In this paper, we present the results of the examination of the genetic characteristics of 7 autochthonous varieties of "Lubeničarka" pear (watermelon pear) that are typical for the Banjaluka region, using AFLP molecular markers. In order to reliably confirm that there are differences among selected varieties we have analyzed their genetic profiles using AFLP genetic markers and established, based on the Jaccard similarity coefficient, that there is a genetic variability among the studied varieties. Furthermore, based on these analyzes we have classified these varieties into 3 groups of which variety G_19 has a very large coefficient (0.4369) when compared to other varieties. These results might be immensely important for present and future pear breeding and genetic improvement program.
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Introduction
The pear (Pyrus communis L.) is one of the most important fruit trees, having been cultivated in Europe and Asia for at least two thousand years and is presently commercially grown in all temperate regions. Fruit biodiversity in Bosnia and Herzegovina is reflected in many autochthonous varieties like plums, apples, pears, sweet cherries, as well as peaches, etc. Many autochthonous varieties of our region are of unknown origin. It is believed that they were brought in during some of the numerous migrations of human populations in this region. Many varieties of fruits have adapted and acquired new characteristics, while some have remained unchanged. Compared to modern varieties of fruits,
autochthonous varieties are more diverse and more resistant to biotic and abiotic factors (Ognjanov et al., 2000). Watermelon (Lubeničarka) pear, sometimes called "Bostanjača" pear, is very popular among local people because of its good taste. It is used for fresh consumption and home processing and has good resistance to common diseases and pests as well as high tolerance to low temperatures. "Lubeničarka" pear is recognizable by their characteristic red color of the fruit flash which is similar to ripe to watermelons.

Beširević (2009), described around 100 autochthonous varieties of apples and pears from the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina. He stated that this area is favorable for fruit production, due to a very favorable climate and precipitation regime. Beširević also noted that for a large number of local varieties of apples, pears and other fruits there are no unique names. Pomological characterization of pears from the Lubeničarka group was completed by Mićić et al. (2012) for three varieties of pears from Bosnia and Herzegovina. Variety Krupna Lubeničarka was recommended for further production at the beginning of XX century. The variety was grouped with two others (Crna Lubeničarka and Bijela Lubeničarka) under the common name Lubeničarka. The results of the study showed that the variety Krupna Lubeničarka has vegetative progeny with stable pomological characteristics that are clear and reliable characteristic of this variety (Mićić et al., 2012). Genotypes Crna and Bijela Lubeničarka have certain pomological differences that clearly distinguished them from one another. However a certain similarity between these varieties raised the question about their reliable pomological and genetic characterization (Radoš et, al., 2017; Kajkut et al. 2015; Šebek et al., 2014; Mićić et al. 2012).

Genetic characteristics of pear have not been fully identified due to its low morphological diversity, lack of differentiating characters within species and widespread crossability. Therefore, estimation of genetic diversity among Pyrus sp. is often very difficult. Classical methods of describing morphological properties include certain deficiencies, such as: objectivity in identification, great similarities among the cultivars, the connection of the analysis for the fruiting period, plant age, etc.

Introduction of PCR technology has routinized molecular identification, characterization and genotyping of many fruit species including pear (Akcay et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Amplified Fragments Length Polymorphism (AFLP) is a DNA fingerprinting technique developed by Zabeau & Vos (1993) and Vos & Kuiper (1997). AFLP markers are genomic restriction fragments detected after selective amplification using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The largest number of research with the application of AFLP markers was implemented for pear (Shaymaa et al., 2018; Wolf et al., 2017; Bao et al., 2008; Monte-Corvo et al., 2000; Vos et al., 1995).

The present study has been conducted with the main objectives to determine the genetic difference of between 7 of autochthonous varieties of "Lubeničarka" pear using Amplified Fragments Length Polymorphism markers.

**Material and methods**

**Plant material**

Fresh pear leave samples were collected from seven autochthonous varieties of pear (variety G_14 ‘Lubeničarka’ town Banja Luka (Hisete), variety G_17 ‘Lubeničarka’ town Banja Luka (Bistrica), variety G_15 ‘Lubeničarka’ municipality Srbac, variety G_16 ‘Lubeničarka’ municipality Srbac, variety G_18 ‘Prava Lubeničarka’ municipality Prnjavor (Crnadci), variety G_19 ‘Obićna Lubeničarka’ municipality Prnjavor (Orašje) and variety G_20 ‘Krupna Lubeničarka’ municipality Prnjavor (Kokori). Genetic analysis have been carried out at the Faculty of Agriculture in the Department of Plant Breeding, Genetics and Biometrics, University of Zagreb.

