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Methodology
Abstract

**Background:** Different typing systems including capsular genotyping, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) genotyping, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and virulence genotyping based on the detection of different virulence factor-encoding gene (VFG) profiles have been applied to characterize *Pasteurella multocida* strains from different host species. However, these methods require much time and effort in laboratories. Particularly, relying on one of these methods is difficult to address the biology of *P. multocida* from host species. Recently, we found that assigning *P. multocida* strains according to the combination of their capsular, LPS, and MLST genotypes (marked as capsular genotype: LPS genotype: MLST genotype) could help address the biological characteristics of *P. multocida* circulation in multiple hosts. However, it is still lack of a rapid, efficient, intelligent and cost-saving tool to diagnose *P. multocida* according to this system.

**Results:** We have developed an intelligent genotyping and host tropism prediction tool PmGT for *P. multocida* strains according to their whole genome sequences by using machine learning and web 2.0 technologies. By using this tool, the capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, and MLST genotypes as well as the main VFGs of *P. multocida* isolates in different host species were determined based on whole genome sequences. The results revealed a closer association between the genotypes and pasteurellosis rather than between genotypes and host species. Finally, we also used PmGT to predict the host species of *P. multocida* strains with the same capsular: lipopolysaccharide: MLST genotypes.

**Conclusions:** With the advent of high-quality, inexpensive DNA sequencing, this platform represents a more efficient and cost-saving tool for *P. multocida* diagnosis in both epidemiological studies and clinical settings.

**Background**

Rapid and accurate diagnosis of sources of infections is critical for both medical and veterinary activities, and it is important for improved understanding of disease mechanisms and measures to control the illness [1]. Microbial typing is an important link for the diagnosis of pathogens associated with diseases. The most wildly used typing methods consist of serological typing systems and PCR-based molecular typing methods [2–4]. The establishment of discriminatory typing systems help in the understanding and control of pathogens, especially those with multiple serotypes and/or genotypes from different environmental or host sources. The whole genome sequencing combined with the high-end computational technology is such an emerging tool for microbial diagnosis. Using the whole genome sequencing technology, the causative agent of specific diseases, even from new infectious diseases, can be rapidly and accurately characterized. However, diagnosis based on whole genome sequencing requires technical experts with computational and bioinformatics skills. Therefore, a practical automated, intelligent platform in combination of whole genome sequencing and computational technology will be beneficial.
*Pasteurella multocida* is an important zoonotic pathogen and it is able to colonize and causes infections in a wide range of domestic and wild animals including food producing animals (e.g. poultry, pigs, beef, sheep, etc.) and companion animals (e.g. cats and dogs) as well as in humans [5–7]. Animal diseases associated with *P. multocida* such as fowl cholera in poultry and other birds, progressive atrophic rhinitis and pneumonic pasteurellosis in pigs, bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia and respiratory diseases, leporine atrophic rhinitis and pneumonic pasteurellosis, are of great economic significance in agriculture [7]. In humans, opportunistic infections of soft tissue, including wound demonecrosis, respiratory disease with chronic pulmonary, urinary tract infection and bacteremic meningitis have also been reported [7]. Although there are no reports of human infections via food chain, *P. multocida* infections in humans due to pets’ biting, scratching, kissing, and/or licking have been documented in many literatures [8–10]. In this regard, *P. multocida* represents a risk to public health. Serologically, *P. multocida* strains from different hosts are serologically classified into ve serogroups (A, B, D, E, F) and/or 16 serovars (serovars 1 to 16), according to their capsular and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigens, respectively [11, 12]. However, these two traditional serological typing methods require high-quantity antisera that are challenging to prepare, particularly for clinical use, such that those methods are no longer widely used for large-scale epidemiological studies [5, 13].

In 2001, a multiplex PCR-based method was established to type the five serogroups into five capsular genotypes (A, B, D, E, F) [14], and in 2015, another multiplex PCR-based method was also developed to classified the 16 serovars into eight LPS genotypes (L1 ~ L8) [15]. In 2004 and 2010, two multilocus sequencing typing systems were also developed to genotype *P. multocida* strains (https://pubmlst.org/pmultocida/) from multiple mammalian hosts and birds, respectively [16, 17]. In 2017, a virulence genotyping system based on the detection of different virulence factor gene (VFG) profiles was also reported for distinguishing *P. multocida* strains from different hosts [18]. Compared to the traditional serological typing methods, these molecular DNA-based typing systems are indeed highly effective and accurate, and they are now wildly used to determine the epidemiological and genetic characteristics of clinical isolates [19–23].

