Potent Inhibition of Late Stages of Hepadnavirus Replication by a Modified Cell Penetrating Peptide
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Abstract

Cationic cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) and their lipid domain-conjugates (CatLip) are agents for the delivery of (uncharged) biologically active molecules into the cell. Using infection and transfection assays we surprisingly discovered that CatLip peptides were able to inhibit replication of Duck Hepatitis B Virus (DHBV), a reference model for human HBV. Amongst twelve CatLip peptides we identified Deca-(Arg)8 having a particularly potent antiviral activity, leading to a drastic inhibition of viral particle secretion without detectable toxicity. Inhibition of virion secretion was correlated with a dose-dependent increase in intracellular viral DNA. Deca-(Arg)8 peptide did neither interfere with DHBV entry, nor with formation of mature nucleocapsids nor with their travelling to the nucleus. Instead, Deca-(Arg)8 caused envelope protein accumulation in large clusters as revealed by confocal laser scanning microscopy indicating severe structural changes of preS/S. Sucrose gradient analysis of supernatants from Deca-(Arg)8-treated cells showed unaffected naked viral nucleocapsids release, which was concomitant with a complete arrest of virion and surface protein-containing subviral particle secretion. This is the first report showing that a CPP is able to drastically block hepadnaviral release from infected cells by altering late stages of viral morphogenesis via interference with enveloped particle formation, without affecting naked nucleocapsid egress, thus giving a view inside the mode of inhibition. Deca-(Arg)8 may be a useful tool for elucidating the hepadnaviral secretory pathway, which is not yet fully understood. Moreover we provide the first evidence that a modified CPP displays a novel antiviral mechanism targeting another step of viral life cycle compared to what has been so far described for other enveloped viruses.

Introduction

Throughout the last decade cationic cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) such as Tat, Penetratin, or oligoarginines, have been identified and characterized by their ability to be internalized by mammalian cells [1,2,3,4]. CPPs are short cationic peptides of 5–40 amino acids, with the ability to pass the lipophilic barrier of the cellular membranes by yet not fully elucidated receptor-independent mechanisms, although endocytic pathways seem to play a major role for most of the CPPs. They also have the capacity to promote cellular delivery of covalently or noncovalently conjugated bioactive cargoes such as peptides, peptide nucleic acids (PNA) and proteins into cells. Clinical applications have been suggested for the transfer of antibiotics and other drugs [5,6,7]. Moreover, it has been found that CPPs display a broad range of antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, or even antitumoral activities [8,9,10,11,12]. Antiviral activities of cationic peptides were shown to be related to the interference with viral adsorption and entry process or are a result of a direct effect on the viral envelope [10]. Such antiviral activities were reported for different enveloped viruses (e.g., HIV, HSV and VSV) [10]. Examples of CPPs inhibiting Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) replication have not yet been reported. HBV is a prototype member of the hepadnavirus family, which comprises mammalian and avian hepadnaviruses. All members share a common replication strategy and the Duck Hepatitis B Virus (DHBV), avian hepadnavirus, is frequently used as a model in pharmacological investigations. HBV is a small enveloped virus with a partially double stranded DNA genome. It causes acute and chronic liver infection. Chronic HBV infection represents a major public health problem with 350 million chronically infected individuals [13]. Chronic HBV infection is the major cause of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in numerous regions of the world [14] leading to 600,000 deaths per year. Present therapeutic agents for HBV address either the host immune system (α-interferon) or inhibit viral reverse transcription (e.g. the nucleoside inhibitors Lamivudine (3TC), Adefovir, Entecavir). Both therapies have, however, severe side effects and their efficacy is either partial with
less than 30% (interferon) [15] or counteracted by the development of resistances. Moreover the current antiviral treatments do not eliminate the covalently closed viral DNA (cccDNA), a viral minichromosome that is responsible for the persistence of infection. Therefore, alternative therapeutic approaches for chronic hepatitis B are highly warranted.

The life cycle of HBV begins with the binding of HBV virions to surface receptors, which are not unequivocally identified yet. Internalization is realized by endocytosis without posttranslational modification of virus interior or acidification [16]. The genome-containing capsid becomes released into the cytoplasm, migrates via microtubules to the nucleus [17] into which the viral DNA becomes released [18]. Subsequently, the genome is repaired by cellular polymerases to a covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), which stays episomal [19]. The cccDNA is the template for viral messenger RNA (mRNA) synthesis including the viral pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) [19,20]. The mRNAs are exported unspliced to the cytoplasm where translation occurs. The pgRNA encodes for the viral polymerase and the core protein. Two hundred forty copies of the core protein assemble to the viral capsid into which the viral polymerase attached to the encapsidation signal ε on the pgRNA become encapsidated. The pgRNA is then reverse transcribed followed by synthesis of an incomplete second strand (RC-DNA) [19,21]. These mature capsids have two possible fates: early in infection they transport the progeny genome to the nucleus leading to cccDNA amplification [21,22]. Later in infection they attach to the viral surface proteins leading to secretion of progeny virions. In contrast to HIV, JV and HSV where interaction with the surface proteins takes place at the plasma membrane, HBV capsid – surface protein interaction occurs at the preGolgi compartment. Like many other viruses (retroviruses and some RNA viruses) externalization requires multivesicular bodies despite of intracellular interaction with surface proteins [23]. The viral surface proteins are synthesized in excess to the number of molecules needed for virus formation. The super numerous surface proteins form subviral particles, which leave the cell by secretion without the need of multivesicular body formation.

