Reducing Merkel cell activity in the whisker follicle disrupts cortical encoding of whisker movement amplitude and velocity
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ABSTRACT
Merkel cells (MCs) and associated primary sensory afferents of the whisker follicle-sinus complex, accurately code whisker self-movement, angle, and whisk phase during whisking. However, little is known about their roles played in cortical encoding of whisker movement. To this end, the spiking activity of primary somatosensory barrel cortex (wS1) neurons was measured in response to varying the whisker deflection amplitude and velocity in transgenic mice with previously established reduced mechanoelectrical coupling at MC-associated afferents. Under reduced MC activity, wS1 neurons exhibited increased sensitivity to whisker deflection. This appeared to arise from a lack of variation in response magnitude to varying the whisker deflection amplitude and velocity. This latter effect was further indicated by weaker variation in the temporal profile of the evoked spiking activity when either whisker deflection amplitude or velocity was varied. Nevertheless, under reduced MC activity, wS1 neurons retained the ability to differentiate stimulus features based on the timing of their first post-stimulus spike. Collectively, results from this study suggest that MCs contribute to cortical encoding of both whisker amplitude and velocity, predominantly by tuning wS1 response magnitude, and by patterning the evoked spiking activity, rather than by tuning wS1 response latency.

1. Introduction
Rodents actively use their whiskers to explore their environment, discriminate between objects and interact socially with conspecifics. The angle, speed and bending features of the whiskers, enable inferring about the physical characteristics of objects being explored (Diamond et al., 2008). To detect and perceive a large variety of tactile features, distinct classes of low threshold mechanosensory receptors (LTMRs), innervating the whisker follicle-sinus complex (FSC) (Ebara et al., 2002; Fundin et al., 1994; Rice et al., 1986, 1997; Tonomura et al., 2015), translate the mechanical forces of whisker movement into trains of action potentials along primary trigeminal afferent neurons (Abraira and Ginty, 2013; Furuta et al., 2020; Gottschaldt et al., 1973; Severson et al., 2017). The neural code of whisker movement from neurons of the trigeminal ganglion (Ahissar et al., 2000; Bale et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2004; Szwed et al., 2003) then travels sequentially in a somatotopic manner through the brainstem trigeminal nuclei and somatosensory thalamic nuclei to the primary somatosensory barrel cortex (wS1) where information is integrated (Adibi, 2019; Ahissar et al., 2000, 2001; Bosman et al., 2011; Krieger and Grob, 2015; Petersen, 2007; Sakurai et al., 2013). While knowledge about sensory processing along the trigemino-thalamo-cortical pathways is progressing, the precise functions played by the different whisker mechanoreceptor subtypes in cortical encoding of whisker movement features are currently not fully understood.

Whisker mechanoreceptors have been classified according to their morphological features into: Merkel, lanceolate, club-like, Ruffini endings (Ebara et al., 2002; Furuta et al., 2020; Rice et al., 1986, 1997; Tonomura et al., 2015) and, as well as slowly or rapidly adapting encoders (SA and RA), according to their rates of adaptation to sustained mechanical stimulus (Gottschaldt et al., 1973). Their morphological, positional, and discharge features are factors determining their properties in encoding diverse features of whisker movement (Furuta et al., 2020; Gottschaldt et al., 1973). Among the most prominent whisker mechanoreceptors is the Merkel cell-neurite complex (Gottschaldt and Vahle-Hinz, 1981; Halata et al., 2003; Iggo and Muir, 1969; Woo et al., 2015).