Despite of more than 135 years of research, differences on the molecular biological characteristics of *P. multocida* prevalence in different host species remain to be addressed. For example, *P. multocida* type A strains have been recovered from avian species, pigs, bovine species, and many other host species [6, 7], but little is known about differences on those type A isolates from different hosts. Recently, we developed a system to assign *P. multocida* strains from different host species by combining their capsular, LPS, and MLST genotypes (marked as capsular genotype: LPS genotype: MLST genotype), as well as determine the VFG profiles, which contributes to address the molecular biological characteristics of *P. multocida* prevalence in different host species [23, 19, 5]. However, this strategy by using the whole genome sequence based on local BLAST programs and require bioinformatics experts for data analysis and interpretation. Here, we report the development of an automated and intelligent platform to type *P. multocida* strains from multiple hosts that combines the use of whole genome sequencing and machine learning technologies.
Results

Development and Implementation of PmGT

Previous studies shown primers specific for *P. multocida* (*KMT1*) and its five capsular genotypes (A, B, D, E, F) [14], eight LPS genotypes (L1 ~ L8) [15], as well as 23 kinds of virulence factors-encoding genes (VFGs) commonly detected in epidemiological studies (*ptfA, fimA, hsf-1, hsf-2, pfhA, tadD, toxA, exbB, exbD, tonB, hgbA, hgbB, fur, tbpA, nanB, nanH, pmHAS, ompA, ompH, oma87, plpB, sodA and sodC*) [5]. Therefore, we extracted those primers-targeted nucleotide sequences (Supplementary Txt 1) from the complete genome sequences of our previously sequenced *P. multocida* strains, including HB01 (serogroup A) [24], HN04 (serogroup B) [23], HN06 (serogroup D, producing toxin) [25], and HN07 (serogroup F) [26]. These nucleotide sequences were then stored on a CentOS server. Afterwards, we downloaded the BLAST package from the NCBI website (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/LATEST/) and installed it on this CentOS server. A PHP program was developed to call the BLAST algorithm and attain the genotyping results for capsular and LPS genotype queries. When the users select the MLST genotyping service, the PHP CURL (Client URL Library) functions were used to request and obtain the results through the RESTful service interface provided by the Public Database for Molecular Typing (PubMLST, https://pubmlst.org). The general process for genotyping is summarized as: when a query sequence is submitted via the web user interface, this sequence will be then submitted to the CentOS server via HTTP protocol. Thereafter, the sequence is evaluated by the PHP program, and the passed sequence will be BLASTed against the genotype database to yield a result, which will be returned to the webpage through the PHP program (Figs. 1A and 1B).

Through the above procedures, the genotyping module of PmGT (http://liulab.hzau.edu.cn/PM/) was developed (Fig. 1).

Because *P. multocida* strains colonized wide spectrums of host species, we therefore intend to develop a model to predict the host tropism of emerging *P. multocida* strains based on their genome sequences. Many epidemiological and genomic studies on *P. multocida* have revealed that VFGs show a correlation with host species [5, 19, 27]. These findings make it possible to determine the host tropism of a *P. multocida* strain by analyzing the VFGs carried by its whole genome sequence. As of 31 May 2020, 262 sequences of *P. multocida* strains from different host species are publicly available through the NCBI genome database. These sequences are of *P. multocida* strains from different host species, including pigs (*n* = 66), poultry and wild birds (*n* = 39), cattle and other bovine species (*n* = 106), canis lupus (*n* = 3), cats (*n* = 2), humans (*n* = 13), horses (*n* = 2), rabbits and other leporine species (*n* = 20), rodents (*n* = 2), sheep and other ovine species (*n* = 6), and vicugna pacos (*n* = 2) (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, there is also one synthetic DNA sequence. Since there are few genome sequences of *P. multocida* isolates from other hosts except porcine, bovine and avian species publicly available in NCBI, we used the genome sequences of porcine, bovine, and avian isolates to develop and test the host tropism prediction model. Approximately 70% of the genome sequences from *P. multocida* of porcine (*n* = 16), bovine (*n* = 65), and avian origin (*n* = 19) were aligned against the nucleotide sequences of the 23 kinds of VFGs described above by using the BLAST tool. BLAST scores were then used as features and input into six
different machine learning models (Entropy Decision Tree [eDTA], Gini DTA [gDTA], Brute K-Nearest Neighbor [bKNN], Ball Tree KNN [btKNN], Gaussian Naive Bayes [GNB], and Complement Naive Bayes [CNB]) to calculate the precision, the recall, and F1 score, as described in the Methods section. In each of the models, three different metrics (micro-, macro-, and weighted-average of F1 score) were calculated through 10-fold cross validation. The results revealed that the Decision Tree model showed overall higher average F1 scores than the KNN and the Bayesian models (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Figures S1A, S1B, S1C). Therefore, the Decision Tree algorithm was finally chosen to construct the host tropism prediction model. Scikit-learn (Sklearn) and NumPy in Python were applied to implement the above findings into automation and intelligence machine learning model, which was available at http://liulab.hzau.edu.cn/PM/model.php.

