A Mixture of Piper Leaves Extracts and Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Plant Growth Promotion and Bio-Control of Blast Pathogen of Organic Bali Rice
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Abstract: Rice is a crop that is consumed as a staple food by the majority of the people in the world and therefore failure in rice crops, due to any reason, poses a severe threat of starvation. Rice blast, caused by a fungus Pyricularia oryzae, has been ranked among the most threatening plant diseases of rice and it is found wherever rice is grown. All of the rice blast disease management strategies employed so far have had limited success and rice blast has never been eliminated from rice fields. Hence, there is a need to look for the best remedy in terms of effectiveness, sustainability, and organic nature of the method. This study was aimed at determining the plant growth-promoting and fungicidal effects of a mixture of *Piper caninum* and *Piper betle* var. Nigra leaves extracts and rhizobacteria. Gas chromatography–mass spectrophotometry (GC-MS) analysis of a mixture of leaves extracts of these plants revealed the presence of new bioactive compounds such as alpha-gurjunene, gamma-terpinene, and ethyl 5-formyl 3-(2-ethoxycarbonyl) in a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra. The mixture of these extracts reduced the intensity of blast disease, inhibited *P. oryzae*, and improved the growth, yield, and quality of Bali rice. All treatments comprising of different concentrations of a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra plus rhizobacteria exhibited biocontrol and bioefficacy. However, a 2% concentration of a mixture of these leaves extracts with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) exhibited potent inhibition of growth of *P. oryzae*, a significant reduction in the intensity of blast disease, and a maximum increase in growth, yield, and quality of Bali rice. In the 15th week, the intensity of blast disease decreased from 80.18% to 7.90%. The mixture of leaves extract + PGPR also improved the
height of the plant, the number of tillers, number of leaves, number of grains per panicle, number of heads per panicle, and the full-grain weight per clump. Applications of various concentrations of a mixture of leaves extracts + PGPR resulted in improvement in the potential yield of rice, however, the application of 2% extracts + PGPR gave the highest potential yield of 5.61 t ha\(^{-1}\) compared to the low yields in the control and other treatments. The high grain yield observed with the treatment was caused by the low intensity of blast disease. This treatment also strengthened the stem and prevented the drooping of the plant and improved the quality of rice grain.
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1. Introduction

Rice is the principal food for the majority of the population in the world, and in Asia, it is consumed by 2.7 billion people. It is grown in almost every part of the world [1] and about 90% of the world’s rice comes from the Asian continent [2]. Rice farming is one of the principal sources of income and employment for the majority of households across the world [3,4]. It is an important cereal cultivated for food security and income by marginal farmers [5]. The productivity of such an important, most widely and commonly used crop is, however, affected by various biotic stresses. Rice blast caused by *Pyricularia oryzae* or *Magnaporthe oryzae* is among the major threats to rice productivity besides brown spot sheath blight, bacterial leaf blight, and sheath rot of rice. Rice blast has been regarded as one of the most damaging diseases of rice worldwide because of its high magnitude of destructiveness [2] and danger to global food security [6]. Rice blast epidemics in various parts of the world have resulted in about 50% to 90% and under extreme cases up to 100% losses in crop yield [3] compared to 20%–50% losses caused due to sheath blight, 50%–70% yield loss caused due to brown spot disease, 25% crop losses caused by bacterial leaf blight, and 20%–80% yield losses caused due to sheath rot disease [3]. Pre-harvest and post-harvest pest infestations of rice are yet another major yield-limiting factor that causes about 33% production loss [7]. Grain discoloration also accounts for the heavy yield losses of about 18.9% [2]. Although rice productivity has improved substantially, it is not sufficient to meet the present-day global demand [8], which is estimated to be 140 million tons, i.e., almost a 50% more requirement compared to the requirement in the year 2009 [9,10]. On the other hand, the challenges to produce high quality, nutritive, and organic rice at lower costs continue all while in the presence of unforgiving and unrelenting pathogens [11].

Rice blast is a fungal disease caused by *Pyricularia oryzae* or *Magnaporthe oryzae*. The causative agent exists in diverse pathogenic forms, each carrying different virulence genes, and which frequently mutates to form new pathogen races [12]. It attacks the leaf part causing symptoms of leaf blast; it also affects the neck of the rice and causes neck blast [3]. The attack begins with the formation of spores that fall on leaves and stems and germinate to produce blast symptoms. Spores from infected plants are blown away by the wind and seed through water splash. The rainy season or the presence of water splashes increases the spread of blast spores. Low temperature (22 to 28 °C) at night, high relative humidity (>95%), extended leaf wetness period (>10 h), cloudy and drizzling weather, and high nitrogen content are other predisposing factors [13]. The progression of the disease to the leaf and stem causes empty rice grains leading to a reduction in crop yield [14,15]. Healthy plant leaves account for more plant productivity as they carry out photosynthesis that provides the pool of nutrients required for the growth of plants. If leaf growth is affected, then plant productivity is also reduced [13,15]. Red Balinese rice plants have a higher average height compared with hybrid rice and are hence more vulnerable to drooping due to infestation on the stem part and will droop before the yellowing of rice. Thus, the effect on the quality of rice will reduce rice production [15].

