Search for z~7 Ly\(\alpha\) emitters with Suprime-Cam at the Subaru Telescope.
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**ABSTRACT**

We report a search for z=7 Ly\(\alpha\) emitters (LAEs) using a custom-made Narrow-Band filter, centered at 9755Å with the instrument Suprime-Cam installed at the Subaru telescope. We observed two different fields and obtained two sample of 7 Ly\(\alpha\) emitters of which 4 are robust in each field. We are covering the luminosity range of \(9.10^{42} - 2.10^{43}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in comoving volumes of \(\sim 4 \times 10^5\) and \(4.3 \times 10^5\) Mpc\(^3\).

From this result, we derived possible z~7 Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity functions for the full samples and for a subsample of 4 objects in each field. We do not observe, in each case, any strong evolution between the z=6.5 and z~7 Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity functions. Spectroscopic confirmation for these candidate samples is required to establish a definitive measure of the luminosity function at z~7.

*Subject headings:* cosmology: early universe, galaxies: luminosity function, mass function, galaxies: distances and redshifts

1. **Introduction**

Galaxies formed at high redshifts play a key role in understanding how and when the reionization of the universe took place. They also help constrain the physical mechanisms that drove the formation of the first stars and galaxies in the universe. Over the last decade,
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the limits of the observable universe have been pushed at $z \sim 6$, which corresponds to $\sim 90\%$ the age of the universe. Their detection will allow us to probe the era of reionization. Selecting galaxies with strong emission lines, such as Lyα line, allows us to probe the high-redshift Lyα luminosity function.

The search for the redshifted Lyα emission at the longest possible wavelength is complicated by the presence of OH emission lines within the terrestrial atmosphere. This strong emission line is responsible for the faintness limit at which celestial objects can be detected with ground-based telescopes at near-infrared (IR) wavelengths. Fortunately, there are spectral intervals with lower OH-background that allow for a fainter detection limit from the ground-based observations. This is known as the narrow-band (NB) imaging technique.

This is one of the most successful methods to detect strong Lyα emission lines of galaxies, since it relies on a specific redshift interval as well as a selected low-sky background window. This filter allows us for maximum detection of light from the Lyα emitters at the central wavelength, while minimizing the adverse influences of sky emission.

Over hundred of $z > 6$ LAEs have been photometrically selected and spectroscopically identified in this way since Hu et al. (2002). Kashikawa et al. (2011) obtained an exhaustive sample of LAEs, (45 at $z=6.5$ and 54 at $z=5.7$ spectroscopically confirmed), from which a first robust estimate of the Lyα LF was derived. This LF shows an apparent deficit compared to the $z=5.7$ Lyα LF of Shimasaku et al. (2006), corresponding to a possible luminosity evolution from $z=5.7$ to $z=6.5$ of $L^*_z=6.6 \sim 0.4 - 0.6L^*_z=5.7$. They conclude that the reionization of the universe is not been completed at $6.5$.

Ouchi et al. (2010) have now obtained the largest sample to date of 207 LAEs at $z=6.6$ with the NB imaging technique. Their derived $z=6.6$ apparent Lyα LF indicate a decrease from $5.7$ at $90\%$ confidence level with a more dominant decrease of luminosity evolution ($L^*$) than number evolution ($\Phi^*$), in agreement with Kashikawa et al. (2006). They claim therefore that the hydrogen in the IGM is not highly neutral at $z=6.6$.

Hu et al. (2010) have obtained 88 $z \sim 5.7$ and 30 $z \sim 6.5$ Lyα emitters. Their results on the evolution of the Lyα LF are in agreement with previous works from Malhotra & Rhoads (2004) and Kashikawa et al. (2006).

Iye et al. (2006) have first confirmed spectroscopically a $z=6.96$ LAE. From this result, Ota et al. (2008) assumed an evolution of density from $z=5.7$ to $z \sim 7$. They found that the IGM is not highly neutral at $z \sim 7$ and the neutral hydrogen fraction could evolve from $x_{HI}^{z=6.6} \sim 0.24 - 0.36$ to $x_{HI}^{z=7} \sim 0.32 - 0.64$. Hibon et al. (2011) has also performed a search for $z \sim 7$ LAEs using the IMACS instrument at the Magellan telescope. This study resulted in a sample of 6 $z \sim 7$ LAEs candidates, for which the spectroscopic follow-up data are in analysis.

We present here a new NB imaging survey with the Suprime-Cam/Subaru telescope
targeting $z \sim 7$ Lyman-α emitters with the custom-made NB973 filter ($\lambda_{\text{center}}=9755\text{Å}$, FWHM=200Å). This paper first presents the data (Section 1) and the data reduction procedure (Section 2). We then describe the method of selection and contamination of low-redshift interlopers for high redshift LAEs in Section 3. We present the final sample of $z \sim 7$ LAEs and Ly$\alpha$ luminosity function at this redshift in Section 4.

