Surface modification of *Trema orientalis* wood biochar using natural coconut vinegar and its potential to remove aqueous calcium ions: column and batch studies
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**Abstract**
Recent investigations have revealed the harmful health effects of elevated calcium levels in drinking water. Chemically activated biochar is the most popular option for low-cost cation adsorption. However, most of these chemicals are hardly available for rural communities, corrosive, and difficult to handle by unskilled personnel. This study aimed to determine the ability of natural coconut vinegar, a common mild acid, to activate *Trema orientalis* wood biochar pyrolyzed at 300ºC (BC) as a facile, safe, and low-cost approach for aqueous calcium ion (Ca²⁺) removal. Column tests showed a two-fold increase of Ca²⁺ adsorption capacity and a ten-fold increase of Ca²⁺ retaining capacity of BC after activation with vinegar. The isotherm results were well correlated with the Langmuir model. The maximum Langmuir adsorption of the activated biochar (BC-A) was 9.96 mg/g. Ca²⁺ amount was determined using flame photometry. EDX analysis showed that the O/C ratio of BC increased from 0.07 to 0.13 after activation. FTIR and wettability studies showed increased oxygenated functional groups on the BC-A surface. The authors suggest a possible acid-catalyzed hydration of the C-O-C bridges of the biochar, introducing new hydroxyl/carbonyl/ester/carboxylic/lactone groups to the biochar surface due to the vinegar activation, enhancing Ca²⁺ adsorption through chemisorption.

**Keywords:** Adsorption capacity, Adsorption isotherms, Biochar, Calcium, Coconut vinegar, *Trema orientalis*

---

† Corresponding Author
E-mail: russel@kln.ac.lk
Tel: +94 (0)11 2 903 271

ORCID: 0000-0003-4454-2939
1. Introduction

In most developing countries, untreated groundwater or surface water is the sole source of drinking water for many communities [1]. Higher Ca$^{2+}$ levels are common in most untreated water sources worldwide [2]. Recent research has provided evidence that higher Ca$^{2+}$ levels cause dangerous health effects when combined with other factors, such as fluorides in water. A detailed hydrogeochemical investigation revealed a significantly lower sodium to calcium ratio in areas with a prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease of unknown etiology (CKDu) in Sri Lanka, suggesting that high Ca$^{2+}$ activity aggravates the damage caused by fluoride, which results in possible lesions on tubular cells of the kidney tissue [3]. A recent study conducted using rats also supports this idea. In the latter study, hard water with high fluoride content resulted in acute tubular injury in the tested rats [4]. In addition, the number of CKDu patients recorded has had a marked positive relationship with the extent of ground water hardness in Sri Lanka [5]. A number of advanced technologies have been proposed to purify water with varying degrees of success, such as ion exchange, reverse osmosis, and electrochemical treatment [6, 7]. However, complicated procedures and high operational and maintenance costs restrict rural communities from benefitting from them. Hence, the need for a low-cost and facile domestic process to reduce Ca$^{2+}$ in drinking water is identified to improve the health and living standards of low-income communities.

Over the last few decades, adsorption has emerged as one of the most popular, efficient, and convenient methods for low-cost water treatment. Carbonaceous adsorbents are commonly used for the removal of various pollutants from water because of their abundance and cost-effectiveness [8, 9]. Biochar characteristics depend on the feedstock type and pyrolysis
conditions [10]. Different modification methods are also used to obtain biochar with the desired properties [11, 12]. Chemical activation was identified as the most effective method. The presence of oxygenated functional groups on the biochar surface increases the retention capacity of various cationic contaminants on the biochar, as the oxygenated functional groups become deprotonated and the surface complexation of cations is promoted [13]. Acid treatments introduce oxygenated functional groups on the biochar surface [14]. Studies have evaluated the efficiency of strong acids, such as nitric, sulfuric, potassium permanganate, and hydrogen peroxide, etc. [11, 12], and mild acids, such as phosphoric, citric, acetic, and tartaric [15-17], in activating raw biochar used in water purification. However, most of these chemicals are not readily available for rural communities. On top of this, they are expensive, corrosive, and difficult to handle by unskilled personnel.

