Tracking the cognitive, social, and neuroanatomical profile in early neurodegeneration: type III Cockayne syndrome
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INTRODUCTION

Cockayne syndrome (CS) is a rare autosomal recessive disease associated with premature aging, progressive multiorgan degeneration, and nervous system abnormalities including cerebral and cerebellar atrophy, brain calcifications, and white matter abnormalities. Although several clinical descriptions of CS patients have reported developmental delay and cognitive impairment with relative preservation of social skills, no previous studies have carried out a comprehensive neuropsychological and social cognition assessment. Furthermore, no previous research in individuals with CS has examined the relationship between brain atrophy and performance on neuropsychological and social cognition tests. This study describes the case of an atypical late-onset type III CS patient who exceeds the mean life expectancy of individuals with this pathology. The patient and a group of healthy controls underwent a comprehensive assessment that included multiple neuropsychological and social cognition tests. In addition, we compared the pattern of atrophy in the patient to controls and to its concordance with ERCC8 gene expression in a healthy brain. The results showed memory, language, and executive deficits that contrast with the relative preservation of social cognition skills. The cognitive profile of the patient was consistent with his pattern of global cerebral and cerebellar loss of gray matter volume (frontal structures, bilateral cerebellum, basal ganglia, temporal lobe, and occipito-temporal/occipito-parietal regions), which in turn was anatomically consistent with the ERCC8 gene expression level in a healthy donor’s brain. The study of exceptional cases, such as the one described here, is fundamental to elucidating the processes that affect the brain in premature aging diseases, and such studies provide an important source of information for understanding the problems associated with normal and pathological aging.
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school. They are usually diagnosed in early adolescence, and the mean life expectancy is approximately 30 years (Ghai et al., 2011; Laugel, 2013). Rare cases of adult-onset CS have been identified (Hashimoto et al., 2008); these patients may be photosensitive and may also show one or more symptoms associated with CS (Natale, 2011). In these cases, early dementia is often reported, typically after 30 years of age (Laugel, 2013).

Cockayne syndrome can be caused by mutations in either of two genes, ERCC8 or ERCC6, located on chromosomes 5 and 10, respectively (Hanawalt, 2000; Spivak, 2004). The patient described here carries one of the 30 different mutations identified in the ERCC8 gene (Laugel, 2013). The clinical spectra of these patients’ phenotypes are largely overlapping, and neither the site nor the nature of the mutation correlates with the severity of the clinical features (Laugel et al., 2010; Laugel, 2013). However, mutations associated with this gene seem to be preferentially linked to types I and III (Laugel et al., 2010).

Neuromaging studies have shown that cerebral and cerebellar atrophy, brain calcifications, and white matter abnormalities are cardinal features of CS (Koob et al., 2010; Laugel, 2013). White matter loss, ventricular enlargement (ex vacuo) hydrocephalus) and internal capsule, and brain stem thinning seem to be the earliest detectable signs of CS on brain imaging (Adachi et al., 2006). Hypomyelination, cerebellar atrophy, and calcification of the dentate nucleus of the cerebellum and the basal ganglia (BG) comprise the most typical pattern recognized in classic and late onset CS (Koob et al., 2010).

These nervous system abnormalities are associated with the neurological symptoms and cognitive impairment that characterize the disease. The severity of cognitive impairment varies in CS. The majority of type I and II CS patients have intellectual disability and severe cognitive deficits (Rapin et al., 2006). Some patients with type III CS have been reported to have mild intellectual disability or even normal intellectual capacities (Nance and Berry, 1992; Rapin et al., 2006). However, even in the late-onset patients, such as the patient described here, dementia in early adulthood is often reported, related to diffuse, and widespread brain cortical atrophy (Laugel, 2013).

Although developmental delay and cognitive impairment have been widely reported, detailed descriptions of the cognitive profiles of patients with CS are scarce. Only two studies (Sugita et al., 1991, 1992) have examined the cognitive profiles of children with type I or II CS using the revised k-form developmental test (Shimazu, 1985), which provides a posture-motor quotient, a cognition-adaptation quotient, and a language-social quotient. The results showed that the neuropsychological impairments are not associated with the white matter changes (Sugita et al., 1992) or the levels of cellular UV-hypersensitivity (Sugita et al., 1991). However, no extensive assessments of the cognitive functioning of CS adults or type III CS patients have been reported.

By contrast, several reports (Neill and Dingwall, 1950; Rapin et al., 2006; Koob et al., 2010), including the original description by Cockayne (1936), have indicated a relative preservation of the social skills of CS patients on the basis of clinical observations. Nonetheless, there are no studies that have formally examined the social cognitive processes in patients with CS.

In sum, there are no reports regarding the cognitive profile of type III CS patients. No studies to date have included a comprehensive neuropsychological and social cognition assessment of these patients. Furthermore, there has been no previous research in individuals with CS that has examined the relationship between the degree of atrophy and patient performance on neuropsychological and social cognition tests.

In this report, we present an atypical patient with type III CS who exceeds the mean life expectancy of individuals with this pathology. Typically, patients with type III CS develop severe dementia after 30 years of age (Laugel, 2013), but the patient described here presents preservation of some cognitive domains. The study of this exceptional case may help to elucidate the fundamental processes that affect the nervous system in premature aging diseases and provide an important source of information for understanding the problems associated with aging.

The main objective of this study was to describe the neuropsychological and social cognition profiles of a patient with type III CS in comparison with a group of healthy controls. Participants underwent a comprehensive assessment that included multiple neuropsychological tests as well as emotion recognition, theory of mind (ToM), and empathy tasks. In addition, we compared the pattern of atrophy observed in the patient to the matched controls and to ERCC8 gene expression in the brain of a healthy donor. Finally, the results of the neuropsychological and social cognition assessments were interpreted in light of the atrophy pattern of this atypical patient.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PARTICIPANTS**

**Patient description**

Patient F is a 51-year-old male who suffers from the genetic condition type III CS. He has an atypical presentation of the disease characterized by late clinical onset. This patient has the p.Ala160Thr mutation in the CSA1/ERCC8 gene, located on chromosome 5q11. This mutation was previously identified in a Spaniard type III CS patient (Laugel et al., 2010). The sequencing analysis revealed a substitution of G by A at position 478, leading to a change in codon 160, and to the replacement of Ala by Thr in the protein. Specifically, the mutation resulted in an amino acid change at position 160 (GCA ⇒ ACA).

In early childhood, he presented with photosensitivity and speech problems that progressively evolved toward dysarthria, as well as ataxia. Additionally, he showed an early delay in learning, which led him to repeat 4 years of formal education. However, patient F has an average intellectual capacity and completed 11 years of education, a considerable achievement for a patient with such a pervasive developmental disease (Rapin et al., 2006). In adulthood, patient F presents several motor symptoms, including four-limb ataxia that started as lower limb tremor and progressively ascended, leading over a period of 8 years to paraplegia and the incapability to properly feed himself using cutlery. Additionally, patient F temporarily suffers myoclonic movements. Regarding sensory impairments, he presents mixed deafness, for which he requires a hearing aid, and a refractory defect that causes a decrease in visual acuity. However, this visual defect was corrected at the time of assessment and did not affect his performance in visual tasks.
Control sample
Two control groups were assessed. First, eight right-handed men (mean age = 50.75 years, SD = 1.04; mean years of formal education = 16.5; SD = 4.2), were recruited with a structural MRI to be compared with patient F’s MRI (MRI assessment controls, BACs). A second control group of five healthy men, matched for age and education (mean age = 53.83 years, SD = 10.87; mean years of formal education = 16.5; SD = 4.2), were scanned with a structural MRI to be compared with patient F’s MRI (MRI assessment controls, MACs). Control subjects had no history of neurological or psychiatric conditions. Demographic data were statistically controlled (see socio-demographic and neuropsychological results below). All participants provided written informed consent in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Cognitive Neurology approved this study.

