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ABSTRACT

We have supplemented existing spectra of Barnard’s Loop with high accuracy spectrophotometry of one new position. Cloudy photoionization models were calculated for a variety of ionization parameters and stellar temperatures and compared with the observations. After testing the procedure with recent observations of M43, we establish that Barnard’s Loop is photoionized by four candidate ionizing stars, but agreement between the models and observations is only possible if Barnard’s Loop is enhanced in heavy elements by about a factor of 1.4. Barnard’s Loop is very similar in properties to the brightest components of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and the warm ionized medium (WIM). We are able to establish models that bound the range populated in low-ionization color–color diagrams ([S ii]/Hα) versus ([N ii]/Hα) using only a limited range of ionization parameters and stellar temperatures. Previously established variations in the relative abundance of heavy elements render uncertain the most common method of determining electron temperatures for components of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and the WIM based on only the ([N ii]/Hα) ratio, although we confirm that the lowest surface brightness components of the WIM are on average of higher electron temperature. The electron temperatures for a few high surface brightness WIM components determined by direct methods are comparable to those of classical bright H II regions. In contrast, the low surface brightness H II regions studied by the Wisconsin Hα Mapper are of lower temperatures than the classical bright H II regions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The object commonly known as Barnard’s Loop was discovered photographically more than a century ago, originally by W. H. Pickering in 1889 (Sheehan 1995) and then by E. E. Barnard (1894). Although it is a popular object for imaging by amateur astronomers, its low surface brightness has delayed the definitive observations necessary for developing a good model of its characteristics. A representative image is shown in Figure 1. It is an arc of about 14° facing east and at a distance of 440 pc (O’Dell & Henney 2008) is 110 pc in length. The northern boundary is at a Galactic latitude of −13° and lines of galactic longitude are at a position angle of about 62°. These facts mean that its two sides (north and south) extend over a distance of about 60 pc and the conditions of the local ambient interstellar medium (ISM) will have changed significantly. The north edge is about twice as bright as the south, indicating a higher density there.

The structure of Barnard’s Loop was the subject of a study of the brightness distribution of ultraviolet light measured in a photograph obtained during the Gemini 11 manned space flight. In this investigation, O’Dell et al. (1967) derived the distribution of interstellar dust under the assumption that the ultraviolet continuum is due to scattered light originating in the OB stars in the Orion constellation Belt and Sword regions. It was found that the dust density increases approximately as the square of the distance from the center of Barnard’s Loop. Under the assumption of a typical gas to dust ratio, O’Dell et al. (1967) calculated that an ionization boundary should occur where one observes Barnard’s Loop to be brightest in Hα. It is expected that there would be neutral hydrogen outside of this ionization boundary, which is consistent with older (Menon 1958) and more recent (Hartmann & Burton 1997) studies of H 21 cm emission from this region. Optical emission-line splitting of about 24 km s−1 has been determined (Madsen et al. 2006), consistent with the interpretation of O’Dell et al. (1967) that Barnard’s Loop is a result of the compression of ambient interstellar material pushed outward by radiation pressure force acting on the dust component, with the light originating from Sword and Belt region stars.

Barnard’s Loop is now understood to be only the bright eastern portion of a much larger irregular shell of material of 41° × 27° (380 × 220 pc) oriented east–west and thought (Reynolds & Ogden 1979) to be expanding at between 15 and 23 km s−1. The entire object is called the Orion-Eridanus Bubble. The well-defined western part of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble shows the ion distribution of an ionization front (Madsen et al. 2006) surrounded by neutral material (Hartmann & Burton 1997). The low velocity of expansion means that one would not expect mass-motion collisional excitation and ionization to be important, so that photoionization processes should dominate, but, the low velocities also make it difficult to discriminate between the radiation-pressure-driven model invoked by O’Dell et al. (1967) and a mass-loaded shell of a supernova remnant, the interpretation most commonly applied to explain the expansion (Madsen et al. 2006).

The conditions of the gas in the Orion-Eridanus Bubble, as indicated by emission-line ratios, appear to resemble (Madsen...
et al. 2006) those of the very low density, hot component of the ISM, called the WIM (warm ionized medium), and contrast with those in the bright Galactic H\textsc{ii} regions. Therefore, explanation of the conditions within the Orion-Eridanus Bubble may lead to understanding the heating processes within the WIM, which are presently not adequately understood (Haffner et al. 2009).

In this paper, we will (Section 2) summarize the results from other studies of Barnard’s Loop and present new spectrophotometric observations, then use these observations to test (Section 3) models for the photoionization of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble, demonstrating that the nebular lines, except for [O\textsc{iii}], can be explained by photoionization by the best four candidate ionizing stars only if Barnard’s Loop is enhanced in heavy elements by about a factor of 1.4. In Section 4, we argue that the low surface brightness ubiquitous component of the ISM, commonly known as the WIM, is subject to the same physical processes as Barnard’s Loop, that the electron temperatures in WIM components are similar to those of the classical bright H\textsc{ii} regions, and that the common method of determining exact electron temperatures ($T_e$) of WIM components is rendered uncertain because of variations in heavy-element abundances comparable to those seen in well-studied H\textsc{ii} regions.

### 2. OBSERVATIONS

Due to its low surface brightness Barnard’s Loop has not been extensively studied with adequate spectral resolution. It is a popular object for imaging with wide-field cameras but usually with a filter that passes both the H\textalpha emission line at 6563 Å and the adjacent [N\textsc{ii}] doublet at 6548 Å and 6583 Å, making it impossible to develop a quantitative analysis. The fainter portions of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble have been even more difficult to study. In this section, we will summarize previous observations of the region and present new material on Barnard’s Loop.

#### 2.1. Spectrophotometry of Barnard’s Loop

##### 2.1.1. Previous Observations

There have been two earlier studies that are particularly useful. The older study was at low spectral and high spatial resolution and the more recent at quite high spectral resolution but low spatial resolution.

A photoelectric scanner study of several faint regions of the sky (Peimbert et al. 1975) included useful results for one position in Barnard’s Loop (shown in Figure 1) with two 5.2 $\times$ 77.6 slits separated by 168’’. The spectral resolution is not stated but was adequate for separating the H\alpha and 6583 Å lines. Although it is of apparently low signal-to-noise ratio (only the brightest lines were detected), this study extended to the bright [O\textsc{ii}] doublet at 3727 Å. The average surface brightness of these two samples was $1.1 \times 10^7$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ in H\alpha or 140 Rayleighs (the latter units are often employed in studies of extended emission and are $4\pi/10^6$ times the surface brightness expressed in photon rate). The line flux ratios are presented in Table 1.
A scanning Fabry–Perot spectrophotometer (the Wisconsin Hα Mapper, known as WHAM) study was conducted by Madsen et al. (2006) with a 1′′ diameter field and a spectral resolution of 12 km s⁻¹, measuring the [O iii] 5007 Å, He i 5876 Å, Hα 6563 Å, [N ii] 6583 Å, and [S ii] 6716 Å lines. The Hα surface brightness of position 1 was 86.3 ± 0.1 Rayleighs and for position 2 it was 228.1 ± 0.2 Rayleighs. The relative fluxes are given in Table 1.

