Effects of Natural Diet on Growth on White-Leg Shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* Under Experimental Mesocosms Emulating an Intensive Culture System
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**Abstract**

A study of nitrogen (N) balance and stable isotopes was conducted to assess the impact of natural diet on white leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) under experimental mesocosms emulating an intensive culture system. We tested isonitrogenous diets by using commercial formulated feed (FF), natural food (NF) produced in the column water (FF+NF) and sediments (FF+NF+S) on earth ponds, and combinations 50:50 ratio based on N content. A followed study was conducted in three representative ponds at farm level. Specimens were tested for gain of weight, survival rate, specific growth rate (SGR) and parameters k and m, in four trials, from post-larvae of twenty days (PL-20’s) to larger juveniles. Specimens raised on FF+NF+S and FF+NF treatments showed weight, SGR’s and survival significantly higher than raised on pure diets (FF and NF) and in ponds at the same time (p<0.05). Exceptions were observed for the larger shrimp (trial 4) with no significant differences. Feed conversion ratios (FCR) in organisms reared on combined diets were lower than FF diet. Based on isotope mixing model the contribution of natural productivity varied among combined diets and trials. N contributions to growth of PL-20’s specimens were 18-74% for FF+NF and 25-62% for FF+NF+S treatments, decreasing with the shrimp size to <10% in largest juveniles. Comparable results were observed in ponds. Extrapolating our results to a farm level, farmers may be able to reduce FCRs and improve profitability by enhancing the natural productivity during the first weeks of an intensive shrimp farm culture. Stable isotopes of N were useful to assess changes in the isotopic signals of shrimp fed with different diets and thus, to determine the N source for shrimp growth.
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**Introduction**

Numerous studies have reported that pond primary productivity can contribute to shrimp nutrition [1-7]. Benefits of natural diet includes sustainability of nutritional requirements during post larval and juvenile stages shortly after the stocking of the ponds, reduction of mortality in post-larvae and increases of growth rates in shrimp farm ponds. In fact, benefits of the natural productivity have been reported for different production systems of shrimp farm, including extensive [1], semi-intensive [7-9], intensive and hyper-intensive systems [10-12]. Contribution of the natural production varied among different culture systems (higher for extensive and lower for hyper-intensive) and shrimp species. Considering these reports an adequate management of the pond enhancing the natural production would elevate the availability of high quality food for shrimp, reducing operation costs and minimizing environmental impact [13-15], which is paramount for the success and sustainability of any commercial shrimp farming operation [16]. Although studies have reported the multiple benefits of natural food in shrimp farm ponds [1,17-20], it is difficult to quantify at the farm level exactly to what extent it does so. In addition, it is difficult to make comparison among a large number of research reports published because different strategies and methodologies were followed. Most of the studies have been conducted in clear water tank systems or in laboratory under controlled conditions, thus the contributions of natural foods has not been evaluated, making it difficult to extrapolate to culture systems. Stable isotopes analysis (SIA) has emerged as an important tool for addressing questions of changes in the isotopic composition of tissues after a change in diet. This application takes advantage of natural variations in stable isotopes ratios, which result from the chemical or biological processes that cause isotopic discrimination or fractionation. The use of natural nitrogen stable isotope analysis (e.g., δ15N, which is the ratio of 15N to 14N relative to a reference standard) has been valuable to detect diet turnover rates, to examine the better assimilation of diets and to evaluate the relative contributions of isotopically distinct diets [1,21-24]. We conducted a multiple controlled experiments to evaluate the contribution of natural food production to shrimp growth in an intensive culture system. Our study was carried out in the shrimp farm facilities, the nearest to get the field conditions, but the advantage of the possibility to replicate. Our mesocosms diet-shift study was designed considering pond management practice and feeding scenarios in a typical intensive shrimp farm from the Gulf of California coastal zone. This culture type is based on 100% commercial formulated food, but with the potential to enhance the natural productivity in earth ponds. We run a series of growth trials with post-larvae and different size-classes of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (juveniles from <1 to 6-7 g) along with observations in shrimp farm ponds. Based on the analysis of factors regulating the growth
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rates and performance of animals (e.g., survival, weight, feed conversion ratio, and growth rates), and by using SIA we determined the relative importance of natural diet and its contribution to the shrimp biomass.

