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Abstract

Background: In this pandemic of COVID-19, the highest amount of infective material, biomedical waste is generated in hospitals and it is frequently handled by the healthcare workers irrespective of cadres. Hence the awareness of healthcare workers in regards with biomedical waste (BMW) management is crucial in this pandemic. This study is therefore conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices in BMW management among health care workers in our institution.

Results: A total of 280 subjects consisting of doctors, nursing staff and group D workers were included in the study after obtaining informed consent. The knowledge among healthcare workers was satisfactory, but comparatively group D workers were lagging behind. Overall they all have a good attitude towards BMW management but practices on BMW management needs improvement mostly among group D workers.

Conclusions: There have to be regular training programmes on biomedical waste management and its hazards for all the healthcare workers including group D workers. Along with educational intervention, strict implementation of biomedical waste management guidelines with its monitoring at all levels is also very much essential.
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mismanagement not only affects the hospital but also the general population by and large.

Therefore, this study is conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices in BMW management in a designated COVID-19 hospital in Bangalore so as to rectify the lacunae that have been identified from this study for the better management of the hospital.

Methods
The study is a questionnaire-based observational study conducted on the health care workers attending the COVID-19 screening OPD post duty in the COVID-19 wards and COVID-19 screening OPD in Victoria Hospital, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute (BMCRI), Bangalore, during their 14-day quarantine during May–July 2020. The study included 280 subjects comprising 112 doctors, 107 nursing staff and 61 group D workers after obtaining informed written consent. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute with approval number BMCRI/PS/092020-21.

Result
In this questionnaire-based observational study, we found out that doctors and nurses have better knowledge regarding biomedical waste management than group D workers. But both doctors and group D did not have adequate training in this regard. Most of them considered biomedical waste as hazardous and knew segregation based on colour coding is the most important part in BMW management and that PPE reduces the risk of infection. Table 1 shows the knowledge of health care workers on BMW management.

In general, all health care workers had a good attitude towards BMW management, and the results are shown below in Table 2.

We found out in our study that PPE was not worn properly by any of the group D who participated in the study, which is of concern. Segregation by colour coding was not followed by most of the group D and most of them do not report accidents and injuries that happen in the workplace. All the nursing staff who participated in followed post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) after needle stick injury unlike the doctors and group D workers. Records on handling BMW were better maintained by nursing staff and group D workers than doctors. Most of the healthcare workers were immunised for hepatitis B infection. Table 3 shows the results acquired from the study on practices of healthcare workers on BMW management.

Discussion
This study was conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude and practice of BMW management among healthcare workers in our hospital which is a COVID-19 dedicated hospital in Bangalore. The knowledge regarding biomedical waste management among healthcare workers who participated in this was found to be satisfactory. However, the knowledge was better among doctors and nurses when compared to group D workers. The findings were similar as observed in various other studies [4–6].

All the participants in our study were well aware of colour coding for waste segregation which is in contrast to the observations by Mathur V et al. where sanitary workers knowledge of colour coding was poor [6]. Another study by Soyam GC et al. also observed Group D having poor knowledge of colour coding when compared to nursing staff which is in contrast to our study [3]. All the participants in our study including group D workers could identify the symbol of biohazard whereas in the study by Anand et al only 52.7% nurses and 20% of the class IV workers could identify the symbol of biohazard.

| SL NO | Knowledge on BMW management | Doctors (N = 112) N% | Nurses (N = 107) N% | Group D (N= 61) N% |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Have you undergone any training in BMW management? | 36.6                | 100                 | 14.75               |
| 2     | Is there any hazard associated with BMW management | 100                 | 58.8                | 26.22               |
| 3     | Do you know the symbol for biohazard | 100                 | 100                 | 100                 |
| 4     | Most important aspect of BMW management is segregation | 100                 | 100                 | 77.04               |
| 5     | PEP can be taken at anytime | 0                   | 0                   | 0                   |
| 6     | Do you know about the colour coding system for segregation | 100                 | 100                 | 100                 |
| 7     | General wastes are to be collected in yellow bin | 0                   | 0                   | 100                 |
| 8     | Wearing PPE reduces risk of infection | 100                 | 91.58               | 100                 |
| 9     | Maximum storage time for untreated waste is 2 days or 48 h | 91.07               | 16.82               | 77.04               |
| 10    | Yellow bag is treated by incineration | 86.60               | 100                 | 45.23               |
Another study by Malini et al observed identification of biohazard symbol by 61.7% nurses only which is in contrast to our study [5]. Mehta et al did observe that 42.46% doctors and only 15.9% nurses knew about when to take post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) [7]. In our study, all the participants did agree that PEP cannot be taken at anytime.

Majority of the participants in our study largely had a positive attitude towards biomedical waste management. All the participants felt biomedical waste management as an issue and necessity to work in a team for safe disposal and management of biomedical waste. Majority of the participants shared the same opinion in a study by Malini et al. [5]. Similarly, in another study by Anand et al, most of the doctors and nursing staff considered biomedical waste management as team work which is similar to our study [4]. In another study by Sharma et al. it was observed that educational intervention resulted in a similar observation which included nursing staff and lab technicians as study population [8].

In this study, though all the doctors and majority of nursing staff and group D workers felt that biomedical waste can adversely affect the health of the general public, some percentage of nursing staff and group D workers did not agree with it. Lavanya et al in their study observed that only 51.9% of the nursing staff and 82.1% housekeeping staff considered biomedical waste as infectious [9]. Malini et al., in their study, found that the majority of the participants felt biomedical waste to be hazardous [5].

Needle stick injury was a concern for all the doctors and nursing staff in our study. Though the majority of the group D workers had the same concern, but some of them had a lax attitude towards it. Malini et al had a similar observation in their study where the majority of doctors and nursing staff did consider needle stick injury a concern [5].