2.1.2. New Spectrophotometry

New spectroscopic observations were made with the Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory 1.5 m telescope operated in cooperation with the SMARTS consortium. The instrument was the Boller and Chivens spectrograph. Observations were made on 2008 November 24 with Grating G58 using the Loral 1K CCD detector. A GG395 glass filter was used to prevent second-order flux from contaminating the first-order flux that was targeted. One pixel projected 1′′ × 1′′ along the 429′′ long slit, while the slit width was 2′′. The measured full width at half-maximum intensity of the nebular lines was 6 Å. The CTIO spectrophotometric standard star Feige 15 was observed nine times and the results were used to calibrate the nebular observations into energy units. The positions of the slit setting is shown in Figure 1 in addition to the position used for determining the background sky brightness. The sample was made from the Trapezium stars that contain θ¹ Ori C, the earliest spectral type star in the Orion Belt and Sword region.

In order to facilitate sky subtraction and cosmic-ray cleaning, double exposures were made in the pattern of two 1800 s Barnard’s Loop exposures followed by two 900 s sky exposures, a pattern repeated three times. Since it was considered most important to produce good sky subtractions near the Hα line, we scaled the sky observations to null out the OH band sky signal close to Hα prior to making the sky subtraction. This meant that other strong night sky lines that varied in brightness relative to the night sky OH emission were inadequately subtracted from the nebular spectra.

Data reduction was done using standard IRAF procedures. The results of these steps were calibrated spectra expressed in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹ that were then converted to surface brightness units and averaged over the entire length of the entrance slit. Only the Hγ 4340 Å, Hβ 4861 Å, Hα 6563 Å, [N ii] 6583 Å, [N ii] 6548 Å, [S ii] 6716 Å, and [S ii] 6731 Å lines were bright enough to be measured using the task “splot,” which required de-blending the lines near Hα and the [S ii] doublet using task “deblend.” The results from two spectra of the central Orion Nebula obtained during the same observing run were used to compare the derived surface brightness in the Hβ line with those obtained from the spectrophotometric study of Baldwin et al. (1991) and the calibration of the Hubble Space Telescope WFPC2 emission-line filters (O’Dell & Doi 1999), which use the Baldwin et al. (1991) results as a standard. There was good agreement. The surface brightness in Hβ was 5.3 × 10⁶ photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ sr⁻¹ or 66.6 Rayleighs. The final averaged spectrum is shown in Figure 2. The negative values at 5577 Å, 6300 Å, and 6363 Å reflect the uncertainties in subtracting the sky background as these [O i] sky lines varied considerably in surface brightness, and the sky signal at 5577 Å was comparable to the nebula’s signal at 6563 Å. An upper limit for the nebula’s [O iii] 5007 Å and He i 5876 Å lines was determined by scaling the Hβ line and inserting it at the locations of those lines. The flux ratio of the 6716 Å and 6731 Å lines was within 1% of the theoretical low-density limit of 1.50. The relative line intensities, normalized to Hβ, are given in Table 1.

2.2. Earlier Observations of the Orion–Eridanus Bubble

The Madsen et al. (2006) study also included high signal-to-noise ratio fixed-pointing samples at four additional positions to the east of the center of OB stars in the Belt and Sword regions of the nebula, extending out to a distance of 24′. They also obtained seven closely spaced samples crossing an ionization front at the southwest boundary of the Orion–Eridanus Bubble.
and lower quality data in regions further from the Galactic Plane and outside but near the Orion-Eridanus Bubble, thus sampling the more general WIM. When they compared their results with a similar set of measurements of large and low-density H $\alpha$ regions, they noted that the $[S \text{ ii}] / H\alpha$ and $[N \text{ iii}] / H\alpha$ flux ratios for the Orion-Eridanus Bubble varied together in the same way as in the WIM and they concluded that the variations in flux ratios were due to variations in $T_e$, with the WIM being significantly warmer than the Orion-Eridanus Bubble material. They established that the pattern of the line ratios was quite different for the H $\alpha$ regions they had sampled and the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and WIM. The difference was in the sense that the $[S \text{ ii}]$ to H $\alpha$ ratio was much weaker in the H $\alpha$ regions at the same value of the $[N \text{ iii}]$ to H $\alpha$ ratios. Moreover, they noted that the $[S \text{ ii}]$ 6716 Å over $[N \text{ iii}]$ 6583 Å flux ratio was both larger than in their H $\alpha$ regions and increased only slightly with surface brightness in H $\alpha$, with an average value near 0.8. The value of this ratio was about 0.4 in their low surface brightness H $\alpha$ regions.

3. MODELING

It is important to determine the physical conditions in Barnard’s Loop and the Orion-Eridanus Bubble in order to understand both the nature of these objects and their origin. As we will see, there is a fundamental problem when trying to explain the line ratios (which reflect the conditions of ionization and excitation) with the mechanism of direct stellar photoionization by the hottest star in the region, but we have been able to develop a model consistent with all the available observational material.

There are two methods of testing the source of illumination of Barnard’s Loop. The first test is to determine if the total stellar luminosity in the Lyman continuum (LyC) that is derived from the surface brightness is consistent with the available flux from the enclosed stars and is considered in Section 3.2. The second test is to determine if the observed emission-line ratios can be explained by photoionization caused by the enclosed stars and is considered in Section 3.4 for the well-studied object M43 as a test object and then in Section 3.5 we apply this method to Barnard’s Loop.

3.1. Basic Constraints Identified from the Observations

The observations of the emission distribution, the surface brightness, and the known distance allow us to determine some basic properties of Barnard’s Loop. Fortunately, the interstellar extinction in the region is known to be negligible (Peimbert et al. 1975; Madsen et al. 2006), a conclusion consistent with the fact that our new $H\alpha / H\beta$ flux ratio of 2.90 is that predicted for an unreddened gas of about 9000 K.

In their fundamental study of the Orion Nebula, Baldwin et al. (1991) demonstrated that the surface brightness in the H $\beta$ recombination line along a line of sight from the observer to the photoionizing star would be proportional to the flux of ionizing photons $\phi(H\beta)$ in units of photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ reaching a thin slab of gas. This approximation is probably valid for Barnard’s Loop because the lower inner-region densities would not be expected to absorb LyC photons. If one views the emitting layer face-on, then the relation would be $\phi(H\beta) = 4\pi(n_e/a_B^{\text{eff}})S(H\beta)$, where the surface brightness $S(H\beta)$ is expressed in photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ and $a_B$ and $a_B^{\text{eff}}$ are, respectively, the total recombination coefficients for hydrogen and the effective recombination coefficient for the H $\beta$ line. The ratio of the recombination coefficients is not very sensitive to the assumed $T_e$.

