Tyrosine-phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated isoforms of α-dystrobrevin: roles in skeletal muscle and its neuromuscular and myotendinous junctions
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α-Dystrobrevin (DB), a cytoplasmic component of the dystrophin–glycoprotein complex, is found throughout the sarcolemma of muscle cells. Mice lacking αDB exhibit muscular dystrophy, defects in maturation of neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) and, as shown here, abnormal myotendinous junctions (MTJs). In normal muscle, alternative splicing produces two main αDB isoforms, αDB1 and αDB2, with common NH2-terminal but distinct COOH-terminal domains. αDB1, whose COOH-terminal extension can be tyrosine phosphorylated, is concentrated at the NMJs and MTJs. αDB2, which is not tyrosine phosphorylated, is the predominant isoform in extrajunctional regions, and is also present at NMJs and MTJs. Transgenic expression of either isoform in αDB−/− mice prevented muscle fiber degeneration; however, only αDB1 completely corrected defects at the NMJs (abnormal acetylcholine receptor patterning, rapid turnover, and low density) and MTJs (shortened junctional folds). Site-directed mutagenesis revealed that the effectiveness of αDB1 in stabilizing the NMJ depends in part on its ability to serve as a tyrosine kinase substrate. Thus, αDB1 phosphorylation may be a key regulatory point for synaptic remodeling. More generally, αDB may play multiple roles in muscle by means of differential distribution of isoforms with distinct signaling or structural properties.

Introduction

The dystrophin–glycoprotein complex (DGC),* which is found throughout the sarcolemma of skeletal muscle cells, forms a multimolecular, transmembrane link between the intracellular cytoskeleton and the extracellular basal lamina (Ervasti and Campbell, 1993). Constituents of the DGC include dystrophin, utrophin, dystroglycans (α and β), sarcoglycans (α, β, δ, γ, and ε), syntrophins (α1, β1, and β2), dystrobrevins (DBs; α and β), and sarcospan (Ervasti et al., 1990; Yoshida and Ozawa, 1990; for review see Blake et al., 2002). Interest in the DGC stemmed originally from the finding that mutations in genes encoding numerous DGC components cause muscular dystrophy: loss of dystrophin results in Duchenne muscular dystrophy; deficiencies in four of the sarcoglycans have been linked to limb-girdle muscular dystrophies; and mutations in laminin α 2, the main extracellular ligand for the DGC, lead to congenital muscular dystrophy (Cohn and Campbell, 2000; Blake et al., 2002).

In addition to its role in myofiber integrity, the DGC is also important in formation or maintenance of two specialized domains on the muscle fiber surface: neuromuscular junctions (NMJs), at which motor axons innervate muscle fibers, and myotendinous junctions (MTJs), at which muscle fibers form load-bearing attachments to tendons. The DGC is dispensable for initial steps in postsynaptic differentiation, but contributes importantly to the maturation and stabilization of the postsynaptic membrane. For example, myotubes lacking dystroglycan, utrophin, α1-syntrophin, or αDB have alterations in the density and patterning of acetylcholine receptors (AChRs) embedded within the postsynaptic membrane (Deconinck et al., 1997a; Grady et al., 1997a, 2000; Adams et al., 2000; Jacobson et al., 2001; Akaaboune et al., 2002). Roles of the DGC have been less extensively studied at the...
MTJs, but several DGC components are concentrated in this region (e.g., dystrophin, utrophin, syntrophin, sarcospan, and nNOS [Chen et al., 1990; Byers et al., 1991; Khurana et al., 1991; Chang et al., 1996; Crosbie et al., 1999]), and MTJs are structurally abnormal in mice lacking dystrophin or both dystrophin and utrophin (Ridge et al., 1994; Deconinck et al., 1997b).

Little is known about how the DGC plays these disparate roles, but one important factor is that its composition varies among cell types (Straub et al., 1999; Loh et al., 2000; Moukhles and Carbonetto, 2001) and, for muscle cells at least, from site to site within a single cell. In muscle fibers, dystrophin is present throughout the sarcolemma, whereas its autosomal homologue, utrophin, is confined to the NMJ and MTJ (Khurana et al., 1991; Ohlendieck et al., 1991). Likewise, each of the three syntrophins has a distinct sarcolemmal distribution (Kramarcy and Sealock, 2000). Here, we focus on another DGC component, αDB, to address the issue of how isoform diversity contributes to functional diversity. αDB is found throughout the sarcolemma in vertebrate skeletal muscle, where it binds dystrophin, utrophin, and syntrophin (Carr et al., 1989; Wagner et al., 1993; Peters et al., 1997a, 1998; Sadoulet-Puccio et al., 1997). A homologue, βDB, has been described but is expressed at low levels if at all in skeletal muscle (Peters et al., 1997a; Blake et al., 1998).

