Pseudotanais Sars, 1882 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) From the SE Australian Slope: A Gap in Our Knowledge
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In the current paper, we present the description of five new species of pseudotanaids sampled off the Bass Strait during two campaigns (SLOPE), which took place in 1986/8 and 1994 from the upper continental margin (slope) at depths 200–1550 m, hopefully starting to fill a gap in the knowledge of this major habitat. From five species, two occurred off eastern coast between Gippsland and Jervis Point and three others on the southern coast between Great Otway (Otway Point) and Kangaroo Island. These five species bring the total number of described pseudotanaid species 94 and to six in Australian waters.
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INTRODUCTION

Pseudotanaidae Sieg, 1976 are small tanaidaceans from the superfamily Paratanaoidea Lang (1949), characterized by their compact (short) body, enlarged chelipeds and a brood pouch composed of one pair of oostegites (Lang, 1949; Sieg, 1977). Currently, the Pseudotanaidae is the third most species-rich family of Paratanaoidea after Leptocheliidae Lang, 1973 and Typhlotanaidae Sieg (1976) (with 132 and 116 nominal species, respectively)

1. They are probably epifaunal or shallow sediment burrowers (infauna), and some are unselective predators and hosts for nematode parasites (Blażewicz et al., 2020).

Pseudotanaids are often numerous and a frequent element in macrobenthic communities, an example being the 36% contribution to the tanaid abundance (7% of macrofauna) on the bathyal Chatham Rise, SW Pacific (Bird and Holdich, 1984; Pabis et al., 2014, 2015; Kaiser et al., 2018). They are present in a variety of marine habitats (Bird and Holdich, 1989b; Bird, 1999; Bamber et al., 2009; Blażewicz-Paszkowycz and Bamber, 2011; Jakiel et al., 2015, 2019; Stepień et al., 2018) and are recorded over a wide bathymetric range. The shallowest record of the family belongs to Akanthinotanais pedecerritulus Tzeng and Hsueh, 2021 present in the intertidal of Taiwan, while the deepest record was recorded for Pseudotanais longisetosus Sieg (1977) and P. nordenskioldi Sieg (1977), which were recorded at 6050 m (Kudinova-Pasternak, 1993). Although a few large publications have focused specifically on the diversity of Pseudotanaidae (Sieg, 1977; Bird and Holdich, 1989b; Jakiel et al., 2018, 2019, 2020), knowledge about their diversity, community structure and spatial distribution is still severely limited.

Peracarid pseudotanaids, as with other brooders, are assumed to have limited dispersal ability and narrow zoographical ranges. This was tentatively confirmed with employment of

1http://www.marinespecies.org/index.php
morphometric and molecular methods (Jakiel et al., 2018, 2019, 2020) for investigation of their distribution in the deep North Atlantic and the abyssal of Central and NW Pacific (Bird and Holdich, 1989b; Jakiel et al., 2019, 2020). For this reason, pseudotanaids are possibly good indicators for effective environmental impact assessment, habitat resilience and its potential for reconstruction (Bird and Holdich, 1989b; O’Hara et al., 2020; Francesca et al., 2021).

In the Australian context, 162 tanaid species belonging to 66 genera have been described (e.g., Edgar, 1997, 2008, 2012; Bamber, 2005, 2008; Blążewicz-Paszkowycz and Bamber, 2007, 2009, 2012; Jóźwiak and Blążewicz, 2021). Most of the studies focused on the shelf tanaids and only nine species are formally described from below the shelf break: three from SE Australia (Bathytanais fragilis Larsen and Heard, 2001, Pseudobathytanais gibberosus Larsen and Heard, 2001, and Acinoproskelos vermes Bamber and Blążewicz-Paszkowycz, 2013) and six from W Australia (Bunburia prima Jóźwiak and Jakiel, 2012, Abrotanais geniculum Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018, Macilenta ewae Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018, M. acetabula Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018, M. twor Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018, Waki australiensis Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018) (Larsen and Heard, 2001; Jóźwiak and Jakiel, 2012; Gellert and Blążewicz, 2018). Only one pseudotanaid, Akanthinotanais scrappi Bamber, 2005, has been published from a sandy bottom with rhodoliths in Esperance Bay at 38.4 m (Bamber, 2005). Two potentially new pseudotanaid species were recorded from two locations of the Great Barrier Reef (Stępień et al., 2018), although they stay undescribed.

The continental margins (continental slope) are a narrow oceanic zone covering 11% of the surface (Menot et al., 2010) and the huge extent of Australia’s slopes are relatively understudied. Complicated geomorphology, chemistry and hydrodynamic processes augmented by the steep gradient of temperature, hydrostatic pressure, and oxygen levels make them the most complex and heterogenic zone of the oceanic floor. The steep slope, and often hard and unstable sediments are logistically demanding for sampling and hamper benthic faunal investigations. Analyzing the zoogeographical ranges, natural biodiversity, and factors determining their character makes a baseline for understanding the evolutionary processes and distribution patterns critical for management regimes and conservation reserves (Zardus et al., 2006; Jennings et al., 2013; Poore et al., 2015). In the current paper, we present the description of five new species of pseudotanaids sampled off the Bass Strait during two campaigns (SLOPE), which took place in 1986/8 and 1994 from the upper continental margin (slope) at depths 200–1550 m, hopefully starting to fill a gap in the knowledge of this major habitat.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Stations and Collection

Pseudotanaids were recovered from a series of the samples collected at depths greater than 200 m, perpendicular to the East and South coasts of Australia during three campaigns of the O.R.V. Franklin 1986–1988 and 1994, respectively. Altogether, 213 samples were collected with different devices, e.g., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute epibenthic sled, Reineck box-cover, Beam trawl (Poore et al., 1994; unpublished data). Pseudotanaids were recovered only at six stations (Table 1).

#### Morphological Analyses and Taxonomical Identification

Specimens were dissected with chemically sharpened tungsten needles and the dissected appendages mounted on slides with glycerine as a medium and sealed with paraffin-wax (Blążewicz et al., 2021). Drawings were prepared using a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i) equipped with a camera lucida. Digital drawings were inked and arranged with Photoshop.

Morphological terminology is largely as in Jakiel et al. (2019, 2020);

- the unique blade-like spine, if present, located at the ventrodistal part of the pereopod carpus is characteristic of most pseudotanaids. It is categorized as “long” when is at least 0.6x propodus, “intermediate” when it is 0.5x propodus, and “short” when it is at most 0.3x the propodus;
- setal types are recognized as: (1) simple setae (= without ornamentation), (2) serrate – with serration or denticulation, (3) plumose – with any type of plumose or delicate setulae distributed along the main axis, (4) penicillate – with a tuft of setules located distally and with a small knob on which a seta is fixed to the tegument and (5) rod setae – slightly inflated distally and with a pore; and
- the dorsodistal seta occurring on the carpus of pereopods 4–6 has a chemosensory function – (“rod seta” Jakiel et al., 2019); it is categorized as “long” when it is at least 0.8x propodus, “intermediate” when it is 0.5x propodus, and “short” when it is at most 0.25x propodus.

The classification of the *Pseudotanais* into morpho-groups (“affinis + longisetosus,” “denticulatus + abathagastor” and “forcipatus”) follows Bird and Holdich (1989b) and Jakiel et al. (2019).

The type material was lodged at the Museums Victoria, Melbourne Museum (Australia).

#### Classification

In our study and analyses we have applied the system splitting *Pseudotanais* species into established four morphogroups, e.g., “affinis + longisetosus,” “denticulatus + abathagastor,” “forcipatus,” and “spicatus” (Bird and Holdich, 1989b; Jakiel et al., 2019). The six species (*P. borceai* Băcescu, 1960; *P. lilljeborgi* Sars, 1882; *P. falcifer* Blążewicz-Paszkowycz and Bamber, 2011; *P. sigrunis* Jakiel et al., 2018; *P. colonus* Bird and Holdich, 1989a; *P. baresnauti* Bird, 1999) were gathered into working-group “colonus” characterized by robust chela, acuminate mandible, and relatively long pereonite-1. Three species: *P. intortus*, *P. oculatus* and *P. shirazi* sp. nov. are not classified to any group.
The zoogeographical classification of the marine zoogeographical regions of the oceans followed (Spalding et al., 2007; Watling et al., 2013).

