Ab initio explanation of disorder and off-stoichiometry in Fe-Mn-Al-C κ carbides
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Carbides play a central role for the strength and ductility in many materials. Simulating the impact of these precipitates on the mechanical performance requires knowledge about their atomic configuration. In particular, the C content is often observed to substantially deviate from the ideal stoichiometric composition. In this work, we focus on Fe-Mn-Al-C steels, for which we determined the composition of the nanosized κ carbides (Fe,Mn)3AlC by atom probe tomography in comparison to larger precipitates located in grain boundaries. Combining density functional theory with thermodynamic concepts, we first determine the critical temperatures for the presence of chemical and magnetic disorder in these carbides. Second, the experimentally observed reduction of the C content is explained as a compromise between the gain in chemical energy during partitioning and the elastic strains emerging in coherent microstructures.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fe-Mn-Al-C based steels have recently attracted close attention because of their high strength and ductility [1,2] along with a high corrosion resistance and a comparably low mass density [3]. This combination of their properties makes them also attractive for automotive applications. The excellent mechanical performance of the Fe-Mn-Al-C steels is mainly attributed to microstructure features that correlate with deformation mechanisms and strongly depend on the amount of Al in the material. High-Mn steels with a low-Al content (<5 wt. %) typically undergo a microstructure refinement by the activation of deformation twinning in the austenite phase, which increases the strain-hardening rate [4,5]. When the Al content in these steels (about 30 wt. % Mn and 1.3 wt. % C) is higher than 6 wt. %, an annealing produces finely dispersed nanosized κ carbides (Fe,Mn)3AlC in the austenitic matrix. Experiments showed that these precipitates strengthen Fe-Mn-Al-C steels, thereby making them interesting for applications. For example, the large age hardenability of these alloys is explained as a compromise between the gain in chemical energy during partitioning and the elastic strains emerging in coherent microstructures.

The arrangement of κ carbides within the microstructure is to a large extent determined by the $E_2$ crystal structure of κ carbide. It resembles a perovskite-type cubic structure with Al atoms at the corners of the cube, Fe atoms at the face-centered sites (corresponding to $L_12$), and C atoms at the body-center octahedral site (also called $L'_12$). Therefore, the nominal composition is Fe$_3$AlC. Experiments for high-Mn alloys indicate that there can be a significant manganese content replacing the Fe atoms, yielding a (Fe,Mn)$_3$AlC composition [14]. While different ordered $E_2$ structures for varying Mn contents are shown in Fig. 1, it is still unclear which Mn content would correspond to thermodynamic equilibrium, how relevant the ordering is, and how this affects the mechanical properties.

The orientation relationship between the regularly arranged κ carbides and the γ matrix is reported to be (001)/(001) in experiments [15]. The interfaces are coherent in case of κ nanoprecipitates without indication of the presence of misfit dislocations. Such a microstructure can, however, not be understood if a completely stoichiometric composition is assumed. As the density functional theory (DFT) calculations performed in this paper show, a nominal $E_2$ structure would have its elastically hard axis in the (001) direction and the resulting misfit of 9% with respect to the lattice constant of the matrix material would be too large, to ensure coherent interfaces. Indeed, electron microprobe experiments have shown considerable deviations from the Fe$_3$AlC stoichiometry. In an experimental work conducted by Palm et al. [16], the off-stoichiometric composition observed is Fe$_{3+y}$Al$_{1-z}$C$_z$ where $y$ may vary between $-0.2$ and $+0.2$ and $z$ between 0.42 and 0.71. Other experimental works have proposed an off-stoichiometric composition of Fe$_3$AlC$_{0.5}$ [17–19]. All these observations demonstrate in particular a depletion of C in κ carbides as compared to the nominal $E_2$ structure of Fe$_3$AlC.

In spite of these experimental evidences of off-stoichiometric C compositions of κ carbides, further measurements that can resolve the properties of nanoprecipitates are desired. In this study, we use atom probe tomography (APT) for this purpose since it combines near-atomic resolution with ppm chemical sensitivity [20]. At the same time, the theoretical
Investigation of the C depletion is still limited. The main focus of previous theoretical studies has been on perfectly ordered $\kappa$ carbides. In a previous investigation, DFT has been employed to compare the properties of Fe$_3$Al-$L_{12}$ and ordered Fe$_3$AlC-$E_{21}$ structures and to underline the role played by C [21,22]. These studies have shown that the addition of C atoms decreases the magnetic moment of the neighboring Fe atoms and yields a heat capacity and elastic constants of Fe$_3$AlC-$\kappa$ that are appreciably different from Fe$_3$Al-$L_{12}$ [21].

In similar spirits, the energetics and magnetic properties of Fe$_3$Al and Fe$_3$AlX (where X = H, B, C, N, O) compounds are investigated using DFT, among which Fe$_3$AlC turns out to be most stable when comparing cohesive energies [23]. The reduction in magnetization of Fe$_3$Al due to the addition of C has been explained by relaxation effects induced by the C atom in the Fe$_3$Al structure [23,24].

The computation of the elastic constants of $\kappa$ carbides has revealed that these carbides are more rigid than the parental Fe$_3$Al-$L_{12}$ structure [24]. In the same work, the issue of different chemical configurations has been discussed for the Fe-Mn sublattice by considering (Fe$_3$Al)$_x$C with integral values for $x$ from 0 to 3. In a subsequent work by the same group [25], low-Mn concentrations in $\kappa$ carbides have been investigated which show absence of any kind of interaction between substitutional Mn atoms thereby indicating a random alloy system. A previous work further indicates the relevance of point defects such as C vacancies (treated in the dilute limit) for the thermodynamic stability of the relevant phases [22]. While these studies provided important insight into the structure and thermodynamics, none of them fully explained the above-mentioned C reduction of $\kappa$ carbides. As we show in this study, this is mainly due to the geometrical constraints of a coherent interface to the matrix material.

In our first ab initio study of $\kappa$ carbides [14], we have already been able to reveal and explain the Al depletion in these carbides by coherency strains. We have pointed out that this effect alone is not sufficient, but that it occurs concurrently with a reduced C content in these precipitates. In this work, we now provide a deeper theoretical understanding of the C concentration in off-stoichiometric $\kappa$ carbides, which is benchmarked against experimental data. This investigation requires the careful application of various thermodynamic concepts. One of them is the application of a constrained paraequilibrium [26], which allows us to focus on C only. Further, at operational conditions relevant for high-temperature applications the chemical and magnetic order can break down. We thus studied the impact of such magnetic and/or chemical disorder on the stability of $\kappa$ carbides.

II. METHODOLOGY

We perform calculations using DFT [27,28] as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [29–31]. The electron-ion interaction is described by using projector augmented-wave (PAW) potentials [32,33]. The generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [34] has been employed. The Methfessel-Paxton method [35] has been used for the Fermi surface smearing with a 12 × 12 × 12 Monkhorst-Pack grid [36] in a 1 × 1 × 1 five-atom unit cell for the $\kappa$ carbides shown in Fig. 1. A supercell (SC) size of 2 × 2 × 2 (40 atoms) has been considered for the disordered and vacancy calculations with a corresponding Monkhorst-Pack grid of 6 × 6 × 6. The single-electron wave functions have been expanded using plane waves up to an energy cutoff of 500 eV. The energies are converged to a precision of better than 1 meV/formula unit (f.u.).

