Oenococcus oeni Exopolysaccharide Biosynthesis, a Tool to Improve Malolactic Starter Performance
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Oenococcus oeni is the lactic acid bacterium that most commonly drives malolactic fermentation (MLF) in wine. Though the importance of MLF in terms of wine microbial stability and sensory improvement is well established, it remains a winemaking step not so easy to control. O. oeni displays many adaptation tools to resist the harsh wine conditions which explain its natural dominance at this stage of winemaking. Previous findings showed that capsular polysaccharides and endogenously produced dextran increased the survival rate and the conservation time of malolactic starters. In this paper, we showed that exopolysaccharides specific production rates were increased in the presence of single stressors relevant to wine (pH, ethanol). The transcription of the associated genes was investigated in distinct O. oeni strains. The conditions in which eps genes and EPS synthesis were most stimulated were then evaluated for the production of freeze dried malolactic starters, for acclimation procedures and for MLF efficiency. Sensory analysis tests on the resulting wines were finally performed.
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INTRODUCTION

Oenococcus oeni is the bacterial species most frequently responsible for malolactic fermentation (MLF) in wine (Lonvaud-Funel, 1999). MLF is an important qualitative winemaking step, taking place after the alcoholic fermentation, and whose main consequence is the conversion of L-malic acid to L-lactic acid. It can be driven by the autochthonous O. oeni strains, or by commercialized selected strains (starters), massively introduced to wine usually at the end of the alcoholic fermentation (Davis et al., 1985). O. oeni ability to be naturally selected among great diversity of microorganisms in wine and to drive MLF in such hostile environment is not fully understood even although many mechanisms have been described.

Most O. oeni strains are acidophilic, able to grow at pH 3.5 or lower, tolerant to the presence of ethanol, sulfur dioxide and polyphenols as well as resistant to low temperature (Lonvaud-Funel, 1999). This high level of tolerance to wine and winemaking is linked to metabolic equipment dedicated to stress tolerance (Guzzo et al., 1997; Delmas et al., 2001; Grandvalet et al., 2005; Beltramo et al., 2006; Favier et al., 2012; Maitre et al., 2014), to resistance to low pH (Ramos et al., 1995; Tourdot-Maréchal et al., 1999; Martin et al., 2005; Olguín et al., 2009), or ethanol (Garbay and Lonvaud-Funel, 1996; Da Silveira et al., 2003; Chu-Ky et al., 2005; Olguín et al., 2015; Mendoza et al., 2017; Bonomo et al., 2018; Contreras et al., 2018). Some of the mechanisms explaining O. oeni tolerance to wine are strain specific, like those implicated in rare nutrient transport and metabolism.
(Garvie, 1967; Dicks et al., 1995; Borneman et al., 2010; Jamal et al., 2013), in biogenic amine production (Lucas et al., 2008; Cañas et al., 2009; Costantini et al., 2009; Pramateftaki et al., 2012) or in resistance to bacteriophages (Poblet-Icart et al., 1998; Sáo-José et al., 2004; Jaomanjaka et al., 2013), while others are shared by all the strains in the species (Bartowsky and Borneman, 2010; Borneman et al., 2012; Betteridge et al., 2015).

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) production can also contribute to *O. oeni* protection. EPS are macromolecules constituted of repeated units of sugar molecules and excreted by the bacteria. In lactic acid bacteria, they can accumulate around the cell and form a thin to thick capsule depending on the strain and/or they can be liberated in the surrounding medium (Cerning, 1990; De Vuyst and Degeest, 1999; Degeest, 2001; Ruas-Madiedo and de los Reyes-Gavilán, 2005). Indeed 75% of the *O. oeni* strains examined are capsulated with exopolymers made of glucose, galactose and rhamnose, and also liberate exopolymers in various proportions depending on the strain considered (Cie Zack et al., 2010; Dimopoulou et al., 2012, 2014). According to genotype/phenotype correlations, the genes dedicated to capsule synthesis are located in a cluster called *eps*, highly variable in gene composition (Dimopoulou et al., 2012, 2014, 2016). Part of the EPS capsule may be liberated along the culture and some strains also produce EPS in a soluble form (Cie Zack et al., 2010; Dimopoulou et al., 2014). Half of the strains examined also produce dextran in the presence of sucrose, thanks to the presence of *dsrO* gene (Dimopoulou et al., 2014). The *O. oeni* strain tolerance to freeze-drying is highly improved when the strains are capsulated and produce dextran. EPS may also help the cell to overcome the stress linked to inoculation in wine (Dimopoulou et al., 2016). However, recent transcriptomic studies did not highlight any significant regulation of *eps* genes in a context of wine synthetic media with or without stress (Olguín et al., 2015; Bastard et al., 2016; Margalef-Català et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017).

