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Jiedu-Yizhi Formula Alleviates Neuroinflammation in AD Rats by Modulating the Gut Microbiota
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Background. The Jiedu-Yizhi formula (JDYZF) is a Chinese herbal prescription used to treat Alzheimer’s disease (AD). It was previously confirmed that JDYZF can inhibit the expression of pyroptosis-related proteins in the hippocampus of AD rats and inhibit gut inflammation in AD rats. Therefore, it is hypothesized that JDYZF has a regulatory effect on the gut microbiota.

Methods. In this study, an AD rat model was prepared by bilateral hippocampal injection of Aβ25-35 and AD rats received high, medium, and low doses of JDYZF orally for 8 weeks. The body weights of the AD rats were observed to assess the effect of JDYZF. The 16S rRNA sequencing technique was used to study the regulation of the gut microbiota by JDYZF in AD rats. Immunohistochemical staining was used to observe the expression levels of Caspase-1 and Caspase-11 in the hippocampus.

Results. JDYZF reduced body weight in AD rats, and this effect may be related to JDYZF regulating body-weight-related gut microbes. The 16S rRNA analysis showed that JDYZF increased the diversity of the gut microbiota in AD rats. At the phylum level, JDYZF increased the abundances of Bacteroidota and Actinobacteriota and decreased the abundances of Firmicutes, Campylobacterota, and Desulfbacterota. At the genus level, the abundances of Lactobacillus, Prevotella, Bacteroides, Christensenellaceae_R-7_group, Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group, and Blautia were increased and the abundances of Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136-group, Anaerobiospirillum, Turicibacter, Oscillibacter, Desulfovibrio, Helicobacter, and Intestinimonas were decreased. At the species level, the abundances of Lactobacillus johnsonii, Lactobacillus reuteri, and Lactobacillus faecis were increased and the abundances of Helicobacter rodentium and Ruminococcus_sp_N15.MGS-57 were decreased. Immunohistochemistry showed that JDYZF reduced the levels of Caspase-1- and Caspase-11-positive staining.

Conclusion. JDYZF has a regulatory effect on the gut microbiota of AD rats, which may represent the basis for the anti-inflammatory effect of JDYZF.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) can cause damage to cognitive domains such as memory, visuospatial processing, language, and personality and is the most common cause of dementia [1]. Worldwide, greater than 9.9 million new cases of AD are diagnosed each year, and 131.0 million people will suffer from AD by the middle of this century [2, 3]. In the past 20 years, the number of deaths due to AD has increased by 145%. In 2019 alone, approximately 1,300,000 people died of AD in the United States. AD has become the biggest killer of human life and health after cerebrovascular diseases and malignant tumours. The worldwide spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic has increased the number of AD deaths [4]. The five drugs currently approved to treat AD improve the clinical symptoms of AD only for a period of time, and aducanumab, a new drug developed to treat the pathological β-amyloid (Aβ) deposition of AD typically observed in the clinic, has uncertain clinical efficacy [5, 6]. Therefore, it is particularly important to develop...
alternative medicines and therapies based on other theories of AD pathogenesis.

In long-term studies, Aβ overexpression and deposition are considered to be the culprit of AD. However, it cannot be ignored that Aβ is first and foremost an antimicrobial peptide, and its production is related to the response of nerve cells to infectious agents [7, 8]. For example, immunocompromised elderly individuals are susceptible to Chlamydia pneumoniae infection, and Chlamydia pneumoniae DNA is detected in 90% of AD patient’s brain autopsy specimens. In addition, live Chlamydia pneumoniae has been isolated. How Chlamydia enters the brain is uncertain. Existing studies have confirmed that Chlamydia pneumoniae can infect peripheral nerves, such as the olfactory nerve and trigeminal nerve, and enter directly into the brain from the nasal cavity, and a large amount of Aβ can be induced and deposited within a short time after the emergence of Chlamydia pneumoniae in the brain. If peripheral infection persists, then this feedback will continue to occur, resulting in the overexpression and deposition of Aβ as well as the overactivation of microglia and the overproduction of inflammatory factors and reactive oxygen species [9]. This process subsequently creates an opportunity for the occurrence of AD. Central lesions resulting from gut microbiota disturbances follow a similar pattern. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are mainly produced by gut microbes and are involved in maintaining the integrity of the intestinal mucosal barrier and blood-brain barrier (BBB). Intestinal disorders during ageing can lead to a decrease in the level of SCFAs, resulting in a “leaky gut.” In this context, many immunogenic substances will then take the opportunity to cross the BBB and enter the brain, causing Aβ overexpression to induce neuronal death [10]. SCFAs are also involved in mediating the ability of microglia to respond to stimuli, and a reduction in SCFAs levels will lead to the release of more proinflammatory factors and cytokotoxins by microglia, which promotes further central inflammation [11]. In addition, experiments have confirmed that Aβ oligomers can migrate from the gut to the brain [12]. In addition, a large number of gut-resident bacteria and fungi can produce bacterial amyloids, although they do not share amino acid sequences with human Aβ. However, when the BBB is damaged, Toll-like receptors, RAGE receptors, and NOD-like receptors also recognize these bacterial amyloids when they enter the brain and induce microglia to release a large number of proinflammatory factors; thus, the brain is under the threat of chronic inflammation [13]. In addition, disturbances in the gut microbiota can lead to an increase in the production of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and the involvement of LPS in destroying the intestinal mucosa worsens the “leaky gut” effect [14]. LPS can also circulate into the brain, stimulate the activation of microglia, and induce an inflammatory response. It has been observed that the levels of LPS in the hippocampus and cortex of AD patients are greater than those in healthy people [10]. Gut microbes are also producers of brain-derived neurotrophic factors and some neurotransmitters (such as acetylcholine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and serotonin). Disturbed gut microbiota can lead to a decrease in the expression levels of these substances involved in normal cognitive function [15, 16]. These data on the disturbance of the gut microbiota seem to provide a perfect explanation of the mechanism underlying the pathogenesis of AD. Adjusting the disturbance of the gut microbiota can improve the cognitive function of AD patients in many ways, and current research has found that some substances and treatment methods have this effect. For example, mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) can reduce the abundance of Helicobacter in the gut of the 5XFAD transgenic AD mice, increase the abundance of Lactobacillus and some butyrate-producing microorganisms, and increase the expression of SCFAs. It may be related to the reduced Aβ deposition and downregulated inflammatory levels in the brain of AD mice [3]. L-Arginine and limonoids can increase the gut microbial diversity of App< NL-G-F> knock-in AD mice, thereby improving neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration [17]. Electroacupuncture in Baihui (GV20) and Yintang (GV29) of SAMP8 mice can increase the abundance of Bacteroides in their gut, reduce the abundance of Clostridium, and increase the ratio of Bacteroides to Clostridium. This effect is related to the inhibition of peripheral and central inflammation [2]. Is there a similar effect of Chinese herbal prescription?