**DNA isolation**

Genomic DNA was isolated from young leaf tissue previously dried by lyophilisation. After lyophilisation the tissue was ground into a fine powder, and DNA isolation was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions of the DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit for the isolation of DNA from plant tissues (Qiagen, 2015).
**AFLP analysis**

Based on the determined concentration of DNA of sample, dilution on the working concentration of 25 ng/μl for further AFLP analysis was done. AFLP analysis, with the following modifications, was carried out according to the protocol described by Vos et al. (1995). Restriction of the DNA was performed in a total volume of 20 μl by using the restriction enzymes EcoRI (New England Biolabs), characterized by a specific recognition site with six bases (the so-called "rare cutter") and MseI (New England Biolabs), characterized by a specific recognition site with four bases (the so-called "frequent cutter") with addition of S.C.-NEB buffer which is specific for the listed enzymes. Digestion was carried out by mixing of 3 μl of the restriction enzymes (5U/μl) with 17 μl of a DNA (concentration of 25ng/μl). The digestion lasted for about an hour at a temperature of 37°C in a thermostat Therm Stat Plus (Eppendorf). The result of restrictions has been tested on standard 0.8% agarose gels, loading 2.5 μl of solution of DNA restriction. The remaining volume of 17.5 μl of a restriction solution was mixed with 7.5 μl of a ligation mixture containing 5 pmol EcoRI adapter and 50 pmol MseI-adapter and with 1 U T4V DNA ligase (New England Biolab), 1.2 mM ATP and buffer composition comprised of 10 mM TRIS-HCl, 10 mM MgAc, 50 mM Kac and 5 mM DTT. The incubation lasted three and a half hours at a temperature of 37° C. Adaptors are composed of two mutually homologous primers in their middle part, while the base ends of the primer are homologous with a specific base sequences (the so-called "sticky ends") at the ends of restriction fragments created by the restriction.

EcoRI adapter consists of two primers with sequences: 5-CTCGTAGACTGGTGACC and CTGACGCATGGTTAA-5, and MseI-adapter primers: 5-GACGATGAGTCTGAG and TACTCAGGACTCAT-5. Pre-amplification was carried out in a total volume of 20 μl with composition of 1/4 of restriction fragments (i.e. 5 μl of a restriction solution mix), to which was added adapter by ligation in a buffer composed of 20 mM Tris-HCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM KCl, with 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.5 U Taq-polymerase (Sigma), and 0.25 uM of each pre-amplification primer (E01 and M02). Selected primers are complementary to EcoRI and MseI adapters, and one additional selective base allows amplification of 1/16 of restriction fragments. Pre-amplification was performed in VeritiTM 96-well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems), according to the regime: [92°C/60s, 60°C/30s, 72°C/60s.] 25x. Products of pre-amplification were diluted in a ratio 1:25 and as such have been used for the selective pre-amplification. Selective pre-amplification was carried out in a total volume of 20 μl (1/4 of the volume, i.e. 5 μl of the pre-diluted product of pre-amplification) of the buffer composition of 20 mM Tris-HCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM KCl with 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.4 U Taq-polymerase (Sigma), 0.25 mM "E+3" and "M+3" primers. Primers E are marked by specific colours 6Fam, Ned and Vic for laser load on the device for capillary electrophoresis (Genetic Analyser 3130, Applied Biosystems). 'E+3' and 'M+3' primers have the same sequence as the primers used in pre-amplification, and each of them have three selective basis which enables further selective amplification only for 1/256 of all the fragments of pre-amplification. Adapter sequences, primers sequences and their base sequences used in the AFLP analysis are shown in table 1.

Selective amplification was carried out by VeritiTM 96-well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems), according to the touch down regime:

94°C/30 s. - [94°C/30 s. - 65°C -0.7°C/cycle - 72°C/60 s.])11x –
- [94°C/30 s. - 56°C/30 s. - 72°C/60 s.])24x - 72°C/5 min.

The products of amplification proceeded by capillary electrophoresis Genetic Analyser 3130 (Applied Biosystems), and visualized using the software package Gene Mapper® ver. 4.0. (Applied Biosystems).

**Statistics**

For further analysis only indisputable visual fragments appeared as a clear signal (amplitude) of each AFLP fragments were taken into account. The presence of the band is marked with 1, and its absence with 0. The binary matrix of molecular data
was used for further statistical analysis in the order to calculate Jaccard coefficients of similarity (difference) (Jaccard, 1908). Based on obtained coefficients the cluster dendrogram of similarity of the studied genotypes was made.