In order to better understand the role of EPS in *O. oeni* adaptation to wine, we examined both EPS production and *eps* gene expression in several strains able to produce such polymers and representative of the species diversity. The *eps* gene expression was analyzed along growth and in the presence of different stresses, as well as in wine. This highlighted the conditions possibly stimulating EPS formation. Based on these results, we then examine production and inoculation methods leading to better malolactic starter survival, and verify that these methods preserve wine quality.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microbial Strains**

The list of strains studied and their origin are indicated in Table 1.

**Sequence Analysis**

Protein and DNA sequence were recovered from the complete genome sequence of *O. oeni* AWRI B429 (ACSE00000000) and IOEB-S277 (AZKD00000000). Putative promoter elements were identified by comparison with promoters previously described for *O. oeni* (Labarre et al., 1996) and putative rho independent terminator (hairpins) were identified by using the RNA draw software. Target gene alignment was performed with BioEdit software (7.2.1.) and primers were designed manually and checked by using oligocalculator (http://biotools.nubic.northwestern.edu).

**Growth Conditions**

The strains were grown in either Grape Juice medium or Semi Defined medium (SMD). The Grape Juice medium contained: commercial red grape juice, 250 g/l, yeast extract, 5 g/l and Tween 80, 1 ml/l. The Grape Juice medium could be supplemented or not with sucrose (10 g/l) and, for solid medium, agar (20 g/l) was added. The medium was sterilized for 20 min at 121°C, 1 bar.

The composition of the SMD medium was: casamino acids (Difco™), 10 g/l, sodium acetate, 3.4 g/l, KH₂PO₄, 1 g/l, MgSO₄, 7 H₂O, 0.1 g/l, MnSO₄, 4 H₂O, 0.1 g/l, ammonium citrate, 2.7 g/l, bactotryptone, 5 g/l, DL-malate, 3 g/l, yeast nitrogen base (Difco™), 6.7 g/l, adenine, uracil, thymine, guanine, 5 mg/l each, and a carbohydrate (either glucose, 20 g/l or glucose and sucrose, 10 g/l each). The carbohydrate solutions were prepared as 10× solutions and were sterilized 20 min at 121°C, 1 bar, while the base was prepared as a 2× solution and sterilized by filtration (0.2 μm cut off).

Before sterilization, the pH of all media was adjusted with H₃PO₄ 1M, to 4.8 or less if otherwise indicated in the text.

The red wine used for stress application was a Merlot of 2011 (alcohol 14% v/v, pH 3.6) and the white one, a Sauvignon Blanc of 2010 (alcohol 12.5% v/v, pH 3.3). A Merlot wine of 2012 from appellation Pessac Leognan, Bordeaux, France, was used for survival tests and malolactic rate measurements (pH 3.5, ethanol 12% v/v and malic acid 3.5 g/l, no added sulfites). Laboratory wine was also obtained by fermentation of a Cabernet sauvignon must with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* X5 (Biolaffort, France). This wine was then modified to produce wines with distinct alcohol content or pH, by ethanol or H₃PO₄ addition. The total acidity, sugar, malic acid, SO₂, pH, and alcohol were analyzed by SARCO laboratory (Floirac, France), using the official methods or those recommended by the International Organization of Viticulture and Wine (OIV).

**Cell Concentration Measurements**

The liquid medium was gently stirred before sampling and the absorbance (600 nm) was measured.

**Capsule Observation**

To visualize the bacterial capsule, 10 μl of cell suspension were deposited on a microscope slide and mixed with 20% nigosine aqueous solution and let to dry (5 min). Afterwards, 10 μl of 1% crystal violet was added and the slide was examined under an Olympus BX51 microscope (x100, under oil immersion). The capsule appeared as a white halo around the cells.

**Polysaccharides, Malic Acid, and Sugars Quantification**

In the case of plate growth assays, 5 ml of NaCl (9 g/l) were added and the colonies were tear-down and solubilized with a...
**TABLE 1** Specific production of EPS (mg/IUA) of several *O. oeni* strains, selected for describing the *O. oeni* species genetic diversity and EPS producing abilities according to Dimopoulou et al. (2014).

