Silica: ubiquitous poison of metal oxide interfaces
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In this review, we consider electrochemical applications, broadly conceived, in which both ions and electrons play key roles in device operation and where exchange of oxygen between the gas and solid phase is likewise essential for operation. Examples include metal oxide gas sensors (semiconducting and electrolytic), solid oxide fuel and electrolysis cells, oxygen permeation membranes, oxygen storage materials and metal oxide-based catalysts. As might be expected, surfaces and interfaces play key or essential roles in the operation of all of these types of devices. Semiconducting metal oxide (SMOX) sensors depend on the adsorption/diffusion of gas species on the surfaces or exposed grain boundaries and subsequent charge transfer between the semiconductor and the adsorbed gas atoms or molecules for their operation. Fuel cells require reduction of oxygen molecules at the cathode and subsequent incorporation into the electrolyte, diffusion across the electrolyte, (including across grain boundaries within the electrolyte) and subsequent oxidation of the oxygen ions at the anode and their exit back into the gas phase by reaction with reactive gas phase hydrocarbons or hydrogen to form CO, CO₂ and or H₂O. Understandably, any process that interferes with these surface or interface reactions is undesirable. This may take the form of inert layers of materials that literally block access of oxygen, electrons or other reactive species to respective surfaces or interfaces or "poison" the catalytic activity of surface or interface sites needed for the efficient functioning of the devices. One omnipresent chemical species that appears to serve to "poison" the operation of many such electrochemical devices is some form of silica or SiOₓ, or in combination of other cations to form silicates. Interestingly, while silica and other poisons, e.g. chromia for solid oxide fuel cell cathodes, are known to be the sources of degradation of many functional electrochemical devices, the detailed mechanisms behind their effectiveness in depressing functionality remain controversial or poorly understood. Since a major focus of Professor Anna Staerz studied chemistry at the Eberhard Karls University of Tuebingen in southern Germany. After completing her master’s degree, she joined the research group of Prof. Udo Weimar and Dr Nicolae Barsan. In April 2020 she completed her PhD in which she looked at the surface chemistry of semiconducting metal oxide based sensors using operando diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy. In October 2020, she joined the research group of Prof. Harry Tuller as a postdoctoral associate and has examined how binary oxide additives influence the oxygen reduction reaction on potential solid oxide fuel cell cathodes using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.
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John Kilner's research and publications have been concerned with chemical species that interfere with transport across grain boundaries and electrochemical reactions at metal oxide surfaces, including that of silica, we dedicate this review in his honour. We also take the opportunity in this review to more broadly introduce our recent findings regarding the critical role that the relative acidity or basicity of surface additives may have on hindering or accelerating the reaction kinetics on metal oxide surfaces, thereby providing a more detailed understanding of the reactivity of common poisons like silica in degrading device performance and means for combating their impact.

Introduction

Metal oxides, given their high thermal and chemical stability, coupled with a wide range of electrical, electrochemical, optical and magnetic properties, are attractive candidates for a growing number of applications.1 Electrochemical applications, broadly conceived, in which both ions and electrons play key roles, and the exchange of oxygen between the gas and solid phase is essential for operation, are the focus of this review. This includes metal oxide gas sensors (semiconducting and electrolytic), oxygen permeation membranes, oxygen storage materials, and solid oxide fuel/electrolysis cells (SOF/EC). As might be expected, surfaces and interfaces are central to the operation of these devices. For semiconducting metal oxide (SMOX) sensors, charge transfer occurs during the adsorption of gas species on the surface and at exposed grain boundaries, thereby varying the resistance of the semiconductor. In a fuel cell, oxygen is reduced at the cathode and after incorporation into the electrolyte, oxygen diffuses (including across grain boundaries within the electrolyte) to the anode where it exits back into the gas phase by oxidizing hydrocarbons or hydrogen to form CO, CO2 and or H2O. Understandably, any process that interferes with these surface or interface reactions is undesirable. This may take the form of inert layers of materials that literally block access of oxygen, electrons, or other reactive species to respective surfaces or interfaces. More localized effects that “poison” the catalytic activity of surface or interface sites, needed for the efficient functioning of the devices, can also be detrimental. Si-species are omnipresent and known to result in significant deterioration of many electrochemical devices. Interestingly, while silica and other poisons, e.g. chromia for solid oxide fuel cell cathodes, are common “poisons”, the detailed degradation mechanisms remain controversial and poorly understood. Professor John Kilner, to whom this special issue is dedicated, has examined how different chemical species effect transport across grain boundaries and electrochemical surface reactions. Specifically, his work on silica poisoning, has motivated us to write this review in his honour. We also take the opportunity in this review to more broadly introduce our recent findings regarding the critical role that the relative acidity or basicity of surface additives may have on hindering or accelerating the reaction kinetics on metal oxide surfaces, thereby providing a more detailed understanding of the reactivity of common poisons like silica in degrading device performance and means for combating their impact.
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The gas/metal oxide interface: key application areas

