Synthesis of Poly(ester-graft-methyl methacrylate) on a Macroinitiator with Lateral Sulfonyl Chloride Groups by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization
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Abstract—New polymer brushes with an ester backbone and poly(methyl methacrylate) side chains are synthesized by polycondensation and polymerization methods. The initiating groups are sulfonyl chloride groups laterally attached to the polyester chain. PMMA side chains are grafted by the ATRP method according to the “grafting from” multicenter macroinitiator strategy. The conditions for the polymerization processes in a controlled mode are selected, and the ways of targeted regulation of the degree of polymerization of methacrylate side chains are determined. Using the synthesized copolymers self-supporting films are obtained, and their physical and mechanical properties are studied.
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INTRODUCTION

The synthesis and research of branched polymer systems with a well-defined structure, called “polymer brushes,” have been actively developing in the last decade. Among such systems, one can distinguish cylindrical polymer brushes, a special type of graft copolymers with regularly grafted narrowly dispersed side chains, which are of great practical and theoretical interest owing to their unique chemical and physical properties [1–3]. Such polymers are regular comb-shaped copolymers consisting of a backbone and narrowly dispersed side chains fairly often covalently attached to it. Much of the research in the field of macromolecular brushes focuses on the development of synthetic methods and the study of the properties of brushes with carbonchain backbones and side chains. There is relatively little data on the synthesis of brushes with a backbone of a fundamentally different nature (polyester, polyimide) [4, 5].

Graft copolymers with a long backbone, short side chains, and a high degree of grafting density have the unique ability to maintain their wormlike conformation [6]. It should be noted that a significant difference in the nature of the backbone and carbochain side chains determines the amphiphilicity of hybrid brushes owing to the selectivity of components with respect to solvents of different nature. This structural feature is responsible for the segregation of fragments of the graft copolymer in solution and the formation of supramolecular structures which cannot be obtained for polymers with a different architecture [7–10]. In addition, such brushes are characterized by a significantly rarer grafting of side chains compared to carbonchain molecular brushes, which allows a much more pronounced conformational freedom of both the main and side chains. These features make hybrid brushes interesting objects not only for fundamental research but also for various practical applications [11–14]. For example, graft copolymers with a polyimide backbone and PMMA side chains were used to form nanosized homopolymer and composite Langmuir–Blodgett films which are potential candidates for use in modern electronic devices [15]. They have shown themselves as promising doping agents for industrially produced polymers in the process of creating composite polymer membranes for pervaporation and gas separation processes [16, 17]. Graft copolymides with side chains of hydrophilic poly(methacrylic acid) (PI-graft-PMMA) were used as solubilizing nanocontainers for photodynamic theranostics agents based on cyanoporphyrines [18, 19]. Molecular brushes with side chains of poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) (PI-graft-PDMAEMA) were tested as nanoreactors and stabilizing agents for the template synthesis of composite structures containing silver nanoparticles [20].

Currently, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) is the most commonly used approach for the controlled synthesis of macromolecular brushes by
polymerizing a monomer with a multicenter macroinitiator which is obtained by introducing ATRP initiating groups into its repeating units.

Methods for the synthesis of polyester(polyamide)-graft-polyoxazolines based on the use of a polyester- (polyamide)-type macroinitiator containing sulfonyl chloride groups were proposed in [21, 22].

As is known, sulfonyl chloride groups can act as initiators of atom transfer radical polymerization [23–25]. Therefore, it was of interest to test such a macroinitiator with lateral sulfonyl chloride groups for the synthesis of graft carbochain copolymers by the ATRP method.

This work is devoted to the study of this issue.

EXPERIMENTAL

The macroinitiators used were obtained according to known methods [26]. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) (99%, Aldrich) was distilled twice in vacuum before use. CuCl (≥99%, Aldrich) was purified from Cu(II) impurities by keeping in glacial acetic acid, filtered off, washed with methanol (reagent grade, Vekton), and dried in vacuum at 35–40°C for a week. THF (reagent grade, Vekton) was dried over calcium hydride and distilled. 4,4’-Dinonyl-2,2’-bipyridine (dNbpy) (97%, Aldrich), 2-ethyl hexanoate Sn(II) (~95%, Aldrich), DMF, and chlorobenzene (99%, Aldrich) were dried over calcium hydride and distilled in vacuum.

