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Abstract. We report on new attempt to investigate baryon-baryon interactions in lattice QCD. From the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) wave function, we have successfully extracted the nucleon-nucleon (NN) potentials in quenched QCD simulations, which reproduce qualitative features of modern NN potentials. The method has been extended to obtain the tensor potential as well as the central potential and also applied to the hyperon-nucleon (YN) interactions, in both quenched and full QCD.

Keywords: nucleon-nucleon potential, Bethe-Salpeter wave function, lattice QCD, hyperon-nucleon interactions, tensor potential

PACS: 12.38.Gc, 13.75.Cs, 13.75.Ev

INTRODUCTION

In 1935 Yukawa introduced virtual particles, pions, to explain the nuclear force[1], which binds protons and neutrons inside nuclei. Since then the nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction has been extensively investigated at low energies both theoretically and experimentally. Fig. 1 shows modern NN potentials, which are characterized by the following features[2, 3]. At long distances ($r \geq 2$ fm) there exists weak attraction, which is well understood and is dominated by the one pion exchange, while contributions from the exchange of multi-pions and/or heavy mesons such as $\rho$, $\omega$ and $\sigma$ lead to slightly stronger attraction at medium distances ($1$ fm $\leq r \leq 2$ fm). On the other hand, at short distances ($r \leq 1$ fm), attraction turns into repulsion, and it becomes stronger and stronger as $r$ gets smaller, forming the strong repulsive core[4]. The repulsive core is essential not only for describing the NN scattering data, but also for the stability and saturation of

FIGURE 1. Three examples of the modern NN potential for the $^1S_0$ (spin singlet and $S$-wave) state: Bonn[7], Reid93[8] and AV18[9].
atomic nuclei, for determining the maximum mass of neutron stars, and for igniting Type II supernova explosions[5]. Although the origin of the repulsive core must be related to the quark-gluon structure of nucleons, it remains one of the most fundamental problems in nuclear physics for a long time[6]. It is a great challenge for us to derive the nuclear potential including the repulsive core from (lattice) QCD.

In this talk, we first explain our strategy to extract NN potentials theoretically from the first principle using lattice QCD and present the recent result in quenched QCD simulations. We then apply the method to various cases including the energy dependence of the potential, the quark mass dependence of the potential, the tensor potential, the full QCD calculation and the hyperon-nucleon potential. Since we mainly present only the results due to the limitation of the length, please see the corresponding references for more details.

STRATEGY TO EXTRACT POTENTIALS IN QCD

Since a potential is a concept of the non-relativistic quantum mechanics, it is non-trivial to define it in QCD. To find a reasonable definition of the NN potentials in QCD, we first consider the S-matrix below inelastic threshold of the NN scattering. The unitarity leads to

\[ S = e^{2i\delta} \]  

(1)

where the "phase" \( \delta \) is a hermitian matrix. We next introduce the equal time Bethe-Salpeter (BS) wave function[10, 11], defined by

\[ \varphi_E(r) = \langle 0|N(x+r, 0)N(x, 0)|2N, E \rangle \]  

(2)

where \(|2N, E\rangle\) is a two-nucleon eigenstate in QCD with energy \( E = 2\sqrt{m_N^2 + k^2} \), and the \( N(x) \) is the gauge-invariant 3-quark operator given by

\[ N(x) = \varepsilon^{abc} q_a(x)q_b(x)q_c(x). \]  

(3)

For large \( r = |r| \), the partial wave \( l \) of the BS-wave function behaves as

\[ \varphi^l_E(r) \rightarrow A_l \frac{\sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta_l(k))}{kr} \]  

(4)

where \( \delta_l(k) \) is the phase of the S-matrix for the partial wave \( l \)[12, 13]. (Although \( \delta_l(k) \) is a hermitian matrix in general, we here consider the case that \( \delta_l(k) \) is just a number for simplicity.) The above formula says that \( \delta_l(k) \) is the scattering phase shift of the scattering wave. In other words, the BS wave function defined above can be interpreted as the NN scattering wave.

