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ABSTRACT
The Cenozoic succession of the East Pisco Basin preserves the sedimentary record of several episodes of deformation of the forearc crust along the Peruvian margin. The 1:50,000 scale geological map presented here encompasses an area of about 1,000 km² lying astride the Ica River, and contributes to our understanding of the timing and mode of basin filling and deformation. Our novel two-fold megasequence framework provides a sound basis for establishing a first-order tectono-stratigraphic setting of the mid-Eocene–upper Miocene succession exposed in the study area. We interpret that the mid-Eocene to lower Oligocene succession studied in this work (megasequence P) was deposited in a single forearc basin, which was dissected into the present-day West and East Pisco basins by a fault-bounded basement high during the late Oligocene, and subsequently overlain by the Miocene fill of the East Pisco basin (megasequence N).

1. Introduction

Forearc basins develop as a manifestation of permanent deformation related to subduction processes along both accreting and non-accreting convergent margins (Noda, 2016). The stratigraphic patterns of their filling successions are constantly shaped by the interplay between various styles of structural deformation, spatial and temporal variations in subsidence and uplift, sediment flux, and eustatic sea-level changes (e.g. Andjić et al., 2018; Di Celma & Cantalamessa, 2007; McNeill et al., 2000). Several recent studies have shed light on some of the above topics (e.g. Hernández et al., 2020; Kent et al., 2020; Witt et al., 2019), but major gaps remain unanswered because of the lack of fully integrated stratigraphic and structural researches.

The East Pisco Basin (EPB) is a NW-SE elongated depression belonging to the Peruvian forearc system (Figure 1a) and, because of its excellent exposure, it is a natural laboratory for the study of the stratigraphic architecture and deformation of forearc basins. The basin fill contains middle Eocene to lower Pliocene sediments (e.g. Dunbar et al., 1990), which are widely known for their exceptionally preserved fossil assemblages (e.g. DeVries, 2016, 2019; Esperante et al., 2015; Bianucci et al., 2016a, b, c, 2018a, b; Gariboldi et al., 2015; Gioncada et al., 2016, 2018a, b; Stucchi et al., 2016; Landini et al., 2017a, b, 2019; Marx et al., 2017a, b; Lambert et al., 2018, 2020, 2021; Bosio et al., 2021a, b; Collareta et al., 2021b; Sanfilippo et al., 2021; Kočí et al., 2021).

Despite the well-preserved nature of this forearc basin, there is still a dearth of detailed stratigraphic studies, and stratal correlations across significant distances are problematic and commonly hindered by the lack of adequate age control and limited knowledge of the stratigraphic architecture. Covering about 1,000 km² of the central EPB (Figure 1b), the 1:50,000 scale geological map (Main Map) presented here integrates unpublished and previously published (Di Celma et al., 2016a, b, 2017, 2018a, b, 2019; Collareta et al., 2021a) mapping investigations carried out by the authors. This map portrays the fine-scale subdivision of the basin fill and its stratigraphic architecture within the study area in unprecedented detail, extending significantly the previous geological knowledge based on less accurate mapping (e.g. León et al., 2008). The Main Map is complemented by a set of cross-sections summarizing structural and stratigraphic relationships and a N-S-oriented correlation panel created through correlation of key measured sections. The panel also summarizes unpublished and recently published biostratigraphic and
geochronological data, providing a robust chronostratigraphic allocation of the mid-Eocene to upper Miocene sedimentary units exposed in the study area. As such, this study represents a major step forward for intra-basinal correlation between scattered and geographically disparate sections and highlights the importance of integrated mapping, stratigraphic and structural analysis, and age constraints for understanding the Cenozoic tectono-sedimentary evolution of the EPB.

