Corneal Biomechanical Characteristics and Their Correlation in an Iranian Adult Myopic Population
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Corneal biomechanics is a branch of science that studies deformation and equilibrium of corneal tissue under the application of any force. The objective of the study was to determine the normal values of corneal biomechanical characteristics including corneal resistant factor (CRF) and corneal hysteresis (CH) in an Iranian adult myopic population and their associations with age, gender and ocular biometrical components.

Methods: The number of 480 eyes of 480 patients (mean age: 26.73 ± 4.9) with myopia and myopic astigmatism were included in this study. Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA) was used to measure corneal biomechanical metrics of CH and CRF. Corneal topographic and pachymetric measurements were obtained using Pentacam Schei'mflug topographer.

Results: The means of CH and CRF were 10.28 ± 1.49 and 10.49 ± 1.61, respectively. Females showed higher CH and CRF values compared to males (CH: 10.55 ± 1.36 vs. 9.72 ± 1.57, CRF: 10.73 ± 1.46 vs. 9.94 ± 1.74). The CH was significantly positively correlated with central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal volume (CV) and significantly negatively correlated with horizontal and vertical radius of curvatures of the back corneal surface and horizontal radius of curvature of the front corneal surface. The CRF had a significant positive correlation with CCT and CV, whereas significant negative correlations were found between CRF and horizontal and vertical radius of curvatures of the back corneal surface. In the linear multiple regression model, CH was only significantly associated with CV; likewise, CRF showed significant association only with CCT.

Conclusion: The mean values of CH and CRF in Iranian population were higher than values reported in East Asian countries, comparable to or higher than values in USA and UK populations. From various ocular dimensions, CH was significantly associated with CV; whereas, CRF was significantly associated with CCT.
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**Introduction**

Corneal biomechanics has been the recent subject of attention in ophthalmic literature. Several studies covering a wide variety of applications of the corneal biomechanical study have been performed in recent years. Corneal biomechanical characteristics which are known to affect the accuracy of intraocular pressure measurements (Lau and Pye, 2011; Tonnu et al., 2005) may be useful to identify early corneal diseases such as keratoconus (Schweitzer et al., 2010), and may assist with predicting refractive outcomes following corneal refractive surgery (Roberts, 2002). It has also been suggested that corneal biomechanical properties may reflect globe biomechanics and thus give an indication of the susceptibility of developing glaucomatous damage (Congdon et al., 2006; Wells et al., 2008).

The in vivo measurement of the corneal biomechanical properties was enabled by the development of the Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA) by Luce (2005). The ORA (Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, New York, USA) evaluates the biomechanical status of the cornea through a bi-directional applanation process: A fully automated alignment system positions an air tube to a precise position relative to the apex of the cornea. Once aligned, a 25-millisecond air pulse applies pressure to the cornea. The air pulse causes the cornea to move inward, past applanation and into a slight concavity before returning to normal curvature; then two pressures are measurable through ORA signal. The ORA produces two measurements of corneal biomechanical properties; corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistant factor (CRF). CH represents the absolute difference between the applanation pressures $P_1$ and $P_2$ and is mostly representative of the viscous property of the cornea resulting from the viscous damping inherent in the cornea. CRF is an empirically determined parameter that is thought to represent the overall resistance of the cornea. CRF is indicative of the cumulative effects of both the viscous and elastic resistances and it is influenced by elastic properties more than CH (Kotecha, 2007).

Some studies have evaluated the normal values of these biomechanical metrics in different samples and have concluded that there are ethnic, geographic and genetic differences in ORA measurements (Narayanaswamy et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2011; Fontes et al., 2008; Hashemi et al., 2014). Therefore, the knowledge of the average and normal ranges of CH and CRF values in each geographic area and ethnicity can be beneficial (Hashemi et al., 2014). According to the fact that few studies have evaluated corneal biomechanical indices in Iranian populations and most of them have used relatively small sample sizes, this study was aimed to establish the normal values of CH and CRF in Iranian population by using a relatively large sample size.

This study also aims at finding the associations between ORA biomechanical measures and age, gender and other ocular biometrics. Understanding such relationships may help better elucidate the significance of and applications for the metrics that ORA produces and is of great importance for controlling the confounding factors in different corneal biomechanical studies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study subjects**

This is a cross sectional study; 480 healthy adult subjects with myopic refractive error participated in this study (spherical equivalent of subjective refraction < -0.50). All subjects referred to the Noor Eye Hospital in Tehran, Iran and scheduled for refractive surgery. Only the right eyes of the subjects were included in this study.

