The Senescence-Associated Endonuclease, *PhENDO1*, Is Upregulated by Ethylene and Phosphorus Deficiency in Petunia
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Abstract: The upregulation of endonuclease activities and subsequent decreases in the nucleic acid content of leaves and petals are characteristics of senescence that allow for nutrient remobilization from dying organs. We previously identified a 43-kDa endonuclease activity (PhNUC1) that was upregulated in *Petunia × hybrida* petals during senescence. PhNUC1 has optimal activity at neutral pH, is enhanced by Co\(^{2+}\), and degrades both DNA and RNA. The peptide sequence of a 43-kDa endonuclease identified from senescing petals by 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis was used to clone the gene (*PhENDO1*) encoding the senescence-associated protein. *PhENDO1* expression was upregulated in petals during the senescence of unpollinated and pollinated flowers and by ethylene treatment. When petunias were grown under nutrient deficient conditions, P-starvation, and to a lesser extent N-starvation, induced expression of *PhENDO1*. The endogenous expression of *PhENDO1* was down regulated using virus induced gene silencing (VIGS), and in-gel endonuclease assays confirmed that the activity of the 43-kDa PhNUC1 was decreased in senescing corollas from *PhENDO1*-silenced (pTRV2:*PhCHS:*PhENDO1) plants compared to controls (pTRV2:*PhCHS*). Down regulating *PhENDO1* in petunias did not alter flower longevity. While *PhENDO1* may be involved in nucleic acid catabolism during senescence, down regulating this gene using VIGS was not sufficient to delay flower senescence.
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1. Introduction

Senescence is a highly regulated process that represents a developmental transition from anabolism and growth to catabolism and cell death in organs, tissues, or whole plants. Developmental age, in combination with various endogenous and exogenous signals, determines the timing of senescence [1]. Ethylene is the primary hormonal signal regulating the initiation and progression of senescence in both leaves and flowers. External signals that influence senescence include environmental stresses like drought, nutrient limitations, low light levels, and temperature extremes, as well as biotic factors like pathogens [2,3].

Leaf and petal senescence are both accompanied by the upregulation of thousands of genes, and the progression of senescence in these organs requires de novo protein synthesis. Many of the upregulated genes encode enzymes involved in the degradation of proteins, nucleic acids, cell wall carbohydrates, and membrane lipids [4–7]. While organic molecules are degraded, organelles like the mitochondria and the nucleus must be maintained until late in the senescence process to allow for transcription of senescence-associated genes (SAGs) and to provide energy for cellular catabolism. This organized disassembly of macromolecules allows nutrients to be remobilized from older leaves and flowers to new sink tissues [8–10]. In pollinated flowers, senescence allows nutrients to be reallocated from
the dying petals to the developing ovary before the corolla is shed [11,12]. In both leaves and petals, cell death and associated macromolecule degradation starts in the margins and spreads inward, allowing for the maintenance of the vascular system until the final stages of senescence to facilitate nutrient remobilization via the phloem [2,13–15].

A characteristic feature of senescence is the hydrolysis of nucleic acids. Leaf and petal senescence are accompanied by large decreases in extractable RNA and DNA. Programmed cell death (PCD) at the advanced stages of senescence involves the fragmentation of nuclear DNA, which can be visualized as internucleosomal fragments or DNA ladders [2,16]. Senescence-associated nucleases hydrolyze the phosphodiester linkages in nucleic acids, releasing nucleotide bases that can serve as a source of nitrogen and phosphorus for remobilization to developing tissues [17,18]. Most of the nucleases that are involved in PCD are endonucleases, and activities against RNA, double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) are upregulated during petal and leaf senescence [19–26].

Plants have two major types of endonucleases, which are distinguished by their divalent cation cofactors and pH optima. Zn$^{2+}$-dependent endonucleases (type I) require Zn$^{2+}$ for catalytic activity and typically have an acidic pH optimum, while Ca$^{2+}$-dependent endonucleases (type II) have a requirement for Ca$^{2+}$ and are more active in the neutral pH range. Many Ca$^{2+}$-dependent endonucleases preferentially degrade ssDNA over RNA, and Zn$^{2+}$-dependent endonucleases prefer RNA and ssDNA to dsDNA as substrate. Both types of endonucleases are upregulated during senescence [17,27].

While most of the work on characterizing endonuclease activity and gene expression during senescence has been in leaves, endonucleases are also upregulated during the senescence of flower petals [7,19,21,23,28]. The upregulation of endonucleases with activity against RNA, ssDNA and dsDNA is observed during the age-related senescence of petals from unpollinated flowers and during pollination-induced senescence in petunias. This increased nuclease activity occurs later in the senescence program concomitant with DNA fragmentation, ethylene production, and corolla wilting [21,23]. Multiple senescence induced endonucleases with activity against RNA, ssDNA, and dsDNA are enhanced by Ca$^{2+}$ in Petunia inflata petals [21]. In-gel activity assays show that some nucleases are detected constitutively in non-senescing petals with increasing activity during senescence, while some senescence-specific nucleases are detected only in senescing petals [21,23]. In Petunia × hybrida, a senescence-specific endonuclease was identified that has activity against ssDNA, dsDNA, and RNA [23]. This 43-kDa bifunctional endonuclease, which was identified from in-gel activity assays, was named PhNUC1. PhNUC1 activity is detected in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and activity is inhibited by Zn$^{2+}$, suggesting it could be a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent endonuclease. Interestingly, the activity of PhNUC1 is greatly enhanced by the addition of Co$^{2+}$, and Co$^{2+}$ can overcome inhibition of the activity by Zn$^{2+}$. The activity of PhNUC1 is induced by ethylene, and it is a glycoprotein with a pH optimum around 7.5 [23]. Only a few other endonuclease activities have been shown to be enhanced by Co$^{2+}$ [25,26]. Senescence-associated genes encoding endonucleases have also been identified from daylily (Hemerocallis sp.) and carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus) flowers [29,30].

