DETERMINANTAL REPRESENTATION AND SUBSCHEMES OF GENERAL PLANE CURVES

LUCA CHIANTINI AND JUAN MIGLIORE

Abstract. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ square matrix of integers. For our purposes, we can assume without loss of generality that $M$ is homogeneous and that the entries are non-increasing going leftward and downward. Let $d$ be the sum of the entries on either diagonal. We give a complete characterization of which such matrices have the property that a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ can be written as the determinant of a matrix of forms $(f_{ij})$ with $\deg f_{ij} = m_{ij}$ (of course $f_{ij} = 0$ if $m_{ij} < 0$). As a consequence, we answer the related question of which $(n-1) \times n$ matrices $Q$ of integers have the property that a general plane curve of degree $d$ contains a zero-dimensional subscheme whose degree Hilbert-Burch matrix is $Q$. This leads to an algorithmic method to determine properties of linear series contained in general plane curves.

1. Introduction

The possibility of representing a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in a polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ as a determinant of a matrix of polynomials of lower degree, has been studied in connection with its application to several theories in Algebra, Analysis and Geometry. For many applications, indeed, the attention is restricted to matrices $N$ of linear forms. In this respect, the problem is essentially well understood (see e.g. [V89] and [B00], for the state of art of the theory).

The problem, however, makes sense even if we allow $N$ to be a more general square matrix of forms (= homogeneous polynomials), except that, as we want the determinant to be homogeneous, some hypothesis on the degrees of the entries of $N$ is necessary.

So, we fix the size and the degrees of the entries of $N$, i.e. we fix the degree matrix $M$ of $N$, and assume that $M$ is homogeneous (see the definition in the next section). Our problem is to determine whether or not a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ can be realized as the determinant of a matrix of forms $N = (f_{ij})$, with $\deg(f_{ij}) = m_{ij}$, for any given, homogeneous matrix of integers $M = (m_{ij})$ of degree $d$.

As it happens for matrices of linear forms, as explained e.g. in [B00], the answer to the previous question is negative when $r > 2$, except for $r = 3, d \leq 3$. This is essentially a consequence of the Noether–Lefschetz principle: a hypersurface $F$ has a determinantal equation if and only if it contains an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay divisor (that is not a complete intersection on $F$, except for trivial matrices). The
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reasons for this connection follows from the resolution of Cohen–Macaulay subschemes of codimension 2 in projective spaces, and the Hilbert-Burch theorem. Details can be read in [CGO88] or [E75].

So, we will restrict ourselves to \( r = 2 \), i.e. the case of plane curves.

In this situation, it is classically known (see e.g. [D21], or [V89] for a modern account of the theory) that any form of degree \( d \) is the determinant of a \( d \times d \) matrix of linear forms. Representations of equations of plane curves as determinants of other types are present in the literature. Beauville essentially proves (see [B00], Proposition 3.5) that when the entries of \( M \) all belong to \( \{1, 2\} \), then the representation is possible, for a general form. Another particular case, related with Petri’s theory of special linear series, is treated in [AS79].

We want to complete the picture, and answer the following problem:

**Problem 1.1.** Given a general homogeneous form \( F \) of degree \( d \) in \( \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2] \) (representing a general plane curve), and a homogeneous matrix of integers, \( M \), of degree \( d \), can we find a matrix \( N \) of forms, whose degree matrix is \( M \), and such that \( \det(N) = F \)?

The solution of the previous problem will also give a criterion for deciding which 0-dimensional subschemes (i.e. sets of points) one finds on a general plane curve of degree \( d \).

A solution of the \( 2 \times 2 \) case comes out, as a by-product, from the main result contained in [CCG08]. It turns out that, for any choice of a homogeneous, degree \( d \), \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( M \) of non-negative integers, the general form of degree \( d \) in \( \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2] \) is the determinant of a matrix of forms whose degree matrix is \( M \).

Using the result of [CCG08] as the initial step of our induction, we will show that the answer to the problem is positive if and only if \( M \) satisfies mild natural combinatorial conditions explained below (see Theorem 5.1).

Namely, as we point out in Examples 2.4 and 2.5 below, it is easy to see that if an ordered matrix \( M \) has negative entries in the main diagonal, then a general form cannot be the determinant of a matrix whose degree matrix is \( M \). The same happens when \( M \) has negative entries in the subdiagonal, except for trivial cases.

We will see, in the main theorem, that if one excludes the two previous, obviously negative cases, then a general form of degree \( d \) is always the determinant of a matrix, whose degree matrix is a pre-assigned \( M \).

As a consequence of our result, we give a procedure to determine whether or not sets of points with a prescribed degree Hilbert-Burch matrix (or with a prescribed Hilbert function) are contained in a general curve of given degree. Via the adjunction process, this last result can be used to determine properties of linear series contained on general plane curves, as explained in the last section of the paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give our starting definition of homogeneous matrices and ordering. In section 3, we point out the relation between determinantal representations of plane curves and 0-dimensional subschemes. In section 4, we prove a lemma on families of 0-dimensional schemes, which provides the main tool for our induction. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem. In section 6, we show how the main result can be used to detect the existence, on general plane curves, of divisors with prescribed invariants and linear series with prescribed properties. The authors wish to thank the referee for pointing out an incorrect statement in a previous version of this section.
2. Foundations

Definition 2.1. A $2 \times 2$ matrix of integers

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & e \end{pmatrix}$$

is homogeneous if $a + e = b + c$.

A matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ of integers is homogeneous if all its $2 \times 2$ submatrices are.

It turns out that a square $n \times n$ matrix $M$ is homogeneous if, for any permutation $\sigma$ in the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the number

$$d = m_{1\sigma(1)} + m_{2\sigma(2)} + \cdots + m_{n\sigma(n)}$$

is constant. Indeed, any permutation can be obtained as a product of a series of transpositions. The number $d$ is also called the degree of the matrix.

It is clear that $M$ is homogeneous if and only if all its submatrices are.

Remark 2.2. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ matrix of integers. For any $i, j$ consider a homogeneous form $f_{ij}$ of degree $m_{ij}$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$. Of course, if $m_{ij}$ is negative then the form $f_{ij}$ must necessarily be 0.

If $M$ is homogeneous, of degree $d$, then the determinant of the matrix $(f_{ij})$ is a homogeneous form of degree $d$.

For a given matrix of integers, let us fix a standard ordering. Notice indeed that any permutation of rows and columns only changes the sign of the determinant, thus is irrelevant for our problem.

Definition 2.3. We say that a matrix of integers $M = (m_{ij})$ is well-ordered if:

for $i' > i$ and $j' > j$, we have $m_{i'j'} \leq m_{ij}$ and $m_{ij'} \geq m_{ij}$.

Roughly speaking, the matrix is non-increasing going leftward and downward. The maximal element is $m_{1n}$, while the minimal is $m_{n1}$.

The following examples point out two natural conditions on the matrix $M$, which exclude that a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix whose degree matrix is $M$.

Example 2.4. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a well-ordered, $n \times n$ homogeneous matrix of integers of degree $d$. Assume that for some $k = 1, \ldots, n$, the element $m_{kk}$, in the main diagonal, is negative. Then a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ is not the determinant of a matrix of forms $N$, whose degree matrix is $M$.

Indeed, the ordering implies that $m_{ij} < 0$ for $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k$. Thus, in the matrix of forms $N = (f_{ij})$, we have $f_{ij} = 0$ when $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k$. Then the determinant of $N$ is 0.

Example 2.5. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a well-ordered, $n \times n$ homogeneous matrix of integers of degree $d$. The elements of type $m_{k-1, k}$, $k = 2, \ldots, n$, form the so-called sub-diagonal.

Assume that for some $k = 2, \ldots, n$, the element $m_{k-1, k}$ is negative. Then a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ is not the determinant of a matrix of forms $N$, whose degree matrix is $M$, unless the submatrix $M'$ of $M$ obtained by erasing the first $k - 1$ rows and columns, has either degree 0 or degree $d$.

The reason is clear. If for some $k$ we have $m_{k-1, k} < 0$, then necessarily, in the matrix of forms $N = (f_{ij})$, we have $f_{ij} = 0$ when $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k - 1$. Call
3. Determinants and Subschemes

The existence of a determinantal representation for a form $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ is strictly connected with the existence of some sets of points on the curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, associated with $F$.

The reasons for this connection follow from the resolution of zero-dimensional schemes on the plane, and the Hilbert-Burch theorem.

Our main idea is to prove the existence of the mentioned sets of points, on a general plane curve, using the liaison process and its effects on the Hilbert-Burch schemes on the plane, and the Hilbert-Burch theorem.

We briefly outline in this section the main features of the connection between matricial representations and subsets; see [E95] pp. 501–503 for details. We begin in the more general setting of codimension two arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^r$, and then explain why we restrict to zero-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay scheme of codimension 2. Call $\mathcal{O}$ the structure sheaf of $\mathbb{P}^r$. The ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_Z$ has a free resolution of type:

$$0 \to \oplus^{n-1} \mathcal{O}(-b_j) \xrightarrow{A} \oplus^n \mathcal{O}(-a_i) \to \mathcal{I}_Z \to 0,$$

where $A$ is given by a $(n-1) \times n$ matrix of forms, the Hilbert-Burch matrix of $Z$. The maximal minors of $A$ are forms of degrees $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, which generate the homogeneous ideal of $Z$.

If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $\deg(a_{ij}) = m_{ij}$, the matrix $D = (m_{ij})$ is thus a homogeneous matrix of integers, whose minors have degrees $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. It is called a degree Hilbert-Burch matrix (dHB for short) of $Z$.

In this picture, arranging the numbers so that $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_{n-1}$, one has $m_{ij} = b_i - a_j$ and the matrix $D$ is well-ordered.

Notice that we do not assume that the resolution is minimal. Hence the matrix $A$ may have some entries which are non-zero constants or, equivalently, the map described by $A$ can induce an isomorphism on some factors $\mathcal{O}(-b_j) \to \mathcal{O}(-a_i)$, with $b_j = a_i$, i.e. $m_{ij} = 0$.

In this sense, the numbers $a_i, b_j$ are not uniquely determined by $Z$, since it is always possible to add redundant factors in the resolution.