Throughout this study, we adopt the following cosmological parameters: $H_0 = 70\text{ km.s}^{-1}.\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ \cite{Spergel:2007}. All magnitudes are AB magnitudes.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

2.1. Observations

The data were taken with the Suprime-Cam instrument (the Subaru Prime Focus Camera), installed at the 8m Subaru telescope at the National Astronomy Observatory of Japan. This instrument delivers a mosaic of ten $2048 \times 4096$ CCDs, which covers a 34' x 27' field of view with a pixel scale of 0.20". The new CCD, with a QE two times better than the precedent one at the red end ($\lambda = 8000 \sim 10400\text{Å}$), was installed.

By taking exposures lasting 20 min, we ensured background limited performance. We did offset the telescope between each exposure. The offset sequence, for each field and night, was randomly chosen.

We targeted two fields (hereafter called D33 and D41) covered by the Canada France Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHT-LS) and the WIRCam Deep Survey (WIRDS : PIs Willott & Kneib\footnote{Based on observations obtained with WIRCam, a joint project of CFHT, Taiwan, Korea, Canada, France, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institute National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at TERAPIX, the WIRDS (WIRcam Deep Survey) consortium, and the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre. This research was supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-07-BLAN-0228}. The total area of the survey, the two fields considered, is 2340 square arc minutes.

We observed using the custom-made NB filter centered at 9755Å with a wavelength range of $\Delta \lambda=200\text{Å}$ (NB973) during two nights in July 2009. During these observations, the conditions were partially good : we obtained 15 and 19 20-minute exposures during these nights with a seeing varying between 0.5" and 0.85".

The total exposure time for each epoch of data is given in Table\footnote{Based on observations obtained with WIRCam, a joint project of CFHT, Taiwan, Korea, Canada, France, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institute National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at TERAPIX, the WIRDS (WIRcam Deep Survey) consortium, and the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre. This research was supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-07-BLAN-0228}.
2.2. NB Data Reduction

Using the Data Reduction Software developed for the Subaru Suprime-Cam instrument (Ouchi et al. 2004; Yagi et al. 2002), we performed the following steps: a bias subtraction, a flat fielding, a distortion and atmospheric dispersion correction, a sky subtraction, a bad regions masking such as satellite trails and AG probe, the alignment of the individual exposures, and the final co-adding step resulting on a stacked image. After eliminating the low signal-to-noise regions at the edges of the field of view, we obtain an effective area of 1118 arcmin$^2$ for D33 field, and 1202 arcmin$^2$ for D41 field.

We realize the astrometric calibration on the individual images before the final stacking using the UCAC2 catalog (Zacharias et al. 2004). We need then to adjust the WCS parameters to obtain a more precise alignment. For this purpose we use the IRAF task msctpeak to interactively align the catalog stars in our images and update their headers. We finally obtain an astrometry calibration for each individual images with a precision of rms $\sim \pm 0.1$ arcsec in both directions.

The photometric calibration of the $u^*, g', r', i', z'$ broad band CFHT-LS data (described in the next section) is based on the SDSS data for stars with $17 < i' < 21$ and the Megacam-SDSS color transformation equations of Regnault et al. (2009). The precision obtained in $u^*$, $g'$, $r'$, $i'$, $z'$ is between 0.03 and 0.02 mag. As the NB973 filter is included in the $z'$-band filter, we calibrated our NB973 stacked images, using the AUTO magnitude from the $z'$ band SExtractor catalog. We performed the calibration on 1500 non-saturated stars within $16 < z' < 20$. Considering the photometric error on the broad-band calibration, we obtain a photometric calibration precise at 0.1 magnitude in NB973.

To estimate the limiting magnitude for the different bands, we used the script limitmag.cl available in the SDFRED package. This task constructs a count distribution from random photometric apertures on the image. Then it fits a Gaussian profile into this distribution, obtains the sigma number of this profile and calculates the limiting magnitude of the image. We report in Table I the limiting magnitude for each band in each field.

2.3. Broad Band Data

Very deep optical imaging data of our observed fields are available through the CFHT-LS. For the purpose of this study, we made use of the T0006 release. These data products are available from the CADC archive and take form of image stacks in the $u^*, g', r', i', z'$ filters and of ancillary data such as weight maps, catalogs etc. The spectral curves of the filter $u^*, g', r', i', z'$ are similar to the ones of SDSS filters. We also have in hand the Near
Infrared deep Imaging data from the WIRCam Deep Survey (WIRDS) (PIs: C. Willott, J-P. Kneib) (Bielby et al. in preparation).

These optical data have been calibrated photometrically using the SDSS photometry and the NIR data using 2MASS photometry (McCracken et al. 2010). Considering internal and external photometric error sources, the uncertainty on the optical and the NIR data photometry is $\sim 0.03$ mag and $\sim 0.02$ mag, respectively.

As the broad-band data and the narrow-band data do not have the same pixel scale, we resampled the broad-band data using the software SWARP to obtain optical and NIR images with 0.2 arcsec/pixel. The alignment in pixels is then verified using IRAF \textit{geomap/geotran} tasks.

Our complete set of data is therefore scaled at 0.2 arcsec/pixel and is covering an effective area of 1118 and 1202 arcminutes$^2$, for D33 and D41 fields, respectively.

A summary of the observational data for both fields, D33 and D41, used in this paper is provided in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the transmission curves of the filters corresponding to the multi-band data used in this study.

