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Abstract

A controlled seven-month study was conducted in six replicated water recirculation aquaculture systems (WRAS) to assess post-smolt Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) performance in relation to WRAS water exchange rate. Unexpectedly high numbers of precocious sexually mature fish were observed in all WRAS toward the end of the study period; therefore, a separate investigation was conducted to quantify the levels of water-borne hormones (cortisol (C), testosterone (T), 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT), progesterone (P), and estradiol (E2)) to determine the impact of WRAS exchange rate, as well as transit through the unit processes, on soluble hormone concentrations. Triplicate water samples were collected at three separate sites in each of the six WRAS: pre-unit processes, post-unit processes, and at the makeup water influent. Water samples were concentrated and separate quantifications were carried out for each target hormone using enzyme immunoassay kits. Results indicated that among the hormones examined, only T was associated with higher concentrations in low exchange WRAS compared to high exchange WRAS. Water passage through the unit processes was associated with a significant reduction in concentration of 11-KT, in both high and low exchange WRAS. Water-borne concentrations of T, 11-KT, and E2 were significantly higher than influent makeup water; the majority of C and P concentrations were not significantly different between WRAS and makeup water samples. No significant differences were noted in the prevalence of apparently sexually mature fish or gonadosomatic indices in either sex between treatments, except a significantly higher prevalence of apparently mature female fish in low exchange WRAS. Overall, these findings suggest that, under the conditions of this study, C, P, E2, and 11-KT do not accumulate in lower exchange WRAS, and that, aside from 11-KT, the WRAS unit processes do not impact hormone concentration. Furthermore, the observed precocious sexual maturation was mostly unrelated to WRAS exchange rate.
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Introduction

The development of sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) is a highly flexible process [1,2], and can be influenced by numerous environmental cues and host factors. Previous research has identified the contributions of, among other things, photoperiod [2-5], water temperature [6,7], feed intake [8], nutrition [9], lipid reserves [10], growth rate [11,12], and stock genetics [13], on the timing of sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon. Wild populations typically mature as adults in seawater [14], although the occurrence of very early maturing males (i.e. precocious parr) is well known in wild populations [15,16], and in the salmon farming industry both precocious parr and early maturing post-smolt males (‘jacks’ or ‘grilse’) occur [17]. Precocious parr are relatively easy to identify and are typically culled prior to sea transfer; however, early maturing post-smolts are not distinguishable at this point in the production cycle, and go on to cause difficulties for farmers during sea-cage growthout. Due to decreased growth and feed conversion efficiency [18] and reduced product quality [19], grilse represents a major source of economic loss for farmers [18,20]. Current strategies for reducing post-smolt maturation in the salmon farming industry include photoperiod control [5], selective breeding for late maturation [21], and inducing triploidy during egg incubation [22].

Putative environmental issues associated with open sea cage aquaculture, including fish escapes [23,24] and subsequent genetic introgression with wild populations [25,26], pollution of coastal waters [27,28], spread of disease to wild populations [29,30], and environmental dissemination of antibiotics and chemotherapeutants [31], have influenced the development of alternative approaches to salmon farming, such as land-based closed containment facilities utilizing water reuse aquaculture system (WRAS) technologies. Growing Atlantic salmon to market size in fresh- or partially saline water in land-based closed containment systems is, at present, a frontier in agriculture. Numerous elements of closed containment salmon production still need to be fully characterized or refined through scientific research, including developing a better understanding of maturation cues in the water recirculation environment and husbandry approaches to minimize precocious sexual development. Among other things, there is potential for various substances to accumulate in WRAS as water exchange rate decreases (i.e., the rate of new or ‘makeup’ water entering the system is reduced) [32-34]. Whether the accumulated substances, such as hormones, in recirculating water influence precocious maturation requires investigation.

Studies on the control of sexual maturation in farmed fishes have been thoroughly reviewed [35], and further research is required.
to expand our knowledge in this area. Newer approaches utilizing non-invasive methods to quantify hormones in water samples via radioimmunoassay (RIA) [36] and enzyme immunoassay (EIA) [37,38] techniques have facilitated research in this area, and have been validated in several commercially important fish species such as rainbow trout _Oncorhynchus mykiss_ [36]. Because fish release steroid hormones into the surrounding water, either in conjugated (sulfonated or glucurononidated) forms in the urine and feces [39] or in unconjugated 'free' form through the gills [40,41], there is a potential for these compounds to gradually increase in concentration in recirculated water. Whether physiologically relevant hormones actually accumulate in WRAS, and whether this accumulation can influence maturation, has not been adequately assessed in previously published research.