**Material and Methods**

The mesocosm experiments were conducted in a commercial shrimp farm located on the Northwest coast of Mexico (Aquastrat S. A. de C. V. in Teacapán, Sinaloa; 22°40´08"N, 105°45'22") (Aquastrat S. A. de C. V. in Teacapán, Sinaloa; 22°40´08"N, 105°45'22")). Aquastrat is a shrimp farm with intensive cultivation system with two annual cycles (Summer-Autumn and Spring-Summer). The management practices in the farm (stocking densities, feeding strategies, water exchange rates, water quality parameters, etc.) were monitored during one cycle previous to run trials (Summer-Autumn 2008). First of all, the ponds are filled with seawater from Teacapán bay and immediately fertilized with inorganic fertilizer (urea containing 46% N and single super phosphate containing 16% P₂O₅) to improve the natural productivity of the pond. Besides, the evaporation (0-10% per day). After week 3 the EWR increased from pumping to the ponds was only to keep the levels lost by infiltration and phosphate containing 16% P₂O₅) to improve the natural productivity of with inorganic fertilizer (urea containing 46% N and single super phosphate containing 16% P₂O₅) to improve the natural productivity of the pond. In order to “mature” the pond, commercial feed was added (FR at 100% of the initial biomass) one week before stocking. The stocking density in the farm is 60 PL's per m². Farmers typically follow a feeding table to calculate the feeding rates (FR) based on survival rate, expected feed conversion ratio (FCR), and predicted biomass over a given period. Each week FR is adjusted based on food consumption in feeding trials and biometric analysis. Large variations in FR occurred along culture cycle, mostly following a feeding pattern. During the first 4-5 weeks the post larvae are fed with formulate feed (crumble and starter with 40% of protein) in ratios from 100% (week 1), 30-40% (week 2-3) to 10% (week 4-5) of the biomass. During the following 45-50 days (week 7 to 14-15) the feed are dried pellets with 35% protein. The FR is 3-10% (geometric media of 6%) of the body weight. The last 5-6 weeks of the cycle, shrimp are fed with FF with 30% proteins in rates of 5-7% of the body weight. Feed is supplied mostly by volly from a movement vehicle in three ratios per day: 25% in the morning and afternoon and 50% in the evening. Exchange water rates (EWR) in the ponds increased from 0% to 30% d⁻¹. At the beginning, the seawater pumping to the ponds was only to keep the levels lost by infiltration and evaporation (0-10% per day). After week 3 the EWR increased from 10-15% d⁻¹ to a maximum of 30% of week 6-8 onward. Besides, the availability of natural feed and the growth of shrimp at farm level were evaluated in three representative ponds of Aquastrat during two cycles (Summer-Autumn 2008 and Spring-Summer 2009). Different component of natural foods were identified in our study, including phytoplankton, zooplankton, zoobenthos, bioflocs, and detritus. Microscopic analysis of zooplankton, collected with a mesh from 300 to 500 microns, revealed the presence of a large variety of potential food items for shrimp including copepods, amphipods, diatoms and larvae of bivalves, polychaetes and other crustaceans, and some detritus. Detritus collected by syphoning surface sediments revealed the presence of non-eaten particles of artificial feed, organic matter remains, microphytobenthos and meiofauna constituted by nematodes, polychaetes and Harpacticoid copepods. It was observed that two types of bioflocs were developed few days after starting the experiments; first a green algal-based floc attached to the tank wall (algal-floc) and then particulate matter aggregates (microbial-floc) associated to detritus. Experimental mesocosms and a followed study in shrimp farm ponds were conducted during the Spring-Summer 2009 cycle. Four trials represented different life stages of shrimp: postlarvae, smaller, medium and larger juveniles. In this study, we tested isonitrogenous (35-40% protein) diets by using natural food (NF) and commercial formulated feed (FF) under four treatments: 1) artificial feed (FF), 2) natural feed (NF), 3) combination 50:50 ratio based on the N content enhancing the natural food potentially produced in the water column (plankton+bioflocs, FF+NF), and 4) similar to 3 but with sediments enhancing the natural food potentially produced in earthen ponds (plankton+bioflocs+benthos, FF+NF+S). The experiments on trials 1-4 were conducted in 9 circular tanks (0.5 m² bottom surface area, 400 L water volume) set up adjacent to the shrimp farm. White shrimp post-larvae and juveniles were directly obtained from three selected ponds from this shrimp farm and acclimated for one week before each trial. During this time the organisms were fed with commercial feed, the same as the ones in ponds. After acclimation, specimens were separated and randomly distributed into tanks. Three tanks were randomly selected for each one of the four treatments, including natural feed (NF), formulated feed (FF) and combination with natural feed of both 50:50 ratio based on the N content without (NF+FF) and with (NF+FF+S) sediments. Shrimp farm ponds and treatment tanks were supplied with seawater from Bay Teacapán at ambient temperature and with natural daylight. Sediments were collected directly from earth ponds and added to the tanks without treatment to warranty that living benthic organisms were also introduced. Tanks were covered with a shade net to prevent excessive heating. Air stones connected to a regenerative blower were placed to ensure proper aeration and mixing of the water. For the first trial, a total of 100 postlarvae with a total length of 12.0-18.0 mm and an average weight of 51.7 ± 7 mg were stocked in each experimental tank. The FF used was crumble (≤0.5 mm) with 40% protein (wet weight, Table 1). FR was 30-40% of biomass and WER of 0% d⁻¹, only with reposition of evaporated water (<3% d⁻¹). In the second trial, 30 L. vannamei small juveniles (initial weight, 0.251 ± 0.105 g) were stocked into each tank to test the four treatments for 4