Different approaches have been proposed to manage the blast disease. This includes the use of disease-resistant varieties, burning of blast affected straw and stubbles, use of disease-free seeds,
split application of nitrogen, prevention of stagnating water in the field, and use of systemic chemical fungicides [13]. Although the use of chemicals to control blast fungus gave appreciable results, however, it imposed numerous demerits such as the development of resistance in the pathogen, increased production cost, and hazardous to the environment, agro-ecosystem, and humans [16]. This warrants an urgent need to search a sustainable approach for improvement in rice crops along with eco-friendly management of rice blast disease. Among the various strategies applied to manage rice blast so far, a combination of leaves extracts of piper and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), such as Azotobacter, Rhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Sinorhizobium, Azorhizobium, Mesorhizobium, Allorhizobium, Azospirillum, Pseudomonas, Alcaligenes, Enterobacter, Stenotrophomonas, Acetobacter, Klebsiella, Xanthomonas, Erwinia, Caulobacter, Serratia, Arthrobacter, Micrococcus, Burkholderia, Flavobacterium, Chromobacterium, Agrobacterium, Hyphomicrobium, and Bacillus sp., etc., appears as a cost-effective, sustainable, and organic method [17]. It offers numerous advantages over chemical fungicides [18–22] as they are eco-friendly, biodegradable in nature, and safe to the environment, human health, and useful soil rhizobia [19].

Piper is a member of the Piperaceae, the largest angiosperm family having about 1050 species [23]. *Piper caninum* and *Piper betle* var. Nigra of this family are known as the best source of botanical pesticides [18]. *P. caninum* is a semi-woody dioecious creeping plant attached to parent plants, such as jackfruit, coconut, etc. Its stem is blackish-red while the leaves are blackish-green. It is distributed in various parts of the world, especially in Indonesia [24,25]. It grows in places with an altitude of 600 m above sea level [12,13,20]. Leaves of the plant are rich in flavonoids, alkaloids, phenols, and steroids. *Piper betle* var. Nigra is an endemic Indonesian plant that grows in bushes; it crawls and attaches to shady plants and survives on all trees [19]. It grows in places with an altitude of 500–700 m above sea level. The leaves contain volatile oil known as betle oil and a wide variety of bioactive compounds, such as alkaloids, terpenoids, steroids, flavonoids, polyphenols, tannins, saponins, hydroxychavicol, chavicol, piperbetol, chavibetol, piperol A, methylpiperbetol, and piperol [26–30]. The metabolites of piper plants serve as organic fertilizers that support plant nutrition and their antioxidant, insecticidal, and fungicidal properties help in plant disease management [20]. The secondary metabolites of piper inhibit the growth of plant pathogens by lysis of the cell wall, breaking of the peptide, glycosidic, etc. bonds, altering their metabolism through competition for nutrients and niches [21,22,31–35].

A combination of leaf extracts of *P. caninum* and compost biofertilizer have been reported to effectively suppress the rice blast fungus *P. oryzae* Cav. and promote the growth and yield of Bali rice [1,36,37]. *P. caninum* Blume leaf extracts have been reported to exhibit antifungal activity against rice blast pathogen [38]. A mixture of leaf extracts of *P. caninum* Blume and *P. betle* var. Nigra is known to inhibit the blast fungus [39]. Although the piper leaves extracts exhibit antioxidant and antifungal properties alone or in combination, there are no reports on the plant growth promotion and biocontrol of rice blast due to the application of a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *Piper betle* var. Nigra and PGPR. A combination of the mixture of these two extracts and PGPR may exert more pronounced effects than the effect of a single preparation [18,37,40]. Hence the present research was aimed to evaluate the effects of a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *Piper betle* var. Nigra and PGPR on plant growth promotion and yield improvement in rice and a decrease in disease intensity and an increase in the degree of inhibition of *P. oryzae*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Source and Extraction

*Piper caninum* and *Piper betle* var. Nigra leaves were collected from the village of Senganan, Tabanan Regency, Bali Indonesia (longitude 115.0, latitude 8.45, and altitude 249 m above sea level). For the extraction purpose, 1 kg leaves each of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra were collected, air-dried for 4 days, cut it into small pieces, and blended to form a powder. A 300 g powder of each plant’s leaves was separately dissolved in 3 L of 70% methanol and kept for 2 days at 8 °C. After 2 days,
each methanolic extract was filtered and methanol was evaporated within a rotary evaporator at 40 °C. A 100 g powder of crude extract of leaves of each plant was mixed in the equal ratio (1:1) to make a composite extract [18,19].

### 2.2. Source of Rice Seeds, *P. oryzae*, and Biofertilizers

Balinese rice seeds were obtained from the local market of Bali, Indonesia. The rice blast pathogen *P. oryzae* earlier identified based on the homology of 18S rRNA gene sequences [40] was obtained from the culture repository of the Biopesticide Laboratory of Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia [11]. Liquid organic fertilizer (Suria green) was procured from the local market in Bali, Indonesia. It contained a mixture of three PGPR strains, namely, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*.

