Optical observations of NEA 3200 Phaethon (1983 TB) during the 2017 apparition
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ABSTRACT

Context. The near-Earth asteroid 3200 Phaethon (1983 TB) is an attractive object not only from a scientific viewpoint but also because of JAXA’s DESTINY+ target. The rotational lightcurve and spin properties were investigated based on the data obtained in the ground-based observation campaign of Phaethon.

Aims. We aim to refine the lightcurves and shape model of Phaethon using all available lightcurve datasets obtained via optical observation, as well as our time-series observation data from the 2017 apparition.

Methods. Using eight 1–2-m telescopes and an optical imager, we acquired the optical lightcurves and derived the spin parameters of Phaethon. We applied the lightcurve inversion method and SAGE algorithm to deduce the convex and non-convex shape model and pole orientations.

Results. We analysed the optical lightcurve of Phaethon and derived a synodic and a sidereal rotational periods of 3.6039 h, with an orbit intersection distance of 0.01945 AU. The spectral type of Phaethon is known as B-type (Green et al. 1985; Binzel et al. 2001, 2004; Bus & Binzel 2002), which is a sub-group of C-complex that is attributed to primitive volatile-rich remnants from early solar system. The asteroid (24) Themis – a typical B-type asteroid – was recently discovered to have H2O ice and organic matter on its surface (Rivkin & Emery 2010; Campins et al. 2010). Phaethon is thus one of the most remarkable NEAs, not only because of its spectral type but also because of its extraordinary connection with the Geminids meteor shower that occurs every mid-December (Gustafson 1989; Williams & Wu 1993; Jenniskens 2006, and references therein).

1. Introduction

The near-Earth asteroid (NEA) 3200 Phaethon (1983 TB; hereinafter referred to as Phaethon) is the target of the DESTINY+ mission, which is an Epsilon-class programme, and is currently under Phase-A study by JAXA (Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency)/ISAS (Institute of Space and Astronautical Science). Phaethon is classified as a member of the Apollo asteroidal group with a semi-major axis greater than that of the Earth. In addition, it is called as Mercury-crosser asteroid with the small perihelion distance of only 0.14 AU. It is also categorised as a potentially hazardous asteroid; the Earth minimum
The observation window for Phaethon at the end of 2017 was a good opportunity to acquire high-quality dense photometric data, as the asteroid passed by the Earth at a lunar distance (LD) of only 27 LD on 16 December 2017, which was the closest approach in 40 yr. We performed a photometric observation campaign for Phaethon between the Asian and American continents during the 2017 apparition to investigate its rotational properties and refine the pole solution. In this paper, we outline our optical observations, data reduction, and analysis. We derived the rotational period and peak-to-peak variation from the lightcurve. Furthermore, we deduced the pole orientation and shape model with not only a convex model based on the lightcurve-inversion method (Kaasalainen & Torppa 2001; Kaasalainen et al. 2001) but also a non-convex model using the Shaping Asteroids with Genetic Evolution (SAGE) algorithm (Bartczak & Dudziński 2018).

### 2. Observations

Photometric observations of Phaethon were conducted for a total of 22 nights with several 1–2–m-class telescopes equipped with CCD (Charge-Coupled Device) cameras. As the predicted apparent magnitude of the asteroid during the period between early November and mid-December 2017 was 11–16 magnitudes, the 1–2–m-class telescopes allowed us to obtain a lightcurve with a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). For the sake of securing the target visibility (that is, maintaining the declination coordinate of Phaethon at larger than 25 degrees and performing continuous observations), observatories in the Asian and American continents located in the northern hemisphere were used. We employed the Sobaeksan Optical Astronomy Observatory (SOAO) 0.6-m, ChungBuk National University Observatory (CBNUO) 0.6-m, and Bohyunsan Optical Astronomy Observatory (BOAO) 1.8-m telescopes in Korea; the Optical Wide-field patrolO (OWL) 0.5-m and Luminous Optical Astronomy Observatory (LOAO) 1.0-m telescopes in Arizona, USA; the Tian Shan Astronomical Observatory (TShAO) 1.0-m telescope in Kazakhstan; the Maidanak Astronomical Observatory (MAO) 0.6-m North telescope in Uzbekistan; and the Lulin Super Light Telescope (SLT) 0.4-m telescope in Taiwan. The details of the observatories, including the instruments, are shown in Table 1. Out of 22 nights of observations, all images acquired using the LOAO 1.0 m telescope were obtained in the non-sidereal tracking mode corresponding to the predicted motion of the object, whereas the other telescopes were guided at sidereal rates. During the observations made in the sidereal rate, the maximum exposure time did not exceed 200 s. The exposure time was determined by two factors. The apparent motion of the asteroid had to be less than the nightly average full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the stellar profiles at each observatory, and the S/N of the object had to be >70.

