**Introduction**

Chlorosis is a plant condition in which pigments levels are reduced. Chlorophylls and carotenoids are the principal pigments and the major constituents of the photosynthetic apparatus in land plants and green algae. Chlorophyll a (Chla) is in nearly all oxygenic photosynthetic organisms (Nakamura et al. 2003) and is essential in photochemistry. Chlorophyll b (Chlb) is necessary for stabilizing the major light-harvesting complexes within the pigment antenna system (Lichtenthaler et al. 1981). Carotenoids have many physiological functions in plants and one of the most important is to allow plants to overcome the negative effects of stress on their growth and development (Kopsell and Kopsell 2008).

Chlorophyll content in plants is affected by developmental stage and by numerous environmental factors. Chlorophyll content of barley leaves was reported to change throughout the growing season and to start decreasing at the beginning of leaf senescence (Matile et al. 1988). Decrease in chlorophyll levels due to adverse conditions is used as a marker for external damage in plants (Kara and Mujdeci 2010). Also, the Chla/Chlb ratio is known as a quantitative indicator of the degree of adaptation of the photosynthetic apparatus to the illumination conditions (Kitajima and Hogan 2003). One of the most common methods for the quantification of chlorophylls and carotenoids is by measuring their absorption of light. Destructive quantification methods require pigment purification by organic solvents like acetone or DMSO (MacKinney 1941). There are also some non-destructive techniques to estimate leaf chlorophyll content, such as chlorophyll meters, which have been extensively used in agriculture because they provide instantaneous readings although, the accuracy of the measurement can be affected by leaf veins and variations in leaf thickness (Brito et al. 2011).

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) is one of the most important oil crops worldwide. Weeds compete with sunflower for moisture, nutrients, and, depending on the species, for light and space. Broadleaf weeds are known to cause considerable yield losses in sunflower production (Blamey et al. 1997). The most common method for weed control is herbicide treatment. It is known that herbicide application induces stress signals in non-target species. For this reason, trait

---

**Precision phenotyping of imidazolinone-induced chlorosis in sunflower**

Ana Claudia Ochogavia*1,3), Mercedes Gil2,3), Liliana Picardi2,4) and Graciela Nestares2)

1) Laboratorio de Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, CC 14, S2125 ZAA, Zavalla, Argentina
2) Cátedra de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, CC 14, S2125 ZAA, Zavalla, Argentina
3) CONICET, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Av. Rivadavia 1917-C.A.B.A., Argentina
4) CIUNR, Consejo de Investigaciones de la Universidad Nacional de Rosario, CC 14, S2125 ZAA, Zavalla, Argentina

Chlorosis level is a useful parameter to assess imidazolinone resistance in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). The aim of this study was to quantify chlorosis through two different methods in sunflower plantlets treated with imazapyr. The genotypes used in this study were two inbred lines reported to be different in their resistance to imidazolinones. Chlorosis was evaluated by spectrophotometrical quantification of photosynthetic leaf pigments and by a bioinformatics-based color analysis. A protocol for pigment extraction was presented which improved pigment stability. Chlorophyll amount decreased significantly when both genotypes were treated with 10 μM of imazapyr. Leaf color was characterized using Tomato Analyzer® color test software. A significant positive correlation between color reduction and chlorophyll concentration was found. It suggests that leaf color measurement could be an accurate method to estimate chlorosis and infer chlorophyll levels in sunflower plants. These results highlight a strong relationship between imidazolinone-induced chlorosis and variations in leaf color and in chlorophyll concentration. Both methods are quantitative, rapid, simple, and reproducible. Thus, they could be useful tools for phenotyping and screening large number of plants when breeding for imidazolinone resistance in this species.
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development for herbicide resistance in sunflower, particularly imidazolinones (IMI) and sulfonylureas (SU), has been an active area of research during the past decade (Sala et al. 2012). IMI and SU are among the five chemical families of herbicides that inhibit the enzyme acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS; EC 4.1.5.18). AHAS is a critical enzyme for the biosynthesis of branched-chain amino acids in plants (Tan et al. 2006). AHAS inhibitors have been revolutionary to the herbicide market because they are potent, effective, and environmentally safe. IMI and SU herbicides control weeds by blocking the biosynthesis of valine, leucine and isoleucine (Duggleby et al. 2008). Crop injury after herbicide treatment includes symptoms such as chlorosis, stunting, yellowing, reduction of biomass production, and yield loss. The effect of these herbicides on chlorophyll levels has been evaluated in several species such as corn, chickpea, soybean, green bean, and green algae (Aamil et al. 2004, Alonge 2000, Cayon et al. 1990, Couderchet and Vernet 2003, Hoseiny-Rad and Jagannath 2011, Matocha and Hopper 2001, Saghir Khan et al. 2006, Shim et al. 2003, Wilson and Wilson 2010).

