Vectorial observation of the spin Seebeck effect in epitaxial NiFe$_2$O$_4$ thin films with various magnetic anisotropy contributions
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We have developed a vectorial type of measurement for the spin Seebeck effect (SSE) in epitaxial NiFe$_2$O$_4$ thin films which have been grown by pulsed laser deposition on MgGa$_2$O$_4$ (MGO) with (001) and (011) orientation as well as CoGa$_2$O$_4$ (011) (CGO), thus varying the lattice mismatch. We confirm that a large lattice mismatch leads to strain anisotropy in addition to the magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the thin films using vibrating sample magnetometry and ferromagnetic resonance measurements. Moreover, we show that the existence of a magnetic strain anisotropy in NiFe$_2$O$_4$ thin films significantly impacts the shape and magnitude of the magnetic-field-dependent SSE voltage loops. We further demonstrate that bidirectional field-dependent SSE voltage curves can be utilized to reveal the complete magnetization reversal process, which establishes a new vectorial magnetometry technique based on a spin caloric effect.

The discovery of the spin Seebeck effect (SSE), the generation of a spin current from a thermal gradient, has attracted a lot of interest in the field of spin caloritronics in recent years [1–9]. Pt/YIG (yttrium iron garnet, Y$_3$Fe$_5$O$_{12}$) is one of the most widely studied material systems in spin caloritronics [10] and magnon spintronics [11] regarding the SSE, coherent spin pumping [12], spin Hall magnetoresistance [13, 14] and nonlocal magnon spin transport [15, 16]. This material has also been used to introduce the longitudinal spin Seebeck effect (LSSE), the generation of a spin current parallel to temperature gradient that is usually aligned out-of-plane [2]. The spin current is converted to charge current in the Pt layer via the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE) [17]. In order to optimize the performance in LSSE experiments, a number of improvements have been investigated, including studies on the influence of YIG film thickness, temperature, applied magnetic field and interface between the Pt and YIG layers [18–24].

NFO (nickel ferrite, NiFe$_2$O$_4$) thin films, which have potential applications in high-frequency microwave and spintronics devices [25–28], have also been used in LSSE studies [4, 8, 29–31]. Recent studies for NFO on lattice-matched substrates, such as MgGa$_2$O$_4$ and CoGe$_2$O$_4$, report strongly improved magnetic properties which are comparable to YIG [32], as well as enhanced SSE [33] and improved spin transport characteristics [34]. The choice of substrate material and crystal cut can be used to tailor the magnetic anisotropy in the thin NFO film in order to study the impact of the anisotropy type on the SSE. Using a new four-contact device, we present in this letter a vectorial magnetometry technique based on LSSE that is suitable to study magnetic anisotropies and magnetization reversal processes. We demonstrate the technique on NFO thin films grown on lattice-matched substrates with different crystal cuts and, thus, study different magnetic anisotropy combinations.

Within this Letter, the thermal generation of spin currents has been realized in NFO thin films deposited on MgGa$_2$O$_4$ (MGO) with (001) and (011) orientation as well as CoGa$_2$O$_4$ (011) (CGO), thus varying the lattice mismatch. Using x-ray diffraction (XRD), we show that the lattice mismatches of NFO films on MGO substrates are larger than those on CGO substrates. A larger lattice mismatch leads to a higher magnetic strain anisotropy in NFO/MGO thin films, as confirmed via vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) and ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) measurements. The novel vector detection technique has been used to study the influence of magnetic strain anisotropy in thin films on the SSE response. We find that the shapes of SSE voltage curves detected by two orthogonally aligned voltage probes measured as a function of the magnetic field strength and its orientation can vary significantly from each other due to the effect of magnetic strain anisotropy. While first attempts in this direction focussed on detecting individual magnetic components separately [35], we demonstrate our new simultaneous measurement method as a tool to study the magnetization reversal process using the SSE signals detected by the two perpendicularly aligned voltage probes.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) LSSE setup. The sample is sandwiched between two copper blocks and a temperature gradient $\nabla T$ is applied. (b) The geometry for four-point vectorial LSSE measurements and definition of the external magnetic field and magnetization angles $\gamma$ and $\phi$, respectively.](image-url)
All films were grown using pulsed laser deposition (PLD) and structurally characterized using a Philips X’Pert diffractometer with a Cu-Kα source, which helped to quantify the lattice mismatches for the different substrates (see Supplementary Material II). Magnetization hysteresis loops of the samples were measured by VSM in a PPMS® DynaCool™ system (Quantum Design). In the setup for LSSE measurements (see Fig. 1(a)), the sample was sandwiched between two copper blocks. To carry out vectorial LSSE measurements (see Fig. 1(b)), four aluminum wires were bonded on four points of the platinum layer so that they are located on two orthogonally aligned axes. The two contacts of each axis were connected to a separate nanovoltmeter (more details in Supplementary Material I).