The Jiedu-Yizhi formula (JDYZF) is a special prescription for AD created by Ren Jixue, a master of traditional Chinese medicine, based on the “narrow deficiency and toxin damage” theory. In a previous study of AD rats, we found that JDYZF improved the cognitive impairment of AD rats induced by Aβ25–35, reduced the expression levels of Aβ and pyroptosis-related proteins in the hippocampus of AD rats, and downregulated the expression levels of Aβ1–42, interleukin (IL)-1β, and IL-18 in the hippocampus and cortex, thereby reducing neuroinflammation. In addition, JDYZF downregulates the expression levels of Aβ1–42, IL-1β, and IL-18 in the gut [18], so we hypothesize that JDYZF may regulate the gut microbiota of AD rats. Based on the above observations, in this study, we continued to use the AD rat model created by bilateral hippocampal injection of Aβ25–35 as the observation object to explore the effect of JDYZF on the gut microbiota of AD rats and analyse whether it is the basis for its neuroprotective effect.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Yisi Experimental Animal Technology Co., Ltd. (Changchun, China), provided adult male SD rats (weight: 200–220 g). The animals were allowed to drink water and eat freely during the feeding and research period. The ambient temperature was 25 ± 3°C, the relative humidity was 55 ± 5%, and a 12-hour light-dark cycle was followed. The animal experiment procedure was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Changchun University of Chinese Medicine (no. 2021207).

2.2. Preparation of the Aβ25–35 Oligomers and Modelling. We dissolved 1mg Aβ25–35 (A4559, Sigma) dry powder in 500 ul of 0.9% normal saline to prepare a 2 μg/ul solution and incubated for 7 days in a 37°C incubator after sonication,
and the incubated \( \text{A}\beta_{25-35} \) oligomers were flocculent and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for later use. Sodium pentobarbital was selected as an anaesthetic for rats, and we used a brain stereotaxic apparatus to fix and mark the coordinates of rats’ heads. We prepared the head skin of the rats and drilled two holes (coordinates: 3 mm below the bregma and 2 mm on both sides of the midline) in the skull with a dental drill. We absorbed 5 ul of \( \text{A}\beta_{25-35} \) solution with a microlitre syringe and fixed it on the injection frame of the brain stereotaxic apparatus. We manipulated the instrument to lower the injection needle and probe into the hole, pierced the subdural by 2.6 mm, slowly injected the solution within 5 minutes, stopped for 15 minutes after the injection, and slowly withdrew the needle within 5 minutes. The skull hole was closed with paraffin, and the injection procedure was the same on the other side. After 7 days of injection, surviving rats can become AD models [19, 20].

2.3. Preparation of the JDYZF Decoction. JDYZF includes Coptis chinensis Franch (Ranunculaceae), wine-treated Rheum palmatum L (Polygonaceae), Ligusticum striatum DC (Asteaceae), Geosaurus (Pheretima aspergillum), Carapax Testudinis paste (Chinemys reevesii (Gray)), Corunnus officinalis Siebold & Zucc (Caponaceae), and Alpinia oxyphylla Miq (Zingiberaceae). According to the ratio of 1:1:1:1:1:2:1, the purchased herbal medicine was required for gavage for eight weeks. After two decoctions, the obtained liquid was concentrated to 1.0 g/mL and stored at –20°C.

2.4. Animal Grouping and Treatment. SD rats in the same batch were taken as the control group (CG), and the model rats were randomly divided into the model group (MG), donepezil hydrochloride group (PG), and JDYZF low-dose group (JDYZ.L), middle-dose group (JDYZ.M), and high-dose group (JDYZ.H); the number of rats was 9, 10, 10, 11, 11, and 11 in each group. The CG group and the MG group were given normal saline at a dose of 1 ml/100 g; the PG group was given the donepezil hydrochloride (Eisai, H20050978) suspension at a dose of 0.9 mg/kg, and the three groups of JDYZ.L, JDYZ.M, and JDYZ.H were given the drug solution of JDYZF by gavage at the doses of 3.6 g/kg, 7.2 g/kg, and 14.4 g/kg, respectively. All rats were administered the doses intragastrically once daily for 8 weeks.

