State of Health Prediction of Power Connectors by Analyzing the Degradation Trajectory of the Electrical Resistance
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Abstract: Estimating the remaining useful life (RUL) or the state of health (SoH) of electrical components such as power connectors is still a challenging and complex task. Power connectors play a critical role in medium- and high-voltage power networks, their failure leading to important consequences such as power outages, unscheduled downtimes, safety hazards or important economic losses. On-line condition monitoring strategies allow developing improved predictive maintenance plans. Due to the development of low-cost sensors and electronic communication systems compatible with Internet of Things (IoT) applications, several methods for on-line and off-line SoH determination of diverse power devices are emerging. This paper presents, analyzes and compares the performance of three simple and effective methods for on-line determination of the SoH of power connectors with low computational requirements. The proposed approaches are based on monitoring the evolution of the connectors electrical resistance, which defines the degradation trajectory, because the electrical resistance is a reliable indicator or signature of the SoH of the connectors. The methods analyzed in this paper are validated by means of experimental ageing tests emulating real degradation conditions. Laboratory results prove the suitability and feasibility of the proposed approach, which could be applied to other power products and apparatus.
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1. Introduction

Power connectors installed in medium- and high-voltage power lines are usually placed in critical points of the power grid, being critical elements to ensure a reliable power dispatch. Probably because of their abundance, low-cost and simplicity, they often are not being paid the attention they deserve, despite their key role in the reliability and availability of the power grid. Sudden power connectors failure could induce important power outages with expensive and damaging consequences [1]. Operators of power systems work hard for offering a continuous, safe and reliable power delivery to their customers, thus trying to minimize the number and the effects of service outages [2]. The application of predictive maintenance strategies based on the prediction or diagnosis of the state of health (SoH) allows achieving this goal.

The electrical resistance has been effectively used as an indicator of the SoH of electrical connectors [3,4]. It is known that the natural ageing process of the connector increases the electrical resistance along its lifetime [5], thus increasing its operating temperature and tending to overheat the connector. This temperature increment in turn rises the electrical resistance, thus affecting the electrical and thermal behaviors of the connector [6].
It is known that the electrical resistance is characterized by two components, i.e., the contact and bulk resistances [7]. The bulk resistance component is almost defined by the geometry of the connector and the electrical resistivity of the constitutive materials. The contact resistance component includes two terms, the film and constriction resistances [8]. The contact resistance depends upon several inner factors (structure, connection material or surface topology), environment conditions (temperature, humidity or vibration), and working load (supply frequency or current) [9]. Two predominant ageing processes affect the long-term performance of power connectors, i.e., contact pressure and chemical reactions generated at the mating interfaces. The contact resistance tends to increase with the cyclic pressure variations due to the daily peak and off-peak load patterns, as well as due to poor installation practices. Chemical reactions at the contact interface generate non-conductive compounds which affect negatively the contact resistance [10]. Due to its complexity, an exhaustive analysis of the time evolution of the contact resistance is a difficult task [11].

Diagnosis of electrical and electronic systems has received much attention over the last few decades [12]. Power lines and electrical substations are inspected periodically to determine their condition. However, due to the lack of on-line data, at present the most applied inspection systems include manual, robot and unmanned aerial vehicle inspection [13].

Condition monitoring is directly related to different methods for identifying changes occurring in a system due to the development of faults or the degradation of its SoH, thus generating an alarm to indicate a possible failure or degradation of the SoH [14]. On-line condition monitoring is an active field of research in power systems [15–18]. To apply effective predictive maintenance strategies and to reduce maintenance and unexpected outages and shutdown costs, there is an imperious need to detect anomalous or degraded behavior modes in the early stage, when the degraded behavior is still developing. However, detecting abnormal behaviors at the early stage is not an easy subject, as slight changes are often difficult to diagnose, so great care has to be taken to minimize false alarm events [19].

Real-time data acquisition and the associated deployment of distributed sensors is a key point for the expansion of intelligent power systems. Such systems allow a more stable and controllable power delivery since real-time data allow applying different condition monitoring strategies [20]. Therefore, the on-line measurement of the electrical resistance using electronic devices is a key point for a continuous monitoring of the electrical resistance of the connectors in order to develop effective SoH prediction tools. Different sensors, including voltage, current and temperature sensors can be used for a nondestructive detection, location and diagnosis of faults in power systems. Traditionally, these sensors have been applied to diagnose the faults after their occurrence. Despite improvements in system’s robustness, failures cannot be completely eliminated and they are somewhat unpredictable, so maintenance operations are required before failure [21]. There is a growing demand to develop prognostic methods to predict the faults in advance, i.e., when the system is fully operational, before major faults occurrence. This strategy allows operators to estimate the residual lifetime and to schedule predictive maintenance operations [21]. In addition, suitable real-time analysis algorithms are key elements for this purpose [22].

