Francisella novicida Two-Component System Response Regulator BfpR Modulates iglC Gene Expression, Antimicrobial Peptide Resistance, and Biofilm Production
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Response regulators are a critical part of the two-component system of gene expression regulation in bacteria, transferring a signal from a sensor kinase into DNA binding activity resulting in alteration of gene expression. In this study, we investigated a previously uncharacterized response regulator in Francisella novicida, FTN_1452 that we have named BfpR (Biofilm-regulating Francisella protein Regulator, FTN_1452). In contrast to another Francisella response regulator, QseB/PmrA, BfpR appears to be a negative regulator of biofilm production, and also a positive regulator of antimicrobial peptide resistance in this bacterium. The protein was crystallized and X-ray crystallography studies produced a 1.8 Å structure of the BfpR N-terminal receiver domain revealing interesting insight into its potential interaction with the sensor kinase. Structural analysis of BfpR places it in the OmpR/PhoP family of bacterial response regulators along with WalR and ResD. Proteomic and transcriptomic analyses suggest that BfpR overexpression affects expression of the critical Francisella virulence factor iglC, as well as other proteins in the bacterium. We demonstrate that mutation of bfpR is associated with an antimicrobial peptide resistance phenotype, a phenotype also associated with other response regulators, for the human cathelicidin peptide LL-37 and a sheep antimicrobial peptide SMAP-29. F. novicida with mutated bfpR replicated better than WT in intracellular infection assays in human-derived macrophages suggesting that the down-regulation of iglC expression in bfpR mutant may enable this intracellular replication to occur. Response regulators have been shown to play important roles in the regulation of bacterial biofilm production. We demonstrate that F. novicida biofilm formation was highly increased in the bfpR mutant, corresponding to altered glycogen synthesis. Waxworm infection experiments suggest a role of BfpR as a negative modulator of iglC expression with de-repression by Mg²⁺. In this study, we find that the response regulator BfpR may be a negative regulator of biofilm formation, and a positive regulator of antimicrobial peptide resistance in F. novicida.
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INTRODUCTION

Francisella are Gram-negative, fastidious bacteria found primarily in the Northern Hemisphere. The genus is composed of several species, the most notable being the highly virulent Francisella tularensis, the causative agent of tularemia. Cases of tularemia in the United States are rare and recently increasing, and interest in Francisella-related research is high (Dennis et al., 2001; Oyston et al., 2004). This is due to recent outbreaks of tularemia around the world and the fact that F. tularensis is classified as a Category A Biological threat Agent. The less-virulent environmental strain, F. novicida, is used as a model for Francisella studies due to its high genetic similarity (>97%) to F. tularensis, genetic tractability, availability of transposon mutant library, and its biosafety level 2 status due to lack of infectivity for humans (Gallagher et al., 2007; Larsson et al., 2009; Enstrom et al., 2012; Kingry and Petersen, 2014).

F. novicida has been shown to form biofilms in vitro (Dean et al., 2009; Durham-Colleran et al., 2010; Margolis et al., 2010; Verhoeven et al., 2010; van Hoek, 2013). Biofilms play an important role in bacterial environmental survival and are often involved in pathogenicity and antimicrobial resistance. In Pseudomonas, Salmonella, and many other Gram-negative bacteria, biofilm formation is under the control of two-component systems. Two-component systems are ubiquitous bacterial communication models and are typically formed from a membrane bound sensor histidine kinase and a DNA-binding response regulator (Stock et al., 2000; van Hoek et al., 2019). An extracellular signal is detected by the sensor kinase, resulting in autophosphorylation of the sensor kinase. The phosphate group is then transferred to the response regulator. Upon phosphorylation, the response regulator changes from an “inactive” to “active” state. The activated response regulator then dictates cellular responses through transcriptional regulation. Response regulators are transcription factors composed of two domains, a receiver (REC) domain which is connected to a DNA-binding domain through a flexible linker. On a molecular level, the response regulator propagates the signal by accepting the phosphate onto a conserved aspartate located within the REC domain. Activation of the response regulator often causes the REC domain to form a dimer, bringing the DNA-binding domains into proximity (Gao and Stock, 2009). Dimer formation positions the two DNA-binding domains to better bind the two half sites of the response regulator’s cognate promoter. Understanding the structure and function of response regulators is important for comprehending bacterial gene expression, and could play a role in the development of new antimicrobial therapies.

Only three response regulator (RR) genes have been identified in the Francisella genus. The two-component system gene organization varies across the different species (Figure 1; Larsson et al., 2005; van Hoek et al., 2019). F. novicida has three sensor kinase (SK) genes and three response regulator genes. Two sets of genes form complete two-component system pairs (Figure 1), while the last two genes are “orphaned” (van Hoek et al., 2019). F. tularensis Schu S4, the highly infectious human-virulent Type A strain, encodes two sensor kinase genes, two response regulator genes, and two pseudogenes. None of the two-component system genes are intact in the virulent strain F. tularensis (Figure 1). Pseudogene sequences contain early stop
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial Identification of BfpR in Francisella

We began our investigation exploring the conservation of FTN_1452 gene sequences between Francisella species and strains. We noted that F. novicida FTN_1452 is 100% identical to F. tularensis SchuS4 FTT_1543 and >90% identical to the F. philomiragia and F. noatunensis equivalents (Table 1, Figure S1C). In F. holarctica LVS and other holarctica strains, there is a truncated version of this response regulator (AJ158249, locus tag AW21_1440). The gene encodes only 123 of the 229 amino acids for the full-length protein. The first 56 and last 57 amino acids are missing, including the conserved aspartate which acts as the phosphoacceptor site (Figure S1E). Since the aspartate is required for response regulator activation, it is predicted that this pseudogene will be inactive.

Alignments of FTN_452 to other bacterial response regulators (Table 1, Figure S1A) suggest that FTN_1452 is a member of the OmpR/PhoP family (Figure S1D). While FTN_1452 shares sequence similarity around the phosphorylation activity site, overall homology is only significant within the genus Francisella (Figures S1A–C). Sequence analysis showed low levels of similarity by sequence to WalR of Staphylococcus aureus (38%), PhoP of Pseudomonas aeruginosa (40%), and MprA of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (37%) (Table 1). Thus, FTN_1452 could not be named as a close homolog to any known response regulator in other bacteria and was given the name BfpR. BfpR stands for Biofilm-regulating Francisella protein Response regulator, for its involvement in biofilm formation as a significant phenotype (see below). NCBI conserved domain analysis (Figure S1D) also confirmed that BfpR is composed of a YesN/AraC superfamly REC domain and a helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain. These conserved domains are highly characteristic of bacterial two-component system response regulators. Using BLAST analysis of Francisella genomic sequences, we further confirmed that BfpR in F. novicida is identical to FTT_1543 in F. tularensis Schu S4, and this gene does not have any close homologs outside of the genus Francisella (Figure S1). Thus, by this analysis, BfpR is likely to be a response regulator involved in bacterial signal transduction mechanisms and regulation of transcription in F. novicida.

In F. novicida, bfpR is encoded in a presumed operon with a sensor kinase, FTN_1453, making a complete two-component system with the response regulator gene appearing first and the sensor kinase gene second in the proposed operon. Interestingly, the sensor kinase gene is absent in F. tularensis SchuS4, but is present in the environmental and piscine strains, such as F. philomiragia and F. noatunensis (van Hoek et al., 2019). The absence of a cognate sensor kinase in the human-virulent strain of F. tularensis (FTT_1542 is a pseudogene) suggests that bfpR may have to act as an orphaned TCS gene in the virulent F. tularensis. Alternatively, perhaps the fact that F. tularensis has a duplicated Francisella pathogenicity island (FPI) compared to F. novicida reduces the need for this TCS in the virulent strain.

