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ABSTRACT

One of the most challenging aspects of studying galaxies in the z ≥ 7 universe is the infrequent confirmation of their redshifts through spectroscopy, a phenomenon thought to occur from the increasing opacity of the intergalactic medium to Lyα photons at z > 6.5. The resulting redshift uncertainties inhibit the efficient search for [C ii] in z ∼ 7 galaxies with sub-millimeter instruments such as ALMA, given their limited scan speed for faint lines. One means by which to improve the precision of the inferred redshifts is to exploit the potential impact of strong nebular emission lines on the colors of z ∼ 4 – 8 galaxies as observed by Spitzer/IRAC. At z ∼ 6.8, galaxies exhibit IRAC colors as blue as [3.6] – [4.5] ∼ −1, likely due to the contribution of [O iii]+Hβ to the 3.6 μm flux combined with the absence of line contamination in the 4.5 μm band. In this paper we explore the use of extremely blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors to identify galaxies in the narrow redshift window z ∼ 6.6 – 6.9. When combined with an L-dropout criterion, we demonstrate that we can plausibly select a relatively clean sample of z ∼ 6.8 galaxies. Through a systematic application of this selection technique to our catalogs from all five CANDELS fields, we identify 20 probable z ∼ 6.6 – 6.9 galaxies. We estimate that our criteria select the ~50% strongest line emitters at z ∼ 6.8 and from the IRAC colors we estimate a typical [O iii]+Hβ rest-frame equivalent width of 1085 Å for this sample. The small redshift uncertainties on our sample make it particularly well suited for follow-up studies with facilities such as ALMA.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the installation of the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), numerous ultraviolet (UV) bright galaxies in the reionization era have been detected through their broadband photometric properties. These observations allow for the determination of the UV luminosity function (e.g., Bouwens et al. 2011; Lorenzoni et al. 2011; Bowler et al. 2012, 2014; Bradley et al. 2012; Oesch et al. 2012, 2013, 2014; McLure et al. 2013; Schenker et al. 2013a) and the typical UV colors of galaxies out to z ∼ 8 (Stanway et al. 2005; Bouwens et al. 2009, 2012, 2013; Wilkins et al. 2011; Dunlop et al. 2012, 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2012).

In contrast to the success of identifying candidate galaxies out to z ∼ 8 with HST/WFC3 imaging, confirming the redshift of these sources with spectroscopy has proven very challenging due to the absorption of Lyα photons by the neutral Intergalactic Medium (IGM) at z ≥ 6.5 (Pentericci et al. 2011; Finkelstein et al. 2013; Treu et al. 2013; Caruana et al. 2014; Schenker et al. 2014; Vanzella et al. 2014). This creates particular challenges for follow-up studies with the newest generation of sub-millimeter telescopes such as ALMA. ALMA has the potential to perform detailed studies of star formation rates (SFRs), kinematic structure, and energetics of z ∼ 6 – 8 galaxies through the direct detection of sub-millimeter fine structure lines such as [C ii]λ157.7 μm (e.g., Carilli & Walter 2013). However, the frequency range that ALMA is able to scan in one tuning is relatively small, making follow-up studies with ALMA on sources without accurate redshift information observationally expensive.

One way to make progress in this area involves a search for the C iii]λ1908 Å line in z ≥ 6 galaxies (Stark et al. 2014a, 2014b). This line has a typical rest-frame equivalent width (EW0) of ~4–14 Å in two z ∼ 6 – 7 galaxies where this line has been successfully located (Stark et al. 2014b) and in low-mass lensed star-forming galaxies at z ∼ 2 (Stark et al. 2014a). The challenge with this approach is the faintness of the C ii] line and high density of sky lines in many regions of the near-infrared (IR) spectrum.

Another potentially promising way forward is to utilize the information provided by the Spitzer/IRAC. Recent studies have reported evidence for the presence of strong nebular emission lines such as Hα and [O iii]λ5007 Å through the apparent impact of these lines on the IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm fluxes of z ∼ 4 – 8 galaxies (Schaerer & de Barro 2009; Shim et al. 2011; González et al. 2012, 2014; Labbé et al. 2013; Stark et al. 2013; Smit et al. 2014). These lines appear to cause the [3.6] – [4.5] colors of high redshift galaxies to vary significantly as a function of redshift. This results in modestly blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors in z ∼ 6 galaxies, where both bands are contaminated by emission lines, very blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors at z ∼ 6.8, where only the 3.6 μm band suffers line contamination, and red [3.6] – [4.5] colors for sources at redshifts z > 7, where the 4.5 μm band is contaminated (Labbé et al. 2013; Wilkins et al. 2013; Laporte et al. 2014; Smit et al. 2014).

In this paper we attempt to exploit the extreme IRAC colors galaxies exhibit at specific redshifts to isolate galaxies over a narrow range in redshift. To test this method, we utilize a large sample of z ∼ 5 – 8 galaxies identified from the CANDELS program (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011). We
select a sample of sources with extremely blue [3.6] − [4.5] IRAC colors and show that these sources likely fall in the narrow redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9. Ultimately, of course, this approach and the assumptions behind it will need to be tested through spectroscopy with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST).

Additionally we discuss a few objects with blue [3.6] − [4.5] colors that are clearly at z < 6.6 from their HST photometry, and we suggest that these objects could be explained by high O m/H/β ratios such as found at z ∼ 3 (Schenker et al. 2013b; Holden et al. 2014).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses our data set and photometric procedure. Section 3 presents the [3.6] − [4.5] IRAC colors as a function of photometric redshift for a sample of z ∼ 5 − 8 UV-selected galaxies. Section 4 discusses the selection of extreme [3.6] − [4.5] color galaxies, and Section 5 presents our z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9 galaxy sample. Section 6 gives a short summary of our results.

Throughout this paper we adopt a Salpeter IMF with limits 0.1−100 M⊙ (Salpeter 1955). For ease of comparison with previous works we take H0 = 70 km s−1 Mpc−1, Ωm = 0.3, and ΩΛ = 0.7. Magnitudes are quoted in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2. OBSERVATIONS, PHOTOMETRY

AND z ∼ 5 – 8 SAMPLE

2.1. HST Data and Photometry

The primary sample of z ∼ 5 − 8 galaxies that we use in this paper was selected from the catalogs described by Bouwens et al. (2014). The purpose of this sample is to establish how the [3.6] − [4.5] color of galaxies depends on redshift and also to establish the redshift distribution of galaxies with the most extreme IRAC colors. The catalogs were compiled from HST Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) (B135, V606, i775, I624 and z850) and WFC3/IR (Y098, J105, J125, H140, and H160) data over the GOODS-N and GOODS-S fields. We also used the deep and wide-area observations obtained in the HUDF09+HUDF12 (Beckwith et al. 2006; Bouwens et al. 2011; Ellis et al. 2013; Illingworth et al. 2013), ERS (Windhorst et al. 2011), and CANDELS (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) programs as well as any archival HST observations over these fields. The shallow JH140 imaging was taken from the 3D-HST survey (Brammer et al. 2012a) and A Grism H0 Spectroscopic survey (PI: Weiner). The procedure for reducing the data is described in detail by Illingworth et al. (2013) and Bouwens et al. (2014).

