The assessment of water quality in river Molo water basin, Kenya
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Abstract
The monitoring of water quality for both domestic and commercial use is absolutely essential for policy formulation that affects both public and environmental health. This study investigates the quality of water of river Molo system which lies in the Kenyan Rift Valley. The river is considered a vital source of water for the residents and industrial activities in Nakuru and Baringo Counties. Six water samples were collected during the dry season of December 2017. Various physicochemical parameters were determined in situ by use of a portable pH meter. These parameters included pH, temperature, electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids (TDS). Anions such as fluorides, sulfates, phosphates, nitrates, chlorides, carbonates and bicarbonates were determined using conventional methods such as titrimetry and (ultra-violet visible) UV–Vis techniques. The cations including sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium were determined using flame photometry. The results showed that the water had pH values ranging from 7.90 to 9.66 units, temperature ranged from 14.02 to 31.5 °C, while electrical conductivity ranged from 181 to 1637 μS/cm, TDS (69–823 mg/L), F (2.76–3.28 mg/L), sulfates (4.97–85.66 mg/L), phosphates (0.13–11.06 mg/L), nitrates (1.73–6.16 mg/L), chlorides (38.5–69.4 mg/L), carbonates (18–148 mg/L), bicarbonates (54–384 mg/L), sodium (19–1800 mg/L), potassium (8.9–121 mg/L), magnesium (4.8–106.8 mg/L) and calcium (13.4–77.4 mg/L). The pH, temperature, fluorides and sodium were above the World Health Organization permissible limits for drinking water in S4 and S5. All the water samples fall under bicarbonate or freshwater zone. The sampling points can be classified into five water types: Na–Mg–Ca–HCO3, Na–HCO3, Na–Ca–Mg–HCO3–CO3, Na and Na–Ca–HCO3–CO3. Chemical indices such as sodium adsorption ratio, magnesium hazard, percent sodium and permeability index are reported. Accordingly, the findings from this work indicate that the river Molo water in general is good for irrigation.
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Introduction
There have been enhanced attempts aimed at developing water models that predict water quality regimes and forecast histories of intensive mineralization which influence groundwater systems, resulting in occurrence of different water classifications (Wang et al. 2014; Chau 2005). Studies such as the artificial neural network (ANN) model for suspended sediment forecasting in several time steps, soft computing methods such as adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) and AquaChem computational models have become necessary in investigating water quality in addition to the traditional experimental methods (Wang et al. 2014; Wu and Chau 2006). The method employed in this study, however, does not measure suspended sediment load (SSL) which is indispensable for planning and management of water resource structures (Alizadeh et al. 2017; Olyaie et al. 2015). Nonetheless, it can predict the origin of recharge water and the source of water quality parameters. Acceptable forecasts of daily suspended sediment concentration of up to 3 days in advance can be predicted accurately using the proposed single-wavelet ANN model which indicates superiority of the ensemble model (Alizadeh et al. 2017).

For the record, water is an essential natural resource for sustainability of life among all organisms, plants and higher-order animals including man and has been established that human beings may live for several weeks without food but this is not possible without water which is a critical component for replenishing body fluids lost through physiological
processes (Igwe et al. 2015). Moreover, water is important for removal of toxins in the body system (Olyaie et al. 2015; Igwe et al. 2015). Accordingly, the lack of adequate supply of clean water is a serious challenge in developing countries especially in Sub-Saharan Africa. Proper wastewater management plays a crucial role in achieving good water status and the potential restoration of water resources (Wilk et al. 2019).

The natural quality of water can vary from one rock type to another and also within aquifers along groundwater flow paths, and differs markedly from various geological environments (Oyem et al. 2014). Previously, we carried out a study on the quality of borehole water in Kakamega County which focused mainly on heavy metals and other physicochemical parameters. This study, however, is different because it focuses on the quality of water in River Molo—no heavy metals are discussed in the present study. Additionally, this work is carried out in a different geographical region with no mining history, and thus overlap of data is judiciously minimized. Molo river lies within the floor of the Kenyan rift system believed to contain soils rich in various minerals; therefore, the investigation of its water quality will benefit the residents of Nakuru County who rely heavily on the Molo river water for their domestic, irrigation and industrial processes. It is important to note that there are many flower farms that have mushroomed along the river that may hurt the water quality of the water. Therefore, the health concern of the water is necessary for public health policy formulation. Technically, this study will not invest in the study of heavy metals and hazardous organs but will focus primarily on physicochemical parameters which can easily be used to determine the water quality index.

