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Abstract

Two-nucleon axial charge and current operators are derived in chiral effective field theory up to one loop. The derivation is based on time-ordered perturbation theory, and accounts for cancellations between the contributions of irreducible diagrams and the contributions due to non-static corrections from energy denominators of reducible diagrams. Ultraviolet divergencies associated with the loop corrections are isolated in dimensional regularization. The resulting axial current is finite and conserved in the chiral limit, while the axial charge requires renormalization. A complete set of contact terms for the axial charge up to the relevant order in the power counting is constructed.

PACS numbers: 21.45.-v, 23.40-s
I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral symmetry is an approximate symmetry of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the fundamental theory that describes the interactions of quarks and gluons—the symmetry becomes exact in the limit of vanishing quark masses. Chiral effective field theory ($\chi$EFT) is the theoretical framework that permits the derivation of nuclear potentials and electroweak currents from the symmetries of QCD—the exact Lorentz, parity, and time-reversal symmetries, and the approximate chiral symmetry. Pions and nucleons (and low-energy excitations of the nucleon, such as the $\Delta$ isobar), rather than quarks and gluons, are the degrees of freedom of $\chi$EFT. Chiral symmetry requires the pion to couple to these baryons, as well as to other pions, by powers of its momentum $Q$ and, as a consequence, the Lagrangian describing their interactions can be expanded in powers of $Q/\Lambda_\chi$, where $\Lambda_\chi \sim 1$ GeV is the chiral symmetry breaking scale. Classes of Lagrangians emerge, each characterized by a given power of $Q/\Lambda_\chi$, or equivalently a given order in the derivatives of the pion field and/or pion mass factors, and each containing a certain number of unknown parameters, the so-called low-energy constants (LECs). These LECs could in principle be calculated from the underlying QCD theory of quarks and gluons, but the non-perturbative nature of this theory at low energies makes this task extremely difficult. Hence, in practice, the LECs are fixed by comparison with experimental data, and therefore effectively encode short-range physics and the effects of baryon resonances, such as the $\Delta$ isobar, and heavy-meson exchanges, not explicitly retained in the chiral Lagrangians.

Within $\chi$EFT a variety of studies have been carried out in the strong-interaction sector dealing with the derivation of two- and three-nucleon potentials [1–9] and accompanying isospin-symmetry-breaking corrections [10–13], and in the electroweak sector dealing with the derivation of parity-violating two-nucleon potentials induced by hadronic weak interactions [14–17] and the construction of nuclear electroweak currents [18–25]. Most of these studies have been based on a formulation of $\chi$EFT in which nucleons and pions are the explicit degrees of freedom. A few, however, have also retained $\Delta$ isobars as explicit degrees of freedom.

In this paper, the focus is on nuclear axial charge and current operators. These were originally derived up to one loop in heavy-baryon covariant perturbation theory (HBPT) in a pioneering work by Park et al. [18]. Here we re-derive them by employing a formulation of time-ordered perturbation theory (TOPT), which accounts for cancellations occurring at a given order in the power counting between the contributions of irreducible diagrams and the contributions due to non-static corrections from energy denominators of reducible diagrams [20]. Because of the different treatment of reducible diagrams in the HBPT and TOPT approaches, we find differences between the operators obtained in these two formalisms as well as additional differences due to the omission of a number of contributions in Ref. [18], as discussed in Sec. VII.

An accurate theory of nuclear electroweak structure and dynamics is relevant in several areas of current interest. One such area is that of low-energy tests of physics beyond the Standard Model in $\beta$-decay experiments [26]. Phenomenologically, the weak interactions are known to couple only to left-handed neutrinos, and to violate parity maximally. However, beyond the Standard Model (BSM) theories have been constructed in which small deviations from these properties are introduced. These deviations affect the correlation coefficients entering $\beta$-decay rates, and can in principle be detected. For a proper interpretation of these measurements and, in particular, to unravel possible signatures of BSM physics, it is
crucial to have control of the nuclear structure and weak interactions in nuclei.

Another area of interest is that of neutrino interactions with nuclei and neutron matter. The low-energy inelastic neutrino scattering from nuclei is important in astrophysics and for neutrino detectors. The spallation of neutrons from nuclei by neutrino interactions is relevant in setting the neutron to seed ratio in core-collapse supernovae. Accurate predictions for neutrino-nucleus scattering cross sections, specifically from the argon nucleus, are key to the measurements of supernovae neutrino fluxes, a major component of the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE). At temperatures of a few MeV, neutrino processes are also very important in core-collapse supernovae. One significant issue is the decoupling of various flavors of neutrinos and antineutrinos at the surface of the proto-neutron star. This sets the initial temperatures (flux versus energy) of $e$, $\mu$ and $\tau$ neutrinos and antineutrinos. Understanding this initial flux is critical to interpreting the subsequent evolution of neutrinos and their role in the r-process. Neutrino and antineutrino interactions in neutron matter are also of importance in understanding the evolution of the very neutron-rich matter formed in neutron-star mergers, since they can potentially alter the neutron to proton ratio and significantly impact the r-process in neutron star mergers, currently considered to be an important source for r-process nucleosynthesis.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II pion-nucleon ($\pi N$) and pion-pion ($\pi\pi$) interaction Hamiltonians are constructed from the chiral Lagrangian formulation of Refs. [27, 28]—for convenience these Lagrangians are listed in Appendix A where a number of details relative to the construction of the Hamiltonians up to the relevant chiral order are also provided. In Sec. III the power counting scheme and TOPT formulation adopted in the present work are described. These along with the interaction vertices obtained in Appendix B are utilized to derive two-nucleon axial charge and current operators up to one loop in Secs. IV and V respectively. Ultraviolet divergencies associated with the loop corrections are isolated in dimensional regularization: the resulting axial current is then found to be finite, while the axial charge requires renormalization. All this along with the renormalization of the one-pion-exchange (tree-level) axial charge is discussed in Sec. VI. A number of details are relegated to Appendix C where a complete set of contact terms for the axial charge (up to the relevant order) is constructed, to Appendix D where loop functions entering the axial current are defined, and to Appendix E where a listing of counter-terms is given. In Sec. VII a summary and discussion of our results as well as a comparison between the expressions for the axial operators obtained here and those of Park et al. [18] are provided. Conclusions are summarized in Sec. VIII.

II. INTERACTION HAMILTONIANS FROM CHIRAL LAGRANGIANS

The chiral Lagrangian describing the interactions of pions and nucleons is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi N} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\pi N} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\pi N} + \mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{\pi N} + \ldots,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi} = \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\pi\pi} + \mathcal{L}^{(4)}_{\pi\pi} + \ldots,$$

and the superscript $n$ specifies the chiral order $Q^n$ ($Q$ denotes generically the low-momentum scale), i.e., the number of derivatives of the pion field and/or insertions of the pion mass.
External fields are counted as being of order $Q$. Since we are interested in deriving nuclear potentials and currents up one loop, it suffices to retain in $\mathcal{L}$ up to $\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{\pi N}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}_{\pi N}$. The Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}_{\pi N}$ (in fact up to order $n = 4$) and $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}_{\pi \pi}$ have been given, for example, in Refs. [27] and [28], respectively, and are listed in Appendix A of the present paper for completeness. The total Lagrangian can be written as

$$
\mathcal{L} = \overline{N} \left( i \overline{\partial} - m + \Gamma_a^0 \partial_0 \pi_a + \Lambda^i_a \partial_i \pi_a + \Delta \right) N
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial^0 \pi_a G_{ab} \partial_0 \pi_b + \partial^i \pi_a \widetilde{G}_{ab} \partial_i \pi_b - m^2_\pi \pi_a H_{ab} \pi_b \right) - f_\pi A_a^\mu F_{ab}(\partial_\mu \pi_b) ,
$$

(2.4)

where $\pi_a$ is the pion field of isospin component $a$, $N$ is the iso-doublet of nucleon fields, $A^\mu_a$ is the axial-vector field of isospin component $a$, $f_\pi$ is the pion decay constant, and $m$ and $m_\pi$ are, respectively, the nucleon and pion masses. The symbols $\Gamma_a^0$, $\Lambda^i_a$, and $\Delta$ denote combinations of the pion and axial-vector fields (and their derivatives) and/or of pion mass factors, having the following expansions

$$
\Gamma_a^0 = \Gamma_a^0(0) + \Gamma_a^0(1) + \Gamma_a^0(2) ,
$$

(2.5)

and similarly for $\Lambda^i_a$, and

$$
\Delta = \Delta(1) + \Delta(2) + \Delta(3) ,
$$

(2.6)

where the argument $n$ in $\Gamma_a^0(n)$, $\Lambda^i_a(n)$, and $\Delta(n)$ specifies the power counting $Q^n$. The symbols $G_{ab}$, $\widetilde{G}_{ab}$, $H_{ab}$, and $F_{ab}$ denote three-by-three matrices in isospin space, containing powers of the pion field and/or pion mass. A listing of all these quantities, limited to the terms relevant for the construction of the currents at one loop, is provided in Appendix A. At this stage the various fields, masses, and coupling constants are to be understood as bare (un-renormalized) quantities.

From the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ in Eq. (2.4) the conjugate momenta relative to the pion and nucleon fields follow as

$$
\Pi^\dagger = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 N)} = i \overline{N} \gamma^0 ,
$$

(2.7)

$$
\Pi_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \pi_a)} = G_{ab} \partial^0 \pi_b - f_\pi F_{ab} A_b^0 + \overline{N} \Gamma_a^0 N ,
$$

(2.8)

and the Hamiltonian then reads

$$
\mathcal{H} = \Pi^\dagger \partial_0 N + \Pi_a \partial_0 \pi_a - \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_I ,
$$

(2.9)

where $\mathcal{H}_0$,

$$
\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Pi_a \Pi_a - \partial^i \pi_a \partial_i \pi_a + m^2_\pi \pi_a \pi_a \right) + \overline{N} \left( -i \gamma^i \partial_i + m \right) N ,
$$

(2.10)

is the free pion and nucleon Hamiltonian, while $\mathcal{H}_I$ is the Hamiltonian accounting for the interactions between pions and nucleons as well as between these and the external field. By only keeping terms linear in the latter, the interaction Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_I = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_a \left[ (G^{-1})_{ab} - \delta_{ab} \right] \Pi_b - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Pi_a (G^{-1})_{ab} \left( \overline{N} \Gamma_b^0 N \right) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{f_\pi}{2} \left[ \Pi_a \left( G^{-1} \right)_{ab} F_{bc} A_c^0 + \text{h.c.} \right] - \frac{f_\pi}{2} \left[ \left( \overline{N} \Gamma_a^0 N \right) \left( G^{-1} \right)_{ab} F_{bc} A_c^0 + \text{h.c.} \right]
$$

(2.11)
\( + \left( \Gamma^0 \right)^a_b \left( G^{-1} \right)^{ab} \left( \Gamma^0 \right)^a_b \right) - \left( \Lambda^i_a \partial_i \pi_a + \Delta \right) N \\
- \frac{1}{2} \partial^i \pi_a \left( G_{ab} - \delta_{ab} \right) \partial_i \pi_b + f_\pi A^i_a F_{ab} \partial_i \pi_b + \frac{m^2}{2} \pi_a \left( H_{ab} - \delta_{ab} \right) \pi_b \right). \tag{2.11}

It admits the following expansion in powers of \( Q \):

\[ \mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_f^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}_f^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}_f^{(3)} + \ldots, \tag{2.12} \]

and the vertices corresponding to the various interaction terms are listed in Appendix \[B\].

\section{From Amplitudes to Currents}

The expansion of the transition amplitude for a given process is based on TOPT. Terms in this expansion are conveniently represented by diagrams. We distinguish between reducible diagrams (diagrams which involve at least one pure nucleonic intermediate state) and irreducible diagrams (diagrams which include pionic and nucleonic intermediate states). The former are enhanced with respect to the latter by a factor of \( Q \) for each pure nucleonic intermediate state (see below). In the static limit—in the limit \( m \to \infty \), i.e., neglecting nucleon kinetic energies—reducible contributions are infrared-divergent. The prescription proposed by Weinberg \[29\] to treat these is to define the nuclear potential and currents as given by the irreducible contributions only. Reducible contributions, instead, are generated by solving the Lippmann-Schwinger (or Schrödinger) equation iteratively with the nuclear potential (and currents) arising from irreducible amplitudes.

The formalism developed by some of the present authors is based on this prescription \[20\]. However, the omission of reducible contributions from the definition of nuclear operators needs to be dealt with care when the irreducible amplitude is evaluated under an approximation. It is usually the case that the irreducible amplitude is evaluated in the static limit approximation. The iterative process will then generate only that part of the reducible amplitude including the approximate static nuclear operators. The reducible part obtained beyond the static limit approximation needs to be incorporated order by order—along with the irreducible amplitude—in the definition of nuclear operators. This scheme in combination with TOPT, which is best suited to separate the reducible content from the irreducible one, has been implemented in Refs. \[21, 23, 25\] and is briefly described below. The method leads to nuclear operators which are not uniquely defined due to the non-uniqueness of the transition amplitude off-the-energy shell. While non unique, the resulting operators are nevertheless unitarily equivalent, and therefore the description of physical systems is not affected by this ambiguity \[23, 30\].

We note that an alternative approach, implemented to face the difficulties posed by the reducible amplitudes, has been introduced by Epelebaum and collaborators \[31\]. The method, referred to as the unitary transformation method, is based on TOPT and exploits the Okubo (unitary) transformation \[32\] to decouple the Fock space of pions and nucleons into two subspaces, one containing only pure nucleonic states and the other involving states that retain at least one pion. In this decoupled space, the amplitude does not involve enhanced contributions associated with the reducible diagrams. The subspaces are not-uniquely defined, since it is always possible to perform additional unitary transformations onto them, with a consequent change in the formal definition of the resulting nuclear operators. This, of course, does not affect physical representations.
The two TOPT-based methods outlined above lead to formally equivalent operator structures for the nuclear potential and electromagnetic charge and current up to loop corrections included, which makes it plausible to conjecture that the two methods are closely related. However, this topic has not been investigated further. In what follows, we focus on the method developed in Refs. [21, 23, 25] and show how nuclear operators are derived from transition amplitudes. Here, we are especially interested in the construction of the two-body weak axial charge and current operators. We will not discuss the aforementioned unitary equivalence between operators corresponding to different off-the-energy-shell extrapolations of the transition amplitudes. This issue has already been addressed in considerable detail in Ref. [23] for the case of the two-body nuclear potential and electromagnetic charge and current operators. Similar considerations apply to the present case.

The starting point is the conventional perturbative expansion for the amplitude

\[ \langle f | T_5 | i \rangle = \langle f | H_I \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{E_i - H_0 + i \eta} H_I \right)^{n-1} | i \rangle, \tag{3.1} \]

where \(| i \rangle\) and \(| f \rangle\) represent the initial and final states, respectively | \(N_1 N_2 A\) and | \(N'_1 N'_2\) \(\Lambda\) denotes generically the external axial field), of energies \(E_i\) and \(E_f\) with \(E_i = E_f\), \(H_0\) is the Hamiltonian describing free pions and nucleons, and \(H_I\) is the Hamiltonian describing interactions among these particles \((H_0 = \int dx H_0(x)\) and similarly for \(H_I\), with \(H_0\) and \(H_I\) as defined in Sec. [\(N\)] with the various fields taken in the Schrödinger picture). The evaluation of this amplitude is carried out in practice by inserting complete sets of \(H_0\) eigenstates between successive terms of \(H_I\). Power counting is then used to organize the expansion in powers of \(Q/\Lambda_\chi \ll 1\).

In the perturbative series, Eq. (3.1), a generic (reducible or irreducible) contribution is characterized by a certain number, say \(N\), of vertices, each scaling as \(Q^{\alpha_i} \times Q^{-\beta_i/2}\) \((i=1, \ldots, N)\), where \(\alpha_i\) is the power counting implied by the specific term in the interaction Hamiltonian \(H_I\) under consideration and \(\beta_i\) is the number of pions in and/or out of the vertex, a corresponding \(N-1\) number of energy denominators, and \(L\) loops. Out of these \(N-1\) energy denominators, \(N_K\) of them will involve only nucleon kinetic energies and possibly, depending on the particular time ordering under consideration, the energy \(\omega_\pi\) associated with the external field, both of which scale as \(Q^2\), while the remaining \(N - N_K - 1\) energy denominators will involve, in addition, pion energies, which are of order \(Q\). Loops, on the other hand, contribute a factor \(Q^3\) each, since they imply integrations over intermediate three momenta. Hence the power counting associated with such a contribution is

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} Q^{\alpha_i - \beta_i/2} \times \left[ Q^{- (N - N_K - 1)} Q^{- 2N_K} \right] \times Q^{3L}. \tag{3.2} \]

Clearly, each of the \(N - N_K - 1\) energy denominators can be further expanded as

\[ \frac{1}{E_i - E_f - \omega_\pi} = -\frac{1}{\omega_\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{E_i - E_f}{\omega_\pi} + \frac{(E_i - E_f)^2}{\omega_\pi^2} + \ldots \right], \tag{3.3} \]

where \(E_f\) denotes the energy of the intermediate state (including the kinetic energies of the two nucleons and, where appropriate, the energy of the external field), and \(\omega_\pi\) the pion energy (or energies, as the case may be)—the ratio \((E_i - E_f)/\omega_\pi\) is of order \(Q\). The leading order term \(-1/\omega_\pi\) represents the static limit, while the sub-leading terms involving powers
of \((E_i - E_I)/\omega_n\) represent non-static corrections of increasing order; elsewhere \([20, 21]\), we have referred to these as recoil corrections.

Interactions with the external axial field are treated in first order in Eq. (3.1), and inspection of the \(Q\) scaling of the various terms shows that the associated transition amplitude admits the following expansion

\[
T_5 = T_5^{(-3)} + T_5^{(-2)} + T_5^{(-1)} + \ldots ,
\]

where \(T_5^{(n)}\) is of order \(Q^n\). Next, we denote the two-nucleon strong-interaction potential with \(v\) and the weak-interaction potential with \(v_5 = A_0^0 \rho_{5,a} - A_a \cdot j_{5,a}\), where \(\rho_{5,a}\) and \(j_{5,a}\) are, respectively, the nuclear weak axial charge and current operators and \(A_a^\mu = (A_a^0, A_a)\) is the external axial field. We construct \(v + v_5\) by requiring that iterations of \(v + v_5\) in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation \([23]\)

\[
(v + v_5) + (v + v_5) G_0 (v + v_5) + (v + v_5) G_0 (v + v_5) G_0 (v + v_5) + \ldots ,
\]

match the \(T_5\) amplitude, on the energy shell \(E_i = E_I\), order by order in the power counting; here \(G_0\) denotes the propagator \(G_0 = 1/(E_i - E_I + i\eta)\). The potentials \(v\) and \(v_5\) have the following expansions

\[
v = v^{(0)} + v^{(2)} + v^{(3)} + \ldots ,
\]

\[
v_5 = v_5^{(-3)} + v_5^{(-2)} + v_5^{(-1)} + v_5^{(0)} + v_5^{(1)} + \ldots ,
\]

where the potentials \(v^{(n)}\) have been derived in Refs. \([21, 23]\), in particular \(v^{(1)}\) vanishes \([23]\), and \(v_5^{(n)} = A_0^0 \rho_{5,a}^{(n)} - A_a \cdot j_{5,a}^{(n)}\). The superscript \((n)\) on \(v_5\) and \(T_5\) only refers to the power counting of \(\rho_{5,a}^{(n)}\) and \(j_{5,a}^{(n)}\), and does not include the power of \(Q\) associated with the external field. The matching between \(T_5^{(n)}\) and \(v_5^{(n)}\) leads to the following relations \([23]\)

\[
v_5^{(-3)} = T_5^{(-3)} ,
\]

\[
v_5^{(-2)} = T_5^{(-2)} - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(0)} + v^{(0)} G_0 v_5^{(-3)} \right] ,
\]

\[
v_5^{(-1)} = T_5^{(-1)} - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-2)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-1)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v_5^{(-1)} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(2)} + v^{(2)} G_0 v_5^{(-3)} \right] ,
\]

\[
v_5^{(0)} = T_5^{(0)} - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-2)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-1)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v_5^{(-1)} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(2)} + v^{(2)} G_0 v_5^{(-3)} \right] ,
\]

\[
v_5^{(1)} = T_5^{(1)} - \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-2)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] - \left[ v_5^{(-1)} G_0 v^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right] ,
\]
− \left[ v_5^{(0)} G_0 v^{(0)} + v^{(0)} G_0 v_5^{(0)} \right]
− \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(2)} G_0 v^{(0)} + \text{permutations} \right]
− \left[ v_5^{(-2)} G_0 v^{(2)} + v^{(2)} G_0 v_5^{(-2)} \right]
− \left[ v_5^{(-3)} G_0 v^{(3)} G_0 v_5^{(-3)} \right], \tag{3.12}

and a similar set of relations is obtained between \( T^{(n)} \) and \( v^{(n)} \), i.e., the amplitudes and potentials in the presence of strong interactions only \[23\]. These relations allow us to construct \( v^{(n)} \) and \( v_5^{(n)} \) from \( T^{(n)} \) and \( T_5^{(n)} \).