| Diet component | ¹⁵N | ¹³C mg N g⁻¹ | ¹⁵N mg C g⁻¹ | C:N | Trial |
|----------------|-----|---------------|--------------|-----|-------|
| Phytoplankton  | 6.88 ± 0.09 | -21.59 ± 0.03 | 39.8 ± 0.74 | 214 ± 1.1 | 6.27 ± 0.2 | 1 |
| Zooplankton    | 11.2 ± 0.11 | -20.62 ± 0.73 | 61.6 ± 0.4  | 245 ± 2.7 | 4.65 ± 0.2 | 1-3 |
| Algal-flocs    | 6.70 ± 0.04 | -22.59 ± 0.10 | 42.3 ± 0.53 | 207.1 ± 0.54 | 5.7 ± 0.06 | 1-4 |
| Micro-flocs    | 4.81 ± 0.50 | -23.95 ± 0.24 | 64.7 ± 5.98 | 459 ± 10 | 8.32 ± 0.5 | 2 |
| «Detritus      | 4.83 ± 0.57 | -22.64 ± 0.66 | 75.4 ± 0.74 | 271 ± 1.9 | 7.65 ± 1.9 | 1-4 |

1Natural diet components (% dry weight): Protein content: 35-40%, fats 6-7%, Crude fiber: 8-8.5%, Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) 30-40%, ¹⁵N: Protein content: 13-17%, fats 3-4%, crude fiber: 7.5-9.2%, and NFE (including ash): 60-70%. Formulated feed used in intensive shrimp culture: Protein content: 40-45% (indicated), fats 8-9%, crude fiber: 3.5-4.5%, and NFE: 42-48%. Facts as reported on labels. Table 1: Contents and isotopic composition of C and N, and C:N of each diet component for L. vannamei reared on four different treatments from PL to larger juveniles (trials 1 to 4).
weeks. FR was 10% and the WER of 15-20% d⁻¹. We used commercial feed starter pelletized (micropellets 0.5-0.1 mm) with 40% protein. Crumbles and starter are small-particle-size feeds recommended from stocking to ~1 g and then from >1 g (0-15 days) to 3-4 g average body weight, respectively. According to the manufacturer, they are highly digestible and contain all nutrients required by shrimp on the early developmental stages. For the third and fourth trials, the protocol was a repetition of trial 2, but using 30 and 24 specimens with an initial weight of 2.79 ± 0.26 g and 6.21 ± 0.72 g, respectively. Organisms were fed with pellets (1.5-2.5 mm) with 35% protein (Table 1). Pellets were fed during the stage of juvenile exponential growth (3-8 g, day 30-60 d onward). The FR was 10% and the WER of 15-20% d⁻¹ for trial 3, and 7% and 30%, respectively, for trial 4. Rations were divided in three portions (25% in the morning and afternoon and 50% in the evening) and supplied in such a way that the food was clearly visible by using feeding trays. During the experiment, FR was progressively adjusted on a weekly basis in relation to the biomass observed, similarly to the procedure in the farm ponds. The amount of feed supplied to each tank was recorded. Commercial shrimp feeds (FF) by Vimifos were used in our experiments (Table 1). Proximal composition (% dry weight) of the formulated feed varied from 42-45% (crumble and starter micropellets) and 38-40% (1.5-2.5 mm pellets) protein, 8-9% of lipids, 3.5-4.5% crude fiber, and 42-48% as nitrogen-free extract (NFE). Component of natural foods were collected directly from ponds and treatment tanks. Proximal composition (% dry weight) varies among different types of natural feed with contents from 35-40% protein, 6-7% lipids, 8.6-9% crude fiber, and 30-46% NFE. Analysis of eritrus revealed low protein content (13-17%) and fats (3-4%), but high content of crude fiber (7.5-9.2%) and NFE content (60-70%, including ash) (Table 1). Sludge accumulated in the tank as a result of deposition of waste products from feeding and other detritus was removed daily via a central drain and wall tanks in tank as a result of deposition of waste products from feeding and other detritus was removed daily via a central drain and wall tanks in the early development stages. For the third and fourth trials, the protocol was a repetition of trial 2, but using 30 and 24 specimens with an initial weight of 2.79 ± 0.26 g and 6.21 ± 0.72 g, respectively. Organisms were fed with pellets (1.5-2.5 mm) with 35% protein (Table 1). Pellets were fed during the stage of juvenile exponential growth (3-8 g, day 30-60 d onward). The FR was 10% and the WER of 15-20% d⁻¹ for trial 3, and 7% and 30%, respectively, for trial 4. Rations were divided in three portions (25% in the morning and afternoon and 50% in the evening) and supplied in such a way that the food was clearly visible by using feeding trays. During the experiment, FR was progressively adjusted on a weekly basis in relation to the biomass observed, similarly to the procedure in the farm ponds. The amount of feed supplied to each tank was recorded. Commercial shrimp feeds (FF) by Vimifos were used in our experiments (Table 1). Proximal composition (% dry weight) of the formulated feed varied from 42-45% (crumble and starter micropellets) and 38-40% (1.5-2.5 mm pellets) protein, 8-9% of lipids, 3.5-4.5% crude fiber, and 42-48% as nitrogen-free extract (NFE). Component of natural foods were collected directly from ponds and treatment tanks. Proximal composition (% dry weight) varies among different types of natural feed with contents from 35-40% protein, 6-7% lipids, 8.6-9% crude fiber, and 30-46% NFE. Analysis of eritrus revealed low protein content (13-17%) and fats (3-4%), but high content of crude fiber (7.5-9.2%) and NFE content (60-70%, including ash) (Table 1). Sludge accumulated in the tank as a result of deposition of waste products from feeding and other detritus was removed daily via a central drain and wall tanks in treatment FF were manually cleaned using a mop to prevent the accumulation of organic rich bio films. In order to minimize the risk of bacterial and viral infection, all the material was carefully disinfected by using chloride solution, which was rinsed with abundant water. Molting and survival were observed daily, and molts and dead specimens were removed from each tank.