2. Geological setting

The Peruvian forearc basin system (Figure 1a) is an example of trench-parallel double forearc basins, in which seaward (slope) and landward (shelf) basins are separated from each other by the Outer Shelf High, a NW-trending structural culmination of the Precambrian-Paleozoic basement uplifted during the Neogene (Kulm et al., 1982; Thornburg & Kulm, 1981). Subduction of normal oceanic lithosphere and the buoyant Nazca Ridge beneath the Peruvian forearc, as well as the southward migration of this latter during the past 16-11 Myr (Hagen & Moberly, 1994; Hampel, 2002), have produced several effects on the leading edge of the overriding plate. In particular, rapid convergence is interpreted as driving subduction erosion and subsidence of the forearc area (Clift et al., 2003; von Huene & Lallemand, 1990), while slower rates of convergence were associated with its uplift and erosion. Major plate reorganization events were characterized by significant changes in rate and direction of plate convergence (Herbozo et al., 2020) and paralleled periods of Andean orogeny like the mid-Eocene Incaic II (43-42 Ma) and middle to late Oligocene Aymara (30-27 Ma) compressional phases (Sébrit et al., 1988). Nowadays, about 20% of the EPB lies offshore north of the city of Pisco, with the onshore portion being bounded to the northeast by the Western Cordillera and to the southwest by the Coastal Cordillera (the subaerial prolongation of the Outer Shelf High; Romero et al., 2013). According to Viveen and Schlunegger (2018), the EPB has experienced substantial subsidence for most of its Cenozoic history and its exhumation is interpreted as a manifestation of the Nazca Ridge subduction beneath this part of the Peruvian forearc during the Quaternary (e.g. Hsu, 1992; Macharé & Ortlieb, 1992).
3. Regional stratigraphy

The rocks exposed within the study area can be broadly divided into: (i) basement sequence, comprising metamorphic rocks of the Proterozoic Acrequita Massif (Ramos, 2008), which are intruded by Paleozoic granites of the San Nicolás Batholith (Mukasa & Henry, 1990) and overlain by Jurassic volcaniclastic rocks of the Guaneros Formation; (ii) the middle Eocene-upper Miocene marine sedimentary succession summarized below; and (iii) Quaternary deposits, including gravel deposits interpreted as dissected alluvial fans, sediments filling of the modern Ica River valley, windblown sand, and rare primary gypsum deposits formed in small endorheic basins.

3.1. The middle Eocene-upper Miocene basin fill

Basement rocks are directly overlain by a middle Eocene-upper Miocene sedimentary succession with a maximum thickness of about 1 km (Figure 2). This sedimentary succession includes (from oldest to youngest): the Caballas, Paracas, Otuma, Chilcatay, and Pisco formations (DeVries, 2017; DeVries et al., 2017; DeVries & Jud, 2018; Dunbar et al., 1990). The Ypresian sedimentary rocks of the Caballas Formation (DeVries, 2017) cropping out in the northern and southern EPB, are not exposed in the study area. The boundaries of these lithostratigraphic units coincide with major regional unconformities of varying magnitude (DeVries, 1998). Consequently, these units should be regarded as alloformations, as defined by the NACSN (2005), or as depositional sequences in a genetic sense (Catuneanu et al., 2019), with each of them corresponding to either individual depositional sequences or groups of higher-order sequences (composite sequences) when punctuated by less pronounced unconformities. In this paper, we have adopted the previously defined stratigraphic nomenclature, with names of the main unconformity-bounded sequences and composite sequences matching the traditionally employed formational names. Individual sequences and high-order sequences form the fundamental mapping units in this study; where the high-order unconformities were difficult to pick out with confidence, composite sequences were mapped as undifferentiated units. Individual and composite sequences stack to form two megasequences (megasequence P and N), which are bounded at the base by widespread unconformities reflecting major phases of basin evolution in response to regional tectonic events.

3.1.1. Megasequence P (Paleogene)

This megasequence, which is bounded at the base by the PaE0 unconformity (Figure 3a), encompasses the Paracas and Otuma sequences and rests nonconformally on basement rocks. The PaE0 unconformity is commonly paved with basement-derived clasts in coarse-grained skeletal carbonate sand (Figure 3b). This basal conglomerate is followed by medium- to coarse-grained bioclastic sandstones (~20 m-thick) that grade upward into a monotonous succession of green-weathering siltstones (up to ~100 m-thick), representing deposition in shoreface and relatively deeper inner- to outer-shelf settings, respectively. Sedimentary rocks of the Paracas sequence were deposited during the late Lutetian (onset at ~43 Ma according to Coletti et al., 2019) to early Priabonian flooding of the basin (Lambert et al., 2017, 2019; Malinverno et al., 2021).

