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The model with the fermions coupled in the non-minimal way to the gauge theory of Lorentz group is considered. The lattice regularization is suggested. It is argued that this model may exist in the phase with broken chiral symmetry and without confinement. We speculate about the possibility that this construction may serve as an origin of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking.
1. Introduction

The scale of the Riemannian gravity (considered as the dynamical theory of metric) is the Plank mass. This is many orders of magnitude larger than the expected scale for the interactions that may cause the formation of the composite Higgs bosons and provide the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. However, one may consider quantum gravity with torsion \[1, 2\]. Its dynamical variables are the vierbein (that is related to Riemannian gravity) and the \(SO(3,1)\) connection that gives rise to torsion. With the vierbein frozen we come to the gauge theory of Lorentz group \[3, 4\]. This gauge theory is interesting itself. It certainly deserves to be investigated using nonperturbative lattice methods. Here we present the setup for such investigations.

Moreover, as it will be explained further, this theory may appear to be the constituent of the ultraviolet completion of the Standard Model (SM) responsible for the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. Its scale is not fixed but is assumed to be between \(10^3\) TeV and \(10^{15}\) GeV while the scale of the dynamical theory of metric is assumed to be given by the Plank mass \(\approx 10^{19}\) GeV. Unlike \[5\] we do not introduce the additional technifermions. As in the models of top-quark condensation \[6, 7, 8\] the bilinear combinations of the SM fermions play the role of composite Higgs bosons.

The dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking can be explained within the effective NJL approximation \[9\] to the ultraviolet completion of the SM. This NJL approximation has the finite ultraviolet cutoff \(\Lambda\). In \[10, 11, 12, 13\] it was proposed that the contributions of the microscopic theory due to the trans-\(\Lambda\) degrees of freedom cancel the dominant higher loop divergences of the low energy NJL effective theory basing on the analogy with the hydrodynamics \[14, 15\]. Therefore, the one-loop results dominate. Here we follow this proposition. Sure, this is a kind of the fine-tuning. But, is this possible to avoid the fine-tuning while providing the fermion masses from less than 1 eV for neutrino to about 174 GeV for the top-quark?

2. Gauge field

Let us consider the action of the form \(S_g = S_T + S_G\) with

\[
S_T = -M_T^2 \int G d^4 x
\]

and

\[
S_G = \beta_1 \int G^{abcd} G_{abcd} d^4 x + \beta_2 \int G^{abcd} G_{cdab} d^4 x + \beta_3 \int G^{ab} G_{ab} d^4 x + \beta_4 \int G^{ab} G_{bd} d^4 x + \beta_5 \int G^{2} d^4 x + \beta_6 \int A^2 d^4 x
\]

with coupling constants \(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\). Here \(G^{ab}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} = [D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]\) is the \(SO(3,1)\) curvature, the covariant derivative is \(D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} C_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} ; \cdot\cdot \cdot \cdot\). The spin connection is denoted by \(C_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}\). Indices are lowered and lifted via metric \(g\) of Minkowski space as usual. \(G^{abcd} = \delta^{\mu}_\nu \delta^\rho_\sigma G^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma}\). Actually, Eq. \((2.2)\) is the most general quadratic in curvature action that does not break Parity \[22\]. Spin connection is related to Affine connection \(\Gamma_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}\) and torsion \(T_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}\) as follows:

\[
\Gamma^{a}_{\mu\nu} = C^{a}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} ; T^{a}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} = C^{a}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot ; \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}
\]
Now let us introduce the irreducible components of torsion [1]:

\[ S_i = \varepsilon_{ijkl} T_{kl}, \quad T_i = T_{ij}, \quad T_{ijk} = \frac{1}{3} (T_j \eta_{ik} - T_k \eta_{ij}) - \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{ijkl} S_l + q_{ijk} \]  

(2.4)

\( S_T \) may be represented in terms of torsion:

\[ S_T = M_f^2 \int \{ \frac{2}{3} T^2 - \frac{1}{24} S^2 \} d^4 x + \tilde{S} \]  

(2.5)

Here \( \tilde{S} \) depends on \( q \) but does not depend on \( S, T \).

