Coordinating the cytoskeleton and endocytosis for regulated plasma membrane growth in the early Drosophila embryo
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Plasma membrane organization is under the control of cytoskeletal networks and endocytic mechanisms, and a growing literature is showing how closely these influences are interconnected. Here, we review how plasma membranes are formed around individual nuclei of the syncytial Drosophila embryo. Specifically, we outline the pathways that promote and maintain the growth of pseudocleavage and cellularization furrows, as well as specific pathways that keep furrow growth in check. This system has become important for studies of actin regulators, such as Rho1, Diaphanous, non-muscle myosin II and Arp2/3, and endocytic regulators, such as a cytohesin Arf-GEF (Steppke), clathrin, Amphiphysin and dynamin. More generally, it provides a model for understanding how cytoskeletal-endocytic cross-talk regulates the assembly of a cell.

Introduction

Like the skin of a building, the plasma membrane is essential for the architecture and function of a cell. The plasma membrane, however, is continually regulated, either for its steady-state maintenance or for it to adopt new architectures and functions. Understanding this regulation requires consideration of the greater cell cortex made up of both the plasma membrane and cytoskeletal networks. Endocytosis and exocytosis are critical for controlling the quantity and composition of the plasma membrane. Additionally, several dynamically interconnected cytoskeletal networks coat the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Actin networks are the best studied and can be protrusive—pushing the plasma membrane outward to form filopodia or lamellipodia—or contractile—pulling the plasma membrane inward for cell division or apical constriction. Since actin networks cover the cytosolic face of the plasma membrane, interplay with membrane trafficking machinery is also inevitable. In this review, we focus on interplay between the actin cytoskeleton and endocytosis.

Studies of mammalian and yeast cells have revealed two main ways that actin networks can affect endocytosis—they can help or hinder the inward bending of membrane needed for membrane internalization. The effect of actin networks depends on their organization relative to endocytic machinery. Specifically, local assembly of actin networks can help clathrin coats bend plasma membrane domains inward for endocytosis.1,2 Such help appears especially important when cells are under elevated osmotic pressure (an outward pressure on the plasma membrane).3,4 Local actin networks can help core endocytic machinery overcome such counteracting forces. On the other hand, actin networks spread widely over the plasma membrane can themselves create tension that hinders endocytosis.4,5 For example, an increase in widespread cortical actin inhibits endocytosis during mitotic cell rounding and apparently does so both by increasing membrane tension and by sequestering actin away from endocytic machinery.6 Thus, the effect of actin on endocytosis seems to depend on...
the combined effects of its various local and global networks organized over the inner face of the plasma membrane.

The effects of endocytosis on actin networks are less clear. It is well-established that the local assembly of actin networks associated with clathrin coats occurs in response to signals for organizing endocytic machinery. However, effects of endocytic pathways on widespread actin networks were undocumented until the discovery of such regulation by an Arf-GEF during plasma membrane furrow ingression in the syncytial Drosophila embryo. Steppke, a member of the cytohesin family of Arf-GEFs, plays a critical role in restraining the membrane cytoskeleton to help orchestrate proper plasma membrane growth in this system. This process involves a complex set of interconnected cytoskeletal and membrane trafficking activities, and thus provides an excellent model for understanding how conserved molecular complexes interact to regulate plasma membrane organization in a developing animal.