Thus, the aim of this study was to determine the efficacy of natural coconut vinegar, a common mild acid, in activating low temperature–pyrolyzed (300ºC) *Trema orientalis* wood biochar to be used in Ca\(^{2+}\) removal for domestic water purification. Feedstock selection is another critical factor in biochar production, as the feedstock should be inexpensive and readily available. *Trema orientalis* is a shade tree with light wood, soft foliage, and a rapid growth rate. It is abundantly available in Africa and Asia. It has been used by villagers for years as a source of charcoal for firewood, gunpowder, and fireworks. It is also referred to as the “charcoal tree” [18]. Coconut vinegar is a common food additive produced from the fermentable sap of coconut blossoms, where the oxidation of ethanol into acetic acid occurs with no preservatives or chemicals added. Fresh coconut vinegar is ~4% acetic acid solution in water (4 g acetic acid/100 mL vinegar) with an approximate pH value of 2.5. Several other studies have reported the ability
of acetic acid-modified biochar to remove aqueous methylene blue and diclofenac [15,17]. However, to our knowledge, the ability to remove aqueous metal using acetic acid-modified wood biochar has not yet been established.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials Preparation

*Trema orientalis* wood was collected from three sites in the North Central Province of Sri Lanka. Air-dried composite samples of wood pieces were carbonized (300ºC, 2 h) in a domestic kiln to produce biochar. Carbonized material was washed with deionized water and dried in an oven (80ºC, 24 h). It was identified as BC. BC (< 0.5 mm) was conveyed for surface modification with commercially available natural coconut vinegar (manufactured by C. D. De Fonseka and Sons (Pvt) Ltd., Sri Lanka). The BC samples were soaked in vinegar (biochar/vinegar, 1:2 v/v) for 24 h, decanted, and dried in an oven (120ºC, 5 h). The samples were then washed with deionized water until the washings were clear and dried in an oven (80ºC, 12 h). The product was identified as BC-A.

2.2. Biochar Characterization

BC and BC-A (particle size 2.0–5.6 mm) were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX; Hitachi SU6600, Japan). BC and BC-A (particle size < 0.5 mm) were analyzed using fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; PerkinElmer Spectrum Two L160000A, USA) in the spectral range of 750 cm⁻¹ to 2,000 cm⁻¹. Combustion characteristics were studied using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA; TA SDT 650,
USA) under argon gas with a flow of 50 mL/min and a 10ºC/min ramp. The mass loss of the samples was represented as a function of temperature. Samples were given a hold time of 20 min at 105ºC to allow surface water to release. The wettability of BC and BC-A were assessed by determining the contact angle of a water drop placed on the biochar surface. To determine the contact angle, deionized water droplets (30 μL) were placed on the biochar surface. Profile photos of the water drops were captured using a digital microscope after one minute. Contact angles were measured using HiView software. Ten different water drops were analyzed for both BC and BC-A, and the range of contact angle measurements was recorded.

2.3. Column Tests

For column tests, both BC and BC-A (particle size 2.0–5.6 mm) were used. Laboratory-scale filter units were prepared with glass columns 2 cm in diameter. Cotton was used to plug the lower ends of the columns to prevent the samples from leaching out. Sample materials were packed into separate columns and soaked in deionized water for one hour. A rubber mesh was plugged at the top of the packing material to prevent the samples from floating. Ca²⁺ adsorption was examined by passing 10.00 mL portions of Ca²⁺ solution (350 mg/L, pH = 6.2±0.3) through the column at a rate of 0.05 mL/s. Each 10.00 mL effluent was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane. Filtrates were analyzed for Ca²⁺ using a flame photometer (Jenway PFP7, UK). The process was continued until effluent Ca²⁺ concentration reached its specific influent Ca²⁺ concentration. This was identified as the breakthrough point. After the breakthrough point, Ca²⁺ was desorbed from the column with 10.00 mL portions of deionized water (0.05 mL/s). Effluents (10.00 mL) were collected and analyzed for Ca²⁺ using a flame photometer until
effluent Ca\(^{2+}\) content was not detectable (LOD = 1 mg/L). A plot of the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration of the effluent versus effluent volume was used to calculate the Ca\(^{2+}\) amount adsorbed to the column and the Ca\(^{2+}\) amount desorbed from the saturated column. This is shown in the diagram in Fig. 1. By subtracting desorbed Ca\(^{2+}\) amount from the adsorbed Ca\(^{2+}\) amount, retained Ca\(^{2+}\) amount in the column was calculated. Adsorption and desorption studies were carried out for both BC and BC-A samples in triplicate.

