Gene Pyramiding for Achieving Enhanced Resistance to Bacterial Blight, Blast, and Sheath Blight Diseases in Rice
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Bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight are the commonest diseases causing substantial yield loss in rice around the world. Stacking of broad-spectrum resistance genes/QTLs into popular cultivars is becoming a major objective of any disease resistance breeding program. The varieties ASD 16 and ADT 43 are the two popular, high yielding, and widely grown rice cultivars of South India, which are susceptible to bacterial blight (BB), blast, and sheath blight diseases. The present study was carried out to improve the cultivars (ASD 16 and ADT 43) through introgression of bacterial blight (xa5, xa13, and Xa21), blast (Pi54), and sheath blight (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) resistance genes/QTLs by MABB (marker-assisted backcross breeding). IRBB60 (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) and Tetep (Pi54; qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) were used as donors to introgress BB, blast, and sheath blight resistance into the recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43). Homozygous (BC3F3 generation), three-gene bacterial blight pyramided (xa5 + xa13 + Xa21) lines were developed, and these lines were crossed with Tetep to combine blast (Pi54) and sheath blight (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) resistance. In BC3F3 generation, the improved pyramided lines carrying a total of seven genes/QTLs (xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54 + qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2) were selected through molecular and phenotypic assay, and these were evaluated for resistance against bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight pathogens under greenhouse conditions. We have selected nine lines in ASD 16 background and 15 lines in ADT 43 background, exhibiting a high degree of resistance to BB, blast, and sheath blight diseases and also possessing phenotypes of recurrent parents. The improved pyramided lines are expected to be used as improved varieties or used as a potential donor in breeding programs. The present study successfully
INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is considered a major staple food crop for billions of population across the globe, and it provides 23% of calories shared by different food crops (Sharma et al., 2012). Exponential growth of the world population demands an increase in rice production by 26% to fulfill calorie requirements (Khush, 2013). According to the Food and Agricultural Organization, the global rice production would have to increase by 42% over the present-day production to meet the growing population by 2050 (Ray et al., 2013). However, the yield potential is frequently threatened by various biotic stresses, mostly fungi, and bacteria. To address these problems and to increase production, developing cultivars with durable resistance is a prerequisite. The host-plant resistance can be ideally improved through pyramiding of major R-genes/QTLs for multiple diseases and biotic stress factors.

Bacterial blight (BB) caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) is a major destructive disease of rice, causing a yield loss of up to 80% depending on the severity (Kumar et al., 2012). Improving the host-plant resistance is the most efficient and eco-friendly approach, as chemical control of BB is not effective (Lee et al., 2003). The infection chain starts by entering into the plant through the hydathodes, and it reaches to xylem vessels, where the infection became systemic. Till date, 46 resistance genes have been identified from the different sources of rice (Chen et al., 2020). Of these, the Xa4, xa5, Xa7, xa13, Xa21, Xa33, and Xa38 genes are most frequently utilized in hybridization programs for developing BB-resistant cultivars (Hsu et al., 2020). Natural allelic variations in Xoo challenges the resistance levels conferred by a single gene; hence, pyramiding of two or more effective resistance genes is highly essential for broad-spectrum and durable resistance to Xoo at field conditions. Xa21, a major dominant resistant gene, originated from African wild species, Oryza longistaminata (Nguyen et al., 2018). The encoding proteins of Xa21 gene carries both leucine-rich repeats (LRR) and serine-threonine kinases, and these complexes perceives the presence of pathogen ligand on the cell surface and activates the subsequent intracellular defense response R-proteins (Song et al., 1995). The Xa21 gene was physically mapped on the long arm of chromosome 11, and a highly efficient PCR-based co-dominant molecular marker (pTA 248) was developed for marker-assisted selection of Xa21 (Ronald et al., 1992). A unique, fully recessive gene, xa13, was first identified in cultivar BJ1 and physically mapped on the long arm of chromosome 8 (Zhang et al., 1996). Mutations in the promoter region of dominant allele (Xa13) resulted in a recessive gene, xa13, which does not encode for a modulator for pathogen (Chu et al., 2006). Another broad-spectrum recessive resistant gene, xa5, was identified and mapped on the subtelomeric region of chromosome number 5 (Blair et al., 2003). Unlike other R-genes, the xa5 gene encodes for a gamma transcription factor-like protein (TFIIAγ). Pyramiding of xa5 gene with other dominant genes gives durable resistance to Xoo than the plants with single BB-resistant gene (Huang et al., 1997).