The main source of drinking water in Africa, Latin America and Asia are primarily streams, rivers, boreholes and wells which are largely untreated, and may be associated with various health risks. Natural water is contaminated by a wide range of organic, inorganic and biological pollutants (Chinedu et al. 2011). The most notorious poisonous heavy metal is lead which is highly toxic even at relatively low concentrations (Chinedu et al. 2011; Alemu et al. 2017). Moreover, metals possess accumulative effect at even at low level in drinking water. Food chain transfer may also increase toxicological effect in humans (Alemu et al. 2017)). In other cases, the pollutant is not toxic but its presence results in conditions which are detrimental to the quality of water (Erikson et al. 2005). For example, pH must be stable within a range favorable to the particular organisms involved. The increased application of commercial fertilizer and widespread use of variety of organo-pesticides, insecticides, herbicides and weed killers in agricultural practices precipitate grave pollution problems from land drainage (Nag and Suchetana 2016). This type of agricultural pollution has severe impact on water pollution because most of pollutants are resistant to natural degradation (Erikson et al. 2005; Nag and Suchetana 2016).

Although concentrations of the pollutants are rather low, many of these compounds are toxic to human or animal life; some of them are carcinogenic or have serious ecological health implications. Inadequate infrastructure for effective treatment and distribution of water results to high mortality rate associated with waterborne diseases in developing countries (Blanton et al. 2015). The quality of water influences the health status of any population; hence, assessment of physical–chemical properties including trace elements content is necessary for public health monitoring. The knowledge of hydrochemistry of surface water is important in assessing the quality of water especially in rural areas where people use water for both domestic and agricultural purposes.

The primary focus of this study is to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the water quality of river Molo for purposes of drawing a road map for water quality monitoring and public health safety in Nakuru and Baringo Counties. Over the years, the quality of river Molo has been deteriorating because of mushrooming of hospitality industries, flower farms and oil spills due to regular motor accidents in the notorious Nakuru–Salgaa highway. The Mau section of the river is rich in agricultural practices, and this may contribute to elevated levels of nitrates, sulfates and phosphates. Anthropogenic sources due to volcanic rich soils are another source of elemental pollution of the river occasioned by surface runoffs during the rainy season and water drainages from car washing industries. This study, therefore, is one of the first in-depth investigations of water quality resource in the river Molo water basin. Accordingly, this work will examine in detail the physicochemical characteristics, sodium, magnesium hazard (MH), permeability index (PI) and hydrogeochemical facies with the aim of qualifying the water for domestic use, irrigation and industrial purposes.

The study area

This research focused on the upper part of river Molo which has its source at Mau complex and empties its water into Lake Baringo. Around Molo town, the river receives domestic discharges and waste effluent from flower farms which contaminate the water hence such water may not be fit for domestic use (cf. Fig. 1). The study area is located between latitude 00° 11.99232’ S and 00° 15.530’ S, longitude 035° 44.044’ E and 035° 51.846’ E. This section of river Molo basin lies at an altitude of between 1908 and 2437 m above sea level (m.a.s.l). The sampling points were Molo town (S1), Kibunja (S2), Salgaa main River (S3), Salgaa tributary 1 (S4), Salgaa tributary 2 (S5) and Salgaa tributary 3 (S6) as shown in Fig. 2.
**Fig. 1** Children drawing water from a section of river Molo

**Fig. 2** Location of the sampling points
At Salgaa town (Molo River), the main pollutants are discharges from flower farms, oil spills from heavy commercial vehicles which transport oil products to East African countries including South Sudan. The car washing industry also contributes significantly to water pollution in this part of the river. Essentially, micro-pollutants in the environment are precursors for serious public health problems particularly in highly populated regions, where water resources are used for drinking and irrigation purposes and as wastewater sinks (Wilk et al. 2019).

Materials and methods

The reagents used in this study were of analytical grade (≥ 99.9%) and were procured from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA) through Kobian Company Ltd., Kenya. Water samples were collected in duplicate from six sampling points using 2-L sterilized plastic containers. The pH of water samples for essential metal analysis was reduced to less than 2 pH units by adding 1 mL of concentrated nitric acid.

