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Infections

In the middle of the 19th century, it became clear that micro-organisms could cause disease. Effective treatment, however, was not possible at that time; prevention and spread of infectious diseases depended solely on proper hygienic means. At the beginning of the 20th century, passive and active vaccination procedures were developed against a number of these pathogenic micro-organisms to prevent the diseases in question (rabies, diphtheria, tetanus, etc.). Thanks to the discovery of antimicrobial chemicals (by Paul Ehrlich) and antibiotics (by Sir Alexander Fleming), the threat of infectious diseases seemed to be minimised. Large scale vaccination programmes against childhood diseases (diphtheria, whooping cough and polio), started in the early 1950s, raised hopes of finally being able to eradicate these diseases from the planet. This approach was successful for smallpox (1980). However, new infectious diseases have emerged [e.g., Legionella, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Helicobacter, SARS, etc.] and new vaccines and antibiotics are needed. Furthermore, due to intensive medical treatment with antibiotics and immunosuppressive drugs, hospital infections are a growing problem. Bacteria hitherto deemed harmless are causing opportunistic infections in immunocompromised patients. The pathogens have developed resistance to many antibiotics and sometimes no effective antibiotics are available to treat these patients.

To make the story even more serious, man is surrounded and populated by a large number of different non-pathogenic micro-organisms. In the normal, healthy situation, there is a balance between the offensive capabilities of micro-organisms and the defences of the human body. The body’s defences are based on vital non-specific and specific immunological defence mechanisms. An infection means that the micro-organism has succeeded in penetrating those lines of defence, signalling a partial or complete breakdown of the body’s defence system.

Natural resistance

The body’s first line of defence comprises the intact cell layers of skin and mucous membrane, which form a physical barrier. The skin’s low pH level and bactericidal fatty acids enhance the protection provided by this physical barrier. The defences in the respiratory tract and the gastrointestinal tract are mucus, the ‘ciliary elevator’ of the epithelium, and the motility of the small intestine. The presence of normal microbial flora (colonisation resistance) in the intestine also plays a role in protection against colonisation by external bacteria.

The most important humoral natural resistance factors are complement, antimicrobial peptides, lysozyme, interferon, and a number of cytokines (see chapters A5 and A6). Antimicrobial peptides are widely expressed as part of the professional phagocyte antimicrobial arsenal and rapidly induced at epithelial surfaces. They are found in mammals, invertebrates, and plants. In general, they are small, amphipathic molecules, contain positive charge and can be structurally divided into several categories. The mode of action goes beyond their antimicrobial capacities and they elicit a complex array of responses in different cell types. The most
extensively studied mammalian gene families are the cathelicidins and defensins. In general the antimicrobial peptides disrupt lipid membranes and thereby induce microbial killing. Micro-organisms have developed several countermeasures against antimicrobial peptides but the many structurally different peptide classes still provide protection against infection.

Lysozyme, which is found in almost all body fluids, degrades sections of the cell wall of Gram-positive and – in combination with complement – Gram-negative bacteria. This causes the otherwise sturdy cell wall to leak and the bacterium to burst.

Interferons are glycoproteins and may inhibit the replication of viruses. Within several hours after the onset of a virus infection, interferons are produced in the infected cell and help protect the neighbouring unaffected cells against infection. This protection is brief, but high concentrations of interferons are produced at a time when the primary immunological response is relatively ineffective.

Cytokines such as interleukin-2 (IL-2), granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), stimulate non-specifically the proliferation, maturation, and function of the cells involved in defence (see chapter A6).

Innate immune cells recognise microbes by toll-like receptors (TLR) (see section Pathogenesis of shock), giving rise to the above production of cytokines in the early phase of the response.
Micro-organisms that succeed in penetrating the first line of defence are ingested, killed, and degraded by phagocytic cells [polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN) or neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages], which are attracted to a microbial infection through chemotaxis. The ingestion by phagocytic cells of the micro-organism is enhanced by serum proteins (opsonins), such as antibodies and the C3b component of complement, which are recognised by specific receptors on the phagocytes. After ingestion, the particle is surrounded by the membrane of the phagocyte, forming a vacuole known as a phagosome. The phagosome then fuses with some of the countless granules in the phagocyte, thus allowing the lysosomal microbicidal agents and enzymes to do their work. The formation of toxic oxygen radicals greatly contributes to the killing and elimination of the ingested micro-organism (Fig. 1) (see chapter A7).

