $SASH1$, $LEP$, $NRIP1$, $AFI27577.11$ |
| Birth length                                                          | 0                                                                                                               |
| Maternal variables: pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational weight gain, age, parity | 0                                                                                                               |

*a* For quantitative variables the sample was divided into two groups at the median value of the variable; differential expression was assessed using DESeq250. *b* Number of previous deliveries.
Preeclamptic placentas are outliers and exhibit major transcriptional perturbations. We addressed differential expression of the term placental transcriptome in maternal late-onset preeclampsia (LO-PE) and gestational diabetes (GD), and affected fetal growth (small- and large- for-gestational age newborns; SGA, LGA) in comparison to normal (NORM) pregnancies (n = 8/group). Among the studied pregnancy complications, PE placentas were distinguished by a major shift in the expression profile of hundreds of genes (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Data S3; Supplementary Table S1). Differential expression of 215 genes matched the significance criteria applied in this study (DESeq: false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.1; DESeq2: FDR < 0.05; Supplementary Table S1; details in Materials and Methods). Notably, 80% (n = 173) of the differentially expressed genes in LO-PE placentas showed significantly lower transcript levels compared to the NORM group (Fig. 2, Supplementary Data S3). The top list contained known loci implicated in placentation and PE, such as the up-regulated LEP and down-regulated HSD11B2 and HSD17B1 genes. Only a few transcripts exhibited statistically significant placental differential expression in other complications: GD (STS, FAM65B, ZNF525, DNAJC3), SGA (RNF17, RP11-333A23.3) and LGA (MIR205HG). Principal component (PCA) analysis and hierarchical clustering clearly separated LO-PE from NORM placentals samples, whereas the cluster of GD placentas overlapped with the NORM group (Fig. 2b,c). The placental gene expression profile in the SGA and LGA cases represented a more scattered landscape partially overlapping with the PE and GD groups. To assess the contribution of potential confounding variables on the hierarchical clustering of the data, the generated heatmap was evaluated in the context of the newborn’s sex, gestational age and mode of delivery of each placentals sample (Fig. 2c). No noticeable effect on gene expression patterns was observed for newborn sex and delivery mode. As the delivery date in PE pregnancies in this study was on average 12.5 days earlier than that in the normal gestation group (Table 1), we cannot fully rule out a potential confounding effect of gestational age. However, as no gestational age dependent clustering either within the PE group or outside of it was observed, we concluded that the identified differential expression profile in our dataset reflects primarily the disturbed placental transcriptome in preeclamptic pregnancies.

Regulation of differentially expressed genes in preeclampsia is orchestrated by a set of transcription factors. To further functionally characterize the altered transcriptome profile in preeclamptic placentas, we performed gene set enrichment analysis for the identified significantly under- and overexpressed genes compared to normal pregnancy (RNA-Seq: n = 173 and n = 42, respectively; Supplementary Data S3). For the down-regulated transcripts, the most pronounced enrichment was detected for the binding sites of a group of transcription factors (TF) predicted to regulate the analyzed genes (Fig. 2d; Supplementary Table S2). We observed strong enrichment for the presence of binding sites for AP2 (P = 8.14 × 10^-13; 115/173 genes), SP1 (P = 8.23 × 10^-4; 95/173 genes) and LRF (P = 5.96 × 10^-6; 140/173 genes) (Supplementary Data S4). Promoter regions of 77 genes (44.5%) with highly significant decrease in placental expression in PE contain potential response elements for all three TFs. In contrast, functional profiling of biological pathways affected in PE contain potential response elements for all three TFs. The correlation between the estimated log2(-fold change) of the 45 tested genes in preeclamptic compared to normal placentas was R^2 = 0.68, linear regression (P = 1.92 × 10^-14; Fig. 3a). RT-qPCR in an expanded sample-set (PE, n = 24 vs NORM, n = 24; Table 1) further confirmed the altered gene expression in preeclampsia with concordant effect direction for 42 of 45 assessed genes (Supplementary Table S3). The estimated log2(fold change) in transcript levels significantly correlated with the RNA-Seq dataset (R^2 = 0.78; P = 1.22 × 10^-12; Fig. 3b,c). Four of the top confirmed loci (Supplementary Table S3) have been previously implicated in PE (FLTL1, HSD17B1, DLX4, ADM). Other confirmed genes point to altered regulation of epigenetic (DOT1L, TET3), transcriptional (ZNF469) and apoptotic (RELL2) mechanisms as well as disturbances in the immune (IGHA1) and endocrine-metabolic systems (HSD17B1, ADM, GDPD5, MC1R). Multiplicity of affected biological systems supports the systematic malfunction of the placental genome in preeclampsia.