The quality of drinking water is a powerful environmental determinant of health which must be noted as a basic human need (Oyem et al. 2014). The pH, temperature, TDS and electrical conductivity were determined in situ using by a portable multi-parameter meter, Temp/pH/TDS/EC meter (model MI 1399). The meter is cost effective, easy to use and water resistant. Due to its stability, the meter reproduced the results reported in this study. The samples were transported in a cooler box to the laboratory where they were refrigerated at 4 °C prior to analysis. For determination of sodium and potassium, samples and standard solutions were digested for 30 min on a hot plate in fume hood after which they were filtered and transferred to 100-mL volumetric flask. Prior to the analysis of potassium, sodium, magnesium and calcium in the water sample, 5 mL of 71% HNO₃ (nitric acid) was used to digest 100 mL of the samples for 30 min on hot plate in a fume hood. The anions were determined using spectrophotometer (model DR 3000) with robust industrial specifications—analysis wavelength from ~ 300 to 1000 nm, accuracy within ± 1 nm, 9 nm bandwidth and less than 0.1% transmittance of stray light. SPADNS, turbidimetric, ascorbic acid, colorimetric, argentometric and potentiometric methods were used to determine the concentrations of fluorides, sulfates, phosphates, nitrates, chlorides, carbonates and bicarbonates, respectively. Sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium were determined by flame emission photometry. In order to categorize water samples into various classifications, the Piper and Durov diagram were generated using AquaChem ver. 3.7.42.

Results and discussion

pH, temperature, electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids

The physical–chemical parameters from six sampling points along Molo river are given in Table 1.

The pH values ranged from 7.9 to 9.66. Sampling points S3, S4 and S5 recorded pH values which are above the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation for both drinking and irrigation water (6.5–8.0). The temperature readings ranged from 14.0 to 31.5 °C. For aesthetic purpose, drinking water should have a temperature which

| Physicochemical parameters, anions and cations | Sampling points |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| pH                                          | S1  | S2  | S3  | S4  | S5  | S6  | WHO standards |
| Temperature (°C)                             | 14.02| 14.2| 17.1| 27.5| 27.5| 31.5| 15 |
| Conductivity (μS/cm)                        | 260  | 165 | 181 | 1354| 1637| 567 | 1500 |
| TDS (mg/L)                                  | 130  | 79  | 90  | 678 | 823 | 274 | 1000 |
| Fluorides (mg/L)                            | nd   | nd  | nd  | 3.28| 2.76| nd  | 1.5 |
| Sulfates (mg/L)                             | 6.45 | 5.53| 4.97| 85.66| 60.32| 26.39| 400 |
| Phosphates (mg/L)                           | 0.44 | 0.13| 0.27| 4.55| 11.06| 0.25| 0.5 |
| Nitrates (mg/L)                             | 10.66| 3.08| 6.16| 4.32| 2.09| 1.73| 45 |
| Chloride (mg/L)                             | nd   | nd  | nd  | 38.5| 69.4| nd  | 250 |
| Carbonates (mg/L)                           | 20   | 26  | 18  | 120 | 148 | 84  | NS |
| Bicarbonates (mg/L)                         | 60   | 58  | 54  | 230 | 384 | 182 | 300 |
| Sodium (mg/L)                               | 104.1| 19.0|100.0|1220.0|1800.0|146 | 200 |
| Potassium (mg/L)                            | 10.6 | 15.8| 8.9 | 90.2| 121.0| 60.6| NS |
| Calcium (mg/L)                              | 17.7 | 13.4| 10.2| 77.4| 93  | 38  | 200 |
| Magnesium (mg/L)                            | 14.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 106.8| 4.8 | 100 |
is equal to or less than 15 °C. Temperature above 15 °C enhances the growth of nuisance organisms and may lead to increase in problems related to odor, taste, color and corrosion. Cattle typically prefer drinking water at temperatures between 4.4 and 18.3 °C. When the temperature is more than 27 °C, water and feed intake rates often decrease, affecting animal productivity (Chinedu et al. 2011). The electrical conductivity values ranged from 260 to 1637 μs/cm. Water ability to conduct electric current is referred to as electrical conductivity, and serves as a parameter to assess the purity of water depending on the presence of ions, their total concentration, mobility, valence, relative concentrations and the temperature (Oyem et al. 2014). The electrical conductivity values at sampling points S4 and S5 were above the WHO guidelines for domestic water (1000 μs/cm). This might be attributed to dissolved bicarbonate, sodium, sulfate, magnesium and calcium salts in addition to other anions such chlorides and fluorides (Table 1). Electrical conductivity indicates the amount of total dissolved ions in water (Yilmaz and Koç (2014)). Generally, this is a measure of the dissolved ionic components in water and hence the electrical behavior of the water (Oyem et al. 2014). Clearly, the levels of sodium ions in this two sampling points are significantly high (1220 and 1800 mg/L, respectively). Run off from agricultural farms may have resulted in high electrical conductivity. If the conductivity values are less than 1000 μs/cm, then the water is safe for drinking. If the value is between 1000 and 2999 μs/cm, then it may cause mild diarrhea, whereas above 3000 μs/cm, domestic animals may detest drinking the water considered a precursor for acute diarrhea (WHO 2011). Total dissolved solids (TDS) refer to the sum of all components dissolved in water which include K+, Na+, Ca2+, Mg2+, SO42−, Cl−, PO43− and H4SiO42− (WHO 2011). The TDS in the sampled water ranged from 79 to 823 mg/L. Drinking water is significantly affected if the TDS value is ≥ 1000 mg/L (WHO 2011). To date, there is no health-based guideline for TDS in Kenya; however, 1000 mg/L is recommended for humans according to the WHO (2011). Water with high dissolved solids is known to cause impairment of physiological processes in the human body, and may lead to gastrointestinal irritation especially for people suffering from kidney problems (Ramesh and Bhuvana 2012). They are also not desired for industrial use as they form scales, accelerate corrosion, precipitate foaming in boilers and may interfere with the taste and color of finished products (Sarda and Sadgir 2015).

