Effect of soil depth and increasing fertilization rate on yield and its components of two durum wheat varieties
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Agromonic practices, climatic variables, and soil conditions are key factors in crop productivity. Although the effects of soil chemical properties and water and agronomic crop management are known, there is little information about effective soil depth and its influence on crop productivity. Since most crop fertilization systems are based on the productive potential associated with climatic conditions and chemical properties of the first 20 cm of soil depth, the objective of this study was to determine the importance of effective depth in terms of increasing fertilization rates on durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L. var. durum) productivity. Two experiments were conducted in the 2006-2007 season in the Santa Rosa Experimental Station (71°54' S, 36°31' W, 220 m a.s.l.) of the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA) located in south central Chile. We used the hard wheat cvs. Llareta-INIA and Corcolén-INIA because both respond differently to soil physicochemical properties. Each cultivar was sown in sectors with different depths: 1) 0.45 m depth, silt loam on river material and 2) 1.0 m depth, loam on deep sediments. Fertilization was: 1) control without fertilization, 2) basal fertilization (BF) based on P, K, Ca, Mg, S, B, and Zn plus 90 kg N ha⁻¹, and 3) BF plus 210 kg N ha⁻¹. Grain yield, plant height, and number of stems m⁻² were positively affected by increasing depth of soil profile. The increasing fertilization rate affected grain yield and plant height. Grain yield for cv. Corcolén-INIA had a greater response than cv. Llareta-INIA when soil depth was increased.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant productivity is affected by climatic, soil, and agronomic factors. Climatic factors influence the likelihood of developing a particular species for productive purposes, while soil and agronomic factors determine the productivity level that will be achieved. Mengel and Kirkby (1987) indicate that texture and clay mineral type, structure, soil moisture, soil atmosphere, soil acidity or alkalinity (pH), and salinity are among the important soil factors. Bengough et al. (2011) point out that root growth can be limited by physical, chemical, or biological factors and that the development of extensive root systems is very important in water- and nutrient-limited soil. Christopher et al. (2008) indicate that soil depth associated with soil capacity to retain moisture in the presence or absence of rainwater determines wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity. For winter wheat, Zhang et al. (2004) show that 15% of the root mass at the maturity stage was located at a depth greater than 1 m within the soil profile, and that root density depth is directly associated with the capacity to use water stored in that zone. Heuvelmans (2010) states that soil water availability is a determining factor in agricultural production and is not only associated with climatic conditions, soil use, and soil properties, but also with the presence and fluctuations of groundwater during the growing season. Whitmore and Whalley (2009) indicate that root distribution at a greater depth contributes to improving yield in water stress conditions.

Whitmore et al. (2011) point out that wheat production is limited by soil mechanical resistance, a factor that is part of the root environment. Various studies of soil profile reserve indicate that determining nutrient availability in the superficial stratum (0-20 cm) is an inadequate indicator of the soil chemical properties or the effect of residual fertilization from the previous crop (Eghball et al., 2003; Hirzel et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011). This suggests the need to monitor these properties within the root-explorable soil profile. Richards (2008) specifies that the increase in depth explored by the roots and root density of cereals allows increased water and nutrient absorption from the soil, which increases productivity. McDonald (2006) demonstrates that subsoil physical properties are important in low rainfall areas and affect grain yield and water use efficiency in wheat and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). In a wheat study, this same author determined that electrical conductivity (EC) parameters and nitrate concentrations...
Soils in Chile vary in their physical properties within a same crop area, which is mainly associated with the depth of the arable soil profile; this affects the potential productivity of each crop within the same management system. In addition, distinct cultivars of a species respond differently to productive factors, thus affecting yield and yield components, which highlights plant height and the number of stems m\(^{-2}\) associated with biomass production. It is necessary to generate knowledge associated with soil physical properties and their relationship with productivity, but many producers do not clearly understand the importance of these soil physical properties with respect to chemical properties, and that some crop fertilization recommendation systems are based on the productive potential of a species only as a function of climatic conditions and chemical properties of the first 20 cm of soil depth. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of two effective depths of a volcanic ash soil and three fertilization rates on yield and some yield components in two varieties of durum wheat (\textit{T. turgidum} \textit{var. durum}).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sites, soil, and treatments**

