A universal karyotypic system for hexaploid and diploid Avena species brings oat cytogenetics into the genomics era
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Abstract

Background

The identification of chromosomes among *Avena* species have been studied by C-banding and in situ hybridization. However, the complicated results from several cytogenetic nomenclatures for identifying oat chromosomes are often contradictory. A universal karyotyping nomenclature system for precise chromosome identification and comparative evolutionary studies would be essential for genus *Avena* based on the recently released genome sequences of hexaploid and diploid *Avena* species.

Results

Tandem repetitive sequences were predicted and physically located on chromosomal regions of the *Avena sativa* genomes. Thirteen new oligonucleotide (oligo) probes for sequential fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) were designed and then applied for chromosome karyotyping on mitotic metaphase spreads of eleven hexaploid and diploid *Avena* accessions. We established a high resolution standard karyotype of *A. sativa* based on the distinct FISH signals of multiple oligo probes. FISH painting with bulked oligos, based on wheat-barley collinear regions, was used to validate the linkage group assignment for individual *A. sativa* chromosomes. We integrated our new Oligo-FISH based karyotype system with earlier karyotype nomenclatures through sequential C-banding and FISH methods, then subsequently determined the precise breakage points of some chromosome translocations.

Conclusion

This new universal chromosome identification system will be a powerful tool for describing the genetic diversity, chromosomal rearrangements and evolutionary relationships among *Avena* species by comparative cytogenetic and genomic approaches.

Background

The common oat (*Avena sativa* L., 2n = 6x = 42, AACCDD) is a temperate crop (annual production of 23 million tons in 2017; http://faostat.fao.org) which is primarily used for livestock feed and partially for human food. It is also a food crop recommended by nutritionists because its consumption helps reduce blood cholesterol levels and heart disease risk [1, 2]. The genus *Avena* consists of several diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid species. All diploid species contain either the AA or CC genomes, the tetraploid species carry the AACC or CCDD genomes, while the hexaploid species have AACCDD genomes [3]. The cultivated oat was domesticated from the wild and weedy *A. sterilis* L., which arose from hybridization between a CCDD allotetraploid and an AsAs diploid [4, 5].
Avena represents a remarkable model to study because of its history of polyploidy, lineage divergence and complex reticulate evolution [6–8]. The relationships and origins among Avena species have been intensively studied by molecular and cytological approaches [8, 9]. The earliest investigations into genome structure involved meiotic chromosome pairing, C-banding and genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) which enabled the sub-genome chromosomes in common oat to be distinguished [6–13]. Identification of the chromosome complements of Avena species has been successfully achieved using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) based on the satellite sequence pAs120a, specific to the A genome, in combination with rDNA probes and the sequence pAm1, satellite DNA specific to the C genome [14, 15]. Several cytogenetic nomenclatures have been established for identifying all 21 hexaploid oat chromosomes and assigning these chromosomes to each of the three subgenomes [16–18]. However, the complicated results from different researchers are often contradictory due to a lack of conserved FISH probes and frequent intergenomic rearrangements which have occurred in the different oat species [19, 20]. Establishment of a universal karyotyping nomenclature system for each individual oat chromosome pair would be of enormous benefit to Avena researchers.

FISH using probes from different families of satellite sequences can generate chromosome- and genome-specific patterns, and consequently allows for the identification of chromosome pairs at mitotic metaphases [21, 22]. The simplified technique of non-denaturing fluorescence in situ hybridization (ND-FISH), using oligonucleotide (oligo) probes of repetitive sequences, has been confirmed as a simple, cheap and high-throughput method for painting the chromosomes of different plant species [23–26]. The FISH signal patterns of some probes, including simple sequence repeat (SSR) motifs, have previously been documented for several different oat lines [20, 27–31]. However, the FISH patterns of those SSRs are highly polymorphic, which may cause difficulties for the assignment of specific chromosomes to certain sub-genomes and linkage groups.

