Histopathological Analysis of Tumor Microenvironment and Angiogenesis in Pheochromocytoma
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Pheochromocytomas (PHEOs) are relatively rare catecholamine-producing tumors derived from adrenal medulla. Tumor microenvironment (TME) including neoangiogenesis has been explored in many human neoplasms but not necessarily in PHEOs. Therefore, in this study, we examined tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (CD4 and CD8), tumor associated macrophages (CD68 and CD163), sustentacular cells (S100p), and angiogenic markers (CD31 and areas of intratumoral hemorrhage) in 39 cases of PHEOs in the quantitative fashion. We then compared the results with pheochromocytoma of the adrenal gland scaled score (PASS), grading system for pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (GAPP) and the status of intra-tumoral catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes (TH, DDC, and PNMT) as well as their clinicopathological factors. Intratumoral CD8 (p = 0.0256), CD31 (p = 0.0400), and PNMT (p = 0.0498) status was significantly higher in PHEOs with PASS <4 than PASS \( \geq 4 \). In addition, intratumoral CD8+ lymphocytes were also significantly more abundant in well-than moderately differentiated PHEO according to GAPP score (p = 0.0108) and inversely correlated with tumor size (p = 0.0257). Intratumoral CD68+ cells were significantly higher in PHEOs with regular or normal histological patterns than those not (p = 0.0370) and inversely correlated with tumor size (p = 0.0457). The status of CD163 was significantly positively correlated with that of CD8 positive cells (p = 0.0032). The proportion of intratumoral hemorrhage areas was significantly higher in PHEOs with PASS \( \geq 4 \) (p = 0.0172). DDC immunoreactivity in tumor cells was significantly positively correlated with PASS score (p = 0.0356) and TH status was significantly higher in PHEOs harboring normal histological patterns (p = 0.0236) and cellular monotony (p = 0.0219) than those not. Results of our present study did demonstrate that abundant CD8+ and CD68+ cells could represent a histologically low-scored tumor. In particular, PHEOs with increased intratumoral hemorrhage should be considered rather malignant. In addition, abnormal catecholamine-producing status of tumor cells such as deficient PNMT and TH and increased DDC could also represent more aggressive PHEOs.
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INTRODUCTION

Pheochromocytomas (PHEOs) and paragangliomas (PGLs) are relatively rare tumors originating from the adrenal medulla at proximately 2 to 9.1 per 1 million adults frequently associated with cardiovascular complications due to excessive catecholamine production (1–3). The difference between PHEOs and PGLs depends on the primary sites, i.e., intra- or extra-adrenal glands (4), and the former one termed as PHEOs (5). It is generally extremely difficult to differentiate benign from malignant PHEOs based on clinical or even histopathological findings, and all PHEOs are currently considered potentially malignant tumor in the WHO 2017 classification (6). However, toward establishing more accurate histopathological diagnosis of PHEOs, Thompson et al., proposed a novel scoring system for the patients with PHEOs, i.e., PASS (pheochromocytoma of the adrenal gland scaled score) system, composed of twelve different histological features (7). This system has been frequently used for discerning malignancy in PHEOs, and PASS of ≥4 was proposed to be malignant or biologically more aggressive than those with PASS < 4 (7). However, it is also true that there have been controversies regarding the application of this system into the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant PHEOs including its reproducibility (7). Kimura et al. subsequently proposed another system to access malignant potential of PHEOs, i.e., GAPP (grading system for pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma) (8). This system used both histological and clinical parameters including pathological patterns, cellularity, comedo-type necrosis, capsular/vascular invasion, proliferative index (Ki-67) and catecholamine type. According to the GAPP system, PHEOs were subclassified into well-differentiated (WD), moderately differentiated (MD), or poorly differentiated tumor (PD), and this classification has been then used widely.