**Examinations**

First, preliminary examinations were done by an experienced optometrist for all participants including measurement of uncorrected distance visual acuity by the Snellen E chart at the distance of 6 meter, objective refraction by the auto-refractometer (Nidek ARK-1, Gamagori, Japan) and retinoscope (Heine Beta 200, Heine Corp, Optotechnik, Germany) and subjective refraction. Then, all participants were examined by an anterior segment specialist.

The ORA (Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, New
York, USA) was used to measure the biomechanical features of CH and CRF while the subject was sitting comfortably in a chair in front of the instrument. The patients were instructed to look at a fixation target (a red blinking light) in the ORA. The ORA was activated by pressing a button attached to the computer. A noncontact probe released an air puff. A signal of air reflux was sent to the ORA that displayed the CH and CRF on the computer monitor. An experienced examiner performed all the measurements with three consecutive readings in each eye. Only good-quality measurements with two distinct peaks were considered. The average of three readings was documented for each eye. Topographic/pachymetric measurements of the cornea were obtained using the Pentacam (Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany). The Pentacam is a rotating Scheimpflug camera that generates a 3-dimensional model of the cornea and anterior segment. For this study, the Pentacam’s “50 picture 3D scan” measurement mode was used. The subjects were instructed to fixate on the central fixation target (the focus of which was adjusted to account for each subject’s spherical refractive error), and to blink and open eyes wide just prior to image capture. The instrument digital camera and slit illumination system then rotated around the corneal apex to capture 50 cross-sectional Scheimpflug images of the anterior eye, each separated by 3.6 degrees. The Pentacam automatically captures images once correct alignment in the x, y and z directions is attained and flags any measurements that are unreliable (due to poor alignment, excessive eye movements, or any missing or invalid data). Any unreliable measurements were repeated. Main Pentacam’s measures included central corneal thickness (CCT), horizontal and vertical radius of curvatures of the front corneal surface (HRCF and VRCF), horizontal and vertical radius of curvatures of the back corneal surface (HRCB and VRCB), corneal volume (CV), anterior chamber depth (ACD) and shape factor of the front and back corneal surfaces (QF and QB).

Data of each subject that met inclusion criteria was extracted using a data extraction form. This form was used as a pilot in ten patients before starting the study and it was amended. Finally, data were directly entered into SPSS 19 by typing in data view.

Exclusion criteria included patients under 18 years old, previous ocular surgery, diagnosis of any corneal pathology and other anterior segment diseases, chronic use of topical medications and corneal scars or opacities. Contact lenses were removed at least 72 hours prior to the ORA exam.

The research was approved by Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All study participants signed the informed consent form before inclusion.

Statistical analyses
The frequency table was used to present mean and standard deviation of baseline data. Bivariate correlations between predictor and dependent variables assessed using Pearson correlations statistic. We then selected the predictors of CH and CRF using multiple linear regression analysis with stepwise method of variables selection (Entry $P<0.1$; removal $P>0.2$) to create the best adjusted model. Cluster bar chart was used to show the means of CRF and CH with regard to gender and age categories. $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Of the total 480 eyes included in the study, 312 eyes (65%) belonged to females. The mean age of patients was $26.73 \pm 4.9$ years (range: 19-41). Table 1 shows the baseline data for the study variables. No significant correlation was observed between ages with CH ($P=0.63$) and CRF ($P=0.57$) but Mann-Whitney test showed a statistically significant difference in means of CH and CRF between two gender groups; such that eyes belonged to females with higher values. The mean of CH was $9.72 \pm 1.57$ in male and $10.55$ in female groups ($P=0.003$). The mean of CRF in male and female groups was $9.94 \pm 1.74$ and $10.73 \pm 1.46$, respectively ($P=0.01$). The means of the CH and CRF with regard to gender and age categories are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
CH was significantly positively correlated with CCT ($P<0.001$, $r = +0.54$) and CV ($P<0.001$, $r = +0.60$). On the other hand, significant negative correlation was observed between CH and VRCB ($P<0.001$, $r = -0.38$), HRCB ($P<0.001$, $r = -0.33$) and HRCF ($P=0.002$, $r = -0.28$). No significant correlation was found between CH and other variables. CRF had a significant positive correlation with CCT ($P<0.001$, $r = +0.57$) and CV ($P<0.001$, $r = +0.56$). Significant negative correlation was seen between CRF with HRCB ($P<0.02$, $r = -0.20$) and VRCB ($P=0.002$, $r = -0.27$). CRF was not significantly correlated with other variables. Pearson correlations between predictor and dependent variables are shown in Table 2.