The overlying Otuma sequence is bounded at the base by the OE0 unconformity. This unit exceeds 290 m in total thickness and consists of a concretionary, fine- to medium-grained, ~10-m-thick sandstone package (Figure 3c) overlain by finely laminated siltstones. The basal sandstone package comprises two volcanic ash layers pointing to an age of ~37 Ma for the onset of the Otuma deposition (DeVries et al., 2017). Biostratigraphic data indicate that the Otuma strata exposed in the Zamaca area were deposited during the Priabonian (Malinverno et al., 2021). However, DeVries et al. (2017) report the occurrence of the early Oligocene diatom Rouxia obesa in samples from Cerro Tiza, suggesting that in the study area this sequence may include lower Oligocene strata.

3.1.2. Megasequence N (Neogene)

Sedimentary rocks of megasequence N, which comprise the Chilcatay and Pisco composite sequences, overlie megasequence P and, along the eastern margin of the basin, onlap onto the eroded basement. In the study area, the Chilcatay sequence is bounded at the base by the CE0.1 angular unconformity (Figure 4a, b). This sharp, erosional surface is commonly penetrated by a Glossifungites ichnofacies and includes branching systems of passively filled Thalassinoides burrows and, locally, clavate Gastrochaenolites borings (Figure 4c). CE0.1 is interpreted as recording a polygenic erosion surface due to amalgamation of subaerial erosion during sea-level lowstand and wave-cut planation during the subsequent transgression and widespread flooding of the basin.

In the study area, the Chilcatay composite sequence is composed of two high-order sequences (namely Ct1 and Ct2; Di Celma et al., 2019) separated by an internal unconformity (CE0.2). The ~50-m-thick Ct1 sequence comprises coarse-grained bioclastic sandstones interbedded with pebble to cobble conglomerates with clasts derived from the basement rocks that pass stratigraphically upward into thinly laminated siltstones with sand- and gravel-rich
intercalations and, ultimately, into a composite sediment wedge (Wright et al., 1989) interpreted a subaqueous marine delta (Hernández-Molina et al., 2000) prograding mainly from the northeast (Figure 4d). The ∼20-m-thick Ct2 sequence is composed of a retrogradational shoreface to offshore succession comprising massive sandstones and thinly bedded siltstones, respectively. Between Zamaca, Cerro Mama y la Hija, and Cerro Tiza, a distinctive sediment package comprised of intensely deformed strata is found intercalated with these undeformed strata and interpreted as the product of a submarine landslide (Figure 5a, b). Stratigraphic reconstructions indicate that its basal surface cuts at least 30 m down into the underlying sediments, incising the uppermost strata of Ct1 (Di Celma et al., 2019).
Belia et al. (2019) analyzed nannofossil assemblages from exposures of the Ct2 sequence at Yesera de Amara and Las Tres Piramides and, based on the absence of Discoaster druggii, concluded that they are not younger than the earliest Miocene zone NN1 (∼23 Ma). However, comprehensive micropaleontological studies from Ct1 sediments exposed at Piedra Negra (Lambert et al., 2018) and Ct2 sediments exposed at Yesera de Amara (Di Celma et al., 2018b) indicate that rare specimens of D. druggii are already present in Ct1. This, along with silicoflagellate and diatom biostratigraphic markers, constrains the deposition of the Chilcatay strata.
exposed in the study area between ~19–18 Ma. This younger age assignment of the Chilcatay strata is in excellent agreement with $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ages obtained from multiple samples from Ct1 (Bosio et al., 2020b) and $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar biotite dating of three distinct volcanic ash layers collected near the base of Ct1 (19.25 ± 0.05 Ma), its middle portion (19.00 ± 0.28 Ma), and near the top of Ct2 (18.02 ± 0.07 Ma) (Bosio et al., 2020c; Di Celma et al., 2018b). However, in a recent study undertaken at Laberinto, a locality just outside our study area, DeVries et al. (2021) documented an older unconformity-bounded unit (designated Chilcatay-0, or Ct0, by the authors) spanning the earliest Miocene between 21 Ma and ~19.8 Ma, which supports the interpretation that the lower portion of the Chilcatay composite sequence is largely diachronous across the

