Epithelial proliferation and hormone receptor status in the normal post-menopausal breast and the effects of hormone replacement therapy
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Summary The proliferation rate (as assessed by Ki67 expression) and expression of oestrogen-regulated progesterone receptor (PR) was studied in normal post-menopausal breast epithelium. Normal breast epithelium from patients receiving hormone replacement therapy (HRT) at the time of surgery containing either oestrogen alone (E₂) or oestrogen and progesterone combined activities (E₂ + P) was also studied. As HRT has been linked to an increased breast cancer risk. Samples of breast tissue, containing normal epithelium, from 185 patients undergoing surgery for benign or malignant disease were immunocytochemically stained for PR and Ki67. The percentage of labelled cells was expressed as the labelling index (LI). The median Ki67 LI in normal post-menopausal breast epithelium was 0.19 and median PR LI was 4.75, and both were unaffected by patient age, duration of menopause or if the tissue sample originated from a breast with benign or malignant disease. Proliferation did not alter significantly in patients taking HRT (P = 0.61); however, PR expression was up-regulated in both E₂ and E₂ + P users (P = 0.01). The dose and duration of HRT had no effect on either parameter. A possible attenuation of sensitivity to oestradiol-induced proliferation but not to PR expression occurs in the post-menopausal breast.
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Increased normal epithelial cell proliferation is linked to the development of cancer in human tissue (Preston-Martin et al. 1990). Most studies of normal breast epithelium have been confined to premenopausal tissue, which has been shown to be hormone dependent, responding to changes in serum steroid hormone levels through the menstrual cycle (Meyer, 1977; Anderson et al. 1982; Potten et al. 1988) with 17-β-oestradiol acting as one of the most important epithelial cell mitogens in the breast. Thus, the risk of breast cancer is reduced in women who have a reduced life-time exposure to oestradiol (Key and Pike, 1988), presumably because of a reduction in breast proliferation. The role of progesterone upon breast proliferation remains unclear, as an inhibitory function has been described (Chang et al. 1995), although other studies have failed to find any effect (Laidlaw et al. 1995).

Although breast cancer incidence is at its highest in post-menopausal women, little information is available regarding the proliferation rate of normal post-menopausal breast epithelium. Those studies undertaken have been on small numbers of patients (Meyer and Connor, 1982; Jacquemier et al. 1990; Walker et al. 1991). The average age of menopause in developed countries is between 50 and 51 years (McKinlay et al. 1992). Although oestradiol ceases to be the principal oestrogen in these women, non-ovarian synthesis of oestradiol continues as a result of peripheral conversion of androgens by the aromatase enzyme (Soules and Bremner, 1982; Yates et al. 1996). Oestradiol up-regulates progesterone receptor (PR) expression in breast epithelium (Horwitz and McGuire, 1978; Williams et al. 1991) and the little information available for post-menopausal women indicates that PR expression is maintained, although at lower levels than in premenopausal breast (Jacquemier et al. 1990; Walker et al. 1991).

Reduced circulating oestradiol is responsible for many of the unpleasant symptoms of the menopause, including hot flushes, severe perspiration and genital tract atrophy (Greendale and Judd, 1993). More serious health risks include an increased incidence of cardiovascular disease (Sullivan and Fowlkes, 1996) and osteoporosis (Greendale and Judd, 1993). However, the menopause appears to confer some protection upon breast cancer risk. Breast cancer incidence in women increases with age, but the rate of increase slows sharply over the age of 50 (Key and Pike, 1988). Additionally, in women surgically induced to the menopausal state, future breast cancer risk is reduced by up to 60% (Trichopoulos et al. 1972). Hormone replacement therapy (HRT), increasingly prescribed to treat menopausal symptoms (Moorhead et al. 1997), contains either oestrogen (mainly oestradiol alone (E₂) or oestrogen and progesterone activities combined (E₂ + P₄). Concern has been raised that prolonged exposure of the post-menopausal breast to oestradiol (i.e. HRT) could promote breast cancer (Howell et al. 1995). Indeed, data suggest that prolonged use of HRT increases breast cancer incidence (Bergkvist et al. 1989; Colditz et al. 1995; Beral et al. 1997). An animal model, used to predict the effects of HRT upon human post-menopausal breast, has demonstrated that primate mammary gland proliferation is significantly increased in response to HRT (Cline et al. 1996). However, the effect of HRT upon normal human breast tissue remains unknown. The aim of the present study was to determine the range of epithelial proliferation in normal breast
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epithelium from a large group of post-menopausal women and how this was effected by HRT. We also studied progesterone receptor expression as a marker of oestrogen responsiveness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
A retrospective study of 185 women who had undergone breast biopsy or surgery at the University Hospital of South Manchester for malignant \( (n = 124) \) or benign breast disease \( (n = 61) \) was carried out. Menopausal status, length of menopause, details of HRT, family history of breast disease, pathology of the tissue sample and parity at time of surgery were obtained from the clinical notes and from the patient’s GP. Women were considered menopausal if it was documented that their menstrual cycles had ceased for at least 1 year, or if they were over 50 and had been hysterectomized (Harding et al. 1996). When this information was unknown, we included patients if they were over 60 years of age or if their GP confirmed their menopausal status. As the average age of menopause is between 50 and 51 years in women from developed countries (McKinlay et al. 1992) we also included 12 women aged between 50 and 59, although there was no record of their last menstrual period available from either their hospital records or GP. Women were placed into the HRT group if they had taken HRT at the time of surgery \( (n = 71) \) or within the month before surgery \( (n = 3) \). Thirty-five patients were prescribed oestrogen-only therapy and 39 oestrogen and progesterin activity combined. For patients prescribed oestrogen with cyclical progesterone, information was unavailable regarding which cycle of treatment they were taking at the time of surgery. Patients were not included if they had received radiotherapy or tamoxifen therapy before surgery.

