Enhancing thermoelectric properties of organic composites through hierarchical nanostructures
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Organic thermoelectric (TE) materials are very attractive due to easy processing, material abundance, and environmentally-benign characteristics, but their potential is significantly restricted by the inferior thermoelectric properties. In this work, noncovalently functionalized graphene with fullerene by π-π stacking in a liquid-liquid interface was integrated into poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate). Graphene helps to improve electrical conductivity while fullerene enhances the Seebeck coefficient and hinders thermal conductivity, resulting in the synergistic effect on enhancing thermoelectric properties. With the integration of nanohybrids, the electrical conductivity increased from \( \times 10^4 \) to \( \times 70000 \) S/m, the thermal conductivity changed from 0.2 to 2 W K⁻¹m⁻¹, while the Seebeck coefficient was enhanced by around 4-fold. As a result, nanohybrids-based polymer composites demonstrated the figure of merit (ZT) as high as \( 6.7 \times 10^{-2} \), indicating an enhancement of more than one order of magnitude in comparison to single-phase filler-based polymer composites with ZT at the level of \( 10^{-2} \).

Thermoelectric materials are expected to play an increasingly important role in power generation, solid-state cooling, and heating systems. The performance of thermoelectric materials is characterized by a dimensionless figure of merit \( ZT = S^2σ/T/k \), where \( S \), \( σ \), and \( T/k \) represent the Seebeck coefficient (μV/K), electrical conductivity (S/cm), thermal conductivity (W/m.K), and absolute temperature (K), respectively. A high ZT is required for efficient conversion of thermal and electrical energy. Hence, high \( S \), high \( σ \), and low \( T/k \) is required for high ZT. However, there exist challenging conflicts between the electrical conductivity and the Seebeck coefficient or thermal conductivity. Generally, increasing \( S \) results in decreasing \( σ \) while increasing \( σ \) increases \( T/k \). Recently, two strategies have been proposed to enhance the thermoelectric properties, including (i) the reduction of lattice thermal conductivity by involving phonon scattering; and (ii) enhancing the thermoelectric power factor \( S^2σ \) by quantum confinement, energy filtering, or tuning the electronic band structure (i.e. the density of states). The incorporation of lower-dimensional structures could create sharp features in electronic density of state, and thus result in the increased asymmetry of the differential conductivity with respect to the Fermi energy.

Polymers are very attractive to exploit next-generation thermoelectric materials due to their low-cost, lightweight, facile processability, and environmentally-benign characteristics. Their relatively low thermal conductivity provides an effective strategy to improve thermoelectric performance. However, their electrical conductivity is too low. Highly doped polymer could show high electrical conductivity, but their Seebeck coefficient is compromised, resulting in very low ZT. In order to address this demand, conjugated polymer composites currently receive more and more attentions. Polymer composites are very attractive for thermoelectric applications since it is cost-effective to tune the composite interface for decoupling the aforementioned conflicts. Organic fillers, like carbon nanotubes (CNTs) as conductive fillers were added into conjugated polymers, for instance, polyaniline (PANI), poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS), to create CNT-polymer interfaces for enhancing thermoelectric properties. The formed interfaces were considered to effectively introduce energy filtering, or phonon scattering. In situ growth of PANI using the CNT network template resulting in CNT-PANI core-shell nanostructures was investigated and the ZT was ~0.004 due to the increased electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient. Porous CNT-PANI core-shell nanostructures were also reported with improved thermoelectric properties via enhanced phonon scattering and increased power factor \( S^2σ \), resulting in a ZT of 0.01. Additionally, some other attempts have been made to wrap single-walled carbon
nanotubes (SWNTs) using special semiconducting stabilizers and then dispersed them into a polymer matrix, resulting in electrically connected and thermally disconnected network, indicating promoted electrical transport with disrupted thermal transport. Emulsion-stabilized SWNT-composites showed a ZT up to 0.006 at a SWNT loading of 20 wt%, and PEDOT:PSS stabilized-SWNT composites showed a ZT of 0.02 at a SWNT loading of 35 wt%.[9,20]. Similar to CNTs, graphene has also been integrated into the polymer composites for thermoelectric applications[27–29]. Physical mixing or in situ polymerization has been used to fabricate graphene composites. Although the incorporation of graphene into polymers slightly improved thermoelectric properties, the aforementioned conflicts between electrical conductivity and thermal conductivity, or the Seebeck coefficient remain unsolved. In brief, unitary fillers incorporating into polymers prefer either simply improving power factor or reducing thermal conductivity. Herein, the authors proposed that it would be more effective to employ hybrid nanocarbon fillers to engineer alternative organic thermoelectric materials with competitive performance.