A special role in cellular natural resistance is reserved for the natural killer cells (NK cells), which display considerable cytotoxic activity against virus-infected cells. This NK activity is stimulated by interferons and, at a very early stage in the infection, serves to reinforce the non-specific defence mechanism.

**Specific resistance**

In the specific immune response, elements of the natural defence mechanism are directed against a specific enemy. Depending on the micro-organism, either the cellular defence mechanism (tuberculosis) or the humoral antibody-dependent defence mechanism (influenza) is of primary importance. In many cases, a joint cellular and humoral response is needed to provide an effective immune defence (typhus).

Both T lymphocytes and macrophages play a role in cellular defence. During the first contact with an antigen, macrophages process the antigen and present its protein fragments (T cell epitopes) to T cells, which then proliferate and remain present for years in the body as memory cells. When a second encounter occurs, T cells produce lymphokines, which activate the macrophages. These activated macrophages grow larger, produce more and better degrading enzymes, and are now able to eliminate micro-organisms, which otherwise would have survived intracellularly (tuberculosis, typhoid fever). Macrophages from non-immune animals are not able to eliminate these micro-organisms.

**Figure 1**

Schematic representation of the progressive steps of phagocytic endocytosis.
Defence against bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites

Several non-invasive bacteria, i.e. those that do not invade the body, cause disease through the production of EXOTOXINS (tetanus, diphtheria, cholera). The IMMUNE SYSTEM neutralises the toxin with the aid of ANTIBODIES (IgG, IgM). If the individual has not been inoculated, the toxin will act on certain cells in the body directly through a RECEPTOR. This bond is very strong (i.e. has a high AFFINITY), and is difficult to break by the administration of ANTIBODIES. In practice, if there are clinical symptoms of the disease, then large doses of antitoxins must be administered. If one is trying to prevent the development of the disease, then the presence of small quantities of specific ANTIBODIES (IgG) is sufficient.

The ADHERENCE of bacteria to cells is effectively blocked by IgA. Oral vaccination against cholera, for example, is aimed at obtaining sufficient specific IgA in the intestine, so that no colonisation of this bacterium can take place, and the cholera toxin can no longer adhere to its RECEPTOR.

In general, defence against invasive bacteria is provided by ANTIBODIES (IgG, IgM) that are directed against bacterial surface ANTIGENS. In many cases, these bacteria have a CAPSULE, which interferes with effective PHAGOCYTOSIS. ANTIBODIES against the ANTIGENS of these capsules neutralise the interference, with subsequent elimination of the bacteria by PHAGOCYTES. ANTIBODIES (IgM, IgG, IgA) in combined action with complement kill bacteria by producing holes in the cell wall of the bacterium.

Although intracellular bacteria (tuberculosis, leprosy, listeriosis, brucellosis, legionellosis, and salmonellosis) are ingested by MACROPHAGES, they are able to survive and multiply. In these cases, cellular immunity alone provides the defence, since ANTIBODIES are not effective. Only activated MACROPHAGES are capable of killing and degrading these bacteria.

ANTIBODIES neutralise viruses directly and/or indirectly by destroying infected cells that carry the virus antigen on their surface. The mechanisms of this defence resemble those of humoral defence against bacterial surfaces. The ANTIBODY-dependent cellular CYTOTOXICITY reaction is specific for defence...
against viruses. Cells that carry an antigen encoded by the virus on their surface are attacked by cytototoxic K cells, bearing antibodies that fit the antigen on the target cell (K cells have Fc receptors for IgG). (Fig. 2)

Not only humoral, but also cellular immunity plays an important role in virus infections. People with a genetic T cell deficiency are highly susceptible to virus infections. In cellular defence, it is primarily the virus-infected cells that are attacked and eliminated. Cytotoxic T cells recognise MHC class I-presented T cell epitopes on the surface of virus-infected cells and kill them.

