The cellular pathways of liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
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Abstract: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is considered the advanced stage of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). It is characterized by liver steatosis, inflammation and different degrees of fibrosis. Although the exact mechanisms by which fatty liver progresses to NASH are still not well understood, innate and adaptive immune responses seem to be essential key regulators in the establishment, progression, and chronicity of these disease. Diet-induced lipid overload of parenchymal and non-parenchymal liver cells is considered the first step for the development of fatty liver with the consequent organelle dysfunction, cellular stress and liver injury. These will generate the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that will upregulate the activation of Kupffer cells (KCs) and monocyte-derived macrophages (MMs) favoring the polarization of the tolerogenic environment of the liver to an immunogenic phenotype with the resulting transdifferentiation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) into myofibroblasts developing fibrosis. In the long run, dendritic cells (DCs) will activate CD4+ T cells polarizing into the pro-inflammatory lymphocytes Th1 and Th17 worsening the liver damage and inflammation. Therefore, the objective of this review is to discuss in a systematic way the mechanisms known so far of the immune and non-proper immune liver cells in the development and progression of NASH.
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Introduction

The liver is one of the most regenerative tissues in the body with the capacity to regenerate itself even after partial hepatectomy. Despite this, there is critical difference between the response to transient or chronic liver damage (1). Usually, after acute injury the liver will be able to return to its original architecture by proliferation and remodeling of the remaining cells trough the interaction of the distinct innate immune liver cells such as Kupffer cells (KCs), dendritic cells (DCs), neutrophils and innate-like lymphocytes (ILCs) with parenchymal cells like hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) without completely losing the characteristic tolerogenic capacity of this organ (2–4). The balance between the immune response, the grade of apoptosis, the grade of cells mitosis, and the grade of liver injury is important for the recovery of hepatic tissue (4,5).

In contrast to acute injury, chronic liver injury overcomes the regenerative capacity of the liver resulting in fibrosis and its further complications. Fibrosis is an adaptive mechanism with the primary objective of repair the damaged tissue, however, after prolonged injury it can progress to parenchymal scarring, cellular dysfunction and finally to organ failure by the activation of hepatic stellate cells.
Overview of the development of NAFLD

Currently, many metabolic diseases are the direct consequence of an overnutrition state combined with the lack of physical exercise (13). In the case of NAFLD, we can see that a high-calorie diet leads to insulin resistance (IR) decreasing its antilipolytic effect on adipose tissue (AT), generating the breakdown of triglycerides (TGs) though the hydrolysis of 3 enzymes; adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL), hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL), and monoglyceride lipase (MGL) with the consequent formation of free fatty acids (FFAs) and glycerol (14). Also, AT dysfunction will follow a lower production of adiponectin and a greater release of adipokines such as leptin, conditioning a low-grade proinflammatory state (15).

An increase in circulating FFAs in the systemic circulation enhances a higher uptake of FFAs by the liver leading to an accumulation of lipids in liver cells (16). Interestingly, not all dietary lipids have cytotoxic effects, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) like docosahexaenoic acid (an omega-3 fatty acid) have shown protective actions by binding directly to the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-α (PPAR-α) in association with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) creating and heterodimeric complex (PPAR-α/ RXR) involved in fatty acid oxidation and regulation of TGs catabolism (17) while saturated fatty acids (SFAs) like palmitic and stearic acid promote inflammation by indirect Toll-like receptors (TLRs) signaling favoring NAFLD development (18).

Besides, IR and hyperglycemia favors hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL) via sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP1c) setting up the creation of lipid droplets in the liver parenchyma (19). Fructose-derived precursors also act as nutritional regulators of hepatic DNL via SREBP1c and carbohydrate response element binding protein (ChREBP) signaling (20).

The excessive accumulation of toxic lipids in the liver (a process commonly known as lipotoxicity) is associated with organelles dysfunction, mainly endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria (Figure 1). Lipotoxicity causes ER stress by deregulating its reaction to misfolded proteins creating an aberrant response of the 3 intracellular pathways of the ER (21). In this context the overactivation of RNA-dependent protein kinase-like ER eukaryotic initiation factor-2α kinase (PERK) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) increases the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines via nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway. The other intracellular pathway of the ER known as inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1) is also associated with the release of proinflammatory cytokines though TNFα receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) binding via kinase 1 (19).