### Plasma Membrane Furrows of the Syncytial Drosophila Embryo

The early Drosophila embryo provides an attractive system to study the assembly, remodelling and maintenance of plasma membranes. Before gastrulation, the embryo develops as a syncytium. The diploid nucleus produced from fertilization undergoes 13 rounds of highly synchronous nuclear division, without cytokinesis. The first nine rounds occur at the center of the embryo and are thus detached from the plasma membrane covering the embryo surface. Most nuclei then migrate to the embryo periphery and divide four more times just below the plasma membrane. These divisions are closely linked to dramatic plasma membrane changes—as the nuclei enter mitosis plasma membrane furrows (pseudocleavage furrows) synchronously ingress, engage and separate mitotic spindles, and then regress upon interphase re-entry. Following the final round of nuclear division, plasma membrane furrows form and separate each nucleus again, but now ingress deeply into the embryo to encapsulate ~6000 nuclei into discrete columnar cells (Fig. 1). The completion of cellularization involves a unique form of cell division during which the base of each cell constricts to synchronously separate ~6000 blastoderm cells from a single large yolk cell at the center of the embryo. The assembly of pseudocleavage and cellularization furrows occurs with great speed—in ~10 min and ~60 min, respectively. The disassembly of pseudocleavage furrows is equally dramatic and implicates a carefully regulated steady-state system. The scale of the plasma growth is also incredible—with a 25-fold expansion of the cell cortex over cellularization.

#### Supplying Furrows with Plasma Membrane Material

Cellularization requires membrane addition to ingressing furrows. The biosynthetic system provides this material. Each forming cell contains a nucleus and a pair of apical centrosomes close to the embryo surface. The centrosomes assemble an inverted basket of microtubules that extend basally around the nucleus and organize a secretory membrane system for each cell compartment. Thus, each cell compartment has its own nucleus, microtubule network and secretory system, but lateral and basal plasma membranes have not yet formed (Fig. 2A). Specific membrane trafficking pathways coordinate plasma membrane growth (Fig. 2B). The Golgi apparatus is fragmented in this system with Golgi vesicles found throughout the cytosol. These vesicles, however, are organized by the microtubule networks and undergo dynexin-dependent transport to the centrosomes above the nucleus and in proximity to the plasma membrane, which is initially a flat sheet covering the embryo surface. From here, the material is directed to the plasma membrane by the exocyst complex and SNAREs and at least in part, this traffic occurs via peri-centrosomal recycling endosomes and Rab11 activity. Once at the plasma membrane, material can follow one of two main routes. One route is a simple flow of membrane from the embryo surface basally around each nucleus and microtubule basket to form lateral plasma membranes. In fact, there is an additional supply of membrane over the embryo surface, initially sequestered in microvilli, that flows to lateral membranes as well. Another route is a transcytosis pathway in which membrane is endocytosed from the apical domain through the actions of clathrin, dynamin and Rab5, trafficked through the endomembrane system, and redeployed to augment the growth of lateral plasma membranes. Presumably cargo from the biosynthetic pathway would also join lateral supply routes as furrows elongate, although such trafficking appears to be polarized apically.

One major question is how the sites for lateral plasma membrane formation are determined. Studies of conventional cell division have implicated sites of overlap between microtubule arrays in designating cleavage furrow position. Since each cell compartment in the early Drosophila embryo contains its own microtubule array, regions of overlap between these arrays could similarly specify sites of pseudocleavage and cellularization furrow formation. Indeed, a recent paper has implicated this mechanism. Additionally, the Drosophila-specific (FlyBase) protein Slow as Molasses (Slam) localizes to initial sites of plasma membrane ingestion and is critical for ingestion initiation.

#### Coordinating Furrow Tips with Large-Scale Actomyosin Networks

As the furrows ingress, they are stabilized at their basal tips by cytoskeletal networks that integrate dynamically to form a supracellular 3-D Doval connecting all furrow tips of the embryo. Actin networks are formed downstream of both a SCAR-Arp2/3 pathway and a RhoGEF2-Rho-Diaphanous/non-muscle myosin II pathway. Additionally, they are supported by spectrin networks, septin and anillin networks, and a number of Drosophila-specific proteins. Disrupting these players leads to furrow de-stabilization and loss, or to failures in...
the final network contractility needed to close off the base of each cell at the end of cellularization.

