Abstract

We present an investigation of clumpy galaxies in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field at $0.5 \leq z \leq 1.5$ in the rest-frame far-ultraviolet (FUV) using Hubble Space Telescope Wide Field Camera 3 broadband imaging in F225W, F275W, and F336W. An analysis of 1404 galaxies yields 209 galaxies that host 403 kpc scale clumps. These host galaxies appear to be typical star-forming galaxies, with an average of 2 clumps per galaxy and reaching a maximum of 8 clumps. We measure the photometry of the clumps and determine the mass, age, and star formation rates (SFR) using the spectral energy distribution fitting code FAST. We find that clumps make an average contribution of 19% to the total rest-frame FUV flux of their host galaxy. Individually, clumps contribute a median of 5% to the host galaxy SFR and an average of \( \sim 4\% \) to the host galaxy mass, with total clump contributions to the host galaxy stellar mass ranging widely from lower than 1% up to 93%. Clumps in the outskirts of galaxies are typically younger, with higher SFRs, than clumps in the inner regions. The results are consistent with clump migration theories in which clumps form through violent gravitational instabilities in gas-rich turbulent disks, eventually migrate toward the center of the galaxies, and coalesce into the bulge.

Key words: galaxies: evolution – galaxies: formation – galaxies: star formation – galaxies: structure

1. Introduction

The build-up of stars in galaxies along the Hubble sequence and subsequently the evolution of these galaxies as observed today remains uncertain in extragalactic astronomy. Past studies, targeting primarily high-redshift galaxies, found increasingly irregular, asymmetric, and clumpy structures in star-forming galaxies (Driver et al. 1995, 1998; Glazebrook et al. 1995; Abraham et al. 1996; van den Bergh et al. 1996; Im et al. 1999). “Normal” star-forming galaxies were in place at \( z \sim 0.5 \), with stellar populations and scaling relations consistent with gradual evolution into the population of galaxies observed locally (e.g., Sargent et al. 2007; Scarlata et al. 2007). Looking back to \( z > 2 \), dramatic changes appear. Studies have shown that galaxies at these high redshifts are dominated by irregular and peculiar galaxies (Abraham et al. 1996; Conselice et al. 2005) that have no obvious similarity in terms of structure to lower redshift galaxies (Lotz et al. 2004; Cassata et al. 2005; Cameron et al. 2010; Overzier et al. 2010). They become more clumpy at increasing redshift (Elmegreen et al. 2004) as a result of mergers and other processes that lead to violent gravitational instabilities (Bournaud et al. 2007; Genzel et al. 2008; Ceverino et al. 2010; Wisnioski et al. 2011). Massive galaxies along the so-called star-forming main sequence (MS; Noeske et al. 2007) at these epochs tend to be thick clumpy disks, forming stars at rates (\( 100 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \)) much higher than is observed in the thin quiescent Milky Way-like disks at \( z < 0.5 \) (e.g., Genzel et al. 2008). To map this important transition, it is crucial to follow the star formation history (SFH) of individual substructures at intermediate redshifts (\( 0.5 \leq z \leq 1.5 \)).

High-resolution imaging has shown that kiloparsec-sized clumps appear to be a common feature of galaxies at intermediate redshifts, and simulations indicate that they form in situ by gravitational instabilities in gas-rich galaxies (Noguchi 1999; Conselice et al. 2004; Elmegreen et al. 2004; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005; Papovich et al. 2005; Bournaud et al. 2007; Agerz et al. 2009; Ceverino et al. 2010; Hinojosa-Goñi et al. 2016). Clumps at \( z \sim 2 \) can reach \( 10^3 \, M_\odot \) (Guo et al. 2012; Tacconi et al. 2013); however, their eventual fate remains uncertain. If they are long-lived (with lifetimes comparable to the orbital timescale of the disk), clumps can migrate inward and provide a path toward bulge growth (Bournaud et al. 2007; Ceverino et al. 2010; Hinojosa-Goñi et al. 2016). It is also possible that powerful outflows could disrupt clumps on short timescales, implying that secular bulge growth would occur more slowly (Genel et al. 2012; Förster Schreiber et al. 2011).

Clumps are mostly identified in optical imaging from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) at \( z \sim 2 \) probing the near-UV (NUV). However, the rest-frame far-UV (FUV) 1500 Å is a vital tracer of star formation, directly sampling light from young hot stars (Calzetti 2013), and is thus the best way to identify star-forming clumps. Therefore, FUV studies are essential for the study of the formation and evolution of galaxies.

Rest-frame FUV data of star-forming clumps at intermediate redshifts have not been explored sufficiently well, making the high-resolution UV imaging of the HST Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) of the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF; Teplitz et al. 2013) a unique data set for our study. This epoch is crucial to test the late-stage evolution of clumps and disks against competing models. At \( 0.5 \leq z \leq 1.5 \), massive star-forming galaxies are rare due to the exponential drop of the stellar mass function, and thus few are available (Drory & Alvarez 2008).
Massive galaxies with giant UV clumps are even fewer (15%–20%) at $z \sim 1$ (Guo et al. 2015). However, since clumps have high ratios of UV luminosity to stellar mass, they are prominent in UV images.

In this paper, we identify clumps, measure their UV sizes, determine the total number of clumps per galaxy, rest-frame 1500 Å flux, and constrain stellar mass and stellar population properties. From these properties we explore the potential fates of the clumps described by two different scenarios: (1) the inward migration and bulge growth scenario, and (2) the quick disruption scenario. In the first case, clumps in disks migrate toward the center of the potential well of the galaxy and coalesce to form a bulge. Therefore, clumps closer to the center of the galaxy in this scenario are older and denser than those in galaxy outskirts (Bournaud et al. 2014). In the second case, if feedback is strong, young clumps that exist over the entire galaxy could dissipate and form the disk (Bournaud et al. 2008; Oklopcic et al. 2016). The measured physical properties of the clumps allow us to infer which of these dominate the clump population presented in this study.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we summarize the observations that comprise our data set. In Section 3 we provide our clump definition and the four criteria that detections must comply with in order to be designated as clumps. We also discuss the parameters for our clump-finding algorithm and detail the clump detection process. In Section 4 we summarize the derived properties of the host galaxies in which we find clumps, we derive stellar properties of clumps using multiband photometry for spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting, and provide a comparison of statistical properties. In Section 5 we discuss the physical properties of our sample, including the number of clumps per host galaxy and the size of clumps. In Section 6 we investigate the relationship between sub-galactic clumps and their host galaxies by comparing the rest-frame 1500 Å UV flux and derived stellar properties of clumps to the overall properties of the host galaxies. We also discuss any gradients that arise with respect to the galactocentric radius. In Section 7 we present a summary of our main findings. In the Appendix we quantify the effects of deriving clump properties with and without the inclusion of near-infrared (NIR) data as clumps are often not visible in the NIR.

Throughout this paper we assume cosmological parameters of $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, and the AB magnitude system.