In the case of mitochondrial stress, lipid overload increases acetyl-CoA synthesis disturbing the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle function from mitochondrial respiration enhancing reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation (22). Moreover, the alteration on β-oxidation process will end up with the formation of toxic lipid intermediates like ceramides (23).

Once NAFLD is established, the combination of lipotoxicity and the low-grade inflammation will determine an immune response by liver cells with two main objectives: (I) activate innate immune cells to “control the damage”; and (II) repair the damaged tissue. Paradoxically, in some cases this will end up in the development of NASH. For this reason, the conceptualization of each one of these immune cells must be seen as a dynamic process and not as a series of steps to follow, however, for a better understanding, the main mechanisms of the cells involved in this process will be...
Figure 1 Crosstalk between liver cells and their response to different stimuli. Hepatocytes, Kupffer cells (KCs), hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and dendritic cells (DCs) are the most important liver cells within the development of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Diet-induced lipid overload will generate lipotoxicity and glucotoxicity with the consequent endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondrial stress inducing the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a deregulated unfolded protein response (UPR) developing apoptosis and liver injury. Damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMPs) will activate myeloid-derived cells promoting inflammation and the transdifferentiation of HSCs to myofibroblasts developing fibrosis.

Hepatocyte

The hepatocytes are the main liver parenchyma cells representing around 85% of the liver mass (24). They are considered the main functional cells of the liver as they have several functions just as protein synthesis and storage, carbohydrate metabolism, bile formation, drug and toxic catabolism, among others. Within NAFLD development, these cells suffer a characteristic variation in its structure developing lobular inflammation and balloon degeneration associated with different degrees of scarring or fibrosis (25) due to the release of proinflammatory cytokines like tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL-6) and (IL-1β) derived from organelles dysfunction. Also, ER stress promotes proteotoxicity and proapoptotic signals through a rapid decay of selected microRNAs that would normally suppress apoptosis (26-28). The C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) is one of the most important proapoptotic signals activated by PERK and ATF6 pathways (29). Besides, the phosphorylation of IRE1 is associated with the activation of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) (28), the Bcl-2-associated X protein (BAX) and Bcl-2 homologous antagonist killer (BAK) pathways related with apoptosis (30). On the other hand, ROS-derived from mitochondrial dysfunction result in decreased levels of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and in the depletion and inhibition of antioxidant molecules such as thioredoxin and glutathione (31,32). Also, the oxidization of SFAs leads to the production of aldehyde byproducts like 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (HNE) and malondialdehyde (MDA) perpetuating protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation (33). These mechanisms may eventually result in a deleterious cycle of mitochondrial damage and mitochondria-originating oxidative stress (34). Oxidative stress is essential for NASH progression, as it has been reported to activate NF-κβ pathway inducing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines enhancing apoptosis and necrosis in hepatocytes (35-37). Damaged mitochondria and the subsequent
necrosis of hepatocytes release a set of mitochondria-derived danger molecules known as DAMPs, like the high mobility group box protein (HMGB1), alarmins, nucleic acids, histones, ATP and uric acid which can be recognized by the PRRs of the myeloid cells and induce the activation of the innate immune response. Also, several experimental models with mice have shown that mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) interacts with TLR-9 on KCs and HSCs stimulating the innate immune and fibrogenic responses (38-40). Finally, another way that hepatocytes have to stimulate the activation of myeloid cells, is the release of extracellular vesicles (EVs). These molecules are important mediators in the crosstalk of cell to cell communication initiating or suppressing signaling pathways in the recipient cell through the transfer of certain types of biomolecules. Recently one of this type of EVs known as exosomes has been discovered to transport the chemokine CXCL10 and ceramides to KCs with the capacity of recruit neutrophils via IL-8 (41) and activate macrophages via sphingosine-1-phosphate (42). Also, palmitate was seen to induce the liberation of EVs by the hepatocytes containing TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), an important proapoptotic protein with the ability to activate macrophages (43), both representing important mechanisms in disease progression.