The tumor microenvironment (TME) has been reported to play pivotal roles in many human malignancies in tumorigenesis, progression, and metastasis and recently also explored as therapeutic targets (9). Histopathologically, TME is well known to be composed of a number of cellular components including inflammatory cells, blood vessels, fibroblasts, and others (10, 11). Of those components above, both T lymphocytes and macrophages have been generally considered to play pivotal roles in biological features of the patients (12, 13). In benign adrenocortical tumors, we previously demonstrated that cortisol-producing adenomas harbored higher immune cell infiltration and angiogenesisc in their histological diagnosis. Clinicopathological features of these patients examined were summarized in Table 1. Clinical information is not available due to the relatively new cases. Two patients experienced recurrence and one of them died. The present research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Tohoku University School of Medicine (2018-1-669).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pheochromocytoma Cases

We studied 39 adrenal PHEO patients operated at Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan from 2012 to 2019. Following the evaluation of 24 h urinary levels of metanephrine and normetanephrine, the diagnosis of PHEO was confirmed by their increased levels of at least three times than the normal range (2). We also applied PASS and GAPP in their histological diagnosis. Clinicopathological features of these patients examined were summarized in Table 1. Clinical information is not available due to the relatively new cases. Two patients experienced recurrence and one of them died. The present research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Tohoku University School of Medicine (2018-1-669).

Immunohistochemistry and Its Evaluation

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and immunohistochemistry (IHC) were both performed in the specimens fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. The protocols for individual IHC markers used in our present study were summarized in Table 2. All the H&E and IHC sections were digitally scanned by Image Scope AT2 (Leica, Wetzler, Germany). Nuclear immunoreactivity of CD4, CD8, CD68, CD163, and S100p were all evaluated by the percentage of positive cells in tumoral parenchyma by manual analysis (19–22). Ki-67 labeling index was evaluated after identifying hot spot of the whole tumor (23). Microvascular density (MVD) was evaluated by counting the number of CD31-positive vessels within 0.75 mm² and highest expressed tumor area (24). Immunoreactivity of catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes including tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), dopa-decarboxylase (DDC), and phenolamine-N-methyltransferase (PNMT) was all digitally and quantitatively evaluated by using “HALOTM CytoNuclear ver.1.5” (Indica Laboratories, Corrales, NM, USA) image analysis (25, 26). The positive cells were tentatively classified into the

TABLE 1 | Clinicopathological factors in PHEO patients.

| Clinical factors | Average (range) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)     | 56 (39–79)      |
| Gender: Female/Gender | 25/14 |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 22.7 (15.48–31.49) |
| Tumor size (mm) | 45.3 (20–100)   |
| Tumor location: left/right | 24/15 |
| Duration of hypertension (years) | 9.3 (0–25) |
| Plasma AD (ng/ml) | 0.16 (0.01–0.742) |
| Plasma NAD (ng/ml) | 1.8 (0.1–1.2) |
| 24-h urine MN (mg/day) | 1.7 (0.01–11) |
| 24-h urine NAD (mg/day) | 4.1 (0.01–26) |
| 24-h urine AD (μg/day) | 115.7 (19–510) |
| 24-h urine NAD (μg/day) | 775.9 (42.6–4200) |

Gender and tumor location were described as the number of persons.

AD, adrenaline; NAD, noradrenaline; DA, dopamine; MN, metanephrine; NMN, normetanephrine; BMI, body mass index.

Normal range reference: plasma AD: 0–0.17 ng/ml; plasma NAD, 0.15–0.57 ng/ml; 24-h urine adrenaline, 1.1–22.5 mcg/day; 24-h urine noradrenaline, 29.2–118.0 mcg/day; 24-h urine metanephrine, 0.05–0.20 mg/day; 24-h urine normetanephrine, 0.10–0.28 mg/day.
following four categories based on the levels of their relative immunointensity: negative as “0”, weak as “+1”, moderate as “+2”, and strong as “+3”. H-score was subsequently calculated based on the following formula; \( \Sigma \) (Number of the individual gradients of the positive cells \( \times \) Score 1+, 2+, 3+)/Total cells) \( \times 100 \) (27–29). The evaluation of intratumoral hemorrhage area was also performed by using HALO software above according to the classifier system on H&E stained tissue sections (25). Under this system, tumor areas were tentatively classified into two portions: tumor and intratumoral hemorrhage areas (Figure 1). Hemorrhage in the central vein and ubiquitous necrosis were both carefully excluded in this analysis. The final value of intratumoral hemorrhage was the ratio of intratumoral hemorrhage against the whole tumor area.

### Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the correlations among TME relevant markers, angiogenic markers, catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes, histopathological score, and clinicopathological factors by Spearman’s test. The differences of TME relevant markers, angiogenic markers, and catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes in individual PASS and GAPP factors were all analyzed using Mann–Whitney’s test. We defined the significance as \( P \)-value <0.05. All the tests were analyzed using the software “JMP Pro ver. 14.0.0”.

