The Complex Interaction of Mitochondrial Genetics and Mitochondrial Pathways in Psychiatric Disease
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Abstract
While accounting for only 2% of the body’s weight, the brain utilizes up to 20% of the body’s total energy. Not surprisingly, metabolic dysfunction and energy supply-and-demand mismatch have been implicated in a variety of neurological and psychiatric disorders. Mitochondria are responsible for providing the brain with most of its energetic demands, and the brain uses glucose as its exclusive energy source. Exploring the role of mitochondrial dysfunction in the etiology of psychiatric disease is a promising avenue to investigate further. Genetic analysis of mitochondrial activity is a cornerstone in understanding disease pathogenesis related to metabolic dysfunction. In concert with neuroimaging and pathological study, genetics provides an important bridge between biochemical findings and clinical correlates in psychiatric disease. Mitochondrial genetics has several unique aspects to its analysis, and corresponding special considerations. Here, we review the components of mitochondrial genetic analysis – nuclear DNA, mitochondrial DNA, mitochondrial pathways, pseudogenes, nuclear-mitochondrial mismatch, and microRNAs – that could contribute to an observable clinical phenotype. Throughout, we highlight psychiatric diseases that can arise due to dysfunction in these processes, with a focus on schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.

Introduction
Mitochondria are intracellular organelles that have co-existed with eukaryotic cells as endosymbionts for nearly 2 billion years. Mitochondria are the metabolic powerhouse of the cell, primarily responsible for the conversion of energy-carrying molecules into ATP through the process of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). In addition to their metabolic function, mitochondria regulate other cellular processes such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, calcium flux, and apoptosis [1].
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Mitochondrial function within the cell is finely tuned, and physiologic control is more complex than in other organelles. Unlike other organelles in animal cells, mitochondria carry their own genetic information, termed mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). In humans, 37 genes are encoded by the mtDNA genome. The vast majority of genes involved in mitochondrial function, however, are located in the nucleus. Indeed, proteins encoded by over 1,100 genes operate within mitochondria [2], many of which have been implicated in neurological and psychiatric diseases.

The human brain has a high energy demand, and it is not surprising that metabolic dysfunction has been linked to a host of neurological and psychiatric disease states. Mitochondria are the main source of energy for neurons and they play an important role in many neuronal processes such as neurodevelopment, neurotransmission, and synaptic plasticity [3–5]. Due to its fundamental importance, it is reasonable to hypothesize that dysfunction of the mitochondrial organelle in the brain may play a major role in the pathophysiology of mental illness [6–9]. This is particularly true for those dysfunctions involving a disturbance of energy metabolism, as proposed for schizophrenia (SCZ) and bipolar disorder (BD) [10–13].

Variation in mitochondrial genetics includes SNPs, deletions, intracellular variation in mtDNA copies (heteroplasmy), disrupted pathways, epigenetics, and chemical changes, which may influence mitochondrial gene expression and function [14, 15]. Certain inherited mutations in mtDNA cause congenital, multisystem disorders with neuropsychiatric manifestations, such as MELAS (mitochondrial encephalomyopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes) [16] and Leigh syndrome [17]. mtDNA impairment also contributes to multifactorial conditions such as cancer, metabolic disease, and aging [15]. Furthermore, loss of mtDNA integrity leads to dysfunction in mitochondrial activity, potentially leading to neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson and Alzheimer disease [18, 19].

In this paper, we will explore the various cellular and metabolic pathways in which mitochondria participate, with a focus on mitochondrial genetics and pathways (Fig. 1). We will look at genetic pathways involved in mitochondrial function – including OXPHOS, mitonuclear crosstalk, and mitochondrial dynamics – and provide an overview of the techniques used to investigate mitochondrial pathways, such as gene set analysis. These pathways are specifically related to mitochondrial activity with respect to energy generation. We will touch on the analysis of pseudogenes, microRNA (miRNA), and nuclear-mito.

**Fig. 1.** Mitochondrial genetics and pathways that contribute to psychiatric disease. On a genetic level, mitochondrial dysfunction can be caused by several mechanisms. (1) Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) – Variation within the mtDNA can contribute to mitochondrial dysfunction. Homoplasmic variants arise via maternally inherited mutations within the mtDNA, and are distributed equally across organs and cells. Heteroplasmic variants can be either inherited or acquired and generally increase over time, leading to heterogeneity in mtDNA sequences. Haplogroups are linked sets of mtDNA genetic variants shared between individuals as a consequence of common ancestry. (2) Mitochondrial pathways – Biological pathways under nuclear genetic control are necessary to ensure proper mitochondrial function. Oxidative phosphorylation facilitates aerobic respiration and provides ATP for the cell. Mitonuclear crosstalk describes the communication between the mitochondria and the nucleus. Mitochondrial dynamics includes fusion, fission, transport, and mitophagy, and ensures an appropriate number of mitochondria in the right location within the cell. (3) Other mitochondrial factors – MicroRNAs add an additional level of control over gene expression. The nuclear-mitochondrial mismatch phenomenon describes the coevolution of two distinct genomes (nDNA and mtDNA), and the hypothetical incompatibility of combining evolutionarily divergent genomes (nuclear and mitochondrial). Mitochondrial pseudogenes are sequences of mtDNA that have been incorporated into the nuclear genome, but are themselves noncoding.
Mitochondrial mismatch in the pathogenesis of psychiatric conditions. Throughout, we will highlight psychiatric diseases that can arise due to dysfunction in these organelles.

**Mitochondrial Genetics**

**Mitochondrial Genes**

Genes coding for proteins involved in cellular metabolism and mitochondrial function are located both in the nucleus and in the mitochondria themselves. Even genes that ultimately contribute to the same protein complex may be from both mtDNA and nuclear DNA (nDNA). For example, of the five protein complexes that make up the OXPHOS pathway, complexes I, III, IV, and V are composed of a combination of genes found within the mitochondria and the nucleus (genes for complex II are encoded exclusively by the nucleus) [20]. Thus, when assessing for a mitochondrial genetic contribution to a specific phenotype or disease, both nDNA and mtDNA must be analyzed.

The mitochondrial genome is approximately 16.5 kb in length and encodes 37 genes in humans: 13 genes code for peptides involved in the OXPHOS protein complexes, 22 genes code for transfer RNAs (tRNAs), and 2 genes code for ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) [21, 22], as shown in Figure 2. Specifically, the mtDNA genes include 7 genes of complex I (ND1–6), 1 gene of complex III (Cyt b), 3 genes of complex IV (COI–III), and 2 genes of complex V (ATP synthase; ATP6 and ATP8). In genetic analysis, nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes can be treated like other nuclear genes and are amenable to genome-wide association studies (GWAS), described elsewhere [23]. In this section we will focus on some of the unique attributes of genetic analysis involving mtDNA. These include SNP variation, haplogroup stratification, and heteroplasmy.