Genome research on oat has received less attention than for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), possibly because it is not a relatively prominent component of the human diet [32–34]. Maughan et al. [35] reported two complete genome sequences of representative diploid Avena spp., A. atlantica (A genome) and A. eriantha (C genome), and the first hexaploid oat reference genome has also been released recently (https://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG3/node/922). These genome databases have enabled researchers to employ bioinformatic approaches to determine the genomic locations of tandem repeats (TR) along the oat chromosomes [25, 36, 37] and develop FISH probes suitable for chromosome identification. Moreover, oligo-FISH painting systems using the bulked pools of 40–50 bp lengths, which are specific to an entire chromosome or a specific region, have successfully enabled the karyotyping of several sequenced plant species with various genome sizes [22, 37–39]. The oligo-FISH painting system was recently used to assign specific linkage groups to chromosomes in Triticeae species [37]. Therefore, this comparative oligo-FISH painting system may also be modified to enable karyotyping of the oat chromosomes, since the divergence time between oats and members of the Triticeae tribe has been estimated to be only 25.5–26.5 MYA [40].
In the current study, we predict the chromosomal distribution of tandem repeats (TRs) by use of a web server analyzing data from recently released *Avena* genome sequences. The TR-oligo based ND-FISH and single copy oligo pool-based FISH painting methods have been integrated to establish a standard nomenclature system for identification of individual chromosomes of *Avena* species. Our study will fill the important gap in the cytogenetic and genomic approaches for precise identification of chromosome segments and rearrangements for future oat improvement.

**Results**

Genomic distribution of TRs in *A. sativa* genomes

In order to investigate the proportional contribution of the TRs in *A. sativa* genomes, the sequence data of all 21 individual chromosomes from the cultivated oat OT3098 reference assembly were analyzed [25]. We obtained 10,832 arrays of TRs comprising a total length of 447.21 Mb, which constitutes 4.16% of the total 10.74 Gb assembled oat genome (*Table S1*). The lowest proportion of chromosome sequence length contributed by TRs was for chromosome 6D at 1.11%, and highest proportion of sequence length contributed by TRs was for chromosome 6C reaching 8.67% (*Figure S1a*). The distribution of TRs across the seven different linkage groups was also compared, and a clear variation was observed (*Figure S1b*). The coverage of TR content varied across the A, C and D genomes. For both the overall length and the percentage of TRs of the chromosomes, numbers for the C genome were much higher than for the A and D genomes. The average TR content among the A, C and D genomes was 2.59%, 6.92% and 2.17%, respectively (*Figure S1c*).

Physical locations of known TRs and validation by ND-FISH

FISH probes, usually several hundred base pairs in length, have been previously used for identification of mitotic metaphase chromosomes of *Avena* species [41-44]. A conserved repetitive DNA element was located in the centromeres of cereal chromosomes, and subsequently was also localized to centromeric regions of oat chromosomes [45, 46]. The predicted copy number for the cereal centromeric sequence (CCS1) for *A. sativa* was estimated by the D2DSC web server [25], and the distribution on oat chromosomes is shown in *Table S2*. We found that this CCS1 repeat was distributed mainly on the centromeric regions of chromosomes of the A and D genomes (*Figure 1a-c*). ND-FISH using probe Oligo-CCS1 showed clear centromeric signals on 28 chromosomes, which are presumably the oat A and D genomes, but lacked any hybridization on the C genome of BaiyanII (*Figure 1d*). This result is consistent with the FISH study of Tomas et al. [19]. We thus propose that the predicted locations of Oligo-CCS1 sites match with the cytological positions of the centromeres, suggesting the precision and validity of the sequence assembly for *A. sativa*.

Examination of a broad range of cereal species indicated that the relative positions of the 5S and 18S rDNA loci is a highly conserved characteristic of cereal and oat genomes [47,48]. The distribution of 12 major 5S rDNA signal sites, located on four oat chromosome pair, was revealed by ND-FISH probed by Oligo-5SrDNA (*Figure 2*). The predicted physical locations of 5SrDNA, based on the oat reference genome,
are shown on the assembled oat chromosomes 4A, 4D, 3C and 7C (Figure 2c), and these sites are consistent with the FISH results. Similarly, studies were also conducted on the predicted physical locations and subsequent FISH verification of 18SrDNA on oat chromosomes 4A and 3C (Figure 2a and c).

