Single-Molecule Imaging of Wnt3A Protein Diffusion on Living Cell Membranes
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ABSTRACT  Wnt proteins are secreted, hydrophobic, lipidated proteins found in all animals that play essential roles in development and disease. Lipid modification is thought to facilitate the interaction of the protein with its receptor, Frizzled, but may also regulate the transport of Wnt protein and its localization at the cell membrane. Here, by employing single-molecule fluorescence techniques, we show that Wnt proteins associate with and diffuse on the plasma membranes of living cells in the absence of any receptor binding. We find that labeled Wnt3A transiently and dynamically associates with the membranes of Drosophila Schneider 2 cells, diffuses with Brownian kinetics on flattened membranes and on cellular protrusions, and does not transfer between cells in close contact. In S2 receptor-plus (S2R+ cells, which express Frizzled receptors, membrane diffusion rate is reduced and membrane residency time is increased. These results provide direct evidence of Wnt3A interaction with living cell membranes, and represent, to our knowledge, a new system for investigating the dynamics of Wnt transport.

INTRODUCTION

Wnt proteins are a family of secreted growth factors that are conserved throughout the animal kingdom (1,2). This long evolutionary history is reflected in their involvement in a wide range of mammalian developmental and disease processes. Wnt signaling is necessary for normal development (3), whereas dysregulated Wnt signaling can cause cancer (4).

Although the intracellular trafficking of Wnt proteins and downstream effects of receptor engagement are well studied, much less is known about their extracellular transport. All Wnt proteins (apart from Drosophila WntD) have been found to be lipidated (5,6); a modification that is necessary for the intracellular transport, secretion, and activity of Wnt proteins (7). Lipid modifications are unusual in secreted proteins—lipidation might be expected to prevent their movement in the aqueous extracellular space. But in contrast to this, Wnt proteins have been shown to be able to exert effects distant from the site of their production (8). This indicates that there are likely to be controlled mechanisms for Wnt protein transport, evidence for which is emerging from several recent studies. For example, Wnt protein activity is preserved by heparin sulfate proteoglycans (9), which are components of serum and are associated with many cell membranes. Alternatively, Wnt proteins may be transported by association with lipoproteins (10) or other specialist transport proteins in the extracellular space (11–13). Finally, Wnt proteins may be stabilized by direct interactions with biomembranes. Synthetic liposomal carriers can preserve Wnt3A activity in aqueous media and in the absence of other added proteins (14–16), indicating membrane binding. In vivo, Wnt proteins have been shown to be carried by exosomes in some circumstances (17), and they may activate signaling through direct cell-cell contact, either via delivery on long cellular processes called cytonemes (18,19) or by intimate membrane contact in stem cell niches (20). In this latter study, Wnt3 protein was shown to be directly transferred from the surface of secreting cells to receiving cells, a process that is dependent on Frizzled (Fz) expression on the latter cells. Although interaction with Evi/Wls is required for presentation of Wnt protein at the cell membrane of secreting cells (21), whether Wnt proteins can bind to cell membranes in the absence of Fz...
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wnt labeling

Wnt3A protein was either purchased from R&D Systems (5036-WN/CF) or purified from the supernatant of S2 cells stably expressing the murine Wnt3A protein by blue sepharose and immobilized metal affinity chromatography, followed by gel filtration and heparin cation exchange according to the method of Willert (22). For labeling, ATTO680-maleimide (04971-1MG; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or ATTO680-NHS (75999-1MG; Sigma-Aldrich) was diluted to a final concentration of 1.5 μM and added to purified Wnt protein with a concentration of 20 μg/mL in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 1% (w/v) 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate, resulting in a stoichiometric molar ratio of 3:1. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 1 h at room temperature. After this, the mixture was passed three times through a micro bio-spin six-column equilibrated with PBS containing 1% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate to exclude unbound dye molecules using a centrifuge (Fisher Minispin, CFA-160-010L at 13,500 Rpm). To test for successful labeling, between 50 and 500 ng of dye-labeled or unlabeled Wnt3A (R&D Systems carrier-free protein) were resolved on a 10% polyacrylamide ProtoGel gel (National Diagnostics) using Bio-Rad system. The fluorescent tag on the protein within the gel was imaged on the LI-COR Odyssey system. Sizing was achieved using a fluorescent sizing ladder (Precision Plus Protein All Blue Standards; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The quantity of loaded protein was assessed by subsequent staining of the gel with a Proteo Silver Stain Kit (Sigma). Confirmation of the 40 kDa band as Wnt was conducted via Western blot after transferring the protein onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA) and detection performed using a Wnt3A antibody (Ab172612; Abcam), IgG HRP (Ab6721; Abcam), and Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Merck Millipore) on a Versadoc reader (Bio-Rad).