As PE and SGA placentas have been suggested to share common pathophysiology, we performed RT-qPCR for the 45 PE-related genes also in the SGA samples (extended sample, SGA, n = 24 vs NORM, n = 24; Table 1). For 78% of genes (n = 35), the direction of expression alteration was concordant between the PE and SGA placentas (Supplementary Table S3). Although for the majority of genes the PE placentas exhibited more prominent change in transcript levels, the effects in the PE and SGA groups were highly correlated (R^2 = 0.68, linear regression P = 3.80 × 10^-12; Fig. 3d). The top-loci TMEM74B, FLTL1, CDR2L showed significant differential expression in both, PE and SGA placentas (FDR < 0.05; Fig. 4a).

Three genes (FAM65B, STS, SLC16A3) were followed-up by RT-qPCR only in the GD and LGA placentals (n = 24/group; Table 1). The GD group had significant, 1.5-fold increased placental expression of SLC16A3, encoding a lactate transporter MCT4 (monocarboxylate transporter 4) (FDR = 0.065;
Figure 2. Differential gene expression in cases of preeclampsia (PE), gestational diabetes (GD), cases of small- and large-for-gestational-age newborns (SGA, LGA) compared to normal pregnancy (Normal) based on RNA-Seq profiling of 40 term placental samples (n = 8/group) (a) Venn diagram showing differentially expressed genes in each pathology group supported by stringent statistical significance criteria (DESeq: FDR < 0.1 and DESeq2: FDR < 0.05). (b) Principal component analysis (PCA, the two first components are plotted) and (c) hierarchical clustering based on transformed read counts of 220 differentially expressed genes across pregnancy complications. The gene expression levels were subjected to variance stabilizing transformation in DESeq and standardized by subtracting the mean expression across all samples from its value for a given sample and then dividing by the standard deviation across all the samples. This scaled expression value, denoted as the row Z-score, is plotted in red-blue color scale with red indicating increased expression and blue indicating decreased expression. Hierarchical clustering of genes (rows) and samples (columns) was based on Pearson's correlation. Hierarchical clustering trees are shown for the analyzed samples (top) and genes (left). For each sample are shown newborn sex (M, male; F, female), delivery by caesarean section (+/-) and gestational age at birth plotted in white-yellow-red color scale (white < 260, red > 290 gestational days). (d) Significantly enriched categories among the significantly differentially expressed genes in PE (n = 215) from gene set enrichment analysis in g:Profiler. Horizontal bars indicate significance. Blue bars represent GO terms, orange bars represent transcription factor binding sites.
Fig. 4b, Supplementary Table S3). Consistent with the pathophysiology of GD, it is known that metabolic monocarboxylates can compensate glucose as an energy source in diabetes and fasting 25.

