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Abstract

BACKGROUND

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is currently recommended as preoperative treatment for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC); however, evaluation of treatment response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is still challenging.

AIM

To create a multi-modal radiomics model to assess therapeutic response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.

METHODS

This retrospective study consecutively included 118 patients with LARC who underwent both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) before neoadjuvant chemotherapy between October 2016 and June 2019. Histopathological findings were used as the reference standard for pathological response. Patients were randomly divided into a training set (n = 70) and a validation set (n = 48). The performance of different models based on CT and MRI, including apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images (DCE-T1), high resolution T2-weighted imaging (HR-T2WI), and imaging features, was assessed by using the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. This was demonstrated as area under the curve (AUC) and accuracy (ACC). Calibration plots with Hosmer-Lemeshow tests were used to investigate the agreement and performance characteristics of the nomogram.

RESULTS

Eighty out of 118 patients (68%) achieved a pathological response. For an
INTRODUCTION

With the improvement in inspection technology and implementation of the concept of individualized treatment, the early detection rate of rectal cancer has markedly increased[11]. However, the postoperative recurrence rate of locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) is high, leading to poor prognosis, and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) followed by total mesorectal excision (TME) is recommended for LARC[2,3]. As previously reported[4,5], neoadjuvant CRT can downstage the rate of LARC by 50%-60%, and achieve a pathological complete response (PCR) rate of 15%-27%.

However, several studies[6,7] have reported that there were still 7%-37% of LARC patients who do not respond to neoadjuvant CRT, which may not only increase CRT-related side effects and economic burden, but also delay surgery time. Furthermore, non-responders were associated with lower recurrence-free survival rate, distant metastasis, and local recurrence rate compared with good responders[8,9]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify which patients can benefit from neoadjuvant CRT treatment.

Enhanced computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are recommended and commonly used for LARC[10] to noninvasively evaluate the therapeutic responses to neoadjuvant CRT. Different imaging techniques have been reported to identify the response to neoadjuvant CRT, including fluorodeoxyglucose imaging; Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the most commonly used noninvasive imaging techniques for LARC. As previously reported[2,3], preoperative CT and MRI adds accuracy to the prediction of treatment outcome, thereby guiding clinical decision-making and preoperative individualized treatment. The early detection rate of rectal cancer has markedly increased[11]. However, the postoperative recurrence rate of locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) is high, leading to poor prognosis, and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) followed by total mesorectal excision (TME) is recommended for LARC[2,3]. As previously reported[4,5], neoadjuvant CRT can downstage the rate of LARC by 50%-60%, and achieve a pathological complete response (PCR) rate of 15%-27%.

However, several studies[6,7] have reported that there were still 7%-37% of LARC patients who do not respond to neoadjuvant CRT, which may not only increase CRT-related side effects and economic burden, but also delay surgery time. Furthermore, non-responders were associated with lower recurrence-free survival rate, distant metastasis, and local recurrence rate compared with good responders[8,9]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify which patients can benefit from neoadjuvant CRT treatment.

Enhanced computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are recommended and commonly used for LARC[10] to noninvasively evaluate the therapeutic responses to neoadjuvant CRT. Different imaging techniques have been reported to identify the response to neoadjuvant CRT, including fluorodeoxyglucose imaging; Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the most commonly used noninvasive imaging techniques for LARC. As previously reported[2,3], preoperative CT and MRI adds accuracy to the prediction of treatment outcome, thereby guiding clinical decision-making and preoperative individualized treatment.
positron emission tomography (FDG PET), T2-weighted MRI, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI); however, their performance is varied and limited.