In the case of Barnard’s Loop, we are observing a relatively thin shell seen nearly edge-on, so that the surface brightness will be enhanced by a factor of $g$ above that for a slab viewed face-on. We call the quantity the limb-brightening correction. In this thin-shell model, the surface brightness distribution would start at the maximum radius $R_{\text{max}}$, rapidly rise to a peak value at an angle corresponding to the inner radius $R_{\text{peak}}$, then slowly decrease to the value that would apply along the line of sight through the ionizing star. The enhancement of the surface brightness will be $g = 2(R_{\text{max}}^2 - R_{\text{peak}}^2)^{1/2}/(R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{peak}})$. Using the dimensions for the line from $\theta^1$ Ori C through our CTIO-2008 observations ($R_{\text{max}} = 7.07$ and $R_{\text{peak}} = 6.01$), the geometric correction factor is $g = 7.03$, that is, the observed peak surface brightness will be enhanced by a factor of 7.03.

One can derive the flux of ionizing photons ($\phi(H\beta)$) after the former has been corrected for limb brightening. Using our observed surface brightness ($3.5 \times 10^7$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$), the geometric correction factor of 7.03 yields a flux of ionizing photons $\phi(H) = 8.1 \times 10^7$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. It is hard to ascribe a probable error to this number. The observational uncertainty is less than 10%. The uncertainties in the derived results that are due to applying a simple thin-shell model to Barnard’s Loop, which has some internal structure, are probably significantly larger. As we will see in the next section, this flux and its wavelength distribution determine the expected photoionization structure and observed line ratios within Barnard’s Loop. Having calculated the ionizing flux in a given sample, one can calculate the total luminosity in LyC photons ($Q(H\beta)$ with the units photons s$^{-1}$) for the ionizing star(s) by the relation $Q(H) = 4\pi R^2 \phi(H)$. Adopting the average of the maximum and peak surface brightness radii of 1.56 $\times 10^{20}$ cm yields $Q(H) = 2.5 \times 10^{49}$ photons s$^{-1}$.

This $Q(H)$ value is larger than the value for the Orion Nebula of $7.8 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$ found by Peimbert et al. (1975) from early radio continuum observations and the value for the Orion Nebula of $1.1 \times 10^{49}$ found by van der Werf & Goss (1989) from their Very Large Array study. The Orion Nebula ($Q(H)$) values are in approximate agreement with those expected from dominant photoionization of that object being by $\theta^1$ Ori C and $\theta^2$ Ori A, where the expected $Q(H)$ for the stars are $6 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$, respectively, using the calibration of Healy et al. (2006). The fact that the predicted stellar value of $Q(H)$ is smaller than that derived for the nebula probably indicates the uncertainty in the calibration or that the true spectral type is slightly earlier.

One can also estimate the electron density from a knowledge of the surface brightness, the emissivity in the H $\beta$ line and the geometry. For the constant density shell model the electron density ($n_e$) will be $n_e = 4\pi S(H\beta)/(a_B^{\text{eff}} S(H\beta)(R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{peak}}))$, assuming that all the free electrons arise from the photoionization of hydrogen, and a very good approximation in this low-ionization region where helium is neutral (as indicated by the very weak or absent He i 5876 Å line). Using the previous values and adopting $a_B^{\text{eff}} = 3.63 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ from Osterbrock & Ferland (2006) yields $n_e = 3.2$ cm$^{-3}$ for the region of Barnard’s Loop that we have observed and 0.7 cm$^{-3}$ for the Madsen et al. (2006) western arc. These numbers are similar to the density $n_e = 2.0$ cm$^{-3}$ derived by Heiles et al. (2000) from combined optical and radio data over large samples in Barnard’s Loop.

O’DELL ET AL.
3.2. Comparison of the Derived LyC Luminosity with that of the Enclosed Stars

A natural first step in explaining the observed emission-line properties is to investigate whether the line ratios can be explained by photoionization from a single dominant star, an approach adopted qualitatively by Peimbert et al. (1975). We will follow their discussion except that we shall use the spectral types summarized in Goudis (1982) and use the $Q(H)$ values from the recent study by Heap et al. (2006). There are many candidate ionizing stars within Barnard’s Loop and its extension into the Orion-Eridanus Bubble; the brightest six in the LyC are the brightest Trapezium O6 V star $\theta^1$ Ori C with an expected LyC luminosity of $6 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$, the cooler (spectral type $O9.5$ II) $\delta$ Ori with an expected LyC luminosity of $5.6 \times 10^{48}$ photon s$^{-1}$, $\zeta$ Ori ($O9.5$ Ib) at $5.6 \times 10^{48}$ photon s$^{-1}$, and $\iota$ Ori (spectral type $O9$ III) at $6.6 \times 10^{48}$ photon s$^{-1}$, plus $\beta^2$ Ori A and $\sigma$ Ori (both $O9.5$ V and $1.5 \times 10^{48}$ photon s$^{-1}$). It is unclear if $\epsilon$ Ori belongs to this list. With a spectral type of B0 Ia it is cooler than the stars studied by Heap et al. (2006). Vacca et al. (1996) did include one star (HD 37128) of this spectral type in their study and found it to be 6000 K cooler than an O9.5 Ia spectral type star (HD 30614) and would therefore have a much lower LyC luminosity. Since $\zeta$ Ori has a spectral type of O9.5 Ib, we conclude that $\epsilon$ Ori’s LyC luminosity is much lower than $5.6 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$ and we will not include it in our tally. The location of these stars are shown in Figure 1. Although there are many additional stars of latter spectral type and less luminous stars are distributed throughout the inner Orion constellation, their contribution to photoionization of Barnard’s Loop must be minimal. For a summary of the properties of these stars see Table 1.1 IV of Goudis (1982).

The $H\beta$ surface brightness yields an ionizing flux of $\phi(H) = 8.1 \times 10^5$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ that then leads to a derived ionizing luminosity of $Q(H) = 2.5 \times 10^{49}$ photons s$^{-1}$. Photoionization of the large-scale Barnard’s Loop may arise from multiple stars. It is unlikely that two candidate stars that are located within the Orion Nebula ($\theta^1$ Ori C and $\theta^2$ Ori A) are important contributors to ionizing Barnard’s Loop because it is well known (O’Dell 2001) that the nebula is optically thick to LyC radiation in all directions except possibly to the southwest, where the foreground Veil thins and X-ray emission from million degree gas is found (Güdel et al. 2008). The four remaining candidates for causing photoionization would have a total luminosity of $Q(H) = 1.9 \times 10^{49}$ photons s$^{-1}$, in reasonable agreement with the LyC luminosity derived from the H$\beta$ surface brightness. The effective temperatures of these stars range from 30,000 K to 32,000 K.