Abbreviations: CDF, cumulative distribution function; FSC, follicle-sinus complex; IQR, interquartile range; K14, keratin 14; LTMR, low threshold mechanoreceptor; MC, Merkel cell; PSTH, peristimulus time histograms; PW, principal whisker; RA, rapidly adapting; SA, slowly adapting; TeNT, tetanus neurotoxin light-chain subunit; wS1, primary somatosensory barrel cortex.
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2.1. Animals

Transgenic mice expressing tetanus neurotoxin light-chain subunit (TeNT) in MCs were obtained by crossing hemizygous K14\textsuperscript{Cre} male with homozygous Rosa26\textsuperscript{floxstopTeNT-GFP} female mice as described in Hoffman et al. (2018). Genotyping was performed at the Department of Physiology & Cellular Biophysics, Columbia University, New York, USA. Experiments involved 6 adult mice of both sexes comprising three littermate controls (Rosa26\textsuperscript{floxstopTeNT-GFP}) and three mice expressing TeNT in MCs (K14\textsuperscript{Cre};R26\textsuperscript{CAG}) (C.E. Lemercier and P. Krieger 2015) in which mechanically excitable cells (i.e. Merkel cells, MCs) synaptically excite primary trigeminal afferent neurons to fire SA impulses (Chang et al., 2016; Higashikawa et al., 2019; Hoffman et al., 2018; Ikeda et al., 2014; 1994; Maksimovic et al., 2014; Marich et al., 2009; Nakatani et al., 2015; Woo et al., 2014; 2015). The activity of MCs strongly correlates with whisker displacement amplitude (Hoffman et al., 2014), and MC-associated afferents show robust coding of whisker self-movement, angle, and whisk-phase during whisking (Ikeda et al., 2020; Severson et al., 2017). Considering that MCs constitute the primary sites for translation of whisker movement at SA afferents, and that associated first-order trigeminal ganglion SA neurons encode whisker movement amplitude and velocity respectively by their response magnitude and latency (Kwegyir-Afful et al., 2008; Lottem et al., 2015; Shoykhet and Daniel, 2000; Stütten et al., 2008), a reduction in MC activity is hypothesized to affect cortical encoding of these two modalities.

To assess this possibility, wS1 neurons were recorded juxtacellulary in anesthetized transgenic mice with established reduced mechanoelectrical coupling at MC-associated SA primary sensory afferents (Hoffman et al., 2018). Whiskers were deflected with six different deflection paradigms involving 3 different plateau amplitudes and 3 different ramp velocities and the coding properties of wS1 neurons were analysed and compared to littermate control mice. Data from the present study indicate that a reduction in MC activity increases wS1 sensitivity to whisker deflection. In condition of reduced MC activity, wS1 neurons response magnitude univariately coded the variation in whisker deflection amplitude or velocity. Nevertheless, wS1 neurons retained the ability to differentiate stimulus features based on the timing of their response. Collectively, our results suggest that MCs exert a predominant role in tuning the cortical response magnitude rather than in tuning the cortical response latency.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Whiskers were all trimmed to the same length of ~1 cm to ensure equal movement when deflected. Once a neuron was approached, a thin wooden stick (diameter: 2 mm) was used as a probe to deflect the whiskers manually and individually, and an audio monitor was used to identify the whisker that evokes the strongest response with shortest latency (i.e. the principal whisker, PW). The PWs were deflected using a ramp-and-hold movement by inserting their tips into a glass capillary (placed ~1 mm from the muzzle) glued to a piezo wafer (PL127.10; PI Ceramics GmbH, Lederhose, Germany) controlled by a piezo filter and amplifier (Sigmann Elektronik GmbH, Hüffenhardt, Germany). The plateau amplitude (i.e. total displacement) of the piezo wafer was monitored with a video camera (USBSMMP02G-SFV; Aliquip Technology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China) and peak ramp velocity was estimated as the ratio between piezo plateau amplitude to piezo-amplifier transition time. The maximal peak ramp velocity was thus estimated to be ~0.6 mm/ms to the maximal plateau amplitude achievable of ~1.2 mm, which considers displacement due to ringing. Spiking activity of wS1 neurons was measured across six distinct whisker deflection paradigms, including three paradigms with varying plateau amplitude at constant ramp velocity (displacement of ~1.2, ~0.5 and ~0.25 mm at a velocity of ~0.6 mm/ms) and three paradigms with varying ramp velocity at constant plateau amplitude (velocity of ~0.6, ~0.12 and ~0.06 mm/ms at a displacement of ~1.2 mm). The holding time of the piezo wafer was set at 100 ms. Deflection paradigms were presented as a random sequence of recording blocks comprising 20 stimuli delivered at 0.5 Hz. For each deflection paradigm, spiking activity was monitored over 3 recording blocks (i.e. 60 deflections per deflection paradigm). An additional paradigm was designed to evaluate change in the response adaptation to repetitive whisker deflection and comprised trains of 8 deflections at 8 Hz (displacement of ~1.2 mm at a velocity of ~0.6 mm/ms, piezo wafer holding time set at 25 ms) delivered at 0.2 Hz over 3 recording blocks of 20 sweeps.