It is estimated that in humans the rate of mutation is roughly 10–20 times greater in mtDNA than in nDNA [15]. Consequently, there is more variation per unit length in mtDNA than there is in nDNA. As SNP variants in the mitochondrial genome are common within the general population, especially in the noncoding, poorly conserved mtDNA regions, researchers have developed a series of criteria to support the authenticity of postulated pathogenic variants [24]. These “canonical criteria” are as follows: (1) the mutation is not a known neutral polymorphism; (2) the mutation must be in an evolutionarily con-
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Heteroplasmy refers to heterogeneity within the mtDNA sequences of a single organism, or even within a single cell. Most of these heteroplasmic variants are completely benign, occurring within the noncoding mtDNA D-loop region, and one-quarter of all humans inherit a mixture of these genomes at fertilization through complete maternal inheritance [30]. Notwithstanding, pathogenic heteroplasmic variants can be found as the source of mitochondrial genetic disorders, and the clinical symptomatology usually correlates with the degree of heteroplasmy [31]. Furthermore, these variants usually accumulate with age, and disorders engendered by heteroplasmic variants are often acquired via accumulation with age [8].

An important area of study with respect to heteroplasmy is how these mutations are propagated from mother to daughter and subsequent maternal progeny. The mechanism could be through vegetative segregation, relaxed replication, replicative advantage, maintenance of wild types, or a combination of the four [31]. In vegetative segregation, there is unequal partitioning of mitochondria from the parent to the daughter cell, resulting in a skewed distribution of the heteroplasmic variants in the offspring. Alternatively, heteroplasmy levels can also change in otherwise nondividing cells, as mitochondria and mtDNA are continually recycled in a process called relaxed replication. Interestingly, the level of heteroplasmy within a given cell can increase, decrease, or remain stable over time, and this effect is often tissue specific. The underlying mechanisms are not yet worked out, but it is likely that nuclear genes influence this regulation. Another way that heteroplasmy levels can change is through a process called replicative advantage [32]. In replicative advantage, the mutation itself confers either an advantage or a disadvantage relative to the wild-type copy with respect to mtDNA replication. For example, a heteroplasmic change with a large deletion may produce an mtDNA molecule that requires less time to replicate, allowing for favored replication of the mutated version relative to the wild type [33]. In this way, heteroplasmy levels set within the cell. Lastly, in maintenance of the wild type hypothesis, mtDNA replication is upregulated as a whole in the setting of heteroplasmic mutations in order to maintain a steady-state concentration of wild-type mtDNA [34]. The net result of this process is that, in an attempt to maintain normal wild type levels, the mutated mtDNA is also increased due to the nonselective mitochondrial biogenesis. In other words, the presence of nonfunctional heteroplasmic variants forces the cell to increase the number of mitochondria in the cell in order to keep up with the metabolic demand, which nonselectively increases both wild-type and heteroplasmic forms.

On the other hand, various internal control mechanisms may restrict the propagation of damaged or heteroplasmic mitochondria. On an organismal level, mutated mtDNAs that result in nonfunctional OXPHOS complexes could cause impaired respiratory chain function, leading to an altered mitochondrial membrane potential, which feeds back to the nucleus to restrict the transport of essential nucleus-encoded mitochondrial proteins to those specific mitochondria [31]. Additionally, the level of heteroplasmy passed down from mother to offspring during oogenesis is lower than the somatic heteroplasmy of the mother. This is thought to be controlled through the hypothetical “genetic bottleneck,” whereby the amount of mtDNA is deliberately kept low in primordial germ cells so as to limit inherited heteroplasmy [35], although the mechanism remains unclear.

There is a high correlation between ancestry and specific mtDNA variants. These linked sets of mtDNA genetic variants shared between individuals as a consequence of common ancestry are called haplogroups. Several studies have found haplogroup-specific susceptibility to a host of phenotypes ranging from neuropsychiatric disorders to aging profiles [36, 37]. Haplogroups are traditionally assigned an alphabetical letter corresponding to the particular set of gene variants harbored by their mtDNA. The standard haplogroup phylogenetic tree was established by van Oven and Kayser [28] and is updated online at http://www.phylotree.org. Interestingly, biochemical differences in OXPHOS activity have been observed between haplogroups, which may explain why individuals from certain haplogroups reach a pathological bioenergetic threshold more readily than others [38].

In summary, when analyzing mtDNA, one must consider SNP variants, heteroplasmy, and haplogroups. Publicly available pipelines, such as mtDNA-Server [39], can be used to analyze mtDNA sequences to detect each of these genetic components for further investigations.
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served site, suggesting a functional role for the SNP; (3) the mutation is usually heteroplasmic; (4) for heteroplasmic variants, the degree of heteroplasmy should correlate clinically with a phenotype; and (5) the mutation can be corroborated biochemically using tissue samples (initially described with muscle biopsy). With respect to determining whether a particular variant is novel or not, several databases exist, including dbSNP [25], MITOMAP [26], mtDB [27], PhyloTree [28] and MSeqDR [29]. We currently refer to mtDB and MSeqDR for most applications.

Heteroplasmy refers to heterogeneity within the mtDNA sequences of a single organism, or even within a single cell. Most of these heteroplasmic variants are completely benign, occurring within the noncoding mtDNA D-loop region, and one-quarter of all humans inherit a mixture of these genomes at fertilization through complete maternal inheritance [30]. Notwithstanding, patho-

genic heteroplasmic variants can be found as the source of mitochondrial genetic disorders, and the clinical symptomatology usually correlates with the degree of heteroplasmy [31]. Furthermore, these variants usually accumulate with age, and disorders engendered by heteroplasmic variants are often acquired via accumulation with age [8].

