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Abstract: In this paper, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a coupled formally symmetric system of fractional differential equations with nonlinear $p$-Laplacian operator and nonlinear fractional differential-integral boundary conditions are obtained by using the matrix eigenvalue method. The Hyers–Ulam stability of the coupled formally symmetric system is also presented with certain growth conditions. By using the topological degree theory and nonlinear functional analysis methods, some sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to this coupled formally symmetric system are established. Examples are provided to verify our results.
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1. Introduction

Symmetry is an important form of many things in nature and society; many of the differential equations we studied are symmetric. Among these equations, the fractional differential equation is one of the important fields that have profound theories and wide applications in modern mathematics. Mathematical models of fractional differential equations are at the heart of quantitative descriptions of a large number of physical systems, including engineering, plasma physics, aerodynamics, electrical circuits and many other fields. The existence and stability of solutions for fractional differential equations are studied as one of the key techniques for solving physical systems (see [1–5] and references therein). The existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional differential equations are investigated usually by using classical fixed point theory. Various kinds of stabilities have been established, such as Lyapunov stability, Mittag–Leffler stability and exponential stability, see ([6–9] for details). These stability results have attracted a lot of attention in recent years as they arise naturally in various areas of applications.

The stability of functional equations derived from the stability problem of group homomorphism was first proposed by Ulam in 1940. In 1941, Hyers solves the stability problem of additive mappings over Banach spaces. Since then, Hyers–Ulam stability has developed rapidly. These stability results are widely used in stochastic analysis, financial mathematics and actuarial science. As is known to all, it is difficult and time-consuming to calculate the Lyapunov stability for some nonlinear fractional differential equations, and it is also a challenge to construct the exact Lyapunov function. Hyers–Ulam stability is just suitable for nonlinear fractional differential equations dealing with this situation. A significant number of researchers have devoted to not only Hyers–Ulam stability but also the existence and uniqueness of solutions of fractional differential equations.

In 1983, Leibenson [10] introduced a differential equation with the $p$-Laplacian operator, which models the turbulent flow in a porous medium. The classical nonlinear $p$-Laplacian operator is defined as
\[ \Phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \Phi_q(s) = \Phi_p^{-1}. \] (1)

Henceforth, differential equations with a \( p \)-Laplacian operator are widely applied to different fields of physics and natural phenomena, for example, mechanics, dynamical systems, biophysics, plasmaphysics, material science, and electrodynamics (see [10–12] and the references therein).

The existence and Hyers–Ulam stability of solutions of fractional differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian has attracted much attention in recent years. In 2014, using the Leggett–Williams fixed point theorem, Lu et al. [13] obtained the existence of two or three positive solutions of fractional differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian operator. K. P. Prasad et al. [4] discuss the existence, uniqueness and Hyers–Ulam stability of solutions to nonlinear coupled fractional differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian operator. H. Khan et al. [15] studied the Hyers–Ulam stability for this coupled system with the different initial boundary conditions for differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian operator. In 2017, H. Khan et al. [14] investigate the existence, uniqueness and Hyers–Ulam stability for the following coupled system of fractional differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian operator

\[
\begin{align*}
D^{\delta_1}_0 (\Phi_p(D^{\alpha_1}_0 v(t))) &= -\psi_1(t,z(t)), \\
D^{\delta_2}_0 (\Phi_p(D^{\alpha_2}_0 z(t))) &= -\psi_2(t,v(t)), \\
v(1) &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\delta_1)}{\eta_1^{1-\delta_1}} J^{\alpha_1-\delta_1} \Phi_q(J^{\alpha_1}_0 \psi_1(t,z(t)))|_{t=\eta_1}, \\
D^{\alpha_1}_0 z(t)|_{t=0} &= 0 = \left(\Phi_p \left( J^{\alpha_1}_0 \psi_1(t,z(t)) \right) \right)|_{t=0} = D^{\alpha_1}_0 z(t)|_{t=\eta_2}, \\
v(1) &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\delta_2)}{\eta_2^{1-\delta_2}} J^{\alpha_2-\delta_2} \Phi_q(J^{\alpha_2}_0 \psi_2(t,v(t)))|_{t=\eta_2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( t \in [0,1], \eta_i, \delta_i \in (0,1), \alpha_i, \beta_i \in (1,2), \) for \( i = 1,2 \). Using topological degree theory and a Leray–Schauder-type fixed point theorem, H. Khan et al. [15] studied the Hyers–Ulam stability for this coupled system with the different initial boundary conditions for \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \in (2,3). \) The nonlinear \( p \)-Laplacian operator is defined as that in (1).

A. Khan et al. [6] discuss the existence, uniqueness and Hyers–Ulam stability of solutions to a coupled system of fractional differential equations with nonlinear \( p \)-Laplacian operator

\[
\begin{align*}
D^{\beta_1}_0 (\Phi_p(D^{\alpha_1}_0 \mu(t))) + J_1(x,v(x)) &= 0, x \in (0,1), \\
D^{\beta_2}_0 (\Phi_p(D^{\alpha_2}_0 v(t))) + J_2(x,\mu(x)) &= 0, x \in (0,1), \\
D^{\alpha_1}_0 \mu(x)|_{x=0} &= 0 = \mu(x)|_{x=0} = \mu''(x)|_{x=0}, \\
\mu'(x)|_{x=1} &= \eta_1 I^{\beta_1}_0 \psi_1(\mu), \\
D^{\alpha_2}_0 v(x)|_{x=0} &= 0 = v(x)|_{x=0} = v''(x)|_{x=0}, \\
v'(x)|_{x=1} &= \eta_2 I^{\beta_2}_0 \psi_2(\mu),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Phi_p \) is \( p \)-Laplacian operator and \( 2 < \alpha_i < 3, 0 < \beta_i < 1, P, \eta_i, \gamma_i > 0, \psi_i \in L[0,1], \) \( i = 1,2 \) and \( D^{\alpha_i}_0 \) is the Caputo derivative of order \( \alpha_i. \)

