Domain-and species-specific monoclonal antibodies recognize the Von Willebrand Factor-C domain of CCN5
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Abstract The CCN family of proteins typically consists of four distinct peptide domains: an insulin-like growth factor binding protein-type (IGFBP) domain, a Von Willebrand Factor C (VWC) domain, a thrombospondin type 1 repeat (TSP1) domain, and a carboxy-terminal (CT) domain. The six family members participate in many processes, including proliferation, motility, cell-matrix signaling, angiogenesis, and wound healing. Accumulating evidence suggests that truncated and alternatively spliced isoforms are responsible for the diverse functions of CCN proteins in both normal and pathophysiologic states. Analysis of the properties and functions of individual CCN domains further corroborates this idea. CCN5 is unique among the CCN family members because it lacks the CT-domain. To dissect the domain functions of CCN5, we are developing domain-specific mouse monoclonal antibodies. Monoclonal antibodies have the advantages of great specificity, reproducibility, and ease of long-term storage and production. In this communication, we injected mixtures of GST-fused rat CCN5 domains into mice to generate monoclonal antibodies. To identify the domains recognized by the antibodies, we constructed serial expression plasmids that express dual-tagged rat CCN5 domains. All of the monoclonal antibodies generated to date recognize the VWC domain, indicating it is the most highly immunogenic of the CCN5 domains. We characterized one particular clone, 22H10, and found that it recognizes mouse and rat CCN5, but not human recombinant CCN5. Purified 22H10 was successfully applied in Western Blot analysis, immunofluorescence of cultured cells and tissues, and immunoprecipitation, indicating that it will be a useful tool for domain analysis and studies of mouse-human tumor models.
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Abbreviations
IGFBP insulin-like growth factor binding module
VWC Von Willebrand Factor C module
TSP Thrombospondin type 1 repeat
I IGFBP domain
V VWC domain
T TSP1 domain

Introduction

Members of the CCN family of proteins play a significant role in a variety of critical cellular processes including cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, gene expression, differentiation, and survival (Bleau et al. 2005; Kubota and Takigawa 2007; Perbal 2004). CCN proteins fill this role, at least in part, via interactions with extracellular matrix components and growth factors (Chen and Lau 2008). With the exception of CCN5, CCN proteins are defined by their four modular domains: the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-like domain (IGFBP), a Von Willebrand factor C-like domain (VWC), a Thrombospondin 1 repeat domain (TSP-1) and a C-terminal cysteine knot (CT). CCN5 is unique among the family members in that it lacks the CT domain.
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Understanding the functional properties of individual domains has yielded important insights into mechanism. For example, two CCN proteins—CCN1 and CCN2—bind to integrins through the TSP-1/CT and VWC domains, and appear to regulate a diverse array of biological functions, including fibroblast adhesion, angiogenesis and MAPK activation (Chen et al. 2004; Leu et al. 2004; Leu et al. 2003). Deletion of the CT domain abrogates the ability of CCN3 to induce Notch signaling and inhibits osteogenic differentiation and proliferation (Katsuki et al. 2008). In addition, a naturally occurring isoform of CCN3 lacking the TSP-1 domain relocates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm of tumor cells in the renal neoplasm, Wilms’ tumor (Subramaniam et al. 2008). Recently, we discovered differentially expressed isoforms of CCN5; exploration of the structure-function relationships is now underway.

These studies, among others, indicate the importance of studying CCN proteins not only at the level of the full-length protein, but also at the level of the constituent domains. The interaction and availability of ligand/receptors for each individual domain, and the expression CCN variants via mRNA splicing and/or post-translational modifications, may help explain the diverse functions of CCN proteins in different cells and tissues (de Winter et al. 2008; Holbourn et al. 2008; Rachfal and Brigstock 2005).

CCN5 is a unique member of the CCN family in that it is the only family member lacking the C-terminal domain (Delmolo et al. 2001; Pennica et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998). Altered CCN5 expression levels are associated with a number of disease states including arterial restenosis, uterine leiomyoma, and breast carcinoma (Banerjee et al. 2008; Lake and Castellot 2003; Mason et al. 2004b). There is also the suggestion that CCN5 expression is critical in development, as transgenic pan-overexpression or pan-knockdown of CCN5 in mice consistently results in embryonic lethality (unpublished finding). Furthermore, studies utilizing adenoviral overexpression of CCN5 in vascular and uterine smooth muscle cells have shown inhibition of SMC proliferation and motility, both in vitro and in animal models, underscoring the promise of this protein in future therapeutic uses (Lake et al. 2003; Mason et al. 2004b) Jones et al. 2007).