Conversely, let $D = (m_{ij})$ be a $(n-1) \times n$ well-ordered, homogeneous matrix of integers and let $A$ be a matrix of forms, in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$, whose degree matrix is $D$.

Let $a_j$ be the degree of the minor obtained by erasing the $i$-th column and take $b_i = a_j + m_{ij}$.

The matrix $A$ determines a map of sheaves

$$\oplus^{n-1} \mathcal{O}(-b_j) \xrightarrow{A} \oplus^n \mathcal{O}(-a_i).$$

When the map injects, and drops rank in codimension 2, then the cokernel is the ideal sheaf of an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of codimension 2, whose homogeneous ideal is generated by the maximal minors of $A$. 

$N'$ the $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$ submatrix of $N$ formed by the first $k - 1$ rows and columns and call $N''$ the $(n - k + 1) \times (n - k + 1)$ matrix obtained from $N$ by erasing these first $k - 1$ rows and columns. We get $\det(N) = \det(N') \det(N'')$ and $\deg(\det(N'')) = \deg(\det(N')) = \deg(M') > 0$, the conclusion follows, since the general form of degree $d$ is irreducible.
Remark 3.1. Assume that, for some $k$, $m_{kk} < 0$. Then the ordering implies that $m_{ij} < 0$ for $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k$. Thus, in the matrix $A$, we have $f_{ij} = 0$ for $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k$. Hence the maximal minors of $A$ are either 0, or they contain the common factor $\det(A')$, where $A'$ is the square matrix obtained by deleting the first $(k - 1)$ rows and the first $k$ columns of $A$.

It follows that the map defined by $A$ drops rank in the locus defined by $\det(A') = 0$, which has codimension at most 1, unless $A'$ has degree 0.

Remark 3.2. Assume $m_{kk} = 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $a_n = 0$. Thus, for a general choice of the forms $f_{ij}$, the determinant of the last minor of $A$ is a non-zero constant, and thus the map drops rank nowhere.

From some point of view, this can be considered as a degenerate case of the general situation, in which the locus where the matrix $A$ drops rank has degree 0 and is empty (so its true dimension is $-1$).

Excluding the previous two cases, i.e. when $m_{kk} \geq 0$ for all $k$ and $\max \{m_{kk}\} > 0$, then for a general choice of the forms $f_{ij}$ of degrees $m_{ij}$, the resulting matrix $A$ determines a map which is injective, and drops rank in codimension 2. Thus $A$ is the Hilbert-Burch matrix of an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of codimension 2 (see [CGO88], Remark at the end of 0.4, or see [G50]).

The construction yields some consequences:

Proposition 3.3. Let $M$ be a $n \times n$ homogeneous matrix of integers.

If a general hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$ contains an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay scheme $Z$ of codimension 2, with a dHB matrix that corresponds to the submatrix of $M$ obtained by erasing one row of $M$, then the a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms, whose degree matrix is $M$.

Conversely, assume that a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms, $N$, whose degree matrix is $M$. Let $R = (r_{ij})$ be a matrix obtained by erasing one row of $M$. Assume $r_{kk} \geq 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ and assume $\max \{r_{kk}\} > 0$. Then the hypersurface defined by $N$ contains an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of codimension 2, with a dHB matrix equal to $R$.

Remark 3.4. If we drop the assumption ‘$r_{kk} \geq 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$’, the converse of the previous statement may fail. E.g. consider the matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 10 & 11 \\ -1 & 0 & 9 & 10 \\ -5 & -4 & 5 & 6 \\ -8 & -7 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

It follows from our main theorem below, that a general form of degree 8 in three variables is the determinant of a matrix whose degree matrix is $M$. Nevertheless, by erasing the first row, we do not find the dHB matrix of a codimension two subscheme.

This shows that it can sometimes happen that $r_{kk} < 0$. Indeed, if $R$ is obtained from $M$ by erasing the $g$-th row, then $r_{kk} = m_{kk}$ for $k < g$, while $r_{kk} = m_{k+1} k$ for $k \geq g$. Thus, if a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms whose degree matrix is $M$, then $r_{kk} < 0$ can only happen for $k \geq g$ (by Example 2.4). Moreover, in this case, $M$ must have the shape described in Example 2.5, which we will consider apart, in Remark 5.4.
Notice also that if we drop the assumption \( \max \{ r_{kk} \} > 0 \) in the previous proposition, then the argument also works, with the only exception that the subscheme \( Z \) could be empty!

As in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) every subscheme of codimension 2 is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, a problem very similar to the one stated in the previous section is the following:

**Problem 3.5.** Does a general curve of degree \( d \) contain a zero-dimensional subset \( Z \), whose dHB degree matrix is a preassigned \((n-1) \times n\) homogeneous matrix \( D \) of integers?

The starting point of our analysis is the following theorem, which settles the case in which \( M \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix. In this case, \( Z \) has a homogeneous ideal generated by 2 forms, i.e. it is a complete intersection.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( M = (m_{ij}) \) be a homogeneous, ordered, \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix of integers.