Many of the electrochemical application fields of metal oxides are expected to see rapid growth in the near future. The advent of the microprocessor has enabled low cost closed loop devices for monitoring and controlling our environments. In an attempt to fulfill the Internet of Things (IoT), the demand for sensor solutions is anticipated to increase significantly. One of the most widely implemented gas sensors is the electrochemical lambda probe. Developed in the 1970s in response to stricter environmental regulations, the sensor monitors the exhaust oxygen concentration and is today a mainstay in the automotive industry. In 2016, Bosch GmbH produced its billionth lambda probe. Gas sensors are also widely used to control air recirculation in vehicle HVAC systems, in this case utilizing arrays of SMOX sensors. Being small, inexpensive, sensitive and robust, also makes SMOX sensors attractive for other applications, including indoor ventilation systems and toxic or combustible gas detection. The indoor air quality monitoring market is predicted to reach over US $3.9 billion by 2025, highlighting potential market gains for gas sensors.

Concerns with global warming has stimulated the development of alternatives to the generation of electricity by hydrocarbon fuel combustion. By directly converting chemical energy into electricity, the fuel cell enables higher conversion efficiencies with reduced emissions. In particular, the high temperature SOFC, first patented in 1905, offers fuel flexibility (H2 or hydrocarbons) without need for costly precious metal catalysts like Pt. A California-based Energy Corporation has been deploying modular systems typically operating at the 100 s of kW scale, targeting industries sensitive to power disruptions such as hospitals, data centers, and high-tech manufacturing. Already at $900 million today, the market size is projected to grow to $5.3 billion by 2028. For both sensors and fuel cells, rates of degradation in performance ultimately determine commercial feasibility. For example residential gas alarms are often expected to have product lifetimes of more than 5 years without maintenance. The heated lambda probe has a required lifetime of over 160 000 kilometres. The US Department of Energy has set a goal of less than 0.2% degradation per 1000 hours over an operating lifetime of 40 000 hours, in addition to target costs of $900 per kW and efficiencies of greater than 60% for SOFCs. This review will specifically examine how the omnipresent Si-species contribute to degradation in the electrochemical devices highlighted, the mechanisms that have been proposed and what promising directions exist for addressing this ubiquitous problem.

Operation principles

Oxygen reduction and exchange reactions

All oxides deviate to a greater or lesser extent from their stoichiometric compositions (e.g. MO (e.g. rocksalt), M2O3 (e.g. rare earth sesquioxides), MO2 (e.g. fluorite), ABO3 (e.g. perovskite), A2BO4 (e.g. layered structures), where M, A, B are typically Zr/Ce, La/Sr, and Fe/Co/Mn/Ni/Cu, respectively, with changes in the effective oxygen (or metal activities) in their environment, induced chemically or electrochemically and/or with temperature variation. These nonstoichiometric compositions are commonly designated by \( \text{MO}_1\delta \), \( \text{M}_2\text{O}_3\delta \) etc., where \( \delta \) indicates the relative change in the cation to oxygen ratio. Nonstoichiometry can be accommodated either by the creation of defects on the cation or oxygen lattice sites. In this review, we focus on oxides that deviate from stoichiometry due to defects formed on the oxygen sublattice, i.e. oxygen vacancies or oxygen interstitials during creation of oxygen deficiency or excess respectively. Changes in stoichiometry accompany reduction or oxidation reactions. These may be described by the following defect chemical reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2^{-} + 2\text{M}_\text{M} &\leftrightarrow 1/2\text{O}_2 + V_{\text{o}}^+ + 2\text{M}_\text{M}^{-} \quad (1) \\
1/2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{M}_\text{M} &\leftrightarrow V_{\text{o}}^+ + 2\text{M}_\text{M}^{-} \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

In which \( \text{O}_2^{-} \) represents an oxygen ion on a normal oxygen ion site, \( \text{M}_\text{M} \) a cation on a normal cation site, both of which are charge neutral relative to the lattice, \( V_{\text{o}}^+ \) an oxygen vacancy with 2 net positive charges relative to the neutral lattice, \( \text{O}_2^{-} \) an oxygen ion on a normally empty neutral interstitial site with 2 net negative charges relative to the neutral lattice, and \( \text{O}_2 \) an oxygen molecule in the gas phase. Overall charge neutrality is maintained in these reactions, e.g. by inducing valence changes in the cation(s), e.g. \( \text{M}_\text{M} \) under reduction and \( \text{M}_\text{M} \) under oxidation which can be viewed as generating electrons \( e^- \) or holes \( h^+ \) respectively, more or less localized around the cations depending on the energy bandwidth associated with those states. Note that the reduction and oxidation reactions generate both ionic and electronic defects leading to mixed ionic electronic conduction (MIEC) in nonstoichiometric oxides, the ratio of the two depending on their relative mobilities. It is these types of redox reactions, and their rates, that are key to the operation of devices like sensors, fuel cells, permeation membranes and oxygen storage materials, mentioned above. We will, therefore, examine the potential rate limiting steps at the metal oxide-gas interface that may control the kinetics of these reactions and relate them to the desired operating characteristics of such devices. Internal interfaces such as at grain boundaries, and at electrolyte/electrode contacts, can also lead to losses due to ion and/or electron transport limitations across such interfaces. Central to the objectives of this review, we will examine how silica species may contribute to the degradation of the respective processes occurring at these interfaces. Now we will examine the key different applications in greater detail and focus on their potential for poisoning by silica species.

