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Abstract

Climate-change exposes an increasing share of the world population to potentially lethal heat, a threat accentuated by rapid urbanization. Here, we project occurrence of future deadly heat for urban agglomerations around the world until 2080 by using CMIP6 climate model projections of temperature and relative humidity, urbanization prospects and GDP projections from the SSP scenarios. We show that while nearly all regions within latitudes 35°S - 45°N experience an increase in days of deadly heat, Sub-Saharan Africa and Southeastern Asia are particularly exposed, a trend exacerbated by rapid urbanization. By 2080, between 2.3 (59%) (SSP1-2.6) and 3.0 (75%) (SSP5-8.5) billion urban dwellers will experience more than 30 annual days of deadly heat, including 477 (66%) - 546 (77%) million in Sub-Saharan Africa and 988 (93%) - 993 million (94%) in South and South-Eastern Asia. The exposure to heat is highly unequal, with some of the poorest regions affected the most. Our results imply that jointly mitigating climate change, planning for well-ventilated cities, and combating poverty to enable economic access to air conditioning is required to avert a global-scale humanitarian crisis.

1 Introduction

Extreme heat is an increasingly frequent reality for many global populations living in cities. Very high air temperatures and their lethal combination with high humidity are expected to increasingly surpass limits of human acclimatization necessitating behavioural change, leading to migration, and may, by 2070, expose up to 3.5 billion people worldwide to temperature regimes that are nowadays only exceeded in uninhabited parts of the planet. Globally, 37% of warm-season heat-related deaths have been attributed to anthropogenic climate change. Individual heat events can be attributed to anthropogenic climate change, such as the Russian 2010 heatwave, the European 2019 heatwave, or the unprecedented temperatures in the Pacific Northwest during summer 2021.

Heat "extremes" are defined in numerous ways, both as a deviation from the
climatological mean or in absolute values. Dry-Bulb air temperature is an adequate measure for capturing extreme heat, and has been shown to explain extra-mortality caused by heat in epidemiological studies. It becomes more meaningful to the human ability to cool via respiration when combined with relative humidity RH and further parameters such as wind, or irradiation. The Wet-Bulb Globe Temperature, developed to keep military personnel save during training days in extreme heat, is likely one of the better studied indicators for heat impacts on the human body. However its calculation requires in-situ measurements which are not readily available from climate or weather models. For that purpose, manifold heat indices have been developed, and even if they are similar in principle, there is not coherent definition for ”extreme” or ”deadly” heat. Different indicators have been shown to all increase significantly with average temperature, albeit with a substantial spread.

The urban focus matters threefold. First, more than two thirds of humanity is expected to live in cities by 2050, with most urbanization expected to happen in the Global South. Second, the urban heat island effect increases both daytime and nighttime temperatures. Third, local air pollutants, concentrated in metropolitan areas, amplify health impacts from heat. Not even accounting for urban heat islands, 22% of cities worldwide are projected to enter previously uncharted climate territory by 2050.

Taking into account urban heat effects, a warming of 4K is projected for cities in several world regions including the United States, the Middle East, inland South America and Africa under a high-emissions scenario, larger than regional warming without urban effects. Individual magnitudes of the urban heat island effect strongly depend on climatic conditions and both urban morphology and local meteorological conditions.

Extreme heat does not impact people equally. Individual risk and vulnerability factors to extreme heat - such as age, pre-existing medical conditions and access to air-conditioning - all matter.
However, despite the apparent impact of heat events on specifically urban areas and poor populations, there is scarce quantitative understanding of which cities and socioeconomic groups will be most impacted by deadly heat, and what their adaptive capacities are. But better understanding of distributional consequences is important to tailor geographically specific adaptation measures.

Here we investigate the impact of extreme heat against the backdrop of rapid urbanization, another major transition of the 21st century. Exposure to extreme heat has been previously assessed in several studies, each using slightly varying definitions of heat extremes and different methodologies to assess population numbers. We focus our analysis on cities and reflect urban equity considerations as these are known to amplify vulnerability to climate change. In this paper, we aim to determine the dynamics of deadly heat and the exposure of urban populations. For this, we intersect urbanization dynamics and climate projections. We obtain per-capital exposure in "person-days of heat", a metric previously used. We conclude by discussing how coordinated climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts in cities can address this challenge.