2. Data and Observations

Ultraviolet imaging of the HUDF (hereafter UVUDF) was an HST Cycle 19 program (HST PID 12534; PI: Teplitz) comprised of 90 orbits in total with the WFC3 UVIS detector in F225W, F275W, and F336W (U) filters over three epochs. Thirty orbits per filter were obtained with a common pointing center, R.A.: 03:32:38.5471 Decl.: −27:46:59.00 (J2000) and a pixel scale of 0′′.03/pixel. Decreasing charge transfer efficiency (CTE) caused by damage to the CCD lattice has resulted in the loss of data quality and is a problem for the imaging of faint sources. We address this issue by using post-flashed data to mitigate the effects of CTE degradation (MacKenty & Smith 2012). Post-flash protects against the loss of the faintest objects by filling in “traps” on the CCD before readout. We use the post-flashed unbinned epoch-3 images of the three UV filters from the UVUDF program made 2012 August 3–2012 September 7 that consists of 16 orbits in F225W, 16 orbits in F275W, and 14 orbits in F336W. These CTE corrected mosaics, which remove the affects of CTE on the observed morphology of the galaxies, were combined following the approaches described in Koekemoer et al. (2002, 2011). A detailed description of data reduction and photometry can be found in Teplitz et al. (2013) and Rafelski et al. (2015).

Additionally, observations from the Wide Field Camera (WFC) of the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) provide the optical images used in our data set. F435W(B), F606W(V), F775W(i), and F850LP(z) make up the optical filters used with pixel scale 0′′.03/pixel. These observations are from the ACS optical HUDF Cycle 12 program (Beckwith et al. 2006). Details of the image processing and analysis are presented in Beckwith et al. (2006). Observations using WFC3 F105W(Y), F125W(J), F140W(JH), and F160W(H) filters comprise the set of infrared images used. These data are from the HUDF09 (HST PID 11563; PI: Illingworth) and HUDF12 (HST PID 2498; PI: Ellis) programs. Details of the image processing and analysis are presented in Koekemoer et al. (2013) and Ellis et al. (2013); see also Illingworth et al. (2013). Table 1 from Rafelski et al. (2015) provides information for each bandpass including effective wavelength, zero-point, exposure time, and depth.

The UVUDF catalog from Rafelski et al. (2015) is used to select the target galaxy sample. We apply two signal-to-noise (S/N) cuts of 3σ and 5σ in F160W to remove spurious detections, which results in 1404 and 1200 galaxies, respectively, with photometric redshifts of 0.5 ≤ z ≤ 1.5. Hereafter, we use the 3σ cut catalog. Galaxy selection and processing is discussed in further detail in Section 3.2.

3. Clumps

3.1. Clump Definition

One of the main difficulties in the study of clumps is how to define a clump. Although we know more about clumps today than we did in the past, a globally accepted clump definition has yet to come into being. Clumps may be defined loosely as clusters of hot stars (Donahue et al. 2015), or in a stricter fashion, as blobs whose UV luminosity is brighter than 8% of the total UV luminosity of the galaxy in the NUV (Guo et al. 2015). There are variations to this definition, such as that by Boada et al. (2015), who define clumps as having UV luminosities >1% of the total galaxy UV light. This alternative definition was used to include UV-faint clumps, since they are redder and would therefore provide greater insight into the internal color dispersion of their sample. There are even more variations to the definition of a clump, for example, hinge clumps, which are defined as luminous knots of star formation near the base of tidal features in interacting galaxies (Smith et al. 2014).

Although there are many clump definitions, as detailed above, clumps are generally defined as small sub-galactic regions of intense star formation (often indicated by their brightness in the rest-frame UV, mainly in high-redshift studies). However, in this study we impose four additional constraints for such a region to be defined as a clump:

(i) We automatically detect clumps using the rest-frame 1500 Å FUV light in the F225W, F275W, and F336W filters...
passbands. A deficit of data at these wavelengths prevented previous use of the FUV for clump detection. However, we attest that 1500 Å is a strong tracer of star formation and is ideal for clump detection especially at low and intermediate redshifts.

(ii) We require that all visually identified clumps have 3σ detection limit above the flux of the host galaxy in the detection band (rest-frame 1500 Å observed passband).

(iii) Ensuring that galactic bulges are not mistakenly identified as clumps is also very important. We therefore impose a 5-pixel minimum distance (a distance of 0.92–1.27 kpc for the redshift range selected) from the center of the galaxy to ensure that the clumps are not bulges, where the center of the galaxy is defined as the barycenter determined by SExtractor using the first-order moments of the galaxy (Bertin & Arnouts 1996).

(iv) We also impose a minimum size limit based on images of local flocculent or clumpy galaxies, NGC 3521 and NGC 7331. We use the physical sizes of local UV clumps (minimum of 0.46–0.64 kpc for the redshift range assuming a spherical geometry) to set the minimum size constraint of clumps at 0.5 ≤ z ≤ 1.5.

We restrict our analysis to star-forming regions that meet the above criteria. Clump detection and details on how the clump criteria were implemented are presented below, where we discuss the clump detection algorithm.

### 3.2. Clump Detection

We create a semiautomated clump-finding algorithm to detect clumps and measure clump photometry, as illustrated in Figure 1. We first create 9′′ × 9′′ postage stamps of all ~1400 galaxies in our sample based on the coordinates generated from the primary SExtractor source detection run for the main UVUDF catalog performed in the B band (Rafelski et al. 2015). We subtract the global background of the cutout and then use the i-band segmentation maps to isolate each galaxy to limit clump candidate detection to within the galaxy. The postage stamps are then smoothed by applying a boxcar filter with a size of 10 pixels. A contrast image is created by subtracting the smoothed image from the galaxy image. From this we use simple image statistics to calculate the standard deviation of the contrast image in order to detect areas with possible clumps with SExtractor. Based on the minimum size limit, we set the limiting minimum area parameter in SExtractor to 5 pixels (from definition iv above, 5 pixels is approximately the minimum size at 0.5 < z < 1.5). We then run SExtractor with a 3σ detection limit per pixel (full description of SExtractor parameters used are listed in Table 1) on the contrast image to locate clump candidates.

We detect ~500 clump candidates in 209 galaxies in one of three HST UV bands based on the photometric redshift of the galaxies at rest-frame 1500 Å, shown in Table 2. This new UV HST data enables us to observe rest-frame 1500 Å for this redshift range for the first time.