3. Massless fermions

We consider the action of a massless Dirac spinor coupled to the \( SO(3,1) \) gauge field in the form [16]:

\[ S_f = \frac{i}{2} \int \{ \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \zeta D_\mu \psi - [D_\mu \bar{\psi}] \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \} d^4 x \]  

(3.1)

Here \( \zeta = \eta + i\theta \) is the coupling constant. Let us restore the field of the vierbein that corresponds to vanishing Riemannian curvature. The symmetry group of this system is \( Diff \otimes SO(3,1)_{local} \). Fixing the trivial value of the vierbein \( e^a_k = \delta^a_k \) we break this symmetry: \( Diff \otimes SO(3,1)_{local} \rightarrow SO(3,1)_{local} \). This breakdown is accompanied by distinguishing local Lorentz transformations out of the general coordinate transformations.

In terms of \( S \) and \( T \) [3.1] can be rewritten as:

\[ S_f = \frac{1}{2} \int \{ i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \eta \nabla_\mu \psi - i [\nabla_\mu \bar{\psi}] \eta \gamma^\mu \psi + \frac{1}{4} [\bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \eta S^d - 4 \theta T^b \gamma_b] \psi \} d^4 x \]  

(3.2)

One can see that \( \theta \) disappears from the first term with usual derivatives. However, it remains in the second term. Let us suppose for a moment that it is possible to neglect \( S_G \) in some approximation. Then the integration over torsion degrees of freedom can be performed for the system that consists of the Dirac fermion coupled to the gauge field. The result of this integration is [16]:

\[ S_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \int \{ i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \eta \nabla_\mu \psi - i [\nabla_\mu \bar{\psi}] \eta \gamma^\mu \psi \} d^4 x - \frac{3}{32 M_f^2} \int \{ V^2 \theta^2 - A^2 \eta^2 \} d^4 x \]  

(3.3)

Here we have defined \( V_\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi, \ A_\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma_\mu \psi \). The four fermion effective action appears (see also [17, 18, 19]).

The next step is the consideration of the fermions coupled to the gauge field of Lorentz group with action \( S_T + S_G \). This theory may appear to be renormalizable due to the presence of terms quadratic in curvature [25, 3]. The effective charges entering the term of the action with the derivative of torsion depend on the ratio \( \varepsilon / \Lambda_X \), where \( \varepsilon \) is the energy scale of the considered physical process. We suppose that under certain circumstances running coupling constants \( \beta_i (\varepsilon / \Lambda_X) \) are decreased with the decrease of \( \varepsilon \). Due to the existence of \( S_T \) in the action we do not expect confinement, and at small enough energies \( \varepsilon \ll \Lambda_X \) it is possible to neglect \( S_G \).
4. Chiral symmetry breaking

We restrict ourselves with the one-loop analysis of the effective NJL model. This is based on the assumption that due to a certain symmetry the dominant divergencies that appear at more than one loop are exactly cancelled by contribution of the trans-\(\Lambda_X\) degrees of freedom of the microscopic theory \([14,15]\). As a result of the cancellation we are able to use the one-loop gap equation for the consideration of the chiral symmetry breaking.

Let us apply Fierz transformation to the four fermion term of (3.3):

\[ S_4 = \frac{3}{32M_T^2} \int \left\{ -\theta^2(\bar{\psi}^{\alpha} \gamma^a \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^{\beta} \gamma^b \psi^b) \right\} d^4x + \eta^2(\bar{\psi}^{\alpha} \gamma^5 \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^{\beta} \gamma^5 \psi^b) \right\} d^4x \]

\[ = \frac{3}{32M_T^2} \int \left\{ 4(\eta^2 + \theta^2)(\bar{\psi}^{\alpha}_L \psi^a_R)(\bar{\psi}^{\beta}_R \psi^b_L) + (\eta^2 - \theta^2)(\bar{\psi}^{\alpha}_L \gamma^5 \psi^a_L)(\bar{\psi}^{\beta}_R \gamma^5 \psi^b_R) \right\} d^4x \]

\[ + (L \leftarrow R) \right\} d^4x \] (4.1)

In this form the action is equal to that of the extended NJL model (see Eq. (4), Eq. (5), Eq. (6) of \([22]\)). In the absence of the other gauge fields the \(SU(N)_L \otimes SU(N)_R\) symmetry is broken down to \(SU(N)_V\) (here \(N\) is the total number of fermions). We suppose that the Electroweak interactions act as a perturbation. We denote \(G_S = \frac{3(\theta^2 + \eta^2)\Lambda^2}{8M_T^2\pi^2}\). Here \(\Lambda\) is the cutoff that is now the physical parameter of the model. The gap equation is

\[ m \approx G_S m(1 - \frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}), \quad (\Lambda \gg m) \] (4.2)

There exists the critical value of \(G_S\): at \(G_S > 1\) the gap equation has the nonzero solution for \(m\) while for \(G_S < 1\) it has not. The analogue of the technipion decay constant \(F_T\) in the given approximation is \(F_T^2 \approx \frac{m^2}{8\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\). In order to have appropriate values of \(W\) and \(Z\)-boson masses we need \(F_T \approx 250/\sqrt{N}\) Gev, where \(N = 24\) is the total number of fermions. Rough estimate with \(m = 174\) GeV (top quark mass) gives the value \(\Lambda \sim 5\) TeV. At the same time if only one \(t\) quark contributes to the formation of the gauge boson masses we would have \(\Lambda \sim 10^{15}\) GeV. In order to avoid the constraints on the FCNC we need \(\Lambda \geq 1000\) TeV.

