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Synopsis  Modern neutron Laue diffraction has been used to determine the structure of the primary amino acid L-leucine.

Abstract  The structure of the primary amino acid L-leucine has been determined for the first time by neutron diffraction. This was made possible by the use of modern neutron Laue diffraction to overcome the previously prohibitive effects of crystal size or quality. The packing of the structure into hydrophobic and hydrophilic layers is explained by the intermolecular interaction energies calculated using the PIXEL method. Variable-temperature data collections confirmed the absence of phase transitions between 120 and 300 K in the single-crystal form.
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1. Introduction

The advantages of neutron diffraction for providing accurate geometric parameters for amino acids and other molecular materials are well known, and include the strong and contrasting scattering lengths of hydrogen and deuterium, and the absence of form-factor fall off with scattering angle (Niimura & Bau, 2008, McIntyre, 2014, Görbitz, 2015). Accurate geometric parameters for hydrogen atoms are especially important as structures derived from X-ray studies suffer from severe systematic errors as a result of aspherical electron density distributions about covalently-bonded hydrogen.

Overall, a total of 16 of the 20 naturally-occurring amino acids have been subject to structure determination by neutron diffraction. In the early 1970s Hamilton and colleagues at Brookhaven National Laboratory refined the structures, including the all-important hydrogen atoms, of 13 of the
20 naturally-occurring amino acids in an ambitious series of single-crystal neutron diffraction studies (see references below). Three further structures came from experiments at the Indian Atomic Energy Laboratory, Trombay, to create a library of accurate and complete structures. The derived X-H restraints need to be shortened for X-ray protein refinements due to the displacement of the single electron on the H atom, but the neutron library gives considerably more accurate bond distances than an X-ray-based library. Of course, the derived X-H restraints apply without adjustment to refinement of protein structures based on neutron data, which is growing in importance thanks to experimental improvements of the type described below (Munshi et al., 2012).

To date, a total of 16 of the 20 common amino acids have been the subject to structure determination by neutron diffraction. Of the amino acids with electrically charged side-chains structures have been obtained for L-arginine (Lehmann et al., 1973), L-histidine (Lehmann et al., 1972a), L-lysine (Koetzle et al., 1972), and L-glutamic acid (Lehmann et al., 1972b, Lehmann & Nunes, 1980) in the enantiopure form, aspartic acid was determined in the racemic DL-aspartic acid form (Sequeira et al., 1989). Of the polar uncharged amino acids neutron-diffraction-derived structures have been determined for L-serine (Frey, Lehmann, et al., 1973), L-threonine (Ramanadham et al., 1973b), L-asparagine (Verbist et al., 1972, Ramanadham et al., 1972, Weisinger-Lewin et al., 1989), and L-glutamine (Koetzle et al., 1973). Of the hydrophobic-side-chain amino acids, structures have been determined by neutron diffraction for L-alanine (Lehmann et al., 1972c, Wilson et al., 2005), L-valine (Koetzle et al., 1974), L-phenylalanine (Al-Karaghouli & Koetzle, 1975), L-tyrosine (Frey, Koetzle, et al., 1973), and L-tryptophan (Andrews et al., 1974). Of the remaining amino acids structures have been determined for L-cysteine (Ramanadham et al., 1973a), and L-glycine in both α (Jonsson & Kkivck, 1972) and γ polymorphs (Kvick et al., 1980).

Amino acid structural parameters derived from neutron diffraction have found extensive application as constraints and restraints in macromolecular refinements, and many of the entries above are still the preferred standards today and remain heavily cited in the literature. These publications have a mean number of citations of 83 overall, and 20 in the last five years. For example, neutron structures are used for restraints applied to C, N and O positions in the program suite PROTIN/PROLSQ (Konnert & Hendrickson, 1980), and are particularly valuable in when applied to joint refinement of X-ray and neutron data (Wlodawer & Hendrickson, 1982). They are also used for validation of refined protein structures. Hydrogen constitutes ca. 50% of the atoms in macromolecules (Myles, 2006), and it is essential to include it in refinement models. Although the derived X-H restraints need to be shortened for X-ray protein refinements, the neutron library gives considerably more accurate bond directionality than an X-ray-based library (Konnert, 1976, Wlodawer & Hendrickson, 1982, Hendrickson & Konnert, 1981, Teeter & Kossiakoff, 1983, Niimura et al., 1997).
The neutron structure of L-leucine has not been reported. The Brookhaven and Trombay studies required single crystals with volumes of 10 mm$^3$ or more, and for the three amino acids, L-leucine, L-isoleucine, and L-methionine, only crystals of volumes suitable for X-ray diffraction could be grown. L-leucine proved to be particularly troublesome, but methods for growing crystals of volumes of 0.1 mm$^3$ are now known (Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b). The use of Laue (white beam) diffraction coupled with advances in neutron image-plate technology has increased the range of applicability of neutron crystallography (Cole et al., 2001, McIntyre et al., 2006), and the LADI and VIVALDI instruments at the ILL pioneered the application of this technique to macromolecular and small-molecule crystallography, respectively (Cipriani et al., 1996, Wilkinson et al., 2002). The technique is eminently suitable for crystals with volumes of 0.1 mm$^3$ (McIntyre et al., 2006, Aznavour et al., 2008, Edwards, 2011). Here we report the neutron-diffraction-derived structures of L-leucine at 120 K and room temperature as determined using the KOALA Laue diffractometer at ANSTO.

The structure of L-leucine was first determined by Harding & Howieson (1976) with subsequent redeterminations by Coll et al. (1986) and most recently Görbitz & Dalhus (1996b) as part of a series of redeterminations of amino-acid structures. When studied using single-crystal methods, the room-temperature phase of L-leucine persists to 120 K; the structure at this temperature is monoclinic, $P_2_1$, $a = 9.562(2)$, $b = 5.301(1)$, $c = 14.519(3)$ Å, $\beta = 94.20(2)^\circ$ (Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b).

By contrast, when studied by power diffraction L-leucine has been reported to undergo three phase transitions at 150, 275, and 353 K (Façanha Filho et al., 2011). A combination of calorimetric and X-ray powder diffraction data was used to identify two transitions at $T_1 = 150$ K and $T_2 = 275$ K. Additional peaks in the X-ray powder diffraction data were taken as an indication of a doubled $a$ unit-cell length, however a limited $2\theta$ range precluded a Rietveld analysis (Rietveld, 1969, Façanha Filho et al., 2011). The unit-cell dimensions derived from Le Bail fitting (Le Bail et al., 1988) exhibit two sharp discontinuities in the $\beta$ angle. Analysis of the systematic absences was ambiguous, but the requirement for enantiopurity implied either $P_2$ or $P_2_1$. As noted by Façanha Filho et al. (2011), there is a need for additional high-resolution diffraction data to characterise the transitions fully.

2. Crystallisation, data collection and refinement

A single colourless plate of 2 x 0.5 x 0.2 mm$^3$ of L-leucine (Aldrich) was grown from a warm (ca. 323 K) concentrated aqueous solution which was allowed to cool to room temperature (Harding & Howieson, 1976, Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b).

Neutron diffraction data were collected on the KOALA quasi-Laue diffractometer, ANSTO at 120 and 300 K. Data were collected in two orientations of the crystal relative to the single (vertical) rotation axis of the instrument in order to optimise completeness. The exposure time was two hours per pattern at both temperatures.
At 120 K, nine Laue patterns were collected in each crystal setting giving a total of 18 patterns. Patterns were related by a 20° rotation about the vertical axis in the range −90° < φ < 90°.

