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Abstract: Aerodynamic is considered the most significant factor affecting on vehicles fuel consumption and power requirements even at low speed. For this reason, there are much interest and some modifications that decrease drag coefficient and reduce the aerodynamic effectiveness. This study aims to develop an electrical car body (ECB) to decrease the drag coefficient and study the reflection of this parameter in the power consumption rate in different operating speeds. Six different models for car bodies (Bendra, Magenta, Violet, Bluria, Aqua and Vectra) with same wheelbase, height, and track width dimensions are designed. The design of these models has done using Solidworks software by surface tools. Numerical results (frontal area, drag coefficient, drag area and drag force) for the six models are recorded and compared to choose the best designed body. According to results Vectra model is chosen as a best design. The power consumption is calculated for each model at different operating speeds using two different software, Solidworks flow simulation and ANSYS fluent. Experimental results are obtained by testing 3D printing model for the best efficient model with scale 1: 25 at small subsonic open wind tunnel. The experimental results show good agreement with simulation results. Finally, Vectra simulation and experimental results are compared with the real electric and petrol cars to verify the competitive design for Vectra model.
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1. Introduction

One of the main contributors to the greenhouse effect is the burning of fossil fuels for transportation and heating. The transport sector is currently the main consumer of fossil fuels. So car manufacturers are starting to implement a more sustainable approach when developing vehicles with the focus on electric vehicles in order to reduce the use of fossil fuels. A significant contribution to the energy consumption of vehicles comes from the air resistance that a vehicle is exerted to. For an electric vehicle, the air resistance can reach up to 48% of the total driving resistance at highway speeds [1]. Aerodynamic Design of cars is crucial as it directly affects the fuel economy & stability in motion.

There are many investigations that tried to study the aerodynamic behavior around heavy vehicles and tried to find out how to reduce the power consumption [2-5]. The studies tried to find out a better way to improve the vehicle performance throughout modifying the shape of the vehicle. Literature on electric vehicle bodies has analyzed several factors affected on drag coefficient and power consumption of them.

Wang, et al. [6], investigated the aerodynamics performance of Model S vehicle of TESLA using 3D scanner and STAR-CCM+ (software of Siemens). The drag coefficient and local flow field data of the vehicle in different working conditions are simulated and the mechanism of flow is analyzed. Gupta, et al. [7], analyzed by CFD the aerodynamics package of the race car which includes a front wing, a rear wing and an under tray. Simulations of a contoured under tray and simplified full body model were presented. Janson, et al. [8], presents the results of numerical analysis of aerodynamic characteristics of a sports car equipped with movable aerodynamic elements. The calculations were performed with the help of the ANSYS- Fluent CFD software.

Wang, et al. [9], investigated the effect of the power battery pack installed in the classis of the electric vehicle on
aerodynamic performance, take the SAE-B model as object. Using CFD simulation method, the effect of battery pack on the flow field of the vehicle structure caused by the size of battery pack was studied. Ravelli, et al. [10], investigated 2017 F1 car aerodynamics from a numerical point of view by using an open-source code (OpenFOAM). The racing car performance is quantitatively evaluated in terms of drag, downforce, efficiency and front balance. Siva, et al. [11], investigated the aerodynamics characteristics of TOYOTA car model using ANSYS Fluent to determine the aerodynamic characteristics like pressure, down-force and drag. The external body of the car is modeled using Solidworks modeling software.

Parab, et al. [12], determined the lift and drag of the vehicle by the analysis of flow of air around it using ANSYS 14.5. Purevsuren, et al. [13], modeled the correct mesh size and, determined the varying y+ until further increases in the mesh yielded no difference accordingly the focusing on the effect of grid scheme and turbulence models on the CFD model of wind turbine airfoil. Czyż, et al. [14], presented the numerical analysis of the drag force generated on the body of a sports car, based on the CFD method in the ANSYS Fluent program;

Vaidya, et al. [15], described the initial design and development of the front and the rear wing of a Formula SAE car. CFD analysis of front and the rear wing is also covered. Wind tunnel testing and on-track testing is being developed.

Taherkhani, et al. [16], presented the first experimental and computational investigation into the aerodynamics of emergency response vehicles and focuses on reducing the additional drag that results from the customary practice of adding light-bars onto the vehicles’ roofs. A series of wind tunnel experiments demonstrate the significant increase in drag that results from the light bars. Cieslinski, et al. [17], optimized the shape of car body to be used in Shell Eco Marathon race. Yuan, et al. [18], investigate the sensitivity of aerodynamic characteristic to the underbody, and summarize the influence of aerodynamic attachments on the aerodynamic drag.

