Improved engraftment and therapeutic efficacy by human genome-edited hematopoietic stem cells with Busulfan-based myeloablation
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INTRODUCTION

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation using genome-edited cells can become a definitive therapy for hematological and non-hematological disorders with neurological involvement. Proof-of-concept studies using human genome-edited hematopoietic stem cells have been hindered by the low efficiency of engraftment of the edited cells in the bone marrow and their modest efficacy in the CNS. To address these challenges, we tested a myeloablative conditioning regimen based on Busulfan in an immunocompromised model of mucopolysaccharidosis type I. Compared with sub-lethal irradiation, Busulfan conditioning enhanced the engraftment of edited CD34+ cells in the bone marrow, as well the long-term homing and survival of bone-marrow-derived cells in viscera, and in the CNS, resulting in higher transgene expression and biochemical correction in these organs. Edited cell selection using a clinically compatible marker resulted in a population with low engraftment potential. We conclude that conditioning can impact the engraftment of edited hematopoietic stem cells. Furthermore, Busulfan-conditioned recipients have a higher expression of therapeutic proteins in target organs, particularly in the CNS, constituting a better conditioning approach for non-hematological diseases with neurological involvement.

Conditioning refers to a group of treatments used to suppress the immune system and clear out stem cell niches prior to hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Treatment regimens include chemotherapy such as the alkylating agent busulfan (BU; 1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate), radiation, and monoclonal antibodies, and can be administered at different intensities based on the clinical indication.26–28 BU induces cell senescence29,30 and apoptosis31 in the host’s myeloid compartment, thereby conferring an engraftment and growth advantage for transplanted cells. In mouse models, including immunocompromised NOD scid gamma (NSG) mice, bone marrow engraftment of human CD34+ HSPCs is equivalent using both BU and irradiation,29,32,33 and donor chimerism is often dose included.3–4 NHEJ is often more efficient than HDR in HSPCs and, for this reason, has advanced faster to non-human primate models5,6 and into the clinic for diseases like sickle cell disease and beta thalassemia.7 Several groups, including ours, have achieved efficient HDR in human HSPCs for several disease models of hematological diseases such as sickle cell disease,8–11 beta thalassemia,12 X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency,13,14 X-linked chronic granulomatous disease,15,16 Fanconi anemia,17 and Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome,18,19 as well as non-hematological diseases such as the lysosomal storage diseases (LSDs), mucopolysaccharidosis type I (MPSI),20 and Gaucher disease.21 Despite significant progress, approaches based on HDR have been hindered by the decreased engraftment potential observed in HSPCs that have undergone HDR. This is in addition to the geno- and cytotoxicity associated with DSB-triggered DNA damage responses that can result in apoptosis, arrest, or differentiation.22 Across multiple loci, studies reported a 3- to 9-fold reduction in the number of modified cells that engrafted compared with the transplanted HSPC population.11,26,29,31,35–37
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dependent for both conditioning regimens until complete myeloablation is achieved.\textsuperscript{29,32,34} Despite the importance of the conditioning regimen in HSCT, a comparison of the effect of conditioning on the engraftment of human genome-edited HPSCs has not been performed.

For multi-systemic and neurological diseases such as MPSI, Gaucher, and potentially other LSDs,\textsuperscript{27} how HSCT addresses disease pathology in non-hematopoietic tissues is not entirely understood. The effect is mediated, in part, by bone-marrow-derived cells that migrate to become tissue-resident myeloid cells where they can cross-correct other cells.\textsuperscript{35} Several studies have shown that this migration is determined in large part by the conditioning regimen.\textsuperscript{36,37} In the clinic, this is traditionally achieved with high-intensity BU myeloablation, though high-dose radiation can be used in the setting of malignancy. Specifically, conditioning with BU has been shown to improve the homing to the central nervous system (CNS) by lentiviral-vector-modified mouse and human HPSCs in animal models when compared with radiation,\textsuperscript{36,37} and it is currently used in clinical settings.\textsuperscript{38,39} How human genome-edited HPSCs and their progeny engraft in the bone marrow and migrate into the CNS following BU-based myeloablation remains poorly understood.

Herein, we examined the effect of BU conditioning on the engraftment of human genome-edited CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells and their therapeutic efficacy in a mouse model of a multi-systemic disease. We used a previously developed HDR-based platform to target expression cassettes of the lysosomal enzyme alpha-L-iduronidase (IDUA) and a human cell-engraftable mouse model of MPSI, a lysosomal storage disorder resulting from IDUA deficiency.\textsuperscript{20} Using a targeted gene-addition approach, the IDUA cassettes are inserted into CCR5, a well-established safe harbor locus as germline deletions in this gene are common (up to 10\% in the Northern European population), have no overt developmental phenotype, and provide protection against HIV.\textsuperscript{40,41} We examined the edited CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells’ ability to engraft long term, express the transgene, and correct biochemical abnormalities in visceral organs and the CNS. Furthermore, to establish the optimal cell product for transplantation, we compared engraftment and biochemical correction with either non-selected cell preparations (bulk samples with modified and unmodified cells) or purified CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells expressing a clinically compatible selection marker.

RESULTS

Improved engraftment of genome-edited human CD34\textsuperscript{+} HSPCs in BU-conditioned mice

To examine the effect of the pre-transplant conditioning regimen, we used a donor template for repair previously optimized in pre-clinical studies for the expression of the IDUA enzyme for the treatment of the lysosomal disorder MPSI. We edited CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells from healthy cord blood 48 h after CD34\textsuperscript{+} isolation using the single guide RNA (sgRNA)/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) and adeno-associated virus type 6 (AAV6) system and an sgRNA targeting exon 3 of the CCR5 locus, which has been extensively characterized for having high on-target and low off-target activity.\textsuperscript{20,22} The template for HDR contained the IDUA cDNA sequence under the control of the phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) promoter and the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation (BGH-PolyA) signal flanked by 500 bp homology arms (Figure 1A).

