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Abstract

Background: HIV-infected (HIVI) men who have sex with men (MSM) may transmit HIV to their sero-discordant sex partner/s. This study assesses the knowledge, attitudes and sex-practices of Israeli HIVI-MSM.

Methods: This cross-sectional study compared HIVI-MSM to self-reported HIV-uninfected (HIVU) MSM by using anonymous questionnaires that were distributed in AIDS-treatment centers and gay-related internet-sites in 2015. Unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) in the last 6 months was the outcome variable.

Results: Of 300 HIVI-MSM and 1299 HIVU-MSM, UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status partner/s was performed by 12.1% and 17.9%, respectively, \( p = 0.02 \). UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status among HIVI-MSM and HIVU-MSM was associated with the type of partnership: 37.7% vs. 52.4% for steady partner/s, 19.0% vs. 39.9% for sex-buddies and 23.5% vs. 24.0% for casual partner/s \( (p<0.001, p=0.01, p=0.6) \), respectively. On these occasions, HIVI-MSM were more likely to be receptive during UAI: 92.3%, 87.5% and 83.3% for steady partner/s, sex buddies and casual partner/s, respectively. In cases HIVI-MSM performed UAI, 31.3% expected their partner/s to share responsibility for condom-use vs. 9.7% of HIVU-MSM.

HIVI-MSM were involved in risky sexual-behaviors, such as substances-use, earlier sexual debut and sex for money. HIVI-MSM were more likely to disclose their HIV-status with their partner before sex and demonstrated better knowledge about HIV-transmission than HIVU-MSM.

Conclusion: HIVI-MSM performed UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status partner/s less frequently than HIVU-MSM. Their condom-use practices were associated with the type of partner, and were lower for casual vs. steady partners or sex-buddies. HIVI-MSM tended to use sero-adaptive strategies to reduce the potential risk of HIV-transmission to their sero-discordant/unknown-status partner/s.
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Independent variables included demographic attributes, knowledge about HIV-transmission, attitudes towards condoms and harm reduction strategies, party drugs and condom-use as well as the reasons for not using condoms, sexual behavior in the last six months with different types of partners and additional risk behaviors. Dependent variables included self-reported HIV sero-status and UAI.

Statistical analysis: Characteristics of HIV-infected MSM were compared with those of HIV-uninfected MSM, and also between MSM who performed UAI in the last six months with those who did not. Univariate analysis was performed using the chi-square test for categorical variables and using the Student t-test for continuous variables in cases in which they were normally distributed or the Mann–Whitney test for variables which were not distributed normally. P values lower than 5% were regarded as statistically significant. Variables which were statistically significant in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis in the logistic regression to identify attributes predicting UAI after excluding collinearity, such as party drugs and alcohol.

Results and discussion
This study included 300 HIV-infected and 1299 uninfected MSM who completed the questionnaires. Of the HIV-infected MSM, 185 (61.9%) were recruited electronically and 114 (38.1%) completed manual questionnaires, while among HIV-uninfected it was 1068 (82.2%) and 231 (17.8%), respectively. HIV-infected MSM were less educated than HIV-uninfected, more likely to self-identify themselves as gay men and more commonly reported previous sexually transmitted diseases (STD) diagnoses (Table 1). They were also more aware of the beneficial effect of ART in preventing HIV transmission. HIV-infected MSM were more likely to perform UAI than HIV-uninfected MSM, yet when they did, it was commonly performed with other HIV-concordant sexual partner/s. HIV-infected MSM were more likely to meet their sexual partners in saunas, clubs or through introduction by friends than HIV-uninfected participants. They used party drugs more commonly and were involved in additional risky sexual behaviors, such as earlier sexual debut and sex for money. In addition, they used more anti-depressant drugs in the last 6 months and reported dissatisfaction with their own health in comparison to HIV-uninfected MSM.