Previously, we showed that αDB−/− knockout mice exhibit both muscular defects (muscular dystrophy) and abnormal NMJs (Grady et al., 1999, 2000; Akaaboune et al., 2002). In addition, we show here that αDB−/− mice have malformed MTJs. Thus, αDB influences DGC function at three distinct locations within the muscle cell.

Several isoforms of αDB are generated by alternative splicing, of which three, αDB1–3, have been detected in skeletal muscle (Blake et al., 1996; Sadoulet-Puccio et al., 1996; Enigk and Maimone, 1999; Newey et al., 2001a) They are identical over most of their length (551 aa) but have distinct COOH termini (Fig. 1 A). The 188 aa COOH terminus of αDB1 is a substrate for tyrosine kinases in vivo (Wagner et al., 1993; Peters et al., 1997b, 1998; Sadoulet-Puccio et al., 1997). A homologue, βDB, has been described but is expressed at low levels if at all in skeletal muscle (Peters et al., 1997a; Blake et al., 1998).

Previously, we showed that αDB−/− knockout mice exhibit both muscular defects (muscular dystrophy) and abnormal NMJs (Grady et al., 1999, 2000; Akaaboune et al., 2002). In addition, we show here that αDB−/− mice have malformed MTJs. Thus, αDB influences DGC function at three distinct locations within the muscle cell.

To directly assess these ideas, we expressed αDB1 or αDB2 in αDB−/− mice and analyzed the ability of each isoform to “rescue” the dystrophic, synaptic, and myotendinous phenotypes. We show that either αDB1 or αDB2 is able to maintain muscle stability but that αDB1 is significantly better than αDB2 in preventing synaptic and myotendinous defects. We also used site-directed mutagenesis to show that the enhanced efficacy of αDB1 depends in part on its tyrosine phosphorylation.

Results

Generation of transgenic mice

We generated transgenic mice in which regulatory elements from the muscle creatine kinase gene were linked to cDNAs
encoding αDB1 or αDB2. Transgenic mice were bred to αDB−/− mice (Grady et al., 1999, 2000). We studied two transgenic lines that expressed αDB1 (12 and 14) and three that expressed αDB2 (11A, 11B, and 28). In the text and figures, the designations tgDB1 and tgDB2 refer to lines 12 and 28, respectively. However, the main results were confirmed with the other lines (see Materials and methods).

Immunoblotting with antibodies that recognize all αDB isoforms showed that levels of transgene expression were similar in the tgDB1 and tgDB2 lines (Fig. 1 B). Levels of recombinant αDB2 in αDB−/−,tgDB2 were similar to levels of endogenous αDB2 in control mice, whereas levels of recombinant αDB1 in αDB−/−,tgDB1 muscle were significantly higher than levels of endogenous αDB1 but similar to levels of total αDB in controls (Fig. 1 B). Immunohistochemical analysis showed that αDB1 and αDB2 were present in >95% of all muscle fibers in αDB−/−,tgDB1 and αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice, respectively (Fig. 1 C). In both lines, the transgene was expressed in all skeletal muscles tested, including tibialis anterior, sternomastoid, and diaphragm, and there were no detectable differences among fibers that correlated with fiber type (unpublished data).

Muscular dystrophy
αDB−/− mice exhibit a mild muscular dystrophy characterized by degenerating myofibers, infiltrating monocytes, and centrally nucleated regenerating myotubes (Fig. 2) (Grady et al., 1999). To test whether αDB1 and αDB2 differ in their ability to maintain muscular integrity, we examined the diaphragm, quadriceps, soleus, sternomastoid, and tibialis anterior muscles of αDB−/−,tgDB1 and αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice. The diaphragm provided a particularly stringent test

Figure 2. αDB1 and αDB2 both prevent muscle fiber degeneration in αDB−/− mice. Hematoxylin and eosin–stained sections of skeletal muscle from wild-type, αDB−/−, αDB−/−,tgDB1, and αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice. Regenerated muscle fibers are centrally nucleated, indicating that some of the initial cohort of fibers had degenerated. No histological evidence for muscle fiber degeneration or regeneration was seen in either αDB−/− transgenic line. Insets show high power images.