| Name | Collection | Origin | EPS/Absorbance (mg/IUA) | solid SMD, pH4.8 | SMD pH 4.8 | SMD pH 3.5 | SMD 4.8 + ethanol 5% | SMD 4.8 + sucrose |
|------|------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| VF   | Commercial | Starter VF, Martin Vialatte | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 34 |
| L40_4 | IOEB       | Red wine Lebanon | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 341 |
| B419 | AWRI       | Lalvin EQ54 Lallemand | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 51 |
| S19  | S          | Red wine France | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 25 |
| 436a | IOEB-S     | Red wine Bordeaux France | 6 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 2345 |
| 1491 | IOEB       | Red wine France | 5 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 238 |
| B10  | IOEB       | French isolate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 21 |
| O608 | IOEB       | French isolate | 3 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 12 |
| Ci Ne | IOEB    | Starter CHR Hans en | 5 | 12 | 13 | 21 | 25 | 34 |
| L26_1 | IOEB     | Leba nonisol ate | 2 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 43 | 42^T |
| S11  | S          | Sparkling white wine France | 12 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 32 | 2431 |
| B429 | AWRI       | Lalvin VP41 Lallemand | 4 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 43 | 310 |
| S25  | S          | Red wine France | 5 | 17 | 15 | 27 | 43 | 32^T |
| S28  | S commercial | B28 PreAc, Laffort, France | 4 | 19 | 18 | 25 | 34 | 89 |
| C52  | IOEB       | Cider Normandy France | 3 | 21 | 23 | 26 | 36 | 36^T |
| O607 | IOEB       | French isolate | 3 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 22 | 32^T |
| L65_2 | IOEB     | Redwine, Lebanon | 3 | 28 | 32 | 47 | 57 | 34 |
| S22  | S          | Sparklingwhite wine Bourgogne France | 6 | 29 | 18 | 39 | 49 | 21^T |
| S13  | S          | Redwine France | 10 | 30 | 27 | 45 | 67 | 32 |
| S23  | S          | white wine, England | 12 | 31 | 32 | 41 | 88 | 421 |
| L18_3 | IOEB     | Red wine Lebanon | 6 | 32 | 34 | 45 | 67 | 34 |
| S161 | S, commercial | 350 PreAc, Laffort, France | 7 | 33 | 34 | 45 | 54 | 42 |
| S12  | S          | White wine France | 13 | 67 | 69 | 83 | 112 | 876 |
| B418 | AWRI       | MOW Lallemand | 21 | 45 | 43 | 86 | 78 | 987 |
| O501 | IOEB       | Red wine USA | 19 | 46 | 45 | 76 | 112 | 89 |
| S14  | S          | Red wine France | 4 | 65 | 54 | 88 | 94 | 62 |
| 450  | IOEB-S commercial | 450 PreAc, Laffort, France | 13 | 67 | 69 | 83 | 112 | 54 |
| S161 | S, commercial | 350 PreAc, Laffort, France | 7 | 33 | 34 | 45 | 54 | 42 |
| S12  | S          | White wine France | 13 | 67 | 78 | 87 | 122 | 332 |
| B418 | AWRI       | MOW Lallemand | 21 | 45 | 43 | 86 | 78 | 987 |
| O501 | IOEB       | Red wine France | 12 | 75 | 85 | 124 | 234 | 1285 |
| 9917 | IOEB       | Floide Gas cogneg France | 17 | 87 | 98 | 99 | 123 | 82 |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Name | Collection | Origin | solid SMD, pH4.8 | SMD pH 4.8 | SMD pH 4.8 | SMD pH 3.5 | SMD 4.8 + ethanol 5% | SMD 4.8 + sucrose<sup>b</sup> |
|------|------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| B548 | AWRI       | BL-01 Lallemand | 7 | 98 | 112 | 132 | 200 | 87 |
| O205 | IOEB       | Champagne isolate | 14 | 109 | 132 | 165 | 177 | 96 |
| S15  | S          | Red wine France | 8 | 123 | 143 | 165 | 245 | 100 |
| O502 | IOEB       | French isolate | 23 | 153 | 165 | 187 | 321 | 1789 |
| 277  | IOEB-S commercial | SB3, Laffort France | 12 | 154 | 167 | 199 | 248 | 1332 |
| Mean<sup>c</sup> |           |              | 12<sup>c</sup> | 54 | 57 | 75<sup>c</sup> | 115<sup>c</sup> | 550<sup>c</sup> |
| SD   |            |              | 8 | 41 | 45 | 54 | 90 | 794 |

The strains were grown for 15 days in the indicated culture conditions before EPS and biomass quantification. *The strains whose EPS production is underlined in gray do not display any eps2 gene cluster according to Dimopoulou et al. (2012, 2014) and the corresponding results were not used for calculating the means. The strains whose name is underlined in color represent 3 distinct families of strains further examined in this paper. **T: Strains displaying a truncated dsrO gene encoding a non-functional dextra nsucrase. ***Significantly different (\( p = 0.01 \)) from liquid medium at pH 4.8 without ethanol.

---

rake. This suspension or, in the case of liquid cultures, the whole culture medium, was centrifuged (8,000 \( \times \) g, 5 min, 4°C), and the pellet was removed. Three volumes of Ethanol-HCl 1 N (95-5) were added to the supernatant to precipitate the polysaccharides. The tubes were let to stand for 24 h at 4°C. Then, they were centrifuged (18,000 \( \times \) g, 5 min, 4°C), and the pellet was washed with ethanol (80% vol), centrifuged again, dried for 20 min at 65°C and dissolved in distilled water. The amount of neutral polysaccharides was determined by the anthrone sulfuric acid method, using glucose as the standard (Ludwig and Goldberg, 1956). For each sample, the polymer precipitation and assays were done in triplicate.

The malic acid degradation was measured every 2–3 days throughout the fermentations, thanks to a commercial enzymatic kit (Boehringer, France). The glucose and sucrose concentrations were determined by anion exchange chromatography, as described by Dimopoulou et al. (2012).

