Characterizing the Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Community of Whitebark Pine in Interior British Columbia: Mature Trees, Natural Regeneration and Planted Seedlings
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Whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis Engelm.; WBP) is an endangered subalpine tree species and requires associations with ectomycorrhizal fungi (ECMF) for survival and growth. Despite this obligate dependence, there are gaps in the identification of ECMF that associate with WBP. In addition, ECMF rarely feature in assessments of recovery actions and little is known about the relationship between ECMF and the insects and pathogens affecting WBP. We used next-generation sequencing to characterize ECMF occurring in soil and mycorrhizal root tip samples from naturally occurring mature WBP trees and seedlings as well as planted WBP seedlings in the Columbia Mountains of Interior British Columbia, Canada. ECMF data was paired with data on tree age, tree health and soil conditions. Thirty-three species and twenty-one genera of ECMF were identified with medium or high confidence from mycorrhizal root tip samples. Major groups were: generalist ascomycetes [Cenococcum, Meliniomyces (=Hyaloscypha)], Atheliales (Ploderma, Amphinema, Tylospora), non-ascomycetous generalists (e.g., Amphinema), associates of high-elevation conifers (species of Cortinarius, Russula) and Suilloids (Suillus, Rhizopogon). Differences in WBP ECMF with other, drier and southerly regions that have been studied previously, were consistent with a distinct forest type and an endemism hypothesis. Soil at the planting site and planted seedlings hosted a reduced ECMF community or were non-ectomycorrhizal, which can be explained by site factors and is expected to affect seedling survival. ECMF composition on mature trees was correlated with tree health, which may have implications for WBPs resistance to pathogens and signals that ECMF are affected by the decline of their host. Understanding the ecology of WBP ECMF and their relationship with tree performance is essential for WBP recovery efforts.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis* Engelm.; hereafter WBP) is an obligate ectomycorrhizal mutualist; that is, the formation of functioning ectomycorrhizae is essential to the health and survival of the tree (Mohatt et al., 2008). Ectomycorrhizae are a predominantly mutualistic symbiosis formed between plant roots (usually of a tree or woody shrub) and a mycorrhizal fungus that functions to exchange nutrients, photosynthates and other molecules (Smith and Read, 2008). The mycorrhiza symbiosis is formed in some 80% of land plants and is a major component of temperate forest systems; in some species, 80% of plant N and P can be taken up through ectomycorrhizal fungal partners (van der Heijden et al., 2015). Generating knowledge on the community of ectomycorrhizal fungi (ECMF) that associate with WBP forms a major contribution to WBP ecology and recovery of the tree species.

WBP is listed as endangered in Canada under the Species at Risk Act (2002) and has been proposed for listing in the United States. Its decline is attributed to four pressures: white pine blister rust (*Cronartium ribicola*), mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*), fire suppression and climate change (Environment and Climate Change Canada [ECCC], 2017). The status of the species has stimulated numerous recovery efforts coordinated by a range-wide recovery plan (Keane et al., 2012).

The WBP ECMF community has been well studied over the past 15 years. This research can be organized into three groups. The first group consists of studies aimed at documenting and describing the fungal community (Johnson et al., 1994; Mohatt, 2006; Mohatt et al., 2008; Cripps and Antibus, 2011). This group and later additions from other applications and informal reports created a working species profile of the ECMF associated with WBP; the profile consists of ~95 associates that are summarized in Keane et al. (2012) into three ecological clusters—(1) generalists, (2) high-elevation conifer associates and (3) Suilliods (*Supplementary Table 1*). The Suilliod cluster associates almost exclusively with pines or sometimes a smaller subset of pine species (Mohatt et al., 2008). This character makes them particularly important. Suilliods may confer a competitive advantage to WBP over secondary successional tree species that cannot form mycorrhizal associations with Suilliod taxon. This dependence also means that Suilliod taxon are likely to decline concurrently with WBP (Cripps and Antibus, 2011). The species *Suillus subalpinus* M. M. Moser has only been documented with WBP and because of this obligate dependence on a threatened species, it has been proposed for listing on the IUCN Red List (Osmundson, 2016).

The second group of studies use the WBP ECMF community to test a range of ecological questions. These include the topics: nutrient dynamics in ECMF (Antibus et al., 2018), community assembly and environmental determinants of ECMF composition (Cripps and Antibus, 2011; Glassman et al., 2017b), the theory of island biogeography (Glassman et al., 2017a), continental biogeographic patterns in ECMF composition and function (Talbot et al., 2014; Glassman et al., 2015), ECMF soil spore banks (Glassman et al., 2015, 2016) and fire-ECMF relationships (Trusty, 2009; Trusty and Cripps, 2011; Glassman et al., 2016; Jenkins, 2017; Jenkins et al., 2018).

The third group of studies is motivated by applied recovery applications for WBP and has focused on the use of ECMF in outplanting putatively blister rust resistant seedlings (Trusty, 2009; Cripps and Grimme, 2011; Trusty and Cripps, 2011; Lonergan, 2012; Lonergan et al., 2013; Jenkins, 2017; Cripps et al., 2018; Jenkins et al., 2018). The accumulation of research on WBP ECMF has had real impacts on the practice of whitebark management. Seedling inoculation and the incorporation of criteria to support ECMF development in planting site selection are strategies being implemented in some locations where WBP is actively managed (e.g., Lonergan et al., 2013; Jenkins, 2017; Cripps et al., 2018).