### RESULTS

#### Comparison of PHEOs Between PASS \( \geq 4 \) and PASS <4

Representative images were illustrated in Figure 2. Results were summarized in Figure 3. CD31-positive vessels (\( p = 0.0400 \)), CD8 positive cells (\( p = 0.0256 \)), S100p positive cells (\( p = 0.0353 \)), and PNMT immunoreactivity (\( p = 0.0498 \)) were all significantly higher in PHEOs with PASS <4 than those with PASS \( \geq 4 \). The

---

**TABLE 2 | IHC protocols.**

| Antibody | Host | Clone | Dilution | Antigen retrieval | Source |
|----------|------|-------|----------|------------------|--------|
| CD4      | Rabbit | EPR6855 | 1:400 | AC | Abcam |
| CD8      | Mouse  | M7103  | 1:50 | AC | Dako |
| CD68     | Mouse  | M0876  | 1:100 | Trypsin | Dako |
| CD163    | Mouse  | M3527  | 1:600 | AC | Dako |
| S100p    | Rabbit | –      | 1:9,000 | – | Dako |
| CD31     | Mouse  | M0823  | 1:100 | AC | Dako |
| Ki67     | Mouse  | M7240  | 1:100 | AC | Dako |
| TH       | Mouse  | T1299  | 1:2,000 | MW | Sigma |
| DDC      | Rabbit | Ab136  | 1:500 | – | Millipore |
| PNMT     | Mouse  | Ab119784 | 1:2,000 | – | Abcam |

AC, autoclave 121°C 5 min, pH = 6 buffer; MW, microwave 500 W 20 min, pH = 6 buffer.

---

**FIGURE 1 |** The analysis of intratumoral hemorrhage area. H&E stained section and hematoxylin-stained section are present in (A, B). There were several intratumoral hemorrhage areas with gray color in (B). Because the hemorrhage is composed of red blood cells that did not have a nucleus, it cannot be stained by hematoxylin. We used classifier system in HALO software to recognize the normal tumor area (blue) and the intratumoral hemorrhage area (gray). After analysis, the software can divide the tissue into two colors: red for tumor and green for hemorrhage (C). Finally, we can calculate the ratio of intratumoral hemorrhage area against the whole tumor area.

**FIGURE 2 |** Representative IHC images of PHEOs. (A) CD31, (B) CD4, (C) CD8, (D) CD68, (E) CD163, (F) S100p, (G) TH, (H) DDC, (I) PNMT.
FIGURE 3 | (A) Comparisons of TME-relevant markers, angiogenic marker, and catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes between PASS <4 and PASS ≥4. (B) Comparisons of TME-relevant markers, angiogenic marker, and catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes between WD and MD according to the GAPP system. All of the comparisons are presented Figure 3. P value was on the head of each factor.
ratio of intratumoral hemorrhage was significantly higher in PHEOs with PASS ≥4 than PASS <4 (p = 0.0172).

**Comparison Between Well and Moderately Differentiated PHEOs According to GAPP Analysis**

Analysis based on GAPP scores revealed as follows: 26 well-differentiated (WD), 13 moderately differentiated, and no poorly differentiated PHEOs (Figure 3). Both PNMT immunoreactivity (p = 0.0219) and CD8 positive cells (p = 0.0108) were significantly higher in WD- than MD-PHEOs. Tumor size was significantly smaller in WD- than MD-PHEOs (p = 0.0059). No other significant correlations were detected.

**Comparison of TME, Angiogenesis, and Catecholamine-Synthesizing Enzymes With Results of PASS and GAPP Factors in Individual PHEOs**

The histopathological factors of PASS and GAPP scoring systems were summarized in Table 3. Among individual factors of the PASS systems, the following were the numbers of positive individual cases per each factor: large nests of cells or diffuse growth in 10% of tumor: six; necrosis: three; high cellularity: four; cellular monoton: two; presence of spindle shaped tumor cells: two; increased mitotic figure (> 3 per 10 high power fields): zero; atypical mitosis: one; extension of tumor into adjacent fat: three; vascular invasion: 13; capsular invasion: five cases; profound nuclear pleomorphism: five; nuclear hyperchromasia: three (Supplemental File 1).