### 2.3. Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrophotometry (GC-MS) Analysis

GC-MS analysis is a method of choice performed for the characterization or identification of active ingredients in piper [41]. Leaves extracts of these plants contain steroids, phytosterols, phenols, and saponins that exhibit antioxidant and antifungal activity [35]. The GC-MS analysis of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra. Nitrogen at 30 °C/min to 250 °C, and held at this temperature for 10 min. The mass spectra generated from this analysis were compared with the mass spectra of standard compounds available in the mass spectra library of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), USA [37]. The peaks of the spectra were initially identified based on their matching of relative retention time (RT) with respect to the standard sample.

### 2.4. Research Design

This research was carried out during the rainy season (October–April) 2019 in the rice fields of the village of Senganan, Penebel, Tabanan Bali, Indonesia with randomized block design (RBD), as shown in Figure 1, with five treatments and six replicates [37]. Each treatment was provided with a buffer distance. The experimental plots were kept at a distance of 2 feet from each other. There were 30 experimental units, and each unit consisted of six plants, i.e., a total of 180 plants [37]. Rice seeds were treated as follows: F0 = control; F1 = treatment with 0.5% leaves extract + PGPR; F2 = treatment with 1% extracts + PGPR; F3 = treatment with 1.5% leaves extracts + PGPR; F4 = treatment with 2% leaves extracts + PGPR.

| F0 | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 |
|----|----|----|----|----|
| F2 | F0 | F1 | F1 | F0 |
| F1 | F2 | F0 | F4 | F1 |
| F4 | F3 | F4 | F2 | F2 |

*Figure 1.* Experimental design to evaluate the effects of a mixture (1:1) of leaves extracts of *Piper caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra in combination with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) strains.

All the treatments (F1–F4) except control (F0) were sprayed with Suria liquid biofertilizer (at 1% for 5 L/ha). This liquid biofertilizer contained $6 \times 10^{-6}$ cells/mL of *E. cloacae*, *B. subtilis*, and *S. maltophilia* [19].
2.5. Seeding and Transplanting

Seeds of Bali rice were soaked in water overnight and then drained, and germinated on a tray before seeding in the soil. The seedlings were watered every day for 15 days. The rice field was mixed with compost (30 kg/ha). The soil was mixed with compost when ploughing, left for a week, and ploughed again and planted with red rice plants as per the treatments mentioned above. Healthy seedlings of homogeneous size were planted in the field as per the experimental design. The field was irrigated to maintain the water level in the field at 5 cm from the soil level [19].

2.6. Application of PGPR, P. oryzae (Pathogen), and Leaves Extracts of Piper

A 1% liquid biofertilizer of PGPR containing $6 \times 10^{-6}$ cells/mL of each PGPR strain, namely, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, was sprayed evenly on the surface of rice seedlings (at 5 L/ha). After 30 days of seedling growth, 20 mL of spore suspension ($25 \times 10^{-4}$ spores/mL) of rice blast pathogen *P. oryzae* was sprayed. The application of pathogen was repeated four times with an interval of one week. Spraying of the pathogen was followed by the application of a composite mixture (1:1) of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* leaves and *P. betle* var. Nigra and PGPR. The desired concentration of the composite extract was made by dissolving 100 g of the crude extract of *P. caninum* leaves and *P. betle* var. Nigra leaves (ratio 1:1) in 1.0 L of sterile water and from this stock solution a concentration of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2% were prepared and added with 1% (w/v) agister as adhesive and 5% (v/v) tween–80 [9]. The control plants were sprayed with sterile distilled water.

2.7. Measurement of Disease Intensity and Plant Growth and Yield Parameters

Rice plants from test and control plots were harvested after 8, 12, and 15 weeks. Measurements were taken of disease intensity, the inhibitory activity of treatments, and plant growth parameters, such as plant height, the number of leaves, and the number of tillers, and yield components like the number of productive tillers and the number of pithed rice per panicle. The weight of pithed rice per clump, percentage of empty grain, and yield achieved were measured by weighing the rice produced, obtaining the total production, and converting the values in t/ha$^{-1}$. The leaf spot disease intensity was determined using the following formula [37]:

$$\text{DI} = \frac{\text{No. of infected plants}}{\text{No. of plants observed}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The observations were recorded as: 0 = no attack; 1 = very mild attack (0%–10% damage to leaf surface); 2 = mild attack (10%–30% damage to leaf surface); 3 = moderate attack (30%–50% damage to leaf surface); 4 = severe attack (50%–75% damage to the leaf surface); and 5 = heavy attack (75%–100% damage to the leaf surface).

2.8. Data Analysis

All the experiments were replicated 6 times and an average of six replicates was subjected to the analysis of variance, and Duncan’s multiple range tests at a 5% level [18].

