High resolution LAsMA $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO observation of the G305 giant molecular cloud complex

II. Effect of feedback on clump properties
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ABSTRACT

Context. Understanding the effect of feedback from young massive stars on the star-forming ability of their parental molecular clouds is of central importance for studies of the interstellar medium and star formation.

Aims. We observed the G305 star-forming complex in the $J = 3 – 2$ lines of $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO to investigate whether feedback from the central OB stars was triggering star formation in G305 or actually disrupting this process.

Methods. The region was decomposed into clumps using dendrogram analysis. A catalog of the clump properties such as their positions, luminosities, masses, radii, velocity dispersions, volume densities, and surface mass densities was created. The surface mass densities of the clumps were plotted as a function of the incident 8 $\mu$m flux. A mask of the region with 8 $\mu$m flux > 100 MJy sr$^{-1}$ was created and clumps were categorized into three classes based on their extent of overlap with the mask, namely mostly inside (>67% overlap), partly inside (>10 and <67% overlap), and outside (<10% overlap). The surface mass density distribution of each of these populations was separately plotted. This was followed by comparing the G305 clumps with the Galactic average taken from a distance-limited sample of ATLASGAL and CHIMPS clumps. Finally, the cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of the clump masses in G305 and their $L/M$ ratios were compared to that of the Galactic sample to determine which mechanism of feedback was dominant in G305.

Results. The surface mass densities of clumps showed a positive correlation with the incident 8 $\mu$m flux. The data did not have sufficient velocity resolution to discern the effects of feedback on the linewidths of the clumps. The subsample of clumps labeled mostly inside had the highest median surface mass densities followed by the partly inside and outside subsamples. The difference between the surface mass density distribution of the three subsamples were shown to be statistically significant using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The mostly inside sample also showed the highest level of fragmentation compared to the other two subsamples. These prove that the clumps inside the G305 region are triggered. The G305 clump population is also statistically different from the Galactic average population, the latter approximating that of a quiescent population of clumps. This provided further evidence that redistribution was not a likely consequence of feedback on the giant molecular cloud. The CDFs of clump masses and their $L/M$ ratios are both flatter than that of the Galactic average, indicating that clumps are heavier and more efficient at forming stars in G305 compared to the Galactic average.

Conclusions. Feedback in G305 has triggered star formation. The collect and collapse method is the dominant mechanism at play in G305.
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1. Introduction

G305 is one of the most massive and luminous star-forming giant molecular clouds (GMCs) in the Milky Way (Clark & Porter 2004, Fig. 1). It is located ~ 4 kpc (Clark & Porter 2004; Davies et al. 2012; Borissova et al. 2019) away from us at $l$ ~ 305$^\circ$, $b$ ~ 0$^\circ$ in the Scutum-Crux spiral arm of the Galactic plane. Its center has been cleared of the interstellar molecular gas by the stellar winds and the ionizing front originating from massive stars belonging to two visible central clusters (Danks 1 and 2) consisting of 21 OB stars and a Wolf-Rayet star (WR48a) (Clark & Porter 2004; Davies et al. 2012). A diffuse population of evolved massive stars has been found to exist inside the cavity with ages similar to that of the two clusters (Leistra et al. 2005; Shara et al. 2009; Mauerhan et al. 2011; Davies et al. 2012; Faimali et al. 2012; Borissova et al. 2019). The central cavity is also filled with ionized gas as shown by radio continuum observations by Hindson et al. (2012). Surrounding the central cavity is a thick layer of molecular gas (Hindson et al. 2010, 2013; Mazumdar et al. 2021). Multiple studies have reported star formation tracers: water and methanol masers, HII and ultra-compact (UC) HII regions, and massive young stellar objects
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Fig. 1. Three-color image (green = Spitzer-IRAC4 8 $\mu$m, red = Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) 21.3 $\mu$m, blue = LAsMA ($^{13}$CO(3–2) moment-0)) of the G305 star-forming complex. The 21.3 $\mu$m emission is dominated by hot dust in the HII region. The colder gas is traced by the $^{13}$CO(3–2) line emission. The interface between the ionized and molecular gas appears as a blend of green (strong 8 $\mu$m emission from PAHs), blue (colder molecular gas), and occasionally red (interfaces very close to HII regions). The positions of the Danks 1 and 2 clusters are given by the smaller and the larger white circles, respectively, and WR48a is shown as a white star.