Based on the above fact, we have proposed the following strategy to define and extract the NN potential in QCD[14, 15]. We define a non-local potential from the BS wave function as

\[ [E - H_0] \varphi_E(r) = \int d^3s U(r, s) \varphi_E(s) \]  

(5)
where $H_0 = -\nabla^2/(2\mu_N)$ and $\mu_N = m_N/2$ is the reduced mass of a two-nucleon system. Since the non-local potential is difficult to deal with, we expand it in terms of derivatives as $U(r,s) = V(r,\nabla)\delta^3(r-s)$. The first few terms are given by\[16\]
\begin{align*}
V(r,\nabla) &= V_C(r) + V_T(r)S_{12} + V_{LS}L\cdot S + \{V_D(r),\nabla^2\} + \cdots, \quad (6) \\
S_{12} &= \frac{3}{r^2}(\sigma_1 \cdot r)(\sigma_2 \cdot r) - (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) \quad (7)
\end{align*}

where $S_{12}$ is the tensor operator and $\sigma_i$ is the spin operator of the $i$-th nucleon. The central potential $V_C$ and the tensor potential $V_T$ are the leading local terms (without derivatives), and thus can be determined from the BS wave function at one energy using eq.(5). Higher order terms in the above derivative expansion can be successively determined from BS wave functions at different energy. We however expect at low energy that the leading order terms, $V_C$ and $V_T$, give a good approximation of the potential. We can estimate an applicable range of energy for the local potential approximation, by calculating physical observables such as the scattering phase shift from the local potential and comparing them with experimental values.

The first result for the $NN$ potentials based on the above strategy has been obtained in quenched lattice QCD simulations\[14\], where the lattice spacing $a$ is 0.137 fm, the spatial extension $L$ is 4.4 fm and the pion mass $m_\pi$ is 529 MeV. In Fig.2, the central potential for the $^1S_0$ (spin singlet and $L = 0$) state and the effective central potential for the $^3S_1$ (spin triplet and $L = 0$) state, obtained at $k^2 \simeq 0$, are plotted as a function of $r$. By comparing Fig.2 with Fig.1, we see that qualitative features of $NN$ potentials are reproduced. Ref.\[14\] has been selected as one of 21 papers in Nature Research Highlights 2007\[17\].
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In this section we report recent developments of lattice QCD calculations for baryon-baryon interactions, based on the method in the previous section.

Energy dependence

We first investigate the applicable range of energy for the local potential determined at $k \approx 0$. If terms with derivatives such as $V_{LS}(r) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ or $\{V_D(r), \nabla^2\}$ becomes important, the local potential determined at $k > 0$ is different from the one at $k \approx 0$. From such $k$ dependences of local potentials, in principle, some of the terms with derivatives can be determined. In Fig.3, the local potential for the $^1S_0$ state obtained at $k \approx 250$ MeV (red, APBC) is compared with the one at $k \approx 0$ MeV (blue, PBC) in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm and $m_\pi = 529$ MeV[18, 19].

As can be seen from the figure, the $k$ dependence of the local potential turns out to be very small. This means that the potential obtained at $k \approx 0$ in Fig.2 well describes physical observables such as the phase shift $\delta_0(k)$ from $k \approx 0$ to $k \approx 250$ MeV, in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm and $m_\pi = 529$ MeV.

Quark mass dependence

A quark mass dependence of the $NN$ potential is shown in Fig.4, where the central potentials for the $^1S_0$ state obtained at $k \approx 0$ at $m_\pi = 380, 529$ and 731 MeV are compared in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm[20, 13].

As quark mass decreases, the repulsion at short distance (the repulsive core) get stronger while the attraction at intermediate distance (0.6 fm $\sim$ 1.2 fm) becomes also

![FIGURE 3. Comparison of central potentials in the local approximation between the periodic boundary condition (PBC, blue) and the anti-periodic boundary condition (APBC, red) for the $^1S_0$ state at $m_\pi = 529$ MeV.](image-url)
FIGURE 4. The central potential for the $^1S_0$ state at $m_x = 380$ MeV (red), 529 MeV (green) and 731 MeV (blue) in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm.

stronger.

Tensor potential

The tensor operator $S_{12}$ mixes the $^3S_1 (J = S = 1$ and $L = 0)$ state with the $^3D_1 (J = S = 1$ and $L = 0)$ state. Using this property, we can determine the tensor potential as follows. For the $J = S = 1$ state, the local potential approximation leads to

$$(E - H_0) \phi_E (r) = [V_C (r) + V_T (r) S_{12}] \phi_E (r), \quad (8)$$

which, by the projection $P$ to the $L = 0$ state and the projection $Q$ to the $L = 2$ state, is decomposed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \phi_E & P S_{12} \phi_E \\ Q \phi_E & Q S_{12} \phi_E \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} V_C \\ V_T \end{pmatrix} = (E - H_0) \begin{pmatrix} P \phi_E \\ Q \phi_E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The above equation can be easily solved as

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_C \\ V_T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P \phi_E & P S_{12} \phi_E \\ Q \phi_E & Q S_{12} \phi_E \end{pmatrix}^{-1} (E - H_0) \begin{pmatrix} P \phi_E \\ Q \phi_E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

In Fig.5, the central potential $V_C (r)$ and the tensor potential $V_T (r)$ for the spin-triplet state are plotted[13], together with the effective central potential $V_C^{\text{eff}} (r)$ for the $^3S_1$ in Fig.2, which corresponds to $(E - H_0) P \phi_E / (P \phi_E)$ in the above notation. These potentials are calculated in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm and $m_\pi = 529$ MeV.