2.4. Adsorption Equilibrium Studies

Batch experiments were carried out using BC-A samples (particle size ~0.5 mm). To determine the effect of contact time on adsorption, samples (0.10 g) were added to a series of 200 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with the same initial Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration (50 mg/L, 20.00 mL, pH = 6.2 ± 0.3) and allowed to equilibrate in an orbital shaker at 120 rpm for varying contact time periods ranging from one minute to three hours. To determine the effect of initial Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, samples (0.10 g) were added to 200 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with a series of different initial Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations (20.00 mL) ranging from 20 to 250 mg/L (pH = 6.2 ± 0.3) and allowed to equilibrate in an orbital shaker at 120 rpm for one hour. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane and analyzed for Ca\(^{2+}\) using a flame photometer.

2.5. Sample Analysis

Filtrates were analyzed using a flame photometer to determine Ca\(^{2+}\). All reagents used were analytical grade. Method validation was done with the atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS;
analyticjena NOVAA 400P, Germany), and the results were found to be in an acceptable range (90–110%). The adsorbed Ca\(^{2+}\) was calculated on a dry weight basis (mg/g).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Pyrolysis Temperature Selection

*Trema orientalis* wood was carbonized at 300ºC to produce biochar in a domestic kiln. Devolatilization and carbonization of hemicellulose and cellulose in wood begin at temperatures in the ranges of 180–240ºC and 230–310ºC, respectively. Decomposition of lignin starts at 160ºC. Hence, the lower margin for wood biochar production temperatures by slow pyrolysis is set at 300ºC. At temperatures beyond 400ºC aromatization reactions predominate, and the carbon structure becomes more ordered and graphitized due to the increased temperature [19]. This leads to a higher surface area, but the resulting loss of surface functional groups is a disadvantage in the adsorption process [20]. A number of studies have provided evidence that low-temperature–pyrolyzed biochar have the best cation exchange capacities. Furthermore, higher temperatures also cause reduced yield and require higher energy consumption. Thus, the use of higher temperatures will offset the cost effectiveness of using biochar. In addition, high-temperature pyrolysis conditions are not easily accessible at the domestic level. Hence, for the present study, the biochar pyrolysis temperature was set as 300ºC.

3.2. Biochar Characterization

The SEM analysis of BC and BC-A (Fig. 2) showed the porous nature of biochar. The mild activation process used in this study did not result in significant changes in the porosity of the
biochar. Most of the harsh activation processes utilizing strong acids/bases lead to partial
destruction of the porous structure while causing a significant reduction of reactive surface area
when conditions are not optimized [14, 17]. According to the EDX data, O/C ratios of BC and
BC-A were recorded as 0.07 and 0.13, respectively, exhibiting the increase of oxygenated
functional groups on the biochar surface after the vinegar activation.