Imidazolinone herbicide application has been reported to cause initial chlorosis and necrosis of meristematic tissues, followed by a slow necrosis of mature tissues (Shaner et al. 1984). In previous studies, different levels of chlorosis have been observed in IMI-resistant and susceptible sunflower inbred lines when they were treated with imidazolinones (Breccia et al. 2011). However, chlorosis was only described but was not quantified. Chlorosis assessments were carried out using visual qualitative scales, which are highly dependent on the operator. Tomato analyzer® color Test program (TACT) is a recently developed bioinformatics tool that allows an objective quantification of color and color uniformity (Rodriguez et al. 2010). This software application was designed for measuring internal fruit color and shape of tomatoes with a colorimeter and/or from scanned digital images. This tool allows association of phenotypic color variation with genotypic variances by comparing colorimetric data (Darrigues et al. 2008). Tomato analyzer® has also been used for the colorimetric and volumetric characterization of other fruits and vegetables (Darrigues et al. 2008), and in our group it has been applied for leaf and roots analyses (Breccia et al. 2012). To the best our knowledge, there are no publications about its implementation for color characterization. The objectives of this study were to (i) evaluate imidazolinone-induced chlorosis using both image analysis and the quantification of photosynthetic pigments, and (ii) determine the correlation between these methods.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material and growth condition**

The two sunflower (H. annuus) inbred lines used for the study were HA425 and 1058-1, developed by USDA-ARS (United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service) in cooperation with the North Dakota Experiment Station, United States. According to the digenic model proposed by Bruniard and Miller (2001) in which a major semidominant gene (Imr1) interacting with a second modifier gene (Imr2) confers resistance to imidazolinones in sunflower, the inbred lines HA425 (Imr1/Imr1Imr2Imr2) (Miller and Al-Khatib 2002) and 1058-1 (Imr1/Imr1Imr2Imr2) have been classified by these authors as resistant and intermediate, respectively.

Seeds were sown in plastic pots (4 cm wide, 5.5 cm high) filled with commercial perlite as described by Vega et al. (2009). The pots were placed in plastic trays and watered by capillarity with nutritive solution consisting in Murashige Skoog salts (1.1 gl⁻¹) plus herbicide imazapyr (Clearsol® BASF). Two treatment concentrations were evaluated (2.5 uM and 10 uM). The control treatment consisted in watering the plantlets with nutritive solution free of herbicide. All trays were watered by capillarity from sowing to collection dates. Pots were incubated in a growth chamber under controlled temperature (25 ± 2°C), photoperiod (16 h light and 8 h dark), and light intensity (100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 15 days. After the incubation period all plantlets presented two expanded leaves (V2 stage) (Schneiter and Miller 1981) which were excised from seedlings and immediately used for pigment and color test determinations.