Currently, PmGT provides the above services includes six menus: (1) the “Home” page gives a brief introduction of *P. multocida* etiological characteristics to help the users understand the bacterium; (2) the “Organisms” page displays the genotypes of *P. multocida* strains based on their whole genome sequences that are publicly available in NCBI; this page also provides the link for the users to download the genomes of these *P. multocida* strains from NCBI; (3) the “Genotyping” page enables the users to determine whether a putative isolate is a *P. multocida* and genotype *P. multocida* strains by using the whole genome sequence assembled from the sequencing reads (Fig. 1C); (4) the “Host Prediction” page enables users to predict the host tropism of *P. multocida* isolates by submission of the whole genome sequences (currently only prediction of porcine, bovine, avian, and/or human isolates is available due to the limited number of genome sequences of *P. multocida* from the other hosts in NCBI) (Fig. 1D); (5) the “About” page summarizes the guidelines for the use of this web tool; (6) the “Contact” page provides the contact information of the developers.

**PmGT shows the same accuracy with PCR methods in genotyping *P. multocida* strains**

To test the accuracy of PmGT, we used two methods to type 52 *P. multocida* isolates (HB01, HB02, HB03, HN04, HN05, HN06, HN07, HNA01 ~ HNA22, HND01 ~ HND21, HNF01, and HNF02) from our laboratory collection [23]. First, we submitted their whole genome sequences to PmGT for genotyping. As a comparison, we also determined the capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, sequence types, as well as the profile of the abovementioned 23-kinds of virulence genes by using PCR assays. All these 52 strains were genotyped by PmGT and through this online genotyping platform (Table 1). Genotyping by PCR assays confirmed these capsular, LPS, and MLST genotypes. PCR results of capsular and LPS genotypes are provided in Supplementary Figures S2 and S3.
Table 1
Genotypes of 52 *Pasteurella multocida* strains determined via the PmGT Platform

