Hydraulic model for water management along Dâmbovița River through Bucharest City, Romania
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Abstract. The main objective of the paper is to simulate the steady state flow conditions along the regulated Dâmbovița River in Bucharest City. The canal has 11 reaches in series separated by control structures with gated weirs (barrages). The simulations are performed using the 1D HEC-RAS software for flows between 3 and 45 m³/s, in order to find the optimal opening of each set of gates, so that water levels along reaches are kept at the target Normal Pool Levels (NPL) elevation. For the determined operating situations optimized gate openings and gates’ rating curves are drawn. The computed water surface profiles for the maximum flow allow for checking if the banks are flooded, whereas velocity values for the minimum, ecological flow of 3 m³/s highlight the reaches over which the biota could be affected during summer drought periods. This model could assist the Water Management Authority’s technical staff to better operate the gates in an integrated manner. Since currently gate operations are individually performed at each control structure, such a model would be a primary step in designing and implementing an automatic controlling system for the entire Dâmbovița canal in Bucharest City.

1 Introduction

Most of the large cities were developed along river banks for reasons of water consumption, transportation, trade, culture, sewage [1]. Cities’ urbanization, coupled with economic and social development, placed an increasing pressure on river systems, watershed hydrology, water quantity and quality, groundwater, on flood risk and overall on river integration with nature [2], [3]). Many river crossing cities were subject to intensive engineering works over time such as channelization, regulation, rectification, diversion, construction of dams, hydropower plants, dikes, locks, sewage systems, etc. Recently, riverine water fronts are considered important assets to be restored as part of urban redevelopment schemes [4, 5]. Such schemes are designed to protect cities from flooding and/or for other uses, such as navigation and tourism, aiming at meeting engineering needs and achieve sustainable development goals. Therefore, adjustment of water level or flow is very important, and many models and controllers were developed by professionals to optimally control these parameters at different hydraulic structures (gates, locks, outlet valves, weirs, etc.), for different operation conditions (particularly for large canals, such as North Sea, Amsterdan-Rhine, Meuse, Kiev, etc.) [6-10].

Dâmbovița River is a first order tributary of Argeș River and a second one of Danube River. It has a length of 237 km and a catchment area of 2,837 km². The river crosses from N-W to S-E Bucharest, Romania’s capital city (with a population of about 2 million people and a surface area of about 228 km²). Although Dâmbovița River has an annual flow of only 12 m³/s (upstream Bucharest), it has a high disaster flooding potential that led to several important city floods over time, of which most important were in 1774 (\(Q_{\text{max}} = 220\) m³/s), 1862, 1865, 1975 (650 m³/s) [11].

Since Dâmbovița River channel was also a sewage and storm water collector, there was an urgent need for a rapidly growing population into a modernizing city to plan and implement a redevelopment scheme. Such scheme would involve dams, reservoirs, intakes and complex inter-basin water diversion canals with other rivers or reservoirs located in or existing nearby Bucharest city [12-14]. Therefore, during 1985-1990 engineering works for the “Complex Management Scheme of the Dâmbovița River in Bucharest” were developed by the national water authorities. The project had several purposes:

(i) to protect the city from flooding, by the upstream Morii Reservoir (Lacul Morii in Romanian) impounded by Ciurel Dam of 15 m in height (built earlier, in the 80’s). The reservoir has a maximum water surface area of 220 ha and a maximum storage capacity of 14.7 million m³. It has also been designed for leisure purposes;

(ii) to regulate and channelize Dâmbovița River flow through a trapezoidal canal (called “clean water” canal) along a distance of about 17 km. The natural river channel has been reshaped by increasing the water depth along the river through 11 reaches in series (pools).
separated by control structures with gated broad-crested weirs (barrages) [15]. Several quays were also built along the canal for tourism and navigation purposes; (iii) to collect and transport wastewater, rainwater and groundwater through closed sewage collectors placed underneath Dambovita canal.

The present paper proposes to develop and implement a 1D hydraulic numerical model that simulates the flow through the Dambovita canal and its barrages - control structures by using the HEC-RAS software [16-17]. The model seeks to find the optimal opening of each set of gates for flows up to 45 m³/s (maximum allowable discharge through the canal), so that water level in pools are kept at the Normal Operating Pool levels indicated by the designer, National Institute of Research and Design for Water Management (IRDWM).