Magnetization measurements were performed by VSM on ~450 nm thick NFO films grown on (011)- and (001)-oriented MGO and (011)-oriented CGO substrates to examine their magnetic in-plane anisotropy characteristics. While the results for NFO/MGO(011) and NFO/CGO(011) samples are presented in Figs. 2(a) and (b), we refer to the Supplementary Material III for NFO/MGO(001). For the NFO/MGO (011) thin film, we observe a sharp switching of the magnetization when the external magnetic field is applied along the [011] direction (cf. Fig. 2(a)). With the external magnetic field applied along the [100] direction, we observe magnetic hard axis characteristic type switching behavior with an anisotropy field of ~1500 Oe. In addition, we measured the SSE voltage signal \( V_{\text{LSSE}} \) of the sample along the two perpendicular directions (see Fig. 1(b)). In the first configuration, the \( V_{\text{LSSE}} \) signal is measured along the [100] direction (Fig. 2(c)), while the \( V_{\text{LSSE}} \) signal is measured along the [011] direction in the second configuration (Fig. 2(e)).

In the first configuration (Fig. 2(c)), when the magnetic field is applied along the [011] direction, the magnetization \( M \) of the NFO film is also aligned in the same direction. When the magnetic field direction changes polarity, the magnetization of the film \( M \) also switches into the opposite direction. This results in a sharp switching in the \( V_{\text{LSSE}} \) signal (\( \phi = 90^\circ \)) and it is comparable to the corresponding magnetization measurement when the magnetic field is in the [011] direction (Fig. 2(a)). In the next step, we changed the angle \( \phi \) of the external magnetic field with respect to the \( x \) axis (see insert in Fig. 2(c)) in the range from \( 0^\circ \) to \( 90^\circ \). In saturation, the magnetization of the NFO film \( M \) is almost aligned along the direction of the external magnetic field for all \( \phi \) angles. The voltage generation \( (V_{\text{LSSE}} = E_{\text{LSSE}} \cdot d) \) due to the ISHE is characterized by the projection of magnetization onto the [011] direction. The electric field \( E_{\text{LSSE}} \) generated by the SSE is given by

\[
E_{\text{LSSE}} \propto J_s \times \sigma,
\]

where \( J_s \) is the thermally induced spin current which is parallel to the \( VT \) in \( z \) direction, and \( \sigma \) is the spin polarization vector which is aligned along \( M \). Thus, from Eq. (1) we can conclude that

\[
V_c \propto \sigma_y \propto M_y, \quad V_c \propto \sigma_x \propto M_x.
\]