There are still important gaps in our knowledge of the WBP ECMF community. The descriptive work done to create the existing species profile (*Supplementary Table 1*) has been concentrated in three regions of the WBP range: the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem (MT, United States), Waterton (AB, Canada) and Yosemite (CA, United States) (*Figure 1*), with little research outside these areas. Yet, ECMF composition can change over large biogeographic gradients (Talbot et al., 2014), even within the mycobiome of a single host species (e.g., Defrenne et al., 2019b with *Pseudotsuga menziesii*). Fruiting body (mushroom) collections and sequencing of soil samples have been dominant methods for documenting the community (Mohatt et al., 2008; Trusty and Cripps, 2011). Fruiting body surveys can be poor proxies of the ECMF communities below ground (Gardes and Bruns, 1996; Durall et al., 2006; Lalli et al., 2015), though recent studies in fungal ecology (in particular in wood inhabiting fungi) demonstrate that fruiting body surveys can be comparable or complimentary to high-throughput sequencing and metabarcoding (Ovaskainen et al., 2013; Froslev et al., 2019; Sainé et al., 2020; Heine et al., 2021). ECMF composition can also follow successional patterns and change with tree age/life stage (Glassman et al., 2017b; Koizumi et al., 2018). These gaps in the species profile of the WBP ECMF community can be partially filled by sampling in other biogeographically distinct parts of the species’ range, by retrieving actual ectomycorrhizae samples and by sampling across life stages.

In an applied ecology context, there is an ongoing research project into which, and to what extent, niche variables structure fungal and ECMF communities (van der Linde et al., 2018). These variables include host species, edaphic conditions (pH, soil nutrients, soil texture), climate conditions (temperature, precipitation) and tree/stand age. Study designs that pair sampling of ECMF with the collection of environmental/host data can contribute to this larger research project (van der Linde et al., 2018), even if it is not the primary research objective.

In the applied WBP recovery context, very little research has documented if and how planted seedlings are colonized by native ectomycorrhizal fungi and if the primary insects and forest pathogens affecting WBP (white pine blister rust and mountain pine beetle) have direct or cascading effects on its ECMF. Trusty and Cripps (2011) is the only study that has sampled ECMF from planted WBP; sampling from planted trees...
will indicate if the fungal component of the ectomycorrhizal mutualism is benefiting from recovery efforts. There are no studies in WBP systems examining correlations between insect or pathogen infestations and ECMF, though there is evidence from other pine systems that the death or stress of tree hosts can mediate declines or compositional shifts in ECMF (Karst et al., 2014, 2015; Treu et al., 2014). Studies that sample across varying severities of tree health will attend to the critical question of how ECMF associated with WBP are affected by insect and pathogen infestation and tree decline.

To respond to these gaps, we characterized the ECMF in ectomycorrhizal root tip and local soil samples from mature trees, naturally regenerated seedlings and planted seedlings located in Mount Revelstoke and Glacier National Parks, British Columbia, Canada (Figure 1), using next-generation sequencing. We use the terms tree community and soil community to refer to the ECMF communities from ectomycorrhizae samples and soil samples, respectively. We paired ECMF sampling with pre-existing or newly collected data on soil conditions (pH, %C, %N, C:N), tree age and tree health. This work was centered around five specific research questions: (1) Which ECMF are present on WBP and in the soils of WBP stands in this part of the species range?, (2) How do ECMF communities compare between naturally occurring stands and a planting site?, (3) Does ECMF community composition vary between seedlings and mature trees, or with tree age?, (4) Do soil properties affect the composition of ECMF communities?, and (5) Is ECMF community composition affected by tree health?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

This research was conducted in Mount Revelstoke and Glacier National parks of Canada (research permit#: GLA-2019-32862) (Figure 1). This region is characterized as an interior rainforest; lower elevations are in the Interior Cedar-Hemlock biogeoclimatic zone, which transitions to the Engelmann Spruce-Subalpine Fir zone at higher elevations. WBP occurs at subalpine elevations in mixed forests, often as a sub-dominant species to subalpine fir (Abies lasiocarpa), Engelmann spruce (Picea engelmannii) and mountain hemlock (Tsuga mertensiana).

Sampling occurred over four sites—three sites where mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings were sampled and
one site where planted seedlings were sampled (Figure 1, coordinates Supplementary Table 2). All of our sites were shared with other monitoring projects that provided data on site characteristics, tree health and transects to sample from. The mature tree and naturally regenerated seedling sites were shared with a project that is monitoring blister rust in the Canadian Rocky and Columbia Mountain regions (Smith et al., 2008, 2013; Shepherd et al., 2018). In this project, 10 m wide belt transects were established at these sites and health assessments conducted every 5 years. The planted seedling site (Saint Cyr) is also a monitoring site that tracks seedlings from putatively blister rust resistant WBP lineages. Seedlings in that project were planted in line transects and separated by > 1 m.

Climate, soil and vegetation characteristics for the sampling sites are summarized in Supplementary Table 2. The sites are centered around 2,000 m elevation and on southerly aspects. Mean annual temperature is approximately 0°C and mean annual precipitation averages 2,000 mm. The soils are Brunisols and Podzols (detailed classifications in Supplementary Table 2).

The Saint Cyr planting site was burned by a mixed severity wildfire in 2003; approximately 50% of the area burned was classified as moderate severity with the remainder equally split between low and high severity (K. Macauley, Parks Canada, pers. comms., 18 March 2020). Two groups of WBP were planted 14- (fall, 2017) and 15-years (fall, 2018) post fire, respectively. Seedlings were 2-years old when received from the nursery and were 4 and 3 years-old, respectively, at the time of sampling. Naturally occurring WBP had not been recorded at the site (pre- or post-fire). In the surrounding area (within 1 km), WBP occurs as rare sporadic individuals. The site is considered an ideal planting location because it meets the physical habitat requirements of WBP and the burn provides abundant open space for planting. However, the site’s recent history of fire and lack of naturally occurring WBP distinguish it from the naturally occurring WBP sampling sites and have significant implications for our results.

Field Sampling and Sample Processing

Thirty mature trees, 13 naturally regenerated seedlings and 10 planted seedlings were sampled in total; the distribution of samples between sites is recorded in Supplementary Table 2. Mature trees and planted seedlings were randomly selected from transects associated with other monitoring projects (see above). Equal numbers of planted seedlings were sampled from the 2017 and 2018 planting groups present at the Saint Cyr site. Naturally regenerated seedlings were randomly sampled from just outside the associated mature tree transects to avoid disturbing other monitoring projects. Mature trees sampled were a minimum of ~5 m apart.