SMOX based gas sensors

Originally developed in the 1950s, chemiresistive SMOX based sensors were first commercialized by Taguchi in the 1970s, and are often referred to as “Taguchi-type” sensors. Modern SMOX based elements are typically comprised of a highly

2620 | J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 2618–2636 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022
porous (>70% density) sensitive oxide layer deposited by chemical or physical methods onto flat insulating ceramic substrates with interdigitated electrodes to allow for the in-plane resistance of the sensing layer to be measured, see Fig. 1a.3,18 The sensors are generally operated in air between 200 and 400 °C using built-in resistive heaters.19 At this temperature, the reaction with oxygen includes the three steps as shown in Fig. 1b: (1) oxygen molecules from ambient adsorb onto the surface of the oxide grains, (2) electron charge transfer from the bulk lattice to adsorbed oxygen and (3) dissociation of charged oxygen molecules to oxygen ions.

The oxygen does not incorporate into the underlying oxide lattice due to the relatively low sensor operating temperatures.20–23 As a result of the negative charge localized on the adsorbed oxygen atoms, a region depleted of electrons forms near the grain surface. Given the highly porous nature of the sensitive oxide layers,18“necking” between grains is limited, and oxygen absorption is assumed to also take place at the grain boundaries. The resulting potential barrier limits the flow of electrons from one grain to the next (Fig. 1c). The uptake of atmospheric oxygen therefore results in an increased resistance for the commonly used n-type oxides (e.g. SnO2, WO3 and In2O3). In the case of the less common p-type materials (e.g., CuO), the upwards band bending caused by the surface oxygen decreases the resistance (not considered further in this review).24 Subsequent exposure to reducing gases leads to reaction with the surface adsorbed oxygen, e.g., CO to form CO2, thereby releasing electrons back into the metal oxide grains. This reduces the potential barrier between the n-type grains thereby decreasing the in-plane resistance. Silica is known to have a detrimental effect on SMOX based sensor performance. In the succeeding sections, we discuss how silica can impede the interaction between the SMOX surface and oxygen and/or other gaseous species.

**Potentiometric oxygen gas sensors, SOFC/SOEC and oxygen permeation membranes**

The lambda probe, a potentiometric oxygen gas sensor, is integrated into tens of millions of automobile engines produced annually.25 Typically, the cell, operated at temperatures above 300 °C, shown in cross section in Fig. 2a, is composed of a dense oxygen ion conducting electrolyte, sandwiched between two porous platinum electrodes, that are catalytically active for the oxygen reduction reaction in air (high P02) and the fuel oxidation reaction on the exhaust gas side (low P02). This Nernst type concentration cell, operated in open circuit mode, separates exhaust and reference air atmospheres.26 The corresponding Nernst voltage E_N generated between the two electrodes,27 see Fig. 2a, is given by,

$$E_N = \frac{kT}{4q} \ln \left( \frac{P_{O2}^{Rs}}{P_{O2}^R} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T temperature in Kelvin and P02 and P02 the oxygen partial pressures in the exhaust and air reference respectively.26,28 Key to establishing a meaningful E_N is achieving equilibrium between the reducing gases (e.g. hydrocarbon and CO) and the oxidizing gases (e.g. O2) at the exhaust gas and oxygen sides of the cell, respectively. The interaction with oxygen involves five steps. Steps 1–3 are analogous to those in described for SMOX sensors. In the Nernst cell, however, in step 4, charged surface oxygen species can diffuse on the surface and in step 5, incorporate into the oxygen ion conducting electrolyte at the triple-phase boundary (TPB), that corresponds to the contact between the electrolyte, the metal electrodes, and the oxygen gas (marked with a star in Fig. 2d).29

SOFCs and SOECs are made up of three main components, a dense oxygen ion conducting electrolyte, a porous cathode and anode. The processes key to SOFC operation are described in further detail here (a SOEC is simply a SOFC operated in regenerative mode), see Fig. 2b. In a SOFC, the oxidation of a fuel through a pair of redox reactions that occur at the corresponding electrodes results in an electron flow (Fig. 2b) through the external circuit (distinguishable from the Nernst cell).10 The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) takes place at the cathode and the fuel oxidation reaction occurs at anode.31,32 Two types of electrodes are commonly used in solid oxide cells. One is a composite electrode (made up of a metallic and an ionic conductor) and the other, either a single MIEC oxide or a composite. In the typical anode, a Ni–YSZ ceramic-metal (cermet) composite is utilized, while a common composite cathode is composed of a (La,Sr)MnO3 (LSM) and YSZ composite.33 The fuel oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions are confined close to the TPBs, as in Fig. 2d. On the other hand, in MIEC oxides such as (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O3 (LSCF) and (Pr,Ce)O2–δ (PCO), oxygen can be reduced and directly incorporated into the MIEC oxide lattice anywhere across the electrode surface, as presented in Fig. 2e.34,35 While the electrode structures for SOFCs should be porous, like in SMOX sensors, to ensure a high
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surface area for reaction with oxygen, they must also exhibit high oxygen ion and electron conductivity to allow for oxygen incorporation and transport away from the oxide surface. The optimal porosity is therefore variable depending on the materials used (32–75%).