2 Methods

Trend estimates for future emissions and urban populations depend on the larger economic and social pathway the world may follow. Future urban population numbers reported here reflect the choices and assumptions made in different scenarios explicated in the Shared Socioeconomic Scenario (SSPs). We use three of these as part of the Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP): i) strong mitigation in a sustainable world (SSP1-2.6); ii) a baseline scenario (SSP3-7.0); iii) a severe climate change scenario driven by fossil-fuel technology and global inequality (SSP5-8.5). We first develop city-level population estimates for 1860 cities based on Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs). For this we use the World Urbanization Prospects, the SSP-
projections for country-level population numbers from IIASA-WiC POP and the country-level urban population share from the NCAR population prospects on country-level. This includes all urban agglomerations which had a population > 300,000 in 2018, and we extrapolate population numbers assuming a constant population rank of cities onward from 2030. We then apply previously published thresholds for temperature-humidity combinations that have been shown to increase mortality to projections of surface air temperature (tas) and relative humidity (hurs). These are from 10 bias-corrected models in ISIMIP3b, which are taken from the CMIP6 simulations, and using the SSP1-2.6, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios. A full list of the climate model data used can be found in Appendix 5.1.

3 Results

3.1 Urban population

Urban population numbers in Europe, Northern America and Eastern Asia are expected to peak mid-century and then decline to varying degrees (Fig. 1) throughout all SSP scenarios. Eastern Asia shows a more significant population decline in all scenarios, owing to the consequences of China’s population control policies. Population numbers in Africa and Asia are expected to peak towards the end of the century in SSP1 ("Sustainability"), SSP2 ("Middle of the Road") and SSP5 ("Fossil-fueled Development"). In Sub-Saharan Africa, total population and urbanization rates are expected to keep growing beyond 2100, most strongly in SSP4 ("Inequality") and SSP3 ("Regional Rivalry"), slightly weaker in SSP2. The Americas also are projected continued population growth in SSP3 and SSP5 scenarios, albeit at a lower rate than Africa. In Europe, higher urban population numbers are projected under SSP3 and SSP5 than under the other scenarios.

3.2 Trends in urban population exposure to deadly heat

Extreme heat, here expressed in annual number of deadly heat days, is set to be-
Figure 1: Aggregate urban population projections based on the World Urbanization Prospects and SSP scenarios. These projections include all cities which had a population count > 300,000 in 2018.

come more prevalent in all world regions. Under all climate scenarios, urban dwellers will experience previously unknown numbers of extreme heat days which increasingly often will be deadly. In the low emissions scenario (SSP1-2.6), warming is projected to level off in the second half of the century, but extreme heat events keep increasing in the SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios. In Northern America, more than 10 annual deadly heat days were experienced by 48 cities in a year 2000 climate according to our simulations. This will change dramatically in future climates: in a baseline 7.0 emissions scenario, 108 out of 161 cities will experience at least 10 deadly heat days and 34 of them will experience more than 100 days of deadly heat by the end of the century. The hottest cities are located in Florida in this analysis. With strong climate mitigation (SSP1-2.6), 70 of Northern American cities would experience more than 10 annual deadly heat days, and only 24 cities
(instead of 34) would pass the 100-day threshold. Also in Sub-Saharan Africa, the heat threshold will be passed much more frequently in future climates. While in the year-2000 climate, 92 out of 177 cities in Sub-Saharan African experienced more than 30 annual deadly heat days, by 2080 116 cities would pass that threshold in an RCP 7.0 baseline scenario and 108 cities in an RCP 2.6 scenario. In baseline RCP 7.0, 112 cities will have more than 120 annual deadly heat days (91 in SSP1-2.6, 114 in SSP5-8.5)).

Deadly heat conditions have their worst direct impact on human life where they directly intersect with human settlements. The population exposure to heat is thus conflated by both climate and urbanization trends. Some parts of the world experience large urban population
Figure 3: Days of deadly heat and population dynamics as individual change contributors to heat exposure. The x-axis corresponds to days of deadly heat and is influenced by the choice of the emissions scenario, while the y-axis reflects demographic trends that are influenced by the choice of socioeconomic scenarios (SSP). Three scenario combinations are shown: high climate mitigation (SSP1-2.6), medium mitigation (SSP3-7.0) and no climate change mitigation (SSP5-8.5). The lines represent the multi-model median, the horizontal error bars the 10% and 90% multi-model quantiles.

World regions which show predominantly a growth in urban population, are Eastern Asia and Southern Asia. Eastern Asia has seen the bulk of its population growth before the year 2020, and the number of deadly heat days expected will increase from less than 50 to below 100 in all scenarios. Urban Population in...
Southern Asia will more than double by the end of the century, and the average days of deadly heat increase from about 140 to 200 in SSP3-7.0.

In South-Eastern Asia and Northern Africa, the warming climate contributes more to total heat exposure than their urban population growth, in the other regions, the effects are more balanced or dominated by population growth.

