Nursing students’ care of and attitudes towards lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, and intersex people in times of COVID-19 in Spain: A cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of this work is to measure the knowledge and attitudes of Catalan nursing students regarding lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, and intersex (LGBTI) patients, as well as their perception of specific training in this area, according to their internship modalities, sociodemographic circumstances, and academic background during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Background: During the COVID-19 pandemic, the Spanish Government created the “Health-Aid” internship: A paid alternative to curricular internships. There is extensive evidence that paid work environments perpetuate negative attitudes towards LGBTI patients.

Method: A cross-sectional survey aimed at Catalan nursing students. The “Attitudes Towards and Knowledge About Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex Patients”
questionnaire was adapted. A descriptive study and backward regression models were constructed.

**Results:** Three hundred thirty-seven students, mean age 23.80 years (SD: 5.17) participated; 85% women and 54 (16%) completing the Health Aid internship modality. More than 50% did not attend specific training on the care of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, and intersex population. Differences between internship modalities showed higher values in the curricular internship group: Attitudes ($U = 6526.50$, $p = .031$) and training perception ($U = 5926.50$, $p = .008$).

**Conclusions:** Nursing students’ attitudes towards lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, and intersex patients and their perception of specific training on care for this population were negatively influenced by the paid Health Aid internship during the pandemic.

**Implications for Nursing Management:** Even under dire circumstances, clinical training must be properly managed to address the specific health needs of vulnerable populations, such as lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, and intersex patients. Paid internships in emergency scenarios may impede these objectives.
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1 | **BACKGROUND**

Although there are international regulations and agreements that promote equality in education (Winkler & Satterthwaite, 2017) and health (Müller, 2016), evidence shows that discrimination still occurs against people with diverse affectional/sexual orientation and gender identity (Busby et al., 2020; Pichardo & Cabezas, 2019). Affectional/sexual and gender diversity encompasses various affectional and sexual orientations, gender expressions and identities, and sexual characteristics or developments (Gasch-Gallén et al., 2020). This includes lesbian, gay, bisexual, transsexual, and intersex individuals (LGBTI) (Cabral Grinspan et al., 2017).

To understand how this discrimination occurs, comprehensive approaches must be used to analyse the impact of the conditioning factors of the sex/gender system on health. Aspects such as sex difference and gender roles (Sutherland et al., 2017), the gender-relational approach (Connell, 2012) and the beyond-the-gender-binary perspective must be addressed to respond to diverse care needs and avoid essentialist views (Eliason, 2017).

A recent review indicates that LGBTI individuals are more likely to experience health inequality due to heterosexism, stress from discrimination, and victimization (Zeeman et al., 2019). These inequalities may vary according to gender, age, income and disabilities (Zeeman et al., 2017). Therefore, it is essential to understand the specific health needs resulting from discrimination, concealment and clandestine living (Gasch-Gallén et al., 2018). A comprehensive approach to providing appropriate health care and service to these populations must include knowledge of the characteristics of LGBTI realities and the acquisition of specific competencies (Blondeel et al., 2016; Gasch-Gallén et al., 2020). The UN Report on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the human rights of LGBT persons highlights determining factors at the global level: Social exclusion, violence, stigma, and discrimination make this population more vulnerable in situations such as the pandemic (ACNUDH, 2020).

There is evidence of the inequality experienced by LGBTI people within health science degrees (Crimmins, 2020; Fish et al., 2021). To date, research with university students shows contradicting results.

Although it is expected that university students would reject negative beliefs about LGBTI people, this population experiences greater rates of harassment and discrimination than their heterosexual peers in the higher education community (Greathouse et al., 2018). For example, LGBTI medical students in the United States are more likely than their heterosexual peers to experience burnout (Samuels et al., 2021). Northern Irish students who identify as LGBTI are more exposed to trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder (Travers et al., 2020).