Two experiments were carried out in the 2006-2007 season in the Santa Rosa Experimental Station (71°54’ S, 36°31’ W, 220 m a.s.l.) of the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA) located in south central Chile. The climate is typical Mediterranean with a cold rainy winter and hot dry summer. The average annual rainfall of the site is 800 mm, which is mainly concentrated between May and September. Hard wheat (\textit{T. turgidum var. durum}) cvs. Llareta-INIA and Corcolén-INIA were used because both exhibit a different response to soil physicochemical properties. The silt loam volcanic ash soil is a Melanoxerands (CIREN, 1999). Soil samples at 0-20 cm depth were taken before sowing; soil chemical analysis was performed according to the methodology described by Sadzawka et al. (2006), who indicated limitations only for Mg, Zn, and B. In general, more shallow soil showed better chemical properties (Table 1). Crop rotation for both experiments was bean (\textit{Phaseolus vulgaris} L.) - oat (\textit{Avena sativa} L.) - wheat with no residue incorporation. Each cultivar was sown in sectors with different depths: 1) 0.45 m depth, silt loam on river material and 2) 1.00 m depth, loam on deep sediments. Soil depth was determined by locating soil pits on the edge of each experimental area and calculated with a RedLine Professional measuring tape.

Experiments included the following fertilization treatments: 1) control without fertilization; 2) 120 kg P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (triple superphosphate), 98 kg K\(_2\)O (potassium, magnesium chloride, and potassium sulfate), 52 kg CaO (triple superphosphate), 36 kg MgO (potassium and magnesium sulfate), 44 kg S (potassium and magnesium sulfate), 1 kg B (borax), and 1 kg Zn (zinc sulfate) ha\(^{-1}\); this mixture, called base fertilization (BF), plus 90 kg N (urea) ha\(^{-1}\) applied three times (33% at sowing, 33% at tillering, and 33% at stem elongation); and 3) BF + 210 kg N (urea) ha\(^{-1}\) applied three times (33% at sowing, 33% at tillering, and 33% at stem elongation or Z0, Z23, and Z31 on the Zadoks scale, respectively) (Zadoks et al., 1974). The N rates were determined in the ranges of N response in the different soil depths in this experiment. The other nutrient rates were determined by soil chemical analysis.

**Crop management and evaluated parameters**

Each experimental unit consisted of six 2-m long rows spaced 0.2 m between rows. The cultivars were sown on 15 August 2006 with a 220 kg ha\(^{-1}\) sowing density. The seed bed was prepared by plowing at a 30 cm depth followed by a superficial preparation with a vibro-cultivator (Gravely Professional, USA). The fertilization base was applied before sowing and irrigation was applied at the heading, milk, and dough grain stages as a complement to accumulated rainfall between July and December (650 mm). Irrigation (50 mm) each time was sufficient to maintain adequate soil moisture for crop development. An iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium herbicide was applied at a rate of 300 g ha\(^{-1}\) at the tillering stage to control grasses and dicotyledons. Foliar fungicides or insecticides were unnecessary because diseases and insects did not appear. Hard wheat plants were harvested at the hard kernel stage on 7 January 2007 and grain yield (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)), hectoliter weight (kg hl\(^{-1}\)), plant height (cm), and number of stems

---

### Table 1. Initial soil chemical properties of experimental sites (0-20 cm).

| Property                  | Shallow\(^1\) | Deep\(^2\) |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|
| pH                        | 6.23         | 6.27       |
| Organic matter, %         | 9.97         | 8.63       |
| Inorganic N, mg kg\(^{-1}\) | 10.3         | 9.8        |
| P-Olsen, mg kg\(^{-1}\)   | 22.6         | 18.0       |
| Exchangeable K, cmol\(_{(+)}\) kg\(^{-1}\) | 179.0 | 99.0 |
| Exchangeable Ca, cmol\(_{(+)}\) kg\(^{-1}\) | 4.55 | 4.30 |
| Exchangeable Mg, cmol\(_{(+)}\) kg\(^{-1}\) | 0.49 | 0.44 |
| Exchangeable Na, cmol\(_{(+)}\) kg\(^{-1}\) | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Exchangeable Al, cmol\(_{(+)}\) kg\(^{-1}\) | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Available S, mg kg\(^{-1}\) | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| Available Fe, mg kg       | 28.0         | 25.4       |
| Available Mn, mg kg       | 2.47         | 1.89       |
| Available Zn, mg kg       | 0.32         | 0.24       |
| Available Cu, mg kg       | 1.09         | 1.07       |
| Available B, mg kg        | 0.44         | 0.38       |

\(^{1}\)0.45 m depth; \(^{2}\)1.00 m depth.
m² were evaluated. Yield was determined from a sample of four 2-m long rows from each plot. Hectoliter weight of this harvested grain sample was determined with the L. Schopper scale (0.25 L) and the result expressed as kg hL⁻¹. Plant height was measured from the soil surface to the top of the spikes without considering awns; the number of tillers per m² was counted as the number of tillers per linear meter.