Two genes were investigated for differential expression in all groups. The \textit{LEP} gene encodes leptin, an acknowledged marker for several pregnancy complications and was among the top PE-related genes in our placental RNA-Seq data (Supplementary Data S3). RT-qPCR confirmed a trend for higher placental transcript levels of \textit{LEP} in PE (fold change = 10.02, \textit{P} = 0.057) and SGA (fold change = 3.08, \textit{P} = 0.033), whereas no difference was detected in the LGA and GD groups (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table S3). \textit{TET3} encodes TET methylcytosine dioxygenase 3 that converts 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine and has a recently discovered role in the epigenetic regulation of embryonic development. In the RNA-Seq data, \textit{TET3} showed a trend for differential expression in the SGA placentas (DESeq: \textit{P} = 0.0029; DESeq2: \textit{P} = 0.00035). RT-qPCR confirmed 1.7- and 1.5-fold increased placental expression of \textit{TET3} in SGA and PE, respectively (FDR < 0.1; Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table S3).
Pregnancy complications share signatures of placental malfunction. In order to investigate potential shared placental pathophysiology of pregnancy complications, we compared the estimated differential expression effects for the top-200 genes from the RNA-Seq analysis of LO-PE, GD, SGA and LGA placentas, irrespective of statistical significance. The highest concordance in gene expression disturbances compared to normal pregnancy was detected for SGA and LO-PE placentas, correlation between the log2(fold change) was $R^2 = 0.70$ (linear regression, $P = 2.71 \times 10^{-96}$; Fig. 5a). All genes with increased placental transcript levels in PE were concordantly up-regulated in SGA and only a few genes down-regulated in PE were not affected in SGA. Strong correlation between the effects of top-200 genes was also identified for LO-PE and LGA ($R^2 = 0.60$; $P = 4.99 \times 10^{-69}$) placentas. Unexpectedly, we also detected correlation between the expression changes of the top-200 genes in the SGA and LGA placenta compared to normal pregnancy ($R^2 = 0.45$; $P = 1.99 \times 10^{-49}$), possibly indicative to placental adaptations in unfavorable conditions. Among these, 65 genes exhibited opposite effect directions, including well-acknowledged markers of aberrant placental development (LEP, FLT, ENG, HTRA4, SH3PXD2A) (Supplementary Table S4). Gene expression profile of top-200 genes in GD placentas exhibited a less pronounced overlap with other pathologies ($R^2 = 0.13–0.29$; $P \leq 2.21 \times 10^{-12}$; Fig. 5a).