Figure 4. Panoramic views of the prominent CE0.1 angular unconformity at (a) Gramadal (14°42′16″S-75°34′53″W) and (b) Zamaca (14°39′15″S – 75°38′25″W); (c) oblique close-up photo of the CE0.1 Glossifungites–demarcated surface at Gramadal (14°41′56″S-75°35′25″W) showing vertical and oblique Gastrochaenolites borings, interpreted as dwelling structures of bivalves, subtending from the surface and filled with sand penetrating from the overlying transgressive deposits. Together with Gastrochaenolites, Thalassinosoides are typical components of the firmground association analyzed along the CE0.1 unconformity, which indicates that construction of burrows occurred in a firm, compacted, but unlithified substrate (Carmona et al., 2007) and that the substrate-controlled ichnofacies demarcates a transgressively modified sequence-bounding discontinuity (e.g. MacEachern et al., 1992; Pemberton et al., 2004) characterized by lateral changes in the degree of substrate consistency; (d) panoramic view of the prograding wedge in the upper part of Ct1 at Canyon de los Perdidos (14°45′27″S-75°30′48″W). It is comprised of sets of delta-scale subaqueous clinoforms (sensu Patruno & Helland Hansen, 2018) that are tens of meters high and exhibit well-developed, flat to low-gradient topsets transitioning to steeper foresets and, ultimately, tangential bottomsets. Geologist (circled) for scale.
basin. Here, we propose that the three unconformities bounding the Ct0, Ct1 and Ct2 high-order sequences (CE0.0, CE0.1 and CE0.2) coalesce landward into a major one, which is designated CE0 and defines the base of the Chilcatay composite sequence. According to this hypothesis, Ct0 is the first sequence to pinch out by onlap outside the study area, causing CE0.0 and CE0.1 to merge. Within the study area, owing to the apparent absence of Ct0 strata, the temporal gap at the CE0.1 unconformity seemingly embraces the earliest Oligocene–early Miocene time interval (i.e. ∼32-19 Ma). At a more basin-wide scale, however, the age of the CE0 unconformity can be bracketed between the youngest known strata of the Otuma sequence (earliest Oligocene) and the oldest known strata of the Chilcatay composite sequence (earliest Miocene), i.e. ∼32-21 Ma.

The Chilcatay beds are separated from the overlying Pisco composite sequence by an angular unconformity. In the study area, the Pisco composite sequence attains a cumulative thickness of ∼560 m (DeVries, 1998; DeVries & Jud, 2018; Dunbar et al., 1990). Stratigraphic analyses of the Pisco sediments exposed in the study area revealed multiple internal stratal surfaces, namely PE0.0, PE0.1, and PE0.2, which led to the identification and mapping of three unconformity-bounded, high-order sequences (Figure 6a), designated as P0, P1 and P2 in ascending stratigraphic order (Di Celma et al., 2016a, 2017, 2018a), preserved in an overall landward-stepping pattern. These surfaces display limited erosional relief and are interpreted as polygenetic surfaces formed by subaerial erosion during sea-level lowstand and subsequently modified by erosional transgression.
during ensuing relative sea-level rise. They are typically overlain by thin conglomerate lags, comprising well-rounded, pebble-size phosphate nodules, mollusk shells, sparse polished vertebrate bones and teeth, and subangular to rounded crystalline cobbles and boulders, which grade upward into shoreface sandstones and overlying finer-grained, diatom-rich offshore deposits recording continuous transgression. Northeastward, PE0.0, PE0.1, and PE0.2 merge to form a composite, diachronous basal unconformity (PE0) formed during successive sea-level encroachments and planation episodes.

Strontium isotope-ratio ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) dating on shark teeth, mollusks and barnacles indicates that in the study area deposition of P0 occurred between 14.8 and 12.4 Ma (Bosio et al., 2020b). In agreement with these results, DeVries et al. (2021) constrained the age of a P0-equivalent strata exposed at Laberinto between 13.9–13 Ma by using diatom biostratigraphy. Diatom biostratigraphy of P1 and P2 sediments exposed at Cerro Colorado, Cerro los Quesos and Cadenas de los Zanjones, and numerous $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age determinations on interbedded tephra layers give mutually consistent results and concur in indicating their deposition between 9.5 and 8.6 Ma, and 8.4 and $\geq 6.71$ Ma, respectively (Bosio et al., 2020c; Gari-boldi et al., 2017). In addition, the diatom species *Lithodesmium reynoldsii* (9.9–8.9 Ma) and *Denticulopsis praekatayamae* (9.5–8.5 Ma) occur throughout the entire sections of P1 strata exposed at Cerro Mama y la Hija and at Cerro Tiza, further supporting (in contrast to previous interpretations by DeVries et al., 2021) the 9.5 Ma age assignment for the oldest P1 rocks exposed west of the Ica River.