**FIG. 1.** Diagrams a1 and a2 contribute to the one-body axial current operator at order \( Q^{-3} \). Diagram c contributes to the one-body axial charge operator at order \( Q^{-2} \). Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for diagrams a2 and c. The full dot in c is from the interaction vertex \( H^{(2)}_{\pi NN} \), see Appendix B.

The weak axial charge and current operators at leading order consist of the single-nucleon contributions shown in Fig. 1 and are given by

\[
\rho_{5,a}^{(-2)}(q) = -\frac{g_A}{4 m} \tau_{1,a} \sigma_1 \cdot (p'_1 + p_1) (2\pi)^3 \delta(p_1 + q - p'_1) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \tag{3.13}
\]

\[
j_{5,a}^{(-3)}(q) = -\frac{g_A}{2} \tau_{1,a} \left[ \sigma_1 \cdot \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2} \sigma_1 \cdot q \right] (2\pi)^3 \delta(p_1 + q - p'_1) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \tag{3.14}
\]

where \( q \) is the momentum carried by the external field, and \( p_i \) and \( p'_i \) are the initial and final momenta of nucleon \( i \). The counting \( Q^{-3} \) of \( j_{5,a} \) (panel a1 in Fig. 1) follows from the product of a factor \( Q^0 \) associated with the \( A NN \) current vertex (recall that the \( Q \) scaling of the external field is not counted), and a factor \( Q^{-3} \) due to the momentum-conserving \( \delta \)-function \( \delta(p'_2 - p_2) \) implicit in disconnected terms of this type. Evaluation of the pion-pole contribution (panel c), in which the axial source couples directly to the pion which is then absorbed by the nucleon, leads to the \( \rho_{5,a}^{(-2)} \) expression in Eq. (3.13). In this disconnected term, the counting \( Q^{-2} \) accounts for the \( Q^{-3} \) factor due to \( \delta(p'_2 - p_2) \), the factors \( Q \) and \( Q^2 \) of the \( \pi A \) and \( \pi NN \) vertices, respectively, and the factor \( Q^{-2} \) from the pion field normalization and energy denominator associated with the intermediate state. A similar counting is applied to panel a2 in Fig. 1 contributing to \( j_{5,a} \).

There is no direct coupling of the nucleon to \( A_0^a \): the interaction \(- (g_A/2) \bar{N} \tau \cdot A_0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 N \) in

\[ -\bar{N} \Delta(2) N, \]
with $\Delta(2)$ as given by Eq. \[A59\] occurs with the opposite sign in

$$- \left( \frac{f_\pi}{2} \right) \left[ \bar{N} \Gamma^0_a(1) N \left( G^{-1} \right)_{ab} F_{bc} A^0_c + \text{h.c.} \right],$$

with $\Gamma^0_a(1)$ as in the first term of Eq. \[A57\] and $(G)^{-1}_{ab} = F_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ up to $\pi_a \pi_b$ or $m^2_{\pi}$ terms, and hence cancels out in Eq. (2.11). The single-nucleon axial charge of the correct sign and strength follows from the sum of the two time-ordered contributions of diagram $c$ with the full dot representing the interaction $(g_A/2f_\pi) \bar{N} \tau \Pi \gamma^5 \gamma N$ from

$$- \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[ \Pi_a \left( G^{-1} \right)_{ab} \bar{N} \Gamma^0_b(1) N + \text{h.c.} \right].$$

Because of the different power counting of the leading order terms in the current and charge operators, the strong interaction potentials needed to construct these operators up to order $n = 1$ include corrections up to $n = 3$, i.e., $v^{(3)}$, in the case of the current and up to $n = 2$, i.e., $v^{(2)}$, in the case of the charge. The leading order (LO) term $v^{(0)}$ consists of (static) one-pion-exchange (OPE) and contact interactions, while the next-to-leading order (NLO) term $v^{(1)}$ (as already noted) vanishes (see Ref. [23]). The next-to-next-to leading order (N2LO) term $v^{(2)}$ contains two-pion-exchange (TPE) and contact interactions, the latter involving two gradients of the nucleon fields. The $v^{(2)}$ term was originally derived in Ref. [1], and is well known. However, at N2LO there is also a recoil correction to the OPE, which we write as [30]

$$v^{(2)}(\nu) = v^{(0)}(\nu)(k) \frac{(1 - \nu) \left[ (E'_1 - E_1)^2 + (E'_2 - E_2)^2 \right] - 2 \nu (E'_1 - E_1)(E'_2 - E_2)}{2 \omega_k^2}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $v^{(0)}(k)$ is the leading order OPE potential, defined as

$$v^{(0)}(k) = - \frac{g^2_{\pi}}{4 f_\pi^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \sigma_1 \cdot k \sigma_2 \cdot k \frac{1}{\omega_k^2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$E_i$ ($p_i$) and $E'_i$ ($p'_i$) are the initial and final energies (momenta) of nucleon $i$, and $k = p_1 - p'_1$. There is an infinite class of corrections $v^{(2)}(\nu)$, labeled by the parameter $\nu$, which, while equivalent on the energy shell ($E'_1 + E'_2 = E_1 + E_2$) and hence independent of $\nu$, are different off the energy shell. Friar [30] has in fact shown that these different off-the-energy-shell extrapolations $v^{(2)}(\nu)$ are unitarily equivalent.

The next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order (N3LO) term $v^{(3)}$ includes interactions generated by vertices from the sub-leading Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)}$—these are of no interest for the present discussion—as well as non-static corrections to the N2LO potentials $v^{(2)}$. Among these, the TPE correction $v^{(3)}_{\pi}(\nu)$ (from direct and crossed box diagrams) depends on the specific choice made for $v^{(2)}(\nu)$. However, as shown in Ref. [23], the unitary equivalence remains valid also for $v^{(3)}(\nu)$. In the derivation of the axial current $j^{(n)}_{\pi \alpha}$ at $n = 1$ below, the choice $\nu = 0$ is made for $v^{(2)}(\nu)$ and $v^{(3)}(\nu)$, specifically Eq. (3.15) above and Eq. (19) of Ref. [23]. The remaining non-static corrections in the potential $v^{(3)}$ are as given in Eqs. (B8), (B10), and (B12) of that work. Clearly, different choices in the off-the-energy-shell extrapolations of these potentials will lead to different forms for (some of) the $j^{(1)}_{\pi \alpha}(\nu)$ corrections to the axial current. As shown in the case of the electromagnetic charge operator [23], one would expect these different forms to be unitarily equivalent. However, this has not been verified explicitly in the present case.
IV. AXIAL CHARGE

The nuclear weak axial charge two-body operator can be written as
\[ \rho_{5,a} = \rho^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} + \rho^{\text{TPE}}_{5,a} + \rho^{\text{CT}}_{5,a}, \]
namely as a sum of terms due to one-pion exchange (OPE), two-pion exchange (TPE), and contact contributions (CT). We defer the discussion of loop corrections to the OPE axial charge (and current) and of their renormalization to a later section. In the following, and in Sec. V as well, contributions to the OPE and TPE (or MPE in Sec. V) operators are labeled by the power counting superscript \( (n) \). While each individual contribution is not explicitly identified as being OPE and TPE (or MPE), this is obvious from the context.

Here and throughout this paper, we adopt the following conventions. The momenta \( k_i \) and \( K_i \) are defined as
\[ K_i = \left( p_i' + p_i \right) / 2, \quad k_i = p_i' - p_i, \]
where \( p_i \) (\( p_i' \)) is the initial (final) momentum of nucleon \( i \). A symmetrization \( (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \) and an overall momentum-conserving \( \delta \)-function \( (2\pi)^3 \delta(k_1 + k_2 - q) \) are understood in all terms listed below unless otherwise noted.

A. Leading one-pion and two-pion exchange contributions

Diagrams contributing to \( \rho^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} \) at leading order and to \( \rho^{\text{TPE}}_{5,a} \) are shown, respectively, in panels a1 and a2, and panels c1-c12 of Fig. 2. The contributions of a1-a2, and c1-c2 and c5-c6 are given by
\[ \rho^{(-1)}_{5,a}(a1) = i \frac{g_A}{8 f_\pi} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}, \]
\[ \rho^{(-1)}_{5,a}(a2) = \rho^{(-1)}_{5,a}(a1), \]
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c1 + c2) = i \frac{g_A}{16 f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 I^{(0)}(k_2), \]
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c5 + c6) = i \frac{g_A^3}{16 f_\pi^4} \left[ 4 \tau_{1,a} \sigma_{1i} \left( \sigma_2 \times k_2 \right)_j J^{(2)}_{ij}(k_2) \right. + \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \left[ k_2^2 J^{(0)}(k_2) - J^{(2)}(k_2) \right] \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \right], \]
while those of c3-c4, c7-c8, and c9-c12 vanish. Corrections proportional to \( 1/m \) to topologies a1 and a2, due to non-static corrections to the energy denominators, that enter at order \( Q \), vanish after summing over all time orderings. Contributions, coming from \( \mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\pi NN} \) and \( \mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{2\pi NN} \), to topologies a1 and a2, that enter at order \( Q \), turn out to vanish. The freedom in the choice of pion field, parametrized by the parameter \( \alpha \) in Appendix A, introduces an \( \alpha \)-dependence in the interaction vertices with three or four pions, see Appendix B. The contributions of diagrams c4 and c8, which include a 3\( \pi \) vertex, turn out to vanish identically. But in general this \( \alpha \) dependence must cancel out exactly, as is indeed the case for the two-nucleon axial charge and current operators obtained in this work. The loop functions have been defined as
\[ I^{(0)}(k) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} f(\omega_-, \omega_+), \]
\[ J^{(0)}(k) = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} g(\omega_+, \omega_-) , \quad (4.8) \]
\[ J^{(2)}(k) = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} p^2 g(\omega_+, \omega_-) , \quad (4.9) \]
\[ J^{(2)}_{ij}(k) = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} p_i p_j g(\omega_+, \omega_-) , \quad (4.10) \]

with
\[ f(\omega_-, \omega_+) = \frac{1}{\omega_+ \omega_- (\omega_+ + \omega_-)} , \quad (4.11) \]
\[ g(\omega_-, \omega_+) = \frac{\omega_+^2 + \omega_+ \omega_- + \omega_-^2}{\omega_+^3 \omega_-^3 (\omega_+ + \omega_-)} , \quad (4.12) \]

and
\[ \omega_\pm = \sqrt{(p \pm k)^2 + 4m_n^2} . \quad (4.13) \]

They are evaluated in dimensional regularization \[ [21] \]. Insertion of the finite parts of these loop functions leads to
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c1 + c2) = -i \frac{g_A}{128 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \frac{s_2}{k_2} \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) , \quad (4.14) \]
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c5 + c6) = -i \frac{g_A^3}{128 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ 4 \tau_{1,a} (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot k_2 \frac{s_2}{k_2} \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. - (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \frac{k_2^2 + 2 s_2^2}{k_2 s_2} \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) \right] , \quad (4.15) \]

where
\[ s_j = \sqrt{4 m_n^2 + k_j^2} . \quad (4.16) \]

The divergent parts read
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c1 + c2)_{\infty} = -i \frac{g_A}{128 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 (d_\epsilon - 1) , \quad (4.17) \]
\[ \rho^{(1)}_{5,a}(c5 + c6)_{\infty} = -i \frac{g_A^3}{32 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ \tau_{1,a} (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot k_2 \left( d_\epsilon - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. - \frac{3}{4} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \left( d_\epsilon + \frac{1}{3} \right) \right] , \quad (4.18) \]

with the constant \( d_\epsilon \) defined as
\[ d_\epsilon = -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \gamma - \ln 4\pi + \ln \frac{m_n^2}{\mu^2} - 1 , \quad (4.19) \]

where \( \epsilon = 3 - d \) (\( d \) is the number of dimensions), \( \gamma \) is Euler's constant, and \( \mu \) is a renormalization scale.
FIG. 2. Diagrams contributing to the OPE axial charge at leading order $Q^{-1}$ (panels a1 and a2), and to the TPE axial charge operator at order $Q$. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for each topology.

B. Contact contributions

At order $Q^0$ there are no contact terms contributing to $\rho_{5,a}^{\text{CT}}$. Those at order $Q$ are given by (see Appendix [C])

$$\rho_{5,a}^{\text{CT}} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} z_i O_i ,$$ 

(4.20)

where the $z_i$ are (unknown) LECs and the operators $O_i$ with $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, symmetrized with respect to the exchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, have been defined as

$$O_1 = i (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \cdot k_2 - \sigma_2 \cdot k_1) ,$$ 

(4.21)

$$O_2 = i (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \cdot k_1 - \sigma_2 \cdot k_2) ,$$ 

(4.22)

$$O_3 = i (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot (\tau_{1,a} k_2 - \tau_{2,a} k_1) ,$$ 

(4.23)

$$O_4 = (\tau_{1,a} - \tau_{2,a}) (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 + K_2) .$$ 

(4.24)
We observe that the loop divergencies from c1-c2 and c5-c6 can be reabsorbed in the LECs $z_1$ and $z_3$.

V. AXIAL CURRENT

Before considering the two-body contributions, we note that at order $Q^{-1}$ there are relativistic corrections to the one-body current represented in diagrams b1 and b2 of Fig. 3, given by

\[ j_{5,a}(-1)(b1) = \frac{g_A}{4m^2} \tau_{1,a} \left[ K_1^2 \mathbf{\sigma}_1 + i \mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_1 - \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{K}_1 + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 \right], \quad (5.1) \]

\[ j_{5,a}(-1)(b2) = -\frac{q}{q^2 + m_N^2} \left[ q \cdot j_{5,a}(-1)(b1) + \frac{g_A}{2m^2} \tau_{1,a} \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{K}_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{K}_1 \right], \quad (5.2) \]

where b2 contains two contributions at order $Q^{-1}$: one is from the $1/m^2$ terms originating from the non-relativistic expansion of the $\pi NN$ interaction $H_{\pi NN}^{(1)}$; the other is due to the $1/m$ terms in $H_{\pi NN}^{(2)}$ and the (leading) non-static corrections (proportional to $1/m$) to energy denominators. The b1 current has been found to give a significant contribution to the cross section for proton weak capture on $^3$He of interest in solar physics [33].

As for the charge, the two-body current is written as a sum of one-pion exchange (OPE), multi-pion exchange (MPE), and contact (CT) terms (notation and conventions are as in Sec. IV),

\[ j_{5,a} = j_{5,a}^{\text{OPE}} + j_{5,a}^{\text{MPE}} + j_{5,a}^{\text{CT}}. \quad (5.3) \]

We discuss $j_{5,a}^{\text{CT}}$ here. It is well known [33] that a single contact term occurs at order $Q^0$, which we choose as

\[ j_{5,a}^{\text{CT}} = z_0 \left[ \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{\sigma}_2 - \frac{q}{q^2 + m_N^2} \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a q \cdot (\mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{\sigma}_2) \right], \quad (5.4) \]

(where the second term of Eq. (5.4) is the pion-pole contribution) and none at order $Q$ (see Appendix C). This term is due to the interaction $(\bar{N} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u \gamma_5 N) \bar{N} N$ and, as first pointed by the authors of Ref. [34], the LEC $z_0$ is related to the LEC $c_D$ (in standard notation) entering the three-nucleon potential at leading order. The two LECs $c_D$ and $c_E$ which fully characterize this potential have been recently constrained by reproducing the empirical value of the Gamow-Teller matrix element in tritium $\beta$ decay and the binding energies of the trinucleons [35, 36].

FIG. 3. Diagrams illustrating the relativistic corrections to the one-body axial current. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for diagram b2. See text for further explanations.
A. Leading one-pion and multi-pion exchange and short-range contributions

Leading contributions to $j_{5,a}^{\text{OPE}}$ and $j_{5,a}^{\text{MPE}}$ are shown, respectively, in panels d1-d2, and panels e1-e23 of Fig. 4. There are no contributions at order $Q^{-1}$ from diagrams d1 and d2: in d1 the interaction $H_{\pi N N A}^{(1)}$ contains no coupling to the field $A_a$, while in d2 the sum over the 6 time orderings, when leading order vertices from $H_{\pi A}^{(2)}$, $H_{2e N N}^{(1)}$, and $H_{\pi N N}^{(1)}$ are considered, vanishes. The first non-vanishing contributions enter at order $Q^0$, and read

\[
j_{5,a}^{(0)}(d1) = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi^2} \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \left[ \frac{K_1}{2m} - \frac{c_6 + 1}{4m} \sigma_1 \times q + \left( c_4 + \frac{1}{4m} \right) \sigma_1 \times k_2 \right] \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} + \frac{g_A}{f_\pi^2} c_3 \tau_{2,a} k_2 \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}, \tag{5.5}\]

\[
j_{5,a}^{(0)}(d2) = -\frac{g_A}{2f_\pi^2 q^2 + m_\pi^2} \left[ \tau_{2,a} \left( 4c_1 m_\pi^2 + 2c_3 q \cdot k_2 \right) - c_4 \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \sigma_1 \cdot (q \times k_2) \right] \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} - i \frac{g_A}{16 m f_\pi^2 q^2 + m_\pi^2} \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \left( 2K_1 + i \sigma_1 \times k_1 \right) \cdot (q + k_2) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} + i \frac{g_A}{8 m f_\pi^2 q^2 + m_\pi^2} \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \left( K_1 \cdot k_1 + 2K_2 \cdot k_2 \right) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}. \tag{5.6}\]

For the diagrams contributing to $j_{5,a}^{\text{MPE}}$ only a single time ordering is displayed for each topology. It is understood that denominators involving pion energies in the reducible topologies of diagrams e1-e2, e6-e7, e8-e10, e13-e14, e20-e21, and e22-e23 are expanded as in Eq. (3.3). The resulting contributions depend on the off-the-energy-shell prescription adopted for the non-static corrections to the OPE, TPE, and OPE-contact potentials [23]. Different prescriptions lead to different formal expressions for these corrections as well as the accompanying weak axial current operators, which, however, are expected to be related to each other by unitary transformations. This unitary equivalence was discussed in considerable detail in Ref. [23], where it was explicitly verified to hold in the case of the electromagnetic charge operator. Here we reiterate that the axial current operators derived below are obtained by adopting the $\nu = 0$ prescription for the non-static corrections to the OPE, TPE, and OPE-contact potentials, as given in Eq. (3.15) of the present work and in Eqs. (19), (B8), (B10), and (B12) of Ref. [23]. We find that the contributions of diagrams e3, e6-e7, e11-e14, e18-e19, e22-e23 vanish, while those of the remaining diagrams are given by