3. Sample

3.1. Catalog generation

We generate the catalogs using the software \textsc{SExtractor} of Bertin & Arnouts (1996). We used the dual-image mode: the first image, the detection image, has been settled as the combined $NB973$ image, the second image, the measurement image, corresponds to the resampled images from the optical and NIR bands.

We choose to detect objects in 7 pixels above a threshold of 1.2$\sigma$. The aperture used for the photometry is 1$''$.

3.2. Selection

Criterion\#1: $\text{SNR}(NB973_{\text{combined}}) > 5\sigma$.

We selected objects with a 5$\sigma$ detection on the combined $NB973$ images.

Criterion\#2: $\text{SNR}(u^*, g', r', i', \chi^2) < 3\sigma$.

Due to the Gunn- Peterson trough, i.e. the nearly complete absorption of the flux short-
Fig. 1.— Transmission curves of the filters corresponding to the complete set of data used in this paper. All transmissions are normalized to 100% at maximum.
ward of Lymanα as a result of the large neutral hydrogen column density in the Intergalactic Medium (IGM), we observe spectral discontinuity at redshifts greater than about 6. We are therefore searching for objects which are not detectable in optical \((u^*, g', r', i')\) bands. A possible method is therefore to select objects with less than a \(3\sigma\) detection in filters blue-ward of the expected Lyα emission: \(u^*, g', r', i'\).

The color break between the optical and \(NB973\) filters is high and covers a wide spectral range. Moreover, for the CFHT-LS, the Terapix data center generated deep \(\chi^2\) image combining the \(g', r'\) and \(i'\) images. We consider therefore this \(\chi^2\) image as well as the other blue-ward optical filters and we select objects with less than a \(3\sigma\) detection in the \(\chi^2\) image. In summary, we selected objects with less than a \(3\sigma\) detection in \(u^*, g', r', i', \text{and } \chi^2\) bands.

**Criterion#3**: a color criterion between \(z'\) and \(NB973\) data.

The \(NB973\) filter used for this study is included in the broad \(z\) band filter. Although we are expecting an excess of flux in \(NB973\), it is yet possible to observe part of the continuum in the \(z\) band filter. From Criterion#1 and Criterion#2, we can define the following color criterion: \(z' - NB973 > 0.65\text{mag}\). We used the same NB973 filter than Ota et al. (2008) have used for the search of robust \(z=7\) LAEs in the SDF field. Their search was successful as it resulted on the spectroscopic confirmation of the only \(z\sim6.96\) spectroscopically confirmed LAE. Following the simulation they performed and plot on their Figure 3, we also check the color \(z' - NB973\) of our candidates with a second color criterion: \(z' - NB973 > 1.72\text{mag}\). We show our candidates in the Figure 2 representing \(z' - NB973\) vs \(NB973\) for both fields, and the two color criteria. The points answering \(z' - NB973 > 0.65\text{mag}\) but not \(z' - NB973 > 1.72\text{mag}\) are the points with no \(z'\) band detection. We used then the \(3\sigma\) limit of the \(z'\) band data for these objects.

**Criterion#4**: \(NB973 - J < 0, \text{NB973} - H < 0\) and \(NB973 - Ks < 0\).

To avoid some contamination by low-redshift objects, we choose to set NIR criteria. More explanations are given in the section 3.3.

**Criterion#5**: High redshift objects are identified as compact point-like sources. After applying carefully all the criteria presented above, we inspect each candidate visually to confirm their point-like source aspect. Objects with a different aspect are more likely artefacts. 7 objects out of 9 for D33 and 7 objects out of 10 for D41, have been selected as serious candidates.
Table 1: Observational data.

| Instrument   | Band | Integration time (hrs) | Limiting magnitudes$^a$ |
|--------------|------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|              |      | D33 | D41 | D33 | D41 |
| MegaCam      | $u^*$| 21.3 | 21.4 | 27.5 | 27.4 |
| MegaCam      | $g'$ | 22.1 | 24.4 | 27.5 | 27.2 |
| MegaCam      | $r'$ | 39.6 | 40.7 | 27.0 | 26.8 |
| MegaCam      | $i'$ | 69.3 | 65   | 26.6 | 26.5 |
| MegaCam      | $z'$ | 48.7 | 44.5 | 25.5 | 25.4 |
| Suprime-Cam  | NB973| 5   | 6.3 | 24.3 | 24.7 |
| WIRCam       | $J$  | 4.6 | 4.8 | 24.5 | 24.4 |
| WIRCam       | $H$  | 4.4 | 4.1 | 24.4 | 24.1 |
| WIRCam       | $K_s$| 4.8 | 3.9 | 24.2 | 24.3 |

$^a$ 5σ magnitude limits in apertures 2$''$ in diameter for MegaCam, WIRCam and Suprime-Cam.

Fig. 2.— Color-Magnitude Diagram $z' - NB973$ vs $NB973$ for D33 field (left) and D41 (right), showing the candidates(red triangles) obtained with the criteria $z' - NB973 > 0.65 \text{mag}$ (green dashed line). We also compare them to the criterion $z' - NB973 > 1.72 \text{mag}$ (blue dashed line). The black dots represent all the objects present in each field with $\text{SNR}(NB973_{\text{combined}}) > 5\sigma$. 
3.3. Contaminants

3.3.1. Transient objects

Since the narrow band and broad band data were taken at different times, it is possible for transient objects to appear in one filter and not another. Transient objects that are brighter than the narrow band detection limit will be considered as candidates if they are not visible in the broad band images.

