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Background: There is increasing acceptance that the clinical outcomes following posterior malleolar fractures are less than satisfactory. We report our results of posterior malleolar fracture management based on the classification by Mason and Molloy.

Methods: All fractures were classified on the basis of computed tomographic (CT) scans obtained preoperatively. This dictated the treatment algorithm. Type-1 fractures underwent syndesmotic fixation. Type-2A fractures underwent open reduction and internal fixation through a posterolateral incision, type-2B fractures underwent open reduction and internal fixation through either a posteromedial incision or a combination of a posterolateral with a medial-posteromedial incision, and type-3 fractures underwent open reduction and internal fixation through a posteromedial incision.

Results: Patient-related outcome measures were obtained in 50 patients with at least 1-year follow-up. According to the Mason and Molloy classification, there were 17 type-1 fractures, 12 type-2A fractures, 10 type-2B fractures, and 11 type-3 fractures. The mean Olerud-Molander Ankle Score was 75.9 points (95% confidence interval [CI], 66.4 to 85.3 points) for patients with type-1 fractures, 75.0 points (95% CI, 61.5 to 88.5 points) for patients with type-2A fractures, 74.0 points (95% CI, 64.2 to 83.8 points) for patients with type-2B fractures, and 70.5 points (95% CI, 59.0 to 81.9 points) for patients with type-3 fractures.

Conclusions: We have been able to demonstrate an improvement in the Olerud-Molander Ankle Score for all posterior malleolar fractures with the treatment algorithm applied using the Mason and Molloy classification. Mason classification type-3 fractures have marginally poorer outcomes, which correlates with a more severe injury; however, this did not reach significance.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level IV. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.
Materials and Methods

We performed a retrospective study of prospectively acquired data involving all posterior malleolar fractures treated by the 2 senior authors in a level-I major trauma center in the United Kingdom between May 2015 and August 2016. Only fractures in adults were considered for this study. As is routine for all ankle fractures treated in our unit, all fractures underwent initial plaster cast application and initial investigation with anteroposterior, mortise, and lateral radiographs. When a posterior malleolar fracture was noted, further investigation using computed tomographic (CT) imaging was performed. The CT imaging was analyzed using the graphics package present on the hospital’s Picture Archiving and Communication System (Carestream Vue PACS; Carestream Health), and the fracture pattern was categorized using the classification proposed by Mason et al. (Fig. 1).7

Based on the classification of the fracture pattern, the patient was surgically treated as dictated by the treatment algorithm in Table I. The surgical procedures were completed by surgeons of differing grades, directly supervised by 1 of the 2

| Classification | Treatment | Surgical Approach to Posterior Malleolus |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1              | Syndesmatic fixation |                                          |
| 2A             | Open reduction and internal fixation | Posterolateral |
| 2B             | Open reduction and internal fixation, posteromedial fragment first | Posteromedial or posterolateral and medial posteromedial |
| 3              | Open reduction and internal fixation | Posteromedial |
A surgical procedure was undertaken only when the soft-tissue envelope was such that it was safe to proceed. If satisfactory reduction was not possible in the plaster cast application, the patients underwent temporary spanning external fixation, until the soft-tissue envelope allowed safe internal fixation. The routine postoperative treatment included a non-weight-bearing plaster cast for 6 weeks, followed by mobilization. Physiotherapy referral was made if stiffness was a concern on removal of cast immobilization.

All patients were contacted by postal follow-up at 1 year, using the Olerud-Molander Ankle Score patient-related outcome measure and the EuroQol-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) standardized instrument for measurement of health-related quality of life. The Olerud-Molander Ankle Score is scored out of 100 points, with higher scores indicating better outcomes. The EQ-5D-5L (5 Levels) was used, with 5 levels of severity combined with the visual analog scale for health. The EQ-5D index was calculated on the basis of general population valuation surveys in the United Kingdom. Patients who did not respond to the initial questionnaire were contacted with a repeat postal questionnaire and a telephone call. Postoperative complications and further surgical procedure data were prospectively collected.

