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We present a simple yet rigorous field theoretic demonstration of the nonlocality of a single-photon field. The formalism used allows us to calculate the electric field of a single-photon light beam sent through a beam splitter, which directly demonstrates that it is the light field, rather than the photon itself regarded as a particle, that exhibits nonlocality. Our results are obtained without using either inequalities or specific measurement apparatuses, so that they have perfectly general validity.

Introduction.– In 1986 Grangier, Roger and Aspect published a seminal paper [1] reporting about two experiments where a light beam prepared in a single-photon quantum state was sent through a beam splitter. In the first experiment two photomultipliers were placed behind the output ports of the beam splitter. As predicted by quantum mechanics, Grangier et al., found that per each run of the experiment only one of the two detectors could fire. In the second experiment, a Mach-Zehnder interferometer was built by coupling the two output ports of the original beam splitter to the input ports of a second beam splitter, at the output ports of which the two photomultipliers were now settled. With this setup Grangier and coworkers could observe interference fringes by changing the path difference between the two arms of the interferometer.

According to quantum mechanics the light after the first beam splitter in both the above experiments can be described by the state vector |ψ⟩ defined by [2–5],

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1\rangle_1 |0\rangle_2 + i |0\rangle_1 |1\rangle_2),$$

(1)

where the subscripts 1 and 2 label the two modes associated with the two ports of the beam splitter. If we insist for a particle description of the state (1), we must maintain that the photon exits both ports of the first beam splitter, a phenomenon often referred to as single-photon nonlocality [6–15]. The concepts of single-photon states and single-photon nonlocality, other than stimulating an ongoing lively debate [16–18], have proven to be extremely useful for many quantum communication and quantum computation applications as, for example, the implementation of universal quantum gates [19, 20], teleportation [21], entanglement swapping [22], and many others purposes [23–26]. In this paper we will find a unique quantum field theory representation of the single-photon state (1) that, differently from all previous works, allows a demonstration of nonlocality without using either inequalities or specific measurement apparatuses. Our main result is that the physically observable electric field of light in the single-photon state (1), measured simultaneously at two different locations behind the two ports of the beam splitter, is completely determined by the field associated with the single-photon state entering the device. The use of quantum field theory to explain optical interference phenomena is becoming increasingly popular nowadays [27–31].

Theory.– Many experiments in optics use monochromatic collimated light beams with uniform polarization. The electric field of such beams can be expressed in terms of any complete set of basis functions of the form $u(x,z) = \varphi(x,z) \exp(ikz)$, where $\varphi(x,z)$ denotes a solution of the paraxial wave equation:

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2ik\partial/\partial z \right) \varphi(x,z) = 0,$$

with $z$ being the direction of propagation and $k = \omega/c = 2\pi/\lambda$ the wavenumber of light of frequency $\omega$ and wavelength $\lambda$ in vacuum. Here and hereafter $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, and $x = xe_x + ye_y$ is the transverse position vector on the xy-plane perpendicular to the axis $z$. Typical basis functions are the two-dimensional Hermite-Gauss modes, denoted $u_\mu(x,z) = \varphi_{n\mu}(x,z)\varphi_{\mu'}(y,z) \exp(ikz)$, where $n_\mu, m_\mu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$. Throughout this paper, Greek letters $\mu, \mu', \ldots$, denote distinct ordered pairs of nonnegative integer indexes: $\mu = (n_\mu, m_\mu)$, $\mu' = (n_\mu', m_\mu')$, etc. Hermite-Gauss modes $u_\mu(x,z)$ are also commonly referred to as $\text{TEM}_{n_\mu m_\mu}$ modes. The one-dimensional Hermite-Gauss modes are defined by

$$\varphi_n(x,z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0}} H_n(x/x_0) e^{-(x/x_0)^2}/2 \times e^{iz/2(z_0/x_0)} e^{-i(n + \frac{1}{2}) \arctan(z/z_0)},$$

(2)

($n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty$), where $H_n(x)$ is the nth-order Hermite polynomial, and $x_0 = w_0/(1 + z^2/z_0^2/2)^{1/2}$ fixes the transverse length scale at distance $z$ from the beam’s origin, where the minimum beam radius $w_0 > 0$, is attained. The Rayleigh length $z_0 = \pi w_0^2/\lambda$, sets the longitudinal length scale, giving the distance over which the beam can propagate without spreading significantly [32]. For example, a He-Ne laser beam with minimum radius $w_0 = 2 \mu m$, has $z_0 \approx 20 m$, so that $w_0/z_0 \approx 10^{-4}$ and the transverse and longitudinal degrees of freedom effectively decouple for $z \ll z_0$. This implies that across a table-top experimental setup with the linear size of about 1 m, the radius of such beam will vary by less than 0.125 %, so that it can be considered as practically constant. Therefore, in the remainder the coordinate $z$ will be regarded as a constant parameter, as opposite to the dynamical variables $x$ and $y$, and we will write in-
differently either \((x, z)\) or \((r)\), in the arguments of the functions.

The Hermite-Gauss modes form a complete and or-
thogonal set of basis functions on \(\mathbb{R}^2\), i.e.,

\[
\int d^2x \, u^*_\mu(x, z) u_{\nu}(x, z) = \delta_{\mu\nu},
\]

(3)

\[
\sum_{\mu} u_{\mu}(x, z) u^*_{\mu}(x', z) = \delta^{(2)}(x - x'),
\]

(4)

where \(\delta_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{n_\mu n_\nu} \delta_{m_\mu m_\nu}\), and \(\delta^{(2)}(x - x') = \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y')\). Here and hereafter two-dimensional integrals are understood to be calculated over the whole \(\mathbb{R}^2\) plane, and \(\sum_{\mu}\) stands for \(\sum_{n_\mu = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_\mu = 0}^{\infty}\).

Consider now a monochromatic paraxial light beam propagating in the \(z\) direction and polarized along the \(x\) axis of a given Cartesian coordinate system. In the Coulomb gauge, its electric field will be well approximated by \(E(r, t) = E(r, t) \hat{e}_z\), where

\[
E(r, t) = \sum_{\mu} [\alpha_\mu e^{-i\omega t} u_{\mu}(x, z) + \text{c.c.}],
\]

(5)

with \(\alpha_\mu\) denoting the time-independent complex amplitude of the field in the mode \(u_{\mu}(x, z)\). The simple form of Eq. (5) enables us to proceed with a plain phenomeno-
logical quantization akin to the familiar non-relativistic second-quantization. Thus, we replace the amplitudes \(\alpha_\mu\) and \(\alpha^*_\mu\) in (5) with the annihilation and creation operators \(\hat{a}_\mu\) and \(\hat{a}^*_\mu\), respectively, which by definition satisfy the bosonic canonical commutation relations

\[
[\hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}^*_\nu] = \delta_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(6)

Accordingly, the operator \(\hat{a}_\mu\) annihilates a photon in the mode \(u_{\mu}(x, z)\). With this substitution, we achieve

\[
\hat{\Psi}(r, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) \right],
\]

(7)

with

\[
\hat{A}(x, z, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_\mu u_{\mu}(x, z).
\]

(8)

The normalization prefactor \(1/\sqrt{2\omega}\) has been introduced for later convenience. This elementary quantum field model can be completed by introducing the conjugated momentum operator \(\hat{\Pi}(x, z, t) = d\hat{\Psi}(x, z, t)/dt\), such that

\[
\left[ \hat{\Psi}(x, z, t), \hat{\Pi}(x', z, t) \right] = i \delta^{(2)}(x - x').
\]

(9)

Using (3-4), it is not difficult to show that the time-
dependent Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left[ \hat{\Pi}^2(x, z, t) + \omega^2 \hat{\Psi}^2(x, z, t) \right],
\]

(10)

generates the correct time-evolution for the field operators, that is \(\hat{a}_\mu(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\hat{H}t} = \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\omega t}\). Moreover, substituting (10) into the Heisenberg equation of motion \(d^2\hat{\Psi}/dt^2 = d\hat{\Pi}/dt = i[H, \hat{\Pi}]\), we obtain the field equation \(d^2\hat{\Psi}(x, z, t)/dt^2 + \omega^2 \hat{\Psi}(x, z, t) = 0\), which shows that spatial coordinates \(x = (x, y)\) and time \(t\) are uncoupled in a monochromatic field. Equation (10) represents the Hamiltonian of a countably infinite set of identical harmonic oscillators with frequency \(\omega\). This type of Hamiltonian is not sporadic in quantum optics; for example, the electromagnetic field within an empty, uniform and nondispersive waveguide with perfectly conducting walls [33], possesses the very same Hamiltonian.

The model.– The geometry of the setup we will consider in the remainder is shown in Fig. 1. The beam splitter generates the correct time-evolution for the field operators, that is \(\hat{a}_\mu(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\hat{H}t} = \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\omega t}\). Moreover, substituting (10) into the Heisenberg equation of motion \(d^2\hat{\Psi}/dt^2 = d\hat{\Pi}/dt = i[H, \hat{\Pi}]\), we obtain the field equation \(d^2\hat{\Psi}(x, z, t)/dt^2 + \omega^2 \hat{\Psi}(x, z, t) = 0\), which shows that spatial coordinates \(x = (x, y)\) and time \(t\) are uncoupled in a monochromatic field. Equation (10) represents the Hamiltonian of a countably infinite set of identical harmonic oscillators with frequency \(\omega\). This type of Hamiltonian is not sporadic in quantum optics; for example, the electromagnetic field within an empty, uniform and nondispersive waveguide with perfectly conducting walls [33], possesses the very same Hamiltonian.

![FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of a cube beam splitter. This figure shows the distinct Cartesian coordinate systems \(r_1 = (x_1, z_1)\) and \(r_2 = (x_2, z_2)\) attached to the two input ports of the device.](image-url)
single-port field $\hat{\Psi}_{p,as}(r_p, t)$ as,
\[\hat{\Psi}_{p,as}(r_p, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}_{p,as}(x_p, z_p, t) + \hat{A}_{p,as}^\dagger(x_p, z_p, t) \right],\]
with $p = 1, 2$, an index labelling the two ports of the BS. Using the shorthand $\hat{a}_{p,\text{in}} \equiv \hat{a}_{p,\text{in}}$ and $\hat{b}_{p,\text{out}} \equiv \hat{a}_{p,\text{out}}$, we can write the BS transformation as [2, 38],
\[\hat{b}_{1\mu} = \tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + p (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \quad (15a)\]
\[\hat{b}_{2\mu} = \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \tau \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \quad (15b)\]
where $\mu = (\mu_1, m_\nu)$, and the factor $(-1)^{m_\nu}$ yields the sign-inversion of the $y$-coordinate of the HG modes due to the reflection at the beam splitter [37]. By construction,
\[\left[ \hat{a}_{p\mu}, \hat{a}_{p'\mu'}^\dagger \right] = \delta_{pp'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} = \left[ \hat{b}_{p\mu}, \hat{b}_{p'\mu'}^\dagger \right], \quad \text{with } p, p' = 1, 2.\]
Consider now a beam characterized by the field $\phi(x, z) = \varphi(x, z) \exp(ikz)$, where $\varphi(x, z)$ is a solution of the paraxial wave equation, normalized according to $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = 1$, where we have introduced the suggestive notation $(f, g) = \int d^2x f^* (x, z) g(x, z)$. The function $\phi(x, z)$ can be written in terms of the Hermite-Gauss mode functions $u_\mu(x, z)$, as $\phi(x, z) = \sum_\mu \phi_\mu u_\mu(x, z)$, where $\phi_\mu = (u_\mu, \phi)$. Next, suppose to have prepared at $t = 0$, the field $\phi(x, z)$ in the single-photon input state [39],
\[[1[\phi]]^\text{in} \equiv [1[\phi]]^\text{in}_{1}\langle 0 \rangle_2 = \left( \sum_\mu \phi_\mu \hat{a}_{1\mu}^\dagger \right) \langle 0 \rangle \equiv \hat{a}_{1\mu}^\dagger [\phi] \langle 0 \rangle, \quad (16)\]
which enters the BS from port 1, while port 2 is fed with vacuum. Here and hereafter, the input (output) vacuum state $\langle 0 \rangle$ is defined by $\hat{a}_{p\mu} \langle 0 \rangle = 0 (\hat{b}_{p\mu} \langle 0 \rangle = 0)$, for all $p$ and $\mu$, and $\langle 0 \rangle$ will denote both $[0]^{\text{in}}$ and $[0]^{\text{out}}$. A straightforward calculation yields
\[\left[ \hat{a}_{p\mu}, \hat{a}_{p'\mu'}^\dagger \right] = \delta_{pp'} \delta_{\mu\mu'}, \quad (p, p' = 1, 2). \quad (17)\]
Note that the field function $\phi(x, z)$ determines the so-called wave function of the input photon, according to
\[[0] [\hat{\Psi}_{p,\text{in}}(x_p, z_p, t)][1[\phi]]^\text{in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \phi(x_p, z_p) e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (18)\]
To characterize the output field after the BS, we need to calculate the eigenstate $[\Psi, t]^{\text{out}} = [\Psi_1, \Psi_2, t]^{\text{out}}$ of the Hermitian field operator $\hat{\Psi}_{p,\text{out}}(r_1, r_2, t)$ defined by (12), associated with the (time-independent) eigenvalue $\hat{\Psi}_{p,\text{out}}(r_1, r_2) = \hat{\Psi}_1(r_1) + \hat{\Psi}_2(r_2)$. From (12) it follows that $[\Psi, t]^{\text{out}} = [\hat{\Psi}_1, \hat{\Psi}_2, t]^{\text{out}} = [\hat{\Psi}_1, t]^{\text{out}}[\hat{\Psi}_2, t]^{\text{out}}$, where
\[\hat{\Psi}_{p,\text{out}}(r, t)[\Psi, t]^{\text{out}} = \Psi_p(r_p) [\Psi, t]^{\text{out}}, \quad (19)\]
with $p = 1, 2$ and $\Psi_p(r_p)$ a real-valued smooth function, square integrable in the $x_y$-plane. It is possible to show [38, 40] that the eigenstate $[\Psi, t]^{\text{out}}$ is given by
\[\langle 0 \rangle [\Psi_p, t]^{\text{out}} = \langle 0 \rangle [\Psi_p, t]^{\text{out}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{A}_{p,\text{out}}^\dagger (x, z, t) \right]^2 \right\} \langle 0 \rangle, \quad (20)\]
where the vacuum-field amplitude is given by
\[\langle 0 \rangle [\Psi_p, t]^{\text{out}} = \frac{\exp \left\{ -\omega (\Psi_p, \Psi_p) / 2 \right\} \left\{ \int D\Psi_p \exp \left\{ -\omega (\Psi_p, \Psi_p) \right\} \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (21)\]
with $D\Psi_p$ the functional measure [41, 42], and $\langle 0 \rangle [\hat{A}_{p,\text{out}}(x, z, t)] = 0$, (see Supplemental Material [38] for additional analysis details). The presence of a nonzero electric field in the vacuum state (by definition the right-hand side of (21) is always nonzero) is not surprising, being it the analogous of the Gaussian wave function $\varphi_0(q) = q |\varphi_0\rangle$ of the ground state $|\varphi_0\rangle$ of a harmonic oscillator in quantum mechanics [43].