Details of the observational circumstances are shown in Table A.2. The phase angle bisector (PAB) is the bisected arc between the Earth-asteroid and Sun-asteroid lines that is expressed in ecliptic longitude ($L_{PAB}$) and ecliptic latitude ($B_{PAB}$). Observation conducted in a wide range of PABs is essential for deriving the pole orientation and three-dimensional (3D) shape model. For this purpose, we observed Phaethon at different geometries between the Earth and the asteroid with respect to the Sun. The viewing geometry – especially during the close approaching phase – dramatically changed around UT 23:00 on 16 December 2017. The observation using the OWL 0.5-m telescope in Arizona, USA was conducted before and after the closest approach of Phaethon. The weather during each observational run was mostly clear; however, on the nights of 11–13 November 2017 at Mt. Lemmon, USA, 24 November 2017 at Mt. Sobaek, Korea, 23 and 27 November in Maidanak, Uzbekistan, and 15 December 2017 in Taiwan, we observed cirrus. To characterise the rotational status of Phaethon, time-series observations were performed, mostly using the Johnson R filter, because the combinations of the R band and the optical imagers provide the highest sensitivity for rocky bodies in the solar system. In addition, observing runs dedicated to the calibration of the datasets.

#### Table 1. Observatory and instrument details.

| Telescopea | λb | φb | Altitude (m) | Instrumentc (CCD) | Pixel scale (" pix−1) | Observerd |
|------------|----|----|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| SLT 0.4 m  | 120:52:25 | +23:28:07 | 2,879.0 | e2v CCD42-40 | 0.79 | ZYL |
| OWL 0.5 m  | 249:12:38 | +32:26:32 | 2,769.5 | FLI 16803 | 0.98 | JC, EJC |
| SOAO 0.6 m | 128:27:27 | +36:56:04 | 1,354.4 | FLI 16803 | 0.45 | TSJ, GYH |
| CBNUO 0.6 m | 128:27:31 | +36:46:53 | 87.0 | STX-16803 | 1.05 | SML, JNY |
| MAO 0.6 m  | 66:53:44 | +38:40:24 | 2,578.2 | FLI IMG1001E | 0.68 | EK, OB, SA, YT |
| TShAO 1.0 m | 76:58:18 | +43:03:26 | 2,723.5 | Apogee Alta F16M | 0.56 | AS, MK, IR |
| LOAO 1.0 m  | 249:12:41 | +32:26:32 | 2,776.0 | e2v 4K CCD | 0.80 | FY, JHY, IKB |
| BOAO 1.8 m  | 128:58:36 | +36:09:53 | 1,143.0 | e2v 4K CCD | 0.43 | MKJ, JP |

