Alpha-lipoic acid attenuates insulin resistance and improves glucose metabolism in high fat diet-fed mice
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Aim: To investigate whether alpha-lipoic acid (ALA) could attenuate the insulin resistance and metabolic disorders in high fat diet-fed mice.

Methods: Male mice were fed a high fat diet (HFD) plus ALA (100 and 200 mg·kg⁻¹·d⁻¹) or HFD plus a positive control drug metformin (300 mg·kg⁻¹·d⁻¹) for 24 weeks. During the treatments, the relevant physiological and metabolic parameters of the mice were measured. After the mice were euthanized, blood samples and livers were collected. The expression of proteins and genes related to glucose metabolism in livers were analyzed by immunoblotting and real time-PCR.

Results: HFD induced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and abnormal physiological and metabolic parameters in the mice, which were dose-dependently attenuated by ALA. ALA also significantly reduced HFD-induced hyperglycemia and insulin resistance in HFD-fed mice. Furthermore, ALA significantly upregulated the glycolytic enzymes GCK, HK-1 and PK, and the glycogen synthesis enzyme GS, and downregulated the gluconeogenic enzymes PEPCK and G6Pase, thus decreased glucose production, and promoted glycogen synthesis and glucose utilization in livers. Moreover, ALA markedly increased PKB/Akt and GSK3β phosphorylation, and nuclear carbohydrate response element binding protein (ChREBP) expression in livers. Metformin produced similar effects as ALA in HFD-fed mice.

Conclusion: ALA is able to sustain glucose homeostasis and prevent the development of NAFLD in HFD-fed mice.
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Introduction

The liver is the primary organ responsible for regulating glucose homeostasis. In the fasting state, the liver uses glycogen stores to mobilize glucose and maintain blood glucose levels[1, 2]. In addition, the liver obtains glucose through gluconeogenesis. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), which are involved in gluconeogenesis, also increase hepatic glucose production (HGP)[3, 4]. All of these proteins are activated by gluconic transcription factors such as the forkhead protein family (FoxA), hepatic nuclear factor (HNF) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α (PGC-1α)[5]. In the postprandial state, glucokinase (GCK) and pyruvate kinase (PK) are glycolytic genes that are activated by insulin and carbohydrate responsive element-binding protein (ChREBP)[6, 7].

It is well known that insulin reduces the blood glucose level by decreasing hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, by enhancing glucose uptake into muscles and adipocytes, and by promoting adipocyte triglyceride stores[8, 9]. However, hepatic insulin resistance leads to altered glucose metabolism and hyperglycemia. Insulin resistance of the hepatic tissue is the central pathogenic event of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)[10, 11]. In the hepatic tissue, insulin resistance directly disturbs glucose metabolism, and hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia result in hepatic steatosis and in the devel-
Alpha-lipoic acid (ALA), a naturally existing compound that acts as a coenzyme for pyruvate dehydrogenase and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, plays a key role in bridging glycolysis and the citric acid cycle and regulates glucose and lipid metabolism\textsuperscript{[13, 14]} . Previous studies have demonstrated that ALA improves insulin sensitivity and repairs damaged glucose tolerance; administration of ALA also resulted in decreased weight and decreased food intake in animal models\textsuperscript{[15]} . The main aim of our study was to investigate whether ALA could improve insulin resistance and glucose metabolism in high-fat diet-induced NAFLD mice. The results of the present study demonstrated that ALA improved insulin sensitivity and impaired glucose tolerance and that ALA has an especially good capacity for improving insulin-signaling pathways.

Materials and methods

Animal experiments

All of the animal experiments were approved by the Animal Research Committee of Ningxia Medical University. Six-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from the Beijing Vital River Biological Co, Ltd and maintained in standard cage conditions with a 12/12 light/dark cycle. All of the mice were fed a normal diet and water for 2 weeks before being divided into five groups (n=15 per group): low-fat diet (LFD); high-fat diet (HFD); HFD+low-dose ALA (100 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·d\textsuperscript{-1}, po); HFD+high-dose ALA (200 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·d\textsuperscript{-1}, po); and HFD+metformin (300 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·d\textsuperscript{-1}, po). The low-fat diet included 10% kcal as fat, with an energy density of 3.85 kcal per gram, whereas the high-fat diet included 60% kcal as fat, with an energy density of 5.24 kcal per gram. The food and medications were purchased from Research Diets Inc, New Brunswick, NJ, USA. The body weight, water and food intake were monitored each week. The blood glucose level was measured every three weeks. All of the mice were euthanized at 24 weeks; blood samples were collected and centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 15 min at 4°C and then stored at -80°C. The liver tissue was isolated; one sample was prepared for RNA isolation and the analysis of gene expression, and the second sample was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Intraperitoneal injection glucose and insulin tolerance test (IPGTT/IPITT)

IPGTTs and IPITTs were performed after the mice were fed their respective diets for 13 or 24 weeks. The mice (n=6 per group) were fasted for 8 h prior to testing. For the IPGTT, glucose (2 g/kg) was injected intraperitoneally at time 0. For the IPITT, insulin (0.75 IU/kg) was also injected intraperitoneally at time 0. Blood samples from the tail vein were directly measured using a glucometer (Accu-Chek, Roche Diagnostics) at 30, 60, and 120 min.