Once the clump candidates are located in the contrast image, we proceed by measuring the flux in the detection band and in the remaining six filters (all of which are at the original HST resolution, FWHM ~0′′10) using SExtractor, subtracting the global background in each respective observed passband. We also subtract the local background of the clump candidates in each filter, which accounts for the galaxy background flux that contributes to the clumps. This is accomplished by masking the clumps and then determining the median contribution from the rest of the galaxy. To ensure that bulges are not included in the clump detection process, we require the condition that all clumps are at least 5 pixels away from the center of the galaxy. The center of the galaxy was obtained from the catalog presented in Rafelski et al. (2015). The resulting clump catalog

---

**Table 1. SExtractor Input Parameters**

| Parameter          | Value           |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| DETECT_MINAREA     | 5 pixels        |
| THRESH_TYPE        | ABSOLUTE        |
| DETECT_THRESH      | 3.0σ            |
| ANALYSIS_THRESH    | 3.0σ            |
| FILTER_NAME        | Gauss_3.0_5X5.conv |
| DEBLEND_NTHRESH    | 32              |
| DEBLEND_MINCONT    | 0.0001          |
| CLEAN              | Y               |
| CLEAN_PARAM        | 5.0             |
| BACK_SIZE          | 32              |
| BACK_FILTERSIZE    | 3               |

**Note.** A full description of each SExtractor parameter can be found in Bertin & Arnouts (1996).

**Table 2. Detection Band**

| Redshift | Filter | Number of Galaxies |
|----------|--------|--------------------|
| 0.5 ≤ z < 0.75 | F225W | 57                 |
| 0.75 ≤ z < 1.0 | F275W | 37                 |
| 1.0 ≤ z ≤ 1.5 | F336W | 115                |

---

**Figure 1.** Top left: F775W cutout image of a galaxy at z = 0.62. The horizontal line represents 1.9″ or 6.8 kpc. Top right: F225W image showing clumps (rest-frame UV). Bottom left: contrast image created by subtracting the smoothed F225W image from the global background-subtracted F225W image. Bottom right: zoomed-in image of the segmentation map created for the clumps detected. Green circles show the detected clumps.
consists of 403 clumps detected in 209 host galaxies in the UVUDF.

Our clump-finding algorithm is reminiscent of the automated star-forming region finder detailed in Guo et al. (2015), which also uses a contrast image for clump detection. Their paper provides further details on the selection benefits of a 10-pixel boxcar filter for clump detection and the resulting limitations on the clump size this creates. However, our procedure differs by one vital step: the detection bands in which the rest-frame UV light is measured. Whereas the Guo et al. study was limited to detecting clumps in the observed optical bands and therefore to probing rest-frame 2200 and 2500 Å, which include light from older stars, we are able to measure rest-frame 1500 Å, a strong tracer of the star formation from the younger stellar population (Calzetti 2013), in their observed UV bands. By looking further in the UV, we ensure that we are measuring the light from the youngest population and also minimize the contamination from older stars.

4. SED Fitting

4.1. Host Galaxy Sample

After applying the clump-finding algorithm to the complete sample of galaxies, we create a subsample of 209 host galaxies that contain at least one clump that is not in the central region of the B-band detection aperture. We fit SEDs to the host galaxies using FAST on the multiwavelength photometry from Rafelski et al. (2015) from the NUV through the NIR. FAST (Kriek et al. 2009) enables us to fit stellar population synthesis models from Bruzual & Charlot (2003) assuming a Chabrier (2003) IMF, Calzetti dust law (Calzetti et al. 1994, 2000), and exponentially declining star formation rates (SFR) (EXP SFR) while constraining the redshift to the photometric redshifts of Rafelski et al. (2015) and spectroscopic redshifts when available. From this we obtain galaxy properties including age, mass, and SFR. The 1σ (68%) confidence levels are calibrated using 500 Monte Carlo simulations per galaxy and are described in the appendix of Kriek et al. (2009). From FAST we found that host galaxies have a median metallicity equal to 1/25.7, a median age of ~25 million years, and a median SFR of 0.29 ± 0.15 M⊙ yr⁻¹ (hereafter all given uncertainties are the 68% confidence intervals). They cover a broad range of stellar masses with a median mass of 1.66 × 10³ M⊙, agreeing well with Figure 27 from Skelton et al. (2014) that shows the evolution of mass as a function of redshift over H₁₆₀W AB mag bins.

It is well known that the SFR density evolves with redshift peaking at z ~ 2 followed by a sharp decline (e.g., Cucciati et al. 2012; Madau & Dickinson 2014; Bouwens et al. 2015). The rapid decrease in the SFR density in the redshift range 0.5-1.5 makes it an important redshift interval to study the assembly of galaxies. The formation of a main sequence in the SFR versus stellar mass plane, which strongly evolves with redshift, is observed for galaxies at 0.2 < z < 2 (Elbaz et al. 2007; Peng et al. 2010; Whitaker et al. 2012; Fumagalli et al. 2014; Speagle et al. 2014; Wisnioski et al. 2015). The scatter in this relation could provide interesting constraints on the star formation history (Salmon et al. 2015; Shivaei et al. 2015; Kurczynski et al. 2016). We show the SFR-mass relation for our host galaxy sample in Figure 2, which shows that the galaxies follow the same increasing mass and SFR trend as that depicted by the star-forming main sequence (SFMS) determined by Elbaz et al. (2007) for galaxies at z ~ 1. More than half of the host galaxies lie above the SFMS shown, and there are several galaxies that are beyond the 1.0 dex scatter (depicted as dotted lines in Figure 2) from the Elbaz SFMS. We find that we have many star-forming galaxies that are typical main-sequence galaxies, but also about 56% with SFR greater than depicted by the SFMS and 27% greater than 1.0 dex above the SFMS.

4.2. Clump-fitting with FAST

Clump mass, metallicity, age, and SFR are determined using the same method as for the host galaxies for the 403 clumps in our sample. Clumps at intermediate redshifts are often not visible or resolved in the IR. We consider the quality of the fits and the effects of not including the IR in the SED fitting in the Appendix. Based on these findings, we do not include the IR data because of the resolution in the NIR. We therefore limit our SEDs to the observed UV and optical photometry, and thus do not sample the older and/or low-mass stellar populations. We compare fits with an exponentially declining SFH (EXP SFH) and a delayed exponentially declining SFH (DEL SFH), both with a minimum e-folding time of log₁₀(τ/year) = 6.5. It is important to fit the model with the most representative SFH to ensure that the Balmer break is properly sampled and thus provide accurate ages, and we discuss this further in Section 4.5.

Torrey et al. (2015) find that a minimum of five bands covering a large wavelength range is required to obtain relatively good mass estimates, as we do here with the filters in our study. However, we are looking at star-forming regions, and the clumps may therefore only be detected in the UV or the blue optical. This could further limit our sample; however, these cases are also very interesting because they are truly probing the birth of the clump, the youngest or hottest stars. Torrey et al. (2015) also found that derived stellar mass errors can improve by constraining the metallicity and age range. We use FAST with two sets of input parameters: (1) allowing the
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Table 3

| Parameter          | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| AB_ZEROPoint       | 23.93 μJy |
| FILTERS.RES       | FILTER.RES.v7.R300 |
| N_SIM             | 0     |
| C INTERVAL        | 68%   |
| LIBRARY           | bc03  |
| RESOLUTION        | pr.lr |
| IMF               | ch    |
| SFH               | exp,del |
| DUST_LAW          | calcetti |
| LOG:TAU.MIN       | 6.5 log[year] |
| LOG:TAU.MAX       | 10.9 log[year] |
| LOG:TAU_STEP      | 0.2 log[year] |
| LOG:AGE.MIN       | 6.0 log[year] |
| LOG:AGE.MAX       | 10.1 log[year] |
| LOG:AGE_STEP      | 0.2 log[year] |
| A_V.MIN           | 0 mag |
| A_V.MAX           | 3.0 mag |
| A_V_STEP          | 0.1 mag |
| METAL             | [0.004, 0.008, 0.020], [0.020] |
| H0                | 70.0 |
| OMEGA.M           | 0.3   |
| OMEGA.L           | 0.7   |