Rakibul, et al. [19], investigated on different aspects analysis of aerodynamic drag of racing cars and different drag reduction techniques such as rear under body modification and exhaust gas redirection towards the rear separation zones. The drag coefficient of the car under analysis is found to be 0.3233 and it is evident that the drag can be reduced up to 22.13% by different rear under-body modifications.

Singla, et al. [20], investigated the aerodynamic consideration in designing body for a solar car which is to participate in world solar challenge, the world’s top solar car race. Shivam, et al. [21], investigated vortex generators was done to install immediately upstream of the flow separation point in order to control the separation of air flow above the car’s window and improve the aerodynamic characteristics. Damissie, et al. [22], this computational analysis showed that there is possibility of improving the aerodynamic performance of bus by modifications in exterior design of bus body. Patil, et al. [23], investigated the benefits of Aerodynamic features around the BUS by reducing Cd which improves the fuel consumption. Optimization of BUS is carried out by adding spoilers and panels at rear portion. Parmar, et al. [24], experiment’s objective is to analyze aerodynamic characteristics of passenger car using wind tunnel method and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) approach.

Howell, et al. [25], modified a simple car body shape to include tapering of the rear upper body in both roof and sides that to investigate the effects of taper angle and taper length on drag and lift. Wind tunnel tests have been conducted on the model to investigate the drag benefits from rear body taper. Jessing, et al. [26], Investigated the new systems which were implemented during the recent wind tunnel upgrade as well as comparable computational fluid dynamics simulations. The wind tunnel measurements in unsteady crosswind conditions can be complemented by numerical simulations.

Pikula, et al. [27], presented the results of the numerical simulations of the air flow around the vehicle model compared to the experimental results. Pikula, et al. [28], investigated the drag coefficient of vehicle model in ratio of 1: 18 using open type wind tunnel with different air speed. The results of the air drag coefficient are compared to the same value of the real vehicle. Pal, et al. [29], proposed a concept car model which will face very small drag force and also to reduce the corresponding drag coefficient. Solidworks flow simulation is used to perform the computational analysis which is later verified by a subsonic open circuit suction type wind tunnel testing of the concept car model. Ahmad, et al. [30], examined the effect of different mesh parameters to optimize the mesh strategy for accurately estimating the drag of a ground vehicle using design of experiments (DOE). A simplified car model at three scales has been investigated and compared with results from the MIRA model wind tunnel.

Thabet, et al. [31], investigated the flow characteristics over a model car. The aim was to calculate the aerodynamic coefficients from the CFD simulation and compared them with the available experimental data. Vishwakarma, et al. [32], modified the sedan car model with deck lid spoiler and grooves in the back, to reduce the aerodynamic drag force. The designing of sedan car has done on SOLIDWORKS 2010 and analysis have done by using ANSYS 15 (FLUENT). Huminic, et al. [33], investigated the effect of the wheels on the body fitted with an underbody diffuser using a generic car model on wheels as a reference.

This study tried to find an efficient design focuses on the aerodynamics characteristics such as drag force for urban electric vehicles. The drag force and frontal area of the vehicle affect directly on vehicle power at various velocities. Six different car body models were designed and simulated to reach the minimum drag area. Experimental tests were implemented on the chosen body model. The study tried to find out a better way to improve the vehicle performance throughout modifying the shape of the vehicle and to improve aerodynamic performance of an urban electric
vehicle by simple and robust means.

2. Vehicle Body Design

This part discussed the methods and procedures of designing the exterior body of an urban electric vehicle. In order to get best, comparison, and satisfying results, six urban vehicle bodies were designed taking into consideration the main dimensions are same for each model. The six models are designed with Solidworks 2016 CAD software using surface tools, and all images are rendering using KEYSHOT software as shown in Table 1. The main dimensions of the models; wheelbase 1600 mm, height 1200 mm from ground, front track width 1100 mm and rear track width 950 mm. Each design must cover all interior vehicle components. Vehicle models must meet the aerodynamics principles. The design reaches the minimum frontal area as possible. Final design not far away from urban vehicle shape. Final design is ready for manufacturing. The design of vehicle body models is based on the previous parameters, so there is a little bit of changes between each body like the final shape and total length. Table 1 shows the shape and dimensions of different six vehicle bodies; Bendra, Magenta, Violet, Bluria, Aqua and Vectra.