Kulkarni & Rau (2006) used a transient rate for SNe, including Type Ia, b,c and Type II, of $5 \times 10^4 \text{Gpc}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$. From Cappellaro et al. (1999), we know that the transient rate of Type Ia SNe is approximately a factor 3.4 lower than the transient rate including Type Ia, b, c and Type II SNe. Therefore, by applying a transient rate of $5 \times 10^4 / 3$ to each of our fields, we can estimate that 0.8 Type Ia SNe could be detected in the D33 field and 0.9 Type Ia SNe in the D41 field.

We chose to also estimate the number of SNe that we could have detected in our survey using the method of Ota et al. (2008). We assume the same mean object colour than Ota et al. (2008), $i' - NB973 = 0.33$, and use the results of variability. For their large magnitude variation (1.1-1.6 mag), Ota et al. (2008) find one object at each epoch giving $P \sim 10^{-5}$. Down to $i' \sim 26.5$, we found approximately 7500 objects in D33 and 9000 objects in D41. From this method, we obtain therefore an estimated number of variable objects of $\sim 0.75$ object for D33 and $\sim 0.9$ object for D41.

In summary, both methods seem to agree for a possible contamination of order one transient object per field.

3.3.2. L-T dwarfs

Considering Figure 9 of Hawley et al. (2002) presenting several color diagrams for L-, T- dwarfs detected in SDSS, we know that M-, L-, T- dwarfs have $z' - J > 1$ and L-, T-dwarfs have $z' - J > 2$. Therefore, although our J-band is shallower than our NB973 limiting magnitude, the criterion $NB973 - J < 0$ still secure a non-contamination of our LAEs sample by L-, T-dwarfs. We therefore avoid selecting L- and T- dwarfs.
3.3.3. Foreground emitters

We estimated the lower value on equivalent width a line emitter, with a flat continuum in $f_{\nu}$, would require to be selected with our criteria using the formula from Rhoads & Malhotra (2001):

$$EW_{\text{min}} \sim \left( \frac{f_{\text{NB}}}{f_{\text{BB}}} \right) \Delta \lambda_{\text{NB}} = \left[ \frac{5\sigma_{\text{NB}}}{3\sigma_{\text{BB}}} - 1 \right] \Delta \lambda_{\text{NB}}$$  (1)

with $f_{\text{NB}}$ and $f_{\text{BB}}$ the flux in NB973 and $g'$ band respectively, $\Delta \lambda_{\text{NB}}$ the width of the NB973 filter, $\sigma_{\text{NB}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{BB}}$, the flux uncertainties in NB973 and $g'$ band respectively. We obtained therefore an $EW_{\text{D33}}^{\text{min}} \sim 840 \text{Å}$ and $EW_{\text{D41}}^{\text{min}} \sim 714.2 \text{Å}$. Foreground line emitters would then require an equivalent width $EW_{\text{D33}}^{\text{min}} \geq 840 \text{Å}$ and $EW_{\text{D41}}^{\text{min}} \geq 714.2 \text{Å}$ to contaminate our Ly$\alpha$ selection sample.

1- H$\alpha$ at $z \sim 0.47$

Salzer et al. (2005) presents the typical equivalent width of low redshift galaxies. They found that H$\alpha$ emitters at $z \sim 0.4$ have $EW < 200 \text{Å}$. From Equation 1, the lower limit on the H$\alpha$ emitters equivalent width in our survey is 840Å in D33 field and 714.2Å in D41 field. H$\alpha$ emitters at $z \sim 0.47$ can therefore not contaminate our candidates selection.

2- [O III] at $z \sim 0.95$

Xia et al. (2010) studied the line emitters in the PEARS survey and observed that [O III] emitters at $z \sim 1$ have generally an equivalent width lower than 500Å. Moreover, looking at the typical Spectral Energy Distributions (SEDs) of [O III] emitters at $z \sim 0.9$, we remark that these foreground emitters are brighter in Ks band than in J band and with typical J band magnitude $\sim 23$ (AB). We will have therefore observed these objects in our very deep NIR data. Considering the lower limit on equivalent width that line emitters will have to contaminate our candidate sample and the NIR magnitudes of typical $z \sim 0.9-1$ [O III] emitters, the contamination by these foreground emitters is therefore ruled out.