Figure 3. Localization of αDB isoforms in αDB−/− and mdx mice expressing αDB1 and/or αDB2. Sections of skeletal muscle stained with antibodies that recognize αDB1, αDB2, or both (pan-DB). Sections were counterstained with rBTX to identify synaptic sites, which are indicated by arrowheads. (A) In wild-type muscle, both αDB1 and 2 are enriched at the synapse, whereas αDB2 is the predominant extrasynaptic isoform. Both isoforms are absent from αDB−/− muscle, although weak synaptic reactivity of unknown molecular identity is seen using the DB2 antibody (Grady et al., 2000). In αDB−/−,tgDB1 and αDB−/−,tgDB2 muscle, transgene-encoded αDB is present throughout the sarcolemma and enriched at synaptic sites. For αDB1, this pattern is similar to that seen in control muscle, but the extrasynaptic abundance of transgenic αDB1 is greater than in controls. In αDB−/− muscle expressing both αDB1 and 2 (DB−/−,tgDB1/DB2), extrasynaptic αDB1 is retained and αDB2 decreases. (B) In mice lacking dystrophin (mdx), sarcolemmal expression of both isoforms is reduced. Likewise, only low levels of extrasynaptic αDB are present in mdx mice expressing recombinant αDB1 (mdx, DB−/−,tgDB1).
because it is the most severely affected muscle in several models of muscular dystrophy, including $\alpha DB^{-/-}$ mice (Stedman et al., 1991; Grady et al., 1997b, 1999; Duclos et al., 1998). Rescue by both transgenes was dramatic. No degenerating fibers, regenerating (centrally nucleated) fibers, or infiltration by monocytes were detected in any muscles of either $\alpha DB^{-/-}$,tgDB1 or $\alpha DB^{-/-}$,tgDB2 mice (Fig. 2 and unpublished data). This was true in mice ranging in age from 1–7 mo, in muscles with predominantly fast (type IIB and II) fibers (tibialis anterior, quadriceps, diaphragm, and sternomastoid), and in muscles with predominantly slow (type I and IIA) fibers (soleus). Thus, ei-
ther αDB1 or αDB2 alone is capable of maintaining muscle fiber integrity.

### Synaptic localization of αDB isoforms

We immunostained muscles with antibodies specific for αDB1 and αDB2 (Fig. 1 A). Consistent with previous reports, both isoforms are present at higher levels at synaptic sites (marked with rhodamine α-bungarotoxin [rBTX], which binds specifically and quasireversibly to AChRs) than in extrasynaptic regions of the sarcolemma (Peters et al., 1998; Grady et al., 2000). Likewise, recombinant αDB1 and αDB2 were concentrated at synaptic sites in αDB−/−,tgDB1 and αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice, respectively (Fig. 3 A). For αDB2, the endogenous and recombinant proteins were distributed similarly. However, whereas endogenous αDB1 was barely detectable extrasynaptically, recombinant αDB1 was abundant extrasynaptically (Fig. 3 A). Thus, selective localization of αDB1 to the NMJ in wild-type muscle cannot be explained solely by its primary sequence.

The abundance of extrasynaptic αDB1 in αDB−/−,tgDB1 muscle might result from its higher than normal levels (Fig. 1 B). An alternative, however, is that restriction of αDB1 to synaptic sites requires the presence of αDB2. For example, αDB2 might have a higher affinity than αDB1 for extrasynaptic-binding sites and thereby displace αDB1 from such sites. To test this hypothesis, we generated doubly transgenic αDB−/− mice that expressed αDB1 and αDB2 at similar levels. In αDB−/−,tgDB1/DB2 mice, αDB2 was clearly present extrasynaptically, but its presence failed to reduce extrasynaptic levels of αDB1 (Fig. 3 A). Indeed, levels of membrane-associated αDB2 immunoreactivity were decreased when αDB1 was present, suggesting that αDB2 did not bind selectively to extrasynaptic sites.

We also exploited the presence of extrasynaptic αDB1 to test whether αDB1 depends on the DGC for its localization to the sarcolemma. Levels of endogenous αDB, primarily αDB2, are greatly reduced in extrasynaptic regions of dystrophin-deficient (mdx) mice (Grady et al., 1997b). However, there is evidence for dystrophin-independent associations of αDB with the sarcolemma (Crawford et al., 2000), and these associations might be sufficient to tether αDB1 to the membrane. We found that levels of extrasynaptic αDB1 remained low in mdx,αDB−/−,tgDB1 mice despite the overexpression of recombinant αDB1 (Fig. 3 B). Consistent with this result, there was no attenuation of dystrophic symptoms in mdx,αDB−/−,tgDB1 compared with mdx,αDB−/− mice (unpublished data). Thus unique sequences in αDB1 neither mediate a DGC-independent association with the membrane nor attenuate dystrophy in the absence of dystrophin.