**Measurement of chlorophylls and carotenoids concentration**

The pigment extraction protocol was based on Porra (2002) and Meléndez-Martínez et al. (2007), with modifications. We significantly reduced the working volume. One distal 1 cm² section was cut from one expanded leaf of fifteen-days-old sunflower seedlings. Each section was weighed and then pulverized in a microtube with micropestle and 1 ml of acetone 80% v/v. Leaf extract was quickly vortexed and spun for 5 min at 4000 rpm, and the supernatant transferred to a glass tube. The pellet was re-extracted with 1 ml of acetone 80% v/v, vortexed and spun again, and the supernatant added to the first extract. Final volume of extract solution was approximately 6 ml. Absorbance was measured at 750, 664, 647 and 470 nm in a Perking Elmer Lambda Bio+ spectrophotometer. Background effects were corrected for by subtracting the 750 nm absorbance determinations. A₆₆₄ and A₆₄₇ were used in the equations described by Porra et al. (2002) to estimate concentrations of Chlₐ, Chl₀, and total Chl in treated sunflower leaves. A₄₇₀ values were used in Meléndez-Martínez et al. (2007) carotenoids equations.

**Bioinformatic color tests determinations**

Leaves of each genotype and herbicide treatment were removed from seedlings and immediately imaged with a HP Scanjet G3010 flatbed scanner. TIFF images (300 dpi) were analyzed using Tomato Analyzer (http://www.oardc.ohiostate.edu/vanderknapp/tomato_analyzer.htm). Leaf color was estimated with the hue angle parameter.
Experimental design and data analysis

The experimental design was a randomized block design with six replications and each replication consisted in four plantlets. One leaf was sampled from each replication and a 1-cm sample from distal region of these leaves was used for the pigment extraction determinations. For colorimetical determinations, three leaves from each replication were imaged. Chlorophylls, carotenoid concentrations, and hue angle values were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance. Normality of the empirical distribution of each variable was assessed by the Shapiro and Wilk’s $W$ statistic test. Homogeneity of variance was evaluated using the Levene’s test. Treatment means were compared by Tukey’s test. Correlation values among all pigment concentrations and hue angles were also estimated. Statistical analyses were performed using agricolae and car packages of R software (R Development Core Team 2010).

Results

A slight yellowing uniform chlorosis was observed in imidazolinone-treated leaves of both sunflower genotypes. Leaf area also decreased, even in the imidazolinone-resistant genotype (Fig. 1). Imidazolinone-induced chlorosis was evaluated by chlorophyll and carotenoid quantifications obtained by spectrophotometric methods and by color variations obtained by bioinformatic color analysis. Pigment concentrations and colorimetric values were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and correlation values were also estimated.

Pigments concentration analysis

Amounts of Chla, Chlb, and total Chl in leaf samples were determined for each genotype. The ANOVA showed significant herbicide effect on the concentration of Chla, Chlb, and total Chl (p < 0.0001; p < 0.0029; and p < 0.001 respectively). Chla and total Chl were significantly lower than those of the controls in imidazolinone treated plants of both genotypes (Table 1). Chla content decreased by 44.9% in HA425, and 46.3% in 1058-1 compared to control leaves. Also, total Chl decreased by 42.5% in HA425, and 43.5% in 1058-1.

No significant decrease was found in Chlb, Chla/Chlb ratio, or carotenoids contents (Table 1).

An interesting result of the present study was that no block effects were detected for all studied variables by ANOVA. This result points out the robustness of the modified pigments extraction protocol.

Bioinformatics color analysis

Changes in leaf coloration were determined with the hue angle parameter, using TACT. The hue angle is associated with the green color component of tissues, with a 90° hue value representing yellow, and 180° representing a bluish green color. Hue angle of treated leaves was significantly lower than that of untreated leaves for both genotypes (Table 1). ANOVA showed a significant effect of herbicide, genotype, and the interaction between them (p < 0.0001, p

Table 1. Pigment content ($\mu$g g$^{-1}$ of tissue) and hue angle values (grades) of resistant (HA425) and intermediate (1058-1) genotypes treated with imazapyr