We first study the occupation of the metal sublattice sites since it has an impact on the C solvation energies in $\kappa$ carbide. To determine the equilibrium Mn content, $\kappa$ carbides (Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$)AlC with integer $x$ are considered. For ordered configurations, a single five-atom unit cell (refer to Fig. 1) is used, which is periodically repeated. The chemical disorder of the $\kappa$ carbides has been simulated by the special quasirandom structure (SQS) scheme [37] in a 2 × 2 × 2 SC. Two kinds of SQS are generated: one with chemical disorder on the Fe-Mn sublattice only (i.e., corresponding to the symmetry of $L_{12}$) and the other one with a random distribution of all metal atoms (i.e., corresponding to the symmetry of fcc). In order to generate these SQS, correlation functions of up to five-body figures are used. The chosen SQS in our study have the lowest correlation error in terms of the error-function introduced in our previous work [38].

The stability of these $\kappa$ carbides is investigated by computing the Helmholtz free-energy difference between the precipitates and the surrounding solid solution assuming that the two phases are thermodynamically equilibrated:

$$\Delta F(T, V, x, y, z) = E_{\kappa}^{SC}[(Fe_{3-x+y}, Mn_x) Al_{1-z} C_z] - T S^{\kappa}(x, y, z) - (3 - x + y) \mu_{Fe} - x \mu_{Mn} - (1 - y) \mu_{Al} - z \mu_{C}. \quad (1)$$

The first term is the ground-state energy $E_{\kappa}^{SC}$ determined in a DFT supercell (SC) calculation. The second term gives the entropy contribution. Neglecting the vibrational entropy, which is small compared to the configurational contribution,
we express the entropy solely by the latter one:
\[
S^*(x, y, z) = -k_B \left[ (3 - x) \ln \frac{3 - x}{3} + x \ln \frac{x}{3} + y \ln y + (1 - y) \ln(1 - y) + z \ln z + (1 - z) \ln(1 - z) \right],
\]
where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( x, y, \) and \( z \) the content of Mn, Fe antisites on the Al sublattice, and C in \( \kappa \), respectively. The third term in Eq. (1) balances the thermodynamic exchange of atoms between the \( \kappa \) carbide and the \( \gamma \) matrix. In the spirit of a grand-canonical ensemble, this exchange can be described by taking/removing atoms from the chemical reservoir, which is determined by the free energy of the \( \gamma \) solution.

In this paper, the chemical reservoir is represented by the chemical potentials \( \mu_X \) of the involved elements \( X = \text{Fe, Mn, Al, C} \). They depend on the (experimentally given) composition, temperature, and volume of the \( \gamma \) matrix and are computed by DFT (see Appendix). An advantage of using chemical potentials is that they provide a physically intuitive tool to describe continuous changes in the chemical composition of the considered alloys without being limited to discrete stoichiometries imposed by finite-size supercells. This is particularly useful for the constrained paraequilibrium [26] discussed in the second part of the paper, where we enforce an equality of chemical potentials between \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) for the interstitial C atoms. We note that the \textit{ab initio} derivation of \( \mu_X \) from DFT energies for a specific supercell \( E_{\text{ex}}^{\text{SC}}[\text{Fe, Mn, Al}] \) implies that the absolute value of the chemical potentials in the matrix is dependent on the given pseudopotential (see Appendix for details).

The energetically favored magnetic phase in the \( \kappa \) carbides is determined by computing the free-energy difference in Eq. (1) for ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic double-layer (AFMD), and nonmagnetic (NM) phases. Since the FM phase is found to be the \( T = 0 \) K ground state, it is used in the calculations, if not stated otherwise. The \( \gamma \) matrix is consistently treated in an antiferromagnetic (AFM) state. Paramagnetic (PM) energies for \( \kappa \) carbides are again obtained by a \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) supercell using the SQS scheme, which mimics a random distribution of collinear local moments as closely as possible for this SC. This procedure has been performed for the chemically ordered as well as the disordered \( \kappa \) carbides.

The Curie temperature \( T_C \) is estimated within our study from the mean-field approximation of the Heisenberg model [39]
\[
k_B T_C = \frac{2}{3} N_{\text{mag}} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij}, \tag{3}
\]
where \( N_{\text{mag}} \) is the number of magnetic atoms in the unit cell and \( J_{ij} \) are the magnetic exchange coupling constants between sites \( i \) and \( j \). Using mean-field approximation, the energy difference \( \Delta E \) per unit cell between the FM and PM states can be expressed [40] as \( \Delta E = N_{\text{mag}}^2 \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij} \) and the above equation transforms to
\[
k_B T_C = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta E}{N_{\text{mag}}}. \tag{4}
\]

It may be noted that the values of \( T_C \) obtained using Eq. (3) typically overestimate the experimental values [41], but provide correct qualitative trends.

Single-crystalline elastic constants of the disordered \( \kappa \) carbides are determined using tetragonal and trigonal (rhombohedral) cell-shape deformations [38]. Due to the fact that our SQS supercells in general do not possess cubic symmetry, strains have been applied along structurally equivalent directions, the resulting stresses are used to calculate elastic constants and these have been then averaged (for details see, e.g., Ref. [38]).

The theoretical investigations are supported by experimental investigations on the C content in \( \kappa \) carbide. For this purpose, a high-Mn steel of the composition Fe-29.8Mn-7.7Al-1.3C (wt.%) has been used, which was aged at 600 °C for 12 weeks. The material had undergone a solid solution treatment at 1100 °C for two hours and was subsequently oil quenched prior to aging. A systematic repetition of various aging treatments ensured that the present conditions yield a thermodynamically stable partitioning of the chemical elements. Further details of alloy casting and thermomechanical processing are reported elsewhere [6,14]. The sample was etched with 1% Nital solution and characterized using a field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) Zeiss X8 1540 equipped with an electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) detector. Needle-like atom probe tomography (APT) samples from grain boundary and grain interior regions were prepared via a standard FIB procedure by a dual-beam focused-ion-beam (FIB) system (FEI Helios Nano-Lab 600i) [42]. A LEAP™ 3000X HR system ( Cameca Instruments) was employed for APT analysis with voltage pulsing at 200 kHz pulse repetition rate, 0.005 atom/pulse detection rate and 15% pulse fraction at 70 K.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Experiment

As indicated in the Introduction, it is the main purpose of the theoretical investigations in this paper to reveal the reasons for the C off-stoichiometric compositions in \( \kappa \) carbides. Previously, our own measurement [14] for a \( \kappa \)-containing steel, namely, an Fe-29.8Mn-7.7Al-1.3C (wt.%) alloy, has given a value \( z = 0.61 \). With the present experimental evaluation, we employ a much longer aging treatment to ensure thermodynamic equilibrium.

