Pancreatic Duct Stricture That Rapidly Progressed to Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma and Formed a Mass within 3 Months: A Case Report
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Abstract
The natural growth rate of pancreatic carcinoma in situ with pancreatic duct stricture remains unclear. Herein, we present a case with pancreatic duct stricture that rapidly grew to form a mass lesion within 3 months. A 74-year-old woman was referred to us for the investigation of a pancreatic duct dilatation. Initial images did not reveal any clear mass lesions near the pancreatic duct stricture. Pancreatic juice cytology showed suspicious findings. Distal pancreatectomy was recommended; however, the patient refused to undergo surgical treatment at that time. Images taken 3 months later demonstrated a nodular pancreatic body mass which was identified as a moderately to poorly differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma. Previous reports have suggested that pancreatic carcinoma in situ and small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma require at least 1–2 years to progress to an advanced mass. This case suggests that pancreatic
carcinoma in situ may grow rapidly and indicates a need for close follow-up in patients with pancreatic duct strictures, even if the pathological evidence is not confirmed.

Introduction

Pancreatic duct (PD) stricture and dilatation without a mass lesion are known to be signs of pancreatic carcinoma in situ, and their appearance necessitates an intensive workup, including endoscopic sampling of pancreatic juice for cytology obtained via an endoscopic nasopancreatic drainage (ENPD) tube [1–3]. Almost all patients with suspected pancreatic carcinoma in situ undergo surgical resection because the prognosis for patients with pancreatic carcinoma in situ after surgical resection is better compared with the prognosis for those with invasive pancreatic ductal carcinoma [4]. A former report of a case series including 12 patients with pancreatic carcinoma in situ showed survival of all patients without recurrence for 6–78 months after surgical resection [5]. In addition, patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma less than 10 mm in size have a better prognosis after surgical resection, with a 5-year survival rate of over 80% [4].

Therefore, there are few follow-up reports on pancreatic carcinoma in situ with PD stricture and small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma less than 10 mm in size, and the natural growth rate of these tumors remains unclear. There is only one report describing the natural growth rate of pancreatic carcinoma in situ till date [6], as well as one follow-up report of the natural growth rate of small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas less than 10 mm in size [7]. Hence, reports of close follow-ups in cases of PD stricture, suspected to be carcinoma in situ, and observation of the natural growth rate are important.

Here, we present the case of a patient with PD stricture that rapidly grew to form an advanced mass lesion within 3 months.

Case Presentation

A 74-year-old Japanese woman with a history of glioma was referred to us for the investigation of a PD dilatation that was incidentally detected during an annual health check. She had no specific symptoms at the time of referral. Physical examination revealed no remarkable findings. Initial laboratory data did not show any abnormalities, including tumor markers (Table 1). Abdominal enhanced computed tomography (CT) demonstrated a PD stricture on the pancreatic body and a PD dilatation on the pancreatic tail; however, a clear mass lesion was not observed (Fig. 1a). Endoscopic ultrasonography revealed a dilated PD, but a hypoechoic mass was not detected. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography showed an intensity defect in the pancreatic body duct (Fig. 2a) and a pancreatic divisum. Endoscopic retrograde pancreatography was performed, and seven pancreatic juice cytology specimens were obtained using an ENPD tube via the minor papilla. Four specimens showed features suspicious of malignancy (Fig. 3a); thus, a distal pancreatectomy was strongly recommended to the pa-
tient because carcinoma in situ was suspected. However, the patient refused to undergo surgical resection at that time without a definite pathological diagnosis. The patient refused to undergo repeated ENPD cytology and requested close follow-up by imaging.

On follow-up CT imaging conducted 3 months later, a 2-cm delayed enhanced mass lesion was observed near the PD stricture (Fig. 1b). Follow-up endoscopic ultrasonography showed a hypoechoic nodular mass lesion (Fig. 2b). The patient finally agreed to undergo surgical resection, and distal pancreatectomy was performed.

The resected specimen showed a 24-mm demarcated solid mass that was identified as a moderately to poorly differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma (Fig. 3b–d). Part of the solid lesion contained a scirrhous growth component (Fig. 3d). Lymphatic invasion (Fig. 3e) and moderate neural (Fig. 3f) and venous invasion (Fig. 3g) were observed. Lymph node metastasis was present. The pathological diagnosis was carcinoma of the pancreas with lymph node metastasis, pT3N1M0, G3, stage IIB (UICC-7).

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the 20th postoperative day. The patient is doing well without recurrence 24 months postoperatively.

**Discussion**

Few studies have investigated the growth rate of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Yu et al. [8] reported that the time required for pancreatic adenocarcinoma to progress from a T1 tumor to a T3 and T4 tumor is 1.06 and 1.19 years, respectively. Another study suggested that the mean volume doubling time for pancreatic adenocarcinomas is 151.7 days [9]. After analysis of 100 patients with histologically proven pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, using multidetector CT, Ahn et al. [10] reported that the growth rate and volume doubling time of untreated pancreatic adenocarcinoma correlate with the tumor size, suggesting that a small tumor tends to grow slowly. While the abovementioned studies suggested that small pancreatic adenocarcinomas tend to grow slowly, these studies included cases with masses over 10 mm in size.