Motivated by A. Khan [6] and H. Khan [14,15], this paper is devoted to study the existence, uniqueness and Hyers–Ulam stability of solutions to nonlinear coupled fractional differential equations with \( p \)-Laplacian operator of the form
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which will be used in the next sections. In Section 3, using the coincidence degree theory
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where \( 2 < \alpha_i \leq 3, 0 < \beta_i \leq 1, P, \eta_i, \gamma_i \geq 0, \psi_i \in L[0, 1], \) and \( D_{0, p}^{\alpha_i} \) and \( D_{0, p}^{\beta_i} \) are the Caputo derivatives of order \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i, i = 1, 2, \) respectively. \( L_p(s) = |s|^{p-2} s \) is \( p \)-Laplacian operator,
where \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\beta_i} = 1, L_q \) denotes inverse of \( p \)-Laplacian. \( F_i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are closed bounded and linear operators for any \( t \in T = [0, 1], \) and \( \Phi, \Psi : T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions, \( i = 1, 2. \) For this purpose, we use the coincidence degree method and nonlinear functional analysis theory to deal with the existence and uniqueness of solutions and the matrix eigenvalue method in order to investigate Hyers–Ulam stability.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some auxiliary results which will be used in the next sections. In Section 3, using the coincidence degree theory and nonlinear functional analysis methods, the existence result of coupled system (2) is established, then the existence and uniqueness of solutions are discussed using Banach fixed point theorem. In Section 4, the Hyers–Ulam stability of the solutions is investigated by using the matrix eigenvalue method with some nonlinear boundary conditions. The Section 5 is devoted to providing some examples to illustrate the application of our main results.

2. Auxiliary Results

In this paper, we revisit the problem of Hyers–Ulam stability of Banach space using the
coincidence degree theory and nonlinear functional analysis methods. Let \( H_1 \) be the space of all continuous functions \( x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), endowing the norm \( \|x(t)\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \{x(t) : x \in C[0, 1]\} \). Then \( H_1 \) is a Banach space under this norm, and, hence, their product space, denoted by
\( H = H_1 \times H_1 \), is also a Banach space with norm \( \|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\| \). Here, we recall some special definitions, theorems and Hyers–Ulam stability results from the literature [1,3,5,16–18], which we will use throughout this paper.

Definition 1. Let \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). for a given function \( x : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), then its \( \sigma \)-order fractional integral in the sense of Riemann–Liouville is given by

\[ I_a^{\sigma} x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_a^t (t-\xi)^{\sigma-1} x(\xi) d\xi \]

such that the integral on the right side is pointwise defined on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \).

Definition 2. Let \( x \) be a given function on closed interval \([a, b]\), then its fractional order derivative in the sense of Caputo is stated as

\[ C D_{a}^{\sigma} x(t) = \int_a^t \frac{(t-\xi)^{n-\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(n-\sigma)} \left( \frac{d^n}{d\xi^n} x(\xi) \right) d\xi, \sigma \in (n-1, n], \]

where \([\sigma] = n-1\). In particular if \( x \) is defined on the interval \([a, b]\) and \( \sigma \in (0, 1) \), then

\[ C D_{a}^{\sigma} x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \int_a^t \frac{x'(\xi)}{(t-\xi)^{1-\sigma}} d\xi, \quad x'(s) = \frac{dx(s)}{ds}. \]
Theorem 1 ([18]). Let \( \sigma \in [n - 1, n) \). For \( x \in C[a, b] \), the unique solution of \( C \, D_a^\sigma x(t) = 0 \) has the form \( x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\sigma]} a_k t^k \), where \( a_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, [\sigma] \).

Theorem 2 ([18]). Let \( \sigma \in [n - 1, n) \). For \( x \in C^{(n)}[a, b], I_a^\sigma [C \, D_a^\sigma x(t)] = x(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{[\sigma]} a_k t^k \), for some \( a_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, [\sigma] \).

Definition 3. Let the class of all bounded set of \( \mathcal{H} \) be denoted by \( \mathbb{B} \). The mapping \( \varsigma : \mathbb{B} \to (0, \infty) \) for Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is defined as

\[
\varsigma(\mu) = \inf\{d > 0 : \mu \text{ has a finite cover for sets of diameter } \leq d\}, \text{ where } \mu \in \mathbb{B}.
\]

Theorem 3. The following are the characteristics of the measure \( \varsigma \):

1. For relative compact \( A \), the Kuratowski measure \( \varsigma(A) = 0 \);
2. Semi-norm \( \varsigma \), that is \( \varsigma(kA) = |k|\varsigma(A), k \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \varsigma(A_1 + A_2) \leq \varsigma(A_1) + \varsigma(A_2) \);
3. \( A_1 \subset A_2 \) yields \( \varsigma(A_1) \leq \varsigma(A_2) \); \( \varsigma(A_1 \cup A_2) = \sup \{\varsigma(A_1), \varsigma(A_2)\} \);
4. \( \varsigma(\text{conv}\, A) = \varsigma(A) \);
5. \( \varsigma(\overline{A}) = \varsigma(A) \).

Definition 4. Assume that \( \nu : \theta \to \omega \) is a bounded and continuous mapping such that \( \theta \subset \omega \), if there exists \( \zeta \geq 0 \) such that \( \varsigma(\nu(\mu)) \leq \zeta \varsigma(\mu) \) for all bounded \( \mu \subset \theta \), then \( \nu \) is a \( \varsigma \)-Lipschitz.

Furthermore, \( \nu \) is called strict \( \varsigma \)-contraction under the condition \( \zeta < 1 \).

Definition 5. The function \( \nu \) is \( \varsigma \)-condensing if \( \varsigma(\nu(\mu)) < \varsigma(\mu) \) for all bounded \( \mu \subset \theta \) with \( \varsigma(\mu) > 0 \). Therefore \( \varsigma(\nu(\mu)) \geq \varsigma(\mu) \) yields \( \varsigma(\mu) = 0 \).

Furthermore, we call \( \nu : \theta \to \omega \) is Lipschitz for \( \zeta > 0 \), such that

\[
\|\nu(\mu) - \nu(\overline{\mu})\| \leq \zeta \|\mu - \overline{\mu}\|, \text{ for all } \mu, \overline{\mu} \in \theta.
\]

The condition \( \zeta < 1 \) yields that \( \nu \) is a strict contraction.