Thus, there is an increasing need to identify a more reliable method for evaluating therapeutic response. Radiomics, which involves computer-based extraction of a large number of quantitative imaging features, are analyzed with a specific clinical question in mind to help clinical decision-making. There are several reports of the successful use of radiomics analysis for the classification of benign and malignant tissue, adding information about tumor aggressiveness, and predicting responsiveness to neoadjuvant CRT prior to initiation. We hypothesize that CT and MRI-based radiomics may add value in the evaluation of therapeutic responses to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with LARC, thus, improving qualitative assessment will help differentiate patients with a clinical response from those with no response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

The aim of this retrospective study was to create a multi-modal radiomics model derived from CT and MRI, and to investigate the added value for predicting clinical response in patients with LARC after neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of West China Hospital of Sichuan University (No. 2019-140). Patient approval or informed consent for the review of medical images was not required.

LARC was defined as the primary tumor invading the muscularized layer of the intestinal wall (T3-4), with or without peripheral lymph node metastasis (N0-2), and without distant metastasis, as detected by imaging or pathological examination. We retrospectively included patients with LARC who underwent total TME after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the Gastrointestinal Surgery Department of our hospital from October 2016 to June 2019. Inclusion criteria were: (1) Rectal MRI and abdominal enhanced CT scan were both performed before neoadjuvant chemotherapy; and (2) All patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy before TME. Exclusion criteria were: (1) Familial polyposis; (2) History of neoadjuvant CRT for other malignant tumors; (3) CT and MRI revealed incomplete images prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Image quality was poor, and artifacts were obvious, which could not be used for image segmentation and radiomic feature extraction and analysis; and (4) Clinical, laboratory, and pathology data were incomplete. The patient selection process is summarized in Figure 1.

**Neoadjuvant chemotherapy protocol**

The first chemotherapy course adopted the CapeOx plan (oxaliplatin 30 mg/m², day 1 and capecitabine 850-1000 mg/m², bid, day 1-14). With no break time after the first course, the second to fourth courses adopted the CapeOx plan with sequential oral apatinib 250 mg qd for 10 consecutive days. There were breaks of 3 wk for the second to the fourth course; and 3 wk after the fourth course of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, TME surgery was performed.

**CT and MR imaging protocol**

Enhanced CT was performed using a 128-MDCT scanner (Somatom Definition AS+, Siemens Healthcare Sector, Forchheim, Germany) and a dual-source CT system (Somatom Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare Sector, Forchheim, Germany). Both CT models had the same tube voltage (120 kV), tube current (200-210 mAs), and slice thickness (2 mm). Intravenous nonionic contrast material (1.2 mL/kg; omnipaque 300 mg/mL, GE Healthcare) was administered via the antecubital vein, using a power injector at a rate of 3 mL/s. The area of interest was located in the center of the abdominal aorta at the level of the abdominal trunk. With a trigger threshold of the aorta reaching 170 HU, the arterial phase (at the trigger) and the portal vein phase (30 s after the trigger) images were obtained.

MRI was performed using a 3.0-T magnet (Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with an 18-channel matrix coil. All patients underwent bowel preparation with antispasmodic medications before imaging. A routine clinical imaging protocol was performed including axial HR-T2WI and axial DWI MRI with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). Dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) images were obtained using a fat-suppressed 3D gradient-echo T1 weighted sequence (volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination, known as ‘VIBE’). Dynamic contrast-enhanced images were obtained using 3D T1-VIBE with a volumetric acquisition of the entire
rectum that began simultaneously with the intravenous administration of gadolinium (0.5 mmol/mL; Omniscan, GE Healthcare, Cork, Ireland) followed by a 30 mL saline flush (3 mL/s). The entire volume was acquired in one second, and the acquisition was repeated over a one-minute scan time to acquire an exact evaluation of the medium contrast kinetics in the tumor tissue of all vascular phases. The MRI parameters at our institution are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Assessment of extramural venous invasion
The features of extramural venous invasion (EMVI) and tumor location were evaluated by two radiologists (with 8-12 years of experience in rectal cancer imaging) who were blinded to pathological results using a scoring system from 0 to 4[24]. EMVI scoring from 0 to 2 was defined as negativity, and EMVI scoring from 3 to 4 was defined as positivity. Upon disagreement, they would reach a consensus through negotiation.