### AChR distribution and density

Normal adult mouse NMJs are pretzel shaped with an AChR-rich postsynaptic membrane underlying each branch of the nerve terminal. αDB deficiency does not greatly affect the overall topology of the NMJ but does affect the arrangement of its AChRs in three ways (Fig. 4) (Grady et al., 2000; Akaaboune et al., 2002). First, AChRs smoothly outline each branch in controls, whereas branch borders in mutants are fragmented with long finger-like spicules that radiate beyond the terminal’s edge. Second, AChRs in control NMJs are enriched along the crests of the junctional folds that invaginate the postsynaptic membrane, leading to a striated appearance within each branch. In αDB−/− synapses, in contrast, the distinction between the crests and folds is blurred (shown ultrastructurally in Grady et al., 2000) with receptors forming small, irregularly spaced aggregates or clumps. Finally, the density of AChRs is reduced by ~70% at αDB−/− synapses compared with controls.

Expression of αDB1 in mice prevented all three of these defects: AChR distribution and density were indistinguishable from normal at >95% of synaptic sites examined (Fig. 4). Thus, αDB1 alone can support postsynaptic maturation. In contrast, in αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice examined at 4–6 wk of age the postsynaptic membrane remained abnormal at >90% of synaptic sites: AChRs formed small aggregates comparable to those seen at αDB−/− synapses rather than the linear striations characteristic of normal muscle. On the other hand, most αDB−/−,tgDB2 postsynaptic sites were distinguishable from those in mice lacking all αDB, in that spicules radiating from the edge of the AChR-rich region were either absent or short and AChR density was slightly but significantly greater than in mutants (Fig. 4, B and C).

Thus, αDB2 supports normal postsynaptic maturation to a lesser degree than αDB1.
molecular mechanisms that regulate AChR turnover, we quantitated rBTX fluorescence in vivo (see Materials and methods) to ask whether αDB1 and αDB2 had different effects on this process. Adult sternomastoid muscles were labeled with a single nonsaturating dose of rBTX, and individual synapses were imaged. 3 d later, the same synapses were located and imaged again to assess changes in fluorescence intensity. In wild-type mice, ~20% of the labeled receptors were lost from the cell surface after 3 d. This degree of loss indicates a $t_{1/2}$ of ~10.5 d, similar to previous reports (Akaaboune et al., 1999, 2002). In αDB−/− mice, nearly 60% of AChRs were lost over a similar time, indicating a $t_{1/2}$ of ~2.5 d (Fig. 5). AChR stability in αDB−/−,tgDB1 mice did not differ significantly from that of controls ($t_{1/2} = 9$ d), whereas the $t_{1/2}$ of AChRs in αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice was intermediate between controls and mutants ($t_{1/2} = 5.5$ d). Thus, consistent with the results on AChR distribution and density, αDB1 alone can support normal AChR turnover, whereas αDB2 is only partially effective.

Synaptic localization of α1-syntrophin and nitric oxide synthase

To further investigate mechanisms that might account for the greater ability of αDB1 than αDB2 to support synaptic maturation, we studied the distribution of two proteins whose concentration at synaptic sites requires αDB: α1-syntrophin and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS). Levels of both are reduced synthetically and extrasynaptically in αDB−/− mice (Grady et al., 2000). Moreover, α1-syntrophin mutants have synaptic defects similar to those seen in αDB−/− mice (Adams et al., 2000), and studies in vitro have implicated nNOS as a modulator of AChR clustering (Jones and Werle, 2000).

In αDB−/−,tgDB1 muscle, synaptic levels of α1-syntrophin and nNOS were similar to those in controls (Fig. 6). In contrast, levels of α1-syntrophin and nNOS remained low in many synapses of αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice. Interestingly, when viewed en face, αDB−/−,tgDB2 synapses with the lowest levels of α1-syntrophin also had the most abnormal AChR distribution (unpublished data). Thus, although either αDB isoform can recruit α1-syntrophin and nNOS to the NMJ, the increased efficacy of αDB1 over αDB2 in maintaining synaptic architecture may reflect in part its increased recruiting ability.

Role of tyrosine phosphorylation in αDB1 function

The COOH-terminal domain of αDB1 is tyrosine phosphorylated in vivo (Balasubramanian et al., 1998), raising the possibility that tyrosine phosphorylation is important for its synaptic function. To test this idea, we fused GFP to the NH2 terminus of αDB1 (GFP-DB1) or to a mutant form of αDB1 in which the three major phosphorylated tyrosine residues (aa 698, 706, and 723 [Balasubramanian et al., 1998]) had been changed to phenylalanine by site-directed mutagenesis (GFP-DB1-P3). Expression of these constructs in heterologous cells showed that only the GFP-DB1 fusion protein underwent tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 7 A). Thus, the addition of GFP to αDB1 did not preclude its phosphorylation, whereas the mutation of its three tyrosine residues did. The two constructs were intro-
duced into tibialis anterior muscles of 2-wk-old αDB−/− mice by electroporation. Muscles were removed 10–14 d later, transfected fibers were identified by GFP fluorescence, and their postsynaptic membranes were analyzed (Fig. 7, B and C).