A secondary sample of z ∼ 5 − 8 galaxies is used to increase the number of z ∼ 6.8 and z ∼ 6.0 galaxies in our selection. We derived this sample from the HST data over the CANDELS-UDS, CANDELS-COSMOS, and CANDELS-EGS fields (V606, I144, J125, and H160; for more details on the CANDELS fields see Koekemoer et al. 2011 and Skelton et al. 2014) and deep U- and B-band ground-based observations from Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope and Subaru (Capak et al. 2007; Furusawa et al. 2008).

An overview of all fields, bands and depths is given in Table 1 of Bouwens et al. (2014). Both the ACS and WFC3/IR data reach total magnitudes of $\frac{27.2}{\Delta 5}$, using as a basis the flux uncertainties on the total magnitude measurements for the faintest 20% of galaxies from the Bouwens et al. (2014) catalogs over these fields. Our total search area over all the fields is 720 arcmin².

The photometry followed the procedure described by Bouwens et al. (2012). In short, we ran an adapted version of the Source Extractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in dual-image mode. The detection images were created by combining all deep bands redwards of the Lyman break (i.e., Y098/J105, J125, and H160) into a square-root $\chi^2$ image (Szalay et al. 1999). After matching the observations to the H160-band point-spread function (PSF), colors and total magnitudes were measured in Kron-like apertures with Kron factors 1.6 and 2.5 respectively (defined on the H160-band).

2.2. Spitzer/IRAC Data and Photometry

The first part of our Spitzer/IRAC data set covers all five CANDELS fields with the 3.6 and 4.5 μm bands from the Spitzer Extended Deep Survey (PI: Fazio) and all available archival data sets from before 2011 (Ashby et al. 2013). We complement this data set with the new deep IRAC imaging from the Spitzer Very Deep Survey (S-CANDELS Exploration Science Project (Ashby et al. 2015), which brings the IRAC coverage up to 50 hr in depth (26.8 mag at 5σ in the 3.6 μm band). For the sources in the HUDF, we utilize additional data from the IRAC Ultra Deep Field (Labbé et al. 2013) program.

Before performing photometry on the sources in our sample, we removed the contamination of foreground sources with an automated cleaning procedure (Labbé et al. 2010a, 2010b). In short, the HST images provided a high-spatial resolution template with which to model the positions and flux profiles of the foreground sources. The light profiles of individual sources in the HST image were convolved with a kernel to match the IRAC PSF and then simultaneously fitted to the IRAC image within a region of ∼11arcsec around the sources from our sample. We subtracted the flux from the foreground galaxies and performed photometry in 2D-diameter circular apertures on the resulting images. We applied a correction to account for the flux outside of the aperture, given by the ratio of the flux enclosed in the photometric aperture in the HST image (before convolution) to the IRAC model (after convolution). This correction ranges from $\sim 2.2 \times$ to $\sim 2.4 \times$, depending on the size of the source. The local noise was estimated from the clean background on a residual IRAC image from which all sources were subtracted. Our procedure for deblending can fail when contaminating sources are too bright or too close to the central source. We removed sources from our sample with a high $\chi^2$ parameter determined from the residual IRAC image (see Section 2.3).

2.3. Base Sample of z ∼ 5 − 8 Galaxies

In this section, we present the base sample of z ∼ 5 − 8 galaxies we use to study how the IRAC colors of star-forming galaxies depend upon redshift. We selected our sources from which we measure IRAC colors in the rest-frame UV, adopting the Lyman-break technique (Steidel et al. 1999) with the requirement that the source drops out in the I144 band. Specifically, our requirements for z ∼ 5 − 8 Lyman Break
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Galaxies (LBGs) were

\[ (I_{614} - J_{125} > 0.8) \wedge (J_{125} - H_{160} < 0.4) \]

\[ (I_{614} - J_{125} > 2(J_{125} - H_{160}) + 0.8) \]

where \( \wedge \) indicates logical AND. We chose the \( I_{614} \) and \( J_{125} \) band fluxes for our identification of \( z \geq 5 \) LBGs, instead of more closely spaced passbands like \( z_{850} \)- and \( Y_{105} \)-, which are available over the GOODS-N and GOODS-S fields. While this is less optimal for selecting a sample of galaxies with the lowest contamination rate, these bands were chosen in order to select galaxies over a relatively extended redshift range \( z \sim 5.5 \) - \( z \sim 8.5 \) (without any gaps) and to use filters that are available over all five CANDELS fields for a more uniform selection. Information from the more closely spaced bandpasses was nevertheless utilized in deriving photometric redshifts for sources from our selection and hence determining the relationship between the IRAC colors of sources and their redshifts.

We also required sources to have either a non-detection in the \( V_{606} \) band \( (<2\sigma) \) or to have a very strong Lyman break, i.e., \( V_{606} - J_{125} > 2.5 \). Furthermore, we required sources to be undetected \( (<2\sigma) \) in the available \( B_{335} \)-band data over GOODS north and south or, in the case of the CANDELS UDS, COSMOS, or EGS fields, to be undetected \( (<2\sigma) \) in the \( \chi^2 \) statistic image Bouwens et al. (2014) derived from the ground-based \( U \) and \( B \) images. We required the SExtractor stellarity parameter (equal to 0 and 1 for extended and point sources, respectively) in the \( J_{125} \) band to be less than 0.92 to ensure that our selection is largely free of contamination by stars (e.g., Holwerda et al. 2014). Moreover, the blue IRAC color criterion introduced in Section 2.3 also selects effectively against contamination from brown dwarfs in our Milky Way (Kirkpatrick et al. 2011; B. W. Holwerda et al. 2015, in preparation). We selected sources with a signal-to-noise ratio \((S/N)\) \( S/N(H_{160}) > 5 \), and additionally we required \( f_B(H_{160})/\sigma(H_{160}) > 5 \) and \( f_B(H_{160})/\sigma(H_{160}) > 2.5 \), where \( f_B(H_{160}) \) is the measured flux density in the \( H_{160} \) band and \( \sigma(H_{160}) \) are the estimated uncertainties in the 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \)m band fluxes. Our chosen requirements explicitly do not include a dependence on the observed flux in the IRAC bands to ensure that our results are not biased according to the emission line flux in our candidates. In practice, most high-redshift sources exhibit a somewhat red UV-to-optical color (González et al. 2012), and as a result 75% of our sample is detected at \( >5\sigma \) in the 3.6 \( \mu \)m IRAC band. We exclude those \( \sim30\% \) of the sources that show strong residuals in the IRAC images after our deblending procedure (Section 2.2), which results in a final sample of 220 sources in GOODS-N/S and 224 sources in CANDELS-EGS/UDS/COSMOS.