Since the first implementation by Baur and Preis in 1937, the most widely used electrolyte material is yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ). For lower temperatures, as low as 550°C, alternative materials such as Gd doped CeO₂ have been utilized. Both materials exhibit simple fluorite structures, enabling fast ionic migration, and the incorporation of trivalent acceptor dopants such as Gd³⁺ and Y³⁺ increase the oxygen ion conductivity, while suppressing the electronic conductivity by charge compensation through the creation of oxygen vacancies. The transport processes within the electrolyte and MIEC materials are considered in more detail in the subsequent section.

With oxygen being an important feed gas for many industries, the use of dense MIEC oxides, as membranes for oxygen separation, shows great promise, Fig. 2c. The current state of the art for the generation of pure oxygen is by cryogenic distillation of air, a costly process. Dense MIEC membranes can potentially yield high-purity oxygen with higher energy efficiency and at lower cost. The overall rate at which oxygen permeates through a dense ceramic membrane is controlled by the ambipolar flux of negative electrons and positive oxygen vacancies that, for the most part, depends on the rate of diffusion of the slower oxygen conducting species. Overall, this mechanism is similar to that of the SOFC. It is limited, on the one hand, by the diffusion of oxygen ions through the electrolyte, and, on the other, the rate of interfacial oxygen ion exchange. One key difference is the path taken by the electrons, i.e., via the outer electrical circuit in SOFCs and via internal transfer within the membrane in permeation cells (compare Fig. 2b and c). From this brief examination of the operation of the different metal oxide devices, in which the interaction with atmospheric oxygen plays a central role, it can be seen that the basic surface reactions are similar. In all cases Si-species have been reported to lead to detrimental effects on performance. Due to the similarity between the processes involved in these applications, the literature examining Si-poisoning will be reviewed together and generally applicable mechanisms and trends identified.

Oxygen ion conduction (grain boundaries and other internal interfaces)

The “Brick Layer model” is commonly used to describe charge transport of both ionic and electronic species in polycrystalline...
ceramics (Fig. 3a). Charge carriers moving through a polycrystalline ceramic can migrate along three different pathways: (1) through the bulk, (2) across grain boundaries or other internal surfaces or (3) along grain boundaries. The relative contribution of each to the total flux of charged species through the ceramic depends on the specific conductivity and on the cross-sectional area of each conduction pathway. Nanocrystalline systems, with large grain boundary surface area to volume ratios, are therefore more strongly impacted by grain boundary transport than macro-crystalline bulk samples. While the discussion so far is true for both semiconducting and ionically conducting polycrystalline oxides, we will now focus on oxygen ion conduction in solid electrolytes, e.g. YSZ or GDC.

While it has long been known and accepted in metals that grain boundary cores can act as rapid “diffusion pipes” (Fig. 3b(1) for mass transport, owing to their high degree of disorder and larger free volumes, this picture is overly simplistic when considered in the context of metal oxide ceramics, given the need to satisfy overall charge neutrality in non-metallic systems. Net charge accumulated at grain boundaries, either due to impurity segregation or distinctive localized defect formation energies, can either impede or enhance ion migration due to the depletion or accumulation of mobile defects within the formed space charge regions. For solid electrolytes like zirconia and ceria, grain boundaries blocking transverse migration across the boundaries (Fig. 3b(2)) can make the materials orders of magnitude more resistive. The grain boundary resistance is typically characterized by larger overall activation energies, than that of the bulk (i.e., grain contributions, see Fig. 3b(3)). This leads to increased overall electrolyte resistance, especially at lower temperatures. As a result, higher operating temperatures are needed yielding more rapid degradation, and thereby reducing lifetimes. Large potential drops at grain boundary interfaces can lead to the accumulation of ions above the reference chemical potential of oxygen in air. For example, for high-temperature solid oxide electrolyzers, this can lead to nucleation of oxygen bubbles and voids at grain boundaries. These voids/bubbles can percolate and form cracks leading to delamination of the electrodes. It is therefore important to reduce grain boundary resistance in solid electrolytes as much as possible to achieve high performance and longer operating device lifetimes.