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study subjects**

| Characteristics                                      | Number of eyes | Mean ± SD | Range       |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Spherical equivalent of the refractive error (D)     | 480           | -4.85 ± 1.65 | -1.25–(-8.75) |
| Spherical component of the refractive error (D)      | 480           | -4.32 ± 1.11 | -1.25–(-8.50) |
| Cylindrical component of the refractive error (D)    | 480           | -0.95 ± 0.61 | 0–(-3.25)   |
| Corneal hysteresis (mm Hg)                           | 480           | 10.28 ± 1.49 | 6.70–14.10    |
| Corneal resistance factor (mm Hg)                    | 480           | 10.49 ± 1.61 | 6.60–15.20    |
| Central corneal thickness ($\mu$m)                   | 480           | 528 ± 30.74  | 462–593      |
| Horizontal radius of curvature front surface (mm)    | 480           | 7.83 ± 0.23  | 7.16–8.46     |
| Vertical radius of curvature front surface (mm)       | 480           | 7.72 ± 0.43  | 7.04–11.70    |
| Horizontal radius of curvature back surface (mm)     | 480           | 6.60 ± 0.22  | 6.17–7.08     |
| Vertical radius of curvature back surface (mm)       | 480           | 6.27 ± 0.23  | 5.72–6.92     |
| Shape factor (Q) front surface                       | 480           | -0.22 ± 0.10 | -0.48–0.07    |
| Shape factor (Q) back surface                        | 480           | 0.04 ± 0.16  | -0.57–0.45    |
| Corneal volume ($mm^3$)                              | 480           | 58.71 ± 3.39 | 49.60–67.20   |
| Anterior chamber depth ($mm^3$)                      | 480           | 3.28 ± 0.27  | 2.59–4.03     |

**Fig 1.** The mean of corneal hysteresis (CH) according to the age and gender

**Fig 2.** The mean of corneal resistant factor (CRF) according to the age and gender
Table 2. Pearson correlations between predictor and dependent variables

| Variables | CRF | CH | Age | VRCF | VRCB | CCT | HRCF | HRCB | QF | QB | CV | ACD |
|-----------|-----|----|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|----|----|----|-----|
| CRF       | 1   |    |     |      |      |     |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| CH        | 0.82* | 1 |     |      |      |     |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Age       | 0.57 | 0.04 | 1   |      |      |     |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| VRCF      | 0.01 | -0.006 | -0.13 | 1   |      |     |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| VRCB      | -0.27* | -0.38* | -0.20* | 0.35* | 1 |     |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| CCT       | 0.57* | 0.54* | -0.01 | 0.09 | -0.23* | 1 |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| HRCF      | -0.13 | -0.28* | -0.26* | 0.49* | 0.79* | -0.01 | 1 |      |    |    |    |     |
| HRCB      | -0.20* | -0.33* | -0.23* | 0.34* | 0.34* | -0.15 | 0.85* | 1 |    |    |    |     |
| QF        | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.03 | -0.12 | -0.11 | -0.06 | -0.12 | -0.01 | 1 |    |    |     |
| QB        | -0.007 | 0.01 | -0.10 | -0.41* | -0.08 | -0.01 | -0.26* | 0.003 | 0.34 | 1 |    |     |
| CV        | 0.56* | 0.60* | 0.04 | -0.06 | -0.54* | 0.83* | -0.31* | -0.51 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 1 |    |
| ACD       | 0.04 | 0.04 | -0.19* | -0.006 | 0.16 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.25 | -0.14 | 1 |

Table 3 shows the results of the multiple regression models for CH and CRF. Despite significant correlations between CH and curvatures of both front and back corneal surfaces, CCT and CV as well as significant association with gender, subsequent multiple regression analysis found that only CV was a statistically significant predictor of CH. The association between CH and CV has been depicted as a scatter plot with regression line in Figure 3. With regard to CRF, despite significant association with gender as well as significant correlations between CRF and corneal back surface curvatures, CCT and CV, only CCT was found to be a statistically significant predictive factor of CRF in regression model. Figure 4 depicts the linear correlation between CRF and CCT.