### Stress Application to Bacterial Culture

When the preculture reached mid exponential growth phase (OD<sub>600nm</sub> \( = 0.6 \)), the culture broth was distributed into 10 ml tubes and centrifuged (6,000 \( \times \) g, 10 min); then, the supernatant was removed and replaced by fresh Grape Juice medium displaying or not a specific stress, or by red or white wine at 25°C. For ethanol shocks, pure ethanol (HPLC quality) was added to grape juice medium at a final concentration of 2, 5 or 10% (v/v). For acid shock, the pH of the Grape Juice medium was adjusted to 3 using H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 1M. For cold shock, the cells were transferred to cold Grape Juice medium (4°C) and then kept at 4°C until the end of the experiment. The stress was applied for 3 or 24 h. The control condition consisted of the bacterial cells harvested at mid exponential growth phase (OD<sub>600nm</sub> \( = 0.6 \)) by centrifugation (6,000 \( \times \) g, 10 min) and then, re-suspended in fresh Grape Juice medium at 25°C. Cell population was measured before and after the incubation in the different media, through serial dilutions and CFU counts after growth on solid Grape Juice medium. Cell viability was measured based on fluorescent labeling and microscopy according to previous protocol (Laforgue and Lonvaud-Funel, 2012).

### RNA Extraction

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (6,000 \( \times \) g, 10 min, 4°C), then the cell pellet was washed twice with PBS buffer (NaCl 137 mM, KCl 2.7 mM, Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 10 mM, pH 7) and once with NaCl 0.9%. Between the washing steps, the cells were collected by centrifugation (6,000 \( \times \) g, 20 min, 4°C). The pellet was re-suspended into 1 ml of an extraction buffer specifically designed for environments rich in polyphenols (Grape Juice and Wine), containing Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, EDTA 300 mM, NaCl 2M, CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) 2%, PVPP (polyvinylpolypyrrolidone) 2%, spermidine 0.05% and β-mercaptoethanol 1%. The cells were then transferred into a suitable Fast Prep tube (FP120 Instrument) and vortexed (6 \( \times \) 45 s, 6,500 \( \times \) g, 4°C) with sterile glass beads (0.1 mm diameter). After centrifugation (10,000 \( \times \) g, 15 min, 4°C), 500 µl of the supernatant was transferred into an equal volume of chloroform, to dissolve the hydrophobic particles and separate the proteins and nucleic acids. The mixture was vortexed for 10 min and centrifuged (10,000 \( \times \) g, 15 min, 4°C). The upper aqueous phase was transferred into an equal volume of isopropanol to precipitate the ribonucleic acid (20 min, –20°C). The RNA pellet was recovered by centrifugation (6,000 \( \times \) g, 15 min, 4°C), washed twice with 75% ethanol (prepared with DEPC-treated water), dried and finally taken-up to 20 µl of DEPC water. Samples were then treated with DNase as indicated by the manufacturer (DNAa-free<sup>TM</sup>, Ambion). The absence of chromosomal DNA was confirmed by checking the absence of amplification of the malolactic enzyme gene, mleA (Divol et al., 2003). The quality of RNA and the efficiency of the extraction were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%). RNA concentration was calculated from the absorbance measured at 260 nm (SmartSpec<sup>™</sup> Plus spectrophotometer, Biorad). The samples were stored at –80°C.
for a maximum period of 1 month. The cDNA were then synthesized using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad), as recommended by the manufacturer.

**RT-qPCR**
The reaction mixture (20 µL) contained 10 µL of IQ SYBR Green Supermix and 5 pmol/ml of each primer. Primers (Supplemental Table 1) were purchased by Eurofins. The PCR program included an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 95°C, followed by 40 repeating cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C and 30 s at 72°C. Fluorescence measurements were performed during each period of elongation. After the last cycle, a melting curve was drawn using the iCycler iQ™ (Bio-Rad) to check PCR specificity. Ranges of cDNA of known concentrations were analyzed by qPCR to construct "standard" curves, characterized by a regression coefficient ($R^2$) and the PCR efficiency ($e = 10^{-1/DeltaCt} - 1$). The validity criteria were $R^2 ≥ 0.95$ and 0.85 ≤ $e ≤ 1$. Relative gene expression was calculated using the ΔΔCT method and every PCR was realized in triplicate (Coucheny et al., 2005).

**Freeze Dried Cells Production**
The *O. oeni* strains were prepared in a lyophilized form based on an industrial confidential protocol. Briefly, the cells were grown in an optimized medium (0.5%), centrifuged and then washed. Industrial maltodextrins were added as cryoprotector and the cells were freeze dried at laboratory scale. The freeze dried cultivable population was determined as previously described (Dimopoulou et al., 2016).