At 300 K, 11 patterns were collected in one setting in a φ range of −90° < φ < 90° and −80° < φ < 40° with Δφ = 20°, a further 17 patterns were collected in the second setting over a φ range of −90° < φ < 90° with Δφ = 10°, giving a total of 28 patterns.

Short collections (10 minutes each) were also carried out during the heating and cooling process to check for signs of additional Bragg peaks that would be diagnostic of phase transitions. Cooling the sample crystal to 120 K led to the appearance of splitting in the Laue spots. The degree of splitting was not uniform over the detector surface indicating that the splitting arises from a macroscopic movement of layers making up the crystal, rather than changes in atomic structure. Furthermore, subsequent heating of the sample back to room temperature led to no significant changes in the shape of the Laue diffraction spots. Structure factors could nevertheless be extracted from these patterns, although the overall quality of the data at 120 K is a little lower than for those at room temperature.

The Laue diffraction patterns were indexed and processed using the program LaueG (Piltz, 2011). Reflection intensities were integrated with a modified two-dimensional version of the algorithm formulated by Wilkinson et al. (1988) and Prince et al. (1997). Resolution limits were determined based on the shortest d-spacing at which 5% of reflections had I/σ(I) > 5. The data were empirically normalised to a single common incident wavelength using the program Laue4 (Piltz, 2011), by comparison of repeat observations and equivalent reflections with wavelengths within the range λ = 0.80-1.7 Å; reflections outside this range were too weak or had too few repeat measurements or equivalents to be able to determine the normalisation curve with confidence. Absorption or extinction corrections were deemed unnecessary on account of the small sample size.

Refinement of the crystal structures was carried out against |F^2| with the SHELXL refinement package (Sheldrick, 2015) using least-squares minimisation with initial atomic coordinates provided by (Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b). As a result of the polychromatic incident beam, Laue diffraction is not capable of determining absolute values of the unit-cell dimensions using the observed reflection coordinates, only ratios of a:b:c. Unit-cell dimensions for the room temperature and 120 K data-sets were therefore taken from corresponding X-ray diffraction studies (Coll et al., 1986, Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b).

Figure 1 shows the refined wavelength spectra for data collected at 300 K and 120 K, and the nominal instrument spectrum. The shifts in wavelength distribution are negligible; −0.7% for 120 K and +0.3% for 300 K, implying that the unit-cell dimensions provided by the X-ray data of Görbitz & Dalhus (1996b) and Coll et al. (1986) match our data.
**Figure 1** Refined and normalised instrument wavelength spectra for Laue data collected at 120 K and 300 K, the nominal spectrum is included for comparison.
### Table 1  Crystal data and details of the structure determination of L-leucine at 300 and 120 K.

|                       | 300 K                          | 120 K                          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Crystal data**      |                                |                                |
| Chemical formula      | $C_6H_{13}NO_2$                | $C_6H_{13}NO_2$                |
| $M_r$                 | 131.17                         | 131.17                         |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P_2_1$          | Monoclinic, $P_2_1$          |
| Temperature (K)       | 300                            | 120                            |
| $a$, $b$, $c$ (Å)     | $9.606$ (3), 5.324 (7), $14.666$ (2)$^1$ | $9.562$ (2), 5.301 (1), $14.519$ (3)$^2$ |
| $\beta$ (°)          | 94.06 (3)$^1$                  | 94.20 (2)$^2$                  |
| $V$ (Å$^3$)           | 748.2 (10)$^1$                 | 734.0 (3)$^2$                  |
| $Z$                   | 4                              | 4                              |
| Radiation type        | Neutron, $\lambda = 0.80$-1.7 Å | Neutron, $\lambda = 0.80$-1.7 Å |
| Crystal size (mm$^3$) | $2 \times 0.5 \times 0.2$      | $2 \times 0.5 \times 0.2$      |

| **Data collection**   |                                |                                |
| Diffractometer        | KOALA, ANSTO                   | KOALA, ANSTO                   |
| Absorption correction | –                              | –                              |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections | 24819, 1648, 1367               | 21729, 2430, 1955               |
| $R_{int}$             | 0.112                          | 0.156                          |
| $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å$^{-1}$) | 1.185                          | 1.184                          |

| **Refinement**        |                                |                                |
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $wR(F^2)$, $S$ | 0.058, 0.134, 1.10             | 0.079, 0.171, 1.14             |
| No. of reflections    | 1647                           | 2429                           |
| No. of parameters     | 397                            | 397                            |
| No. of restraints     | 361                            | 361                            |
| H-atom treatment      | All H-atom parameters refined  | All H-atom parameters refined  |
| $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0353P)^2 + 6.3211P]$ | where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ | where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (fm Å$^{-3}$) | 0.55, -0.63                    | 1.29, -1.40                    |
| Absolute structure    | Absolute structure known from synthesis | Absolute structure known from synthesis |

Values in italics are derived from X-ray diffraction measurements.

1(Coll et al., 1986)
2(Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b)

Molecular geometries were analysed using PLATON (Spek, 2009). Intermolecular interaction energies were calculated using the PIXEL method (Gavezzotti, 2005, 2011). Electron densities were...
calculated using Gaussian09 at the MP2 level of theory with the 6-31G** basis set (Frisch et al., 2009). PIXEL calculations were accomplished with the PixelC module of the CLP package which allows the calculation of dimer and lattice energies. Hirshfeld surfaces, which enable graphical comparison of molecular interactions for similar configurations, were calculated using CrystalExplorer 3.1 (Wolff et al., 2012). The Hirshfeld surface for a given molecule in a given crystal is an isosurface calculated from the ratio of the molecular electron density (the promolecule) over the electron density given by the sum of atoms in the crystal (the procystal) (Turner et al., 2015). Electrostatic potentials were mapped onto these surfaces over the range $-0.173$ to $+0.286$ au.

3. Structure of L-leucine

3.1. Structure at 120 K

At 120 K the structure of L-leucine was modelled using the unit-cell dimensions determined by (Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996b), $P2_1$, $a = 9.562(2)$, $b = 5.301(1)$, $c = 14.519(3)$ Å, $\beta = 94.20(2)^\circ$. Integration was carried out to a resolution of 0.65 Å. Normalisation, including recovery of second-order harmonic reflections, was carried out using a wavelength range of 0.8-1.7 Å giving a $R_{\text{merge}}$ of 15.5% for all 21729 reflections. Completeness was 79.3% giving a redundancy of 6.1. The harmonic overlap of reflections results in a maximum possible completeness of 83.3% for Laue diffraction (Cruickshank et al., 1987). Final $R_1$ was 0.0790 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) and $wR_2$ was 0.1713 (all data).

