Free-standing graphene/bismuth vanadate monolith composite as a binder-free electrode for symmetrical supercapacitors
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Preparation of new types of electrode material is of great importance to supercapacitors. Herein, a graphene/bismuth vanadate (GR/BiVO₄) free-standing monolith composite has been prepared via a hydrothermal process. Flexible GR sheets act as a skeleton in the GR/BiVO₄ monolith composites. When used as a binder-free electrode in a three-electrode system, the GR/BiVO₄ composite electrode can provide an impressive specific capacitance of 479 F g⁻¹ in a potential window of −1.1 to 0.7 V vs. SCE at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹. A symmetrical supercapacitor cell which can be reversibly charged–discharged at a cell voltage of 1.6 V has been assembled based on this GR/BiVO₄ monolith composite. The symmetrical capacitor can deliver an energy density of 45.69 W h kg⁻¹ at a power density of 800 W kg⁻¹. Moreover, it ensures rapid energy delivery of 10.75 W h kg⁻¹ with a power density of 40 kW kg⁻¹.

1. Introduction

Energy storage and conversion have played big roles in the history of human civilization. Nowadays, nobody can imagine how to live without electrochemical energy storage systems such as mobile phones, iPads, personal computers, and so on. Supercapacitors, also called electrochemical capacitors, hold great promise for energy storage and power supply due to their high power densities, long cycle lives, high efficiencies, environmental friendliness, safety and so on. It has been demonstrated that supercapacitors can be used as hybrid power sources, backup power sources, starting power for fuel cells, and for burst-power generation in electronic devices. However, the limited energy densities of supercapacitors hinder their applications for energy storage. As is well known, electrode materials are the key factor affecting the capacitive properties of supercapacitors. So preparing electrode materials with high capacitances, long cycling lives and high working voltages is desirable for supercapacitors with high energy densities.

Carbon materials, metal oxides and conducting polymers are the classic electrode materials for supercapacitors. Carbon materials (active carbon, graphene, carbon nanotubes, and so on) are representative of electrical double layer capacitor (EDLC) electrode materials, and these only show pure physical charge accumulation rather than electrochemical reactions occurring at the electrode/electrolyte interface during charge–discharge processes. Metal oxides (RuO₂, MnO₂, V₂O₅, Mn₃O₄, NiO, etc.) and conducting polymers (polyaniline and polypyrrole) are typical pseudocapacitor electrode materials, and they store charges mainly through electrochemical reactions during charge–discharge processes. Pseudocapacitors have been proven to supply much higher specific capacitances and energy densities than EDLCs. Exploiting new kinds of pseudocapacitor electrodes remains of great importance to supercapacitors.

BiVO₄ has been widely used as a pigment in our daily lives (e.g. in traffic signs) due to its non-toxicity and bright yellow color. With a band-gap of 2.4 eV and chemical stability in aqueous solutions under irradiation, monoclinic BiVO₄ has been widely used as a photocatalyst and a photoelectrode. Most recently, it has been demonstrated that BiVO₄ can act as an electrode material for supercapacitors owing to its excellent physicochemical properties and stability. However, BiVO₄ possesses the common fault of poor electrical conductivity as a metal oxide, which hampers capacitance retention and rate capability during fast charge–discharge processes. Therefore, improving electrical conductivity is be crucial for the use of BiVO₄-based materials in supercapacitors.

Graphene (GR) is believed to be a prime candidate for an electrode material for EDLCs due to the fact that it is one-atom thick, has excellent mechanical flexibility, superior electrical conductivity and so on. GR can give an encouraging EDLC of 550 F g⁻¹ if all of the theoretical specific surface area can be fully utilized. Generally, pure GR possesses unsatisfactory capacitive properties resulting from the partial sacrifice of specific surface area during the formation of irreversible agglomerates. For
this reason, GR is usually used to form composites with pseudocapacitor electrode materials to achieve excellent capacitive properties.18–20

Although there are some reports based on GR/BiVO₄ composites for supercapacitors, all of these materials are in the form of powders, and thus the conductive and binder additives must be added when the electrodes are prepared. Ultimately, the specific capacitances of BiVO₄ electrodes would be reduced due to the increasing of the electrode masses. Binder-free electrodes based on BiVO₄ for supercapacitors are rarely reported. In this work, a novel graphene/bismuth vanadate (GR/BiVO₄) free-standing monolith composite has been prepared by hydrothermal technology. GR nanosheets play a big part in the GR/BiVO₄ monolith composites, not just as a skeleton, but also as a conductive agent. The GR/BiVO₄ composites can be used as binder-free electrode materials for supercapacitors and give a high specific capacitance of 479 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹ in 2.0 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution. A symmetrical supercapacitor which can be reversibly charged–discharged at a cell voltage of 1.6 V has been assembled. The symmetrical capacitor can deliver an energy density of 10.75 W h kg⁻¹ at a power density of 40 kW kg⁻¹ together with good cycling stability.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials preparation