An important area of study with respect to heteroplasmy is how these mutations are propagated from mother to daughter and subsequent maternal progeny. The mechanism could be through vegetative segregation, relaxed replication, replicative advantage, maintenance of wild types, or a combination of the four [31]. In vegetative segregation, there is unequal partitioning of mitochondria from the parent to the daughter cell, resulting in a skewed distribution of the heteroplasmic variants in the offspring. Alternatively, heteroplasmy levels can also change in otherwise nondividing cells, as mitochondria and mtDNA are continually recycled in a process called relaxed replication. Interestingly, the level of heteroplasmy within a given cell can increase, decrease, or remain stable over time, and this effect is often tissue specific. The underlying mechanisms are not yet worked out, but it is likely that nuclear genes influence this regulation. Another way that heteroplasmy levels can change is through a process called replicative advantage [32]. In replicative advantage, the mutation itself confers either an advantage or a disadvantage relative to the wild-type copy with respect to mtDNA replication. For example, a heteroplasmic change with a large deletion may produce an mtDNA molecule that requires less time to replicate, allowing for favored replication of the mutated version relative to the wild type [33]. In this way, heteroplasmy levels set within the cell. Lastly, in maintenance of the wild type hypothesis, mtDNA replication is upregulated as a whole in the setting of heteroplasmic mutations in order to maintain a steady-state concentration of wild-type mtDNA [34]. The net result of this process is that, in an attempt to maintain normal wild type levels, the mutated mtDNA is also increased due to the nonselective mitochondrial biogenesis. In other words, the presence of nonfunctional heteroplasmic variants forces the cell to increase the number of mitochondria in the cell in order to keep up with the metabolic demand, which nonselectively increases both wild-type and heteroplasmic forms.

On the other hand, various internal control mechanisms may restrict the propagation of damaged or heteroplasmic mitochondria. On an organismal level, mutated mtDNAs that result in nonfunctional OXPHOS complexes could cause impaired respiratory chain function, leading to an altered mitochondrial membrane potential, which feeds back to the nucleus to restrict the transport of essential nucleus-encoded mitochondrial proteins to those specific mitochondria [31]. Additionally, the level of heteroplasmy passed down from mother to offspring during oogenesis is lower than the somatic heteroplasmy of the mother. This is thought to be controlled through the hypothetical “genetic bottleneck,” whereby the amount of mtDNA is deliberately kept low in primordial germ cells so as to limit inherited heteroplasmy [35], although the mechanism remains unclear.

There is a high correlation between ancestry and specific mtDNA variants. These linked sets of mtDNA genetic variants shared between individuals as a consequence of common ancestry are called haplogroups. Several studies have found haplogroup-specific susceptibility to a host of phenotypes ranging from neuropsychiatric disorders to aging profiles [36, 37]. Haplogroups are traditionally assigned an alphabetical letter corresponding to the particular set of gene variants harbored by their mtDNA. The standard haplogroup phylogenetic tree was established by van Oven and Kayser [28] and is updated online at http://www.phylotree.org. Interestingly, biochemical differences in OXPHOS activity have been observed between haplogroups, which may explain why individuals from certain haplogroups reach a pathological bioenergetic threshold more readily than others [38].

In summary, when analyzing mtDNA, one must consider SNP variants, heteroplasmy, and haplogroups. Publicly available pipelines, such as mtDNA-Server [39], can be used to analyze mtDNA sequences to detect each of these genetic components for further investigations.
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For over a decade, there has been much interest in exploring the relationship between mitochondrial genetic variation and psychiatric disease, especially for SCZ and BD. One way to test whether mitochondria play a causal role in major psychoses is to search for inherited variants in mitochondrial genes that influence the risk of the disease.

For SCZ, positive findings were reported regarding a relationship between haplogroup HV and SCZ in an Israeli-Arab sample [40], and between haplogroup J-T and onset of SCZ in an Italian sample [41]. In another example, Hudson et al. [42] found nominally significant associations between SCZ and SNPs defining haplogroup U. However, other studies do not support these findings [43–46], although the approaches used to assign individuals to haplogroups varied between the studies. For example, the Israeli-Arab sample study found a significant association when dividing the HV lineage into the H haplogroup and the non-H HV lineage haplogroups, while Mosquera-Miguel et al. [45] found no association with SCZ by including four distinct haplogroups (H, H1, H3, and HV).

As for specific loci, a small study identified the 12096A heteroplasmic variant, which codes for ND4, in 5 of 6 mother/child pairs with SCZ, and in no controls [47].

Recently, our group performed the largest study thus far to investigate the role of mtDNA SNPs in SCZ risk (n = 4,778 cases; 5,819 controls). Using data from the Illumina HumanExome array chip, we tested for genetic associations of common and rare SNPs, and haplogroups, with SCZ susceptibility. We found nominally significant associations for a SNP in the cytochrome B gene (p = 0.007) and for haplogroup J (p = 0.02), with the p value threshold set at 0.001. Rare variants were also nominally significantly associated with SCZ (burden p = 0.007) [48].

For BD, Kato et al. [49] reported that the mtDNA mutations 5178C and 10398A were statistically associated with a lower brain intracellular pH in BD subjects in comparison with healthy controls. In another study, mutation 3644C was suggested to increase the risk for BD, and the finding was replicated in an independent sample [50]. In addition, the 3243G variant was shown to be associated with both SCZ and BD [51]. Kazuno et al. [52] showed that haplogroup N9a was overrepresented among BD subjects. They also reported that mutation 12358G was associated with BD, but they could not replicate this association in another sample. Haplogroup U has also been identified as predisposing patients with BD to symptoms of psychosis [53]. Figure 2 outlines the mtDNA mutations that have been implicated in SCZ and BD.

Integrity of the mitochondrial genome is crucial for normal neuronal function. Impaired function can lead to an increase in ROS production. mtDNA mutations can lead to greater electron leakage along the electron transport chain (ETC), exceeding the cell’s antioxidant potential. This mechanism is thought to increase the risk of developing psychotic disorders such as SCZ and BD [54]. Evolutionary pressures such as ambient temperatures influenced the development of OXPHOS efficiency. For example, in haplogroup N, following northward migration from Africa to Europe, the 10398G>A variant encoding the A114T substitution reduced the coupling efficiency of the ETC complexes, resulting in greater heat production per generation of ATP [55]. This phenomenon may explain the predilection of certain haplogroup associations with mental illness. Either oxidative damage to proteins, lipids, or DNA within neurons or the resultant accumulation of toxic metabolites can explain the pathogenesis of psychiatric conditions as a result of ROS generation [54].

Mutations in the mitochondrial genome may also lead to an underproduction in ATP available for neuronal activity. As with congenital mitochondrial disorders such as MELAS, the precise mechanism by which decreased energy production contributes to neuropsychiatric symptoms is unknown. Association studies have found a decrease in pH in BD, presumably secondary to increased lactic acid production via glycolysis, as will be described later in the section devoted to the OXPHOS pathway.