The asymmetric unit consists of two L-leucine molecules as shown below in Figure 2 which will be referred to as A[for C1-6] and B[for C7-12] after Görbitz & Dalhus (1996b). Bond lengths at 120 and 300 K are given in Table 2.
Figure 2  (a) Asymmetric unit for L-leucine at 120 K. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability; (b) Asymmetric unit for L-leucine at 300 K. The two hydrogen bonds within the asymmetric unit are shown as dashed lines.
Table 2  Selected bond lengths in L-leucine at 120 and 300 K.

| Bond | 120 K  | 300 K  | Bond | 120 K  | 300 K  |
|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| O1-C1 | 1.257(5) | 1.256(5) | C6-H11 | 1.115(14) | 1.05(2) |
| O2-C1 | 1.244(6) | 1.243(7) | C6-H12 | 1.081(14) | 1.03(2) |
| N1-C2 | 1.493(4) | 1.489(4) | C6-H13 | 1.076(16) | 1.02(2) |
| C1-C2 | 1.534(4) | 1.536(4) | N2-H17 | 1.033(8) | 1.033(10) |
| N1-H4 | 1.046(8) | 1.046(8) | N2-H15 | 1.041(9) | 1.031(10) |
| N1-H2 | 1.021(9) | 1.019(9) | N2-H16 | 1.065(8) | 1.045(9) |
| N1-H3 | 1.073(7) | 1.061(8) | C7-C8 | 1.535(4) | 1.527(5) |
| C2-C3 | 1.541(4) | 1.532(5) | C8-C9 | 1.531(5) | 1.523(6) |
| C3-C4 | 1.527(5) | 1.527(7) | C9-C10 | 1.535(7) | 1.525(9) |
| O3-C7 | 1.264(5) | 1.254(5) | C10-C11 | 1.523(7) | 1.535(12) |
| C4-C6 | 1.529(6) | 1.559(9) | C10-C12 | 1.519(7) | 1.522(11) |
| C4-C5 | 1.526(6) | 1.519(9) | C8-H14 | 1.097(9) | 1.081(10) |
| O4-C7 | 1.257(6) | 1.244(7) | C9-H18 | 1.083(12) | 1.076(15) |
| N2-C8 | 1.490(4) | 1.503(5) | C9-H19 | 1.111(11) | 1.108(15) |
| C2-H1 | 1.099(9) | 1.094(9) | C10-H20 | 1.075(15) | 1.06(2) |
| C3-H5 | 1.101(10) | 1.080(12) | C11-H24 | 1.077(15) | 1.06(2) |
| C3-H6 | 1.107(10) | 1.082(11) | C11-H25 | 1.075(16) | 1.03(3) |
| C4-H7 | 1.108(11) | 1.060(15) | C11-H26 | 1.078(16) | 0.98(2) |
| C5-H10 | 1.097(13) | 1.05(2) | C12-H21 | 1.12(2) | 1.07(3) |
| C5-H8 | 1.083(12) | 1.040(17) | C12-H22 | 1.08(2) | 1.02(4) |
| C5-H9 | 1.078(12) | 1.01(2) | C12-H23 | 1.11(2) | 1.10(3) |

The molecules interact through hydrogen bonds to form layers with isobutyl chains on the upper and lower faces. The layers are of the ‘L2’ type identified by Görbitz, which ab initio calculations suggest contain the most stable interactions (Görbitz, 2015). The layers stack along the [001] direction so that the crystal packing is characterised by hydrophobic and hydrophilic zones alternating along the c axis, similar to the arrangement of other hydrophobic amino acids, L-valine, L-methionine, and L-isoleucine (Figure 3) (Dalhus & Görbitz, 1996, Görbitz & Dalhus, 1996a, Görbitz, 2015). The energy framework based on the results of PIXEL calculations shown in Figure 4(a) demonstrates quantitatively the distribution of strong and weak contacts (Turner et al., 2015); the same picture emerges from an energy vector analysis using the ProcessPIXEL procedure (Bond, 2014). Thick red and green lines linking molecular centroids correspond respectively to H-bonding contacts of −146 and ca. −100 kJmol\(^{-1}\) which form the layers; other H-bonding interactions are also present but these all support the two strong contacts depicted in Figure 4(a). The thin blue lines in Figure 4(a) represent contacts of only about −4 kJmol\(^{-1}\) which link the layers together.
Figure 3 Crystal packing is divided into hydrophilic and hydrophobic layers. Atoms in the asymmetric unit involved in hydrogen bonding are labelled.

A detailed analysis of the intermolecular interaction energies obtained from the PIXEL calculations is presented in Tables 3 and 4. H-bond energies fall between −146 and −46 kJ mol⁻¹, the strongest being formed between molecules A and B, henceforth denoted leu-A and leu-B. Each L-leucine molecule forms eight hydrogen bonds. Leu-A forms three donor contacts through the N1 ammonium group via N1−H4…O3 N1−H3…O3²[1 − x, y + 1/2, 1 − z] and N1−H2…O2¹[x, y + 1, z]. The ammonium group of leu-B forms four donor contacts through the N2 amine group: N2−H16…O1 and N2−H15…O4¹ with N2-H17 forming a bifurcated contact with O1² and O2². The differences in intermolecular energies are determined not by the lengths of the H-bonds but by the relative juxtaposition of and amount of overlap between positively and negatively charged regions of the molecules, illustrated for the strongest and weakest H-bonding interactions in Figure 4(b) and (c).

There is one interaction which is also formed between molecules leu-A and leu-B which, although it is highly stabilising (−60.3 kJmol⁻¹), is characterised by quite long interatomic distances, e.g. N1-H4…O4 measuring 2.710(9) Å. It is distinguished from the more conventional H-bonds by the overwhelming dominance of the electrostatic component, with only a very small contribution from dispersion, and it is best described as an ionic ammonium-carboxylate interaction. As has been noted in the structures of other amino acids, a number of destabilising contacts are also present (Gavezzotti,
2002, Volkov & Coppens, 2004, Funnell et al., 2010, Dunitz & Gavezzotti, 2012, Moggach et al., 2015). These arise because the strong H-bonds described above position pairs of ammonium groups in relatively close proximity (N…N ~4 Å). Such interactions, which have been described as ‘electrostatically compressed’, are a feature of ionic and zwitterionic structures (Braga et al., 2002, Dunitz et al., 2013, Moggach et al., 2015). A number of contacts which might have been considered to be stabilising on the basis of short CH…O distances also turn out to be destabilising when the total, whole-molecule interaction, is considered.

The PIXEL calculations show that the interactions between layers individually amount to ca. −4 kJmol⁻¹. The methyl groups based on C6 and C12 are each positioned in close proximity to three methyl groups in the layers above and below. H…H distances lie between 2.3 and 2.7 Å, but the energy breakdown shows that these are really best considered as whole-molecule dispersion interactions.
Table 3  PIXELE component energies for leu-A in L-leucine at 120 K. Energies are in kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

