Disconnection of the right superior parietal lobule from the precuneus is associated with memory impairment in oldest-old Alzheimer's disease patients
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ABSTRACT

There is a wide range of onset age in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Emerging evidence indicates variation of AD manifestations in oldest-old AD (OOAD); however, the pattern of cognitive dysfunctions remains unclear. We aimed to reveal cognitive performance characteristics and changes in brain functional connectivity in OOAD patients by a resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) study. We enrolled AD patients who had been referred to Kyushu University Hospital (KUH) or Sanno Hospital, and classified them into middle-old AD (MOAD) (65–79 years old) and OOAD (≥80 years old) according to the age of onset. Our subjects consisted of 19 OOAD, 17 MOAD, and 8 normal subjects. Cognitive performance was evaluated using Mini Mental State Examination-Japanese (MMSE-J) and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). rs-fMRI scanning and independent component analysis (ICA) were performed on Sanno Hospital patients and MOAD vs. OOAD patients were compared. The resulting significant regions were used as seeds for ROI-to-ROI analysis of the KUH dataset. Collectively, MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores were significantly lower in OOAD patients compared with MOAD patients. ICA of the Sanno Hospital data indicated significant connectivity decrease in the default mode network (DMN) in the OOAD group compared with the MOAD group in the right superior parietal lobule (SPL). ROI-to-ROI analysis of the KUH dataset indicated significant disconnection in the OOAD group of the right SPL from the precuneus (p < 0.01). The functional connectivity from the right SPL to the precuneus was positively correlated with the MMSE-J delayed recall sub-score (p = 0.03) and negatively correlated with the CDR memory sub-scale (p = 0.04). These findings indicate that disconnection between the right SPL and the precuneus may contribute to worse memory capability in OOAD compared with MOAD.

1. Introduction

Global life expectancy has steadily and significantly increased from 67.2 years in 2010 to 70.8 years in 2015 (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2017). Japan has the highest life expectancy of any country at 83.7 years (World Health Organization, 2017), and a rapidly aging population (Arai et al., 2012), of which 26.6% are aged 65 or above (Statistics Bureau - Japan Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communication, 2016). The size of this aged population is projected to increase further (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2017) and together with this achievement comes an escalation in the prevalence of various kinds of degenerative disease.

Dementia impairs various cognitive abilities and reduces the capability to perform daily activities. The most common form of dementia is Alzheimer's disease (AD), which mostly affects people aged over 65 (Alzheimer’s Association, 2017). A Japanese cohort assessed from 2002 to 2012 had double the AD incidence compared with a 1988–1998 cohort (14.6–28.2 per 1,000 person-years), mainly because of the aging population (Ohara et al., 2017). Compared with the rest of the world, Japan had the fifth largest dementia population in 2001 (Ferri et al., 2005) and rose to fourth place in 2015 (Prince et al., 2015).
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Despite its prevalence in people aged 65 or older, there are many studies reporting variants of AD in people younger than 65, known as early-onset Alzheimer’s disease (EOAD). It has different clinical characteristics, i.e. memory sparing in the early stage, worse visuospatial and executive functions, attention and language, and faster disease progression compared with the more common late-onset Alzheimer’s disease (LOAD), which mainly features memory impairment and has slower progression (Panegyres and Chen, 2013; Smits et al., 2012; van der Flier et al., 2011). The prevalence of EOAD is 5.5% of all AD cases (Zhu et al., 2015) and is mainly driven by mutations in amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PSEN1), and presenilin 2 (PSEN2) genes, while mutations in the apolipoprotein ε4 (APOEε4) gene are prevalent in LOAD (Bertram and Tanzi, 2008; Panegyres and Chen, 2013). Anatomically, younger onset AD tends to have parietal-dominant pathology, with involvement of bilateral parietal, precuneus, and bilateral dorsolateral frontal areas, but with sparing of medial temporal areas (Cho et al., 2013; Noh et al., 2014). Functional imaging found that EOAD has rapid progression of hypometabolism in parietal, frontal, basal ganglia, and thalamic areas (Kim et al., 2005), with disconnection in all networks, especially auditory-, sensory-motor, and dorsal-visual systems, and in the default mode network (DMN) (Adriaanse et al., 2014), while disconnection in LOAD begins in the DMN and spreads to internetworks with disease progression (Badhwar et al., 2017; Brier et al., 2014). Histologically, AD with younger onset shows higher neurofibrillary tangle density in cortical areas, but a lower density in the hippocampus compared with LOAD (Murray et al., 2011).

