Total dietary fat and omega-3 fatty acids have modest effects on urinary sex hormones in postmenopausal women
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Abstract

Background: Total fat and omega-3 fatty acids in the diet may affect breast cancer risk by altering estrogen metabolism. The purpose of this study was to elucidate the effects of differing total fat and omega-3 fatty acid content of diets on a panel of urinary estrogens and metabolites.

Findings: A controlled, cross-over feeding trial was conducted in postmenopausal women using three test diets: high fat diet (HF; 40% energy from fat), low fat diet (LF; 20% of energy from fat) and low fat, high omega-3 diet (LFn3; 23% energy from fat; 3% omega-3 fatty acids) for 8 week periods. Urinary hormone concentrations for 16 women were compared among diets using a linear mixed model, and within diet comparisons were made using paired t-tests. Urinary excretion of estrone was greater after the LF and LFn3 compared to the HF (P = 0.004). Estrone excretion was increased from baseline within the LF only (P = 0.02). Total estrone + estradiol + estriol increased from baseline with LF (P = 0.02) and was greater than the other two diets at 8 weeks (P = 0.03). There were no effects on estrogen metabolites, including the 2-hydroxy estrone:16α-hydroxy estrone ratio.

Conclusions: The results of this study indicate that urinary sex hormone metabolism was modestly altered in postmenopausal women by a low fat dietary intervention.
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Finding

Introduction

High concentrations of estrogens in blood and urine are associated with increased risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women [1], and one hypothesis is that this increased risk is mediated by sex hormone metabolism. Low fat diets resulted in significant reductions in circulating estrogens in some studies in postmenopausal women [2-4]. Dietary omega-3 fatty acids (n-3) may suppress tumorigenesis by inhibition of inflammatory eicosanoids, suppression of aromatase, and conversion of androgens to estrogens [5].

In addition to promoting growth via interaction with estrogen receptors, circulating estrogens are metabolized by hydroxylations reactions resulting in an array of metabolites with varying biological activity relative to the parent estrogens, with some having genotoxic activity [6]. Sixteen-α-hydroxy estrone (16αOH-E1) is a potent mitogen, tumor initiator, and tumor promoter in vitro [7]. 16α-hydroxylation of estrogens was enhanced in women with breast cancer relative to healthy controls [8,9]. A higher ratio of 2-hydroxy estrone (2OH-E1) to 16αOH-E1 (2:16αOH-E1 ratio) indicated decreased breast cancer risk in some studies [10,11]; however, other investigations do not support this association [12,13].

We have previously shown that a high fat diet elevates plasma estradiol [14]. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of diets with varying amounts of fat and n-3 on urinary estrogens and estrogen metabolites in healthy postmenopausal women. We hypothesized that compared to a high fat diet, diets low in fat or low in fat with high n-3 would decrease...
individual and total estrogens, 16αOH-E1, and the carcinogenic 4-hydroxy metabolites.

**Subjects and methods**

**Experimental protocol**

Complete details of the study design and treatments are described elsewhere [14]. The effects of controlled test diets: a high fat diet (HF; 40% energy as fat, 15% energy as protein, and 45% energy as carbohydrate), a low fat diet (LF; 20% energy as fat, 15% energy as protein, and 65% energy as carbohydrate) and a low fat diet with n-3 fatty acids (LFn3; 23% energy as fat [3% n-3], 15% energy as protein, and 62% energy as carbohydrate) on urinary estrogen metabolism were compared in a randomized, cross-over trial. All nutrient analysis was performed by a registered dietitian (SKR) using the Nutritionist V nutrient analysis program (Axxya Systems, Stafford, TX). Subjects consumed each diet in random order for 8 weeks with a 6–12 week washout between treatments. Reported dietary compliance was >99% for energy and >99.5% for omega-3 fatty acids [14].

The study was carried out from 2004–2008. The study was approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command’s Human Subjects Research Review Board and the University of Minnesota Committee for the Use of Human Subjects in Research. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The trial was retrospectively registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01824498.

