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Abstract

Cost efficiency in biosecurity surveillance is vital, and the ability to survey for multiple pest species using just one trap therefore highly appealing. The Psylloidea, or plantlice, contain significant horticultural pest species that act as vectors for a number of deleterious plant bacteriums. We examine the efficacy of using two different coloured sticky traps, and two lure types on the general Psylloidea and Pentatomoidea fauna, and a target extant pest psyllid; tomato potato psyllid (TPP) *Bactericera cockerelli* (Šulc). Specifically, we test the effect of lure (no lure, Asian citrus psyllid ACP lure, brown marmorated stink bug BMSB lure, combined lures), sticky trap color (green vs yellow), and sentinel plant (tomato vs citrus) on psyllid and stink bug species in 104 urban backyards across Perth, Australia. We found that tomato sentinel host plants and green traps significantly increased the capture rate of TPP, but that all lures decreased the capture of TPP. Green traps also increased the capture rate of all other Psylloidea. Although BMSB lures reduced TPP capture, these lures increased abundances of other Psylloidea and the pest stinkbug *Plautia anis* (Dallas) on traps. Thus, our experiment demonstrates that increased efficiencies can be gained with combination traps and lures for particular groups, provided that they have been tested on focal organisms in the first instance, as reactions to non-target lures are unpredictable and species specific.

Key Message

- Constructing multi-species trapping systems will optimize biosecurity surveillance efforts
- Lures have unpredictable capture efficacy on different Psylloidea
- Trap colour was important for Psylloidea, but not Pentatomoidea
- Multi-lure trap systems must be trialled on secondary target pests before being employed

Introduction

Biosecurity surveillance is an ever-escalating cost due to increased global travel, free trade agreements, and open markets (Cope et al., 2019); (Meurisse et al., 2019). Accumulation rates of introduced taxa are not slowing (Seebens et al., 2017), suggesting current surveillance and control methods are only partially effective in many regions (Meurisse et al., 2019). Targeted risk analysis and surveillance for invasive plant pests increases the likelihood of early detection and eradication, lessening the potential of establishment and spread, thus reducing the impact of these pests (e.g., (Cope et al., 2019). In recognition of the importance of rigorous surveillance for plant pests, the European Food Safety Authority provided guidelines for designing risk-based surveys of plant pests (European Food Safety Authority, 2020), and delivered RiBESS, a tool to calculate the sample size needed to substantiate absence of a pest and survey sensitivity (European Food Safety Authority, 2012). This tool provides more impetus to jurisdictions to conduct cost-effective and statistically sound surveillance for plant pests. Given the cost of surveillance, the rigour required and the increasing numbers of invasive pests able to exploit global movement, opportunities to increase the surveillance ‘bang for the buck’ should be embraced. Examples of such
opportunities include optimising efforts in high-risk pathways and value-adding to surveillance efforts by targeting more than one pest species at each trapping site. Combining the monitoring of established non-native insect pests with targeted biosecurity surveillance of exotic pests is attractive but is not always employed because of the vast array of insect species with different ecological and biological characteristics, taxonomic challenges, difference in trap types and finite resources (Brockerhoff et al., 2013).

The Psylloidea, or plantlice, contain significant horticultural pest species including Asian citrus psyllid *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama, African citrus psyllid *Trioza erytreae* Del Guerci, tomato potato psyllid *Bactericera cockerelli* (Šulc, 1909), and carrot psyllids, particularly *Bactericera trigonica* Hodkinson and *Dyspersa apicalis* (Foerster) (Burckhardt, 1994). It is not the insect feeding *per se* that causes the most damage, but their ability to act as vectors for some devastating plant bacteriums, particularly *Candidatus Liberibacter* spp (e.g., (Catling, 1970); (Munyaneza et al., 2011); (Antolinez et al., 2017)). Sticky traps have been used as the most effective, cost-efficient method for capturing most of these pests and are thus also promoted for biosecurity purposes (e.g., tomato potato psyllid: (Yen et al., 2013), (Hodge et al., 2019); Asian citrus psyllid: (Hall et al., 2010); carrot psyllids: (Burckhardt & Freuler, 2000); apple psyllids: (Miñarro et al., 2016). Traps are particularly effective if an attractant is added, for example, if placed near a host plant of target species, which are also known as sentinel plants (Mansfield et al., 2019). Attractant sampling has evolved further, with lures now available for some species like Asian citrus psyllid (Zanardi et al., 2019). Although lures increase the likelihood of capturing target species, the impact of trap efficacy for other species is predominantly unknown. Lures may result in interspecific repellency; actively dissuading other pest species from approaching the trap. Regardless, multispecies trapping using a variety of attractants on the same trap has been tested for fruitflies (Thomas et al., 2001); (Stringer et al., 2019), moths (Brockerhoff et al., 2013), woodboring beetles (Wong et al., 2012); (Hoch et al., 2020) and pests within different insect orders (Baroffio et al., 2018); (Chase et al., 2018).