2.5. Data and Sample Collection. The body weight of the rats was measured once after purchase and once every other week during the gavage. Eight weeks after gavage, the rat faeces were collected with disposable sterile medical forceps, put into cryopreservation tubes, and sealed with paraffilm. All sampling times were kept within 2 hours and then stored in a –80°C refrigerator to avoid repeated freezing and thawing. Anaesthetized rats with sodium pentobarbital and hippocampal tissue were taken quickly, put into liquid nitrogen, and then stored in a –80°C refrigerator.

2.6. Faecal 16S rRNA Sequencing. The total genomic DNA of the sample was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method, and the DNA was diluted to 1 ng/ul with sterile water. The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using barcoded specific primers (341F:GCCCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG, 806R: GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT). All PCR experiments were performed using 15 μL of Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs). The same volume of 1X loading buffer (containing SYB green) was mixed with the PCR product and detected by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. PCR products were mixed in equidensity ratios, and the mixed PCR products were purified with a Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). After generating sequencing libraries using the TruSeq® DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, USA), the library quality was assessed with the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Scientific) and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system and finally sequenced with the Illumina NovaSeq platform to generate 250-bp paired-end reads.

2.7. Gut Microbiota Analysis. After subtracting the barcodes and primer sequences of paired-end reads, the FLASH tool was used to splice the reads of each sample [21], and after quality filtering was performed according to the quality control process of Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) [22], the UCHIME algorithm was used to detect and remove chimaeras and to finally obtain the effective tags [23, 24]. Effective tags were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 97% consistency using the UPARSELE algorithm [25]. Species annotation analysis was performed with the SILVA database to obtain taxonomic information [26], and at each taxonomic level, phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species were used to assess the community composition of each sample. QIIME software and R software were used to calculate and plot various conventional alpha-diversity and beta-diversity values. The differences between groups in the diversity index were analysed using Tukey’s test and the Wilcoxon test of the R software package. Metastats analysis was performed at each classification level using R software, and the p value was corrected to obtain the q value. The linear discriminant analysis effect size (LESe) method was performed using LESe software, and the default setting of the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score filter value was 4.

2.8. Immunohistochemistry. 4um sections of the hippocampus were made, and immunohistochemical staining was performed according to the conventional method [27]. The primary antibodies were Caspase-1 (1:100, Novus, USA) and Caspase-11 (1:100, Novus, USA). Cytation 5 (BioTek, USA) image reader was used to observe and take pictures, and Image J was used to analyse the average optical density (AOD).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. The body weight of rats and immunohistochemical data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation, and one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) with SPSS 25 software was used to compare the differences among multiple groups. Analysis of
The weight of the MG, PG, JDYZ.H, and JDYZ.M groups was significantly higher than that of the CG group \((p = 0.002, p = 0.004, p = 0.001, \text{ and } p = 0.000)\). No significant differences were noted among the other four groups. The body weight of JDYZ.L group was less than that of the MG group \((p = 0.02)\) and was not significantly different from that of the CG group. After 8 weeks of gavage, the body weight of the CG group was the lowest, the body weights of the MG and JDYZ.H groups were higher than those of the CG group \((p = 0.005, p = 0.01)\), and the body weights of the PG, JDYZ.M, and JDYZ.L groups were higher than those of the CG group, but the difference was not significant (Table 1 and Figure 1(a)).

3.2. Effects of JDYZF on 16S rRNA in the Gut Microbiota in AD Rats. A total of 62 rat faecal samples were sequenced to obtain raw paired-end reads (Raw PE), splicing raw PE, filtering low-quality and short-length sequences, and filtering chimaeras to obtain effective tags for analysis. Among them, the average numbers of raw PE and effective tags in the CG group were 96,538 and 60,523; 94,723 and 58,760 in the MG group; 98,760 and 60,906 in the PG group; 97,921 and 59,599 in the JDYZ.H group; 100,802 and 62,441 in the JDYZ.M group; and 104,206 and 64,347 in the JDYZ.L group, respectively. The average length (AvgLen) of all effective tags was 415 bp (Table 2).

3.3. OTU Analysis of the Gut Microbiota in AD Rats. According to the OTUs obtained by clustering, the common and unique OTUs among different groups were analysed and a petal diagram was drawn after normalization. The results revealed 954 common OTUs in all groups, 138 unique OTUs in the CG group, 104 unique OTUs in the MG group, 109 in the PG group, 107 in the JDYZ.H group, 352 in the JDYZ.M group, and 510 in the JDYZ.L group (Figure 1(b)). According to the species annotation results, the top 10 species in each group at the genus and species taxonomic levels were selected to generate a column accumulation chart of relative abundance. The results showed that \(g\_lactobacillus\) and \(g\_prevotella\) were more abundant in each group at the genus level (Figure 1(c)) and \(s\_lactobacillus-murinus, s\_ralstonia-pickettii, \text{ and } s\_lactobacillus-johnsonii\) were more abundant at the species level (Figure 1(d)). In addition, proportional differences were noted. The genus-level species evolutionary relationship diagram shows the differences in each group of genus-level species in another form and clarifies the evolutionary relationship of genus-level species. Here, the colours of branches and sectors indicate their corresponding phyla, and the stacked column outside the fan ring shows the abundance information of the genus in different groups (Figure 1(e)).