The Rho1 pathway is the best understood regulator of the furrow actin networks (Fig. 2C). Intriguingly, it is linked to the endomembrane system. Nuclear fallout (Nuf) is an arfophilin that functions with recycling endosomes to target RhoGEF2 to ingressing plasma membrane furrows. Further interactions with Slam help recruit RhoGEF2 to the furrows. Once recruited, RhoGEF2 would activate Rho1 and as a result local Diaphanous-induced actin polymerization and non-muscle myosin II activation would occur. During cellularization, the Drosophila-specific (FlyBase) protein Nullo modifies the networks and is required for forming distinctive, inverted funnel-shaped furrow canals at the basal tips of the furrows. Once the lateral membranes reach their full-length, the basal actomyosin networks constrict as contractile rings to form basal plasma membrane as they separate each cell from the yolk cell beneath.

**Keeping Furrow Tips in Check**

Thus far, we have discussed mechanisms driving and maintaining furrow growth. However, this plasma membrane growth is tightly regulated. The need for regulation is evident from the rapid cycling between furrow ingression and regression during the peripheral nuclear division cycles. Additionally, mutant analyses have revealed three distinct mechanisms that prevent furrow overgrowth. The first two, an Amphiphysin-based mechanism and an Arf-GEF-induced mechanism, both involve endocytic events at the base of pseudocleavage and early cellularization furrows. The third mechanism is specific for mid-late cellularization, and involves the Drosophila-specific (FlyBase) protein Bottleneck.

Endocytic events were identified at or near the base of pseudocleavage and early cellularization furrows through the localization of endocytic machinery (clathrin adaptor and coat proteins and...
(dynamin), the live imaging of plasma membrane internalization events, and the detection of Amphiphysin-positive tubules at the base of the plasma membrane furrows (Amphiphysin is a conserved BAR-domain containing protein that binds curved endocytic membranes in many systems). The extended Amphiphysin-positive tubules are prominent features at these stages, but resemble tubular structures often associated with delayed or defective endocytosis (e.g., refs. 38 and 39). Indeed, reducing dynamin activity increases their numbers, suggesting that scission failures lead to extended endocytic tubules.

Interestingly, increasing endocytic activity through overexpression of the Arf-GEF Steppke can induce tubule formation, suggesting that high demands on a limited supply of endocytic machinery can also lead to endocytic delays and the tubules. Additionally, weakening actin increases tubule numbers, possibly because of defects in individual actin-dependent endocytic events, or because of a general weakening of the actin cortex that leads to greater endocytic activity and thus a greater demand on limited supplies of endocytic machinery. The fact that Amphiphysin-positive tubules form during normal syncytial development, and that they change their numbers with the cell cycle, suggests the overall demand for endocytic machinery (i.e., the sum of signals recruiting the machinery) can become very high in the natural system.

Even with a model of Amphiphysin-positive tubules forming as a by-product of elevated endocytic activity, they do appear to regulate plasma membrane growth. Using septins as separate markers for the tubules, it was found that the tubules are absent in null amphiphysin mutants. Quantification of furrow ingression rates in these mutants revealed a faster rate of plasma membrane growth toward the embryo center. These data led to a model in which the tubules help sequester membrane material to slow furrow ingression—perhaps acting as reservoirs to buffer membrane addition to apical and lateral plasma membrane domains (Fig. 3A). One can imagine two buffering mechanisms in the normal embryo: (1) effects of individual endocytic events until machinery becomes limiting, and then (2) effects of the Amphiphysin-positive tubules. In the absence of Amphiphysin, the membrane material presumably remains part of the plasma membranes, which thus grow faster. However, the developmental significance of this regulatory mechanism is unclear as amphiphysin mutants complete cellularization and become viable and fertile adults.