Activity assays

The luciferase assay was performed on a 3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line (Enzo Life Sciences), modified to express the firefly luciferase reporter gene under the control of Wnt-responsive promoters (TCF/LEF). Briefly, cells were seeded onto white, clear-bottomed, 96-well plates, at 1.5 × 10^4 cells/well in 50 μL assay medium (Enzo Life Sciences) and incubated with Wnt3A preparations at 50, 100, and 200 ng/mL for ~18 h. Next, 100 μL per well of Steady-Glo luciferase reagent (Promega) was added for ~10 min and the chemiluminescence signal was read (0.1 s per well) on a Varioscan Flash microplate reader (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). To control for cell count, cell lysates were analyzed for double-stranded DNA content using PicoGreen reagent (Thermo Fisher), according to manufacturer’s protocol.

Cell culture

Drosophila S2 cells were cultured in suspensions in capped flasks at room temperature in Schneider’s Drosophila Medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated, insect cell tested, fetal bovine serum (Sigma) and penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were passaged at a dilution of 1:20 every week, with the addition of fresh medium. S2 receptor-plus (S2R+) cells were grown in the same medium, but as adherent cells were passaged every 4–5 days at a dilution of 1:5. Cells were detached from tissue culture substrata beforepassaging by gentle mechanical agitation.

For microscopy experiments, chamber slides were coated with 0.5 mg/mL filtered concanavalin A for 1 h before S2 and S2R+ cells were plated at a density of 1000/cm². Medium was replaced after 1 h to remove nonadherent cells.

For live cell imaging, cells were thoroughly washed with PBS before incubation in a modified Krebs Ringer’s phosphate buffer made in-house (136 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 1.25 mM MgSO4, 1.25 mM CaCl2, 5 mM NaH2PO4, 2 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM glucose, and 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.2)) supplemented with 1% serum.

Chamber slides were positioned on the stage of the microscope and suitable cell areas were selected by white-light microscopy. At given time points, labeled or unlabeled proteins (Wnt3A and bovine serum albumin (BSA)) or dye alone were added at final concentrations of 1–2 nM (0.04–0.08 μg/mL). In some cases, proteins were denatured before addition by heating at 90 °C for 10 min.

Tracking experiments

White-light transmission and single-molecule fluorescence images were acquired with a modified fluorescent microscope based on an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope, equipped with an infinity-corrected oil immersion objective (Olympus UPlanapo, ×100, 1.4 NA), operating in highly inclined and laminated optical sheet imaging mode (23) to reduce the excitation volume, and detected on a 512 × 512 pixel EMCCD (Andor I-Xon2, 897) at a rate of 20–30 ms per frame for Wnt3A imaging. The general epifluorescence setup has been described previously (24); here, the filters used were a dichroic mirror (Diopter635-25x36; Semrock) and a 635 nm long pass filter (BLP01-635R-25; Semrock). Laser excitation was provided by a 635 nm solid-state laser (Blue Sky Research, FTec2-635-V50FPM, 638 nm FiberTECII laser) at a power density of ~250 W/cm².

Tracking analysis

Tracking analysis of single Wnt proteins was accomplished using previously published single-molecule tracking software (25). This custom-written MATLAB code uses an interactive data language particle-tracking function defined previously (26). The tracking analysis determines the diffusion coefficient from both the mean-square displacement and via jump distance analysis. For a detailed description of the specific details, please refer to the Supporting Material.