Cardamone et al. (2009) found low redshift [O III] emitters with very high observed EWs, reaching values until 1500Å. However, these emitters have very bright magnitudes in optical bands : $18 \leq g', r', i', z' \leq 21$ and blue spectra. They would be therefore easily detected by the CFHT-LS data. These emitters are identified as Pea galaxies : luminous blue compact galaxies.
3- [O II] at z ~ 1.6

Hayashi et al. (2011) studied [O II] emitters at z ~ 1.46. Figure 8 of Hayashi et al. (2011) shows these emitters in a colour magnitude diagram z' - K s vs K s. z ~ 1.46 [O II] emitters have K s ∈ [20; 23], and z' - K s > 0. As our narrow-band filter is included in the z' band filter, as seen in Figure 1, we can assume that z' - K s > 0. This colour does not agree with our Criterion#4. Figure 10 of Hayashi et al. (2011) shows the colour-colour diagram z' - K s vs J - K s. Most of their [O II] emitters have not only a z' - K s > 0 but also a J - K s > 0. In the case of our sample, a deeper J band image would help us eliminate the [O II] emitters as a possible contaminant to verify that our candidates have J - K s < 0, as deduced from Criterion#4. However, our K s band data do not cover entirely both fields. Although the contamination by z ~ 1.6 [O II] emitters is very unlikely due to the K s magnitude range, we cannot completely rule it out.

3.3.4. Red Continuum Galaxies

• Balmer break galaxies

Balmer break galaxies at z ~ 1.4 need to be also considered as a possible contamination source. However, from Figure 4 from Delorme et al. (2010), we obtain an estimation of the colour z' - J for z ~ 2 Balmer break galaxies: z' - J > 0. Daddi et al. (2004) shows that z ≥ 1.4 Balmer Break galaxies have z' - K s > 1.5. Moreover, in the case of continuum objects, we can assume that z' - K s ~ NB973 - K s. During the selection of our candidate sample, we applied Criterion#4: z' - J < 0. If the candidates were Balmer break galaxies, we should have detected them in J with a brighter magnitude in J than in z'.

• Extremely Red Objects (EROs)

We add a criterion to avoid selecting extremely red objects (Cimatti et al. 2002). We constrain this contamination by applying NB973 - J < 0, and also verifying NB973 - H < 0 and NB973 - K s < 0.

These red continuum galaxies are therefore generally brighter in K s band than in NB973. These objects cannot contaminate our candidate samples. However, as our NIR data do not cover our entire fields, we cannot completely rule out this contamination.
3.3.5. False Detections

In order to estimate the number of false detections that could pass our selection criteria, we create an inverse NB973 combined image by multiplying this NB973 image by -1. We then applied the first criteria used for the candidate selection: SNR(NB973_{combined}) > 5\sigma. None detection meets this condition. We found out therefore that our candidate samples are not contaminated by false detections.

3.4. Summary

After this analysis, we can conclude that it is unlikely that our z\sim7 LAE candidates is contaminated by low-redshift interlopers. A more detailed study of the foreground emitters (H\alpha at \sim0.47, [O\textsc{iii}] at \sim0.95 and [O\textsc{ii}] at \sim1.6) will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

3.5. Final sample

We obtain a final sample in each observed field. The D33 final sample contains 7 z\sim7 LAEs candidates over the range of NB973=24.3-24.8 and SNR (NB973)=5.2-7.7, and the D41 final sample, 7 z\sim7 LAEs candidates in a range of NB973=24.6-25.0 and SNR (NB973)=5.6-7.9, as described in Table 2 and Table 3. Using the equation given below, we estimate the lower limits of the rest-frame equivalent widths (EW) derived from the photometric data and report them in Table 2 and Table 3. It is interesting to observe that the candidates from the D41 field, deeper than the D33 field (see Table 1) are automatically fainter than the D33 ones. The observational conditions for both fields show a systematic difference of at least 0.1 arcsec in seeing.

\[
EW_{\text{rest}} = \left( \frac{f_{\text{NB973}} \Delta\lambda_{z'}}{f_{z'} - f_{\text{NB973}}} \right) \times \frac{1}{1 + z} \quad (2)
\]

where \(f_{\text{NB973}}\) is the observed flux in the narrow-band combined image, \(f_{z'}\) is the observed flux in the \(z'\) broad-band image, \(\Delta\lambda_{\text{NB973}}\) and \(\Delta\lambda_{z'}\) are the width of the NB973 filter (200Å) and the \(z'\) band filter (928Å) respectively.

Following Ota et al. (2008), we assume that 77% of the NB flux comes from the Ly\alpha
line: \( f_{Ly\alpha} \sim 0.77 f_{NB973} \). We use the detection limit in \( z' \) band, to derive in turn lower \( EW \) limits.

In the D33 field, LAE\#1 has a \( NB973 \) magnitude corresponding to 94\% completeness and the LAE\#7 \( NB973 \) magnitude to 89\% completeness. In the D41 field, LAE\#1 has a \( NB973 \) magnitude corresponding to 96\% completeness and the LAE\#7 \( NB973 \) magnitude to \( \sim 89\% \) completeness. To estimate the completeness, we added 200 artificial star-like objects per bin of 0.1 magnitude in blank regions of the stacked images. We then run SExtractor on the image with the same parameters as previously used for object detection. This procedure has been repeated 20 times. The average count on 20 times of the number of artificial stars retrieved in each magnitude bin provides a direct measure of the completeness limit.

4. Discussion

4.1. Variance

Two sources of variance can affect a high redshift study: the Poisson variance and the cosmic variance, in other words the fluctuations in the large scale distribution of the galaxies. In order to estimate the value of this cosmic variance we used the on-line calculator\(^2\) from the model of Trenti & Stiavelli (2008). We obtain then a value of 37\% and 36\% of cosmic variance in D33 and D41 fields respectively.