The senescence specific activity of PhNUC1 and its regulation by ethylene suggest that this endonuclease may catalyze the degradation of nucleic acids during petal senescence, but further investigation is needed to confirm this role. A proteomic analysis of pollination-induced corolla senescence identified an endonuclease (protein 14-12) with an observed molecular mass (Mr) of 43-kDa, that was detected only in senescing petals at 48 and 72 h after pollination [31]. The goal of this paper was to clone the gene encoding the senescence specific protein (14-12) and determine if the cobalt-enhanced, bifunctional endonuclease PhNUC1 is a product of that gene. The gene was named PhENDO1 according to the nomenclature recommendations by Triques et al. [32]. Down-regulating PhENDO1 in petunia using virus induced gene silencing (VIGS) demonstrated that PhNUC1 was a product of the PhENDO1 gene. Down regulating this senescence specific activity was not sufficient to delay flower senescence. PhENDO1 gene expression was upregulated during
petal senescence, by ethylene treatment, and during phosphorus (P) starvation, supporting a role in nutrient remobilization during developmental and stress induced PCD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

*Petunia × hybrida ‘Mitchell Diploid’* (MD) were used in all experiments unless otherwise stated. Comparative analyses using MD plants transformed with 35S:etr1-1 (line 44568; referred to as etr1-1 petunias) were conducted to evaluate the role of ethylene in gene expression. Etr1-1 petunia seeds were obtained from Dr. David Clark (University of Florida). Seeds were treated with 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) GA\(_3\) for 24 h and sown in cell-packs on top of soil-less mix (Promix BX, Premier Horticulture, Quakertown, PA, USA). All plants were established in the greenhouse after germination and plants were transferred to 16-cm pots after 4 weeks. Plants were fertilized at each watering with 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) Nitrogen (N) from Scott’s Excel 15N-2.2P-12.5K-3.6Ca-1.2Mg (The Scotts Co., Marysville, OH, USA). A one-time treatment of Soluble Trace Element Mix (S.T.E.M., The Scotts Co.) was applied four weeks after transferring to 16-cm pots. Temperature in the greenhouse was set at 24/16 °C (day/night) with a 13-h photoperiod supplemented by high pressure sodium and metal halide lights.

2.2. Collection of Senescing Corollas

Flowers were emasculated 1 d before opening to prevent self-pollination. To study pollination-induced senescence, flowers were pollinated on the day of flower opening by brushing pollen from freshly dehisced anthers onto the stigma. Alternatively, flowers were emasculated and left unpollinated to senesce naturally. Zero h after pollination (hap) and 0 d represent unpollinated flowers on the day of flower opening. Petunia corollas (the fused petals on a petunia flower are collectively called the corolla) were collected from pollinated flowers at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h after flower opening, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C for subsequent RNA or protein extraction. Corollas from unpollinated flowers were collected from MD and etr1-1 petunias on various days from flower opening through corolla wilting to evaluate natural senescence. Four replicates, each containing eight corollas from at least three different plants, were collected at each time point. Tissue was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C prior to RNA extraction.

2.3. Ethylene and Cycloheximide Treatment of Flowers

Flowers were removed from plants 1 d after flower opening and placed in vials of deionized water or 50 µM cycloheximide, an inhibitor of protein synthesis. Flowers were then sealed in 24-L chambers and treated with air (control 0 µL L\(^{-1}\) ethylene) or 0.1 µL L\(^{-1}\) ethylene for 4 h (n = 12). The control chambers contained potassium permanganate (Ethylene Control, Selma, CA, USA) to absorb any ethylene produced by the flowers. After 4 h of treatment, corollas were harvested, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C. Four biologicals replicates, each containing three corollas were pooled for subsequent RNA extraction.

2.4. Nutrient Deficiency Treatments

Petunia seeds were germinated as previously described. Four-week-old seedlings were transplanted, three seedlings per pot, into 11-cm pots containing coarse perlite mixed with a wetting polymer (Soil Moist, JRM Chemicals, Cleveland, OH, USA). Treatments included complete nutrient solution, -N, -P and -K. Six pots received the different treatments. Pots contained a capillary wick and nutrient solutions were applied to trays for capillary uptake as well as top watered with fresh solution daily for 4 weeks. Nutrient solutions were prepared according to the recipes in Machlis and Torrey [33] and as previously described in Quijia Pilajio et al. [34]. After four weeks of treatment, all the leaves from each plant were harvested, and the leaves from the three plants in a single pot were pooled, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C for RNA extraction.
2.5. Cloning the Gene Encoding the Senescence-Specific Endonuclease PhNUC1

Total proteins were extracted from corollas, separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE), and identified by mass spectrometry as described previously [31]. Protein profiles of pollinated corollas were compared to unpollinated corollas at the same developmental age and during the progression of pollination-induced senescence to identify differentially expressed proteins for sequence analysis. Mass spectrometry was conducted at the Cleveland Clinic Proteomics Laboratory (Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA). Protein abundance was calculated using PDQuest v7.40 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) as described in Bai et al. [31].

