LncRNA TM4SF19-AS1 exacerbates cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and EMT in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma via enhancing LAMC1 expression
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ABSTRACT
Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a heterogeneous and aggressive tumor with high mortality and unfavorable prognosis. Numerous long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been confirmed to exert pivotal parts in cancers. Nevertheless, the functions of most lncRNAs in HNSCC need deeper exploration. Our present research tried to clarify the biological role of TM4SF19 antisense RNA 1 (TM4SF19-AS1) and investigate its regulatory mechanism in HNSCC. RT-qPCR analysis was done to test TM4SF19-AS1 expression and identify the up-regulation of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC cells. Loss-of-function assays were also involved, and the data implied that TM4SF19-AS1 knockdown hampered the proliferation, migration, invasion, along with epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of HNSCC cells. In vivo assays revealed TM4SF19-AS1 depletion restrained HNSCC tumor growth. Additionally, mechanism experiments were implemented to uncover the underlying regulatory mechanism of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC cells. It turned out that TM4SF19-AS1 modulated laminin subunit gamma 1 (LAMC1) expression via sequestering microRNA-153-3p (miR-153-3p) and recruiting heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein C (HNRNPC) protein. Rescue assays confirmed that TM4SF19-AS1 contributed to HNSCC cell malignant behaviors via up-regulating LAMC1. To summarize, TM4SF19-AS1 played an oncogenic role in HNSCC cells, signifying TM4SF19-AS1 may have the potential to be used as a novel molecular target for HNSCC diagnosis.

Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is considered to be a heterogeneous malignancy responsible for high morbidity and fatality. It encompasses various tumors that are derived from the squamous epithelium of the oral cavity, oropharynx, larynx, and hypopharynx. It is commonly considered that tobacco and HPV infection are the main risk factors of HNSCC. Currently, the main treatment options for HNSCC are surgery and radiotherapy. However, radiotherapy resistance and frequent relapse constantly influence the therapeutic effect of HNSCC. As a result, the treatment of HNSCC remains tough and challenging. Targeted therapy is determined as a novel strategy in head and neck cancers. Under this condition, the emergence of biomarkers is of great value to the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of HNSCC.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are classified as transcripts, which have over 200 nucleotides in length and lack coding potential. During the past years, growing evidence has supported that dysregulated lncRNAs can make crucial influence on malignant cell phenotypes in cancers. Referring to precious research, lncRNA SNHG7 has been uncovered to facilitate lung cancer initiation and progression via up-regulating FAIM2 expression. As reported by Qin et al., lncRNA MIR155 HG prompts pancreatic cancer process by serving as a sponge of miR-802. Zhang et al. have pointed out that LINC01446 functions as an oncogene in glioblastoma through ceRNA mechanism. LncRNAs widely participate in the biological processes of HNSCC through gene regulation. According to published research work, lncRNA UCA1 affects the proliferation and cisplatin resistance of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells through absorbing miR-184. Another study has uncovered the oncogenic effect of LINC00460/miR-612-AKT2 axis on HNSCC. Aside from that, Yang et al. have illustrated lncRNA FKBP9P1 expedites HNSCC development via modulation of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway.

Zhang et al. have mentioned that TM4SF19-AS1 is one of the lncRNAs associated with overall survival and prognosis of HNSCC. However, whether TM4SF19-AS1 influences malignant phenotypes of HNSCC has not been studied. In this study, we pay attention to discussing the role of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC cells and investigating into the possible regulatory mechanisms behind TM4SF19-AS1.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

HNSCC cell lines (SCC-25, SCC-9, CAL-27, and FaDu) were all procured from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA), and human normal squamous epithelial cell line (NOK) was procured from Huatuo Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen, China). As for the culture media, SCC-25 and SCC-9 cell lines were incubated in the mixture of Dulbecco’s...
modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and Ham’s F12 medium (1:1). FaDu cell line was left to grow in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM), while CAL-27 and NOK cell lines were cultivated in DMEM. The above mentioned culture media were all added with 10% FBS and kept under the condition of 37°C and 5% CO₂.

**Cell transfection**

Short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting TM4SF19-AS1 or HNRNPC, along with negative control shRNA (sh-NC) were offered by Genechem (Shanghai, China). In addition, pcDNA3.1 vectors targeting LAMC1 as well as the empty vector were purchased from Genechem. MiR-153-3p mimics/inhibitor and the negative control (Control mimics/NC inhibitor) were provided by RiboBio (Shanghai, China). Lipofectamine 3000 procured from Invitrogen company (Carlsbad, CA, USA) was applied to conduct cell transfection.

**Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis**

Above all, total RNA was collected via using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen). After that, cDNAs reversely transcribed from RNAs were obtained by using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, USA). SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was applied to examine RNA expression. The normalization of gene expression was dependent on GAPDH or U6 based on 2^ΔΔCt method. The independent assay was carried out in triplicate.

**Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay**

In the beginning, cells were seeded into 96-well plates (5 × 10³ cells/well). Later, CCK8 solution was added. Eventually, cell viability was analyzed by a spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following another 2-hour incubation. The independent assay was conducted in triplicate.

**5-Ethynyl-2’-deoxyuridine (EdU)**

To detect the changes of cell proliferation, EdU detection kit (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China) was employed following supplier’s instruction. Firstly, cells were incubated in 96-well plates, followed by the treatment of 50 μM EdU medium diluent for 2 h. Subsequently, cells were treated with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% Triton X-100. Afterward, the cells were incubated with 100 μL of 1 × Apollo® 488 fluorescent staining reaction. At last, cells were stained with DAPI and the staining was observed with the use of a fluorescence microscope. The assay was independently implemented in triplicate.

**Wound healing assay**

HNSCC cells (3 × 10³) were seeded in 6-well plates. Upon 80% cell confluence, the cells were moved to serum-free medium for incubation. Next, a straight wound was scratched on each well utilizing a pipette tip. After incubation for 24 more hours, scratches were observed and photographed. The experiment was independently performed in triplicate.

**Transwell assay**

To detect cell migration, transfected cells were suspended in serum-free culture media and plated into the top compartment of transwell plates. Then, the lower compartment containing 500 μL complete medium was prepared as well. After 24 h, cells that penetrated the interlayer to the other part accepted crystal violet staining. To observe cell invasion, the upper compartment was additionally coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences San Diego, CA, USA), and the rest of procedures were the same as transwell migration assay. The assay was independently implemented three times.

**Subcellular fractionation**

By means of PARIS™ Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX), cytoplasmic and nuclear fragments of HNSCC cells were divided. GAPDH and U6 were deemed as cytoplasmic and nuclear reference, severally. The assay was independently implemented three times.

**Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)**

After cell fixation in 4% formaldehyde, PBS with 0.5% Triton X-100 was utilized to permeabilize HNSCC cells. Then, cells were hybridized with fluorescence-conjugated TM4SF19-AS1 probe in buffer and subsequently counterstained with DAPI solution. The assay was independently implemented in triplicate.

**Immunofluorescence (IF)**

For IF analysis, HNRNPC primary antibody was first incubated with permeabilized HNSCC cells overnight at 4°C. Afterward, FITC-conjugated secondary antibody was co-cultivated as well. With the application of a confocal laser microscope (Olympus), images were captured. The independent assay was performed three times.

**RNA pull down assay**

Biotinylated (Bio) TM4SF19-AS1 probe was used to incubate with lysed cells. Next, magnetic beads were used to incubate with the obtained mixture. The complex precipitated with beads was purified for the subsequent RT-qPCR or western blot analysis. The experiment was independently implemented three times.

**RNA binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP)**

RIP assay was performed by employing Z-Magna RIP™ RNA-binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). RIP lysis buffer was added to lyse HNSCC cells. Afterward, cell lysates were co-cultivated with anti-IgG (Abcam), anti-Ago2 (Abcam) or anti-HNRNPC (Abcam). Eventually, the enrichment of TM4SF19-AS1, miR-153-3p and LAMC1 was examined by RT-qPCR analysis. The independent assay was performed three times.
Luciferase reporter assay

The projected miR-153-3p binding regions on TM4SF19-AS1 or LAMC1 mRNA were cloned into psiCHECK-2 vector. Following the co-transfection of the former plasmids synthesized and miR-153-3p mimics/Control mimics into HNSCC cells, the Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) was finally adopted to test the luciferase activity. The independent experiment was performed three times.

Western blot analysis

In this assay, proteins were first extracted from HNSCC cells, and then transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore). After that, specific primary antibodies were added to the membranes sealed up with nonfat milk. β-actin worked as internal reference. Next, the incubation of secondary antibody was carried out. In the end, Chemiluminescence system (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) was employed to quantify protein expression. The experiment was independently implemented in triplicate.

Xenograft assay

Balb/c nude mice were provided by Model animal Institute of Nanjing University. SCC-25 cells were transfected with sh-TM4SF19-AS1#1 or sh-NC. Subsequently, mice were divided into different groups by random selection, and were injected with the stably transfected cells. The tumor volume was monitored every 3 days starting from the fourth day. Twenty-eight days later, xenograft tumors were resected from the mice and weighed. Animal study was approved by First Hospital of Jilin University.