Although statistical methods have been widely used, they are not the best choice to tackle fault diagnosis problems, because such methods estimate probability distributions based on a large numbers of training samples [23] which often are not available, thus this strategy is costly and time consuming. Different strategies can be applied to determine the SoH, including approaches based on physical-mathematical models, data-driven algorithms or hybrid approaches combining mathematical models and data-driven methods [24,25]. These last methods can perform better since they potentially combine the benefits of the two other approaches [4]. Physical-mathematical models rely on a mathematical
description of the physics or phenomena determining the degradation process, thus requiring few historical data. Contrarily, data-driven approaches predict the behavior of the system under analysis from historical data [25] collected using different sensors and applying appropriate signal processing algorithms [19]. Data-driven methods include different strategies, which can be based on statistics, time-series or artificial intelligence algorithms.

There is an imperious need to develop effective SoH strategies. However, there is a lack of research works dealing with this topic for power connectors. It could be attributed to the fact that power connectors are considered simple elements, despite the critical role they play in power applications, and because they are still not instrumented, i.e., there is no electrical data available to monitor connectors’ performance.

This paper proposes predicting the SoH of power connectors by studying the degradation trajectory of the electrical resistance, because it is assumed it is a reliable indicator of power connectors performance. Approaches based on the study of the degradation trajectory are gaining consideration [26] but much work remains to be done in this area.

There is a scarcity of works related to the on-line SoH diagnosis of power connectors, this work presenting and assessing three simple alternatives with a reduced computational burden. The first method (linear fitting SoH or LF-SoH) predicts the SoH of each individual connector by comparing the last measured values of the resistance against the predictions done by a least squares linear regression model. The remaining two methods evaluated in this work are based on a non-linear model of the degradation trajectory of the contact resistance based on the Braunovic equation [27] instead of assuming a linear degradation model. Whereas the second method (non-linear fitting SoH or NLF-SoH) directly determines the SoH by comparing the last measured values of the resistance against the predictions done by a least squares fitting of the Braunovic model [27], the third one applies the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method [28] for this purpose, so it is called MCMC-NLF-SoH. It is worth noting that the three methods compared in this work focus on each specific connector, being adapted to the particular characteristics of each power device, only requiring the past and current values of the electrical resistance to determine its SoH. The behavior of the analyzed methods is assessed by means of experimental data obtained from accelerated degradation tests (ADTs), since they are designed to analyze the long-term performance of power connectors by minimizing the testing time [29], because due to their long lifetimes, it is not practical to acquire degradation data in an acceptable time. By measuring the voltage drop between the connectors’ terminals, the electrical current and the operating temperature, the degradation trajectory of the electrical resistance is continuously monitored, which is the base to predict the SoH.

The contributions of this paper are as follows. First, it contributes to develop and test methods with low computational requirements for an on-line SoH prediction of electrical connectors from experimental data. This is an area with a clear lack of research works. Second, the methods here analyzed are appealing because of their simplicity and fast response, thus being compatible with low-cost microcontrollers that soon will integrate the new generations of smart connectors. Third, the solution proposed in this paper is in line with the development of the smart grids, digital substations and the Internet of Things (IoT), where predictive maintenance, prediction of the remaining useful life and the SoH are trending topics. However, installed power connectors do not include these developments, this paper making a clear development in this field. Fourth, the strategy exposed in this work adapts to the particular behavior and evolution of each connector, since its known that there is a huge variability among connectors. This approach is able to anticipate severe faults, thus allowing to control and limit connectors degradation process, while enabling to apply predictive maintenance plans. Finally, the methods described in this paper present reduced computational requirements, being possible to be applied in real-time and can be easily adapted to determine the SoH of many other power devices.
2. The analyzed connectors

This work analyzes ICAU120 medium voltage compression connectors (SBI Connectors, Sant Esteve Sesrovires, Spain). Compression connectors are usually applied in medium voltage systems because they offer reduced electrical resistance and a stable connection. These bimetallic connectors are made of copper and aluminum, the aluminum and copper parts being connected by friction welding. The connectors are connected to 120 mm² aluminum conductors by compression, using a hexagonal crimping machine and applying conductive grease that is able to operate up to 140°C.