Theorem 4. The mapping \( \nu : \theta \to \omega \) is \( \varsigma \)-Lipschitz with constant \( \zeta = 0 \) if and only if \( \nu \) is compact.

Theorem 5. The mapping \( \nu : \theta \to \omega \) is \( \varsigma \)-Lipschitz for some constant \( \zeta \), if and only if \( \nu \) is Lipschitz with constant \( \zeta \).

Theorem 6 ([19]). Let \( \nu : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \) be a \( \varsigma \)-contraction and \( \mathcal{Z} = \{z \in \mathcal{H} : \text{there exist } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \text{ such that } z = \lambda \nu(z)\} \). Under the condition that \( \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{H} \) is bounded for \( r > 0 \) and \( \mathcal{Z} \subset \mu_r(0) \), with degree

\[
\deg(I - \lambda \nu, \mu_r(0), 0) = 1, \text{ for every } \lambda \in [0, 1].
\]

Then, \( \nu \) has at least one fixed point.

Theorem 7 ([20]). Let \( L_p \) be a \( p \)-Laplacian operator. We have

(i) If \( 1 < p \leq 2, x_1 \cdot x_2 > 0 \), and \( |x_1|, |x_2| \geq M_1 > 0 \), then

\[
|L_p(x_1) - L_p(x_2)| \leq (p - 1)M_1^{p-2}|x_1 - x_2|;
\]

(ii) If \( p > 2 \), and \( |x_1|, |x_2| \leq M_2 \), then

\[
|L_p(x_1) - L_p(x_2)| \leq (p - 1)M_2^{p-2}|x_1 - x_2|.
\]

Definition 6 ([16]). Let \( u_1, u_2 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \) be two operators defined on \( \mathcal{H} \). Then the operator system provided by

\[
\begin{cases}
x(t) = u_1(x, y)(t), \\
y(t) = u_2(x, y)(t)
\end{cases}
\]
is called Hyers–Ulam stable if we can find $C_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that for each $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and for each solution $(x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{H}$ of the inequalities given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x^* - \Theta_1(x^*, y^*)\| &\leq \varepsilon_1, \\
\|y^* - \Theta_2(x^*, y^*)\| &\leq \varepsilon_2,
\end{align*}
$$

there exists a solution $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{H}$ of system (3), which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x^* - \bar{x}\| &\leq C_1\varepsilon_1 + C_2\varepsilon_2, \\
\|y^* - \bar{y}\| &\leq C_3\varepsilon_1 + C_4\varepsilon_2.
\end{align*}
$$

**Definition 7.** If $\lambda_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) are the (real or complex) eigenvalues of a matrix $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then the spectral radius $\rho(Q)$ is defined by

$$
\rho(Q) = \max\{|\lambda_i| : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}.
$$

Furthermore, the matrix will converge to zero if $\rho(Q) < 1$.

**Theorem 8 ([16]).** Let $u_1, u_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be two operators such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u_1(x, y) - u_1(x^*, y^*)\| &\leq C_1\|x - x^*\| + C_2\|y - y^*\|, \\
\|u_2(x, y) - u_2(x^*, y^*)\| &\leq C_3\|x - x^*\| + C_4\|y - y^*\|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{H}$. If the matrix $Q = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$ converges to zero, then the fixed points corresponding to system (3) are Hyers–Ulam stable.

### 3. Existence Results

To come to our main results, we need the following hypotheses:

**Hypothesis 1.** The operators $\mathcal{F}_i : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are closed bounded and linear for any $t \in \mathcal{T} = [0, 1]$ and $i = 1, 2$. Denote $m_1 = \max_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\{|\mathcal{F}_1(t)|\}$, $m_2 = \max_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\{|\mathcal{F}_2(t)|\}$.

**Hypothesis 2.** The functions $\Phi, \Psi : \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous. For all $(x, y), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there exist $m_\Phi, m_\Psi > 0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\Phi(t, x(t), y(t)) - \Phi(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{y}(t))| &\leq m_\Phi(|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)| + |y(t) - \bar{y}(t)|), \\
|\Psi(t, x(t), y(t)) - \Psi(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{y}(t))| &\leq m_\Psi(|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)| + |y(t) - \bar{y}(t)|).
\end{align*}
$$

**Hypothesis 3.** The functions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfy the following growth conditions under the constants $C_\Phi, C_\Psi, M_\Phi, M_\Psi, q_1 \in (0, 1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\Phi(t, x, y)\| &\leq C_\Phi|x|^{q_1} + M_\Phi, \\
\|\Psi(t, x, y)\| &\leq C_\Psi|y|^{q_1} + M_\Psi.
\end{align*}
$$

**Hypothesis 4.** The nonlocal functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the hypotheses that for any $(x, y), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there exist $K_1 > 0, K_2 > 0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\psi_1(x) - \psi_1(\bar{x})| &\leq K_1|x - \bar{x}|, \\
|\psi_2(y) - \psi_2(\bar{y})| &\leq K_2|y - \bar{y}|.
\end{align*}
$$
Hypothesis 5. The nonlocal functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following growth conditions by the constants $C_{\psi_1}, C_{\psi_2}, M_{\psi_1}, M_{\psi_2} > 0$ and $p_1 \in [0, 1)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\psi_1(x)| \leq C_{\psi_1}|x|^{p_1} + M_{\psi_1},$$

$$|\psi_2(y)| \leq C_{\psi_2}|y|^{p_2} + M_{\psi_2}.$$ 

Theorem 9. Assume that $z : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded linear operators, then the solution of

$$D_0^{\beta_1} L_b (D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t)) - \mathcal{F}_1(t) x(t) = z(t), \beta_1 \in (0, 1], \alpha_1 \in (2, 3], t \in \mathcal{T},$$

