INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide, with small cell lung cancer (SCLC) accounting for approximately 15% of lung cancer cases. Most SCLC patients initially respond to chemotherapy and radiotherapy but usually relapse and acquire resistant disease. The prognosis of patients with SCLC remains poor, with these patients frequently requiring multiple types of treatment.

The Notch signaling pathway regulates many fundamental processes essential for normal development, including control of cell differentiation, survival, proliferation and angiogenesis. In mammals, there are 4 Notch receptors (NOTCH1 to NOTCH4) and 2 families of ligands (Jagged [JAG1 and JAG2] and delta-like ligands [DLL1, DLL3 and DLL4]).

Notch signaling in tumorigenesis plays an oncogenic or tumor-suppressive role depending on the cellular context. Previous studies show that DLL3 is highly expressed in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) but not in normal lung tissue, suggesting that DLL3 might be associated with neuroendocrine tumorigenesis. However, its role in SCLC remains unclear. To investigate the role of DLL3 in tumorigenesis in SCLC, we performed loss-of-function and gain-of-function assays using SCLC cell lines. In vitro analysis of cell migration and invasion by transwell assay showed that DLL3 knockdown reduced migration and invasion of SCLC cells, whereas DLL3 overexpression increased these activities. In addition, DLL3 positively regulated SNAI1 expression and knockdown of SNAI1 attenuated the migration and invasion ability of SCLC cells. Moreover, upregulated DLL3 expression induced subcutaneous tumor growth in mouse models. These results indicate that DLL3 promoted tumor growth, migration and invasion in an SCLC model by modulating SNAI1.
expressed in SCLC but not in normal lung tissue. Moreover, DLL3 is a downstream target of achaete-scute homologue 1 (ASCL1), which plays a pivotal role in neuroendocrine cell differentiation and SCLC growth. These findings suggest a potentially pivotal role for DLL3 in SCLC; however, little is known about DLL3 functions in SCLC. Here, we investigated the effect of DLL3 in SCLC tumorigenesis.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell lines

We used 9 SCLC cell lines (SBC-3, SBC-5, MS-1, RERF-LC-MA, H69, H82, H209, H592 and H1688), with SBC-3, SBC-5, MS-1 and RERF-LC-MA obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan), and H69, H82, H209 and H1688 obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). H592 was a gift from Dr Hirotoshi Dosaka (Department of Medical Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan). SBC-3, SBC-5 and RERF-LC-MA were maintained in minimum essential medium, and MS-1, H82, H209, H592 and H1688 were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

2.2 | Antibodies and western blot analysis

We used primary antibodies targeting DLL3 (1:750; ab103102; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), NOTCH1 intracellular domain (NICD1; 1:500; #3608; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), NICD2 (1:5000; #5732; Cell Signaling Technology), NICD3 (1:1000; 55114-1-AP; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA), NICD4 (1:1500; #2423; Cell Signaling Technology), E-cadherin (1:200; sc-8426; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), Vimentin (VIM; 1:200; V6630; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), Snail (1:1000; #3879; Cell Signaling Technology), phospho-Smad2/Smad3 (1:1000; #8828; Cell Signaling Technology), Smad2/Smad3 (1:1000; #8685; Cell Signaling Technology), Smad4 (1:1000; #3854; Cell Signaling Technology) and ASCL1 (1:250; #556604; BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). All analyses included staining with Ponceau S, which confirmed equivalent protein loading. Standardization was performed by measuring actin with the anti–actin antibody (1:1500; A2066; Sigma-Aldrich).

2.3 | Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using TaqMan reverse transcription reagents with random hexamers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Expression of DLL3, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, CDH1 (i.e., E-cadherin), VIM, SNAI1, ASCL1 and GAPDH mRNA was determined by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) using the ABI Prism 7900HT system (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TaqMan universal PCR master mix with DLL3, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4 and GAPDH reagents (Applied Biosystems) or SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) was used along with the following primers: CDH1 forward, 5′-CAGCCTAAGGGAGTACAATG-3′; reverse, 5′-ACCTCCATCACAGGTTCC-3′; VIM forward, 5′-AATTCCAGAGGAGATGCTT-3′; reverse, 5′-GAGACGCCTTTGCAATCC-3′; SNAI1 forward, 5′-AAGTGGAGCCGCCTGAC-3′; and reverse, 5′-CGCCAGTTGCTGTAAG-3′; ASCL1 forward, 5′-CAAAAGCAGGCTCAACTTCTTCC-3′; reverse, 5′-TGTACTTCAAAGCAGGACCC-3′; and reverse, 5′-TGCTGTAGCCAAATTTGGGA-3′. The mean relative expression of each gene was determined against that of GAPDH. All PCR amplifications were performed using a MicroAmp optical 96-well reaction plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.4 | siRNA transfection

