Sulfur/graphitic hollow carbon sphere nano-composite as a cathode material for high-power lithium-sulfur battery
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Abstract
The intrinsic low conductivity of sulfur which leads to a low performance at a high current rate is one of the most limiting factors for the commercialization of lithium-sulfur battery. Here, we present an easy and convenient method to synthesize a mono-dispersed hollow carbon sphere with a thin graphitic wall which can be utilized as a support with a good electrical conductivity for the preparation of sulfur/carbon nano-composite cathode. The hollow carbon sphere was prepared from the pyrolysis of the homogenous mixture of the mono-dispersed spherical silica and Fe-phthalocyanine powder in elevated temperature. The composite cathode was manufactured by infiltrating sulfur melt into the inner side of the graphitic wall. The electrochemical cycling shows a capacity of 425 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 3 C current rate which is more than five times larger than that for the sulfur/carbon black nano-composite prepared by simple ball milling.
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Background
The advent of new commercial markets for the hybrid electric vehicle and the large-scale energy storage system urges the development of novel battery systems with much higher energy density and lower price than the conventional Li-ion battery based on the transition metal oxide and graphite [1,2]. For decades, lithium-sulfur battery has been investigated as a viable candidate to meet these requirements due to its high theoretical energy density of over 2,500 Wh/kg and the low material cost of sulfur [3,4]. The lithium-sulfur battery utilizes a series of conversion reactions of elemental sulfur (S\(_8\)) to lithium sulfide (Li\(_2\)S) on the cathode, resulting in a high cathodic capacity of 1,678 mAh g\(^{-1}\). These reactions involve complex intermediate steps, where various lithium polysulfides (Li\(_2\)S\(_n\), 3 < n < 8) participate as temporary soluble species [5,6]. Since the solubilized lithium polysulfides can cause a significant shuttle reaction, and thus, an excessive overcharge behavior may occur during the charge process, the dissolution of polysulfide species needs to be suppressed as much as possible. So far, many attempts have been made to control this phenomenon, with a partial success including an addition of mesoporous metal oxide to cathode [7], an encapsulation of sulfur nanoparticles by hollow metal oxide [8], and an adoption of the highly concentrated electrolyte system [9].

The other fundamental challenge of Li-S battery is associated with the insulating low electrical conductivity of sulfur (approximately 5.0 \times 10^{-14} S/cm) which leads to poor electrochemical performance even at moderate current rate [5]. The formation of nano-composite cathode with conducting materials such as carbon and conducting polymer is a common tactic to tackle this issue. For example, the imbibition of sulfur melt into micro-/meso-/macro-porous carbon network such as CMK-3 [5,10,11], the introduction of sulfur melt into hollow carbon sphere (HCS) [12] or carbon nano-tubes [13], and the encapsulation of nano-scale sulfur with polythiophene [14] or poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-poly(styrene sulfonate) [15] have been tried to provide an electrical pathway to nano-scaled sulfur particles. In this study, we utilized and improved the idea of using a HCS by preparing HCS with a highly graphitic wall
structure (GHCS) in order to promote its electrical conductivity [16,17]. We developed a simple and convenient methodology to synthesize a mono-dispersed GHCS by simple pyrolysis of Fe-phthalocyanine (Fe-Pc) in elevated temperature. We utilized this GHCS to manufacture GHCS/sulfur nano-composite for the application to cathode under high current rate for lithium-sulfur battery.

Methods

GHCS synthesis

For the preparation of GHCS, 1.0 g of commercially available mono-dispersed silica sphere of 500 nm (Fluka Analytical, St. Louis) was mixed homogenously with 2.0 g of Fe-Pc (Aldrich Chemistry, St. Louis) using mortar and pestle. The mixture was subjected to heat treatment at 900°C for 2 h under argon atmosphere to get silica/carbon composite. Then, GHCS was obtained by removing the silica template and iron particles by stirring the composite in a 10% hydrofluoric solution for 5 h.

Characterization of GHCS

The morphological feature was observed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (S-4200, Hitachi Ltd., Chiyoda, Tokyo) with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) attachment and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (Tecnai G2, operating at 200 keV, FEI Co., Hillsboro). The crystallographic structure was measured by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using CuKα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å, D/MAX-2500/PC, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo). The surface area and pore size distribution were measured from the N₂ adsorption isotherm (Belsorp mini 2, BEL Japan, Inc., Osaka). Raman spectrum was collected in a spectral range from 2,000 to 500 cm⁻¹ (Nicolet™ Almega™ dispersive Raman

Figure 1 Schematic diagram for the process to synthesize a graphitic hollow carbon sphere. (a) Homogenous mixture of silica sphere and Fe-Pc, (b) decomposition of Fe-Pc at 500°C to 600°C, (c) graphitization of carbon shell at 900°C by the catalytic action of Fe nanoparticles, and (d) hollow carbon sphere after HF etching.
spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh) with He-Ni 633-nm laser).