The quadratic expression $[\hat{A}_{p,\text{out}}^\dagger (x, z, t)]^2$ in the exponential in Eq. (20), reveals the singular nature of $[\Psi, t]^{\text{out}}$. These eigenstates can be seen as the quantum-field analogue of the position eigenstates $|q\rangle$ in quantum mechanics: $\hat{Q} |q\rangle = q |q\rangle$. Thus, they are not normalizable and do not belong to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the light field. In fact, $\hat{\Psi}_{\text{out}}(r_1, r_2, t)$ is not a proper observable because quantum fields are not operators in $\mathcal{H}$, but rather operator valued distributions [44]. To obtain a bona fide Hermitian operator defined on the vectors in $\mathcal{H}$, one should smear $\hat{\Psi}_{\text{out}}(r_1, r_2, t)$ with a smooth real function $f(r_1, r_2, t)$. However, for the simple analysis that follows we will not need to consider smeared fields.

Results. In the remainder, we analyze the single-photon field after the BS using the field-theoretic techniques developed above. To begin with, we invert the conjugate of Eqs. (15), to write $\hat{A}_{1\mu}^\dagger [\phi]$ in terms of the output operators
\[\hat{b}_{1\mu}^\dagger [\phi] = \sum_\mu \phi_\mu \hat{b}_{1\mu}^\dagger, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_{2\mu}^\dagger [\phi] = \sum_\mu \phi_\mu \hat{b}_{2\mu}^\dagger, \quad (22)\]
where $\tilde{\phi}(x, z) = \phi(x, -y, z)$. Using this result to convert the input state (16) to the corresponding output state, we readily obtain
\[[1[\phi]]^\text{in} = \tau [1[\phi]]^\text{out}_{1\mu} \langle 0 \rangle_2 + \rho [0]_1 [1[\phi]]^\text{out}_{2\mu}, \quad (23)\]
where $[1[\psi]]^\text{out}_p = \hat{b}_{1\mu}^\dagger [\psi] \langle 0 \rangle$, with $\psi = \phi, \tilde{\phi}$, and the subscript $p = 1, 2$ label the two output ports of the BS.
Clearly, Eq. (23) gives the particle representation of the state \(|1[\phi]|^{in}\), because the latter is written in terms of photon number Fock states. However, using the eigenstates (20) of the electric field \(|\{\Psi_1,t\}^{out}_1,|\Psi_2,t\}^{out}_2\rangle\) as basis vectors, we can write the field representation of the same state \(|1[\phi]|^{in}\), as [38],

\[
|1[\phi]|^{in} = \int D\Psi_1 D\Psi_2 \left\{ |\Psi_1,\Psi_2,t\rangle \langle 1[\phi]|^{in} \right\} \times |\Psi_1,t\rangle_1^{out} |\Psi_2,t\rangle_2^{out},
\]

where

\[
\langle 1[\phi]|^{in} = \sqrt{2\omega} \left[ \tau\left(\Psi_1,1\right) + \rho\left(\Psi_2,2\right) \right] \times |\Psi_1,\Psi_2,t\rangle \langle 0| e^{-i\omega t}.
\]

The state vector \(|1[\phi]|^{in}\) is obviously the same in both Eqs. (23) and (24), but its representation is not. The particle description of \(|1[\phi]|^{in}\) forced by (23) is vanished in the field representation given by (24) (see also [31] for a clear discussion on this point).

Now, given the input state \(|1[\phi]|^{in}\) at time \(t = 0\), we can ask what is the probability \(DP(t)\) that the observation of the output electric field at a later time \(t > 0\) will yield a value centered around \(\Psi(r_1, r_2) = \Psi_1(r_1) + \Psi_2(r_2)\) within \(D\Psi_1 D\Psi_2\). Formally, such probability is given by \(DP(t) = \langle\Psi_1,\Psi_2,t\rangle^{out} |1[\phi]|^{in} \frac{D\Psi_1 D\Psi_2}{\langle 1[\phi]|^{in}}\). Practically, from (25) it follows that we can simultaneously observe a nonzero electric field behind both ports 1 and 2 of the BS, and that the value of this field is determined by the input single-photon field \(\phi\) via the amplitudes \(\tau\sqrt{2\omega}(\Psi_1,1)\) and \(\rho\sqrt{2\omega}(\Psi_2,2)\), respectively. By choosing \(\phi \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(\Psi_1 = \phi/\sqrt{2\omega}\) and \(\Psi_2 = \tilde{\phi}/\sqrt{2\omega}\), we can maximize the functional \(\mathcal{R}[\Psi_1,\Psi_2]\) defined by

\[
\mathcal{R}[\Psi_1,\Psi_2] = \frac{\langle\Psi_1,\Psi_2,t\rangle^{out} |1[\phi]|^{in} \rangle^2}{\langle\Psi_1,\Psi_2,t\rangle^{out} \langle 0|} = 2\omega \left[ \tau\left(\Psi_1,1\right) + \rho\left(\Psi_2,2\right) \right]^2.
\]

This means that the most probable field configuration to be measured is the one coinciding with the field of the input photon, as expected. It is more important to note that while the vacuum-field amplitude (21) is always nonzero by definition, there are special field configurations that have zero probability to be measured, thus witnessing the passage of the photon through both both ports of the BS. I fact, if we choose \(\Psi_1,\Psi_2)\) such that \(\langle\Psi_1,1\rangle = 0 = \langle\Psi_2,2\rangle\), then \(\mathcal{R}[\Psi_1,\Psi_2] = 0\). For example, suppose to set up an experiment in which we prepare the input single-photon beam in the TEM_{10} mode by choosing \(\phi(x,z) = u_0(x,z) = \varphi_0(x,z) \varphi_0(y,z) \exp(ikz)\), where (2) has been used. This implies \(\phi = \tilde{\phi}\). Also, we place the detection apparatuses at the output ports 1 and 2 of the BS at \(z_1 = 0\) and \(z_2 = 0\), respectively, and we arrange them in such a way to measure the displaced TEM_{10} mode \(\sqrt{2\omega} \Psi_p(x) = \varphi_1(x + x_0, z_0) \varphi_0(y,0)\), \((p = 1,2)\) in both arms [45], where \(\xi_1,\xi_2\) are the (supposedly small) dimensionless displacements of the two measured fields. A straightforward calculation gives

\[
\mathcal{R}[\Psi_1,\Psi_2] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi_1^2 e^{-\xi_1^2/2} + \xi_2^2 e^{-\xi_2^2/2} \right),
\]

for a 50:50 BS with \(\tau = 1/\sqrt{2}\) and \(\rho = i/\sqrt{2}\). As illustrated by Fig. 2, we obtain \(\mathcal{R}[\Psi_1,\Psi_2] = 0\) only when both \(\xi_1 = 0\) and \(\xi_2 = 0\), that is, only when both measured fields \(\Psi_1\) and \(\Psi_2\) are orthogonal to the single-photon wave functions \(\phi\) and \(\tilde{\phi}\) at the two ports of the BS.

This analysis shows clearly that it is the photon field \(\phi\) which is felt at two spatially separated detectors. We remark that such key role of the field \(\phi\) cannot be explicitly seen using the simple two-mode state (1), for this we had to use quantum field theory. This is our main result.

Another undoubted advantage of the field representation over the particle one becomes apparent when we consider correlation functions. For example, the photon-number correlation function at the two outputs of the BS does not, in fact, provide any useful information because, evidently, \(\langle 1[\phi]|N_{1,\text{out}}[\phi_1]|N_{2,\text{out}}[\phi_2]|1[\phi]|^{in}\rangle = 0\), where \(N_{p,\text{out}}[\phi_p]\) is the photon-number operator at the output port \(p = 1,2\) of the BS, and (23) has been used. However, the two-point field correlation function

\[
\langle 1[\phi]|\Psi_1,\Psi_2,\text{out}[r_1,t]\Psi_2,\text{out}[r_2,t]|1[\phi]|^{in}\rangle
\]

is proportional to the product of the single-photon wave functions (18) evaluated at \(r_1 = (x_1,z)\) and \(r_2 = (x_2,z)\).
at the output ports 1 and 2, respectively, and it is gener-
ically nonzero. An exception occurs when \( \phi \in \mathbb{R} \), so that
the right-hand side of (28) becomes equal to zero because of
the rightmost of Eqs. (11).

Discussion.– We have seen above that quantum me-
chanics offers us at least two different representations of
a single-photon state. The first one is the particle rep-
resentation given by the Fock state (23), and the second one
is the field representation in terms of the eigenstates
of the electric field (24). Both equations (23) and (24) are
perfectly valid descriptions of the single-photon state and
there are not fundamental physical reasons to choose, a
priori, one or the other form. The multiplicity of equiv-
lent representations of the same state of a physical sys-
tem is not peculiar to quantum mechanics. Any theory
in which the superposition principle is valid presents the
same characteristic. For example, in classical optics we
write a light field indifferently as a superposition of
either plane or spherical waves [46], depending on the
geometry of the problem. However, no one in classical
optics talks about “plane-spherical duality”, or do really
believes that there are truly existing plane or spherical
waves in the field.

When we have calculated the probability \( \mathcal{D}P(t) \) we
have claimed that given the input state \( |1[\phi]\rangle \) entering
a BS, we could observe the fields \( \Psi_1(r_1, t) \) and \( \Psi_2(r_2, t) \)
behind ports 1 and 2 of the BS, respectively. However,
this is not the same as saying that there are the fields
\( \Psi_1(r_1, t) \) and \( \Psi_2(r_2, t) \) after the BS before the measure-
ment took place. For, if this were the case, the light
field after the first beam splitter would be represented
by the state \( |\Psi_1, \Psi_2, t\rangle \) and we could detect more than
one photon in each port. Indeed, a straightforward cal-

culation shows that the probability (relative to the vac-

uum) to detect \( N_p \) photons in the light field of ampi-
tude \( \phi_p(x_p, z_p) \) at output port \( p = 1, 2 \), given the state
\( |\Psi, t\rangle \) as \( |\Psi_1, \Psi_2, t\rangle \) out, is

\[
\frac{\langle 0 | \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle \text{out}^2}{\langle 0 | \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle \text{out}^2} = \frac{H_{N_1}^2(\sqrt{\omega}(\Psi_1, \phi_1)) H_{N_2}^2(\sqrt{\omega}(\Psi_2, \phi_2))}{2^{N_1+N_2} N_1! N_2!},
\]

(29)

where \( \text{out} \langle N_1[\phi_1], N_2[\phi_2] \rangle = \text{out} \langle N_1[\phi_1] \rangle \text{out} \langle N_2[\phi_2] \rangle \), with
\( \text{out} \langle N_p[\phi_p] \rangle = \langle 0 | (\hat{b}_p^{\dagger} \phi_p) \rangle N_p! \sqrt{N_p} \), and \( H_{N_p}(x) \) denotes
the \( N_p \)-th order Hermite polynomial, \( (N_p = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty) \).
For the sake of clarity, in Eq. (29) we have chosen real-
valued photon fields \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) normalized such that
\( \langle \phi_1^* \phi_1 \rangle = (\phi_2^* \phi_2) = 1 \). Clearly, the quantity (29) is
equal to zero only in the extraordinary case in which the
argument \( \sqrt{\omega}(\Psi_p, \phi_p) \) of the Hermite polynomial \( H_{N_p} \)
coincides with a root of the latter. Therefore, if the field
were in the state \( |\Psi, t\rangle \) out there would be a nonzero prob-
ability to detect more than one photon, thus contradict-
ing the results of the first experiment reported in [1].