3.3. Method of Calculating Photoionization Models

We have determined the properties of the radiation field illuminating Barnard’s Loop by comparing the predictions for emission-line ratios from stellar atmosphere models of various effective temperatures ($T_{\text{star}}$). We use the development version of the spectral simulation code Cloudy, last reviewed by Ferland et al. (1998) and noted in Appendix A. We present here several large grids of model calculations that, in some cases, varied both $T_{\text{star}}$ and the flux of hydrogen-ionizing photons, and in others, only $T_{\text{star}}$. Later we also calculate and present models including differences in the abundance ratio ($Z/H$) of heavy elements to hydrogen. In many ways our calculations are similar to those of Sembach et al. (2000) but we use a version of Cloudy with up-to-date atomic coefficients, more recent stellar atmosphere models, and more recent calibrations of the spectral-type–$T_{\text{star}}$ relation.

The other key parameters in the calculations are the abundances of the heavy elements that dominate the cooling of the ionized gas. We adopted $N/H = 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$, $O/H = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$, and $S/H = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ for these as a point of reference, using these for the M43 calculations, where these abundances are known to apply, and scaled the abundances for the Barnard’s Loop and WIM calculations, where there are no direct determinations of the abundances. The O/H and N/H ratios are averages of the similar ISM (Jenkins 2009) and M42 (Simpson et al. 2004) results and the S/H ratio of $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ was taken from the study of Daflon et al. (2009), who measured two stages of ionized sulphur in ten B stars in the Orion Association.

We also adopted a ratio of extinction to reddening of $R = 3.1$, the standard dust to gas ratio, and included polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and the TLUSTY stellar atmospheres of Lanz & Hubeny (2003). Density is a less important factor, but we have used the appropriate values for the two specific objects being modeled.

We must note that the S/H ratio derived from H II regions can be significantly smaller than the solar abundance of $S/H = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ (Asplund et al. 2006). Simpson et al. (2004) give $S/H = 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$ from IR lines in the Orion Nebula, a value consistent with previous optical studies. However, Daflon et al. (2009) find that Orion B stars have $S/H$ close to the solar value, and the Esteban et al. (2004) study of optical emission lines finds relatively high values for the Orion Nebula ranging from $(1.1-1.7) \times 10^{-5}$. Jenkins (2009) finds that $S/H$ is depleted below the solar value in the ISM. These S/H values range over a factor of two, and Jenkins’ (2009) discovery of a depletion pattern in the ISM means that S/H may depend on the location in the Galaxy. This affects Figure 5. The regions where [SII] lines form are mainly cooled by lines of [SII], [NII], and [OII]. We have adopted $S/H = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$. Had we used a lower value the [SII] lines would be weaker, but by less than the change in the S/H ratio because of the thermostat effect in a photoionized gas (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). If the [SII] cooling is diminished by lowering $S/H$, the gas will grow hotter, making lines of [OII] and [NII] stronger. The effect would be to shift the curves in Figure 5 down and to the right. A factor of two decrease in $S/H$, which would be consistent with the older emission-line studies, would shift the log $\phi(H) = 6.7$ curve to roughly the position of the Madsen et al. (2006) data on this plot. A similar large range in N/H abundances was found by Simpson et al. (1995) in their study of galactic H II regions, something that becomes important in our discussion of the indirect method of determining $T_{\text{star}}$ in WIM components. These known abundance variations play an important role in our interpretation of line ratios of both Barnard’s Loop and the WIM, as discussed in Sections 3.5 and 3.6. Our abundance ratios most closely resemble the B star abundances adopted by Sembach et al. (2000) except that we assume a higher overall abundance.

The ISM on the galaxy-wide scale is likely to be inhomogeneous and porous, that is, certain regions may be relatively clear of material and others affected by nearby dense clouds (Spitzer 1978). Quite sophisticated models have been developed to take this geometry into account (see, for example, Wood et al. 2005; Haffner et al. 2009). Although Cloudy is capable of simulating complex geometries (the code Cloudy 3D; see Morisset & Stasinska 2008 and http://sites.google.com/site/cloudy3d/),
here we use it to simulate a shell of gas that is symmetric about the central star or star cluster. The temperature, ionization, and emission in many thousands of lines are determined as a function of distance away from the central ionizing stars. Line and continuum optical depths are taken into account so that changes in the ionizing radiation field (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006, Chapter 3) are reproduced and the geometry is an inhomogeneous mix of temperature, ionization, and emission. In this mode, a shell of gas, which appears to describe both the Barnard Loop and M43, is closely mimicked. The quantities we report correspond to the observed spectrum along a tangent occurring at various impact parameters through the shell away from the star cluster. In this way we closely mimic the observations, which place a spectrometer slit at various positions.

There are at present no reliable dielectronic recombination rate coefficients for the recombination from $s^{+2}$ to $s^{+1}$. We rely on empirical estimates of the rate coefficient based on photoionization modeling of astronomical observations, as was done by Ali et al. (1991). Based on such models we would judge our current estimates to be uncertain by about 30%. This introduces a roughly 20% uncertainty in the balance between $[$S II$]$ and $[$S III$]$. This produces an uncertainty that enters as an unknown scale factor that affects all models. This represents a basic uncertainty that affects all the observations by this scale factor. It may shift the model predictions by an unknown systematic amount, but will not produce object to object fluctuations in the spectrum.

3.4. Derivation of the Ionizing Star Properties from Emission-line Ratios in M43

To demonstrate the validity of the method employed in this paper to Barnard’s Loop, we first made calculations that simulate the H II region M43 (NGC 1982), which is dominated by a single cool star. We then used the results of that study to guide the calculations of the conditions for Barnard’s Loop.

M43 lies to the immediate northeast of the much brighter Orion Nebula (M42, NGC 1976) and was included in the recent comprehensive spectroscopic mapping of O’Dell & Harris (2010). The central star is NU Ori, which is spectral type B0.5 V (Schild & Chaffee 1971; Penston et al. 1975; Simón-Díaz et al. 2008). The total LyC luminosity of the nebula is about $(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{37}$ photons s$^{-1}$ as determined from multiple radio wavelength studies summarized by Goudis (1982). The apparent radius is 128” and the distance is 440 pc, giving a true radius of 0.27 pc. If the radius is adopted as the distance between the central star and a blister-type ionization front as applies to M42, then the corresponding $\phi(\text{H})$ value is $5.3 \times 10^{37}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. We have adopted an electron density in M43 of 520 cm$^{-3}$ (O’Dell & Harris 2010). We do not use the ionizing luminosity expected from the spectral type of NU Ori because it is at least a triple star system (Morrell & Levato 1991; Preibisch et al. 1999) and is a known windy star with a constant strong X-ray flux (Stelzer et al. 2005). Therefore, we take advantage of knowing the total luminosity of the nebula and leave the temperature of the ionizing star as a variable.

