Preliminary study on the electromagnetic field treatment of osteoporosis in rats
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Abstract.

OBJECTIVE: In our study, the influence of PEMF on skeleton morphology and bone metabolism on rats with disuse osteoporosis was investigated, and the possibility of using it for the treatment of disuse osteoporosis was explored.

METHODS: The rats in the ALN group were treated with alendronate, and the rats in the PEMF group were exposed to pulsed electromagnetic fields (3.82 mT, 10 Hz) for 40 mind\textsuperscript{−1}. Rats were sacrificed by the end of 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks, and serum and right leg bones were collected. Serum BMP-2, BGP concentrations and bone metabolism and biomechanical parameters were measured.

RESULTS: The bone structural mechanical indices and material mechanical indices of the right femur in all groups of mice during weeks 2 and 4 were decreased. At week 8 the bone structural mechanical index and maximum stress of the right femur in the ALN group were markedly raised compared with the CON group (\(P < 0.01\)). Only maximum stress and strain were improved in the ALN group and had a significant difference (\(P < 0.05\)) at week 12. The serum BGP and BMP-2 concentration in the PEMF and ALN groups was increased (\(P < 0.05\)) at week 2, but this increase was not synchronized. After 8 weeks, BGP and BMP-2 level in the PEMF group was observably elevated (\(P < 0.01\)) in contrast to the ALN group.

CONCLUSION: From the experimental time interval analysis, PEMF can improve the mechanical stability of bone structure more gently and permanently than alendronate.
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1. Introduction

Disuse osteoporosis is common in patients who have been in bed for a long time as well as in astronauts who perform space missions, and is marked by the obliteration of trabecular bony structure and thinning of the cortical bone [1]. Reduction of mechanical stress, augmentation of osteoclast-facilitated bone resorption as well as inhibition of osteoblast-mediated bone generation are important contributors towards disuse osteoporosis [2]. Given our aging population and increasing dependence on mechanical tools, disuse osteoporosis has been a clinical entity of growing importance. Numerous experimental studies have directly or indirectly demonstrated that pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMF) affects the potential of the Haval tube system, improving bone formation and remodeling [3]. However, there is
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no consensus regarding the causes of bone formation and remodeling in the literature. Thus, to clarify these contradictory findings, we established a rat tibia-tail fixation model to study bone morphology, bone biomechanics, and changes in the concentrations of bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and bone Gla-protein (BGP, osteocalcin) to investigate the efficacy of PEMF on disuse osteoporosis as a possible treatment method.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Our study was performed on SPF grade female rats (Sprague Dawley) that weighed between 250–280 g and were four weeks of age, and were supplied by the Jilin University (Certificate no. SCXK-Ji 2019-0008). All experimental procedures were reviewed by the Animal Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Harbin.

2.2. The PEMF system

The current study utilizes Helmholtz coils and waveform generators in order to develop a PEMF exposure system. 240 mm diameter Helmholtz coils with 200 turns of copper wire diameter 0.9 mm were utilized to administer PEMF with 10-Hz repetitive single pulse waveforms produced by a waveform generator (Fig. 1).

2.3. PEMF administration protocol and preparation of mice models

Four groups of rats were created based on random sampling of body mass ($n = 25$ for each group): Control (CON), disuse osteoporosis model (DOP), alendronate therapy (ALN), and pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMF). A DOP model was established in all rats except those in the control group by immobilizing the right hind limbs through fixation of the tail to the tibia [4].

PEMF irradiation treatment was administered to rats with parameters of 10 Hz and 3.82 mT in PEMF group for 40 min while those in the ALN group were administered alendronate sodium at a dose of 1 mg·kg$^{-1}$·d$^{-1}$ (Hangzhou MSD Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China).

2.4. Bone tissue biomechanics, morphometry, and detection of serum BMP-2 and GDP concentrations

Five rats were sacrificed at 2, 4, 8, and 12 weeks of treatment for the detection of bone biomechan-
ics, morphometry, and serum BMP-2 and BGP concentrations. Right ventricular blood samples were harvested, subjected to 3000 rpm centrifugation, and kept at −70°C until further analysis. ELISA (rat ELISA kit, Wuhan Boster Bioengineering Co., Ltd., China) was used to determine BMP-2 and BGP concentrations.

The three-point bending test was performed (ZWICK electronic universal testing machine model Z010, Harbin Institute of Technology Mechanics Experimental Center, China), with a loading speed of 2 mm·min⁻¹ and a span of 17 mm. The material mechanical (maximum stress, maximum strain, modulus) and structural mechanical (maximum displacement, maximum load, fracture energy) indices were recorded.

