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works on the matter [1, 7].

**Research goal** was to carry out complex assessment of health, life quality, and lifestyle of workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing.

**Data and methods.** We carried out a complex social and hygienic research to assess living standard and life quality of 1200 male workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and primary processing (drill operators and their assistants, operators, drivers, repairmen, and electricians) with the use of a questionnaire which was designed by WHO experts to allow implementation of European model for health management at a workplace (HESME).

All enterprises covered by our research had social programs for their staff, and all of them implemented practical measures to preserve their workers’ health which was the evidence of existing social responsibility. The enterprises also had voluntary medical insurance programs which allowed their workers to receive qualitative medical services and if necessary, sanatorium-resort treatment.

The questionnaire we used included sections concerning social status, lifestyle, labor conditions at a workplace, production and non-production stress factors, health status, physical activity, and nutrition.

Besides we added some questions about workers’ financial position and living conditions.

The results of questioning were analyzed with the use of a specially designed program. As for respondents’ age most of them belonged to 41-60 age group and their professional experience was 15-20 years.

**Results.** The research results enabled us to fully assess workers’ health status, life quality, and lifestyle, including prevalence of such significant primary health risk factors as smoking, alcohol drinking, irrational nutrition, hypodynamia, and psycho-emotional stress.

The great majority of workers (85%) employed by the enterprises we researched lived in separate apartments; 15% had rooms in shared flats or dormitories with conveniences. Housing provision of majority of workers was quite sufficient; 60% of respondents had 9 or more square meters per one family member, 35% had 5.7 square meters and only 5% had less than 5 square meters per one family member.

As for marital status, 74% men were married, 9% were living in an unregistered marriage, 8% were divorced and 9% had never been married.

Most families (51%) consisted of 4 members (a husband and a wife and two children). Families with many children accounted for 8%.

Respondents’ wages differed from 35 to 75 thousand rubles which was higher than average Russian level of 32 thousand rubles (according to Federal Statistic Service).

Most workers (80%) were quite satisfied with their family relations; 9% considered their family relations unsatisfying, and 11% found that question difficult to answer.

Respondents were mostly qualified workers with specialized secondary education (45%) and high education (30%).

The life and labor satisfaction levels are considered to be the most important integral indicators of workers’ mental and social well-being. Only 5% workers estimated their living standard as high; 54% thought it was average, and 41% stated it was below average.

Labor peculiarities, labor conditions and labor content for workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing are associated with adverse effects of a full set of harmful production factors. When assessing labor conditions and making a list of most harmful and dangerous production factors 50% respondents mentioned increased labor intensity; 25% named unfavorable micro-climate (too high or low temperatures when working outdoors); 18% were preoccupied with adverse chemicals’ content in working area air; 12% mentioned flammability and explosibility of production; 9% worried about being responsible for other people’s safety; 8% were afraid of traumatism; and 7% mentioned risks related to business trips and removals.

Hygienic researches proved that the most significant harmful production factors of working environment and labor process in hydrocarbons extraction and processing were noise, vibration, and athletic overexertion. But still, few workers estimated them as unfavorable; 12% complained about production noise, 4% about vibration, and 8% mentioned hard physical labor. 21% respondents considered their working conditions to be quite safe.

Most workers (76%) were satisfied with their working conditions, while 15% called them unsatisfactory. 9% respondents found the question difficult to answer.

We detected the following prevalence of primary health risk factors among workers. First of all, one third of them smoked; 28% respondents smoked up to one pack of cigarettes a day, 3% up
to two or even more, and 4% suffered from passive smoking.

6% respondents admitted drinking once a week; 10% drank twice a month, and 40% respondents claimed they drank only on special occasions. We should note that when answering such questions respondents were not completely honest; we can understand the reasons for that dishonesty but we have to estimate the figures as being somewhat understated.