**Survival Rate and Malolactic Fermentation in Wine**
The freeze dried cells were rehydrated in a water-wine solution (50:50) supplemented with bacterial Energizer nutrient (Biolaffort, France) as recommended by the manufacturer, and in the presence of sucrose (5 g/l) or not. After 17 h at 28°C in this acclimation medium, the bacteria were transferred (2 × 10⁶ CFU/ml) into the indicated wine, previously sterilized by filtration (0.2 μm cut-off). After 48 h of incubation at 28°C in the wines, the survival rate was determined by serial dilutions and colony counts as previously described (Dimopoulou et al., 2016). Strain implantation controls were performed in the middle of MLF according to previous work (Dols-Lafargue et al., 2007).

**Sensory Analyses and Ester Quantification by HS-SPME-GC/MS**
Distinct merlot wines were selected to perform sensory analysis. At the end of MLF, the selected wines were sulfured (25 mg/l free SO₂) and kept for 2 months at 10°C for stabilization. The tests were then performed according to International Organization for Standardization. Panelists were required to give a liking score on products using a 10-point hedonic scale for the descriptors of aromatic complexity, fruit intensity, bitterness, astringency and mouthfeel perception. The panel consisted of 18 trained laboratory researchers of ISVV, Bordeaux University.

Red wine samples were then extracted by HS-SPME and analyzed by GC/MS. The method developed and validated by Antalick et al. (2010, 2012) was used to quantify 32 esters.

**Statistical Analysis**
The experiments were performed in biological and technological duplicate. T-test, one-way or multi-way ANOVA were performed to compare strains performances.

**RESULTS**

**EPS Specific Production Is Modulated by Medium pH and Composition**
Soluble polysaccharide production by 37 different *O. oeni* strains and final absorbance were determined after 15 days of growth in media displaying distinct pH or additives (ethanol or sucrose). The EPS specific production level (EPS produced/absorbance change in the same time) is reported in Table 1. Whatever the strain considered, no significant soluble EPS production was observed on solid medium. In liquid medium at pH 4.8 (reference medium), the specific EPS production level was highly variable among the strains studied (from 2 to 154 mg/l/AU), with a mean value at 54 mg/l/AU. The strains displaying an eps2 gene cluster formed part of the lowest producers, even at low pH or in the presence of ethanol. For the strains displaying an eps2 gene cluster, the decrease of medium pH to 4 did not significantly modify the specific production, while a more acidic medium (pH 3.5), ethanol (5%) or sucrose (1%) addition induced a significant increase of the soluble EPS specific production level, even if distinct behaviors were observed among the studied strains (Table 1).

A specific focus was made on three families of strains. Genome survey indicates that two families (the AWRI_B429 and the IOEB_S277) displayed both a complete eps2 gene cluster (Figure 1) and a dsrO gene of 4428 nt encoding a functional dextranucrase according to Dimopoulou et al. (2014). All these strains were encapsulated in all the conditions studied (not shown). In the presence of glucose alone, strains of IOEB_S277 type family produced higher amounts of soluble EPS than strains in family B429 (Table 1). In the presence of glucose and sucrose, half of strains in these two families produced significantly higher amounts of soluble EPS than in the absence of sucrose. The strain VF belongs to a third family of strains: it has a dsrO gene of 4428 nt encoding a functional dextranucrase but no eps2 gene cluster. This strain is never encapsulated and produces no soluble EPS in whatever the growth medium studied (Table 1).

**EPS Production and eps Gene Expression Kinetics**
The strains AWRI_B429 and IOEB_S277 were chosen as model strains. Their eps2 gene clusters were examined for the presence of putative promoters and terminators (Figure 1). First, the presence of a divergently transcribed regulator gene, araC, and a putative promoter suggests a transcriptional regulation level for capsular EPS synthesis. The high similarity of the 5’ end of the clusters in the two strains suggests that similar expression pattern may be observed for the 5’end genes.
in \textit{eps2} cluster. However, two distinct putative terminators were found for strain AWRI_B429 and only one for strain IOEB_S277. This suggests that, in the first strain, genes in \textit{eps2} may not be all co-transcribed. No clear Pribnow box or regulation sequence could be identified next to \textit{dsrO} gene.

Bacterial growth and exopolysaccharide production were studied in two different growth media (Grape Juice and SMD), supplemented or not with sucrose. Sucrose alone does not support growth of most \textit{O. oeni} strains (Cibrario et al., 2016), but some strains, as the two studied here, can use it to produce dextran (Dimopoulou et al., 2014). The experiment was followed until the stationary growth phase. As a result, the experiment duration varied from one strain or one culture medium to the other. However, for a given strain, similar growth profiles were obtained in the presence as in the absence of sucrose in SMD (Figure 2A). The same was observed in Grape Juice medium (Supplemental figure 1). Very low sucrose consumption (<1 g/l) was observed in both media, while more than 90% of the glucose present was consumed (not shown).

