Supported Bimetallic Catalysts for the Solvent-Free Hydrogenation of Levulinic Acid to γ-Valerolactone: Effect of Metal Combination (Ni-Cu, Ni-Co, Cu-Co)
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Abstract: γ-valerolactone (GVL) is an important value-added chemical with potential applications as a fuel additive, a precursor for valuable chemicals, and polymer synthesis. Herein, different monometallic and bimetallic catalysts supported on γ-Al2O3 nanofibers (Ni, Cu, Co, Ni-Cu, Ni-Co, Cu-Co) were prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation method and employed in the solvent-free hydrogenation of levulinic acid (LA) to GVL. The influence of metal loading, metal combination, and ratio on the activity and selectivity of the catalysts was investigated. XRD, SEM-EDS, TEM, H2-TPR, XPS, NH3-TPD, and N2 adsorption were used to examine the structure and properties of the catalysts. In this study, GVL synthesis involves the single-step dehydration of LA to an intermediate, followed by hydrogenation of the intermediate to GVL. Ni-based catalysts were found to be highly active for the reaction. [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 catalyst showed 100.0% conversion of LA with >99.0% selectivity to GVL, whereas [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3 yielded 100.0% conversion of LA with 83.0% selectivity to GVL. Moreover, reaction parameters such as temperature, H2 pressure, time, and catalyst loading were optimized to obtain the maximum GVL yield. The solvent-free hydrogenation process described in this study propels the future industrial production of GVL from LA.
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1. Introduction

Due to the concomitant increase of the global consumption of fossil fuels and the rapid depletion of its reserves, research attention has shifted to the investigation and development of sustainable alternatives for fossil fuels as our primary energy source [1]. Lignocellulosic biomass is considered to be one of the most promising replacements of fossil fuels since it is a highly renewable, naturally abundant, carbon-neutral energy source [2]. Levulinic acid (LA), a five-carbon molecule that can be produced from both the C5 and C6 sugars of the lignocellulose, was recognized by the US Department of Energy as one of the top 10 biomass-derived compounds that can potentially replace fossil fuels [3]. A broad spectrum of value-added chemicals can be derived from LA, including γ-Valerolactone (GVL) [4]. GVL can be synthesized from the hydrogenation of LA, and it offers a tremendous number of applications as a food ingredient, green solvent, fuel additive, and a platform chemical for the production of consumer goods [5,6]. Considering the accessibility of LA as a feedstock and the potential industrial applications of GVL, the hydrogenation of LA to GVL was found to be a promising pathway in biomass conversion reactions. As represented by Scheme 1, GVL can be synthesized from two reaction
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pathways, containing hydrogenation and dehydration steps. Thus, a catalyst with well-balanced metal sites for hydrogenation and acidic sites for dehydration is necessary. The conversion of LA to GVL can proceed via LA's dehydration to angelica lactone (AL), followed by its hydrogenation to GVL. It can also be facilitated by the hydrogenation of the ketone functionality of LA, thereby forming 4-hydroxypentanoic acid (4-HPA), followed by intramolecular esterification/ring closure to GVL [7].

Several catalytic technologies, such as the exploitation of a different nature of catalysts, solvents, and hydrogen donors, have been investigated for the transformation of LA to GVL. This reaction was also reported to proceed under a wide range of reaction conditions as mild as room temperature and at a pressure as high as 50 bar. Noble metal-based catalysts, particularly ruthenium (Ru), [7,8] platinum (Pt) [9,10], and palladium (Pd)-based [11,12] were the most explored group, owing to their high activity in carbonyl hydrogenation. However, the expensive cost and limited supply of these types of materials can bound their industrial application. For this reason, transition-metal-based catalysts have attracted the interest of researchers for the synthesis of GVL since they are of lower cost, more environmentally sustainable, and more widely available. For these types of catalysts, nickel (Ni) and copper (Cu) were the most studied metals. Previous studies have suggested that the synergistic effect of these metals enhanced catalytic activity. The hydrotalcite-derived catalyst Cu/Ni/Mg/Al, with a 0.75/0.5/1/1 molar ratio of metal cations, has achieved a complete conversion of LA toward GVL at 140 °C, 3 h, and 30 bar H₂ pressure in 1,4-dioxane. Its activity was attributed to the combined effort of Ni and Cu, wherein Cu is responsible for LA conversion and Ni improved the selectivity toward GVL formation [13]. A study by Obregon et al. also reported the use of commercial Al₂O₃-supported monometallic (Ni and Cu) and bimetallic (Ni-Cu) catalysts for this reaction. A 100.0% LA conversion with a 96.0% GVL yield was obtained at 250 °C, 65 bar H₂ pressure, and a 2 h reaction time. The catalytic performance of the Ni-Cu bimetallic catalysts was ascribed to the coexistence of the two metals and the inhibition of by-product formation and carbon deposition with the incorporation of Cu to Ni [14]. Catalysts concerning cobalt (Co) have recently been shown to exhibit activity for the hydrogenation of LA to GVL. It was suggested that the hydrogenation activity of these cobalt-based catalysts was probably due to the slight amount of surface metallic Co species formed during the reductive catalytic reaction [15]. Moreover, the strong metal–support interaction exhibited by Co and Al species has stabilized the Co particles and has prevented their leaching and sintering [16]. All the monometallic catalysts based on Ni, Cu, and Co were reported to be active in this reaction with varying LA conversions and GVL yields achieved. To the best of our knowledge, the effect of metal combinations in the solvent-free hydrogenation of LA to GVL has not been studied yet.

