Atherosclerosis can be limited to one vascular bed, but more often it takes a diffused form. Detection of the disease in one area should prompt further assessment of the patient for atherosclerotic disease in different territories. According to various studies, in patients over 50 years old with peripheral artery disease (PAD), critical limb ischemia (CLI) affects 1–2% of this population. These patients have very high risk of cardiovascular events due to concomitant coronary and cerebrovascular disease (5-year event rate of 20%), and it has been proven that those events occur more frequently than ischemic events of the lower extremities, regardless of the stage of the lower extremity arterial disease (LEAD). Furthermore, over the 5-year observation period, 75% of deaths are caused precisely by cardiovascular events [1].

Prevalence of coronary and carotid artery disease in patients with LEAD, as reported by various studies, is between 50% and 60%. In the authors’ registry of 218 patients with peripheral artery disease undergoing diagnostic coronary angiography, significant co-existing coronary artery disease was diagnosed in patients with LEAD or carotid artery disease in 63% and 65% respectively. It is interesting that 72% of those patients had never had any coronary artery disease (CAD) symptoms. Detection of concomitant CAD in patients with LEAD is especially important before planning the surgical strategy. Peripheral vascular surgery is considered a high-risk surgery, with the highest risk of cardiac complications (estimated 30-day cardiac event rates – cardiac death and myocardial infarction (MI) – of over 5%) [2–9].

According to the above data, detailed cardiac evaluation of patients with LEAD undergoing vascular surgery should be performed routinely and become a standard of care.

 Patients with CLI have worse prognoses than patients with different forms of malignant diseases and, as yet, no way has been found to improve these prognoses. Overall, mortality in patients with CLI approaches 50% at 5 years and 70% at 10 years [1]. Because of that, in our facility diagnostic peripheral angiography in patients with CLI is followed by routine coronary angiography.

Our planned strategy for patients with CLI requiring immediate vascular surgery and diagnosed with advanced coronary artery disease (critical narrowing of main coronary artery) was hybrid treatment. The benefit of one-stage treatment is diminishing the risk of periprocedural cardiac complications in patients with peripheral arterial disease. The negative aspect of this approach is the high risk of early stent thrombosis during the vascular surgery, which activates coagulation and inflammation.

The investigated approach has been developed in the acute setting, following cardiological, surgical and anesthesiological consultation, to ensure the best results in patients with coexistence of LEAD in the form of CLI and CAD with critical stenosis of the coronary artery.

Some patients with CLI and a high cardiac risk profile hospitalized in Malopolskie Heart and Vessel Center in Chrzanow between 2013 and 2015 underwent simultaneous peripheral and coronary angiography. The patients’ demographic and periprocedural data are shown in Table I. In 8 cases, critical stenosis of the coronary artery was observed and there was no possibility for endovascular treatment of the LEAD. Coronary artery stenosis was defined as significant on the basis of the visual inspection. In all patients the percentage diameter stenosis was over 90%. In all cases there were no cardiac ischemic symptoms at rest and angina status was difficult to assess as patients had very low physical activity due to the CLI.
Vascular surgery had to be performed without any delay to prevent limb loss. Despite the fact that the main complaint reported by patients was leg pain at rest, we decided, according to the coronary artery anatomy, to perform percutaneous revascularization with stent implantation. P2Y12 inhibitor loading dose administration was delayed to decrease bleeding risk during vascular surgery. All patients were given a loading dose of aspirin (if not on chronic aspirin therapy). After uncomplicated percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), patients were transferred for vascular surgery to a theater equipped with a mobile angiograph with optional monitoring of the outcome with angiography. During the PCI, mean fluoroscopy time was 7 min 12 s, mean contrast media load was 160 ml and mean radiation exposure reached 652.8 mGy/patient. Each patient underwent major vascular surgery: 7 cases of bypass grafting, 1 bypass thrombectomy, 1 endarterectomy and 1 endarterectomy with patch angioplasty. Additionally, 4 cases were combined with peripheral angioplasty (Table II). For the PCI, a standard, weight-adjusted (100 U/kg) dose of unfractionated heparin (UFH) was administered (mean dose per patient was 7000 U). At the beginning of the vascular surgery each patient was given an additional UFH dose, and it was 1200 U on average.