\(^2\)http://casa.colorado.edu/ trenti/CosmicVariance.html

Table 2: Table of the \( z \sim 6.96 \) LAE candidates for the D33 field.

| Name | \( NB973 \) | Error | SNR (\( NB973 \)) | \( z' \) Error | SNR (\( z' \)) | \( EW^a \) (\( \text{Å} \)) |
|------|------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| LAE\#1 | 24.3 | 0.17 | 7.7 | \( > 25.46 \) | ... | ... | \( > 24 \) |
| LAE\#2 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 6.1 | \( > 25.46 \) | ... | ... | \( > 24.3 \) |
| LAE\#3 | 24.7 | 0.21 | 5.7 | \( > 25.46 \) | ... | ... | \( > 24.3 \) |
| LAE\#4 | 24.7 | 0.21 | 5.6 | \( > 25.46 \) | ... | ... | \( > 24.3 \) |
| LAE\#5 | 24.7 | 0.22 | 5.5 | \( > 25.46 \) | ... | ... | \( > 24.3 \) |
| LAE\#6 | 24.8 | 0.22 | 5.3 | 26.5 | 0.31 | 3.7 | 1 |
| LAE\#7 | 24.8 | 0.23 | 5.2 | 26.8 | 0.38 | 2.8 | 7.6 |

\(^a\) In the rest-frame
Fig. 3.—Thumbnail images of the D33 candidates listed in Table 2. Each window is $15'' \times 15''$. Objects names and passbands are located above and to the left of the thumbnails, respectively.
Fig. 4.— Thumbnail images of the D41 candidates listed in Table 2. Each window is 15″ × 15″. Objects names and passbands are located above and to the left of the thumbnails, respectively.
Considering the limited number of objects in our sample and the large comoving volume of our survey, our results are as limited by Poisson noise – ∼38% for the 7 objects of D33 field and for the 7 objects of D41 field – than by clustering. Therefore, in the case where the full sample in each observed field (7 candidates in each field) is taken into account (see Paragraph 4.2.1), the error bars represent the Poisson variance and the cosmic variance. In the two other cases (see Paragraphs 4.2.2 and 4.2.3), our results are more limited by the Poisson noise than by clustering. The error bars are therefore representing the Poisson noise only.

For D33 field, we obtain a total fractional error on number counts of 0.65. As we obtain 7 objects in D33, we should expect between 4.5 and 9.4 objects in D41, if the field-to-field variation is within 1σ. As we found 7 objects D41 field, we can therefore confirm that the field-to-field variation is within 1σ. This result was expected as none of our field are known to be located in overdense or underdense large-scale structure at this redshift.

### 4.2. Luminosity Function

Following [Ota et al. (2008)](Ota et al. (2008)), we assume that on average 77% of the narrow-band flux comes from the Lyα line. We therefore apply the same correction factor during the conversion from $NB973$ to Lyα fluxes.

We fit to the Lyα luminosity function of these $z \sim 7$ LAE samples, a Schechter function, $\Phi(L)$, given by

$$
\Phi(L) dL = \Phi^* \left( \frac{L}{L^*} \right)^\alpha \exp \left( -\frac{L}{L^*} \right) \frac{dL}{L^*} \tag{3}
$$

| Name  | $NB973$ | Error | SNR ($NB973$) | $z'$ | Error | SNR($z'$) | $EW^a$ (Å) |
|-------|---------|-------|---------------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| LAE#1 | 24.6    | 0.17  | 7.9           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 24.3$  |
| LAE#2 | 24.7    | 0.18  | 7.1           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 24.4$  |
| LAE#3 | 24.8    | 0.19  | 6.6           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 24.5$  |
| LAE#4 | 24.9    | 0.2   | 6.0           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 24.5$  |
| LAE#5 | 24.9    | 0.3   | 5.9           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 24.5$  |
| LAE#6 | 24.9    | 0.21  | 5.8           | 26.7 | 0.38  | 2.8 | 4.4       |
| LAE#7 | 25.0    | 0.22  | 5.6           | $> 25.4$ | ... | ... | $> 18.4$  |

*a In the rest-frame*
in order to compare with previous high redshift works (Hibon et al. 2010; Ouchi et al. 2010, 2008; Ota et al. 2008). The error bars shown in Figure 5 represent the total errors (cumulative Poisson errors and cosmic variance) as explained in the previous section 4.1. In the case of Figure 6 and 7, the results are more limited by the Poisson noise than by the cosmic variance. The error bars represent then only the cumulative Poisson errors. Considering the low number of candidates in our sample, we choose to fit two out of three of the Schechter function parameters. Following Hibon et al. (2010) and Ouchi et al. (2008), we set the faint end slope of the luminosity, $\alpha$, to $\alpha = -1.5$, and determine $\Phi^*$ and $L^*$ by $\chi^2$ minimization. We corrected the data from the completeness by number weighting.

