Efficient non-doped phosphorescent orange, blue and white organic light-emitting devices
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Efficient phosphorescent orange, blue and white organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) with non-doped emissive layers were successfully fabricated. Conventional blue phosphorescent emitters bis [4,6-di-fluorophenyl]-pyridinato-N,C29 picolinate (Firpic) and Bis(2,4-difluorophenylpyridinato) (Fir6) were adopted to fabricate non-doped blue OLEDs, which exhibited maximum current efficiency of 7.6 and 4.6 cd/A for Firpic and Fir6 based devices, respectively. Non-doped orange OLED was fabricated utilizing the newly reported phosphorescent material iridium (III) (pbi)2Ir(biq), of which manifested maximum current and power efficiency of 8.2 cd/A and 7.8 lm/W. The non-doped white OLEDs were achieved by simply combining Firpic or Fir6 with a 2-nm (pbi)2Ir(biq). The maximum current and power efficiency of the Firpic and (pbi)2Ir(biq) based white OLED were 14.8 cd/A and 17.9 lm/W.

Recently, products based on organic light-emitting device (OLED) technology have come into our daily life in the past two years. But the price of such kind of products is still very high and unaffordable to most of the consumers. So it’s desperately demanded to fabricate excellent performance devices with simple structures and then reduce fabrication complexity, thus to lower the prices and promote the commercialization of OLED products.

At present, simple structure devices can be mainly divided into three categories: i) single-layer devices ¹–⁵, which only exhibit one emission layer (EML), between two electrodes, that also shoulders the functions of transporting charge carriers; ii) homojunction devices ⁶–⁹, which are fabricated with only one sort of bipolar matrix material through electrical and photonic doping; iii) non-doped devices ¹⁰–¹⁷, which are free of any kinds of doping through all the function layers. The power efficiency of one single-layer white OLED based on a bipolar host 2,7-bis (diphenylphosphoryl)-9-[4-(N,N-diphen-ylamino) phenyl]-9-phenylfluorene (POAPF) could reach 20.9 lm/W @ 1000 cd/m², which also could reach 14.5 lm/W at a very high brightness of 5000 cd/m². Cai et al. demonstrated efficient red, green and blue phosphorescent p-i-n homojunction devices adopting three similar bipolar host materials (2,6-bis(3-(carbazol-9-yl)phenyl) pyridine, 3,5-bis(3-(carbazol-9-yl)phenyl) pyridine and 4,6-bis(3-(carbazol-9-yl)phenyl) pyrimidine). As to non-doped monochromatic devices, Liu et. al. enhanced efficiency and reduced efficiency-roll-off by adopting quantum well structures ¹⁰,¹¹. Liu and coworkers developed a series of excellent green phosphorescent materials which exhibit superior electron/hole transporting property for non-doped OLEDs ¹²–¹⁴, which still hold the efficiency records of 70 lm/W to phosphorescent OLEDs based on a neat EML. Excellent red non-doped OLEDs have also been reported ¹⁵,¹⁶. In 2013, Xia et. al. developed a blue-emitting iridium dendrimer with carbazole dendrons for solution-processed non-doped phosphorescent OLEDs, which gave a state-of-art external quantum efficiency (EQE) as high as 15.3% (31.3 cd/A, 28.9 lm/W) along with CIE coordinates of (0.16, 0.29). But high-performance non-doped orange OLEDs free of ultrathin layers are rather scarce, of which could be utilized to combine with sky-blue dyes to enable white-light emission. In addition, traditional blue phosphorescent dyes iridium (III) bis[4,6-di-fluorophenyl]-pyridinato-N,C²9 picolinate (Firpic) and Bis(2,4-difluorophenylpyridinato) (Fir6) were merely adopted in host-guest system and there is no reports about the non-doped devices with Firpic or Fir6. For the non-doped white devices, there are only some results achieved through emission from electromers ¹⁸, exciplexes ¹⁹ or ultrathin (~0.1 nm) layers of phosphorescent dyes with state-of-art maximum current and power efficiency of 41.3 cd/A and 44 lm/W ²⁰,²¹, or all fluorescent materials ²²,²³. Above all, it’s meaningful and demanded to develop excellent non-doped orange and white OLEDs which are free of ultrathin layers of neat phosphorescent dyes.
In this paper, efficient phosphorescent orange, blue and white OLEDs were successfully fabricated adopting a wide-bandwidth orange phosphorescent material iridium (III) (pbi)2Ir(biq)\textsuperscript{24}, and widely-used blue dyes Firpic and Fir6. The monochrome and white OLEDs share the similar device structure and exhibit high efficiency, all of which were rather scarce as non-doped ones free of ultrathin layers by thermal vacuum evaporation technique as to the best of our knowledge.

