Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of *Rhododendron canescens*, a Native Azalea for Urban Landscaping
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**Abstract.** *Rhododendron canescens* is a deciduous azalea native to the southeastern United States that is used in landscaping due to its ornamental qualities. A genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach was taken to characterize the genetic structure and diversity of a *R. canescens* germplasm collection. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by two software platforms, STACKS and GBS-SNP-CROP. Three distinct *R. canescens* populations were detected by STRUCTURE analysis with GBS-SNP-CROP data, whereas two populations were distinguished using STACKS data. Principal component analysis (PCA) with data from both SNP pipelines supported the presence of three populations. Statistical results indicated that there was low genetic differentiation between the populations, but relatively high genetic diversity within populations. The inbreeding coefficient of the *R. canescens* accessions was low, which would be expected with an outcrossing species. These results suggest that there may be a significant level of gene flow between populations of *R. canescens*.

*Rhododendron canescens* (Michaux) Sweet is a deciduous shrub commonly known as Piedmont azalea or sweet azalea. It is a diploid species (2n = 26) that has a native range in the southern United States from Texas to North Carolina. *R. canescens* is a member of the *Rhododendron* section *Pentanthera*, a group of interfertile species that generally maintain species identity through habitat preference and flowering time. Twelve species of section *Pentanthera* are native to regions of the southeastern United States. Where *R. canescens* populations overlap with other native azalea species, hybridization and introgression have been demonstrated (King, 1977; Kron et al., 1993).

*R. canescens* is of value as an ornamental landscaping plant due to its showy, scented flowers; wide geographic distribution; and lace bug resistance (Galle, 1967; Wang et al., 1998). It is one of the first native azaleas to bloom and could benefit native pollinators in urban landscapes in early spring (Mader et al., 2011). Cultivars of *R. canescens* and *R. canescens* hybrids are available currently for a niche market. *R. canescens* has architectural characteristics that may limit more widespread use in urban settings, namely an open growth habit and height up to 5 m. We are interested in looking for genetic variation in wild germplasm that could be used to develop a more compact phenotype for landscaping.

Genetic analysis of species in *Rhododendron* section *Pentanthera* has been conducted using DNA sequences of the internal transcribed spacer region of rRNA genes (Scheiber et al., 2000) and the chloroplast *matK* and *trnK* intron region (Kurashige et al., 2001). These studies found little sequence variation among species of the section *Pentanthera*, suggesting that they are a closely related group. Low genetic diversity among section *Pentanthera* species was further observed in a study with several hundred amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers (Chappell et al., 2008). In that study, AFLP analysis was also used to investigate variation between and within populations of individual azalea species, including *R. canescens*. In contrast to the low level of variation found between populations and species, a high level of variation was observed within populations. Variation within *R. canescens* populations may be due in part to hybridization and introgression from other native azalea species (Chappell et al., 2008).

We obtained leaf samples from 290 *R. canescens* genotypes, with a long-term objective of screening for variation in genes known to control plant height and branching. We first investigated the genetic diversity of a representative subset of this collection using GBS. Through GBS analysis, thousands of SNP markers can be generated and used to examine genetic diversity in nonmodel species (Peterson et al., 2014). We used SNP genotypes to characterize the genetic structure and diversity of the *R. canescens* germplasm collection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material collection.** Young leaves were collected from 247 *R. canescens* plants from 18 sites across Georgia, primarily within the Piedmont ecoregion (Omnerrn and Griffith 2014). Plants sampled at each site were at least 10 m apart and the species was confirmed based on floral characteristics as described by Kron (1993). The GPS coordinates of the plants were recorded, and the samples were frozen and stored at –80 °C until further use. In addition, silica-dried leaves from 43 *R. canescens* plants were received from collaborators in Georgia and northern Florida. The locations of the accessions used for GBS analysis are shown in Supplemental Table 1.

**GBS library preparation and sequencing.** Approximately 150 mg of frozen leaf tissue was ground using a TissueLyser bead mill (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and DNA was isolated using an E.Z.N.A. HP Plant DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA), following the manufacturer’s protocols. The DNA quantity was measured with a Qubit 2.0 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using a Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit. DNA quality was determined by analysis with a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and electrophoresis through 0.8% agarose. A subset of 96 samples was chosen for GBS that were of high DNA quality and representative of 16 collection sites in Georgia and acquisitions from two collaborators.