Among the six GAPP factors examined in 39 PHEOs in our present study, 13 demonstrated abnormal histological features, 11 low cellularity (<150/HPF), 19 moderate cellularity (150–250/HPF) and nine high cellularity (>250/HPF), one comedo-necrosis, 13 capsule or vascular invasion, 26 low Ki-67 LI (<1%), nine moderate Ki-67 LI (1–3%) and four high Ki-67 LI (>3%), 10 norepinephrine predominant secretion (Supplemental File 2). CD68 positive cells were significantly higher in PHEOs with normal histological patterns than those with abnormal patterns (p = 0.0370). DDC in tumor cells was significantly more abundant in PHEOs with capsule or vascular invasion than those without (p = 0.0172). TH in tumor cells was significantly more abundant in PHEOs with normal histological patterns than PHEOs with abnormal patterns (p = 0.0236).

**Correlations Among TME Relevant Markers, Angiogenic Markers, and Catecholamine-Synthesizing Enzymes**

Results were summarized in Table 4. CD163 positive cells were significantly positively correlated with CD4 (p = 0.0405) and CD8 (p = 0.0032) positive cells in the tumor. The ratio of intratumoral hemorrhage areas was inversely correlated with CD31-positive vessels (p = 0.0785). CD8 positive cells were significantly inversely correlated with GAPP score (p = 0.0018). CD68 positive cells were positively correlated with TH (p = 0.0901) and DDC (p = 0.0168) immunoreactivity in tumor cells. S100p positive cells were significantly inversely correlated with PASS (p = 0.0205) and GAPP (p = 0.0217) scores. Hemorrhage score was significantly positively correlated with PASS score (p = 0.0059). DDC was positively correlated with

**Table 3 | PASS and GAPP systems.**

| PASS factors | Score |
|--------------|-------|
| Large nests or diffuse growth (>10% of tumor volume) | 2 |
| Necrosis | 2 |
| High cellularity | 2 |
| Cellular monoton | 2 |
| Presence of spindle-shamed tumor cells | 2 |
| Atypical mitotic figures | 2 |
| Greater than 3 mitotic figures | 2 |
| Extension of tumor into adjacent fat | 2 |
| Vascular invasion | 1 |
| Capsular invasion | 1 |
| Profound nuclear pleomorphism | 1 |
| Nuclear hyperchromasia | 1 |

**Total** | 20 |

| GAPP factors | Score |
|--------------|-------|
| Histological pattern: | |
| Zellballen | 0 |
| Large and irregular cell nest | 1 |
| Pseudorosette | 1 |
| Cellularity: | |
| Low (<150 cells/U) | 0 |
| Moderate (150–250 cells/U) | 1 |
| High (>250 cells/U) | 2 |
| Comedo necrosis: | |
| Absence | 0 |
| Presence | 2 |
| Vascular or capsular invasion: | |
| Absence | 0 |
| Presence | 1 |
| Ki-67 labeling index: | |
| <1% | 0 |
| 1–3% | 1 |
| >3% | 2 |
| Catecholamine type: | |
| Epinephrine type (E or E + NE) | 0 |
| Norepinephrine type (NE or NE + AD) | 1 |
| Non-functioning type | 0 |

**Total maximum score** | 10 |

U, number of tumor cells under x400 magnification; E, epinephrine; NE, norepinephrine.

PASS score (p = 0.0365) but PNMT was inversely significantly correlated with PASS (p = 0.0632) and GAPP score (p = 0.0003).

