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**A B S T R A C T**

Dry root rot disease hinders the cultivation of groundnut crop and leads to major yield loss. Chemical control of this pathogen renders harmful effect on soil microbes thereby depleting the soil quality. Considering this, an attempt was made to manage groundnut dry root rot disease in a natural way. Different oil cake extracts were tested for their antifungal activity against groundnut dry root rot pathogen *Macrophomina phaseolina* through poisoned food technique. Among the seven oil cake extracts tested under *in vitro* condition, neem cake extract found effective against *Macrophomina phaseolina* with minimum mycelial growth of 4.23 cm and maximum mycelia inhibition of 52.90 per cent followed by coconut cake extract recorded mycelial growth of 4.47 cm and mycelial inhibition of 50.33 per cent against control at 10 per cent concentration. But under 15 per cent concentration, groundnut cake extract was proved effective against *Macrophomina phaseolina* with minimum mycelial growth of 3.20 cm and maximum mycelial inhibition of 65.00 per cent followed by neem cake extract recorded the mycelial growth of 4.40 cm and mycelial inhibition of 51.10 per cent.
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**Introduction**

Groundnut or Peanut is a crop species which belongs to legume or Bean family. This crop is called by several other names *viz.*, earth nuts, groundnuts, goober peas, pig nuts, pygmy nuts and monkey nuts. It is not a nut, but rather a legume despite its name and appearance. Being major oil seed crop in India, this plays major role in bridging oil deficit in India. It is cultivated in both Kharif and Rabi. Exports of Groundnut from India to World market ranges up to 4, 89, 187 MT worth of Rs.3,298.31 crores during the year 2018-19. India ranks first in the world in area to produce groundnut which contributes about 40% of the total area (APEDA Annual report, 2019). India ranks second in groundnut...
production with 9.25 million tonnes which contributes 33.30% of the world’s production. The average productivity of the groundnut in India is about 1893 kg/ha. It is grown in an area of about 48 Lakh hectares (Agriculture Indiastat, 2018-19). It becomes a major oil (40-49%) and protein source (20%) for consumption. Economically important seed and seedling disease of groundnut enlisted are collar rot/seedling blight, stem rot / Scelortium wilt, dry wilt or dry root rot.

These diseases majorly found to cause seedling mortality resulting in patchy crop stand and reduces the yield of the crop from 25-50% (Kapur and Chohan, 1974). Among these diseases, dry root rot caused by *M. phaseolina* (Tassi.) Goid has been found to cause major losses from seedling stage to maturity of the crop with 35 to 60 per cent disease severity and 70 per cent yield loss (Ray and Kumar, 2008). Khamari et al., (2017) reported that the indiscriminate use of fungicides to manage this disease leave residues on the soil and pose major health threats to the society. Nearly 200 plant pathogens are found to be resistant towards various chemicals including fungicides (Varma and Dubey, 1999). Hence there is an urgent need to find an alternative tactic to manage the disease. Considering the economic importance of the crop and serious effects of the dry root rot pathogen, a study was carried out to manage the dry root rot pathogen *in vitro* by using various oil cake extracts.

**Materials and Methods**

The pathogen causing charcoal rot or dry root rot in groundnut crop was isolated from infected stem portions using tissue segment method. The culture was purified and maintained in Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium for further studies. Fresh culture which is five days old was used in the study.

The antifungal potency of seven different oil cakes *viz.*, Castor cake, Coconut cake, Cotton cake, Groundnut cake, Neem cake, Sesame cake, Mixture cake (Cotton cake and sesame cake) was tested against groundnut root rot pathogen *M. phaseolina* through poisoned food technique at 10 per cent and 15 percent concentration.

The aqueous extracts of different oil cakes mentioned above were obtained by suspending 40 gm of ground oil cake in 150 ml of sterile distilled water and left soaked for 10 days. After 10 days, the contents were filtered through three layered sterile muslin cloth. Then the extracts were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 15 minutes and supernatant obtained was used as standard solution (100%) (Meena et al., 2014). For *in vitro* studies, the extracts were diluted to 10% and 15% concentrations. Each oil cake extracts were taken at 10 ml and 15 ml quantities and mixed with 90 ml and 85 ml molten PDA to get 10 per cent and 15 per cent concentrations. They were mixed thoroughly in conical flasks and safely plugged with non-absorbent cotton and further sterilized by using autoclave at 15 psi for 15 minutes. Then the poisoned media were poured in Petri plates and inoculated with fresh culture discs of *M. phaseolina* (test pathogen) and incubated at room temperature. Simultaneously, Petri plates with PDA which does not contain any oil cake extract kept as control. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. Observations on mycelial growth of the pathogen were taken and mycelial inhibition percentage over control was calculated using Vincent formulae (Vincent, 1947).

\[ I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100 \]

Where, \( I \) = Mycelial Inhibition Percentage  
\( C \) = Mycelial growth of the pathogen in
Control (in cm)
T= Mycelial growth of the pathogen in Treatment (in cm)