| No | Model  | Dimension                     | Shape                                      | Remarks                                                                 |
|----|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Bendra | Frontal area: 1.5 m²          | ![Bendra Image](image)                     | The four sides are sharp, and the four wheels are totally covered by the body itself |
|    |        | Total length: 2520 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |
| 2  | Magenta| Frontal area: 1.2 m²          | ![Magenta Image](image)                    | The four wheels are covered individually                                |
|    |        | Total length: 2515 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |
| 3  | Violet | Frontal area: 1.39 m²         | ![Violet Image](image)                     | The four sides are more curved than previous models                     |
|    |        | Total length: 2466 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |
| 4  | Bluria | Frontal area: 1.24 m²         | ![Bluria Image](image)                     | Combination between Magenta and Violet models, the four wheels are covered individually, the curved shape is achieved in front and back sides |
|    |        | Total length: 2653 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |
| 5  | Aqua   | Frontal area: 1.26 m²         | ![Aqua Image](image)                       | The two front wheels are covered individual and the three sides are more curved |
|    |        | Total length: 2653 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |
| 6  | Vectra | Frontal area: 1.29 m²         | ![Vectra Image](image)                     | Combination between all previous models, the four wheels are a little bit covered individually and the four sides are more curved |
|    |        | Total length: 2653 mm         |                                            |                                                                         |

3. CFD Analysis

The studied parameters and model simplification are showed in Table 2. The computational fluid dynamics CFD analysis done by using two different softwares, Solidworks flow simulation and ANSYS fluent.
The analysis software need to simplify the model to accept the studied model and to reduce analysis time, so all vehicle body models are simplified which the model converted from surface model to solid model and filled all side gaps like wheels and ground gaps.

4. Mathematical Model

Drag coefficient

\[ C_D = \frac{D}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A} \]  

(1)

Lift coefficient

\[ C_L = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A} \]  

(2)

Power consumption

\[ P = D \times V_{\infty} \]  

(3)

5. Simulation Results

5.1. Solidworks Flow Simulation

All models are simulated in Solidworks flow simulation at \( V=30 \text{ m/s} \).

The power data for the six models in Table 3 shows that the most effective model in power consumption is the VECTRA model. Which mean that when the VECTRA model go on the road at \( V=30 \text{ m/s} \), the power consumption will be about 9 KW. The nearest vehicle models are AQUA and VIOLET del with 9.8 KW and 9.9 KW respectively. Table 3 also shows that the frontal area is not the main factor to determine the drag coefficient and power consumption, as we show the most effective model has a medium frontal area value compared with the other models and it has a minimum drag coefficient compared with the other vehicle body models. The following flow simulation results have been taken at \( V=30 \text{ m/s} \). Velocity streamlines for different bodies have different configurations according to the body shape and dimensions, as shown in Figure 2. Flow separation is clear at certain points especially at sharp edges. All models nearly have smooth stream lines at the frontal area but at the rear areas the back flows are appeared due to under pressures at these locations. From the stream lines distribution, VECTRA design has the most preferred distribution.

| Table 2. Study parameters. |
|----------------------------|
| **Unit system** | SI (m/kg/s) |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Pressure          | 101325 Pa   |
| Temperature       | 293.2 k     |
| Velocity range    | 0 - 30 m/s  |
| Flow type         | Laminar and turbulent |
| Analysis type     | External flow |
| Size of computational domain | 10m*5m*5m |

| Table 3. Vehicle models results – Solidworks. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Frontal area m²** | **Cd** | **Drag area m²** | **Power W** |
|----------------------|--------|------------------|-------------|
| BENDRA               | 1.54   | 0.605185         | 0.960344    | 15882.53   |
| MAGENTA              | 1.22   | 0.72812          | 0.920856    | 15229.68   |
| VIOLET               | 1.43   | 0.407735         | 0.599326    | 9911.64    |
| BLURIA               | 1.22   | 0.572499         | 0.70893     | 11724.72   |
| AQUA                 | 1.3    | 0.455167         | 0.594513    | 9832.38    |
| VECTRA               | 1.37   | 0.400757         | 0.549038    | 9079.44    |
Figure 2. Solidworks velocity stream lines around different bodies at V=30m/s.

Figure 3 shows 3D pressure contours around different bodies design and the recorded results show that the VECTRA design is chosen due to reasonable pressure distribution values.
Figure 3. Solidworks pressure contours around different bodies at V=30m/s.
Figure 4 shows 3D density contours around different bodies design and the results show that the VECTRA design is chosen due to reasonable density distribution values that matches with pressure distribution and velocity profiles.
Figure 4. Solidworks density Contours around different bodies at $V=30\text{m/s}$. 

