The association of adverse life events with children's emotional overeating and restrained eating in a population-based cohort
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Abstract
Objective: Life adversities are recognized risk factors for eating disorders, in adolescents and adults, but whether such adversities are also associated with particular eating behaviors earlier in life is still unclear. Our aim was to assess whether experiencing adverse life events in early childhood is associated with emotional overeating and restrained eating at age 10.

Methods: Emotional overeating and restrained eating were assessed in 4,653 10-years-old children using the mother-reported Children's Eating Behavior Questionnaire and Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire. Mothers also reported on 24 different life events during childhood, those with moderate or severe impact being categorized as adverse life events. Regression analyses were performed to investigate relationships between adverse life events and eating behaviors in the total sample.

Results: Adjusted for covariates, adverse life events were associated with more emotional overeating and restrained eating in children (p-values for trend <.01). Specifically, mothers who reported that their child experienced 3+ adverse life events, also reported significantly higher emotional overeating (B = 0.20; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.06–0.33) and restrained eating (B = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.08–0.33) in their children relative to children who did not experience adverse life events. These results did not differ by sex.

Discussion: Our results based on mother-reported data suggest that children's experiences of life adversities are associated with emotional overeating and restrained eating at age 10 years. We recommend future prospective studies using multi-informant assessments of both adverse life events and eating behaviors to further describe the nature and developmental course of this relationship.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Emotional overeating and restrained eating are common behaviors in our modern society. Emotional overeating refers to the tendency to eat in response to negative affect (Lindeman & Stark, 2001; Van Strien, Schippers, & Cox, 1995), while restrained eating is characterized by restrictive behaviors, such as eating less than desired, in order to maintain body weight (Goossens, Braet, Van Vlierberghe, & Mels, 2009; Tanofsky-Kraff et al., 2007; Van Strien, Frijters, Roosen, Knuiman-Hijl, & Defares, 1985). While exhibiting some levels of these behavioral tendencies may not be harmful, emotional overeating and restrained eating have been associated with the development of overweight (Geliebter & Aversa, 2003; van Strien, 2018). Moreover, previous research showed that emotional overeating and restrained eating often co-occur with body dissatisfaction and may eventually evolve into more extreme dieting or inappropriate methods of compensation, suggesting that they might be early precursors of or risk factors for Anorexia nervosa (AN), Bulimia nervosa (BN), and binge-eating disorder (BED; Dakanalis et al., 2014; Eldredge & Agras, 1996; Garfinkel, 2002; Goossens et al., 2009; A. J. Hill, 1993; van Strien & Oosterveld, 2008; Waller & Osman, 1998). Although eating disorders are mostly diagnosed in late adolescence or early adulthood, emotional overeating and restrained eating usually develop earlier, from middle childhood onwards (Stice, Marti, Shaw, & Jaconis, 2009). It is important to understand which factors may be involved in the development of these behaviors in children and adolescents (Flament et al., 2015; Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011), and therefore, the present study examines the association of adverse life events with emotional overeating and restrained eating in childhood.

The experience of adverse life events has been associated with the development of a range of mental and physical problems, including depression, substance use, asthma, heart disease, and obesity (Danese & Tan, 2014; Kessler et al., 2010; Pegington, French, & Harvie, 2020; Scott et al., 2011). Research on eating disorders has also implicated a link between adverse life events and AN, BN, and BED (Degortes et al., 2014; Loth, van den Berg, Eisenberg, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2008; Michopoulos et al., 2015; Schmidt, Tiller, Blanchard, Andrews, & Treasure, 1997; Welch, Doll, & Fairburn, 1997). Most of these studies focused on extremely severe adversities, including maltreatment (Fairburn, Welch, Doll, Davies, & O’Connor, 1997), sexual abuse (Connors & Morse, 1993; Madovitz, Matheson, & Liang, 2015), emotional abuse (Kent, Waller, & Dagnan, 1999; Rorty, Yager, & Rossootto, 1995), and loss of or separation from loved ones (Smyth, Heron, Wonderlich, Crosby, & Thompson, 2008). These studies showed consistent correlations between adverse life events and clinical eating disorders, although it remains unclear whether adverse events in childhood are true risk factors for the development of eating disorders, due to the paucity of required prospective studies and the potential selection bias inherent to assessing relationships in clinical samples (Affifi et al., 2017).