Table 4

| Property   | EXP-Zc | EXP-Fl | DEL-Zc | DEL-Fl |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mass (10^10 M_⊙) |        |        |        |        |
| Median     | 0.65±0.06 | 0.63±0.07 | 0.71±0.05 | 0.66±0.10 |
| Average    | 25.9±13.6  | 26.4±13.6 | 26.1±13.6 | 26.6±13.7 |
| Age (Myr)  |        |        |        |        |
| Median     | 15.8±24  | 6.3±20 | 39.8±23 | 10.0±20 |
| Average    | 344±102  | 356±112 | 407±143 | 390±143 |
| Star Formation Rate (M_⊙ yr⁻¹) |        |        |        |        |
| Median     | 0.014±0.006 | 0.041±0.019 | 0.017±0.004 | 0.05±0.040 |
| Average    | 4.43±0.044 | 6.40±0.065 | 10.40±0.150 | 12.0±0.168 |

Note. Values above are shown for EXP (exponentially declining SFH) and DEL (delayed exponentially declining SFH). Metallicity parameters for data presented are as follows: Zc (solar metallicity) and Z_fl = [0.20 Z⊙, 0.40 Z⊙, Z⊙] (floating metallicity). The 68% confidence limits for the averages and medians are denoted for each value in the table.

4.4. Mass

Mass distribution histograms (Figure 3) show that regardless of the assumed SFH, EXP or DEL, the distribution of mass for all the clumps agrees with one another with P KS > 0.90 for all models. The histograms show a distribution peak at 10^3 M_⊙, and the distribution of mass is predominantly from 10^2 M_⊙ to 10^7 M_⊙. Similarly, Elmegreen et al. (2005b) find a range of masses from 10^8 M_⊙ to 10^{11} M_⊙. These mass results from Elmegreen et al. (2013) for UDF, Kiso, and local galaxy clumps span a mass range of 10^-3–10^7 M_⊙, where higher mass clumps were found in the UDF sample and lower mass clumps extinction for clumps with floating metallicity is 0.97±0.04 mag and 0.98±0.03 mag for EXP and DEL SFH, respectively, where the median for EXP SFH is 0.90±0.10 mag and 1.00±0.01 mag for DEL SFH. Similarly, the average extinction for these SFH models when constrained to solar metallicity are very similar, with extinctions of 0.86±0.03 mag and 0.87±0.03 mag, respectively, and a median of 0.70±0.10 mag for both models.

We perform Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) tests on the physical properties for each model to quantify how the output parameters compare with one another. The two-sample KS test uses a cumulative distribution function to estimate the probability, P KS, that both samples are drawn from the same parent distribution. Low significance levels indicate that the two data sets are significantly different, while high values indicate that they are probably consistent with a single distribution. Tests show that the distribution of the extinction (A_v) between the two SFHs are statistically the same with P KS = 1.00 for both floating and solar metallicity. Through visual inspection we find that the model with constrained solar metallicity and an exponentially declining star formation history has the best-fit SEDs with a median SFR of 0.014 M_⊙ yr⁻¹. In comparison, the median SFR of the host galaxies is 0.29 M_⊙ yr⁻¹, lower than the SFR of our own Milky Way (SFR = 0.68–1.45 M_⊙ yr⁻¹; Robitaille & Whitney 2010).

4.3. Metallicity and A_v

Other clump studies often fix clump metallicity in their models, like Wyatts et al. (2013), who assume that clumps have solar metallicities, and Elmegreen et al. (2009a), who confine their studies to clumps of Z = 0.4 Z⊙. These studies assume particular parameters beforehand in order to further constrain their models. We investigate two cases: (1) where Z = 0.20 Z⊙, 0.40 Z⊙, and Z⊙, and (2) when fixing the clumps with Z = Z⊙, while constraining the redshift for both cases. The average
in both the Kiso and the local galaxy sample. The masses of clumps from our sample are in agreement with those determined from simulations (Tamburello et al. 2015) and observational studies (Adamo et al. 2013; Livermore et al. 2015) at similar redshifts and at $z > 2$ (Swinbank et al. 2009). Although clump masses for our sample cover a wide range, they are consistent with masses determined by other clump studies.

One important aspect to take into consideration are the masses determined for clumps in the high-redshift bin. For those with $z > 1.15$, the only filter sampling the rest-frame optical and 4000 Å break is F850LP, with no additional filter redward of this. There are a total of 233 clumps in the high-redshift bin, and 108 of these are at $z > 1.15$. The precise position of the break is known for clumps with spectroscopic redshifts ($\sim 30\%$); however, this is not the case for the remaining clumps with only photometric redshifts. For these clumps there may be very little constraint on the stellar masses determined; however, we retain them as part of the high-redshift bin sample. We distinguish between the two subsamples ($1.0 < z < 1.15$, $1.15 < z < 1.5$) in the high-redshift bin with filled and open symbols.

4.5. Age

As shown in Figure 4, the distributions of clump ages for both EXP and DEL SFH agree rather well. The distributions peak at $10^4$ year with consistently smaller peaks at $\sim 10^2$ year and $\sim 10^5$ year. Although the maximum deviation between the cumulative distribution, the KS statistic, is relatively low for solar metallicity (0.104) and floating metallicity (0.065), the corresponding significance levels indicate that they are less likely to be drawn from the same parent distribution. The average age for the SFH models lies between 344 and 407 Myr, where the EXP models tend toward the lower end of the range and DEL models toward the higher end. The median age for each model is a few million years from about 6 to 40 Myr. Elmegreen et al. (2013) found average ages for their clump sample: 12.6 Myr for the Kiso clumps and 63.1 Myr for the UDF clumps, which coincides with our median age values. The average ages of our clumps are higher by a factor of 10 as a result of a few clumps whose ages drive the average up. However, clumps of this age range have been found at $z \sim 2$

(Förster Schreiber et al. 2011; Wuyts et al. 2012), which indicates that these older clumps are not completely uncommon. The “clumpy disk” phase can last for several $10^8$ year (Jones et al. 2010), which may account for the older ages.

Some of our clumps may be older because although they are relatively UV bright, there might be an underlying first-generation stellar population present in the clump region. The average age of the host galaxies is $1.04^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$ Gyr with a range of $10^7$–$10^{9.8}$ years. In Figure 4 we observe that most clumps are on the order of millions of years old; however, there are about 30 clumps that are much older (ages greater than $10^6$ years) and are about the same age as the host galaxies. This implies that they may have formed after or at the same time as the host galaxy and could therefore be examples of the long-lived clumps described by Ceverino et al. (2010) and Bournaud et al. (2007).

4.6. Star Formation Rate

Clumps are known to form in galaxies with active star formation (e.g., Daddi et al. 2007; REDdy et al. 2008), and we find that the host galaxies have a median SFR$_{UV+optical+IR}$ of $0.29 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. The peak of the SFR distribution for clumps ranges from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-1} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ for all SED models with a median SFR of $0.014^{+0.005}_{-0.003} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ for EXP SFH and solar metallicity. However, there are at least 35 clumps in each model displaying high SFRs (SFR $> 10 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$). These highly star-forming clumps tend to be very young, corresponding to ages of about one million years, and with masses about one order of magnitude greater than the median mass of clumps, consistently for all SED models. This corresponds to the fact that they are comprised of bright young O and B stars that are very massive themselves and could indicate an initial starburst accounting for the young ages and highly driven star formation. Elmegreen et al. (2013) obtained SFRs for their clump sample and found a range of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, which agrees quite well with the SFR range of the majority of the clumps. Similarly, Livermore et al. (2012) found $H_{\alpha}$ SFRs with a range of $10^{-3}$–$10^{1} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ for clumps at $z = 1$–$1.5$, which coincides with the SFRs of our high-redshift bin clumps.