**Subjects**

Subject characteristics and recruitment are discussed elsewhere [14]. Participants were healthy postmenopausal women; age 45 – 70 years; had a body mass index of 19 – 32 kg/m²; had not lost or gained more than 5 pounds in the last 6 months; and had not used fish oil supplements or hormone replacement therapy for 2 months prior to the trial. Subjects were excluded if they had any active disease process, used prescription medications, or had both ovaries removed. None of the participants had a prior breast cancer diagnosis.

Seventeen subjects completed all three dietary treatments and one subject completed two treatments. One subject was excluded from the analysis because her follicle stimulating hormone concentration was intermittently in the premenopausal range. Another subject was excluded due to improperly collected urine specimens. One subject was missing urine samples for HF. In total, 15 subjects completed HF, 15 subjects completed LF, and 16 subjects completed LFn3 and are included in the final analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the flow of participants through the trial.

**Urine collection**

Subjects collected two consecutive 24-hour urine specimens at home on weeks 0 and 8 of each treatment period. Urine was pooled and sodium azide was added to 0.1%. Aliquots were immediately stored at −20°C until analysis.

**Plasma phospholipid fatty acids**

Plasma phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) were analyzed by gas chromatography (Lipid Technologies, Austin, TX).

| Characteristic | Value |
|---------------|-------|
| Age (years)   | 58 ± 6 |
| Body weight (kg) | 74 ± 11 |
| Height (cm)   | 165 ± 5 |
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 27 ± 4 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 118 ± 15 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 71 ± 8 |
| Follicle stimulating hormone (mIU/mL) | 73 ± 22 |

Ethnicity (n (%))

- White: 14 (88)
- Hispanic: 1 (6)
- African-American: 1 (6)

All values are means ± standard deviations except ethnic groups, which are n (%); n = 16.

*Baseline follicle stimulating hormone values for all women ≤ 55 years old; n = 11.*
Table 2 Baseline, 8 weeks, and change from baseline to 8 weeks concentrations for n3 and n6 plasma phospholipid fatty acids in μg/ml