Table 3. Multiple linear regression model † to predict corneal resistance factor (CRF) and corneal hysteresis (CH) in men and women

| Variables | B (95% CI) | SE | β | t | P-value |
|-----------|------------|----|----|---|--------|
| CRF Model 1 |          |    |    |   |        |
| CCT       | 0.03 (0.02–0.03) | 0.004 | 0.57 | 7.62 | <0.001 |
| CRF Model 2‡ |          |    |    |   |        |
| CCT       | 0.02 (0.02–0.03) | 0.004 | 0.53 | 7.04 | <0.001 |
| VRCB      | -1.03 (-2.08–0.01) | 0.004 | -0.15 | -1.96 | 0.05 |
| CH Model 1§ |          |    |    |   |        |
| CV        | 0.26 (0.20–0.33) | 0.03 | 0.60 | 8.34 | <0.001 |

B: unstandardized coefficients; CI: confidence interval; SE: standard error; β: standardized coefficients; t: t-test statistics
CRF: corneal resistant factor; CH: corneal hysteresis; CCT: central corneal thickness; VRCB: vertical radius of curvature of back surface; CV: corneal volume; HRCF: horizontal radius of curvature of front surface; VRCF: vertical radius of curvature of front surface; HRCB: horizontal radius of curvature of back surface; QF: shape factor of the front surface; QB: shape factor of the back surface; ACD: anterior chamber depth
* P < 0.05
† Stepwise method of variables selection (Entry P < 0.1; removal P > 0.2)
‡ R = 0.59, R² = 35%. Age, gender, VRCF, HRCF, HRCB, QF, QB, ACD, CV did not remain in the model because of P > 0.1.
§ R = 0.60, R² = 37%. Age, gender, VRCF, VRCB, CCT, HRCF, HRCB, QF, QB and ACD did not remain in the model because of P > 0.1.
Discussion

In the present study, we reported the mean values of corneal biomechanical characteristics (CH and CRF) in a large sample of Iranian population. Similar studies have reported a detailed description of these indices in different populations. The results of this study showed that the means of CRF and CH were 10.49 ± 1.61 and 10.28 ± 1.49, respectively. The mean corneal biomechanical properties in some East Asian adult populations were lower than in our study (Narayanaswamy et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2011; Kamiya K, Shimizu K, and Ohmoto F, 2009; Wang et al., 2014; Hwang HS, Park SK, and Kim MS, 2013); whereas, our findings were comparable to or lower than the results reported in the USA and the UK populations (Johnson et al., 2017; Leite et al., 2010; Laiquzzaman M, Tambe K, and Shah S, 2010). Besides the racial issue which has been previously reported, the factor that could be attributed to these differences is refractive error. Highly myopic populations have been shown to have lower CRF and CH values (Hashemi et al, 2014); therefore, East Asian populations are expected to have lower mean values.

Our results showed no association between biomechanical features and age. The normal corneal stroma consists of lamellae of liquid crystal like arranged proteoglycan coated collagen fibrils. The biomechanical properties of the cornea are related to a very regular orthogonal arrangement of these lamellae (Fratzl & Daxer, 1993). A detailed study of the collagen fibrils in normal human corneas showed a significant age-related increase in the collagen fibril diameters as well as an elongation of the collagen fibrils (Daxer & Frazier, 1997). Furthermore, one would expect a tendency toward biomechanical strengthening of the cornea during aging. The results of this study are in accordance with the finding by Ortiz et al who did not find a significant change in biomechanical properties during aging (Ortiz D, Pinero D, and Shabayek MH, 2007). Therefore, it may be postulated that the biomechanical effect of the age-related increase in structural dimensions which may favor the strengthening of the cornea, may be offset by age-related changes in fibril orientation and /or macroscopic dimensions.

With regard to the association between gender and corneal biomechanical properties, some studies reported higher values of CH and CRF in females (Narayanaswamy et al., 2011; Foster et al., 2011; Fontes et al., 2008) and our results supported their findings. To our opinion, such associations are not clinically significant and as can be seen in Figures 1 and 2, patterns of changes of corneal biomechanical features were not similar among different age categories; such that males in some age categories showed higher values of CH and CRF compared to females. We believed that gender should not be considered as a sole factor. There might be different other factors associated with biomechanical parameters of CH and CRF which may...
change in different manners in both genders at different ages. To investigate the associations between gender and corneal biomechanics, a huge sample size seems necessary and it is better to investigate such associations in different age groups with the consideration of other presumed contributory factors.