Hence, if before the measurement the field after the BS
cannot be represented by the state vector \( |\Psi, t\rangle \) out but it is
found in the state \( |\Psi, t\rangle \) out after the measurement, we
must conclude that such a state is created at the act of
measurement. This point is further discussed in [7] and
reflects the fact that often the distinction between prepa-
ration and measurement, or test, of a quantum state is
subjective [47].

Summary.– We conclude with a brief summary of our
results. The fundamental experimental setup we have
studied coincides, and it could not be otherwise, with
the original scheme by Tan et al., and subsequent ver-
sions [6, 9, 10], but our analysis is completely different.
Unlike previous studies, we used neither inequalities nor
specific measurement techniques to find our results.
We simply analyzed very carefully the physics of the problem
using methods and techniques of quantum field theory.
Thus, we found that it is the electromagnetic field associ-
ated with the single-photon state, rather than the photon
itself as a particle, that exhibits nonlocal behavior. The
theory presented here is simple in the sense that we have
considered the most basic nontrivial model for an optical
quantum field, namely a monochromatic, paraxial, scalar
field. A more general analysis including perfectly
arbitrary electromagnetic fields is perfectly possible by
closely following our analysis, but it is just technically
more complicated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this Supplemental Material we will give all the details of the calculations that have been omitted in the main text for brevity. However, much further didactic material has been added. In fact, we have written this Supplemental Material having in mind especially the students who will read the main text and who may not possess yet all the analytical tools needed for its comprehension. Throughout this note we choose the units so that \( \hbar = 1 \), as in the main text.
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II. CANONICAL COMMUTATION RELATIONS

The field operator is defined by

\[ \hat{\Psi}(x,z,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} [\hat{A}(x,z,t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t)], \] (S1)

where

\[ \hat{A}(x,z,t) = \sum \hat{a}_\mu u_\mu(x,z) e^{-i\omega t}, \] (S2)

and the paraxial-mode annihilation and creation operators \( \hat{a}_\mu \) and \( \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \), respectively, satisfy the bosonic commutation relation by definition:

\[ [\hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}_{\mu'}] = 0 = [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mu'}^\dagger], \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}_{\mu'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \] (S3)

The Hermite-Gauss mode functions \( u_\mu(x,z) \) are defined by

\[ u_\mu(x,z) = \varphi_\mu(x,z) \exp(ikz), \] (S4)

where

\[ \varphi_\mu(x,z) = \varphi_{n_\mu}(x,z) \varphi_{m_\mu}(y,z), \] (S5)

is a solution of the 2D paraxial wave equation (see Eq. (5.6-19) in [1], and [2]),

\[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2ik \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \varphi_\mu(x,z) = 0, \] (S6)

with \( k > 0 \) the wavenumber, and

\[ \varphi_n(x,z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/4} 2^n n!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0}} H_n(x/x_0) e^{-x(x/z_0)^2/2} e^{iy(x/z_0)^2} e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2}) \arctan(z/z_0)}, \] (S7)

where \( H_n(x) \) the Hermite polynomial of order \( n \), \( z_0 = kw_0^2/2 \) and \( x_0 = w_0[(1+z^2/z_0^2)/2]^{1/2} \), with \( w_0 > 0 \) the minimum beam waist.

From (S2)-(S3) it trivially follows that

\[ [\hat{A}(x,z,t), \hat{A}(x',z,t)] = 0 = [\hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x',z,t)], \] (S8)

and, a bit less trivially, that

\[ [\hat{A}(x,z,t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x',z,t)] = \sum_{\mu,\mu'} u_\mu(x,z) u_{\mu'}^*(x',z) [\hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}_{\mu'}^\dagger] \]
\[ = \sum_{\mu} u_\mu(x,z) u_{\mu}^*(x',z) \]
\[ = \delta^{(2)}(x-x'), \] (S9)

where the last line follows from the completeness relation of the Hermite-Gauss mode functions \( u_\mu(x,z) = \varphi_\mu(x,z) \exp(ikz) \).

The conjugated field \( \hat{\Pi}(x,z,t) \) is defined by,

\[ \hat{\Pi}(x,z,t) = \frac{d\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t)}{dt} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{2\omega}{2}} [\hat{A}(x,z,t) - \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t)]. \] (S10)
By construction, the two fields $\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t)$ and $\hat{\Pi}(x,z,t)$ satisfy the equal-time commutation relation
\[
[\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t), \hat{\Pi}(x',z,t)] = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left( \hat{A}(x,z,t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t) \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{A}(x',z,t) - \hat{A}^\dagger(x',z,t) \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2i} \left\{ \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x',z,t) \right] + \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t), \hat{A}(x',z,t) \right] \right\}
\]
\[
= i \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x',z,t) \right]
\]
\[
= i \delta^{(2)}(x-x'),
\]
(S11)
where (S9) has been used.

III. THE HAMILTONIAN OF THE FIELD

The phenomenological time-independent Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ used in the main text is defined by
\[
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left[ \hat{\Pi}^2(x,z,t) + \omega^2 \hat{\Psi}^2(x,z,t) \right].
\]
(S12)
Substituting (S1) and (S10) into (S12), we obtain
\[
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left( \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t) - \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t) \right] \right\}^2 + \omega^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t) \right] \right\}^2 \right)
\]
\[
= \omega \int d^2x \left[ \left( -\hat{A} \hat{\Pi} + \hat{A} \hat{\Pi}^\dagger + \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{\Pi} - \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{\Pi}^\dagger \right) + \left( \hat{A} \hat{\Pi} + \hat{A} \hat{\Pi}^\dagger + \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{\Pi} + \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{\Pi}^\dagger \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \omega \int d^2x \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t)\hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t)\hat{A}(x,z,t) \right]
\]
\[
= \omega \sum_{\mu,\mu'} \left[ \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu'} \int d^2x \, u^*_\mu(x,z) u^*_{\mu'}(x,z) + \hat{a}_\mu \hat{a}_{\mu'} \int d^2x \, u^*_\mu(x,z) u^*_{\mu'}(x,z) \right]
\]
\[
= \omega \sum_{\mu} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]
(S13)
where the orthogonality of the Hermite-Gauss modes and (S3) have been used.

Now we use the operator expansion theorem [1],
\[
\exp(x\hat{A})\hat{B}\exp(-x\hat{A}) = \hat{B} + x[\hat{A},\hat{B}] + \frac{x^2}{2!}[\hat{A},[\hat{A},\hat{B}]] + \ldots,
\]
(S14)
where $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are operators and $x$ is a number, to show that the Hamiltonian (S13) is the correct generator of time translations, that is
\[
\hat{a}_\mu(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\hat{H}t} = \hat{a}_\mu e^{-i\omega t}.
\]
(S15)
Formally handling the infinite constant $\sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{2}$ as if it were a finite number, we can write

$$\exp(i\hat{H}t) \hat{a}_{\mu} \exp(-i\hat{H}t) = \exp \left[ (i\omega t) \sum_{\nu} \left( \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \hat{a}_{\mu} \exp \left[ -(i\omega t) \sum_{\nu} \left( \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$$

$$= \exp(\hat{A}) \hat{B} \exp(-\hat{A}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S16)

where we had defined

$$x = i\omega t, \quad \hat{A} = \sum_{\nu} \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} = \hat{a}_{\mu}. \hspace{1cm} (S17)$$

Next, we use the relation

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}] = \hat{X} [\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}] + [\hat{X}, \hat{Z}] \hat{Y},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S18)

with

$$\hat{X} = \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger}, \quad \hat{Y} = \hat{a}_{\nu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Z} = \hat{a}_{\mu}, \hspace{1cm} (S19)$$

to show that

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \left[ \sum_{\nu} \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu}, \hat{a}_{\mu} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} \left[ \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu}, \hat{a}_{\mu} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} \left\{ \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} \hat{a}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mu} \right\}_{=0} + \hat{a}_{\nu} \left[ \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}_{\mu} \right]_{=-\delta_{\nu\mu}}$$

$$= -\hat{a}_{\mu}$$

$$= -\hat{B},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S20)

where (S3) has been used. Equation (S20) implies that

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -\hat{B}, \quad [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = [\hat{A}, -\hat{B}] = \hat{B}, \quad [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]]] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -\hat{B}, \quad \text{etc.} \hspace{1cm} (S21)$$

Substituting (S21) into (S14), we readily obtain

$$\exp(x\hat{A}) \hat{B} \exp(-x\hat{A}) = \hat{B} - x\hat{B} + \frac{x^2}{2!} \hat{B} - \frac{x^3}{3!} \hat{B} + \ldots$$

$$= \hat{B} \left( 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2!} - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \ldots \right)$$

$$= \hat{B} \exp(-x)$$

$$= \hat{a}_{\mu} e^{-i\omega t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S22)

where the definitions in Eq. (S17) have been used.

Note that even if we had written the Hamiltonian (S1) in the (deceptively finite) form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{\nu} \left( \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} + \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} \right), \quad \text{so that} \quad \hat{A} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu} \left( \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} + \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S23)

we still would have found $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -\hat{B}$ and Eq. (S22) would be still valid.
IV. THE BEAM SPLITTER TRANSFORMATION

Consider the input and the output positive frequency part of the fields entering and exiting the beam splitter, defined by

\[ \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \hat{a}_{1\mu} u_{\mu}(x_1, z_1) + \hat{a}_{2\mu} u_{\mu}(x_2, z_2) \right], \]  
(S24)

and

\[ \hat{A}_{\text{out}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \hat{b}_{1\mu} u_{\mu}(x_1, z_1) + \hat{b}_{2\mu} u_{\mu}(x_2, z_2) \right], \]  
(S25)

respectively, where the two terms of both \( \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) \) and \( \hat{A}_{\text{out}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) \) are written in two different Cartesian coordinate systems, \( r_1 = (x_1, z_1) \) and \( r_2 = (x_2, z_2) \), because we work in the paraxial regime of propagation around the two orthogonal axes \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \), as shown in Fig. 1.

The annihilation and creation operators \( \hat{a}_{1\mu} \) and \( \hat{a}_{1\mu}^\dagger \), respectively, of the light field entering ports \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( i' = 1, 2 \) of the beam splitter, by definition satisfy the bosonic commutation relations

\[ [\hat{a}_{i\mu}, \hat{a}_{i'\mu'}^\dagger] = 0 = [\hat{a}_{i\mu}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i'\mu'}], \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}_{i\mu}, \hat{a}_{i'\mu'}^\dagger] = \delta_{ii'} \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \]  
(S26)

The same must be true for the operators \( \hat{b}_{i\mu} \) and \( \hat{b}_{i\mu}^\dagger \) for the field exiting the beam splitter, that is

\[ [\hat{b}_{i\mu}, \hat{b}_{i'\mu'}^\dagger] = 0 = [\hat{b}_{i\mu}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{i'\mu'}], \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{b}_{i\mu}, \hat{b}_{i'\mu'}^\dagger] = \delta_{ii'} \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \]  
(S27)

We will verify the validity of Eqs. (S27) at the end of this section.

By definition, the input and output fields are connected by the unitary transformation [3]:

\[ \hat{A}_{\text{out}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, t) \hat{S}. \]  
(S28)

Substituting (S25) and (S24) into the left and right-hand sides, respectively, of (S28), we obtain

\[ e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \hat{b}_{1\mu} u_{\mu}(x_1, z_1) + \hat{b}_{2\mu} u_{\mu}(x_2, z_2) \right] = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{a}_{1\mu} u_{\mu}(x_1, z_1) + \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{a}_{2\mu} u_{\mu}(x_2, z_2) \right], \]  
(S29)
which implies that
\[
\hat{b}_{1\mu} = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{a}_{1\mu} \hat{S}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_{2\mu} = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{a}_{2\mu} \hat{S}.
\] (S30)

Our next goal is to determine \( \hat{S} \) to find explicitly the transformation laws in Eq. (S30).