The FTIR-ATR spectra (Fig. 3) provide evidence to suggest the available functional
groups on the biochar surface. The intensities of the bands at 1,213, 1,370, and 1,737 cm\(^{-1}\) were
significantly increased in the BC-A spectrum compared to the BC spectrum. These bands can be
assigned to phenolic C-O stretch, aromatic C-O stretch/aliphatic CH\(_3\) deformation, and C=O
stretch vibrations of carboxylic/esters/carbonyl/lactone groups respectively [21, 22]. Moreover,
the broad band at about 3,400 cm\(^{-1}\) represents the stretching vibrations of the O-H groups of
water/carboxylic acids/phenols/alkohols. These bands reflect the possible modification of the
BC-A surface with carbonyl/esters/carboxylic/lactone groups and hydroxyl groups by vinegar
activation. All other absorption peaks were similar in both spectra. Janu et al. [23] claimed that
the weak band at 1,120 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to C-O-C stretching. Nanda et al. [22] outlined that the
bands at 1,442, 1,612, 2,865, and 2,930 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to aliphatic C-H stretching/alkane,
C-H scissoring and bending, aromatic C=C ring stretching and aliphatic C-H stretching in CH\(_2\)
groups and CH\(_3\) groups respectively. According to the FTIR spectral analysis, the authors suggest
a possible acid-catalyzed mechanism for the hydration of the C-O-C bridges of cellulose and
hemicellulose on the biochar surface, which introduces new hydroxyl and
carbonyl/ester/carboxylic/lactone groups by vinegar activation.
The TGA data of BC and BC-A (Fig. 4) did not show any significant changes, showing that the vinegar treatment did not cause significant changes in the bulk structure of the biochar sample. However, BC-A shows a moderately lower weight loss up to 285°C and a slightly higher weight loss after 285°C than the BC. As reported elsewhere, this can be due to the dehydration of physically adsorbed and bound moisture (25–200°C) and the release of organic carbon (200–500°C) from the biochar surface [24]. Thus, a higher weight loss of BC-A after 285 °C reveals the availability of more organic functional groups on the BC-A surface, resulting from the vinegar activation. The higher weight loss of BC up to 285°C could be due to the dehydration and dihydroxylation of loosely bound OH groups. According to Ek et al. [25], two adjacent hydroxyl groups release a water molecule during heating. In BC-A, loosely bound hydroxyl groups could be oxidized to carbonyl/ester/carboxylic/lactone moieties following vinegar activation; hence, BC-A seems more stable at the beginning of heating.

Fig. 5 shows water drops on the BC and BC-A surfaces. BC and BC-A show contact angles in the range of 61–115° and 30–72°, respectively, which highlights the high affinity of BC-A towards water compared with BC. This can be attributed to the increased number of oxygenated functional groups on the BC-A surface. Surface functional groups directly affect the wettability properties of biochar, as polar or oxygenated functional groups on the biochar surface increase the hydrophilicity of biochar, which results in the formation of electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds with water [26].

3.3. Column Tests
The Ca\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption capacities of BC and BC-A ranged from 3.25–4.38 mg/g and 7.38–8.75 mg/g respectively, while the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} retaining capacities of the same samples ranged from 0.05–0.50 mg/g and 1.88–3.88 mg/g. The average Ca\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption capacities of BC and BC-A were 3.83±0.56 mg/g and 8.13±0.70 mg/g, while the average Ca\textsuperscript{2+} retaining capacities of BC and BC-A were 0.27±0.23 mg/g and 2.67±1.06 mg/g. According to the results, BC-A showed a two-fold increase in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption capacity and a ten-fold increase in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} retaining capacity due to activation. This significantly enhanced retaining capacity denotes the strong binding of the metal to the biochar, which did not wash off at the desorption step, suggesting chemisorption of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.

3.4. Adsorption Equilibrium Studies

The correlation of adsorption data with theoretical or empirical equations can be used to gain further insight into the adsorption behavior of the adsorbent with the adsorbate. Batch experiments showed remarkably fast kinetics for the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}adsorption. About 90% of the adsorption was completed within the first three minutes. This stage may be due to the abundant number of available binding sites on the BC-A surface. Equilibrium was reached after seven minutes. The results were fitted to both pseudo-first-order (Eq. (1)) and pseudo-second-order (Eq. (2)) models,

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = lnq_e - k_1t 
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]
where \( t \) is the contact time (min), \( q_e \) is the amount of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) adsorbed at equilibrium (mg/g), \( q_t \) is the amount adsorbed (mg/g) at time \( t \), and \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) and \( k_2 \) are rate constants (g/mg min). \( R^2 \) values for the first-order and second-order models were 0.9238 and 0.9965, respectively (Fig. 6).