We aim to determine whether a psyllid species-specific lure (Asian citrus psyllid), and a non-psyllid lure (brown marmorated stink bug) dissuaded a target organism, tomato potato psyllid (*Bactericera cockerelli*). Additionally, Asian citrus psyllid specific yellow-green sticky traps (herein called ‘green’ traps) were tested to determine the capture rate of TPP compared to standard yellow sticky traps. Finally, we question the efficacy of the lures and coloured sticky traps across other psyllids including many native species (Psylloidea) and the stinkbug fauna (Pentatomoidea) to discern patterns. We conducted these trials in an urban setting as major entry points such as ports and airports in urban areas have been shown to be high risk pathways for incursions of exotic species (Colunga-Garcia et al., 2013); (Hoch et al., 2020). Indeed, TPP established in metropolitan areas initially before spreading across rural regions in New Zealand (Teulon et al., 2009), (Thomas et al., 2011) and Western Australia (Department of Agriculture and Water Resources 2017).

**Methods And Materials**

**Tomato potato psyllid**
Tomato potato psyllid (TPP) (Hemiptera: Triozidae) is one of the world’s most destructive horticultural pests (Teulon et al., 2009); (Butler & Trumble, 2012); (Greenway & Rondon, 2018). The damage is attributable to the psyllid feeding on host plants, as well as a phloem-limited, unculturable bacterium Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum (CLso) that TPP is a vector for, and which causes a disease called ‘psyllid yellows’ or ‘zebra chip’ (Munyaneza et al., 2007). The psyllid’s host range includes many Solanaceous plants such as tomato, capsicum, chilli, eggplant, and tobacco, plus some other families such as Convolvulaceae which includes sweet potato (for a full list see CABI 2021).

In February 2017 TPP was discovered in an inner suburban region of Perth, Western Australia. This was the first mainland Australian incursion, after TPP established in New Zealand in 2006 (Teulon et al., 2009) and on the Australian territory of Norfolk Island in 2015 (Walker et al., 2015). As TPP was deemed unfeasible to eradicate from Western Australia, surveillance focused on detecting the presence of CLso. Monitoring occurs in Perth’s autumn (March) and spring (October) growing seasons, and began in March 2017. Fortunately, the associated bacterium has not been detected, however, TPP has been detected in Albany in the south of Western Australia, Esperance in the east, and north to Geraldton and Carnarvon, presumably through accidental human-mediated dispersal.

Experimental Design

Adopt-a-trappers from within the greater Perth metropolitan region were recruited to host traps over a 4-week period in October 2020. Trappers were selected from previous surveillance for CLso, which demonstrated that they had TPP in their gardens in the previous two years. Trappers were given a tomato plant and a native citrus plant (Murraya sp.) as sentinel plants for TPP and ACP, respectively. Seven trappers used their own established citrus trees (lemon or orange) instead of the offered Murraya plant. Trappers were randomly assigned traps with one of the below designs (Table 1).

| Plant          | sticky trap | Lures*          |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Tomato         | Yellow      | Control         |
|                |             | BMSB            |
|                |             | ACP             |
|                |             | BMSB & ACP      |
| Murraya sp.    | Yellow      | 29              |
|                |             | 24              |
|                |             | 27              |
|                |             | 21              |
| Tomato         | Green       | 4               |
|                |             | 6               |
|                |             | 6               |
|                |             | 9               |