The role played by feedback from high mass stars ($M > 8 M_\odot$) on their surrounding interstellar medium (ISM) has been a subject of active research. As is the case in G305, these stars exist in massive clusters located inside GMCs (Motte et al. 2018). They inject energy and momentum into their natal clouds via very strong stellar winds, ionizing radiation and eventually supernovae (Krumholz et al. 2014). Such feedback from OB stars can potentially enhance and/or disrupt the formation of subsequent generations of stars (Krumholz et al. 2014; Deharveng et al. 2010), consequently playing a vital role in the evolution of GMCs (Zinnecker & Yorke 2007). The G305 region, being a very extensively studied GMC, is a very good candidate to examine the effects of feedback on the GMC. Although circumstantial evidence of triggering in G305 exists (Clark & Porter 2004; Hindson et al. 2010), it has, as yet, not been definitively proven.

Having investigated the effects of feedback on the GMC as a whole, we are now interested in exploring the effects of feedback on the ability of the GMC to form subsequent generations of stars. GMCs show a hierarchical structure with clouds condensing into clumps that condense and fragment into cores, eventually forming stars (Blitz & Stark 1986; Lada 1992). Since clumps provide the environment and the raw material for star

(MYSOs) (Clark & Porter 2004; Hindson et al. 2012; Lumsden et al. 2013; Urquhart et al. 2014a; Green et al. 2009, 2012). Figure 1 shows a three-color composite image of G305 highlighting three aspects of the complex. The 21.3 $\mu$m Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) (Price et al. 2001) image is shown in red and traces the hot dust being heated by the OB stars within the cavity and towards the edges where the ionization front is interacting with the surrounding molecular gas. The cold molecular gas traced by the integrated $^{13}$CO (3–2) line emission (Mazumdar et al. 2021, hereafter Paper I) can be seen in blue being shrouded by emission from the 8 $\mu$m filter of the Spitzer Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) (Churchwell et al. 2009) shown in green. The IRAC traces the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) whose emission is excited by the far-UV photons emanating from the stars in the central cavity.
formation, the impact of feedback on the future generation of stars can be studied by their effect on the clump properties in a GMC. In this paper we decompose the molecular emission distribution in G305 into clumps and investigate their properties in an effort to study how feedback has affected clump properties and whether the observed star formation has been triggered.

A broad classification of the effects of feedback on star formation can lead to three different scenarios which we outline here:

Redistribution: the expansion of an HII region simply moves the star-forming clumps to its edge. The molecular cloud is already seeded with dense clumps that would collapse to form stars. An apparent enhancement of star formation observed on the periphery of the HII region is not necessarily caused by the HII region. However, overall star formation has not been enhanced. We call this the redistribution scenario.

Dispersion: in high mass star-forming regions feedback is very strong and can simply disperse most of the molecular material via various mechanisms (Krumholz et al., 2014, and references therein), thereby suppressing the ability of the GMC to form stars. We call this the dispersion scenario.

Triggering: in this case the feedback from the stars actually enhances or induces star formation in the natal cloud. Many mechanisms are known or hypothesized to be responsible for triggering, e.g., supernovae (de Geus, 1992; Nagakura et al., 2009, and references therein), cloud-cloud collisions (for a comprehensive review, see Fukai et al., 2021), collect and collapse (C&C) processes (Elmegreen & Lada, 1977; Dale et al., 2007), and radiation driven implosions (RDI) (Bertoldi, 1989; Bertoldi & McKee, 1990; Kessel-Deynet & Burkert, 2003; Lee & Chen, 2007). In G305, there has been an indication of the C&C mechanism playing a role in triggering star formation (Hindson et al., 2010). The C&C model was first proposed by Elmegreen & Lada (1977). In this scenario the expanding warm ionized gas sweeps up a shell of shocked cool neutral gas. This compressed and shocked layer may become gravitationally unstable along its surface on a long timescale. This process allows massive dense fragments to form, which quickly fragment in turn leading to the formation of a cluster of stars of roughly the same age (Whitworth et al., 1994; Deharveng et al., 2005). We therefore concentrate our efforts on testing this specific triggering mechanism in G305.

In this paper we aim to find out which of the three scenarios mentioned above is dominant. Based on observations of NH3 inversion lines, Hindson et al., (2010) found the concentration of star formation tracers in G305 to be enhanced inside a clump that faces the ionizing sources. The analysis presented in Hindson et al., (2010) is consistent with a triggering scenario, but the evidence is circumstantial. In order to robustly determine which of the three scenarios presented above dominates, we need to study the statistical properties of clumps, especially those related to star-forming properties of the clumps (e.g., their masses, luminosity to mass ratios, surface mass densities). If the feedback is simply moving the clumps around, there should not be any significant difference in the mass distribution function of the clumps in G305 when compared to the Galactic average. For the dispersion case we expect to see fewer clumps and fewer massive clumps. And finally, if triggering is dominant, we expect to see more massive clumps when compared to the Galactic average and, in case of an increased star-forming efficiency, a higher luminosity-to-mass ratio.

