Simultaneous determination of low molecule benzotriazoles and benzotriazole UV stabilizers in wastewater by ultrasound-assisted emulsification microextraction followed by GC–MS detection
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A rapid, sensitive, economically and ecologically friendly method based on one-step ultrasound-assisted emulsification microextraction and in situ derivatization followed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry for simultaneous determination of low molecular benzotriazoles and benzotriazole-based ultraviolet filters was developed. The optimized method allows quantification of benzotriazole, 4-methylbenzotriazole, 5-methylbenzotriazole; 5-chlorobenzotriazole, 2-(2'-hydroxy-3'-tert-butyl-5'-methylphenyl)-5-chlorobenzotriazol and 2-(2'-hydroxy-5'-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl)benzotriazole in municipal and industrial (dairy) wastewater. The method was validated using real influent and effluent wastewater and samples at various stages of the purification process. Relative recoveries obtained using wastewater as sample matrix were between 77 and 137%, method limits of detection from 0.001 to 0.035 µg/L, method limits of quantification from 0.003 to 0.116 µg/L, the repeatability expressed by the coefficient of variation did not exceed 12%. The use of the method for the determination of tested compounds in municipal and industrial wastewater showed their presence in most of the tested samples, in concentrations from LoD to 6.110 µg/L. The conducted studies of samples from municipal wastewater treatment plant located in north-east Poland showed that the effectiveness of benzotriazole removal by this plant was from 29 to 84%. The load of tested compounds released into the environment by this facility ranges from 2 to 269 mg/day/1000 inhabitants.

The presence of organic micropollutants in various elements of the environment is a major problem as these compounds pose a significant threat to living organisms or entire ecosystems while the presence is caused by rapid industrial and technological development1. Low molecule benzotriazoles (LMBTs) are polar compounds and have good solubility in water2–4. It is easily introduced into other chemical structures through a number of reactions such as condensation or addition5. Their properties also include considerable resistance to oxidation and biodegradation6. BTs are only partially removed by activated sludge and other treatment processes such as chlorination and advanced oxidation7.

BTs containing in their structure a hydrogen atom in position 1 and compounds with methyl group, especially 5-methylbenzotriazole (5MBT) and 4-methylbenzotriazole (4MBT) have anti-corrosion properties. BTs can be used as solder, brass, steel, cast iron and aluminium protection agents and are used in heating systems3. Phenyl group of BTs, especially in position 2, absorb UV light in the 300–400 nm wavelength range. There is a
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large group of compounds containing a BT moiety used to stabilise against UV radiation. BT-based ultraviolet filters (BUVs) protect against degradation and yellowing of products. They are used in the production of paints, films, plastics, coatings and skin care products. BT and its derivatives are a component of detergents, including dishwasher tablets and powder as well as a component of antifreeze agents and de-icing agents. Antifreeze mixtures for various surfaces (roads, airports, car parks) are widespread and widely used and as a result the risk of BTs entering the environment is very high. BT derivatives are widely used in the pharmaceutical industry, petroleum products and one of the main objectives of using these compounds is to increase the efficiency and durability of products.

The biological activity of many BT derivatives and their durability in the environment is connected with observed negative impact on the aquatic and terrestrial organisms. These compounds are classified as hazardous to the environment and cause long-term harmful effects. BTs can be absorbed by inhaling the aerosol and by ingestion. Toxicological studies have shown that BTs have mutagenic and toxic effects on some microorganisms including algae and plants. BTs can inhibit the growth of bacteria and weaken their ability to degrade certain organic compounds leading to impairment of self-purification process when these compounds enter rivers from wastewater treatment plants. The BTs have been shown to be genotoxic and may disrupt hormonal management. BT at higher concentrations show antagonistic interaction with the androgenic receptor and potential disruption of the thyroid hormone system and fatty acid metabolism. It has been proven that 1HBT has carcinogenic properties for humans. According to Shi et al. BTs are associated with the development of endometrial cancer. Toxicity studies have shown that direct contact with some widely used BUVs can cause inflammation and skin irritation. Gender-related hematological and histopathological changes in the liver, kidneys, spleen and thyroid glands were observed after long-term toxicity testing of 2-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxyphenyl)benzotriazole (UV-320) in rats. Similar properties were also shown for 2-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxyphenyl)benzotriazole (UV-328). Some BUVs were also found to have similar estrogenic effects to those shown by the natural sex hormone 17β-estradiol.