In contrast to these studies on eating disorders, relatively little research exists on the link between adverse life events and eating behaviors (e.g., emotional overeating, restrained eating) in childhood and early adolescence. As suggested above, knowledge about predictors of emotional overeating and restrained eating might help to better understand their development and origins. Moreover, knowledge about early precursors and severity indicators of eating disorder symptoms is needed, as this may inform early intervention strategies (Affifi et al., 2017; Molendijk, Hoek, Brewerton, & Elzinga, 2017). In fact, Molendijk et al. (2017) described that, from a clinical perspective, it is highly relevant to elucidate to what extent adverse life events affect the defining features of eating disorders, including severity of behavioral and cognitive symptoms (dose–response), and age of onset or appearance of first symptoms. Yet, the few existing studies on adverse life events and emotional overeating in childhood have produced inconsistent findings, with some reporting that exposure to life events was associated with more emotional overeating (Bjørglund, Wichstrom, Llewellyn, & Steinsbekk, 2019; Michels et al., 2012), and some finding no association (Michels et al., 2015). To our knowledge, the relationship between adverse life events and restrained eating in childhood has not been examined before. Nevertheless, considering that affect regulation problems represent one of the mechanisms through which adverse life events may influence mental health, it seems plausible that these events also affect eating behaviors. Models based on psychodynamic and developmental perspectives suggest that eating disorders—and their various symptoms—might be considered as an impairment in the cognitive capacity to process and regulate emotions (Taylor & Altman, 1997). Restricted food consumption, binge eating (as an extreme form of emotional overeating), and compensatory behaviors can be interpreted as responses to regulate intense emotional states, as a way to restrict affective experiences (Clinton, 2006; Torres et al., 2011) or as a strategy to deviate attention away from negative emotions by focusing on the body and eating (Overtone, Selway, Strongman, & Houston, 2005; Torres et al., 2011). Coping strategies, and behavioral and physical responses to stress differ by sex, with girls reporting internalizing problems (rumination, negative self-evaluation) while boys become more disinhibited (delinquency, substance use) (Galaif, Sussman, Chou, & Wills, 2003; Hankin, Mermelstein, & Roesch, 2007) and also seem to have a blunted cortisol response (Daughters, Gorka, Matusiewicz, & Anderson, 2013). Whether sex differences exist in the relation between adverse life events and eating behaviors is mostly unknown, given that most clinical studies included girls and young women only.

The aim of this study was to assess the association of exposure to adverse life events during childhood with restrained eating and emotional overeating at the age of 10 years. For this, we used data from a population-based cohort in the Netherlands with maternal reports on adverse life events and eating behaviors of children. We hypothesized that adverse life events are associated with higher levels of emotional overeating and restrained eating, reflecting a dose–response relationship with a higher frequency of experienced events being more strongly associated with emotional overeating and restrained eating. Furthermore, in line with previous studies (Almuneef, ElChoueiry, Saleheen, & Al-Eissa, 2017; Coelho et al., 2018), we expected to find stronger life event–eating behavior associations...
in girls than in boys, as boys may be exposed to fewer adversities than girls and may also respond differently to stress than girls.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

This study was embedded in Generation R, a prospective population-based cohort designed to identify causes of normal and abnormal development and health from fetal life until young adulthood (Kooijman et al., 2016). In the Netherlands, pregnant women are monitored by midwife practices. Therefore, all midwives working in Rotterdam were asked to inform and invite all pregnant women with an expected delivery date between April 2002 and January 2006 to start participating in Generation R (Jaddoe et al., 2006; Kooijman et al., 2016). Although the participation rate was relatively high (61%), there was some selection towards a relatively highly educated and healthy study population (Center for Research and Statistics, 2005; Jaddoe et al., 2006). Further information about Generation R can be found elsewhere (Kooijman et al., 2016).