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e1) = -\frac{g_A^3}{16 f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} \left[ R_{ij}^{(2)}(k_2) \sigma_{1j} - k_2 R_{ij}^{(0)}(k_2) \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \right], \tag{5.7}\]

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e2) = -\frac{g_A^3}{f_\pi^4 q^2 + m_\pi^2} q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e1), \tag{5.8}\]

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e4) = -\frac{g_A^3}{16 f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} \left[ k_2^2 R_{ij}^{(0)}(k_1) - R_{ij}^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \sigma_2, \tag{5.9}\]

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e5) = -\frac{g_A^3}{32 f_\pi^4 q^2 + m_\pi^2} \tau_{2,a} \left[ k_1^2 R_{ij}^{(0)}(k_1) - R_{ij}^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \left( 10 \alpha - 1 \right) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 + \sigma_2 \cdot k_1 - \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a R_{ij}^{(2)}(k_1) \left( \sigma_1 \times k_1, \sigma_2 \right), \tag{5.10}\]
FIG. 4. Diagrams contributing to the OPE axial current operator at order $Q^0$ and to the MPE axial current at order $Q$. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for each topology.

\[
\mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1)}(e8) = -\frac{g_5^2}{16 f_\pi^4} \left[ \tau_{2,a} \left( \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2 \right) \times \mathbf{k}_2 \left[ k_2^2 S^{(0)}(k_2) - S^{(2)}(k_2) \right] \right. \\
+ \left[ k_2^2 S^{(2)}(k_2) - S^{(4)}(k_2) \right] \mathbf{\sigma}_1 - \left[ k_2^2 S^{(2)}_{ij}(k_2) - S^{(4)}_{ij}(k_2) \right] \sigma_{1j} \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \tau_{1,a} \left( \mathbf{\sigma}_2 \times \mathbf{k}_2 \right) \times \mathbf{k}_2 S^{(2)}(k_2) \right],
\]  

(5.11)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e9) &= -\frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e8), \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e10) &= \frac{g_A^3}{32 f^4} \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \left[ (2 \tau_{2,a} - \tau_{1,a}) \left[ k_2^2 R^{(0)}(k_2) - R^{(2)}(k_2) \right] \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \\
&\quad + (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) (\sigma_2 \times \mathbf{k}_2)_i \sigma_{1j} \right], \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e15) &= \frac{g_A^3}{32 f^4} \tau_{2,a} \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \left[ \left( 10 \alpha q - 3 k_1 + k_2 \right) \left[ k_1^2 R^{(0)}(k_1) - R^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \\
&\quad - 4 (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) (\sigma_1 \times \mathbf{k}_1)_j \right] \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e16) &= \frac{g_A^3}{64 f^4} \tau_{2,a} \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \left[ \left( 10 \alpha q - 3 k_1 + k_2 \right) \left[ k_1^2 R^{(0)}(k_1) - R^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{80 \alpha J_2}{\omega_2^2} + \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) (\sigma_1 \times \mathbf{k}_1)_j (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{q})_j \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e17) &= \frac{g_A^3}{8 f^4} \tau_{2,a} \frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \left( 1 - 10 \alpha \right) J_1 R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{q})_j \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e20) &= \frac{g_A^3}{3 f^4} C_T \tau_{1,a} J_{14} \sigma_2, \\
\mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e21) &= -\frac{q}{q^2 + m^2_\pi} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}^{(1)}_{5,a}(e20),
\end{align*}
\]

where the constants \(J_{mn}\) are as in Eq. (B2), and the loop functions \(R^{(n)}_{ij}\) have been defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
R^{(0)}(k) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-), \\
R^{(2)}(k) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathbf{p}^2 \tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-), \\
R^{(2)}_{ij}(k) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j \tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-), \\
R^{(4)}(k) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathbf{p}^4 \tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-), \\
R^{(4)}_{ij}(k) &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j \mathbf{p}^2 \tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-),
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{f}(\omega_+, \omega_-) = \frac{1}{\omega_+^2 \omega_-^2}.
\]
The loop functions $S_{ij}^{(n)}$ are defined similarly with $\tilde{f}(\omega_+\omega_-)$ replaced by

$$\tilde{g}(\omega_+\omega_-) = \frac{\omega_+^2 + \omega_-^2}{\omega_+ \omega_-} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dm_\pi^2} \tilde{f}(\omega_+\omega_-) .$$

After dimensional regularization, we obtain

$$R^{(0)}(k) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_0^1 dz \frac{1}{M(k, z)} ,$$

$$R^{(2)}(k) = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dz \left[ M(k, z) - \frac{1}{12} \frac{(z - \bar{z})^2}{M(k, z)} k^2 \right] ,$$

$$R_{ij}^{(2)}(k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \delta_{ij} M(k, z) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(z - \bar{z})^2}{M(k, z)} k_i k_j \right] ,$$

$$R^{(4)}(k) = \frac{5}{\pi} \int_0^1 dz \left[ M(k, z)^3 - \frac{1}{2} (z - \bar{z})^2 M(k, z) k^2 + \frac{1}{80} \frac{(z - \bar{z})^4}{M(k, z)} k^4 \right] ,$$

$$R_{ij}^{(4)}(k) = \frac{5}{3\pi} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \delta_{ij} M(k, z)^3 - \frac{3}{20} (z - \bar{z})^2 M(k, z) k^2 \right]
- \frac{21}{20} \left[ (z - \bar{z})^2 M(k, z) - \frac{1}{28} \frac{(z - \bar{z})^4}{M(k, z)} k_i k_j \right] ,$$

where

$$M(k, z) = \sqrt{z \bar{z} k^2 + m_\pi^2} ,$$

and

$$\bar{z} = 1 - z .$$

The regularized $S_{ij}^{(n)}(k)$ loop functions easily follow from Eq. (5.26). Inserting these relations into the equations above, and noting that the $\alpha$ dependence cancels out upon summing the contributions of diagrams e5, e15, e16, and e17, we obtain the expressions reported in Appendix D. No divergencies occur in these loop corrections at order $Q$, consistently with the fact that there are no contact terms in the axial current at this order. Contributions coming from $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)}$, proportional to $d_i$’s, that enter through topologies d1 and d2 turn out to vanish.

VI. RENORMALIZATION OF THE ONE-PION EXCHANGE AXIAL CHARGE

We now proceed to renormalize the order $Q$ loop corrections to the OPE axial charge operator (as shown below, no renormalization at this order is needed for the loop corrections to the OPE axial current). We first construct the set of relevant counter-terms, and then carry out the renormalization of the nucleon and pion masses, field rescaling factors $Z_\pi$ and $Z_N$, pion decay constant $f_\pi$, nucleon axial coupling constant $g_A$, and, lastly, loop corrections to the OPE axial charge. We define

$$\pi_a = \sqrt{Z_\pi} \bar{\pi}_\pi^r , \quad N = \sqrt{Z_N} N^r ,$$

where $\bar{\pi}_\pi^r$ and $N^r$ denote, respectively, the renormalized pion and nucleon fields, and $Z_\pi$ and $Z_N$ are the corresponding field rescaling constants, assumed to have the following expansions

$$Z_\pi = 1 + \delta Z_\pi , \quad \delta Z_\pi \sim Q^2 ,$$

$$Z_N = 1 + \delta Z_N , \quad \delta Z_N \sim Q^2 ,$$

$$\delta Z_\pi \sim Q^2 ,$$

and

$$\delta Z_N \sim Q^2 .$$
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\[ Z_N = 1 + \delta Z_N, \quad \delta Z_N \sim Q^2 . \] (6.3)

We also define the physical pion mass \( m_\pi^r \) and nucleon mass \( m^r \) as
\[
m^r_\pi = m_\pi^r + \delta m_\pi^r, \quad \delta m_\pi^2 \sim Q^4, \quad m^r = m + \delta m, \quad \delta m \sim Q^2.
\] (6.4)

As illustrated in Appendix [E], the total Lagrangian can be written as
\[
\mathcal{L} = \overline{N}^r \left( i \partial - m^r + \Gamma^0_\mu \partial_{\mu} \pi^r_a + \Lambda^i_\mu \partial_i \pi^r_a + \Delta^0_\mu \right) N^r \n + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial^0 \pi^r_a G^r_{ab} \partial_0 \pi^r_b + \partial^i \pi^r_a \partial^r_0 \pi^r_b - m^r_\pi \pi^r_a H^r_{ab} \pi^r_b \right) - f_\pi \Lambda^\mu_\mu F^r_{ab} \partial_\mu \pi^r_a 
+ \delta m \overline{N}^r N^r + \delta Z_N \overline{N}^r \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m^r \right) N^r + \frac{\delta m^2}{2} \pi^r_a \pi^r_a, \quad (6.6)
\]

which is then expressed in terms of renormalized fields and masses, but bare coupling constants \( g_A \) and \( f_\pi \) and LECs. This Lagrangian has essentially the same form as the bare one in Eq. (2.4) (the primed quantities are defined in Appendix [E], and leads to a similar interaction Hamiltonian as in Eq. (2.11).

\[
\mathcal{H}_I = \mathcal{H}_I[\text{Eq. (2.11)} \text{ with primed quantities and renormalized fields and masses}] 
- \delta m \overline{N}^r N^r - \delta Z_N \overline{N}^r \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m^r \right) N^r - \frac{\delta m^2}{2} \pi^r_a \pi^r_a. \quad (6.7)
\]

In addition to the vertices listed in Appendix [B], this Hamiltonian generates vertices corresponding to the set of counter-terms in Eqs. (E9)–(E15), explicit expressions for which follow from those in Appendix [B].

**A. Field and mass renormalization**

The determination of the scaling factors \( Z_\pi = 1 + \delta Z_\pi \) and \( Z_N = 1 + \delta Z_N \) for the pion and nucleon fields, and the renormalization of the pion and nucleon masses have been discussed recently and in considerable detail in Ref. [17]. We only quote the results here:
\[
\delta m^2_\pi = 2 l_3 m^r_\pi^4 f^2_\pi + \frac{m^r_\pi^2}{4 f^2_\pi} J_{01}, \quad \delta Z_\pi = -2 \frac{m^r_\pi^2}{f^2_\pi} l_4 + \frac{10 \alpha - 1}{2 f^2_\pi} J_{01}, \quad (6.8)
\]
\[
\delta m = -4 m^r_\pi^2 c_1 - \frac{3 g^2_A}{8 f^2_\pi} J_{12}, \quad \delta Z_N = - \frac{3 g^2_A}{8 f^2_\pi} J_{13}, \quad (6.9)
\]

where the constants \( J_{mn} \) are defined in Eq. (B2). Only leading \( Q^2 \) corrections are provided above, but for \( \delta m \) which also includes the sub-leading term of order \( Q^3 \) proportional to \( J_{12} \). The sign for \( \delta m \) differs from that in Ref. [17], since there \( m^r = m - \delta m \).

**B. Renormalization of the pion decay constant \( f_\pi \)**

The relevant interaction Hamiltonians are
\[
H^{(2)}_{\pi A} = f_\pi \int d\mathbf{x} \left( A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi^r + A^0 \cdot \Pi^r \right), \quad (6.10)
\]
\[ H^{(2)'}_{3\pi A} = \frac{1}{2f_\pi} \int \! \! dx \left[ 2(1 - 2\alpha)A^i \cdot \pi^r \pi^r \cdot \partial_i \pi^r - (2\alpha + 1)A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi^r \pi^r \cdot \pi^r \right. \\
+2(\alpha - 1/2) A_0^0 \pi^r_0 \Pi^r_0 + 2\alpha A_0^0 \left( \pi^r_0 \pi^r \cdot \Pi^r + \Pi^r \cdot \pi^r \pi^r_0 \right) \right], \tag{6.11} \]

\[ H^{(4)'}_{\pi A} = \int \! \! dx \left[ \frac{2m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi} A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi^r - \frac{\delta Z_\pi}{2} f_\pi \left( -A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi^r + A^0 \cdot \Pi^r \right) \right], \tag{6.12} \]

where \( H^{(2)'}_{3\pi A} \) and \( H^{(2)'}_{3\pi A} \) are the same as in Eqs. (B42) and (B46) but in terms of renormalized pion field and mass, while \( H^{(4)'}_{\pi A} \) relative to Eq. (B43) includes counter-terms. The contributions illustrated in Fig. 5 read

\[ a1 = -if_\pi \left( k \cdot A_n - \omega A^0_n \right), \tag{6.13} \]

\[ a2 = -i \frac{J_{01}}{2f_\pi} \left[ -\left( 5\alpha + 1/2 \right) A_n \cdot k - (5 \alpha - 3/2)A^0_n \cdot \omega \right], \tag{6.14} \]

\[ a3 = -2i \frac{m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi} k \cdot A_n + i \frac{\delta Z_\pi}{2f_\pi} \left( -k \cdot A_n - \omega A^0_n \right). \tag{6.15} \]

We now require that the renormalized (physical) pion decay constant is equal to

\[ -if_\pi \left( k \cdot A - \omega A^0_n \right) = a1 + a2 + a3, \tag{6.16} \]

implying

\[ f^r_\pi = f_\pi \left( 1 + \frac{m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} - \frac{J_{01}}{2f_\pi^2} \right), \tag{6.17} \]

which to the order \( Q^2 \) of interest also gives

\[ f_\pi = f^r_\pi \left( 1 - \frac{m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} + \frac{J_{01}}{2f_\pi^2} \right). \tag{6.18} \]

This result is in accord with that obtained in Ref. \[37\].

**C. Renormalization of the \( \pi N \) coupling constant \( g_A/f_\pi \)**

Apart from \( H^{(1)'}_{\pi NN} \) and \( H^{(1)'}_{3\pi NN} \), which are similar to those in Eqs. (B3) and (B15) (but again expressed in terms of renormalized nucleon and pion fields, and pion mass), the other interaction Hamiltonian needed is

\[ H^{(3)'}_{\pi NN} = \int \! \! dx \left[ \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) + \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} \left( \delta Z_N + \frac{\delta Z_\pi}{2} \right) \right] N^r \tau \cdot \partial_i \pi^r \gamma^i \gamma^5 N^r. \tag{6.19} \]
We find that the contributions of the diagrams in Fig. 6 are given by

\[ b_1 = i \frac{g_A}{2 f_\pi} \sigma \cdot k \tau_a, \]  

(6.20)

\[ b_2 = -i \frac{g_A}{8 f_\pi^3} (10 \alpha - 1) J_{01} \sigma \cdot k \tau_a, \]  

(6.21)

\[ b_3 = i \frac{g_A^3}{48 f_\pi^3} J_{13} \sigma \cdot k \tau_a, \]  

(6.22)

\[ b_4 = i \left[ \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{f_\pi} (2 d_{16} - d_{18}) - \frac{3 g_A^3}{16 f_\pi^3} J_{13} \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{g_A^3}{4 f_\pi^3} \left( -2 m_{\pi}^2 l_4 + \frac{10 \alpha - 1}{2} J_{01} \right) \right] \sigma \cdot k \tau_a, \]  

(6.23)

and in terms of renormalized \( g^r_A \) and \( f^r_\pi \) it must be

\[ i \frac{g^r_A}{2 f^r_\pi} \sigma \cdot k \tau_a = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4, \]  

(6.24)

which leads to the following relation valid to order \( Q^2 \)

\[ \frac{g_A}{f_\pi} = \frac{g^r_A}{f^r_\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{2 m_{\pi}^2}{g_A} \left( 2 d_{16} - d_{18} \right) - \frac{g_A^2}{3 f_\pi^2} J_{13} - \frac{m_{\pi}^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{g_A}{f_\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{4 m_{\pi}^2}{g^r_A} d_{16} - \frac{g_A^2}{3 f^r_\pi^2} J_{13} - \frac{m_{\pi}^2 l_4}{f^r_\pi^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2 m_{\pi}^2}{g^r_A} d_{18} \right), \]  

(6.25)

where in the second line, in the terms of order \( Q^2 \), we have replaced \( g_A \) and \( f_\pi \) by their renormalized values \( g^r_A \) and \( f^r_\pi \), which is correct at this order, and have isolated the Goldberger-Treiman discrepancy. The above relations are in agreement with Eqs. (102) and (103) of Ref. [17].
D. Renormalization of the axial coupling constant $g_A$

The relevant interaction Hamiltonians are $H^{(1)'}_{ANN}$ and $H^{(1)'}_{2\pi NNA}$ in Eqs. (B20) and (B30), and

$$H^{(3)'}_{ANN} = -\int d\mathbf{x} N^r \left( 2m_{\pi}^2 d_{16} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{A}_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 + \delta Z_N \frac{g_A}{2} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{A}_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 + \frac{d_{22}}{2} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \partial^j \mathbf{F}_{ij} \gamma^5 \right) N^r . \quad (6.27)$$

We consider a similar set of diagrams as in Fig. 6, but for the incoming pion line replaced by the external field. Their contributions are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{g_A}{2} \tau_a \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A}_a , \quad (6.28)$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{g_A}{4f_{\pi}^2} J_{01} \tau_a \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A}_a , \quad (6.29)$$

$$b_3 = \frac{g_A^3}{48f_{\pi}^2} J_{13} \tau_a \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A}_a , \quad (6.30)$$

$$b_4 = \left( \frac{g_A}{2} \delta Z_N + 2m_{\pi}^2 d_{16} \right) \tau_a \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A}_a + \frac{d_{22}}{2} \tau_a \left( \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} - q^2 \mathbf{\sigma} \right) \cdot \mathbf{A}_a , \quad (6.31)$$

and sum up to $\overline{g}_A^r \mathbf{\sigma} \tau_a / 2$, with the renormalized axial coupling constant (to order $Q^2$) obtained as

$$\overline{g}_A^r = g_A \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} f_{\pi}^2 J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{3f_{\pi}^2} J_{13} + \frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{g_A^2 d_{16}} \right] , \quad (6.32)$$

and $\overline{g}_A^r$, apart from the Goldberger-Treiman discrepancy, is in agreement with Eq. (6.26). It is also in agreement with the results, to order $Q^2$, reported by Schindler et al. in Ref. [38]. The term proportional to $d_{22}$ quadratic in $\mathbf{q}$ contributes to the nucleon axial radius [38].

![Pion-pole diagrams](image)

**FIG. 7.** Pion-pole diagrams.

E. Renormalization of pion-pole contributions

We examine the pion-pole contributions illustrated in Fig. 7. We obtain

$$d_1 = -\frac{g_A}{2} \mathbf{A}_a \cdot \mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}}{q^2 + m_{\pi}^2} \tau_a , \quad (6.33)$$

$$d_2 + d_3 = \frac{g_A}{2f_{\pi}^2} \left( -m_{\pi}^2 l_4 + \frac{J_{101}}{2} \right) \mathbf{A}_a \cdot \mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}}{q^2 + m_{\pi}^2} \tau_a , \quad (6.34)$$

$$d_4 = \frac{g_A}{8f_{\pi}^2} \left( 10 \alpha - 1 \right) J_{01} \mathbf{A}_a \cdot \mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}}{q^2 + m_{\pi}^2} \tau_a , \quad (6.35)$$
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\[ d_5 = -\frac{g_A^3}{48f_\pi^2} J_{13} A_a \cdot q \frac{\sigma}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \tau_a, \quad (6.36) \]

\[ d_6 = \left[ -m_\pi^2 (2d_{16} - d_{18}) + \frac{3g_A^3}{16f_\pi^2} J_{13} \right. \]

\[ \left. -\frac{g_A}{4f_\pi^2} \left( -2m_\pi^2 l_4 + \frac{10\alpha - 1}{2} J_{01} \right) \right] A_a \cdot q \frac{\sigma}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \tau_a. \quad (6.37) \]

Their sum reads

\[ d_1 + \cdots + d_6 = -\frac{g_A}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2f_\pi^2} J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{3f_\pi^2} J_{13} + \frac{4m_\pi^2}{g_A^2} d_{16} \right] \left( 1 - \frac{2m_\pi^2}{g_A^2} d_{18} \right) \]

\[ \times A_a \cdot q \frac{\sigma}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \tau_a, \quad (6.38) \]

and therefore the renormalized \( g_A^r \) follows exactly as in Eq. [6.26], including the Goldberger-Treiman discrepancy. The renormalized (single-nucleon) current is then given by

\[ j_{5,a} = -\frac{g_A^r}{2} \sigma \tau_a + \frac{g_A^r}{2} q \frac{\sigma}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \tau_a, \quad (6.39) \]

and this current is conserved in the chiral limit \((m_\pi \to 0)\), since in that limit \( g_A^r = g_A^r \).