We have focused thus far on the mitochondrial genome, and how perturbations can impact function. Next, we will expand our consideration of mitochondrial dys-function to encompass the nuclear genome, focusing on pathways coordinated by the mitochondria.

Mitochondrial Pathways

Genetic Analysis of Mitochondrial Pathways

While shown to be heritable, most psychiatric disorders such as SCZ and BD are polygenic, with multiple affected loci conferring a risk for developing the disease [56]. As such, GWAS looking for single-gene variants may struggle to detect small contributions from multiple genes. To address this limitation, a relatively new technique of analysis of highly complex diseases with a polygenic etiology is gene set analysis [57, 58]. Instead of looking for variation in particular SNPs or genes, in gene set analysis geneticists look for changes in biological pathway activity. This is accomplished by selecting a group of
genes to be analyzed together, usually related to each other by virtue of a common biological pathway such as OXPHOS – or mitochondrial dynamics in the case of mitochondrial genetics.

Gene set analysis is typically used as a secondary tool for genetic analysis and can complement prior GWAS [59]. In selecting a gene set, a combination of gene pathway databases and manual curation from a literature search is advisable. Examples of these gene sets can be found in Tables 1–3, described in greater detail below. Ideally, a gene set should contain between 10 and 200 genes [60]. Next, the statistical method and analytical tool can be selected.

MAGMA and INRICH are two platforms that can be used to conduct gene set analyses. MAGMA uses competitive gene set analysis to detect genetic pathways enriched for a particular phenotype. In MAGMA, SNPs are combined and assigned to a gene, and an “association score” is calculated for each gene included in the analysis with respect to the phenotype in question. The group of association scores for the genes included in the gene set of interest is compared to the remaining genes using a statistical test.

### Table 1. OXPHOS pathway gene set genes

| Complex I | Complex II | Complex III | Complex IV | Complex V | Other |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| NDUFA1    | NDUFS7    | SDHA        | CYC1       | COX4I1    | ATP5A1| AARS2 |
| NDUFA2    | NDUFS8    | SDHB        | CYCS       | COX4I2    | ATP5B | BID   |
| NDUFA3    | NDUVF1    | SDHC        | UQCR10     | COX5A     | ATP5C1| COQ9  |
| NDUFA4    | NDUVF2    | SDHD        | UQCRB      | COX5B     | ATP5D | DLD   |
| NDUFA5    | NDUVF3    | SDHAF1      | UQCR1C     | COX6A1    | ATP5E | IMMP1L|
| NDUFA6    | MT-ND1    | SDHAF2      | UQCR2C     | COX6A2    | ATP5F1| IMMP2L|
| NDUFA7    | MT-ND2    | SDHAF3      | UQCRFS1    | COX7B     | ATP5G1| SAMM50|
| NDUFA8    | MT-ND3    | UQCRH       | COX7C      | ATP5G2    |       |       |
| NDUFA9    | MT-ND4    | UQCRQ       | COX8A      | ATP5G3    |       |       |
| NDUFA10   | MT-ND4L   | MT-CYB      | COX8C      | ATP5H     |       |       |
| NDUFA11   | MT-ND5    | BCS1L       | MT-CO1     | ATP5I     |       |       |
| NDUFA12   | MT-ND6    | LYRM7       | MT-CO2     | ATP5J     |       |       |
| NDUFA13   | ACAD9     | PMPCB       | MT-CO3     | ATP5J2    |       |       |
| NDUFA14   | ATP5SL    | SL25A33     | BCS1L      | ATP5L     |       |       |
| NDUFA15   | BCS1L     | TTC19       | CHCHD5     | ATP5O     |       |       |
| NDUFA16   | COA1      | UQCC1       | COA1       | ATP5S     |       |       |
| NDUFA17   | ECSIT     | UQCC2       | COA3       | ATPF1     |       |       |
| NDUFA18   | FOXRED1   | UQCR10      | COA4       | MT-ATP6   |       |       |
| NDUFA19   | NDUFA2    | UQCR11      | COA5       | MT-ATP8   |       |       |
| NDUFA20   | NDUFA3    | COX10       | ATPA1     |           |       |       |
| NDUFA21   | NDUFA4    | COX14       | ATPA1F    |           |       |       |
| NDUFA22   | NDUFA5    | COX15       | OX11L     |           |       |       |
| NDUFA23   | NDUFA6    | COX17       | PPF       |           |       |       |
| NDUFA24   | NDUFA7    | COX19       | TMEM70    |           |       |       |
| NDUFA25   | NDUFA8    | COX20       | USMG5     |           |       |       |
| NDUFA26   | NUBPL     | COX6B1      |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA27   | OXA1L     | COX6C       |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA28   | PARK7     | COX7A2L     |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA29   | TIMM21    | PET100      |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA30   | TIMMDC1   | PET117      |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA31   | TMEM126B  | SCO1        |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA32   | TMEM70    | SCO2        |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA33   | SURF1     | SERP1       |           |           |       |       |
| NDUFA34   | TIMM21    | TIMM21      |           |           |       |       |

Functionally related gene sets were selected from the Gene Ontology Consortium [152]. Genes that are categorized under the terms describing the structure or assembly of the respiratory complexes were included in the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway. The genes were reconciled with MitoCarta and the literature where necessary.
one-tailed two-sample $t$ test and is assessed for significance [61]. INRICH is also a competitive gene set analysis tool that assigns chromosomal intervals to a set of variants with high significance and then determines whether genes falling within these intervals belong to certain pathways. The results are compared to multiple null sets consisting of random intervals, and considered significant if the true test data show a greater correlation to the pathway genes [62]. Additional information regarding existing tools for this kind of analysis, as well as a performance comparison between them, was described elsewhere [61]. Guidance regarding the gene set analysis procedure is provided by Mooney and Wilmot [60].

Although a powerful tool, gene set analysis has several limitations and warrants special considerations. These include generating a list of genes from a series of SNPs, dealing with overlapping genes involved in multiple gene sets, and inconsistent gene set sizes, among other factors. These considerations are discussed at length in a review by Wang et al. [57].

In considering a genetic mitochondrial basis for psychiatric disease, it is valuable to address the physiologic role of mitochondria within a cell. Mitochondria are part of different cellular circuits, and the mitochondrial gene sets or pathways might differ between diseases. Since there is evidence that the underlying pathophysiology of SCZ is related to impaired brain metabolism, and since mitochondrial dysfunction has been correlated with SCZ in several studies, an aberrant mitochondrial pathway is an attractive theory for SCZ pathogenesis.