2.2 | Sample

Of the original enrolled population (N = 9,901), parents of 7,393 children gave full consent for the postnatal follow-up phase at 10 years of age. Those without data on any outcome (emotional overeating or restrained eating scales, N = 2,407) or on life events (N = 333) were excluded, leaving a sample of 4,653 children for analyses (62.9%).

2.3 | Children’s eating behavior

Eating behavior was assessed when children were 10 years old using multiple scales of the Children’s Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ). Considering the purpose of this study, we decided a priori to only use the emotional overeating subscale of the CEBQ (4 items) (Wardle, Guthrie, Sanderson, & Rapoport, 2001). Parents (mostly mothers) rated their children's tendency to overeat when worried, annoyed, anxious, or bored on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never to 5 = always). The CEBQ has shown good internal consistency, concurrent validity with actual eating behavior, and test-retest reliability (Dubois, Farmer, Girard, Peterson, & Tatone-Tokuda, 2007; Sleddens, Kremers, & Thijis, 2008).

Children’s dietary restraint was assessed with the restrained eating scale of the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ)—parent version (Braet & Van Strien, 1997). Parents indicated on the nine items whether the described behaviors occurred in their children, on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 = never to 5 = always. The restrained eating scale has shown high internal consistency, factorial validity, and dimensional stability (van Strien, Frijters, Bergers, & Defares, 1986; van Strien & Oosterveld, 2008). The item regarding eating in the evening was not assessed in Generation R, as we considered this item less relevant for 10-year old children who might generally not eat much after dinner.

In this sample (N = 4,653), Cronbach’s alpha was .91 for the CEBQ’s emotional overeating subscale and .90 for the DEBQ’s restrained eating scale, indicating good internal consistency.

2.4 | Adverse life events

When children were on average 10 years old, mothers were interviewed about the occurrence of adverse life events in their offspring’s entire childhood. The assessment was based on a previous assessment in a similar cohort (Amone-P’Olak et al., 2009). The interview evaluated 24 different childhood adversities and the perceived impact of the event on the child (none, a little, moderate, a lot). Only the life events that were reported as affecting the child “moderately” or “a lot” were considered as “adverse life events” (Bolhuis et al., 2018). This way, we weighted the life events for their impact considering that not all experienced life events are similarly adverse for different persons. Questions included items on parental divorce/separation, transferring schools, physical or sexual maltreatment, and other events frequently assessed and reported by literature as associated to eating disorders (Amone-P’Olak et al., 2009; Connors & Morse, 1993; Degortes et al., 2014; Fairburn et al., 1997; Garfinkel, 2002; Kent et al., 1999; Loth et al., 2008; Madovitz et al., 2015; Molendijk et al., 2017; Rorty et al., 1995; Schmidt et al., 1997; Smyth et al., 2008; Taylor & Altman, 1997; Welch et al., 1997). Potentially related events (e.g., parental divorce, moving to a new house and changing schools) were considered as separate events. A complete list of the 24 included life events is reported in Table 1, together with their lifetime prevalence in this sample. Because of the right-skewed, non-normal distribution of life events (Table S1) and following previous research (Afifi et al., 2017), a four-level ordinal count variable was created, which indicated the number of adverse life events children had experienced according to their mothers (0, 1, 2, and ≥3). Given the low prevalence of cumulative events combined with the aim to examine gender interactions (dividing the cells into half), this categorization reflected the largest number of categories possible.