2.4. Histology

At the end of the experiment, animals were overdosed with urethane (3 g/kg), transectionally perfused with paraformaldehyde 4 % (V/V in phosphate buffer) and their brains were removed and prepared for flattened corticotangential sectioning, as described by Lauer et al., (2018). Cortical sections were stained for cytochrome oxidase, and layer IV barrel-field cytoarchitecture was visualized under bright-field light microscopy. Mystacial pads were dissected, cryoprotected in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution containing 30% sucrose (w/v) and cut into 50 μm thick transversal sections with a cryostat microtome (CM3050S; Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Sections were counterstained with the nuclear dye 4’,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAP; Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and actin filaments were labeled with the fluorescent conjugate ATTO 647-phalloidin (Hypermol EK, Bielefeld, Germany). Optical sections of the whisker follicle-sinus complex were acquired by confocal-like optical sectioning with an Apotome microscope (Axioiamer Z1; Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany).
Germany). The expression of TeNT-GFP in Cre-positive mice was confirmed by the presence of a green fluorescence signal in the MC-dense region of the whisker FSC.

2.5. Data analysis

Spike detection was done with the template search function of the software pClamp 11.2 (Axon Instruments), and spontaneous spiking and peri-stimulus spike timestamps were determined. For each recorded neuron, spontaneous spiking activity was estimated over segments of 1 s distantly located from the deflection onset (1 s). To assess the overall effect of reducing MC activity on cortical spiking activity, the number of evoked spikes, response probability (whether spikes were elicited or not) and first-spike latency to whisker protraction were first measured over a 100 ms response window. Response adaptation to repetitive whisker deflection (including responses to protraction and retraction) was evaluated by quantifying and comparing the number of evoked spikes at each deflection over a 100 ms response window. Changes in response magnitude (i.e. evoked spike count) to varying whisker protraction amplitude or velocity were quantified over a shorter response window of 30 ms. Peristimulus time histograms (PSTH) were determined over a window of 150 ms (10 ms bin size) including 50 ms prior to, and 100 ms after, stimulus onset. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) derived from PSTHs were used to analyze the temporal aggregation of the evoked spiking activity. Differences between CDFs in response to varying whisker deflection intensity (either amplitude or velocity) were evaluated by plotting CDFs at intermediary (y) or minimal (y’) deflection intensity against CDFs at maximal (x) deflection intensity (i.e. y = f(x)) and differences were quantified in a pooled manner (across y and y’) with the following equation:

\[
\Delta CDF = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - x_i) + (y_i' - x_i')}{2}
\]

2.6. Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using the R software (R Core Team, 2021) and the ‘PMCMRplus’ package (Pohlert, 2020). To report statistics in text, controls and mice expressing TeNT in MCs are respectively abbreviated as ‘CON’ and ‘MCTeNT’. Differences between and within experimental groups were respectively evaluated with a Wilcoxon rank sum test and with a Friedman test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Unless specified, data are reported in the text as Median and Interquartile ranges (IQR, i.e. the differences between Q3 and Q1). Sample size (n) is reported in the figure legends. Figures were produced with the R package ‘ggplot2’ (Wickham, 2016).

