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Abstract: The mushrooming growth of luxury brands has been evidenced worldwide, especially among developing countries such as Malaysia. Despite Malaysian consumer confidence slowing due to uncertainty about the economic conditions, the demand for luxury brands is still expected to rise. Interestingly, a significant rise in the demand for luxury brands has been observed in the millennials group. This study examines the relationship of electronic word-of-mouth and the country of origin’s image on millennials' attitudes toward luxury brands and the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. The study among 333 millennials revealed that the country of origin’s image has both direct and indirect effects on the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) was found statistically insignificant in explaining the intention to purchase, but eWOM had a significant relationship on intention to purchase through its mediation effect of the attitude toward luxury brands. Accordingly, the findings found support for the balance theory. The results also suggest that the owners of luxury brands should carefully manage the country of origin because it will affect the overall brand image, as perceived by consumers. Limitations and future directions of the study are also included at the end of the article.
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Introduction

Over the past few years, luxury brands have been recognized as one of the most rapidly growing businesses in numerous emerging markets worldwide. For instance, within the Asian market, the trade in luxury products in Malaysia and Indonesia is expected to rise significantly. Euromonitor's (2020) report stated that despite the reduction in Malaysian consumer confidence in the country’s economic growth, the demand for luxury brands among consumers and tourists in Malaysia has continued to rise. In fact, in 2013, Bain & Company classified Malaysia as one of the six Southeast Asian countries that contributed to the luxury market’s development (D’arpizio and Levato, 2013). Given the growing demand for luxury goods, fashion brands are considered to play a significant role in affecting consumers’ lifestyles (McColl and Moore, 2011). The growth is attributed to the substantial increase in Malaysian household disposable incomes and the drastic changes to luxury brand outlets in Malaysia’s retail landscape (Young, 2013). Indeed, the COVID-19 pandemic has not hampered sales of luxury brands, as more brands are reinventing and re-imaging themselves to fit into the digital revolution and face the new normal lifestyles worldwide. Many luxury brands have recently joined omnichannel, in cooperation with Amazon, to reach potential customers (Deloitte, 2020).

Interestingly, the demand for luxury fashion brands is not only popular among adults or higher income earners. It has also recorded an increasing demand from millennial consumers. According to the Luxury Goods World Market Study report cited by D’arpizio et al., (2019), young consumers, especially millennials born between early 1980 and 2000, are estimated to represent 55% of the global personal luxury goods market by 2025. Despite their youthfulness and relatively low-incomes, millennials are eager to look fashionable by dressing in the latest fashions, to project their professional images. They tend to be stylish, up-to-date, and keen to shop frequently.

Furthermore, previous studies have shown that millennial consumers are highly brand conscious and willing to spend extra money on luxury products to enhance their credibility, image, and reputation (Chan, To, and Chu, 2015; Eastman, Iyer and Thomas, 2012; Giovannini, Xu and Thomas, 2015; Kapferer and Michaut-Denizeau, 2020). Concisely, this indicates that millennial consumers are among the market segment who have a high degree of demand for luxury products. Hence, it is crucial to understand how millennial consumers respond to Malaysia’s luxury fashion brands, especially in their attitude and purchase behavior.

A literature review indicates that studies undertaken to understand consumer behavior toward luxury fashion brands have received considerable attention through the theory of planned behavior (Jain, Khan, and Misra, 2017; Zhang, Cude, and Zhao, 2020). However, those studies focused on general consumers and did not specifically understand millennial consumers, who are unique and behave differently. Despite the significant contribution of the millennials’ cohort to the luxury brand market (D’arpizio and Levato, 2013), studies examining the factors influencing millennials’ attitudes and behavior toward luxury fashion brands are rather limited, especially in the Malaysian context (Burnasheva, GuSuh, and Villalobos-Moron, 2019; Kasuma et al., 2016). Most previous studies attempted to link perceived value, functional value, individual value, and so-
cial value to the purchase of luxury brands (Giovannini et al., 2015; Hashim et al., 2019; Kasuma et al., 2016). Besides, Teo, Nik, and Azman (2017) examined how fashion knowledge, brand confidence, fashion lifestyle, and fashion patronage behavior influence millennials’ fashion involvement. There are a limited number of studies aimed at understanding millennials’ attitudes toward luxury goods and what influences them.

The demand for luxury fashion brands actually could be underpinned by the attitude toward luxury brands. In short, an attitude refers to a person’s tendency to react in consistently favorable or unfavorable ways toward specific issues (Hill, Fishbein, and Ajzen, 1977). It is assumed that the more favorable the attitude is, the higher the demand for luxury fashion brands is. Thus, attitude relates very closely to oneself, which leads to the question of what influences one’s attitude? Previous studies were based on the theory of planned behavior. As a result, the most discussed determinants are limited to the predictors of that theory: attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. This study is based on a basic attitude formation theory, namely the balance theory, which aims to understand what influences a person’s attitude (i.e., millennials). The balance theory proposed by Heider (1958) suggests that a person’s attitude toward a particular system depends on three main elements: person (P), other person (O), and object (X).

Beauloye (2020) stated that “millennials value luxury brands that celebrate their passions and individuality in a way that can be visually shared on social media.” Besides, there is also a strong stigma among Asian consumers of “Western stuff is hot” among the young generation (Heine, Atwal, and He, 2019). Based on these statements, it seems that the country of origin (COO) and use of social media could explain millennials' attitudes toward luxury brands. However, there have been mixed results in the past, and the predictors seem to have been neglected in understanding millennials' attitudes. For instance, Daswani (2015) claimed that millennial consumers are not concerned about country of origin (COO) but might appreciate design and innovation. Hence, it is crucial to examine how these predictors could explain the millennials' attitudes. Based on the balance theory, millennials are the “person,” how they perceive electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) could be considered as “other,” and the COO’s image could serve as the “object.” In order to form a favorable attitude, the relationship between these elements must be balanced.

Aiello et al., (2009) studied the international perspective on luxury brands and the effect of the country of origin. The scholars further added that the impact of COO might be varied between countries and the causal model, in that the integration of the brand and COO should be evaluated by understanding the attitude toward luxury brands. Mohd, Nasser, and Mohamad (2007) indicated that the COO would affect higher order consumers’ brand building behavior as in customer-based brand equity (CBBE) model which is related to brand loyalty and brand association as compared to the lowest level i.e. brand salience. Scholars such as Phau and Leng (2008) asserted that local and foreign luxury brands (COO elements) affect attitudes toward luxury fashion differently.

More importantly, Chu, Kamal, and Kim (2013) suggested that social media usage would also affect millennials' intentions to purchase luxury products. Burnasheva et al., (2019) also highlighted that social media us-
age is different and unique for each country. Besides, in the context of today's digital marketing era and the high dependency of millennials on social media interaction, Burnashova et al., (2019) and Abubakar, Ilkan, and Sahin (2016) stressed that eWOM significantly affects a consumer's attitude and purchase decision. Meanwhile, Sijoria, Mukherjee, and Datta (2018) added that eWOM plays a significant role in strengthening brand equity. More importantly, peer communication via social media supports brand-related information sharing (Delafrooz, Rahmati and Abdi, 2019).

Numerous studies have been conducted to understand COO and consumers' attitudes on overall consumer behavior, specifically in Malaysia. For instance, comparative analyses based on Malaysia versus China have been conducted to explore branding (Lew and Sulaiman, 2014; Sarwar et al., 2013), halal country of origin (Rios, Riquelme and Abdelaziz, 2014), attitude toward international products (Asshidin, Abidin, and Borhan, 2016), and COO's image (Adenan, Kasuma, and Abang Abdul Rahman, 2018). However, few studies have explored the effect of COO on attitude and the intention to purchase luxury brands, especially among millennial consumers. Malaysian consumers, especially youngsters, are truly brand conscious (Kasuma et al., 2016). Besides, there is a shred of evidence for a significant difference in attitude formation across generations (Lambert-Pandraud and Laurent, 2010).