### Results and Discussion

AFLP fingerprinting was carried out for 7 autochthonous varieties of pear samples analyzed in the current study. This molecular technique is considered to be the most effective method in examining genotype of *Pyrus communis* L. (Monte-Corvo et al., 2002). Genetic identification of selected varieties of Lubeničarka pears was done using AFLP markers, and the results are presented in figure 1. We examined 25 combinations of primers, of which 13 polymorphic were selected and were applied to all samples included in the study. Tested varieties were grouped in 3 groups. The first group with no observed differences in the genetic profiles, was with varieties G_15 and G_16 (coefficient of diversity (0.000)). The second group consists of varieties G_17 and G_18 with the observed difference of 0.015. Especially interesting was the group with varieties G_14 and G_20 and a coefficient of difference of 0.056. Complete separation from this group of varieties showed the variety G_19 with the observed difference of 0.432 from the nearest tested variety (Table 2). Based on the calculated coefficients of difference, the variations between the observed varieties demonstrated the existence of 3 groups of varieties. The group I consists of only variety G_19 which indicates that this variety most probably does not belong to the Lubeničarka type. Varieties G_15, G_16, G_17 and G_18 make the II group, while III group comprises of variety G_14 and G_20.

| Table 1. Overview of the primers used in the AFLP analysis |
|------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Primer code** | **The primers used in the analysis after screening** |
| Adapter EcoRI | E01 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c a -3' | 6Fam E32 - M47 (p1) |
| | 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c a -3' | 6Fam E32 - M48 (p2) |
| | 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c a -3' | 6Fam E32 - M49 (p3) |
| PrimerE-1 (pre-amplification) | E01 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c a -3' | 6Fam E32 - M59 (p4) |
| PrimerE-3 (selective amplification) | E01 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c a -3' | 6Fam E32 - M60 (p5) |
| | E32 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c aac-3' | 6Fam E32 - M61 (p6) |
| | E33 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c aag-3' | Ned E35 - M50 (p7) |
| | E35 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c aca-3' | Ned E35 - M47 (p8) |
| | E37 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c aca-3' | Ned E37 - M59 (p9) |
| | E40 5'-gac tgc gta cca att c age-3' | Vic E40 - M61 (p10) |
| Adaptar MsoI | 5'-gac gar gag tcc tpa g a -3' | Vic E40 - M59 (p11) |
| | 5'-gac gar gag tcc tpa a c -3' | Vic E40 - M60 (p12) |
| PrimerM-1 (pre-amplification) | M02 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a e -3' | Vic E40 - M59 (p13) |
| PrimerM-3 (selective amplification) | M47 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a caa -3' | Vic E40 - M59 (p14) |
| | M39 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a cta -3' | |
| | M60 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a ctc -3' | |
| | M61 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a ctt -3' | |
| | M62 5'-gat gag tcc tpa gta a ctt -3' | |
Comparing our results obtained by genetic analysis and the classification based on morphological analysis observed by Mićić et al. (2012), observed varieties from group II belong to Crna or Bijela Lubeničarka types and varieties from Group III belong to Krupna Lubeničarka type. Regarding these studies the conditions created for the precise identification of different varieties of Lubeničarka pears in the appropriate groups are the prerequisite for using of genofond of Lubeničarka pear for different purposes.

The AFLP technique was confirmed to be an efficient tool for genotyping and estimating genetic variation in pear cultivars. In order to reliably prove that there are differences among selected varieties we have analyzed the genetic profiles using AFLP genetic markers and established, based on the Jaccard similarity coefficient, that there is a genetic variability among the studied varieties. Furthermore, based on these analyzes we have classified these studied varieties into 3 groups of which variety G_19 has a very large coefficient (0.4369) when compared to other varieties, so that the affiliation of this variety to Lubeničarka variety stays questionable. Based on the calculated coefficients of difference, the variations between the studied varieties demonstrated existence of 3 groups of varieties. The group I consists of only variety G _19 indicated that this variety the most probably does not belong to the Lubeničarka type. Varieties G_15, G_16, G_17 and G_18 make the II group, while III group comprises varieties G_14 and G_20. Overall, it can be concluded that there was polymorphism among the studied varieties. Also, it can be stated that the AFLP was a reliable and a good technique in genotyping and discriminating of respective pear varieties.