3. Results

3.1. Expression of TeNT in the MC-dense region of the whisker FSC and barrel-field cytoarchitecture

To reduce mechanoelectrical coupling at Merkel endings, the vesicular release machinery of MCs was blocked by expressing TeNT in cells expressing the K14 epidermal marker (Hoffman et al., 2018; Morrison et al., 2009; Van Keymeulen et al., 2009; Woo et al., 2010; Yamamoto et al., 2003). Genetic expression of TeNT-GFP in the whisker FSC was confirmed by a green fluorescence signal located in the MC-dense region (MDR) at the level of the ring sinus (RS) between the ringwulst (RW) and the inner canonical body (ICB) (Ebara et al., 2002; Rice et al., 1986; Whiteley et al., 2015) (Fig. 1A-1B). The effect of reducing MC activity on layer IV wS1 cytoarchitecture was evaluated on flattened cortico-tangential sections stained for cytochrome oxidase (Land and Simons, 1985; Lauer et al., 2018; Welker and Woolsey, 1974) (Fig. 1C-1D). A reduction in MC activity was not associated with a noticeable change in individual barrel sizes from respectively identified barrel rows (observation from one slice of both groups).

3.2. Reducing MC activity increases wS1 sensitivity to whisker deflection

The median depth, relative to the pia mater, of the recorded wS1 neurons was similar between the two experimental groups (CON = 494 µm (230), n = 9; MCTeNT = 605 µm (177), n = 10; p = 0.4967 - Fig. 2A). The effect of reducing MC activity on whisker-evoked wS1 spiking activity was first evaluated by pooling the data collected across the six different whisker deflection paradigms with varying amplitude or velocity. Although expression of TeNT in MCs has previously been reported to reduce mechanoelectrical coupling at SA afferents by about ~50% (Hoffman et al., 2018) our data indicate that reducing MC activity increased wS1 neurons’ sensitivity to whisker deflection (Fig. 2B-2C). This effect manifested in an increase in the number of whisker-evoked spikes (CON = 1.17 spikes (1.63); MCTeNT = 2.72 spikes (1.30), p = 0.0349 - Fig. 2B) and in response probability (CON = 0.72 (0.40); MCTeNT = 0.93 (0.18), p = 0.0279 - Fig. 2C), but occurred without significant change in first-spike latency (CON = 15.74 ms (3.73); MCTeNT = 10.66 ms (6.61), p = 0.1333 - Fig. 2D) nor in spontaneous spiking activity (CON = 2.17 Hz (5.14); MCTeNT = 4.65 (4.83), p = 0.2775 - data not shown).

The increased sensitivity to whisker deflection was accompanied by a lack of response adaptation to repetitive whisker deflection. Indeed, response adaptation was significantly observed in controls between the 1st/7th, and 1st/8th deflection pairs (1st = 1.78 spikes (0.34), 7th = 0.98 (0.26), 8th = 0.94 (0.26), data expressed as Mean (SEM); 1st/7th: p = 0.0300; 1st/8th: p = 0.0214 - Fig. 2E) but not under conditions of reduced MC activity (1st = 2.83 spikes (0.57), 7th = 2.11 (0.75), 8th = 1.95 (0.78), data expressed as Mean (SEM); 1st/7th: p = 0.3574; 1st/8th: p = 0.6384 - Fig. 2E), although spiking activity tended on average to decrease.
3.3. Reducing MC activity alters the response magnitude of wS1 neurons to varying whisker deflection amplitude and velocity