This work analyzes medium voltage connectors, although the final goal is to extend the results of this study to substation connectors. Medium voltage connectors are analyzed because they have shorter lifetime and lower current and power ratings compared to that of substation connectors, thus simplifying the requirements of the tests, testing time, and associated costs.

3. The electrical resistance of the connectors

As the connector resistance increases, more power losses and heat are generated, accelerating the degradation of the interface between the conductor and the connector and thus increasing its overall electrical resistance. This is why the SoH is focused on the degradation trajectory of the electrical resistance with time. SoH strategies require an easy-to-apply end-of-life (EOL) criterion, which is set to 1.4·R0 [4], where R0 is the initial value of the connector resistance, i.e., the value measured during installation of the connector. However, there are no methods for a direct on-line measurement of the electrical resistance, so an indirect measurement is required. It is important to note that the expected value of the electrical resistance is of some micro-Ohms, so the measurement of the electrical resistance under alternating current supply is a challenging task.

Due to the temperature dependence of the connector resistance, it is usually referred to 20 °C. The instantaneous value of the resistance of the connector referred to 20 °C is calculated from the acquisitions of the voltage drop ΔV, the current I and ϕ as in (1), where ϕ is the phase shift between the voltage drop and the current and α is the temperature coefficient of the resistance, which value is 0.004 °C⁻¹ for aluminum.

\[
R_{20°C}(t) = \frac{\Delta V(t) \cdot \cos \phi}{I(t) \cdot [1 + \alpha \cdot (T(t) - 20)]}
\]

4. The applied heat cycle tests (HCTs) and the equipment involved

As explained, ADTs are commonly applied to obtain degradation data defining the behaviour of the studied system in a fast manner. To this end, HCTs were performed in the high-current laboratory of the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya according to the requirements of the IEC 61238-1-3:2018 standard [10]. It is noted that data acquired from the HCTs are used to simulate the on-line acquired data from a real application.

HCTs were performed using an electrical loop consisting of seven ICAU120 bimetallic connectors joined to a 120 mm² aluminum conductor. Figure 1a shows the experimental loop and the connectors. The voltage drop across the terminal points of the seven connectors using wire equalizers (see Figure 1b), the current in the loop and the temperature of each connector were measured to determine the electrical resistance of all connectors.

To accelerate the natural ageing of the connectors, around 140 HCTs were run for about 92 h. Heat cycles consists of two phases, namely heating and cooling phases. The consecutive heating and cooling cycles induce thermal expansion and contraction cycles, which affect the contact interface and thus the contact resistance of the connectors, which in turn alter their electrical and thermal performances.

During the heating phase, an alternating electrical current is injected to the loop until the reference aluminium conductor reaches the thermal equilibrium at a temperature of
120 °C, condition attained when injecting an electrical current of about 370 A_{RMS}. This temperature is superior to 90 °C, the temperature recommended by the conductor manufacturer, thus accelerating the thermal degradation process.

According to the IEC 61238-1-3:2018 [10], after attaining the thermal equilibrium, this electrical current must be maintained for 15 minutes and after this time the current is switched off, so that it cools down to ambient temperature with the help of forced ventilation fans, and next a new heat cycle can start.

HCTs were performed using a high-current 400 V/6 V variable transformer supplying the electrical loop, as shown in Figure 1a. The rated output of this transformer is 6 V_{RMS} and 2.5 kA_{RMS}. A Rogowski coil with a sensitivity of 0.06 mV/A (500LFxB from PEM, Nottingham, UK) was used to measure the electrical current flowing in the loop. The voltage drops between the external terminals of the connectors were acquired using a USB-6210 DAQ instrument (National Instruments, Austin, Texas, USA), which includes 8 differential inputs. To correct the resistance of the connectors to 20 °C, T-type thermocouples were used jointly with a USB TC-08 thermocouple data acquisition module (Omega, Bienne, Switzerland).

![Figure 1.](image)

**Figure 1.** a) Electrical loop used in heat cycle tests. b) Contact resistance measurement of the ICAU120 bimetallic connectors.