The results were well aligned with the pseudo second-order model, indicating possible chemisorption in the rate controlling step of the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) adsorption mechanism onto the activated biochar surface. This is also consistent with the rapid adsorption observed.

It was also found that \( q_e \) increased with increasing initial \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentrations \( (C_o) \), while the percentage \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) removal from the solution decreased with increasing \( C_o \) (Fig. 7). Further, after a certain \( C_o \) value, adsorbed \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) amount remained constant due to the surface saturation of the adsorbent. At low adsorbate concentrations, plenty of active adsorption sites are available; hence, adsorption could be a monolayer, regardless of the adsorption mechanism. Many researchers have tended to focus on only low adsorbate concentrations to model adsorption isotherms, which in many instances misleads the interpretations of adsorption behavior [27, 28].

Adsorption equilibrium data were tested on the Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin Radushkevich isotherm models to understand the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) removal mechanism (Fig. 8). The Langmuir isotherm model is explained based on two major assumptions: the adsorbent surface is homogenous with uniformly distributed active sites, and the adsorption process continues only to form a monolayer. In this study, a derivative of the Langmuir model was used (Eq. (3)),

\[
1/q_e = 1/q_m + 1/bq_mC_e
\]  

where \( q_m \) (mg/g) is the maximum adsorption per unit mass of the adsorbent, \( b \) is the Langmuir constant, and \( C_e \) (mg/L) is the concentration of the adsorbate in the solution at equilibrium [28].

The Freundlich isotherm model explains adsorption onto the surface of a solid, assuming
heterogeneous and multilayer adsorption. In this study, the following equation Eq. (4) was used, where $K_F$ is the Freundlich equilibrium constant and $n$ is an empirical constant [29].

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The Temkin isotherm model assumes that adsorption energy decreases linearly with surface coverage. It is expressed by the following equation Eq. (5),

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b} \ln (AC_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $b$ (J/mol) is the heat of adsorption, and $A$ (L/mg) is the binding constant [30]. The Dubinin–Radushkevich model deals with sorption onto the porous structure of the sorbent, assuming that the adsorption has a multilayer character, involves Van der Waals forces, and is available for physical adsorption processes. It is expressed as follows Eq. (6),

$$\ln q_e = \ln q_m - B_{DR}[RT \ln(1 + 1/C_e)]^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $B_{DR}$ is the Dubinin–Radushkevich constant (g$^2$/kJ$^2$). The energy of adsorption can be computed by the relationship Eq. (7) [30],

$$E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_{DR}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The Langmuir adsorption model was found to have the best fit with the obtained results, followed by the Freundlich model. The $R^2$ values for the Langmuir and Freundlich models were 0.9775 and 0.9729, respectively, close to 1.0 (Fig. 8). According to the Langmuir model, $q_m$ was calculated as 9.96 mg/g. The Langmuir constant $b$ was calculated as 0.0076 L/mg, indicating favorable adsorption. Further, the equilibrium constant of the adsorption $K_{eq}$ and the Gibbs free
energy change of the adsorption $\Delta G$ were calculated using the following equations (Eq. 8, 9), where $M_A$ is the molar mass of the adsorbate [31].

$$K_{eq} = b M_A \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_{eq} \quad (9)$$

The $\Delta G$ for the adsorption was -14.33 kJ/mol. The negative value of the $\Delta G$ confirms the feasibility and the spontaneous nature of adsorption.