Tissue samples
Archival samples of breast tissue for each patient were obtained from the pathology departments of the Christie Hospital and University Hospital of South Manchester. These samples had been routinely fixed in formal saline at the time of surgery and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections of tissue from each patient were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H & E) before examination by a consultant pathologist, who confirmed the presence of histologically normal breast epithelium.

Ki67 and PR immunohistochemistry
Wax sections (3–5 μm thick) of tissue from each patient were cut, mounted on APES (3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane). Sigma-coated slides, dewaxed and hydrated before immunohistochemical staining for the Ki67 antigen. Further sections were cut from blocks in which sufficient normal epithelium remained and these were immunohistochemically assayed for PR.

Ki67 labelling
Antigen retrieval was achieved by a microwave method (Bromley et al. 1996), and endogenous peroxidase activity blocked by incubation in 0.3% \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_2 \) hydrogen peroxide in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min. Slides were rinsed in PBS and tissue blocked with 0.5% casein in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT) followed by incubation with rabbit affinity purified anti-Ki67 polyclonal antibody (Dako) at 1:100 in PBS for 30 min (RT). Serial sections were incubated in rabbit immunoglobulin (Ig) (Dako negative control) diluted to the same protein concentration as the primary antibody, to act as a negative control. Slides were rinsed in PBS and tissue incubated with biotinylated swine Fab’1, anti-rabbit Ig (Dako) at 1:400 in PBS for 30 min (RT) followed by further rinsing in PBS. Tissue was incubated in streptavidin ABC-HRP (Dako) for 30 min. rinsed in PBS and finally visualized in 0.03% \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_2 \) hydrogen peroxide 0.44 mg ml \(^{-1} \) 3,3-diaminobenzidine 4-HCL (DAB, Sigma) in PBS for 6 min. followed by further rinsing. Sections were counterstained in Gill’s haematoxylin. A positive control slide of breast tissue known to be Ki67-positive was included in each immunohistochemical staining assay.

PR labelling
Tissue sections were cut, dewaxed and non-specific activity blocked as above, but the microwave antigen retrieval step was omitted. Sections were labelled for PR using serum from the PgR-ICA kit (Abbott Diagnostics). Tissue was incubated with the primary rat monoclonal antibody diluted 1:4 with PBS overnight (RT).Serial sections were incubated with negative control serum at the same dilution. Sections were rinsed in PBS and incubated with biotinylated rabbit anti-rat Ig (Dako) diluted 1:100 in PBS for 30 min (RT) followed by further rinsing in PBS. Tissue was incubated in streptavidin ABC-HRP (Dako) for 30 min. rinsed in PBS and finally visualized in DAB from the Abbot kit. Sections were rinsed in PBS and counterstained in haematoxylin. A PgR-ICA kit positive control slide (Abbott Diagnostics) was included in each immunohistochemical staining assay.