2 Site and data

Figure 1 depicts a plan view of the Morii Reservoir and the developed Dambovita River across Bucharest and its neighbouring areas. Latitude and longitude of the reservoir centre are 44°27’18’’N and 26°01’39’’E, respectively.

The location of the 11 control structures is shown on the map whereas their main geometrical and hydraulic features are presented in Table 1 (in which NPL is Normal Pool Level, U/S distance is distance with respect to upstream barrage). The last two downstream (D/S) control structures along Dambovita River are located outside Bucharest. Each of the barrage is equipped with either one or three flap (tilting) gates that can be operated to control the water level in the upstream reach.

Mean longitudinal slope of Dambovita canal along all reaches is between 0.07 and 1.5 % [15].

Between control structures no. 7 and 10 the water flows through a culvert underneath Unirii Square: 9 – upstream (U/S) inlet and 8 downstream outlet (D/S). The

| Control structure no. | Name of barrage - control structure | No of gates | Gate width m | Gate max. opening (BS) | NPL elev. | U/S distance m |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| 13                    | Groza-veți                          | 1           | 2.8          | 74.8                    | 1720      |                |
| 12                    | Ștefan Furtună                       | 3           | 7.5          | 1.4                     | 73.3      | 886            |
| 11                    | Eroilor                             | 1           | 2.85         | 72.5                    | 558       |                |
| 10                    | Operetă                             | 3           | 7.5          | 1.4                     | 71.3      | 1896           |
| 9                     | U/S Unirii culvert                   | -           | -            | -                       | n.a.      | 501.6          |

Table 1. Geometrical features of Dambovita control structures.

| D/S Unirii culvert | -   | -   | -   | n.a. | 421 |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| 7                 | Mara-șesti                     | 3   | 6   | 1.4  | 69.3 | 1465 |
| 6                 | Timpuri Noi                    | 3   | 6   | 1.4  | 68.4 | 583  |
| 5                 | M. Bravu                       | 3   | 6   | 1.4  | 67.3 | 962  |
| 4                 | Vitan                          | 3   | 7.5 | 1.4  | 65   | 2007 |
| 3                 | Sere                           | 3   | 7.5 | 1.4  | 63   | 630  |
| 2                 | Popești                        | 3   | 8   | 2.3  | 59   | 4110 |
| 1                 | Glina                          | 3   | 8   | 2.3  | 54.5 | 1904 |

Fig. 1. Plan view of Dambovita River through Bucharest City, with the 11 control structures (N.H. - “nod hidrotehnic” in Romanian).
The geometry of this culvert is very complex since it includes two underground old bridges that lead to a reduction of flow cross-section. As discharge increases over a certain limit (40–45 m³/s from in-situ observations) a transition occurs from free-surface to full-flow (under pressure flow) conditions also causing the water level to raise and potentially flood Unirii Square. This limits the maximum discharge that can be transported along Dambovița canal.

The requirement to comply with ecological flow also limits minimum value of the discharge to 2.5±3 m³/s [15]. Intermediate discharge values of about 20 m³/s are required for periodic cleaning flush.

Figure 2 presents a typical cross-section profile of the concrete-lined Dambovița canal (example along M. Bravu – Vitan reach) in which one can see the sewage collectors underneath the invert / floor level. The top width is larger than the one of the natural river and varies between 30 and 100 m (between cross-sections 8 and 7). Depths can vary between 1.5÷4.5 m whereas mean bank slope is of 1.5 along the entire canal for NPL elevation.

Fig. 2. Typical design cross-section profile of Dambovița canal (Mihai Bravu – Vitan reach).

Fig. 3. Typical control structure with one gate (12. Grozăvești).

Fig. 4. Typical control structure with three gates (10. Operetă).

The widest gate along Dambovița canal at Grozăvești control structure is shown in figure 3 and an example of three-gate-system from 10. - Operetă control structure is presented in figure 4. By lowering the gates, the water levels U/S the barrages are controlled, so that large flows can be routed without flooding the pedestrian ways and streets along the banks [18].

3 Method

A one-dimensional model implemented in HEC-RAS software [16] was considered to reproduce the hydraulic behaviour of this 17 km reach, along which the main hydrodynamic variables (velocity, depth) change predominantly in one direction [19].

The geometry of the canal was modeled using cross-section profiles along the 11 reaches and design geometrical features of the control structures with gated weirs (figure 5).

Fig. 5. 3D view example of a modeled control structure with gated weir along Dambovița River canal.

