The effect of storage on the yogurt fatty acid profile
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Abstract

The presented study analysed whether refrigerated storage time affects the fatty acid profile, the content of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and trans isomers of C18:1 and C18:2 acids in yogurts made from goat, sheep and cow milk. On the 21st day of storage, significant decreases (P<0.05) in monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) were observed in yogurts made from cow milk. In yogurts made from sheep and goat milk, the content of these acids fluctuated during storage. The CLA isomer in fresh yogurts ranged from 3.58 mg g⁻¹ fat in yogurts made from sheep milk to 4.47 mg g⁻¹ fat in yogurts made of cow milk. During storage the content of CLA significantly decreased in cow milk yogurts and significantly increased in goat milk yogurts (P<0.05), while it remained more or less unchanged throughout the entire storage period in sheep milk yogurts.
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Introduction

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) refers to all possible positional and geometric isomers of linoleic acid that contain conjugated double bonds. The beneficial effects of milk CLA in a diet are mainly attributed to two CLA isomers which include cis-9 trans-11 and trans-10, cis-12. According to literature data the cis-9 trans-11 CLA isomer constitutes from 75% to over 90 % of the sum of CLA in the fat of milk and dairy products (Chin et al., 1992; Jiang et al., 1998; Lin et al., 1998; Bauman and Lock, 2006; Koba and Yanagita, 2014). Biomedical studies with animal models have demonstrated a variety of health benefits of CLA, including anticarcinogenic, antiatherogenic and antiobesity influence; the enhancement of the immune system and the antidiabetic effect (Akalln and Tokusoglu, 2003; Kee et al., 2010; Molkentin, 1999; Parodi, 2003; Park, 2009; Aydin, 2005; Yang et al., 2015; Hanuš et al., 2018). Typical concentrations of CLA in milk fat are 3-6 mg g⁻¹ fat (Kelly et al., 1998). The level of CLA in milk fat mainly depends on the feeding period, as well as lactation period, breed and individual determinants of cows. According to literature data (Kelsey et al., 2003; Żegarska et al., 2006; Zunong et al., 2008; Frelich et al., 2012; Hanuš et al., 2016) higher contents of this fatty acid occur in fat of milk from the summer season and lower contents in milk fat from the winter season. The content of CLA in dairy products (fermented milk or cheeses) may differ from that in milk. The level of CLA in dairy products is significantly affected by conditions occurring during technological processing and by the activity of added starter cultures (Shantha et al., 1995; Jiang et al., 1998; Lin, 2003; Kim and Liu, 2002; Martin and Jenkins, 2002; Sieber et al., 2004;
Ogawa et al., 2005; Seçkin et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2006; Bzducha and Obiedziński, 2007; Bisig et al., 2007; Prandini et al., 2007; Domagała et al., 2009; Salamon et al., 2009a; Salamon et al., 2009b; Hennessy et al., 2009; Santos Junior et al., 2012; Paszczyk et al., 2016, Yang et al., 2017). Kim and Liu (2002) showed that the CLA content in fermented milk was affected by the type of bacterial strain applied, the viable cell count, the optimal substrate concentration, and the incubation conditions (time and pH). According to Domagała et al. (2009), the type of the applied starter culture and storage time affected CLA contents in cream subjected to fermentation. Shantha et al. (1995) observed an increase in CLA content from 4.40 mg g⁻¹ fat in unprocessed raw milk to 5.25 mg g⁻¹ fat in a yogurt produced with 0.05 % fat. According to literature data (Florence et al., 2012a, Florence et al., 2012b, Serafeimidou et al., 2012; Serafeimidou et al., 2013) the type of milk and storage time of yogurts can affect the amount of CLA isomers in fermented milks. The content of CLA in the Italian commercial yogurts and fermented milk studied by Prandini et al. (2007) ranged from 4.42 mg g⁻¹ fat (probiotic yoghurts) to 6.15 mg g⁻¹ fat (fermented milks of mountain pasture). Greek full-fat yogurts produced from cow, sheep and goat milk examined by Serafeimidou et al. (2012) contained CLA in quantities from 0.36 to 1.50 g 100 g⁻¹ fat, 0.40 to 1.25 g 100 g⁻¹ fat and 0.43 to 0.98 g 100 g⁻¹ fat, respectively.