**Measurements, Developmental and Stage Identification**

Total body length (BL) was measured along the main axis of symmetry from the rostrum to the end of the telson. Body width (BW) was measured at the widest point along the main axis of symmetry. The length was measured along the axis of symmetry, and the width perpendicular to the axis of symmetry at the widest spot. To simplify species descriptions, the expression "Nx" replaces "N times longer than/as long as" and "N L:W" replaces "N times longer than wide." The measurements were made with a camera connected to the microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ci-L) and NIS-Elements View software. The body width and the length of the cephalothorax, pereonites, pleonites, and pleotelson were measured on whole specimens. 

All individuals, developmental stages were identified. We refer to the following stages:

- two stages of manca, i.e., “manca-2” and “manca-3” which refer to specimens without or with buds of pereopod-6, respectively;
- preparatory female characterized by undeveloped oostegites ('buds') (Bird and Holdich, 1989b) and brooding female (with fully developed oostegites) were not recovered in the studied material;
- neuter – a stage that is morphologically like the juvenile female, but lacking oostegites buds; and
- 'juvenile male' that shows incompletely developed sexual dimorphic characters, i.e., resembling the neuter but has thicker antennules (equivalent to ‘preparatory male’ sensu Bird and Holdich, 1989b).

In our collection sexually mature males (“swimming” male) and brooding females were not recovered.

**RESULTS**

Nine individuals belonging to Pseudotanaidae were examined in the current paper. All of them were classified to the genus *Pseudotanais*: two of them represented “affinis + longisetosus” morphogroup (*Pseudotanais chardonnayi* n. sp. and *P. caberneti* n. sp.) and two “denticulatus + abathagastor” group: (*P. barossai* n. sp. and *P. coonawarra* n. sp.). The fifth of described species *P. shirazi* is not assigned to any of the *Pseudotanais* groups.

**SYSTEMATICS**

Order Tanaidacea Dana, 1849
Suborder Tanaidomorpha Sieg, 1980
Superfamily Paratananoidea Lang, 1949
Family Pseudotanaidae Sieg, 1976

**“affinis + longisetosus” group**

**Diagnosis.** After Jakiel et al. (2019).

**Species included.** *Pseudotanais affinis* Hansen, 1887; *P. chanelae* Jakiel et al. 2020; *P. curieae* Jakiel et al., 2020; *P. gaiae* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. geralti* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. julietae* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. longisetosus* Sieg, 1977; *P. longispinus* Bird and Holdich, 1989b; *P. macrochelae Sars, 1882; P. monroae* Jakiel et al., 2020; *P. nipponicus* McLelland, 2007; *P. nordsenkioldi* Sieg, 1977; *P. rapunzela* Blążewicz et al., 2021; *P. romeo* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. spatula* Bird and Holdich, 1989b; *P. scalpellum* Bird and Holdich, 1989b; *P. shackletoni* Blążewicz et al., 2021; *P. svavarsson* Jakiel et al., 2018; *P. szymborskae* Jakiel et al., 2020; *P. uranos* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. vitjazi* Kudinova-Pasternak, 1966; *P. yenneferae* Jakiel et al., 2019; *Pseudotanais* sp. O (*sensu* McLelland, 2008); *Pseudotanais* sp. P (*sensu* McLelland, 2008); *P. chardonnayi* sp. nov.; *P. caberneti* sp. nov.

**Pseudotanais chardonnayi** sp. nov.

This species is registered in ZooBank number: LSID:urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:420075F1-3622-4177-9BBC-4552CE1B3E07.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible molar subcoronal with distal spines. Pereopod-1 merus with seta. Pereopod-3 carpal blade-like spine long (0.7x propodus). Pereopods 4–6 merus with spine and seta; carpus with long rod seta; propodus with short and long ventral setae. Uropod exopod 0.7x endopod.

**Material examined.** Holotype, juvenile male 1.3 mm, SLOPE 40 (J61547). Paratypes: neuter 1.1 mm (J61515), dissected in slides, SLOPE 40.
**Etymology.** The name is after a wine variety grown in the Gippsland area, close to the type locality, as genitive.

**Description of neuter.** BL = 1.4 mm. Body robust (Figures 1A,B) 3.8 L:W. Cephalothorax 0.7 L:W, 1.0x pereonites 1–3, 0.2 BL. Pereonites 0.5 BL. Pereonite-1 0.5x pereonite-2, pereonites-1–6: 0.1, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5 0.5 and 0.4 L:W, respectively. Pleon short, 0.4 BL. Pleonites 0.9 L:W, pleonites 2–5 with dorsolateral setae on each side of midline. Pleotelson 4.4x pleonite-5, with paired laterodistal setae.

Antennule (Figure 2A) article-1 6.0 L:W, 2.9x article-2, with long seta at mid-length, and one simple and three penicillate distal setae; article-2 2.9 L:W, 0.7x article-3, with two simple and one penicillate subdistal setae; article-3 5.8 L:W, with one simple, three bifurcated, one penicillate distal setae and one aesthetasc.

Antenna (Figure 2B) article-2 1.3 L:W; 1.0x article-3, with spine (0.3x article-2); article-3 1.3 L:W, 0.2x article-4, with spine (3.5x article-3); article-4 9.3 L:W, 2.2x article-5, with three simple (two broken) and three penicillate; article-5 5.0 L:W, 10.0x article-6, with simple distal seta; article-6 0.5 L:W, with six distal setae.

Labrum (Figure 2C) rounded, naked. Left mandible (Figure 2D) *lacinia mobilis* well developed, distally serrate, incisor distal margin beveled, serrate, molar subcoronal/acuminated with distal spines. Right mandible lost. Labium (Figure 2E) simple, rounded, glabrous. Maxillule (Figure 2F) endite with eight distal spines and outer two subdistal setae, palp (Figure 2F) palp with two distal setae. Maxilla (Figure 2G) almost circular, naked. Maxilliped (Figure 2H) basis heart-shape, naked; palp article-1 1.8 L:W naked; article-2 1.1 L:W with one fine outer and three inner setae (two long and one short); article-3 1.4 L:W with one short and three long inner setae, article-4 3.3 L:W with six distal and subdistal setae; endites mostly fused but with central cleft (1/4 of endite total length), each with inner-distal gustatory cusp and short seta. Epignath (Figure 2I) linguiform, simple, naked.

Cheliped (Figure 3A) basis 1.8 L:W, dorsal seta not seen; merus with ventral seta; carpus 1.5 L:W, 0.8x palm, with two midventral setae and one dorsodistal simple seta; chela non-forcipate, palm 1.7 L:W with seta near dactylus insertion; fixed finger 3.0 L:W, cutting edge simple, poorly calcified, 0.8x palm with ventral seta, and with three setae on cutting edge; dactylus 5.9 L:W, cutting edge smooth, with dorsoproximal seta.

Pereopod-1 (Figure 3B) overall 14.9 L:W; coxa with small seta; basis 6.7 L:W, 4.2x merus, with one dorsoproximal and two ventral setae; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.0 L:W and 0.7x carpus, with minute ventrodistal and one dorsodistal seta; carpus 3.0 L:W, 0.6x propodus, with two minute distal setae; propodus 8.4 L:W, 0.9x dactylus and ungus combined length, with short ventrodistal seta, dactylus 0.5x ungus.

Pereopod-2 (Figure 3C) overall 12.6 L:W; coxa not dissected; basis 6.5 L:W, 3.6x merus, with mid-dorsal penicillate seta; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.3 L:W, 1.0x carpus, with seta and spine ventrodistally; carpus 2.0 L:W, 0.7x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, and long ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.6x propodus); propodus 6.3 L:W, 1.5x dactylus and ungus combined length, with long distal seta (0.7x dactylus and ungus combined length); dactylus 0.2x ungus.

Pereopod-3 (Figure 3D) overall 17.4 L:W; basis 3.7 L:W, 2.9x merus, with mid-ventral simple seta; ischium with ventral seta; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.9x carpus, with ventrodistal spine (seta not seen); carpus 2.0 L:W, 0.7x propodus, with dorsodistal robust seta, inner-distal minute sete, and long ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.7x propodus); propodus 5.4 L:W, 1.7x dactylus and ungus combined length, with distal seta (0.6x dactylus and ungus combined length); dactylus 0.5x ungus.