| Strain | Capsular genotype | LPS genotype | MLST genotype (Sequence type) | GenBank accession numbers |
|--------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| HB01   | A                 | L3           | ST1                            | CP006976                 |
| HB02   | A                 | L1           | ST128                          | LYOX000000000            |
| HB03   | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | CP003328                 |
| HN04   | B                 | L2           | ST44                           | PPVE000000000            |
| HN05   | D                 | L6           | ST11                           | PPVF000000000            |
| HN06   | D                 | L6           | ST11                           | CP003313                 |
| HN07   | F                 | L3           | ST12                           | CP007040                 |
| HNA01  | A                 | L3           | ST133                          | PPVG000000000            |
| HNA02  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVH000000000            |
| HNA03  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVI000000000            |
| HNA04  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVJ000000000            |
| HNA05  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVK000000000            |
| HNA06  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVL000000000            |
| HNA07  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVM000000000            |
| HNA08  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVN000000000            |
| HNA09  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVO000000000            |
| HNA10  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVP000000000            |
| HNA11  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVQ000000000            |
| HNA12  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVR000000000            |
| HNA13  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVS000000000            |
| HNA14  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVT000000000            |
| HNA15  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVU000000000            |
| HNA16  | A                 | L6           | ST10                           | PPVV000000000            |
| HNA17  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVW000000000            |
| HNA18  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVX000000000            |
| HNA19  | A                 | L3           | ST3                            | PPVY000000000            |
| Strain | Capsular genotype | LPS genotype | MLST genotype (Sequence type) | GenBank accession numbers |
|--------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| HNA20  | A                 | L3           | ST3                           | PPVZ000000000            |
| HNA21  | A                 | L6           | ST10                          | PPWA000000000            |
| HNA22  | A                 | L6           | ST10                          | PPWB000000000            |
| HND01  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWC000000000            |
| HND02  | D                 | L6           | ST134                         | PPWD000000000            |
| HND03  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWE000000000            |
| HND04  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWF000000000            |
| HND05  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWG000000000            |
| HND06  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWH000000000            |
| HND07  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWI000000000            |
| HND08  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWJ000000000            |
| HND09  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWK000000000            |
| HND10  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWL000000000            |
| HND11  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWN000000000            |
| HND12  | D                 | L6           | ST134                         | PPWM000000000            |
| HND13  | D                 | L6           | ST134                         | PPWO000000000            |
| HND14  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWP000000000            |
| HND15  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWQ000000000            |
| HND16  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWR000000000            |
| HND17  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWS000000000            |
| HND18  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWT000000000            |
| HND19  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWU000000000            |
| HND20  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWV000000000            |
| HND21  | D                 | L6           | ST11                          | PPWW000000000            |
| HNF01  | F                 | L3           | ST12                          | PPWX000000000            |
| HNF02  | F                 | L3           | ST12                          | PPWY000000000            |
Determination of the 23 types of virulence genes for each of the 52 strains by using this online system revealed that several genes (ptfA, fimA, oma87, and sodC) were broadly presented in the genome sequences genotyped (Fig. 3). However, several genes (hsf-1, hsf-2, pfhA, and tadD) were heterogeneously distributed, and in particularly, none of the 52 sequences genotyped carried the toxA or tbpA genes (Fig. 3). These results were also confirmed by PCR assays (Supplementary Table S1).

**Genotypes of *P. multocida* from different hosts**

To understand the genotypes of *P. multocida* strains circulation in different host species, the 262 whole genome sequences of *P. multocida* strains that are publicly available through the NCBI genome database as of 31 May 2020 were downloaded and were genotyped by PmGT. The results revealed that *P. multocida* strains isolated from different host species were preference to several specific capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, and/or sequence types (Fig. 4). For example, most of the porcine strains were determined as capsular genotypes A (52%) and D (39%), LPS genotypes L3 (36%) and L6 (61%), sequence types ST3 (29%), ST11 (22%), and ST10 (34%), respectively; while most of the genotyped bovine strains were determined as capsular genotypes A (72%) and B (28%), LPS genotypes L3 (67%) and L2 (27%), and sequence types ST1 (59%) and ST44 (25%), respectively (Fig. 4). When combining the capsular genotypes and the LPS genotypes, it revealed that most of the genotyped avian *P. multocida* were typed as A:L1 and A:L3, while most of the genotyped bovine *P. multocida* were typed as A:L3 and B:L2; the genotyped porcine *P. multocida* mainly belonged to D:L6, A:L3, and A:L6; while the genotyped leporine *P. multocida* mainly belonged to A:L3; most of the genotyped human *P. multocida* were typed as A:L3 and A:L1 (Fig. 5A). If the capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, and MLST genotypes were combined, most of the genotyped avian *P. multocida* were typed as A:L1:ST128 (Fig. 5B), while most of the genotyped bovine *P. multocida* were typed as A:L3:ST1 and B:L2:ST44 (Fig. 5C); the genotyped porcine *P. multocida* mainly belonged to D:L6:ST11, A:L3:ST3, and A:L6:ST10 (Fig. 5I); while the genotyped leporine *P. multocida* mainly belonged to A:L3:ST12 (Fig. 5H).

Virulence genotyping using the system developed herein revealed that the presence of multiple VFGs, including ptfA, fimA, hsf-2, exbB, exbD, tonB, hgbA, hgbB, fur, nanB, nanH, ompA, ompH, oma87, plpB, sodA, and sodC, was a broad characteristic of *P. multocida* strains from multiple host species (Fig. 6). However, several VFGs were only determined in the genome sequences of *P. multocida* from certain hosts. For example, toxA, a gene encoding a dermonecrotic toxin, was found only in strains from pig, sheep, and alpacas, while tbpA, a transferrin binding protein coding gene, was found only in strains from cattle, sheep, and alpacas (Fig. 6).

