Combining dark matter detectors and electron-capture sources to hunt for new physics in the neutrino sector
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In this letter we point out the possibility to study new physics in the neutrino sector using dark matter detectors based on liquid xenon. These are characterized by very good spatial resolution and extremely low thresholds for electron recoil energies. When combined with a radioactive νe source, both features in combination allow for a very competitive sensitivity to neutrino magnetic moments and sterile neutrino oscillations. We find that, for realistic values of detector size and source strength, the bound on the neutrino magnetic moment can be improved by an order of magnitude with respect to the present value. Regarding sterile neutrino searches, we find that most of the gallium anomaly could be explored at the 95% confidence level just using spectral information.
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Neutrinos have long been a rich hunting ground for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). In fact, neutrino mass is so far the only BSM physics that has been established in laboratory experiments. Astrophysical evidence of dark matter suggests the existence of BSM particles, which have nevertheless not been observed yet. Among all feasible candidates, weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) are theoretically rather appealing. These may be observable through their interactions within detectors, as the earth moves through the sea of WIMPs. This possibility has triggered a cornucopia of experimental efforts of direct dark matter detection [1].

In this letter we examine the physics potential of combining a liquid xenon (LXe) detector, designed to search for WIMP dark matter, with an intense electron-capture neutrinos source in order to look for neutrino magnetic moments (νMM) and other new physics in νe− elastic scattering. Direct dark matter detection relies on observing nuclear recoils with electron-equivalent energy down to ∼ 1 keV. Due to the small values expected for the dark matter interaction cross section, large detector masses and low background levels are also required. A LXe time projection chamber (TPC) can provide a large volume, low detection thresholds (sub-keV) and a very low background rate at the energies of interest. At the same time the electron density is higher in xenon than in any other stable noble gas, thus providing the largest possible target density in any given volume near the source. The idea of using liquid noble gas detectors to search for νMM was first suggested by Vogel and Engel [2], but never developed. As a by-product we also find non-negligible sensitivity to sterile neutrino oscillations in the ∆m2 ≲ 1 eV2 range suggested by recent terrestrial experiments [3]. When a nucleus decays via electron-capture almost all of the available energy goes into a mono-energetic neutrino. Among possible nuclei which decay via electron-capture, 51Cr offers several practical advantages: it is readily produced by thermal neutron capture [4], has a mean lifetime of 39.96 days and produces two mono-energetic neutrino lines at 750 keV (90%) and 430 keV (10%). Mega-curie-scale 51Cr sources have been produced in the past and used to calibrate the gallium radiochemical solar neutrino detectors GALLEX [5, 6] and SAGE [7].

In the presence of a νMM, the differential cross section for νe− elastic scattering can be written as

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dT} \right)_{SM} + \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{m_e^2} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{E_\nu} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_B} \right)^2, \]

where m_e is the electron mass, T is the electron recoil energy, E_\nu is the neutrino energy and \mu_\nu is the νMM in Bohr magnetons (\mu_B). The term proportional to \mu_\nu produces an increase in the number of events at low electron recoil energies. This makes two-phase LXe TPCs [8–13], with their low-energy detection threshold, ideal detectors for such a search. Currently, the lowest bounds on νMM come from astrophysical observations [14]: \mu_\nu \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B. The best constraint from terrestrial experiments, on the other hand, has been obtained by the GEMMA experiment, \mu_\nu < 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B at 90% CL [15]. In the SM, νMMs are expected to be many orders of magnitude below present bounds, yet many extensions of the SM produce an enhance-
For our sensitivity estimate, we assume a data taking period of 100 days, using a $^{51}$Cr source with initial strength of 5 MCi (a number based on simulations of the GALLEX source material [4] irradiated in the High Flux Isotope Reactor). We consider a generic LXe detector, but for definiteness we chose a design similar to the proposed LZ detector [13].

We assume a cylindrical fiducial volume with equal diameter and height, $h = 1.38$ m, which contains ~6 tons of LXe, and we assume that the radioactive source is placed 1 m below the fiducial volume, along the central axis of the cylinder. Neutrinos are detected via electron elastic scattering in the detector, see Eq. 1. Under these assumptions, a total of 12,518 signal events are expected for a 100 day run. Regarding backgrounds, we have considered contributions from solar neutrino interactions, $^{222}$Rd and $^{85}$Kr decay, and the $^{136}$Xe $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay. Following Ref. [17], the solar neutrino background is estimated to be 1.05 counts per ton and day for $pp$ neutrinos and 0.51 counts per ton and day for $^7$Be neutrinos. The $^{85}$Kr and $^{136}$Xe backgrounds have been taken directly from Fig. 2 in Ref. [17] and rescaled according to our run length and detector mass. Finally, for $^{222}$Rd we assume that a goal of 0.1 $\mu$Bq/kg can be achieved [17], about a factor of 30 reduction with respect to what has been achieved for EXO-200 [18].

To constrain the $\nu$MM, the analysis is done in terms of the recoil energy of the electron only. The recoil energies are smeared on an event-by-event basis according to a Gaussian with $\sigma(T) = 0.20\sqrt{T}$. Generally, we find that the energy resolution does not have a significant impact on the $\nu$MM sensitivity. A Poissonian $\chi^2$ is constructed using 0.1 keV wide bins in $T$, from 2 keV to 140 keV unless otherwise stated. In this energy range a total of 3,656 signal events are expected, together with a total of 3,450 background events. The distribution of signal and background events in electron recoil energy is shown in Fig. 1a. Reducing the backgrounds does not significantly improve the sensitivity to this observable since in the low-energy region, where the $\nu$MM enhancement is expected, the background rate is already quite low. On the other hand, we find the low-energy threshold to be the most relevant parameter in this analysis (as expected from Eq. 1). Fig. 1b shows the dependence of the sensitivity as a function of detector threshold. With a fairly conservative threshold of 2 keV the 95% CL bound is $\mu_\nu < 4.9 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B$. Such values would yield an improvement of a factor of 5 over the currently best terrestrial limit.

