Salivary and urinary metabolome analysis for pre-puberty-related biomarkers identification in porcine
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Estrus synchronization is important for optimal management of gilt reproduction in pig farms. Hormonal treatments, such as synthetic progestogens, are used on a routine basis, but there is a growing demand for non-hormonal alternative breeding tools. Before puberty, gilts exhibit a ‘waiting period,’ related to the ovarian development and gonadotrophin secretions, during which external stimulations, such as boar exposure, could induce and synchronize first ovulation. Practical non-invasive tools for identification of this period in boars are lacking. During this period, urinary oestriol levels are high, but urine sampling is difficult in group-housed females. The aim of this work was to search for specific biomarkers of the ‘waiting period’ in saliva and urine. In total, nine 144- to 147-day-old Large White gilts were subjected to trans-abdominal ultrasonography three times a week for 5 weeks until puberty detection (week –5 to week –1 before puberty). Urine and saliva samples were collected for oestrone assay to detect the ‘waiting period’ and for metabolome analysis using 1H-nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy to detect potential biomarkers of the ‘waiting period.’ Gilts were slaughtered 7 days after puberty detection for puberty confirmation. Results were consistent with ultrasonography data for six gilts. Urine and saliva samples from these six gilts were analyzed. Urinary oestrone concentration significantly increased 2 weeks before puberty detection. Metabolome analysis of urine samples allowed the identification of 78 spectral bins, among them, 42 low-molecular-weight metabolites were identified. Metabolome analysis of salivary samples allowed the identification of 59 spectral bins, among them, 23 low-molecular-weight metabolites were detected and 17 were identified. No potential biomarker was identified in urinary samples. In saliva, butyrate and 2H0valerate, 5.79 ppm (putatively uridine), formate, malonate and propionate could be biomarker candidates to ascertain the pre-puberty period in gilt reproduction. These results confirm that non-invasive salivary samples could allow the identification of the physiological status of the gilt and presumably the optimal time for application of the boar effect. This could contribute to synchronize puberty onset and hence to develop non-hormonal breeding tools.
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Implications

Our results help to identify salivary biomarkers of the period during which gilts are sensitive to the boar effect, a solution to stimulate and synchronize puberty without hormones. They participate in the development of non-hormonal alternative breeding tools for optimal management of gilt reproduction.

Introduction

An important objective in pig farms is to be able to create batches of females in which insemination and thereby farrowing will take place within a limited period of time. Indeed, the creation of batches of pigs has several advantages for the management of reproductive females and offspring (Bown, 2006). Batching enables all-in/all-out management systems that lead to better disease control and better hygiene, which lead to better animal health and performances. Batching allows management to have a tight control at the crucial stages of production such as service and farrowing. Moreover, management of pigs in groups results in the more efficient use of time, materials and feed, which lead to better economic performances. Finally, the batch production offers an increased opportunity for cross-fostering of piglets shortly after farrowing and lead to more uniform groups of piglets. Better animal
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and economic performances are expected from these advantages.

Management in batches requires estrus synchronization of gilts. Gilts replacing culled sows have to be synchronized with other sows from the batch. Synthetic progestogen, such as altrénogest, is commonly used for this purpose (Kraeling and Webel, 2015). However, there is a growing demand for non-hormonal alternatives. Therefore, solutions to synchronize puberty without hormones are necessary.

Early studies have shown that daily physical contact with a mature boar (the ‘boar effect’) is an effective method for stimulating precocious puberty attainment in replacement gilts (Brooks and Cole, 1970; Kirkwood and Hughes, 1979; Hughes, 1994). The boar effect is mediated through the synergistic actions of visual, tactile, olfactory and auditory stimuli (Hughes et al., 1990). However, the gilt response to boar stimulation can be extremely variable, and this variability has been ascribed, at least in part, to differences in the stage of physiological development of the gilts at boar stimulation (Hughes et al., 1990; Kingsbury and Rawlings, 1993). To overcome this problem, the identification of biomarkers of responsiveness to the boar effect could be very useful to establish criteria for the onset of puberty stimulation.