People in advanced old-age, which is currently the world’s fastest growing population (Hudson and Goodwin, 2013), have an increased risk of physical and mental dysfunctions (Cohen-Manxfield et al., 2013). This population was first described as “oldest-old” by Kannisto and he suggested to define the term with a cut-off at 80 years of age (Kannisto, 1994), which has found consensus (Baltes and Smith, 2003; Haroutunian et al., 2008; Hudson and Goodwin, 2013; Katsunuma et al., 2012; Luca et al., 2015; Ritchie and Kildern, 1995).

A voxel-based morphometry study found loss of parieto-temporal and posterior cingulate gray matter in EOAD but not LOAD (Ishii et al., 2005). This suggests that there may be different variants of AD morphometric and histologic features in different age groups, which may be correlated with different pathology. Therefore, there may also be different alterations in brain functional connectivity between the oldest-old AD and younger AD patients. However, we could not find any studies directly comparing oldest-old and middle-old AD using the modern techniques of morphometry and functional imaging.

This study aimed to find differences between middle-old AD (MOAD) and oldest-old AD (OOAD) using the age of 80 as a cut-off. We assessed cognitive-behavior performance and brain functional connectivity and analyzed correlation between these parameters. Additionally, we focused our analysis on the DMN because it is closely involved in AD pathology (Greicius et al., 2004; Mevel et al., 2011); the DMN is a key network that deteriorates in EOAD and especially in LOAD (Badhwar et al., 2017; Brier et al., 2014).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethical consideration

This study was approved by Kyushu University Institutional Review Board for Clinical Research and all procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study.

2.2. Subjects

We enrolled clinically diagnosed LOAD patients (≥ 65 years old (y.o.)) who were referred to Kyushu University Hospital (KUH) or Sanno Hospital, Japan, between 2012 and 2015 and classified them into AD age groups: MOAD (65–79 y.o., 19 subjects, mean age ±SD, 75.4 ± 4.6 y.o.; KUH: Sanno = 8:11), and OOAD (80 y.o. and older, 21 subjects, mean age ±SD, 84.8 ± 2.9 y.o.; KUH: Sanno = 14:7). AD diagnosis was made by two neurologists using National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer’s Association criteria (McKhann et al., 2011). For comparison, we also enrolled thirteen age-matched normal subjects (mean age ±SD, 75.6 ± 5.6 y.o.).

2.3. Neuropsychiatric tests

Cognitive performance was evaluated using Mini Mental State Examination-Japanese (MMSE-J) (Folstein et al., 1975; Sugishita and Hemmi, 2010). We also used Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) (Hughes et al., 1982), although this was only available at KUH. We used total MMSE-J scores and sub-scores for each domain, and total CDR scales and sub-scales for each domain for further analysis.

2.4. Image acquisition

Each subject underwent MRI scanning using a 3-Tesla scanner (Achieva TX, Philips Medical Systems). We first performed an initial scan to center the field of view on the subject’s brain. We then performed a 3D T1-weighted turbo field echo scan for anatomical reference (repetition time [TR] = 8.1 ms; echo time [TE] = 3.8 ms; field of view (FOV) = 240 mm; matrix size = 40 × 240; slice thickness = 1.0 mm). Lastly, we performed a gradient-echo planar sequence for functional imaging (TR = 2800 ms; TE = 30 ms; flip angle = 90°; 40 × 3 mm slices; in-plane resolution of 3 × 3 mm). Overall scanning lasted 7 min.