Notes. (a) Abbreviations: SLT = Lulin Super Light Telescope, OWL = Optical Wide-field patrolO, SOAO = Sobaeksan Optical Astronomy Observatory, CBNUO = ChungBuk National University Observatory, MAO = Maidanak Astronomical Observatory, TShAO = Tian Shan Astronomical Observatory, LOAO = Luminous Optical Astronomy Observatory, BOAO = Bohyunsan Optical Astronomy Observatory. (b) Eastern longitude and geocentric latitude of each observatory. (c) FLI 16803 in SOAO, e2v 4K CCD and SI 4K CCD were configured with 2 × 2 binning. (d) Observer: ZYL = Zhong-Yi Lin, JC = Jin Choi, EJC = Eun-Jung Choi, TSJ = Taeck-Soo Jung, GYH = Gi-Young Han, SML = Sang-Min Lee, JNY = Joh-Na Yoon, EK = Ergashev Kamoliddin, OB = Otabek Burkonov, SA = Shuhart A. Eghamberdiev, YS = Yunus Turayev, AS = Alexander Serebryanskii, MK = Maxim Krugov, IR = Inna Reva, FY = Fumi Yoshida, JHY = Jae-Hyuk Yoon, IKB = In-Kyung Baek, MKJ = Myung-Jin Kim, JP = Jintaek Park.
from different telescopes were performed using the LOAO 1.0 m telescope in January 2018. To calibrate all the data obtained from various telescopes, the same CCD fields during the observing run between November and the beginning of December in 2017 were taken on a single photometric system using the LOAO 1.0-m telescope.

3. Data reduction and lightcurve analysis

All data-reduction procedures were performed using the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF) software package. Individual images were calibrated using standard processing routine of the IRAF task noao.imred.ccdred.ccdproc. Bias and dark frames with relatively large standard deviations were not used for our analysis. Twilight sky flats were acquired before sunrise and after sunset and combined to produce a master flat image for each night. The instrumental magnitudes of Phaethon were obtained using the IRAF apphot package; the aperture radii were set to be equal to FWHM of the stellar profile on each frame in order to maximise the S/N (Howell 1989). The lightcurve of Phaethon was constructed on the basis of the relative magnitude, which is the difference between the instrumental magnitude of the asteroid and the average magnitude of each comparison star. To choose a set of comparison stars, we used the dedicated photometric analysis software subsystem for asteroids, which is called the Asteroid Spin Analysis Package (see Kim 2014, for more details). This package helps to find appropriate comparison stars from single night images and to derive the spin parameters. In consequence, we selected three to five comparison stars with typical scatter of 0.01–0.02 magnitudes. The observation time (UT) was corrected for the light-travel time, and the influence of the distance from the Earth and the Sun was corrected.

To determine the periodicity of the lightcurve, the fast chi-squared \( F_{\chi^2} \) method (Palmer 2009) was adopted. In addition, the result was confirmed via the discrete Fourier transform algorithm (Lenz & Breger 2005). These different techniques yield similar results, for example, rotation periods of 3.6043 and 3.6039 h, respectively, which is consistent with previous lightcurve observations of Phaethon (Wisniewski et al. 1997; Pravec et al. 1998; Krugly et al. 2002; Ans dell et al. 2014; Warner 2015; Schmidt 2018).

The \( F_{\chi^2} \) technique presented here employs a Fourier series truncated at the harmonic \( H \):

\[
\Phi_H(A_{0\ldots2H}, f, t) = A_0 + \sum_{h=1\ldots H} A_{2h-1} \sin(h2\pi ft) + A_{2h} \cos(h2\pi ft).
\]  

We fit the fourth-order Fourier function with \( A_0 = -0.00187142, A_1 = -0.00899222, A_2 = -0.0169267, A_3 = -0.00845562, A_4 = 0.00929936, A_5 = 0.011695, A_6 = -0.002285, A_7 = -0.00194544, \) and \( A_8 = -0.00699133. \) We also obtained the highest spectral power at \( P = 13.31869 \) cycles per day using the discrete Fourier transform algorithm. As a result, a rotational period of 3.6039 h was obtained, assuming a double-peaked lightcurve. We present the resultant composite lightcurve of Phaethon in Fig. 1, which folds with the period of 3.604 h at the epoch \( t_0 \) of JD = 2458068.844184. We combined the data obtained from the SOAO, LOAO, and BOAO telescopes and computed the relative magnitudes according to the observations of comparison stars, using the observations conducted on 5–6 January 2018 as a reference for our calibration procedure.

