Symmetry classification of spin-orbit coupled spinor Bose-Einstein condensates

Z. F. Xu,1 Y. Kawaguchi,1 L. You,2 and M. Ueda1,3

1Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
2State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Physics, Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
3Macroscopic Quantum Control Project, ERATO, JST, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
(Dated: May 5, 2014)

We develop a symmetry classification scheme to find ground states of pseudo spin-1/2, spin-1, and spin-2 spin-orbit coupled spinor Bose-Einstein condensates, and show that as the SO(2) symmetry of simultaneous spin and space rotations is broken into discrete cyclic groups, various types of lattice structures emerge in the absence of a lattice potential, examples include two different kagome lattices for pseudo spin-1/2 condensates and a nematic vortex lattice in which uniaxial and biaxial spin textures align alternatively for spin-2 condensates. For the pseudo spin-1/2 system, although mean-field states always break time-reversal symmetry, there exists a time-reversal invariant many-body ground state, which is fragmented and expected to be observed in a micro-condensate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold quantum gases have provided an exceptionally idealized playground for emulating condensed matter systems [1]. Recently, Lin et al. have achieved a breakthrough in quantum simulations of condensed matter systems by realizing an effective spin-orbit (SO) coupling in 87Rb atoms [2]. The SO coupling produces non-abelian gauge fields [2], plays a key role in spintronics [4] such as spin-polarized transport, spin injection, and spin relaxation, and yields many other interesting phenomena such as the quantum spin Hall effect and topological insulators [5]. The experimental realization of synthetic gauge fields has stimulated tremendous efforts on SO-coupled quantum gases [6–18].

The SO interaction couples spin and linear momentum, thereby significantly modifying single-particle spectra. For the Rashba-type SO coupling [19–22], the conserved quantity is \(L_z + F_z\), where \(L_z\) and \(F_z\) are the projected orbital and spin angular momenta along the \(z\)-axis, respectively. In homogeneous systems, an axisymmetric SO coupling will change the parabolic single-particle spectrum of a spin-\(F\) atom into \(2F + 1\) energy bands with the lowest one featuring a Mexican hat, causing a circular degeneracy of single-particle ground states. For trapped systems, such circular degeneracy is reduced into double and no degeneracy for half-integer and integer spin systems, respectively.

In spinor Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [23], the coupling between spin and linear momentum can cooperate or compete with spin-dependent or spin-independent interactions, giving rise to many exotic ground states with or without harmonic trapping potentials, such as plane-wave, stripe, triangular, and square lattice phases [8, 11, 12]. Firstly, the plane-wave phase and the stripe phase are found in SO-coupled pseudo spin-1/2 or spin-1 BECs [8]. Later, we found two different lattice phases where each spin component shows triangular- or square-lattice density distributions in SO-coupled spin-2 BECs with cyclic interactions [11]. As an axisymmetry harmonic trap is turned on, more phases are found as ground states of SO-coupled spin-1/2 BECs [14, 15]. Although many phases are found in SO-coupled spinor BECs, a systematic understanding on them is still elusive as previous results largely depend on numerical solutions of the coupled Gross-Pitaevskii equations. In this article, alternatively we present a symmetry classification scheme to investigate ground states of SO-coupled spinor condensates. We can then not only understand different lattice phases already found, but also find two different kagome-lattice phases and a nematic vortex lattice phase, both of which emerge spontaneously without lattice potentials.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the model Hamiltonian used in the present paper. Section III analyzes various phases that spontaneously emerge in SO-coupled spinor condensates based on symmetry considerations. The following three sections discuss properties of the three typical phases: triangular-, square-, and kagome-lattice phases. Section VII discusses a possibility of a fragmented ground state of a SO-coupled spin-1/2 system with time-reversal symmetry. Section VIII summarizes the main results of this paper.