Tumor segmentation, radiomics features extraction, and preprocessing
The open source software ITK-SNAP (3.6.0, open source, www.itksnap.org) was used for image segmentation. Pre-treatment enhanced CT and MRI findings were analyzed by a radiologist (with 8 years of experience in rectal cancer imaging), and validated by a senior radiologist (with 12 years of experience in rectal cancer imaging) within 1-2 wk to calculate intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). Both radiologists were blinded to the histopathology results. The regions of interest (ROIs) were created manually using the enhanced CT, HR-T2WI, and last phase (60 s after contrast injection) images from DCE-T1 (DCE-T1-60s) and ADC data, including the whole tumor and excluding the intestinal lumen. ROIs of rectal tumors were manually drawn on each slice. In order to decrease data variability and make it easier to evaluate quantitative radiomic features, intensity normalization was performed to transform original CT and MR images into a similar intensity distribution[25].

The 118 patients were randomly divided into a training set (n = 70) and a validation set (n = 48). A total of 396 radiomic features of each sequence were extracted from all CT and MR images using the in-house Artificial Intelligence Kit software v.3.0.0. Normalization of extracted features was performed in the first step before feature...
Table 1  Clinical characteristics of patients in training and validation cohorts, n (%)  

| Variable          | Training cohort, n = 70 | | | Validation cohort, n = 48 | | | P value | | Response, n = 32 | | | Non-response, n = 6 | | P value | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T3                | 9 (18.8)                | 0 (0) | 0.002 | 9 (28.1)                | 0 (0) | 0.03 |
| T4a               | 31 (64.6)               | 10 (45.5) | 0.002 | 16 (50.0)               | 8 (50.0) | 0.03 |
| T4b               | 8 (16.7)                | 12 (54.6) | 0.002 | 7 (21.9)                | 8 (50.0) | 0.03 |
| N0                | 8 (16.7)                | 1 (4.6) | 0.4 | 6 (18.8)                | 2 (12.5) | 0.6 |
| N1                | 26 (54.2)               | 13 (59.1) | 0.4 | 13 (40.6)               | 9 (56.3) | 0.5 |
| N2                | 14 (29.2)               | 8 (36.4) | 0.4 | 13 (40.6)               | 5 (31.3) | 0.5 |
| Site: Ultralow    | 2 (4.2)                 | 1 (4.5) | 0.2 | 4 (12.5)                | 1 (6.3) | 0.01 |
| Site: Low         | 34 (70.8)               | 12 (54.6) | 0.2 | 21 (65.6)               | 5 (31.3) | 0.02 |
| Site: High        | 12 (25)                 | 9 (40.9) | 0.2 | 7 (21.9)                | 10 (62.5) | 0.03 |
| EMVI positive     | 33 (68.8)               | 5 (22.7) | < 0.001 | 24 (75)                | 2 (12.5) | < 0.001 |
| EMVI negative     | 15 (31.3)               | 17 (77.3) | 0.2 | 8 (25)                  | 14 (87.5) | 0.05 |
| Female            | 14 (29.2)               | 10 (45.5) | 0.2 | 9 (28.1)                | 6 (37.5) | 0.5 |
| Male              | 34 (70.8)               | 12 (54.6) | 0.2 | 23 (71.9)               | 10 (62.5) | 0.5 |
| CEA ≤ 3.4         | 32 (66.7)               | 14 (63.6) | 0.8 | 21 (65.6)               | 12 (75) | 0.5 |
| CEA > 3.4         | 16 (33.3)               | 8 (36.4) | 0.8 | 11 (34.4)               | 4 (25) | 0.5 |
| CA199 ≤ 22        | 39 (81.3)               | 19 (86.4) | 0.6 | 29 (90.6)               | 14 (87.5) | 0.7 |
| CA199 > 22        | 9 (18.8)                | 3 (13.6) | 0.6 | 3 (9.4)                 | 2 (12.5) | 0.7 |
| Age in yr         | 59.2 ± 9.7              | 54.8 ± 10.5 | 0.09 | 60.8 ± 9.6              | 55.3 ± 11.1 | 0.08 |
| BMI in kg/m²      | 22.9 ± 3.2              | 23.1 ± 3.2 | 0.8 | 22.8 ± 3.4              | 23.3 ± 2.9 | 0.6 |
| Hb in g/L         | 134.8 ± 20.5            | 127.9 ± 19.5 | 0.2 | 131.4 ± 19.5            | 127.1 ± 22.2 | 0.5 |