A general form \( F \) of degree \( d > 0 \) in \( \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2] \) is the determinant of a matrix of forms whose degree matrix is \( M \), if and only if either:
- \( m_{11} = 0; d \); or
- \( m_{21} \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** The conditions are necessary, as explained in Examples 2.4 and 2.5.

For the converse, notice that the first case is trivial. Thus, assume \( m_{11} \neq 0, d \) and \( m_{12} \geq 0 \). Notice that \( m_{11}, m_{22} \geq m_{21} \), hence \( d \geq m_{11} > 0 \). Also \( m_{12} = d - m_{21} \) hence \( d \geq m_{12} \geq m_{11} > 0 \).

The main theorem of [CCG08] shows that a general curve of degree \( d \) contains the complete intersection \( Z \) of curves of degree \( m_{11}, m_{12} \). Since a dHB matrix of \( Z \) is \((m_{11} \; m_{12})\), the claim follows by Proposition 3.3.

We end this section by stressing an important, although trivial, remark.

Starting with a matrix of forms \( N \), and erasing different rows, we get a priori different \((n-1) \times n\) degree matrices. Thus, there are a priori zero-dimensional schemes whose resolutions have rather different numerical invariants, whose existence on a general curve of degree \( d \) implies that a general form is the determinant of a matrix, with degree matrix \( M \).

We will use this observation several times, when constructing our inductive argument about the representation of forms as determinants.

4. An incidence variety

Let \( T \) be an irreducible subvariety of the Hilbert scheme of points in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), such that the dHB matrix is constant along \( T \). Then also the Hilbert function \( Hf \) is constant along \( T \). Call \( \delta \) the degree of elements in \( T \).

Consider the incidence variety:

\[ \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}(d) := \{(C, Z) : C \text{ is a curve of degree } d \text{ containing } Z \in T\} \]

with the two projections \( p = p(d) : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow T \) and \( q = q(d) : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0O(d)) \). We want to study conditions under which \( q(d) \) dominates \( \mathbb{P}(H^0O(d)) \), which amounts to saying that a general curve of degree \( d \) contains a set of points in \( T \).

For the application to our problem on the determinantal representation of plane curves, it would be sufficient to consider the case in which \( T \) is the whole stratum of the Hilbert scheme of points with fixed dHB matrix (which is irreducible, since
it is dominated by a product of projective spaces). Since the result we are going to use is indeed general, we will maintain the generality of $T$, throughout this section.

By construction, the fiber of $p(d)$ at $Z \in T$ is $\mathbb{P}(H^0 \mathcal{I}_Z(d))$, $\mathcal{I}_Z$ being the ideal sheaf of $Z$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}$ is irreducible. Moreover:

$$\dim \mathcal{I}(d) = \dim(T) + h^0 \mathcal{I}_Z(d) - 1 = \dim(T) + h^0 \mathcal{O}(d) - Hf(d) - 1.$$ 

Since $\mathbb{P}(H^0 \mathcal{O}(d))$ has dimension $h^0 \mathcal{O}(d) - 1$, we get immediately:

**Proposition 4.1.** If $\dim(T) < Hf(d)$, then $q(d)$ cannot be dominant.

The fundamental remark is the following result (see e.g. [CF09], Lemma 3.2).

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that, for $Z \in T$, the Hilbert function $Hf(d)$ coincides with the degree $\delta$. Assume that $q(d)$ is dominant. Then for all $d' \geq d$, also $q(d')$ is dominant.

**Proof.** For the sake of completeness, we sketch here the argument, that is contained in the proof of [CF09], Lemma 3.2.

It is clearly sufficient to prove the theorem for $d' = d + 1$.

Notice that any component $F_{d+1}$ of a general fiber of $q(d+1)$ has dimension:

$$\dim F_{d+1} \geq \dim \mathcal{I}(d+1) - \dim(\mathbb{P}(H^0 \mathcal{O}(d+1))) = \dim(T) - Hf(d+1) = \dim(T) - \delta$$

and the inequality is strict, if $q(d+1)$ does not dominate.

Since, by assumption, $q(d)$ dominates, the same computation shows that the dimension of a general fiber of $q(d)$ is $\dim(T) - \delta$. We simply want to know that the dimension does not change passing from $d$ to $d + 1$.

Let $(C, Z)$ be a general point in $\mathcal{I}(d)$. Then, the fiber of $q(d)$ over $C$ has dimension $\dim(T) - \delta$, in a neighbourhood of $(C, Z)$. Consider now a general line $L$ and put $C' = C \cup L$. The pair $(C', Z)$ sits in $\mathcal{I}(d+1)$ and, in particular, it sits in the fiber of $q(d+1)$ over $C'$. Moreover, in a neighbourhood of $(C', Z)$, all pairs in the fiber of $q(d+1)$ over $C'$ are of type $(C', Z')$ with $Z' \subset C$. It follows that the fiber of $q(d+1)$ over $C'$ has at least one component of dimension $\dim(T) - \delta$. Since by [H77], 3.22.b page 95, the dimension of components of fibers can only increase under specialization, it follows that a general fiber of $q(d+1)$ has at least one component of dimension $\dim(T) - \delta$. This proves that $q(d+1)$ dominates.

