Self-Monitoring of Spontaneous Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior to Prevent Weight Regain in Older Adults
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Objective: The objective was to determine whether adding a self-regulatory intervention (SRI) focused on self-monitoring of spontaneous physical activity (SPA) and sedentary behavior to a standard weight loss intervention improved maintenance of lost weight.

Methods: Older (65-79 years), obese (BMI $\geq$ 30-40 kg/m$^2$) adults ($n$ = 48) were randomized to a 5-month weight loss intervention involving a hypocaloric diet (DIET) and aerobic exercise (EX) with or without the SRI to promote SPA and decrease sedentary behavior (SRI + DIET + EX compared with DIET + EX). Following the weight loss phase, both groups transitioned to self-selected diet and exercise behavior during a 5-month follow-up. Throughout the 10-months, the SRI + DIET + EX group utilized real-time accelerometer feedback for self-monitoring.

Results: There was an overall group by time effect of the SRI ($P < 0.01$); DIET + EX lost less weight and regained more weight than SRI + DIET + EX. The average weight regain during follow-up was 1.3 kg less in the SRI + DIET + EX group. Individuals in this group maintained approximately 10% lower weight than baseline compared with those in the DIET + EX group whom maintained approximately 5% lower weight than baseline.

Conclusions: Addition of a SRI, designed to increase SPA and decrease sedentary behavior, to a standard weight loss intervention enhanced successful maintenance of lost weight.
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Introduction

The chronic disease and decreased mobility associated with obesity underscore the need to successfully treat the increasing prevalence of this condition in older adults (1). Obesity treatment guidelines state that caloric restriction combined with moderate-intensity aerobic exercise should be the primary therapy for achieving weight loss in any age group (2). However, while this approach produces short-term weight loss, weight regain is common (3-6), highlighting the need to identify treatments that are effective for sustaining weight loss.

Difficulty in maintaining lost weight is in part due to the “energy gap” caused by adaptive thermogenesis and the drive to defend existing energy stores (7-10). One key adaptation is a reduction in both resting (11-14) and non-resting energy expenditure (non-REE), including spontaneous physical activity (SPA), defined as energy expenditure resulting from movement-related activities including postural shifts and daily activities, but excluding structured exercise (12,15-20). SPA is a learned/conditioned component of energy expenditure with large individual differences shaped by personal attributes, social influence, occupational demands, and environmental factors (21). A reduction in SPA increases sedentary behavior, a trend that is compounded with aging (22,23). Reduced SPA and greater sedentary behavior contribute to several adverse health outcomes, including obesity and aging-related functional decline, independent of exercise behavior (24-26). Our prior work shows that reductions in SPA with weight loss are predictive of weight regain (27). Yet, to date, there is very little research that tests strategies that can be adopted and sustained to prevent declines in SPA that occur with weight loss in older adults.
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Self-regulation, particularly self-monitoring, is a powerful behavioral strategy to facilitate behavior change during weight loss (28). However, the use of this strategy to raise awareness of energy expended through everyday activities to maintain or increase SPA, and to promote more effective self-regulation of SPA, has not been prospectively tested for weight loss maintenance. Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine whether adding a self-regulatory intervention (SRI), focused on self-monitoring of SPA, to a standard weight loss intervention results in less body weight regain following weight loss than a comparable intervention lacking this component.

Methods

Study design

This study was a two-arm, 10-month pilot study in 48 older, obese adults. Participants were randomized to an intervention involving a hypocaloric diet and aerobic exercise (DIET + EX, n = 24) or to the same weight loss intervention with the addition of a SRI for promoting SPA (SRI + DIET + EX, n = 24). Both groups underwent a controlled diet and 4 days/week of aerobic exercise for 5 months as described below. Following post-weight loss research assessments, both groups transitioned to a self-selected program of diet and exercise behavior during a 5-month follow-up. Throughout the total 10-month period, the SRI + DIET + EX group was provided with an intervention component designed to promote/maintain a SPA level that was equal to or greater than each individual’s baseline level (described below). Research data were collected at baseline, after the 5-month weight loss phase (5-month time point), and after the 5-month follow-up phase (10-month time point).