Pereopod-4 (Figure 3E) overall 9.2 L:W; basis 4.2 L:W, 4.2x merus, naked; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.4 L:W, 0.5x carpus, with one short seta and one spine; carpus 3.9 L:W, 0.9x propodus, with one short spine and one intermediate blade-like
spine (0.5x propodus); dorsal seta not seen; propodus 5.7 L:W, 2.4x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one subdorsal penicillate seta, two spines (short and long) ventrodistally, and long serrate dorsodistal seta (1.7x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.8x unguis.

Pereopod-5 (Figure 3F) overall 10.0 L:W; basis 5.0 L:W, 5.9x merus, with long penicillate midlength seta; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.2 L:W, 0.4x carpus, with ventral spine (seta not seen); carpus 3.6 L:W, 1.2x propodus, with dorsodistal seta (1.3x propodus), one minute spine and one intermediate blade-like spine (0.5x propodus); propodus 5.0 L:W, 2.2x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one sub-dorsal penicillate seta, one serrate seta, one spine ventrally and one serrate dorsal seta (2.1 x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 2.3x unguis.

Pereopod-6 (Figure 3G) basis 4.8 L:W, 4.5x merus, naked; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.5 L:W, 0.5x carpus, with spine (seta not seen); carpus 3.4 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with long dorsodistal seta (0.9x propodus), two spines (short and long) and one intermediate blade-like spine (0.5x propodus) ventrodistally;
propodus 4.1 L:W, with two serratore ventral setae and two serratore dorsal setae; dactylus broken.

Pleopods (Figure 3H) rami narrow and elongate; exopod with five, endopod with seven distal setae.

Uropod (Figure 3I) peduncle 0.9 L:W; exopod with two articles; 6.0 L:W; article-1 2.7 L:W, with short distal seta; article-2 5.0 L:W, with two distal setae; endopod 7.9 L:W; article-1 4.3 L:W, with one simple and two penicillate distal setae; article-2 4.2 L:W, with one subdistal, four distal simple setae and one penicillate seta. Exopod 0.7x endopod.

Description of juvenile male. Similar to female, but antennule thicker (Figures 1C,D).

Distribution. The species is known only from the type locality: SE Australia (off Gippsland), at the depth 400 m.

Remarks. Pseudotanais chardonnayi sp. nov. has a dorsodistal spine on antenna articles 2–3, a relatively long propodal distal seta on pereopods 2–3, and a long dorsodistal seta on carpus of pereopods 5–6, that allow classification of the species to the “affinis + longisetosus” morpho-group (Bird and Holdich, 1989b; Jakiel et al., 2019), although the relatively short dorsodistal seta on the pereopod merus (rather long in “affinis + longisetosus” group) is anomalous we have decided to deposit P. chardonnayi in this group as this seta is still longer than in members of other groups where it is minute or absent.

The short dorsodistal seta on the pereopod-4 carpus distinguishes P. chardonnayi from P. chanelae, P. curieae and P. longisetosus, where this seta is long. The combination of a spine and seta on the pereopods 4–6 merus and carpal long rod seta of P. chardonnayi is similar to P. romeo, but it can be separated by the uropod exopod that is 0.7x endopod in P. chardonnayi and 0.9x in P. romeo. Additionally, the blade-like spine on the carpus of pereopod-3 is 0.7x propodus in P. chardonnayi, while P. romeo it is slightly longer (0.8x propodus). Finally, both species can be distinguished by the setation of ischium of pereopods 4–6, with two setae in P. chardonnayi and naked in P. romeo.

Pseudotanais caberneti sp. nov.

This species is registered in ZooBank number: LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FE1106E8-DBE6-4CB5-AD67-F631E08F9A1C.
**Diagnosis.** Mandible molar subcoronal with distal spines. Pereopod-1 merus with seta. Pereopod-3 carpal blade-like spine long (0.7x propodus). Pereopods 4–6 merus with spine and seta; carpus with short dorsodistal seta; propodus with two ventral setae. Uropod exopod 0.7x endopod.

**Material examined.** Holotype, ovigerous female 1.8 mm, partly dissected (J62735) SLOPE 118.

**Etymology.** The species name is after one of most widely distributed and best-known wine grape varieties grown in SE Australia, as genitive.

**Description** of female. BL = 1.7 mm. Body robust (Figures 4A,B) 3.2 L:W. Cephalothorax 0.7 L:W, 1.4x pereonites 1–3 0.2x BL. Pereonites 0.6x BL; pereonite-1 0.4x pereonite-2, pereonites-1–6: 0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.5, and 0.5 L:W, respectively; pereonites 1, 3–4 with small anterolateral setae. Pleon short, 0.4 BL. Pleonites 0.7 L:W, pleonites 1 and 4 with dorsodistal setae on each side of midline, and pleonite 5 with lateral setae. Pleotelson 5.4x pleonite-5, with pair of mid-distal setae.

Antennule (Figure 4C) article-1 3.9 L:W, 2.6x article-2, with two simple and three penicillate midlength setae, and one distal seta; article-2 3.9 L:W, 0.9x article-3, with one simple and one penicillate distal setae; article-3 7.5 L:W, with one subdistal seta and five simple setae and one aesthetasc distally.

Antenna (Figure 4D) article-2 1.6 L:W; 0.8x article-3, with spine (0.3x article-2); article-3 2.2 L:W, 0.4x article-4, with spine (0.2x article-3); article-4 10.0 L:W, 2.6x article-5, with two simple

---

**FIGURE 4 |** Pseudotanais cabernet sp. nov; female, (J62735) (A), dorsal; (B), lateral; (C), antennule; (D), antenna; (E), labrum; (F), left mandible; (G), right mandible; (H), maxillule; (I), labium; (J), maxilliped palp. Scale lines (A,B) = 1 mm, (C–J) = 0.1 mm.
and one penicillate distal setae; article-5 3.8 L:W, 11.5x article-6, with distal seta; article-6 0.4 L:W, with four distal setae.

Labrum (Figure 4E) rounded, naked. Left mandible (Figure 4F) lacinia mobilis well developed, distally serrate, incisor distal margin beveled and serrate, molar subcoronal with distal spines. Right mandible (Figure 4G) incisor unequally bifid, distal margin serrate; molar as in left mandible. Labium simple, semi-rectangular (Figure 4I). Maxillule (Figure 4H) endite with seven distal spines and outer subdistal setae. Maxilla not observed.

Maxilliped (Figure 4J) palp article-1 naked, article-2 1.3 L:W, with fine outer and three inner setae (two long and one short); article-3 1.3 L:W with one shorter and three longer inner setae; article-4 1.4 L:W with six distal and subdistal setae. Maxilliped endite, basis not dissected.

Cheliped (Figure 5A) basis broken; merus ventral seta not seen; carpus 1.9 L:W, 1.2x palm, with two midventral setae and dorsodistal simple seta; chela non-forcipate, palm 1.4 L:W with comb of small setae on inner side, and one seta near dactylus insertion; fixed finger 4.0 L:W, 1.1x palm, with ventral seta,
cutting edge poorly calcified, almost simple, and with three setae; dactylus 5.6 L:W, cutting edge smooth, with dorsoproximal seta.

Pereopod-1 (Figure 5B) overall 16.8 L:W; basis 8.3 L:W, 4.6x merus, with one dorsoproximal and two ventral setae; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.0 L:W and 0.8x carpus, with dorsodistal seta; carpus 2.2 L:W, 0.5x propodus, with three dorsodistal setae; propodus 6.4 L:W, 0.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one subdistal seta, dactylus 0.6x unguis.

Pereopod-2 (Figure 5C) overall 11.8 L:W; coxa with seta; basis 5.4 L:W, 3.6x merus, with two dorsoproximal (broken) and two ventral setae; ischium with ventral seta; merus 1.5 L:W, 0.7x carpus, with seta and spine ventrodistally; carpus 2.6 L:W, 0.9x propodus, with dorsodistal setae, simple ventrodistal spine and intermediate ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.5x propodus); propodus 6.5 L:W, 1.7x dactylus and unguis combined length, with ventrodistal robust seta (0.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.7x unguis.