II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We consider a SO-coupled spinor BEC with \(N\) atoms, including pseudo spin-1/2, spin-1, and spin-2 condensates in a pancake-shaped quasi-two-dimensional harmonic potential. The effective Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 = \int d\rho \hat{\psi}^\dagger \left[ \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} + \mathcal{V}_0 + \frac{\mathcal{V}}{F}(p_x F_x + p_y F_y) \right] \hat{\psi},
\]

and \(\mathcal{V}_0 = M \omega_z^2 \rho^2 / 2\), \(\mathcal{V}(x, y)\) describes the strength of
the SO coupling, and $F_{x,y}$ are the spin-$F$ matrices. For the $F = 1/2$ case, we have
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\rho \left( g\hat{n}_{1/2}^2 + g\hat{n}_{-1/2}^2 + 2g'\hat{n}_{1/2}\hat{n}_{-1/2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\rho \left( \alpha n^2 + \beta \hat{S}_z^2 \right),
\]
where $g$ and $g'$ denote the strengths of the intra- and inter-component contact interactions, respectively. Here, $\hat{n}_{1/2} = \psi_{1/2}^\dagger \psi_{1/2}$, $\hat{n}_{-1/2} = \psi_{-1/2}^\dagger \psi_{-1/2}$, $\hat{n} = \hat{n}_{1/2} + \hat{n}_{-1/2}$, $\hat{S}_z = \hat{n}_{1/2} - \hat{n}_{-1/2}$, $\alpha = (g + g')/2$, and $\beta = (g - g')/2$.

For the integer spin cases, we take the same interaction Hamiltonian from spinor BECs. For the $F = 1$ case, the interaction part is given by
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\rho \left( \alpha \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j^\dagger \psi_i \psi_j + \beta \psi_i^\dagger \psi_k^\dagger \hat{F}_{ij}^\dagger \cdot \hat{F}_{kl} \cdot \psi_k \psi_j \right),
\]
where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ give the strengths of density-density and spin-exchange interactions, respectively. Here, the indices that appear twice are to be summed over $-F, \ldots, F$. For the $F = 2$ case, the interaction Hamiltonian is given by
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\rho \left( \alpha \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j^\dagger \psi_i \psi_j + \beta \psi_i^\dagger \psi_k^\dagger \hat{F}_{ij}^\dagger \cdot \hat{F}_{kl} \cdot \psi_k \psi_j \right)
+ \gamma(-1)^{i+j} \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j^\dagger \psi_i \psi_j - \gamma \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j^\dagger \psi_i \psi_j - \gamma \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j^\dagger \psi_i \psi_j)
\]
where $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ give the strengths of the density-density, spin-exchange, and singlet-pairing interactions, respectively. Defining the harmonic-oscillator length $a_1 \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/M\omega_{1z}}$, we introduce dimensionless parameters $\nu = n/a_1$ and $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma') = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)N/h\omega_{1z}$.

III. SYMMETRY ANALYSIS

Under a mean-field approximation, we assume that all atoms are condensed into a common single-particle state, and therefore the symmetries of the Hamiltonian are spontaneously broken. We can classify the ground states according to the remaining symmetries.

For spinor BECs without SO-coupling, we usually focus only on the spin degrees of freedom because they are decoupled from the orbital degrees of freedom. As a result, the Hamiltonian is invariant under the $U(1)$ global gauge transformation, the $SO(2)$ spin rotation along $z$-axis for the $F = 1/2$ case or the $SO(3)$ spin rotation for the integer-spin cases, and the time reversal $T = e^{-i\pi F_s K}$, where $K$ takes complex conjugation. In contrast, for SO-coupled spinor BECs, to make the Hamiltonian invariant, we should simultaneously rotate the spin and the space. Therefore, the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ described in Sec. I is invariant under the global $U(1)$ gauge transformation, simultaneous SO(2) global spin and space (spin-space) rotations, and time reversal $\hat{T}$.