To this end, consider a symmetric beam splitter characterized by the reflection and transmission coefficients \( \rho \) and \( \tau \), respectively, such that \([4]\):
\[
|\rho|^2 + |\tau|^2 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^* \tau + \rho \tau^* = 0.
\] (S31)

From classical optics theory, we know that a paraxial beam of light impinging on a beam splitter is transmitted with amplitude \( \tau \) and reflected with amplitude \( \rho \). Moreover, the reflected part undergoes a parity inversion in the horizontal direction, so that \( y_1 \rightarrow -y_2 \) and \( y_2 \rightarrow -y_1 \) (see Fig. 1). Obviously, reflection also changes the axis of propagation, so that \( z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \) and \( z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \). This means that the mode functions of the light field \( u_\mu(x_1,y_1,z_1) \) and \( u_\mu(x_2,y_2,z_2) \), entering port 1 and port 2 of the beam splitter, respectively, transform according to
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_1,y_1,z_1) &\rightarrow \tau u_\mu(x_1,y_1,z_1) + \rho u_\mu(x_2,-y_2,z_2), \\
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_2,y_2,z_2) &\rightarrow \rho u_\mu(x_1,-y_1,z_1) + \tau u_\mu(x_2,y_2,z_2).
\end{align*}
\] (S32a, S32b)

Since the modes of quantum and classical electromagnetic fields satisfy the same classical wave equations, then both quantum and classical fields must transform in the same way under linear transformations. Therefore, we can determine \( \hat{S} \) from Eq. (S28) by imposing that,
\[
\hat{A}_{\text{out}}(x_1,z_1,x_2,z_2,t) = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1,z_1,x_2,z_2,t) \hat{S}
\]
\[
= \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1,z_1,x_2,z_2,t)
\begin{vmatrix}
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_1,z_1) & \rightarrow & \tau u_\mu(x_1,y_1,z_1) + \rho u_\mu(x_2,-y_2,z_2) \\
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_2,z_2) & \rightarrow & \rho u_\mu(x_1,-y_1,z_1) + \tau u_\mu(x_2,y_2,z_2)
\end{vmatrix}
\] (S33)

At this point it is useful to remember that the Hermite polynomials satisfy the parity relation
\[
H_n(-x) = (-1)^n H_n(x),
\] (S34)

so that the mode function \( u_\mu(x,y,z) = \varphi_{n_\mu}(x,z) \varphi_{m_\mu}(y,z) \exp(ikz) \) transforms under reflection as
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x,-y,z) &\rightarrow \varphi_{n_\mu}(x,z) \varphi_{m_\mu}(-y,z) \exp(ikz) \\
&= \varphi_{n_\mu}(x,z) [(-1)^{m_\mu} \varphi_{m_\mu}(y,z)] \exp(ikz) \\
&= (-1)^{m_\mu} u_\mu(x,y,z).
\end{align*}
\] (S35)

Substituting (S35) into (S33), we obtain
\[
\hat{A}_{\text{out}}(x_1,z_1,x_2,z_2,t) = \hat{A}_{\text{in}}(x_1,z_1,x_2,z_2,t)
\begin{vmatrix}
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_1,z_1) & \rightarrow & \tau u_\mu(x_1,y_1,z_1) + \rho u_\mu(x_2,-y_2,z_2) \\
\hat{a}_{\mu}(x_2,z_2) & \rightarrow & \rho u_\mu(x_1,-y_1,z_1) + \tau u_\mu(x_2,y_2,z_2)
\end{vmatrix}
\]
\[
= e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left\{ \hat{a}_{1\mu} \left[ \tau u_\mu(x_1,y_1,z_1) + \rho u_\mu(x_2,-y_2,z_2) \right] \right. \\
&\left. + \hat{a}_{2\mu} \left[ \rho u_\mu(x_1,-y_1,z_1) + \tau u_\mu(x_2,y_2,z_2) \right] \right\}
\]
\[
= e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left\{ \hat{a}_{1\mu} \left[ \tau u_\mu(x_1,z_1) + \rho (-1)^{m_\mu} u_\mu(x_2,z_2) \right] \\
&\left. + \hat{a}_{2\mu} \left[ \rho (-1)^{m_\mu} u_\mu(x_1,z_1) + \tau u_\mu(x_2,z_2) \right] \right\}
\]
\[
= e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\mu} \left\{ [\tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (-1)^{m_\mu} \hat{a}_{2\mu}] u_\mu(x_1,z_1) + [\rho \hat{a}_{1\mu} (-1)^{m_\mu} + \tau \hat{a}_{2\mu}] u_\mu(x_2,z_2) \right\}. \quad (S36)
\]
Comparing the right-hand side of (S25) with the right-hand side of (S36), we obtain
\[
\sum \mu \left\{ \left[ \tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{2\mu} \right] u_{\mu}(x_1, z_1) + \left[ \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \tau \hat{a}_{2\mu} \right] u_{\mu}(x_2, z_2) \right\}. \tag{S37}
\]

Then, from (S37) and the orthogonality of the mode functions it follows that
\[
\hat{b}_{1\mu} = \tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \tag{S38a}
\]
\[
\hat{b}_{2\mu} = \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \tau \hat{a}_{2\mu}. \tag{S38b}
\]

To convert input to output light field quantum states across the BS \([5]\), it is useful to invert the relations (S38), to obtain
\[
\hat{a}_{1\mu} = \tau^* \hat{b}_{1\mu} + \rho^* (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{b}_{2\mu}, \tag{S39a}
\]
\[
\hat{a}_{2\mu} = \rho^* (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{b}_{1\mu} + \tau^* \hat{b}_{2\mu}, \tag{S39b}
\]
where the unitary character of the transformation, embodied by Eqs. (S31), has been used to write
\[
\tau^* = \frac{\tau}{\tau^2 - \rho^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^* = -\frac{\rho}{\tau^2 - \rho^2}. \tag{S40}
\]

For a 50:50 beam splitter we can take
\[
\rho = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{S41}
\]
so that Eqs. (S38) reproduce Eqs. (16) in the main text.

We can finally verify the validity of Eqs. (S27). That the first relation \([\hat{b}_{1\mu}, \hat{b}_{1\mu'}] = 0 = [\hat{b}_{1\mu}, \hat{b}_{1\mu'}]\) is satisfied, it trivially follows from \([\hat{a}_{1\mu}, \hat{a}_{1\mu'}] = 0 = [\hat{a}_{1\mu}, \hat{a}_{1\mu'}]\) and Eqs. (S38). To verify the second relation we must calculate \([\hat{b}_{1\mu}, \hat{b}_{1\mu'}]\). To begin with, using Eqs. (S38) it is straightforward to calculate
\[
[\hat{b}_{1\mu}, \hat{b}_{1\mu'}] = [\tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \tau^* \hat{a}_{1\mu'} + \rho^* (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{2\mu'}]
\]
\[
= |\tau|^2 \left[ \hat{a}_{1\mu}, \hat{a}_{1\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}} + \tau \rho^* (-1)^{m_\nu} \left[ \hat{a}_{1\mu}, \hat{a}_{2\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}} + \rho \tau^* (-1)^{m_\nu} \left[ \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \hat{a}_{1\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}} + |\rho|^2 (-1)^{m_\nu + m_\nu'} \left[ \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \hat{a}_{2\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}}
\]
\[
= (|\tau|^2 + |\rho|^2) \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad \text{from (S31)}
\]
\[
= \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \tag{S42}
\]
because \((-1)^{m_\nu + m_\nu'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} = (-1)^{2m_\nu} \delta_{\mu\mu'} = \delta_{\mu\mu'}\). In the same way we can also calculate
\[
[\hat{b}_{2\mu}, \hat{b}_{2\mu'}] = \left[ \rho (-1)^{m_\nu} \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \tau \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \rho^* (-1)^{m_\nu'} \hat{a}_{1\mu'} + \tau^* \hat{a}_{2\mu'} \right]
\]
\[
= |\rho|^2 (-1)^{m_\nu + m_\nu'} \left[ \hat{a}_{1\mu}, \hat{a}_{1\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}} + |\tau|^2 \left[ \hat{a}_{2\mu}, \hat{a}_{2\mu'} \right]_{\delta_{\mu\mu'}}
\]
\[
= (|\tau|^2 + |\rho|^2) \delta_{\mu\mu'}
\]
\[
= \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \tag{S43}
\]
Next, we calculate
\[
\hat{b}_{1\mu}, \hat{b}^\dagger_{1\mu'} = \tau \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (-1)^m \hat{a}^\dagger_{1\mu'} + \tau^* \hat{a}^\dagger_{2\mu'}
\]
\[
\hat{b}_{2\mu}, \hat{b}^\dagger_{2\mu'} = \rho^* (-1)^m \hat{a}_{1\mu} + \rho (\rho^* m) \hat{a}^\dagger_{1\mu'} + \rho^* \hat{a}^\dagger_{2\mu'}
\]
\[
\tau^* \hat{a}^\dagger_{2\mu'}
\]
\[
= \sum |N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_\infty \rangle \langle N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_\infty|
\]
\[
N|\{N\}\rangle. \quad (S50)
\]

Of course, this formula makes sense only if the sum \(N = \sum\) is finite.

Then, from (S44) it readily follows that
\[
\hat{b}_{2\mu}, \hat{b}^\dagger_{1\mu'} = (\hat{b}_{1\mu'}, \hat{b}^\dagger_{2\mu'}) = 0.
\]

\[\text{(S45)}\]

V. QUANTUM STATES OF THE LIGHT FIELD

To begin with, we rewrite the Hamiltonian (S13) in the normal-ordered form
\[
\hat{H} = \omega \sum \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu}
\]
\[
= \omega \sum \hat{N}_\mu
\]
\[
= \omega \hat{N}, \quad (S46)
\]
where we have defined the standard single-mode and the multi-mode number operators \(\hat{N}_\mu\) and \(\hat{N}\), respectively, as
\[
\hat{N}_\mu = \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{N} = \sum \hat{N}_\mu. \quad (S47)
\]

So, from now on, every time we will write \(\hat{H}\) we will actually mean \(\hat{H} = \omega \hat{N}\).

Note that although each mode function \(u_\mu(x, z)\) is characterized by the pair of indexes \(\mu = (n_\mu, m_\mu)\), it is associated with a single harmonic oscillator. This implies that we deal with the standard quantum harmonic oscillator algebra (see, e.g., [6]). Therefore, the eigenstates \(|N_\mu\rangle\) of the number operator \(\hat{N}_\mu\) are defined in the usual manner by
\[
|N_\mu\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu}^{N_\mu}}{\sqrt{N_{\mu}!}} |0\rangle,
\]
\[\text{(S48)}\]
where \(|0\rangle\) is the ground state defined by \(\hat{a}_\mu |0\rangle = 0\), for all \(\mu\). Then, by definition, the multi-mode state
\[
| \{N\} \rangle = |N_1\rangle \otimes |N_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |N_\infty\rangle = |N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_\infty\rangle
\]
\[\text{(S49)}\]
is an eigenstate of \(\hat{N}\) with eigenvalue \(N = N_1 + N_2 + \ldots + N_\infty\), that is
\[
\hat{N} | \{N\} \rangle = (\hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \ldots + \hat{N}_\infty) |N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_\infty\rangle
\]
\[
= (N_1 + N_2 + \ldots + N_\infty) |N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_\infty\rangle
\]
\[
= N | \{N\} \rangle. \quad (S50)
\]

Of course, this formula makes sense only if the sum \(N = \sum N_\mu\) is finite.
Note that in this section we will work mainly in the Schrödinger picture (SP), where operators are time-independent. We can easily switch between operators in SP and Heisenberg picture (HP), labeled by the indices S and H, respectively, using

\[ \hat{O}_H(t) = \hat{U}^\dagger(t - t_0) \hat{O}_S(t_0) \hat{U}(t - t_0), \]  

where

\[ \hat{U}(t - t_0) = \exp \left[ -i \hat{H} (t - t_0) \right]. \]

In the remainder, without loss of generality, we will set \( t_0 = 0 \). Let \( \hat{A}_S \) be a generic Hermitian operator in the Schrödinger representation, and suppose that it satisfies the eigenvalue equation

\[ \hat{A}_S |a'\rangle_S = a'|a'\rangle_S, \]

where \( a' \) is a time-independent real number. Multiplying (S53) from left by \( \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \) and using the relation \( \hat{U}(t)\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = \hat{I} \), where \( \hat{I} \) denotes the identity operator, we obtain

\[ \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A}_S \hat{U}(t) \right] \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S \right] = a' \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S \right]. \]

From (S51) it follows that we can rewrite (S54) as

\[ \hat{A}_H(t) \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S \right] = a' \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S \right]. \]

What kind of time-dependent state vector is \( \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S \)? In the remainder we will deal only with states of the form

\[ |a'\rangle_S = \hat{B}_S|0\rangle, \]

where \( \hat{B}_S \) is some given operator written in the SP. Then, in this case

\[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|a'\rangle_S = \left[ \hat{U}^\dagger(t)\hat{B}_S \hat{U}(t) \right] |0\rangle \]

\[ = \hat{B}_H(t)|0\rangle, \]

and we can rewrite (S55) as

\[ \hat{A}_H(t) \left[ \hat{B}_H(t)|0\rangle \right] = a' \left[ \hat{B}_H(t)|0\rangle \right]. \]

We will use this formula soon.