Two days after editing, CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells were transplanted in bulk into 6- to 8-week-old mice via intrafemoral injection. For each transplant experiment and human cell donor, an equal number of cells were transplanted per mouse, but cell doses ranged between experiments depending on the degree of cell expansion during the culturing period (0.8–2.7 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells/mouse). The recipient mice are in the NSG background and carry a nonsense mutation in the Idua gene (NSG-MPSI), constituting a human cell engraftable model of the disease.\textsuperscript{20} Before transplantation, mice underwent either sublethal total body irradiation (TBI), the most common protocol used in engraftment studies using human CD34\textsuperscript{+} HSPCs, or complete myeloablation using BU. Myeloablation with BU was completed 24 h before transplant and consisted of four daily intraperitoneal injections of 17 mg/kg, followed by a single support dose of genotype-matched bone marrow (e.g., IDUA-deficient cells for homozygous NSG-MPSI mice) injected intravenously 4 days post-transplantation (2.5 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells/mouse).\textsuperscript{42} TBI was administered 2 h before transplant at a dose of 2.1 Gy (Figure 1A).

Human cell engraftment, measured by double-positive human CD45\textsuperscript{+} and human leukocyte antigen-ABC (HLA-ABC\textsuperscript{+}) cells (Figure S1), was statistically similar for both regimens in the bone marrow, peripheral blood, and spleen 20 weeks post-transplantation (Figure 1B). Median (min, max) human chimerism in bone marrow did not differ between BU and TBI, the proportion of cells with targeted integration was significantly higher in BU-conditioned mice, while indel frequency at CCR5 in cells that did not undergo HDR did not change (Figures 1C and 1D). Twenty weeks post-transplantation, BU-conditioned mice had 20% ± 13\% of edited alleles in the bone marrow as measured by droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), compared with 5.7% ± 4.1\% in the TBI group (p = 0.0002) (Figure 1D). Compared with an edited allele fraction in the input HSPC population before transplantation of 30\%, the drop in the fraction of edited alleles was 5.3-fold for TBI and 1.5-fold for BU. The TBI versus BU effect did not appear to be transgene or NSG-MPSI model specific. A similar construct targeting CCR5 but encoding for a different lysosomal enzyme (glucocerebrosidase) used to target CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells transplanted in NSG mice showed a similar effect (8- to 1.8-fold; Figure S2).

The engraftment of edited CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells with serial transplantation did not change with either regimen (Figure 1E), consistent with the idea that the initially manipulated cell product differs from the HSPC population engrafted in vivo. Median percentages of edited alleles in secondary transplants were comparable to those observed in the primary engraftment studies for both groups (BU 22\% and TBI 4.7\%). Notably, the BU group had mice where the engrafted cells
had a higher edited allele fraction in primary and secondary transplantation than the initially transplanted cells (Figure S1F), a phenomenon observed only in secondary transplants in the TBI group (Figure 1G). The in vivo multi-lineage differentiation of the edited CD34+ cells was comparable in both conditioning regimens in primary and secondary transplants as measured by the frequency of edited myeloid (CD33+), B cells (CD19+), and T cells (CD3+) in hematopoietic organs (Figure S3). These data confirm that edited HSPCs engraft long term in BU- and TBI-conditioned mice and suggest that myeloablation with BU results in a more conducive niche for the engraftment of human CD34+ HSPCs that have undergone HDR via the CRISPR-AAV6 platform.

The bone marrow niche is less pro-inflammatory in BU-conditioned mice

Previous studies in wild-type immunocompetent mice have reported that TBI triggers a more pro-inflammatory niche compared with BU.43,44 Analysis of 48 cytokines in the plasma of NSG mice at 2 and 24 h after TBI or BU conditioning showed increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., MCP-1, tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNFα], interleukin 6 [IL-6], IL1-β) only in mice treated with TBI (Figures 2A and S4). Other significant changes in cytokine levels were found at these early time points in both groups but were more pronounced with TBI (Figures 2A and S4). Analyses of cytokine production in the bone marrow 24 h post-conditioning
showed more prominent changes with TBI including significant decreases in eight cytokines. This marked reduction in cytokine production could reflect greater depletion of cytokine-producing cells in TBI-conditioned mice (Figures 2B and S5). To examine the cellular changes in the bone marrow associated with each regimen, we measured erythrocytes (TER119) and monocyte/macrophages (CD11b, Ly6C) using flow cytometry (NSG mice lack lymphocytes; Figure S6). Decreases in the Ly6C staining by population and median fluorescence intensity (MFI), as well as decreases in CD11b MFI, were more significant in TBI (Figures 2C and 2D). While both conditions resulted in lower expression of the erythrocyte marker TER119, TBI resulted in an apparent increase in this population (Figures 2E and 2F). These data suggest that at the time of CD34+ cell transplantation, the BU-conditioned bone marrow is less pro-inflammatory and likely more amenable to cell engraftment compared with TBI.

**Improved enzyme expression and biochemical correction in visceral tissues of MPSI mice**

Humans and mice affected with MPSI present with hepatosplenomegaly due to the cumulative storage of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), IDUA’s substrates, inside cells. To examine the ability of engrafted cells to migrate to visceral tissues and secrete IDUA enzyme, we analyzed IDUA activity and GAG storage in NSG-MPSI mice. We measured IDUA enzyme activity in serum, liver, and spleen and
mice had GAG levels in the normal ranges (p = 0.46; Figure 3D). Compared with normal mice (p = 0.0073), while BU-conditioned mice showed a significant reduction in GAG excretion (p < 0.0001) but still increased compared with sham-treated mice (p = 0.0007; Figure 3E). In tissues, storage of GAGs was completely normalized in the liver (medians 1.6, 6.2, and 1.6 for normal, MPSI, and transplanted mice, respectively; p < 0.0001; Figure 3F). Similarly, dermatan and heparan levels were normalized in the spleen of BU-conditioned mice (Figure 3G). Mono- and di-sulfated keratan sulfate showed no differences between groups in urine or spleen, which is expected since keratan sulfate is not a substrate of IDUA (Figure S7).

**BU conditioning enhances therapeutic efficacy in the CNS**

Given that neurodegeneration is a key feature of severe MPSI, we also compared the effect of BU conditioning versus TBI in the CNS. Twenty weeks post-transplantation, IDUA activity was significantly higher in the BU group compared with TBI, despite considerable variability in the levels of enzymatic activity in each mouse (medians 17% versus 6.8%; p = 0.0451; Figure 4A). Consistent with enhanced enzymatic correction, BU-conditioned mice showed significant reductions of GAG accumulation in the brain, whereas TBI-conditioned mice did not (p = 0.0118; Figures 4B and S8A). The statistically significant decrease in GAG storage in BU-conditioned mice was also corroborated with toluidine blue staining in Purkinje cells (p = 0.0015; Figure 4C). A consequence of increased IDUA with BU conditioning was metabolic correction was also improved. We expressed the results as the percentage of littermate heterozygous mice (Figures 3A–C). Compared with TBI, BU-conditioned mice exhibited higher IDUA enzyme activity in liver (771% versus 50%; p = 0.0409; Figure 3B) and spleen (4,460% versus 167%; p = 0.0037; Figure 3C). A consequence of increased IDUA with BU conditioning was that metabolic correction was also improved.
Figures 4C and 4D). These data suggest that conditioning with BU is superior to sub-lethal TBI at targeting the CNS.