Figure 5 shows velocity flow trajectories around different bodies design and the results show that the VECTRA design is chosen due to matching results between velocity stream lines profile and velocity flow trajectories.
Figure 5. Solidworks velocity flow trajectories around different bodies at V=30m/s.

Figure 6 shows the relation between the power consumption and the velocity from zero to 30 m/s. This plot presents the comparison between the six models of the urban electric vehicle of these parameters. It shows that the top
model of energy consumption is BENDRA model about 15 kW and the least energy-consuming model is VECTREA about 9 kW. The plot also shows that the consumed energy values are very close at low speeds up to 40 km/h, however, the difference then widens up to 120 km/h.

Figure 6. Power versus velocity of different bodies.

Figure 7. Drag force versus velocity of different bodies.

Figure 7 shows the relation between the drag force and the velocity from zero to 30 m/s. This plot presents the comparison between the six models of the urban electric vehicle of these parameters. Its shows that the top model of drag force is BENDRA model about 550 N and the least drag force model is VECTREA about 250 N. The plot also shows that the drag force values are very close at low speeds up to 40 km/h, however, the difference then widens up to 120 km/h.

5.2. Ansys Simulation

Table 4 shows that the final results of the six model which simulated in ANSYS fluent at V=30 m/s.

Table 4. Vehicle models results with ANSYS

| Model  | Frontal area m² | Cd     | Drag area m² | Power W  |
|--------|-----------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| BENDRA | 1.54            | 0.70197| 1.081034     | 17877.61 |
| MAGENTA| 1.22            | 0.811165| 0.989622     | 16365.87 |
| VIOLET | 1.43            | 0.414178| 0.592275     | 9794.751 |
| BLURIA | 1.22            | 0.646264| 0.788442     | 13038.86 |
| AQUA   | 1.3             | 0.465038| 0.604549     | 9997.736 |
| VECTRA | 1.37            | 0.39712 | 0.544054     | 8997     |

The power data for the six models presented in Table 4 shows that the most effective model in power consumption is the VECTRA model. Which mean that when the VECTRA model go on the road at V=30 m/s, the power consumption will be about 9 KW. The nearest models are AQUA model with 10 KW and VIOLET model with 9.8 KW. The flow simulation has been done in ANSYS fluent for the six vehicle car models under specific parameters such as, velocity is 30 m/s and the density of air is 1.225 kg/m³. The turbulence model used in this study is “Viscous – Realizable K-ε, Scalable wall Fn”. This model recommended by many designers and researchers in the field of CFD which this model able to reach more accurate solution for the CFD field. Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the ANSYS fluent velocity streamlines and pressure contours of different models at velocity 30m/s.
**Figure 8.** ANSYS fluent Velocity streamlines around different bodies at $V=30m/s$
Magneta

Violet
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6. Experimental Analysis Using Wind Tunnel

An experimental verification is done to check the validity of the proposed theoretical design. The experimental analysis is done on 3D printed of the best effective model inside open wind tunnel. After the best effective vehicle body model has been chosen, this model has been 3D printed using 3D printer with scale 1:25 from the original model. The scaled model has been tested in open wind tunnels called “HM 170 open wind tunnel”.

HM 170 is an open wind tunnel used to demonstrate and measure the aerodynamic properties of 3D printed vehicle model. For this purpose, air is drawn in from the environment and accelerated. The air flows around a model in a measuring section. The air is then decelerated in a diffuser and pumped back.

The carefully designed nozzle contour and a flow straightener ensure a uniform velocity distribution with little turbulence in the closed measuring section. The flow cross-section of the measuring section is square. Air velocities up to 28m/s can be reached in this open wind tunnel. The tunnel is equipped with an electronic two-component force sensor. Lift and drag are detected and displayed digitally. Wind tunnel equipped with inclined tube manometers (HM 170.50), the electronic pressure measurement (HM 170.55) and data acquisition (HM 170.60) to measure the values of velocity, forces, moment, displacement/angle, and differential pressure. These recorded values are transferred to a PC where they can be analyzed.

Figure 10 and Figure 11 show one of the key advantages of 3D printing is the ability to produce very complex shapes or geometries, and a prerequisite for producing any 3D printed part is a digital 3D model or a CAD file.

So in this study VECTRA model has been chosen as a best
effective vehicle body model and 3D printed with scale of 0.04 from the original model. Figure 10 shows VECTRA model after printed and shows that there are no different between 3D printed model and the CAD model.