**PmGT is able to predict the host tropism of *P. multocida***

By using the Entropy Decision Tree algorithms, the correlation of *P. multocida* VFGs and host species was revealed (Fig. 2B). We then used the remaining 30% of the genome sequences of *P. multocida* from porcine (n = 3), bovine (n = 31), and avian origin (n = 10) to test the host tropism prediction model developed herein. The average micro-F1 score reached 0.898, revealing that the model could predict the host species of the tested strains. In particularly, it could determine host species of *P. multocida* strains.
possessing the same genotypes and close relatedness correctly (compare the result of an avian F:L3:ST25 type isolate Pm70 vs. the result of a porcine F:L3:ST12 isolate HN07 [Figs. 7A vs. 7B]; as well as compare the result of a porcine B:L2:ST44 type isolate HN04 vs. the result of a bovine B:L2:ST44 type isolate ATTK [Figs. 7C vs. 7D]).

Because *P. multocida* strains are also frequently recovered in clinical settings of human medicine [7]. To facilitate a rapid for help diagnosis, we also implement a way to predict the hosts of putative *P. multocida* strains from humans by using the same principles, even though the current publicly available genome sequences for *P. multocida* of human origin are still limited (only 13 sequences as of 31 May 2020). We used 9 sequences to develop the model and used the additional 4 sequences to test. However, the results showed this model was still appliable for *P. multocida* of humans (Fig. 7E).

**Discussion**

*P. multocida* is the causative agent of multiple diseases with a wide spectrum of host species, including humans and other primates [5–7]. In addition, *P. multocida* isolates recovered from different hosts with different diseases can be classified in many different serotypes/genotypes according to different typing systems [7, 5]. Relying on only one or two typing systems is difficult to address the characteristics of *P. multocida* isolates from different host species and/or their association with different diseases. For example, *P. multocida* isolates from different host species might have the same capsular genotypes but possess different LPS genotypes and/or MLST genotypes; even those from different host species that share the same capsular, LPS, and MLST genotypes might carry different VFGs [27, 23]. Therefore, we have proposed a combined “capsular: LPS: MLST” genotyping system that includes virulence genotyping to discriminate *P. multocida* isolates from different host species and/or those associated with different diseases [5]. However, this combined genotyping system is multiplex PCR-based and is laborious and time-consuming.

Advances in bioinformatics and machine learning tools enable the application of whole genome sequence data for inclusion of various demographic information for bacterial characterization, such as capsular and LPS genotyping; the presence of adhesins, toxins, or other virulence factors [28]. In the present study, we reported the development of a machine-learning genotyping platform for distinguishing *P. multocida* strains from different host species according to the bacterial whole genome sequences (Fig. 1). Validation of the PmGT platform was performed on a collection of *P. multocida* isolates from our laboratory. Results revealed that this genotyping system provides consistent results of determining the capsular-, LPS-, MLST genotypes, and VFGs, as well as host species prediction, as compared with that obtained using multiplex PCR-based typing systems (Fig. 3, Table 1, and Supplementary Table S1).