5. Lattice discretization

It is implied that the Wick rotation is performed. Therefore, we deal with the Euclidean theory and with the gauge group \(SO(4)\) instead of \(SO(3,1)\). The \(SO(4)\) connection is attached to links. The curvature is localized on the plaquettes. The model to be discretized has the action \(S = S_f + S_T + S_G\), where the different terms are given by Eq. (3.1), Eq. (2.1), Eq. (2.2). The lattice discretized action for the fermions is similar to that of suggested in \([20]\) (we performed the rotation to the Euclidean signature of space-time):

\[ S_f = \sum_{xy} \{ \psi^+_L \gamma^\nu \psi^\nu_L + \psi^+_R \gamma^\nu \psi^\nu_R \}, \] (5.1)

where

\[ H_L = \sum_k \left( \eta \left\{ U^+_R \gamma_k \delta_{-e_k,y} - \bar{\tau}^k U_L \delta_{x-e_k,y} \right\} - i \theta \left\{ U^+_R \gamma_k \delta_{-e_k,y} + \bar{\tau}^k U_L \delta_{x+e_k,y} - 2 \bar{\tau}^k \delta_{x,y} \right\} \right) \]
\[ H_R = \sum_k \left( \eta\{U_{L,x}^+ \tau^4 \delta_+ - \tau^k U_{R,y} \delta_{x+e_4,y} \} - i\theta\{U_{L,x}^+ \tau^4 \delta_- - \tau^k U_{R,y} \delta_{x+e_4,y} \} \right) \]

\[ \tau^4 = \tilde{\tau}^4 = -i, \quad \tau^a = -\bar{\tau}^a = \sigma^a(a = 1, 2, 3) \]  

Here the link matrix is \( U_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{L,xy} & 0 \\ 0 & U_{R,xy} \end{pmatrix} \), \( U_L, U_R \in SU(2) \). The gauge symmetry is broken by the lattice discretization, and is restored in the continuum limit, when the invariance under local \( SO(4) \) coordinate transformations comes back. The fermion action can also be rewritten in the following way:

\[ S_f = \sum_{xy} \bar{\psi}_x^{+} \Gamma^4 \mathcal{H}_L^{xy} \psi_y, \quad \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_R \\ H_L^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_L^+ = H_R \]  

(5.3)

For \( \theta \neq 0 \) in the absence of the gauge field the given discretization gives no doublers just like the Wilson formulation of lattice fermions.

Next, let us introduce the definition of lattice \( SO(4) \) curvature. It corresponds to the closed path along the boundary of the given plaquette started from the given lattice site \( x \). Therefore, it is marked by the position of the lattice site \( x \) and the couple of the directions in space - time \( n, j \).

\[ \varrho_{x,n,j}^{nk} = -\varrho_{x,n,j}^{kn} = i \text{sign}(n) \text{sign}(j) \left( \text{Tr}(U_{L,x,n,j} - U_{L,x,n,j}^+) \sigma^k - \text{Tr}(U_{R,x,n,j} - U_{R,x,n,j}^+) \sigma^j \right) \]

\[ \varrho_{x,n,j}^{kj} = i \text{sign}(n) \text{sign}(j) \varepsilon^{kjm} \left( \text{Tr}(U_{L,x,n,j} - U_{L,x,n,j}^+) \sigma^m + \text{Tr}(U_{R,x,n,j} - U_{R,x,n,j}^+) \sigma^m \right) \]  

(5.4)

Here \( n, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4 \). Positive sign corresponds to the positive direction while negative sign corresponds to the negative direction in \( 4D \) space - time. The plaquette variables obtained by the product of link matrices along the boundary of the plaquette located in \( n, j \) plane (starting from the point \( x \)) are denoted by \( U_{R,x,n,j} \) and \( U_{R,x,n,j} \). Contraction of indices results in the definition of lattice Ricci tensor \( \mathcal{R} \) and the lattice scalar curvature \( \mathcal{S} \). Both these quantities are also attached to the closed paths around the boundaries of the plaquettes and are marked by \( x, n, j \).

