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Abstract

Background: Nutritional advice from public health campaigns in most Western countries does not include specific recommendations for minority groups. In this study we aimed to evaluate and compare diet quality and physical activity between the major ethnic groups residing in the UK.

Methods: Adherence to recommendation, measured using a Diet Quality and Physical Activity Index (DPI) derived from national recommendations, was measure among participants (n=210) of African-Caribbean-, South Asian- and Caucasian ethnicity currently residing in the UK.

Results: Significant difference in total DPI score between ethnic groups was not found. Components of the obtained score varied with a higher consumption of dairy products among African-Caribbeans and Asians, oil for cooking was preferred more frequently by Caucasians as was breakfast consumption and frequency and duration of physical activity. African-Caribbeans scored highest in fish, fruit/vegetables and liquid consumption.

Conclusion: While the overall diet quality of ethnic minority groups may not be significantly different from the mainstream population, differences in individual diet components should be considered as part of the national nutritional advice of countries with heterogeneous populations.
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Introduction

The Food Standard Agency (FSA), in partnership with the Department of Health, is the official authority in food and nutrition in the United Kingdom (UK). As an initiative to improve the health of the national population the Eat Well Be Well campaign promotes a set of essential points to encourage healthier choices, including recommendations regarding diet and physical activity. These recommendations seek to promote sufficient consumption of starchy foods, preferably wholegrain products; to consume at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables per day; to eat at least two portions of fish per week, one of which should be oily; to cut back on saturated fat, one of the ways being the use of oil for cooking instead of other fats; to consume breakfast regularly and to remain hydrated and active [1].

Although the advice given by the FSA is suitable for most people, the Eat Well Be Well campaign does not provide specific recommendations for non-Caucasian ethnic minority groups who represent approximately 8% of the total UK population; the ethnic minority groups most widely represented in the UK are Asians and African-Caribbeans, representing 4 and 2%, respectively [2]. While ethnic minority group populations experience dietary acculturation as part of the process of integration into the host country, some eating habits typical of the country of origin are retained [3] and may affect the health status of at risk populations, such as Asians and Africans.

Research on the assessment of dietary quality has been carried out using single indicators including healthy eating indexes, food variety scores and diet diversity scores [4]. These tools have been developed to provide an overall picture of the diet, to measure adherence to interventions or specific diets and to calculate the adherence with guidelines and recommendations. In this study we aimed to evaluate and compare diet quality and physical activity between the major ethnic groups residing in the UK using a newly developed Diet Quality and Physical Activity Index (DPI), based on the recommendations given by the FSA, while focusing on differences associated to ethnicity and socio-economic indicators. To our knowledge this is the first time that FSA recommendations from the Eat Well Be Well campaign have been used to assess ethnic minority groups in the UK.
Methods

A newly developed 15-item questionnaire measured the diet quality as well as the physical activity level in a sample of the UK's multiethnic population (South Asian, African-Caribbean and Caucasian) based on the recommendations set out in the FSA’s Eat Well Be Well campaign. The proposed DPI evaluates nine recommendations for food intake and selection, eating habits and frequency/duration of physical activity. The questionnaire was posted to three different area codes in the city of Leeds to obtain a random sample of re-sponses and to cover people of different ethnic origins.

Ethnicity was self-reported. In total, 5400 questionnaires were sent out over a period of two months with a pre-stamped return envelope. Responses were then coded and scored. All procedures were approved by the University of Leeds Research Ethics Committee.

The DPI was calculated for each respondent based on a maximum score of 13 points, covering nine main dietary components plus physical activity. The dietary components were chosen to reflect recommendations from the FSA as well as from being part of a prudent diet [5]. Table 1 indicates how recommendations were measured and scored. Consumption was assessed by daily intake for liquids, fruits, vegetables, starchy and dairy products; and by weekly intake for fish and protein rich foods. Respondents were provided with written examples of what constitutes a portion of the main foods in each food group; these examples included both mainstream and ethnic foods (i.e. the starchy foods listed included sliced bread, chapattis and cassava).