**Table 2** Attitude of health care workers on BMW management

| SL NO | Attitude on BMW management                                                                 | Doctors \(N = 112\) \(N\%\) | Nurses \(N = 107\) \(N\%\) | Group D \(N = 61\) \(N\%\) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1     | Proper BMW management is an issue                                                           | 73.21                       | 25.23                       | 60.65                       |
| 2     | Safe BMW management need team work                                                         | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 3     | General public health can be adversely affected by BMW                                     | 100                         | 75.70                       | 67.21                       |
| 4     | Is needle stick injury/sharp injury a concern                                               | 100                         | 100                         | 80.32                       |
| 5     | BMW should be segregated at the point of origin                                             | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 6     | Do you think BMW management and handling should be a compulsory part of the curriculum     | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 7     | Proper BMW disposal can prevent infection transmission                                      | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 8     | Reporting of needle stick injury is an extra burden on work                                 | 0                           | 25.23                       | 100                         |
| 9     | Colour code bag use for waste segregation is a must                                        | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 10    | For persons involved in BMW handling occupational safety is a must                          | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |

**Table 3** Practices of health care workers on BMW management

| SL NO | Practices on biomedical waste management                                                   | Doctors \(N = \) \(N\%\) | Nurses \(N = \) \(N\%\) | Group D \(N = \) \(N\%\) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1     | Do you wear PPE while handling BMW                                                          | 91.96                       | 100                         | 0                           |
| 2     | Do you segregate BMW at the point of into different categories                              | 100                         | 100                         | 100                         |
| 3     | Do you use puncture-proof plastic containers to collect waste sharps                         | 100                         | 100                         | 96.72                       |
| 4     | Do you follow colour coding for segregation of waste                                         | 100                         | 100                         | 0                           |
| 5     | Do you maintain a record for BMW at the point of origin                                     | 73.21                       | 87.5                        | 95.08                       |
| 6     | Do you have a system of reporting injuries and accidents                                    | 95.53                       | 100                         | 50.81                       |
| 7     | Have you been immunised against Hepatitis B                                                 | 100                         | 100                         | 70.49                       |
| 8     | Do you follow PEP after needle stick injury or percutaneous injury                           | 91.07                       | 100                         | 40.98                       |
| 9     | Do you put non-infectious wastes in black container                                         | 100                         | 87.5                        | 100                         |
| 10    | Do you know the method to prepare 1 L off 1% Sodium hypochlorite from available 5% strength | 86.60                       | 100                         | 60.65                       |
We observed more rational biomedical waste management practice among doctors and nurses when compared to group D workers. In our study, it was observed that group D workers did not wear PPE always while handling biomedical waste unlike nurses and doctors. Whereas Lavanya et al. in their study found 60.7% housekeeping staff practising personal protective measures while handling biomedical waste which was less than nursing staff [9]. In the current COVID-19 pandemic situation, it is more important to take personal care while handling biomedical waste. Fomite transmission is one of the modes of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection transmissions [10]. Hence an adequate personal protective measure has to be taken while handling biomedical waste.

Practice of maintaining record for biomedical waste by doctors was less when compared to nurses and group D in our study. Similarly, Dey et al. observed that the practice of maintaining a record for biomedical waste was more with nursing staff when compared to resident doctors [11].

Doctors and nurses in our study are aware of reporting injuries and accidents whereas only about 50% of the group D workers were aware about this. The practice of reporting injuries due to sharps was seen in less than 50% of the participants in a study by Anand et al. that included doctors, nurses, lab technicians and class IV employees [4]. Also, Lavanya et al observed the lowest percentage of reporting of accidents among housekeeping staff [9]. Practice of the following PEP following needle stick injury was lowest among group D workers in our study when compared to doctors and nurses.

Hepatitis B vaccination was received by all doctors and nurses in our study and about 29.5% group D workers were not vaccinated. Similarly, Anand et al. observed the lowest vaccination with hepatitis B among Class IV employees [4]. Imchen et al. in their study found that 70.6% nursing staff being vaccinated for hepatitis B [12] unlike in our study where all nursing staff were vaccinated for hepatitis B. Also, Malini et al. also observed that not all doctors and nurses were vaccinated for hepatitis B in their study [5].

All the nurses who participated in this study knew to prepare 1L of 1% sodium hypochlorite from available 5% strength, whereas 13.3% of doctors and 39.3% of group D workers did not know the method of preparation. These findings are better than that observed by Mehta et al., as the percentage of doctors and nurses who knew the method of preparing 1% sodium hypochlorite were less than in our study [7].

Conclusions
The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the amount of biomedical waste generated that also contains PPE and the ability of coronavirus to remain active on various surfaces for the variable period has made them hazardous. Also, fomite transmission is one of the modes of SARS-CoV-2 infection transmission [10, 13]. Hence, all the healthcare workers handling biomedical waste should be taking utmost care and personal protection.

Findings from our study reveal that though the participants in our study have a fair knowledge regarding biomedical waste management still there is a lot of scope in not only improving the knowledge but also in changing the attitude and inculcating more rational practices towards the same.

Majority of the group D workers in our study did not have any training on biomedical waste management. And, all the participants in our study felt the need of having biomedical waste management and handling as part of the curriculum. Thus, there has to be a regular training programmes on biomedical waste management and its hazards for all the healthcare workers including group D workers. A study by Sharma et al. has shown a significant improvement in knowledge and attitude of study participants towards biomedical waste management following educational intervention [8]. Along with educational intervention, strict implementation of biomedical waste management guidelines with its monitoring at all levels is also very much essential.
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