Data and sample collection

At the beginning of the experiments, 10 individuals were randomly collected for analysis of the biometric characteristics, health condition and for analysis of C and N stable isotopes. At the end of the experiment shrimp were harvested, counted and specimens from the same treatment were analyzed for biometric characteristics. The weight (W) was measured in a semi-analytical scale (±0.1 mg) and total length (TL) was measured stereomicroscopically using a micrometer to 0.01 mm and using a Vernier scale for juveniles. Shrimp specimens were dissected to separate the muscle. In total, tissues from 9 individuals from each treatment were selected for isotopes analysis (3 per replicated tank). At the end the experiment only the largest specimens were collected for SIA because we assumed they were the best acclimatized during the experiment. Due the natural variability in the isotopic signatures in natural diet components could vary among batches, we prepared a homogenized mixture of samples collected for several days. For the formulated diet treatments we used three different commercial aquaculture feeds by Vimifos, which are applied in the shrimp farm during different stages of culture (Table 1), including (PL20% and smaller juvenile fed with crumble and starter, 40% protein respectively and larger juvenile fed with shrimp food, 35% protein). No other food was available to the shrimp. All samples and diet components were frozen and freeze-dried for 72 hours. The dried samples were ground, homogenized, and stored in sealed polypropylene containers until analysis. Dried, ground and homogenized samples were placed in a glass chamber vaporized with concentrated HCl (4 h) in order to remove carbonates, dried at 60°C (4 h) and stored at 4°C. Lipids were not removed from our samples prior to analysis. Aliquots of 1. 0 ± 0.1 mg were weighed into 5±3.5 mm tin capsules. Encapsulated samples were analyzed at the Department of Plant Science of The University of California at Davis, CA, in a PDZ Europa Scientific Roboprep elemental analyzer coupled to a PDZ Europa Hydra 20/20 stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). Samples were analyzed for carbon and nitrogen contents and isotopic composition. Analytical precision (standard deviation, n=5) was 0.2% for nitrogen and carbon, as estimated from standards (peach leaves NIST-1547 and bovine liver NIST-1577b, from National Institute of Standard Technology) analyzed every 12 samples. The isotopic composition (δ¹⁵N) in per mil (%) was expressed as the relative difference between isotopic ratios in the sample and in conventional standards (atmospheric N₂ for nitrogen):

$$\delta^{15}N = \left( \frac{R_{sample}}{R_{standard}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$$, where R is ¹⁵N/¹⁴N

Critical parameters, including dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and salinity were daily monitored 3 times per day with a YSI 5775 sonde and recorded throughout the experimental periods. Water samples were collected from each tank once a week and at the end of the experiments for nutrient analysis. Suspended particulate matter (SPM) was collected by filtering 200-1000 ml of the water samples through a pre-combusted (500°C, 4 hr) glass fiber filter (GF/F) with a low-pressure vacuum pump. The particulates on the filters (>0.45 µm) were air-dried at 55-60°C. Total SPM was determined by comparing filter weights before and after filtration of a known amount of water. Duplicate water samples were filtered and frozen immediately at -20°C. Chlorophyll a (Chl a) was extracted from frozen SPM with the addition of acetone, mechanical grinding, centrifuging and then supernatant was analyzed in a spectrophotometer [25]. Analysis of total ammonia (TAN) was analyzed by Phenol hypochlorite method [26], nitrate reduced to nitrite by passing the sample through a copperized cadmium column and then the reduced nitrate and original nitrite were determined by diazotizing with sulfanilamide followed by coupling with N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride [26]. Total dissolved N (TDN) was analyzed by converting all N into nitrate by alkaline persulfate oxidation, and particulated organic N (PON) was analyzed by elemental analyzer coupled to IRMS. Total N was obtained as the sum of TDN and PON. Calculations of the growth indexes and survival rates of the shrimps in the multiple treatments and were done. By using the biometric and counting data. Biomass was estimated based on the average weight and total number of specimens. The mean specific growth rate (SGR=(Ln Wf-Ln Wi)/(t)) [18] was calculated for each experimental group. Wi and Wf were the averaged weights for the shrimps at the beginning and at the completion of trial time (t), respectively. Besides, the ratios of food conversion ratio (FCR=Total feed consumed (kg)/total yield (kg)) were also calculated [18,27]. An exponential model [23,28] was used to estimate the proportions of changes in tissue isotopic composition over time, which are due to metabolic turnover. In the model that integrates elemental N turnover rate in shrimp and its effect on isotopic change:

$$\delta^{15}N = \delta^{15}N_t + \left( \delta^{15}N_t - \delta^{15}N_i \right) e^{-k \cdot t}$$

where $\delta^{15}N_t$ is the shrimp tissue isotope value at time t, $\delta^{15}N_i$ is the initial
where $i$ and $f$ represent the initial and final values and $W_{\text{gained}}$ is the weight gained.