During an on-site long-term study examining Atlantic salmon growout performance in freshwater WRAS, it was observed that a significant proportion of fish (both male and female) were demonstrating morphological characteristics of precocious sexual maturation beginning approximately 18 months post-hatch. These observations provided opportunity for a separate study, presented here, to determine whether physiologically relevant hormones were accumulating in the WRAS, and whether concentrations of water-borne hormones were associated with different water exchange rates and relative proportions of early maturing fish. A secondary objective was to determine the impact, if any, on water-borne hormone concentration following passage through the WRAS treatment processes, i.e. the fluidized sand biofilters, degassing towers, and low-head oxygenators (LHOs).

The specific hormones quantified in this study were the androgens testosterone (T) and 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT); estradiol (E2); progesterone (P); and cortisol (traditionally abbreviated as C, but for the purpose of clarity represented by C in this paper). In general, 11-KT (a derivative of T) is the major androgen produced by the testes of teleost fish [35], and likely triggers the onset of male sexual maturation in a variety of fish species [42-45]; rising E2 levels are associated with commencement of secondary oocyte growth in Atlantic salmon females [46,47]; and progesterone, although not considered to be an active fish steroid [48], can be enzymatically converted to E2, C, and T, and is therefore of interest for the purposes of this study. Likewise, although not considered a sex hormone, C can influence physiological processes such as sperm motility [48] and likely has an impact on overall maturation in fish populations. The above hormones were therefore selected based on their physiological influences, and on the current availability of non-invasive techniques for hormone quantification from water samples.

### Materials and Methods

#### Experimental set-up and research animals

A controlled seven-month study was conducted onsite in six replicated Atlantic salmon growout performance in fresh water relative to the level of system flushing. The experimental WRAS have been described in detail previously [49-51]; the components of an individual system are illustrated in Figure 1. Briefly, each WRAS consisted of the following: fluidized-sand biofilter, CO₂ stripping column, LHO, circular dual-drain culture tank (5.3 m³), radial flow settler, microscreen drum filter (60 µm), heat exchanger, and a 1 HP centrifugal pump. Total system volume was 9.5 m³ with a recirculation rate of 380 L/min (100 gpm).

Post-smolt Atlantic salmon, initially acquired as eyed eggs from a commercial producer, were maintained under experimental conditions for seven months at a density range of 35 kg/m³ to 92 kg/m³. Initially, 210 salmon were stocked into each WRAS and allowed to acclimate for a period of 52 days. At the onset of the experiment, fish were 426 day post-hatch and weighed 931 ± 11 g on average across all WRAS. Experimental conditions were as follows: three WRAS received a high makeup water exchange rate (2.6%), while three systems received a low 0.26% exchange. Exchange rates were set on a flow basis (i.e., for high exchange (2.6%) WRAS, the 380 L/min (100 gpm) system recirculation flow contained 9.8 L/min (2.6 gpm) of makeup water), and high and low exchange treatments were randomly allocated among the six WRAS. All WRAS makeup water originated from a groundwater spring source with approximately 4,540 L/min (1200 gpm) flow. Mean feed loadings of 0.13 and 1.3 kg/day per m³/day of makeup water flow, and hydraulic retention times of 0.7 and 7.0 days, were maintained for the high and low exchange treatments, respectively. Standardized feeding charts were employed to determine amounts of feed administered, supplemented by observations of feeding activity and wasted feed. A constant photoperiod (i.e. continuous 24 hour lighting) was provided, and all tanks were administered feed once every 2 hours using automated feeders (T-drum 2000CE, Arvo-Tec, Finland).