We discuss the observations and methods of data reduction in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we introduce the ancillary survey data used to aid our analysis. Sections 4 and 5 explain the details of the clump extraction method and how their properties were estimated. Section 6 explores the effect feedback has on certain clump properties and how this may support or reject different scenarios presented in this section. In Sect. 7 we compare the properties of G305 clumps with the average Galactic sample to provide support for one of the three scenarios. Finally, Sect. 8 presents the summary of our findings.

2. Observations and data reduction

The Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) (Güsten et al., 2006) telescope was used to observe the 1 degree squared region spanning a longitude range $305^\circ < l < 306^\circ$ and latitude $-0.5^\circ < b < 0.5^\circ$. The Large APEX sub-Millimeter Array (LAsMA) receiver (Güsten et al., 2008) was used to observe the J = 3−2 transitions of $^{12}$CO ($\nu_{\text{rest}} \sim 345.796$ GHz) and $^{13}$CO ($\nu_{\text{rest}} \sim 330.588$ GHz) simultaneously. The observations were done under project number M-099.F-9527A-2017.

The details of the observations, calibrations as well as data reduction have been described in Paper 1. Here we briefly summarize the whole process. The local oscillator frequency was set at $338,190$ GHz in order to avoid contamination of the $^{12}$CO (3−2) lines due to bright $^{12}$CO (3−2) emission from the image band. The whole region was observed using a position switching on-the-fly (OTF) technique in perpendicular directions in order to avoid systematic scanning effects. The data was calibrated using a three-load chopper wheel method, which is an extension of the standard method used for millimeter observations (Ulich & Haas, 1976) to calibrate the antenna temperature $T_A^*$ scale. The data were reduced using the GILDAS package. Baseline subtraction was done on each spectrum after masking the velocity range $-150$ to $50$ km s$^{-1}$ in order to avoid fitting emission features. The average of all the spectra was then used to mask the channels containing line emissions, and baseline fitting was repeated to obtain a cleaner and flatter baseline. The resulting reduced spectra were then gridded with a 6″ cell (hereafter referred to as a pixel) and convolved with a Gaussian kernel with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) size of one-third the telescope FWHM beam width. The final data cube has a spatial resolution of $20''$, and a velocity resolution of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$. In order to obtain the rms noise, the standard deviation was calculated over a range of 100 emission-free spectral channels for each pixel. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the noise in the G305 region for the $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines. The noise distribution peaks at 0.13 K for $^{13}$CO and 0.29 K for $^{12}$CO. These values were therefore adopted to be the rms noise (hereafter $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$) for each map.

3. Ancillary data

In order to compare and analyze G305 clump properties with the Galactic clumps, we used the clump catalogs from the Galactic average and, in case of an increased star-forming efficiency, a higher luminosity-to-mass ratio.

We discuss the observations and methods of data reduction in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we introduce the ancillary survey data used to aid our analysis. Sections 4 and 5 explain the details of the clump extraction method and how their properties were estimated. Section 6 explores the effect feedback has on certain clump properties and how this may support or reject different scenarios presented in this section. In Sect. 7 we compare the properties of G305 clumps with the average Galactic sample to provide support for one of the three scenarios. Finally, Sect. 8 presents the summary of our findings.

The details of the observations, calibrations as well as data reduction have been described in Paper 1. Here we briefly summarize the whole process. The local oscillator frequency was set at $338,190$ GHz in order to avoid contamination of the $^{12}$CO (3−2) lines due to bright $^{12}$CO (3−2) emission from the image band. The whole region was observed using a position switching on-the-fly (OTF) technique in perpendicular directions in order to avoid systematic scanning effects. The data was calibrated using a three-load chopper wheel method, which is an extension of the standard method used for millimeter observations (Ulich & Haas, 1976) to calibrate the antenna temperature $T_A^*$ scale. The data were reduced using the GILDAS package. Baseline subtraction was done on each spectrum after masking the velocity range $-150$ to $50$ km s$^{-1}$ in order to avoid fitting emission features. The average of all the spectra was then used to mask the channels containing line emissions, and baseline fitting was repeated to obtain a cleaner and flatter baseline. The resulting reduced spectra were then gridded with a 6″ cell (hereafter referred to as a pixel) and convolved with a Gaussian kernel with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) size of one-third the telescope FWHM beam width. The final data cube has a spatial resolution of $20''$, and a velocity resolution of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$. In order to obtain the rms noise, the standard deviation was calculated over a range of 100 emission-free spectral channels for each pixel. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the noise in the G305 region for the $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO lines. The noise distribution peaks at 0.13 K for $^{13}$CO and 0.29 K for $^{12}$CO. These values were therefore adopted to be the rms noise (hereafter $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$) for each map.

3. Ancillary data

In order to compare and analyze G305 clump properties with the Galactic clumps, we used the clump catalogs from the

1. This publication is based on data acquired with the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX). APEX is a collaboration between the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, the European Southern Observatory, and the Onsala Space Observatory.

