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A protein-based smallpox vaccine protects non-human primates from a lethal monkeypox virus challenge
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ABSTRACT

Concerns about infections caused by orthopoxviruses, such as variola and monkeypox viruses, drive ongoing efforts to develop novel smallpox vaccines that are both effective and safe to use in diverse populations. A subunit smallpox vaccine comprising vaccinia virus membrane proteins A33, B5, L1, A27 and aluminum hydroxide (alum) ± CpG was administered to non-human primates, which were subsequently challenged with a lethal intravenous dose of monkeypox virus. Alum adjuvanted vaccines provided only partial protection but the addition of CpG provided full protection that was associated with a more homogeneous antibody response and stronger IgG1 responses. These results indicate that it is feasible to develop a highly effective subunit vaccine against orthopoxvirus infections as a safer alternative to live vaccinia virus vaccination.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Smallpox was eradicated worldwide by a 1970s campaign led by the World Health Organization [1]. However, the possibility of accidental or purposeful re-introduction of variola virus has led governments to stockpile live vaccinia virus (VACV) vaccines like ACAM2000™ derived from the Dryvax® vaccine [2,3]. Serious side effects can accompany live vaccinia-based vaccines, especially in immunocompromised people and those with common skin diseases. This has encouraged efforts to develop safer smallpox vaccines [4–7]. Modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA), a highly attenuated smallpox vaccine under development [8], may be safer but requires high doses. Zoonotic human infections with monkeypox virus (MPXV) in the USA [9] further illustrate the need for safe and effective vaccines against other poxviruses. Our approach has been to develop and test the efficacy of a subunit protein-based vaccine.

Identification of target antigens for a subunit vaccine is challenging because poxviruses encode hundreds of proteins and their complex life cycle produce two infectious forms: mature virus (MV) and extracellular virus (EV) [10,11]. MV is an enveloped virus with many surface proteins required for infectivity [11]. EV has an additional membrane surrounding the MV particle with another set of unique membrane proteins [10,11]. Both forms are important in viral acquisition and spread. Subunit vaccines under development usually contain antigens from both envelopes [12–23]. Here we report that vaccination of non-human primates (NHP) with purified protein ectodomains of A33 and B5 derived from EV plus L1 and A27 derived from MV, combined with the adjuvants Alhydrogel and CpG, provided full protection of NHPs from lethal intravenous challenge with MPXV. Our results clearly show the feasibility of...
developing safe and effective subunit vaccines for human use against smallpox and monkeypox.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Non-human primates

Two separate NHP vaccination and challenge studies were performed in cynomolgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) with three- or two-dose regimens. The 3-dose study involved 30 macaques (16 females, 14 males) obtained from Three Spring Scientific (Perkasie, PA) then vaccinated and challenged at Southern Research Institute (SRI, Frederick, MD). The 2-dose study involved 12 macaques (7 females, 5 males) obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Reno, NV), quarantined (3 months) and vaccinated at University of Maryland School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD) then challenged at SRI. All animal facilities are approved by the Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) International, procedures were in accordance with relevant federal policies and guidelines, and protocols were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees.