In this case, we assume that the abundance of elements is that of Section 3.3 but that we do not know the exact geometry (the apparent radius need not be the same as the separation between the central star and the ionization front), so that we have varied the adopted $\Phi$ values for a series of models for stars of varying $T_{\text{star}}$ (27,542 K through 40,040 K in steps of 0.0325 dex), all with stellar gravities corresponding to main-sequence stars. The results are shown in Figure 3. To these color–color diagrams, we have added the observed line ratios for the six samples in M43 from the O’Dell & Harris (2010) study that gave

\[
I(\text{[N II]} 6583 \AA)/I(\text{H\alpha}) = 0.41 \pm 0.02, I(\text{[S II]} 6716 \AA + 6731 \AA)/
\]

\[
I(\text{H\beta}) = 0.18 \pm 0.03, \text{and } I(\text{[O III]} 5007 \AA)/I(\text{H\beta}) = 0.26 \pm 0.11.
\]

Examination of the low-ionization color–color diagram (Figure 3, left) shows that the best agreement of the emission-line ratios with the predictions of the model stellar atmospheres is for a star with $T_{\text{star}}$ between 29,700 K and 34,500 K and $\log \Phi \approx 11.0$. These temperatures bracket the temperature of $\sim 30,000$ K expected for a spectral type B0.5V (Heap et al. 2006), and the best $\log \Phi$ value indicates that the distance between the ionizing star and the ionization front is 0.7 times the apparent radius of the nebula. A B0.5 V star would be expected...
to have an LyC luminosity (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006) of $8 \times 10^{57}$ photons s$^{-1}$, within a factor of two of the luminosity derived from the radio continuum.

Examination of the high-ionization color–color diagram (Figure 3, right) shows that the $I([O\text{\,ii}]/5007\,\AA)/I(H\beta)$ observations are best fit by a much higher $T_{\text{star}}$. The cause of this is probably not in the models, but rather that the line ratio is contaminated by the light originating in the nearby Huygens Region, where this ratio is about three (O’Dell & Harris 2010), and it would only take about 20% contribution to $I([O\text{\,ii}]/5007\,\AA)$ to account for the discrepancy. O’Dell & Harris (2010) argue that essentially all of the ionization of M43 arises from NU Ori, but their analysis does not preclude this level of contamination by scattered light. At similar distances within M42 the scattered light from the Huygens Region is about this level.

We show in Figure 4 the results of calculations for predictions of $T_{\text{e}}$ for the most likely value of log $\Phi$ determined from Figure 3 (left). We have superimposed the average $T_{\text{e}}$ derived in O’Dell & Harris (2010) from the nebular to auroral transitions of [N\,\text{ii}] ($7950 \pm 720$ K) and [S\,\text{ii}] ($7260 \pm 720$ K). The uncertainties in the temperatures (because of the weakness of the auroral transitions) are too large to narrowly define the stellar models, but we see that again the $T_{\text{e}}$ taken together agree with $T_{\text{star}}$ derived from the spectral type. Our results differ only little and within the range of uncertainty caused by the different methods employed with the recent study by Simón-Díaz et al. (2011).

**3.5. Derivation of a Model for Barnard’s Loop from the Ratios of the Strongest Emission Lines**

We then performed a similar set of calculations for Barnard’s Loop, using the parameters relevant for that object. Fortunately, the constraining factors are better known than for M43. In this case, we know that all of the candidate ionizing stars ($\delta$ Ori 09.5 II, $\zeta$ Ori 09.5 Ib, $\iota$ Ori O9 III, and $\sigma$ Ori O9.5 V) fall in a narrow range of $T_{\text{star}}$ near 31,000 K. The side-on view of Barnard’s Loop means that we know the separation of the ionizing stars and the ionization front reasonably well and in Section 3.1 derive an ionizing flux of $\Phi = 8.1 \times 10^7$ photons s$^{-1}$. We also know that the density is about 3.2 cm$^{-3}$ for our sample. Our calculations were made using $T_{\text{star}} = 31,000$ K and a stellar gravity appropriate for a giant star. We adopted the previously assumed properties of interstellar grains and PAH and initially used the same abundances as in our calculations of M43 models.

In these new calculations there was a significant disagreement between the predicted model and the observations in both the low-ionization color–color (Figure 5) and the high-ionization color–color (Figure 6) diagrams. This disagreement was present whether one uses all of the available observations or only the ratios from this study. We tried to fit models with different values of $\Phi$ and $T_{\text{star}}$ as low as 27,500 K, but none of these agreed with the observations. The locus of points for various values of log $\Phi$ for the lowest $T_{\text{star}} = 27,500$ K models is also shown in Figure 5. The small discrepancy at 27,500 K becomes larger at higher values of $T_{\text{star}}$ since plots for $T_{\text{star}}$ progress to the right in the diagram, with the $T_{\text{star}}$ matching the likely ionizing stars shown as an open square around the filled circle (log $\Phi = 7.9$).

We then explored the results of varying the only parameter in our set of assumptions that is not directly determined...
for Barnard’s Loop, that is, the relative abundances of the heavy elements (Z/H). We scaled all of the heavy elements together, thereby ignoring subtleties in things like the N/O ratio changes that can be expected from the stellar evolution models. In this case, we see that one obtains excellent agreement between the observations and the models with a log(Z/H) abundance enhancement between 0.1 and 0.2, i.e., a heavy-element abundance enhancement of about a factor of 1.4.

The more diagnostically useful figure is the low-ionization color–color ratio because of its insensitivity to contamination by scattered light and Figure 5 will be used for discussion. It is important to understand that the locus of points tracked by the models of various abundances is a result of both the number of elements of that species available for emitting the emission line, but also $T_e$ (the emissivity of the collisionally excited [S II] and [N II] lines increasing with $T_e$ and the emissivity of the recombination Hα decreasing with $T_e$). A track from lowest Z/H to highest Z/H models is a monotonic progression from high to low $T_e$.

Comparison of our models with varying abundances with the three sets of observations of Barnard’s Loop (this study; Peimbert et al. 1975; Madsen et al. 2006) still indicates that the best agreement occurs when there is an enrichment of heavy elements between 0.1 and 0.2 dex.

We also considered the high-ionization color–color diagram because the [O III] 5007 Å line was detected by Madsen et al. (2006) in several of their samples of Barnard’s Loop. In each case there was significant disagreement with the models best fitting the low-ionization color–color diagram in the sense that there was excess [O III] emission. In the same way as in M43, this excess is almost certainly due to scattered light from the bright central Hα regions in Orion where the $I($[O III] 5007 Å)/$I($Hβ) ratio is about three and a contamination of only a few percent is enough to account for the disagreement.

As a guideline for future observations of Barnard’s Loop, we present in Appendix B the predicted relative intensities of the strongest emission lines over a wide range of wavelengths. We adopted $T_{\text{star}} = 31,000$ K, log $\Phi = 7.91$, and an abundance enrichment of 0.15 dex as the best fit to Barnard’s Loop.

