Testosterone level and risk of type 2 diabetes in men: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes is a risk factor for testosterone deficiency and impaired sex steroid status. Some studies also investigated the association of testosterone level with diabetes risk in men, but reported controversial findings. To clarify this issue, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science were searched for eligible cohort or nested case–control studies published up to August 15, 2017. Meta-analysis was used to calculate the pooled relative risk (RR) of type 2 diabetes associated with higher testosterone level.

Results: Thirteen cohort or nested case–control studies with 16,709 participants were included. Meta-analysis showed that higher total testosterone level could significantly decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes in men (RR = 0.65; 95% CI 0.50–0.84; P = 0.001), and higher free testosterone level could also decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes in men (RR = 0.94; 95% CI 0.90–0.99; P = 0.014). After excluding two studies that did not calculate RRs by quartiles of testosterone levels, both higher total testosterone and free testosterone levels could decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes in men, and the pooled RRs were 0.62 (95% CI 0.51–0.76; P < 0.001) and 0.77 (95% CI 0.61–0.98; P = 0.03), respectively.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis suggests that higher testosterone level can significantly decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes in men. Therefore, combined with previous researches, the findings above suggest a reverse-causality scenario in the relation between testosterone deficiency and risk of type 2 diabetes in men.

Introduction

Diabetes is a public health issue, and there are over 0.4 billion people suffering from diabetes over the world, and its prevalence is still increasing remarkably every year (1). Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is the major type of diabetes, which is associated with numerous complications including cardiovascular disease, peripheral neuropathy, stroke, infection, chronic renal failure, retinopathy and so on (2). Therefore, it is evident that both the treatment and the prevention of diabetes are critical. To compensate for progressive β-cell failure, standard treatment for T2DM includes lifestyle modification, oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin therapy. Besides, current pharmaceutical paradigm for T2DM is limited because they fail to maintain stable glucose control; furthermore, oral medications are associated with adverse effects that are involved in hypoglycemia, weight gain and the loss of bone (3). Therefore, further studies of the pathogenesis and risk factors of T2DM are still necessary to copy with the epidemic of T2DM.

There is evidence to indicate that endogenous sex hormones play a vital role in the pathogenesis of T2DM (4). Some studies proposed that testosterone was possibly...
linked with T2DM risk (5). The study by Rohwer and coworkers found that low testosterone level was associated with higher risk for T2DM among men but lower risk for T2DM among women (6). Elabbay and coworkers found T2DM patients tended to have significantly lower testosterone level when compared with non-diabetic individuals (7). However, evidence is less convincing and somewhat controversial for the impact of testosterone on T2DM risk among men. The study by Lakshman and coworkers reported that free testosterone level was not associated with risk of T2DM among men (8). Another study by Holmboe and coworkers also found that low testosterone level were not a risk factor for T2DM (9). Therefore, it is still unclear whether circulating testosterone level has an obvious impact on T2DM risk among men. There is also short of a comprehensive estimation of the relationship between circulating testosterone level and T2DM risk in men. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate whether circulating testosterone level was associated with T2DM risk in men.

Materials and methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science were searched to identify eligible studies. We searched databases from January 1980 to August 15, 2017. We employed the following keywords and MeSH searches: (testosterone or hypogonadism) and (diabetes or diabetic or type 2 diabetes mellitus or T2DM) and longitudinal or prospective or nested or cohort. We did not use the language restriction. For more eligible studies, we retrieved the reference lists of relevant articles or reviews.

We used the following predefined inclusion criteria: (1) cohort or nested case–control studies; (2) studies assessing T2DM risk associated with testosterone level in men; (3) the outcomes of interest were the risk estimates of T2DM associated with total or free testosterone, such as hazard ratio (HR), relative risk (RR) and odds ratio (OR). In this meta-analysis, to make it more comprehensive, we evaluated the risk of T2DM associated with total testosterone and free testosterone separately.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Using a predesigned form, the data were extracted independently by two authors from each study. We resolved the disagreements by discussion among all authors. Data extracted from eligible studies mainly contained the first authors’ name, publication year, study design, country, number of participants, duration of follow-up, types of testosterone, risk estimates and confounding factors used for adjustment analysis. If the study provided both unadjusted and adjusted risk estimates, we only used the latter one in our meta-analysis.

