Highly sensitive measurement of a MHz RF electric field with a Rydberg atom sensor
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Rydberg atoms have great potential in electric field measurement and have an advantage with a large frequency bandwidth from the kHz to the THz scale. However, the sensitivity for measuring a weak MHz electric field signal is limited by the spectroscopic resolution, because the weak electric field induces only a small perturbation of the population and energy level shift of the Rydberg atoms. Here, we report highly sensitive measurement of a weak MHz electric field using electromagnetically induced transparency with Rydberg atoms in a thermal atomic system. Using the heterodyne method on a 30-MHz electric field, we successfully measure the minimum electric field strength to be 37.3 μV/cm with a sensitivity up to −65 dBm/Hz and a linear dynamic range over 65 dB. Additionally, we measure an amplitude-modulated signal and demodulate the signal with a fidelity over 98%. This work extends the sensitivity of atomic sensors for measuring MHz electric fields, which advances atomic electric field-sensing technology.

Rydberg atoms have been widely used for electric field measurement owing to their large electric dipole moments and polarizabilities [1]. Their various energy levels, covering ranges from the kHz to the THz scale, make it easier to expand their operating bands than those of conventional antennas without changing the sensing device, so Rydberg atoms shows great potential for measuring electric fields. Initially, Rydberg atoms were detected with ionization pulses, but those were destructive [2]. Then, the use of electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) with Rydberg atoms [3, 4] was proposed as a direct, non-destructive method to probe Rydberg energy levels. Using the Rydberg-EIT method, researchers have studied the spectra of Rydberg atoms modulated by radio frequency (RF) electric fields and obtained the strengths of the applied RF electric fields [5, 6]. Electric field measurement techniques based on Rydberg atoms have progressed from weak to strong fields and successfully measured field strengths from the nV/m [6–8] to the kV/m scale [9, 10]. Furthermore, an electric field with a large frequency bandwidth can be measured from below 1 kHz [11] to tens of GHz [12–14]. All these efforts are aimed at establishing an electric field measurement with an atomic standard.

Researchers are also applying Rydberg atomic measurements of electric fields to such areas as RF polarization [15], subwavelength imaging [16, 17], and digital communications [18–20]. This is especially significant for technologies involving ~MHz electric fields such as shortwave international and regional broadcasting and aviation air-to-ground communications, because of the long wavelengths and long propagation distances of these fields. However, the Chu limit of conventional antennas [21] restricts the channel capacity when the antenna is much smaller than the wavelength of the electromagnetic wave. In contrast, a sensor based on Rydberg atoms is not limited by size, the vapor cell used is generally a few cm long, and the data capacity of the sensor far exceeds that of a conventional antenna of the same size [22]. Therefore, it makes sense to develop a technique that measures a MHz electric field with high sensitivity using Rydberg atoms.

Although electric fields can be measured over a wide range of frequencies based on Rydberg atoms, it is rare to measure a weak MHz signal. It is difficult to measure the shift of an EIT spectrum because the weak field causes very small perturbations to the energy level, making the EIT spectrum change very little. Some groups have studied related problems [9, 23, 24], but they were more focused on strong fields. In a strong field, the Rydberg atomic energy levels have a large Stark shift, which leads to mixing of many different energy levels. Floquet theory is used to calculate the Rydberg-EIT spectrum of a strong field. Information on the applied electric field can be extracted by comparing the calculated Rydberg-EIT spectrum of the field with the experimentally measured spectrum. Researchers have used this method to measure strong fields with amplitudes greater than 5 kV/m [10], but it is difficult to measure a weak electric field with the method because the minimum measurable field strength is only 0.1 V/m.

In this study, we focus on the highly sensitive measurement of a MHz RF electric field using a hot vapor cell. The outside electric field can be coupled directly to the atoms without the need for additional devices. As the MHz electric field does not couple any transitions between two Rydberg levels in our experiment, we use the ac Stark shift to measure the MHz electric field. And we
Figure 1. (a) Ladder-type four-level atomic energy diagram consisting of a ground state $|1\rangle$, two low-lying excited states $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$, and a Rydberg state $|4\rangle$. An 852-nm probe light drives the transition $|1\rangle = |6S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle = |6P_{3/2}, F = 5\rangle$, a 1470-nm dressing light couples the transition $|2\rangle = |6P_{3/2}, F = 5\rangle \rightarrow |3\rangle = |7S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle$, and a 780-nm coupling light drives the transition $|3\rangle = |7S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |4\rangle = |5P_{3/2}\rangle$ of cesium atoms. (b) Overview of the experimental setup. The probe light and the reference light propagate in parallel through a Cs vapor cell. The probe light (red) overlaps the counter-propagating coupling light (blue) and dressing light (green) to form an EIT configuration. The transmission difference between the probe and reference lights is detected by a differencing photodetector. Two electrode rods are placed parallel to each other on both sides of the vapor cell 4 cm apart. Labels: DM - dichroic mirror; DD - differencing photodetector.

