The Hyaluronan Pericellular Coat and Cold Atmospheric Plasma Treatment of Cells
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Abstract: In different tumors, high amounts of hyaluronan (HA) are correlated with tumor progression. Therefore, new tumor therapy strategies are targeting HA production and degradation. In plasma medicine research, antiproliferative and apoptosis-inducing effects on tumor cells were observed using cold atmospheric plasma (CAP) or plasma-activated media (PAM). Until now, the influence of PAM on the HA pericellular coat has not been the focus of research. PAM was generated by argon-plasma treatment of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium via the kINPen®09 plasma jet. The HA expression on PAM-treated HaCaT cells was determined by flow cytometry and confocal laser scanning microscopy. Changes in the adhesion behavior of vital cells in PAM were observed by impedance measurement using the xCELLigence system. We found that PAM treatment impaired the HA pericellular coat of HaCaT cells. The time-dependent adhesion was impressively diminished. However, a disturbed HA coat alone was not the reason for the inhibition of cell adhesion because cells enzymatically treated with HAdase did not lose their adhesion capacity completely. Here, we showed for the first time that the plasma-activated medium (PAM) was able to influence the HA pericellular coat.
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1. Introduction

Hyaluronan (HA) is a glycosaminoglycan and a main component of the extracellular matrix (ECM). HA can be found in connective tissues, cartilage, and in the vitreous body of vertebrates. This long-chain, linear polysaccharide is formed by hyaluronan syntheses; it is then transported through the plasma membrane of the cell and into the ECM by ABC transporters [1–6]. HA plays an important role in cell attachment, proliferation, and migration and is a target molecule for improving initial cell adhesion to biomaterials used in implantology [7–9]. Fast cell attachment on the biomaterial surface regulates the cell-material contact and affects the “race for the surface” [10]. Positively charged biomaterial surfaces are shown to enhance the initial osteoblast cell adhesion by electrostatically attracting the cells through their pericellular HA coat [11–14]. After attachment of the cells to the biomaterial, the HA coat is degraded, and the cells build tighter connections by cell-ECM and cell-cell receptor interactions [15].

In cells’ lives, HA has a divergent role depending on maturation and differentiation of the cell [16]. HA expression is high in cells with low differentiation or in tissues with high turnover, e.g., in wound healing. During mitosis, the expression of the HA pericellular coat contributes to the detachment of the
cell from their surrounding as a precondition for cell division. After division, daughter cells attach to the surface again and degrade the hyaluronan by hyaluronidases (HA
dase) [16]. However, tumor cells retain their HA coat and show high proliferation, but low differentiation rates, and HA is overexpressed in various tumor cells, e.g., in lung, colorectal, prostate, bladder, or breast carcinoma [1,17,18].

Tumors are formed from cellular and acellular components and build up a so-called tumor microenvironment. In this complex system, besides malignant, immune, and stroma cells, the ECM components and secreted factors play an important role [19]. In tumors, stroma cells produce high amounts of HA, and accelerated HA synthesis is correlated with the aggressive behavior of tumor cells and tumor spreading [20,21]. Experimentally enhanced HA production stimulates tumor growth and metastasis in xenograft models, induces drug resistance, and promotes the invasiveness of tumor cells [22–24]. New tumor therapy strategies involve the inhibition of HA production and the promotion of the degradation of HA [21,25–28].