INSTRUMENTS AND PROCEDURES
Patient F was first evaluated via a neurological examination. A blood sample was extracted to assess genetic characterization. Subsequently, the patient and the BACs were assessed with a battery of neuropsychological tests to assess cognitive function and social cognition processes (see below). In separate sessions, the patient and the MACs were scanned with a structural MRI.

Neuropsychological assessment
Participants were evaluated with the Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence (WASI). This test includes vocabulary and similarities subtests and provides a verbal estimated IQ (Wechsler, 1999).

General cognitive status. The general participants’ cognitive state was assessed using the Montreal cognitive assessment (MOCA) (Nasreddine et al., 2005). This test was developed for evaluating the general cognitive functions of patients with memory complaints and MCI. It comprises an assessment of short-term memory, visuo-spatial/executive skills (including alternation, phonetic fluency, and abstraction), attention, working memory, language, and orientation. The maximum score is 30 points, and 25 or below indicates impairment.

Memory. This cognitive domain was evaluated with the memory capacity test (Buschke, 1984; Grober and Buschke, 1987). This test is intended to evaluate verbal learning through the use of semantic cues that enhance memory encoding. It consists of two lists of 16 words, each distributed over four sheets containing four words belonging to one semantic category. The patient must recall words from the first list, the second list or both, with semantic cues associated with each word’s category (i.e., type of tree, pine). Two scores are calculated: a cued-recall score, which is the sum of all recalled words from each list when semantic cues were provided, and a free-recall score resulting from totaling the correctly evoked words from both lists.

Language. Naming and syntax comprehension were evaluated by administering the Boston test (Goodglass et al., 2001). Naming was assessed through the presentation of pictures grouped by categories to determine lexical access. For the assessment of syntactic processing, the subtests of “touch A with B” and “embedded sentences” of the extended version of the Boston Test were used. The patient and controls were also evaluated with the WAIS-III vocabulary subtest (Wechsler, 1997), which assesses the ability to give concise accurate oral definitions of words in increasing order of difficulty.

In addition, we administered two tests of semantic association, the kissing and dancing test (KDT) (Bak and Hodges, 2003) and the pyramids and palm trees test (PPT) (Howard and Patterson, 1992). We used an abbreviated version of the KDT. This test uses 52 triads of images to assess the ability to access semantic representations of verbs. Each triplet is composed of a cue-action-picture (e.g., writing) and two semantically related pictures (typing and stirring). Participants are asked to point to the picture that is the most closely related to the cue picture. KDT deficits have already been reported in other movement disorders such as progressive supranuclear palsy (Bak et al., 2006) and early Parkinson’s disease (Ibanez et al., 2013b).

We also used the PPT (Howard and Patterson, 1992), an assessment of semantic associative knowledge of objects. This test consists of 52 triads of images in which participants are asked to select which of two pictures conceptually matches a target picture (i.e., pine tree or palm tree with pyramid).

Executive functions. Frontal and executive functions (EF) were evaluated by the administration of the INECO Frontal Screening test (IFS) (Torralva et al., 2009), which assesses eight different domains of frontal lobe function and has previously been used to assess frontal performance in patients with brain damage (Torralva et al., 2009; Gleichgerrcht et al., 2011). The IFS includes the following subtasks: motor programing, conflicting instructions, motor inhibitory control, verbal working memory, backward digit span, spatial working memory, abstraction, and verbal inhibitory control. The maximum possible score on the IFS is 30 points. Finally, participants were evaluated with the WAIS-III similarities subtest (Wechsler, 1997) to evaluate abstract thinking.

Social cognition assessment
Emotion recognition. Participants were assessed with the emotional morphing task, a facial expression recognition task featuring six basic emotions (happiness, surprise, sadness, fear, anger, and disgust) taken from the pictures of affect series (Ekman and Friesen, 1976), which had been morphed for each prototype emotion and for a neutral state (Young et al., 1997). This procedure involved taking a variable percentage of the shape and texture differences between the two standard images, from 0 (neutral) to 100% (full emotion), in 5% steps (500 ms for each image). The 48 morphed facial stimuli were presented on a computer screen (in a random order) for as long as the patient took to respond by pressing the keyboard. Each participant was asked to respond as soon as they recognized the facial expression and then to identify it from a forced choice list of six options. The accuracy of the emotion recognition and the reaction times were measured.

Theory of mind. We employed the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET) (Baron-Cohen et al., 1997) to assess the emotional
inference of the ToM. The RMET is a computerized and validated test in which 36 images are presented, each showing the region of the face from midway along the nose to just above the eyebrows. The participant is forced to choose which of four words best describes what the person in the picture is thinking or feeling.

**Empathy.** We used an empathy for pain task (EPT) that evaluates empathy for pain in the context of intentional and accidental harm, as well as control situations. The task consists of the successive presentation of 25 animated situations with two persons (Baez et al., 2012, 2013; Decety et al., 2012; Couto et al., 2013). The following three types of situations were depicted: intentional pain, in which one person (passive performer) is in a painful situation caused intentionally by another (active performer), e.g., stepping purposely on someone's toe (pain caused by other); accidental pain, where one person is in a painful situation accidentally caused by another; and control or neutral situations (e.g., one person receiving a flower given by another). Importantly, the faces of the protagonists were not visible, and there was no emotional reaction visible to the participants. We measured the accuracy of the intentional identification (the accidental or deliberate nature of the action).

**Structural brain measures**

**Imaging recordings.** Both the patient and the control group were scanned in a 1.5 T Phillips Intera scanner with a standard head coil. A T1-weighted spin echo sequence was used to generate 120 contiguous axial slices (TR = 2300 ms; TE = 13 ms; flip angle = 68°; FOV = rectangular 256 mm; matrix size = 256 × 256 × 120; slice thickness = 1 mm).

**DATA ANALYSIS**

**Behavioral single-case analysis**

To compare the CS patient performance with the control sample (BAC) performance, we used a modified one-tailed t-test (Crawford and Howell, 1998; Crawford and Garthwaite, 2002, 2012; Crawford et al., 2009, 2011). This methodology allows the assessment of significance by comparing multiple individuals’ test scores with norms derived from small samples. This modified test is more robust for non-normal distributions, presents low values of type I error, and has already been reported in recent single-case studies (Straube et al., 2010; Couto et al., 2013). Because we are reporting case studies, only values with \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant in all comparisons. Effect sizes obtained using the same methods are reported as point estimates (\( z_{cc} \) as effect size for the modified t-test with covariate analysis), as suggested by a previous study (Crawford et al., 2010).