$x(t)|_{t=0} = 0$, $x''(t)|_{t=0} = 0$, $D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t)|_{t=0} = 0$,

$$x'(t)|_{t=1} = \eta_1 I_{0+}^{\gamma_1} \psi_1(x) = \frac{\eta_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^1 (b - \xi)^{-\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi$$

is given by

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(\xi) x(\xi) + z(\xi) \right) \right) d\xi$$

$$+ \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^1 (b - \xi)^{-\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi,$$

where $G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi)$ is Green’s function, given by

$$G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(t - \xi)^{\alpha_1-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} - \frac{t(1 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 1)}, & 0 \leq \xi \leq t \leq 1, \\
\frac{t(1 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 1)}, & 0 \leq t \leq \xi \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$

Proof. Since

$$D_0^{\beta_1} L_b (D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t)) - \mathcal{F}_1(t) x(t) = z(t), \; t \in [0, 1], \; \beta_1 \in (0, 1], \alpha_1 \in (2, 3].$$

(5)

Applying the operator $I_0^{\beta_1}$ on (5) and using Theorem 2, we can obtain the following integral form as

$$L_b (D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t)) = I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(t) x(t) + z(t) \right) + a_0.$$ 

Using the initial condition $D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t)|_{t=0} = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$.

Furthermore,

$$D_0^{\alpha_1} x(t) = L_q \left[ I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(t) x(t) + z(t) \right) \right].$$

(6)

Using Theorem 2 and applying the operator $I_0^{\alpha_1}$ on (6), we have

$$x(t) = I_0^{\alpha_1} \left\{ L_q \left[ I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(t) x(t) + z(t) \right) \right] \right\} + a_1 + a_2 t + a_3 t^2.$$ 

(7)

By using the conditions $x(t)|_{t=0} = 0$ and $x''(t)|_{t=0} = 0$ in (7), we obtain $a_1 = a_3 = 0$. We also obtain

$$x'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 1)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha_1-2} L_q \left[ I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(\xi) x(\xi) + z(\xi) \right) \right] d\xi + a_2.$$ 

(8)

If $x'(t)|_{t=1} = \eta_1 I_{0+}^{\gamma_1} \psi_1(x)$ in (8), then

$$a_2 = \frac{\eta_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^1 (b - \xi)^{-\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-2} \cdot L_q \left[ I_0^{\beta_1} \left( \mathcal{F}_1(\xi) x(\xi) + z(\xi) \right) \right] d\xi.$$ 

By substituting the values of $a_1, a_2, a_3$ in (7), we obtain the following integral equation:
\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_1)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{a_1-1} \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_1}_{0} (F_1(\xi)x(\xi) + z(\xi)) \right) d\xi \\
- \frac{t}{\Gamma(a_1 - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{a_1-2} \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_1}_{0} (F_1(\xi)x(\xi) + z(\xi)) \right) d\xi + \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi \\
= \int_0^1 G_{a_1}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_1}_{0} (F_1(\xi)x(\xi) + z(\xi)) \right) d\xi + \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi,
\]

where \(G_{a_1}(t, \xi)\) is defined as (4). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

According to Theorem 9, the equivalent system of Hammerstein-type integral equations corresponding to coupled system (2) is given by

\[
x(t) = \int_0^1 G_{a_1}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_1}_{0} (F_1(\xi)x(\xi) + \Phi(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi))) \right) d\xi + \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi, \\
y(t) = \int_0^1 G_{a_2}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_2}_{0} (F_2(\xi)y(\xi) + \Psi(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi))) \right) d\xi + \frac{\eta_2 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_2)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_2-1} \psi_2(x(\xi)) d\xi,
\]

where \(G_{a_1}(t, \xi)\) is defined as (4), and \(G_{a_2}(t, \xi)\) is defined by

\[
G_{a_2}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{(t - \xi)^{a_2-1}}{\Gamma(a_2)} - \frac{(1 - \xi)^{a_2-2}}{\Gamma(a_2 - 1)}, & 0 \leq \xi \leq t \leq 1, \\
- \frac{(1 - \xi)^{a_2-2}}{\Gamma(a_2 - 1)}, & 0 \leq t \leq \xi \leq 1. \end{cases}
\]

Now, we consider a closed ball \(B_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{H} : \|x, y\| \leq r\}\) and define operators \(U = (U_1, U_2), V = (V_1, V_2)\) on \(B_r\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1(x, y)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{a_1}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_1}_{0} (F_1(\xi)x(\xi) + \Phi(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi))) \right) d\xi, \\
U_2(x, y)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{a_2}(t, \xi) \cdot L_q \left( I^{\beta_2}_{0} (F_2(\xi)y(\xi) + \Psi(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi))) \right) d\xi,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1x(t) &= \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1-1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi, \\
V_2y(t) &= \frac{\eta_2 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_2)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_2-1} \psi_2(x(\xi)) d\xi.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the operator equation of the Hammerstein-type integral system (9) is given by

\[
(x, y) = W(x, y) = U(x, y) + V(x, y).
\]

So, the solution of system (9) is the fixed points of operator Equation (13).

**Theorem 10.** Under the hypotheses \((H_3)\) and \((H_5)\) and if \(C_0 < 1\), the operator \(V\) is \(\varsigma\)-Lipschitz and satisfies the growth condition given by

\[
\|V(x, y)\| \leq C_0^* \|x, y\|^{p_1} + M_0, \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{H},
\]

where

\[
C_0^* = \max \left\{ \frac{\eta_1 b^{\gamma_1} K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)}, \frac{\eta_2 b^{\gamma_2} K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \right\},
\]

\[
C_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{\eta_1 b^{\gamma_1} C_0}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)}, \frac{\eta_2 b^{\gamma_2} C_0}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \right\}.
\]
and

\[ M_0 = \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1 M_{v_1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} + \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2 M_{v_2}}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)}. \]  

(17)

**Proof.** Using the condition \((H_4)\) and \(t \leq 1\), we have

\[
|V_1(x)(t) - V_1(\bar{x})(t)| = \left| \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \left[ \psi_1(x(\xi)) - \psi_1(\bar{x}(\xi)) \right] d\xi \right|
\leq K_1 \|x - \bar{x}\| \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1 - 1} d\xi
= \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1 K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} \|x - \bar{x}\|.
\]

Similarly, we obtain

\[
|V_2(y)(t) - V_2(\bar{y})(t)| \leq \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2 K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \|y - \bar{y}\|.
\]

Then,

\[
\|V(x, y) - V(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| = \|V_1(x) - V_2(\bar{x})\| + \|V_1(y) - V_2(\bar{y})\|
\leq \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1 K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} \|x - \bar{x}\| + \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2 K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \|x - \bar{x}\|
\leq C_0 \|(x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y})\|,
\]

where \(C_0\) is defined by \((15)\), \(C_0 \in [0, 1]\). Therefore, using Theorem 5, the operator \(V\) is \(\varsigma\)-Lipschitz.