In Poland yogurt is among the most popular dairy products. The popularity of yogurt is due to various health claims and therapeutic values. Cow milk is most commonly used for yogurt production, but yogurt from goat and sheep milk is produced as well. Sheep and goat milk are of high nutritional value. The milk of these animals is characterized by a smaller diameter of fat globules than milk of cows and contains more short-chain and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Park et al., 2007). As a result, goat and sheep milk is digested faster than cow milk.

The purpose of the presented study was to determine whether the refrigerated storage time affects the fatty acid profile, including the content of cis9trans11 C18:2 (CLA) acid and trans isomers of C18:1 and C18:2 acid in yogurts made from goat, sheep and cow milk.

Materials and methods

Experimental material

Raw cow, goat and sheep milk were obtained from individual farms located in the Warmia and Mazury voivodeship. The yogurts were produced with the thermostat method according to the following technological scheme: goat, sheep and cow raw milk were heated to a temperature of 45 °C and were then centrifuged and degassed (80 kPa; 60 °C), subjected to HTST pasteurization (72 °C/15 s) (pasteurizer ALFA-LAWAL P20-HB, Sweden) and cooled to 6 °C. Afterwards, the milk was standardized to a fat content of 2±0,1 % by the addition of skimmed milk. The standardized milk was then subjected to a two-stage homogenization (18/5 MPa, temperature 65 °C) (homogenizer CN003, Spomasz Belżyce, Poland) and a long-term VHT pasteurization (90 °C/5 min)(pasteurizer ALFA-LAWAL P20-HB, Sweden). After cooling to the temperature of 45 °C, the milks were inoculated with starter culture Chr. Hansen F-DVS YoFlex® Premium 2.0 DVS (Chr. Hansen, Denmark), containing Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus. The prepared culture (pre-incubated for 2 hours at 45 °C) was added in the amount of 1 mL per 1 litre of milk. The yogurts were transferred to unitary packages and left to ripen in thermostats (Binder GF115 Tuttingen, Germany) at a temperature of 43.5 °C until they reached pH 4.6.

For every type of milk, two production processes were carried out. Each time, two samples of yogurts from each batch were collected for analyses. All determinations were conducted in two parallel replications.

Analyses were carried out for freshly-produced yogurts (marked in table and figures as samples 0) and yogurts that were cool stored at 8±1 °C for 21 days (analysed in 7th, 14th and 21st day of storage).

Analytical methods

Lipid extraction

The milk fat was extracted according to the Folch’s method (Folch et al., 1957).
Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters

Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared according to IDF method using a methanolic solution of KOH (ISO 15884:2002).

Gas chromatography (GC) analysis

The composition of fatty acids was determined by gas chromatography (Hewlett Packard 6890 GC System (Münster, Germany) with a flame ionization detector (FID), in 100 m capillary column (produced by Chrompack, Middelburg, the Netherlands) with CP Sil 88 phase. The column diameter was 0.25 mm, the film was 0.20 µm thick. The determinations were carried out in the following conditions: column temperature from 60°C (for 1 min) to 180 °C, Δt=5 °C/min, detector temperature 250 °C, injector temperature 225 °C, carrier gas helium, gas flow 1.5 mL/min. Sample injection volume was 0.4 µL (split: 50:1). Identification of fatty acids was carried out based on the comparison of their retention time with the retention time of methyl esters of fatty acids of the reference milk fat (BCR Reference Materials) of CRM 164 symbol. The positional trans isomers of C18:1 were identified using the standards of methyl esters of these isomers (trans6, Supelco and trans9 and trans11, Sigma-Aldrich), whereas the trans isomers of C18:2 acid (cis,trans and trans,cis) were identified with the use of a mixture of standards of C18:2 isomers (Supelco). The cis9trans11 CLA isomer was identified using a mixture of CLA methyl esters (Sigma-Aldrich).

Contents of fatty acids were calculated in mg g⁻¹ fat in respect of the introduced standard (methyl ester of C21:0 acid).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using STATISTICA ver.13.1 software. To calculate the significance of differences one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used at a significance level of α = 0.05. Differences between mean values were evaluated with the Duncan's test.