2.5. Imaging analysis

fMRI data were preprocessed using CONN toolbox version 17.f (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn) in SPM version 12 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). The first five scans were excluded to achieve steady state conditions. Functional images underwent a standard pipeline of preprocessing steps, including realignment and unwarping, slice-timing correction, normalization, outlier detection, and finally spatial smoothing (full width at half maximum = 10 mm), which resulted in functional images in Montreal Neurological Institute (MINI)-space using an echo planar imaging (EPI) template (Calhoun et al., 2017). Separately, T1 structural images also underwent a standard pipeline of preprocessing steps, including direct structural segmentation and normalization.

To reduce motion-related effects during resting-state fMRI scanning, we set the functional outlier detection setting of the subject’s motion threshold to 0.5 mm. Therefore, if the subject moved more than 0.5 mm in any of six dimensions the scan would be counted as an outlier because of head motion artifacts being detected by Conn quality assurance and artifact rejection software (www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact_detect/) (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). We removed subjects who had an extreme number of outliers, defined as more than + 2SD of the respective hospital group, and matched the number of outliers between groups in each hospital (Kaiser et al., 2016) using the Mann-Whitney test, and between groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test. We then performed de-noising, which consisted of removing white matter and cerebrospinal fluid noise (each with five dimensions), scrubbing, motion regression, band-pass filtering (0.01–0.10 Hz), and linear detrending.

We used independent component analysis (ICA) to find the seed for ROI-to-ROI analysis. However, using the same data for seed selection and then analysis will result in unacceptably distorted, non-independent statistical tests (Kriegeskorte et al., 2009). Therefore, we performed ICA on the Sanno dataset to establish the seed because it had fewer AD subjects, and then performed ROI-to-ROI analysis using the KUH dataset. The preprocessed images were fed into the ICA pipeline of CONN toolbox version 17.f. We used a predefined MINI network atlas included with CONN. In first-level analysis, we used the ICA networks menu on the
CONN dashboard and performed group ICA analysis based on Calhoun's group-level ICA approach, which normalized data variance, concatenated BOLD signal data in the temporal dimension, estimated independent spatial components using fast ICA, and estimated individual subject-level spatial maps using back-projected group ICA data (Calhoun et al., 2001). We set the number of factors to 17 and the dimensionality reduction to 64. In second-level analysis, we made a subtraction map of the group contrast differences (normal > MOAD, normal > OOAD, and MOAD > OOAD) to identify age-related, disease-specific connectivity changes. Significant difference on ICA was assumed by a corrected p-value for cluster-level family-wise-error (p-FWE) of less than 0.05 (Calhoun and Adali, 2012). The same processes were also performed to compare middle-old (n = 8 from KUH and Sanno Hospital) and oldest-old (n = 5 from KUH and Sanno Hospital) normal subjects, to exclude the possibility that the aging process itself affects our conclusions.

Among brain networks, the DMN is well known to be affected in AD pathology; especially in AD-related memory impairment, and thus the network is often studied in AD patients (Brueggen et al., 2017; Greicius et al., 2004; Mevel et al., 2011; Rombouts et al., 2005); therefore we focused our analysis on the DMN.

The significantly different regions from the Sanno ICA were plotted as seeds for ROI-to-ROI analysis of the KUH dataset. The Pearson linear correlation coefficient between the time course of the signal in each ROI and the average signal of the seed was calculated using CONN. ROI-to-ROI one-sample T-Tests were used to elucidate significant connection between the seed and the ROI in each group, which was considered when the corrected p-value for cluster-level false-discovery-rate (p-FDR) was less than 0.05 (Bueno et al., 2018). In cases where the ROI-to-ROI analysis revealed significant connection between targets and seed, the ROI analysis included the targets, which were defined anatomically from the MNI template.