**F. Renormalization of OPE axial charge**

We begin by discussing the non-pion-pole contributions illustrated in Fig. 8. In diagrams g2, g4, g6, g8, g11, and g14, the solid dot represents the interaction \(-\delta m - 4m_\pi^2 c_1\), where \( \delta m \) is the nucleon mass counter-term. The contributions associated with diagrams g1-g2, g3-g4, g5-g6, g7-g8, g9-g11, and g12-g14 represent the renormalization of nucleon external lines and, with the choice of \( \delta m \) in Eq. (6.8), they are seen to vanish.

Next, the solid square in diagrams g16, g18, and g20 represents the interaction

\[ H_{2\pi}^{(4)'} = -\int \text{d}x \left( \frac{m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} + \frac{\delta Z_\pi}{2} \right) (\Pi^\tau \cdot \Pi^\tau + \partial^i \pi^\tau \cdot \partial_i \pi^\tau) \]

\[ + \int \text{d}x \left[ \frac{m_\pi^4 (l_3 + l_4)}{f_\pi^2} + \frac{m_\pi^2}{2} \delta Z_\pi - \frac{\delta m_\pi^2}{2} \right] \pi^\tau \cdot \pi^\tau, \quad (6.40) \]

with vertex (in the convention of Appendix B)

\[ \langle 0 | H_{2\pi}^{(4)'} | k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = \delta_{a_1,a_2} \left[ \left( \frac{2m_\pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} + \delta Z_\pi \right)(\omega_1\omega_2 - k_1 \cdot k_2) \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{2m_\pi^4 (l_3 + l_4)}{f_\pi^2} + m_\pi^2 \delta Z_\pi - \delta m_\pi^2 \right], \quad (6.41) \]

With \( \delta Z_\pi \) and \( \delta m_\pi^2 \) as given in Eq. (6.8), the contributions of diagrams g15-g20 cancel out.

The remaining loop contributions in diagrams g21-g29 are given by

\[ \rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(g21) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{1}{4f_\pi^2} (1 - 10\alpha) J_{01} , \quad (6.42) \]
FIG. 8. Half of the possible time-ordered non-pole corrections to the OPE axial charge at order $Q$. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. See text for further explanations.

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(g22) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{5}{8 f_{\pi}^2} (1 - 4\alpha) J_{01},$$

(6.43)

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(g23 + g24) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{g_A^r}{24 f_{\pi}^2} J_{13},$$

(6.44)

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(g25 + g26) = -\rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{g_A^2}{8 f_{\pi}^2} J_{13},$$

(6.45)

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(g27 + g28 + g29) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{1}{4 f_{\pi}^2} J_{01},$$

(6.46)

while those in diagrams g30-g32 vanish identically. Here $\rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1)$ is defined as in Eq. (4.3).

Finally, one needs to include the contributions due to the interactions $H_{\pi NN}^{(3)}$ in Eq. (6.19) and

$$H_{\pi NNA}^{(3)} = - (\delta Z_N + \delta Z_\pi / 2) \frac{1}{4 f_{\pi}^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{N}^r A_0 \cdot (\mathbf{\tau} \times \mathbf{\pi}^r) \gamma_0 N^r,$$

(6.47)

in the OPE axial charge, which simply lead to the correction of order $Q$

$$\left[ 2 \delta Z_N + \delta Z_\pi + \frac{2 m_{\pi}^2}{g_A} (2 d_{16} - d_{18}) \right] \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1).$$

(6.48)

Thus, the sum of the order $Q$ corrections to the axial charge from non-pole contributions, denoted as $\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(npp)$, reads

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(npp) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \left[ \frac{1}{f_{\pi}^2} \left( \frac{9}{8} - 5\alpha \right) J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{12 f_{\pi}^2} J_{13} + 2 \delta Z_N \right]$$
\[
\Delta Z \pi + \frac{2m_\pi^2}{g_A} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \]

(6.49)

which, which after insertion of \(\Delta Z_N\) and \(\Delta Z\), is expressed as

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(\text{npp}) = i \frac{g_A}{8f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_{a,2} \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \left[ \frac{5}{8f_\pi^2} J_{01} - \frac{5g_A^2}{6f_\pi^2} J_{13} \right.
\]

\[
- \frac{2m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} l_4 + \frac{2m_\pi^2}{g_A^2} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \right],
\]

(6.50)

where the bare \(g_A\) and \(f_\pi\) have been replaced by their respective renormalized values—this replacement is correct to the order of interest here. The complete non-pole axial charge, denoted as \(\rho_\text{OPE}^{(1)}\) below, results from the sum of the leading-order contribution in Eq. (4.3) with the ratio \(g_A/f_\pi^2\) replaced by its renormalized value

\[
\frac{g_A}{f_\pi^2} = \frac{g_A^r}{f_\pi^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2f_\pi^2} J_{01} + \frac{g_A^2}{3f_\pi^2} J_{13} + \frac{2m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} l_4 - \frac{2m_\pi^2}{g_A^2} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \right],
\]

(6.51)

and the contribution \(\rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(\text{npp})\). We obtain

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(\text{npp}) = i \frac{g_A^r}{8f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_{a,2} \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8f_\pi^2} J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{2f_\pi^2} J_{13} \right).
\]

(6.52)

The diagrams describing the pole corrections are illustrated in Fig. 8 (only representative diagrams for each of the relevant classes are drawn for brevity), and are similar to those in Fig. 8. A slightly more complicated analysis along the lines illustrated above leads to a pole OPE axial charge, denoted \(\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(\text{pp})\), given by

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(\text{pp}) = i \frac{g_A^r}{8f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_{a,2} \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8f_\pi^2} J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{2f_\pi^2} J_{13} \right).
\]

(6.53)

The sum of the npp and pp contributions evaluated in dimensional regularization is

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(\text{npp} + \text{pp}) = i \frac{g_A^r}{8f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_{a,2} \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8f_\pi^2} J_{01} - \frac{g_A^2}{2f_\pi^2} J_{13} \right)
\]

\[
= \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \left[ 1 - \frac{3m_\pi^2}{8\pi^2 f_\pi^2 g_A^2} \left( d - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right].
\]

(6.54)

There are additional loop corrections to the OPE axial charge, see Fig. 10. Their contributions are obtained as

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(f1 + f2) = - \frac{g_A^r}{2f_\pi^2} \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \left[ k_1^2 I^{(0)}(k_1) - I^{(2)}(k_1) \right],
\]

(6.55)

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{(1)}(f3 + f4) = - \frac{1}{8f_\pi^2} \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) L(k_1),
\]

(6.56)

where \(\rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1)\) is again defined as in Eq. (4.3), except that \(g_A\) and \(f_\pi\) are replaced by their renormalized values \(g_A^r\) and \(f_\pi^r\). The loop function \(I^{(0)}(k)\) has been defined in Eq. (4.7), while \(I^{(2)}(k)\) and \(L(k)\) read

\[
I^{(2)}(k) = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} p^2 f(\omega_-, \omega_+),
\]

(6.57)
FIG. 9. Representative diagrams for each of the relevant classes contributing to pole corrections to the OPE axial charge at order $Q$. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. More than a single time ordering is shown for some of the diagrams.

FIG. 10. Additional loop and tree-level corrections of order $Q$ to the OPE axial charge. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for each topology. See text for further explanations.

\[ L(k) = \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} (\omega_+ - \omega_-)^2 f(\omega_-, \omega_+) . \]  

(6.58)

Evaluation in dimensional regularization leads to

\[ \rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(f1 + f2) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{g_A^2}{48\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ \frac{s_1}{k_1} \ln \left( \frac{s_1 + k_1}{s_1 - k_1} \right) \left( 5k_1^2 + 8m_\pi^2 \right) \right] \]
\[ + k_1^2 \left( 5 d_\epsilon - \frac{13}{3} \right) + 18 m_\pi^2 \left( d_\epsilon - \frac{2}{9} \right) \] , \quad (6.59)

\[ \rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(f3 + f4) = \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \frac{1}{48 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ \frac{s_1^3}{k_1} \ln \frac{s_1 + k_1}{s_1 - k_1} - 8 m_\pi^2 + k_1^2 \left( d_\epsilon - \frac{5}{3} \right) \right] , \quad (6.60)\]

with \( d_\epsilon \) as given in Eq. (4.19). We also need to account for tree-level contributions of order \( Q \) originating from the vertices \( 2\pi NN \) and \( NN\pi A_0 \) in Eqs. (B14) and (B29), denoted by the solid diamonds in Fig. 10. They can be written as

\[ \rho_{5,a}^{(1)}(f5 + f6) = 2 \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}(a1) \left( \widetilde{d}_1 k_1^2 + \widetilde{d}_2 k_2^2 + \widetilde{d}_3 q^2 + \widetilde{d}_4 m_\pi^2 \right) \]

\[ + i \frac{g_A^r}{2 f_\pi} \tilde{d}_5 \tau_{2,a} \sigma_1 \cdot (q \times k_2) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} , \quad (6.61)\]

where we have introduced the following combinations of LECs

\[ \tilde{d}_1 = 2 d_2 + d_6 , \quad (6.62)\]

\[ \tilde{d}_2 = 4 d_1 + 2 d_2 + 4 d_3 - d_6 , \quad (6.63)\]

\[ \tilde{d}_3 = -2 d_2 + d_6 , \quad (6.64)\]

\[ \tilde{d}_4 = 4 d_1 + 4 d_2 + 4 d_3 + 8 d_5 , \quad (6.65)\]

\[ \tilde{d}_5 = d_{15} + 2 d_{23} . \quad (6.66)\]

The divergent parts of the \( d_i \)'s (and hence \( \tilde{d}_i \)'s) have been identified in the heavy-baryon formalism, without considering any specific process, with the background-field and heat-kernel methods, see Ref. [39] and references therein. We report below the expressions for these divergent parts from Table 4 of that work:

\[ d_i = \beta_i \frac{\lambda}{f_\pi^2} + d_i^r (\mu) , \quad (6.67)\]

where, in the conventions adopted in the present work,

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{32 \pi^2} \left( d_\epsilon + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right) , \quad (6.68)\]

\[ d_i^r (\mu) = \beta_i \frac{1}{32 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2} + d_i^r (m_\pi) . \quad (6.69)\]

The \( \beta_i \) functions of interest here are

\[ \beta_1 = -\frac{g_A^4}{6} , \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{5 g_A^2}{12} , \quad \beta_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{g_A^4}{6} , \quad (6.70)\]

\[ \beta_5 = \frac{1}{24} + \frac{5 g_A^2}{24} , \quad \beta_6 = -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{5 g_A^2}{6} , \quad \beta_{15} = \beta_{23} = 0 , \quad (6.71)\]

and \( \beta_5 \) is from Eq. (B13) of Ref. [39] which corresponds to our choice of operator basis in \( \mathcal{L}_{4\pi}^{(4)} \). For the combinations \( \tilde{d}_i \) above we obtain

\[ \tilde{d}_1 = -\frac{1}{96 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} (1 + 5 g_A^2) d_\epsilon + \tilde{d}_1^r , \quad (6.72)\]
\[ \tilde{d}_2 = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} d_e + \tilde{d}_r^2, \quad (6.73) \]
\[ \tilde{d}_4 = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} d_e + \tilde{d}_r^4, \quad (6.74) \]

and \( \tilde{d}_3 = \tilde{d}_r^3 \) and \( \tilde{d}_5 = \tilde{d}_r^5 \). We observe that the divergence proportional to \( m_n^2 \) from loop corrections in \( \rho_{\text{OPE}}^{\text{OPE}} \) (npp + pp) cancels exactly that present in f1 + f2. Next, the divergent part of \( \tilde{d}_1 \) cancels exactly the term proportional to \( k_1^2 d_e \) present in f1 + f2 and f3 + f4. The divergent parts of \( \tilde{d}_2 \) and \( \tilde{d}_4 \) are the same, and therefore can be reabsored in the LEC \( z_2 \) multiplying the contact term \( O_2 \). Those of \( \tilde{d}_3 \) and \( \tilde{d}_5 \) vanish, which is consistent with the fact that there are no divergencies proportional to \( q^2 \) or in the operator multiplying \( \tilde{d}_6 \).

Combining Eqs. (6.52), (6.53), (6.59), (6.60), and (6.61), we then find that the renormalized OPE contributions up to order \( Q \) included read as

\[
\rho_{\text{OPE}}^{\text{OPE}} = i \frac{g_A^r}{4 f_\pi^2} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_A^r}{96 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left( \frac{5 k_1^2 + 8 m_r^2}{k_1} \right) \frac{s_1 + k_1}{s_1 - k_1} \ln \frac{s_1 + k_1}{s_1 - k_1} \right] \\
- \frac{13}{3} k_1^2 + 2 m_r^2 + \frac{1}{96 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left( \frac{s_1 + k_1}{s_1 - k_1} - \frac{5}{3} k_1^2 - 8 m_r^2 \right) + \left( \tilde{d}_r^3 \frac{q^2 + \tilde{d}_r^4 m_r^2}{2} \right) + i \frac{g_A^r}{2 f_\pi^2} \tilde{d}_r^5 \tau_{2,a} \sigma_1 \cdot (q \times k_2) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}. \quad (6.75) \]

G. OPE axial current

The loop corrections to the OPE axial current are shown in Figs. 9 and 11. Those associated with panels h1-h17 are easily seen to vanish, while the contributions of diagrams m1-m2 are obtained as

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(m1) = - \frac{g_A^r}{96 f_\pi^2} J_{14} [9 \tau_{2,a} k_2 - (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \times k_2)] \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}, \quad (6.76) \]
\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(m2) = - \frac{q}{q^2 + m_r^2} q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(1)}(m1) \quad (6.77) \]

In dimensional regularization we find the finite result

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(m1) = \frac{g_A^r m_r^r}{256 \pi f_\pi^4} [9 \tau_{2,a} k_2 - (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \times k_2)] \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2}. \quad (6.78) \]

No renormalization is necessary in this case, since loop corrections to diagrams d1-d2 of Fig. 4 enter at order \( Q^2 \), and are beyond the scope of the present work.

VII. DISCUSSION

In this section we report the complete (and renormalized) expressions for the weak axial charge and current operators, compare these expressions to those obtained by the authors of Ref. [18], and discuss current conservation in the chiral limit. For simplicity, the superscript \( r \) has been removed from the pion and nucleon masses \( m_\pi \) and \( m \), the nucleon axial coupling
constant $g_A$, and pion decay constant $f_\pi$. However, all these quantities are understood to have been renormalized.

The one-body operators and two-body contact operators are those listed, respectively, in Eqs. (3.13)–(3.14) and Eqs. (5.1)–(5.2), and in Eqs. (4.20) and (5.4), while the two-body operators involving OPE, TPE or MPE, and short-range terms follow in the next subsection. Relativistic corrections (proportional to $1/m^3$) in the one-body axial charge are neglected, those in the one-body axial current (proportional to $1/m^2$) are retained in Eqs. (5.1)–(5.2), since they are known to be important in weak transitions such as the proton weak capture on $^3$He at low energies \[33\].

A. Two-body axial charge and current operators up to one loop: summary

The (renormalized) OPE contributions to the axial charge are given in Eq. (6.75), while those corresponding to the axial current read

$$\tilde{j}^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} = j^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \mathbf{q} \cdot j^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} - \frac{g_A}{2 f_\pi^2} \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \left[ 4 m_\pi^2 c_1 \tau_{2,a} \right. $$

$$\left. - \frac{i}{2m} (\mathbf{\tau}_1 \times \mathbf{\tau}_2)_a (\mathbf{K}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{K}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) \right] \mathbf{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \frac{1}{\omega^2}, \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$j^{\text{OPE}}_{5,a} = \frac{g_A}{2 f_\pi^2} \left[ \left( 2 c_3 - \frac{9}{128 \pi} \frac{g_A^4 m_\pi}{f_\pi^2} \right) \tau_{2,a} \mathbf{k}_2 + (\mathbf{\tau}_1 \times \mathbf{\tau}_2)_a \left[ \frac{i}{2m} \mathbf{K}_1 - \frac{c_6 + 1}{4m} \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{q} \right. \right.$$  

$$\left. + \left( c_4 + \frac{1}{4m} + \frac{g_A^4 m_\pi}{f_\pi^2} \right) \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2 \right] \mathbf{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \frac{1}{\omega^2}. \quad (7.2)$$

The TPE axial charge, and MPE and short-range axial current can be written, respectively, as

$$\rho^{\text{TPE}}_{5,a} = \frac{i g_A^3}{128 \pi^2 f_\pi^4} \left[ (\mathbf{\tau}_1 \times \mathbf{\tau}_2)_a \mathbf{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \left( 3 - \frac{1}{g_A^2} \frac{4 m_\pi^2}{k_2^2 + 4 m_\pi^2} \right) - 4 \tau_{1,a} (\mathbf{\sigma}_1 \times \mathbf{\sigma}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 \right. $$

$$\left. \times \frac{s_2 + k_2}{k_2} \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) \right], \quad (7.3)$$
with with $s_j$ defined as in Eq. (4.10), and

$$\tilde{j}_{5,a}^\text{MPE} = j_{5,a}^\text{MPE} - \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} q \cdot \tilde{j}_{5,a}^\text{MPE}$$

\[
+ \frac{g_A^3}{128 \pi f_\pi^4} \left[ Z_1(k_1) \sigma_2 \cdot (k_1 - k_2) + Z_2(k_1) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \right] \\
+ (2 \tau_{2,a} - \tau_{1,a}) Z_1(k_2) \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 + (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \left[ Z_3(k_1) \left( \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \right) \cdot k_1 \\
- 2 (\sigma_1 \cdot k_1) \cdot (k_2 + q) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} \right] + Z_3(k_2) \left( \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \right) \cdot k_2 \right] \\
+ \frac{g_A^3}{128 \pi f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} Z_1(k_1) \left( k_2 - 3 k_1 \right) \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2} - 2 \sigma_2 \\
+ \frac{g_A^3}{32 \pi f_\pi^4} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a Z_3(k_1) \sigma_1 \times k_1 \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega_2^2},
\]

(7.4)

where

\[
j_{5,a}^\text{MPE} = \frac{g_A^3}{64 \pi f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} \left[ W_1(k_2) \sigma_1 + W_2(k_2) \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \right] \\
+ \frac{g_A^5}{64 \pi f_\pi^4} \tau_{1,a} W_3(k_2) \left( \sigma_2 \times k_2 \right) \times k_2 - \frac{g_A^3 m_\pi}{8 \pi f_\pi^2} C_T \tau_{1,a} \sigma_2,
\]

(7.5)

and the loop functions $Z_i$ and $W_i$ are listed in Appendix D.