Participants

Forty-eight, obese, older women and men were screened and randomized to one of the two groups (n = 24/group). After a phone screen, those eligible underwent a medical history, physical exam, and graded exercise stress test. Inclusion/exclusion criteria included: (a) age 65-79 years, (b) sedentary (<2×/week of structured exercise), (c) BMI = 30-40 kg/m², (d) weight-stable (±5%) within the past year, (e) non-smoking for the past year, (f) not currently taking medications that affect body weight, (g) normal cognitive function, (h) no evidence of clinical depression, and no evidence of heart disease, cancer, liver or renal disease, chronic pulmonary disease, physical impairment that would prevent walking, uncontrolled hypertension, or any contra-indications for either exercise or weight loss. The study was approved by the Wake Forest School of Medicine Institutional Review Board and all participants provided written, informed consent to participate.

Interventions

Both groups (DIET + EX and SRI + DIET + EX) took part in a 5-month weight loss intervention via a hypocaloric diet and supervised exercise. Daily hypocaloric intake levels (~600 kcal/day deficit) were assigned for each person; the individual calorie level was derived by subtracting the participants’ required energy deficit from their estimated daily energy needs for weight maintenance. Weight maintenance energy needs were calculated from the direct measurement of resting energy expenditure (REE), applying an activity factor based on daily activities (1.2 for sedentary).

Participants were provided two meals (lunch and supper) per day prepared by our Clinical Research Unit (CRU) kitchen. All meals were prepared individually after participants chose from a menu designed by the RD. No woman was provided with <1,100 kcal/day and no man with <1,300 kcal/day. The diet contained <30% calories from fat and at least 0.8 g of protein per kilogram of ideal body weight per day. In addition, participants were provided with a daily calcium (1,200 mg/day) and vitamin D (800 IU/day) supplement. They were provided menus to guide their food purchasing and preparation of breakfast meals and snacks that were consistent with the prescribed calorie level. They were asked to consume only the food given to them, or approved from the breakfast menu, and were asked to keep a daily food/drink log which were reviewed weekly to verify compliance. A compliance estimate was calculated which is an average percentage (over the course of the 20-week intervention) of the prescribed caloric intake (kcal/day) each participant reports on his/her food log. Body weight was measured weekly.

The exercise component involved treadmill walking 4 days/week in our exercise facility under the direction of an exercise physiologist. Blood pressure and heart rate (HR) were measured before each session and participants warmed-up by walking for 5 min at a slow pace and then walked at an intensity of 65%-70% of heart rate reserve (HRR). The duration of exercise progressed from 15 to 20 min at 50% HRR the first week to 30 min at 65%-70% HRR by the end of the sixth week and thereafter. At least two HR readings were recorded each session to monitor compliance to the prescribed exercise intensity.

Participants assigned to the SRI + DIET + EX group underwent an additional intervention aimed at promoting SPA through self-monitoring. Each participant in this group was provided with an accelerometer and individual cognitive-behavioral counseling sessions (see below) to prevent the decline in SPA expected from the hypocaloric diet and structured exercise program. SPA was operationalized as minutes of light physical activity based on the accelerometer output. The interventionist assisted with goal setting of SPA minutes and tailored increasing the minutes in SPA to each individual participant. Thus, considerable attention was placed on the process of how to achieve increased SPA given the daily demands and environmental constraints faced by each individual. In consultation with the interventionist, participants were given personal SPA goals that were initially calculated to be at least 10% greater than baseline levels. Participants whose baseline SPA was <10 min were counseled to increase levels to at least 10 min. SPA goals were increased throughout the intervention, with the overall goal being to increase total volume of light physical activity by 20% over baseline. This energy was able to be expended in a variety of ways and an individual’s SPA minutes resulted from a combination of several daily activities depending on individual habits and preferences.