Beginning approximately midway through the study period, it was observed that a significant proportion of fish in each of the treatment groups was exhibiting obvious signs of precocious sexual maturation (e.g. pronounced kype development in males, and visible ovipositor in females). Because prevalent sexual maturation is unexpected in Atlantic salmon populations of this age (at the time of study's end, fish age was only 637 days post-hatch), it was hypothesized that environmental factors, such as the freshwater conditions, water temperature, constant photoperiod, or accumulating steroid hormones, could have contributed to the observed early-onset sexual maturation. The possibility of steroid hormone accumulation was testable given the high vs. low exchange rate experimental conditions; therefore, a sub-study was conducted to assess levels of specific water-borne hormones in the WRAS water, to determine whether concentrations of target hormones were related to...
eluted with 2×2 mL ethyl acetate into 13×100 mm borosilicate vials. To elute the conjugated (i.e. sulphated, glucuronidated) hormone fractions, 2×2 mL methanol was passed over the columns into new 13×100 mm borosilicate vials. All samples were then dried under a gentle stream (~7 bar) of ultrapure nitrogen at 37°C in a water bath. Dried conjugated samples were capped and stored at -20°C, while dried free samples were stored at -20°C overnight and then resuspended (vortexing for 20 min) in 500 µL of 5% EtOH: 95% EIA buffer (Cayman Chemicals, Inc.). Kit validations were run for each target hormone, by collecting 100 µL from each of the 54 samples into a 5.4 mL pool and then carrying out serial dilutions (using EIA buffer) from 1:1 until 1:128. Serial dilution curves were generated for each hormone to determine the optimum dilution at which to assay hormone levels, and based on these determinations T and 11-KT were diluted 1:16, and C, P, and E2 were diluted 1:8. For each hormone, the appropriate volume from each water sample's original resuspension was added to the corresponding volume of EIA buffer to achieve the desired dilutions, followed by vortexing. Enzyme-immunoassays were then carried out according to kit instructions to quantify actual hormone concentrations. Assessing parallelism between curves (concentration vs. absorbance) generated from serially diluted S. salar pools and the kit standard curves validated the assays. S. salar serial dilution curves were parallel to the kit standard curves for all hormones (comparison of slopes test (Zar, 1996); C: t_{12}=0.0, p=0.97; 11-KT: t_{12}=-0.03, p=0.98; T: t_{12}=0.0, p=1.0; E2: t_{12}=0.04, p=0.97; P: t_{12}=0.04, p=0.97). Each hormone was assayed on 3, 96-well plates (one serial dilution plate; two experimental sample plates), except for E2 and P, for which assays were conducted on two plates (one plate with serial dilution plus experimental samples; one plate with only experimental samples). On each plate, a pooled S. salar control was assayed in the first and last two sample wells. Intra-assay coefficients of variation for these controls were: C (plate 1: 3.9%, plate 2: 3.0%, plate 3: 4.2%); 11-KT (6.7%, 10.3%, 12.9%); T (2.6%, 9.5%, 7.7%); E2 (6.4%, 4.5%); P (8.7%, 14.8%). Inter-assay coefficients of variation were: C (14.2%), 11-KT (11.4%), T (7.6%), E2 (12.3%), P (12.1%).

**Mass balance for hormone production in WRAS**

A mass balance was developed to estimate production rates of hormone when quasi-steady state conditions are assumed (Figure 2). The production of hormone (P, in µg/day) was estimated from water flows (Q_m=makeup water flow; Q_r=recirculating water flow) and hormone concentrations (C_{in}=concentration entering culture tank; C_p=concentration exiting culture tank) using the following equation:

\[ P = Q_m (C_{in} - C_p) + Q_r (C_p - C_{out}) \]

This mass balance was applied only for hormones demonstrating a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in concentration between pre- and post-unit processes samples. In addition, hormone removal efficiency across the unit processes was calculated from the difference in inlet and outlet hormone concentrations divided by the inlet hormone concentration when expressed as a percent.

If no significant difference in hormone concentration existed between the pre- and post-unit processes locations (indicating that production and removal rates were not detected), then these inlet and outlet concentrations were averaged and the mass balance was simplified to calculate a net rate that the hormone is produced across the system:

\[ P = Q_m (C_{in} - C_p) \]
Salmon maturity assessments

The majority of the study’s fish population was needed for post-study product quality assessments, and therefore a comprehensive examination of sexual maturity (i.e., lethal sampling to obtain gonadosomatic indices for all fish) at study’s end was not possible. However, two reduced-scale salmon maturity assessments were carried out at study’s end: (i) 8 fish per WRAS were randomly sampled, euthanized with 200 mg/L tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222), measured for whole body mass and gonad mass to obtain gonadosomatic indices; and (ii) during post-study transfer of fish to a separate rearing area, all fish (n = 357) were visually assessed for morphological changes indicating sexual maturity, namely a prominent kype for males and the presence of an ovipositor for females. For the latter assessment, in order to compute estimates of percentage sexually mature males and females in each treatment group, a 50:50 male:female ratio for the study population was assumed.