Evidence has suggested that nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes may play a role in SCZ [63, 64], although the mitochondrion-related pathways were not significantly associated with the disease ($n = 9,379$ cases; 7,736

Table 2. Mitonuclear crosstalk gene set genes

| Anterograde crosstalk | Retrograde crosstalk | Quality control | Integrated cellular stress response |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| CAMK4                 | ATF2                 | AFG3L2          | ATF3                              |
| CAMKK2                | CAMK4                | AKT1            | ATP4                              |
| CREB                  | EBF1                 | CLP2            | EIF2AK4                           |
| ESRA                   | DDIT3                | CLPX            | EIF2S1                            |
| ESRRB                 | EGR1                 | COX5B           | TRIB3                             |
| GABPA                 | KEAP1                | DDI3            |                                   |
| MEF2A                 | MAPK1                | DNAJA3          |                                   |
| NCOR1                 | MAPK8                | FOXO3           |                                   |
| NRF1                  | MAPK9                | HSF1            |                                   |
| NRI1                  | MAPK10               | HSP90AA1        |                                   |
| PARP1                 | MTP1                 | HSPA4           |                                   |
| PARP2                 | NFATC1               | HSPD1           |                                   |
| PPARA                 | NFE2L2               | HSPC1           |                                   |
| PPARG                 | NFKB1                | HTRA2           |                                   |
| PPARGCA1              | NFKB2                | JUN             |                                   |
| PPARGC1B              | PPARGC1A            | LONP1           |                                   |
| PPRC1                 | PPP3CC               | MAPK9           |                                   |
| PRKAA1                | PRKAA1               | NFKB2           |                                   |
| PRKAC                 | PRKAC                | NRF1            |                                   |
| PRKACB                | PMPCB                |                  |                                   |
| SIRT1                 | SIRT3                | SIRT7           |                                   |
| TP53                  |                      | SMARCA4         |                                   |
|                      |                      | SPG7            |                                   |
|                      |                      | SSBP1           |                                   |
|                      |                      | STAR            |                                   |
|                      |                      | TIMM17A         |                                   |
|                      |                      | TXN2            |                                   |
|                      |                      | YMEI1L          |                                   |

A literature search was performed to compile the genes that comprise the mitonuclear crosstalk pathway [85, 86, 94, 153].

Table 3. Mitochondrial dynamics gene set genes

| Fusion | Fission | Transport | Mitophagy |
|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| AFG3L2 | BNP3    | CLUH      | ATP1F1    |
| BAX1   | COX10   | DNM1L     | BNIP3     |
| BAX    | DHODH   | KIF1B     | BNIP3L    |
| BNIP3  | DNM1L   | LRPPRC    | CISD2     |
| CHCHD3 | DNM2    | LRRK2     | DNM1L     |
| DNM1L  | DNM3    | MAPl      | FIS1      |
| FIS1   |         | MAP1S     | FUND1C    |
| GAD1   |         | GAD1P     | FUND1C    |
| MFF    |         | LRRK2     | HK2       |
| MFN1   |         | MARCH5    | HTRA2     |
| MFN2   |         | MFF       | MST01     |
| MIEF1  |         | MIEF1     | MUL1      |
| MIEF2  |         | MIEF2     | OPA1      |
| MUL1   |         | MTP1      | RHOT1     |
| OMA1   |         | MTRFL     | RHOT2     |
| OPA1   |         | MTRFL     | SYNJ2BP   |
| PLD6   |         | MTR2      | TRAK1     |
| PRKN   |         | MUL1      | TRAK2     |
| STOML2 |         | MYO19     | VDAC1     |
| USP30  |         | OPA1      | UBB       |
|        |         | PINK1     |           |
|        |         | PRKN      |           |
|        |         | SLC25A46  |           |

Functionally related gene sets were selected from the Gene Ontology Consortium [152]. Genes that are categorized under the terms describing mitochondrial fusion, axonal transport, membrane organization, localization, and mitophagy were included in the mitochondrial dynamics pathway. The genes were reconciled with MitoCarta and the literature where necessary.
controls) [65]. Further evidence includes an enrichment of expression from quantitative trait locus variants in nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes [66], and an excess of large (>500-kb deletions) and rare copy number variants in the set of nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes in SCZ patients [67]. Our group recently conducted a hypothesis-driven mitochondrial gene-based analysis using the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) summary statistic results for SCZ to test whether variants in nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes of pathways influence susceptibility to SCZ [154]. Our findings identified 19 genes that were significantly associated with SCZ, the associations of 9 of which were replicated in an independent sample. For BD, there are fewer studies including mitochondrial nuclear genes than for SCZ, but the Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group has failed to identify mitochondrial nuclear loci to be associated with the disease [68].

**Oxidative Phosphorylation**

OXPHOS within mitochondria is the main source of aerobic energy for neuronal functioning. OXPHOS converts high energy reducing agents to usable energy by transferring electrons along the ETC and then coupling the generated electrochemical gradient to produce an electromotive force, which is harnessed to convert ADP into ATP. First, NADH is oxidized by complex I, and succinate is oxidized by complex II, after which the electrons are transferred to coenzyme Q, then to complex III, then to complex IV, and lastly to the final electron acceptor, oxygen, to generate water. The passage of these electrons through the ETC generates energy, which is used to pump protons from the mitochondrial matrix into the mitochondrial inner membrane. The resulting electrochemical gradient drives protons through complex V, also called ATP synthase, which converts this kinetic energy into chemical energy as a high-energy phosphate in the form of ATP [55].

Complex I, also called NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase, is the largest member of the OXPHOS system, comprising 45 subunits. Complex I is responsible for transferring electrons from NADH to coenzyme Q. It contributes nearly 40% of the electromotive force and generates significant ROS in the process [69]. Complex I and complex III contain Fe-S clusters, and are therefore the most susceptible to oxidative damage [70]. Although contributing less to the overall energy production, complex IV has traditionally been used as a metric for neuronal energy utilization [71]. Both complex I and complex IV have been investigated most extensively in the context of psychiatric disorders, as will be described later. In addition to the subunits themselves, genes involved in the assembly of the ETC complexes have been implicated in disease. These assembly genes, as well as the remaining OXPHOS genes encoded by both the mitochondrial and the nuclear genomes, are listed in Table 1.