Two-component systems can regulate environmental survival as well as virulence of bacteria, among other pathways. No published virulence factor screening studies have identified the FTT_1542 or FTN_1452 gene as required for Francisella infection in various models (Moule et al., 2010). This is consistent with the results shown below in which the transposon insertion mutant of bfpR shows little or a positive effect on intracellular replication, while overexpression of bfpR leads to significant inhibition in intracellular replication. Additional experiments codons or shifts in the sequence, resulting in a truncated or non-functional gene product. F. holarctica Live Vaccine Strain (LVS) has one intact sensor kinase gene (qseC), only one response regulator gene, and pseudogenes present in place of the other three genes. Like F. tularensis, none of the LVS genes are within intact two-component systems. Finally, in F. philomiragia, an environmental strain, and F. noatunensis, a fish pathogenic strain, three sensor kinase genes and three response regulator genes were identified, similar to F. novicida (van Hoek et al., 2019). We previously investigated the role of the response regulator QseB/PmrA (FTN_1465) in biofilm formation in F. novicida (Durham-Colleran et al., 2010; van Hoek, 2013), and demonstrated that biofilm formation is dependent on both the orphan response regulator (QseB/PrmA) and the orphan sensor kinase (QseC, FTN_1617). QseB/PmrA in F. novicida is critical for infection and has been identified as a potential virulence factor (Mohapatra et al., 2007; Bell et al., 2010). Overall, the role of the two-component system genes in Francisella physiology have not yet been fully elucidated (van Hoek et al., 2019). In this work, we examine the previously uncharacterized F. novicida response regulator FTN_1452.

Here, we report our efforts to characterize the F. novicida response regulator FTN_1452, which we have named BfpR (Biofilm-regulating Francisella protein Regulator). We explore the homology of BfpR across Francisella species, present the crystal structure of the REC domain, and investigate the impact of BfpR on gene expression and phenotype. Our findings led us to identify a role of BfpR within F. novicida including resistance to AMPs and biofilm formation.

Table 1: Sequence comparison of BfpR with other well-known response regulators.

| Protein | Organism | E-value | Identity (%) |
|---------|----------|---------|--------------|
| FTT_1543 | Francisella tularensis | −168 | 100 |
| MprA | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia | −59 | 41 |
| PhoP | Xanthomonas campestris | −49 | 39 |
| CopR | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | −51 | 38 |
| WalR | Staphylococcus aureus | −43 | 38 |
| MprA | Mycobacterium tuberculosis | −54 | 37 |
| PhoP | Listeria monocytogenes | −46 | 37 |
| YadW | Salmonella typhimurium | −46 | 37 |
| PhoP | Bacillus subtilis | −46 | 37 |
| PhoP | Staphylococcus epidermidis | −44 | 35 |
| CopR | Escherichia coli | −47 | 34 |
| InIR | Burkholderia pseudomallei | −40 | 33 |

Sequence homology comparison of BfpR protein of F. novicida and response regulators of other selected bacterial pathogens. Only the most highly homologous protein from each organism is shown. This sequence comparison suggests that BfpR is not highly homologous by sequence to any known response regulators of other bacterial pathogens.
detailing the effect of the \textit{bfpR} mutant and its ability to interact with potential signaling partners would be needed to fully understand this outcome. Since \textit{F. novicida} is considered more of an environmental organism, while \textit{F. tularensis} is a eukaryotic pathogen, the role of the BfpR response regulator may be more significant when measured with respect to phenotypes that may promote environmental survival, such as biofilm formation.

**Structure of BfpR N-Terminal Receiver Domain**

The structure of the BfpR N-terminal REC domain (BfpRN) was solved using X-ray crystallography (Figure 2A). Cubic crystals grew within 48 h in 0.2 M calcium chloride, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5, and 28% polyethylene glycol 400 using hanging-drop vapor diffusion. The resulting crystals had an \textit{I4}$_1$ space group and unit cell dimensions of \textit{a} = \textit{b} = \textit{c} = 128.726 Å and \textit{a} = \textit{β} = \textit{γ} = 90° (Table S2). The N-terminal domain of QseB from \textit{F. novicida} [PDB ID 5UIC,\cite{Milton2017}] was used as the model for the molecular replacement solution. The structure was refined to 1.80 Å resolution with a crystallographic \textit{R}_{work} of 0.1824 and \textit{R}_{free} of 0.2019. Refinement resulted in no Ramachandran outliers and 98.32% favored. The BfpRN construct used for crystallization was composed of residues Met1 to Ala129 with three additional N-terminal residues remaining after cleavage of the affinity tag. Only residues Asn4 through Lys124 could be traced into the electron density.

As expected from our sequence homology observations, the structure shares the canonical OmpR/PhoP receiver domain with an alternating \textit{α}/\textit{β}-fold consisting of 5-helices and 5-strands (Figure 3;\cite{Bourret2010}). Structural alignment of BfpR with an array of other OmpR/PhoP family receiver domains shows high level of structural similarity between the receiver domain of these response regulators. On average, the Ca backbone align with an average RMSD of about 0.9 Å across \textsim 110 atoms (Figure 3). The asymmetric unit of BfpR contains one monomer with an adjacent symmetry mate forming the biologically relevant dimer (Figure 2B) as described previously (\cite{Milton2017,Draughn2018}). The dimer \textit{α}4-\textit{β}5-\textit{α}5 interface of BfpR contains major salt bridges between Lys92 and Glu112, Asp102 and Arg116, and Asp101 and Arg123. These residues have been shown to have homology in other OmpR/PhoP family response regulators (\cite{Draughn2018}). The BfpR active site contains the canonical conserved aspartate residues, including the phosphorylation site at Asp55. A calcium ion is coordinated into the position of the active site magnesium (Figure 2C). It has been previously demonstrated that the crystal lattice appears to
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**FIGURE 2** | Structure of the BfpR receiver domain. (A) Crystal structure of the N-terminal BfpR receiver domain solved to 1.8 Å. (B) Structure of BfpR receiver domain dimer with one monomer in blue and one in green. An adjacent symmetry mate forms the biologically relevant dimer. (C) Phosphorylation occurs at the conserved aspartate residue (Asp55). A calcium ion (green spheres) is located in the active site and is coordinated with active site residues and water molecules (red spheres) as seen in crystal structure of other phosphorylated response regulators.
trap the response regulator in an active state, even in the absence of phosphate or a phosphomimic (Draughn et al., 2018). This was demonstrated with the response regulator BfmR, the master biofilm regulator from Acinetobacter baumannii. Alignment of active site residues of BfpR to BfmR bound to a phosphomimetic results in an RMS of 0.290 Å. This strongly suggests that BfpR contains a functional phosphorylation site.