DNA samples (250 ng) were digested with *MspI* and *PstI* at 37 °C for 2 h in a 96-well plate. Barcoded *PstI* adapters and *MspI* Y-Adapters were ligated to the digested DNA fragments, as described in Qi et al., (2018). Small DNA fragments (~400 bp) were eliminated using a Mag-Bind RxnPure Plus kit (Omega Bio-Tek). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted with each sample individually using a barcode-specific forward primer and a common adapter-specific reverse primer using the following conditions: 95 °C for 30 seconds, then 16 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 62 °C for 20 s, 68 °C for 15 s, followed by 68 °C for 5 min. Following cleanup with a Mag-Bind RxnPure Plus kit, PCR products were quantified by
SYBR green fluorometry on a plate reader. The PCR products of the 96 samples were pooled (5 ng each), and the library was quantified using a Qubit 2.0. The GBS library was sequenced with an Illumina NextSeq 500 mid output flow cell by the Georgia Genomics Facility (Athens, GA), generating single-end reads of 150 bp in length.

Sequence data processing. FastQC (Leggett et al., 2013) was used to determine the quality of the sequence data. The sequence data were then processed using two software packages, STACKS v.1.44 (Catchen et al., 2013) and GBS-SNP-CROP (Melo et al., 2016). For STACKS, the raw sequence reads were filtered and trimmed to the length of 115 bp. STACKS analyses were performed using the following pipeline: process_radtags – ustacks – cstacks – sstack – populations for diploid species, with 0.05 minor allele frequency. This generated a VCF file of the SNP matrix and initial population statistics.

For GBS-SNP-CROP analysis, raw GBS data were parsed to remove barcode sequences and cut sites and then trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) to a uniform length (115 bp). The minimum phred score was set to 20 and the sliding window to 4 bp. Sequence reads were aligned using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool (Li and Durbin 2009) to a mock reference developed from *R. canescens* accession DA09. The binary matrix was generated and parsed using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) in the downstream steps. Using the default settings for the diploid crop, SNP master matrix was generated followed by SNP calling.

Analysis of SNP data. The ancestral population clusters of *R. canescens* were established with the admixture model of STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al., 2000) 3 to 10 parallel Markov chains with a burn-in of 100,000 iterations and a run length of 1,000,000 iterations following the burn-in. The STRUCTURE Harvester program was used to determine natural logarithms of probability data [LnP(K)] and the AK. STRUCTURE PLOT version 2.0 was used to create visual structure charts (Ramosamy et al., 2014). PCA was conducted in R version 3.5.1 using the PCAdapt package (Dufour-Rébourg et al., 2014) with 87 accessions that met threshold requirements. A weighted neighbor-joining tree was created in DARwin v6.0 using the default settings and data imported from the Genepop output file of STACKS (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The variant call file was used to manually make a numeric file with 0, 1, and 2 representing, respectively, homozygous reference alleles, heterozygous alleles, and homozygous alternate alleles. Nei statistics (Nei and Roychoudhury 1974) were calculated using R software (version 3.5.1; 2 July 2018) to estimate the genetic distance among the 87 accessions and the three population clusters determined by the PCA analysis. R was used to calculate all the population statistics using HierFstat (De Meeus and Goudet 2007) and adegenet (Jombart 2008) function. This included gene diversity (DST) and corrected gene diversity (DSTP) among individuals, the overall gene diversity (Ht) and corrected gene diversity (Htp) among populations, the fixation index (FST) and corrected (FSTP) based on population, and the inbreeding coefficient (FIS). The overall observed
heterozygosity ($H_O$) and genetic diversity ($H_S$) within population was estimated based on mean allele frequency. $G_{ST}$, the proportion of species genetic diversity in relation to among-population variation, was calculated as $1 - (H_S / H_T)$.

**Results**

**GBS sequence data.** Genetic analysis was conducted with *R. canescens* samples collected from 16 sites in Georgia (Fig. 1). Single-end sequencing of a GBS library of 96 *R. canescens* accessions yielded 167,783,620 sequence reads. FastQC analysis indicated that the raw sequences were of good quality, with an average length ranging from 120 to 135 bp and an average GC content of 46%. The read depth count (Fig. 2) indicated an even coverage of the *R. canescens* genome. After filtering, 57% of the sequences were retained as high-quality reads.

**SNP identification and analysis.** SNPs were identified and analyzed from *R. canescens* accessions with high-quality sequences and no missing data using STACKS and GBS-SNP-CROP software tools. A total of 3955 high-quality SNPs were called by STACKS from 91 accessions. These polymorphic sites comprised 0.85% of the total loci examined. The observed heterozygosity and homozygosity present in our GBS data were 0.1958 and 0.8042, respectively. This matched the expected heterozygosity and homozygosity of 0.2557 and 0.7443 respectively. The inbreeding coefficient $F_{IS}$ of the *R. canescens* lines was 0.2437, indicative of an outcrossing population. All the statistics were calculated for variant sites.