Owing to a persistent landward onlap onto a gently sloping surface on lower Miocene strata and basement rocks, the Pisco composite sequence thins rapidly northeastward. Between Cerro Hueco la Zorra and Cerro Blanco, P2 strata onlap and wrap around a basement high, which is consistent with marine transgression onto the flanks of a basement paleo-island that was flooded and completely submerged during the
P2 transgression (Figure 6b, c). Based on radiometric dating of the intercalated ash layers, the youngest P2 strata exposed at Cerro Blanco are about 7.1 Ma old. Chemical and petrographic fingerprinting of tephra layers (Bosio et al., 2019, 2020a, c) and lateral tracing of lithological marker beds (Brand et al., 2011; Di Celma et al., 2017, 2018b) allow for long-distance correlations of this sediment package, from Cerro Blanco to the north through Cerro Hueco la Zorra, Cerro la Bruja, Cerro los Quesos, Cadenas de los Zanjones to Cerro Mama y la Hija to the south.

4. Structural overview

The southwestern margin of the EPB is defined by several fault-bounded basement highs forming the Outer Shelf High-Coastal Cordillera (Figure 1b). The basin fill is mildly deformed by multiple generations of variably striking faults, indicating a prolonged tectonic history, yet their age constraints, geometry and kinematic evolution remain uncertain. Rustichelli et al. (2016a, b) and Viveen and Schlunegger (2018) were the first to study these structures in detail. Based on an integrated study of satellite images and structural field-based mapping, Viveen and Schlunegger (2018) highlighted that three main sets of large, regional scale faults and lineaments are recognizable in the EPB, striking approximately 160°, 120° and 30°N. Our field survey reveals the occurrence of dominantly NW-striking and subordinate NE-striking faults dissecting the entire Eocene to Miocene succession, while prevalently ENE-striking and subordinately NNW-striking faults only affect the Eocene strata (Figure 7a, b). Rare kinematic indicators, such as slickensides and/or slickenfibres, indicate dominant dip-slip extensional faulting for both the dominant NW- and ENE-striking sets.

The A–A’ cross-section that accompanies the geological map of this study summarizes the structural and stratigraphic relationships in the area between Pampa de la Averia to the south and Cerro Blanco to the north. In the southern sector of the map, basement rocks are exposed at the surface or underpin outliers of the overlying Eocene sedimentary cover. Here, beds display a range of orientations, with prevalent dips of 10–20° towards the northeast, and both basement and overlying sedimentary cover are affected by NNW- and ENE-striking extensional faults showing maximum throw values around 40 m. Most of these normal faults do not affect the Miocene strata indicating that extensional faulting of the Eocene strata predated the development of the CE0.1 unconformity. However, some faults continued to be active, or were reactivated, during the early Miocene, as documented by markedly different offsets recorded by Eocene and Miocene markers that pre- and postdate the CE0 unconformity, respectively. Between Zamaca and Cerro la Bruja, only rocks of megasequence N are exposed, with the Chilcatay strata revealing a range of bedding attitudes, and the Pisco beds dipping nearly uniformly 5–10° towards the northeast. However, ~5 km east of these localities, Eocene strata of the Paracas and Otuma sequences are exposed along both sides of the Ica River. In this portion of the map, the basin fill is dissected by numerous NW- to NNW-striking normal faults showing throws of less than 10 m and maximum lengths of 4 km (Figure 7b). Although the timing of these structures is difficult to constrain, in the mapped area they postdate the deposition of the Tortonian-Messinian P2 strata and predate the Pleistocene gravels of the Cañete Formation, which are seemingly not offset.