Several groups have demonstrated the presence of bone-marrow-derived cells in the brains of mice and non-human primates following syngeneic HSCT after BU conditioning in normal37,47 and disease models.36,48 To examine this, we isolated microglia from well-perfused mouse brains using density gradients and analyzed them with flow cytometry. Mouse microglia cells (mCD45+/mCD11b+) in affected MPSI had higher light-scattering behavior consistent with larger cells with greater internal complexity (granularity), likely reflecting storage and morphological changes due to activation. This observation provided an additional quantitative tool to phenotype microglia cells in this disease model. Specifically, we found that microglia from BU-conditioned and transplanted MPSI mice had lower side scatter (SSC) compared with sham-treated MPSI mice, though the light-scattering behavior did not normalize (Figures S8B and S8C). To specifically detect human cells in the CNS, we also measured the fraction of human CD45+ cells in these microglia-enriched preparations and found a mean frequency of 1.5% hCD45+ in all live cells or 3.9% in the human and mouse CD45+ subset (Figures 4E, 4F, and S9). When examined for hCD11b and HLA-DR expression, the hCD45+ population had an almost equal distribution of single- and double-positive cells reflecting abnormal marker expression by these human cells in this xenograft model (Figure S9). As an orthogonal approach, we used ddPCR to measure the ratio of human DNA to mouse DNA in...
Figure 5. Engraftment of human genome-edited HSPCs in the CNS of NSG-SGM3 mice

(A) Representative flow cytometry plots showing mouse (mCD45+ CD11b+), human (hCD45+ CD11b+), and edited (YFP+) human microglia-like cells isolated from brain tissue of transplanted NSG-SGM3 mice. (B) Purity of murine microglia (mCD45+ CD11b+) among total myeloid CD45+ cells. (C and D) Characterization of human cells among (C) total myeloid CD45+ cells and (D) human CD45+ cell populations. (E) Proportion of human alleles measured by ddPCR in the microglia preparations, normalized by

(legend continued on next page)
the microglia fraction of transplanted mice using probes targeting the human and mouse CCRL2/Ccr12 genes. In the microglia population of transplanted mice, the median value of human DNA was 3.3% (Figure 4G). Similarly, a median of 2.6% human to mouse DNA fraction was observed in secondary recipient mice (Figure 4H). The levels of IDUA activity in the brain showed a strong positive correlation with the percentage of human DNA in the mixed microglia population \( (p = 0.007; R^2 \text{ value of } 0.9362; \text{ Figure 4H}) \), supporting that increased enzyme activity observed in the brain is the result of human cells in the brain and not from blood contamination.

To provide independent evidence for the presence of human cells in the mouse CNS, we tested a panel of human-specific antibodies in immunohistochemical studies of transplanted NSG-MPSI brains. Among these, the anti-human Ku80 antibody showed the best signal to noise and highest specificity when tested in positive control sections of mouse brains injected with human CD34+ cells edited at CCR5 locus to express yellow fluorescent protein (YFP; Figure S10). WE found human Ku80+ cells in multiple regions of the brain but primarily enriched in the leptomeninges, making up as high as 4.9% of all nuclei, and approximately half the cells were also positive for Isolectin B4 (Figures 4I–4K). This was markedly different from TBI-conditioned brains where minimal Ku80+ cells were identified (only 0.57% in the meninges; Figure 4J). Notably, despite multiple attempts, several anti-IDUA antibodies failed to detect any signal above background in immunohistochemistry, including in positive control sections with edited human cells injected intracerebroventricularly.

Together, these data suggest that conditioning with BU before transplantation with edited CD34+ cells is more effective than TBI at achieving metabolic correction in the CNS. The observed homing of BM-derived cells to the CNS could be the result of more efficient engraftment in this organ or increased cell penetration due to damage to the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Previous studies have shown that BU even at myeloablative doses does not damage the BBB while irradiation at high doses \( (10 \text{ Gy}) \) does.\(^{43} \) However, given that these studies used immunocompetent mice and different doses of TBI and BU, we examined this question in NSG mice and with our conditioning regimens. To measure BBB permeability, we looked for immunoglobulin G (IgG) leakage into the CNS parenchyma using an anti-IgG stain. Neither TBI nor BU resulted in detectable IgG staining in the parenchyma in NSG when measured 7 and 14 days post-conditioning (Figure S11). These data suggest that BBB disruption is not a major contributor to the observed increased homing of cells from the bone marrow.

**Homing to the CNS by human HSPC-derived cells in SGM3 mice**

To better determine the number, marker, and transgene expression of CNS-engrafted human genome-edited cells in the brain following BU-based myeloablation, we sought to increase the sensitivity of our system by using a reporter gene under the control of a strong ubiquitous promoter and an immunodeficient, humanized mouse model. The NSG-SGM3 transgenic mouse expresses the human cytokines IL3, SCF, and CSF2 and has been validated to improve human cell engraftment and myeloid differentiation in the context of HSPC xenotransplants.\(^{49,50} \) The insertion of the YFP-expression cassette at the CCR5 locus as well as the conditioning and experimental timeline were the same as in prior experiments, except that the mice were analyzed at 15 weeks instead of 20 due to morbidity. We achieved efficient isolation of mouse CD45+ CD11b+ microglia with clear detection of HSPC-derived human cells (Figure 5A). Mouse microglia were approximately 80% of the total CD45+ cell fraction isolated from the brain (Figure 5B). Human cells engrafted in the brain expressed the CD45, CD11b, and HLA-DR markers (Figures 5C and 5D). Notably, the median engraftment of human CD45+ cells over the total CD45+ fraction was 16% (Figure 5C). Sensitive ddPCR analyses using the DNA extracted from the total cell population isolated from the brain showed a 4% median human engraftment (Figure 5E). Genome-edited YFP+ cells were detected in the brain by flow cytometry (Figures 5F and 5G), ddPCR (Figure 4H), and histological analyses (Figure 5I). The YFP+ cells co-expressed all tested human markers (CD45, CD11b, and HLA-DR markers) and represented 1%–2% of the total (mouse plus human) CD45+ fraction or 13%–19% of the human cells, consistent with the fraction of edited alleles detected by ddPCR (Figures 5F and 5G). These results confirm that the progeny of human genome-edited HSPCs can engraft and maintain long-term transgene expression in the brain following BU conditioning.