GFP-DB1 and GFP-DB1-P3− were expressed at equal levels based on fluorescence intensity and were concentrated at synaptic sites to a similar extent (Fig. 7 B), yet they affected AChR distribution in different ways. Expression of GFP-DB1 in αDB−/− myofibers resulted in significant restoration of postsynaptic structure at most synaptic sites, and AChR distribution was qualitatively normal at a subset of NMJs (Fig. 7, D–F). Expression of GFP-DB1-P3− also restored AChR distribution to some extent, but the recovery was significantly less than that seen with GFP-DB1 (p < 0.0001 by Chi-square test), and no synaptic sites appeared normal (Fig. 7, D–F). The variability of “rescue” seen among transfected fibers was greater than that seen in αDB−/− transgenic mice, possibly because GFP interfered with αDB function or because electroporation led to variable protein levels. Nonetheless, these results indicate a role for tyrosine phosphorylation in the function of αDB1.
Figure 8. Localization of αDB isoforms at the MTJ. Sections of skeletal muscle were stained with antibodies to αDB1, αDB2, or both (pan-DB). (A) In wild-type muscle, both αDB1 and 2 were enriched at the MTJ (arrowheads). (B) In αDB−/− muscle, both forms were absent. In both αDB−/−,tgDB1 and DB−/−,tgDB2 muscle, transgene-encoded αDB was restored to the MTJ.

Interestingly, the qualitative differences between αDB−/− fibers transfected with GFP-DB1 and GFP-DB1-P3− paralleled the differences described above between αDB−/−, tgDB1 and αDB−/−,tgDB2 fibers. Expression of αDB1 either transgenically or by transfection resulted in postsynaptic sites with sharp, spicule-free borders and striated instead of granular interiors. In contrast, although expression of either αDB2 or GFP-DB1-P3− in αDB−/− muscle usually led to restoration of sharp (spicule-poor) borders, interiors remained granular (Fig. 4 B compared with Fig. 7 D). These parallels suggest that the enhanced ability of αDB1 over αDB2 to support synaptic structure depends on its tyrosine phosphorylation.

The MTJ

In initial studies, we found that both αDB1 and αDB2 were enriched at the MTJ in wild-type mice (Fig. 8). We therefore asked whether αDB is required for the integrity of the MTJ. We used EM to address this issue. The muscle membrane at the MTJ is invaginated to form folds that run parallel to the myofiber’s long axis (Fig. 9 A). These folds, which are deeper than those of the NMJ, create an interdigitation with the collagen fibrils of the tendon. Folds were also present at mutant MTJs but were significantly shorter than those in controls (Fig. 9, difference between control and αDB−/− p < 0.0001 by ANOVA). Thus, αDB is important for maintaining normal MTJ architecture.

Based on these results, we asked whether αDB1 and αDB2 differed in their ability to maintain MTJ structure. In αDB−/−,tgDB1 mice, the depth of the folds was not significantly different from wild-type (Fig. 9 B; p = 0.25), whereas folds in αDB−/−,tgDB2 mice were significantly shorter than those of wild-type or αDB−/−,tgDB1 animals (p < 0.0001). Thus, αDB1 was significantly more effective than αDB2 in maintaining the integrity of both MTJs and NMJs. Because we could not identify transfected fibers in the electron mi-
croscope, we were unable to test whether αDB1 phosphorylation plays a role in MTJ maintenance.

**Discussion**

The DGC plays at least three distinct roles in muscle: maintaining sarcolemmal integrity and stabilizing the structure of both the NMJ and the MTJ. Genetic studies in mice have shown that loss of some DGC components affects one function and not others. For example, absence of dystrophin or of γ-sarcoglycan results in muscular dystrophy with little impact upon the NMJ (Hack et al., 1998; Grady et al., 2000; Akaaboune et al., 2002). Conversely, mice lacking utrophin or α1-syntrophin have abnormal synapses with no detectable dystrophy (Deconinck et al., 1997a; Grady et al., 1997a; Kameya et al., 1999; Adams et al., 2000). In contrast, αDB is critical for all three DGC functions. In this paper, we show that αDB’s disparate effects are explained in part through alternative splicing of the αDB transcript and selective localization of the two main muscle isoforms, αDB1 and αDB2.

**Muscular dystrophy and αDB**

In normal muscle, αDB2 is the predominant extrasynaptic isoform, suggesting that it plays the primary role in helping the DGC maintain muscle viability. Although we found that expression of αDB2 alone in αDB−/− mice prevented muscle fiber degeneration, αDB1 was equally capable. These findings show that the unique COOH terminus of αDB2 is not required for its effect upon the membrane and suggest that shared sequences suffice. Shared domains include sites that mediate binding of αDB to dystrophin and syntrophin. Also included are two EF hand domains and a zinc finger region that can potentially mediate other protein–protein interactions (for review see Enikl and Maimone, 2001). Ligands for these sites are unknown but may include novel αDB-binding proteins identified recently in yeast two-hybrid screens (Benson et al., 2001; Mizuno et al., 2001; Newey et al., 2001b). Thus, it is likely that both αDB1 and 2 can maintain myofiber integrity by attracting similar binding partners to the DGC.