It is well known in cell culture experiments (in particular after transfections) that some conditions also favor the secretion of naked capsids, which are not surrounded by the viral surface proteins [23,24,25]. These capsids leave the cells by budding, probably at the plasma membrane involving Alix protein and independently of the ESCRT complex [26].

Since HBV has an extremely narrow host range infecting only humans and chimpanzee, the closely related DHBV represents a reference and a very useful model to analyze gene function, viral replication and to evaluate novel antiviral strategies [27,28,29,30,31,32,33]. In search for new anti-HBV approaches, we have investigated in this model the ability of Peptide Nucleic Acids (PNAs) targeting the HBV signal ε to inhibit viral reverse transcription. PNAs, a third generation antisense agents, are uncharged molecules, and covalent conjugation to CPPs improves their cellular uptake [34]. We have previously reported in the DHBV infection model that conjugation of a PNA targeting virus ε to a CPP efficiently inhibited viral replication in primary duck hepatocyte culture [35]. Recent reports showed that the cellular bioavailability of CPP-PNAs could be considerably further improved by chemical conjugation to a lipid domain such as a fatty acid [36]. Thus, such CatLip peptides are a new family of drug delivery conjugates that can enhance both cellular uptake as well as endosome escape of the PNA conjugate. We were initially interested in testing such PNA-CatLip conjugates for their ability to inhibit hepadnaviral replication using the DHBV model.

Surprisingly, in a pilot study using as a negative control a CatLip alone, in the absence of its PNA cargo, we observed inhibition of DHBV replication.

This intriguing observation prompted us to test a series of CatLip peptides for their ability to inhibit hepadnaviral replication in vitro, using the DHBV infection model, in virus-infected primary duck hepatocytes (PDH) or in previously described stably DHBV-transfected LMH-D2 cells [37,38]. Using different fatty acid domains and oligo-arginine lengths we identified Decanoyl-(Arg)n (Deca-(Arg)n) as the most effective CatLip inhibiting DHBV release in virus-infected PDH or LMH-D2 cells. We further identified the secretion step in which Deca-(Arg)n interfered with DHBV replication. We provide here the first evidence that such modified CPP can drastically alter hepadnaviral secretion without interfering with viral adsorption or entry process as demonstrated for other enveloped viruses. Moreover this is the first report demonstrating that a modified CPP can alter viral morphogenesis and block subviral particle and viral particle release, targeting yet another step of viral life cycle compared to what has been described for other viruses.

**Results**

**Inhibition of DHBV Secretion by Different CatLip**

To investigate whether different CatLip conjugates could inhibit DHBV replication, a series of oligo-arginines-based CatLip were synthesized and evaluated for their antiviral activity against DHBV (Table 1). All tested CatLip led to a decrease in DHBV secretion in both PDH and LMH-D2 cells with IC_{50} ranging from 0.6 to 2.8 μM (Table 1). By varying the number of arginines in the polycationic domain and the number of carbon atoms in the fatty acid chain, the decanoyl derivatized octa-arginine, Deca-(Arg)_8, was identified as the most efficient inhibitor of DHBV secretion in both PDH and LMH-D2 cells, leading to a decrease of viral release by 86% and 88%, respectively, as compared to the untreated controls (Table 1, Fig. 1A–B). Based on these results we chose Deca-(Arg)_8 for further studies of the impact of CatLip on DHBV replication.

To examine toxic effects of the Deca-(Arg)_8 peptide on PDH and LMH-D2 cells, the cells were exposed daily (concentrations ranking from 1 μM to 4 μM) to Deca-(Arg)_8 for 6 days and cell viability was assayed by MTT test. No statistically significant difference was found between the viability of control (untreated) cells and peptide-exposed cells, indicating that inhibition of DHBV secretion was not associated with Deca-(Arg)_8 cytotoxicity (Fig. 1C).