### A. Single-photon states

Let \( \phi(x, z) = \varphi(x, z) \exp(ikz) \) be the positive-frequency part of some optical field, where \( \varphi(x, z) \) is a solution of the paraxial wave equation, square integrable over the \( xy \)-plane and normalized to 1, that is

\[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2ik \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \varphi(x, z) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int d^2x |\varphi(x,z)|^2 = 1. \]

Following [5] and [7], we define the time-independent annihilation and creation operators \( \hat{a}[\phi] \) and \( \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] \), respectively, associated with the field \( \phi(x, z) \), as

\[ \hat{a}[\phi] = \int d^2x \phi^*(x, z) \hat{A}(x, z, t = 0), \]

\[ \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] = \int d^2x \phi(x, z) \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t = 0). \]
Substituting (S2) into (S60a), we obtain
\[ \hat{a}[\phi] = \int d^2x \phi^*(x, z) \hat{A}(x, z, 0) \]
\[ = \sum_\mu \hat{a}_\mu \int d^2x \phi^*(x, z) u_\mu(x, z) \]
\[ = \sum_\mu \hat{a}_\mu \left[ \int d^2x u_\mu^*(x, z) \phi(x, z) \right]^* \]
\[ = \sum_\mu \hat{a}_\mu \phi_\mu^* \tag{S61} \]
where we have defined the \( \mu \)-component of the function \( \phi(x, z) = \varphi(x, z) \exp(ikz) \) with respect to the basis \( u_\mu(x, z) = \varphi_\mu(x, z) \exp(ikz) \), as
\[ \phi_\mu = \int d^2x u_\mu^*(x, z) \phi(x, z) = \int d^2x \varphi_\mu^*(x, z) \varphi(x, z). \tag{S62} \]
That the coefficients \( \phi_\mu \) are independent of \( z \), follows from the fact that both \( \varphi_\mu(x, z) \) and \( \varphi(x, z) \) satisfy the paraxial wave equation, and from the unitary character of the Fresnel propagator [8]. From (S60b) and (S61) it follows that
\[ \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] = \sum_\mu \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \phi_\mu. \tag{S63} \]
Using (S61) and (S63), we can readily calculate the commutator,
\[ \left[ \hat{a}[\phi], \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi'] \right] = \sum_\mu \phi^*_\mu \phi'_\mu \left[ \hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \right] = \delta_{\mu,\mu'} \]
\[ = \sum_\mu \left[ \int d^2x u_\mu^*(x, z) \phi(x, z) \right]^* \left[ \int d^2x u_{\mu'}^*(x', z) \phi'(x', z) \right] \]
\[ = \int d^2x \int d^2x' \phi^*(x, z) \phi'(x', z) \sum_\mu u_\mu(x, z) u_{\mu'}^*(x', z) \]
\[ = \int d^2x \phi^*(x, z) \phi'(x, z) \] \tag{S64}
In the remainder we will use indifferently either \((f, g)\) or \([f^* g]\), to denote the superposition integral
\[ \int d^2x f^*(x, z) g(x, z) = (f, g) = [f^* g], \tag{S65} \]
where the functional product notation \([f^* g]\) is borrowed from Eq. (12.49) in [9]. Thus, we can rewrite compactly (S64) as
\[ \left[ \hat{a}[\phi], \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi'] \right] = \left( \phi, \phi' \right) = \left[ \phi^* \phi' \right], \tag{S66} \]
where, by hypothesis, \( (\phi, \phi) = 1 = (\phi', \phi') \).
Using \( \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] \), we can build the photon-number states, denoted by \(|N[\phi]\rangle\), and defined by
\[ |N[\phi]\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^N}{\sqrt{N!}} |0\rangle. \tag{S67} \]
It is not difficult to show that
\[ \hat{N}|N[\phi]\rangle = N|N[\phi]\rangle, \]  
(S68)
where \( \hat{N} \) is given by (S47). Let us do this calculation in detail. To begin with, we write
\[ \hat{N}|N[\phi]\rangle = \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^N \frac{N!}{\sqrt{N}} |0\rangle \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu, (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^N \right] |0\rangle, \]  
(S69)
which is correct because \((\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^N \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu |0\rangle = 0\). Next, we use the relation [10],
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{B}_1 \hat{B}_2 \cdots \hat{B}_N] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}_1] \hat{B}_2 \cdots \hat{B}_N + \hat{B}_1 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}_2] \hat{B}_3 \cdots \hat{B}_N + \cdots + \hat{B}_1 \cdots \hat{B}_{N-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}_N], \]  
(S70)
to calculate
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^N] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{B}^{N-1} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{B}^{N-2} + \cdots + \hat{B}^{N-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \]  
(S71)
Tacking \( \hat{A} = \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu \) and \( \hat{B} = \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] \), we first calculate
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = [\hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]] \]
\[ = \sum_{\mu'} \phi_{\mu'} [\hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu'}] \]
\[ = \sum_{\mu'} \phi_{\mu'} \left\{ \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu [\hat{a}_{\mu'}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu'}] + [\hat{a}_{\mu'}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mu'}] \hat{a}_\mu \right\} \]
\[ = \phi_\mu \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu, \]  
(S72)
where (S18) has been used. This implies that \([[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \hat{B}] = 0\) and, therefore, that
\[ [\hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \hat{a}_\mu, (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^N] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^N] = N [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{B}^{N-1} = N (\phi_\mu \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu) (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^{N-1}. \]  
(S73)
Substituting (S73) into (S69), we obtain
\[ \hat{N}|N[\phi]\rangle = N \left( \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\mu} \hat{a}^\dagger_\mu \right) \frac{(\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi])^{N-1}}{\sqrt{N!}} |0\rangle \]
\[ = N|N[\phi]\rangle, \]  
(S74)
which correctly reproduces (S68).

The number state \( |N[\phi]\rangle \) is normalized according to
\[ (N[\phi]|N'[\phi']) = (\phi, \phi')^N \delta_{NN'}. \]  
(S75)
The demonstration is a straightforward calculation, let us do it assuming, without loss of generality, that \( N' \geq N \). First, we write
\[ \langle N[\phi]|N'[\phi'] \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NN'}} \langle 0 |(\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi'])^{N'} |0 \rangle \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NN'}} \langle 0 |(\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}^\dagger[\phi'])^{N'} |0 \rangle. \]  
(S76)
Next, we use (S71) with $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}[\phi])^N$ and $\hat{B} = \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi']$, to calculate

$$
\langle 0 | (\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 \left| (\hat{a}[\phi])^N, (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'} \right| 0 \rangle
= \langle 0 \left| (\hat{a}[\phi])^N, \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'] \right| \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'] \right|^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle
+ \ldots + \langle 0 | (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} \right| (\hat{a}[\phi])^N \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'] \right| 0 \rangle
= \langle 0 \left| (\hat{a}[\phi])^N, \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'] \right| (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle
$$

Then, we use again (S71) but with $\hat{A} = \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi']$ and $\hat{B} = \hat{a}[\phi]$, to obtain

$$
\langle 0 | \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'], (\hat{a}[\phi])^N \right| (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'], (\hat{a}[\phi])^N \right| (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle
= - (\phi, \phi') (\hat{a}[\phi])^{N-1} (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle.
$$

Then, we use (S66) has been used. Substituting (S78) into (S77), we obtain

$$
\langle 0 | (\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'} | 0 \rangle = N \left( \phi, \phi' \right) \langle 0 | (\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'-1} | 0 \rangle.
$$

This is a recursive equation of the form

$$
f(N, N') = N \left( \phi, \phi' \right) f(N - 1, N' - 1)
= N (N - 1) \left( \phi, \phi' \right)^2 f(N - 2, N' - 2)
\vdots
= N (N - 1) \cdots (N - k) \left( \phi, \phi' \right)^{k+1} f(N - k - 1, N' - k - 1),
$$

with $f(N, N') = (\langle 0 | (\hat{a}[\phi])^N (\hat{a}[^{\dagger}][\phi'])^{N'} | 0 \rangle$. The iteration stops at $N - k - 1 = 0$ because for $k = N - 1$

$$
f(0, N' - N) = \langle 0 | (\hat{a}[\phi'])^{N'-N} | 0 \rangle
= \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } N' = N, \\
0, & \text{if } N' > N,
\end{cases}
= \delta_{NN'}.
$$

Substituting (S81) into (S80), we obtain $f(N, N') = N! \left( \phi, \phi' \right)^N \delta_{NN'}$. Using this formula into (S76), we find the sought result (S75),

$$
(N[\phi]|N'[\phi']) = (\phi, \phi')^N \delta_{NN'}.
$$
B. Coherent states

The coherent state $|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle$ is defined by

$$|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = \exp\left\{ \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger [\phi] - \alpha^* \hat{a} [\phi] \right\} |0\rangle,$$  \hfill (S83)

where $\alpha$ is a complex number. Substituting Eqs. (S60) into (S83), we obtain

$$|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = \exp \left\{ \alpha \int d^2 x \phi(r) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0) - \alpha^* \int d^2 x \phi^*(r) \hat{A}(r, 0) \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \int d^2 x \left[ \alpha \phi(r) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0) - \alpha^* \phi^*(r) \hat{A}(r, 0) \right] \right\} |0\rangle.$$  \hfill (S84)

Using the operator relation (see, Eq. (10.11-9) in Ref. [1])

$$\exp(x \hat{A}) f(\hat{B}) \exp(-x \hat{A}) = f(\exp(x \hat{A}) \hat{B} \exp(-x \hat{A})),$$  \hfill (S85)

and (S57), it is not difficult to see that

$$|\alpha, [\phi], t\rangle = \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle$$

$$= \hat{U}^\dagger(t) e^{\int d^2 x [\alpha \phi(r) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0) - \alpha^* \phi^*(r) \hat{A}(r, 0)]} \hat{U}(t) \hat{U}^\dagger(t)|0\rangle$$

$$= e^{\int d^2 x [\alpha \phi(r) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, t) - \alpha^* \phi^*(r) \hat{A}(r, t)]} |0\rangle,$$  \hfill (S86)

where

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp \left( -i \hat{H} t \right)$$

$$= \exp \left( -i \omega t \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\mu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mu} \right),$$  \hfill (S87)

and (S46) has been used. To pass from the third to the fourth line of Eq. (S86) we have used the relations

$$\hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A}(r, 0) \hat{U}(t) = \hat{A}(r, t), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0) \hat{U}(t) = \hat{A}^\dagger(r, t),$$  \hfill (S88)

which at this point should be evident. However, for completeness we perform explicitly the calculation for $\hat{A}(r, 0)$ (for $\hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0)$ it is basically the same). We use Eqs. (S2) to rewrite

$$\hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A}(r, 0) \hat{U}(t) = \hat{A}(r, t), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A}^\dagger(r, 0) \hat{U}(t) = \hat{A}^\dagger(r, t),$$

where (S15) has been used.
The coherent state \(|\alpha, \phi, t\rangle\) is an eigenstate of the field operator \(\hat{A}(r, t)\) with eigenvalue \(\alpha \phi(r)\). To prove this, first we write
\[
\hat{A}(x, z, t)|\alpha, \phi, t\rangle = \hat{A}(x, z, t) e^{\int d^3x' \left[ \alpha \phi(x', z) \hat{A}(x', z, t) - \alpha^* \phi^*(x', z) \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) \right] |0\rangle
\]
\[
\equiv \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t), e^{\hat{B}} \right]|0\rangle,
\]
and
\[
\left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right] = \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t), \int d^3x' \left\{ \alpha \phi(x', z) \hat{A}(x', z, t) - \alpha^* \phi^*(x', z) \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \alpha \int d^3x' \phi(x', z) \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) \right]_{\delta^{(2)}(x-x')}
\]
\[
= \alpha \phi(x, z).
\]
Since \(\alpha \phi(r)\) is a number, this implies that \([\hat{B}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0\). Using the commutation relation [11]
\[
[\hat{A}, e^{\hat{B}}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] e^{\hat{B}}, \quad \text{if} \quad [\hat{B}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0,
\]
we can rewrite (S90) as
\[
\hat{A}(r, t)|\alpha, \phi, t\rangle = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] e^{\hat{B}}|0\rangle
\]
\[
= \alpha \phi(r) |\alpha, \phi, t\rangle.
\]
This prove our assertion.