To test the validity of our analysis, we used simulated data. Here we used the MATLAB package ICSMatlab (http://www.cellmigration.org/resource/ imaging/icsmatlab/ICSTutorial.html) to generate movies of two-dimensional Brownian diffusers with specified diffusion coefficients, diffusion-limited size, and the same signal-to-noise distribution levels as our experimental data. These simulated data (see Movie S1) were analyzed using the same track detection and fitting protocol as the real data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We employed protein labeling and single-molecule fluorescence microscopy to image the interaction of Wnt3A protein with living cell membranes at high temporal resolution. There are few reports of active Wnt proteins produced by fusion of fluorescent proteins (18,27), possibly because they often do not retain activity on subsequent expression and posttranslational processing. However, Wnt3A retained activity after coupling to carboxylic acid-modified microbeads (28), suggesting covalent dye attachment as a possible method for Wnt protein labeling. We reacted carrier-free Wnt3A protein with maleimide or Evi/Wls, or require Fz for delivery from carriers remains unproven, primarily due to the lack of suitable methods to investigate this at the single-receptor level.
N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester functionalized fluorophores. Wnt3A protein was successfully labeled by ATTO680-maleimide, as confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blotting (Fig. 1, a–c). Labeled protein was evident as a fluorescent band at a mass size of ~40 kDa. Another predominant fluorescent band was evident at a molecular mass of ~66 kDa, which is likely due to BSA, as suggested by the presence of a band of equal mass in control labeling experiments. Single-molecule bleaching analysis revealed >98% (210 out of 214 molecules) of labeled proteins bleach within a single imaging step, suggesting most of protein was tagged only once with the fluorophore (Fig. S1). We then tested the activity of the labeled protein preparations by incubating either labeled proteins or the control protein (subject to the same labeling conditions) with a reporter cell line that produced luciferase under the control of a Wnt-responsive promoter. Protein labeling with ATTO680-maleimide reduced Wnt3A activity by 37 ± 9% (p < 0.05, Fig. 1 d). Alexa Fluor 647-maleimide and ATTO680-NHS also successfully labeled Wnt3A protein, but whereas the former had no significant impact on protein activity, the latter entirely abolished its activity (Fig. S2). This suggests that labeling of protein at free amine groups is detrimental to Wnt3A activity, whereas cysteine labeling is less so. There is evidence to indicate that all cysteines in Wnt proteins are involved in disulfide bridges (25). Nevertheless, we were able to label Wnt3A in nonreducing conditions with significant retention of protein activity. This suggests that there must be free cysteine residues present in Wnt3A preparations. It is likely that the cysteines labeled are those that have a low impact on Wnt3A activity when mutated, for example, those cysteines involved in the formation of hairpin 1. Future studies may address the location of Wnt3A labeling by, for instance, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (6).

Having established a method of labeling Wnt3A protein, we next tested whether it can associate with cell membranes in the absence of Wnt receptors. Schneider 2 (S2) cells are derived from Drosophila embryos, exhibit macrophage-like behavior, and do not express Fz or Wnt proteins (29). As S2 cells are poorly adherent, glass coverslips were precoated with concanavalin A, a lectin which causes S2 cells to adhere and flatten, with a rounded morphology in the vicinity of the nucleus and a large, round, flattened cytoplasmic “skirt” (Fig. 2 a) (30). These latter areas provided an excellent two-dimensional platform for measuring the diffusion of molecules in real-time using highly inclined and laminated optical sheet (pseudo-total internal reflection fluorescence) microscopy (23).

After addition of labeled Wnt3A protein, at a physiological concentration of 1–2 nM (0.04–0.08 μg/mL), single diffusing molecules were evident on the plasma membrane (Fig. 2, a–c; Movie S2 (left)). Using previously published, single-molecule tracking software (31), the kinetics were analyzed and found to be consistent with a Brownian diffuser model (Fig. S4), which accommodates for static and dynamic errors that are present in camera-based motion tracking (32). The fitting function illustrates the unhindered random movement of the labeled protein on the cell membrane yielding a diffusion coefficient (D value ± fit error) of 0.33 ± 0.01 μm² s⁻¹ from a linear mean-square displacement plot with an average track length (mean track length ± SD of 0.27 ± 0.39 s for S2) (Fig. S5).

To test whether the presence of Wnt receptors affected diffusion kinetics of membrane-associated Wnt3A protein, we compared S2 diffusion (Fig. 2 d) with diffusion on SR2⁺ cells (Fig. 2 e), a similar cell line that expresses both DFz1 and DFz2 (33). Despite species differences, there is significant promiscuity in Wnt and Fz interaction, and mammalian Wnt3A has been shown to bind to Drosophila Fz proteins (34). In S2R⁺ cells, we observed a significant decrease in the diffusion coefficient (D value ± fit error) of 0.18 ± 0.01 μm² s⁻¹ (Fig. 2 f) and an increase in track length (mean track length ± SD) of 0.51 ± 0.79 s (Fig. S5). In contrast, with the addition of either ATTO680 alone or ATTO680-labeled BSA, we observed...
no isolated diffusers on the membrane of these cells (Movie S3). Heat inactivation (10 min at 90°C) of labeled Wnt3A protein completely abolished the appearance of diffusers on membranes, suggesting that active Wnt3A protein is responsible for the diffusion observed (Movie S4). These results are consistent with a decrease in the diffusion speed of Wnt3A once bound to a receptor, and consistent with other membrane proteins (35). However, we cannot rule out that this may be attributed to other differences in the cell lines, including cytoskeletal differences, membrane lipid composition, or membrane protein density (36,37). Taken together, we conclude that Wnt3A associates with and diffuses unhindered on the surface of living cell membranes, the presence of a receptor both increases the residency time of this interaction and reduces the diffusion coefficient by two-fold in both cases.

