Temperature dependence of the magnetic hyperfine field at an s-p impurity diluted in $R\text{Ni}_2$
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We study the formation of local magnetic moments and magnetic hyperfine fields at an s-p impurity diluted in intermetallic Laves phases compounds $R\text{Ni}_2$ ($R =$ rare earth elements) and exhibit an interesting variety of behaviors related to the changes in their magnetic, electronic, and lattice structures. They exhibit magnetization associated both with the localized spins (4f structures. They exhibit magnetization associated both with the localized spins (4f structures. They exhibit magnetization associated both with the localized spins (4f structures.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Laves phases intermetallic compounds $R\text{Ni}_2$ ($R =$ rare earth elements) crystallize in a cubic structure [1] and exhibit an interesting variety of behaviors related to the changes in their magnetic, electronic, and lattice structures. They exhibit magnetization associated both with the localized spins (4f) and with the itinerant electrons of the rare earth. Although the extensive studies made, the theoretical description of how some of their properties varies with temperature, due to the electronic correlations, still remains open. The Ni sublattice however can be disregarded because its $d$ band, being full, is magnetically ineffective. Also the effect of the $4f$ electrons of $R$ is represented by a polarization of the $d$ band that would be produced by the $4f$ magnetic field. This leaves us with a lattice of effective rare earth $R$-ions with only $d$ electrons. For the $dd$ electronic interaction we use the Hubbard-Stratonovich identity in a functional integral approach in the static saddle point approximation.

II. METHOD

II.1. The effective host

We start with a clean effective host described by the Hamiltonian of itinerant $d$-electrons:

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^d + H_d,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The first term of Eq. (1) is

$$H_0^d = \sum_{l\sigma} \varepsilon_{l\sigma} d^\dagger_{l\sigma} d_{l\sigma} + \sum_{\nu\sigma} T_{\nu\sigma} d^\dagger_{\nu\sigma} d_{\nu\sigma},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\varepsilon_{l\sigma}$ is the energy of the center of the $d$ band, now depending on the spin polarization; $d^\dagger_{l\sigma}$ ($d_{l\sigma}$) is creation (annihilation) operators, and $T_{\nu\sigma}$ is the hopping integrals between atoms from the $R$ effective lattice.

$H_d$ represents the Coulomb interaction

$$H_d = U \sum_{l} n_{l\uparrow} n_{l\downarrow},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$n_{l\sigma}$ being the number operator.

The partition function $Z$ for the system described by Hamiltonian [1] can be written as

$$Z = \int \prod_{l} d\nu \ d\xi \ \int \prod_{j} d\nu_j \ d\xi_j \ e^{-\beta \left[ -\frac{U}{2} \sum_n (\nu_n + \sigma \xi_n) n_{l\sigma} \right] \times \text{tr} \ e^{-\beta (H_0^d + H_d)}} \ e^{-\beta \sum_{l\sigma} \left( \varepsilon_{l\sigma} - \varepsilon_{0\sigma} \right) n_{l\sigma}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the temperature. The Hubbard-Stratonovich identity [2] was used in order to linearize the Coulomb interaction generating two floating fields, an electric, $\nu_l$, and a magnetic, $\xi_l$. The static approximation has also been performed.

Now, because of the floating fields, the system, although pure, becomes disordered [3, 4]. In Eq. (4) we see that the floating fields create site dependent $\varepsilon_{l\sigma}$’s:

$$\varepsilon_{l\sigma} = \varepsilon_{0\sigma} - \frac{U}{2} (\nu_l + \sigma \xi_l).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

We then adopt the Coherent Potential Approximation [5, 6] (CPA) point of view in which...
the system is replaced by an ordered one with an uniform self energy $\Sigma_{\sigma}$ in all sites $l \neq l_0$; in $l_0$ the energy $\varepsilon_{l_0\sigma}$ remains function of the fluctuation fields with respect to $\Sigma_{\sigma}$. The partition function in Eq (4) is also the partition function for the Hamiltonian below (which will be the one used to implement the CPA)

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{H}^0 + \hat{H}^1,$$

with

$$\hat{H}^0 = \sum_{\sigma} \left( \varepsilon_{l_0\sigma} - \Sigma_{\sigma} \right) d_{l_0\sigma}^\dagger d_{l_0\sigma} = \sum_{l} V_{\sigma} d_{l_0\sigma}^\dagger d_{l_0\sigma},$$

and

$$\hat{H}^1 = \sum_{l} \Sigma_{\sigma} d_{l\sigma}^\dagger d_{l\sigma} + \sum_{l,l'} T_{l l'} d_{l\sigma}^\dagger d_{l'\sigma}.$$