The study quality was assessed using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) (10). According to its criteria, bias risk of included studies was assessed on selection of participants, comparability between groups (exposed and non-exposed participants), and the ascertainment of outcomes. Those three domains were scored by four stars, three stars and two stars, respectively. Studies with total stars of less than 6 were deemed to low-quality studies. Studies with 6 or more stars were regarded as moderate to high-quality studies.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was used to calculate the pooled RRs of T2DM associated with testosterone. The $P$ and the Cochran’s Q statistic were used to evaluate the heterogeneity ($I^2$, $Q$). For the $Q$ statistic, $P<0.10$ suggested statistically significant heterogeneity. $I^2$ more than 50% also suggested substantial heterogeneity among included studies. Random-effect meta-analysis was used when substantial heterogeneity existed (13); otherwise, fixed-effect meta-analysis was used when heterogeneity was not significant (14). To explore the effects of individual study on the overall results, we also performed a sensitivity analysis by excluding studies by turns. Sensitivity analyses were also carried out by excluding two studies without RRs calculated by quartiles or tertiles of testosterone levels. Publication bias was evaluated by funnel plot and Egger's test (15). We used Stata (version 12.0) to perform this meta-analysis. The $P$ value $<0.05$ was considered significant difference.

Results

Study selection and characteristics

We identified 572 articles from literature search (Fig. 1). After reading titles and abstracts, most of them were excluded because some studies were not cohort or nested case-control studies or because the exposures or outcomes were not relevant to our analysis, leaving 36 articles to the stage of detailed evaluation. Then 24 studies were
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excluded after reading their full-texts (16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38). Finally, 12 studies were included into our meta-analysis (9, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49). There were a total of 16,709 participants.

We summarized and listed the main characteristics of the included studies in Table 1. These included studies were published between 1996 and 2016, and all of them were published in English. All studies were done in developed countries, and only one study was performed in developing countries (Table 1). The time of follow-up ranged from 4.7 to 29 years. Ten studies reported RRs of T2DM associated with higher level of total testosterone, and 8 studies reported RRs of T2DM associated with higher level of free testosterone (Table 1). Ten of those 12 studies reported RRs calculated by quartiles or tertiles of testosterone levels, but two studies reported RRs calculated by some increment of testosterone levels (47, 49). Each study adjusted for a wide range of confounding factors, such as age, smoking, estradiol and so on. The quality of those studies was shown in Table 1, and all studies had a high quality (Table 1).

Meta-analysis

Obvious heterogeneity was observed among those 10 studies on total testosterone ($I^2 = 64.6\%$), and random-effect meta-analysis was utilized. Meta-analysis of those studies suggested that high level of total testosterone significantly decreased risk of T2DM among men (RR=0.65; 95% CI 0.50–0.84, $P=0.001$; Fig. 2A). After excluding one study without RRs calculated by quartiles of testosterone levels, meta-analysis of those 9 left studies found that there was still an obvious association between high total testosterone level and decreased risk of T2DM among men (RR=0.62; 95% CI 0.51–0.76; $P<0.001$; Fig. 2B). In the sensitivity analysis by excluding studies by turns, the pooled RRs were not significantly altered by any single study (Fig. 3), suggesting no obvious impact of individual study on the overall results.
Table 1  The main characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis.