We decided to study the following different cases:

- The full sample of each field is real.
- The samples are contaminated by 50%. We then consider only the 4 brightest objects of each field as real (referred later as bright samples). This is justified by the fact that the brightest candidates are the most robust ones and we are focusing on building the bright end of the z$\sim$7 Ly$\alpha$ luminosity function.
- We chose to derive a common Ly$\alpha$ LF for the D33 and D41 LAEs brightest candidates. We have therefore a sample of 8 bright objects in a total area of 2320arcmin$^2$, corresponding to the sum of the D33 and D41 effective areas.

The best-fit Schechter LF parameters for each case are summarized in Table 4.

4.2.1. All the candidates are real.

From the z$\sim$7 Ly$\alpha$ LF derived from the full sample of the D41 field, seen in Figure 5 as the blue line and the candidates corresponding represented as filled squares, we observe a possible but weak evolution in luminosity from the z$\sim$6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF from Ouchi et al. (2010) (dot-long dashed line in Figure 5), but no possible evolution from the z$\sim$6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dot-short dashed line in Figure 5). The z$\sim$7 Ly$\alpha$ LF from the complete sample of the D33 field, shown as the red line and the filled triangles in Figure 5 shows a weaker evolution in luminosity from the z$\sim$6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF. However, looking at the error bars from D33 and D41 field candidates, both weak evolutions in luminosity, become very discussable. From these possible z$\sim$7 Ly$\alpha$ LFs, if all the candidates are real for one of the observed field, we cannot therefore conclude about a possible evolution in luminosity from z$\sim$6.5 to z$\sim$7.
Fig. 5.— Best-fit Schechter function for the cumulative $z \sim 7$ Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity function derived from the D33 full sample in red and from the D41 full sample in blue. Our D33 candidates are represented as triangles and the D41 ones as filled squares, the $z=6.96$ LAE from Iye et al. (2006) is the empty square and Ota et al. (2008) candidates are pentagons. Also represented here is the $z=6.5$ Ly\(\alpha\) LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dot-short dashed line), the $z=6.5$ Ly\(\alpha\) LF from Ouchi et al. (2010) (dot-long dashed line) and the $z=5.7$ Ly\(\alpha\) LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dotted line). The cumulative Poisson errors and the cosmic variance are taken in account in the vertical error bars.
Table 4: Best-fit Schechter LF parameters for $\alpha = -1.5$

| Redshift | $\log(L^*(\text{erg s}^{-1}))$ | $\log(\Phi^*(\text{Mpc}^{-3}))$ |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| $6.96^{(1)}$ | $42.50^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | $-2.40^{+0.15}_{-0.2}$ |
| $6.96^{(2)}$ | $42.53^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | $-2.15^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ |
| $6.96^{(3)}$ | $42.73^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | $-3.17^{+0.15}_{-0.2}$ |
| $6.96^{(4)}$ | $42.56^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ | $-2.73^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ |
| $6.96^{(5)}$ | $43.71^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | $-3.33^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ |
| $6.96^{(6)}$ | $42.8^{+0.12}_{-0.14}$ | $-3.44^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$ |
| $6.5^{(7)}$ | $42.64^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | $-3.07^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$ |
| $5.7^{(8)}$ | $42.8^{+0.16}_{-0.16}$ | $-3.11^{+0.29}_{-0.31}$ |

References. (1, 2) derived from our D33 and D41 full samples resp.; (3, 4) derived from the brightest candidates in each field; (5) derived from a common sample between D33 and D41 fields; (6) Ota et al. (2008); (7) Ouchi et al. (2010); (8) Ouchi et al. (2008)

4.2.2. Only the brightest candidates are real.

We derived Ly$\alpha$ luminosity functions for the two different $z$~7 bright LAE candidate samples. The best-fit Schechter functions are shown in Figure 6. In both cases, we do not observe a significant evolution either in density nor in luminosity from $z$~6.5, from Ouchi et al. (2010) or Kashikawa et al. (2011), to $z$~7.

4.2.3. One common LF for both fields bright sample.

By fitting a unique Schechter LF for both samples (as seen in Figure 7), we assume that the brightest objects from both samples are real $z$~7 LAEs. Looking only at the filled triangles in Figure 7 representing the four brightest candidates from D41 and the ones from D33, we remarked that they are fitting the $z$~6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF from Ouchi et al. (2010) but not the $z$~6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011). Depending on the $z$~6.5 Ly$\alpha$ LF we considered, the best-fit Schechter derived LF, seen as the red line on Figure 7, could agree with the observed evolution between $z$~5.7 and $z$~6.5 but would not be in favor of a strong evolution between $z$~6.5 and $z$~7.
Fig. 6.— Best-fit Schechter function for the cumulative $z\sim 7$ Ly$\alpha$ luminosity function derived from the D33 bright sample in red and from the D41 bright sample in blue. Our D33 candidates are represented as triangles and the D41 ones as filled squares, the $z=6.96$ LAE from Iye et al. (2006) is the empty square. Also represented here is the $z=6.5$ Ly$\alpha$ LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dot-short-dashed line), the $z=6.5$ Ly$\alpha$ LF from Ouchi et al. (2010) (dot-long-dashed line) and the $z=5.7$ Ly$\alpha$ LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dotted line). The vertical error bars represent the cumulative Poisson errors, as the bright samples are more limited by the Poisson noise than by clustering.
Fig. 7.— Best-fit Schechter function for the cumulative $z \sim 7$ Lyα luminosity function derived from a common sample composed by the D33 bright sample in blue and from the D41 bright sample in red. Our D33 and D41 candidates are represented as triangles, the $z=6.96$ LAE from Iye et al. (2006) is the empty square. Also represented here is the $z=6.5$ Lyα LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dot-short-dashed line), the $z=6.5$ Lyα LF from Ouchi et al. (2010) (dot-long-dashed line) and the $z=5.7$ Lyα LF from Kashikawa et al. (2011) (dotted line). The vertical error bars represent the cumulative Poisson errors, as the common sample is more limited by the Poisson noise than by clustering.
4.2.4. Summary