The fungi responsible for human diseases can be divided into two major groups on the basis of their growth forms or on the type of infection they cause. Pathogens exist as branched filamentous forms or as yeasts, although some show both growth forms. The filamentous types (Trichophyton) form a 'mycelium'. In asexual reproduction, the fungus is dispersed by means of spores; the spores are a common cause of infection after inhalation. In yeast-like types (Cryptococcus), the characteristic form is the single cell, which reproduces by division or budding. Dimorphic types (Histoplasma) form a mycelium outside, but occur as yeast cells inside the body. Candida shows the reverse condition and forms a mycelium within the body.

In superficial mycoses, the fungus grows on the body surface, for example skin, hair, and nails (Epidermophyton, Trichophyton), the disease is mild, and the pathogen is spread by direct contact. In deep mycoses (Aspergillus, Candida, Cryptococcus, Histoplasma), internal organs are involved and the disease can be life-threatening and is often the result of opportunistic growth in individuals with impaired immunocompetence.

Many of the fungi that cause disease are free-living organisms and are acquired by inhalation or by entry through wounds. Some exist as part of the normal body flora (Candida) and are innocuous unless the body's defences are compromised in some way. The filamentous forms grow extracellularly, while yeasts can survive and multiply within phagocytic cells. Neutrophils kill yeasts by means of both intra- and extracellular factors. Some yeasts (Cryptococcus neoformans) form a thick polysaccharide capsule to prevent phagocytic uptake. In addition, many cell-wall components of yeasts cause suppression of cell-mediated immune responses. The role of humoral and cellular immunity in controlling infections caused by fungi is not yet well defined, but cellular immunity is the cornerstone of host defence against (some) fungal infections. As a consequence, HIV infection, which affects the cellular arm of the immune system, results in previously uncommon infections such as those caused by C. neoformans.

The immunological defence systems against parasites are considerably more complex than those against bacteria and viruses. This is due to various factors. In the first place, each parasite has its own life cycle, consisting of various stages with specific antigen compositions. Moreover, parasites are able to avoid the host defence system (mimicry), to combat it (immunosuppression), or to mislead it (antigenic variation). Both humoral and cellular immunity are important for the defence against parasites growing intercellularly, as we have seen in the case of bacteria and viruses. Antibody concentrations (IgM, IgG, IgE) are often elevated. IgE also plays a special role in the removal of parasites (especially worm infections) from the intestine (see above).
Pathogenesis of shock

Sepsis is a systemic inflammatory response to presumed or known infection. The resulting inflammatory response becomes over amplified, leading to multiple organ failure and death. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) in bacteria, viruses, parasites, and fungi initiate the host response by triggering families of pattern recognition receptors (PRR). In Gram-negative bacteria, the interaction between bacterial endotoxin or lipopolysaccharide (LPS; a major structural component of the cell wall) and various host-cell systems has been implicated in the pathogenesis of septic shock. In particular, the release of TNF-α and interleukin-1 (IL-1) after the activation of host cells by endotoxin induces haemodynamic shock.

Biochemical and genetic evidence has identified TLR4 as the receptor that mediates cellular activation in response to LPS. This family of TLR proteins, which resemble the antimicrobial Toll proteins of Drosophila (fruit fly), has been identified in humans and mice. TLR4 was identified as the missing link in LPS-induced cell signal transduction and responsiveness that is associated with MD-2 and CD14. It is known that C3H/HeJ mice are hyporesponsive to the biological effects of LPS. This proved to be the result of TLR4 deficiency. The TLR family members are coupled to a signalling adapter protein (MyD88) and form differential dimers that may explain the discrete responses to TLR ligands such as lipoproteins, heat shock proteins, unmethylated CpG DNA, viral dsRNA and bacterial flagellin. Intracellular signalling involves several kinases depending on