3. Results

3.1. Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) of Leaf Extracts

GC-MS analysis of leaf extracts of *P. caninum*, and *P. betle* var. Nigra, and a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra yielded nine peaks of bioactive compounds, as shown in Figure 2. The extract of *P. caninum* contained benzene, xylene, tetradecane, dodecanoic acid, heptadecane, hexadecanoic acid, octadeca methyl cyclononasiloxane, phthalic acid, and docosatrienoic acid, and 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid. The extract of leaves of *P. betle* var. Nigra revealed the presence of alpha.-pinene, benzene, dl-Limonene, copaene, benzaldehyde, acetamide, benzoic acid,
alpha-gurjunene, and tetrasiloxane, while the mixture of these two extracts showed the presence of alpha-pinene benzene, gamma-terpinene, copaene, benzenemethanol, acetamide, alpha-gurjunene, 5,8-epoxy-15-nor-labdane, and ethyl 5-formyl-3-(2-ethoxycarbonyl). The mixture contained three new compounds that were not found in the extract of either plant, as shown in Table 1. The new compounds that caused different reactions were gamma-terpinene, alpha-gurjunene, and ethyl 5-formyl-3(2-ethoxycarbonyl), as shown in Table 1.

Figure 2. GC-MS spectra of a mixture of leaves extracts of piper plants indicating the presence of nine bioactive compounds. The GC-MS analysis of leaf extracts was performed on GC equipped with a C18–200 column and detector. The peaks were identified based on their retention time (RT) and comparison of mass spectra with the standards of mass spectra from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) library.
Table 1. GC-MS analysis of leaves extract of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra and a mixture of leaves extracts of these two plants, indicating the number of peaks, retention time, area occupied by each peak in the chromatogram, and bioactive compounds present in each extract and a mixture of leaves extract of two plants.

| Peak No. | RT (min) of Peaks | Area (%) Occupied by Peaks | Bioactive Compounds Present in the Piper Leaves Extracts |
|----------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|          | Pc               | Pb                         | Mix.          | Pc                          | Pb                          | Mixture                                |
| 1        | 3300            | 3791                       | 3778         | 47,688                      | 5.67                       | 1.45                      | Benzene                                 |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Alpha- Pinene              | Alpha- Pinene                          |
| 2        | 3457            | 5115                       | 5110         | 40,974                      | 16.21                      | 10.07                     | Xylene                                 |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Benzene                   | Benzene                                |
| 3        | 1132            | 5185                       | 5187         | 111,881                     | 10.55                      | 12.04                     | Tetradecane                            |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | dl-Limonene               | Gamma-Terpinene                        |
| 4        | 12,909          | 10.339                     | 10.338       | 454,456                     | 8.65                       | 10.19                     | Dodecanoic acid                        |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Copaeae                   | Copaeae                                |
| 5        | 13,851          | 10.803                     | 10.802       | 24,038                      | 2.63                       | 2.99                      | Heptadecane                            |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Benzaldehyde              | Benzenemethanol                        |
| 6        | 17,620          | 10.942                     | 10.941       | 36,460                      | 5.78                       | 7.18                      | Hexadecanoic acid                      |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Acetamide                 | Acetamide                              |
| 7        | 17,963          | 11.485                     | 11.528       | 12,973                      | 14.41                      | 25.59                     | Octadecamethyl cyclononasiloxane       |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Benzoic acid              | Alpha-Gurjunene                        |
| 8        | 18,426          | 11.529                     | 24,572       | 34,013                      | 28.91                      | 9.27                      | Phthalic acid                          |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Alpha-gurjunene           | 5,8-Epoxy-15nor-labdane                |
| 9        | 19,136          | 24.975                     | 24.629       | 8641                        | 4.48                       | 2.38                      | 8,11,14-Docosatrienoic acid            |
|          |                  |                            |              |                             |                            | Tetrasiloxane             | Ethyl 5-formyl-3-(2-ethoxycarbonyl)    |
| 10       | 22,935          | –                          | –            | 82,531                      | –                          | 1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid          | –                                      |

Pc = leaves extract of *P. caninum*, Pb = leaves extract of *P. betle* var. Nigra, Mix = 1:1 mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra; leaves extracts of *P. caninum*, Pb = leaves extract of *P. betle* var. Nigra were chromatogrammed on GC-MS separately and in a mixture; a 1 µL of leaf extract of each plant and mixture of both were individually injected into the C18 column of GC-MS and allowed to run with nitrogen (carrier gas) at 1 mL/min at 250 °C for 10 min. The compound present in each sample was identified based on their relative RT and comparison with mass spectra of standards available in the mass spectra library of NIST.
3.2. The Intensity of Blast Disease

Significant differences in the intensities of blast disease were observed in different treatment groups after 8, 12, and 15 weeks of applications of different preparations. Control plants that did not receive a mixture of leaf extracts and PGPR showed higher disease intensities (69.98%) and no inhibition of growth of pathogen treatments. The F4 treatment, i.e., application of 2% of composite leaves extract of two piper plants under study and PGPR significantly reduced disease intensity to 9.19% from 69.98% of the control and showed maximum inhibition of fungal growth (86.87%) compared to the control after 8 weeks, as shown in Table 2. The disease intensity further decreased from 76.43% to 8.98% and 80.18% to 7.99%, respectively, after 12 and 15 weeks of application of F4 treatment. The disease intensity and inhibitory activity of leaves extracts + PGPR were found to increase with the increasing incubation period, 88.25%, and 90.15% inhibition of fungal growth was evident on the 12th and 15th weeks, respectively. Minimum disease intensity, 8.98% and 7.90%, was evident on 12th and 15th weeks, respectively, and was after 12 and 15 weeks of application of F4 treatment, as shown in Table 2. Thus, the F4 treatment came out as an effective treatment in checking the disease intensity and inhibiting the fungal growth throughout the incubation period.