Scheme 1. Reaction pathway for the conversion of LA to GVL.

In this work, we prepared γ-Al₂O₃ fiber-supported bimetallic (Ni-Cu, Ni-Co, Cu-Co) catalysts by incipient wetness impregnation for the transformation of LA to GVL. It was demonstrated that the alumina support provided additional Lewis acid sites by the strong metal–support interaction resulting
from the reaction between metal precursor and OH group on the surface of the nanofiber. Reactions were conducted over monometallic catalysts and bimetallic catalysts with [1:1], [2:1], and [1:2] Ni/Cu, Ni/Co, and Co/Cu ratios. The conversion of LA and the selectivity to GVL in the solvent-free hydrogenation was enhanced by adjusting the metal and acid sites on the surface of the bimetallic catalysts. [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃, [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃, and [2:1] Co-Cu/Al₂O₃ yielded >99.0%, ~83.0%, and ~65.0% GVL, respectively. The influence of reaction parameters, such as H₂ pressure, temperature, and time was also studied. Several characterization techniques, X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), ammonia temperature-programmed desorption (NH₃-TPD), and hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR), were employed to assess the relationship between the catalyst’s chemical and physical properties and its activity.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Catalyst Characterization

N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore size distributions performed to ascertain the structure of the catalysts are presented in Figure 1. All the isotherms obtained for the catalysts display a type IV isotherm with H3 hysteresis loops. The specific surface area of catalysts was calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method based on the adsorption data in the relative pressure range of 0.05–0.25. Pore volume was evaluated by the adsorption quantities at a relative pressure of 0.99. Mesopore size distribution was calculated from the desorption branch by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method. These isotherms, together with the pore size analysis of all catalysts, suggest that they are mesoporous in nature. The higher surface area of the γ-Al₂O₃ nanofiber support (259.0 m²/g) can be attributed to the arbitrary arrangement of the nanofibers, resulting in a well-established porous structure. After the impregnation of active metals, the surface area decreased due to pore blockage, indicating that the metals were effectively deposited. The significant loss of surface area for the monometallic Ni catalyst compared to all the synthesized catalysts, coupled with the >70.0% pore volume loss, may suggest that Ni deposited within the pores of the γ-Al₂O₃ nanofiber. Monometallic Cu and Co showed a 46.0% and 52.0% pore volume loss with increased pore diameter, which may indicate the Cu deposit on the surface of the γ-Al₂O₃ nanofiber. A similar phenomenon was observed in previous studies [14]. For Ni-based catalysts, the surface area and pore volume improved with the addition of both Cu and Co, which can be a result of improved metal dispersion.
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Figure 1. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms (a) and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) pore size distribution (b) of the monometallic and bimetallic catalysts.
The textural properties of the monometallic catalysts and catalysts with [2:1] M\textsubscript{1}/M\textsubscript{2} are summarized in Table 1. The textural properties of catalysts with other ratios are reviewed in Table S2. The experimental active metal contents (Ni, Co, Cu) in both monometallic and bimetallic catalysts were determined by ICP-OES, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The crystallite sizes of the catalysts were calculated using the Scherrer equation based on the peak with the highest intensity. The total metal content and the metal ratio of the catalysts were found to be comparable with the given metal contents of catalysts.

Table 1. Elemental and textural characterization of the reduced catalysts.

| Catalysts | Ni (wt.%) \textsuperscript{a} | Cu (wt.%) \textsuperscript{a} | Co (wt.%) \textsuperscript{a} | Total wt.% | M\textsubscript{1}/M\textsubscript{2} | S\textsubscript{BET} \textsubscript{(m\textsuperscript{2}/g)} \textsuperscript{b} | Pore Volume \textsubscript{(cm\textsuperscript{3}/g)} \textsuperscript{c} | Pore Diameter \textsubscript{(nm)} \textsuperscript{d} | Crystallite size (nm) \textsuperscript{d} |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 259.0 | 0.99 | 17.6 | 24.6 |
| Ni/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 37.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 129.6 | 0.29 | 7.4 | 41.7 |
| Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 0.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 147.0 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 82.8 |
| Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 152.3 | 0.48 | 13.9 | 32.8 |
| [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 20.9 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 1.72 | 144.0 | 0.38 | 11.8 | 58.9 |
| [2:1] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 21.4 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 32.7 | 1.89 | 159.4 | 0.45 | 12.0 | 36.3 |
| [2:1] Co-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 0.0 | 23.1 | 13.7 | 36.8 | 1.69 | 162.1 | 0.41 | 13.3 | 63.1 |

\textsuperscript{a} Determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). \textsuperscript{b} Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area calculated from N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms using BET. \textsuperscript{c} Pore volume and pore diameter calculated from N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms using BJH. \textsuperscript{d} Crystallite size was calculated using the Scherrer equation.

