An Early-Onset Advanced Rectal Cancer Patient With Increased KRAS Gene Copy Number Showed A Primary Resistance to Cetuximab in Combination With Chemotherapy: A Case Report
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Mutations in KRAS (codon 12/13), NRAS, BRAFV600E, and amplification of ERBB2 and MET account for 70–80% of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) monoclonal antibody primary resistance. However, the list of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody primary resistance biomarkers is still incomplete. Herein, we report a case of wild-type RAS/BRAF metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) with resistance to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody and chemotherapy. Initially, mutation detection in postoperative tumor tissue by using amplification-refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction indicated wild-type RAS/BRAF without point mutations, insertion deletions, or fusion mutations. Therefore, we recommended combined therapy of cetuximab and FOLFIRI after failure of platinum-based adjuvant chemotherapy, but the disease continued to progress. Next generation sequencing analysis of the postoperative tumor tissue revealed that KRAS copy number was increased and detected SMAD4, RNF43, and PREX2 mutations. This is the first case of advanced CRC with increased copy numbers of KRAS resistant to cetuximab and chemotherapy, which results in poor patient survival, and other mutated genes may be associated with the outcomes. Our findings indicate KRAS copy number alterations should also be examined, especially with anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy in CRC, since it may be related with the primary resistance to these drugs.
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INTRODUCTION
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). The mean age of patients with CRC ranges from 49 to 60 years. Early onset of CRC generally refers to the onset in patients younger than 50 years of age at the time of diagnosis. It is characterized by a more advanced stage at diagnosis, poorer cell differentiation, higher prevalence of signet ring cell histology, and a primary tumor located on the left colon or rectum (2).

The combination of biological monoclonal antibodies and chemotherapeutic cytotoxic drugs provides clinical benefits to patients with advanced or metastatic CRC (mCRC) (3). Bevacizumab is an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor monoclonal antibody (MoAb) used as a first-line treatment in RAS- or BRAF-mutated mCRC (4). Cetuximab and panitumumab can promote survival in patients with wild-type RAS and BRAF tumors, which target the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) extracellular domain and subsequently inhibit the mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway. The principal downstream effectors of EGFR activation are the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/mTOR, and PLCɣ/PKC pathways (5), which is a key regulator of cell proliferation, differentiation, division, and survival, and the metastatic potential of tumor cells (6). Mutations in any of the upstream genes may be transmitted to the protein through transcription or translation, resulting in abnormal activation of the signaling pathway (7). Alterations in RAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, EGFR, PTEN, and HER2 are key determinants of resistance to anti-EGFR MoAb therapies (8–10). However, little is known regarding patients harboring KRAS amplification, and data on the response to anti-EGFR treatment are lacking.

Here, we present a patient with an initial diagnosis of KRAS-amplified locally advanced rectal adenocarcinoma who demonstrated no clinical response to anti-EGFR MoAb and chemotherapy, and the disease progressed rapidly. This case report indicates the potential of increased KRAS gene copy numbers (GCN) in primary resistance to anti-EGFR MoAb in patients with CRC.

CASE REPORT

Case Presentation
A 40-year-old man was admitted in our hospital with complaints of diarrhea for one month. Physical examination was normal, but electronic colonoscopy revealed a large polypoid mass in the rectum, situated approximately 9–15 cm from the anal verge. The lesion almost completely occluded the rectal lumen, allowing only a small endoscope to pass through. Pathology revealed an adenocarcinoma. Enhanced computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed occupying lesions in the middle and upper rectum. Considering the possibility of rectal cancer, CT findings were consistent with T4aN1M0 (Figure 1). Blood tests showed a slight increase in tumor markers (CA724, 7.79 U/mol; carcinoembryonic antigen, 9.16 ng/mol), creatinine (102.8 μmol/L), direct bilirubin (7.2 μmol/L), and C-reactive protein (13 mg/L). The patient underwent total resection of the rectal cancer and ileostomy on March 24, 2021. After surgery, all blood test results returned to normal.