At Pampa Concha Roja, at the northwestern reaches of the mapping area, the oldest tectonic structures documented are NW-striking, SW- and NE-dipping normal faults juxtaposing basement rocks at the footwall against northeastward-dipping strata of megasequence P (to the west) and N (to the east). The resulting basement culminations are fringed by oyster-rich Chilcatay strata (DeVries & Jud, 2018) that were deposited while these structural highs formed islands protruding from the Paleogene basin fill sediments (Gran Tablazo Archipelago sensu DeVries & Jud, 2018). Farther east, between the basement culmination and Cerro Ballena (B-B’ cross-section), bedding orientations of Chilcatay and Pisco strata generally record landward tilting by 10°–12°. They are preserved in a general landward-stepping stacking pattern with the progressive onlap on top of the basement towards the northeastern side of the basin recording progressive landward shift of deposition.

In the Pampa Concha Roja area, a second set of major intrabasinal structures is represented by NE-striking, steeply SE-dipping normal faults (Figure 7c, d) displaying narrow, fault-parallel anticlines in the immediate hanging-wall and little evidence of deformation of the footwall strata. A set of high-angle, NNW- to NNE-striking secondary faults having vertical offsets and lengths of less than 10 m and 0.6 km, respectively, clearly dissects and postdates both the older NE-trending faults and related fault-parallel hanging-wall anticlines. Rustichelli et al. (2016b) proposed that the NE-striking faults are oblique-slip structures with dominant dextral strike-slip components and minor normal dip-slip components. However, further detailed structural and kinematic analyses suggest that the narrow anticlines in the immediate hanging-wall of the NE-trending faults are inversion-related folds that developed by the oblique-slip reactivation of pre-existing normal faults (e.g. Phillips et al., 2020). The amount of shortening was small and insufficient to completely reverse the original extensional offset, with faults displaying net extensional displacements.
Figure 7. (a, b) Lower-hemisphere, equal-area projections of faults (great circles) and associated slip vectors (dots; recorded by striae and/or mineral fibres on fault surfaces) of the Eocene-Oligocene (orange) and Miocene (purple) successions measured at Gramadal (a), and Cerro de Los Zanjones, Cerro Mama y la Hija, Ullujaya and Cerro Colorado (b). Stereographic projections were made using Stereonet v. 11 software by Richard W. Allmendinger; (c) three-dimensional oblique aerial view from Google Earth of a spectacularly exposed fault-parallel, NE-plunging anticline in the immediate hanging-wall of a NE-trending, SE-dipping main fault (thick red line; squares give dip direction). The hanging-wall anticline incorporates lower to upper Miocene strata (Chilcatay and P1) before it is truncated upwards by the PE0.2 unconformity. The fold is dissected by secondary faults (thin red lines) that strike parallel and probably connect downwards to the adjacent main fault. A set of NNW- to NNE-striking normal faults (blue lines) offset and postdates the NE-striking fault and related hanging-wall anticline and secondary faults. The black dotted line and the black rectangle indicate a sandstone marker bed and position of photograph shown in (c), respectively; (d) field photograph looking northwards along the NE-trending fault shown in (c) (14°22′11″S – 75°52′42″W). Fault dips 65° SE and displays apparent normal-type displacement. The sandstone marker bed is indicated; (e) southeasterwards view of P1 and P2 strata at Cerro Colorado (approximately 14°20′48″S–75°53′56″W). Bedding dips progressively decrease up-section indicating deposition of the upper Miocene strata during a period of syndepositional uplift and tilting. This fanning-dip geometry can be confidently related to the uplift of the fault-bounded basement high at the south-western margin of the EPB and landward tilting of the Miocene strata.
At Cerro Colorado, P1 and P2 strata show progressive up-section decrease in northeastward bedding dip (Figure 7e; Di Celma et al., 2016b). Their divergence away from the southwestern margin of the basin indicates a stratigraphic response to syndepositional uplift and tilting, thereby placing some constraints on the timing of the deformation.