Another new approach in tumor therapy is the application of cold atmospheric plasma (CAP). Plasma medicine is a relatively new research field, which combines projects in physics, chemistry, biology, and medicine [29–31]. Physical plasmas are ionized gases consisting of ions, electrons, radicals, ultraviolet photons, and uncharged atoms or molecules. Plasma can be formed by supplying gases with high energy under atmospheric pressure. Cold plasma can be formed by supplying gases with high energy under atmospheric or low pressure. Low-pressure plasmas are used for decontamination/sterilization in the food industry and in medicine or for the specific modification of the surface properties of materials. For this purpose, samples are inserted into a chamber, which is evacuated and filled with certain gases; a discharge is then applied [12,32,33]. Here, we focused on cold atmospheric plasmas (CAP). For the generation of CAP, different plasma devices are used, for example, plasma jets or a dielectric barrier discharge [34]. Plasma medicine research focusses on the possibilities of medical applications of CAP to promote wound healing, disinfection, and tissue regeneration and in tumor therapy [34–36]. The influence of CAP on cells depends on the exposure time and the plasma strength, which is determined by parameters, such as distance from the nozzle, discharge voltage, gas composition, flow rate, and humidity [34,37–39]. Cold atmospheric plasmas generate short-lived molecules, such as reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS). Reactive species with relatively long lifetimes, e.g., hydrogen peroxide, are generated by, in particular, the interaction of CAP with liquids [37,40,41]. Interestingly, plasma-treated cell culture media or buffers achieve similar effects on cells, as reported for direct plasma treatment of cells in liquids [42–47]. Plasma-activated media (PAM) can be made in advance and retain their biological efficiency during storage for up to 21 days [45,48–53]. In vitro experiments have revealed effects like reduced viability, detachment and apoptosis of cells, and DNA damage, as well as openings of the tight junction protein ZO-1 in cell-cell contacts [44,45,48,54–58]. Promising results have been shown regarding the selective killing of tumor cells alongside normal cells using CAP or PAM [57,59–65]. Clinically used buffer solutions like Ringer’s lactate solution are also interesting targets for plasma activation. Plasma-treated Ringer’s lactate solution has shown antitumor effects on different types of cancer both in vitro and in vivo [66–70]. To investigate the detachment and impaired adhesion of cells, other groups have examined the influence of CAP/PAM on molecules in adhesion complexes, e.g., integrins and cadherins or ZO-1 as a protein in tight junctions [44,45,48,71–74].

We assumed that, in cells that express HA at their surface, e.g., tumor cells, the RONS generated during plasma therapy first reaches the cells HA coat before they can contact the molecules located in the cell membrane. This idea was further nourished by works showing that HA is degraded by free radicals [75–77]. This made it interesting to investigate whether, in addition to the antiproliferative and apoptosis-inducing effects described so far for plasma therapy, the influence of CAP/PAM on the HA pericellular coat could be another important target in tumor therapy and if it could influence tumor development and metastasis. To our knowledge, findings on these interesting research questions have not yet been published.
Here, we described the first in vitro investigations on the influence of plasma-activated medium (PAM) on the hyaluronan pericellular coat of HaCaT keratinocytes. The PAM was generated by argon-plasma treatment of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) via the kINPen®09 plasma jet [44,78]. The question arose whether PAM is able to reduce the HA pericellular coat in a manner similar to enzyme hyaluronidase.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Argon Plasma Source

The atmospheric pressure plasma jet kINPen®09 (Neoplas Tools GmbH, Greifswald, Germany) was used for all experiments. This plasma source was characterized in detail by Weltmann et al. [45,78]. The device consists of a quartz capillary (inner diameter of 1.6 mm) with a needle electrode (diameter of 1 mm) embedded in a hand-held unit connected to a DC power supply (8 W at 220 V, 50–60 Hz). A high-frequency voltage (1.1 MHz, 2–6 kV) is applied at the needle electrode. Settings at the DC power supply are 60 V and 54 mA. Argon gas (purity 99.996%) is used as a feed gas with a gas flow of 1.9 slm. A gas discharge is ignited at the tip of the high voltage needle, exciting the argon gas. In this way, low-temperature plasma is generated and blown out of the capillary. The so-called plasma jet outside the nozzle has a length of 12–14 mm and is about 1 mm wide. The temperature at the visible tip of the plasma jet does not exceed 50 °C [45]. Optical emission spectra were measured by Weltmann et al., and the data were shown in earlier works [45,78].

2.2. Cell Culture

The nontumorigenic human keratinocyte cell line HaCaT was used throughout the experiments. This cell line represents spontaneously transformed keratinocytes from histologically normal adult skin [79]. HaCaT cells (CLS Cell Line Service GmbH, Eppelheim, Germany) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; high glucose, GlutaMAX™, 1 mM pyruvate, 10569; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Gibco, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS Superior; Biochrom GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and 1% Pen Strep solution (10,000 units/mL Penicillin, 10,000 µg Streptomycin/mL, Sigma-Aldrich. Dreieich, Germany) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Near confluence, cells were detached with trypsin/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (0.25% trypsin/0.38% EDTA; Invitrogen, Gibco, Paisley, UK) for 13 min. After stopping trypsinization by the addition of DMEM with 10% FCS, an aliquot of 100 µL was put into 10 mL of CASY® ton buffer solution (OLS GmbH, Bremen, Germany), and the cell number was measured in the counter CASY® Model DT (Scharfe System, Reutlingen, Germany). After centrifugation (1200 rpm, 5 min), the medium was replaced by serum-free DMEM with 1% Pen Strep solution, and the appropriate cell number was used, as described for the special experiments.