According to the symmetry classification scheme, our task is: (1) to find the full symmetry group of the Hamiltonian, which in the present case is $G = U(1) \times SO(2) \times T$; (2) to list all subgroups $H$ of $G$; (3) to find the order parameter that is invariant under $H$. If the order parameter can be uniquely determined from $h\psi = \psi$ for $\forall h \in H$, the state $\psi$ is an inert state, which is always a stationary point of the energy functional. On the other hand, if the order parameter that is invariant under $H$ is not uniquely determined, we need to minimize the energy functional within the restricted manifold. Such a state is called a non-inert state [22-25]. The high-symmetry states in the SO-coupled system are all non-inert states. To see this more clearly, we consider the eigenstates of simultaneous spin-space rotation $C_{nz}$, where $C_{nz} \equiv R_F(2\pi/n)R_p(2\pi/n)$ is the generator of a discrete cyclic subgroup of SO(2) with $R_F = \exp(-iF_2\pi/n)$ and $R_p$ being respectively the $2\pi/n$ spin and space rotation operators about the $z$-axis. For the integer-spin cases, $C_{nz}$ has different eigenvalues $\exp(-i2\pi n/k)$ with $N = 0, \ldots, n-1$, whereas for the $F = 1/2$ case, $C_{nz}$ has different eigenvalues $\exp(i(Nn + \pi/2))$ because $(C_{nz})^n = 1$. If the eigenvalue of $C_{nz}$ is not 1, we can infer that this state is invariant under the combined $U(1)$ gauge transformation and spin-space rotation $C_{nz}$, namely, $C_{nz}(\psi) = \psi$ where $O_{nz}(N) = \exp(\pi i N N n)$, the $F = 1/2$ case, and $O_{nz}(N) = \exp(2\pi i N N n)$ for the $F = 2$ case. For a spin-$F$ system, we can construct eigenstates of $O_{nz}(N)$ by using a complete basis set of plane waves as
\[
\psi = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |O_{nz}(N)|^2 \sum_{k \in \delta, \sigma} D^\nu_{\sigma}(k)e^{ik\rho}|\sigma\rangle,
\]
where $k$ is the wave vector, $\delta = \{k - \pi/n + \phi k < \pi/n + \phi, \phi k \equiv \arg(k_x + ik_y)\}$ with $\phi$ being arbitrary, $|\sigma\rangle$ ($\sigma = -F, -F + 1, \ldots, F$) denotes the spin state with $M_F = \sigma$ under the $z$-axis quantization, and $D^\nu_{\sigma}(k)$ are the expansion coefficients. Unless we know the detail of $D^\nu_{\sigma}(k)$, we cannot uniquely determine the state that is invariant under $H = \{E, O_{nz}(N), \ldots, O_{nz}^{n-1}(N)\}$ with $E$ being the identity operator. Similar arguments can be applied to other subgroups of $G$.

Since there are no inert states for spin-orbit coupled spinor BECs, we cannot find the order parameter by analyzing its symmetry only. However, such a difficulty can be alleviated if we take the ansatz that the state is a superposition of several degenerate single-particle ground states of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 11 with $\omega_{1z} = 0$:
\[
\psi = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{i\phi k} |k_{g}, \phi k = \phi + j(2\pi n)/n\rangle,
\]
where $|k_{g}, \phi k\rangle = e^{i(k_x + ik_y)}|\phi k\rangle$ are degenerate single-particle ground states with $k_g = me/h$, $\phi k = \arg(k_x + ik_y)$, and $\phi$ being arbitrary. For the cases of
The corresponding spin expectation value is anti-parallel to the wave vector: \((\langle F_x \rangle, \langle F_y \rangle) = -F(\cos \varphi_k, \sin \varphi_k)\). If we take \(\phi_j = j2\pi \omega_n/\omega\), the state \(\psi\) in Eq. (3) is invariant under \(O_{2\pi}(\mathbb{Z})\). This state is a special case of that in Eq. (3), with the set \(S\) shrinks into only one element and the allowed values of \(k\) are fixed at discrete points as \(D_{\omega}^2(k) = \delta(k - k_j)\). Figure 1 illustrates schematic diagrams for the states of Eq. (4), where \(n\) single-particle ground states \(\mathcal{PW}(k_g, \varphi_k)\) are denoted by points \(S_j\).

We choose the ansatz in Eq. (4) based on previous understanding on a 2D system and our numerical results given in the following sections. For a 2D homogeneous system with \(\omega_\perp = 0\), as discussed in Refs. 8, 11, plane-wave and stripe phases are found to be the ground states for the \(F = 1/2\), 1 and 2 condensates 8, 11, whereas triangular and square lattice phases exist only for spin-2 condensates with cyclic interactions 11. Such phases can all be described by Eq. (4). For a trapped system, translation symmetry is broken, and linear momentum is no longer conserved. Therefore, in momentum space, there are infinite points forming regions, where momentum distributions \(|\psi(k)|^2\) of the ground state \(\psi\) are nonzero. In Fig. 4 we use solid closed loops (circles for \(n = \infty\)) to denote such regions. In the case of strong SO couplings, we can approximate low-lying single-particle eigenstates as 15, 24