Firstly, a non-doped orange OLED (marked as N-O device) with device structure as following was fabricated: ITO/MoO\textsubscript{3} (3 nm)/1,1-Bis[(di-4-toly-lamino)phenyl]cyclohexane (TAPC) (35 nm)/4,4',4\textsubscript{0}-tris(N-carbazolyl)triphenylamine (TCTA) (5 nm)/(pbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(biq) (20 nm)/4,7-Diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (Bphen) (40 nm)/LiF (0.5 nm)/Mg\textsubscript{5}Ag (125 nm), which was generally depicted in Fig. 1, which also denoted the general device structure of other OLEDs mentioned in this paper after.

The characteristics of N-O device were showed in Fig. 2. Maximum current and power efficiency (\(\eta_\text{c}, \eta_\text{p}\)) of 8.2 cd/A and 7.8 lm/W were obtained as indicated in Fig. 2 (b) and summarized in Table 1. Such excellent performance could be attributed to two possible factors: i) excellent charge transport properties of (pbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(biq), which could be concluded for the relative higher current density under same bias than that of device 1 (ref. 24); ii) aggregation induced quenching is not serious, given the quantum yield (\(\eta_\text{q}\)) of neat (pbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(biq) film by thermally evaporated is not too low. But the efficiency of N-O device was still a little lower than that of device 1 (ref. 24), which could mainly due to the aggregation induced quenching and red-shifts in EL spectrum of N-O device. Fig. 2 (c) depicted the EL spectra of N-O device and device 1(ref. 24), of which manifested obvious red-shifts of 14 nm from device 1 (ref. 24) to N-O device. This phenomenon was arisen from the aggregate species, of which is similar as indicated in the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of our former works\textsuperscript{24}. In addition, no extra emission was found in the EL spectrum of N-O device, so most of the excitons could be well confined in the EML and then recombined in (pbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(biq) to enable orange emission.

The excellent performances combining with properties of wide-bandwidth of N-O device evoked us to use (pbi)\textsubscript{2}Ir(biq) in non-doped white OLEDs by combine with another sky-blue dyes, since we have already achieved efficient two-waveband white OLED with high CRI of 80 via adopting Fir6 as complementary dye for white emission\textsuperscript{24}. In advance of fabricating white-emission OLEDs, non-doped blue ones marked as N-B1 and N-B2 devices were fabricated utilizing conventional blue phosphorescent dyes Firpic and Fir6 which were merely adopted in host-guest systems by researchers.

---

**Figure 1** | Device structures of the orange, blue and white OLEDs.

**Figure 2** | (a) The current density-voltage-brightness, (b) current efficiency-brightness-power efficiency and (c) EL spectra characteristics of devices N-O and 1(ref. 24).
since reported. For comparison, traditional blue OLEDs by doping 10 wt% F1pic or F1r6 into 2,7-bis(diphenylphosphoryl)-9-[4-[(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl]-9-phenylfluorene (POAPF) were also fabricated with same other function layers as N-O device, of which were marked as device B1 and B2. The device structures were also depicted in Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 depicted the device EL properties of these four blue OLEDs. Seen from Fig. 3 (a), lower turn-on (bias at a brightness of 1 cd/m², $V_{\text{turn-on}}$) and driving voltages were found in device B1 and B2 than those of corresponding N-B1 and N-B2 devices, which could be attributed to the more excellent bipolar transport characteristics of POAPF than that of F1pic. However, the current density-voltage characteristics of these four devices were almost identical. It is possibly because of F1pic could somewhat transport charges and the doping of F1pic in POAPF also helped to enhance electron injection or transport. In addition, maximum current efficiencies of 7.6 and