![Figure 10. VECTRA 3D printed model and CAD model.](image1)

The experimental procedures in the wind tunnel as follow; First step is to fix the 3D printed model in the open wind tunnel as shown in Figure 11, this step is important to reach the accurate values. The model must be in the opposite direction of the air flow and must be parallel to the ground plate.

![Figure 11. VECTRA 3D printed model setup](image2)

To make the model in front of the air flow, the fixation angle must be at zero degree, this angle guarantee that the model to be in opposite direction of the air flow.

To get accurate results from the open wind tunnel, the following data show in in Table 5 are used. There are two main changes from the CFD study parameters, the first one is the velocity range, in this type of the open wind tunnel the maximum velocity is 23 m/s. so the range of the velocity will be from zero to 23 m/s. The second one is the domain volume, in the open wind tunnel the domain volume is 0.3m*0.3m*0.3m.

![Table 5. Wind tunnel study parameters.](image3)

| Unit system             | SI (m/kg/s)   |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Pressure               | 101325 Pa     |
| Temperature            | 293.2 k       |
| Velocity range         | 0 - 23 m/s    |
| Flow type              | Laminar and turbulent |
| Analysis type          | External flow |
| Size of computational domain | 0.3m*0.3m*0.3m |

As shown in Figure 12 Vectra model has been fixed in the open wind tunnel and the results has been taken from the pc screen.

![Figure 12. VECTRA during wing tunnel test.](image4)

To make the model in front of the air flow, the fixation angle must be at zero degree, this angle guarantee that the model to be in opposite direction of the air flow.

To get accurate results from the open wind tunnel, the following data show in in Table 5 are used. There are two main changes from the CFD study parameters, the first one is the velocity range, in this type of the open wind tunnel the maximum velocity is 23 m/s. so the range of the velocity will be from zero to 23 m/s. The second one is the domain volume, in the open wind tunnel the domain volume is 0.3m*0.3m*0.3m.

![Table 6. VECTRA model analytical and experimental results.](image5)

|               | Wind tunnel | ANSYS     | Solidworks |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Frontal area m²| 0.0035      | 1.37      | 1.37       |
| Cd            | 0.434538    | 0.39712   | 0.400757   |
| Drag area m²  | 0.001521    | 0.544054  | 0.549038   |

Table 6 shows that the drag coefficient of the VECTRA model in the open wind tunnel is close to the results of ANSYS and Solidworks, and the difference between them is less than 10% between wind tunnel and ANSYS fluent results, and less than 9% between wind tunnel and Solidworks flow simulation results. These results and different between wind tunnel and software results are acceptable due to many errors of the experimental test such as, Manufacturing accuracy, setup errors and measurement and sensors errors.

![Figure 13. Experimental and numerical drag coefficients of Vectra model.](image6)
Figure 13 shows that the drag coefficient starts high at the beginning of moving at low velocities and decrease gradually with increase of velocity until the effect of velocity variation is very small on the drag coefficient. The experimental and CFD results are nearly in agreement. The increase of the drag coefficient in the beginning of moving due to the high resistance of air flow to the vehicle, then the vehicle overcome this resistance at high speeds.

8. Comparison Between VECTRA and Real Cars

As shown that the VECTRA car model is the best effective model on power consumption between the six vehicles body models. So the next step is to compare this model with the real car bodies on power consumption. Figure 14 shows the different real car bodies specifications.

As we show in Figure 14 that the VECTRA model is a competitor for the real car bodies and consumes less energy than many cars like BMW, Mercedes, and Tesla whether the cars using internal combustion engine or electric motors.

9. Conclusion

The aerodynamic performance of today’s vehicles has not yet reached its fully potential. With new technologies and innovations more and more aerodynamic features are implemented in the vehicle design.

In this study, different aerodynamic features have been emphasized. It’s found that the frontal area is not the important factor to decrease the drag coefficient, and also not factor to decrease the power consumption. But the main factor to decrease it is to decrease the drag area of the body. This lead to decrease the power consumption in different velocities. The models that have low frontal area, don't the best effective models in power consumption side, such as MAGENTA model, it has 1.2 m² frontal area but it consumes the highest energy compared to other models about 15 KW. In other side, VECTRA model has about 1.29 m² but it consumes less energy than other models about 9KW.

The performance of aerodynamic features is only valid for the specific vehicle model it have been developed for. Transferring the feature to another vehicle model will in most cases not give the same performance and must be further studied for full efficiency.
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