We all know the fact that psycho-emotional factors are among the most significant ones exerting negative influence on a person’s health. These factors can cause various psychosomatic diseases such as neurotic disorders, circulatory system diseases, pancreatic diabetes, stomach and duodenum ulcer, and certain malignant diseases [10, 16].

According to workers’ questioning the leading rank place in the structure of psychosocial factors of production genesis belonged to factors of increased labor intensity (the answers were “too stressful work”, 6%; irregular working hours”, 5%; “too great responsibility”, 8%, “too many changes at a workplace”, 10%).

4% workers complained about conflicts with supervisors and unjust treatment. 18% worried about a possibility of dismissal. 33% workers reported they had no stress at their workplace. According to questioning financial problems caused the biggest share of nervousness or stress in workers’ families. 15% workers were preoccupied with insufficiency of their financial resources, 7% were afraid of unforeseen substantial expenses, 17% worried about their children’s future, and 4% were concerned about difficulties related to their children’s study at higher educational establishments. 18% were anxious about crisis in the country’s economy and social instability. 7% worried about a possible disease of a family member; 6% were afraid of a death of a family member. 5% workers had a stress related to interpersonal family conflicts and quarrels with other people.

Thus, we can name such production and non-production factors as increased labor intensity, fear of being dismissed, financial problems, worries about children’s future, and social instability, as the most significant reasons that can cause stress for workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing.

26% respondents claimed that solution to their financial problems, pay rise in particular, would decrease anxiety and uneasiness. 29% workers saw improvements of their relations with relatives as a way to preserve their health. 15% respondents tried to learn to control their emotions. About 25% workers thought that career development would help them to decrease anxiety.

Though we didn’t detect any people who reported alcohol abuse when answering the questions in the section connected with drinking, we can still see that about 5% respondents considered drinking alcohol a way to reduce stress. 13% respondents didn’t know what to do in such a situation.

About 28% workers thought ageing to be the main reason for health deterioration, 14% named unfavorable ecological situation, 6% put unsettled life on the first place and 4% thought it was harmful labor conditions.

According to workers’ questioning 35% put their children future on the first place among their greatest fears about the nearest future, and 24% gave unemployment the first rank. 19% pointed out the importance of their health preservation and 18% were preoccupied with the family members’ health (picture 1).
About half of respondents (14%) worried about social and ecologic instability in the country. 15% spoke about possible ecological dangers, 15% mentioned threat of war, 10% worried about increase in criminality and violence, and criminogenic situation deterioration, 7% were afraid of national and ethnic conflicts.

15% workers considered ecologic situation in their place of living as unfavorable, 41% found the question difficult to answer. 28% thought the ecological situation was quite normal. 59% considered poor quality of drinking water and foodstuffs to be the acutest ecological problems, and 22% put air pollution and gas contamination of atmosphere on the first place.

As questioning showed 60% workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing changed their nutrition habits over the last years. 41% started to eat more fruit and vegetables, 34% more fish and seafood, 23% vegetable fats, 21% started to eat more dairy products. 30% reduced consumption of sugar, 13% started to eat less animal fats. 37% respondents stated that the main reason for those changes was their desire to pursue healthy lifestyle, and 11% respondents wanted to lose some weight.

15% workers had active physical activity (work on training devices, aerobics, swimming, and cycling) once a week; 16% workers did it 3 or 5 times a week and 27% respondents said they did no sports.

According to questioning results we obtained information on how workers estimated their health. 12% oil industry workers thought their health was excellent, 47% considered it good and 41% said it was quite satisfactory. Mostly young workers claimed to have excellent health. We should note that there were no workers estimating their health as poor and very poor.

The most frequent complaints about health included periodical toothaches and gingival hemorrhage (15%), pains in joints (13%), pyrosis...
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(11%), headaches (10%), and pains in heart (7%). 20% of smokers mentioned coughing in the morning. 15% respondents had age-dependant sight deterioration.

28% workers admitted having gastrointestinal tract diseases in their case history, 14% had spinal column diseases, 8% suffered from respiratory diseases and 6% had joint diseases. Only 5% workers complained about having acute conditions of chronic diseases during the year.