Statistical analysis

The data were processed with the application of SPSS 22.0 statistical software package. The gathered quantitative data were demonstrated in the form of mean ± standard deviation (SD). The data difference between two groups or among multiple groups was evaluated using Student’s t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA). All independent experiments were operated three times. Statistics difference had significance only when P value was smaller than 0.05.

Results

LncRNA TM4SF19-AS1 exhibits high expression in HNSCC

To assess the level of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC, we initially analyzed data from GEPIA 2 database (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/index) and the boxplot implied that TM4SF19-AS1 expression was remarkably higher in HNSCC tissues relative to normal tissues (Figure 1a). The data obtained from starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/index.php) also revealed that in comparison with 44 normal tissues, TM4SF19-AS1 was remarkably up-regulated in 502 HNSCC tissues (Figure 1b). In addition, RT-qPCR examined TM4SF19-AS1 expression in HNSCC cell lines (SCC-25, SCC-9, CAL-27, and FaDu) and normal cell line (NOK). We noticed that TM4SF19-AS1 was significantly up-regulated in HNSCC cells (Figure 1c), especially in SCC-25 and SCC-9 cells, for which the two cell lines were involved in the following investigation. The above results suggested that TM4SF19-AS1 exhibited high expression in HNSCC.

Deficiency of TM4SF19-AS1 suppresses HNSCC cell phenotype and tumor growth

To better understand the function of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC cells, loss-of-function assays were implemented. Above all, sh-TM4SF19-AS1#1/2/3 was utilized to cut down TM4SF19-AS1 expression, and sh-TM4SF19-AS1#1 and sh-TM4SF19-AS1#2 exhibited the best interference efficiency (Figure 2a). As demonstrated in CCK-8 assay, the viability of HNSCC cells was restricted when TM4SF19-AS1 was silenced (Figure 2b). Likewise, the experimental results of EdU assay also indicated that TM4SF19-AS1 down-regulation dramatically hindered cell proliferation (Figure 2c). Furthermore, wound healing assay clarified that after TM4SF19-AS1 was decreased, the migratory capacity of HNSCC cells was attenuated (Figure 2d). Subsequently, cell migratory and invasive processes were monitored in transwell assays, and the collected data reflected that depletion of TM4SF19-AS1 led to the suppression of the migration and invasion of HNSCC cells (Figure 2e-f). The following western blot examined the expression of markers linked to EMT. It turned out that TM4SF19-AS1 knockdown elevated E-cadherin expression while decreasing N-cadherin and Vimentin levels (Figure 2g). Furthermore, we also conducted in vivo assays. It turned out TM4SF19-AS1
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**Figure 1. LncRNA TM4SF19-AS1 exhibits high expression in HNSCC.** (a) The level of TM4SF19-AS1 in 519 HNSCC tissues and 44 normal tissues was obtained from GEPIA 2 database. (b) StarBase demonstrated TM4SF19-AS1 expression in HNSCC tissues. (c) RT-qPCR analyzed TM4SF19-AS1 expression in HNSCC cells and NOK cells. *P < .05, **P < .01.
depletion led to inhibited tumor growth (Figure S1A-C). To be summarized, TM4SF19-AS1 contributed to the proliferation, migration, invasion, along with EMT of HNSCC cells, and it also drove HNSCC tumor growth.

**TM4SF19-AS1 binds to miR-153-3p**

To unveil the possible regulatory mechanism underlying TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC cells, subcellular fractionation assay together with FISH assay was implemented. It turned out TM4SF19-AS1 had a large proportion in cytoplasm (Figure 3a-b). Additionally, RIP assay displayed an interaction between TM4SF19-AS1 and Ago2 protein (Figure 3c). Hence, we presumed that TM4SF19-AS1 might act as miRNA sponges in HNSCC cells. Through starBase, potential miRNAs sponged by TM4SF19-AS1 were predicted. Further, we discovered that miR-153-3p had the highest enrichment in the biotin-labeled TM4SF19-AS1 probe (Figure 3d). MiR-153-3p level was also uncovered to be lower in HNSCC cells compared with NOK cells (Figure 3e). The potential binding regions of TM4SF19-AS1 and miR-153-3p were obtained from starBase (figure 3f). To stably overexpress miR-153-3p, HNSCC cells were subject to transfection with miR-153-3p mimics (Figure 3g). The outcomes of luciferase reporter assay manifested that miR-153-3p augment diminished the luciferase activity of TM4SF19-AS1-wild type (Wt) rather than TM4SF19-AS1-mutant type (Mut) (Figure 3h). Taken together, TM4SF19-AS1 interacted with miR-153-3p in HNSCC cells.