During the first week, participants in the SRI intervention were given a Lifecorder Plus® tri-axial accelerometer (Suzuken, Co., LTD; http://www.new-lifestyles.com/) with instructions for wear and documentation of usage. They were asked to wear the accelerometers daily for the length of the 5-month intervention and the 5-month follow-up. The units are small devices worn on the hip. With this model, participants cannot be blinded to the output and, thus, are able to instantaneously view their SPA minutes throughout the day and to compare it to their daily SPA goal. Participants recorded SPA minutes (from the accelerometer) in a daily log to become more aware of the metabolic costs of different daily activities, and, if necessary, to devise ways to increase SPA. Daily documentation
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Participants in the SRI + DIET + EX group met weekly for the first 6-weeks with an interventionist to review progress. During these 10-15 min sessions, accelerometers were downloaded, the SPA self-reported logs were collected, and participants received feedback based on a self-management model developed by Rejeski et al. (29). This model assumes that the motive to change behavior is driven by the desire for specific outcomes along with both facilitative and inhibitory processes. The SRI intervention facilitated SPA behavior by clarifying the intended outcomes of increasing SPA and by providing specific, moderately challenging goals that were evaluated frequently across the day using the accelerometer. During brief counseling sessions that were collaborative by design, feedback was provided and factors that inhibited successful self-management were addressed using Perri et al. approach to social problem solving (30).

If, during the first 6-weeks of treatment, participants’ level of SPA activity dropped below established goals, additional counseling sessions were arranged. After the first 6 weeks, participants met biweekly with the SPA interventionist for the remainder of the 5-month weight loss intervention and then monthly during the 5-month follow-up period.

Follow-up phase

During the 5-month follow-up phase, all participants followed a self-selected diet and exercise routine (e.g., no dietary counseling, diet, or formal exercise provided) and participants in both groups were asked to complete follow-up visits that occurred at 5-week intervals. At these visits, participants were weighed and those in the SPA SRI group met briefly with the SPA interventionist to turn in accelerometers to download and change batteries, turn in SPA trackers, and briefly review progress.

Assessments

Height and weight were measured at baseline, after the 5-month weight loss phase, and after the 5-month follow-up phase (10-month time point) on the same scale, which is accurate to ±100 g and calibrated weekly.

Percent body fat, lean mass, and adipose tissue mass were measured by dual energy absorptiometry (Hologic Delphi QDR) and maximal aerobic fitness was measured using expired gas analysis on a treadmill test to exhaustion (31).

REE was measured at each time point in the morning after a 10-hour fast by indirect calorimetry using the ventilated hood technique (27).

Daily physical activity was assessed using a Kenz® Lifecorder EX® tri-axial accelerometer (Suzuken, Co., LTD; http://www.new-lifestyles.com/), which provides valid and reliable measures of activity duration and intensity (32,33). Participants in both groups wore the accelerometer for at least 10-hours for a period of 7 days at each of the assessment time points and they were directed to keep an adherence diary giving details as to when the monitor was worn and taken off during the day. Participants wore the accelerometers during their structured exercise time at the 5-month time point and were instructed to maintain their regular level of physical activity outside of structured exercise. They were asked to wear the monitor at all times, except while bathing and sleeping. Participants were blinded to the results of the data during these assessment periods; thus, they did not receive any performance feedback from the accelerometers or the staff.

Statistical analyses

Baseline descriptive statistics were calculated for each group and values are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as frequency in percentage. Absolute changes in all outcomes were calculated as baseline value subtracted from values at either the 5- or 10-month time points. Observed means and group differences were analyzed using Student’s t-tests with means and standard errors being reported. Linear mixed effect model was performed to assess within-group and between-group differences of the changes of each outcome, after adjusting for age, gender, and baseline measure. Least square means and standard errors were also estimated from the same model. All analyses were performed using SAS v.9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). A P-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Participant characteristics at baseline

A total of 46 (of the randomized 48) participants (DIET + EX: n = 23; SRI + DIET + EX: n = 23) completed the 5-month weight loss phase, and 41 participants completed the 5-month follow-up phase and returned for 10-month testing (DIET + EX: n = 21; SRI + DIET + EX: n = 20). Thus, there was 85% study retention over 10 months. Because the primary purpose of this pilot study was to examine whether the SRI enhanced weight loss maintenance, all analyses included only the 41 participants who completed the entire 10-month study. Table 1 shows baseline demographics and physical characteristics for these participants; there were no differences between study groups at baseline for any of the assessed variables. The participants who were lost to follow-up dropped out of the study due to life changes unrelated to the study interventions, including unanticipated illness, change in work schedules, new time constraints, relocation, or family circumstances.