3.6. Comparison of Barnard’s Loop Properties with those of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and the WIM

Madsen et al. (2006) measured a large number of samples within and near the Orion-Eridanus Bubble in addition to two samples (W1 and W2) that clearly lie within Barnard’s Loop. They point out the continuity of the low-ionization color–color diagram results for all of these, in spite of a factor of 40 range of Hα surface brightness. Their results for the low surface brightness Hα regions fall below the pattern established for the other samples. In Figure 7, we show the data from Madsen et al.’s (2006) Figure 7 and have added our new Barnard’s Loop
...and that is the case. The wider distribution of the other Orion-points along a line of constant color (a nearly vertical line) we would expect variations in abundance and/or \( T_{\text{star}} \) of the dominant ionizing star, in addition to variations in \( U \). Variations in \( T_{\text{star}} \) are certainly possible in such a large-scale sampling since there is evidence (O’Dell 2001) that the optically thick foreground Veil of the Orion Nebula is probably optically thin to the southwest and this would allow radiation from the hottest star in the region to illuminate Orion-Eridanus Bubble components in that direction. In the case of the WIM samples there could be a significant range of photoionizing star temperatures, \( U \), and possibly \( Z/H \).

If there is only a single value of \( (Z/H) \), which is adopted for our M43 calculations, then most of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and WIM ratios can be explained by \( \log U \) values between \(-3.07 \) and \(-3.67 \), with \( T_{\text{star}} \) values of up to slightly more than 35,000 K. However, the lower left population of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble samples and the Barnard’s Loop samples would require unrealistically low \( T_{\text{star}} \) values, indicating that there must be regions of higher than average \( Z/H \).

We can constrain the likely \( T_e \) of the Barnard Loop samples since they are all illuminated by the same radiation field. Table 2 gives the \( T_e \) in the \([N\,\text{II}]\) emitting zone for all our models. The two most closely matching the low-ionization color–color diagram are those with \( \log U = -3.67 \) and \( \log U = -3.07 \) with \( T_{\text{star}} = 31,000 \) K, both with an abundance difference of 0.1 dex, and these have expected \( T_e \) of 5970 K and 5940 K, respectively. We will adopt a value of 5960 \( \pm \) 50 K for comparison with direct determinations. There is a great uncertainty about the expected \( T_e \) of the other parts of the diagram. For example, the \( T_{\text{star}} = 31,000 \) K and \( \log U = -3.07 \) model with average \( Z/H \) predicts line ratios about nearly the same as the \( T_{\text{star}} = 40,000 \) K and \( \log U = -3.67 \) model with an abundance enhancement of about 0.5 dex; the gas would have the very different temperatures of 6530 K and 4680 K.

The important conclusion of this section is that theoretically one can explain the low-ionization color–color diagram for the non-Barnard’s Loop samples by a range of values of \( U, T_{\text{star}}, \) and \( Z/H \). A simple ratio of nebular line intensities for two different ions cannot produce an unambiguous estimate of \( T_e \).
from ions of very different masses. The third is from the ratio of continuum to recombination line emission.

Observations of the low-ionization WIM cannot use the most widely used $T_e$ indicator, the [O III] auroral/nebular line ratios. However, Reynolds et al. (2001) were able to measure the auroral 5755 Å and nebular 6583 Å lines of [N II] in a number of low surface brightness H II regions and several samples of WIM clouds along a single line of sight. Madsen et al. (2006) were able to measure these same line ratios in several additional WIM clouds and WIM clouds lying along the same line of sight as low surface brightness H II regions. They conclude that the WIM component has a line ratio corresponding to $T_e$ about 2000 K higher than their sample of H II regions and that $T_e$ is higher for WIM clouds of lower surface brightness.

We show the Reynolds et al. (2001) and Madsen et al. (2006) data in Figure 8 and have added line ratios for many additional brighter H II regions (García-Rojas et al. 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007; O’Dell & Harris 2010). The Reynolds et al. (2001) WIM sample had four velocity components and we see that when considered together (the “total” sample) the line ratios are similar or lower than most H II regions, indicating similar $T_e$. Only the WIM component along the line of sight to Sivan 2 has a significantly higher inferred $T_e$ and it is comparable to the hottest classical H II region (NGC 3603). In contrast, the low surface brightness H II regions are systematically lower in the [N II] line ratio than the bright H II regions, and hence have lower $T_e$. The H II regions in the Reynolds et al. (2001) sample have H$\alpha$ surface brightnesses of 68–339 R. They have much lower surface brightness than classical bright H II regions.

For reference, the Orion Nebula has an extinction-corrected maximum surface brightness in H$\alpha$ of $1.1 \times 10^6$ R (O’Dell & Harris 2010).

The conclusion that one can draw from the data presented in the Reynolds et al. (2001) and Madsen et al. (2006) studies and comparison with the results from classical bright H II regions is that the only direct determinations of $T_e$ of WIM components indicate temperatures comparable to classical bright H II regions. It is only the low surface brightness H II regions in the Reynolds et al. (2001) and Madsen et al. (2006) sample that are of unusually low $T_e$, in spite of the emphatic statement in Haffner et al. (2009) that the WIM temperatures are elevated to the bright classical H II regions. That statement is only true for the very low surface brightness components of the WIM, as we discuss in Section 4.3. This can be due to them being photoionized by cooler stars. An additional factor may be that collisional de-excitation is not important at the lower densities in the WIM clouds so that cooling radiative transitions are relatively more important. There is an observational selection effect in the WHAM studies in that the low surface brightness H II regions are much larger than the typical H II regions since they are usually larger than the 1° diameter of the WHAM field of view.

Line widths will characteristically have several components, the thermal width (which will scale as the square root of the ratio of temperature and ion mass) and random large-scale mass motions along the line of sight. Since the atomic mass of S is 32 times that of H, one can hope to determine $T_e$ after making reasonable assumptions about the common properties of the mass motion. In an early WHAM study, Reynolds (1985) compared the line widths of H$\alpha$ and [S II] lines, but the spectral resolution and intrinsic widths of the lines did not allow an unambiguous determination of $T_e$. Line widths have been measured in the diffuse H II region surrounding ζ Oph, where the fainter components are comparable to the WIM in surface brightness. Observations of [N II] 6583 Å, [S II] 6716 Å, and H$\alpha$ were interpreted by Baker et al. (2004) in a paper that only appears as an abstract. However, a summary of their results is shown in Figure 4 of Haffner et al. (2009). There, one sees that most of the observed points lie between 6000 K and 9000 K and that there is a systematic and position-dependent change in the non-thermal component. Again these temperatures are comparable to the classical bright H II regions.

Comparison of optical emission lines and radio continuum can also provide a good source of $T_e$. In the case of Barnard’s Loop, Heiles et al. (2000) use their own radio observations in two large samples at four wavelengths and WHAM optical surface brightnesses to determine that $T_e$ is about 6100 K, in excellent agreement with the value of about 5960 ± 50 K inferred from the low-ionization line ratios discussed in Section 3.6. An unusual result using this approach occurs for the WIM. Dobler et al. (2009) compared the continuum measured with the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe satellite with the H$\alpha$ surface brightness. They determined that the observed ratio required a gas temperature of 3000 K. It is difficult to understand such a low temperature arising from photoionization processes, which has led to the creation of a three-component model composed of photoionized gas, which is recombining and cooling, and cooled neutral hydrogen (Dong & Draine 2011). Following Wood & Reynolds (1999), Dong & Draine (2011) assumed in their model that there was a significant scattered light component and found that a 15% scattered light contribution to H$\alpha$ was necessary to
produce a satisfactory model. It is hard to assess this multi-free-parameter model since it assumes that the warm gas component temperature is that indicated by the $I(\text{[N II]} 6583 \text{Å})/I(\text{H} \alpha)$ ratio method. There are also arguments based on direct observations that high latitude clouds are strongly affected by scattered H$\alpha$ radiation (Witt et al. 2010). If contamination by scattered H$\alpha$ was stronger than derived in the detailed models of Dong & Draine (2011), then the temperature of the WIM gas contributing to the radio continuum would be higher than 3000 K.