| Study                  | Design          | Country     | Groups of participants (mean age, years) | Types of testosterone | Follow-up | Confounding factors | Quality |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Jasuja et al. (46)     | Prospective cohort | USA         | 1031 men without diabetes at baseline which were from Framingham Heart Study (FHS) generation 2 study (59 years) | fT                    | 6.8       | Age, smoking, BMI, SHBG, and estradiol | 8       |
| Soriguer et al. (45)   | Prospective cohort | Spain       | 368 men without diabetes at baseline, including 57 incident T2DM cases and 311 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (38 years) | TT                    | 11        | Age and waist circumference | 8       |
| Schipf et al. (44)     | Prospective cohort | Germany     | 1339 men without T2DM at baseline, including 68 incident T2DM cases and 1271 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (50 years) | TT                    | 5         | Age, waist circumference, smoking | 8       |
| Lakshman et al. (43)   | Prospective cohort | USA         | 1128 men without T2DM at baseline, including 90 incident T2DM cases and 1038 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (54 years) | TT; fT                | 13        | Age, BMI, smoking, high blood pressure, alcohol intake, and physical activity | 8       |
| Vikan et al. (42)      | Prospective cohort | Norway      | 1454 men without T2DM at baseline, including 76 incident T2DM cases and 1378 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (59 years) | TT; fT                | 9.1       | Age, HDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and waist circumference | 8       |
| Oh et al. (40)         | Prospective cohort | USA         | 294 men without T2DM at baseline, including 26 incident T2DM cases and 268 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (68 years) | TT                    | 8         | Baseline age, BMI, and systolic blood pressure | 7       |
| Laaksonen et al. (41)  | Prospective cohort | Finland     | 702 men without diabetes at baseline, including 57 incident T2DM cases and 645 non-diabetic controls during follow-up (51 years) | TT; fT                | 11        | Age, presence of cardiovascular disease, smoking, alcohol consumption, waist, concentrations of insulin, glucose, and triglycerides, blood pressure, etc. | 8       |
| Haffner et al. (39)    | Nested case-control | USA         | 176 incident diabetes male cases during follow-up and 352 matched non-diabetic men (46 years) | TT; fT                | 5         | Age, age, diastolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol, smoking, fasting glucose, body mass index, etc. | 7       |

(Continued)
Heterogeneity was not observed among those 8 studies on free testosterone ($I^2=17.9\%$), and fixed-effect meta-analysis was utilized. Meta-analysis of those studies suggested that high level of free testosterone was significantly associated with decreased risk of T2DM in men ($RR=0.94; 95\% CI 0.90–0.99; P=0.014$; Fig. 4A). After excluding two studies without RRs calculated by quartiles of testosterone levels, meta-analysis of those 6 left studies found that high free testosterone level could decrease the risk of T2DM in men ($RR=0.77, 95\% CI 0.61–0.98; P=0.03$; Fig. 4B).

Funnel plot suggested no obvious tendency of publication bias in the meta-analysis of the association between total testosterone level and T2DM risk in men ($P=0.17$).

**Discussion**

Our study is the first meta-analysis of cohort studies to investigate the association between circulating testosterone level and T2DM risk in men. Twelve studies were finally included, and the findings suggested that high total testosterone level was significantly associated with decreased risk of T2DM in men ($RR=0.65; 95\% CI 0.50–0.84; P=0.001$). In addition, high free testosterone level was also significantly associated with decreased risk of T2DM in men ($RR=0.94; 95\% CI 0.90–0.99; P=0.014$). Sensitivity analyses also found that high testosterone level was significantly associated with decreased risk of T2DM among men. The findings above suggest that testosterone has an important protective effect against T2DM among men, and men with higher testosterone level have a decreased risk for T2DM than those with lower testosterone level.