2.5 | Covariates

Information about child sex was obtained from midwife and hospital registries. Children were categorized as Dutch, Western, or Non-Western ethnicity based on the country of birth of both parents reported in a postal questionnaire. Maternal education and family income were also assessed by postal questionnaires when enrolled in the study. Maternal and paternal psychopathology during pregnancy was assessed using the Brief Symptom Inventory, a validated 53-item self-report questionnaire assessing a wide variety of psychiatric symptoms (Derogatis, 1983).
TABLE 1 Childhood adverse life events in the study sample (N = 4,653)

| Adverse life event                                                                 | Number of events (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Did your child get seriously sick or did he/she have an accident?                  | 140 (3.0)            |
| Did a family member get seriously sick or did someone have a serious accident?     | 146 (3.1)            |
| Did someone else, who is important to the child, get seriously sick or did someone have a serious accident? | 189 (4.1)            |
| Has the father/mother or other caretaker of your child died?                       | 18 (0.4)             |
| Has someone else, who your child cared a lot about, passed away?                   | 199 (4.3)            |
| Has a pet, who you child cared a lot about, die?                                   | 94 (2.0)             |
| Does or did your child have to deal with a high workload at school?                 | 442 (9.5)            |
| Has your child ever repeated a grade?                                              | 44 (0.9)             |
| Are/were there any neighborhood problems? For example, vandalism or insecurity.    | 81 (1.7)             |
| Has your family financial difficulties or had your family ever have them?          | 69 (1.5)             |
| Does your child have ongoing conflicts with a family member (or did your child ever have them)? | 106 (2.3)            |
| Does your child have ongoing conflicts with someone else (or did your child ever have them)? | 130 (2.8)            |
| Do other family member have ongoing conflicts with each other (or did they ever have them)? | 155 (3.3)            |
| Are you and your partner divorced or separated?                                    | 230 (4.9)            |
| Did one of the parents become involuntarily unemployed?                            | 49 (1.1)             |
| Did your child lose a good friend due to an argument?                              | 38 (0.8)             |
| Did your child ever lose something which was important to him/her? For example, through fire, loss, or theft. | 50 (1.1)             |
| Has someone ever used physical violence against your child? For example, beating him/her up. | 47 (1.0)             |
| Has someone almost used physical violence against your child? So that not actually happened, but your child was frightened. | 71 (1.5)             |
| Has someone made sexual comments or movements towards your child?                  | 21 (0.5)             |
| Has your child experienced inappropriate sexual behavior?                           | 10 (0.2)             |
| Has someone spread mean rumors about your child?                                   | 95 (2.0)             |
| Has your child moved to a different place of residence?                            | 53 (1.9)             |
| Has your child changed schools?                                                    | 64 (1.6)             |
| Other nonspecified.                                                                 | 559 (12.0)           |

2.6 | Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses were performed to describe the sample’s sociodemographic characteristics. Using a Chi-square test, the distribution of adverse life events by sex was explored.

We estimated missing values on covariates (ranging from 0% missing for sex to 37.0% for father’s psychopathology) using multiple imputation techniques (Sterne et al., 2009). All variables included in the analyses were used to estimate the missing values (Graham, 2009). Regressions were conducted on the imputed dataset and reported estimates are the pooled results of 25 imputed datasets. A nonresponse analysis was performed using t tests to examine differences in sociodemographic characteristics between study participants and those who were excluded due to missing values on life events or on emotional overeating and/or restrained eating.

We performed linear regression analyses to estimate the association of the number of mother-reported adverse life events during childhood with emotional overeating and restrained eating. Based on previous research, we adjusted models for several potential confounders (see the Covariates section) (Affifi et al., 2017; Cardona Cano et al., 2016; Jansen et al., 2012). The regression analyses were repeated with the ordinal life events variable included as a continuous variable to obtain a p-value for trend across the cumulative life events. Subsequently, we tested sex by adverse life events interactions; in case of significant interaction terms, the analyses were stratified by sex. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 24.