The previous result, which indeed has validity far beyond our application to points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, implies that in order to show that general curves of any high degree $d'$ contain a subscheme with fixed $d$HB matrix, it is sufficient to prove the claim for some $d \leq d'$ for which the Hilbert function achieves the degree.

**Remark 4.3.** Consider a zero-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, whose ideal sheaf has a free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow \oplus^{n-1} \mathcal{O}(-b_j) \xrightarrow{A_1} \oplus^n \mathcal{O}(-a_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where, as usual, we put $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_{n-1}$ and $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$. Then for all $d \geq b_1 - 2$, the Hilbert function of $Z$ at level $d$ coincides with $\deg(Z)$. See [CGO88], §0, (3), for a proof of this fact.
5. The main result

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result on the determinantal representation of plane curves.

**Theorem 5.1. (main)** Let $M$ be a homogeneous $n \times n$ matrix of integers, of degree $d$. Assume $M$ is well-ordered.

Then a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms $N$, whose degree matrix is $M$, if and only if the two conditions hold:

1) $m_{ii} \geq 0$ for all $i$;

2) Whenever for some $k = 2, \ldots, n$, the element $m_{k \ x}$ is negative, then the submatrix $M'$ of $M$ obtained by erasing the first $k - 1$ rows and columns, has degree 0 or $d$.

We will make induction on the size $n$ of $M$.

With a series of remarks, we reduce ourselves to proving only the existence of the matrix $N$, when $m_{k \ x} \geq 0$ for all $k = 2, \ldots, n$.

**Remark 5.2.** Conditions 1) and 2) of the theorem are necessary, as explained in Examples 2.4 and 2.5.

**Remark 5.3.** The theorem is trivial, when $n = 1$. When $n = 2$, the theorem is an easy consequence of [CCG08], as explained in Theorem 3.6. Namely, notice that the conditions 1) and 2) of the main theorem reduce to conditions of Theorem 3.6, when $n = 2$.

Let us see what happens when $m_{k \ x} < 0$ and conditions 1) and 2) of the main theorem hold.

**Remark 5.4.** With the notation of the theorem, assume $m_{k \ x} < 0$. Then also $m_{ij} < 0$ for $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k - 1$. Hence the matrix of forms $N = (n_{ij})$ necessarily has $n_{ij} = 0$ for $i \geq k$ and $j \leq k - 1$. Thus if $N'$ is the matrix formed by the first $k - 1$ rows and columns of $N$, and $N''$ is obtained from $N$ by erasing these rows and columns, then $\det(N) = \det(N') \cdot \det(N'')$.

Call $e$ the degree of $M'$, which is the degree matrix of $N'$. The degree matrix of $N''$ is homogeneous, of degree $d - e$. By condition 2) of the main theorem, we must have either $e = d$ or $e = 0$. By induction, when $e = d$, for a general choice of $N$, a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of $N'$, while a general constant is the determinant of $N''$. Thus the theorem holds, in this case. The case $e = 0$ is similar.

Next, let us see what happens when $m_{kk} = 0$ for some $k$.

**Remark 5.5.** With the assumptions of the main theorem, assume $m_{kk} = 0$ for some $k$. Then, erasing the $k$-th row and column, we get a $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix $M'$ which is again homogeneous and satisfies the condition of the theorem. Thus, by induction, a general form $F$ of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix $N'$ whose degree matrix is $M'$. Adding to $N'$, in the $k$-th position, a row and a column which are zero, except for $n_{kk} = 1$, we get a new square $n \times n$ matrix $N$, whose degree matrix is $M$ and whose determinant is $F$.

It follows from the previous remarks, that the theorem is proved once one shows that for any $n \times n$ homogeneous well-ordered matrix $M$ of degree $d$, with $m_{kk} > 0$,
$m_{k,k-1} \geq 0$, for all $k$, then a general form of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{C}[x,y,z]$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms, whose degree matrix is $M$.

**Lemma 5.6.** Assume, by induction, that the main theorem holds for matrices of size $(n-1) \times (n-1)$. Fix a homogeneous well-ordered matrix $Q = (q_{ij})$ of size $(n-2) \times (n-1)$ and call $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_{n-1}$ the degrees of its maximal minors. Assume $q_{kk} > 0$ for all $k$, and fix an integer $d \geq a_1$.

Then a general form of degree $d$ corresponds to a curve $C$ which contains a set of points with a dHB matrix equal to $Q$.

**Proof.** Add to $Q$ the row $(d-a_1, \ldots, d-a_{n-1})$ and reorder. We get a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ homogeneous matrix $M = (m_{ij})$. We have the following possibilities for $M$.

1. If $d - a_k > q_{kk}$ then $m_{k+1,k} = q_{kk} > 0$.
2. If $d - a_k \leq q_{k+1,k}$ then $m_{k+1,k} = q_{k+1,k}$. But since $d - a_k > 0$, we also get $m_{k+1,k} > 0$.
3. If $q_{k+1,k} < d - a_k < q_{kk}$ then $m_{k+1,k} = d - a_k > 0$.

Then by induction, a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms, whose degree matrix is $M$. The claim follows.