| Fatty Acid | High Fat n = 17 | Low Fat n = 16 | Low Fat + n-3 n = 17 | p-value |
|------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| 18:2n6     |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 280.69 ± 14.57 | 284.66 ± 14.76| 293.95 ± 14.59      | 0.41    |
| 8 weeks    | 285.49 ± 12.54a| 269.21 ± 12.86a| 233.08 ± 12.59b*    | 0.0006  |
| Δ 8 weeks  | 4.81 ± 10.90a  | −16.08 ± 11.29a| −60.85 ± 10.95b*    | 0.0001  |
| p-value    | 0.66           | 0.16          | < 0.0001            |         |
| 18:3n3     |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 4.00 ± 0.86    | 4.51 ± 0.88   | 3.22 ± 0.86         | 0.34    |
| 8 weeks    | 2.57 ± 0.45a   | 3.12 ± 0.47a  | 6.85 ± 0.45b*       | < 0.0001|
| Δ 8 weeks  | −1.43 ± 0.79a  | −1.30 ± 0.82a | 3.62 ± 0.79b*       | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.08           | 0.12          | < 0.0001            |         |
| 20:4n6     |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 120.62 ± 7.83  | 121.51 ± 7.94 | 126.04 ± 7.84       | 0.59    |
| 8 weeks    | 107.89 ± 7.14**| 135.62 ± 7.34**| 100.12 ± 7.17**    | 0.0001  |
| Δ 8 weeks  | −12.72 ± 5.13a | 13.47 ± 5.33b | −25.90 ± 5.16e*     | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.02           | 0.02          | < 0.0001            |         |
| 20:5n-3    |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 11.43 ± 1.77   | 11.80 ± 1.82  | 9.69 ± 1.78         | 0.49    |
| 8 weeks    | 6.89 ± 1.80**  | 9.99 ± 1.87a  | 29.86 ± 1.81b**     | < 0.0001|
| Δ 8 weeks  | −4.54 ± 1.94a  | −1.91 ± 2.02a | 20.17 ± 1.96b*      | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.02           | 0.35          | < 0.0001            |         |
| 22:5n-3    |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 9.94 ± 0.78    | 10.56 ± 0.79  | 10.72 ± 0.78        | 0.49    |
| 8 weeks    | 8.41 ± 0.88**  | 11.04 ± 0.91b | 13.45 ± 0.89b*      | < 0.0001|
| Δ 8 weeks  | −1.52 ± 0.69a  | 0.36 ± 0.72a  | 2.73 ± 0.70b*       | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.03           | 0.62          | 0.0003              |         |
| 22:6n-3    |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 30.18 ± 2.82   | 28.90 ± 2.89  | 29.68 ± 2.83        | 0.89    |
| 8 weeks    | 24.06 ± 3.77** | 35.03 ± 3.90**| 55.00 ± 3.78**      | < 0.0001|
| Δ 8 weeks  | −6.12 ± 2.56a  | 5.95 ± 2.65b  | 25.32 ± 2.57c*      | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.02           | 0.03          | < 0.0001            |         |
| Total n-3  |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 59.71 ± 5.33   | 60.07 ± 5.42  | 56.75 ± 5.34        | 0.68    |
| 8 weeks    | 44.50 ± 6.37** | 62.86 ± 6.60b | 110.10 ± 6.41c**    | < 0.0001|
| Δ 8 weeks  | −15.21 ± 4.95a | 2.55 ± 5.14b  | 54.36 ± 4.98c*      | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.004          | 0.62          | < 0.0001            |         |
| Total n-6  |                |               |                     |         |
| Baseline   | 452.87 ± 21.30 | 456.78 ± 21.57| 468.55 ± 21.34      | 0.53    |
| 8 weeks    | 432.99 ± 18.17a| 458.41 ± 18.74a| 370.63 ± 18.25b**   | 0.0005  |
| Δ 8 weeks  | −19.85 ± 14.82a| −0.58 ± 15.34a| −97.85 ± 14.89b*    | < 0.0001|
| p-value    | 0.19           | 0.97          | < 0.0001            |         |

1 All values are LS means ± standard error.
2 p-value for effect of treatment across the three diets evaluated using a general linear mixed model. Values with differing letters as a superscript are significantly different at p < 0.05.
3 p-value for paired t-test comparing baseline and 8 weeks means within each diet.
*8 week mean is significantly different from baseline mean.
Table 3 Baseline and 8 week values for urinary estrogens and metabolites for the HF, LF and LFn3a

