Detection of the tuberculosis biomarker mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan in human serum: Impact of sample pretreatment with perchloric acid
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Highlights
- Tuberculosis (TB) is today's deadliest infectious disease, largely due to lack of adequate diagnostic testing capabilities.
- Perchloric acid treatment decomplexes the TB marker mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan (ManLAM) from components in serum.
- Decomplexation improves ManLAM detectability in serum by 250 ×.
- The impact of acidification results in ManLAM degradation, lowering the efficiency of capture/labeling.
- Structural analysis data shows that this degradation is isolated to the arabinan domains of ManLAM.

Abstract
The development of an accurate and rapid diagnostic test for tuberculosis (TB) to use at point of need is vital to efforts aimed at reducing the global burden from this disease. This paper builds on our previous studies of mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan (ManLAM) as a serum biomarker for active TB infection by means of a heterogeneous immunoassay. That work found that complexation with components in serum (e.g., proteins) sterically hindered the capture and/or labeling of ManLAM in an immunoassay at levels <10 ng mL⁻¹, compromising the clinical utility of this biomarker for detection of active TB infection. We also showed that the acidification of ManLAM-containing serum samples with perchloric acid improved the detectability of ManLAM by 250 × by complex disruption when compared to measurements of untreated serum. The present study examined what effects the PCA treatment of serum samples may have on the recovery and structural integrity of ManLAM, owing to its potential susceptibility to acid hydrolysis. Recovery was assessed with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The possible
impact of acid hydrolysis on the ManLAM structure was investigated by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and carbohydrate chemical degradation methods. The ELISA study indicated that while the signal strength for ManLAM in the serum spike-in experiments was significantly stronger after PCA pretreatment when compared to untreated human serum, it was only ~20% of the ManLAM measured in physiological buffer. This loss in detectability was shown by structural analysis to arise mainly from the acid-induced degradation of the arabian domains of ManLAM that are targeted by antibodies used for antigen capture and/or tagging. The implications of these findings in terms of the detection of this important biomarker for TB are also discussed.
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the ineffective decomplexation of ManLAM from interferents in serum; (2) degradation of ManLAM due to its susceptibility to acid hydrolysis. The potential impact of each of these processes is investigated herein by using: (1) an in-house enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to compare the detectability of ManLAM spiked into human serum, spiked human serum then PCA-treated, and spiked into physiological buffer, and (2) gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and carbohydrate chemical degradation methods to assess the possible impact of acid hydrolysis on the structural integrity of the biomarker. The implications of these findings in terms of the reliable detection of this TB biomarker are discussed.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials and reagents

Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer packs (pH 7.4 with 8 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM potassium phosphate, 140 mM NaCl, and 2.7 mM KCl), Starbounding (SB), and 1-Step 3.3,5,5’-tetrathymethylbenzidine Ultra (TMB) were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific. All buffers were prepared with 18.2 MO Ω cm, purified by a Barnstead ultrapure water system, Chloroform, Tween 20 (T20), 70% PCA, and potassium carbonate were acquired from Fisher Scientific. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), sodium borohydride, pyridine, acetic anhydride, 3-OMe glucose, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and concentrated sulfuric acid were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Sulfuric acid (2N) was prepared by dilution with purified water. High affinity polystyrene 96-well microplates (Costar 3590) were purchased from Corning International. Pooled AB human male serum, hereafter referred to simply as human serum, was acquired from Innovative Research Inc. Streptavidin-modified horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and microplate sealers were from R&D Systems. A VF-5ms (5% phenyl methylpolysiloxane) chromatography column was obtained from Agilent. 13C5-D-arabinose was purchased by adding 4 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) with a molecular weight cutoff of 3 kDa MWCO filters were acquired from EMD Millipore. A biotin quantification kit was purchased from Pierce Biotechnology.

2.2. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The underlying principles and mechanisms of ELISAs have been reviewed in details elsewhere [46–49]. The ELISA experiments were performed using high affinity 96-well microplates. To prepare a functionalized capture surface, pAb was diluted to 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\) in PBS, and 100 μL of the diluted solution was added to each well. The plates were sealed and incubated at 2–8 °C for ~16 h to coat the wells with physiosorbed antibody. The plate was then placed in a VorTemp™ incubator at a temperature of 30 °C and rotated at 250 RPM for 30 min. The capture antibody solution was removed by aspiration and the microwells rinsed. The aspiration and rinse steps were performed between each of the subsequent assay steps (blocking, antigen incubation, labeling, and HRP incubation) using a BioTek MultiFlo™ FX automated plate washer. Each rinse cycle was repeated three times using 300 μL of PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) (v/v) at a flow rate of 422 μL/s (~1.49 m/s). Next, 200 μL of SB was added to each well and incubated for 1 h in the VorTemp™ (30 °C and 250 RPM) in order to passivate uncoated portions of the polystyrene surface. All subsequent steps (antigen incubation, labeling, and HRP incubation) used the same rinsing procedure. ManLAM solutions for these experiments were prepared in PBS, PBS containing 1% BSA, or human serum with and without PCA. After preparation, 100 μL of the ManLAM-containing samples were added to the plate and incubated for 2 h.