With increasing the angle \( \phi \) between the external magnetic field and the [100] direction along the \( x \) axis, the saturation voltage \( V_c \) increases in correspondence with a factor of \( \sin \phi \) due to the cross product of the ISHE [17]. When the projection of the magnetization in the [011] direction \( M_y \) increases (decreases) due to the increase (decrease) of the external field, the measured voltage signal of the ISHE also increases (decreases). At zero magnetic field the magnetization can rotate completely into the magnetic easy axis or partially (or fully) switch into another magnetic easy axis reducing the projection \( M_y \) and the corresponding voltage \( V_c \). When increasing the external field into opposite direction the voltage usually changes sign and the coherent rotation of the magnetization out of the magnetic easy axis along the [011] direction (\( \phi < 90^\circ \)) is accompanied by a decrease of the absolute voltage value (Fig. 2(c)). For \( \phi = 0^\circ \), the saturation voltage is nearly zero as we expect from the ISHE. The small residual voltage signal in saturation could be explained by a slight misalignment of voltage contacts along the [100] direction or with an alignment of the magnetization that is not fully saturated.
In the second configuration (Fig. 2(c)), the voltage contacts along the [011] (Y) direction are used. When the external magnetic field is applied along the [100] direction to complete the typical LSSE configuration (i.e. $\phi = 0^\circ$), we observe a similar voltage value in magnetic saturation as compared to the previous configuration in Fig. 2(c)). When the external magnetic field decreases, the LSSE voltage signal does not show a sharp switching, but follows the magnetization measurement in the [100] direction with low remanence (in Fig. 2(a)), which significantly differs from that in the first configuration. While the projection of the magnetization onto the [100] direction $M_x$ increases (decreases) monotonically until the magnetization switches, the LSSE voltage $V_y$ also increases (decreases). This is comparable to the first configuration in Fig. 2(c), detecting the same switching events while being sensitive to orthogonal projections of the magnetization vector. Therefore, the LSSE measurements provide a promising alternative compared to established optical measurement methods to determine both in-plane components of the magnetization during field reversal.

Figure 2(b) shows the VSM results for the NFO//CGO (011) thin film as a comparison with the NFO//MGO (011) sample. The magnetization curves for different external field directions still indicate magnetic easy axis behavior in [011] direction as well as magnetic hard axis characteristics in [100] direction. However, the differences between easy-axis and hard-axis loops are not that pronounced as seen for the NFO//MGO (011) sample. We also performed SSE measurements on this sample. As shown in Figs. 2(d) and 2(f), we find that the SSE measurements along these two perpendicular directions are very similar, which is consistent with the previous magnetization results in Fig. 2(b).

We have further carried out in-plane angular-dependent FMR measurements that identify the presence of a fourfold and strong uniaxial anisotropy for NFO//MGO (011) thin films. The results are shown in Fig. 3(a), with an overall magnetic hard axis along the $0^\circ$ direction and an overall magnetic easy axis along the $90^\circ$ direction, respectively. On the other hand, for NFO//CGO (011) thin films, the fourfold anisotropy is dominant and only a weak uniaxial anisotropy is present, resulting in a biaxial anisotropy with a more and less hard axis in $0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ direction, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3(b).

Thus, we conclude that in addition to the anisotropy landscape modified by the crystal cut a strain anisotropy affects the overall strength and direction of magnetic easy and hard axes. While we observe this anisotropy modification with various extent for NFO//MGO (011) and NFO//CGO (011) samples, the NFO//MGO (001) control sample exhibits a pure four-fold magnetocrystalline anisotropy. For the FMR results of this sample and a deeper fit analysis of the FMR measurements presented here see Supplementary Material V.

The reversal process of the magnetization vector depends on the magnetic anisotropy and the direction of the external magnetic field. The NFO//MGO (011) thin film shows a combined fourfold and strong twofold anisotropy with overall in-plane magnetic easy axis along the [011] direction and the
magnetic hard axis along the [100] direction. The data used to display the LSSE hysteresis loops (in Figs. 2(c) - 2(f)) can now be used to create polar plots of the magnetization vector length versus the azimuthal magnetization angle $\gamma$, utilizing both projections of the in-plane magnetization vector on the [100] and [011] directions from the vector LSSE measurement ($M_x$ and $M_y$). From Eq. (2), we can assume that

$$V_x = A_x \cdot M_x, \quad V_y = A_y \cdot M_y,$$  

where $A_x$ and $A_y$ are material and setup parameters, and $A_x \approx A_y$ for both samples from Figs. 2(c) - 2(f). Then, we can use these equations to calculate other quantities like the magnetization $\mathbf{M}$,

$$M = \sqrt{(M_x)^2 + (M_y)^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_x}{A_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{V_y}{A_y}\right)^2} \approx \frac{1}{A_y} \cdot \sqrt{V_x^2 + V_y^2}. \quad (4)$$