We first notice that the tensor potential $V_T$ has no strong repulsive core, in contrast to the central potential. This feature is consistent with the previous phenomenological estimate[21]. Although the tensor potential is comparable in the magnitude with the central potential, the difference between the central and effective central potentials,
FIGURE 5. The central potential (blue) and the tensor potential (red), together with the effective central potential (red), for the spin-triplet state at $m_\pi = 529$ MeV. They are obtained from $k \simeq 0$ states in quenched QCD at $a = 0.137$ fm.

FIGURE 6. Quark mass dependence of the tensor potential.

which is caused by the second order perturbation of $V_T$, is very small at this quark mass. A quark mass dependence of the tensor potential is given in Fig.6, where the tensor potential is plotted at $m_\pi = 380, 529$ and 731 MeV[13]. The tensor potential becomes stronger as the quark mass decreases.

Full QCD calculations

Results for the $NN$ potentials so far have been calculated in quenched QCD. In Ref.[22], preliminary results in full QCD calculations have been reported, based on gauge configurations generated by the PACS-CS Collaboration in 2+1 flavor QCD at $a = 0.09$ fm and $L = 2.9$ fm[23]. Hadron spectra obtained from these configurations are shown in Fig.7. Agreements between lattice QCD predictions and experimental values
are quiet good. The (effective) central $NN$ potentials on these configurations are given in Fig.8 for the $^1S_0$ state (red) and the $^3S_1$ state (blue) at $m_\pi = 702$ MeV.

We observe that the repulsive core in both states is much larger in magnitude than the corresponding one in quenched QCD in Fig.2, though the lattice spacing (0.09 fm vs. 0.137 fm) and the pion mass (529 MeV vs. 702 MeV) are different. A reason for the difference of the repulsive core between full and quenched QCD is now under investigation.

**Hyperon-Nucleon interactions**

A hyperon is a baryon which contains at least one strange quark. Contrary to the case of $NN$ interactions, hyperon-nucleon ($YN$) or hyperon-hyperon($YY$) interactions can not be precisely determined, since the scattering experiments are either difficult or impossible due to the short life times of hyperons. Our approach therefore may open a
new possibility to determine them theoretically from QCD. In this direction, potentials between a Ξ^0 (hyperon with strangeness −2) and a proton has already been calculated in quenched QCD[24].

Recently calculations have been extended to the potentials between the Λ (hyperon with strangeness −1) and N in both quenched and full QCD. Fig.9 shows the ΛN potentials as a function of r obtained from 2+1 flavor QCD calculation[25] based on the PACS-CS gauge configurations. The central potential for the \( ^1S_0 \) state is given in Fig.9, while the central and the tensor potentials for the \( ^3S_1 - ^3D_1 \) state are given in Fig.9, with highlighting the short distance (medium to long distance) region in the left (right) panel. These figures contain results at \( m_\pi \simeq 400 \) and 700 MeV.

As can be seen from both figures, the attractive well of the central potential moves to outer region as the quark mass decreases while the depth of these attractive pockets do not change so much. The present results show that the tensor force is weaker while the spin dependence is stronger than the \( NN \) case[22]. As in the \( NN \) case, the hight of the repulsive core is much larger than the quenched case[25] and it increases as the quark mass decreases.

CONCLUSION

We present recent results on baron-baryon interactions obtained from lattice QCD simulations. In our strategy, baryon-baryon(\( NN, YN \) and \( YY \)) potentials are extracted from the BS wave functions. The first result for the \( NN \) (effective) central potentials in quenched QCD shows good "shape": Qualitative features of the \( NN \) potential have been reproduced in quenched QCD, and the energy dependence of the potentials is weak at low energy. The method has been successfully extended to the tensor potential and the \( \Lambda N \) potentials in both quenched and full QCD.

One of the ultimate goal in our approach is to calculate baryon-baryon potentials in full QCD at \( m_\pi = 140 \) MeV. In such calculations one can investigate, for example, a relation between the deuteron binding and the tensor force. As other directions of our approach, it is important to extract the 3-body force[26] from QCD and to understand the origin of the repulsive core theoretically[27].
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