Glycogen content

The glycogen content of the liver was measured using a Glycogen Assay Kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Glucose production assay

The glucose production in the liver was measured using a glucose oxidase kit (Applygen Technologies Inc, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Enzyme activity assays

Liver glucokinase (GCK), pyruvate kinase (PK) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activities were measured using the Assay Kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Western blot

The total proteins and nuclear proteins were extracted using the Protein Extraction Kit (Applygen Technologies Inc, China). The samples were centrifuged at 12000×g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected for analysis. The proteins were quantified using the BCA method (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Protein (50 μg) was added to 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to PVDF membranes (Pall Corporation, Pensacola, FL, USA). We used the following primary antibodies: Akt (pSer\textsuperscript{27} ) (1:1000), Akt (1:1000), Lamin B (1:2000), GSK3β (pSer\textsuperscript{9} ) (1:200), GSK3β (1:200), ChREBP (1:200), β-actin (1:1000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA). We used the secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit or mouse IgG-HRP (1:4000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA). Immunoblotting was conducted with enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA). The band intensities were scanned (ImageJ software, Bethesda, ML, USA).

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR

The total RNA was isolated from the liver of each mouse using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). The RNA concentrations were determined by SmartSpec\textsuperscript{TM} Plus (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). One microgram of total RNA was transcribed to cDNA using the superscript first-strand synthesis kit (Thermo, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The qRT-PCR analysis was performed using the SYBR Green detection PCR Core Reagents Kit. The primers for GCK, HK1, PK, PEPCK, G6Pase, and cyclophilin have been previously described\textsuperscript{[17, 18]} . The other primers were as follows: GS (forward, 5’-TACCG-GTCAACAGTGCTGCT-3’; reverse, 5’-AATCCTGGAAGCG- GAGCAC-3’) and CS (forward, 5’-TGGTCCCCAGGATAC- GGTCAT-3’; reverse, 5’-TTGTACAGCTGACCAACAG-3’). The samples were incubated in the light cycler apparatus for an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles. Each cycle consisted of 95°C for 15 s, 58°C for 7 s, and 72°C for 15 s. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and normalized to housekeeping genes (Cyclophilin) using the 2\textsuperscript{-ΔΔCt} method.
Histological and biochemical analysis
Paraffin-embedded liver sections were stained with periodic acid-Schiff, hematoxylin and eosin. The assessment of NAFLD was previously described\cite{19}. The serum insulin level was measured using a mouse ELISA kit (CUSABIO) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis
All of the values were obtained as the mean±SEM. The statistical analysis was performed using the ANOVA and SNK multiple-range tests (SPSS 13.0). $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results
Effect of ALA on physical metabolism variables in HFD-fed mice
As expected, the results showed that the established HFD-induced NAFLD mice model was successful and ALA significantly prevented NAFLD development ($P<0.05$) (Figure 1A and 1B). The ALA-administered mice had reduced body weight, liver weight, blood glucose level, water intake, BMI and abdominal circumference compared with the HFD-fed mice (all $P<0.05$) (Figure 2A, 2B, and Table 1). The decrease in body weight in the ALA-administered mice was similar to the results of previous studies. In terms of dietary and energy intake, the ALA-administered mice had some differences from the HFD-fed mice at 13 or 24 weeks (Figure 2C and 2D, $P<0.05$). Taken together, the above results demonstrate that ALA has a great effect on the overall health of HFD-fed mice.

Effect of ALA on glucose and insulin tolerance in HFD-fed mice
Furthermore, to evaluate the functional effect of long-term ALA administration on whole-body glucose metabolism, we conducted an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) in each group. Two representative IPGTTs performed at 13 or 24 weeks are presented in Figure 3A and 3C. Compared with the HFD-fed group, the ALA-administered mice exhibited a significant reduction in blood glucose after injection at 1 h and 30 min in weeks 13 and 24, respectively ($P<0.05$). The area under the curve (AUC) also demonstrates these results ($P<0.05$). To determine whether ALA reduced HFD-induced insulin resistance, we also compared the results of an intraperitoneal insulin tolerance test (IPITT) among the groups at 13 or 24 weeks. ALA administration enhanced insulin-mediated glucose-lowering effects 30 min and 1 h after injection in weeks 13 and 24, respectively ($P<0.05$) (Figure 3B and 3D). The AUC clearly demonstrates this point ($P<0.05$). We measured the blood glucose and insulin levels at 13 or 24 weeks. As shown in Table 2, ALA reduced insulin resistance, decreased blood glucose levels ($P<0.05$, $P<0.01$) and significantly improved the HOMA index ($P<0.05$, $P<0.01$).