**B. Current conservation in the chiral limit**

In the chiral limit ($m_\pi \to 0$) the axial current is conserved and

$$q \cdot j_{5,a}^\text{MPE} = [H, \rho_{5,a}],$$

(7.6)

with the two-nucleon Hamiltonian given by

$$H = T^{(-1)} + v^{(0)} + v^{(2)} + \ldots,$$

(7.7)

where the superscripts denote the power counting, the $v^{(n)}$ are the two-nucleon potentials defined in Sec. III, and the kinetic energy $T^{(-1)}$ (in momentum space) is

$$T^{(-1)} = \frac{P_1^2}{2m} (2\pi)^3 \delta(p_1^2 - p_2^2) + (1 \Rightarrow 2).$$

(7.8)

Here, the potentials and axial charge and current operators (including the axial coupling and pion decay constants and LECs entering them) are to be understood in the chiral limit. Order by order in the power counting, current conservation implies the following set of relations

$$q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(-3)} = 0,$$

(7.9)

$$q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(-1)} = [T^{(-1)}, \rho_{5,a}^{(-2)}],$$

(7.10)
\[ q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(0)} = \left[ T^{(-1)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} \right] + \left[ v^{(0)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-2)} \right] , \quad (7.11) \]

\[ q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(1)} = \left[ T^{(-1)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(0)} \right] + \left[ v^{(0)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} \right] , \quad (7.12) \]

where we have only kept up to terms of order \( Q^2 \). Note that the commutators implicitly bring in factors of \( Q^3 \). The first of these relations is obviously satisfied, see Eqs. (3.14) or (6.39). The second relation has

\[ q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(-1)} = -\frac{g_A}{2m^2} \tau_{1,a} \cdot k_1 \cdot K_1 \sigma_1 \cdot K_1 + (1 \equiv 2) , \quad (7.13) \]

where \( j_{5,a}^{(-1)} \) is given by the sum of the contributions in Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2), and is also satisfied. The left-hand-side of the third relation has

\[ q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(0)} = i \frac{g_A}{4m f^*_\pi} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) a \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega^*_2} (k_1 \cdot K_1 + k_2 \cdot K_2) + (1 \equiv 2) , \quad (7.14) \]

and this matches the first commutator on the right-hand side, \( \left[ T^{(-1)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} \right] \) with \( \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} \) given by

\[ \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} = i \frac{g_A}{4f^*_\pi} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) a \sigma_2 \cdot k_2 \frac{1}{\omega^*_2} + (1 \equiv 2) , \quad (7.15) \]

i.e., the sum of terms a1 and a2 in Eqs. (4.3) and (4.4). There are additional contributions to \( j_{5,a}^{(0)} \), which arise from non-static corrections to the denominators involving pion energies in the diagrams illustrated in Fig. 12, where the crossed circle (cross) means that the these denominators are expanded as indicated in Eq. (3.3) to order \( Q^2 \) beyond the leading-order static term. These contributions are needed in order to satisfy the commutator \( \left[ v^{(0)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-2)} \right] \), but have been neglected in the present work.

FIG. 12. Illustration of some of the non-static corrections to the axial current ignored in this work. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. See text for further explanations.

Lastly, we consider the fourth relation, Eq. (7.12). The axial current \( j_{5,a}^{(1)} \) obtained here is in the static limit, and one expects \( q \cdot j_{5,a}^{(1)} \) to satisfy the commutator

\[ \left[ v^{(0)} , \rho_{5,a}^{(-1)} \right] = -\frac{g_A^3}{16 f^*_\pi} (\tau_{1,a} - \tau_{2,a}) \left[ k_2^2 R^{(0)}(k_2) - R^{(2)}(k_2) \right] \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \]
\[ - \left[ k_1^2 R^{(0)}(k_1) - R^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \sigma_2 \cdot k_1 \]
\[ + \frac{g_A^3}{16 f_\pi^4} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \left[ R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_2) \sigma_{1,i} (\sigma_2 \times k_2)_j - R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) \sigma_{2,i} (\sigma_1 \times k_1)_j \right] , \]

where the loop functions \( R^{(n)}(k) \) and \( R^{(2)}_{ij}(k) \) in the chiral limit read
\[ R^{(0)}(k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{k} , \]  \( (7.17) \)
\[ R^{(2)}(k) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{16} k , \]  \( (7.18) \)
\[ R^{(2)}_{ij}(k) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{32} k \delta_{ij} + \ldots , \]  \( (7.19) \)

and the \( \ldots \) indicate a term proportional to \( k_i k_j \), which vanishes when inserted in Eq. \( (7.16) \).

The current-conservation constraint is seen to be satisfied by noting the only non-vanishing contributions to \( \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1)} \) are those due to diagrams e4, e5, e10, e15, e16, and e17 in Fig. 4, proportional to the combination of coupling constants \( g_A^3 / f_\pi^4 \). In particular the contributions of the purely irreducible diagrams e4, e5, e15, e16, and e17 combine to give
\[ \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1)}(e4 + e5 + e10 + e15 + e16 + e17) = -\frac{g_A^3}{32 f_\pi^4} \left[ \tau_{1,a} \left[ \frac{k_2^2}{2} R^{(0)}(k_2) - R^{(2)}(k_2) \right] \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \right. \]
\[ + \tau_{2,a} \left[ \frac{k_1^2}{2} R^{(0)}(k_1) - R^{(2)}(k_1) \right] \sigma_2 \cdot k_1 \left. \right] + \frac{g_A^3}{32 f_\pi^4} (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a \left[ R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_2) \sigma_{1,i} (\sigma_2 \times k_2)_j - R^{(2)}_{ij}(k_1) \sigma_{2,i} (\sigma_1 \times k_1)_j \right] , \]  \( (7.20) \)

with the remaining “missing” term being provided by \( \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1)}(e10) \). The remaining commutator \( \left[ T^{(-1)}, \mathbf{P}^{(0)}_{5,a} \right] \) has a factor \( 1/m \), and therefore non-static corrections need to be included in \( \mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1)} \), if the latter is to satisfy the complete Eq. \( (7.12) \). These corrections have been ignored in the present work.

C. Comparison

We compare the one- and two-body axial charge and current operators derived here with those obtained by Park et al. in Refs. 18 and 33 in the heavy-baryon (HB) formulation of covariant perturbation theory. The one-body axial charge and current operators at leading order in Eqs. (3.13) and (3.14) are the same as those listed in Eqs. (B1) and (A3) of Ref. 33, except for the pion-pole contribution to \( \mathbf{j}_{5,a}^{(1-3)} \), which, while nominally of the same order \( Q^{-3} \) as the non-pole contribution, is nevertheless suppressed at low momentum transfer \( q \) and is therefore ignored in Ref. 33 (we note incidentally that in that work \( \mathbf{k}_1 = -\mathbf{q} \), i.e., the opposite convention adopted here). Of course, this pion-pole contribution is crucial for current conservation in the chiral limit. We have neglected the \( 1/m^2 \) relativistic corrections to the leading order axial charge. They are retained in Eq. (17) of Ref. 33. However, the \( 1/m^2 \) corrections to the leading order axial current in Eq. (5.1) are in agreement with those
given in Eq. (A3) of Ref. [33], except for the last term proportional to \( q (\sigma_1 \cdot q) \), which is again ignored in that work.

Before moving on to the two-body contributions, it is worthwhile discussing how the one-body axial charge operator emerges in covariant perturbation theory. The relevant interaction Hamiltonian densities are

\[
H_{\pi A}(x) = f_\pi A^0(x) \cdot \Pi(x),
\]

(7.21)

\[
H_{\pi NN}^{(a)}(x) = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} N(x) \tau \cdot \Pi(x) \gamma^0 \gamma^5 N(x),
\]

(7.22)

\[
H_{\pi NN}^{(b)}(x) = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} N(x) \tau \cdot \partial_i \pi(x) \gamma^i \gamma^5 N(x),
\]

(7.23)

where all fields are in interaction picture. The \( S \)-matrix elements associated with the Feynman amplitudes in Fig. 13 are given by

\[
S_{ji}^{(\gamma)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y \langle p', \lambda' | T \left[ H_{\pi A}(x) H_{\pi NN}^{(\gamma)}(y) + H_{\pi NN}^{(\gamma)}(x) H_{\pi A}(y) \right] | p, \lambda \rangle,
\]

(7.24)

where \( \gamma = a \) or \( b \), \( T \) denotes the usual chronological product, and \( | p, \lambda \rangle \) and \( | p', \lambda' \rangle \) are the initial and final nucleon states with momenta \( p \) and \( p' \) in spin-isospin states \( \chi_\lambda \) and \( \chi_{\lambda'} \), respectively. Then for \( \gamma = a \) we obtain

\[
S_{ji}^{(a)} = -\frac{g_A}{8m} \lambda_\lambda^1 \tau_d \chi_\lambda \int d^4x d^4y \left[ e^{i(x' - x) \cdot y - iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \left[ \Pi_c(x) \Pi_d(y) \right] | 0 \rangle
\]

+ e^{i(x' - x) \cdot y} \langle 0 | T \left[ \Pi_d(x) \Pi_c(y) \right] | 0 \rangle \right],
\]

(7.25)

where we have considered the leading order in the non-relativistic expansion of the nucleon matrix element. Since in the interaction picture the conjugate field momentum \( \Pi_c(x) = \partial^0 \pi_c(x) \), it is easily seen that (see also Ref. [40])

\[
\langle 0 | T \left[ \Pi_c(x) \Pi_d(y) \right] | 0 \rangle = \partial_x^0 \partial_y^0 \langle 0 | T \left[ \pi_c(x) \pi_d(y) \right] | 0 \rangle - i \delta_{cd} \delta(x^0 - y^0) \delta(x - y)
\]

\[
= -i \delta_{cd} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x - y)} \left( 1 + \frac{k_0^2}{m_\pi^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} \right),
\]

(7.26)

with the Feynman propagator defined by

\[
\langle 0 | T \left[ \pi_c(x) \pi_d(y) \right] | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-i \delta_{cd}}{m_\pi^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} e^{-ik \cdot (x - y)}. \]

(7.27)
The $T$-matrix element $T_{fi}$ obtained from $S_{fi} = -i (2\pi)^4 \delta(p' - p - q) T_{fi}$ reads

$$T_{fi}^{(a)} = -\frac{g_A}{4m} A_0 \chi^\dagger \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p'} + \mathbf{p}) \tau_c \chi \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{q_0^2}{m_\pi^2 + q^2 - q_0^2 - i\epsilon} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.28)$$

where the term proportional to $q_0 = p'_0 - p_0$ is suppressed by $Q^2$ in the power counting. The leading order term leads to the axial charge operator in Eq. (3.13). A similar analysis shows that the leading-order contribution to $S_{fi}^{(b)}$ vanishes.

As already noted, the interaction Hamiltonian in Eq. (2.11) contains no direct coupling of $A_0^a$ to the nucleon. However, diagrams of the type illustrated in Fig. 13 are not considered in Refs. \[18, 33\]. It would appear that their contribution is accounted for by retaining the term $-i \delta_{cd} \delta(x - y)$ in Eq. (7.26), which effectively leads to a direct coupling between $A_0^a$ and the nucleon.

Turning to the OPE contributions at tree level, we find that the order $Q^{-1}$ contribution to the axial charge, $\rho_{5,a}^{(-1)}$, in Eq. (6.75) reproduces the corresponding contribution, given by Eqs. (B2), (B3), and (B5) of Ref. \[33\] with $F_V^V(t) = 1$, while the order $Q^0$ contribution to the axial current, $j_{5,a}^{(0)}$, in Eq. (7.1) is the same as in Eq. (A5) of Ref. \[33\]. We stress again that, while diagram a2 in Fig. 2 is not explicitly considered in Refs. \[18, 33\], the OPE axial charge operator derived there has the correct strength. The contact terms contributing to the $Q^0$ axial current in Eq. (A6) of Ref. \[33\] can be reduced through Fierz identities to the form given in Eq. (5.4).

Next we consider loop corrections to the axial charge. The contributions of c3-c4, c7-c8, and c9-c12 in Fig. 2 are found to vanish in both approaches, here and in Refs. \[18, 33\]. The contributions of diagrams c1 and c2 are the same as those for $A^{(0)}(a + b)$ in Eq. (93) of Ref. \[18\]. The contributions of diagrams c5 and c6 are different from those for $A^{(0)}(c + d)$ reported in Eq. (94) of Ref. \[18\] because of the different treatment of reducible topologies for these types of terms. Indeed, if only the (irreducible) cross-box topologies are retained for diagrams c5 and c6, as illustrated in Fig. 14, then the resulting operator is the same as in Eq. (94). The OPE axial charge operator in Eqs. (74) and (90) of Ref. \[18\] reads in our notation

$$\rho_{5,a}^{(Park \ et \ al.)} = i \frac{g_A}{4 f_\pi^2} \left( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \right)_a \mathbf{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k} \frac{1}{\omega_2} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_2^2}{f_\pi^2} \left( \frac{17 g_A^2 + 4}{144 \pi^2} + c_3' \right) - \frac{m_\pi^2 g_A^4}{12 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{g_A^2}{96 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} s_2 \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) \left( 5 k_2^2 + 8 m_\pi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{96 \pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[ \frac{s_2^2}{k_2} \ln \left( \frac{s_2 + k_2}{s_2 - k_2} \right) - 8 m_\pi^2 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.29)$$

FIG. 14. Diagrams contributing to the axial charge (n1-n2) and current (n3) at order $Q$ considered in Ref. \[18\]. Nucleons, pions, and axial fields are denoted by solid, dashed, and wavy lines, respectively. Only a single time ordering is shown for each of the possible 12 (n1) and 60 (n2 and n3) cross-box topologies.
Provided we define
\[ \tilde{d}_1 + \tilde{d}_2 + \tilde{d}_4 - (5 + 13 g_A^2) = -(17 g_A^2 + 4)/(144 \pi^2 f_\pi^2) - c_3^r, \]
the expression above is in agreement with our Eq. (6.75) in the limit \( q = 0 \) (or \( k_1 = -k_2 \)) which is assumed in Refs. [18, 33], except for the term proportional to \( m^2_f \) in the first line.

Lastly, the term proportional to the LEC \( c_3 \) in Ref. [18] (in the HB formulation) is given by
\[ i \frac{c_3}{f_\pi^2} N v^a \left[ D^\beta, [D_\alpha, D_\beta] \right] N, \]
which can be re-expressed as
\[ i \frac{c_3}{2 f_\pi^2} N \left[ D^\beta, F_{0\beta}^+ \right] N + \ldots , \]
and matches the term proportional to \( d_6 \) in the HB limit of \( \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)} \) [27]—in the relation above \( v^a \) is the velocity, \( v^a = (1, 0) \).

Moving on to the loop corrections to the axial current, the sum of the contributions due to diagram m1 of Fig. 11 and diagram e15 of Fig. 4 gives the same expression as in Eq. (A7) of Ref. [33], provided the parameter \( \alpha \) in the \( 3\pi A \) vertex of diagram e15 is set to \( 1/6 \)—the authors of Refs. [18, 33] use the exponential parametrization for the pion field. The irreducible contributions of diagrams e1 and e4 in Fig. 4 are the same as reported for, respectively, \( A_{12}^{a}(2\pi:b) \) and \( A_{12}^{a}(2\pi:a) \) of Eq. (A13) of Ref. [33], while the contributions associated with the cross-box topologies of diagram e8 in Fig. 4 and illustrated in panel n3 of Fig. 14 lead to the expression for \( A_{12}^{a}(2\pi:c) \) in Eq. (A13). Non-vanishing pion-pole diagrams e2, e5, e9, e10, e16, and e17 as well as diagrams e20-e21 (e22 and e23 vanish) in Fig. 4 have not been considered in Refs. [18, 33]. In particular, because of this incomplete treatment, loop corrections to the axial current are \( \alpha \)-dependent in Refs. [18, 33]. Furthermore, the current is not conserved in the chiral limit.

Finally, the OPE axial current at tree-level listed in the recent Ref. [11] (and including pion-pole contributions) is different from that obtained in the present work in Eqs. (5.5)–(5.6). Moreover, it is not conserved in the chiral limit.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work we have carried out an analysis of the weak axial charge and current operators in a two-nucleon system up to one loop (i.e., including corrections up to order \( Q \) in the power counting) in \( \chi \)EFT. The formalism used in the derivation is based on standard TOPT, but accounts for cancellations between the contributions of irreducible diagrams and the contributions due to non-static corrections from energy denominators of reducible diagrams. A detailed comparison between the results of this work and those of the early studies of Park et al. [18, 33] in the HB formulation of \( \chi \)EFT indicates that there are differences in some of the loop corrections and in the renormalization of the OPE axial charge, the former due to a different prescription adopted by the authors of those papers, one in which only a subset of the irreducible contributions are retained in the perturbative expansion—for example, in the case of box diagrams, only cross-box ones are considered. Furthermore, while the contribution illustrated by panel e15 in Fig. 4 is accounted for in
Refs. [18, 33], additional ones involving three- and four-pion vertices, such as those in panels e5, e16, and e17, have been ignored. As a consequence, the one-loop axial current derived there depends on the parametrization of the pion field—it is $\alpha$-dependent—and, furthermore, is not conserved in the chiral limit.

The order $Q$ loop corrections in the axial current are finite, consistently with the fact that there are no contact terms at this order. There is a single LEC (denoted as $z_0$ here and as $d_R$ in Ref. [33]) which enters at lower order $Q^0$. On the other hand, four independent LECs (denoted as $z_i$, with $i = 1, \ldots, 4$) multiply contact terms in the axial charge at order $Q$, two of which are needed to reabsorb the divergencies from loop corrections in the TPE axial charge. The loop corrections to the OPE axial charge instead lead to renormalization of $\tilde{d}_1$ which is expressed as linear combinations of the LECs $d_i$ in the $\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)}$ Lagrangian—some of these $d_i$ having been determined in fits to $\pi N$ scattering data [42]. The LEC $z_0$ has been recently fixed by reproducing the empirical value of the Gamow-Teller matrix element in $^3\text{H}\beta$-decay [36]. However, that calculation ignored MPE loop corrections in $j_{5a}$, and therefore a refitting of $z_0$ will be necessary. Most calculations of nuclear axial current matrix elements, such as those reported in Refs. [33, 43] for the $pp$ and $p^3\text{He}$ weak fusions and in Ref. [36] for muon capture on $^3\text{H}$ and $^3\text{He}$, have used axial current operators up to order $Q^0$ (one exception is Ref. [44], which included effective one-body reductions, for use in a shell-model study, of the TPE corrections to the axial current derived in Ref. [33]). Lastly, there remains the problem of determining the $z_i$'s in the contact axial charge. It should be possible to fix at least some of these LECs by studying muon capture in the few-nucleon systems, for example, by reproducing data on angular correlation parameters for the process $^3\text{He}(\mu^-, \nu_\mu)^3\text{H}$ [45], or cross sections for transitions from the bound state to breakup channels, such as the $^2\text{H}$-$n$ two-body breakup, for which data are available [46].