To understand the effect of COO on attitude formation and intention to purchase eWOM has been introduced into the existing body of knowledge. According to Chao and Rajendran (1993), the impact of COO on attitude formation could be reduced when it is tested with multiple cues. Millennials are identified as socially active in searching for and exchanging information online, and are thus referred to as “digital natives” (Sozio, 2010). Hence, it is worth including eWOM in the present model. Specifically, this study examines the millennials’ responses, in terms of their attitude formation and how it subsequently affects their intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. Based on the extensive literature and mixed results linking the proposed direct relationship between COO and eWOM, the attitude toward luxury fashion brands is also proposed to mediate the relationship between COO, eWOM, and intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.

**Literature Review**

Different generations buy different brands (Lambert-Pandraud and Laurent, 2010); elderly consumers buy older brands, younger consumers tend to be more brand conscious but they are not brand loyal (Little, 2012). Millennial consumers are also known as consumers with a high level of self-esteem (Hashim et al., 2019). They prefer reputable and luxury brands (especially foreign brands) to secure social recognition. Besides, millennials are also a group of consumers who have a high dependency on their peers during purchase decisions (Fernandez, 2009).

Chadha and Husband (2006) refer to luxury fashions as fashionable and high-quality goods made by reputable luxury brands. Fashion products portray an ideal context to evaluate millennials' attitudes toward luxury brands and purchase intentions. Fashion apparel is considered a highly self-expressive product, and hence the possession of luxury-branded clothing is viewed as a vital status indicator for millennials (Casidy, Nuryana, and Hati, 2015; Sierra and Hyman, 2011; Koksal, 2014). Correspondingly, other relat-
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studies have suggested that luxury fashion brands enable consumers to demonstrate their self-concepts, improve their self-esteem, and make a good impression on their peers (Deeter-Schmelz, Moore and Goebel, 2000; Taylor and Cosenza, 2002; Piacentini and Mailer, 2004; Husic and Cicic, 2009; Casidy et al., 2015).

This research fits within the above theoretical perspectives, considering the recent developments in social media involvement among millennials (especially eWOM) for influencing consumers' decision-making processes. This study attempts to add to the existing knowledge on how the COO's image and eWOM influence millennials' attitudes toward luxury brands and their purchase intention, which is somewhat limited (Godey et al., 2012).

Intention to Purchase Luxury Brand

Based on the marketing literature, the relationship between intention and behavior is best explained through the theory of planned behavior (TPB) (Ajzen, 1991). The TPB assumes that people's behavior is best predicted by examining one's intention toward the behavior. Consumer purchase intention is deemed the gesture or indication of a consumer's actual purchase (Mamat, Noor, and Noor, 2016). The intention is presumed to develop the determination factors which affect the behavior. It demonstrates the amount of effort an individual is planning to employ, or how determined the person is to execute the behavior (Ajzen, 1991). Prior studies reflect that there is a positive and significant relationship between intention and actual purchase behavior. Hence, this study attempts to examine consumers' intentions to purchase rather than examining the consumers' actual purchases, to understand the phenomena at hand. Besides, Ajzen (1991) suggested that intention and behavior may be influenced by several other predictors, such as the attitude toward the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control.

The study by Botha and Reyneke (2016) among 338 millennials in South Africa found that certain factors, such as social value, personal value, functional value, self-congruity, brand consciousness, and social media significantly affected millennials' purchase intention of a luxury brand. Materialism plays a significant impact. In Malaysia, the study by Kasuma et al. (2016) of 200 millennial respondents indicated that financial value, functional value, individual value, and social value are critical determinants for the intention to purchase luxury handbags. Besides, Ali and Shafique (2015) studied Pakistani intentions to purchase luxury fashion goods by examining three main predictors, namely: materialism, social comparison, and fashion innovativeness, with the attitude toward luxury fashion as a mediating variable. This study, with 112 respondents, found that only social comparison statistically affects the attitude toward luxury fashion. Materialism, fashion innovativeness, and attitude toward luxury fashion do not significantly influence the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.

The Balance Theory

As mentioned earlier, the TPB has been widely used to understand consumers' behavior toward luxury brands. In the TPB, attitude, the subjective norm, perceived behavioral control, and intention are used to predict behavior. This study investigates in-depth how millennials form attitudes toward luxury fashion brands by employing the basic attitude formation theory, namely
the balance theory, and extending it into the intention to purchase. The balance theory assumes that individuals will form their attitudes based on how they perceive things and how they perceive the views of other people toward a certain object (Heider, 1946). According to Heider (1946), the balance theory builds upon a triadic relationship between: a person (P), another person (O), and an object (X). In order to form a positive attitude, the relationship between P, O, and X must be balanced. In this case, P are the millennials, O is how eWOM is perceived by other people, and X is the COO's image of luxury fashion brands. Hence, to understand the phenomenon, namely luxury fashion brands among millennials, Heider's balance theory, which incorporates the importance of interpersonal relationships, is relevant to underpin the proposed model. According to Heider (1958), emotions and social relationships, or perceptions between people on certain subjects, must be harmonized to create cognitive consistency (likes or dislikes). Every person has their own opinion, which possibly traps a person in a situation where their opinion conflicts with that of others. Such an imbalance or disagreement could lead to a feeling of discomfort. Considering that millennials are known to have robust social networks (Park, Chen and Cheng, 2021), the opinion of others through eWOM also perhaps helps shape their attitude. In this context, even though millennials could have a positive perception toward the COO's image, if they received negative feedback from eWOM, they might have a negative attitude toward luxury fashion brands due to an imbalance or perceived disagreement.

The balance theory is widely used in psychology and marketing, primarily for understanding the effect of brand and celebrity endorsements (such as Yakut, 2017). The balance theory states that if people like certain celebrities, and those celebrities use specific brands, then there is a greater tendency for people to have a positive attitude toward the brand. Similarly, in the context of luxury fashion brands, it is postulated that the more positive the perceptions are that consumers have toward the origin of the brand (country of origin image) and it is positively reviewed by others (eWOM), the more favorable the consumers' attitudes will be toward the luxury fashion brand.

**Relationship Between The Coo’s Image, Ewom, and The Attitude Toward Luxury on Intention to Purchase Luxury Fashion Brand**

Country of origin refers to the country where a manufacturer's brand or product is associated with and where the products are manufactured or assembled (Mohd et al., 2007; Valaei and Nikhashemi, 2017). The country of origin reflects the product/service's perceived image. Roth and Romeo (1992) state that the country of origin's image refers to all the consumers’ established perceptions about the products from that particular country, based on their existing perceptions of that country's manufacturing and marketing strengths and weaknesses. The most valuable recorded luxury fashion brands originate from developed countries such as Italy (Giorgio Armani, Versace), France (Louis Vuitton, Gucci), the United Kingdom (Chanel, Burberry), and the United States of America (Calvin Klein, Coach) (Deloitte, 2019). However, in today's emerging economies, it is common to have luxury brands originating from, and produced in, developing countries, such as China,
For instance, luxury brands such as Louis Vuitton, Gucci, and Burberry are also produced in China (Xu, 2020). Besides, luxury brands also originate from developing countries, such as from China’s local fashion designers, namely, Ne-Tiger, Longio, and Shang Xia (ATKearney, 2021). Meanwhile, the luxury fashion brands such as Farah Khan and Jimmy Choo originated in Malaysia, and Kraton, Peggy Hartanto, and Nataoka came from Indonesia (Dettmann, 2020; Khoo, 2019).

