Diffusion-weighted perinatal postmortem magnetic resonance imaging as a marker of postmortem interval
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Abstract

Objective To evaluate perinatal body organ apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values at postmortem magnetic resonance imaging (PMMR) in order to evaluate postmortem changes.

Methods Postmortem diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) of the thorax and abdomen were performed with diffusion gradient values b=0, 500, and 1000 s/mm² on 15 foetal and childhood cases (mean 33.3±7.8 weeks gestation) compared to 44 live infants (mean age 75.5±53.4 days). Mean ADC values were calculated from regions of interest (ROIs) for the lungs, liver, spleen and renal cortex, compared to normative live infantile body ADC values of similar gestational age.

Results Mean ADC values were significantly lower in postmortem cases than in normal controls for liver (0.88 10⁻³ mm²/s±SD 0.39 vs. 1.13±0.13; p<0.05) and renal cortex (0.85±0.26 vs. 1.19±0.13; p<0.05) but not spleen or muscle. Mean lung ADC values were significantly higher than normal controls (1.06±0.18 vs. 0±0; p<0.001), and there was a significant correlation between postmortem interval and lung ADC (R²=0.55).

Conclusion Lung PMMR ADC values are related to postmortem interval, making them a potential marker of time since death. Further research is needed to understand the organ-specific changes which occur in the postmortem period.

Key Points
- Liver and spleen PM ADC values were lower than controls.
- Lung ADC changes correlate with PM interval.
- These findings may be useful in medicolegal cases.
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Abbreviations
ADC Apparent diffusion coefficient
DTI Diffusion tensor (magnetic resonance) imaging
DWI Diffusion weighted (magnetic resonance) imaging
(PM)MR (postmortem) magnetic resonance imaging

Introduction

Postmortem imaging now plays a significant role in the paediatric and perinatal minimally invasive autopsy, largely due to a decline in parental acceptance of traditional autopsy techniques fuelling a drive to develop a novel less invasive postmortem approach [1, 2]. Several different imaging modalities are being employed in this regard, including postmortem (PM) skeletal radiographs, typically used to diagnose skeletal dysplasias and assess bone gestational changes [3], and cross-sectional imaging techniques including PM computerized tomography (CT) and PM magnetic resonance imaging.
imaging (PMMR), which have been reviewed extensively elsewhere [4]. Postmortem CT is rapidly available, and readily gives vascular and bone detail, it has not been shown to be diagnostically accurate for soft tissue abnormalities in fetuses and children. PMMR has been shown to have high diagnostic accuracy for perinatal abnormalities, in both small preliminary (e.g., Breeze et al. [5]) and large blinded studies [6], and PMMR is widely believed to likely become the mainstay of a less invasive perinatal imaging service [4].

However, there remain areas of potential diagnostic improvement, with true ischaemia and necrosis difficult to evaluate with conventional magnetic resonance (MR) techniques [7]. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a structural MR imaging technique which measures the diffusivity of water molecules. It can be measured quantitatively and expressed as an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value, such that a lower ADC value represents a tissue with more restricted water diffusivity. ADC measures of body organs have been used to evaluate tumour characteristics in paediatric tumours, such as Wilms tumours and neuroblastoma [8–10].

Following death, there are several changes in tissues due to tissue breakdown (autolysis) and decomposition. In the initial hours following death, tissue ischaemia will occur, followed by a period of cell lysis, membrane breakdown, fluid redistribution and gas formation/putrefaction [11]. We hypothesise that PMMR DWI changes in individual organs will correspond to these changes, with an initial decrease in ADC values secondary to ischaemia (such as in stroke), followed by a possible increase in ADC values following cell breakdown and autolysis. Human PM specimens have recently been used to confirm DWI tractography [12], and several animal studies have suggested an effect of PM interval on DWI changes in the brain [13–17].

This study was therefore designed to establish whether (a) DWI changes are detectable by PMMR in fetuses and still-births, (b) PMMR DWI changes increase following death, possibly due to cellular barriers breaking down and increasing water movement, and (c) PMMR DWI changes correlate with PM interval, and could be possible surrogate markers for autolysis.