Figure 2 shows the microstructure of the same alloy as used in Ref. [14] after the prolonged aging. It clearly shows two different morphologies of \( \kappa \) carbides, which are the bright protruding phases after etching [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. On the one hand, there are nanosized \( \kappa \) precipitates in the grain interior (GI), i.e., within the austenite matrix \( \gamma \), regularly aligned along specific directions [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)], which are orthogonal (001) crystallographic directions [6,15]. On the other hand, a \( \mu \)m-scale lamellar structure mainly composed of alternative coarse \( \kappa_0 \) carbides and solute-depleted austenite \( \gamma_0 \) is observed at regions next to grain boundaries (GB) between \( \gamma \) grains [Fig. 2(a)]. Also, a small fraction (\(<1\%) of ferrite \( \alpha \) is detected in these regions by EBSD (not shown here). This \( \kappa_0 + \gamma_0 + \alpha \) lamellar microstructure initiates at GBs and grows
carbides with the former (GI) showing more C reduction than the latter (GB).

### B. Chemical and magnetic order

When investigating with *ab initio* simulations the C content ($z$) of $\kappa$ carbides (Fe$_3$-$\gamma$Mn$_{1-x}$)Al$_{2-x}$C$_x$, we represent the Mn and Al contributions ($x$ and $y$) in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell. We first discuss the Al contribution (i.e., fix the values $x = 0$ and $z = 1$). Replacing one Al atom by Fe in the supercell, we obtain $y = 0.125$, which is close to the reported experimental composition [16]. We then obtain at $T = 0$ K an increase of the free-energy difference between the carbide and the $\gamma$ matrix [see Eq. (1)] by approximately 1 eV as compared to the stoichiometric composition of Fe$_3$AlC. This energy increase enters the temperature-dependent antisite formation energy given by

$$F_{AS}^f(T) = E_{Fe,AlC}^{SC} - E_{Fe,FeAlC}^{SC} - \mu_{Fe}(T) + \mu_{Al}(T). \quad (5)$$

The configurational entropy in the $\kappa$ carbide is considered if the antisite concentration is determined by

$$c_{FeAl} = \exp \left[ -\frac{F_{AS}^f(T)}{k_B T} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Neglecting again vibrational contributions, the temperature dependence of the defect formation energy originates solely from the one in the chemical potentials $\mu_{Fe}(T)$ and $\mu_{Al}(T)$ imposed by the $\gamma$ matrix (see Appendix for details). It takes care of the fact that with increasing temperature the chemical potential decreases due to enhanced configurational entropy.

Using Eq. (6), one can expect 0.001% of the Al atoms to be replaced by Fe at 600 °C. As elastic effects are in the focus of the present investigations, the lattice constant of the $\kappa$ carbide has also been constrained to that of the surrounding Fe matrix. Even the decrease of the antisite formation energy due to this strain (from 1 to 0.8 eV at $T = 0$ K) is too small to yield an off-stoichiometric concentration higher than 0.01% at elevated temperatures. The situation is different in the case of Mn antisites on the Al sublattice if C vacancies are additionally present at neighboring sites (see Ref. [14] for details). In this case, an Al reduction of up to 10 at. % can be observed. For the purpose of the present investigations, this effect is still not decisive and it is justified to assume a filled Al sublattice, which stabilizes the $\kappa$ carbide and acts a thermodynamic driving force for the partitioning of C. Using this assumption implies that the C content is fixed during the thermodynamic modeling and not subjected to an equalization of chemical potentials (constrained paraequilibrium).

The equilibrium concentration of Mn in the $\kappa$ carbide is determined via Eq. (1), setting $y = 0$ and $z = 1$. Changing the chemical potential changes the amount of Mn and the thermo-dynamically most stable carbide phase. The corresponding phase diagram as function of $\mu_{Mn}$ is shown in Fig. 3. The obtained dependence allows us not only to connect to our experimental alloy composition (red dashed-dotted line; see Appendix for details), but also to investigate chemical and thermodynamic trends. On the one hand, we constructed the
FIG. 3. Free-energy differences for the \(\kappa\) carbide formation according to Eq. (1) with varying Mn content \(x\) (given by the labels). The results for \(T = 0\) K (dotted lines) and for the experimental annealing temperature of 600 °C (solid lines) are compared. The color shading indicates the phase stability at 600 °C as a function of the Mn chemical potential with respect to the reference potential described in Appendix. The chemical potentials corresponding to the composition of the experimental alloy (Fe-29.8Mn-7.7Al-1.3C in wt. %) are for both temperatures shown as a red dashed-dotted line. For Fe\(_2\)MnAlC, the ground-state energy of an ordered Mn arrangement (thick green line) and of an SQS disordered structure (thin green line) are compared.

\(T = 0\) K phase diagram (dotted lines in Fig. 3) to see the chemical effect on phase stabilities. On the other hand, we generalized it to the annealing temperature of 600 °C (solid lines in Fig. 3), where also the configurational entropies in the \(\gamma\) matrix [via the \(T\) dependence of \(\mu_\text{Mn}(T)\)] and the \(\kappa\) carbide [via Eq. (2)] are taken into account.

We first note that the free-energy difference at \(T = 0\) K is negative in a large part of the plotted chemical potential and in particular for \(\mu_\text{Mn}\) corresponding to the experimental matrix composition. As can be seen from Eq. (1), a negative sign implies that the formation of the \(\kappa\) carbide is exothermic. For \(T = 600\) °C (873 K) the free-energy difference becomes at the experimental composition positive for all phases except Fe\(_2\)MnAlC, for which it is almost zero (−14 meV), implying that Fe\(_2\)MnAlC is thermodynamically stable. The \(\kappa\) carbide formation out of the solute solution is only exothermic up to approximately 625 °C; below this temperature the carbide will grow on the expense of the \(\gamma\) matrix, as indeed experimentally observed for the GB carbides. However, for the GI carbides, the elastic coherency strain has an additional impact on C partitioning as discussed below.

Regarding the Mn distribution, the results show that for the exact experimental composition (red dashed-dotted line in Fig. 3), Mn-free Fe\(_3\)AlC and Fe\(_2\)MnAlC are energetically almost degenerate at \(T = 0\) K, but that Fe\(_2\)MnAlC is energetically clearly preferred at 600 °C. In the latter case, this is also true if one allows a slight variation of the composition (green shaded area). The result is in good agreement with the experimentally observed Mn content in GI \(\kappa\) carbide (Fe\(_{1.99}\)Mn\(_{1.10}\)Al\(_{0.91}\)C\(_{0.60}\)). FeMn\(_2\)AlC and Mn\(_2\)AlC will only

form if the Mn chemical potential (Mn content) in the alloy is substantially increased.