Statistical analyses

To compare mean hormone concentrations between treatment groups and sampling locations, paired t-tests were performed for all possible pairwise comparisons, with differences reported as significant (p < 0.05) or trending toward significance (0.10 ≥ p ≥ 0.05). To compare percentage mature fish based on secondary sexual characteristics, the percentages of mature males and females within each RAS were first arc sine-transformed prior to analyses using two-sample t-tests; t-tests were also used to compare male and female gonadosomatic indices between treatment groups [52]. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA v.9 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

Results

Fish population characteristics at the time of water sampling

The salmon were 615 days post-hatch in age at the time of WRAS water sampling, with fish weighing 2.768 ± 0.049 kg and 2.727 ± 0.032 kg in the high and low exchange treatment groups, respectively (p = 0.264; no significant difference in fish weight). Gonadosomatic indices from a random sample of 8 fish per WRAS were, for males, 6.79 ± 0.30% and 5.94 ± 0.79% (p = 0.185) in the high and low exchange treatment groups, respectively. Female gonadosomatic indices were 3.06 ± 1.38% and 5.24 ± 4.97% (p = 0.347) in the high and low exchange treatment groups, respectively (Table 1). Further visual assessments of salmon populations at study’s end, during transfer of the fish to a separate rearing area, indicated mature male prevalences of 75.6 ± 13.7% and 67.8 ± 8.07% (p = 0.221) in the high and low exchange treatment groups, respectively, and mature female prevalence estimates of 11.3 ± 3.27% and 3.23 ± 1.47% (p = 0.056) in the high and low exchange treatment groups, respectively (Table 1).

Assays quantifying water-borne hormones

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the concentrations of each target hormone and their relative differences between water exchange treatment groups and sample collection locations. Only 11-KT demonstrated significant (p < 0.05) measurable production across the culture tank and removal across the unit processes; 11-KT removal efficiencies for the WRAS unit processes were 32% and 34% for each pass at the low and high flushing treatments, respectively (Table 4). The 11-KT mass balance also suggested that 0.31 and 0.36 µg of the hormone was produced daily for every kilogram of salmon biomass in the low and high flushing systems treatments, respectively. Water-borne concentrations of T, 11-KT, and E2 tended to be significantly higher in WRAS compared to the makeup water, whereas C and P tended to be similar in concentration between WRAS and makeup water samples. Thus, these findings suggest that T, 11-KT, and E2 were being quantifiably produced by the fish, although there were insufficient differences in T and E2 concentrations across the culture tank to accurately estimate their tank production and unit processes removal rates. Only T demonstrated a tendency (p < 0.10) to accumulate as the WRAS flushing rate was reduced.

### Table 1: End-of-study fish maturity assessments, summarizing prevalence of qualitatively determined sexually mature individuals and quantitatively determined gonadosomatic indices for each sex within high and low exchange WRAS treatment groups.

| Sex          | WRAS          | High exchange | Low exchange |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Male         | 75.6 ± 13.7%  | 67.8 ± 8.07%  |
| Female       | 11.3 ± 3.27%  | 3.23 ± 1.47%  |
| Male         | 6.79 ± 0.30%  | 5.94 ± 0.79%  |
| Female       | 3.06 ± 1.38%  | 5.24 ± 4.97%  |

| Hormone          | Makeup influent (A) | Pre-unit processes (B) | Post-unit processes (C) |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cortisol         | 555.8 ± 186.6a      | 575.6 ± 121.6a         | 545.1 ± 214.3a          |
| Testosterone     | 319.7 ± 181.0a      | 448.6 ± 191.6a         | 448.6 ± 176.4a          |
| 11-Ketotestosterone | 123.7 ± 7.313a    | 518.7 ± 118.0b         | 443.7 ± 86.32a          |
| Progesterone     | 124.0 ± 45.24a      | 768.4 ± 68.8b          | 758.5 ± 155.5b          |
| Estradiol        | 4.783 ± 0.390c     | 194.5 ± 21.9c          | 127.9 ± 11.08c          |
|                  | 4.526 ± 1.008b     | 183.5 ± 17.73c         | 124.7 ± 11.90c          |
|                  | 1134 ± 63.43a      | 1422 ± 121.6c          | 1699 ± 420.1e           |
|                  | 1339 ± 491.0a      | 1551 ± 263.5a          | 1742 ± 286.4e           |
| Estradiol        | 39.55 ± 26.341c    | 168.7 ± 61.80c         | 168.8 ± 66.25c          |
|                  | 38.92 ± 25.06c     | 223.5 ± 28.53c         | 239.8 ± 20.69c          |