Methods

Study cohort

Live infants We retrospectively searched our hospital database for all cases of thoraco-abdominal MRI in infants under the age of 6 months of age over a 5-year period (April 2008 to July 2013). All live patients/guardians gave consent for MR imaging including DWI as part of their clinical care. We excluded cases in which DWI was not performed in the body organs, or was of inadequate quality for assessment, or those in whom there was significant pathological involvement of the abdominal organs, such as by solid tumours, metastases, or vascular malformations. Age and gender were acquired from clinical attendance.

Postmortem cases We prospectively collected DWI sequences on all PM foetal and stillbirth cases referred to our institution in the time period January–October 2013. We excluded cases in which DWI was of inadequate quality, incomplete datasets, and those in whom there was pathological involvement of the abdominal organs. Written informed consent was obtained for all patients for clinical pre-autopsy PMMR as part of our institution’s clinical PM assessment. Bodies were stored in a mortuary at 4 °C and PMMR was performed out of hours, causing least disturbance to clinical services. Demographic data acquired from the clinical notes included age (gestation in weeks), gender, intra-uterine interval (number of days of intra-uterine retention if stillborn or termination of pregnancy), maceration score (visual index assessed by pathologist at autopsy: 0 none, 1 mild, 2 moderate/severe), and PM interval (days from death to imaging).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

All MR imaging was performed at 1.5 T (Avanto, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany), with a conventional phased array body coil. MR imaging in live cases included a whole-body 3D T2-weighted turbo spin echo (TSE, TR 3500 ms, TE 276 ms, voxel size 0.8 x 0.8 x 0.8 mm, two averages, variable acquisition time depending upon respiratory gating) and PM body imaging included a 3D CISS (Constructive Interference Steady State sequence, a modified gradient-echo balanced steady-state free precession sequence with T2/T1 contrast; TR/TE 9.1/4.5 ms, NEX 8, flip angle 70°, voxel sizes 0.8 x 0.8 x 0.8 mm; acquisition time 4.2 minutes) for clinical diagnostic purposes and identification of body organs [18].

DWI was performed using single-shot spin-echo-planar imaging (EPI) in the axial plane, with the following parameters: 19 slices in three non-collinear axis directions, EPI factor 95, TR 2700 ms; TE 96 ms; FOV 230 mm; 128 x 128 matrix, 5-mm slice thickness with 1-mm gap, acquisition time 90 seconds. Diffusion gradient values were b=0, 500, and 1000 s/mm² for all cases (including PMMR), to ensure consistency between measurements.

Diffusion-weighted imaging analysis

From the native DWI acquisition, ADC maps were obtained on the acquisition console (Syngo, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). Data were then transferred to Osirix (open source code; http://www.osirix-viewer.com) for
ADC measurements in different regions of interest (ROI). For each study, five circular regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn manually and plotted on ADC maps by the same operator, a radiology Intern with 2 years radiology experience (GCP). These were placed on the (1) liver parenchyma, (2) spleen, (3) left renal cortex (4) left psoas muscle (psoas used as a control value), and (5) lung parenchyma. For each ROI, a mean ADC value ($10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s)±standard deviation (SD) was obtained. The centre of the ROI was placed on the organ of interest, with as large a circular ROI as possible while avoiding adjacent structures (such as major blood vessels in the liver), but with relative SD <10 % of the mean, to ensure homogeneity – typically 25 mm$^2$. A random selection of 12 images were also assessed by a radiology consultant with 7 years radiology experience (OJA), giving a measure of inter-observer reproducibility. We did not measure intra-observer reproducibility.

Temperature correction

As water diffusion is dependent on temperature, and PM examinations were performed on refrigerated bodies, a temperature correction was applied to PM ADC values. This was performed according to Kozak et al. [19], who give the relationship between temperature and diffusion in unrestricted water as

$$T = \frac{2256.74K}{\ln \left[\frac{4392.21 \times 10^{-3} mm^2/s}{D mm^2/s} \right]} - 273.15K$$

Where T is temperature in units of Celsius, D is the diffusion in mm$^2$/s.