The XRD diagrams of the reduced catalysts are presented in Figure 2 and Figure S1. The characteristic peaks of the nickel phase were at 2\textdegree = 44.6, 51.7 and 76.3 for Ni/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, whereas a copper phase at 2\textdegree = 43.5, 50.5 and 74.5 and a cobalt phase at 2\textdegree = 44.3, 51.4, and 74.3 were identified for Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, respectively [17]. The Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst showed a smaller diffraction peak due to the superior dispersion of the Co species on the γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofibers. The sharper diffraction peaks observed for Ni diffraction peaks in the monometallic Ni compared to the monometallic Cu and monometallic Co catalyst indicate that Ni particles were significantly larger because of metal aggregation. The existence of the Ni-Cu, Ni-Co, and Co-Cu mixed-species was also confirmed for the reduced bimetallic catalysts. Although the XRD patterns of the bimetallic catalysts appear similar, a closer look at the diffraction peaks of the catalysts shows a shift for the peak linked to metallic Ni to lower angle values for Ni-Co-based (2\textdegree = 44.3) and Ni-Cu-based (2\textdegree = 44.2) catalysts. This slight peak shift indicated the Co and Cu integration into the Ni crystal structure. In the case of Co-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, the characteristic peak of metallic Co has shifted to a lower angle, indicating the formation of a Co-Cu alloy. The intensity of nickel peaks decreased for Ni-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts with different metal ratios in the order [2:1] > [1:1] > [1:2], as can be seen in Figure S1, which can be attributed to the improved metal dispersion when compared to the monometallic Ni/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst. Thus, further characterization was performed for the monometallic catalysts and the bimetallic catalysts with a [2:1] metal ratio. Similar results can be seen from the works of Daniel et al. and Mahdi et al. [18,19].
The reducibility of the selected catalysts, together with the active metal–support interaction, was assessed by the H2-TPR analysis, as shown in Figure 3. Ni/Al2O3, Cu/Al2O3, and Co/Al2O3 exhibited reduction peaks at low- and high-temperature ranges. For monometallic Ni catalyst, the two peaks are at 180 °C and 520 °C. For the monometallic Cu catalyst, the peaks are at 200 °C and 520 °C. For the monometallic Co catalyst, the peaks are at 220 °C and 520 °C. The low-temperature peaks are allocated to the reduction of metal oxide with no interaction with γ-Al2O3 nanofibers, whereas the high-temperature peaks are for the reduction of spinel NiAl2O4, CuAl2O4, and CoAl2O4. The significant high-temperature peak of the monometallic Ni catalyst may suggest NiO species were embedded further inside the γ-Al2O3 nanofibers, supporting the observation from the N2 adsorption–desorption data. [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 and [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3 catalysts show two peaks positioned below 300 °C and 550 °C with a shoulder peak at 600 °C. In [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3, the peak at 200–300 °C relates to the reduction of support-free NiO. The peak at 500 °C can be allocated to the reduction of crystal NiO species, whereas the high-temperature shoulder peak at a range of 550–600 °C relates to the reduction of NiAl2O4 from the robust metal–support interaction. The peak around 250 °C for [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3 describes the reduction of NiO with no interaction with support. The peak above 500 °C can be attributed to the reduction of θ-CuO and NiO with no interaction with support [18,20]. In the TPR profile of [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 and [2:1] Co-Cu/Al2O3 catalysts, the low-temperature reduction peak at around 150 °C is correlated with the reduction of CuO species. The presence of Cu enhances the reducibility of the NiO and CoO by behaving as an activation site for hydrogen molecules, which, in turn, enhances the reduction of NiO and CoO [17,21,22]. The decreased intensity of the shoulder peak for bimetallic catalysts at high temperature indicates better metal dispersion. The H2-TPR results show that the intensity of the high-temperature peak associated with the spinel MAl2O4 decreased from [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 to [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3, followed by [2:1] Co-Cu/Al2O3, confirming that the bimetallic catalysts compared to the monometallic catalysts retained more reduced metallic sites similar to the previously reported studies [14,23].
The SEM images of the selected catalysts are shown in Figure 4. The SEM images exhibited similar morphologies with the bare \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanofibers. When compared with the monometallic Ni/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst, the [2:1] bimetallic catalysts showed smaller particle sizes, revealing that the consistency of metal particle distribution was improved with the addition of Cu and Co for the Ni-based catalysts. The incorporation of Cu and Co could inhibit agglomeration, boosting the dispersion of the nickel species on the \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanofibers. The elemental mapping of the catalyst showed well-dispersed metal particles over the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) support for all the catalysts except Ni/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), in good agreement with the XRD results. The mapping in the case of Ni/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) shows a more pronounced Ni presence on the surface of the \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanofibers, suggesting agglomeration of the Ni particles. The metal wt.% of catalysts obtained from the EDX analysis was analogous with the values acquired from the ICP-OES and the theoretical value.