Pereopod-3 (Figure 5D) overall 22.8 L:W; coxa with seta; basis 4.8 L:W, 2.7x merus, with two dorsoproximal (one broken) and one ventral setae; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.0 L:W, 0.8x carpus, with ventrodistal seta and spine; carpus 2.9 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, simple ventrodistal spine and long ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.7x propodus); propodus 5.3 L:W, 1.6x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one distal seta (0.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.6x unguis.

Pereopod-4 (Figure 5E) overall 8.1 L:W; basis 3.9 L:W, 5.0x merus, with two long penicillate ventral setae; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.4x carpus, with one spine and one seta; carpus 4.3 L:W, 4.5x propodus, with small; dorsodistal seta, two distal spines and one short blade-like spine (0.4x propodus); propodus 6.8 L:W, 2.5x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventral setae (short and long) and one serrate dorsal seta (1.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.2x unguis.

Pereopod-5 similar to pereopod-4.

Pereopod-6 (Figure 5F) overall 8.1 L:W; basis 3.3 L:W, 3.3x merus, naked; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.5x carpus, with one short seta and one robust spine; carpus 3.5 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, two distal spines, and short blade-like spine (0.4x propodus); propodus 5.5 L:W, 2.2x dactylus and unguis combine length, with two serrate ventral setae (short and long) and two serrate dorsal setae (longer setae 0.9x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 2.0x unguis.

Pleopods (Figure 5G) rami elongate, narrow; exopod with five, endopod with ten distal setae.

Uropod (Figure 5H) peduncle 1.0 L:W; exopod with two articles, 15.3 L:W; article-1 7.3 L:W, naked, article-2 8.0 L:W, with two setae; endopod 8.3 L:W; article-1 4.7 L:W, with one simple seta and two penicillate setae; article-2 7.3 L:W, with five distal setae. Exopod 0.9x endopod.

**Distribution**: Species known from SE Australia, off Cape Otway, from the depth 209 m.

**Remarks.** *Pseudotanaids caberneti* sp. nov. with a relatively long seta on merus and carpus of pereopod-1 can be classified in the “affinis + longisetosus” morphogroup, although the short dorsodistal seta on the carpus of pereopods 5–6 differentiates it from fourteen species: *Pseudotanaid chardonnayi*, *P. chanelae*, *P. curiace*ae, *P. gaiae*, *P. julietae*, *P. longisetosus*, *P. longispinus*, *P. monroae*, *P. nipponicus*, *P. rapunzelae*, *P. romeo*, *P. spatula*, *P. uranos*, and *Pseudotanaids* sp. O (sensu McLelland), which have a long seta. The combination of a spine and seta on the merus of pereopods 4–6 merus differentiates *P. caberneti* from *P. affinis*, *P. scalpellum*, *P. shackletoni*, *P. svavarssoni* and *Pseudotanaids* sp. O (sensu McLelland), which have either a spine or seta only (the latter *P. svavarssoni*). Furthermore, two setae on the ischium of pereopods 4–6 of *P. caberneti* is similar to *P. geralti* and *P. macrocheles*, although the uropodal exopod that is only slightly shorter than the endopod (0.9x) separates *P. caberneti* from both species, where this proportion is at most 0.6x.

**Key for identification of Pseudotanaids females of the “affinis + longisetosus” morpho-group.**

1. Pereopods 5–6 carpus with:
   - short seta............................................................................ 2
   - long seta............................................................................... 3
2. Pereopod-4 ischium with:
   - one seta............................................................................... 4
   - two setae............................................................................... 5
3. Pereopod-4 carpus rod seta:
   - short..................................................................................... 13
   - long...................................................................................... 14
4. Uropod exopod to endopod ratio:
   - > 0.8x.................................................................................. 06
   - > 0.9x.................................................................................. P. vitjazi
5. Uropod exopod to endopod ratio:
   - > 0.8x.................................................................................. 07
   - > 0.9x.................................................................................. P. geralti
6. Pereopod-2 carpus blade-like spine to propodus ratio:
   - > 0.6x.................................................................................. 11
   - 0.8x.................................................................................... P. scalpellum
7. Cephalothorax to pereonites 1–3 ratio; pereopod-5 dactylus to unguis ratio:
   - 1.3x; 1.6x........................................................................... P. macrocheles
   - 0.9x; 2.0x.......................................................................... P. geralti
8. Cephalothorax to pereonites 1–3 ratio:
   - > 1.2x................................................................................. 09
   - > 1.3x................................................................................. P. caberneti sp. nov.
9. Pereopods 4–6 merus spine, seta [0-absent, 1-present]:
   - 1.0...................................................................................... 10
   - 0.1...................................................................................... P. svavarssoni
10. Pereopod-5 dactylus to unguis ratio:
    - 1.0x.................................................................................. Pseudotanaids sp. P.
    - 2.3x.................................................................................. P. shackletoni
    - 3.0x.................................................................................. P. affinis
11. Cephalothorax to pereonites 1–3 ratio:
    - > 1.2x................................................................................. 12
    - > 1.7x................................................................................. P. yenneferae
12. Pereonite-1 to pereonite-2 ratio; pereopods 4–6 merus spine, seta [0-absent, 1-present]:
    - 0.7x; 1.1........................................................................... P. nordenskioldi
    - 0.5x; 1.0.......................................................................... P. spatula
13. Pereopod-3 carpus blade-like spine to propodus ratio
0.5x......................................................... 16
≥ 0.6x......................................................... 17
14. Uropod exopod to endopod ratio:
0.7x......................................................... 15
0.9x.......................................................... 19
15. Cephalothorax to pereonites 1–3 ratio; pereonite-1 to pereonite-2 ratio:
1.2x; 1.0x.................................................. P. longisetosus
0.9x; 0.5x.................................................. P. chanelae
16. Pereopods 4–6 merus spine, seta [0-absent, 1-present] :
0,2......................................................... 18
1,0.......................................................... P. gaiae
17. Pereopod-2 carpus blade-like spine to propodus ratio
≥ 0.6x......................................................... 19
0.5x.......................................................... P. romeo
18. Pereonite-1 to pereonite-2 ratio; pereopod-5 dactylus to unguis ratio:
0.3x; 2.0x.................................................. P. uranos
0.6x; 1.4x.................................................. P. monroeae
19. Pereopods 4–6 ischium with:
One seta.................................................. 20
Two setae................................................. 21
20. Cephalothorax to pereonites 1–3 ratio; pereopod-5 dactylus to unguis ratio:
1.0x; 2.0x............................................... P. longispinus
1.3x; 2.5x............................................... P. julietae
21. Pereopods 4–6 merus spine, seta [0-absent, 1-present] :
1,0.......................................................... 22
0,2.......................................................... P. nipponicus
1,1.......................................................... P. chardonnayi sp. nov.
22. Pereonite-1 to pereonite-2 length ratio; pereopod-2 blade-like spine to propodus ratio; pereopod-5 dactylus to unguis ratio:
0.6x; 0.8x; 2.3x......................................... P. rapunzela
0.4x; 0.6x; 2.4x......................................... P. szymborskae
0.4x; 0.7x; 1.5x......................................... Pseudotanais sp. O

“denticulatus + abathagastor” group

Diagnosis. After Błażewicz et al. (2021).
Species included. *Pseudotanais abathagastor* Bamber and Błażewicz-Paszkowycz, 2013; *P. amundseni* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. barnesi* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. biopearli* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. chopini* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. costata* Bird and Holdich, 1987; *P. denticulatus* Bird and Holdich, 1989b; *P. elegans* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. georgesandae* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. kitsoni* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. mariae* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. livingstoni* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. locuoloei* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. oloughlini* Jakiel et al., 2019; *P. palmeri* Błażewicz et al., 2021; *P. barossai* sp. nov.; *P. coonawarra* sp. nov.

*Pseudotanais barossai* sp. nov.

This species is registered in ZooBank number: LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F4C1D408-3731-449E-9F00-D18CBEEF81DB5.

Diagnosis. Antenna articles 2–3 with slender spine. Mandible molar coronal. Pereopod-2 carpus blade-like spine short (0.4x propodus). Pereopods 2–6 merus with single spine. Uropod exopod 0.8x endopod.

Material examined. Holotype, neuter 1.3 mm, partly dissected (J61545), SLOPE 170.

Etymology. From the Barossa Valley in South Australia, a premium wine-growing region, as genitive.