Before puberty, gilts exhibit a ‘waiting period,’ defined by a relatively high ovarian development but relatively low gonadotrophin secretions (Camous et al., 1985). An increase of urinary oestriol levels has been observed during this period (Camous et al., 1985). During the ‘waiting period,’ external stimulations, such as boar exposure, could induce and synchronize first ovulation. Practical non-invasive tools for identification of this period in farms would be very useful. Urine and more clearly saliva are easily accessible and can be sampled non-invasively without stress or pain. Thus, our aim was to identify in urine and/or saliva biomarkers of the ‘waiting period.’

Metabolomics is the non-targeted identification and quantification of the metabolites present in a biological sample, to measure the response of an organism to environmental stimuli or physiological modifications. The metabolome, which includes low-molecular-weight compounds (<1500 Daltons) such as peptides, lipids, carbohydrates, amino acids, vitamins and minerals, is a signature for physiological states (Lindon et al., 2004). £H-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is one of the principal analytical techniques for metabolome analysis and blood and urine are the fluids most frequently studied in these investigations (Lindon et al., 2006; Beckonert et al., 2007; Lindon et al., 2007). The use of saliva in metabolomics studies is increasing in human for diagnosis of various diseases and endocrine disorders (Takeda et al., 2009; Wallner-Liebmann et al., 2016). However, up to now, NMR analysis of the saliva metabolome has been performed neither in the pig nor in other domestic mammals.

In the present study, NMR analysis of gilt saliva and urine was performed for the investigation and identification of potential biomarkers of the ‘waiting period’ preceding first ovulation, in order to develop tools for identification of the period of receptivity of gilts to the male effect. Preliminary results have been published in an abstract form (Goudet et al., 2017).

Material and methods

All procedures were performed in accordance with the guiding principles for the care and use of animals in research facilities.

Animals, housing and sample collection

This experiment was conducted from November to December in the experimental farm from INRA (Unité Expérimentale de Physiologie Animale de l’Orfèvrerie). In all, nine Large-White 144- to 147-day-old gilts from three litters (unrelated dams and unrelated sires) were penned in a group on a concrete floor without straw under natural daylight. They had free access to water and were fed with concentrate once a day (2.4 kg/animal per day; porc Elevage INRA, Sanders Ouest, 35370 Etrelles, France; 16% CP, 2% crude fat content, 7.1% cellulose, 6.9% minerals, 0.62% phosphore, 1.15% calcium, 0.25% sodium, 0.8% lysine, 0.26% methionine). For the duration of the experiment, no boar contact and no modification of the housing or feeding were performed.

Starting at 144 to 147 days of age, trans-abdominal ultrasonography was carried out three times a week to assess the physiological status, immature v. pubertal, until puberty detection based on the uterus and ovarian development. Although restrained in a crate, gilts were scanned with an Ensato-Piemedical MyLab30 Vet Gold (Hospiméd SAS, Saint-Crépin-Ibouvillers, France) with a 3 to 10 Mhz convex probe. The examination was carried out externally by applying the probe in the inguinal zone and firmly pressing on the animal’s skin to visualize the uterus. The ultrasonographic images of the uterus were codified as described previously (Martinet-Botté et al., 2003). Females were considered to be pubertal when ultrasonographic image characteristics of cyclic females with well-defined sections of the uterus and ovulations were detected (Figure 1). Females were considered to be immature when the uterine images were dark and homogeneous (Figure 1).

Starting at 144 to 147 days of age, urinary and salivary samples were collected for each gilt in the morning before feeding, three times a week, on the day of ultrasonography, until puberty detection. Spontaneously voided urine was collected in a flask. Due to the group housing, some attempts to collect urine were not successful. Saliva samples were collected using a cotton swab for saliva collection (Sarstedt Salivette® ref. 51.1534; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). The cotton swab was held with forceps, and the gilt was allowed to chew on it until it was soaked. All attempts were successful. Urinary and saliva samples were brought to the lab on the ice, centrifuged at 3000 × g for 5 min at 4°C, within 30 min after collection. The recovered saliva and urine were aliquoted and stored at −80°C until analyzed.

Gilts were slaughtered 7 days after ultrasonographic puberty detection for puberty confirmation. Length and
diameter of uterine horns were measured, ovaries were weighed and the number, diameter and appearance of the corpora lutea (CL) and follicles were registered.

Urinary oestrone assay
Urinary oestrone concentrations were measured using the kit DetectX-Estrone-3-sulfate enzyme immunoassay (Arbor Assays, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Urinary creatinine concentrations were measured using the kit Creatinine Assay (R&D Systems, Lille, France) in order to take into account urine dilution, and the oestrone to creatinine ratio was calculated (Hay et al., 2000). All samples were measured in duplicates in the same assay. The intra-assay CV were 1.8% for creatinine assay and 7.7% for oestrone assay.