South-Eastern Asia shows as by far the hottest region under our heat definition: The heat threshold applied here is surpassed on average in already more than 200 days in cities in the region, and will reach 178 even in a high mitigation scenario (2.6) by the end of the century, with climate scenarios 7.0 and 8.5 surpassing the 300 days. Urban population is projected to grow 1.5-1.7 fold from 157 million urban dwellers in 2020 to up to 270 million in SSP3 (236 million and 236 million in SSP1 and SSP5). However, from 2050 to 2080, all growth in exposure to deadly heat is projected to stem from an increase in heat days, as population growth will flatten out.

Eastern Asia is the only region with a noticeable decline in population numbers and thus the potential decreasing in total exposure. However, the annual number of deadly heat days will keep increasing in 7.0 and 8.5 scenarios.

Sub-Saharan Africa arguably stands out as the region with the greatest dynamics both in heat as well as in population and is experiencing imminent change. The region would move from a relatively low exposure of 8.1 billion person-days in the year 2000 to 38 billion person-days in 2030 even with high climate mitigation (RCP2.6), a near 5-fold change. The days of deadly heat quickly reach levels experienced by Southern Asia and South-Eastern Asia today. By 2080, the exposure to deadly heat would reach levels only surpassed by Southern Asia. This means that Sub-Saharan until recently has just been short of reaching the applied threshold for deadly heat, but will soon exceed it very frequently.

The cities with the highest total exposure are mega-cities in hot regions with high population densities. The top ten in
Figure 4: Exposure to deadly heat for 8 sub-regions of the world and three scenario combinations: high climate mitigation (SSP1-2.6), medium mitigation (SSP3-3.7) and no climate change mitigation (SSP5-8.5). A dramatic relative increase of exposure to deadly heat is apparent particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa and Southern Asia. The line depicts the multi-model median, the shaded areas the 10% and 90% multi-model quantiles.

This analysis are Dhaka, Lagos, Mumbai, Delhi, Manila, Jakarta, Kolkata, Karachi, Chennai and Bangkok. They are all located in Asia or Africa. Even though some high-GDP cities can be identified in the deadly heat zone, including Houston (USA), Dubai (UAE) or Shanghai (CHN), the majority of the cities that experience days of deadly heat are in countries classified as "developing".
Table 1: Ranking of cities most exposed to deadly heat days. Pop: urban population in million; DDH: Average days of deadly heat; THE: heat exposure in million person days yr$^{-1}$

| Rank (2030) | City                          | Pop. 2020 SSP5-8.5 | DDH 2020 SSP5-8.5 | THE 2020 SSP5-8.5 | Pop. 2080 SSP1-2.6 | DDH 2080 SSP1-2.6 | THE 2080 SSP1-2.6 | Pop. 2080 SSP5-8.5 | DDH 2080 SSP5-8.5 | THE 2080 SSP5-8.5 |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1          | Dhaka                         | 28                 | 210.00            | 5915.00          | 42                 | 225.00            | 9527.00          | 40                 | 264.00            | 10641.00         |
| 2          | Lagos                         | 21                 | 277.00            | 5716.00          | 48                 | 317.00            | 1571.00          | 47                 | 362.00            | 17152.00         |
| 3          | Mumbai (Bombay)               | 25                 | 227.00            | 5580.00          | 40                 | 245.00            | 9799.00          | 40                 | 315.00            | 12587.00         |
| 4          | Delhi                         | 39                 | 131.00            | 5126.00          | 63                 | 143.00            | 9090.00          | 64                 | 183.00            | 11583.00         |
| 5          | Manila                        | 17                 | 273.00            | 4696.00          | 25                 | 305.00            | 7663.00          | 24                 | 356.00            | 8717.00          |
| 6          | Jakarta                       | 13                 | 338.00            | 4297.00          | 15                 | 355.00            | 5175.00          | 14                 | 365.00            | 5249.00          |
| 7          | Kolkata (Calcutta)            | 18                 | 229.00            | 4043.00          | 29                 | 240.00            | 8900.00          | 29                 | 279.00            | 7974.00          |
| 8          | Karachi                       | 20                 | 191.00            | 3587.00          | 34                 | 196.00            | 6766.00          | 33                 | 225.00            | 7526.00          |
| 9          | Chennai (Madras)              | 14                 | 253.00            | 3508.00          | 22                 | 269.00            | 6499.00          | 22                 | 346.00            | 7761.00          |
| 10         | Krong Thep (Bangkok)          | 12                 | 285.00            | 3451.00          | 14                 | 296.00            | 4210.00          | 15                 | 336.00            | 4972.00          |
| 11         | Th` anh Pho Ho Chi Minh (Ho Chi Minh City) | 11       | 302.00            | 3342.00          | 15                 | 322.00            | 4854.00          | 15                 | 358.00            | 5287.00          |
| 12         | Kuala Lumpur                  | 10                 | 277.00            | 2719.00          | 12                 | 327.00            | 3830.00          | 12                 | 365.00            | 4309.00          |
| 13         | Shanghai                      | 33                 | 65.00             | 2154.00          | 28                 | 72.00             | 2656.00          | 26                 | 107.00            | 3301.00          |
| 14         | Singapore                     | 6                  | 338.00            | 2147.00          | 6                  | 355.00            | 2118.00          | 7                  | 365.00            | 2400.00          |
| 15         | Lahore                        | 17                 | 125.00            | 2110.00          | 28                 | 134.00            | 3830.00          | 28                 | 176.00            | 4686.00          |
| 16         | Surat                         | 10                 | 214.00            | 2081.00          | 16                 | 225.00            | 3555.00          | 16                 | 268.00            | 4225.00          |
| 17         | Guangzhou, Guangdong         | 16                 | 127.00            | 2049.00          | 14                 | 146.00            | 2015.00          | 14                 | 185.00            | 2567.00          |
| 18         | Dar es Salaam                 | 11                 | 184.00            | 1988.00          | 27                 | 203.00            | 5531.00          | 26                 | 290.00            | 7575.00          |
| 19         | Shenzhen                      | 15                 | 133.00            | 1941.00          | 13                 | 151.00            | 1890.00          | 13                 | 190.00            | 2387.00          |
| 20         | Abidjan                       | 7                  | 263.00            | 1878.00          | 10                 | 291.00            | 2956.00          | 9                  | 363.00            | 3270.00          |
| 60         | Houston                       | 7                  | 109.00            | 791.00           | 10                 | 120.00            | 1213.00          | 13                 | 159.00            | 2673.00          |
| 906        | Roma (Rome)                   | 4                  | 5.00              | 24.00            | 5                  | 12.00             | 60.00            | 6                  | 63.00             | 377.00           |
| 1566       | Madrid                        | 7                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 8                  | 0.00              | 0.00             | 10                 | 0.00              | 4.00             |