We then performed correlation analysis between the resulting z-transformed r-values of significant ROI-to-ROI analysis (when comparing OOAD and MOAD) and the significant total MMSE-J score and each domain sub-score, along with total CDR scale and each domain sub-scale from previous neuropsychiatric tests. A Mann-Whitney test was performed for that purpose.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The age of each group was matched between hospitals using the Mann-Whitney test. Further analyses of total MMSE-J scores and sub-scores for each domain were performed in respective hospitals. We also carried out further analyses of total CDR scales and sub-scales for each domain in KUH. Spearman rank analysis was performed to verify the correlation between z-transformed r-values of significant ROIs and all previous neuropsychiatric results, which consist of total MMSE-J scores and sub-scores for each domain, and total CDR scales and sub-scales for each domain. All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS®) version 22.0. Data are presented as the mean ± SD. Statistical significance was defined as p-value less than 0.05. Effect size for the results was also calculated using Cohen's d (Cohen, 1988).

3. Results

3.1. Cognitive performance results

We enrolled 48 subjects (8 normal, 19 MOAD, and 21 OOAD). Four subjects were excluded because of outlier criteria, leaving 44 subjects (8 normal, 17 MOAD, and 19 OOAD), with 23 subjects from KUH and 21 from Sanno Hospital. Each group was age-matched between hospitals (mean age ±SD, KUH vs. Sanno, normal: 73.7 ± 5.7 vs. 76.8 ± 5.9 y.o., p = 0.55; MOAD: 74.0 ± 5.2 vs. 75.9 ± 4.2 y.o., p = 0.38; OOAD: 84.3 ± 3.3 vs. 85.9 ± 2.7 y.o., p = 0.22). Outliers were matched between groups in each hospital (p KUH = 0.51; p Sanno = 0.91; p total = 0.92) and

between hospitals in each group (p normal = 0.07; p MOAD = 0.42; p OOAD = 0.12).

Collective demographic data are presented in Table 1. Between MOAD and OOAD groups, there was significant difference in MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores (MOAD vs. OOAD, 1.4 ± 1.2 vs. 0.6 ± 0.8, p = 0.03) in spite of borderline similar total MMSE-J scores (21.4 ± 3.5 vs. 18.8 ± 4.3, p = 0.08). There was no significant difference between groups in either time or place orientation sub-scores in MMSE-J (time orientation: 3.2 ± 1.3 vs. 2.4 ± 1.3, p = 0.10; place orientation: 3.5 ± 1.0 vs. 3.6 ± 1.0, p = 0.59) or in MMSE-J immediate recall sub-scores (2.8 ± 0.5 vs. 2.8 ± 0.5, p = 0.75) and other MMSE-J sub-scores. Among the 21 subjects from Sanno (5 normal, 9 MOAD, and 7 OOAD), there was no difference between MOAD and OOAD groups in total MMSE-J scores (22.1 ± 3.1 vs. 18.6 ± 4.9, p = 0.11), immediate recall sub-scores (3.0 ± 0.0 vs. 2.9 ± 0.4, p = 0.26), and delayed recall sub-scores (1.2 ± 1.2 vs. 0.4 ± 0.8, p = 0.17).

Among the 23 subjects from KUH (3 normal, 8 MOAD, and 12 OOAD) there was a tendency of significant difference in MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores between MOAD and OOAD (1.6 ± 1.2 vs. 0.7 ± 0.5, p = 0.06), which was affirmed by a difference in CDR memory sub-scales (MOAD vs. OOAD: 1.1 ± 0.6 vs. 1.8 ± 0.6, p = 0.03, d = 1.2). There was no significant difference in total MMSE-J scores (20.6 ± 4.0 vs. 18.9 ± 4.1, p = 0.54), immediate recall sub-scores (2.6 ± 0.7 vs. 2.8 ± 0.6, p = 0.66), or other MMSE-J sub-scores between the two groups. There was also no significant difference in total CDR scales (1.2 ± 0.5 vs. 1.4 ± 0.7, p = 0.41) or other CDR sub-scales. KUH CDR data are presented in Table 2.