On a longer time scale, it should be possible to use the weak axial operators constructed here in quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) [47] calculations of $\beta$-decays and electron- and muon-captures in heavier nuclei with mass number $A > 4$ (see Ref. [48] for an earlier study of these processes in $^6\text{He}$ and $^7\text{Be}$ in the conventional meson-exchange framework) and of neutrino inclusive cross sections off light nuclei at low energy and momentum transfers [49]. As a matter of fact, the very recent development of “realistic” and mildly non-local chiral potentials in configuration space [50], in which QMC methods are presently formulated, makes it possible to carry out these calculations in a consistent $\chi$EFT framework (i.e., chiral potentials and currents), and hence offers the opportunity to provide first-principles (and numerically exact) predictions, rooted in QCD, for the rates and cross sections of these weak processes.
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Appendix A: Chiral Lagrangians

We adopt the notation and conventions of Ref. [27] for the various fields and covariant derivatives, which we summarize below:

\[
U = 1 + \frac{i}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \pi - \frac{1}{2 f_\pi^2} \pi^2 - \frac{i \alpha}{f_\pi^2} \pi^2 \tau \cdot \pi + \frac{8 \alpha - 1}{8 f_\pi^4} \pi^4 + \ldots, \tag{A1}
\]

\[
u = \sqrt{U} = 1 + \frac{i}{2 f_\pi} \tau \cdot \pi - \frac{1}{8 f_\pi^2} \pi^2 - \frac{i (8 \alpha - 1)}{16 f_\pi^3} \pi^2 \tau \cdot \pi + \frac{(32 \alpha - 5)}{128 f_\pi^4} \pi^4 + \ldots, \tag{A2}
\]

\[
u_\mu = i [u^\dagger (\partial_\mu - i r_\mu) u - u (\partial_\mu - i l_\mu) u^\dagger], \tag{A3}
\]

\[
D_\mu U = \partial_\mu U - i r_\mu U + i U l_\mu, \tag{A4}
\]

\[
D_\mu N = (\partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu) N = \partial_\mu N + \frac{1}{2} [u^\dagger (\partial_\mu - i r_\mu) u + u (\partial_\mu - i l_\mu) u^\dagger] N, \tag{A5}
\]

\[
F^\pm_{\mu\nu} = u^\dagger F^R_{\mu\nu} u \pm u F^L_{\mu\nu} u^\dagger, \tag{A6}
\]

\[
F^R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu r_\nu - \partial_\nu r_\mu - i [r_\mu, r_\nu], \quad r_\mu = v_\mu + a_\mu, \tag{A7}
\]

\[
F^L_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu l_\nu - \partial_\nu l_\mu - i [l_\mu, l_\nu], \quad l_\mu = v_\mu - a_\mu, \tag{A8}
\]

\[
\chi_\pm = u^\dagger \chi u \pm u \chi^\dagger u = n_\pi^2 (U^\dagger \pm U). \tag{A9}
\]

The parameter \( \alpha \) is arbitrary because of the freedom in the choice of pion field—the only constraint is that \( U \) be unitary with \( \det U = 1 \). Common choices are \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \alpha = 1/6 \) corresponding, respectively, to the non-linear sigma model \( U = (\sigma + i \tau \cdot \pi)/f_\pi \) with \( \sigma = \sqrt{f_\pi^2 - \pi^2} \) and to the exponential parametrization \( U = \exp(i \tau \cdot \pi/f_\pi) \). In the following we consider only the coupling to the axial-vector field; further, we ignore isospin-symmetry-breaking effects as well as the coupling to the isoscalar component of the axial-vector field, and hence

\[
r_\mu = -l_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \tau \cdot A_\mu, \tag{A10}
\]

\[
F^R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \tau \cdot (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + A_\mu \times A_\nu), \tag{A11}
\]

\[
F^L_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \tau \cdot (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - A_\mu \times A_\nu). \tag{A12}
\]

Inserting the expansions for \( U \) and \( u \) and keeping terms linear in the axial-vector field, we find:

\[
u_\mu = -\frac{1}{f_\pi} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \pi^2\right) \tau \cdot \partial_\mu \pi + \frac{4 \alpha - 1}{2 f_\pi^3} \tau \cdot \pi \tau \cdot \partial_\mu \pi + \frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \pi \cdot \partial_\mu \pi + \frac{1}{f_\pi} \pi \cdot A_\mu + \ldots, \tag{A13}
\]

\[
D_\mu U = i \tau \cdot \left[\frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_\mu \pi - \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2 f_\pi^2}\right) A_\mu\right] - \frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \pi \cdot \partial_\mu \pi + \frac{1}{f_\pi} \pi \cdot A_\mu + \ldots, \tag{A14}
\]

\[
D_\mu N = \left[\partial_\mu + i \frac{\alpha}{4 f_\pi} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot \partial_\mu \pi - \frac{i}{2 f_\pi} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}\right)(\tau \times \pi) \cdot A_\mu \right.
\]

\[
\left. + i \frac{\alpha}{16 f_\pi^4} \pi^2 \partial_\mu \pi \cdot (\pi \times \tau) + \ldots\right] N, \tag{A15}
\]

36
\[ F^+_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{f_\pi} \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \cdot F_{\mu\nu} + \ldots, \tag{A16} \]
\[ F^-_{\mu\nu} = \left[ \tau + \frac{1}{2 f_\pi^2} \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \times \pi \right] \cdot F_{\mu\nu} + \ldots, \tag{A17} \]
\[ \chi_+ = m_\pi^2 \left( 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} \right) + \ldots, \tag{A18} \]
\[ \chi_- = -\frac{2i}{f_\pi} m_\pi^2 \tau \cdot \pi + \ldots, \tag{A19} \]

where \( F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \) and the \( \ldots \) denote higher powers of the pion field than shown.

1. \( \pi N \) sector

The \( \pi N \) Lagrangians up to order \( Q^3 \) read:
\[ L^{(1)}_{\pi N} = \mathcal{N} \left( i \partial \! \! \! / - m + \frac{g_A}{2} \gamma_5 \right) N, \tag{A20} \]
\[ L^{(2)}_{\pi N} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} c_i \mathcal{N} O_i^{(2)} N, \tag{A21} \]
\[ L^{(3)}_{\pi N} = \sum_{i=1}^{23} d_i \mathcal{N} O_i^{(3)} N, \tag{A22} \]

with the operators \( O^{(2)}_i \) and \( O^{(3)}_i \) defined as in Ref. [27]. Here \( g_A \) is the nucleon axial coupling constant, and the \( c_i \) and \( d_i \) are LECs. Below, the \( \gamma^\mu, \gamma_5, \) and \( \sigma^{\mu\nu} \) are \( \gamma \) matrices and combinations of \( \gamma \) matrices in standard notation [51], and \( \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) is the Levi-Civita tensor with \( \epsilon^{0123} = +1 \).

In terms of the expansions above, \( L^{(1)}_{\pi N} \) is given by
\[ L^{(1)}_{\pi N} = \mathcal{N} \left[ i \partial \! \! \! / - m - \frac{1}{4 f_\pi^2} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot \partial \! \! \! / \pi - \frac{g_A}{2 f_\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \right) \tau \cdot \partial \! \! \! / \pi \gamma_5 \right. \]
\[ + \frac{g_A}{4 f_\pi^2} \left( 4\alpha - 1 \right) \tau \cdot \pi \pi \cdot \partial \! \! \! / \pi \gamma_5 \left. \right] \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{1}{16} f_\pi^2 \partial_\pi \pi \cdot (\pi \times \tau) \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2 f_\pi^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \right)^2 \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \frac{g_A}{2} \tau \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \gamma_5 + \frac{g_A}{4 f_\pi^2} \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}} \gamma_5 \right] N, \tag{A23} \]

where \( \partial = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{A}} = \gamma^\mu A_\mu \). The operators \( O^{(2)}_i \) in the \( L^{(2)}_{\pi N} \) Lagrangian are expressed as (below the notation \( \bar{\chi}_+ = \chi_+ - \langle \chi_+ \rangle / 2 \) is used, where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) implies a trace in isospin space)
\[ O^{(2)}_1 = \langle \chi_+ \rangle \longrightarrow 4 m_\pi^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2 f_\pi^2} \right), \tag{A24} \]
\[ O^{(2)}_2 = -\frac{1}{8 m_\pi} (u_\mu u_\nu) D^{\mu\nu} \text{ h.c.} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_{0\pi} \pi \cdot \partial_{0\pi} \pi - \frac{2}{f_\pi} \partial_{0\pi} \pi \cdot A_0 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{m f_\pi} \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_{0\pi} \pi \cdot \partial_{i\pi} \pi - \partial_{0\pi} \pi \cdot A_i - \partial_{i\pi} \pi \cdot A_0 \right) \gamma^0 \overleftrightarrow{\partial}, \tag{A25} \]
\[ O_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle u_\mu u_\nu \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \partial_\rho \pi \cdot \partial^\mu \pi - \frac{2}{f_\pi} \partial_\rho \pi \cdot A^\mu, \quad (A26) \]

\[ O_4^{(2)} = \frac{i}{4} \left[ u_\mu, u_\nu \right] \sigma^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \tau \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \partial_\rho \pi \times \partial_\nu \pi + \frac{2}{f_\pi} A_\mu \times \partial_\nu \pi \right) \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (A27) \]

\[ O_5^{(2)} = \bar{\chi}_+ \rightarrow 0, \quad (A28) \]

\[ O_6^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8 m} F^+_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4 m f_\pi} \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \cdot \partial_\mu A_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (A29) \]

\[ O_7^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8 m} F_{\mu\nu}^+ \sigma^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A30) \]

while those in the \( \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)} \) Lagrangian reduce to

\[ O_1^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2 m} \left[ u_\mu, \left[ D_\nu, w^\mu \right] \right] D_\nu + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \frac{2}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_\rho \pi \times \partial_\nu \partial^\rho \pi + A_\mu \times \partial_\nu \partial^\rho \pi \right. \]

\[ \left. -\partial_0 A_\mu \times \partial^\rho \pi \right) \gamma^0, \quad (A31) \]

\[ O_2^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2 m} \left[ u_\mu, \left[ D_\mu, u_\nu \right] \right] D^\nu + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \frac{2}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_\rho \pi \times \partial_\nu \partial^\rho \pi + A_\mu \times \partial_\nu \partial^\rho \pi \right. \]

\[ \left. -\partial_0 A_\mu \times \partial^\rho \pi \right) \gamma^0, \quad (A32) \]

\[ O_3^{(3)} = \frac{1}{12 m^3} \left[ u_\mu, \left[ D_\nu, u_\rho \right] \right] D^{\mu\rho} + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \frac{2}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_0 \pi \times \partial^2 \pi + A_0 \times \partial^2 \pi \right. \]

\[ \left. -\partial_0 A_0 \times \partial_\rho \pi \right) \gamma^0, \quad (A33) \]

\[ O_4^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2 m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \langle u_\mu u_\nu u_\alpha \rangle D_\beta + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A34) \]

\[ O_5^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2 m} \left[ \chi_-, u_\mu \right] D^\mu + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow -\frac{4 m^2}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \left[ \pi \times \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_0 \pi - A_0 \right) \right] \gamma^0, \quad (A35) \]

\[ O_6^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2 m} \left[ D_\mu, F^+_{\mu
u} \right] D^\nu + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \partial^\nu F^+_{i0} \gamma^0, \quad (A36) \]

\[ O_7^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2 m} \left[ D_\mu, \langle F^+_{\mu
u} \rangle \right] D^\nu + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A37) \]

\[ O_8^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2 m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \langle \tilde{F}^+_{\mu
u} u_\alpha \rangle D_\beta + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A38) \]

\[ O_9^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2 m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \langle \tilde{F}^+_{\mu
u} u_\alpha \rangle D_\beta + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A39) \]

\[ O_{10}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle u \cdot u \rangle u_\mu \rightarrow 0, \quad (A40) \]

\[ O_{11}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle u \mu u_\nu \rangle u_\nu \rightarrow 0, \quad (A41) \]

\[ O_{12}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{8 m^2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle u_\lambda u_\nu \rangle u_\mu D^{\lambda\nu} + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A42) \]

\[ O_{13}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{8 m^2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle u_\mu u_\nu \rangle u_\lambda D^{\lambda\nu} + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A43) \]

\[ O_{14}^{(3)} = \frac{i}{4 m} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \langle [D_\lambda, u_\mu] u_\nu \rangle D^\lambda + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f_\pi} \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_0 \partial_\lambda \pi \cdot \partial_\rho \pi - \partial_0 \partial_\rho \pi \cdot A_\lambda \right. \]

\[ \left. -\partial_0 A_\lambda \cdot \partial_\rho \pi \right) \sigma^{ij} \gamma^0, \quad (A44) \]
\[ O_{15}^{(3)} = \frac{i}{4m} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \langle u_\mu [D_\nu, u_\lambda] \rangle D^\lambda + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_i \pi \cdot \partial_0 \partial_j \pi - \partial_i \pi \cdot \partial_j A_0 \right) \]

\[ -A_i \cdot \partial_0 \partial_j \pi \right) \sigma^{ij} \gamma^0 \]  

(A45)

\[ O_{16}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle x_+ \rangle u_\mu \rightarrow 2m_\pi^2 \tau \cdot \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_i \pi + A_i \right) \gamma^i \gamma_5 \]  

(A46)

\[ O_{17}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle x_+ u_\mu \rangle \rightarrow 0 \]  

(A47)

\[ O_{18}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle D_\mu , x_- \rangle \rightarrow \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \partial_i \pi \gamma^i \gamma_5 \]  

(A48)

\[ O_{19}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle D_\mu , \langle x_- \rangle \rangle \rightarrow 0 \]  

(A49)

\[ O_{20}^{(3)} = \frac{i}{8m_\pi^2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \left[ \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^+ , u_\lambda \right] D^{\lambda\nu} + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow 0 \]  

(A50)

\[ O_{21}^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \left[ \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^+ , u_\nu \right] \rightarrow 0 \]  

(A51)

\[ O_{22}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \langle D^\nu , F_{\mu\nu}^- \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \tau \cdot \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \gamma^i \gamma_5 \]  

(A52)

\[ O_{23}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \gamma_5 e^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \langle u_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}^- \rangle \rightarrow -\frac{1}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta\nu} \partial_\nu \pi \cdot F_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 \gamma_5 \]  

(A53)

Several comments are now in order. First, the expressions above for \( L_{\pi N}^{(1)}, L_{\pi N}^{(2)}, \) and \( L_{\pi N}^{(3)} \) retain all terms relevant in the present study. Typically, these include at most three pion, two pion, and one pion fields for \( n = 1, 2, 3 \) in \( L_{\pi N}^{(n)} \), respectively. In some instances, for example in \( O_{15}^{(3)} \), terms with two pion fields are also considered for reasons having to do with the treatment of tadpole-type contributions (see below). The Lagrangian \( \sum_n L_{\pi N}^{(n)} \) can now conveniently be expressed as given in Eq. (2.4) with the quantities \( \Gamma_0^a(n), \Lambda_0^i(n), \) and \( \Delta(n), \) defined in Eqs. (2.5)–(2.6), given at leading order by

\[ \Gamma_0^a(0) = -\frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^2} (\tau \times \pi)_a \gamma_5 + \frac{8}{16f_{\pi}^2} \pi^2 (\tau \times \pi)_a \gamma_5 \]

(A54)

\[ \Lambda_0^i(0) = -\frac{g_A}{2f_{\pi}} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^2 \right) \tau_a \gamma^i \gamma_5 + \frac{g_A}{4f_{\pi}^3} (4\alpha - 1)(\tau \cdot \pi) \pi_a \gamma^i \gamma_5 \]

(A55)

\[ \Delta(1) = \frac{g_A}{2} \tau \cdot A_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^2 \right) (\tau \times \pi) \cdot A_0 \gamma^0 \]

\[ + \frac{g_A}{4f_{\pi}^3} [(\tau \times \pi) \times \pi] \cdot A_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 \]

(A56)

at next-to-leading order by

\[ \Gamma_0^a(1) = -\frac{g_A}{2f_{\pi}} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^2 \right) \tau_a \gamma^0 \gamma_5 + \frac{g_A}{4f_{\pi}^3} (4\alpha - 1)(\tau \cdot \pi) \pi_a \gamma^0 \gamma_5 - \frac{2c_2 + c_3}{f_{\pi}} A_0^a \]

(A57)

\[ \Lambda_0^i(1) = -\frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^2} (\tau \times \pi)_a \gamma^i + \frac{c_3}{4f_{\pi}^2} \partial_\nu \pi_a - \frac{c_3}{f_{\pi}} A^i_a - \frac{c_4}{f_{\pi}} (\tau \times A_j)_a \sigma^{ij} \]

\[ + \frac{c_4}{2f_{\pi}^4} (\tau \times \partial_j \pi)_a \sigma^{ij} \left( 1 - \frac{8\alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^2 (\pi \times \tau)_a \gamma^j \right) \]

(A58)
\[
\Delta(2) = \frac{g_A}{2} \tau \cdot A_0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi} \pi^2 \right) (\tau \times \pi) \cdot A_i \gamma^i + \frac{g_A}{4f_\pi^2} [(\tau \times \pi) \times \pi] \cdot A_0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \\
+ 4m_c^2c_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2f_\pi^2} \right) + \frac{c_6}{4m_c} \left( \tau \times \pi \right) \cdot \partial_i A_j \sigma^{ij};
\]

and at next-to-next-to-leading order by

\[
\Gamma^0_a(2) = \frac{c_2}{m_c} \left( \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_i \pi_a - A_{i,a} \right) \gamma^0 i \partial_i + \frac{c_4}{f_\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \partial_i \pi)_a - (\tau \times A_i)_a \right] \sigma^{0i} \\
+ 2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi} \left[ (\tau \times \partial^i \partial_\pi) + (\tau \times \partial^i \pi) \right] \gamma^0 \\
+ 2 \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{f_\pi} \left[ - \frac{m_c^2}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \pi)_a - \frac{c_4}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \partial^i \partial_\pi)_a + (\tau \times \partial^i A_i)_a \right] \gamma^0 \\
- 4 \frac{d_5 m_c^2}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \pi)_a \gamma^0 + \frac{d_14 - d_15}{f_\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{f_\pi} \partial_i \pi_a \sigma^{ij} \partial_j + \partial_i A_{j,a} \sigma^{ij} \right] \\
+ A_{j,a} \sigma^{ij} \partial_j \gamma^0 + \frac{d_23}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk,a} \gamma_i \gamma_5;
\]

\[
\Delta^0_a(2) = - \frac{c_2}{m_c} A_{0,a} \gamma^0 i \partial_i + \frac{c_4}{f_\pi} (\tau \times A_0)_a \sigma^{0i} - 2 \frac{d_1}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \partial_0 A^0)_a \gamma^0 \\
- 2 \frac{d_2}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \partial^i A_0)_a \gamma^0 - \frac{d_6}{f_\pi} (\tau \times F^i)_a \gamma^0 \\
+ \frac{d_14}{f_\pi} \partial_0 A_{j,a} \sigma^{ij} \gamma^0 - \frac{d_15}{f_\pi} \partial_j A_{0,a} \sigma^{ij} \gamma^0 \\
- \frac{m_c^2}{f_\pi} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \tau_a \gamma^i \gamma_5 + \frac{d_23}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{k0,a} \gamma_j \gamma_5;
\]

Second, the various derivatives act only on the field to their immediate right, for example \(\partial_0 \pi \cdot A_0\) means \((\partial_0 \pi) \cdot A_0\). However, the symbols \(\partial^i = \partial_i - \tau_i\) and \(\partial^i = \partial_i + \tau_i\) in Eqs. \(A25\) and \(A60\) denote derivatives acting only on the field to their right and left, respectively.

Third, the power counting \(Q^n\) of \(L^{(n)}_{\pi N}\) counts powers of derivatives of the pion field (or of pion mass factors) and factors of \(A^\mu_i\) and its derivatives (note that \(A^\mu_i\) is counted as being of order \(Q\)). However, the Lorentz structure of the terms may lead to additional suppression. For example, in \(L^{(1)}_{\pi N}\) a term like

\[- \frac{1}{4f_\pi^2} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot \partial_0 \pi \gamma^0,\]
is of order $Q$, but a term like

$$- \frac{gA}{2f_\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \right) \tau \cdot \partial_\pi \gamma^0 \gamma_5 ,$$

which is nominally of order $Q$, is in fact of order $Q^2$, since $N\gamma^0 \gamma_5 N$ couples the lower to the upper components of the spinors, and therefore involves the three-momenta of the initial and final nucleons (of order $Q$). We have taken advantage of this suppression in some of the terms $O_i^{(3)}$ in $L^{(3)}_{\pi N}$ by retaining only the diagonal piece in their Lorentz structure, for example in term $O_{14}^{(3)}$.