### Table 1
Three-dose vaccination study (5 monkeys/group).

| Vaccine formulation | Monkey number | PRNT<sub>50</sub><sup>d</sup> | Adjuvant<sup>c</sup> | Number of lesions in individual monkeys |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
|                     |               |                  |                | Day 6 | Day 9 | Day 12 |                     |
| 1. Control          |               |                  |                |       |       |       |                     |
| – – – –  | 4342          | <10              | CpG/alum       | 4348  | 4354  | 4357  | 4364  |
| – – – –  | 4348          | <10              | –              | 116   | TNTC<sup>e</sup> | TNTC<sup>e</sup> | TNTC<sup>e</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4354          | <10              | –              | TNTC<sup>e</sup> | TNTC<sup>e</sup>A | TNTC<sup>e</sup>A | TNTC<sup>e</sup>C |
| – – – –  | 4357          | <10              | –              | TNTC<sup>e</sup> | TNTC<sup>e</sup> | TNTC<sup>e</sup>C | TNTC<sup>e</sup>C |
| – – – –  | 4364          | <10              | –              | TNTC<sup>e</sup>A | – | – |
| 2. Dryvax          | Dryvax        | –                | –              | 7/2   | 0/0  | 0/0  |                     |
| – – – –  | 4347          | 208              | –              | 2     | 0    | 0    |
| – – – –  | 4353          | 140              | –              | 0     | 0    | 0    |
| – – – –  | 4362          | 351              | –              | 0     | 0    | 0    |
| – – – –  | 4369          | <10              | –              | 31    | 0<sup>h</sup>  | 0    |
| – – – –  | 4371          | 313              | –              | 2     | 2    | 0    |
| 3. ABLA(100)       | ABLA          | 100              | CpG/alum       | 4345  | 1,895 | 15    | 1    |
| – – – –  | 4351          | >10,240          | –              | 3     | 26   | 0<sup>i</sup>  |
| – – – –  | 4360          | 297              | –              | 1     | 17   | 0<sup>h</sup>  |
| – – – –  | 4363          | 1,847            | –              | 0     | 2    | 0<sup>i</sup>  |
| – – – –  | 4367          | 132              | –              | 34    | 108  | 10<sup>i</sup> |
| 4. ABLA(20)        | ABLA          | 20               | CpG/alum       | 4346  | 6,613 | 15    | 1    |
| – – – –  | 4352          | 1,836            | –              | 3     | 49   | 0<sup>i</sup>  |
| – – – –  | 4361          | 3,744            | –              | 0     | 0    | 0    |
| – – – –  | 4368          | 281              | –              | 6     | 21   | 0<sup>i</sup>  |
| – – – –  | 4370          | 326              | –              | 45    | 99   | 54<sup>i</sup> |
| 5. ABLA (alum only)| ABLA          | 100              | Alum only       | 4344  | 544   | 0     | 2    |
| – – – –  | 4350          | 1,728            | –              | 4     | 7    | 13<sup>i</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4356          | 455              | –              | 0     | 2    | 16<sup>i</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4359          | 279              | –              | 128   | 312  | TNTC<sup>e</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4366          | 123              | –              | 15    | 73   | 14<sup>i</sup> |
| 6. ABL(100)        | ABL           | 100              | CpG/alum       | 4343  | 287   | 0     | 2    |
| – – – –  | 4349          | 1,054            | –              | 19    | 180  | 224<sup>i</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4355          | 247              | –              | 46    | 323  | 12<sup>i</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4358          | 30               | –              | 10    | 179  | 404<sup>i</sup> |
| – – – –  | 4365          | 193              | –              | 2     | 2    | 0    |
|                     |               |                  |                | 34/19 | 167/179 | 180/224 |

<sup>a</sup> Group number and abbreviations of vaccine type used in text.
<sup>b</sup> Protein where A,B,L,A stands for A33, B5, L1, A27, respectively.
<sup>c</sup> Adjuvant where CpG/alum stands for CPG 7909 and aluminum hydroxide (Alhydrogel).
<sup>d</sup> PRNT<sub>50</sub>, pre-challenge (day 121) 50% plaque reduction neutralizing titer against MPXV.
<sup>e</sup> TNTC, too numerous to count. (A) Three control animals needed to be euthanized on day 11; (B) 1 on day 13; and (C) 1 on day 15.
<sup>f</sup> For calculation of mean and median value, the lesion count was set at 500 for the monkey with TNTC lesions on day 12.
<sup>g</sup> One in ABLA/alum only needed to be euthanized on day 13.
<sup>h</sup> Lesions present are mostly or only scabs.
2.3. Vaccinations