The clump sample has a few outliers with very low SFRs (SFR $< 10^{-5} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$), which means that FAST may not have chosen the proper fit. We visually inspect the clumps to determine the cause of the extremely low SFRs. One of the factors that may be contributing to this is the extinction determined by FAST. For example, the host galaxy for one of the outliers exhibits much dust surrounding the clumps from an edge-on disk; however, FAST found no extinction correction. FAST also found no extinction correction for a small face-on spiral with undefined arms. Clearly, these outliers are a product of the fits determined by FAST when the extinction is poorly calculated. Although there are some clumps with low SFRs, we confirm that the host galaxy SFRs for these outliers range from 0.45–$12.6 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. Therefore, regardless of this relationship, we do find that our SFRs agree well with the star-forming galaxies on the main sequence depicted as a black line in Figure 2.

5. Intrinsic Properties

5.1. Clump Number

The number of clumps detected in each host galaxy is of great importance in understanding how the UV flux is
Clump sizes were estimated for all 403 clumps by taking the pixel area of the clump in the detection band from the SExtractor output ISO_AREA, with the smallest geometries meeting the resolution of $HST$. With a pixel scale of 0.03/arcsec and a PSF FWHM of 0.011, 0.010, and 0.009 for F225W, F275W, and F336W, respectively, we can measure clump diameters down to about 3.7 pixels in F225W and 3 pixels in F336W. These sizes correspond to minimum diameters of 0.68 kpc in the lower redshift bin and 0.72 kpc in the higher redshift bins. To automate the clump size determination, we assume a spherical geometry for all clumps with diameter $d = \sqrt{A/\pi}$. This is why in some cases clump size values may seem smaller than the $HST$ resolution. We find clump diameters that are typically 3–5 pixels in the three redshift bins (Elmegreen et al. 2009a). From these diameters and the photometric redshifts, we determine the clump size in kiloparsec. Figure 6 shows kiloparsec-sized clumps that are comparable to clumps associated with all types of star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (e.g., Bournaud et al. 2008; Genzel et al. 2008, 2011; Genel et al. 2012) and previous visual measurements performed on epoch 2 data of the UVUDF. Elmegreen et al. (2013) found clump sizes $>0.5$ kpc in UDF clumps and multiple giant clumps of $\sim$1 kpc for massive star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (Elmegreen et al. 2004; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005; Förster Schreiber et al. 2006, 2011). Wisnioski et al. (2012) found a larger average clump size of $\sim$1.5 kpc for clumps at $z \sim 1.3$. Our data have an average clump size of 0.9 kpc but extend up to $\sim$2 kpc, which is quite large relative to these studies and may be due to groups of nearby clumps that were not deblended. The average clump sizes based on redshift bin are as follows: 0.75 kpc (0.5 < $z$ < 0.75), 0.84 kpc (0.75 < $z$ < 1.0), and 1.06 kpc (1.0 < $z$ < 1.5). Elmegreen et al. (2009b) found that there was a general evolution toward smaller clumps and smoother disks at lower redshifts. This correlation is seen here in the size averages per redshift bin, where the difference between the lowest and highest redshift bins is 0.31 kpc. However, this may in fact be an artificial trend created by the adopted minimum-area clump criterion since clumps in the higher redshift bin would be larger in order to meet the 5-pixel minimum.

Clump size increases in correlation to clump mass, as shown in Figure 6. This relationship was also observed in Elmegreen et al. (2013) for UDF clumps up to a redshift of $z < 3.6$. We plot their data (black crosses) for comparison in Figure 6. Additionally, we find an evolution of mass and size with respect to redshift, where lower redshift clumps comprise the smaller less massive clumps and higher redshift clumps dominate the larger and more massive end. Many clumps at 0.5 < $z$ < 1.0 are at masses lower than those typically determined for the UDF clumps (lower than about 10^5 M_☉) and of smaller size. We attribute these lower masses and smaller sizes to our photometric data. The UV coverage of the clumps provides us with the rest-frame FUV for our clump sample SED, which enables us to determine these low masses for the lower redshift clumps. However, it is also possible that
this correlation may arise from Malmquist biases created by our limiting magnitude of the host galaxy sample.

6. Discussion

6.1. Clumps Versus Galaxies

Comparing the physical properties of clumps to the overall properties of their host galaxies is a crucial step in understanding the role that these sub-galactic regions truly play in the evolution of their hosts. This can provide insights into clump migration, bulge formation, disk formation, and so forth. For example, Figure 7 shows that higher redshift galaxies are comprised of younger clumps (<10^{10.2} year) that cover a broad range of masses, but mostly dominate the higher mass end (>10^{8.8} \, M_\odot). About 52% of all clumps are young, and of these, 42% are of greater mass than the median mass shown in Figure 7. The concentration of high-redshift clumps at young ages and high masses, which constitute about 18% of all clumps in the sample, coupled with the highly star-forming clumps mentioned in Section 4.6 could indicate newly formed clumps in the sample, coupled with the highly star-forming clumps. Tamburello et al. (2015) states that feedback suppresses clump formation and that massive clumps (>10^9 \, M_\odot) may only form via clump-clump mergers. In simulations without feedback, they found that vigorous star formation gives rise to longer-lasting clumps that can reach very high masses before sinking in toward the bulge. We find older massive clumps that could be examples of these mergers. SFRs, mass fractions, and flux ratios are indicators of these evolutionary stages. Here we study these properties for our clump sample and their host galaxies more closely.

6.1.1. Star Formation Rate

Figure 2 shows the SFR as a function of mass and redshift, and it also shows that host galaxies have SFRs ranging on scales of 0.001–100s \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}. The SFRs of the host galaxies follow the increasing trend of the SFR and mass relationship depicted by the SFMS and are therefore representative of typical star-forming galaxies. The clumps agree with the trend depicted by the SFMS as well; however, a large portion of the clump sample lies well above (~1.0 dex) the SFMS.

Wisnioski et al. (2012) found that fractional contribution of clumps to the integrated SFR of the star-forming galaxies increases to ~20% at \, z \sim 2 (Förster Schreiber et al. 2011; Genzel et al. 2011; Genel et al. 2012), but we do not see this trend toward a higher fractional contribution. Studies by Guo et al. (2015) and Wisnioski et al. (2012) state that clumps individually contribute 4%–10% of the star formation. According to the data, each clump generally contributes only a small fraction of the total SFR of the whole galaxy. We find a broad range of fractional contributions, with a median contribution of about 5% for each clump individually, which implies that the bulk of the the star formation is not in clumps.