Mean time from the end of the PCI to the beginning of the vascular surgery was 75 min, and mean time from the end of the PCI to administration of the loading dose of P2Y12 inhibitor (600 mg of clopidogrel) was 190 min. The postoperative course was stable with no coronary or peripheral ischemic symptoms. No acute kidney injury was observed. Despite delayed P2Y12 loading dose administration, there were no stent thrombosis events. We did not observe any bleeding related to the PCI. Perioperative bleeding assessment was conducted with a grading scale from A to D: A – minimal bleeding; B – controllable bleeding – did not affect the surgery as planned; C – increased bleeding – affecting surgery but not jeopardizing its planned outcome; D – extreme bleeding – did not allow for the procedure to be completed as planned.

All patients received B and the drainage volume was 600 ml on average. No blood transfusion was required.

Table I. Demographic and periprocedural data

| Parameter | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 | Patient 7 | Patient 8 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Age [years] | 81 | 56 | 57 | 63 | 72 | 66 | 49 | 79 |
| Male/female | M | M | F | F | M | M | M | M |
| Hypertension | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Smoker | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hyperlipidemia | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Diabetes on tablets | – | ✓ | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Diabetes on insulin | – | – | ✓ | – | ✓ | – | – | – |
| LVEF | 50% | 50% | 40% | 60% | 60% | 55% | 60% | 30% |
| History of MI | – | ✓ | – | ✓ | – | – | ✓ | ✓ |
| History of PCI | – | – | – | – | – | – | ✓ | – |
| Lee index | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| NSQIP risk of any complications | 16% | 20% | 15% | 14% | 13% | 23% | 14% | 21% |
| NSQIP risk of any complications | 21% | 29% | 19% | 18% | 20% | 26% | 24% | 32% |
| Syntax score | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 3 |
| Access site used for PCI | Femoral | Femoral | Radial | Femoral | Femoral | Radial | Radial | Femoral |
| Atrial fibrillation | – | – | ✓ | – | – | – | – | ✓ |
| Need for oral anticoagulation | – | – | ✓ | – | – | – | – | ✓ |
| Hemoglobin level before procedure [g/dl] | 10.3 | 16.8 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 15.8 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 14.2 |
| Hemoglobin level after procedure [g/dl] | 9.8 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 11.1 |
| GFR before procedure [ml/min/1.73 m²] | 65.4 | 93.5 | 63.6 | 64.9 | 88.2 | 81.3 | 59.7 | 48.2 |
| GFR after procedure [ml/min/1.73 m²] | 52.1 | 96.3 | 75.8 | 80.6 | 117.6 | 140.3 | 112.3 | 47.4 |

LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction, MI – myocardial infarction, PCI – percutaneous coronary intervention.
During hospitalization, limb perfusion improvement was observed and the rest pain subsided. In the 30-day and 3-month follow-ups no cardiac events were observed.

The hybrid approach has emerged as a new, secure model of management. The new techniques have been developed by a number of specialists to ensure greater safety for patients and better early and long-term results. They are still evolving, and we believe that in the near future they will become a routine method of treatment.

In most cases, in patients with LEAD, the assessment of CAD severity is difficult due to the very low patient physical activity. Cardiac stress test on a treadmill or bicycle for the purpose of CAD diagnoses in patients with CLI is frequently impossible. Stress echocardiography as a good diagnostic tool has its limitations. In contrast to stress tests, coronary angiography gives us an exact picture of the coronary anatomy and allows us to plan a possible treatment strategy (PCI/coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG)/conservative treatment). Knowing the CAD severity, we can plan treatment, minimizing cardiac complication rates after vascular surgery and improve the patients’ long-term prognosis. According to the ESC Clinical Practice Guidelines on Pre-operative Cardiac Risk Assessment and Perioperative Cardiac Management in Non-Cardiac Surgery from 2009 [4], pre-operative angiography may be considered in cardiac-stable patients undergoing high-risk surgery with class of recommendation IIb and level of evidence B.

