Soil water stress ranges: water use efficiency and Chinese cabbage production in protected cultivation
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ABSTRACT

Water deficit or water excess can affect development and yield of vegetables. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of different soil water stress ranges for Chinese cabbage (Brassica pekinensis) in a protected cultivation. The researches were carried out at the Olericulture Sector of Universidade Federal Tecnologica do Paraná between April and July, 2015 and January and April, 2016. Two Chinese cabbage cultivars were analyzed (Eikoo and Kinjitsu) with four soil water stress range (13-17, 23-27, 33-37 and 43-47 kPa) moments of irrigation indicative parameters. The trial design was completely randomized, with four replications, in a factorial scheme. For head fresh mass, a soil stress range of 13-17 kPa resulted in higher yield (527.2 g/plant), in the first research. In the second one, 'Eikoo' showed higher productivity in the stress range 13-17 kPa (70.71 t ha⁻¹). About water use efficiency, higher values were obtained, 42.1 kg m⁻³ in the first research and 47.3 kg m⁻³ in the second one with Kinjitsu and Eikoo cultivars, respectively, in the stress range 13-17 kPa. 'Eikoo' had a higher productivity than 'Kinjitsu' in the second research (summer), but in the first one (autumn-winter) these differences were not expressive. The use of stress ranges as indicative of irrigation return time between 13-17 kPa is suitable for Chinese cabbage crop.
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Soil water stress ranges: water use efficiency and Chinese cabbage production in protected cultivation

Understanding soil moisture is of underlying importance, as it indicates its water status. In irrigation, this should be determined and will serve as a parameter for the amount of water to be applied by the irrigation system. There are several methods for determining soil moisture, which differ mainly by measurement means, measurement site, installation, cost, response time and ease of field operation (Mantovani et al., 2009).

One of the most commonly used methods for indirect determination of soil moisture is the tensiometry, which uses sensors called tensiometers (Bernardo et al., 2006). Thompson et al. (2002) recommend, for broccoli and cauliflower, species of Brassicaceae, tensions between 10 and 12 kPa. Marouelli (2008) recommends the use of tensiometers in vegetable crops and leafy vegetables in general, and to keep tension between 10-20 kPa, seeking the lowest value for critical moments during growth.
its development.

Generally, vegetables show an underdeveloped root system, high water content in their constitution and a short cycle, so the occurrence of water deficit can severely compromise the farming (Marouelli, 2008). Thus, the great majority of vegetable crops in Brazil is conducted with irrigation, but an inadequate management can compromise the profitability and sustainability of production by excessive or poor water application (Beshir, 2017).

Having this in mind, the relevance of studies on hydric relations between crop, soil, environment and the minimum amount required for production maximization can be noted. There is a shortage of studies on irrigation management in Chinese cabbage. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of different soil water stress ranges for Chinese cabbage in a protected environment.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research was carried out at Olericulture Sector of Universidade Federal Tecnologica do Paraná, Campus Dois Vizinhos (25º42′S, 53º06′W, altitude 520 m). Two researches were carried out, one from April to July 2015 and another from January to April 2016. The researches were conducted in a protected environment, arched model, covered with clear polyethylene, 150 μ thickness. The region climate is Cfa, according to Köppen classification (Alvares et al., 2013).

The trial design was completely randomized in a 2x4 factorial scheme, two cultivars (Eikoo and Kinjitsu) and four soil water stress ranges (13-17, 23-27, 33-37 and 43-47 kPa), with four repetitions. In each 18 L vase, a cell, filled with commercial substrate Tecnomax®. Vases were filled with soil and plantlets were transplanted with four to six leaves (Segovia et al., 2000), 17 days after sowing date, in both researches. In the 2015 research, transplant was performed on May 6, 2015 and harvest on July 15, 88 days after planting date (DAS), using as indication of harvest point the sturdy head (Segovia et al., 2000). In the second research, transplant was performed on February 1, 2016 and harvest on April 10 (87 DAS), done in advance due to diseases incidence.