**Voxel-based morphometry analysis**

Images were preprocessed for Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis using DARTEL Toolbox and following previously described procedures (Ashburner and Friston, 2000). Then, modulated, 12 mm full-width half-maximum kernel-smoothed (Good et al., 2001) images were normalized to MNI space and analyzed within general linear models in SPM-8 second level analyses (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8). Subsequently, a t-test between patient F's smoothed, normalized, and modulated gray matter images and those of the MAC controls was performed to account for the global atrophy pattern and was corrected by total intracranial volume (TIV). The results of this statistical parametric map were corrected for multiple comparisons with the Bonferroni test (\( \alpha = 0.05, \) FEW method), and statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.001 \).

**Gene expression and atrophy pattern**

To establish a link between the atrophy pattern and the expression of the mutated gene, data from the Allen Human Brain database (Jones et al., 2009) were assessed and compared to the CS patient's atrophy. The Allen Human Brain database (Jones et al., 2009) is a freely available source in which we performed a search and from which we downloaded data regarding the expression of the ERCC8 gene in the brain of a healthy donor, matched in age, gender, and ethnicity to our CS patient (donor H0351.1016, 55-year-old Caucasian male). The data obtained from the probes A_23_P58521, A_24_P324986, and CUST_352_P1417507815 include the expression level of this gene and its location on the subject's brain, detailed in MNI standard coordinates. We computed the spatial overlap of each region within a 5-mm radius of the gene expression and counted the number of these areas that were atrophied in the patient.

**RESULTS**

**NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT**

Patient F showed a decreased estimative IQ when compared to matched controls (\( t = -6.64, p = 0.0001, z_{cc} = -7.04 \)). However, he obtained an estimated IQ of 81, considered to be low-average. Figure 1 summarizes his performance in the different cognitive tasks, compared against controls. See also Table 1A.

**General cognitive state**

In the MOCA, the patient showed a significantly lower total score (\( t = -5.903, p = 0.0003, z_{cc} = -6.26 \)). Specifically, he failed in executive functions (\( t = -4.572, p = 0.001, z_{cc} = -4.84 \)) as well as in delayed recall (\( t = -3.21, p = 0.007, z_{cc} = -3.41 \)). However, no significant differences between the patient and controls were found in naming (patient: mean = 2; controls: mean = 3, SD = 0.0), attention (\( t = 0.73, p = 0.24, z_{cc} = 0.77 \)), language (\( t = -1.633, p = 0.07, z_{cc} = -1.732 \)), abstraction (\( t = 0.35, p = 0.36, z_{cc} = 0.37 \)) or orientation (patient: mean = 6; controls mean = 6, SD = 0.0). See Table 1A.

**Memory**

Regarding verbal learning, patient F was found to be impaired in the cued-recall scores of the memory capacity test (list 1: \( t = -6.19, p = 0.0002, z_{cc} = -6.56 \); list 2: \( t = -8.165, p = 0.00004, z_{cc} = -8.661 \); list 1 and 2: \( t = -8.214, p = 0.00004, z_{cc} = -8.712 \)) and in the total free-recall test (\( t = -3.71, p = 0.003, z_{cc} = -3.93 \); 0–30 min). See Table 1B.

**Language**

Regarding syntactic processing, patient F showed a significantly lower score than controls in syntactic processing (\( t = -7.66, p = 0.001, z_{cc} = -8.13 \)) and in the “touch A with B” task (\( t = -12.25, p = 0.001, z_{cc} = -13 \)).

In the naming task, no significant difference was observed between the patient and controls.
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Executive functions

In the IFS, patient F showed impairment on the total score \( (t = -4.83, p = 0.0009, z_{cc} = -5.12) \) and specifically scored lower on motor programing \( (t = -8.19, p = 0.00004, z_{cc} = -8.69) \), conflicting instructions \( (t = -2.17, p = 0.03, z_{cc} = -2.3) \), motor inhibitory control \( (t = -1.88, p = 0.05, z_{cc} = -2) \), backward digit span \( (t = -2, p = 0.04, z_{cc} = -2.12) \), verbal inhibitory control \( (t = -5.91, p = 0.0003, z_{cc} = -6.27) \), and abstraction \( (t = -7.66, p = 0.001, z_{cc} = -8.12) \). However, no differences were observed between F and controls on spatial working memory \( (t = 0, p = 0.50, z_{cc} = 0) \) and verbal working memory \( (t = 0, p = 1, z_{cc} = 0) \). F’s score was 2 and all controls scored equally. No differences were observed between the patient and controls for the similarities subtest \( (t = -9.76, p = 0, z_{cc} = -10.35) \). See Table 1D.

In summary, the neuropsychological assessment revealed that patient F had preserved orientation and attention. However, in the memory test, he showed impairments in both cued and free-recall. Language tests showed preserved naming and repetition. However, syntactic processing and semantic association were affected. Regarding EF, the patient exhibited a normal performance in the IFS subtests of verbal and spatial working memory and in similarities tasks, whereas his motor programing, inhibitory control, and abstraction were impaired. His performance in backward digits span was also impaired.

SOCIAL COGNITION ASSESSMENT

Figure 2 shows the performance of the CS patient and controls in the social cognition tasks. See also Table 2.

Emotion recognition

In the emotional morphing test, patient F showed significant differences in recognizing the basic emotions of disgust \( (t = -3.56, p = 0.004, z_{cc} = -3.77) \) and anger \( (t = 0.72, p = 0.001, z_{cc} = -5.72) \). Nevertheless, he showed spared recognition of happiness \( (t = -3.56, p = 0.24, z_{cc} = 0.76) \), fear \( (t = -0.52, p = 0.30, z_{cc} = -0.55) \), sadness \( (t = -1.04, p = 0.16, z_{cc} = -1.1) \), and surprise \( (t = -0.87, p = 0.20, z_{cc} = -0.92) \).

Theory of mind

Regarding ToM, patient F showed no deficits compared to controls in inferring the mental and emotional states in the RMET \( (t = -1.3, p = 0.11, z_{cc} = -1.38) \).

Empathy

In the EPT, patient F showed no significant differences in the categorization of neutral situations \( (t = 0.89, p = 0.20, z_{cc} = 0.94) \) and intentional pain situations \( (t = 0.51, p = 0.31, z_{cc} = 0.54) \). However, he showed impaired recognition of accidental pain situations \( (t = -1.83, p = 0.05, z_{cc} = -1.94) \).

Overall, the social cognition assessment showed that patient F was able to recognize facial emotions of happiness, fear, surprise, and sadness. However, he had difficulties in recognizing
Table 1 | (A) Estimative intellectual level and general cognitive state, (B) memory capacity test, (C) language, and (D) executive functions

|        | Cockayne | Controls |
|--------|----------|----------|
| **A**  |          |          |
| IQ total (WASI) | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| MOCA total score | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Executive functions | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Attention | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Language | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Abstraction | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Delayed recall | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Naming | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Orientation | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| **B**  |          |          |
| Total free-recall | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cued-recall | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| List 1 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| List 1 and list 2 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Free-recall | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| **C**  |          |          |
| “Touch A with B” | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Embedded sentences | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Naming | 8.33 | 8.33 | 8.33 |
| Vocabulary (WAIS) | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Pyramids (PPT) | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Kissing and dancing (KDT) | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| **D**  |          |          |
| INECO frontal screening total | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| IFS motor programing | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting instructions | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Motor inhibitory control | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Backward digit span | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Verbal working memory | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Spatial working memory | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Abstraction | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Verbal inhibitory control | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Similarities (WAIS) | 12 | 12 | 12 |

M, mean; SD, standard deviation, range in parentheses.