Linares et al. [49] isolated a 670-bp satellite DNA sequence fragment, pAs120a, (NCBI genbank number: AJ001922) specific to the A-genome chromosomes in A. sativa. An oligo probe Oligo-pAs120a was designed based on the pAs120a sequences (Table 1). The physical distributions and estimation of copy number of Oligo-pAs120, predicted by B2DSC for the A. sativa genomes, are shown in Figure 3. The results showed that pAs120 displays about 1,800 to 2,300 copies for each A-genome chromosome, while the C and D genomes have fewer than 600 copies per chromosome. FISH with probe Oligo-pAs120 on cultivated oat mitotic spreads revealed that 14 chromosomes showed clear hybridization, and thus we conclude that these are the A- subgenome chromosomes (Figure 3e).

Solano et al. [50] isolated a satellite DNA sequence, pAm1, specific to the oat C genome, containing an insert of 464 bp (NCBI genbank number: X83958.1) isolated from A. murphyi. Based on the physical distributions and estimation of tandem repeats in the A. sativa genomes by the B2DSC web server, we found that pAm1 repeats contained a core consensus 51bp monomer sequence with a copy number of around 260,000-380,000 among the C-genome chromosomes (Figure 3b). A probe named Oligo-6C51 (Table 1), representing pAm1 for ND-FISH, was hybridized to the metaphase cells of A. sativa and A. fatua. As shown in Figure 3d and e, ND-FISH results demonstrated that the probe Oligo-6C51 had extremely strong hybridization signal sites located across the entire 14 chromosomes of the C genome for oat cultivar BaiyanII and A. fatua. Faint signals also appeared on the telomeric regions of four chromosome pairs (Figure 3d, e), which included the predicted chromosomes 1A, 2D, 3D, and 5D (Figure 3c). Therefore, the hybridization patterns of probes Oligo-6C51 for the C genome and Oligo-pAs120 for the A genome, together with physical locations, will facilitate sub-genome assignment of individual chromosomes in the hexaploid oat, with the remaining D-genome chromosomes showing limited hybridization with these two probes.

Production of new repetitive probes for chromosome identification

In order to achieve our aim to establish the standard karyotype of hexaploid oat, we need to produce more probes with each of their physical positions clearly defined so that they can be applied in chromosomal painting. In this present study, a total of 13 novel oligo probes were designed from the predicted TR database (Table 1), then their physical distributions and estimation of copy numbers were obtained using the B2DSC web server. These oligos appeared as distinct and stable hybridization signals on the chromosomes of BaiyanII by ND-FISH. As shown in Figure 2, Oligo-oat-Telo produced hybridization signals on telomeric or sub-telomeric regions of one or both arms of almost all chromosomes, while Oligo-6C343 produced hybridization signals on chromosomes 1C, 2C, 3C, 5C, 6C and 7C (Figure 2). ND-FISH results demonstrated that the oligo-probe Oligo-4A-70 had hybridization sites only on the distal region of the short arm of chromosome 4A. Therefore, in combination with A and C- genome specific
probes, the oat chromosomes were also recognizable by using a cocktail of the probes Oligo-oat-Telo, Oligo-6C-343 and Oligo-8C355 (Figures 3,4). The hybridization patterns of 12 probes for individual oat chromosomes, and their physical locations with units of Mb in the A. sativa genome, were predicted by the B2DSC web server. Of these 12 probes, the ND-FISH karyotype, based on seven of these probes, showed dispersed signals along C, A and D-genome chromosomes and will be able to distinguish all oat chromosomes with different origins (Figures 2,3 and 4). As expected, some probes were successfully hybridized to chromosomes by ND-FISH, but their copy number by TR prediction was largely underestimated, which possibly implies that the sequence assembly of the related region needs to be improved.