Results and discussion

Fatty acid composition of yogurt

Table 1 shows the fatty acid composition of the yogurts in mg g⁻¹ fat during a 21-day storage period at 8 °C. In all analysed yogurts, fresh and stored, saturated fatty acids (SFA) were dominant. Sheep milk yogurts were characterized by a higher content of PUFA than yogurts made of cow and goat milk. The content of MUFA in all analysed products was at a similar level. Changes were observed in the content of each group of fatty acids during the storage time of yogurts. In yogurts from goat milk, the content of SFA significantly increased (P<0.05) on the 7th day of storage and stayed at a similar level till the 21st day of storage. In yogurts made of sheep and cow milk, the content of SFA varied. A significant decrease (P<0.05) in the content of those acids was found in 21st day of storage (Table 1). The conducted analysis showed a significant decrease (P<0.05) of the content of MUFA and PUFA in yogurts made of cow milk on the 21st day of storage. The contents of PUFA in yogurts made from goat milk significantly increased on the 7th day of storage and remained stable until the 21st day of storage. The content of PUFA in yogurts made from sheep milk fluctuated in the time of storage and there was a significant increase (P<0.05) in the content of MUFA on the 7th day of storage. The contents of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), important from a nutritional point of view, also fluctuated during the storage period. These acids have a number of important biological functions such as regulation of cholesterol and triglyceride synthesis in liver cells and have a therapeutic effect on the colon epithelium and play an important role in prevention, as well as in the treatment of breast, colon and liver cancer (mainly butyric acid) (Przybojewska and Rafalski, 2003; Kuczyńska et al., 2011; Gómez-Cortés et al., 2018; Hanuš et al., 2018). The highest contents of SCFA were found in yogurts made of goat milk. Yogurts made of cow milk were characterized by the lowest content of SCFA (Table 1). A significant increase (P<0.05) in the content of SCFA was found in yogurts made of goat
| Fatty acid   | Goat (mg g⁻¹ fat) | Sheep (mg g⁻¹ fat) | Cow (mg g⁻¹ fat) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|              | 0 Rs±s           | 7 Rs±s            | 14 Rs±s         | 21 Rs±s          | 0 Rs±s           | 7 Rs±s            | 14 Rs±s          | 21 Rs±s          |
| C8:0        | 16.44±0.73       | 23.84±0.58        | 24.10±0.83      | 19.59±3.27      | 15.18±2.40       | 14.88±4.06       |
| C10:0       | 78.16±4.38       | 86.97±3.94        | 87.67±2.00      | 87.92±2.79      | 53.16±2.39       | 54.72±1.66       | 54.50±1.85       | 52.22±0.95       |
| C12:0       | 37.45±2.03       | 41.73±1.91        | 42.37±0.52      | 42.04±1.22      | 29.65±1.41       | 30.99±0.97       | 30.60±1.18       | 28.94±1.72       |
| C14:0       | 1.51±0.09        | 1.68±0.07         | 1.70±0.04       | 1.70±0.04       | 1.17±0.07        | 1.22±0.03        | 1.30±0.31        | 1.11±0.14        |
| C16:0       | 0.86±0.06        | 0.97±0.03         | 0.95±0.02       | 0.96±0.05       | 0.37±0.02        | 0.41±0.04        | 0.50±0.21        | 0.34±0.14        |
| C18:0       | 84.53±4.17       | 93.15±4.08        | 95.19±0.80      | 94.05±2.30      | 86.38±4.53       | 90.64±2.40       | 90.17±3.68       | 86.62±2.91       |
| C18:1       | 4.24±0.20        | 4.74±0.22         | 4.72±0.09       | 4.83±0.04       | 4.11±0.15        | 4.38±0.16        | 4.26±0.29        | 4.21±0.14        |