When discussing the conductivity of a grain boundary, it is essential to distinguish the macroscopically measured resistance $R_{gb}$, as derived from e.g., impedance spectroscopy, and to normalize it by device area $A$ and length $L$. Thus, the expression for the overall grain boundary resistivity:

$$\rho_{gb} = \frac{R_{gb} A}{L},$$

(4)

can be compared to the specific grain boundary resistance:

$$\rho_{gb,sp} = \frac{R_{gb} A_{gb}}{n \delta_{gb}},$$

(5)

where $n$ is the number of grain boundaries, $\delta_{gb}$ is the effective grain boundary width (typically reported to be on the order of 0.5–6 nm) and $A_{gb}$ the grain boundary area. Impedance spectroscopy measurements can aid in deriving the latter, given knowledge of the grain boundary dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{gb}$ from measurement of the grain boundary capacitance:

$$C_{gb} = \frac{\varepsilon_{gb} A_{gb}}{n \delta_{gb}}$$

(6)

It should be noted that even if in the impedance a relatively small macroscopic grain boundary resistance (average over all the boundaries) is detected, potential drops at specific grain boundaries may still be quite high, which can lead to undesirable degradation mechanisms.

**Characterization tools**

There is an impressive toolbox of diverse analytical methods available to researchers that can be used to investigate interfacial processes active in electrochemical and related devices. As this is not the main focus of this review, only a brief summary of key methods is provided with a general overview shown in Fig. 4 with the methods separated into three categories, operation measurements, imaging, spectroscopic and relaxation.

**Operation measurements**

The examination of variations in performance under various operating conditions is key to characterizing underlying behaviour (see operation measurements, Fig. 4). For SMOX based sensors, DC resistance measurements performed on devices exposed to different atmospheres are widely used. For example, information about changes in reaction rates can be gained by examining changes in dynamic response to either variations in temperature or surrounding atmosphere. Oxygen surface exchange reaction rates and oxygen chemical diffusivities are also commonly derived from DC conductivity relaxation measurements performed on MIEC oxides, the rate at which the resistance of the materials equilibrates following a rapid stepwise change in the surrounding oxygen concentration.

Lambda probes are used to monitor the exhaust of cars. For efficient operation of automotive engines, an ideal fuel to air
ratio must be maintained (potential measurement = 0.45 V). During normal operation conditions, the voltage of a narrow-band lambda probe will alternate between ca. 0.1 and 0.9 V. After Si-poisoning, it has been found that the oscillation becomes irregular and no longer reaches the set threshold value.55

In the case of membrane reactors, in which a permeation membrane has been coupled with a catalyst, poisoning results in decreased catalytic conversion or changes in product stability with time due to the decrease of oxygen flux through the membrane.56 The peak power density of e.g. SOFC significantly decreases as a result of poisoning.57,58

**Imaging**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) are commonly used to examine the micro/nano-structure and composition of metal oxide samples at high resolution (see Imaging, Fig. 4). While typically operated under ultra-high vacuum conditions, sophisticated sample holders now allow in situ examination under controlled gas exposures.59,60 A large number of reviews on the use of microscopy for metal oxide based electrochemical systems are available.61,62 Scanning probe microscopy offers information about surface morphology and ex situ scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) is extensively used to study the surface chemistry and band structure of e.g. gas sensing relevant metal oxides SnO₂, and In₂O₃.63-65 Cross sectional STM and spectroscopy (XSTM/S) for complex oxide interfaces have proven to be capable of providing local electronic density of states information at the interface with spatial resolution down to the nanometer scale.66 Atom-probe tomography (APT) provides access to the chemical identify of essentially every atom at e.g., individual grain boundaries.67 The recent review of Gault et al. comprehensively covers APT.68 The mass transport kinetics of oxide materials can be derived experimentally using oxygen isotope exchange depth profile technique coupled with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS).69

**Spectroscopy**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (see Spectroscopy, Fig. 4) is widely used ex situ to gain information about surface composition. Near ambient pressure XPS promises studies under more realistic operation conditions.70,71 A large number of operando methods have been developed to examine SOFC/SMOX.72-74 In the field of SMOX based gas sensing, operando X-ray adsorption spectroscopy is used to study the oxidations state and structural parameters of surface additives on the interaction with the surrounding atmosphere.75,76 Operando vibration spectroscopy, both Raman and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) are widely used to look at the surface chemistry of SMOX based gas sensor and have recently been used to examine fuel cells.73,77-80 DRIFT spectroscopy is a highly surface sensitive method and therefore provides information about the adsorbates that form as a result of the interaction with the surrounding.81 Raman is less surface sensitive, but provides information about the bulk structure and variations induced by e.g. redox processes.82-84 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is widely used to deconvolute bulk from
interfacial phenomena in metal oxide electrochemical systems in situ and operando and provide equivalent circuit elements corresponding to various kinetic processes.