Results and Discussion

The aqueous extracts of seven different oil cakes were tested for their inhibitory activity against groundnut dry root rot pathogen *M. phaseolina* at 10 per cent and 15 per cent concentrations and the results are appended in Table 1. Among the seven oil cake extracts tested against *M. phaseolina* under *in vitro* condition, neem cake extract found effective against *M. phaseolina* with minimum mycelial growth of 4.23 cm and maximum mycelia inhibition of 52.90 per cent followed by coconut cake extract recorded mycelial growth of 4.47 cm and mycelial inhibition of 50.33 per cent against control at 10 per cent concentration (Figure 1). But under 15 per cent concentration, groundnut cake extract was proved effective against *M. phaseolina* with minimum mycelial growth of 3.20 cm and maximum mycelial inhibition of 65.00 per cent followed by neem cake extract recorded the mycelial growth of 4.40 cm and mycelial inhibition of 51.10 per cent. Mixture cake recorded the least inhibition of 33.40 per cent and 27.00 per cent against control at 10 and 15% respectively (Figure 2).

Table 1 Bioefficacy of different oil cake extracts on growth of *M. phaseolina* in vitro

| Sl. No. | Oil cake extracts | Mycelial growth of the pathogen (cm)* | Percent inhibition over control (%)* |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|         |                   | 10%    | 15%    | 10%    | 15%    |
| 1       | Castor cake       | 4.70b  | 5.20c  | 47.77  | 42.22  |
|         |                   |        |        | (43.68) | (40.50) |
| 2       | Coconut cake      | 4.47ab | 5.80d  | 50.33  | 35.55  |
|         |                   |        |        | (45.17) | (36.12) |
| 3       | Cotton cake       | 5.26c  | 5.26c  | 41.50  | 41.55  |
|         |                   |        |        | (40.06) | (40.08) |
| 4       | Groundnut cake    | 5.50c  | 3.20a  | 38.8   | 65.00  |
|         |                   |        |        | (38.54) | (53.37) |
| 5       | Neem cake         | 4.23a  | 4.40b  | 52.9   | 51.10  |
|         |                   |        |        | (46.68) | (45.40) |
| 6       | Sesame cake       | 4.51ab | 5.80d  | 49.8   | 35.00  |
|         |                   |        |        | (44.92) | (36.12) |
| 7       | Mixture cake      | 5.89d  | 6.60e  | 33.4   | 27.00  |
|         |                   |        |        | (33.95) | (31.03) |
| 8       | Control           | 9.00   | 9.00   | -      | -      |
|         | SEd               | 0.13   | 0.13   | 0.84   | 0.88   |
|         | C.D(0.05)         | 0.27   | 0.28   | 1.79   | 1.87   |
|         | CV (%)            | 2.91   | 2.87   | 2.81   | 3.06   |

*Means of three replications
Values in the parentheses represent arcsine transformed values
Means in a column followed by the same superscript are on par with each other
Group a has the best performing treatments and group e has the poor performing treatments
**Fig. 1** Bioefficacy of different oil cake extracts on the growth of *M. phaseolina* in vitro at 10% concentration

1 – Castor cake  
2 – Coconut cake  
3 – Cotton cake  
4 – Groundnut cake  
5 – Neem cake  
6 – Sesame cake  
7 – Mixture cake

**Fig. 2** Bioefficacy of different oil cake extracts on the growth of *M. phaseolina* in vitro at 15% concentration

1 – Castor cake  
2 – Coconut cake  
3 – Cotton cake  
4 – Groundnut cake  
5 – Neem cake  
6 – Sesame cake  
7 – Mixture cake
Several scientists have recorded the efficacy of different oil cake extracts against *M. phaseolina*. Chavan (2006) reported the efficacy of groundnut cake and neem cake extracts against the growth of *M. phaseolina in vitro*. They found to have mycelial inhibition percentage of 70.00 and 46.88 respectively over control. Dhingani *et al.*, (2013) tested four organic extracts were tested against *M. phaseolina* by poisoned food technique *in vitro*. Significantly least growth of mycelium and maximum mycelium inhibition was recorded in extracts of neem cake (59.40 %) followed by farm yard manure (42.56 %). Meena *et al.*, (2014) reported the antifungal activity of neem oil cake and mustard oil cake extracts on *M. phaseolina*. It is found that maximum mycelial inhibition is exhibited by neem cake extract at 20 per cent with 52.40 per cent mycelial inhibition followed by 42.61 per cent and 29.60 per cent with concentrations of 15 per cent and 10 per cent respectively. Jha *et al.*, (2000) tested different oil cake extracts for their efficacy against *M. phaseolina* and recorded that neem cake and pungam cake exhibited maximum mycelial inhibition of 63.40 per cent and 71.9 per cent at 10 per cent concentration.

In conclusion from the present study it was concluded that the groundnut oil cake extract at 15 per cent concentration exhibited minimum mycelial growth (3.20 cm) with maximum inhibition of mycelial growth (65.00 %).
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