2. http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS
The Galactic clumps derived from the ATLASGAL survey (Urquhart et al. 2018) have the advantage of having a resolution similar to that of the LAsMA data (19''). Additionally, there is a good agreement in the sensitivity between the ATLASGAL data and the $^{13}$CO intensity map, as can be seen in Fig. 3. The sensitivity to column density of the two datasets is also very similar; LAsMA traces column densities down to $\log(N(H_2))[cm^{-2}] \sim 21.46$ (see Paper I) and ATLASGAL is sensitive to clumps with column densities down to $\log(N(H_2))[cm^{-2}] \sim 21.5$ (Schuller et al. 2009; Urquhart et al. 2018).

On the other hand, the CHIMPS survey uses the same spectral line ($^{13}$CO(3–2)) used in our survey, which makes the comparison of properties more straightforward; however, the clump extraction from the CHIMPS survey was done on a smoothed dataset of resolution $\sim 27''$ (Rigby et al. 2019). Hence, in order to compare the properties of the G305 clumps with the CHIMPS Galactic clumps, we created an additional smoothed data cube and repeated in parallel all the analyses that follow. While creating this new dendrogram, a structure was considered independent only if it was at least the size of the new beam. All comparison of properties with the CHIMPS clumps shown in this paper are based on the smoothed data cube.

In order to compare the properties of G305 clumps with any Galactic sample, similar spatial scales are more important than similar angular resolution. Therefore, a distance-limited sample (3.5 < $d$ < 4.5 kpc) of Galactic clumps was used for comparison with clumps in G305. All references to the Galactic sample of clumps in this paper implicitly imply this distance-limited sample. For the CHIMPS survey it is possible to choose a different range of distances to avoid smoothing our dataset in order to compare similar clump sizes, but this leads to fewer clumps in the CHIMPS sample (a factor of 1.5 fewer clumps). Therefore, the former method was adopted for comparison with the CHIMPS sample.

4. Extracting clumps: Dendrogram

The clump catalog was created using the dendrogram analysis developed by Rosolowsky et al. (2008). It decomposes the intensity data cube into a nested hierarchy of structures called leaves, branches, and trunks. The leaves are the highest lying structures (with the brightest intensity) in this hierarchy and they generally represent the resolution element achievable by the survey designs. They consist of a collection of isocontours that contain only one local maximum within them. Branches are the structures that contain other leaves and branches inside them and trunks are the largest contiguous structures at the bottom of this hierarchy. Trunks by definition could also be leaves that are single isolated structures without any parent structure. Hence each structure identified by astrodendro as either a leaf or a branch depending on whether it has a lower hierarchy of structures under it.

The dendrogram algorithm astrodendro$^3$ was run on the data cube in position-position-velocity (ppv) space. A lower limit (min_value) of $5\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ was set to avoid getting structures with peak intensity below the noise threshold. Additionally, a structure was considered independent only if its peak intensity differed by at least $5\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ from the nearest local maximum (min_delta). Each structure was required to have an area equal to the area of the beam to be considered real. In the velocity space a minimum width of four channels ($=2$ km s$^{-1}$) was implemented to prune the dendrogram (i.e., to get rid of unwanted structures due to noise in the data). Figure 4 shows the resulting dendrogram of the $^{13}$CO data cube. The branching shows the fragmentation of a cloud into smaller and denser clumps.

5. Catalog of clump properties

Once the dendrogram was created, the astrodendro package was used to create a catalog of some basic properties of all the structures. These include their positions, mean and RMS velocities, total fluxes, and the projections of their major and minor axes ($\sigma_{\text{maj}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{min}}$) on the position-position plane

---

$^3$ Astrodendro is a Python package for computing dendrograms of astronomical data (http://www.dendrograms.org/).
The radius of the clumps is defined by \( R_{eq} = \eta \times \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{maj}} \times \sigma_{\text{min}}} \), where \( \eta \) is a factor that relates the radius of a spherical cloud to its one-dimensional RMS size \( \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{maj}} \times \sigma_{\text{min}}} \). For consistency with \( \sigma \) and size \( R \) (Rosolowsky & Leroy 2006), we adopt the value \( \eta = 1.91 \). The velocity dispersion \( \sigma \) is calculated as the intensity weighted second moment of the velocity axis. The flux of the region is the sum (zeroth moment) of all the emission in the region. The physical radius of the clumps and their luminosities were calculated using \( R_{pc} = Rd \) and \( L = Fd^2 \), respectively, where \( d = 3.8 \) kpc is the distance to G305 (Clark & Porter 2004; Borissova et al. 2019).