Next, to obtain the growth condition, using the condition \((H_5)\), we have

\[
|V_1(x)(t)| = \left| \frac{\eta_1 t}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi \right|
\leq \frac{\eta_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \psi_1(x(\xi)) d\xi
\leq \frac{\eta_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} \int_0^b (b - \xi)^{\gamma_1 - 1} [C_{v_1} |x|^{p_1} + M_{v_1}] d\xi.
\]

Then,

\[
\|V_1(x)\| \leq \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} [C_{v_1} |x|^{p_1} + M_{v_1}].
\]  

(18)

Similarly, we have

\[
\|V_2(y)\| \leq \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} [C_{v_2} |y|^{p_1} + M_{v_2}].
\]  

(19)

Hence, \((18)\) and \((19)\) imply that

\[
\|V(x, y)\| = \|V_1(x)\| + \|V_2(y)\|
\leq \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} [C_{v_1} |x|^{p_1} + M_{v_1}] + \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} [C_{v_2} |y|^{p_1} + M_{v_2}]
= \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1 C_{v_1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} |x|^{p_1} + \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2 C_{v_2}}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} |y|^{p_1} + \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma_1 M_{v_1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} + \frac{\eta_2 b^\gamma_2 M_{v_2}}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)}
\leq C^*_0 \|(x, y)\|^{p_1} + M_0,
\]

where \(C^*_0, M_0\) are defined as \((16)\) and \((17)\). This completes the proof.  

Theorem 11. Under the hypotheses (H₁) and (H₃), the operator \( U \) is continuous and satisfies the growth condition given by

\[
\|U(x,y)\| \leq (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1(A_1\|x\|^{\alpha_1} + A_2\|y\|^{\alpha_2} + A_3),
\]

where

\[
A_1 = \max_{(x,y) \in B_r} \left\{ \frac{C_{\Phi}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \frac{C_{\Psi}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right\},
\]

\[
A_2 = \max_{(x,y) \in B_r} \left\{ \frac{m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \frac{m_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right\},
\]

and

\[
A_3 = \max_{(x,y) \in B_r} \left\{ \frac{M_{\Phi}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \frac{M_{\Psi}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right\}.
\]

Proof. To prove the continuity of operator \( U \), we construct a sequence \( \{(x_n, y_n)\} \) in \( B_r \) with \( (x_n, y_n) \to (x, y) \) as \( n \to \infty \). Then, using Theorem 7 and the condition (H₁), we have

\[
|U_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_1(x, y)(t)| = \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi)L_q]\left( t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x_n(\xi) + \Phi(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) \right) d\xi
\]

\[
- \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_2}(t, \xi)L_q]\left( t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x_n(\xi) + \Phi(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) \right) d\xi
\]

\[
\leq (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi)\left| t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x_n(\xi) - t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x(\xi) \right| d\xi
\]

\[
+ \left| t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) - t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi.
\]

Due to the continuity of \( \Phi \), one has \( \Phi(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) \to \Phi(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \) as \( n \to \infty \). Using the Lebesgue-dominated convergent theorem, we have \( t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x_n(\xi) \to t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x(\xi) \) as \( n \to \infty \). We also obtain \( t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) \to t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \) as \( n \to \infty \). So, \( |U_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_1(x, y)(t)| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence, \( U_1 \) is continuous. Similarly, we obtain

\[
|U_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_2(x, y)(t)| \leq (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 |m_1 \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_2}(t, \xi)\left| t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x_n(\xi), y_n(\xi)) - t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi.
\]

Using the continuity of \( \Psi \) and \( t^{\beta_2}y_n(\xi) \to t^{\beta_2}y(\xi) \) as \( n \to \infty \), we obtain \( |U_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_2(x, y)(t)| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) similarly. Hence, \( U_2 \) is continuous. Due to \( |U(x_n, y_n)(t) - U(x, y)(t)| = |U_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_1(x, y)(t)| + |U_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - U_2(x, y)(t)| \), we have that the operator \( U \) is continuous.

Next, for growth condition (20), using (H₁), (H₃) and Theorem 7, we have

\[
|U_1(x, y)(t)| \leq (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_1}(t, \xi)\left| t^{\beta_1}f_1(\xi)x(\xi) \right| d\xi + (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 \int_0^1 G_{\alpha_2}(t, \xi)\left| t^{\beta_1}f_2(\xi, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi
\]

\[
\leq (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 m_1 \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - t(\alpha_1 - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \|x\| + (q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 m_2 \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - t(\alpha_1 - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} (C_{\Phi}\|x\|^{\alpha_1} + M_{\Phi})
\]

\[
\leq \frac{(q - 1)M^{q-2}_1 m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \|x\| + \frac{(q - 1)M^{q-2}_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} (C_{\Phi}\|x\|^{\alpha_1} + M_{\Phi}),
\]
which implies that
\[
\|U_1(x,y)\| \leq \frac{(q-1)M_1^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} (C_\Phi \|x\|^{q_1} + m_1 \|x\| + M_\Phi),
\]
and similarly
\[
\|U_2(x,y)\| \leq \frac{(q-1)M_1^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} (C_\Psi \|y\|^{q_1} + m_2 \|y\| + M_\Psi).
\]

It follows from (24) and (25) that
\[
\|U(x,y)\| = \|U_1(x,y)\| + \|U_2(x,y)\|
\leq (q-1)M_1^{q-2} \left( \frac{C_\Phi}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \|x\|^{q_1} + \frac{C_\Psi}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \|y\|^{q_1} \right)
+ (q-1)M_1^{q-2} \left( \frac{m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} \|x\| + \frac{m_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \|y\| \right)
+ (q-1)M_1^{q-2} \left( \frac{M_\Phi}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} + \frac{M_\Psi}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right)
\leq (q-1)M_1^{q-2} (A_1 \|x\|^{q_1} + A_2 \|y\| + A_3),
\]
where $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$ are defined as (21)–(23). This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 12.** Under the hypotheses (H$_1$) and (H$_3$), the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is compact and $\zeta$-Lipschitz with constant zero.