The amplitude of the lightcurve computed via curve fitting (black solid line in Fig. 1) is \( \Delta m = 0.075 \pm 0.035. \) The peak-to-peak variations in magnitude are caused by the change in the apparent cross-section of the rotating tri-axial ellipsoid, with semi-axes \( a, b, \) and \( c, \) where \( a > b > c \) (rotating about the \( c \) axis). According to Binzel et al. (1989), the lightcurve amplitude varies as a function of the polar aspect viewing angle \( \theta \) (the angle between the rotation axis and the line of sight):

\[
\Delta m = 2.5\log \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) - 1.25\log \left( \frac{a^2 \cos^2 \theta + c^2 \sin^2 \theta}{b^2 \cos^2 \theta + c^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right).
\]  

The lower limit of axis ratio \( a/b \) can be expressed as \( a/b = 10^{\Delta m/5} \), assuming an equatorial view (\( \theta = 90^\circ \)). From this calculation, the lower bound for the \( a/b \) axis ratio of Phaethon is \( 1.07. \)

4. Shape model and pole orientation

The lightcurve-inversion method (Kaasalainen & Torppa 2001; Kaasalainen et al. 2001) is a powerful tool for acquiring the rotational status, including the spin orientation and the shape of asteroids, from the disk-integrated time-series photometric data. For this purpose, the lightcurve data obtained over three apparitions are essential. For this reason, we utilised as many lightcurves of Phaethon as possible, mainly based on our observations but also with data available in the literature from the Database of Asteroid Models from Inversion Techniques (DAMIT; Durech et al. 2010) and the Asteroid Lightcurve Database (LCDB; Warner et al. 2009). The detailed information and references of each lightcurve from the database are shown in Table A.1. The total number of input datasets is 114 lightcurves, and the time span of the observations is 1994 to 2017. A first-period search using the period_scan programme was conducted between 1 and 24 h to find the global minimum \( \chi^2 \) value, and the results were scanned between 3.3 and 3.9 h with an interval coefficient of 0.8, which corresponds to \( 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \) h to refine and find the unique sidereal period. The optimal solution was found at the sidereal period of \( P = 3.603957 \) h (see Fig. 2), which is consistent with a previous study (Hanuš et al. 2016). Once a unique solution for the sidereal rotational periods is determined,
Table 2. Sidereal rotational period and pole orientation of Phaethon.

| λ₁ (deg) | β₁ (deg) | λ₂ (deg) | β₂ (deg) | Pₛid (h) | References          |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| 308 ± 10 | −52 ± 10 |          |          | 3.603957 | This work (LI)       |
| 322 ± 10 | −40 ± 10 |          |          | 3.603956 | This work (SAGE)     |
| 319 ± 5  | −39 ± 5  | 84 ± 5   | −39 ± 5  | 3.603958 ± 0.000002 | Hanuš et al. (2016) |
|          |          | 85 ± 13  | −20 ± 10 | 3.6032 ± 0.0008 | Ansdell et al. (2014) |
| 276      | −15      | 97       | −11      | 3.59060  | Krugly et al. (2002) |

Notes. The ecliptic longitude (λ) and latitude (β) of the asteroid pole orientation, the sidereal rotational period (Pₛid), and references. Our solutions are derived from lightcurve inversion (LI) method and shaping asteroid models using the genetic evolution (SAGE) algorithm, respectively.

Fig. 2. Periodograms of Phaethon obtained from the period scan programme. The rotation period subspace exhibits one prominent minimum corresponding to the period of \( P = 3.603957 \) h.

Fig. 3. Pole solution distribution of Phaethon. From the lightcurve inversion method, the lowest \( \chi^2 \) value near (308°, −52°) was found. λ and β are the ecliptic longitude and latitude of the pole orientation, respectively.

numerous shape models with the pole orientation are applied to find the pole pair (λ, β) by scanning the entire celestial sphere. Consequently, we found the lowest \( \chi^2 \) value near (308°, −52°); see Fig. 3 and Table 2), which corresponds to the first pole orientation of Hanuš et al. (2016) preferred there due to a better fit to the thermal infrared data from Spitzer. There is a common practice to consider the solution as unique if there is only one pole solution that gives a significantly lower \( \chi^2 \) (by 10%) than all others (Hanuš et al. 2011).