4 Discussion

Deadly heat intersects with urban population dynamics and will strongly increase in future climates. Strong increases both in population numbers and deadly heat days appear in Western and Eastern Africa, Southern and South-Eastern Asia and the Caribbean region. In historical climates, large cities that have been affected by deadly heat conditions lie no further north than $\sim 43^\circ$N, but with climate change, this zone expands to $\sim 48^\circ$N by 2080. The Southern Hemisphere boundary is located $\sim 35^\circ$S, owing to the different distribution of land and oceans.

In 2020, 1.2 billion (of 2.6 billion) of the global urban population have been affected by at least 30 deadly heat days each year (46%), a number to rise to 2.2 billion out of 3.6 billion (61%) by 2050 and 3.0 billion out of a total of 4.3 billion people (70%) by 2080 in SSP3-7.0. Taking the other scenarios into consideration, by 2080, between 2.3 (59%) (SSP1-2.6) and 3.0 (75%) (SSP5-8.5) billion urbanites are likely to experience more than...
30 annual days of deadly heat worldwide. In Sub-Saharan Africa, 477 (66%) - 546 (77%) million are affected and in South and South-Eastern Asia above 90% of all urban populations experience at least a month of deadly heat in all scenarios by 2080 (ranges are based on SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios).

We find the highest relative increases in rapidly urbanizing Sub-Saharan Africa, and the overall highest heat load in South-Eastern Asia. There, extreme heat will soon surpass the deadliness threshold used here. Our results demonstrate that urban deadly heat (at least one day a year) will impact 86% of urbanized humanity by 2080, compared to 66% in 2020. But the urban poor, both within cities, and comparatively between cities, are most affected by heatwaves. While representing about half of the projected urban population, affected urbanites will share only up to a quarter (19%-25%) of global GDP. In Sub-Saharan Africa they will only share 0.2% of global GDP and 1.5% in South and South-Eastern Asia.

The numbers for heat exposure presented in this study lie in the order of magnitude that have been drawn in other studies. Research on cities analogues confirms a latitudinal shift of cities towards hotter regimes, with its rate of change increasing with distance from the equator, but cities close to the equator moving into a subtropical climate. The same research demonstrates that 22% of all global cities (population > 1 million), and 64% of them in the tropics, will experienced globally uncharted climate conditions by 2050. While we here consider “deadly” heat, other research has shown that climatological heat extremes can equally be deadly in particular to older population groups or those with pre-existing conditions.