In contrast to the de novo analysis of GBS data using STACKS, a reference-based analysis was conducted with GBS-SNP-CROP software. Because the *R. canescens* genome has not been sequenced, a mock reference was developed using GBS data of one of the accessions (DA09), and all other sample reads were aligned to this reference. After filtering, 3185 high-quality SNPs were called by GBS-SNP-CROP from 96 accessions.

**Population structure analysis.** The genetic structure of the *R. canescens* collection was examined through a STRUCTURE analysis of SNP data. Using GBS-SNP-CROP data, three populations (P1–P3) were identified based on LnP(K) variance and delta K value (Fig. 3A and B). When STRUCTURE was conducted with STACKS data, two *R. canescens* populations were identified.

---

**Fig. 3.** STRUCTURE analysis. (A) Support for three optimal clusters based on delta K estimates from GBS-SNP-CROP data. (B) STRUCTURE results with GBS-SNP-CROP data. (C) STRUCTURE results with STACKS data.
The inbreeding coefficient ($F_{IS}$) of the population under study is 0.2163, a low value that would be expected with an outcrossing species. $F_{IS}$ was positive, indicating that individuals within the population are more related than expected under a random mating model. Nei genetic diversity and genetic gain were also estimated among the 87 $R$. canescens accessions and between the population clusters identified by PCA. This analysis found more variation among the individuals than between the three population clusters (Supplemental Table 2), in agreement with previous results.

**Conclusions**

A genotyping-by-sequencing approach was taken to characterize the genetic structure and diversity of a $R$. canescens germplasm collection. SNPs were identified by two software platforms, STACKS and GBS-SNP-CROP, and a genome-wide genetic variant file was developed. The genetic variation present in the germplasm collection was examined by STRUCTURE, a model-based Bayesian analysis, and PCA, a distance-based method. Taken together, these analyses indicated that there were three population clusters present among the accessions analyzed.

The STRUCTURE results varied depending on whether SNP data from the GBS-SNP-CROP or the STACKS pipeline were used. STRUCTURE with GBS-SNP-CROP and STACKS data identified two and three population clusters, respectively. These different outcomes may be because STACKS analysis involved de novo assembly, whereas GBS-SNP-CROP was reference genome-based. The largest population cluster of 48 accessions (P1) was the same for both methods, but the remaining accessions were partitioned by STRUCTURE into two clusters of 22 accessions (P2) and 17 accessions (P3) when using GBS-SNP-CROP data. PCA of SNP data from GBS-SNP-CROP or STACKS supported the presence of three population clusters.

An analysis of $R$. canescens genetic diversity was included in a prior study (Chappell et al., 2008) that examined four $R$. canescens populations (six accessions each) with AFLP markers. Similar to that study, our investigation found a low $G_{ST}$ value, indicating that the proportion of diversity between populations was low, while the proportion of diversity within populations was high. Minimal differentiation between $R$. canescens populations was also indicated by the low $F_{ST}$ value. Chappell et al. (2008) suggested that this may be the result of gene flow between populations due to insect pollination. $R$. canescens is known to be pollinated by bumblebees, adrenid bees, butterflies, and hummingbirds. Populations P1 and P2 are geographically close, whereas accessions of P3 had more diverse origins. Introgression from other species of section Pentanthera may also have played a role in similarity found between populations.