Description of female. BL = 1.6 mm. Body robust (Figure 6A) 3.0 L:W. Cephalothorax 1.0 L:W, 1.2x pereonites 1–3, 1.3x BL with pair of ocular setae. Pereonites 0.6x BL, pereione-1 0.6x pereione-2, pereonites-1–6: 0.2, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 and 0.4 L:W, respectively; pereionites 1, 4–6 with anterolateral setae. Pleon short, 0.5x BL. Pleonites 1.2 L:W. Pleotelson 1.9x pleonites-5, with laterodistal setae.

Antennule (Figure 6B) article-1 4.5 L:W, 2.2x article-2, with one simple and three penicillate midlength setae, and one distal setae; article-2 2.6 L:W, 1.3x article-3, with distal seta; article-3 4.0 L:W, with three simple and three bifurcated setae (aesthetasc not seen).

Antenna (Figure 6C) article-2 1.7 L:W; 1.1x article-3, with slender spine (0.3x article-2); article-3 1.6 L:W, 0.4x article-4, with slender spine (0.3x article-3); article-4 5.5 L:W, 1.7x article-5, with three simple and one penicillate distal setae; article-5 4.4 L:W, 11.7x article-6, with distal seta; article-6 0.4 L:W, with four distal setae.

Labium (Figure 6D) rounded, naked. Left mandible (Figure 6E) *lacinia mobilis* well developed, distally serrate, incisor distal margin beveled slightly serrate, molar coronal. Right mandible (Figure 6F) incisor unequally bifid, distal margin serrate molar as left mandible. Labium (Figure 6G) simple, rounded, glabrous. Maxillule (Figure 6H) endite with nine distal spines and outer subdistal seta. Maxilla not recovered.

Maxilliped (Figure 6I) palp article-1 1.5 L:W, naked, article-2 1.0 L:W with fine outer seta and three inner setae; article-3 1.1 L:W with four inner setae, article-4 3.0 L:W with one subdistal and five distal setae. Epignath not recovered.

Cheliped (Figure 7A) basis 1.9 L:W, with small seta near sclerite articulation; merus with ventral seta; carpus 1.8 L:W, 1.2x palm, with two midventral setae and mid-dorsal and dorsodistal simple setae; chela non-forcipate, palm 1.7 L:W; fixed finger 3.1 L:W, 0.9x palm with one ventral seta, and with three setae on cutting edge; dactylus 6.3 L:W, cutting edge smooth, proximal seta not seen.

Pereopod-1 (Figure 7B) overall 15.7 L:W; coxa with seta; basis 7.0 L:W, 3.7x merus, naked; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.1 L:W and 0.8x carpus, naked; carpus 2.4 L:W, 0.5x propodus, with two short distal setae; propodus 5.6 L:W, 0.8x dactylus and unguis combined length, with ventrodistal seta; dactylus 0.6 x unguis.

Pereopod-2 (Figure 7C) overall 13.5 L:W; coxa with seta; basis 6.2 L:W, 3.2x merus, naked; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.3 L:W, 0.8x carpus, with ventrodistal spine; carpus 2.5 L:W, 0.9x propodus, with dorsodistal spine, inner-distal seta, simple ventrodistal spine and short ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.4x propodus); propodus 6.3 L:W, 2.0x dactylus and unguis.
combined length, with one distal seta (0.4x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.5x unguis.

Pereopod-3 (Figure 7D) basis distally broken, naked; ischium with ventral seta; merus 4.6 L:W, 0.9x carpus, with ventrodistal spine; carpus 1.7 L:W, 1.0x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, simple ventrodistal small spine, and long ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.6x propodus); propodus 3.9 L:W, 3.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one ventrodistal robust seta (0.4x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.9x unguis.

Pereopod-4 (Figure 7E) overall 8.4 L:W; basis 3.9 L:W, 3.5x merus, with penicillate ventral seta; ischium with ventral seta (second seta not seen); merus 1.8 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with spine; carpus 8.5 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, and two spines (short and long) and one short blade-like spine (0.3x propodus) distally; propodus 4.3 L:W, 1.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventral spines and one serrate dorsodistal seta (1.7x dactylus and unguis combined length), and one penicillate middorsal seta; dactylus 3.0x unguis.

Pereopod-5 (Figure 7F) overall 7.3 L:W; basis 3.3 L:W, 3.9x merus, with penicillate ventral seta; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.5 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with spine; carpus 2.6 L:W, 1.0x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, small spine and short blade-like spine (0.3x propodus) distally; propodus 5.0 L:W, 3.3x
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**FIGURE 7** | *Pseudotanaid barossai* sp. nov.; neuter (J61545). (A), cheliped; (B), pereopod-1; (C), pereopod-2; (D), pereopod-3; (E), pereopod-4; (F), pereopod-5; (G), pereopod-6; (H), pleopod; (I), uropod. Scale lines = 0.1 mm.

Dactylus and unguis combined length, with dorsal penicillate seta, two serrate ventrodistal spine, one serrate dorsodistal seta (1.7 dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.5x unguis.

Pereopod-6 (**Figure 7G**) basis broken; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.7 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with spine; carpus 3.1 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, small spine and short blade-like spine (0.3x propodus) distally; propodus 5.0 L:W, 2.7x dactylus and unguis combine length, with two serrate ventrodistal setae and two serrate dorsodistal setae (longer setae 2.9x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 2.7x unguis.

Pleopods (**Figure 7H**) poor condition, exopod not observed; endopod with nine setae.

Uropod (**Figure 7I**) peduncle 0.9 L:W; exopod with two articles; 6.6 L:W; article-1 3.2 L:W, with simple seta; article-2 5.7 L:W, with two distal setae (short and long); endopod 6.0 L:W; article-1 3.1 L:W, naked; article-2 4.0 L:W, with four simple setae and one penicillate distal seta. Exopod 0.8x endopod.

**Distribution.** Species known only from type locality, off Kangaroo Island (SE Australia) at depth 1548 m.

**Remarks.** *Pseudotanaid barossai* sp. nov. has a thin spine on antenna article-2 and with this it can be separated from *P. abathagastor* and *P. mariae* which have a weaker seta at this position, and *P. barnesi* that lacks any seta. Furthermore, a thin spine on antennal article-3 also distinguishes *P. barossai* from *P. amundseni*, which has a weaker seta. In addition, a single spine on the pereopods 2–6 merus differentiates *P. barossai* from all other congeners that have a combination of spine and seta, two setae or being naked at this position.
**Pseudotanais coonawarrai** sp. nov.

This species is registered in ZooBank number: LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9C6979E0-B0A2-47FE-8C4B-54B5CA2C39.

**Diagnosis.** Antenna articles 2–3 with spine. Mandible molar coronal with two distal spines. Pereopod-2 carpus blade-like spine short (0.4x propodus). Pereopods 2–6 merus with spine and seta. Uropod exopod 0.8x endopod.

**Material examined.** Holotype, neuter 2.9 mm, partly dissected (J62734), SLOPE 134.

**Etymology.** In the Bindjali Aboriginal language, coonawarra is honeysuckle and a wine region from southern Australia, as genitive.

**Description of female.** BL = 2.9 mm. Body robust (Figure 8A) 4.1 L:W. Cephalothorax 0.9 L:W, 1.1x pleonites 1–3, 0.2x BL. Pleonites 0.6x BL, pleonite-1 0.5x pleonite-2, pleonites 1–6: 0.2, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7, and 0.6 L:W, respectively; pleonites 1, 4–6 with small anterolateral setae. Pleon short, 0.4x BL. Pleonites 0.9 L:W, pleonites 1–2 and 4–5 with dorsolateral pair of setae. Pleotelson 5.3x pleonite-5, with pair of laterodistal setae.

Antennule (Figure 8B) article-1 3.9 L:W, 2.8x article-2, with one simple and two penicillate midlength setae, and one simple and three penicillate distal setae; article-2 2.5 L:W, 1.2x article-3, with two simple setae and one distal penicillate seta; article-3 3.7 L:W, with one simple, one penicillate, four bifurcated setae and one aesthetasc.

Antenna (Figure 8C) article-2 1.1 L:W; 0.9x article-3, with spine (0.3x article-2); article-3 1.4 L:W, 0.3x article-4, with spine (0.2x article-3); article-4 6.2 L:W, 2.7x article-5, with middorsal penicillate seta, three simple and three penicillate distal setae; article-5 3.3 L:W, 7.7x article-6, with distal seta; article-6 0.5 L:W, with four distal setae.