**Surgical Approaches**

Our treatment algorithm contains 3 surgical approaches to achieve visualization of the posterior malleolar fracture fragment (Fig. 2). In our practice, where possible, a surgical procedure for posterior malleolar fixation is undertaken with the patient in the prone position. The posterolateral approach allows access to the posterior aspect of the fibula, the posterior incisura, and the posterolateral corner of the tibia. The approach is marked 50% of the way between the posterior edge of the fibula and the lateral edge of the Achilles tendon. The sural nerve and short saphenous vein are at risk and should be identified and protected superficial to the investing fascia. The investing fascia is then opened, revealing the fascia superficial to the flexor hallucis longus and peroneal compartments. When approaching the fibula, it is important to proceed through the base of the peroneal compartment and not elevate the subcutaneous fat outside the compartment to prevent wound problems. The tibia and posterior incisura are approached through opening the deep fascia over the flexor hallucis longus muscle and elevating this muscle off the posterior aspect of the tibia from lateral to medial. The periosteum is then incised and is elevated off the back of the tibia, preserving, where possible, the insertion of the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament and intramalleolar ligament.

The posteromedial approach allows access to most of the posterior aspect of the tibia; however, there is restricted access to the posterior incisura and posteromedial edge of the tibia. This approach is marked on the medial edge of the Achilles tendon. Being careful to avoid the Achilles tendon paratenon, the investing fascia is opened, revealing the fascia superficial to the flexor hallucis longus. The fascia over the flexor hallucis longus is opened as far laterally as is allowed by the incision. Care is taken on opening this fascial layer as medial to the flexor hallucis longus is the posteromedial neurovascular bundle. The flexor hallucis longus muscle belly is elevated off the posterior aspect of the tibia from lateral to medial, thus using the flexor
Fig. 3
Preoperative radiographs (Figs. 3-A and 3-B) and CT imaging (Figs. 3-C, 3-D, and 3-E) of a type-2A posterior malleolar fracture and postoperative radiographs (Figs. 3-F and 3-G) showing fibular fixation and fragment-specific fixation of the posterior malleolus with lag screw compression of the joint through a posterolateral incision.

Fig. 4
Preoperative radiograph (Fig. 4-A) and CT imaging (Figs. 4-B, 4-C, and 4-D) of a type-2B posterior malleolar fracture and postoperative radiographs (Figs. 4-E and 4-F) and CT imaging (Figs. 4-G through 4-J).
hallucis longus muscle as a barrier to the posteromedial neurovascular bundle. The same periosteal precautions should be taken as in the posterolateral approach.

The medial posteromedial approach allows access to the posteromedial tibial edge and restricted access to the posteromedial aspect of the tibia, especially at the point that the tibialis posterior tendon fully enters its groove. This approach is especially useful in fractures with a large posteromedial fragment with an apex exiting medially. The approach is marked along the posteromedial edge of the tibia. The tibialis posterior tendon is located in its sheath, and the sheath is opened longitudinally.

Fibular and medial malleolar fractures are approached separately, except where the posterolateral approach can satisfactorily allow access to the fibular fracture.

**Fixation Techniques**

It is our normal practice to access and fix, where possible, the posterior malleolar fractures before fibular and medial malleolar fracture reduction and fixation. This provides a number of

![Preoperative radiographs (Fig. 5-A and 5-B) and CT imaging (Figs. 5-C, 5-D, and 5-E) of a type-3 posterior malleolar fracture and postoperative radiographs (Figs. 5-F, 5-G, and 5-H).](image-url)
advantages, including achieving fibular length, allowing visualization of the fracture without implant interference on radiographs, and stabilizing the large fragment of Y-shaped medial malleolar fractures to then allow keying-in of the separate anterior collicular fracture. For Mason and Molloy classification type-1 fractures, syndesmotic reduction and fixation was undertaken following OTA/AO surgical principles. For Mason and Molloy classification type-2 and 3 fractures, the posterior malleolar fracture was reduced and was orthogonally fixed prior to any syndesmotic instability testing or subsequent fixation. The fixation of the posterior tibial fragments in Mason and Molloy classification type-2 and 3 fractures was fragment-specific, with articular surface compression achieved by lag screws and/or a small anti-glide plate applied to each fragment (Figs. 3 through 5). In Mason and Molloy classification type-2B fractures, the posteromedial fragment was fixed first, because of the risk of medial translation of the posteromedial fragment when the posterolateral fragment is compressed (Fig. 6). Concomitant fibular and medial malleolar fractures were fixed using OTA/AO surgical principles.

Statistics
All data were assessed using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM). Binary data were entered into contingency tables to allow cross-tabulation of the results. For data cells of >5, differences were tested using the chi-square test; otherwise, the Fisher exact test was used. Numerical data were tested using a Student t test if parametric or a Mann-Whitney test if nonparametric. A 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used for groupwise analysis of parametric data.