During ramp-and-hold whisker deflection, wS1 neurons exhibit response magnitude and timing that generally depend on whisker deflection amplitude and velocity (Ito, 1985; Ito and Kato, 2002; Pinto et al., 2000; Wilent and Contreras, 2004), with strong and weak deflection amplitude or velocity leading respectively to strong/short and weak/long response magnitude and latency. Decreasing whisker deflection amplitude or velocity decreased the evoked spiking activity in controls but not in conditions of reduced MC activity (varying amplitude: CON, p = 0.0530; MC_TeNT, p = 0.1496; varying velocity: CON, p = 0.0207; MC_TeNT, p = 0.2765) (Fig. 3A–3B and Table S1). Under reduced MC activity, the coding properties of wS1 neurons (CON: n = 9; MC_TeNT: n = 10) were partially preserved, as seen in the decreased response probability when deflection amplitude was reduced (CON, p = 0.0017; MC_TeNT, p = 0.0122) (Table S1) and with increased first-spike latency when deflection amplitude or velocity was reduced (varying amplitude: CON, p = 0.0043; MC_TeNT, p = 0.002; varying velocity: CON, p < 0.001; MC_TeNT, p = 0.0025) (Table S1). Nevertheless, response probability and first-spike latency at low deflection amplitude or low velocity were on average higher and faster than in controls (Table S1). Taken together, these data suggest that MCs contribute to cortical encoding of both whisker movement amplitude and velocity, predominantly by tuning wS1 response magnitude rather than by tuning its firing latency and probability.

In controls, inspection of PSTHs indicates that variations in whisker deflection amplitude or velocity influence the patterning of the evoked spiking activity. Effects varied from patterns with rather fixed timing over a short period at strong deflection intensities, through patterns with more variable timing over a longer period at weaker deflection intensities (Fig. 3C–3D). Interestingly, PSTHs under reduced MC activity, especially for varying deflection velocity, appear to exhibit less change in the temporal aggregation of the evoked spiking activity than in controls (Fig. 3C–3D). To evaluate changes in whisker-evoked spike patterns, cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) were derived from PSTHs, and changes in CDFs at intermediary and minimal deflection intensity (either amplitude or velocity) were assessed against CDFs at maximal deflection intensity used as a reference (Fig. 4, see materials and methods).

In controls, CDF rates of evoked spiking activity decreased, as deflection amplitude or velocity decreased (Fig. 4A and 4D), indicating that lowering whisker deflection intensity causes spiking activity to aggregate less suddenly and more distributed over time. Shifts in CDF rates across whisker deflection intensities were on average weaker in condition of reduced MC activity (Figs. 4B and 4E) indicating that spiking activity tended to aggregate equally in time, independently of changes in whisker deflection amplitude or velocity. In respect to control, differences in CDFs for varying whisker deflection amplitude or velocity were weaker under reduced MC activity (varying amplitude: CON, p = 0.0563 - Fig. 4C; varying velocity: p = 0.0360 - Fig. 4F). Taken together, these data suggest that, beyond contributing to wS1 response magnitude, MCs also contribute to the patterning of wS1 spiking activity.

4. Discussion

Data from this study suggest that reducing MC activity increases wS1 neuron sensitivity and response probability to whisker deflection. As activity of MCs and associated afferents strongly correlate with whisker movement during passive and active touch (Furuta et al., 2020; Hoffman et al., 2018; Ikeda et al., 2014; Severson et al., 2017), a reduction in their activity was presumed to produce the opposite effect on wS1 activity. Nevertheless, increased cortical sensitivity to cutaneous touch of glabrous skin has been recently reported in the somatosensory cortex (S1) in response to genetic ablation of MCs (Emanuel et al., 2021). The interpretation for the shift in S1 sensitivity was that MC-associated afferents recruit subcortical elements involved in setting S1 sensitivity. Another interpretation, albeit complementary but more speculative, comprises a role of MC-associated afferents in gating early sensory inputs from other LTMRs by interacting potentially with the inhibitory circuitry of the trigeminal ganglion (Hayasaki et al. 2006). However, putative compensatory mechanisms from other whisker LTMRs occurring in response to reduced mechanoelectrical coupling at
MC-associated afferents have also to be considered. In the sample of recorded neurons, increased sensitivity to whisker deflection was suggested to occur with a lack of response adaptation to repetitive deflection. Although spiking activity to repetitive deflection decreased, on average, in conditions of reduced MC activity, this effect did not reach statistical significance. Low sample size and higher spiking variability under conditions of reduced MC activity are most likely to be the cause. While neural adaptation along the whisker-barrel pathway occurs at all stages of sensory processing (Adibi and Lampl, 2021), primary sensory neurons of the trigeminal ganglion exhibit little adaptation to repeated whisker deflection, in contrast to subsequent sensory relays (Adibi and Lampl, 2021; Ganmor et al., 2010). Adaptation appears to be rather inherited in subsequent sensory relays, making the absence of sensory adaptation taking place at the level of the cortex difficult to interpret under conditions of reduced MC activity. Additional experiments will allow to better test this observation.

