Cancer is widely considered to be a set of genetic diseases that are currently classified by tissue and cell type of origin and, increasingly, by its molecular characteristics. This latter aspect is based primarily upon oncogene gains, tumor suppressor losses, and associated transcriptional profiles. However, cancers are also characterized by profound alterations in cellular metabolism and epigenetic landscape. It is particularly noteworthy that cancer-causing genomic defects not only activate cell cycle progression, but regulate the opportunistic uptake and utilization of nutrients, effectively enabling tumors to maximize growth and drug resistance in changing tissue and systemic microenvironments. Shifts in chromatin architecture are central to this dynamic behavior. Further, changes in nutrient uptake and utilization directly affect chromatin structure. In this review, we describe a set of recent discoveries of metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming in cancer, and especially focus on the genomically well-characterized brain tumor, glioblastoma. Further, we discuss a new mode of metabolic regulation driven by epigenetic mechanisms, that enables cancer cells to autonomously activate iron metabolism for their survival. Together, these underscore the integration of genetic mutations with metabolic reprogramming and epigenetic shifts in cancer, suggesting a new means to identifying patient subsets suitable for specific precision therapeutics.
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### I. Introduction—Epigenetics Is a Driving Force for Cancer Progression

A series of next-generation sequencing approaches have enabled the prognostic and predictive stratification of cancer patients that is framed by the orchestration of genetic aberrations and transcriptome profiles [11, 12, 52, 57]. Large-scale public datasets have provided an infrastructure for this, and the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project has generated over 2.5 petabytes of genomic, epigenomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data to this end. However, translation of the knowledge into the next clinical stage requires further elucidation of how these genetic abnormalities functionally drive cancer initiation and progression. Importantly, metabolic reprogramming has emerged as a new core hallmark of cancer, and genetic aberrations, in combination with intrinsic and extrinsic molecular signaling, shift intracellular metabolism to support the demands of rapidly proliferating cancer cells [24, 64]. Furthermore, metabolic reprogramming could dynamically shift the epigenetic landscape, as exemplified by DNA and histone modifications, through the production of intermediary metabolites [32, 44].
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The genome can effectively be considered from the standpoint of chromatin which is the molecular complex of DNA and histone proteins. A nucleosome is the fundamental unit of chromatin, containing 146 base pairs of DNA, wrapped around a histone octamer (a pair of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4). Notably, the organization and function of the chromatin can be dynamically altered by various modifications of the nucleosomal components (i.e. DNA and histones), at least partly through metabolic reprogramming [1]. Such nucleosomal modifications or epigenetic changes define the state of cellular differentiation, and the Waddington model indicates that differentiating cells proceed downhill along branching canals separated by walls that restrict cell identity in an epigenetic developmental specification [25, 42]. Importantly, cancer cells harness the epigenetic system where aberrant chromatin structures affect the height of the walls between the canals in this epigenetic landscape [19], and genetic, metabolic and environmental stimuli are the driving forces to disrupt chromatin and alter cellular states and responses. This, in turn, can predispose individual cells to a spectrum of cancerous states [66]. In that sense, cancer may be the result of the synergistic combination of genetic, metabolic and epigenetic aberrations, rather than just of one such component.

Here we describe a set of recent discoveries on metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming in cancer, especially focusing on a genomically well-characterized brain tumor, glioblastoma (GBM). We highlight how the common mutations in gliomas promote metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming in the cell. We further use our recent study defining mammalian/mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 2 (mTORC2) as an indicative example of a novel integrator of cancer metabolism and epigenetics and a promising key node which might be therapeutically targeted as a new mode of treatment.