Table 2. The effect of leaves of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra and mixture of leaves extracts of these two plants and PGPR on percent reduction in the intensity of rice blast disease and inhibition of *Pyricularia oryzae* after 8, 12, and 15 weeks of applications of these preparations.

| Treatment               | % Reduction in the Intensity of Rice Blast Disease after | % Inhibitory Activity of Various Preparation against *P. oryzae* after |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         | 8 Weeks       | 12 Weeks      | 15 Weeks      | 8 Weeks       | 12 Weeks       | 15 Weeks      |
| F0 (Control)            | 69.98 a*      | 76.43 a*      | 80.18 a*      | 0.0           | 0.0            | 0.0           |
| F1 (0.5% extract + PGPR)| 53.88 b       | 40.12 b       | 37.29 b       | 23.01         | 47.51          | 53.49         |
| F2 (1% extract + PGPR)  | 34.29 c       | 23.14 c       | 21.20 c       | 51.00         | 69.72          | 73.60         |
| F3 (1.5% extract + PGPR)| 19.37 d       | 15.01 d       | 13.09 d       | 72.32         | 80.36          | 83.67         |
| F4 (2.0% extract + PGPR)| 9.19 e        | 8.98 e        | 7.90 e        | 86.87         | 88.25          | 90.15         |

F0 (Control) = no application of leaves extracts + PGPR; Extract = leaves of *P. caninum*, and *P. betle* var. Nigra mixed in equal ratio (1:1); values are the average of six replicates; * values followed by the same letters at the same column show no significant difference based on Duncan’s multiple range test at the P = 5%; Nd = not detected. a = not significant difference compared to the control, b = significant difference compared to the control, c = significant difference with the control and with F1, d = significant difference compared to the control, F1 and F2, e = significant difference with the control, F1, F2 and F3.

3.3. Plant Growth and Yield Parameters

3.3.1. Number of Tillers

Application of a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra and PGPR positively affected the growth and the number of tillers in Balinese rice. The number of tillers increased in all treatments compared to the control. The highest numbers of tillers (13.72) were recorded in the F4 treatment, i.e., 2% mixture of leaves extract + PGPR on week 4 compared to 8.56 tillers in the control. In the 8th and 12th week, this treatment showed further increase in the number of tillers to 13.85 and 14.11 compared to 8.99 and 9.09 of the control treatment, respectively; this treatment resulted in 4.12 more tillers compared to the control, as shown in Table 3. Applications of 0.5%, 1.0%, and 1.5% mixture of leaves extract + PGPR resulted in a less significant increase in the number of tillers on the 4th, 8th, and 12th week. The comparison of increase in the number of tillers between the control and treatment after 12 weeks was 34.48%, 48.93%, 45.83%, and 45.83% between F1 to F4, as shown in Table 3.
Table 3. The effect of inoculation of leaves extracts of *P. caninum*, and *P. betle* var. Nigra (1:1 ratio) and PGPR on plant growth and yield parameters, such as the average number of tillers, leaves, and stem height of Bali rice. Plant growth and yield parameters were measured after 4, 8, and 12 weeks of applications of these preparations.

| Treatments                      | Number of Tillers     | Number of Leaves    | Stem Height (cm) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                                | 4 Weeks  | 8 Weeks  | 12 Weeks | 4 Weeks | 8 Weeks | 12 Weeks | 4 Weeks | 8 Weeks | 12 Weeks |
| **F0 (Control)**               |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|                                | 8.56     | 8.99     | 9.09     | 29.09    | 44.90    | 41.39    | 28.35    | 118.11   | 178.97   |
| **F1 (0.5% Extract + PGPR)**   | 10.12    | 12.15    | 12.09    | 29.50    | 46.77    | 39.45    | 38.92    | 121.29   | 175.98   |
| **F2 (1% Extract + PGPR)**     | 10.23    | 12.59    | 12.49    | 33.23    | 49.86    | 43.22    | 39.02    | 122.50   | 175.67   |
| **F3 (1.5% Extract + PGPR)**   | 10.51    | 13.01    | 13.00    | 38.33    | 69.80    | 62.76    | 40.59    | 122.45   | 174.87   |
| **F4 (2.0% Extract + PGPR)**   | 13.72    | 13.85    | 14.11    | 42.98    | 71.51    | 65.91    | 40.61    | 121.98   | 172.99   |