H69, H82, MS-1 and H592 cells were seeded at a density of 6 × 10⁵/well, 4 × 10⁵/well, 5 × 10⁵/well and 5 × 10⁵/well, respectively, into 6-well plates the day before transfection. The DLL3-siRNA, SNAI1-siRNA and NOTCH1-siRNA along with ON-TARGET plus SMART siRNA were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Cells were transfected with 100 pmol siRNA in Opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using 50 μL Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). To confirm the efficiency of siRNA transfection, DLL3, SNAI1, Snail and NOTCH1 levels were measured by qRT-PCR and western blot at 72-hour post-transfection. Nonspecific siRNA against the target sequence (ON-TARGET plus non–targeting pool; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used as controls.

2.5 | DLL3 overexpression

The human cDNA-ORF clone of the DLL3 gene (DLL3-ORF plasmid), blank-vector (pCMV6-entry) and the transfection reagent TurboFectin 8.0 were purchased from OriGene Technologies (Rockville, MD, USA). SBC-5 cells were divided equally into 2 groups: DLL3-overexpressing (transfected with the DLL3-ORF plasmid) and control (transfected with pCMV6-entry) cells. The day prior to transfection, cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10⁵/well into 6-well plates, followed by transfection with 2 μg DLL3-ORF plasmid or vector in serum-free Opti-MEM I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using 12 μL TurboFectin 8.0. After 24 hours, the transfected cells were diluted at 1:10 into 10-cm dishes, and the culture medium was replaced with complete medium containing G418 (600 μg/mL). Stable positive clones were obtained after screening with G418 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.6 | Cell-proliferation assays

Anchor-dependent and anchor-independent cell growth were measured by MTT assay using 96-well plates with or without poly-HEMA coating at 72 hours after DLL3-siRNA transfection or at
72 hours after seeding DLL3-overexpressing cells. The MTT assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the light absorption was determined at 560 nm using a microplate reader (Varioskan Flash; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.7 | Migration and invasion assay

Cell migration and invasion assays were performed using 24-well Transwell plates precoated with or without Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Cells were then plated in the upper chamber with culture medium containing 1% FBS, with the lower chamber containing culture medium supplemented with 20% FBS. After incubation for 4 hours (MS-1 cells; migration assay), 6 hours (H69, H82 and SBC-5 cells; migration assay), 24 hours (H82 cells; invasion assay) or 48 hours (H69 and MS-1 cells; invasion assay), the membrane was stained with Diff-Quik (Sysmex, Hyogo, Japan). The number of migrated or invaded cells was counted in 5 random fields of view using a BZ-9000 microscope (KEYENCE, Osaka, Japan).

2.8 | In vivo tumorigenicity

All animal husbandry procedures and experiments were performed under protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee at Hokkaido University (Approval number 16-0009). SBC-5 cells transfected with the scrambled or DLL3-overexpressing vector (3.0 × 10^6 cells) were diluted in 200-μL PBS and injected subcutaneously into the right posterior leg of athymic, 5-week-old female nude mice (nu+/nu+; n = 5/group). The tumors were then measured twice weekly using digital calipers, and tumor volume (TV) was determined using the formula TV = (length) × (width) × (height)/2. Control or DLL3-overexpressing cells were injected into 2 other mice, respectively, followed by resection on day 20 after injection for western blot and immunohistochemical analyses.

2.9 | Immunohistochemical staining

Dissected xenograft tumors were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours at room temperature, placed in 70% ethanol, embedded in paraffin, and then sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm. The sections were deparaffinized using xylene and rehydrated using graded concentrations of ethanol. For antigen retrieval, sections were placed in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and heated in a pressure cooker. The sections were then immersed in methanol containing 3% H₂O₂ for 10 minutes to block endogenous peroxidase activity followed by incubation with normal goat serum to block nonspecific antibody binding. The sections were reacted consecutively with each primary antibody targeting E-cadherin (1:500; #3195; Cell Signaling Technology), VIM (1:200; #5741; Cell Signaling Technology) and Snail (1:100; #3879; Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. Immunostaining was performed using the biotin-streptavidin immunoperoxidase method, with 3,3-diaminobenzidine used as the chromogen. Hematoxylin solution was used for counterstaining.

2.10 | Statistical analysis

Significant differences in means between 2 samples were analyzed using Student’s t test, with the level of significance set at P < 0.05.