Fullerene has been reported with the absolute Seebeck coefficient of ~2000 μV/K at 300 K[26]. The thermal conductivity of fullerene is ~0.16 W/m-K[26]. According to recent studies, fullerene has been used to reduce the thermal conductivity and thus improve their thermoelectric performance[27–30]. The increased phonon scattering may result in decreased lattice thermal conductivity. However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, there have been no reports on tailoring fullerene-functionalized graphene into conjugated polymers for enhancing thermoelectric properties.

In this work, we noncovalently functionalized graphene with semiconducting fullerene, and then integrated fullerene-decorated graphene into a conjugated polymer, PEDOT:PSS. It was found that tailoring the fullerene and graphene ratio helps to increase the composite electrical conductivity much faster than the thermal conductivity due to the significant interfacial phonon scattering. The Seebeck coefficient was enhanced by as high as 4-fold due to interfacial energy filtering. The highest ZT, 0.067, was achieved for 30 wt% nanohybrids-filled polymer composite where the ratio of fullerene to graphene was 3:7.

Results
The noncovalent functionalization of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) by fullerene (C_{60}) was realized by π-π stacking in the liquid-liquid interface. Briefly, a certain amount of rGO/isopropanol (IPA) solution was gently injected into C_{60}/m-xylene solution. An apparent dark green interface was observed in between two liquid mediums, indicating the formation of C_{60} and graphene hybrids. The rGO in IPA and C_{60} in m-xylene continuously diffuse from their own solutions into the interface between these two liquids because of the concentration gradient. The assembly of C_{60} on rGO surface occurred when they meet each other in the interfacial area by π-π interaction. By continuously extracting the interface solution, sufficient fullerene-decorated rGO can be collected for further usage.

As-prepared rGO and C_{60}/rGO hybrid were characterized by transmission electron microscope (TEM), as shown in Figure 1. The rGO shows a smooth surface and no particles on its surface are observed. The C_{60}-decorated graphene samples prepared using 0.5, 0.75, 1 and 2 mg/ml C_{60} solution show some dark nanoparticles (NPs), which should be C_{60} clusters. However, no C_{60} nanoparticles are observed on the surface of the C_{60}/rGO hybrid fabricated with 0.1 mg/ml C_{60} solution. This might be due to that the concentration gradient of the C_{60}/m-xylene solution is too small to facilitate the diffusion, and thus no NPs were formed after adding another liquid phase. At higher concentrations, the concentration gradient drives the diffusion significantly and resulted in assembly on the liquid-liquid interface. Smaller C_{60} nanoparticles are spherical while larger nanoparticles possess variable shapes, which might arise from the agglomeration of small spheres[31–34]. Most strikingly, graphene layers without C_{60} molecules tend to restack due to the interlayer π-π interaction, forming few-layered graphene (Figure 1b). On the other hand, the C_{60}/rGO samples showed single or few layered structures, which might stem from the attached C_{60} particles, which efficiently prevents the restacking and agglomeration of graphene layers during processing in solution. The particle size distribution of the assembled C_{60} was investigated based on the measurement of C_{60} clusters and was shown in Figure 2 and Figure S1 (Supplementary Materials). When a 0.5 mg/ml C_{60} solution was used, the average particle size is 13 nm. Higher C_{60} concentrations result in larger particles and reach 23, 26 and 32 nm for 0.75, 1 and 2 mg/ml, respectively. The increased particle size should result from the higher C_{60} concentration, which tends to form larger seeds for nanoparticle growth. Since changing C_{60} concentration from 0.75 to 1 mg/ml has little effect on the seed size, no obvious difference on C_{60} nanoparticle size was observed when the C_{60} concentration was increased from 0.75 mg/ml to 1 mg/ml. Additionally, C_{60}-decorated rGO, which was fabricated at a higher C_{60} concentration, shows wider size distribution of C_{60} in comparison to that fabricated at a lower concentration. In the initial period of C_{60} nanoparticle seed formation, the C_{60} solution suffers from concentration depletion immediately after the nucleation at the interface[35,36]. The anti-solvent diffused gradually into

![Figure 1](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/image/3448-1.png)