**Lemma 5.7.** Assume the main theorem holds for matrices of size $(n-1) \times (n-1)$. Take a homogeneous $n \times n$ matrix $M$ as in the theorem and assume $m_{i1} = 0$ for some $i$. Then a general form of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms, whose degree matrix is $M$.

**Proof.** Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be the matrix obtained by erasing the $i$-th row and the first column of $M$. $Q$ is a homogeneous $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix of degree $d$. Either $q_{kk} = m_{k,k+1}$, for $k < i$, or $q_{kk} = m_{k+1,k+1}$. In any event $q_{kk} \geq 0$. If $k < i - 1$ then $q_{k+1,k} = m_{k+1,k} \geq 0$. If $k \geq i - 1$ then $q_{k+1,k} = m_{k+2,k+1}$, and the matrix obtained by erasing the first $k$ rows and columns of $Q$ coincides with the matrix obtained from $M$ by erasing the first $k+1$ rows and columns. Thus $Q$ satisfies the assumptions of the main theorem, and by induction we know that a general form $F$ of degree $d$ is the determinant of a matrix of forms $N$, whose degree matrix is $Q$.

Now, adding to $N$ a $i$-th row of type $(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)$ and a first column of type $(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)$, with 1 in the $i$-th place, we get a matrix of forms, whose determinant is $F$ and whose degree matrix is $M$.

**Proposition 5.8.** Let $M$ be a $n \times n$ homogeneous, well ordered matrix of integers, of degree $d$. Let $M'$ be the matrix obtained from $M$ by erasing the first row. Assume there exists a 0-dimensional set $Z$ with a dHB matrix equal to $M'$. Then the Hilbert function of $Z$ in degree $d-1$ coincides with the degree of $Z$.

**Proof.** It is enough to consider the resolution of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of $Z$. We get:

$$0 \to \oplus^{n-1} O(-b_i) \to \oplus^n O(-a_i) \to \mathcal{I} \to 0,$$

where the $a_i$'s are the degrees of the maximal minors of $M'$. After ordering the $a_i$'s and the $b_j$'s so that $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_{n-1}$, we simply need to prove that $d > b_1 - 2$. But we have:

$$d = m_{11} + \deg \begin{pmatrix} m_{22} & \cdots & m_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n2} & \cdots & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = m_{11} + a_1$$

$$b_1 = m_{21} + a_1,$$
and since $m_{11} \geq m_{21}$, the conclusion follows.

Now we are ready for the proof of the main theorem.

**proof of the main theorem** If $m_{i1} = 0$ for some $i$, then we are done, by Lemma 5.7. So, we just need to show, by induction, that we can always reduce to this case.

Recall that $m = m_{11} > 0$ is the maximum of the first column; call $x$ the number of entries in the first column, for which the maximum is attained.

We need to prove that a general curve of degree $d$ contains a subscheme $Z$ whose dHB matrix is the matrix $M'$ obtained by erasing the first row of $M$. By Proposition 5.8, together with Theorem 4.2, applied to the stratum $T$ of sets of points with fixed dHB matrix, it is enough to prove that a general curve of degree $d - 1$ contains a scheme like $Z$. But this amounts to saying that a general form of degree $d - 1$ is the determinant of a matrix whose degree matrix is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} - 1 & m_{12} - 1 & \cdots & \cdots & m_{1n} - 1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & M' \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that, although $M$ is possibly unordered, either the maximum of the first column of $M$ is smaller than $m$, or the number of entries for which the maximum is attained is smaller than $x$.

Then, we reorder $M$ (just reordering the rows is enough), and repeat the procedure. It is clear that, after a finite number of steps, we end up with a matrix having a zero in the first column, to which we may apply Lemma 5.7.

The claim follows.

6. **Subschemes and linear systems on a general plane curve**

In this section, we discuss an application of the previous result. Namely, using the connection between determinantal representation of forms and 0-dimensional subschemes of general curves, outlined in section 3, we see that we are able to classify all the dHB matrices of subsets of points that one can find on plane curves of degree $d$.

The procedure goes as follows.

**Problem 6.1.** Fix a well-ordered dHB matrix $Q = (q_{ij})$, i.e. a homogeneous $(n - 1) \times n$ matrix of integers, such that $q_{ii} \geq 0$ for all $i$ and $\max\{q_{ii}\} > 0$. Fix a degree $d$.

Does a general plane curve of degree $d$ contain a 0-dimensional subset, with a dHB matrix equal to $Q$?

**Solution.** Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be the degrees of the maximal minors of $Q$. Consider the row $(d - a_1, \ldots, d - a_n)$. Add the row to $Q$ and reorder, so that the resulting square matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ is well-ordered.

The answer to the problem is positive if and only if $M$ satisfies conditions 1) and 2) of the main theorem, namely $m_{ii} \geq 0$ for all $i$ and $m_{i, i-1} < 0$ implies that the matrix obtained by erasing the first $i - 1$ rows and columns of $M$ has either degree 0 or degree $d$.

Let us try to give a direct characterization of which schemes one finds on a general plane curve of degree $d$. 