The availability of antibodies that recognize specific epitopes within individual domains of CCN5 would be a valuable tool for studying the structure-function relationship of the three peptide domains of CCN5. Currently, the antibodies used to detect CCNs are either affinity purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against peptide fragments of CCN proteins, or rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against recombinant CCN proteins (Brigstock et al. 1997; Chevalier et al. 1998; Kutz et al. 2005; Lake et al. 2003; Steffen et al. 1998; Yang and Lau 1991; Zoubine et al. 2001). These antibodies have proven highly useful in monitoring full length CCN protein, but they are limited in their ability to define the presence of individual domains (in the case of polyclonal antibodies raised against peptide fragments), or lack domain specificity (in the case of those raised against recombinant protein). A clever alternative approach was used by Perbal group, in which polyclonal antibodies were raised against each domain of CCN3. These antibodies were then used to define CCN3 isoform expression in a number of different cancer samples (Lazar et al. 2007). We are aware of only one report using monoclonal antibodies: Tamatani et al used partially purified recombinant CCN2 to generate monoclonal antibodies against CCN2 (Tamatani et al. 1998).

In this paper, we report our efforts to develop monoclonal antibodies to the three domains of CCN5. To date, all of the positive hybridoma clones isolated recognize the VWC domain. Characterization of one of these antibodies, 22H10, indicates that it is a useful antibody for immunoblotting, immunofluorescence microscopy, and immunoprecipitation. The high degree of specificity, reproducibility, and ease of producing large quantities of monoclonal antibodies should make this approach a useful one for domain analysis and other mechanistic studies.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

All cell were cultured at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO2 / 95% air atmosphere. Sprague-Dawley aorta smooth muscle cells were cultured using high glucose RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) containing 10% bovine growth serum (BGS, Hyclone), 2 mM L-glutamine (GIBCO), and 100ug/ml penicillin/ streptomycin (GIBCO). BHK and 3T3 cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (GIBCO) containing 10% BGS, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin. Hybridoma clones were cultured in HAT hybridoma selection media containing DMEM, 25% heat inactivated serum (Sigma CPSR3), L-glutamine, penicillin/ streptomycin, HAT supplement solution (hypoxanthine, aminopterin, thymidine; Invitrogen), 7.8% NCTC-109 media (GIBCO), non-essential amino acids (Hyclone). HT media is complete DMEM containing HT supplement solution (hypoxanthine, thymidine; Invitrogen). HI-DMEM media is same as complete DMEM except that it contains heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone). B-27 media is basal DMEM with L-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin, and B-27 supplement (GIBCO).

Sprague-Dawley rat aorta smooth muscle (SDSM) cells were isolated as previously described (Lake et al. 2003). SDSM were used at passage 8 or lower. Growth-arrest of SDSM cells was accomplished by culturing cells for 72–96 h in RPMI containing only 0.4% serum plus L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin. Selected hybrid-
omas were first grown in HT media for subcloning through limited dilution, then grown in HI-DMEM media. Stock cultures were frozen in HI-DMEM with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, SIGMA).

Construction of CCN5 expression plasmids

Eukaryotic expression plasmids for recombinant human, mouse, and rat CCN5

Human, mouse CCN5 ORFs were PCR amplified from IMAGE clones purchased from Open Biosystems (human: MHS1011-7509651; mouse: MMM1013-7510036). Rat CCN5 ORF was PCR amplified from cDNA samples derived from growth-arrested SDSM cells. The primers are listed as pair 9 and 10 in Table 1. Gene cloning was accomplished using the Gateway System (Invitrogen, K2400-20). Briefly, PCR fragments of CCN5 ORFs were inserted into entry vector pENTR/D-TOPO. After sequence verification, the inserts were switched into the destiny vector pcDNA-DEST40 for eukaryotic cell expression. The recombinant CCN5 proteins were tagged at the C-terminus with one V5 epitope and six histidines. The recombinant CCN5 proteins utilized the endogenous CCN5 signal peptide for secretion.