Surgical pathology findings showed a moderate-to-poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma in the rectum (pT3N2bMx). The tumor volume was approximately 4 cm × 3 cm × 1.2 cm. Cancer infiltration was observed in vessels and nerves. Residual tumors can be detected on the edges of the surgical specimens. Meanwhile, 20 mesenteric lymph nodes were observed, and cancer embolus was distinguished in the local submucosal vessels. Immunohistochemistry results were as follows: pMMR, Ki-67 (+70%); CKpan (+), P53 (−), CgA (−), and Syn (−) (Figure 2).
Enhanced pelvic Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) was performed 21 days after the surgery and revealed multiple lymph node shadows with visible enhancement beside bilateral iliac vessels with a short diameter of approximately 0.6–0.7 cm, but postoperative inflammatory changes were not excluded. Therefore, five cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (XELOX: oxaliplatin, 130 mg/m² on day 1; capecitabine, 1,000 mg/m² twice daily on days 1–14, orally) strategy was recommended from April 14 to July 28, 2020. The CT and MRI evaluations were progressive disease (PD) after chemotherapy, detecting significantly enlarged lymph nodes around the abdominal aorta (0.6-1.5 cm) on August 12, 2020 (Figure 3A).

Since the first-line platinum-based regimen was unsuccessful, chemotherapy (FOLFIRI: 5-fluorouracil 400 mg/m², IV bolus, folinic acid 400 mg/m², and irinotecan 180 mg/m² on day 1, followed by a continuous 46-h infusion of fluorouracil 2,400 mg/m²) in combination with cetuximab (500 mg/m² biweekly on day 1) was administered for six cycles from August 17 to November 12, 2020, considering that the patient harbored no RAS or BRAF alterations according to the mutation profile. After three cycles of chemotherapy and targeted therapy, the patient developed a grade 2 cutaneous toxicity, a single skin impetigo with a diameter greater than 10 mm, and the curative effect was evaluated as stable disease (SD), so we continued the therapy for three more cycles. On November 28, 2020, CT and MRI scans indicated PD, detecting a lymph node around the abdominal aorta enlarged to 2.3 cm (Figure 3B), tumor metastases to the right femoral head and greater trochanter (Figure 3C), and left cervical lymph nodes enlarged to 11 mm × 8 mm.

Likewise, abdominal CT scans indicated PD again, observing a space-occupying lesion (1.6 cm × 0.9 cm) in the initial segment of the right ureter on December 22, 2020 (Figure 3D). Renal function tests suggested that uric acid and creatinine levels continuously increased to 470 μmol/L (normal: 210–430 μmol/L) and 226 μmol/L (normal: 57–97 μmol/L), respectively. The patient decided to stop the treatment and never returned to the hospital after four days of palliative radiotherapy.

**Mutation Analysis**

Wild-type KRAS, NRAS, and BRAF were amplified using amplification refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction (ARMS-PCR) performed on March 20, 2020. Results revealed that the patient had wild-type RAS and BRAF. However, the tumor failed to respond to both treatment options and continued to progress.
Molecular characterization of the blood and postoperative tumor DNA of the patient was performed using next-generation sequencing (NGS) on December 1, 2020. Sequence analyses are shown in Table 1. We detected that the patient had mutations in three genes (SMAD4, RNF43, and PREX2), and GCN variations of KRAS increased to 7.8 gene copies before chemotherapy. In addition, the mutation abundance of the three mutant genes was observed using blood-based circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) analysis: SMAD4 increased from 38.69 to 58.0%, RNF43 increased from 41.25 to 48.03%, and PREX2 increased from 39.22 to 52.99%, after the failure of second-line treatment. Likewise, the GCN of KRAS also increased to 8.31 gene copies, along with low abundance mutations in the other nine newly confirmed genes—CTNNB1, EPHA5, ETV1, FANCA, JAK2, MYC, PALB2, PIK3CA, and SPEN.

**Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutation Burden**

We analyzed MSI through surgically resected tumor tissues, and the results were reported as microsatellite stability (MSS)/MSI-low. The TMB detected in the tumor tissues was described as low level (2.95 mutations/MB). Furthermore, the TMB detected in ctDNA was reported as medium level (11.82 mutations/MB) after the failure of second-line therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we present a patient with an early onset CRC with an initial diagnosis of moderate-to-poorly differentiated locally advanced rectal adenocarcinoma. Unlike the traditional RAS/BRAF mutant CRCs with poor prognosis, the patient had RAS/BRAF wild-type CRC accompanied with KRAS amplification and other less-reported gene mutations. Moreover, the patient’s prognosis was extremely poor, the disease progressed rapidly even with the use cetuximab in combination with chemotherapy. Therefore, we hypothesized that the disease in our patient with early onset CRC was more aggressive due to gene alterations, especially the increase in copy number of KRAS. Therefore, we report this case and hope to bring clinical benefits to clinicians.
After the rapid progress of second-line treatment in our case, blood tests and postoperative tumor tissues were performed and analyzed using NGS and retrospective NGS, respectively. Results suggested an increase in KRAS copy number before treatment, accompanied by SMAD4, RNF43, and PREX2 mutations (mutation abundance was much more than 5%). After treatment failure, blood analysis indicated that the copy number of KRAS and clonal mutation abundance of the three genes continued to increase, indicating that the tumor developed from the original clone.

KRAS amplification in CRC is a rare event, with an overall prevalence of 0.67–2% (11, 12). Previous studies have identified somatic mutations in KRAS as biomarkers for inherent resistance to EGFR-targeted drugs in patients with CRC (13), with a positive tissue mutation rate of 32–52.1% (7). However, alterations are responsive to the anti-EGFR inhibitors cetuximab or panitumumab (11, 14). GCN amplification of KRAS leads to the activation of RAS-RAF-ERK or PIK-AKT-mTOR pathways, even without additional activating mutations in the genes (15). In the presence of KRAS amplification, cetuximab can partially eliminate the phosphorylation of MEK and ERK but cannot induce growth arrest (13). A retrospective clinical study conducted by Valtorta et al. (14) detected that all four KRAS-amplified cases were found among the 53 patients who were resistant to anti-EGFR antibodies, while none of the 44 responders had tumors carrying this molecular alteration. Similarly, tumor biopsies of 10 patients who developed resistance to anti-EGFR showed the emergence of KRAS amplification in one case and acquisition of secondary KRAS mutations in six cases (13). Another retrospective study by Favazza et al. (11) reported that all eight patients with KRAS-amplified mCRC showed disease progression at the time of anti-EGFR therapy, and they concluded that KRAS amplification is responsible for the primary resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Meanwhile, RAS amplifications (involving KRAS, NRAS, and HRAS) were correlated with a younger median patient age at initial diagnosis and a history of inflammatory bowel disease (11). In the present case, KRAS amplification was present before chemotherapy, resulting in resistance to cetuximab. Therefore, patients with advanced mCRC should be monitored not only based on the RAS/BRAF mutation status but also RAS amplification, and patients with CRC that do not respond to anti-EGFR MoAbs should be excluded. Moreover, we suggest that genetic testing using NGS should be performed in younger patients to guide decision-making and prolong overall survival, because KRAS amplification is more likely to occur in younger patients.

The mutation frequency and abundance of clonal mutations of SMAD4, RNF43, and PREX2 indicated that the tumor was progressing from the original clone. SMAD4, PREX2, and RNF43 are involved in the TGF-β, PI3K, and Wnt signaling pathways, respectively. These pathways are involved in cell death resistance, growth suppression, and sustained proliferative signaling, respectively. Several studies have reported chemoresistance and anti-EGFR resistance in patients with SMAD4-mutated mCRC (16–18), leading to poor prognosis. Furthermore, SMAD4 and RNF43 mutations were more commonly observed in early onset mCRC (≤55 years) (19).