Genetic markers, including SNPs and cpDNA loci, have been used to examine the genetic structure of a Japanese evergreen azalea species, $R$. indicum (Yoichi et al., 2018). SNPs were identified by multiplexed ISSR GBS (MIG-seq). Two genetically distinct lineages were detected, both of which
had DNA introgressed from geographically close populations of *R. kaempferi*. MIG-seq was also used to investigate rhododendron plants in a hybrid zone between two natural varieties of *R. japonoheptamerum* (Tamaki et al., 2017). SNP analysis distinguished the varieties and their hybrids and provided the basis for estimating that hybridization occurred 0.4 million years ago. In our investigation, GBS provided a cost-efficient means of generating SNP markers for genetic characterization of *R. canescens* germplasm collection. The high level of genetic diversity found within this collection indicates that screening for allelic variation in genes controlling architecture could be a viable approach to accelerate the breeding of plants with improved form.
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| Line  | County   | Latitude  | Longitude   | Line  | County   | Latitude  | Longitude   |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Ca01  | Carroll  | 33.57245  | -85.04852   | Mo01  | Morgan   | 33.65540  | -83.61653   |
| Ca14  | Carroll  | 33.54614  | -85.04441   | Mo03  | Morgan   | 33.65514  | -83.61713   |
| Ca18  | Carroll  | 33.54668  | -85.04494   | Mo05  | Morgan   | 33.65445  | -83.61868   |
| Ca32  | Carroll  | 35.65008  | -85.17533   | Mo08  | Morgan   | 33.65512  | -83.61947   |
| Ca44  | Carroll  | 33.65068  | -85.17430   | Oc03  | Oconee   | 33.87883  | -83.39539   |
| Ca46  | Carroll  | 33.65063  | -85.17417   | Oc07  | Oconee   | 33.87640  | -83.40014   |
| Ca51  | Carroll  | 33.64814  | -85.17612   | Oc22  | Oconee   | 33.76105  | -83.43979   |
| Ca58  | Carroll  | 33.63437  | -85.15925   | Oc23  | Oconee   | 33.76098  | -83.44091   |
| Cl07  | Clarke   | 33.89047  | -83.33408   | Oc26  | Oconee   | 33.76429  | -83.44675   |
| CL09  | Clarke   | 33.89076  | -83.33487   | Og03  | Oglethorpe | 33.91118 | -83.02078 |
| CI14  | Clarke   | 33.88303  | -83.33852   | Og04  | Oglethorpe | 33.91141  | -83.02066   |
| CI17  | Clarke   | 33.88130  | -83.33830   | Og05  | Oglethorpe | 33.90928  | -83.02125   |
| CL61  | Clarke   | 33.88374  | -83.35267   | Og07  | Oglethorpe | 33.90935  | -83.02139   |
| CL63  | Clarke   | 33.88437  | -83.36063   | Og08  | Oglethorpe | 34.02697  | -83.07278   |
| Cl65  | Clarke   | 33.89089  | -83.36238   | Og10  | Oglethorpe | 34.02684  | -83.07307   |
| LK01  | Cook     | 31.16172  | -83.53811   | Og16  | Oglethorpe | 34.02694  | -83.07237   |
| LK03  | Cook     | 31.16172  | -83.53811   | JF02  | Cobb     | 33.94172  | -84.57662   |
| LK05  | Cook     | 31.16172  | -83.53811   | JF04  | Cobb     | 33.94172  | -84.57662   |
| Da01  | Dawson   | 34.41637  | -84.14716   | JF07  | Cobb     | 33.94172  | -84.57662   |
| Da03  | Dawson   | 34.39468  | -84.17148   | JF10  | Cobb     | 33.94172  | -84.57662   |
| Da08  | Dawson   | 34.35931  | -84.24453   | Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Da09  | Dawson   | 34.35934  | -84.24450   | 00-1-27 Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Da09  | Douglas  | 33.74321  | -84.86668   | 05-6(3) Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Da09  | Douglas  | 33.74380  | -84.86696   | 98-1-5 Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Da09  | Douglas  | 33.74504  | -84.86785   | Dark Pink Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Da09  | Douglas  | 33.74523  | -84.87657   | Early canescens Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Fu01  | Fulton   | 33.65053  | -84.65340   | Heavy canescens Spalding | 33.26109 | -84.29274     |
| Fu02  | Fulton   | 33.65125  | -84.65295   | Ch01  | *        |
| Fu06  | Fulton   | 33.65075  | -84.65223   | Ch03  | *        |
| Fu08  | Fulton   | 33.64920  | -84.65215   | Ch05  | *        |
| Fu11  | Fulton   | 34.01057  | -84.35560   | Ch08  | *        |
| Fu15  | Fulton   | 34.01305  | -84.35515   | RM1   | *        |
| Fu16  | Fulton   | 34.01269  | -84.35479   | RM2   | *        |
| CE01  | Jasper   | 33.45795  | -83.73763   | RM3   | *        |
| CE08  | Jasper   | 33.46186  | -83.73158   | RM4   | *        |
| CE14  | Jasper   | 33.46301  | -83.73026   | RM6   | *        |
| CE16  | Jasper   | 33.45920  | -83.73756   | RM7   | *        |
| La01  | Lamar    | 33.08066  | -84.09875   | RM8   | *        |
| La05  | Lamar    | 33.08066  | -84.09875   | RM9   | *        |
| La09  | Lamar    | 33.08066  | -84.09875   | Can2_RM01 | *        |
| La13  | Lamar    | 33.08066  | -84.09875   | Can2_RM02 | *        |
| Cg02  | Meriwether | 32.82968 | -84.85873   | Can2_RM04 | *        |
| Cg07  | Meriwether | 32.82404 | -84.86041   | Can1_RM05 | *        |
| Cg10  | Meriwether | 32.81652 | -84.86139   | Can1_RM06 | *        |
| Cg12  | Meriwether | 32.81641 | -84.86108   | Can1_RM07 | *        |
| Cg13  | Meriwether | 32.81646 | -84.86093   | C*V_RM8  | *        |
| Cg14  | Meriwether | 32.81657 | -84.85880   | C*V_RM09 | *        |

1Accessions from collaborator 1.
2Accessions from collaborator 2.
Supplemental Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships of *Rhododendron canescens* accessions. A weighted neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree created in DARwin v6.0.