We present the 3D shape model of Phaethon based on the unique solution with a sidereal period of 3.603957 h and a pole orientation of (308°, −52°; see Fig. 4). The actual value of the a/b from the 3D model solution is 1.118. So we confirm the lower bound for the a/b ratio obtained from the lightcurve amplitude. In addition, the spin solution was confirmed by the model from the independent SAGE method (Bartczak & Dudziński 2018). The SAGE method is based on photometric data and uses a genetic evolution algorithm to fit the model’s shape and spin parameters to the lightcurves. Assuming homogeneous mass distribution, the spin axis of the resulting non-convex shape goes through the centre of mass and lies along the axis with the largest moment of inertia. The RMS (root mean square) values of the model fit from the lightcurve inversion (LI) method and SAGE algorithm are 0.02378 and 0.02738, respectively. Comparing two models from the composite lightcurves for a subset of the observation, the convex and non-convex models are generally similar to each other (see Fig. A.1). Although the SAGE model gives a better fit to explain the minima and maxima of the lightcurves in some data, for many other lightcurves the convex model fits much better than the SAGE model.

The non-convex shape model from the SAGE method is also shown in Fig. 4. The convex and non-convex models are practically not elongated in shape, which is a predictable result from the lightcurve amplitude. In comparison with the convex model, the non-convex one has some concavity features. In general, however, a non-convex model cannot be uniquely determined based on the photometric lightcurve only. Because
it is possible to reconstruct the different shapes of concavities along the same line, these recesses produce a shadow effect due to one concavity of a different shape (Vikinkoski et al. 2017). Recently, a number of works for the reconstruction of a non-convex model have been conducted giving weight to various sources (i.e. adaptive optics, lightcurve, and stellar occultation; see Hanuš et al. 2017; Vikinkoski et al. 2017, and references therein). There is one asteroid (3103 Eger) that has a non-convex shape model with photometry only (Durech et al. 2012). Its non-convex shape model better fits the lightcurves that were observed at large phase angles than a convex one.

5. Conclusions

The observation campaign for Phaethon was performed on the Asian and American continents owing to their favourable observation conditions at the end of 2017. We employed eight 1–2-m-class telescopes for a total of 22 nights between 11 November and 17 December 2017. The observation at the closest approach point on 16 December 2017 was conducted when the geometric configuration between the Earth and the asteroid with respect to the Sun changed dramatically. According to our observation datasets, we obtained the composite lightcurve of Phaethon, finding a synodic rotational period of \(3.603957 \pm 0.0004\) h using two independent methods, and we calculated the lightcurve amplitude to be \(0.095 \pm 0.035\), which is regarded as a nearly spherical shape.

In addition, we derived sidereal rotational periods of \(3.603957\) and \(3.603956\) h and pole orientations of \((308°, −52°)\) and \((322°, −40°)\) in the ecliptic reference frame using the lightcurve-inversion method (Kaasalainen & Torppa 2001; Kaasalainen et al. 2001) and the SAGE algorithm (Bartczak & Dudziński 2018), respectively. We also obtained the 3D shape model from both methods. According to Taylor et al. (2018), there is a concavity feature near the equator from Arecibo radar observations. However, the features are not obviously matched with our non-convex model. Apparently, the non-convex model contains many details that may lead to misinterpretation (Durech et al. 2012). Because the RMS of the convex model is low (about 30% in chi-square), we adopt the convex model as a pole solution for Phaethon. When we examine our pole solution in the geometric configuration, the aspect angle of Phaethon was 15 deg (almost pole-on view) to 40 deg during most of the 2017 apparition, then it quickly increased to 90 deg (edge-on view) during the close approaching phase. This could well explain the fact that the lightcurve amplitude of Phaethon during the 2017 apparition is relatively smaller than other lightcurve data obtained from previous apparitions. The new shape model and pole information that were obtained here are expected to be used not only for time-resolved spectroscopic and polarimetric observation of Phaethon but also to constrain the mission design for the DESTINY+ science and engineering team, which is scheduled for 2022.
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Appendix A: Additional table and figures

Table A.1. List of the lightcurve references from DAMIT and LCDB.

