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Abstract

Left bundle branch block (LBBB) is considered a marker of underlying structural cardiac disease. To determine whether LBBB is cause or consequence of deterioration of left ventricular (LV) function is difficult as both are often diagnosed concomitantly. We discuss a patient where reversal of LBBB and subsequent normalization of LV function was observed after 2 different therapies, first after start of heart failure medication, and years later after implantation of a cardiac resynchronization device. This indicates that LBBB per se may result in the development of non-ischemic cardiomyopathy and that LBBB resolution can lead to reverse remodeling in dyssynchronopathy.
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Introduction

Left bundle branch block (LBBB) may occur in asymptomatic individuals, patients with extensive myocardial infarction, and in those with heart failure (HF), especially in non-ischemic cardiomyopathies. It may even be the first manifestation of heart disease whereas the clinical presentation of a non-ischemic cardiomyopathy develops years later [1]. LBBB causes slow activation of left ventricular (LV) septum and delayed activation of the lateral and basal walls of the LV that can eventually lead to depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) [2]. Because LBBB itself can accelerate LV dysfunction, LBBB and HF may have synergistic effects. Determining whether LBBB or deterioration of LV function is the trigger is often unclear as both are diagnosed concomitantly.

Case report

A 50-year-old Caucasian male presented himself at our hospital with increasing complaints of dyspnea on exertion.
Discussion

Collected ECG, echocardiography and MUGA data in our case indicate that LBBB may have precipitated deterioration of the LV function (Fig. 1). Progression of LV failure, four years after the initiation of HF medication, was preceded by a progressive increase in QRS duration together with the change from normal QRS axis to left axis deviation. ECGs before initial presentation are not present, but it is plausible that initially prolongation of QRS duration occurred, causing silent onset of LBBB, which eventually led to HF with physical complaints. It seems that in our case both medication and CRT could resolve LBBB, along with a reduction in QRS duration and re-establishment of LV synchrony, implying a relationship between LBBB induced dyssynchrony and dilatation with concomitant HF.

Blanc et al. reported that in some patients complete normalization of LV function could be achieved after LV resynchronization pacing in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy who had LBBB and severe HF. From these results they speculate that LBBB-induced dyssynchrony leads to a form of LV dysfunction, which aggravates intraventricular conduction disturbances [3]. Vaillant et al. showed the existence of a similar, specific LBBB-induced cardiomyopathy resolved by CRT in 6 patients [4]. The Predictors of Response to CRT—ECG substudy showed that narrowing of the QRS duration after CRT predicted more LV volume reduction, especially in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy and LBBB [5].

The mechanisms of narrowing of QRS duration observed after start of medication and later after initiation of CRT in the present case are unclear. It may be due to either changes in the specialized cardiac conduction system, or changes in intramyocardial impulse transmission. If the change is the result of improved impulse transmission in the specialized conduction tissue this could be the result of improved hemodynamics or secondary to remodeling or perhaps even electrical regeneration of the conduction tissue. In a recent study by Ogano et al., CRT restored ventricular septal myocardial perfusion and enhanced ventricular remodeling in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy presenting with LBBB [6]. Similarly, more efficient intramyocardial impulse transmission could possibly result from improved hemodynamics or mechanical reverse remodeling of the heart with smaller chamber sizes. Studies of myocardial impulse transmissions in canine models of dyssynchrony have shown changes in conduction velocity and action potential duration, as well as distribution and expression of connexins, also in the lateral wall of the LV during LBBB [7]. Vernooy et al. demonstrated, in canine hearts with long-term isolated LBBB, that biventricular pacing could largely reverse the functional and structural abnormalities induced by LBBB. This reversal occurred both globally and regionally introducing the idea that dyssynchronopathy as a result of LBBB can be “cured” by CRT [8]. The temporal pattern and relationship that we describe is conjectural and it is possible that another factor can be the driving force behind the changes we observed.

This is, to our knowledge, the first case that describes reversal of LBBB and the subsequent normalization of LV function after 2 different therapies, first after start of HF medication, and years later after implantation of a CRT device. Usually LBBB is considered as a marker of underlying structural cardiac disease. Fig. 1 suggests that LBBB per se may result in the development of non-ischemic cardiomyopathy due to permanent dyssynchronous activation of the LV. The idea of LBBB as a possible reversible cause of non-ischemic cardiomyopathy warrants further investigation.
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Fig. 2. Serial changes in the electrocardiogram leads I, aVL and V6. At initial presentation in June 2003 complete LBBB was present. However, after medication LBBB resolved until in 2007 LBBB recurred which persisted until CRT implantation in 2011. *LBBB denotes left bundle branch block.