Inclusive teaching with a focus on affectional/sexual and gender diversity is essential in health science education (Gasch-Gallén et al., 2020; Ruano-Casadó & Ballestar-Tarin, 2015; Ruiz-Cantero et al., 2020). However, due to the expectations and demands of the health care system, health science education is heterocentric. It often fails to clearly recognize the implications of gender identity and affectional/sexual diversity in providing appropriate health care. (Kellett & Fitton, 2017). Although training in gender and health has been expanded in recent decades in university degrees and postgraduate education (Avci et al., 2021; Pratt-Chapman & Phillips, 2020), there are still gaps in these programmes. Thus, the need to explore how education on sexuality is provided has been highlighted (Castleberry, 2019; Eliason, 2017).
The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted this situation since the demands placed on the health care sector are multiplied during health crises (Boniol et al., 2019). It is known that pandemics affect vulnerable populations: In fact, the most vulnerable are those most affected (J. A. Smith & Judd, 2020). The LGBTI community is especially vulnerable not only to the risk of infection and barriers to accessing health care but also to the psychosocial consequences of the global lockdown (Banerjee & Nair, 2020). Furthermore, Mattei et al. (2021) point out that little attention has been paid to the impact of the COVID-19 financial recession on discrimination against LGBTI persons (Mattei et al., 2021). Additionally, given the scarcity of resources in our setting, the Health Aid internship modality (Ibáñez Barceló et al., 2020) allowed health care centres to hire senior nursing students to cope with the increasing demands for health care. Thus, fourth-year students joined the ranks as health care workers.

There are currently no studies on the differences between this type of contract and regular undergraduate curricular internships or the effects of these emergency actions on the training of nurses. These factors may ultimately lead to an increase in health inequalities (J. Smith, 2019).

The evidence presented above highlights the importance of identifying university students’ attitudes towards and prejudices against affectional/sexual and gender diversity during the pandemic, as well as the training they received on gender and LGBTI communities (Keuroghlian et al., 2017). In our setting, there are no studies on the predisposition of nursing students, that is, on their knowledge about and attitudes towards providing care for affectional/sexual diverse people during their internships and the role of their training. This research is necessary both to expand our general knowledge of what happens in the academic environment and curricular internships and to facilitate the training needs of students to provide comprehensive care. These factors have been highlighted in various international studies on young populations (Bosse & Chiodo, 2016), as has the possibility of the COVID-19 pandemic affecting attitudes towards LGBTI people.

This study aims to measure undergraduate and postgraduate Catalan nursing students’ knowledge about and attitudes towards LGBTI patients, as well as their perception of training in this area, and analyse the results based on their internship modality, sociodemographic circumstances and academic background. It forms part of a broader study focused on two complementary areas: The identification of legitimized everyday machismo, and knowledge about, attitudes towards and perceptions of the health and care of LGBTI people in nursing education.

2 METHODS

We conducted an online, descriptive, cross-sectional study to understand knowledge about and attitudes towards affectional/sexual and gender diversity, as well as the perception of specific training in this area, using the survey tool Google Forms. The online survey was open from November 2020 to March 2021. It took an average of 7 min to complete.

The inclusion criteria were to be either a third- or fourth-year undergraduate or a first- or second-year postgraduate nursing student in Catalonia. There were no exclusion criteria. The study included a convenience sample recruited via snowball sampling. The coordinator committees and/or faculty deans invited their students to participate via e-mail or instant messaging regardless of gender identity. We asked student representatives and equality commission members to collaborate in publishing information about the study to circulate the invitation even further.

The following sociodemographic variables were collected: Age, gender, sexual identity, background (rural or urban), income source, last academic year completed, number of internships completed, previous or current student offices, and internship modality (curricular internship vs. Health Aid contract).

Subsequently, the “Attitudes Towards and Knowledge About Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Patients” (Strong & Folsom, 2015) questionnaire was adapted to our study population and purposes. We tested it in a pilot study with 228 health professionals and obtained adequate internal consistency (Cronbach alpha: $\alpha = .74$) and good sample size suitability (Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin: KMO = .815) (Gasch-Gallen & Tomás-Aznar, 2017).

The questionnaire comprises 15 items with statements about knowledge of the health realities of LGBTI individuals (heteronormativity, homophobia and specific needs), attitudes towards LGBTI patients in clinical practice, and perception of the training received on caring for this population. A Likert scale with four options was used to score the responses, ranging from Totally disagree (1 point) to Totally agree (4 points). The higher the score, the greater the student’s knowledge (knowledge subscale), the more positive their attitude towards LGBTI patients (attitudes subscale), and the better their evaluation of specific training on affectional/sexual and gender diversity (training perception subscale).