F0 (Control) = no application of leaves extract + PGPR; Extract = leaf extract of *P. caninum*, and *P. betle* var. Nigra mixed in equal ratio (1:1); values are the average of six replicates; * values followed by the same letters at the same column show no significant difference based on Duncan’s multiple range test at the P = 5%. a = not significant difference compared to the control, b = significant difference compared to the control, c = significant difference with the control and with F1, d = significant difference compared to the control, F1 and F2, e = significant difference compared with the control, F1, F2 and F3.
3.3.2. Number of Leaves

All the treatments produced more leaves compared to the control. An increase in the number of leaves was evident in the fourth and eighth weeks of application of a mixture of leaves extracts + PGPR. On the 12th week, the numbers of leaves were less compared to the 4th and 8th weeks. More numbers of leaves in treated plants compared to the untreated (control) plants indicated the plant growth-promoting potential of a mixture of leaves extracts + PGPR. The numbers of leaves were found to increase with an increase in the concentration of a mixture of leaves extract. The highest numbers of leaves were observed in 2% extract + PGPR treatment compared to the control. The average number of leaves increased from week 4 to week 8 and then decreased on the 12th week. The highest number of leaves (71.51) was observed in the 2% leaves extract treatment group compared to 44.90 leaves in the control on the 8th week and 42.98, 42.98, and 65.91 after the 4th and 12th weeks. The percentage comparison of the number of leaves between the control and treatment, F1, F2, F3, and F4 treatment after 12 weeks of growth was 0.15%, 4.42%, 51.63%, and 59.24%, respectively.

3.3.3. Plant Height

Red Balinese rice plants have a higher average height compared to hybrid rice and hence it is more sensitive to drooping once the grain becomes heavy and the plant may droop before the yellowing of rice. This affects the quality and hence the productivity of rice. The height of the stem was found to increase with an increase in the concentration of the mixture of leaves and growth of the crop from the 4th to 12th week. All the concentrations, except 2% leaf extracts, promoted the height of the stem compared to the control up to 8 weeks. After 12 weeks of applications of 2% mixture of extract + PGPR (F4 treatment), a short stem (172.99 cm) of rice plant was evident over the long stem (178.97 cm) obtained in the control, 0.5% extract + PGPR treatment (175.98 cm), 1.0% extract + PGPR treatment (175.67 cm), and 1.5% extract + PGPR treatment (174.87 cm), as shown in Table 3. The percentage comparison between control and F1, F2, F3, and F4 treatments after 12 weeks was 1.70%, 1.88%, 2.35%, and 3.46%, respectively.

3.3.4. Yield

The rice plants in the treated group, as shown in Figure 3a, showed a strong stalk and a bigger grain size compared to the control plant, as shown in Figure 3b. The strong stalk improved the stem strength and prevented the falling down of the plant until its full growth. The control treatment produced a tall stem with a weak stalk that fell at the age of 4 months. The highest numbers of productive tillers, i.e., 13, was observed in both F3 (1.5% extract + PGPR) and F4 treatments (2.0% extract + PGPR), compared to 8.7 tillers obtained in the control plants, as shown in Table 4. The number of productive tillers was found to increase with an increase in the concentration of leaves extract + PGPR; however, 2% of leaf extract + PGPR yielded more tillers. The application of leaf extract + PGPR also yielded more numbers of grains per panicle. Plants treated with 0.5% and 1.0% leaf extracts + PGPR, i.e., F1 and F2 treatments, produced 16.01 and 19.34 more grains per panicle, respectively, compared to the control plants. Meanwhile, the application of 1.5% leaves extract + PGPR (F3) and 2% leaf extract + PGPR (F4) treatments yielded the highest numbers of grains per panicle compared to the control and other treatments. These treatments yielded 23.10 and 23.13 more numbers of grains per panicle compared to the control. The highest full grain weight per clump (81.20 g) was observed in the F4 treatment (2% extract + PGPR) compared to the lowest full grain weight (41.56 g) obtained in the control, F1 (53.98 g), F2 (61.76 g), and F3 treatments (75.98 g). Thus, the higher concentration of leaves extracts of piper with PGPR resulted in full-grain weight per clump. Application of 1.5% (F3 treatment) and 2.0% extract + PGPR (F4 treatment) helped in reducing the percentage of empty grains per clump from 12.03% (control) to 2.45% and 2.41%, respectively, compared to more numbers of empty grains per clump in the control and other treatments. Thus, the application of higher concentration (1.5% and
2%) of a mixture of leaves extract of two piper plants and PGPR gave a minimum number of empty grains per clump, as shown in Table 4, and also increased the yield components.
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**Figure 3.** (a) Inoculation of a 1:1 mixture of leaves extracts of piper and PGPR produced strong stems and plants’ stalks remained strong and did not fall even after 4 months; (b) the stem of control plants (no application of a mixture of leaves extracts of piper and PGPR) remained weak and fell before maturation, i.e., 4 months.

Increase in Yield and Quality of Rice

All the treatments resulted in more yield compared to the control, however, the F4 treatment (2% extract + PGPR) resulted in the highest potential yield among the other treatments, as it produced 5.61 t/ha of rice compared to 3.23 t/ha, 3.81 t/ha, 4.2 t/ha, and 5.49 t/ha obtained from the control, F1, F2, and F3 treatments, respectively. The potential yield of rice was found to increase with an increase in the concentration of leaves extracts. Application of higher (2%) concentration of leaves extracts + PGPR produced 70% more rice yield compared to the untreated plant (control).