**Correlations of TME Relevant Markers, Angiogenic Markers With Clinicopathological Factors in PHEOs**

The correlations among TME relevant markers, angiogenic markers and catecholamine-synthesizing enzymes and clinicopathological factors were summarized in Table 5.
|       | CD31 | CD4 | CD8 | CD68 | CD163 | S100 | Ki-67 | TH  | DDC | PNMT | PASS score | GAPP score |
|-------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|------|------------|------------|
| CD31  |      |     |     |      |       |      |       |     |     |      |            |            |
| Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0119 | Ø = 0.9938 Ø = 0.9436 | Ø = 0.0277 Ø = 0.0944 | Ø = 0.0737 Ø = 0.5230 | Ø = 0.6647 Ø = 0.5013 | Ø = 0.2333 Ø = 0.0119 | Ø = 0.0198 Ø = 0.2330 | Ø = 0.1894 Ø = 0.5013 | Ø = 0.3330 Ø = 0.0119 |
| CD4   | Ø = 0.1069 Ø = 0.0395 | Ø = 0.0759 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.1822 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.3995 | Ø = 0.0277 Ø = 0.0811 | Ø = 0.0312 Ø = 0.7978 | Ø = 0.0612 Ø = 0.2330 | Ø = 0.0119 Ø = 0.0119 |
| CD8   | Ø = 0.0650 Ø = 0.0395 | Ø = 0.0759 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.1822 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.3995 | Ø = 0.0277 Ø = 0.0811 | Ø = 0.0312 Ø = 0.7978 | Ø = 0.0612 Ø = 0.2330 | Ø = 0.0119 Ø = 0.0119 |
| CD68  | Ø = 0.0460 Ø = 0.0405 | Ø = 0.3296 Ø = 0.0032 | Ø = 0.4604 Ø = 0.9036 | Ø = 0.0480 Ø = 0.9036 | Ø = 0.1069 Ø = 0.0395 | Ø = 0.2751 Ø = 0.1069 | Ø = 0.2041 Ø = 0.1069 | Ø = 0.0612 Ø = 0.2330 |
| CD163 | Ø = 0.0460 Ø = 0.0405 | Ø = 0.3296 Ø = 0.0032 | Ø = 0.4604 Ø = 0.9036 | Ø = 0.0480 Ø = 0.9036 | Ø = 0.1069 Ø = 0.0395 | Ø = 0.2751 Ø = 0.1069 | Ø = 0.2041 Ø = 0.1069 | Ø = 0.0612 Ø = 0.2330 |
| S100p | Ø = 0.1404 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.2008 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.2642 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.1054 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.0032 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 |
| Ki-67 | Ø = 0.0841 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.2008 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.2642 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.1054 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.0032 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 |
| Hemorrhage | Ø = 0.0841 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.2008 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.2642 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.1054 Ø = 0.0146 | Ø = 0.0032 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 | Ø = 0.0013 Ø = 0.0204 |
| PASS score | Ø = 0.0758 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 |
| GAPP score | Ø = 0.0758 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 | Ø = 0.0707 Ø = 0.0338 |

*p < 0.05.
TABLE 5 | Correlations among TME-relevant markers, plasma, and urine catecholamines and clinicopathological factors.

|                  | Plasma AD | Plasma NAD | Urine AD | Urine NAD | Tumor size |
|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| CD31             | ρ = 0.0415| ρ = 0.1527 | ρ = 0.0875| ρ = 0.1179| ρ = −0.0226|
| CD4              | ρ = 0.8075| ρ = 0.3668 | ρ = 0.6119| ρ = 0.4935| ρ = 0.8957 |
| CD6              | ρ = −0.0877| ρ = −0.0748| ρ = 0.0937| ρ = 0.0254| ρ = −0.0235|
| CD8              | ρ = 0.8863| ρ = 0.6556 | ρ = 0.5814| ρ = 0.8815| ρ = 0.8901 |
| CD68             | ρ = 0.3175| ρ = −0.0718| ρ = 0.2197| ρ = −0.1190| ρ = −0.3665|
| CD163            | ρ = 0.0521| ρ = 0.6883 | ρ = 0.1914| ρ = 0.4829| ρ = 0.0257*|
| CD8              | ρ = 0.2738| ρ = 0.1993 | ρ = 0.1406| ρ = −0.1107| ρ = −0.3305|
| CD68             | ρ = 0.0962| ρ = 0.2303 | ρ = 0.3768| ρ = 0.5142| ρ = 0.0457*|
| CD163            | ρ = 0.0359| ρ = 0.0638 | ρ = −0.0211| ρ = 0.0318| ρ = 0.0638 |
| S100p            | ρ = 0.8304| ρ = 0.7035 | ρ = 0.9013| ρ = 0.8519| ρ = 0.7077 |
| Ki-67            | ρ = 0.9331| ρ = 0.7820 | ρ = 0.7254| ρ = 0.7523| ρ = 0.0035*|
| Hemorrhage       | ρ = 0.0141| ρ = 0.0464 | ρ = 0.0598| ρ = 0.0537| ρ = −0.4676|
| TH               | ρ = −0.0956| ρ = 0.1085 | ρ = −0.2388| ρ = −0.1183| ρ = −0.3171|
| DDC              | ρ = 0.5679| ρ = 0.5169 | ρ = 0.1547| ρ = 0.4856| ρ = 0.0558 |
| PNMT             | ρ = 0.8028| ρ = 0.1057 | ρ = 0.0644| ρ = −0.2752| ρ = 0.0586 |
| PASS score       | ρ = 0.4100| ρ = 0.4408 | ρ = 0.3063| ρ = 0.6453| ρ = 0.5075 |
| GAPP score       | ρ = 0.2564| ρ = 0.2727 | ρ = 0.3052| ρ = −0.3586| ρ = −0.5290|
|                  | ρ = 0.1256| ρ = 0.1025 | ρ = 0.0703| ρ = 0.0318*| ρ = 0.0009*|
|                  | ρ = 0.1256| ρ = 0.1025 | ρ = 0.0703| ρ = 0.0318*| ρ = 0.0009*|
|                  | ρ = 0.8726| ρ = 0.4539 | ρ = 0.9739| ρ = 0.4523| ρ = 0.0710 |
|                  | ρ = 0.3787| ρ = 0.0396 | ρ = −0.3115| ρ = 0.0877| ρ = 0.5316 |
|                  | ρ = 0.0191*| ρ = 0.8156 | ρ = 0.0605| ρ = 0.5653| ρ = 0.0006*|