---

**Table 2. Classification of micro-organisms**

| Classification of bacteria by: |
|-------------------------------|
| Genotypical characteristics: chromosomal DNA fragment analysis, nucleic acid sequence analysis, probes |
| Phenotypical characteristics: morphology, biotyping, serotyping, antibiotic resistance |
| Analytical characteristics: cell-wall analysis, lipid and protein analysis, enzyme typing (catalase) |
| Gram staining positive or negative |
| Aerobic, anaerobic: Fermentation of different sugars |

| Naming and classification of viruses according to: |
| Structure: size, morphology (naked, enveloped), nucleic acid (RNA, DNA) |
| Molecular aspects: mode of replication, assembly and budding |
| Disease: encephalitis, hepatitis |
| Means of transmission: droplets, water, blood, insects |
| Host range: animal, plant, bacteria |

| Classification of fungi according to: |
| Structure: macroscopic morphology of hyphae (mycelium); microscopic morphology of hyphae, conidophores and conidia (spores); and shape and size |
| Cell features: nucleus, cytosol, plasmalemma (cell membrane which contains cholesterol), physiology, staining properties |
| Sexual characteristics: sexual and/or asexual reproduction, extended dikaryotic phase, basidium formation |
| Genotypical characteristics: chromosomal DNA fragment analysis, nucleic acid sequence analysis, probes |

| Diagnosis of parasites by: |
| Macroscopical examination |
| Concentration of cysts and eggs by microscopic examination |
| Serological diagnosis: antibody response |
| Detection of parasite by serology and by nucleic acid hybridisation: probes and amplification techniques |
Figure 3
Schematic illustration of the cell envelope of a Gram-negative and a Gram-positive bacterium.

Figure 4
Schematic illustration of cell activation through Toll-like receptors (TLRs).
the TLR involved and includes the MAP kinase and NF-κB pathways leading to a cellular response. PAMP for TLR2 include a variety of agonists derived from Gram-positive organisms such as peptidoglycan and lipoteichoic acid. Therefore, it seems that TLR2 and TLR4 are activated primarily by different PAMP to initiate the host response to Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial infection, respectively. This is further illustrated by the fact that TLR2 (but not TLR4) knockout mice are highly susceptible to Gram-positive bacteria, like Staphylococcus aureus, whereas TLR4 (but not TLR2) knockout mice are highly susceptible to Gram-negative bacteria such as Salmonella typhimurium.

Several lines of evidence support the current hypothesis that the monocyte/macrophage is the principal cellular mediator of endotoxicity. LPS-hyporesponsive TLR4-deficient C3H/HeJ mice are made responsive by transfer of macrophages of a closely related LPS-sensitive strain. When the host is challenged with LPS, soluble factors are produced by macrophages that mediate fever and an acute-phase response. These factors include the pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α. Together, TNF-α and IL-1 stimulate endothelial cells to produce and express proteins on their membrane that have adhesive properties for leukocytes, promoting the margination and passage of neutrophils from blood vessels through the endothelial layer, leading to neutrophil influx into the tissue. Adhesion molecules that mediate the binding of neutrophils appear on the endothelium after an inflammatory stimulus, followed by molecules that are specific for adhesion of monocytes or lymphocytes, which may be why neutrophils enter before mononuclear cells. Molecules that are currently known to be involved in leukocyte-endothelium interactions belong to three structural groups: the immunoglobulin gene super-family, the integrin family, and the selectin family.

Concomitant with cytokine release, LPS induces the activation of neutrophils, macrophages, and many other cells, resulting in the release of toxic oxygen radicals, which lead to tissue damage. At the same time, membrane-associated phospholipases are activated and products of the arachidonic acid cascade are released through the cyclooxygenase and/or lipoxygenase pathways (see chapter A7). Platelet-activating factor (PAF) is also generated, partly in response to the same signals. All these products contribute to a generalised inflammatory state with influx of neutrophils, capillary-leak syndrome, disturbances in blood coagulation, and myocardial suppression.

Endotoxin and TNF-α also trigger multiple abnormalities in coagulation and fibrinolysis, leading to microvascular clotting and diffuse intravascular coagulation. They also induce endothelial cells to produce plasminogen activator and IL-6, which is an important modulator of the production of acute-phase proteins by the liver. Interestingly, despite having important structural differences, TNF-α and IL-1 have multiple overlapping and few distinct biological activities, act synergistically, and mimic the whole spectrum of toxicity caused by LPS (see chapter A5). IL-8 is an important chemoattractant and activator of neutrophils and is crucial in the early stages of inflammation.