2.1 Data analysis

Categorical variables were compared using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test when necessary. The association between categorical and continuous variables was studied using Student’s t-test and ANOVA, and the Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis test in the case of nonparametric distributions. The Jonckheere–Terpstra test was used to study the effects of ordinal variables on continuous variables. The correlation of continuous data was tested with Pearson’s correlation coefficient and Spearman’s correlation coefficient for nonparametric distributions. Lastly, backward regression models were constructed to identify the most relevant variables that might have determined the score of the overall scale and each of its subscales. The level of significance was set at $p = .05$ for all operations. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25.0.
2.2 Ethical considerations

The study protocols and questionnaire were approved by the Human and Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (CEEA-5264-2020). There was a consent statement at the beginning of the survey, and it was implicitly granted by agreeing to complete the survey. Data anonymity and confidentiality were ensured following the requirements established by the Spanish and European data protection laws and directives.

3 RESULTS

A total of 358 participants (Figure 1) were recruited from different universities in Catalonia. Twenty-one were excluded because they did not reply to all the survey items. The final sample included 337 subjects.

Table 1 shows sociodemographic and academic data. Students had a mean age of 23.80 years (SD: 5.17) and 85% identified as women. Most of the sample (83%) came from urban settings, and 70% paid for the cost of their education through salaried work. More than 33% were graduate students, and 54 participants reported having opted for the Health Aid internship modality. More than 50% did not attend specific curricular training programmes on caring for LGBTI patients; slightly less than 50% did not attend any extracurricular training either.

Table 2 shows differences in scale and subscale scores according to internship options (all scores ranging from 0 to 100). Overall score was significantly different between groups, with higher values in the curricular internship group. Regarding the subscales, there were no significant differences in knowledge across groups \( (U = 6757.00, p = .177) \), whereas the results for attitudes \( (U = 6526.50, p = .031) \) and training perception \( (U = 5926.50, p = .008) \) were significantly higher in the curricular internship group.

Table 3 shows the linear backward regression models constructed to explore variables that might have influenced the scale and subscale scores. For the knowledge subscale, a negative effect was found for older age \( (B = -0.311, p = .039) \) and currently working in the health care sector \( (B = -4.607, p = .005) \), while there was a positive effect for the number of internships \( (B = 2.417, p = .001) \). For the attitudes subscale, rural background \( (B = -3.895, p = .040) \) and the Health Aid internship option \( (B = -4.429, p = .027) \) had a negative impact on the score, whereas number of internships had a positive impact \( (B = 2.382, p < .001) \). In the final model, working in the health care sector had no significant negative impact on the attitudes subscale \( (B = -2.789, p = .064) \). For the training perception subscale, a higher salary decreased the predicted score \( (B = -2.436, p = .001) \), while having attended specific training courses increased it, with a greater impact for those who attended them as part of the nursing studies curriculum \( (B = 13.310, p = .043) \) than those who did so on an extracurricular basis \( (B = 3.370, p = .020) \). Overall score was negatively influenced by older age \( (B = -0.215, p = .036) \), currently working in the health care sector \( (B = -3.489, p = .002) \) and having opted for the Health Aid internship option \( (B = -3.171, p = .032) \), whereas it was positively impacted by a higher number of internships \( (B = 1.832, p < .001) \) and having received specific training as part of the nursing curriculum \( (B = 2.141, p = .043) \).

4 DISCUSSION

This study provides insight on the knowledge and attitudes of nursing students in Catalonia regarding the clinical care of LGBTI patients, as well as their perception of training in this area. The results show a general profile similar to that found in the literature, demonstrating the need for progress in providing education on care for LGBTI individuals (Mitchell et al., 2016). Given the lack of specific and standardized training programmes within the official nursing curriculum, students interested in these areas of knowledge seek training outside their official studies. This can be observed in the number of students who completed nonofficial educational and informational activities covering existing gaps in their nursing training (Keepnews, 2011).