Relationship Between Coo’s Image, Attitude, and Intention to Purchase

Previous studies indicated that the brand name and country image are extrinsic indications consumers apply during their product-evaluation processes. The influence of the country of origin’s image significantly influences the purchase of luxury goods compared to other product categories (Shukla, 2011; Vijaranakorn and Shannon, 2017). This is supported by Nayir and Durmusoglu (2008), who indicate that the country of origin’s image is the best external indicator for a product’s prestige, mostly when the customer lacks knowledge and information about international brands (Hamzaoui and Merunka, 2006; Moradi and Zarei, 2012). Esmaeilpour and Abdolvand (2016) indicate that, when consumers are unfamiliar (lack of information) with the brand’s or product’s country, there is a greater tendency for the brand to be excluded from their evaluation list. Thus, the country of origin’s image plays a significant role in affecting consumers’ beliefs and attitudes toward luxury brands. A study among 419 Iranian Gen-Y members indicates that only partial COO image dimensions (i.e., technology advance) significantly affect the attitude toward luxury goods (Esmaeilpour and Abdolvand, 2016). The authors further suggest that the relationship should be tested in other countries, considering the different cultural settings. Besides, an interview among 165 consumers from eight countries emphasizes the importance of the brand, the COO, and the youngsters that make up the luxury brand’s market (Aiello et al., 2009). A literature review also found that the country of origin’s image can positively and negatively affect attitudes and purchase decisions. More importantly, Chao and Rajendran (1993) opined that the effect of the COO on attitude formation might also be reduced when assessed with multiple cues, this subsequently may affect the balance theory of attitude. The study by Abdolvand, Forough and Tavakkoli Targhi (2017) among 150 Iranian students further asserted that the COO’s image influences consumers’ perceptions and significantly affects the intention to purchase luxury branded Swiss watches. However, in the event of a multiple cues assessment, primarily using the extrinsic cues such as the price and brand, the effect of the COO is less critical for evaluating luxury items (Montanari et al., 2018). This is in line with Prendergast, Tsang and Chan’s (2010) finding that extends the concept of the COO into the country of brand (COB). The scholars reveal that the COB has a significant influence on the intention to purchase, especially for consumers in a high involvement group. Based on the preceding discussion, it is hypothesized that:

H1: Country of origin has a positive relationship with the attitude toward luxury brands.

H2: Country of origin has a positive relationship with the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.
Relationship Between e-WOM, Attitude, and Intention to Purchase

The balance theory also suggests that attitude formation depends on what millennials perceive about certain things and on how others perceive the same things. Millennials typically depend a great deal on peer communication. The most common type of peer communication appears in the form of word-of-mouth (WOM). WOM is known to have a significant influence on consumer behavior. WOM refers to "any informal, person-to-person communication process between a perceived non-commercial communicator and the receiver regarding a service, organization, brand or product" (Walker, 2001:pp.63). Even though the communicator does not formally represent the organization and/or the brand, their shared comments, opinions, and suggestions could significantly impact the overall organization's and/or the brand's performance. Essentially, WOM is free advertising. Given the powerful impact of social media today, WOM via electronic media, or eWOM, is rather significant in determining people's attitudes and behavior toward a particular brand. According to Dinh and Mai (2016), eWOM refers to "any positive or negative statement made by potential, actual or former customers about a product or company." Nowadays, the consumer buying process is made more accessible. Consumers always refer to product information online, primarily based on testimonials and recommendations, mainly to reduce uncertainty and product risk (Abubakar et al., 2016), especially considering the premium price of luxury brands. Negative expressions via social media, or online forums such as Facebook and Instagram, would tarnish the overall brand image, affecting potential consumers' attitudes, behavior, expectations, and perceptions (Trusov, Bucklin, and Pauwels, 2009). Though one would think WOM and eWOM are similar, Park, Lee, and Han (2007) stated that eWOM is more influential in affecting consumers' attitudes and behavior. Kudesia and Kumar (2017) proposed that positive social eWOM, such as Facebook, will significantly impact brand attitude and purchase intention. A study among 311 Indian consumers revealed that positive reviews from a social network such as Facebook could enhance a message's credibility. It has been found that eWOM statistically influences both people's attitudes toward a brand and their purchase intentions. However, the context of that study was based on smartphones. Hence, it is worth studying such impacts in the luxury fashion context, especially among Malaysian millennials. Besides, Prendergast, Ko, and Yuen's (2010) study among 150 Hong Kong consumers found that their attitude toward online WOM directly and indirectly relates to their purchase intention. Farzin and Fattahi (2018) studied 369 university students in Iran and concluded that eWOM directly and indirectly affects purchase intentions. Hence, based on the preceding discussion, it is hypothesized that:

H3: eWOM has a positive relationship with the attitude toward luxury brands.

H4: eWOM has a positive relationship with the intention to purchase a luxury fashion brand.

Relationship Between Attitude Toward Luxury Brand and Intention to Purchase

Consumers' evaluations of their attitudes toward the brands often use evaluative scales from favorable to unfavorable or lik-
ing to disliking (Chang and Thorson, 2004; Escalas, 2004; Miniard et al., 1991; O’Cass and Choy, 2008). Hence, if a person believes that certain behavior would lead to favorable outcomes, then his or her attitude toward conducting the behavior is presumed to be positive. A vast body of knowledge indicates that consumers’ attitudes significantly influence consumers’ buying decision processes for luxury brands. For instance, Zhang and Kim (2013) highlighted that attitude is influenced by brand consciousness, social comparison, and fashion innovativeness. Another study found that consumer attitude has a significant relationship and effect toward the intention to purchase luxury fashion apparel made in sweatshops, such as in the survey conducted by Phau, Teah, and Chuah (2015). Rolling and Sadachar (2017) attempted to examine the attitude toward sustainable luxury fashion brands on the purchase intention of sustainable luxury brands among millennials. The scholars found the attitude toward sustainable luxury brands significantly influenced millennials’ purchase intentions of luxury fashion brands. Thus, it is hypothesized that:

H5: Attitude toward luxury fashion has a positive relationship with the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.

Mediation Effect of Attitude Toward Luxury Brands

Bilge (2015) opined that consumers would have positive and negative attitudes toward luxury goods depending on the functionality, experimentality, and symbolism of the goods. Dubois, Czellar, and Laurent (2005) emphasized that consumers could be divided into three separate groups based on their attitudes toward luxury. The first group has the “elitism attitude,” which is related to the belief that luxury is only accessible to certain people. Secondly, the “democratic attitude” believes that luxury should be available to everyone, and finally, the “distant attitude” where people believed that luxury is a different world to which they do not belong. The latter group may perceive luxury as unfavorable and consider it unnecessary and over-priced. Hence, the more negative a consumer’s attitude toward luxury brands is, the lower the intention to purchase luxury brands will be. A literature review also indicates the indirect effect of the COO’s image and eWOM on the intention to purchase a luxury brand. For instance, Abdolvand et al., (2017) in a study involving luxury Swiss watches emphasizes how the COO’s image formed consumers’ perceptions and how those perceptions influence the intention to purchase luxury brands. Besides, Elseidi and El-Baz (2016) and Kudeshia and Kumar (2017) also recorded the interaction of attitude on the relationship between eWOM and the intention to buy a certain brand of smartphone. Sheeraz et al., (2016) also highlighted the mediation role of attitude in explaining consumer purchase intentions. Hence, it is proposed that the attitude toward luxury fashion brands would mediate the relationship between the COO’s image and eWOM on the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. Based on the above discussion, the following hypotheses were developed:

H6: Attitude toward luxury brands mediates the relationship between the country of origin’s image and the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.