Vaccines comprised three or four antigens (20 or 100 μg each) adsorbed to aluminum hydroxide at 8.25 or 16.5 μg aluminum ion/μg protein (Alhydrogel, Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp., Westbury, NY). Some formulations included B-Class CpG oligodeoxynucleotide TLR9 agonists (500 μg/vaccine dose), namely CpG7909 (sequence 5′-TCG TCG TTT TGT CGT TTT GTC GTT-3′) and CpG10104 (sequence 5′-TCG TCG TTT CGT CGT TTT GTC GTT-3′) (Coley Pharmaceutical Group, now Pfizer Inc.), in the 3-dose and 2-dose studies respectively. These CpG’s differ by a single nucleotide and have similar activity in mice and NHP (H. Davis, unpublished). The change to CpG 10104 in the second study occurred because CPG 7909 had been dedicated for immune therapy in oncology indications and have similar activity in mice and NHP (H. Davis, unpublished). The change to CpG 10104 in the second study occurred because CPG 7909 had been dedicated for immune therapy in oncology indications. The change to CpG 10104 in the second study occurred because CPG 7909 had been dedicated for immune therapy in oncology indications. The change to CpG 10104 in the second study occurred because CPG 7909 had been dedicated for immune therapy in oncology indications.

2.4. MPXV challenge

Anesthetized monkeys were challenged 5 weeks (3-dose study) or 4 weeks (2-dose study) after the last subunit vaccine dose by intravenous infusion into the saphenous vein of 1.0 mL media containing 2 × 10^7 pfu MPXV (NR523, BEI Research Resources Repository, Manassas, VA). The challenge inoculum, which was back titered on Vero E6 cells using a plaque assay to confirm dose, was selected to be lethal and indeed all control animals and one monkey receiving ABLA/alum required euthanasia upon meeting a predetermined set of criteria of disease progression. Post-challenge, blood was drawn every third day and DNA extracted from 200 μL of blood for viral load (VL) determination by real-time PCR [16]. The detection limit of the viral load assay was 5000 genome copies/mL blood. Animals were monitored for activity, temperature, weight, appetite, and development of pock lesions.

2.5. Antibody ELISA

Standard ELISA determinations used as capture antigens either purified VACV (0.6 μg/mL in PBS) or recombinant proteins (A33, B5, or L1 at 2.5 μg/mL; or A27 at 0.625 μg/mL) in PBS coated overnight at 4 °C. After blocking, 2-fold dilutions of sera were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. After extensive washes, the secondary antibody, HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (KPL) at 1:2000 was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C followed by color development with ABTS substrate (Sigma) for ~20 min at RT. IgG isotypes were determined by coating plates with non–his tagged versions of B5 or L1 at 1 μg/mL and after incubation with sera, HRP-conjugated anti-human total IgG, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, or IgG4 (Binding Site, Birmingham, UK) diluted at 1:1000 was added and incubated for 1 hr at 37 °C.
2.6. Depletion of sera of anti-L1 antibodies

Recombinant L1 protein (1 mg) was coupled to 0.5 g of CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B beads (Amersham Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Mock-coupled beads were processed similarly except without protein addition. Beads were washed and resuspended in 500 μL PBS. Equal volumes of sera from the 5 monkeys in each of the ABLA/CpG/alum or ABL/CpG/alum groups in the 3-dose study were combined, heated inactivated, and 20 μL of pooled serum was incubated with 180 μL of L1-coupled or mock-coupled sepharose beads overnight at 4 °C. Beads were pelleted, the supernatant collected, and L1 depletion confirmed by L1 or A27 ELISA as above, except plates were coated with each protein at 1 μg/mL. Supernatants, stored at 4 °C, were used for neutralization experiments.