*Lures were only attached to the yellow sticky trap near tomato

Participants were each allocated a minimum of eight yellow sticky traps (four with a white dot, four with a red dot), two trap cages, 16 barcodes, eight trap protectors (clear plastic sleeves), four return post...
envelopes, four trap return sheets and a lure. Lured traps were yellow sticky traps with red dots, with the lure attached to the cage of the trap, and placed near the tomato plant on trapper’s properties (Fig. 1). The control lure was a square of felt glued on to the cage. Yellow traps with the white dot were placed in their cage near the *Murraya* plant without any lure, and approximately 2m from the tomato plant (Fig. 1). A subset of trappers were given a third trap and cage, with two ‘green’ sticky traps, to be placed near their tomato plant. Volunteers were asked to submit a report and photos using MyPestGuide™ Reporter (see https://www.agric.wa.gov.au/pests-weeds-diseases/mypestguide) in the first week of trapping to report their sticky trap locations. Each week the sticky traps were collected and replaced. Used sticky traps were placed in protective plastic sleeves with a barcode identifier. Traps were returned in a prepaid envelope along with a trap return sheet that also included a duplicate barcode to ensure that traps could be linked to location and date.

Once returned, the traps were triaged, assigned a lab identification number and entered in the Sample Manager (Version 12.2; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2019) database. We used Leica M80 dissecting microscopes to identify and count insects on the traps. Pentatomoidea were identified to species level, while Psylloidea were classified as follows “TPP”, “Other Triozidae” and “Other Psylloidea”. In addition, we scanned the traps for Pentatomoidea and Psylloidea exotic to Western Australia. Results were recorded on the individual lab sheet for that trap, which included its unique identification number, and data was subsequently entered into the database. When TPP were found, specimens were cut from the trap using a scalpel and cleared of adhesive material using household-grade orange oil and Decon 90, then sent for PCR testing to monitor for any presence of the exotic bacterium CLso. Weekly emails were sent to adopt-a-trappers informing them of their TPP count and the presence of any concerning species, as well as their MyPestGuide reports replied to with any interesting finds. The traps were stored in a refrigerator and associated paperwork filed.

Data Analysis

Logistic regression models (family “Poisson”) were used to analyse the data in RStudio (v 1.3.1093), R (R Development Core Team 2020, v 4.0.3). The model for evaluating the effect of trap type on the number of insects trapped, had trap type as predictor variable and number of TPP, other Triozidae or Other Psylloidea as response variables. The second model evaluated the effect of lure type on the number of insects trapped and had lure type as predictor variable and number of TPP, other Triozidae, Other Psylloidea or Pentatomoidea as response variables. Model residuals were tested for the assumptions and no issues were detected. Post hoc validations were done, using Tukey’s HSD (honest significant difference) test for multiple comparisons. Specific R packages used were ‘ggplot2’, ‘ggthemes’, ‘MASS’, ‘reshape2’, ‘tidyverse’, ‘RColorBrewer’, ‘emmeans’, ‘rcompanion’, ‘performance’, ‘multcompView’, ‘pscl’, ‘FSA’.

Results

In total, 9,180 insects were identified from 820 traps, set at 104 adopt-a-trapper’s households. The number of traps assessed were less than the maximum (882) because some trappers were only recruited for two
weeks, other trappers did not return the full complement of traps, and quality control removed data when a trapper’s lure set up was determined to be less than optimal (e.g., lure wrappers not removed, lures placed on the wrong traps, plants spaced too far apart) for the first week through assessing reports on the MyPestGuide app. The insects consisted of 4,864 TPP, 868 other Triozidae, 3,415 other Psylloidea and 31 Pentatomoidea (stinkbugs). No exotic Psylloidea or Pentatomoidea were detected, including Asian citrus psyllid or brown marmorated stinkbug, despite lures of these species present on 72 properties.

TPP

TPP were collected at 86 households (82% of properties). TPP were attracted to the green sticky traps in significantly higher abundance at a mean of 16.46 TPP per trap than the yellow sticky traps (9.99 TPP per trap) (Fig. 2A). Unsurprisingly, yellow sticky traps placed with a citrus sentinel plant attracted far fewer TPP at 2.89 per trap (Fig. 2A). When lures were added to traps, these reduced the number of TPP captured significantly, regardless of whether the lure was BMSB (to 4.32 TPP individuals), ACP (6.17 TPP), or a combination (6.58 TPP), however, BMSB lures were the most dissuasive (Fig. 2D).

There appeared to be an interaction with time, in that the impact of ACP lures on TPP slightly decreased after week 1 (Fig. 2G), although this interaction wasn’t significant. Control traps indicated a peak in TPP numbers in week 2. In contrast, both lures combined showed no difference in TPP capture over time, maintaining low levels, while BMSB lures continued to dissuade TPP into week 4 (Fig. 2G). However, there was no significant interaction with time overall, likely due to the large weekly fluctuations of the control, particularly in week 2.