The findings of our meta-analysis indicate that male persons with a low testosterone level are predisposed to T2DM. Some mechanisms or hypotheses have been proposed to explain the association between testosterone and diabetes (50, 51). Firstly, the key role of insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of T2DM has been well understood, and it is known that testosterone has insulin-sensitizing properties.
established. Previous studies have proven the inverse association between testosterone and insulin resistance, and individuals with testosterone deficiency have higher level of insulin resistance, which can further result in increased risk of T2DM (52, 53). An experimental study also supports that testosterone deficiency can increase fasting glucose associated with hepatic and extra-hepatic insulin resistance in adult male rats (54). Secondly, testosterone can regulate the expressions of important genes involved in insulin signaling and glucose uptake, such as glucose transporter-4 (GLUT4) (55, 56). Testosterone can increase the expression of GLUT4 in the muscle cells and adipocytes, and lower expression GLUT4 caused by testosterone deficiency decreases glucose transport and insulin responsiveness, which may finally cause T2DM. In one study, testicular feminized mice with testosterone deficiency have a reduced GLUT4 level in muscle and a decreased glycolytic enzyme activity in muscle, liver and abdominal adipose tissues (57). Thirdly, obesity caused by testosterone deficiency is another possible explanation. An experimental study by Fan and coworkers revealed that androgen receptor (AR) played an important role in modulating fat accumulation in male mice, and elimination of the effect of testosterone through AR knockout in mice promoted the development of obesity, which could further contribute to diabetes (58). Finally, abnormalities in lipid metabolism are also involved in the pathogenesis of diabetes, which are associated with impaired insulin responsiveness and abnormalities in glucose control (59). The dysregulated lipid metabolism...
caused by testosterone deficiency may result in decreased insulin sensitivity and increase the risk of T2DM (60, 61). However, the precise molecular mechanisms underlying the link of testosterone to T2DM are still not well defined.

The relationship between testosterone deficiency and diabetic predisposition implies that supplementation of testosterone may be a prophylactic means for T2DM in specific persons. A study by Canguven and coworkers found that testosterone therapy could decrease the levels of glycated hemoglobin, total cholesterol and triglycerides in elderly hypogonadal men, which might reduce the risk of diabetes in those individuals (62). It is not a unique instance, but has its counterpart. Permpongkosol and coworkers also reported that testosterone replacement could significantly decreased body fat and glycated hemoglobin in men with hypogonadism (63). An observational study by Yassin et al. found that testosterone

### Figure 3

Sensitivity analysis by excluding studies by turns suggested that the pooled RRs were not significantly altered by any single study.

### Figure 4

Forest plots in the meta-analysis of the association between free testosterone level and T2DM in men. (A) Meta-analysis showed that high free testosterone level could obviously decrease the risk of T2DM among men. (B) Forest plot in the meta-analysis of 6 studies reporting RRs of T2DM calculated by quartiles or tertiles of free testosterone levels.

| Study             | RR (95% CI) | Weight |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|
| Soriguer F 2012   | 0.21 (0.04, 0.92) | 0.09   |
| Lakshman KM 2010  | 0.76 (0.38, 1.53) | 0.48   |
| Vikan T 2010      | 1.29 (0.69, 2.43) | 0.58   |
| Laaksonen DE 2004 | 0.64 (0.33, 1.25) | 0.52   |
| Haffner SM 1996   | 0.79 (0.52, 1.21) | 1.30   |
| Woyke KE 2017     | 0.95 (0.83, 1.09) | 12.44  |
| Salmi M 2015      | 0.95 (0.90, 1.00) | 83.25  |
| Holmboe SA 2016   | 0.72 (0.47, 1.08) | 1.34   |
| Overall (I-squared = 17.9%, p = 0.289) | 0.94 (0.90, 0.99) | 100.00 |

| Study             | RR (95% CI) | Weight |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|
| Soriguer F 2012   | 0.21 (0.04, 0.92) | 2.18   |
| Lakshman KM 2010  | 0.76 (0.38, 1.53) | 11.06  |
| Vikan T 2010      | 1.29 (0.69, 2.43) | 13.54  |
| Laaksonen DE 2004 | 0.64 (0.33, 1.25) | 12.10  |
| Haffner SM 1996   | 0.79 (0.52, 1.21) | 30.10  |
| Holmboe SA 2016   | 0.72 (0.47, 1.08) | 31.01  |
| Overall (I-squared = 11.2%, p = 0.344) | 0.77 (0.61, 0.98) | 100.00 |
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previous systematic review, our study only included prospective cohort or nested case–control studies, which could assess the causal relationship between risk factor and diseases. We finally included 13 cohort or nested case–control studies, and the sample size of the pooled subjects was large enough to allow a reliable assessment of the causal relationship between testosterone and risk of T2DM in men. The pooled results in our meta-analysis provided strong epidemiological evidence for the causal relationship between testosterone and T2DM. Moreover, in the sensitivity analyses, the consistent and obvious links of testosterone with T2DM further strengthened the epidemiological evidence for testosterone as a protective factor against T2DM in men. The results of the present meta-analysis are very helpful to define the causal association between testosterone deficiency and T2DM.