Note: The fatty acid profile was determined separately for goats, sheep, and cows.
| Time of storage (days) | C6:0 | C8:0 | C10:1 | C11:0 | C13:0 | C14:0 | C15:0 |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0                     | 18.05±0.97 | 19.85±1.34 | 20.25±0.54 | 20.04±0.66 | 18.09±0.97 | 19.24±0.60 | 18.29±0.76 |
| 7                     | 16.44±9.53 | 23.84±1.20 | 23.99±0.58 | 24.10±0.83 | 16.98±0.77 | 17.58±0.51 | 17.49±0.55 |
| 14                    | 1.51±0.09 | 1.68±0.07 | 1.70±0.04 | 1.70±0.04 | 1.17±0.07 | 1.22±0.03 | 1.30±0.31 |
| 21                    | 0.86±0.06 | 0.97±0.03 | 0.95±0.02 | 0.96±0.05 | 0.37±0.02 | 0.41±0.04 | 0.50±0.21 |
| 0                     | 1.42±0.08 | 1.57±0.07 | 1.60±0.03 | 1.58±0.06 | 1.13±0.06 | 1.21±0.05 | 1.16±0.14 |
| 7                     | 1.58±0.18 | 1.77±0.09 | 1.84±0.02 | 1.84±0.05 | 2.55±0.13 | 4.75±0.11 | 3.50±0.87 |
| 14                    | 2.56±0.13 | 2.80±0.08 | 2.88±0.03 | 2.88±0.03 | 0.78±0.05 | 2.28±1.32 | 0.88±0.05 |
| 21                    | 5.32±0.23 | 6.00±0.29 | 6.11±0.10 | 6.09±0.11 | 4.14±0.20 | 4.27±0.08 | 4.15±0.32 |
| 28                    | 10.16±0.08 | 11.24±0.07 | 11.36±0.22 | 11.58±0.63 | 15.98±0.63 | 17.28±0.31 | 16.14±0.59 |
| 0                     | 1.36±0.07 | 1.54±0.06 | 1.49±0.09 | 1.57±0.12 | 6.48±0.27 | 6.50±0.31 | 6.33±0.55 |
| 7                     | 0.52±0.01 | 0.62±0.09 | 0.57±0.05 | 0.58±0.11 | 0.45±0.01 | 0.60±0.05 | 0.54±0.13 |
| 14                    | 1.60±0.09 | 1.79±0.11 | 1.79±0.05 | 1.87±0.08 | 9.36±0.27 | 9.50±0.29 | 9.36±0.50 |
| 21                    | 3.83±0.06 | 4.34±0.23 | 4.48±0.07 | 4.51±0.11 | 3.58±0.20 | 3.61±0.09 | 3.57±0.18 |

**SCFA** - short-chain fatty acids (C4-C10); **SFA** - saturated fatty acids; **MUFA** - monounsaturated fatty acids; **PUFA** - polyunsaturated fatty acids, mean value ±S.D, n=4

a,b,c - values denoted in rows by different letters indicate statistically significant differences in stored yogurts (P<0.05). Statistical analysis was conducted for each kind of yogurts (goat, sheep, cow) separately.
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The data presented in Table 1 shows that analysed yogurts were characterized by a diverse content of n-3 PUFA and n-6 PUFA. The highest content of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids was found in yogurts made of sheep milk. Yogurts made of goat milk were characterized by the lowest content of those fatty acids. n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the analysed products were on similar levels (Table 1). n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids have shown many health benefits for humans (Connor, 2000; Williams, 2000; Wahrburg, 2004; Sokoła-Wysoczańska et al., 2018). Since n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids are essential nutrients that cannot be synthesized in the body and must be obtained from the diet, it is important that these acids remain stable or increase during storage conditions to provide their beneficial effects. The content of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids significantly increased (P<0.05) in goat milk yogurts during storage. The opposite tendency was observed in cow milk yogurts (Table 1). In yogurts made of sheep milk, n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids remained at a constant level throughout the entire storage period and the content of n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids fluctuated. The increase in the content of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in goat yoghurts may suggest that they did not undergo oxidation reactions that would lead to a reduction of these acids and therefore result in a loss of nutritional and sensory value of yogurt. This may be due to the better antioxidant properties of goat milk proteins (Khan et al., 2019). Goat milk differs slightly from cow and sheep milk by its basic chemical composition, protein structure. Casein and whey proteins are the main group of milk proteins found in different ratios in milk of various species of ruminants. Casein micelles in goat, sheep and cow milk differ in structure, composition and size. The proportions of individual protein fractions in those milks are also varied (Rafiq et al., 2016).

Whether the type of the applied starter culture and storage time has an influence on the CLA content in fresh yogurts and in yogurts analysed after various prolonged times of storage (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3).