The proportionate contribution of N from each component of the natural diet to the growth of shrimp reared on pure NF diet, was based on a two-source mixing model [22-23,29], as follows:

$$X_{\text{NF diet}} = 1 - \frac{(\delta^{15}N_{\text{NF diet}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{shrimp}} + \Delta^{15}N)}{(\delta^{15}N_{\text{NF diet}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{NF diet}})}$$

where $\delta^{15}N_{\text{NF diet}}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{\text{NF diet}}$ are the N isotope ratios of potential food sources (e.g., plankton and benthos), $\delta^{15}N_{\text{shrimp}}$ is the N isotope ratio of the shrimp at the end of the experiment in NF treatment, and $\Delta^{15}N$ is the trophic enrichment for N experimentally quantified in this study. Nitrogen isotope discrimination factor ($\Delta^{15}N$) was estimated as the difference between $\delta^{15}N$ mean values of shrimp and the $\delta^{15}N$ of their respective pure diets after reaching isotopic equilibrium.

### Data processing

The data distribution was evaluated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality. After testing the normality and homogeneity of variances, the growth parameter and isotopic ratios differences among different sizes and diets were compared by ANOVA Tukey's HSD [30]. For statistical analysis, individual shrimp were averaged for a same treatment (3 tanks per treatment and 3 specimens per tank, a total of 9 specimens per group). The level of significance in all statistical tests was 0.05. We used Statistica 7.0 (Statistica for Windows, Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) and Office Excel 2011 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond,WA,USA) for all the statistical analyses.

### Results

Table 2 summarizes the water-quality parameters observed in treatment tanks and shrimp farm ponds during trials. Overall environmental conditions were satisfactory for shrimp growth, in terms of water temperatures (mean ranging from 22.5 to 28.3°C), salinity (35 to 36), pH (8.2 to 8.4) and DO concentrations (4.8-7.1 mg L$^-1$) and they did not fall below 4.6 mg L$^-1$. These values were compared, in terms of temperature (25.8 to 30.1°C), salinity (34.5-35.5), pH (8.1-8.7) and DO (5.4-8.0 mg L$^-1$), to those found in the ponds. A substantial increase as a function of time was observed for SST (from <30 to 70-250 mg L$^-1$), Chl a (from <15 to 30-150 µg L$^-1$) and chemical forms of N such NH4+(<10 to 25-245 µg L$^-1$) and NO3-+NO2-(<20 to maximum >1300 µg L$^-1$), with final concentrations significantly (p<0.05) higher than the initial values (Table 2). Concentrations of Chl a, SST, TN and their chemical forms were significantly higher in trials 3 and 4 (juveniles) than trials 1 and 2 (PLs). In addition, these quality variables measured were consistently higher in treatment tanks than shrimp ponds (p<0.05). Salinity, pH, and OD were comparable among experiments and trials, except temperature, which increased gradually with time from 22.3 ± 0.6°C (trial 1 in March, 2009) to 28.2 ± 1.0°C (trial 4 in July, 2009). Results of the diet experiments for Litopenaeus vannamei specimens are shown in Tables 3 to 6. No-significant differences were found in growth rates or variance between tanks fed with the same diet (treatment triplicate). Thus, results of shrimp on the same diet were averaged. At the end of trials, a large variability in weight was found among specimens with the same treatment. However, this variability was lower than the observed among treatments. Specimens used for experiments were collected from a same population during trials and similar experimental conditions were kept in each treatment trials, thus any confounding factors
Table 3: Mean final weight, specific growth rate (SGR) and growth parameters (m and k) for postlarvae (initial weight 51.7 ± 4.7 mg and δ15N=11 ± 2.0‰) and estimated N half time (t50) in tissue of shrimp L. vannamei reared for 11-days with formulated feed (FF, Cumbre 40%), natural feed (NF) and 50:50 formulated+natural feeds as plankton (FF+NF, mixing plankton δ15NNF=6.8 ± 0.7‰) and plankton+benthos (FF+NF+S, δ15NNF=4.83 ± 0.57‰) (Trial 1). Relative contribution of natural diet to the growth of specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S treatments. Isotopic trophic enrichment (Δ15N=δ15Nshrimp–δ15NNF) was estimated in 2.2% for NF and 2.03% for FF.