Site: Ultralow: Lower margin of tumor involves anal canal; Site low: Lower margin of tumor is below peritoneal reflection; Site: High: Lower margin of tumor is above peritoneal reflection; EMVI: Extramural venous invasion; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen199; BMI: Body mass index; Hb: Hemoglobin.

Radiomics feature selection

Adding a prior feature ranking procedure may be helpful for improving final performance. Therefore, after elimination of redundant features and features with low reproducibility, we used a multivariate ranking method [minimum redundancy maximum relevance (mRMR)] to identify the most important features on the basis of a heuristic scoring criterion, and only the top ranked features were retained[26-28]. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) was then used for selection bias of the features from the 20 top ranked features. λ was the regularization parameter of LASSO regression and was selected when the ten-fold-cross-validation error was minimal.

Using the balanced dataset

Considering that the non-responders group contained fewer patients than the responders group, this might have an adverse impact on classifier performance; thus, the synthetic minority oversampling technique was applied with the joint weighting of features in the optimal subset to generate samples from the minority group to balance the size of the majority group[29-31]. The advantage of this method is to obtain synthetic samples that have similar attribution values to existing samples and are “not merely replications”, thus enhancing the representation of the minority group while retaining the original structure of the samples.

Model building

Finally, the most significant features were determined to construct the radiomics model on the basis of logistic regression. We first created five different models based on individual enhanced CT, MRI (DCE-T1, HR-T2WI, ADC), and EMVI, and then compared the performance of the models based on individual sequences and their
Figure 2  A 56-year-old male with locally advanced rectal cancer. A-C: Representative manual segmentation of the whole lesion in the axial dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images and enhanced computed tomography. Dotted lines represent the delineations of the regions of interest used to derive the radiomics features; D: Three-dimensional volumetric reconstruction of the segmented lesion.

combination. The best of these models was constructed into the multi-modal radiomics nomogram. Clinical risk factors were compared via univariate analysis, and variables with \( P < 0.05 \) were included in the clinical model. Models were trained using the repeated 10-fold-cross-validation method in the training set, and estimation performance was evaluated in the validation set.

**Reference standard and pathological assessment of response**

The reference standard was the histopathological results generated by assessing the basic histopathology of the tumor specimens after TME. Histopathological analysis was performed by specialized gastrointestinal histopathologists with more than 10 years of experience who was blinded to imaging findings. Pathological grading of primary tumor regression was defined according to the four-tier American Joint Committee on Cancer tumor regression grade (TRG) system\(^{[32]}\). TRG 0-2 was defined as a response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and TRG 3 was defined as non-response.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with R software v. 3.4.3 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria). Performance of the different models was assessed using the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis, and demonstrated as area under the curve (AUC) and accuracy (ACC). Calibration plots were used to graphically investigate the performance characteristics of the nomogram. The DeLong test was used for statistical comparison of the ROC curves. The \( t \) test or Mann-Whitney \( U \) test was performed to compare continuous variables, while a \( \chi^2 \) or Fisher’s exact test was used for classifying variables between groups. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a Bonferroni-corrected \( P \) value was used to identify the significance of the feature by multiple comparisons.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

In this study, we enrolled 118 LARC patients who underwent TME after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, including 38 (32.2%) non-responders (22 males, 16 females) and 80 (67.8%) responders (57 males, 23 females). The patients were randomly divided into
the training set \((n = 70)\) and the validation set \((n = 48)\). Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of the cohort are presented in Table 1. Univariate factor analysis showed statistically significant differences in EMVI between the two groups \((P < 0.001)\). There were no differences in gender, age, BMI, TNM stage\(^3\) (T, tumor; N, Lymph Node; M, Metastasis), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level, Carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) level, and lesion region between the response and non-response groups.