\[
\Psi_{n,m}(k') \propto k'^{-1/2} e^{-(k'-v')^2/2} H_n(k' - v') e^{im\varphi_k} \zeta_F(\varphi_k),
\]

with eigenenergies \(E_{n,m}(k') = (m + 1/2)^2/2v'^2 + n + 1/2 - v'^2/2\), \((m^2 + 1/4)/2v'^2 + n + 1/2 - v'^2/2\), and \((m^2 + 3/4)/2v'^2 + n + 1/2 - v'^2/2\) for \(F = 1/2\), 1 and 2, respectively. Here, \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\), \(k' = ka_\perp\), and \(H_n\) are Hermite polynomials. When the interatomic interactions are weak, the ground states can be constructed only from the states with \(n = 0\), which are superpositions of single-particle eigenstates \(\zeta_F(k)\) with weight \(k'^{-1/2} e^{-(k'-v')^2/2} \propto v'^{-1/2} e^{-(k'-v')^2/2}\). In the limit of \(\omega_\perp \to 0\), \(e^{-(k'-v')^2/2} \to \delta(k'-v')/\sqrt{2\pi}\), which implies that the ground states will involve momenta with its magnitude close to \(k_g\). Furthermore, by choosing specific weak interactions, axial rotational symmetry in the single-particle states of Eq. (8) can break into discrete rotation symmetry, and 2D lattice phases appear, as already found in the \(F = 1/2\) case 14, 15. In the limit of weak trapping potential, strong SO couplings, and weak interatomic interactions, there are ground states whose momentum distributions split into several small regions as those illustrated in Fig. 4. As long as the area of the allowed momentum is small enough, these ground states can still be well described by Eq. (8).

The validity of the ansatz has been numerically confirmed 24, because we find several ground states which can be understood by Eq. (8). In the lower right corner

FIG. 1: (Color online). Schematic diagrams for states preserving the combined symmetry of spin-space rotation \(C_{2\pi}\), gauge transformation, and time reversal. Figures (a)–(f) correspond to \(n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, \) and \(\infty\), respectively, where arrows denote the direction of spin, solid closed loops indicate regions with nonzero momentum distributions \(|\psi(k)|^2\), and \(n\) points on the circle are denoted as \(S_0, \ldots, S_{n-1}\), respectively. Characteristic momentum distributions of the ground state \(\psi\) for a trapped system are shown in the lower right corner of each figure. Black (yellow) color refers to the region of small (large) amplitude.
of each figure in Fig. 1 we illustrate the characteristic momentum distributions of these ground states. For the case of \( n = 1 \) and 2, the state in Eq. (8) can describe respectively the plane-wave phase and the stripe phase found in a homogeneous system \[8, 11\]. For the case of \( n = \infty \), the state is invariant under the combined SO(2) spin-space rotations and U(1) gauge transformations, and has been predicted for the \( F = 1/2 \) case \[14, 15\]. The triangular-lattice phase is described by Eq. (6) with \( F = 3 \) as an example. According to the symmetry classification scheme described in Sec. III we can apply specific symmetries on the state of Eq. (8) to evaluate the value of \( \phi_j \). We find the only possible symmetry is described by the group \( H = \{ e, O_{3z}(N), O_{3z}^2(N) \} \), resulting in \( \phi_j = j 2N \pi / 3 + \text{const.} \). Meanwhile, the states of Eq. (8) with different values of \( \phi_j \) are connected by a global U(1) phase change and a local coordinate translation. This is because for arbitrary change \( \delta \phi_j \) in \( \phi_j \), there are always solutions for \( \delta x, \delta y \) and \( \delta U \) that satisfy

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_0 + k_y \delta x + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_1 + k_y (-\delta x/2 + \sqrt{3} \delta y/2) + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_2 + k_y (-\delta x/2 - \sqrt{3} \delta y/2) + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