Masses of the clumps were calculated using the column density maps. Section 4 of Paper I describes in detail how the column density map was obtained for the region. We present a brief summary of the method here. Assuming that \( \Delta \) is the abundance ratio of \( H_2 \) and \( \Delta \) is the abundance ratio of \( H_2 \) to \( ^{13}\text{CO} \), all the properties calculated (and consequently all discussions about the clump properties) from here on are only applicable to the clumps extracted from the ATLASGAL data as well as using the same transition as the CHIMPS survey.

The column density over all the voxels corresponding to each clump in the dendrogram were added and the mass of the structure was then calculated using the equation

\[
M = \mu m_p R_{13}^2 \sum_{\text{lmv}} N_{13}(\text{total})_{\text{lbv}} \Delta x \Delta y, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the mean mass per H molecule, taken to be 2.72, accounting for a helium fraction of 0.2 (Allen 1973), \( m_p \) is the mass of a proton, \( R_{13}^2 \) is the abundance ratio of \( H_2 \) and \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are the resolution elements (in parsec) calculated using the distance of the source and the resolution element. The conversion \( R_{13}^2 \) is calculated in two steps, and we adopt a ratio of \( R_{12}/R_{13} \) that varies as a function of galactocentric distance, as prescribed by Milam et al. (2005), and a value of \( R_{12} = 8.5 \times 10^{-5} \) (Frerking et al. 1982) is adopted for all sources.

The ratio \( R_{12}/R_{13} \) has a value of approximately 59.7 for the G305 galactocentric distance of 6.6 kpc.

The masses of the clumps and their radii were also used to calculate their density \( n(H_2) \) and surface mass densities using

\[
n(H_2) = 15.1 \times M/(4/3 \pi R^3_{eq}), \tag{2}
\]

\[
\Sigma = M/n R^2_{eq}. \tag{3}
\]

Here \( M \) is in \( M_\odot \), \( R_{eq} \) is in pc, \( n(H_2) \) is in \( \text{cm}^{-3} \), and \( \Sigma \) is in \( M_\odot \text{pc}^{-1} \). The factor of 15.1 is used to convert the density to appropriate units.

The dynamical state of the clumps (i.e., whether they are gravitationally stable, collapsing, or dissipating) is examined using the virial theorem. With only gravitational forces the virial theorem reads \( 2K + \Omega = 0 \), where \( K \) is the kinetic energy and \( \Omega \) is the gravitational energy. The virial parameter is defined as the ratio of the virial mass of a spherically symmetric cloud to its total mass:

\[
\alpha_{\text{vir}} = \frac{3\sigma^2 R_{eq}}{GM}. \tag{4}
\]

Here \( \sigma \) is the velocity dispersion of the clump and \( G \) is the gravitational constant. This is the definition used by MacLaren et al. (1988). We also assume a spherical density distribution \( \rho(r) = 1/r^2 \). In the absence of pressure supporting the cloud \( \alpha_{vir} < 1 \) means that the cloud is gravitationally unstable and collapsing, whereas \( \alpha_{vir} > 2 \) means that the kinetic energy is higher than the gravitational energy and that the cloud is dissipating. A value of \( \alpha_{vir} \) between 1 and 2 is interpreted as an approximate equilibrium between the gravitational and kinetic energies. A cloud undergoing gravitational collapse can also show \( \alpha_{vir} \approx 2 \) as the rapid infall can manifest as a large velocity dispersion (Kauffmann et al. 2013).

The dendrogram resulted in a total of 337 structures of which 235 (69.73%) are leaves and 102 (30.27%) are branches. Figure 5 shows the properties of the leaves and branches to demonstrate their differences. Table 1 shows 20 randomly selected clumps in the G305 giant molecular cloud.

### 6. Effect of feedback on G305 clumps

The radiation and ionization front from the OB stars in the central cavity can effect the masses as well as the velocity dispersion of the clumps in G305. It can inject turbulence which should manifest as broad linewidths of the clumps. It can also compress and destabilize the clumps resulting in an increase in their surface mass densities.
where $k$ is the Boltzmann’s constant and $\sigma$ is its velocity dispersion in one dimension. If all the turbulent pressure is being delivered to the clumps by the stars in the center of G305, then $P_{\text{NT}} = P_{\text{star}}$, where $P_{\text{star}}$ is given by