*Significant differences from controls.

NA, not affordable through statistics.

negative emotions such as disgust and anger. Furthermore, patient F showed no deficits on inferring the mental and emotional states in the RMET. Finally, in the EPT, he was able to infer the intentionality of intentional and neutral situations, although he had difficulty in identifying the more ambiguous situations (accidental pain situations).

**IMAGING RESULTS**

**VBM results**

*Global atrophy of patient F compared to healthy brains.* The VBM analysis revealed a pattern of global atrophy in patient F in the frontal structures, bilateral cerebellum, BG and temporal lobe (medial and lateral), and in the occipito-temporal and occipito-parietal regions, as expected and reported in the original descriptions of CS. The affected regions included (all bilaterally) the superior frontal gyrus (SFG); orbito frontal cortex (OFC); rectus gyrus; parahippocampal cortex; and hippocampus; globus pallidus; supplementary motor cortex; inferior temporal gyrus (ITG); medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and anterior cingulate (ACC) cortices; superior and mid temporal gyri (STG and MTG); precuneus; insula; fusiform; calcarine sulcus; cuneus; temporal pole; Heschl gyrus; lingual; paracentral lobule; and postcentral gyrus.
Figure 2 | Performance of the CS patient and controls in social cognition tasks. (A) Emotional morphing (accuracy per category): H, happiness; D, disgust; A, anger; F, fear; SU, surprise; SA, sadness. (B) Reading the mind in the eyes total score. (C) EPT, Empathy for pain task; intentionality accuracy. Asterisk (*) indicates significant differences.

Table 2 | Social cognition tasks.

|                         | Cockayne | Controls |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                         | Score    | t        | p         | zcc   |
| **EMOTIONAL MORPHING TEST** |          |          |           |       |
| Happiness               | 1        | 0.72     | 0.24      | 0.76  |
| Disgust                 | 0        | −3.56    | 0.004*    | −3.77 |
| Anger                   | 0        | −5.39    | 0.0005*   | −5.72 |
| Fear                    | 0.38     | −0.52    | 0.3       | −0.55 |
| Sadness                 | 0.63     | −1.04    | 0.16      | −1.1  |
| Surprise                | 0.38     | −0.87    | 0.2       | −0.92 |
| Reading mind in the eyes| 9        | −1.3     | 0.11      | −1.38 |
| **EMPATHY FOR PAIN**     |          |          |           |       |
| Accuracy neutral        | 3        | 0.89     | 0.2       | 0.94  |
| Accuracy intentional pain| 10      | 0.51     | 0.31      | 0.84  |
| Accuracy accidental pain| 0       | −1.83    | 0.05*     | −1.94 |

*Significant differences from controls (p < 0.01).
#Significant differences from controls (p < 0.05).

(p < 0.001, α = 0.05 FWE corrected). See Table 3 and Figure 3. Table 4 shows the sites of spared gray matter volume in patient F, including the frontal, temporal, and occipito-temporal regions.

Gene-atrophy overlapping
From the 80 atrophy peaks reported in Table 3, we found 34 that overlapped with the expression of the ERCC8 gene in the Allen database (see Figure 4). These coordinates are reported in Table 5 and correspond to the bilateral cerebellum; putamen; orbital and gyrus rectus; superior and mid frontal gyrus; supplementary motor area (SMA); rolandic operculum; mPFC; ACC; mid cingulate; bilateral insula; hippocampus; parahippocampal cortex; superior, mid, and ITG; and left precuneus.

Discussion
The main goal of this study was to describe the neuroanatomical, neuropsychological, and social cognition profiles of a patient with type III CS in comparison with a group of healthy control subjects.

We also compared the pattern of atrophy observed in the patient to the matched controls and to ERCC8 gene expression in a healthy brain. Our results showed that patient F had impairments in multiple cognitive domains. Surprisingly, the social cognition assessment revealed that patient F was able to recognize facial emotions, to infer the mental and emotional states, and to accurately identify the intentionality of intentional pain situations. Consistent with previous MRI reports in CS (Adachi et al., 2006; Koob et al., 2010), the VBM analysis revealed a pattern of global cerebral and cerebellar loss of gray matter volume in patient F. These findings were anatomically consistent with the ERCC8 gene expression pattern in a healthy donor’s brain.

This is the first work to examine the performance of a type III CS patient on a battery of neuropsychological and social cognition tests and to relate his performance with the atrophy pattern. The patient described here represents a rare case of a late-onset type III in whom social cognition skills are better preserved than cognitive functions such as memory, language, and EF. The study of this exceptional case may help to elucidate the processes that affect the brain in premature aging diseases.

Neuropsychological profile and its relationship to the pattern of atrophy
Patient F had a low estimative IQ compared to controls, but regarding the reference range (Weschler, 2009), his estimative IQ is considered low-average. This result is consistent with previous...
Table 3 | Brain sites of CS patient significant atrophy.