BTs are the fourth most abundant aquatic contaminants after ethylenediamine tetracetic acid and its salts, nitrioltriacetic acid alkylbenzene sulfonates and their annual consumption in the United States alone exceeds 9000 tonnes and is very high in all developed economies. Quantification of BTs and its derivatives in environmental samples is carried out mainly by chromatographic methods although literature describes the use of electrochemical and spectrophotometric methods for this purpose. High-performance or ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, UHPLC) is usually used to determine BTs in the environmental and in biological samples. Detection is carried out using UV spectrophotometry, diode array detector (DAD) or mass spectrometer (MS). The greatest sensitivity and selectivity of the determinations was achieved using UHPLC with tandem MS detector of triple quadrupole (QqQ MS/MS) or electrospray ionization (ESI MS/MS) configuration. Determination of highly polar low mass compounds from the group of BT derivatives by gas chromatography (GC) requires the use of polar stationary phases or the conversion of compounds to less polar and more volatile derivatives which can be achieved by acetylation or methylation. Less polar BT derivatives such as BUVs can be analyzed by GC on standard medium polar columns without a derivatization process. MSs with electron ionization (EI) and a quadrupole (Q) or a time-of-flight (ToF) analyzers as well as tandem mass spectrometers (MS/MS) were used as GC detectors. Undoubtedly the isolation and enrichment of analytes is a key step in the correct analytical procedures for the determination of trace contaminants in a complex matrix. Wastewaters contain many chemical compounds often with similar properties and with a large variation in composition over time. A solid phase extraction (SPE) technique is usually used to extract benzotriazoles from aqueous samples. In recent years microextraction techniques have become more and more important in environmental analysis. Ahmad et al. described the use of bar adsorptive microextraction (BAME) to isolate BT and 5MBT from rain, tap, estuarine waters as well as wastewater. Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (DLLME) was used for isolation of low molecule benzotriazoles in water samples. Lu et al. proposed the use of air assisted liquid–liquid microextraction (AALLME) in the procedure for the determination of BT, 5MBT and 5-chlorobenzotriazole (5ClBT) in aqueous samples.

The aim of the presented work was to develop a simple methodology based on ultrasound assisted emulsification microextraction (USAEME) with in-situ derivatization and GC–MS for simultaneous determination four LMBTs: BT, 4MBT, 5MBT, and 5ClBT as well as two BUVs: UV326 and UV329 in wastewater. The USAEME technique described for the first time by Requeiro et al. is an isolation technique based on the emulsification of a microliter volume of organic solvent in aqueous sample by ultrasound radiation and separation of both phases by centrifugation. Literature review shows that so far USAEME has not been used for determination of BTs in any matrix and no liquid–liquid microextraction has ever been used in the determination of the BUVs in any samples. Samples taken from municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) collected at various stages of the technological process and wastewater from Milk Processing Plant (MPP) have been analyzed. The main source of BTs in both matrices are household and industrial detergents. Wastewater from MPP after initial treatment is directed to municipal WWTPs. Determining the concentration of BTs in these matrices is important due to their influence on treatment processes (potential harmful effect on activated sludge). It will also allow to assess the exposure of aquatic environment to these compounds in connection with the discharge of wastewater into the environment after treatment process.

Results and discussion

Optimization of the extraction and derivatization procedure. Very few procedures for the determination of benzotriazoles by GC in combination with single quadrupole MS have been published. Based on previous literature reports acetylation reaction was selected for the derivatization of BTs in this work carried out simultaneously with the extraction process. A careful impact analysis has been carried out for the
following process conditions type and volume of the extraction solvent, volume of acetic anhydride (derivatization reagent), addition of a buffer salt and time of simultaneous extraction and derivatization. n-Hexadecane, 1-undecanol, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, toluene and chlorobenzene were tested as potential extraction solvents. The characteristics of selected solvents are included in Supplementary Table S1 (Supplementary Information). The responses obtained for each analyzed compound with different solvents used for extraction are shown in Fig. 1a. The volume of each of the solvents used for extraction was 100 μL. Optimization was performed for milli-Q water to which a mixture of analytes was introduced with a concentration of 10 μg/L each. Other conditions of the process were 100 μL of acetic anhydride and five minutes extraction time; the same conditions were used in all optimization experiments unless otherwise stated.

Chlorinated solvents with a density greater than that of water were selected for testing (see Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Information), enabling easy removal of the organic layer after extraction by using test tubes with a conical bottom. n-Hexadecane and 1-undecanol can be from the aqueous solution after extraction by solidifying them to a floating solvent drop. This is due to the corresponding melting points which are 18 °C and 13 °C respectively. Aromatic solvents were selected due to their structural similarity to analytes and the possibility of forming π–π interactions which may positively affect extraction efficiency. As expected the highest
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**Figure 1.** Influence of the type of solvent (a) and the volume of chlorobenzene (b) on the efficiency of benzotriazole extraction by the USAEME technique.
 Extraction efficiency was achieved with the use of chlorobenzene and toluene while the area of chromatographic
peaks recorded with chlorobenzene extractant was 13–22% higher than in the case of toluene; for chlorobenzene
the lowest standard deviations of the recorded peak areas were also recorded. The use of toluene as the extractant
requires additional operations to separate it from the extracted matrix. Moving of the extractant to other vessels
for this purpose is risky due to the possibility of losing some of the solvent or recontaminating the sample. At
the same time all additional operations increase the exposure of the analyst and the environment to the solvent.
Taking all the above into account chlorobenzene was selected as the optimal solvent for extraction.