### Transcriptional Analysis of bfpR Mutant and bfpR Overexpressing Strain

To investigate the effect of BfpR on gene transcription in F. novicida, we performed RNAseq analysis. We assessed the effect of the bfpR transposon-insertion mutant (Gallagher et al., 2007) and by overexpressing bfpR using the Francisella gro promoter. The overexpression of response regulators and other transcriptional regulators has been previously found to be a useful method for understanding the impact of a specific regulator on the transcriptional control of its target genes. Overexpression of bfpR compared to WT bound to a phosphomimetic was found to be a useful method for understanding the impact of a specific regulator on the transcriptional control of its target genes

We compared wild type F. novicida (WT) gene expression to a bfpR transposon-insertion mutant (Gallagher et al., 2007) and the BfpR overexpressing strain (bfpRox) (Table S1). While RNA-Seq data is typically presented as the expression level in the bfpR mutant compared to the WT, we also calculated the ratio change in the bfpRox overexpression mutant vs. the bfpR mutant (Figure S2A). The results confirmed the high level of bfpRox compared to WT (Figure S2B). The results indicate broad effects of bfpR and the bfpRox strain on F. novicida, including a down-regulation of fatty acid metabolism and the FPI genes in both the bfpRox vs. bfpR and WT vs. bfpR comparisons (Figure 4).

Many proteins encoded by the Francisella Pathogenicity Island (FPI) have been shown to be virulence factors, especially intracellular growth locus C, iglC (Nano et al., 2004). As part of its intracellular replication, F. tularensis escapes from the phagosome into the cytoplasm in an iglC-dependent manner in which it replicates further, making iglC a required virulence factor for Francisella (Lai et al., 2004; Lindgren et al., 2004). IgC is part of the newly discovered Type VI secretion system (T6SS) in Francisella. Using KEGG analysis of the RNAseq data, the entire FPI was significantly down-regulated pathway (down an average of 5-fold), with intracellular growth locus A (iglA) down-regulated ~18-fold, iglC expression downregulated ~15 fold, and all members of the FPI significantly changed in the bfpRox (Figure 4, Figure S2A). This suggests that the pathogenicity of the bfpRox bacteria may be significantly reduced, as iglC expression (downregulated ~15 fold in the bfpRox) is required for intracellular replication and pathogenesis (Lai et al., 2004). As an example of the effect on metabolic genes, the alcohol dehydrogenase, adhC, was down 32-fold, and members of the fad operon significantly changed in the both mutant and bfpRox comparisons.

Gene expression of the acid phosphatase AcpA was not significantly changed by overexpression of bfpR but changed (~2-fold) in the WT vs. bfpR comparison. Importantly, the bfpRox strain displayed a ~100-fold increase in bfpR transcripts compared to WT and bfpR mutant, confirming that this strain was indeed overexpressing bfpR (Figures S2A, B). Of all the TCS genes, qseB (FTN_1465) was the least affected by bfpRox (Figure S2B). Overall, these results suggest the broad range of genes controlled directly or indirectly by BfpR.

In comparing the proteomic (Figure S2C) and transcriptional (Figure S2A) results, a glycolysis gene glyC was found to be downregulated (6.5-fold) in bfpRox vs. bfpR, consistent with its
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**FIGURE 3 | Structural comparison of BfpR and other response regulators.** Alignment of our BfpR structure to 15 other response regulators in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) demonstrates the high level of structural similarity between the BfpR and other CmpR/PhoP response regulator receiver domains. BfpR is shown in blue (left) with other response regulators in gray. The table (right) denotes the PDB ID for each structure used along with the Cu RMSD. RMSD values were calculated from the alignment of each PDB entry to BfpR in PyMol.

| PDB ID | Protein      | Organism               | RMSD (Å) |
|--------|--------------|------------------------|----------|
| 5UIC   | QseB         | Francisella tularensis novicida | 0.781    |
| 6BR7   | BfmR         | Acinetobacter baumannii | 0.977    |
| 1NXX   | MicA         | Streptococcus pneumoniae | 0.933    |
| 1YS6   | PrrA         | Mycobacterium tuberculosis | 0.838    |
| 3NNN   | DrrD         | Thermotoga maritima    | 0.889    |
| 6IS2   | AriR         | Staphylococcus aureus   | 0.806    |
| 2A9R   | YycF         | Streptococcus pneumoniae | 0.947    |
| 6EBR   | YycF         | Streptococcus pneumoniae | 0.963    |
| 3R0J   | PhoP         | Mycobacterium tuberculosis | 1.318    |
| 2PL1   | PhoP         | Escherichia coli        | 0.950    |
| 4S05   | PmrA         | Klebsiella pneumoniae   | 1.024    |
| 4S04   | PmrA         | Klebsiella pneumoniae   | 0.881    |
| 4KNY   | KdpE         | Escherichia coli        | 0.927    |
| 4KFC   | KdpE         | Escherichia coli        | 0.923    |
| 12H2   | KdpE         | Escherichia coli        | 0.930    |
FIGURE 4 | Characterization of the bfpR regulon. The categorization of the bfpR regulon by mapping the RNASeq fold-change data to KEGG Pathways, with the addition of the Francisella Pathogenicity Island (FPI). The graph illustrates the RNASeq results for Log\(_{10}\) fold-change in expression comparing bfpR Tn-mutant (FTN_1452 Tn-mutant) and bfrR\(^{ox}\) mutant. The change in expression of bfpR gene in the overexpressor (blue circle) was one of the most significant with a log\(_{10}\) fold change for bfpR of 2.06 (114 fold change, Table S3, Figure S2B). The FPI gene cluster is indicated with the blue arrow.

protein expression levels. Proteins of peptidoglycan synthesis as well as Phe, Tyr and Trp biosynthesis proteins were also highly upregulated in bfpR\(^{ox}\), as well as cell division-associated proteins. Other comparisons between the proteomic and transcriptomic results show that in addition to the FPI genes and proteins iglA, iglB and iglC being strongly down-regulated in bfpR\(^{ox}\), many tRNA genes (e.g., FTN_1541, tRNA Arg) are upregulated in in bfpR\(^{ox}\), corresponding to the increased expression of Arg, Pro metabolism proteins in the proteomic data.

Effect of bfpR Mutation and Overexpression on Protein Expression

We next characterized the BfpR “regulon” using proteomic analysis. This method has been previously used to help define the regulon of PhoP in different strains of Salmonella enterica (Charles et al., 2009). The protein expression data shows 342 proteins were dysregulated \(\geq 1.5\)-fold when comparing bfpR and WT, and 413 proteins were up- or down-regulated \(\geq 1.5\)-fold
in the bfpR<sup>ox</sup> strain to bfpR comparison. One hundred seventy-nine proteins were found to be overlapping between these two comparisons. To further analyze the overlapping region of this dataset, we categorized the proteins by KEGG pathway. Notably, comparing the mutation of bfpR and the complementation back caused a significant change in abundance of FPI proteins, with an average percent decrease of 60% in the WT vs. bfpR to bfpR<sup>ox</sup> vs. bfpR comparisons (Figure S2C). Among the many <i>F. novicida</i> proteins that changed by proteomics analysis, IgIC was downregulated by bfpR<sup>ox</sup>, confirmed in the Western Blot data shown below. Acid phosphatase A, AcpA, was reduced in bfpR (2-fold) compared to WT, correlating to the RNASeq data. The level of glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase protein (involved in glycogen synthesis, GlgC) was increased (3-fold) in bfpR compared to WT. Thus, unlike the deletion of QseB/PmrA, which positively regulates FPI gene expression and deletion leads to decreased expression of these virulence factors, deletion of BfpR has little direct effect on protein expression. This suggests that BfpR may be a negative regulator unlike QseB/PmrA. Some proteins (such as GlgC see below) appear to be positively regulated by bfpR mutation, illustrating the potential complexity of the bfpR regulon.