**Proof.** With the assumption (H$_1$) and (H$_3$), Theorem 11 implies that the operator $U$ is bounded. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{B}_r$. For the given sequence \{(x_n, y_n)\} $\subset \mathcal{D}$ and for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, we obtain
\[
\|U_1(x_n, y_n)(t_1) - U_1(x_n, y_n)(t_2)\|
\leq (q-1)M_1^{q-2} \int_0^1 \left( G_{\alpha_1} (t_1, \xi) - G_{\alpha_1} (t_2, \xi) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1)} \int_0^2 (\xi - \tau)^{\beta_1-1} d\tau d\xi \left( C_\Phi \|x_n\|^{q_1} + m_1 \|x_n\| + M_\Phi \right).
\]
By simplification, we obtain
\[
\|U_1(x_n, y_n)(t_1) - U_1(x_n, y_n)(t_2)\|
\leq (q-1)M_1^{q-2} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1+1)\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_0^1 (t_1 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-1} \xi^{\beta_1} d\xi - \int_0^2 (t_2 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-1} \xi^{\beta_1} d\xi \right]
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1+1)\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left| (t_2 - t_1) \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha_1-1} \xi^{\beta_1} d\xi \right| (C_\Phi \|x_n\|^{q_1} + m_1 \|x_n\| + M_\Phi)
= \left[ (q-1)M_1^{q-2} B(\alpha_1, \beta_1 + 1) \right] \left| t_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} - t_2^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \right| + \left[ (q-1)M_1^{q-2} B(\alpha_1 - 1, \beta_1 + 1) \right] \left| t_1 - t_2 \right|
\cdot (C_\Phi \|x_n\|^{q_1} + m_1 \|x_n\| + M_\Phi)
\]
where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Beta function. In the same manner, we have
\[
\|U_2(x_n, y_n)(t_1) - U_2(x_n, y_n)(t_2)\|
\leq \left[ \frac{(q-1)M_1^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + 1)} \left| t_1^{\alpha_2+\beta_1} - t_2^{\alpha_2+\beta_1} \right| + \frac{(q-1)M_1^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + 1)} \left| t_1 - t_2 \right| \right] (C_\Psi \|y_n\|^{q_1} + m_2 \|y_n\| + M_\Psi).
\]
By (26) and (27), we have

\[ |U(x_n, y_n)(t_1) - U(x_n, y_n)(t_2)| \leq \left[ \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1)} \left| t_1^{\alpha_1} - t_2^{\alpha_1} \right| + \frac{(q - 1)M_2^{q-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + 1)} \left| t_1 - t_2 \right| \right] (C_\Phi \|U_n\|^{\eta_1} + m_1\|x_n\| + M_\Phi) \]

Equation (28) tends to zero as \( t_1 \to t_2 \). Therefore, \( U = \{U_1, U_2\} \) is equi-continuous on \( D \). Using the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, \( U(D) \) is compact. Hence, \( U \) is \( \varsigma \)-Lipschitz with constant zero. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 13.** Under the hypotheses \((H_1), (H_3), (H_4)\) and \((H_5)\), and if

\[(q - 1)M_1^{q-2}A_1 + (q - 1)M_2^{q-2}A_2 + C_0^\varsigma \leq 1, \tag{29}\]

then the coupled system (2) has at least one solution \((x, y) \in \mathcal{H}\).

**Proof.** In view of Theorems 10–12, \( U \) and \( V \) are continuous and \( \varsigma \)-Lipschitz with constant \( C_0^\varsigma \) and 0. By the help of Definition 4, we have \( W \) is strict \( \varsigma \)-contraction.

Let \( S = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda \in [0, 1]|(x, y) = \Lambda W(x, y)\} \). Now, to prove that \( S \) is bounded, we obtain

\[ \|x(y)\| = \|\lambda W(x, y)\| \leq \|\lambda U(x, y)\| + \|\lambda V(x, y)\| \]

\[ \leq (q - 1)M_1^{q-2}(A_1\|(x, y)\|^\eta_1 + A_2\|(x, y)\| + A_3) + C_0^\varsigma \|(x, y)\|^\eta_1 + M_\Phi \]

\[ \leq \left( (q - 1)M_1^{q-2}(A_1 + A_2) + C_0^\varsigma \right) \|(x, y)\| + (q - 1)M_2^{q-2}A_3 + M_\Phi. \tag{30} \]

Hence, the set of solutions \( S \) is bounded. Using Theorem 6, the coupled system (2) has at least one solution. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 14.** Suppose the hypotheses \((H_1)\) to \((H_5)\) are satisfied. Then, the coupled system (2) has a unique solution provided

\[ \Omega = A_4 + A_5 + C_0 < 1, \tag{31} \]

where

\[ A_4 = \max \left\{ \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{q-2}m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)}, \frac{(q - 1)M_2^{q-2}m_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right\}, \tag{32} \]

\[ A_5 = \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{q-2}m_\Phi}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} + \frac{(q - 1)M_2^{q-2}m_\Psi}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)}, \tag{33} \]

and \( C_0 \) is defined by (15).