Silica as a potential poison

Si-impurities are known to result in significant degradation of metal-oxide based systems. Si-based impurities are ubiquitous in metal oxide processing and testing, e.g. originating from furnace refractories or Si-based sealants/greases. In addition to processing sources, Si-impurities are also omnipresent in the environment. Volatile organic silicon compounds (VOSCs) are widely used in consumer goods such as electronics, furniture, healthcare, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, and cookware, leading to significantly higher concentrations indoors than outdoors. Over the last decades, a great deal of effort has been invested in identifying Si-impurity sources and developing means for avoiding contamination. For example, van Berkelp and co-workers prevented Si-poisoning in oxygen permeation membrane measurements by avoiding VOSC containing grease in the manual valves of the experimental setup. In some applications, however, VOSCs are inherently present and must be actively removed to evade degradation. For example, when fuel cells are implemented using biogas from waste-water and landfills, active carbon filters have been implemented. Likewise, VOSCs must be removed prior to exposure of lambda probes to exhaust gases generated in bio-gas combusition engines.

In the following sections, we review the models developed to explain the detrimental effects of Si-impurities on both surface reactivity and the transport properties of grain boundaries. In early discussions of potential Si-based poisoning, as the impurity concentrations were very high, the most widely used models assumed the existence of continuous Si-rich blocking layers that increased grain boundary resistance and blocked oxygen gas diffusion to the reactive surface. To avoid formation of the impervious glassy layer, researchers began to use higher purity precursors and to avoid VOSC containing materials. Although greatly reduced in concentration, Si-impurities remained present due to their pervasive nature. Surprisingly, significant degradation remained, proving that the glassy blocking layer model was not appropriate in all cases. Recent findings indicate that both at the grain boundaries, and on the surface, the negative effects of Si-impurities are related to their role in the formation of space charge regions, not necessarily physically blocking second phase layers. Additionally, on the surface, VOSCs have been found to deactivate chemically-different surface sites at varying rates. Based on both the original impervious layer model and the more comprehensive models that account for space charge regions, methods to compensate for Si-poisoning have been developed. A more detailed review of the poisoning mechanisms and mitigation methods as well as the potential pitfalls, will be highlighted in the following section.

Si-poisoning mechanisms

Despite being a widespread problem, the mechanisms responsible for the detrimental effects of silica on the operation of metal oxide devices relying on oxygen adsorption, exchange and diffusion, remain controversial. In the following, we review how silica poisoning can reportedly impede two critical aspects of metal oxide performance: grain boundary conductivity and surface reactivity.

Oxygen ion conduction (grain boundaries and other internal interfaces)

In the last three decades, the origin of silica poisoning at grain boundaries has been the focus of a large body of work in the field of solid-state ionics. Originally, impurity levels in precursor powders were high. Silica was even intentionally added as a sintering aid to help lower sintering temperatures via the formation of intergranular liquid phases or to impart high-temperature super-plasticity. The siliceous phase was believed to melt during sintering temperatures and evenly coat the granular particles during sintering. As a result, it was believed that continuous ionically insulating phases formed at the grain boundaries, even visible, in some cases using electron microscopy, were the main reason for grain boundary blocking. The attribution to a continuous Si-rich blocking phase (modelled in Fig. 5b), however, remained contentious as (1) the amorphous phase rarely was found to evenly coat the full grain boundary, (2) the activation energy of the grain boundary did not seem to depend on the amount and chemical nature of the siliceous phase and (3) even in relatively high purity samples, the lower frequency grain boundary contribution remained present in impedance plots (Fig. 5a). It was even demonstrated that, while squeezing the secondary phase out towards the triple junctions, by application of pressure during sintering, decreased grain boundary resistance by an order of magnitude, the activation energy barely changed.

To address the discrepancies between theory and experiment, more complex models were developed to describe the formation of a discontinuous Si-rich grain boundary phase. The simplest model considered the simultaneous presence of both Si-rich blocking and unaffected direct grain to grain contact regions, leading to two parallel paths for conduction; one through the grain to grain contact and the other through the insulating silica phase. Both Kleitz et al. refined the brick layer model to address the situation where an insulating phase partially wets the grain boundary area. While the Si-rich phase was assumed to be highly insulating and therefore not significantly contributing to conduction, it did, however, serve to reduce the effective area of the grain-to-grain contact, thus creating a “constriction” effect at the boundary.

The grain boundary activation energy is then determined by the grain-to-grain contact region, that should be similar to that of the grain bulk (slight variations due to e.g. lattice mismatching, dislocations, defects, and enhanced segregation of bulk components), and is independent of changes in the glassy phase, as represented in Fig. 5c. While this model could indeed explain the observed behaviours for samples with large secondary phases present at grain boundaries, it could still not fully explain why even at very low impurity concentrations, the grain boundary resistance and activation energy remained...
higher than that of the bulk. Indeed Aoki et al. found that even when grain boundaries possessed <0.1 monolayer of segregated Si, the grain boundary remained 10^5 times more resistive than the bulk, which the authors attributed simply to an “intrinsic limitation”, see in Fig. 5d. \cite{Aoki}