II. Epigenetics as a Key Player in the Biology of Cancer and Its Diagnostic Utility

DNA methylation

DNA methylation is one of the major epigenetic changes that play an important role in regulating chromatin architecture as well as gene expression. Most of the DNA methylation pattern is established by the covalent addition of methyl groups at the 5-carbon of the cytosine rings (5-mC) in short CpG-rich DNA sequences (CpG islands) and large repetitive sequence regions (e.g. centromeric repeats, retrotransposon elements, ribosomal DNA, etc.) [9]. CpG islands preferentially occupy around 60% of human gene promoters, and DNA methylation generally leads to gene silencing in cooperation with methylation regulatory proteins. The DNA methylation patterns are generated and maintained in daughter cells by the cooperation of the replication-independent de novo methyltransferases (DNMT3A and DNMT3B) and the maintenance DNA methyltransferase (DNMT1) which acts during replication [21]. Two additional enzymes (DNMT2 and DNMT3L) may also have more specialized but related functions. In contrast, ten-eleven translocation (TET) family enzymes (TET1, TET2 and TET3) can oxidize 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) which is a key nexus in demethylation, and further convert 5-hmC to 5-foC (5-formylcytosine), and 5-foC to 5-caC (5-carboxylcytosine) through their hydroxylase activity [35]. Recent studies demonstrated that some types of cancer harbor mutations in the genes of such methyltransferases [21], indicating that the aberrant patterns of DNA methylation might be involved in tumor formation. Of note, for brain tumors, a diagnostic algorithm that integrates histology, conventional molecular tests and DNA methylation arrays has been proposed [28], and certain types of malignant brain tumors are shown to be better subtyped based upon the epigenetic landscape of DNA methylation patterns [29, 74] as compared to traditional histopathology. Further, a subtype of diffuse glioma was associated with DNA demethylation and poor outcome and DNA methylation heterogeneity was demonstrated in a genetically diverse and heterogeneous GBM, suggesting the tight association of DNA methylation with cancer diagnostics and biology [12, 34, 60].

Histone modifications

One type of the essential constituents in the nucleosomal structure is the histone protein class, where their N-terminal tails can undergo a variety of posttranslational covalent modifications including methylation, acetylation, ubiquitylation, sumoylation and phosphorylation on specific residues [7]. These modifications affect chromatin structure and regulate key cellular processes such as transcription, replication and repair, leading to either promotion or suppression of gene expression, depending upon the spatiotemporal patterns of the modification [7]. For example, lysine acetylation is correlated with transcriptional activation, whereas lysine methylation results in transcriptional activation or repression depending upon which residue is modified and the degree of methylation [82]. Furthermore, recent studies demonstrated the presence of bivalent chromatin domains marked by both activating and repressive chromatin modifications which could be associated with a subtype-specific signature in developmental or neoplastic cells [23]. Histone modification patterns are dynamically regulated by enzymes that add and remove covalent modifications to histone proteins. Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone methyltransferases (HMTs) add acetyl and methyl groups, whereas histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone demethylases (HDMs) remove acetyl and methyl groups, respectively [67]. Aberrant patterns of histone modifications are observed in several types of cancers which could be therapeutically exploitable [6, 37], and the heterogeneity of GBM across the entire age spectrum was demonstrated in terms of histone mutations and subsequent histone modifications on the GBM epigenome [73]. Surprisingly, somatic “oncohistone” mutations occur in
approximately 4% of diverse tumor types and in crucial regions of histone proteins [61].

**Chromatin remodelers**

The innumerable covalent modifications of the nucleosome provide the scaffold and context for dynamic remodeling of the chromatin structures. Based on their biochemical activity and subunit composition, the mammalian chromatin-remodeling complexes can be subclassified into four major families: the switching/sucrose non-fermenting (SWI/SNF) family, the imitation switch (ISWI) family, chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein (CHD) family, and the inositol requiring 80 (INO80) family [14]. These enzymes are evolutionarily conserved, utilizing ATP as an energy source to mobilize, evict, and exchange histones. Several members from the chromatin-remodeling families are known to be mutated in human malignancies, raising the possibility that abnormal activities of chromatin remodeling may be the driving force for tumor initiation and progression [31, 75]. In brain tumors, genetic defects of the enzymes which are involved in the chromatin remodeling are reported to be the hallmark aberration in some tumor types, notably as driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodeling genes in pediatric GBM [58, 70].