5. Discussion and conclusions

In the study area, deposition initiated onto the PaE0 unconformity during the middle Eocene time, between 43.6 and 42.4 Ma, and continued under an extensional regime until late Eocene or early Oligocene time, with a break in deposition recorded by the OE0 unconformity separating the Paracas and Otuma sequences. During this time interval, a single forearc Pisco basin extended between an offshore outer forearc high and the Western Cordillera. In the Trujillo Basin (Figure 1a), the middle Eocene erosional unconformity truncating the crystalline basement is dated between 46–40 Ma (Prudhomme et al., 2019) and, therefore, it is largely equivalent to the PaE0 unconformity documented herein. According to Prudhomme et al. (2019), the middle Eocene subsidence of the forearc and landward shift of the Peruvian coastline took place as a result of relaxation and extension in the overriding plate following the Incaic II compressional event and a coeval increase in subduction erosion (Herbozo et al., 2020). An Oligocene relative sea-level fall, probably resulting from a combination of tectonic inversion and multiple events of eustatic lowstand, led the Pisco Basin to become subaerially exposed. Evidence for this phase of deformation is recorded by the conspicuous CE0.1 angular unconformity interposed between megasequences P and N. The oldest normal fault populations documented here consist of NNW- and ENE-trending faults largely predating the earliest Miocene CE0.1 erosional hiatus. This widespread extensional faulting was accompanied by the exhumation and subaerial exposure of major, fault-bounded basement highs forming the Outer Shelf High-Coastal Cordillera, which segmented the earlier, Paleogene Pisco Basin into the present-day inner (shelf) EPB and outer (slope) West Pisco Basin. Northwest and southeast of the Pisco Basin, respectively, the extensional Trujillo-Salaverry and Camaná-Mollendo basins were partially inverted during the Oligocene, resulting in the uplift of the Outer Shelf High and formation of a widespread Oligocene unconformity broadly synchronous with the early Oligocene earliest Miocene CE0 unconformity (Alván et al., 2017; Genge et al., 2020; Prudhomme et al., 2019; Timoteo et al., 2017). These findings indicate that net uplift of the Peruvian forearc domain during the Oligocene was a regional phenomenon and that in the Pisco Basin it occurred despite active extension. Different tectonic processes have been invoked to explain the Oligocene uplift of the extensional Peruvian forearc basins and formation of the Outer Shelf High, including crustal thickening by underplating at an erosive margin (Clift & Hartley, 2007; Draut & Clift, 2013) or inversion by propagation of basement-rooted, west-verging thrust faults (Quispe et al., 2018; Prudhomme et al., 2019; Ochoa et al., 2021). Thus, the EPB developed on the CE0 erosional surface and the bipartite arrangement of the basin fill succession described here is the most visible expression of the Oligocene regional change in basin configuration.

By earliest Miocene time uplift ceased, and subduction erosion and thinning of the overriding plate resulted in renewed subsidence, rise in relative sea level, and marine transgression over the CE0 unconformity. This regional transgression initiated with the deposition of the earliest Miocene Ct0 sediment wedge outside the study area and progressed with deposition of Ct1 and Ct2 inside the study area. Meanwhile, the basement structural highs occurring across the area (including inside the EPB and along its southwestern margin) formed a coastal archipelago (DeVries & Schrader, 1997).

Based on the deformation patterns outlined above, the early Miocene phase of extension and associated subsidence was followed by a late Miocene contractional tectonic event, with shortening being accommodated by: (i) oblique-slip (reverse plus dextral) reactivation of inherited NE-trending extensional faults, and development of associated fault-parallel hanging-wall anticlines; and (ii) renewal tectonic uplift of the southwestern basin margin resulting from the uplift of the seaward structural highs, as suggested by the fanning geometry of the northeast-dipping P1 and P2 strata exposed at Cerro Colorado and the landward shift of deposition, with progressive onlap of Pisco strata on top of the basement towards the northeastern side of the basin. The landward tilting of the upper Miocene strata may be ascribed to resumed uplift of the structural highs along the southwestern flank of the EPB during the Plio-Pleistocene. Similar tectonostratigraphic evolution and architectural style have been documented in roughly coeval strata elsewhere in the Peruvian forearc system through interpretations of seismic lines (Genge et al., 2020).

Software

The geological map was compiled by scanning hand drafts as black and white TIF files, and then digitizing the line art using the Corel Draw X3 graphics package. We used the GIS Data processing application Global Mapper 12 to generate contour lines for the 1:50,000 scale topographic base map. To do so, we relied on the digital elevation model (DEM) based on the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 26 (SRTM), as released
by the United States Geological Survey (SRTM3 USGS version 2.1).
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