RT-PCR was used to clone the gene (PhENDO1) encoding a senescence-specific endonuclease (14-12) previously identified in a large-scale proteomic analysis of senescing versus non senescing petunia corollas [31]. Total RNA was isolated from senescing petunia corollas at 72 h after pollination and first-strand cDNA was synthesized using the Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Additional details on RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis can be found below in the section RNA extraction and gene expression analysis. Specific primers were designed based on the peptide sequences of 14-12 and other senescence-associated nucleases (Table S1). Using 2 µg cDNA as template, a fragment of a petunia endonuclease was amplified. The remaining 5′ and 3′ cDNA sequences were isolated by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) (SMART RACE kit, Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA), and the full-length PhENDO1 cDNA was then isolated by RT-PCR. Primer sequences can be found in Table S1. Sequence information for the full-length cDNA was obtained by capillary sequencing at the Molecular and Cellular Imaging Center (The Ohio State University/OARDC, Wooster, OH, USA) and analyzed with ChromasPro and the BLAST algorithm from NCBI non-redundant database. Sequence for PhENDO1 can be found in the GenBank database Accession No. MW247148.

The open reading frame and amino acid sequence of the predicted PhENDO1 protein was determined using ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gorf/, accessed on 15 January 2008). Putative signal peptides and glycosylation sites were predicted using TargetP 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP, accessed on 15 January 2008) and NetNGlyc 1.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc-1.0, accessed on 15 January 2008), respectively. PhENDO1 and the predicted amino acid sequences of other plant endonucleases were aligned using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/, accessed on 21 October 2020) and formatted for viewing using BOXSHADE 3.21 (https://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/BOX_form.html, accessed on 21 October 2020).

2.6. RNA Extraction and Gene Expression Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from petunia tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 2 µg RNA using the Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase kit (Qiagen). Quantitative PCR was performed in a 20 µL reaction volume using B-R SYBR Green Master Mix (Quanta BioSciences, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). One microliter cDNA was used as template, and all reactions were performed in triplicate. PCR was conducted for 40 cycles of 94 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 15 s using the iQ5 Thermocycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). PhCP10, a senescence-specific cysteine protease from petunia (Genbank #AY662996; [35]), was used as a molecular marker for senescence [36]. Primers (Table S1) were designed to amplify transcripts using IDT primer Quest.

Melt curves were generated to check amplification specificity, and standard curves were generated to determine reaction efficiencies. An optimized delta delta CT method was used to determine relative target gene expression by using the reaction efficiencies and normalizing target gene expression to that of the reference gene PhACTIN (Actin2/7 GenBank #CV299322). In the second VIGS experiment (described below), the normalized relative expression was calculated using arithmetic means of relative quantity for the target gene and two reference genes: PhACTIN and PhRPS13 (SGN# U209515). Both genes have
previously been shown to be stable targets for normalizing gene expression in senescing corollas and leaves from petunia [36,37].

2.7. Down Regulating PhENDO1 in Petunias Using Virus Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)

Two independent experiments were conducted in petunia to characterize the function of PhENDO1 in petal senescence. The TRV1 (TRV RNA1) and TRV2 (TRV RNA2) vectors from tobacco rattle virus were used for VIGS in petunia [38]. The pTRV2:PhCHS (or pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP) construct was used as a control to visualize successful silencing in the corollas. Silencing the chalcone synthase gene (CHS) causes purple flowers to turn fully white or have white sectors [39].

In the first experiment, to generate the pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 construct, a 303 bp fragment of PhENDO1 was amplified by RT-PCR from petunia corolla cDNA using a forward primer with a NcoI restriction site and a reverse primer with a KpnI restriction site (Table S1). The fragment was chosen from the region corresponding to bases 304–606 to include both DNase and RNase active sites. The amplified fragment was ligated into pTRV2:PhCHS following double digestion with the respective restriction enzymes. The constructed plasmids, pTRV1, pTRV2, pTRV2:PhCHS, and pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 by heat shock.

In the second VIGS experiment RNAi designer (https://rnaidesigner.lifetechnologies.com/rnaexpress/design.do, accessed on 15 March 2018) was used to identify siRNA regions in PhENDO1 to increase silencing efficiency, and a second construct with a 245-bp fragment was amplified using a forward primer with a BamHI site and a reverse primer with an XbaI restriction site (Table S1). PCR products were ligated into pTRV2:PhCHS following double digestion with the respective restriction enzymes. A pTRV2:PhCHS construct including a 265-bp fragment of the GFP gene was used as the control in the second experiment [40]. The constructed plasmids, pTRV1, pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP, and pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 by electroporation.

To prepare for plant inoculation, the transformed Agrobacterium cultures were grown overnight at 30 °C in liquid LB media containing 25 mg·L\(^{-1}\) gentamicin, 10 mg·L\(^{-1}\) rifampin, and 50 mg·L\(^{-1}\) kanamycin. The overnight cultures were used to inoculate (1:100 ratio) the LB-MESA media containing 10 mM MES pH 5.7 and 20 µM acetosyringone in addition to the antibiotics mentioned above. Once the OD\(_{600}\) of the cultures reached 0.8–1.0, the cells were harvested at 4 °C and resuspended to an OD\(_{600}\) of 2.0 in agroinduction media containing 10 mM MES pH 5.7, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 200 µM acetosyringone. The cultures were incubated overnight at room temperature and the cultures containing pTRV1 and pTRV2 or its derivatives were mixed at a 1:1 ratio as inoculum.