3. \([3.6] - [4.5] \) COLOR VERSUS REDSHIFT

Before moving onto a discussion of how the IRAC [3.6] - [4.5] color might be used to refine redshift determinations for specific \( z \geq 6 \) selections, it is useful to quickly assess whether our sample agrees with our main assumption: that the [3.6] - [4.5] color is strongly influenced by the presence of strong nebular emission lines such as \( H_\alpha \) and \( [O\text{\textsc{iii}}] \) in the IRAC filters. To this end we explore the variation of the median [3.6] - [4.5] color as a function of redshift for our sample.

Figure 1 shows the colors of our GOODS-N/S sample as a function of the photometric redshift, as derived from the \( HST \) broadband photometry. We used the software Easy and Accurate Zphot from Yale (EAZY; Brammer et al. 2008) to estimate photometric redshifts for the galaxies in our sample. We used the standard template set of EAZY, but we complemented these templates with a number of templates generated with Galaxy Evolutionary Synthesis Models (GALEV; Kotulla et al. 2009), which includes nebular continuum and emission lines as described by Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben (2003). Additionally we included a template with an \( [\text{[O\text{\textsc{i}}]}], 5007A/H\beta \) ratio of 10 to match the most extreme line ratios observed in spectroscopy of galaxies at lower redshifts (Amorín et al. 2012; Brammer et al. 2012b; Jaskot & Oey 2013; Schenker et al. 2013b; van der Wel et al. 2013; Holden et al. 2014; Steidel et al. 2014). No use of the \( \text{Spitzer/IRAC} \) photometry is made in the photometric redshift determination to avoid coupling between our redshift estimates and the measured IRAC fluxes. We do not include sources from our EGS/UDS/COSMOS sample in Figure 1 because of the lack of deep \( HST \) coverage in the \( 2.5 \) and \( 3.6 \) bands, which is needed for obtaining sufficiently accurate redshifts for the analysis we describe.

We observe a clear discontinuity in the median color (red points) around \( z \sim 7 \), where the \([O\text{\textsc{iii}}]\) emission line moves from the 3.6 \( \mu \)m to the 4.5 \( \mu \)m band. Moreover, we find the bluest median [3.6] - [4.5] color at \( z \sim 6.8 \), where \([O\text{\textsc{iii}}]\) boosts the 3.6 \( \mu \)m flux while \( H_\alpha \) has already moved out of the 4.5 \( \mu \)m band. This suggests that the IRAC colors are strongly influenced by emission lines, in agreement with recent studies (Schaefer & de Barros 2009; Shim et al. 2011; González et al. 2012, 2014; Labbé et al. 2013; Stark et al. 2013; Smit et al. 2014) and in agreement with predictions of stellar population synthesis models with emission lines. We can use this information to improve our determinations of the redshift probability functions for strong line emitters by including the IRAC fluxes in our photometric redshift estimates.

4. ULTRA-BLUE [3.6] - [4.5] GALAXIES

In the previous section we showed how the [3.6] - [4.5] IRAC color would likely change as the [O\text{\textsc{iii}}] and \( H_\alpha \) nebular lines move in and out of the photometric bands, due to the redshifting spectrum. We can use this information to significantly improve our photometric redshift estimates. In particular the IRAC [3.6] - [4.5] color can provide a valuable constraint in sources that have uncertain photometric redshifts from their \( HST \) photometry, such as sources over COSMOS, UDS, or EGS where only 4 \( HST \) bands are available. This is important due to the considerable challenges involved in improving redshift estimates through spectroscopy (largely due to the impact of the more neutral IGM on the prevalence of Ly\( \alpha \) emission in \( z > 6.5 \) galaxies). In this section we will investigate a [3.6] - [4.5] color selection on our sample of \( z \sim 5 - 8 \) galaxies over the GOODS-N and GOODS-S, where good photometric redshift constraints are available and in Section 5 we will present a sample of \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \) galaxies over all five CANDELS fields.

4.1. Blue [3.6] - [4.5] Sources at \( z \sim 6.8 \)

A particularly interesting redshift interval is \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \), where we expect extreme [3.6] - [4.5] colors due to the presence of the [O\text{\textsc{iii}}] line in the 3.6 \( \mu \)m band and the absence of any strong emission lines in the 4.5 \( \mu \)m band. This is
illustrated in Figure 2. Because the H\alpha to [O m] line separation ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{lines}}$) at $z = 6.8$ is slightly wider than the 4.5 $\mu$m filter width ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{filter}}$), there is very narrow redshift range $\Delta z = (\Delta \lambda_{\text{lines}} - \Delta \lambda_{\text{filter}})/\lambda_{\text{lines,rest-frame}} = 0.35$ in which the 4.5 $\mu$m band is free of strong emission lines. In practice, the effective redshift range where we can observe the extremely blue IRAC colors is even narrower than this, i.e., $\Delta z \approx 0.25$, because the relevant wavelength is where [O m] leaves the 3.6 $\mu$m filter, not where this line enters the 4.5 $\mu$m filter (see the top panel of Figure 1).

To investigate whether the selection of sources with blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors can be used to unambiguously identify galaxies at $z \sim 6.8$, we collected a sample of emission line candidate galaxies by selecting sources with $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors significantly bluer than −0.5 mag. We adopted the criterion

$$P([3.6] - [4.5] < -0.5) > 84\%.$$  

(2)

This criterion indicates that the sources in our selection have a probability (P) of at least 84% to have a true IRAC color bluer than $[3.6] - [4.5] < -0.5$, based on the uncertainties in the 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m fluxes. We show the galaxies meeting this color criterion in Figure 3. A color cut in the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color can identify galaxies with strong emission lines in the redshift range $z \sim 6.6 - 6.9$, but a galaxy with more moderate emission lines and very blue continuum $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors can also meet the criterion.

Figure 1. Top panel: a schematic overview of the redshift range where the strongest nebular lines (H\alpha, [O m] and [O n]) can contaminate the rest-frame optical flux in the 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m bands. Bottom panel: measurements of the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color (gray points) in UV-selected galaxies from GOODS-N/S, placed at their photometric redshift. The red points indicate the median colors in $\Delta z = 0.4$ redshift bins (error bars represent the uncertainty in the median, i.e., $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$). The black dashed line provides an example of the IRAC color at different redshifts for a source with flat continuum and $\lambda \rho(\text{H}\alpha) = 500$ Å in combination with emission line ratios as defined by Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben (2003) for sub-solar metallicity $Z = 0.2 Z_{\odot}$. The overall blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors at $z < 7$, vs. red $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors at $z > 7$ indicate that in particular the presence of [O m] in the IRAC bands might have a significant impact on the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color.