**LAMC1 is targeted by miR-153-3p**

Online bioinformatics tools, including miRmap, PITA, and PicTar, were utilized to select miR-153-3p downstream targets,
and GEPIA 2 was employed to project mRNAs, which were upregulated in HNSCC. As shown in Figure 4a, 9 possible mRNAs (ADAM19, RAI14, LAMC1, EXT1, DDDT4, LRP12, WIPF1, MFHAS1, and APP) shared by the two databases were obtained. Next, RT-qPCR was employed to determine mRNA levels of nine potential target genes in HNSCC cells upon miR-153-3p overexpression, and we observed LAMC1 expression was significantly lessened when miR-153-3p was up-regulated (Figure 4b). The projected binding sequence of LAMC1 (Wt/Mut) on miR-153-3p was presented (Figure 4c). RIP assay unveiled TM4SF19-AS1, miR-153-3p, and LAMC1 were substantially accumulated in Ago2-containing complex (Figure 4d). Data from luciferase reporter assay reflected the binding affinity of miR-153-3p and LAMC1 3'-UTR (Figure 4e). Moreover, based on the analyses of RT-qPCR and western blot, reduced LAMC1 expression caused by TM4SF19-AS1 depletion was partly restored by miR-153-3p inhibition (Figure 4f-g). To verify the participation of TM4SF19-AS1 in malignant processes of HNSCC cells through sequestering miR-153-3p and elevating LAMC1, rescue assays were implemented. Firstly, CCK-8 and EdU assays validated that miR-153-3p inhibition partly restored the suppressed proliferation of HNSCC cells caused by TM4SF19-AS1 knockdown (Figure S2A-B). Additionally, the experimental data of wound healing assay and transwell assay implied that TM4SF19-AS1 depletion restricted cell migration and invasion, which was partially recovered upon miR-153-3p
inhibition (Figure S2C-E). Western blot analysis also suggested that the suppressed EMT process of HNSCC cells imposed by TM4SF19-AS1 depletion was partly restored after miR-153-3p inhibition (Figure S2F). Subsequently, LAMC1 expression was enhanced in SCC-25 cells (Figure S3A). Then, cell proliferation assays confirmed that the impeded proliferation of SCC-25 cells due to TM4SF19-AS1 deficiency was completely countervailed by LAMC1 up-regulation (Figure S3B-C). Apart from that, wound healing and transwell assays revealed LAMC1 overexpression completely countervailed the inhibiting impact of TM4SF19-AS1 inhibition on cell migration and invasion (Figure S3D-F). Similarly, knockdown of TM4SF19-AS1 hampered the EMT ability of SCC-25 cells, while this effect was completely rescued by LAMC1 up-regulation (Figure S3G). To conclude, TM4SF19-AS1 regulated LAMC1 expression by sponging miR-153-3p to affect HNSCC cell malignant behaviors.

**LAMC1 mRNA is stabilized by HNRNPC protein**

As miR-153-3p inhibition could only partly counteract the impact of TM4SF19-AS1 depletion on LAMC1 expression, we made a conjecture that TM4SF19-AS1 might also recruit certain proteins to modulate LAMC1 expression. Under the condition of CLIP
Figure 5. LAMC1 mRNA is stabilized by HNRNPC protein. (a) Potential RBPs which had a binding with both TM4SF19-AS1 and LAMC1 were predicted. (b-c) RNA pull down assay together with RIP assays jointly examined the binding affinity of TM4SF19-AS1 and HNRNPC protein. (d) FISH and IF assays explored the accumulation of TM4SF19-AS1 and HNRNPC in HNSCC cells. (e) RIP assay revealed LAMC1 enrichment in HNRNPC antibody. (f-g) HNRNPC expression was detected in HNSCC cells upon HNRNPC knocked. (h) LAMC1 expression was tested via RT-qPCR after HNRNPC was depleted. (j) The stability of LAMC1 mRNA was analyzed when HNRNPC or TM4SF19-AS1 was down-regulated. ** P < .01.
Data ≥ 5, 4 possible RNA binding proteins (RBPs) (U2AF2, HNRNPA1, SRSF1, and HNRNPC) shared by TM4SF19-AS1 and LAMC1 were predicted (Figure 5a). Among the four RBPs, HNRNPC has been identified to be capable of stabilizing mRNAs. 16,17 Hence, HNRNPC was selected for subsequent study. The strong affinity of TM4SF19-AS1 with HNRNPC was verified after RNA pull down assay (Figure 5b). Moreover, RIP assay revealed that TM4SF19-AS1 was largely enriched in HNRNPC antibody (Figure 5c). FISH and IF analyses also reflected the cytoplasmic accumulation of TM4SF19-AS1 and HNRNPC in HNSCC cells (Figure 5d). The interaction of HNRNPC and LAMC1 was further testified after the performance of RIP assay (Figure 5e). To knock down HNRNPC, sh-HNRNPC#1/2 plasmids were transfected into HNSCC cells, and the significant interference efficacy was ensured based on the detection of RT-qPCR and western blot (figure 5f-g). Meanwhile, we noticed that LAMC1 were both lessened at mRNA and protein levels in response to HNRNPC depletion (Figure 5h-i). With the treatment of actinomycin D (Act D), LAMC1 mRNA stability was weakened when HNRNPC or TM4SF19-AS1 was down-regulated (Figure 5j). Collectively, TM4SF19-AS1 interacted with HNRNPC protein to stabilize LAMC1 mRNA.