Experimental design
The experimental design was a randomized complete block in a split-plot arrangement with four replicates where soil depths were treatments corresponding to main plots and sub-treatments were fertilization levels corresponding to sub-plots. Results were analyzed with ANOVA and Tukey’s test (P = 0.05) by the SAS general model procedure (SAS Institute, 1989). The effects of each soil depth on yield were compared by orthogonal contrasts when there was interaction among sources of variation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Grain yield, plant height, and number of stems m⁻² were affected by soil depth in both cultivars (P < 0.05) (Table 2). Hectoliter weight (HW) was only influenced by soil depth in ‘Llareta-INIA’ (P < 0.05) (Table 2). Fertilization treatments had an effect on grain yield and plant height (P < 0.05) (Table 2). The number of stems m² was only affected by fertilization treatment in ‘Corcolén-INIA’ (Table 2). Hectoliter weight was not influenced by fertilization treatments in either of the evaluated cultivars (P > 0.05) (Table 2). In addition, soil depth and fertilization treatments did not interact with evaluated parameters for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ (Table 2) whereas grain yield interacted with soil depth and fertilization for ‘Llareta-INIA’ (Table 2).

Figures 1a and 1b show that grain yield in both cultivars was positively affected by soil depth for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ and ‘Llareta-INIA’, respectively. This beneficial effect is due to several factors including the higher volume explored by the roots (Zhang et al., 2004) and the higher soil volume with no mechanical restrictions (Whitmore et al., 2011). The increase in the fertilization rate also had an effect on grain yield; there were differences in production associated with effective soil depth (Figures 2a and 2b) when taking into consideration the greater root exploratory capacity and its relationship with the recovery of applied nutrients (Richards, 2008). Grain yields for fertilization treatments fluctuated between 6.3 and 7.7 Mg ha⁻¹ for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ and between 5.5 and 6.6 for ‘Llareta-INIA’ (Figures 2a and 2b, respectively); these were higher than the values reported by May et al. (2008) for hard wheat fertilized with lower or similar N rates (41.5, 85.0, and 140.0 kg N ha⁻¹) and slightly lower than those indicated by some authors for bread wheat in similar soils and climatic conditions (Mellado, 2000; Campillo
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Different letters for each bar indicate significant differences among treatments according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05). Means group different fertilization levels used for each treatment. Contrasts were generated with the following treatments: +C 0.45 m + (base fertilization [BF] + 90N 0.45 m) + (BF + 210N 0.45 m) – C 1.0 m – (BF + 90N 1.0 m) – (BF + 210N 1.0 m).

Figure 1. Grain yield for two soil depths of (a) cv. Corcolén-INIA, and (b) cv. Llareta-INIA.

Table 2. Significance levels for grain yield, hectoliter weight, plant height, and number of stems m⁻² of cv. Corcolén-INIA and cv. Llareta-INIA for two effective soil depths and three fertilization treatments.

| Characteristic       | Soil depth (S) | Fertilization (F) | Interaction (S * F) |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                      | Corcolén-INIA | Llareta-INIA      |                     |
| Grain yield, Mg ha⁻¹ | **            | **                | ns                  |
| Hectoliter weight, kg hL⁻¹ | ns          | ns                | ns                  |
| Plant height, cm     | **            | **                | ns                  |
| Number of stems m⁻²  | **            | **                | ns                  |

P < 0.01; *0.01 < P < 0.05; ns: non significant (P > 0.05).
Soil had (1) 0.45 m depth and (2) 1.90 m depth.
Treatments were (1) control without fertilization, (2) base fertilization (BF: 120 kg P₂O₅, 98 kg K₂O, 52 kg CaO, 36 kg MgO, 44 kg S, 1 kg B, and 1 kg Zn ha⁻¹) + 90 kg N ha⁻¹, and (3) BF + 210 kg N ha⁻¹.
Figure 2. Effect of three fertilization treatments on grain yield, (a) cv. Corcolén-INIA and (b) cv. Llareta-INIA.

Different letters for each column indicate significant differences among treatments according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05).

Table 3. Grain yield (Mg ha⁻¹) of two durum wheat cultivars for two effective soil depths and three fertilization treatments.

|          | Corcolén-INIA |          | Llareta-INIA |
|----------|---------------|----------|--------------|
|          | Shallow soil  | Deep soil | Shallow soil  | Deep soil    |
| Control  | 2.99cB        | 4.60cA   | 2.76aB       | 3.14cA       |
| BF + 90 kg N | 5.42bB    | 7.22bA   | 5.07bB       | 5.90bB       |
| BF + 210 kg N | 6.88aB   | 8.61aA   | 6.08aB       | 7.10aA       |

Different uppercase letters in columns for a given cultivar indicate significant difference according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05).
Different lowercase letters in rows for a given cultivar and same soil type indicate significant differences according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05).