$$P_{\text{star}} = \frac{Q(H^0)(h\nu)}{4\pi c^2 dk},$$

where $Q(H^0)$ is the number of H ionizing photons per second emitted by the stars, $(h\nu)$ is the mean photon energy of an O-star ($\sim 15$ eV Pellegrini et al. 2007), $d$ is the distance of the star (cluster) from the cloud surface, and $c$ is the speed of light. It is tricky to calculate $P_{\text{star}}$ given that the distance to each cloud is different and that the stars are not localized. However, in order to obtain an upper limit on the value of $P_{\text{star}}$ we assume the total ionizing radiation of Danks 1 and 2 combined. In Danks 1 we have two O8-B3 and one O8-B3I star for which we adopt the value corresponding to three O8V stars from Table 2 in Panagia (1973). Similarly, we use O4V values for the three O4-6 stars, O6V values for the one O6-8 and two O6-8II stars. For the three WN6h stars we adopt the value corresponding to WN-3w from Table 2 in Crowther (2007). Therefore for Danks 1 we have a maximum ionizing flux equal to $3.67 \times 10^{49}$ s$^{-1}$. For Danks 2, there are three O6 (O6-8), four O8 (O8-9 / O8-B3I), and one WC7 (WC7-8) stars (we have ignored the F8-G1 star). Assuming all these belong to class V, we obtain $8.03 \times 10^{49}$ s$^{-1}$. Hence,
Danks 1 and 2 have a combined maximum Lyc photon output of $4.473 \times 10^{49}$ s$^{-1}$. The value of $d$ is assumed to be 4 pc, which is approximately the closest distance of clumps in the northern and southern complex to the Danks cluster. Plugging these into Eq. (6), we obtain a value of $P_{\phi, r_1} \sim 1.36 \times 10^9$ K cm$^{-3}$. This corresponds to a velocity dispersion of $\sigma = 1.06$ km s$^{-1}$ given an H$_2$ density of $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. Since our dataset has a velocity resolution of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$, it is difficult to discern the effects of feedback on the velocity dispersion of the clumps, which will realistically be much smaller than $\sim 1$ km s$^{-1}$. The linewidths of the clumps were plotted as a function of the 8 µm flux and no dependence was observed between the linewidths of the clumps at the highest hierarchical level (leaves) and the strength of the feedback on the clumps supporting our claim. These results are presented in the Appendix (Fig. A.1) as they do not provide any new information to the question we are trying to answer in this paper.

### 6.2. Surface mass densities of clumps vs 8 µm

In Paper I we discussed the role of the Galactic Legacy Infrared Mid-Plane Survey Extraordinaire (GLIMPSE: Benjamin et al. 2003; Churchwell et al. 2009) 8 µm continuum map as an indicator of feedback strength. As a proxy to the strength of feedback on each clump, we calculated the average 8 µm flux over each clump. For this, a mask was extracted for each structure by projecting it onto the position-position plane and the 8 µm flux was averaged over the mask. Table 1 also shows the mean 8 µm flux associated with each structure.

A significant issue with this analysis is that the 8 µm map is a two-dimensional projection on the plane of G305 and hence it is possible for clumps to appear to be affected by feedback because of their projection. In order to test whether the associated 8 µm fluxes actually correspond to the clumps we first compared the morphology of the 8 µm emission with the $^{12}$CO integrated intensity map. Figure 6 shows the GLIMPSE map with the $^{12}$CO integrated intensity contours overlaid. There is very good correlation between the two. So, we safely assume here that all the 8 µm emission is originating in G305. We then investigated whether any leaves in the dendrogram had the same position but different velocities along the line of sight. We found only about 1% of the leaves (~7% if branches are included) had positions within one beam size distance of another leaf (or branch). Additionally, Hindson et al. (2013) looked at the morphology of the molecular gas emission and argued that the G305 complex has a flattened geometry instead of a spherical structure. Taking these factors into consideration, we could safely ignore the effects of projection on the associated 8 µm fluxes for the clumps.

Figure 7 shows the two-dimensional kernel density estimate (KDE) distribution of the clump surface mass density as a function of 8 µm flux. The leaves and branches of the dendrogram have been plotted separately. We observe that the surface mass density of the clumps increases with increasing 8 µm flux, indicating that in regions of stronger feedback the clumps are more massive. A power law fit between the two quantities resulted in the following relation between them for leaves and branches:

$$ \Sigma_l = 56 \pm 21 F_{8\mu m}^{0.58 \pm 0.07}, $$  
$$ \Sigma_b = 6 \pm 3 F_{8\mu m}^{1.05 \pm 0.09}. $$

Here $\Sigma_l$ and $\Sigma_b$ are the surface mass densities of the leaves and branches, respectively, and $F_{8\mu m}$ is the average 8 µm flux incident on the structure. A positive correlation between the surface mass density of the clumps and the incident 8 µm flux is indicative of triggering in G305. In the redistribution scenario we would have expected no correlation between the two quantities, and in case of the disruptive scenario we should have observed a negative correlation.