Rice blast, caused by Magnaporthe oryzae (Teleomorph: Pyricularia oryzae), is one of the devastating diseases of rice growing areas across the world. Yield loss is estimated to be more than 50% when it occurs in epidemic proportions (Babu and Gnanamanickam, 2000). Similar to BB, developing of host-plant resistance is the most effective strategy for management of blast disease (Sharma et al., 2012). So far, about 100 resistance genes have been identified, and 37 of them were cloned (Zhang et al., 2019). Although several blast resistance genes have been identified, only a few of them were used in breeding programs for blast disease management in India (Singh et al., 2011). Among them, the Pi54 gene located on chromosome 11 provides stable and durable resistance to diverse strains of O. oryzae collected across India (Thakur et al., 2015). The predicted proteins of the Pi54 gene contains NBS-LRR proteins along with unique zinc finger domain (Sharma et al., 2005). During the host-pathogen interaction, the Pi54 gene induces the synthesis of callose (β-1,3-glucan), which acts as a physical barrier by blocking the penetration of fungal hyphae (Gupta et al., 2012). A functional marker has been developed for the Pi54 gene and used in maker-assisted selection for developing blast-resistant cultivars (Ramkumar et al., 2011).

Rhizoctonia solani Kühn, the causative agent of rice sheath blight disease (ShB), poses a significant impact on both yield and quality (Singh et al., 2019). Introduction of high yielding varieties and application of high doses of nitrogenous fertilizers resulted in a steep rise in incidence of sheath blight disease (Savary et al., 1997). R. solani Kühn is a soil-borne facultative parasite, survives as sclerotia or mycelium, or rarely as basidiospores. No varieties resistant to sheath blight were reported till date (Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010). Breeding for resistance to ShB is quite unsuccessful owing to inability to identify effective resistance sources from the available germplasm, wide-ranging host compatibility, high genetic variability, and capability of the pathogen to survive from season to season in the form of dormant sclerotia, makes additional complications in controlling the disease (Molla et al., 2020). Though qualitative resistance to ShB was not found, quantitative resistance was reported in some landraces viz., Tetep, Teqing, Jasmine 85, etc., (Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012; Yadav et al., 2015). Up to now, 50 QTLs conferring moderate resistance to rice sheath blight have been identified from the different sources of rice (Zhang et al., 2019). Among these, qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2 were identified in the background of Tetep (Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010) and pyramided in

introgressed Pi54, and qSBR QTLs (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) from Tetep and major effective BB-resistant genes (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) from IRBB60 into the commercial varieties for durable resistance to multiple diseases.
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Pusa 6B (Singh et al., 2015). We have used these three QTLs (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) for pyramiding in our recurrent parents to improve sheath blight resistance.

In cognizance of the above reports, the present study was formulated with the following objectives: (i) introgression of BB (xa5, xa13, and Xa21), blast (Pi54), and sheath blight (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) resistance genes/QTLs in the backgrounds of ASD 16 and ADT 43; (ii) analysis of recurrent parent genome recovery (RPG) with a set of polymorphic SSR (simple sequence repeats) markers; (iii) evaluation of improved pyramided lines for physical resistance against BB, blast, and sheath blight diseases; and (iv) evaluation of agro-morphological and quality traits of improved pyramided lines in comparison with parents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

The two recurrent parents, ASD 16 (ADT 31 × CO 39) and ADT 43 (IR 50 × Improved White Ponni) are popular, high yielding, and widely grown rice cultivars of South India. ASD 16 has short bold grains, while ADT 43 has medium slender fine grains. Though both cultivars are high yielding, they are highly susceptible to BB, blast, and sheath blight diseases. A bacterial blight-resistant genotype, IRBB60 harboring xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 was used as donor for BB resistance genes. Tetep, a Vietnamese indica land race possessing blast resistance (Pi54) (Ramkumar et al., 2011) and moderately resistant to sheath blight, harboring qSBR QTLs (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) (Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010) was also used as one of the donors for targeted transfer of blast and sheath blight resistance into the background of recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43).

**Marker-Assisted Backcross Breeding for Targeted Gene/QTL Pyramiding of Bacterial Blight, Blast, and Sheath Blight in the Backgrounds of ASD 16, and ADT 43**