The stability of Fe\(_2\)MnAlC at \(T = 600\) °C is mainly caused by configurational entropy in the \(\kappa\) carbide, which lowers the energy of this phase with respect to Fe\(_3\)AlC by approximately 0.15 eV [compare the relative positions of the maroon (\(x = 0\)) and green (\(x = 1\)) lines for \(T = 0\) K (dotted line) and \(T = 600\) °C (solid line) in Fig. 3]. We have therefore also investigated the impact of the (Fe-Mn) configuration in the Fe sublattice on the DFT supercell energy \(E_{\text{SC}}^{\text{SQS}}[(\text{Fe}_2\text{Mn})\text{AlC}]\) in Eq. (1). For this purpose, the results of a regular Mn arrangement (periodic repetition of the unit cell) and an SQS disordered structure are compared in Fig. 3 (thick and thin solid lines for \(x = 1\)) and show a negligible difference. A comparison over the whole volume range relevant for subsequent considerations is performed in Fig. 4, where also the impact of magnetic disorder is taken into account. The differences of the order of maximum 25 meV/unit cell can be translated into an order-disorder transition temperature \(T_{\text{OD}}\).

The latter is a result of the competition between formation enthalpies (at \(T = 0\) K) and configurational entropy given by the expression

\[
E_{\text{SC}}^{\text{SQS}} - E_{\text{SC}}^{\text{OD}} = T_{\text{OD}} S^\kappa(1,0,1),
\]  

(7)

with the entropy \(S^\kappa\) defined in Eq. (2). Since the stoichiometry in an order/disorder transition remains unchanged, any contributions from chemical potentials [compare Eq. (1)] cancel. Using this equation for a Mn concentration of \(x = 1\), one obtains a \(T_{\text{OD}}\) of approximately 75 K. Therefore, any chemical ordering will be lost at room temperature, which is in agreement with observations in experiment, but has not been considered in previous theoretical studies [24,25].

To complete the considerations on chemical order and to emphasize the crucial role played by the chemical ordering in the Al sublattice for the formation of \(\kappa\) carbides, we discuss the free energies with chemical disorder in both Fe-Mn and Al sublattices (Fig. 4). We find that the additional chemical
disorder in the Al sublattice makes the formation of $\kappa$ carbides substantially less favorable. Using Eq. (7), the corresponding order-disorder transition temperature is $\approx 1400$ K. Further calculations showed that these findings are qualitatively similar for other compositions of $\kappa$ carbide.

In the following, we extend the concept of disorder also to the magnetic degrees of freedom. Experimentally, the relevance of magnetic disorder for this carbide is inconclusive. A few experimental works indicate $\kappa$ carbides to be ferromagnetic [43], in agreement with theoretical counterparts [24]. On the other hand, some experiments suggest $\kappa$ carbide not to be magnetic [44]. In our theoretical approach, we compare the \textit{ab initio} free energies, according to Eq. (1), corresponding to ordered (FM) and disordered (PM) spin configurations. In order to evaluate the energy difference, we further add another magnetically ordered structure (AFMD, yields a unrealistic scenario of vanishing local atomic magnetic moments) configuration for comparison.

The results for $T = 0$ K (Fig. 4) show that the FM phase in chemically ordered $\kappa$ carbide is energetically most favorable and therefore indeed the correct choice for ground-state \textit{ab initio} calculations. However, some of the disordered structures are energetically very close to the ground state. In particular, the difference of the PM to the FM state is approximately 75 meV/unit cell, which is smaller than that of the AFMD and NM states. This indicates, on the one hand, a low Curie temperature $T_C$. Using Eq. (4), the Curie temperature $T_C$ for a transition from chemically disordered FM to the FM phase is approximately 60 K. Even a combined magnetic and chemical disordered of an originally FM ordered state would only require 90 K. This number is only an estimate because Eq. (3) is based on a mean-field approximation and does not distinguish between Fe and Mn atoms. Nevertheless, our study supports those experiments [44] that do not observe any macroscopic magnetic order in $\kappa$ carbides at room temperature. On the other hand, we observe very little difference between structural properties (e.g., equilibrium lattice constant) of a FM and a PM material in contrast to, e.g., a NM calculation (see also Sec. III C). This justifies the application of the FM approach, if a PM calculation is not feasible.

We can now investigate the stability of $\kappa$ carbides as a function of its composition [as given by Eq. (1)] to explain the experimentally observed C off-stoichiometry in $\kappa$ carbides. Due to the computational effort, no thermodynamic excitations such as lattice vibrations and magnetic entropy are taken into account. Their impact on, e.g., vacancy formation energies is typically small at room temperature [45]. Due to the low order-disorder transition temperature, no chemical superstructure/ordering on the Fe-Mn sublattice can be expected.

In principle, also the magnetic disorder should be taken into account. Due to the fluctuating moments in this phase, however, the necessary relaxations, e.g., for a vacancy calculation would require sophisticated approaches such as the spin-space averaging (SSA) method [46]. This goes beyond what is currently feasible for a complex alloy like the $\kappa$ carbides. Having in addition the limited impact of magnetism on structural properties in mind (Fig. 4), we restrict most of our calculations to the magnetic ground state (FM).

C. Elastic properties

The $\kappa$ carbides have so far been considered as an individual bulk phase. However, the experimental findings provided above clearly indicate that the C off-stoichiometry is strongly related to the microstructure. The main difference between GI and GB precipitates is the coherency to the matrix material. We argue that next to configurational entropy also the strain caused by the degree of coherency drives the C out of the carbide. The coherency is related to the lattice parameter mismatch between $\kappa$ carbides and the $\gamma$ matrix material. For the experimentally observed orientation relationship (001)//(001), this misfit is obtained from our DFT calculations to be in the stoichiometric case as high as 9%. This value is too large to allow coherent interfaces without misfit dislocations.

Synchrotron diffraction experiments (not shown here) have indicated a reduction in the lattice misfit to 1.4% between off-stoichiometric $\kappa$ carbide and $\gamma$ matrix in the grain interior. In order to enforce a completely coherent interface without misfit dislocations, as it is observed for GI carbides (at least for the small channels of $\gamma$ material [6]), a compromise of the lattice constant of both phases is required. It will depend on the volume fraction of the phases and the elastic energy associated with a compression or elongation.