The TEM images in Figure 5 show dark particles, which can be attributed to the successful impregnation of metals over the support. All the bimetallic catalysts showed excellent interaction between metals and support with good dispersion. It is possible to note that the active metal is unequally dispersed on the surface of the Ni/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst, showing a formation of aggregates with altered morphology. With the addition of a second metal (Cu and Co) to the monometallic Ni catalyst, the dispersion of the active metal species was enhanced. Particularly, for Ni-Co/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts, a uniform distribution of smaller metallic particles can be seen when compared to Ni-Cu/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). This result is in line with the XRD data. A more prominent particle distribution can be seen for the Co-Cu/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst, indicating that Ni-based catalysts are more prone to the formation of aggregates. A closer look is shown in Figure S2.
Figure 4. SEM images, elemental mapping and EDX patterns of the monometallic and bimetallic catalysts.

XPS analysis was conducted for the selected catalysts after reduction to investigate the effect of the addition of a second metal on the surface properties of the monometallic catalysts. The XPS results of the Ni 2p, Cu 2p, and Co 2p spectra are presented in Figure 6. Based on the deconvolution of Ni 2p spectra, the binding energies at 852.5 eV in the Ni 2p3/2 spectra of the reduced Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst is assigned to the metallic Ni. The XPS spectra of Ni/Al₂O₃ also presented two peaks in the Ni 2p3/2 and Ni 2p1/2 spectra at about 855.4 eV and 873.8 eV with corresponding satellite peaks at 862.7 eV and 880 eV, respectively, which are assigned the Ni²⁺ species [23]. Ni⁰ binding energies in the Ni 2p3/2 spectra in the bimetallic catalysts Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ are 853.3 eV and 853.7 eV, respectively.
Figure 5. TEM images of monometallic and bimetallic catalysts.

Figure 6. Deconvoluted XPS patterns (a). Ni 2p (b). Cu 2p (c). Co 2p of reduced monometallic and bimetallic catalysts.

The peaks attributed to the Ni\(^{2+}\) Ni 2p3/2 and Ni 2p1/2 spectra were also present for the bimetallic catalysts at 856.3 \(\text{eV}\) and 856.7 \(\text{eV}\) with satellite peaks for Ni-Cu/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and Ni-Co/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), respectively. It is possible to note that all the peaks in the bimetallic catalysts shifted to higher binding energies in comparison with Ni/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) [22]. The results suggest that the addition of second metals improved the reducibility of nickel species and the interaction between nickel particles and γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanofiber support, which was also demonstrated in the H\(_2\)-TPR. The existence of Cu\(^{2+}\) species in the Cu/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts was confirmed by the Cu 2p spectra. The Cu 2p spectra for Cu 2p3/2 and Cu 2p1/2 gave
two main peaks at about 932.6 eV and 953.6 eV with lower satellite peaks at around 943.4 eV and 963.4 eV. The binding energies for the Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and Co-Cu/Al₂O₃ catalysts shifted positively, indicating that there are strong electronic interactions between Ni-Cu and Co-Cu atoms in Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and Co-Cu/Al₂O₃, respectively. From the XPS spectra of Co 2p, for reduced Co/Al₂O₃, Ni-Co/Al₂O₃, and Co-Cu/Al₂O₃, the binding energies at 781.7 eV and 797.6 eV with corresponding satellite peaks in the Co 2p3/2 and Co 2p1/2 spectra are attributed to the Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ species. The weak peak at 777.2 eV in the Co 2p3/2 spectra of Co/Al₂O₃ is attributed to the metallic Co shifted to 878.1 eV with increased intensity for Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ and Co-Cu/Al₂O₃, indicating that metal species are rich in bimetallic catalysts, as has been presented in previous studies of bimetallic catalysts [17,22,24].

NH₃-TPD was performed to ascertain the acidity of the three selected bimetallic catalysts, and the result is presented in Figure 7. The existence of weak and moderate to strong acidic sites was confirmed for all the catalysts by the presence of the three peaks between the temperatures 100 and 350 °C, 350 and 550 °C, and 550 and 900 °C. The intensity of the peaks originating from the Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ catalysts was higher compared to Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃, indicating that Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ retains the highest density of acidic sites among all the catalysts. This might be because of its higher surface area developed from the enhanced metal dispersion, which is advantageous to the accessibility of the acidic sites. Even though the surface area of Co-Cu/Al₂O₃ is higher than the other bimetallic catalysts, it exhibits the lowest acidity, especially at a higher temperature. This shows that the presence of Ni in Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ and Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃, in addition to the surface area, may significantly contribute to the densities of acidic sites by providing a more prominent metal–support interface. The work reported by Mengran et al. also demonstrated the same conclusions [14,17].

Figure 7. The NH₃-TPD patterns of the selected bimetallic catalysts.

2.2. Catalytic Activity

To determine the appropriate metal loading for the hydrogenation reaction, [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ catalysts with a 10–50 wt.% total metal content were synthesized. The results summarized in Table S3 show 35 wt.% gives the maximum GVL yield; thus, 35 wt.% was fixed for all the catalysts in this study. The catalytic activity of several monometallic and bimetallic catalysts with varying metal ratios (M1/M2) were successfully synthesized and applied in the solvent-free hydrogenation of LA to GVL. The hydrogenation activity of the catalysts was studied in terms of metal combinations at
different ratios. The selected catalysts were further tuned by investigating the activities at different reaction parameters.