**Table 1. The sequences of predicted TRs oligo probes for Avena chromosome identification by ND-FISH**

| Oligo probes      | Sequences                                                  |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oligo-CCS1        | CCGTTTGATAGAGCAAGGATCCTTCTTTGATGAGA                       |
| Oligo-oat-Telo    | CAAAACATGATCCGCTCTTTACGGTCAITTTTAATCGCCT                   |
| Oligo-6C51        | AACACCATGCAATGACTCTAGTGTTGATCCATGTGTGGTTTGTGGAAG          |
| Oligo-6C343       | AGGACATATGTACATGGAGAGCCAAGGGTTGGGCAACTTTGCCACATTTCT       |
| Oligo-8C355       | ACTTTCTTGTACAGGGGTTAGCCCGTTAGTGACCTCAGCTTGTGTTTTTA       |
| Oligo-4C709       | ATGTGATGATGTTAAAACATGTTTGGGAACATGTGTTGACAAAGATCTAC       |
| Oligo-3A352       | GTGCTTGCATGTGGCCCTCCTCGCATGCATCGCTCTACCTAGAGGCAGA        |
| Oligo-4A313       | GGGTTGAACTACACAGAAGTGGAGCTGAGGGGAAGCGATAAGAGAAACGCGGT    |
| Oligo-6C686       | GAGCCAAGGTGGCGCAACTTTCGGCACATTTCAGGCGCCGGTTGAGCGCGCG    |
| Oligo-4A70        | AACACTTTCAGAGAATTTATACATCAGCTTTAATGTGAAAGAGTGT           |
| Oligo-pAs120a     | GGTITTACCTCTACATATCTCTGATTAGTAAATTGGTTGTTAACTCAACCGGATGGTTA     |
| Oligo-18SrDNA     | GGGCAAGTCTGGTGCAGCCAGCGGCGGT                             |
| Oligo-5SrDNA      | GTACTACTCTCGGCCAAGCAGCAGCTAATTCGAGTTCTGA                 |
| Oligo-HvCSR       | ACAACGACAACACAGACATGACAGA                                 |

Chromosome nomenclature system comparison

Jellen et al. [10] reported a karyotyping system based on C-banding analyses of A. sativa. Sanz et al. [17] proposed a FISH karyotype of the 21 chromosome pairs of A. sativa deduced from analyses using rDNA probes and satellite sequences specific to either the A or C-genome chromosomes. In the present study, we carried out the sequential C-banding and ND-FISH for the first time to the same metaphase cells of A. sativa BaiyanII (Figure 4). The C-banding technique used here demonstrated that the C-genome chromosomes displayed strong staining because of accumulated heterochromatin (Figure 4a). The specific distribution of C-bands enabled most of the A and D genomes chromosomes to be clearly distinguished (Figure 4a). The identical cell was subsequently used to confirm chromosome identification by ND-FISH using the above mentioned probes, including the oligo combinations of Oligo-oat-Telo + Oligo-6c343 + Oligo-18SrDNA + Oligo-5SrDNA (Figure 4b) and Oligo-6C51 + Oligo-8C355 (Figure 4c). The results of C-banding and FISH patterns enabled us to combine the ND-FISH nomenclature for the chromosome designations of A. sativa used in the present study with the C-banding-based designations of Jellen et al. [10], and the FISH mapping study of Sanz et al. [17] using two highly repetitive DNA sequences. As shown in Table 2, the new nomenclature system is consistent with the results of previous
studies and allows numbering of all of the 21 chromosome pairs of A. sativa based on genome sequences.

Our chromosome identification system, based on ND-FISH with multiple probes, was used to develop karyotypes of the A. sativa lines BaiyanII, Clav2527, AS-111, AS-112 and Nicolas (Figure 4). The proposed karyotype of hexaploid oat is also shown in Figure S2. We found that all five lines displayed identical karyotypes without any obvious translocations revealed by ND-FISH. Therefore, this new, uniform nomenclature system should be useful in oat cytogenetics, facilitating the identification of homeologous relationships among the chromosomes of the three genomes in A. sativa.

Table 2. Comparative karyotype nomenclature system of the present genomic based system to those by C-bandng, FISH analysis for A. sativa