**Major anions**

The concentration of fluorides in sample collection sites S4 and S5 ranged between 2.76 and 3.28 mg/L, respectively. These values were considerably above the WHO recommended guideline for drinking water set at 1.5 mg/L (WHO 2011). Drinking water rich in fluorides may lead to dental fluorosis and the worst case scenario, skeletal problems (Shruthi and Anil 2018). This was evident from people living around Salgaa area and the larger Nakuru County. Skeletal fluorosis may manifest itself when one drinks water containing 3–6 mg/L of fluoride (Shruthi and Anil (2018); Sellami et al. 2019). The data predict that people around Salgaa area and especially on the banks or Molo river area are at risk of skeletal fluorosis.

In the present study, the levels of sulfates ranged from 4.97 to 8.56 mg/L. These levels were found to be below the WHO limits of 400 mg/L (WHO 2011; Shruthi and Anil 2018). Location S4 which was believed to receive effluents from a nearby flower farm and domestic wastes recorded the highest concentration of sulfates (Table 1). Drinking water with sulfate concentration above 200 mg/L may also lead gastrointestinal irritation and bowel discomfort (WHO 2011; Sharma and Bhattacharya 2017).

The levels of phosphates in the present study ranged from 0.13 to 11.06 mg/L. The phosphate levels at sample locations S4 and S5 were above the WHO permissible limit of 0.5 mg/L (WHO 2011; Nieder et al. 2018). The source of phosphates at these two points may be attributed to excess use of fertilizers in the farms and possibly anthropogenic sources such as phosphate rocks (gypsum) considered abundant in the Kenyan Rift system. It is well-established in literature that phosphates are not very mobile in soils and are only moderately soluble but their transportation through surface runoff and soil erosion can significantly increase their solubility in surface waters (Nieder et al. 2018). Although phosphate is not harmful to humans, anthropogenic, or man-made, inputs of phosphorus are well-known to have a significant impact on natural ecosystems, and can damage the health of rivers and lakes as a result of massive growth of algae (algal bloom) which cloud the water and reduce the sunlight available to other plants (Nieder et al. 2018). When the algae die, the bacteria that biodegrade the algae use up dissolved oxygen in the water and hence depriving aquatic systems of oxygen leading to suffocation of aquatic life (Sarda and Sadgir 2015).

The amount of nitrates in the water samples ranged from 1.73 to 10.66 mg/L which are below the 45 mg/L recommended by WHO for safe drinking water (Yilmaz and Koç 2014; WHO 2011). The main sources of nitrate in water are animal and human waste, industrial effluent and use of chemicals, fertilizers and silage through drainage systems (Shruthi and Anil 2018). Nitrate levels above 40 mg/L in water causes “blue baby syndrome” or “methemoglobinema” in children (Sellami et al. 2019). Interestingly, excess nitrates in water cannot be removed by boiling (Sharma and Bhattacharya 2017).