5. The Braunovic degradation resistance model

This section describes the model used in this paper to describe the degradation of the contact resistance. This model is used in two of the three SoH methods compared in this work. By modelling the degradation of the contact resistance, it is possible to forecast the time evolution of the resistance and thus, to develop SoH methods.
It is known that the resistance of the connectors tends to increase with time, mainly due to the evolution of the contact resistance term. The resistance degradation model analyzed in this paper is based on the increase of the contact resistance with time. As described in the IEC 61238-1-1 standard for medium voltage power connectors [30] the resistance of the connectors evolves with time according to three phases. In the initial phase, or formation phase, after their installation, the resistance of the connectors changes due to the creation of stable constriction surfaces. Next phase is characterized by relative stabilized values of the resistance. The last phase or accelerated degradation phase, the resistance changes abruptly because the connector is approaching to the end-of-life.

According to Braunovic et al. [27], the temporal evolution of the electrical resistance can be described by a two-parameter degradation model as,

\[ R(t, R_0, \tau) = \frac{R_0}{1 - \left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{1/2}} \]  

(2)

where in this paper \( R_0 \) is the initial value of the connector resistance, i.e. the value measured during its installation, \( t \) the actual time measured from the instant of the installation and \( \tau \) is the maximal life time, corresponding to a vertical asymptote of (2). It is noted that (2) corresponds to a two-parameter \((R_0, \tau)\) model, these parameter being determined from experimental data.

6. The proposed SoH approach

This section describes the methods applied to predict the SoH of the power connectors. As explained, three methods are compared to determine the SoH of the connector. They are named linear fitting model (LF-SoH), non-linear fitting model (NLF-SoH) and Markov chain Monte Carlo non-linear model (MCMC-NLF-SoH). These methods are described in the following subsections. The three methods predict the value of the resistance based on measured past values, as shown in Figure 2.

As shown in Figure 2, the algorithm predicts the SoH of the connector at the present time \( t_0 \) based on the model generated considering the degradation path of the resistance within some pre-established time interval (fitting interval; blue area in Figure 2). Centered at the present time \( t_0 \) the algorithm takes the past values of the resistance (blue area in Figure 2) and fits the measured values of the resistance to a given equation, which corresponds to a straight line in the LF-SoH approach or to equation (2) in the NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH approaches. Next the confidence intervals of the regression coefficients
are determined and the resulting regressions are plotted (gray areas in Figure 2). The difference between the NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH lies in how the regression coefficients and their confidence intervals are determined. This is detailed in the next subsections. Next based on the predictions of the model at points $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$ and $t_4$ the SoH is predicted according to the strategy proposed in Figure 3.

Figure 3 summarizes the steps of the proposed approach. The first step consists in measuring the present value of the electrical resistance. This is done by measuring on-line the temperature of the connector and the current and voltage drop and determine the resistance by applying (1). Next the best fitting of the linear degradation model (LF-SoH) or non-linear degradation model given by (2) (NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH) are found based on the least-squares algorithm (LF-SoH and NLF-SoH) or the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (MCMC-NLF-SoH), respectively. At this stage the coefficients of the linear and non-linear models as well as their confidence intervals are estimated.

Next, a short-term extrapolation based on the obtained regression curves (see the brown curve and the gray areas in Figure 2) is made at points $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$ and $t_4$. The measured values of the resistance at points $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$ and $t_4$ and those predicted by the regression models are compared to determine the SoH of the connector as detailed in the next subsection.

Figure 3. Proposed SoH prediction approach.
6.1 Resistance comparison to determine the SoH

Figure 3 summarizes the method proposed in this paper to predict the SoH of the connectors. The LF, NLF and MCMC-NF models are fitted using the measurements done during the last 10 hours (fitting interval; blue area in Figure 2) and the mean values of the resistance at points $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$ and $t_4$ and the lower and upper bounds, $lb$ and $ub$ respectively, are extrapolated by the regression models based on the least-squares values of the model coefficients and their confidence intervals. These are compared against the measured values. The SoH of the connector is predicted from this comparison, which is based on the end-of-life (EOL) and near-EOL (nEOL) of each specific connector.