Thus, there are many studies might support that testosterone deficiency leads to T2DM as described earlier. However, other studies suggest that T2DM is a risk factor for testosterone deficiency and impaired sex steroid status (73). One large prospective study showed that the development of T2DM is a major driver of the age-related testosterone decline (74). Treatment of hypogonadal men concomitant with T2DM with rosiglitazone caused an increase in serum testosterone levels (75). Another study demonstrated a significant reduction in total and free testosterone levels in men after glucose ingestion (76). Therefore, it seems that both insulin resistance and glucose itself may induce hypotestosteronaemia in patients with diabetes. About the impact of insulin resistance on testosterone, one longitudinal study indicated a negative correlation between changes in insulin resistance and alterations in testosterone levels in diabetic men over time (77). IL-6 and TNF-α, two major inflammatory cytokines related to insulin resistance in T2DM, are able to reduce the production of testosterone from Leydig cells (79). In addition, TNF-α and IL-1β have been shown to suppress secretion of hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone in experimental animals (80). Hence, there appears to be a bidirectional link between lower testosterone levels and T2DM, and a reverse-causality scenario exists in the relation between testosterone deficiency and T2DM risk in men.

Several limitations of the meta-analysis should be considered. Firstly, in the meta-analysis on the impact of total testosterone on T2DM risk among men, there was obvious heterogeneity which may be a result of the different study design, different statistical analysis or different characteristics of recruited subjects. Secondly, there were no uniform criteria for high or low testosterone application could decrease the levels of fasting glucose and HbA1c in hypogonadal elderly men (64). An experimental study using male mouse model of T2DM found that testosterone supplementation could improve glucose homeostasis (65). A clinical trial in men with lowered bioavailable testosterone level and T2DM found that testosterone therapy improved body composition and decreased HDL cholesterol level (66). Another study reported that testosterone therapy could reduce insulin resistance and improve glycemic control in hypogonadal men with type 2 diabetes (67). A recent clinical trial found that testosterone treatment in men with T2DM increased insulin sensitivity and reduced diabetic chronic inflammation (68). Though the above-mentioned findings strongly suggest that testosterone supplementation has a protective role against diabetes, more clinical trials are still needed to confirm this scenario, to determine the dosage and the approaches.

To summarize, our findings from the meta-analysis provide strong epidemiologic evidence for testosterone deficiency as an important risk factor of T2DM in men. As many observational studies have found that testosterone deficiency is very prevalent in male T2DM patients and also associated with poorer outcomes of diabetes (69, 70, 71, 72), our research has a broad and bright clinical utilization in the future.

A previous systematic review published in 2006 also assessed the association between testosterone and diabetes (4). The systematic review above mainly included retrospective case–control or cross-sectional studies and included only two nested case-control studies, which was unable to evaluate the causal relationship between testosterone and T2DM. Compared with the

**Figure 5**
Funnel plot in the meta-analysis of the association between total testosterone and risk of T2DM in men.
levels among those included studies. At present, the adequate cut-off value in defining low testosterone level is still unclear, which needs to be defined in future research. Finally, most of our included studies were from European countries and USA, and only one study was conducted in Asia. Therefore, given above limitations, the findings from the present meta-analysis should be treated with caution.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis suggests that higher testosterone level can significantly decrease the risk of T2DM in men, and testosterone is an important protective factor against T2DM in men. Besides, more researches are recommended to evaluate the effect of testosterone supplementation in reducing T2DM risk in individuals with testosterone deficiency and to assess the effect of testosterone treatment in improving clinical outcomes among male patients with T2DM.

Declaration of interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest. They are all responsible for the content of the paper.

Funding
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81270871) and the Key Disciplines Development of Shanghai Jinshan District (grant number JSZK2015A02).