\[ \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j}^k = \varrho_{x,n,j}^{k|n|}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{x,n,j} = \varrho_{x,n,j}^{|j|} \]  

(5.5)

For the part of the action linear in curvature we have \( S_T = -\kappa \sum_n \sum_{j=\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4} \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j} \). Analogue of quantity \( A \) is given by \( \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} = \sum_{kl} \varepsilon^{[n][k]} \varrho_{x,n,j}^{[l]} \). Contraction of indices in quadratic expressions results in the following combinations:

\[ Q_{x}^{(1)} = \sum_{k,l,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j}^{|k|} \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j}^{[l]} \], \quad \mathcal{Q}_{x}^{(2)} = \sum_{k,l,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j}^{[k]} \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j}^{|l|} \], \quad \mathcal{Q}_{x}^{(3)} = \sum_{k,n,j} \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j}^{[k]} \mathcal{R}_{x,n,j}^{[k]} \]

\[ Q_{x}^{(4)} = \sum_{k,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j}^{[k]} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,k} \], \quad \mathcal{Q}_{x}^{(5)} = \sum_{n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \], \quad \mathcal{Q}_{x}^{(6)} = \sum_{n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \mathcal{A}_{x,n,j} \]  

(5.6)

(The sum is over positive and negative values of \( n, j, k, l \).) Finally, the term in the action quadratic in curvature has the form [21]:

\[ S_G = \sum_x \sum_{i=1}^{6} \beta_i Q_x^{(i)} \]  

(5.7)
Our main expectation is that in this theory the chiral symmetry is broken dynamically while
the confinement is absent. The main quantities to be measured are the static potential extracted
from the Polyakov loops and the chiral condensate. The chiral condensate $\chi$ may be calculated
using the Banks - Casher relation
$$\chi = -\frac{\pi}{V} \langle \nu(0) \rangle,$$
where $\nu(\lambda)$ is the density of eigenvalues of the
operator $H$ given by Eq. (5.3), $V$ is the 4D volume. The potential $V_{LL}(R) = V_{RL}(R)$ between
the static (either left-handed or right-handed) fermion and anti-fermion is defined through the
relation
$$\exp(-V_{LL}(|x-y|)T) = \langle \mathcal{P}_L(x) \mathcal{P}_R(y) \rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{P}_L(x) = \text{Tr} \left( \Pi_{K=0,...,T-1} U_{L,x+K e_4,x+(K+1)e_4} \right), \quad \mathcal{P}_R(y) = \text{Tr} \left( \Pi_{K=0,...,T-1} U_{R,x+K e_4,x+(K+1)e_4} \right)$$
Here $x_4 = y_4 = 0$, while $T$ is the lattice extent in time direction. The potential $V_{LR}(R) = V_{RL}(R)$
between the static fermion and anti-fermion of different chiralities is given by
$$\exp(-V_{LR}(|x-y|)T) = \langle \mathcal{P}_L(x) \mathcal{P}_L(y) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_R(x) \mathcal{P}_R(y) \rangle$$

6. Conclusions

We have suggested the possible new scenario of the dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking.
In this scenario Lorentz group plays the role of the technicolor group. We do not introduce the
additional technifermions. Instead, the Higgs bosons are composed directly of the existing Standard
Model fermions. The chiral symmetry breaking may take place in the given model. This is
demonstrated in the approximation when the squared curvature gauge field action $S_G$ is neglected.
In the same approximation there is no confinement. This means, that in the complete theory with
$S_G$ taken into account the chiral symmetry breaking may take place in the absence of confinement.
If so, the chiral condensate provides masses for the electroweak gauge bosons while all fermions
have equal masses. However, small perturbations to this pattern may cause the difference between
the masses of fermions [13]. We suppose, that the observed massive Standard Model fermions may
appear in this way.

We have suggested the lattice discretization of the Euclidean version of the model with the
gauge field from $SO(4) \approx SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$. In lattice formulation the gauge invariance is lost and
is supposed to be restored in the continuum limit. In the presence of nonminimal interactions the
fermion doublers disappear. The Dirac operator is Hermitian, and the Banks - Casher relation can
be used in order to check the appearance of the chiral condensate.
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Zakharov for useful discussions. This work was partly supported by RFBR grant 11-02-01227, by
the Federal Special-Purpose Programme ‘Human Capital’ of the Russian Ministry of Science and
Education.
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