| Genotype | Imazapyr (μM) | Chla | Chlb | Chla/Chlb ratio | Total Chl | Carotenoids | hue angle |
|----------|---------------|------|------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| HA425    | 0             | 752.80 (±31.72)$^a$ | 198.15 (±18.31)$^a$ | 3.92 (±0.28)$^a$ | 950.95 (±46.50)$^a$ | 140.91 (±5.3)$^a$ | 139.07 (±0.32)$^a$ |
|          | 2.5           | 665.4 (±46.23)$^ab$ | 205.49 (±28.02)$^a$ | 3.78 (±0.94)$^a$ | 870.89 (±58.49)$^a$ | 145.66 (±14.34)$^a$ | 134.73 (±0.26)$^a$ |
|          | 10            | 414.64 (±24.73)$^bc$ | 131.10 (±3.25)$^a$ | 3.17 (±0.22)$^a$ | 545.75 (24.19)$^b$ | 119.99 (±2.69)$^b$ | 129.16 (±0.25)$^b$ |
| 1058-1   | 0             | 744.5 (±20.17)$^a$ | 218.84 (±26.06)$^a$ | 3.59 (±0.31)$^a$ | 967.35 (±45.27)$^a$ | 136.67 (±1.28)$^a$ | 136.55 (±0.13)$^a$ |
|          | 2.5           | 603.93 (±28.73)$^b$ | 240.38 (±35.89)$^a$ | 2.73 (±0.31)$^a$ | 844.51 (±36.34)$^a$ | 119.53 (±15.19)$^a$ | 133.92 (±0.51)$^a$ |
|          | 10            | 399.92 (±16.72)$^c$ | 145.63 (±6.00)$^a$ | 2.75 (±0.09)$^a$ | 545.55 (±21.28)$^b$ | 118.23 (±5.96)$^a$ | 128.87 (±0.23)$^a$ |

Each value is the mean ± standard error. Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level (Tukey’s multiple comparison test). Chla/Chlb ratio data was square root-transformed: mean values are based on untransformed data. Letters refer to transformed mean values.
Correlation studies

Correlation analyses showed a strong relationship between different concentrations of pigments and bioinformatic color determinations with a positive correlation between Chlₐ concentration and hue angle values \( r = 0.81; R^2 = 0.64; p < 0.0001 \). These results indicate that there is a strong association between colorimetric determinations and pigment concentration for the imidazolinone-induced chlorosis.

Discussion

Herbicide application aims at eliminating crop competitive weeds. However, herbicides can cause abiotic stresses in non-target species, increasing the levels of oxidative metabolites which hinder normal plant development. The effects of these metabolites may cause symptoms such as chlorosis, necrosis, and decreased growth, among others (Kopsell et al. 2007). Previous studies have evaluated the influence of herbicides affecting photosystem II and chlorosis-inducing herbicides on the synthesis and accumulation of chlorophylls and carotenoids in cyanobacteria (González-Barreiro et al. 2004) and algae (Prado et al. 2011). Atrazine was reported to cause a significant decrease in the Chlₐ content in *Synechococcus elongatus* (González-Barreiro et al. 2004). Also, paraquat treatment induced chlorosis in *Chlamydomonas moewusii* (Prado et al. 2011).

Chlorophyll content is commonly used as a parameter to evaluate the physiological condition of plants (Kara and Mujdeci 2010). Measurement of these pigments is most frequently done by spectrophotometric methods. In intact plant organs, however, this measurement is greatly hindered by scattering and non-specific absorption (Brito et al. 2011). It is therefore more accurate to analyze chlorophyll extracts, but the stability of the chlorophylls *in vitro* has to be considered. Most long-standing extraction methods use solvents that are mutually miscible with water and non-polar liquids, e.g. acetone (Brünsna 1961, MacKinney 1941). During extraction and subsequent absorbance measurement, chlorophyll can be degraded by long exposure to oxygen, by chlorophyllase activity, and by the action of cell organic acids, among others factors (Brünsna 1961). Thus, chlorophyll stability must be ensured before doing spectrophotometric measurements. In our work we proposed some interesting modifications to a commonly used protocol. Extract exposure to air and the time required to complete the extraction procedure were markedly reduced by using micropetites to disrupt a small sample of fresh leaf tissue in a microtube. Also, the extraction time was considerably shortened by replacing the commonly used vacuum purification step with centrifugation in microtubes. No repetition effects between determinations for each treatment and genotype were detected by statistical analysis; therefore, the protocol modifications did not affect the repeatability of this test.