Figure 2. An illustration of the position of the optical nebular emission lines in a $z \sim 6.8$ star-forming galaxy (red line) with respect to the Spitzer/IRAC response function (indicated in blue). Our strategy for selecting star-forming galaxies in the narrow redshift range $z \sim 6.6 - 6.9$ capitalizes on the [O m] and H\alpha emission lines being separated by almost the same wavelength difference as the width of Spitzer/IRAC 4.5 $\mu$m band. Our $[3.6] - [4.5]$ selection technique furthermore requires that the [O m] line has not yet dropped out of the 3.6 $\mu$m band, which narrows the redshift window where we expect to find these ultra-blue galaxies, from $\Delta z \sim 0.35$ to $\Delta z \sim 0.25$.

We purposely decided to try to select $z \sim 6.8$ galaxies based on a color criterion rather than fitting emission line templates to the IRAC bands to obtain photometric redshifts. This was done to avoid a dependence on the spectral energy distribution (SED) template set and the assumed line ratios in these templates. Since the physical properties of the H\alpha regions in star-forming galaxies, such as gas metallicity and gas density, strongly influence the line ratios we observe (e.g., Kewley et al. 2013) we wanted to avoid having our results implicitly depend on these ratios.

Over the 270 arcmin$^2$ CANDELS/ERS region of GOODS-north and GOODS-south, 13 sources satisfy this criterion out of the 220 sources from our base $z \sim 5 - 8$ sample. We indicate these in the top panel of Figure 3 and show a histogram in the bottom panel of Figure 3. Furthermore, there are 15 sources out of 224 sources from the base sample over a 450 arcmin$^2$ area in the CANDELS-EGS/CANDELS-UDS/CANDELS-COSMOS fields that meet the criterion, but we do not present them in Figure 3 due to the greater difficulty in determining their photometric redshifts.

We identify a large number of blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ sources that are broadly consistent with a $z \sim 6.8$, similar to the sources found by Smit et al. (2014). For these galaxies the extreme $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors are explained by the likely scenario that the [O m] emission dominates the 3.6 $\mu$m flux while at the same time the 4.5 $\mu$m flux is free of emission line contamination. Interestingly enough, Figure 3 indicates there might be a few sources at slightly lower redshift (at $z \sim 6.0$ instead of at $z \sim 6.8$) with such blue IRAC colors; we will discuss these sources in Section 4.2.

Figure 4 shows four examples of blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ sources in GOODS-N/S that are consistent with a $z \sim 6.8$ from their HST photometry only. We present the redshift probability function using only the HST bands and also when using constraints from both Spitzer/IRAC and HST. Due to their
galaxies with near-IR spectroscopy in 1000H, 1000W band, that show IRAC colors significant blueshifted with respect to the mean. The blue circles indicate sources that are consistent with the redshift range 6.6–6.9. While blue circles indicate sources that are consistent with the redshift range 6.6–6.9, while blue squares indicate sources that are at 6.6–6.9 from their photometric redshift probability distribution (99% confidence). The solid lines indicate three tracks of galaxy templates: the dark red solid line indicates a stellar population with moderate emission lines (EW_{H\alpha} \sim 100 \AA) and a flat continuum, the red dashed lines indicate a young (~5 Myr) stellar population with strong emission lines (EW_{H\beta} \sim 1000 \AA) and a flat continuum from moderate dust extinction (E(B-V) \sim 0.2), while the dotted-dashed orange line indicates a young population with strong emission lines, in combination with a high [O III]/H\beta ratio such as described in Anders & Fritzè-v. Alvensleben (2003) for low metallicity gas (Z = 0.2Z_\odot) and with blue continuum (dust-free). Bottom panel: the percentage of the galaxy population that has blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors. This is particularly useful for follow-up studies to obtain line detections with sub-millimeter detectors such as ALMA. Line detections with interferometer arrays are inherently limited in frequency space by the capabilities of the correlator. ALMA can roughly observe ~4 GHz in one tuning in band 6 (211–275 GHz). As a reference we indicate the frequency of the bright [C II] 157.7 \mu m line at a given redshift in Figure 4 and we indicate the frequency range that ALMA can observe in two frequency tunings. With only twice the observing time required with respect to spectroscopically confirmed sources, we can typically search the ~95% probability window for [C II] emission in these sources.

The selection of galaxies at z ~ 6.8 is also of interest for deriving stellar masses of high-redshift galaxies using Spitzer/IRAC constraints (Eyles et al. 2005, 2007; Yan et al. 2005, 2006; Labbé et al. 2006; Stark et al. 2009; Yabe et al. 2009; González et al. 2010, 2011; Labbé et al. 2010b; Curtis-Lake et al. 2013). In particular, the redshift range 6.6 – 7.0 provides us with the only opportunity beyond z > 5.4 to measure the rest-frame optical stellar continuum without the contamination of nebular line emission in the 4.5 \mu m band, allowing for more accurate stellar mass and specific SFR estimates (e.g., Smit et al. 2014).

4.2. Blue [3.6] – [4.5] Sources at z ~ 6.0

In the previous section we showed that a large number of sources with ultra-blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors very likely have redshifts in the narrow range 6.6–6.9, where the blue colors can be easily explained by the presence of [O III] in the 3.6 \mu m band, in contrast to the 4.5 \mu m band that contains no strong line emission.

Figure 3 also shows a number of very blue [3.6] – [4.5] sources that prefer a redshift around z ~ 6.0 and have a probability of less than <1% of being at z ~ 6.6 – 6.9 (based on the photometric redshift probability distribution using only HST bands). In the redshift range z ~ 5.4 – 6.6, [O III] still contaminates the 3.6 \mu m band, but the strong H\alpha line also boosts the 4.5 \mu m flux. Both lines are expected to be strong in young, actively star-forming galaxies, and therefore it is unclear what the explanation is for the significant spread in [3.6] – [4.5] colors and in particular the very blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors observed for a small fraction of the population. While galaxies containing Active Galactic Nuclei can exhibit extremely high [O III]/H\beta ratios, this phenomenon is rare in local galaxies with stellar masses below M_* < 10^{10} M_\odot (e.g., Juneau et al. 2013). For our sample of star-forming galaxies at z ~ 6.0, with UV-luminosities ranging from M_{UV} ~ -19 to M_{UV} ~ -21, we expect nearly all sources to have stellar masses below this limit (e.g., González et al. 2011; Salmon et al. 2015). However, we cannot completely rule out this option based on the limited photometric information available for these sources.

Figure 5 shows two examples of sources that are at z < 6.6 at high confidence. One source has an IRAC color of [3.6] – [4.5] = -1.0 ± 0.4 but a photometric redshift of 5.8^{+0.25}_{-0.3}, the other source has an IRAC color of [3.6] – [4.5] = -1.0 ± 0.3 but a photometric redshift of 5.9^{+0.22}_{-0.2}. In both cases, we clearly cannot use the IRAC colors alone to distinguish between these sources and the sources from Figure 4, which strongly prefer a redshift around z ~ 6.8.