On the other hand, loss of αDB1-specific functions at the MTJ may contribute to muscle pathology. αDB1 is better able than αDB2 to maintain the integrity of the MTJ. The MTJ is the major site of force transmission from muscle fibers to the skeleton, and disruption of this structure may be involved in the pathogenesis of some muscle disorders (Law et al., 1995; Miosge et al., 1999). Previous studies have implicated the DGC in maintenance of the MTJ (Ridge et al., 1994; Deconinck et al., 1997b), and our results suggest that a main role of the DGC at this site may be to recruit αDB1.

**Localization of αDB isoforms**

In normal muscle, αDB1 is selectively associated with the NMJ and MTJ, but when overexpressed transgenically it was capable of association with extrasynaptic membrane. We therefore wondered what factors account for the normally distinct distributions of the two isoforms. Analysis of mdx mice has shown that the extrasynaptic localization of αDB2 requires an intact DGC, so we considered the possibility that the extrasynaptic localization of αDB2 seen in normal mice results from the preferential binding of αDB2 over that of αDB1 to the DGC. This competition might be accentuated by the higher levels of αDB2 than αDB1 seen in normal muscle (Fig. 1 B). However, analysis of the αDB−/−,tgDB1/DB2 double transgens, in which αDB1 and αDB2 were expressed at similar levels, showed that αDB1 was not dislodged from its extrasynaptic position by αDB2. Thus the extrasynaptic predominance of αDB2 in normal muscle is not likely to be a result of competition between the isoforms.

Other reasons for the selective distribution of the two isoforms include the possibility that αDB1 might be selectively transcribed from synaptic nuclei, analogous to the synaptic expression of AChR subunits (for review see Sanes and Lichtman, 2001). This is unlikely, however, because both isoforms appear to be transcribed from the same promoter (Newey et al., 2001a). Two remaining potential explanations are as follows: First, there may be posttranscriptional localization of αDB1 mRNA either by synapse-specific stabilization of the mRNA or by synapse-specific transport of the mRNA using targeting information encoded in the 3’ UTR (Newey et al., 2001a). Second, αDB3 might play a role. αDB3 lacks the syntrophin- and dystrophin-binding sites present in αDB1 and 2 (Nawrozki et al., 1998) but is nonetheless associated with the DGC (Yoshida et al., 2000). Thus, in normal mice αDB3 may confine αDB1 to the NMJ by blocking its access to extrasynaptic-binding sites. This interaction would not occur in αDB−/−,tgDB1 muscle, which lacks αDB1–3.

**αDB and the NMJ**

αDB is a component of the molecular machinery that stabilizes AChRs within the postsynaptic membrane. In αDB mutants, the mobility of synaptic receptors is increased; as a result, there is enhanced flux from the synapse to perijunctional regions, which are sites of AChR internalization (Sanes and Lichtman, 2001; Akaaboune et al., 2002). This mechanism could explain, at least in part, the appearance of the αDB−/− postsynaptic membrane in which AChR turnover is abnormally high, density is low, and the distinction between crests and troughs of junctional folds is blurred (Grady et al., 2000; Akaaboune et al., 2002). Here, we show that αDB1 is better able than αDB2 to rescue these synaptic defects in αDB−/− mice, indicating that its unique COOH terminus is important for αDB’s synaptic function.

How might αDB act? One possibility is that αDB exerts two distinct effects on the postsynaptic membrane, one mediated by common sequences and one by αDB1-specific sequences. Alternatively, common sequences might mediate all synaptic effects, with αDB1-specific sequences serving to enhance their efficacy. For example, even though regions common to αDB1 and 2 bind both utrophin and α-syntrophin, there is some evidence that αDB1 associates more tightly with both proteins than does αDB2 (Balasubramanian et al., 1998; Peters et al., 1998) (Fig. 6). These differences are likely to be relevant because AChR density is decreased in the absence of utrophin (Deconinck et al., 1997a, Grady et al., 1997a) and mice lacking α-syntrophin have postsynaptic defects similar to those in αDB−/− mice (Adams et al., 2000). Thus, sequences in the unique COOH terminus of αDB1 could enhance the ability of
common sequences to bind utrophin and syntrophin, thereby enhancing αDB1’s ability to stabilize the postsynaptic membrane.