Biotinylation of the A194-01 monoclonal antibodies followed the EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotin standard protocol provided by Thermo Scientific [50]. In brief, a 10 mM sulfo-NHS biotin solution was added at 50 times molar excess to a 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (6.7 × 10⁻⁵ M) solution of antibody and kept on ice for 3 h. Excess biotin was removed using a Zeba Spin desalting column by centrifuging at 1358 g for 1 min. The concentration of antibody and extent of biotinylation was determined to be 1.01 ± 0.01 mg mL\(^{-1}\) with a biotin-to-antibody ratio of 3.65:1, as measured using the Pierce biotin quantification kit. The modified A194-01 antibody was diluted to 200 ng mL\(^{-1}\) in PBS for the labeling step of the assay.

Captured ManLAM was labeled by adding 100 μL of the diluted tracer mAb to each well for 2 h. A 100 μL aliquot of streptavidin-HRP solution (diluted 1:200 in PBS) was then pipetted into each well and incubated for 25 min. Color development was performed by adding 100 μL of TMB to each well and incubating for an additional 25 min before the addition of 50 μL of a 2 N H₂SO₄ stop solution. The absorbance of the solution in the wells was immediately measured at 450 and 570 nm using a BioTek ELX800™ plate reader. The reported values are for the absorbance at 450 nm minus that at 570 nm. The limit of detection (LOD) for these assays is calculated as the average blank signal plus three times its standard deviation [39].

2.3. Acid treatment procedure

The PCA treatment of serum samples has been described in previous work [39,42]. In brief, the samples are acidified to pH ~1 by adding 4 mL of PCA per 100 mL of sample in order to create denaturing conditions in the sample. The samples are then vortexed for 10 s and immediately centrifuged at ~12,000 g for 5 min to pellet denatured proteins and other aggregated materials. A portion of the resulting clear supernatant containing the ManLAM is transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube containing 9 μL of 2.0 M K₂CO₃ per 100 mL of starting sample volume to adjust the samples to pH ~7.5. These samples are then stored at 2–8 °C for 60 min to accelerate precipitation of KClO₄, after which the samples are centrifuged for 5 min at 270 g to ensure the precipitate has settled. The ManLAM-containing supernatant of each sample is transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube and brought to ambient laboratory temperature prior to running the assay.

2.4. Carbohydrate analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

To examine the potential degradation of ManLAM by PCA, an analysis was designed to test for the most likely ManLAM hydrolysis products (i.e., fragments from the arabinan side chain) [26–28,51–54]. For this, three ManLAM samples of 1.0 mL each, were prepared in PBS and divided into two separate 500 μL fractions. One fraction was then PCA treated. The other fraction was subjected to the same processing steps but with PBS replaced by reagents specific to PCA treatment. Next, the samples were passed through a 3 kDa MWCO filter to separate intact ManLAM from any
degradation products. This approach separates the degradation products below the 3 kDa cut off of the filter from those above the cut off, including intact ManLAM. In other words, the membrane retained intact ManLAM and the other fragments >3 kDa, while the smaller, more extensively degraded fractions passed through the membrane. The filtration step for each sample was repeated 4 times at 1398 g for 10 min. After the first filtration, the next three filtrations replenished the fluid phase by the addition of 200 μL of deionized water. The filtrate and the retentate after the last filtration were collected separately and lyophilized. 13C5-α-arabinose (1.0 μg), with 3-OME glucose (1.0 μg) then added to each sample to serve as internal standards for arabinose and mannose, respectively.

The alditol acetate derivatization process used for the GC-MS analysis of arabinose and mannose and the method for using the internal standards have been detailed elsewhere [26,52]. Briefly, the samples undergo alditol acetate derivatization per the following steps: (1) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) 2 M hydrolysis; (2) reduction with NaBH₄; and (3) acetylation with pyridine and acetic anhydride. The samples are then partitioned between water and chloroform, and the organic layer, which contains the derivatized materials, is collected. Finally, the samples are dried under high purity N₂(g) and reconstituted in 100 μL chloroform (HPLC grade) for analysis by GC-MS.