With strain AWRI_B429, in the absence of sucrose, no significant change in EPS concentration was observed, in SMD as in Grape Juice medium (Figure 2B and Supplemental figure 2). On the contrary, in the presence of sucrose, a significant increase of EPS concentration was observed. The EPS maximal concentration reached 200–350 mg/l, when sucrose was present. The strain IOEB_S277 produced EPS during growth in the presence of glucose alone (170 mg/l) and more than 900 mg/l in the presence of glucose + sucrose (Figure 2B).

A capsule was present around the two bacterial strains in all studied media and at all growth stages examined (not shown). However, the method used did not enable to measure the capsule thickness and to visualize if a growth phase was more favorable for capsule formation.

The relative expression level of some \textit{eps} genes was thus studied: two genes of the \textit{eps2} gene cluster, i.e., the priming glycosyltransferase (\textit{wobA}) and the flippase (\textit{wzx}) genes as well as the dextranuscrase gene (\textit{dsrO}). The phosphoglucomutase (\textit{pgm}) and the lactate deshydrogenase (\textit{ldh}) genes were studied as controls of the central metabolism (Figures 1A, 2C).

By producing Glucose-1-P, the phosphoglucomutase makes the link between catabolism and anabolism and is as key element for heteropolysaccharide synthesis, while lactate deshydrogenase rather reflects the general catabolic activity of the cell. The reference gene used was \textit{gyrA}, as comparison of mean Critical Threshold values (CT) indicated that \textit{gyrA} displayed the highest stability among 4 genes proposed (Desroche et al., 2005; Costantini et al., 2011; Sumby et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2018), for transcription studies in \textit{O. oeni}: \textit{ldhD}, \textit{gapA}, \textit{gyrA}, and \textit{pta} (not shown).

Quite similar gene expression profiles were obtained in the absence as in the presence of sucrose (Figures 2C,D). The \textit{ldh} gene was slightly more expressed during active growth, while the \textit{pgm} gene showed a slightly higher expression during the stationary growth phase. The \textit{eps} genes in the cluster \textit{eps2} were expressed at a level in the same order of magnitude as \textit{gyrA} (relative expression levels between 0.4 and 10). Furthermore, the genes \textit{wobA} and \textit{wzx} were co-expressed in the case of strain IOEB_S277 and slightly less expressed during the active growth phase than during the stationary phase. In the case of strain AWRI_B429 the genes were not co-expressed: \textit{wobA} showed the same profile as with strain IOEB_S277 but \textit{wzx} was always more expressed and the expression ratio \textit{wzx/wobA} varied from 2 to 5 depending on the growth phase or the medium considered, suggesting the existence of a second promoter in the \textit{eps2} gene cluster, between \textit{wobA} and \textit{wzx}.

The gene \textit{dsrO} was significantly less transcribed than the other \textit{eps} genes and its expression level was almost not modified along...
growth in liquid media. Furthermore, its expression level did not change in the presence of sucrose (Figures 2C,D). According to gene expression, the O. oeni cells would therefore be more ready to synthesize capsular EPS at the end of the growth phase (increased expression of the genes of the eps2 cluster and of the pgm) and would be always moderately ready to produce dextran (constant expression of dsrO).

Evolution of eps Gene Expression in Response to Medium Change

We then examined whether the eps gene expression was modulated by single stresses relevant to wine or during winemaking: acidity, low temperature and different alcohol concentrations (2, 5, and 10%), or during direct inoculation into white and red wine (Figure 3A). In most cases, the cell cultivability decreased after medium change. It was comprised between 50 and 100% of that observed in the control condition (not shown) for all media studied. Indeed, red wine was the most harmful (10% remaining cultivability) and viability tests (epifluorescence analysis) indicated that only 40% of the cells were viable after 24 h of incubation in red wine, suggesting the presence of a high proportion of VBNC cells in this specific case.

The application of stress and cell mortality may destabilize the expression of the reference gene. Among ldhD, gapA, gyrA, and pta, the gene showing the lowest dispersion in terms of standard deviation was gyrA and it was used as an internal calibration gene for this part of the study also.

Three hours after medium change, the studied gene expression levels were weakly modified (factor 2 maximum). The expression of wzx in the presence of 10% of ethanol was the only which really stood out (x3 compared with the control condition, Supplemental figure 2). Overall, cold shock and acidic pH decreased the expression of genes associated with central metabolism (ldh and pgm), as those associated with EPS synthesis (wobA, wzx and dsrO). Ethanol, on the other hand, slightly stimulated the expression of some of the studied genes, in
FIGURE 3 | Expression of eps genes in response to medium change in O. oeni AWRI_B429. (A) Method: the bacterial cells were initially grown in Grape Juice medium until absorbance reached 0.6. After centrifugation, they were re-suspended in 8 different fresh growth media. After 3 and 24 h of incubation in the new medium, the cell cultivability (CFU counts) and the cell viability (fluorescent labeling and microscopy) were measured, and RNA extraction and RT-qPCR were realized. (B) Relative gene expression level of target genes after 24 h of incubation in different culture media. The reference gene was gyrA. The target gene expression level relative to gyrA ($2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$) was then compared to the relative expression in the control condition ($2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$). The relative expression in control condition was set to 1 for each gene. The results after 3 h of incubation are shown in Supplemental figure 2. (C) Expression level ratio of wzx/wobA after 24 h (see Supplemental figure 2 for expression level after 3 h).