Labrum (Figure 8D) rounded, finely setulate. Left mandible (Figure 8E) lacinia mobilis well developed, distally serrate, incisor distal margin beveled and serrate, molar coronal with two longer distal spines. Right mandible not recovered. Labium (Figure 8F)

![FIGURE 8 | Pseudotanais coonawarrai sp. nov; neuter (J62734), (A), dorsal; (B), antennule; (C), antenna; (D), labrum; (E), left mandible; (F), labium; (G), maxillule; (G’), maxillule endite; (H), maxilla; (I), maxilliped; (J), epignath. Scale lines (A) = 1 mm, (B–I) = 0.1 mm.](image-url)
simple, rounded, glabrous. Maxillule (Figure 8G) endite with eight distal spines and outer subdistal setae; palp (Figure 8G’) with two setae. Maxilla (Figure 8H) almost circular, naked.

Maxilliped (Figure 8I) palp article-1 1.2 L:W, naked, article-2 1.0 L:W, with fine outer and three inner setae (one minute, one very long); article-3 0.9 L:W, with one shorter and three longer inner setae, article-4 1.6 L:W, with one sub-distal and five distal setae. Maxilliped endites mostly fused but with distinct central cleft, each with two round gustatory cusps. Epignath (Figure 8J) linguiform, simple, naked.

Cheliped (Figure 9A) basis 1.5 L:W, naked; merus with ventral seta; carpus 1.6 L:W, 1.0x palm, with two midventral setae and middorsal seta; chela non-forcipate, palm 1.6 L:W with seta near the dactylus insertion; fixed finger 3.0 L:W, 0.7x palm, with three setae on cutting edge (ventral seta not seen); dactylus 4.7 L:W, cutting edge smooth, with dorsoproximal seta.

Pereopod-1 (Figure 9B) overall 14.3 L:W; basis 7.2 L:W, 4.0x merus, with one dorsoproximal and two (or three) ventral setae; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.3 L:W and 0.8x carpus, with minute ventrodorsal seta; carpus 2.4 L:W, 0.7x propodus, with one
ventrodistal and two dorsodistal setae; propodus 4.4 L:W, 0.6x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one subdistal seta, dactylus 0.5x unguis, with proximal seta.

Pereopod-2 (Figure 9C) overall 9.6 L:W; basis 4.7 L:W, 4.3x merus, with mid-dorsal penicillate seta and midventral simple seta; ischiun with ventral seta; merus 1.1 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with seta and spine ventrodistally; carpus 2.3 L:W, 0.9x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, small distal seta, and short spine and blade-like spine (0.4x propodus) ventrodistally, several comb-like scales distally; propodus 5.0 L:W, 1.7x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one distal seta (0.3x dactylus and unguis combined length), with comb-like scales along dorsal margin; dactylus 0.5x unguisis.

Pereopod-3 (Figure 9D) overall 15.9 L:W; basis 3.7 L:W, 2.9x merus, with two simple and one penicillate midventral setae; ischiun with ventral seta; merus 1.4 L:W, 0.8x carpus, with seta and spine ventrodistally; carpus 2.0 L:W, 1.0x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, small seta, small spine and short ventrodistal blade-like spine (0.4x propodus) distally; propodus 4.5 L:W, 1.5x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one distal seta (0.4x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.3x unguisis.

Pereopod-4 (Figure 9E) overall 6.2 L:W; basis 2.5 L:W, 3.8x merus, with small simple ventroproximal seta and long penicillate midventral seta; ischiun with two ventral setae; merus 1.3 L:W, 0.5x carpus, with seta and spine; carpus 3.3 L:W, 1.2x propodus, with one seta, two spines (short and longer) and short blade-like spine (0.2x propodus); propodus 4.7 L:W, 2.8x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventrodistal setae and one serrate dorsal seta (2.3x dactylus and unguis combine length) and penicillate seta dorsally; dactylus 1.5x unguisis.

Pereopod-5 (Figure 9F) overall 5.5 L:W; basis 2.7 L:W, 5.0x merus, with simple ventroproximal seta and short penicillate midventral seta; ischiun with two ventral setae; merus 1.3 L:W, 0.4x carpus, with seta and spine; carpus 3.0 L:W, 1.2x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, two distal spines and short blade-like spine (0.2x propodus); propodus 4.6 L:W, 2.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventrodistal setae and serrate dorsodistal seta (2.9x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.7x unguisis.

Pereopod-6 (Figure 9G) overall 5.4 L:W; basis 2.7 L:W, 4.6x merus, with proximal penicillate seta; ischiun with two ventral setae; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.5x carpus, with seta and spine; carpus 2.7 L:W, 1.2x propodus, with one seta, two spines and short blade-like spine (0.3x propodus); propodus 4.6 L:W, 2.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventral setae and two serrate dorsal setae (longer seta 2.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.7x unguisis.

Pleopods (Figure 9H) rami long and slender, exopod with five, endopod with nine setae.

Uropod (Figure 9I) peduncle 1.2 L:W; exopod with two articles; 9.5 L:W; article-1 6.0 L:W, with subdistal seta; article-2 4.7 L:W, with two setae distally; endopod 7.7 L:W; article-1 3.5 L:W, with one simple and one penicillate setae; article-2 4.5 L:W, with one subdistal and two simple setae, and one penicillate distal seta. Exopod 0.8x endopod.

**Distribution.** Species known only from the type locality off Cape Otway (SE Australia) at depth 1021 m.

**Remarks.** The combination of antenna articles 2–3 with spines, coronal mandible molar, short ventrodistal setae on the pereopod-1 merus and carpus, and slender uropod places *P. coonawarrai* sp. nov. in the “denticulatus + abathagastor” group. A spine on the antenna article-2 distinguishes the new species from *P. abathagastor* and *P. mariae*, which have a seta on this article, and from *P. barnesi*, which has this article naked. The uropod exopod, shorter than the endopod (0.8x), separates *P. coonawarrai* from *P. chaplini* and *P. oloughlini*, where exopod is 1.1x endopod, and from *P. palmeri* where the exopod and endopod are equal. The presence of a spine and seta on the merus of pereopods 4–6 separates *P. coonawarrai* from *P. biopearli*, *P. barossai*, *P. corollatus*, *P. georgesandae* and *P. locueloa*, which have a spine or two setae in this position. Finally, the absence of wide-based spines on pereopods 2–3 in *P. coonawarrai* is similar to *P. denticulatus* and *P. kitsoni* although it can be distinguished by a short pereopod-2 with an overall proportion of 9.6 L:W compared to >13 L:W in *P. denticulatus* and *P. kitsoni*.

**Key for the identification of Pseudotanais females of the “denticulatus + abathagastor” morpho-group.**

1. Antenna article-3: with spine or seta..................................................2
   naked.........................................................................................2 *P. barnesi*
2. Antenna article-3 with seta..................................................................3 *P. denticulatus*
3. Antenna article-2 with; pereopods 4–6 merus with: thin spine; spine and seta..................................................4 *P. amundseni*
   spine; two spines.....................................................................4 *P. elephas*
   seta; spine..............................................................................5 *P. mariae*
4. Pereopods 4–6 ischiun with: one seta...............................................5 *P. locueloa*
   two setae..............................................................................6 *P. coonawarrai*
5. Antenna article-4 L:W ratio:
   < 7.0 L:W.............................................................................7
   > 9.2 L:W.............................................................................9
6. Antenna article-4 L:W ratio:
   < 8.2 L:W.............................................................................9
   > 8.3 L:W.............................................................................10
7. Antenna article-2 with:
   spine......................................................................................8 *P. abathagastor*
   seta......................................................................................8 *P. locueloa*
8. Uropod exopod to endopod ratio:
   0.8x..............................................................................11
   1.1x......................................................................................12 *P. chaplini*
9. Antenna article-2 with:
   spine......................................................................................11
   seta......................................................................................12 *P. oloughlini*
10. Uropod exopod to endopod ratio:
    ≤ 0.9x0..........................................................................11
    ≥ 1.1x..................................................................................11 *P. chaplini*
11. Uropod endopod L:W ratio; pereopod-3 carpus blade-like spine to propodus ratio; pereopod-6 carpus blade-like to propodus ratio:
incisor distal margin beveled, serrate. Right mandible (article-3 2.5 L:W, with five simple setae and one penicillate seta, 1.3x article-3, with two simple and one penicillate distal setae; subdistal and three penicillate distal setae; article-2 2.5 L:W, one simple and three penicillate midlength setae, and one simple laterodistal setae.