The first four components evaluated both the amount and quality of foods. For starchy foods, this meant a preference of whole grain over refined products; for dairy products, choosing low fat over full fat products; for fish, twice-weekly consumption with at least one portion of oily fish; and for protein, choosing lean meat.

Table 1: Derivation of Diet Quality and Physical Activity Index

| Component          | FSA recommendation* | Measurement                                                                 | Score |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Starchy food       | -consume wholegrain products -include at least 1 starchy food per meal -should provide 1/3 of total food consumption per day | -1 point for choosing wholegrain over refined products -1 point for consuming 4-6 starchy foods per day | 2     |
| Fish               | -consume 2 portions per week, one of them oily | -1 point for consuming fish at least twice per week -1 point for including at least one oily fish | 2     |
| Dairy products     | -consume milk, cheese and yogurt -choose low fat products | -1 point for choosing low fat products -1 point for consuming 2-3 dairy products per day | 2     |
| Protein-rich foods | -include them daily -choose lean meat | -1 point if consumption adds up to 14 serving per week -1 point for removing skin/visible fat from meat† | 2     |
| Fruits and vegetables | -5 portions per day, maximum 1 portion of juice and 1 of pulses | -1 point for a total of five portions consumed per day between fruits, vegetables, juice (maximum 1) and pulses (maximum 1). | 1     |
| Fats for cooking   | -reduce overall fat consumption -prefer UFAS | -1 point for choosing oil over other fats for cooking | 1     |
| Breakfast          | -do not skip breakfast | -1 point for daily breakfast consumption | 1     |
| Liquids            | -consume 6-8 glasses of water or other fluids per day, avoiding fizzy or soft drinks | -1 point for drinking 6-8 glasses of water or other fluids per day, not counting soft or fizzy drinks, tea and coffee with sugar | 1     |
| Physical activity  | -keep active         | -1 point for doing physical activity at least 30 minutes in at least 3 days per week | 1     |

FSA, Food Standards Agency (UK). *Recommendations were taken from the Food Standard Agency’s 8 Tips for Eating Well (ref 1). †Point awarded for respondents indicating to be following a vegetarian diet.
Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the study participants

|                     | African-Caribbean (n=29) | South Asian (n=52) | Caucasian (n=129) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Female              | Male                      | Female             | Male              |
| Age (years)         | 37.4±16.6                 | 33.3±12.4          | 30.0±10.3         |
| Weight (Kg)         | 72.8±18.3                 | 74.2±21.6          | 77.7±12.2         |
| Height (m)          | 1.6±0.07                  | 1.7±0.11           | 1.7±0.11          |
| BMI (Kg/m²)         | 25.3±5.9                  | 24.3±5.4           | 23.5±3.9          |
| Years in the UK     | 22.7±17.0                 | 22.7±20.1          | 23.6±14.0         |
| Education           | UG                        | PG                 | PG                |
| Annual income (£)   | ≤10,000                   | ≤10,000            | 40,000+           |
| (%) Immigration     | Recent                     | Mid-term           | Long-term         |

UG, undergraduate university degree; PG, postgraduate university degree. Results shown as means ± standard deviation.

Table 3. Diet quality and physical activity index scores according to the study participants’ ethnicity

|                     | African-Caribbean | South Asian | Caucasian |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Possible score      | Starchy Food      | 2           | 1         |
|                     | Fish              | 2           | 2         |
|                     | Dairy Products    | 2           | 2         |
|                     | Protein-Rich Foods| 2           | 2         |
|                     | Fruits and Vegetables| 1         | 1         |
|                     | Fats for Cooking  | 1           | 1         |
|                     | Breakfast         | 1           | 1         |
|                     | Water             | 1           | 1         |
|                     | Physical Activity | 1           | 1         |
| Total Score         | 13                | 8.1         | 8.1       |

Results shown as means per component and total possible score. SD, standard deviation. p-values from analysis of variance between the means of DPI scores for each ethnic group

Figure 1. Diet quality and physical activity index score level of the study participants.