Other Triozidae

Despite being in the same family as TPP, there were far fewer other Triozidae represented on the traps overall (Fig. 2B) and 25% of properties didn’t record any (26 households). Although there were no clear patterns of preference with regards to lures (Fig. 2E), there was a general reduction of individuals captured over time, by approximately 50% for the control, ACP and BMSB lures (Fig. 2E). A peak in triozid abundance was noted in week 2 for ACP and both lures combined, while it occurred in week 3 for the control traps (Fig. 2H).

Other Psylloidea

Other Psylloidea were found at every property except two (98% had other Psylloidea). They were also attracted to the green sticky traps in significantly higher abundance at a mean of 9.98 per trap than the yellow sticky traps (3.61 per trap) (Fig. 2C). In contrast to TPP, yellow sticky traps placed with a citrus sentinel plant attracted similar abundance of psyllids as the tomato traps at 3.71 per trap. When lures were added to traps, ACP had no impact (3.01 per trap), but the BMSB lure had a positive effect on the Psylloidea, increasing capture rates significantly to 5.94 per trap (Fig. 2F). However, when ACP lures were added in combination, this interfered with BMSB lures, and reduced the efficacy back to control levels of 3.82 individuals per trap (Fig. 2F). The attractiveness of BMSB lures on other psyllids significantly
decreased over time \((P < 0.05)\) until reaching the levels of all other traps by week 4 (Fig. 2I). Control traps indicated a peak in psyllid numbers in week 3. In contrast, ACP and both lures combined showed little difference in psyllid capture over time, maintaining lower and not displaying the peak in week 3 of the control traps levels (Fig. 2I).

**Stinkbugs**

Outside of the lure experiment, and for surveillance of the bacterium CLso vectored by TPP, 447 yellow traps were placed near tomato but no stinkbugs were captured on any of these. Only 18% of lure experiment trappers captured stinkbugs (19 households). Of these households, very few stinkbugs were captured near citrus (Fig. 3A). Stinkbugs were predominantly captured on lured yellow traps near tomato (Fig. 3B). The vegetable pest *Plautia affinis* (Dallas) (30 specimens) dominated samples, with a single *Dictyotus caenosus* (Westwood) on a citrus sentinel trap (no lure). *Plautia affinis* were significantly attracted to the BMSB lure, with eight attracted to just the BMSB lure and 17 to the combination trap (Fig. 3B). Interestingly though, no stink bugs were captured with the ACP lure alone. Without any lure, only two *P. affinis* were recorded (Fig. 3B). Capture rates also decreased with time, with 15, 10, 5, 1 stink bugs caught in weeks 1 to 4, respectively (Fig. 3C).

**Discussion**

Lures significantly increase trap efficacy for targeted insect species. The commercial lures we utilized increase capture of Asian citrus psyllid by 3–4 times (Czokajlo et al. 2015), and brown marmorated stink bug by 120+ times (Weber et al., 2019). Lures can, however, have consequences on the capture rates of non-target taxa, and these impacts are not always predicted by taxonomic boundaries. For example, lures of the Noctuidae moth *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) attract significantly more non-target moths, bees and ladybird beetles to traps (Spears et al., 2016). We found that tomato potato psyllid (TPP) was repelled by both ACP and BMSB lures, despite TPP and ACP both belonging to the superfamily Psylloidea. Psyllids representing the families Aphalaridae and Psyllidae were also repelled by ACP lures. Asian citrus psyllid belongs to the family Liviidae and is not represented by any known species in Western Australia, so the intrafamilial efficacy of the ACP lure remains unknown. Attraction or avoidance to lures corresponds to the chemicals used. The chemicals in ACP lures are a mixture of organic volatiles present in new flushes of citrus host plants, namely aphla-phellandrene, beta-phellandrene, beta-caryophyllene, gamma-terpinene, ocimene and terpineol (Setamou, 2018). As the chemicals originate from citrus, it follows that the lure should attract insects that feed on citrus, or other plants that produce similar organic volatiles, regardless of taxonomic identity. In our experiment, the only group attracted to the ACP lure were ‘other triozids’, which was driven predominantly by lilli pilly psyllid *Trioza adventicia* Tuthill, an introduced species from eastern Australia that feeds on *Syzygium* species (Francesco Martoni, Submitted 2021). The host plant families of *T. adventicia* and ACP are different (Myrtaceae and Rutaceae, respectively), but we surmise that the plant volatiles in the ACP lures must feature similar volatiles to those of *Syzygium* to attract the lilli pilly psyllid. Indeed, ACP lures include clove oil (Setamou, 2018) which originates from *Syzygium* (Cortés-Rojas et al., 2014), likely explaining the ACP lure attraction for *T. adventicia*. 
Furthermore, clove oil produces strong avoidance behaviour in TPP (Diaz-Montano & Trumble, 2013), illuminating why TPP may have been repelled by ACP lures.