Of all MSM who were in committed relationships, HIV-infected MSM were more likely to have sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status steady partner/s than HIV-uninfected MSM. HIV-infected were less likely to perform UAI with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status steady partner/s than HIV-uninfected MSM. In
| Characteristic                              | HIV-infected N = 300 (%) | HIV-uninfected N = 1299 (%) | P     |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Demographic                                |                          |                             |       |
| Age in years (mean ± Sd)                   | 35.0 ± 9.6               | 33.9 ± 9.6                  | 0.05  |
| Israeli born                               | 282 (93.3)               | 1157 (89.0)                 | 0.06  |
| Less than average income a                 | 181 (65.4)               | 790 (68.5)                  | 0.1   |
| Jew                                        | 215 (71.6)               | 1232 (93.3)                 | 0.2   |
| University education a                     | 164 (55.2)               | 823 (63.4)                  | <0.001|
| Self-identified gay                        | 273 (96.1)               | 1446 (85.6)                 | <0.001|
| Previous STD diagnoses                     |                          |                             |       |
| Syphilis                                   | 72 (24.1)                | 29 (2.2)                    | <0.001|
| Hepatitis C                                | 10 (3.3)                 | 6 (0.5)                     | 0.002 |
| Hepatitis B                                | 10 (3.3)                 | 21 (1.6)                    | 0.06  |
| Any STD a,b                                | 171 (57.2)               | 401 (30.9)                  | <0.001|
| Knowledge about HIV transmission           |                          |                             |       |
| Treated HIV-infected individual has low risk of transmission | 198 (76.4) | 444 (41.8) | <0.001|
| In case the condom breaks, I will recommend PEP to my partner | 175 (67.8) | 737 (69.3) | 0.7   |
| HIV infected man can perform UAI with sero-concordant partner | 125 (48.1) | 332 (31.2) | <0.001|
| Reasons for not using condoms in the last 6 months |                          |                             |       |
| I was too horny                            | 27 (52.9)                | 236 (54.3)                  | 0.9   |
| I had a sero-concordant partner            | 35 (68.6)                | 169 (38.9)                  | <0.001|
| I was under influence of substances        | 10 (21.7)                | 81 (18.6)                   | 0.6   |
| Each partner is responsible for his own health | 15 (31.3) | 42 (9.7)  | <0.001|
| Condom breaks the intimacy                 | 21 (45.7)                | 157 (36.1)                  | 0.2   |
| I am sick of using condoms                 | 19 (41.3)                | 151 (34.7)                  | 0.4   |
| I am losing my erection                    | 12 (26.1)                | 117 (26.9)                  | 1.0   |
| Raising HIV issue before/during sex        |                          |                             |       |
| HIV issue is always been raised before sex | 245 (77.9) | 1027 (75.6) | 0.5   |
| I raise the issue of HIV                   | 80 (40.6)                | 324 (39.6)                  | 1.0   |
| Where do you mostly meet your sex partners? |                          |                             |       |
| Phone application                          | 210 (85.7)               | 942 (86.7)                  | 0.6   |
| Facebook                                   | 25 (0.8)                 | 140 (12.9)                  | 0.4   |
| Park                                       | 21 (9.1)                 | 52 (4.8)                    | 0.02  |
| Sauna                                      | 33 (14.3)                | 74 (6.8)                    | <0.001|
| Club                                       | 46 (19.5)                | 115 (10.6)                  | <0.001|
| Friends                                    | 34 (14.5)                | 91 (8.4)                    | 0.006 |
| Gym                                        | 13 (5.7)                 | 27 (2.5)                    | 0.02  |
| Substances in the last 6 months            |                          |                             |       |
| Use party drugs                            | 197 (75.5)               | 622 (57.5)                  | <0.001|
| Alcohol                                    | 118 (59.0)               | 546 (89.2)                  | <0.001|
| Additional risky sexual behavior           |                          |                             |       |
| Age first sexual debut (years)             | 17.0 ± 4.4               | 18.4 ± 4.9                  | <0.001|
| Ever been paid for sex                     | 49 (18.8)                | 140 (13.5)                  | 0.02  |
| I take more risks when I am abroad         | 32 (16.6)                | 85 (10.6)                   | 0.03  |
| Psychiatics                                |                          |                             |       |
| Medical use of anti-depressant drugs in the last 6 months | 80 (31.3) | 142 (13.7) | <0.001|
| General subjective health status           |                          |                             |       |
| Dissatisfied with my own health c           | 28 (11.1)                | 57 (5.5)                    | 0.003 |
| I am healthier than my friends             | 64 (25.1)                | 384 (37.0)                  | <0.001|