Two independent crosses (ASD 16 × IRBB60 and ADT 43 × IRBB60) were made between recipient parents and IRBB60 for targeted gene transfer of xa5, xa13, and Xa21. The hybridity of the F1 plants were confirmed by functional/linked PCR-based co-dominant molecular markers of xa5, xa13, and Xa21 (Table 1). The heterozygous plants for xa5, xa13, and Xa21 were backcrossed with respective recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) to generate BC1F1. The BC1F1 hybrids were screened for targeted genes (xa5, xa13, and Xa21), and the confirmed plants were assessed with a set of polymorphic SSR markers to recover the maximum percentage of RPG (Sundaram et al., 2008). The solitary plant with targeted genes (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) and maximum recovery of RPG was selected and backcrossed to generate BC2F1, and this procedure was repeated till BC3F1. At every backcross, foreground and background selections were carried out to forward the plant with targeted genes and maximum recovery of RPG. Pedigree-based breeding strategy with marker-assisted selection was followed after BC3F1 to generate BC2F2 and BC2F3 populations. In BC3F2 population, the homozygous plants for targeted genes were identified through foreground selection and self-pollinated to generate BC3F3 population. The homozygous plants at BC3F3 were evaluated for resistance against BB as well as for key agronomic traits, and the best lines were pooled to generate three-gene bacterial blight (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) pyramided lines of ASD 16, and ADT 43. The three-gene bacterial blight pyramided lines were crossed with Tetep to introgress blast and sheath blight resistance. The plants showing heterozygous allele for all the targeted genes/QTLs were backcrossed with respective recurrent parent (three-gene bacterial blight pyramided lines of ASD 16 and ADT 43) to generate BC1F1. The BC1F1 hybrids were screened with molecular markers for all the targeted traits in the study (Table 1) followed by background selection with polymorphic SSR markers. The “positive” plants with maximum recovery of RPG were again backcrossed with recurrent parents to produce BC2F1 and BC2F1. In the BC3F2 population, foreground selection was carried out to identify the plants carrying all the targeted genes (xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54) and targeted QTLs (qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2) in homozygous condition, and the identified plants were self-pollinated to generate BC3F3 population. The plants carrying all the targeted traits in homozygous condition at BC3F3 generation were evaluated for resistance against bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight diseases under greenhouse conditions and also assessed for key agronomic as well as grain quality traits. The detailed plan of program for marker-assisted gene pyramiding of bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight resistance genes/QTLs is depicted in Figure 1.

**DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification**

The DNA extraction for PCR amplification was carried out by the CTAB method (Varghese et al., 1997). The PCR protocol for marker-assisted selection of targeted genes/QTLs was followed according to the earlier reports (Chu et al., 2006; Iyer-Pascuzzi and McCouch, 2007; Sundaram et al., 2008; Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010; Ramkumar et al., 2011; Table 1). Ten microliters of PCR reaction mixture contains 4 µl of DreamTag green 2× PCR master mix (Thermo scientific, United States), 4 µl of water, 50 ng of template DNA, and 30 ng each of forward and reverse primers. To find the specific allelic pattern of xa5 allele, 5–10 µl of PCR product is digested with BsrI (5 units of enzyme) at 65°C for 4 h with 2 µl of 10× PCR buffer (Iyer-Pascuzzi and McCouch, 2007). The amplified PCR products were separated by 2.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized on UV light in gel documentation system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, United States). Background selection was carried out with a set of 463 SSR markers1; 69 and 68 markers were found to be polymorphic for ADT 43 and ASD 16 cross combinations, respectively (Supplementary Table S1), with a wide coverage of all the 12 chromosomes (five to six polymorphic

---

1https://archive.gramene.org/
The selected IPLs (improved pyramided lines) and parents (IRBB60 as resistant check and ASD 16 and ADT 43 as susceptible checks) were tested for resistance against the DX-027 of Xoo isolate under greenhouse conditions. In addition to the selected IPLs, single- and two-gene BB pyramided lines were also evaluated to check the effectiveness of three-gene BB pyramided lines. 

### Bioassays Against Bacterial Blight, Blast, and Sheath Blight Diseases

#### Bacterial Blight

The selected IPLs were evaluated for leaf blast resistance against IS (KUL)-6, a virulent local isolate of *M. oryzae*. Five-week-old seedlings were individually transplanted into mud pots (19 cm × 22 cm × 22 cm) with three replications and inoculated with *M. oryzae* IS (KUL)-6 isolate at a spore density of 5 × 10^5 spores/ml. Disease reaction was recorded 25 days post-inoculation on randomly selected plants consisting of three infected tillers in each of three replications. Disease reaction was measured based on RLH% (relative lesion height), and scoring (0–9) was given as per SES (International Rice Research Institute [IRRI], 2002) [0 (immune), 1–20% (resistant), 21–30% (moderately resistant), 31–45% (moderately susceptible), 46–65% (susceptible), and >65% (highly susceptible)].

#### Sheath Blight

A pure culture of *R. solani* collected from the Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute (TRRI), Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu, India, was used for testing the resistance of IPLs and parents against sheath blight. The pathogen was multiplied on sterilized shoot bits of water sedge, *Typha angustata*. Infected *Typha* shoot bits with mycelium and sclerotia were used as source of inoculum and placed carefully between the tillers of rice hills with the help of forceps at 80 days after sowing. The inoculated portion was covered with wet cotton and aluminum foil to avoid the moisture loss in the inoculated portion. The observations were recorded 25 days post-inoculation on randomly selected plants consisting of three infected tillers in each of three replications. Disease reaction was measured based on RLH% (relative lesion height), and scoring (0–9) was given as per SES (International Rice Research Institute [IRRI], 2002) [0 (immune), 1–20% (resistant), 21–30% (moderately resistant), 31–45% (moderately susceptible), 46–65% (susceptible), and >65% (highly susceptible)].