To get a deeper understanding of the elastic properties of $\kappa$ carbides, we determined its elastic tensor. According to the investigations of the previous section, we first use the composition Fe$_2$MnAlC with chemical disorder and ferromagnetic order for this purpose. The results are summarized in Table I. A comparison of these elastic constants with those of a cubic elastic approximant [38,47] based on results obtained for ordered Fe$_2$MnAlC from Ref. [24] are shown. All values are in GPa.

| Composition        | Magn. | $C_{11}$ | $C_{12}$ | $C_{44}$ | $B$  |
|--------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| (a) (Fe$_2$,Mn)AlC | FM    | 418      | 77       | 82       | 191  |
| (b) (Fe$_2$,Mn)AlC$_{5/8}$ | FM    | 282      | 167      | 94       | 205  |
| (c) Fe$_3$AlC      | FM    | 446      | 109      | 72       | 221  |
| (d) Fe$_3$AlC      | PM    | 439      | 90       | 96       | 206  |
| Ref. [24]: Fe$_2$MnAlC | FM    | 436      | 80       | 92       | 199  |

TABLE I. Single-crystalline elastic constants ($C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, $C_{44}$, $B$) calculated for different chemical compositions and magnetic states of $\kappa$ carbide. The selections are identical with those shown in Fig. 5. In the cases (a) and (b), a disordered configuration of Fe and Mn is considered. For comparison, elastic constants of a cubic elastic approximant [38,47] based on results obtained for ordered Fe$_2$MnAlC from Ref. [24] are shown. All values are in GPa.
FIG. 5. Area modulus [48,49] of (a) a cubic-symmetry approximant of Fe₂MnAlC with disorder Fe-Mn sublattice in FM state, (b) Fe₂MnAlC₀.₆₂₅, i.e., with reduced C content in FM state, (c) Fe₃AlC, i.e., without Mn in FM state, (d) Fe₃AlC in PM state. The calculation (values in GPa) is based on the determined elastic constants $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$ summarized in Table I (visualization by the SC-EMA software package [50–52]).

the {001} planes, i.e., the corresponding energy required for epitaxial loadings within the planes that are relevant for the κ/γ coherency is highest. This observation together with the large misfit of 9% makes the stabilization of an (001)/(001) very unlikely, in puzzling disagreement with experiment.

A reduction of the C content is expected to yield a smaller misfit. The question is, however, how it influences the elastic properties. The challenge of corresponding calculations of the elastic tensor is to ensure a cubic crystal structure of the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell. A tetragonal distortion would not only increase the numerical effort significantly, it is also in conflict with the physical expectation for an infinitely large system. The only reasonable choice that fulfills this constraint is the presence of three C vacancies. The resulting area modulus of elasticity is shown in Fig. 5(b). It reveals that some of the elastic constants are softer, as expected from the high-vacancy concentration, while the bulk modulus is hardly changed (Table I). More important is the observation that (001) has now turned into the elastically soft direction, therewith resolving the before mentioned puzzle.

Due to the central importance of the elastic properties for the upcoming investigations, we also investigated the impact of the assumptions formulated at the end of Sec. III B. Figure 5(c) allows a comparison of the area modulus for Fe₂MnAlC with the Mn-free version, while Fig. 5(d) shows the results of a fully paramagnetic calculation. In both cases, a close similarity to the results for the FM Mn-containing version shown in Fig. 5(a) is obtained. For the area modulus as well as the bulk modulus, the maximum changes are of the order of 10%. This justifies our choice for the chemical and magnetic degrees of freedom. In addition, we note that the area modulus does not show a strong anisotropy, if the C content is reduced [Fig. 5(b)]. We therefore consider in the upcoming calculations the bulk modulus instead of the area modulus.

D. Vacancy formation energy

Due to the coherency strain, we expect a driving force for C to leave the κ carbide and dissolve in the matrix. A C depletion (as describe in Sec. III E) is expected if the C vacancy formation is exothermic, or if the energy loss is small enough to be compensated by a gain in configurational entropy at finite temperature. We have therefore investigated the corresponding vacancy formation energy for species $X$, according to the expression

$$E_{X-Vac} = E_{X-Vac}^{SC} - E_{Fe₃AlC}^{SC} + \mu_X(T)$$

analogous to Eq. (5) where $\mu_X(T)$ is obtained at 600°C as defined in the Appendix. The volume dependence of the supercell energies entering Eq. (8) is shown in Fig. 6, where
This reduction is a consequence of the large negative vacancy formation energy, yielding a substantial reduction will be discussed in the next subsection. When the concentration is low. The consequences of this driving force for magnetism on the vacancy formation, by performing a fully paramagnetic calculation for a single chemical configuration. These calculations are extremely challenging and prone to errors, but the obtained deviations from the FM calculation are in the same order of magnitude as the chemical difference. It is therefore clear that the upcoming calculations cannot aim at a quantitative reproduction of the experimental results since the numerical effort to achieve this accuracy would be enormous. However, the general mechanisms for the C partitioning discussed in the following are not affected by these approximations.

E. Partitioning between κ and γ

Given that the combination of configurational entropy and the coherency constraint results in negative vacancy formation energies, it is clear that the commonly applied concepts of dilute point defects cannot be used for this study. Rather, since the concentration changes are well above a few percent, thermodynamic concepts developed for alloy decomposition become appropriate. In this sense, we discuss the problem as an incomplete C partitioning between κ carbide and γ matrix as shown in Fig. 7, i.e., we have in upcoming considerations the following physical picture in mind: After casting, C and Al are homogeneously distributed in the sample. During annealing the onset of Al ordering occurs along with a chemical driving force for C to enter these regions and form C carbide (which is an exothermic process). The Al ordering, which is used in this work to define the region of C carbide, is volume conserving, while the C partitioning is not. Since the coherency condition prevents any release of elastic energy by plastic relaxation via misfit dislocations at the interface, partitioning unavoidably increases the misfit and thus the elastic energy. This mechanism prevents a complete filling of the Al-ordered region (i.e., the κ carbide) with C.

These considerations show that the required energy minimization also needs to take the chemical and elastic energy of the γ matrix into account. In principle, we should determine the volume-dependent C solubility in a disordered Fe-Al-Mn matrix. As mentioned in Sec. III B, Mn has been removed from the considerations, but even the treatment of Al disorder.
in the $\gamma$ matrix would result into a large configuration space. Two limiting cases can be considered instead: (i) the $\gamma$ matrix consists of Fe and C only, or (ii) the $\gamma$ matrix is itself an ordered Fe-Al phase. For reasons that will be discussed below, we only use the first scenario.

The optimization of the composite consisting of $\kappa$ precipitate and $\gamma$ matrix does not only affect the C concentrations, but also the coherent lattice parameters. We express the latter by $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$, the coherent volume per unit cell, which is an intensive thermodynamic variable. It captures both the hydrostatic change of lattice constants of cubic nanoprecipitates and the volume change in a tetragonal distortion, if the coherency is only assumed for the in-plane lattice constant (biaxial strain) and the normal component is relaxed. Therefore, the Helmholtz free energy of the composite of $\kappa$ precipitate and $\gamma$ matrix is given by

$$
F^{\kappa\gamma}(T, V, c_{\kappa}, c_{\gamma}) = v_{\kappa} F^{\kappa}(T, V, c_{\kappa}) + v_{\gamma} F^{\gamma}(T, V, c_{\gamma}),
$$

where $v_{\kappa}$ and $v_{\gamma}$ are volume fractions of $\kappa$ and $\gamma$, respectively, and $c_{\kappa}$ and $c_{\gamma}$ are their corresponding C concentrations. It can be split into an elastic, a chemical, and a configurational part. In the case of the $\kappa$ carbide (expressions for $\gamma$ matrix are similar), the definition of the first two terms is given by

$$
E_{\text{elas}}(V, c_{\kappa}) = E^{\kappa}(V, c_{\kappa}) - E^{\gamma}(V, c_{\gamma}),
$$

$$
E_{\text{chem}}(V, c_{\kappa}) = E^{\kappa}(V, c_{\kappa}) - E^{\gamma}(V, c_{\gamma}).
$$

The chemical part covers the change of the concentration at equilibrium cubic volume as obtained from the Murnaghan equation of state [53,54]. The elastic part covers the volume deformation (hydrostatic or biaxial). The reference is the homogeneous C distribution (see Fig. 7) with a concentration determined by experiment $c_{\text{expt}}$ at its respective equilibrium volume $V_{\kappa}(c_{\text{expt}})$.