Discussion

Although the treatment of HNSCC has improved, the molecular mechanism involved in the development of HNSCC remains elusive and needs to be explored. 18 Recent studies have suggested that dysregulation of lncRNAs may exert great influence on the process of HNSCC. 11,19 LncRNA TM4SF19-AS1 has been rarely investigated in human diseases except that Zhang et al. have mentioned that TM4SF19-AS1 is involved in the ceRNA regulatory network in HNSCC. 15 In our research, we confirmed that TM4SF19-AS1 expression was notably heightened in HNSCC tissues and cells. Loss-of-function experiments demonstrated the inhibition of TM4SF19-AS1 hindered the proliferative, migratory and invasive capacities, as well as EMT of HNSCC cells. Moreover, in vivo assays uncovered that TM4SF19-AS1 knockdown impeded HNSCC tumor growth.

It is commonly considered that lncRNA-mediated ceRNA mechanism occupies an important position in post-transcriptional events. 20 Through our investigation, we firstly clarified that chiefly cytoplasmic accumulation of TM4SF19-AS1 in cytoplasm HNSCC cells, representing that TM4SF19-AS1 might function as a miRNA sponge in HNSCC cells. After starBase prediction and mechanism analyses, miR-153-3p was testified to combine with TM4SF19-AS1. MiR-153-3p has been widely identified to play a tumor-inhibiting part in melanoma, 21 breast cancer, 22 oral cancer, 23 and etc. Additionally, Jiang et al. have manifested that miR-153-3p exerts the suppressive effect on HNSCC. 24 Consistent with these published studies, we observed that miR-153-3p was deficient in HNSCC cells. Moreover, rescue experiments uncovered that miR-153-3p inhibition partially restored the restricted malignant behaviors of HNSCC cells, which was responsible for TM4SF19-AS1 silencing. LAMC family has been validated to participate in the progression of HNSCC. 25 As one of the family members of LAMC family, LAMC1 has been identified to make the promoting impact on multiple malignancies, endometrial cancer, 26 glioma, 27 and hepatocellular carcinoma 28 are included. Via informatics tools, LAMC1 was found to be highly expressed in HNSCC. From the perspective of mechanism, LAMC1 was certificated to be the target gene of miR-153-3p and was adversely regulated by miR-153-3p. In addition, rescue experiments attested that LAMC1 augment completely abrogated the suppressive impact of TM4SF19-AS1 depletion in the malignant phenotype of HNSCC cells.

RBPs are also associated with the prognosis of HNSCC. 29 Based on the previous experimental results, we speculated that TM4SF19-AS1 might interact with certain RBPs. HNRNPC has been pointed out to demonstrate high expression in HNSCC tissues. 30 Moreover, Zhang et al. have illustrated that HNRNPC is able to maintain the stability of mRNAs. 16 Likewise, we also verified that TM4SF19-AS1 interacted with HNRNPC protein to stabilize LAMC1 mRNA. To sum up, our study discloses the oncogenic role of TM4SF19-AS1/miR-153-3p/HNRNPC/LAMC1 axis in HNSCC, which might offer a novel insight for HNSCC diagnosis. Nevertheless, some limitations remain in this research. Specifically, the regulatory mechanism about up-regulation of TM4SF19-AS1 in HNSCC was not discussed in depth. Other possible signaling pathways engaging in the development of HNSCC also need to be further explored. In brief, more follow-up studies are required to be carried out to thoroughly elucidate the relevant molecular cross-talk.
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