Table 5: Mean final weight (g), specific growth rate (SGR) and growth parameters (m and k), survival, feed conversion ration (FCR) and natural stable isotope value (δ15N) for postlarvae (initial weight 51.7 ± 4.7 mg and δ15N=11 ± 2.0‰) and estimated N half time (t50) in tissue of shrimp L. vannamei reared for 28-days with formulated feed (FF, Pellet 35%), natural feed (NF) and 50:50 formulated+natural feeds as plankton+bioflocs (FF+NF, mixing plankton δ15NNF=7.0 ± 0.5‰) and plankton+bioflocs+benthos (FF+NF+S, δ15NNF=6.7 ± 0.3‰) (Trial 3). Relative contribution of natural diet to the growth of specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S treatments. Isotopic trophic enrichment (Δ15N=δ15Nshrimp–δ15NNF or δ15NFF) was estimated in 2.12% for NF and 2.56% for FF.
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**Table 5: Mean final weight (g), specific growth rate (SGR) and growth parameters (m and k), survival, feed conversion ration (FCR) and natural stable isotope value (δ15N) for postlarvae (initial weight 51.7 ± 4.7 mg and δ15N=11 ± 2.0‰) and estimated N half time (t50) in tissue of shrimp L. vannamei reared for 28-days with formulated feed (FF, Pellet 35%), natural feed (NF) and 50:50 formulated+natural feeds as plankton+bioflocs (FF+NF, mixing plankton δ15NNF=7.0 ± 0.5‰) and plankton+bioflocs+benthos (FF+NF+S, δ15NNF=6.7 ± 0.3‰) (Trial 3). Relative contribution of natural diet to the growth of specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S treatments. Isotopic trophic enrichment (Δ15N=δ15Nshrimp–δ15NNF or δ15NFF) was estimated in 2.12% for NF and 2.56% for FF.**
and ponds, except in NF treatments, where reached a maximum of 11 d. The reduced growth of specimens in this treatment explained the elevated value of t50. FCR for specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S showed a minimum range of 0.6-1.4, while FF treatment reached maximum values from 1.3 to 1.7. Growth parameters measured in specimens reared on ponds were comparable to FF treatment. In trial 4 (Table 6), the larger specimens reared on NF did not showed growth and cannibalism was present, thus results were discarded. For the rest of treatments, the mean final weight (17.19-18.1 g), SGR (2.7-3.1% d⁻¹), m (0.314-0.340 d⁻¹) and k (0.029-0.031 d⁻¹) were not different among treatments and in ponds. Values for t50 ranged from 1.9 to 2 d among treatments and ponds. Similar FCR ranges (from 0.7-1.4) were observed for specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S, lower to those in FF treatment (1.3-1.7) and all lower to specimens in ponds (1.6-2.8).

Results of the isotopic composition in specimens reared on different treatment and trials are shown in tables 3-6. δ¹⁵N values measured in the tested shrimp averaged from 8.19 to 12.0‰, 7.43 to 10.13‰, and 8.01 to 8.36‰ and from 7.61 to 8.23‰ on trials 1 to 4, respectively. Significantly, differences were observed in δ¹⁵N values in specimens at the end of experiments respect to those found at the beginning and with their diets (p<0.05). As expected, δ¹⁵N values in specimens varied according to the signals in the different diets and those specimens reared on unique and constant diet were gradually reaching the isotopic equilibrium. This occurred in weeks 1-2 for post-larvae and 3-4 weeks reared on unique and constant diet were gradually reaching the isotopic equilibrium. In order to resolve this conflict between micro-flocs and detritus, resolving mixing models is limited to two of the most abundant showed very similar signals (e.g., detritus and bioflocs), and although differences due to the overlapping isotopic signals. In this study, we considered that both FF and NF diets were roughly isonitrogenous) showed comparable nutritional quality (e.g., protein content 0.8-3.6%o and 1.1-5.0%o for 46 and 23% crude protein diets, respectively) and the sizes (0.8-5.0‰ in postlarval shrimp and 1.3-7.8‰ in juvenile shrimp) concluding that discrimination factors are influenced by shrimp's age, dietary protein level and type of ingredients used in the diets. In this study, we considered that both FF and NF diets showed comparable nutritional quality (e.g.,

(70%) and algae-floc and phytoplankton (30%) for trial 1 (global average NF=9.8%), by plankton (26%) and benthos (74%) for trial 2 (NF=8.13%), and accounting 15 and 85% for trial 3 (NF=7.54%), respectively. In trial 4, practically 100% of the natural diet was composed by benthos (NF=6.81%). The influence of the natural diet on a body weight of PLs and juveniles was also evaluated by using an isotope-mixing model (Table 3). For PL20s at trial 1, the N contributions to growth of NF in specimens reared on FF+NF and FF+NF+S treatments averaged from 18 to 74% and 25 to 62%, respectively. In trials 2 to 4, N contributions to growth ranged from 8-22% and 27-67% for small juveniles, 5-29% and 16-38% for medium juveniles and <10% and 9-16% for larger juveniles, respectively. In ponds, the NF contributions to growth shrunk varied also with the size of the specimens, from 52-78% for PL20s, 6-32% in small and medium juveniles, to negligible for larger juveniles. The wide variability in the isotopic values of specimens reared on the different diets at the end of the experiment resulted in a wide range of contribution percentages. However, significant differences (P<0.001) were detected in the N contribution in function of size (postlarvae>small and medium juveniles> larger juveniles) and treatment (NF+FF+S>NF+FF). The accumulated biomass gains (estimated as the averaged weight x survival percentage) observed in each treatment compared to the pond specimens of the same size ranges, was accounted in 4-26% for FF, 13-26% for FF+NF and 19-31% for FF+NF+S treatments.