| Normal | MOAD | OOAD |
|--------|------|------|
| N      | 17   | 19   |
| Sex (female:male) | 11:6 | 13:6 |
| Age    | 75.6 ± 5.6 | 75.0 ± 4.6 | 84.8 ± 3.1 |
| Total MMSE-J | 28.3 ± 1.5 | 21.4 ± 3.5 | 18.8 ± 4.3 |
| Time orientation | 4.5 ± 0.8 | 3.2 ± 1.3 | 2.4 ± 1.3 |
| Place orientation | 5.0 | 3.5 ± 1.0 | 3.6 ± 1.1 |
| Immediate recall | 3.0 | 2.8 ± 0.5 | 2.8 ± 0.5 |
| Calculation | 4.5 ± 0.8 | 2.7 ± 1.5 | 2.1 ± 1.3 |
| Delayed recall | 2.4 ± 0.7 | 1.4 ± 1.2 | 0.6 ± 0.8* |
| Naming | 2.0 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 2.0 ± 0.2 |
| Repeating | 0.9 ± 0.4 | 0.8 ± 0.4 | 0.7 ± 0.5 |
| Three orders | 3.0 | 2.7 ± 0.5 | 2.6 ± 0.5 |
| Reading | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Writing | 1.0 | 0.8 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.5 |
| Copying | 1.0 | 0.7 ± 0.5 | 0.6 ± 0.5 |

* Statistically significant difference between MOAD and OOAD (p < 0.05).

| N | MOAD | OOAD |
|---|------|------|
| Total | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 1.4 ± 0.7 |
| Memory | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 1.8 ± 0.6 |
| Orientation | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 1.5 ± 0.7 |
| Decision | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 1.1 ± 0.4 |
| Social | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.7 |
| Family | 1.3 ± 0.8 | 1.4 ± 0.7 |
| Personal Care | 0.9 ± 0.6 | 1.0 ± 0.6 |

* Statistically significant difference between MOAD and OOAD (p < 0.05).
3.2. Imaging results

ICA in the normal Sanno Hospital group found significant DMN connectivity (p-FWE < 0.05) among the precuneus, medial frontal cortex, left angular gyrus, right cuneal, right inferior lateral occipital cortex (LOC), and left superior LOC. In the MOAD group, we found significant DMN connectivity among the precuneus, right frontal pole, cerebellum, and left and right anterior middle temporal gyrus (MTG). In the OOAD group, we found significant DMN connectivity among the precuneus, left and right superior LOC, right frontal pole, left and right anterior MTG, right superior frontal gyrus (SFG), cerebellum, subcallosal cortex, left SFG, and left angular gyrus (Table 3). Lastly, with MOAD > OOAD contrast we found a significant decrease in DMN connectivity in the OOAD group compared with the MOAD between the right superior parietal lobule (SPL) (26 -52 48) (p-FDR < 0.05) and brainstem (6 -46 -66) (p-FDR < 0.05) (Table 3, Figure 1). There were no significant differences between groups using normal > OOAD and normal > MOAD contrasts, and vice versa. To exclude the effect of age on the ICA results, we performed the same analysis on thirteen normal subjects, consisting of middle-old (n = 8 from KUH and Sanno Hospital) and oldest-old (n = 5 from KUH and Sanno Hospital) subjects. This analysis showed no significant differences in the results between middle-old and oldest-old normal subjects for any particular region in the DMN.