Figure 4. Examples of technical replicates from the experimental validation of differentially expressed placental genes in pregnancy complications. Plots represent gene expression fold changes relative to the median value of the normal pregnancy samples (treated as the reference level = 1) in the discovery RNA-Seq dataset (pink; n = 8 samples/group), in the validation by Taqman RT-qPCR (green; n = 8 samples/group) and in the complete Taqman RT-qPCR dataset (blue; n = 24 samples/group). (a) Genes with the highest statistical significance in gene expression shift in pre-eclamptic (PE) placentas (RT-qPCR: FDR < 0.05; Supplementary Table S3) show concordant effect directions in the PE and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) groups. (b) Placental expression of SLC16A3 in gestational diabetes (GD) and large for gestational age (LGA) groups. (c) LEP and TET3 show elevated transcript level in PE and SGA placentas compared to other pregnancy outcomes. Asterisks (*) indicate differential expression meeting the statistical significance criteria either for the RNA-Seq (DESeq: FDR < 0.1, DESeq2: FDR < 0.05) or RT-qPCR (FDR < 0.05) datasets. CDR2L, cerebellar degeneration-related protein 2-like; DOT1L, DOT1-like histone H3K79 methyltransferase; FLT1, fms-related tyrosine kinase 1; GRAMD1A, GRAM domain containing 1A; HSD17B1, hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 1; IGHAI, immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 1; LEP, leptin; MC1R, melanocortin 1 receptor (alpha melanocyte stimulating hormone receptor); TET3, tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 3; TMEM74B, transmembrane protein 74B; SLC16A3, solute carrier family 16 (monocarboxylate transporter); STX1B, syntaxin 1B.
Figure 5. High concordance in placental gene expression changes in alternative scenarios of complicated compared to normal pregnancy. (a) Correlation plots for the fold changes of the highest ranked genes in the differential expression testing in each pregnancy complication compared to normal pregnancy (DESeq analysis). For each pairwise analysis of gestational complications, the lists of top-200 genes (circles) were united and plotted at the x,y-plane, where the axes correspond to the log2(fold changes) in the two groups. Red circles represent genes, which are shared between the top gene lists. The linear regression line along with correlation coefficient $R^2$ and statistical significance is given. (b) Venn diagram for the shared fraction of the highest ranked genes in differential expression testing in alternative pregnancy complications. The number and gene list in each intersection are given. PE, preeclampsia, GD, gestational diabetes, SGA and LGA, small- and large-for-gestational-age newborns.
Evidence for transcriptionally distinct subtypes of late-onset preeclampsia. Recently, Redman and colleagues have suggested that there are two main placental causes for preeclampsia. PE caused by poor placentation in early pregnancy is frequently accompanied with fetal growth restriction, whereas at term PE may also develop when placental growth reaches its functional limits and is often linked to macrosomy26. To further dissect the relationship between LO-PE and fetal growth we divided the PE study sample according to the presence of concomitant intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). The two subgroups (n = 4/group) were separately tested for the differential placental gene expression compared to the normal gestation group (n = 8). We identified 199 and 98 differentially expressed genes in PE without IUGR and PE with IUGR, respectively (Supplementary Data S3). Only 20 genes overlapped between the two LO-PE subtypes with statistically significant alternations in transcript levels. Still, examination of the top 200 highest ranked genes in both analyses revealed substantial correlation in their expression changes compared to normal pregnancy (R² = 0.62; P = 7.82 × 10⁻⁷⁷; 36 shared genes among the top-200; Fig. 6a–b). Notably, placental transcriptome profile in cases of LO-PE with IUGR showed the highest correlation with SGA group (R² = 0.67; P = 2.81 × 10⁻⁸⁵; 42 shared genes; Fig. 6a–b), whereas the LO-PE without IUGR bears the closest similarity to LGA cases (R² = 0.62; P = 1.24 × 10⁻⁷⁰; 53 shared genes; Fig. 6a–b). Hierarchical clustering analysis based on all 283 genes matching the statistical significance criteria across study groups also separated the transcriptome profiles of LO-PE with and without IUGR, supporting their distinct molecular signatures (Fig. 6c).

Discussion
Our study aimed at describing the human placental transcriptome and investigating its link to pregnancy complications. We utilized RNA-Seq to profile the placental transcriptome at term for 40 samples representing normal gestation (NORM), late-onset preeclampsia (LO-PE), gestational diabetes (GD), as well as variations in fetal growth defined as small- and large-for-gestational-age newborns (SGA, LGA).

The following aspects were considered for the study design. We elected to perform RNA-Seq on full thickness placental samples in order to adequately capture the biological state of the entire organ. Although our approach sacrificed gene expression information at the level of cell types, it avoided excessively disturbing the cells prior to RNA isolation. Among the PE and SGA pregnancies, the study profiled only severe LO-PE and term SGA cases and thus, drawing parallels to previous studies on mostly early-onset PE (EO-PE) and preterm SGA/intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) studies has its limitations. To increase the robustness of the analysis, we utilized two software packages DESeq and DESeq2 defining statistically significant differential expression as the intersection of the output of both programs and performed extensive RT-qPCR validation series, which were in good agreement with the RNA-Seq data.