Write, as usual, the resolution of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of a scheme $Z$ as:

$$0 \to \oplus^{n-1} \mathcal{O}(-b_j) \to \oplus^n \mathcal{O}(-a_i) \to \mathcal{I} \to 0$$

with $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_{n-1}$ and $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and consider the dHB matrix $Q = (q_{ij})$, $q_{ij} = b_j - a_i$. We must have $q_{ii} \geq 0$ for all $i$.

For simplicity, we will assume, as we can always do, that the resolution is minimal. This implies that $b_1 > a_i$ and $b_{n-1} > a_n$, i.e. $q_{11} > 0$ and $q_{n-1\ n} > 0$ (see section 0 of [CGO88]).

**Corollary 6.2.** With the previous notation, one has:

(i) If $d \geq b_1$, then a general curve of degree $d$ contains a scheme with dHB matrix equal to $Q$.

(ii) Assume $d < b_{n-1}$. Then a general curve of degree $d$ contains a scheme with dHB matrix equal to $Q$ if and only if either $d = a_n$ or $d \geq a_{n-1}$.

(iii) Assume there is $i$ such that $b_{i-1} > d \geq b_i$. Then a general curve of degree $d$ contains a scheme with dHB matrix equal to $Q$ if and only if $q_{ki\ k-1} \geq 0$ for $k = 2, \ldots, i-1$ and $d \geq a_{i-1}$.

**Proof.** In case (i), the new row $(d-a_1 \ldots d-a_n)$ goes at the top, in the reordering, so that in the subdiagonal of the new square matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ one has the elements of the diagonal of $Q$, which are non-negative.

In case (ii), the new row $(d-a_1 \ldots d-a_n)$ goes at the bottom, so that in the subdiagonal of the new square matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, one has the elements of the subdiagonal of $Q$, which are non-negative, and $d-a_{n-1}$. If this last is non-negative, we are done. Otherwise condition 2) of the main theorem requires that $m_{1n} = d-a_n$ is 0.

In case (iii), the new row $(d-a_1 \ldots d-a_n)$ goes in the $i$-th position. In the square matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, the elements of the subdiagonal are $q_{21}, \ldots, q_{i-1\ i-2}, d-a_{i-1}, q_{ii}, \ldots, q_{n-1\ n-1}$. Since $q_{ii}, \ldots, q_{n-1\ n-1}$ are non-negative, we focus our attention on the other elements of the subdiagonal.

If $d-a_{i-1} < 0$, condition 2) of the main theorem implies that either $q_{11} + \cdots + q_{i-1\ i-1} = 0$, which is impossible since they are non-negative and $q_{11} > 0$, or $(d-a_1)+q_{i\ i+1}+\cdots+q_{n-1\ n} = 0$. As $d-a_1 \geq b_1-a_i \geq 0$, and $q_{i\ i+1}, \ldots, q_{n-1\ n} \geq 0$, this last equality implies that $q_{n-1\ n} = 0$, which is impossible when the resolution is minimal.

Similarly, if $q_{ki\ k-1} < 0$ for some $k = 1 \ldots i-1$, condition 2) of the main theorem implies that either $q_{11} + \cdots + q_{k-1\ k-1} = 0$, which is impossible since they are non-negative and $q_{11} > 0$, or $q_{kk}+\cdots+q_{k-1\ k-i-1}+(d-a_i)+q_{i\ i+1}+\cdots+q_{n-1\ n} = 0$. As $d-a_1 \geq b_1-a_i \geq 0$, and the other summands are non-negative, this last equality implies that $q_{n-1\ n} = 0$, impossible when the resolution is minimal. \(\square\)

The previous result yields the following “asymptotic” principle:

**Corollary 6.3.** For any choice of a (possible) dHB matrix $M$ of a set of points, and for $d \gg 0$, a general curve of degree $d$ contains subschemes whose dHB matrix is $M$.

Let see in some examples how it works.

**Example 6.4.** Consider the following homogeneous matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
corresponding to a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z$ of degree 22, whose ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ has resolution:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-9) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-8) \to \mathcal{O}(-7) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-6) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4) \to \mathcal{I} \to 0.$$ 

Can one find a similar scheme in a general curve of degree 4? The answer is positive, as $4 = a_n < b_{n-1}$, in the notation of Corollary 6.2. Alternatively, notice that, adding the row $(-3 - 2 0)$ (whose three entries are $4 - 7$, $4 - 6$, $4 - 4$) and reordering, one ends up with the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 3 & 5 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
-3 & -2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfies the assumptions of the main theorem. Notice that $m_{32} = -2 < 0$, but the submatrix obtained by erasing the first 2 rows and columns, has degree 0.

Can one find a similar scheme in a general curve of degree 5? The answer is negative. Namely, $5 < \min\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}$ in the notation of Corollary 6.2. Observe that adding to $Q$ the row $(-2 - 1 1)$ and reordering, one ends up with the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 3 & 5 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
-2 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

Here $m_{32} < 0$, but erasing the first 2 rows and columns, the remaining matrix has degree 1 $\neq 0, d$.

Notice that any quintic curve containing $Z$ corresponds to the product of the quartic generator and a linear form, hence cannot be irreducible (of course, this is perfectly consistent with our Theorem 5.1).