Up to the tenth day after transplant, irrigation was homogeneous, to guarantee the glue. Afterwards, started the discrimination of cultivations. Irrigation management was performed based on soil matrix potential, determined by tensiometers. The irrigation liquid level was applied manually using a graduated cylinder, aiming to evenly distribute the water all over the vase. A tensiometer was installed at 10 cm depth per experimental unit, according to procedures indicated by Marouelli (2008). Stress readings were performed daily at the end of afternoon, using a digital tensiometer. When the mean stress reached the cultivation stress range, irrigation was performed until reaching the field capacity (CC). For the soil water stress ranges (kPa) 13-17; 23-27; 33-37 and 43-47, at the time of irrigation, the irrigation levels per application (L) were 0.22; 0.65; 1.02 and 1.32, respectively. Soil moisture corresponding to the observed/measured stress was determined by means of the water retention curve (Equation 2), considering the depth of root system (100 mm), vase area (0.07 m²) and mean value of the stress range humidity (θ<sub>tr</sub>). Thus, the replacement volume was calculated (LLI) to reach the CC (0.397 m³/m³), according to equation (3) (Mantovani et al., 2009).

\[
L LI = (\theta_{cc} - \theta_{tr}) . Z . PAM
\]  

Where LLI= irrigation liquid sheet (mm); \(\theta_{cc}\) = field humidity capacity (m³/m³); \(\theta_{tr}\) = current humidity (m³/m³); Z= root system depth (mm); PAM= vase area (m²).

The mean temperature provided by climatic data, relative humidity and radiation were obtained from the UTFPR meteorological station (16 UTC) at Campus Dois Vizinhos, located nearby the experimental unit. Potential evapotranspiration was estimated by the Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998).

Cultural practices, pest and disease management in Chinese cabbage.
control were carried out according to the recommendations of Segovia et al. (2000). Fertilization was calculated based on soil chemical characteristics laboratory report and on recommendations proposed by Segovia et al. (2000). The urea fertilization was divided into three 40 kg ha⁻¹ applications, in the planting, 15 and 30 days after the transplant; while phosphorus and potassium were applied in 100 kg ha⁻¹ doses of P₂O₅ and 40 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O, in one single application, when preparing the soil in the vases. Same fertilization was used in both researches.

During harvest, the head circumference was measured with a tape measure, with results expressed in cm. To evaluate the compactness, grades from 0 to 5 were assigned, with 0 for plants with total head absence; 1 for plants with head without a defined core; 2 for plants with outside core head and loose peripheral leaves; 3 for plants with defined core head and leaves with inceptive compaction in the periphery; 4 for plants with defined core head and compact peripheral leaves, but with allowed visual individualization; and 5 for head with compact core and without visual individualization of the peripheral leaves (Souza et al., 2013).

To evaluate fresh mass, the aerial part was separated from the roots and weighed in a precision digital scale. Then, samples were taken to the drying oven at 65°C until constant mass.

Based on the considered spacing, population per hectare was estimated and multiplied by fresh mass of the head, estimating total productivity. Water use efficiency was calculated according to the productivity (dry mass of the head) and to the amount of water consumed by the crop in each cultivation during the cycle (Doorenbos & Kassan, 1994).

Obtained data were submitted to analysis of variance (F test) and the cultivation effects obtained by means of Scott-Knott’s test (p<0.05). Statistical analyzes were performed using GENES statistical software (Cruz, 2006).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Mean temperature during the period of the research was 16.5°C in the first research and 21.8°C in the second one. This difference is attributed to the period when the researches were performed. The first research was carried out in autumn-winter period and the second one in summer-autumn period. Temperature conditions were suitable for the crop, considering that the majority of Chinese cabbage cultivars, including those used in this research, are adapted to temperatures between 15 and 25°C (Brasil, 2010).

There was no significant interaction between cultivars and soil water stress ranges for all analyzed traits in the first research. In the second one, there was a significant interaction, only for fresh head mass, productivity and root dry mass.

Cultivars Eikoo and Kinjitsu did not differ significantly in the first research. However, in the second one, from ‘Eikoo’ a greater head fresh mass was obtained comparing to the ‘Kinjitsu’ (Table 1). Productivity was similar to head fresh mass, in which cultivar Eikoo produced 57.2 t ha⁻¹, while ‘Kinjitsu’ produced 30.2 t ha⁻¹. This response is conditioned to the cultivar characteristics, and ‘Eikoo’ can produce heads weighing 2.5-3.5 kg on average (Horticeres, 2015), while ‘Kinjitsu’ has a 2.2 kg mean head mass (Topseed, 2015). Based on this result, it can be suggested that the two cultivars can be conducted in the autumn-winter period, but ‘Eikoo’ can also be cultivated during summer period.