Our study highlights the importance of estrogen and progesterone metabolism in preparation for delivery and timing of parturition. One of the top genes upregulated in the placentas with initiated labor (mostly vaginal delivery) was KLF9, encoding a progesterone receptor co-regulator Krüppel-like Factor 9 (Table 2, Supplementary Data S2). This is consistent to the reported delayed parturition in KLF9 knock-out mice27 and a recent report showing the contribution of myometrial KLF9 to the triggering of human parturition28. We note that a scaffold molecule SASH1 involved in endothelial cell migration and TLR4 signaling22 and NRIP1, a transcriptional modulator of the estrogen receptor23,24 are down-regulated in placentas with advanced gestational age, and propose that their decreased expression along with elevated transcription of KLF9 may serve as a hallmark of placental maturation and preparation for delivery. The identified placental molecular signatures related to labor initiation may provide therapeutic targets for management of prolonged pregnancy (no labor at 42 gestational weeks). Additionally, inhibition of this pathway may hinder the progression of threatening preterm birth, one of the largest risk factors for perinatal survival and health.

When comparing the XX- and XY-placentas, we detected statistically different expression only for sex chromosomal genes (Table 2, Supplementary Data S2). A meta-analysis of 11 studies based on gene expression microarrays (303 samples) identified 88 autosomal genes differentially expressed between male and female placentas14. As the effect sizes for the majority of these genes were small (<1 SD) and close to significance cutoff, it may explain the limitations in replicating these findings in our smaller sample set. No genes were differentially expressed depending on the investigated maternal variables (age, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational weight gain).

As a major finding, we show that the transcriptome profile of LO-PE placentas is clearly distinguishable from the cases representing normal pregnancies and other gestational complications (Fig. 2; Supplementary Data S3). In total, we report 215 differentially expressed genes according to the stringent statistical significance criteria in our study, and additional several thousands of genes showing a trend of altered gene expression. Differentially expressed genes in LO-PE placentas represent diverse functions and are not confined to specific molecular pathways or biological functional categories. However, the genes down-regulated in PE display evidence of co-regulation by a set of placental transcription factors
Figure 6. Placentas from the cases of late-onset preeclampsia (PE) with and without concomitant intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) exhibit distinct gene expression patterns. (a) Correlation plots for the fold changes of the highest ranked genes in the differential expression testing in each pregnancy complication compared to normal pregnancy (DESeq analysis). For each pairwise analysis of gestational complications, the lists of top-200 genes (circles) were united and plotted at the x,y-plane, where the axes correspond to log2(fold changes) in the two groups. Red circles represent genes, which are shared between the top gene lists. The linear regression line along with correlation coefficient R² and statistical significance is given. (b) Numbers of shared genes among the top 200 highest ranked transcripts in differential expression testing. Detailed information on the pairwise overlaps among the study groups for the shared top-genes with altered placental expression is provided in Supplementary Fig. S4. (c) Hierarchical clustering based on transformed read counts of 283 differentially expressed genes in PE without IUGR, PE with IUGR, SGA, LGA and GD. Gene expression levels were subjected to variance stabilizing transformation in DESeq and standardized by subtracting the mean expression across all samples from its value for a given sample and then dividing by the standard deviation across all the samples. This scaled expression value, denoted as the row Z-score, is plotted in red-blue color scale with red indicating increased expression and blue indicating decreased expression. Hierarchical clustering of genes (rows) and samples (columns) was based on Pearson’s correlation. Hierarchical clustering trees are shown for the analyzed samples (top) and genes (left). For each sample are shown newborn sex (M, male; F, female), delivery by caesarean section (+/−) and gestational age at birth plotted in white-yellow-red color scale (white < 260, red > 290 gestational days).
including AP2 (activating protein 2), SPI (specificity protein 1) and LRF (Leukemia/lymphoma-related factor; also known as ZBTB7A). The genes encoding AP2alpha and AP2gamma (TFAP2A, TFAP2C; Fig. 2d) are categorized as ‘tissue enhanced’ as predominantly expressed in the placenta and skin29. The accumulated data indicates essential, but distinct roles of TFAP2A and TFAP2C in human trophoblast differentiation30. While TFAP2C expression in cytotrophoblast cells is suggested to support lineage identity, proliferation, migration and invasion, TFAP2A is expressed predominantly in syncytiotrophoblast regulating the expression of placental hormones. Also SPI is known to regulate several essential highly expressed placental genes such as CGB31, HSD11B232 and CYP19A133. In contrast, the knowledge on the role of LRF in pregnancy is scarce. Only one study has been published34, reporting the expression of LRF specifically at implantation sites in mouse uterus, low levels of LRF in uteri of mice with delayed implantation and a cross-talk of LRF with steroid hormones such as progesterone and estradiol.