WBP commonly grows in multi-stemmed clumps and the outplanting at the Saint Cyr site emulated that form. In mature tree sampling, multi-stemmed trees or multiple adjacent stems whose roots could not be separated, were treated as single individuals. Occasionally, naturally regenerated seedlings germinated as a clump and could not be sampled as individual stems in the field but could be separated in processing; this added three additional individuals to our original target sample size of 10 (final sample size = 13). All naturally regenerated seedling samples were processed as individual samples but only one seedling from each of the clumps sampled was retained in comparative statistical analyses. Outplanted seedling clumps could be sampled as single stems without damaging neighboring seedlings.

Mature tree sampling followed the methods in Birch et al. (2021). Subsamples of fine roots and soil were collected from three random locations around each tree and then pooled into a single fine root sample and a single soil sample per tree. Excavated roots were followed from the bole of the tree outward until fine roots with a visible connection to the parent root could be found and the terminal fine root mass was then collected. This process was repeated at two other random locations around each tree. A soil subsample was collected adjacent to each fine root mass subsample. The L layer was removed and soil collected with a 15 cm depth (this sample included the FH layers and surface mineral horizons). Equal volumes of the three soil subsamples were then pooled into a single sample. A tree core was also taken from the bole of each mature tree (Haglöf Increment Borer). The tree core was collected at the root collar, or at the earliest point that the stem became vertical, on the uphill side. In multi-stemmed clumps, the stem with the largest diameter was cored. Seedlings were excavated and collected in entirety. For each seedling, a single soil sample was collected adjacent to the seedling roots. To reduce possible disease transmission between trees or sample cross contamination, sampling tools were cleaned and sprayed with 10% bleach or alcohol between each tree sampled.

Fine root samples were stored at 4°C and processed within 48 h. Fine roots were rinsed free of soil and debris in water and then inspected for ECMF root tips. In the seedling samples, the entire intact root masses were scanned using WinRHIZO prior to collecting root tip samples. Root tips were examined using a 4X magnification dissecting microscope to identify ECMF colonization. Cross sections of each distinct ECMF morphotype were further examined using a 10X–40X compound microscope to confirm the absence of root hairs, presence of a fungal mantle, and presence of a Hartig net. Fifty ECMF root tips were randomly excised per sample and placed in a 1.5 ml microtube; occasionally, seedling samples contained less than fifty ECMF root tips and all ECMF root tips that were present were thus collected. Samples were frozen and stored at −30°C. To reduce DNA cross-contamination between samples, trays and tools used for rinsing root masses were cleaned and submerged in a 10% bleach solution for 5 min between samples.

Soil samples were immediately frozen at −30°C post-field sampling and processed within 45 days. Soil samples were thawed and sieved to a final sieve pore size of 2 mm. A volume of 7.5 ml of each sieved soil sample was transferred to a Falcon tube and then re-frozen at −30°C for storage until DNA extraction and sequencing. The remainder of each sample was oven dried at 50°C and then stored in paper bags for subsequent soil chemistry analyses.

Processed fine root tip samples and 7.5 ml soil samples were shipped together on dry ice to the Integrated Microbiome
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DNA Extraction, Next-Generation Sequencing and Bioinformatics

DNA extraction and next-generation sequencing were completed for each pooled fine root tip sample and soil sample to identify the ECMF tree and soil communities, respectively. DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN PowerSoil DNA Kit, following the manufacturer’s protocol. The Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 (ITS2) region of fungi was PCR amplified and then sequenced following a 1-step amplicon library preparation protocol (Comeau et al., 2017), using modified primers ITS86(F) (Turenne et al., 1999) and ITS4(R) (White et al., 1990) that contained both the Illumina MiSeq adaptor and barcodes. Amplicon libraries were returned in the format of 1.8 Cassava demultiplexed paired-end fastq sequences.

Bioinformatics were primarily carried out in QIIME 2 (Bolyen et al., 2019). Low quality fragments were trimmed using Trimmomatic (threshold: sliding window = 5 bp, quality score = 20) (Bolger et al., 2014) and then primers were removed using CutAdapt (Martin, 2011). The ITS2 region was extracted with IT Sxpres s (Rivers et al., 2018). DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016) was used for denoising, dereplication, chimera removal and production of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Taxonomy was assigned to ASVs using a trained Naïve Bayes classifier sourced from the Integrated Microbiome Resource (Comeau et al., 2017); the classifier was developed from the UNITE database (version 8.0, current to 2 February 2019) (Nilsson et al., 2019) with a 99% similarity threshold applied to species hypotheses and covered the entire ITS region. ASVs were filtered with three successive criteria to create the final dataset: (i) ASV frequency was > 0.1% of the dataset’s mean sample depth (corresponding to contaminant abundance between Illumina MiSeq runs) (Comeau et al., 2017), (ii) ASV was classified to the level of genus or species, and (iii) ASV was assigned to a taxon that is a known ectomyco rhizal associate. Ectomyco rhizal status was assigned to taxa with the FUNGuild database (Nguyen et al., 2016a). Taxa not described or belonging to multiple trophic guilds in FUNGuild were assigned ectomyco rhizal status manually; we relied on Tedersoo et al. (2010) as the authoritative source for ectomyco rhizal status. The main group requiring manual assignment was the Helotiales; only taxa from this group that were definitively described as ectomycor rhizal in Tedersoo et al. (2010) were retained in the dataset.

Data Analyses

R (version 3.6.2) (R Core Team, 2019) was used for all data analyses. We relied primarily on the packages phyloseq (for microbiome data analyses) (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) and ggplot (for graphics) (Wickham, 2016). Tree and soil communities were separated in analyses; within these communities, samples were typically aggregated by tree type (e.g., alpha diversity of ECMF from root tip samples (tree community) of mature trees). Prior to analyses, the raw dataset created in QIIME2 was rarefied to a library size of 1,250 reads (determined from the saturation point in number of reads vs. observed ASV curves); we did not discard samples below this library size because library size was interpreted to be an indicator of the abundance of ECMF tissue in a sample and not an artifact of inherent variability in amplification (see section “Amplification Levels”).