Table 1 | Summary of orange and blue OLEDs performance

| Device | $V_{\text{turn-on}}$ (V) | $L_{\text{max}}$ (cd/m²) | $\eta_{\text{JAc,b}}$ (cd/A) | $\eta_{\text{PAc,b}}$ (lm/W) | CIE ($x$, $y$) @ 6 V |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1 [24] | 3.3             | 26040           | 16.3, 15.6           | 13.5, 7.8            | (0.5497, 0.4472) |
| N-O    | 2.5             | 15450           | 8.2, 7.8             | 7.8, 5.0             | (0.5848, 0.4094) |
| B1     | 2.5             | 6573            | 19.4, 14.2           | 22.9, 12.5           | (0.1743, 0.3506) |
| N-B1   | 2.7             | 7349            | 7.6, 5.9             | 8.5, 3.8             | (0.1984, 0.4108) |
| B2     | 2.5             | 1951            | 11.3, 3.9            | 13.7, 2.5            | (0.1831, 0.2904) |
| N-B2   | 2.9             | 4273            | 4.6, 3.7             | 4.5, 2.2             | (0.2119, 0.3404) |

*Maximum values of the devices. Values of the devices at 1000 cd/m².

Figure 3 | (a) The current density-voltage-brightness, and (b) current efficiency-brightness-power efficiency of blue OLEDs.
4.6 cd/A were achieved by N-B1 and N-B2 devices, although not comparable to and approximately half of those of corresponding traditional devices B1 and B2. It was possibly due to following two factors: i) Limited charge transport properties of Firpic and Fir6, of which pave the way for the transport of charges and thus form quantities of excitons to enable light emission\textsuperscript{12–14}; ii) the non-planar molecular structure of the two blue emitters because of the two adjacent fluorophenylpyridinato substituents (see structures depicted in insets of Fig. 4), of which led their molecules to have amorphous nature in solid state and have a weak interaction between molecules and thus with weak or no concentration-quenching effect as non-doping material\textsuperscript{27–30}. iii) the photoluminescence quantum efficiency (PLQE) of neat Firpic and Fir6 films were 0.15 and 0.11 respectively.

The EL spectra of the four devices were depicted in Fig. 4, with corresponding photoluminescence (PL) spectra inset on the right corner and molecular structure inset below the EL spectra. Weak emission at \( \sim 425 \) nm in the spectra of device B2 was possibly arisen from the host emission because of deficient energy transfer from host to guest. Both N-B1 and N-B2 devices denoted stronger shoulder emission compared to corresponding doped devices. It maybe attributed to the different strength of the vibrational emission band. The main band is from 0–0 transition, the first shoulder from 0–1 transition and the second shoulder from 0–2 transition of T1, and so on\textsuperscript{31}. The vibrational emission band would become stronger along with the shorting of the wavelength of the main band\textsuperscript{32}. This is in accordance with the case of Firpic and Fir6 as indicated in Fig. 4. The doping concentration of the emitters will influence the strength of the vibrational emission band and so does the shoulder emission. Here, we thought the strength of the vibrational emission band was stronger in neat films compared to that in 10wt% doped POAPF. In 2003, Holmes et. al. have already reported similar phenomenon\textsuperscript{33}.

Figure 4 | The EL spectra of blue OLEDs. The insets were corresponding PL spectra.
other hand, weak microcavity effect would also have an influence on the changes in the strength of the shoulders. The non-doping of the EML would change the exciton recombination zone because of the different transport properties between emitters and POAPF. The PL spectra were in accord with the corresponding EL spectra.

Based on above results, two series of white OLEDs (WOLEDs) were successfully fabricated by simply stacking the orange and blue neat films in the EML. The device structures of one series were as following: ITO/MoO3 (3 nm)/TAPC (35 nm)/TCTA (5 nm)/Firpic [(20 − x) nm]/(pbi)2Ir(biq) (2 nm)/Firpic (x nm)/Bphen (40 nm)/LiF (0.5 nm)/Mg5Ag (15 nm by weight, 120 nm), x = 0, 3, 5 and 10 respectively corresponding to devices W11 to W14. Devices W21 to W24 were achieved by simply replacing Firpic with Fir6. The device structures were also depicted in Fig. 1. The device EL properties of these two series WOLEDs were shown in Fig. 5 and summarized in Table 2. Fig. 5 (a) showed their current density-voltage-brightness (J-V-L) characteristics of the WOLEDs. As can be seen, the current density deceased as the (pbi)2Ir(biq) film shifted from the cathode side to the anode side, which could be attributed to the trap of charges by (pbi)2Ir(biq). On the contrary, the device brightness increased. We attrubited it to the electron-dominant transporting characteristics of Firpic and Fir6, which led to excitons mainly concentrate in the region close to the anode. As the movement of (pbi)2Ir(biq) and approaching to the main exciton recombination zone, the orange emission became stronger and thus result in higher device efficiency as indicated in Fig. 5 (b). The increase of device efficiency was