H7: Attitude toward luxury brands mediates the relationship between eWOM and the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands.
Based on the preceding discussion, the following Figure 1 summarizes the proposed research framework of this study:
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Figure 1. Research framework

**Methods**

This study employed a quantitative approach based on a cross-sectional study. This correlational study aimed to link the relationship between the country of origin's image, eWOM, people's attitudes, and the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands by millennial consumers. For the purpose of the study, postgraduate students from one of the top-ranked management-based universities in Malaysia were approached, as this group of students could satisfy millennial categorization requirements. According to Ordun (2015) and Yazıcı (2016), a person born between 1981 to 1996 is considered to be a millennial or Generation Y. The total population of the study was 4,389. Based on Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) sample size determination table, a sample of 351 respondents would be considered adequate to represent the population under study. As a result, 400 questionnaires were distributed. As the sampling frame was not accessible due to privacy and confidentiality requirements for data collection purposes, this study employed systematic sampling through “faculty interception” (Hornik and Ellis, 1988). Every 5th graduate entering the postgraduate building was approached with the screening questions about their age, to see if they were millennials, if they were familiar with any social media networks, and were familiar with any luxury fashion brands. Thus, based on the criteria set, the sampling method of this study was based on purposive sampling. A total of 400 questionnaires were obtained after two months. However, during the data’s cleaning and screening process, 67 questionnaires were excluded due to incomplete answers or technical errors, resulting in 333 remaining items with usable data.

For the data’s collection, a research instrument, namely a questionnaire, was developed by this study. Most measures were adapted from previous studies. For instance, the measurement for the attitude toward luxury fashion brands was adapted from Cassidy et al., (2015) with five items. Based on the luxury brand literature, attitude could be based on the cognitive (what we think and believe) and affective (what we feel and experience) components (Bian and Forsythe,
This study employed the balance theory to understand how millennials form their attitudes, based on the perception of the COO’s image and eWOM. Hence, millennials were expected to reflect what they feel and experience about luxury fashion. Thus,

| Variables                      | Items                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Source                                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Country of origin’s image     | I believe that:                                                                                                                                                                                      | Vijaranakorn, and Shannon (2017)            |
|                               | 1. The luxury fashion brands originating from developed countries are more sophisticatedly made, compared to those from developing countries.                                                        |                                             |
|                               | 2. The luxury fashion brands originating from developed countries last for a long time, compared to those from developing countries.                                                                 |                                             |
|                               | 3. The luxury fashion brands originating from developed countries are excellent compared to those from developing countries.                                                                      |                                             |
|                               | 4. Developed countries have a reputation for making useful products, reflected in the luxury fashion products of their brands, compared to those from developing countries.                         |                                             |
|                               | 5. Developed countries have expertise in the merchandise they offer, reflected in the luxury fashion products of their brands, compared to those from developing countries.                       |                                             |
| eWOM                          | 1. I often read other consumers’ posts on social media to make sure I buy the right luxury fashion brands.                                                                                             | Abubakar et al., (2016)                     |
|                               | 2. I often read other consumers’ posts on social media to know what luxury fashion brands make a good impression on others.                                                                             |                                             |
|                               | 3. I often read other consumers’ posts on social media to gather information about luxury fashion brands.                                                                                               |                                             |
|                               | 4. I often read other consumers’ posts on social media to have confidence in my buying decision for luxury fashion brands.                                                                               |                                             |
|                               | 5. If I do not read consumers’ online product reviews when I buy a luxury fashion brand, I worry about my decision.                                                                                      |                                             |
| Attitude toward luxury brand  | 1. I look my best when wearing a luxury fashion brand name.                                                                                                                                          | Casidy et al., (2015)                       |
|                               | 2. I consider the luxury fashion brand name when purchasing clothing for myself.                                                                                                                    |                                             |
|                               | 3. I like to wear luxury fashion brand names.                                                                                                                                                         |                                             |
|                               | 4. I will pay a higher price for luxury fashion brands that a popular designer or manufacturer makes.                                                                                                 |                                             |
|                               | 5. I usually shop in fashionable luxury stores.                                                                                                                                                      |                                             |
| Intention to purchase luxury brands | 1. I intend to buy luxury fashion brands constantly.                                                                                                                                               | Qian et al., 2017                           |
|                               | 2. I intend to purchase luxury fashion brands within the next year.                                                                                                                                   |                                             |
|                               | 3. The probability that I would buy luxury fashion brands within the next 12 months is high.                                                                                                            |                                             |
|                               | 4. There is a strong possibility that I will buy luxury fashion brand products.                                                                                                                        |                                             |
|                               | 5. Whenever I need to buy goods, it is very likely that I will purchase a luxury fashion brand product.                                                                                                 |                                             |
|                               | 6. I will buy luxury fashion brand products in the near future.                                                                                                                                      |                                             |
according to Sweeney and Soutar (2001), the attitude toward luxury brands could be derived from the feelings generated by the luxury brands themselves, which could be related to the affective component. The measure for the country of origin originated from Vijaranakorn and Shannon (2017) with five items, and eWOM’s measurement was adapted from Abubakar et al., (2016) with five items. Lastly, the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands was adapted from Soh, Rezaei and Gu, (2017) with six items. Table 1 summarizes the measurement items. Most items in this study mainly used a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "1-strongly disagree" to "5-strongly agree." The data analysis was performed by using SPSS Version 24.0 and SmartPLS 3.0.

Results

Table 2 presents the demographic profile of the respondents. Based on Table 2, most of the respondents were female and aged between 26 to 29 years old. About 53% of the respondents were Malays. The majority of the respondents were pursuing a Master's program (89.8%). Regarding their monthly income, about 32.1% earned less than RM1,000 and 28.8% between RM1,000 to RM3,000 per month. The remaining earned more than RM3,001 per month.

| No. | Demographic       | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----|-------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1.  | Gender            |               |                |
|     | Male              | 58            | 17.4           |
|     | Female            | 275           | 82.6           |
| 2.  | Age               |               |                |
|     | 20 to 25 years old | 114           | 34.2           |
|     | 26 to 29 years old | 149           | 44.7           |
|     | 30 to 33 years old | 57            | 17.1           |
|     | 34 to 37 years old | 13            | 3.9            |
| 3.  | Ethnicity         |               |                |
|     | Malay             | 176           | 52.9           |
|     | Indian            | 45            | 13.5           |
|     | Chinese           | 108           | 32.4           |
|     | Others            | 4             | 1.2            |
| 4.  | Academic program  |               |                |
|     | Master            | 299           | 89.8           |
|     | PhD               | 34            | 10.2           |
| 5.  | Monthly income    |               |                |
|     | Less than RM1,000 | 107           | 32.1           |
|     | RM1,000 to RM2,000 | 96            | 28.8           |
|     | RM2,001 to RM3,000 | 70            | 21             |
|     | RM3,001 to RM4,000 | 45            | 13.5           |
|     | RM4,001 to RM5,000 | 10            | 3              |
|     | Above RM5,001     | 5             | 1.5            |
Assessment of Measurement Model

This study employed the two-stage model approach suggested by Chin (2010) which included measurement and structural models using SmartPLS-SEM 3.0. Figure 2 summarizes the measurement model of this study. The measurement model was used to assess the relationship among the indicators and the latent variables. The structural model specifically assessed the relationship among the latent variables (Hair et al., 2014; Henseler and Fassott, 2010). The measurement model was assessed through composite reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. Internal consistency reliability was assessed to see whether the measure consistently represented the same construct which was assessed via composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE). The acceptable threshold level for CR was 0.60 to 0.70 for exploratory research (Hair et al., 2014).

Based on Table 3, the composite reliability for all the study’s constructs ranged from 0.856 to 0.899, which assured the measurement model’s reliability. To assess the convergent validity, i.e., the degree to which one particular measure of the construct related to the other measure of the same underlying construct, the value of AVE was examined. Henseler and Fassot (2010) suggested that the AVE should be greater than 0.5 to show the amount of variance the items accounted for in the latent variable. Based on Table 3, all the constructs gained convergent validity as all the AVE ranged from 0.516 to 0.641.