**Non-coding RNAs**

Non-coding RNAs that are not translated into proteins can be divided into housekeeping non-coding RNAs and regulatory non-coding RNAs. Those RNAs with a regulatory role are further divided into two categories based on size [40]: short chain non-coding RNAs (including miRNAs and piRNAs) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). A newly discovered type of endogenous noncoding RNA, circular RNAs (circRNAs), have also been proposed as part of competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) regulatory networks [59]. A large number of recent studies have shown that non-encoding RNAs play a significant role in epigenetic modification and can regulate gene expression at the gene and chromosomal levels to control cell differentiation [2]. Importantly, dysregulation of the non-coding RNA networks are the determining factors for human malignancies [4, 33], and recent studies unraveled the role of non-coding RNAs in GBM pathogenesis as well as future application of non-coding RNAs as biomarkers and therapeutics for glioma [46, 68].

### III. Metabolic Reprogramming Drives Epigenetic Changes in Gliomas

**Mutant IDH-induced epigenetic phenotypes in glioma**

Cancer metabolism is activated by dynamic changes of signaling and transcriptional networks that are induced by activated oncogenes [e.g. epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), RAS, MYC] and dysregulated tumor suppressor genes [e.g. TP53, RB1], which are also hallmark genetic aberrations for GBM [51, 55]. Additionally, isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) gene mutations (IDH1 and IDH2) were detected in more than 70% of grade II/III diffuse gliomas as well as in a small fraction of GBMs that progress from lower grade gliomas (LGGs). This has caused a paradigm shift in brain tumor diagnostics as well as for the understanding in gliomogenesis through metabolic reprogramming [63, 79]. Such reprogramming results in changes of the levels of intracellular metabolites which can then affect oncogenic signaling by control of epigenetics and, consequently, by globally altering gene transcription [66]. Support for this comes from a series of studies which revealed that IDH mutations could connect genetic mutations, metabolic reprogramming and eventual epigenetic modulation to drive tumor progression. Mutations in IDH produce an enzyme with a neomorphic activity that converts α-ketoglutaric acid (α-KG) to 2-hydroxyglutaric acid (2-HG), which inhibits α-KG-dependent dioxygenases, including Jumonji (JmjC) domain-containing histone demethylases and the TET family of 5'-methylcytosine hydroxylases, which is a dynamic and pathognomonic change in glioma epigenetics (Fig. 1) [78]. The presence of IDH mutations thus leads to a distinct subgroup of glioma with a CpG island methylator phenotype (G-CIMP) and aberrant histone methylation (Fig. 1) [62], which results in differentiation-related genes in an inactive state [45], distortions of chromosomal topology and shifts of the landscape of enhancers [18], eventually contributing to tumorigenesis. In this regard, the findings that the intermediary metabolite 2-HG inhibits α-KG-dependent dioxygenases in glioma has led to the potentially important idea that 2-HG acts as an “oncometabolite” [80]. Furthermore, a shift in the methylation pattern (G-CIMP high to G-CIMP low) in a subtype of IDH-mutant glioma was reported to be linked to recurrence and malignant progression (Fig. 1) [12, 34, 60], further accentuating the importance of IDH-dependent remodeling of metabolism and epigenetics in malignant brain tumors.