Human cell chimerism in hematopoietic organs in the NSG-SGM3 mouse was robust but less than in the NSG model, likely due to constant CSF2 transgene-mediated mobilization from the bone marrow (Figure S12). As expected, constitutive expression of human IL3, SCF, and CSF2 resulted in robust generation of edited myeloid and T cells in bone marrow, peripheral blood, and spleen, and the editing frequencies persisted with differentiation, confirming their potential to differentiate along these lineages (Figures S12C and S12D).

**Cell selection decreases engraftment potential and therapeutic efficacy**

To establish a potential transplantation protocol that includes BU as conditioning for genome-edited CD34+ cells, we next tested the best cell product for transplantation. We compared engraftment and biochemical correction between non-selected cell preparations (bulk samples with modified and unmodified cells) to purified cells expressing a clinically compatible selection marker. We designed a new donor template containing the sequence for the truncated nerve growth factor receptor (tNGFR) after the IDUA cDNA and the self-cleaving peptide P2A (Figure 6A). tNGFR is a signaling-impaired mouse genomic DNA, (F and G) Characterization of edited YFP+ human HSPCs among (F) total CD45+ and (G) human CD45+ cell populations. (H) Fraction of edited human CCR5 alleles in cells isolated from microglia preparations. Dashed line refers to the fraction of edited alleles in the transplanted HSPC population (input). (I) Representative brain sections of transplanted mice showing YFP expression (natural fluorescence) from edited HSPCs and staining for nuclei (DAPI) and for the specific human marker Ku80. Scale bar is 100 μm. Medians shown; each dot represents a mouse, n = 4.
version of the endogenous receptor, which is not expected to be antigenic, and is not expressed in hematopoietic cells. Furthermore, tNGFR has already been used in clinical studies and has available good manufacturing practice (GMP)-compatible reagents, facilitating its translation to the clinic. Human CD34+ cells were cultured and edited as in previous experiments using the IDUA-only targeting vector, and the cells were analyzed, purified, and transplanted 4 days post-editing to allow for marker expression. CD34+ cells modified in this manner showed normal rates of expansion and distribution of clonogenic progenitors, confirming that expression of tNGFR did not affect proliferation or multi-lineage potential in vitro (Figures S13A and S13B).
We first established a GMP-compatible method for immuno-purification of tNGFR+ cells. Four days after genome editing, CD34+ cells showed cell-surface expression of tNGFR by flow cytometry (Figure 6B). The median percentage of edited alleles in IDUA-tNGFR cells measured by ddPCR was 14.5%, significantly lower than the 31% observed with the IDUA-only construct (p = 0.0034) (Figure 6C). Positive selection of tNGFR+ cells using magnetic beads enriched the tNGFR-expressing cell population from 15.5% to 72% (or 12.5%–33% edited alleles), and a second round of selection did not increase yield (Figures S13C and S13D). The potency of the tNGFR+-selected HPSCs and their in-vitro-differentiated monocyes as measured by IDUA expression was comparable to cells expressing the YFP (IDUA-YFP) and selected using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-based isolation, confirming that the inclusion of the tNGFR sequence did not affect enzyme production (Figure S14).

To examine their engraftment and therapeutic potency, tNGFR+ and tNGFR- purified populations were transplanted intrafemorally into BU-conditioned NSG-MPSI mice. Sixteen weeks after transplantation, engraftment of human cells was analyzed in bone marrow, peripheral blood, and spleen (Figure 6D). Selected IDUA-tNGFR+ cells engrafted poorly in the bone marrow (median 1.4%; min 0.01, max 19), peripheral blood (0.08%; 0.01, 1.3), and spleen (0.24%; 0.01, 8.3) compared with bulk IDUA cells (medians 73%, 8.6%, and 56%) or IDUA-tNGFR- cells (74%, 14%, and 52%). Although IDUA-tNGFR+ cells had a higher fraction of edited alleles prior to transplantation due to enrichment (median 41% tNGFR+ versus 31% IDUA), this fraction dropped in the bone marrow, resulting in a lower fraction of targeted alleles in the engrafted cells compared with cells lacking the selectable marker (13% tNGFR+ versus 20% IDUA) (Figures 6E and 6F).

Because cell selection resulted in a smaller cell dose at transplantation, to better quantify and compare the engraftment potential in IDUA+, tNGFR+, and tNGFR- cells, we calculated the total number of edited cells in the bone marrow and normalized it by the number of cells that were initially transplanted, resulting in a metric we named engraftability (Figures S15A–S15C). This analysis shows that the engraftability of selected cells is significantly lower than that of bulk cells (p = 0.0024; Figure 6G). Consistent with this, flow-based analysis of long-term repopulating HSCs (CD34+ CD38- CD45RA- CD90+ cells) in three independent cord blood donors after editing and tNGFR selection showed that the selected tNGFR+ fraction contained fewer HSCs compared with unedited cells and the tNGFR- fraction (fold decrease 1.4 ± 0.1; Figures 6H and S16). Together, cell selection seems to negatively impact the engraftment potential of the cell product, ultimately reducing the therapeutic cell dose.

The reduced engraftability and consequent lower therapeutic dose observed with tNGFR-selected cells predicted a worse performance at correcting biochemical features in the mouse model. Despite IDUA-tNGFR enzymatic activity in vitro being over 100-fold compared with unmodified cells (Figure S14C), only a few mice transplanted with IDUA-tNGFR+ CD34+ cells had detectable levels of IDUA activity in serum, liver, spleen, and brain (Figure 6I). Consequently, reduction in GAG storage was not pronounced in IDUA-tNGFR+ transplanted mice, where GAGs were only partially reduced in the spleen (p < 0.0001) but not in the brain or liver (Figure 6).