The majority of previous studies have investigated placental gene expression in EO-PE (<34 gestational weeks) due to its more pronounced course and a significant risk to the prematurely delivered infant or fetal death. We specifically focused on LO-PE (defined >34 weeks; criterion in this study >37 weeks), which has received less attention despite its >7-fold higher prevalence compared to EO-PE35. There is growing understanding that LO-PE differs from the EO-PE in its etiology. EO-PE has been ascribed to poor placentation development and placentation in early pregnancy often in combination with maternal predisposition, whereas LO-PE has been attributed either to reaching placental limits of growth and functional capacity or failure of normal regulation of maternal blood pressure and kidney function26,36. Also a recent report comparing placental gene expression microarray dataset on EO- and LO-PE supports the scenario that these are at least partly two separate entities37. Two studies aiming to detect a ‘Meta signature’ for PE from published placental microarray datasets (mainly EO-PE) identified a few significant genes in common2,38. Among these, the list of top-300 differentially expressed genes in our RNA-Seq dataset for the LO-PE placentas included upregulated LEP, FLT, HEXB, HTRA4, SASH1 and TREM1, and down-regulated HSD17B1. Indicative to the heterogeneity in the conducted studies, several additional ‘Meta signature’ genes2,38 were detected in our top-gene lists for the placental differential expression in LO-PE with IUGR (increased INHBA, PAPPa2, BCL6 and decreased F13A1, FAM101B), in LO-PE without IUGR (decreased PGF), in SGA (increased QPCt, FSTL3, BHLHE40, CRH, IGFBP1 and decreased GOT1) and in LGA (decreased PLEC, PVRL4, RDH13). This underlines the importance to conduct placental gene expression studies including cases of several (thoroughly clinically phenotyped) pregnancy outcomes in order to identify shared and distinct molecular signatures. The accumulated evidence for PE supports the scenario of a spectral disorder driven by the deregulation of different molecular pathways8,26,36,39,40.

Intriguingly, this study detected the highest number of overlapping genes in the lists of top-200 transcripts with altered placentation expression in the PE and LGA groups. Although fetal macrosomy has been regarded as one of the factors driving the placenta to its functional maximum41, our PE cases did not include any LGA-newborns. However, when the PE placentas were subgrouped according to the fetal growth parameters, it revealed a marked distinction in the altered expression profiles between the LO-PE with and without IUGR. Placental transcriptome of the LO-PE with IUGR cases aligned more closely with the SGA group, whereas cases of LO-PE without IUGR exhibited the highest correlation with the placental gene expression in LGA. We speculate that placental transcriptome may respond similarly to both conditions, either the exhaustion of maternal capacity to support the growing fetus and finally provoking LO-PE, or the fetal macrosomy gradually driving the placental functional capacity to its limits. The established biomarkers for EO-PE such as sFLT and PlGF7,42 have been shown to perform poorly for predicting LO-PE35. The importance of novel biomarkers for LO-PE is underscored by the tendency to other causes than altered placental gene expression in this condition. Still, our RNA-Seq dataset provides evidence for the presence of shared molecular signatures of impaired placental function. Despite the small effect sizes, there is a high correlation among the fold changes of the top genes with altered expression levels in PE, SGA and LGA placentas.