2.2.1. Effect of Metal Ratio and Combination

LA can be converted to GVL via two different pathways, as shown in Scheme 1. The pathway can be via hydrogenation of the carbonyl group in LA, resulting in the formation of the intermediate 4-HPA. Consequent dehydronation and esterification result in cyclization, generating GVL or dehydration of LA to AL followed by AL hydrogenation to GVL. In all pathways, the hydrogenation step takes place on the metal catalyst, whereas dehydronation and cyclization occur on the acid sites. The 4-HPA pathway of GVL has been shown to kinetically take over at low temperatures [5]. However, the hydrogenation activity of transition metals is low compared to noble metals; therefore, higher temperatures are needed to start the hydrogenation of LA. At high temperatures (~220 °C), LA could be easily dehydrated to AL, suggesting that the AL pathway is responsible for GVL production in this work [25–27].

The Ni/Al2O3 catalyst showed a 100.0% conversion of LA with 75.0% selectivity to GVL. The final reaction mixture showed 25.0% selectivity to AL. The result presented in Figure 8 suggests that Ni/Al2O3 favors AL, which can be explained by the characteristics of the Ni/Al2O3 catalyst observed in the XRD, TPR, and XPS data. γ-Al2O3 has Lewis acid sites with different acid strengths. The reaction between the Ni precursor and OH group on the surface of the γ-Al2O3 nanofiber results in a strong metal–support interaction, leading to the formation of more NiAl2O4 with medium to strong Lewis acid sites, decreasing the metallic Ni sites. Because AL is produced by the acid-catalyzed dehydronation of LA, and since Ni/Al2O3 has more acid sites, LA is completely converted to AL. In the conversion of AL to GVL, active metal sites proficient at dissociating the supplied hydrogen and hydrogenating the C=O of AL to obtain GVL are crucial. However, the Ni/Al2O3 catalyst does not have enough metal sites to fully convert AL to GVL, resulting only in 75.0% selectivity to GVL.

To improve product selectivity, the second metals (Co or Cu) were introduced into the Ni-based catalysts since bimetallic catalysts have a synergistic effect that enhances both metal and acid sites. Compared with monometallic Ni, bimetallic Ni-Cu catalysts exhibit much higher selectivity to GVL. The addition of Cu to the Ni/Al2O3 catalyst increases the reducibility of Ni, resulting in the formation of electron-rich Ni0 species, as confirmed by the TPD and XRD data. The amount of metal sites decreased in the order of [1:2] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 > [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 > [1:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3, whereas the acid density decreased in the order of [1:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 > [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 > [1:2] Ni-Cu/Al2O3. Similarly, the selectivity to GVL increased from [1:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 < [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 < [1:2] Ni-Cu/Al2O3, proving that the presence of Cu is essential for the selective production of GVL. A decrease in the conversion of LA can be noted for the [1:2] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 catalyst, suggesting that not enough acid sites are present for the dehydration of LA. The surface acid sites (cationic Al3+) can act as electron acceptors, interacting with LA through electronegative atoms in the LA with lone-pair electrons (carbonyl group, oxygen atom). The partial charge-transfer character of the resulting -O-cation complex makes the lone-pair donor (LA) more electronegative, activating LA for intermolecular nucleophilic attack from the other carbonyl group within LA, resulting in cyclization. Hence, acidic sites on Ni-Cu/Al2O3 catalysts are crucial for the conversion of LA. Thus, the trade-off between metal sites and acid sites for [1:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 and [1:2] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 are not preferable for GVL production, making [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 the most effective catalyst for this specific hydrogenation reaction.
Figure 8. Levulinic acid (LA) conversion and product selectivity over (a) Ni-Cu-based catalysts, (b) Ni-Co-based catalysts, and (c) Co-Cu-based catalysts under a solvent-free condition. Reaction conditions: 20 mL LA, 1 g catalyst, 220 °C, 30 bar H₂ pressure, and 6 h reaction time.
The findings signify the importance of the bimetallic Ni-Cu-based catalysts in improving GVL selectivity. The balance between the metal and acid sites suitable for GVL production can be achieved through the Ni/Cu ratio, demonstrating the role of interaction between Ni-Cu species and the support in the catalyst system [17,28].