In the first experiment, Petunia × hybrida 'Fantasy Blue' 4-week old seedlings were inoculated via leaf infiltration using a needless syringe. Treatments included mock inoculation (agroinduction media only), pTRV1+pTRV2 (empty vector), pTRV1+pTRV2:PhCHS (control), and pTRV1+pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 inoculation. In the second experiment, Petunia × hybrida 'Picobella Blue' 4-week old seedlings were inoculated via apical meristem inoculation following optimized methods in Broderick and Jones [40]. Syngenta Flowers (formerly Goldsmith Seeds) replaced 'Fantasy Blue' with the improved variety 'Picobella Blue' that has the same plant profile, but different genetic background. Treatments for the second experiment included pTRV1+pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP (control) and pTRV1+pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1.

The inoculated plants were maintained in a growth chamber (Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada) at Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, The Ohio State University, Wooster, OH for the duration of these experiments. Growing conditions in the growth chamber were 24 °C/20 °C (day/night) with a 13-h photoperiod from high pressure sodium lights for the first experiment and 20 °C/18 °C (day/night) with a 16-h photoperiod supplemented by metal halide lights for the second experiment.

To analyze the longevity of flowers from PhENDO1-silenced plants, flowers were emasculated one day prior to flower opening to avoid self-pollination. Flower longevity
was defined as the number of days from flower opening until the flower had senesced and the corolla was wilted. Flower longevity was measured using 48 flowers per treatment in the first experiment and 24 flowers per treatment in the second experiment. Corollas from senescing and non-senescing flowers were also harvested (n = 4), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C for extraction of RNA to confirm the down regulation of the PhENDO1 transcript levels and for extraction of total protein to determine endonuclease activity. RNA extraction and qPCR were conducted as previously described.

2.8. Protein Extraction and Nuclease Activity Assays

Total protein was extracted from corollas collected from VIGS plants to use for both in-gel nuclease assays to separate individual activities and activity assays to quantify total nuclease activity. Corollas were collected at 0 d (non-senescing) and on the day of wilting (senescing) from mock inoculated, pTRV2 empty vector, pTRV2:PhCHS control, and pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 plants for in-gel nuclease activity assays. A protein sample contained one corolla, and all collections, extractions, and gels were replicated five times. For quantifying total nuclease activity, corollas were collected from pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP controls and pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 plants. Three corollas from each treatment were pooled together for each of the four biological replicates. Corollas were flash frozen and stored at −80 °C. Frozen corollas were ground to a fine powder in a chilled mortar and pestle and extracted in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) amended with 20 mM DTT. Total protein was quantified using Coomassie Bradford Protein Assay (Pierce Biotechnology Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

In-gel nuclease activity assays were conducted as previously reported in Langston et al. [23]. Briefly, total proteins were resolved on a 15% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gel containing 100 µg mL⁻¹ BSA. To identify DNase activities, the gels contained either 15 µg mL⁻¹ double-stranded salmon sperm DNA (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) or DNA that had been boiled for 3 min to make it single stranded. To identify RNase activities, gels contained 40 µg mL⁻¹ total RNA from petunia corollas. SDS-PAGE was run at 120 V for 2 h at 25 °C. After separation, nucleases were renatured by incubating the gels in renaturation buffer [0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% Triton X-100] with shaking at 37 °C for 1 h. Following two rinses in 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), gels were incubated overnight at 37 °C in development buffer supplemented with cobalt to optimize activity of the senescence specific endonuclease previously identified [23] [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 20 mM NaCl, 100 µM CoCl₂]. Gels were stained for 1 h at room temperature in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.0) containing 0.5 µg mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide to visualize the bands of nuclease activity.

Total nuclease activity was measured for each corolla protein extract in nuclease reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 20 mM NaCl, 20 µM CaCl₂, 10 µM MgCl₂, 20 µM CoCl₂, 10 µM MnCl₂ and either heat-treated, single-stranded salmon sperm DNA (Invitrogen) or petunia leaf RNA as substrate. Each reaction consisted of 50 µL protein extract, 0.2 µg mL⁻¹ heat-treated DNA or 0.5 µg mL⁻¹ RNA, 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ BSA and nuclease reaction buffer to a final volume of 350 µL. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min and terminated with the addition of 350 µL chilled 3.4% (w/v) perchloric acid followed by 10 min incubation on ice. Precipitates were removed by centrifugation (5000 × g for 20 min at 4 °C). Supernatant was assayed for change in absorbance at 260 nm compared to the blank sample. One unit of DNase or RNase activity is the amount of enzyme liberating acid soluble material at a rate of 1.0 absorbance unit per min. Four biological replicates were assayed for each treatment and each sample was run in triplicate.

2.9. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses in this study were conducted in R 3.3.1. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed followed by mean separation via Fisher’s Least Significant Difference (LSD) test using R package agricolae (α = 0.05). When data was not normally distributed, a log-transformation of the data was conducted. A statistical model was used for analyses: Y = μ + Treat + Rep + ε, where μ is the mean across all experiments and
treatments, Treat is the effect of treatment (e.g., buffer or VIGS construct), Rep is the effect of replicates in each experiment, and $\varepsilon$ is the uncontrolled error. All effects in the model were considered fixed.