Four % paraformaldehyde was used for right tibia fixation prior to sectioning one-fifth of the sample. Bone morphology was assessed by electron microscopy (AMRAY 1000B scanning electron microscope, USA). ALN could markedly increase the total bone surface area (T-Ar), trabecular bone area (Tb-Ar) and trabecular circumference (Th-Pm). Subsequently, calculate the parameters according to the formula: Trabecular bone average interval width (Tb-Sp) = (20000/11.99) × (T-Ar)-(Tb-Ar)/Tb-Pm. Trabecular bone thickness = (1.99/2) × (Th-Pm/T-Ar); Trabecular bone area ratio (%Tb-Ar) = Tb-Ar/T-Ar × 100; Tb-Th = (2000/1.199) × b(Tb-Ar/Tb-Pm).

The rest of the tibia samples were subjected to immunohistochemistry (Beijing Bioss, China) in order to quantify BMP-2 expression.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS statistics 25.0 software. One-way ANOVA was used to compare multiple sets of data, with a \( P < 0.05 \) interpreted as being statistically significant. The analysis results are expressed in the form of the mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1. PEMF improves the structural mechanics and mechanical parameters of femur in DOP rats

The femoral structural mechanics and material mechanics of rats across all groups were decreased \( (P < 0.05) \) in contrast to the control group at week 2. The maximum displacement and maximum load compared to the model group \( (P < 0.05) \) at week 4, while the material mechanical index did not change significantly \( (P > 0.05) \). Furthermore, no obvious difference was found between the PEMF and model groups \( (P > 0.05) \). At week 8, in comparison with the model group, the structural mechanical and material mechanical indices in the ALN group were markedly raised, with the exception of the modulus \( (P < 0.01) \) and the structural mechanical index in the PEMF group \( (P < 0.05) \). The maximum strain was improved \( (P < 0.01) \). However, the structural mechanics and the femoral material mechanics of ALN group did not reach the normal level; the average value of each index was smaller than the control group. There was no statistical difference between ALN group and PEMF group \( (P > 0.05) \).

At week 12, in comparison with the model group, the structural mechanical indices in the ALN group were significantly raised \( (P < 0.05) \), the maximum stress and maximum strain of the material mechanical indices were significantly improved \( (P < 0.01) \), and the material mechanical and structural mechanical indices in the PEMF group were all improved \( (P < 0.01) \). However, the femoral structural mechanics and material mechanics in the PEMF group still did not reach normal levels, and the average value of each index was smaller than that in the control group, although there was no obviously different \( (P > 0.05) \) (Fig. 2).
3.2. PEMF increased femoral Tb-N and %Tb-Ar and decreased Tb-Sp in DOP rats

Both the %Tb-Ar and Tb-N in ALN group were markedly raised in contrast to the DOP group following two and four weeks of treatment, whereas the Tb-Sp was suppressed ($P < 0.01$). There was no significant difference between the PEMF and DOP group in various examination indexes of rats.

Likewise, at four weeks, the Tb-N and %Tb-Ar of the ALN group were markedly raised when compared to the DOP group, whereas Tb-Sp was obviously reduced ($P < 0.01$). The Tb-Th was almost similar. PEMF group had significantly higher %Tb-Ar and Tb-N values in comparison to the DOP group ($P < 0.05$), but the index parameters Tb-Th and Tb-Sp were only slightly different.

At 8 weeks, the index parameters Tb-N and %Tb-Ar in both the ALN and PEMF groups were markedly raised while the Tb-Sp was suppressed significantly when compared to the DOP group ($P < 0.05$).

At 12 weeks, the Tb-N and %Tb-Ar in both the ALN and the PEMF groups were significantly increased ($P < 0.01$), while Tb-Sp was weakened ($P < 0.01$). The increase of Tb-Th is not significant (Figs 3 and 4).

3.3. PEMF raised serum BGP levels in DOP rats

All groups were found to have higher expressions of BGP in contrast to the CON group. No observable
Fig. 3. Variation in the bone histomorphometry following 4 weeks of PEMF treatment.

Fig. 4. Morphological changes in bone tissue during PEMF treatment.

Differences were noted between the PEMF and ALN groups at two weeks in comparison to the DOP group. At 4 weeks, the serum concentration of osteocalcin in PEMF group was significantly higher than that in ALN group ($P < 0.01$). The rising trend of BGP concentration was still seen in the PEMF group.
Fig. 5. Serum BGP concentrations changes at each time point.

Fig. 6. Serum BMP-2 concentrations in different groups during the treatment period.

at 8 weeks. However, the ALN group had reduced BGP concentrations compared to the DOP ($P < 0.05$). PEMF group rats were found to have the highest serum BGP concentrations compared to the ALN and DOP groups ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 5).