$^1$H-nuclear magnetic resonance analysis of urine and saliva samples
Before $^1$H-NMR analysis, urine and saliva samples were thawed and 150 µl were added to 100 µl of 0.2 M potassium phosphate buffer in deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) 99%. Eight µL of 3-trimethylsilylpropionic acid (0.05 wt% in D$_2$O) were added to samples as an internal reference. The diluted samples were then briefly vortexed and centrifuged at 4000 × g for 15 min at 4°C to remove any insoluble components. The supernatants were transferred to conventional 3-mm NMR tubes (CortecNet, Paris, France) for NMR analysis. The $^1$H-NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker DRX-600 AVANCE-III HD spectrometer (Bruker SADIS, Wissembourg, France), operating at 14 T, with a TCI cryoprobe (Bruker SADIS). Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements were performed at 298 K. Standard $^1$H-NMR spectra were acquired using a ‘noesypr1d’ pulse sequence with a relaxation delay of 20 s. Water suppression was achieved by presaturation during the relaxation delay and mixing time. $^1$H spectra were collected with 64 scans (and eight dummy scans), in 32k data points with a spectral width of 7500 Hz. Sample shimming was performed automatically. Spectra were processed using Topspin version 3.2 software (Bruker Daltonik, Karlsruhe, Germany). The free induction decay were zero-filled to 64k data points, which provided sufficient data points for each resonance, and a line broadening factor of 0.2 Hz was applied before Fourier transformation.

$^1$H-nuclear magnetic resonance spectra post-processing
After manual correction of phase distortion and baseline on all spectra, $^1$H-NMR spectra were automatically reduced to ASCII files using the AMIX Software package (Analysis of MIXture, version 3.9.14 Bruker Biospin, Karlsruhe, Germany). The regions containing water signal were removed from each $^1$H-NMR spectrum to eliminate the baseline effects of imperfect water saturation. Spectral intensities were scaled to the total spectral intensity, and reduced to equidistant integrated regions of 0.001 to 0.03 ppm over the chemical shift range of 0.7 to 9.5 ppm. The resulting data table was analyzed by multivariate and univariate statistical analyses.

$^1$H-nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were assigned using spectra online databases, including HMDB (http://www.hmdb.ca) and ChenomX NMR Suite 8.1 evaluation edition (ChenomX Inc., Edmonton, Canada).

Multivariate analyses
The data from the different weeks and females were analyzed by multivariate statistical data analysis. Using SIMCA-P+ Software (version 13.0; Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden) the data were analyzed using principal component analysis (PCA), an unsupervised method allowing the visualization of similarities or differences in the data sets (urine or saliva) without any a priori knowledge of sample classes. Yet an orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) as a supervised classification was performed using SIMCA-P+.

Figure 1 Photographs showing the development of the porcine uterus followed by ultrasonography before (a, b) and after puberty (c) occurred. aw = abdominal wall; ut = uterus; in = intestinal loop; bl = bladder. Bars represent 1 cm.
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Software (version 13.0) on the two data sets: saliva and urine. All data were scaled to unit variance, to maximize the separation between the weeks. Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis is a regression model that reflects the correlation between multivariate data (spectral bins) and dependent variables with class information (weeks), thereby minimizing any effects of non-relevant metabolite variability. Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis takes advantage of class information to attempt to maximize the separation between groups of observations. Discriminant spectral regions called variable importance in projection (VIP) were obtained from the OPLS-DA model VIP values >1 were considered as responsible for the differences between groups. The overall quality of the models was judged by cumulative $R^2$ defined as the proportion of variance in the data explained by the model and cumulative $Q^2$, the class prediction ability of the model obtained by cross-validation default method of SIMCA-P+ Software. Even still, $Q^2$ has no standard of comparison or critical value for inferring significance, an empirically inferred value $Q^2 > 0.40$ is acceptable for a biological model (Worley and Powers, 2013). Cross-validation ANOVA (CV-ANOVA) was applied to assess the reliability of the OPLS-DA model.