### 6.3. Inside and outside the feedback zone

The analysis described in the previous subsection motivated us to follow an approach similar to that presented in Paper I. Based on an 8 µm threshold, a feedback zone was defined as the pixels with 8 µm flux larger than the threshold. The value of this threshold was chosen to be 100 MJy s$^{-1}$ corresponding to the first inflection point in Fig. 15 of Paper I. We believe this contour corresponds to the isosurface where the stellar feedback gets
7. G305 versus Galactic clumps

We have seen so far that feedback from the central cluster of stars has a significant impact on the clumps in G305. This suggests that the properties of the clumps in G305 should be significantly different from the average sample of Galactic clumps. In order to test this we compared the properties of G305 clumps with those of the Galactic sample of clumps derived from ATLASGAL and CHIMPS.

Figure 9 shows the distribution of different properties of clumps in G305 along with the Galactic sample derived for both ATLASGAL clumps (Urquhart et al. 2018; Contreras et al. 2013) and CHIMPS clumps (Rigby et al. 2019). In order to compare the clumps properties only the CHIMPS clumps with the highest reliability flag (=3) were considered (Rigby et al. 2019).

The radii of the clumps in G305 are smaller than the CHIMPS clumps. This could be because of the differences in the clump extraction method used by Rigby et al. (2019). Compared to the ATLASGAL sample, G305 clumps appear to have a different distribution, but this difference is not significant, as confirmed by performing a KS test on the radius of the two populations ($p$-value = 0.002).

The linewidths of the G305 clumps appear to have a different distribution from the ATLASGAL and CHIMPS samples. A KS test performed on the linewidths of G305 clumps with the two Galactic samples resulted in a $p$-value $\ll$ 0.0013 for both the populations confirming this. The ATLASGAL survey used the NH$_3$ spectral line to estimate linewidths, whereas the CHIMPS survey used the same line used in our observations and hence this comparison is more straightforward. We observe the linewidths to be higher in case of G305 clumps when compared to the CHIMPS population of Galactic clumps.

The G305 clumps show a much broader distribution of masses compared to ATLASGAL. The greater sensitivity of our observations would explain why we see more clumps of lower masses compared to ATLASGAL as these would not be detected in the ancillary survey. In comparison to the CHIMPS population of clumps, the masses of G305 clumps are much higher. G305 also has a higher fraction of heavier clumps compared to the ATLASGAL sample. This is indicative of some form of triggering. A KS test on the masses of the two populations resulted in a $p$-value $\ll$ 0.0013 for masses of G305 clumps compared to the Galactic sample (for both ATLASGAL and CHIMPS populations) indicating that the G305 clumps are significantly different from the Galactic average.
The last panel in Fig. 9 shows the distribution of virial parameters of the G305 clumps. We see a broader distribution when compared to ATLASGAL clumps. A large proportion of clumps in G305 appear to have $\alpha_v \gg 2$. According to Kauffmann et al. (2013), this is indicative of high mass star formation in G305. We also see a much higher fraction of clumps in G305 with $\alpha_v \gg 2$, which may be indicative of turbulence disrupting and dissipating clumps. Interestingly, the smoothed dendrogram does not have clumps with very high $\alpha_v$ values. This could be attributed to the bias towards larger structures in the smoothed dataset leading to more clumps with heavier masses. A KS test on the virial parameters of the G305 and Galactic clumps indicated these properties being drawn from significantly different populations ($p$-value $< 0.0013$).

**Triggering in G305**

Proving that triggering is responsible for enhanced star formation is a difficult task. Often it is done by looking at morphological signposts such as star formation at the rim of the expanding shells of HII regions (Deharveng et al. 2005; Zavagno et al. 2005; Urquhart et al. 2007; Thompson et al. 2012; Kendrew et al. 2012; Palmeirim et al. 2017). In G305, Hindson et al. (2013) looked at star formation in different phases and compared their distribution in the complex to suggest that the most likely case of triggering is the C&C process. However, they did not find any conclusive evidence that the star formation happening in G305 has been triggered. They calculated the time needed for the gas to fragment into stars and suggested that while in some cases the age of the triggering stars was old enough to be responsible for the stars in their vicinity to be triggered. In many other cases, the stars responsible for triggering may not be old enough to drive the formation of the HII and UC HII regions. However, the fragmentation timescale estimates were based on assumptions about the initial ambient atomic density and the Lyman continuum photon rate, both of which are difficult to measure, making their suggestions inconclusive. So far in this paper we have explored whether the feedback from the stars is indeed triggering star formation in G305 by looking at their impact on the clumps which are the reservoirs of the new generation of stars.