3. Results

3.1. PhENDO1 Is a Senescence-Associated Gene

Proteomics experiments identified a putative endonuclease (14-12) that was differentially expressed in senescing corollas (Figure 1A,B and [31]). Spot 14-12 in the 2-DE gels had an observed molecular mass of 43-kDa. This protein spot was not detected in unpollinated corollas at 0 h after flower opening (Figure 1B,C). In pollinated flowers, the spot was not detected at 24 h but was detected at 48 h with an increase in abundance at 72 h (Figure 1B,C). RT-PCR was used to clone the gene encoding this senescence-specific protein by using the peptide sequences obtained from MS analysis of spot 14-12. Quantitative PCR showed that the transcript levels of PhENDO1 also increased in corollas during pollination-induced senescence (Figure 1D). Transcripts of PhENDO1 were detected in corollas on the day of flower opening (0 h). Transcript levels increased slightly at 24 h after pollination and peaked at 48 h after pollination when corollas were visibly wilted (Figure 1A,D).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Endonuclease protein and gene expression is up regulated during petunia corolla senescence. (A) Petunia × hybrida ‘Mitchell Diploid’ (MD) flowers at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h after pollination. (B) Protein 14-12 is an endonuclease detected only in senescing corollas at 48 and 72 h after pollination. (C) Changes in the abundance of a 43-kDa protein (endonuclease14-12) and (D) gene expression of PhENDO1 in corollas during pollination-induced senescence. Bars represent mean ± SE. Protein abundance is presented as the spot volume from the replicated 2-DE gels (n = 3) and gene expression was evaluated by qPCR (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant difference between the means.
The full-length PhENDO1 cDNA was 1100 bp, with an opening reading frame (ORF) encoding 301 amino acids (Figure S1). The predicted PhENDO1 protein had a molecular mass of 34.1-kDa and PI of 5.2. The first 25 amino acids of PhENDO1 are predicted to encode a signal peptide that leads the protein to a secretory pathway [41,42]. After the signal peptide is cleaved, the mature peptide of PhENDO1 would be 31.4-kDa. PhENDO1 has three N-glycosylation sites at amino acids 119, 137 and 211, based on the presence of the consensus sequence Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr (Figure S1).

Multiple alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of PhENDO1 and other endonucleases showed that PhENDO1 shares many common features with other bifunctional endonucleases in plants including the residues that bind zinc atoms, the residues that form disulfide bonds, the three glycosylation sites, and the sites of RNase and DNase activity (Figure S2). PhENDO1 is most homologous to endonucleases from other Solanaceous plants, and it shares the highest amino acid identity (91%) with endonuclease 1 from Nicotiana attenuata (XP_019234897). Among the Arabidopsis endonucleases (AtENDO1-5), PhENDO1 shares the highest amino acid identity (74.8%) with AtENDO1 (NP_172585, previously called BFN1). Homology to the other Arabidopsis endonucleases is much lower, with PhENDO1 sharing only 53.14%, 52.57%, 47.43%, and 47.25% amino acid identity with AtENDO2, AtENDO4, AtENDO3, and AtENDO5, respectively (Alignment shown in Figure S2).

3.2. PhENDO1 Is Upregulated during Natural Flower Senescence and by Exogenous Ethylene

Quantitative PCR showed that PhENDO1 transcript abundance increased at 7 d after flower opening as MD corollas were starting to show the first signs of wilting and senescence (Figure 2). Expression peaked at 8 d and decreased at 9 d after flower opening. Expression in corollas from etr1-1 ethylene insensitive transgenic plants also increased, but to a much lesser extent at 21 d after opening when these corollas were wilted. Treating flowers with a low level of ethylene (0.1 µL L⁻¹) for only 4 h resulted in a 152-fold increase in PhENDO1 transcripts in MD corollas (Figure 3). This increase was completely prevented by treating flowers with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide. There was no significant upregulation of PhENDO1 by exogenous ethylene treatment in etr1-1 corollas.

![Figure 2. Expression of PhENDO1 is upregulated during corolla senescence in unpollinated MD and etr1-1 petunias. PhENDO1 relative expression was measured during the natural senescence of unpollinated corollas from Petunia × hybrida wild type ‘Mitchell Diploid’ (MD) and transgenic petunia with reduced sensitivity to ethylene (35%Setr1-1, line 44568). Expression analysis was conducted by qPCR. Samples were run in triplicate (n = 3) and normalized to PhACTIN. Bars represent mean relative expression level ± SE. Different letters indicate significant difference between the means.](image-url)
Figure 3. Expression of *PhENDO1* is upregulated by ethylene treatment in MD petunias. Relative *PhENDO1* expression was measured in corollas from *Petunia × hybrida* ‘Mitchell Diploid’ (MD) and transgenic petunia with reduced sensitivity to ethylene (35S:etr1-1, line 44568) following treatment of detached flowers with the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (0 µM or 50 µM), and exposure to 0.1 µL L$^{-1}$ ethylene for 4 h. Expression analysis was conducted by qPCR. Samples were run in triplicate (n = 3) and normalized to *PhACTIN*. Bars represent mean relative expression level ± SE. Different letters indicate significant difference between the means.

3.3. *PhENDO1* Is Upregulated by P Starvation

When MD plants were grown under individual nutrient deficiencies, N and P starvation increased the expression of *PhENDO1* in leaves, but there was not a significant up-regulation by potassium (K) starvation. P starvation resulted in the greatest up-regulation of *PhENDO1*, with an 88-fold increase in transcript abundance compared to control plants receiving a complete fertilizer solution (Figure 4). Plants grown under N deficiency were smaller and had chlorotic leaves compared to control plants. P deficiency also resulted in stunted growth and purpling of the leaves.