Optimal solvent volume was selected on the basis of tests performed at 40, 60 and 80 μL. The results of the
conducted experiments are presented in Fig. 1b. As expected there was clearly an inverse relationship between
the volume of the solvent and the analyte peaks area. Therefore, it was found that the volume of 40 μL is optimal
for the conducted experiments. The solubility of chlorobenzene in water is approximately 300 mg/L so the theo-
retical amount of solvent that can be recovered after extracting a 5 mL water sample is 37.5 μL. After extraction
it was possible to collect about 25 μL of chlorobenzene which made it possible to carry out several replications
of the analysis using the GC–MS autosampler device. In order to make sure that the determined optimal volume
could be used during the wastewater analyses additional experiments were carried out using influents as well as
the wastewater from different treatment stages. For influents it was found necessary to use a solvent volume
of 80 μL to obtain 15–20 μL of extract that could be analyzed by GC–MS. It is related to the presence of a rich
matrix in wastewater including macromolecular compounds (proteins, fats and carbohydrates), i.e. substances
with emulsifying properties which increase the solubility of chlorobenzene. Therefore, it was decided to use a
volume of solvent equal to 80 μL in all subsequent experiments. However, when the developed method is used
for samples of less polluted water, including surface water, groundwater and purified sewage it will be possible
to use a chlorobenzene volume of 40 μL.

The optimization results for acetic anhydride volume, ionic strength and extraction time are shown in Fig. 2.
The effect of acetic anhydride volume, ionic strength and extraction time are shown in Fig. 2. The relationship between the time of simultaneous extraction, derivatization and extraction efficiency was studied in the range 3–12 min. Extending the simultaneous extraction and derivatization time from 3 to 5 min resulted in an increase in surface areas by about 10%. The surface areas obtained after 12 min process for all tested compounds were about 30% lower than those obtained after 5 min. The decrease in analyte surface areas with sonication time extension indicates that acetyl derivatives of benzotriazoles are likely to partially hydrolyze to free forms upon prolonged contact with aqueous phase. This phenomenon has already been observed in the case of other polar compounds. The 5 min simultaneous extraction and derivatization time was chosen as optimal and used in all subsequent experiments.

Method validation parameters. Analytical parameters including linearity, precision, LoD, LOD as well as
method recovery were investigated under established optimal conditions. Calibration plots were obtained
by spiking the ultrapure water with seven concentration levels between 0.05 and 10 μg/L and performing the
extraction and GC–MS analysis. In the case of 5ClBT two chromatographic peaks were recorded. This situation
is probably related to the formation of two isomeric acetyl derivatives of 5ClBT, substituted at the 1 and 3 posi-
tions of the triazole ring. Calibration plots for this compound were registered and validation parameters were
determined based on peak 1, peak 2 and the sum of peaks. The validation data is summarized in Table 1. Calibra-
tion plots were linear within the studied concentration ranges with coefficients of determination ($r^2$) ≥ 0.9900
for all target compounds.

The LoD was established as the concentration giving a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N ratio) of 3. It was determined by
recording the chromatograms for successive concentrations of analytes until the height of the chromatographic
peaks of the specified BTs equaled to three times the height of the noise peaks. The concentrations correspond-
ing to the lowest points of the calibration plots were established as the LoQ values. LoQ values for the tested
benzotriazoles ranged from 0.0001 to 0.0018 μg/L. The lowest LoDs were recorded for UV326, UV329 and 5MBT.

The determination precision was established on the basis of CV calculated for developed model (calibration
curve). The CV for each point was calculated as the ratio of the root mean squared error to the mean of the
centration calculated on the basis of the model. The CV given in Table 1 was calculated as the average per-
cent value of the variance coefficients determined for seven points of the calibration curves. For the individual
compounds tested mean CV values ranging from 4.7 to 9.8% were obtained. As expected the measurements for
Figure 2. Influence of the acetic anhydride volume (a), ionic strength (b), and extraction time (c) on the efficiency of benzotriazole extraction by the USAEME technique.
solvents (up to 61 mL per one repetition of the procedure) are used. These facts justify the growing popularity of SPE, DLLME and USAEME. As a result, these techniques allow similar accuracy, precision and sensitivity determinations while the use of different extraction techniques enables compounds determination without derivatization with high boiling points or thermally unstable compounds. Sample preparation process is simpler when we work with LC techniques compared to GC.