- Shallow soil: 0.45 m effective depth; Deep soil: 1.00 m effective depth.
- Fertilization treatments: Control without fertilization; base fertilization (BF: 120 kg P₂O₅, 98 kg K₂O, 52 kg CaO, 36 kg MgO, 44 kg S, 1 kg B, and 1 kg Zn ha⁻¹) at planting; N1: 90 kg N ha⁻¹ in three splits (33% Z₀, 33% Z₂₃, and 33% Z₃₁); and N2: 210 kg N ha⁻¹ in three splits (33% Z₀, 33% Z₂₃, and 33% Z₃₁).

CONCLUSIONS

An increase in the soil depth profile positively affected grain yield, plant height, and number of stems m⁻² in durum wheat. Grain yield increased 37% and 16% for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ and ‘Llareta-INIA’, respectively, in deep soil as compared with shallow soil. The fertilization rate influenced grain yield and plant height. Grain yield increased 22% and 20% for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ and ‘Llareta-INIA’, respectively, with the higher N rate as compared with no N fertilization. Grain yield of cv. Corcolén-INIA exhibited a greater response than cv. Llareta-INIA when limitations and ‘Llareta-INIA’ adapts better to soil with physical limitations. Yield of ‘Corcolén-INIA’ under adequate physical property conditions and fertilization management was similar to that reported by Hirzel et al. (2010) for a volcanic soil with no physical restrictions; yield of ‘Llareta-INIA’ in this study was lower than results pointed out by these authors. This can be due to climatic differences registered for each study (data not evaluated) since both are spring habit cultivars, and it is reported that rainfall and air temperature during the growth period affect both grain yield and yield components of durum wheat (May et al., 2008). Mengel and Kirkby (1987) indicate that rainfall has an effect on moisture level and soil atmosphere with consequences on yield for the generality of plants.

For HW parameter, plant height, and number of stems per m², an increase in soil depth and fertilization rate for ‘Corcolén-INIA’ positively affected plant height and number of stems m⁻² (Table 4); both parameters are directly associated with biomass production. These two sources of variation in ‘Llareta-INIA’ only positively influenced plant height (Table 5). On the other hand, HW was unaffected by the evaluated treatments, because it is a characteristic with limited variability between cultivars (Matus, 2007). In general, the plant height and number of stems m⁻² variables were higher in ‘Corcolén-INIA’ than in ‘Llareta-INIA’ (Tables 4 and 5, respectively) and affect the higher yield obtained in this cultivar.
soil depth was increased. Soil depth must be considered when determining the potential yield of durum wheat.
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**Table 4. Comparison of means for productive parameters and quality of durum wheat cv. Corcolén-INIA for two effective soil depths and three fertilization treatments.**

| Characteristic | Soil depth (m) | Fertilization | Control | BF + 90 kg N ha⁻¹ | BF + 210 kg N ha⁻¹ |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          |         |                  |                   |
| Hectoliter weight, kg hL⁻¹ |             |               | 85.0a   | 85.0a            |                   |
| Plant height, cm |             |               | 78.2b   | 81.4a            |                   |
| Number of stems m⁻² |             |               | 461.0b  | 759.0a           |                   |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 84.5a   | 85.4a            | 85.1a             |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 70.4c   | 82.3b            | 86.8a             |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 522.0b  | 611.0ab          | 696.0a            |

Different letters among means for soil types or fertilization treatments indicate significant differences according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05).

Fertilization treatments: Control without fertilization; base fertilization (BF: 120 kg P₂O₅, 98 kg K₂O, 52 kg CaO, 36 kg MgO, 44 kg S, 1 kg B, and 1 kg Zn ha⁻¹) + 90 kg N ha⁻¹; and BF + 210 kg N ha⁻¹.

---

**Table 5. Comparison of means for productive parameters and quality of durum wheat cv. Llareta-INIA for two effective soil depths and three fertilization treatments.**

| Characteristic | Soil depth (m) | Fertilization | Control | BF + 90 kg N ha⁻¹ | BF + 210 kg N ha⁻¹ |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          |         |                  |                   |
| Hectoliter weight, kg hL⁻¹ |             |               | 85.0a   | 85.0a            |                   |
| Plant height, cm |             |               | 73.2b   | 77.6a            |                   |
| Number of stems m⁻² |             |               | 480.0a  | 560.0a           |                   |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 85.4a   | 85.6a            | 85.5a             |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 65.0c   | 78.9b            | 82.3a             |
|               | 0.45          | 1.00          | 486.0a  | 506.0a           | 558.0a            |

Different letters among means for soil types or fertilization treatments indicate significant differences according to Tukey’s test (P < 0.05).

Fertilization treatments: Control without fertilization; base fertilization (BF: 120 kg P₂O₅, 98 kg K₂O, 52 kg CaO, 36 kg MgO, 44 kg S, 1 kg B, and 1 kg Zn ha⁻¹) + 90 kg N ha⁻¹; and BF + 210 kg N ha⁻¹.
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