Infusion of endotoxin in healthy humans leads to an early and transient increase in plasma levels of TNF-α (detectable after 30 minutes, peaking after 90–120 minutes, and undetectable after 4–6 hours), which coincides with the development of clinical symptoms and pathophysiological responses encountered in Gram-negative sepsicaemia. TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8 levels are also increased in patients with sepsis syndrome, with high levels of these cytokines being correlated with severity of disease.

All these observations support the concept that endotoxin largely acts by initiating an inflammatory response through the activation of monocytes/macrophages and the subsequent release of cytokines. It also activates the complement system (leading to the generation of C5a, which induces aggregation of neutrophils and pulmonary vasoconstriction) and factor XII of the intrinsic coagulation pathway (Hageman factor). Finally, it induces the release of endorphins, which are also involved in the complex interactions of the inflammatory response in endotoxic septic shock.

Gram-positive bacteria are frequently and increasingly cultured from blood obtained from patients in shock. Unlike the pathophysiology of shock caused by Gram-negative bacteria, not much is known about the sequence of events that controls the signalling of monocytes and macrophages that leads
to the release of cytokines. Cell-wall components, such as peptidoglycan and teichoic acid, are clearly important in the activation of these cells. Exotoxins, however, may also play a role in the pathogenesis of Gram-positive bacterial shock.

Recently, another protein family was identified that also participates by sensing microbial components derived from bacterial peptidoglycan. The NOD (nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain) proteins NOD1 and NOD2 have that been implicated in intracellular recognition of the core structure, γδ-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelic acid, present in peptidoglycan.

A number of circulating inflammatory mediators have been investigated as marker tools to facilitate the early recognition of sepsis. These include IL-1, IL-6, TNF, pro-calcitonin, and triggering receptor on myeloid cells (TREM-1). TREM-1 is expressed on leukocytes and TREM family members have been implicated in mounting the inflammatory response. Pro-calcitonin (PCT) and IL-6 have proved to be the most prominent biomarkers of early sepsis. PCT is the pro-hormone of the hormone calcitonin, and can be produced by several cell types and many organs in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli, in particular by bacterial products. More recently high mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1) has been implicated as a lethal mediator of systemic inflammation. HMGB-1 is a nuclear and cytosolic protein widely studied as a transcription and growth factor that is released into the extracellular environment. It has a weak pro-inflammatory activity by itself and it may work in concert with other pro-inflammatory cytokines. This molecule may also be useful as a biomarker in the stratification of sepsis.

Susceptibility to sepsis can be influenced by factors that include ethnicity, gender, age, genetic defects and environmental factors. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (involving single base-pair alterations) have been described in genes controlling the host response to infection such as alterations in TNF receptors, IL-1 receptors, coagulation factors and TLR. It is now clear that sepsis is a complex, dynamic syndrome with great heterogeneity, and not a distinct disease. Therefore, neutralisation of a single key mediator as a cure for all patients with sepsis is erroneous.

**Human immunodeficiency virus infection**

The HIV is a retrovirus that infects cells bearing the CD4 antigen, such as T helper cells (Th), macrophages, and dendritic cells. The CD4 molecule, together with other receptor molecules, like chemokine receptor CCR5, acts as a binding site for the gp120 envelope glycoprotein of the virus. In an attempt to respond to HIV antigens and concomitant secondary microbial infections, these cells are activated, thus inducing the replication of HIV in the infected CD4 T cells, which are finally destroyed. In contrast, HIV-1 infection of macrophages is self-sustained and results in an inexorable growth of chronic active inflammatory processes in many tissue compartments including the central nervous system. Infected cells bear the fusion protein gp41 and may therefore fuse with other infected cells. This helps the virus to spread and accounts for the multinucleated cells seen in lymph nodes and brain. As a result of the decreased numbers of CD4+ Th cells and defects in antigen presentation, depressed immune responses in these patients are observed. During the progression of the disease, opportunistic infections by otherwise harmless micro-organisms can occur. These include *Candida albicans* oesophagitis, mucocutaneous herpes simplex, toxoplasma in the central nervous system, and pneumonia caused by toxoplasma and *Pneumocystis carinii*; Kaposi’s sarcoma also occurs frequently in these patients. This has been linked to the presence of a previously unknown type of herpes virus (HHV-8). This immune deficiency syndrome is called ‘acquired immune deficiency syndrome’ (AIDS). It has been suggested that infected monocytes/macrophages carry the HIV virus into the brain where it replicates in microglia and infiltrating macrophages. As a consequence, many AIDS patients develop cognitive and motor brain impairments. However, the picture is complicated by the various persistent infections already present in these patients, which give rise to their own pathology in the brain. These include *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and JC virus.