### Matrix effect.

Municipal and industrial wastewater are matrices with a high degree of pollution and properties strongly differing from those of pure water. A complicated matrix may affect the surface area of the obtained analytical signals as well as the location and course of the baseline. It is assumed that the influence of the matrix at the level of ~ 20 to 20% is considered acceptable and determinations can be made on the basis of a calibration performed on a simplified matrix. Matrix-matched calibration must be used with stronger disturbances and tests of the method resistance showed that discrepancies of received signals exceed the determined range while the highest exceedances were recorded when influents were the matrix. Therefore, the developed method was validated with the use of six different real matrices (see Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Information). For all municipal wastewater matrix a good linearity was obtained expressed as $r^2$ value above 0.99. The mean recovery deviation from the value of 100% was the highest for influent wastewater and was approximately 18%. In the case of the remaining matrices it ranged from 8 to 13%, i.e. it did not differ significantly from the values determined for water. The CV values did not exceed 10% which was probably influenced by the higher concentrations within the curve. The average determination sensitivity for the group of target compounds, expressed by the LoD value in the case of municipal wastewater as matrices ranged from 0.006 to 0.014 µg/L. In the case of industrial wastewater as a sample matrix the deviation of validation parameters from those registered for water is higher than in the case of municipal wastewater. In this case values of the recovery were between 77 and 137% for influents and between 89 and 121% for wastewater after flotation. Higher values of CV and LoD were also recorded. It can be seen that the recovery deterioration and sensitivity reduction are quite well correlated with the matrix contamination expressed by the BOD, COD, suspension, nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations (see Supplementary Tables S4, S5, S6, Supplementary Information).

Due to its high polarity and limited volatility liquid chromatography is the most commonly used in BTs analysis and usually combined with MS or MS/MS detection. LC–MS and LC–MS/MS techniques have been gaining increased popularity in recent years due to the high sensitivity and selectivity of determinations. The use of these techniques enables compounds determination without derivatization with high boiling points or thermally unstable compounds. Sample preparation process is simpler when we work with LC techniques compared to GC. The LC–MS/MS methods for the determination of benzotriazoles described in literature are characterized by high sensitivity, good accuracy and precision. In the case of LMBTs in municipal wastewater the developed procedure was validated with the use of six different real matrices (see Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Information).

Table 1 presents a comparison of the developed method with other GC–MS methods using various extraction techniques. Comparing the developed procedure with others described in literature it can be stated that the validation parameters determined in this study are similar or better than in the case of other determinations performed with the GC–MS and GC–MS/MS techniques. The comparison of isolation techniques shows that SPE, DLLME and USAEME allow similar accuracy, precision and sensitivity determinations while the use of SPE is associated with validation parameters deterioration. However, it should be emphasized that procedures based on the use of SPE are multi-stage (conditioning of columns, sample application, elution, concentration of the eluate) and require a large volume of samples (even 2.5 L, on average 1 L) and large volumes of organic solvents (up to 61 mL per one repetition of the procedure) are used. These facts justify the growing popularity of higher concentrations are characterized by the highest precision. The mean CV value for all tested compounds was 4.7% at 10 µg/L concentration while at 0.05 µg/L it was almost 15%. Recoveries for each compound were determined at two concentration levels: 0.2 and 2 µg/L. They were calculated comparing nominal concentration with the value determined based on calibration curve. The recovery values were between 81 and 116% for the lower concentration and between 87 and 118% for the higher value.

| Compound | Linearly | Recovery (%) | Matrix effect |
|----------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| Linearity | Slope (SD) | Intercept (SD) | r² | 0.2 µg/L | 2 µg/L | CV (%) | LoD (µg/L) | LoQ (µg/L) |
| BT       | 402 (6)  | 12 (14)      | 0.9983 | 112 ± 1 | 106 ± 5 | 6.4 | 0.0012 | 0.05 |
| 4MBT     | 712 (11) | 90 (36)      | 0.9960 | 108 ± 8 | 112 ± 2 | 6.5 | 0.0007 | 0.05 |
| 5MBT     | 2616 (42) | 378 (118)    | 0.9900 | 98 ± 9 | 95 ± 2 | 8.8 | 0.0002 | 0.05 |
| SCIBT (peak1) | 281 (4) | 17 (38)      | 0.9971 | 96 ± 4 | 102 ± 2 | 7.7 | 0.0017 | 0.05 |
| SCIBT (peak2) | 272 (6) | 80 (23)      | 0.9918 | 116 ± 3 | 87 ± 7 | 9.6 | 0.0018 | 0.05 |
| SCIBT (2) | 599 (14) | 59 (39)      | 0.9954 | 108 ± 5 | 94 ± 6 | 7.2 | 0.0009 | 0.05 |
| UV326    | 3786 (93) | 641 (297)    | 0.9999 | 81 ± 6 | 118 ± 6 | 4.7 | 0.0001 | 0.05 |
| UV329    | 3608 (87) | 1588 (752)   | 0.9900 | 106 ± 7 | 121 ± 6 | 6.6 | 0.0001 | 0.05 |

Table 1. USAEME–GC–MS method validation parameters determined with water as sample matrix. SD standard deviation, r² coefficient of determination, CV coefficient of variation, LoD limit of detection, LoQ limit of quantification (the lowest concentration on the calibration plot. $a \times 10^b$.}
Table 2. Comparison of the proposed method with other approaches based on different extraction techniques and gas chromatography detection. **LoD** limit of detection. *a*EI-MS unless otherwise stated. *b*Ionic liquid stationary phase. *c*Triple quadrupole.