2.7 | Ethics

The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines proposed in the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam. Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Nonresponse analysis

The characteristics of the participants included in the final sample (N = 4,653) and those without any data on the outcome or life events interview (N = 2,740) were compared. Data were more often missing in children with a non-Western background and a lower family income (<1,200 € per month), and of whom the mothers had a lower educational level and higher psychopathology scores.

3.2 | Sample characteristics

Child and family characteristics are presented in Table 2. Half of the sample were girls (50.6%), and the majority (65%) is of Dutch origin. Nearly 60% reported a high household income (>2,000 € per month), and 8.9% of the mothers reported a low household income (<1,200 € per month).
Seventy-four of the mothers (1.6%) reported a history of AN, 143 (3.1%) a history of BN, and 72 (1.5%) recalled a history of both AN and BN.

3.3 | Descriptive adverse life events and eating behavior

A list of the events assessed in the life events interview is shown in Table 1. A total number of 3,100 life events were reported. Mothers most frequently reported: high school workload (9.5%), parents’ separation or divorce (4.9%), death of a cared one other than parents (4.3%), and accident or sickness of the child himself, of a family member or of a cared one (3.0, 3.1, and 4.1%, respectively). Boys and girls experienced comparable numbers of mother-reported adverse life events (Table 3 includes proportion of girls per life events category), as confirmed by a nonsignificant chi-square test. Regarding eating behavior, girls scored higher on both emotional overeating (M = 1.53, SD = 0.69) and restrained eating (M = 1.44, SD = 0.66; and M = 1.36, SD = 0.55, respectively).

Table 2 Characteristics of the study population (N = 4,653) (N = numerosity)

| Child characteristics | Girls | N (%) | Mean score (SD) |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| Sex, %                |       |       |                 |
| Girls                 | 2,354 | 50.6  |
| Ethnicity, %          |       |       |                 |
| Dutch                 | 3,024 | 65.0  |
| Other western         | 410   | 8.8   |
| Non-western           | 1,175 | 25.3  |
| Children’s eating behaviors |       |       |                 |
| CEBQ – Emotional Overeating mean item score | 4,518 | 1.51 (0.67) |
| DEBQ – Restrained Eating mean item score | 4,630 | 1.40 (0.57) |

| Family characteristics |       |       |                 |
| Maternal educational level, % |       |       |                 |
| Low (none or primary school) | 669 | 14.4  |
| Medium (middle or high school) | 1,305 | 28.0  |
| High (university degree) | 2,412 | 51.8  |
| Household income, % |       |       |                 |
| Low (<1,200€ per month) | 413 | 8.9   |
| Medium (1,200–2,000€ per month) | 607 | 13.0  |
| High (>2,000€ per month) | 2,725 | 58.6  |
| Psychiatric symptoms during pregnancy (BSI score) |       |       |                 |
| Mother | 3,545 | 0.24 (0.31) |
| Partner | 2,942 | 0.13 (0.21) |
| Lifetime maternal eating disorder, % |       |       |                 |
| No eating disorder | 3,214 | 69.1  |
| Anorexia nervosa only | 74 | 1.6   |
| Bulimia nervosa only | 143 | 3.1   |
| AN and BN | 72 | 1.5   |

*Number of subjects (and relative percentage) represented in each category or that replied to the relative questionnaire. Some variables had missing values: child ethnicity (0.9%), CEBQ score (2.9%), DEBQ score (0.5%), maternal educational level (5.8%), household income (19.5%), maternal and paternal BSI score (23.8 and 36.8%, respectively), and maternal history of eating disorders (24.7%).

*Mean score and standard deviation of the relative questionnaires in the analyzed sample.