2.7. Virus neutralization

For MXPV 50% plaque neutralization reduction titers (PNRT50), 4-fold dilutions of sera (in 225 μL of media) were mixed with 225 μL purified MPXV MV (450 pfu/well). After overnight incubation at 37 °C, 100 μL was removed and plated in triplicate on Vero cells for titration. VACV (strain WR) MV was used in neutralization studies with the L1-depleted and control depleted sera. MV neutralization assays were carried out in triplicate in a final volume of 100 μL containing ~200 pfu of MV, dilutions of sera from individual monkeys at 1:20 to 1:2000, and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. Samples of 90 μL were titered on confluent wells of a 6-well tissue culture plate. After 36–48 h, wells were stained with crystal violet, plaques counted, and neutralized to wells that contained MV incubated in media alone. EV neutralization was carried out with VACV EV. EV was isolated from VACV (strain IHDJ) infected RK13 cells in MEM (without FBS) as previously described [29]. The media from infected cells was clarified by low speed centrifugation and EV was titrated in the presence of the MV neutralizing monoclonal antibody (mAb) 2D5. EV neutralization assays were carried out in triplicate in a final volume of 100 μL containing ~200 pfu EV, the indicated dilution of sera from individual monkeys, the anti-L1 mAb 2D5 (to neutralize contaminating MV), and with or without 10 μL of guinea pig complement (Complement Technology, Inc., Tyler, TX) for 30 min at 37 °C. 90 μL of each sample were then titered.

2.8. Enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) assay

Using a commercial human ELISPOT (Cell Sciences, Norwood, MA) with demonstrated cross-reactivity with non-human primates, IFN-γ-secreting peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) obtained from vaccinated macaques from the 2-dose study were measured. Isolated PBMC were added to blocked wells at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/well in 100 μL complete RPMI growth medium containing 5 × 10^5 pfu VACV plus 5% FBS. Triplicate wells of unstimulated PBMC or PBMC stimulated with Con A or staphylococcus enterotoxin B were included as negative and positive controls. After overnight incubation at 37 °C in 5% CO2, plates were washed and IFN-γ-secreting spot forming cells (SFC) were counted using the AID ELISPOT Reader System (Cell Technology, Inc., Columbia, MD) and expressed as number of IFN-γ-secreting cells/10^6 PBMC.

2.9. Statistical analysis

For the 3-dose study (5/group), statistical analyses were performed with SAS® Version 9.1 using an alpha = 0.05, except where indicated. The dilution ratios for ELISA proteins at an optical density (OD) >0.2 were calculated by point-point regression between ELISA OD readings immediately <0.2 and >0.2 OD. The dilution values calculated at OD = 0.2 were used for statistical analyses. A dilution of 0 was given where ELISA optical density readings were <0.2. Group median dilutions, PRNT50 levels, and viral loads were compared by Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. Pair-wise comparisons for significant differences in viral loads across groups were performed for Groups 1–6 (Dunn’s test). Significant changes in PRNT50 levels from a baseline level at day 98 were tested by Wilcoxon Rank Signed Test. Kaplan-Meier survival plots were calculated for vaccination groups following MPXV challenge (days 0–27) and survival curves for each vaccination group were compared by Cox-Mantel test; Spearman’s rank-order correlation test was used on the log[PRNT50] versus lesion numbers and viral loads at days 6 and 9 post-challenge (GraphPad Prism™, Version 5). The effect of vaccination group on weight and temperature was tested with a repeated measures analysis of variance (PROC MIXED, SASTM).

3. Results

3.1. Vaccine safety

The adjuvanted subunit vaccines were well tolerated by all animals with no adverse reactions.