4. DISCUSSION

In this section, we consider the results derived from the earlier sections. We consider the effects of variations of $Z$/H on the low-ionization color–color diagram, the general utility of using the low-ionization color–color diagram for determining $T_\text{e}$, the systematic changes of $T_\text{e}$ determined from the low-ionization color–color diagram, and the issues involved with using large spatial scale line ratio variations from observations of other galaxies.

4.1. Effects of Variations of $Z$/H on the Low-ionization Color–Color Diagram

Our most important conclusion is that one cannot explain the low-ionization color–color diagram for the Barnard’s Loop samples by the stars capable of causing this large-scale photoionization if the $Z$/H ratio is our reference value used in the M43 calculations. We invoke a heavy-element enhancement of about a factor of 1.4 to reconcile our models with the observations. A similar enhancement is also necessary to explain the high surface brightness population of the Orion-Eridanus Bubble samples. If such variations are necessary to explain the best-studied samples, it is likely that the abundance variations also occur in the clouds producing the components of the WIM population.

There certainly is evidence for local variations in $Z$/H within the ionized components of the ISM. Two studies of multiple H$\text{ II}$ regions and compact H$\text{ II}$ regions at various galactocentric distances (Simpson et al. 1995; Afflerbach et al. 1997) found a general decrease in $Z$/H with increasing distance from the center of the Galaxy. Their analysis relies on ratios of infrared emission lines and will not be affected by uncertainties in the gas temperature or density. More important to our problem, they found in the local part of the Galaxy variations of 0.3 dex both above and below the average and well beyond their estimated probable errors. These variations probably arise because stars with a range of masses and lifetimes produce different elements that enhance their locale.

As noted in Section 3.5, we have assumed in our models that the relative abundance of various elements vary together. For a small-scale object, where the products of an individual evolved star can be important, this assumption would be questionable. However, for the large objects that we consider here (Barnard’s Loop, the Orion-Eridanus Bubble, and the WIM clouds), the abundances must be determined by the products of multiple stars and the adoption of a constant ratio of individual elements is justified.

4.2. Application of the Low-ionization Color–Color Diagram for Determining $T_\text{e}$

During the last decade there have been multiple papers on the WIM that argue that a low-ionization color–color diagram similar to Figure 7 can be explained primarily by variations in $T_\text{e}$ as noted in the recent review by Haffner et al. (2009). The method goes back to the WIM study by Haffner et al. (1999) and has frequently been employed (Madsen et al. 2006). Within the assumption that the ionization ratio of nitrogen remains constant and a known N/H abundance applies, it is a simple matter to draw vertical lines representing constant values of $T_\text{e}$ in Figure 7. The nitrogen ionization ratio is defined as $(H/\text{H}\alpha)/(N^+/N)$, where H and N represent the total number density of hydrogen and nitrogen atoms and the superscripted values their ion number density. This reflects the fact that the emissivity of a recombination line and forbidden line have reverse-sense dependencies on $T_\text{e}$. However, if the nitrogen ionization ratio is lower than assumed, the relative emissivity per unit volume decreases and a vertical line indicating a fixed $T_\text{e}$ would move to the left. If the N/H ratio is lower than assumed, the displacement would also be to the left. Of course both assumptions could be incorrect in different senses and one error can correct for the other, but without a good knowledge of both the nitrogen ionization ratio and the relative abundance of nitrogen and hydrogen, the method is suspect.

In an attempt to identify the range of probable values of the nitrogen ionization ratio, we have extracted this information from our calculated models. For the models that most closely match the distribution of the Barnard’s Loop, Orion-Eridanus Bubble, and WIM observations, the ionization ratio varies little. The nitrogen ionization ratio for log $U = -3.67$ and $T_{\text{star}} = 31,000$ varies only from 1.007 to 1.014 over the range of $Z$/H from $-0.5$ to 0.5 dex. The ionization ratio for log $U = -3.07$ and $T_{\text{star}} = 40,000$ varies only from 1.012 to 1.035 over the range of $Z$/H from $-0.5$ to 0.5 dex. This confirms that variations in the ionization ratio do not play an important role, as previously assumed and calculated. This is in excellent agreement with the predictions of Sembach et al. (2000). Unfortunately, in the study of Madsen et al. (2006), which drew on the Sembach et al. (2000) models, a value of the nitrogen ionization ratio of 0.8 was adopted, whereas this is actually the value for N$^+$/N. This error was not corrected when the results were repeated in a review article (Haffner et al. 2009). This makes the electron temperatures they present to be too large, for their assumed abundance. In the original study of Haffner et al. (1999), a nitrogen ionization ratio of 1.0 was adopted, which means that those temperatures should be correct, if the nitrogen abundance they adopted of N/H = 7.5 × 10$^{-5}$ is both correct and uniform.

The more important limitation of the $I(\text{[N II]})/I(\text{H} \alpha)$ ratio method is the other scaling factor, the N/H ratio. We argue in Section 3.6 that in the case of Barnard’s Loop there is a $Z$/H abundance enhancement of about 0.15 dex that (alone) would shift a line of fixed $T_\text{e}$ to the right by a factor of 1.4 in Figure 7. As noted above, variations of this magnitude (Simpson et al. 1995; Afflerbach et al. 1997) are known to exist. Without a knowledge of the abundance, which is usually derived for gaseous nebulae after one knows $T_\text{e}$ by direct means, it is impossible to determine an accurate value of $T_\text{e}$ for specific objects from low-ionization color–color diagrams.

4.3. Systematic Variations of $T_\text{e}$ Determined from the Low-ionization Color–Color Diagram

Because the progression of calculated points for abundance excesses or deficits makes a loop that peaks near the predictions for the nominal abundance, abundance variations are unlikely to explain the high values of the low-ionization ratios of the lowest surface brightness WIM components. These components must have higher values of $T_\text{e}$. These high-value components can be explained by photoionization processes if the illuminating stars
are of higher $T_{\text{star}}$ or are stars of lower temperatures whose LyC radiation field mimics that of a hotter star (Wood & Mathis 2004), whereas Reynolds et al. (1999) argued that photoionization processes are insufficient. Modifying the photoionizing radiation is possible through selective removal of photons of energies slightly greater than the ionization energy of hydrogen of 13.6 eV, a process commonly known as radiation hardening. If one introduces non-photoionization processes that come into play at these very low densities, then one does not need to assume a harder radiation field.