In this study we also evaluated the associations between CH and CRF with corneal curvatures of both the front and back corneal surfaces. Such associations have been associated with conflicting results in the literature. Some studies found no association; however, the results of studies in which dynamic contour tonometry was used, have suggested that corneal curvature affects corneal rigidity, with flatter corneas being less rigid and lower CH and CRF values are thus at least partially indicative of a less rigid corneal structure (Francis et al., 2007; Matsumoto et al., 2000). Our results showed that despite the significant correlations between CH and curvatures of the both front and back corneal surfaces, as well as significant correlations between CRF and curvatures of the back corneal surface, such associations were not reproduced in regression model indicating that corneal curvature was not a significant predictor of biomechanical state of the cornea when considered along with other variables and can be considered as a confounding variable.

Our results showed a significant association between CRF and CCT which has been consistently reported (Wasielica-Poslednic et al., 2010; Shah et al., 2006). Conversely, the association between CH and CCT has been associated with conflicting results in literature. A considerable feature of this study is that the relation between CCT and biomechanical metrics was investigated using a regression model by controlling the effects of known confounders. By this manner, CCT was found to be a significant predictor of CRF not CH, indicating that CH and CRF represent different biomechanical aspects of the cornea. As a result, it could be hypothesized that the reduced CH value is not primarily a function of corneal thinning after corneal refractive surgery.

On the other hand, the only significant predictor of CH in our study was CV. Pathel (2010) also demonstrated that CV was a predictor for CH but not CRF, suggesting that CV may reflect a more composite effect of corneal thickness and contour variation. Since CV is a three dimensional parameter, it can play a more effective role in determining biomechanical status of the cornea than CCT which is a two-dimensional parameter. The important role of CV in detection of keratoconus was previously reported by Ambrosio (2006) and Fallah (2010). Since the CCT has a well-established role in corneal refractive surgery, we recommend that more attention should be paid on CV to have a better appreciation of corneal biomechanical status. More studies are recommended to investigate the effect of CV on the outcomes of refractive surgery.

As well known, eyes with keratoconus and high myopia have higher negative Q values (Pinero et al., 2010). Knowing the fact that CH and CRF are lower in keratoconic eyes (Schweitzer et al., 2010) as well as in high myopic eyes (Hashemi et al., 2014), our attention directed toward the associations between corneal shape factor and corneal biomechanical parameters. However, no association was found between shape factors of both front and back corneal surfaces with CH and CRF values.

Finally, no association was found between ACD, CH and CRF. Investigation of this relationship seemed logical; because, patients with glaucoma and small anterior chambers are shown to have lower CH and CRF values(Congdon, 2006; Wells, 2008). We found only one study reaching such associations; however, that study could be criticized because of the very small sample size.
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پژوهشی: بیومکانیک قرنیه و همبستگی آنها در جمعیت بزرگسالان نژادی‌کاران ایرانی

انگلیسی: نقوش نیونهی: بیومکانیک قرنیه شامل فاکتور مقاومت قرنیه (CRF) و پسماند قرنیه (CH) در یک جمعیت بی‌گزین پجرسی است. و همبستگی ارتباط این شاخه‌ها با سن جنس و اجزای بیومتریک قرنیه بیشتر می‌باشد. 

روش کار: 480 جنس نر و بیمار (میانگین سن‌یات 26/3/8/9) می‌باشد. این مطالعه به وسیله از اندازه‌گیری شاخ‌های از شاخه‌های توپوگرافی (Ocular Response Analyser) ارتباط می‌بیند. از اندازه‌گیری CRF استفاده شده. از اینکه CRF یا عندیچه‌های CH و همبستگی ارتباطی است. و همبستگی حداکثر CRF و همبستگی CH با نسبت بیشتری با همبستگی CRF و همبستگی CH با درجه بالاتری ارتباط دارد. 

نتیجه‌گیری: میانگین شاخه‌های CH و CRF در جمعیت ایرانی بی‌گزینه بیشتر از مقادیرهای گزارش‌شده در مطالعات آسیای شرقی، یا بالاتر از مقادیرهای گزارش‌شده در مطالعات انجام‌شده در آمریکا و UK. CRM از تفاضل حداکثر شیمیایی CH و CRF فقط ارتباط‌های مثبتی دارد. و همبستگی حداکثر CRM از CRM اختلافی دارد.