### Characterization of Agro-Morphological and Quality Traits

The 30-day old seedlings of recurrent parents and selected IPLs were transplanted to an experimental plot at the Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai, India, with a spacing of 15 cm × 20 cm. The experimental plot was arranged in a randomized block design (RBD) with four blocks, and three replications were maintained in each block. Standard agronomic practices were followed as prescribed by TNAU, Coimbatore, India, to raise the healthy crop. Observations were recorded on five plants in each line for key agronomic traits viz., days to 50% flowering (DFF), plant height (PH) (cm), number of productive tillers per plant (NPT), panicle length (PL) (cm), number of grains per panicle (NGP), and 1000 grain weight (GW) (g). The plants with a score of 0–3 were rated as resistant and those with more than a score of 4 were rated as susceptible.

### Table 1 | Details of molecular markers used for foreground selection.

| Gene/QTL | Marker | Sequence (5′–3′) | AT (°C) | Chr | Resistant allele size (bp) | Reference |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|
| Xa21         | pTA248 | F-AGACGCCGGAAGGGTGGTTTCCCGGAA | 65     | 11  | 95                       | Ronald et al. (1992) |
| Xa13         | xa13-prom | F-GAGGCCTCGAGCTTCCACAATG | 59     | 8   | 50                       | Chu et al. (2006) |
| xa5          | xa5-1  | F-CCTCGACCGAGATCTTGCTGAC | 53     | 5   | 299                      | Iyer-Pascuzzi and McCouch (2007) |
| Pi54         | Pi54-MAS | F-CAATCTCCAAAGTTTGCACGG | 56     | 11  | 216                      | Ramkumar et al. (2011) |
| qSBR7-1     | RM336  | F-GTGCCTACGACTCTGAGAC | 55     | 7   | 190                      | Chennamalikarjuna et al. (2010) |
| qSBR11-1   | RM224  | F-ATTCAGCTGATCTCCGATC | 55     | 11  | 130                      |           |
| qSBR11-2   | RM209  | F-ATATAGTGGGCTCTGCGG | 55     | 11  | 150                      |           |

Additional polymorphic markers, i.e., 10 polymorphic markers were employed on chromosome number 11, which carries Xa21, Pi54, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2 to minimize the linkage drag.
flag leaf length (FL) (cm), flag leaf width (FW) (cm), 1,000-grain weight (1,000-GW) (g), grain-L/B ratio (cm), and single plant yield (SPY) (g). In addition, the quality traits viz., hulking percentage (HP%), milling percentage (MP%), head rice recovery (HRR%), kernel length (KL) (mm), kernel breadth (KB) (mm), kernel length breadth ratio (KLBR), milled rice length (MRL) (mm), milled rice breadth (MRB) (mm), kernel length after cooking (KLAC) (mm), kernel breadth after cooking (KBAC) (mm), and linear elongation ratio (LER) were analyzed in the homozygous improved pyramided lines.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was done with SPSS software to complement the ANOVA (analysis of variance) to determine the significant variation among the improved pyramided lines. The coefficient of genetic distance among the selected pyramided lines and parents was calculated based on 10 morphological characters and used for generating the dendrogram using the “R” software (R Core Team, 2013).

RESULTS
Marker-Aided Pyramiding of Xa21, xa13, and xa5 in the Backgrounds of ASD 16 and ADT 43
The hybrids (F₁) from the crosses of ASD 16 × IRBB60 and ADT 43 × IRBB60 were analyzed with functional/linked molecular markers of xa5, xa13, and Xa21, i.e., xa5-1, xa-13 prom and pTA 248, respectively. A total of 12/138 plants in ASD 16 × IRBB60 cross combination and 15/157 plants in ADT
43 × IRBB60 cross combination were found to be “positive” for all the targeted genes (xa5, xa13, and Xa21). These positive plants were backcrossed with respective recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) to generate the BC3F1 population. We have found that 9/134 plants in ASD 16 background and 13/150 plants in ADT 43 background were heterozygous for xa5, xa13, and Xa21, and these plants were screened with polymorphic SSR markers to assess the recovery of RPG. Background assay revealed that three plants in ASD 16 combination viz., IL-1-2-2, IL-1-5-6, and IL-1-8-18 with an RPG% recovery of 75.60, 76.82, and 76.56, respectively, and two plants in ADT 43 combination viz., IL-2-1-33 and IL-2-2-53 with a recovery of 77.08% and 76.04% were identified (Supplementary Table S2). These plants were backcrossed alone with respective recurrent parents to produce BC2F1. In BC2F1, 10/154 plants in ASD 16 background and 12/166 plants in ADT 43 background were found to be heterozygous for the targeted genes. In these BC2F1 populations, we have identified two plants in ASD 16 combination and three plants in ADT 43 combination with background genome recovery ranging from 87.5 to 89.02% (Supplementary Table S2), and these plants were backcrossed to produce BC3F1. In BC3F1, we have found that 11/92 in ASD 16 background and 17/110 in ADT 43 background were shown to be triple heterozygous, and background assay indicates that three plants with RPG recovery ranged from 93.85 to 94.96% in ASD 16 combination, and two plants with a recovery of 94.26% and 94.04% were observed in ADT 43 combination (Supplementary Table S2).