| Table 2 | Summary of WOLEDs performance |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Device  | V_{on} (V) | L_{max} (cd/m²) | \( \eta_{c}^{\text{a,b}} \) (cd/A) | \( \eta_{p}^{\text{a,b}} \) (lm/W) | CIE (x, y), CRI @ 6 V |
|---------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| W11     | 2.4        | 10920           | 14, 10.5        | 16.9, 7.2       | (0.3320, 0.4234) |
| W12     | 2.4        | 11800           | 14.3, 10.8      | 17.2, 7.4       | (0.3730, 0.4174) |
| W13     | 2.4        | 12280           | 14.4, 11        | 17.4, 7.6       | (0.3921, 0.4139) |
| W14     | 2.4        | 13820           | 14.8, 12.2      | 17.9, 8.4       | (0.4750, 0.4192), 76 |
| W21     | 2.8        | 6839            | 9.5, 7.9        | 9.7, 5.1        | (0.2719, 0.3510) |
| W22     | 2.8        | 7591            | 9.6, 7.8        | 9.8, 5.2        | (0.2912, 0.3567) |
| W23     | 2.8        | 7690            | 9.7, 7.9        | 10, 5.4         | (0.3133, 0.3631) |
| W24     | 2.8        | 8933            | 10.6, 8.7       | 10.9, 6         | (0.4020, 0.3862), 80 |

\(^{\text{a}}\) Maximum values of the devices. \(^{\text{b}}\) Values of the devices at 1000 cd/m².
due to the relative higher external quantum efficiency (EQE) of (pbi)2Ir(biq) based non-doped monochrome device (i.e. N-O) than those of Firpic and Fir6 based ones (i.e. N-B1 and N-B2) under same bias as manifested in Fig. 6. Maximum current efficiency and power efficiency of 14.8 cd/A and 17.9 lm/W were achieved in device W14, of which were rare to non-doped two-color WOLED. The detailed EL properties of the WOLEDs were summarized in Table 2.

Conclusions

In conclusion, efficient orange, blue and white phosphorescent non-doped OLEDs were demonstrated. Maximum current efficiency of 7.6 and 8.2 cd/A were achieved respectively by monochrome blue and orange non-doped ones. The non-doped WOLED not only exhibited high maximum current and power efficiency of 14.8 cd/A and 17.9 lm/W and simultaneously high Ra of 78 with CIE coordinates close to the Planckian locus under different driving voltage. This work will greatly reduce the complexity of the OLED fabrication process and improve the repeatability of OLEDs.

Methods

Device fabrication. The organic materials used in our experiments are purchased from Luminescence Technology Corporation. In advance of thermal vapor deposition process, the ITO glasses were cleaned using Decon 90 cleaning liquid (5% Decon 90 in DI water) and DI water. And then, they were ultrasonically cleaned in DI water for three times with each for five minutes. After that, the ITO surface was...
treated in plasma cleaner (Harrick plasma cleaner PDC-32G-2) for 10 minutes. In order to thermally evaporate the organic and metal layers on the ITO surface, we set the substrates in a vacuum evaporator, which was evacuated using a rotary mechanical pump and a turbo molecular pump. Under a background pressure of ~5 × 10⁻⁵ Pa, organic layers and cathode materials were sequentially deposited on the substrates without breaking vacuum. A shadow mask was used to define the cathode and to make four 10 mm² devices on each substrate. The organic layers were thermally deposited at a general rate of ~1 Å/s (~0.2 Å/s to that of (pbi),Ir(biq)) in a vacuum monitored in situ with the quartz oscillator. The cathode was completed through thermal deposition of LiF (5 Å) at a deposition rate of nearly 0.1 Å/s and then capping with Mg:Ag metal (150 nm, 15 : 1 by weight). The doped layers were achieved by simultaneously evaporating the guest and host material with different deposition rates monitored in situ with the quartz oscillator.

**Device characterization.** Luminescence-current-voltage characteristics of unpackaged devices were measured simultaneously with a programmable Keithley 2400 Source Meter and a Minolta Luminance Meter LS-110 in air at room temperature. The spectra of the device were measured with Ocean Optics Maya 2000-PRO spectrometer. Emission spectra of FIrpic and FIr6 under different state were recorded on a Cary Eclipse spectrofluorometer (Varian) equipped with a xenon lamp and quartz carrier at room temperature.
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