| Brain region               | x    | y    | z    | Cluster k | Peak p (FWE-cor) | Peak t  | Peak z  |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|------------------|---------|---------|
| Superior frontal gyrus R    | 18   | 52.5 | 3    | 53        | <0.001           | 531.48  | 7.24    |
|                            | 15   | 16.5 | 54   |           | 0.0353           | 55.57   | 5.51    |
|                            | 28.5 | 60   | 13.5 | 94        | 0.0020           | 98.70   | 6.00    |
| Orbitofrontal R            | 13.5 | 21   | -28.5| 830       | <0.001           | 400.52  | 7.05    |
|                            | 43.5 | 27   | -13.5| 140       | 0.0029           | 91.70   | 5.94    |
|                            | 36   | 31.5 | -13.5|           | 0.0195           | 62.62   | 5.62    |
| Rectus gyrus R             | 7.5  | 24   | -22.5|           | <0.001           | 283.65  | 6.80    |
| Left cerebellum            | -3   | -37.5| -16.5|           | <0.001           | 244.76  | 6.69    |
| Right cerebellum           | 9    | -43.5| -19.5|           | <0.001           | 236.24  | 6.67    |
| Orbitofrontal L            | -19.5| 24   | -24  | 330       | <0.001           | 292.72  | 6.82    |
|                            | -52.5| 28.5 | -7.5 | 127       | 0.0001           | 167.03  | 6.41    |
| Parahippocampal R          | 18   | -15  | -13.5| 585       | <0.001           | 265.06  | 6.75    |
|                            | 36   | -21  | -22.5|           | 0.0052           | 81.63   | 5.84    |
|                            | 22.5 | -25.5| -22.5|           | 0.0096           | 72.14   | 5.74    |
| Globus pallidus R          | 15   | -3   | -10.5|           | <0.001           | 215.87  | 6.60    |
| Hippocampus R              | 19.5 | -12  | -34.5|           | <0.001           | 106.27  | 6.06    |
| Supplementary motor L       | -4.5 | 18   | 58.5 | 191       | <0.001           | 224.45  | 6.63    |
| Inferior temporal gyrus R  | 48   | -15  | -36  | 150       | <0.001           | 217.43  | 6.61    |
| Medial prefrontal L        | 66   | -39  | -25.5|           | 0.0320           | 56.69   | 5.53    |
| Anterior cingulate L       | -7.5 | 52.5 | 19.5 | 1535      | <0.001           | 209.10  | 6.58    |
|                            | -1.5 | 42   | 12   |           | <0.001           | 199.00  | 6.54    |
| Anterior cingulate R       | 7.5  | 46.5 | 16.5 |           | <0.001           | 191.87  | 6.51    |
| (Subgenual)                | 0    | 3    | -12  | 123       | 0.0042           | 85.03   | 5.87    |
| Precuneus L                | -1.5 | 72   | 60   | 64        | 0.0001           | 176.92  | 6.45    |
| Precuneus R                | 4.5  | -75  | 52.5 |           | 0.0006           | 125.84  | 6.19    |
|                            | 6    | -82.5| -49.5|           | 0.0061           | 78.98   | 5.81    |
|                            | 3    | -52.5| 37.5 | 103       | 0.0022           | 97.25   | 5.98    |
| Superior temporal gyrus R  | 49.5 | -58.5| 22.5 | 190       | 0.0001           | 173.60  | 6.44    |
| Mid temporal gyrus R       | 58.5 | -64.5| 22.5 |           | 0.0020           | 98.40   | 5.99    |
| Parahippocampal L          | -22.5| -16.5| -31.5| 638       | 0.0001           | 169.85  | 6.42    |
|                            | -13.5| -16.5| -21  |           | 0.0018           | 101.07  | 6.02    |
|                            | -12  | 1.5  | -21  | 54        | 0.0023           | 96.28   | 5.98    |
| Insula L                   | -33  | -3   | 13.5 | 332       | 0.0002           | 159.72  | 6.38    |
| Rolandic operculum L       | -45  | 1.5  | 6    |           | 0.0009           | 115.89  | 6.13    |
| Hippocampus L              | -33  | -16.5| -12  | 336       | 0.0004           | 139.73  | 6.27    |
|                            | -24  | -15  | -13.5|           | 0.0013           | 108.14  | 6.07    |
| Mid frontal gyrus R        | 45   | 49.5 | 1.5  | 367       | 0.0004           | 136.19  | 6.25    |
|                            | 39   | 60   | 1.5  |           | 0.0010           | 114.33  | 6.11    |
|                            | 33   | 30   | 36   | 83        | 0.0006           | 119.10  | 6.15    |
|                            | 36   | 37.5 | 39   |           | 0.0015           | 104.50  | 6.04    |
|                            | 31.5 | 36   | 46.5 |           | 0.0097           | 72.05   | 5.73    |
| Orbitofrontal mid R        | 36   | 52.5 | -15  |           | 0.0005           | 130.55  | 6.22    |
|                            | 28.5 | 34.5 | -21  |           | 0.0213           | 61.48   | 5.60    |

(Continued)
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| Brain region                  | x    | y    | z    | Cluster k | Peak p (FWE-cor) | Peak t   | Peak z |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Superior temporal gyrus L     | −57  | −1.5 | −13.5| 169       | 0.0006          | 127.57   | 6.20   |
| −57                           | 18   | −9   |      |           | 0.0072          | 76.33    | 5.78   |
| −46.5                         | 0    | −4.5 |      |           | 0.0035          | 88.23    | 5.90   |
| Mid temporal gyrus L          | −61.5| −12  | −12  |           | 0.0022          | 96.81    | 5.98   |
| Inferior temporal gyrus L     | −49.5| −10.5| −25.5|           | 0.0035          | 88.04    | 5.90   |
| Medial prefrontal R           | 7.5  | −34.5| 52.5  | 304       | 0.0006          | 124.39   | 6.18   |
| Supplementary motor R         | 6    | 21   | 49.5  |           | 0.0026          | 93.76    | 5.95   |
| 3                             | 6    | 46.5 |      |           | 0.0049          | 82.58    | 5.85   |
| 7.5                           | −3   | 48   |      |           | 0.0076          | 75.54    | 5.77   |
| Fusiform gyrus R              | 58.5 | −40.5| −30  | 58        | 0.0007          | 121.82   | 6.16   |
| 42                            | −27  | −19.5| 215  |           | 0.0010          | 113.85   | 6.11   |
| 36                            | −4.5 | −42  | 107  |           | 0.0020          | 99.10    | 6.00   |
| 34.5                          | −12  | −34.5|      |           | 0.0154          | 65.64    | 5.66   |
| Mid cingulate R               | 4.5  | −12  | 49.5  | 249       | 0.0009          | 115.23   | 6.12   |
| Poscentral gyrus L            | −49.5| −7.5 | 42   | 93        | 0.0017          | 101.97   | 6.02   |
| Cuneus R                      | 6    | −76.5| 27   | 71        | 0.0022          | 96.44    | 5.98   |
| Calcarine sulcus R            | 1.5  | −72  | 12   | 100       | 0.0028          | 92.18    | 5.94   |
| Lingual gyrus R               | 3    | −64.5| 3    |           | 0.0144          | 66.48    | 5.67   |
| 15                            | −49.5| −7.5 |      |           | 0.0079          | 75.00    | 5.77   |
| Cerebellum L                  | −7.5 | −57  | −12  | 87        | 0.0030          | 90.78    | 5.93   |
| Insula R                      | 46.5 | 1.5  | −3   | 70        | 0.0034          | 88.89    | 5.91   |
| Superior temporal pole R      | 43.5 | 1.5  | −12  |           | 0.0096          | 72.26    | 5.74   |
| Cerebellar vermis             | 6    | −51  | −4.5 | 131       | 0.0039          | 86.39    | 5.89   |
| Paracentral lobule L          | −1.5 | −24  | 57   | 57        | 0.0043          | 84.67    | 5.87   |
| Heschl gyrus L                | −46.5| −12  | 1.5  | 72        | 0.0053          | 81.18    | 5.84   |
| −45                           | −12  | 1.5  |      |           | 0.0074          | 76.01    | 5.78   |
| −54                           | −15  | 9    |      |           | 0.0173          | 64.12    | 5.64   |
| −24                           | 33   | 49.5 | 120  |           | 0.0053          | 81.09    | 5.83   |

R, right hemisphere; L, left hemisphere; FWE, family-wise error detection (Bonferroni α = 0.05 corrected results).

Clinical reports of type III CS patients (Nance and Berry, 1992; Czeizel and Marchalko, 1995; Rapin et al., 2006) showing mild intellectual disability or even normal intellectual capacities. With respect to the general cognitive state, the patient showed a significantly lower MOCA total score than controls, specifically failing in EF and delayed recall.

In the verbal memory test, patient F performed significantly worse than controls on both cued and free-recall. It is worth mentioning that although semantic cues improved his performance, the cued-recall of patient F was still below expectations. These findings suggest deficits in both storage and retrieval processes. The hippocampus, together with adjacent anatomically related cortex, is necessary for the acquisition, temporary storage, and retrieval of explicit memories (Squire et al., 1992). Moreover, neuroimaging studies have shown that retrieval attempt is also associated with activation of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Kapur et al., 1995; Rugg et al., 1998). In patient F, the hippocampus and parahippocampal cortex as well as the prefrontal areas SFG, OFC, gyrus rectus, and mPFC were bilaterally affected. Thus, his memory impairments are consistent with the pattern of atrophy revealed by the VBM analysis.