STD Sexually transmitted infections
PEP Post exposure prophylaxis
UAI Unprotected anal intercourse
aAdjusted for age
bSyphilis, Neisseria gonorrhea, Chlamydia trachomatis, genital warts, hepatitis B or C
cDissatisfied vs. satisfied
cases in which UAI was performed with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status steady partner/s, HIV-infected MSM tended to take the receptive role (Table 2).

Of all MSM who had sex buddy/ies, HIV-infected MSM were less likely to have sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status sex buddy/ies than HIV-uninfected MSM. HIV-infected MSM reported less UAI with sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status sex buddy/ies than HIV-uninfected MSM. In cases in which UAI was performed with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status sex buddy/ies, HIV-infected MSM were more likely to take the receptive role.

Of all MSM who had casual partner/s, HIV-infected MSM were less likely to have sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status casual sex partner/s than HIV-uninfected MSM. No statistical difference was found in the rate of UAI with sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status partner/s between HIV-infected and uninfected MSM. In cases in which UAI was performed with sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status casual sex encounter/s, HIV-infected MSM were more likely to take the receptive role.

Generally, HIV-infected MSM were less likely to perform UAI with sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status encounter/s than HIV-uninfected (39 [12.1%] vs. 232 [17.9%], respectively, \( p = 0.02 \)). The rate of UAI among HIV-infected MSM with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status encounter/s was associated with the type of partnership. For example, UAI were more commonly performed with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status steady partner/s (66.3%), followed by sex-buddies (24.7%) and lowest with casual partner/s (23.1%), \( p = 0.02 \).

Of all HIV-infected, 109 (66.5%) reported their last viral load. Of those, 89 (81.7%) were undetectable and 20 (18.3%) had more than 50 copies. There were no differences in UAI between those who achieved viral suppression and those who did not (data not shown).

MSM in this study who performed UAI were more commonly younger and more likely to identify themselves as gay men than those who use condoms consistently and they also had more previous STD than those who used condoms constantly (Table 3). Their knowledge about post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) was inferior, yet they were more likely to raise the issue of HIV before sex, had more steady partners and more commonly used party drugs, alcohol and erectile dysfunction medications. In addition, they had earlier first sexual debut than those who used condoms. In the multivariate analysis, insufficient knowledge about PEP, raising the issue of HIV before/during sex, having a steady partner, reporting of a more common use of party drugs or erectile dysfunction medications and being at an early age for the first sexual debut was associated with UAI. HIV-infection was not found to predict UAI in the multivariate analysis.