In the next step, we used the significant regions from the aforementioned ICA DMN MOAD > OOAD contrast in the Sanno dataset as seeds in ROI-to-ROI analysis of the KUH dataset. We did not perform ROI-to-ROI analysis on the normal KUH group because of its small sample size (three subjects). We found significant connectivity (p-FDR < 0.05) between the right SPL (as the seed) to the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) pars triangularis, left posterior part of the inferior temporal gyrus (PITG), and precuneus (as ROI targets) when analyzing with MOAD > OOAD contrast (Figure 2) and z-transformed r-value of right SPL-to-left IFG pars triangularis (MOAD vs. OOAD: 0.1 ± 0.1 vs. -0.2 ± 0.1, p < 0.01, d = 3.0), -to-left PITG (0.0 ± 0.2 vs. -0.2 ± 0.1, p < 0.01, d = 1.3), and -to-precuneus (0.3 ± 0.2 vs. 0.0 ± 0.2, p < 0.01, d = 1.5) (Figure 3). ROI-to-ROI analysis with the brainstem as seed yielded no significant result.

Table 3. Independent component analysis of the Sanno Hospital dataset.

| Subtraction Contrast | Region           | x    | y    | z    | Voxel | T     |
|----------------------|------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Normal               | Precuneus        | -4   | -72  | 46   | 190   | 2061.1|
|                      | Medial frontal cortext | -12  | -60  | 20   | 22    | 4.4   |
|                      | Right cuneal      | 8    | -46  | 6    | 20    | 3.8   |
|                      | Left angular gyrus| -44  | -62  | 20   | 30    | 4.4   |
|                      | Right inferior LOC| 16   | -66  | 20   | 15    | 183.0 |
|                      | Left superior LOC | -38  | -70  | 32   | 18    | 3.7   |
| MOAD                 | Precuneus         | -6   | -60  | 34   | 17,943| 40.2  |
|                      | Right frontal pole| 2    | 56   | -14  | 884   | 8.6   |
|                      | Cerebellum        | 24   | 32   | -44  | 298   | 7.9   |
|                      | Left anterior MTG | -14  | -84  | -40  | 133   | 7.4   |
|                      | Right anterior MTG| 62   | 6    | 20   | 129   | 10.5  |
|                      | Left superior LOC | 60   | -2   | -18  | 96    | 6.2   |
| OOAD                 | Precuneus         | 0    | -64  | 34   | 7,896 | 80.8  |
|                      | Left superior LOC | -30  | -74  | 30   | 1,207 | 21.6  |
|                      | Right superior LOC| 34   | -66  | 34   | 969   | 14.6  |
|                      | Right frontal pole| 8    | 62   | -4   | 701   | 37.9  |
|                      | Left anterior MTG | -58  | -2   | -22  | 213   | 14.1  |
|                      | Right SFG         | 26   | 32   | -40  | 203   | 12.6  |
|                      | Right anterior MTG| 58   | -8   | -28  | 178   | 13.1  |
|                      | Cerebellum        | 6    | -56  | -42  | 88    | 13.1  |
|                      | Subcallosal cortex| 26   | -48  | -48  | 40    | 8.7   |
|                      | Left SFG          | -24  | 28   | 42   | 57    | 8.8   |
|                      | Left Angular Gyrus| -36  | -78  | -42  | 51    | 9.3   |
| MOAD > OOAD          | SPL               | 26   | -52  | 48   | 74    | 6.9   |
|                      | Brainstem         | 6    | -46  | -66  | 93    | 5.0   |

LOC = lateral occipital cortex; MTG = middle temporal gyrus; SFG = superior frontal gyrus; SPL = superior parietal lobule.
As a final step, we used Spearman’s rank analysis and found that there were significant correlations between right SPL-to-precuneus connectivity and MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores ($r = 0.49$, $p = 0.03$, $d = 1.1$), and significant anti-correlation between right SPL-to-precuneus connectivity and CDR memory sub-scales ($r = -0.46$, $p = 0.04$, $d = 1.0$) in AD groups (Figure 4). The connectivity from right SPL-to-left IFG pars triangularis and -to-left PITG had no significant correlation to either MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores or CDR memory sub-scales.