The luminosity-to-mass ratio ($L/M$) of clumps is a good indicator of the evolutionary stage in star formation (see Molinari et al. 2008; Urquhart et al. 2018). A higher star-forming efficiency would mean that more clumps are in more evolved stages of forming stars, which would lead to a flatter cumulative distribution function of their $L/M$. In G305, if the clumps are simply being moved around without any effect on their star-forming efficiency, then the $L/M$ distribution of the clumps in G305 should not vary significantly from the Galactic average. Figure 10 shows the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the $L/M$ for the
ATLASGAL clumps in G305 compared to that of the overall ATLASGAL Galactic sample. All the $L/M$ values were taken from ATLASGAL as the calculation of the luminosity is quite different between our dataset and ATLASGAL. Consequently, comparing the $L/M$ of clumps from our data with that of the ATLASGAL clumps would not have been straightforward. The clumps in G305 show significantly higher $L/M$ values than the Galactic average, as shown by the CDF. A KS test on the two clump samples returned a $p$-value $< 0.0013$. Therefore, the null hypothesis is quite overwhelmingly rejected indicating that the clumps in G305 show higher star-forming efficiency compared to the Galactic average. This rules out the redistribution and disruption scenarios. These clumps may not have a one-to-one correspondence with the $^{13}$CO clumps derived in the previous sections, but that does not negate the validity of the finding here.

Finally, in the case of triggering, if C&C is the dominant mechanism in play then we would observe more massive clumps as C&C causes the clump to accumulate mass (Whitworth et al. 1994; Deharveng et al. 2005). Figure 11 shows the CDF of the clump masses in G305 compared to the Galactic average. In addition to the ATLASGAL clumps, we also included those from CHIMPS. The method used to measure the clump properties in our paper is identical to that used by Rigby et al. (2019). Figure 11 shows the CDF of clump masses in G305 compared to the Galactic sample taken from ATLASGAL as well as CHIMPS. For both cases the clumps in G305 show a much flatter CDF than the Galactic average. A KS test between G305 clumps and ATLASGAL (CHIMPS) clumps yielded a $p$-value $< 0.0013$ again rejecting the null hypothesis that the G305 LAsMA clumps and the Galactic ATLASGAL (CHIMPS) clumps belong to the same distribution. The flatter slope of the clump mass CDF of G305 proves that C&C is the dominant mechanism inside the GMC.

### 8. Summary

We decomposed the molecular cloud into clumps using the Dendrogram analysis on the $^{13}$CO data cube. We followed up by creating a catalog of clump properties. We tested the effect of feedback on two main clump properties, namely linewidths and surface mass densities. Using the 8 µm emission map of the region as a proxy to feedback strength, we examined the correlation of the surface mass densities of the clumps with the incident average 8 µm flux. We then masked the feedback zone based on an 8 µm flux threshold of 100 MJy sr$^{-1}$. The extent of overlap of each clump with the feedback zone was used to determine whether it was mostly inside, partly inside, or outside the feedback zone. The properties of these three populations of clumps were separately studied. We followed this up with a comparison of the properties of clumps in G305 as a whole to a distance-limited sample of Galactic clumps taken from ATLASGAL and CHIMPS surveys assuming that the Galactic sample of clumps are similar to a population of clumps that are quiescent. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the masses as well as the $L/M$ ratios of the clumps (the latter having been derived entirely from ATLASGAL data) in G305 were obtained and compared to that of the Galactic average. We summarize our main findings below:

- Our data do not possess enough velocity resolution to discern the effect of feedback on the linewidths of the clumps.
- The surface mass densities of the clumps in the region are positively correlated to the incident average 8 µm flux.
- The surface mass densities of the clumps mostly inside the feedback zone are the highest, followed by those of the clumps partly inside and then outside the feedback zone, indicating that feedback is triggering their star-forming ability.
- Clumps inside the feedback zone show a much higher level of fragmentation than those partly inside or outside it.
- The probability density function (PDF) of the properties of G305 clumps are significantly different than those of the clumps derived from a distance-limited Galactic sample of clumps indicating clear evidence of triggering.
- The CDFs of the masses and the $L/M$ ratios of the clumps in G305 are significantly flatter than those of the Galactic average. This is strong evidence for triggering (more specifically the C&C mechanism) being the dominant mechanism in G305, not redistribution or dispersion.

Therefore, we obtain multiple pieces of evidence demonstrating that the feedback from the central cluster of stars in G305 is triggering the collapse of the molecular cloud complex.
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Appendix A: Velocity vs 8 µm

The linewidths of leaves (the highest hierarchical structures) show no dependence on the incident 8 µm flux, whereas the branches do show a positive correlation with the 8 µm flux. This could be the result of the size versus linewidth relationship of the clumps. When dividing the linewidths by the size of the structures (Heyer et al. 2009), the leaves still do not show any strong dependence of this property on the incident 8 µm flux, but the branches do show a weak positive dependence on the incident 8 µm flux. This indicates that at larger scales feedback from the stars injects turbulence into the GMC. Similar results were also seen in Paper I where the stacked spectra showed a positive dependence of their skewness and kurtosis on the incident 8µm flux.

Fig. A.1. Linewidths for G305 clumps as a function of the incident 8 µm flux.