Results
Of 61 patients included in this study, 1-year patient-related outcome measures were obtained for 50 patients (82%). The dropout rate was a consequence of the major trauma setting and large tertiary referral base. There were 22 male patients and 28 female patients. According to the Mason and Molloy classification, there were 17 type-1 fractures (34%), 12 type-2A fractures (24%), 10 type-2B fractures (20%), and 11 type-3 fractures (22%). The mean age of this cohort of patients was 46.8 years (range, 21 to 87 years). Categorizing by the Mason and Molloy classification, the mean age was 46.8 years.
for patients with type-1 fractures, 55.0 years for patients with type-2A fractures, 49.7 years for patients with type-2B fractures, and 74.3 years for patients with type-3 fractures. Using nonparametric group statistical analysis, there was no significant difference in age among the groups.

The overall Olerud-Molander Ankle Score for all posterior malleolar fractures in this cohort was 74.1 points (95% confidence interval [CI], 69.1 to 79.1 points). Comparing this study’s functional results with the functional results of our previous multicenter trial15, in which posterior malleolar fractures were treated using the traditional method (ankle fracture fixation using OTA/AO principles, in which posterior malleolar fractures were not fixed if they were <25%), there was an improvement in outcome (Table II). The categorizing of the outcomes by the Mason classification is illustrated in Table III. Using a 1-way ANOVA test, there was no significant difference (p = 0.886) between groups or within groups. However, there was a trend that a lower Mason and Molloy classification had higher Olerud-Molander Ankle Score outcomes.

The overall mean 1-year EQ-5D index for this cohort of patients was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.82 to 0.95). The mean visual analog scale score for this patient group was 77.5 points (95% CI, 70.0 to 84.9 points). Categorizing the outcomes by the Mason and Molloy classification, the mean EQ-5D index was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77 to 0.99) for patients with type-1 fractures, 0.79 (95% CI, 0.57 to 1.0) for patients with type-2A fractures, 0.91 (95% CI, 0.80 to 1) for patients with type-2B fractures, and 0.96 (95% CI, 0.90 to 1) for patients with type-3 fractures. The mean visual analog scale score for health was 83.3 points (95% CI, 72.0 to 94.6 points) for patients with type-1 fractures, 69.2 (95% CI, 47.0 to 91.3 points) for patients with type-2A fractures, 80.8 points (95% CI, 64.2 to 97.4 points) for patients with type-2B fractures, and 74.5 points (95% CI, 57.8 to 91.3 points) for patients with type-3 fractures. Using nonparametric group statistical analysis, there was no significant difference in the EQ-5D or the visual analog scale score either within or between the groups.

### Discussion

The functional outcomes of posterior malleolar fractures are reported to be significantly worse than the outcomes for unimalleolar and bimalleolar ankle fractures16. In our previous study, we presented a significant clinical difference between unimalleolar fractures and their trimalleolar counterparts, with a difference between them of >20 points on Olerud-Molander Ankle Score functional outcomes11. In that study by Roberts et al.11, true posterior pilon fractures (Mason and Molloy classification type 3) were not included. In our study, Roberts et al. reported Olerud-Molander Ankle Score functional outcomes that were equivalent to those reported in the literature in other large outcomes studies, with mean Olerud-Molander Ankle Score functional results ranging from 75 to 95 points for unimalleolar fractures and 56 to 85 points for bimalleolar fractures12,14. In the current study, posterior pilon fractures were included, which makes the 20-point increase in functional outcomes, to near unimalleolar fracture functional results, even more dramatic. There were no other differences in treatment between the previous study and the current study, as all included fractures underwent surgical fixation of the medial and lateral malleolar fractures.

The mean EQ-5D index in the current study is equivalent to the general population results reported in both the United Kingdom and the United States17,19. There was no significant difference either within or between the groups; nevertheless, there was a trend of reduced health markers in the type-2A fracture group. This is likely to represent the increase in the mean age in this group compared with the other fracture groups in our study. Similarly, the Olerud-Molander Ankle Score functional outcomes did not have a significant difference either within or between the groups of the fracture classification. However, there was a trend that indicated a possible prognostic application of the Mason and Molloy classification, with an increasing type indicating an increase in complexity and a decreasing functional result. Type-3 fractures have a larger impaction injury to the tibial plafond, and it makes logical sense that the cartilage injury is likely to be more substantial. The lack of significant difference between the groups is likely to represent the sample size of this study, although it could also represent the general improvement in outcomes across all of the groups.