A 71.0% conversion of LA with 76.0% and 23.0% selectivities to GVL and 2-MTHF, respectively, was achieved over Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. No significant AL yield was obtained, indicating that all the AL formed was completely converted into GVL. The significant amount of 2-MTHF in the reaction mixture indicates a higher hydrogenation activity of Co towards 2-MTHF. In the case of Ni/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, a ~24.0% AL selectivity was obtained. Upon the addition of the equal weight of Cu and Co, the AL selectivity was reduced to 15.0% and 8.0%, respectively. The enhanced selectivities to GVL and 2-MTHF after Cu and Co addition demonstrate the respective selectivities of the secondary metal species. The improved acidity noticed in the NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD and the presence of both Ni and Co metal sites observed from the XPS data allow Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} to exhibit higher activity, increasing the conversion of the more stable GVL molecule to 2-MTHF. 2-MTHF is the result of intramolecular 1,4-PDO dehydration, which resulted from the hydrogenation of GVL. For the reaction over [1:2] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, a substantial decrease in the LA conversion was detected, confirming once more that Ni is responsible for the conversion of LA. The hydrogenation activity of the [2:1] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts was sufficient to facilitate the GVL ring-opening to 1,4-PDO. This was then followed by 1,4-PDO dehydration to 2-MTHF, which was possible due to the heightened acidic function of the [2:1] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst [25]. A small amount of 2-butanol and 2-pentanol were also detected in the final reaction mixture. These findings emphasize that water, generated in situ from the hydrogenation of LA, may hinder the formation of 2-MTHF. GVL was hydrogenated to 1,4-PDO over the [2:1] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst, whereas the presence of water slightly affected the dehydration of 1,4-PDO to 2-MTHF. Despite the formation of 2-butanol and 2-pentanol, the [2:1] Ni-Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst shows promising results for the one-pot solvent-free production of 2-MTHF from LA [29].

The reaction result from the monometallic Cu catalyst showed >99.0% selectivity to GVL with 64.0% LA conversion, supporting the conclusion made from the bimetallic Ni-Cu catalyst regarding the potential of Cu in enhancing GVL selectivity. As can be seen in the deconvoluted XPS and NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD profiles, Co-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts possess a higher number of metal sites and fewer acid sites. The presence of unreacted LA in the final reaction mixture indicates that the first step of the reaction (acid-catalyzed dehydration of LA to AL) was slow. This result confirms the presence of Ni is critical for the conversion of LA, whereas the secondary metals are responsible for the product selectivity. No residual AL was present in the reaction mixture, implying that the hydrogenation of AL to GVL was a fast reaction due to the plentiful metal sites. On all the reactions over Co-Cu-based catalysts, there is a formation of 1,4-PDO from the hydrogenation of GVL on the metal sites, whereas only a trace amount of 2-MTHF was obtained. This phenomenon again signifies that Co-Cu-based catalysts have enough metal sites left to hydrogenate GVL to 1,4-PDO due to the higher number of metal sites, whereas not enough acid sites were left to convert 1,4-PDO to 2-MTHF. The monometallic Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} showed a lower conversion of LA with high selectivity to GVL. Upon the addition of Co, the conversion of LA slightly increased, whereas there was a significant decrease in the selectivity to GVL due to the formation of 1,4-PDO. The change in the selectivity towards the hydrogenation products suggests that the addition of Co increased the number of active metal sites on the catalyst surface, facilitating the hydrogenation of GVL to 1,4-PDO. The absence of 1,4-PDO to 2-MTHF suggested that there are no active acid sites left for the dehydration reaction [30]. Thus, Co-Cu-based catalysts are not well suited for the hydrogenation of LA to GVL production but showed the potential to produce 1,4-PDO.

2.2.2. Effect of Reaction Temperature

Evidently, in the hydrogenation over [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, the LA conversion increased substantially with the elevated reaction temperature up to 220 °C, whereas the GVL selectivity remained >99.0%, as shown in Figure 9. Above 220 °C, a decrease in GVL selectivity is observed, with an increased
selectivity to AL and an exceedingly small increase in the 1,4-PDO and 2-MTHF selectivity, confirming that GVL can be hydrogenated and dehydrogenated over [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃.

![Figure 9](image-url)  
**Figure 9.** Reaction results for the effect of reaction temperature over (a) [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and (b) [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ on the hydrogenation of LA. Reaction conditions: 20 mL LA, 1 g catalyst, 30 bar H₂ pressure and 6 h reaction time.

The conversion of LA to 2-MTHF is more difficult owing to the chemical stability of GVL. Thus, it requires a high reaction temperature and pressure with active metal and acid sites on a catalyst. The increased selectivity towards AL compared to 1,4-PDO suggests that the catalyst [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ does not have enough active sites to catalyze the further conversion of GVL, making it ideal for the production of GVL [31]. The dehydrogenation of GVL back to AL is an unwanted reaction in the production of GVL and for the catalyst stability considering that AL is a typical coke precursor. A reaction temperature of 220 °C is the most appropriate for the hydrogenation of LA to GVL [17]. Up to 90.0%, GVL yield was obtained over [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ at a lower temperature of 200 °C, indicating that the Ni-Co maintains catalyst improved metal and acid sites. Unlike the [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ catalyst, [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ shows a significant increase in the selectivity to 2-MTHF with the increase in temperature again confirming the characterization results. Therefore, catalysts with balanced metal sites and acid sites are required to achieve the maximum LA conversion, with high selectivity to the desired product.