Table 2 Unprotected anal intercourse (UAI) by HIV status and type of partner

| Variable                   | HIV infected \( N = 300 \) | HIV uninfected \( N = 1299 \) | \( P \) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Steady partner            |                           |                               |       |
| Number of men with steady partner N (% of all men) | 104 (34.7) | 448 (34.5) | 1.0   |
| Sero-discordant/unknown-status steady partner N (% of all men with steady partner) | 69 (66.3) | 63 (14.1) | <0.001 |
| UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status steady partner N (% of all sero-discordant/unknown-status steady partners) | 26 (37.7) | 33 (52.4) | <0.001 |
| Receptive UAI N (% of all UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status steady partner) | 24 (92.3) | 23 (69.7) | 0.04  |
| Sex buddy                 |                           |                               |       |
| Number of men with sex buddy N (% of all men) | 117 (39.0) | 525 (40.4) | 0.9   |
| Sero-discordant/unknown-status sex buddy N (% of all men with sex buddy) | 29 (24.7) | 253 (48.2) | <0.001 |
| UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status sex buddy N (% of all sero-discordant/unknown-status partners) | 8 (27.6) | 98 (38.7) | 0.01  |
| Receptive UAI N (% of all UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status sex buddy) | 7 (87.5) | 47 (48.0) | 0.01  |
| Casual partner            |                           |                               |       |
| Number of men with casual partner N (% of all men) | 217 (72.3) | 912 (70.2) | 0.7   |
| Sero-discordant/unknown-status casual partner N (% of all men) | 51 (23.5) | 757 (80.3) | <0.001 |
| UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status casual partner N (% of all sero-discordant/unknown-status casual partners) | 12 (23.5) | 182 (24.0) | 0.8   |
| Receptive UAI N (% of all UAI with sero-discordant/unknown-status casual partner) | 10 (83.3) | 65 (35.7) | <0.001 |
In this study, 12.1% of the HIV-infected and 17.9% of the HIV-uninfected MSM reported UAI with a sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status partner during the previous six months, lower than the range of 13–51% UAI during the last year, as reported in a literature review [8]. HIV-infected MSM frequently employed the ‘sero-sorting’ and ‘sero-positioning’ strategies, while using condoms more commonly than
HIV-uninfected MSM during anal intercourse with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status sex partners and selecting the receptive role when preforming UAI. HIV-infected MSM also demonstrated a better knowledge regarding HIV prevention and transmission than MSM who were not infected.

Although HIV-infected MSM in this study were involved in behaviors which were associated with a greater sexual risk, such as the use of party drugs, erectile dysfunction medications and early first sexual debut, they were generally more compliant in using condoms with their HIV sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status partners, as also found in another study [9]. However, they reported that they expected that the responsibility of using a condom would be shared by their partner/s. In cases in which the issue of HIV was not raised before sex, then HIV-infected MSM might have assumed that their partner was either careless or sero-concordant, and chose to perform UAI. Shifting the responsibility to the sex partner was found to be associated with additional risky sexual behaviors [10] and also with ‘sex on premises’ venues or anonymous sex, when there are fewer bonds of social obligations, implying reciprocal care of the sexual encounter/s [11]. The results of this study demonstrate the complexity of disclosure of their HIV status to their partners. On one hand, HIV-infected MSM are probably aware that they are morally and possibly legally obliged [12] to inform their partner of their sero-status, or at least use a condom in anal sex, especially if they are not treated or have not achieved undetectable viral load. On the other hand, they are concerned that the HIV-uninfected partner/s may reject them upon disclosure or that their confidentiality is breached [13]. HIV prevention should therefore include social support for HIV-infected MSM to encourage them to disclose their sero-status to their partner, or use a condom with HIV-discordant/unknown HIV-status partner/s to make personal responsibility more salient, or to adhere to their ART. Concomitantly, HIV-uninfected MSM should also be encouraged to raise the issue of HIV sero-status with their sex partner/s, and be informed that sex with HIV-infected partner/s is safe as long as proper biological or mechanical protection is used, rather than avoiding sex partners whose HIV sero-status is positive [14, 15].

A minority of HIV-infected MSM performed UAI with a sero-discordant partner although their viral load was detectable. Yet, in most cases HIV-infected MSM performed UAI with sero-concordant sexual partner/s. In these cases they may be exposed to co-infection with other STD, which may complicate and accelerate HIV disease [16]. It has recently been demonstrated that the rise of syphilis in Israel was fueled by UAI between HIV sero-concordant sex partners [17].

In cases in which HIV-infected MSM in this study performed UAI with HIV sero-discordant/unknown partner/s, they commonly used strategic positioning, while choosing the receptive role in anal sex. These calculated risk-taking strategies may reduce, yet not eliminate, HIV transmission. However, these efforts are not always fully appreciated by those who provide medical and social services for HIV-infected MSM. Providers should rather understand the difficulty of HIV-disclosure, the pursuit of HIV-infected MSM to reach intimacy with the sex partner, and their fear of being stigmatized or rejected, and also be aware of the psychological context that frames condom-use [18]. Providers should maintain an open environment in their clinics when treating HIV-infected MSM, in which patients can appraise their desires and their actions, and evaluate their sexual behaviors and reasons why they engaged in risk-behaviors [19].