| Genomes | Genotype based chromosomes | SSR based FISH (Linares et al. [49]) | C-banding (Jellen et al. [10]) | FISH and banding (Sanz et al. [17]) |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A       | 1A                         | 5A                                   | 17                            | 17A                              |
|         | 2A                         | 8A                                   | 8                             | 8A                               |
|         | 3A                         | 18A                                  | 15                            | 15A                              |
|         | 4A                         | 12A                                  | 19                            | 19A                              |
|         | 5A                         | 20A                                  | 13                            | 13A                              |
|         | 6A                         | 19A                                  | 16                            | 16A                              |
|         | 7A                         | 6A                                   | 11                            | 11A                              |
| C       | 1C                         | 16C                                  | 16C                           | 7C                               |
|         | 2C                         | 1C                                   | 2C                            | 1C                               |
|         | 3C                         | 4C                                   | 10C                           | 3C                               |
|         | 4C                         | 15C                                  | 15C                           | 6C                               |
|         | 5C                         | 10C                                  | 1C                            | 5C                               |
|         | 6C                         | 7C                                   | 7C                            | 4C                               |
|         | 7C                         | 2C                                   | 4C                            | 2C                               |
| D       | 1D                         | 10D                                  | 11D                           | 10D                              |
|         | 2D                         | 12D                                  | 9D                            | 12D                              |
|         | 3D                         | 14D                                  | 14D                           | 14D                              |
|         | 4D                         | 9D                                   | 17D                           | 9D                               |
|         | 5D                         | 18D                                  | 21D                           | 18D                              |
|         | 6D                         | 21D                                  | 13D                           | 21D                              |
|         | 7D                         | 20D                                  | 3D                            | 20D                              |

Integrated physical map for TR-Oligos in A. sativa

FISH based on probes containing an SSR motif has been used for genomic evolutionary analysis [43, 44]. However, the physical locations of these SSRs on the genomes of A. sativa were unavailable. Our established karyotype based on FISH has enabled genome-wide localization of repetitive sequences of
common oat after combining with the ND-FISH results. **Figure S3** illustrates that sequential ND-FISH analysis has permitted localization of the repeats onto specific regions of the chromosomes of BaiyanII. For example, we found that Oligo-(ACT)$_6$ hybridized on the pericentromeric or centromeric regions of chromosomes 3C, 5C and 6C, Oligo-(GAA)$_7$ on chromosomes 3C and 7D, while Oligo-(CAA)$_7$ showed strong signals on 6A and 5D, and weak signals on 1A, 2A, 5A, 2D of BaiyanII (**Figure S3**). The physical locations of Oligo-(GAA)$_7$, Oligo-(CAA)$_7$ and were consistent with previous reports on oat [27, 28, 43, 44]. A total of 13 non-redundant oligo-probes (**Table 1**) and the SSR motifs were allocated to 223 predicted chromosome locations with an accumulated copy number over 40 per 1Mb, which relatively closely matches with the physical locations revealed by ND-FISH. An integrated Oligo-based ND-FISH map of oat is shown in **Figure 5**. The 223 hybridization sites include 66 on the A genome, 91 for the C genome and 66 in the D genome. Each chromosome appeared to have 3-19 hybridization sites, of which, the shortest chromosome 6D showed only three hybridization sites with two oligos (**Figure 5**). The newly produced TR-Oligos, as well as previously reported probes, can be used as an oligo ‘cocktail’ to detect specific chromosome regions effectively, which will be extremely useful for detecting any chromosome rearrangements, as well as revealing their evolution by combined the genomic resources and cytogenetic knowledge of oat genome.

Comparing the karyotype of *A. sativa* to wheat-barley lineage by Oligo-FISH painting

The standard FISH karyotype based on the single copy sequences may illustrate the low variation among genomes which has been conserved across most of evolutionary history [22]. We used the lineage-specific probes Synt1 to Synt7 representing syntenic regions between wheat and barley [37] to compare the linkage group assignment of *A. sativa* chromosomes to Triticeae species. For example, the probe Synt7 produced strong hybrid signals on the three chromosomes pairs of 7A, 7B and 7D of wheat, and barley 7H, as well as the linkage group 7 chromosomes of other Triticeae species [37]. Mitotic chromosomes of *A. sativa* were subjected to ND-FISH analysis using probe combinations of Oligo-6C51 + Oligo-8c355 (**Figure 6a**) and Oligo-oat-Telo + Oligo-6c343 + Oligo-18SrDNA + Oligo-5SrDNA (**Figure 6b**), followed by the bulked oligo probes Synt1 to Synt7, (**Figure 6**). The hybridization patterns showed that Synt1 produced strong hybrid signals on the three chromosomes pairs of 1A, 1C and 1D of the *A. sativa* group 1 chromosomes, while Synt7 produced strong hybrid signals on the three chromosomes pairs of 7A, 7C and 7D of the *A. sativa* group 7 chromosomes, respectively. Similarly, the bulked painting probes Synt6 (**Figure 6**), Synt 2 and Synt3 (data not shown) also mainly hybridized to corresponding linkage groups 6, 2 and 3 of oat chromosomes, respectively. We also observed that Synt4 and Synt5 hybridized to six chromosome pairs of *A. sativa* on the ends of both long and short arms, indicating that oat linkage groups 4 and 5 have undergone clear rearrangements with respect to the wheat-barley chromosome linkage groups (**Figure 6c**). The results confirmed the genome synteny of the putative *Hordeum-Avena* orthologs by cytogenetic ND-FISH and Oligo-FISH, which is consistent to the prediction by Maughan et al. [35]. Therefore, our comparative bulk probe based-FISH results have demonstrated the relatively conserved collinearity of the grass genomes, and confirmed the universal karyotype system we have established as potentially useful for comparative evolutionary studies.
The karyotype system applied for diploid Avena chromosome identification