2.3. Plasma Treatment of Cell Culture Media

Serum-free DMEM with 1% Pen Strep was used throughout the experiments and is referred to as DMEM in the text. The medium underwent argon plasma treatment for 30 s (plasma-activated medium, PAM30) or 60 s (PAM60), as indicated for the specific experiment. For this purpose, 100 µL of DMEM was supplied per well in a 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria). The kINPen®09 was mounted vertically, and the quartz capillary was positioned at the top edge of each well in the 96-well plate. The distance between the tip of the quartz capillary and the 96-well plate did not exceed 1 mm. In this way, the argon plasma had immediate contact with the media [45,80]. Argon gas treatment using the kINPen®09 without igniting the plasma for 60 s was used as a control (argon-activated medium, AAM). Untreated DMEM was included as a further control. After plasma treatment, the different samples and controls were pooled in separate tubes (1.5 mL; Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). For subsequent cell experiments, these media were stored at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 24 h to ensure that the cell effects observed were neither caused by the changed oxygen
concentrations nor by the persistence of hydrogen peroxide; these were shown to be balanced over 24 h after plasma treatment [45].

As a further control, suspended HaCaT cells were preincubated with hyaluronidase (HAdase, 600 units/mL, type IV-S, H-4272, Sigma, Steinheim, Germany) under slightly shaking for 30 min (37 °C) in serum-free DMEM with 1% Pen Strep (because HAdase is inactive in serum-containing media) and then seeded into DMEM with HAdase (600 units/mL, DMEM + HAdase) and cultivated for the appropriate times. Furthermore, HaCaT cells were incubated in DMEM supplemented with hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) to test the effect of a relatively long-lived reactive species, which usually arises from the interaction of CAP with a liquid medium. We used a concentration of 150 mg/L H$_2$O$_2$ (from commercial stock solution, 30%, Fisher Scientific) in DMEM corresponding to the concentrations measured in an earlier study of our group [80].

2.4. Hyaluronan Expression

To analyze the expression of hyaluronan (HA) in HaCaT cells depending on the media used, 1.25 × 10$^6$ cells/mL were seeded into PAM30, PAM60, and control media in a cell culture µ-Dish 35 mm (ibidi GmbH) and cultivated for 3 or 6 h at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$. After cultivation for 3 h, cells from the supernatant were collected by centrifugation (1200 rpm, 5 min) and pooled from 5 dishes for each PAM sample and 10 dishes each for control experiments with DMEM, AAM, and HAdase. HA expression was quantified by flow cytometry. For this purpose, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Merck, Steinheim, Germany), stained with 2 mg biotinylated HA binding protein (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) for 120 min, followed by incubation with 0.5 mg streptavidin-FITC (Becton Dickinson, San Diego, CA, USA) for additional 30 min at room temperature in the dark. An extra DMEM sample was incubated with 0.5 mg streptavidin-FITC only and served as a blank control. Measurements of the fluorescence and light scatter characteristics of 10,000 cells were performed by flow cytometry (ext. 488, em. 519; FACSCalibur, BD Bioscience, Heidelberg, Germany). Data were analyzed by FlowJo™ 7.6.5 for Windows (FlowJo LLC, Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA) for three independent experiments.

After 6 h of culture, cells were fixed and stained for HA, as described for flow cytometry. In addition, the nucleus of the cells was stained with Hoechst 33342 (10 mg/mL, 1:1000 in PBS, Invitrogen), and cell images were taken with a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM 780, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany; objective 63 × oil).

2.5. Cell Adhesion of Vital Cells

Cell adhesion was investigated using the xCELLigence RTCA (Real-time Cell Analysis) S16 Instrument (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). The xCELLigence system allows real-time and label-free monitoring of living cell adhesion, vitality, and proliferation. The system consists of a 16-well electronic microtiter plate (E-plate), the RTCA Station, which is placed into an incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$, the RTCA impedance analyzer, and a computer with RTCA software to control the system action/mode. For the experiments, we used the E-plate VIEW 16 (ACEA Biosciences).