**KC**

KC

KC s are the resident liver macrophages which are part of the reticuloendothelial system (RES) of this organ. They play a critical role in the mononuclear phagocytic system essential to both the hepatic and systemic response to pathogens (44). A dysregulation in the control of inflammatory responses in KCs and other macrophages can contribute to chronic liver inflammation (45). Interestingly, KCs and bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) have different roles in NAFLD pathogenesis depending on the current state of the liver injury. In acute phase, KCs and BMMs are polarized into their activated phenotype (M1) though the recognition of DAMPs or PAMPs by specialized PRRs just as TLRs and NOD-like receptors (NLRs) (46-49). HMGB1, one of the most important DAMPs released by damaged cells activates TLR4 and promoted the binding with its ligand myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MYD88) resulting in a multiple activation of JNK, inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase 2 (IKK2) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) p38 with the consequent expression of the NF-κB pathway and the activation of the activator protein 1 (AP-1) leading to the release of TNF-α, interferon (IFN-γ), prostaglandin-2 (PGE2), chemokine C-C motif ligand (CCL), IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, ROS, and nitric oxide (NO) (50). SFAs can induced by themselves the activation of liver macrophages via TLR4 and TLR2 (51). Also, other types of danger signals can promote the activation of intracellular multiproteic oligomers known as inflammasomes by the NLRs binding and the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (52). Similarly, fructose is another diet-component with the capacity of activate the inflammasome NLRP3 in macrophages via thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) (53) with the expression of CCL2, CXCL2, IL-6, and TNF-α (54). All those cytokines and chemokines can recruit non-resident cells to the liver like neutrophils, natural killer T cells (NKT), CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (55). An important number of studies have found that KCs and BMMs recruited to the liver in acute liver injury showed an increased expression of TNF-α, IL-1β and CCR2 promoting NASH progression (56-58).

However, as we mentioned, KCs and BMMs show a different phenotype in chronic phase since most of these cells change to their anti-inflammatory phenotype (M2) by multiple pathways (59). One of them is through an increase in the conversion of oxidized low-density lipoproteins (LDL) to oxysterols by the cytochrome P450 oxidase and with the further binding of oxysterols with liver X receptor (LXR) inhibiting the NF-κB pathway (60). Additionally, in chronic stages adiponectin may increase the levels of adenosine monophosphate (AMP) leading to the activation of the AMP kinase (AMPK), inhibiting acetyl Co-A carboxylase, increasing the FFAs oxidation, and inhibiting SREBP-1C (61). Finally, the RAR-related orphan receptor-α (RORa) pathway via factor 4 similar to Kruppel (KLF4) induced by PUFAs through an increased expression of PPAR-γ are another important anti-inflammatory mechanism of liver macrophages (19). In a recent study, BMMs depletion in mice with advanced NASH conditioned a significant increase in the activation of HSCs with the consequent production of collagen fibers and therefore a worsen liver histology (62). These results demonstrate a probable polarization of macrophages to their anti-inflammatory phenotype (M2) in stages of liver recovery by inducing the expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-4, IL-5 and IL-10 (50). In spite of this, more studies are required to know the specific mechanisms by which hepatic macrophages change their phenotype in advanced stages.
of NASH.

HSC

HSCs are a type of pericyte found in the perisinusoidal space of the liver with the capacity of storage a great amount of lipid droplets containing vitamin A as retinol esters. Under physiological conditions, HSCs are under a quiescent phenotype (qHSCs) with a highly production of IFN-α via expression of TLR3 (63), however, in chronic liver disease HSCs suffer from a transdifferentiation into myofibroblasts losing the ability to produce IFN-α but becoming the most important cellular source of matrix protein-secretion (considered de major driver of liver fibrosis) (49).

Several pathways have been dilucidated in the activation of HSCs (Table 1), from these the most significant are described below (49).

- Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) is considered the most important fibrinogenic cytokine by promoting the transcription of type I and type III collagen as well as the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and JNK signaling pathways favoring the HSCs activation.
- The platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) is an important chemoattractant for HSCs proliferation and migration.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is produced mainly by LSECs and HSCs promoting fibrogenesis, but also required for hepatic tissue repair and fibrosis resolution.

Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) is a potent fibrogenic cytokine highly express in liver fibrosis contributing to extracellular matrix production as well as proliferation, migration, adhesion and survival of liver cells.