To describe the abundance of lineages and the composition of communities, we used the abundance metrics of number of occurrences and relative abundance; relative abundance was defined as the proportion of reads of a given ASV, species or genus in a sample. To investigate differences between tree types, we compared per-sample sequence counts, alpha-diversity and community composition. Alpha-diversity was estimated at the ASV level with Shannon’s diversity metric \( H = - \sum_{i=1}^{R} p_i \ln p_i \); \( p_i \) is the proportion of reads belonging to the \( i \)th ASV in a given sample. To test for differences in sequence count and alpha-diversity between tree types, we used ANOVA and pairwise \( t \)-tests or the non-parametric alternative Kruskal-Wallis and
To quantify compositional differences, we used the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric \( BC_{ij} = 1 - \frac{2C_{ij}}{S_i + S_j} \); \( C_{ij} \) is the sum of the shared ASVs between two samples and \( S_i \) and \( S_j \) are the total number of ASVs in the samples \( i \) and \( j \), respectively (Bray and Curtis, 1957) and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA). To better resolve compositional differences, we agglomerated ASVs to the level of genus before running these analyses. The first two axes of PCoA were used to construct ordination plots. Genera were added to ordination plots with weighted taxa scores to identify marker genera (\textit{vegan} function \textit{wascore}); to reduce plot clutter, only the genera making up 90% of the relative abundance in each of the tree types were plotted. Adonis and ANOSIM tests were used to test the significance of compositional differences between tree types; estimates of beta dispersion (\textit{vegan} function \textit{betadisper}) or built-in diagnostic plots were used to check for location vs. dispersion effects in these tests.

To test the effects of soil (pH, %C, %N, C:N) and tree (age, canopy kill) variables on ECMF composition, we used vector fitting to the first two axes of PCoA ordination (\textit{vegan} function \textit{envfit}). Samples associated with planted seedlings were removed from the dataset to isolate naturally occurring patterns. Tree variables were only tested as predictors in the \textit{tree community}. Ordinations were created following the method described above. For all ordination-based methods, distance matrices and PCoA analyses were done in \textit{vegan} (Oksanen et al., 2019).

RESULTS

Bioinformatics

Raw sequencing data contained 107 samples with a mean sample depth (sequences/sample) of 58,605. This data is available on NCBI’s Sequence Read Archive (PRJNA722685). Standard processing (isolating ITS2, denoising, dereplication, removal of chimeras) rendered 5,409 ASVs across 107 samples with a mean sample depth of 45,760. Taxonomic filtering to ECMF taxa identified at the genus or species level left 353 ASVs across 106 samples with mean sample depth of 21,242. Full summary statistics from the bioinformatic workflow broken down by sample type are in Supplementary Table 4. Representative sequences for each ASV are available on GenBank; the accession numbers for these sequences are in Supplementary Table 5.

Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Communities

Tree Community

ECMF in the \textit{tree community} consisted of 224 ASVs grouping to 53 species, 26 genera and 20 families; a full list of these taxa is included as Supplementary Table 6. Of these taxa, 44 species, 24 genera and 19 families were found on mature trees. Twenty-one species, 15 genera and 12 families were confirmed on natural seedlings and 14 species, 14 genus and 13 families were confirmed on planted seedlings. Species accumulation curves indicate sampling was sufficient for mature trees and planted seedlings but not for natural seedlings (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings shared similar ECMF compositions. Dominant families/clades (large relative abundances and high percent occurrences) observed in these tree types were: Atheliaceae (genera: \textit{Piloderma}, \textit{Amphinema}, \textit{Tylospora}), Helotiaceae (genus: \textit{Meliniomyces (=} \textit{Hyaloscypha})], Cortinariaceae (genus: \textit{Cortinarius}), Gloniaceae (genus: \textit{Cenococcum}), Russulaceae (genus: \textit{Lactarius}, \textit{Russula}) and Suilloids (genera: \textit{Suillus}, \textit{Rhizopogon}). Major genera on planted seedlings were \textit{Meliniomyces (=} \textit{Hyaloscypha}), \textit{Russula}, \textit{Cenococcum} and \textit{Suillus} with other genera occurring in trace amounts (Supplementary Table 7 and Figure 2A).

Soil Community

ECMF in the \textit{soil community} made up 283 ASVs belonging to 80 species, 33 genera, and 26 families; a complete list of the soil ECMF taxa is included as Supplementary Table 8. Sixty-eight species, 30 genera and 23 families were identified in samples associated with mature trees. Samples associated with natural seedlings confirmed 38 species, 22 genera, and 18 families and samples associated with planted seedlings contained only five species, nine genera, and nine families. Species accumulation curves were saturated for all three of the sample types (Supplementary Figure 1B).

The mature tree \textit{soil community} featured Helotiaceae [genus: \textit{Meliniomyces (=} \textit{Hyaloscypha})], Atheliaceae (genera: \textit{Piloderma}, \textit{Amphinema}, \textit{Tylospora}), Cortinariaceae (genus: \textit{Cortinarius}), Russulaceae (genus: \textit{Russula}, \textit{Lactarius}), Gloniaceae (genus: \textit{Cenococcum}), Pezizales (genera: \textit{Hydnophora}, \textit{Wilcoxina}), Thelephoraceae (genus: \textit{Pseudotomentella}), Hydnaceae (genus: \textit{Sistotrema}) and Suilloids (genera: \textit{Rhizopogon}, \textit{Suillus}) as the major taxa. Major taxa in the naturally regenerated seedling soil community were Helotiaceae [genus: \textit{Meliniomyces (=} \textit{Hyaloscypha})], Cortinariaceae (genus: \textit{Cortinarius}), Atheliaceae (genera: \textit{Piloderma}, \textit{Amphinema}, \textit{Tylospora}), Gloniaceae (genus: \textit{Cenococcum}), Russulaceae (genus: \textit{Russula}, \textit{Lactarius}), Inocybaceae (genus: \textit{Inocybe}), Hydnaceae (genus: \textit{Hydnium}), Pezizales (genera: \textit{Hydnotrya}, \textit{Wilcoxina}), Thelephoraceae (genera: \textit{Pseudotomentella}, \textit{Tomentella}) and Suilloids (genera: \textit{Suillus}, \textit{Rhizopogon}, \textit{Chroogomphus}). The soil \textit{community} associated with planted seedlings featured only one genus in more than two samples—Helotian \textit{Meliniomyces (=} \textit{Hyaloscypha}) (Supplementary Table 9 and Figure 2A).