**Proof.** Let \((x, y)\) and \((\overline{x}, \overline{y})\) \( \in \mathcal{H} \) be two solutions, then

\[ \|W(x, y) - W(\overline{x}, \overline{y})\| = \|U(x, y) - U(\overline{x}, \overline{y})\| + \|V(x, y) - V(\overline{x} - \overline{y})\|. \]
Using the conditions \((H_1), (H_2)\) and Theorem 7, we obtain
\[
\|U(x, y) - U(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| = \|U_1(x, y) - U_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| + \|U_2(x, y) - U_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\|
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 G_1(t, \xi) \left| \int_0^t F_1(\xi) \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q-1)} x(\xi) + \Phi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi
\]
\[
- L_q \left( \int_0^1 F_1(\xi) y(\xi) + \Psi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right) \left| \int_0^1 G_2(t, \xi) \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q-1)} y(\xi) + \Psi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi
\]
\[
+ \int_0^1 G_2(t, \xi) \left| \int_0^t F_2(\xi) \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q-1)} x(\xi) + \Phi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi
\]
\[
- L_q \left( \int_0^1 F_2(\xi) \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q-1)} y(\xi) + \Psi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right) \left| \int_0^1 G_1(t, \xi) \frac{\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q-1)} x(\xi) + \Phi(x, x(\xi), y(\xi)) \right| d\xi
\]
\[
\leq (q-1) M^{q-2}_1 \frac{m_1}{\Gamma(a_1 + \beta_1)} \|x - \bar{x}\| + m_\Phi \|x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y}\| + m_\Psi \|x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y}\|
\]
\[
\leq \left( \frac{(q-1) M^{q-2}_1}{\Gamma(a_1 + \beta_1)} \right) \|x - \bar{x}\| + \left( \frac{(q-1) M^{q-2}_2}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} \right) \|y - \bar{y}\|
\]
\[
\leq (A_4 + A_5) \|(x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})\|,
\]
where \(A_4\) and \(A_5\) are defined by \((32)\) and \((33)\). Furthermore,
\[
\|V(x, y) - V(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| = \|V_1(x) - V_1(\bar{x})\| + \|V_2(y) - V_2(\bar{y})\|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\eta_1 b^{\gamma_1} K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} \|x - \bar{x}\| + \frac{\eta_2 b^{\gamma_2} K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \|y - \bar{y}\|
\]
\[
\leq C_0 \|(x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})\|.
\]
Hence, from \((34)\) and \((35)\), we have
\[
\|W(x, y) - W(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| \leq (A_4 + A_5 + C_0) \|(x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})\|,
\]
which implies that the operator \(W\) is contraction due to \((31)\). By the Banach fixed point theorem, system \((2)\) has a unique solution. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

4. Hyers–Ulam Stability

In this section, we study Hyers–Ulam stability for the coupled system of fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian operator \((2)\). Using Definitions \(6\) and \(7\) and Theorems \(6\) and \(8\), the corresponding results are received.

**Theorem 15.** Suppose that the assumptions \((H_1)\) to \((H_5)\) and \((31)\) hold, and the matrix \(Q\) is converging to zero, the solutions of the system are Hyers–Ulam stable.

**Proof.** Let \((x, y)\) and \((x^*, y^*)\) \(\in \mathcal{H}\) be two solutions and define operator \(W = (W_1, W_2)\) and \(W_1 = U_1 + V_1, W_2 = U_2 + V_2\). In view of Theorem 14, we have
\[
\|W_1(x, y) - W_1(x^*, y^*)\| \leq \|U_1(x, y) - U_1(x^*, y^*)\| + \|V_1 x - V_1 x^*\|
\]
\[
\leq \left[ \frac{(q-1) M^{q-2}_1 (m_1 + m_\Phi)}{\Gamma(a_1 + \beta_1)} + \frac{\eta_1 b^{\gamma_1} K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} \right] \|x - x^*\|
\]
\[
+ \frac{(q-1) M^{q-2}_2 m_\Psi}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} \|y - y^*\| = C_1 \|x - x^*\| + C_2 \|y - y^*\|,
\]
where
\[ C_1 = \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}(m_1 + m_\Phi)}{\Gamma(a_1 + \beta_1)} + \frac{\eta_1 b^\gamma K_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)} \]
and
\[ C_2 = \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}m_\Phi}{\Gamma(a_1 + \beta_1)}. \]

Similarly, we can also obtain
\[
\|W_2(x, y) - W_2(x^*, y^*)\| \leq \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}m_\Psi}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} \|x - x^*\|
+ \left[ \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}(m_2 + m_\Psi)}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} + \frac{\eta_2 b^\beta K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)} \right] \|y - y^*\| \quad (37)
\]
\[ = C_3 \|x - x^*\| + C_4 \|y - y^*\|, \]
where
\[ C_3 = \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}m_\Psi}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} \]
and
\[ C_4 = \frac{(q - 1)M_1^{\alpha - 2}(m_2 + m_\Psi)}{\Gamma(a_2 + \beta_2)} + \frac{\eta_2 b^\beta K_2}{\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)}. \]

So from (36) and (37), we obtain the inequalities given below
\[
\|W_1(x, y) - W_1(x^*, y^*)\| \leq C_1 \|x - x^*\| + C_2 \|y - y^*\|, \\
\|W_2(x, y) - W_2(x^*, y^*)\| \leq C_3 \|x - x^*\| + C_4 \|y - y^*\|. \quad (38)
\]

From (38), we obtain the following inequality
\[
\|W(x, y) - W(x^*, y^*)\| \leq Q \|(x, y) - (x^*, y^*)\|,
\]
where \( Q = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix} \). Since \( Q \) converges to zero, system (2) is Hyers-Ulam stable. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

5. Examples

Example 1. Consider the following coupled system of fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian operator and fractional order differential and integral initial and boundary conditions of the type
\[
\begin{align*}
D_0^\frac{1}{2} L_5 \left( D_0^\frac{2}{5} x(t) \right) - \frac{e^{-2t}x(t)}{20} &= \frac{t^2 + \sin |x(t)| + \cos |y(t)|}{100}, \\
D_0^\frac{1}{2} L_5 \left( D_0^\frac{2}{5} y(t) \right) - \frac{ty(t)}{30} &= \frac{\cos |x(t)| + \sin |y(t)|}{50 + t^2}, \\
D_0^\frac{2}{5} x(t)|_{t=0} &= 0, x(0) = 0, x''(0) = 0, \\
x'(t) &= \frac{1}{3\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \cos(x(\xi))d\xi, \\
D_0^\frac{2}{5} y(t)|_{t=0} &= 0, y(0) = 0, y''(0) = 0, \\
y'(t) &= \frac{1}{3\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \cos(y(\xi))d\xi.
\end{align*}
\quad (39)
\]
where $p = 5, q = \frac{5}{4}, t \in [0, 1], \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{5}{2}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \gamma_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}, b = 1$. From this system, we have

$$F_1(t) = e^{-2t}, F_2(t) = \frac{t}{30}, \Phi(t, x(t), y(t)) = \frac{t^2 + \sin |x(t)| + \cos |y(t)|}{100}, \Psi(t, x(t), y(t)) = \frac{\cos |x(t)| + \sin |y(t)|}{50 + t^2}.$$ 