Therefore rearranging for D gives

$$D = \frac{4392.21 \times 10^{-3}}{\exp \left(\frac{2256.74}{T + 273.15}\right)}$$

We assumed body temperatures of PM and live cases to be 4 °C and 37 °C, respectively, giving a correction of 0.0030/0.0013=2.38.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative values are given as mean ROI ADC values ($10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s)±SD. ADC values were plotted against age (gestation), intra-uterine interval, maceration score, and PM interval for PM cases, and age at the time of scanning in live patients. We also compared live infant ADC values to those quoted in the literature [10]. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test and Fisher’s exact test at the 5 % level of significance level, using SPSS 19.0 for Windows (IBM UK Ltd, Portsmouth, UK). For variability, we calculated bias between observers (mean difference) and 95 % limits of agreement.

Results

Live infant ADC

We identified 44 complete DWI datasets in infants <6 months of age who had undergone thoraco-abdominal imaging at our institution, which included 31 males and 13 females (e.g. Fig. 1). Mean age was 75.5±53.4 days, with range 1 – 178 days of age (Table 1). The majority underwent follow-up imaging for solid tumours, which did not involve the abdominal viscera.

Mean ADC values ($10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s)±standard deviation (SD) for individual abdominal organs were significantly lower than quoted values in the literature: liver 1.13±0.14 (range in literature 1.4 – 1.8); spleen 0.79±0.09 (range in literature 0.7 – 1.2); and renal cortex 1.19±0.13 (range in literature 1.8 – 2.2; Table 1). There was no returnable ADC signal from lung parenchyma in any live case (mean 0±0). There was no effect of age on ADC values ($R^2<0.1$ for all organs).

Inter-observer variability (bias±95 % limits of agreement) of 12 randomly selected cases was 0.04±0.06 for liver, 0.03±0.04 for spleen, and 0.03±0.04 for renal cortex, the equivalent of 2.7 – 4.3 % of the variability of mean ADC.

Postmortem ADC

We identified 15 fetal and neonatal PMMR DWI cases (mean 33.3±7.8 weeks gestation, range 23–50) including six males and nine females (Table 2; e.g. Fig. 2). Temperature corrected mean ADC values ($x10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s) were significantly lower in PM cases than in normal controls in the liver (0.88±SD 0.39 vs. 1.13±0.13, p<0.005) and kidney (0.85±0.26 vs. 1.19±0.13, p=0.005), but did not reach significance for the spleen (0.67±0.25 vs. 0.79±0.09, p=0.132) or muscle (1.26±0.40 vs. 1.11±0.12, p=0.08; Table 1; Fig. 3). The normal liver/spleen (PM 1.31, live 1.44) and spleen/kidney ratios (PM 1; live 0.96) were maintained. We excluded two cases who had abnormal cystic kidneys from the renal ADC analysis only.

Mean ADC values of the lungs were significantly higher than in normal controls (1.06±0.18 vs. 0±0, p<0.001; Table 1). There was a significant correlation between mean
ADC values and PM interval for lung parenchyma (non-linear correlation $y=0.28\ln(x) +0.44$; $R^2=0.66$; Fig. 4), but not for gestational age, intra-uterine retention interval nor maceration score. There were no correlations between ADC values of any abdominal organ and any of these parameters ($R^2<0.1$ for all organs).

**Table 1** Demographics and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values of live and post-mortem (PM) cases by body organ

|                        | Live infants | PM cases |
|------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Number (n)             | 44           | 15       |
| Male : Female          | 30 : 14      | 6 : 9    |
| Mean age               | 75.5±53.4 days | 33.3±7.8 wks |
| Age range              | 1 – 178 days | 23 – 50 wks |
| Lung ADC (10^{-3} mm²/s) | 0±0      | 1.06±0.18 ** |
| Liver ADC (10^{-3} mm²/s) | 1.13±0.13 | 0.88±0.39 ** |
| Spleen ADC (10^{-3} mm²/s) | 0.79±0.09 | 0.69±0.25 |
| Renal cortex ADC (10^{-3} mm²/s) | 1.19±0.13 | 0.85±0.26 ** |
| Muscle (10^{-3} mm²/s) | 1.11±0.12 | 1.26±0.40 |

** = p<0.05
**Discussion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that DWI characteristics of thoraco-abdominal organs change following death, and can be evaluated using PMMR. Liver and renal cortex ADC values were lower in PM cases than normal controls. Lung ADC values were higher, and there was a significant correlation between lung ADC and PM interval (but not with ADC of any abdominal organ). Lung ADC changes could therefore be useful in estimating PM interval in future cases, although further research is needed to understand the fall in organ ADC which occurs during the PM period.