To further explore the antiviral effect of Deca-(Arg)_8, we investigated the kinetics of DHBV release following administration of different peptide doses. Deca-(Arg)_8 was administrated daily at 0.25 to 2 μM to LMH-D2 and PDH cells during 4 and 6 days, respectively, and the impact on viral release was monitored in cell culture supernatants. The results shown in Figure 2 confirmed that Deca-(Arg)_8 treatment induced a strong and dose-dependent decrease in DHBV release in both PDH and LMH-D2 cells. Thus 2 μM dose of Deca-(Arg)_8 led to a decrease in DHBV secretion reaching 90% at day 7, showing a similar inhibition efficiency as 3-TC at 100 μM (Fig. 2). To test whether the peptide Deca-(Arg)_8 may also block HBV release, we have evaluated the effect of Deca-(Arg)_8 on HBV release in stably HBV-transfected cell line HepG2.2.15 (constitutively secreting virus). Deca-(Arg)_8 was administrated daily at 0.5 to 4 μM to HepG2.2.15 during 5 days, and the impact on viral release was monitored in cell culture supernatants by dot-blot. Thus, we observed a dose-dependent inhibition of HBV release up to 50% with 4 μM of Deca-(Arg)_8 in supernatants of HepG2.2.15 (Supplementary data Fig. S1).
To analyze whether antiviral activity is caused solely by the alkyl chain or by the cationic peptide, a 5-days treatment with (Arg)_8 (0.5 to 2 mM) or decanoic acid (from 0.5 to 10 mM) was performed. While decanoic acid alone was unable to significantly decrease DHBV secretion, (Arg)_8 treatment by contrast led to a dose-dependent inhibition of DHBV secretion, although at considerably lower inhibition efficacy as compared to Deca-(Arg)_8 (i.e. 60% versus 90% of inhibition, Supplementary data Fig. S2).

**Internalization of Deca-(Arg)_8**

Next we analyzed cellular internalization of Deca-(Arg)_8-FITC and Arg8-FITC in PDH cells using fluorescence microscopy. The data presented in Figure 3A shows that both (Arg)_8-FITC and Deca-(Arg)_8-FITC were internalized in a dose-dependent manner. From a concentration of 0.5 μM onwards a higher number of FITC-labelled cells were observable using Deca-(Arg)_8-FITC while Arg8-FITC treated cells remained negative, indicating an increased efficiency of internalization. This difference was even more striking at 2 μM, where 70% of FITC-labelled cells were (Arg)_8-FITC positive, whereas the percentage of labelled cells raised to more than 90% for the Deca-(Arg)_8-FITC at the same concentration (Figure 3A). This finding is in accordance with the higher inhibitory effect of Deca-(Arg)_8 as compared to (Arg)_8, and strongly support the conclusion that the hydrophobic moiety contributes to a better cell entry of CatLip [36,39].

**Deca-(Arg)_8 does not Reduce DHBV Infectivity or PDH Susceptibility to Viral Infection**

Because cationic antimicrobial peptides are known to exhibit a potent membrane disruption and antiviral activity, we next asked...
whether Deca-(Arg)₈ inhibits DHBV infection either by direct inactivation of DHBV surface or by interaction with either the cellular plasma membrane or the DHBV receptor. Thus, DHBV-positive inoculum was pre-incubated with Deca-(Arg)₈ prior to PDH infection to analyze the impact on viral infectivity. In a second experiment PDH cells were pre-incubated with Deca-(Arg)₈ before DHBV infection. However, as illustrated in Figure 3B neither virus pre-treatment with Deca-(Arg)₈ nor cells pre-incubation with this peptide affected the outcome of DHBV infection.

Effect of Deca-(Arg)₈ on DHBV Replication

To determine whether the decrease in DHBV secretion is caused by an inhibition of virus replication, LMH-D2 cells and PDHs were treated with different concentration of Deca-(Arg)₈ followed by quantification of viral RNA and intracellular DNA replication intermediates by Northern and Southern blot, respectively. As a control, cells were treated by 3-TC, which is a specific inhibitor of the DHBV polymerase [32,33]. As expected 3-TC prevented cccDNA formation in infected PDHs while transfected LMH-D2 cells did not exhibit any detectable cccDNA at all (Figure 4). The latter observation is in accordance with findings of others that transfection of cell lines does not generate significant numbers of cccDNA [40]. As the cccDNA is the template for mRNA synthesis the observation of a 3-TC mediated reduction of viral mRNA synthesis in PDH is also conclusive. An unspecific effect on transcription can be excluded as mRNA levels of viral envelope and core protein were not changed neither in PDH nor in LMH-D2 cells (Fig. 5A–B). Core protein assembly also remained unchanged in both cell types as indicated by the migration of capsids in native agarose gels (Fig. 5C–D). Accordingly, DNA intermediates which should be within the capsids were also unaffected by Deca-(Arg)₈ treatment, in contrast to the control to which 3-TC was added (Fig. 5C–D).

Deca-(Arg)₈ Induces Intracellular Envelope Clustering

As our data showed that Deca-(Arg)₈ did not affect DHBV entry, cccDNA formation and protein synthesis we hypothesized that the inhibitory effect was based on virion formation or secretion. First we analyzed the intracellular distribution of viral surface proteins by confocal laser scanning microscopy using indirect immune fluorescence. We investigated the localization of the surface proteins and the core protein/capsids; the latter are indistinguishable by the antibody. Figure 6 illustrates that Deca-(Arg)₈ treatment resulted in a decrease in number of small surface protein staining signals while large signals increased (Fig. 6A–B). This effect was more prominent at the higher peptide concentration (4 μM) in both cell types. Following Deca-(Arg)₈ treatment, 55% of the preS/S staining was localized in structures exhibiting a size larger than 225 μm², whereas in the absence of treatment such large clusters represented only 9% of the total signal (Fig. 6A–B and data not shown).