Univariate analyses of concentrations between weeks
The comparison of the urinary estrone-to-creatine ratio between weeks was performed by the non-parametric permutation test using R software (https://www.r-project.org/). For each metabolite, the comparison of concentrations between weeks was performed by the non-parametric permutation test using R software.

Results

Uterine tract development and choice of salivary and urinary samples
In total, six gilts presented first pubertal ultrasonic images of the uterus and ovary at 182, 189, 190, 191 and 192 days (Figure 1). At slaughter, puberty was ascertained with the presence of early CL and well-developed uterine horns (Table 1). Two other gilts presented ultrasonic images that seemed characteristics of cyclic females, but at slaughter, they were detected immature as no CL were observed, and uterine horns were not developed (Table 1). They came from different litters. One gilt presented ultrasonic images characteristic of cyclic females, the presence of early CL and regressed CL (corpus albicans) indicated that this gilt was at least in its second estrus cycle, the onset of puberty was not detected by ultrasonography (Table 1). Salivary and urinary samples from these three last gilts, whose onset of puberty was not detected, were not analyzed. Samples from the six gilts whose onset of puberty was detected at 182 to 192 days were analyzed.

Sample collection was performed from 144 to 147 days of age until puberty detection. Salivary samples were obtained at each collection attempt, 550 µl to 2.25 ml were collected. Urinary samples were obtained at one of the two collection attempts due to group housing; large volumes were collected.

The day of puberty detection (day 0) was defined as the 1st day when ultrasonographic images characteristics of cyclic females were observed. The period from 144 to 147 days of age to the day of puberty detection was divided into 5 weeks named week $-$1 (the week just before the day of puberty detection), week $-$2, week $-$3, week $-$4 and week $-$5 (the 1st week of sampling starting at 144 to 147 days of age). For each week, one of the three collected samples was chosen for analyses, so that both urinary and saliva were available on the same day. For each gilt, six salivary and urinary samples were analyzed: one from each week, from week $-$5 to week $-$1, and the salivary and urinary sample collected on the day of puberty detection (day 0). The mean age of the gilts (± SEM) on the day of urinary and saliva sampling was 154 days (± 0.9) for week $-$5, 163 days (± 0.5) for week $-$4, 170 days (± 0.5) for week $-$3, 178 days (± 0.5) for week $-$2, 186 days (± 1.4) for week $-$1 and 189 days (± 1.5) for the day of puberty detection.

Urinary oestrone assay
Urinary oestrone and creatinine concentrations were measured in six urinary samples (one per week until puberty detection) from six gilts. Mean oestrone concentrations ± SEM were 12.9 ± 2.5 (week $-$5), 10.4 ± 2.7 (week $-$4), 13.4 ± 4.1 (week $-$3), 12.7 ± 2.3 (week $-$2), 62.5 ± 31.1 (week $-$1) and 121.3 ± 63.1 ng/ml the day of puberty

| Physiological stage at slaughter | Puberty ascertained | Immature | In the second estrus cycle |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Number of gilts                 | 6                   | 2        | 1                         |
| Length uterine horns (mean ± SEM) | 171.8 ± 7.8        | 73.3 ± 2.4 | 199.5 ± 7.5   |
| Diameter uterine horns (mean ± SEM) | 22 ± 2.2           | 16.5 ± 2.5 | 19               |
| Weight of ovaries (mean ± SEM)  | 8.8 ± 0.4           | 6.1 ± 0.4 | 10.2 ± 2.8     |
| Number of follicles per ovary   | > 10                | > 10     | > 10                      |
| Diameter of follicles           | 2 to 5 mm           | 4 to 6 mm | 2 to 3 mm             |
| Number of early corpora lutea per ovary (mean ± SEM) | 8.9 ± 0.6     | 0        | 10 ± 4          |
| Number of corpus albicans per ovary (mean ± SEM) | 0                  | 0        | 7 ± 1            |

For each tractus, both uterine horns for length and the right horn for diameter were measured; both ovaries were weighted and analyzed for follicles, corpora lutea and corpus albicans.

Table 1 Analysis of genital tractus of gilts after slaughter
5 weeks before puberty, PCA analysis was done on the 78 spectral bins. Among those, 42 metabolites were identified, including organic acids (formate, fumarate, malonate, succinate, pyruvate, acetate, butyrate, lactate and propionate), organic compounds (allantoin, betaine, choline, creatine, creatinine, para-cresol, taurine, methylguanidine, methylvamine and acetone). Figure 3 shows the concentrations of each identified metabolite from week −5 to the day of puberty detection.