Author contribution statement
Qiu-ming Yao, Bin Wang and Xiao-fei An designed the study. Qiuming Yao and Bin Wang extracted the data. Qiuming Yao and Bin Wang performed the analyses. Qiuming Yao wrote the draft. Jinan Zhang and Liumei Ding revised it critically.

References
1 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. Lancet 2016 387 1513–1530. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00618-8)
2 Nathan DM. Long-term complications of diabetes mellitus. New England Journal of Medicine 1993 328 1676–1685. (https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199306103282306)
3 Gasser E, Moutos CP, Downes M & Evans RM. FGF1 – a new weapon in the fight against T2DM in men. Besides, more researches are recommended to evaluate the effect of testosterone supplementation in reducing T2DM risk in individuals with testosterone deficiency and to assess the effect of testosterone treatment in improving clinical outcomes among male patients with T2DM.

**Declaration of interest**
The authors declare no conflict of interest. They are all responsible for the content of the paper.

**Funding**
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81270871) and the Key Disciplines Development of Shanghai Jinshan District (grant number JSZK2015A02).

**Author contribution statement**
Qiu-ming Yao, Bin Wang and Xiao-fei An designed the study. Qiuming Yao and Bin Wang extracted the data. Qiuming Yao and Bin Wang performed the analyses. Qiuming Yao wrote the draft. Jinan Zhang and Liumei Ding revised it critically.

**References**
1 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. Lancet 2016 387 1513–1530. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00618-8)
2 Nathan DM. Long-term complications of diabetes mellitus. New England Journal of Medicine 1993 328 1676–1685. (https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199306103282306)
3 Gasser E, Moutos CP, Downes M & Evans RM. FGF1 – a new weapon to control type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nature Reviews Endocrinology 2017 13 599–609. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.78)
4 Ding EL, Song Y, Malik VS & Liu S. Sex differences of endogenous sex hormones and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2006 295 1288–1299. (https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.295.11.1288)
5 Oh JY, Barrett-Connor E, Wedick NM & Wingard DL. Endogenous sex hormones and the development of type 2 diabetes in older men and women: the Rancho Bernardo study. Diabetes Care 2002 25 55–60. (https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.1.55)
6 Rohwer RD, Liu S, You NC, Buring JE, Manson JE & Song Y. Interrelationship between alcohol intake and endogenous sex steroid hormones on diabetes risk in postmenopausal women. Journal of the American College of Nutrition 2015 34 273–280. (https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2014.926163)
7 Elabbdy A, Hashad MM, Koth AF & Ghanem AE. Studying the effect of type 2 diabetes mellitus on prostate-related parameters: a prospective single institutional study. Prostate International 2016 4 156–159. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.priil.2016.07.005)
8 Lakshman KM, Bhasin S & Araujo AB. Sex hormone-binding globulin as an independent predictor of incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. Journal of Gerontology: Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences 2010 65 S503–509. (https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glp002)
9 Holmboe SA, Jensen TK, Linneberg A, Scheike T, Thuesen BH, Skakkebaek NE, Juul A & Andersson AM. Low testosterone: a risk marker rather than a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2016 101 3180–3190. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2016-1778)
10 Margulis AV, Pladevall M, Riera-Guardia N, Varas-Lorenzo C, Hazell L, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M & Perez-Gutthann S. Quality assessment of observational studies in a drug-safety systematic review, comparison of two tools: the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale and the RTI item bank. Clinical Epidemiology 2014 6 359–368. (https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S66677)
11 Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ & Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003 327 557–560. (https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557)
12 Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics 1954 10 101–129. (https://doi.org/10.2307/3001666)
13 DerSimonian R & Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clinical Trials 1986 7 177–188. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2)
14 Mantel N & Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 1959 22 719–748.
15 Egger M, Davey SG, Schneider M & Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997 315 629–634. (https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629)
16 Lindstedt G, Lundberg PA, Lapinus L, Lundgren H, Bengtsson C & Björntorp P. Low sex-hormone-binding globulin concentration as independent risk factor for development of NIDDM. 12-yr follow-up of population study of women in Gothenburg, Sweden. Diabetes 1991 40 123–128. (https://doi.org/10.2337/db9a.40.1.123)
17 Haffner SM, Valdez RA, Morales PA, Hazuda HP & Stern MP. Decreased sex hormone-binding globulin predicts noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women but not in men. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 1993 77 56–60. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.77.1.8325960)
18 Okubo M, Tokui M, Egusa G & Yamakido M. Association of sex hormone-binding globulin and insulin resistance among Japanese-American subjects. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2000 47 71–75. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8227(99)00106-0)
19 Stallerto RK, Feldman HA, Hamdy O, Horton ES & McKinlay JB. Testosterone, sex hormone-binding globulin, and the development of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged men: prospective results from the Massachusetts male aging study. Diabetes Care 2000 23 490–494. (https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.23.4.490)
20 Rosmond R, Wallelius S, Wanger P, Martin L, Holm G & Björntorp P. A 5-year follow-up study of disease incidence in men with an abnormal hormone pattern. Journal of Internal Medicine 2003 254 386–390. (https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2796.2003.01205.x)
21 Kameda W, Daimon M, Oizumi T, Jimbu Y, Kimura M, Hirata A, Yamaguchi H, Ohnuma H, Igarashi M, Tominaga M, et al. Association of decrease in serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate levels with the progression to type 2 diabetes in men of a Japanese population: the Funagata study. Metabolism-Clinical and Experimental 2005 54 669–676. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2004.12.011)
Testosterone level and risk of type 2 diabetes