Application of a mixture of leaves extracts of piper and PGPR also promoted the quality of rice grains in terms of the size. The plants treated with leaf extracts + PGPR produced bigger grains with more width and more diameters compared to the control. The increase in the concentration of leaves extracts + PGPR increased the grain size. Application of higher (2%) concentration of leaves extract + PGPR produced the grain of maximum length (0.79 mm), maximum width (0.31 mm), and larger diameter (0.43 mm) compared to the control (0.51 × 0.20 × 0.30 mm), as shown in Table 4. Applications of 0.5%, 1.0%, and 1.5% leaves extract + PGPR resulted in a grain size of 0.59 × 0.23 × 0.35 mm, 0.61 × 0.25 × 0.35 mm, and 0.68 × 0.29 × 0.38 mm size, as shown in Table 4. Thus, the application of 2% leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra (1:1) and PGPR not only increased the yield and yield parameters of rice but also improved the quality of the rice grain. Rice grains with improved quality attract the consumers and may give more profit to the growers.
Table 4. The effect of inoculation of various contractions of a mixture of leaves extracts of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* var. Nigra (1:1 ratio) and PGPR on yield components, such as number of productive tillers, number of grains per panicle, full-grain weight per clump reduction in the number of empty grains per clump, yield of rice, and quality parameters such as length, width, and diameter of rice grain. Plants were harvested after 4 months, dried for 3 days, and subjected to the measurements of yield parameters, yield, and quality of treated rice compared to the control rice plants.

| Treatment                          | No. of Productive Tillers | No. of Grain/Panicle | Full-Grain Weight/Clump (g) | Empty Grain/Clump (%) | Potential Yield (t/ha) | % Yield Increase vis-a-vis Control | Rice Quality (mm) | Length | Wide | Diameter |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------|----------|
| F0 (Control)                      | 8.7 a*                    | 219.09 a*            | 41.56 a*                    | 12.03 a*              | 3.23 a*                | -                                 | 0.51 a*            | 0.20 a*| 0.30 a*|
| F1 (0.5% extract + PGPR)          | 11.04 b                   | 235.10 b             | 53.98 b                     | 9.01 b                | 3.81 a                 | 17.97                             | 0.59 b            | 0.23 b| 0.35 b|
| F2 (1% extract + PGPR)            | 12.87 c                   | 238.43 c             | 61.76 c                     | 6.91 c                | 4.24 b                 | 31.27                             | 0.61 b            | 0.25 b| 0.35 b|
| F3 (1.5% extract + PGPR)          | 13.00 c                   | 242.19 d             | 75.98 d                     | 2.45 d                | 5.49 c                 | 69.97                             | 0.68 c            | 0.29 c| 0.38 c|
| F4 (2.0% extract + PGPR)          | 13.00 c                   | 242.22 d             | 81.20 e                     | 2.41 d                | 5.61 c                 | 70                                | 0.79 d            | 0.31 c| 0.43 d|

F0 (Control) = no application of leaf extract + PGPR; Extract = leaves extract of *P. caninum*, and *P. betle* var. Nigra mixed in equal ratio (1:1); values are the average of six replicates; * values followed by the same letters at the same column show no significant difference based on Duncan’s multiple range test at the P = 5%. a = not significant difference compared to the control, b = significant difference compared to the control, c = significant difference with the control and with F1, d = significant difference with the control, F1 and F2, e = significant difference compared to the control, F1, F2 and F3.
A mixture of leaves extracts of Mansoa alliacea L. and Allamanda cathartica L. has been reported to exhibit greater inhibitory effects than a single extract against the peanut sprout fungus Athelia rolfsii [8]. New compounds, i.e., hexadecanoic acid, formed after mixing leaf extracts of P. caninum and is known for its antifungal activity [39,53]. Formulation of a mixture of biopesticide preparation of Beauveria bassiana and Azadirachta indica has been found as a more potent biocontrol agent than a single preparation [38]. A decrease in the attack of blast disease results in the healthy growth of rice plants. Inhibition of growth of P. oryzae, as well as growth promotion in rice, is due to antifungal phytochemicals that can suppress blast disease and the presence of hormones that stimulate plant growth [38,54]. The mixture of P. caninum and P. betle extracts contains phytochemicals that can inhibit Pyricularia oryzae by damaging its cell wall, causing the cell fluid to leak out, and resulting in the damage and cell lysis.