The intermolecular forces are listed here in order of decreasing strength: ionic $>$ ion-dipole $>$ dipole-dipole $>$ Van der Waal’s forces. Thus, to be adsorbed onto an adsorbent, the metal ions need to overcome their hydration enthalpy. This is viable only when the metal has a higher affinity toward the active sites of the adsorbent than toward water. Thus, it is logical that the aqueous metal adsorption mechanism should be chemisorption through ion exchange. In physisorption (multilayer formation), Van der Waal’s forces are the main attractive forces, which cannot feasibly overcome the stronger ion-dipole bonds from a thermodynamic standpoint. Generally, $\Delta G$ values in the range of 0 to -20 kJ/mol denote physisorption, while values in the range of -80 to -400 kJ/mol denote chemisorption [32]. However, the net change in the enthalpy appears to be small from isotherm calculations due to strong water–metal ion interactions. This is observed in almost all studies investigating metal removal from aqueous mediums through adsorption. This has resulted in misinterpretation in many works reported elsewhere [33-36] claiming the adsorption to be physisorption due to the low adsorption enthalpy $\Delta H$ and low $\Delta G$ observed. However, in this study, the authors suggest that the $\Delta G$ of the bond breaking between metal ions and their hydration spheres should be a large positive value ($\Delta G_1$) and the $\Delta G$ of the metal adsorption on the adsorbent (chemisorption) should be a larger negative value ($\Delta G_2$). Thus,
the $\Delta G$ obtained is the difference between the two $\Delta G$ values ($\Delta G_2 - \Delta G_1$). Therefore, it was recorded as a small negative value.

For the Freundlich isotherm model, the Freundlich constants $K_F$ and $n$, which correspond to the adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity, were calculated as 6.34 mg/g and 1.3916 L/mg, respectively. The Freundlich isotherm model describes adsorption on heterogeneous surfaces with varying adsorption energies that are not restricted to monolayer adsorption. The Freundlich constant $n$ is a value between 1 and 10 that indicates favorable adsorption. Higher values imply stronger interactions between the adsorbent and the adsorbate, and $n = 1$ indicates linear adsorption with identical adsorption energies for all active sites. Previous studies have reported that when $n = 1$, adsorption is linear; when $n < 1$, adsorption occurs through a chemical process; and when $n > 1$ adsorption occurs through a physical process [37-40] in aqueous metal adsorption. For cases where $n > 1$, we have different interpretations, and we attribute this to the distribution of surface sites or any factors that cause a decrease in adsorbent-adsorbate interaction with increasing surface density. This was attributed to the fact that Ca$^{2+}$ surface adsorption does not form a monolayer with single-site adsorption but forms one with two nearby adsorption sites to compensate for the +2 charge of Ca$^{2+}$ [41]. The abandoned singly active sites scattered over the adsorbent could also be slightly occupied by hanging metal ions by compensating for only one positive charge of Ca$^{2+}$ at higher concentrations. The experimental data were also well fitted to the Temkin equilibrium model ($R^2 = 0.9435$), indicating that Ca$^{2+}$ adsorption onto biochar could be a chemisorption process. The Dubinin-Raduskevich model is applied to deduce the heterogeneity of the surface energies of adsorption and to investigate the effect of adsorbent porosity on adsorption. The data obtained were not well correlated with the
Dubinin-Raduskevich model ($R^2 = 0.769$). Values of $E < 8$ kJ/g indicate a physical adsorption process [30]. The calculated $E$ value for the adsorption was found to be 87 kJ/g, indicating that the adsorption mechanism was not physisorption. Hence, considering the above model fittings, the adsorption mechanism is assumed to be a heterogeneous chemisorption process.

The maximum monolayer Ca$^{2+}$ adsorption capacities ($q_m$) of several modified adsorbents have been investigated by previous studies: $q_m = 14.72$ mg/g for sugarcane bagasse modified with tartaric acid [42], $q_m = 27.64$ mg/g for elephant foot yam skin modified with hydrochloric acid [43] and $q_m = 15.6$ mg/g for mercerized cellulose modified with ethylene diamine tetraacetic dianhydride (EDTAD) [44]. Although the above activation methods exhibit slightly increased adsorption capacities of Ca$^{2+}$ ions, the studies used expensive and corrosive chemicals to modify biochar, which are not accessible to rural communities. The significance of the present study is that the activating agent, natural coconut vinegar, is a common mild acid that is safe to handle. The authors suggest that it will be beneficial to focus further research on this matter to discover more natural agents that can activate biochar.