Putative Target Binding Sequence of BfpR
We next analyzed the promoters of genes found in the bfpR regulon, choosing WalR as the model response regulator and the <i>F. novicida</i> U112 genome in the program PePPER (de Jong et al., 2012). This led us to identify a putative predicted palindromic binding sequence for BfpR as: TGT-n<sup>8</sup>-TGT, which was identified upstream of multiple BfpR-regulated <i>Francisella</i> genes, including iglA, glgC, and acpA (Figures S3B, S4). Formal definition of the BfpR binding site will require DNA footprinting assays and EMSA assays, but our computational prediction of a putative binding palindrome allowed us to explore some aspects of potential BfpR-DNA binding.

To test the binding of BfpR to DNA, we established DNA-protein interaction using ELISA and ChiP-PCR with fragments of the acpA promoter. Creating three ~150 bp fragments from −500 to −10 upstream of the start sites, we found through ELISA studies that BfpR-His<sub>6</sub> binds to the distal region of this promoter (Figure S4A). These results were further indicated by amplification using primers for fragment I in ChiP-PCR experiments (Figure S4B). With both techniques, binding of BfpR-His<sub>6</sub> to other acpA promoter regions was not detected. Circular dichroism experiments of BfpR-His<sub>6</sub> in the presence and absence of acpA promoter fragments further suggested a specific interaction may occur between BfpR and the distal region of the promoter sequence (Figure S4C). These results are consistent with the predicted TGT-n<sup>8</sup>-TGT binding site, found in the distal fragment.

To further evaluate DNA binding, we explored <i>in silico</i> docking of a small DNA fragment to a full-length homology model of BfpR (Figure S3A). HADDOCK (Wassenaar et al., 2012; van Zundert et al., 2016) was used to probe the binding interactions between an acpA promoter fragment 5′-AACTGTTAC and our full-length model of BfpR. The model was generated by combining the crystal structure of the N-terminal domain with other structures of full-length OmpR/PhoP family response regulators. HADDOCK clustered 167 structures into 12 clusters. The top scoring cluster based on the HADDOCK score and Z-score clearly places the DNA fragment onto the canonical DNA-binding helix of the BfpR DNA-binding domain (Figure S3B). This cluster has significantly better scores than the next best ranked cluster, indicating that this DNA binding position is the best docking solution. These results suggest that BfpR should bind DNA in a similar manner as other OmpR/PhoP response regulators and suggests a putative palindromic sequence.

To confirm the binding of BfpR to a shorter, more specific sequence we generated small DNA fragments containing TGT-n<sub>8</sub>-TGT from acpA, iglA, pgm, and a mutated acpA fragment where TGT was replaced by CAC (Figure S4D). DNA-protein interaction ELISA showed that acpA, iglA, and pgm fragments bind (<i>p</i> < 0.01) to BfpR-His<sub>6</sub>, but not the mutated CAC-n<sub>8</sub>-CAC fragment (Figure S4E). With the binding sequence better established, we aligned the TGT-n<sub>8</sub>-TGT segments of genes found within the BfpR regulon (the set of genes regulated by BfpR) identified above to generate the putative consensus sequence: TGT(T/A)X(T/A)XXX(T/A)XXTGT (Figure S4F) as the putative predicted BfpR binding sequence.

BfpR Is Associated With Regulation of Biofilm Formation
Response regulators are known to regulate biofilm formation and dispersal in other bacterial systems. Our group has previously showed the positive regulation of biofilm formation by the QseB/PmrA response regulator in <i>F. novicida</i> (Durham-Colleran et al., 2010). Mutation or deletion of QseB/PmrA leads to a significant decrease in biofilm formation. Here, we assessed the role of BfpR in biofilm formation in <i>F. novicida</i> by measuring the ability of WT, bfpR, and bfpR<sup>ox</sup> to form biofilm. Using the crystal violet staining method, biofilm adherence to polystyrene was quantified as in our previous study (Durham-Colleran et al., 2010). The bfpR mutant had a ∼5-fold increase in biofilm formation over WT (Figure 5). The results also indicate that WT and bfpR<sup>ox</sup> produced statistically equivalent amounts of biofilm after 24 h, which were both much less than the large amount of biofilm produced by the bfpR mutant. The biofilm production of the glgC transposon-insertion mutant is also demonstrated. The bfpR mutant phenotype is the opposite phenotype than the QseB/PmrA mutation, which showed a decrease in biofilm production.

Because BfpR protein is predicted to bind to pgm-glgC promoter DNA (Figure S4F), we tested whether bfpR mutation influenced the levels of intracellular glycogen and extracellular polysaccharide (pgm is immediately upstream of glgC and the two genes are predicted to be in the same operon, sharing the same promoter). We demonstrated that GlgC protein levels were 3-fold increased in the bfpR mutant strain (see above). The extracellular level of polysaccharide production from WT, bfpR, and bfpR<sup>ox</sup> was determined by iodine vapor staining. The glgC transposon-insertion mutant (Gallagher et al., 2007) was used as a control for no glycogen production. Figure S6A shows that...
**bfpR Mutation Increases Susceptibility to AMPs and Overexpression Increases AMP Resistance**

Other phenotypes of interest in *Francisella* include cationic antimicrobial peptide (AMP) resistance (Jones et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012) and intracellular growth (Nano et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2012), each of which has been demonstrated as being under the control of response regulator in other bacteria. Response regulators are known to control resistance to polymyxin B (cyclic peptide antibiotic) and AMPs, including the response regulators ParR (Fernandez et al., 2010), PhoP (Guina et al., 2000), and PmrA (Gunn and Miller, 1996) in other bacteria. A gene encoding glycosyltransferase (FTN_0545, FlmF2, or yfdH) was found to be significantly decreased (0.45-fold) in expression in the bfpR mutant strain relative to WT (Richards et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). This gene is involved in the "biosynthesis of disaccharides, oligosaccharides and polysaccharides" and has sequence homology to ArnC of *P. aeruginosa*, which is annotated as a "putative polymyxin resistance protein" (Song et al., 2009). In *P. aeruginosa*, the *arn* operon is involved in resistance to peptide antibiotics and cathelicidin AMPs such as the human LL-37, and is regulated by the TCS ParR-ParS (Fernandez et al., 2010). The altered expression of LPS-related genes (*glgB* and *bfpR*) complemented overexpressing strain (*bfpR*ox) is also consistent with the respective increased susceptibility and resistance to cationic AMPs in these two strains.

The effect of AMPs and peptide antibiotics on WT *F. novicida* and *bfpR* mutants was tested. *bfpR*ox was significantly more resistant to AMPs LL-37 and SMAP-29 than WT (*p < 0.05*) (Figure 6). Specifically, the EC90 values (and associated 95% confidence intervals) of WT, *bfpR*, and *bfpR*ox for LL-37 were determined to be 1.0 (0.4–2.4), 0.2 (0.1–0.8), and 3.5 (2.5–5.0) μg/mL, respectively, for SMAP-29 the values were 0.9 (0.5–1.8), 0.5 (0.3–0.7), and 2.2 (0.9–5.3) μg/mL, respectively. The EC90 values obtained show significant ≥2-fold shifts in sensitivity and resistance in a BfpR-dependent manner. Our study showed slightly increased susceptibility of *F. novicida* to two potent alpha-helical AMPs (LL-37 and SMAP-29) with *bfpR* mutation and significantly increased resistance when *bfpR* was overexpressed.