3.4. PEMF increased BMP-2 positive expression

The expression of BMP-2 was significantly increased following drug administration in the ALN group in contrast to the CON group ($P < 0.05$). BMP-2 in the PEMF group was raised in comparison to the model group after 2 weeks of treatment, and was higher than that in the ALN group following 8 weeks of continuous treatment ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

The main pathophysiological changes in DOP are bone loss and bone microstructural changes accompanied by accelerated bone growth and slowed formation, leading to a higher fracture risk. DOP treatment is based on enhancement of fracture resistance and reduce the incidence of fractures [5,6]. Bone loss is not the only indicator of bone strength, as DOP is also associated with alterations in cortical bone thickness and cancellous bone structure [7]. Bone biomechanics is the study of the mechanical characteristics of bone tissue, mechanical parameters under external action, and the biological effects of bone after stress, which is a reliable bone quality evaluation method [8]. Research regarding the mechanism of prevention or treatment of osteoporosis should focus on the effects of biomechanical changes. The geometry of the bone determines the structural mechanical properties, and the fine structure of the bone determines its mechanical properties [9,10].
Primary therapeutic approaches to DOP focuses on inhibiting bone resorption and improving osteoblastic activity. Several reports have suggested that PEMF is able to promote osteoblast differentiation and proliferation [11,12]. However, varying magnetic field conditions confer different effects on cellular differentiation and proliferation. According to the conditions reported in the literature [13,14] combined with self-designed equipment using 3.82 mT and 10 Hz magnetic field conditions, the current research confirms that a 0.15 Gs strength magnetic field could promote bone healing and avoids excessive strength that affects the balance of hormones. In the present study, PEMF effects on bone turnover in disuse osteoporosis were studied by examining bone morphology, bone biomechanical properties, and BMP-2 and BGP concentrations. During the treatment period, Tb-Th values did not vary significantly between the PEMF, ALN and DOP groups, the compensatory thickening of bone trabecula in DOP group may cause such results.

The bone mineral density measurements 2 weeks after hind limb breaking were decreased significantly. Furthermore, the bone mineral density in each model group was decreased in contrast to the CON group ($P < 0.05$), which indicates that the CON is viable. Following 4 weeks of treatment, the structural mechanical indices in the ALN group were markedly raised when compared to the model groups, with the maximum displacement not differing significantly in contrast to the PEMF group ($P > 0.05$). Compared with the parameters of PEM group, alendronate treatment had a rapid onset and improved the biomechanical properties of DOP prior to the electromagnetic field therapy. Following 12 weeks of treatment, in comparison with the DOP group, the structural mechanical indices in the ALN group were increased, while the material mechanical and structural mechanical indices in the PEMF group were raised significantly. The structural strength indexes of PEMF group and ALN group were statistically different. This significance ($P > 0.05$) indicates that both alendronate and PEMF can improve the biomechanical properties of DOP, and has a long-term impact of PEMF on the improvement of the biomechanical properties of osteoporosis has obvious advantages.

BGP is a non-collagen protein synthesized and secreted by osteoblasts and is a biological indicator of indirect response to bone metabolism [15]. In the presence of calcium ions, binding to hydroxyapatite to stabilize its conformation is considered the most characteristic marker for osteoblast differentiation [16]. The present data indicates that alendronate may inhibit bone resorption by reducing the functional status of osteoclasts. BGP concentration was significantly increased after modeling in the PEMF group, confirming that PEMF prevents disuse osteoporosis by promoting bone formation.

The most effective factor for osteoinduction is bone morphogenetic proteins [17,18], which are a family of structurally and functionally related polypeptide factors that induce undifferentiated mesenchymal cells in vitro and in vivo. BMP-2 is the most important bone formation growth factor [19]. BMP-2 has the ability to promote the proliferation and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into osteoblasts. Moreover, BMP-2 can be combined with a variety of carriers composed of different types of bioactive repair materials. Following 2 weeks of intervention, the tibia immunohistochemistry index was significantly raised in the ALN group; however, in the PEMF group, the tibia immunohistochemistry index began to increase at 4 weeks, showing that treatment with PEMF was more effective as compared with treatment with alendronate. Later, in the 8th week of treatment, the tibia immunohistochemistry index exceeded that in the ALN group, being much greater by week 12, indicating that PEMF took effect later than alendronate, but the duration of action was longer.

5. Conclusion

PEMF can actively and limitedly prevent and treat disuse osteoporosis, which was achieved by changing the microstructure of bone tissue. BGP and BMP-2 play vital roles in PEMF in improving the treatment
of disuse osteoporosis, and PEMF and alendronate enhanced the biomechanical properties of disuse osteoporosis bone. These results show that alendronate confers an immediate effect in preventing and treating disuse osteoporosis, but PEMF offers a less toxic and more reliable outcome in the long term.
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