The EOL is defined as $1.4R_0$ [4] whereas the nEOL is taken as $1.3R_0$. The nEOL condition is used to define a warning condition before reaching the EOL condition, since at this point the connector must be replaced by a new one to prevent sudden failures and system malfunctioning. This approach allows predicting the SoH of the connectors according to three states, namely healthy, warning and faulty condition, thus facilitating the application of predictive maintenance plans and allowing to schedule maintenance actions.

To categorize the current state of the connector as healthy, warning or faulty, a score is given to each state (hcount, wcount, fcount are the healthy, warning and faulty state counters that provide the final scores) based on the current value of the measured resistance $R$, as detailed in Figure 3, so that the predicted SoH of the connector is attributed to the most scored state (healthy, warning or faulty).

6.2 SoH prediction according to the LF-SoH, NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH methods

The LF-SoH method predicts the values of the resistance by linearly fitting the past measured values to a straight line. It assumes that the resistance degradation trajectory follows a straight line. By applying the least squares algorithm, both the coefficients of the linear regression and the confidence intervals of such coefficients are found. The confidence intervals allow confining the predicted values of the resistance within the lower and upper boundaries they define.

Similarly, the NLF-SoH method predicts the values of the resistance by fitting the past measured values to equation (2) describing the degradation trajectory of the resistance according to Braunovic’s model. Also, the coefficients of (2) and their confidence intervals are found by applying the least squares algorithm.

The MCMC-NLF-SoH applies the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method [28] to find both the values of the coefficients $R_0$ and $\tau$ in equation (2) and their confidence intervals based on the past experimental data. MCMC generates $n$ random samples (3000 samples in this paper) of the coefficients $R_0$ and $\tau$ for each simulated time $t$ ($t$ correspond to time points of the last 10 h) thus obtaining a matrix of resistances with $n$ rows and $t$ columns. Next, each column is sorted from highest to lowest resistance and the 99.5th and 0.5th percentiles are calculated (99% confidence interval). Finally, these values are plotted versus time as shown in Figure 2. More information about this process can be found in [28].

All codes were programmed by the authors of this work in the MATLAB® environment.

7. Results

This section describes the results attained by means of the three methods analyzed in this paper, i.e., the LF-SoH, NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH algorithms from the experimental data obtained through the heat cycle tests applied to seven medium-voltage connectors.

7.1 Results attained by applying the LF-SoH method
Table 1 summarizes the results attained with the seven connectors by applying the LF-SoH method every 5 hours. It is noted that the green color indicates a healthy state, the orange color a warning or pre-fault state and the red color that the connector has reached the faulty state. Results show that according to the LF algorithm and the rules described in Figure 3, connector #2 at hour 80, connector #3 at hour 75, connector #4 at hour 65, connector #5 at hour 50 and connector #6 at hour 25 have reached the fault condition by these time points, so they must be replaced to ensure a safe operation. On the other hand, connectors #1 and #7 still do not reach the faulty state at the end of the tests.

Table 1. State of health of the 7 connectors every 5 hours by using the LF-SoH method.

| Time used to fit (h) | Present time, \( t_0 \) (h) | Connector’s resistance (micro-Ohms) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                      |                             | #1      | #2      | #3      | #4      | #5      | #6      | #7      |
| 1 to 10              | 15                          | 24.3    | 38.5    | 36.0\(^1\) | 29.3    | 34.3    | 38.7    | 28.9    |
| 6 to 15              | 20                          | 24.3\(^1\) | 39.2    | 36.7    | 29.9    | 34.9    | 40.8    | 29.1\(^2\) |
| 11 to 20             | 25                          | 24.4    | 39.5\(^1\) | 37.3\(^2\) | 30.5\(^2\) | 35.6\(^2\) | 42.5\(^*\) | 29.2\(^2\) |
| 16 to 25             | 30                          | 24.4    | 39.8\(^2\) | 38      | 31      | 36.7    | 29.3    |
| 21 to 30             | 35                          | 24.5    | 40.1    | 38.6    | 31.6\(^2\) | 37.5    | 29.4\(^2\) |
| 26 to 35             | 40                          | 24.6    | 40.5    | 39.2\(^2\) | 32.1    | 38.4\(^2\) | 29.5    |
| 31 to 40             | 45                          | 24.7    | 40.9\(^1\) | 39.7    | 32.6    | 39.2    | 29.5    |
| 36 to 45             | 50                          | 25      | 41.1    | 39.8\(^2\) | 32.7    | 47.1\(^*\) | 29.7\(^1\) |
| 41 to 50             | 55                          | 25.2    | 41.8    | 41.9    | 32.9    | 29.6    |
| 46 to 55             | 60                          | 26.1\(^1\) | 42      | 42.5\(^1\) | 37.3\(^2\) | 29.8    |
| 51 to 60             | 65                          | 26.1    | 42.2\(^2\) | 42.8\(^2\) | 37.7\(^*\) | 29.8    |
| 56 to 65             | 70                          | 26.0\(^2\) | 42.0    | 43.2\(^2\) | 29.7\(^2\) |
| 61 to 70             | 75                          | 26.1    | 42.3    | 43.8\(^*\) | 29.8    |
| 66 to 75             | 80                          | 26.8\(^1\) | 44.1\(^*\) | 29.6\(^2\) |
| 71 to 80             | 85                          | 26.9    | 27.0\(^2\) | 29.7\(^1\) |
| 76 to 85             | 90                          | 27.0    | 29.7    |