use the heterodyne technique [6, 25] to amplify the system response to a weak signal electric field by applying a local electric field. We achieve measurement of a 30-MHz electric field with a sensitivity of $-65$ dBm/Hz and dynamic range of 65 dB. In addition, we demonstrate measurement of an amplitude-modulated (AM) 1-kHz signal electric field based on Rydberg atoms with a fidelity over 98%. Our work helps applications of Rydberg atomic sensors such as long-distance communication, over-the-horizon radar, and radio frequency identification (RFID).

Figure 1(a) is the energy level diagram of a cesium (Cs) atom, where $|1\rangle$ is the ground state, $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ are two low-lying excited states, and $|4\rangle$ is the Rydberg state of the Cs atom. The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 1(b). The probe light passes through a 7-cm vapor cell in parallel with the reference light, and the dressing light and the coupling light propagate backwards from the probe light. The probe light is focused into a cell ($1/e^2$-waist radius of approximately 200 $\mu$m) and couples the ground state $|1\rangle = |6S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle$ to the intermediate state $|2\rangle = |6P_{3/2}, F = 5\rangle$ with Rabi frequency $\Omega_p$. The dressing light is focused into the cell ($1/e^2$-waist radius of approximately 500 $\mu$m) and couples the two intermediate states $|2\rangle = |6P_{3/2}, F = 5\rangle$ and $|3\rangle = |7S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle$ with Rabi frequency $\Omega_d$. The coupling light ($1/e^2$-waist radius of approximately 500 $\mu$m) drives the transition from $|3\rangle = |7S_{1/2}, F = 4\rangle$ to the Rydberg state $|4\rangle = |5P_{3/2}\rangle$ with detuning $\Delta_c$ and Rabi frequency $\Omega_c \sim 2\pi \times 4$ MHz. A four-energy-level structure is used here to avoid the use of lasers below 580 nm, thus avoiding the ionization shielding effect of Cs atoms in the atomic vapor cell due to photoelectric ionization [26–28]. In the three-photon EIT scheme, lasers are simple commercial lasers which can be acquired easily and we do not require complex Frequency-doubling lasers, which are very expensive. We use two RF signal sources to generate the RF wave, one as the local oscillator (LO) and the other as the signal, where the LO has a small detuning from the signal. The signal and LO electric field pass through a transmission line through an RF power splitter to the electrode rods. The transmission of the probe and reference lights is detected by a balanced photodetector.

First, we experimentally studied the three-photon EIT in the Cs vapor cell involving the Rydberg state [29]. We fixed the frequencies of the probe light and the dressing light such that each resonated with the corresponding transitions. Figure 2(a) shows the EIT spectrum of the Cs atoms obtained by scanning the detuning $\Delta_c$ of the coupling light.

When $\Delta_c = 0$, the spectrum exhibits a narrow transmission window, which is a typical EIT feature. To model our system, we consider the four-level system in Fig. 1(a). The detunings of the probe light, dressing light, and coupling light are denoted as $\Delta_p$, $\Delta_d$, and $\Delta_c$, respectively; and $\Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_2$, and $\gamma$ are the corresponding decay rates of the atomic states $|2\rangle$, $|3\rangle$, and $|4\rangle$, respectively. Using the rotating wave approximation, the Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction picture can be written as

\[
H_I = \hbar \Delta_p \sigma_{22} + \hbar (\Delta_p + \Delta_d) \sigma_{33} + \hbar (\Delta_p + \Delta_d + \Delta_c) \sigma_{44} - \hbar/2 (\Omega_p \sigma_{12} + \Omega_d \sigma_{23} + \Omega_c \sigma_{34} + \text{H.C.})
\]

where $\sigma_{ij} = |i\rangle \langle j|$ (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the atomic transition operators. Considering spontaneous radiation, the system satisfies the Lindblad equation
The electric fields. The transmission in the pictures is normalized. One signal source was turned on, and its frequency was
17 MHz. The EIT spectrum is modulated with $\Delta \omega = 50$ kHz by the beat signals of the two electric fields. The transmission in the pictures is normalized.