Numerous imaging studies have shown that the brain’s metabolic activity is disrupted in SCZ. Biochemical studies investigating OXPHOS integrity and specific ETC complex function in SCZ have returned inconsistent results. Ben-Shachar [20] reported increased activity of complex I in SCZ patients with active (acute or chronic) psychosis, with decreased complex I activity in patients with residual SCZ, as compared to healthy controls. Additionally, dopamine binds to and reversibly inhibits complex I, further suggesting an association between complex I and SCZ. In contrast, earlier studies reported reduced complex IV activity in SCZ with unaffected complex I [72, 73]. Since many studies have been conducted on either postmortem samples or extracted blood products, it is suggested that these differing experimental conditions may have contributed to the conflicting findings. In support of OXPHOS dysfunction in SCZ, a small parallel transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic study found OXPHOS to be the most significantly downregulated pathway in patients compared to controls [74]. More details on the role of the OXPHOS pathway in the context of SCZ pathophysiology can be found in a recent review [75].

With respect to other psychiatric disorders, Andreazza et al. [76] found that complex I activity is decreased in the prefrontal cortex of BD patients compared to controls. Parenthetically, this study did not detect a significant difference in complex I activity between SCZ patients and controls. Lending further support to OXPHOS impairment in BD, numerous studies have described an association between BD and lower intracellular pH [77, 78]. This biochemical alteration may derive from deficient OXPHOS with a concomitant increase in oxygen-independent metabolism (glycolysis), resulting in increased lactic acid levels [79]. Washizuka et al. [80] found that NDUFV2 haplotype frequencies were statistically different between BD cases and healthy controls. The association was replicated in another study, but in the opposite direction [81].

**Mitonuclear Crosstalk**

As described above, the majority of mitochondrial genes are encoded by the nucleus, with several of the OXPHOS components present in the mtDNA. Thus, mitochondria and the nucleus finely coordinate the transcrip-
tion, translation, and import of mitochondrial proteins to ensure the proper stoichiometric relationship between the various OXPHOS components. Furthermore, the nucleus provides tight control over mitochondrial function due to the importance of mitochondria in many cellular processes.

Communication between mitochondria and the nucleus is crucial in regulating the metabolic needs of the cell [82]. This communication, termed “mitonuclear crosstalk,” occurs bidirectionally: the nucleus can influence mitochondrial structure, replication, biogenesis, fusion, and fusion, the number of mitochondria, and the mitophagy turnover rate [83]. On the other hand, mitochondria influence the replication and expression of nuclear genes through production of ATP and other high-energy intermediates that are produced with the involvement of mitochondria, such as acetyl-CoA, and S-adenosyl methionine [84]. Therefore, disturbances of this crosstalk may lead to deficiencies in the mitochondrial energy-generating system, causing or contributing to disease.

The pathways that coordinate mitochondrion-nucleus communication are categorized into anterograde and retrograde crosstalk. Signals sent from the nucleus to mitochondria constitute anterograde regulation, while those sent from mitochondria to the nucleus constitute retrograde regulation (Fig. 3a) [85]. Anterograde crosstalk culminates in the expression of nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes which induce mtDNA gene expression, ultimately upregulating mitochondrial OXPHOS activity. In this way, mitochondrial activity can be directed by nuclear intermediates. Two nuclear transcription factors primarily control the expression of the nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes: nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF1) and GA-binding protein-a (GABPa). Additionally, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), estrogen-related receptors (ERRs), and myocyte-specific enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) likewise control the expression of these mitochondrial genes, but to a lesser extent.

NRFs, PPARs, and ERRs are activated by a similar common pathway, with PGC1α (also called PPARGC1A) acting as the master regulator [86]. MEF2, on the other hand, is controlled by a separate pathway. For the common PGC1α-NRF/PPAR/ERR pathway, nucleus-encoded mitochondrial genes are induced by exercise or caloric restriction (via AMPK and SIRT1-mediated activation) [87], increased calcium (via CAMKIV and others) [88], or cold temperature (via cAMP response element-binding protein [CREB] and others). DNA damage and telomere dysfunction inhibit the activation of mitochondrial genes through PARP1 and p53, respectively, presumably to prevent further damage from increased ROS production [89, 90]. Calcium elevation due to exercise can also stimulate calcineurin, which activates MEF2, the last transcription factor controlling mitochondrial gene expression [91]. In summary, NRF, PPAR, ERR, and MEF all serve to exert nuclear control on upregulated mitochondrial activity.

Retrograde crosstalk is initiated in response to OXPHOS dysfunction or mtDNA mutation [85]. These conditions manifest in the form of decreased ATP synthesis, increased ROS generation, or calcium release from a leaky mitochondrial membrane. Low ATP leads to a high AMP/ATP ratio, thus activating the AMPK pathway to stimulate mitochondrial biogenesis through activation of PGC1α, as described above [92]. An elevated ROS concentration can also activate AMPK, as well as activating JNK and inhibiting KEAP1, with a concomitant antioxidant response via NFE2L2 translocation to the nucleus [93]. Moreover, both ROS and increased calcium activate NF-κB, which promotes the expression of nuclear genes involved in calcium metabolism [94]. Increased calcium levels can also activate calcium metabolism genes in an NF-κB-independent manner. Through these methods, mitochondria can exert control over nuclear gene expression.

Mitonuclear crosstalk also includes mitochondrial quality control and proteostasis [95], the integrated cellular stress response, and extracellular signaling (Fig. 3b). The mitochondrial quality control system includes chaperones and proteases encoded by the nuclear genome which are important to maintain mitochondrial proteostasis. There are three different proteostasis responses involved in mitonuclear-nucleus crosstalk. First, the mitochondrial unfolded protein response acts by activating the expression of proteases, chaperones, and other stress response genes. Second, proteolytic stress induces the expression of some mitochondrial proteases. Third, the heat shock response activates mitochondrial chaperones. In total, these mechanisms serve to protect the cell from mi-
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For mitochondrial damage not related to disrupted proteostasis, the integrated stress response regulates the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction [96]. The main initiation factor for the integrated stress response is eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit alpha (EIF2α; also called EIF2S1). The net result of the integrated stress response is to inhibit protein synthesis globally, with activation of expression for stress response genes. These include ATF4, CHOP (also called DDIT3), and TRIB3 [97].