Discussions

Because the variability in shrimp growth is influenced by a range of biotic and abiotic factors [31], in this study factors such as temperature, salinity, oxygen concentration, and pH were similar among treatments and ponds. However, the concentration of TN and their chemical forms varied in trials and along the culture cycle, related to the size of the organisms and feeding rates.

At the end of the experiments, survival rates and growth (e.g., final weight, weight gain and SGR of the shrimp reared on combined diet treatments were comparable (trial 3 and 4) or even better (trials 1 and 2) than those reared on pure diet. The FCR values were lower in combined diet than in FF treatments. The growth's parameters and FCR measured over the same periods in the farm ponds were comparable to those observed in the experimental mesocosms. Particularly, the NF+FF+S treatment. However, the survival rates in ponds (70-85%) were lower than those in experiments (>90%), thus the biomass performance was higher on experimental trials than ponds. Better environmental conditions in the trials could explain a higher performance on trials than ponds.

The rapid growth of PL and small juveniles (fed on pure and combined diets) allows them to achieve isotopic equilibrium (150-5 d) within the experimental periods (11 to 35 d). The isotopic fractionation between equilibrated animal tissue and diet ranged from 1.9 to 2.5‰, without distinction among trials (sizes) and treatments (pure diets). Previous experiments have demonstrated that 5 to 15 d is the time period to reach isotopic equilibriums between L.vannamei and their constant diets and Δ¹⁵N values in the same range [23]. However, these authors found differences between diets related to the protein content (0.8-3.6‰ and 1.1-5.0‰o for 46 and 23% crude protein diets, respectively) and the sizes (0.8-5.0‰ in postlarval shrimp and 1.3-7.8‰ in juvenile shrimp) concluding that discrimination factors are influenced by shrimp's age, dietary protein level and type of ingredients used in the diets. In this study, we considered that both FF and NF diets showed comparable nutritional quality (e.g.,
C.N of 4.65-7.65 and 7.6-8.51, respectively) resulting in comparable Δ¹⁵N values [32,33].

Based on the results from the four growth trials, we accounted the contributions of the natural productivity regulating growth rates and performance of the animals, which varied among trials and culture time, decreasing with size of shrimp. Analysis of postlarval and small juvenile muscle tissue reared on combined diets and ponds indicated a major contribution of natural productivity the PL20’s growth (accounting over 50%), and then for small and medium juveniles (accounting 15-31% and 16% for, respectively). NF contribution for larger specimens (trial 4) was significantly lower (<10 to 13%), while in the ponds it was negligible. Natural productivity did not appear to be a significant contributor to the growth and nutrition of larger juveniles; however, not enough NF throughout the experimental days, both tanks and ponds, explains its low contribution. Even cannibalistic behavior of shrimps was observed in the NF treatment in trial 4, thus results were discarded.

Most studies of the contribution of NF to shrimp growth using natural abundances of stable isotopes have been expressed in terms of C whiting semi-intensively reared pondraised shrimp [1,34,35]. These abundances of stable isotopes have been expressed in terms of C:

Most studies of the contribution of NF to shrimp growth using natural products of 3.5 g) in zero water exchange outdoor. Authors revealed that the NF contributions were up to the 28-31%. Following similar methodological strategies, Bufford et al. [36] estimated a natural contribution (by bioflocs) to the nutrition of L. vannamei from 18% to 29% for 1 to 9 g animals. Ranges of contribution are comparable with our results on trial 3 (initial weight of 2.79 g), where the contributions account a 5-29%(10) and 16-38%(18) in NF+FF and NF+FF+S treatments.

Components of the natural productivity

In a typical earth pond shrimp farm, the natural foods are present in different forms [37-42], which were evidenced in our observations. L. vannamei are capable of selecting specific prey items from the mixture of natural components available in the water column and sediments, as it occurs in natural systems for Penaeid shrimp [43]. In fact, microscopical analysis of shrimp gut content on culture earth ponds have revealed the presence of a large variety of food items in addition to the commercial feed, including detritus, nematodes, copepods, amphipods, polychaetes, bivalves, and diatoms [1,7-9,38-40].

Based on our observations, the availability of the NF components (e.g., plankton, benthic organisms, flocs, and detritus) changed among trials and ponds along the cycle, in response to the management practices (e.g., FR, WER), environmental conditions and nutrient concentrations [31,41,42]. For a species that feeds opportunistically or exhibits rapid ontogenetic changes, such as L. vannamei, the manipulations occurring in the diet during the culture time, may result in a large isotopic variance among individuals, partially or completely depending on natural diet. In fact, changes in the isotopic data were detected, being higher in specimens using natural components than those reared on FF (unique and constant diet).