Chlorides were recorded at S4 and S5 with concentrations ranging from 38.5 to 69.4 mg/L, respectively. These
values are considerably below the WHO limit of 250 mg/L and therefore do not pose any immediate health risk to consumers. In portable water, the salty taste is as a result of chloride concentration above 100 mg/L and has laxative effect for people not accustomed to such waters (WHO 2011). High chloride concentration in water may be an indicator of pollution by sewage or irrigation leachates (Sarda and Sadgir 2015). The carbonates and bicarbonates in this study ranged from 18 to 84 and 54 to 182 mg/L, respectively (cf. Table 1). The content of bicarbonates has no known adverse health effect and all the surface water except S6 fall within the desirable limit of 300 mg/L (WHO 2011; Murakami et al. 2015).

### Major cations

The average concentration of sodium in this study was 564.85 mg/L which is approximately 3 times the WHO permissible limit of 200 mg/L. S4 and S5 recorded the highest concentration of sodium. These elevated sodium levels may be attributed to sewage discharge, domestic effluents and leachates from sodium containing rocks, and possible recharge water from underground systems which may be rich in brine. Fractures within the river system may lead to mineralized regimes that produce mineral veins and saline intrusion into the river which in turn result in elevated major ions in the river water. Studies have shown that high intake of sodium in drinking water may lead to hypertension in pregnant women (Alsulaili et al. 2015), and children whose kidneys are not mature enough to excrete excess sodium (Rahman et al. 2016). Infants with severe gastrointestinal infections can suffer from fluid loss, leading to dehydration and increased sodium levels in the plasma (hypernatraemia) and serious neurological damage occasioned by high intake of sodium (Rahman et al. 2016; Scheelbeek et al. 2016). The levels of potassium in this study ranged from 10.6 to 121 mg/L. Although there is no recommended limit of potassium in water, increased exposure may result in significant health effects in people with kidney disease or other conditions, such as heart disease, coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, adrenal insufficiency, older individuals who have reduced physiological reserves in their renal function and/or individuals who are under medications that interfere with the normal handling of potassium in the body (Scheelbeek et al. 2016; Leurs et al. 2010). The level of calcium and magnesium reported in this work ranged from 10.2 to 93 and 4.8 to 106.8 mg/L, respectively. Sampling point S5 had magnesium levels above the WHO limit of 100 mg/L (WHO 2011; Leurs et al. 2010). Calcium is important for good health, and levels between 20 and 30 mg/L are desirable in drinking water (Leurs et al. 2010).

### Hydrogeochemical facies

There has been an exponential increase in the need for the suitable evaluation of river water quality with the aim of safeguard public health and protecting water resources (Yilmaz and Koç 2014); therefore, model processes such as the use of Piper plots and Durov plots have become important. The Piper diagrams are useful for demonstrating large amounts of data and defining major trends in ion concentrations (Al-Bassam and Khalil 2012). In order to overcome the limitations of traditional data assessment which can only use a point value instead of an interval for grading standards, models such as AquaChem have become indispensable (Wilk et al. 2019). The Piper plot was used to determine the suitability of water for drinking purposes (Christine et al. 2018). This plot presents two triangles: one for cations and the other for anions. The anions and cations field are combined to show a single point in a diamond-shaped field from which the inference is drawn from hydrogeochemical facies concept. The geochemical evolution of groundwater can be understood by plotting the milliequivalent (meq) concentrations of major cations and anions in a Piper trilinear diagram (Christine et al. 2018) (cf. Fig. 3). The Piper diagram (Fig. 3) for Molo river was created using analytical data obtained from hydrogeochemical data. The Piper diagram can predict water types based on the anions into three: the sulfate type, bicarbonate and chloride type. The bicarbonate type is considered to be suitable for both drinking and agriculture practices, while the sulfate type is unsuitable for irrigation (Nagaraju et al. 2016; Ziani et al. 2017). All the water samples fall under bicarbonate or freshwater zone.