3.2. Challenge with MPXV

3.2.1. Negative and positive vaccine controls

We analyzed clinical symptoms and survival post-challenge (Fig. 1A), lesion counts (Fig. 1B), and viral loads (Fig. 2) in both vaccinated and non-vaccinated animals. The non-vaccinated control animals (Group 1) exhibited typical signs of MPXV disease and experienced depression, lethargy, and pock lesions after challenge that became too numerous to count (TNTC) by day 6 (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). Peak viral loads (VL) were between 10^7 and 10^9 genome copies/mL by day 9 or 12 (Fig. 2). These animals met endpoint criteria for euthanasia between days 11 and 15 (Fig. 1A). Animals vaccinated with the positive control gold standard Dryvax vaccine (Group 2), all survived and exhibited little or no clinical signs of infection; the few pock lesions that developed healed quickly (Fig. 1B), and had no measurable VL at any time except for animal #4353 with a VL of ∼2 × 10^8 genome copies/mL on day 3 post-challenge (p.c.) (Fig. 2). When we compared Group 2 with Group 1, there were statistically lower viral loads in Group 2 at all time points (Dunn’s multiple comparison test; P < 0.05). Similar results were seen for negative and positive control groups in the smaller 2-dose study where all non-vaccinated control monkeys (Group A) met endpoints that required euthanasia on day 8 p.c. and the Dryvax vaccine protected all the monkeys (Group B) from MPXV disease (Table 2).

3.2.2. Subunit vaccine provides protection

All animals vaccinated with three doses of subunit vaccines containing CpG/alum (Groups 2, 4, and 6) survived (χ^2[1,10] = 10.03; P = 0.015 relative to control Group 1), and all but one in Group 5 (ABL/alum) survived (χ^2[1,10] = 8.872; P =0.0088) (Fig. 1A). Groups vaccinated with ABLA/CpG/alum also had statistically lower VL than controls at days 3, 5, and 12 p.c. (Dunn’s multiple comparison test; P < 0.05; Fig. 2, Groups 3 and 4). In all groups, monkeys with the highest VL exhibited the most lesions.

3.2.3. Benefit of CpG

In the 3-dose study, addition of CpG to the tetravalent vaccine resulted in more consistent control of infection than with alum as the sole adjuvant as indicated by fewer lesions (Table 1 and Fig. 1B) and lower VL (Fig. 2; Groups 3 and 4 versus 5). Indeed, with just alum, the VL at all time points p.c. were not significantly different than non-vaccinated controls (Dunn’s multiple compari-
Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses and pock lesion summary. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival plots following MPXV challenge for the 3-dose study with 5 monkeys/group. Solid line with squares: Dryvax (Group 2), ABLA/CpG/alum (Groups 3 and 4), and ABL/CpG/alum (Group 6); dashed line with triangles: ABLA/alum (Group 5); dotted line with circles: CpG/alum control (Group 1). (B) Frequency of each lesion category for vaccination groups at days 0–27 post-challenge. The upper and lower quartiles of lesion frequencies for numeric lesion data were calculated and plotted. The 25th (≤25th) and 75th percentiles (≥75th) were used to recode the numeric lesion data into low (≤25th), medium (25–75th) and high (≥75th) lesion categories. For this analysis, lesion counts that were recorded as “TNTC” (too numerous to count) were set at ≥500 lesions. High lesions (≥241) were observed for all animals in Group 1 by day 9 post-challenge. High lesions were observed in 1 of 5 animals in Group 5 by days 9 and 2 of 5 animals in Group 6 by day 12. All animals in Group 3 experienced low (≤7) to medium (<241) lesions by day 9. All lesions of surviving animals in Groups 2–6 were healed by day 24, post-challenge. C. Kaplan-Meier survival plots following MPXV challenge for the 2-dose study with 3 monkeys/group. Solid line with squares: Dryvax (Group B) ABLA/CpG/alum (Groups C and D); dotted line with circles: CpG/alum control (Group A).

3.2.4. Trivalent versus tetravalent protein vaccines and three versus two-dose regimen

The CpG/alum adjuvanted trivalent vaccine protected animals from death, as did the tetravalent vaccines (Fig. 1B, Groups 3, 4, and 6), but there were fewer lesions and lower VL as a dose of 100 μg/protein (Groups 3 versus 4) indicating that lower antigen doses can be used when CpG is included. In the 2-dose study we compared CpG containing vaccines with two doses of alum, either 8.25 aluminum ion/μg of protein (as used in the 3-dose study) or 16.5 (Table 2, Groups C and D). We found no difference in protection (Fig. 1C and Table 2), although only 3 monkeys per group may be inadequate to detect differences.