IV. TRIANGULAR-LATTICE PHASE

A triangular-lattice phase is described by Eq. (8) with \( n = 3 \) as

\[
\psi = e^{i \phi_0} e^{i k x \zeta_{-F}(0)} + e^{i \phi_1} e^{i k x (-\pi/2 + \sqrt{3} \delta y/2)} \zeta_{-F}(2 \pi/3)
\]

\[
+ e^{i \phi_2} e^{i k x (-\pi/2 - \sqrt{3} \delta y/2)} \zeta_{-F}(4 \pi/3),
\]

where we take \( \bar{\phi} = 0 \) as an example. According to the symmetry classification scheme described in Sec. III we can apply specific symmetries on the state of Eq. (8) to evaluate the value of \( \phi_j \). We find the only possible symmetry is described by the group \( H = \{ e, O_{3z}(N), O_{3z}^2(N) \} \), resulting in \( \phi_j = j 2N \pi / 3 + \text{const.} \). Meanwhile, the states of Eq. (8) with different values of \( \phi_j \) are connected by a global U(1) phase change and a local coordinate translation. This is because for arbitrary change \( \delta \phi_j \) in \( \phi_j \), there are always solutions for \( \delta x, \delta y \) and \( \delta U \) that satisfy

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_0 + k_y \delta x + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_1 + k_y (-\delta x/2 + \sqrt{3} \delta y/2) + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

\[
\exp\{i[\delta \phi_2 + k_y (-\delta x/2 - \sqrt{3} \delta y/2) + \delta U]\} = 1,
\]

FIG. 2: (Color online). Ground states of trapped SO-coupled spin-1 condensates, with \( \nu = 15, \alpha' = 0.5 \) and \( \beta' = 0.1 \). (a) Density distributions of three spin components \( M_z \) in some parameter regions. For the single-particle eigenstates of Eq. (8) will be stabilized. Where a set of single-particle eigenstates of Eq. (8) can be stabilized. For the \( F = 2 \) case, similar arguments can be applied, and more fixed momentum distributions of these ground states. For the integer-spin case, the ground-state order parameter will be invariant under one or all of the three operations: \( T, C_{nz} \) and \( TC_{nz} \), and possibly combined with the U(1) gauge transformation for each \( n \).
where \((\delta x, \delta y)\) and \(\delta U\) describe the amount of the coordinate translation and the \(U(1)\) phase change, respectively. As a result, there is only one type of triangular-lattice phase. By choosing a proper center of lattice, such a ground state becomes invariant under \(O_{3z}\). Note that in a harmonic trap, the \(O_{3z}\) symmetry axis sometimes deviates from the trap center to gain the interaction energies.

In Fig. 2 we illustrate a triangular-lattice phase which appears in the ground state of SO coupled spin-1 condensates with \(\nu' = 15\), \(\alpha' = 0.5\) and \(\beta' = 0.1\), showing triangular-lattice density distributions for each spin component. Figure 2(b) shows the spatial variation of the corresponding order parameter. At three lattice sites, the order parameters can be written as \(\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_0 + k_y (y + \delta y)\), \(\phi_2 \rightarrow -k_x (x + \delta x)\), \(\phi_3 \rightarrow -k_y (y + \delta y)\) (12).

Absorbing \(\delta x\) and \(\delta y\) into \(\phi_j\), we find that there are two invariants \(\phi_0 + \phi_2\) and \(\phi_1 + \phi_3\). Their difference \(\Delta\) is also invariant under the global \(U(1)\) gauge transformation.

Furthermore, we find that for the states invariant under \(O_{4z}(N)\), \(\Delta = (2M + 1)\pi\) when \(N = 1, 3; \Delta = 2M\pi\) when \(N = 0, 2, (M \in \mathbb{Z})\). We then define the criterion for two different types of square-lattice phases with different lattice structures as \((1)\) \(\Delta = (2M + 1)\pi\) and \((2)\) \(\Delta = 2M\pi\). The states that fall into the same class are connected by a global lattice shift. By doing a proper lattice shift, the symmetry of the state \(\psi\) can be described by \(H = \{\mathbb{E}, O_{4z}(N), O_{4z}(N), O_{4z}(N)\}\) with \(N = 1, 3\) for the first class and \(N = 0, 2\) for the second class.