So far, no cure for HIV infection has been achieved. The main effort in the prevention of HIV infection lies
in mass public education programmes. Treatment of infected individuals is possible but expensive. **Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART)** reduces morbidity and mortality among patients infected with HIV (see Fig. 5). Success is limited by the emergence of drug-resistant viruses that can be transmitted to newly infected individuals. Resistance, drug toxicity, and poor patient **adherence** lead to treatment failure and necessitate continuous development of alternative treatment strategies that intervene with the HIV replication cycle; i.e. on the level of virus entry, critical viral enzymes [reverse transcriptase (RT), integrase (IN) and proteases (PR)] or viral nucleocapsid (NC) protein. At this moment a triple therapy is being prescribed in Western countries (two RT inhibitors and one PR inhibitor, Fig. 4), each of which interfere with specific steps in the process of HIV replication. One major problem that has arisen is the increasing resistance to these drugs. Agents with novel **mechanisms of action** provide options for patients with drug-resistant virus. Blocking of the chemokine receptor CCR5, a co-receptor on CD4 cells for HIV, is an alternative treatment for persons infected with the R5 HIV type. This notion is supported by a recent finding that a homozygous defect in this chemokine receptor accounts for resistance of multiple-exposed individuals to HIV-1 infection. Currently a commercially available drug is being used that specifically binds to CCR5 on the surface of the CD4 cell and selectively blocks HIV-1 binding.

---

**Vaccines and vaccination**

Pasteur and Koch triggered the stormy development of vaccines (anthrax, rabies, cholera) at the end of the 19th century. While Pasteur remained faithful to the principle of attenuated micro-organisms in preparing his vaccines, Koch employed killed germs (cholera) as a vaccine. Since diphtheria and tetanus cause disease by means of toxins, the next logical step in the development of vaccines was the use of detoxified toxins to induce protection against these diseases [diphtheria (Von Behring) and tetanus (Kitasato)]. Von Behring and Kitasato were the first to demonstrate that the source of the protective activity induced by vaccines was present in blood serum. Von Behring was also the first to prove that protective immunity could be passed on via serum. The development of new vaccines had its ups (yellow fever) and downs (tuberculosis). With the arrival
of antibiotics, all work on new bacterial vaccines was suspended or severely curtailed, although some researchers continued to work on viral vaccines, such as rubella, measles, polio, and mumps.

Since it has proved difficult to consistently develop new antibiotics to combat antibiotic-resistant bacteria, interest in vaccines has gradually increased over the last 15 years (see chapter C1). Today, thanks to new insights into the immune system and modern molecular biological and chemical techniques of analysis and synthesis, it is possible to produce well-defined vaccines. These contain only those determinants of the pathogenic micro-organism that induce protection (epitopes). These epitopes are usually short peptide or oligosaccharide chains, which can be produced synthetically or by means of recombinant DNA techniques. The immunogenicity of these products can be enhanced by coupling them to a carrier (tetanus toxoid, liposomes) and/or by adding an adjuvant (a substance that strengthens the immune response non-specifically). The recombinant DNA technique can also be used to obtain attenuated strains of micro-organisms, which are fully immunogenic and thus provide protection, but which are no longer virulent. One example of this is the development of a new cholera vaccine based on a bacterium that has all the characteristics of a virulent strain, except the toxin. The bacterium has retained all its adherence factors, which allow it to adhere to the intestinal mucosa; the length of time it spends in the intestine is sufficient to stimulate the local immune system. The newest trend in vaccinology is immunisation by introducing plasmid DNA into the host. Success has been attained by this method for hepatitis B vaccination.