### Table 1: The body weight of the rats in each group after purchase and after gavage for one week and eight weeks.

| W        | n   | After purchase | Gavage for 1 week | Gavage for 8 weeks |
|----------|-----|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| JDYZ.L   | 11  | 220.50 ± 6.51  | 357.00 ± 45.47\*  | 467.49 ± 27.41    |
| JDYZ.M   | 11  | 220.51 ± 6.97  | 413.92 ± 32.57\*  | 481.16 ± 38.61    |
| JDYZ.H   | 11  | 219.34 ± 8.82  | 405.69 ± 42.40\*  | 493.45 ± 47.64\*  |
| PG       | 10  | 219.61 ± 10.71 | 394.40 ± 38.30\*  | 480.36 ± 43.00    |
| MG       | 10  | 220.10 ± 8.07  | 399.18 ± 31.13    | 499.63 ± 36.39    |
| CG       | 9   | 219.62 ± 7.93  | 338.76 ± 51.67\** | 443.84 ± 54.30\** |

Compared with the MG group, \(* p < 0.05 \text{ and } ** p < 0.01; \text{ compared with the CG group, } \ast p < 0.05 \text{ and } \ast\ast p < 0.01.\)

3.4. Alpha-Diversity Analysis. As shown in Figure 2(a), the rarefaction curve tends to be flat. This finding indicates that as the amount of sequencing data increases, the number of new OTUs will not increase significantly. As shown in Figure 2(b), in the species accumulation boxplot, with the increase in the number of samples, the increase in species diversity also tended to be moderate. These two figures show that the amount of sequencing data and the number of samples in this study are basically reasonable. The differences in the alpha-diversity index of the samples in each group were analysed. The Shannon index of the MG group was greater than that of the CG group \((p = 0.0002)\), while those of the PG and JDYZ.H groups were higher than that of the MG group, but the difference was not statistically significant; those of the JDYZ.M and JDYZ.L groups were significantly higher than those of the CG group \((p = 0.0002, p < 0.0001)\), and that of the JDYZ.L group was higher than that of the MG group, but there was no statistical significance. Regarding the Chao1 index, compared with the CG group, the MG group had no statistical difference. Compared with the MG group, the index of JDYZ.M and JDYZ.L groups increased, but there was no statistical difference and the index of both groups was significantly higher than that of the CG group \((p < 0.0001 \text{ and } p < 0.0001)\) (Figure 2(c)).

3.5. Beta-Diversity Analysis. Principal component analysis (PCoA) was performed based on the weighted UniFrac distance, and three principal coordinates, PC1, PC2, and PC3, that describe 31.36%, 22.16%, and 8.42% of the total variation in the original sample, respectively, were selected to generate a three-dimensional PCoA map. As shown in Figure 2(d), samples from each group formed intragroup
Figure 1: Continued.
aggregates and had clear boundaries with other groups, indicating that the structure of the gut microbiota in each group had changed.

3.6. Species Analysis of Differences between Groups. Starting from the species abundance table at the phylum, genus, and species classification levels, the MetaStat method was used to screen for species with significant differences in each group and the abundance boxplot was drawn. At the phylum level, the abundance of \textit{p\_Firmicutes} in the MG group was lower than that in the CG group, but there was no statistical difference, and the PG, JDYZ.H, and JDYZ.M groups had a lower abundance of \textit{p\_Firmicutes} than the MG group (\(q = 0.011\), \(q = 0.008\), \(q = 0.011\)), while that of the JDYZ.L group had no significant difference from those of the CG and MG groups (Figure 3(a)).

Regarding \textit{p\_Bacteroidota} abundance, that of the MG group was lower than that of the CG group and those of the PG and JDYZ.H, JDYZ.M, and JDYZ.L groups were higher than those of the MG group (\(q = 0.011\), \(q = 0.008\), \(q = 0.011\), \(q = 0.019\)) (Figure 3(b)). The abundance of \textit{p\_Unidentified\_Bacteria}, \textit{p\_Campylobacterota}, and \textit{p\_Desulfobacterota} was increased in the MG group compared with the CG group. The levels in all four treatment groups were lower than those of the MG group, among which the JDYZ.L group had the most significant decrease (\(q = 0.003\), \(q = 0.003\), \(q = 0.015\)) (Figures 3(c), 3(e), and 3(f)). \textit{P\_Actinobacteriota} abundance in the MG group was not significantly different from that of the CG group; however, increased levels were noted in the JDYZ.L group compared with the MG group (\(q = 0.012\)) (Figure 3(d)).

At the genus level, the abundances of \textit{g\_lactobacillus} and \textit{g\_bacteroides} in the MG group were lower than those in the CG group (\(q = 0.026\), \(q = 0.026\)), whereas the JDYZF groups had higher abundances than the MG group with the levels noted in the JDYZ.L group being the most significant (\(q = 0.042\), \(q = 0.0038\)) (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). Levels of \textit{g\_Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136\_group}, \textit{g\_Desulfovibrio}, \textit{g\_Helicobacter}, \textit{g\_Intestinimonas}, and \textit{g\_Prevotellaceae\_Ga6A1\_group} were increased in the MG group compared with the CG group (\(q = 0.026\), \(q = 0.047\), \(q = 0.047\), \(q = 0.026\), \(q = 0.037\)). The JDYZF group had lower abundances of these genera than those of the MG group, with the JDYZ.L group showing the most significant difference (\(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0067\), \(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0038\)) (Figures 4(c)–4(g)). Levels of \textit{g\_prevotella}, \textit{g\_Christensenellaceae\_R-7\_group}, and \textit{g\_Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group} were not significantly different in the MG group compared with the CG group (\(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0067\), \(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0038\), \(q = 0.0038\)).