The effects of imidazolinones and sulfonylureas on chlorophyll levels have been evaluated in several species. Treatments with sulfonylureas have been reported to reduce chlorophyll content in corn, green pea, and chickpea leaves (Aamil et al. 2004, Matacha and Hopper 2001, Shim et al. 2003). Treatments with imidazolinone caused inter nal chlorosis and reduced plant height in green pea and soybean (Alonge 2000, Cayon et al. 1990, Wilson and Wilson 2010). Chlorophyll concentration decreased significantly in soybean leaves treated with high doses of imazaquin, mainly after post-emergence applications (Alonge 2000, Cayon et al. 1990). Imazethapyr-treated chickpea leaves showed a significant decrease in total chlorophyll content when treated with high herbicide concentration (e.g. 10 ppm) (Hoseiny-Rad and Jagannath 2011). All these studies are consistent with our results. Imidazolinone reduced Chlₐ, Chlₐₐ, and total Chl concentrations in sunflower. The higher dose of imazapyr induced a significant decrease in Chlₐ, Chlₐₐ, and total Chl from 33% to 46% for both genotypes. The sunflower genotypes used in this work differ in their resistance to imidazolinones; however, slightly differences were detected between them under this experimental condition. Further analysis including higher herbicide concentrations or longer incubation periods will be of interest to establish conditions for screening imidazolinone-resistance in this species. Reductions in chlorophyll content could be due to a decrease in chlorophyll synthesis or to an increase in pigment degradation. AHAS-inhibiting herbicides are neither direct suppressors of pigment synthesis nor principal inducers of oxidative stress, but these symptoms are associated with herbicide treatment. Some authors propose that the decrease in chlorophyll concentration in soybeans treated with imazaquin may be related to an increase in the photo-oxidation of chlorophylls due to lack of carotenoids (Alonge 2000, Cayon et al. 1990, Duke 1985). In our work, by contrast, carotenoid concentration remained unchanged in both control and treated leaves. This result suggests that the decrease in chlorophyll content could be brought about by mechanisms which are independent from the photoprotection mediated by carotenoids.

Identification of herbicide-resistant sunflower phenotypes involves spraying the herbicide onto plants grown in the field or greenhouse at early stages of development, usually V2–V4, for subsequent selection of resistant genotypes (Sala et al. 2012). For this reason, early phenotypic selection for herbicide resistance is usually tested with soil-less bioassays, which allow fast and inexpensive screening of large numbers of individuals (Beckie et al. 2000). Previous studies in this species showed that root growth parameters in seed germination bioassays were useful for early imidazolinone resistance screening (Vega et al. 2009). The present study broadens the spectrum of analysis for imidazolinone...
resistance in sunflower by considering chlorosis characterization. Due to the low imazapyr concentrations evaluated, chlorosis increase in treated leaves was barely visible (Fig. 1). However a significant hue angle reduction was detected by TACT analysis. The significant positive correlation between hue angle and Chl concentration agrees with Majer et al. (2010). These authors found a significant linear correlation between hue angle and chlorophyll content in tobacco and grapevine leaves having different colours at different stages of senescence. In our study, colorimetric analysis with TACT allowed quantifying the increase in leaf yellowing as seedlings were treated with increasing imazapyr concentrations. This work is the first report on the implementation of this software in leaf color characterization. Leaf color measurement by TACT could be an accurate, simple, fast and cost-effective method to measure chlorosis in leaves of sunflower plants. It also allows inferring Chl content determinations and TACT image analysis are valu-ably friendly. The new application of this bioinformatic tool could be useful in sunflower-breeding programs.

In conclusion, our results show that both chlorophyll content determinations and TACT image analysis are valuable tools for the quantitative assessment of imidazolinone-induced chlorosis in sunflower leaves. TACT image analysis has potential for high-throughput screenings, thus reducing the time and labor required for the characterization of large numbers of plants. The protocols described could be useful for the phenotypic characterization of the imidazolinone resistance trait. Moreover, they could also be applied to other biotic or abiotic stresses involving this symptom.
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