Figure 4. Expression of *PhENDO1* in response to nutrient deficiency. Petunia plants were irrigated with complete nutrient solution or solutions deficient in N, P or K. Leaves were collected after 4 weeks of treatment. Expression of *PhENDO1* in the leaves was determined by qPCR. Samples were run in triplicate (n = 3) and normalized to *PhACTIN*. Bars represent mean ± SE. Different letters indicate significant difference between the means.
3.4. PhENDO1 Encodes a Previously Identified 43-kDa Senescence-Specific, Cobalt-Enhanced Endonuclease Activity

VIGS was used to down regulate the expression of PhENDO1 in petunias. A senescence specific endonuclease activity previously identified and called PhNUC1 was detected in senescing corollas from mock inoculated plants, pTRV2 empty vector and pTRV2:PhCHS control plants at 43-kDa (Figure 5). This cobalt-enhanced, bifunctional endonuclease was previously reported in senescing petunia corollas [23]. This activity was senescence specific and was not detected in the non-senescing corollas on the day of flower opening (Figure 5E). The 43-kDa activity corresponding to this endonuclease was greatly reduced in corollas from PhENDO1-silenced petunias, confirming that PhENDO1 encoded for the endonuclease responsible for this activity. The 43-kDa endonuclease had activity against ssDNA, dsDNA and RNA, and a reduction in activity was detected in PhENDO1-silenced corollas against all three substrates.

Figure 5. Activity of the 43-kDa senescence-specific, Co$^{2+}$-enhanced endonuclease (PhNUC1) decreased in senescing corollas of pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 plants. Photos of the single flowers from (A) mock inoculated, (B) pTRV2 empty vector, (C) pTRV2:PhCHS and (D) pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 used for protein extraction and in-gel nuclease activity assays in Figure 5E. The first flower in each group was a non-senescing flower collected on the day of flower opening and the next three flowers were wilted, senescing flowers at 48 h after pollination. (E) Nuclease in-gel activity assays with single stranded DNA (ssDNA), double stranded DNA (dsDNA), or RNA as substrates. Control (C) samples were proteins extracted from wild type ‘MD’ corollas 48 h after pollination; N, non-senescing; S, senescing corollas. The 43-kDa endonuclease previously identified as PhNUC1 [23] in each assay is indicated by an arrow.
Quantitative PCR was used to confirm the down regulation of \textit{PhENDO1} gene expression in the VIGS plants. \textit{PhENDO1} transcript abundance was reduced by 66\% in senescing corollas from pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 plants when compared to senescing corollas from control (pTRV:PhCHS) plants (Figure S3). Down regulating \textit{PhENDO1} did not have a significant effect on flower longevity. \textit{PhENDO1}-silenced plants had an average flower longevity of 8.5 d compared to 8.7 d for the control flowers (n = 48 flowers) (Table 1). A second experiment was conducted using VIGS protocols that had been optimized to improve silencing efficiency in petunia [40]. This second experiment confirmed that silencing \textit{PhENDO1} did not accelerate or delay corolla senescence (n = 24 flowers) (Table 1). Gene silencing in the second experiment was more efficient and resulted in an 88.4\% decrease in the expression of \textit{PhENDO1} in pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 (\textit{PhENDO1}-silenced) senescing corollas compared to pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP (control) senescing corollas (Figure 6A). \textit{PhENDO1} transcripts were upregulated during the senescence of control corollas, but a significant senescence-associated increase in transcript abundance was not detected in \textit{PhENDO1}-silenced corollas. The expression of the senescence marker \textit{PhCP10} (\textit{Petunia × hybrida} Cysteine Protease 10) was similar in the senescing corollas of control and \textit{PhENDO1}-silenced petunias (Figure 6B). Total endonuclease activity against ssDNA (DNase activity) and RNA (RNase activity) increased in senescing corollas compared to non-senescing corollas, but both DNase (Figure 6C) and RNase (Figure 6D) activity was similar in \textit{PhENDO1}-silenced corollas and control corollas.

\textbf{Table 1.} Flower longevity comparisons among \textit{Petunia × hybrida} inoculated pTRV2:PhCHS or pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP (control) and pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1 in two independent experiments. Flower longevity of each flower was determined as the number of days from flower opening until the corolla showed more than half of the tissue as wilted. Flower longevity reported represents the means. Mean separations were analyzed based on ANOVA protected least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 level. Means with the same letters are not significantly different.

| Treatment                        | Experiment 1 (n = 48) | Experiment 2 (n = 24) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Control *                        | 8.7 a                 | 10.9 a                |
| pTRV2:PhCHS:PhENDO1             | 8.5 a                 | 10.7 a                |

\(^{*}\) Plants inoculated with pTRV2:PhCHS were used as the control in experiment 1, and plants inoculated with pTRV2:PhCHS:GFP were used as the control in experiment 2.
Figure 6. Gene expression and endonuclease activity in *PhENDO1*-silenced corollas. Relative abundance of *PhENDO1* (A) and *PhCP10* (B) in non-senesced and senesced corollas was determined by qPCR in pTRV:*PhCHS:GFP* (controls) and pTRV2:*PhCHS:PhENDO1* petunias. Bars represent mean ± SE (n = 4) and least squared means were evaluated at *p* < 0.05. Different letters indicate significant difference between the means. Total protein extracts from non-senesced and senesced corollas of control and *PhENDO1*-silenced petunias were assayed for ssDNase (C) and RNase (D) activity. Each treatment had 4 biological replicates and each extract was run in triplicate. Bars represent mean ± SE with different letters indicating significance differences (*p* < 0.05).

4. Discussion

The programmed senescence of petunia petals is accompanied by increases in DNA fragmentation and the induction of various nuclease activities as evidenced by in-gel activity assays. An increase in total endonuclease activity accompanies the decrease in RNA and DNA content of the petals, which occurs late in the senescence program concomitant with corolla wilting (Figure 6 [21,23]). The degradation of nucleic acids provides N and P that can be reallocated during nutrient starvation or during the senescence of plant organs. The N and P content of petals decreases during the senescence of pollinated and
unpollinated flowers, supporting the remobilization function of programmed cell death in the corolla [9–12,37,43].