One effect that could influence our estimated photometric redshifts for these objects—and possibly offer an explanation for their blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors—is the presence of high EW Ly\alpha emission in these galaxies. For example Schenker et al. (2014) show that a 160 Å EW Ly\alpha line can cause the photometric redshift of a z ~ 7.5 galaxy to be underestimated by as much as Δz = 0.2, suggesting that our blue z ~ 6.0 sample may actually be at higher redshift. Though our templates do not include strong Ly\alpha lines, searches for Ly\alpha have shown a low abundance of Ly\alpha emission at z > 6.5 (Pentericci et al. 2011; Finkelstein et al. 2013; Treu et al. 2013; Caruana et al. 2014; Schenker et al. 2014), and even at lower redshifts high-EW Ly\alpha is rarely detected in UV bright (M_{UV} < -20) galaxies (e.g., Stark et al. 2010). Therefore it seems unlikely to suppose that these blue sources with z_{phot} ~ 6.0 are actually z ~ 6.8 galaxies with strong Ly\alpha emission.

In order to understand the origin of these extreme IRAC colors at z ~ 6.0 we compare the [3.6] – [4.5] color distribution of these sources with the colors we would predict based on a sample of z ~ 3 galaxies with near-IR spectroscopy in Figure 6. In the top panel of Figure 6 we show the sub-sample

Figure 3. Top panel: measurements of the [3.6] – [4.5] color (gray points) in UV-selected galaxies from GOODS-N/S, placed at their photometric redshift (see also bottom panel of Figure 1). The blue encircled points indicate sources that show IRAC colors significantly blueshifted with respect to the mean. The blue circles indicate sources that are consistent with the redshift range 6.6–6.9, while blue squares indicate sources that are at 6.6–6.9 from their photometric redshift probability distribution (99% confidence). The solid lines indicate three tracks of galaxy templates: the dark red solid line indicates a stellar population with moderate emission lines (EW_{H\alpha} \sim 100 \AA) and a flat continuum, the red dashed lines indicate a young (~5 Myr) stellar population with strong emission lines (EW_{H\beta} \sim 1000 \AA) and a flat continuum from moderate dust extinction (E(B-V) \sim 0.2), while the dotted-dashed orange line indicates a young population with strong emission lines, in combination with a high [O III]/H\beta ratio such as described in Anders & Fritzè-v. Alvensleben (2003) for low metallicity gas (Z = 0.2Z_\odot) and with blue continuum (dust-free). Bottom panel: the percentage of the galaxy population that has blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors. This is particularly useful for follow-up studies to obtain line detections with sub-millimeter detectors such as ALMA. Line detections with interferometer arrays are inherently limited in frequency space by the capabilities of the correlator. ALMA can roughly observe ~4 GHz in one tuning in band 6 (211–275 GHz). As a reference we indicate the frequency of the bright [C II] 157.7 \mu m line at a given redshift in Figure 4 and we indicate the frequency range that ALMA can observe in two frequency tunings. With only twice the observing time required with respect to spectroscopically confirmed sources, we can typically search the ~95% probability window for [C II] emission in these sources.

The selection of galaxies at z ~ 6.8 is also of interest for deriving stellar masses of high-redshift galaxies using Spitzer/IRAC constraints (Eyles et al. 2005, 2007; Yan et al. 2005, 2006; Labbé et al. 2006; Stark et al. 2009; Yabe et al. 2009; González et al. 2010, 2011; Labbé et al. 2010b; Curtis-Lake et al. 2013). In particular, the redshift range 6.6 – 7.0 provides us with the only opportunity beyond z > 5.4 to measure the rest-frame optical stellar continuum without the contamination of nebular line emission in the 4.5 \mu m band.
of sources that (with 68\% confidence) have a redshift in the range \( z \sim 5.4 - 6.6 \), where \([\text{O} \text{~III}]\) emission contaminates the 3.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) band while at the same time \( \text{H} \alpha \) emission contaminates the 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) band (see the top panel of Figure 1). In the bottom panel of Figure 6 we show a prediction of the \([3.6] - [4.5]\) color distribution from the spectroscopic properties of \([\text{O} \text{~III}]\) and \( \text{H} \beta \) in \( z \sim 3 \) LBGs as listed by Schenker et al. (2013b) and Holden et al. (2014). These authors find line ratios as high as \([\text{O} \text{~III}]\) \( \lambda 4959,5007 \AA / \text{H} \beta \sim 10 \). This strong \([\text{O} \text{~III}]\) flux with respect to the hydrogen Balmer lines could result in very blue
Figure 5. Two examples of sources that prefer a redshift below $z < 6.6$ (ERS-2115344329 and GSD-2234402156) from their photometric redshift distribution (99% confidence), but that also satisfy our $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color criterion (Equation (2)). Flux densities and upper limits (2σ) of the HST and Spitzer IRAC photometry are indicated with black points and arrows, while the best-fit template is drawn in red. The 4.5 μm band is contaminated by Hα, while the 3.6 μm band is dominated by the flux in the [O iii] line. The inset panel in the top left corner indicates the redshift probability distribution using only the HST bands (black dotted line) and using the constraints from both HST and Spitzer IRAC (red line).

$[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors if similar sources are present at $z \sim 6$. We computed the predicted $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors from the observed [O iii] λ4959,5007 Å EW and an estimate of the Hα EW derived from the Hβ EW, assuming Case B recombination and a flat continuum in $f_\alpha$. For $([3.6] - [4.5])_{\text{continuum}} = 0$ and assuming all sources are at $z = 6$, the [O iii] and Hβ EWs allow for a direct calculation of the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors such as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 6.

The spread in colors in the predicted distribution is smaller than the spread in the observed distribution at $z \sim 6.0$. Even when accounting for the spread in $[3.6] - [4.5]$ due to the observational uncertainties in the IRAC bands, the intrinsic spread exceeds the width of the predicted distribution (see the error bars in the top panel of Figure 6). However, this is likely explained by the spread in the color of the underlying continuum emission due to different dust content and ages of the galaxies in the observed distribution, while we assumed a fixed flat continuum in $f_\alpha$ for our predicted colors. A somewhat evolved population ($\sim 300$ Myr) and modest dust content ($E(B-V) \sim 0.15$) could redden the IRAC color of some of the observed galaxies by $\Delta([3.6] - [4.5]) \sim 0.2$ (see Figure 6). Similarly, the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color of the continuum can be as blue as $-0.4$ mag for a very young ($\lesssim 10$ Myr) and dust-free galaxy.

Another effect that can change the predicted $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color distribution is a probable evolution of the emission line EWs between $z \sim 3$ and $z \sim 6$, e.g., because we are observing increasingly younger generations of galaxies. Assuming all line EWs follow the evolution of the Hα EW derived by Fumagalli et al. (2012) for star-forming galaxies in the redshift range $z \sim 0 - 2$, the predicted spread in $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color would increase, while the median predicted $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color would be bluer by $\Delta([3.6] - [4.5]) = 0.23$.