\[
\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho] + \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{21}) + \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{32}) + \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{43}) \tag{2}
\]

where $\rho$ is the density matrix of system, and $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_{ij}) = \Gamma_{ij}/2 (2\sigma_{ji} \rho \sigma_{ij} - \sigma_{ij} \rho - \rho \sigma_{ij})$ is the Lindblad operator with $\Gamma_{21} = \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_{32} = \Gamma_2$, and $\Gamma_{43} = \gamma$. Considering the Doppler effect due to the thermal motion of the atoms, we correct the detunings to $\Delta_d = \Delta_p - k_p v$, $\Delta_d = \Delta_d + k_d v$, and $\Delta_p = \Delta(1 + k_v)$, where $k_p$, $k_d$, and $k_v$ are the corresponding wave vectors, and $v$ denotes the atom velocity. The steady-state solution of the system density matrix can be obtained by solving Eq. 2. The susceptibility

\[
\chi_{21} = - \int \frac{2N(v) |d_{21}|^2}{\hbar e_0 \Omega_p} \rho_{21}(v) \, dv \tag{3}
\]

is further obtained, where $N(v) = \frac{N}{u v_c^2} \exp(-v^2/u^2)$, $u = (2k_B T/M)^{-1/2}$ is the most probable speed, $N_0$ is the atomic density, $d_{21}$ is the transition dipole matrix element between $|2\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature of the cell, and $M$ is the mass of a Cs atom. The absorption coefficient can be calculated using the imaginary part of the susceptibility, $\alpha = k_p \Im(\chi_{21})$. The transmission is calculated as $\exp(-\alpha l)$ and plotted as a function of coupling detuning $\Delta_x$ in Fig. 2(a), where $l$ is the length of the cell. The probe light transmission obtained by solving the master equation (red) agrees well with the experiment (gray).

We then investigated the effect of an RF electric field on the atomic EIT spectrum. In the experiment, only one signal source was turned on, and its frequency was set to 30 MHz with an output power of 8 dBm. The RF electric field signal was applied to the electrode rods along the transmission line. Turning on the RF electric field caused the entire EIT spectrum to shift to the left, and the spectrum is shifted by about 17 MHz, as shown in Fig. 2(b). This can be explained by the ac Stark shift [1, 30] $\delta = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha E^2$, where $\alpha$ is the polarizability and $E$ the amplitude of the electric field. The Rydberg state is more sensitive to the external electric field owing to the higher polarizability, which induces a large energy shift. The ground and intermediate states produce a small energy shift because of the lower polarizability, so we can ignore it. When considering the perturbation of the Rydberg state by the external electric field, the detuning of coupling light in Eq. 1 is updated to $\Delta_c = \Delta_c + \delta$. The EIT spectrum obviously shifts to the left as $\delta < 0$. Using the ac Stark effect, we can calibrate the magnitude of the electric field inside the vapor cell. With the Alkali Rydberg Calculator (ARC) package [31], we can calculate the polarizability of the Rydberg atoms to be $2500.68$ MHz cm$^2$/V$^2$, and we can obtain the electric field as $167$ nV/cm at a stark shift of 17 MHz. The electric field felt by the atoms is significantly smaller compared to the measured voltage between the electrode rods, due to the shielding effect of the vapor cell. Note that the condition we consider is different from the resonant situation in [5], which leads to the Autler-Townes (AT) splitting [32]. Because the applied RF electric field is far from resonance, it does not couple the Rydberg state transition. The electric field just causes an ac Stark shift of the Rydberg energy level, which leads to a shift of the EIT resonance peak.

Next, we demonstrated measurement of a weak MHz RF electric field based on Rydberg atoms through applying an LO electric field using a heterodyne method, which is our main work. As mentioned above, the MHz RF electric field can cause an energy shift of the Rydberg state, and further shift the EIT spectrum of the cesium atoms. When two electric fields with different frequencies are applied, each perturbs the Rydberg energy level differently, so the EIT spectrum takes on a new character. We turned on two signal sources and set their frequencies to $f_{\text{LO}} = 30$ MHz and $f_{\text{RF}} = 30.05$ MHz. The EIT spectrum is modulated with $\Delta \omega = 50$ kHz by the beat signals of the two electric fields. The transmission in the pictures is normalized.
cies to 30 MHz and 30.05 MHz, respectively; the EIT spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(c). First, the EIT spectrum is clearly modulated, and the measured modulation frequency is exactly equal to the difference between the LO and signal electric field. In addition, the EIT spectrum is shifted to the left. The total electric field is \( E_{\text{LO}} + E_{\text{sig}} \), and the Stark shift caused by the electric field is

\[
\delta = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha \left( E_{\text{LO}} + E_{\text{sig}} \right)^2
\]

Here we set the LO to be phase-synchronized with the signal in our experiment, so we let \( \phi_{\text{LO}} = \phi_{\text{sig}} \), where \( \Delta \omega \) represents the detuning of the LO and signal. When Eq. 4 is expanded, there are some fast-varying terms. By averaging over time, we get

\[
\bar{\delta} = \bar{\delta}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left[ E_{\text{LO}} E_{\text{sig}} \cos(\Delta \omega \cdot t) \right]
\]

where \( \bar{\delta}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha \left( E_{\text{LO}}^2 + E_{\text{sig}}^2 \right) \) is the average ac Stark shift caused by the LO and signal electric field.