Fourth, time derivatives of the nucleon fields in $L^{(2)}_{\pi N}$ and $L^{(3)}_{\pi N}$ are removed by making use of the equation of motion (to order $Q$)

$$\partial_\mu N = -i m \gamma^\mu N + \left[ -\gamma^\mu \gamma^i \partial_i + i \gamma^0 \Gamma_a^0 (0) \partial_\mu \pi_a + i \gamma^0 \Lambda_a^0 (0) \partial_\mu \pi_a + i \gamma^0 \Delta (1) \right] N , \quad (A63)$$

implying that

$$\partial_\mu^2 N = -m^2 N - i m \gamma^\mu \left[ \ldots \right] N - i m \left[ \ldots \right] \gamma^\mu N \quad (A64)$$

$$= -m^2 N + \left[ - \frac{mgA}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot \partial_\pi \gamma^i \gamma_5 + m g_A \tau \cdot A_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 - \frac{m}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot (A_0 \times \pi) \gamma^0 \right] N , \quad (A65)$$

where in the second line we have ignored non-linear terms in the pion field, since they do not contribute to the order of interest here.

Fifth, double time derivatives of the pion fields in $L^{(3)}_{\pi N}$ are removed by making use of the equation of motion, see Eq. (A72) below. Terms containing both one time derivative and one space derivative of the pion fields have been rewritten by integrating by parts. For example, in $L^{(3)}_{\pi N}$ a term like

$$2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi^2} N \left( \tau \times \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi \right) \cdot \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi N ,$$

can be re-expressed, modulo a total divergence, as

$$-2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi^2} N \left[ \left( \tau \times \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi \right) \cdot \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi + \left( \tau \times \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi \right) \cdot \partial_\mu \partial_i \pi \right] N .$$

2. $\pi \pi$ Sector

The $\pi \pi$ Lagrangians up to order $Q^4$ read [28]:

$$L^{(2)}_{\pi \pi} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \left( D_\mu U (D^\mu U) + \chi_+ \right) \quad (A66)$$

$$L^{(4)}_{\pi \pi} = \frac{l_1}{4} \langle D_\mu U (D^\mu U) \rangle \langle D_\nu U (D^\nu U) \rangle + \frac{l_2}{4} \langle D_\mu U (D_\nu U) \rangle \langle D^\mu U (D^\nu U) \rangle + \frac{l_3}{16} \langle \chi_+ \rangle^2$$

$$+ \frac{l_4}{16} \left[ 2 \langle D_\mu U (D^\mu U) \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + 2 \langle \chi_+ U \chi_+ U \rangle - \langle \chi_- \rangle^2 - 4 \langle \chi_+ \chi_- \rangle \right]$$

$$+ l_5 \left( \langle F^R_{\mu \nu} U F^\mu_\nu U \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle F^L_{\mu \nu} F^\mu_\nu + F^R_{\mu \nu} F^\mu_\nu \rangle \right) \quad (A67)$$
where in the absence of isospin symmetry breaking (which is assumed throughout the present work) \( \chi \) is proportional to the identity matrix, namely \( \chi = m^2_\pi \), and \( \langle \chi_- \rangle \) vanishes. Furthermore, the terms proportional to the LECs \( l_1, l_2, l_5, l_6 \), and \( h_i \) do not contribute to the order of interest. The symmetric matrices \( \tilde{G}_{ab}, G_{ab}, H_{ab}, \) and \( F_{ab} \) in the Lagrangian of Eq. (2.4) are obtained as

\[
\tilde{G}_{ab} = \left( 1 - \frac{2 \alpha}{f^2_\pi} \pi^2 + 2 l_4 \frac{m^2_\pi}{f^2_\pi} \right) \delta_{ab} - \frac{4 \alpha - 1}{f^2_\pi} \pi_a \pi_b, \tag{A68}
\]

\[
G_{ab} = \tilde{G}_{ab} + 2 \frac{c_2 + c_3}{f^2_\pi} \tilde{N} N \delta_{ab}, \tag{A69}
\]

\[
H_{ab} = \left[ 1 - \frac{8 \alpha - 1}{4 f^2_\pi} \pi^2 + 2 (l_3 + l_4) \frac{m^2_\pi}{f^2_\pi} \right] \delta_{ab}, \tag{A70}
\]

\[
F_{ab} = \left( 1 - \frac{2 \alpha + 1}{2 f^2_\pi} \pi^2 + 2 l_4 \frac{m^2_\pi}{f^2_\pi} \right) \delta_{ab} - \frac{2 \alpha - 1}{f^2_\pi} \pi_a \pi_b. \tag{A71}
\]

By retaining only terms linear in the pion field and external axial field, the equation of motion implied by \( L^{(2)}_{\pi \pi} \) is

\[
\partial_0^2 \pi = - \left( \partial^i \partial_i + m^2_\pi \right) \pi + f_\pi \partial_0 A^0 + f_\pi \partial_i A^i. \tag{A72}
\]

**Appendix B: Interaction vertices**

In this appendix we report expressions for the vertices corresponding to the interaction terms in the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2.11), which we write as

\[
H_I = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[ \left( H^{(n)}_{\pi NN} + H^{(n)}_{2\pi NN} + H^{(n)}_{3\pi NN} + \cdots \right) + \left( H^{(n)}_{\pi NNA} + H^{(n)}_{\pi NNA} + H^{(n)}_{2\pi NNA} + \cdots \right) \right]
+ \sum_{m=1}^{2} \left[ \left( H^{(2m)}_{2\pi} + H^{(2m)}_{4\pi} + \cdots \right) + \left( H^{(2m)}_{\pi A} + H^{(2m)}_{3\pi A} + \cdots \right) \right], \tag{B1}
\]

where the superscript \( n \) denotes the power counting \( Q^n \) and the subscript specifies the number of pion, nucleon, and axial fields entering a given interaction term. We use the following notation: \( \lambda = p \sigma \tau \) (\( \lambda' = p' \sigma' \tau' \)) are the momentum and spin and isospin projections of the initial (final) nucleon; \( k_1, k_2, \ldots \) and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) are the momenta and isospin projections of pions 1, 2, \ldots with energies \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots \), where \( \omega_i = \sqrt{k_i^2 + m^2_\pi} \); \( q \) and \( a \) denote the momentum and isospin projection of the external axial field with energy \( \omega_q \) and its spatial and time derivatives expressed as \( \nabla A^\mu_a \rightarrow i q A^\mu_a \) and \( \partial_\mu A^\mu_a \rightarrow -i \omega_q A^\mu_a \). We also define \( P = (p' + p)/2 \) and the (infinite) constants

\[
J_{mn} = \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{l_n^{2m}}{\omega_l^n}. \tag{B2}
\]
1. $\pi NN$ vertices

The interaction terms read

$$H_{\pi NN}^{(1)} = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \cdot \tau_0 \pi \gamma^5 N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

$$H_{\pi NN}^{(2)} = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \cdot \Pi \gamma^5 N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B4)

$$H_{\pi NN}^{(3)} = \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \cdot \partial_i \pi \gamma^5 N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B5)

from which the following vertices for pion absorption are obtained

$$\langle \lambda' | H_{\pi NN}^{(1)} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = \frac{g_A}{2f_\pi} \tau_a \sigma \cdot k ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B6)

$$\langle \lambda' | H_{\pi NN}^{(2)} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = -i \frac{g_A}{2m f_\pi} \tau_a \omega \sigma \cdot P ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B7)

$$\langle \lambda' | H_{\pi NN}^{(3)} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = i \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi} (2d_{16} - d_{18}) \tau_a \sigma \cdot k + i \frac{g_A}{8m^2 f_\pi} \tau_a \left[ 2 \sigma \cdot P k \cdot P 
\quad - \sigma \cdot (p' - p) \left( \frac{p' - p}{2} \right) - 2 P^2 \sigma \cdot k - i k \cdot (p' - p) \times P \right] ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B8)

where on the r.h.s. of the above equations the $1/\sqrt{2\omega}$ normalization factor from the pion field expansion in normal modes, the initial and final spin-isospin states of the nucleons, and the three-momentum conserving $\delta$-function $(2\pi)^3 \delta(p' - p - k)$ have been dropped for simplicity. We will continue to do so in the equations to follow. Vertices in which the pion is in the final state (pion emission) are obtained from those above by the replacements $\omega, k \rightarrow -\omega, -k$. Lastly, only the leading order is retained in the non-relativistic expansion of the Lorentz structures associated with the various interaction terms (here and to follow) unless otherwise noted.

2. $2\pi NN$ vertices

The interaction term reads

$$H_{2\pi NN}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4f_\pi^2} \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \cdot (\tau \times \pi) \gamma^0 N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B9)

$$H_{2\pi NN}^{(2)} = \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \left[ \frac{1}{4f_\pi^2} \partial_i \pi \cdot (\tau \times \pi) \gamma^i + c_1 \frac{2m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} \pi^2 - \frac{c_2}{f_\pi^2} \partial^i \pi \cdot \partial_i \pi + 
\quad - \frac{c_2 + c_3}{f_\pi^2} \Pi \cdot \Pi + \frac{c_4}{2f_\pi^2} \tau \cdot (\partial_i \pi \times \partial_j \pi) \sigma^{ij} \right] N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B10)

$$H_{2\pi NN}^{(3)} = \int \text{d}x \, \overline{N} \left[ -2 \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{f_\pi^2} (\tau \times \Pi) \cdot (\partial_i \partial_j \pi + m_\pi^2 \pi) \gamma^0 - 4 \frac{d_5 m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} (\Pi \times \pi) \cdot \tau \gamma^0 
\quad + 2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi^2} (\tau \times \Pi) \cdot \left( \partial_i \partial_j \pi + \partial_i \pi \frac{\partial_j \pi}{\partial_i} \right) \gamma^0 + \frac{d_{15} - d_{14}}{f_\pi^2} \Pi \cdot \partial_i \pi \sigma^{ij} \frac{\partial_j \pi}{\partial_i} \gamma^0 \right] N ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B11)
from which the vertex follows as
\[\langle \lambda' | H_{2\pi NN}^{(1)} | \lambda; k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = \frac{i}{4f_\pi^2} \epsilon_{a_1a_2c} \tau_c (\omega_1 - \omega_2) , \]  
(B12)
\[\langle \lambda' | H_{2\pi NN}^{(2)} | \lambda; k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = -\frac{i}{4f_\pi^2} \frac{2P + i\sigma \times (p' - p)}{2m} \cdot (k_1 - k_2) \epsilon_{a_1a_2a} \tau_a + 4c_1 \frac{m^2}{f_\pi^2} \delta_{a_1a_2} \]
\[- \frac{2c_3}{f_\pi^2} k_1 \cdot k_2 \delta_{a_1a_2} + \frac{2}{f_\pi^2} \omega_1 \omega_2 \delta_{a_1a_2} \]
\[- \frac{c_4}{f_\pi^2} \sigma \cdot (k_1 \times k_2) \epsilon_{a_1a_2a} \tau_a , \]  
(B13)
\[\langle \lambda' | H_{2\pi NN}^{(3)} | \lambda; k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = i(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \left[ \epsilon_{a_1a_2c} \tau_c \left( - \frac{2}{f_\pi^2} \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} + \frac{4}{f_\pi^2} \frac{d_5}{m^2} \right) \right. \]
\[+ \left. 2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi^2} k_1 \cdot k_2 \right] + \frac{d_15 - d_14}{f_\pi^2} (k_1 \times k_2) \cdot \sigma \delta_{a_1a_2} \]  
(B14)
and vertices in which either or both pions are in the final state are obtained from the equation above by replacing \( k_i, \omega_i \rightarrow -k_i, -\omega_i. \)

3. 3πNN vertices

The interaction terms read
\[H_{3\pi NN}^{(1)} = -\frac{g_A}{2f_\pi^3} \int dx \bar{N} \left[ \alpha \pi^2 \frac{\tau}{\partial_\tau} \cdot \partial_\tau N + \frac{1}{2} (4\alpha - 1) \tau \cdot \pi \cdot \partial_\pi N \right] \gamma^5 N , \]  
(B15)
which leads to the following interaction vertex
\[\langle \lambda' | H_{3\pi NN}^{(1)} | \lambda; k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2; k_3, a_3 \rangle = -\frac{i}{2f_\pi^3} \sigma \cdot \left[ \tau_{a_1} \delta_{a_2a_3} \left[ (2\alpha - 1/2) (k_2 + k_3) + 2\alpha k_1 \right] \right. \]
\[+ \tau_{a_2} \delta_{a_1a_3} \left[ (2\alpha - 1/2) (k_1 + k_3) + 2\alpha k_2 \right] \]
\[+ \left. \tau_{a_3} \delta_{a_1a_2} \left[ (2\alpha - 1/2) (k_1 + k_2) + 2\alpha k_3 \right] \right] . \]  
(B16)
The corresponding tadpole contribution is
\[\langle \lambda' | H_{3\pi NN}^{(1)} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = -\frac{i}{8f_\pi^3} (10\alpha - 1) J_{01} \tau_a \sigma \cdot k , \]  
(B17)
where \( J_{01} \) has been defined in Eq. (B2).

4. 4πNN vertices

The interaction term reads
\[H_{4\pi NN}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{32f_\pi^4} \int dx \bar{N} \left( \Pi_\alpha \pi^2 + \pi^2 \Pi_\alpha \right) (\tau \times \pi)_\alpha \gamma^0 N \]  
(B18)
and the tadpole contribution follows as
\[\langle 0 | H_{4\pi NN}^{(1)} | k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = \frac{5i}{32f_\pi^4} J_{01} \epsilon_{a_1a_2c} \tau_c (\omega_1 - \omega_2) . \]  
(B19)
5. \textit{ANN vertices}

The interaction terms read

\begin{align}
H_{ANN}^{(1)} &= -\frac{g_A}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \tau_a A^i_a \gamma_5 \gamma^0 N, \\
H_{ANN}^{(3)} &= -\int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \left( 2m^2 d_{16} \tau \cdot A_i \gamma_5 \gamma^0 + \frac{d_{22}}{2} \tau \cdot \partial^i F_{i5} \gamma^0 \right) N,
\end{align}

from which the vertices follow as

\begin{align}
\langle \chi \mid H_{ANN}^{(1)} \mid \lambda \rangle &= \frac{g_A}{2} \tau_a \left[ \sigma - \frac{1}{2m^2} P^2 \sigma - \frac{i}{4m^2} (p' - p) \times P + \frac{1}{2m^2} \sigma \cdot P \right] \cdot A_a, \\
\langle \chi \mid H_{ANN}^{(3)} \mid \lambda \rangle &= 2m^2 d_{16} \tau_a A^i_a \sigma \cdot \Pi + \frac{d_{22}}{2} \tau_a \left( q q \cdot \sigma - q^2 \sigma \right) \cdot A_a,
\end{align}

where in Eq. (B22) terms of order $Q^2$ have been retained in the expansion of the bilinear $\overline{N} \gamma_5 N$, since they have been shown to generate significant corrections to the single-nucleon axial current [33].

6. \textit{$\pi N N A$ vertices}

The interaction terms read

\begin{align}
H_{\pi N N A}^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{4 f_\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} A_0 \cdot (\tau \times \pi) \gamma^0 N, \\
H_{\pi N N A}^{(2)} &= \int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \left[ -\frac{1}{2f_\pi} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot A_i \gamma^i - \frac{c_6}{2mf_\pi} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot \partial_i A_j \sigma^{ij} + \frac{2c_3}{2m} A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi \\
&\quad + \frac{c_4}{f_\pi} (\partial_i \pi \times \tau) \cdot A_j \sigma^{ij} \right] N, \\
H_{\pi N N A}^{(3)} &= \int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \left[ \frac{2d_2 + d_6}{f_\pi} (\partial_i \pi \times \tau \cdot \partial^i A^0 \gamma_5 \gamma^0 + \frac{d_{15}}{f_\pi} \partial_j A^0 \cdot \partial_i \pi \sigma^{ij} \gamma^0 \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{d_{23}}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{0ijk} \partial_i \pi \cdot \partial_k A^0 \gamma_5 \gamma^0 - \frac{d_6}{f_\pi} (\tau \times \pi) \cdot \partial_i \partial^j A^0 \gamma^0 \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{d_1 + d_2}{f_\pi} (\tau \cdot A_0) \cdot (\partial^i \partial_i \pi + \partial^i \pi \partial^j \gamma_5 \gamma^0 + \frac{d_{15} - d_{14}}{f_\pi} \partial_i \pi \cdot A_0 \sigma^{ij} \gamma_5 \gamma^0 \right) N,
\end{align}

where the dots indicate terms which do not contribute in tree-level diagrams of order $Q$, for example

\begin{align}
\int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \left[ -2 \frac{d_{23}}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{0ijk} \gamma_5 \gamma^5 \Pi \cdot \partial_j A_k - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{f_\pi} \tau \cdot (\partial_i A^i \times \Pi) \gamma^0 \right] N,
\end{align}

or

\begin{align}
2 \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{f_\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} \overline{N} \tau \cdot (\partial_0 A_0 \times \Pi) \gamma^0 N,
\end{align}
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and $\partial_0 A_0 \rightarrow -i \omega q A_0$ is of order $Q^2$, since in our counting the energy of the external field is of order $Q^2$. The interactions in Eqs. (B24)–(B26) lead to the following vertices

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(1)}_{\pi NNA} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = -\frac{1}{4f_\pi} \epsilon_{abc} A^0_a \tau_c ,
$$

(B27)

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(2)}_{\pi NNA} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = -\frac{1}{2mf_\pi} \epsilon_{abc} \tau_b A_c \cdot \left[ P + \frac{i}{2} \sigma \times (p' - p) \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{i}{4m f_\pi} \epsilon_{abc} \tau_b A_c \cdot (\sigma \times q) + \frac{2ic_3}{f_\pi} k \cdot A_a
$$

$$
- \frac{i c_4}{f_\pi} \epsilon_{abc} \tau_b A_c \cdot (\sigma \times k) ,
$$

(B28)

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(3)}_{\pi NNA} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = \frac{2d_1 - d_6}{f_\pi} (A^0_a \cdot \tau) q \cdot k + \frac{d_{14} + 2d_{23}}{f_\pi} \sigma \cdot (q \times k) A^0_a
$$

$$
- \frac{d_6}{f_\pi} (A^0_a \cdot \tau) q^2 .
$$

(B29)

7. $2\pi NNA$ vertices

The interaction term reads

$$
H^{(1)}_{2\pi NNA} = -\frac{g_A}{4f_\pi^2} \int dx \bar{N} A_i \cdot [(\tau \times \pi) \times \pi] \gamma^i \gamma^5 N ,
$$

(B30)

which leads to the following vertex and tadpole contributions

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(1)}_{2\pi NNA} | \lambda; k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2 \rangle = \frac{g_A}{4f_\pi^2} (\delta_{a,a_1} \tau_{a_2} + \delta_{a,a_2} \tau_{a_1} - 2 \delta_{a_1,a_2} \tau_a) A_a \cdot \sigma ,
$$

(B31)

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(1)}_{2\pi NNA} | \lambda \rangle = -\frac{g_A}{4f_\pi^2} J_{01} \tau_a A_a \cdot \sigma .
$$

(B32)

8. $3\pi NNA$ vertices

The interaction term reads

$$
H^{(1)}_{3\pi NNA} = \frac{4\alpha - 1}{16 f_\pi^3} \int dx \bar{N} \frac{\pi^2}{A^0_a \cdot (\tau \times \pi) \gamma^0 N} ,
$$

(B33)

from which the tadpole contribution is obtained as

$$
\langle \lambda' | H^{(1)}_{3\pi NNA} | \lambda; k, a \rangle = -\frac{5(4\alpha - 1)}{32 f_\pi^3} J_{01} (\tau \times A^0_a) .
$$

(B34)

9. $2\pi$ vertices

The interaction terms read

$$
H^{(4)}_{2\pi} = \int dx \left[ -\frac{m^2 \rho_4}{f_\pi^2} (\Pi \cdot \Pi + \partial^\mu \tau^\mu \cdot \partial_\mu \pi) + \frac{m_4}{f_\pi^2} (l_3 + l_4) \pi \cdot \pi \right] ,
$$