| Distance / Å | \(E_{\text{coul}}\) | \(E_{\text{Pol}}\) | \(E_{\text{Disp}}\) | \(E_{\text{Rep}}\) | \(E_{\text{Tot}}\) | Symmetry | Notable Contacts | Contact Distance / Å | Contact Angle / ° | Comment |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Hydrophilic layer: interactions with leu-A as the central atom |
| 7.328        | -156.2         | -76.0          | -28.8          | 115.8          | -145.2         | AB[x, y, z] | N1-H4...O3, O1..H16-N2 | 1.852(9)           | 151.0(7)          | [Bifurcation: O1..N2-H17 2.208(11) 132.1(8)] |
| 5.996        | -109.6         | -32.0          | -17.6          | 45.8           | -113.5         | AB[x-y-0.5, -z+1] | N1-H3...O3 | 1.690(9)           | 173.3(8)          |         |
| 5.030        | -128.4         | -49.5          | -29.1          | 101.0          | -106.0         | AB[x-y+0.5, -z+1] | N1-H4...O4, O2...H2-N1 | 2.710(9)           | 116.60(6)         | NH\(2\)COO electrostatic |
| 8.543        | -51.0          | -6.9           | -4.3           | 1.9            | -60.3          | AB[x, y+1, z] | N1-H4...O4, O2...H2-N1 | 1.886(9)           | 170.0(7)          |         |
| 5.301        | -39.4          | -28.3          | -25.2          | 46.4           | -46.5          | AA[x, y-1, z] | N1-H2...O2, N1...N1 | 1.886(9)           | 170.0(7)          |         |
| 5.301        | -39.4          | -28.3          | -25.2          | 46.4           | -46.5          | AA[x, y+1, z] | N1-H2...O2, N1...N1 | 4.099(3)           |         | NH\(3\)-NH\(3\) Repulsion diagonally between red struts NH\(3\)-NH\(3\) Repulsion diagonally between red struts Repulsive pseudo translation Note overall repulsion |
| 7.583        | 31.1           | -6.6           | -5.3           | 0.8            | 20.1           | AA[x-y+0.5, -z+1] | N1...N1 | 4.099(3)           |         |         |
| 5.842        | 17.5           | -6.2           | -14.1          | 11.0           | 8.1            | AB[x-y+0.5, -z+1] | C2-H1...O3 | 3.083(9)           | 167.2(6)          |         |
| 5.209        | 10.5           | -15.4          | -23.1          | 31.5           | 3.6            | AA[x-y+0.5, -z+1] | O1..H14-C8 | 2.175(9)           | 167.2(6)          |         |

Hydrophobic layer: interactions with leu-A as the central atom

| Distance / Å | \(E_{\text{coul}}\) | \(E_{\text{Pol}}\) | \(E_{\text{Disp}}\) | \(E_{\text{Rep}}\) | \(E_{\text{Tot}}\) | Symmetry | Notable Contacts | Contact Distance / Å | Contact Angle / ° | Comment |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 8.331        | -1.2           | -0.8           | -6.3           | 4.5            | -3.8           | AA[x-y+0.5, -z+2] | C6-H11...H12 | 2.318(18)           | 161.6(12)         | These three molecules form a triangular array above the methyl group based on C6 |
| 8.331        | -1.2           | -0.8           | -6.3           | 4.5            | -3.8           | AA[x-y+0.5, -z+2] | C6-H12...H11 | 2.318(18)           | 128.8(10)         |         |
| 8.327        | -1.3           | -0.5           | -4.6           | 2.8            | -3.7           | AA[x, y, -z+1] | C6-H11...H22 | 2.49(2)            | 124.7(12)         |         |
Table 4  PIXEL component energies for leu-B in L-leucine at 120 K. Energies are in kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

| Distance / Å | \(E_{\text{Coul}}\) | \(E_{\text{Pol}}\) | \(E_{\text{Disp}}\) | \(E_{\text{Rep}}\) | Symmetry | Notable Contacts | Contact Distance / Å | Contact Angle / ° | Comment |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| Hydrophilic layer: interactions with leu-B as the central atom |
| 7.328       | -              | -              | 115.8          | 145.2          | BA[x, y, z] | N2-H16...O1     | 1.690(9)        | 173.3(8)      |          |
| 5.996       | -              | -              | 45.8           | -              | BA[x, y+0.5, -z+1] | O3...H4-N1     | 1.852(9)        | 151.0(7)      | [Bifurcation: N2-H17...O1 2.208(11) 132.1(8)] |
| 5.030       | 128.4          | 49.5           | 106.0          | 60.3           | BA[x, y+1, z] | O3...H3-N1     | 1.673(8)        | 178.2(6)      |          |
| 8.543       | 51.0           | 6.9            | 101.0          | 60.3           | BA[x, y+1, z] | O4...H4-N1     | 2.710(9)        | 116.6(6)      | NH\(_2\)-COO electrostatic |
| 5.301       | 38.8           | 33.4           | 46.7           | 46.7           | BB[x, y+1, z] | N2-H15...O4    | 1.836(9)        | 166.8(8)      |          |
| 5.301       | 38.8           | 33.4           | 46.7           | 46.7           | BB[x, y+1, z] | O4...H15-N2    | 1.836(9)        | 166.8(8)      |          |
| 8.025       | 17.7           | -              | 0.2            | 9.1            | BB[x, y+0.5, -z+1] | N1...N1       | 4.314(4)        |              | NH\(_2\)-NH\(_2\) Repulsion diagonally between red struts |
| 8.025       | 17.7           | -              | 0.2            | 9.1            | BB[x, y+0.5, -z+1] | N1...N1       | 4.314(4)        |              | NH\(_2\)-NH\(_2\) Repulsion diagonally between red struts |
| 8.025       | 17.7           | -              | 0.2            | 9.1            | BB[x, y+0.5, -z+1] | N1...N1       | 4.314(4)        |              | Repulsive pseudo translation |
| 5.842       | 17.5           | 6.2            | 11.0           | 8.1            | BB[x, y+0.5, -z+1] | O3...H1-C2     | 3.083(9)        | 167.2(6)      | Repulsive pseudo translation |
| 5.209       | 10.5           | 15.4           | 31.5           | 3.6            | BA[x, y, z+1] | C8-H14...O1    | 2.175(9)        | 167.2(6)      | Note overall repulsion |
| Hydrophobic layer: interactions with leu-B as the central atom |
| 7.965       | -0.6           | -0.4           | -5.7           | 2.6            | BB[x, y-0.5, -z] | C12-H21...H23 | 2.678(20)       | 123.6(13)    | These three molecules form a triangular array above the methyl group based on C12 |
| 7.965       | -0.6           | -0.4           | -5.7           | 2.6            | BB[x, y+0.5, -z] | C12-H25...H23 | 2.668(19)       | 126.8(12)    |          |
| 8.327       | -1.3           | -0.5           | -4.6           | 2.8            | BA[x, y, z+1] | C12-H22...H11 | 2.49(2)         | 125.0(13)    |          |
Figure 4  (a) Energy framework (Turner et al., 2015) of leucine at 120 K viewed along b. The thick coloured lines link the centroids of molecules connected by H-bonds where the red lines correspond to an interaction with total intermolecular energy −145.2 kJmol⁻¹, and green lines to interactions with energies −113.5 and −106.0 kJ mol⁻¹. The thin blue lines correspond to dispersion interactions with energies between −3 and −4 kJ mol⁻¹. See Table 3 and Table 4 for details of the contacts. Hirshfeld surfaces mapped according to electrostatic potential for two hydrogen-bonding interactions; (b) N1-H4...O3/O1...H16-N2, which has an energy of −145.2 kJmol⁻¹, and (c) N1-H2...O2 which has an energy of −46.5 kJ mol⁻¹. The surfaces are mapped in the range −0.173 au (red) to +0.286 au (blue).

3.2. Room-temperature structure

The structure of L-leucine was modelled using the unit-cell dimensions of (Coll et al., 1986) transformed to the standard setting used by Görbitz & Dalhus, P2₁, a = 9.606(3), b = 5.324(7), c = 14.666(2) Å, β = 94.06(3)°, V = 748.2(3) Å³, Z = 4. Patterns collected during heating and cooling
showed no additional Bragg peaks indicating no discontinuous changes in unit-cell dimensions, confirming that the reported phase transitions are not present in the single-crystal form of L-leucine.

Integration was carried out to a resolution of 0.75 Å. Normalisation, including recovery of second-order harmonic reflections, of all 24819 reflections gave \( R_{\text{merge}} = 11.2\% \), with outliers rejected at \( \Delta I > 10\sigma(I) \). Completeness was 80.1% giving a redundancy of 4.1. Final agreement factors were \( R_1 = 0.0582 \) (\( I > 2\sigma(I) \)) and \( wR_2 = 0.1341 \) (all data).