ALA increases the expression of ChREBP and phospho-GSK3β via modulation of the insulin-signaling pathway
Although the induction of a long-term high-fat diet results in insulin resistance and NAFLD, the specific signaling pathways involved in insulin resistance are not completely understood\cite{11, 20}. Akt is a key molecule in insulin signaling
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**Figure 1.** Effect of ALA on histology analysis of liver tissue. (A) Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of a liver tissue sample (magnification 200×). (B) Liver sections of HFD-induced NAFLD were analyzed for steatosis and inflammation. $^bP<0.05$ vs LFD. $^eP<0.05$ vs HFD. (C) Representative periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining of liver tissue (magnification 200×).
and glucose metabolism. We hypothesized that Akt was involved in ALA-mediated glucose metabolism. To confirm this hypothesis, we initially investigated the effect of ALA on Akt phosphorylation in HFD-fed mice. In the present study, our results showed that ALA strongly increased Akt phosphorylation levels and enhanced GSK3β phosphorylation in HFD-induced NAFLD mice \( (P<0.05) \) (Figure 4A and 4B). The nuclear protein expression of ChREBP was increased in the ALA-administered groups \( (P<0.05) \) (Figure 4C).

**Table 1.** Laboratory data of mice treated with 24 weeks. Mean±SEM \((n=10\) per group). \( ^{b}P<0.05 \) vs LFD group. \( ^{e}P<0.05 \) vs HFD group.

| Variables                                      | LFD                  | HFD                  | 100ALA+HFD            | 200ALA+HFD            | 300Met+HFD             |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Primary body weight (g)                        | 23.18±0.23           | 23.87±0.29           | 23.81±0.23           | 23.40±0.29           | 23.43±0.30            |
| Final body weight (g)                          | 31.00±0.46           | 50.05±1.01          \(^{b}\) | 41.25±1.63          \(^{e}\) | 37.50±1.43          \(^{e}\) | 35.00±0.53          \(^{e}\) |
| Liver weight (g)                               | 0.32±0.07            | 0.58±0.10           \(^{b}\) | 0.41±0.13           \(^{e}\) | 0.37±0.09           \(^{e}\) | 0.39±0.11           \(^{e}\) |
| BMI (g/cm\(^{2}\))                             | 1.06±0.04            | 1.91±0.18           \(^{e}\) | 1.42±0.21           \(^{e}\) | 1.25±0.05           \(^{e}\) | 1.33±0.05           \(^{e}\) |
| Abdominal circumference (cm)                   | 7.63±0.13            | 9.83±0.08           \(^{e}\) | 8.43±0.37           \(^{e}\) | 7.98±0.14           \(^{e}\) | 7.50±0.14           \(^{e}\) |
| Water intake (mL/d)                            | 6.97±0.16            | 7.87±0.17           \(^{e}\) | 6.87±0.19           \(^{e}\) | 6.26±0.05           \(^{e}\) | 6.52±0.14           \(^{e}\) |

**Figure 2.** Effect of ALA on physiological variables. (A) Body weight, (B) blood glucose and (C) food intake of the mice \((n=8–10\) per group) were examined every 3 weeks. (D) Energy intake of the mice \((n=8–10\) per group) was measured at 13 and 24 weeks. Mean±SEM. \( ^{b}P<0.05 \) vs LFD. \( ^{e}P<0.05 \) vs HFD.

ALA significantly mediates gene expression levels of the key rate-limiting enzyme involved in glucose metabolism

To further gain insight into the effect of ALA on glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and glycogen synthesis, we analyzed the mRNA expression levels of glucose metabolism-related genes using real time quantitative PCR. Compared with the HFD group, ALA markedly increased glycolytic gene activity levels and expression levels \( (P<0.05) \) (Figure 5A, 5B, and 5D). Conversely, the expression levels of gluconeogenic genes were
lower than those of the HFD-fed mice ($P<0.05$, Figure 5E). The ALA-administered group showed elevated GS expression and increased glycogen production in the liver tissue ($P<0.05$, Figure 5E and 5G). The PAS staining also prompted increased glycogen production in the liver tissue of the ALA-administered group (Figure 1C). In the ALA-administered groups, the
SDH activity and CS expression levels of the citric acid cycle were much higher than in the HFD-fed group \((P<0.05)\) (Figure 5C, 5F). In the present study, the ALA-administered group showed reduced hepatic glucose production capacity \((P<0.05)\) (Figure 5H).