Eukaryotic expression plasmids for dual-tagged rat CCN5 domains

Each of the rat CCN5 domains and domain combinations were PCR amplified from the rat entry plasmid cloned above. Primer pairs used for cloning are indicated in Fig. 1. Primer sequences and XbaI/HindIII linkers are shown in Table 1. To make the IT domain, an XhoI site was added in frame. All PCR fragments were cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO vector for sequencing and further sub-cloning. The DNA of each domain was excised with XbaI and HindIII, and then ligated into the expression vector pflag-myc-CMV 20 (SIGMA, E5526). As shown in Table 2, the resulting proteins all have a flag tag (DYKDDDDK) in the N-terminus and a c-myc tag (EQKLISEEDL) in the C-terminus. These plasmids were used to screen for positive hybridoma clones and for mapping the epitope of anti-CCN5 antibodies.

Bacterial expression plasmids for GST-fused CCN5 domains

The rat CCN5 domains I, V, T, IV and IVT in pCR2.1-TOPO vectors were sub-cloned in-frame into pGEX-4T-1 vector (Amersham-GE Healthcare, 27-4580-01) between the EcoRI and XhoI restriction sites. Bacterial expression of GST-fusion proteins

High-level bacterial expression of GST-fused proteins and inclusion body isolation followed the protocol described by Shi et al. (Shi et al. 2008). Briefly, transformed protease-deficient E. coli host strain BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) clones were selected by ampicillin, and then cultured in 37°C until the O.D. was 0.6 units. GST-fusion protein expression was further induced by culturing for 4 h in media containing 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactoside (IPTG). The bacterial pellet was lysed by several rounds of sonication in PBS followed by centrifugation at 5000xg for 5 min to pellet the inclusion bodies. The purified inclusion bodies were resuspended in equal amount of PBS and a small portion of was analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

| Primer ID | Direction | Linker | Sequence |
|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1         | Forward   | HindIII| AAGCTT GTGTGTCCAGCTGTGCGG |
| 2         | Reverse   | XbaI   | TCTAGA GAGACACACAGCCGCGCGG |
| 3         | Forward   | HindIII| AAGCTT TTGGATGAGGATGACGGTAGC |
| 4         | Reverse   | XbaI   | TCTAGA TTGGCGCCGCGAGCGCTGAT |
| 5         | Forward   | HindIII| AAGCTT GGACACCAACTTCTGCGG |
| 6         | Reverse   | XbaI   | TCTAGA GGAAGCGACTGTTCGAGCTG |
| 7         | Reverse   | XhoI   | CTCGAG GGAAGCACTGGGGCCAGG |
| 8         | Forward   | XhoI   | CTCGAG GGAACCAGACTCATGCGG |
| 9         | Forward   | CACC   | CACC ATGAGAGCGACACCGAGAC |
| 10        | Reverse   | N/A    | GAAGGCACTGGTGGAGCT |
| 9         | Forward   | CACC   | CACC ATGAGGGCAACCCACTG |
| 10        | Reverse   | N/A    | GAAGGCACTGGTCCATGAC |
| 9         | Forward   | CACC   | CACC ATGAGGGCGAGCCACT |
| 10        | Reverse   | N/A    | GAAGGCACTGGTCCATGAC |

Table 1: Sequences of primers shown in Fig. 1
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Production and screening of anti-CCN5 positive hybridomas

Immunizations and fusions were done essentially as described (Harlow and Lane 1988; Preston et al. 2008) with some modifications. The isolated GST-fusion proteins were grouped into two groups: one group contained GST-I, GST-V, GST-T, and the other group contained GST-IV and GST-IVT. Each group was injected into two Swiss Webster and two Balb/c mice. The initial injection into the footpad of mice used 100ul 1:100 dilution of purified inclusion bodies. This was followed by four additional abdominal injections, three weeks apart, of smashed SDS-PAGE gel slices of GST-fusion protein bands. Seven days after the final injection, tail vein blood was drawn to check for anti-CCN5 positive serum. Splenocytes from serum-positive mice were dissociated and mixed with NSO1 myeloma cells. A 50% Polyethylene glycol solution (PEG1500, 50% w/v in 75 mM HEPES, Boehringer Mannheim) was added slowly to the cell pellet with gentle mixing in a 37°C water bath and incubated for 90 more seconds, after which warm DMEM was added drop-by-drop. Finally, cells were diluted into complete HAT media and aliquoted into 96-well plates. Cells were cultured for 10 days without changing media until visible clones had formed.