For practical reasons (we will see an application later), it is sometime useful to consider a pseudo eigenvalue equation of the form
\[
\hat{A}(r, t_1)|\alpha, \phi, t_2\rangle = f(t_1, t_2) \alpha \phi(r) |\alpha, \phi, t_2\rangle,
\]
where \(f(t_1, t_2)\) is a function to be determined, subjected to the constraint \(f(t, t) = 1\). To solve Eq. (S94) it is enough to rewrite (S91) at two different times, that is
\[
[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t_1), \int d^3x' \left\{ \alpha \phi(x', z) \hat{A}(x', z, t_2) - \alpha^* \phi^*(x', z) \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t_2) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \alpha \int d^3x' \phi(x', z) \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t_1), \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t_2) \right]_{\delta^{(2)}(x-x')}
\]
\[
= e^{-i\omega(t_1-t_2)} \alpha \phi(x, z).
\]
From this equation and (S94), it readily follows that
\[
f(t_1, t_2) = e^{-i\omega(t_1-t_2)}.
\]
Note that the simple form of the two-time commutator
\[
\left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t_1), \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t_2) \right] = e^{-i\omega(t_1-t_2)} \delta^{(2)}(x-x'),
\]
comes from the fact that the frequency \(\omega\) is the same for all the harmonic oscillators making the field (S1).
The coherent state is normalized because

\[
\langle \alpha, [\phi] | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi] - \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] - \alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} | 0 \rangle = 1.
\]  

(S98)

To see this, using the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff identity [1],

\[
e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]}, \quad \text{if} \quad [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0 = [\hat{B}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]],
\]

(S99)

with \( \hat{A} = \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] \), \( \hat{B} = -\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi] \) and \([\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = |\alpha|^2 \), we find

\[
e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] - \alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]} e^{-\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} = e^{\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2} e^{-\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]},
\]

(S100)

so that we can rewrite (S98) as

\[
\langle \alpha, [\phi] | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \left( \langle 0 | e^{-\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]} e^{\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]} e^{-\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \left( \langle 0 | e^{\alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi]} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi]} | 0 \rangle = e^{\alpha |\phi|^2} \right)
\]

\[
= 1,
\]

(S101)

where we have used again the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff identity, in the form

\[
e^{\hat{B}} e^{\hat{A}} = e^{[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]} e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}},
\]

(S102)

with \( \hat{B} = \alpha^* \hat{a}[\phi] \) and \( \hat{A} = \alpha \hat{a}^\dagger[\phi] \).

By definition, the coherent state \(|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle\) is also an eigenstate of \(\hat{a}[\phi']\) with eigenvalue \(\alpha (\phi', \phi)\):

\[
\hat{a}[\phi'] |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = \alpha (\phi', \phi) |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle,
\]

(S103)

where

\[
(\phi', \phi) = \int d^2 x \phi'^* (x, z) \phi(x, z).
\]

(S104)

This is easy to prove. First, we rewrite (S60a) as

\[
\hat{a}[\phi'] = \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_\mu \phi'^*_\mu
\]

\[
= \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_\mu (\phi', u_\mu)
\]

\[
= (\phi', \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_\mu u_\mu)
\]

\[
= (\phi', \hat{A}).
\]

(S105)

Next, we use (S93) to calculate the sought result, that is

\[
\hat{a}[\phi'] |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = (\phi', \hat{A}) |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle
\]

\[
= (\phi', \hat{A} |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle)
\]

\[
= (\phi', \alpha \phi |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle)
\]

\[
= \alpha (\phi', \phi) |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle.
\]

(S106)
We will see later that it is very useful to write the coherent state $\hat{a}[\psi] |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle$ in terms of the number states $|N[\phi]\rangle$ defined by (S131). Using the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff identity (S100), we rewrite (S83) as

$$|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\alpha^* \hat{a}} |0\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^N}{\sqrt{N!}} \left[ \left( \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger [\phi]}{\sqrt{N!}} \right)^N |0\rangle \right] = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^N}{\sqrt{N!}} |N[\phi]\rangle. \quad (S107)$$

The term with $N = 0$ in (S107) gives

$$\langle 0 |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}. \quad (S108)$$

C. Eigenstates of the electric field

The Hermitian field operator $\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t)$, defined by Eq. (S1) here reproduced,

$$\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x,z,t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x,z,t) \right], \quad (S109)$$

satisfies the following eigenvalue equation (in the Heisenberg picture),

$$\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t) |\Psi,t\rangle = \Psi(x,z) |\Psi,t\rangle = \sum_{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \psi(x,z) |\Psi,t\rangle, \quad (S110)$$

where the prefactor $1/\sqrt{2\omega}$ has been inserted for dimensional reasons, and $\psi(x,z)$ is a time-independent real-valued function of $x$ and $z$.

The first step to prove (S110) is to notice the similarity between the commutation relation (S11),

$$\left[ \hat{\Psi}(x,z,t), \hat{\Pi}(x',z,t) \right] = i \delta^{(2)}(x - x'), \quad (S111)$$

and the functional commutation relation

$$\left[ \hat{\Psi}(x,z,t), \frac{1}{i} \delta \hat{\Psi}(x',z,t) \right] = i \delta^{(2)}(x - x'). \quad (S112)$$

This analogy advises that in the representation in which $\hat{\Psi}(x,z,t)$ is diagonal, the momentum field operator $\hat{\Pi}(x,z,t)$ has the form $-i\delta/\delta \hat{\Psi}(x,z,t)$ [12, 13]. This relation occurs also in ordinary quantum mechanics, where the momentum operator $\hat{P}$ has the representation $-i\delta/\delta q$ in the coordinate basis $|q\rangle$ where the position operator $\hat{Q}$ is diagonal, that is $\hat{Q}|q\rangle = q|q\rangle$. Now consider a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{P}^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \hat{Q}^2 = \hbar \omega \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (S113)$$

where

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\hat{Q}}{q_0} + i \frac{i}{p_0} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad q_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_0 = \frac{\hbar}{q_0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m\omega \hbar}}. \quad (S114)$$
Then, it is not difficult to show that (see, e.g., sec. 6.2 in [14]) the eigenstate $|q\rangle$ of the position operator $\hat{Q}$, can be written as

$$|q\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{Q_0} \right)^2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger - \sqrt{2} \frac{q}{Q_0} \right)^2 \right] |0\rangle. \quad (S115)$$

At this point the formal analogy between

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger), \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t) + \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) \right], \quad (S116)$$

suggests that we could try to replace $q/q_0$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ in (S115), with $\beta \psi(x, z)$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t)$, respectively, so that the sought field eigenstate $|\Psi, t\rangle$ could have tentatively the form

$$|\Psi, t\rangle = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}[\Psi]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z) \right] G(x, x') \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle, \quad (S117)$$

where $\beta$ is a real number and $Z^{1/2}[\Psi]$ is a normalization term, typically a functional of $\Psi$. However, multiplying two or more field operators evaluated at the same spatial point $x$ yield divergences, which may be avoided spreading out the factors in the product. Therefore, we try a more general expression like

$$|\Psi, t\rangle = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}[\Psi]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z) \right] G(x, x') \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle, \quad (S118)$$

where the number $\beta$ and the function $G(x, x')$ will be determined by imposing the validity of (S110) with $|\Psi, t\rangle$ given by (S118). We can take $G(x, x')$ a symmetric function, that is

$$G(x, x') = G(x', x). \quad (S119)$$

This can be seen by exchanging the dummy variables $x$ and $x'$, the order of integration and the first and the last term in the product inside the integral:

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z) \right] G(x, x') \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x' \int d^2x \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z) \right] G(x', x) \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z) \right] G(x', x) \left[ \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle. \quad (S120)$$

Now rewrite the left-hand side of (S110) as

$$\hat{\Psi}(x, z, t)|\Psi, t\rangle = (\hat{A} + \hat{B}) e^{\hat{C}} |0\rangle, \quad (S121)$$

where

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \hat{A}(x, z, t), \quad \hat{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \hat{c}(x, z, t) G(x, x') \hat{c}(x', z, t), \quad (S122)$$

with

$$\hat{c}(x, z, t) = \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \beta \psi(x, z). \quad (S123)$$

Note that, by definition,

$$[\hat{B}, \hat{c}(x, z, t)] = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\hat{B}, e^{\hat{C}}] = 0, \quad (S124)$$
and that
\[ \hat{A} e^{iC}|0\rangle = \left[ \hat{A}, e^{iC} \right] |0\rangle, \]  
(S125)
because
\[ \hat{A}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_\mu \hat{a}_\mu|0\rangle e^{i\mu}\omega t = 0. \]  
(S126)

We would like to use Eq. (S92), reproduced below,
\[ [\hat{A}, e^{iC}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] e^{iC}, \quad \text{if} \quad [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]] = 0, \]  
(S127)
to rewrite (S125) as
\[ \hat{A} e^{iC}|0\rangle = [\hat{A}, e^{iC}]|0\rangle = [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]|\Psi, t\rangle. \]  
(S128)

So, let us calculate
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \hat{A}(x, z, t), -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x' d^2x'' c(x', z, t)G(x', x'')\hat{c}(x'', z, t) \right] \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x' d^2x'' G(x', x'') \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x', z, t)\hat{c}(x'', z, t) \right]. \]  
(S129)

Now we use
\[ [\hat{X}, \hat{Y}\hat{Z}] = [\hat{X}, \hat{Y}]\hat{Z} + \hat{Y}[\hat{X}, \hat{Z}], \]  
(S130)
with
\[ \hat{X} = \hat{A}(x, z, t), \quad \hat{Y} = \hat{c}(x', z, t), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Z} = \hat{c}(x'', z, t), \]  
(S131)
to obtain
\[ [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x', z, t)\hat{c}(x'', z, t)] = [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x', z, t)]\hat{c}(x'', z, t) + [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x'', z, t)]\hat{c}(x', z, t). \]  
(S132)

Next, we must calculate
\[ [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x', z, t)] = [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \hat{\psi}(x', z)] \]
\[ = \delta^{(2)}(x - x'), \]  
(S133)
where (S9) has been used. This implies that
\[ [\hat{A}(x, z, t), \hat{c}(x', z, t)\hat{c}(x'', z, t)] = \delta^{(2)}(x - x')\hat{c}(x'', z, t) + \delta^{(2)}(x - x'')\hat{c}(x', z, t). \]  
(S134)

Substituting (S134) into (S129), we obtain
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x' d^2x'' G(x', x'') \left[ \delta^{(2)}(x - x')\hat{c}(x'', z, t) + \delta^{(2)}(x - x'')\hat{c}(x', z, t) \right] \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int d^2x'' G(x, x'')\hat{c}(x'', z, t) + \int d^2x' G(x', x)\hat{c}(x', z, t) \right\} \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \int d^2x' G(x, x')\hat{c}(x', z, t), \]  
(S135)
where the symmetry (S119) of $G(x, x')$ has been exploited. From (S122) and (S135) it follows that
\[ [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]] = 0, \]  
(S136)
and, therefore, Eq. (S128) holds true, that is
\[ [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]|\Psi, t\rangle = \left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega}\int d^2x' G(x, x')\hat{c}(x', z, t)\right\}|\Psi, t\rangle \]
\[ = \left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega}\int d^2x' G(x, x')\left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z)\right]\right\}|\Psi, t\rangle. \]  
(S137)

The last step is to rewrite (S121) using (S137),
\[ |\Psi(x, z, t)\rangle = \hat{A}\hat{c}|0\rangle + \hat{B}\hat{c}|0\rangle \]
\[ = \left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega}\int d^2x' G(x, x')\left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) - \beta \psi(x', z)\right]\right\}|\Psi, t\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t)|\Psi, t\rangle \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega}\left\{\int d^2x' G(x, x') \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) + \beta \psi(x', z)\right] + \delta^{(2)}(x - x') \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t)\right\}|\Psi, t\rangle. \]  
(S138)

This expression must be set equal to the right-hand side of (S110), that is
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega}\left\{\int d^2x' \left[\delta^{(2)}(x - x') - G(x, x')\right] \hat{A}^\dagger(x', z, t) + \beta G(x, x') \psi(x', z)\right\}|\Psi, t\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\omega} \psi(x, z)|\Psi, t\rangle. \]  
(S139)
Clearly, this equation reduces to an identity if and only if
\[ G(x, x') = \delta^{(2)}(x - x'), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1. \]  
(S140)

Substituting (S140) into (S118), we obtain
\[ |\Psi, t\rangle = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}|\Psi|}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \psi(x, z)\right]^2\right\}|0\rangle \]
\[ = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}|\Psi|}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) - \sqrt{2}\omega \Psi(x, z)\right]^2\right\}|0\rangle, \]  
(S141)
where (S110) has been used. So, our attempt to remove the divergence due to the product of two fields at the same spatial point $x$ failed, and we must deal with a non-normalizable state vector. For time being we leave $Z^{1/2}|\Psi| = 1$ undetermined, but we will return to the question of the normalization soon.