*Francisella* species are known to be highly resistant to polymyxin, a drug that is often used as a model of cationic antimicrobial peptide sensitivity. *Francisella* grown on media containing polymyxin B at 100 μg/mL in the specialized media used to isolate *Francisella* spp. from environmental samples (Petersen et al., 2009). *Francisella* that have the mutant *bfpR* were not found to be more susceptible than WT to cyclic peptide antibiotics such as polymyxin B, while *bfpR*ox displayed significant resistance to killing (Figure S7). Thus, BfpR may be a positive regulator of AMP-resistance, likely through an indirect mechanism.
High Mg$^{2+}$ and Response Regulator-Targeting Inhibitor Recovers Growth Inhibited by $bfpR$ Overexpression

Mg$^{2+}$ is often sensed via bacterial two-component systems, such as PhoPQ, where under high Mg$^{2+}$ PhoQ dephosphorylates PhoP to an inactive state (Groisman, 2001). We assessed the growth of the $bfpR$ mutant and $bfpR^{ox}$ and observed a significant inhibition of growth ($p < 0.05$) from overexpressing $bfpR$ (Figure 7A). Our results show that Mg$^{2+}$, in high concentrations (100 mM), did not alter the growth of WT or $bfpR$ mutant bacteria (Figure 7B). In the growth deficient $bfpR^{ox}$, however, Mg$^{2+}$ increased growth back to WT levels in a dose dependent manner (Figure S8). Thus, high Mg$^{2+}$ released the growth suppression induced by overexpressing BfpR and relieves the apparent growth defect.

The relationship of the signal Mg$^{2+}$ and gene regulation via the PhoP-PhoQ TCS has been established in Salmonella typhimurium, E. coli, Yersinia pestis, and P. aeruginosa (Garcia Vescovi et al., 1996; Minagawa et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2005; McPhee et al., 2006). Although Francisella species do not encode for an obviously homologous PhoP-PhoQ system, $bfpR$ and its adjacent putative sensor kinase $bfpK$ may play a similar role in F. novicida signaling. Our growth and kinetic experiments showed that the growth defect of the $bfpR^{ox}$ strain could be relieved by high Mg$^{2+}$ treatment (similar to the effect of walrycin A, a response regulator-inhibitor, shown below). We have not yet determined whether this Mg$^{2+}$ effect is directly acting on the BfpR or is an indirect action on the cognate sensor kinase.

Interestingly, a similar effect was seen using a response regulator-inhibitor found to target WalR in Gram-positive bacteria, walrycin A (Gotoh et al., 2010). We tested walrycin A (4-methoxy-1-naphthol) and two control naphthol compounds (Figure 7C) for their effect on growth of WT, $bfpR$, and $bfpR^{ox}$. The control compounds, 1-naphthol and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol, differ only slightly from walrycin A in their chemical structure. Walrycin A alone was capable of offsetting the decreased growth of $bfpR^{ox}$ ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 7D).

To explore potential binding of walrycin A to BfpR, computational docking experiments were performed using AutoDock 4.2. Walrycin A, 1-naphthol, and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol were each docked to our full-length model of BfpR. The location of the top ranked putative binding pocket (pocket 1) was the same for all three compounds (Figure S9). Pockets 2 and 3 also ranked among the top binding sites across all the compounds. Pockets 1 and 2 are located in the DNA binding domain. Pocket 2 is specifically located at the end of the DNA-binding helix where compound binding could directly impact DNA-binding activity. Pocket 3 is located within the dimerization interface of the N-terminal receiver domain where a compound could disrupt the ability of BfpR to dimerize. From the putative binding interactions identified in these docking simulations, the ability of walrycin A to offset the decrease in $bfpR^{ox}$ growth could be caused by walrycin A binding BfpR at these sites. There is no significant difference between the predicted interactions between BfpR and walrycin A, 1-naphthol, and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol. This is likely due to the similar structural characteristics of each of these compounds. The predicted binding energy, ligand efficiency (LE), and binding efficiency index (BEI) for each compound in each pocket are shown in Figure S9. Based on these results, walrycin A, 1-naphthol, and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol all have the potential to bind BfpR within the limits of rigid docking experiments.

To confirm these potential binding interactions, we performed differential scanning fluorimetry experiments using BfpR-His$_6$ with or without walrycin A, 1-naphthol, and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol. The results show that a protein population not seen in the presence of the control compounds appears in the presence of walrycin A (Figure 7E). Consistent with the proposed mechanism on WalR (Gotoh et al., 2010), the thermal shift to lower temperatures suggests that the walrycin A-treated BfpR population is destabilized and unfolds at a lower temperature than untreated BfpR.

Using a 2-aminoimidazole-based compound that targets response regulators, we have previously shown that we can inhibit BfmR, a master controller of biofilm in Acinetobacter baumanii (Thompson et al., 2012; Milton et al., 2017), and...
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**FIGURE 7** | bfpR overexpression growth defect and growth induction by Mg$^{2+}$ and inhibitor. (A) The growth rates of WT and bfpR in TSBC. (B) The growth of WT and bfpR in TSBC with increasing concentration of MgCl$_2$. (C) Chemical structures of walrycin A (4-Methoxy-1-naphthol), 1-Naphthol, and 7-Methoxy-2-naphthol are shown. (D) WT, bfpR, and bfpR$^{ox}$ with a titration of walrycin A (blue, red, green) and control compounds 1-naphthol (purple, orange, black) and 7-Methoxy-2-naphthol (brown, navy blue, and maroon). The data normalized to 1.0 for untreated. (E) Differential scanning fluorimetry using SYPRO Orange was performed on BfpR-His$_6$ with and without walrycin A, 1-Naphthol, or 7-Methoxy-2-naphthol. Walrycin A: wal A, 1-Naphthol: 1-nap, 7-ethoxy-2-naphthol: 7-m-2-n. Data are shown as averages from three independent experiments, error bars indicate standard deviation, and significance was determined by Student’s t-test. *$p < 0.001$.

F. novicida QseB (Milton et al., 2017; Draughn et al., 2018). These results suggest that response regulators are a potential therapeutic target. Walrycin A was discovered in a screen by Gotoh et al. to target the WalR response regulator of *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* (Gotoh et al., 2010). In both of these low-GC content Gram-positives, WalR is known to be essential for cell viability, while also regulating biofilm formation, antibiotic resistance, and virulence (Dubrac et al., 2007; Howden et al., 2011). Gotoh *et al.* determined that walrycin A binds to WalR monomers, inducing the formation of an unstable dimer, altering the structural conformation such that DNA-binding is inhibited (Gotoh et al., 2010). Two of the top predicted walrycin A binding sites also are located in regions that could directly impact DNA-binding and dimerization. The effect on *F. novicida* appeared to be similar to *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*, as both WT and the bfpR mutant had decreased growth in high concentrations of walrycin A. Conversely, when the bfpR$^{ox}$ was treated with 10 µM walrycin A, a 16-fold increase in growth was observed, suggesting that walrycin A decreases the effect of BfpR repression, enabling growth to WT-levels. These data indicate a potential for response regulator-targeting compounds to control *Francisella* growth, and other activities modulated by response regulators. The concept of targeting sensor kinases in *F. tularensis* with an inhibitor has shown potential in a previous study from our group (Rasko *et al*., 2008).