The C concentrations are not independent, but are coupled due to the fact that the total number of C atoms during the partitioning must be conserved:

$$
c_{\kappa} v_{\kappa} + c_{\gamma} v_{\gamma} = c_{\text{expt}}.
$$

The C concentrations $c_{\kappa}$ and $c_{\gamma}$ are both defined with respect to the octahedral sublattice that corresponds to the body-centered positions in Fig. 7, i.e., one per four metal atoms. If the C concentration in this sublattice is 100 at. % (complete filling of this sublattice), then the C concentration per unit cell would be 20 at. % (the other 80 at. % are metal atoms). Since the experimentally determined C concentration per unit cell (averaged over $\kappa$ and $\gamma$) is only 9 at. %, the sublattice C concentration is $c_{\text{expt}} = 9/20 = 45$ at. %.

The possibility to occupy only one sublattice limits the number of configurations and has thus a strong impact on the configurational entropy. This is taken into account by including the number of available sublattices ($s_{\gamma} = 4$ and $s_{\kappa} = 1$). Therefore, the overall expression for the free energy of the individual phases $\sigma$ (i.e., $\kappa$ or $\gamma$) in Eq. (9) is

$$
F^{\sigma}(T, V, c_{\sigma}) = E_{\text{elas}}(V, c_{\sigma}) + E_{\text{chem}}(V_{\sigma}(c_{\sigma}), c_{\sigma}) + k_{B} T s_{\sigma}
\times \left[ \frac{c_{\sigma}}{s_{\sigma}} \ln \frac{c_{\sigma}}{s_{\sigma}} + \left( 1 - \frac{c_{\sigma}}{s_{\sigma}} \right) \ln \left( 1 - \frac{c_{\sigma}}{s_{\sigma}} \right) \right].
$$

The particle conservation (10) enables us to express $c_{\gamma}$ in terms of $c_{\kappa}$. Under these circumstances, Eq. (9) simplifies, i.e.,

$$
F^{\kappa\gamma}(T, V, c_{\kappa}, c_{\gamma}) = F^{\kappa}(T, V, c_{\kappa}),
$$

implying that we have to perform the minimization only over a single concentration $c_{\kappa}$. Before doing so, we consider the minimization with respect to the volume $V$ in order to obtain $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ for different concentrations $c_{\kappa}$. For this purpose, the Murnaghan equation of state is applied to the energy-volume curve for an integer number of C atoms in $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells. This procedure is performed for both phases separately. If several C configurations are possible, an averaging of the energies has been performed. Each C atom removed from the supercell of $\kappa$ determines a concentration $c_{\kappa}$ and a corresponding concentration $c_{\gamma}$ as given by Eq. (10).

Since $c_{\gamma}$ cannot be represented by a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell and since Vegards law is fulfilled, a linear interpolation is employed for each $V$ in order to determine $F^{\kappa\gamma}(T, V, c_{\kappa}, c_{\gamma})$. Subsequently, the equilibrium coherent volume $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ is obtained by the minimization of the total free energy [Eq. (9)] of the $\kappa$-$\gamma$ composite with respect to the volume $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$, which is common for both the phases. The results for $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ are again linearly interpolated.

The procedure is repeated for various volume fractions of the phases, which enter Eq. (10). Figure 8 shows the resulting $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ together with the unstrained equilibrium volumes of the individual phases. The plot indicates once again that the C concentration in the $\gamma$ matrix depends on the volume fraction $v_{\kappa}$ for a given concentration $c_{\kappa}$ due to Eq. (10). The lower the C concentration in $\kappa$ carbide, the more similar the lattice constants of the unstrained phases get.

Assuming coherency of the carbide in all three dimensions, the common volume per unit cell of the composite $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ will be closer to that of the $\kappa$ phase than of the $\gamma$ phase because the former is stiffer and has the larger bulk modulus. Nevertheless, the $\kappa$ carbide shows a significant adaptation of its lattice constant, too. The impact of the volume fraction on
$V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ is small and can be safely neglected. A larger volume fraction $v_\kappa$ (larger impact on $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$) is compensated by a higher C concentration (i.e., increasing $V_\gamma$). Further, $V_{\kappa+\gamma}$ shows hardly any concentration dependence since the effects of $V_\kappa$ and $V_\gamma$ cancel each other. Therefore, the value of $V_{\kappa+\gamma} \approx 49$ Å$^3$/unit cell can be safely used as a universal parameter of the system.

After the volume optimization, we now perform the energy minimization with respect to C concentration. In principle, there are two procedures possible and both are compared for a volume fraction $v_\kappa = 0.5$ and the annealing temperature of $T = 600$°C. First, one can introduce temperature-dependent chemical potentials for C [see Eq. (A11)], which we now treat as formally independent in both phases and which have both been plotted in Fig. 9(d) with a common x axis [$c_\kappa$ and $c_\gamma$ are coupled by Eq. (10)]. The thermodynamic equilibrium is then determined by the intersection point of these two lines. We note that exactly the same result is obtained, if the particle conservation (10) is used and the Helmholtz free energy is directly minimized.

The dependence of the free energies on $c_\kappa$ is shown in Figs. 9(b) and 9(c). Similarly to Fig. 8, DFT data points can only be provided for the individual phases, while an interpolation (polynomial fit) is used for the composite. The free energy $F_{\text{tot}}(T, V, c_\kappa)$ in Fig. 9(c) (solid line) illustrates that starting from the homogeneous distribution, the partitioning of C atoms yields first a gain in energy before it increases again when too many C atoms are transferred into the carbide. The minimum energy is achieved at an equilibrium sublattice C concentration in the $\kappa$ carbide of approximately 55 at. %.

The interplay of the different energy contributions in Eq. (11), which yield to this minimum are analyzed in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b). As indicated in Fig. 7, the elastic energy of the $\kappa$ carbide increases and the chemical energy decreases with partitioning, i.e., with increasing $c_\kappa$. However, both changes are mainly linear, which does not result in a minimum. Therefore, to make their curvature more apparent, we have plotted in Fig. 9(b) only the nonlinear (nl) contribution to the free energies, while the linear contribution (tie line) $c_\kappa F^{\text{lin}}(T, V, I) + (1 - c_\kappa) F^{\text{lin}}(T, V, 0)$ has been subtracted. The minimum that becomes now present is caused by defect-defect interactions and configurational entropy. These effects are apparently stronger in the $\gamma$ matrix than in the $\kappa$ carbide. While the nonlinearities cause the presence of a minimum, its actual position is largely determined by the slopes of the chemical and elastic energy contributions. If, for example, the strong increase of the elastic energy with partitioning were ignored, then the position of the equilibrium sublattice C concentration in the $\kappa$ carbide would be approximately 88 at. %, far above the experimental value.