In addition to these pathways, patients with NASH reveal high concentration of leptin inversely proportional to the levels of adiponectin favoring the profibrotic effect (64). In this context, a recent meta-analysis showed that circulating leptin concentrations were proportional with NAFLD severity (65). Leptin can be secreted by AT, KCs and other non-parenchymal cells in the liver as an important signal traductor for HSCs, thus, it is considered a potent mitogenic agent. In both in vivo and in vitro studies leptin promotes HSCs proliferation and inhibits cells apoptosis with effects nearly as potent as PDGF (66). At the same time, the role of interleukins secreted by KCs are also important for HSCs differentiation. Liu et al. found in a mice-model with liver fibrosis an important upregulation of KCs activity as well as an increased expression of TNF-α, α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and collagen type I-positive cells that interestingly do not underwent apoptosis (67). Alternatively, the chemokine CCL20 which is highly up-regulated in NAFLD-associated fibrosis seems to be released by HSCs in response to lipid loading (68). This means that HSCs are also capable to induce fibrosis by themselves. Recent findings have shown that free cholesterol (FC) may induce HSCs activation by direct signaling of TLR4 (69). Therefore, this could be a key mechanism in the fibrotic progression of NAFLD in response to the increased caloric intake in obesity (70). Another chemokine that is expressed in NASH, particularly in early stages, is CCL5. In a study with mice fed with a choline-deficient diet for three weeks, it results in a developing of NASH with an increase expression of Ccl5 secreted by HSCs (71).

Finally, the role of the hepatic endocannabinoid (EC) and the apelin systems in liver fibrosis has been an issue that has taken great interest in recent years (72). Most NASH patients have been found with an upregulation of EC and apelin signals (73-75). EC are physiological ligands derived from arachidonic acid (AA) that interact with their receptors CB1 and CB2. It has been suggested that CB1 has an important role in NAFLD development and in diet-induced obesity mainly expressed in hepatocytes, LSECs, and HSCs (76).
by seeing that its inactivation led to the apoptosis of HSCs and a decreased response to PDGF reducing the levels of TGF-β expression and fibrosis (77) while CB2 was more expressed in HSCs and its upregulation was related with anti-fibrotic and anti-inflammatory effects (78). On the other hand, apelin is an endogenous ligand of an orphan receptor called angiotensin-like-receptor 1 (APJ). Apelin system has been related with important physiological events as EC system (72). In the liver, apelin is expressed in LSECs, HSCs, and leukocytes while in NASH pathogenesis, apelin has an important pro-fibrotic effect through partially mediating the fibrogenic effects of HSCs triggered by angiotensin II (AII) and endothelin 1 (ET-1) expression (79). Apelin has also been related with HSCs survival and synthesis of PDGF and type 1 collagen via ERK signaling (79). Additionally, apelin is an important angiogenic factor via endothelial APJ activation stimulating the expression of angiopoietin-1 in HSCs and favoring the hypoxic environment commonly seen in NASH, by the upregulation of the hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF-1, HIF-2) with an important transcendence in fibrosis development (80,81) (Figure 2).

Thus, we can summarize that HSCs are important components in the development of NASH. They are the major cells in fibrosis with the capacity to respond to KCs and hepatocytes stimulation. Even more, HSCs can release several transcriptional factors for itself and other cells in response to chronic liver injury (82-86).

**DCs**

In recent years DCs have emerged as an essential cell bridge for the connection between the innate and adaptive immune system response. DCs are a group of specialized hematopoietic cells that function as antigen presenting cells (APCs) in the liver. One of the most important properties that hepatic DCs (HDCs) possess, unlike other DCs in the human body, is the ability to preserve a tolerogenic atmosphere to maintain homeostasis in situations that are...
not so hostile for the liver (87). In a healthy environment DCs will present an immature phenotype characterized by a low capacity to endocytose antigens and to stimulate T-lymphocytes accompanied with a high production of IL-10 and IL-27 promoting the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into regulatory T cells (Treg) maintaining the tolerance of self-antigens (88), however, as mentioned before, one of the main characteristics of NASH is the loss of the liver's tolerogenic environment, changing to a pro-inflammatory immunogenic phenotype. This will induce maturation of DCs favoring inflammation by the liberation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and inducing the adaptive immune response by an enhanced capacity to activate antigen specific CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells (87). Nonetheless, the actual role of DCs in the pathogenesis of NASH is still a matter of debate, since DCs ablation studies have shown contrasting results depending on the experimental setting (88). Interestingly, the immune-stimulating and pro-inflammatory phenotype of HDCs seems to be associated with a high-lipid content in the cell. Also, depending on the differentiation pattern that HDCs express, it might determine the immunophenotypic response that these cells would achieve. In experimental animal models, myeloid HDCs (DC1) identified with the CD103+ marker appear to have a protective role in the liver by founding that the transference of CD103+ cDC1 to a Batf3+deficient murine cohort reduced inflammatory monocyte recruitment, liver CCL2 expression and serum transaminases without affecting the extent of steatosis (89). By other side, DC-CD40-ko mice (CD40+/cDC1+/CD11c−/MHCII+/F4-80+) subjected to obesity and NASH by feeding them with a high-fat diet (HFD) showed that CD40 expressing CD11c+ cells play a crucial role in protection against obesity-induced ectopic lipid storage and metabolic dysfunction, most likely via induction of Treg, however, during NASH, CD40 on CD11c+ cells contributes to liver inflammation (90). Additionally, NASH C57BL/6 mice fed with a methionine/choline-deficient (MCD)-diet exhibited an overexpression of CD11chigh/F4-80+ DCs pool, but a reduced expression of CD11c+/MHCII−/B220− plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and CD11c−/MHCII+/CD8a+ lymphocytoid DCs (IDCs) (91).