![Phylum and Abundance](image-url)
with the CG group. The JDYZF groups had higher abundances of these genera than the MG group, and the levels in JDYZ.L group were the most significant ($q = 0.0038$, $q = 0.0038$, $q = 0.0038$) (Figures 4(h)–4(j)). Levels of g_Anaerobiospirillum, g_Turicibacter, and g_Oscillibacter abundances were not significantly different in the MG group.
compared with the CG group. The JDYZF groups had a lower abundance of these genera than the MG group, and levels noted in the JDYZL group were the most significant ($q = 0.0038$, $q = 0.0038$, $q = 0.0038$) (Figures 4(k)–4(m)). Regarding g_Blastia abundance, no significant differences were noted between the MG group and the CG group. The JDYZL group had a higher abundance of this genus than the MG group, but the difference was not significant (Figure 4(n)). Regarding g_Streptococcus abundance, there was no significant difference between the MG group and the CG group. The JDYZL group had a lower abundance of this genus than the MG group, but the difference was not significant (Figure 4(o)).

At the species level, s_Lactobacillus Johnsonii and s_Lactobacillus Reuteri abundances were lower in the MG group than in the CG group ($q = 0.041$, $q = 0.041$). The JDYZF groups had higher abundances of these species than the MG group, and the levels in the JDYZL group were the most significant ($q = 0.0042$, $q = 0.0042$) (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). The abundance of s_Lactobacillus Faecis in the MG group was lower than that in the CG group ($q = 0.041$). The JDYZL group had a higher abundance of this genus than the MG group, but the difference was not significant (Figure 5(c)). s_Helicobacter Rodentium and s_Ruminococcus sp_N15. MGS-57 abundances were higher in the MG group than in the CG group, but the difference was not significant. The JDYZF groups had lower abundances of these two genera than the MG group, and the levels noted in the JDYZL group were the most significant ($q = 0.0042$, $q = 0.011$) (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)).

Using the LEfSe tool to compare multiple groups, the results showed that f_Lactobacillaceae, g_Lactobacillus, etc., were the dominant bacteria in the CG group; c_Clostridia, o_Lachnospirales, etc., were the dominant bacteria in the MG group; c_Bacteroidia, p_Bacteroidota, etc., were the dominant bacteria in the PG group; f_Muribaculaceae, f_Akkermaniaceae, etc., were the dominant bacteria in the JDYZH group; f_Burkholderiales, g_Saccharofermentans, etc., were the dominant bacteria in the JDYZM group; and g_Prevotella, g_Saccharofermentans, f_Hungateiclostridiaceae, o_unidentified_Clostridia, and s_Lactobacillus Johnsonii were the dominant bacteria in the JDYZL group (Figure 6).

### Table: Abundance Comparison

| Group    | k_Bacteria_p_Firmicutes | k_Bacteria_p_Bacteroidota | k_Bacteria_p_Actinobacterota | k_Bacteria_p_Campilobacterota | k_Bacteria_p_Desulfobacterota |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| JDYZ.L   | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |
| JDYZ.M   | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |
| JDYZ.H   | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |
| PG       | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |
| MG       | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |
| CG       | 0.005                   | 0.010                     | 0.015                        | 0.01                          | 0.02                          |

### Figure 3: Phyla with significant differences in abundance at the phylum level in each group of rats.

(a) p_Firmicutes; (b) p_Bacteroidota; (c) p_Unidentified_Bacteria; (d) p_Actinobacterota; (e) p_Campilobacterota; (f) p_Desulfobacterota. Comparison between the groups: *$q < 0.05$ and **$q < 0.01$.

### 3.7 JDYZF Reduces the Positive Reaction Degree of Caspase-1 and Caspase-11 in the Hippocampus of AD Rats

An immunohistochemical method was used to assess the positivity for Caspase-1 and Caspase-11 of the rat hippocampal tissue from each group. The results showed that the average optical density (AOD) of Caspase-1 and Caspase-11 in the hippocampal slices in the MG group was higher than that in the CG group ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.01$). The AOD of the PG, JDYZ.H, JDYZ.M,
Figure 4: Continued.
Figure 4: Genera with significant differences in abundance at the genus level in each group of rats. (a) *g_lactobacillus*; (b) *g_bacteroides*; (c) *g_Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136-group*; (d) *g_Desulfovibrionia*; (e) *g_Helicobacter*; (f) *g_Intestinimonas*; (g) *g_Prevotellaceae_Ga6A1_group*; (h) *g_prevotella*; (i) *g_Christensenellaceae_R-7_group*; (j) *g_Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group*; (k) *g_Anaerobiospirillum*; (l) *g_Turicibacter*; (m) *g_Oscillibacter*; (n) *g_blautia*; (o) *g_Streptococcus*. Comparison between the groups: *q < 0.05 and **q < 0.01.

and JDYZL groups was lower than that of the MG group, and the values noted in the JDYZL group were the most significant (p < 0.01, p < 0.01) (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)).

4. Discussion

Significantly different gut microbiota were observed in AD patients and normal subjects. It is difficult to say whether the impairment of central nervous system function caused by AD leads to the lack of brain regulation of the enteric nervous system first, which leads to changes in the gut microbial environment, or whether it is the imbalance of the gut microbiota caused by multiple factors that induces AD first. However, it is an established fact that the brain and gut microbiota significantly influence each other. Aβ25–35 hippocampal injection can induce AD and lead to impaired cognitive function in rats. In addition, this study found that hippocampal Aβ25–35 injection caused the species abundance and microbial structure of rats to deviate from those of normal rats; this deviation may be involved in the occurrence of cognitive impairment. JDYZF improved the cognitive impairment of AD rats and caused changes in the gut microbiota. Therefore, it is hypothesized that the regulation of the gut microbiota by JDYZF is closely related to the improvement of cognitive ability.