As expected, the free-energy minimum coincides with the condition of equal chemical potentials in thermodynamic equilibrium, i.e., at the intersection point of the two chemical potentials. The steeper slope of $\mu_\gamma - \mu_\kappa$ as compared to $\mu_\gamma - \mu_\kappa^0$ indicates also in this case that defect-defect interactions and configurational entropy are more significant in the $\gamma$ matrix.

The free-energy calculations so far presented in this section use the assumption that the coherency constraint implies an isotropic change of the lattice constant of both phases (hydrostatic strain). For the regular microstructure shown in Fig. 2 with almost cubic $\kappa$ carbides, this seems to be a reasonable approximation. To quantify the impact of this assumption, we have also considered the other extreme case of a biaxial coherency strain for the $\kappa$ carbide caused by the two-dimensional interface. In this case, the volumes $V_\kappa$ represent the choice of the in-plane lattice constant, while a full relaxation in the third dimension is allowed. Apart from this, the procedure is identical to the case of hydrostatic strain: for a fixed number of C atoms in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell, we have first determined the energy of the $\kappa$ phase for different in-plane
lattice constants. Subsequently, we combine the information with the hydrostatic energies of the \(\gamma\) phase for the same lattice constants in order to obtain the total energy of the \(\kappa\)-\(\gamma\) composite [Eq. (9)]. The minimization of this total energy with respect to the in-plane lattice constant determines the coherent in-plane lattice constant of the \(\kappa\)-\(\gamma\) composite.

The change in the elastic energy of the \(\kappa\) carbide as compared to the case of hydrostatic strain is shown in Fig. 9(a) (blue dashed line). Since the chemical part remains unaffected, only one of the cases is shown (red dotted line). Although there are quantitative modifications, the overall shape has not been changed of the elastic contribution. Accordingly, the free energy in Fig. 9(c) has also the same behavior, but the position of the minimum is noticeably shifted. We should note a difference between the red solid and the blue dashed lines in Figs. 9(a) and 9(c): in the former case an average of the energies of an ordered \(\kappa\) carbide volume fraction. However, the \(\kappa\) carbide is not only defined by its \(\kappa\) content, but also by the presence of various C distributions in the supercell has been performed. In the latter case, however, only those configurations with the lowest energy have been selected. The red dashed line, i.e., the elastic energies corresponding to the lowest-energy configurations for hydrostatic strain, proves that the resulting energy differences are small.

The volume fraction \(v_\kappa\) used in our calculations cannot be rigorously determined by experiment since only a part of the microstructure shows the employed coherency conditions. Furthermore, it is experimentally known to depend on the aging times. To evaluate the relevance of this choice, we have therefore determined the dependence of the equilibrium C concentration in \(\kappa\) carbide on \(v_\kappa\). The volume fraction enters the free-energy expression (9) and the particle conservation (10). The minimization of the resulting free energies is shown in Fig. 10. We learn that the C concentration in \(\kappa\) carbide increases when decreasing the volume fraction of \(\kappa\), however, it never becomes equal to the stoichiometric concentration of 20 at. % per unit cell. This can be explained by an increase of the elastic strain in the \(\kappa\) carbide with the increase in the volume fraction of the surrounding \(\gamma\) matrix. The driving force for C to leave \(\kappa\) carbide becomes even stronger at finite temperatures, e.g., the experimental annealing temperature of 600 °C (873 K), shown in Fig. 10. The C concentration in \(\kappa\) carbide (\(\gamma\) matrix) over a range of volume fractions systematically decreases (increases) with increase in temperature. If we just assume a volume fraction of \(\kappa\) carbide between 35 vol. % and 50 vol. %, i.e., if we average the C content in \(\kappa\) carbide, we obtain a value of approx. 12 at. % per unit cell, which is in reasonable agreement with the concentration predicted by APT (~14 at. % per unit cell).

Our theoretical approach explains the incomplete partitioning of C atoms observed in microstructures containing \(\kappa\) carbide and an austenitic matrix as an effect of the elastic coherency strain caused by a completely filled \(\kappa\) carbide. In addition, these findings explain the discrepancy in C concentrations of GI and GB \(\kappa\) carbides observed in experiments. The total free energy of the GI \(\kappa\) carbide and the \(\gamma\) matrix composite as described in Eq. (9) has three major energy contributions. While the chemical part favors the partitioning of C, the elastic energy and configurational entropy act against such an ordering. In contrast to the coherent GI \(\kappa\) carbides, for which the elastic strain energy becomes particularly high, the GB \(\kappa\) carbides are incoherent and hence the elastic energy contribution is substantially smaller. Therefore, the configurational entropy is in this case the only driving force for a homogeneous distribution of C and the chemical contribution will stabilize \(\kappa\) carbides for a large temperature range. In other words, a minimization of the total energy of a composite formed by GB \(\kappa\) carbide and the \(\gamma\) matrix (with no elastic energy contribution) will yield a higher C concentration in the \(\kappa\) carbide than in the GI \(\kappa\) carbides.

A complete theory should also provide the thermodynamic limit for the \(\kappa\) carbide volume fraction. However, the \(\kappa\) carbide is not only defined by its C content, but also by the presence of an ordered \(\text{LI}_2\) Al superstructure. The impact of Al is not captured in our limiting case, in which the \(\gamma\) matrix is treated as pure Fe. In this approach, not the C partitioning, but the amount of Al defines the volume fraction of \(\kappa\) carbide and any removal of Al would not be chemically balanced.

We have indicated above that another limiting case would be to treat the \(\gamma\) matrix also as an \(\text{LI}_2\) phase with a FeAl composition. In this case, the \(\kappa\) carbide and the \(\gamma\) matrix would not be distinguishable phases anymore, but would only differ in the C content. Instead of considering \(F^{\text{tot}}\) in Fig. 9(c), the consideration of \(F^c\) only would in this limit be sufficient. Assuming this scenario, we find the mixing energy of this phase to be negative [see Fig. 9(b)], hence, the phase separation into regions with low- and high-C concentrations is energetically unfavorable (endothermic). A thermodynamically consistent determination of the \(\kappa\) carbide volume fraction therefore requires the complete consideration of the chemistry and the ordering in the \(\kappa\) carbide and the \(\gamma\) matrix, which is beyond the feasibility of this paper.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have investigated chemical configurations in their different sublattices of \(\kappa\) carbides employing combined DFT and APT. Our research on the metal sublattices was motivated by the fact that disorder effects are inevitable in high-temperature applications of \(\kappa\) carbides, e.g., gas turbine
blades. Regarding magnetism, our calculations show an ordered ferromagnetic phase to form the ground state for these carbides. Since the computed Curie temperature of ~60 K is well below the room temperature, the formation of the ordered phase is kinetically forbidden. The computed small energy difference between chemically ordered and disordered phases yields a low order-to-disorder transition temperature of ~75 K for the Fe-Mn sublattice. Since at temperatures below the order-disorder temperature substitutional diffusion of the Mn atoms is negligible, the formation of the ordered phase is kinetically forbidden.