First, PAM30, PAM60, and control media were equilibrated to room temperature. To measure the baseline impedance, 100 µL of PAM or control media was added to the appropriate well in the xCELLigence E-plate, and the background measurement was performed. Then, HaCaT cells (96,000 cells/well in 100 µL of the appropriate medium) were seeded into the E-plate, obtaining a total volume of 200 µL in each well. The change in impedance caused by cell adhesion, spreading, and proliferation was measured continuously for 1.5 h at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$. Data were analyzed by the RTCA Data Analysis Software 1.0 and displayed as the cell index (CI) value in real-time. CI values were normalized by subtracting the corresponding background value at the corresponding time. Attachment of HaCaT cells was presented as the normalized CI for three independently performed experiments with two technical replicates each.
2.6. Cell Viability

To monitor the viability of the cells in PAM30, PAM60, and control samples, the CellTiter 96®AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used. Cells were seeded into a 96-well plate (96,000 cells/well) with 200 µL of the appropriate medium (three wells each). After an incubation time of 48 h (37 °C and 5% CO₂), 40 µL of the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium solution (MTS, inner salt; Promega) was added to each well and incubated for 60 min (37 °C and 5% CO₂) with manual shaking every 10 min. After this, 70 µL of each well was transferred into a new 96-well plate, and the absorption was determined at 492 nm (reference 620 nm) using a microplate reader (Anthos 2010, Anthos Labtec Instruments, Wals-Siezenheim, Austria). The appropriate medium without cells served as a blank. The viability of cells was calculated corresponding to cells in the untreated control medium (100%). The determination of cell viability was performed in three independent experiments with three technical replicates each.

2.7. Statistics

Statistical analysis of datasets was performed using the software SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) and analyzed using the unpaired t-test. Statistical analyses for the xCELLigence measurements were performed using GraphPad PRISM Version 7.02 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) with the Mann–Whitney test for three time-points (0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 h). Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \) (* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \)).

3. Results

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of PAM on the HA pericellular coat and, in this context, the adhesion of vital HaCaT keratinocytes. We used the kINPen®09 plasma jet to generate PAM from Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) by argon-plasma treatment for different times and argon-gas treatment without igniting the plasma. Untreated DMEM and HAdase-supplemented DMEM served as controls. As a further control, DMEM was supplemented with hydrogen peroxide to test the effect of a relatively long-lived reactive species, which usually arises from the interaction of CAP with a liquid medium. We referred to the different samples as follows: untreated DMEM as DMEM, DMEM treated by argon plasma for 30 s as PAM30, DMEM treated by argon plasma for 60 s as PAM60, DMEM treated by argon gas without igniting the plasma for 60 s as AAM, DMEM supplemented with HAdase as HAdase, and DMEM supplemented with hydrogen peroxide as H2O2. Our first preliminary results were obtained on non-tumorigenic human HaCaT cells and are presented here.

3.1. Impairment of the HA Pericellular Coat

To determine the effect of PAM treatment on the pericellular HA coat, HaCaT cells were collected from the supernatant after 3 h of culture, stained for HA, and measured by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 1, the fluorescence signal for HA was significantly lower for HaCaT cells cultivated in PAM than in control media, but not as low as for cells treated enzymatically with HAdase, where the fluorescence intensity was only around values of 55. The effect was more pronounced for PAM60 than for PAM30, where we measured values of about 75 and 113, respectively.

Additionally, the appearance of the cells was compared for HaCaT cells after cultivation in PAM or control media for 3 h using the light-scattering properties measured by flow cytometry. The forward scatter (FSC) indicates the approximate cell size, and the sideward scatter (SSC) yields information about the granularity of the cell, the size, and structure of its nucleus and the number of vesicles in it. In our measurements, we did not find any changes in the size and granularity of the cells in suspension after cultivation in PAM for 3 h. Figure 2 shows exemplarily the dot plots from cells in DMEM and PAM60.
 HA coat by culture in PAM has a significant effect. HA plays an important role in cell attachment, so it was interesting to investigate if the impairment of the HA coat by biotinylated HA binding protein and streptavidin-FITC and detected by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Representative images of HaCaT cells after 6 h in PAM and control media are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Flow cytometry determination of size and granularity of HaCaT cells after cultivation in DMEM (left) or PAM60 (right) for 3 h. No decisive differences can be seen between the dot plots of forward (FSC) and sideward scatter (SSC). Abbr.: DMEM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, PAM30: 30 s plasma-treated DMEM, PAM60: 60 s plasma-treated DMEM, AAM: 60 s argon gas-treated DMEM, HAdase: DMEM with hyaluronidase.