Table 3 Association between adverse life events and eating behavior in children

| Adverse life events (0-10 years) | Emotional overeating (z score) | Restrained eating (z score) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                | B*                             | 95% CI                     | B*             | 95% CI             |
| Zero life events (N = 3,021, 51.4% girls) | Ref.                           | Ref.                       |
| One life event (N = 878, 48.3% girls) | 0.05 [−0.03; 0.14]             | 0.03 [−0.06; 0.11]         |
| Two life events (N = 401, 50.9% girls) | 0.06 [−0.05; 0.18]             | 0.10 [−0.01; 0.22]         |
| Three or more life events (N = 353, 48.7% girls) | 0.20* [0.06; 0.33]           | 0.21* [0.08; 0.33]         |
| p-value for trend                | .003                           | .001                       |

*Adjusted for child sex and ethnicity, household income, maternal education, maternal and paternal psychopathology symptoms during pregnancy and lifetime maternal eating disorders.

*p-value <.05.
3.4 | Childhood adversities and eating behavior

Table 3 displays the results of the regression analyses on the association between number of mother-reported adverse life events and children’s emotional overeating and restrained eating. In this adjusted model, subjects who, according to their mothers, experienced three or more adverse life events showed higher scores on emotional overeating and restrained eating (B = 0.20; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.06–0.33; and B = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.08–0.33, respectively) than those who experienced no adverse life events. Unadjusted results are shown in Table S2.

3.5 | Role of sex

The covariate sex was significantly associated with emotional overeating (B = 0.07; 95% CI, 0.002–0.14) and restrained eating (B = 0.13; 95% CI, 0.04–0.18), with girls scoring higher than boys. Yet, the sex by adverse life events interactions were not significant, suggesting that there are no sex-differences in the relationship between mother-reported adverse life events and both emotional overeating and restrained eating.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this population-based study, we found that the experience of adverse life events during childhood—which had at least moderate impact according to the mothers—is associated with specific eating behaviors among 10-year old children, as reported by the mothers of the children in the sample. Our data showed a consistent graded association, with children exposed to a higher number of adversities displaying both more emotional overeating and more restrained eating. These findings are in line with previous clinical research among adults, which indicated an association between the experience of adverse life events and later eating disorders (Afifi et al., 2017; Degortes et al., 2014; Loth et al., 2008; Michopoulos et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 1997; Welch et al., 1997). The present findings also support previous research linking the experience of adverse life events with emotional overeating in children (Björklund et al., 2019; Michels et al., 2012).

Our study did not evaluate potential mediators, but the observed association of mother-reported adverse life events with children’s emotional overeating and restrained eating may be explained by emotion regulation: adverse events might affect children’s ability to regulate and cope with negative affect, and some children may turn to emotional overeating as a strategy to regulate affect (Lindeman & Stark, 2001; Polivy, Herman, & McFarlane, 1994; Taylor & Altman, 1997). Restrained eating may also act as a means to gain control over negative emotions (Torres et al., 2011; Waller & Osman, 1998). Another explanation for the reported associations may be stress-regulation: stress resulting from adverse life events may lead to homeostatic changes and perturbations of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which regulates secretion and action of appetite-related hormones like cortisol, ghrelin, leptin and insulin (Chao, Jastreboff, White, Grilo, & Sinha, 2017). While appetite is typically suppressed during acute stress (Charmandari, Tsigos, & Chrousos, 2005), chronic stress usually stimulates desire for high-fat and energy-dense foods (Adam & Epel, 2007). As such, the stress associated with adverse life events may lead to overconsumption of highly palatable foods. Furthermore, even though we thoroughly adjusted for several potential confounding factors, it is possible that our findings are explained by residual confounding. Indeed, several covariates were associated with emotional overeating and restrained eating, and might also explain part of the association. Yet, the covariates included in the models did not fully explain the associations between mothers-reported adverse life events and emotional overeating and restrained eating.