| Analytes | Kind of matrix | Sample volume (mL) | Method | Solvent volume (mL) | LoDs (µg/L) | Precision (%) | Relative recovery (%) | References |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| BT       | Airport run-off | 500–1000           | SPE–GC–MS* | No data           | 0.1         | No data       | No data              | 35          |
| LMBTs    | River           | 2500               | SPE–GC–MS | 8                 | 0.008–0.012 | No data       | 62–70                | 32,39       |
| LMBTs    | Wastewater      | 200                | SPE–GC–MS* | 25               | No data    | < 10.0        | 78–98                | 38          |
| LMBTs    | Airport run-off | No data            | SPE–GC–MS | 61               | 0.0003–0.01 | 7.2–12        | 68–102               | 29,60       |
| LMBTs    | Tap, groundwater, effluents | 1000          | SPE–GC–MS/MS* | 19             | 0.004–0.016 | No data       | 70–122               | 22          |
| LMBTs    | Municipal wastewater | 1000         | SPE–GC–MS/MS* | 19             | < 0.02     | 1.2–5.1       | 75–133               | 28          |
| LMBTs    | Tap, surface, wastewater | 1.6–8          | SPME–GC–MS/MS | 0             | 0.2–15     | 0.1–27.0      | 57–117               | 28          |
| LMBTs    | River, municipal wastewater | 500–1000       | SPE–GCxGC–ToF–MS | 25         | 0.048–0.112 | < 15.7        | 78–115               | 40          |
| LMBTs    | River            | 200               | SPE–GCxGC–ToF–MS | 22         | 0.006–0.038 | 10.0–12.0     | 66–102               | 4           |
| LMBTs    | Tap, river, municipal wastewater | 10            | DLLME–GC–MS | 1.6             | 0.007–0.080 | < 8.0         | 92–112               | 22          |
| LMBTs    | Municipal and dairy wastewater | 5             | USAME–GC–MS | 0.08         | 0.006–0.035 | < 11.7        | 77–137               | This work   |
| BUVs     | Municipal wastewater | 1000            | SPE–GCxGC–ToF–MS | 19         | < 0.0163   | 0.5–3.8       | 75–133               | 28          |
| BUVs     | Municipal wastewater | 1000            | SPE–GC–MS/MS | 19             | < 0.0163   | 0.5–3.8       | 75–133               | 28          |
| BUVs     | Municipal and dairy wastewater | 5             | USAME–GC–MS | 0.08         | 0.001–0.005 | < 9.3         | 107–124              | This work   |

Wastewater analysis. The developed USAME–GC–MS procedure was used for the simultaneous determination of compounds from the LMBT and BUV groups in wastewater from two municipal wastewater treatment plants and in the outflow of MPP. Figure 3 show chromatograms recorded for the influent and effluent wastewater from WWTP A. Table 3 summarizes the concentrations of the target LMBTs and BUVs in samples of municipal wastewater from WWTP A, WWTP B and in dairy wastewater from MPP. BT, 4MBT and 5MBT determinations were performed on six samples of municipal influents and six samples of effluents (five from WWTP A and one from WWTP B). 5ClBT, UV326, and UV329 determinations were carried out in four samples of each type from WWTP A. Average concentrations of LMBTs in influent wastewater (along with deviations) ranged from 0.055 ± 0.007 µg/L for 5ClBT (N = 2, two values below the LOD) to 2.205 ± 2.335 µg/L for BT. Among the methyl derivatives of BT a higher concentration of 1.117 ± 2.058 µg/L was recorded for 4MBT and for 5MBT it was 0.158 ± 0.136 µg/L. For BUVs the mean concentrations in municipal influents were 0.190 ± 0.082 µg/L and 0.167 ± 0.038 µg/L for UV326 and UV329, respectively (N = 3, one value below LOD). In the treated wastewater the average concentrations of BT (N = 6), 4MBT (N = 6), 5MBT (N = 4) and 5ClBT (N = 2) were 1.065 ± 0.907 µg/L, 0.150 ± 0.171 µg/L, 0.178 ± 0.215 µg/L and 0.010 µg/L, respectively. The mean concentrations of UV326 (N = 3) and UV329 (N = 2) were 0.040 ± 0.036 µg/L and 0.050 ± 0.028 µg/L, respectively. The LMBTs content in municipal influents determined in this study is similar to the values obtained during research conducted in Spain by Dominguez et al.31. Other results that can be found in literature indicate a higher content of these compounds reaching 13 µg/L for BT and over 7 µg/L for other LMBTs22,47,49. The remaining literature reports give higher concentrations of these compounds in effluents reaching 10 µg/L47. The content of BUVs in municipal influents reported in literature is over ten times higher than the values recorded by our study34. The content of BUVs in municipal influents introduced with effluents from WWTP A into the aquatic environment, respectively. Figure 5 shows the range and average values of removal efficiency (RE) of individual compounds in the WWTP A. When no compound was detected in a specific matrix an appropriate LOD value was inserted into the calculation of ML and RE. The ML values for each target compound were estimated according to Eq. (1).
it was 2.2 mg/day/1000 inhabitants. 269 mg/day/1000 inhabitants was was the highest recorded value. The average ML value for the remaining compounds ranged from a few to several mg/day/1000 inhabitants.