| No  | Epoch (UT) | Np  | α (°) | r (AU) | ∆ (AU) | Telescopes | References                  |
|-----|------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | 1994-11-02.1 | 22  | 23.5  | 1.82   | 1.04   | D65        | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 2   | 1994-12-02.9 | 14  | 10.9  | 1.53   | 0.56   | D65        | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 3   | 1994-12-04.1 | 17  | 11.2  | 1.51   | 0.54   | D65        | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 4   | 1994-12-06.9 | 13  | 13.0  | 1.48   | 0.52   | D65        | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 5   | 1994-12-27.3 | 76  | 48.0  | 1.22   | 0.44   | Lowell     | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 6   | 1995-01-04.4 | 11  | 63.2  | 1.10   | 0.46   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 7   | 1995-01-04.8 | 45  | 63.9  | 1.10   | 0.46   | D65        | Pravec et al. (1998)        |
| 8   | 1995-01-05.4 | 79  | 64.9  | 1.09   | 0.46   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 9   | 1997-11-01.1 | 88  | 48.3  | 1.32   | 0.78   | D65        | Pravec et al. (1998)        |
| 10  | 1997-11-01.6 | 39  | 57.0  | 1.18   | 0.56   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 11  | 1997-11-11.6 | 80  | 48.3  | 1.32   | 0.78   | D65        | Pravec et al. (1998)        |
| 12  | 1997-11-12.6 | 52  | 58.2  | 1.16   | 0.54   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 13  | 1997-11-21.6 | 48  | 74.1  | 1.02   | 0.39   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 14  | 1997-11-22.6 | 47  | 76.7  | 1.01   | 0.37   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 15  | 1997-11-25.6 | 24  | 85.7  | 0.95   | 0.34   | UH88       | Ansdell et al. (2014)      |
| 16  | 1997-11-27.1 | 9.0  | 2.31   | 1.36   | IAC-80   | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 17  | 1998-12-08.0 | 9.0  | 2.31   | 1.36   | IAC-80   | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 18  | 1998-12-09.0 | 15.3 | 2.26   | 1.39   | IAC-80   | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 19  | 1999-11-20.3 | 12.0 | 2.15   | 1.40   | IAC-80   | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |
| 20  | 1999-11-21.6 | 12.2 | 2.15   | 1.40   | IAC-80   | Hanuš et al. (2016)         |

Notes. Epoch (UT date) corresponding to the mid-time of the observation, the solar phase angle (α), the heliocentric (r), and the topocentric distances (∆). Modification of Table A.1 from Hanuš et al. (2016) to include phase angle and recent observations.
### Table A.2. Observational circumstances.