At the phylum level, JDYZF amplifies the decrease in the abundance of *p_Firmicutes* and simultaneously increases the abundance of *p_Bacteroidota*. Previous studies supported the result that the abundance of *p_Firmicutes* decreased and *p_Bacteroidota* increased in AD patients [28]. However, other studies have been published supporting a positive correlation between increased cognitive impairment and increased abundance of *p_Firmicutes* and decreased abundance of *p_Bacteroidota* [29, 30]. In addition, elevated levels of *p_Firmicutes* are responsible for obesity and higher TNF-α levels in the population [31, 32]. *P_Bacteroidota*, which has always been regarded as an opportunistic pathogen in AD, has increased abundance when probiotics are used to treat AD with curative effects [33–35]. Therefore, it is impossible to judge whether the changes in the abundance of *p_Firmicutes* and *p_Bacteroidota* have positive or negative effects on AD from a single perspective.

From the perspective of Chinese medicine, the main pathogenesis of AD is “narrow deficiency and toxin damage,” toxins mainly are composed of phlegm and blood stasis, and obesity is synonymous with the accumulation of phlegm and blood stasis in the body. *p_Firmicutes* and *p_Bacteroidota* abundances were positively and negatively correlated with obesity, respectively [36]. JDYZF reduces the abundance of *p_Firmicutes* and increases the abundance of *p_Bacteroidota*, which inhibits the occurrence of obesity, reduces the chance of phlegm and blood stasis, and is beneficial to AD brains attacked by toxins. In addition, rats treated with low-dose JDYZF had a higher abundance of *p_Actinobacteriota* than that of untreated AD rats, and these microbes can produce a large amount of SCFAs to repair the intestinal barrier and reduce the occurrence of “leaky gut” [37]. Its subordinate *S_Bifidobacterium* can reduce the amount of LPS transferred from the intestine to the serum, help reduce the occurrence of systemic chronic inflammation [38], and reduce the production of inflammatory cytokines to downregulate inflammation [39], which is undoubtedly beneficial to improve AD. JDYZF also reduced the abundance of *p_Campylobacterota*, a phylum that causes bacterial diarrhoea and multiple systemic infections [40]. For AD, a disease with background inflammation, it is a risk factor and the inhibition of *p_Campylobacterota* by JDYZF has a positive effect.

At the genus level, JDYZF increased the abundances of *g_lactobacillus*, *g_prevotella*, *g_bacteroides*, *g_Christensenellaceae_R-7_group*, *g_Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group*, and *g_blautia*. Among them, *g_lactobacillus* is important for maintaining gut homeostasis. Studies have shown that the abundance of *Lactobacillus* in the gut of AD patients is reduced [41] and AD can be improved by supplementation with *Lactobacillus* [42]. This finding may be related to the
fact that Lactobacillus can reduce the deposition of Aβ in the brain, upregulate the levels of acetylcholine and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and inhibit the inflammatory response in the brain [43, 44]. G. prevotella is an important producer of SCFAs involved in maintaining the integrity of the gut mucosal barrier, and its abundance is positively correlated with the level of BDNF in the blood, which has important effects on learning and memory [45]. G. bacteroideas is a potential probiotic that plays an important role in maintaining gut ecological balance, regulating lymphocyte and cytokine expression, controlling metabolism, and reducing inflammation and is closely related to neurodevelopment [46, 47]. G. Christensenellaceae, g. Rikenellaceae, and G. Blautia abundances were found to be negatively correlated with obesity and visceral fat content. In this study, these microbes may be involved in the effect of weight change in rats. In addition, they can antagonize gut inflammation, are markers of gut health, and are potential beneficial bacteria for AD with brain-gut interactions. More interestingly, G. Blautia can downregulate fasting blood glucose and glycosylated haemoglobin levels and participate in maintaining blood glucose homeostasis, and it is well known that diabetic glucose metabolism disorder plays an important role in the pathogenesis of AD and persists during AD. The subsequent effects of upregulation of G. Blautia abundance could be enormous. Berberine intake can specifically increase the abundance of G. Blautia. In addition, the main component of Coptis, one of the main medicines in JDYZF, is berberine, which explains why JDYZF upregulates the abundance of G. Blautia [48–51]. On the other hand, JDYZF also reduced the abundances of G. Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136-group, G. Anaerobiospirillum, G. Turicibacter, G. Oscillibacter, G. Desulfovibrio, G. Helicobacter, G. Intestinimonas, G. Streptococcus, and other genera. Among them, the biological role of the G. Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136 group is complex. This group is not only a producer of SCFAs but also a mediator of obesity and diabetes and an activator of inflammation [52]. Antiobesity treatment with resveratrol reduced the abundance of the Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136 group, and this process was accompanied by a reduction in gut inflammation and the repair of the gut barrier [53, 54]. The downregulation of this genus by JDYZF may also have a similar effect. G. Anaerobiospirillum is a potentially pathogenic bacterium that causes bacteraemia and gut infections with high mortality [55]. G. Turicibacter and G. Oscillibacter are closely related to immune diseases and gut inflammation [56, 57], and their abundances are elevated in patients with depression [58]. These bacteria may play more negative roles in gut-brain interaction processes. G. Desulfovibrio abundance is elevated in Parkinson’s disease patients, and it
produces a large amount of LPS to induce oligomerization and aggregation of α-synuclein [59]. Similarly, LPS-induced inflammation is also responsible for the oligomerization and aggregation of Aβ. In addition, g_Desulfovibrio abundance is elevated in anxiety and depression patients, which may be related to its role as a proinflammatory species that induces peripheral inflammation to cause stress to the brain [60]. Of course, it can also be considered that the peripheral inflammation induced by g_Desulfovibrio is one of the reasons for the background inflammation in AD. G_Helicobacter is the most studied pathogenic bacteria and is significantly related to gastrointestinal inflammation and ulcers. In research on the correlation between g_Helicobacter and AD, it was found that intraperitoneal injection of Helicobacter can directly induce the overexpression of Aβ and hyperphosphorylation of tau in the brains of rats; furthermore, the LPS produced by g_Helicobacter has been associated with autoimmune complications of neuropathy [61]. Studies of cocultures of human gastric cells MNK-28 with Hp peptide found that genes with AD characteristics, such as APP, APOE, PSEN1, and PSEN2, were activated in the cells. More than 70 genes were activated, of which 30 belong to the inflammatory pathway [62]. In addition, Helicobacter induced the overexpression of TNF-α and IL-1β in the periphery and mediated the damage to gastrointestinal epithelial cells and the BBB. Thus, TNF-α and IL-1β easily enter the brain [61]. The contribution of g_Helicobacter to AD was significant, and a low dose of JDYZF had a strong downregulating effect on this response. G_Intestinimonas is another genus that is positively associated with obesity [63], but it is controversial in terms of whether it promotes or inhibits inflammation. For example, an increase in the abundance of g_Intestinimonas was observed in Huntington’s disease, and it was positively correlated with IL-4 levels in the blood, demonstrating anti-inflammatory activity [64]. Some studies have also suggested that it has proinflammatory properties, which are manifested by inducing immune cells to produce excessive inflammatory mediators [65]. The colonization of Streptococcus sativa is positively correlated with obesity, diabetes, and diabetes-associated infections and can also cause primary multisystem inflammation, such as enteritis, pneumonia, and meningitis. Streptococcus is one of the most invasive bacterial genera in humans [66–68]. In addition, Streptococcus can enter the brain through the gut barrier and blood, leading to activation of microglia and overexpression of ROS and Aβ [69].