Multiple constitutive and senescence upregulated endonuclease activities have been reported in flowers that could be involved in the large-scale degradation of genomic DNA and RNA during programmed cell death in petals [19,21,23]. Xu and Hanson [21] reported the activity of two constitutive and two upregulated activities against RNA, five upregulated activities against ssDNA, and four upregulated activities against dsDNA during the pollination-induced senescence of Petunia inflata corollas. One of these was a senescence-specific endonuclease (46-kDa) with activity against only ssDNA. The divalent cation requirements and pH optimum of this endonuclease were not reported. In Petunia × hybrida, a senescence-specific, 43-kDa endonuclease (PhNUC1) was identified in corollas that had activity against DNA (both ss and ds) and RNA. This bifunctional endonuclease has low levels of activity in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), but the addition of Co\(^{2+}\) enhances activity against all substrates much beyond that of Ca\(^{2+}\). Cobalt restores the activity that is inhibited by the chelating agent EDTA, while Ca\(^{2+}\) does not [23]. The inhibition by Zn\(^{2+}\) and pH optimum around 7.5 suggest that PhNUC1 is a type II Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent endonuclease. Similar bifunctional endonucleases, that are upregulated during leaf senescence in parsley (Petroselinum crispum) (PcNUC1, 43-kDa and PcNUC2, 40-kDa) and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (LeNUC1, 41-kDa) also have neutral pH optima and Co\(^{2+}\)-enhanced activity [25,26].

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) was used to identify proteins that were differentially expressed between senescing and nonsenescing petunia corollas [31]. A protein with a predicted molecular mass of 43-kDa was detected only in senescing petals at 48 and 72 h after pollination (Figure 1B). Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) identified this senescence-specific protein as a putative endonuclease (protein 14-12) [31]. The peptide sequence for that putative endonuclease was successfully used to clone the corresponding cDNA, which was named PhENDO1 (Figure S1). PhENDO1 has all the features of an endonuclease, and it has high amino acid identity to other senescence-associated endonucleases like BFN1 (now called AtENDO1) from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) (Figure S2). The gene expression pattern for PhENDO1 corresponded with the senescence-associated expression of the endonuclease protein 14-12 [31] and with the activity of the bifunctional endonuclease PhNUC1 [23] in senescing corollas from pollinated flowers (Figure 1). PhENDO1 expression was also upregulated during the age-related senescence of unpollinated petunia corollas, peaking at 8 days after flower opening when the corolla was visibly wilting (Figure 2). This also mirrors the pattern of PhNUC1 activity observed against both DNA and RNA in unpollinated corollas [23]. The activities of the bifunctional endonucleases, LeNUC1 (tomato), AtENDO1/BNF1 (Arabidopsis), PcNUC1 and 2 (parsley), and PVN2 and 5 (French bean, Phaseolus vulgaris) are all upregulated during natural leaf senescence [20,24–26]. Few papers report specifically on expression in senescing petals, but AtENDO1/BNF1 and AtENDO3 are expressed in petals, with expression of AtENDO1 increasing during flower aging [20,28,44].

The predicted molecular mass of PhENDO1 is 34.1-kDa, while the observed Mr of both the endonuclease 14-12 [31] and the bifunctional endonuclease activity PhNUC1 [23] is 43-kDa. Arabidopsis endonucleases have observed molecular masses of 30–40 kDa in SDS-PAGE activity gels, which is also higher than the molecular masses estimated from their amino acid sequences [44]. The electrophoretic mobility of AtENDO1 and AtENDO2 were shown to be reduced due to the glycosylation state of the proteins [45]. PhNUC1 and the other cobalt-enhanced endonucleases from tomato and parsley are also glycoproteins [23,25,26], which can explain the difference between the observed size of the activity bands and the predicted molecular masses of the proteins.

The expression pattern of PhENDO1 (and endonuclease 14-12) corresponded well with the activity of PhNUC1 during corolla senescence, suggesting that this endonuclease activity is encoded by PhENDO1. Down regulating the expression of PhENDO1 using VIGS confirmed that PhNUC1 activity was a product of the PhENDO1 gene (Figure 5).
Overexpressing recombinant AtENDO1 and AtENDO2 in protoplasts reveals that some of the Arabidopsis endonucleases appear as more than one activity band, and that there can be multiple post-translationally modified forms of the proteins with endonuclease activity [44]. It is possible that there are also other variants of PhENDO1 that we did not observe were down-regulated in petunia corollas, because the conditions used for the in-gel activity assays were those optimized previously for PhNUC1 activity (i.e., pH 7.5, plus Co\(^{2+}\)). The Arabidopsis ENDO2 knockout mutant (endo2) was used to confirm which nuclease activities are products of the AtENDO2 gene [46]. In-gel activity assays show that endo2 protoplasts lack activity corresponding to both a 34-kDa (N1) and a 36-kDa (N2) endonuclease [46]. The N2 variant of AtENDO2 is not glycosylated, while the N1 variant is glycosylated. AtENDO2-N1 is localized in the cytoplasm in healthy cells, and the de-glycosylated form (AtENDO2-N2) is localized in the nucleus where it is believed to play a role in DNA fragmentation [46].