Several clinical trials demonstrated good results of CABG or PCI before vascular surgery. In 1992 Kenneth et al. performed 54 non-cardiac operations in 50 patients at a median 9 days after PCI. In 1998 Gottlieb et al. published results of 194 patients who had undergone aortic abdominal surgery, carotid endarterectomy (CEA) or peripheral vascular surgery preceded by PCI up to 18 months. In both cases the incidence of perioperative cardiac morbidity was low and the procedures were considered safe [5, 6].

Because there are no detailed data available on how to approach patients qualified for vascular surgery with diagnosed CLI and severe coronary artery stenosis, our preliminary results suggest that the hybrid coronary-peripheral approach with cooperation between interventional cardiologists and vascular surgeons is possible and might be a good therapeutic option.

Table II. Procedures performed in patients

| Patient | Peripheral angiography | Coronary angiography | PCI | Vascular surgery |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------------|
| 1       | Right common iliac artery occlusion | Critical stenosis of LCX | LCX with 3.5 × 25 mm BMS implantation | Left to right femorofemoral bypass grafting (external iliac artery to right superficial femoral artery) |
| 2       | Left bypass graft occlusion | Critical stenosis of LAD. CTO of RCA. 80% stenosis of first diagonal artery | LAD with 2.5 × 15 mm DES implantation | Left distal fem-pop bypass thrombectomy. Balloon angioplasty of anterior tibial artery and distal bypass anastomosis. Revision of dorsalis pedis artery |
| 3       | Left common femoral artery occlusion | Critical stenosis of RCA | RCA with 3.0 × 33 mm DES implantation | Left iliopopliteal approach |
| 4       | Right superficial femoral artery occlusion | Critical stenosis of RCA. 70% stenosis of first OM branch | RCA with 3.0 × 48 mm DES implantation | Right fem-pop bypass. PTA of right popliteal artery and tibialperoneal trunk |
| 5       | Right external iliac artery in-stent stenosis, right common femoral artery stenosis, bilateral femoro-popliteal occlusion | Critical stenosis of RCA | RCA with 2.75 × 14 mm DES implantation | Right fem-pop bypass. PTA of right external iliac artery |
| 6       | Left superficial femoral artery occlusion | Critical stenosis of RCA. Critical stenosis of LAD | RCA with 2.75 × 22 mm DES implantation, LAD with 2.75 × 15 mm, 2.75 × 9 mm, 3.5 × 12 mm 3 × DES implantation | Endarterectomy of left common femoral artery with angioplasty. PTA of left superficial femoral artery with stent implantation |
| 7       | Right femoro-popliteal occlusion | Critical stenosis of RCA | RCA with 2.75 × 18 mm DES implantation | Right fem-pop bypass hallux amputation |
| 8       | Left deep femoral artery stenosis | Critical stenosis of RCA | RCA with 3.5 × 15 mm DES implantation | Revision and endarterectomy of left deep femoral artery |

RCA – right coronary artery, LCX – left circumflex artery, LAD – left anterior descending artery, OM – obtuse marginal, PTA – percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, CTO – chronic total occlusion, BMS – bare metal stent, DES – drug eluting stent.
Whilst performing peripheral angiography, when we are able to expand the procedure with coronary angiography, we do not significantly delay the surgical intervention, and additionally we obtain a detailed anatomy of coronary arteries. It allows us to diagnose CAD in patients who, because of CLI, are usually CAD asymptomatic (extremely low physical activity). It also allows us to predict possible adverse events and prevent their occurrence.

Although the risk of stent thrombosis (ST) while on dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) is low (< 2%), if it occurs, the mortality rate reaches 7–25% [7, 8]. There are no data available on the ST risk when DAPT is postponed due to vascular surgery which activates coagulation and inflammatory processes. However, we did not observe any incidents of ST – our study group is too small to conclude that this approach does not increase the risk of early ST.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the hybrid approach in patients with CLI and advanced CAD.

The results of our study indicate that the hybrid procedure of coronary stenting and vascular surgery may be a good therapeutic option. Further extended studies will need to be carried out in order to fully assess its real value. Regardless of the mentioned limitations, such a hybrid approach could be the only treatment option in some patients with concomitant CLI and CAD.
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