Our findings show that Health Aid contracts were associated with lower scores in knowledge about, attitudes towards and perception of affectional/sexual and gender diverse patients. In this study, scores were better among younger participants, those who had completed more internships and those who had received specific training on affectional/sexual and gender diversity, in line with the results of previous studies (Cornelius & Carrick, 2015).

In addition, older age and currently working in the health sector were found to negatively influence the score. As some studies have previously noted, age seems to be a key factor in knowledge and attitudes since older professionals acknowledged the needs of LGBTI individuals less while their younger counterparts held more positive attitudes (Donisi et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the literature is inconclusive about the exact role of age (Aleshire et al., 2019).

A negative relationship was also found when students were involved in the Health Aid internship modality and/or currently working in the health care sector. Some studies point out that the Spanish and European health systems are permeated with heterosexist assumptions (McGlynn et al., 2020). Professionals may engage in
negative stereotyping towards LGBTI collectives (Aleshire et al., 2019; Fallin-Bennett, 2015) and/or deny the existence of specific barriers to those populations (Donisi et al., 2020; McGlynn et al., 2020; Semlyen et al., 2018). Additionally, in countries with legislation protecting LGBTI collectives, there is the widespread belief that inequalities for such individuals no longer exist or are insignificant; health

### TABLE 1  Sociodemographic and academic data

|                                  | Curricular practices (n = 283) | Health aid (n = 54) | Total (n = 337) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| **Age, mean (SD)**               | 23.83 (5.17)                  | 23.67 (4.87)        | 23.80 (5.17)    |
| **Gender, n (%)**                |                               |                     |                 |
| Male                             | 37 (10.98%)                   | 7 (2.08%)           | 44 (13.06%)     |
| Female                           | 242 (71.81%)                  | 47 (13.95%)         | 289 (85.76%)    |
| Nonbinary                        | 4 (1.19%)                     | 0 (0.0%)            | 4 (1.19%)       |
| **Background, n (%)**            |                               |                     |                 |
| Urban                            | 239 (70.92%)                  | 42 (12.46%)         | 281 (83.38%)    |
| Rural                            | 44 (13.06%)                   | 12 (3.56%)          | 56 (16.62%)     |
| **Salaried work earnings, n (%)**|                               |                     |                 |
| None                             | 97 (28.78%)                   | 5 (1.48%)           | 102 (30.27%)    |
| Less than 1000€ per month        | 88 (26.11%)                   | 21 (6.23%)          | 109 (32.34%)    |
| From 1000 to 1500€ per month     | 57 (16.91%)                   | 22 (6.53%)          | 79 (23.44%)     |
| More than 1500€ per month        | 41 (12.17%)                   | 6 (1.78%)           | 47 (13.95%)     |
| **Working in the health care sector, n (%)** |                               |                     |                 |
| Yes                              | 138 (40.95%)                  | 8 (2.37%)           | 146 (43.34%)    |
| No                               | 145 (43.03%)                  | 46 (13.65%)         | 191 (56.68%)    |
| **Current year, n (%)**          |                               |                     |                 |
| Pregraduate, third year          | 99 (29.38%)                   | 0 (0.0%)            | 99 (29.38%)     |
| Pregraduate, fourth year         | 81 (24.04%)                   | 38 (11.28%)         | 119 (35.31%)    |
| Postgraduate, first year         | 50 (14.84%)                   | 16 (4.75%)          | 66 (19.58%)     |
| Postgraduate, second year        | 53 (15.73%)                   | 0 (0.0%)            | 53 (15.73%)     |
| **Amount of internships**        |                               |                     |                 |
| None                             | 15 (4.45%)                    | 0 (0.0%)            | 15 (4.45%)      |
| 1–2                              | 54 (16.02%)                   | 4 (1.19%)           | 58 (17.21%)     |
| 3–4                              | 66 (19.58%)                   | 6 (1.78%)           | 72 (21.36%)     |
| 5–6                              | 87 (25.82%)                   | 23 (6.82%)          | 110 (32.64%)    |
| More than 6                      | 61 (18.10%)                   | 21 (6.23%)          | 82 (24.33%)     |
| **Specific training within nursing studies, n (%)** |                               |                     |                 |
| Yes                              | 125 (37.09%)                  | 34 (10.09%)         | 159 (47.18%)    |
| No                               | 158 (46.88%)                  | 20 (5.93%)          | 178 (52.82%)    |
| **Specific training beyond nursing studies, n (%)** |                               |                     |                 |
| Yes                              | 152 (45.10%)                  | 29 (8.61%)          | 181 (53.71%)    |
| No                               | 131 (38.87%)                  | 25 (7.42%)          | 156 (46.29%)    |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