7:1

230

of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2017 102 33–39. (https://doi.org/10.1210/clinendrodyn.2016-2623)
48 Hu J, Zhang A, Yang S, Wang Y, Goswami R, Zhou H, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Li R, Cheng Q, et al. Combined effects of sex hormone-binding globulin and sex hormones on risk of incident type 2 diabetes. Journal of Diabetes 2016 8 508–515. (https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12322)
49 Salminen M, Vahlberg T, Raiha I, Niskanen L, Kivelä SL & Irjala K. Sex hormones and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 9-year follow up among elderly men in Finland. Geriatrics and Gerontology International 2015 15 559–564. (https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12312)
50 Rao PM, Kelly DM & Jones TH. Testosterone and insulin resistance in the metabolic syndrome and T2DM in men. Nature Reviews Endocrinology 2013 9 479–493. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2013.122)
51 Grossmann M. Testosterone and glucose metabolism in men: current concepts and controversies. Journal of Endocrinology 2014 220 R37–R55. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-13-0393)
52 Tsai EC, Matsumoto AM, Fujimoto WY & Boyko EJ. Association of bioavailable, free, and total testosterone with insulin resistance: influence of sex hormone-binding globulin and body fat. Diabetes Care 2004 27 861–868. (https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.27.4.861)
53 Yeap BB, Chubb SA, Hyde Z, Jamrozik K, Hanky GJ, Flicker L & Norman PE. Lower serum testosterone is independently associated with insulin resistance in non-diabetic older men: the Health In Men Study. European Journal of Endocrinology 2009 161 591–598. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-09-0348)
54 Xia F, Xu X, Zhai H, Meng Y, Zhang H, Du S, Xu H, Wu H & Lu Y. Castration-induced testosterone deficiency increases fasting glucose associated with hepatic and extra-hepatic insulin resistance in adult male rats. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology 2013 11 106. (https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-11-106)
55 Mitsuhashi K, Senmaru T, Fukuda T, Yamazaki M, Shinozaki Y, Ueno M, Kinoshita S, Kitawaki J, Katsuyama M, Tsujikawa M, et al. Testosterone stimulates glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation through LKB1/AMPK signaling in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Endocrine 2016 51 174–184. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-015-0666-y)
56 Sato K, Iemitsu M, Aizawa K & Ajsiaka R. Testosterone and DHEA activate the glucose metabolism-related signaling pathway in skeletal muscle. American Journal of Physiology: Endocrinology and Metabolism 2008 294 E961–E968. (https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00678.2007)
57 Kelly DM, Akhtar S, Sellers DJ, Muralleedharan V, Channer KS & Jones TH. Testosterone differentially regulates targets of lipid and oxidative metabolism and body composition in aging men with type 2 diabetes on metformin monotherapy. Diabetes Obesity and Metabolism 2016 18 980–989. (https://doi.org/10.1111/dob.12701)
58 Kapoor D, Goodwin E, Channer KS & Jones TH. Testosterone replacement therapy improves insulin resistance, glycaemic control, visceral adiposity and hypercholesterolaemia in hypogonadal men with type 2 diabetes. European Journal of Endocrinology 2006 154 899–906. (https://doi.org/10.1530/eje.1.02166)
59 Dhindsa S, Ghaniin H, Batra M, Kuhadiya ND, Abuaushneh S, Sandhu S, Green K, Makkadi A, Hejna J, Chaudhuri A, et al. Insulin resistance and inflammation in hypogonadotropic hypogonadism and their reduction after testosterone replacement in men with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2016 39 82–91. (https://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-1518)