Preparation of sulfur/GHCS nano-composite cathode
Commercial sulfur powder (200 mg) and GHCS (100 mg) were ground thoroughly using mortar and pestle to make a homogenous mixture. Then, the mixture was put in a vacuum oven at 155°C for 6 h to let the sulfur melt smear into the inner part of the hollow carbon. After that, the composite was gently ground again using mortar and pestle. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out under nitrogen atmosphere up to 800°C at a rate of 10°C/min (TGA 2050, TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA).

Electrochemical measurement
In a typical procedure, sulfur/GHCS nano-composite (200 mg) was ball milled in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone for 30 min together with polyvinylidene fluoride binder (25 mg) and casted on an aluminum foil with a loading around 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) of sulfur. The electrochemical behavior of the composite electrodes was observed with 2032 coin cells using an electrolyte composed of 3 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonylimide in the cosolvent of 1,2-dimethoxyethane and 1,3-dioxolane 1:1 (v/v) solution. The electrochemical cycling was carried out between 1.5 and 3.0 V in C/10 rate for the initial three cycles and thereafter C/2 (1 C = 1,675 mA g\(^{-1}\) of sulfur).

Results and discussion
The pyrolytic decomposition of Fe-Pc and its adhesion on the spherical silica with a high surface area were described in Figure 1. The thermal decomposition of metal-phthalocyanine and other related compounds has been well studied before, especially to produce a nitrogen-doped graphitic carbon or carbon nano-tubes [18-21]. These were typically applied to fuel cells or metal air cells as an efficient oxygen reduction catalyst on the cathode [21,22]. The decomposition of Fe-Pc occurs around 500°C to 600°C, where the ring starts to open to form an intermediate species which interacts with the adjacent silica surface, resulting in a thin layer of the poorly ordered nitrogen-doped carbon on the surface at 600°C [23]. Around 900°C, the nitrogen contents...
of the carbon layer decrease, and the crystallinity of the graphene layers increases due to the catalytic act of metallic Fe nanoparticles. It is well known that the graphitic carbon from the decomposition of metal-phthalocyanine typically contains approximately 1% to 8% of nitrogen contents [22,24]. Especially, Fe-Pc is known as an efficient carbon source for producing a highly graphitic carbon, where its Fe particles in the final product can be easily removed by simple acid leaching. Figure 2a,b shows the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the mono-dispersed GHCS synthesized in this work. The diameter of these carbon spheres is around 460 to 480 nm which is just a little smaller than the size of the original silica sphere, and the wall thickness is less than 10 nm. From the N$_2$ isotherm at 77 K (Figure 3), the BET surface area was measured to be 297 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and the pore size distribution deduced from the Barret-Joyner-Halenda algorithm indicates the presence of mesopores about 3.7 nm on the wall (Figure 3 inset). These pores can act as pathways for the impregnation of sulfur into the interior when sulfur/carbon nano-

Figure 3 Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm at 77 K for the graphitic hollow carbon sphere synthesized in this work and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution from the desorption branch (inset).

Figure 4 SEM images, XRD patterns, and thermogravimetric analysis. SEM images of the graphitic hollow carbon sphere (a) before and (b) after sulfur impregnation. (c) The XRD patterns of the mixture of the graphitic hollow carbon and sulfur before and after the heat treatment at 155°C in vacuum, and (d) the TGA recorded for the sulfur-impregnated graphitic hollow carbon in N$_2$ atmosphere at a heating rate of 10°C/min.
composite is formed [4,12]. The graphitic nature of this wall was investigated by analyzing the XRD pattern and Raman spectra in Figure 2c,d respectively. The XRD pattern shows distinct (002) and (101) planes, and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) for (002) plane is 1.25°, which indicates the formation of nano-crystallite with coherent length of 6.5 nm. The Raman spectrum shows \(D\) and \(G\) bands at 1,350 and 1,580 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. They were deconvoluted using commercial software (IgorPro™, WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego) by fitting to Lorentzian functions. The ratio of the FWHM to \(D\) and \(G\) peaks is calculated to be 2.84 which is a much higher value than that for the carbon made from sucrose (2.34) or glassy carbon [12,25,26]. The graphitic carbon contents of the GHCS particles are estimated to be approximately 58% compared to the known standard [12]. Since the graphitic nature of the carbon is closely related with its electrical conductivity, GHCS was utilized as a carbon support to prepare a sulfur/carbon nano-composite electrode. The high graphitic nature of GHCS facilitates a fast electron transport to the reaction site where both sulfur and \(\text{Li}_2\text{S}\) are electrically insulating. The nano-composite was prepared by heating the homogeneous mixture of sulfur and GHCS to 155°C for 6 h in vacuum oven to let the sulfur melt smear into the inner part of hollow carbon [4]. Figure 4a,b shows that the morphology of the sulfur/carbon composite is nearly identical with the initial hollow carbon sphere, and the bulk sulfur particles were not observed from the SEM measurement, which indicates that sulfur imbibed into