Geometrical interpolations were performed every 200m. Even though each gate from a set may be independently operated, for water nappe shape-related esthetical reasons, in practice, they are operated simultaneously (figure 6). Moreover, the gates can be moved to allow any opening between 0 and their maximum height.
Due to the fact that HEC-RAS can only simulate radial and sluice gates, the geometry of existing flap gates and their operation mode were simplified (figure 6). Thus, they were chosen as vertical overflow sluice gates. Additionally, their discharge coefficient is considered to remain constant with the head.

\[
Q_c = C_d \sqrt{2g BH^{3/2}} = C H^{3/2}
\]

where:
- \(C_d\) is the non-dimensional discharge coefficient taken 0.4 as suggested by Chadwick and Morfett [16] to take into account friction and contraction,
- \(H\) – the head over the gate crest,
- \(B\) – total width of the gate openings and
- \(C\) – the dimensional discharge coefficient that includes the acceleration due to gravity.

4 Results and discussions

The hydraulic model was calibrated on water level values observed at 9 of the control structures for a maximum flow of 45 m\(^3\)/s by adjusting the Manning roughness coefficient in all cross-sections. All gates were fully opened, as in operation.

Table 2 presents a comparison between observed and computed water level values (measured with respect to Black Sea - BS level) and their errors relative to depth (or pressure head in case of Unirii culvert).

As it may be seen, the maximum error relative to pressure head has an acceptable value of 5%, except for the cross-section of U/S Unirii Square culvert. This large difference between observed and computed level values may be attributed to the complex geometry and the transition from an open channel flow to pressurized flow, which cannot be handled by the used 1D model.

Table 2. Measured and computed water levels for \(Q = 45\) m\(^3\)/s.
Therefore, the hydraulic model was assumed to be a good approximation of the steady-state flow conditions along Dambovita River canal except for the Unirii Square culvert. A set of discharge values in the range of 3–45 m³/s were used to run the model with gate opening optimization in order to maintain the NPL elevations in the U/S of each barrage.

Figure 8 shows the water surface profiles along the reaches together with the NPL elevations (red points) for three of the considered discharge values: 3, 20 and 45 m³/s. It may be seen that the banks (green dotted lines) are not flooded and the most important backwater effect occurs along the second D/S reach (Popești – Sere).

Figure 9 depicts the resulted gate openings for the considered discharge range at the first 9 U/S control structures in Bucharest City. As it may be seen, NPL elevations could be maintained for all gates and discharge values except at St. Furtună barrage for flows exceeding 35 m³/s.

Three rating curves obtained through gate-opening optimization are presented in figure 10. It may be seen that the water surface elevation is maintained at NPL, except for St. Furtună barrage at maximum discharge (45 m³/s).

For the ecological flow of 3 m³/s it is useful to compute the mean velocities in all cross sections in order to highlight the reaches with minimum velocities. Thus, operating staff of Dambovița River could apply specific measures to prevent or limit undesired phenomena such as water vegetation proliferation and biota life threatening conditions, particularly during drought periods.

Figure 8. Water surface profiles along Dambovița River canal for three discharge values: 3, 20 and 45 m³/s (WS – water surface OWS – observed water surfaces, LOB/ROB – left/right overbank elevations).

Figure 9. Optimized gate openings for NPL elevations U/S of each barrage.
Under the considered operation scenario, the computed velocities along Dambovița canal are shown in figure 11, for three discharge values (3, 20 and 45 m3/s). As it may be seen, the control structures with barrages increase local velocity through contraction, also contributing to the aeration of the stream. For the minimum flow, velocities may drop to 1 cm/s in the critical enlarged reach located between the exit of Unirii Square culvert and Marașești control structure.

Simulated velocities are useful indicators for monitoring critical reaches with minimum values, mainly during summer periods.

The HEC-RAS model could be further improved by including the existing bridges and used as a first approximation for routing flood waves along Dambovița canal considering different scenarios for gate openings to avoid the flooding of the banks and the bridges.

The main shortcoming of the model is that it could not reproduce the complex geometry and transition from open channel to pressure flow at the Unirii Square culvert. For this area a 3D model is recommended.

Further calibration of individual gated-weir discharge coefficients should be performed on measurements carried out at each control structure. However, this model could assist the Water Management Authority’s technical staff to better operate the gates in an integrated manner. Since currently gate operations are individually performed at each barrage, such a model would be a primary step in designing and implementing an automatic operation system for the entire Dambovița River throughout Bucharest City.
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