Efficiency (RE, %) of the removal of LMBTs and BUVs during the treatment process conducted in WWTP A depends on their tendency to be absorbed by activated sludge as well as biodegradability and physicochemical properties (volatility, polarity, water solubility). RE is defined as negative or low when RE < 20%, moderate when the RE ranged between 20.1% and 70% and high when the RE exceeded 70.1%50. As shown in Fig. 5, the average RE value obtained for target compounds allows the removal efficiency of BT, 4MBT and 5MBT to be classified as moderate and 5ClBT, UV326, and UV329 as high. The obtained results correspond with literature data. In laboratory studies of BTs removal conducted by Mazioti et al., BT and ClBT were observed to be significantly removed during aerobic and anoxic activated sludge while 4MBT and 5MBT underwent this process to a small extent16. The moderate removal of BT in real conditions observed in this work may result from certain phenomena described later in this section. Biodegradation especially in the aerobic treatment phase is responsible for the removal of BTs in a biological wastewater treatment plant while sorption is of little importance16.

In the case of BT and to a lesser extent in the case of 4MBT and 5MBT in some samples higher concentrations were observed in effluents than in influents, i.e. RE values below zero were obtained. “Negative removal” has been previously observed and it could be explained by the varying sorption of the target compounds in activated sludge and their subsequent desorption by successive portions of wastewater51. The reason may also be that influents and effluents were collected at the same time while the treatment process in WWTP A takes about 48 h so the effluents came from a completely different portion of influents than taken on a given day52.

Figure 3. Chromatograms recorded for the influent (a) and effluent (b) wastewater from WWTP A.
Table 3. Concentration of benzotriazoles in analyzed municipal and dairy wastewater. MWWTP municipal wastewater treatment plant, SC sampling campaign, SBR sequencing batch reactor, n.a. not analyzed. a After mechanical treatment. b After 190 min of aeration. c From SBR, end of sedimentation.

| Origin of wastewater samples | Concentration ± standard deviation (µg/L) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                             | BT  | 4MBT | 5MBT | 5ClBT | UV326 | UV329 |
| MWWTP A SC1                 | Influent | 3.46 ± 0.21 | 0.41 ± 0.06 | < LoQ | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Denitrification chamber | 3.21 ± 0.34 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.7 ± 0.02 | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Deposphatation chamber | 3.30 ± 0.31 | 0.10 ± 0.03 | < LoD | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Nitrification chamber | 2.28 ± 0.21 | 0.09 ± 0.05 | < LoD | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Effluent | 2.17 ± 0.12 | 0.11 ± 0.02 | < LoD | n.a | n.a |
| MWWTP A SC2                 | Influent | 2.58 ± 0.26 | 0.26 ± 0.09 | 0.12 ± 0.02 | < LoD | < LoQ | < LoQ |
|                             | Effluent | 0.95 ± 0.09 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | < LoD | < LoD | < LoD |
| MWWTP A SC3                 | Influent | 0.26 ± 0.03 | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.38 ± 0.04 | < LoQ | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.15 ± 0.04 |
|                             | Effluent | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 0.07 ± 0.1 | < LoQ | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.01 |
| MWWTP A SC4                 | Influent | 0.21 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | < LoQ | 0.17 ± 0.04 | 0.14 ± 0.04 |
|                             | Effluent | 0.41 ± 0.04 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.14 ± 0.05 | < LoQ | < LoQ | < LoD |
| MWWTP A SC5                 | Influent | 6.11 ± 0.62 | 0.38 ± 0.06 | 0.27 ± 0.04 | < LoD | 0.28 ± 0.04 | 0.21 ± 0.04 |
|                             | Effluent | 2.18 ± 0.30 | 0.10 ± 0.02 | < LoD | < LoD | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 0.07 ± 0.02 |
| MWWTP B                     | Influent | 0.61 ± 0.09 | 5.31 ± 0.84 | 0.07 ± 0.05 | n.a | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Retention chamber | 1.15 ± 0.18 | 0.46 ± 0.09 | 0.64 ± 0.09 | n.a | n.a | n.a |
|                             | SBR | 0.73 ± 0.08 | 0.48 ± 0.08 | 0.52 ± 0.09 | n.a | n.a | n.a |
|                             | Effluent | 0.62 ± 0.08 | 0.49 ± 0.07 | 0.49 ± 0.06 | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| MPP                         | Influent | < LoD | 0.08 ± 0.02 | < LoD | < LoQ | < LoQ | < LoQ |
|                             | After flotation | < LoD | < LoD | < LoD | < LoD | < LoD | < LoD |