Maughan et al. [35] designated the chromosomes AA1-AA7 and AE1-AE7 for the As- and Cp-subgenomes from A. atlantica and A. eriantha, respectively. The physical locations of the oligo-probes Oligo-3A52 + Oligo-4A70 were used to study the genomes of diploid Avena species. ND-FISH also revealed the karyotypes of A. brevis, A. wiestti and A. nuda (Figure 7d). We found that the physical distribution of the probes on AA1 to AA7 chromosomes closely matched their locations revealed by ND-FISH on the A genome of A. sativa. Similarly, for A. venticoso, the physical locations of Oligo-oat-Telo + Oligo-6C343 on the C genome were consistent with the ND-FISH results on A. sativa (Figure 7). Chromosomes AE5, AE4, AE3, AE7, AE6, AE2, AE1 corresponded to the linkage groups 1C-7C, respectively (Figure 7g).

The bulked oligos Synt1 to Synt7, developed previously for FISH painting of wheat-barley chromosomes, can also be applied to the A genome chromosomes of Avena to reveal structural rearrangements. FISH results showed that chromosomes 1A (AA2), 3A (AA3) and 7A (AA1) were structurally highly conserved (Figure 8). Chromosomes 2A (AA5), 4A (AA4), 5A (AA6) and 6A (AA7) displayed distinct rearrangements, based on Synt-1 and Synt-7 probes combined with the ND-FISH probes by Oligo-4A70 and Oligo-3A52 (Figure 8).

Identification of chromosome rearrangements in A. sativa

Metaphase chromosome spreads of A. sativa selected lines AS112-1 and AS112-3 were subjected to sequential ND-FISH using multiple oligo probes. ND-FISH revealed that line AS112-1 contained a 7D-2C reciprocal translocation on the interstitial region of the short arm of the original 7D and long arm of 2C chromosomes (Figure 9a-e). Line AS112-3 contained multiple translocations revealed by FISH. We found complex translocations involving 5C-2C, 1D-7C and 7A-4C as well as dicentric and deletion chromosomes, all identified by sequential FISH. The breakage points on the deletion, translocation, dicentric chromosomes and short midget chromosomes are shown in Figure 9f-h. These complex chromosome rearrangements can be easily identified by hybridization with the above probes, and also with help of the established standard chromosome nomenclatures. Therefore, advanced karyotypic analysis by sequential ND-FISH on Avena species is effective for identifying new chromosome translocations and visualizing the precise breakpoints of the chromosomal rearrangements.

Discussion

Standard karyotypes are generally accompanied by a universally accepted nomenclature system wherein individual chromosomes and specific regions can be numerically recognized; this provides a quick and reliable mode of discerning cross-species chromosomal or genomic similarities [21]. Traditionally, aneuploid analysis combined with individual chromosome identification has been used to assign a chromosome to a specific linkage group in diploid and polyploid plant species [22]. In hexaploid oat, the 21 distinct monosomics based on differences in chromosome morphology have been described [51]. Such aneuploid stocks include monosomic lines that can be difficult to maintain [6]. The development of chromosome banding techniques has long been considered as a fast, reliable, and economical method
for identification of chromosomes of the *Avena* species [6, 12, 16]. However, some chromosomes or chromosome segments are lacking in these diagnostic bands. Subsequently, Irigoyen et al. [15] generated a FISH map of *A. sativa* cv. SunII and its monosomic lines. They used simultaneous and sequential FISH which allowed the unequivocal identification and genome assignation of all chromosomes, including three intergenomic translocations in SunII. In light of the fact that an average *Avena* genome may contain about 76–78% dispersed or tandem distribution of repetitive DNA sequences, Liu et al. [30] identified the nature, abundance and organization of all the repetitive DNA families in *A. sativa*, and they produced several probes suitable for use in FISH. Conventional FISH and ND-FISH methods are mostly based on the satellite repetitive sequences and are generally not linkage group-specific [7, 14, 19, 20]. Consequently, a high-resolution cytogenetic FISH map of the universal hexaploid and diploid *Avena* genome representing each of its chromosomes is essential for precise chromosome identification.