Discriminant validity refers to the degree to which indicators differentiate across constructs by checking the overlapping correlation between measures. This study employed cross-loading criteria as suggested by Hair et
al., (2014). According to Hair et al., (2014), the difference between loadings among latent variables must not be less than 0.1. Based on Table 4, the result suggested there was adequate discriminant validity. Besides, Fornell and Larker’s criteria and HTMT also found no violation of the criteria.

### Table 3. Internal Consistency and Convergent Validity Assessment

| Construct/Dimensions                  | Item   | Loading | Cronbach's Alpha | Composite Reliability (CR) | Average Variance Extracted (AVE) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Intention to purchase luxury brand   | ITP1   | 0.77    | 0.815            | 0.865                      | 0.516                            |
|                                      | ITP2   | 0.721   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ITP3   | 0.677   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ITP4   | 0.747   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ITP5   | 0.716   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ITP6   | 0.676   |                  |                            |                                  |
| Attitude toward luxury brands        | ATT1   | 0.703   | 0.859            | 0.899                      | 0.641                            |
|                                      | ATT2   | 0.768   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ATT3   | 0.857   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ATT4   | 0.824   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | ATT5   | 0.843   |                  |                            |                                  |
| Country of origin                    | COO1   | 0.644   | 0.788            | 0.856                      | 0.544                            |
|                                      | COO2   | 0.681   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | COO3   | 0.735   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | COO4   | 0.798   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | COO5   | 0.798   |                  |                            |                                  |
| eWOM                                 | EWOM1  | 0.773   | 0.843            | 0.890                      | 0.620                            |
|                                      | EWOM2  | 0.836   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | EWOM3  | 0.820   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | EWOM4  | 0.858   |                  |                            |                                  |
|                                      | EWOM5  | 0.628   |                  |                            |                                  |

### Table 4. Cross Loading

| Attitude toward luxury brands | COO image | Intention to Purchase Luxury Brands | eWOM |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------|
| ATT1                          | 0.703     | 0.295                              | 0.372 | 0.358 |
| ATT2                          | 0.768     | 0.229                              | 0.413 | 0.374 |
| ATT3                          | 0.857     | 0.317                              | 0.492 | 0.459 |
| ATT4                          | 0.824     | 0.243                              | 0.468 | 0.398 |
| ATT5                          | 0.843     | 0.273                              | 0.555 | 0.408 |
| EWOM1                         | 0.396     | 0.37                                | 0.301 | **0.773** |
| EWOM2                         | 0.376     | 0.305                              | 0.276 | **0.836** |
| EWOM3                         | 0.349     | 0.391                              | 0.347 | **0.82** |
| EWOM4                         | 0.479     | 0.349                              | 0.34  | **0.858** |
| EWOM5                         | 0.352     | 0.225                              | 0.183 | **0.628** |
| COO1                          | 0.409     | **0.664**                          | 0.295 | 0.371 |
| COO2                          | 0.293     | **0.681**                          | 0.338 | 0.371 |
Assessment of Structural Model

The five-step approach for assessing the structural model was followed as proposed by Henseler and Fassot (2010). Firstly, it was essential to ensure that there was no collinearity issue in the inner model of the study. Table 5 reports the collinearity test of the model. The VIF values for all the constructs were below 10 (Sarstedt and Mooi, 2014).

Table 5. Collinearity assessment

| Variable/dimensions                  | VIF  |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| COO image                            | 1.247|
| Attitude toward luxury fashion brands| 1.364|
| eWOM                                 | 1.469|

Hence, it indicated that there was no violation of multicollinearity.

![Figure 3. Structural model]
Secondly, to answer all the hypotheses in this study, a bootstrapping procedure was employed. Figure 2 presents the structural model of this study. The details are shown in Table 6.

The next step was to measure the model’s predictive accuracy via its co-efficient determination (R2). R2 represents the amount of variance in the millennials’ intention to purchase luxury fashion brands by their country of origin, eWOM, and attitude toward luxury fashion brands. In this study, 45.2% of the variance in the millennials’ intention to purchase luxury fashion brands could be explained by the country of origin and the attitude toward luxury fashion brands. A 27% variance in attitude toward luxury fashion brands was explained by the country of origin and eWOM. According to Hair et al., (2014), R2 values for this study described a substantial level of predictive accuracy. The fourth step was to determine the effect size via $f^2$ (Cohen, 1988). Effect size measures the relative impact of exogenous variables to the endogenous variable. According to (Cohen, 1988), $f^2$ is assessed at 0.02 (small), 0.15 (medium), and 0.35 (large). Table 7 shows the effect size of the predictors.

The last step was to assess the predictive relevance (Q2) using the blindfolding procedure.
A value larger than zero would indicate that the predictor constructs had adequate predictive relevance (Hair et al., 2014). Q2 values for the intention to purchase luxury brands and attitude toward luxury brands were 0.209 and 0.16, respectively, suggesting an adequate predictive relevance. Table 8 summarizes the values for the coefficient of determination (R²), predictive relevance (Q²), and effect size (f²) for the structural model.

### Discussion

The findings revealed that the COO’s image significantly affected the attitude toward luxury fashion brands and the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. The findings concur with the previous studies of Montanari et al., (2018) and Hien et al., (2020). In this study, regarding the COO’s image, the respondents were asked questions such as how they rate the image of luxury fashion brands from developed versus developing countries, in terms of their attributes such as sophistication, durability, performance, and reputation. The mean score for the COO’s image was 3.77, indicating that the respondents preferred luxury fashion brands from developed countries more, based on the attributes that were mentioned. Using this millennials sample, the higher the COO’s image was perceived by the consumers, the more favorable their attitude toward luxury fashion brands was, and the higher the propensity to purchase luxury fashion brands was. The result holds as this sample involved a university sample. About 80% of the students were Master’s degree holders. They were mature and experienced consumers and capable of making good decisions. Besides, millennial consumers believe that developed countries have technologically advanced and highly competitive capabilities, thus producing better quality, more reliable, and more valuable luxury fashion brands (Kalicharan, 2014).

Surprisingly, eWOM was statistically insignificant in explaining the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands among millennial consumers. The result was inconsistent with the previous studies of Abubakar et al., (2016) and Farzin and Fattahi (2018). However, the finding was consistent with the remark made by Milaković, Mihić, and Mazić (2020).
Ivasečko (2017) that indicated the significant effect of WOM on the purchase intention but not for eWOM. Based on the findings, eWOM (reference to social media to support the decision being made, information seeking, review of others) only contributed to the attitude formation toward luxury fashion brands, but it had little influence on the consumers' intentions to purchase luxury fashion items. The plausible explanation is that reviews, recommendations, and information provided online are subject to trust and authenticity issues (Milaković et al., 2017), thus reducing the influence of eWOM on the purchase intention. Perhaps, eWOM such as that from social media, is shared by an unknown or distant acquaintance. The higher the distance between observer and subject, the lower the effect of the message/signal would be on other behavior (Warren and Mohr, 2017). Besides, Barnes (2015) indicated that the impact of eWOM on the purchase decision was product category-specific, especially for millennial consumers.

In this study, the attitude toward luxury fashion brands was proposed to mediate the relationship between the COO’s image and eWOM on the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. The findings were supported whereby the attitude toward luxury fashion brands mediated the relationship between the COO’s image and eWOM on the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. This study extends the literature on luxury fashion brands, using the millennials sample, indicating the importance of attitude formation toward luxury fashion brands. The COO’s image had both direct and indirect effects on the intention to purchase a luxury fashion brand, whereby eWOM only had an indirect effect on the intention to purchase a luxury fashion brand.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study contributes both theoretical and practical implications. The following subsections will further elaborate on the contributions.