Figure 1 | TEM images of graphene (a) and C_{60}/graphene hybrid fabricated using 0.1 mg/ml (b), 0.5 mg/ml (c), 0.75 mg/ml (d), 1 mg/ml (e) and 2 mg/ml (f) C_{60} solution. (Scale bar: 100 nm).
the interlayer, thus a C$_{60}$ concentration gradient was formed within the interlayer, which may induce a wide seed size distribution. A higher initial C$_{60}$ concentration tends to have a greater concentration gradient followed with a wider size distribution of the C$_{60}$ nanoparticles. It has been reported that other factors, such as the drowning-out ratio (anti-solvent/solvent volume ratios), solvent type, and anti-solvent type can influence the morphology of nanoparticles.$^{37}$ This may provide effective approaches to further tune the size of C$_{60}$ nanoparticles.

The rGO and C$_{60}$/rGO samples were characterized by XRD and Raman spectra, as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. In Figure 3, the (002) peak at 27° for pristine graphite indicates an interlayer spacing of 0.34 nm. The (002) peak of graphene oxide (GO) is shifted to 14.6°, indicating that the interlayer spacing increases to 0.72 nm after oxidation.$^{38}$ After chemical reduction by hydrazine, the sharp (002) peak of graphene oxide disappeared while another broad peak of around 24° shows up. The disappearance of the sharp peak can be attributed to the exfoliation of layered structures of graphene oxide. The broad peak may stem from the partial restacking of exfoliated graphene layers. C$_{60}$/rGO hybrids show characteristic peaks of C$_{60}$ at 10.8°, 17.7°, 20.8°, 21.7°, 27.5° and 28.2° corresponding to the (111), (220), (311), (222), (331) and (420) diffraction of C$_{60}$, respectively.$^{39}$ The broad diffraction of graphene in the range of 22° to 26° disappeared and this might be attributed to the assembled C$_{60}$ clusters, which effectively prevented the restacking of the graphene layers. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns indicate that fullerences had been successfully incorporated onto the surface of graphene and they worked as spacers to keep the individual graphene sheets from restacking.

Raman spectroscopy is a fast and non-destructive technique to provide insight on the electronic and lattice structures of carbon materials.$^{40}$ Raman spectra of the graphene, pristine C$_{60}$, and C$_{60}$/graphene were shown in Figure 4. The rGO shows an intense G-band ($sp^2$ carbon) at 1576 cm$^{-1}$ which corresponds to the Eg phonon at the centre of the Brillouin zone$^{41}$. The D-band ($sp^3$ carbon) at 1348 cm$^{-1}$ comes from the out-of-plane breathing mode of the $sp^2$ carbons, which is due to the presence of defects that were introduced in oxidization and reduction procedure.$^{42}$ The sharp peak at 1466 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the pentagonal pinch mode A$_{g}(2)$ of C$_{60}$ molecules.$^{43}$ The C$_{60}$/rGO hybrid demonstrated three Raman peaks at 1344 cm$^{-1}$, 1467 cm$^{-1}$, 1582 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the D band (1344 cm$^{-1}$), G band (1582 cm$^{-1}$) of graphene, and the pentagonal pinch mode of C$_{60}$ molecules (1467 cm$^{-1}$), respectively. Moreover, the G band for graphene (1575 cm$^{-1}$) upshifts to 1582 cm$^{-1}$ in the C$_{60}$/graphene hybrid, indicating a charge-transfer from the graphene to C$_{60}$.$^{44}$ Based on the Raman results, it is confirmed that C$_{60}$ were successfully assembled onto graphene and the charge-transfer occurred between graphene and C$_{60}$ molecules.

The UV-Vis spectra of C$_{60}$ and C$_{60}$/rGO were collected by illuminating C$_{60}$ and C$_{60}$/rGO solutions, respectively. As shown in Figure 5, the spectrum of the C$_{60}$ shows two characteristic peaks at

![Figure 2: Size of C$_{60}$ cluster at C$_{60}$/rGO hybrids as a function of initial C$_{60}$ concentration.](image)

![Figure 3: XRD patterns of graphite, graphite oxide, reduced graphene oxide and C$_{60}$/rGO hybrid.](image)

![Figure 4: Raman spectra of C$_{60}$, rGO and C$_{60}$/rGO hybrid.](image)

![Figure 5: UV-Vis spectra of C$_{60}$ and C$_{60}$/rGO hybrid in solution.](image)
280 and 328 nm. The peak at 328 nm stems from the π-π electronic transitions. The red shift of the peak at 328 nm is correlated with the interactions between C₆₀ and other molecules, and thus this peak is a crucial indicator for the interaction of fullerene and aromatic rings. The π-π stacking of C₆₀ and graphene may delocalize the π electron system and reduce the energy for the electronic transition. The peak at 328 nm in C₆₀ shifts to 334 nm in the C₆₀/rGO, indicating that C₆₀ was successfully assembled to graphene and the π-π interaction significantly facilitates the formation of the as prepared C₆₀/rGO hybrids.