Similarly, one shows that a general curve of degree 6 or 7 contains a subscheme with $d$HB matrix equal to $Q$. Arguing as above, since the Hilbert function of $Z$, at level 7, is equal to the degree of $Z$, then one can find a subscheme with $d$HB matrix equal to $Q$, on a general curve of any degree $d \geq 7$.

**Example 6.5.** The previous example also points out a curious consequence.

Consider the Hilbert scheme of subsets of degree 22 in $\mathbb{P}^2$, and let $T$ be the subvariety of subsets having a $d$HB equal to $Q$. Consider the incidence variety

$$I(4) = \{(C, Z) : C \text{ is a curve of degree 4 containing } Z \in T\}$$

introduced in section 4. Using [KMR98] Theorem 2.6, one computes that $\dim I(4) = \dim(T) = 21$, since every scheme like $Z$ sits in a unique quartic. The main theorem implies that the natural projection $I(4) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0\mathcal{O}(4))$ is dominant, with general fibers of dimension 7.

Consider now the incidence variety

$$I(5) = \{(C, Z) : C \text{ is a curve of degree 5 containing } Z \in T\}$$

introduced in section 4. One has $h^0(I(5)) = 3$, so $\dim I(5) = \dim(T) + 2 = 23$, which is bigger than $\dim(\mathbb{P}(H^0\mathcal{O}(5))) = 20$. On the other hand, as we saw in the example, the projection $I(5) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0\mathcal{O}(5))$ is not dominant. Indeed, the fibers of these projection have dimension at least 7. Notice that the image coincides with the space of quintics splitting in a quartic plus a line. This space has dimension 16, which is exactly $23 - 7$.

As a consequence, we see that the natural projections $\mathbb{P}(d) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0\mathcal{O}(d))$, introduced in section 4, are non-necessarily of maximal rank.
Example 6.6. Let $I_1$ be the ideal of $Z_1 = 7$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and let $I_2$ be the ideal of $Z_2 = 13$ points on a conic, $Q$. Let $I_Z = I_1 \cap I_2$ be the ideal of $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$. Notice that any curve of degree $\leq 6$ necessarily has $Q$ as a component, hence the general curve of degree 6 does not contain a set of points like $Z$.

The difference of the Hilbert function of $R/I_Z$ is $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 2)$. The minimal free resolution of $I_Z$ is
\[0 \to O(-6) \oplus O(-7) \oplus O(-8)^2 \to O(-5)^3 \oplus O(-7)^2 \to I_Z \to 0.\]
Thus the dHB matrix for $R/I_Z$ is
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Let us follow the procedure of the solution to Problem 5.1, asking if a general plane curve of degree 6 contains a zero-dimensional scheme with this dHB matrix. Since $d = 6$, we add the row $(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1)$:
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
This matrix satisfies both conditions in Theorem 5.1, hence the theorem asserts that a general plane curve of degree 6 contains a zero-dimensional subset with a dHB matrix equal to $Q$.

However, this does not contradict the observation at the beginning of this example. Indeed, in the family of zero-dimensional schemes in $\mathbb{P}^2$ whose difference of the Hilbert function is $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 2)$ (an irreducible family), the general element, $Y$, has minimal free resolution
\[0 \to O(-6) \oplus O(-8)^2 \to O(-5)^3 \oplus O(-7) \to I_Y \to 0.\]

The algorithm shows that a general curve of degree 6 contains a set of points with this minimal free resolution, so adding a trivial summand $O(-7)$ to both free modules gives $Q$.

Corollary 6.2 can also be used as a way to determine quickly the existence of linear series on a general plane curve, with preassigned index of speciality for sums $D + zH, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us give one example.

Example 6.7. Let $C$ be a general plane curve of degree 8. It is easy to compute, using the Brill-Noether theory, that $C$ has (special) linear series $g^2_{20}$. For a divisor $D$ of degree 20, let us furthermore consider the following properties ($H$ is a linear divisor):

(A) $D + H$ is non-special;

(B) $D - H$ is effective.

We will show that $C$ contains different complete $g^2_{20}$'s, whose divisors $D$ satisfy all possible combinations of properties (A) and (B).

Let $D$ be a divisor on $C$, which we will also consider as a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Call $I_D$ its homogeneous ideal and $Hf$ its Hilbert function.
Then by Riemann-Roch and adjunction, $D$ belongs to a complete $g^2_{20}$ on $C$ if and only if $\dim(I_D)_3 = 3$ (i.e. $H^f(5) = 18$). Furthermore, $D + H$ is non-special if and only if $\dim(I_D)_4 = 0$ (i.e. $H^f(4) = 15$), and $D - H$ is effective if and only if $\dim(I_D)_6 > 8$ (i.e. $H^f(6) \leq 19$).

In order for there to be a complete $g^2_{20}$, the possible Hilbert functions for $D$ are

(a) $1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 18, 20, \ldots$

(b) $1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, \ldots$

(c) $1, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 20, \ldots$

(d) $1, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 19, 20, \ldots$

Then one checks with our methods that all four kinds of $g^2_{20}$’s exist on $C$, and one sees immediately from the above that (a) has property (A) only, (b) has properties (A) and (B), (c) has neither property, and (d) has property (B) only.
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