Conclusions

A systematic investigation of the term placental transcriptome across a wide spectrum of pregnancy complications allowed us to outline the common and distinct features of placental gene expression profiles in each condition. The results support placental origin of preeclampsia and provide evidence for the shared molecular signatures of placental malfunction. The generated dataset represents a rich catalogue of potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets to be taken forward to subsequent translational studies aiming at improved management of gestational disturbances.
Methods

Ethics statements. The study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Human Research of the University of Tartu, Estonia (permissions no 117/9, 16.06.2003; 146/18, 27.02.2006; 150/33, 18.06.2006; 158/80, 26.03.2007; 180/M-15, 23.03.2009) and it was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. A written informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from each individual prior to recruitment. All study participants were recruited and the study material was collected at the Women’s Clinic of Tartu University Hospital, Estonia in 2006–2011. All participants were of white European ancestry and living in Estonia. All methods were carried out in accordance with approved guidelines.

Study groups. The study participants were recruited at the Women’s Clinic of Tartu University Hospital, Estonia in the framework of the REProGrammed fetal and/or maternal METAbolism (REPROMETA) study. Cases with documented fetal anomalies, chromosomal abnormalities, families with history of inherited diseases and patients with known pre-existing diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension and chronic renal disease were excluded. The quality of the REPROMETA sample set has been highlighted in a devoted editorial.

The control group comprised of uncomplicated pregnancies, which resulted in the delivery of a newborn with normal birth weight for its gestational age (NORM; birth-weight between 10th–90th centile). Study groups of fetal growth variations comprised of newborns born as small-for-gestational age (SGA, <10th centile) and large-for-gestational age (LGA, >90th centile). The weight centiles for defining SGA and LGA were calculated on the basis of data from Estonian Medical Birth Registry. Newborns were retrospectively assigned as being growth restricted (IUGR; intrauterine growth restriction) if the most recent ultrasound examination demonstrated abnormal Doppler waveforms in the umbilical or middle cerebral artery and/or if newborn’s weight and/or abdominal circumference was <10th percentile while head circumference was >10th percentile. The individuals recruited into SGA and LGA group had no major gestational complications of the mother.

Study groups of maternal pregnancy complications included severe preeclampsia (PE) and gestational diabetes (GD). Severe PE was defined according to the ACOG 2013 criteria. All patients exhibited hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥160 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥110 mmHg). In addition each patient presented with at least one of the symptoms: thrombocytopenia; impaired liver function; new development of renal insufficiency; proteinuria (≥5 g in 24 hours; new-onset cerebral or visual disturbances. All included PE cases had the onset of the first symptoms: new development of hypertension or proteinuria, after 34 gestational weeks (late-onset PE). The progression of PE to severe form requiring the delivery occurred no earlier than 35 completed weeks. GD was diagnosed when 75 g oral glucose tolerance test performed at 24–28 weeks of gestation revealed either a fasting venous plasma glucose level of >5.1 mmol/l, and/or at 1 h and 2 h plasma glucose level of >10 mmol/l and >8.5 mmol/l glucose, respectively.

The discovery samples for the RNA-Seq experiment comprised of 40 REPROMETA placentas, eight samples per each clinical subgroup (NORM, LO-PE, GD, SGA, LGA) (Table 1). The LO-PE group was comprised of four cases accompanied with IUGR and four cases without IUGR. Gestational age and amount of male and female fetuses was kept as close as possible between and within all study groups. All groups included cases of both vaginal and caesarean section delivery (4/4, 3/5 or 5/3). Taqman RT-qPCR validation experiments were performed using 120 placentas (n = 24/group), comprised of 40 samples analyzed by RNA-Seq (technical replicate) and 80 independent samples (n = 16/group) selected to maximally match the parameters of the discovery set (Table 1).