3.3. Vaccine immunogenicity and correlation with protection

Since protection from secondary poxvirus infections is primarily antibody-mediated [16,30,31], we focused on evaluating antibody responses (Supplementary Figure). In the 3-dose study, animals vaccinated with a single Dryvax scarification developed VACV-specific antibodies early (day 14) but as expected, titers did not increase at later times (Supplementary Figure). Monkeys vaccinated with subunit vaccines had no detectable VACV-specific antibody responses post-prime but exhibited strong responses by day 42 (2 weeks post 1st boost). These titers increased further by day 98 (2 weeks post 2nd boost). While there were no signifi-
Fig. 2. Viral load on days 0–27 post-challenge. Graphed are the post-challenge viral load by group and monkey. The detection limit of the viral load assay was 5000 genome copies/mL blood. Median VL and inter-quartile ranges were determined and Dunn’s multiple comparison test was performed on vaccination pairs where a significant main effect of vaccination groups was found. A higher viral load was found for Group 1 compared to (i) Group 2 (Dryvax) at days 3–15 (\( P < 0.05 \)); (ii) Group 3 (ABLA(100)/CpG/alum) at days 3, 6, and 15 (\( P < 0.05 \)); and (iii) Group 4 (ABLA(20)/CpG/alum) at days 3 and 15 (\( P < 0.05 \)). * \( P < 0.05 \), ˆ \( P < 0.01 \), + \( P < 0.001 \). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

3.3.1. Neutralization activity correlates with protection

The tetravalent vaccines adjuvanted with CpG/alum (Groups 3 and 4) were the only formulations that yielded better pre-challenge neutralization titers against MPXV MV than non-vaccinated controls (\( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 1). The 100 \( \mu \)g antigen dose developed the best pre-challenge responses, which did not increase appreciably post-challenge. In contrast, while pre-challenge PRNT\(_{50}\) values for Dryvax were not “statistically significant” (but at similar levels to published studies [32,33]), the post-challenge (days 9, 18 and 27 p.c.) PRNT\(_{50}\) values with Dryvax increased over pre-challenge values (\( P < 0.05 \)) and were significantly higher than non-vaccinated controls (\( P < 0.001 \)). They were also higher than the titers of the ABL/CpG/alum group (\( P < 0.05 \)). There was an inverse relationship between pre-challenge PRNT\(_{50}\) and post-challenge VL or lesion numbers (Fig. 3).

3.3.2. Antibody isotype contributes to protection

CpG, a Th1 adjuvant, had a clear effect on protection (Table 1, Groups 3 and 4 versus 5). Although antibody isotype is a less clear indicator of Th-bias in primates than mice, we examined anti-B5 and anti-L1 specific responses after the third vaccine dose (day 98). We found IgG1 and IgG2, but not IgG3 and IgG4, which possibly were not detected due to use of human reagents. The anti-B5 isotype response was more homogeneous in vaccines formulated with CpG/alum than with alum alone. Moreover, the CpG/alum adjuvanted vaccine showed a consistent IgG1:IgG2 ratio \( > 2 \) (Fig. 4A), whereas the ratio of IgG1:IgG2 for alum alone was closer to one (Fig. 4B). Importantly, IgG1 responses alone were consistently high in all NHPs vaccinated with CpG/alum adjuvanted vaccine, while only 2 of 5 NHPs vaccinated with alum only showed high IgG1 titers. Antibody isotype determines function, and IgG1 antibodies...
are known to activate complement [34]. When we used concentrations of sera that did not efficiently neutralize EV, addition of active complement consistently enhanced EV neutralization in animals vaccinated with ABLA(100)/CpG/alum but had an enhancing effect in only 2 of 5 animals that received ABLA(100)/alum (Fig. 4C).