Pleotelson 1.2x pleonite-5, with pair of simple and penicillate pereonite-5 with two pairs of setae on each side of midline. 0.2x BL. Pleonites 0.7 L:W, pleonite-4 with pair of dorsal setae, 0.6, and 0.3 L:W, respectively; pereonite 1 with midlateral seta; 1ñ3, 0.2x BL, with subocular pair of setae on. Pereonites 0.8x (article-6 0.5 L:W, with four simple setae.

2.0x article-5, with three simple and three penicillate subdistal setae; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with two spines, and three longer inner setae; article-4 1.9 L:W, with one subdistal and five distal setae.

Maxillipeds endites mostly fused but with distinct central cleft, each with small middle seta and two gustatory cusps. Epignath (Figure 10K) lingiform, simple distally rounded.

Cheliped (Figure 11A) basis distally broken; merus with ventral seta; carpus 1.3 L:W, 1.0x palm, with two midventral setae, and one mid-dorsal and one dorso-distal small setae; chela non-forcipate, palm 1.3 L:W; fixed finger 3.0 L:W, 0.8x palm with one ventral seta, three setae on cutting edge, and one simple seta near dactylus insertion; dactylus 3.8 L:W, cutting edge smooth, without proximal seta.

Pereopod-1 (Figure 11B) overall 14.3 L:W; basis 7.5 L:W, 4.2x merus, with dorsoproximal seta; ischium with ventral seta; merus 2.0 L:W and 0.9x carpus, with two ventrodistal setae (short and long); carpus 2.5 L:W, 0.7x propodus, with two dorso-distal and one ventrodistal setae; propodus 4.3 L:W, 0.9x dactylus and unguis combined length, with one long ventrodistal seta; dactylus 0.4x unguis with proximal seta.

Pereopod-2 (Figure 11C) overall 13.4 L:W; coxa with seta; basis 7.0 L:W, 4.5x merus, with middorsal penicillate seta; ischium with ventral seta; merus 1.5 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with ventrodistal seta; carpus 2.5 L:W, 1.0x propodus, with dorso-distal spine, short ventro-distal spine and short blade-like spine (0.2x propodus); propodus 5.2 L:W, 1.5x dactylus and unguis combined length, with ventroproximal seta (0.2x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 0.7x unguis.

Pereopod-3 (Figure 11D) overall 19.3 L:W; basis 2.6 L:W, 2.1x merus, with midventral penicillate seta; ischium with ventral seta; merus 1.3 L:W, 0.8x carpus, with two ventrodistal setae; carpus 2.0 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorso-distal spine, short ventrodistal spine and short blade-like spine (0.2x propodus); propodus 3.6 L:W, 1.6x dactylus and unguis combined length, with ventrodistal spine (0.3x dactylus); dactylus 0.8x unguis.

Pereopod-4 (Figure 11E) overall 5.0 L:W; basis 2.3 L:W, 3.7x merus, naked; ischium with ventral seta (second seta not seen); merus 1.5 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with two ventrodistal spines and several comb-like scales; carpus 3.3 L:W, 1.2x propodus, with two long spines and short blade-like spine (0.1x propodus); propodus 4.2 L:W, 3.6x dactylus and unguis combined length, with penicillate dorsal setae, two serrate ventrodistal spines and one serrate dorso-distal seta (1.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 1.8x unguis.

Pereopod-5 (Figure 11F) overall 4.6 L:W; basis 2.3 L:W, 3.9x merus, with two midventral penicillate setae; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 1.6 L:W, 0.6x carpus, with two spines; carpus 2.8 L:W, 1.1x propodus, with dorso-distal seta and short blade-like spine (0.1x propodus) (spines not seen); propodus 4.0 L:W, 3.3x dactylus and unguis combined length, with penicillate dorsal seta, two serrate ventrodistal spines, and one
serrate dorsal seta (1.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 3.0x unguis.

Pereopod-6 (Figure 11G) overall 5.4 L:W; basis 2.5 L:W, 3.3x merus, with minute ventroproximal seta; ischium with two ventral setae; merus 2.0 L:W, 0.8x carpus, with two spines; carpus 1.2 L:W, 0.9x propodus, with dorsodistal seta, two distal spines, and short blade-like spine (0.1x propodus); propodus 4.8 L:W, 6.0x dactylus and unguis combined length, with two serrate ventral setae and two serrate dorsal setae (longer setae 2.5x dactylus and unguis combined length); dactylus 2.0x unguis.

Pleopods (Figure 11H) rami long and slender, exopod with six, endopod with eight setae.

Uropod (Figure 11I) peduncle 1.3 L:W; exopod with two articles; 7.8 L:W; article-1 1.3 L:W, with distal seta; article-2 2.8 L:W, with two long setae; endopod 7.0 L:W; article-1 3.5 L:W, with one simple and two penicillate setae; article-2 4.2 L:W, with one subdistal and five distal setae. Exopod 0.7x endopod.
**Distribution.** The species is known from off Gippsland and Jervis Point SE Australia, at depths 400–1277 m.

**Remarks.** *Pseudotanaidae shirazi* sp. nov., with short conical blade like-spines on the carpus of pereopods 2–6, is the second species after *P. intortus* with this shape. Its maxilliped endites with a distinct medial cleft and each with one simple seta and two tubercles, distinguish it from *P. intortus* where the maxilliped endites are fused and each have only one tubercle. Additionally, the blade-like spine in pereopod-2 in *P. shirazi* is conical while, in *P. intortus* pereopod-2 is more flattened, with the cavity in the central part. A short propodal seta on pereopods 2–3 (0.2x dactylus and unguis combined length) in *P. shirazi* is different from *P. intortus*, where this spine is almost as long as dactylus and unguis combined length (0.8x). Finally, the pereopods 4–6 unguis is simple in contrast to *P. intortus* with a bifurcated unguis.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study provides for the first time information about Pseudotanaidae species from the continental margin of SE Australia near Bass Strait. From five species, two occurred off eastern coast between Gippsland and Jervis Point (*P. shirazi* and *P. chardonnayi*), and three on the southern coast between Great Otway (Otway Point) and Kangaroo Island (*P. caberneti*,...
$P.\ barossai$ and $P.\ coonawarrai$ (Figure 12). These five species bring the total number of described pseudotanaid species to 94. Until now the family was represented in Australian waters by only one species – the shallow-water $Akanthinotanais\ scrappi$ (Bamber, 2005). Remarkably, the family is apparently absent in the well sampled Bass Strait (Błażewicz-Paszkowycz and Bamber, 2012; Bamber and Błażewicz-Paszkowycz, 2013), but they were recorded at the deeper shelf (around 100 m) and at the slope of West Australia (Bamber, 2005; McCallum et al., 2015; Poore et al., 2015); also, it was recorded in two locations of Great Barrier Reef e.g., Lizard and Heron Is; (Stepień et al., 2018). Unfortunately, these collections were not identified to species level.

The Pseudotanaidae is cosmopolitan family that encompass all biogeographic zones (Watling et al., 2013). Collated literature date on the distribution of currently recognized pseudotanaid genera and the morpho-groups, allow to group pseudotanaids into few categories (Table 2 and Figure 13):

- $Akanthinotanais$ and “forcipatus” can be common on the shelf from the tropics to polar regions and have been only occasionally recorded below the continental margin (Sieg, 1977; Bird and Holdich, 1989a,b) or the abyssal (Jakiel et al., 2019). The former is still relatively understudied because of its relative scarcity, and exhibits a range of morphologies that may encompass several genera, even in a separate family;
- “denticulatus + abathagastor” and “affinis + longisetosus” represent deep-sea fauna, but several species have been recorded on the shelf of polar regions. This distribution supports a polar emergence phenomenon observed for several taxa (Wilson, 1998; Berkman et al., 2004; Błażewicz-Paszkowycz, 2005; Raupach et al., 2012). With some probability, this group could also be represented by $Beksitanais$, although this assumption could be revised when more records become available;
- “spicatus” is recorded on the upper, lower slope and in the abyss;
- $Parapseudotanais$ is recorded only from the abyss;
- $Mystriocentrus$ is known from lower slope and the abyss.