Intervention compliance

Exercise session attendance to the prescribed 4 day/week intervention during the 5-month weight loss phase averaged 91% ± 8% and 90% ± 16% for the DIET + EX and SRI + DIET + EX groups, respectively. The absolute energy expenditure during the exercise sessions averaged 234 ± 60 kcal and 205 ± 56 kcal for the DIET + EX and SRI + DIET + EX groups, respectively. Self-reported compliance to the dietary intervention (calculated as a percentage of daily caloric intake over or under what was prescribed) was also good with the DIET + EX group reporting an average compliance of 101.1% ± 2.4% and the SRI + DIET + EX group reporting an average compliance of 99.8% ± 4.3% to the prescribed diet.

For participants in the SRI + DIET + EX group, the average SPA goal during the 5-month weight loss phase was 27 ± 13 min (range = 10-59 min) and did NOT include structured exercise time.
TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of all participants who completed the entire study

| N (col%) or mean ± SD | SRI + DIET + EX (n = 20) | DIET + EX (n = 21) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Age (years)           | 69.5 ± 3.4               | 70.6 ± 3.8       |
| Gender # (%)          |                          |                  |
| Female                | 15 (75%)                 | 16 (76%)         |
| Male                  | 5 (25%)                  | 5 (24%)          |
| Race/ethnicity, # (%) |                          |                  |
| Non-Hispanic white    | 18 (90%)                 | 17 (81%)         |
| Non-white             | 2 (10%)                  | 4 (19%)          |
| Body composition      |                          |                  |
| Body weight (kg)      | 88.6 ± 12.5              | 90.2 ± 9.5       |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 32.6 ± 2.7           | 33.6 ± 1.9       |
| Total fat mass (kg)   | 37.4 ± 6.6               | 38.3 ± 5.8       |
| Total lean mass (kg)  | 51.7 ± 12.8              | 52.5 ± 9.9       |
| % body fat            | 42.5 ± 7.6               | 42.4 ± 6.6       |
| Maximal aerobic capacity (ml/kg/min) | 17.6 ± 2.3 | 18.1 ± 2.0 |
| REE (kcal/day)        | 1,317 ± 420              | 1,399 ± 246      |
| Daily physical activity |                      |                  |
| Steps per day         | 4,325 ± 1,976            | 4,857 ± 2,080    |
| PAEE (kcal/day)       | 141 ± 70                 | 168 ± 81         |
| Light activity (min/day) | 39.0 ± 19.7           | 39.9 ± 15.1      |
| Moderate + vigorous activity (min/day) | 10.9 ± 6.4 | 14.7 ± 13.1 |

Of the 20 participants who completed the SRI + DIET + EX intervention, 17 (85%) provided accelerometer process data for the entire 10 months; the remaining three stopped providing accelerometry logs at 19, 21, and 31 weeks. Participants reported wearing the accelerometer at least 10 hours/day for an average of 87% ± 14% of the days and the daily SPA goal was met for 81% ± 14% of the days. The average number of SPA minutes recorded (39 ± 14 min) was higher than the average SPA goal (P < 0.0001). The most common reported barriers to full accelerometer compliance (10 hours/day, every day for 10 months) were: device malfunction/need for battery change (13%), illness or health reason (9%), forgot to wear (7%), and too busy or time conflict (7%).

Intervention effects on body weight

Table 2 shows unadjusted and adjusted (for baseline weight, age, and gender) body weight changes by group during each phase of the study. During the initial 5-month weight loss intervention, the absolute amount of lost weight tended to be greater, and the percentage of weight loss was significantly greater, in the SRI + DIET + EX compared with the DIET + EX group. Moreover, the average weight regain during follow-up was 1.3 kg less in the SRI + DIET + EX group. At the 10-month time point, body weight in both groups was still significantly (P < 0.001) lower than at baseline, but individuals in the SRI + DIET + EX group had maintained an approximate 10% lower weight than baseline, compared with those in the DIET + EX group whose weight was approximately 5% lower than baseline (Table 2; P < 0.01 between groups). Figure 1 shows body weight at each time point, adjusted for time, treatment, treatment × time interaction, and baseline weight. There is an overall group by time effect of the SRI intervention (P = 0.005).