Table 2: Hormone levels in water collected from makeup influent (A) and pre- (B) and post- (C) unit processes sample locations. Values reported are mean ± standard error hormone concentrations (µg/250mL) for water samples in each treatment group, adjusted for dilution factor and resuspension volume. Different superscript letters within each hormone summary represent statistical trends (0.10 ≥ p ≥ 0.05) or significant differences (p < 0.05) between quantified hormone levels (Table 3 summarizes relationships with p ≤ 0.10).
The major finding of this study was that, for most of the hormones measured, their rate of accumulation was not a function of WRAS exchange rate. The sole exception was T, which tended to be higher in concentration in low exchange WRAS. Differences in T accumulation between the two treatments, however, were less than the 10-fold difference in concentration that could occur if the constituents were entirely conserved (i.e., as with sodium chloride addition) due to the 10-fold difference in WRAS flushing rate between treatments. Approximately 15% of T was removed across the unit processes in the high exchange WRAS, but a statistical difference in T concentration across the unit processes was not found in the low flushing treatment group. Assuming that the majority of target hormone removal was degradable within the biofilter, but is not as degradable as 11-KT, which demonstrated significant removal (i.e., 32-34%) across the WRAS, it is possible that higher water-borne levels of this hormone were acting to inhibit precocious female maturation; however, several studies have shown T to have a stimulatory effect on early oogenesis and female maturation in coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch [56] and milkfish Chanos chanos [57]. If female maturation inhibition did indeed occur in the low exchange treatment group, then this requires further investigation due to the numerous environmental and physiological factors that can disrupt oogenesis and induce ovarian follicular atresia [58,59] and, at present, our poor understanding of the mechanisms for regulating teleost oocyte atresia in general [60].

The mass balance results indicated that approximately 0.31 to 0.36 µg of 11-KT was produced daily for every kilogram of salmon biomass in the system. Given that 11-KT was the only measured hormone demonstrating significant removal (i.e., 32-34%) across the WRAS unit processes, the trend of 11-KT concentration in the water recirculating system was analogous to the trend of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) in a water recirculation system. In other words, fish produce TAN and 11-KT in the culture tank, and microbes in the biological filter remove TAN and 11-KT from the recirculating water to the extent that the makeup water flushing rate through the system has little effect on the accumulation of TAN or 11-KT in the system. Why 11-KT, and none of the other measured hormones, was significantly removed across the unit processes is unclear; however, the reason for this observation could be related to the different physicochemical properties of the target hormones. Specifically, the octanol-water properties of the target hormones. Specifically, the octanol-water

**Discussion**

The major finding of this study was that, for most of the hormones measured, their rate of accumulation was not a function of WRAS exchange rate. The sole exception was T, which tended to be higher in concentration in low exchange WRAS. Differences in T accumulation between the two treatments, however, were less than the 10-fold difference in concentration that could occur if the constituents were entirely conserved (i.e., as with sodium chloride addition) due to the 10-fold difference in WRAS flushing rate between treatments. Approximately 15% of T was removed across the unit processes in the high exchange WRAS, but a statistical difference in T concentration across the unit processes was not found in the low flushing treatment group. Assuming that the majority of target hormone removal was degradable within the biofilter, but is not as degradable as 11-KT, which produced TAN and 11-KT in the culture tank, and microbes in the biological filter remove TAN and 11-KT from the recirculating water to the extent that the makeup water flushing rate through the system has little effect on the accumulation of TAN or 11-KT in the system. Why 11-KT, and none of the other measured hormones, was significantly removed across the unit processes is unclear; however, the reason for this observation could be related to the different physicochemical properties of the target hormones. Specifically, the octanol-water