NGS could be considered as the best choice to analyze genetic tests for anti-EGFR therapy because it is superior to ARMS-PCR in copy number detection. Moreover, it saves the amount of samples, is cost- and time-efficient, and has great potential for clinical application to expand testing to include mutations in RAS and other less reported CRC-related genes (20). NGS analysis must be carried out in early onset CRCs, family history-related CRCs, and CRC-related cancers to identify cause-effective mutations, elucidate the clinical diagnosis, guide decision-making, and prevent the development of the disease in other family members.

Furthermore, blood-based NGS testing suggested low-abundance mutations (<5%) of nine newly emerged genes, indicating the emergence of tumor subcloning and heterogeneity. These genes have been previously reported to be associated with poor prognosis (21–27), but few reports on the efficacy of chemotherapy or target drugs are available. This suggests that tumors with polygenic mutations tend to be more aggressive in terms of biological behavior, leading to poor survival rates. NGS was partially proven to have a higher sensitivity and specificity for detecting mutations with low abundance than ARMS-PCR. Blood-based NGS testing—ctDNA analysis—offers a convenient way to monitor tumor progression and treatment response. Since tumor

### TABLE 1 | The results of sequence analyses of paraffin sections and ctDNA on December 1, 2020.

| Gene  | Mutation site | Mutation abundance (paraffin sections) | Mutation abundance (ctDNA) |
|-------|---------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| SMAD4 | EXON:2 c.136A>T p.K46* | 38.69% | 58.0% |
| RNF43 | EXON:3 c.354dupC p.C119fs*8 | 41.25% | 48.03% |
| PREX2 | EXON:28 c.3482G>T p.C1161F | 39.22% | 52.99% |
| SPEN  | EXON:11 c.2115A>C p.Q705H | – | 1.08% |
| PIK3CA| EXON:14 c.2363T>C p.M788T | – | 1.66% |
| PALB2 | EXON:14 c.212A>G p.E71G | – | 1.61% |
| MYC   | EXON:3 c.1141A>T p.R381W | – | 0.78% |
| JAK2  | EXON:9 c.1121G>A p.R374K | – | 0.43% |
| FANCA | EXON:35 c.3458A>C p.D1153A | – | 0.45% |
| ETV1  | EXON:8 c.586T>G p.S196A | – | 2.82% |
| EPHA5 | EXON:14 c.2363T>C p.Q705H | – | 0.78% |
| EXON:3 c.134C>G p.S45C | – | 0.64% |
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mutational profiles are highly variable from person to person, a fixed content panel may be insufficient to track the treatment response in all patients. Compared to tumor tissue biopsies, blood-based ctDNA analyses are minimally invasive and accessible for the regular follow-up of cancer patients. The amount of ctDNA can be quantified, and genetic changes can be identified (28). The ctDNA analysis improves both the specificity and sensitivity of monitoring treatment response across several tumor types. It can identify tumor recurrence potentially earlier than imaging-based diagnosis. When augmented with tumor hotspot genes, it can track acquired drug-related mutations in patients (29).

In conclusion, the present case report identified a rare early onset CRC accompanied by KRAS GCN amplification. The disease progressed rapidly, and the effects of chemotherapy and anti-EGFR therapy were poor. This suggests that KRAS amplification might be responsible for the primary resistance to anti-EGFR treatment in a small proportion of patients, and targeted drugs should not only be based on RAS and BRAF mutations in CRCs. Furthermore, NGS has the advantage of discovering genes that affect the efficacy of anti-EGFR therapies, and blood-based serial ctDNA analysis provides a convenient way to monitor the efficacy of anti-EGFR therapies, and blood-based serial ctDNA analysis improves both the specificity of copy number variations may provide more implications for the diagnosis and treatment of CRC. Further validation in a larger population is needed to establish a predictive biomarker for resistance to anti-EGFR therapy.
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