To confirm that $Parapseudotanais$ and $Mystriocentrus$ are deep-water genera requires more data. The species provisionally classified to the “colonus” group does not reveal a clear distribution pattern that suggest an artificial (non-morphological) character of the group.

Apart from the Pseudotanaidae, in general, the peracarid fauna of Australian coast is very diverse (Poore et al., 1994; Lowry and Stoddard, 2003; Poore and Bruce, 2012). With that background, tanaids are represented by 162 species in 66 genera (Edgar, 1997, 2008, 2012; Bamber, 2005, 2008; Błażewicz-Paszkowycz and Bamber, 2007, 2009, 2012; Jóźwiak and Błażewicz, 2021). This situation is apparently worse at the shelf break where only nine species from seven genera and
### TABLE 2 | Classification of Pseudotanaidae to genera and morpho-groups according to Bird and Holdich (1989b) and McLelland (2007).

| Group | Species | Ocean | Depth zone | Province |
|-------|---------|-------|------------|----------|
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. affinis* | Atlantic | shelf | Arctic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. macrocheles* | Atlantic | shelf | Northern European Seas |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. rapunzelae* | Southern | shelf | Scotia Sea |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. shackletoni* | Southern | shelf | Scotia Sea |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. charlottiae* | Pacific | upper slope | Subantarctic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. longispinus* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. spathula* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. shackletoni* | Southern | lower slope | Scotia Sea |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. longispinus* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. scalpellum* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. spatula* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. svavarssoni* | Atlantic | lower slope | North Atlantic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. nipponicus* | Pacific | lower slope | North Pacific Boreal |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. longisetosus* | Southern | lower slope | Antarctic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. longisetosus* | Southern | lower slope | Antarctic |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *P. breviaquas* | Atlantic | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. breviaquas* | Atlantic | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. siegi* | Atlantic | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. similis* | Atlantic | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. mortenseni* | Atlantic | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. scrappi* | Indian | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. gerlachi* | Indian | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. malayensis* | Indian | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. pedecentritulus* | Indian | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. gaussi* | Southern | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. guilei* | Southern | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. rossi* | Southern | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. kurchatovi* | Atlantic | upper slope | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. majorothrix* | Pacific | upper slope | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *A. longipes* | Atlantic | lower slope | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *B. vanhoeffeni* | Southern | shelf | Western Pacific |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *B. abyssi* | Atlantic | lower slope | Southern Ocean |
| “affinis + longisetosus” | *B. apoclyptica* | Pacific | abyssal | Southern Ocean |
| “colonus” | *P. boreal* | Atlantic | shelf | Black Sea |
| “colonus” | *P. lifeborgi* | Atlantic | shelf | Northern European Seas |
| “colonus” | *P. falconeri* | Atlantic | upper slope | N Atlantic |
| “colonus” | *P. signis* | Atlantic | upper slope | N Atlantic |
| “colonus” | *P. colonus* | Atlantic | lower slope | N Atlantic |
| “colonus” | *P. baresnautii* | Atlantic | abyssal | N Atlantic |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. crassicornis* | Atlantic | shelf | Arctic |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. amundseni* | Southern | shelf | Amundsen/Bellinghausen Sea |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. baresnautii* | Southern | shelf | Amundsen/Bellinghausen Sea |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. baresnauti* | Southern | shelf | Amundsen/Bellinghausen Sea |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. kitsoni* | Southern | shelf | Amundsen/Bellinghausen Sea |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | *P. kitsonii* | Southern | shelf | Amundsen/Bellinghausen Sea |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | (Continued)

| Group                      | Species                | Ocean         | Depth zone | Province               |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. livingstoni        | Southern shelf | shelf      | Scotia Sea             |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. palmeri            | Southern shelf | shelf      | Scotia Sea             |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. abathagastor       | Pacific upper slope | upper slope | N Pacific Boreal       |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. denticulatus       | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | North Atlantic          |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. corollatus          | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | Northern Atlantic Boreal |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. cooniwarrai       | Pacific lower slope | lower slope | Subantarctic            |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. barrossai       | Pacific lower slope | lower slope | Subantarctic            |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. chaplini          | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | Equatorial Pacific      |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. chapsin            | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | Equatorial Pacific      |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. georgesandae       | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | Equatorial Pacific      |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. mariae             | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | Equatorial Pacific      |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. oloughlini         | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | North Pacific           |
| “denticulatus + abathagastor” | P. locueolae          | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | North Pacific           |
| “forcipatus”               | P. isabelae           | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Mediterranean Sea       |
| “forcipatus”               | P. mediterraneus      | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Mediterranean Sea       |
| “forcipatus”               | P. stiletto           | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Mediterranean Sea       |
| “forcipatus”               | P. unicus             | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Mediterranean Sea       |
| “forcipatus”               | P. forcipatus         | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Northern European Seas  |
| “forcipatus”               | P. jonesi             | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Northern European Seas  |
| “forcipatus”               | P. mexikolpos         | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Warm Temperate Northwest Atlantic |
| “forcipatus”               | P. californensis      | Pacific shelf | shelf      | Tropical East Pacific   |
| “forcipatus”               | P. enduranceae       | Southern shelf | shelf      | Amundsen/Bellingshausen Sea |
| “forcipatus”               | P. discoveryae        | Southern shelf | shelf      | Scotia Sea              |
| “forcipatus”               | P. scotti             | Southern shelf | shelf      | Scotia Sea              |
| “forcipatus”               | P. artoo              | Atlantic upper slope | upper slope | S Atlantic               |
| “forcipatus”               | P. soja               | Pacific upper slope | upper slope | N Pacific Boreal        |
| “forcipatus”               | P. falcicula          | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | North Atlantic          |
| “forcipatus”               | P. vulsella           | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | North Atlantic          |
| “forcipatus”               | P. misericoerde      | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | Northern Atlantic Boreal |
| “forcipatus”               | P. infatus            | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | N Pacific Boreal        |
| Mystriocentrus             | M. serratus           | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | North Atlantic          |
| Mystriocentrus             | M. biho               | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | Northern Atlantic Boreal |
| Mystriocentrus             | M. hollanda           | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | North Pacific           |
| not classified             | P. oculatus           | Atlantic shelf | shelf      | Arctic                  |
| Parapseudotanais           | P. abyssalis          | Atlantic abyssal | abyssal    | Arctic Basin            |
| not classified             | P. shiraz             | Pacific upper slope | upper slope | NZ-Kermadec             |
| spicatus                   | P. tympanobaculum     | Pacific upper slope | upper slope | N Pacific Boreal        |
| spicatus                   | P. spicatus           | Atlantic lower slope | lower slope | North Atlantic          |
| spicatus                   | P. kobro              | Pacific abyssal | abyssal    | Equatorial Pacific      |

The zoogeographical and bathymetrical classification according to Spalding et al. (2007) and Watling et al. (2013).

four families (Apseudidae: one species; Agathotanaidae: two species, Anarthuridae six species, Paratanidae: one species) are formally described (three species were described from SE Australia) (Larsen and Heard, 2001; Józwik and Jakiel, 2012; Bamber and Błażewicz-Paszkowycz, 2013; Gellert and Błażewicz, 2018). For this reason tanaids are regarded as a comparatively non-diverse group, especially when compared to the other well studied taxa as Isopoda being represented in SE Australia by 51 families (Poore et al., 1994). However, exploration of the deeper shelf and slope of W Australia (McCallum et al., 2015; Poore et al., 2015) proves that tanaids below the continental break are diverse and the perceived lack of diversity mentioned above may be an illusion. The collection of Pseudotanaidae that we studied here is too limited to draw a conclusion about zoogeographical relationships and their link to the complex geological/tectonic history of SE Australia.
FIGURE 13 | Distribution of pseudotanaid genera and morpho-groups according to Bird and Holdich (1989b) and McLelland (2007) (for details see Table 2). The zoogeographical and bathymetrical classification according to Spalding et al. (2007) and Watling et al. (2013). The size of the pies corresponds to the number of species recorded.
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