In this work, the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity and thermal conductivity were measured at room temperature. For the loading of C₆₀/C₆₀-x nanohybrids in Figure 6, x was the weight fraction of rGO while the C₆₀ fraction was 30 - x in the polymer composites. The Seebeck coefficients and electrical conductivities of C₆₀/rGO-polymer composites were plotted as a function of x, the rGO fraction (See Figure 6). In addition, a three-dimensional plot of Seebeck coefficients of composites was shown Figure 6(a). Moreover, the composite electrical conductivities and Seebeck coefficients were normalized by dividing the pure polymer as shown in Figure 6(c), indicating the improvement factor. The Seebeck coefficients of rGO/C₆₀-polymer composites show a two-fold larger than the neat PEDOT:PSS film. Addition of rGO in polymer matrix leads to an increased Seebeck coefficient. In addition, C₆₀ nanoparticles might push the Fermi level away from the valence band, resulting in a decreased electrical conductivity. Besides the aforementioned reason, another possible reason might be that the incorporation of C₆₀ might push the Fermi level away from the valence band, resulting in an increased Seebeck coefficient.

**Discussion**

Graphene is a semi-metallic material with zero-bandgap, but C₆₀-decorated rGO was found to show a finite band gap dependent on the C₆₀ functionalization degree. Incorporating as-produced nanohybrids into the conjugated polymer (PEDOT:PSS) could tune the electronic and phonon transport for tailored thermoelectric properties. Considering the processing challenges and morphology uniformity, the weight loading of C₆₀-decorated rGO was set to 30 wt%. Effects of C₆₀ and rGO loading on the thermoelectric properties of the resultant composites were explored. The electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity and Seebeck coefficient of C₆₀-decorated rGO/polymer composites were all measured at the room temperature.

With the incorporation of C₆₀-decorated rGO, the Seebeck coefficients of rGO/C₆₀-polymer composites show 2-fold larger than the neat PEDOT:PSS film (Figure 6(a)). Addition of rGO in polymer should increase the carrier mobility in composites, resulting in higher electrical conductivity and higher Seebeck coefficient. With the incorporation of C₆₀/rGO nanohybrids, the Seebeck coefficient of the resultant composites could show as high as 4-fold improvement in comparison with that of neat PEDOT:PSS film.

The thermal conductivity and thermoelectric figure of merit were plotted in Figure 7. Increasing x results in higher thermal conductivity. Particularly, the composite thermal conductivity is significantly increased when x > 21%. The composite thermal conductivity is ~0.2 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ for x = 21%, and it reaches 0.7 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ at x = 27%, and 2.3 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ at x = 30%. ZT tended to increase as x ≤ 21%, while it tended to decrease as x > 21%. The highest ZT was achieved at ZT = 0.067 when x = 21% in the rGO₆₀/C₆₀-x nanohybrid-filled polymer composites, where the ratio of C₆₀ to rGO was 3:7.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
(a) Three-dimensional plot of the Seebeck coefficient of composites as a function of C₆₀ and rGO weight loading in composites, indicating more than 4-fold enhancement. (Table S1 shows the Seebeck coefficients with error bars.) (b) The electrical conductivity of nanohybrids-filled polymer composites. (c) Normalized Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity to show the improvement factor. α₀, σ₀ are the Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity of pure polymer matrix, respectively.
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Figure 7 | Thermal conductivity and thermoelectric figure of merit ZT of nanohybrids-filled polymer composites.
the increased electrical conductivity, and it reaches 71503 S/m when shown in Figure 6(b). Higher rGO loading in the composites resulted in increase in electron mobility. Additionally, as aforementioned, the ratio of C60 to rGO was larger than 1,21%, the ratio of C60 to rGO was smaller than 1,21%, the rGO is highly thermal conductive and may form the percolation network in PEDOT:PSS polymer composites. In the hierarchical nanohybrid-filled polymer composites, where the ratio of C60 to rGO was 3, the electrical conductivity of the composites increased drastically, much faster than the thermal conductivity, as shown in Figure 6 and 8. When x > 21%, ZT tended to decrease since the thermal conductivity of the composites increased drastically, much faster than electric conductivity. As a result, the highest ZT was achieved at x = 21% in the rGO C6030–x nanohybrid-filled polymer composites, where the ratio of C60 to rGO was 3:7. For comparison, thermoelectrics of graphene/polymer composites and fullerene/polymer composites were also investigated, respectively, but their ZT was at the level of 10–4. Therefore, the synergistic effects of graphene and C60 can balance the conflicts of the thermal/electric transport and resulted in the largest ZT in the polymer composites.