### D. Eigenstates of the conjugate operator

By definition, the eigenstates $|\Pi, t\rangle$ of the conjugate operator $\hat{\Pi}(x, z, t)$, which is defined by (S10), satisfy the eigenvalue equation
\[ \hat{\Pi}(x, z, t)|\Pi, t\rangle = \Pi(x, z)|\Pi, t\rangle \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \pi(x, z)|\Pi, t\rangle. \]  
(S142)
A straightforward calculation reproducing the steps from (S118) to (S141), shows that
\[ |\Pi, t\rangle = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}|\Pi|}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) + i \pi(x, z)\right]^2\right\}|0\rangle \]
\[ = \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}|\Pi|}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \left[\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z, t) + i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \Pi(x, z)\right]^2\right\}|0\rangle, \]  
(S143)
where the normalization functional $Z^{1/2}|\Pi|$ is to be determined.
E. Superposition of states

In this section we will calculate the following probability amplitudes:

1. Superposition with vacuum: $\langle \Psi, t|0 \rangle$.

2. Superposition with the coherent state: $\langle \Psi, t|\alpha, [\phi] \rangle$.

3. Superposition with the number state: $\langle \Psi, t|N[\phi] \rangle$.

where

$$\langle \Psi, t | = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} |0 \rangle \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t) - \psi(x, z) \right]^2 \right\}. \quad (S144)$$

1. Case 1

Note that since $\hat{A}(x, z, t)|0 \rangle = 0$, then

$$\langle \Psi, t|0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \psi^2(x, z) \right\} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}}, \quad (S145)$$

where the notation introduced in (S64) has been used, with $\psi(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. Case 2

In this case Eq. (S94) gives

$$\hat{A}(r, t)|\alpha, [\phi] \rangle = \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \phi(r)|\alpha, [\phi] \rangle. \quad (S146)$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \Psi, t|\alpha, [\phi] \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} |0 \rangle \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \left[ \hat{A}(r, t) - \psi(r) \right]^2 \right\} |\alpha, [\phi] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \phi(r) - \psi(r) \right]^2 \right\} |0 \rangle |\alpha, [\phi] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \phi(r) - \psi(r) \right]^2 \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-|\psi|^2/2}}{\sqrt{Z_{\Psi}}} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \left\{ \exp \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \psi \phi - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 e^{-2i\omega t} \phi^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$= \langle \Psi, t|0 \rangle e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \left\{ \exp \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \psi \phi - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 e^{-2i\omega t} \phi^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (S147)$$

where (S108) have been used. As always, we are supposing that $\varphi(r) = \phi(r) \exp(-ikz)$ is a solution of the paraxial wave equation. Note that when the amplitude $\alpha$ of the coherent state goes to 0, Eq. (S147) reproduces correctly Eq. (S145).
3. Case 3

To evaluate \( \langle \Psi, t | N[\phi] \rangle \), we use the number state representation of the coherent state, given by (S107), here reproduced,

\[
|\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{N'=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{N'}}{\sqrt{N'!}} |N'[\phi]\rangle.
\]  
(S148)

Then, we can calculate again \( \langle \Psi, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle \) as

\[
\langle \Psi, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle \phi^{\alpha^2/2} = \sum_{N'=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{N'}}{\sqrt{N'!}} \langle \Psi, t | N'[\phi] \rangle.
\]  
(S149)

Tacking the derivative with respect to \( \alpha \) of both sides of (S149), and then putting \( \alpha = 0 \), we readily obtain the sought amplitudes:

\[
\langle \Psi, t | N[\phi] \rangle = \left. \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}[\Psi]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial \alpha^N} \left\{ \langle \Psi, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle \phi^{\alpha^2/2} \right\} \right|_{\alpha=0}.
\]  
(S150)

Substituting (S147) into (S150), we obtain

\[
\langle \Psi, t | N[\phi] \rangle = \left. \frac{1}{Z^{1/2}[\Psi]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial \alpha^N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} \phi(r) - \psi(r) \right]^2 \right\} \right|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{e^{-|\phi|^2/2}}{Z^{1/2}[\Psi]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial \alpha^N} \left\{ \exp \left[ \alpha e^{-i\omega t} [\psi \phi] - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 e^{-2i\omega t} [\phi^2] \right] \right\} \right|_{\alpha=0},
\]  
(S151)

where (S147) has been used. Now notice that the exponential generating function for the Hermite polynomials [15],

\[
\exp \left( 2xt - t^2 \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]  
(S152)

permits us to rewrite

\[
\exp \left\{ \alpha [\psi \phi] e^{-i\omega t} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 [\phi^2] e^{-2i\omega t} \right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n \left( \frac{[\psi \phi]}{\sqrt{2 |\phi^2|}} \right) e^{-in\omega t} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\phi^2| \right)^{n/2} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!}.
\]  
(S153)

Here and hereafter the square root of the (possibly) complex number \([\phi^2]\), must be understood as the principal branch of \(\sqrt{|\phi^2|}\), that is the positive square root. Substituting (S153) into (S151), we obtain

\[
\langle \Psi, t | N[\phi] \rangle = \langle \Psi, t | 0 \rangle \frac{e^{-iN\omega t}}{2N\sqrt{N!}} H_N \left( \frac{[\psi \phi]}{\sqrt{2 |\phi^2|}} \right) \left( \sqrt{2 |\phi^2|} \right)^N,
\]  
(S154)

the first few values of which are given in Table I. Note that if we choose \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) such that \([\psi \phi] = (\psi, \phi) = 0\), then \( \langle \Psi, t | N[\phi] \rangle = 0 \) for \( N \) odd, as for squeezed states.

VI. INPUT AND OUTPUT STATES OF THE LIGHT FIELD

In the main text we consider three different quantum states of light entering the beam splitter from port 1 (port 2 is always fed with vacuum): the vacuum state \( |0\rangle = |0\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 \), the single-photon state \( |1[\phi]\rangle = |1[\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 \), and the coherent state \( |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle = |\alpha, [\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 \). Here the subscripts 1 and 2 label the two ports of the beam splitter. By definition, the vacuum state is unchanged across the BS, but the other two input states are converted to the corresponding output states by use of the Hermitian conjugate of Eqs. (S39).
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
N & \langle \Psi, t|N[\phi]\rangle/\langle \Psi, t|0\rangle \\
\hline
0 & 1 \\
1 & [\psi \phi] e^{-i\omega t} \\
2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ([\psi \phi]^2 - [\phi^2]) e^{-2i\omega t} \\
3 & \frac{[\psi \phi]}{\sqrt{6}} ([\psi \phi]^2 - 3 [\phi^2]) e^{-3i\omega t} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**TABLE I.** Expressions of the amplitudes \(\langle \Psi, t|N[\phi]\rangle/\langle \Psi, t|0\rangle\) calculated from (S151) for \(0 \leq N \leq 3\).

### 1. Conversion of the single-photon state

The input single-photon state is

\[
|1[\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 = \hat{a}_1^\dagger [\phi]|0\rangle_2
= \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\mu} \hat{a}_1^\dagger_{\mu} |0\rangle_2, \\
\text{(S155)}
\]

where (S63) has been used. Substituting the conjugate of (S39a) into (S155), we obtain

\[
|1[\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 = \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\mu} \left[ \tau \hat{b}_1^\dagger_{\mu} + \rho (-1)^{m_{\mu}} \hat{b}_2^\dagger_{2\mu} \right] |0\rangle_2
= \tau \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\mu} \hat{b}_1^\dagger_{\mu} |0\rangle_2 + \rho \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{m_{\mu}} \phi_{\mu} \hat{b}_2^\dagger_{2\mu} |0\rangle_2, \\
= \hat{b}_1[\phi] + \rho \hat{b}_2[\tilde{\phi}], \\
\text{(S156)}
\]

where (S63) has been used again, and we have defined

\[
\hat{b}_2[\tilde{\phi}] = \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{m_{\mu}} \phi_{\mu} \hat{b}_2^\dagger_{2\mu}
= \sum_{\mu} \hat{b}_{2\mu}^\dagger (-1)^{m_{\mu}} \int d^2 x u_{\mu}^*(x, y, z_2) \phi(x, y, z_2)
= \sum_{\mu} \hat{b}_{2\mu}^\dagger \int d^2 x \left( -1 \right)^{m_{\mu}} u_{\mu}^*(x, y, z_2) \phi(x, y, z_2)
= \sum_{\mu} \hat{b}_{2\mu}^\dagger \int d^2 x u_{\mu}^*(x, y, z_2) \phi(x, -y, z_2)
\equiv \tilde{\phi}_{\mu}, \\
\text{where (S35) has been used and } \tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) \equiv \phi(x, -y, z). \\
\text{Therefore, we can rewrite (S147) as}
|1[\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 = \tau \hat{b}_1[\phi]|0\rangle_2 + \rho \hat{b}_2[\tilde{\phi}]|0\rangle_2
= \tau |1[\phi]\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 + \rho |0\rangle_1|1[\tilde{\phi}]\rangle_2. \\
\text{(S158)}
\]
By definition of independent modes, it follows that \(\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t| = t\langle \Psi_1, t|\langle \Psi_2, t|\), so that we can write
\[
\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t|\phi\rangle = \tau \langle \Psi_1, t|\phi\rangle \langle \Psi_2, t|0\rangle + \rho \langle \Psi_1, t|0\rangle \langle \Psi_2, t|\phi\rangle
\]
\[
= \tau \frac{e^{-(\psi_1, \psi_2)/2}}{Z_1^{1/2}} \left( \psi_1, \phi \right) e^{-i\omega t} \frac{e^{-(\psi_1, \psi_2)/2}}{Z_2^{1/2}} + \rho \frac{e^{-(\psi_1, \psi_1)/2}}{Z_1^{1/2}} \left( \psi_2, \phi \right) e^{-i\omega t}
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{-(\psi_1, \psi_2 + \psi_2, \psi_2)/2}}{Z_1^{1/2} Z_2^{1/2}} \left\{ \tau \left( \psi_1, \phi \right) + \rho \left( \psi_2, \phi \right) \right\} e^{-i\omega t}
\]
\[
= \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t|0\rangle \left\{ \tau \left( \psi_1, \phi \right) + \rho \left( \psi_2, \phi \right) \right\} e^{-i\omega t},
\]
(S159)
where
\[
Z_1^{1/2} = Z_1^{1/2}[\Psi_1], \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2^{1/2} = Z_1^{1/2}[\Psi_2],
\]
(S160)
and Eqs. (S145) and (S154) (with \(N = 0\) and \(N = 1\), have been used. For a 50:50 beam splitter we can substitute (S41) into (S159) to obtain
\[
\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t|\phi\rangle = \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t|0\rangle \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( \psi_1, \phi \right) + i \left( \psi_2, \phi \right) \right\}.
\]
(S161)

2. Conversion of the coherent state

The input coherent state is
\[
|\alpha, [\phi]_1|0\rangle = \exp \left\{ \alpha \hat{a}_1^\dagger [\phi] - \alpha^* \hat{a}_1 [\phi] \right\} |0\rangle
\]
\[
= \exp \left\{ \alpha \sum_\mu \phi_\mu \hat{a}_1^{\dagger \mu} - \alpha^* \sum_\mu \phi_\mu^* \hat{a}_1^{\mu} \right\} |0\rangle,
\]
(S162)
where Eqs. (S63) and (S83) have been used. Substituting Eq. (S39a) and its conjugate into (S162), we obtain
\[
|\alpha, [\phi]_1|0\rangle = \exp \left\{ \alpha \sum_\mu \phi_\mu \left[ \tau \hat{b}_1^{\dagger \mu} + \rho (-1)^m \hat{b}_2^{\dagger \mu} \right] - \alpha^* \sum_\mu \phi_\mu^* \left[ \tau^* \hat{b}_1^{\mu} + \rho^* (-1)^m \hat{b}_2^{\mu} \right] \right\} |0\rangle
\]
\[
= \exp \left\{ \sum_\mu \left[ \tau \rho \phi_\mu \hat{b}_1^{\dagger \mu} - \tau \phi_\mu^* \hat{b}_1^{\mu} \right] + \sum_\mu \left[ \rho (-1)^m \phi_\mu \hat{b}_2^{\dagger \mu} - \rho (-1)^m \phi_\mu^* \hat{b}_2^{\mu} \right] \right\} |0\rangle
\]
\[
= \exp \left\{ \sum_\mu \left[ \tau \rho \phi_\mu \hat{b}_1^{\dagger \mu} - \tau \phi_\mu^* \hat{b}_1^{\mu} \right] \right\} |0\rangle \exp \left\{ \sum_\mu \left[ \rho (-1)^m \phi_\mu \hat{b}_2^{\dagger \mu} - \rho (-1)^m \phi_\mu^* \hat{b}_2^{\mu} \right] \right\} |0\rangle
\]
(S163)
where the commutation relations (S44) and (S45), have been used. Using Eqs. (S63), (S83) and (S157), we can rewrite (S163) as
\[
|\alpha, [\phi]_1|0\rangle = \exp \left\{ \tau \alpha \hat{b}_1^{\dagger} [\phi] - \tau \alpha^* \hat{b}_1 [\phi] \right\} |0\rangle \exp \left\{ \rho \alpha \hat{b}_2^{\dagger} [\phi] - \rho \alpha^* \hat{b}_2 [\phi] \right\} |0\rangle
\]
\[
= |\alpha, [\phi]_1|\rho \alpha, [\phi]_2, \]
(S164)
so that

\[
\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle = \langle \Psi_1, t | \tau \alpha, [\phi] \rangle_1 \langle \Psi_2, t | \rho \alpha, [\bar{\phi}] \rangle_2
\]

\[
= \langle \Psi_1, t | 0 \rangle e^{-|\tau\alpha|^2/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( [\psi_1 \phi] + (\rho \alpha) [\psi_2 \bar{\phi}] \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\times \exp \left\{ -e^{-2i\omega t} \left( \frac{\tau \alpha}{2} + (\rho \alpha)^2 \right) [\phi^2] \right\},
\]