**Figure 4.** The range and mean mass loads of target compounds flowing with influents into WWTP (a) and introduced with effluents into the aquatic environment (b).
There may also be a situation where complex compounds containing BT in their structure (BUVs, antimicrobial agents, antiparasitic drugs and other) undergo decomposition due to purification processes taking place in WWTPs. This decomposition may result in the formation of BT and its methyl derivatives which increase the concentration of these compounds in effluents. On the basis of the obtained results it can be concluded that removal of BTs by activated sludge processes is not sufficient and it is advisable to use additional technologies for tertiary wastewater treatment.

**Conclusion**

This work presents the new USAEME-GC–MS procedure for determination of LMBTs and BUVs in wastewater. The proposed method was optimized and validated using municipal and industrial wastewater at different stages of mechanical–biological treatment. The simple microextraction procedure in combination with in-matrix acylation allows the use of standard GC–MS system with a single quadrupole for determination. The obtained validation parameters make the method a good alternative to more time and cost-consuming methods usually used in the determination of benzotriazoles using the SPE and/or LC–MS/MS techniques. It should be emphasized that the USAEME technique has not so far been used to isolate compounds from the BTs group while BUVs have never been isolated by liquid–liquid microextraction techniques. The developed method was used to determine LMBTs and BUVs in municipal and dairy wastewater from WWTPs located in north-east Poland. All of the target BTs were detected in the tested samples with concentrations similar or lower than those recorded in studies conducted in other countries. An attempt was made to estimate compound loads from the BTs group introduced into the treatment plant with influents and released into the environment with the effluent wastewater together with removal effectiveness. On the basis of the obtained results it can be concluded that the removal of BTs by activated sludge processes is not sufficient and it is advisable to use additional technologies as the tertiary wastewater treatment.

**Methods**

**Chemicals and solutions.** Analytes: BT, 4MBT, 5MBT on the purity of analytical standards were purchased from Supelco, Germany, and 5ClBT, UV326 and UV329 of 99% purity were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Most solvents: methanol, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, acetone, chlorobenzene, toluene as well as anhydrous disodium hydrogen phosphate (V) (buffering salt) were purchased from POCH (Poland). Acetic anhydride (V) were provided by Chempur (Poland). Other solvents: 1-undecanol and n-hexadecane were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. The standards were individually dissolved in methanol to obtain concentrations at 1 mg/mL and prepared stock solutions were stored at −20 °C for maximum 2 weeks. Working solutions were prepared by diluting stock standard solution in methanol and stored at −20 °C for maximum 2 weeks. Deionized water was obtained using a purification system (Milli-Q RG, Millipore, USA) and stored in glass bottles. The BTs solutions used in the optimization process and the calibration plots development with the use of milliQ water as a matrix were prepared by adding an appropriate amount of stock standard solution to milliQ water. Solutions for the determination of calibration plots using wastewater as sample matrix were prepared by adding an appropriate amount of stock standard solution to the appropriate wastewater sample. These aqueous solutions were prepared each time immediately before the analyses were performed.

**Wastewater samples.** Average daily municipal wastewater samples were obtained from municipal WWTPs located in two cities in north-east Poland. Treatment processes used by WWTPs include mechanical purification (such as grates, sieves, sand traps, settling tanks and grease separators) as well as biological purification through the use of activated sludge with no tertiary treatment. After the completion of treatment processes effluents are discharged into local rivers belonging to the catchment area of the Vistula River which is a part of the Baltic Sea drainage basin. WWTP A is located in a city with the population of 300,000 and its daily capacity equals 100,000 m³/day. Its average processing is approximately 70,000 m³/day. The work of the plant is based on active sludge technology (flow system) assuming the load of BOD₅ equal to 30,000 kg O₂/day; suspension load of
55,000 kg/day, total Kjeldahl nitrogen 6000 kg/day and total phosphorus 850 kg/day. Treatment efficiency meets the effluent standards required by Polish legislation for a plant of this size. Average parameters of raw wastewater are: COD 690.0 mgO₂/L, pH 6.8, total suspended solids (TSS) 460.0 mg/L, total nitrogen (TN) 89.5 mg/L, total phosphorus (TP) 11.9 mg/L. WWTP B is also located in north-east Poland and purifies wastewater from 21,000 inhabitants and processes approximately 5000 m³ daily. Theoretical capacity of WWTP B equals 6600 m³/day. The main source of contamination affecting the drains is municipal and industrial (dairy, charcoal and briquette production, bio-fuels). Treatment efficiency meets the effluent standards required by Polish legislation for a plant of this size. Treatment processes used by WWTPs include mechanical purification as well as biological purification through the use of activated sludge in sequential batch reactors (SBR). Average parameters of raw wastewater are: COD 1079 mgO₂/L, pH 6.9, TSS 430.0 mg/L, TN 71.0 mg/L, and TP 11.8 mg/L. The presented Milk Processing Plant (MPP) is one of the twenty largest dairies in Europe and processes 54 million liters of milk per year. The MPP discharges pretreated dairy wastewater to a municipal WWTP and the average amount is 430 m³/day which is about 13% of the total volume of wastewater flowing into the given WWTP. In terms of BOD₃ and COD load dairy sewage has 7% share in the pollution load. Wastewater samples were gathered using glass samplers, placed into glass bottles and then transported to the laboratory. Obtained samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm pore membrane filter and fixed with concentrated HCl to pH = 2. Samples were then stored in a freezer at −20 °C. pH and electrolytic conductivity (EC) were measured using probe of Hach HQd Meter and IntelliCAL Smartprobes type, Germany. Analyses of BOD₃, COD; orthophosphates; TP; ammonia nitrogen; nitrates; TN; TSS were carried out in accordance with APHA.