Screening of hybridomas was carried out using immunofluorescence microscopy on transfected cells grown on 24-well slides as described below. Cultured cells (either 3T3 or BHK) were transfected with the dual-tagged CCN5 domain constructs. Protein expression was verified by anti-myc antibody (9E10, McKeon lab). Positive clones were subcloned, expanded, and frozen down in liquid nitrogen.

Purification of monoclonal antibody

Hybridoma cells were grown in B-27 media for 3 weeks. Antibodies were purified from the supernatant by affinity chromatography. Briefly, supernatant was mixed 3:1 with binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% sodium azide, pH7.4) plus phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) to final concentration of 0.5 mM. Protein G Sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare, 17-0618-01) were added to the solution and gently rotated at 4°C overnight. Protein G beads were packed into a column and washed twice with binding buffer plus PMSF. Pure IgG was eluted with 0.1 M glycine, pH2.7. Each 450μl of elution were collected into tubes containing 50μl 1 M Tris-Cl, pH9.0. The protein concentration of each fraction was assessed spectrophotometrically at A280. The tubes containing the highest amount of protein were combined and concentrated using the Millipore Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter (Millipore, UFC901024). The concentrated antibody was then replaced into 50% glycerol in PBS storage buffer. Protein concentration was determined by absorbance at A280 using a Nanodrop instrument (Thermo Scientific), and adjusted to 1 mg/ml.

Plasmid transfection and immunofluorescence microscopy

Cells (BHK and 3T3) were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to a modification of the manufacturer’s protocol. Exponentially growing cells were trypsinized, re-suspended in transfection media (DMEM media with 10% BGS and L-Glutamine), plated onto multi-well slides (Erie Scientific), and allowed to grow to 70–80% confluence. In each 9 mm well, 0.2μg DNA and 0.4 ul Lipofectamine were mixed in 10ul Opti-MEM media (GIBCO) and incubate at room temperature for 20 min, and then diluted with 150ul transfection media. The mixture was added onto the cells of each well. Cells were cultured with this mixture for 16–24 h, and then replaced with normal medium for another 24–48 h.
To screen for positive anti-CCN5 hybridomas, plasmids were transfected in 100 mm dishes and then trypsinized. 2–3 × 10⁶ cells were aliquoted onto each well of 24-well glass slides and cultured for additional 16–24 h. After fixation and permeabilization, slides were stored in 50% glycerol in PBS at −20°C. Slides can be stored in these conditions for at least 2 weeks without loss of expressed proteins. For each 100 mm dish, 20 μg of DNA and 40 μl Lipofectamine in 500 μl Opti-MEM was used instead.

Transfected cells were fixed with 3% formaldehyde in PBS for 7–10 min, then permeabilized by washing three times with 1x PBST (PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100) for 3 min each. Wells were washed with PBS three times before adding primary antibody. Unless noted, primary antibodies were normally diluted 1:100 in PBS, and the secondary antibody (AlexaFluor 594 goat-anti-mouse, Invitrogen) was diluted 1:1000 in PBS. Cells were incubated with antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Cell nuclei were visualized using DAPI (1 μg/ml in PBS for 5 min). All washing steps between incubations were done 3 times with PBS for 5 min each, unless otherwise noted. Slides were mounted with 90% Glycerol in 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4. Pictures were taken on a Carl Zeiss Axio fluorescence microscope and analyzed by AxioVersion software.

Western Blot analysis

We followed the protocol previously described (Lake et al. 2003). Briefly, cultured cells were washed twice with cold PBS for 5 min each, then lysed with RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) plus 1:50 protease inhibitor (Sigma, P8340). Proteins were denatured at 95°C for 5 min with 2X loading buffer (100 mM Tris-Cl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 200 mM DTT, 0.2% Bromophenol Blue), and then separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto 0.2-μm pore size Immuno-Blot polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (PVDF, Bio-Rad). Dried membranes were rewetted and blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBST (137 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 0.2% Tween 20). The purified antibody 22H10 was diluted 1:2000 in milk-TBST; other antibodies were diluted 1:1000 in milk-TBST; horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz) was diluted 1:5000. All washing steps were done three times with PBST for 10 min each. Membranes were developed with ECL reagent (GE-Amersham, RPN2132), and exposed with X-Omat Blue XB-1 Film (Kodak).