Table 1. CPP sequences and IC50 of DHBV release.

| CPPs       | Sequences                              | IC₅₀ (μM) |
|------------|----------------------------------------|----------|
| (Arg)₆     | H-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂                     | 0.6      |
| Octa-(Arg)₈| Octanoic acid (C8:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂ | 0.9      |
| Deca-(Arg)₈| Decanoic acid (C10:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.8      |
| Deca-(Arg)₉| Decanoic acid (C10:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.6      |
| Deca-(Arg)₁₀| Decanoic acid (C10:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.4      |
| Dodeca-(Arg)₉| Decanoic acid (C12:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 0.9      |
| Myrist-(Arg)₈| Myristic acid (C14:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.2      |
| Palmit-(Arg)₉| Palmitic acid (C16:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.8      |
| Stear-(Arg)₉| Stearic acid (C18:0)-D(WRRRRRRRG)-NH₂| 1.9      |
| Cholest-(Arg)₉| Cholesteryl(C31)-RRRRRRRG-NH₂| 1.8      |
| Palmit-Lys₄| Decanoic acid (C10:0)-RRRRRRKRG-NH₂| 2.3      |
| (Arg)₈-FITC| Fluoresceinyl-lysine-RRRRRRRG-NH₂| ND       |
| Deca-(Arg)₈-FITC| Decanoic acid (C10:0)-Fluoresceinyl-lysine-RRRRRRRG-NH₂| ND       |

Sequences are shown in uppercase letters for peptides. The amino acids are D amino acids isomers. The carbohydrates chains are shown in lowercase letters. IC₅₀ represent the concentration of CPP resulting in 50% inhibition of DHBV release in PDH and LMH-D2 cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048721.t001

Inhibition of Hepadnavirus Replication by a CPP

Effect of Deca-(Arg)₈ on Viral Structural Proteins Expression and Capsid Formation

We next analyzed the possible impact of Deca-(Arg)₈ on viral protein expression. Following peptide treatment the expression levels of viral envelope and core protein were not changed neither in PDH nor in LMH-D2 cells (Fig. 5A–B). Core protein assembly also remained unchanged in both cell types as indicated by the migration of capsids in native agarose gels (Fig. 5C–D). Accordingly, DNA intermediates which should be within the capsids were also unaffected by Deca-(Arg)₈ treatment, in contrast to the control to which 3-TC was added (Fig. 5C–D).
Core proteins/capsids showed only a partial overlap with the surface proteins. Such partial superposition was expected as secretion and capsid-surface protein interaction depends upon genome maturation inside the capsid [41] and because the majority of the capsids either contained immature DNA or were empty. Core protein/capsid distribution appeared, however, to be unchanged upon Deca-(Arg)₈ treatment.

Effect of Deca-(Arg)₈ on Intracellular Viral Morphogenesis

To examine the effect of Deca-(Arg)₈ on intracellular viral morphogenesis, we separated viral capsids and surface proteins from cell homogenates on linear iodixanol density-gradients. Analysis was restricted to transfected LMH-D2 cells as PDH cells are not available in sufficient quantities. The quality of the gradient and the presence of intact organelles in the homogenate were controlled in a blot using Rab5B as a marker of early endosomes. As expected the organelle migrated at a low density in lighter fractions of 1.02–1.06 g/mL as previously reported [42,43].

In untreated cells (Fig. 7A–B), DHBV DNA and nucleocapsids were concentrated within fractions 13–17 (density 1.14–1.22 g/mL). A number of capsids migrated in slightly lighter fractions (fraction 11, density 1.12 g/mL) not superimposing the DHBV DNA or surface protein peaks. This is in agreement with the immune fluorescence data, which implied the presence of a significant number of either immature or empty capsids not attached to the surface proteins. The observed density is in agreement with sedimentation of immature and mature HBV capsids (0.02 g/ml difference) using a similar separation medium [44]. The majority of the preS/S proteins co-migrated with DHBV DNA and capsids but preS/S proteins were also found in lighter fractions (fractions 1–5, Fig. 7A–B). These observations showed that the majority of surface proteins were likely either directly attached to capsids or bound to the same cellular compartment. The light fractions migrated similar to PDI. PDI is a marker for the ER [45] and the presence of surface proteins in PDI-containing fractions might indicate the part of preS/S proteins after synthesis which has not already been transported to downstream compartments.