*p < 0.05.

Plasma adrenaline levels were significantly positively correlated with CD8 (p = 0.0521) and CD68 (p = 0.0962) positive cells and significantly inversely correlated with GAPP score (p = 0.0191). Urinary AD was inversely correlated with GAPP score (p = 0.0605). PNMT expression was significantly inversely correlated with urinary NAD (p = 0.0318). Tumor size was significantly inversely correlate with CD8 (p = 0.0257), CD68 (p = 0.0457), S100 (p = 0.0035), PNMT (p = 0.0009) and positively correlated with PASS (p = 0.0710) and GAPP score (p = 0.0006).

**DISCUSSION**

TME including tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) as well as angiogenesis has all been reported to play pivotal roles in not only clinical but also therapeutic outcomes in various human malignancies. However, TME and other relevant phenomena have not necessarily been well studied in adrenal medullary tumor including PHEOs although decreased S100 was detected in benign PHEOs (17, 18, 30). In our present study, we did compare the status of S100 positive cells with results of PASS analysis and obtained similar findings reported above (7). Both PASS and GAPP scoring systems have been used relatively widely for surgical pathologists to evaluate the malignant potential of the patients with PHEOs. PASS score could be obtained only from routinely available histopathological findings and tumor with PASS of four or more than four score was considered malignant (7). However, relatively marked inter- and intra-observer variations have been reported in application of PASS scoring system (31). Therefore, we also employed GAPP system as well, which included not only morphological features but also catecholamine secretary phenotypes and Ki-67 labeling index (8), in order to further explore the malignant potential of PHEOs in a more precise fashion (32).

In this study, we firstly evaluated the details of TILs. The status of CD8+ TILs has been generally reported to be correlated with better prognosis (33–35). Our results did demonstrate that CD8+ TILs could play pivotal roles in possible biological behavior of PHEOs and its abundance could also represent relatively benign tumors as reported in other human malignancies (33–35). However, it awaits further investigations for clarification.

Dendritic cells activated anti-tumor CD8+ T lymphocytes (CTLs) by presenting tumor antigen and then effector CTLs executed its roles of eliminating tumor cell by FasL pathway and can also release some cytokines to induce cytotoxicity in tumor cells (37). In our present study, we demonstrated an inverse correlation between CTLs and tumor size, which indicated that CTLs could suppress the expansion of tumor. However, CTLs were also suppressed in malignant PHEOs possibly by excessive catecholamine derived from tumor cells, themselves (38). Catecholamine was reported to suppress T lymphocyte such as T-helper 1/2, cytotoxic CD8+ T-lymphocytes and NK cells (39–41). Both epinephrine and norepinephrine were also reported to
endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been reported as one of the most pivotal causes of angiogenesis in PHEOs (55). Increased invasion and metastasis (54). Hypoxia has been reported as an investigation for clarifying the mechanisms of intratumoral angiogenesis. In general, norepinephrine-producing PHEOs were reported to accompany PNMT deficiency which is considered to be less differentiated than epinephrine-producing PHEOs (7, 17). Dopamine-secreting PHEOs were also considered less differentiated or immature and had a high prevalence of malignancy (39, 60). Results of our present study were also consistent with those findings above. The absence of TH in some PHEOs in our present study could not only result in non-functional nature of the tumors but also in increased aggressive biological behavior (61, 62). Therefore, the abnormal elevation of plasma dopamine or the absence of TH immunoreactivity in PHEOs could indicate more aggressive biological behavior of the tumor.