Most of the participants in this study were not aware of the HIV sero-status of their casual partners. In the majority of those cases, mutual HIV status was not raised before or during sex, not allowing the encounters to negotiate safer sex practices or perform strategic positioning. Interestingly, HIV-infected MSM had performed more UAI with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status steady partners, and less with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status sex buddies or casual partners. This is an additional finding demonstrating the difficulty in maintaining a constant condom use for longer periods with a regular sex partner.

HIV-infected MSM were more likely to use psychiatric drugs and reported that their general health status was inferior to that reported by HIV-uninfected MSM. It is not known whether they used psychiatric medications because they were infected, or that they felt more comfortable using these medications than HIV-uninfected participants did, or that maybe they had used the drugs before they were infected [20]. AIDS treatment centers should employ multidisciplinary approach to HIV-infected MSM, which includes mental care and effective response to additional medical needs of HIV-infected MSM.

The results of this study should be weighed against recent publications describing the success of ART in HIV-infected MSM and its role in preventing HIV transmissions. Although UAI has traditionally been used as a key component in defining risky sexual behavior, there is a growing, largely coherent, body of evidence that HIV-infected MSM who adhere to ART and are virally suppressed may render HIV transmission negligible [21, 22]. This approach can be reinforced by using additional risk-reduction strategies, such as sero-adaptive sex behaviors, strategic positioning, or withdrawal [23]. Notwithstanding, there is also an emerging consensus as to the effectiveness of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), a course of ART that can be used by HIV-uninfected men.
to prevent seroconversion [24]. PrEP has become more popular among MSM, including those in Israel, and it is the authors’ impression that HIV-uninfected MSM are making efforts to get the treatment (purchasing through the internet, during a visit to developing countries, or falsely claim that they were exposed to HIV and ask for PEP, while actually using it as PrEP). The success of these biomedical innovations may justify a fresh perception of UAI by researchers and providers, whether UAI accurately defines risk behavior.

This is the first study in Israel describing knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of HIV-infected MSM, yet it is subject to several methodological limitations. First, the cross-sectional design of the study limits the establishment of causality. A few examples: are HIV-infected MSM involved in risky sexual behavior and therefore become infected or that they were they involved in risky sexual behavior after becoming infected? Did HIV-infected MSM change their role in anal sex to the receptive position because of their HIV-infection in order to decrease the risk of HIV-transmission or that they practiced receptive anal sex prior to their HIV-infection? Second, we used a convenience sample of HIV-infected, which is subject to selection bias and it limits generalizability. Yet, this method is accepted in studies in hard-to-reach populations focusing in sensitive issues, such as sexual behavior, especially among HIV-infected MSM, as they are stigmatized. In order to encourage recruitment and increase heterogeneity, MSM (both HIV-infected and uninfected) were approached both in medical and non-medical settings, and the questionnaires were also available electronically in a popular gay-related internet site. Third, all data in this study were self-reported and as such potentially subject to social desirability or recall bias. In order to minimize this bias, the information was collected anonymously and pertained only to events which occurred during the last year.

Conclusions
In summary, HIV-infected MSM performed UAI less frequently with their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status encounters than HIV-uninfected MSM. HIV-infected MSM tended to use sero-adaptive strategies to reduce the potential risk of HIV-transmission to their sero-discordant/unknown HIV-status partner/s. In those cases in which HIV-infected MSM performed UAI, they reported that a shared responsibility by both partners was expected. In order to increase mutual sero-disclosure, HIV-infected MSM should be encouraged to discuss their HIV status. AIDS treatment center should include mental support for HIV-infected MSM and instruct the patients how to practice safe sex and encourage the discloser of their HIV status to their partners.
5. Stall R, Duan L, Winsiewski SR, et al. Running in place: implications of HIV incidence estimates among urban men who have sex with men in the United States and other industrialized countries. AIDS Behav. 2009;13(4):615–29.