Lastly, mitonuclear crosstalk includes extracellular signaling, whereby mitokines (mitochondrion-derived signaling molecules) are released from the cell in response to mitochondrial stress, and subsequently interact with the nucleus of a neighboring cell. The mechanisms underlying this process are not well understood, and even the identities of the mitokines themselves are not fully elucidated. Nevertheless, mitokines are involved in systemic metabolism [98] and have been implicated in a wide spectrum of neuronal processes [99]. The pathways described here underlying mitonuclear crosstalk and regulation were recently reviewed by Quirós et al. [85]; the associated genes for mitonuclear crosstalk gene set analysis are listed in Table 2.

Although not investigated explicitly, several of the players involved in mitonuclear crosstalk have been implicated in the pathophysiology of SCZ and BD. In one study, levels of the mitochondrial activator PPAR-γ were reduced in patients with SCZ compared to healthy controls [100]. This was attributed to a proinflammatory state, but the authors also noted the possible connection with mitochondrial function.

Mitonuclear crosstalk is a compelling pathway to consider in the etiology of BD. BD is characterized by biphasic states of high energy production (mania) and low energy production (euthymia or depression). This suggests inappropriate mitochondrial cell signaling. Levels of CREB were disrupted in patients with BD [101], and mRNA transcripts encoding various components of the ETC were reduced [102, 103]. SIRT1, SIRT2, and SIRT6 were all decreased in depressive patients with BD [104]. The signaling components CREB and SIRT1 outlined here are involved in diverse biological processes. It is unclear whether changes in these components are causative of pathology, or rather a response to an increased calcium concentration, inflammation, or oxidative stress [105]. It is further confounding when considering how cellular metabolic signaling is related to the different manic/depressive states of disease, especially in BD. Additional studies are required to untangle the role of mitonuclear crosstalk in both SCZ and BD.

Mitochondrial Dynamics

Central to mitochondrial function is mitochondrial dynamics. Mitochondrial dynamics comprises such processes as mitochondrial fission, fusion, transport within the cell, and mitophagy [106, 107]. Intact mitochondrial dynamics has been linked to normal brain development, while impaired dynamics leads to neuronal injury and developmental dysfunction [108]. Mitochondria regularly undergo fission and fusion as part of mitochondrial dynamics. Fusion is facilitated by the mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2, as well as optic atrophy 1 (OPA1), while fission is facilitated by dynamin-1-like protein (DNM1L; also called DRP1) and dynamin 2 (DNM2). Loss of the mitofusin proteins prevents fusion from occurring and is associated with changes in mitochondrial shape and structure, loss of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and loss of mtDNA stability [109, 110]. Conversely, fusion is associated with increased mtDNA quality and fidelity [106]. Disruption of normal mitochondrial fission and fusion leads to neurodegeneration in the CNS and elsewhere in the body as well [111, 112]. Indeed, mutations affecting these proteins cause clinical neurological conditions such as Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type IIA (Mfn2 mutation) and dominant optic atrophy (OPA1 mutation).

Coordinated mitochondrial transport is especially crucial in neurons, as the site of mitochondrial biogenesis (cell soma) may be located far from the site of energy demand (e.g., axons). Mitochondrial transport is largely controlled via sensing of the calcium concentration by the GTPase Miro, located on the outer mitochondrial membrane. Specifically, Miro serves to stop mitochondrial transit, acting as a “traffic cop” when sensing calcium [113]. Miro is part of the mitochondrial rho GTPase (RHOT) family. Mitochondria move along microtubules; the motor protein kinesin complex mediates anterograde transport, while the dyneins mediate retrograde transport [114]. The kinesin-related protein 5 family (KIF5A–C) comprises specific motor proteins that are thought to play a vital role in the transport of mitochondria in the nervous system. Mutations in the genes encoding KIF5A–C have been implicated in a host of neurodevelopmental disorders [115–122], as summarized by Flippo and Strack [107]; however, it is unclear whether these derive from impaired mitochondrial transport or from impaired transport of other organelles via KIF5. Interestingly,
KIF5A interacts with DISC1 (disrupted in schizophrenia 1), a protein whose namesake highlights its relation to SCZ and other psychiatric disorders [123, 124]. It is also possible that Mfn2 participates in mitochondrial transport in addition to fission/fusion, as Mfn2 dysfunction (or deletion in model organisms) is associated with impaired mitochondrial localization.

Lastly, autophagy, or mitophagy, refers to the controlled destruction of mitochondria within the cell. Several biochemical stressors can trigger mitophagy, including hypoxia, energy stress, increased OXPHOS activity, and a disrupted mitochondrial membrane potential [106, 125]. The most studied mechanism of mitophagy is the Pink1/parkin-mediated mitophagy pathway [126]. Under physiologic conditions, the kinase Pink1 is localized inside mitochondria. With a disrupted membrane potential, Pink1 is released and associates with proteins on the outer mitochondrial membrane, causing them to become phosphorylated. This phosphorylation recruits parkin, which is followed by ubiquitination of several outer mitochondrial membrane proteins. This ubiquitination signals p62, proteasomal degradation, and autophagic membranes to complete the destruction of the mitochondrion. The genes involved in mitochondrial dynamics are listed in Table 3.

There is evidence to suggest an impairment in mitochondrial dynamics in the etiology of SCZ and BD. Rosenfeld et al. [127] reported decreased levels of Opa1 (profusion protein) in SCZ patients, but not in BD patients. Furthermore, two candidate genes for SCZ – G72 and DISC1 – participate in mitochondrial dynamics. Specifically, G72 (also called DAOA) has been shown to regulate mitochondrial fission [128], and DISC1 interacts with many of the transport proteins [124], as discussed. A recent paper by Flippo and Strack [107] reviewed mitochondrial dynamics in the context of SCZ.

Also for mitochondrial dynamics, more mitochondria of a smaller area were found in the prefrontal cortex of postmortem BD samples compared to controls [129]. In the same study, peripheral cells from patients with BD were found to have a greater density of mitochondria closer to the nucleus with a steeper decline at greater distances, and this finding was replicated in an independent sample of antemortem BD patients and controls. The morphology (shape) of mitochondria also differed for BD patients, showing a greater number of atypical cup- and disc-shaped mitochondria. These findings provide pathological support for impaired mitochondrial biogenesis, mitophagy, and/or transport in patients with BD. That being said, although there are many reports showing an association with impaired mitochondrial dynamics, there is no direct evidence for the involvement of mitochondrial trafficking or mitophagy in the pathogenesis of BD or SCZ.

We have explored three mitochondrial pathways in the context of SCZ and BD. It should be noted that different disorders may be conducive to analysis using different pathways. The pathways of choice should be based on strong biological hypotheses to minimize the number of gene sets required for analysis. In the next section, we will touch briefly on some developing topics in mitochondrial genetics.