At the species level, JDYZF increased the abundances of s_Lactobacillus johnsonii, s_Lactobacillus_reuteri, and s_Lactobacillus_faecis but decreased the abundances of s_Helicobacter_rudimentum and s_Ruminococcus_sp_N15.MGS-57. S_Lactobacillus johnsonii is a high-level producer of acetic acid, butyric acid, and lactic acid, which can slow down the
consumption of SCFAs during infection by pathogenic bacteria [70]. A study aimed at intervening in memory impairment by enhancing gut health found that oral administration of \textit{s}_Lactobacillus johnsonii strains increased the abundance of \textit{g}_Lactobacillus in the gut and decreased the gene copy number of \textit{f}_Enterobacteriaceae to balance gut ecology, maintained the gut barrier by increasing the mRNA expression of tight junction proteins in the jejunum and ileum, and simultaneously downregulated the levels of TNF-α and hippocampal apoptosis and upregulated the expression level of BDNF, thereby reducing memory impairment [71]. Another experiment found that \textit{s}_Lactobacillus johnsonii pretreatment inhibited the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-κB signalling in a \textit{Salmonella} infantis-induced enteritis model [72]. These findings were confirmed in a \textit{Salmonella typhimurium}-induced enteritis cell model. \textit{s}_Lactobacillus johnsonii specifically inhibited the TLR4/NF-κB/NLRP3 inflammatory signalling pathway, thereby downregulating the levels of inflammatory factors, such as IL-6, IL-1β, IL-18, and TNF-α [73]. In addition, studies of feeding \textit{s}_Lactobacillus johnsonii to biologically bred diabetic-prone rats found that it can specifically mediate the self-cleavage of precursor Caspase-1 to mature Caspase-1 and reduce the expression levels of active Caspase-1 [74]. \textit{s}_Lactobacillus reuteri showed a similar role in maintaining the gut mucosal barrier as \textit{s}_Lactobacillus johnsonii [75]. In addition, oral administration of \textit{Lactobacillus reuteri} increased tryptophan metabolism and increased the level of the purine nucleoside adenosine, which can enhance tolerance to inflammatory stimuli [76], reduce the level of the inflammatory factor IL-1β [77], and upregulate the level of the immunosuppressive factor IL-10 [78]. \textit{s}_Lactobacillus faecis is a lactic acid producer, and studies on this bacterium are limited. However, \textit{s}_Lactobacillus faecis is closely related to \textit{s}_Lactobacillus murine based on gene sequence phylogenetic analysis [79, 80], and \textit{s}_Lactobacillus murine mediates the release of IL-10 by TLR2 receptors to restrict the inflammatory response [81]. Thus, \textit{s}_Lactobacillus faecis may represent a potential AD-modifying probiotic. \textit{S_Helicobacter rodentium} accounts for 78% of murine \textit{H. pylori} infections, which can lead to inflammation and even death when animals have reduced immunity [82]. In contrast, human \textit{H. pylori} can cause central nervous system damage and lead to AD [61]. There is no clear description related to \textit{s}_Ruminococcus sp_N15.MGS-57. However, \textit{s}_Ruminococcus gnavus, which belong to the same genus, are strongly associated with inflammatory gut disease, and their metabolites can induce...
the production of TNF-α. Thus, *s_Ruminococcus_sp_N15.MGS-57* may represent a potential proinflammatory species [83].