The numbers reported here are no exact numbers, but shed a light on the magnitude and geographic locations of where the challenge is greatest. Uncertainties exist in both population and climate projections, as well as in the blurry threshold of when heat becomes indeed lethal. The example of the 2021 Canada heatwave has shown that heat extremes may...
come indeed quicker and in a larger magnitude than suggested by climate models. Further, lethality thresholds for heat are difficult to pin down to exact numbers as they depend too much on the individual risk-factors, exposure and adaptive capacity. The many heat metrics which exist cannot be compared across studies straight-forwardly. Impacts on human well-being and productivity appear already at much lower heat levels, and in cool climate, extra mortality has been observed during heatwaves which are relative climatological extremes but stay below absolute lethality thresholds.

The dramatic relative increase of exposure to deadly heat projected for Sub-Saharan Africa implies a massive adaptation challenge. Large parts of Sub-Saharan Africa lack adaptive capacity, and heat is only one among many challenges for large shares of population groups living below the poverty line and without access to air-conditioning. Uncertainty in both economic and population growth in this part of the world is very high, and rapid urbanization is already happening. It is challenge and opportunity alike to reduce the heat load in yet-to-be-built cities. There are three ways to address the modelled future heat impact: 1) mitigate climate change; 2) reduce poverty and thus increase adaptive capacity; and 3) adapt urban structures. First, reducing GHG emissions globally and thus switching from a 7.0 to a lower-emissions pathway will alleviate the health burden and save lives. Specifically, the population exposed to deadly heat end of the century may be 64% lower in SSP1-2.6 (387 billion person days) compared to SSP3-7.0 (609 billion person days).

Second, deadly heat impact can be avoided locally by sufficiently high quality of shelter, access to cooling, and by the capacity to avoid working outside in extreme heat. Public prevention campaigns, and public health measures also can play a crucial role. Levels of poverty and inequality, and the capacity of the public health system, are hence a key determinant of future deadly heat impact. Socioeconomic development that focuses on providing the capacity and service-levels
for the poor that enables them to handle heat stress is hence key to prevent the worst impact of future deadly heat events. On an individual level, populations with low adaptive capacity will have little to no access to air-conditioning\textsuperscript{41,44}. When considering national poverty headcounts at the 5.50 USD (PPP) poverty level, then a total of roughly 500 million urban dwellers live below this upper poverty line among a total urban population of 3 billion people by 2030, and roughly 1 billion people by 2080 if poverty rates stay the same. Third, cities need to urgently stave off additional local warming by reducing their urban heat island effect. Particularly, urban form can be changed to improve thermal comfort\textsuperscript{45,46,47}. The possibility of a scaled installation of air-conditioning implies massive challenges to a strongly increased urban heat island effect\textsuperscript{48} as well as a dramatic rise in energy demand, further hampering climate mitigation efforts. Thus, a re-thinking of urban design practices towards green infrastructure is the preferred way to go. Traditional architectures point at sustainable solutions\textsuperscript{49}, and green roofs and urban parks provide cooling.
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5 Supporting Information

5.1 Climate data processing

We use the variables surface relative humidity $hurs$ and surface temperature $tas$ in daily resolution from the CMIP6 climate models listed in Tab. 2. We did not use the CMIP6 output directly, but relied on the model data prepared for the ISIMIP3b, which is bias-corrected and harmonized in its resolution. We use a total of 10 ISIMIP3b models, of which four are included in the Primary ISIMIP3b input data, and six in the Secondary input data.

| Model               | Variables | Ensemble |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| ISIMIP3b Primary    | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| GFDL-ESM4           | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| MPI-ESM1-2-HR       | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| MRI-ESM2-0          | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| UKESM1-0-LL         | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| ISIMIP3b Secondary  | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f |
| IPSL-CM6A-LR        | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f2 |
| CNRM-CM6-1          | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f2 |
| CNRM-ESM1-2         | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f2 |
| CANESM5             | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f1 |
| EC-EARTH3           | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f1 |
| MIROC6              | $tas$; $hurs$ | r1i1p1f1 |

Table 2: Primary and Secondary models from ISIMIP3b used in this study. All model data comes from CMIP6 output.

We use a support vector model on $tas$ and $hurs$ for determining heat anomalies as presented in [3]. We use a 95% margin for the SVM to select the heat anomaly as lethal. For each city, number of deadly days are summarized per year, and the outputs reported in this paper are the 10-year rolling mean of deadly days.