GC-MS analyses were carried out using a Varian CP 3800 gas chromatograph coupled to a MS320 mass spectrometer fitted with a J&W VF5ms (5% phenyl methylpolysiloxane) capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) column. The oven temperature upon injection was held at 100 °C for 1 min, and then ramped at 20 °C/min to 150 °C, 5 °C/min to 240 °C, and, finally, at 30 °C/min to 300 °C. The arabinose and the 13C₂-arabinose internal standards have characteristic ions at m/z 217 and 220, respectively. The quantity of these two compounds was determined from the extracted ion chromatogram (EIC). The quantity of the mannose and 3-OME glucose was determined using the total ion chromatogram (TIC). The amounts of arabinose and mannose, both of which are indicative of the amount of ManLAM in a sample, were determined from the intensity ratio of the m/z peaks for arabinose and mannose relative to those of 13C₅-arabinose and 3-OME glucose, respectively. Next, the peak ratios were multiplied by the mass of arabinose and 3-OME glucose, which was 1000 ng for each. To account for the higher ion abundance of mannose relative to 3-OME glucose, the resultant peak ratio was divided by a response factor of 1.44. Because ManLAM is composed of ~60% arabinose and ~40% mannose, the measured quantities of these two species can be used to calculate the original amount of ManLAM in the PCA-treated and untreated sample fractions [26,55,56].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. ManLAM recovery

As a starting point to characterizing the impact of PCA treatment on the detectability of ManLAM in human serum, the results from three different sets of samples were measured: ManLAM-spiked untreated serum, ManLAM-spiked serum followed by PCA treatment, and, as a control, ManLAM-spiked PBS with 1% BSA (wt/v), which was used to mitigate nonspecific adsorption. Each sample type was prepared at 1000, 500, 100, and 50 pg mL⁻¹ of ManLAM. Sample blanks consisted of stock human serum or PBS with 1% BSA devoid of ManLAM. The serum samples spiked with ManLAM were split into two equal volumes. One half of these samples was PCA treated; the other half of the samples added serum instead of the PCA reagents to reach the same dilution factor. The remaining steps were identical for both subsets. The two sets of samples prepared in PBS with 1% BSA were processed the same way, except PBS with 1% BSA was used in place of the PCA treatment reagents. Due to dilution from PCA treatment, the final ManLAM concentrations in the spiked samples after the treatment were 850, 425, 85.0, and 42.5 pg mL⁻¹.

Fig. 2 shows the ELISA response as a function of ManLAM spike-in concentration for the three different sample sets. There are two important points to draw from these plots. First, the difference in the responses for the PCA-treated serum samples and the untreated serum samples analyzed follow those presented in Fig. 1. Following the PCA treatment the measured signals are significantly increased reflecting the beneficial impact of acidification on the detectability of ManLAM.

Second, and of particular importance to the work reported on herein, the measurable responses for the PCA-treated serum samples are significantly lower than those for the ManLAM samples prepared in PBS with 1% BSA. In this experiment, after PCA treatment, the absorbance for ManLAM spiked into serum at 850 pg mL⁻¹ is only 28% of that for the sample prepared in PBS with 1% BSA at the same spike-in level, indicating suboptimal ManLAM recovery in the PCA-treated samples. Five separate sets of these assays were run, with each run consisting of three tests at each sample concentration. These studies yielded an average recovery of 22 ± 11% for initial ManLAM concentrations between 85 pg mL⁻¹ and 1.0 ng mL⁻¹. The difference in assay performance is also evident from the fact that the analytical sensitivity (i.e., slope of the linear least squares calibration line) is a factor of ~4 lower in the treated serum samples relative to the PBS with 1% BSA samples. Taken together, these results indicate that PCA treatment significantly improves ManLAM detection over that of untreated serum, but that the overall recovery is low and highly variable, leaving room for significant improvement.