**bfpR Overexpression Inhibits Intramacrophage Replication and Infection in Galleria mellonella**

We assessed the effect of BfpR on intramacrophage replication and virulence in the moth larva insect model, *Galleria mellonella*. First, to determine the relative levels of FPI protein expression, we assayed levels of one of the well-studied virulence factors, the FPI protein IglC in WT, bfpR, and bfpR$^{ox}$ strains by western blotting. Consistent with our proteomic data, levels of IglC in WT and bfpR were similar to each other, while IglC levels in bfpR$^{ox}$ were significantly decreased (Figure 8A). This
response regulator-mediated control of IgIC is opposite to the activity shown in previous studies of QseB/PmrA in *F. novicida* and *F. tularensis* LVS (Sammons-Jackson et al., 2008; Bell et al., 2010; Durham-Colleran et al., 2010). In those studies, mutants of qseB/pmrA lead to a decrease in IgIC expression. Thus, BfpR may act in the opposite direction with respect to iglC and FPI gene expression than QseB/PmrA, and be a direct or indirect negative regulator.

Using human-derived U937 macrophages, we infected PMA-differentiated macrophages at 50 MOI for 2 h and performed gentamicin protection so that only intracellular *Francisella* remained. *bfpR* showed increased intracellular levels of bacteria at 4 and 24 h, compared to WT, while *bfpR*ox resulted in significantly decreased levels of intracellular bacterial replication after 24 h (*p* < 0.05) (Figure 8B), consistent with the highly reduced IgIC expression but this result may also have been affected by the *in vitro* growth defect observed (Figure 7).

To assess the effect of BfpR on *F. novicida* infection, we used the *G. mellonella* infection model. This model organism has previously been shown to be a useful model of the innate immune system in mammals and has been used as a model host of *Francisella* infection (Aperis et al., 2007; Ahmad et al., 2010; McKenney et al., 2012; Kaushal et al., 2016). Figure 8D shows that WT and *bfpR* mutant are similar in pathogenicity to the *Galleria* larvae, while the *bfpR*ox is significantly less pathogenic (*p* < 0.001), causing no killing until 60 h, consistent with highly reduced IgIC expression. We conclude that BfpR overexpression reduces virulence of *F. novicida* in this infection model, while BfpR transposon insertion mutant has little effect, suggesting a negative regulation model.

Our previous observations indicated that the addition of Mg2+ may cause de-repression of the BfpR-mediated decrease in growth. To further investigate this de-repression in relation to virulence factor expression, we incubated *bfpR*ox with a titration of Mg2+ and probed for IgIC levels. Our results show a Mg2+ concentration-dependent increase in IgIC expression (Figure 8C). To further explore the significance of this result in our infection model, we grew *bfpR*ox in 100 mM Mg2+ and again, normalized bacterial number and infected *Galleria* larvae. After 36 h the increased virulence of Mg2+ *bfpR*ox was apparent, with a significantly shorter time-to-death compared to *bfpR*ox grown without addition of 100 mM Mg2+ (*p* < 0.001), consistent with the de-repression of IgIC expression. We hypothesize that the initial growth conditions of the bacteria enable the production of certain virulence factors that enable the *Francisella* to establish an infection in the waxworm. Thus, BfpR has sensitivity to Mg2+, consistent with its WalR/PhoP classification (Lejona et al., 2003).
The intricate control of the many physiological activities of *Francisella* is critical for its ability to survive in the environment and in infected hosts. The BfpR regulon is shown here to exert physiological effects on *F. novicida*, particularly affecting the production of *F. novicida* biofilm and resistance to antimicrobial peptides. BfpR also indirectly or directly negatively modulates expression of some *Francisella* Pathogenicity Island genes such as *iglC*, which are necessary for intramacrophage replication and infection. In summary, we conclude that *Francisella* BfpR is a response regulator of the OmpR/PhoP family that negatively regulates the expression of some FPI proteins and biofilm formation and positively regulates resistance to AMPs.

Interestingly, this places BfpR in opposition with MglA and QseB/PmrA. The transcriptional regulator MglA and the response regulator QseB/PmrA have been shown to be activators of intracellular replication by positively regulating FPI genes (Brotcke et al., 2006; Dai et al., 2010). However, in a recent study, Ramsey and Dove suggest that QseB/PmrA may not directly regulate FPI expression, and it may not positively regulate expression of FPI genes, therefore the direct role of QseB/PmrA in regulation of virulence remains unclear (Ramsey and Dove, 2016). Further investigation of these two-component systems may allow for a better understanding of the network of proteins controlling virulence factor expression in *F. novicida*, the potential activity of protein complexes that include BfpR, as well as the potential for response regulator-targeting compounds that can control or block the function of these critical proteins.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Growth Conditions**

The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed (Table S1). *F. novicida* U112 and bfpR transposon mutant (FTN1452, strain tnf1_PW060328p04q153) obtained from BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH were grown at 37°C in Tryptic Soy Broth with 0.1% (w/v) cysteine (TSBC). The bfpR mutant was grown in kanamycin 20 µg/mL in the first passage to ensure presence of the transposon. *Escherichia coli* was grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or on LB agar. When necessary, antibiotics were added: ampicillin 50 µg/mL, kanamycin 20 µg/mL and tetracycline 50 µg/mL.

**Purifying BfpR N-Terminal Domain**

The BfpR N-terminal domain construct (BfpRN, residues 1–129) was cloned into pET28a (Novagen) using NdeI and XhoI restriction sites to generate a protein construct containing a thrombin cleavable N-terminal His6 affinity tag. Proteins were over-expressed in BL21(DE3) cells (Invitrogen) at 37°C, 120 rpm in LB. At an OD600 of ~0.7, cells were induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16°C, overnight. Harvested cell pellets were stored at -80°C for later use. Pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris pH 7.8, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 0.1 mM of AEBSF). Cells were sonicated and the resulting lysate clarified at 15,000 rpm for 20 min. The clarified lysate was loaded onto 10 mL of Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN) pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer. Ni-NTA-bound protein was subsequently washed with 10 column volumes of lysis buffer followed by 10 column volumes of 20 mM Tris pH 7.8, 1 M NaCl, and 5 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted with a linear gradient from lysis buffer to elution buffer (25 mM Tris pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, and 300 mM imidazole). Fractions containing protein were pooled. The affinity tag was then cleaved by 100 units of thrombin for 2 h at room temperature. Cleavage was quenched with 0.1 mM AEBSF. The sample was concentrated and further purified using a S100 26/60 size exclusion column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM Tris pH 7.8 and 400 mM NaCl. Fractions containing the purest protein based on SDS-PAGE analysis were pooled and concentrated to 6.7 mg mL⁻¹. Protein was stored at 4°C for use in crystallography experiments.

**Crystallization and Structure Determination**

BfpRN crystals were grown through hanging-drop vapor diffusion against 0.2 M calcium chloride, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5, and 28% polyethylene glycol 400 at room temperature. Crystals grew at 6.7 mg mL⁻¹ with a protein to cocktail ratio of 1:1. Crystals were cryoprotected using 30% glycerol prior to flash freezing with liquid nitrogen. Data was collected at a wavelength of 1.0332 Å at APS beamline 23-ID (GM/CA). Diffraction was indexed, merged, and scaled using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). Molecular replacement was carried out in Phaser-MR within the PHENIX suite (McCoy et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2010) using our QseBN structure (PDB ID 5UIC) (Milton et al., 2017) as a starting model. The resulting solution was further refined using COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and phenix.refine (Adams et al., 2010). Statistics for data collection and refinement are in Table S2. All structure figures were produced using PyMOL (Schrodinger, 2010).