Use of Media Inducing eps Genes or EPS Production to Improve Malolactic Starter Survival During Freeze Drying

Previous work has shown that strains producing dextran in the presence of sucrose added in industrial growth media were more tolerant to freeze drying (Dimopoulou et al., 2016). We examined if the application of a stress to stimulate the eps expression and EPS specific production level during malolactic starter growth, could increase strain survival during the subsequent freeze drying process. We focused on the two model strains (AWRI_B429 and IOEB_S277). The tests were made in glucose + fructose as in glucose + fructose + sucrose containing growth media. Ethanol (5%) addition in the medium or a cold incubation ($4^\circ$C), for 3 or 24 h just before freeze drying, didn’t give the expected results (not shown). More acidic growth media were not assayed as they always led to much lower final biomass yields.

Use of Media Inducing eps Genes and EPS Production to Improve Malolactic Starter Survival and Performance During Inoculation in Wine

The only way to reproducibly enhance bacteria survival to freeze drying thanks to EPS production was the addition of sucrose to the growth medium in order to activate the dextranucrase
pathway (Dimopoulou et al., 2016). We therefore examined if the presence of sucrose could also improve the bacterial survival during inoculation into the wine. Seven strains of O. oeni belonging to the three different families described (VF non EPS producer, AWRI_B429 and IOEB_S277 EPS producers with different eps2 cluster) were first produced and freeze-dried. Then, they were acclimated during 17 h into diluted wine supplemented or not with sucrose (5 g/l). EPS formation during the 17 h of acclimation was undetectable (too high background signal). However 1 week incubation in the same conditions led to significant production of EPS, only when sucrose was present, in the case of strains in AWRI_B429 and IOEB_S277 families. After the 17 h of acclimation, the strains were inoculated into a Merlot red wine. The survival rate and the fermentation kinetics were followed (Figure 4). Our results showed a high level of dispersion of the strain performances, even inside a given family. The origin of the strain may also direct the fermentation capacity (Campbell-Sills et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the presence of sucrose in the acclimation medium generally displayed a positive effect on both the survival and the fermentation rate. The use of sucrose negatively alters the MLF rate only in the case of VF, which does not produce EPS.

This experiment was repeated with the strains S28, S11 and IOEB_S450 in a laboratory Cabernet Sauvignon red wine modified to mimic “difficult wines” (pH 3.2, ethanol 14 and 16% vol). Whatever the characteristics of the tested laboratory wine, the use of sucrose in the acclimation medium improved the survival rate of strain S28 and had slightly positive but non-significant effect on that of the two other strains (not shown).

The produced Merlot wines were finally tasted in order to verify that the addition of sucrose in the acclimation medium didn’t affect the sensory quality of the final product (Figure 5). On the contrary, when the strains S11 and IOEB_S450 (belonging to AWRI_B429 and IOEB_S277 families respectively), were acclimated in the presence of sucrose (5 g/l), the wines after MLF were better evaluated, when compared to those produced with the control acclimation medium (no added sucrose). The descriptors examined were the aromatic complexity, the fruity aroma intensity, the mouthfulness, bitterness and astringency. The fruity aroma was improved for both strains when acclimated with sucrose, while the mouthfeel sensation and the aromatic complexity were significantly increased for strain S11.

The same wines were quantified for thirty two esters recognized as key aromatic compounds of MLF (Antalick et al., 2012). The total ester amount was similar for the two strains in both tested conditions, which confirmed their specific production during MLF (Supplemental figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Much effort has been made to improve malolactic starter performance, from genetic modification to directed evolution. However these methods could be time consuming, not always efficient or rejected by the consumer (Dicks, 1994; Assad-García et al., 2008; Betteridge et al., 2015; Darsonval et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018). The use of endogenous EPS recently appeared as an interesting alternative (Dimopoulou et al., 2016). EPS are multifunctional tools contributing to the survival and subsequent colonization of various ecosystems by non-pathogenic but also pathogenic bacteria (Cerning, 1990; Looijesteijn et al., 2001; Mah and O’Toole, 2001; Weiser et al., 2001; Lu and Rock, 2006; Dols-Lafargue et al., 2008; Coulon et al., 2012). Previous work has shown that all O. oeni strains displayed eps genes and that most of them produce capsular but also soluble EPS (Dimopoulou et al., 2012, 2014) that could be useful for producing freeze dried strains or during cellar colonization (Bastard et al., 2016; Dimopoulou et al., 2016).
In this paper, we show that the eps genes are expressed at a significant level (equal to the level of expression of the reference gene) all along growth in the tested media supplemented with or not with sucrose. The need for EPS formation may thus be permanent in the conditions studied. However, the low soluble EPS concentrations observed and the inability to measure the thickness of the EPS capsule make it difficult to determine if EPS are produced more actively during a specific growth phase. Furthermore, many single constraints relevant in wine modify the corresponding mRNA concentrations particularly after 24 h. The absence of significant change in certain glycosyltransferase genes expression after short period of time of stress application is in accordance with previous transcriptomic studies (Olguin et al., 2015; Bastard et al., 2016; Margalef-Català et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). Moreover, their increased expression after 24 h suggests that the eps genes are not really involved in the immediate response to stress, but rather in adaptation to new environmental conditions (Guzzo et al., 2000; Bourdineaud et al., 2003; Da Silveira et al., 2003; Maitre et al., 2014; Dimopoulou et al., 2017). Consequently, the EPS liberation is stimulated in acidic or alcohol containing media and we observed increased specific production rates after 2 weeks of incubation. Stressful conditions, which slow bacterial growth (and consequently cell wall synthesis) and increase eps and pgm gene expression, may allow a better availability of the isoprenoid lipid carrier and the nucleotide sugars precursors. This may lead to increased polysaccharide production by an increased pool of glucosyltransferase (Levander et al., 2001). However, the inability to quantify the EPS capsule makes it difficult to determine if the proportion between soluble and capsular EPS is modified in specific conditions. On the contrary, the growth on solid medium does not stimulate soluble EPS formation by O. oeni, suggesting that these polymers are not needed for the consolidation of the colonies, unless very adhesive molecules are formed in such conditions.