A separate endocytic mechanism regulates plasma membrane growth, but in a distinct way (Fig. 3B). This mechanism is controlled by Steppke, the sole Drosophila member of the cytohesin family of Arf-GEFs. Cytohesins are conserved across animals and activate Arf G proteins and downstream endocytosis. Steppke has been shown to regulate insulin and EGF signaling during post-embryonic Drosophila stages, and its depletion from early embryos has a striking effect on pseudocleavage and early cellularization furrows. Specifically, the furrow tips extend perpendicularly into taut sheets of membrane that invade spaces occupied by nuclei which are displaced upwards or out of the forming cells. The expanded membrane is coated with actin, non-muscle myosin II, the septin Peanut, and

Figure 2. Mechanisms for plasma membrane furrow growth and maintenance. (A) A cross-sectional view of a single hexagonal cell compartment containing a peripheral nucleus at early cellularization showing two centrosomes above the nucleus, an inverted basket of microtubules around the nucleus, and Golgi vesicles associated with the microtubule network. (B) A close view of the apicolateral corner of the compartment showing membrane trafficking routes fuelling membrane growth: Golgi (G)-to-plasma membrane via exocytosis; Golgi-to-recycling endosome (RE)-to-plasma membrane via endocytosis; endocytosis from the apical plasma membrane and transcytosis to lateral plasma membranes via the recycling endosome; and basal flow of exocytosed and microvillar membrane. (C) A close view of the basal furrow tip showing recruitment of RhoGEF2 and downstream activation of the Rho1-Diaphanous/non-muscle myosin II pathway for forming basal actomyosin networks that maintain and coordinate furrow growth, and ultimately close off the base of the cell by driving cytokinesis.
Anillin. The presence of these cytoskeletal networks together with the taut structure of the expansions suggested that they might be driven by abnormal cytoskeletal activity. Indeed, suppression experiments indicated that the *steppke* phenotype is due to elevated activity of the Rho1-Diaphanous/non-muscle myosin II pathway. Imaging of Rho1 showed that Steppke somehow reduces Rho1 protein levels near the base of furrows, but no changes to RhoGEF2 were observed, suggesting that Steppke antagonizes a distinct Rho1 localization mechanism. A GFP-Steppke construct rescued the overall *steppke* furrow phenotype and was enriched toward the base of the furrows, suggesting Steppke acts locally to restrain the perpendicular growth of furrow tips. A GEF-inactive form of Steppke failed to rescue the phenotype, indicating that Steppke restrains plasma membrane growth by activating Arf G proteins and their downstream endocytic pathways.

Several arguments support a model in which Steppke promotes a specific subset of endocytic events occurring in the cell compartments. First, the ability of Steppke overexpression to induce Amphiphysin-positive tubules argues that Steppke-Arf signaling is one of multiple competitors for endocytic machinery. Second, Amphiphysin-positive tubules can still occur with Steppke loss, as can individual internalizations of labeled plasma membrane. Third, Steppke can recruit the clathrin adaptor AP-2α, but is not required for the protein to localize to furrows. Fourth, the plasma membrane mis-regulation that occurs with Steppke loss is different from that which occurs with Amphiphysin loss (faster centripetal membrane growth), or with loss of clathrin adaptors or dynamin (impaired transcytosis and reduced membrane growth). Thus, it appears that Steppke-Arf G protein signaling is one inducer of clathrin recruitment, but that others exist as well. How various endocytotic pathways are coordinated remains a major outstanding question in this system and others.

A third, apparently distinct mechanism for restraining plasma membrane furrows is based upon the protein Bottleneck (Fig. 3C). Similar to Steppke, Bottleneck is important for preventing the premature perpendicular expansion of the basal tips of plasma membrane furrows. In contrast to the Steppke-Arf pathway, the Bottleneck pathway has no effect on pseudocleavage furrows or early cellularization furrows, but specifically prevents the premature contraction of basal actomyosin rings during mid-late cellularization. Since Bottleneck has no recognizable domains, its exact mechanism of action is unclear, but recent work has documented its ability to bind and modify the structure of actin bundles.