**Performance of radiomics models and nomogram**

A total of 396 radiomic features of each sequence were extracted from all CT and MR images (42 first-order histogram features, 334 second-order texture features, 9 morphological features, and 11 gray-level zone size matrix features). After elimination of redundant features and features with low reproducibility, 65 radiomic features, including 18 features from enhanced CT, 14 from HR-T2WI, 17 from ADC, and 16 from DCE-T1 (Supplementary Table 2) were used to build individual radiomics models. For the combined model, we used a multivariate ranking method (mRMR) to identify the most important features, and only the top ranked features were retained. The most significant features were then investigated to construct the radiomics model on the basis of logistic regression. Finally, 13 radiomic features with non-zero coefficients (two features from enhanced CT, one from HR-T2WI, five from ADC, and five from DCE-T1) were selected to calculate the radiomics score. The names of the selected features can be found in Supplementary Table 2. The agreement between the two radiologists on selected radiomic features was considered excellent (ICC range: 0.654 to 0.923). The Lasso process is shown in Figure 3.

As shown in Table 2, for an individual sequence, the HR-T2WI model performed better \((AUC = 0.859, ACC = 0.896)\) than the CT \((AUC = 0.766, ACC = 0.792)\), DCE-T1 \((AUC = 0.812, ACC = 0.854)\), and ADC \((AUC = 0.828, ACC = 0.833)\) models in the validation set. The combined radiomics model had a significantly better performance than CT \((P = 0.03)\), while no significant differences were found when compared with DCE-T1, HR-T2WI, and ADC in the training set (Figure 4A). In the validation set, the combined radiomics model \((AUC = 0.908, ACC = 0.812)\) had a better performance than the individual DCE-T1, HR-T2WI, and ADC models, but the differences were not significant. The EMVI model achieved a relatively low performance in both the training \((AUC = 0.73, ACC = 0.714)\) and validation \((AUC = 0.579, ACC = 0.583)\) sets. When combined with radiomic features, the multi-modal radiomics model performed better, and reached an AUC of 0.925 and ACC of 0.886 in the training set (Figure 4B), and an AUC of 0.93 and ACC of 0.875 in the validation set. The comparisons of different radiomic feature model performances were presented in Supplementary Table 3.

For the clinical radiomics nomogram, EMVI features and the radiomics score were identified by univariate analysis \((P < 0.05)\). In multivariable logistic regression analysis, the radiomics score [odds ratio (OR) =1.34, \(P < 0.001\)] and EMVI \((OR = 6.72, P = 0.01)\) significantly predicted the clinical response of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC. Therefore, the radiomics nomogram was constructed with the radiomics score and EMVI, and good agreement was found between the nomogram prediction and actual observation in the calibration plots (Hosmer-Lemeshow test; \(P = 0.17\)) (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study developed and validated a radiomics model that incorporated CT and MRI features for the noninvasive and individualized prediction of clinical response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with LARC. The combination of CT and MRI (DCE-T1, HR-T2WI, and ADC) features was associated with better performance than any individual sequence. In contrast, the clinical model based on EMVI showed relatively low performance. A multi-modal nomogram facilitated an easy and noninvasive estimation of clinical response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The proposed radiomics model performed well, thereby adding accuracy to decision-making and the clinical assessment of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.