Since then, through efforts of powder purification, it has been shown that the “intrinsic” resistance of grain boundaries in YSZ and acceptor doped CeO₂ are related to space charge effects. The space charge model describes a grain boundary as consisting of an electrostatically charged core and two adjacent space charge layers, compensating the core charge. It remains contested whether the grain boundary core charge is due to impurity segregation or the intrinsic excess of positively charged oxygen vacancies due to lower defect formation energies at the boundaries. \cite{Mott}

Regardless of the origin, macroscopically it can be assumed that the interface is net charged. To maintain global electroneutrality, this requires redistribution of mobile ionic defect within the vicinity of the interface to shield the electrostatic potential. The spatial profile of the defects c(x) are tied exponentially to the space charge potential Δϕ via the following relation

\[ c(x) = c(\infty)e^{\frac{-\Delta\phi(x)}{k_BT}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In which c(\infty) is the concentration of the defect in the bulk outside of the space charge region, ze the net charge of the defect, and k\_B and T the Boltzmann constant and temperature in Kelvin respectively. For a positively charged interface, at sufficiently high temperatures, this leads to both the accumulation of acceptor dopants with effective negative charges and the depletion of oxygen vacancies with effective positive charges near the grain boundary (so-called Gouy Chapman treatment, see Fig. 6 left). \cite{Gouy}

At lower temperatures, when the acceptor dopants are not sufficiently mobile, this results primarily in depletion of the mobile positively charged oxygen vacancies (so-called Mott Schottky approximation, schematically displayed Fig. 6 right). The properties of these space charge zones are described by solving the Poisson equation and constraining the system to achieve global electroneutrality. This yields a characteristic length, eqn (2) called the Debye length \( L_D \), which depends inversely on the square root of the dopant concentration in the bulk \( c_A(\infty) \) (i.e. the ionic strength):

\[ L_D = \left( \frac{k_B T e}{2e^2c_A(\infty)} \right)^{0.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

This dictates the length scales over which the mobile ionic defects redistribute in the adjacent grain to shield the interface charges. Under constrained equilibrium conditions, where cations are not mobile due to low temperatures processing, this characteristic length scale changes to \( \lambda^* \) as defined in eqn (3).

\[ \lambda^* = 2L_D \left( \frac{e\Delta\phi(0)}{k_B T} \right)^{0.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Both the characteristic length scale, and the space charge potential, shrink with increasing dopant concentration. This

---

Fig. 5 (a) Complex impedance spectra showing a higher and lower frequency semicircle attributed to the grain and grain boundary contributions, respectively. (b) Brick-layer model for highly resistive grain boundaries due to continuous Si-rich glassy blocking layer. The grain boundary resistance activation energy is tied to the insulating glassy layer and therefore should be signiﬁcantly higher than that of the bulk. (c) Brick-layer model in the case of a non-continuous Si-rich blocking layer. (d) Brick-layer model in the case of dilute Si-species dispersed uniformly in the grain boundary core leading to a positively charged core and the formation of a space charge barrier Δϕ leading to a conductivity activation energy higher than that of the bulk.
model explains the relatively low grain-boundary conductivity in less highly doped materials. In series, the impact of space charge on the total resistivity can be related to the bulk resistivity and the modulation of the carrier concentration compared to the bulk.\textsuperscript{46}

\[
\frac{\rho_{\text{gb, tot}}}{\rho_{\text{bulk, tot}}} = \frac{2e\Delta\epsilon_f(0)}{k_B T} \tag{10}
\]

With this understanding, Kilner \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{111} hypothesized that at low Si-concentrations, the grain boundary resistance originates from a space charge region (modelled in Fig. 5d), and at higher concentrations, the constriction model is accurate. In this study, the grain boundary resistance of Gd doped CeO\textsubscript{2} was examined as a function of silica concentration (30, 200 and 3000 ppm) in samples with varying concentrations of the Gd acceptor dopant. At low silica concentrations, two explanations have been provided to explain the source for the grain boundary conductivity decreasing sharply with increasing Gd content. The first relates to eqn (8) and the decrease in Debye length, while the second, relates to the incorporation of the negatively charged dopant into the grain boundary core, compensating, in part, the positive charge.\textsuperscript{112} At high Si-concentrations, the authors report no continuous siliceous phase at the grain boundary; instead Si-phases were found to concentrate at three-grain junctions, and in this case, the authors argue that the constriction model applies (see Fig. 5c).