Immunoprecipitation

Cell lysates were prepared as described above for Western Blot analysis. Primary antibodies (22H10 and control mouse IgG) and cell lysates was incubated overnight at 4°C with gentle agitation then incubated with Protein G Sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads for 1 h at room temperature. The protein-antibody-bead complex was spun down at 2000 × g for 2 min, and the resulting pellet was washed six times with RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitor. Finally, the protein complex was denatured in loading buffer at 95°C for 2 min and analyzed by Western Blot. Membranes were stained with Ponceau S staining (0.2% Ponceau S, 1% acetic acid) to visualize proteins.

Immunohistochemistry

To obtain the monoclonal antibodies against each domain of rat CCN5, we first expressed rat CCN5 domains as GST-fusion proteins in the bacterial strain BL21. After IPTG
induction at 37°C, the fusion proteins were expressed as inclusion bodies and isolated by sonication and centrifugation. Following SDS-PAGE, Coomassie staining (Fig. 2) revealed that the dominant bands appeared at the predicted sizes of the fusion proteins, and were confirmed to be CCN5 using a specific rabbit anti-CCN5 polyclonal antibody, NGRR, raised against a peptide fragment of the rat CCN5 VWC domain NGRRYLDGETFKPC, same region as human CCN5 previously described (Gray et al. 2007; Jones et al. 2007; Lake et al. 2003; Mason et al. 2004a). The additional bands visible in the Western blot are the result of insufficient reduction of compacted proteins in the inclusion bodies.

Mice were immunized with a mixture of GST-fused CCN5 domain proteins as described in Material and Methods. Swiss Webster mice were more immunogenic than Balb/c mice, with 3 out of 4 positive for anti-CCN5 antibodies after four injections compared with 1 out of 4 testing positive for production of CCN5 antibodies (data not shown). In addition, and not unexpectedly, we found that the larger proteins were more immunogenic than smaller proteins: only 1 of the 4 mice injected with a mixture of GST fusion peptides linked to the individual I, V, and T domains were positive for anti-CCN5 antibodies, whereas 3 of 4 mice injected with a mixture of GST fusion peptides linked with the IV and IVT domains produced anti-CCN5 antibodies (not shown).

Two of the Swiss Webster mice that were positive for anti-CCN5 antibodies were sacrificed and their spleen cells fused with myeloma cells. After selection in HAT media for 10 days, single hybridoma clones were visible and the supernatant from wells containing single clones was combined and screened as described in Material and Methods. We used immunofluorescence microscopy of BHK cells transfected with plasmids expressing dual-tagged domain proteins to screen monoclonal antibodies, thus eliminating the many false positives against GST seen in screening methods using Western Blot analysis with the GST-fusion protein.

Following two rounds of screening, 32 clones were identified that produced anti-CCN5 antibodies. Further mapping of the antibodies' targeted epitopes on CCN5 by Western blot using GST-fused CCN5 domain proteins showed that all clones produced antibodies against the V domain of CCN5 (not shown). We picked one clone, 22H10, with a particularly high antibody titer among the hybridoma clones, for expansion, purification, and further characterization. All other clones were placed into liquid nitrogen storage for future analysis and use.

Antibody 22H10 characterization demonstrates that it recognizes the V-domain

To isolate purified 22H10 antibody, hybridoma clone 22H10 was grown in B-27 supplemented DMEM for 3 weeks to produce maximum antibody titer, purified by protein G-conjugated Sepharose beads, and subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis (Fig. 3). To determine which epitope the antibody was directed against, we carried out both immunofluorescence microscopy and Western Blot analysis of purified 22H10 antibody (Fig. 4). The antibody recognized all dual-tagged rat CCN5 domain constructs containing the V domain of CCN5, but none of the constructs without the V domain. Expression of all dual-labeled domains was confirmed by both anti-Flag and anti-Myc antibody staining and Western Blot analysis (Fig. 4). These data indicate that antibody 22H10 is directed against the V-domain of CCN5.