Theoretical Implication

Based on the findings and the balance theory, both the COO’s image and eWOM positively affect the attitude toward luxury fashion brands. Hence, millennial consumers would not solely depend on their perception of a particular object (COO’s image) for the luxury fashion brand’s context but may also depend on how others view it (eWOM). Based on the balance theory, the positive relationship between the elements would facilitate a favorable attitude formation. If one relationship between the elements is not positively related, it is not balanced, thus significantly affecting the attitude formation. This study extended the boundary of knowledge on the applicability of the balance theory on attitude formation toward luxury fashion brands and extended it into the intention to purchase. The findings add to the existing knowledge in the COO literature, especially for luxury fashion brands. As the past literature indicated that the effect of COO would be less when multiple cues were presented, this study revealed that other extrinsic cues, namely eWOM, failed to play a significant role in facilitating the purchase intention of luxury fashion brands. The effect size shows that the COO’s image explains the more prominent effect on the intention to purchase, whereby eWOM explains a larger effect on the attitude toward luxury fashion brands.
Practical Implication

This study also helps the managers of luxury fashion brands to understand how the COO’s image plays a significant role in forming millennials’ attitudes toward luxury fashion brands and their purchase intentions. Millennial consumers perceive that developed countries of origin offer better product values than developing countries. In this case, luxury fashion brands from developed countries would quickly secure the millennials’ market. Brands from developing countries should cautiously market themselves to the millennials’ market. Marketers need to educate millennial consumers on the equality of the value and image of the luxury fashion brands from developing countries. A brand that goes viral through the eWOM medium could be the cheapest and easiest way to educate and communicate the value of that fashion brand. However, again, eWOM would only be influential in attitude formation but would not stimulate the intention to purchase luxury fashion brands. However, the luxury brands’ manager should not neglect what consumers post on social media because eWOM still indirectly explains the intention to purchase.

Limitation

The main limitation of this study is the use of university students as the sample. Future research should consider general consumers as the sample. Besides, a comparative study among the consumer’s generation (such as Gen X, Y and Z) also would add to the current understanding of the purchase intention and the behavior of luxury fashion brands. Future studies could also consider additional factors, or intrinsic or extrinsic cues, such as personal, interpersonal, and organizational factors.
References

Abdolvand, M. A., Forough, A., & Tavakkoli Targhi, S. (2017). Investigation the effect of country-of-origin on consumer perception and purchase intention of luxury brands: Case study - Luxury branded of Switzer watches. *Journal of Accounting & Marketing, 06*(04). https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9601.1000255

Abubakar, A. M., Ilkan, M., & Sahin, P. (2016). eWOM, eReferral and gender in the virtual community. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning, 34*(5), 692–710. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-05-2015-0090

Adenan, M. A., Ali, J. K., & Rahman, D. H. A. A. (2018). Country of Origin, Brand Image and High Involvement Product Towards Customer Purchase Intention: Empirical Evidence of East Malaysian Consumer. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan, 20*(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.20.1.63-72

Aiello, G., Donvito, R., Godoy, B., Pederzoli, D., Wiedmann, K. P., Hennigs, N., Siebels, A., Chan, P., Tsuchiya, J., Rabino, S., Skorobogatykh, I. I., Weitz, B., Oh, H., & Singh, R. (2009). An international perspective on luxury brand and country-of-origin effect. *Journal of Brand Management, 16*(5–6), 323–337. https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2008.52

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Ali, M., & Shafique, U. (2015). LUXURY FASHION CONSUMPTION IN PAKISTAN: Factors Affecting Attitude and Purchase Intent. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research, 5*(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Asshidin, N. H. N., Abidin, N., & Borhan, H. B. (2016). Consumer Attitude and Uniqueness towards International Products. *Procedia Economics and Finance, 35*(April), 632–638. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00077-0

ATKearney. (2021). *A Luxury Goods Made in China*. https://www.kearney.com/consumer-retail/article/a/luxury-goods-made-in-china

Barnes, N. G. (2015). EWOM Drives Social Commerce: A Survey of Millennials in US and Abroad. *Journal of Marketing Development and Competitiveness, 9*(2), 36–47.

Beauloye, F. (2020). *Millennials: Luxe Digital*. https://luxe.digital/business/digital-luxury-speak-easy/millenials/

Bian, Q., & Forsythe, S. (2012). Purchase intention for luxury brands: A cross cultural comparison. *Journal of Business Research, 65*(10), 1443–1451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.10.010

Bilge, H. A. (2015). Luxury Consumption : Literature Review Hümeysa A slim Bilge. *Kbazar Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 18*(1), 35–55.

Botha, N. (2015). Antecedents to the luxury purchase intentions of South African consumers. *Journal of International Marketing Strategy, 4*(1), 24–42.

Burnasheva, R., GuSuh, Y., & Villalobos-Moron, K. (2019). Factors Affecting Millennials’ Attitudes toward Luxury Fashion Brands: A Cross-Cultural Study. *International Business Research, 12*(6), 69–81. https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v12n6p69

Casidy, R., Nuryana, A. N., & Hati, S. R. H. (2015). Linking fashion consciousness with Gen Y attitude towards prestige brands. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 27*(3),
Chadha, R., & Husband, P. (2006). The Cult of The Luxury Brand: Inside Asia’s Love Affair with Luxury. Nicholas Brealey Publishing.

Chan, W. Y., To, C. K. M., & Chu, W. C. (2015). Materialistic consumers who seek unique products: How does their need for status and their affective response facilitate the repurchase intention of luxury goods? Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 27, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2015.07.001

Chang, Y., & Thorson, E. (2004). Television and web advertising synergies. Journal of Advertising, 33(2), 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2004.10639161

Chao, P., & Rajendran, K. N. (1993). Consumer Profiles and Perceptions: Country-of-origin Effects. International Marketing Review, 10(2), 22–39. https://doi.org/10.1108/02651339310032534

Chin, W. W. (2010). How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses. Springer-Verlag.

Chu, S. C., Kamal, S., & Kim, Y. (2013). Understanding consumers’ responses toward social media advertising and purchase intention toward luxury products. Journal of Global Fashion Marketing, 4(3), 158–174. https://doi.org/10.1080/20932685.2013.790709

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd Ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

D’arpizio, C., & Levato, F. (2013). Luxury Goods Worldwide Market Study Spring 2013. Boston, Massachusetts: Bain and Company. http://www.bain.com/publications/articles/luxury-goods-worldwide-market-study-fall-2013.aspx.

D’arpizio, C., Levato, F., Del Fabbo, E., & de Montgolfier, J. (2019). Luxury Goods Worldwide Market Study, Fall-Winter 2018. https://www.bain.com/contentassets/8df501b9f8d6442eba00040246c6b49f/bain_digest__luxury_goods_worldwide_market_study_fall_winter_2018.pdf

Daswani, K. (2015). Luxury brands focus on innovation and design to target millennials. https://www.scmp.com/magazines/style/article/%0A%091853101/luxury-brands-today-focus-innovation-and-design-instead-where-their%0A

Deeter-Schmelz, D. R., Moore, J. N., & Goebel, D. J. (2000). Prestige Clothing Shopping by Consumers: A Confirmatory Assessment and Refinement of the Precon Scale with Managerial Implications. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 8(4), 43–58. https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2000.11501879

Delafrooz, N., Rahmati, Y., & Abdi, M. (2019). The influence of electronic word of mouth on Instagram users: An emphasis on consumer socialization framework. Cogent Business and Management, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2019.1606973

Deloitte. (2020). Global Powers of Luxury Goods 2020- The New Age of Fashion and Luxury. https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/ve/Documents/consumer-business/Luxury-Goods-2020.pdf

Dettmann, A. (2020). 8 Indonesian Fashion Brands To Look Out For. https://jetsettimes.com/countries/indonesia/bali/bali-retreater/8-indonesian-fashion-brands-to-look-out-for/

Dinh, T. D., & Mai, K. N. (2016). Guerrilla marketing’s effects on Gen Y’s word-of-mouth intention – a mediation of credibility. The Electronic Library, 28(1), 4–22.