![Piper plot for this study](image-url)
The sampling points can be classified into five water types based on cations and anions as follows: Na–Mg–Ca–HCO$_3$ type (S1), Na–Ca–Mg–HCO$_3$–CO$_3$ (S2), Na–HCO$_3$ (S3), Na (S4 and S5) and Na–Ca–HCO$_3$–CO$_3$ (S6) water types (Fig. 3). It is remarkable that the total hydrochemistry is dominated by alkalis with sodium being the main species.

The ion concentration of sample of all the sampling points is also shown by Durov diagram which is a modification of the piper diagram (Anindita and Nag 2018). The Durov diagram was employed in order to understand the hydrochemical process controlling the groundwater system (Christine et al. 2018). Two triangles are used for plotting major ions as percentage of milliequivalent. The square grid which lies perpendicular to the third axis in each triangle is used to project the data points from two triangles (Al-Bassam and Khalil 2012; Christine et al. 2018). From the Durov diagram (Fig. 4), it was found that the sodium ion and the carbonates were high in all the samples.

More recently, soft computing techniques have been used in hydrological and environmental modeling because accurate and reliable prediction models are necessary for planning and managing water resource structures (Wang et al. 2014).

**Water quality with respect to irrigation**

In this study, water quality with respect to agricultural/irrigation is evaluated using the following methods: Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), sodium percent, magnesium hazard and permeability index (PI). Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) is an important chemical parameter which is used to judge the degree of suitability of water for irrigation (Ali and Ali 2018). The SAR parameter is obtained using Eq. 1, where ionic concentrations are in the units of meq/L.

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{\text{Na}^+}{\sqrt{\text{Ca}^{2+}+\text{Mg}^{2+}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Excess sodium in water causes adverse effect of changing soil properties and thus reducing soil permeability (Nagaraju et al. 2014). SAR indicates the degree to which irrigation water enters cation-exchange reaction in soil. Sodium replacing adsorbed calcium and magnesium is a hazard as it damages the soil structure mainly permeability which results in poor internal drainage (Ziani et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2015). Water in SI, S2, S3 and S6 can be used for irrigation without serious negative effects because their SAR values are less than 10, while that of S4 and S5 have high SAR above 26 (Table 2). Water in S4 recorded the highest SAR of 35.2 which can make the soil compact and impervious and hence cannot be used for irrigation in all the water types.

**Sodium percent**

High sodium percent reduces soil permeability and consequently plant growth; therefore, soil may require special treatment such as addition of gypsum to increase permeability. Ideally, the %Na$^+$ should not exceed 60 in water recommended for irrigation (Wakeel 2013). Sodium percent can be computed using Eq. 2. Concentrations of species are in meq/L.

$$\%\text{Na}^+ = \frac{(\text{Na}^++\text{K}^+)}{(\text{Na}^++\text{K}^++\text{Mg}^{2+}+\text{Ca}^{2+})}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

From the results (Table 3), it is only S2 which had excellent water for irrigation. The other sampling points have high %Na$^+$ (> 60 meq/L), therefore unfit for irrigation.

| Water classes   | Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) | Sampling points |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Excellent       | Up to 10                      | S1, S2, S3 and S5 |
| Good            | 10–18                         | –               |
| Permissible     | 18–26                         | –               |
| Unacceptable    | Above 26                      | S4 and S6       |

Table 2 Classification of SAR for agricultural use in this study (Anindita and Nag 2018)
Magnesium hazard (MH)

Conventionally, Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) maintain a state of equilibrium in most waters. However, more Mg\(^{2+}\) in water adversely affects crop yield (Ali and Ali 2018; Wakeel 2013). Magnesium deteriorates soil structure especially when the water is sodium dominated and highly saline. A high level of Mg\(^{2+}\) is normally due to the presence of exchangeable Na\(^{+}\) in irrigated soil. In this study, the magnesium hazard was computed using Eq. 3.

\[
MH = \frac{(Mg^{2+})}{(Mg^{2+} + Ca^{2+})}
\]  

(3)

All ion species applied in Eq. 3 are expressed in meq/L. A magnesium ratio of more than 50 meq/L is considered to be harmful; therefore, such waters are unsuitable for drinking and irrigation purposes. In this work, MH ranged between 12.7 and 65.4 meq/L. Water from sampling points S1, S2 and S5 had MH values greater than 50 meq/L therefore not suitable for agricultural practices.