The structure is essentially unchanged from that at 120 K, and again the asymmetric unit consists of two L-leucine molecules as shown in Figure 2(b). Bond lengths are given in Table 2. The hydrogen-bonding pattern at room temperature remains similar to that at 120 K. The four methyl groups in the asymmetric unit show a significant disparity in ADPs; the average \( U_{eq} \) values for each group are given in Table 5.

### Table 5

| Molecule | Carbon atom | \( U_{eq}(H) / \text{Å}^2 \) |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|          |             | 120 K | 300 K |
| Leu-A    | C5          | 0.051(3) | 0.100(4) |
|          | C6          | 0.057(3) | 0.115(5) |
| Leu-B    | C11         | 0.060(3) | 0.121(5) |
|          | C12         | 0.075(3) | 0.154(6) |

### 3.3. Effects of temperature

Laue diffraction is not capable of determining unit-cell dimensions absolutely using the observed reflection coordinates, only ratios of \( a:b:c \). It is however possible quantify changes in unit-cell lengths on the order of 1%, by observed shifts in the refined instrument spectra produced during the normalisation process (Piltz, 2011) (also see Figure 1). The resulting cell-length multiplier coefficient can be applied to get more accurate unit-cell lengths. A negative shift in the wavelength distribution implies a positive cell-length multiplier coefficient and \textit{vice versa}.

Calculation of the strain tensor (using the method of Ohashi and Burnham (1973)) using unit-cell dimensions at 300 K and 120 K shows the greatest thermal expansion (1.03%) occurs approximately along \( c^* \) (0.243, 0, 0.970), making an angle of 14° with \( c \), perpendicular to the layers of the structure. Increased thermal motion, particularly within the opposing methyl groups, causes the expansion along this direction in order to reduce the prevalence of close H...H distances. Thermal expansion is less within the layers themselves: 0.43% along \( b \) and 0.44% approximately along \( a \) (0.970, 0, −0.243).
The most significant structural change upon cooling to 120 K is the reduction in ADP parameters for the terminal methyl groups, although those of leu-\(A\) still remain larger than leu-\(B\). Clearly the enlarged ADPs at 300 K are due at least partially to thermal motion. The significant reduction in this motion is in agreement with the observation from inelastic neutron scattering that significant motion of the CH\(_3\) groups occurs above 150 K in the powder form (Façanha Filho et al., 2011).

Upon cooling to 120 K, \(U_{eq}(H)\) values for methyl group based on C6 in leu-\(B\) and that based on C5 of leu-\(A\) are statistically similar. C6\(H_3\) remains enlarged, although only by a small margin above 5 estimated standard deviations.

The hydrogen-bonding distance between hydrophilic layers remains unchanged. However within each layer the bifurcated N2–H17…O1/2 contact is distorted, moving further away from the ideal bifurcated type. The N2H\(_3\) group twists towards O2, creating a more linear contact with H17 (\(\angle N2–H17…O2\) increasing from 153.3(13)\(^\circ\) to 162.2(9)\(^\circ\)), and shortening the contact by \(-0.140(13)\) Å. At the same time the complementary N2–H17…O1 distance increases by \(+0.047(7)\) Å with \(\angle N2–H17…O1\) decreasing from 141.8(11)\(^\circ\) to 132.1(8)\(^\circ\).

In agreement with Görbitz & Dalhus (1996b) we observe no changes in diffraction patterns that would suggest the presence of phase transitions in the single-crystal form of L-leucine. The spectroscopic and calorimetric data presented by Façanha Filho et al. (2011) shows evidence of significant changes within the structure of L-leucine in the powder form, which unfortunately could not be verified with suitable diffraction data. Certainly there is a need for further study of L-leucine in the (deuterated) powder form utilising high-resolution X-ray or neutron powder diffraction to corroborate the observations of Façanha Filho et al. (2011).

**Table 6** Hydrogen bonds in L-leucine at 300 K and 120 K

| Hydrogen bond | D-H / Å  | H…A / Å  | D…A / Å  | \(\angle D-H…A / \(^\circ\)\) |
|---------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| **300 K**     |         |          |          |                          |
| N1–H2…O2     | 1.019(9) | 1.881(10)| 2.887(5) | 168.4(7)                 |
| N1–H3…O3     | 1.061(8) | 1.716(9) | 2.775(5) | 176.1(8)                 |
| N1–H4…O3     | 1.046(8) | 1.853(10)| 2.817(5) | 155.0(8)                 |
| N2–H15…O4    | 1.031(10)| 1.835(11)| 2.855(7) | 169.6(9)                 |
| N2–H16…O1    | 1.045(9) | 1.715(10)| 2.756(5) | 174.5(9)                 |
| N2–H17…O1    | 1.033(10)| 2.071(15)| 2.952(5) | 141.8(11)                |
| N2–H17…O2    | 1.033(10)| 2.110(10)| 3.068(6) | 153.3(13)                |
| C8–H14…O1    | 1.081(10)| 2.295(10)| 3.368(6) | 171.2(7)                 |
| **120 K**     |         |          |          |                          |
| N1–H2…O2     | 1.021(9) | 1.886(9) | 2.897(5) | 170.0(7)                 |
| N1–H3…O3     | 1.073(7) | 1.673(8) | 2.745(4) | 178.2(6)                 |
| N1–H4…O3     | 1.046(8) | 1.852(9) | 2.812(5) | 151.0(7)                 |
| N2–H15…O4    | 1.041(9) | 1.836(9) | 2.860(6) | 166.8(8)                 |
| N2–H16…O1    | 1.065(8) | 1.690(9) | 2.751(5) | 173.3(8)                 |
| N2–H17…O1    | 1.033(8) | 2.208(11)| 2.999(5) | 132.1(8)                 |
| N2–H17…O2    | 1.033(8) | 1.970(9) | 2.970(5) | 162.2(9)                 |
| C8–H14…O1    | 1.097(9) | 2.175(9) | 3.254(5) | 167.2(6)                 |
4. Conclusions

The structure of the natural amino acid L-leucine has been determined by neutron diffraction for the first time at temperatures of 300 and 120 K. The resulting structures yield geometric parameters with sufficient precision and accuracy for inclusion in restraint libraries of macromolecular structure refinements; the estimated standard deviations on X-H bond lengths range from 0.008-0.03 Å at 300 K and 0.008-0.02 Å at 120 K. Due to the small size or poor quality of L-leucine crystals, the determination of the structure by neutron diffraction has required the application of the modern powerful Laue method.