UG, undergraduate; PG, postgraduate. Income shown in Great Britain Pounds. Recent, living in the UK <5 years; mid-term, living in the UK 5-15 years; long-term, living in the UK >15 years. Body-mass index levels were considered as <19 underweight, 19-25 acceptable and >25 as overweight for African-Caribbean. For South Asians a level >23 was considered overweight.
On average, physical activity was carried out four days per week by Cau-
}
}nians, 75% of South Asians and 72% of African-Caribbeans. Engagement in physical activity was reported by 94% of Cau-
}
}nasians. However, this was not the case for South Asians, where higher income was related to a lower DPI. Pearson Chi-Square showed no significant association between education level and total DPI score, or between income, immigration status and total DPI score.

As the time spent in the UK increased so did the intake of fruits/vegetables (from 2.7 to 3 portions per day for fruits and from 1.5 to 2.2 portions per day for vegetables) and red meat (from 0.7 to 1.8 portions per week) for South Asians. No changes were found for the rest of the food groups examined including starchy foods, fish and pulses.

Also, no changes were found for physical activity practices. As for changes amongst African-Caribbeans, a general increase was found in consumption of foods from all groups including fruit/vegetables (from 3 to 6 portions per day), dairy products (from 0.8 to 1.5 portions per day and red meat (from 0.8 to 1.4 portions per week).

Significant (p = 0.03) association was found between ethnicity and total DPI score. The highest total DPI score was obtained by Caucasian men (DPI = 8.4) while the lowest was for South Asian men (DPI = 7.5). Overall, Caucasians scored higher in overall diet quality with Africans showing the lowest scores.

Total DPI score was inversely associated with BMI (p = 0.01) among Caucasians, highest DPI scores were obtained for participants with lower BMI. For South Asians, higher DPI scores were found among respondents categorized as overweight according to BMI, showing a direct association between DPI score and BMI. African-Caribbean females with an acceptable BMI scored highest in overall diet quality while African-Caribbean men in the overweight category scored highest.

Discussion

According to total DPI score, Caucasians in the UK have an overall higher diet quality than ethnic minority groups. Between ethnic groups there were significant differences in the individual components of the DPI for dairy product and breakfast consumption, type of fat used for cooking and regular physical activity. Results from this study are comparable with those obtained by Gao et al. [7] where Caucasians scored higher on overall dietary quality than minority ethnic groups in the United States. However, results are contradictory to those of Nicolau et al. [8] comparing Surinamese and Dutch populations in The Netherlands, where the former scored higher on overall diet quality.

When compared with Caucasians, minority ethnic groups in the UK tended to consume more dairy products. These findings differ from other studies where milk consumption was found to be lower among African-Americans than for Caucasian-Americans [7]. Regular dairy consumption has been associated to lower risk
of osteoporosis, hypertension, obesity and type 2 diabetes [9-11]. However, full fat versions of milk and dairy products contain high quantities of saturated fat; hence recommendations for their consumption should be distinguished from reduced-fat versions.

Oil was used most often for cooking among Caucasians while African-Caribbeans preferred hard fats, in-cluding butter and margarine. Fat-rich diets tend to be more flavorful; however, they are also usually higher in energy content. Although dietary recommendations for a reduced intake of total fat exist, attention must be emphasized on the type of fats that are being consumed. The difference in the choice of fat used for cooking may be a significant factor on the overall diet quality and disease prevalence between ethnic minority groups.

Regular breakfast consumption was most frequent among Caucasians and lowest for African-Caribbeans. It has been long concluded and accepted that regular breakfast consumption greatly enhances the overall diet quality [12].

The intake of fruits and vegetables, being important sources of vitamins and minerals as well as fibre and phytochemicals, is a component frequently used in various diet indices [13] as their intake is found to be inversely-correlated with risk of chronic disease [14,15]. The results reported here show no significant difference between ethnic groups for fruit and vegetable consumption, although there was a slightly higher in-take of fruits and vegetables among the African-Caribbean group; this confirms previously reported results where African-Caribbeans consumed more fruit and green vegetables than their British counterparts [16].

The lack of significant difference in fruit and vegetable intake between ethnic groups may suggest the possibility to rule out this factor as a significant contributor to the difference in prevalence of chronic diseases.