We use the IPCC AR6 language for depicting multi-model uncertainty: *very likely* (90% – 100%) and *likely* (66% – 100%) for the multi-model central range. These are applied to the rolling 10-year mean number of deadly days.
5.2 Population Predictions

Population predictions for individual cities over several decades are to be taken with a grain of salt. Reasons are the uncertainty in growth rates, owing to both their economic and social drivers, and possible inhibitors such as climate change, lack of land mass or other local resource constraints. We here (Tab. 3) compare our results for urban population numbers with the outcome of a more refined analysis which population size for the 100 largest cities under different assumptions for urban growth rate. The projections are largely in the same order of magnitude, even though some exceptions exist (Table 3) which are a result of the different methodologies: While we here assume a constant distribution among cities in one country, we do not use the urban growth rate of individual cities as done in, which leads to an overestimation of the growth of large cities in our methodology.

5.3 Caveats

Further methodological challenges include the challenge to forecast individual city growth and the large, but difficult to model, contribution of the urban heat island effect on temperature. A practical solution to account for the urban heat island effect direct in climate models has recently been presented using an urban climate emulator that has originally been included in the CESM2 climate model. The urban population projections presented here do not account for differential growth rates of different cities, which exist without doubt. Different assumptions for how the observed urban growth may continue in future has been investigated, and a further way to include precise population numbers could be through the use of spatially explicit population forecasts.
| Scenario | City       | Pop. this study (rank) | Pop. in\textsuperscript{[51]} (rank) |
|----------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|          |            | 2100 (million)         | 2100 (million)                      |
| SSP1     | Delhi      | 55.8 (1)               | 44.3 (5)                            |
|          | Lagos      | 51.9 (2)               | 61.3 (1)                            |
|          | Kinshasa   | 50.7 (3)               | 48.8 (3)                            |
|          | Dhaka      | 37.3 (4)               | 40.2 (7)                            |
|          | Cairo      | 35.6 (5)               |                                     |
| SSP2     | Delhi      | 73.0 (1)               | 48.9 (6)                            |
|          | Lagos      | 62.1 (2)               | 79.8 (1)                            |
|          | Kinshasa   | 59.2 (3)               | 60.3 (3)                            |
|          | Mumbai     | 46.1 (4)               | 57.6 (4)                            |
|          | Dhaka      | 45.3 (5)               | 42.3 (9)                            |
| SSP3     | Delhi      | 88.1 (1)               | 44.6 (9)                            |
|          | Lagos      | 76.1 (2)               | 100.1 (1)                           |
|          | Kinshasa   | 60.6 (3)               | 50.8 (6)                            |
|          | Dhaka      | 57.4 (4)               | 45.5 (8)                            |
|          | Karachi    | 56.5 (5)               | 52.8 (4)                            |
| WUP      | Lagos      | NA                     | 88.5 (1)                            |
|          | Kinshasa   | NA                     | 88.3 (2)                            |
|          | Dar es Salaam | NA                  | 73.7 (3)                            |
|          | Mumbai     | NA                     | 67.2 (4)                            |
|          | Delhi      | NA                     | 57.3 (5)                            |

Table 3: Population estimates for the five largest cities in 2100 under different scenarios and methods (WUP), first with the method used in this study and second a previously published analysis\textsuperscript{[51]}. The WUP population numbers are developed in that study using the urban growth rates from WUP, and there is no direct analogue in this study.
## 5.4 Number of people affected

Table 4 lists the number of people affected by number of deadly heat days for two different thresholds.