Calculation of intermolecular interaction energies reveals a pattern of attractive and repulsive interactions. The energies of hydrogen bonds are not correlated with distance but are instead determined by the disposition of positive and negative regions of electrostatic potential. These calculations also reveal a number of important electrostatic interactions, significantly longer than hydrogen-bond distances which are often assumed to be the most important interaction in the analysis of amino-acid structures. As expected for the single-crystal form, no signs of phase transitions were detected during heating or cooling of the single crystal. Cooling leads to minor unit-cell contraction and significantly reduced motion within the alkyl residue as well as minor rearrangements within the hydrogen-bonding network.
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Supporting information

S1. Geometric parameters

| Atom | $x$    | $y$    | $z$    | $U_{eq}$ (Å$^2$) |
|------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|
| O1   | 0.1387(4) | 0.6232(9) | 0.5871(3) | 0.0354(13)       |
| O2   | 0.2300(5) | 0.2611(10) | 0.6362(4) | 0.0467(14)       |
| N1   | 0.3885(3) | 0.8406(5)  | 0.57485(18) | 0.0311(8)       |
| C1   | 0.2384(3) | 0.4884(7)  | 0.6180(2)  | 0.0288(9)        |
| C2   | 0.3798(3) | 0.6203(7)  | 0.6367(2)  | 0.0278(9)        |
| C3   | 0.3997(4) | 0.7190(9)  | 0.7349(3)  | 0.0384(11)       |
| O3   | 0.3469(4) | 0.5525(9)  | 0.4141(3)  | 0.0351(11)       |
| C4   | 0.4057(5) | 0.5193(12) | 0.8098(3)  | 0.0518(14)       |
| O4   | 0.2665(6) | 0.1690(11) | 0.3817(5)  | 0.0528(16)       |
| C5   | 0.5333(7) | 0.3522(14) | 0.8057(5)  | 0.0720(19)       |
| C6   | 0.4078(11) | 0.658(2)   | 0.9035(4)  | 0.085(2)         |
| N2   | 0.0874(3) | 0.7497(6)  | 0.4056(2)  | 0.0392(9)        |
| C7   | 0.2532(3) | 0.4009(7)  | 0.3867(2)  | 0.0279(9)        |
| C8   | 0.1136(3) | 0.5157(8)  | 0.3521(3)  | 0.0324(10)       |
| C9   | 0.1115(5) | 0.5906(11) | 0.2518(3)  | 0.0510(14)       |
| C10  | 0.1137(6) | 0.3740(16) | 0.1839(3)  | 0.0674(18)       |
| C11  | -0.0254(10) | 0.231(2)   | 0.1775(6)  | 0.091(3)         |
| C12  | 0.1427(15) | 0.475(3)   | 0.0900(5)  | 0.122(4)         |
| H1   | 0.4627(8) | 0.4897(18) | 0.6210(6)  | 0.046(2)         |
| H2   | 0.3220(8) | 0.9803(18) | 0.5910(6)  | 0.045(2)         |
| H3   | 0.4915(8) | 0.9138(17) | 0.5793(6)  | 0.043(2)         |
| H4   | 0.3639(8) | 0.7819(18) | 0.5076(5)  | 0.0467(19)       |
| H5   | 0.3177(12) | 0.853(2)   | 0.7448(7)  | 0.065(3)         |
| H6   | 0.4982(10) | 0.818(2)   | 0.7416(6)  | 0.057(3)         |
| H7   | 0.3127(13) | 0.412(3)   | 0.8019(8)  | 0.081(3)         |
| H8   | 0.6254(16) | 0.454(3)   | 0.8161(13) | 0.097(5)         |
|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| H9 | 0.530(2) | 0.256(4) | 0.7459(12) | 0.097(4) |
| H10 | 0.535(2) | 0.213(4) | 0.8567(13) | 0.106(5) |
| H11 | 0.410(2) | 0.528(5) | 0.9577(11) | 0.118(5) |
| H12 | 0.406(2) | 0.765(4) | 0.9151(11) | 0.108(5) |
| H13 | 0.323(2) | 0.771(5) | 0.9099(11) | 0.118(5) |
| H14 | 0.0298(8) | 0.387(2) | 0.3641(6) | 0.052(2) |
| H15 | 0.1537(9) | 0.8928(19) | 0.3895(7) | 0.049(3) |
| H16 | 0.1035(9) | 0.7114(19) | 0.4754(6) | 0.051(3) |
| H17 | -0.0139(9) | 0.813(3) | 0.3940(8) | 0.065(3) |
| H18 | 0.1967(14) | 0.718(3) | 0.2437(8) | 0.081(4) |
| H19 | 0.0163(13) | 0.705(3) | 0.2369(8) | 0.076(4) |
| H20 | 0.1892(18) | 0.241(4) | 0.2079(10) | 0.100(4) |
| H21 | 0.147(3) | 0.323(6) | 0.0424(13) | 0.155(7) |
| H22 | 0.230(3) | 0.584(7) | 0.0922(14) | 0.156(7) |
| H23 | 0.059(3) | 0.599(7) | 0.0626(15) | 0.151(6) |
| H24 | -0.051(3) | 0.162(5) | 0.2422(14) | 0.125(6) |
| H25 | -0.026(3) | 0.079(5) | 0.1344(14) | 0.123(5) |
| H26 | -0.102(2) | 0.341(5) | 0.1548(15) | 0.116(5) |
Table S2  Final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for L-leucine at 120 K.

| Atom | x         | y         | z         | U_eq (Å²) |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| O1   | 0.1364(4) | 0.6377(9) | 0.5851(3) | 0.0168(9) |
| O2   | 0.2267(4) | 0.2744(8) | 0.6365(3) | 0.0195(10) |
| N1   | 0.3863(2) | 0.8535(5) | 0.57206(16) | 0.0143(5) |
| C1   | 0.2364(3) | 0.5018(7) | 0.6168(2) | 0.0132(7) |
| C2   | 0.3793(3) | 0.6312(7) | 0.6347(2) | 0.0130(7) |
| C3   | 0.4010(4) | 0.7295(7) | 0.7346(2) | 0.0160(8) |
| O3   | 0.3463(4) | 0.5453(8) | 0.4147(3) | 0.0166(9) |
| C4   | 0.4055(4) | 0.5299(8) | 0.8106(3) | 0.0209(8) |
| O4   | 0.2674(5) | 0.1553(9) | 0.3824(3) | 0.0222(10) |
| C5   | 0.5306(5) | 0.3530(9) | 0.8055(3) | 0.0276(10) |
| C6   | 0.4135(5) | 0.6616(11) | 0.9045(3) | 0.0321(11) |
| N2   | 0.0823(3) | 0.7371(5) | 0.39997(18) | 0.0177(6) |
| C7   | 0.2524(3) | 0.3905(7) | 0.3862(2) | 0.0147(8) |
| C8   | 0.1113(3) | 0.5015(7) | 0.3487(2) | 0.0151(7) |
| C9   | 0.1130(4) | 0.5668(8) | 0.2461(3) | 0.0209(9) |
| C10  | 0.1156(4) | 0.3402(11) | 0.1804(3) | 0.0282(10) |
| C11  | -0.0243(6) | 0.2017(11) | 0.1749(4) | 0.0366(12) |
| C12  | 0.1500(7) | 0.4288(16) | 0.0852(3) | 0.0514(18) |
| H1   | 0.4639(8) | 0.5013(16) | 0.6190(6) | 0.0261(17) |
| H2   | 0.3205(8) | 0.9932(16) | 0.5906(6) | 0.0271(17) |
| H3   | 0.4914(7) | 0.9255(15) | 0.5764(6) | 0.0243(16) |
| H4   | 0.3598(9) | 0.7944(17) | 0.5043(5) | 0.0278(18) |
| H5   | 0.3183(9) | 0.8679(18) | 0.7462(6) | 0.0331(19) |
| H6   | 0.5021(9) | 0.8321(18) | 0.7409(6) | 0.031(2) |
| H7   | 0.3086(10)| 0.415(2) | 0.8029(7) | 0.039(2) |
| H8   | 0.6263(11)| 0.462(2) | 0.8153(10) | 0.051(3) |
| H9   | 0.5298(15)| 0.257(2) | 0.7400(8) | 0.050(3) |
| H10  | 0.5331(15) | 0.205(2) | 0.8584(9) | 0.053(3) |
|------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| H11  | 0.4135(16) | 0.522(3) | 0.9619(7) | 0.057(3) |
| H12  | 0.5110(13) | 0.764(3) | 0.9155(8) | 0.052(3) |
| H13  | 0.3277(15) | 0.789(3) | 0.9125(8) | 0.061(3) |
| H14  | 0.0276(8)  | 0.3666(17)| 0.3607(5) | 0.0272(17)|
| H15  | 0.1478(8)  | 0.8845(16)| 0.3832(6) | 0.0290(17)|
| H16  | 0.0976(9)  | 0.7084(18)| 0.4726(5) | 0.0300(18)|
| H17  | -0.0212(8) | 0.789(2)  | 0.3860(6) | 0.033(2)  |
| H18  | 0.2009(12) | 0.691(2)  | 0.2373(7) | 0.046(3)  |
| H19  | 0.0159(11) | 0.676(2)  | 0.2272(7) | 0.040(3)  |
| H20  | 0.1939(13) | 0.210(3)  | 0.2082(8) | 0.053(3)  |
| H21  | 0.1560(18) | 0.264(4)  | 0.0377(9) | 0.075(4)  |
| H22  | 0.2486(19) | 0.529(5)  | 0.086(10) | 0.080(4)  |
| H23  | 0.0681(19) | 0.559(4)  | 0.0553(9) | 0.071(4)  |
| H24  | -0.0556(17)| 0.133(3)  | 0.2401(9) | 0.059(3)  |
| H25  | -0.0255(18)| 0.044(3)  | 0.1283(10)| 0.062(4)  |
| H26  | -0.1059(14)| 0.326(3)  | 0.1469(11)| 0.059(3)  |
Table S3  Anisotropic displacement parameters for L-leucine at room temperature. The form of the atomic displacement factor is $\exp(-2\pi^2[h^2(a*)^2U_{11} + k^2(b*)^2U_{22} + ... + 2hka*b*U_{12}]$).