αDB phosphorylation and synaptic plasticity
Replacement of three tyrosine residues in αDB1’s unique COOH-terminal domain by phenylalanine decreased its synaptic efficacy. These residues are the major, if not the only sites of tyrosine phosphorylation in αDB1 (Balasubramanian et al., 1998) (Fig. 7 A). Our results, therefore, provide strong evidence that αDB1 function is modulated by phosphorylation. Phosphorylation might alter the conformation of neighboring sequences to affect their affinity for other proteins (as suggested by modeling studies of Balasubramanian et al., 1998) or may serve to recruit adaptor or signaling proteins as occurs in numerous other phosphoproteins.

Our interest in αDB1 phosphorylation stems from the growing realization that even though mature synapses are remarkably stable, they are not inert. Instead, several of their features, most notably the distribution and density of their postsynaptic receptors, can change rapidly and dramatically in response to altered input (Sheng and Lee, 2001). At the NMJ, the t1/2 of AChRs increases, and their density begins to decrease within 1 h after imposition of complete paralysis (Akaaboune et al., 1999). AChR turnover and density are similarly affected in αDB−/− mice, suggesting that αDB is part of the regulatory mechanism. However, actual loss of αDB is unlikely to be a physiological mechanism for such rapid activity-dependent alterations. On the other hand, altered efficacy of αDB1 by changes in its phosphorylation state could affect αChR mobility quickly, reversibly, and in an activity-dependent fashion.

Several tyrosine kinases have been implicated in postsynaptic structure: erbB and ephA kinases are concentrated in the postsynaptic membrane; MusK plays a critical role in postsynaptic differentiation; src and fyn modulate AChR stability in vitro; and trkB affects AChR distribution in vivo (DeChiara et al., 1996; Gonzalez et al., 1999; Buonanno and Fischbach, 2001; Lai et al., 2001; for review see Sans and Lichtman, 2001; Smith et al., 2001). It will be interesting to learn whether αDB1 is a substrate for any of these kinases and whether activators of the kinases (neuregulin for erbBs, ephrinA for ephA, agrin for MusK, and BDNF for trkB) affect αDB1 phosphorylation. In addition, in view of numerous reports implicating tyrosine kinases in central synaptic plasticity and the presence of DGC components, including αDB and BDB, at central synapses (Blake and Kroger, 2000; Levi et al., 2002) it is intriguing to consider the possibility that similar mechanisms act there.

Materials and methods
Generation of transgenic mice
To generate the αDB1 expression vector, two cDNA clones (16.1A and 14.1.2) [Engk and Maimone, 1999]) were isolated from a BC3H1 mouse muscle cDNA library and ligated. The 3’ end of the cDNA, including the stop codon and ~125 bp of the 3’ UTR, was generated by RT-PCR from mouse muscle RNA. The entire coding region was then subcloned first into the SalI/NotI sites of pRGK-RSV (Stratagene) and then into a pEICAT vector, which contains 3.3 kb of regulatory sequences from the mouse muscle creatine kinase gene, extending from −3,300 to +7 with respect to the transcriptional start site [Jaynes et al., 1988] (a gift from S. Hauschka, University of Washington, Seattle, WA). In addition, the vector contains an SV40/ polyadenylation sequence. To generate the αDB2 expression vector, a full-length clone was isolated from the BC3H1 library, subcloned into the EcoRI site of pBlueScript II SK (+) (Stratagene) and then into pEICAT.

Linearized constructs were injected into C57BL6 oocytes at the Washington University Mouse Genetics Core. Four independent lines of mice carrying the αDBconst/αDB1 (αDB2) and six independent lines carrying the αDB2 construct (tgDB2) were identified by PCR. Each line was bred onto an αDB−/− background (Grady et al., 1999). αDB−/−tgDB1 line was also bred to mdx mice and to αDB−/−tgDB2 mice.

Histology
For bright field microscopy, muscles were frozen in liquid nitrogen-cooled isopentane and cross sectioned in a cryostat at 8 μm; sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For immunohistochemistry, sections from the same muscles were stained with primary antibody diluted in PBS/1% BSA/2% normal goat serum for 2 h and then rinsed with PBS. Sections were then incubated 1 h with a mixture of fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa 488; Molecular Probes) and rBTX (Molecular Probes), rinsed in PBS, and mounted using 0.1% p-phenylendiamine in glycerol/PBS. For en face views, sternomastoid muscles were fixed in 1% PFA in PBS for 20 min, cryoprotected in sucrose, frozen and sectioned en face at 40 μm. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies to αDB1 (αDB638), αDB2, and α1-syntrophin (SYN17) were gifts from Stanley Froehner, University of Washington (Peters et al., 1997b). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies that recognize all forms of αDB (called pan-αDB here) were generated using a recombinant fragment of αDB and affinity purified using the immunogen (Grady et al., 1997a). Rabbit polyclonal antibody to nNOS was purchased from Immuno- nstar Inc. (no. 242287). Illustrations were prepared in Adobe Photoshop.