(B35)
from which the vertex is obtained as

$$\langle 0 | H_{2\pi}^{(4)} | \mathbf{k}_1, a_1; \mathbf{k}_2, a_2 \rangle = \delta_{a_1, a_2} \left[ \frac{2 m^2 \pi^2}{f_\pi^2} (\omega_1 \omega_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) + \frac{2 m^4 (l_3 + l_4)}{f_\pi^2} \right], \quad (B36)$$

where, as noted earlier, the momentum-conserving $\delta$-function $(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)$ and the pion field normalization factor $1/\sqrt{4 \omega_1 \omega_2}$ are understood. Vertices in which one or both pions are in the final state follow by replacing $\omega_i, \mathbf{k}_i \rightarrow -\omega_i, -\mathbf{k}_i$. Enforcing the $\delta$ function requirement $\mathbf{k}_1 = -\mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega$, the vertex in Eq. (B36) reduces to

$$\langle 0 | H_{2\pi}^{(4)} | \mathbf{k}, a; -\mathbf{k}, a \rangle = \frac{4 m^2 \pi^2 l_4}{f_\pi^2} \omega^2 + \frac{2 m^4 l_3}{f_\pi^2}. \quad (B37)$$

Similarly, we find

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, a | H_{2\pi}^{(4)} | \mathbf{k}, a \rangle = \frac{2 m^4 l_3}{f_\pi^2}, \quad (B38)$$

according to the prescription given above. Apart from the factor $1/(2\omega)$, which is not included in the equations above, these vertices are the same as given in Appendix F of Ref. [17]

10. $4\pi$ vertices

The interaction terms read

$$H_{4\pi}^{(2)} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[ \frac{d\alpha - 1}{2 f_\pi^2} \left( \pi \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{\Pi} \cdot \pi + \partial_\gamma \pi \cdot \partial^\gamma \pi \cdot \pi \right) + \frac{\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \left( \pi_\alpha \mathbf{\Pi} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} \pi_\alpha + \pi^2 \partial_\alpha \pi \cdot \partial^\alpha \pi \right) - \frac{8 \alpha - 1}{8 f_\pi^2} m^2 \pi^4 \right], \quad (B39)$$

which leads to the following vertex

$$\langle 0 | H_{4\pi}^{(2)} | \mathbf{k}_1, a_1; \mathbf{k}_2, a_2; \mathbf{k}_3, a_3; \mathbf{k}_4, a_4 \rangle = \frac{1}{f_\pi^2} \times \left[ \delta_{a_1, a_2} \delta_{a_3, a_4} \left[ -2 \alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)^2 + m^2 + (\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4)^2 + (\omega_1 + \omega_2)(\omega_3 + \omega_4) \right] + \delta_{a_1, a_3} \delta_{a_2, a_4} \left[ -2 \alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)^2 + m^2 + (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3)^2 + (\omega_1 + \omega_3)(\omega_2 + \omega_4) \right] + \delta_{a_1, a_4} \delta_{a_2, a_3} \left[ -2 \alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)^2 + m^2 + (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_4)^2 + (\omega_1 + \omega_4)(\omega_2 + \omega_3) \right] \right], \quad (B40)$$

and the corresponding tadpole contribution is

$$\langle 0 | H_{4\pi}^{(2)} | \mathbf{k}_1, a_1; \mathbf{k}_2, a_2 \rangle = \delta_{a_1, a_2} J_{01} \left[ \frac{1 - 10 \alpha}{2 f_\pi^2} (\omega_1 \omega_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) - \frac{20 \alpha - 3}{4 f_\pi^2} m^2 \right], \quad (B41)$$

and the constant $J_{01}$ has been defined in Eq. [B2].
11. $\pi A$ vertices

The interaction terms read
\begin{align}
H_{\pi A}^{(2)} &= f_\pi \int \text{d}x \left( A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi + A^0 \cdot \Pi \right), \\
H_{\pi A}^{(4)} &= \frac{2 m_c^2 l_4}{f_\pi} \int \text{d}x \, A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi ,
\end{align}

from which the vertices are obtained as
\begin{align}
\langle 0 | H_{\pi A}^{(2)} | k, a \rangle &= i f_\pi \left( k \cdot A_a - \omega A_a^0 \right), \\
\langle 0 | H_{\pi A}^{(4)} | k, a \rangle &= 2 i \frac{m_c^2 l_4}{f_\pi} k \cdot A_a .
\end{align}

12. $3\pi A$ vertices

The interaction terms read
\begin{align}
H_{3\pi A}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2 f_\pi} \int \text{d}x \left[ 2 (1 - 2\alpha) A^i \cdot \pi \cdot \partial_i \pi - (2\alpha + 1) A^i \cdot \partial_i \pi \cdot \pi \right. \\
&\quad + 2 (\alpha - 1/2) A^0_a \pi_b \Pi_a \pi_b + 2\alpha A^0_a (\pi_a \cdot \Pi + \Pi \cdot \pi_a) \bigg] ,
\end{align}

which lead to the following vertices
\begin{align}
\langle 0 | H_{3\pi A}^{(2)} | k_1, a_1; k_2, a_2; k_3, a_3 \rangle &= \frac{i}{f_\pi} \left[ \delta_{a_2, a_3} A_{a_1} \cdot [(2\alpha - 1) q - 2k_1] \\
&\quad + \delta_{a_1, a_3} A_{a_2} \cdot [(2\alpha - 1) q - 2k_2] \\
&\quad + \delta_{a_1, a_2} A_{a_3} \cdot [(2\alpha - 1) q - 2k_3] \\
&\quad - \delta_{a_2, a_3} A^0_{a_1} [2\alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) - \omega_1] \\
&\quad - \delta_{a_1, a_3} A^0_{a_2} [2\alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) - \omega_2] \\
&\quad - \delta_{a_1, a_2} A^0_{a_3} [2\alpha (\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) - \omega_3] \bigg] ,
\end{align}

where in the first three lines use has been made of the $\delta$-function $(2\pi)^3 \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + q)$. The tadpole contribution is found to be
\begin{align}
\langle 0 | H_{3\pi A}^{(2)} | k, a \rangle &= - \frac{i}{2 f_\pi} J_{01} \left[ (5\alpha + 1/2) A_a \cdot k + (5\alpha - 3/2) A^0_a \omega \right] .
\end{align}

Appendix C: Contact terms at order $Q$

The weak-interaction potential $\nu_5 = A^0_a \rho_5,a - A_a \cdot j_5,a$ is parity ($P$) and time-reversal ($T$) invariant, which implies that $\rho_5,a \xrightarrow{P} -\rho_5,a$ and $j_5,a \xrightarrow{P} j_5,a$, and $\rho_5,a \xrightarrow{T} (-)^{a+1} \rho_5,a$ and $j_5,a \xrightarrow{T} (-)^a j_5,a$. At order $Q^0$ there is no momentum dependence, and consequently there are no contact terms which can be constructed for $\rho_5,a$, while two such terms occur for $j_5,a$, of which only one is independent (Fierz identities, see below) and is given in Eq. (5.4). At order
$Q$ the contact terms in $\rho_{5,a}$ and $j_{5,a}$ must be linear in either $k_i = p'_i - p_i$ or $K_i = (p'_i + p_i)/2$ with $i = 1$ and 2. None can be constructed for $j_{5,a}$. A complete, but non minimal, set of hermitian operators for the axial charge $\rho_{5,a}$ is the following:

\[
\tilde{O}_1 = (\tau_{1,a} + \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 + K_2), \\
\tilde{O}_2 = (\tau_{1,a} + \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 - K_2), \\
\tilde{O}_3 = i \ (\tau_{1,a} + \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot (k_1 - k_2), \\
\tilde{O}_4 = (\tau_{1,a} - \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 + K_2), \\
\tilde{O}_5 = (\tau_{1,a} - \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 - K_2), \\
\tilde{O}_6 = i \ (\tau_{1,a} - \tau_{2,a}) \ (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot (k_1 + k_2), \\
\tilde{O}_7 = i \ (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot (k_1 + k_2), \\
\tilde{O}_8 = i \ (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \cdot (k_1 - k_2), \\
\tilde{O}_9 = (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot (K_1 + K_2).
\]

The antisymmetry of initial and final two-nucleon states requires

\[
\tilde{O}_i = -P^r P^\sigma P^\text{space} \tilde{O}_i,
\]

where $P^\text{space}$ is the space exchange operator, and $P^\sigma$ and $P^r$ are the spin and isospin exchange operators with $P^\sigma = (1 + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)/2$ and similarly for $P^r$. Exchange of the final momenta of the two nucleons $p_1' = p_2$ leads to

\[
P^\text{space}(k_1 + k_2) = k_1 + k_2, \quad P^\text{space}(k_1 - k_2) = 2 (K_2 - K_1),
\]

\[
P^\text{space}(K_1 + K_2) = K_1 + K_2, \quad P^\text{space}(K_1 - K_2) = (k_2 - k_1)/2,
\]

while spin exchange implies

\[
P^\sigma (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2, \quad P^\sigma (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) = i (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2), \quad P^\sigma (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) = -i (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2),
\]

and similar relations follow under isospin exchange. The following (Fierz) identities are obtained from Eq. (C1):

\[
\tilde{O}_2 = \tilde{O}_3/2, \quad \tilde{O}_4 = \tilde{O}_9, \quad \tilde{O}_5 = \tilde{O}_8/2, \quad \tilde{O}_6 = -\tilde{O}_7,
\]

while $\tilde{O}_1$ is required to vanish. Hence only 4 of the above 9 operators are independent, and a convenient set is

\[
O_1 = (\tilde{O}_7 - \tilde{O}_8)/2, \quad O_2 = (\tilde{O}_7 + \tilde{O}_8)/2, \quad O_3 = (\tilde{O}_6 - \tilde{O}_3)/2, \quad O_4 = \tilde{O}_4.
\]

We note that $O_1$ and $O_3$ have the same operator structures associated with the divergent parts of the loop diagrams.

**Appendix D: Regularized loop contributions to $j_{5,a}^{\text{MPE}}$**

The regularized contributions of diagrams in Fig. [4] read:

\[
j_{5,a}^{(1)}(e1) = \frac{g_A^3}{64 \pi f^2_{\pi}} \tau_{2,a} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \sigma_1 M(k_2, z) + k_2 \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \frac{2z}{M(k_2, z)} \right], \tag{D1}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e4) &= - \frac{g_A^3}{64 \pi f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} \sigma_2 \int_0^1 dz \left[ \frac{k_1^2 z^2}{M(k_1, z)} + 3 M(k_1, z) \right], \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e5) &= \frac{g_A^5}{128 \pi f_\pi^4} \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \tau_{2,a} \sigma_2 \cdot (k_1 - k_2) \left[ \frac{k_1^2 z^2}{M(k_1, z)} + 3 M(k_1, z) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot k_1 M(k_1, z) \right], \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e8) &= - \frac{g_A^3}{64 \pi f_\pi^4} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \tau_{2,a} \left[ 5 \sigma_1 M(k_2, z) + \frac{k_2}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \left[ \frac{k_2^2 (z \overline{z})^2}{M(k_2, z)^3} + \frac{1 - 7 z \overline{z}}{M(k_2, z)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{k_2^2}{2} \sigma_1 \left[ \frac{10 z \overline{z} - 1}{M(k_2, z)} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{z \overline{z} (1 - 8 z \overline{z})}{M(k_2, z)^3} \right] \right] + 2 \tau_{1,a} (\sigma_2 \times k_2) \times k_2 \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{4 M(k_2, z)} + \frac{1}{48} \frac{k_2^2 (2z - 1)^2}{M(k_2, z)^3} \right], \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e10) &= \frac{g_A^3}{128 \pi f_\pi^4} \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \int_0^1 dz \left[ (2 \tau_{2,a} - \tau_{1,a}) \left[ \frac{k_2^2}{M(k_2, z)} + 3 M(k_2, z) \right] \sigma_1 \cdot k_2 \\
&\quad + (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \times k_1) M(k_1, z) \right] \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot k_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e15) &= \frac{g_A^3}{128 \pi f_\pi^4} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \tau_{2,a} \left[ -10 M(k_1, z)^3 + M(k_1, z) (15 m_\pi^2 + 11 k_1^2 \\
&\quad + 3 k_2^2 + 3 q^2 - 20 k_1^2 z \overline{z}) + \frac{k_1^2 z \overline{z}}{M(k_1, z)} (5 m_\pi^2 + k_2^2 + 4 q^2 + 3 k_1^2 - 2 k_1^2 z \overline{z}) \right] \\
&\quad - 2 (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)_a (\sigma_1 \times k_1) (k_2 + q) M(k_1, z) \right] \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot k_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e16) &= \frac{g_A^3 m_\pi^2}{32 \pi f_\pi^4} \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot k_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e17) &= \frac{g_A^3}{32 \pi f_\pi^4} \tau_{2,a} \frac{q}{q^2 + m_\pi^2} \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot k_2}{\omega_2^2}, \\
\dot{J}^{(1)}_{S,a}(e20) &= - \frac{g_A^3 m_\pi^2}{8 \pi f_\pi^2} C_T \tau_{1,a} \sigma_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(M(k, z)\) and \(\overline{z}\) have been defined in Eqs. (5.32) and (5.33). The contributions corresponding to diagrams e2, e9, and e21 easily follow from those for e1, e8, and e20.

The loop functions \(W_i\) and \(Z_i\) introduced in Eqs. (7.4) and (7.5) are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
W_1(k) &= \int_0^1 dz \left[ (1 - 5 g_A^2) M(k, z) - \frac{g_A^2 k_1^2}{2} \left[ \frac{10 z \overline{z} - 1}{M(k, z)} + \frac{z \overline{z} (1 - 8 z \overline{z})}{4 M(k, z)^3} \right] \right], \\
W_2(k) &= \int_0^1 dz \left[ - \frac{g_A^2 z \overline{z} k_2^2}{M(k, z)^3} + \frac{z \overline{z} (7 g_A^2 + 2) - g_A^2}{2 M(k, z)} \right],
\end{align*}
\]
\[ W_3(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz \left[ \frac{k^2 (z - z)^2}{12 M(k, z)^3} + \frac{1}{M(k, z)} \right], \quad (D12) \]

\[ Z_1(k) = \int_0^1 dz \left[ \frac{z \bar{Z} k^2}{M(k, z)} + 3 M(k, z) \right], \quad (D13) \]

\[ Z_2(k) = \int_0^1 dz \left[ 4m_p^2 - 10 M(k, z)^3 + M(k, z) \left( 15 m_p^2 + 14 k^2 - 6 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k} + 6 q^2 \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. -20 z \bar{Z} k^2 \right) + \frac{z \bar{Z} k^2}{M(k, z)} \left( 5 m_p^2 + 4 k^2 + 2 q^2 - 2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k} - 2 k^2 z \bar{Z} \right) \right], \quad (D14) \]

\[ Z_3(k) = \int_0^1 dz M(k, z). \quad (D15) \]

Appendix E: Counter-terms to order \( Q^3 \)

Having made the replacements in Eqs. (6.1) – (6.5), the bare Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) can be rewritten in terms of the renormalized fields and physical masses as

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^r + \delta \mathcal{L}^r, \quad (E1) \]

where \( \mathcal{L}^r \) is the same as in Eq. (2.4) but now in terms of renormalized fields and masses, and \( \delta \mathcal{L}^r \) includes the set of counter-terms

\[ \delta \mathcal{L}^r = \delta m N^r \mathcal{N}^r + \delta Z_N \mathcal{N}^r \left[ i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m^r \right] N^r + \delta Z_N \mathcal{N}^r \left[ \Gamma_{a}^{0,r}(0) \partial_0 \pi_a^r + \Lambda_{a}^{i,r}(0) \partial_i \pi_a^r + \Delta^r(1) \right] N^r \]

\[ + \frac{\delta Z_{\pi} \mathcal{N}^r}{2} \left[ \partial_0 \pi_a^r \left( \tilde{G}_{ab}^r + \delta \tilde{G}_{ab}^r \right) \partial^0 \pi_b^r + \partial_i \pi_a^r \left( \tilde{G}_{ab}^r + \delta \tilde{G}_{ab}^r \right) \partial^i \pi_b^r \right. \]

\[ \left. - m_{\pi}^2 \pi_a^r (H_{ab}^r + \delta H_{ab}^r) \pi_b^r \right] - \delta Z_{f} f_{\pi} \bar{A}_a^\mu \left[ 2 \pi^r - \bar{A}_a^\mu \pi_a^r \right] \gamma^\mu, \quad (E2) \]

where \( \Gamma_{a}^{0,r}(0) \), \( \Lambda_{a}^{i,r}(0) \) and \( \Delta^r(1) \) are the field combinations defined in Eqs. (A54), (A55) and (A56) expressed in terms of renormalized fields and physical masses. The remaining quantities are given by

\[ \delta \Gamma_{a}^{0,r}(0) = \frac{8 \alpha - 1}{8 f_{\pi}^4} \pi^r \cdot \bar{\pi}^r (\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\pi}^r) a \gamma^0, \quad (E3) \]

\[ \delta \Lambda_{a}^{i,r}(0) = \frac{g_A}{4 f_{\pi}^2} \left[ 2 \alpha \pi^r \cdot \bar{\pi}^r \tau_a + (4 \alpha - 1) \bar{\pi} \cdot \bar{\pi}^r \pi_a^r \right] \gamma^i \gamma_5, \quad (E4) \]

\[ \delta \Delta^r(1) = \frac{1}{4 f_{\pi}^2} \left( 1 - \frac{3 \alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^r \cdot \bar{\pi}^r \right) (\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\pi}^r) \cdot \bar{A}_0 \gamma^0 \]

\[ + \frac{g_A}{4 f_{\pi}^2} \left[ (\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\pi}^r) \times \pi^r \right] \cdot \bar{A}_i \gamma^i \gamma_5, \quad (E5) \]

\[ \delta \tilde{G}_{ab}^r = - \frac{2 \alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi^r \cdot \bar{\pi}^r \delta_{ab} \bar{\pi}_a^r \pi_b^r + \frac{1 - 4 \alpha}{f_{\pi}^2} \pi_a^r \pi_b^r, \quad (E6) \]

\[ \delta H_{ab}^r = \frac{1 - 8 \alpha}{4 f_{\pi}^2} \pi^r \cdot \bar{\pi}^r \delta_{ab}, \quad (E7) \]
\[ \delta F_{ab}^r = -\frac{2\alpha + 1}{2f_\pi^2} \pi^r \cdot \pi^r\delta_{ab} + \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{f_\pi^2} \pi_a^r \pi_b^r. \]  

(E8)

It is convenient to define

\[ \tilde{G}_{ab}^r = \tilde{G}_{ab}^r + \delta Z_{\pi} \left( \tilde{G}_{ab}^r + \delta \tilde{G}_{ab}^r \right), \]

(E9)

\[ G_{ab}^r = \tilde{G}_{ab}^r + 2 \frac{c_2 + c_3}{f_\pi^2} N^r \delta_{ab}, \]

(E10)

\[ F_{ab}^r = F_{ab}^r + \delta Z_{\pi} \left( F_{ab}^r / 2 \right), \]

(E11)

\[ H_{ab}^r = H_{ab}^r + \delta Z_{\pi} \left( H_{ab}^r + \delta H_{ab}^r \right), \]

(E12)

\[ \Gamma_{a}^r = \Gamma_{a}^r + \delta Z_{N} \Gamma_{a}^r \left( \delta Z_{\pi} \right), \]

(E13)

\[ \Lambda_{a}^r = \Lambda_{a}^r + \delta Z_{N} \Lambda_{a}^r \left( \delta Z_{\pi} \right), \]

(E14)

\[ \Delta^r = \Delta^r + \delta Z_{N} \Delta^r \left( \delta Z_{\pi} \right), \]

(E15)

which then leads to the Lagrangian as given in Eq. (6.6).
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