Permeability index

Soil permeability plays an important role in plant growth. Low permeability index values do not support plant growth. Permeability of soil is affected by calcium, magnesium, sodium and bicarbonate contents of irrigation water. Permeability index (PI) developed by Doneen (Nagaraju et al. 2014) is computed according to Eq. 4. The ion concentrations in the equation are expressed in meq/L.

\[
\%Na^{+} = \frac{(Na^{+} + \sqrt{HCO_{3}^{-}})}{(Na^{+} + Mg^{2+} + Ca^{2+})} \times 100
\]  

(4)

The permeability index calculated for S1 to S6 was 84.0, 79.0, 98.2, 96.7, 88.09 and 93.46 meq/L, respectively. This suggests that the water has good permeability index.

Water Quality Index (WQI)

In addition to % sodium and magnesium hazard, it is also recommended that water quality parameters be included in water quality index (WQI) analyses in order to give the true status of the quality of a water resource (Allan et al. 2015). Nonetheless, this study will not focus on this parameter but will review it as one of the alternatives for determining water quality for drinking and irrigation. The expression for computing WQI is given by Eq. 5.

\[
WQI = \sum q_n W_n \sum W_n
\]  

(5)

where \(q_n\) is the quality rating of the \(n\)th water quality parameter, and \(W_n\) is the unit weight of the \(n\)th water quality parameter. For instance, the quality rating for \(q_{pH} = 100 \left[\frac{9.66-7}{8.5-7}\right] = 150\) for water sample collected at station 4 (S4). Applying a similar expression, we obtain a \(q_{pH} = 68\) for water sample collected at station S1. This result is consistent with the results given in Table 3. Generally, WQI is an effective monitoring tool that provides useful information of water from various sources and often incorporates several water quality parameters to describe the state of the water resources and its potential application for drinking purposes (Olasoji et al. 2019; Allan et al. 2015). Various authors have developed a table as a guide for water quality (Table 4) (Olasoji et al. 2019; Qureshimatva et al. 2015).

Clearly, water quality index summarizes a number of water quality data as excellent, good, poor, bad etc. (Table 4). WQI gives the general public an idea of the possible water problems in a particular region and is among the most effective indicators on water quality trends for water quality management.

The speciation of inorganic carbon

The speciation of inorganic carbon into various species is reported in Fig. 5. Clearly as expected, carbonic acid (H\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) exists entirely at pH less than 4 and decreases sharply as the pH increased.
The bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) form increases from pH 4 and reaches a maximum at a pH of about 8.3. On the other hand, the carbonate (CO$_3^{2-}$) species exists from pH 8.0 and reaches a maximum at a pH $\geq$ 13.0.

The rapid economic explosion, destruction of water towers and growth in agricultural practices, industrial and municipal development in any region lead to substantial accumulation of toxic waste and significant environmental impact imposed on the water environments (Chau 2005). Nonetheless, local authorities have stepped in to implement environmental restoration programs including assessing the cumulative impact of exploitation and restoration activities on the environment (Wang et al. 2014). The consumption of clean and safe drinking water has been linked to positive health outcomes; however most developing countries suffer from clean water supply due to the poor water supply infrastructure and inadequate supply of potable water (Olasoji et al. 2019).

**Conclusion**

This study has demonstrated that pH values were high indicating that water is alkaline possibly due to application of fertilizers in the nearby farms around the study area in addition to other anthropogenic sources. Fluoride, electrical conductivity, phosphates, sodium and potassium were above the World Health Organization permissible limit especially in S4 and S5. These elevated concentrations may serve as precursors for waterborne diseases including fluorosis and hypertension. The Piper and the Durov plots indicate that all the water samples fall under bicarbonate water zone, and the total hydrochemistry is dominated by alkalis mainly sodium. SAR in S4 was very high which makes the water in the river Molo basin unsuitable for irrigation as well as domestic use. Magnesium hazard was significantly high in S1 S2 and S5. Only S2 had good water for irrigation as predicted by a low %Na$^+$. This study as assessed that water in S1, S4, S5 and S6 may not be fit for drinking or irrigation. Therefore, continuous evaluation of ground water quality is very important for public safety and environmental monitoring. Nonetheless, this work has provided a baseline for the categorization of hazardous chemicals potentially discharged into the river. This study recommends the use of more soft computing techniques such as artificial neural networks (ANNs) and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) to compliment the AquaChem data and traditional testing methods in order to predict with accuracy the water quality of Molo river and hence formulate better research-based policies by local authorities and government agencies.
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