| Analyte                           | HF n = 15b | LF n = 15c | LFn3 n = 16 | P-valued |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| Estrone (E1) (nmol/day)           |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 6.7 (4.8, 9.5) | 7.2 (5.1, 10.1) | 8.1 (5.8, 11.5) | 0.36     |
| 8 weeks                           | 6.3 (4.4, 9.1)§ | 9.9 (6.9, 14.2)*** | 8.5 (5.9, 12.1)† | 0.004    |
| P-value                           | 0.39       | 0.02       | 0.92        |          |
| Estradiol (E2) (nmol/day)         |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 2.0 (1.0, 3.8) | 2.2 (1.2, 4.3) | 1.4 (0.7, 2.6) | 0.36     |
| 8 weeks                           | 1.0 (0.5, 2.1) | 2.1 (1.0, 4.4) | 1.7 (0.8, 3.4) | 0.12     |
| P-value                           | 0.37       | 0.47       | 0.83        |          |
| Estriol (E3) (nmol/day)           |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 7.2 (3.1, 16.8) | 8.5 (3.6, 19.9) | 10.8 (4.7, 25.0) | 0.62     |
| 8 weeks                           | 11.8 (6.3, 22.0) | 14.3 (7.7, 26.7) | 11.7 (6.3, 21.5) | 0.79     |
| P-value                           | 0.84       | 0.12       | 0.64        |          |
| E1 + E2 + E3 (nmol/day)           |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 22.9 (15.3, 34.4) | 24.8 (16.6, 37.1) | 23.0 (15.4, 34.2) | 0.77     |
| 8 weeks                           | 21.5 (14.3, 32.2)§ | 35.7 (23.9, 53.4)*** | 26.8 (18.1, 39.8)§ | 0.03     |
| P-value                           | 0.51       | 0.02       | 0.60        |          |
| 2-hydroxyestrone (2OH-E1) (nmol/day) |         |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 22.7 (16.5, 31.2)§ | 25.0 (18.2, 34.4)§ | 18.5 (13.5, 25.3)§ | 0.02     |
| 8 weeks                           | 17.6 (13.7, 22.7) | 20.4 (15.8, 26.3) | 24.1 (18.8, 30.9) | 0.23     |
| P-value                           | 0.19       | 0.81       | 0.29        |          |
| 2-methoxyestrone (2-ME1)(nmol/day) |         |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 1.6 (0.7, 3.8) | 3.4 (1.5, 7.8) | 3.1 (1.4, 7.1) | 0.21     |
| 8 weeks                           | 1.4 (0.7, 3.1) | 2.1 (0.9, 4.5) | 3.3 (1.5, 7.1) | 0.23     |
| P-value                           | 0.98       | 0.48       | 0.91        |          |
| 2-methoxyestradiol (2-ME2) (nmol/day) |         |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 1.3 (0.6, 3.3) | 3.9 (1.6, 9.4) | 1.7 (0.7, 3.9) | 0.09     |
| 8 weeks                           | 0.9 (0.4, 2.1) | 1.0 (0.4, 2.3) | 1.7 (0.8, 4.0) | 0.39     |
| P-value                           | 0.84       | 0.08       | 0.57        |          |
| 4-hydroxyestrone (4OH-E1) (nmol/day) |         |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 0.78 (0.51, 1.21) | 1.08 (0.70, 1.68) | 1.17 (0.76, 1.80) | 0.21     |
| 8 weeks                           | 0.92 (0.66, 1.27) | 1.05 (0.76, 1.45) | 1.28 (0.93, 1.75) | 0.25     |
| P-value                           | 0.64       | 0.93       | 0.50        |          |
| 16α-hydroxyestrone (16αOH-E1) (nmol/day) |     |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 2.03 (1.10, 3.75) | 2.39 (1.29, 4.44) | 1.70 (0.93, 3.11) | 0.62     |
| 8 weeks                           | 1.50 (0.82, 2.74) | 2.34 (1.27, 4.29) | 2.03 (1.12, 3.65) | 0.52     |
| P-value                           | 0.47       | 0.22       | 0.32        |          |
| Total Estrogens (nmol/day)        |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 73.7 (52.7, 100.1) | 83.7 (60.9, 115.0) | 72.8 (53.1, 99.6) | 0.38     |
| 8 weeks                           | 65.5 (45.8, 93.8) | 86.4 (60.7, 123.0) | 80.6 (57.0, 113.9) | 0.27     |
| P-value                           | 0.78       | 0.54       | 0.40        |          |
| 2:16αOH-E1 ratio (nmol/day)       |            |            |             |          |
| Baseline                          | 11.3 (5.4, 23.6) | 10.5 (5.0, 21.9) | 10.8 (5.3, 22.4) | 0.98     |
Quantitative analysis was performed using Thermo Electron Xcalibur proprietary software. All samples from a given subject were batch analyzed. Intra-batch coefficients of variation varied from 5.1 – 12.2%. Inter-batch coefficients of variation for quality control samples varied from 6.3 – 27.9% among hormones.