In humans, we have shown that CD11C− cDC2 were more elevated in obesity-induced NASH patients with fibrosis than those without fibrosis suggesting that CD11C− cDC2 may have an important role in fibrosis development (92). In this scenario, an elegant transcriptional and immune profiling of patients with NASH was recently conducted showing interesting results (93). It was revealed that cDC2 were positively correlated with NASH progression while cDC1 and pDC were associated with a negative hepatic expression of genes involved in immune regulation and antigenic presentation making more understandable the role of these cells in NASH pathogenesis.

**Interplay between the innate and the adaptive immune response in NASH development**

As we have reviewed, an overnutrition state will generate an imbalance in AT storage and in the hepatic lipid metabolism promoting cellular stress, apoptosis and liver injury. The activation of KCs and the transdifferentiation of HSCs into myofibroblasts are the more important mechanisms within NASH and fibrosis development. However, the adaptive immune response will end up orchestrating the chronicity of inflammation and liver damage in NASH patients. In this context, DCs are responsible for the connection between the innate and adaptive response via major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and II molecules (94). Similarly, recent findings have described oxidative stress as one of the main triggers in stimulating adaptive immune response through a group of protein adducts with lipid peroxidation breakdown products, like malondialdehyde (MDA), malondialdehyde–acetaldehyde (MAA), and 4-hydroxynonenal, as well as phosphocholine (PC)-containing oxidized phospholipids formally called oxidation-specific epitopes (OSEs) (95). OSEs are recognized by both innate and adaptive humoral immunity, including specific antibodies in an important number of systemic diseases (94). Evidence has suggested a protective mechanism of OSE-specific immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies in NASH in both animal and human models (96-98), while elevated titers of OSE-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies are associated with the severity of lobular inflammation and a greater presence of intrahepatic B and T cell lymphocyte aggregates, in addition to being an independent fibrosis factor (94,99,100) (Figure 3).

**Lymphocytes**

Lobular lymphocyte aggregates by T and B cells are a characteristic commonly found in patients with NASH. The size and prevalence of these lymphocyte aggregates correlated with the degree of lobular inflammation and fibrosis (101,102). CD4+ T cells express CD44 and CD69 activation markers as well as an increase in the production of IFN-γ and tumor necrosis factor superfamily member-14 (TNFSF14)
indicating that lymphocyte aggregates are functionally active. Moreover, in addition to the activation mediated by DCs, it has recently been demonstrated through the use of coculture systems, that KCs promote adult liver hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) to primarily generate T cells and B cells via intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1). A blockade in ICAM-1 impaired the adhesion, expansion, and differentiation of HSPCs suggesting another important induction-pathway of adaptive immune response (103). Also, the vascular adhesion protein 1 (VAP-1) is a membrane-bound amine oxidase expressed in liver endothelium that supports lymphocytes adhesion and transendothelial migration across LSECs in many inflammatory diseases (11). VAP-1 catalyzes the oxidative deamination of primary amines resulting in the generation of aldehyde, ammonia and hydrogen peroxide (104). These products activate the secretion of NFkB-dependent chemokines and the expression of adhesion molecules in the liver endothelium (105,106). Furthermore, Weston et al. found that the soluble form of VAP-1 (sVAP-1) in the liver was markedly elevated in NAFLD patients when compared to controls and in VAP-1-deficient mice (Aoc3−/) they probed that VAP-1 promotes NASH progression by observing that a poor expression of VAP-1 protected against the accumulation of intrahepatic T cells, NKT cells, and myeloid subsets (11) (Figure 2).