Comparisons Between Tree Types

Seedling Root Structure and Colonization Levels

Root structure differed significantly between planted and naturally regenerated seedlings. Planted seedlings had greater root mass, a greater proportion of fine roots and more root tips than naturally regenerated seedlings (Figure 3).

Colonization levels were consistently higher in naturally regenerated seedlings relative to planted seedlings. The mean number of colonized root tips collected in naturally regenerated seedlings was 40 and half of the seedlings met the target sample size of 50 root tips. The mean number of colonized root tips
collected on planted seedlings was just five (Supplementary Table 10).

**Amplification Levels**

Mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings consistently showed higher levels of amplification than planted seedlings but did not differ from each other (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 4). Kruskal-Wallis tests showed tree type as a significant predictor of sequence count in both tree and soil communities (tree: \( p = 0.0022, \eta^2 = 0.218 \); soil: \( p < 0.0001, \eta^2 = 0.505 \)). Post-hoc Dunn tests showed that in the tree community, the difference in amplification between the mature trees and planted seedlings was significant (\( p = 0.001 \)) and that between naturally regenerated and planted seedlings was nearly significant (\( p = 0.064 \)). In the soil community, both comparisons were significant (mature tree vs. planted seedling: \( p = <0.0001 \), naturally regenerated seedling vs. planted seedling: \( p = 0.012 \)).

**Alpha Diversity**

In both tree and soil communities, alpha diversity was highest in mature trees, followed by naturally regenerated seedlings, then planted seedlings (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 11). In the tree community, mature trees, naturally regenerated seedlings and planted seedling averaged seven, six, and four ECMF species per sample; in the soil community, the mean number of ECMF species was 13, 11 and one, respectively. ANOVA/Kruskal-Wallis statistics testing the significance of tree type as a predictor of Shannon’s diversity showed this pattern was only significant in
the soil community (tree: $p = 0.17$, $\eta^2_g = 0.07$; soil: $p = < 0.0001$, $\eta^2_s = 0.452$). Post-hoc Dunn tests in the soil community showed that the mature tree and naturally regenerated seedlings had significantly higher alpha diversity than planted seedlings but were not significantly different from each other (Figure 4).

**Community Composition**

Natural tree types (mature trees, naturally regenerated seedlings) were compositionally distinct from planted seedlings in both tree and soil communities. In the tree community, planted seedlings had greater relative abundance of Meliniomyces (=Hyaloctphyca) and reduced relative abundances across numerous taxa (e.g., Piloddera and Cortinarius). In the soil community the compositional shift in planted seedlings was driven by a reduction in diversity. Meliniomyces (=Hyaloctphyca) was the only genus to appear regularly; other genera that were frequent in soils associated with natural tree types were reduced or lost (e.g., Piloddera, Cortinarius, Suillus, Rhi zobogon, Cenococcum). Two genera (Acephala, Chromelosporium) appeared at low abundances in soils associated with planted seedlings that were not present in those from natural tree types (Supplementary Table 9 and Figure 2A).

These compositional differences were confirmed graphically and with statistical tests (Figure 2B). The first two axes of Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) explained 39.9 and 34.0% of the variation in community composition in the tree and soil communities, respectively; planted seedlings formed distinct groupings in both of these plots. Adonis and ANOSIM statistics were consistent with each other and showed tree type was a significant predictor of composition in both communities (tree: Adonis, $p = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.075$; ANOSIM, $p = 0.019$ | soil: Adonis, $p = < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.160$; ANOSIM, $p = 0.001$). This indicates that mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings were compositionally distinct from planted seedlings but not from each other. In the soil community, a dispersion effect was detected that could confound these statistics; the clear separation of planted seedling samples in PCoA indicate both location and dispersion effects are present in the soil community and that the significant result for differences in composition holds.

**DISCUSSION**

**Ectomycorrhizal Fungi of Whitebark Pine in Interior British Columbia**

The set of confirmed WBP ectomycorrhizal associates reported here as the tree community is the core contribution of this research. These ECMF were identified using next-generation sequencing and barcoding from true ectomycorrhizae samples. An important caveat is that identification of sequences in “meta-barcoding” (Somervuo et al., 2017) approaches, like that applied here, can often be wrong. This is especially true at the level of species and for some groups (e.g., Cortinarius is not well resolved by ITS2). Sequence errors, intraspecific variation and errors/limited coverage in the reference database can pose problems to correct identification (Somervuo et al., 2017; Lücking et al., 2020). The taxa reported here should be understood as “first diagnoses” (Lücking et al., 2020). Still, this dataset combined with previous research on the WBP ECMF community forms a profile of the reported associates of WBP with reasonable coverage across the WBP range; this list is compiled as Supplementary Table 1.

Sixty-seven species and twenty-six genera were identified in the tree community; an additional, non-overlapping, twenty species and nine genera were identified from the paired soil sampling. We carried out a qualitative confidence assessment of the taxa in the tree community based on frequency of occurrence and whether the taxa had been reported previously as a WBP associate (Supplementary Table 12). This left thirty-three species and twenty-one genera that we confirm as WBP associates with high or medium confidence; of these, twenty-three species and three genera had not been reported as WBP associates before.

Generalist ascomycetes, Cenococcum and Meliniomyces (=Hyaloctphyca), were dominant and widespread. The Athellales
Figure 4 | Comparisons between tree types of (A) amplification levels and (B) alpha-diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with whitebark pine in interior British Columbia separated by sample type. Sample type: ectomycorrhizae samples (tree OR tree community) and soil samples (soil OR soil community). Tree types: mature trees (mature), naturally regenerated seedlings (nseedling) and planted seedlings (pseedling). Sequence count is the number of ITS2 sequences belonging to ectomycorrhizal fungi in each sample. Diversity calculated at the ASV level using Shannon’s diversity index. Results of Dunn tests with a Bonferroni adjustment are reported in white; only significant or near-significant pairs are shown.