We calculate the in-plane orientation of the magnetization vector based on the magnitude $|V| = \sqrt{V_x^2 + V_y^2}$, and the azimuthal magnetization angle $\gamma$ can be expressed as

$$\gamma = \arctan \left( \frac{M_y}{M_x} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{V_y}{V_x} \cdot \frac{A_x}{A_y} \right) \approx \arctan \left( \frac{V_y}{V_x} \right). \quad (5)$$

The plot compilation shown in Fig. 4 visualizes the NFO//MGO (011) reversal processes of the magnetization vector for the selected external magnetic field directions $\phi$, defined as shown in Fig. 1. These polar plots represent the progress of the resulting magnetization vector state for each magnetic field strength during the stepwise change of the external magnetic field direction with respect to the sample orientation. This analysis is based on the magnetization measurements shown in Fig. 2. A defined magnetic domain state exists at the beginning of each reversal process, since the external magnetic field strength is sufficient to mainly saturate the magnets at the beginning of each reversal process, since the external magnetic field direction with respect to the sample orientation changes. The subplot Fig. 4(a) exemplifies a reversal process when the external magnetic field is applied along the magnetic hard axis of the NFO/MGO (011) sample ($\phi = 0^\circ$) according to the geometry defined in Fig. 1(b). A saturating external magnetic field yields a maximum in $V_y$ (from Eq. (2)) and represents the starting point of this reversal process as seen on the right side of Fig. 4(a). The application of an external field along or close to a magnetic hard axis induces domain splitting if the external magnetic field is decreased as indicated by the reducing magnitude of the magnetization vector. When the external magnetic field is further reduced (still applied along $\phi = 0^\circ$), the magnetic moments of those domains switch or rotate towards the magnetic easy axis along [011] direction, indicated by the black arrow at the top of Fig. 4(a). The preferred direction is defined by the slight misalignment of the external magnetic field relative to the magnetic hard axis [100] direction of the thin film. With an increasing opposite external field completing the first branch of the LSSE hysteresis loop, the magnetization rotates back to the external field direction. However, if the external magnetic field is not applied close to a magnetic hard axis the system essentially follows the coherent rotation model without transforming into multi-domains. Here, the length of the magnetization vector is constant from saturation to remanence. For an external magnetic field along the strong magnetic easy axis direction ($\phi = 90^\circ$, shown in Fig. 4(d)), we can observe a simple switching of the magnetization direction by $180^\circ$, as expected along a magnetic easy axis direction where the LSSE hysteresis in the standard measurement geometry shows a very small coercive field and high remanence. We furthermore used this vector LSSE technique to visualize the magnetization reversal process of corresponding samples showing a pure fourfold and a fourfold plus weak twofold anisotropy, respectively. The vector LSSE measurement results for those NFO//MGO (001) and NFO//CGO (011) samples can be found in the Supplementary Material IV.

The vectorial magnetization technique based on SSE is using electrical detection compared to magnetooptic instrumets extracting the in-plane magnetization components by different combinations of magnetooptic Kerr effects [36–43]. In contrast to the magnetooptic techniques, our vectorial SSE approach is also applicable for magnetic materials that are not amenable to magnetooptic detection due to a negligible Kerr rotation such as ferrites or YIG [44]. Therefore, the LSSE can be utilized to establish a suitable method to investigate the magnetization reversal process in the plane of thin film samples using electrical detection instead of magnetooptic means.

In conclusion, we have experimentally found that the lattice mismatch between NFO film deposited on isostructural spinel CGO (011) and MGO substrates of two different orientations ((001) and (011)) results in varying magnetic strain anisotropy as determined from VSM and FMR measurements. The strain anisotropy significantly influences the shape of the LSSE voltage hysteresis loop measured as a function of the external magnetic field. Based on vector measurement of the LSSE, we show that the complete reversal process of the magnetization vector can be determined, demonstrating a new alternative to study the magnetization reversal process of thin films based on SSE and ISHE voltage detection.
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