Reports of the natural growth rate of pancreatic carcinoma in situ and small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma less than 10 mm in size are extremely rare, mainly due to the difficulty in detecting pancreatic carcinoma in situ and small adenocarcinoma because of the absence of symptoms and effective screening strategies. In addition, almost all cases of suspected pancreatic carcinoma in situ and small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma less than 10 mm in size undergo surgical resection because the prognosis after surgical resection is relatively better [4]. There is only one observational report of a case of pancreatic carcinoma in situ that slowly progressed to a 1-cm T1 tumor over the course of 29 months [6]. Similarly, only one study reported on the natural growth rate of a small pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma of 7 mm. It took 22 months for this mass to increase from 7 to 13 mm, and the patient then underwent surgical resection [7] (Table 2).

Although the natural growth rate of carcinoma in situ to advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is unknown, the abovementioned reports suggest it would occur over a period of 1–2 years. In our present patient, the development of a mass within 3 months showed clear and rapid progression.

The existence of a scirrhous component could potentially have caused the rapid cancer cell proliferation and lymph node metastasis [11] in the present case; however, the predictive
factors associated with rapidly growing pancreatic adenocarcinoma remain unclear. Therefore, in all cases of PD stenosis and dilatation with suspected carcinoma in situ, close follow-up imaging and careful monitoring should be performed if a patient refuses to undergo surgical treatment. Additional cases will need to be evaluated to further understand the natural progression of carcinoma in situ to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
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Fig. 1. **a** Initial enhanced computed tomography (arterial phase) image showing no mass lesion on the pancreatic body near the pancreatic duct stricture. **b** Three months later, follow-up computed tomography (arterial phase) revealed a nodular mass on the pancreatic body near the pancreatic duct stricture.

Fig. 2. **a** Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography showed an intensity defect of the main pancreatic duct. **b** Follow-up radial array endoscopic ultrasonography revealed a nodular mass near the pancreatic duct stricture (arrowheads).
Fig. 3. a Pancreatic juice cytology showed features suspicious of malignancy (atypical cells with anisonucleosis). Papanicolaou stain. ×1,000. b Victoria blue hematoxylin and eosin stain. ×10. c, d The resected specimen was identified as moderately (c; Victoria blue hematoxylin and eosin stain; ×100) to poorly (d; Victoria blue hematoxylin and eosin stain; ×100) differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma. Some part of the solid lesion contained a scirrhous growth component (d). e Mild lymphatic invasion was observed. D2-40 stain. ×400. f Moderate neural invasion. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. ×200. g Moderate venous invasion. Azan stain. ×200.
Table 1. Initial laboratory data

| Test                          | Value    |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Complete blood count         |          |
| WBC, /μL                      | 6,880    |
| RBC, ×10^4/μL                 | 50.2     |
| Hb, g/dL                      | 15.4     |
| Platelets, ×10^4/μL           | 44.7     |
| Coagulofibrinolysis          |          |
| PT, %                         | 126      |
| Tumor markers                 |          |
| CEA, ng/mL                    | 3.0      |
| CA 19-9, U/mL                 | 6.1      |
| Blood chemistry               |          |
| T.P., g/dL                    | 6.6      |
| T.Bil., mg/dL                 | 0.3      |
| D.Bil., mg/dL                 | 0.1      |
| AST, IU/L                     | 25       |
| ALT, IU/L                     | 27       |
| ALP, IU/L                     | 235      |
| LDH, IU/L                     | 167      |
| Amylase, IU/L                 | 43       |
| BUN, mg/dL                    | 11.7     |
| Cr, mg/dL                     | 0.93     |
| Glu, mg/dL                    | 108      |

Initial laboratory data revealed almost normal results including tumor markers.

Table 2. Characteristics of the pancreatic carcinoma in situ and the <10-mm-small pancreatic cancer

| Test                          | Value    |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Initial mass forming location |          |
| Hisa et al. [7]               | 7 mm     |
| Nakamura et al. [6]           | (-) PD stricture |
| Our case                      | (-) PD stricture |
| Initial diagnosis             |          |
| Hisa et al. [7]               | BD-IPMN  |
| Nakamura et al. [6]           | Carcinoma in situ |
| Our case                      | Carcinoma in situ |
| Follow-up period, months      |          |
| Hisa et al. [7]               | 22       |
| Nakamura et al. [6]           | 29       |
| Our case                      | 3        |
| Resection                     | (+) DP   |
| Final mass volume, mm         |          |
| Hisa et al. [7]               | 13       |
| Nakamura et al. [6]           | 10       |
| Our case                      | 24       |
| Final pathological diagnosis  |          |
| Hisa et al. [7]               | Invasive ductal carcinoma |
| Nakamura et al. [6]           | Invasive ductal carcinoma (tub1) stage I A |
| Our case                      | Invasive ductal carcinoma (tub2~Por) stage II b |

PD, pancreatic duct; BD-IPMN, branch duct-intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; DP, distal pancreatectomy; PDuo, pancreaticoduodenectomy.