The increasing amount of available sequence data of both diploid and hexaploid oat has led to greater knowledge of the abundance and distribution of repeat-sequences across the assembled genomes of these two types of species [35]. In the present study, we predicted the genome-wide tandem repeats by accessing data on the B2DSC web server [25], and produced 13 oligo probes which hybridized onto the chromosomes of oat (Table 1). We established that TR-based Oligo probes, such as combinations of Oligo-6C51 + Oligo-8C355 and Oligo-oat-Telo + Oligo-6C343 + Oligo-18SrDNA + Oligo-5SrDNA (Figs. 4, 6), enable the precise and efficient identification of hexaploid oat chromosomes. The advantage of the present chromosome identification system is that it employs sequential ND-FISH with an increased number of probes which exhibit clear locations. Our procedure will improve the reliability for chromosome identification (Fig. 5). We have also investigated the degree of repetitive DNA composition in genomes from the wild relatives of oat by ND-FISH (Fig. 7). Future research will extend this study to a number of other diploid and tetraploid species for quantifying the magnitude of intra- and interspecific variation.

The time periods for divergence between oats and members of the Triticeae (wheat, barley) has been estimated to be 25.5– 26.5 MYA between oats and wheat, and 23–25 MYA between oats and barley [40]. Whole-genome comparisons with barley and wheat have revealed that extensive blocks of synteny remain which have helped resolve homologous relationships between different oat linkage groups [32]. The wheat-barley genome sequence will be a useful resource to assist genetics and genomics research in oat [30, 35]. Based on the comparative FISH between diploid and hexaploid oat by both Oligo-FISH painting of probes Synt1 to Synt7 (Fig. 6), we found that the comparative maps may help to resolve homologous relationships between different linkage groups and reveal many undiscovered major rearrangements in *Avena* subgenomes. Moreover, the estimated time of divergence of the two more similar subgenomes (A/D) from the distinct one (C) was around 7.9–8.7 MYA [40]. It also possibly suggests that large chromosome structural rearrangements may have occurred between diploid and hexaploid *Avena* species, as revealed by genome-wide comparisons between the As and Cv genomes of diploid species to those of A and C of the hexaploid oat genome (*Figure S4*). The comparative bulked probe-based FISH results showed the overall relatively conserved collinearity of genomes of the *Avena* species across the different ploidy levels (Fig. 6 and Fig. 8). Our results demonstrated that region-specific Oligo-FISH probes developed based on oat sequences were useful to identify individual homoeologous
chromosomes from distantly *Avena* species. The present comparative oligo-based FISH studies and later development of more dense landmarks will provide new insights into the evolution of *Avena* genera.

In addition, the lack of a high-density marker system has limited the application of genomic selection in cultivated oat. The accuracy of genomic selection has continually increased since the linkage maps have been improved by genetic, cytogenetic and genomic advances [52]. Chaffin et al. [18] published a map representing the most common physical chromosome arrangements in oat. Deviations from the consensus map may indicate physical rearrangements and large chromosomal translocations may vary among different varieties. The present system has enabled precise definition of translocation and breakpoints of chromosomes (Fig. 9), which has great potential for the high-throughput karyotyping of the chromosome structure of evolutionary diverged genomes. Some FISH probes specifically hybridized to oat and produced no hybridization signals in wheat and other grass species. Therefore, our FISH protocol may have additional applications in tracing *Avena* chromatin introgressed into wheat or maize [46, 53, 54] following wide crosses and chromosome manipulation-based breeding practices.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