| Sub-region          | Pop. Scen. | Clim. Scen. | Year | Pop Total | Pop. affected ≥1 DDH | Pop. affected ≥ 30 DDH |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Austr. and N.Z.     | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 20.69 | 2.75      | 0.00                |
| Austr. and N.Z.     | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 20.69 | 2.75      | 0.00                |
| Austr. and N.Z.     | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 20.69 | 2.75      | 0.00                |
| Central Asia        | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 13.61 | 0.00      | 0.00                |
| Central Asia        | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 13.61 | 0.00      | 0.00                |
| Central Asia        | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 13.61 | 0.00      | 0.00                |
| Eastern Asia        | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 728.76 | 638.48 | 447.85 |
| Eastern Asia        | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 728.76 | 625.60 | 406.23 |
| Eastern Asia        | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 728.76 | 656.76 | 420.76 |
| Eastern Europe      | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 90.09 | 2.19     | 0.00                |
| Eastern Europe      | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 90.09 | 1.58     | 0.00                |
| Eastern Europe      | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 90.09 | 2.19     | 0.00                |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb.| WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 321.20 | 125.14 | 69.73 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb.| WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 321.20 | 140.69 | 69.73 |
| Melanesia           | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 0.38  | 0.38     | 0.38                |
| Melanesia           | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 0.38  | 0.38     | 0.38                |
| Melanesia           | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 0.38  | 0.38     | 0.38                |
| Northern Africa     | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 64.46 | 48.85    | 15.80               |
| Northern Africa     | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 64.46 | 48.52    | 15.80               |
| Northern Africa     | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 64.46 | 48.52    | 15.80               |
| Northern America    | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 231.42 | 146.19 | 46.44 |
| Northern America    | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 231.42 | 146.74 | 46.44 |
| Northern America    | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 231.42 | 144.69 | 46.96 |
| Northern Europe     | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 39.60 | 0.00     | 0.00                |
| Northern Europe     | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 39.60 | 0.00     | 0.00                |
| Northern Europe     | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 39.60 | 0.00     | 0.00                |
| South-eastern Asia  | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 156.79 | 151.72  | 144.15 |
| South-eastern Asia  | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 156.79 | 151.72  | 144.15 |
| South-eastern Asia  | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 156.79 | 151.72  | 141.20 |
| Southern Asia       | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 406.85 | 368.17  | 350.79 |
| Southern Asia       | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 406.85 | 368.17  | 349.19 |
| Southern Asia       | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 406.85 | 368.17  | 350.79 |
| Southern Europe     | WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 55.90  | 17.73   | 0.00               |
| Southern Europe     | WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 55.90  | 18.58   | 0.00               |
| Southern Europe     | WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 55.90  | 18.74   | 0.00               |
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| Region                        | WUP | SSP | Year | Value1 | Value2 | Value3 |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|------|--------|--------|--------|
| Sub-Saharan Africa WUP SSP1-2.6 | 2020 | 236.21 | 161.83 | 149.33 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa WUP SSP3-7.0 | 2020 | 236.21 | 160.37 | 149.33 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa WUP SSP5-8.5 | 2020 | 236.21 | 156.38 | 149.33 |
| Western Asia WUP SSP1-2.6     | 2020 | 134.14 | 50.61  | 29.11  |
| Western Asia WUP SSP3-7.0     | 2020 | 134.14 | 51.84  | 28.49  |
| Western Asia WUP SSP5-8.5     | 2020 | 134.14 | 51.84  | 29.11  |
| Western Europe WUP SSP1-2.6   | 2020 | 54.98  | 0.94   | 0.00   |
| Western Europe WUP SSP3-7.0   | 2020 | 54.98  | 0.94   | 0.00   |
| Western Europe WUP SSP5-8.5   | 2020 | 54.98  | 0.94   | 0.00   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP1 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 29.23  | 4.57   | 0.00   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP3 SSP1-2.6 | 2080 | 34.88  | 6.04   | 0.00   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP5 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 25.26  | 5.20   | 0.00   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP3 SSP1-2.6 | 2080 | 24.02  | 20.97  | 0.42   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP5 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 32.39  | 7.18   | 0.00   |
| Austr. and N.Z. SSP5 SSP3-7.0 | 2050 | 19.56  | 19.70  | 0.00   |
| Central Asia SSP1 SSP1-2.6   | 2050 | 19.48  | 3.99   | 0.00   |
| Central Asia SSP3 SSP1-2.6   | 2080 | 23.72  | 10.05  | 0.00   |
| Central Asia SSP5 SSP3-7.0   | 2050 | 18.89  | 3.91   | 0.00   |
| Central Asia SSP5 SSP3-8.5   | 2080 | 18.08  | 9.13   | 3.25   |
| Eastern Asia SSP1 SSP1-2.6   | 2050 | 907.89 | 828.22 | 655.76 |
| Eastern Asia SSP1 SSP3-7.0   | 2080 | 741.35 | 677.26 | 516.88 |
| Eastern Asia SSP3 SSP3-7.0   | 2050 | 842.05 | 772.02 | 632.42 |