22H10 immunoprecipitates recombinant and endogenous CCN5 protein

Having determined that the 22H10 antibody could detect the V-domain of CCN5 in both immunofluorescence and Western Blot analyses, we assessed whether or not the
antibody could immunoprecipitate CCN5 protein, as this would be a potentially useful characteristic for future studies. Compared to the mouse IgG control, 22H10 was able to recognize both recombinant and endogenous CCN5 (Fig. 5a). It was also able to precipitate recombinant CCN5 from lysates of 3T3 cells expressing flag-IVT-myc protein (Fig. 5b), and endogenous CCN5 from growth arrested rat aortic smooth muscle cells (Fig. 5c). Mouse IgG controls neither recognized nor precipitated CCN5. The previously described rabbit polyclonal anti-CCN5 peptide antibody NGRR confirmed the expression of both recombinant (Fig. 4b) and endogenous CCN5 (Fig. 5c).

22H10 reacts with mouse and rat CCN5, but not human CCN5

To test the specificity of 22H10 antibody on different species, we cloned human, mouse and rat CCN5 into the Gateway expression vector. V5 and 6XHis tags were included in C-terminal of the recombinant protein. The human and mouse sequences were cloned from the Integrated Molecular Analysis of Genomes and their Expression (IMAGE) Consortium clones distributed by Open Biosystems (http://image.hudsonalpha.org/), while the rat CCN5 sequence was cloned from growth arrested SDSM cells as described in Materials and Methods. All plasmids were sequenced for identity and accuracy before using them in the cloning procedure. β-galactosidase was cloned and used as a negative control. 22H10 antibody recognized rat and mouse CCN5 recombinant proteins, but not human CCN5, in both immunofluorescence (Fig. 6a) and Western Blot analyses (Fig. 6b). As expected, LacZ was not recognized by 22H10 antibody.

22H10 detects endogenous mouse CCN5 in tissues

The ability of 22H10 antibody to recognize CCN5 in tissues was assessed by direct application of Dylight 594 conjugated primary antibodies to rat intestine sections.

Discussed

In this paper, we report the generation of monoclonal antibodies directed against specific domains of the CCN5 protein. A total of 32 monoclonal antibodies against rat CCN5 were generated in this study. Although they are all against V domain of CCN5, they require further characterization to determine their relative specificity and uses. The possibility that one or more of the clones might be producing function-blocking antibodies remains intriguing, as does the possibility that some of the antibodies may react with human CCN5. Many of the other reagents generated in this study should be useful tools as well. The dual-tagged CCN5 domain constructs may be used in studies of isoforms and domains of CCN5. The GST-fused proteins have applications in the study of CCN5 function both in vitro and in vivo.

One useful technical feature of the monoclonal antibody process we designed is the use of immunofluorescence microscopy of transfected BHK cells grown on 24-well glass slides to detect anti-CCN5 positive hybridoma clones. This proved to be much more efficient in terms of both time and labor compared to the standard approaches that use ELISA or Western Blot analysis to screen hybridoma clones. Using the preparation and preservation conditions described in Materials and Methods, samples can be stored for at least 2 weeks.

We purified and characterized one anti-CCN5 monoclonal antibody, 22H10, and found that it is specific for the V-domain. It recognizes and reacts with mouse and rat CCN5 and V-domains, but not human. This species specificity profile suggests that it will be useful in human-mouse grafts to distinguish the mouse cells from the human. Importantly, we demonstrate that 22H10 recognizes and reacts with endogenous CCN5 as well recombinant protein. Since 22H10 can immunoprecipitate as well as identify CCN5, it may be useful in identifying CCN5 binding partners and other interacting proteins.

Though we designed the monoclonal antibody generation to produce antibodies against each domain of CCN5 by immunizing mice with a mixture of GST-fused domains, we