The language assessment revealed that patient F had preserved repetition and naming. Lesion, neuroimaging, and stimulation studies (Topper et al., 1998; Quigg and Fountain, 1999; Buchsbaum et al., 2001; Nozari et al., 2010) have suggested that the left posterior STG participates in phonological aspects of both of these domains of language. More specifically, impaired animal naming correlates with lesions in the anterior inferior temporal lobe, while poor tool naming is associated with damage in the posterior lateral left temporal lobe (Patterson et al., 2007). Moreover, a recent voxel-lesion symptom mapping study (Baldo et al., 2013) showed that picture naming on the Boston test was dependent on a large network of regions, including portions of the left anterior to posterior MTG and STG and underlying white matter, as well as the inferior parietal cortex. Our results showed that portions of the posterior STG and of the MTG are preserved in patient F, which accounts for his normal performance in naming tasks.

Although naming was preserved, patient F showed a low performance in semantic association tasks. We employed two different tasks: the PPT assessed the processing of nouns and objects, and the KDT evaluated the processing of verbs and actions. The CS patient performed significantly lower than controls in both tests. Anatomically, deficits in noun processing have been linked to the anterior temporal cortical regions of the dominant hemisphere, while verb processing has been associated with the anterior frontal
regions (Bak and Hodges, 2003). More specifically, tasks involving semantic association of nouns result in focal activation of the anterior inferior temporal lobe, the parahippocampal gyrus, and the inferior occipital cortex (Vandenberghe et al., 1996; Ricci et al., 1999; Butler et al., 2009). In contrast, semantic processing of verbs is linked to the left frontal cortex, particularly to the DLPFC (Perani et al., 1999; Cappa et al., 2002), Brodmann’s areas 44 and 45 (Bak et al., 2001), and the BG involvement (Cardona et al., 2013). The VBM analysis revealed that patient F exhibited considerable atrophy of BG, left anterior ITG and the parahippocampal gyrus as well as the frontal cortex, including the SFG, MFG, and IFG, which are consistent with the noun and verb processing impairments of the patient.

Both naming and semantic association tasks are measures of the semantic memory components (Butler et al., 2009). However, patient F showed an uneven performance in these tests. As mentioned above, he exhibited preserved naming abilities but showed deficits in the PPT and KDT. The discrepancy in the performance between these tasks may be explained by the pattern of atrophy of patient F as well as by the demands of the tests. Semantic association entails knowledge about objects and how the objects relate to one another; it cannot be performed correctly with only reference to the presented stimuli (Bak and Hodges, 2003). This association requires a higher-order knowledge base than simple naming does (Ricci et al., 1999). Thus, semantic association tasks are intrinsically more complex and involve higher cognitive demands than naming tests.

Syntactic processing was also impaired in patient F. Several lines of evidence suggest that syntactic processing is not implemented in a single area but rather that it constitutes an integrated system involving the left DLPFC adjacent to Broca’s area (Indefrey et al., 2001), the anterior portion of the STG (Friederici et al., 2003), the BG (Moro et al., 2001; Friederici et al., 2003; Chan et al., 2013), and the cerebellum (Moro et al., 2001; Murdoch, 2010). In line with previous neuroimaging reports in CS (Koob et al., 2010; Laugel, 2013), the patient described here exhibited significant atrophy of the BG and cerebellum as well as of the anterior portion of the STG. Hence, considering that these fundamental structures for syntactic processing are affected in patient F, deficits in this domain are expected.

With respect to the frontal functions, patient F exhibited severe impairments in motor programming. This finding is consistent with the atrophy of brain areas crucial for this function, such as the caudal portion of the SFG and the supplementary motor cortex. On the other hand, the PFC has systematically been demonstrated to be an important structure for executive functioning (Stuss and Knight, 2002; Fuster, 2008). Nonetheless, recent studies (Dosenbach et al., 2007; Sridharan et al., 2008; Barbey et al., 2012) identified a fronto-parietal network commonly engaged by tasks that require executive processes. This network includes the lateral frontopolar cortex, anterior PFC, cingulate cortex, and inferior and superior parietal lobe. Specifically, verbal inhibitory control and the capacity to respond to conflictive instructions have been associated with the IFG (Stuss and Knight, 2002; Collette et al., 2013).
Table 4 | Brain sites of spared gray matter volume in CS patient.

| Region                      | BA | Coordinates | Cluster k | Peak $p$ (FWE) | Peak $t$ | Peak $z$ |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|
|                             |    | $x$ $y$ $z$ |           |                |          |          |
| Gyrus rectus R              | 11 | 12 21 —29  | 28490     | 0              | 860.25   | 6.79     |
| ACC R                       | 24 | 3 39 7     |           | 0.0001         | 458.35   | 6.42     |
| Frontal superior R          | 6  | 18 53 3    |           | 0.0002         | 438.95   | 6.39     |
| Lingual R                   | 18 | —23 —82 —17| 867       | 0.0002         | 408.58   | 6.35     |
| Cerebellum folium 6 L       | —  | —30 —76 —20|           | 0.0126         | 147.38   | 5.69     |
| Fusiform L                  | 36 | —38 —25 —15| 1256      | 0.0035         | 203.33   | 5.91     |
|                             | 36 | —36 —34 —18|           | 0.0064         | 174.55   | 5.80     |
|                             | 36 | —41 —70 —14|           | 0.0154         | 140.07   | 5.66     |
| Mid cingulate R             | 31 | 11 —22 43  | 109       | 0.0004         | 357.00   | 6.27     |
| Supramarginal R             | 40 | 54 —46 24  | 43        | 0.0006         | 310.93   | 6.18     |
| Fusiform R                  | 36 | —39 —18 875|           | 0.0007         | 300.01   | 6.16     |
| Cerebellum folli 4–5 R      | —  | —33 —27 —32|           | 0.0026         | 218.51   | 5.95     |
| Cerebellum crus 1 R         | —  | 51 —60 —26|           | 0.0044         | 192.05   | 5.87     |
| IFG pars triangularis L     | 45 | —60 23 10  | 119       | 0.0009         | 284.14   | 6.12     |
|                             | 45 | —63 17 18  |           | 0.017          | 136.66   | 5.64     |
|                             | 46 | —41 27 25  | 63        | 0.0592         | 100.07   | 5.42     |
| Mid temporal R              | 21 | 63 —54 3   | 201       | 0.001          | 277.00   | 6.11     |
|                             | 21 | 52 —60 22  | 359       | 0.0032         | 207.44   | 5.92     |
|                             | 21 | 54 —48 0   |           | 0.0105         | 154.12   | 5.72     |
|                             | 21 | 69 —15 —9  | 248       | 0.0401         | 110.27   | 5.49     |
| Inferior temporal L         | 36 | —47 —34 —23| 52        | 0.0013         | 260.25   | 6.07     |
| Precuneus R                 | 31 | 5 —81 51   | 206       | 0.0015         | 250.90   | 6.04     |
|                             | 31 | 0 —72 57   |           | 0.0129         | 146.48   | 5.69     |
|                             | 31 | 5 —61 43   | 292       | 0.0356         | 113.64   | 5.51     |
| Lingual L                   | 18 | —5 —87 —17| 134       | 0.0017         | 243.22   | 6.02     |
| Mid cingulate L             | 31 | —5 —43 49  | 346       | 0.0026         | 218.84   | 5.95     |
| Precuneus L                 | 31 | —11 —48 45|           | 0.0643         | 98.00    | 5.40     |
| Middle frontal gyrus R      | 9  | 33 41 48   | 360       | 0.0026         | 218.00   | 5.95     |
|                             | 9  | 54 29 37   |           | 0.0028         | 214.84   | 5.94     |
|                             | 9  | 31 30 36   |           | 0.0155         | 139.86   | 5.65     |
|                             | 9  | 37 56 24   | 73        | 0.0269         | 121.84   | 5.56     |
| Insula R                    | 13 | 40 6 1     | 984       | 0.003          | 211.45   | 5.93     |
|                             | 13 | 43 10 3    |           | 0.0292         | 119.39   | 5.54     |
|                             | 13 | 44 —3 —6   |           | 0.0538         | 102.49   | 5.44     |
| Parahippocampal L           | 27 | —21 —18 —32| 0.0065    | 173.80         | 5.80     |
| Precentral gyrus R          | 4  | 24 —16 57  | 33        | 0.0037         | 200.66   | 5.90     |
|                             | 4  | —48 —4 40  | 238       | 0.0041         | 194.99   | 5.88     |
|                             | 4  | 55 12 46   | 48        | 0.0389         | 111.17   | 5.49     |
|                             | 4  | —47 —3 54  |           | 0.0913         | 89.77    | 5.34     |
| Angular gyrus L             | 39 | —56 —57 24| 36        | 0.0041         | 194.56   | 5.88     |
|                             | 39 | —42 —61 31| 7         | 0.0853         | 91.32    | 5.35     |
| Angular gyrus R             | 52 | —58 36 55  | 0.0925    | 89.50          | 5.34     |
| Supplementary motor L       | 6  | —5 18 58 257| 0.0047   | 188.50         | 5.86     |
| Superior frontal L          | 6  | —9 24 52   |           | 0.0353         | 113.86   | 5.51     |
| Middle occipital L          | 19 | —54 —81 6 271| 0.0059  | 105.94         | 5.46     |
| Brainstem                   | —  | 0 —34 —60 24| 0.0076   | 167.28         | 5.78     |
|                             | —  | 9 —43 —69 69| 0.0347   | 114.34         | 5.51     |