4. Discussion

The neuropsychiatric tests in OOAD patients indicated more significant memory impairment compared with MOAD subjects, leading us to focus our analysis on the DMN. ICA of the Sanno Hospital groups found reduced DMN connectivity among the right temporal pole, right SPL, and brainstem in the OOAD group. However, when used as a seed in the KUH dataset, only the right SPL (part of the DMN) had significantly reduced connections to the left IFG pars triangularis, left PITG, and precuneus (part of the DMN). Correlation analysis showed that only the reduced connectivity between the right SPL and the precuneus was significantly correlated with reduced memory capability, which was reflected in the decreased MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores and increased CDR memory sub-scales.

4.1. Role of the SPL and precuneus in memory processing

Previous studies have demonstrated the role of the right SPL in memory, especially for, but not limited to, spatial storage (Wager and Smith, 2003) and episodic memory (Wagner et al., 2005). The SPL may also be important in managing working memory, including updating, ordering, and manipulations (Crocco et al., 2018; Koenigs et al., 2009; Wagner and Smith, 2003; Zou et al., 2013), and thus may be required for learning new information. Several studies also found that the SPL (Bakour et al., 2013; Prvulovic et al., 2002; Teipel et al., 2007) and precuneus (Buckner et al., 2005; Klaassens et al., 2017; Scabhill et al., 2002) were atrophied in AD. The precuneus also has a significant role in memory, especially for spatial and verbal storage (Wager and Smith, 2003) and episodic memory (Wagner et al., 2005). It is also associated...
Angularis and to-left PITG were significantly lower in OOAD compared with MOAD, indicating that these disconnections might be part of AD pathophysiology in the oldest-old group. This reflects widespread brain network disconnections (Brier et al., 2012) that spreads beyond the DMN as the disease progresses (Badhwar et al., 2017; Brier et al., 2014). In addition, no significant differences between middle-old and oldest-old normal subjects were seen indicating that the aging process itself could be ignored in DMN hypoconnectivity. However, their z-transformed r-values did not significantly correlate with either MMSE-J delayed recall sub-scores or CDR memory sub-scales, indicating that these disconnections might affect brain functions outside of cognitive and memory domains.

A recent study found that amyloid-beta-positive individuals showed hypoconnectivity in the intra- and extra-DMN because Tau-PET signals were elevated (Schultz et al., 2017), while the DMN is also often noted as a major deposition site for amyloid in AD (Buckner et al., 2009). Tauopathy is widely recognized in older AD (Dumurgier et al., 2017; Harrison et al., 2019); therefore, the hypoconnectivity of the SPL-to-precuneus (intra DMN) in our study, which also correlated with memory impairment, is consistent with these findings (Ward et al., 2015).

4.3. Limitation

The limitation of our study was the relatively small sample size, although the effect size of this study ranged from large to huge (Cohen, 1988). The lack of differences between middle-old and oldest-old normal subjects supports our hypothesis of pathological changes causing the observed changes in memory capability and brain connectivity; however, considering the limited number of normal subjects, chronological changes cannot be completely ruled out. We also only used simple memory function evaluations (MMSE-J and CDR) to find differences in all cognitive fields instead of focusing on memory. Further studies, especially those with emphasis on detailed memory function and diagnosis with a larger sample size, are warranted. Additionally, we were not able to obtain amyloid and tau biomarkers for PET to determine protein deposition to support our findings. We also did not perform brain volumetric analysis in this study. Despite these limitations, our study showed that there may be a difference in AD between middle-old and oldest-old patients, both in memory capability and brain connectivity. This should be taken into account in future studies, and for early detection and management of AD patients especially in oldest-old patients.

5. Conclusion

Disconnection between the right SPL and precuneus may contribute to worse memory capability in OOAD compared with MOAD. Further studies regarding these findings are warranted.
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