Prior studies examining FACS-based sorted edited human CD34+ cells expressing IDUA and a fluorescent reporter (YFP) also suggested that selection negatively impacted engraftment and in vivo efficacy. Experiments comparing the engraftment and biochemical correction capacity of YFP+ (cells that underwent HDR and express supraphysiologically IDUA levels) and YFP- (cells that did not undergo HDR and express endogenous IDUA levels) redemonstrated the decreased engraftability of selected cell populations that have undergone HDR (Figures S15D–S15F). Consistent with their supraphysiologically IDUA expression in culture (~50-fold; Figure S9C), YFP+ CD34+ cells were more potent at reconstituting IDUA activity in NSG-MPSI mice (Figure S15G), suggesting that the observed biochemical correction in vivo is in part due to the integrated cassette in addition to endogenous IDUA. Together, these data support that selection negatively impacts efficiency, engraftment, and phenotypic correction compared with bulk cell preparations.

**DISCUSSION**

Autologous transplantation of genome-edited HSPCs has the potential to treat many hematological and non-hematological diseases including several lysosomal and peroxisomal disorders. Despite significant advances in genome-editing efficiencies in these cells, therapeutic approaches that depend on CRISPR-Cas9-mediated HDR for making specific modifications have been hindered by a reproducible disadvantage in the long-term engraftment of the edited cells in the initial cell product used for transplantation. While this challenge can be circumvented by newer technologies like base editors and prime editing, these tools are limited to small genetic modifications. According to existing knowledge acquisition tools, the engraftment of edited HSPCs is not only widely beneficial but necessary for making larger therapeutic modifications and leveraging the versatility of targeted gene-addition approaches into safe harbors, which are still mostly dependent on HDR.

HSPCs are a heterogeneous population that includes long-term repopulating stem cells and more committed progenitors. An accepted mechanism underlying the reduced engraftment potential in HDR-edited HSPCs is that, compared with the more quiescent stem cells (HSCs), proliferating progenitors preferentially undergo HDR. This loss of engraftment capacity is further supported by our experiments selecting HDR-edited HSPCs. Interestingly, this phenomenon is also observed in HSPCs modified by lentiviral vectors, which transduce dividing and non-dividing cells, suggesting that other factors, such as in vivo culturing conditions or the susceptibility of HSCs to modification, might also play a role. Notably, edited HSPCs produced in vivo after serial transplantation have normal long-term engraftment potential, suggesting that this phenomenon is specific to the transplanted population and not to genome editing or the transgene per se.
Herein, we sought to determine the effect of the pre-conditioning regimen on the engraftment of edited human CD34+ cells and on phenotypic correction in a non-hematological disease model with prominent CNS involvement (MPSI). Across multiple human cell donors and compared with sub-lethal radiation in immunocompromised mice, BU-mediated myeloablation resulted in higher engraftment of edited cells in all organs tested. This implies that BU-based myeloablation produces a niche that is more conducive for the engraftment of edited hematopoietic stem cells. Comprehensive cytokine profiling in plasma and bone marrow suggests that niche amenability might be impacted by the cytokine milieu and that BU is less pro-inflammatory than TBI. Interestingly, human cell chimeraism in the bone marrow was the same in both protocols, suggesting that this effect cannot be explained by different degrees of myelosuppression. In human diseases for which autologous transplantation of genome-edited HSPCs would be considered, BU will be the myeloablative clinical agent of choice. Compared with irradiation, BU is less toxic, produces fewer adverse events, and elicits less inflammatory reactions, which is important especially in the context of graft-versus-host disease. BU myeloablation has also been successfully combined with immunosuppression to ensure efficient engraftment of lentiviral-vector-modified CD34+ cells in non-human primates (NHPs) and in humans to prevent anti-transgene immune responses.

Consistent with higher engraftment of edited cells, biochemical correction as measured by transgene expression (IDUA) and metabolic storage (GAG) was improved. We observed significantly increased biochemical correction in the brain of NSG-MPSI mice conditioned with BU compared with TBI. Enzyme levels were 2.5-fold higher in BU-treated mice, and a significant reduction in brain GAG storage and in Purkinje cells in the cerebellum was also observed. This improved tissue correction is likely due to a combination of improved engraftment of edited cells in the bone marrow (higher therapeutic cell dose) and enhanced tissue recruitment of bone marrow (BM)-derived cells. In the CNS in particular, prior studies have shown that BU-based myeloablation results in better infiltration of BM-derived cells in the CNS, and we saw, for the first time, the progeny of human-edited HSPCs in the mouse brain. Specifically, compared with sub-lethal TBI, BU likely results in a greater migration of BM-derived cells to microglia/microglia niches. How BU enhances homing to the CNS is not well understood, but overt BBB disruption does not seem to be a major factor. BU is BBB permeable and achieves plasma-equivalent concentrations, exerting long-term effects in the CNS and suggesting that conditioning elicits changes in the CNS parenchyma that prompt the recruitment and long-term survival of cells from the BM. Several studies demonstrate that BU affects the microglia niche by reducing microglia density and inducing cell senescence, resulting in a loss of regenerative capacity that enables repopulation from BM-derived cells. It is likely that similar phenomena take place in macrophage niches in other organs. Other possible reasons for BU’s superiority compared with TBI are the long-term CNS production of MCP-1, an essential cytokine for monocyte recruitment, and the release of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), which is responsible for the proliferation and mobilization of hematopoietic cells. At much higher doses than used here, TBI disrupts the BBB and provokes an intense release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, but this seems transitory without affecting long-term cell migration.

To establish a potential transplantation protocol that includes BU as conditioning for genome-edited HSPCs, we also examined the long-term repopulation potential and therapeutic efficacy of selected and non-selected preparations of edited HSPCs that had undergone HDR. We determined that selection not only complicates the manufacturing protocol and reduces yield but results in a population with reduced engraftment potential. These results are consistent with the idea that HDR happens preferentially in the progenitor population but could also suggest that preparations containing unedited cells could support engraftment of edited cells. These data are also consistent with a previous study where the selection of edited cells was based on YFP expression and FACS. However, it was not clear if the lower efficiency observed was due to toxicity caused by cytoplasmic YFP.