### 2.2.3. Effect of Reaction Pressure

The impact of hydrogen pressure on the catalytic activity of [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ was assessed, and the results are depicted in Figure 10. The H₂ pressure showed a strong effect on the conversion of LA when the H₂ pressure increased from 0 to 30 bar for [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ and 0 to 20 bar for [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃. Increasing the pressure above the optimum demonstrated no change in the conversion of LA, whereas the effect was prominent for the selectivity of GVL. At 30 bar, 100.0% conversion of LA and >99.0% selectivity to GVL was obtained over [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃. Increasing the pressure to 40 bar resulted in a 10.0% decrease in GVL selectivity. The findings from the reaction over [2:1] Ni-Co/Al₂O₃ imply that at lower pressure, the solubility of H₂ is low, and increasing the pressure above 20 bar leads to enhanced conversion of LA. Further increasing the pressure provides a suitable reaction condition to disrupt the stable C=O group in GVL, leading to further ring-opening to 1,4-PDO and the subsequent cyclization to 2-MTHF. Here, again, [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃-based catalysts were the most selective for the LA conversion to GVL.
The conversion of LA increased with the available active site up to 1.0 g [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3, whereas increasing the loading beyond 1.0 g exhibited no change in LA conversion (Figure 12). A total of 0.8 g catalyst loading was found to be important for the selective production of GVL over [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3, but further increasing the catalyst loading gave ~15.7% 2-MTHF. With >99.0% GVL yield, [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3 is once again proving to be the best catalyst for GVL production.
Metal leaching was examined by measuring Ni, Cu, and Co concentrations in the reaction mixture after the reaction was completed and the result is summarized in Table S4. In general, no significant metals were found in the reaction mixture, implying negligible leaching. Ni was the least leached metal. The % Co leached was low compared to % Cu leached [32]. The strong interaction between Co and Al species was shown to stabilize the Co particles against leaching and sintering [33]. Results suggest that low metal leaching can be achieved if the LA conversion is 100% and no Al is present in the reaction mixture. The recyclability of [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ was assessed through three consecutive cycles, indicating the high stability of the catalyst (Figure S3).

### 2.3. Comparison with Previous Literature

A comparison of the catalysts in this study and other previously reported catalytic systems for the solvent-free hydrogenation of LA to GVL is presented in Table 2. Most of the existing literature reported...
the high activity of Ru-based catalysts for carbonyl hydrogenation. Ru-based homogeneous catalysts also exhibited excellent catalytic performance for this reaction. However, these types of catalysts suffer from serious drawbacks concerning their homogeneity, high cost, and limited reserves. To solve these problems, transition-metal-based catalysts were developed. Nonetheless, their disadvantages involved metal leaching and catalyst recyclability, hence hindering their industrial application for GVL production.

Table 2. Comparison table with the existing catalytic systems.

| Catalysts                          | Solvent-Free Hydrogenation of LA to GVL | Ref. |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| Commercial Ru/C                    | Temp. (°C) 190 H2 Pressure (bar) 12 Time (h) 0.75 LA Conv. (%) 100 GVL Yield (%) 100 | [29] |
| Shvo catalyst (Ru-based)           | 100 50 5 100 99.5 | [34] |
| Ru/FLG                            | 37 40 58 100 93.5 | [35] |
| Pyrazolylphosphite and Pyrazolylphosphinite Ru (II) complexes | Temp. (°C) 110 15 12 100 100 | [36] |
| Co/SiO2                           | 200 30 10 (20) 100 80 (98) | [25] |
| Ni/Al2O3                          | 200 50 4 92 92 | [37] |
| [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3                  | 220 30 6 100 83 This work |
| [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3                  | 220 30 6 100 >99 This work |

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials

Levulinic acid (LA) was purchased from Acros Organics, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA. For the support and catalyst synthesis, aluminum isopropoxide (C9H21AlO3) and the metal nitrates Ni (NO3)2·6H2O, Cu (NO3)·3H2O, and Co (NO3)2·6H2O were procured from Sigma Aldrich St. Louis, MO, USA. The purchased chemicals were used without further purifications.

3.2. Methods

3.2.1. Catalyst Synthesis

Preparation of γ-Al2O3 Nanofibers

γ-Al2O3 nanofibers were synthesized according to a formerly reported procedure [38,39] with some modifications. In a typical synthesis of γ-Al2O3 nanofibers 50 g aluminum, isopropoxide was dissolved in 300 mL isopropyl alcohol at 80 °C for 2 h. A 40 mL volume of 0.28 M acetic acid was added dropwise, and the solution refluxed for 4 h under stirring. The following solution was then moved to a Teflon-lined stainless-steel reactor and heated in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h. The solid obtained after filtration was washed multiple times with deionized water and ethanol and dried at 110 °C overnight. Subsequent calcination at 600 °C for 5 h in air with a ramping rate of 2 °C/min resulted in γ-Al2O3 nanofibers.