**Combining Multiple Disease (BB, Blast, and Sheath Blight) Resistant Genes/QTLs in the Backgrounds of ASD 16, and ADT 43**

The three-gene bacterial blight (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) pyramided lines of ASD 16 and ADT 43 were used as recipient parents for the targeted introgression of blast (Pi54) and sheath blight QTLs (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) from the Tetep. The bacterial blight pyramided lines of ASD 16 and ADT 43 harboring xa5, xa13, and Xa21 were crossed with Tetep, and the F1 plants were analyzed for all the targeted traits (Table 1). The hybrids with xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54 + qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2 in heterozygous condition were selected and backcrossed with ASD 16 and ADT 43 introgressions with the three-gene bacterial blight genes (xa5, xa13, and Xa21) to recover the original RPG. Up to BC2F1, all the foreground and background selections were made similar to pyramiding of bacterial blight-resistant genes, as explained above. Foreground selection of BC2F1 hybrids revealed five plants in ASD 16 background and seven plants in ADT 43 background possessing all the targeted genes and QTLs (xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54 + qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2) in heterozygous condition. Background selection of these positive plants revealed two plants with RPG recovery ranging from 93.88 to 94.92% in both combinations (ASD 16, and ADT 43) (Supplementary Table S2). Selfing was done in “positive” BC3F1 hybrids with high RPG recovery to generate BC3F2. In BC3F2 generation, 11 plants in the ASD 16 background and 14 plants in the ADT 43 background were homozygous for targeted genes (xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54) and targeted QTLs (qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2), and these were selfed to produce BC4F1. These homozygous-improved gene pyramided lines at BC3F3 generation were evaluated for physical expression of resistance against bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight pathogens under greenhouse conditions. Out of 1,500 plants screened in both the crosses at field conditions, we found nine lines in ASD 16 background and 15 lines in ADT 43 background performing on par with the recurrent parents and, moreover, exhibiting a high level of resistance to all the three major diseases (Tables 2, 3 and Figures 2–5).

| Genotype | Bacterial blight | Blast | Sheath blight |
|----------|------------------|-------|--------------|
|          | LL (cm) | Score | Score | RLH (%) | Score |
| ACM 18243 | 3.17 ± 0.56 | 0     | 2     | 44      | 5     |
| ACM 18244 | 3.28 ± 0.67 | 0     | 1     | 28      | 3     |
| ACM 18242 | 3.17 ± 1.43 | 1     | 1     | 39      | 5     |
| ACM 18245 | 3.35 ± 0.102 | 1     | 3     | 30      | 3     |
| ACM 18249 | 3.67 ± 0.62 | 0     | 1     | 37      | 5     |
| ACM 18012 | 4.03 ± 0.34 | 1     | 2     | 43      | 5     |
| ACM 18014 | 3.87 ± 0.34 | 0     | 1     | 38      | 5     |
| ACM 18015 | 3.36 ± 0.70 | 0     | 2     | 29      | 3     |
| ACM 18023 | 3.33 ± 0.78 | 1     | 1     | 35      | 5     |
| ACM 18020 | 4.21 ± 0.49 | 1     | 2     | 30      | 3     |
| IRBB60   | 3.10 ± 0.45 | 0     | –     | –       | –     |
| Tetep    | –      | –     | 0     | 28      | 3     |
| ASD 16   | 15.12 ± 1.46 | 9     | 9     | 78      | 9     |
| ADT 43   | 16.88 ± 0.56 | 9     | 9     | 72      | 9     |

*LL,* lesion length; *RLH (%),* relative lesion height expressed in percentage; *BB*, LL of <5 cm is considered as resistant, and LL > 5 cm is considered as susceptible. *Blast*, 0–1 (highly resistant), 2–3 (resistant), 4–6 (moderately resistant); 7 (susceptible). *Sheath blight*, 0 (immune), 1 (resistant), 3 (moderately resistant), 5 (highly susceptible), 7 (susceptible), and 8–9 (highly susceptible).
FIGURE 2 | Phenotypic screening of improved pyramided lines against DX-027 isolate of bacterial blight (BB). P1 – ASD 16, P2 – ADT 43, P3 – IRBB60; serially numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 lines indicate improved pyramided lines of recurrent parents harboring \( xa5 \), \( xa13 \), and \( Xa21 \) genes.