Instead of plant volatiles, lures may be based on insect pheromones, which will have an even more unpredictable effect on bycatch. For example, the *H. armigera* lures mentioned above are based on pheromones, but can significantly increase ladybird beetle, bee and nontarget moth captures by 23%, 110% and >2,000%, respectively (Spears et al. 2016). BMSB lures are pheromone lures and, while TPP was significantly repelled by the BMSB lure, psyllids other than TPP were attracted to BMSB lures, despite BMSB and psyllids belonging to different taxonomic suborders of true bugs (Heteroptera versus Sternorrhyncha). Delving into our psyllid data, high capture rates of a diverse composition of different species, mainly native *Acizzia* species, often dictated the pattern. In many cases, however, *Ctenarytaina longicauda* Taylor appeared to be driving the association on BMSB lured traps at tomato, and on sticky traps at citrus. *Ctenarytaina longicauda* is another recent arrival in Western Australia from eastern Australia (Francesco Martoni, Submitted 2021) and although *Lophostemon confertus* (R.Br.) is the known host plant, in Perth *C. longicauda* can be found in high abundances feeding on citrus (M.Moir pers. obs.). Why *C. longicauda* was not attracted to ACP lures, which are derived from the plant volatiles of fresh citrus growth (Setamou, 2018), remains unknown.

In some cases, species-specific lures will attract an unintended species within the same family. We found that BMSB lures attracted the pest stinkbug *Plautia affinis* (Dallas), the only known species of *Plautia* to occur in southwest Western Australia. *Plautia affinis* is a likely introduction from eastern Australia as records in Western Australia prior to (Cassis & Gross, 2002) are scarce, with (Froggatt, 1907) restricting species distribution to the state of New South Wales. The earliest specimens from Western Australia were collected by L.J. Newman in Geraldton (ICDB 2018), no date is listed, but would have been after his appointment as Government entomologist in 1918 and prior to his death in 1938. BMSB lures contain, in part as a pheromone synergist for BMSB, the pheromone of *Plautia stali* Scott (methyl (2E,4E,6Z)-2,4,6-decatrienoate), which is a sympatric species with BMSB in Asia (SUGIE et al., 1996). This component of the BMSB lures likely attracted *P. affinis* in our study, and other surveillance around Australia (Western Australia, M. Moir unpublished data; Horwood et al., 2019). Moreover, despite the diversity of native pentatomids in Western Australia (approximately 137 described species; Cassis & Gross, 2002) lack of captures suggest that other species weren’t attracted to the lures or sticky traps. Few stinkbugs are attracted to BMSB lures elsewhere, such as the pest *Acrosternum hilare* (Say) (Aldrich et al., 2009), and *Euschistus servus* (Say) (Cottrell et al., 2020) in the United States, but such examples are uncommon with most stinkbugs relatively lure specific (Tillman et al., 2010).

We found that combining lures will not counteract one another, nor will they have a cumulative impact on species examined here, supporting similar results for lures on BMSB and pest wood-boring beetles (Cerambycidae and Scolytinae: Curculionidae) (Chase et al., 2018), as well as Lepidoptera lures (Brockerhoff et al., 2013). For example, *P. affinis* was attracted to BMSB lures whether they were in combination with ACP lures or not, and although TPP was repelled by both lure types, combinations of these lures did not increase repellancy significantly. Unsurprisingly, we found that over time lure
effectiveness declined as the chemical degrades, which has been an identified issue for lure longevity (Suckling, 2000); (Cottrell et al., 2020). The impact of the lures were most prominent for all taxa in the first two weeks, after which TPP was the only insect still detecting and avoiding BMSB lures.