Synthesis of Graft Copolymers

A 25-mL Schlenk flask was loaded with the weighed portions of the macroinitiator and 4,4’-dinonyl-2,2’-bipyridine (Table 1). The flask was sealed with a rubber septum, evacuated for 10 min, and filled with argon. The solvent and MMA were introduced into the flask using a syringe, and the mixture was stirred until the powder was completely dissolved. Thereafter, three freeze-pump-thaw cycles (evacuation for 15 min) were carried out and the flask was filled with argon. The septum was opened, CuCl was added in a stream of argon, the flask was closed again with the septum, three more freeze-pump-thaw cycles (evacuation for 15 min) of the reaction mixture were carried out, and the flask was filled with argon and thermostatted in an oil bath placed on a magnetic stirrer with a temperature regulator under the conditions given in Table 1.

After a given polymerization time the reaction mixture was rapidly cooled to room temperature and after opening the septum was diluted with an equal volume of THF. The resulting solution was purified from copper compounds by filtration through a column packed with Al₂O₃. The solvent was removed in vacuum, and the polymer was reprecipitated from THF in methanol. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol, and dried in vacuum at 50°C until a constant weight was reached.

Film Preparation

Copolyimide films were obtained using molding solutions in chloroform or THF (c = 8–12 wt %). The films were obtained by casting prefiltered and degassed molding solutions onto a glass substrate followed by drying via evaporation of the solvent in a special chamber at an elevated temperature and conditioning in vacuum at room temperature for a week.

Research Methods

¹H NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AC400 instrument (400 MHz) using solutions in deuterated chloroform. Chromatographic analysis (determination of molecular weights and polydispersity coefficients) was carried out on an Agilent-1260 Infinity chromatographic complex equipped with two columns with a PLgel MIXED-C sorbent (column size, 7.5 x 300 mm; particle size, 5 μm). Analysis conditions were as follows: 50°C; isocratic elution mode; eluent, DMF containing 0.1 mol/L LiBr (flow rate, 1 mL/min); and a combination of refractometric and viscometric detectors with a light scattering detector (so-called “triple” detection). MW was evaluated.

Table 1. Conditions of MMA polymerization with macroinitiators I and II

| Initiator | Composition of the reaction mixture SO₂Cl*: CuCl : dNbpy : MMA | Solvent | T, °C | Time, h | Conversion, % |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------------|
| I         | 1:1:1.5:200                                                   | Chlorobenzene | 50   | 20     | 35          |
| II        | 1:1:2:3:200                                                   | THF    | 70   | 3      | 16          |
|           | 1:1:1.5:200                                                   | THF    | 70   | 15     | 23          |
|           | 1:1:1.5:200                                                   | Chlorobenzene | 90   | 23     | 46          |
|           | 1:1:1.5:200                                                   | Chlorobenzene | 90   | 6      | 15          |
|           | 1:1:1.5:200                                                   | DMF    | 90   | 24     | 27          |

* SO₂Cl indicates the presence of sulfochloride groups in the macroinitiator.
without calibration. MATR FTIR spectra were measured on a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1S spectrophotometer with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\); the number of scans was 30.

The mechanical characteristics of the film samples were determined at room temperature in the uniaxial tension mode using an AG-100 kN X Plus universal testing apparatus (Shimadzu Corp., Japan). Film samples with a gage size of 20 × 2 mm were tested at a stretching rate of 10 mm/min. During the tests the elastic modulus \(E\), strength \(\sigma_b\), and elongation at break \(\varepsilon_b\) were estimated.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The chlorosulfonyl group, despite the ease of introduction into various low molecular weight and polymer structures, is rarely used as an initiator for cationic and radical polymerizations. As was shown earlier [26], the use of different reactivities of sulfonyl chloride and acid chloride groups under the conditions of acceptor-free polycondensation makes it possible to obtain polyesters with a lateral sulfonyl chloride group and to use them as macroinitiators of the cationic polymerization of oxazolines. In addition, the potential usefulness of such polyesters to act as macroinitiators of radical polymerization would allow the preparation of similar graft copolymers with polyacrylate-type arms.

It was found that polyesters synthesized by the polycondensation of 5-chlorosulfonylisophthalic acid dichloride with diols initiate the radical polymerization of MMA under conditions of the ATRP process. Therefore, we searched for the optimal conditions for obtaining graft copolymers with a polyester backbone and poly(methyl methacrylate) side chains (PE-graft-PMMA) which included varying the conditions for grafting polymerization: solvent, temperature, and catalyst amount (Table 1). Polyesters I and II differing in the length of the aliphatic spacer were used as macroinitiators. This made it possible to change the grafting density of side chains by three times. It was shown that homogeneous polymerization conditions ensured the occurrence of ATRP in THF, DMF, or chlorobenzene. The most suitable conditions for grafting PMMA side chains to polyesters I and II are a temperature of 50–90°C and the use of chlorobenzene as a solvent. In this case, the optimal monomer : solvent ratio was 2 : 1 if the polymerization catalyst was a CuCl/4,4′-dinonyl-2,2′-bipyridine complex.