Graphite oxide (GO) was fabricated using crude flake graphite (Qingdao Aoke Co.) as precursor by the classic Hummers method with some modifications.29 A GO homogeneous aqueous dispersion (4 mg mL⁻¹) was obtained by ultrasoundizing a mixture of 400 mg as-prepared GO powders and 100 mL distilled water for 3 hours.

The GR/BiVO₄ free-standing monolith composite was prepared as follows: firstly, 1 mL Bi(NO₃)₃ solution (0.25 mmol Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O dissolved in 1 mL glacial acetic acid) was added to the GO dispersion (35 mL, 4 mg mL⁻¹) drop by drop. Secondly, 1 mL NH₄VO₃ aqueous solution (0.25 mmol NH₄VO₃ dissolved in 1 mL boiling H₂O) was added dropwise into the above mixture, and then ammonium hydroxide was added to make the pH of the solution neutral. After violently stirring for 10 min, the bright yellow dispersion was transferred into an autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 12 h. Finally, the resulting free-standing monolith was removed, and dialyzed with distilled water to a neutral pH. BiVO₄ powders were prepared by the same process without adding the GO dispersion.

The GR free-standing monolith was prepared by heating the GO dispersion (35 mL, 4 mg mL⁻¹) at 180 °C for 12 h.

2.2. Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out using an Ultima IV diffractometer. A Quanta 600 FEG field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEM2010-HR) were used to observe the morphologies of the obtained materials. A Micromeritics ASAP 2020 nitrogen adsorption apparatus was used to investigate the BET surface areas and porous properties following degassing at 120 °C for 3 h below 10⁻³ mmHg. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge–discharge and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of the different electrodes were carried out using a CHI660E electrochemical workstation. Cycle stability measurements were measured using a battery testing system (LAND, ModelCT2001A).

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

The GR/BiVO₄ and GR monolith electrodes used for electrochemical testing were prepared as follows: slices of the materials with thicknesses of ~1 mm (about 3 mg) were first cut from the purified monolith, and then were sandwiched between two stainless steel net layers (500 mesh, one piece: 1.5 cm × 1.5 cm, and the other: 1.5 cm × 10 cm) under a pressure of ~6 MPa for 1 min. The mass of the electrode materials in the electrode was determined by calculating the weight difference before and after drying at 110 °C for 12 h.

BiVO₄ electrodes were prepared as follows: BiVO₄ powder, acetylene black and polyvinylidene fluoride (0.02 g mL⁻¹, in N-methyl-ketopyrrolidine) (75 : 20 : 5 by weight) were mixed to obtain a slurry. Then the slurry was sandwiched between two stainless steel net layers (500 mesh, one piece: 1.5 cm × 1.5 cm, and the other piece: 1.5 cm × 10 cm) under a pressure of ~6 MPa for 1 min. The mass of the active materials in the electrode was determined by calculating the weight difference before and after drying at 110 °C for 12 h.

Symmetrical supercapacitors were assembled as follows: two GR/BiVO₄ composite monolith electrodes with the same mass were separated by a glass paper fiber which had been saturated in 2.0 mol L⁻¹ NaOH electrolyte without the removal of oxygen from the solution. The GR/BiVO₄//GR/BiVO₄ symmetrical supercapacitor cells were assembled by placing the electrode and glass fiber layers in stainless steel clamps sandwiched between two nickel foam current collectors.

The specific capacitances C (F g⁻¹) of the electrode in the three-electrode system and the symmetrical supercapacitors, and the energy densities E (W h kg⁻¹) and power densities P (W kg⁻¹) of the supercapacitors were calculated from the galvanostatic charge–discharge results as follows:

\[
C = \frac{I}{(\Delta V)m} \tag{1}
\]

\[
E = \frac{C(\Delta V)^2}{2 \times 3600} \tag{2}
\]

\[
P = \frac{E}{T} \tag{3}
\]

where \(\Delta V = (V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}})\), and \(V_{\text{max}}\) and \(V_{\text{min}}\) are the potentials at the end of charge and discharge, respectively. \(m\) is the active
mass of the electrode or symmetrical supercapacitor (kg), $I$ is the constant current (A) and $t$ is the discharge time (s).

### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1a depicts the XRD patterns of GO, GR, BiVO$_4$ and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite, respectively. GR only exhibits a broad peak centered at 24.1° indicating the formation of a poorly ordered graphite-like material. The XRD pattern of the as-prepared BiVO$_4$ powder gives a crystalline monoclinic phase with lattice constants $a = 0.5185$ nm, $b = 1.1713$ nm and $c = 0.5102$ nm which are in good agreement with literature values (JCPDS card no. 014-0688). The pattern of the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite also exhibits the diffraction peaks of monoclinic BiVO$_4$. The characteristic diffraction peak of GR in the XRD pattern of the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite disappears suggesting that the GR nanosheets are well dispersed in the composite. There are four well-indexed peaks located at 114, 200, 345 and 810 cm$^{-1}$ for both BiVO$_4$ and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite in the Raman spectra (Fig. 1b), which clearly demonstrate that BiVO$_4$ was obtained in the final products. Two peaks at 1349 and 1596 cm$^{-1}$ in the Raman spectra provide the evidence that GR remains in the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite.

From the digital photos of GR and the GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith (Fig. 2a), we can see that the size of the GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith is greater than that of the GR monolith although the same reaction vessel was used. Pure BiVO$_4$ was obtained in the form of a powder compared with the monolith-like GR/BiVO$_4$ composite, suggesting that the GR nanosheets act as a skeleton while the BiVO$_4$ particles act as spacers to pillar GR, and ultimately give a larger sized product. FESEM images of GR, BiVO$_4$ and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite are shown in Fig. 2b–f. The GR monolith exhibits a loose and porous morphology due to the random stacking of GR nanosheets. BiVO$_4$ gives a special spruce dendritic-like morphology formed by a number of branches with length sizes in the range 0.3–1 μm, and the full unit is supported by a unique backbone with a length of about 5 μm. It is reported that such a structure can supply high porosity which favors the access of electrolyte ions.

A close look at Fig. 2d clearly reveals that the GR nanosheets insert randomly into the BiVO$_4$ particles. BiVO$_4$ in the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite give a totally different morphology compared with that of pure BiVO$_4$ particles. It seems that the backbones of the spruce dendrites become shorter and the branches grow longer and thicker. During the hydrothermal reaction, the growth of BiVO$_4$ particles was limited by steric hindrance due to the presence of GO nanosheets. In order to achieve a minimal total specific surface free energy, BiVO$_4$ particles have to adjust their structures and finally attain a flower-like morphology. Most interestingly, BiVO$_4$ in the composite displays hollow branch tips with a wall thickness of 1 μm (Fig. 2f), and such a morphology is beneficial for the accommodation of electrolyte ions.

TEM was employed to monitor the microstructures of GR, BiVO$_4$ and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composites. Fig. 3a shows a silk-like morphology which is composed of ultrathin GR nanosheets with sizes of several hundreds of micrometers. BiVO$_4$ presents a spruce dendritic-like morphology surrounded with many branches, and the sizes are consistent with those from the FESEM results. From Fig. 3c and d, we can see that the BiVO$_4$ particles with different sizes randomly exist on the GR nanosheets. Just owing to the existence of BiVO$_4$ particles, the reassembling of GR could be prevented to some degree. Generally, GR can improve the electrical performance of the composite and also facilitate fast transportation of electrons during electrochemical reactions. It is expected that the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite could give an excellent electrochemical performance for supercapacitors.

The porous nature of the GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith was studied with $N_2$ adsorption and desorption tests (Fig. 4a). The GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith exhibits a type IV isotherm with a H3 hysteresis loop at high relative pressure, indicating the existence of plentiful mesopores. The BET method reveals a specific surface area of 36 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for the GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith, which is between that of the GR monolith (77.5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and BiVO$_4$ powder (3.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). The pores constructed by the GR nanosheets and BiVO$_4$ particles are mainly mesopores with a pore size distribution of 3–50 nm (calculated from the desorption data using the Barret–Joyner–Halenda model) and an average pore size of about 23.5 nm (Fig. 4b). Because the hydrated ions can easily access the exterior and interior pore surfaces during the charge–discharge process, this GR/BiVO$_4$ monolith with a moderate specific surface area and appropriate pore size could improve...
both the main pseudocapacitance of BiVO$_4$ and the EDLC capacitance of GR.