(Continued)
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| Region                          | BA | Coordinates  | Cluster k | Peak p (FWE) | Peak t  | Peak z |
|---------------------------------|----|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------|--------|
| Superior parietal lobule L      | 7  | −21 −64 64   | 48        | 0.0084       | 162.97  | 5.76   |
| Postcentral R                   | 5  | 15 −46 67   | 55        | 0.0109       | 152.74  | 5.71   |
| Cuneus R                        | 31 | 9 −75 22    | 913       | 0.0182       | 134.38  | 5.63   |
| Occipital superior L            | 18 | −14 −79 25  | 0.0236     | 125.89       | 5.58    |        |
| Middle frontal gyrus L          | 18 | −24 −75 36  | 0.024      | 125.40       | 5.58    |        |
| Paracentral lobule L            | 9  | −29 8 51    | 12        | 0.0186       | 133.72  | 5.62   |
| Thalamus R                      | 4  | −14 −22 66  | 0.0264     | 122.41       | 5.56    |        |
| Precentral L                    | 4  | −29 −15 52  | 16        | 0.0297       | 118.93  | 5.54   |
| Superior temporal R             | 42 | 57 −33 15   | 38        | 0.0323       | 116.45  | 5.53   |
| Cerebellum, pyramis             | −45| −79 −44    | 53        | 0.0394       | 110.80  | 5.49   |
| Cuneus L                        | 18 | −6 −87 16   | 12        | 0.0462       | 106.48  | 5.46   |
| Superior frontal R              | 9  | 23 59 31    | 30        | 0.0477       | 105.59  | 5.46   |
| Mid temporal L                  | 21 | −53 −37 9   | 13        | 0.0528       | 102.94  | 5.44   |

R, right hemisphere; L, left hemisphere; FWE, family-wise error detection (Bonferroni α = 0.05 corrected results); ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; IFG, inferior frontal gyrus.

FIGURE 4 | Overlap of brain sites for ERCC8 gene expression level in healthy donor and atrophy in CS patient. (A,C) Coronal sections depicting two sites of gene expression/atrophy overlap: orbitofrontal cortex and insular cortex in donor H0351:1016 (Allen Human Brain). (B) Three-dimensional surface rendering of the same data as in (A,C). (D) Left view of three-dimensional surface rendering of CS patient, showing fronto-insular-temporal and parietal atrophy areas.
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2006). Inhibitory control is also linked to OFC (Collette et al., 2006), while the ACC and the DLPFC are involved in conflict resolution (Stuss and Knight, 2002). The DLPFC also appears to subserve abstract thinking processes (Fuster, 2008). Patient F presented atrophy of these brain regions crucial for executive processes, especially the OFC and DLPFC, that explain his deficits in motor and verbal inhibitory control, in the capacity to respond to conflicting instructions and in abstraction capacity. Concerning working memory subtests, patient F scored significantly lower than controls on the backward digit span, but he performed similarly to controls on verbal and spatial working memory IFS subtests. These uneven results may be related to the sensitivity of the tests. Whereas backward digit span is widely used to sensitively measure working memory (Lezak, 1983), the verbal and spatial working memory subtests are simple, and brief versions of more comprehensive batteries (Hodges, 1994; Weschler, 2009). Hence, backward digit span would be a more adequate measure, and it revealed working memory deficits in patient F. The neural system supporting working memory capacity involves the DLPFC and other interconnected brain areas such as the posterior parietal cortex, the inferior temporal cortex, the cingulate cortex, and the hippocampal formation (Stuss and Knight, 2002). To some extent, most of this neural system was revealed to be damaged in VBM analysis, leading to the expected working memory deficits of patient F.

In sum, our results showed important deficits in memory and EF, which are consistent with the atrophy of the hippocampus and parahippocampal cortex as well as of the PFC. In the language domain, although complex aspects (semantic association and syntactic processing), which are mostly dependent on the PFC and BG, were impaired, other linguistic processes linked to the posterior STG, such as repetition and naming, were preserved.

There are only two studies (Sugita et al., 1991, 1992) that have directly examined the cognitive profile of children with CS, both using the revised k-form developmental test (Shimazu, 1985). Together, these studies assessed three type I CS patients and one patient with type II CS. The results showed that three patients had severe cognitive and motor deficits, and only one of the type I patients evidenced moderate motor impairment and mild cognitive impairment. Although our results are not directly comparable with those of previous studies, they are in line with the clinical descriptions of late-onset type III CS patients (Hashimoto et al., 2008; Laugel, 2013), which report cognitive impairment and early dementia, typically after 30 years of age.