From the above comparison we conclude that the blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ sources at $z \sim 6.0$ (indicated with the blue histogram in the top panel of Figure 6) can be explained by the high [O iii]/Hβ EWs values observed in $z \sim 3$ LBGs and a blue continuum $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color as seen in young galaxies with low dust content and low metallicity, possibly in combination with an evolving EW strength of nebular emission lines as a function of redshift.

Figure 6. Top panel: the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color distribution (gray histogram) of sources with photometric redshifts (from the HST photometry) within the redshift range $z \sim 5.4 - 6.6$ (68% confidence) where [O ii] contributes to the 3.6 μm flux, while Hα contributes to the 4.5 μm flux. Sources that satisfy our ultra-blue IRAC selection criterion (Equation (2)), but prefer a $z < 6.6$ are indicated with the blue histogram (note that for a few sources the very blue $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colors are not significant). The upper error bar on the left side of the panel indicates the scatter in the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color distribution due to photometric uncertainty in the IRAC bands. The lower error bar indicates the intrinsic scatter, as calculated from the observed scatter and the simulated IRAC uncertainties. Bottom panel: the predicted $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color distribution (orange histogram) using the [O iii] and Hβ EWs as measured by Schenker et al. (2013b) and Holden et al. (2014) in $z \sim 3$ LBGs with the MOSFIRE spectrograph. We predict the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color assuming Case B recombination and a flat continuum in $f_\alpha$. Evolution of the EW strength as a function of redshift (e.g., Smit et al. 2014) will broaden the $[3.6] - [4.5]$ color distribution and at the same time shift the median color of the distribution to bluer values as indicated by the left black arrow on the panel. The right black arrow indicates the shift in color when we assume a somewhat more evolved stellar population with an age of 290 Myr and a dust content of $E(B-V) = 0.15$ (see Section 4.2 for details).

EWs between $z \sim 3$ and $z \sim 6$, e.g., because we are observing increasingly younger generations of galaxies. Assuming all line EWs between $z \sim 3$ and $z \sim 6$, e.g., because we are observing increasingly younger generations of galaxies. Assuming all line EWs...
the redshift determination. However, we also found that a small number of galaxies at \( z < 6.6 \) show extremely blue colors as well and we cannot distinguish these sources from galaxies in the redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \) on the basis of the [3.6] - [4.5] IRAC colors alone.

5.1. Selection of Our Fiducial \( z \sim 6.8 \) Sample

To effectively exclude \( z \sim 6 \) galaxies from our selection of galaxies in the narrow redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \), we require an additional selection criterion besides our [3.6] - [4.5] color cut (Equation (2)). For our fiducial sample we require that sources show a significant break across the \( I_{814} \) and \( J_{125} \) bands:

\[
I_{814} - J_{125} > 2.3. \tag{3}
\]

If \( I_{814} \) is undetected we use the 1\( \sigma \) upper limit to compute the color. This dropout criterion should effectively exclude the \( z < 6.5 \) contaminating galaxies from our target \( z \sim 6.8 \) selection (see Figure 7). We will separately select \( z \sim 6 \) ultra-blue galaxies using a \( I_{814} - J_{125} < 2.3 \) criterion.

We apply the criteria given by Equations (2) and (3) to our photometric catalogs of sources in all five CANDELS fields (Bouwens et al. 2014) and find 20 sources in our fiducial \( z \sim 6.8 \) sample and 8 sources that are likely at lower redshift. We summarize the properties of the fiducial sample and the sources that satisfy Equation (2) but not Equation (3) in Table 1, and we show postage stamps of the sources in Figures 8 and 9. The typical width of the 68\% redshift confidence intervals for the sources in our fiducial sample as given by \( P(\varepsilon_{\text{phot}}, HST + IRAC) \) is \( \Delta z = 0.2 \).

5.2. Ascertaining the Mean Redshift and Contamination Fraction of the \( z \sim 6.8 \) Sample

To test the robustness of our selection we stacked the sources from GOODS-N/S selected by Equations (2) and (3) and we show the resulting SED in Figure 10. We estimate the mean redshift for our selected sources from the stacked photometry. We emphasize that we do not use our flux measurements in the \( I_{814}, 3.6 \) and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) bands, due to flux measurements in these bands playing an important role in the selection of the sources themselves; this should ensure that our derived redshift is not significantly biased by the selection process itself. We find a photometric redshift of \( z_{\text{phot}} = 6.81^{+0.23}_{-0.22} \), consistent with the redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \). Since the measured flux in the \( J_{125} \) band was also used in the selection of individual sources, it could have a minor effect on the estimated redshift for the stacked photometry. Excluding the \( J_{125} \)-band flux measurements in deriving the best-fit redshift, gives a photometric redshift of \( z_{\text{phot}} = 6.77 \pm 0.31 \).

As a test of the robustness of our blue IRAC selection criterion (Equation (2)) against scatter in the [3.6] - [4.5] color due to the uncertainties in the 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) flux measurements, we simulated the photometric scatter assuming an intrinsic IRAC color [3.6] - [4.5] = 0 for all sources from the five CANDELS fields in our \( z \sim 5 - 8 \) base sample. We simulated the observed colors by adding noise to the 3.6 and 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \)-band fluxes, that match the measured flux uncertainties (1000\% per source). From this simulation we conclude that less than 0.1 source with an intrinsic IRAC color [3.6] - [4.5] = 0 has scattered into our fiducial selection over all five CANDELS fields.

The only significant source of interlopers to our fiducial sample of \( z \sim 6.8 \) galaxies would seem to arise from galaxies at \( z < 6.6 \). To quantify this interloper fraction, we first estimate the fraction of ultra-blue [3.6] - [4.5] sources at \( z < 6.6 \) from Figure 6, which is 6\%. From the bottom panel of Figure 3 (see also Table 1) we find that sources in our fiducial sample have estimated redshifts as far as \( \Delta z \sim 0.3 \) away from our desired redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \). We therefore assume that \( z \sim 6.3 - 6.6 \) galaxies cannot be completely removed from our fiducial sample using our \( I_{814} - J_{125} < 2.3 \) color criterion (Equation (3)) and could potentially be contaminating our \( z \sim 6.8 \) sample. Multiplying those sources from our base sample of galaxies from all five CANDELS fields (Section 2.3) with \( z_{\text{phot}}, HST \sim 6.3 - 6.6 \) by the 6\% fraction with ultra-blue IRAC colors, we estimate that \( \sim 2.0 \) sources could have scattered into our fiducial \( z \sim 6.8 \) sample up to \( z \sim 6.6 \). We therefore conservatively estimate that \( \sim 90\% \) of the 20 sources with extreme IRAC colors and \( I_{814} - J_{125} > 2.3 \) (Table 1) lie in the redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \).