Deca-(Arg)₈-treatment caused a significant shift of DHBV DNA towards lighter fractions (fractions 11–14; density 1.12–1.14 g/mL) with co-migration of the core protein (fractions 11–13, Fig. 7C–D). PreS/S proteins migrated in the heavier fractions and only a low percentage showed co-migration with viral DNA and capsids. There was, however, another surface protein peak in very

Figure 2. Dose dependent inhibition of hepadnaviral release by Deca-(Arg)₈ in different cell culture systems. DHBV-infected PDHs (A), and stable transfected LMH-D2 cells (B) were treated with increasing amounts of Deca-(Arg)₈ ranking from 0.25 μM to 2 μM in duplicates for 6 and 4 days, respectively. Cell culture supernatants were collected daily during treatment. The kinetics of viral release in cell culture supernatants, monitored by dot-blot hybridization and quantified by PhosphorImager scanning using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics) is represented on the upper panel. Relative areas under curves determined by the means of duplicate, compared to untreated cells, are represented in the lower panel. The curves are representative of at least two independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048721.g002
light fractions (fractions 1–5) which corresponded to PDI migration. Thus, the large majority of the core proteins and DHBV DNA that sedimented in the fractions 11–13 (with absence of surface proteins) indicates that these fractions were likely naked nucleocapsids.

Deca-(Arg)_8 Inhibits the Release of Subviral Particles (SVPs) and Complete Virions

To investigate if intracellular viral envelope protein clustering by Deca-(Arg)_8 treatment also has an impact on secretion of the surface proteins in subviral particles and virions, we analyzed LMH-D2 cells supernatants by sucrose gradient centrifugation. In the untreated LMH-D2 cells supernatant, DHBV preS/S proteins were detected by Western blot as a major peak within fractions 7 to 12 (1.10 to 1.15 g/mL) (Fig. 8A–B). The fact that the large majority of the preS/S proteins sedimented in fractions 7–9 (with absence of core proteins and DHBV DNA), indicates that these fractions were likely subviral particles. Encapsidated DHBV DNA, indicated by its resistance to nucleases, showed two peaks, one within fractions 10 to 12 (1.13 to 1.15 g/mL) and the second one in fractions 16 to 17 (1.21 to 1.22 g/mL), corresponding to complete virions and most likely to naked nucleocapsids, respectively (Fig. 8A–B). Peaks of DHBV DNA coincided with the migration of DHBV capsids, which were separated on an agarose gel under native conditions (Fig. 8A–B). As illustrated in Figure 8, Deca-(Arg)_8 treatment completely suppressed the peak of SVPs and virions in fractions 7 to 12 but still showed the peaks of capsids and DNA in the heavy fractions 16 and 17 (1.21 to 1.22 g/mL) corresponding to naked capsids. PreS/S proteins were undetect-
able throughout the entire gradient implying that Deca-(Arg)\textsubscript{8} mediated clustering interfered not only with virion but also subviral particle secretion and confirmed that the capsids in fractions 16 and 17 in fact represented naked capsids (Fig. 8C–D).

Discussion

CPPs and CatLip peptides are interesting ligands for the delivery of (uncharged) biologically active molecules [5,7,36]. We unexpectedly found that Deca-(Arg)\textsubscript{8}, belonging to a novel class of drug delivery conjugates designed to improve the bioavailability of their PNA cargo [36], was able to drastically inhibit DHBV and HBV release in a dose-dependent manner. Inhibition depended upon the length of the lipid moiety and the number of positively

![Figure 4: Inhibition of Hepadnavirus Replication by a CPP](image-url)
charged arginine residues. Strongest inhibition was observed for Deca-(Arg)₈. (Arg)₈ also exhibited an inhibitory effect, but this was less pronounced supporting the conclusion that the hydrophobic moiety contributes to a better cell entry of CatLip [39]. The arginine composition of our active compounds are in accordance with earlier substitution assays, showing that arginine give stronger HSV antiviral properties than lysine [46,47]. The lower inhibitory effect of (Arg)₈ compared to Deca-(Arg)₈ was consistent with a lower uptake using PDHs. These cells were chosen, as they are the natural targets for DHBV infection.

However, even at the highest concentration tested, only 90% of the PDHs became positive for Deca-(Arg)₈-FITC. This observation is in agreement with the 90% inhibition of DHBV DNA secretion. As we showed that Deca-(Arg)₈ acts on viral morphogenesis, these data also support that Deca-(Arg)₈-FITC was internalized into the cells and not only attached to cell surface. The observation that not all cells become FITC-positive argues against passive diffusion but signifies active uptake as it has been reported [3,4,7]. The finding further indicates that there are inter individual differences even in cell culture, which is in agreement

Figure 5. Effect of Deca-(Arg)₈ peptide treatment on viral structural proteins expression and on intracellular replication-competent core particles formation. Detection of viral structural proteins expression (DHBV-preS/S envelope, DHBV-S envelope and DHBV-core protein) of PDHs (A), LMH-D2 cells (B) by immunoblotting. After 5 days of treatment by different amount of Deca-(Arg)₈, cells were harvested and total protein recovered from 12 wells plates was loaded in each lane for SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Following transfer to a PVDF membrane envelope, core protein was detected with specific antibodies. β-actin, detected with mouse anti-human actin, was assayed as a loading control. The next two panels show the results of capsid gel analysis of the native nucleocapsids of PDHs (C), LMH-D2 cells (D). Core protein was detected by western blotting analysis using a rabbit antiserum reactive to purified DHBV nucleocapsids and the viral DNA was denatured with NaOH and the membrane was probed to detect viral DNA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048721.g005
with observation of others analyzing uptake of viruses in cultured cells [48,49].