The isolated polymer products were analyzed by \(^1\)H NMR, IR spectroscopy, and SEC. The monomer conversion was determined gravimetrically. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of macroinitiator II (Fig. 1) showed signals of aromatic protons in the range of 8.5–9.0 ppm and signals at 7.4 ppm corresponding to two aromatic protons in the ortho position to the sulfo group. Signals of protons of the methylene groups adjacent to the carboxylate and alkoxy groups were seen at 4.4 and 3.8 ppm, respectively. Signals of the other eight methylene groups overlapped and formed two multiplets in the ranges of 1.25–1.5 and 1.7–1.9 ppm. In the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the polymerization products obtained with macroinitiator II, signals of the PMMA block appeared; namely, the signal at 3.5–3.7 ppm belonged to OCH\(_3\) groups and the signal at 0.7–1.1 ppm belonged to methyl groups. A low con-
tent of aromatic protons of the main chain of macro-initiator II in comparison with the number of protons of PMMA side chains apparently determined the low intensity (at the noise level) of the signals of these protons in the spectrum of grafting products.

The grafting of PMMA chains to macroinitiator II in the IR spectrum was characterized by a change in the shape of bands in the range of 2800–3000 cm⁻¹ (due to the formation of CH₃ groups) and at 1730 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of bands at 1140 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Absorption bands in the range of 1375–1340 and 1190–1160 cm⁻¹ corresponding to asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the SO₂ group which exist in the IR spectrum of the macroinitiator are also almost invisible in the spectrum apparently owing to the low relative content of sulfo groups in the final product.

Table 2 shows the molecular weight characteristics of macroinitiators I and II and the products of MMA polymerization obtained in their presence in the three above-mentioned solvents. According to the SEC data, the products obtained in THF and DMF have multimodal chromatograms, which indicates that a mixture of polymers was obtained. For example, when polymerization was carried out in DMF, the chromatogram of the product had two separate peaks (Fig. 3, curve 2). The molecular weights corresponding to these peaks are given in Table 2. A higher molecular weight peak is obviously related to the graft copolymer, the MW of which is higher than the MW of the initiator. The low molecular weight peak corresponding to the product with a molecular weight lower than that of the initiator can be attributed to the linear homopolymer PMMA formed via chain transfer to the monomer in a polar DMF, since this product is not detected under UV irradiation in the absorption region of aromatic polyester fragments. The chromatograms of the products obtained in chlorobenzene had a symmetrical unimodal shape. The MWs of these products significantly exceeded the MWs of the corresponding initiators (Table 2).

Polymers I and II were subjected to hydrolysis under conditions that ensure complete decomposition of the ester chain [21]. The SEC analysis of the obtained PMMA homopolymers, which are grafted side chains of the initial graft copolymers, showed that their molecular weight was 30 × 10³ for copolymer I and 10 × 10³ for copolymer II; these values correspond to grafting densities of 25 and 50%.

The graft copolymers were white powders, readily soluble in most organic solvents. Transparent self-supporting films of copolymers with a thickness of 30–50 μm were obtained by casting THF or chloroform solutions on a glass substrate. It should be noted that the initial polyesters and homo-PMMA corresponding to chain components of IV are not capable of forming such thin self-supporting films. PMMA forms films detachable without destruction from the substrate at a substantially greater thickness (about 100 μm). The mechanical characteristics of films of samples III and IV, which have the same polyester backbone but differ in the length of the PMMA side chains, are given in Table 3. As can be seen,
mechanical characteristics of the films insignificantly depend on the length of side chains. The films have low values of the elongation at break ($\varepsilon_b$ is at the boundary of the brittle fracture region). This level of mechanical characteristics is typical of homo-PMMA films ($\sigma_b = 20–30$ MPa, $\varepsilon_b = 1.5–3.0\%$, $E = 2.0–2.4$ GPa), which are much thicker.

CONCLUSIONS

Using polyester-type macroinitiators containing lateral sulfanyl groups, a series of polyester-\emph{graft}-PMMA was obtained under ATRP conditions. The influence of temperature, solvent, and other process parameters on polymerization was determined. It was
shown that, when macroinitiators of the sulfonyl chloride type are used, chlorobenzene serves as an optimal solvent. Using the synthesized copolymers, self-supporting films were obtained, and their physical and mechanical properties were studied.
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