A second possible application of the setup studied here would be the search for light sterile neutrinos. Sterile neutrinos arise in most models of neutrino mass generation. Searches for oscillations between active and sterile neutrinos have been conducted using many combinations of neutrino sources, detectors and oscillation channels [3]. Experimental results are inconclusive, with strong tension between different data sets (see, e.g., Refs. [3, 19, 20]). In particular, an analysis of GALLEX and SAGE data...
The sensitivity to sterile neutrino oscillations is computed using the distance between the source and the interaction point \( L \) as the main variable in the analysis. A binned Gaussian \( \chi^2 \) is built using 3 cm wide bins. The detector is assumed to have a constant spatial resolution of 1 cm; however, the largest uncertainty in \( L \) comes from the shape and size of the radioactive source itself. In the present work, we assume that the source will be a cylinder with both its height and diameter equal to 14 cm. A smearing function was generated, by a Monte Carlo calculation, that simultaneously accounts for the finite source size and the detector resolution. Two nuisance parameters are added to the \( \chi^2 \) for signal and background normalization uncertainties. A Gaussian penalty term (or pull-term) is added to the \( \chi^2 \) for the background uncertainty and marginalization is performed over both nuisance parameters. Unless otherwise stated, no constraint is assumed for the flux normalization and it is therefore left completely free during the fit. For the backgrounds, an uncertainty of 0.5% is assumed. We expect this could be achieved by using the data collected during the source-free operation of the detector corresponding to the dark matter search. The process is repeated for each point in the \( (\sin^2 2\theta, \Delta m^2) \) parameter space. Since the low-end threshold for the electron recoil energy is not expected to have a great impact on the sterile neutrino analysis, it is set to 5 keV in this case.

The results for the sterile neutrino sensitivity are shown in Fig. 2. Since the sensitivity mainly comes
from a spectral analysis, this measurement is far from being limited by systematics. We find the main limiting factor to be the $^{136}$Xe background. The expected number of background events (across the full energy range) is around 51,130, from which around 44,000 are from $^{136}$Xe $2
u\beta\beta$ decays. This background can be reduced by using LXe depleted in $^{136}$Xe, though. The sensitivities for both possibilities are shown in Fig. 2a. For contrast, we also compare the LXe sensitivity to that of Borexino/SOX [22] using a comparable, 5 MCi $^{51}$Cr source (the SOX proposal is based on 10 MCi). The complementarity in $\Delta m^2$ coverage of the two experiments is evident. In the case of LXe, the relatively high spatial resolution improves sensitivity at high $\Delta m^2$, while for SOX, the relatively large detector volume, or range in $L$, improves the reach at low $\Delta m^2$. It is remarkable that, for a depleted Xe experiment, most of the region favored by the gallium anomaly is covered with spectral information alone. Therefore, if the gallium anomaly is correct this configuration would, with high likelihood, confirm it by a clear observation of the oscillatory pattern.

Both the sterile neutrino and $\nu$MM searches are statistics limited and would be improved by repeated redeployments of the $^{51}$Cr source. Repeated deployment has a precedent in GALLEX, which irradiated and deployed the same source material twice [6]. We will consider five deployments, each identical in source strength and duration to our previously considered single deployment.

In the case of the $\nu$MM search, the sensitivity with increased statistics is remarkable. At 95% CL, assuming a low-energy threshold of 2 keV, the corresponding bound is pushed down to $\mu_e = 3.31 \times 10^{-12}\mu_B$. If a lower threshold can be achieved, even the astrophysical limit would be surpassed.

The sensitivity to sterile neutrino oscillations with increased statistics is shown in Fig. 2b. In this case, we assume 90% depleted Xe, and show sensitivities from both a spectrum-only analysis, and an analysis with the spectral information plus a 2% uncertainty in the normalization. As can be seen in the comparison of the two bounds, no major improvement is expected from imposing the normalization constraint, since the information comes from observing the oscillating pattern in the detector. Only in case of large $\Delta m^2_{31}$, where the oscillation is averaged out, would a normalization constraint help. According to our results, after five deployments the full gallium anomaly as well as a sizable region of the reactor anomaly would be covered at 95% CL.

Obviously, with 10,000-50,000 $\nu_e e^-$ elastic scattering events with momentum transfers in the 100 keV range a number of precision tests of the electro-weak sector of the SM become possible. Assuming a absolute normalization at the 1% level and statistical errors at or below 1%, overall accuracies for the total cross section of 1-2% appear feasible, providing constraints on the weak mixing angle and its running.

To summarize, our results indicate that the combination of a large liquid xenon detector, designed and built to search for dark matter, with a Megaturq scale electron capture neutrino source would provide excellent reach in the search for the neutrino magnetic moment, exceeding the current laboratory bounds by at least a factor of 5. With repeated source deployments, such an experiment would even be competitive with the best astrophysical limits. Moreover, this combination would allow a test of the reactor and gallium anomalies; specifically, their interpretation as oscillations due to an eV-scale sterile neutrino. Its reach would be complementary to other source proposals with sensitivity to the oscillating pattern over almost the entire interesting range of $\Delta m^2$. Clearly, a detailed technical feasibility study is required, but so far no major technological obstacles have been identified.
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