For the visualization of the changes in the HA pericellular coat, HaCaT cells were stained for HA and evaluated by biotinylated HA binding protein and streptavidin-FITC and detected by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Representative images of HaCaT cells after 6 h in PAM and control media are shown in Figure 3. The HA pericellular coat was impaired in cells cultivated in PAM—especially in PAM60—but did not show any changes for cells in AAM. The influence of PAM on the HA coat depended on the plasma treatment time used for the generation of the PAM. HaCaT cells treated with HAdase completely lost their HA coat after 6 h of incubation.

After culture for 3 or 6 h in PAM, HaCaT cells showed an impaired HA pericellular coat. Because HA plays an important role in cell attachment, it was interesting to investigate if the impairment of the HA coat by culture in PAM has a significant effect on the adhesion and the viability of HaCaT cells.
3.2. Influence of PAM on the Cell Adhesion and Viability of HaCaT

To determine the effect of PAM on the adhesion of HaCaT cells, we used the xCELLigence system. This system allows the real-time monitoring of living cell adhesion, spreading, and vitality. As shown in Figure 4, the adhesion of HaCaT cells in PAM over 1.5 h was significantly reduced compared with cells cultivated in the DMEM control, whereas no altered adhesion was observed for cells cultivated in AAM. This effect was the same for both PAM30 and PAM60, i.e., independent of the plasma treatment time used to produce the PAM. In PAM30 and PAM60, the normalized cell index after 1.5 h reached values of about 0.197 and 0.189, respectively, whereas, in DMEM, the value was about 0.397. Interestingly, HAdase-treated cells showed only slight impairment of the cell adhesion within 1.5 h, and the normalized cell index was 0.302. This effect was not significant.

The cell viability was determined after 48 h culture in PAM by MTS assay. Figure 5 shows that the viability was significantly reduced compared with DMEM. This effect was stronger in PAM60 than in PAM30. The viability of HAdase-treated cells was also reduced after 48 h and was in a range similar to the cells cultivated in PAM30. But this effect was not significant.

In summary, PAM treatment impaired the HA pericellular coat of HaCaT cells. Furthermore, the influence of PAM on the viability and the time-dependent adhesion was higher than after HAdase treatment. HAdase reduced the HA pericellular coat of the HaCaT cells almost completely, but this deficiency did not lead to a comparable loss of cell adhesion, suggesting additional effects of PAM on cell adhesion mechanisms.
Figure 4. Online monitoring of HaCaT cell adhesion in PAM30, PAM60, and control media up to 1.5 h. Note that PAM impaired the cell attachment process significantly and to a greater extent than the HAdase-cleavage did. (xCELLigence Real-time Cell Analysis, normalized to cell index; mean ± s.e.m., n = 3, Mann–Whitney test, **p < 0.01 PAM30 vs. DMEM, ##p < 0.01 PAM60 vs. DMEM). Abbr.: DMEM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, PAM30: 30 s plasma-treated DMEM, PAM60: 60 s plasma-treated DMEM, AAM: 60 s argon gas-treated DMEM, HAdase: DMEM with hyaluronidase.

Figure 5. Cell viability of HaCaT cells after cultivation in the different PAM or control media for 48 h. The cell viability was decreased in PAM depending on the treatment time used for generation of PAM (mean ± s.e.m., n = 3, unpaired t-test, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001). Abbr.: DMEM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, PAM30: 30 s plasma-treated DMEM, PAM60: 60 s plasma-treated DMEM, AAM: 60 s argon gas-treated DMEM, HAdase: DMEM with hyaluronidase.