**FIGURE 1** DLL3, NOTCH1 and ASCL1 expression in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell lines. The mRNA and protein expression of (A) DLL3, (B) NOTCH1 and (C) ASCL1 were measured by quantitative RT-PCR and western blot. The mRNA data were normalized to GAPDH expression (n = 3; mean ± SD)
were performed. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP software (JMP Pro v11.0.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | DLL3 is expressed in human small cell lung cancer cell lines

We first explored the expression levels of DLL3 and its receptor NOTCH1 in 9 human SCLC cell lines. As shown in Figure 1A, DLL3 mRNA and protein were detected in all SCLC cell lines, whereas NOTCH1 protein was found only in SBC-3, SBC-5, MS-1 and H82 cells (Figure 1B). Because previous studies reported that NOTCH1 negatively regulates ASCL1, and that DLL3 is a target of ASCL1, we also determined ASCL1 levels in SCLC cell lines. ASCL1 protein was detected in H1688, H592 and H209 cells, all of which were lacking the NOTCH1 protein (Figure 1C).

3.2 | DLL3 downregulation attenuates the migration and invasion of small cell lung cancer cells

To investigate the functional role of DLL3 in SCLC, H69, H82, MS-1 and H592 cells that showed DLL3 expression were transfected with DLL3-siRNA followed by confirmation of downregulation of DLL3 mRNA and protein levels in both cell lines (Figure 2A). Comparison of cell proliferation between control and DLL3-siRNA transfected cells indicated that DLL3 downregulation significantly suppressed the anchorage-dependent proliferation of H82 cells, although no differences were observed between H69, MS-1 or H592 control and DLL3-siRNA transfected cells (Figure 2B). Next, we evaluated the ability of migration and invasion in H69, H82 and MS-1 when DLL3 was inhibited. DLL3 downregulation significantly reduced the number of migrated cells for H69, H82 and MS-1 and the number of invaded cells for MS-1 (Figures 2C,D and S1). Moreover, we observed a lower number of invaded cells following DLL3-siRNA transfection as compared with control cells for H69 and H82 (Figures 2D and S1).

3.3 | DLL3 downregulation attenuates NOTCH1 expression

We then investigated whether DLL3 downregulation affects Notch signaling by evaluating the expression of Notch receptors in H69, H82, MS-1 and H592 cells. Suppression of DLL3 levels by siRNA downregulated NOTCH1 mRNA levels in H69, H82 and MS-1 cells (Figure 3A), with protein levels of NICD1 also reduced.
by DLL3 downregulation in H82 and MS-1 cells, although no differences of NICD1 protein levels were observed in H69 and H592 cells (Figure 3B). We then evaluated the expression of the Notch target genes, *hairy/enhancer-of-split related with YRPW motif protein 1* (*HEY1*) and *Hes family BHLH transcription factor 1* (*HES1*), finding that DLL3 downregulation attenuated *HES1* mRNA expression and significantly inhibited *HEY1* expression in H69 cells (Figure 3C). *NOTCH2/NICD2, NOTCH3/NICD3* and *NOTCH4/NICD4* expression were unaffected by DLL3 downregulation, except for *NOTCH3* mRNA levels in MS-1 cells or in other cell lines transfected with DLL3-siRNA (Figure 3A). DLL3 downregulation had no impact on ASCL1 levels in H592 cells or in other cell lines transfected with DLL3-siRNA (Figure 3D).

### 3.4 Snail plays a key role in DLL3-mediated small cell lung cancer-cell migration and invasion

Because DLL3 downregulation reduced the migration and invasion of SCLC cells relative to control cells, we investigated the mechanisms associated with this change in phenotype. Because the Notch signaling pathway reportedly regulates the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), we evaluated levels of the EMT markers E-cadherin, VIM, and Snail following DLL3 downregulation in SCLC cells. DLL3 downregulation attenuated *SNAI1* mRNA expression in H69 cells and significantly inhibited *SNAI1* mRNA level in H82 and MS-1 cells (Figure 4A). Interestingly, Snail protein levels were also attenuated in H82 and MS-1 cells, but changes in these levels relative to controls were not observed in H69 cells (Figure 4B). In addition, VIM mRNA levels were upregulated by DLL3 downregulation in H82 cells, although VIM protein levels exhibited only marginal changes relative to controls (Figure 4A,B). Moreover, we found minimal differences in the mRNA and protein levels of other EMT markers between DLL3-downregulated cell and controls.