Not only are new vaccines being developed, but it is also possible to heighten natural resistance for longer or shorter periods. Various interleukins (IL-2, GM-CSF) and interferons are being studied in order to use them to combat infectious diseases (see chapter C7). Passive antibody therapy with polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies (mouse or human IgG with single specificity) for infectious diseases is experiencing a renewed interest. Targeting soluble factors (like neutralisation of bacterial toxins and viruses) or common structures (like bacterial adhesins or viral entry factors) in high-risk patient groups may be beneficial alone or may enhance the therapeutic efficacy of other drugs. Targets for clinical development of monoclonal antibodies include multi-resistant staphylococci and enterococci, Bacillus anthracis toxin, HIV, hepatitis C virus (HCV), and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).

---

**Infections in the new millennium**

As outlined above for a number of bacteria and viruses, effective vaccines have been developed and applied worldwide. The eradication of smallpox (Variola major) virus in the 1970s was a milestone for the World Health Organisation. The next goal of the WHO is to eradicate poliovirus in the coming years. Major problems to be dealt with are the distribution of these vaccines, the costs involved, the registration and the compliance of the vaccinees and molecular techniques to trace the final bug. Meanwhile new unexpected microbiological threats become in focus. Hospital infections caused by multi-resistant micro-organism due to the abundance use of antibiotics and exchange of genetic material between micro-organisms impose major problems on patients and healthcare workers. New antibiotics and/or vaccines should be developed and new strategies employed to contain these infections. Due to crowding and the high mobility of the world population, old and new pathogens, e.g. influenza and SARS, threaten our society. The recent influenza A H1N1 ("Mexican") flu outbreak in 2009 demonstrated how rapidly a new strain of flu can emerge and spread around the world. The sudden outbreak of this novel flu virus has tested the world’s public health preparedness. In the Netherlands, Q fever emerged with large epidemic outbreaks. Q fever is a zoonotic disease, that is passed from infected (farm) animals (cattle, sheep, and goats are the primary carriers) to humans. Q fever is a disease caused by the intracellular bacterium Coxiella burnetii. Organisms are excreted in milk, urine, and faeces of infected animals. Most importantly, during birthing the organisms are shed in high numbers within the amniotic fluids and the placenta. C. burnetii is resistant to heat, drying, and many common disinfectants, allowing it
to survive for a long time in the environment. People can become infected by inhalation of the bacteria, but the risk of infection is low. Only about one-half of all people infected with *C. burnetii* show signs of clinical illness (e.g. flu-like illness, pneumonia, and hepatitis). Q fever can be treated with antibiotics, and most people will recover fully. As a control measure, pregnant goats on dairy farms had to be killed and a mandatory vaccination campaign was started.

On top of this, terrorists might intentionally use micro-organisms (smallpox, anthrax, plague etc.), or bacterial toxins (botulism) to cause death and disease in humans or animals in a civilian setting. The recognition that an event was caused by a biological weapon presents a severe challenge to be prepared for such an attack, especially for medical care providers, and public health officials. Strategies to combat bioterrorism have to be worked out but with the experience of 100 years of combating micro-organisms with hygiene measures, vaccination, antibiotic and anti-viral treatment, there must be a way out.

---

### Important websites

**Scientific Research**
- American Society for Microbiologists, [http://www.asm.org](http://www.asm.org)
- American Association of Immunology, [http://www.aai.org](http://www.aai.org)
- European Federation of Immunological Societies, [http://www.efis.org](http://www.efis.org)
- Federation of European Microbiological Societies, [http://www.fems-microbiology.org](http://www.fems-microbiology.org)
- National Library of Medicine, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

**Outbreaks of Infectious Diseases**
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, [http://www.cdc.gov](http://www.cdc.gov)
- International Society for Infectious Diseases, [http://www.isid.org](http://www.isid.org)
- Daily update: [http://www.promedmail.org](http://www.promedmail.org)
- World Health Organisation, [http://www.who.int](http://www.who.int)
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