FIGURE 3 | Phenotypic screening of improved pyramided lines against IS (KUL)-6 isolate of Magnaporthe oryzae for leaf blast. P1 – ASD 16, P2 – ADT 43, P4 – Tetep; serially numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 lines indicate improved pyramided lines of recurrent parents harboring the \( Pi54 \) gene.

DX-027. Similarly, the identified homozygous IPLs were also expressed, and the similar mean lesion length ranged from \( 3.15 \pm 0.56 \) to \( 4.21 \pm 0.49 \) with the same disease reaction score as the resistant parent. The two-gene pyramided lines (\( xa5 + xa13 \), \( xa5 + Xa21 \), and \( xa13 + Xa21 \)) were shown a mean lesion length of \( 4.58 \pm 1.15 \) with a score of 1–2 (resistant). Evaluation of single-gene pyramided lines showed that \( Xa21 \) pyramided lines expressed a mean lesion length of \( 4.93 \pm 0.22 \), \( xa13 \) lines with \( 6.45 \pm 0.79 \), and \( xa5 \) lines with \( 6.85 \pm 0.64 \) lesion lengths. This indicates that the lines that harbor the \( Xa21 \) component exhibited a high level of resistance than the other components in the disease reaction (data not shown), while the recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) have shown an average lesion length of more than 15 cm with a disease reaction score of 9 (highly susceptible) for the same isolate of BB (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Bioassay for Blast and Sheath Blight Resistance
The resistant check, Tetep, possessing the \( Pi54 \) gene, exhibited a high level of resistance to leaf blast with no lesions observed on the leaf with a disease score of 0–1 (highly resistant) against the isolate of IS (KUL)-6. Similarly, the selected IPLs harboring the \( Pi54 \) gene displayed small pin-point size brown specks to slightly elongated necrotic patches with a sporulating center with a disease score of 1–3 (highly resistant to resistant). While, the recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) displayed spindle-shaped lesions with brown margin with a disease reaction score of 9 (highly susceptible) (Table 2 and Figure 3). With regard to the sheath blight, Tetep possessing \( qSBR \) QTLs (\( qSBR7-1 \), \( qSBR11-1 \), and \( qSBR11-2 \)) exhibited an RLH up to 28% with a disease score of 3 (moderately resistant). The susceptible checks, both ASD 16 and ADT 43, expressed an RLH of 78% and 71%, respectively, with disease score of 9 (highly susceptible). While the selected IPLs possessing \( qSBR7-1 \), \( qSBR11-1 \), and \( qSBR11-2 \) have expressed an RLH ranging from 28 to 45% with a disease score of 3 to 5 (moderately resistant to moderately susceptible) (Table 2 and Figure 4).

Evaluation of Improved Pyramided Lines for Agro-Morphological and Quality Traits
All the selected improved pyramided lines (nine lines in ASD 16 background and 15 lines in ADT 43 background) have similar
FIGURE 4 | Phenotypic screening of improved pyramided lines against sheath blight resistance. P1 – ASD 16, P2 – ADT 43, P4 – Tetep; serially numbered 1, 2, 3, and 4 lines indicate improved pyramided lines harboring \( q_{SBR7-1} \), \( q_{SBR11-1} \), and \( q_{SBR11-2} \) QTLs.

FIGURE 5 | Agarose gel electrophoresis images illustrating the presence of (A) \( Xa21 \), (B) \( xa13 \), (C) \( xa5 \), (D) \( Pi54 \), (E) \( q_{SBR11-2} \), (F) \( q_{SBR11-1} \), and (G) \( q_{SBR7-1} \) alleles. P1 – ASD 16, P2 – ADT 43, P3 – IRBB60, P4 – Tetep, M – 100-bp ladder, R, resistant; H, heterozygote; S, susceptible.