**Conclusion**

Banjaluka region is characterized by a very rich and varied diversity of old and autochthonous varieties of pears, which represent a very important genetic potential for future breeding programs. Despite the fact that morphological characteristics of these autochthonous varieties of "Lubeničarka" pear were not included in this research, since they were performed before this molecular identification. Based on everything mentioned before, it is necessary to state that phenotype appearance of the analysed varieties was supported and confirmed by this genetic characterization. AFLP analyses confirmed the presence of polymorphism between analyzed varieties pear in Banjaluka region. Based on the calculated coefficients of difference, analyzed varieties were grouped in 3 groups. All genetic profiles of the analyzed varieties belong to the Lubeničarka type, only variety G_19 indicated that

### Table 2. Coefficient of diversity according to Jaccard

| Accessions | G_14 | G_15 | G_16 | G_17 | G_18 | G_19 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| G_15       | 0.039|      |      |      |      |      |
| G_16       | 0.039| 0.000|      |      |      |      |
| G_17       | 0.046| 0.022| 0.022|      |      |      |
| G_18       | 0.037| 0.027| 0.027| 0.015|      |      |
| G_19       | 0.437| 0.437| 0.437| 0.446| 0.436|      |
| G_20       | 0.056| 0.038| 0.038| 0.054| 0.045| 0.432|

**Figure 1. The dendrogram grouping the genotypes in accordance with the coefficients of difference**
this variety the most probably does not belong to the Lubeničarka type.

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

Akçay ME, Burak M, Kazan K, Ksel CYF, Mutaf F, Bakir M, Ayanog LH, Ergu A (2014) Genetic Analysis of Anatolian Pear Germplasm by Simple Sequence Repeats. Annals of Applied Biology, 164:441-452.

Bao L, Chen K, Zhang D, Li X, Teng Y (2008) An assessment of genetic variability and relationships within Asian pears based on AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism) markers. Scientia Horticulturae, 116(4):374-380.

Bubić Š (1977) Specijalno voćarstvo. Svjetlost. Sarajevo, 269-273, 340-341.

Jaccard P (1980) Nouvelles recherches sur la distribution florale. Bull Soc Vaud Sci Nat, 44:223-270.

Kajkut M, Đurić G, Mićić N (2015) Preliminary identification of pear accessions of 'Lubeničarka' group using RAPD markers. European Journal of Horticultural Science, 80(3):134-138.

Liu Q, Song Y, Liu L, Zhang M, Sun J, Zhang S, Wu J (2015) Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Pear (Pyrus spp.) Collections Revealed by a Set of Core Genome-Wide SSR Markers. Tree Genetics and Genomes, 11:128-149.

Mićić M, Đurić G, Salkić B (2012) Pomological characterisation of pear varieties of „Lubeničarka“ group. Agro knowledge Journal, 1:15-30.

Monte-Corvo L, Cabrita L, Oliveira CM, Leitao J (2000) Assessment of Pyrus cultivars by RAPD and AFLP markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 47:257-265.

Monte-Corvo L, Goulão L, Oliveira C (2002) Discrimination of Pear Cultivars with RAPD, AFLPTM and ISSR. Acta Horticulturae, 596:187-191.

Ognjanov V, Vujanić-Varga D, Gašić K, Nad B (2000) Disease resistance in apple, pear and peach germplasm originating from the Balkan peninsula. Acta Horticulturae, 513:63-68.

Radoš Lj, Vučković B, Krmpot T, Bosančić B (2017) Variability of morphological characteristics of the fruit varieties of pears Lubeničarka. 52nd Croatian and 12th International Symposium Agriculture, Book of proceedings, 611-614.

Shaymaa HA, Hemin EO, Payman AAZ, Jaladet MSJ (2018) Evaluation of genetic variations and phylogeny of the most popular pear (Pyrus communis L.) cultivars in Duhok city using AFLP markers, Duhok, Iraq Innovaciencia Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Naturales y Agropecuarias, 6(1):1-10.

Šebek G, Kovačević V (2014) Compatibility and verdurde of seedling for autochtonous peer in the region of North Montenegro. Genetika, 46(1):277-286.

Vos P, Bogers R, Bleeker, M, Reijans M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, Friters A, Pot J, Paleman J, Kuiper M, Zabeau M (1995) AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Research, 23(21):4407-4414.

Vos P, Kuiper M (1997) AFLP analysis. In: Caetano-Anolles G, Gresshoff PM (eds), DNA Markers. Protocols, Applications and Overviews, Wiley-VCH, New York: 115-131.

Wolf J, Baránková K, Nečas T (2017) AFLP Molecular Identification and Genetic Relationship of Chinese and Japanese Pear Cultivars Grown in Middle European Conditions. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici, 45(2):369-374.

Zabeau M, Vos P (1993) Selective restriction fragment amplification: A general method for DNA fingerprinting. European Patent Application, 92402629.7 (Publ no. 0-534-858-A1).