60 Muralleedharan V, Marsh H, Kapoor D, Channer KS & Jones TH. Testosterone deficiency is associated with increased risk of mortality and testosterone replacement improves survival in men with type 2 diabetes. European Journal of Endocrinology 2013 169 725–733. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-13-0321)
61 Ho CH, Jaw FS, Wu CC, Chen KC, Wang CY, Hsieh JT, Yu HJ & Liu SP. The prevalence and the risk factors of testosterone deficiency in newly diagnosed and previously known type 2 diabetic men. Journal of Sexual Medicine 2015 12 389–397. (https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12777)
62 Gillb FW & Strachan MW. Androgen deficiency and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clinical Biochemistry 2014 47 940–949. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2014.04.004)
63 Hamilton EJ, Davis WA, Makepeace A, Lim EM, Yeap BB, Peters KE & Davis TM. Prevalence and prognosis of a low serum testosterone in men with type 2 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study Phase II. Clinical Endocrinology 2016 88 444–452. (https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13087)
64 Grossmann M. Low testosterone in men with type 2 diabetes: significance and treatment. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2011 96 2341–2353. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0118)
74 Haring R, Ittermann T, Volzke H, Krebs A, Zygmunt M, Felix SB, Grabe HJ, Nauck M & Wallaschofski H. Prevalence, incidence and risk factors of testosterone deficiency in a population-based cohort of men: results from the study of health in Pomerania. *Aging Male* 2010 13 247–257. (https://doi.org/10.3109/13685538.2010.487553)
75 Kapoor D, Channer KS & Jones TH. Rosiglitazone increases bioactive testosterone and reduces waist circumference in hypogonadal men with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes and Vascular Disease Research* 2008 5 135–137. (https://doi.org/10.3132/dvdr.2008.022)
76 Caronia LM, Dwyer AA, Hayden D, Amati F, Pitteloud N & Hayes FJ. Abrupt decrease in serum testosterone levels after an oral glucose load in men: implications for screening for hypogonadism. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2013 78 291–296. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.2012.04486.x)
77 Grossmann M, Thomas MC, Panagiotopoulos S, Sharpe K, Macisaac RJ, Clarke S, Zajac JD & Jerums G. Low testosterone levels are common and associated with insulin resistance in men with diabetes. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2008 93 1834–1840. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2007-2177)
78 Herrmann M, Scholmerich J & Straub RH. Influence of cytokines and growth factors on distinct steroidogenic enzymes in vitro: a short tabular data collection. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2002 966 166–186. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb04213.x)
79 Hong CY, Park JH, Ahn RS, Im SY, Choi HS, Soh J, Mellon SH & Lee K. Molecular mechanism of suppression of testicular steroidogenesis by proinflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 2004 24 2593–2604. (https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.7.2593-2604.2004)
80 Watanobe H & Hayakawa Y. Hypothalamic interleukin-1 beta and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, but not interleukin-6, mediate the endotoxin-induced suppression of the reproductive axis in rats. *Endocrinology* 2003 144 4868–4875. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2003-0644)

Received in final form 3 December 2017
Accepted 12 December 2017
Accepted Preprint published online 12 December 2017