**Table 3:** Selected water sample comparisons demonstrating trends (0.10 ≥ p ≥ 0.05) and statistically significant differences (p<0.05) for quantified hormone concentrations.

| Hormone   | Relationship determined between measured hormone concentrations | p-value |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Testosterone | Pre-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0144 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0105 |
|           | High exchange pre-unit processes<low exchange pre-unit processes | 0.0831 |
|           | High exchange post-unit processes<low exchange post-post unit processes | 0.0758 |
| 11-KT     | Pre-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0015 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0086 |
|           | Pre-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0004 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0002 |
|           | Pre-unit processes>post-unit processes (high exchange) | 0.0248 |
|           | Pre-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0003 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0003 |
|           | Pre-unit processes>post-unit processes (low exchange) | 0.0262 |
| Progesterone | Pre-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0516 |
| Estradiol  | Pre-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0530 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (high exchange) | 0.0620 |
|           | Pre-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0041 |
|           | Post-unit processes>makeup (low exchange) | 0.0017 |

**Table 4:** Androgen production and removal characteristics within high and low exchange WRAS treatment groups.

| Hormone parameter | Biofilter removal efficiency (%) | WRAS production (mg/day) | Overall biomass production (µg/kg/day) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                   | High exchange | Low exchange | High exchange | Low exchange | High exchange | Low exchange |
| Biofilter removal efficiency (%) | 34.2 | 31.9 | 14.5 | 1.30 |
| WRAS production (mg/day) | 0.156 | 0.128 | 0.186 | 0.025 |
| Overall biomass production (µg/kg/day) | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.06 |
partition coefficient (log $K_{ow}$), a dimensionless concentration ratio used to indicate an organic compound's tendency to adsorb to sediment (i.e., the higher a compound's log $K_{ow}$ value, the more likely it is to bind to organics instead of staying in the aqueous phase) is considerably lower for 11-KT (1.92) compared to T (3.27), E2 (3.94), and P (3.67) [61] (cortisol also has a low log $K_{ow}$ value (1.86; [62]); however, the data from this study did not indicate any significant differences in C between WRAS and makeup water, and at these concentrations no removal across the unit processes could be observed). Therefore, compared to T, E2, and P, 11-KT is partitioned to a greater degree in the aqueous phase, which may facilitate biodegradation during passage through the fluidized sand biofilter. By comparison, T, E2, and P are relatively more partitioned into the organic phase, and in their adsorbed state these hormones likely require longer contact time with biofilter microbes to be biodegraded; however, the study WRAS biofilters had short hydraulic retention times (approximately 5 min), and therefore conditions were likely more favorable for biodegradation of compounds with low log $K_{ow}$ values such as 11-KT. In examining the removal of steroid hormones from water sources, much of the published research has focused on estrogenic compounds (and other endocrine disrupting chemicals) and their fate following passage through wastewater or sewage treatment facilities [54,63,64], which have far longer retention times (e.g. 10-15 days) than WRAS treatment processes. It is therefore difficult to compare the present study with previously published research in this area, and further investigation is needed to elucidate the fate of steroid hormones following relatively rapid passage through WRAS bioreactors.

This study was not able to determine a link between hormone accumulation in WRAS and the prevalence of precocious sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon, and therefore further research is required to investigate the environmental conditions that can instigate this phenomenon. Although other environmental and host factors likely contribute to early salmon maturation in WRAS, it remains possible that specific substances accumulate in WRAS water and, at particular concentration thresholds, influence maturation. Further research should focus on other soluble substances, such as maturation-inducing hormone (17α,20β-dihydroxy-4-pregnen-3-one), as well as the hormones targeted in this study, albeit with a more comprehensive (spatially and temporally) water sampling regimen.

Conclusions

Among the hormones measured, only T accumulated in WRAS relative to system flushing rates, although water-borne concentrations of 11-KT and E2 were also significantly higher than influent makeup water. Only 11-KT demonstrated reduction in concentration following passage through the WRAS unit processes. The only significant difference in sexually mature fish prevalence between treatments was a higher prevalence of mature female fish in low exchange WRAS, corresponding with higher levels of T. Overall, these findings suggest that most of the measured hormones do not accumulate in WRAS (at least those comparable in design to the WRAS used in this study) relative to exchange rates, and for the most part the observed precocious sexual maturation was unrelated to WRAS exchange rate.
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