**Discussion**

NAFLD is currently considered the main hepatic manifestation of insulin resistance and metabolic disorder\(^{[21]}\). Chronic overnutrition and excess energy accumulation in hepatocytes trigger signals that generate insulin resistance\(^{[22]}\). Moreover, the deleterious effect of glucose accumulation aggravates NAFLD deterioration\(^{[23]}\). In the present study, the main purpose was to investigate whether ALA plays a key role in glucose metabolism in HFD-induced NAFLD mice models. Several studies have been performed with the aim of demonstrating that ALA regulates whole-body glucose and glucose uptake and improves tolerance test performance\(^{[24, 25]}\). We demonstrated that ALA had the same metabolic action upon the mice, such as improved body composition, glucose and insulin tolerance. Furthermore, ALA significantly mediated glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and glycogen in the livers of HFD-induced NAFLD mice. We provided novel evidence that ALA might improve glucose metabolism via the modulation of key molecules such as ChREBP and GSK3\(\beta\).

Metformin is used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes and has been investigated for other diseases in which insulin resistance might be an important factor, such as NAFLD\(^{[26]}\). In the present study, we used metformin as a positive control drug during the experiment. We found that the metformin-administered group showed improved whole-body glucose levels and impaired intolerance tests. We also determined that metformin prevented the development of NAFLD and mediated the molecular mechanism involved in glucose metabolism. As shown in Figure 1 through 5, these results suggest that there is no significance between the metformin-administered group and the ALA-administered group. However, the changes in the blood glucose levels showed that metformin treatment was more conducive to reducing glucose concentrations than was ALA treatment, especially at 19–24 weeks. Furthermore, the intraperitoneal insulin tolerance test suggested that ALA treatment better enhances insulin-stimulated glucose lowering effect at 1 h after injection than does metformin treatment. Taken together, our study demonstrated that metformin might be effective for reducing blood glucose and promoting glucose uptake and utilization and that ALA might be effective for improving insulin sensitivity and activating insulin-signaling pathways.

The target molecule of Akt is a protein kinase that guides glucose metabolism via mediating insulin signaling\(^{[12]}\). When insulin resistance arises, insulin signaling is suppressed. Our results demonstrated that ALA was capable of activating Akt phosphorylation. Studies have reported that there are many transcription factors involved in glucose metabolism, such as ChREBP and GSK3\(^{[27]}\). ChREBP is a basic transcription factor that plays a critical role in glycolysis and fatty acid synthesis. Previous studies confirmed that ChREBP induces the GCK and PK in hepatocytes\(^{[28, 29]}\). In the HFD-fed mice, we found that ALA increased the nuclear protein expression of ChREBP and significantly increased the GCK and PK mRNA levels. ChREBP deficiency triggers impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in C57BL/6J mice\(^{[30]}\), whereas ChREBP knockdown improves hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance in ob/ob mice\(^{[31]}\). GSK3\(\alpha\) and GSK3\(\beta\) have a large effect on glycogen metabolism. Upregulating GSK3 expression induces glycogen synthase (GS) phosphorylation and prevents glycogen production\(^{[32]}\). Our results indicated that ALA significantly increased GS mRNA levels and hepatic glycogen production. ALA also significantly lowered PEPCK and G6Pase
mRNA expression and increased citrate synthase (CS) mRNA expression in the livers of the HFD-fed mice; therefore, ALA reduced hepatic glucose production (HGP) and accelerated hepatic glucose output.

The current study demonstrated that ALA enhances hepatic insulin sensitivity and prevents the development of NAFLD; furthermore, ALA ameliorates glucose metabolism by modulating the insulin-signaling pathway. Overall, ALA facilitates the liver’s capacity for glucose production and glucose utilization and might be effective in decreasing whole-body glucose catabolism in HFD-fed mice.

Figure 5. ALA improves gene expression involved in glucose metabolism. (A–C) Glucokinase (GCK), pyruvate kinase (PK) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activities of the liver. The data are expressed as the mean±SEM (n=6 per group).  "p<0.05 vs LFD.  "p<0.05 vs HFD. (D–F) Relative mRNA expression of GCK, hexokinase (HK1), PK, phosphoenolpyruvate kinase (PEPCK), glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), glycogen synthase (GS) and citrate synthase (CS).  "p<0.05 vs LFD.  "p<0.05 vs HFD. (G) Glycogen content of the liver (mg/g protein) and (H) glucose production (mmol/g protein). The data are expressed as the mean±SEM (n=8 per group).  "p<0.05 vs LFD.  "p<0.05 vs HFD.
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