In total three different pathways of CPP action are suggested in the literature [10]: by activation of innate cellular response (HIV, HSV), direct interaction with the virus (VSV, HSV and HIV) or interference with viral uptake via interaction with cellular receptors (HSV, HIV, and HCMV). Our findings that Deca-(Arg)_8 pretreatment of virus had no inhibitory effect implies that Deca-(Arg)_8 does not change the DHBV surface proteins in a way that interaction with the receptor or internalization becomes inhibited. As hepadnaviruses are sensitive to structural changes of the surface as for instance shown by disulfide bridge disruption or lipid removal [16], it is likely that Deca-(Arg)_8 does neither interact with the viral surface proteins nor with the integrated lipids. Similarly pretreatment of PDHs with Deca-(Arg)_8 did not interfere with DHBV infection supporting that the unknown DHBV receptor was not the target of the inhibitor in contrast to for example the interaction of glycoaminoglycans with HSV [50,51]. It further suggests that Deca-(Arg)_8 did not act via stimulation of innate immunity.

Analyzing the impact of Deca-(Arg)_8 on viral mRNA synthesis and intracellular genome maturation we used 3-TC as control. 3-TC is a nucleoside analogue inhibiting exclusively the viral polymerase at the used concentration [32,33]. Our observations indicate that Deca-(Arg)_8 does not block reverse transcription in contrast to 3-TC. Moreover, Deca-(Arg)_8 had no impact on all steps required for progeny capsid formation including mRNA synthesis and on retrograde transport, which occurs via microtubules [17], which is also in agreement with the lacking effect of Deca-(Arg)_8 on mRNA synthesis in LMH-D2 cells. The observed accumulation of replication intermediates in Deca-(Arg)_8-treated cells thus implies that the viral capsids comprising the replication intermediates were not able to leave the cells.

Native agarose gel electrophoresis demonstrated that the capsids from Deca-(Arg)_8-treated cells migrated identical to those of the untreated control. This finding demonstrates that there was no change of the capsids surface charge due to Deca-(Arg)_8 attachment as the surface charge is the main physical property determining protein migration in native gels. The observation thus means that a restriction of capsid escape from the cells was likely

Figure 6. Deca-(Arg)_8 induces an abnormal distribution and an accumulation of viral envelope proteins in large clusters. LMH-D2 cells (A) and DHBV infected PDHs (B) were treated with increased concentrations (1–4 μM) of Deca-(Arg)_8 peptide. Four days after treatment the cells were fixed and immunostained for DHBV-core (rows 2) and DHBV-PreS/S (row 3) using rabbit anti-DHBV-core and mouse anti-DHBV-PreS/S antibodies, respectively. The primary antibodies were followed by staining with AlexaFlour 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or AlexaFlour 555-conjugated goat anti-mouse, and the fluorescent signals of DHBV-core (green) and DHBV-PreS/S (red) are shown in corresponding row in absence or presence of Deca-(Arg)_8 treatment (A; columns 1–4) (B; columns 1–2). The overlays of the fluorescences are shown in the bottom row (A–B). (A) Magnificationx100; (B) Magnificationx40.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048721.g006
neither due to a changed structure of the capsids nor to a loading of capsid surface with Deca-(Arg)$_8$.

The physiological capsid exit of the cells requires virion formation driven by an interaction between the mature capsid and the preS domain of the surface proteins [22,52]. PreS has thus to be partially exposed to the cytoplasmic side. In fact preS shows a dual topology with some domains exposed to the exterior of the virus (luminal side of the ER) and to the inner side of the virus (corresponding to the cytosolic exposure) [22,53]. It was suggested that the preS domain can switch its orientation by a mechanism, which is not known [53]. Our observation that Deca-(Arg)$_8$ treatment causes cluster formation may suggest severe structural changes of preS/S, which may prevent interaction with the capsid. In fact, gradient centrifugation of cell culture supernatants and cell lysates from LMH-D2 cells confirmed that Deca-(Arg)$_8$ treatment inhibited virion formation and secretion, although intracellular preS/S synthesis was not impaired. In accordance with these findings we observed that in Deca-(Arg)$_8$-treated cells, subviral particles were no more secreted although they use a different secretion pathway compared to complete virions [23,52,54]. However, the observation that naked capsids were still released corroborated the conclusion that the primary target of Deca-(Arg)$_8$ is the proper formation of preS/S multimers prior to virion formation. The inhibition of subviral particle and virion release could be explained by the abnormal distribution and the accumulation of preS/S envelope proteins in large clusters observed under Deca-(Arg)$_8$ treatment. Indeed, like other typical membrane proteins, the DHBV envelope proteins are synthesized within the endoplasmic reticulum and gain into a relatively complex topology, with four transmembrane domains required for proper folding, being essential for recruitment of a mature viral nucleocapsids, nucleocapsid envelopment, SVPs formation and virion/SVP budding [22]. As CPPs interact directly with cellular membranes and destabilize the lipid bilayer [3], it can be suggested that Deca-(Arg)$_8$ by interacting with different intracellular membranes interferes with the formation of virions and SVPs and by the fact their release. Although we do not know if Deca-(Arg)$_8$ exhibits a specificity for one specific intracellular membrane it appears likely that such interference may change surface protein conformation and/or availability (e.g. sequestration in