The electrochemical behavior of BiVO$_4$, the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite and GR was studied by performing CV, galvanostatic charge–discharge and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests, respectively. CV measurements were performed at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ in the potential window of $-1.1$ V to $0.7$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 5a). The GR electrode exhibits a sharp current increase when the potential is over $0.4$ V vs. SCE, indicating an unsuitable potential window. For the BiVO$_4$ electrode, a single peak with a high current around $-0.72$ V vs. SCE for the reduction was obtained which was assigned to the reduction of Bi$^{3+}$ to Bi$^{0}$, on the other side, the two main anodic peaks were obtained at $-0.62$ V and $-0.43$ V for the oxidation of Bi$^{0}$ to Bi$^{+}$ and Bi$^{+}$ to Bi$^{3+}$, respectively. The small peak located between $0.42$ and $0.55$ V vs. SCE in Fig. 5a may result from Na$^+$ insertion and de-insertion reactions, and this phenomenon has been previously reported for vanadium oxide. The CV profile of the GR/BiVO$_4$ electrode matched well with that of the BiVO$_4$ electrode demonstrating that the redox peaks are due to BiVO$_4$ and the presence of GR does not affect its electrochemical response. Such behavior should be regarded as battery
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**Fig. 2** Digital photos (a) of the free-standing GR and GR/BiVO$_4$ monoliths. FESEM images of GR (b), BiVO$_4$ (c) and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite (d–f), respectively.
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**Fig. 3** TEM images of GR (a), BiVO$_4$ (b) and the GR/BiVO$_4$ composite (c, d), respectively.
Due to the introduction of GR, the electrical conductivity of the GR/BiVO₄ composite is much improved and thus a greater current density compared to the pure BiVO₄ electrode is obtained in the same potential window. This phenomenon is similar to that of a GR/BiVO₄ composite photocatalyst, in which the photo-generated electrons from BiVO₄ nanoparticles could fast transfer to GR nanosheets and therefore an effective charge separation combined with a higher photocatalytic activity was finally obtained.

The specific capacitances of BiVO₄, GR/BiVO₄ and GR were evaluated with galvanostatic charge–discharge measurements and are presented in Fig. 5b. The galvanostatic charge–discharge profile of BiVO₄ is non-symmetric with two different regions (a steep voltage drop and a prolonged plateau of voltage in the total potential range), suggesting the pseudocapacitive nature of this material for charge storage applications. The sudden steep drop within the first few seconds during the discharge section indicates the big internal resistance of BiVO₄. The prolonged plateau of voltage output is due to the involvement of a quasi-Faradaic process in the BiVO₄ electrode. The GR/BiVO₄ electrode gives a similar galvanostatic charge–discharge curve to that of the BiVO₄ electrode in the potential window of −1.1 V to 0.7 V vs. SCE. Using eqn (1), the specific capacitance value of GR/BiVO₄ was found to be 479 F g⁻¹ at 5 A g⁻¹ much higher than that of the BiVO₄ electrode (224 F g⁻¹ at 5 A g⁻¹) or the GR electrode (40 F g⁻¹ at 5 A g⁻¹ in the potential window of −0.7 to 0.4 V vs. SCE).

Fig. 6a shows the CV curves of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode at different scan rates in the potential window of −1.1 to 0.7 V vs. SCE in a three-electrode system. The shape of the CV curve of GR/BiVO₄ is maintained even at a high scan rate of 200 mV s⁻¹, which further confirms the improved pseudocapacitive behavior and the fast diffusion of ions into the BiVO₄. Along with increasing scan rate, the CV curves of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode show the oxidation peaks shifting positively while the reduction ones shift negatively resulting from the internal resistance, and this phenomenon has been widely reported for pseudocapacitor electrode materials. 