Tissue collection, storage and RNA extraction. Placentas (stored at +4°C) were sampled within 1h after vaginal delivery or caesarean section. Full-thickness blocks of 2–3 cm were taken from the middle region of the placenta. Collected tissue samples were washed with 1× PBS to remove contamination of maternal blood, placed immediately into RNAlater solution (Ambion Inc, Life Technologies) and kept at −80°C until RNA isolation. All samples were collected by the same medical personnel and using identical protocol. Total RNA was extracted from 200–300 mg of homogenized placental tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) and purified with RNeasy MinElute columns (Qiagen, Netherlands) for RNA-Seq or NucleoSpin II Isolation Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) for Taqman RT-qPCR according to the manufacturers’ protocols. Purity level and concentration of isolated total RNA was measured using NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA) and RIN (RNA integrity number) was estimated using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA).

RNA-Seq. High quality DNA-free total RNA (5μg) was used for rRNA depletion (Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit, Epicentre) and library preparation with Nextera™ Technology (Illumina) total RNA sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq2000 with 46bp paired end reads (101bp for two samples). Initial data analysis and preparation was conducted by the RNA-Seq pipeline v2.4 (FIMM Sequencing Core Laboratory) consisting of FastQC version 0.10.0 for quality control; reads were filtered for adaptor, rRNA and mtDNA sequences as well as homopolymer stretches using custom python scripts. Reads were aligned to human genome assembly (GRCh37.p7/hg19) with TopHat version 2.0.3. Transcript
quantification was conducted with cufflinks v 2.0.248 with reference annotation (measured as FPKM) and gene expression was quantified by htseq-count49 (as raw read counts). Full details of library preparation, RNA-Seq and basic bioinformatics of raw data is provided in Supplementary Methods.

**Taqman RT-qPCR.** Gene expression was quantitated by singleplex RT-qPCR of the target gene sequence using pre-made TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies; Supplementary Table 5). In all experiments, a housekeeping gene Ubiquitin C (UBC) was used as reference gene. cDNA was synthesized from 1μg total RNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase, Life Technologies). All qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate in 384 micro-well plates in ABI 7900HT Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) using HOT FIREPol® Probe qPCR Mix (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia). Full experimental details of the Taqman RT-qPCR are provided in Supplementary Methods.

**Statistical analysis.** Differential expression in RNA-Seq data was tested using DESeq50 and DESeq251 packages for R52. Read counts from htseq-count were used as input and built-in normalization algorithms of DESeq and DESeq2 were used. Outlier detection and handling was performed using the default method in DESeq. In DESeq2 outliers were replaced using the replace Outliers With Trimmed Mean function with default Cook’s distance cutoff. Statistical testing indicated that the two software packages, DESeq and DESeq2 differ substantially for their sensitivity and robustness in assessment of differential expression. Compared to the seminal DESeq package, analysis with the more recently developed DESeq2 programme produced a markedly higher number of significant results for all conducted differential expression tests with our data (Supplementary Table S1). Thus, in the current study a more stringent level of significance was imposed on the test results of DESeq2. A gene was considered as differentially expressed, when the statistical tests simultaneously satisfied the following empirically set thresholds: FDR < 0.1 for DESeq and FDR < 0.05 for DESeq2. Genes with mean normalized expression < 50 reads in all samples (n = 39425 DESeq; n = 39345 DESeq2) were considered as a transcriptional noise and filtered out from the analysis. No covariates were automatically included in the tested models. Instead, potential confounders (delivery mode, initiated labor activity, gestational age, gender, placental weight, birth weight/height, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, weight gain, age and parity) were tested independently for the differential expression effect on all genes included into the analysis. For quantitative variables the samples were divided at the median value of the parameter. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using g:Profiler21. Enrichment was tested for categories related to Gene Ontology, KEGG pathways and transcription factor (TF) regulatory motifs. Statistical testing, principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering (Pearson correlation as the distance function) was performed in R. Statistical analyses for RT-qPCR results were performed using statistical package STATA version 13.1. Significance of RT-qPCR measurements among the study groups was assessed by Wilcoxon test. FDR was calculated according to Benjamini and Hochberg53. Additional details of the statistical analysis are provided in Supplementary Methods.
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