Compared to the multiplex PCR-based typing systems [14, 15, 17, 18] and traditional serological typing systems [11, 12], this machine-learning system takes less time to yield results and does not require high-quality antisera, which represents a more efficient and cost-saving tool for characterizing *P. multocida* isolates in both epidemiological studies and clinical settings.
By using PmGT, the capsular-, LPS-, MLST genotypes, and VFGs of *P. multocida* strains from different hosts were determined according to the whole genome sequences (Figs. 4, 5, Supplementary Table S1). These results are in agreement in those of the epidemiological studies [19, 20, 29, 30]. For example, *P. multocida* serotypes B: 2 and A: 3 strains are frequently associated with bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia and respiratory diseases, respectively [31, 32]. It is known that *P. multocida* serogroups A and B are assigned to capsular genotypes A and B by multiplex PCR, respectively [14]; while *P. multocida* Heddleston serotypes 2 and 3 are assigned to LPS genotypes L2 and L3 by multiplex PCR, respectively [15]. That is why the capsular: LPS genotypes of most of the bovine strains were determined as A: L3 and B: L2, respectively (Fig. 5A). In addition, *P. multocida* strains isolated from bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia are commonly determined as ST122 [33], this sequence type can be reassigned to ST44 by using the multihost MLST database [23]. These findings could explain why *P. multocida* strains associated with bovine haemorrhagic septicaemia were typed as capsular: LPS: MLST genotype B: L2: ST44 (Fig. 5C). Similar findings were also observed in *P. multocida* strains from the other host species (Fig. 5). In particularly, most of the *P. multocida* strains from pigs were determined as capsular: LPS: MLST genotypes D: L6: ST11, A: L3: ST3, and A: L6: ST10 (Fig. 5I). These results are also in agreement with the results of our previously epidemiological study [19], suggesting that these three genotypes, particularly genotype D/L6/ST11, are likely to be strongly associated with swine respiratory diseases. However, during our test we also found the capsular-, LPS-, and/or MLST-genotypes of several strains could not be determined by PmGT according to the whole genome sequences (Figs. 4, 5, Supplementary Table S1). After check the data we put forward several reasons to explain this result: 1.) most of these nontypeable genomes are sequenced and assembled using the second-generation sequencing technologies and the quality of these genomes are not high, some of the genes used for capsular/LPS/MLST genotyping fell within the gaps between genome contigs in the assemblies [5]; 2.) the genome sequences might be those of the capsular nontypeable strains reported in clinic [19, 34]; 3.) several strains belong to novel sequence types and the current *Pasteurella multocida* MLST database do not include these sequence types.

Our PmGT platform also uses the machine-learning technology to predict the host species of *P. multocida* strains according to the whole genome sequence. Although many epidemiological and genomic studies have shown that *P. multocida* isolates from different hosts have preferences for different capsular/LPS/MLST genotypes and/or VFGs [18, 19, 5, 27, 6], not all *P. multocida* isolates could be typed through the capsular genotyping system and/or MLST genotyping system [19, 18, 5] (Supplementary Table S1), and in addition, we have determined that *P. multocida* strains with the same capsular: LPS: MLST genotypes can be isolated from different host species [23]. For example, capsular: LPS: MLST genotype B: L2: ST44 strains have been isolated from hemorrhagic septicemia cases in pigs (e.g. strain HN04, GenBank accession no. PPVE00000000) and bovine species (e.g. strain ATTK, GenBank accession no. JQEA00000000). Likewise, capsular: LPS: MLST genotype A: L3: ST3 strains have been isolated from pneumonic pasteurellosis cases in pigs (e.g. strain HB03, GenBank accession no. CP003328) and bovine species (e.g. strain 2125PM, GenBank accession no. LQCZ00000000) (Supplementary Table S1). These
findings suggest a closer association between the genotypes and diseases rather than between genotypes and host species.

In contrast to the finding that *P. multocida* isolates from different hosts often share the same capsular LPS: MLST genotypes, *P. multocida* strains from different hosts usually show different VFG profiles [27, 5, 18, 35], which makes it possible to develop a host-prediction model based on VFGs. We applied different machine learning algorithms to determine the association between *P. multocida* VFGs and the host species (Fig. 2), and our results revealed that different VFGs displayed different presence-scores in the genome sequences of strains from different hosts (Fig. 2). These results are in agreement with the results from previous epidemiological and molecular evolutionary studies [35, 18, 5, 27]. Our test results also revealed that the algorithm developed based upon the VFGs was able to determine the host species of *P. multocida* strains possessing the same genotypes and close relatedness (Pm70 vs. HN07; HN04 vs. ATTK) (compare Figs. 7A vs. 7B; Figs. 7C vs. 7D). It is noteworthy that using the same principle the host tropism of *P. multocida* strains from humans are predicted by using the whole genome sequence (Fig. 7E), even though the publicly available genome sequences for human *P. multocida* strains are currently limited (Supplementary Table S1). However, these findings are still suggestive of a possibility of developing a host-prediction model based on VFGs by using the machine learning technologies. With the availability of more genome sequences of *P. multocida* from different host species we will be able to expand this algorithm to predict *P. multocida* from the other hosts.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we developed an automated, intelligent genotyping and host tropism prediction system for *P. multocida* strains (PmGT platform), which combines whole genome sequence analysis tools with machine learning technologies. By using this system, we determined the genotypes of *P. multocida* isolates from different host species. In addition, this tool can help to predict the possible host species for *P. multocida* by using the whole genome sequence. Overall, this system represents a more efficient and cost-saving tool for *P. multocida* diagnosis in both epidemiological studies and clinical settings. More importantly, our study provides an example to develop rapid, efficient, intelligent and cost-saving tools for bacterial diagnosis by using their whole genome sequences in the coming age of artificial intelligence.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and nucleotide sequences**