The energy shift is modulated by the beat frequency in Eq. 5, so we can see the beat frequency signal in the EIT spectrum. Additionally, information on the magnitude of the signal electric field can be extracted by measuring the beat frequency components of the probe light. In the experiment, the output of the two signal sources was applied to the electrode rods after the RF power splitter. The LO frequency was set to 30.05 MHz and the signal frequency to 30 MHz, and the LO power was \( P_{\text{LO}} = 7 \) dBm. The coupling light was fixed at an optimal operating point, and the change in probe light intensity with time was monitored through the digital oscilloscope. Then, we used the spectrum analyzer to measure the intensity of the beat signal. The beat signal intensity is shown in Fig. 3(a) for different signal electric field strengths. The intensity of the received beat signal is approximately proportional to the strength of the applied signal electric field, as described by Eq. 5. We achieved a dynamic range of 65 dB for measuring a 30-MHz RF electric field, and the power sensitivity was up to -65 dBm/Hz. Using the previous calibration relationship between the electric field in the electrode rods and the input power, which we obtained in Fig. 2(b), we calculate the sensitivity for the electric field strength to be 37.3 \( \mu \text{V/cm/Hz}^{1/2} \). The instantaneous bandwidth of the system is shown in Fig. 3(b). The instantaneous bandwidth of the system \( B \) reaches 0.8 MHz considering the negative detuning of the signal with the LO. The bandwidth of system mainly depends on the probe photon scattering rate of the intermediate atomic resonance (of order 10 MHz) [33]. Here we define a parameter \( \eta = B/\omega_c \), i.e. the ratio of bandwidth and carrier frequency, to measure the relative bandwidth. Compared with [6], the parameter in our case is much larger than theirs. As they use GHz electric field to couple the Rydberg levels resonantly. Once the frequency of signal is deviates too much from the frequency of the LO, the response of the Rydberg atoms to signal will be weaker. However, here we use the ac Stark shift which is a non-resonant effect, and atoms can respond to the electric field with a larger bandwidth.

We have demonstrated short-wave communication using Rydberg atoms based on measurement of a MHz electric field using Rydberg atoms. RF electric fields can be used to carry information through sound signals and transmit information over great distances using the propagation properties of the carrier wave. Experimentally, we used a signal source to generate a 30-MHz RF electric field and amplitude-modulate it with a modulation frequency of 1 kHz [34–36]. The modulation depth is 30% and the carrier power is 7 dBm. The transmission of the probe light was recorded by the digital oscilloscope when the coupling light was locked at the optimal operating point. As shown in Fig. 4, the modulation information is better extracted for both sinusoidal and square wave modulations. We use \( A \sin(\omega t + \varphi) + B \) to perform the fit.
where $\omega = 2\pi \times 1\ kHz$ and then calculate the deviation to obtain the fidelity, mainly to measure the degree of signal distortion after atomic demodulation. Compared to modulated signal, the fidelity of the demodulated signal reaches 98%. Experimentally at different modulation depths, we extracted modulated signals from the spectra as shown in the Fig. 4(c). Obviously, we can see that as the modulation depth increases, the clearer the extracted signal is. The Rydberg atom acts as a demodulator without the need for complex electronic demodulation devices. The modulation signal is carried directly in the transmission of the probe light, and we only need to record it using the photoelectric detector. The carrier band we are concerned with is the MHz range. Although its signal bandwidth has a limit, this is not a major issue in areas such as broadcasting. Actually, broadcasting is generally for long distance transmission, and most of the information transmitted is sound signals, which are mostly in kHz, and the instantaneous bandwidth we obtained in the experiment is 0.8 MHz, which is sufficient for transmitting sound information. We are more concerned about the effect of the sensor size. When entering the electrically small regime [22], the efficiency of conventional antennas is greatly reduced while Rydberg atom-based sensors are not affected.

In conclusion, we investigated the perturbation of the Rydberg energy level by a MHz electric field, and realized measurement of a weak electric field signal using the heterodyne method. We achieved measurement of a 30-MHz electric field with a 65-dB dynamic range and a sensitivity reaching $-65$ dBm/Hz. On this basis, we demonstrate the recovery of an AM signal with 98% fidelity. We have improved the performance of the Rydberg atom sensor in measuring electric fields in the MHz band, which will facilitate implementation of a wide-band Rydberg atom receiver. Measurements of electric fields at lower frequencies are also being explored [11], although many difficulties are encountered. It will be beneficial to use Rydberg atoms in more situations such as long-wave radar and submarine communication.
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