(S165)

where \([\bar{\phi}^2] = [\phi^2]\), and (S147) have been used. For a 50:50 beam splitter Eqs. (S41) imply \((\alpha)^2 + (r\alpha)^2 = 0\), so that we can substitute (S41) into (S165) to obtain

\[
\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle = \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | 0 \rangle e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \left( [\psi_1 \phi] + i [\psi_2 \bar{\phi}] \right) \right\}.
\]

(S166)

Comparing Eq. (S161) with Eq. (S166) we can see that for a 50:50 beam splitter we obtain the particularly simple and suggestive result

\[
\frac{\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | \alpha, [\phi] \rangle}{\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | 0 \rangle} = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | 0 \rangle} \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, t | [\phi] \rangle \right\}.
\]

(S167)

VII. NORMALIZATION OF THE EIGENSTATES OF THE FIELDS

In this section we will work in the Schrödinger picture, so that all the operators and their eigenstates will be time-independent. We want to determine the normalization terms \(Z^{1/2}[\Psi]\) and \(Z^{1/2}[\Pi]\) introduced in Eqs. (S141) and (S143), respectively. To this end, we must use the functional representation of the field operators, that is [16],

\[
\langle \Psi | \Pi(x, z)\rangle \Phi = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x, z)} \langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle,
\]

(S168a)

\[
\langle \Pi | \Psi(x, z)\rangle \Phi = i \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Phi \rangle,
\]

(S168b)

where \(|\Phi\rangle\) is a generic state vector. Replacing \(|\Phi\rangle\) with \(|\Pi\rangle\) in (S168a) and with \(|\Psi\rangle\) in (S168b), we find

\[
\Pi(x, z) \langle \Psi | \Pi \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x, z)} \langle \Psi | \Pi \rangle,
\]

(S169a)

\[
\Psi(x, z) \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle = i \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle,
\]

(S169b)

where Eqs. (S110) and (S142) have been used. To satisfy (S169a) we can take

\[
\langle \Psi | \Pi \rangle = f[\Pi] \exp \left\{ i \int d^2x \, \Psi(x, z) \Pi(x, z) \right\},
\]

(S170)

where \(f[\Pi]\) is an arbitrary functional of \(\Pi(x, z)\). Likewise, we can satisfy (S169b) by choosing

\[
\langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle = g^* |\Psi\rangle \exp \left\{ -i \int d^2x \, \Psi(x, z) \Pi(x, z) \right\},
\]

(S171)
where \( g[\Psi] \) is an arbitrary functional of \( \Psi(x,z) \). However, \( \langle \Pi|\Psi \rangle = (\langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle)^* \), so that we must choose

\[
\langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle = g[\Psi] = \text{const.} \tag{S172}
\]

Therefore, we put

\[
\langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle = (\text{const.}) \times \exp \left\{ i \int d^2x \Psi(x,z)\Pi(x,z) \right\}. \tag{S173}
\]

Conventionally, we choose such a constant equal to 1 (see, e.g., sec. 14.2.3 of [17]).

To determine the normalization terms \( Z^{1/2}[\Psi] \) and \( Z^{1/2}[\Pi] \), we now require that the amplitudes \( \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle \) and \( \langle \Pi|\Psi \rangle = (\langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle)^* \), when written in terms of Eqs. (S141), would satisfy Eqs. (S169). First, without loss of generality let us write \( 1/Z^{1/2}[\Psi] = \exp (F[\Psi]) / Z^{1/2}_\Psi \), where \( F[\Psi] \) is a functional of \( \Psi(x,z) \) to be determined and \( Z^{1/2}_\Psi \) now is a constant number. Next, we calculate the right-hand side of (S169a) as

\[
\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \left\{ \frac{e^{F[\Psi]}}{Z^{1/2}_\Psi} \langle 0|e^{-\frac{i}{2} \int d^2x' [A(x',z,t) - \sqrt{2\omega} \Psi(x',z)]^2} | \Pi \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle + \frac{e^{F[\Psi]}}{Z^{1/2}_\Psi} \left\{ \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} e^{\frac{i}{2} \int d^2x' [-\frac{1}{2} A(x',z,t)^2 + \sqrt{2\omega} \Psi(x',z) A(x',z,t) - \omega \Psi^2(x',z)]} | \Pi \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle + \langle \Psi| \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \left\{ e^{\frac{i}{2} \int d^2x' [A(x',z,t)^2 - \sqrt{2\omega} \Psi(x',z) A(x',z,t) - \omega \Psi^2(x',z)]} \right\} | \Pi \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle + \frac{1}{i} \langle \Psi| \sqrt{2\omega} A(x,z,t) - 2\omega \Psi(x,z) | \Pi \rangle. \tag{S174}
\]

Now we use Eqs. (S1) and (S10) to write

\[
\hat{A}(x,z) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \hat{\Psi}(x,z) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \hat{\Pi}(x,z). \tag{S175}
\]

Substituting (S175) into (S174), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle + \frac{1}{i} \langle \Psi| \sqrt{2\omega} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \hat{\Psi}(x,z) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \hat{\Pi}(x,z) \right\} - 2\omega \Psi(x,z) | \Pi \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i} \left\{ \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} + \omega \Psi(x,z) + i \Pi(x,z) - 2\omega \Psi(x,z) \right\} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \Pi(x,z) \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle + \frac{1}{i} \left\{ \frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} - \omega \Psi(x,z) \right\} \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle. \tag{S176}
\]

Clearly, the right-hand side of (S176) is equal to \( \Pi(x,z) \langle \Psi|\Pi \rangle \) if and only if \( F[\Psi] \) satisfies the functional differential equation

\[
\frac{\delta F[\Psi]}{\delta \Psi(x,z)} - \omega \Psi(x,z) = 0. \tag{S177}
\]

This equation is satisfied by

\[
F[\Psi] = \frac{\omega}{2} \int d^2x \Psi^2(x,z) + \text{const.} \tag{S178}
\]
The constant term in (S178) cannot be determined, so its value is just a matter of convention, and we choose it equal to zero. Then, we can write

$$\frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\omega}{2} \int d^2x \, \Psi^2(x, z) \right\}. \tag{S179}$$

Finally, substituting (S179) into (S141), we obtain

$$|\Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ \int d^2x \, \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} \Psi^2(x, z) + \sqrt{2} \omega \Psi(x, z) \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}^\dagger(x, z) \right] \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\omega}{2} \left[ |\Psi|_0^2 \right] + \sqrt{2} \omega \left[ |\Psi|_0 \right] \hat{A}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\Psi|_0 \right] \hat{A}^\dagger \right\} |0\rangle. \tag{S180}$$

Note that because $\langle 0 | \hat{A}^\dagger | 0 \rangle = 0$, from (S180) it follows that

$$\langle 0 | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\omega}{2} \left[ |\Psi|_0^2 \right] \right\}. \tag{S181}$$

For the normalization of the conjugate momentum eigenstate $|\Pi \rangle$, we can proceed in the same way as above, first writing $1/Z_{\Pi}^{1/2} = \exp (G[\Pi]) / Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}$, and then calculating the right-hand side of (S169b),

$$i \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \left\{ \frac{e^{G[\Pi]} Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} \langle 0 | e^{\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left[ \hat{A}(x', z, t)^2 - i \sqrt{2} \Pi(x', z, t) \hat{A}(x', z, t) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi^2(x', z, t) \right] | \Psi \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle + \frac{e^{G[\Pi]} Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} \langle 0 | \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \left\{ \int d^2x' \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}(x', z, t)^2 - i \sqrt{2} \Pi(x', z, t) \hat{A}(x', z, t) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi^2(x', z, t) \right] \right\} | \Psi \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Pi | \frac{2}{\omega} \hat{A}(x, z, t) - \frac{2}{\omega} \Pi(x, z) \rangle.$$ \tag{S182}

Substituting (S175) into (S182), we obtain

$$i \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle = \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle + \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Pi \rangle - i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \left[ \hat{A}(x, z, t) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2} \omega \Pi(x, z)} \right] - \frac{2}{\omega} \Pi(x, z) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle + i \left\{ \frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} \langle \Pi | \Pi \rangle - \frac{1}{\omega} \Pi(x, z) \right\}.$$ \tag{S183}

Now, the right-hand side of (S183) is equal to $\Psi(x, z) \langle \Pi | \Psi \rangle$ if and only if $G[\Pi]$ satisfies the functional differential equation

$$\frac{\delta G[\Pi]}{\delta \Pi(x, z)} - \frac{1}{\omega} \Pi(x, z) = 0. \tag{S184}$$

This equation is satisfied by

$$G[\Pi] = \frac{1}{2 \omega} \int d^2x \, \Pi^2(x, z) + \text{const.} \tag{S185}$$
Choosing, as before, the constant term equal to zero, we can write
\[
\frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega} \int d^2x \Pi^2(x, z) \right\}.
\] (S186)

Finally, Substituting (S186) into (S143), we obtain
\[
|\Pi\rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} \Pi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\omega} \left[ \Pi^2 + i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \Pi \hat{A} + \frac{1}{2} [\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A}] \right] \right\} |0\rangle.
\] (S187)

Note again that from \( \langle 0|\hat{A}^\dagger(x, z) = 0 \) and (S187), it follows that
\[
\langle 0|\Pi\rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\omega} [\Pi^2] \right\}. \quad (S188)
\]

A. Completeness relations

Following [17], we postulate that
\[
\int \mathcal{D}\Psi |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| = \hat{I}, \quad (S189a)
\]
\[
\int \mathcal{D}\Pi |\Pi\rangle \langle \Pi| = \hat{I}, \quad (S189b)
\]
where \( \hat{I} \) is the identity operator and \( \mathcal{D}\Psi \) and \( \mathcal{D}\Pi \) are the functional measures. Then, the still unknown normalization constants \( Z_{\Psi}^{1/2} \) and \( Z_{\Pi}^{1/2} \) can be determined, respectively, by the conditions
\[
1 = \langle 0|0\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \langle 0|\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Psi}} \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left\{ -\omega \left[ \Psi^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (S190)
\]
and
\[
1 = \langle 0|0\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\Pi \langle 0|\Pi\rangle \langle \Pi|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}} \int \mathcal{D}\Pi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\omega} [\Pi^2] \right\}, \quad (S191)
\]
where Eqs. (S181) and (S188) have been used. Equations (S190) and (S191) imply
\[
Z_{\Psi} = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left\{ -\omega \left[ \Psi^2 \right] \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\Pi} = \int \mathcal{D}\Pi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\omega} [\Pi^2] \right\}. \quad (S192)
\]
Having fixed the functional measures, we can now calculate the inner product of two eigenstates of the field,

\[
\langle \Psi | \Psi' \rangle = \int D\Pi \exp\left\{ i [\Pi \Psi] \right\} \exp\left\{ -i [\Pi \Psi'] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used. The quantity \( \langle \Psi | \Psi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of the quantum mechanics \( \langle q | q' \rangle = \delta(q - q') \). Therefore, following [17] we formally define a functional delta function as

\[
\delta[\Psi - \Psi'] = \int D\Pi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \int d^2 x \left[ (\Psi(x, z) - \Psi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

Likewise, we calculate

\[
\langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i [\Psi \Pi] \right\} \exp\left\{ i [\Psi \Pi'] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

That \( \langle \Psi | \Psi' \rangle \) and \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) must be interpreted as delta functions, follows again from the normalization condition \( \langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1 \), that is

\[
1 = \langle 0 | 0 \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} [\Pi^2] \right\}
\]

\[
= \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi(x, z))^2 \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

\[
= \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Psi(x, z) - \Psi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{Z_{\Pi}} \int D\Pi \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (\Pi)^2 \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Psi(x, z) - \Psi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\}
\]

Likewise, we calculate

\[
\langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\}
\]

Likewise, we calculate

\[
\langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\}
\]

Likewise, we calculate

\[
\langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\}
\]

Likewise, we calculate

\[
\langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -i \int d^2 x \left[ (\Pi(x, z) - \Pi'(x, z))^2 \right] \right\}
\]

where (S173) has been used again. The quantity \( \langle \Pi | \Pi' \rangle \) is the field-theory analogue of \( \langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p') \). Then, as above, we define

\[
\delta[\Pi - \Pi'] = \int D\Psi \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[ (\Pi - \Pi')^2 \right] \right\}
\]
which coincides with (S191). A very similar calculation can be easily done to show that $\delta[\Psi - \Psi']$ defined by (S194), must be interpreted as a functional delta.