3.3.3. Anti-A27 antibodies do not neutralize virus

While the trivalent and tetravalent vaccines adjuvanted with CpG/alum protected NHP from death after lethal challenge with MPXV, we were surprised that pox lesion counts with the trivalent vaccine (Group 6) were much higher than with the tetravalent vaccine (Group 3, Table 1). In mice, A27 could not substitute for L1 in a trivalent vaccine, possibly because the anti-A27 antibodies do not neutralize MV [20]. To determine whether the monkeys developed a neutralizing antibody response to A27, we depleted anti-L1 antibodies by passing pooled sera from the vaccinated groups through L1-coupled (or uncoupled) sepharose beads. ELISA showed no remaining anti-L1 titers, but titers to A27 were unchanged (data not shown). We found that if L1 antibodies were depleted, sera no longer was able to neutralize MV (data not shown), indicating that the monkeys did not develop neutralizing response to A27.

3.3.4. Role of cellular immunity for protection by the protein-based vaccine is unclear

Interferon (IFN)-γ ELISPOT after VACV re-stimulation of PBMCs was used to assess cellular immunity. PBMCs from monkeys in 2-dose study were isolated ~3 weeks after the boost and prior to challenge. As expected for a live vaccine, Dryvax induced strong cellular responses with 80–130 IFN-γ SFC/10⁶ PBMC for all 3 monkeys. In contrast, only one of the 6 animals that received the tetravalent subunit vaccine with CpG/alum had a measurable, albeit low response (30 IFN-γ SFC/10⁶ PBMC).

4. Discussion

Recent life-threatening complications [35,36] with the FDA approved live VACV vaccine highlight the need for safer vaccines against orthopoxviruses, for which the threat of infection exists through zoonosis (e.g., monkeypox) or inadvertent or purposeful release of smallpox. The highly attenuated MVA, which does not produce infectious virions in human cells, is thought to be a safer attenuated VACV vaccine [8]. However, it continues to rely on a live virus and requires 1000-times the dose of the current live VACV vaccine. Subunit vaccines comprising recombinant protein antigens may be safe for all individuals and could be a useful future alternative, particularly if live virus vaccines are no longer acceptable at those times. Such a subunit vaccine would be useful in the pre-event setting to provide baseline immunity should newly introduced orthopoxviruses cause serious human infections. Also, a protein vaccine might enhance the safety of a live vaccinia vaccine in the event that a fully replication competent vaccine be deemed necessary to control a significant smallpox outbreak. Alternatively, it could also be useful to boost responses in the older population who had received childhood smallpox vaccines, leaving potentially limited supplies of live vaccine for those at greatest risk. Herein, we have shown the ability of a trivalent (A33, B5, and L1 (ABL)) or tetravalent (ABL + A27 (ABLA)) protein-based adjuvanted vaccine to elicit humoral immune responses in monkeys that protect against lethal MPXV challenge.

While a direct correlate of immunity to poxvirus infections is still unknown, our data suggest that the best results are obtained when the protein vaccine elicits a high amount of IgG1 isotype antibodies that are able to neutralize EV in the presence of complement. These results are consistent with findings by the Crotty group showing the importance of the Fc domain in antibody protection of poxvirus infections [37,38]. They found that anti-B5 mAbs (that poorly neutralized EV on their own) were quite potent at neutralizing EV in the presence of complement. Furthermore, treatment of mice with anti-B5 mAbs with complement fixing isotypes were better at protecting mice from VACV challenge. In future studies of smallpox vaccines in humans or NHPs, inducing a high titer of IgG1 antibodies may correlate with improved protection for the vaccine and thus IgG1 titers should be evaluated.