As shown in Figure 5, increasing x resulted in higher thermal conductivity. Particularly, the composite thermal conductivity was significantly increased when x > 21%. The rGO is highly thermal conductive and may form the percolation network in PEDOT:PSS matrix at higher loading. When x > 21%, the ratio of C60 to rGO was less than 1:9, and thus C60 loading fraction is too low to prevent the direct contact between neighboring rGO layers. When x < 21%, the ratio of C60 to rGO was larger than 1:9, and thus high loading of C60 may prevent the direct contact between neighboring rGO, resulting in high thermal interfacial resistance between neighboring rGO layers. In addition, the percolation network of rGO might be disrupted due to its low fractions. Secondly, high fraction of C60 nanoparticles also contributed low phonon transport path since C60 showed thermal conductivity of 0.16 W/m K. Moreover, nanoscale C60 decoration on the graphene surface will create a rough interface between filler and matrix, resulting in significant phonon scattering and thus thermal conductivity. As shown in Figure 2, the C60 particle size on graphene surfaces ranges from ~10 nm to ~40 nm. Large C60 particles scatter phonons with middle wavelengths, small C60 particles scatter phonons with short wavelengths, and thin PEDOT:PSS layers between rGO layers formed interfaces for scattering phonons with long wavelengths. For phonons which carry most of the heat, an average mean-free-path can be plausibly defined. So when the particle size matches the phonon mean free path in PEDOT:PSS, effective phonon scattering will occur according to Casimir regime. The C60 nanoparticles on the surface of graphene facilitate scattering phonons with whole wavelengths, achieving a lower lattice thermal conductivity. Of course, C60-decorated graphene would also introduce porous structure due to its hydrophobic feature, and further reduced the thermal conductivity. Porous PANI composites have been reported to optimize thermoelectric ZT by reducing thermal conductivity without compromising the electrical conductivity. A SEM image of nanohybrid composites at x = 9% is shown in Figure 9, and micro-scaled pores were observed.

The ZT was also calculated as function of x in the rGO C6030–x nanohybrid-filled polymer composites, as shown in Figure 8. As x ≥ 21%, ZT tended to increase, since the electrical conductivity rose much faster than the thermal conductivity, as shown in Figure 6 and 8. When x > 21%, ZT tended to decrease since the thermal conductivity of the composites increased drastically, much faster than electric conductivity. As a result, the highest ZT was achieved at ZT = 0.067 when x = 21% in the rGO C6030–x nanohybrid-filled polymer composites, where the ratio of C60 to rGO was 3:7. For comparison, thermoelectrics of graphene/polymer composites and fullerene/polymer composites were also investigated, respectively, but their ZT was at the level of 10–4. Therefore, the synergistic effects of graphene and C60 can balance the conflicts of the thermal/electric transport and resulted in the largest ZT in the polymer composites.
compared to the neat polymer film due to the potential interfacial energy filtering. Tuning the ratio of C₆₀ to graphene in the nanohybrids can make electrical conductivity increment surpassed the increase in the thermal conductivity, resulting in an optimal ZT = 0.067, more than 10-fold improvement in comparison to the single-phase filler-based polymer composites. The strategy of integrating nanohybrids consisting of multiple-dimensional heterogeneous nanomaterials into polymers points out a new route towards high-performance organic thermoelectric materials.