| Eastern Asia SSP5 SSP3-7.0   | 2080 | 721.58 | 682.96 | 603.63 |
| Eastern Asia SSP5 SSP5-8.5   | 2050 | 914.78 | 850.40 | 698.10 |
| Eastern Asia SSP5 SSP5-8.5   | 2080 | 763.22 | 738.54 | 676.48 |
| Eastern Europe SSP1 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 907.89 | 828.22 | 655.76 |
| Eastern Europe SSP1 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 741.35 | 677.26 | 516.88 |
| Eastern Europe SSP3 SSP3-7.0 | 2050 | 842.05 | 772.02 | 632.42 |
| Eastern Europe SSP5 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 721.58 | 682.96 | 603.63 |
| Eastern Europe SSP5 SSP5-8.5 | 2050 | 914.78 | 850.40 | 698.10 |
| Eastern Europe SSP5 SSP5-8.5 | 2080 | 763.22 | 738.54 | 676.48 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP1 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 380.04 | 208.31 | 117.84 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP1 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 341.99 | 182.38 | 108.54 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP3 SSP3-7.0 | 2050 | 430.08 | 243.23 | 150.11 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP3 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 516.02 | 354.32 | 220.97 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP5 SSP3-7.0 | 2050 | 372.50 | 224.42 | 139.88 |
| Lat. Am. and Caribb. SSP5 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 326.17 | 240.27 | 179.52 |
| Melanesia SSP1 SSP1-2.6 | 2050 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Melanesia SSP1 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 1.51 | 1.51 | 1.51 |
| Melanesia SSP3 SSP3-7.0 | 2050 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Melanesia SSP3 SSP3-7.0 | 2080 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Region                  | SSP  | SSP-8.5 | Year | Value1 | Value2 | Value3  |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|
| Melanesia              | SSP5 | SSP-8.5 | 2050 | 0.99   | 0.99   | 0.99    |
| Northern Africa        | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 101.04 | 88.98  | 36.99   |
| Northern Africa        | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 101.91 | 94.76  | 36.99   |
| Northern Africa        | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 99.57  | 94.22  | 74.49   |
| Northern America       | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 301.86 | 219.16 | 93.29   |
| Northern America       | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 263.65 | 194.05 | 86.16   |
| Northern America       | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 330.78 | 245.95 | 108.09  |
| Northern America       | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2080 | 459.52 | 340.89 | 267.98  |
| Northern Europe        | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 50.36  | 0.00   | 0.00    |
| Northern Europe        | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 43.81  | 0.00   | 0.00    |
| Northern Europe        | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 54.71  | 0.38   | 0.00    |
| Northern Europe        | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2080 | 72.73  | 0.38   | 0.00    |
| South-eastern Asia     | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 237.34 | 229.29 | 229.39  |
| South-eastern Asia     | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 228.17 | 227.33 | 220.80  |
| South-eastern Asia     | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 236.83 | 236.54 | 236.54  |
| Southern Asia          | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 534.03 | 464.97 | 464.28  |
| Southern Asia          | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 984.63 | 916.52 | 907.59  |
| Southern Asia          | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 727.21 | 674.64 | 667.45  |
| Southern Europe        | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 534.03 | 377.19 | 352.21  |
| Sub-Saharan Africa     | SSP1 | SSP-1-2.6 | 2050 | 722.58 | 507.47 | 476.72  |
| Sub-Saharan Africa     | SSP3 | SSP-3-7.0 | 2050 | 850.76 | 708.15 | 623.02  |
| Sub-Saharan Africa     | SSP5 | SSP-5-8.5 | 2050 | 529.77 | 394.67 | 366.23  |
| Sub-Region           | Pop. Scen. | Clim. Scen. | Year   | Pop. Affected ≥ 1 DDH | Pop. Affected ≥ 30 DDH |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Sub-Saharan Africa   | SSP5       | SSP5-8.5    | 2080   | 707.90                | 592.27                 |
| Western Asia         | SSP1       | SSP1-2.6    | 2050   | 203.47                | 99.35                  |
| Western Asia         | SSP1       | SSP1-2.6    | 2080   | 220.04                | 109.30                 |
| Western Asia         | SSP3       | SSP3-7.0    | 2050   | 218.10                | 152.15                 |
| Western Asia         | SSP3       | SSP3-7.0    | 2080   | 301.72                | 249.24                 |
| Western Asia         | SSP5       | SSP5-8.5    | 2050   | 206.19                | 145.15                 |
| Western Asia         | SSP5       | SSP5-8.5    | 2080   | 226.47                | 192.69                 |
| Western Europe       | SSP1       | SSP1-2.6    | 2050   | 64.15                 | 2.97                   |
| Western Europe       | SSP1       | SSP1-2.6    | 2080   | 67.86                 | 4.07                   |
| Western Europe       | SSP3       | SSP3-7.0    | 2050   | 55.54                 | 3.76                   |
| Western Europe       | SSP3       | SSP3-7.0    | 2080   | 46.84                 | 22.37                  |
| Western Europe       | SSP5       | SSP5-8.5    | 2050   | 69.24                 | 21.06                  |
| Western Europe       | SSP5       | SSP5-8.5    | 2080   | 85.52                 | 75.23                  |

Table 4: Number of people affected by deadly heat days in billion and per Sub-Region. Pop. Scen. is the population scenario used, Clim. Scen. the climate scenario used, total population the total urban population in cities contained in the WUP 300.000, pop. affected ≥ 1 DDH means number of urban population affected by at least one annual day of deadly heat, pop. affected ≥ 30 DDH means number of urban population affected by at least 30 annual day of deadly heat.