In addition to the quantitative kinetic information, the single-molecule tracking analysis has allowed extraction of several behaviors of Wnt molecules that could not be determined using ensemble-averaged techniques, discussed below.

**Wnt3A dynamically binds onto and off the cell membrane**

Analyzing the starting position of tracks during the course of a single-molecule tracking experiment revealed a spatially independent probability for the tracks starting within the laser excitation zone (Fig. 3a). Typically in single-molecule tracking experiments, new labeled molecules can only diffuse in from the periphery of the excitation zone, tested by periods of inactive laser excitation, which allows new molecules to diffuse into the zone before photobleaching occurs (analogous to fluorescence recovery after photobleaching experiments); this was not observed. Instead, single Wnt molecules consistently began their tracks at any point within the excitation zone (Movie S2 right). These data suggest a mechanism where exogenous Wnt3A is in equilibrium between cell membrane binding and either free diffusion in solution or binding to soluble factors. To prevent Wnt precipitation and to preserve its activity, serum was added at 1% to all experiments. Serum is known to contain stabilizing proteins that bind Wnt, such as afamin (12), heparan sulphate proteoglycan (9), or lipoproteins (10). In addition to this, a linear relationship of the single-molecule track starting frame versus time (Fig. S6) was observed in the 2108 tracks examined, which suggests a continual replenishment of single diffusers (from solution) over the course of the experiment, analogous to the commonly used Point Accumulation for Imaging in Nanoscale Topography technique (38).

**Wnt3A does not transfer between adjacent S2 cells**

Another possible mechanism for extracellular Wnt transport is direct membrane diffusion from the surface of one cell to another (20,39,40). To test this, we grew dense cultures of S2 cells to a level where the “skirts” began to come into contact/close apposition (see Fig. 3b). Labeled Wnt3A was allowed to diffuse on the surface and we observed diffusion at the border between cells. Despite extensive efforts, we did not observe labeled Wnt3A diffusing across the membrane boundary from cell to cell (Movie S5). Fig. 3b demonstrates a representative experiment of single-molecule tracks at a cell-cell boundary overlaid onto the white-
light image of the cell. No trajectory was observed in which the protein diffused from one cell to another over a time course of \(15\) min. Although S2 cells are a suitable model for generalized measurements of membrane diffusion of labeled proteins, further experiments would be necessary to confirm whether Wnt proteins may be transmitted between cells in other situations, such as on intracellular membranous bridges between adjacent cells \((41)\) or via hemifused lipid bilayers at tight junctions \((39,42)\).

**Wnt3A diffuses along cellular processes**

Accumulating evidence suggests that Wnt ligands can be mobilized and transferred on the tips of filopodia \((18,19)\). Although spread S2 cells do not readily form filopodia, in retraction cells, membrane protrusions are visible (Fig. 3c). In such protrusions we often saw diffusion of Wnt3A protein, indicating that membrane-bound Wnt3A is capable of free transport on filopodia. Although it is likely that specific mechanisms control the presentation of secreted Wnt ligand at the tips of these protrusions, based on these data, it is also possible that membrane-localized Wnt ligands freely diffuse along filopodia to activate signaling in cells that they contact. This may be regulated by membrane composition, as has been recently shown for Wnt3 \((43)\).

Single-molecule fluorescence microscopy is a powerful tool to study Wnt protein dynamics, with which we have quantitatively demonstrated unhindered diffusion of active protein on the surface of living cells. We find that Wnt3A diffused two-fold more slowly and for twice as long in the presence of cells expressing its receptor. Further, we have determined that the transport mechanism is likely based on a binding-unbinding equilibrium of the protein with the plasma membrane and the surrounding solution. It is expected further that single-molecule studies will reveal a more complex understanding of both Wnt proteins and cell signaling in general.

**SUPPORTING MATERIAL**

Supporting Materials and Methods, six figures, and five movies are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophys/supplemental/S0006-3495(17)31128-1.
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