Furthermore, comparing the 20 galaxies from our \( z \sim 6.8 \), IRAC ultra-blue sample (90\% of which we estimated to lie in this redshift range: see previous paragraph) with the 35 galaxies estimated to lie in the redshift range \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \) from the redshifts of our \( z \sim 5 - 8 \) base sample, we estimate that \( \sim 50\% \) of all sources at \( z \sim 6.8 \) exhibit ultra-blue colors (versus 6\% at \( z \sim 6 \)). This makes IRAC ultra-blue sources roughly \( \sim 8 \) times more common at \( z \sim 6.8 \) than at \( z < 6.6 \). This is useful to establish, since it indicates that ultra-blue IRAC criteria—such as we propose—reduce the numbers of contaminants in our samples significantly, i.e., improving the purity of \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \) selections by a factor of 8 over what one would manage using \( HST \) and ground-based observations alone.

5.3. Quantifying the Rest-frame EWs of [O\text{III}]+H\beta in our \( z \sim 6.8 \) IRAC Ultra-blue Sample

Using the assumption that the 4.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) band is free of emission line contamination at \( z \sim 6.6 - 6.9 \) while the 3.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) band is contaminated by [O\text{III}] and H\beta, we can make a
our estimated photometric redshift indicates a 
photometric redshift estimate of this source indicates that it likely belongs in the 
[HST UltraVISTA survey

The 4.5 μm images for these candidates require a modest

In addition to our blue IRAC criterion (see Equation (2)) we require \(I_{144} - J_{125} > 2.3\) for our fiducial 6.6–6.9 sample. If \(I_{144}\) is undetected we use the 1σ upper limit to compute the color.

Here, we list sources with \(I_{144} - J_{125} < 2.3\), as well as blue IRAC colors (satisfying Equation (2)). Low S/N sources cannot unambiguously be selected in the

The stacked ground-based optical image (inverse variance weighted) this source is detected at 7.9 ± 1.5 nJy. However, this flux appears to derive from a foreground source, close to our object of interest but distinctly separated in the 

This source is detected at the edge of the 

This source is independently discovered by Tili et al. (2013) and Bowler et al. (2014), who estimated a photometric redshift of 7.24±0.38 and 6.77±0.14 respectively.

This source is only marginally resolved; while its spatial profile and SED are much more consistent with its being a \(z \approx 6.8\) galaxy, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that it is a low-mass star.

Though the size of this source is consistent with its being a low-mass star, the SED of this source is better fit by a high-redshift galaxy than a stellar template.

This source, better known as ‘Himiko’ (Ouchi et al. 2009, 2013), has a spectroscopic redshift at \(z_{\text{spec}} = 6.59\), consistent with our photometric redshift estimate within the 68% probability window.

This source is weakly detected in \(I_{144}\) with \(I_{144} - J_{125} = 2.0 ± 0.4\) and therefore included in the \(z \approx 6\) sample. However, due to a 1σ detection in the \(K_s\) band, our estimated photometric redshift indicates a \(z \approx 6.75\) solution.

The typical uncertainty in \(z_{\text{spec}}\), \(HST\) + IRAC for this \(z \approx 6\) sample is very small. The ultra-blue IRAC colors of these galaxies are preferentially fit by the template with the most extreme \([O\,\text{III}]\,H\alpha\) ratio, which allows for little variation of the colors of the continuum emission and thereby narrows the redshift probability distribution. However, the shape of the spectral energy distributions of these galaxies (and the range of \([O\,\text{III}]\,H\alpha\) ratios at \(z \approx 6\)) is unknown, and therefore it is likely that the width of the redshift probability distribution is underestimated for this particular sample.

The 4.5 μm images for these candidates require a modest (≈50%) correction for contaminating flux from neighboring sources. While we would expect the corrections we perform to be generally quite accurate, we flag these candidates as somewhat less robust than the other candidates in our sample.
prediction of the \([\text{O} \text{ III}]\) strength and estimate the fraction of high-EW \([\text{O} \text{ III}]\) emitters in the high redshift galaxy population. The median \([3.6] - [4.5]\) color of our \(z \sim 6.8\) sample is \(-1.2\) ± 0.3, indicating a typical rest-frame \(\text{EW}_0([\text{O} \text{ III}]+\text{H} \beta) > 1000\) Å (see Smit et al. 2014).

In order to obtain a more accurate estimate of the \([\text{O} \text{ III}]\) EW, we calculated the difference between the contaminated \(3.6\) μm flux and an estimate of the rest-frame optical continuum flux. The continuum flux was estimated by fitting the SEDs of the galaxies with stellar population templates using the Fitting and Assessment of Synthetic Templates code (Kriek et al. 2009). In the fitting procedure we used stellar population templates by Bruzual & Charlot (2003) and constant star formation histories with ages between 30 Myr and the age of the universe at \(z = 6.6\). We assumed a Salpeter (1955) IMF with limits \(0.1 - 100 M_\odot\) and a Calzetti et al. (2000) dust law. We considered dust contents between \(A_V = 1.5\) and subsolar metallicities between 0.2 and 0.4 \(Z_\odot\). We only considered the \(HST\) and the \(4.5\) μm IRAC photometry in deriving our best-fit model, while excluding the measured \(3.6\) μm fluxes (due to their being impacted by the \([\text{O} \text{ III}]+\text{H} \beta\) lines). We used the best fit templates from our fitting procedure to obtain an estimate of

![Figure 8](image8.png)

**Figure 8.** \(HST\) \(H_{160}\) Spitzer/IRAC 3.6, and 4.5 μm band postag stamp negative images (8% × 8%) of our fiducial sample of galaxies at \(z \sim 6.8\) in the CANDELS fields with extremely blue \([3.6] - [4.5]\) IRAC colors (satisfying Equation (2)) and \(I_{144} - I_{255} > 2.3\) (see Section 5). The IRAC postag stamps have been cleaned for contamination from neighboring sources (see Section 2.2). Properties of the sources are listed in Table 1.
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**Figure 9.** \(HST\) \(H_{160}\) Spitzer/IRAC 3.6, and 4.5 μm band postag stamp negative images (8% × 8%) of our sample of galaxies at \(z \sim 6.0\) in the CANDELS fields with extremely blue \([3.6] - [4.5]\) IRAC colors (satisfying Equation (2)) and \(I_{144} - I_{255} < 2.3\) (see Section 5). The IRAC postag stamps have been cleaned for contamination from neighboring sources (see Section 2.2). Properties of the sources are listed in Table 1.
the 3.6 μm continuum flux and from this we derived the rest-frame EW of the combined [O iii]λ4959,5007 Å H β lines.