Figure 5 EDX compositional analysis (profiling along the red line). A single particle of the sulfur-impregnated graphitic hollow carbon sphere showing the presence of sulfur (yellow) in the composite.
the hollow carbon sphere. The XRD pattern (Figure 4c) of the nano-composite shows the absence of the initial sulfur pattern, which implies that the sulfur may exist in an amorphous phase after the impregnation. The presence of sulfur in the composite was verified by the EDX line profiling shown in Figure 5, where sulfur is seen as a separate inner layer located inside the carbon nanoshell. From the TGA analysis (Figure 4d), the sulfur contents in the nano-composite are estimated to be about 60%, consistent with the targeted composition. It is noteworthy that the initial amount of sulfur in the composite should be determined considering the volume expansion of the active material ($S_8$ to $Li_2S$) on the electrode upon lithiation [8]. The encapsulation of sulfur within the carbon shell also has a beneficial effect on suppressing the shuttle reaction by confining soluble long-chain polysulfides ($Li_2S_8$ and $Li_2S_6$) inside the carbon sphere. From Figure 6a, the electrochemical cycling performance and discharge–charge profiles. The current rate was $C/10$ for the initial three cycles and $C/2$ afterwards.
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**Figure 6** Li-S cell made of sulfur/graphitic hollow carbon sphere nano-composite cathode. (a) Cycling performance and (b) discharge–charge profiles. The current rate was $C/10$ for the initial three cycles and $C/2$ afterwards.

From Figure 7, the discharge capacities and discharge–charge profiles of Li-S cell. (a) Discharge capacities and (b) discharge–charge profiles at the various current rates. Filled blue squares in (a) represent the discharge capacities of sulfur/carbon black nano-composite made by ball milling for comparison.
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**Figure 7** Discharge capacities and discharge–charge profiles of Li-S cell. (a) Discharge capacities and (b) discharge–charge profiles at the various current rates. Filled blue squares in (a) represent the discharge capacities of sulfur/carbon black nano-composite made by ball milling for comparison.
of the nano-composite cathode shows the initial discharge capacity of 1,300 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at C/10, keeping at 790 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (0.5 C) even after 100 cycles. In Figure 6b, the comparison of discharge–charge curves upon cycling indicates that capacity loss during the discharge occurs mainly due to the difficulties in converting Li\(_2\)S\(_2\) to Li\(_2\)S in a solid state, as the plateau near 2.05 V shortens, and the overpotential remains unchanged as the cycle proceeds. Figure 7 shows the electrochemical performance of sulfur/GHCS cathode in high current rates. The discharge capacity even at a high rate at 3 C is observed to be 425 mAh g\(^{-1}\), which is five times larger than the value (81 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) from the nano-composite cathode by simple ball milling of sulfur and carbon black [9], although they have similar initial discharge capacities at low rate of C/10. The good electrical conductivity of the graphitic wall of GHCS promotes an easy transport of electrons to the sulfur located inside the carbon shell (Figure 7b). However, in the case of simple ball milling, much of the surface of the conductive carbon is shielded by the outer thin insulating coating layer of sulfur as seen in Figure 8a [9], which develops an overwhelming overpotential during the discharge–charge process caused by the poor electrical contact between the particles (Figure 8b). Similarly, previous works about the graphene/sulfur nano-composites did not exhibit a good electrochemical performance either, especially at high current rates over 1 C, although a graphene is generally regarded to have a high electrical conductivity [27,28]. This study proves that a sulfur/GHCS nano-composite is an effective method to overcome these problems and shows an easy, convenient, and scalable method to fabricate a graphitic hollow carbon sphere.

**Conclusions**

The intrinsic low conductivity of sulfur which leads to a low performance at high current rate is one of the most limiting factors for the commercialization of lithium-sulfur battery. In this work, we showed an easy and convenient method to synthesize a hollow carbon sphere with a thin graphitic wall which can provide a support with a good electrical conductivity for the preparation of sulfur/carbon composite cathode. The hollow carbon sphere was prepared by heating the homogenous mixture of mono-dispersed spherical silica and Fe-phthalocyanine powders in elevated temperature. The composite cathode was manufactured by infiltrating sulfur melt into the inner side of the graphitic wall at 155°C. The electrochemical cycling shows a capacity of 425 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a 3 C current rate which is more than five times larger than that for the sulfur/carbon black nano-composite prepared by simple ball milling.
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