### TABLE 2  Scores according to internship option

|                            | Knowledge | Attitudes | Training perception | Overall |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Curricular practice, mean (SD) | 74.47 (14.47) | 95.23 (11.78) | 73.39 (14.79) | 79.72 (9.39) |
| Health aid, mean (SD)      | 74.14 (14.26) | 94.64 (13.00) | 72.46 (14.91) | 79.16 (9.80) |
| Difference, U (p value)    | 6757.00 (0.177) | 6526.50 (0.031) | 5926.50 (0.008) | 6177.50 (0.025) |

Note: U: Mann-Whitney’s U. Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
professions too are susceptible to such beliefs (Walters et al., 2011). In light of this, it can be deduced that the quality of care is even worse during health crises (Chatterjee et al., 2020).

The question that arises is why senior students and recent graduates develop more negative attitudes when they are all still quite young and have only had a working relationship with the health care system. One possible answer is found in McGlynn et al. (2019), who point to an unconscious reproduction of heterosexist views in professional settings that specifically affects recent graduates and salaried senior students employed through the Health Aid internship option. These heterosexist views respond to what Bourdieu et al. (1977) called symbolic violence, a central theory used to explain male domination (Bourdieu et al., 1977). In this sense, symbolic processes (words, images and practices) promote the interests of dominant groups (heterosexual men, in this case) and convince those who are dominated (women and other dissident gender identities) to accept the imposed hierarchy (Fernández, 2005). Two widely held assumptions from this explanation can be applied to the health system and, consequently, to health care professionals: (1) By default, the population served is heterosexual, cisgender, and not intersex; and (2) LGBTI individuals do not experience significant inequalities, so LGBTI subjective realities are irrelevant in health care provision (McGlynn et al., 2020).

Another possible answer is related to the demanding working conditions that health care professionals endured during the COVID-19 pandemic, which significantly impacted their health. Nurses experienced increased work stress. Professionals were driven to emotional exhaustion (Galalis et al., 2021) due to the greater working demands, workload, complexity of work, work pressure and increased working hours during the COVID-19 pandemic (Martínez et al., 2022). The pandemic contributed to high rates of burnout among professionals (Torrente et al., 2021) jeopardizing patient care and doubling the likelihood of providing suboptimal care due to poor professionalism (Panagioti et al., 2018). Exhaustion negatively affected the quality of nursing care (Bergman et al., 2021; Galalis et al., 2021). In addition, the organizational environment of the pandemic made this situation more complex, such that the worse the organizational context, the less empathetic the responses of its professionals (Elayyan et al., 2018). Furthermore, pandemics tend to disrupt health services, which affects vulnerable populations the most (Bowleg, 2020). Evidence suggests that financial recessions, including that caused by COVID-19, could increase discrimination against LGBTI people, since they form part of vulnerable groups or minorities (Mattei et al., 2021).

On the other hand, we have also found that attitudes are more often negative when students come from rural areas. Although coming from rural areas has been identified as a potentially decisive factor in many areas related to health, research in other countries suggests that more specific and contextualized studies are needed (Prairie et al., 2019). However, studies conducted in Spain have identified significant barriers to small-town dwellers (Arágó Navarro, 2019) in rural settings, particularly lesbian women (Fernández-Rouco et al., 2013).