**EGFR-mTOR pathways: a key player in modulating glioma histone landscapes**

In light of the essential contribution of metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming to the pathogenesis of IDH-mutant gliomas, the critical questions could be raised; how metabolism and epigenetics could be remodeled in glioma without IDH mutation, namely the most malignant tumor, GBM. Indeed, in GBM with wildtype IDH, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-mammalian/mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways are the key regulators of metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming. In addition to reprogramming intracellular metabolic circuits, the ability to appropriately sense and respond to the nutrient in the microenvironment is critical for cancer cells to connect intracellular metabolic changes with cell survival in a timely fashion. One of the mTOR complexes, mTORC1 could respond to a range of amino acids and relevant
metabolites, including leucine and arginine [38]. The other mTOR complex, mTORC2 is activated in nutrient-rich (glucose and acetate) conditions by acetylation of Rictor, the main component of mTORC2, suggesting a novel role of mTORC2 as a glucose and acetate sensor in cancer cells [50, 53]. Furthermore, we discovered that mTORC2 could suppress the activity of the cystine-glutamate antiporter, system Xc transporter-related protein (xCT), implicating new roles for mTORC2 as a potential amino acid sensor [22]. Following the appropriate sensing of the nutrients, hyperactivated EGFR signaling increases the activity of a master regulator of metabolism c-MYC through both the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT-mTORC1 pathway, as well as an AKT-independent activity of the mTORC2 complex (Fig. 1) [5, 48].

Metabolic reprogramming, potentially thorough mTOR complexes, could significantly affect the epigenetic status in cancer cells [49]. In fact, a number of enzymes involved in epigenetic gene regulation take up of intermediary metabolites, and multiple intermediary metabolites can be regulated by PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling (Fig. 2a) [49]. Two representative histone modifications which could be linked with cancer biology are its acetylation and methylation. Acetylation on the N-terminal lysine tail of histones facilitates an open chromatin configuration to promote gene expression, using intermediary metabolite acetyl-CoA as the co-substrate for modification [85]. In addition to DNA methylation, histone methylation is also important in defining the epigenetic status of the cells [85], and the methyl-donor S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) is utilized by methyltransferases for both DNA and histone methylation [71].

Activated EGFR signaling could associate pyruvate kinase isozymes M2 (PKM2) with the phosphorylation of the histone 3 tail which dissociates histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) from the chromatin to promote histone acetylation (Fig. 2c) [81]. These eventually promotes transcription of the oncogenic genes including c-MYC and cyclin D1 (CCND1) [81]. Furthermore, the active form of EGFR mutant (EGFRvIII) significantly reprograms the enhancer landscape of GBM (represented by H3K4me1 and H3K27ac), facilitating tumorigenesis through a SOX9 and FOXG1-dependent transcriptional regulatory network (Fig. 2c) [81].
Additionally, our comprehensive metabolome analyses demonstrated that two mTOR complexes (mTORC1 and mTORC2) cooperatively and synergistically drive global histone methylation, which eventually promotes tumor cell survival (Fig. 2c) [Harachi et al. unpublished]. Further studies are necessary to unravel the mechanisms by which glioma cells survive in the various niches through EGFR-mTOR-dependent dynamic shifts in their epigenetic landscapes.