**Table 1.** Head fresh mass (HFM) and productivity of the Chinese cabbage submitted to different ranges of soil water stress in two crops. Dois Vizinhos, UTFPR, 2016.

| Soil water tension (KPa) | HFM (g/plant) | Productivity (t ha⁻¹) |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
|                         | 2015          | 2016                  |
|                         | Cv. Eikoo     | Cv. Kinjitsu          | Mean    | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean    |
| 13-17                   | 463.0         | 591.3                 | 527.2 a* | 1272.5 Aa | 517.3 Ba    | 894.9   |
| 23-27                   | 441.5         | 458.0                 | 449.8 b  | 1156.3 Aa | 592.8 Ba    | 874.6   |
| 33-37                   | 463.5         | 428.8                 | 446.1 b  | 892.0 Ab  | 595.6 Ba    | 743.8   |
| 43-47                   | 438.0         | 440.0                 | 439.0 b  | 800.0 Ab  | 562.0 Ba    | 681.0   |
| Mean                    | 471.6         | 479.5ns               | 479.5ns  | 1030.2   | 567.0       | 617.0   |
| CV (%)                  | 10.9          | 16.0                  | 16.0     | -        | -           | -       |

| Soil water tension (KPa) | 2015          | 2016                  |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
|                         | Cv. Eikoo     | Cv. Kinjitsu          | Mean    | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean    |
| 13-17                   | 25.7          | 32.8                  | 29.3 a*  | 70.7 Aa   | 28.7 Ba     | 49.7    |
| 23-27                   | 24.5          | 25.4                  | 24.9 b   | 64.2 Aa   | 32.9 Ba     | 48.6    |
| 33-37                   | 25.8          | 23.8                  | 24.8 b   | 49.6 Ab   | 33.4 Ba     | 41.5    |
| 43-47                   | 24.3          | 27.8                  | 26.1 b   | 44.2 Ab   | 25.6 Ba     | 34.9    |
| Mean                    | 25.1          | 27.5ns                | 27.5ns   | 57.2      | 30.2        | -       |
| CV (%)                  | 10.9          | -                     | 16.04    | -         | -           | -       |

*ns not significant by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05). *Means followed by same capital letters in the row and lowercase in the column do not differ statistically by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05).
In a study by Seabra et al. (2014), evaluating the performance of seven Chinese cabbage cultivars in Cáceres-MT, under tropical climate, with average temperatures of 27.1°C, the authors obtained head fresh mass ranging from 584 to 926.3 g/plant, ‘Kinjitsu’ presenting 883.3 g/plant, higher than that obtained in our two researches, 479.5 and 567.0 g/plant, respectively. On the other hand, head fresh mass obtained by these authors with ‘Eikoo’ (800.5 g/plant) was lower than that obtained in this study (1030 g/plant). Increased productivity (60.9 t ha⁻¹) achieved by Seabra et al. (2014) was lower than the highest obtained in this study (70.7 t ha⁻¹) with ‘Eikoo’, in the stress range of 13-17 kPa, using Dystroferric Red Latosol soil (Embrapa, 2006) with a very clayey texture. Possibly the milder temperatures (21.8°C) in the city of Cáceres-MT favored the increased productivity.

Regarding soil water stress ranges, in the first research, the best range was 13-17 kPa, differing from the others, which did not differ from each other. In the second research, ‘Kinjitsu’ was not influenced by the stress ranges. On the other hand, ‘Eikoo’ presented fresh mass in the upper head in the stress ranges of 13-17 and 23-27 kPa, with 1272.5 and 1156.3 g/plant, respectively (Table 1).

Soil water stress ranges are related to the additional energy expenditure that the plant makes to absorb water and use it in its vital processes, when water availability is reduced by the potential matrix reduction (Floss, 2011). Thus, the energy of the photoassimilates resulting from the photosynthesis process may have been primarily intended for water absorption, to the detriment of crop growth.