Fig. 6b displays the galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode at various current densities of 5–50 A g⁻¹ within the potential window of −1.1–0.7 V vs. SCE. The discharge times get shorter as the current density increases. When the current density is 50 A g⁻¹, the platform in the discharge curve is obscured due to insufficient access time for the electrolyte ions penetrating into the GR/BiVO₄ electrode. Fig. 6c gives the specific capacitances of the BiVO₄, GR/BiVO₄ and GR electrodes at different current densities. A higher
specific capacitance (244 F g⁻¹) for the GR/BiVO₄ electrode is observed compared with that of the BiVO₄ electrode (111 F g⁻¹) at a current density of 50 A g⁻¹. The GR/BiVO₄ electrode exhibits a capacitance retention of nearly 51% even when the current density increased by 10 times. In our previous work, we studied the capacitive properties of a RuO₂/GR composite in H₂SO₄ electrolyte, and the results showed that this RuO₂/GR composite electrode gave a specific capacitance of 479 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 0.25 A g⁻¹ in potential window of 0–1.0 V vs. SCE. The GR/BiVO₄ electrode exhibits a comparable specific capacitance value to that of this RuO₂-based electrode, but a broader potential window is obtained. The specific capacitance of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode is also higher than that of most previously used pseudocapacitor electrode materials. It seems that the specific capacitance values of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode are smaller than those of LDHs (often reported as about 1000 F g⁻¹), but a wide potential window (1.8 V) compared to that of LDHs (normally 0.5 V) is obtained.

After 2500 consecutive charge–discharge cycles at a current density of 10 A g⁻¹, the specific capacitance of the GR/BiVO₄ electrode was 302 F g⁻¹, giving a capacitance retention of 91% (Fig. 5d). The obvious capacitance growth in the initial 1000 cycles could be ascribed to the electrode/electrolyte interface through the wetting process.

The ion diffusion kinetics within the prepared electrodes were monitored by EIS. Fig. 7a shows the Nyquist plots of the BiVO₄, GR and GR/BiVO₄ electrodes within the frequency range 10 mHz to 100 kHz at a signal voltage of 5 mV. At very high frequency, the real part of resistance (Z') of the intercept of the plot with the real axis, represents the equivalent series resistance (Rₛ) provided by the ionic resistance of the electrolyte, the intrinsic resistance of the active materials and the contact resistance with the current collector. At medium–high frequencies, a distinct semicircular loop is observed, which represents charge transfer resistance (Rₘ) at the interface between the electrolytes and electrode. A fitting the EIS spectra through the equivalent circuit diagram (inset in Fig. 7a), the Rₘ values are 4.7, 541.1 and 84.8 Ω for the GR, BiVO₄ and GR/BiVO₄ electrodes, respectively. The BiVO₄ electrode shows the biggest Rₘ value resulting from poor electrical conductivity. The GR/BiVO₄ electrode, however, exhibits a smaller Rₘ value than that of the BiVO₄ electrode, indicating that the electrical conductivity of BiVO₄ electrodes can be improved by adding GR.

The relationship between the total impedance and the frequency for the BiVO₄, GR and GR/BiVO₄ electrodes is shown in Fig. 7b, respectively. At low frequency, electrolyte ions could reach all of the pores with different sizes, and therefore the alternating current signal must penetrate through different depths thereby giving a big resistance. However, the electrolyte ions can only reach the rims of the pores to give small resistance values at high frequency. As exhibited in Fig. 7b, the BiVO₄ electrode shows appalling resistance below 1 Hz, suggesting a disappointing electrical conductivity. The introduction of GR, fortunately, could improve its electrical conductivity, with the
resistance of the GR/BiVO4 electrode located between the GR and BiVO4 electrodes.

Based on the above discussion, the GR/BiVO4 electrode shows an extended potential window from −1.1 to 0.7 V and a high specific capacitance, and so a symmetrical supercapacitor cell was assembled to test its practical capacitive properties. In this symmetrical supercapacitor cell, equal-quality GR/BiVO4 free-standing composites were used as both the negative and positive electrodes. According to the test results in the three-electrode system, the GR/BiVO4//GR/BiVO4 symmetric cell should give a voltage window of 1.8 V, however, a drastic current increasing phenomenon was found when the potential was above 1.6 V, and therefore all the electrochemical tests (CV, galvanostatic charge–discharge profiling, and cycling stability) were performed in the voltage window of 1.6 V. Fig. 8a shows the CV curve of the GR/BiVO4//GR/BiVO4 symmetric cell at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. Normally, no obvious redox peaks in the CV curve indicates that the charge–discharge process of the active materials is carried out at a nearly pseudo-constant rate over the whole potential window. The GR/BiVO4//GR/BiVO4 symmetrical cell exhibits a pair of evident redox peaks centred

Fig. 7 EIS tests of the GR, BiVO4 and GR/BiVO4 composite electrodes, respectively. (a) Nyquist plots measured within the frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz and (b) plot of total impedance versus frequency.