Catalyst Preparation

The catalysts were synthesized by the incipient impregnation method. In a typical preparation of 35 wt.% Ni-Cu/Al2O3 catalyst, an aqueous solution containing the calculated amounts of the required metal precursors (Ni (NO3)2·6H2O and Cu (NO3)·3H2O) with the desired ratio of metals was firstly impregnated on the as-synthesized γ-Al2O3 fibers. This mixture was vigorously stirred for 12 h and subsequently dried at 110 °C overnight. The resulting powder was calcined in static air at 500 °C for 4 h. For the H2 reduction, the catalyst was subjected to a 10% H2/Ar gas flow at 500 °C for 2 h at a ramping rate of 10 °C/min in a tube furnace. The Ni-Co and Cu-Co-based catalysts were also prepared with this procedure but with the use of their corresponding metal nitrates.
3.2.2. Catalyst Characterization

To evaluate the textural properties (surface area, pore volume, and pore size) of the catalysts, the nitrogen physical adsorption–desorption method was performed using the BELSORP-miniII instrument (Bel Japan Inc., Osaka, Japan). Before the analysis, the catalysts were degassed in a vacuum at 110 °C for 4 h. To study the crystallinity, X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements, in the 2θ range of 20° to 80°, were performed using a Rigaku D-Max2500-PC diffractometer (Rigaku International Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). To investigate the morphology, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was conducted in a JEOL JEM-3011HR microscope (JEOL GmbH, Freising, Germany). The samples were prepared by sonicating a small amount of the catalysts in ethanol and depositing it onto a JEM-3011HR microscope. To examine the elemental composition, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using XPS Thermo, Esc lab 250 xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). To investigate the reducibility and acidity of the catalysts, hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) and ammonia temperature-programmed desorption (NH₃-TPD) were performed, respectively. For the pretreatment of the catalysts for both H₂-TPR and NH₃-TPD, the samples were subjected to 50 mL/min helium flow at 100 °C for 2 h. The H₂-TPR profiles of the calcined samples were recorded in the range of 100–800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a 10%H₂/Ar gas flow. The consumption of hydrogen was monitored using a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). For NH₃-TPD, the reduced samples were subjected to a 50 mL/min flow of 5% NH₃/He to adsorb the ammonia. For the desorption of the ammonia, the furnace temperature was increased from 100 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min under a helium flow of 30 mL/min. The elemental contents of the catalysts and metal leaching were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) iCAP 7400DUO (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The catalysts (20 mg) were digested in aquaria (HNO₃: HCl; 1:3) for 2 h and diluted to 25 mL by distilled water.

3.2.3. Activity Tests

Hydrogenation of Levulinic Acid

The solvent-free hydrogenation of LA to GVL was conducted in a 100 mL stainless steel (SUS 316 L) high-pressure batch reactor. In a representative reaction run, 20 mL of LA and 1 g of catalyst were loaded into the reactor. The reactor was then sealed and initially purged three times with argon gas to eliminate traces of O₂ and air before pressurizing it with H₂ gas to the desired reaction pressure. After reaching the desired pressure, the reactor was heated up to the set temperature. The start of the reaction was the time when the target temperature was attained. After the reaction, the reactor was cooled to room temperature, and the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture through filtration.

Product Qualification and Quantification

For the quantification of the products, the purified product was analyzed in Waters High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an Agilent Hi-Plex C-6 column (7.7 mm × 300 mm × 8 μm) and a refractive index detector (Santa Clara, CA, USA). A 5 μm H₂SO₄ solution was used as the mobile phase. The conversion of LA and selectivity towards GVL were calculated using the following equations:

\[
\text{LA conversion} (%) = \frac{\text{LA in feed} - \text{LA in effluent}}{\text{LA in feed}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{GVL selectivity} (%) = \frac{\text{GVL in effluent}}{\text{LA in feed} - \text{LA in effluent}} \times 100\%
\]

4. Conclusions

Monometallic Ni, Cu, Co, and bimetallic Ni-Cu, Ni-Co, and Co-Cu catalysts supported on γ-Al₂O₃ nanofibers were synthesized by the incipient impregnation method and applied for the solvent-free
hydrogenation of LA to GVL. The γ-Al2O3 nanofiber support was found to be important as the reaction between metal precursor and OH group on the surface of the γ-Al2O3 nanofiber results in a strong metal–support interaction, providing additional Lewis acid sites, as confirmed by the NH3-TPD. Of the examined metals, Ni was the most active metal for LA conversion, but its selectivity to GVL was found to be deficient. Co has lower conversion with the lowest selectivity to GVL, whereas Cu has the lowest conversion with the highest selectivity to GVL. These results suggest that the monometallic catalysts need improvement to achieve both high conversion and selectivity. The bimetallic catalysts showed improved activity and selectivity. The high activity of the bimetallic catalysts can be attributed to the cooperative effect between M1-M2 species yielding both metal and acid sites responsible for the conversion of LA to AL and the conversion of AL to GVL, respectively. It was shown that a suitable Ni/Cu, Ni/Co, and Co/Cu ratio was valuable to improve the conversion of LA and the selectivity to GVL in the solvent-free hydrogenation by enhancing the balance between metal and acid sites on the surface of the bimetallic catalysts. In all metal combinations, a 2:1 M1/M2 ratio was found to be ideal. Values of >99.0%, ~83.0%, and ~65.0% GVL yield were obtained over [2:1] Ni-Cu/Al2O3, [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3, and [2:1] Co-Cu/Al2O3, respectively. [2:1] Ni-Co/Al2O3, and [2:1] Co-Cu/Al2O3 showed promising selectivities to 2-MTHF and 1,4-PDO, correspondingly. These findings provide a new perspective in the solvent-free hydrogenation of LA, with new possibilities for applications in the solvent-free production of 1,4-PDO and 2-MTHF.
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