The study highlights the importance of the pre-conditioning regimen in studies using genome edited HSPCs. Increased engraftment of edited HSPCs will benefit the development of this approach for hematological and non-hematological diseases, but it is of particular interest in diseases with neurological involvement. Specifically, our data support the development of protocols that combine BU with unselected preparations of cells as a general strategy for several diseases. For MPSI, we provide convincing data of phenotypic correction in the CNS that further support the clinical development of human genome-edited HSPCs for this disease and other lysosomal storage disorders.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and isolation of CD34+ HSPCs**

CD34+ HSPCs were collected from umbilical cord blood obtained from healthy donors under informed consent via the Binns Program for Cord Blood Research at Stanford University. The mononuclear cells were isolated by Ficoll Paque Plus density gradient centrifugation. CD34+ HSPCs were positively selected using CD34+ Microbead Kit Ultrapure (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were used fresh or immediately frozen after CD34+ isolation. Cells were cultured for 48 h prior to editing at 37 °C, 5% CO2, and 5% O2 in growth culture media consisting of StemSpan SFEM II (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada), SCF (100 ng/mL), TPO (100 ng/mL), Flt3-Ligand (100 ng/mL), IL-6 (100 ng/mL), UM171 (35 nM), and StemRegenin1 (0.75 μM).

**AAV donor plasmids and viral vector construction**

Human genomic DNA was used as template to PCR-amplify 500 bp of sequence upstream and downstream of the CCR5 sgRNA cut site to serve as left and right homology arms in the donor vectors. PGK, IDUA, tNGFR, spleen focus-forming virus (SFFV), and YFP sequences were amplified from plasmids. Fragments generated were assembled by Gibson Assembly into the pAAV-MCS plasmid (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). rAAV6 viruses encoding IDUA were produced and purified by Vigene Biosciences (Rockville, MD, USA).
USA), while SFFV-YFP was purified in house using iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation to remove impurities and empty capsids. Titers were performed using ddPCR and the following ITR2 oligos: 5′-GCCTCAGTGACCCAGA-3′, 5′-GGAAACCTAGTGATGGATT-3′, 5′-FAM-/CACTCCCTC/ZEN/TCTGCGGCCTCG-3′ABkFQ-3′.

Electroporation and transduction of cells
The CCR5 gRNA used was chemically modified and purchased from TriLink BioTechnologies (San Diego, CA, USA). The sequence was previously described: 5′-GCGAGTACGTGAGCCAGAA-3′. Cas9 protein was purchased from Aldevron (Fargo, ND, USA). For intraacellular delivery, the RNP, Cas9, and gRNA were complexed by pre-mixing at room temperature at a molar ratio of 1:2.5 and were electroporated in HSPCs 48 h after CD34+ isolation using Lonza Nuclear 4D, program DZ-100, in P3 primary cell culture. One million cells were electroporated in 100 μL with 30 μg Cas9 protein complexed with 15 μg gRNA. Immediately after electroporation, cells were rescued with warm growth culture media, and rAAV6 vectors complexed with 15 μg gRNA. Colony-forming units were harvested at 8 days after differentiation, were analyzed by flow cytometry upon staining with the following antibodies: anti-human CD45 PB (CD45, W6/32, Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA), ME20.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for measuring CD14+ cells and non-adherent myeloid/monocyte cells, collected 8 days after differentiation, were analyzed by flow cytometry upon staining with the following antibodies: anti-human CD45 PB (CD45, W6/32, Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA), ME20.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and anti-Biotin TGNFR (Biolegend), the eBioscience Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 450 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Stained cells were acquired using Cytoflex (Beckman Coulter, Jersey City, NJ, USA) and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA). Analysis of CD34+ HSPCs and derived myeloid cells in culture
Seven days after genome editing with either the PGK-IDUA-P2A-YFP or the PGK-IDUA-P2A-tNGFR donors, the cord-blood-derived HSPCs were FACS sorted to isolate YFP+, YFP-, NGFR+, and NGFR- cells. The sorted cells (5 × 10⁶ cells/sample) were used to measure IDUA enzyme activity as described above. Sorted cells (5 × 10⁶ cells/sample) were differentiated toward the myeloid/monocyte lineage for 8 days and collected to measure IDUA enzyme activity (5 × 10⁶ cells/sample). To differentiate CD34+ cells toward the myeloid/monocyte lineage, the cells were cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, and 21% O₂ on Cx0 Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Matrix (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA; 35-330-1002) in StemSpan SFEM II supplemented with human cytokines (PeproTech, East Windsor, NJ, USA): M-CSF (50 ng/mL), Flt-3-Ligand (50 ng/mL), and GM-CSF (25 ng/mL). Control undifferentiated HSPCs and non-adherent myeloid/monocyte cells, collected 8 days after differentiation, were analyzed by flow cytometry upon staining with the following antibodies: anti-human CD45 PB (2D1, Biolegend), anti-human CD14 APC (61D3, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Analysis of myeloid cells in NSG BM

BM was collected by flushing femurs and tibiae using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 1X (no calcium/no magnesium). BM cells were stained for flow cytometry analyses using the following antibodies: CD45.1 PE-Cy7 (A20, eBioScience), TER119 PE-Cy5 (TER-119, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), CD11b PE (M1/70, Biolegend), Ly6C BV605 (AL-21, Fisher Scientific), CD3 APC (17A2, eBioScience), CD19-PB (6D5, Biolegend), and eFluor 780 viability dye (eBioScience). Stained cells were acquired using FlowJo software (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo).

Mice

NOD.C-PrkdcsidIL2rgtm1Wjl/Sz [NSG] IDUA X/X [MPS I] (NSG-MPS1) mice were previously described, and NSG-SGM3 (SGM3) expressing human IL3, GM-CSF (CSF2), and SCF (KITLG) were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (NOD.Cg-Prkdcsid Il2rgtm1Wjl Tg(CMV-IL3,CSF2,KITTLG)1Eav/MloySzJ; Jax stock no.: 013062). Mice were housed in the animal barrier facility at Stanford University in a 12 h dark/light cycle, temperature- and humidity-controlled environment. Sterile bedding, food, and water were used. Cages were sterile and ventilated individually. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health institutional guidelines and were approved by the University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care (IACUC 33365).

Conditioning regimens

BU conditioning was done with four daily doses of 17 mg/kg, 24 h apart, in a total dose of 68 mg/kg. Myeloablation was confirmed, as BU-conditioned mice that did not receive support of blood and BM cells died up to 20 days post-treatment. BU was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and dissolved in sterile DMSO at 40 mg/kg aliquots, stored at −20°C. Immediately before administration, BU stock solutions were thawed and diluted in PBS 1X to 2 mg/kg. BU was injected intraperitoneally in volumes not higher than 250 μL. TBI was performed 2 h before transplantation at a dose of 2.1 Gy.