agro-morphological and quality traits as recurrent parents. Some promising lines have shown superior agro-morphological and quality traits and, moreover harboring BB, blast, and sheath blight resistance. Significant differences for plant height were observed among the few improved pyramided lines (BC\(_3\)F\(_3\) generation), which were shown as taller than recurrent parents viz., ACM 18012, ACM 18245, ACM 18242, ACM 18243, ACM 18244, ACM 18013, and ACM 18014 (Table 3). The DFF for recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) and donor parents (IRBB60 and Tetep) were 85 and 97 days, respectively. The selected improved pyramided lines at BC\(_3\)F\(_3\) generation harboring \( xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54 + q_{SBR7-1} + q_{SBR11-1} + q_{SBR11-2} \) also showed a similar range for DFF, i.e., 78–95 days. Two lines in the background of ASD 16 viz., ACM 18242 and ACM 18246 were significantly flowered \(~13–18\) days earlier than its respective recurrent parent, ASD 16 (Table 3). The mean values of recurrent parents for the number of grains per panicle ranged from 191 ± 14.3 to 201 ± 11.2. Several improved pyramided lines viz., ACM 18012, ACM 18245, ACM 18242, ACM 18243, ACM 18017, ACM 18016, ACM 18247, and ACM 18015 have shown significantly higher number of grains per panicle than both recurrent parents (Table 3). The mean 1,000-grain weight (g) of recurrent parents ranged from 15.6 ± 0.4 to 23.2 ± 0.5 for ADT 43 and ASD 16, respectively. The selected improved pyramided lines, ACM 18245, ACM 18244, ACM 18013, ACM 18016, ACM 18017, and ACM 18050 have shown significantly higher 1,000-grain weight than both the recurrent parents ASD 16 and ADT 43 (Table 3). The HRR% of recurrent parents ranged from 59.87 to 62.14 for ADT 43 and ASD 16, respectively, while the selected homozygous lines HRR% are also on par with the recurrent parents and some of the lines have recovered more HRR% than both the recurrent parents (Supplementary Table S3). Some of the promising lines, ACM 18012, ACM 18014, and ACM 18015 in the background of ADT 43 and ACM 18244, ACM
shown superior quality traits than both the recurrent parents 18245, and ACM 18249 in the background of ASD 16 have shown superior quality traits than both the recurrent parents (Supplementary Table S3).

Cluster Analysis
The coefficient of genetic distance on 10 morphological traits of 24 pyramided lines and four parents revealed that all the pyramided lines were very similar with their respective recurrent parent. It was observed that two solitary clusters were formed, one with Tetep alone and another with improved pyramided lines, IRBB60 and recurrent parents (ASD 16, and ADT 43). Cluster II was subdivided into two clusters, i.e., one subcluster with ASD 16 and its respective pyramided lines, another subcluster with IRBB60, ADT 43 parent, and its respective pyramided lines. Obviously, all the improved pyramided lines were being clubbed into their respective recurrent parent cluster (Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

More than half of the population of the globe is consuming rice to meet their dietary requirements. The demand for rice production is increasing, and many studies have reported that global rice production need to be doubled to meet the demands of the growing population (Ray et al., 2013). Besides the growing population, many biotic and abiotic stresses are affecting both yield and quality of rice crop. To address these constraints and to increase rice production, development of high-yielding cultivars enriched with resistant genes will enhance the yield as well as host-plant resistance. Conventional backcross method is the primary approach to develop resistant cultivars for single gene resistance, but phenotypic selection is a difficult and time-consuming process when multiple genes are involved in disease resistance (Crosa et al., 2017). The use of marker-assisted selection with stringent phenotypic selection enhances the efficiency and precision of breeding program for developing multiple disease-resistant varieties.

Marker-assisted backcross breeding (MABB) is a fastidious method for introgression of two or more targeted genes in the elite cultivars for improving the deficient trait. The prime aim of MABB is to transfer the targeted genes into the background of elite cultivars and to recover the RP genome as quickly as possible with a limited number of backcrosses. MABB also focuses on gradual reduction of donor parent genome as much as possible to avoid the undesirable effects on agronomical, yield, and quality.
traits. The recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) in this study are the popular and high-yielding cultivars of South India. Bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight diseases are the major diseases of South India causing huge losses to the crop.

Many studies have focused on developing resistance to one or two diseases, as developing resistance to more than two diseases is a complex and time-consuming process. There are a few reports on developing multiple disease-resistant cultivars. Singh et al. (2012) introgressed blast and sheath blight resistance genes/QTLs in the background of Improved Pusa Basmati 1, harboring xa13, and Xa21 genes. Das and Rao (2015) introgressed blast (Pi2 and Pi9), submergence (Sub1), gall midge (Gm1, Gm4), and salinity (Saltol1) genes/QTLs in the background of Improved Lalat harboring Xa4, xa5, xa13, and Xa21. Arunakumari et al. (2016) has introgressed bacterial blight and blast resistance genes into the popular cultivar MTU 1010. As a part of sustainable management, we have planned and executed the introgression of BB (xa5, xa13, and Xa21), blast (Pi54), and sheath blight (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) resistance genes/QTLs in the backgrounds of ASD 16 and ADT 43 for achieving multiple disease resistance and to offer IPL to farmers.