As initially hypothesized, we expected to find stronger associations in girls than in boys. Although, in contrast to this hypothesis—and also contrasting with some previous clinical studies (Almuneef et al., 2017; Coêlho et al., 2018; Galaif et al., 2003; Hankin et al., 2007)—the nonsignificant sex by adverse life event interactions suggested that the association’s strength did not differ between boys and girls. Given that this is one of the first studies focusing on the relation of adversities with children’s eating behaviors, we strongly recommend future studies to replicate and substantiate our findings.

Generally, future prospective research on this topic is needed to improve strategies for the prevention of the development of less desirable eating behaviors. For instance, such strategies could be focused on preventing childhood adversities by altering the context in which individuals grow up (Mendenhall, Kohrt, Norris, Ndetei, & Prabhakaran, 2017), or to promptly recognize and intervene when a child has experienced a potentially stressful adverse life event. Unfortunately, there is still scarce evidence about the effectiveness of programs that are focused on preventing childhood adversities.

4.1 | Strengths and limitations of the study

The current study was strengthened by its population-based design and the large number of participants followed into late childhood. Furthermore, the assessed CEBQ and DEBQ questionnaires are widely used and well-validated tools for the assessment of eating behaviors (Sleddens et al., 2008; van Strien et al., 1986; van Strien & Oosterveld, 2008; Wardle et al., 2001) and also showed a good consistency in this sample.

However, this study is not without limitations. First of all, our retrospective assessment of adverse life events was obtained at the same time as the eating behavior assessment. Thus, given this essentially cross-sectional design, we can only describe associations but not infer on causality. Our study reported the presence of a graded association, with the strength of the correlation being higher when three or more adverse life events were reported by the mothers, and being gradually lower for two and one reported adverse life events. Biological plausibility, consistency of an association across different contexts, and longitudinal follow-up studies would improve our understanding of the relationship between adverse life events and eating behavior.
populations, as well as a dose–response relationship are among the so-called Bradford Hill criteria to establish a causal relation (A. B. Hill, 1965; Phillips & Goodman, 2004). As such, our study contributes to the field, although a prospective design is needed to affirm causal relations.

Secondly, although children's scores on the eating behavior scales were rather comparable with other studies (Braet & Van Strien, 1997; Caccialanza et al., 2004; Rodenburg, Kremers, Oenema, & van de Mheen, 2012; Sleddens et al., 2008), the families who were lost to follow-up presented more parental psychopathology and were of lower socioeconomic background. These characteristics are associated with both adverse life events and emotional overeating and restrained eating (Striegel-Moore et al., 2009), meaning that our estimates of the association of adverse life events with eating behaviors may be biased by selection on these factors. In order to reduce the consequences of selective follow-up, we performed multiple imputation on our covariates data.

Thirdly, both the evaluations on adverse life events and the reports on emotional overeating and restrained eating were based on mothers-report only, which may have resulted in measurement error. Mothers may have provided socially desirable or biased reports, although for the CEBQ, parent-reports of children's eating behaviors showed good concurrent validity with observations of actual eating behaviors (Wardle et al., 2001). Regarding the adverse life events, it may have occurred, however, that mothers deliberately omitted the report of life events or that they were not aware of the occurrence of some events. This limitation may be amplified by the choice to only consider those events as "adverse" for which the mother reported a "moderate" or "lot of" impact on the child—potentially, this report was colored by the impact the event had on the mother. Therefore, we strongly advice future studies to adopt a multi-informant strategy, involving self-reports and reports from other caregivers.

Moreover, our study did not differentiate between different types of life events and between one-time and chronic adversities. Different types of events and different durations might have a differential impact on emotional overeating and restrained eating of children.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study showing an association between adverse life events and emotional overeating and restrained eating in middle childhood, all outcomes as reported by mothers of the children in this sample. Future longitudinal studies using multiple reporters are needed to further describe the nature and developmental course of this relationship, to further assess the effects of specific adverse events, and, finally, to unravel the extent to which these eating behaviors in middle childhood might be on the pathway from adverse life events to clinical eating disorders later in life.
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