Dubois, B., Czellar, S., & Laurent, G. (2005). Consumer segments based on attitudes toward luxury: Empirical evidence from twenty countries. Marketing Letters, 16(2), 115–128. https://
Eastman, J. K., Iyer, R., & Thomas, S. P. (2012). The impact of generational cohorts on status consumption: An exploratory look at generational cohort and demographics on status consumption. *The Marketing Management Journal, 23*(1), 57–73. https://doi.org/10.1108/07363761211206348

Elseidi, R. I., & El-Baz, D. (2016). Electronic word of mouth effects on consumers’ brand attitudes, brand image and purchase intention: an empirical study in Egypt. *The Business and Management Review, 7*(5), 514–522. https://doi.org/10.1108/02634501211231946

Escalas, J. E. (2004). Narrative Processing: Building Consumer Connections to Brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 14* (1–2), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1401&2_19

Esmaeilpour, F., & Abdolvand, M. A. (2016). The impact of country-of-origin image on brand loyalty: evidence from Iran. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 28*(4), 709–723. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2015-0143

Euromonitor. (2020). *Luxury Goods in Malaysia*. https://www.euromonitor.com/luxury-goods-in-malaysia/report

Farzin, M., & Fattahi, M. (2018). eWOM through social networking sites and impact on purchase intention and brand image in Iran. *Journal of Advances in Management Research, 15*(2), 161–183. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-05-2017-0062

Fernandez, P. R. (2009). Impact of branding on Gen Y’s choice of clothing. *SEARCH Journal of the Southeast Asia Research Centre for Communications and Humanities, 1*(1), 79–95.

Giovannini, S., Xu, Y., & Thomas, J. (2015). Luxury fashion consumption and Generation Y consumers: Self, brand consciousness, and consumption motivations. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 19*(1), 22–40. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-08-2013-0096

Godey, B., Pedezzoli, D., Aiello, G., Donvito, R., Chan, P., Oh, H., Singh, R., Skorobogatkyh, I. I., Tsuchiya, J., & Weitz, B. (2012). Brand and country-of-origin effect on consumers’ decision to purchase luxury products. *Journal of Business Research, 65*(10), 1461–1470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.10.012

Hair, J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks.

Hamzaoui, L., & Merunka, D. (2006). The impact of country of design and country of manufacture on consumer perceptions of bi-national products’ quality: An empirical model based on the concept of fit. *Journal of Consumer Marketing, 23*(3), 145–155. https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760610663303

Hashim, H., Abdullah, S., Shafee, N. B., Suhaimi, S., & Ching, T. S. (2019). Analysis of the factors that influence customer purchase intention towards luxury fashion goods. *Humanities and Social Sciences Reviews, 7*(5), 454–458. https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7551

Heider, F. (1946). Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. *The Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied, 21*(1), 107–112.

Heider, F. (1958). *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations*. John Wiley & Sons.

Heine, K., Arwal, G., & He, J. (2019). Managing country-of-origin affiliations for luxury brand-building in China. *Australasian Marketing Journal, 27*(1), 14–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2018.09.001

Henseler, J., & Fassott, G. (2010). Testing Moderating Effects in PLS Path Models: An Illustra-
tion of Available Procedures. In V. Esposito Vinci, W. W. Chin, J. Henseler, & H. Wang (Eds.), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares: Concepts, Method, and Applications* (pp. 713–735). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8

Hien, N. N., Phuong, N. N., van Tran, T., & Thang, L. D. (2020). The effect of country-of-origin image on purchase intention: The mediating role of brand image and brand evaluation. *Management Science Letters, 10*(6), 1205–1212. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.11.038

Hill, R. J., Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1977). *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research.* *Contemporary Sociology, 6*(2), 244. https://doi.org/10.2307/269129

Hornik, J., & Ellis, S. (1988). Strategies to secure compliance for a mall intercept interview. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 52*(4), 539–551. https://doi.org/10.1086/269129

Husic, M., & Cicic, M. (2009). Luxury consumption factors. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 13*(2), 231–245. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1361202910957734

Jain, S., Khan, M. N., & Mishra, S. (2017). Understanding consumer behavior regarding luxury fashion goods in India based on the theory of planned behavior. In *Journal of Asia Business Studies* (Vol. 11, Issue 3). https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-08-2015-0118

Kalicharan, H. D. (2014). The Effect And Influence Of Country-Of-Origin On Consumers Perception Of Product Quality And Purchasing Intentions. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER), 13*(5), 897. https://doi.org/10.19030/iber.v13i5.8760

Kapferer, J. N., & Michaut-Denizeau, A. (2020). Are millennials really more sensitive to sustainable luxury? A cross-generational international comparison of sustainability consciousness when buying luxury. *Journal of Brand Management, 27*(1), 35–47. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-019-00165-7

Kasuma, J., Yacob, Y., Jamadin, N., Shafiee, A. S., & Sulaiman, S. (2016). Determinants of purchasing luxury handbags among the generation Y. *Article in Journal of Scientific Research and Development, 3*(5), 87–92. www.jsrad.org

Khoo, N. (2019). 6 Local Luxury Brands that have Put Malaysia on the Map. https://firstclass.com.my/local-luxury-brands-malaysia/

Koksal, M. H. (2014). Psychological and behavioural drivers of male fashion leadership. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 26*(3), 430–449. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-06-2013-0067

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. (1970). Small-Sample Techniques. *The NEA Research Bulletin, 30*, 607–610.

Kudeshia, C., & Kumar, A. (2017). Social eWOM: Does it Affect the Brand Attitude and Purchase Intention of Brands? *Management Research Review, 40*(3), 310–330.

Lambert-Pandraud, R., & Laurent, G. (2010). Why do older consumers buy older brands? The role of attachment and declining innovativeness. *Journal of Marketing, 74*(5), 104–121. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.5.104

Lew, S., & Sulaiman, Z. (2014). Consumer Purchase Intention toward Products Made in Malaysia vs. Made in China: A Conceptual Paper. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 130*, 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.04.005

Little, K. (2012). *Young and Fashionable: Gen Y Flocks to Online Luxury*. http://www.cnbc.com/id/46373062/Young_and_Fashionable_Gen_Y_Flocks_to_Online_Luxury
Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business - January-April, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2022

Mamat, M. N., Noor, N. M., & Noor, N. M. (2016). Purchase Intentions of Foreign Luxury Brand Handbags among Consumers in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Procedia Economics and Finance, 35(October 2015), 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00026-5

McColl, J., & Moore, C. (2011). An exploration of fashion retailer own brand strategies. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 15(1), 91–107. https://doi.org/10.1108/1361202111112368

Milaković, I. K., Mihić, M., & Ivasečko, N. (2017). Antecedents of Traditional and Electronic Word-of-Mouth Communication: Student Population-Based Study. Mostariensia, 21, 97–112.