For the integer-spin cases, the state \(\psi\) in the second class is also invariant under time reversal \(\mathcal{T}\) by choosing a proper lattice center. Numerically, we find all such ground states to be integer-spin condensates. Again, in a harmonic trap, the \(O_{4z}\) symmetry axis does not always coincide with the trap center to minimize the interaction energy.

The ground states for the case of \(\Delta = (2M + 1)\pi\) are found to exist in spin-1 BECs with \(\beta < 0\), and spin-2 BECs with \(\beta > 0\) and \(\gamma > 0\). Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the corresponding ground-state density distributions and order parameters for the spin-1 BECs, with \(\nu' = 15\), \(\alpha' = 0.5\), and \(\beta' = -0.1\). The spin component \(M_F = 0\) forms a square lattice with lattice constant \(\pi/k_g\), while the spin components \(M_F = 1\) and \(M_F = -1\) fill the center of squares alternatively, both forming a square lattice with lattice constant \(\sqrt{2}\pi/k_g\). The numerically obtained total density distributions are smooth for such ground states, consistent with the prediction from the corresponding state \(\psi\) in Eq. 5. Only the component with \(M_F = 0\) involves vortices, with vortex cores filled by the \(M_F = 1\) and \(M_F = -1\) components having vorticity \(h\) and \(-h\), respectively. Thus, the spin vortex lattice is filled by ferromagnetic vortex cores which are polarized in the \(z\) and \(-z\) directions alternatively. For the \(F = 2\) case, such phase has already been predicted in our previous work [11]. We have found that spin components \(M_F = 2, 0, -2\) show the same density distribution which is different from those of the \(M_F = 1\) and \(-1\) components. Again, there is no fractional or integer vortex [31].

Another type of square lattice phase with \(\Delta = 2M\pi\) appears in the trapped SO-coupled spin-2 condensates with antiferromagnetic spin-dependent interactions. Figure 3(c) shows ground-state density distributions of spin components \(M_F = 2, 1, 0\) from left to right, with \(\nu' = 15\), \(\alpha' = 0.5\), \(\beta' = 0.1\), and \(\gamma' = -0.1\). The spin components \(M_F = -1\) and \(-2\) show the same density distributions as
$M_F = 1$ and $2$, respectively. In this phase, we find that two physical quantities $|\langle \vec{F} \rangle | \equiv | \sum_{ij} \psi_i^* \vec{F}_{ij} \psi_j | / \sum_i \psi_i^* \psi_i$ and $|\langle \Theta \rangle | \equiv | \sum_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} \psi_i^* \psi_j^* \psi_i \psi_j | / \sum_i \psi_i^* \psi_i$ are uniform and are equal to $0$ and $1$, respectively. Figure (d) illustrates the corresponding order parameter, showing a spin vortex lattice with uniaxial nematic (UN) and biaxial nematic (BN) vortex cores aligning alternatively.
FIG. 4: (Color online). Ground states of trapped SO-coupled pseudo spin-1/2 BECs, with $v' = 15$ and (a,b) $\alpha' = 0.3$, $\beta' = 0.06$, (c,d) $\alpha' = 0.4$, $\beta' = 0.08$. Here, black (yellow) color refers to the low (high) density region. From left to right, we show the spin-up, spin-down and total density distributions. Figures (a) and (c) are numerically calculated for a trapped system, while (b) and (d) are obtained by superposition of six single-particle states $\mathcal{PW}(\tilde{\phi}_k)$. In (b) and (d), we use symbols “−”, “+”, and “++” to denote vorticities $-\hbar$, $\hbar$ and $2\hbar$, respectively. The size of figure (b) [(c)] is the same as that of (d) [(a)].