In order to compare the metabolomic profiles between the 5 weeks before puberty, PCA analysis was done on the 78 spectral bins. The multivariate analysis did not differentiate between the 5 weeks, lacking to show any clustering according to the week. The lack of discrimination between groups could indicate that the major source of variation in the data was not related to the puberty attainment. To improve the separation, a PLS-DA was applied to find potential biomarkers of the waiting period based on the 1H-NMR profile. This supervised analysis done on the 5 weeks did not show any clustering either. No statistical model was found to describe the modifications of the metabolite concentrations between the 5 weeks.

We then used OPLS-DA to look for discriminant metabolites between weeks. Supplementary Table S1 summarizes the predictive abilities of the different OPLS-DA models obtained by comparing weeks. Based on the predictive abilities of the different OPLS-DA models, the major differences in the NMR metabolic profiles were found between week −5 v. week −3 and between week −4 v. week −2. The score scatter plots of the OPLS-DA models showed good separation between week −5 and week −3 (Figure 4a) and between week −4 and week −2 (Figure 4b). The discriminant metabolites determined by the OPLS-DA model, their VIP, the week −3 and week −5 fold change and Wilcoxon rank-sum test P-values are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The spectral bins Und-1.61 and Und-1.57 are under identification, Und-0.93 could putatively be 2-hydroxybutyrate and/or 2-hydroxyisovalerate, Und-3.36 could putatively be methanol + another unknown compound. Supplementary Table S2 shows that when comparing week −5 v. week −3, over 12 spectral regions with VIP > 1 only eight of them were significantly different (P < 0.05). When comparing week −4 v. week −2, over 11 spectral regions with VIP > 1 only seven of unknown metabolites and Tyrosine + pCresol were significantly different (P < 0.05).

Thus, the global analysis of the data using PCA and OPLS-DA showed differences in the NMR metabolic profile but did not allow to identify significant modifications in the weeks before puberty.

A time-related analysis was then performed for each metabolite, in order to compare their concentrations during the 5 weeks before puberty. Among the 78 spectral bins, seven compounds showed significant variations of their concentrations between weeks (Figure 5). However, no significant variations were detected within the weeks before puberty, at the beginning of the ‘waiting period,’ which could highlight any potential biomarker of this period, as attested by poor OPLS-DA model qualities (Supplementary Table S1). As no potential biomarkers was identified, we did not go further into the identification of these compounds.

Saliva metabolome analysis

Representative 1H-NMR spectrum of saliva sample is shown in the Supplementary Figure S2. Saliva metabolome analysis allowed the identification of 59 spectral bins. Among them, 23 metabolites were detected, and 17 were identified, including organic acids (formate, fumarate, malonate, succinate, pyruvate, acetate, butyrate, lactate and propionate), organic compounds (sucrose, betaine, choline, creatine and creatinine), amino acids (alanine) and ethanol. Figure 6 shows the concentrations of each identified metabolite from week −5 to the day of puberty detection (day 0). Some metabolites identified in saliva were present in urine, such as formate, betaine, creatine, creatinine, pyruvate, acetate, alanine and lactate.

In order to compare the metabolomic profiles between the 5 weeks before puberty, PCA analysis was done on the 59 spectral bins from saliva. The multivariate analysis did not allow to differentiate the 5 weeks, lacking to show any clustering according to the week before puberty. To improve the separation, a PLS-DA was applied to find potential biomarkers of the waiting period based on the 1H-NMR profile. This supervised analysis performed on the 5 weeks did not show any clustering either. No statistical model was found to describe the modifications of the metabolite concentrations between the 5 weeks.

Urinary metabolome analysis

Representative 1H-NMR spectra of the urine sample is shown in Supplementary Figure S1. Urine metabolome analysis identified 78 spectral bins. Among those, 42 metabolites were identified, including organic acids (formate, hippurate, citrate, pyruvate, acetate, isobutyrate and lactate), amino acids (tyrosine, alanine and glycine), alkaloids (trigonelline), organic compounds (allantoin, betaine, creatine, creatinine, para-cresol, taurine, methylguanidine, methylvamine and acetone). Figure 2 shows the pattern of variation of this ratio to urinary creatinine concentration for each sample. The urinary oestrone concentration was corrected by calculating the oestrone to creatinine ratio to urinary creatinine concentration for each sample. The pattern of variation of this ratio is shown in Figure 2. Urinary oestrone to creatinine ratio was low from week −5 to week −2 and increased significantly (P < 0.05) from week −2 to the day of puberty detection. The period with increasing oestrone levels has been considered as the ‘waiting period.’