CD34+ cell transplantation

Transplantations were performed 24 h after the last BU dose or 1 h after TBI. IDUA-edited bulk (800,000–2,700,000 cells/mouse), IDUA-tNGFR+ (100,000–1,450,000 cells/mouse) or IDUA-tNGFR- (550,000–1,600,000 cells/mouse) cells were suspended in 25 μL of PBS 1X and transfused intravenously in anesthetized mice. This route was chosen to minimize hematopoietic stem cell loss in the peripheral organs and to encourage engraftment by putting the cells directly into the BM environment. For the BU-TBI comparisons, the same number of total cells were transplanted per condition. Bulk preparations were transplanted 48 h after editing, while tNGFR cells were selected 96 h after editing and transplanted immediately after selection. Secondary transplants were performed after the collection of BM from primary recipient mice and immunomagnetic selection of CD34+ cells. Four days after transplantation, BM and blood cells collected from IDUA knockout mice were given intravenously to all BU-conditioned mice for support (5 × 10^6 cells/mouse). For supportive BM transplants, femurs and tibiae were flushed using PBS 1X (no calcium/no magnesium) supplemented with 4U/mL heparin (H3149-500KU, Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were filtered sequentially through 100 and 45 μm cell strainers and washed twice with PBS 1X (no calcium/no magnesium). 2–5 × 10^6 cells/mouse were injected intravenously in a total volume of 100 μL PBS 1X (no calcium/no magnesium).

SGM3 mice were transplanted with bulk HSPCs edited with the SFFV-YFP donor (1 × 10^6 cells/mouse for the intravenous study, Figures 4 and S7, or 1 × 10^5 cells/ventricle for the intracerebroventricular study, Figure S6). Support BM and blood cells collected from an untreated SGM3 mouse were given intravenously to all BU-conditioned SGM3 mice (1.5 × 10^6 cells/mouse).

Assessment of human engraftment in hematopoietic organs

Fifteen to 20 weeks after transplantation, mice were euthanized, and peripheral blood, BM, and spleen were collected. After several washes and treatment with ammonium chloride to remove erythrocytes, cells were treated with 10% vol/vol TrueStain FcX (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) to block non-specific antibody binding. Cells were stained in the dark for 30 min on ice using the following antibodies: anti-human HLA-ABC APC-Cy7 (W6/32, BioLegend), anti-mouse/human CD45.1 PE-Cy7 (A20, eBioScience, San Diego, CA, USA), anti-human CD45 PB (2D1, Biolegend), CD19 APC (HB19, BD Biosciences), CD33 PE (WM53, BD Biosciences), anti-mouse/human TER-c119 PE-Cy5 (TER-119, BD Biosciences), and CD3 BV650 (HI133A, BioLegend). After staining, cells were washed and resuspended in MACS buffer containing propidium iodide to detect dead cells. Samples were analyzed in a BD FACSAria II flow cytometer, and human engraftment was given by double-positive human HLA-ABC+ human CD45+ cells.

Microglia isolation and flow cytometry analysis

Brains were dissected from mice after transcardial perfusion, and approximately one quarter (for MPS1 mice) or one sagittal half (for SGM3 mice) of the organ was used for microglia isolation using a modified version of a reported method. The piece of tissue was minced in ice-cold Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) 1X, washed, and then incubated with enzyme mix 1 (containing enzyme P and buffer X from Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit P, Miltenyi Biotec) at 37°C for 15 min. After incubation, enzyme mix 2 (enzyme A + buffer Y) was added, and the sample was incubated for another 15 min, with eventual up-and-down pipetting during the total 30 min incubation. Digested samples were passed through a 70 μm cell strainer until no big pieces of tissue remained. After washing with HBSS 1X for 320 × g at 4°C, the pellet was resuspended in 33% Percoll (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) and centrifuged for 15 min, 700 × g at 18°C.
with brakes off and acceleration 7. The resulting gradient contained myelin on top and the microglia pelleted, which was collected, washed with FACS-BL buffer (PBS 1X, 5% fetal bovine serum, 1% bovine serum albumin), and pelleted again for DNA extraction and flow cytometry analyses. For flow cytometry antibody staining, the cell extracts were incubated in FACS-BL with 10% vol/vol Fc-receptor-blocking solutions (TruStain FcX, BioLegend, and Mouse BD Fc Block, Biosciences) to block non-specific antibody binding. Cell were then stained in the dark for 30 min on ice using the following antibodies: anti-mouse CD45.1 PE-Cy7 (A20, eBioScience, San Diego, CA, USA), anti-mouse/human HLA-DR BV645 (LN3, Thermo Fisher Scientific). After staining, cells were washed and resuspended in FACS-BL buffer containing propidium iodide (1 μg/mL) to detect dead cells. Samples were analyzed in BD FACSARia II flow cytometer or Cytoflex (Beckman Coulter). Mouse microglia cells and human microglia-like/myeloid cells were identified by the specific marker labelling and quantified by FlowJo software (FlowJo).

**IDIU activity assay**

IDIU enzyme activity was measured by the fluorescent specific substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl-z-L-iduronide (4MU-iduronide) (LC Scientific, Concord, ON, Canada), as described previously. Briefly, the 4-methylumbelliferyl-iduronide substrate was diluted at 6.6 mM with sodium formate buffer (0.4 M, pH 3.5). Substrate and tissue homogenates were mixed 1:1 to a final volume of 40 μL and incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. To stop the reaction, 200 μL glycine carbonate buffer (pH 10.4) was added. The fluorescence was measured in a plate reader (SpectraMax iD5, Molecular Devices) with excitation at 355 nm and emission at 460 nm, and results were calculated using a 4-MU standard curve.

**Analysis of GAGs using the DMB method**

Urine and tissue GAGs were measured with the modified DMB assay and by LC/MS-MS, as described in detail previously. For DMB, tissue samples (10–30 mg) were incubated for 3 h, 2,000 RPM, at 65 °C in papain digest solution (calcin- and magnesium-free PBS containing 1% papain suspension [Sigma], 5 mM cysteine, and 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) to a final concentration of 0.05 mg tissue/mL buffer. Urine samples were diluted in water accordingly in order to be within the linear range of the assay. Fifty μL of tissue extract or diluted urine were incubated with 200 μL DMB reagent (9:1 31 μM DMB stock in formiate buffer 55 mM C14/C10, 200 μM M Tris base). The samples were read on a microplate reader at 520 nm and compared with a heparan sulfate standard curve. GAGs in tissue or urine were normalized by protein and creatinine contents, respectively.