In this study, background screening with polymorphic SSR markers was used to recover the maximum percentage of RPG in early generations. At BC$_3$F$_1$, we have achieved RPG recovery in both ASD 16 and ADT 43 backgrounds. The background recovery rate in this study was at par with the theoretical recovery rate. We have observed inheritance of some unfavorable characters (plant height and grain qualities) along with favorable resistant traits while introgressing genes/QTLs from Tetep. Nevertheless, we have identified superior segregates with minimal residual effect from the Tetep genome by assessing greater population size (1,500 plants in both crosses). Sundaram et al. (2008), reported that introgression of Xa21, xa13, and xa5 genes from SS1113 exercises a “pull” through inheritance of undesirable loci from a donor segment. Singh et al. (2015) introgressed qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2 into Pusa 6B, but they have not observed the inheritance of undesirable loci from Tetep. The observed undesirable effects of Tetep on the agronomic characters might be due to the linkage drag or environmental influence on agronomic characters.

The agronomic performance of 24 selected improved pyramided lines of both ASD 16 and ADT 43 backgrounds at BC$_3$F$_3$ generation revealed that most of the agro-morphological traits were on par with their recurrent parents (ASD 16 and ADT 43) and also showed durable resistance to BB, blast, and sheath blight diseases. The higher yield and superior quality traits of improved pyramided lines were probably due to the inheritance of yield contributing traits from the recurrent parents. The yield, agro-morphological, and quality traits were normally controlled by polygenes, and these are distributed throughout the genome. Employment of a greater number of background markers accelerated the recovery of RPG in the early generations. Cluster analysis of selected pyramided lines and parents based on 10 morphological characters revealed that all the selected pyramided lines were clustered in their recurrent parent’s cluster. This is due to the similar morphological characters of pyramided lines with their recurrent parent’s. Similar results were also obtained by Pradhan et al. (2015) and Hsu et al. (2020), while introgressing BB resistance genes, which supports the present study results.

The homozygous improved pyramided lines (BC$_3$F$_3$ generation) harboring xa5 + xa13 + Xa21 + Pi54 + qSBR7-1 + qSBR11-1 + qSBR11-2 were assessed for physical resistance under greenhouse conditions. The results of bioassays suggest that pyramiding three BB-resistant genes exhibited higher resistance levels than the lines with one or two genes. In addition, the present study results also reveal that the gene combination with Xa21 component expressed a shorter lesion length than the remaining gene combinations. These results were consistent with the results of previous studies (Peng et al., 2015; Pradhan et al., 2015; Ramalingam et al., 2017; Yugander et al., 2018; Hsu et al., 2020). The improved pyramided lines harboring the Pi54 gene and ShB-resistant QTLs have expressed a similar disease reaction as donor parent, Tetep. This is due to the transfer of resistant alleles from the donor parent, which was confirmed by
functional/linked molecular markers as well as phenotypic screening methods.

The field evaluation of improved pyramided lines at BC$_{1}$F$_{3}$ generation demonstrated that the candidate lines of both recurrent parents had equivalent expression of yield, agro-morphological, and quality traits and, more importantly, with pyramided genes for BB, blast, and sheath blight. The higher levels of resistance to multiple diseases, without any yield penalty, is an integrated approach of genotypic and phenotypic selection methods. Developing broad-spectrum resistance to multiple diseases is a challenging task due to rich diversity of agro-climatic conditions in India along with the existence of genetically distinct virulent strains of different plant pathogens. Pyramiding of multiple or effective resistant genes/QTLs for different biotic stresses can contribute broad-spectrum and durable resistance to multiple diseases to the rice regions in India. The present study results prove that MABB is an effective tool for pyramiding major genes/QTLs to obtain improved plant lines in a quick time frame.

Introgression of BB resistance genes from IRBB60, blast and sheath blight resistance genes/QTLs from Tetep into the commercial cultivars is a significant achievement for obtaining durable resistance to multiple diseases. Introgression of identified effective resistance genes from the wild relatives or landraces into the commercial cultivars gradually improves the host-plant resistance to different biotic and abiotic stresses for attaining food and nutritional security. In conclusion, we have introgressed xa5, xa13, Xa21, Pi54, and qSBR QTLs (qSBR7-1, qSBR11-1, and qSBR11-2) in the backgrounds of ASD 16 and ADT 43 to improve host-plant resistance to BB, blast, and sheath blight diseases. The improved pyramided lines can be further tested in multilocational trails and could be released as improved variety or used as a potential donor in hybridization programs for developing multiple disease-resistant cultivars in rice.
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