Our first observation was that live infant ADC values in our study were significantly lower than values quoted in the literature, for all of the abdominal solid organs (liver, spleen and renal cortex). This is likely to reflect both variation between acquisition protocols between different machines and vendors, as well as a possible correlation between ADC and age. Gawande et al. [10] present a summary table of common values in the literature, but most of the studies quoted were in adults. It is recognised that paediatric solid organ ADC values are typically lower than those in adults [20], perhaps due to changes in anatomy in growing tissues, although we were unable to demonstrate this amongst the live children in our study. The age-dependence correlation in live infants may be much shallower in gradient (over years, rather than weeks or months) than we could detect in our population under 6 months.

Our second observation was that there were differences between live and PM ADC values in different solid organs, particularly the liver and renal cortex. This may be related to inherent water content of different organs and their rate of decomposition. Although the results did not reach statistical significance for the spleen, the same trend as seen for the liver and kidney was observed, and so the same process is likely to occur. Muscle was used as a control and no trend was observed for live versus PM differences. As the normal ratio of values between organs was retained, we surmise that the same changes are therefore being seen in most organs, in keeping with generalised rather than abdominal organ specific changes. What these changes are on a cellular basis remains to be determined.

Our third observation from this dataset was that lung ADC changes correlate with postmortem interval in this study. As lung ADC values were higher, and body organ ADC values were lower than normal controls, we hypothesise that two different processes account for the ADC changes observed in this study. Lung ADC changes are likely to represent fluid accumulation, since there is no signal obtained from lung parenchyma in live infants as the lung volume is predominantly composed of air. Accumulation of fluid in the lungs and pleural spaces over time is a recognised phenomenon following death, but the imaging correlates of this have not

---

**Table 2** Details of 15 cases fetal and neonatal PMMR cases, including demographic details as well as post mortem maceration score and interval. Cause of death was obtained from autopsy.

| Case | Foetal (F) | Gender | Gestational Age (wks) | Intra-uterine retention time (days) | Maceration score (0 none, 1 mild, 2 mod) | Postmortem interval (days) | Cause of death or diagnosis |
|------|------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1    | F          | Female | 36                   | 2                                 | 2                                      | 9                         | Brain malformation – intracranial haemorrhage |
| 2    | F          | Female | 26                   | 1                                 | 0                                      | 7                         | Severe intrauterine growth restriction and placental abruption |
| 3    | F          | Female | 23                   | 0                                 | 0                                      | 10                        | Brain malformation – callosal agenesis |
| 4    | F          | Female | 32                   | 2                                 | 1                                      | 8                         | Neural tube defect |
| 5    | N          | Male   | 50                   | 0                                 | 0                                      | 2                         | Unexplained sudden unexplained infant death (cosleeping) |
| 6    | F          | Male   | 32                   | 1                                 | 0                                      | 9                         | Severe uteroplacental disease and intracranial haemorrhage |
| 7    | F          | Female | 32                   | 0                                 | 0                                      | 15                        | Brain malformation – cortical malformation |
| 8    | F          | Male   | 38                   | 1                                 | 0                                      | 22                        | Unexplained intrauterine death – obstetric cholestasis |
| 9    | F          | Male   | 38                   | 0                                 | 0                                      | 11                        | Intrapartum death |
| 10   | F          | Male   | 41                   | 0                                 | 0                                      | 10                        | Intrapartum death |
| 11   | F          | Female | 23                   | 1                                 | 0                                      | 18                        | Congenital heart disease (aortic coarctation) |
| 12   | F          | Male   | 32                   | 0.5                               | 1                                      | 8                         | Renal dysplasia |
| 13   | F          | Female | 41                   | 0.5                               | 0                                      | 8                         | Unexplained intrauterine death |
| 14   | N          | Female | 22                   | 0.5                               | 0                                      | 13                        | Neural tube defect |
| 15   | F          | Female | 33                   | 2                                 | 2                                      | 11                        | Renal dysplasia |

Mean 33.3±7.8 wks 10.7±4.8 days
previously been identified, nor quantified to correlate with PM interval. The accuracy of PMMR in determining PM interval may be useful in cases in which the time interval since death is unknown, such as delayed presentation and forensic cases.