"Figure 2 Average urinary oestrone to creatinine ratio for the six gilts from week −5 before puberty detection to the day of puberty detection (mean ± SEM). a,bValues with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05)."
We then used OPLS-DA to look for biomarker candidates between weeks. Supplementary Table S3 summarizes the predictive abilities of the different OPLS-DA models obtained by comparing weeks. The best OPLS-DA model had a predictive ability $Q^2(cum) = 0.75$ and an overall proportion of the variation in $Y$ explained by the model $R^2_Y(cum) = 0.92$. The OPLS-DA model displayed a good separation between week $-4$ and week $-1$, suggesting that the ‘waiting period’ induces changes in the salivary metabolic profile before puberty. The score scatter plot (Figure 7) shows the separation between week $-4$ and week $-1$. The discriminating metabolites determined by OPLS-DA model, their VIP, their week $-1$ and week $-4$ fold change and their individual Wilcoxon rank-sum test $P$-values are listed in Supplementary Table S4. The spectral bins Und-4.59 and Und-0.85 are under identification, Und-5.79 is putatively uridine. Supplementary Table S4 shows that by comparing week $-4$ v. week $-1$, over six spectral regions with VIP $\geq 1$ only one of them, the malonate, was significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Moreover, the quality of the OPLS-DA model comparing week $-5$ and week $-1$ was correct (Supplementary Table S3). The discriminating metabolites determined by OPLS-DA model, their VIP, their week $-1$ and week $-5$ fold change and their individual Wilcoxon rank-sum test $P$-values are

**Figure 3** Average urinary concentrations of identified metabolites (mM) for the six gilts from week $-5$ before puberty detection to the day of puberty detection (mean ± SEM). PAG = phenylacetylglycine; TMAO = trimethylamine-oxyde; Dmsulfone = dimethylsulfone; Crn = creatinine; DMG = dimethylglycine; TMA = trimethylamine; DMA = dimethylamine; Cr = creatine; X = unknown.
listed in Supplementary Table S5. By comparing week −5 v. week −1, over six spectral regions with VIP >1 only one of them, Und-5.79, was significantly different (P < 0.05). The spectral bin 5.79 ppm is putatively uridine; further analysis are needed to identify it. This metabolite was one of the most discriminant in the separations between week −5 and week −1 and was still discriminant between week −4 and week −1.

Propionate is of importance in the OPLS-DA model with a VIP value of 1.3 (P-value = 0.08) (Supplementary Table S5). Figure 6 shows a slight increase of propionate in week −2 that deepens in week −1. The spectral bin containing Butyrate and 2Hovalerate is an important variable because it has a VIP of 1.09 in the separation between week −4 and week −1 and according to its VIP value (1.15), its P-value (0.05) and its fold change (1.67) it acted significantly in the separation between week −5 and week −1. According to Figure 6, the spectral bin containing Butyrate and 2Hovalerate increased during the ‘waiting period.’ In the OPLS-DA comparing week −5 v. week −1, formate is a metabolite with a VIP value >1 (Supplementary Table S5) meaning it is an important metabolite in the discrimination between these 2 weeks with a fold change ratio of 1.67. Finally, ethanol is discriminant in the separations between week −5 and week −1 and between week −4 and week −1.

An individual analysis for each metabolite was then performed, in order to compare their concentrations during the 5 weeks before puberty. Among the 59 spectral bins, the only formate showed a significant decrease between week −3 and week −2 (P < 0.05) (Figure 6). Since this period could be considered as the beginning of the ‘waiting period’ due to the increase of urinary estrone to creatinine ratio, formate could be a potential biomarker of the pre-pubertal development, as confirmed by the OPLS-DA.

Altogether, butyrate and 2Hovalerate, 5.79 ppm (putatively uridine), formate, malonate and propionate in saliva...
could be biomarker candidates to ascertain the pre-puberty period in gilt reproduction.