Scoring
Only epithelium of the terminal duct lobular unit was examined. This is the functional unit of the breast and the area in which most malignant lesions are thought to develop (Welling et al. 1975). This also allows comparison with many of the studies of premenopausal breast, which considered lobular epithelium only (Anderson et al. 1982; Potten et al. 1988; Williams et al. 1991). No attempt was made to distinguish between myoepithelial and luminal epithelial cells. Ki67- and PR-labelled cells were scored either as positive or negative. Cells were considered positive if DAB precipitate was clearly present over the nucleus. A minimum of 900 cells was scored from several randomly selected areas of the tissue sample and the percentage of labelled cells expressed as the labelling index.

| Table 1 Summary of Ki67 LI\(^{\%}\) and PR LI\(^{\%}\) in normal breast tissue from control patients and patients taking HRT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Control | \(E_1\) | \(E_2^{+}P\) | Control | \(E_1\) | \(E_2^{+}P\) |
| \(n\) | 111 | 35 | 39 | 100 | 31 | 36 |
| Interquartile range | 0.09–0.43 | 0.1–0.44 | 0.09–0.47 | 1.32–6.07 | 4.89–17.40 | 1.06–13.05 |
| Median | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 4.75 | 10.21 | 6.68 |
| Range | 0–3.66 | 0–1.44 | 0–2.80 | 0.38–91 | 0.19–40.16 | 0–44.36 |
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Statistics

Spearman’s non-parametric correlation (rho) was used to look for associations and the Mann–Whitney (M–W) test was used to look for differences between dichotomous groups. Where more than two groups were compared, the Kruskal–Wallis (K–W) non-parametric one-way ANOVA was used.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients in the control group was 57.4 (s.d. ± 5.1, range 49–68) years and 55.1 (s.d. ± 4.2, range 49–66) years in those taking HRT. Information regarding menopause length was known for 140 (76%) patients. Length of time since the menopause at time of surgery ranged from 3 to 300 months [median = 60, interquartile (IQ) range = 27–32 months] in the control group and 5–324 months (median = 65, IQ range = 36–96 months) in the HRT group. Data concerning duration of HRT use were known for 72 (97%) of the patients in the treatment group and ranged from 1 to 264 months (median = 36, IQ range = 12–66) months. Information regarding HRT dose was obtained for all treated patients. Daily oestradiol, oestradiol valerate or conjugated oestrogen doses, for patients taking either oestrogen alone or oestrogen and progesterin combined therapy, ranged from 10 to 2000 μg. Parity status was known for 171 (92%) patients and 151 of these women had been through a full-term pregnancy.

A summary of the ranges and median Ki67 labelling index (LI) and PR LI were measured in tissue from all the control patients (Figure 1A) and found to be unrelated to patient age (within the range of 49–68 years) (n = 111, rho = 0.002, P = 0.49). There was an insignificant trend towards a decrease in Ki67 LI with an increase in length of time since the menopause (n = 86, rho = −0.173, P = 0.06). Tissue samples from 100 (90%) control patients were assayed for PR expression (Figure 1B). Expression was unaffected by patient age (n = 100, rho = 0.07, P = 0.25) and the length of time since menopause (n = 78, rho = 0.112, P = 0.16). In control patients normal breast tissue taken adjacent to a benign lesion showed no difference compared with tissue taken adjacent to a malignant lesion in either the Ki67 LI (benign lesions, n = 31, median = 0.175, IQ range = 0.0–0.49; malignant lesions, n = 80, median = 0.19, IQ range = 0.10–0.41) or PR LI (benign lesions, n = 28, median = 4.6, IQ range = 0.71–8.02; malignant lesion, n = 72, median = 4.75, IQ range = 1.45–8.77) (M–W P = 0.4). Ki67 and PR LI were significantly correlated (n = 100, rho = 0.294, P = 0.001).

Tissue samples from all patients receiving HRT were assayed for Ki67 expression. There was no significant difference between Ki67 LI in patients receiving E, or E + P HRT and the control group (K–W P = 0.61) (Figure 1A). PR LIs in patients taking both types of HRT were significantly higher than the control group (K–W P = 0.01) (Figure 1B), but did not differ significantly between the two types of HRT user. HRT dose and the duration of use did not correlate with any of the parameters measured.

DISCUSSION

In comparison with our studies of Ki67 expression in normal premenopausal breast (McMichael-Phillips et al. 1996), proliferation in the post-menopausal breast is markedly reduced. We previously demonstrated that Ki67 labelling averaged from 2.16% in the follicular phase to 12.60% in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle using the same staining methods. Smaller studies have also shown a reduction in breast proliferation in post-menopausal women (Meyer and Connor, 1982; Walker et al. 1991). Oestradiol is one of the most potent stimulators of epithelial proliferation in the premenopausal breast and the severely reduced oestriol levels present after the menopause presumably result in a lack of...
stimulation and the lowered proliferation rates that we have demonstrated. It is well documented that age also exerts a significant negative effect upon proliferation in premenopausal (Meyer 1977; Anderson et al. 1982; Potten et al. 1988) and post-menopausal (Meyer and Connor. 1982) breast epithelium. However, in this larger study, we were unable to find any influence of age upon any of the parameters measured in post-menopausal breast. Although the mechanism of the age effect remains unknown, our findings imply that the reduction in proliferation with increasing age may reach a plateau during the climacteric stage of menopause or at its commencement. There was a slight trend towards a reduction in proliferation with an increase in the number of months since the menopause; however, this remained insignificant.