**Fig. 3** Coomassie staining of purified 22 h10. Hybridoma clone 22H10 was grown in B-27 supplement media for 3 weeks. Cells were lysed and the lystate applied to a Protein G-conjugated agarose column. Bound material was eluted from the column and run on 12% reduced SDS-PAGE. The upper band is IgG heavy chain (~50Kda), and lower band is light chain (~24 Kda)
Fig. 4 22H10 recognizes the V-domain of CCN5. Rat CCN5 domains are expressed by transfected plasmids in 3T3 cells. All proteins are tagged with flag at N-terminal and c-myc at the C-terminal. Labels are 0 mock, 1 flag-I-myc, 2 flag-V-myc, 3 flag-T-myc, 4 flag-IV-myc, 5 flag-VT-myc, 6 flag-IT-myc, 7 flag-IVT-myc. A. proteins detected by immunofluorescence microscopy. Each antibody stained separately, DAPI shows the typical cell density. B. expressed dual-tagged domain proteins are detected by Western blot. Lysates of each domain construct transfected 3T3 cells are indicated as each lane. Note a cloning error make I domain do not have c-myc tag, hence not detected by anti-myc antibody.
Fig. 5 22H10 recognizes and immunoprecipitates recombinant CCN5 and endogenous CCN5. Mouse IgG serves as control for immunoprecipitation and western blot. GAPDH and Ponceau staining show the equal loading of proteins. A. 22H10 recognizes flag-IVT-myc and CCN5 in growth arrested SDSM cells. B. 3T3 cells were transfected with plasmid expressing flag-IVT-myc for 48 h. Cells were lysed and immunoprecipitated with 22H10 and detected using anti-myc antibody. Immuno-complexes were dissociated in reducing loading buffer and run on 12% SDS-PAGE gel. C. SDSM cells were cultured using conditions that rendered them quiescent (G0) or allowed them to proliferate exponentially (Exp). Cells were lysed and immunoprecipitated with 22H10, and CCN5 was detected using NGRR antibody.
did not detect the spectrum of antibodies against each domain of CCN5 as we had anticipated. Instead, all of the antibodies are against epitopes in the V-domain of CCN5. This suggests that the V-domain is more immunogenic than the other domains. Of note is that when the CCN5 amino acid sequence is analyzed to reveal the most immunogenic regions, a 14 amino acid sequence in the V-domain was identified, and that is what we used to generate rabbit polyclonal anti-CCN5 antibody NGRR. This is not entirely unexpected: the immunogenicity of the V-domain has also been demonstrated in other CCN family members. For example, in CCN2, computer-assisted prediction of epitome immunogenicity indicates that the V-domain and CT domain (which is absent in CCN5) are the most highly immunogenic (Minato et al. 2004). Further, injection of full-length recombinant CCN2 into mouse and rabbits, the majority of antibodies generated recognized the V-domain of CCN2 (Minato et al. 2004). Future efforts to produce domain-specific monoclonal antibodies are much more likely to be successful if only the I-domain, T-domain, or amino acid sequences contained within these domains, are used as antigens. Nor is the monoclonal approach to antibody generation the only viable option. By injecting the domain peptides separately, Perbal and colleagues

Fig. 6 22H10 reacts with mouse and rat CCN5, but not with human recombinant CCN5. 3T3 cells were transfected with plasmids that express V5-tagged CCN5 of the indicated species. A. Immunofluorescence microscopy of 3T3 cells. B. 3T3 cell lysates were subjected to Western Blot analysis. 22H10 recognizes mouse and rat, but not human recombinant CCN5.
succeeded in generating rabbit polyclonal antibodies against each domain of CCN3 (Lazar et al. 2007), and this remains a viable approach to CCN5 domain analysis as well.

We used direct dye labeling of primary antibodies and application to tissue sections. This method eliminates non-specific signals caused by endogenous peroxidase and non-specific binding of anti-mouse secondary antibody.

Although this approach is not as sensitive as immunohistochemistry and indirect immunofluorescence, we were able to visualize CCN5 staining quite easily in tissue sections with direct-labeled 22H10. Taken together, the data presented in this communication on the characterization of 22H10 indicates that it is a highly specific monoclonal antibody that will be useful for a variety of applications in the study of CCN5.

Fig. 7 22H10 recognizes CCN5 in vivo. Immunofluorescence microscopy using 22H10 directly conjugated to Dylight 594 fluorescent dye was carried out on paraffin embedded rat intestine cross sections. Sections are blocked using PBS containing 10% BSA and 1:100 normal mouse serum. Dylight 594 labeled antibodies are diluted 1:100 in PBS.

A. Lower magnification shows the structure of intestine and the strong staining of the epithelium by 22H10. B. At higher magnification, nuclear staining was more apparent in other cell types. This staining pattern in rat GI track is the same as another anti-CCN5 antibody (NGRB) previously reported in mouse embryo and adult tissues.
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