**Discussion**

Practical non-invasive tools for identification of the ‘waiting period’ in farms are necessary. Potential biomarkers of this period, such as metabolites whose concentration significantly vary at the beginning of this period, would be of great help for pig breeders. Our study was successful in performing metabolic analyses in the urine and saliva of six gilts collected over 5 weeks before the first ovulation. Nutrition, housing conditions, health and environment of the gilts remained constant during the whole experiment so that, if significant variations in the metabolic profiles were observed, they could be related to the pubertal development of the gilts. No clear pubertal development-related variations were observed in urine. Even though based on a relatively low number of females, some promising metabolites were identified in saliva.

Our data showed that the concentration of oestrone in urine increases during pubertal development in agreement with Camouset al. (1985). However, no variation was observed between 2 to 5 weeks before the first ovulatory cycle and hence around the 6th month of age in our study. The increase in urinary oestrone was observed between week $-2$ and the day of puberty detection. From ultrasound imagery and slaughter data, it can be postulated that ovulation occurred shortly before day 0. Therefore, samples on day 0 were performed during the very late follicular phase or early luteal phase whereas those on week $-1$ were collected during the early follicular phase. The relatively high concentration of urinary oestrone at these times is in good agreement with the plasma oestradiol increase occurring a few days before ovulation (Van de Wiel et al., 1981; Prunier et al., 1993). Thus, the ‘waiting period’ as defined by Camous et al. in gilts with a relatively late age at puberty (234 days of age in average) (Camouset al., 1985) might have been of very short duration in our study as seen in breeds with early pubertal age (Prunier et al., 1993). However, this does not exclude the existence of other signs of sexual maturation, during the last month before the first ovulation, that could be detected in saliva and urine.

In our study, we chose NMR spectrometry because it is a stable and repeatable approach with minimal sample preparation, accuracy and robustness. Nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomic has been mainly used to study a variety of biofluids in human, including urine (Beckonert et al., 2007).
and saliva (Takeda et al., 2009; Wallner-Liebmann et al., 2016). Its use in the porcine species is recent. Nuclear magnetic resonance characterization of fluids such as plasma, serum, urine, kidney perfusate, follicular fluid and cerebrospinal fluid has been performed in pigs as an animal model for human health (Atzori et al., 2010; Nissen et al., 2011; He et al., 2012; Muller et al., 2012; Bertoldo et al., 2013; Skappak et al., 2013; Nath et al., 2014; Gerard et al., 2015; Jegou et al., 2016; Ventrella et al., 2016). However, up to now, NMR analysis of the saliva metabolome has been performed neither in the pig nor in other domestic mammals.

Urine typically contains metabolic breakdown products from a wide range of foods, environmental contaminants, endogenous waste metabolites and bacterial byproducts. On the contrary, saliva is produced by the organism for a specific purpose and is therefore more homogeneous because it is subject to some degree of homeostasis, yet salivary constitution is not constant, and several factors, including age, nutrition, physical exercise, circadian cycles, health status, may influence its composition (de Almeida Pdelet al., 2008). As previously observed in human, comparative analysis with a urine sample from the same animal and collection time demonstrates that the metabolomics phenotype in saliva is slightly weaker than that in urine (Wallner-Liebmann et al., 2016). Indeed, in our study, NMR analysis identified 42 metabolites in porcine urine and 17 metabolites in porcine saliva. Some metabolites were identified both in saliva and urine, such as formate, betaine, creatine, creatinine, pyruvate, acetate, alanine and lactate.