In summary, we can say that the low-ionization color–color plots of Barnard’s Loop and the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and the higher surface brightness components of the WIM can be explained by combinations of $T_e$ and abundance variations using available stars. In the case of the lowest surface brightness components of the WIM, it is necessary to either modify the characteristic radiation field or to introduce non-photoionization heating processes.

4.4. Large-scale Line Ratio Variations in Other Galaxies

Study of the diffuse ionized gas in other galaxies may provide some help in understanding our own WIM and the cause of the systematically higher values of $T_e$ in the lowest surface brightness components. In the study of other galaxies one has the advantage of easily looking for variations with position and these are commonly found (Tüllmann & Dettmar 2000a, 2000b; Otte et al. 2001, 2002), but this is at the expense of losing the diagnostically valuable tool of being able to divide the contributors into surface brightness groups and it often appears necessary to invoke non-photoionization processes to explain the observations. The work that we report on here builds from the physics operating in a succession of photoionized objects of decreasing density and increasing scale (M43, Barnard’s Loop, the Orion-Eridanus Bubble, and local components of the WIM). These final conclusions can then be a jumping-off point in discussion of the diffuse ionized gas in other galaxies.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have been able to reach several important conclusions from this study that began with new observations of Barnard’s Loop. These have provided data similar to previous studies but in a new region of the object and at higher spectrophotometric accuracy. These observations were supplemented by intensive photoionization modeling. The major conclusions are as follows.

1. Barnard’s Loop is photoionized by the most luminous stars in the Orion constellation except for $\theta^1$ Ori C and $\theta^2$ Ori A, whose radiations are largely absorbed locally.

2. Tests of our photoionization models on the recently well-observed and intrinsically simply H II region M43 give a good fit to the low-ionization primary line ratios for stellar models close to the $T_{\text{star}}$ of the complex exciting star NU Ori.

3. Barnard’s Loop is similar in its properties to other regions in the Orion-Eridanus Bubble and lies at the high surface brightness end of a population of components of the WIM.

4. Our best models that explain the low-ionization lines fail to predict the observed strength of the [O III] lines in both M43 and Barnard’s Loop. This is due to a small contamination of the nebular emission by scattered light arising from M42.

5. The location of the Barnard’s Loop observations in a low-ionization color–color diagram cannot be explained from photoionization by the most likely dominant stars unless one assumes a local Z/H enhancement of about 0.15 dex. This argues that Barnard’s Loop is enriched and possibly shaped by high-velocity mass loss from evolved stars near its center.

6. The electron temperature derived from our models of Barnard’s Loop and the low-ionization line ratios is about $5960 \pm 50$ K, in excellent agreement with the optical/radio method result of 6100 K (Heiles et al. 2000).

7. The population of low-ionization color–color line ratios of Orion-Eridanus Bubble and WIM components is enclosed by a small range of values of $U$, $T_e$, and $Z/H$.

8. Comparison of $T_e$ derived by direct methods in classical high surface brightness H II regions and a few samples of the WIM indicates that the WIM components are of comparable $T_e$ to H II regions. Only very low surface brightness H II regions have systematically different and lower $T_e$.

9. The lowest surface brightness components of the WIM in the low-ionization color–color diagram are likely to have systematically higher $T_e$ than the higher surface brightness components.

10. We establish that the usual method of determining $T_e$ in WIM components through $I\text{([N II])/}[H\alpha]$ ratios is subject to an important uncertainty arising from known abundance variations.

11. Small changes of abundance from the reference value produce a confined short loop in the low-ionization color–color diagram for a fixed $T_{\text{star}}$. This means that if there is only a variation in abundance within $\pm 0.3$ dex, the lowest surface brightness components of the WIM are systematically illuminated by harder radiation fields and that photoionization processes can explain the observed line ratios.
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APPENDIX A

RECENT CHANGES TO CLOUDY

This paper makes extensive use of two newly introduced facilities. Cloudy can now interpolate upon large grids of stellar atmosphere spectral energy distributions (SEDs). In the case of the TLUSTY OSTAR2002 and BSTAR2006 SEDs (Lanz & Hubeny 2003, 2007), we can interpalate on effective temperature, surface gravity, and metallicity, as we cannot vary abundances individually. We used TLUSTY to be consistent with the physical calibration of the spectral classes presented by Heap et al. (2006). The interpolation methods have been generalized and many other grids of stellar SEDs are available. We have developed a domain decomposition method to compute these grids on Message Passing Interface (MPI) aware parallel machines. Each grid point is an independent model calculation and so can be done on separate computer nodes. This results in a speed up that is of the order of the number of available processors.

The capability to compute grids of photoionization models where certain key parameters are incremented in equidistant linear or logarithmic steps was introduced several years ago and has been discussed in Porter et al. (2006). We recently enhanced this capability by parallelizing the algorithm using the MPI specification. This allows much larger grids to be...
computed in parallel on distributed clusters of computers. The calculations are set up in such a way that each core calculates a separate model (domain decomposition) and all the results are gathered when the grid has finished. The communication overhead is negligible since the MPI threads only need to communicate when the grid calculation starts and finishes. Hence, this algorithm is highly efficient and scales well to high numbers of cores for sufficiently large grids.

APPENDIX B
PREDICTED LINE RATIOS

In Appendix B, we present the predicted relative intensities of the strongest emission lines over a wide range of wavelengths (see Table 3).

| Ion   | Wavelength | I/I(Hβ) |
|-------|------------|---------|
| Lyα  | 1216 Å     | 12.458  |
| CuII | 2326 Å     | 0.3146  |
| MgII | 2798 Å     | 0.2749  |
| [OIII]| 3727 Å     | 2.5103  |
| [SII]| 4070 Å     | 0.0389  |
| [SIII]| 4078 Å   | 0.0130  |
| Hβ   | 4861 Å     | 1.0000  |
| [NII]| 5755 Å     | 0.0109  |
| HeI  | 5876 Å     | 0.0191  |
| [OII]| 6300 Å     | 0.0153  |
| [NII]| 6548 Å     | 0.2148  |
| Hα   | 6563 Å     | 2.8905  |
| [NII]| 6584 Å     | 0.6337  |
| [SII]| 6716 Å     | 0.3861  |
| [SIII]| 6731 Å     | 0.2707  |
| [OIII]| 7323 Å   | 0.0249  |
| [OIII]| 7332 Å   | 0.0203  |
| [SIII]| 9069 Å   | 0.0753  |
| [SIII]| 9532 Å   | 0.1869  |
| HeII | 1.083 μm   | 0.0295  |
| H1   | 1.817 μm   | 0.0125  |
| [ArIII]| 6.980 μm  | 0.0500  |
| [NeII]| 12.81 μm | 0.1425  |
| [SIII]| 18.67 μm  | 0.0824  |
| [SIII]| 33.47 μm  | 0.1916  |
| [SiII]| 34.81 μm  | 0.0202  |
| [NII]| 121.7 μm  | 0.0306  |
| [CII]| 157.6 μm  | 0.3029  |
| [NII]| 205.4 μm  | 0.0416  |
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