P. caninum leaf extract is known to suppress blast disease and increase the number of tillers of certain rice hybrids [55]. The inhibition of the fungal pathogen may also be due to the antagonistic activity of B. subtilis present in liquid biofertilizer. Members of the Bacillus sp. exert biocontrol activity against a wide range of plant pathogens [9,38]. Chen et al. [20] reported effective control of Bacillus subtilis 5, B. cereus 3S5, and other PGPR against rice blast in rice cultivar UPLRi5. They found 31% more inhibition of intensity of M. oryzae infestation compared to the control. Kumar [56,57] reported the efficacy of B. subtilis MBI 600 in the effective management of sheath blight of rice. E. cloacae has been reported to produce various antifungal metabolites, such as antibiotics [36,58], hydrogen cyanide [59–62], siderophore [63–65], and many hydrolytic enzymes [66–69]. Sayyed et al. [70] reported plant growth-promoting effects of exopolysaccharide producing Enterobacter sp. RZS5. Suprapta et al. [71] reported the potential of various species of Enterobacter agglomerans and other rhizobacteria in reducing the rice blast intensity. They claimed more than 50% reduction in the intensity of rice blast in plants treated with Enterobacter agglomerans and other rhizobacteria. They further claimed E. agglomerans Gg14D as one of the promising biocontrol agents (BCAs) to manage rice blast disease.

Significant increase in the number of tillers following the application of a mixture of leaves extracts of P. caninum and P. betle var. Nigra is due to the reason that this mixture can eliminate P. oryzae that causes rice blast disease and make the rice crop healthy [71]. Reduction in the numbers of empty grains is because of the inhibition of blast pathogen following the applications of a mixture of leaves extract
of piper plant. The high grain yield obtained with the treatments was caused by the low intensity of blast disease, which causes empty grains [40].

One of the problems in rice agriculture is fungal diseases, such as the blast disease caused by *P. oryzae*, which results in up to 90% loss in rice yield [42]. Rice blast caused by *P. oryzae* results in empty grains and the severity of the disease leads to a higher percentage of empty grains. A decrease in the number of empty grains following the application of a mixture of the two extracts is due to the inhibition of the growth of pathogen that otherwise produces more empty grains [1,11,14].

A decrease in the number of leaves at 2% concentration of leaf extracts may be due to the toxic effects of this high concentration of the preparation that caused endurance of the plant and increased the intensity of blast disease [1]. Suriani et al. [38] observed that the use of the leaves extract of *P. caninum* above optimal concentration exhibits toxic effects on both the growth and crop yield and they correlated this effect with the toxic nature of many active components present in the extract. A decrease in the number of leaves may also result from the aging that causes shading of leaves.

The grain yield (t/ha) mostly depends on the intensity of blast disease. The higher the intensity of the disease, the more will be the formation of empty grains that fail the harvest. Since rice quality depends considerably on grain size [38], the application of a mixture of *P. caninum* and *P. betle* can be an effective method to improve the quality of Bali rice. In earlier studies [38], the application of a single extract of *P. caninum* was found to decrease blast disease intensity (41.22%–8.10%) and improved grain yield (3.95–5.0 t/ha), whereas the application of *P. betle* var. Nigra leaves extract resulted in a decrease in disease intensity (42.40%–9.65%) and more improvement in grain yield (3.81–4.90 t/ha) [71].

An increase in the yield of rice due to various treatments is likely due to the addition of liquid biofertilizer that contained different strains of PGPR, such as *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. This microbial consortium stimulates plant growth, as well as exhibits biocontrol activity, protecting plants from disease, and thus improving crop yield [55]. Kumar [56,57] reported the increase in rice yield following the inoculation of Integral®, a commercial biofertilizer preparation that contained *B. subtilis* MBI 600. Pooja and Katoch [58] claimed the effective management of blast disease. Sagar et al. [60] reported stimulation of seed germination and an improvement in growth parameters of rice var. Sahbhagi due to the inoculation of *Enterobacter cloacae*. Sagar et al. [61,62] found ACC deaminase positive *Enterobacter* sp. PR14 promotes the growth of rice. Multifarious PGPR has been reported to promote plant growth and inhibit fungal phytopathogens [63–71]. Spence et al. [72] reported biocontrol activity of rhizospheric bacteria against the foliar rice fungal pathogen, *M. oryzae* pathovar 70–15. This BCA exhibited antibiosis through the production of hydrogen cyanide and the induction of systemic resistance in rice. Suriani et al. [38,73] observed effective suppression (57.17%) of blast disease and improvement in crop yield of rice following the application of a mixture of 2% leaves extract of *P. caninum* Bl. leaves extract and 40% compost.

### 5. Conclusions

Plant extracts are one of the eco-friendly approaches for an organic (chemical-free) management of plant diseases. They also serve as the best source for plant nutrition for plants and hence support organic agriculture. Although each botanical fungicide possesses plant growth-promoting potential and antifungal activities, a combination of two extracts may increase their antifungal potential by many folds. The mixing of extracts of two plants results in the formation of newer compounds that otherwise are absent in a single extract. These new compounds promote more plant growth and more antifungal activity. The significant reduction of blast disease due to the application of a mixture of piper leaves extracts and PGPR improved the growth and yield parameters. The addition of PGPR in these extracts increases their bioefficacy in many folds. However, more and continuous field studies under different cropping seasons and in different agro-climatic zones are needed to establish the bioefficacy and biocontrol potential of a combination of piper leaves extracts and PGPR.
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