**Construction of Complemented Mutant Overexpressing bfpR**

To obtain the BfpR gene from WT *F. novicida* U112 cDNA, PCR was performed using forward and reverse primers with the Qiagen HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen). The PCR product was cleaved with PstI and EcoRI (New England Biolabs), run on 1% TAE agarose gel and extracted using the QIAquick Gel Kit (Qiagen). The JSG2845 vector contains a *F. tularensis* IVS groEL promoter in front of *pmrA* (Mohapatra et al., 2007). The *pmrA* gene was removed, a multicloning site was added containing PstI and EcoRI, and BfpR was inserted. The plasmid was ligated with T4 DNA ligase (Promega) following manufacturer's instructions. Following ligation, the plasmid was transformed into NEB 5-alpha competent cells (New England Biolabs), run on 1% TAE agarose gel and extracted using the QIAquick Gel Kit (Qiagen). The JSG2845 vector contains a *F. tularensis* IVS groEL promoter in front of *pmrA* (Mohapatra et al., 2007). The *pmrA* gene was removed, a multicloning site was added containing PstI and EcoRI, and BfpR was inserted. The plasmid was ligated with T4 DNA ligase (Promega) following manufacturer's instructions. Following ligation, the plasmid was transformed into NEB 5-alpha competent cells (New England Biolabs), and plated on LB agar (10 µg/mL tetracycline). The plasmid was purified using the Qiagen Spin MiniPrep Kit (Qiagen) and sequenced (Macrogen USA, Maryland). Electroporation of the *bfpR* transposon mutant was performed as previously described (Maier et al., 2004). An overnight culture of *bfpR* mutant was pelleted, washed three times in 0.5 M sucrose, and pipetted into the electroporation cell (0.2 cm) and was electroporated (GenePulser II (Bio-Rad, California, US); 600 ohm, 2.5 kV, 25 µF). Electroporated samples were plated (TSBC, 10 µg/mL tetracycline). This method was...
used for both the untagged BfpR complemented overexpressing (bfpR<sup>ox</sup>) and the BfpR-His<sub>8</sub>-tagged strains (Table S1).

**Purifying BfpR Protein**

The BfpR gene was inserted into a protein expression vector for purification. PCR amplification of bfpR DNA was done from *F. novicida* U112 cDNA using specific primers in Table 1. The product was inserted into pTrcHis II TOPO (TOPO TA cloning kit, Invitrogen) and transformed into *E. coli* One Shot<sup>®</sup> TOP10 Chemically Competent cells (Life Technologies, Invitrogen). Positive transformant cells were selected with ampicillin (50 µg/ml) on LB agar and verified by PCR using the Xpress forward primer (Invitrogen). Expression of BfpR in cultures was induced by IPTG (1 mM) for 6–8 h and the His<sub>8</sub>-tagged protein was purified using Ni<sup>2+</sup>-NTA resin (Clontech) and eluted with imidazole. Protein was dialyzed with PBS, lyophilized and frozen until use.

**LC-MS/MS Proteomic Analysis**

Whole cell lysates from WT *F. novicida*, bfpR, and bfpR<sup>ox</sup> were prepared (Figure S5). Tryptic peptides were analyzed by reverse-phase liquid chromatography with nanospray tandem mass spectrometry using a LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corporation) and fused silica capillary column (100 µm × 10 cm; Polymicro Technologies) with a laser-pulled C18 tip (5 µm, 200-Å pore size; Michrom Bioresources Inc.). After injection, the column was washed (5 min mobile phase A (0.4% acetic acid), and peptides were eluted using a linear gradient of 0% mobile phase B (0.4% acetic acid and 80% acetonitrile) to 50% mobile phase B (30 min, 0.25 µL/min) and then to 100% mobile phase B (5 min). The LTQ mass spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode, where each full mass spectrometric scan was followed by five tandem mass spectrometric scans, in which the five most abundant molecular ions were dynamically selected for collision-induced dissociation using a normalized collision energy of 35%. Tandem mass spectra were searched against the *F. novicida* U112 NCBI database with SEQUEST (Pierson et al., 2011).

**Analysis of Proteomic Data and Promoters**

Spectral counting was used to compare relative changes in protein abundance. Average protein expression was analyzed by using the biological significance limit of 1.5-fold up-regulation and 0.66-fold down-regulation from the comparisons of both WT vs. bfpR and bfpR<sup>ox</sup> vs. bfpR. Proteins that were not present in any one of the samples (WT, bfpR, or bfpR<sup>ox</sup>) were excluded from the analysis. For categorization of up and down-regulated proteins, modules of the KEGG database were used, with some additions for clarity (adherence and FPI). Analysis of promoters of genes found in the BfpR regulon were performed using PePPER (de Jong et al., 2012), leading us to identify the putative binding sequence as: TGT-n<sub>8/9</sub>-TGT.

**ELISA**

The ELISA method for detection of protein-DNA interactions has been previously described (Brand et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013). The ELISA was performed by using pH 9.4 sodium bicarbonate buffer to coat the surface of an EIA/RIA (96-well) medium binding polystyrene plate (Corning, NY, US) with BfpR-His<sub>8</sub> at 3 µM or BSA in 100 µL of buffer. Following 3 h incubation at RT, the plate was washed three times. The plate was then blocked with poly(dI-dC) at 10 ng/µL, 1 h. After washing, biotinylated promoter fragments were incubated, 1 h. After 3x washing, rabbit anti-biotin HRP conjugate antibody (Abcam) was added at 1:10,000, 1 h. After washing, 1-Step Turbo TMB solution (Pierce) was added, 15 min. The reaction was stopped and read at 450 nm using a BioTek microplate reader (Vermont, United States). Data is shown with BSA control values subtracted.

**Molecular Modeling of Full Length BfpR**

A full-length model of BfpR was generated using MODELER v9.12 (Eswar et al., 2006). The model was constructed using the crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of BfpR and homologs PhoP from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (PDB ID 3R0J) (Menon and Wang, 2011), PmrA from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (PDB IDs 4S04 and 4S05) (Lou et al., 2015), KdpE from *E. coli* (PDB IDs 4KFC and 4KNY) (Narayan et al., 2014), and the receiver domain of QseB from *F. novicida* (PDB ID 5UIC) (Milton et al., 2017). Five hundred models were generated from the sequence alignment of the above-mentioned protein structures with BfpR. Resulting models were scored based on the normalized DOPE (zDOPE) method (Eswar et al., 2006). The structure with the lowest zDOPE score was subsequently run through MolSoft ICM Full Model Builder to generate a fully refined model (MolSoft LLC). Finally, the model was run through PROCHECK and PSVS to evaluate the quality of the model (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/software/PROCHECK/ and (Bhattacharya et al., 2007)). The “best” model had the lowest zDOPE score, highest percentage of favored and allowed Ramachandran regions, and lowest MolProbity clash score.

**BfmR-DNA Binding Simulations**

Duplex DNA containing the acpA promoter fragment sequence 5'-AACGTGGTAC was generated using the 3D-DART webserver (van Dijk and Bonvin, 2009). The full-length homology model of BfpR and DNA were input into the HADDOCK webserver (Wassenaar et al., 2012; van Zundert et al., 2016). K178, E198, R205, T219, and 2 G219 were set as active residues and V199 and R203 were set as passive residues for BfpR based on homology to the DNA bound structure of PmrA (PDB ID 4S04) (Lou et al., 2015). For the DNA, C2 was set as a passive residue and T4, G5, and T6 were set as passive based on hydrogen bonding observed in the PmrA structure. The highest ranked cluster was selected based on criteria established by HADDOCK.