For any $(x, y), (\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$m_\Phi = 3\frac{100}{100}, m_\Psi = \frac{1}{50},$$

$$|\Phi(t, x, y) - \Phi(t, \overline{x}, \overline{y})| \leq \frac{3}{100} \| (x - \overline{x}, y - \overline{y}) \|,$$

$$|\Psi(t, x, y) - \Psi(t, \overline{x}, \overline{y})| \leq \frac{1}{50} \| (x - \overline{x}, y - \overline{y}) \|,$$

and $C_\Phi = \frac{1}{10}, C_\Psi = \frac{1}{10}, M_\Phi = \frac{1}{100}, M_\Psi = \frac{1}{50}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\| \Phi(t, x, y) \| \leq \frac{1}{10} \| x \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{100},$$

$$\| \Psi(t, x, y) \| \leq \frac{1}{10} \| y \|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{50}.$$ 

Furthermore, we can obtain $m_1 = \frac{1}{20}, m_2 = \frac{1}{30}, M_1 = 2, K_1 = \frac{1}{100}, K_2 = \frac{1}{200}$. Then, we see that

$$\Omega = A_4 + A_5 + C_\varphi = \frac{1}{80 \times 2^\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{1}{80 \times 2^\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{300 \sqrt{\pi}} < 1.$$ 

Using Theorem 14, the coupled system has a unique solution. By direct calculation, we obtain

$$Q = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{50 \times 2^\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{300 \sqrt{\pi}}{600 \pi} & 1 \\
\frac{1}{200 \times 2^\frac{7}{2}} & \frac{1}{25 \times 2^\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{600 \sqrt{\pi}}
\end{array} \right) \approx \left( \begin{array}{cc}
0.0138 & 0.0045 \\
0.0030 & 0.0088
\end{array} \right).$$

On calculation, we obtain the spectral radius

$$\rho(Q) = \max \{ |0.01031|, |0.00013| \} = 0.01031 < 1,$$

which shows that the matrix $Q$ converges to zero, and using Theorem 15, the solutions of the problem (39) are Hyers–Ulam stable.

**Example 2.** Consider the following coupled system of fractional differential equations with $p$-Laplacian operator and fractional order differential initial and boundary conditions of the type
\[
\begin{align*}
D_0^\frac{3}{2} L_3 \left( D_0^\frac{7}{5} x(t) \right) - \frac{e^{-3t}x(t)}{30} &= \frac{\sin |x(t)| + \cos |y(t)|}{100 + t^3}, \\
D_0^\frac{3}{2} L_3 \left( D_0^\frac{7}{5} y(t) \right) - \frac{ty(t)}{20} &= \frac{\cos |x(t)| + \sin |y(t)|}{1000}, \\
x'(1) = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - \zeta)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \sin(x(\zeta)) d\zeta, \\
y'(1) = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - \zeta)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \sin(y(\zeta)) d\zeta,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( p = 3, q = \frac{3}{2}, t \in [0, 1], a_1 = a_2 = \frac{3}{2}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \gamma_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}, b = 1. \) So, we have
\[
F_1(t) = \frac{e^{-3t}}{30}, F_2(t) = \frac{t}{20}, \Phi(t, x, y) = \frac{\sin |x(t)| + \cos |y(t)|}{100 + t^3}, \text{and} \Psi(t, x, y) = \frac{\cos |x(t)| + \sin |y(t)|}{1000}.
\]

For any \((x, y), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, 1], \) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
m_{\Phi} &= \frac{1}{100}, m_{\Psi} = \frac{1}{50}, \\
|\Phi(t, x, y) - \Phi(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| &\leq \frac{1}{100} ||(x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})||, \\
|\Psi(t, x, y) - \Psi(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| &\leq \frac{1}{50} ||(x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})||,
\end{align*}
\]

and \( C_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{100}, C_{\Psi} = \frac{1}{50}, M_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{50}, M_{\Psi} = \frac{1}{50}, q_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \)
\[
\begin{align*}
||\Phi(t, x, y)|| &\leq \frac{1}{100} ||x||^2 + \frac{1}{50}, \\
||\Psi(t, x, y)|| &\leq \frac{1}{100} ||y||^2 + \frac{1}{500}.
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, we can also obtain \( m_1 = \frac{1}{3}, m_2 = \frac{1}{20}, M_1 = 2, K_1 = \frac{1}{100}, K_2 = \frac{1}{200}. \) Then, we see that
\[
\Omega = A_4 + A_5 + C_\nu = \frac{1}{40 \times 2^\frac{3}{2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} + \frac{1}{200 \times 2^\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{400 \sqrt{\pi}} < 1.
\]

Using Theorem 14, the coupled system has a unique solution. Upon calculation, we obtain
\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{13}{600 \sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} & \frac{1}{400 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
\frac{1}{100 \sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} & \frac{1}{200 \sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} + \frac{1}{800 \sqrt{\pi}}
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix}
0.0104 & 0.0049 \\
0.0078 & 0.0281
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Direct calculation implies the spectral radius
\[
\rho(Q) = \max\{|0.0306|, |0.0159|\} = 0.0306 < 1,
\]

which shows that the matrix \( Q \) converges to zero, and using Theorem 15, the solutions of the problem (40) are Hyers–Ulam stable.
6. Conclusions

In this paper, we use the coincidence degree method and nonlinear functional analysis theory to deal with the existence and uniqueness of solutions and the matrix eigenvalue method in order to investigate Hyers–Ulam stability for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with nonlinear $p$-Laplacian operator. Since the system we studied is more extensive and the initial boundary value conditions used are different from those used in references [6,14,15], the proofs may be carried out in the case of $q$-difference similarly.
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