**Statistical analysis**

Data for urinary sex hormone metabolites were not normally distributed and are reported as geometric means and 95% confidence intervals. SAS Proc Mixed (SAS version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC; 2002–2008) was used to fit a mixed effects linear model for each outcome with a random effect for subject to account for multiple correlated measurements within each subject. These effect models handled isolated missing values and were used to assess period and carryover effects. Least squares means generated from the mixed model were compared between treatments. Paired t-tests were applied to within diet differences. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Study participants were slightly overweight (mean BMI 27 kg/m², Table 1) mostly white (88%) middle-aged women (mean age 58 ± 6 years).

**Plasma phospholipid fatty acids**

The results for n-3 and n-6 in PLFA are presented in Table 2. The LFn3 diet, which was low fat with supplemental n-3 promoted enhanced inclusion of total n-3, ALA, EPA and DHA into PLFA compared to the HF and LF diets (all p < 0.0001). The increases in n-3 were accompanied by a reduction in total n-6, LA, and ARA. In comparison to HF, LF promoted increased ARA and DHA (p=.02, p=.03, respectively).

**Urinary sex hormones**

Urinary estrone (E1) excretion was significantly greater after LF and LFn3 compared to HF (P = 0.004, Table 3), although E1 excretion was significantly increased from baseline with LF only (P = 0.02). Excretion of the sum of
E1, estradiol (E2) and estriol (E3) was significantly greater with LF than HF at 8 weeks (P = 0.03) and E1 + E2 + E3 increased significantly from baseline to 8 weeks with LF (P = 0.02). Urinary excretion of 2OH-E1, 2-methoxy estrone (2-ME1), 2-methoxy estradiol (2-ME2), 4-hydroxy estrone (4OH-E1), 16αOH-E1, 2:16αOH-E1 ratio and total estrogens were not significantly different among test diets at 8 weeks. Urinary 2-hydroxy estradiol (2OH-E2), 4-hydroxy estradiol (4OH-E2), 4-methoxy estrone (4-ME1), and 4-methoxy estradiol (4-ME2) were undetectable in urine.

Discussion

Estrone excretion was significantly greater with LF and LFn3 compared to HF, although there was little overall change in urinary hormone metabolites. The sum of all estrogens and metabolites assayed in this study (Total Estrogens) did not differ among diets at 8 weeks. We previously reported the effects of the test diets on plasma sex hormones [14]. In blood, E2 concentration increased significantly with HF (P = 0.03) and decreased slightly, but non-significantly with LF (P = 0.10) [14]. Therefore, we anticipated changes in sex hormone metabolism measured in urine. We saw no change in urinary sex hormone metabolite excretion even though changes in the parent hormones E1 and E2 were observed. Limiting factors include the high variability (wide confidence intervals) of urinary hormones and our small sample size.

We anticipated that LF and LFn3 would reduce excretion of estrogens and metabolites in accordance with the hypothesis that a low fat diet alters profiles of sex hormones in a direction of reduced breast cancer risk. Increased excretion of urinary estrogens (defined as E1 + E2 + E3 or E1 + E2) was associated with increased risk of breast cancer in another study of postmenopausal women [1]. Decreased excretion of estrogens was observed in postmenopausal women from Asian nations with relatively low breast cancer incidence and concomitantly low intake of dietary fat [17-19].

In addition to total dietary fat, n-3 may play a role in breast cancer prevention. Greenland Eskimos, with high n-3 intake [20], have historically had a low incidence of breast cancer [21,22]. However, this risk has increased as Westernized and nontraditional foods have become more prevalent in their diets [23]. Few studies have investigated the relationship of n-3 and urinary sex hormone metabolism in humans. Urinary 16αOH-E1 was reduced in the n-3 fatty acid supplement arm in a pilot trial of high-risk women [24]. In a study by Wu et al. [25] postmenopausal vegetarian women consuming 2.14 g/day of n-3 docosahexaenoic acid had no significant change in urinary 2:16αOH-E1 ratio following intervention. In our study, 2:16αOH-E1 ratio was not altered following 8 weeks of dietary intervention.

Conclusion

In conclusion, urinary sex hormone metabolism was modestly altered in healthy postmenopausal women by LF alone or with additional n-3.
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