With these three regulatory mechanisms identified, it is important to investigate their upstream control. One can consider mechanisms for individual protein activation and localization. For Amphiphysin, the protein might be recruited to nascent endocytic tubules through the affinity of its BAR domain for curved membranes, and this domain is indeed required for forming the extended tubules at furrow tips. For Steppke-related cytohesins, plasma membrane recruitment through PH domain binding to PIP3 or Arf-GTP is evident in mammalian cells.44
Additionally, counteraction of Steppke Arf-GEF activity by Arf-GAP activity should be considered. For Bottleneck, PIP3 has recently been shown to stabilize its furrow association.48 Interestingly, manipulations of PIP3 only affected cellularization furrow structure, consistent with alterations to Bottleneck activity, but had no effect on pseudocleavage furrow structure,8 arguing that PIP3 is not essential for the Steppke-Arf pathway to act in this system.

**A Complexity of Feedback Mechanisms at Furrow Tips**

In the Introduction, we described the positive role of local actin networks on endocytosis and the negative impact of global actin networks on endocytosis. Both effects are also apparent at plasma membrane furrows of the early Drosophila embryo. Thus, this system offers an appealing model to study conserved molecular mechanisms controlling bi-directional cross-talk between endocytosis and the cytoskeleton. However, dissecting such cross-talk is challenging.

As discussed above, loss of Steppke leads to a loss of Amphiphysin-positive tubule numbers, but this effect appears to be indirect. The loss of Steppke elevates Rho1-Diaphanous/non-muscle myosin II pathway activity which then inhibits overall endocytosis at furrow tips. With this cytoskeletal-endoctytic cross-talk in place, it is difficult to identify the specific endocytic events that require Steppke. Nonetheless, genetic approaches can be used to remove Steppke plus the resulting downstream cytoskeletal over-activity to allow analyses of Steppke’s more direct effects.7

Reduced Arp2/3 activity also lowers Amphiphysin-positive tubule numbers during cellularization, consistent with local Arp2/3 networks promoting endocytosis in other systems. Thus, Arp2/3-dependent actin networks may directly contribute to membrane bending and invagination.40 Alternatively, cytoskeletal cross-talk should also be considered. For example, competition among actin nucleators for a limited supply of network components has recently been implicated as one mechanism of cross-talk between actin networks.49 Thus, loss of Arp2/3 might increase the pool of available network components and thereby increase the bulk of competing actomyosin networks that inhibit endocytosis.

In contrast to Arp2/3, Diaphanous seems to assemble actin networks that antagonize the Amphiphysin-positive tubules. Specifically, loss of Diaphanous alone increased Amphiphysin-positive tubule numbers.40 Additionally, the absence of Amphiphysin-positive tubules with the loss of Steppke is due to abnormally increased activity of the Rho1-Diaphanous/non-muscle myosin II pathway.7 These results are consistent with long-range actomyosin networks antagonizing the local bending of the plasma membrane needed for endocytosis, but other molecular cross-talk is also possible.

**Final Comments**

We have reviewed the amazing interplay between membrane trafficking pathways and cytoskeletal networks needed for plasma membrane growth in the early Drosophila embryo. In particular, we have focused on endocytic and cytoskeletal activities at the basal tips of growing furrows. Studies of this system have highlighted a number of possible complexities: multiple endocytic mechanisms competing for supplies, multiple actin networks competing for supplies, impacts of specific actin networks on endocytosis, impacts of specific endocytic pathways on the membrane cytoskeleton, etc. Dissection of these complexities will be aided by the identification of specific regulators. For example, Steppke-induced endocytic events appear to antagonize the membrane cytoskeleton,7 and the F-BAR domain-containing protein CIP4 can regulate choices between Arp2/3- and Diaphanous-dependent actin networks in proximity to endocytic sites.40 Defining such pathways will provide a systematic understanding of this model of endocytic-cytoskeletal coordination.
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