(generalists: Piloderma, Amphinema, and Tylospora) were also a dominant clade. A diverse spread of taxa occurred at medium abundances and frequencies—generalists: Wilcoxina, Hydnotrya, Pseudotomentella, Tomentella, Sistotrema and Endogone (=Jimgerdemannia) and high-elevation conifer associates: species of Cortinarius, Lactarius and Russula. Suilloids (Rhizopogon and Suillus) were, as reported elsewhere, a regular and important part of the community.

Helotian taxa were abundantly co-amplified in our samples. These taxa are known as secondary root associates and they are commonly co-amplified from ectomycorrhizae samples; the nutritional modes of these taxa are, however, debated and only a few have been proven truly ectomycorrhizal (Tedersoo et al., 2009). In reviewing the ectomycorrhizal status of these taxa following Tedersoo et al. (2010), we removed all except for the lineages Acephala macrosclerotiorum and Melinomyces bicolor (=Hyaloscypha bicolor). Even if non-ectomycorrhizal, the presence of these taxa is worth reporting because they form a significant part of the WBP rhizosphere and have been linked elsewhere with poor stand health (discussed below).
The community documented here diverges from previous descriptions of the WBP ECMF community. There is a functional shift toward generalist and high-elevation conifer associates and away from Suilloids. The dominance of the wood inhabiting Atheliales clade (Piloderma, Amphinema and Tylospora) is particularly distinctive. The shift we observed is likely the result of sampling mixed forests here vs. relatively pure WBP forests in other studies. Host plant identity is a primary determinant of ECMF composition (van der Linde et al., 2018). In our study region, WBP is subdominant to subalpine fir, Engelmann spruce and mountain hemlock, where the Atheliales have previously been shown to be a dominant ectomycorrhizal guild (Hagerman et al., 1999; Walker et al., 2014). This contrasts with the other regions where the WBP ECMF community has been sampled, where pine was the dominant host. In addition, Piloderma can access organic nitrogen pools (Heinonsalo et al., 2015) and this may be advantageous in soils, like those at our study sites, that have accumulations of calcitrant litter.

This shift in dominant guilds could also reflect our sampling approach. Sampling was biased toward roots that were in organic soil horizons and close to the bole of the tree, potentially selecting for wood-inhabiting fungi. Talbot et al. (2014), in contrast, sampled from both organic and underlying mineral horizons in WBP forests in the Yosemite region; they reported no analogous shift, but structuring between these layers has been documented in other high-elevation pine systems (Koizumi et al., 2018). Different survey methods (fruiting body surveys vs. next-generation sequencing and metabarcoding) could also amplify this functional shift because it is driven by resupinate and hypogenous taxa, but the shift is present in comparisons with studies that employ similar methods (Talbot et al., 2014; Glassman et al., 2015, 2017a).

The second mode by which our community diverges from communities described in other parts of the species’ range is compositional. At the species level, the communities diverge, whereas they align at the genus level and higher. Of the thirty-three species and twenty-one genera identified with medium or high confidence, 70% (23/33) of the species are newly described associates of WBP but only 14% (3/21) of the genera are new. This indicates there is regional endemism, as suggested by others (Talbot et al., 2014; Rosinger et al., 2018; Defrenne et al., 2019b). Talbot et al. (2014) documented the soil ECMF community across continental North America in the Pinaceae and emphasize endemism resulting from dispersal limitation as a primary determinant of ECMF composition. Rosinger et al. (2018) and Defrenne et al. (2019b) both provide evidence for endemic structuring of ECMF within the range of single host species but also join a growing body of evidence that identifies environmental variables (e.g., climate, edaphic properties and nutrient regimes) as determinants of ECMF composition (reviewed in van der Linde et al., 2018). Our study region is climatically distinct from other regions where sampling has occurred and environmental variables, in particular mean annual precipitation (Defrenne et al., 2019b), may contribute to this compositional divergence.

Our data also raises unresolved questions in the pine-specific Suilloid group. Suillus americanus (syn. S. sibiricus), S. discolor and S. subalpinus are five-needled pine or whitebark pine specialists with broad distributions (Cripps and Antibus, 2011; Nguyen et al., 2016b). None of these species were recorded in our data. The lineage we identified as S. punctatipes (=Boletinus punctatipes) sits in the Suillus placids/subalpium/anomalus species complex but is molecularly (with ITS2) and/or morphologically distinct from the related species in the complex (Nguyen et al., 2016b). S. americanus, S. discolor and S. subalpinus being absent from our data is surprising because these taxa do not appear dispersal limited, and greater

![FIGURE 5](image-url) | Soil conditions (pH, %N, %C, C:N), tree age and canopy kill as predictors of ectomycorrhizal fungal communities associated with naturally occurring whitebark pine separated by sample type: (A) mycorrhizate samples (tree community) and (B) soil samples (soil community). Tree type: mature trees (MT) and naturally regenerated seedlings (NS). Principal Coordinate Analysis biplot ordinations based off a Bray-Cutis distance matrix. Axes labels indicate percent of variation in community composition explained. Samples are marked as colored points; genera are added as weighted scores and marked as black crosses. Vectors representing soil variables are drawn in red.
congruence is expected among host specialists. *S. americanus* has been recorded with western white pine (*Pinus monticola*) in our study area and with WBP in Banff National Park (C. Cripps, pers. comm., 6 May 2021), which is a good indication that processes other than dispersal limitation are restricting it from our site. *S. brevipes* is a second *Suillus* taxon recorded in our data; finding it here is surprising because it is part of the well-established/luteus clade that is near completely restricted to hard-pine hosts, whereas WBP is a soft-pine (Nguyen et al., 2016b). *S. brevipes* has previously been reported from soils of WBP stands in the Yosemite region (Glassman et al., 2017a) and this would represent a second rare case of “host jumping” in that clade (Nguyen et al., 2016b).