The data of the present study suggest that MCs contribute to cortical encoding of both whisker deflection amplitude and velocity, predominantly by tuning the cortical response magnitude and by patterning the evoked spiking activity. Nevertheless, under conditions of reduced MC activity, cortical encoding of whisker deflection amplitude and velocity was still preserved, notably in terms of changes in first-spike latency and response probability. Cortical first-spike latency thus may rely on other RA whisker LTMR subtypes, such as lanceolate- and club-like endings. Indeed, these two LTMR subtypes exhibit faster response latency than SA Merkel endings (Furuta et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that, depending on their location in the whisker FSC (i.e. deep vs. superficial), Merkel endings exhibit different adapting properties (i. e. SA vs. RA) and therefore differential sensitivities to whisker movement (Furuta et al., 2020). Therefore, in the present study, the reported cortical effects are assumed to arise from a reduction in mechanoelectrical coupling at both types of Merkel endings.

Whisker movement amplitude and velocity are features used by rodents for inferring information about their environment (Arabzadeh et al., 2005; Pammer et al., 2013). Along the whisker-barrel pathway, whisker movement amplitude and velocity are respectively better represented by response magnitude and timing (Bale et al., 2015; Kwegyir-Afful et al., 2008; Lottem et al., 2015; Shoykhet and Daniel, 2000) although wS1 neurons are more sensitive to whisker movement velocity than to amplitude (Pinto et al., 2000). Analysis of coding strategies of whisker movement along the whisker-barrel pathway indicated that the majority of the information about the stimulus identity is retained in the timing of the first post-stimulus spike (Bale and Petersen, 2009; Bale et al., 2015; Panzeri et al., 2001; Petersen et al., 2001). Therefore, as previously reported with genetic ablation of MCs (Maricich et al., 2012), our data seem to suggest that mice with reduced MC activity can still rely on their whiskers through other LTMR subtypes to perform tactile behaviors such as texture discrimination tasks. In addition to being the main organ for tactile perception in mice, whiskers self-motion combined with those of facial hairs has been proposed to contribute to facial proprioception in mice (Severson et al., 2017, 2019). Considering the ability of MC-associated afferents to signal both static and dynamic whisker self-motion in their rates of sustained discharge, this LTMR might constitute a good candidate in contributing to facial proprioception in mice.
5. Conclusion

The major outcome of this preliminary study indicates that MCs in the whisker FSC contribute to cortical encoding of both whisker amplitude and velocity, mainly by tuning wS1 response magnitude, and by patterning the evoked spiking activity. As wS1 neurons retained the ability to differentiate stimulus features based on the timing of their first post-stimulus spike, MCs are thus suggested to play secondary roles over other LTMRs in tuning cortical response latency. While the present study allowed to replicate a recent finding on the role played by MCs in tuning S1 sensitivity in response to cutaneous touch (Emanuel et al., 2021), its results and interpretation were based on a relatively small sample size. Further investigations are thus required to confirm the proposed roles played by MCs in cortical encoding of whisker amplitude and velocity.
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