Förster Schreiber et al. (2011) suggested that the duration of the SFR activity is shorter for localized kiloparsec-sized clumps compared to the bulk of the stellar population across the galaxy. This would imply that most clumps would still be younger than the interclump regions, and our data support this conclusion. It would be reasonable to assume that the time for peak star formation within a clump would be much shorter, considering that clumps are such a compact region in comparison to the total area of the galaxy, and that the SFR would therefore be dominated by activity in other larger areas.

6.1.2. Mass Fraction

Mass fraction is the fractional contribution of the clump mass to the total mass of the host galaxy, and it is used as an indicator of clump interaction and migration toward the center of the galaxy for bulge formation. If multiple clumps are present, it is possible that they may interact with each other, eventually losing angular momentum, and thus spiral into the center of the galaxy (e.g., Bournaud et al. 2007; Elmegreen et al. 2009b; Mandelker et al. 2014). Elmegreen et al. (2009b) estimated the ratio of clump mass to host galaxy mass, as we do here, and compared this with ratios in simulations that resulted in clumps migrating to the center of the galaxy. They found that the total clump mass was ~30% of the disk mass, where each clump was ~5% of the disk mass. Severino et al. (2010) found lower estimates for the clump mass contribution, where clumps comprised about 10%–20% of the total mass of the disk, and Bournaud et al. (2014) found stellar mass fractions of 18% for clumps ranging in age from 100 to 200 Myr.

Figure 8 shows our clump-galaxy mass fractions for individual clumps. Clumps contribute an average 4.4^{+0.7}_{-0.4} % each to the total mass of the galaxy with a median contribution of about 1.2 ± 0.2%. This may be insignificant if we only regard single-clump systems, but when we take into account double, triple, and multiple clump systems, which constitute ~50% of all host galaxies, this contribution from clumps becomes more significant. This could account for up to 35.2% of the host galaxy mass in systems with multiple clumps (Wisnioski et al. 2012). The data also indicate that clumps may contribute more mass in lower mass galaxies, as shown by the decreasing trend in mass fraction as galaxy mass increases in Figure 8. From simulations, Mandelker et al. (2014) found that each clump contains an average of 1% of the disk mass, with masses as low as ~0.1% of the mass of their host disk, and 6% of the disk SFR, where inner clumps are somewhat more massive and older. Our results agree remarkably well with their findings for in situ clumps originating from violent disk instability. The mass fractions determined here reach the limits...
that observations and simulations require to hold clump migration valid as an evolutionary process.

6.2. Gradients with Respect to Galactocentric Distance

6.2.1. Mass and Redshift Gradients

Correlations between clump mass, age, and SFR with the radial distance to the geometric center of the galaxy, or the galactocentric radius, may also be indicators of clump migration. Figure 9 shows the distribution of the clumps based on the galactocentric radius depending on redshift. We find more clumps in the outskirts of galaxies, up to 5 kpc, for galaxies at higher redshifts, which is about twice the distance of lower redshift clumps. This population of high-redshift clumps \( (z > 1.0) \) ranging from 3.5 to 5.0 kpc in galactocentric radius is strongly distinguishable in comparison to the distribution of the lower redshift bins.

Simulations from Mandelker et al. (2014) found that the median mass of in situ clumps increases the closer the clump is to the center of the galaxy. The plot that shows clump mass versus galactocentric radius (Figure 10) does not show this particular trend, which agrees with the findings of Förster Schreiber et al. (2011). Instead, we find that lower redshift clumps occupy the lower mass end and high-redshift clumps occupy the higher mass end, regardless of radius. We do find that the individual clump mass fraction increases with decreasing galactocentric radius (Figure 10). About 94% of the clumps have a galactocentric radius smaller than 6 kpc. When the mass fraction is divided into 2 kpc bins, we find that the median of the mass fraction increases from \(+0.4\) to \(+0.7\)% at a radius of 5–7 kpc up to \(+2.3\)% at a radius of 1–2 kpc. This is a difference of 5–6 times the median mass budget of the host galaxy occupied by individual clumps in these mass bins. Although individual clump masses do not follow the trend stated in Mandelker et al. (2014), clump mass ratios provide comparable insight into the mass distribution as a function of galactocentric radius.

6.2.2. Age and SFR Gradients

We also find a gradient in the clump age versus galactocentric radius (Figure 11), with a younger clump population at greater radii. We analyze this by dividing the inner region (radius smaller than 7 kpc) into a young clump population (ages younger than \(10^7\) year) and an older clump population (ages greater than \(10^7\) year), and comparing them to those in the outskirts (radius greater than 7 kpc). At radii smaller than 7 kpc, ages cover a broad range from millions of years to gigayears, but at radii greater than 7 kpc, there are almost no clumps with ages greater than \(10^7\) Myr (Figure 11). The older stellar population at smaller radii could indicate a migration pattern
beginning from the outskirts of the galaxy inward toward the center. Our data support the findings of observational studies (Förster Schreiber et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2012; Adamo et al. 2013) and simulations (Genel et al. 2012), where clumps with older stellar populations are closer to the galaxy center. Mandelker et al. (2014) also find increasing age as galactocentric radius decreases, stating that such results are consistent with the clumps starting to form stars in the outer disk and then gradually migrating inward. However, Genel et al. (2012) stated that the observed trend in age gradient, where more distant clumps tend to be younger (Genzel et al. 2008; Elmegreen et al. 2009b), is not necessarily an indication for clump migration to the center of the galaxy since “background” stars in the clumps could be affecting the ages. Therefore, we must look for other indicators as to the processes that occur during clump evolution.

The SFR versus galactocentric radius plot (Figure 11) shows a trend of comparatively higher SFR at greater radii, where the young clump population in the outer regions of the host galaxies has a greater SFR (2.24 M_⊙ yr^{-1}) than their inner region counterpart (0.59 M_⊙ yr^{-1}). This correlation between SFR and age as a function of galactocentric radius leads us to infer that highly star-forming regions with young stars of high mass, likely O and B stars, are forming in the outer regions of galaxies and could be indicative of first-generation clumps. The trends discussed before, that lower SFR, higher clump mass fractions and older clumps are found at lower radii, supports the theory that clump migration toward the bulge is occurring. It is possible to explain the young clumps at lower radii if we assume that they are a second-generation population. Since these would be bright O and B stars, the UV emission would dominate. We find that this population has a much greater median SFR (0.59 M_⊙ yr^{-1}) than the older clump population at lower radii (0.0024 M_⊙ yr^{-1}), with a median mass for the young population that is about half the median mass of the older population at lower radii.

6.3. Rest-frame UV Flux Ratio and Luminosity

The UV flux ratio is determined by adding the background-subtracted flux of all the clumps in the galaxy in the detection band, rest-frame 1500 Å flux, and dividing this by the total flux for the galaxy from the isophotal B-band flux of the detection band. We find that each clump typically contributes ~5% of the UV flux with an average of 10%. On a larger scale, all clumps contribute ~14% to the host galaxy flux (Adamo et al. 2013), with an average contribution of 19%. Elmegreen et al. (2005a, 2009a) derive typically ~2% per clump and a total of 25% on average from rest-frame UV in clump clusters and chains. They also find that rest-frame UV clumps in more regular galaxies at similar redshifts tend to have lower fractional contributions (Elmegreen et al. 2009a). Wynts et al. (2012) required a total UV (rest-frame 2800 Å) contribution of 5% from all clumps at 0.5 < z < 2.5 to be considered a clumpy galaxy, which is in agreement with the findings in this study. Guo et al. (2012) found that individual clumps contribute from 1% to 10% to the U band and V band, with a median of 5%, and a total contribution of about 20% for clumps at 1.5 < z < 2.5. The results discussed here coincide very well with higher redshift studies.