More than one third of respondents (32%) suffered from catarrhal diseases over the last 12 months. 3% respondents had industrial injuries, including those incurred on the way to work.

We should point out that only 7% respondents were aware of their arterial hypertension; 50% workers stated that their systolic blood pressure was about 120-139 mm of mercury column, and diastolic blood pressure was 90-94 mm of mercury column. 5% respondents had systolic blood pressure at 140-159 mm of mercury column and diastolic pressure at 90-94. 28% respondents didn’t know their blood pressure parameters.

More than a half of workers (55%) visited a polyclinic not more than once a year and 12% did it twice or three times a year. Respondents visited therapists most frequently (33%), then common profile surgeons (7%) and neurologists (4%). About 52% workers didn’t have any sickness certificates during the year or they had only one sick list (acute respiratory virus infections or catarrhal diseases were the most frequent reasons). 16% respondents didn’t go to a polyclinic in case of health problems.

37% workers followed doctors’ prescriptions after a visit to a polyclinic. 35% workers took medicines; 10% took anti-inflammatory drugs, 8% took analgesics, 7% took antibiotics and 5% took gastrointestinal medicines. 9% respondents took cardio-vascular medicines including hypotensive drugs. Workers mostly preferred to take medicinal herbs (21%) and vitamins (19%). More than one third of respondents (32%) took drugs without doctors’ prescriptions. Medicines were bought with the use of official prescriptions only in 9% of cases.

According to the results of periodical medical examinations comprising 7,500 workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing it was detected that only 29.7% of all examined workers could be considered practically healthy. 70.3% workers had chronic pathologies. As for diagnosed diseases the first place among them belongs to locomotor apparatus pathologies and peripheral nervous system pathologies (33.5%); arterial hypertension accounted for 29.2%, ENT-organs diseases took the third place (17.7%; among them sensorineural hearing loss 5.7%, otitis 5.9%, chronic diseases of upper air passages 6.1%); gastrointestinal chronic diseases accounted for 11.3%.

21% worker thought they should pay more attention to their health. To improve their health 20% workers wanted to give up smoking, 18% wished to have sanatorium treatment, 16% decided to do physical training and 12% wanted to get rid of main reasons for anxiety (table 1).

Table 1

| Answer options from questionnaires | Quantity of respondents, % |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| To be more physically active       | 29                          |
| To drink pure water               | 23                          |
| To think about one’s health more   | 21                          |
| To eat ecologically clean foodstuffs| 20                         |
| To give up smoking                | 20                          |
| To have treatment in a sanatorium  | 18                          |
| To have better nutrition          | 18                          |
| To do sports regularly            | 16                          |
| To get rid of main reasons for anxiety | 12                      |
| To master time-management          | 11                          |
| To master self-control             | 7                           |
| To drink less alcohol              | 5                           |

Thus, most workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing considered healthy lifestyle to be the most important factor of health preservation. Workers admitted having personal responsibility for their own health as well as health of their family members.

The data we obtained on life quality, social profile, medical and social peculiarities of lifestyle, and workers’ health status can be used for designing complex programs aimed at workers’ health protection and improvement.

Conclusions.

Prevalence of health risk factors associated with lifestyle is characterized by systematic drinking (twice a month or more, 60% respondents), active smoking (one third of respondents), low physical activity (27% workers reported having no physical activity), and stress at workplace. However we didn’t detect any cases of alcohol abuse.

Not more than 4% workers considered their health deterioration was caused by harmful labor conditions.
Generally respondents tended to overestimate their health; 59% respondents thought their health was excellent while our objective estimation showed that only 29.7% workers could be considered practically healthy. To preserve their health most workers thought it necessary to pursue healthy lifestyle. But at the same time self-preservation behavior was not very widely spread.

When enterprises work out complex programs of their social development we recommend them to take information on life quality and lifestyle of workers dealing with hydrocarbons extraction and processing into account.
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