**ChIP-PCR**

The BfpR-His<sub>8</sub> plasmid was transformed into the bfpR mutant. The transformant was grown up in 40 mL of TSBC with 10 µg/mL of tetracycline overnight. The bacterial culture was fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 1 h at RT. The cells were then pelleted at 3,000 × g for 10 min and 2 mL of PBS was added to solubilize the pellet. This was then lysed with freeze/thaw repeated three times. The lysate was sonicated three by 10 s, in 1 min intervals on ice. Lysate was incubated with 500 µL of Ni
resin at RT for 30 min. The resin was washed and eluted from using imidazole buffers as described in the QIAexpressionist protocol (Qiagen). Using the eluted solution PCR was performed using the (reverse primers are 5’ biotinylated) primers for the promoter of acpA (fragments I, II, and III). The ORF of FTN1340 (acpP) was used as a negative control.

Circular Dichroism
CD spectra were collected using a Jasco J-815 spectropolarimeter with a 0.1 cm path-length cuvette. Spectra were recorded from 220 to 280 nm in 2-nm steps in 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4, 25°C) on samples of BfpR-His6 and the acpA promoter regions (−500 to −337, −356 to −177, and −198 to −10 bp). Three scans were taken per sample and averaged. Samples were at a concentration of 50 µg/mL of BfpR-His6 and 10 µg/mL of DNA.

RNAseq
RNA was prepared using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. RNA samples were sent to Otogetics (Georgia, US) for sequencing. Sequencing data (fastq) of each of the samples was mapped against the F. novicida U112 genome (NC_008601, available at NCBI http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=NC_008601). Mapped data sets were input into BEDtools to determine the hits counts on each of the regions defined in NC_008601. Hits counts of samples were used as input to edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) for differential gene expression analysis, where the CPM (count per million), P-value, and FDR were calculated using Exact test in edgeR, with biological coefficient of variation (BCV) set to 0.1. A false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 was considered as significantly different. For the WT sample, 12,547,978 reads were obtained. For the BfpR mutant, 10,523,222 reads were obtained as a combined data set from 2 runs, for the complemented overexpression strain BfpRox, 12,516,440 reads were obtained. These resulting data were then subjected to analysis similar to that described in Analysis of proteomic data and promoters methods above, with cutoff of [log2FC]>2.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry
DSF experiments were carried out as previously described (Niesen et al., 2007). Control heating and fluorescence record was done with CFX96 Realtime and C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, California, US). Five micrometers BfpR-His6 was loaded into hard shell 96-well PCR plates with transparent film covers (Bio-Rad California, US), with 2X SYPRO Orange (Sigma, Missouri, US). Heat gradients were carried out to 95°C. Fluorescence was analyzed in the presence or absence of walrycin A (4-methoxy-1-naphthol), 7-methoxy-2-naphthol (Santa Cruz Biotech, California, US), or 1-naphthol (Sigma, Missouri, US). Unfolding at lower temperatures, relative to control samples, indicates destabilization.

BfpR-Small Molecule Docking Simulations
Walrycin A, 1-naphthol, and 7-methoxy-2-naphthol were docked to our full-length model of BfpR using AutoDock 4.2 (Morris et al., 2009). One hundred poses were searched against the BfpR model and clustered using default AutoDock 4.2 settings for the genetic algorithm, with the maximum number of evaluations set to long (25,000,000 evaluations). Top-ranking clusters were analyzed based on binding energy, ligand efficiency, and binding efficiency index and visualized using PyMol.

Susceptibility to AMPs
Susceptibility to AMPs was assessed using previous described methods for EC50 determination (Han et al., 2008; Amer et al., 2010). All peptides were synthesized by ChinaPeptides, Inc., (Shanghai, China) using Fmoc chemistry. Peptides were provided at >95% purity; structure and purity were confirmed via RP-HPLC and ESI-MS. Briefly, AMPs were serially diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.2). Bacteria were added to each well and incubated for 3 h at 37°C with 5% CO2. Samples were then serially diluted and plated on TSBC agar plates as previously described (Dean et al., 2011). Colonies were counted and EC50 values were calculated using GraphPad Prism software (version 6) for Mac OS (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Biofilm Formation
Overnight cultures of bacteria were diluted 1:30 into 20 mL of TSBC. 200 µL was added to each well of a 96 well plate (BD Falcon 353072). After 24 h at 37°C, the optical densities (OD) of the wells were taken at 600 nm to normalize for growth, then the liquid was removed by washing with tap water as previously described (O’Toole, 2011). Plates were then incubated at 70°C, 1 h and stained with 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet, 15 min. The stain was removed and previous wash step was repeated. Stain was solubilized out from the biofilm by adding 200 µL of 30% (v/v) acetic acid and absorbance was read at 590 nm with a microplate reader. Observation of biofilm formation in test tubes was performed similarly. 1:30 dilutions of overnight cultures were carried out into 3 mL of TSBC. After growth at 24 h at 37°C, absorbance at 600 nm was taken. Bacteria were removed, tubes were washed, and then stained with 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet. The stain was removed, tubes were washed, and pictures were taken with a Perfection 2,480 PHOTO scanner (Epson).

Western Blots
To determine IglC protein expression, WT, bfpR, and bfpRox were grown overnight in TSBC, bacterial number normalized by OD600 and pellets lysed with BPER (Pierce). Protein concentration was determined by BCA assay. Samples were run on 4–20% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose, probed with mouse monoclonal antibodies to IglC (NR-3196) and Tuf4 (NR-29019, BEI Resources, Manassas, VA) followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody and developed by SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce). To determine Mg2+-dependent IglC protein expression in bfpRox, the same protocol was followed but with addition varying MgCl2 concentrations during growth.

Intracellular Replication Assays
The U937 cell line (CRL-1593.2), derived from human macrophages, was used to determine intracellular replication activity. Cells were cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium (Thermo) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum
(Gibco). One percentage of penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) was added during initial cultivation. 1 × 10^7 cells in 30 mL RPMI in 75 cm² flasks were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2. Experiments were not performed on cells passaged more than 30 times. For infections, cells (1 × 10^6 cells/well in 24 well plates) were differentiated for 24 h using phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (Sigma, Missouri, US). The differentiated cells were washed with PBS and fresh media was added. Bacteria were added at 50 MOI for 2 h by spinfection. Cells were washed with PBS and fresh media was added with 20 µg/mL gentamicin (Fisher Chemical) and incubated for 1 h. The cells were then washed again and media was replaced with RPMI containing 2 µg/mL gentamicin. Intracellular replication kinetics were determined by lysing with 0.1% deoxycholic acid (Sigma, Missouri, US) in PBS and plating on TSBC agar plates. The assays were performed in triplicate (3 wells per strain) and repeated three independent times.

**Galleria mellonella Infection Model**

*Galleria mellonella* larvae were obtained from Vanderhorst Wholesale (Saint Marys, OH, USA). Eight to twelve caterpillars of equal size/weight were randomly assigned to each group. Prior to injection, overnight bacterial cultures were normalized to an OD600 of 0.1. Cultures were also plated to confirm viability. A 1 mL tuberculin syringe was used to inject 10^7 cells/mL of bacteria into the hemocoel of each caterpillar. For MgCl2 injection, 10 µL of 1 M MgCl2 (Sigma, Missouri, US) was injected. Control groups included injection with PBS and MgCl2 only. The insects were then observed daily for their survival status. Three independent experiments were performed.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism software (version 6) for Mac OS (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). A P < 0.05 was considered to be significant. In some cases, data are included with a 95% confidence interval, which is a similar level of significance. The Kaplan-Meier curve and log-rank test was used to graph and analyze the caterpillar infection experiments. The Student’s t-test was used in each other case.
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