3.3. Influence of the Plasma Species \( H_2O_2 \) on Cell Adhesion

To find out more about the mechanism underlying the action of PAM on the HA pericellular coat and the impairment of the cell adhesion, we incubated HaCaT cells in DMEM supplemented with 150 mg/L hydrogen peroxide (\( H_2O_2 \)) for 3 h, stained cells from the supernatant for HA, and measured the HA fluorescence intensity by flow cytometry. In addition, we monitored the living cell adhesion of HaCaTs in DMEM containing \( H_2O_2 \) (H2O2) over 1.5 h using the xCELLigence system. As shown in Figure 6, both the HA pericellular coat and the adhesion of the cells were impaired by H2O2, but not as strong as by PAM. The fluorescence signal of labeled HA was significantly lower for cells in H2O2 vs. DMEM, but not as low as for PAM60 (mean fluorescence channels 139, 107, 113, and 75 for DMEM, H2O2, PAM30, and PAM60, respectively, see also Figure 1). The cell adhesion was significantly reduced in H2O2 vs. DMEM (normalized cell index 0.231 vs. 0.397, respectively). We could deduce from this
that the adhesion of HaCaT cells was impaired by H2O2 but not as strongly as by PAM30 and PAM60 (normalized cell index nearly 1.9 for both, see also Figure 4).

**Figure 6.** Influence of hydrogen peroxide on HaCaT cells in DMEM. (A) Flow cytometry determination of the HA expression in non-adherent HaCaT cells after cultivation in H2O2 or DMEM for 3 h (mean ± s.e.m., n = 2). (B) Online monitoring of HaCaT cell adhesion in H2O2 and DMEM up to 1.5 h. Note that both the HA pericellular coat and the adhesion of the cells were impaired by H2O2 (xCELLigence Real-time Cell Analysis, normalized cell index; mean ± s.e.m., n = 3, Mann–Whitney test, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 DMEM vs. H2O2). Abbr.: FL1-H: fluorescence intensity, DMEM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, H2O2: DMEM with H2O2.

With these first additional investigations, we could show that the effect of PAM on HaCaT cells was not solely mediated by the relatively longer-lived reactive species H2O2 contained in PAM. The impairment of the cells in H2O2 was not as strong as in PAM. Future experiments will shed light on further species involved in the mechanism of action of the complex mixture of PAM on the HA coat.

4. Discussion

HA is a component of the ECM and is expressed in the tumor microenvironment formed by malignant cells, immune cells, and stroma cells [19]. In some cancers, high amounts of HA are correlated with tumor progression [20,21]. In tumor therapy, various strategies are pursued. One is to influence the tumor microenvironment by inhibiting the HA synthesis using drugs like 4-methylumbelliferone or promoting degradation by HAdases in combination with chemotherapy [21,25–28]. Another approach is the use of physical plasma to selectively affect tumor cells alongside normal cells [57–65]. Both strategies could be combined by influencing the HA pericellular coat of cells through physical plasma. Since this has not been investigated so far [19], we determined the influence of PAM on the HA pericellular coat of HaCaT cells in vitro.

Pinhal et al. determined the amount of HA produced by HaCaT keratinocytes using a fluorimetric measurement [81]. In our study, using immune fluorescence staining and confocal laser scanning microscopy, we could show for the first time that HaCaT cells express the HA as a pericellular coat. We also found that the expression of HA could be reduced by incubation with PAM. Interestingly, this time-dependent effect was not comparable to HAdase treatment, where HaCaT cells completely lost their HA coat after 6 h of incubation. However, PAM had a significant effect on the adhesion of HaCaT cells during the whole observation time of 1.5 h and was much more pronounced than that of HAdase, which was only detectable after 30 min.

Interestingly, HAdase treatment seems to affect the initial cell adhesion of HaCaT cells less than that of osteoblasts. In an earlier work of our group, we found a significantly reduced initial adhesion of HAdase-treated MG-63 osteoblast cells on titanium compared to untreated cells during the first 15 min [11], whereas the adhesion of HaCaT cells was only significantly affected by HAdase after an incubation time of 30 min. It would seem it is difficult to compare the behaviors of different cell types.
Osteoblasts are bone-forming cells, which synthesize great numbers of extracellular matrix molecules, e.g., collagen I and glycosaminoglycans.

HaCaT cells are human adult keratinocytes that are spontaneously immortalized and non-tumorigenic [79]. We used this cell line for the in vitro experiments described here as a model for human keratinocytes that also express relatively high amounts of HA. The HA content in different tissues varies greatly. Amounts in the skin are comparatively high and higher in fetal and young skin than in older skin [17]. Interestingly, in melanoma or other epidermal cancers, the HA content does not correlate with the tumor progression [82], and reduced expression of HA is found to be a marker for poor survival in oral squamous cell carcinoma [81,83].