\(^1\)Resistance increase before the nEOL condition.
\(^2\)Stabilization of resistance.
\(^*\)Connector’s resistance reached the faulty state at the present time \( t_0 \).

Figures 4 and 5 show the evolution with time of the SoH prediction of connectors #4 and #5. SoH prediction requires 0.006 seconds in average using an Intel® Core (TM) i7-8750H CPU @2.20 GHz.

![Figure 4](image-url) SoH of connector #4 predicted by the LF-SoH method at different times. (a) Present time, \( t_0 = 15 \) h; (b) Present time, \( t_0 = 30 \) h. (c) Present time, \( t_0 = 35 \) h; (d) Present time, \( t_0 = 60 \) h.
Resistance [micro-Ohms]
(a) (b) (c) (d)
Faulty state

Figure 5. SoH of connector #5 predicted by the LF-SoH method at different times. (a) Present time, \(t_0 = 15\) h; (b) Present time, \(t_0 = 30\) h; (c) Present time, \(t_0 = 40\) h; (d) Present time, \(t_0 = 49\) h.

7.2 Results attained by applying the NLF-SoH method

Table 2 shows the results obtained with the seven connectors by applying the NLF-SoH method every 5 hours. These results show that according to the NLF algorithm and the rules described in Figure 3, connector #2 at hour 80, connector #3 at hour 70, connector #4 at hour 60, connector #5 at hour 50 and connector #6 at hour 25 have reached the faulty condition by these time points, so they must be replaced. Like the predictions of the LF-SoH method, connectors #1 and #7 still do not reach the faulty state at the end of the tests.

Table 2. State of health of the 7 connectors every 5 hours by using the NLF-SoH method.

| Time used to fit (h) | Present time, \(t_0\) (h) | Connector’s resistance (micro-Ohms) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                      |                           | #1      | #2      | #3      | #4      | #5      | #6      | #7      |
| 1 to 10              | 15                        | 24.3 1  | 38.5    | 36.0 1  | 29.3 1  | 34.3 1  | 38.7 2  | 28.9 1  |
| 6 to 15              | 20                        | 24.3 1  | 39.2 1  | 36.7    | 29.9 1  | 34.9    | 40.8    | 29.1 2  |
| 11 to 20             | 25                        | 24.4    | 39.5 2  | 37.3 2  | 30.5 2  | 35.6 2  | 42.7*   | 29.2 2  |
| 16 to 25             | 30                        | 24.4    | 39.8 2  | 38.0    | 31.0    | 36.7    | 29.3    |
| 21 to 30             | 35                        | 24.5    | 40.1    | 38.6    | 31.6 2  | 37.5    | 29.4 2  |
| 26 to 35             | 40                        | 24.6    | 40.5    | 39.2    | 32.1    | 38.4 2  | 29.5    |
| 31 to 40             | 45                        | 24.7    | 40.9    | 39.7    | 32.6    | 39.2    | 29.5    |
| 36 to 45             | 50                        | 25.0    | 41.1    | 39.8    | 32.7    | 47.1*   | 29.7    |
| 41 to 50             | 55                        | 25.2    | 41.8    | 41.9    | 32.9    | 29.6    |
| 46 to 55             | 60                        | 26.1    | 42.0    | 42.5    | 37.3*   | 29.8    |
| 51 to 60             | 65                        | 26.1    | 42.2 2  | 42.8    | 29.8    |
| 56 to 65             | 70                        | 26.0    | 42.0 2  | 43.2*   | 29.7    |
| 61 to 70             | 75                        | 26.1    | 42.3    |        | 29.8    |
| 66 to 75             | 80                        | 26.8 1  | 44.1*   |        | 29.6    |
| 71 to 80             | 85                        | 26.9    |         |        | 29.7    |
| 76 to 85             | 90                        | 27.0 2  |         |        | 29.7    |

1 Resistance increase before the nEOL condition.
2 Stabilization of resistance.
* Connector’s resistance reached the faulty state at the present time \(t_0\).