In [8] both $l$ and $l'$ are $\neq l_0$. Summing over all possible $l_0$ we arrive at the self-consistency condition to determine $\Sigma_{\sigma}$:

$$\int d\xi_{l_0} d\nu_{l_0} \frac{V_{\sigma}(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0})}{1 - V_{\sigma}(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0}) g_{l_0\sigma}(z)} P(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0}) = 0. \quad (9)$$

where the probability distribution $P$, is

$$P(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0}) = \frac{e^{-\beta\Psi(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0})}}{\int d\xi_{l_0} d\nu_{l_0} e^{-\beta\Psi(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0})}}, \quad (10)$$

and $\Psi$ is the free energy associated to $\hat{H}^0$,

$$\Psi(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0}) = \frac{U}{4} (\xi_{l_0}^2 + \nu_{l_0}^2) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Im} \sum_{\sigma} \ln \left[ 1 - V_{\sigma}(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0}) g_{l_0\sigma}(z) \right]. \quad (11)$$

$f(\varepsilon)$ is the Fermi function, $z = \varepsilon + i\delta$, $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, and $g_{l_0\sigma}(z)$ is the Green function for the hamiltonian $\hat{H}^0$. Then, the partition function [4], in the CPA approach is reduced to

$$Z = \int \prod_{l_0} d\nu_{l_0} d\xi_{l_0} e^{-\beta\Psi(\xi_{l_0}\nu_{l_0})}. \quad (12)$$

II.2. The introduction of a s-p impurity

We now describe the effects caused by the introduction of a s-p impurity (say Cd). We add a potential $V_{0\sigma}^{\text{imp}}$ to Equation (6)

$$V_{0\sigma}^{\text{imp}} = [\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z)] d_{l_0\sigma}^\dagger d_{l_0\sigma}, \quad (13)$$

where the impurity energy $\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}}$ is self consistently determined using the Friedel [8] condition for the charges screening

$$\Delta Z = \Delta Z_\uparrow + \Delta Z_\downarrow, \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta Z_{\uparrow}$ is the total charge difference between the $\sigma$ conduction electrons of the impurity and the host:

$$\Delta Z_{\sigma} = \ln \left[ 1 - g_{l_0\sigma}(\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}}) \left[ \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}}) \right] \right]. \quad (15)$$

Using the Dyson equation, the perturbed Green functions for this problem can be written as

$$G_{l_0\sigma}(z) = \frac{g_{l_0\sigma}(z)}{1 - g_{l_0\sigma}(z) \left[ \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z) \right]}. \quad (16)$$

The local density of states for the $\sigma$ spin direction is

$$\rho_{0\sigma}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G_{l_0\sigma}(\varepsilon), \quad (17)$$

and the local occupation number is

$$n_{0\sigma} = \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{\text{imp}}} \rho_{0\sigma}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

So, the magnetic moment at the impurity site is

$$\vec{m}(0) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma n_{0\sigma}, \quad (19)$$

and finally, we calculate the magnetic hyperfine field at the impurity site, assuming that it is proportional to $\vec{m}(0)$, via the temperature independent $A(\vec{Z}_{\text{imp}})$ Fermi-Segrè contact coupling parameter [7]:

$$B_{hf} = A(\vec{Z}_{\text{imp}}) \vec{m}(0), \quad (20)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have introduced an effective model to extend our previous zero temperature results [8] to investigate the
FIG. 2. Local magnetic moment at Cd impurity diluted in \( R\Ni_2 \) intermetallic host for heavy rare earths.

magnetic hyperfine fields at a \( s\text{-}p \) impurity such as Cd, in \( R\Ni_2 \) at finite temperatures. We adopt a standard paramagnetic density of state extracted from first principle calculation \[9\]. For each \( R\Ni_2 \) compound our model has two adjustable parameters, namely \( \varepsilon_0\sigma \) and \( U \). These are determined by reproducing the zero temperature local magnetic moment and the critical temperature.

In Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 we plot the calculated temperature dependence of the local magnetic moments for the light rare earth elements, for the heavy elements and the magnetic hyperfine fields at Cd as function of temperature. The results are good agreement with the experimental results \[10\].

As stated before, in the effective \( R \) lattice the interactions are different from a \( R \) pure metal. From Fig. 2 we see that the local moment, in units of Bohr magneton \( \mu_B \), at \( T = 0K \) is about 0.012 whereas in Ref. 11 it was found that in pure Gd metal it is about 0.05.
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