**Procedure of benzotriazole microextraction with in situ derivatization.** For the simultaneous extraction and derivatization of BT, 4MBT, 5MBT, SCIBT, UV326, and UV329 an aliquots of 5 mL of examined liquid sample was placed in 10-mL glass centrifuge test-tube. The extraction solvent (chlorobenzene, 80 µL) and the derivatization reagent (acetic anhydride, 125 µL) were added to such prepared samples and mixed. Next the tubes were immersed in an ultrasonic bath (Polsonic, Sonic-3, Poland). Extractions were performed at 42 kHz of ultrasound frequency and 230 W power for the duration of 5 min at room temperature. Emulsions were disrupted by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 5 min in an MPW-250 Med. Instruments (Poland) laboratory centrifuge. The organic phase was settled at the bottom of the conical tube and the organic solvent along with the extracted analytes were removed using a 100 µL Hamilton syringe (USA) and transferred into chromatographic vial equipped with an insert with a capacity of 150 µL.

**GC–MS conditions.** Analysis was performed with a HP 6890 gas chromatograph with a mass spectrometric detector MSD5973 and HP 7673 autosampler (Agilent Technologies, USA). This device was equipped with HP-5MS column (5% Phenylmethylsiloxane) with dimensions 30 m length × 0.25 mm with 0.25 µm film thickness and split/splitless injector. The injector worked in splitless mode, injection volume was 1 µL. Purity 99.999% helium was used as carrier gas at flow rate 1 mL/min, the injector temperature was 250 °C, the oven temperature was programmed at 80 °C, increased by 10 °C/min to 180 °C and 20 °C/min to 280 °C. The total run time was 17.00 min and the retention times were as follows: 8.00 min (BT), 9.16 min (4MBT), 9.46 min (5MBT), 9.85 min and 9.91 min (SCIBT), 15.99 min (UV326), 16.46 min (UV329). The electron impact source temperature was 230 °C with electron energy of 70 eV. The quadrupole temperature was 150 °C, and the GC interface temperature was 280 °C. The MS detector worked in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode. The SIM chromatogram of the mili-Q water spiked with mixture of target compounds (100 µg/L each) is presented in Supplementary Fig. S1 (Supplementary Information). Registered EI-MS spectra of analytes are presented in Supplementary Fig. S2 (Supplementary Information). All signals were well separated and single, good shape, and repeatable peaks for acetyl derivatives of BT, 4MBT, 5MBT, UV326 were obtained. UV329 did not undergo an acylation reaction due to a steric hindrance that prevented the derivatization reagent from accessing the OH group (see Supplementary Information). All signals were well separated and single, good shape, and repeatable peaks for acetyl derivatives of BT, 4MBT, 5MBT, UV326 were obtained. UV329 did not undergo an acylation reaction due to a steric hindrance that prevented the derivatization reagent from accessing the OH group (see Supplementary Information).

**Calculation of mass loads.** Mass loads (ML) were calculated by multiplying concentrations of each target compound i found in wastewater samples (Ci, µg/L) the average daily flow rate (Q, m³/day) of the tasted plant and the population served by the WWTP (P). ML values were normalized using the community equivalent (1000) according to Eq. (1) and they were expressed in mg/day/1000 inhabitants.

\[
ML_i = \frac{C_i \times Q \times 1000}{P}.
\]

The ML values of BTs flowing into WWTP A along with influents and the ML values of BTs introduced into the environment along with treated wastewater were determined.

**Quality assurance.** Apparatus (GC–MS) and procedural blanks were registered before analysis of samples or standards and several times during analysis cycle to check no carry-over effect and purity of reagents and equipment used. Brand new test tubes and only glass materials were used in experiments to avoid possible back-ground contamination and losses of analytes by sorption on plastic. Concentrations of studied compounds in all influent and effluent samples they were calculated on the basis of the calibration plot registered in analyzed matrix. The determinations in the wastewater collected from the nitrification chamber and from SBR were per-
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