It is true that there are several limitations in our present study. The number of the cases examined was relatively small. In addition, the cases examined were relatively new cases which could make it difficult to obtain the long-term clinical outcome of the patients. Genetic testing was not performed routinely in our hospital. Therefore, those above were considered limitations of this study. Further investigations are also required to clarify the detailed mechanisms of interaction between TME and tumor cells in PHEOs.

In summary, we firstly presented detailed features of TILs and TAMs in PHEOs. CD8 and CD68 could also serve as biomarkers in PHEOs with normal histological patterns and negatively correlated with tumor size. Intratumoral CD163 positive cells were significantly positively correlated with the status of intratumoral CD4 and CD8 infiltrating cells. Macrophages constituted the great majority of cellular components in tumor stroma with noticeable plasticity and could be further subclassified into M1 and M2 phenotypes (37). M1 macrophages were classically defined as activated macrophages and reported to play pro-inflammation roles resulting in overall antitumor effects (37, 43, 44). On the other hand, M2 macrophages were reported to have opposite roles toward M1 macrophages resulting in pro-tumor effects (37, 44, 45). In addition, the differentiation to M1 or M2 macrophages was reported to be dependent on cytokines (44). For instance, differentiation to M1 macrophages could be induced by IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-8, and IL-12 secreted from CTLs. M2 macrophages were reported to be induced by STAT3, IL4, and IL-10 and to subsequently suppress T cell function. In addition, M2 macrophages were the representative subtypes of macrophages in TME of most human malignancies including breast, urinary bladder, and prostate carcinoma (46, 47). Results of our present study did demonstrate that TAMs in PHEOs could be predominantly reprogrammed into CD68+M1 rather than CD163+M2 macrophages, which exerted potential anti-tumoral effects by secreting different cytokines (44, 48). However further investigations are required to clarify the details.

Recently, TILs have been also reported to be associated with overall survival and recurrence-free survival of the patients with adrenocortical carcinomas (ACCs). However, of particular interest, the difference between ACCs and PHEOs was that not only CD8+ but also CD4 T lymphocytes were related to relatively better clinical outcome in the patients with ACCs (15). CD4 T cells are helper T cells, stimulating CTLs activities (37). In addition, ACCs were also relatively frequently detected in childhood and increased TILs were reported in those young ACCs patients than adults (49). The most notable difference between ACCs and PHEOs was considered the effects of different hormones on TILs. The great majority of PHEOs were characterized by catecholamine excess, which could suppress T-cell activity. On the other hand, ACC was characterized by the excessive steroids including relatively abundant precursor steroids and steroid hormones could in general hamper anti-tumor roles of T-cells (50–53). Therefore, tumor microenvironment could be influenced by those hormones secreted by tumor cells, but it awaits further investigations for clarification.

Angiogenesis is indispensable and pivotal for tumor growth, invasion and metastasis (54). Hypoxia has been reported as one of the most pivotal causes of angiogenesis in PHEOs (55). Increased endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been reported in malignant PHEOs and to be associated with hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) (56–59). PHEOs have been well known to be associated with increased angiogenesis due to pseudohypoxic signaling pathway (60). Among the factors involved in this pathway, SDHXs were the most susceptible genes (60). Pseudohypoxic subtype has been also reported to be associated with aggressive biological behavior (60). The pathophysiological features of this particular phenotype could therefore promote the neoplastic angiogenesis. In our present study, intratumoral hemorrhage was abundantly detected in histologically high-graded tumors, which could also result in relatively low CD31 status in histologically low-graded tumors. However, genetic testing was not performed as a routine in our institution and this could also represent the limitation of our present study.
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