Methods

Materials. Graphite was kindly provided by Asbury Charbons. Fullerene (98%, Sigma Aldrich) was used without further purification. The sodium chloride (≥99%), N,N-Dimethylformamide (99.9%), anhydrous ethyl alcohol (IPA, 99.7%), and m-xylene (anhydrous, ≥99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The nitric acid (fuming, ACS reagent) was purchased from Acros Organics. PEDOT:PSS was (PH 1000) was purchased from Clevios.

Preparation of graphite oxide. Graphite was fabricated through chemical reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide. Graphite oxide was prepared with a modified Brodie's method. Typically, graphite (10 g), fuming nitric acid (160 ml), and sodium chloride (85 g) were mixed at room temperature, but without the subsequent aging used in the Brodie's method. The mixture was stirred for 24 hrs, followed by washing, filtration, and cleaning as described by Brodie. Graphite oxide was collected through a precipitation method and evaporation of the solution.

Preparation of chemically reduced graphene oxide (rGO). Graphene nanosheets were achieved by reducing graphite oxide with phenylhydrazine. Typically, 200 mg graphite oxide was dispersed in 20 ml DMF by tip sonication at 50 W (Misonix sonomax 3000) for 1 hr, resulting in exfoliated graphene oxide. Then 0.5 ml phenylhydrazine (35 wt%, Sigma-Aldrich) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours, followed by washing with DMF (500 ml) and ethanol (500 ml), respectively. The materials were filtrated and annealed in vacuum oven at 270°C overnight, resulting in reduced graphene.

Preparation of C₆₀/rGO nanohybrids. The C₆₀/rGO nanohybrids were assembled by π-π conjugation in the liquid-liquid interface. Typically, C₆₀ and rGO were dispersed in m-xylene and IPA, respectively, through ultra-sonication. Then the rGO/IPA (500 mg/ml) solution was injected into the C₆₀/m-xylene solution slowly at a volume ratio of 1:1. The color of the interacted interface of two solutions immediately became dark green, indicating the hybridization of C₆₀ and rGO. Subsequently, the interfacial suspension was extracted and transferred into another beaker every 15 min using a syringe. Five different concentrations (0.1, 0.5, 0.75, 1 and 2 mg/ml) of C₆₀/m-xylene solution were used.

Preparation of C₆₀/rGO-polymer composites. The C₆₀/rGO-polymer composite was prepared by mixing extracted C₆₀/rGO nanohybrids with PEDOT:PSS by gentle stirring, and subsequent drying at 50°C overnight. The weight ratio between hybridized nanohybrids and PEDOT:PSS was 3:7. The ratio of fullerene to rGO in the nanohybrid was 1:9, 3:5, 7:5, 8:2, 9:1, and the samples were named as S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5, respectively.

Characterization. XRd patterns of C₆₀/rGO samples were collected by Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Ultima III diffractometer, 40 kV, 40 mA, with Cu KR (λ = 1.54 Å)) was used to study the C₆₀/graphene samples, and the measurements were taken at a 2θ range of 5° ≤ 2θ ≤ 40° at room temperature. Powder XRd patterns were analyzed by referring to the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) powder diffraction file (PDF) database. The UV–Vis spectroscopy was collected with a Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050 spectrometer by placing sample solution in a quartz cuvette. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, Hitachi H-7650) with an acceleration voltage of 60 kV and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4160) were employed to characterize the sample morphology. Raman spectra were collected using SENTTERA Raman system model. Electrical conductivity measurements were performed on a SPM probe (Bridge Technology Inc.) by a standard four-point probe method with a Keithley 2400 current source meter and a Keithley 2182A Nanovoltmeter at the room temperature. For the Seebeck coefficient measurements, the thermal voltage was achieved by connecting Keithley 2182A Nanovoltmeter with two identical bare copper wires, which were bonded onto pellets at 20 mm spacing by silver paste. The temperature gradient was obtained using two surface temperature thermocouples (Omega Inc., controlled by SM325 thermometer data logger). The Seebeck coefficient measurement was calibrated before measurement, and the Seebeck coefficient was calculated with S = −ΔV/AT + S_{∞} where S_{∞} is 6.5 μV/K at room temperature. Thermal conductivity was measured by LFA 447 Nanoflash thermal analysis equipment (NETZSCH Instruments) with an error of ±3%. The samples were cold pressed and cut into 6 mm by 6 mm cubic sheets. To obtain the thermal conductivity measurement, the sample density was derived from the measured weight and volume. The sample thickness ranged from 0.2 mm to 0.6 mm, comparable with the reference material. All thermoelectric properties were measured at the room temperature.
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