Our results show a higher individual contribution from clumps, twice that found in clumps clusters and chains according to the Elmegreen et al. studies mentioned above. However, the overall total contribution of the clumps to their host galaxies appears to be somewhat lower. This could be attributed to the number of clumps typically found in host galaxies in each of the samples due to the different redshifts and the ability to resolve high-redshift UV clumps. The galaxies discussed in Elmegreen & Elmegreen (2005) for example had redshifts z ≥ 1.6 and contained an average of 10 clumps per galaxy. Our study of 403 clumps found ~2 clumps per host galaxy (excluding the central bulge), whereas clump clusters and chains discussed in Elmegreen et al. (2009a) usually contained 5–10 clumps. The difference in the number of clumps per host galaxy explains why the total contributions do not exceed those determined from high-redshift studies although the clumps in our sample individually contribute more of the rest-frame 1500 Å flux.

Our results show a relationship between clump number, flux ratio, and galactocentric radius. Galaxies with two or fewer clumps have clumps that individually make up a higher fraction of the rest-frame 1500 Å flux and have lower galactocentric radii than galaxies with three or more clumps (Figure 12). Clumps in galaxies with three or more clump detections tend to contribute a smaller fraction of the rest-frame FUV flux and extend out to larger galactocentric radii. The clumps have rest-frame 1500 Å luminosity densities that range from 10^{25} to 10^{28} erg s^{-1} Hz^{-1}, increasing with respect to the galactocentric radius.
Figure 12. Individual clump flux ratio vs. galactocentric radius. Points are color-coded with respect to the number of clumps present in the host galaxy: single-clump host galaxies are orange, double-clump host galaxies are yellow, galaxies with three clumps are green, and galaxies with four or more clumps are labeled in blue. Individual clump flux ratios decrease as a function of radius and clump number.

Figure 13. Rest-frame 1500 Å clump luminosity density vs. galactocentric radius. Luminosity densities determined from background-subtracted fluxes for the complete clump sample (403 clumps) are plotted as a function of radius and are color-coded based on the redshift bin scheme of Figure 6.

to redshift (Figure 13). The median luminosity density increases from \(6.6 \times 10^{25} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}\) at \(0.5 < z < 0.75\) to \(5.6 \times 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}\) at \(1.0 < z < 1.5\). Figure 13 also shows that clumps located in the outskirts of galaxies have somewhat higher luminosities \((6.9 \times 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})\) than their inner region counterparts \((2.7 \times 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})\).

7. Conclusions

We have used \textit{HST}/WFC3 broadband images of 1403 galaxies from the UVUDF with observed UV and optical photometry to identify 403 clumps in 209 host galaxies at \(0.5 \leq z \leq 1.5\). Deep high-resolution WFC3 FUV data allowed us to detect and measure kiloparsec-scale clumps through the use of a semiautomated clump-finding algorithm. We measured the physical properties of all clumps through SED-fitting with FAST using seven-band photometry \((F225W, F275W, U, B, V, i, z)\). The properties determined from the SED are for a combination of fitting parameters: with exponentially declining SFH, delayed exponentially declining SFH, constrained solar metallicity, and when the metallicity is allowed to float. The main results of the paper are summarized as follows.

1. The number of UV-selected clumps in host galaxies varies from predominantly single-clump objects to galaxies with up to eight clumps, with an average number of two clumps per galaxy.
2. The host galaxy sample exhibits the linear increasing SFR–stellar mass relation as described in previous studies, indicating that they are typical star-forming galaxies. The clump SFR–stellar mass relation also exhibits a similar trend.
3. Clumps contribute an average of \(\sim 19\%\) UV flux to the host galaxy, which is a substantial percentage of its UV budget. Individually, each clump may contribute \(5\%-10\%\) of the UV flux, which is at least twice that determined from previous studies at higher redshifts. The UV flux ratio also decreases as a function of clump number and galactocentric radius. If a larger portion of the UV flux is found in clumps, then this implies that the impact of clumps in the rest-frame UV morphology is significant, but may not be as apparent at other wavelengths.
4. Although clumps contribute a significant fraction of the UV flux budget, individual clumps contribute an average of about \(4\%\) to the total galaxy mass. The majority of the clumps contribute a combined mass fraction of lower than \(1\%\), up to about \(40\%\) of the host galaxy mass. The UV bright clumps do not dominate the mass budget of the host galaxy. Thus the main contributors to the mass of the galaxy must be the larger older stellar populations.
5. We find that our results agree remarkably well with those for in situ clumps formed by violent disk instabilities, as described in previous simulation studies and theoretical work, and they are also broadly consistent with previous observational studies.
6. The size, mass, redshift, age, and SFR gradients show consistent support of clump migration toward the center of the galaxy. These properties as a whole allow us to infer the life of clumps. The distribution of clumps at greater galactocentric radii for high redshift indicate that gravitational instabilities may cause clumps to migrate inward over time. We find that low-redshift clumps are smaller and closer to the galaxy center, and higher redshift clumps are typically larger and dominate the population of clumps found in the outskirts of host galaxies. Additionally, individual clump mass fractions increase with decreasing galactocentric radius, with higher mass fractions at radii smaller than 3 kpc as compared to clumps at higher radii. Study of the age gradient led to the analysis of two age populations at lower galactocentric radii and a young population at higher galactocentric radii (greater than 7 kpc), where there are almost no clumps older than \(10^7\) years. We also found a trend toward higher SFR at greater radii, where the young clump population in the outer regions of the host galaxies has a greater SFR than their inner region counterpart.
While some simulations (Tamburello et al. 2015) may imply little importance in the role clumps play in galaxy evolution, observational studies such as this work imply otherwise. Our results, although applicable to UV-selected clumps only, are significant. We show consistent support of clump migration toward the center of the galaxy, but find no strong evidence supporting the quick disruption scenario. With such a large clump sample (403), we have robust statistical characterization of properties such as clump size, radius, and UV flux ratio. A larger sample of clumpy galaxies would improve statistics per redshift bin. Deep IR data with a resolution at least comparable to the UVIS data, which does not currently exist, would also be ideal for future study and would consequently provide improved constrained SEDs at greater wavelengths, allowing for a more reliable comparison of clump properties such as age and SFR. Further UV studies with HST would be beneficial to future observations with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). Exploring and determining clump properties at $z < 2$ would provide important insight into the evolutionary stages of galaxies, which could be extended to very high redshift studies, as will be conducted with JWST. However, it is vital that such UV studies be conducted now due to Hubble’s limited lifetime.
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**Appendix**

**UV Resolution Versus PSF-Matched Resolution Comparison**

One of the challenges in this study is addressing the validity of our derived physical properties for the clumps when using only the three UV and four optical observed passbands (without the NIR data) for clump photometry. The morphology of galaxies is observed to change drastically between the UV, IR passbands, often appearing clumpy and disjointed in the UV bands and smooth and symmetric in the IR bands (Petty et al. 2009, 2014), without any clearly visible clumps. We omit the IR passbands because clumps were not observed or unresolved in the NIR. The image resolution is a key factor for this choice because the IR resolution (FWHM$ \sim 0''20$) is much lower than the UV and optical HST resolution (FWHM$ \sim 0''10$). We validate our derived physical properties from SED fitting by comparing the resulting physical parameters obtained with either UV through optical or UV through NIR photometry for the clump sample. With these test cases, or rather test clumps, we compare the clump properties at the UV and optical HST (UVO) resolution data to PSF-matched UV+optical (UVO) and PSF-matched UV+optical+IR (UVOIR) resolution images that include F105W, F125W, F140W, and/or F160W when available (Figure 14), with all bands PSF-matched to F160W when the NIR is used. This comparison is performed for the same two metallicity cases described in the paper using an exponentially declining SFH.