The Clav and PI accessions of wild and cultivated *Avena* accessions were provided by United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. The cultivated oat lines BaiyanII and AAC Nicolas were maintained in Shanxi Agricultural University, China. Seeds of natural accessions of hexaploid oat lines AS111 and AS112 were maintained in the Laboratory of Molecular and Cell Biology, Center for Informational Biology, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in Chengdu. The materials and their chromosome constitution are listed in Table 3.
Table 3
Materials and their genome constitution used in this research

| No | Taxa               | Voucher (Repository) | Origin country             | 2n | Genome(s) |
|----|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----|-----------|
| 1  | *A. brevis* Roth   | Clav 1783 (NSGC)     | Niedersachsen, Germany     | 14 | As        |
| 2  | *A. nuda* L.      | Clav 9047 (NSGC)     | England, United Kingdom    | 14 | As        |
| 3  | *A. wiestii* Steud.| Clav 9053 (NSGC)     | Ontario, Canada            | 14 | As        |
| 4  | *A. ventricosa* Balansa ex Coss. | PI 657338 (NSGC) | Morocco                     | 14 | Cv        |
| 5  | *A. eriantha* Durieu | PI367381 (NSGC)    | Madrid, Spain              | 14 | Cp        |
| 6  | *A. fatua* L.     | Clav 2527 (NSGC)     | Alberta, Canada            | 42 | ACD       |
| 7  | *A. sativa* L.    | Baiyan II (SXAU)     | Jilin, China               | 42 | ACD       |
| 8  | *A. sativa* L.    | AAC Nicolas (ORDC)   | Ottawa, Canada             | 42 | ACD       |
| 9  | *A. sativa* L.    | Clav 3520 (NSGC)     | Germany                     | 42 | ACD       |
| 10 | *A. sativa* L.    | AS111 (UESTC)        | Shanxi, China              | 42 | ACD       |
| 11 | *A. sativa* L.    | AS112 (UESTC)        | Shanxi, China              | 42 | ACD       |

Voucher: PI or Clav, Germplasm Resources Information Network of United States Department of Agriculture at Beltsville, USA; NSGC, National Small Grains Collection, USA; SXAU, Shanxi Agricultural University, China; ORDC, Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Canada; UESTC, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. Genome assignment based on Liu et al. [8].

Bioinformatic analysis of repeats in Avena genome

The genome sequences, including diploid oat [35] and cultivated oat OT3098 reference assembly (https://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG3/graingenes_downloads/oat-ot3098-pepsico) were downloaded for prediction of tandem repeats using the TRF software [55]. The physical locations of tandem repeats was according to the B2DSC method with default parameters (http://mcgb.uestc.cn/b2dsc) described by Lang et al. [25]. Thirteen novel oligo probes with physical location in *Avena* genomes for ND-FISH were designed and listed in Table 1.

Chromosome preparation, Sequential C-banding and FISH analysis

Root tips from germinated seeds were collected and treated with nitrous oxide followed by enzyme digestion [56]. The Giemsa C-banding was done according to Li et al. [57]. The TR based probes with the synthetic oligos were labeled with either 5’ end-labelled 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-Fam) for green or 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (Tamra) for red signals. The protocol of non-denaturing FISH
(ND-FISH) using synthesized probes was described by Fu et al. [58]. The wheat-barley linkage group specific bulked oligo pool probes (Synt1 to Synt 7) were designed following our recently published procedure [37]. After oligo-based FISH, sequential FISH painting with oligo pool probes was conducted according to Han et al. [38] and Bi et al. [59]. Photomicrographs were taken with an Olympus BX-53 microscope equipped with a DP-70 CCD camera.

Conclusions

The availability of the Oligo-based FISH system opens the way in the genus Avena for comprehensive cytogenetic analysis combined with genomics tools. We have demonstrated that ND-FISH with a new set of tandem repeat probes, combined with FISH painting by oligo pools, can generate a high resolution and informative cytogenetic map on genome regions for the cultivated oat. The consensus karyotype based on ND-FISH, by physical mapping of labeled probes, can effectively substitute for traditional cytological methods in Avena for identifying genomic rearrangements. Our current cytogenetic mapping efforts, integrated with genomic approaches, will provide a new perspective to address important questions involving chromosome evolution in Avena species, as well as wide-cross and chromosome manipulation-based breeding in oat.
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