6. Daskalopoulou M, Rodger A, Phillips AN, Sherr L, Speakman A, Collins S, et al. Recreational drug use, polydrug use, and sexual behaviour in HIV-diagnosed men who have sex with men in the UK: results from the cross-sectional ASTRA study. Lancet HIV. 2014;1(1):e22–31.

7. Mor Z, Dan MHV. Epidemic among men who have sex with men - behavior surpass science. EMBO Rep. 2012;13:948–53.

8. Van Kesteren NMC, Hospers HJ, Kok G. Sexual risk behavior among HIV-positive men who have sex with men: a literature review. Patient Educ Couns. 2007;65:5–20.

9. Crepaz N, Marks G, Liu A, et al. Prevalence of unprotected anal intercourse among HIV-diagnosed MSM in the United States: a meta-analysis. AIDS. 2009;23(13):1617–29.

10. Wolitski RJ, Flores SA, O’Leary A, et al. Beliefs about personal and partner responsibility among HIV-seropositive men who have sex with men: measurement and association with transmission risk behavior. AIDS Behav. 2007;11(5):676–86.

11. Adam BD. Constructing the neoliberal sexual actor. Cult Health Sex. 2000;7:333–46.

12. Mor Z, Chemtob D, Hildesheimer G, Leventhal A. AIDS and the privilege for privacy. Harefuah. 2007;146(3):200–5. [in Hebrew with an English abstract]

13. Cook SH. HIV. Status disclosure, depressive symptoms, and sexual risk behavior among HIV-positive young men who have sex with men. J Behav Med. 2015;13(3):507–17.

14. Murphy DA, de With JBF, Donohoe S, et al. The need to know: HIV status disclosure expectations and practices among non-HIV-positive gay and bisexual men in Australia. AIDS Care. 2015;27(5):90–8.

15. O’Leary A, Horvath KJ, Simon Rosser BR. Associations between partner-venue specific personal responsibility beliefs and transmission risk behavior by HIV-positive men who have sex with men (MSM). AIDS Behav. 2013;17(5):1855–61.

16. Eaton LA, West TV, Kenny DA, et al. HIV transmission risk among HIV seroconcordant and serodiscordant couples: dyadic processes of partner selection. AIDS Behav. 2009;13(2):165–95.

17. Brosh-Nissimov T, Mor Z, Avramovich E, et al. Syphilis outbreak among men who have sex with men in Tel Aviv, Israel, 2008–2010. JMAU. 2012;14(3):152–6.

18. Starks TJ, Payton G, Golub SA, Weinberger CL, Parsons JT. Contextualizing condom use: intimacy interference, stigma, and unprotected sex. J Health Psychol. 2014;19(6):711–20.

19. Bourne A, Doddi C, Keogh P, Weatherburn P. Non-condom related strategies to reduce the risk of HIV transmission: Perspectives and experiences of gay men with diagnosed HIV. J Health Psycho. 2015;pii: 1359105315581066.

20. Choi SK, Boyle E, Cairney J, et al. Adequacy of Mental Health Services for HIV-Positive Patients with Depression: Ontario HIV Treatment Network Cohort Study. PLoS One. 2016;9(11):e0166652.

21. Vernazza P, Hirschel B, Bernasconi E, et al. Les personnes se réposant ne souffrant d’aucune autre MST et suivant un traitement antiretroviral efficace ne transmettent pas le VIH par voie sexuelle [HIV-positive individuals not suffering from any other STD and adhering to an effective antiretroviral treatment do not transmit HIV sexually]. Bulletin des médecins suisses. 2008;89(5):165–9.

22. Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, et al. Sexual activity without condoms and risk of HIV transmission in Sero-different couples when the HIV-positive partner is using suppressive antiretroviral therapy. JAMA. 2016;316(2):171–81.

23. Jin F, Prestage GP, Mao L, et al. “Any condomless anal intercourse” is no longer an accurate measure of HIV sexual risk behavior in gay and other men who have sex with men. Front Immunol. 2015;6:86.

24. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Pre-exposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(27):2587–99.