4. Conclusions

This study attempted to modify *Trema orientalis* wood biochar pyrolyzed at 300ºC with natural coconut vinegar using a facile process to increase its aqueous Ca$^{2+}$ adsorption capacity. Data obtained from surface characterization techniques (EDX, FTIR, and wettability studies) and TGA suggest that vinegar activation remarkably increased the amount of surface oxygenated functional groups of the biochar. FTIR showed the increase of carbonyl/esters/carboxylic/lactone and hydroxyl groups on the biochar surface. According to EDX analysis, O/C ratios of BC and
BC-A were 0.07 and 0.13, respectively, which corresponds to a two-fold increase. Data from equilibrium studies showed that adsorption isotherms favored the Langmuir and Freundlich models, and the adsorption process could be explained by pseudo second-order kinetics. Hence, the adsorption mechanism is assumed to be a heterogeneous chemisorption process with surface complexation of Ca\(^{2+}\) with deprotonated oxygenated functional groups through ion exchange. The thermodynamic parameter \(\Delta G\) of the adsorption (-14.33 kJ/mol) also confirmed the spontaneous nature of the chemisorption process. According to the SEM images, the porosity of the biochar remained unchanged after activation. Maximum Ca\(^{2+}\) adsorption capacities of activated biochar were recorded as 8.13±0.70 mg/g with column tests (particle size 2.0–5.6 mm) and 9.96 mg/g with the Langmuir isotherm model (particle size <0.5 mm) using batch study results. Column tests showed a two-fold increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) adsorption capacity and a ten-fold increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) retaining capacity after vinegar activation. Increased oxygenated functional groups on the activated biochar may have caused the enhanced Ca\(^{2+}\) adsorption capacity, as deprotonated oxygenated functional groups promote complexation with cations through ion exchange. Maximum monolayer Ca\(^{2+}\) adsorption capacities \((q_m)\) of chemically modified carbonaceous adsorbents recorded in the literature exhibit slightly higher Ca\(^{2+}\) adsorption capacities compared to this study. However, in these studies, expensive and corrosive chemicals were used to modify biochar, which are not accessible to rural communities. Furthermore, any remaining chemical residue makes it dangerous to use these chemically modified adsorbents for drinking water purification. The significance of the present study is that the activating agent, natural coconut vinegar, is a common mild acid that is safe to handle, and the activating process is facile and cost effective. This study concluded that natural coconut vinegar is a good
alternative to harsh chemicals used in biochar activation. The authors suggest focusing further research on this matter to discover more commonly available natural agents that can activate biochar, which can be used more effectively in household water purification plants. Additionally, it is necessary to optimize filter bed parameters (column size, particle size, flow rate, etc.) on a large scale to achieve the best efficiency for vinegar-activated biochar in Ca\(^{2+}\) removal in household water treatment plants.
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**Fig. 1.** Diagram of a plot of effluent Ca$^{2+}$ concentration vs effluent volume.
Fig. 2. SEM-EDX (a) BC and (b) BC-A at magnification 2000x.

Fig. 3. FTIR-ATR spectra of BC and BC-A.

Fig. 4. TGA curves of BC and BC-A.
Fig. 5. Water droplets on (a) BC-A and (b) BC surface.

Fig. 6. Linear (a) pseudo first order and (b) pseudo second order models of adsorption kinetics. (25°C, pH 6.2±0.3, 50 mg/L)

Fig. 7. Effect of initial Ca^{2+} concentration (C_o) on the adsorption. (25°C, pH 6.2±0.3, Dose: 0.1 g/20 mL)
Fig. 8. Linear (a) Langmuir (b) Freundlich (c) Temkin (d) Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm models (25°C, pH 6.2±0.3, Dose: 0.1 g/20 mL)