Figure 7. Analysis of subcellular fractions from LMH-D2 for viral and cellular markers. Homogenates of cells were subfractionated using a 0%–30% iodixanol-based linear density gradient and 17 fractions were recovered from top to bottom. Aliquots from untreated cells (A) or Deca-(Arg)$_8$ treated cells (C) of each fraction were separated by 4%–20% gradient SDS-PAGE and analyzed for viral envelope, core protein, and organelle marker proteins PDI (ER), Rab5B (early endosomes). Images of immunoblot were juxtaposed. Viral DNA in the same fractions was analyzed by Dot blot hybridization. In the bottom, the deduced gradient positions and quantification of the viral markers from untreated cells (B) or Deca-(Arg)$_8$ treated cells (D) are represented together with the density profile of the gradient.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048721.g007
a compartment) leading to inhibition of SVP and virion formation and release. In conclusion our data are consistent and provide new highlights to the recent observations [23,26] demonstrating that the egress of naked hepadnaviral capsid implies a non-vesicular exocytosis process, unlike complete virions and subviral particle release pathways.

Taken together, our data provide the first evidence that a cationic lipopeptide, Deca-(Arg) 8, is able to dramatically decrease the release of DHBV virions and subviral particles from infected cells by alteration of late stages of DHBV morphogenesis, without affecting naked viral capsid release. Since Deca-(Arg) 8 does not target the viral polymerase, responsible for the emergence of resistant mutants, it may represent a potentially interesting compound for the development of new inhibitors against chronic hepatitis B, although the inhibitory activity of such CatLip peptides against human HBV needs to be further investigated.

In this regard, our preliminary data indicate already the dose-dependent inhibition of HBV release in Deca-(Arg) 8-treated HepG2.2.15 cells. Moreover, because the core antigen is extremely immunogenic, the secretion of large amounts of naked nucleocapsids following Deca-(Arg) 8 treatment may be of particular value for immune response stimulation in chronic HBV carriers. Finally, since Deca-(Arg) 8 inhibits the secretion of both subviral particles and virions, but does not interfere with naked nucleocapsid egress, it could represent a valuable tool for better understanding of hepadnaviral morphogenesis mechanisms and in particular their export pathway, which is far from being fully elucidated.

Methods

Synthesis of Cell-penetrating Peptides

The sequences of the CPPs are listed in Table 1. CPP synthesis was carried out using standard Fmoc-solid phase chemistry, and
the peptides were purified by RP-HPLC and characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry [6,39].

**Virus**

A pool of viremic sera from ducklings infected with the cloned and sequenced DHBV was used as an inoculum [53]. This inoculum was quantified into virus genome virus equivalents (vge) by quantitative dot blot hybridization as previously described [28].

**Cell Culture**

Primary duck hepatocytes (PDH) were isolated from Pekin duck embryos as previously described [56]. DHBV infection was performed at the same time as plating by overnight incubation (16 h) of the hepatocytes with a virus-positive duck serum (30 virus genome equivalents (vge) per cell). The previously described avian hepatoma DHBV transfected LMH-D2 cell line [37,38] was grown as previously described [57]. The stably HBV-transfected cell line HepG2.2.15 was grown as previously described [58]. Different amounts of CatLip were added daily to the culture medium from day 1 post-seeding and cells were lyzed at the end of treatment for analysis of intracellular viral DNA, RNA, and proteins. The growth medium was harvested and changed daily. The cellular toxicity was analyzed by daily examination of cells with a light microscope and by a cellular toxicity test based on the (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium-bromide (MTT) assay.

**Analysis of DHBV DNA**

Cells supernatants were harvested daily, spotted onto positively charged nylon membrane and extracellular DHBV DNA was detected in supernatant of cells by dot blot hybridization assay using a full-length 32P-labelled DHBV probe as described previously [28]. For DHBV intermediate replicative forms analysis, DNA was extracted from cells and 10 μg of the total cellular DNA were subjected to electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel, followed by Southern blot analysis and hybridization with 32P-labeled genomic DHBV probe as previously described [28]. Viral DNA was quantified by PhosphorImager scanning using Im-}

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed in a TNE buffer supplemented with 0.5% of Triton X100. Cellular debris were removed by centrifugation (10,000 g-2 min). An aliquot of each sample (10 μg) was denaturated with 1/5th volume of 5×Laemmlı buffer and boiled for 5 min. The samples were separated by 12% acrylamide SDS-PAGE and subsequently proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes. Following blocking with 10% dried milk diluted in Phosphate-buffered saline IX (PBS), the membrane was incubated for 2 h at room temperature (RT) or overnight at 4°C with anti-DHBV proteins antibodies. After 3 washings with PBST (PBS, tween 0.1%), viral proteins were detected using a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (at a dilution of 1:5,000) with a chemiluminescent substrate (ECL-Plus, Amersham). The S and pre-S antigens were revealed in the supernatants of transfected LMH, LMH-D2 or PDH cultures by the same method as described above. The immunoblot signals were quantified using a chemiluminescence imager (BioRad).