Our estimates of rest-frame EW([O iii]+H β) are listed in Table 1 and range from ∼900 to >2000 Å with a median of 1375 Å. Though the uncertainties on the EWs are too large for the >2000 Å EW measurements to be secure, we estimate rest-frame EWs as high as >1000 Å in the majority of our selected sources. Nevertheless, we note that the median EW we derive here will be biased toward high values because we are using the same [3.6] − [4.5] color measurements to derive the EWs as we used for the selection. We estimate this bias by investigating the selection process in a distribution of galaxies with an intrinsic IRAC color [3.6] − [4.5] = 0 and simulated the noise in the 3.6 and 4.5 μm bands based on the measured flux uncertainties. We selected 50% of the sources with the bluest simulated [3.6] − [4.5] colors (in agreement with our estimates in Section 5.2) and found these sources have a median [3.6] − [4.5] = −0.15. Using this color bias and Equations (1) and (2) from Smit et al. (2014) and assuming a flat continuum in f ν for these sources, we estimate an observed bias of ∼290 Å on the measured [O iii]+H β EW of 1375 Å due to the noise in the IRAC bands. This suggests that the median EW of our selected sources is really 1085 Å in the noise-free case.

We show the predicted EW0([O iii]+H β) distribution of our z ∼ 6.8 sample in Figure 11. We compare this distribution with the EW distribution from the seven lensed sources selected in the redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 7.0 reported by Smit et al. (2014) and comparable intrinsic UV luminosities. We use the same procedure to derive EWs for these sources as described in the paragraph above. For one source we use the 1σ upper limit due to the high uncertainties in the IRAC photometry. The comparison between the two distributions confirms that our suggested criteria for selecting z ∼ 6.8 sources roughly selects the ∼50% most extreme line emitters at that redshift.

The median UV–luminosity of our sample (computed from the H160-band fluxes and assuming z = 6.75) is MUV = −20.66, roughly comparable to the MUV = −20.87 ± 0.26 from the z ∼ 7 luminosity function derived by Bouwens et al. (2014). Combining this information with our calculation in Section 5.2 we argue that roughly ∼50% of the MUV galaxy population at z ∼ 7 produces extreme nebular emission lines (EW0([O iii]+H β) > 1000 Å) in the rest-frame optical.

It is interesting to compare these derived EWs to the observed [O iii]+H β EW distribution at z ∼ 3 reported by Schenker et al. (2013b) and Holden et al. (2014). In order to match our z ∼ 6.8 sample, we use only the 50% most extreme emitters from the combined Schenker et al. (2013b) and Holden et al. (2014) samples and calculate a median EW([O iii]+H β) = 390 Å (with a median redshift z ∼ 3.5). Comparing this number to the mean EW at z ∼ 6.8 and assuming the evolution of nebular emission line EWs scales as ∼(1 + z)n (see Fumagalli et al. 2012), we derive EW([O iii]+H β) ∝ (1 + z)1.9±0.36. This slope is consistent with the slope derived by Fumagalli et al. (2012) for Hα EWs over the redshift range z ∼ 0 − 2 (n ∼ 1.8) but somewhat steeper than the slope derived by Labbé et al. (2013), who found n = 1.2 ± 0.25 over the redshift range z ∼ 1 − 8.

6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we explore the use of IRAC colors to select star-forming galaxies in the narrow redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9. Sources in this redshift range are expected to be very blue due to the boosted flux in the 3.6 μm band from high-EW [O iii] emission, while the 4.5 μm band is free from contamination of strong nebular emission lines. This suggests that a blue IRAC criterion may be appropriate for selecting galaxies in the redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9.

In evaluating the suitability of such a selection criterion we analyze a large sample of LBGs in GOODS-N and GOODS-S with relatively high S/N Spitzer/IRAC coverage from the Spitzer GOODS, ERS, and S-CANDELS programs (Ashby et al. 2015). We find that the majority of candidates with extremely blue [3.6] − [4.5] colors are consistent with z ∼ 6.8. In addition to the z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9 sources, we also find a small number of sources with extreme [3.6] − [4.5] colors more likely to be at z ∼ 6.0. The blue [3.6] − [4.5] galaxies at z ∼ 6.0 can be explained by high [O iii]/H β ratios, such as those found in z ∼ 3 LBGs (Schenker et al. 2013b; Holden et al. 2014), lensed galaxies at z ∼ 1 − 2 (Brammer et al. 2012b; van der Wel et al. 2013), and in Green Pea galaxies at z ∼ 0 (Amorín et al. 2012; Jaskot & Oey 2013) in combination with blue colors for the optical continuum.

To obtain a clear selection in the redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9, we suggest the use of ultra-blue IRAC colors combined with an I814 − J850 > 2.3 dropout criterion. Based on our analysis in Section 5, we estimate that at least 90% of the sources selected by these criteria lie in the redshift range z ∼ 6.6 − 6.9. We systematically apply such criteria to our source catalogs from the five CANDELS fields (720 arcmin2) and find 20 sources (∼0.03 arcmin−2). A comparison with the total number of galaxies from our catalogs in this redshift range suggests that we select the ∼50% bluest IRAC sources at z ∼ 6.8 at a typical UV–luminosity MUV ∼ MUV, z=7.
We estimate a median uncertainty on the redshift estimates for our fiducial sources of $\Delta z = 0.2$ (68% confidence). Such redshift uncertainties are significantly smaller than one finds for these objects without the inclusion of the IRAC fluxes. For example, we find a median 68% confidence interval on $z_{\text{phot}}$, hst of $\Delta z = 0.6$ and $\Delta z = 1.7$, respectively, for sources in GOODS-N/S and COSMOS/EGS/UDS. Among other uses, such tight constraints on the redshifts are necessary for efficient observations with ALMA. Our constraints on the redshift of these sources means that we should typically only require two ALMA tunings to successfully observe $\left[C\,\text{II}\right] \lambda 157.7 \, \mu m$ in band 6.

Using our fiducial sample of $z \sim 6$ sources with ultra-blue [3.6] – [4.5] colors, we estimate the strength of $\left[\text{O\,II}\right] \lambda 4959,5007 \, \AA + \beta$ from the contaminated 3.6 $\mu m$ flux. We find that the majority of the sources in our sample show EWs as high as $\text{EW}(\left[\text{O\,II}\right] + \beta) \gtrsim 1000 \, \AA$, in excellent agreement with the $\sim 50\%$ most extreme sources from Smit et al. (2014).

Given the recent study by Stark et al. (2014a), who found evidence for strong $\left[\text{O\,II}\right] \lambda 4959,5007 \, \AA$ emission in sources with high-EW $\left[C\,\text{II}\right] \lambda 1908 \, \AA$ at $z \sim 2$, it seems reasonable to suppose that our strong $\left[\text{O\,II}\right]$ emitters also exhibit relatively strong $\left[C\,\text{II}\right]$ lines, and therefore our sources are excellent targets for follow-up studies with near-IR spectroscopy (e.g., Stark et al. 2014b).

Finally, with the future launch of the JWST, we will be able to target these extreme line-emitter galaxies with JWST’s near-infrared spectrograph (NIRSpec). The $\left[\text{O\,II}\right] \lambda 5007 \, \AA$ line in a typical galaxy from our sample should be detected at 10$\sigma$ in the JWST/NIRSpec $R = 100$ mode with a mere 60 s exposure.
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