JDYZF has a two-way effect on the gut microbiota structure of AD rats, reducing the abundance of some opportunistic pathogens while increasing the abundance of probiotics, which amplifies the effect of probiotics on the improvement of AD cognitive function. Through query and analysis, it was found that the functions of these altered species were related to obesity, diabetes, and inflammatory gut disease (Table 3). These findings indicated that JDYZF alleviated the background inflammation that led to the occurrence and progression of AD by regulating the gut microbiota.

In addition, *s_Lactobacillus_johnsonii* exhibits a specific inhibitory effect on inflammasome activation, as confirmed by immunohistochemical staining for Caspase-1. JDYZF reduced the abundance of some LPS-producing genera. LPS can circulate into the brain to activate multiple receptors. Caspase-11 can recognize LPS to initiate pyroptosis. Immunohistochemical staining for Caspase-11 also indirectly demonstrated a decrease in the amount of LPS circulating in the brain. These results are consistent with the previous results of this experiment [18], explaining the root cause of the inhibitory effect of JDYZF on pyroptosis-related proteins and inflammation levels.

### 5. Conclusion

JDYZF has a modulating effect on the gut microbiota of AD rats, which may be the basis for the cognitive protective effect of JDYZF. This result adds another step to the explanation of the mechanism of JDYZF and contributes to a potentially useful method for alternative AD therapy.
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**Table 3: Potential associations of some of the modulated microorganisms in this study with obesity, diabetes, inflammatory gut disease, and other diseases.**

| No. | Microorganisms                  | Obesity | Diabetes | Inflammatory gut disease | Other peripheral inflammation | Gut barrier and BBB damage | References          |
|-----|---------------------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | *p_Firmicutes*                  | ↑       | P↑       | N                        | P↑                            | N                          | [32, 36, 84, 85]     |
| 2   | *p_Bacteroidota*                | ↓       | N        | N                        | N                             | N                          | [36, 84]             |
| 3   | *p_Actinobacteriota*            | P↑       | N        | ↓                        | P↑                            | ↓                          | [36, 38, 84]         |
| 4   | *p_Campylobacterota*            | N        | N        | ↑                        | ↑                             | N                          | [40]                 |
| 5   | *g_lactobacillus*               | ↓       | ↑        | ↓                        | P↑                            | ↓                          | [41, 86–89]          |
| 6   | *g_prevotella*                  | P↑       | C        | P↑                       | C                             | ↓                          | [45, 90–94]          |
| 7   | *g_bacteroides*                 | ↓       | ↓        | P↑                       | P↑                            | P↑                         | [46, 47, 95–97]      |
| 8   | *g_Christensenellaceae_R-7_group* | ↓       | P↑       | ↓                        | P↑                            | P↑                         | [48, 98–100]         |
| 9   | *g_Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group* | ↓       | P↑       | ↓                        | P↑                            | P↑                         | [49, 50, 101, 102]   |
| 10  | *g_Blaautia*                    | ↓       | ↓        | ↓                        | ↓                             | ↓                          | [51, 103]            |
| 11  | *g_Lachnospiraceae-NK4A136-group* | P↑       | P↑       | P↑                       | P↑                            | P↑                         | [52–54]              |
| 12  | *g_Anaerobiospirillum*           | P↑       | N        | ↑                        | ↑                             | N                          | [55, 104]            |
| 13  | *g_Turicibacter*                | C        | P↑       | P↑                       | P↑                            | P↑                         | [56, 105–108]        |
| 14  | *g_Oscillibacter*               | C        | C        | ↑                        | C                             | P↑                         | [57, 109–115]        |
| 15  | *g_Desulfovibrio*               | ↑        | P↑       | ↑                        | P↑                            | ↑                          | [60, 93, 116–118]    |
| 16  | *g_Helicobacter*                | ↑        | ↑        | ↑                        | ↑                             | ↑                          | [61, 119]            |
| 17  | *g_Intestinimonas*              | ↑        | P↑       | ↑                        | ↑                             | ↑                          | [63, 64, 120–122]    |
| 18  | *g_Streptococcus*               | ↑        | ↑        | ↑                        | ↑                             | ↑                          | [66–69, 84]          |
| 19  | *s_Lactobacillus_johnsonii*     | ↓        | ↓        | ↓                        | ↓                             | ↓                          | [71–74, 123]         |
| 20  | *s_Lactobacillus_reuteri*       | C        | ↓        | ↓                        | ↓                             | ↓                          | [75, 77, 124, 125]   |
| 21  | *s_Lactobacillus_faecis*        | N        | N        | P↑                       | P↑                            | P↑                         | [80, 81]             |
| 22  | *s_Helicobacter_rodentium*      | P↑       | P↑       | ↑                        | ↑                             | P↑                         | [61, 82, 119]        |
| 23  | *s_Ruminococcus_sp_N15.MGS-57*  | P↑       | P↑       | P↑                       | P↑                            | C                          | [63, 83, 96, 126, 127]|

Note: ↑/↓: positive/negative effects on the occurrence of disease; P↑/P↓: possibly positive/possibly negative effects on the occurrence of disease; N: not sure yet; C: controversial.
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