Fig. 8 Capacitive performance of the symmetrical GR/BiVO4//GR/BiVO4 supercapacitor with a cell voltage of 1.6 V: (a) CV curve at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹, (b) galvanostatic charge–discharge curves at different current densities, (c) Ragone plot and (d) variation of specific capacitance retention with cycle number.
at about 0.6 and 0.7 V, resulting from the reversible oxidation and reduction of BiVO4 in the composite electrode.

The charge–discharge curves of the GR/BiVO4/GR/BiVO4 symmetrical supercapacitor cell are shown in Fig. 8b. All curves exhibit a distorted triangular shape, which sharply compares with that of EDLCs which have an isosceles triangular shape. The GR/BiVO4/GR/BiVO4 symmetrical supercapacitor cell shows high specific capacitance values from 128 to 21 F g⁻¹ as the current densities increased from 1 to 50 A g⁻¹.

The Ragone plot of the symmetrical supercapacitor cell charge–discharge process at different current densities is shown in Fig. 8c, and all of the results are based on the mass of the total active materials of the two electrodes. It can be seen that the GR/BiVO4/GR/BiVO4 symmetrical cell shows a significant energy density enhancement (45.69 W h kg⁻¹) at a power density of 0.8 kW kg⁻¹, which is much higher than that of a RuO2/graphene/RuO2/graphene symmetrical cell (~11 W h kg⁻¹ at 76 W kg⁻¹) and a Ni@FeCoO4@MnO2//Ni@FeCoO4@MnO2 cell (22.2 W h kg⁻¹ at 978.3 kW kg⁻¹). The GR/BiVO4/GR/BiVO4 symmetrical cell, moreover, shows a higher energy density than that of some asymmetrical cells such as a GR/V2O5//GR cell (26.22 W h kg⁻¹ at 425 W kg⁻¹), a CoO/C/active carbon cell (30.9 W h kg⁻¹ at 398 W kg⁻¹), RGO-PEDOT:PSS//RGO-CNFMnO2 (21 W h kg⁻¹ at 471 W kg⁻¹), a CoO//AC cell (24.2 W h kg⁻¹ at 600 W kg⁻¹), a NiCo2S4@PPy core–shell/activated carbon cell (43 W h kg⁻¹ at 801 W kg⁻¹), a MnO2-NHCS/NHCS cell (26.8 W h kg⁻¹ at 233 W kg⁻¹), NiCo2S4/active carbon (25.5 W h kg⁻¹ at 334 W kg⁻¹), NiCo2(RO3)3-2(OH)2@NiCo2S4/activated carbon (32.3 W h kg⁻¹ at 1835 W kg⁻¹) and NiCo2O4@NiO/active carbon (31.5 W h kg⁻¹ at 215.2 W kg⁻¹). In particular, an energy density of 10.75 W h kg⁻¹ can be obtained even at a power density of 40 kW kg⁻¹. The excellent capacitive performance can be ascribed to the use of an aqueous electrolyte with high ion conductivity and the synergistic effect between the GR nanosheets and BiVO4 particles. The GR in the composite accelerates the charge transfer through the electric double layer, while the flower-like BiVO4 provides a short diffusion length for the electrolyte and more electrochemically active surface for fast and reversible Faradaic reactions.

The long-term cycling performance of the symmetrical GR/BiVO4/GR/BiVO4 cell was evaluated with consecutive galvanostatic charge–discharge tests, and the experimental results are shown in Fig. 8d. After 1000 cycles at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹, the specific capacitance based on the total mass of the two electrodes is about 68.9 F g⁻¹, which corresponds to 84% of its initial capacitance (82 F g⁻¹).

4. Conclusion

In this work, a free-standing GR/BiVO4 composite has been prepared using a one-pot hydrothermal method. The GR/BiVO4 shows fascinating specific capacitance values and wide potential window when used as a binder-free electrode for supercapacitors. A symmetric cell based on this GR/BiVO4 composite has been assembled. The symmetric supercapacitor can work in a high voltage window of 1.6 V leading to a significantly higher gravimetric energy density of 10.75 W h kg⁻¹ with a high gravimetric power density of 40 kW kg⁻¹. The symmetric supercapacitor, moreover, can deliver an excellent cycling stability (84% retention after 1000 cycles). This study will expand considerably the range of practical applications of BiVO4 and shows that it has great potential in supercapacitor applications.
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