Down regulating the PhENDO1 gene did not result in any visible differences in growth and development. PhENDO1-deficient petunias had similar plant biomass, flower size, flower numbers, and flower longevity as control plants (Table 1 and data not shown). Corolla senescence in these flowers was also accompanied by upregulation of the senescence marker, PhCP10, to similar levels as the control corollas (Figure 6B). PhCP10 encodes a cysteine protease believed to be involved in protein degradation during petal senescence. It is an ortholog of AtSAG12, which serves as a molecular marker for senescence [47]. Arabidopsis plants lacking a functional ATENDO1/BNF1 do not have any visible differences in leaf senescence or other aspects of growth and development [48]. Similarly, overexpressing ATENDO1 has no effect on leaf senescence [20].

PhENDO1 transcript levels in senescing flowers were reduced, as was the specific nuclease activity corresponding to the 43-kDa bifunctional endonuclease PhNUC1 (Figures 5 and 6A and data not shown). In contrast, the total endonuclease activity against RNA and ssDNA was not reduced in senescing corollas from PhENDO1-deficient flowers (Figure 6C,D), suggesting that there were other nuclease that were upregulated during corolla senescence to compensate for the reduced expression of PhENDO1 and its product PhNUC1. Searching the Petunia axillaris predicted protein database at the Sol Genomics Network (https://solgenomics.net/, accessed on 4 February 2021) identified two putative endonucleases (Peaxi162Scf00527g00026.1 and Peaxi162Scf00753g00440.1) that had 81% and 44.8% amino acid identity, respectively, with PhENDO1.

Plant endonucleases are encoded by a multi gene family, and studies show some tissue specificity and differential expression during plant development and stress responses among the individual family members [44,49,50]. In French bean, PVN4 and PVN5 are upregulated during cotyledon senescence and PVN2 and PVN5 are upregulated during the natural and dark-induced senescence of leaves, suggesting that there are also some functional redundancies between the family members [49,50]. Senescence involves a complex network of genes involved in a highly coordinated program of cellular disassembly and resource remobilization. Manipulating individual components of this network often does not alter the initiation or progression of senescence [51].

Ethylene is a key regulator of senescence in both leaves and flowers [52,53]. In ethylene-insensitive transgenic petunias (35S:etr1-1), where petal senescence is delayed, expression of PhENDO1 (Figure 2) and PhNUC1 activity [23] were similarly delayed until the corollas of those flowers were wilted. A similar pattern of delayed expression in senescing petals from 35S:etr1-1 transgenic petunias was observed with the senescence-associated gene PhCP10 [35]. Treating petunia flowers with ethylene induced expression of PhENDO1 (Figure 3) and the activity of PhNUC1 in the corollas [23]. The progression of senescence requires the de novo synthesis of proteins and treating flowers with cycloheximide delays senescence [54]. Labeling experiments in morning glory (Ipomea tricolor) provide evidence that ribonuclease is de novo synthesized during the senescence of the corolla [55]. The upregulation of PhENDO1 by ethylene was prevented by treating flowers with the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (Figure 3). Similarly, the induction
of PhCP10 is also prevented by treating petunia flowers with cycloheximide [36]. These experiments suggest that both the upregulation of PhENDO1 and PhCP10 are secondary responses to the ethylene stimulus. The activities of LeNUC1 and PcNUC1 and PcNUC2 are induced by treating young, attached leaves or green, detached leaves, respectively, with ethylene [25,26]. Senescence-associated endonuclease genes are also upregulated by other plant hormones including jasmonic acid, salicylic acid, gibberellic acid, and abscisic acid [29,56,57].

Many senescence-associated nucleases are also induced by phosphate starvation [18,49,50,58–60]. In tomato, LE and LX RNase genes are induced at the late stage of senescence and during phosphate starvation in cell cultures, suggesting a dual role in nutrient remobilization during developmental senescence and phosphate scavenging during nutrient stress [18]. We found that the expression of PhENDO1 was also upregulated when plants were grown under nutrient deficient conditions (Figure 4). The greatest upregulation was seen under P-deficient conditions, but N deficiency also resulted in upregulation of PhENDO1 expression in leaves to a lesser extent. Interestingly, expression of PhCP10 is highly upregulated in petunia leaves under N-deficient conditions and to a lesser extent under P-deficient conditions [34]. PVN4 and PVN5, endonucleases in French bean that are upregulated during cotyledon senescence, are also upregulated when seedlings are grown under nutrient deficient conditions (minus P and N) [50]. Other senescence-associated bifunctional nucleases, like ATENDO1/BFN1 are not induced by phosphate starvation [20].

A decrease in nucleic acid content and increased DNA fragmentation during corolla senescence is accompanied by an increase in endonuclease activities against both DNA and RNA in petunias. A senescence-specific, bifunctional endonuclease, whose activity is enhanced by cobalt was identified in petunia corollas (PhNUC1). In the present study, we cloned the gene (PhENDO1) encoding this endonuclease activity. PhENDO1 gene expression mirrored activity of the endonuclease PhNUC1 during corolla senescence. PhENDO1 was upregulated in corollas from both pollinated and unpollinated flowers, and its induction by ethylene required de novo protein synthesis. Virus induced silencing of PhENDO1 proved an effective way to confirm that PhNUC1 activity was a product of the PhENDO1 gene, and it demonstrated that down regulating this endonuclease did not delay senescence or decrease the total endonuclease activity in senescing corollas. PhENDO1 expression was also upregulated in leaves of plants grown under P-deficient and to a lesser extent N-deficient conditions, confirming a functional role in both nutrient remobilization during senescence and nutrient salvaging during starvation responses. This work increases our understanding of the molecular and biochemical changes accompanying flower petal senescence and their role in programmed cell death and nutrient recycling.
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