Nuclear magnetic resonance analysis was performed on porcine urinary samples from 144 to 147 days of age until puberty detection at 182 to 192 days. Metabolites identified in porcine urine were similar to metabolites identified in human urine: formate, hippurate, citrate, pyruvate, acetate, isobutyrate, lactate, tyrosine, alanine, glycine, trigonelline, creatine, creatinine, taurine, methyamine, acetone, dimethylglycine, dimethylamine and dimethylamine-oxide (Scalabre et al., 2017; Perez-Rambla et al., 2017; Wojtowicz et al., 2017). Urinary NMR metabolomic profiling has been performed in a newborn piglet model of hypoxia (Skappak et al., 2013; Sachse et al., 2016). Urine metabolites identified in these studies were similar to metabolites identified in the older pigs from our study: formate, hippurate, phenylacetylglucine, creatinine, creatine, trimethylamine-oxide, dimethylglycine, trimethylamine, alanine, lactate, glycine, betaine and citrate. In our study, identification of these low-molecular-weight metabolites in porcine urine and measurement of their concentration did not allow to identify significant modifications at the beginning of the ‘waiting period,’ 2 weeks before puberty. The concentration of most of the metabolites remained relatively constant from 144-day-old until puberty. For some metabolites (trigonelline, pyruvate +X, alanine, lactate, dimethylsulfone, iso-valerylglucine, glycine, tyrosine +ρ-cresol and unidentified compounds), significant variations of the concentration between weeks were observed, but they were not related clearly to the entry into the ‘waiting period.’ As nutrition, housing conditions, health and environment of the gilts remained constant during the whole experiment; one could hypothesize that these significant variations of metabolites concentration could be related to age and pubertal development of the gilts, as they are the only non-constant parameters. However, NMR metabolomic profiling in our study did not allow the identification of biomarkers of the pubertal development among the low-molecular-weight metabolites in porcine urine.

Nuclear magnetic resonance analysis was performed on salivary samples collected on the same animals and same days as urinary samples, from 144 to 147 days of age until puberty detection at 182 to 192 days. This is the first NMR metabolomic profiling performed on saliva from domestic animals. Most of the metabolites that we identified in porcine saliva were also identified in human saliva: formate, succrose, choline, creatine, succinate, pyruvate, acetate, butyrate, alanine, lactate, ethanol, propionate and 2-hydroxyvalerate (Takeda et al., 2009; Wallner-Liebmann et al., 2016; Figueira et al., 2017). Identification of low-molecular-weight metabolites in porcine saliva and comparison of their concentration between weeks allowed to identify significant modifications at the beginning of the ‘waiting period,’ 2 weeks before puberty. Formate showed a significant decrease between week −3 and week −2. Thus, it could be an interesting biomarker of the sexual development. In rat granulosa cells, the aromatization of androstenedione generates oestrone and formic acid (Norton et al., 1988). Thus, formic acid production is linked to steroidogenesis in the ovary, especially to oestrone synthesis, and could be related to the increased ovarian activity in pig during pubertal development (Camous et al., 1985). Moreover, some discriminating metabolites were identified by OPLS-DA model. Malonate was discriminant in the separations between week −5 and week −1 and between week −4 and week −1. Malonic acid is a residual organic compound produced during 17β-estradiol degradation (Wang et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2013). It is then linked to steroid production and conversion by enzymatic reactions. Thus, malonic acid could be related to sexual development, and be suggested as a biomarker candidate to ascertain the pre-puberty period in gilts. Butyrate and 2H0valerate (both metabolites are assigned to the same 1H-NMR spectra) were also discriminant in the separations between week −5 and week −1 and between week −4 and week −1. Moreover, butyrate concentrations were low from week −5 to week −2 and increased between week −2 and week −1, though not significantly. Hydroxybutyrate has been detected in bovine follicular fluid (Leray et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2014). It could then be related to follicles development and ovarian activity. Thus, butyrate could be a candidate biomarker of the pre-puberty period. The spectral bin 5.79 ppm, which is putatively uridine, is one of the most discriminant in the separations between week −5 and week −1 and between week −4 and week −1. Its concentration was low from week −5 to week −3 and high from week −2 to the day of puberty detection, that is to say during the ‘waiting period.’
Moreover, as uridine has been detected in a porcine follicular fluid, it could be related to follicles development and ovarian activity (Bertoldo et al., 2013). Thus, it could be an interesting biomarker candidate to ascertain pre-puberty period. However, further analysis is necessary to firmly identify this spectral bin. Propionate is discriminant in the separation between week –5 and week –1 and its concentration increases between week –3 and week –1, though not significantly. It could be a potential biomarker of the ‘waiting period.’ However, no relation between propionate production and ovarian activity has been found in the literature.

In conclusion, no potential biomarker was identified in urinary samples, but several potential biomarkers were identified in saliva. Altogether, butyrate and 2H0valerate, 5.79 ppm (putatively uridine), formate, malonate and propionate in saliva could be biomarker candidates to detect the pre-puberty period in gilt. Further studies are in process to ascertain that these biomarkers are relevant for the detection of the ‘waiting period.’ Present results confirm that non-invasive salivary samples could allow the identification of the physiological status of the gilts and presumably the optimal time for application of the boar effect.
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