Although neoadjuvant CRT has been considered the standard treatment option for LARC, the main target of radiotherapy is local control, and its effects on distant metastasis or overall survival are still controversial, especially in patients undergoing TME surgery\(^{33-35}\). Moreover, the short- and long-term adverse effects of radiotherapy, such as urogenital anal dysfunction and occurrence of secondary cancer, are not negligible\(^{36-38}\). Due to progress in new effective chemotherapy strategies, the concept of neoadjuvant chemotherapy without radiotherapy has emerged. Recently, several
Table 2 Performance of optimal radiomic signatures

| Characteristic | AUC   | 95% CI          | Cut-off | ACC   | Specificity | Sensitivity |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| EMVI Training | 0.73  | 0.619-0.842     | 0.331   | 0.714 | 0.688       | 0.773       |
| Validation    | 0.578 | 0.426-0.731     | 0.562   | 0.583 | 0.594       | 0.562       |
| CT Training   | 0.809 | 0.745-0.872     | 0.331   | 0.818 | 0.875       | 0.742       |
| Validation    | 0.766 | 0.632-0.899     | 0.562   | 0.792 | 0.844       | 0.688       |
| DCE-T1 Training | 0.848 | 0.79-0.907      | 0.5     | 0.818 | 0.875       | 0.742       |
| Validation    | 0.812 | 0.688-0.937     | 0.864   | 0.854 | 0.833       | 0.812       |
| HR-T2WI Training | 0.845 | 0.786-0.903   | 0.5     | 0.857 | 0.932       | 0.758       |
| Validation    | 0.859 | 0.746-0.973     | 0.864   | 0.896 | 0.844       | 0.812       |
| ADC Training  | 0.847 | 0.789-0.904     | 0.5     | 0.844 | 0.833       | 0.812       |
| Validation    | 0.828 | 0.71-0.946      | 0.864   | 0.833 | 0.844       | 0.812       |
| CRM Training  | 0.921 | 0.842-1         | 0.318   | 0.866 | 0.854       | 0.955       |
| Validation    | 0.908 | 0.823-0.994     | 0.854   | 0.812 | 0.812       | 0.812       |
| MRM Training  | 0.925 | 0.845-1         | 0.447   | 0.886 | 0.896       | 0.864       |
| Validation    | 0.93  | 0.86-1          | 0.875   | 0.875 | 0.875       | 0.875       |

EMVI: Extramural venous invasion; CT: Computed tomography; DCE-T1: Dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images; HR-T2WI: High resolution T2-weighted imaging; ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient; CRM: Combined radiomic model; MRM: Multi-modal radiomics model; AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval; ACC: Accuracy.

Studies have evaluated the feasibility and efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC, and the results were promising; therefore, neoadjuvant chemotherapy without radiotherapy was performed in our study.

Imaging examination plays a key role in the evaluation of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC. Our study showed that MRI features of EMVI were significantly positive in the responder group compared with the non-responder group, which is consistent with the literature. However, there were still some limitations in the evaluation of EMVI using MRI: First, the limitation of MRI resolution ratio and scan slice thickness resulted in a poor display of small vessels, and the examination results of EMVI may be false-negatives. Second, some local advanced cancers are accompanied by high vein invasion or extensive destruction of the vascular wall and cell structure with no normal vascular structure. Furthermore, MRI may indicate positive EMVI findings, which might increase the false-negative rate of pathological diagnosis. Therefore, a more accurate model is needed to predict the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.