*Pmultocida* strains used in this study include one isolate of bovine origin (strain HB01), one isolate of avian origin (strain HB02), and 50 isolates of porcine origin (strains HB03, HN04, HN05, HN06, HN07, HNA01~HNA22, HND01~HND21, HNF01 and HNF02) (Supplementary Table S1). All of these strains are from our laboratory collection, for which we have previously characterized their whole genome sequences [24,36,23,26,25].
Nucleotide sequences specific for the determination of *P. multocida* strains (*KMT1*, 460 bp), and their the five capsular genotypes (A, 1044 bp; B, 760 bp; D, 657 bp; E, 511 bp; F, 851 bp; as well as their eight LPS genotypes (L1, 1307 bp; L2, 810 bp; L3, 474 bp; L4, 550 bp; L5, 1175 bp; L6, 668 bp; L7, 931 bp; L8, 255 bp) were extracted from the genome sequences of the different *P. multocida* strains according to the positions documented in previous publications [14,15] and were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT570166, MN938443~MN938455 (Supplementary Text 1).

The nucleotide sequences of 23 types of virulence genes commonly detected in *P. multocida* epidemiological studies, including those encoding fimbriae and other adhesins (*ptfA*, *fimA*, *hsf-1*, *hsf-2*, *pfhA*, and *tadD*), toxin (*toxA*), iron acquisition proteins (*exbB*, *exbD*, *tonB*, *hgbA*, *hgbB*, *fur*, and *tbpA*), sialidases (*nanB* and *nanH*), hyaluronidase (*pmHAS*), outer membrane proteins (OMPs) (*ompA*, *ompH*, *oma87*, and *plpB*), and superoxide dismutase (*sodA* and *sodC*), were amplified from the genomic DNA of *P. multocida* HN06 and HB01 by PCR assays using the protocols documented elsewhere [19,37]. These nucleotide sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT570167~MT570166 (Supplementary Text 1).

The publicly available whole genome sequences of 262 *P. multocida* strains from bovine species (*n* = 106), avian species (*n* = 39), porcine species (*n* = 66), leporine species (*n* = 20), ovine species (*n* = 6), humans (*n* = 13), canines (*n* = 3), murine species (*n* = 2), horses (*n* = 2), cats (*n* = 2), alpacas (*n* = 2) and 1 synthetic DNA sequence in NCBI genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse/#!/prokaryotes/912/) as of 31 May 2020 were downloaded for use (Supplementary Table S1).

**System implementation**

The PmGT platform was integrated on a CentOS server, mainly providing three kinds of online services: genotyping tool, host tropism prediction and data query and display. To establish the genotyping online service, we first used Apache (https://www.apache.org) as the web container. Then, we downloaded the BLAST package (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/LATEST/) from NCBI, which was thereafter installed and configured on the web container. PHP was used as the server-side language and the browser-side script used jQuery, which is a fast, small, and feature-rich JavaScript library. The view pages were constructed with markup language technologies, such as Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) and Cascading Style Sheets (CSS). For the target strain, the format of the sequence was first verified by the web user interface and then the sequence data was uploaded to the server through the PHP program which subsequently called the localized BLAST to align the uploaded sequence with the reference database. The nucleotide sequences specific for the determination of *P. multocida* strains, capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, and the 23 types of virulence factor genes (VFGs) were packaged and used as the reference database for sequence alignment. Finally, the result was returned and displayed in the web page. In addition, if the user selected the option of “MLST genotyping”, the http request function “curl_setopt” in PHP was used to request PubMLST’s RESTful interface (http://rest.pubmlst.
and the function “curl_exec” was used to catch the response which thereafter was parsed to the final result and displayed in the genotyping page.