Other Topics in Mitochondrial Genetics

Pseudogenes

Pseudogenes are recognizable copies of nucleus-encoded genes that have subsequently lost their function (i.e., they are noncoding) [130]. While the majority of mitochondrial genes migrated to the nucleus millions of years ago, the process of nuclear shuttling of mitochondrial genes into the nuclear genome still occurs today. Mitochondrial pseudogenes are sequences of mtDNA that have been incorporated into the nuclear genome. These nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes (NUMTs) retain a similar genetic sequence to that of the true mtDNA, but they are not expressed by the nuclear transcriptomic machinery, and are thus nonfunctional. Nevertheless, they pose a challenge to the amplification and further analysis of mtDNA, because PCR primers that are designed to amplify mtDNA specifically may inadvertently hybridize to NUMTs as well [131], sometimes preferentially [132].

Currently, NUMTs are largely a technical nuisance that have led to false-positive results in mtDNA analysis [133]. However, several studies have correlated nonmitochondrial pseudogenes with a risk propensity for several diseases such as cancer [134]. It is likely that NUMTs will play a role in the future of mitochondrial genetic analysis.

MicroRNAs

miRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs that can bind to and inhibit or degrade endogenous mRNA transcripts, adding an additional layer of control to gene expression [135]. Several key mitochondrial processes are controlled by specific miRNAs, including OXPHOS (e.g., miR-338 and COXIV), cell signaling (e.g., miR-696 and PGC1α), fission (e.g., miR-30 and p53/Drp1), and mitophagy (e.g., miR-21 and PTEN), among others [136]. miRNAs have
been linked to function in the brain, and they play a role in neurodevelopment, plasticity, metabolism, apoptosis, and disease [137].

The study of miRNA is complicated by the fact that different tissues express different miRNAs, leading to varying control depending on the analyzed sample. The DIANA-TarBase v8.0 database [138] compiles publications that demonstrate miRNA-gene interactions through laboratory experiments on various tissues. With respect to mitochondrial pathways, 17 out of 65 genes in OXPHOS complex I, 28 out of 49 genes in mitonuclear cross-talk, 12 out of 23 genes in mitochondrial fission, and 11 out of 20 genes in mitophagy are controlled by one or more miRNAs with validated activity in the human brain.

The field of miRNA is still growing, and it is expected that our understanding of miRNA regulation will develop further as more miRNAs are identified. Nevertheless, miRNAs have already been studied in the context of psychiatric disease, although mitochondrial miRNAs have not been identified as contributing to disease. A review by Beveridge and Cairns [139] can be found on the subject of miRNA in SCZ.

**Mitochondrial-Nuclear DNA Mismatch**

Nuclear-mitochondrial mismatch is related to the mitonuclear crosstalk pathway, whereby the nuclear genome is required to interact with the mitochondrial genome to maintain proper physiologic function [140]. As discussed, mitochondrial genetics is a bigenomic system consisting of more than 1,000 genes in the autosomal chromosomes (nucleus) and 37 genes encoded by mtDNA. However, these two genomes have different modes of inheritance. For nDNA, the genetic information is inherited equally from both parents and, due to recombination during meiosis, a novel combination of genetic information can be introduced into the offspring. On the other hand, mtDNA is passed on by maternal inheritance exclusively, with no (or little [141]) recombination.

Haplogroup-defining variants in the mtDNA are ancient; they arose during the out-of-Africa human migration, adjusting mitochondrial performance to allow humans to adapt to new environmental conditions. These haplogroup-defining mtDNA variants may be neutral in a native population where the nuclear genome coevolved with the mitochondrial genome, but they might be harmful in the context of another ancestral genetic background, potentially altering the cellular physiology and leading to diseases. This scenario is named the mitochondrial-nuclear DNA mismatch (or misalignment) hypothesis.

Consequences of this hypothesis have been shown in animal models. For example, congenic mice (mtDNA transferred from one mice strain to another by mating) showed altered cognitive functioning (learning, exploration) when compared to wild-type strains [142]. Similarly, an increased susceptibility to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and anxiety-like behavior was reported for murine conplastic strains [143]. Another study in conplastic mouse models reported that the interplay between mtDNA and nDNA alters mitochondrial function, triggering cellular adaptive response, with a resulting impact on an organism’s metabolism and ageing [144]. The importance of nuclear-mitochondrial mismatch gained traction with the recent legislation in the UK to permit mitochondrial replacement therapy for women with known mitochondrial disorders [145]. Recent studies did not find any deleterious effects from mitochondrial-nuclear DNA mismatch, despite the theoretical risk [37]. However, this is still a developing field requiring considerable research.

**Discussion**

Mitochondrial genetics comes with unique challenges and considerations in comparison to nuclear GWAS analysis. We have addressed some of the specifics of analyzing mtDNA sequences such as SNPs, heteroplasmy, and haplogroups. We also explored three pathways related to mitochondrial function – OXPHOS, mitonuclear crosstalk, and mitochondrial dynamics – all of which show evidence of involvement in the pathophysiology of psychiatric diseases. Future areas of consideration such as NUMTs, miRNAs, and nuclear-mitochondrial mismatch were presented. Considering the numerous interactions of mitochondria in the cellular physiology and their vital role in energy generation and metabolism, mitochondrial genetics is a promising avenue to explore. However, there are challenges and limitations to mitochondrial genetic analysis.

In standard genetic studies, mtDNA SNPs are excluded as noise due to variable mtDNA copy numbers and heteroplasmy. As such, they are removed from data samples prior to GWAS analysis. Furthermore, mtDNA requires its own quality control which is distinct from that of the nuclear genome, and there is no standard analysis protocol for analyzing mtDNA from genotyping arrays. Lastly, because of these limitations, there is a dearth of comprehensive data sets that include mtDNA, further hindering the study of this important field. Indeed, a consortium of
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In this paper, we reviewed mitochondrial genetics in the context of psychiatric disease. However, these principles can be applied to other diseases. Mitochondria have evolved to adapt to caloric availability, ambient oxygen levels, and environmental conditions. They regulate diverse activities such as tissue maintenance, work expenditure, and fighting infection [55]. Considerable research has investigated the role of the mitochondrial physiology in endocrine, metabolic and cardiovascular, inflammatory, and neurodegenerative diseases [6] with an increasing emphasis on mitochondrial genetics [151]. The tools and research strategies outlined here will contribute towards enhancing the field of mitochondrial genetics across the scope of this organelle’s involvement in human disease.
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