For Brassicaceae species, such as broccoli and cauliflower, stresses between 10 and 12 kPa are suggested (Thompson et al., 2002). Likewise, Marouelli (2008) indicated, for leafy vegetables in general, to keep the stress between 10-20 kPa, seeking the lower value for critical moments of development, where there is a greater water demand, such as the transplantation period and head forming. This way, Tangune et al. (2016) found that to obtain a larger and commercial fresh mass, inflorescence average diameter, total and commercial productivity for broccoli, irrigations should be performed when water stress in the soil is around 15 kPa, at 0.2 m depth. Higher stresses affect in a negative way productivity, fresh mass, diameter and circumference of the inflorescence.

In the first research, the cultivars Eikoo and Kinjitsu and the soil water stress ranges did not influence head dry mass (Table 2). In the second one, head dry masses and the ‘Kinjitsu’ root were not influenced by stress ranges (Table 2). Cultivar Eikoo had upper head dry mass under smaller stress ranges 13-17 and 23-27, which did not differ from each other. The stress ranges 33-37 and 43-47 differed from the first two 38.8 and 31.4 g/plant, respectively, but did not differ from each other.

In the first research, the cultivars Eikoo and Kinjitsu and the soil water stress ranges did not influence the dry mass of roots (Table 2). This response was not

### Table 2. Head dry mass (HDM) and root dry mass (RDM) of Chinese cabbage plants submitted to different ranges of soil water stress in two researches. Dois Vizinhos, UTFPR, 2016.

| Soil water tension (KPa) | 2015 HDM (g/plant) | 2016 HDM (g/plant) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                         | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean |
| 13-17                   | 30.0     | 30.0        | 30.0 ns | 87.3 Aa* | 39.3 Ba | 63.3 |
| 23-27                   | 27.5     | 30.3        | 30.3 | 91.5 Aa | 40.5 Ba | 66.0 |
| 33-37                   | 28.0     | 27.5        | 27.7 | 38.8 Ab | 36.8 Aa | 37.8 |
| 43-47                   | 30.0     | 31.0        | 30.5 | 31.4 Ab | 41.5 Aa | 36.5 |
| Mean                    | 28.9     | 30.4 ns     | -    | 62.3     | 39.5     | -    |
| CV (%)                  | 17.0     | -           | -    | 23.9     | -        | -    |

| Soil water tension (KPa) | 2015 RDM (g/plant) | 2016 RDM (g/plant) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                         | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean | Cv. Eikoo | Cv. Kinjitsu | Mean |
| 13-17                   | 17.0     | 15.0        | 15 ns | 25.0 Ab | 20.3 Ba | 22.7 |
| 23-27                   | 17.0     | 15.0        | 16.0 | 28.3 Ab | 20.8 Ba | 24.6 |
| 33-37                   | 15.0     | 13.1        | 14.0 | 38.0 Aa | 19.5 Ba | 28.8 |
| 43-47                   | 14.0     | 13.0        | 13.6 | 40.0 Aa | 21.0 Ba | 30.5 |
| Mean                    | 15.8     | 13.6 ns     | -    | 32.8     | 20.4     | -    |
| CV (%)                  | 17.9     | -           | -    | 24.1     | -        | -    |

ns not significant by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05); *Means followed by same capital letters in rows and lowercase in columns do not differ statistically by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05).
observed in the second research, where a significant interaction was found and ‘Eikoo’ had lower root dry mass in lower stress ranges, 13-17 and 23-27 (25 and 28.3 g/plant, respectively). In addition, stress ranges 33-37 and 43-47 differed from the first two with 38.0 and 40.0 g/plant, respectively. This result pointed out a higher root growth in stress with less water availability and longer time interval between irrigations. The lower availability of water intensified root growth in depth, therefore accumulating higher dry mass. Thus, the energy expenditure required for root growth was not available for the dry mass head accumulation, which may have contributed to the aerial dry mass reduction in these stress ranges.

One of the main reasons that Chinese cabbage and other leafy vegetables demand constant irrigation to achieve optimum production is because leaf production is linked to leaf expansion, which is the water stress most sensitive physiological process. The sensitivity of Chinese cabbage leaf production to water stress is probably more intense due to its superficial root system, that is, more than 90% of the roots occur up to 35 cm deep in the soil and 20 cm wide around the stem (Averbeke & Netshithuthuni, 2010).