We found that PR expression is maintained in the post-menopausal breast, although at a lower level than that in premenopausal women, in whom PR LI averages from 12.60% in the follicular phase to 16.45% in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle (McMichael-Phillips et al. 1996). Oestriadiol up-regulates PR expression in the epithelial elements of normal human breast xenografts (Laidlaw et al. 1995). Recent evidence suggests that PR expression is sensitive to low levels of oestradiol and higher levels are required to generate a proliferative response (Clarke et al. 1997). This could explain the reasonably high expression of PR in post-menopausal breast epithelium compared with the lowered rate of proliferation.

The use of exogenous hormones (e.g. the oral contraceptive) increases epithelial proliferation in normal premenopausal breast (Williams et al. 1991; Chang et al. 1995). Additionally, HRT increases proliferation of the endometrium, which is also an oestrogen-responsive tissue (Whitehead et al. 1981). The use of HRT (E, or E + P) by our patients did not increase their breast epithelial proliferation index, suggesting that, postmenopausally, the breast may be less sensitive to oestrogen stimulation. A further explanation is that HRT failed to raise serum oestriadiol to levels sufficiently high to stimulate proliferation, although levels were sufficient to treat menopausal symptoms. Serum samples from patients in this study were unavailable, but previous studies with a range of HRT preparations have been shown to raise serum oestriadiol to levels that found in premenopausal women during the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle (Lind et al. 1979; Whittaker et al. 1980), and follicular phase oestriadiol doses have been shown to increase significantly proliferation of normal premenopausal breast xenografts (Laidlaw et al. 1995). Although some patients in our study were receiving quite large levels of oestrogen, varying HRT doses did not relate to proliferation. A decline in premenopausal breast epithelial proliferation occurs with age, although the evidence that serum oestriadiol levels also decline is lacking (Meyer 1977; Anderson et al. 1982; Potten et al. 1988), suggesting that sensitivity of the breast to oestriadiol attenuates with age. This may result in the failure of low doses of oestrogen, in the form of HRT, to elicit a proliferative response. However, we did find that PR expression was higher in both types of HRT user compared with control subjects and there is evidence to suggest that the oestriadiol dose required to up-regulate PR expression is lower than that required to induce proliferation within the human breast (Clarke et al. 1997). Our findings differ to those presented by Cline et al (1996) in which mammary proliferation was significantly increased in ‘post-menopausal’ macaque monkeys administered HRT. However, in that study, the reproductive history of each monkey was unknown and an early menopause was artificially induced. Ageing of the breast may have a role in attenuating oestrogen responsiveness and could account for the difference in response.

Endogenous and exogenous oestrogens are thought to contribute to breast cancer risk, primarily by increasing breast cell mitogenesis (Howell 1989; Pike et al. 1993). Long-term HRT use has been shown in multiple studies to be associated with increased breast cancer risk (Brinton et al. 1981; Colditz et al. 1995; Beral et al. 1997) and it is thought this may be due to a sustained increase in breast proliferation over several years. Although duration of HRT use was considered in our analysis, we would not expect to pick up this effect using this type of study. We have provided evidence that HRT is oestrogenic upon post-menopausal breast tissue as PR expression is raised. We were unable to detect any significant increase in proliferation, but it is possible that patient numbers were insufficient to detect very small increases in normal breast proliferation. Some types of benign breast lesions are associated with an increased risk of subsequently developing cancer (McDivitt et al. 1992) and non-malignant lesions have been shown to express the oestrogen receptor (Jaquemier et al. 1982). This suggests that benign lesions could respond to exogenous oestrogen and HRT may increase breast cancer risk by promoting the development of these premalignant lesions, initiated earlier in the patient’s life. In addition, anti-oestrogens are commonly used in the treatment of breast cancer and have been shown to decrease tumour cell proliferation in vivo (Clarke et al. 1993), suggesting that HRT could accelerate the growth and subsequent detection of early, preinvasive malignant lesions.
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