To explore whether DLL3 exerts its function through Snail, we transfected H82 cells with *SNAI1*-siRNA and verified downregulation of Snail protein levels (Figure 4C). We observed that Snail downregulation markedly inhibited cell migration and invasion (Figures 4D, E and S2). Because NOTCH1 levels were attenuated following DLL3 downregulation, we evaluated H82 cell proliferation, migration and invasion following NOTCH1-siRNA transfection. We found that NOTCH1 downregulation did not affect the levels of other Notch
receptors and had no impact on cell proliferation, migration and invasion (Figure S3A-D). In addition, NOTCH1 downregulation had no effect on Snail levels (Figure S1E). Because transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)/Smad signaling plays a key role in EMT and is also related to Notch signaling and Snail, 21,22-25 we examined the expression of phospho-Smad2, phospho-Smad3, Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4, finding that DLL3 downregulation had no effect on the protein levels in the 3 cell lines (Figure 4F).

3.5 | DLL3 overexpression induces small cell lung cancer-cell proliferation and migration

To confirm the tumorigenic role of DLL3 in SCLC, SBC-5 cells exhibiting low expression of DLL3 were transfected with the DLL3-expression vector, followed by verification of upregulated DLL3 mRNA and protein levels in the transfected cells (Figure 5A). DLL3 overexpression significantly promoted cell growth based on both anchorage-dependent and anchorage-independent proliferation observed relative to control SBC-5 cells (Figure 5B). In addition, cell-migration assays showed that DLL3 overexpression significantly upregulated SBC-5-cell migration (Figure 5C). We could not assess SBC-5 invasion, because neither the control and the DLL3-overexpressing cells stably invaded the transwell.

3.6 | DLL3 overexpression upregulates Snail expression

We then investigated whether DLL3 overexpression affects Notch signaling and EMT-marker levels. DLL3 overexpression increased NOTCH1/2/3 mRNA and protein levels and no difference was observed in ASCL1 protein levels (Figure 5D,E,F). DLL3 overexpression increased Snail mRNA and protein levels (Figure 5G,H). In addition, DLL3 overexpression downregulated CDH1 mRNA levels relative to those in control cells, and E-cadherin protein levels were undetected in SBC-5 cells (Figure 5G,H). Although the expression of Smad2/Smad3 was elevated in DLL3-overexpressing SBC-5 cells as compared with control cells, phospho-Smad2/Smad3 levels were unaffected by DLL3 overexpression (Figure 5I).

3.7 | DLL3 overexpression promotes subcutaneous tumor growth of small cell lung cancer cells in vivo

We then investigated whether DLL3 overexpression promotes SCLC tumor growth in vivo. Tumor volumes in nude mice implanted with DLL3-overexpressing SBC-5 cells were significantly larger than those observed in control mice (Figure 6A,B), and we verified sustained upregulation of DLL3 protein levels in the tumors at
20-days post-implantation with DLL3-overexpressing SBC-5 cells (Figure 6C). NICD3 protein levels were upregulated in the DLL3-overexpressing group (Figure 6D). Furthermore, we observed upregulated Snail levels in these tumors as compared with levels in control mice (Figure 6E,F). We found no change in morphology following DLL3 overexpression (Figure 6F) and there was no significant difference in VIM and E-cadherin levels between control cells and DLL3-overexpressing cells (Figure 6E,F).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that DLL3 regulates the proliferation, migration and invasion of SCLC cells, suggesting its role as an oncogene in SCLC. Moreover, our findings suggested a potential role for Snail in DLL3-mediated SCLC-cell migration and invasion. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first study reporting an oncogenic function associated with DLL3 in SCLC.

We detected DLL3 mRNA and protein in all 9 SCLC cell lines to varying degrees in the present study. Immunohistochemistry to evaluate DLL3 levels in resected SCLC tissue demonstrated that 83% of SCLC patients were positive for DLL3 protein (unpublished data from our institute), which was similar to the 88% rate determined in a phase I trial of rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T)\(^{26}\) and 84% in a study from Japan.\(^{27}\) Our results and others indicate that DLL3 is highly expressed in SCLC.

Although DLL3 is reportedly associated with NOTCH and/or ASCL1,\(^{12,14,15}\) its role in tumorigenesis remains unknown. In the present study, we demonstrated that DLL3 overexpression promoted the growth of SCLC cells in vitro and in vivo and that DLL3 downregulation suppressed this proliferation phenotype. By contrast, a previous study reported methylation of the DLL3 promoter region in association with upregulated apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells.\(^{23}\) In addition, Notch proteins reportedly play both tumor-promoting and tumor-suppressive roles depending on the tumor type.\(^{5,6}\) Therefore, it is possible that DLL3 might also exhibit a context-dependent role according to cancer type.