In fresh yogurts made from goat milk the CLA content was 3.83 mg g⁻¹ fat. Significantly higher CLA contents were found in yogurts analysed on the 7th and 14th and 21st days of storage (Figure 1). In fresh and stored yogurts made from sheep milk, the content of CLA was at the same level (Figure 2). In fresh yogurts made of cow milk the CLA content was 4.47 mg g⁻¹ fat. Lower CLA contents (4.50 mg g⁻¹ fat and 4.01 mg g⁻¹ fat and 3.77 mg g⁻¹ fat) were found in yogurts analysed on the 7th and 14th and 21st days of storage, respectively (Figure 3).

Milk of mammals has a high nutritional value; however, small differences in the composition of the different types of milk may generate differences in the nutritional value and different technological usability of said milk as well as different quality of dairy products made from it (Park et al., 2007; Barłowska et al. 2011). The proportion of individual fatty acids in milk fat can vary during milk processing, for example, the method of milk treatment (e.g. pasteurization) and storage influence the proportion of CLA isomers and other fatty acids content in milk and dairy products (Herzallah et al. 2005; Santos Junior et al., 2012; Yue et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2017). Often, this is not a direct change in the proportion of fatty acids but rather it is a change in the molecular fatty acids configuration, resulting in variations in the proportion of fatty acid isomers (Pecová et al., 2019).

It is likely that the starter culture used for making yogurts could show a significant improvement in cis9trans11 CLA level, thereby increasing its CLA content during storage. On the other hand, decrease of CLA in some dairy products, perhaps due to oxidative reactions, that cause damage to the conjugated system of double bonds, thus inducing a decrease in CLA content in stored products (Shantha et al., 1995). According to literature data (Yang et al., 2000), owing to the presence of conjugated unsaturated bonds, the CLA is more susceptible to processes of oxidation and isomerization than linoleic acid.

CLA (cis9trans11 C18:2) content in samples

The conducted analyses demonstrated differences in CLA content in fresh yogurts and in yogurts analysed after various prolonged times of storage (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3).
tent of dairy products, is still a matter of discussion. Shantha et al. (1995) demonstrated that no changes occurred in the CLA content in yogurt or other dairy products when stored at 4 °C for 6 weeks. Changes in CLA content in yogurts produced from cow milk and sheep milk stored for 14 days at 5 °C were demonstrated by Serafeimidou et al. (2013). According to Serafeimidou et al. (2013) the content of CLA in yoghurts made of cow milk after 7 days of storage at 5 °C was higher (4.5 mg g⁻¹ fat) than the yogurts analyzed on day 1 (4.1 mg g⁻¹ fat). A significantly lower content (2.4 mg g⁻¹ fat) of this fatty acid was found by these authors in yogurts analysed after 14 days of storage. The previous research has shown that of the three applied starter cultures: Ceska-star Y508 (contained Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophiles), YC-X11 (contained Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophiles) and ABT-1 (contained Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium bifidum) only the Ceska-star Y508 culture caused a significant increase in CLA content in the stored fermented milk drinks. The mean content of CLA in fresh drinks reached 3.60 mg g⁻¹ fat. Significantly higher CLA contents (3.85 and 3.89 mg g⁻¹ fat) were found in drinks after 6 and 13 days of storage, respectively (Paszczyk et al., 2016). Changes in the CLA content in ecological and conventional fermented milk stored for 7 days at 4 °C were also reported by Florence et al. (2012a). According to their research, organic and conventional milk produced with Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus TA040 LB340 on the 7th day of storage had a significantly lower content of CLA than milk tested on the first day of storage. A fermented milk made of organic milk produced with Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus TA040 LB340 and Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis HN019 was also characterized by significantly lower levels of CLA when tested on the 7th day of storage compared to testing on the first day. In conventional fermented milk produced with the same bacterial strains, no significant changes in CLA content during refrigerated storage were observed. Domagała et al. (2009) determined the effect of six different starter cultures (CHN-19, Flora Danica, YC-180, YC-180+Prop., ABY-2 and Danisco Cheese) on the level of conjugated linoleic acid in fermented cream stored for 2, 7 and 14 days. The study of these authors showed that only the addition of culture ABY-2 caused an increase in CLA content in the finished product stored for 7 days. Paszczyk and Brandt (2016) showed that the storage of kefirs at 8 °C for 21 days resulted in a significant decrease in the content of cis9trans11 C18:2 acids in kefirs.