As noted earlier, the lower response for the PCA-treated samples may be due to the passivation of the capture surface and/or the ineffective decomplexation of ManLAM. It is also possible that the susceptibility of furanosides to acid hydrolysis [26-28,51] alters the structure of ManLAM in a way that degrades the epitopes targeted for antibody recognition [45]. The next sections investigate the possible contributions of each pathway.
3.2. Capture surface passivation

There are a massive number of components in human serum (e.g., proteins, carbohydrates, and electrolytes) that can potentially foul the capture surface and, therefore, interfere with ManLAM binding [57–59]. We previously showed that the total protein content remaining in control human serum after PCA treatment was 4% (2 mg mL\textsuperscript{−1}) of that in as-received human serum (55 mg mL\textsuperscript{−1}) [39]. In other words, there is still a significantly higher amount of protein present after acidification relative to the ManLAM spike-in levels. To determine if surface passivation plays a role in the measurements with PCA-treated serum, capture substrates were first blocked with PCA-treated serum or with whole serum. A set of substrates was also prepared by treatment with SB as a comparator. The three sets of wells were then exposed to ManLAM solutions prepared in PBS (1% BSA) at concentrations of 0, 500, and 1000 pg mL\textsuperscript{−1}. The remaining steps of the assay were conducted as described previously.

Fig. 3 presents the dose-response curves obtained for three sets of samples. The plot for the capture surface treated with SB is nearly indistinguishable from that in Fig. 2, which underscores the score of the assay-to-assay reproducibility when using a comparatively innocuous sample matrix. In contrast, the responses for the assays when blocking with whole serum are lower than those with SB as a blocker. An average decrease of 24 ± 6% was observed for the 500 and 1000 pg mL\textsuperscript{−1} samples. Furthermore, the slope of the linear fit line when blocking with whole serum is 14% lower than that with SB blocking. This difference suggests that one or more of the components present in whole serum interfere with the ability of the capture antibody to effectively bind ManLAM. Note that this level of interference persists after completing all of the washing steps performed between the end of blocking and the step to capture ManLAM.

The PCA-treated serum samples, however, follow a response that is almost identical to that for ManLAM in PBS (1% BSA). The analytical sensitivities for the two dose-response plots are statistically indistinguishable using a Student’s t-test at a 95% confidence interval. This result indicates that, unlike capture surfaces blocked with whole serum, the residual materials in the PCA-treated samples do not detectably passivate the capture surface or otherwise interfere with ManLAM capture. We can therefore conclude that surface passivation is not a measurable contributor to the diminished recovery of ManLAM from the PCA-treated serum samples, and that the reduction in signal reflects more important contributions from other factors.

3.3. Acid degradation of ManLAM

ManLAM has been found to be susceptible to acid hydrolysis, but the extent of the possible cleavage of its arabinan domain due to PCA treatment has not yet been examined in detail [28,60,61]. Epitope mapping studies indicate that the detection antibody is selective to the arabinan domain and mannose capping motifs of ManLAM [45,62]. As such, it is possible that any structural degradation of ManLAM could decrease or even eliminate the affinity interaction between ManLAM and the capture and/or labeling antibodies and therefore account for the decrease in the ELISA signal observed in Fig. 2 [63].

To determine if the PCA treatment process alters the structure of ManLAM, carbohydrate analysis by GC-MS was performed on as-prepared and PCA-treated samples of ManLAM spiked into PBS. We have recently used this methodology to measure the total ManLAM content in both and mannose content in the retentate of both the PCA-treated and untreated samples. These samples were prepared in PBS in order to avoid the endogenous arabinose found in human body fluids and to eliminate the tendency of the MWCO filter to clog upon passage of 1% BSA [26]. Our discussion of these results assumes that intact ManLAM remains in the retentate during the filtration step and that degradation products from acid hydrolysis pass through the 3 kDa MWCO filter (Section 2.4). By measuring the total arabinose and mannose content in all four sets of samples and by recognizing that ManLAM is composed of ~60% arabinose and ~40% mannose by weight, it is possible to determine the total amount of ManLAM originally present in the sample and to determine the extent, if any, in which PCA treatment degrades ManLAM [26]. The typical sample recovery factor and LOD (absolute mass) for this method for ManLAM is ~95% and 500 pg, respectively [26].

Table 1 summarizes the results from the GC-MS analysis, which includes the measured amounts of arabinose and mannose in the filtrate and retentate for the PCA-treated and untreated samples. For the untreated samples, there was no detectable amount of arabinose or mannose in the filtrate. This indicates that, in the absence of PCA treatment, the structure of ManLAM is unaltered by the other solution processing steps. These results also serve as an internal check of the effectiveness of the overall measurement strategy in that the amount of ManLAM present in the retentate when comparing the measured levels of arabinose or of mannose are consistent across the two sample workups (see below).