### Table 3: Linear backward regressions

|                          | B      | 95% CI       | p value |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------|---------|
| **Knowledge**            |        |              |         |
| Age                      | −0.311 | −0.607 −0.015| 0.039   |
| Currently working in the health care sector | −4.607 | −7.794 −1.420| 0.005   |
| Number of internships    | 2.417  | 1.026 −3.809 | 0.001   |
| **Attitudes**            |        |              |         |
| Background (rural)       | −3.895 | 0.183 −7.607 | 0.040   |
| Currently working in the health care sector | −2.789 | −5.745 −0.168| 0.064   |
| Number of internships    | 2.382  | 1.095 −3.669 | 0.000   |
| Internship option (Health Aid) | −4.429 | −8.338 −0.520| 0.027   |
| **Training perception**  |        |              |         |
| Salaried work earnings   | −2.436 | −3.811 −1.061| 0.001   |
| Specific training within nursing studies | 13.310 | 10.476 −16.145| 0.000   |
| Specific training beyond nursing studies | 3.370  | 0.537 −6.203 | 0.020   |
| **Overall score**        |        |              |         |
| Age                      | −0.215 | −0.416 −0.014| 0.036   |
| Currently working in the health care sector | −3.489 | −5.706 −1.273| 0.002   |
| Number of internships    | 1.832  | 0.874 −2.789 | 0.000   |
| Specific training within nursing studies | 2.141  | 0.064 −4.218 | 0.043   |
| Internship option (Health Aid) | −3.171 | −6.073 −0.268| 0.032   |

Note: All study variables in Table 1 were included in all initial models. The table shows only final models. B: nonstandardized coefficient. CI: confidence interval.
There is also research highlighting socio-spatial precariousness as a result of the oppressive coexistence experienced by many LGBTI people (Ugidos et al., 2020).

Another finding of this study is the need for specific nursing training on health care for LGBTI people. In general, studies have identified inadequate knowledge on the subject, that is slightly better among those who continue their training, but less so than among undergraduates (Cornelius & Carrick, 2015). In this sense, previous research argues that undergraduate training on LGBTI health is inadequate and that specific interventions with case studies could improve knowledge about and attitudes towards proper health care for LGBTI people (Strong & Folse, 2015). Evidence shows that training health care workers to improve cultural competencies about the health care needs of LGBTI people is a critical step in addressing inequalities in health care settings (Donisi et al., 2020). Other studies found that a higher level of health-related knowledge about LGBTI people was not predictive of more positive attitudes (Aleshire et al., 2019). It has been recommended that they be incorporated into training and awareness-raising initiatives for health care professionals (McGlynn et al., 2020).

This study is not exempt from the limitations stemming from cross-sectional design. Convenience sampling with snowballing requires caution regarding its representativeness. We did not use any filters or barriers to prevent respondents from answering the same survey twice. However, we stressed that it should only be answered once, both in the wording and to the participants who started the snowball sampling. The sample was mainly female and, while representative of the characteristics of the student population from which it was drawn, the results must be interpreted carefully in the case of male and nonbinary subjects. Among its strengths, this study is the first to analyse nursing students’ knowledge, attitudes and training perceptions in the context of the pandemic. It highlights specific gaps in emergency health situations and the need for new advances in the provision of excellent care to reduce health inequalities.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Nursing students’ knowledge about and attitudes towards LGBTI people, as well as their perception of specific training on adequate care for this population, are negatively influenced by the Health Aid paid internship modality established during the pandemic. This situation is worse in the case of older professionals and those already working in the health care sector. It is mitigated when respondents completed a greater number of internships and received specific training as part of undergraduate nursing curricula. Factors that promote negative attitudes towards LGBTI people include the heterosexist and heterocentric context of the health system, chaotic and complex working conditions in the midst of the pandemic, and the synergies of pandemics and financial crises that have a greater effect on the most vulnerable groups. It is necessary to adopt educational measures based on the rights of the LGBTI community to address their specific health needs, especially in times of pandemic or any type of crisis.

6 | IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING MANAGEMENT

LGBTI individuals experience health inequalities due to heterosexism and discrimination in health care settings. This is an ongoing situation that demonstrates the need to systematically review care protocols and procedures managed by nurses. New lines should be implemented in decision-making and intervention management during emergency situations to ensure that more comprehensive care is provided to vulnerable patients. Professional internships that place the student in positions more akin to the workforce could hinder the acquisition of proper competencies in the care of patients from vulnerable groups. These competencies that will ultimately be negatively affected once the professional is fully engaged in the labour market. These intricacies should be considered in future instances of paid internship options in emergency scenarios such as the Health Aid modality created specifically to face the pandemic.
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