VI. KAGOME-LATTICE PHASE

The ansatz in Eq. (6) with $n = 6$ can be written as

$$
\psi = e^{i\tilde{\phi}_0} e^{ik_x x} \zeta - F(0) + e^{i\tilde{\phi}_2} e^{ik_y (x/2 + \sqrt{3} y/2)} \zeta - F(\pi/3)
+ e^{i\tilde{\phi}_3} e^{ik_y (x/2 - \sqrt{3} y/2)} \zeta - F(2\pi/3)
+ e^{i\tilde{\phi}_4} e^{-ik_x x} \zeta - F(\pi)
+ e^{i\tilde{\phi}_5} e^{-ik_y (x/2 - \sqrt{3} y/2)} \zeta - F(4\pi/3)
+ e^{i\tilde{\phi}_6} e^{ik_y (x/2 + \sqrt{3} y/2)} \zeta - F(5\pi/3),
$$

(13)

where we take $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ as an example. This state can describe kagome-lattice structures. Similar to the case of $n = 4$, we note that four independent phases

$$
\Delta_0 = \phi_0 + \phi_2 + \phi_4, \quad \Delta_1 = \phi_0 + \phi_3,
\Delta_2 = \phi_2 + \phi_5, \quad \Delta_3 = \phi_4 + \phi_1,
$$

(14)

are invariant under a global shift of the lattice. Besides the one used to describe the global phase change, there will be three independent phases which determine the structure of the lattice. Therefore, there are infinite types of lattice structures of the state in Eq. (13).

According to symmetry classification scheme, we need to apply specific symmetries to determine the state $\psi$. Only if the symmetry is high enough, the state $\psi$ can be uniquely determined. For the $F = 1/2$ case, two high symmetry groups are generated by operators $O_{6z}$ and $T \mathcal{O}_{6z}$, respectively, whereas for the integer-spin cases, high symmetry groups can be generated by only $O_{6z}$ or $\{O_{6z}, T\}$.

(1) If we require the state is invariant under $O_{6z}(\mathbb{N})$, the state $\psi$ is uniquely determined with $\phi_j = jN\pi/3 + \text{const}$. For the integer-spin cases, the state is further
invariant under time reversal $T$ \cite{32} if $N = 0, 3$.

(2) For the $F = 1/2$ case, there are states that preserve the $T \mathcal{O}_{6z}$ symmetry and break the $\mathcal{O}_{6z}$ symmetry. To determine such states, we start from the states invariant under $\mathcal{O}_{6z}$ with $N = 0, 1, 2$. The value of $\phi_j$ is determined as $\phi_j = 2J\pi/3 + \text{mod}(j, 2)\pi + \text{const}$, where $\phi$ is arbitrary. Furthermore, by requiring that the state be invariant under $T \mathcal{C}_{2z}$ \cite{23}, we obtain $N = 1$ and $\phi = \pi/2 + \pi \mu$.

We numerically find two distinct classes of kagome-lattice phases in a spin-$1/2$ BEC with $\beta' > 0$, whose symmetries are described by the group $H$ generated respectively by (1) $\exp(i2\pi/3)\mathcal{C}_{3z}$ and $T \mathcal{C}_{2z}$ (with $\phi_j = j\pi/3 + \text{mod}(j, 2)(1/2 + M)\pi + \text{const}$) and (2) $\exp(iM\pi + 1/3\pi)\mathcal{C}_{6z}$ (with $\phi_j = j(M + 1/3)\pi + \text{const}$), where the ground states with different values of $\exp(iM\pi)$ in the same class are time reversal with each other. Figure \textbf{11} shows the spin-up, spin-down and total density distributions for numerically obtained order parameters in a trapped system [Fig. 4(a) and (c)] and the corresponding ansatz of Eq. (13) [Fig. 4(b) and (d)]. For each spin component, density distributions show kagome lattice structures.

\section{VII. FRAGMENTED GROUND STATES}

Although we have discussed within the mean-field theory so far, there is a possibility that a fragmented ground state \cite{32,33}, rather than a mean-field state, arises. Actually, for the case of SO-coupled spin-1/2 system with an SU(2) symmetry, we construct a fragmented state whose energy is degenerate with the mean-field solution up to the mean-field approximation. Such a fragmented ground state is expected to arise in a mesoscopic system. In this section, we discuss fragmented ground states from the point of view of the time-reversal symmetry.

As pointed out in the Sec \textbf{III} mean-field states always break time reversal for the $F = 1/2$ case because $T^2 = -1$. In this section, we show that there are also time-reversal invariant many-body states that are fragmented in a time-reversal preserving $F = 1/2$ bosonic system with or without SOCs.