Regarding the C sublattice, APT found deviation from expected stoichiometric $L'_2$ perovskite composition. Motivated by our experimental observation, the off-stoichiometric $\kappa$ carbides have been studied via DFT. It turned out that not only the depletion of the C content in the carbide, but in particular its incorporation in the $\gamma$ matrix is decisive for this process. The latter has been treated without Al since an ordered Al sublattice would not lead to a phase separation between $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ and a completely disordered arrangement would go beyond the scope of this work. Under such circumstances, carbon depletion in $\kappa$ carbides is predicted to occur especially when the $\kappa$ carbide is under volumetric strain imposed by the surrounding matrix. Thus, the minimization of the elastic coherency strains is found to be an important mechanism for the off-stoichiometry in $\kappa$ carbides, which is manifested by the lower C concentration in coherently stressed grain interior $\kappa$ carbides than the incoherent grain-boundary $\kappa$ carbides.
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APPENDIX

1. Determination of chemical potentials in the matrix material

We determine the chemical potential of the $\gamma$ matrix via DFT total-energy calculations for a supercell $E^{\text{SC}}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z]$ that is constructed such that it closely matches the experimental composition of our material. More precisely, we employ a chemically disordered $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell (SC) created with the special quasirandom structure (SQS) scheme with $x$, $y$, and $z$ being the total number of Fe, Mn, and Al atoms (ignoring the impact of C). The cell is fully relaxed. We choose antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering, which is found to be the magnetic ground state for the chemically disordered structures, instead of the PM state, which is more realistic at finite temperatures.

The energy of the supercell is used to define corresponding chemical potentials

$$E^{\text{SC}}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z] = x \mu_{\text{Fe}} + y \mu_{\text{Mn}} + z \mu_{\text{Al}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A1)

In this context, it is important to note that the absolute value of the supercell calculation in (A1) depends on the employed pseudopotential in the ab initio calculations and has thus no direct physical meaning. Consequently, this also applies to the derived $\mu_x$ values. Therefore, whenever we want to provide absolute values for chemical potentials, we do this with respect to a suitably chosen reference point $\mu_x^0$. The latter is in our work given by the thermodynamically most stable bulk phase of the elementary compound, i.e., we consider the formation energy of Fe$_x$Mn$_y$Al$_z$ from the pure elements instead of the absolute energy (A1). We use AFM fcc Fe, AFM fcc Mn, and NM fcc Al for this purpose, although the actual choice does not change any results in the paper.

We further note that the use of a DFT energy $E^{\text{SC}}$ in Eq. (A1) corresponds to the limit $T = 0$ K. The extension to finite temperatures is in this work limited to the configurational entropy

$$F^{\gamma}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z](T) = E^{\text{SC}}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z] + k_B T \left( x \ln \frac{x}{s} + y \ln \frac{y}{s} + z \ln \frac{z}{s} \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (A2)

with $s = x + y + z$ being the total number of atoms in the supercell ($s = 32$ for our SC). This yields the equation

$$F^{\gamma}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z](T) = x \mu_{\text{Fe}}(T) + y \mu_{\text{Mn}}(T) + z \mu_{\text{Al}}(T).$$ \hspace{1cm} (A3)

We can now determine the values of the chemical potentials by DFT supercell calculations with modified composition. For example, the chemical potential of C in the matrix is determined from the following equation:

$$F^{\gamma}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z\text{C}] = F^{\gamma}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z] + \mu_{\text{C}}(T),$$ \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where $E^{\text{SC}}[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_z\text{C}]$ is the total energy obtained by full relaxation (i.e., atomic positions and supercell shape and size). The notion of adding a single C atom into an otherwise C free supercell corresponds to the dilute limit (concentration 1/33 in our SC).

The situation is slightly different for the metal atoms. Here, one has to avoid point defect creation (vacancies, interstitials)
since their formation energy enters the energy balance. This is achieved by replacing one atom type by another. If, for example, one Fe atom in the SC is substituted by a Mn or an Al atom, one gets

\[ F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_{x-1}\text{Mn}_x\text{Al}_1] = F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_1] - \mu_{\text{Fe}}(T) + \mu_{\text{Mn}}(T), \]

(A5)

\[ F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_{x-1}\text{Mn}_x\text{Al}_1] = F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_1] - \mu_{\text{Fe}}(T) + \mu_{\text{Al}}(T). \]

(A6)

We note in passing that the above equations are strictly accurate only in the thermodynamic limit, i.e., for infinitely large supercells. For finite supercells, discretization errors are unavoidable and could be reduced by replacing the first-order differences in Eqs. (A5) and (A6) by higher-order ones. For example, going to second order yields

\[ \frac{F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_{x-1}\text{Mn}_x\text{Al}_1] - F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_1]}{2} = \mu_{\text{Mn}}(T) - \mu_{\text{Fe}}(T), \]

(A7)

\[ \frac{F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_{x-1}\text{Mn}_x\text{Al}_1] - F^\gamma[\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Al}_1]}{2} = \mu_{\text{Al}}(T) - \mu_{\text{Fe}}(T). \]

(A8)

The price one has to pay for the higher accuracy is that for each set of equations, twice as many DFT calculations have to be performed. Since the second-order contribution was found to be small for the present system and cell size, we used throughout this study the first-order scheme only.

In order to obtain the chemical potentials for the composition Fe_{18}Mn_{9}Al_{5}, DFT calculations for the SQS cell Fe_{18}Mn_{9}Al_{5}, and the SCs with modified stoichiometries Fe_{18}Mn_{9}Al_{5}, Fe_{17}Mn_{10}Al_{5}, and Fe_{17}Mn_{9}Al_{6} have been considered in Eqs. (A3)–(A6), respectively. To be more precise, the energy of the defect structures has been obtained after averaging over the energies of the various configurations. The corresponding pseudopotential-dependent chemical potentials obtained are \( \mu_{\text{Fe}}(600 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = -8.289 \) eV, \( \mu_{\text{Mn}}(600 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = -9.138 \) eV, \( \mu_{\text{Al}}(600 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = -4.274 \) eV, and \( \mu_{\text{C}}(600 \, ^\circ\text{C}) = -9.631 \) eV, while the temperature-independent reference potentials are \( \mu_{\text{Fe}}^0 = -8.208 \) eV, \( \mu_{\text{Mn}}^0 = -8.995 \) eV, \( \mu_{\text{Al}}^0 = -3.743 \) eV, and \( \mu_{\text{C}}^0 = -9.089 \) eV.

The above approach is applied throughout the first part of the paper, where we considered the \( \gamma \) matrix as a reservoir for the formation of \( \kappa \) carbides and vacancies therein. For the second part, where we consider the C partitioning between the two phases (i.e., \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma \)), the C chemical potential is treated in a constrained paraequilibrium approach allowing to limit the computational effort.
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