The common errors in assigning taxonomy with metabarcoding techniques (noted above) (Somervuo et al., 2017; Lücking et al., 2020) may contribute to the questions raised in *Suillus* and more generally to the compositional divergence with previous studies. Re-evaluation of our data with alternative approaches to classification [e.g., phylogenetic placement of reads in reference trees or probabilistic methods (PROTAX)] may increase confidence in species and genus names assigned to sequences (Somervuo et al., 2016; Lücking et al., 2020) and aid comparison with other descriptions of the WBP ECMF community.

**Assessment of Ectomycorrhizal Fungi at a Whitebark Pine Planting Site**

The ECMF community on planted seedlings was clearly distinct from those on natural tree types (mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings). Planted seedlings had fewer colonized root tips, significantly lower per-sample sequence counts, lower (though not significantly) diversity and were compositionally distinct; the relative abundance of the generalist *Meliniomyces (=Hyaloscypha)* was increased and numerous taxa prominent on natural tree types (e.g., *Piloderma* and *Cortinarius*) were reduced or absent. The most apparent difference, however, was observed visually when processing fine root samples. Planted seedlings had a completely distinct root structure, with far more fine-root mass than naturally regenerated seedlings. Moreover, with the exception of a single dominant morphotype that we expect is *Meliniomyces (=Hyaloscypha)*, planted seedlings were visually non-mycorrhizal (though *Russula*, *Suillus*, and *Cenococcom* were identified at medium abundances through sequencing). The prolific fine root mass of planted seedlings may be an artifact of root development and fertilizer regimes in a nursery upbringing or it may be a foraging response to the lack of ectomycorrhizal partners that are the dominant source of nutrients (Defrenne et al., 2019a).

Differences in the ECMF community between the soils of the planting site and soils where naturally occurring trees were sampled were even more apparent than the differences observed from the mycorrhizal communities on tree roots. Largely, our results indicate that soils in proximity to planted seedlings did not contain ECMF tissue with DNA that could be amplified at this sequencing depth. Per-sample sequence counts and alpha diversity were significantly lower in soil from the planting site compared to soil associated with natural tree types, and *Meliniomyces* (=*Hyaloscypha*) was the single dominant genus.

Together these results reveal that the ECMF community occurring on planted seedlings did not match naturally occurring communities, nor did the soils of the planting site contain the appropriate inoculum to provide that community. This has not yet affected seedling survival (as of 2019, seedling survival was 82.4 and 94.2% for the 2017 and 2018 plantings, respectively) (Skinner et al., 2019) but we expect it will in time. High survival rates immediately after planting are common (Jenkins, 2017) and even obligately mycorrhizal tree seedlings can survive as non-mycorrhizal for a lag period (Collier and Bidartondo, 2009). Monitoring over 5–10 years will help isolate the effect of mycorrhizal status on WBP planted seedling survival (Jenkins, 2017). Note that our sample size for seedlings (natural and planted) was relatively small because of restrictions on the destructive sampling of a species protected by the Canadian Species at Risk Act and comparisons against mature trees were unbalanced. But the consistent and visibly apparent nature of the results observed at the planting site support the conclusions we make.

These differences in ECMF are largely attributable to the planting site. The planting site was located within the footprint of a 2003 mixed severity wildfire, largely lacks an ectomycorrhizal plant community and is not a naturally occurring WBP forest (presently or historically). The effect of fire on ECMF communities is an active field of research that has variable consensus. There is good evidence that fire shifts ECMF composition for long (~50 year) timeframes and moderate evidence that fire reduces diversity and inoculum potential (measured with colonization) over decadal timeframes (~22 and 11 years, respectively) (Karst et al., 2014; Dove and Hart, 2017; Taudière et al., 2017). We also know there is a suite of fungi, termed the “ectomycorrhizal fungal sporebank,” that is separate and compositionally distinct from the active ECMF community and exists in the soil as resistant propagules that colonize regenerating plant hosts post-disturbance (Glassman et al., 2015, 2016); in WBP forests, this community is dominated by *Rhizopogon*, *Cenococcum*, *Wilcoxina* and *Thelephora* (Glassman et al., 2015). ECMF sporebanks have been shown to persist through wildfires (Glassman et al., 2016) and it is likely this sporebank that WBP seedlings outplanted on burns are reliant on. The longevity of ECMF sporebanks is not well assessed but existing research is focused on pine associated taxa (predominantly *Rhizopogon*) and predicts that these sporebanks will remain viable for decades (Bruns et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2012); these two studies are first reports from a century long experiment that will contribute valuable knowledge to this discussion.

Paired with the influence of fire, is the importance of established trees as sources of inoculum for regenerating seedlings (Haskins and Gehring, 2005; Hubert and Gehring, 2008; Teste et al., 2009; Mueller et al., 2019; Simard et al., 2021). Established trees can provide inoculum directly [from their root system at immediate spatial scales (~5 m)] (Teste et al., 2009) or indirectly [nearby stands that host ECMF that disperse to regenerating seedlings at local scales (~1 km)].
(Trusty and Cripps, 2011; Policelli et al., 2019). The species identity of the source tree can alter the community composition of the inoculum (Hubert and Gehring, 2008); conspecific or congeneric source trees are likely especially important for WBP because these trees will host Suillloid taxa. Even dead trees can provide a “legacy” of ECMF inoculum; prior to tree death, ECMF spore banks may form that persist to colonize successive generations (Mueller et al., 2019; Shemesh et al., 2019).

The combination of fire and lack of established ectomycorrhizal trees help explain the paucity of ECMF at our planting site. Fire would have reduced ECMF inoculum potential directly by burning live ECMF and reducing the density of the soil spore bank, and indirectly by killing ectomycorrhizal host plants. The absence of inoculation from a persistent, fire resistant soil spore bank is more puzzling. The significant lag time (14 or 15 years) between fire and planting may have led to the degeneration of the spore bank (contrary to viability estimates in Bruns et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2012); our knowledge of ECMF soil spore bank longevity is small and this process may well be modified in harsh high-elevation habitats. The lack of significant WBP stands at or near the planting site is another important variable; the nearest trees to the site are sporadic, isolated WBP ~1 km away. These stands would have hosted Rhizopogon species that contribute to soil spore banks and facilitated colonization of seedlings by spore dispersal from outside the fire perimeter.