Caucasians were found to be most physically active, with Africans scoring lowest and South Asians in-between. This supports the evidence found by Fisch-bacher et al. [17] where Asians were reported to be less physically active than their Caucasian counterparts in the UK. Social acceptance of engagement in physical activity is a significant obstacle to overcome among South Asian women, where time dedicated to physical activity is a significant obstacle to overcome among.

South Asian women, where time dedicated to physical activity is seen as time taken away from the family and gender role activities (cooking, cleaning and child care), and where sports attire and exposure to males is frequently unacceptable [18].

Total DPI score was lower among obese respondents, defined by ethnic-specific BMI levels, in accordance to the results of Gao et al. [7] where the likelihood of being obese was inversely correlated with Healthy Eating Index scores. It has been observed in the past that, when using index scores to assess diet quality, high energy diets will usually be reported as higher quality [13]. Because the DPI score is independent of total energy intake, it was not possible to obtain a high score simply by consuming a larger amount of food.

In regard to educational level and diet quality, a trend towards a direct correlation was observed among all ethnic groups. Although this finding is in agreement with the work reported by Irala-Estevez et al. [19], the effect of education on total DPI score was not found to be significant.

Income level was found to be inversely associated with DPI score among Asians and directly associated among Caucasians, while the relationship was not clearly defined for African-Caribbeans.

No significant difference was found between immigration status and total diet quality. However, as shown by the difference in composition of the DPI as number of years spent in the UK increased, it was possible to observe a certain degree of westernization of the diet, as reported in other studies [3,8]. In a study carried out in France [29], it was found that longer periods of time spent in the host country (more than half of one's lifetime) were associated to overweight. Hence, it is possible that significant impact on diet quality due to migration is only observed after a much longer dura-tion of residency in the host country.

Differences between vegetarian and non-vegetarian diets are generally found for proteins, fibre and vita-min B12. However, there were no differences in the DPI score of vegetarians and non-vegetarians; similar findings have been reported by Jonnalagadda et al. [21] who concluded that a vegetarian diet is not necessarily a healthier option.

The main strength of these data are their ability to provide information on the diet of South Asians and African-Caribbeans in the UK. To our knowledge, this is the first study to employ a single index to compare the dietary quality among different ethnic groups in the UK to national dietary recommendations. Ethnic-associated differences in food selection were considered when asking about specific food groups with re-spondents being prompted not only with mainstream foods (i.e. sliced bread for starchy food) but also with foods previously identified as frequently consumed by both South Asians and African-Caribbeans in the UK (rice, chapattis, cassava) [22]. Vegetarian sources of protein, such as legumes and nuts, were also in-cluded for consideration in the DPI since vegetarian-ism is common among South Asians. Individual scores for each of the components of the DPI might help identify specific areas of concern (breakfast consumption, dairy product consumption, type of fat used for cooking and physical activity) for intervention among minority groups in a heterogeneous population. In ad-dition, ethnic-specific threshold levels were used for comparisons according to BMI and the influence of other ethnic-associated factors, such as income level and education, were evaluated for their impact on diet quality.

One of the main limitations of this study could be its small sample size due to a low response rate (4%). The reasons for the low response rate might include the nature of the study and the study population. While a higher response rate might be achieved by face-to-face interview-based studies, by employing self-responed instruments it is likely that any bias linked to the pres-ence of an interviewer is reduced. The quantification of food consumption was also a challenge; however this is an ongoing problem faced by all dietary assess-ment methods and a degree of control and uni-formity was obtained by providing respondents with indica-tions and examples as to what constitutes a portion and by including both daily and weekly consumption questions. Finally, although physical activity might be roughly estimated by enquiring about the type, fre-quency and duration more detailed and accurate investigation might reflect even lower levels of physical activity.

Conclusions

Although overall diet quality did not differ greatly across ethnic groups, the differences found in the components of the score
identified key aspects to address when planning public health campaigns aimed at reducing the incidence of diet-related noncommunicable diseases. Special attention should focus on the consumption of dairy products and breakfast, the type of fat used for cooking and engagement in physical activity. Findings from this study indicated that ethnicity, independent of the number of years spent in the host country, is related to overall dietary. In addition, education and income tend to be directly associated to diet quality, although body composition remains a stronger indicator of overall diet quality.
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