Isotopic data in shrimp muscle were used to reconstruct the natural diet of L. vannamei in treatments with combined diets in each trial and ponds integrating the changes in the food sources along the culture cycle. Based on the results, L. vannamei postlarvae grew by feeding on zooplankton, which obtained their nutrition from phytoplankton-derived organic matter. In the presence of sediment and detritus associated, postlarvae probably consumed micro-flocs (microbial aggregates) found in detritus. However, the relative importance of benthonic nutrition to postlarva was lower than planktonic nutrition. Postlarvae were enriched by 2.2 and 5.1‰ in Δ¹³C relative to the zooplankton and phytoplankton, respectively. This isotopic fractionation reflects a two level trophic food-chain: phytoplankton→zooplankton→shrimp postlarvae.

The contribution of the zooplankton diet declined with the shrimp size while the dependence for benthic nutrition (detritus-based food-web) increased. For small juveniles, the isotopic signal of the specimens reared on NF diet indicates that zooplankton and benthonic foods accounted 25 and 75%, respectively, while for medium and larger juveniles it was only benthonic. The detritus-based food-web was constituted by micro-flocs and benthic fauna (e.g., meiofauna and polychaetes). Isotopic data revealed that shrimp did not utilize the detritus directly, but they selectively picked preys from the detritus mixture as reported in natural ecosystems [43]. Although detritus may provide specific nutrients that promote higher survival, the low nutritional quality (e.g., high C:N ratio) and low digestibility limits its contribution to the tissue growth. The Δ¹³C values in the NF treatment imply that there are two trophic links between the detritus (4.81‰) and the shrimp (10.13‰).

The dependence of NF gradually decreased in juveniles reared on combined diet treatments and in ponds, while the dependence of FF accounted practically 100% for larger juveniles. The voracity of L. vannamei and the reduced availability of natural productivity explain the drastic reduction in its importance as a contributor. Lack of benthic fauna (few meiofaunal organisms and absence of polychaetes) explains the null contribution of NF diet to larger juveniles reared on NF treatment and in ponds. Even, serious implications in the growth and survival rates of specimens were observed in trial 4 when NF components were scarce.

Despite the reduction of the 50% of the FF in the combined diet treatment regarding the FR applied at the farm level, most trials showed enough nutrients to sustain the phytoplankton and detritus-based food-webs in the water column and sediments, respectively. The degradation of the excess of FF supplied the necessary nutrients to promote the natural productivity resulting in non-differences in the growth respect to the 100% FF diet treatment and in ponds.

Implications

About 16.7% (by dry weight) of the total FF applied to the pond is converted into shrimp biomass [27], but most of the non-ingested and no-assimilated particles of the FF pellets are accumulated in the sediments and disintegrated in few hours and one part of deposited particles are eventually decomposed in few days. In Aquastrat, we estimated that only the 14-16% of the FF was recovered in biomass in both cycles, most of FF is eliminated as particulate organic matter and dissolved metabolites via effluents and a small fraction remains in sediments. Excessive amounts of FF-derived organic matter increase during the culture time and result in loss of water and sediment quality affecting the availability and quality of natural food. Besides the accumulation of feed waste results in hypoxia or anoxia events and in black soil formation, which affects the cultured organism's life but also in terms of commercial qualities (e.g., bad appearance by black gills) and then the market price? The only solution applied in the shrimp farm with intensive culture systems is increasing the water exchange, for example, in Aquastrat the water pond is renewed close to 200 times per cycle (~30 m³ of seawater per kilogram of shrimp).
However, this common practice in farms with intensive culture systems increases the operation cost (excess of FF; pumping and mechanical aeration energy) and the environmental impact to the adjacent coastal ecosystems receiving effluents (organic matter, nutrients and many other deleterious substances).

According to our results, an adequate combination of formulated feed (50% of the actual FR) and promotion of the natural productivity enhance the growth and development of PLs to smaller juveniles. Based on a weight and growth rates evaluation and SIA, the natural diet is a good complement to sustain the growth of post-larvae and juveniles at least during the first 5 weeks of the culture. After week 5, maintaining the proper growth of juveniles L. vannamei is achieved with exclusive use of formulated feed following better management techniques, for example using control systems such feeding trays [15].

Because the results were based on experimental mesocosms under the conditions of an intensive culture and we included a followed study in representative earth ponds, it is possible to extrapolate them to a farm level. This suggests that during the first 2-3 weeks of shrimp farming intensive culture, in the postlarval stage, the significant amounts of artificial feed added to the ponds are converted in natural production. Promoting the availability of feed sources other than commercial feeds can markedly improve the growth and production of cultured shrimp, while reducing FCRs and consequently the use of commercial food. If natural food items are abundant in the culture water following an adequate fertilization and management of the water quality and sediments, farmers may be able to reduce feed inputs and allow shrimp to rely slightly more on pond primary productivity to reduce FCRs and improve profitability.

Aquaculture in Mexico and around the world should be used to maximize the natural resources in ponds. Particularly, an adequate FF management in the shrimp farms results in economic benefits, less affection of production systems and minimizes the pollution in receiving natural ecosystems. Following this strategy, a significant reduction of formulated feed can be viable in intensive culture systems by promoting natural food production in ponds, with a consequent reduction of the operation costs and the environmental impact.
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