Our earlier work in mice indicated that ABL and ABLA (both formulated with CpG/alum) provided similar protection from intranasal challenge with VACV or ectromelia virus [20]. Thus, we were surprised that the tetravalent vaccine clearly outperformed the trivalent protein vaccine for clinical outcomes (pock lesions and VL) in NHPs. The role of A27 is not clear since we found that anti-A27 antibodies did not neutralize MV. It is noteworthy that a recent report from the Moss group found neutralizing antibodies to A27, but the protein was still less protective than the L1 protein [39]. T-helper epitopes within A27 may improve responses to other antigens that enhance protection. Another possibility is that the addition of A27 resulted in physiochemical changes in the tetravalent vaccine formulation that enhanced protection. Studies to better understand this are underway. Our results are consistent with others using the same antigens. ABL/CpG/alum provided similar protection to that reported by the Moss group with ABL/QS21 (a saponin adjuvant) [18], although it is important to note several differences in study design. These include adjuvant formulation, vaccination schedules (dose number and time between doses), the number of NHP, and relative lethality of challenge dose. Likewise, our ABLA results are similar to those obtained in a smaller study by the Franchini group using MPXV orthologs of the same four VACV proteins used here [16].

Aluminum hydroxide, a well-established adjuvant, provides reasonably good protection, but adding CpG provides additional benefit and improved protection. CPG7909 is a B-Class CpG...
Fig. 4. Protein vaccines adjuvanted with CpG/alum provide higher IgG1 to IgG2 ratios that result in more consistent complement-enhanced neutralization of EV. (A) IgG1 and IgG2 isotype responses to B5 in Group 3 (ABLA(100)/CpG/alum) at day 98 (2 weeks after the second boost). (B) IgG1 and IgG2 isotype responses to B5 in Groups 5 (ABLA/alum) at day 98 (2 weeks after the second boost). Solid lines with solid symbols: IgG1 responses. Dashed lines with open symbols: IgG2 responses. (C) EV neutralization by NHP sera on day 98 (2 weeks after the second boost) in the absence and presence of complement (C'). Error bars represent standard deviation. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

oligodeoxynucleotide that has proven in clinical studies to significantly enhance (~5–10-fold) humoral responses against several different antigens [40,41]. CpG10104, a related molecule with similar pharmacological effects, is currently under development as an adjuvant for infectious disease vaccines. While not compared side-by-side in the same study, our results support their similar activities. The Franchini study involved DNA prime/protein boost and a slightly higher MPXV challenge dose, but two groups were vaccinated with protein adjuvanted with either aluminum hydroxide (n = 3) or CpG (2 mg/animal of CPG7909, also known as CpG2006; n = 4). More than 25 lesions were seen in 3 of 3 (protein/alum) and 3 of 4 (protein/CpG) challenged monkeys in the Franchini study and 3 of 5 (protein/alum) monkeys in our study, but only 4 of 16 (protein/CpG/alum) challenged monkeys in our studies. Thus, there appears to be a benefit for including both alum and CpG in subunit vaccine formulations.

Intravenous challenge has been widely used to study smallpox therapies and vaccines because it more closely mimics some aspects of smallpox disease [15,16,18,23,30,42–48]. However there are disadvantages. The challenge dose is high and essentially starts...
the disease process at a stage closer to the secondary viremic phase of smallpox, therefore setting a very high bar for a preventive vaccine. Conversely, the outcome of intravenous challenge may depend more heavily on antibody responses against MV. Other NHP challenge models, like respiratory challenge, are being developed [49–52] and should be useful to further test effectiveness of subunit orthopoxvirus vaccines. For FDA approval of future generation smallpox vaccines by the “animal rule”, multiple animal models with various modes of challenge will likely be needed.

In conclusion, we found that as few as two doses of an adjuvanted protein-based subunit vaccine protected NHP from a lethal MPXV challenge. Such a vaccine would be valuable in a setting where it is difficult to screen large populations to identify those with increased risk of complications from live VACV vaccination. It could also be used to safely provide baseline poxvirus immunity and for immunization of individuals refusing VACV.
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