For a pseudo spin-1/2 system with $N$ atoms, we have $T^2 = (-1)^N$. When $N$ is odd, all states break time-reversal symmetry. In contrast, when $N$ is even, there is always a time-reversal invariant ground state which is fragmented: if $[T, \mathcal{H}] = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$, $|\psi\rangle + T|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}$ with the same eigenenergy $E$, and is invariant under time reversal if $N$ is even.

To check whether $|\psi\rangle$ is fragmented or not, we can diagonalize its single-particle density matrix $\hat{\rho}$. We can regroup the single-particle eigenstates as $\{|\Psi_{\mu}, \Psi_{\nu}\rangle\}$, where we use $\mu$ and $\nu$ to distinguish two states by their time reversal as $T|\Psi_{\mu}\rangle = \Psi_{\nu}$ and $T|\Psi_{\nu}\rangle = -\Psi_{\mu}$. Time-reversal properties of the corresponding creation operators $\{\hat{a}_{\mu}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\nu}^\dagger\}$ and annihilation operators $\{\hat{a}_\mu, \hat{a}_\nu\}$ for the states $\{|\Psi_{\mu}, \Psi_{\nu}\rangle\}$ are

\begin{equation}
T \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger T^{-1} = \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger, \quad T \hat{a}_\nu T^{-1} = -\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger, \quad T \hat{a}_\mu T^{-1} = \hat{a}_\nu, \quad T \hat{a}_\nu T^{-1} = -\hat{a}_\mu.
\end{equation}

We also have $\langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu | \psi_T \rangle = \langle \psi_T | T \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu | \psi_T \rangle^* = \langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu | \psi_T \rangle^*$, where for the first equality we use the fact that $T$ is an antisymmetric operator, while the second equality is due to Eq. (15) and the fact that $|\psi_T\rangle$ is invariant under time reversal. Similarly, we obtain $\langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu | \psi_T \rangle = -\langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu | \psi_T \rangle^*$, and $\langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu | \psi_T \rangle = -\langle \psi_T | \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu | \psi_T \rangle^*$. These equalities imply that the single-particle density matrix is invariant under $\Xi$ as

\begin{equation}
\Xi \hat{\rho} \Xi^{-1} = \hat{\rho}, \quad \Xi = K \prod_i \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right).
\end{equation}

Due to this symmetry, we can infer that if $|\Psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $\hat{\rho}$, $\Xi |\Psi\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of $\hat{\rho}$ with the same eigenenergy but orthogonal to $|\Psi\rangle$. Our argument above is also valid for the ground state.

A simple state that preserves time-reversal symmetry is

$|\psi_T\rangle \propto (\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger)^{N/2} (\hat{a}_\nu^\dagger)^{N/2} |\text{vac}\rangle$.

Using this ansatz wave function, we numerically minimize the energy functional $\langle \psi_T | \mathcal{H} | \psi_T \rangle$, and find that the ground-state energy is the same as that obtained with single-orbital mean-field approximation for an SU(2)-symmetric system without spin-dependent interactions, where we take the lowest-energy band approximation by considering only states $\Psi_{0,0}$ with $\nu' = 15, \beta' = 0, \alpha'$ ranging from 0 to 1.

Before conclusions, we would like to point out that the fragmented ground states we discuss here are protected by time-reversal symmetry for a spin-1/2 system. The two-orbital ground states for an SU(2)-symmetric spin-1/2 system we constructed in Eq. (17) are different from that predicted in Refs. \cite{37,38} in which the fragmented ground states are produced in a cooling process that conserves the total spin $S$. For an initial state at high temperature, we have $S \sim \sqrt{N}$. If all atoms condense into the same orbital state, $S = N/2$. Therefore, to be compatible with the requirement of the total spin conservation, atoms should condense at least into two orbitals, resulting in a fragmented ground state.

\section{VIII. SUMMARY}

We have systematically classified ground states of strong SO-coupled trapped spinor BECs, including pseudo spin-1/2, spin-1 and spin-2 cases, based on symmetry analysis. In accordance with breaking of simultaneous SO(2) spin-space rotation symmetry in favor of...
discrete symmetries, there emerge lattice phases showing stripe, triangular, square, and kagome lattice structures on each spin component. Imposing symmetries of stripe, triangular, square, and kagome lattice structures discrete symmetries, there emerge lattice phases showing reversal and shows fragmented properties.
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