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| O1   | 0.0199(16) | 0.038(3) | 0.048(2) | 0.002(2) | 0.0012(15) | -0.0043(16) |
| O2   | 0.038(2)   | 0.032(2) | 0.070(3) | 0.006(2) | 0.003(2)  | -0.0087(19) |
| N1   | 0.0236(11) | 0.0331(15) | 0.0367(13) | -0.0019(11) | 0.0035(9)  | -0.0088(11) |
| C1   | 0.0236(14) | 0.0266(18) | 0.0368(17) | -0.0021(14) | 0.0065(12) | -0.0073(13) |
| C2   | 0.0192(14) | 0.0283(18) | 0.0360(16) | -0.0019(13) | 0.0028(11) | -0.0043(13) |
| C3   | 0.0386(18) | 0.041(2)  | 0.0350(17) | -0.0038(15) | -0.0005(14) | -0.0038(17) |
| O3   | 0.0208(16) | 0.034(2)  | 0.050(2)  | -0.0100(18) | -0.0019(15) | 0.0046(15) |
| C4   | 0.051(2)   | 0.065(3)  | 0.0386(19) | 0.0140(19)  | -0.0033(16) | -0.009(2) |
| O4   | 0.039(2)   | 0.030(2)  | 0.089(4)  | -0.006(2)   | 0.001(2)   | 0.0050(19) |
| C5   | 0.073(3)   | 0.061(3)  | 0.079(4)  | 0.005(3)    | -0.016(2)  | 0.011(3)  |
| C6   | 0.100(4)   | 0.112(5)  | 0.040(3)  | -0.007(3)   | -0.005(3)  | 0.003(4)  |
| N2   | 0.0283(13) | 0.0420(18) | 0.0477(16) | 0.0083(13)  | 0.0064(11) | 0.0154(13) |
| C7   | 0.0212(13) | 0.0267(18) | 0.0357(17) | -0.0022(13) | 0.0018(12) | 0.0028(12) |
| C8   | 0.0200(14) | 0.039(2)  | 0.0376(16) | 0.0059(15)  | -0.0013(11) | 0.0002(14) |
| C9   | 0.051(2)   | 0.062(3)  | 0.0389(19) | 0.0121(18)  | -0.0053(16) | -0.011(2) |
| C10  | 0.062(3)   | 0.097(4)  | 0.043(2)  | -0.012(3)   | 0.0022(19) | -0.011(3) |
| C11  | 0.092(4)   | 0.105(5)  | 0.075(4)  | -0.013(4)   | 0.005(3)   | -0.038(4) |
| C12  | 0.149(7)   | 0.179(8)  | 0.039(3)  | 0.002(4)    | 0.020(3)   | -0.054(7) |
| H1   | 0.030(3)   | 0.044(4)  | 0.065(4)  | -0.008(4)   | 0.004(3)   | 0.003(3)  |
| H2   | 0.039(3)   | 0.039(4)  | 0.058(4)  | 0.002(3)    | 0.008(3)   | -0.003(3) |
| H3   | 0.034(3)   | 0.041(4)  | 0.055(4)  | 0.002(3)    | 0.004(2)   | -0.012(3) |
| H4   | 0.043(3)   | 0.052(4)  | 0.045(3)  | -0.005(3)   | 0.003(2)   | -0.009(3) |
| H5   | 0.072(5)   | 0.068(6)  | 0.055(4)  | -0.009(4)   | 0.009(4)   | 0.016(4) |
| H6   | 0.060(4)   | 0.062(6)  | 0.049(4)  | -0.007(4)   | -0.004(3)  | -0.019(4) |
| H7   | 0.077(5)   | 0.095(7)  | 0.068(6)  | 0.031(5)    | -0.011(4)  | -0.025(5) |
| H8   | 0.072(5)   | 0.083(7)  | 0.135(11) | -0.008(7)   | -0.002(5)  | 0.014(5) |
| H9   | 0.116(9)   | 0.075(8)  | 0.098(6)  | -0.004(5)   | -0.012(5)  | 0.021(7) |
|   | H10       | H11       | H12       | H13       | H14       | H15       | H16       | H17       | H18       | H19       | H20       | H21       | H22       | H23       | H24       | H25       | H26       |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|   | 0.119(10) | 0.148(12)| 0.120(7) | 0.126(7) | 0.148(12)| 0.047(4) | 0.049(4) | 0.038(3) | 0.083(5) | 0.074(5) | 0.103(7) | 0.190(16)| 0.176(9) | 0.180(10) | 0.144(12) | 0.149(12) | 0.095(6)  |
|   | 0.089(7)  | 0.141(9) | 0.126(10)| 0.156(11)| 0.062(5) | 0.039(4) | 0.050(5) | 0.080(6) | 0.100(8) | 0.091(8) | 0.124(8) | 0.207(12)| 0.221(15)| 0.197(13) | 0.136(12) | 0.121(8)  | 0.127(8)  |
|   | 0.107(7)  | 0.064(6) | 0.076(8) | 0.071(8) | 0.059(4) | 0.062(5) | 0.054(4) | 0.076(5) | 0.059(5) | 0.062(5) | 0.072(6) | 0.068(7) | 0.075(9) | 0.075(9)  | 0.096(6)  | 0.099(8)  | 0.123(11) |
|   |           | 0.007(6) | -0.019(7)| -0.009(7)| 0.004(3) | 0.062(5) | 0.007(3) | 0.024(5) | 0.018(5) | 0.013(5) | -0.018(6)| -0.019(8)| -0.008(9)| 0.013(9)  | -0.005(7) | -0.023(7) | -0.014(7) |
|   |           | -0.004(6)| -0.006(5)| 0.003(6) | 0.001(4) | 0.018(5) | 0.013(5) | 0.008(3) | -0.001(4)| -0.010(4)| 0.001(5) | 0.019(8) | 0.029(7) | 0.003(8)  | 0.017(6)  | 0.003(8)  | -0.011(6) |
|   |           | -0.003(8)| -0.008(7)| 0.024(8) | 0.007(3) | -0.012(4)| -0.010(4)| 0.025(4) | -0.041(6)| 0.009(5) | 0.015(7) | -0.047(10)| -0.075(11)| -0.043(10)| -0.046(10)| -0.037(7) | -0.037(6) |
Anisotropic displacement parameters for L-leucine at 120 K. The form of the atomic displacement factor is $\exp(-2\pi^2 h^2(a^*)^2U_{11} + k^2(b^*)^2U_{22} + \ldots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12})$.