In O. oeni AWRI_B429, the presence of multiple potential promoters and terminators in the same cluster is in contrast to what described for most eps operons in lactic acid bacteria (Stingele et al., 1996; van Kranenburg et al., 1997; Jolly and Stingele, 2001; Lamothe et al., 2002), even if exceptions have been mentioned (Péant et al., 2005). The relative expression levels of the various eps gene confirmed the independent transcription of the priming glycosyltransferase and the flippase genes. This may modify the EPS biosynthetic pathway limiting step and may induce difference in EPS structure or size, in response to medium change. Experiments may be carried out in the future to examine this specific point. In this specific strain, its seems that, after medium change, the cells need more EPS but they may also need different EPS or even both, as shown for cyanobacteria (Ozturk and Aslim, 2010).

The dextranucrase gene dsrO is not induced by sucrose, contrarily to what described in Leuconostoc mesenteroides or other glycoside hydrolase in lactic bacteria (Neely and Nott, 1962;
Quirasco et al., 1999; Schwab and Gänzle, 2006) and O. oeni behave similarly Lactobacillus sakei or Weissella confusa (Bounaix et al., 2010; Nácher-Vázquez et al., 2017), or even Leuconostoc mesenteroides NRRL_1299 for minor dextran transferases (Dols et al., 1998). Taking into consideration the unusual presence of sucrose in the usual environment of O. oeni, the constitutive expression of dsrO is quite surprising and suggests that O. oeni must always be prepared to produce dextran and that dextran is very useful for the bacteria. The previously demonstrated protective role of the produced dextrans during freeze drying (Dimopoulou et al., 2016) is in favor of this hypothesis. The constitutive expression of dsrO also constitute a selective advantage during the acclimation step: sucrose addition is the acclimation medium increased most freeze-dried strains survival to inoculation in wine, and enabled faster MLF, even though the dextran concentration produced is very low. Several bacterial EPS were shown to be involved in bacterial protection (Ionescu and Belkin, 2009; Ruas-Madiedo et al., 2009; Coulon et al., 2012; Varin et al., 2012) but the protective role is more rarely coupled with fermentation rate improvement.

Interestingly the acclimation in the presence of sucrose also improved the sensory attributes of wine. Since specific wine polysaccharides, especially mannoproteins, have been proven to modify wine astringency and sucrosity (Taïra et al., 1997; Moine, 2009), the possible effects of dextran were examined: noticeable effects were observed with low MW dextrans (1 kDa) at concentrations higher than 1 g/L (Moine and Iturmendi, unpublished results). Since the dextran concentration formed during acclimation in the presence of sucrose and then introduced into the wine is at most 2.5 mg/L (taking into account the inoculation rate of 1%), it seems unlikely that the sensory effects observed are linked to dextran itself and controls show that they are no more linked to the remaining sucrose. Since the aromatic profile was improved for both tested strains, we can assume that the sucrose addition may also activate enzymatic pathways resulting in ameliorated aromatic profile.

CONCLUSION

The fact that all the O. oeni strains are equipped with at least one EPS biosynthetic pathway and the eps genes are expressed at significant levels whatever the growth conditions, points out the importance of these polymers for the species. These polymers may form part of the tools that render O. oeni able to grow in various niches like grapes, must and wine. The gene dsrO appears as a promising simple and original tool, because dextran production pathway is less energy demanding than the other EPS biosynthetic pathway (Hanson et al., 2011) and because it offers increased bacterial protection thus leading to ameliorated fermentation rates and better wine quality.
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