We observed that DLL3 overexpression increased the migration and invasion of SCLC cells. This agreed with a recent finding of elevated DLL3 levels evaluated immunohistochemically and associated with lymph node metastasis and advanced clinical disease stage according to surgically resected tissue from SCLC patients.
(unpublished data provided by MF, JSK and HK). In addition, a study reported that Rova-T, a DLL3-targeted antibody-drug conjugate, comprised of a humanized anti–DLL3 monoclonal antibody conjugated to a DNA-damaging pyrrolobenzodiazepine (PBD) dimer toxin, was developed and showed anti–tumor efficacy in vivo.13 The recent phase I clinical study of Rova-T found that relapsed SCLC patients achieved clinical outcomes.26 Therefore, our data will aid in the further development of DLL3-targeted treatment, including Rova-T, especially in metastatic or recurrent cases.

Unlike other activating DLL ligands, DLL3 does not bind or activate Notch receptors when presented in trans, but instead inhibits Notch signaling in cis.12 Moreover, previous studies reported that DLL3 localized in the Golgi apparatus and in vesicles of late-endosome and lysosomes promoted the degradation of full-length NOTCH1 and reduced NOTCH1 expression at the cell surface, thereby preventing its activation by other ligands.28,29 These findings and those of other studies suggesting tumor suppressive roles associated with Notch signaling in SCLC8,19,20 led us to hypothesize that DLL3 promotes SCLC tumorigenesis by inhibiting Notch signaling; however, our results showed that DLL3 positively regulated NOTCH1 expression. In addition, we found no difference in ASCL1 levels between DLL3-siRNA transfected or overexpressing and control cells. Furthermore, NOTCH1 downregulation in H82 cells did not result in a loss of migration and invasion capabilities, suggesting that the oncogenic function of DLL3 in SCLC is promoted through a Notch-independent pathway. Consistent with our results, a previous report showed that DLL3 overexpression showed no differences in levels of cleaved NOTCH1 in a human hepatocellular cell line.30 Furthermore, Hes5, a downstream target of Notch signaling, was downregulated in mice harboring a loss-of-function mutation in Dll3.31 These findings, as well as our results, suggest that DLL3 is not necessarily an inhibitor of Notch signaling.

In our study, we found that DLL3 promoted SCLC-cell migration and invasion by modulating Snail expression, despite our observation of no significant alterations in E-cadherin or VIM levels. The zinc-finger transcription factor Snail is upregulated in some solid malignancies, such as SCLC, and is associated with risks of metastasis and poor survival.25,32,33 Snail is involved in EMT by downregulating the levels of epithelial molecules, such as E-cadherin, Occludin and Claudins.25 However, Snail1 is a weaker mesenchymal promoter relative to PRRX1 and TWIST, which are transcription factors associated with EMT.34,35 Moreover, Barrallo-Gimeno et al.36 indicated that Snail acts primarily as an inducer of cell movement rather than an inducer of EMT. A previous study reported that TGF-β stimulated N-cadherin expression and the migration of ovarian cancer cells without downregulation of E-cadherin expression and a complete EMT.37 In breast cancer cells, Snail induction could conversely result in a migratory...
phenotype despite retention of the VIM and E-cadherin levels. These findings suggest that Snail associated with DLL3 regulation itself might induce migration or invasion independent of EMT induction and without affecting the expression of EMT markers, including E-cadherin and VIM.

Snail is regulated by various complex signals, such as those associated with TGF-β signaling. Although the expression of Smad2/Smad3 was elevated in DLL3-overexpressing SBC-5 cells as compared with control cells, phospho-Smad2/Smad3 levels were unaffected by DLL3 overexpression. Moreover, DLL3 downregulation had no effect on the Smad protein levels in H69, HB2 and MS-1 cells, indicating that TGF-β signaling might have little impact on DLL3-mediated regulation. In addition to TGF-β signaling, Notch reportedly involved in crosstalk with pathways associated with mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K) and/or NF-kB pathway, which also regulate Snail. Therefore, these other Notch-related pathways might also affect Snail regulation. Further investigation is necessary to elucidate the precise mechanism associated with DLL3-Snail signaling in SCLC.

In conclusion, we identified DLL3 as a regulator of SCLC-cell proliferation, migration and invasion and an oncogene associated with modulating Snail expression. Our findings suggested that DLL3 might represent a therapeutic target for SCLC, especially in metastatic or recurrent cases.
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