We obtain two different best-fit Schechter functions for the \( z \sim 7 \) cumulative luminosity function from our two different sets of photometric candidates. Both candidate samples help for building the bright end of the \( z \sim 7 \) LF. Although we do not see an evolution from \( z \sim 6.5 \) and \( z \sim 7 \) from the D33 candidates sample, the LF derived from the D41 candidates sample do not show a significant evolution from \( z \sim 6.5 \) to \( z \sim 7 \), as seen in Figure 6.

By producing \( z \sim 7 \) LF including not only a one-field candidate sample but also the spectroscopically confirmed IOK-1, the best-fit Schechter parameters do slightly change. However our previous conclusion is still valid.

Assuming that the 8 brightest of our candidates are real, we interestingly obtain a \( z \sim 7 \) LF in agreement with the \( z=6.5 \) LF produced by Ouchi et al. (2010).

Ota et al. (2008) presents the first search for \( z \sim 7 \) Ly\( \alpha \) emitters which has lead to the first spectroscopically confirmed \( z \sim 7 \) LAE. Their imaging survey covers an area of 876 square arcmin with the filter \( NB973 \) (\( \Delta \lambda = 200\,\text{\AA}, \lambda_c = 9755\,\text{\AA} \)) and reaches a 50\% completeness of \( NB973=26.2 \) (AB, 5\( \sigma \)). IOK-1 has a flux of \( 2 \times 10^{-17} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \). We therefore observe a wider but shallower area. This strategy is justified by our willing to constrain only the bright-end of the \( z \sim 7 \) Ly\( \alpha \) LF.

The main prediction of Le Delliou et al. (2006) is a likely moderate decline of the bright end of the LF of LAEs from \( z=6.5 \) to \( z \sim 7 \) arising from the evolution of the mass distribution of dark matter halos. If the LF may undergo limited evolution between \( z=6.5 \) and \( z=7 \), the effects induced by the incomplete reionization of the IGM may play an important role in the evolution of the observed LF. The faint galaxies may be more easily obscured by neutral regions and could enhance the bright end of the LF.

Ota et al. (2008), by assuming that the LAEs and LBGs show a similar evolution history, can infer that the Ly\( \alpha \) LF derived from LAEs evolves as the rest-frame UVLFs obtained from LBGs. From Yoshida et al. (2006), they estimated therefore a possible \( z \sim 7 \) Ly\( \alpha \) LF with a pure luminosity evolution of \( L^*_{z=7} = 0.58L^*_{z=5.7} \), with \( L^*_{z=5.7} = 1.08 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (Shimasaku et al. 2006). This inferred \( z \sim 7 \) Ly\( \alpha \) LF does not agree with our photometric candidates sample. It confirms their idea that, if LAEs are strongly related to LBGs, the neutral hydrogen fraction in the IGM is possibly higher at \( z \sim 7 \) than at \( z \sim 5.7 \), and this difference can cause the attenuation of Ly\( \alpha \) lines of high redshift LAEs.

If none of our object is a real \( z \sim 7 \) LAE, we can then put an upper limit on the \( z \sim 7 \) Ly\( \alpha \) LF, which helps for constraining better these models.
5. Conclusions

We observed 0.64 square degree of the WIRDS/CFHT-LS fields with the NB973 filter, corresponding to targeting the Ly\(\alpha\) line at \(z \sim 7\). After applying our selection criteria and verifying that our selection was not contaminated by low-redshift emitters, we obtained a sample of seven \(z \sim 7\) LAEs in each field. From these photometric samples, we have been able to infer possible \(z \sim 7\) Ly\(\alpha\) Luminosity Functions. We did not find a significant evolution either in luminosity nor in density from \(z=6.5\) to \(z \sim 7\).

It is now crucial to obtain spectroscopic follow-up observations to reveal the real nature of these objects and establish a firm conclusion on the \(z \sim 7\) Ly\(\alpha\) Luminosity Function. The exact evolution of the LF beyond redshift 6.5 remains therefore a matter to debate. Moreover, a single galaxy at \(z \sim 7\) is obviously not sufficient to firmly constrain the bright end of the Ly\(\alpha\) LF. We need to increase the number of independent fields as well as the number of LAEs at \(z=7\). Once the bright end of \(z \sim 7\) Ly\(\alpha\) LF is determined and possible evolution from \(z=6.5\) is derived, it will become easier to assess whether the 1.06\(\mu\)m and the 1.19\(\mu\)m NB filters could reveal \(z \sim 8-9\) LAEs.
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