Figures 6 and 7 show the evolution with time of the SoH prediction of connectors #4 and #5. In this case SoH prediction requires 0.05 seconds in average using an Intel® Core (TM) i7-8750H CPU @2.20 GHz.
7.3 Results attained by applying the MCMC-NLF-SoH method

Table 3 summarizes the results obtained with the seven connectors by applying the MCMC-NLF-SoH method every 5 hours. These results show that according to this algorithm and the rules described in Figure 3, connector #2 at hour 80, connector #3 at hour #2 at hour 80, connector #3 at hour 70, connector #4 at hour 64, connector #5 at hour 50 and connector #6 at hour 25 have reached the fault condition by these time points. Like the predictions of the LF-SoH and NLF-SoH methods, connectors #1 and #7 still do not reach the faulty state at the end of the tests.

| Time used to fit (h) | Present time, \( t_0 \) (h) | Connector’s resistance (micro-Ohms) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                      |                               | #1       | #2       | #3       | #4       | #5       | #6       | #7       |
| 1 to 10              | 15                            | 24.3 \(^1\) | 38.5     | 36.0 \(^1\) | 29.3     | 34.3     | 38.7     | 28.9     |
| 6 to 15              | 20                            | 24.3     | 39.2     | 36.7     | 29.9     | 34.9     | 40.8     | 29.1 \(^2\) |
| 11 to 20             | 25                            | 24.4     | 39.5 \(^1\) | 37.3 \(^2\) | 30.52    | 35.6     | 42.7*    | 29.2 \(^3\) |
| 16 to 25             | 30                            | 24.4     | 39.8 \(^2\) | 38.0     | 31.0     | 36.7     | 29.3     |
| 21 to 30             | 35                            | 24.5     | 40.1     | 38.6     | 31.6 \(^2\) | 37.5     | 29.4 \(^3\) |
| 26 to 35             | 40                            | 24.6     | 40.5     | 39.2     | 32.1     | 38.4     | 29.5     |
| 31 to 40             | 45                            | 24.7     | 40.9     | 39.7     | 32.6     | 39.2     | 29.5     |
| 36 to 45             | 50                            | 25.0     | 41.1     | 39.8 \(^2\) | 32.7     | 47.1*    | 29.7     |
| 41 to 50             | 55                            | 25.2     | 41.8     | 41.9     | 32.9     | 29.6     |
| 46 to 55             | 60                            | 26.1 \(^1\) | 42.0     | 42.5 \(^1\) | 37.3 \(^2\) | 29.8     |
| 51 to 60             | 65                            | 26.1     | 42.2 \(^2\) | 42.8 \(^2\) | 37.7*    | 29.8     |
| 56 to 65             | 70                            | 26.0 \(^2\) | 42.0 \(^2\) | 43.2*    | 29.7 \(^2\) |
| 61 to 70             | 75                            | 26.1     | 42.3 \(^2\) | 29.8     | 29.8 \(^2\) |
| Time Range | Resistance | Note |
|------------|------------|------|
| 66 to 75   | 26.8 $^1$ | 44.1 $^*$ |
| 71 to 80   | 26.9      |      |
| 76 to 85   | 27.0 $^2$ | 29.7 |

$^1$ Resistance increase before the nEOL condition  
$^2$ Stabilization of resistance.

$^*$ Connector’s resistance reached the faulty state at the present time $t_0$.

Figures 8 and 9 show the evolution with time of the SoH prediction of connectors #4 and #5. In this case SoH prediction requires 1.2 seconds in average using an Intel® Core (TM) i7-8750H CPU @2.20 GHz.

**Figure 8.** Health state of connector #4 by using MCMC: (a) Present time, $t_0$=15 h; (b) Present time, $t_0$=30h. (c) Present time, $t_0$=35 h; (d) Present time, $t_0$=60h.