This interpretation aligns with (and builds upon) the only other study that has sampled ECMF from outplanted seedlings (Trusty and Cripps, 2011). Their results contrast with ours and document successful integration of planted seedlings with naturally occurring ECMF. They identify two key factors in this success: (1) seedlings were planted 1 year post burn and could access a viable soil spore bank, and (2) unburned WBP stands were present outside the fire perimeter that could provide Suillloid propagules (Trusty and Cripps, 2011). These same two factors likely explain the lack of integration of planted seedlings and native ECMF in our results.

**Lack of Evidence for Successional or Edaphic Filtering on Ectomycorrhizal Fungi**

No successional patterns were apparent in our data; we detected no compositional differences between mature trees and naturally regenerated seedlings, and tree age was not a significant predictor of ECMF composition. Similarly, none of the edaphic variables (pH, %C, %N, C:N) were significant predictors of ECMF composition. These results are surprising because these variables have been shown to be determinants of ECMF composition elsewhere (Twieg et al., 2009; Cripps and Antibus, 2011; Tedersoo et al., 2012; Glassman et al., 2017b; Koizumi et al., 2018; van der Linde et al., 2018; Defrenne et al., 2019b). In particular, Glassman et al. (2017b) and Koizumi et al. (2018) are studies of subalpine pine systems (WBP-Pinus contorta and Pinus pumila communities, respectively) at similar spatial scales that have good comparative potential. Both studies reported soil variables (dominantly pH) and tree age or life stage as strong drivers of ECMF community composition.

The continuous, mixed age nature of the forests we sampled may well explain why tree age was not significant. A shared feature of Glassman et al. (2017b) and Koizumi et al. (2018) is that individual trees were spatially separated (see Glassman et al., 2017a for an application of island biogeography to their system). Spatial separation requires seedlings to rely on soil spore bank ECMF and allows succession to occur on small spatial scales. The trees we sampled were in a continuous forest matrix where root systems of neighboring trees of different age classes overlapped and a common mycorrhizal network is likely present (Simard et al., 2012); this might promote homogeneity in ECMF communities and dampen or obscure successional processes.

Limitations in methodology may also contribute to not detecting significance in soil variables and tree age. We did not use multivariate models explicitly designed to account for the influence of multiple predictor variables simultaneously (e.g., Glassman et al., 2017b) and instead relied on simple vector fitting to ordininations. In soil sampling we did not separate organic and mineral horizons and Koizumi et al. (2018) stress that the significance of soil variables in their study was driven by stratification of ECMF in these layers. In addition, twelve soil samples were removed from analyses because of an error in sample processing, which reduced statistical power, and a second processing error allowed samples to be stored wet before air drying, which could have altered their properties.

**Linking Tree Health of Ectomycorrhizal Fungi Composition**

Canopy kill was a significant predictor of ECMF composition in the tree community; diseased trees hosted simpler communities with compositional differences driven by increased abundances of Cenococcum and Amphinema. Shifts in ECMF composition in response to tree stress (drought, herbivory, parasitism) are well documented in pine systems and tend to move toward ascomycete and low-carbon demanding fungi (Kast et al., 2014). This generally aligns with our observations and might suggest Amphinema has low carbon requirements.

Recent evidence linking mountain pine beetle (MPB) outbreaks to ECMF (Treu et al., 2014; Karst et al., 2015) has shaped our interpretation of these results. Treu et al. (2014) showed that ECMF composition was altered and abundance reduced in MPB-affected stands. Karst et al. (2015) showed that these changes in ECMF mediated transgenerational effects that reduced seedling survival and the capacity to generate defense compounds. Helotian taxa were identified as a dominant feature of MPB affected stands (Karst et al., 2015). These taxa were abundant in our samples, which may signal ECMF are already responding to stressors and mortality of WBP in our region.

The link between tree health and ECMF is important because it recognizes that ECMF suffer from the decline of their host (Karst et al., 2014) and that the resulting changes in ECMF can influence the success of regeneration and the capacity of the host to resist stressors and pathogens (Karst et al., 2015). There is no reason to assume that this link does not apply to WBP as well and our results are a first indication that it does. These changes in ECMF are expected to disproportionately
affect pine-specific Suilloid taxa and some are at risk of being lost from the landscape altogether (Cripps and Antibus, 2011; Treu et al., 2014; Osmundson, 2016). In addition, this link highlights the importance ECMF in WBP ecology. Some ecological or organismal processes that are critical to species recovery (e.g., production of insect defense compounds) may be mediated by ECMF.

**CONCLUSION**

The major contribution of this research is the identification of the ectomycorrhizal fungal associates of WBP in the interior mountains of British Columbia, Canada. This community was clearly distinct from other regions where WBP ECMF have been sampled, which is consistent with differences in forest type and the potential for endemism. The combined list of ECMF associates of WBP compiled here can be used as a basis for management and future research. Our assessment of outplanted WBP seedlings identified a case where ECMF were mostly absent from a planting site and the planted WBP seedlings on that site. We also provide preliminary evidence that ECMF composition is correlated to WBP tree health. Both of these results have implications in WBP recovery. Planting strategy and site selection needs to incorporate ECMF colonization, ideally through direct assessments of sites, but alternatively through the consideration of site factors (fire history, source trees, ECMF spore bank) and the option for inoculation. Further work should develop the link between ECMF and tree/stand health, with the potential to develop an ECMF related indicator of WBP stand health.

WBP is part of an obligate ectomycorrhizal mutualism and as we work to restore the species, attention needs to be given to the fungal component of this mutualism. This fungal component is essential to WBP ecology and recovery actions and closely tied to the status of its host.
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