SOCIAL COGNITION PROFILE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE PATTERN OF ATROPHY

Although several clinical reports (Cockayne, 1936; Neill and Dingwall, 1950; Rapin et al., 2000; Koob et al., 2010) have described a relative preservation of social skills, no studies have formally assessed these processes in patients with CS. The results of the current study showed that patient F was able to identify facial expressions of happiness, surprise, fear, and sadness, although he had difficulties in recognizing disgust and anger. Overall, regions in the temporal lobe, such as the fusiform gyrus, together with a network of structures including the amygdala, OFC, and cingulate cortex, contribute to emotion processing (Adolphs, 2001). Nonetheless, dissociations in the recognition of different facial expressions (e.g., Blair et al., 1999; Lawrence et al., 2007), suggest that different neural systems are specialized, at least in part, for the recognition of particular emotions. For instance, the amygdala appears to link perceptual representations to cognition and behavior on the basis of the emotional value of the stimuli (Adolphs, 2001) and thus appears to be involved in processing the emotional salience of both positive and negative stimuli, with a special role in coding signals of fear (Adolphs, 2001; Britton et al., 2006). The recognition of sadness expressions has been particularly associated with the right inferior and middle temporal gyrus (Blair et al., 1999; Rosen et al., 2006), while disgust recognition has been linked to the insula and the BG (Calder et al., 2000; Adolphs, 2002; Wang et al., 2003; Ibanez et al., 2010;
Adams et al., 2010) have consistently shown activation of the interpretation of action intentionality less affordable. Moreover, these scenarios than in the intentional pain scenarios, making the ties in identifying the accidental pain situations. These results and the insula, were affected in patient F, he only had difficulties in the amygdala (Singer and Lamm, 2009; Decety et al., 2012). Although This neural network includes the SMA, ACC, anterior insula, and individual in pain (Jackson et al., 2006; Melloni et al., 2013). Also involved in the perception or even the imagination of another matrix, that is implicated in the experience of physical pain and is empathy for pain has evidenced a neural network, called the pain dimension of ToM: inferring the specific content of that mental...performance of patient F in the RMET, which suggests a direct association with emotion recognition (Ibanes et al., 2013a), and it represents the first stage of attribution of ToM (Ibanez et al., 2013c). This test does not include the second dimension of ToM: inferring the specific content of that mental state (e.g., detecting that someone is happy because he won the lottery) (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001; Fertuck et al., 2009). Consequently, the RMET shares some conceptual overlap with measures of emotion recognition, a domain partially preserved in patient F. Further studies should assess more complex aspects of ToM in patients with CS.

Finally, in the EPT, patient F accurately discriminated the intentionality of neutral and intentional situations, but he exhibited deficits in distinguishing accidental pain situations. Research on empathy for pain has evidenced a neural network, called the pain matrix, that is implicated in the experience of physical pain and is also involved in the perception or even the imagination of another individual in pain (Jackson et al., 2006; Melloni et al., 2013). This neural network includes the SMA, ACC, anterior insula, and amygdala (Singer and Lamm, 2009; Decety et al., 2012). Although several structures of the pain matrix, including the SMA, the ACC, and the insula, were affected in patient F, he only had difficulties in identifying the accidental pain situations. These results are expected because contextual cues are less clear and explicit in these scenarios than in the intentional pain scenarios, making the interpretation of action intentionality less affordable. Moreover, these findings suggest that the integrity of the pain matrix is required for contextual appraisal (Melloni et al., 2013) and for accurately inferring the intentionality of otherwise ambiguous pain situations.

**IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**
As we mentioned above, our results are not directly comparable to previous studies on CS because the lack of research on adults with type III CS. However, the findings we described are anatomically consistent with the ERCC8 gene expression level in a healthy donor’s brain. As stated before, mutation of this gene affects DNA repair, which might be causally linked to accelerated aging and neurodegenerative signatures of progeroid syndromes (Borgesius et al., 2011). In particular, neuropathologic changes such as demyelization and selective gray matter atrophy occurring in type III CS (Rapin et al., 2006; Weidenheim et al., 2009) (typical signs of neurodegeneration) would correlate with the specific behavioral impairments and neuropsychological profile of our patient. Moreover, this finding is in accordance with the hypothesis of selective atrophy targeting functionally connected nodes of different large-scale networks in the brain (Seeley et al., 2009).

Interestingly, social cognition domains were better preserved than non-social cognitive processes in patient F, suggesting a dissociation between social and cognitive skills. The current findings are consistent with several clinical reports (Rapin et al., 2006; Weidenheim et al., 2009; Laugel, 2013), including the original description of the syndrome (Cockayne, 1936), in which CS patients are characterized as having normal social skills and engaging, outgoing and friendly personalities, despite their cognitive impairments.

Consistent with our findings, a similar pattern of relative preservation of social cognition skills has been described in patients who suffer from other genetic disorders such as Williams syndrome. Individuals with this disease have high sociability and empathy for others, contrasting with their intellectual disability, long term memory, visuo-spatial abilities, and EF deficits (Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2006; Rhodes et al., 2010; Haas and Reiss, 2012). Moreover, although both EF and social cognition have been associated with PFC functioning, patient F showed a deep impairment in EF and partial preservation of emotion recognition, ToM, and empathy for pain. Consistent with these findings, previous studies in neurodegenerative diseases (Lough et al., 2001) and in patients with PFC lesions (Sarazin et al., 1998; Fine et al., 2001) have suggested a dissociation of social cognition and EF. Thus, our results, together with previous evidence, support the existence of a partially and functionally independent neural network for social cognition.

In addition, the fact that social cognition abilities are better preserved than other cognitive functions in a patient suffering from a genetic disorder with generalized brain atrophy might be related to several factors that should be further explored. First, humans are an exceedingly social species (Adolphs, 1999; Frith and Frith, 2007; Ibanez and Manes, 2012), and our survival and success depend crucially on our ability to thrive in social situations (Phillips, 2003). Indeed, social abilities and interpersonal skills are ubiquitous among primates and along the human lifespan, making plausible the existence of distinct functionally independent neural networks. Second, it is unknown whether some neural...
systems are more resilient and plastic than others (Cicchetti, 2013), but considering the crucial importance of social cognition for human survival, it is possible that neural networks supporting these processes have more capacity to respond to intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli by reorganizing their structure, function, and connections. Finally, several studies in healthy subjects (Golomb et al., 1993; MacPherson et al., 2002; Raz et al., 2004) have shown that normal aging mainly affects recent memory and EF, while emotional processing and social behavior remain relatively intact. These findings suggest that social cognition processes seem to be less vulnerable to the effects of aging on the brain.

In conclusion, our study documents the first description of the neuropsychological and social cognition profiles of an adult with type III CS. The results showed memory, language, and EF deficits that contrast with relative preservation of social cognition skills. The cognitive profile of patient F was consistent with his pattern of global cerebral and cerebellar loss of gray matter volume, which in turn was anatomically consistent with the ERCC8 gene expression level in a healthy donor’s brain.

Cockayne syndrome (as well as other progeroid diseases) is a heritable human disorder with premature aging. These syndromes have been well characterized as clinical entities, and in many instances, the associated genes and causative mutations have been identified (Kudlow et al., 2007). The identification of genes and the study of the cognitive and social processes that are associated with these syndromes, together with the neuropathological examinations of brains of individuals with and without neurodegenerative diseases (Grinberg et al., 2007) are fundamental for the understanding of the molecular mechanisms and symptoms associated with human aging. Further studies on other types of CS and other progeroid syndromes should formally assess cognitive and social functioning in relation to the brain atrophy and the expression of the implicated genes.
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