As shown in previous literature, there are clear indicators that posterior malleolar fractures are variable in their nature, and as such should not be grouped together for analysis12,13. Each fracture type has its own injury associations, which in themselves can determine the management and final outcomes of these fractures. This study has illustrated the value of the Mason and Molloy classification system and the subsequent treatment algorithm in its guidance of treatment. The knowledge of the mechanism and its associated injury patterns allows thorough treatment planning. Our algorithm has developed over the treatment of many previous posterior malleolar fractures and is established in our unit, although every fracture pattern should be taken on its own merit.

All of the type-1 fractures represented in this study were confirmed to have a syndesmotic injury on live screening intraoperatively. As indicated in the study by Mason et al., a proportion of these injuries will be partial syndesmotic injuries.

### Table III Comparison of 1-Year Olerud-Molander Ankle Scores Between the Mason Classification Groups

| Classification | No. of Patients | Olerud-Molander Ankle Score* (points) |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| All            | 50             | 74.1 (69.1 to 79.1)                  |
| 1              | 17             | 75.9 (66.4 to 85.3)                  |
| 2A             | 12             | 75.0 (61.5 to 88.5)                  |
| 2B             | 10             | 74.0 (64.2 to 83.8)                  |
| 3              | 11             | 70.5 (59.0 to 81.9)                  |

*The values are given as the mean, with the 95% CI in parentheses.
with the avulsion of the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament. This is not apparent on the commonly reported syndesmotic tests used, and an internal rotation test should be undertaken under live screening. In type-2 and 3 fractures, syndesmotic stabilization clinically can be achieved with reduction and fixation of the posterior malleolar fragment if the anterior-inferior tibiofibular ligament has remained intact. Miller et al. reported a rate of 2.1% for syndesmotic instability after fixation of the posterior malleolar fracture fragment with the patient prone compared with a rate of 48.3% for ankle fractures treated without posterior fixation and with the patient in a supine position. This has also been demonstrated in a cadaveric study by Gardner et al., who reported that posterior malleolar fixation resulted in 70% of cases of syndesmotic stability compared with 40% of cases that achieved syndesmotic stability with screw fixation. However, caution should be used because any elevation of the posterior-inferior tibiofibular ligament on approach to the posterior malleolar fragment may eliminate some of the stabilizing force. As reported by Kim and Lee, posterior-inferior tibiofibular ligament release is often required, sometimes only partially, to reduce the posterior malleolar fragment.

The approach to the posterior malleolar fracture has been included in our treatment algorithm, as a means to guide others who are unfamiliar with posterior hindfoot approaches. The preoperative CT imaging is helpful in determining the optimal surgical approach. The posterolateral and posteromedial approaches have both been reported to be safe in terms of both wound management and radiographic follow-up.

Our experience is that the direct approach to the posterior malleolar fracture should be performed where possible, rather than the indirect approach and anterior-to-posterior fixation. This direct approach has been shown by Shi et al. to be superior in terms of both anatomical fixation and functional outcomes.

We acknowledge a number of limitations to this study. First, this study showed the functional outcomes to a minimum of 1 year after the injury. However, these functional outcomes may change with time. Second, postoperative management using non-weight-bearing was employed, in theory to allow better regeneration of the tibial cartilage. This is in contrast to an increasing practice to allow early weight-bearing and a functional orthosis in an attempt to allow quicker rehabilitation and earlier return to work. There is limited clinical evidence regarding early weight-bearing in the treatment of posterior malleolar fractures, although, in a small study including a joint model, Papachristou et al. reported good functional result by 3 months. Their joint model illustrated minimal load passing through the posterior malleolar fracture fragment with weight-bearing. Third, a proportion of our type-1 fracture patterns displayed only partial syndesmotic disruptions. In a randomized controlled trial, Andersen et al. reported on suture button and screw fixation for syndesmotic injury and showed an improved functional result with the use of suture button syndesmotic fixation. Interestingly, their screw fixation group had a higher proportion of posterior malleolar fractures, which displayed a worse functional outcome.

In conclusion, we demonstrated an improvement in the Olerud-Molander Ankle Score for all posterior malleolar fractures with the treatment algorithm applied using the Mason and Molloy classification compared with our previous study. Mason and Molloy classification type-3 fractures have marginally poorer outcomes, which correlates with a more substantial injury. However, this difference did not reach significance.
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