The host tropism prediction service integrated the trained decision tree model with scikit-learn library on the PmGT platform (The details of model training can be found in the next section). Similarly, the strain sequence was submitted through the web page and the server-side PHP program called the genotyping tool to get the scoring results of the virulence genes which were subsequently input into the trained prediction model. Next, the “model.predict” function was used to export the prediction result, and the PHP program parsed the result and finally displayed it on the web page.

To provide the data query and display service, we used the Bootstrap framework which is a popular front-end toolkit used to create interactive applications on the client side. The data query and display page of this study used the table display module of Bootstrap, providing functions such as search, column selection, full-screen display, switching, refreshing data, and paging. Meanwhile, because of the relatively small volume, the strain data set was saved in JSON format.

**Development of the host tropism prediction tool for *Pasteurella multocida***

In order to construct an effective host tropism prediction tool for *P. multocida*, appropriate features were first selected. Previous studies have shown that VFGs of *P. multocida* are related to host tropism [5,19,27]. Therefore, this study selected 23 VFGs as input features of the training model for machine learning. Furthermore, it is necessary to convert VFGs into numerical vectors. The feature extraction method of a single nucleotide is relatively simple, but it cannot reflect the characteristics of the entire gene. To make the model simplified and effective, whole genome sequences of *P. multocida* porcine, bovine, and avian isolates were first aligned against the nucleotide sequences of the 23 types of VFGs using the BLAST tool and then these BLAST scores were used as input features so that each strain was transformed into a numerical vector of 23 scores and subsequently the numerical matrix of training samples was input to perform model training.

Compared with Support Vector Machine (SVM) and deep Neural Network (NN), which are generally regarded as black box models, Decision Tree Algorithm (DTA), K Nearest Neighbor (KNN), and Naive Bayes (NB) models have higher interpretability. Therefore, this study first chose the DTA, KNN, and NB algorithms to construct the host tropism model. Next, Scikit-learn (https://scikit-learn.org/), which is a widely-used machine learning library of Python language, was used to construct DT, KNN and NB prediction models. To evaluate and compare these three kinds of models, this study used 10-fold cross-validation. The data set was divided into 10 subsets of similar size, each time the union of k-1 subsets was used as the training set and the remaining subset was used as the test set. Finally, the average of the 10 test results was returned for comparison. Precision, recall and F1 score (PRF) were calculated as indicators of the model performance. The higher PRF values are, the better model performance is. The definition of PRF were as follows:
where TP is the number of true positives, FP is the number of false positives, and FN is the number of false negatives. Furthermore, because the prediction model of this study is a multi-classification problem involving multiple hosts, we calculated the micro-average, macro-average and weighted-average of the PRF. The micro-average was derived by first calculating the total number of TP, FP and FN of all categories, and then calculating results according to the above PRF formulas. For the macro-average, PRF values of each category were first calculated and then averaged. In addition, the weighted-average was averaged from the PRF value in the macro-average, according to the proportion of each category of sample.

**PCR detection of capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, MLST genotypes, and virulence genes of *P. multocida* strains from pigs**

Capsular genotypes and LPS genotypes of *P. multocida* strains from our laboratory collection were determined using multiplex PCR-based assays, as documented elsewhere [15,14]. Profiles of 23 types of virulence genes mentioned above were determined by PCR assays, as described previously [19]. Sequence types (STs) were determined according to the protocols described in *Pasteurella multocida* MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/pmultocida/).

**Data Availability**

Nucleotide sequences specific for *P. multocida* and its capsular genotypes, LPS genotypes, as well as VFGs were publicly available in GenBank under accession numbers MN938443-MN938455 and MT570166~MT570166. The typing system developed in the present study is available at: http://liulab.hzau.edu.cn/PM/.

**Abbreviations**

BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; MLST: Multilocus sequence typing; VFGs: Virulence factors-encoding genes; PRF: precision, recall, and false-positive rate; eDTA: Entropy Decision Tree Algorithm; gDTA: Gini Decision Tree Algorithm; vKNN: Violence K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) algorithm; btKNN: Ball Tree K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) algorithm; GNB: Gaussian Naive Bayes algorithm; CNB: Complement Naive Bayes algorithm.
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