To find out more about the mechanism underlying the action of PAM on the HA pericellular coat, we tested a relatively long-lived reactive species, which usually arises from the interaction of CAP with a liquid medium, hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$). We incubated HaCaT cells in DMEM supplemented with 150 mg/L H$_2$O$_2$. We chose this concentration because, in previous work, we found an H$_2$O$_2$ content of 120 mg/L for DMEM without pyruvate directly after CAP exposure and could show that the H$_2$O$_2$ was depleted immediately by pyruvate in DMEM with 1 mM pyruvate [80]. In consideration of other anti-oxidative components contained in DMEM, such as Fe$^{3+}$, which leads to the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ by the Fenton reaction, we used the concentration of 150 mg/L. We could show that the effect of PAM on HaCaT cells was not solely mediated by H$_2$O$_2$ contained in PAM. Although H$_2$O$_2$ is an important molecule in PAM, it is not the only longer-living reactive species. As other groups have shown, after approx. 40 h, nothing of the original concentrations can be detected [37]. From our own previous work, we know that the effect of PAM on cells lasts over 21 days [13]. One possible explanation is the formation of long-lived organic hydroperoxides [84]. It has already been shown that individually added RONS in cell culture medium or buffer does not have the same effect as PAM, but that the various reactive species that are formed as a complex mixture by CAP treatment in liquids complement each other in their effect [37,85–87]. Therefore, investigations to discover the mechanisms of action of CAP on cells in fluids are difficult and require special techniques. Simulation techniques appear to be also promising [88].

In terms of viability, we showed that PAM had a stronger inhibiting effect on HaCaT cells than the HAdase treatment. HAdase treatment led to an impairment of the outer layer of the cell and the degradation of the HA pericellular coat, but the effect of PAM extended into the interior of the cell. This is not surprising, and many effects of PAM or CAP on cells in vitro, e.g., apoptosis or DNA damage, are already known and have been described in several publications [13,34–36,42–47,58].

Focused on the influence of CAP or PAM on the adhesion and migration of cells, other groups have examined the expression of adhesion molecules, e.g., integrins and cadherins or CD44, on cells after CAP/PAM treatment in vitro. Haertel et al. found elevated integrin expression in HaCaT cells after direct or indirect Dielectric Barrier Discharge plasma treatment, whereby cadherin expression was not influenced [71,72]. In tumors, high cell migration rates are a precondition for tumor cell invasion into the surrounding tissue. Therefore, it is interesting that reduced cell migration was shown in vitro for fibroblast cells treated by CAP using a helium plasma jet [74]. Volotskova et al. explained this effect also by increased integrin activation and an elevated focal adhesion size.

We assumed that, in cells that express HA at their surface, the RONS generated during plasma treatment first reach the cells’ HA coat before they contact the adhesion molecules, e.g., integrin receptors, located in the cell membrane. Since HA is degraded by free radicals [75–77], one can also imagine that the HA coat of the cells is degraded when treated with PAM. We were able to prove this for HaCaT cells with our investigations. Furthermore, it would be interesting to find out whether other glucosaminoglycan-coupled processes in cells are also regulated by PAM treatment. As such, it is possible that the decreasing HA expression is balanced in the cells by a higher HA receptor expression. We found these considerations confirmed in investigations by Xu et al. They investigated the influence of a helium jet plasma on the differentiation and adhesion of myeloma cells and found an elevated mRNA expression for the HA receptor molecule CD44 [89]. This fell in with our results such that
the cells might try to compensate for a reduced HA expression by an increased expression of the corresponding receptor.

Here, we showed for the first time that, in addition to the known effects of CAP/PAM on cells, PAM also influences the HA pericellular coat, which is also a target in tumor therapy. To our knowledge, it has not yet been attempted to influence this target by plasma. We presented here the preliminary results of our research, which prompts new questions. In future studies, we will investigate the further temporal course of the HA expression after plasma treatment, and we will also include tumor cells. Because HA is synthesized by HA synthases in the cell membrane, we are also interested in determining the influence of plasma on the HA synthases.
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