For ultrastructural studies, tibialis anterior muscles were fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde/4% PFA in PBS, washed, refixed in 1% O2O4, dehydrated, and embedded in resin. Thin sections were stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate. Sections were systematically scanned in the electron microscope, and all MtJs encountered were measured from the micrographs. Muscles from two to four animals were analyzed per genotype.

Immunoblotting
For immunobLOTS, sternomastoid muscle was homogenized and sonicated in extraction buffer (PBS, 5 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, and protease inhibitors [CompleteMini; Roche]). Protein concentration of whole muscle extract was determined by a BCA protein assay (Pierce Chemical Co.). Equal amounts of protein (50 μg) were resolved on 7.5% SDS–polyacrylamide gels and incubated with monoclonal antibody to αDB (D62320; Transduction Laboratories). This antibody was detected with goat anti–mouse IgG1 peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Roche) using ECL (NEL).

Quantitative fluorescence microscopy and in vivo imaging
AChR density at NMJs was calculated using the quantitative fluorescence imaging technique described by Akaaboune et al. (1999, 2002). Briefly, mice were anesthetized, and the exposed sternomastoid muscle was saturated with rBTX (5 μg/ml) for 3 d later, and total fluorescence intensity of the previously identified junction was measured (Akaaboune et al., 1999). The use of a nonbleaching fluorescent standard viewed concurrently with a fluorescence microscope. The fluorescence intensity at the first view was expressed as 100%. Mice were then reexamined 3 d later, and total fluorescence intensity of the previously identified junction was measured (Akaaboune et al., 1999). The use of a nonbleaching dose ensured that the turnover rate was not affected by paralysis.

Comparison of transgenic lines
Of the four αDB1 transgenic lines examined on a αDB−/− background, two (lines 12 and 14) expressed detectable αDB1. More than 95% of muscle fibers expressed αDB in line 12 but only 50–70% in line 14. However, both lines were similar in that expression of the transgene prevented dystrophy in muscles compared to a more normal synaptic structure than observed in αDB−/−tgDB2 transgenic lines. Of the six αDB2 lines examined, expression was detected in three (lines 11A, 11B, and 28). Results from αDB−/−tgDB2 lines 11B and 28 were similar in all respects tested (muscle and synaptic structure and AChR turnover). Levels of transgene expression were lower in line 11A, and only ~70% of muscle fibers in αDB−/−tgDB2 line 11A mice were transgene positive. No central nuclei occurred in these transgenes-positive fibers, indicating rescue of the dystrophic phenotype, but rescue of the synaptic phenotype was significantly less in this line than in any of the other lines tested. In summary, all transgenic lines tested...
exhibited rescue of the dystrophic phenotype, and both tgDB1 lines rescued synaptic defects more effectively than any of the tdDB2 lines. Based on these results, we studied aDB−/−,tgDB1 line 12 and aDB−/−,tgDB2 line 28 in greatest detail.

In vitro and in vivo transfection

Expression vector GFP-DB1 was constructed by cloning the cdB1 CDNA described above into a pEGFP-C1 plasmid (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.), generating a fusion protein with GFP attached to the NH2 terminus of dB1. To create GFP-DB1-P1, PCR-directed mutagenesis was used to change three terminal tyrosine residues (aa 698, 706, and 723) to phenylalanine. These residues correspond to aa 685, 693 and 710, which were shown to be major if not sole sites of tyrosine phosphorylation in Torpedo dB (Balasubramanian et al., 1998).

The dB1 constructs were transfected into HEK293 cells using Lipofectamine Plus transfection reagent (Invitrogen) and 4 µg of DNA per 10-cm dish. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection. Immediately before collection, cells were subjected to permeabilization to inhibit tyrosine phosphatases as described by Balasubramanian et al. (1998). Soluble fractions were collected and immunoprecipitated with antibodies with specific antibodies (AA-11120; Molecular Probes) for 1 h at 4°C, and then with protein G sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences) for 3 h more. Beads were precipitated, washed, resuspended in 1× sample buffer, boiled for 4 min, and subjected to immunoblotting (see above). dB1 was detected using an mAb (D62320, Transduction Labs), and phosphotyrosine was detected using PY20 antibody (610000, Transduction Labs).

For in vivo electroporation, DNA was dissolved into normal saline (0.9% NaCl) at a concentration of 2 µg/ml. Mice were anesthetized, and their tibialis anterior muscles were injected transectionaneously with 25 µl of a 4 µg/µl bovine hyaluronidase/saline solution (Sigma-Aldrich) as recom
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