The soil water stress ranges did not influence ‘Kinjitsu’ roots growth, obtaining 20.4 g/plant, a lower value than that obtained with ‘Eikoo’ (32.8 g/plant) (Table 2). The absence of a significant difference in dry mass of roots is possibly related to the accumulation of similar dry mass of the head in ‘Kinjitsu’ stress ranges, with no intense root development to meet the demand of aerial parts, as occurred in ‘Eikoo’. This lower development may also be related to the ‘Kinjitsu’ low adaptation to summer-fall period climatic conditions. The soil water stress ranges did not influence head circumference and compactness (Table 3). Head circumference varied among cultivars, with ‘Eikoo’ superior to ‘Kinjitsu’. This response is attributed to an increased head fresh mass obtained from ‘Eikoo’, being an intrinsic feature of the cultivar. Maseko et al. (2017) found that the Chinese cabbage fresh head production is related to environmental conditions (temperature), cultivar and planting density.

The compactness is highly appreciated by consumers and it is considered as an indication of a proper head formation. Values found in this study ranged between 2.5 and 3.5 in the first crop and 1.3 and 2.5 in the second one. In this way, there was a better head formation in the first culture, considering that values closer to five indicate better head quality (Seabra et al., 2014).

Studying seven Chinese cabbage cultivars, Seabra et al. (2014) found compactness ranging from 1.0 to 4.3, and ‘Kinjitsu’ presented 2.9. This value is lower than that obtained in the first research (3.0), but higher than the

---

Table 3. Chinese cabbage plants heads circumference and compactness submitted to different ranges of soil water stress in two researches. Dois Vizinhos. UTFPR, 2016.

| Soil water tension (KPa) | Heads circumference (cm) |  |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
|                         | **Cv. Eikoo** | **Cv. Kinjitsu** | **Mean** | **Cv. Eikoo** | **Cv. Kinjitsu** | **Mean** |
| 13-17                   | 38.8          | 36.4          | 37.6** | 31.8          | 36.5          | 34.2** |
| 23-27                   | 38.6          | 37.8          | 38.2   | 35.3          | 34.0          | 34.6   |
| 33-37                   | 38.5          | 32.5          | 35.5   | 37.8          | 30.3          | 34.0   |
| 43-47                   | 37.6          | 38.0          | 37.8   | 38.3          | 33.3          | 35.8   |
| **Mean**                | 38.4          | 36.2**        | -      | 35.8 A*       | 31.0 B        | -      |
| **CV (%)**              | 8.9           | -             | -      | -             | -             | -      |

*Compactness notes ranging from 0 to 5 (0= total head absence; 1= head without a defined core; 2= outside core head and loose peripheral leaves; 3= defined core head and leaves with inceptive compaction in the periphery; 4= defined core head and compact peripheral leaves but with allowed visual individualization; 5= head with compact core and without visual individualization of the peripheral leaves. * not significant by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05); *Means followed by same capital letters in the row and lowercase in the column do not differ statistically by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05).

Table 4. Efficiency in water use of Chinese cabbage plants submitted to different ranges of soil water stress. Dois Vizinhos. UTFPR, 2016.

| Soil water tension (KPa) | Efficiency in water use (kg HDM/m³ of water) |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---|---|
|                         | **Cv. Eikoo** | **Cv. Kinjitsu** | **Mean** | **Cv. Eikoo** | **Cv. Kinjitsu** | **Mean** |
| 13-17                   | 32.7          | 42.1          | 37.4 a* | 47.3          | 23.2          | 35.3a  |
| 23-27                   | 17.6          | 18.3          | 18.0 b  | 32.2          | 16.5          | 24.4b  |
| 33-37                   | 12.6          | 13.8          | 13.2 c  | 21.1          | 16.3          | 18.7b  |
| 43-47                   | 10.5          | 14.4          | 12.4 c  | 14.2          | 10.1          | 12.2c  |
| **Mean**                | 18.4 B        | 22.2 A        | -       | 28.7 A        | 16.5 B        | -      |
| **CV (%)**              | 11.1          | -             | -       | 22.1          | -             | -      |

*Means followed by same capital letters in the row and lowercase in the column do not differ statistically by Scott-Knott test (p>0.05).
Eikoo's higher efficiency during summertime, cultivar Eikoo was more productive and in the fall-winter period, the two cultivars, Eikoo and Kinjitsu, can be cultivated in protected environment due to favorable environmental conditions. The stress range between 13-17 kPa allowed higher productivity and efficiency in water use.
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