**Figure 9.** Health state of connector #5 by using non-lineal fitting: (a) Present time, $t_0$=15 h; (b) Present time, $t_0$=30 h. (c) Present time, $t_0$=40 h; (d) Present time, $t_0$=49 h.

### 7.4 Results comparison

This subsection compares the results attained with the three analyzed SoH prediction methods. These results are summarized in Table 4.

**Table 4.** State of health of the 7 connectors every 5 hours according to the LF/NLF/MCMC-NLF –SoH methods

| Present time, $t_0$ (h) | #1    | #2    | #3    | #4    | #5    | #6    | #7    |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 15                      | H/W/W | H/H/H | W/W/W | H/W/H | H/W/H | H/W/H | H/W/H |
| 20                      | W/W/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/W/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/W/W |
| 25                      | H/H/H | W/W/W | W/W/W | W/W/H | W/W/H | F/F/F | W/W/W |
| 30                      | H/H/H | W/W/W | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H |
| 35                      | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | W/W/W | H/H/H | H/W/W | H/W/W |
| 40                      | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/W/H | H/H/H | W/W/H | H/H/H | H/H/H |
| 45                      | H/H/H | W/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H | H/H/H |
50  H/H/H  H/H/H  W/H/W  H/H/H  F/F/F  W/H/H
55  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  W/H/H
60  W/W/W  H/H/H  W/H/W  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H
65  H/H/H  W/W/W  W/H/W  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H
70  W/H/W  W/W/W  W/F/F  W/H/W  W/H/W  W/H/W
75  H/H/H  H/H/W  F/F/F  H/H/W  H/H/W  H/H/W
80  W/W/W  F/F/F  W/H/W  W/H/W  H/H/W  H/H/W
85  H/H/H  W/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H
90  W/W/W  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H  H/H/H

Results presented in Table 4 show a similar performance of the three methods. Results show that predictions of the warning state among the three methods sometimes change, which is attributed to the sudden changes in the resistance of the connectors and how the different methods model the degradation trajectory of the electrical resistance. It is worth noting that the three methods predict the faulty condition of connectors #2, #5 and #6 at the same time and also predict similar failure times for connectors #3 and #4. From these results it can be concluded that the three methods exhibit comparable results and that the LF-SoH method, due to its simplicity, is the one requiring lower computational requirements with a performance comparable to that of the NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH methods.

8. Conclusions

This paper has proposed and analyzed three methods to estimate the state of health (SoH) of power connectors. Power connectors are critical elements in power lines and networks, so their unscheduled failure can lead to important consequences including power outages, safety-related issues and economic losses. So there is an increasing need of a continuous monitoring of their performance in order to ensure high reliability by anticipating the fault condition before occurrence. With the development of low-cost sensors and wireless communications systems compatible with the Internet of Things, this topic is receiving much attention and interest since these developments facilitate the application of predictive maintenance approaches. Despite the enormous implications of future developments in this area, there is a scarcity of works dealing with this topic applied to power connectors, this paper contributing in this field.

This work has presented, analyzed and compared the behavior of three methods for on-line determination of the SoH of power connectors. These methods were selected based on simplicity, low computational requirements and adaptation to the particular characteristics and behavior of each connector. They rely on monitoring the degradation trajectory or time evolution of the electrical resistance of the connectors. The resistance is used as an indicator of their SoH because it is known that degradation of the connectors thermal end electrical behavior is associated to changes of this magnitude. To obtain reliable and realistic data seven medium voltage connectors were exposed to accelerated heat cycle tests. The electrical resistance of the seven connectors was monitored by measuring the temperature, electrical current and voltage drop across the terminal points of the connectors.

The first method (linear fitting SoH or LF-SoH) predicts the SoH of a particular connector by comparing the last measured values of the resistance against the predictions done by a least squares linear regression model. The other two methods (NLF-SoH and MCMC-NLF-SoH) apply a non-linear model of the degradation trajectory of the contact resistance instead of using a linear degradation model. Whereas NLF-SoH determines the SoH by comparing the last measured values of the resistance against the predictions done by a least squares fitting of the Braunovic model, MCMC-NLF-SoH applies the Markov
chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method for this purpose. Results presented show the fast and accurate response and reduced computational burden of the three methods assessed and their potential for an on-line prediction of the of the SoH of power connectors, although this approach can be applied to many other power devices and components.
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