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| O1   | 0.0096(13)| 0.0179(17)| 0.0231(16)| 0.0020(14)| 0.0027(12)| 0.0009(14) |
| O2   | 0.0143(15)| 0.0104(15)| 0.034(2)  | -0.0005(15)| 0.0027(14)| -0.0057(15) |
| N1   | 0.0102(8) | 0.0141(10)| 0.0187(9) | -0.0002(8) | 0.0014(7) | -0.0033(9) |
| C1   | 0.0082(11)| 0.0119(13)| 0.0198(13)| -0.0004(11)| 0.0033(10)| -0.0033(12) |
| C2   | 0.0066(11)| 0.0129(13)| 0.0196(13)| -0.0022(10)| 0.0018(9) | -0.0017(11) |
| C3   | 0.0160(13)| 0.0135(14)| 0.0187(13)| -0.0018(11)| 0.0024(10)| -0.0023(12) |
| O3   | 0.0096(13)| 0.0128(15)| 0.0275(17)| -0.0032(13)| 0.0013(12)| 0.0011(14) |
| C4   | 0.0190(14)| 0.0212(16)| 0.0224(14)| 0.0046(12) | 0.0011(11)| -0.0033(14) |
| O4   | 0.0159(17)| 0.0130(16)| 0.037(2)  | -0.0009(16)| -0.0035(15)| 0.0014(15) |
| C5   | 0.0309(18)| 0.0196(17)| 0.0316(19)| 0.0021(15) | -0.0020(14)| 0.0030(17) |
| C6   | 0.037(2)  | 0.041(2)  | 0.0183(15)| -0.0002(16)| 0.0020(14) | 0.001(2) |
| N2   | 0.0122(9) | 0.0179(11)| 0.0230(10)| 0.0031(9)  | 0.0022(8)  | 0.0051(10) |
| C7   | 0.0096(11)| 0.0148(15)| 0.0195(13)| -0.0012(12)| 0.0001(10) | 0.0032(12) |
| C8   | 0.0097(11)| 0.0166(14)| 0.0189(12)| 0.0018(11) | 0.0010(10) | 0.0000(12) |
| C9   | 0.0199(15)| 0.0242(17)| 0.0186(13)| 0.0045(12) | 0.0015(11) | -0.0016(14) |
| C10  | 0.0237(16)| 0.041(2)  | 0.0202(15)| -0.0019(15)| 0.0038(12) | 0.0021(17) |
| C11  | 0.039(2)  | 0.036(2)  | 0.035(2)  | -0.003(2)  | 0.0037(17) | -0.011(2) |
| C12  | 0.055(3)  | 0.081(4)  | 0.0189(17)| -0.004(2)  | 0.0078(19) | -0.021(3) |
| H1   | 0.021(3)  | 0.020(3)  | 0.038(3)  | -0.003(3)  | 0.007(2)  | 0.002(3) |
| H2   | 0.026(3)  | 0.023(3)  | 0.033(3)  | 0.001(3)   | 0.007(3)  | 0.003(3) |
| H3   | 0.017(2)  | 0.021(3)  | 0.035(3)  | 0.002(3)   | 0.003(2)  | -0.005(2) |
| H4   | 0.030(3)  | 0.031(4)  | 0.022(2)  | -0.004(2)  | 0.000(2)  | -0.005(3) |
| H5   | 0.031(3)  | 0.029(3)  | 0.040(4)  | -0.002(3)  | 0.007(3)  | 0.007(3) |
| H6   | 0.029(3)  | 0.027(4)  | 0.037(4)  | -0.002(3)  | -0.002(3) | -0.010(3) |
| H7   | 0.033(3)  | 0.037(4)  | 0.046(4)  | 0.011(3)   | -0.003(3) | -0.014(3) |
| H8   | 0.032(4)  | 0.039(5)  | 0.080(7)  | 0.000(4)   | -0.005(4) | 0.001(3) |
| H9   | 0.063(6)  | 0.037(5)  | 0.050(4)  | -0.008(4)  | -0.001(4) | 0.007(5) |
| H10  | 0.065(6) | 0.035(4) | 0.057(5) | 0.011(4) | -0.003(4) | 0.005(4) |
| H11  | 0.077(7) | 0.063(6) | 0.030(4) | 0.011(4) | 0.002(4)  | -0.001(5) |
| H12  | 0.053(4) | 0.054(5) | 0.048(5) | -0.010(4)| -0.004(3) | -0.004(4) |
| H13  | 0.062(5) | 0.077(7) | 0.044(5) | -0.007(5)| 0.004(4)  | 0.022(5)  |
| H14  | 0.022(3) | 0.028(3) | 0.032(3) | 0.005(3) | 0.004(2)  | -0.006(3) |
| H15  | 0.027(3) | 0.024(3) | 0.036(3) | 0.004(3) | 0.003(3)  | 0.005(3)  |
| H16  | 0.030(3) | 0.034(4) | 0.026(2) | 0.004(2) | 0.002(2)  | 0.009(3)  |
| H17  | 0.019(2) | 0.045(5) | 0.034(3) | 0.006(3) | 0.002(2)  | 0.014(3)  |
| H18  | 0.047(4) | 0.051(5) | 0.039(4) | 0.011(4) | 0.004(3)  | -0.022(4) |
| H19  | 0.042(4) | 0.041(5) | 0.035(4) | 0.004(4) | -0.002(3)| 0.008(4)  |
| H20  | 0.051(5) | 0.063(6) | 0.044(4) | -0.007(4)| -0.002(4)| 0.022(5)  |
| H21  | 0.080(8) | 0.101(7) | 0.044(5) | -0.019(5)| 0.014(5)  | -0.016(6) |
| H22  | 0.071(6) | 0.125(10)| 0.045(5) | -0.009(6)| 0.021(4)  | -0.039(6) |
| H23  | 0.080(6) | 0.096(8) | 0.037(5) | 0.007(5) | 0.005(4)  | -0.010(6) |
| H24  | 0.069(7) | 0.061(6) | 0.048(4) | -0.001(4)| 0.007(4)  | -0.028(6) |
| H25  | 0.081(8) | 0.047(5) | 0.056(5) | -0.012(4)| 0.002(5)  | -0.011(5) |
| H26  | 0.046(4) | 0.058(5) | 0.072(6) | -0.007(5)| -0.010(4)| -0.008(4) |