IV. Epigenetic Regulation of Iron Metabolism: Mutual Dependency of Metabolism and Epigenetics in Cancer Cells

The pathway to epigenetic reprogramming via metabolic change is not a one-way street. Of note, there is a bidirectional relationship between epigenetic modifications and metabolic changes. On one hand, intermediary metabolites and metabolic enzymes regulate epigenetic modifications; on the other hand, epigenetic changes at promoter regions of the metabolic genes modulate the gene expression involved in metabolism, which eventually affects intracellular metabolism [43, 83, 84]. Sensing the micro-environment status with subsequent reprogramming of intracellular metabolism is the driving force to shift the epigenetic landscape, and the timely expression of metabolic genes via epigenetics to respond to the micro-environment would be reasonable, in that the feedback regulation of metabolism could enable cells to respond to changes in microenvironment in a prompt and accurate way. For achieving this, histone modification would seem more appropriate than DNA modification since a change in histone is more sensitive to the nutrient status [20]. We recently unraveled cancer-specific metabolism which is epigenetically driven by global shifts in the histone landscape through metabolic reprogramming [54]. Histone modifications are a dynamic chromatin mark with various important roles in gene regulation [39], and histone H3 acetylation is particularly responsive to shifts in metabolites and highly predictive of gene activity regarding the promoter and enhancer regions of the gene [72]. One of the major marks reported to be found in actively transcribed promoters is acetylation at the ninth lysine residue of histone H3 N-terminal tail (H3K9ac), and we recently demonstrated that GBM cells with activated EGFR-mTORC2 signaling increase H3K9ac through metabolic reprogramming/Warburg effect (hence the production of nuclear acetyl-CoA), in cooperation with histone-modifying enzymes including pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and class IIa subtypes of HDACs (Fig. 3a) [54, 65]. Unexpectedly, comprehensive studies with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq analyses revealed that mTORC2-dependent increase in H3K9ac was uniquely induced at the promoter regions of the components in iron metabolism genes (Fig. 3a) [54]. Upregulated iron
metabolism with increased expression of iron-related genes (ferritin, transferrin receptor, divalent metal transporter 1, hepcidin), along with facilitated uptake of iron, enables GBM cells to store more iron in the cell and eventually promotes the survival of GBM cells (Fig. 3b–d). This might be therapeutically exploitable since GBM cells with activated mTORC2 signaling are addicted to iron metabolism for their survival [54]. The mechanisms by which intracellular iron accumulation leads to cell survival await further investigation with a novel technique [10, 15, 27, 36], but a recent report demonstrated that GBM stem-like cells epigenetically promote iron trafficking and tumor growth in a ferritin-dependent manner [69]. Normal cells including hepatocytes regulate intracellular iron homeostasis through the post-transcriptional control of iron metabolism genes via the iron responsive element–iron regulatory protein (IRE–IRP) system [10, 26]. In other words, normal cells control iron metabolism according to the amount of iron in the environment while cancer cells regulate iron metabolism autonomously using epigenetic mechanisms (Fig. 3a), and this could ensure cancer cell survival in various niches. Furthermore, we propose a scheme by which cancer cells promote iron metabolism necessary for cell proliferation and growth when they sense appropriate nutrients (glucose) in the microenvironment (Fig. 3a).

V. Conclusion and Future Perspective

Cancer is a disease of endogenous somatic mutations, and the traditional phenotypic classifications of neoplasms have been replaced by those based on distinct genetic and epigenetic profiles in each tumor entity. Tumor development, progression and therapy response are profoundly influenced by the intracellular metabolism and the exoge-
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nous microenvironment of tumor cells. This potentially shifts the epigenetic landscape, including DNA methylation and histone modifications. Interestingly, metabolism and epigenetics are inherently co-dependent relationships, and their mutual dependence enables tumor cells to appropriately respond to the microenvironment and ensure cell survival. Indeed, the interplay of metabolism and epigenetics plays a role in the development as well as aggressive phenotypes of not only malignant brain tumor GBM, but also other types of cancer; dynamic epigenetic shift is involved in the biology of non-small cell as well as small cell lung cancer [17], and the energy metabolism in lung cancer cells seems to be associated with the epigenetic status of the cell [3]. Further, epigenetic changes, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, have key pathophysiological roles in the initiation and progression of colorectal cancer [30], and metabolic reprogramming regulates the proliferation of colon cancer cells through histone acetylation [16]. Of note, specific subtypes of gastric, colon and lung cancer including their precancerous lesions demonstrate unique epigenetic patterns through the effect of such factors as chronic inflammation and environmental stimuli [17, 30, 56], indicating the deep involvement of metabolism and epigenetics in the biology of a broader range of cancer, the mechanism of which could be exploitable as novel biomarkers and therapeutic/preventive strategies [76]. At the same time, a slight tip in the balance of this regulation is sufficient to result in a cell catastrophe. Further, recent work suggests that tissue context-based cues can shape metabolic dependencies [13], potentially through both genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. This “epigenetic vulnerability” of certain cancer cells recapitulates the notion of “oncogene addiction” [77], the knowledge of which will lead to rational combination of cytotoxic and molecular targeted therapies [47], in order to effectively target the metabolic and epigenetic networks on which glioma cells heavily depend. Future studies are needed to determine precisely how the primary genetic mutations specific for each tumor entity facilitate cancer metabolic reprogramming and epigenetic shifts and how, at the same time, extracellular nutrients modulate oncogenic signaling in order to translate these insights into more effective treatments for cancer patients.
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