**Subcellular Fractionation and Iodixanol Gradient Ultracentrifugation**

LMH-D2 cells were plated into 150 mm dishes at a density of 1.107 cells per dish and were treated daily with 2 and 4 μM of Deca-(Arg)n respectively. At the end of treatment plates were washed twice with homogenization buffer (HB) (0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 60 mM HEPES pH 7.4, and protease inhibitor cocktail; Roche) and harvested by gentle scraping into 2.5 ml of homogenization buffer. Subcellular fractionation was performed following iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation as described by Mhamdi et al. [43]. The suspensions were centrifuged for 4 minutes at 100 g and 4°C, and then the pellets were washed twice with HB. Afterwards, the pellets were resuspended in 0.5 mL HB and were homogenized in a glass homogenizer for 20 strokes. The homogenates were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2,500 g and 4°C and the postnuclear supernatant (PNS) were transferred into a new tube. The pellet was resuspended in 500 μL HB, recentrifuged and the obtained PNS were pooled with the first PNS. For a 0%–30% linear iodixanol gradient, we initially diluted a stock solution of 60% (wt/vol) Iodixanol (optiprep, Sigma-Aldrich) to 30% by adding 1 volume of Optiprep to 1 volume of the HB solution. With this working solution, 12 mL of discontinuous density step gradients (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30% iodixanol in HB) were prepared. The PNS were loaded on top of the gradient and centrifuged for 2 hours at 288,000 g and 4°C in a Beckman SW Ti 41 rotor, followed by 17 fractions collection.

**Indirect Immune Fluorescence Detection of Viral Antigens by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy**

LMH-D2 and PDH cells were plated into glass chambers and were treated with different amount of Deca-(Arg)n peptide daily during 4 days. Cell preparation and immune staining for DHBV-core and DHBV-PreS/S was performed as previously described

(Amersham Hybond™-N+ and Hybond™-P) by capillary transfer in TNE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). The nylon membrane was soaked in 0.5 M NaOH–1.5 M NaCl and neutralized in 0.2 M Tris-HCl; (pH 7.5)–1.5 M NaCl (3 min each) and was fixed by heating at 90°C for 1 h. Viral specific nuclei acids were detected with a 32P labeled probe of full-length linear viral genome. Core protein was detected on the PVDF membrane using the rabbit polyclonal anti-DHBV antisem and a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody with a chemiluminescent substrate (ECL-Plus, Amersham).

**Antibodies and Plasmids**

DHBV-preS/S and DHBV-core proteins were detected using previously described rabbit polyclonal antibodies [59,60]. DHBV-S protein was detected with a mouse monoclonal antibody [61]. β-actin, Rab5b and protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) was detected with primary polyclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The construction of plasmids pCI-preS/S was performed as previously described [27,62].
The cells on the cover slips were analyzed by confocal laser scan microscopy using a Leica SP5 LSM and a 63× lens. Images were taken at a pinhole of 1 and the filter settings for the corresponding fluorophore. The recorded images were analyzed by ImageJ software and statistical analysis was performed using Excel. Figures of the images were arranged using Adobe Photoshop.

Extracellular DHBV Particles Purification and Sucrose Gradient Ultracentrifugation
LMH-D2 cells were plated into 150 mm dishes at a density of 1.10^7 cells per dishes and were treated daily with 2 μM of Deca-(Arg)8 for 4 days as described above. Supernatants were centrifuged at 8,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C, filtered through 0.45-μm membranes and ultracentrifuged at 25,000 g for 4 hours at 4°C. Pellets were then resuspended in 1 mL of TNE, layered at the top of a 10% to 60% sucrose linear gradient, and submitted to isopycnic ultracentrifugation for 16 hours at 45,000 g at 4°C. 17 fractions (700 μL each) were then collected from the top of the gradient. Fractions were analyzed by immunoblot for viral proteins and Dot blot hybridization assay for DHBV DNA.

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Dose dependent inhibition of hepadnaviral release by Deca-(Arg)8 in HBV-transfected HepG2 2.1.5. Stably HBV-transfected HepG2 2.1.5 cells were treated with different amounts of Deca-(Arg)8 ranking from 0.5 μM to 4 μM in duplicates for 5 days. Cell culture supernatants were collected daily during treatment. The kinetics of viral release in cell culture supernatants, monitored by dot-blot hybridization and quantified by PhosphorImager scanning using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics). Relative areas under curves determined by the means of duplicates, compared to untreated cells, are represented.
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