**FIGURE 1.** The content of CLA and total C18:1 and C18:2 trans isomers in stored yogurts made from goat milk [mg g⁻¹ fat]

a,b,c - values denoted by different letters indicate statistically significant differences in the content of CLA and other trans isomers during the storage of yogurts (P<0.05)
Trans C18:1 and trans C18:2 content in samples

The total content of trans isomers of C18:1 acid and trans isomers of C18:2 acid in the analysed yogurts made from goat, sheep and cow milk were also subjected to changes (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3). In yogurts made from goat milk, the lowest contents of trans C18:1 isomers were found in fresh products. Significantly higher (P<0.05) contents of these isomers were found in yogurts analysed on the 7th, 14th and 21st days of storage (Figure 1). In yogurts made from sheep milk, both fresh and stored, the content of trans C18:1 isomers was at the same level (Figure 2). For yogurts made from cow milk, the highest content of total trans C18:1 isomers was found in fresh yogurts. A significantly lower (P<0.05) content of these isomers was found in yogurts analysed on the 14th day of storage. Further storage affected a decrease in the content of these isomers in the analysed yogurts (Figure 3). According to a study by Florence et al. (2012), the content of trans C18:1 isomers in organic fermented milks stored at 4 °C for 7 days was sta-
ble. The content of these isomers in conventional fermented milk analysed by those authors changed more. Previous studies have shown that the type of the applied starter culture and storage time affect the content of trans C18:1 isomers in fermented milk beverages. A significant increase was found in the fermented milk beverages produced with Ceska-star Y508 and fermented milk beverages produced with ABT-1 starter culture analysed after six days of storage (Paszczyk et al., 2016).

The total content of trans C18:2 acid changed in the analysed stored yogurt made from goat milk and these changes were statistically significant (P<0.05) (Figure 1). In yogurts made from cow milk analysed on the 7th and 14th days of storage, the total content of trans isomers of C18:2 was significantly higher than the content of those isomers in fresh yogurts and yogurts analysed on the 21st day of storage (Figure 3). No statistically significant changes were observed in sheep milk yogurts (Figure 2).

Conclusions

The study demonstrated that storage of yogurts made from goat, sheep and cow milk at 8±1 °C for 21 days causes changes in the fatty acid profile, the content of cis9trans11 C18:2 (CLA) and trans C18:1 and C18:2 isomers. In all stored yogurts, changes in SCFA, SFA, MUFA and PUFA fatty acids were observed. Storage resulted in a significant decrease of CLA and trans C18:1 isomers in cow milk yogurts and a significant increase in the content of those isomers in goat milk yogurts (P<0.05). In yogurts made from sheep milk, the content of CLA and other marked trans isomers did not change significantly. The study demonstrated that the type of milk used to produce yogurts plays an important role in the change of fatty acids during refrigerated storage. Given the beneficial role of CLA and other fatty acids for human health and for better evaluation of the nutritional value of storage dairy products, further research is needed to characterize the factors affecting the changes in the content of these functional fatty acids during storage.
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Utjecaj skladištenja na profil masnih kiselina jogurta

Sažetak

U ovom istraživanju je ispitivan utjecaj hladnog skladištenja na profil masnih kiselina, sadržaj konjugirane linolne kiseline (CLA) te trans izomera masnih kiselina C18:1 i C18:2 u jogurtima od kravljeg, kozjeg i ovčjeg mlijeka. Na 21. dan skladištenja utvrđen je značajan pad (P<0,05) sadržaja jednostruko (MUFA) i višestruko nezasićenih (PUFA) masnih kiselina u jogurtima od kravljeg mlijeka. Sadržaj ovih masnih kiselina mijenjao se u jogurtima od ovčjeg i kozjeg mlijeka. Sadržaj trans izomera CLA u svježe pripremljenim jogurtima kretao se između 3,58 mg g⁻¹ masti (ovčji jogurt) i 4,47 mg g⁻¹ masti (kravljiji jogurt). Tijekom perioda skladištenja se značajno snizio u kravljem jogurtu, dok je značajno narastao u kozjem jogurtu (P<0,05), a u ovčjem jogurtu je ostao više manje nepromijenjen.

Ključne riječi: masne kiseline, CLA, trans C18:1, trans C18:2, kravlj, kozj i ovčji jogurt, razdoblje skladištenja
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