Miniard, P. W., Bhatla, S., Lord, K. R., Dickson, P. R., & Unnava, H. R. (1991). Picture-Based Persuasion Processes and the Moderating Role of Involvement. Journal of Consumer Research, 18(1), 92–107. https://doi.org/10.1086/209244

Mohd, N. Y., Nasser, M. N., & Mohamad, O. (2007). Does image of country-of-origin matter to brand equity? Journal of Product and Brand Management, 16(1), 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420710731142

Montanari, M. G., Rodrigues, J. M., De Moura Engracia Giraldi, J., & Neves, M. F. (2018). Country of origin effect: A study with Brazilian consumers in the luxury market. Brazilian Business Review, 15(4), 348–362. https://doi.org/10.15728/bbr.2018.15.4.3

Moradi, H., & Zarei, A. (2012). Creating consumer-based brand equity for young Iranian consumers via country of origin subcomponents effects. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 24(3), 394–413. https://doi.org/10.1108/1355585121237885

Nayir, D. Z., & Durmusoglu, S. S. (2008). Country image in the context of European Union membership: The Turkish case. Journal of Management Development, 27(7), 791–808. https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710810883661

O’Cass, A., & Choy, E. (2008). Studying Chinese generation Y consumers’ involvement in fashion clothing and perceived brand status. Journal of Product and Brand Management, 17(5), 341–352. https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420810896095

Ordun, G. (2015). Millennial (Gen Y) Consumer Behavior Their Shopping Preferences and Perceptual Maps Associated With Brand Loyalty. Canadian Social Science, 11(4), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3968/pdf_294

Park, D. H., Lee, J., & Han, I. (2007). The effect of on-line consumer reviews on consumer purchasing intention: The moderating role of involvement. International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 11(4), 125–148. https://doi.org/10.2753/JEC1086-4415110405

Park, G., Chen, F., & Cheng, L. (2021). A study on the millennials usage behavior of social network services: Effects of motivation, density, and centrality on continuous intention to use. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(5), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052680

Phau, I., & Leng, Y. S. (2008). Attitudes toward domestic and foreign luxury brand apparel: A comparison between status and non status seeking teenagers. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 12(1), 68–89. https://doi.org/10.1108/13612020810857952

Phau, I., Teh, M., & Chuah, J. (2015). Consumer attitudes towards luxury fashion apparel made in sweatshops. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 19(2), 169–187. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-01-2014-0008

Piacentini, M., & Mailer, G. (2004). Symbolic consumption in teenagers’ clothing choices. Journal
of Consumer Behaviour, 3(3), 251–262. https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.138
Prendergast, G., Ko, D., & Yuen, S. Y. V. (2010). Online word of mouth and consumer purchase intentions. International Journal of Advertising, 29(5), 687–708. https://doi.org/10.2501/s0265048710201427
Prendergast, G. P., Tsang, A. S. L., & Chan, C. N. W. (2010). The interactive influence of country of origin of brand and product involvement on purchase intention. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 27(2), 180–188. https://doi.org/10.1108/07363761011027277
Rios, R. E., Riquelme, H. E., & Abdelaziz, Y. (2014). Do halal certification country of origin and brand name familiarity matter? Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 26(5), 665–686. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-03-2014-0046
Rolling, V., & Sadachar, A. (2017). Exploring Millennials’ Purchase Intentions toward Sustainable Luxury Brands. November, 1–3.
Roth, M. S., & Romeo, J. B. (1992). Matching Product Category and Country Image Perceptions: A Framework for Managing Country-Of-Origin Effects. Journal of International Business Studies, 23(3), 477–497. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490276
Sarstedt, M., & Mooi, E. A. (2014). A Concise Guide to Market Research: the Process, Data, and Methods Using IBM SPSS Statistics. Springer.
Sarwar, A., Azam, S. M. F., Haque, A., Sleman, G., & Nikhashemi, S. R. (2013). Customer’s perception towards buying Chinese products: An empirical investigation in Malaysia. World Applied Sciences Journal, 22(2), 152–160. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.22.02.371
Shapira, J. and S. S. (2017). ■ (Article) ■ (Information). [The Electronic Library, 34(1), 1–5.
Sheeraz, M., Khattak, A. K., Mahmood, S., & Iqbal, N. (2016). Mediation of Attitude toward Brand in the Relationship between Service Brand Credibility and Purchase Intentions. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences, 10(1), 149–163.
Shukla, P. (2011). Impact of interpersonal influences, brand origin and brand image on luxury purchase intentions: Measuring interfunctional interactions and a cross-national comparison. Journal of World Business, 46(2), 242–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.11.002
Sierra, J. J., & Hyman, M. R. (2011). Outlet mall shoppers’ intentions to purchase apparel: A dual-process perspective. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 18(4), 341–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2011.03.002
Sijoria, C., Mukherjee, S., & Datta, B. (2018). Impact of the antecedents of eWOM on CBBE. Marketing Intelligence and Planning, 36(5), 528–542. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-10-2017-0221
Soh, C. Q. Y., Rezaei, S., & Gu, M. L. (2017). A structural model of the antecedents and consequences of Generation Y luxury fashion goods purchase decisions. Young Consumers, 18(2), 180–204. https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-12-2016-00654
Sozio, L. (2010). BOOK REVIEW -- John Palfrey and Urs Gasser, Born Digital: Understanding the First Generation of Digital Natives, Basic Books, 2008, 375 pp., $25.95 (hardcover).4, 1051–1055.
Sweeney, J. C., & Soutar, G. N. (2001). Consumer perceived value: The development of a multiple item scale. Journal of Retailing, 77(2), 203–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(01)00041-0
Taylor, S. L., & Cosenza, R. M. (2002). Profiling later aged female teens: Mall shopping behavior and clothing choice. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 19(5), 393–408. https://doi.
Teo, B. C. C., Nik, N. S., & Azman, N. F. (2017). Making sense of fashion involvement among Malaysian Gen Y and its implications. *Journal of Emerging Economies and Islamic Research, 5*(4), 10. https://doi.org/10.24191/jeeir.v5i4.6236

Trusov, M., Bucklin, R. E., & Pauwels, K. (2009). Facebook marketing for a direct selling company. *Journal of Marketing, 301*. https://eresearch.ozyegin.edu.tr/bitstream/handle/10679/56/Effects_of_Word-of-Mouth_Versus_Traditional_Marketing_Findings_from_an_Internet_Social_Networking_Site.pdf?sequence=5&isAllowed=y

Valaei, N., & Nikhashemi, S. R. (2017). Generation Y consumers’ buying behaviour in fashion apparel industry: a moderation analysis. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 21*(4), 523–543. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-01-2017-0002

Vijaranakorn, K., & Shannon, R. (2017). The influence of country image on luxury value perception and purchase intention. In *Journal of Asia Business Studies* (Vol. 11, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-08-2015-0142

Walker, Harrison, J. L. (2001). The Measurement of Word-of-Mouth Communication and an Investigation of Service Quality and Customer Commitment as Potential Antecedents. *Journal of Service Research, 4*(1), 60–75.

Warren, C., & Mohr, G. S. (2017). Ironic consumption. *Advances in Consumer Research, 45*, 952–954.

Xu, S. (2020). *The Top 10 Luxury Brands in China*. https://www.gartner.com/en/marketing/insights/daily-insights/the-top-10-luxury-brands-in-china-2

Yakut, E. (2017). Role of Balance Theory on Celebrity Endorsement: Effect of Pre-Existing. *Pazarlama Teorisi ve Uygulan Alan Dergisi, 3*(1), 1–21.

Yazıcı, B. (2016). Attitudes of Generation Y Towards Luxury Products and Youth-Led Change in Luxury Consumption Behaviour. *The Turkish Online Journal of Design, Art and Communication, 6*(3), 291–306. https://doi.org/10.7456/10603100/001

Young, R. (2013). *Malaysia Revels In Spending Power*. The New York Times. http://www.nytimes.com/2013/11/22/fashion/Malaysia-Revels-in-Spending-Power.html.

Zhang, B., & Kim, J. H. (2013). Luxury fashion consumption in China: Factors affecting attitude and purchase intent. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 20*(1), 68–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconserv.2012.10.007

Zhang, L., Cude, B. J., & Zhao, H. (2020). Determinants of Chinese consumers’ purchase intentions for luxury goods. *International Journal of Market Research, 62*(3), 369–385. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785319853674