The results for the PCA-treated samples are much different. Arabinose is detectable in both the filtrate and retentate, but mannose is only measurable in the retentate. A little more than 60% (8.6 ± 2.1 ng) of the total measured arabinose in the PCA-treated samples is found in the filtrate; the remaining 40% (5.2 ± 0.2 ng) is in the retentate. This indicates that a significant portion of the arabinan domain is cleaved during the PCA treatment process. The cleaved arabinan components are most likely a mixture of monosaccharides and short (n = 2 or 3) oligosaccharides from the terminal ends of the side chains, which are further degraded to monosaccharides by TFA during the alditol acetate derivatization process [27,28,52–54]. Unlike arabinose, mannose is only detected in the retentate of both the PCA-treated and untreated samples, 9.0 ± 0.3 and 9.2 ± 4.5 ng, respectively. This indicates that (1) the core mannann structure remains intact during the PCA treatment process (i.e., there is not a detectable level of mannose from either
the core or capping motifs in the filtrate down to a detection level of ~500 pg), and (2) the only detectable structural degradation occurs in the arabinan domain.

Based on the measured arabinose and mannose content, the calculated amounts of intact ManLAM in the retentate of the untreated samples were 21.0 ± 6.5 ng and 23.0 ± 11.2 ng, respectively. The calculated intact ManLAM content in the retentate and filtrate of the PCA samples was 8.7 ± 0.3 ng and 14.4 ± 2.1 ng, respectively, based on the measured arabinose content. The ManLAM content determined from the total arabinose detected in both the filtrate and retentate was 23.1 ± 1.9 ng. This is in good agreement with the calculated total ManLAM content, 22.5 ± 0.8 ng, based on the measured mannose found in the retentate. The agreement of the mass of mannose and arabinose in the treated and untreated samples is an indication of the reliability of the GC-MS analysis.

To determine the effect of degradation on the ELISA measurements, a set of ManLAM dilutions were prepared in PBS and split into two equal volume fractions. Like the GC-MS experiments, one set of fractions underwent PCA treatment and the other had PBS added in place of the PCA treatment reagents in order to reach the same dilution factor. All remaining solution handling steps were the same for both fractions. These samples were prepared without BSA to remain consistent with the samples used for the GC-MS experiments. The ELISA dose-response plots from these measurements are presented in Fig. 4. The responses from the samples subjected to PCA treatment are much lower than those for the untreated samples. In this case, PCA-treated ManLAM in PBS samples at ManLAM concentrations ≥42.5 pg mL⁻¹ have ~23% reduction in signal sensitivity compared to the untreated samples. However, replicate assays (n = 5) have shown a loss of as much as 40% at ManLAM concentrations ≥42.5 pg mL⁻¹ with an average of 26 ± 10%, highlighting how the extent of ManLAM degradation may impact immunorecognition with the antibodies on the underlying capture surface or during labeling [45].

Interestingly, the results in the ELISA sample sets differ from the analogous results for the GC-MS analysis by ~10%. The GC-MS data, which represents a measure of the total ManLAM content in a sample, indicated that ~62% of the ManLAM was degraded to some degree by PCA treatment. While the implications are not yet clear, these results suggest that the assay detects a portion of the partially degraded ManLAM. The ELISA results also support the conclusion that the degradation of ManLAM occurs primarily in the arabinan domain because the tracer antibody recognizes structural elements on the side chain, rather than at the mannan core [45]. Changes to the side chain structure of ManLAM can, therefore, result in a reduction of antibody recognition. This interpretation is consistent with a recent report that maps the antigenic heterogeneity of ManLAM with respect to the monoclonal antibody used in this work [45].

These results are notably different for those in Fig. 1, which shows a stronger reduction in signal when analyzing ManLAM-spiked serum samples after PCA pretreatment. We suspect this difference arises from the co-precipitation of ManLAM during the acid treatment process reducing its solution concentration. To date, however, our attempts to detect the presence of ManLAM by applying different extraction methods to the agglutinate have been unsuccessful. We are currently examining alternatives to this determination.

4. Conclusions

This work investigated the underpinnings of the use of a PCA treatment method as a means to liberate ManLAM from complexation in human serum that significantly improves its detection as a biomarker of active TB infection. Through ELISA studies, we showed that while PCA-treatment in human serum improved detectability, the amount of ManLAM recovered was only ~20% of the spike-in levels. Moreover, structural analysis investigations with GC-MS indicated that the susceptibility of ManLAM to acid hydrolysis resulted in the degradation of the arabinan domains of the biomarker, which had a negative impact on antigen recognition in our assay. This work highlights the importance of designing comprehensive sample treatment strategies that can counter the impact of the sample matrix on detection while also taking into account the potential impact of treatment on the analyte. Ongoing work is focused on fully assessing how to improve both the amount and reproducibility of ManLAM recovery by acidification, the use of other chaotropic agents, and the merits of enzymatic treatment methods using proteinase K and other proteases [45].
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