Our final observation was that there was also no correlation between PM interval and ADC changes in other body organs in our population. Both the timing and nature of these changes are interesting. Our results imply a rapid change in ADC within hours after death which stabilises within 24–72 hours, which we did not detect given our PM interval range of days rather than hours after death. Several previous studies have described an effect of PM interval on DWI or DTI characteristics of animal brains in the first few hours after death [13, 14], although different immersion/fixation techniques may have affected these results [13–16]. The present study avoids the interaction of immersion and fixation techniques by imaging whole-body PM cases. Other studies have shown that ADC values in the PM adult brain are significantly lower than normal controls (49–72 % lower), and may correlate with PM interval, although only three time intervals were compared (<24 hours, 24–48 hours, and >48 hours) [17]. Interestingly, our study found a correlation with lung ADC which continued
beyond 14 days. However, the degree of inter-individual variability (between cases) for organ ADC values may be greater than the correlation with PM interval, thus limiting the accuracy of results. Serial measurements on the same case may provide further data regarding this mechanism.

We acknowledge that unlike lung changes, other organ ADC changes are unlikely to represent simple fluid accumulation. DWI measures the diffusivity, or freedom of movement of water molecules, with the magnitude of signal loss between dephasing and rephasing gradients proportional to the diffusivity of the tissue [21]. Several factors interact to change the ADC values, including water content, tissue cellularity and integrity of intracellular membranes [22]. Whilst there was a decrease in ADC values likely secondary to ischaemia, histological analysis is required to shed light on which of these factors is likely to contribute greatest to the imaging changes identified. Autolysis-associated histological changes are well recognised in PM samples, with variation between tissue types, but quantitation of such changes in relation to factors including cause of death and PM interval is difficult. The liver and kidney are both metabolically and enzymatically active tissues and it is generally accepted that autolytic activity causes cellular breakdown, but the precise mechanism relating changes in ADC values over time to histological features would require serial sampling from the early and immediate PM period, perhaps in an animal study.

Despite this being the first study which addresses changes in foetal and stillbirth organs using PMMR, the limitations include the relatively small sample size, and that we were unable to further elucidate specific mechanisms of body organ changes across different organ types. The data is also based on a comparison of foetal PM cases and live infants, with a small (gestational) age difference. An alternative dataset for comparison with foetal PM ADC values would be antenatal foetal body organ and lung MRI, but these were not available at the time of this study. However, results from the foetal MRI literature largely support our findings. For example, Savelli et al. [23] found that normal foetal renal ADC values ranged between 1.06 and 1.33 x10^-3 mm^2/s, which supports our findings as these values are comparable to our infant group but significantly higher than the PM group. Other groups have assessed foetal lung ADC changes with debate as to whether there is true a correlation with gestational age. Manganaro et al. [24] found that foetal lung values increased from 1.2 x10^-3 mm^2/s at 18 weeks to 3.9 x10^-3 mm^2/s at 36 weeks, with a mean lung ADC of 2.35±0.6 x10^-3 mm^2/s, and correlation suggesting that foetal lung ADC can be used as a surrogate marker of lung maturity. However, two other papers document foetal lung ADCs between 1.63 and 2.13 x10^-3 mm^2/s which were highly reproducible and largely dependent upon gravitational changes on the lung irrespective of gestational age [25, 26]. Our PM lung ADC values in a similar group of late foetal deaths are much lower than the values quoted for normal foetuses, and we did not find a correlation with gestation.

We also used a temperature correction based on unrestricted diffusion, whereas different properties in different tissues could display different sensitivity to temperature. Whilst this could bias the between-group comparison (in favour of not finding a difference between groups), it does not affect the observed correlation between PM interval and lung ADCs. In addition, this method has been used to measure temperature changes in tissue with reasonable accuracy [19]. Finally, we excluded cases with lung abnormalities or organ disease, in
which the presence of additional pathology could affect these results.

In summary, PM lung ADC values probably reflect fluid accumulation in the lungs after death, and correlate with PM interval. This could be a useful imaging marker of PM interval in future cases. However, further research is needed to understand the timing and nature of the different changes in individual organ ADC values which occurs soon after death.
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