During the weight loss intervention, 45 of the 46 completers lost some weight (range = −15.4 to −0.5 kg; one person gained 2.1 kg). The majority of participants (34 of 46, 74%) lost at least 5% of their initial body weight; of the 12 participants who did not lose ≥5%, 9 (75%) were in the DIET + EX group. The range of weight changes during the 5-month follow-up phase was −9.3 to +4.2 kg. A total of 31 participants (76%) experienced some weight gain and 18 of those (44%) experienced a weight gain of 2 kg or more. Of the 18 participants who gained ≥2 kg, 12 (67%) were in the DIET + EX group.

Intervention effects on daily physical activity and energy expenditure

Changes in REE and blinded accelerometer measures of daily physical activity are also shown in Table 2. To account for changes in body weight, physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE), and REE are expressed per kilogram of weight. Most notably, physical activity increased in both groups during the weight loss phase and adjusted changes in minutes of light activity tended to be significantly (P = 0.08) greater in the SRI + DIET + EX group compared with the DIET + EX group. In addition, 10-month changes in REE were also greater in SRI + DIET + EX; this group experienced an overall increase in REE per kilogram body weight, whereas REE per kilogram body weight decreased overall in the DIET + EX group.

Discussion

The primary finding of this study was that adding a self-monitoring intervention, designed to increase light physical activity in order to prevent potential declines in SPA, to a standard weight loss intervention resulted in a lower body weight over a period of 10 months compared with a group without the SRI. Specifically, the SRI + DIET + EX group lost more weight during active treatment, and regained less weight (essentially no weight regain) during the short 5-month follow-up, than the DIET + EX group. In addition, at the end of the weight loss intervention phase, the group that received the SRI tended to have higher levels of light physical activity and greater REE. These group differences in REE were sustained at 10 months, suggesting that this self-monitoring intervention may have beneficial effects on both resting and non-REE.

Presently, there are observational data demonstrating that a high level of physical activity distinguishes those individuals who are successful at weight loss maintenance from those who are not (34-36). However, long-term adherence to a high volume of exercise may be particularly difficult for older and obese persons who also may be more likely to compensate for the caloric expenditure of structured exercise by decreasing SPA, resulting in reduced total energy expenditure. Fortunately, evidence suggests that less intense activity is also beneficial for weight loss maintenance (37). A few clinical trials show that less structured exercise of a lower intensity may be better for weight loss maintenance than higher-intensity exercise (38,39). Thus, we posit that incorporating more movement into daily activity (i.e., increasing SPA and reducing sedentary
behavior) during and following weight loss may be more beneficial than promoting structured exercise in older, obese adults. There is a growing body of literature suggesting it is feasible to intervene on sedentary behavior, which is an independent predictor of health outcomes when considered in conjunction with structured exercise (24,26,40).

The strengths of this study include the randomized controlled design, the carefully and successfully delivered interventions, and the excellent compliance to the daily self-monitoring and accelerometry use. Yet, there were limitations as well. First, the study was designed as a pilot to test the feasibility and potential efficacy of adding the SRI intervention to a conventional weight loss protocol and, therefore, the sample size is small. Next, although there were group differences in body weight at both follow-up time points, there was only a trend for physical activity to be greater in the SRI + DIET + EX group. Therefore, we cannot deduce with certainty that the body weight differences primarily result from increased SPA. The SRI also involved other behavioral strategies known to influence weight loss success, including goal setting and problem solving, that may have also contributed to the larger weight loss of the SRI + DIET + EX group.

Substantial research (28,29) suggests that goal setting and other self-regulatory skills, particularly self-monitoring, are critical for successful behavior change. This study provides important feasibility and early efficacy data regarding the benefits of using a novel self-monitoring strategy within a broader conceptual model of behavior change to modify the likely reduction in SPA that occurs during periods of negative energy balance among older adults. Our approach focuses on a behavioral strategy to eliminate the compensatory reduction in non-exercise activity seen in older adults who...