Recently, several studies have reported that radiomic features based on CT and MRI showed a good relationship with tumor biological characteristics and heterogeneities, which was helpful in predicting the curative effect of neoadjuvant CRT for LARC. Most of the above studies aimed to predict patients with pathologic complete response (PCR) by radiomics analysis, so as to omit surgery and over-treatment. Under neoadjuvant therapy, the rate of PCR was low, ranging from 15-27%, which indicated that approximately 80% of patients did not achieve PCR and possibly received surgical intervention. However, even without PCR, the patients achieved partial responsiveness and benefited from neoadjuvant CRT by shrinking the tumor and descending the tumor stage, which could improve resectability rate. According to the TRG, the response rate of neoadjuvant chemotherapy was up to 67.8%, which means that 32.2% of patients did not benefit from the therapeutic strategy, and suffered from side effects and pain due to systemic chemotherapy. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to differentiate clinical response (including complete and partial) from non-responding LARC patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Multiparametric MRI radiomic features were reported to hold potential in...
Figure 3  Texture feature selection using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator binary logistic regression model. A: Tuning parameter $\lambda$ selection in the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator model used 10-fold cross-validation via minimum criteria. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was plotted versus the log $\lambda$. Dotted vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values using the minimum criteria. A $\lambda$ value of -5.47, with log $\lambda$, according to 10-fold cross-validation; B: Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator coefficient profiles of the 20 top ranked texture features. A coefficient profile plot was produced against the log $\lambda$ sequence. A vertical line was drawn at the value selected using 10-fold cross-validation, where optimal $\lambda$ resulted in 13 nonzero coefficients.

Figure 3  Texture feature selection using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator binary logistic regression model. A: Tuning parameter $\lambda$ selection in the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator model used 10-fold cross-validation via minimum criteria. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was plotted versus the log $\lambda$. Dotted vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values using the minimum criteria. A $\lambda$ value of -5.47, with log $\lambda$, according to 10-fold cross-validation; B: Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator coefficient profiles of the 20 top ranked texture features. A coefficient profile plot was produced against the log $\lambda$ sequence. A vertical line was drawn at the value selected using 10-fold cross-validation, where optimal $\lambda$ resulted in 13 nonzero coefficients.

predicting non-responsiveness to neoadjuvant therapy in patients with LARC, which achieved an area under the ROC curve of 0.82-0.83\cite{9,10}. In the present study, the HR-T2WI model performed better (AUC 0.859, ACC 0.896) than the above. However, most studies adopted a single examination method, and did not integrate multimodal imaging examination methods. Our study combined enhanced CT with multiparameter MRI to construct a multi-modal model with higher prediction efficiency than a single radiomics model.

In the era of precision medicine, a single feature or model can no longer meet the requirements of individualized treatment. Only the comprehensive analysis of all potentially useful information can improve the accuracy of prediction and diagnosis. A recent study\cite{50} has shown that combining clinical variables with the radiomics model can improve predictive performance of neoadjuvant CRT for LARC. However, no differences were found in clinical variables of gender, age, BMI, TNM stage, CEA, CA199, and lesion region between responder and non-responder groups in our study. Thus, we created the multi-parameter radiomics model only combined with EMVI to build a comprehensive prediction model, which had the highest prediction value in the training (ROC 0.925) and validation (ROC 0.93) group, respectively. In addition, this prediction model was made into a visual nomogram, which calculated the specific probability of each patient's curative effect based on the sum of the scores of each risk factor, making it easier for clinicians to judge the specific situation of each patient, and provide personalized treatments for patients.

There are several studies\cite{22,46,51-53} reporting the use of joint models to construct nomograms, which have achieved a considerable prediction of neoadjuvant CRT for LARC. However, most studies only used a single imaging method, such as CT, MRI, or ultrasound. In contrast, the present study created a prediction model combined with CT and MRI, which has been the routine imaging examination method recommended by guidelines. Therefore, our multi-modal radiomics prediction model is economical and easy for clinical practice. Besides, some studies evaluated F18-FDG PET/CT and/or MRI radiomic features, and reported a high predictive value for curative effect\cite{51,54}; however, F18-FDG PET examination is expensive and difficult to
Figure 4 Receiver operating characteristic curves in the training set. A: Combined radiomics model (area under the curve (AUC) = 0.908, accuracy (ACC) = 0.812) achieved a better performance than individual computed tomography, dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images, high resolution T2-weighted imaging and apparent diffusion coefficient models; B: The extramural venous invasion model achieved relatively low performance in the training (AUC = 0.73, ACC = 0.714) set. In contrast, the multi-modal radiomics model (AUC = 0.925, ACC = 0.866) and combined radiomics model (AUC = 0.921, ACC = 0.886) performed better. CRM: Combined radiomics model; DCE-T1: Dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images; HR-T2WI: High resolution T2-weighted imaging; ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient; CT: Computed tomography; MRM: Multi-modal radiomics model; EMVI: Extramural venous invasion.