**Analysis of GAGs by LC/MS-MS**

Disaccharides were produced from polymer GAGs by digestion with chondroitinase B, heparitinase, and keratanase II, resulting in DS (di-OS), HS (diHS-NS, diHS-OS), and KS (mono-sulfated KS, di-sulfated KS). Chondrosine was used as an internal standard (IS). Unsaturated disaccharides, ΔDiHS-NS, ΔDiHS-0S, ΔDi-4S, monosulfated KS, and di-sulfated KS were obtained from Seikagaku (Tokyo, Japan) and used to make standard curves. Stock solutions ΔDiHS-NS (100 μg/mL), ΔDiHS-0S (100 μg/mL), and ΔDi-4S (250 μg/mL) and mono- and di-sulfated KS (1,000 μg/mL) and IS (5 mg/mL) were prepared separately in milliQ water. Standard working solutions of ΔDiHS-NS, ΔDiHS-0S, ΔDi-4S (7.8125, 15.625, 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1,000 ng/mL) and mono- and di-sulfated KS (80, 160, 310, 630, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, and 10,000 ng/mL), each mixed with IS solution (5 μg/mL), were prepared. Mass spectrometer apparatus, run condition, brain homogenate preparation, and disaccharide analysis were done as described in the supplemental methods.

**Immunofluorescence**

After bleeding, mice were transcardially perfused with PBS (pH 7.4) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Brains were fixed overnight at 4°C. For frozen sections, brains were transferred to a 30% sucrose solution overnight for cryopreservation, embedded in Tissue-Tek optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound, and cut (15–20 μm sections) on a freezing cryostat (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany, CM3050). All tissue was stored at −80°C until further use. For immunohistochemistry, slides were washed in PBS to remove excess OCT. Sections were blocked in 10% normal goat plasma (NGS; Gibco) containing 0.25–3% Triton X-100 for 1 h at 25°C. Primary antibody (anti-human Ku80, STEM101, Y4040, Takara Bio, San Jose, CA, USA) was applied overnight in 10% NGS with 0.1% Triton X-100 on a freezing cryostat (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany, CM3050). All tissue was stored at −80°C until further use. For immunohistochemistry, slides were washed in PBS to remove excess OCT. Sections were blocked in 10% normal goat plasma (NGS; Gibco) containing 0.25–3% Triton X-100 for 1 h at 25°C. Primary antibody (anti-human Ku80, STEM101, Y4040, Takara Bio, San Jose, CA, USA) was applied overnight in 10% NGS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.01% sodium azide, and the slides were incubated with a working solution of 5 μg/mL in calcium-containing PBS for 1 h at 25°C. Slides were then washed in PBS with 0.1% BSA, counterstained with Hoechst 3342 (PI62249, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and mounted in Aqua Poly/Mount (Polysciences) for fluorescent microscopy.

**Histological assessment with toluidine blue**

GAG quantification in cerebellum was performed as described previously. Briefly, PFA-fixed brains were embedded in paraffin and cut in thin cross sections (4 μm). Resulting slides were submitted to routine histological processing and stained with toluidine blue, where storage can be observed in Purkinje cells as white vacuoles in the cytoplasm. Slides were analyzed by a researcher blinded to the groups, counting vacuole-positive cells in 10 high-power fields (1,000 X).

**Cytokine measurement and BM phenotype after conditioning**

Ten-week-old female NSG mice were conditioned with TBI (2.1 Gy, n = 5) or BU (66 mg/kg, n = 5) or left untreated (Untreated, n = 4);
samples were collected at 2 and 24 h after conditioning. Blood was collected in Safe-T-fill Dipotassium EDTA tubes (RAM Scientific, Nashville, TN, USA), and plasma was separated by centrifugation at 200 × g for 10 min. BM was collected by flushing femurs and tibiae using PBS 1X (no calcium/no magnesium). BM homogenates were prepared using RIPA Lysis buffer 1X (G Biosciences, St. Louis, MO, USA) and were supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Sigma-Alrich), and protein concentration was normalized using the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mouse cytokines were quantified using the Immune Monitoring 48-Plex Mouse ProcartaPlex Panel (EPX480-20834-901, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Luminescent assay was performed at the Stanford Immune Monitoring Center (HIMC, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA). Plasma samples were diluted 3 folds with PBS 1X and run in duplicates. BM homogenates, normalized to 5 mg/mL, were run undiluted, in duplicates. BM cells were stained for flow cytometry analyses using the following antibodies: CD45.1 PE-Cy7 (A20, eBioScience), TER119 PE-Cy5 (TER-119, BD Biosciences), CD11b PE (M1/70, Biolegend), Ly6C BV605 (AL-21, Fisher Scientific), CD3 APC (17A2, eBioScience), CD19-BV421 (M35-10D2, BD Biosciences), and eFluor 780 viability Dye (eBioScience). Stained cells were acquired using Cytoflex (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo).

**IgG immunostaining**

Thirty-five μm-thick sections were washed in 0.01 M PBS and then incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide (methanol: 30% H2O2 = 1:1 in 0.01 M PBS) for 20 min and permeabilized with PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100 (PBST, 3 × 10 min). The sections were blocked in 10% normal goat serum at room temperature (RT) for 1 h, followed by incubation with biotinylated-goat anti-mouse IgG (#BA9200, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Free-floating sections were subsequently washed in permeabilizing buffer three times. For detection of mouse IgG, sections were incubated in avidin Vectastain ABC KIT (#sk4600, Vector Laboratories) for 30 min and revealed for 5 min using Vector NovaRed peroxidase substrate (#sk4800, Vector Laboratories). The reaction was stopped with PBS (3 × 5 min), and sections were mounted to a glass slide. Then samples were air dried in air overnight and dehydrated using isopropanol xylene before being cover slipped with Aqua/polymount (Polyscience, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Images were captured under a light microscope using a 2X lens (B-Z Series, Keyence, Osaka, Japan). Pixel intensity was calculated using Fiji software.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism v.8 for macOS X software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Normality tests were conducted for all groups, and means were presented when data passed D’Agostino & Pearson, Shapiro-Wilk, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) normality tests. ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc and two-way unpaired t tests were conducted in normally distributed data; Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were performed in not normally distributed sets.
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