While lures affected non-target insect capture in unpredictable ways, colour had a more consistent effect on Psylloidea. Yellow sticky traps are commonly used in surveillance as they have been found more effective at capturing Psylloidea than other colours tested such as white and black (Hodge et al., 2019). Specialist behavioural and anatomical studies have, however, indicated that Psylloidea have very good ‘colour vision’ (Farnier et al., 2015) and different species may prefer different colour spectrums (Farnier et al., 2014; Czokajlo et al. 2015). Despite this, we found that yellow-green ACP traps consistently attracted more Psylloidea, including TPP, other Triozidae, Psyllidae and Aphalaridae, than standard yellow traps. Conversely, stinkbugs were not attracted to either trap colour. Although our study had very low numbers of stinkbugs, this result is supported by (Cottrell et al., 2020) who found that BMSB and other stinkbugs are not significantly influenced by trap colour.

Our results provide evidence that olfactory cues are clearly more species-specific than colour for both Psylloidea and Pentatomoidea. This is unsurprising given that previous studies have shown the high sensitivity of olfactory cues, particularly for Psylloidea. For example, apples infected with apple proliferation phytoplasma (Phytoplasma mali) are more attractive to the vector summer apple psylla, thus facilitating the phytoplasma's spread (Mayer et al., 2008). Likewise, citrus infected with Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus attracts the vector, Asian citrus psyllid (Mann et al., 2012), and tomatoes infected with Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum attract uninfected TPP, while infected TPP prefer uninfected plants (Mas et al., 2014). TPP may be particularly sensitive to aromas as it is repelled not only by ACP and BMSB lures, as examined here, but also by essential oils of cedar wood, lime, savory, thyme, tea tree ((Diaz-Montano & Trumble, 2013), garlic (Wright et al., 2013a), and different sulphur applications (Diaz-Montano & Trumble, 2013); (Wright et al., 2013b).

**Conclusion**

Surveillance for plant pests is conducted to define the pest status of an area, improve the likelihood of early detection and monitor pest prevalence. The data collected from surveillance can help establish pest-free areas and streamline the trade of plants and plant products. While biosecurity agencies attempt to survey for many taxa with fewer resources, experimentally determining trap efficacy prior to initiating surveillance avoids squandering resources in the long-term. While attempting to incorporate additional exotic species into the surveillance for the bacterium CLso (vectored by TPP), we found that ACP and BMSB lures could not be used on traps intended to target TPP, but that ‘green’ ACP sticky traps were more effective at capturing TPP and other Psylloidea than yellow traps, and could confer a more effective multi-species approach. Our study demonstrates that, when planning multi-taxa surveillance or monitoring programs, lures must first be tested on both the target and non-target insect taxa to determine trap efficacy as the consequences for species catch can be unpredictable.
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Figure 1

trap design set up showing tomato plant with both yellow sticky trap with two lures attached (far right), and the green sticky trap (middle), and a Murraya sentinel plant with a yellow sticky trap (left).
Figure 2

The effect of trap colour, sentinel plant, and lures on number of different Psylloidea individuals. Specifically, A)-C) individuals captured on yellow sticky traps near citrus (white bar), green traps (green bar) and yellow sticky traps near tomato (red bar); D)-F) individuals captured on tomato yellow traps with different lure combinations of Asian citrus psyllid (ACP) lures, brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) lures, both ACP and BMSB lures, and control (no lures); G)-I) the interaction of lure against time. Letters over bars indicate significant differences at P < 0.05. The images are from top to bottom: Bactericera cockerelli (Triozidae) © Pia Scanlon, Western Australian Agricultural Authority 2020; Trioza barrettae (Triozidae) © M.L. Moir; and Acizzia sp. (Psyllidae) © M.L. Moir.
Figure 3

The effect of trap colour, sentinel plant, and lures on number of different Pentatomoidea stinkbugs. Specifically, A) individuals captured on yellow sticky traps near citrus (white bar), green traps (green bar) and yellow sticky traps near tomato (red bar); B) individuals captured on tomato yellow traps with different lure combinations of Asian citrus psyllid (ACP) lures, brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) lures, both ACP and BMSB lures, and control (no lures); C) the interaction of lure against time. Letters over bars indicate significant differences at P < 0.05. The image is of the most dominant Pentatomoidea captured, Plautia affinis (Pentatomidae) © Pia Scanlon, Western Australian Agricultural Authority 2020.