| UT date (DD/MM/YY) | RA (h) | Dec (◦) | \( L_{\text{PAB}} \) (◦) | \( B_{\text{PAB}} \) (◦) | \( \alpha \) (◦) | \( r \) (AU) | \( \Delta \) (AU) | \( V \) (Mag) | Telescope | Seeing (′′) | Sky condition |
|---------------------|--------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 11.4/11/2017        | 106.44 | +35.25  | 87.1                | 9.5                 | 33.1          | 1.496          | 0.695          | 16.08       | LOAO       | 3.2         | Cirrus       |
| 12.4/11/2017        | 106.49 | +35.35  | 87.2                | 9.5                 | 32.9          | 1.485          | 0.675          | 15.99       | LOAO       | 2.7         | Cirrus       |
| 13.4/11/2017        | 106.52 | +35.45  | 87.3                | 9.6                 | 32.8          | 1.473          | 0.654          | 15.90       | LOAO       | 2.7         | Cirrus       |
| 16.8/11/2017        | 106.47 | +35.86  | 87.6                | 9.6                 | 32.1          | 1.432          | 0.583          | 15.57       | TShAO      | 3.2         | Clear        |
| 19.8/11/2017        | 106.22 | +36.29  | 87.8                | 9.6                 | 31.3          | 1.396          | 0.522          | 15.26       | TShAO      | 2.5         | Clear        |
| 19.9/11/2017        | 106.22 | +36.30  | 87.8                | 9.6                 | 31.3          | 1.395          | 0.522          | 15.25       | MAO        | 3.3         | Clear        |
| 20.8/11/2017        | 106.08 | +36.45  | 87.9                | 9.6                 | 31.1          | 1.384          | 0.503          | 15.15       | TShAO      | 2.3         | Clear        |
| 20.9/11/2017        | 106.07 | +36.46  | 87.9                | 9.6                 | 31.0          | 1.383          | 0.502          | 15.14       | MAO        | 2.2         | Clear        |
| 21.8/11/2017        | 105.91 | +36.62  | 87.9                | 9.7                 | 30.7          | 1.371          | 0.483          | 15.03       | TShAO      | 2.8         | Clear        |
| 21.9/11/2017        | 105.90 | +36.63  | 87.9                | 9.7                 | 30.7          | 1.370          | 0.482          | 15.02       | MAO        | 1.8         | Clear        |
| 22.8/11/2017        | 105.70 | +36.81  | 87.9                | 9.7                 | 30.4          | 1.359          | 0.463          | 14.91       | TShAO      | 3.6         | Clear        |
| 22.9/11/2017        | 105.69 | +36.82  | 87.9                | 9.7                 | 30.4          | 1.358          | 0.462          | 14.90       | MAO        | 3.7         | Clear        |
| 23.9/11/2017        | 105.44 | +37.02  | 88.0                | 9.7                 | 30.0          | 1.345          | 0.442          | 14.78       | MAO        | 1.7         | Clear        |
| 24.7/11/2017        | 105.21 | +37.18  | 88.0                | 9.7                 | 29.7          | 1.335          | 0.427          | 14.68       | SOAO       | 4.3         | Cirrus       |
| 26.7/11/2017        | 104.48 | +37.65  | 87.9                | 9.8                 | 28.8          | 1.309          | 0.389          | 14.40       | SOAO       | 4.2         | Clear        |
| 27.9/11/2017        | 103.91 | +37.98  | 87.8                | 9.9                 | 28.2          | 1.293          | 0.365          | 14.22       | MAO        | 3.7         | Cirrus       |
| 01.6/12/2017        | 101.34 | +39.22  | 87.3                | 10.1                | 25.9          | 1.242          | 0.294          | 13.60       | BOAO       | 1.7         | Clear        |
| 07.6/12/2017        | 91.75  | +42.39  | 84.4                | 11.0                | 20.7          | 1.156          | 0.185          | 12.28       | CBNUO      | 3.5         | Clear        |
| 15.2/12/2017        | 33.6   | +40.54  | 64.1                | 14.2                | 41.4          | 1.040          | 0.076          | 10.72       | OWL        | 4.8         | Clear        |
| 15.6/12/2017        | 26.86  | +38.13  | 61.1                | 14.3                | 46.6          | 1.033          | 0.073          | 10.76       | SLT        | 4.2         | Cirrus       |
| 16.2/12/2017        | 18.28  | +34.17  | 57.1                | 14.3                | 54.1          | 1.023          | 0.070          | 10.86       | OWL        | 4.3         | Clear        |
| 17.1/12/2017        | 5.23   | +26.07  | 50.1                | 13.7                | 67.2          | 1.008          | 0.068          | 11.18       | OWL        | 4.5         | Clear        |

Notes. UT date corresponding to the mid time of the observation, J2000 coordinates of Phaethon (RA and Dec), Phase Angle Bisector (PAB) – the bisected arc between the Earth-asteroid and Sun-asteroid lines – ecliptic longitude \( (L_{\text{PAB}}) \) and ecliptic latitude \( (B_{\text{PAB}}) \), the solar phase angle \( (\alpha) \), the heliocentric \( (r) \), and the topocentric distances \( (\Delta) \), the apparent predicted magnitude \( (V) \), average seeing and sky condition.
Fig. A.1. Comparison between the composite lightcurve (red cross) for a subset of the observation data (YYYYMMDD) and the model curves from the lightcurve inversion (LI) method (green fit) and SAGE algorithm (blue fit), respectively.