**T cells**

In a healthy host, DCs are responsible for expressing negative regulators for T cells response such as cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4), IL-10, TGF-β and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) favoring the polarization of CD4+ T cells into Treg maintaining the tolerance to self-antigens and avoiding an excessive effector-T cell activation and subsequent tissue damage during infection-induced immune responses (107,108).
Interestingly, in NAFLD-induced animal models, a reduced number of hepatic Treg cells has been found (109,110). Apparently, this reduction is due to local ROS-induced apoptosis of Treg cells. Moreover, an adoptive transfer of Treg cells attenuated hepatic inflammation and decrease hepatic TNF-α expression (110).

Nonetheless, within NASH development DCs perform the antigen presentation of MHC class II molecules to the specialized CD4+ T cell receptor (TCR) and the co-receptor CD3 favoring the polarization into T helper-1 (Th1) and T helper-17 (Th17) (94). Th1 cells are proinflammatory cells that express the transcription factor T-bet producing IFN-γ, IL-12, TNF-α and TNFSF14 (108). Th1 cells have been involved in AT inflammation associated with an overexpression of leptin in HFD-animal models of obesity (111-113). In humans, a couple of studies have demonstrated increased levels of Th1 cells in peripheral blood of NASH patients (114,115) and in a MCD-diet murine model of NASH an increase in liver Th1 cells was found only in advanced stages of the disease speculating a possible relation with the fibrosis development (116).

As mentioned early, the other important phenotype of CD4+ T cells in NASH is Th17 cells. These are proinflammatory cells that express the transcription factor retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor γt (RORγt), as well as the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). Their main action is to reinforce the adaptive immune response against external agents by the expression of IL-17, IL-22 and IL-23. Interestingly, the progression of NAFLD to NASH has been associated with the liver accumulation of Th17 cells (117). Also, some studies have found a Th-17-induced liver inflammation through an accumulation of macrophages via IL-17-dependent upregulation of CXCL-10 (118,119). Similarly, Rolla et al. suggested that lipotoxic effects of FFAs are exacerbated in most of the cases. Nonetheless, in NASH the hepatic tissue repair capacity is exceeded by the accumulation of cytotoxic lipids derived from an overnutrition diet triggering cells dysfunction and the activation of the innate immune response with the consequent development of fibrosis. Recent findings have also suggested that T and B cells are essential for the maintenance of the liver inflammation and the fibrosis development by inducing the expression of cytokines and chemokines important for the KCs polarization and the HDCs activation. Therefore, the study of both immune responses in NASH is crucial in order to implement new therapeutic options for the near future.

Conclusions

The liver is an extraordinary organ with the ability to preserve a tolerogenic environment despite the multiple harmful agents derived from the enterohepatic circulation and with the capacity of recover from acute liver injury in most of the cases. Nonetheless, in NASH the hepatic tissue repair capacity is exceeded by the accumulation of cytotoxic lipids derived from an overnutrition diet triggering cells dysfunction and the activation of the innate immune response with the consequent development of fibrosis. Some observational studies in obesity-induced mouse models have found an association between CD 45+ B-cell infiltrates in AT and IR, systemic inflammation, increased production of proinflammatory cytokines and T-cells and macrophages activation (124-126). Few studies have focused on determine the role of intrahepatic B cells in NAFLD. In this scenario, Zhang et al. (127) found that CD45+ intrahepatic B (IHB) cells were significantly higher in NAFLD patients than controls, expressing higher levels of IL-6, and TNF-α. Moreover, IHB cells enhanced the activation of CD4+ T-cells promoting the polarization into Th1 cells in the NAFLD group (75). Likewise, Bruzzà et al. showed in a mouse-model of NAFLD that the onset of NASH was characterized by hepatic B2 cells maturation to plasma B cells and by an elevation in circulating anti-OSE IgG titers. The B cells responses preceded T cells activation and the up-regulation of the hepatic expression of B-cell Activating Factor (BAFF). The selective B2-cells depletion in mice prevented the plasma B cells maturation and the Th1 polarization of CD4+ T-cells accompanied with a milder steatohepatitis and fibrosis (101).
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