Figure 15 shows how the masses at the PSF-matched resolution compare with the mass results of the same sample at the UVO HST resolution. The mass distribution peaks at about 8–10 million $M_\odot$ for both metallicity cases, with smaller peaks present in both. When the clump metallicity is constrained to solar metallicity, the peak of the mass distribution for the UVOIR sample occurs at slightly greater mass (about 30 million $M_\odot$); however, the complete mass distribution including the IR does not fully shift toward higher masses. When the metallicity is allowed to float, the histogram shows a small
systematic shift in the mass without the NIR data, but it is not a drastic shift. Similarly, Buat et al. (2014) compared stellar properties derived using combinations of UV, NIR, and IR data and found that omitting NIR data would lower the mass by an average of 15% and that omission of IR data would have even less of an impact for galaxies at $z > 1$. Table 5 shows that when the NIR is excluded, the average and median masses are lower for both metallicity models. We find differences in the median mass of the PSF-matched resolution UVO and UVOIR of about 15% for both metallicity cases (Table 5) and correlation coefficients of 0.96 for solar metallicity and 0.97 for floating metallicity. The median mass difference agrees very well with the conclusions from Buat et al. (2014), but as shown in Figure 16, clumps at $z > 1$ are affected in the same way as those at lower redshift. Figure 16 shows a very good correlation regardless of redshift, with 96% of the clump masses being within 1.0 dex of the 1:1 linear ratio and having a median scatter of 0.4 dex.

We investigate the effects of image resolution on the masses determined from SED fitting. Figure 17 illustrates the effects of using the UVO $HST$ resolution images in comparison to $H$-band PSF-matched resolution images. Table 5 shows that the averages for the PSF-matched and original resolution UVO masses are quite different with rather high uncertainties, but when limited to the sample of clumps with photometry in all seven passbands (69% of all clumps), the uncertainties are on the order of $\pm 5.0$ (see footnote for Table 5). Clumps with fewer than seven filters tend to have higher mass estimates, which drives the overall average and uncertainties to higher values. We find that 90% of the test clumps are within the 1.0 dex scatter. There are several outliers beyond this limit, mainly below the 1:1 ratio line, which indicates that the masses determined using the PSF-matched images are greater than the masses determined using the original $HST$ resolution photometry. The scatter is largest for clumps where the UVO $HST$ resolution mass is lower than $10^5 M_\odot$. Further investigation of the outliers shows that these particular clumps are missing data from two or more passbands for the UVO $HST$ SED fit. Therefore, the SED fit is being determined by five or fewer passbands, whereas the UVO PSF-matched images still provide low fluxes for the missing passbands accounting for the higher masses. The fluxes in the UVO PSF-matched images may be present as a result of poor deblending or as a result of deconvolving when PSF-matching to lower resolution. Disparities in the photometry between the original $HST$ resolution and the PSF-matched resolution that account for clumps where the scatter is above the 1:1 linear ratio may arise as a result of PSF-matching. When PSF-matched more of the flux contained in the border or wings of the clump is lost and therefore result in lower masses when performing SED fitting, as illustrated in Figure 18. The SED fit in Figure 18 shows a lower ratio between fluxes in the observed UV of both resolutions in comparison to the ratio at higher wavelengths, leading to the differences in mass observed in Figure 17 for the outliers above the linear ratio. This shows the difficulty in measuring the photometry of small clumps in the lower resolution PSF-matched.

### Table 5

| Metallicity | Median ($10^5 M_\odot$) | Average ($10^5 M_\odot$) |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|             | UVOIR (PSF-matched)     | UVO (PSF-matched)        |
|             |                        | UVO (Original)           |
|             | UVOIR (PSF-matched)     | UVO (PSF-matched)        |
|             | UVO (PSF-matched)       | UVO (Original)*          |
| Solar       | 6.76±1.00               | 5.75±0.70                |
|             | -0.59                   | 6.46±0.62                |
|             | 0.38                    | 53.14±12.7               |
|             | -0.83                   | 38.57±7.44               |
|             | 0.70                    | 261±138                  |
| Float       | 5.25±0.51               | 4.47±0.90                |
|             | -0.68                   | 6.31±0.93                |
|             | 0.94                    | 40.34±10.45              |
|             | -0.95                   | 35.18±7.74               |
|             | 0.70                    | 264±137                  |

Notes. UVOIR is UV+optical+IR images and UVO is UV+optical images. Original denotes the results obtained using only original resolution images, and PSF-matched denotes the results obtained using PSF-matched degraded resolution images. Metallicity parameters for data presented are as follows: $Z_\odot$ (solar metallicity) and $Z_{\text{float}} = [0.20 Z_\odot, 0.40 Z_\odot, Z_\odot]$ (floating metallicity).

* When clumps with fluxes in fewer than seven filters are excluded, the averages and uncertainties are $33.69^{+4.94}_{-4.84} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and $40.32^{+6.20}_{-6.84} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for solar and floating metallicity, respectively.

Figure 15. Mass distribution (modeled using EXP SFH with FAST) of all the test clumps at UV resolution (purple) with PSF-matched resolution UV+optical (green) and PSF-matched resolution UV+optical+IR (red) included for a constrained solar metallicity (left) and when the metallicity is allowed to float (right). The bin size is 0.10. The mass distribution peaks at about 8–10 million $M_\odot$ for both metallicity models. Test clumps are the UV-detected clumps for an exponentially declining SFH, which are used for comparison to determine disparities in the data that may arise from using the UV and optical bands alone and from using PSF-matched images.
images, and it is the reason for omitting the NIR data in this study.

Overall, the masses determined from these tests show that the disparities seem to primarily arise between the UV resolution and F160W PSF-matched resolution results. The information provided in this Appendix shows a clear comparison of the UVO HST resolution data and the H-band PSF-matched UVO resolution data, as well as a clear comparison of the H-band PSF-matched data when excluding and including the IR. The difficulty in measuring clump photometry in reduced resolution images combined with the lack of ability to detect clumps in the NIR justifies our leaving out the NIR photometry in our clump SED fitting. We conclude that while the omission of the NIR data does cause a slight systematic shift in the masses, the resolution effects are much stronger than this, and therefore we omit the NIR data. Future observations at higher resolution, such as with JWST, will enable clump properties to be defined more precisely.
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