Our research has several limitations. First, due to its retrospective design, there might be selection bias, although the patients were continuously enrolled. Second, the sample size was small as the neoadjuvant chemotherapy protocol is new in our hospital, and has not yet been widely used. Therefore, the results of the present study remain to be proven using different protocols of neoadjuvant chemotherapy or CRT. Third, some biological characteristics such as overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 and Ki-67 were reported to have good prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; however, in our study, the above biological markers were not available in all included patients. Thus, the multi-modal nomogram combined with biological characteristics is desirable in the future.

In conclusion, the findings of this study showed that the multi-modal nomogram established by radiomics of preoperative CT and MRI adds accuracy to prediction and could contribute to the personalized selection of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.
Figure 5 Development of predictive nomograms. A: From each variable location on the corresponding axis, a line was drawn straight upward to the point axis and a point was obtained. After adding up all points, a line from the total points axis was drawn to the bottom line to determine the probability of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; B: Calibration curves for the radiomics nomogram in the training and validation cohort. The actual outcome of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is represented on the y-axis, and the predicted probability is represented on the x-axis. The closer the fit of the diagonal red and blue lines to the ideal grey line indicates the predictive accuracy of the nomogram. EMVI: Extramural venous invasion.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is currently recommended as preoperative treatment for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC); however, evaluation of treatment response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is still challenging.

Research motivation
Several studies have reported that there were still 7-37% of LARC patients who do not respond to neoadjuvant CRT, which may not only increase CRT-related side effects and economic burden, but also delay surgery time. Therefore, it is necessary to identify which patients can benefit from neoadjuvant CRT treatment.

Research objectives
To create a multi-modal radiomics model to assess therapeutic response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.

Research methods
This retrospective study consecutively included 118 patients with LARC who underwent both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) before neoadjuvant chemotherapy between October 2016 and June 2019. Histopathological findings were used as the reference standard for pathological response. Patients were randomly divided into a training set (n = 70) and a validation set (n = 48). The performance of different models based on CT and MRI, including apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), dynamic contrast enhanced T1 images (DCE-T1), high resolution T2-weighted imaging (HR-T2WI), and imaging features, was assessed by using the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis and was demonstrated as area under the curve (AUC) and accuracy (ACC). Calibration plots with Hosmer-Lemeshow tests were used to investigate the agreement and performance characteristics of the nomogram.

Research results
Eighty of 118 patients (68%) achieved a pathological response. For an individual radiomics
model, HR-T2WI performed better (AUC = 0.859, ACC = 0.896) than CT (AUC = 0.766, ACC = 0.792), DCE-T1 (AUC = 0.812, ACC = 0.854), and ADC (AUC = 0.828, ACC = 0.833) in the validation set. The imaging performance for extramural venous invasion (EMVI) detection was relatively low in both the training (AUC = 0.73, ACC = 0.714) and validation (AUC = 0.578, ACC = 0.583) sets. The multi-modal radiomics model reached an AUC of 0.925 and ACC of 0.886 in the validation set. For the clinical radiomics nomogram, good agreement was found between the nomogram prediction and actual observation.

**Research conclusions**

A multi-modal nomogram using traditional imaging features and radiomics of preoperative CT and MRI adds accuracy to the prediction of treatment outcome, and thus contributes to the personalized selection of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for LARC.

**Research perspectives**

Some biological characteristics such as overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 and Ki-67 were reported to have good prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; however, in our study, the above biological markers were not available in all included patients. Thus, the multi-modal nomogram combined with biological characteristics is desirable in the future.
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