| Observed mean (SE) | Adjusted mean (SE) | P-value |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Body weight (kg)** |                     |         |
| During weight loss | −8.8 (0.7)          | 0.07    | −8.9 (0.8)<sup>a</sup> | −6.5 (0.8)<sup>a</sup> | 0.06 |
| During follow-up   | 0.3 (0.6)           | 0.07    | 0.3 (0.5)              | 1.6 (0.5)              | 0.06 |
| After follow-up    | −8.5 (0.8)          | <0.01   | −8.6 (0.8)<sup>a</sup> | −5.0 (0.8)<sup>a</sup> | <0.01 |
| **% body weight**  |                     |         |
| During weight loss | −10.0 (0.8)         | 0.03    | −9.6 (1.0)<sup>a</sup> | −6.8 (1.1)<sup>a</sup> | 0.04 |
| During follow-up   | 0.4 (0.8)           | 0.09    | 0.3 (0.6)              | 1.7 (0.6)              | 0.09 |
| After follow-up    | −9.7 (0.9)          | <0.01   | −9.3 (1.0)<sup>a</sup> | −5.1 (1.1)<sup>a</sup> | <0.01 |
| **REE (kcal/kg)**  |                     |         |
| During weight loss | 1.1 (0.5)           | 0.36    | 1.0 (0.5)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.1 (0.5)              | 0.15 |
| During follow-up   | 0.6 (0.7)           | 0.24    | −0.7 (0.6)             | −0.6 (0.6)             | 0.16 |
| After follow-up    | 1.6 (0.6)           | 0.05    | 1.6 (0.5)<sup>a</sup>  | −0.6 (0.5)             | <0.01 |
| **PAEE (kcal/kg)** |                     |         |
| During weight loss | 1.3 (0.1)           | 0.31    | 1.3 (0.2)<sup>a</sup>  | 1.1 (0.2)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.46 |
| During follow-up   | −0.9 (0.1)          | 0.34    | −0.9 (0.2)             | −0.6 (0.2)             | 0.34 |
| After follow-up    | 0.3 (0.2)           | 0.81    | 0.4 (0.2)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.5 (0.2)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.71 |
| **Steps (per day)**|                     |         |
| During weight loss | 2831 (252)          | 0.02    | 2988 (412)<sup>a</sup> | 2276 (432)<sup>a</sup> | 0.20 |
| During follow-up   | −2067 (344)         | 0.16    | −2093 (447)            | −1289 (436)            | 0.21 |
| After follow-up    | 639 (427)           | 0.78    | 895 (418)<sup>a</sup>  | 986 (447)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.87 |
| **Light activity (min/day)** |     |         |
| During weight loss | 9.8 (2.2)           | 0.02    | 12.6 (2.6)<sup>a</sup> | 6.6 (2.7)<sup>a</sup>  | 0.08 |
| During follow-up   | −6.4 (3.2)          | 0.16    | −6.9 (2.0)             | −1.2 (2.7)             | 0.15 |
| After follow-up    | 1.4 (3.9)           | 0.90    | 5.7 (2.6)<sup>a</sup>  | 5.4 (2.8)              | 0.93 |
| **Mod + vig activity (min/day)** | |         |
| During weight loss | 18.5 (1.7)          | 0.18    | 18.3 (2.7)<sup>a</sup> | 15.9 (2.8)<sup>a</sup> | 0.49 |
| During follow-up   | −14.1 (1.9)         | 0.23    | −14.0 (2.7)            | −10.2 (2.6)            | 0.32 |
| After follow-up    | 4.5 (1.8)           | 0.93    | 4.3 (2.7)              | 5.7 (2.9)              | 0.70 |

P-values reflect between group differences;  
<sup>a</sup>Indicates significant within group difference at P ≤ 0.05.  
N = 20 for SRI + DIET + EX and N = 21 for DIET + EX.  
Adjusted models are adjusted for baseline variable, age, and gender.
are losing weight with a hypocaloric diet and structured exercise training. While these data are compelling, they are not definitive, and a full-scale randomized clinical trial is needed to examine the effects of a SPA self-regulation intervention compared with structured exercise for the maintenance of weight loss in this population. If our hypothesis is correct, and confirmed in a larger and longer randomized trial involving a state-of-the-art weight loss intervention, the findings would challenge the current standard of care (i.e., exclusive prescription of structured moderate-intensity exercise) for obesity therapy in older adults, leading to new obesity treatment guidelines for both initial weight loss and weight loss maintenance.
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