The decrease in grain boundary resistance due to dopant segregation, counteracting partially the net charge at the interface, has been the focus of recent work by Xu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{49} Ionic defect segregation from the bulk lattice to the interface is driven by thermodynamic driving forces, including minimization of strain and electrostatic interactions, which in turn, can depend on grain misorientation angle and surface crystal orientation.\textsuperscript{42}

In their work, Xu \textit{et al.} mapped out the electric field potentials at various grain boundaries by electron holography in highly purified 0.2 atom% Sm doped CeO\textsubscript{2} samples and found variations in space charge potential as high as \(\sim 1\) eV. Combined with atom probe tomography, they also found that different grain boundaries exhibited a significant variation in the level of cationic impurities such as Al, Mg, Ca, Ba and Si. By assigning effective charges to the cations, and summing their concentrations, they estimated the net interface charge density locally and derived from that the electrical potential. They observed a strong correlation between the experimentally measured space charge potentials and the measured impurity concentrations. Silicon and aluminium, due to their small ionic radii, are expected to enter lattice sites within the boundaries interstitially and possess 4+ and 3+ positive charges respectively, thus contributing strongly to the space charge potential. This finding runs contrary to the more commonly assumed source of excess positive charge at the grain boundary core, \textit{i.e.}, that coming from excess oxygen deficiency, driven by lower reduction energies at the grain boundaries.\textsuperscript{111}

Oxygen reduction and exchange reactions

As for grain boundaries, surface Si-impurities are widely reported to degrade metal oxide based electrochemical devices. Due to initial low precursor purity, high Si-impurities on the surface were initially studied, similar to the situation in earlier grain boundary studies.\textsuperscript{114} Recently, due to the large levels of VOSCs in certain applications \textit{e.g.} biogas, the effects of high Si-concentrations on surface reactivity has gained renewed interest.\textsuperscript{55,97} Some of the earliest work on Si-poisoning was done in the context of the potentiometric oxygen sensor (lambda probe). Degraded devices were often found to have a characteristic grey coating, see Fig. 7a inset. Holleboom \textit{et al.} attribute this grey coating to a glassy Si-layer that does not modify the exchange process, but rather reduces the rate of oxygen gas phase diffusion to the active surface, see Fig. 7a.\textsuperscript{55,86,114,115} They argue that, while large molecules such as oxygen are hindered, small molecules such as hydrogen should easily be able to pass through the blocking layer.\textsuperscript{55,86,114,115} Based on this idea, numerous authors have reported the increased selectivity of SMOX based gas sensors following physical deposition \textit{e.g.} using screen printing) of a continuous silica layer on top of the sensitive SMOX layer (Fig. 7b, left and c).\textsuperscript{116,117} Lower surface band bending is expected due to hindered oxygen gas diffusion through the filtering Si-layer. Due to the high porosity of the SMOX sensitive layer (>70%), exposure to VOSCs should not form a continuous silica layer on top of the sensitive metal oxide, but rather should coat the individual grain surfaces (Fig. 7b, left and d).\textsuperscript{116,117} In this case, continuous Si-layers will not only block diffusion of oxygen to the surface, but will serve to degrade the electronic contact between grains, explaining the increased baseline resistance seen following long-term exposure to VOSCs, Fig. 7d.\textsuperscript{50,115,116,120} After long-term operation in Si-rich atmospheres, the characteristic grey coating has also been seen on MIEC membranes and SOFC cathodes (Fig. 7e). As a result, the formation of continuous Si-rich layer limiting
diffusion of oxygen to the surface has also been cited as the degradation mechanism of MIEC both for membranes and for SOFC cathodes (Fig. 7f). In the case of stable materials, e.g. fluorites, the formation of a silica phase is assumed.

For the more commonly used, but less stable perovskite electrodes, degradation is two-fold. For example, after operation in a highly humid Si-rich atmosphere, lanthanum strontium cobalt ferrite (LSCF) showed evidence of decomposition as reflected in its surface becoming coated in a 30–40 nm thick La-silicate layer (pink in Fig. 7g), on-top of which nanoparticles of (Fe,Co)\(_2\)O\(_3\) were detected.\(^{124,125}\) In addition to the formation of a glassy layer limiting oxygen gas diffusion to the surface, decomposition of the base oxides may be responsible for the decreased reactivity. Similar results have been reported for BaCe\(_{0.9}\)Co\(_{0.1}\)Fe\(_{0.5}\)O\(_{3-\delta}\) based oxygen permeation membranes.\(^{126,121}\)

Even for silica concentrations below that needed to achieve complete surface coverage, degradation has been reported. Using time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) measurements, Optiz et al. were able to identify the accumulation of Si-species at the TPB of Pt/YSZ/O\(_2\) that had been highly polarized and exhibited performance degradation, Fig. 8a.\(^{124,125}\) Similar silica accumulation has been reported for the YSZ/Ni anode interface.\(^{126}\) Hertz et al. showed that etching the surface of YSZ single crystals, with interdigitated Pt thin film electrodes, with concentrated hydrofluoric acid, improved performance by as much as three orders of magnitude. They attributed this improvement to the removal of silica from the Pt/YSZ/O\(_2\), TPBs.\(^{87}\) Muto et al. used pulsed laser deposition (PLD) to selectively contaminate YSZ substrates with Pt electrodes in different controlled regions with SiO\(_2\). They found that while a SiO\(_2\) interlayer between the YSZ and Pt resulted in a significant decrease in the exchange current density, the effect of SiO\(_2\) deposited on the surface of the Pt electrode itself had only a low (even positive) impact on the exchange current density.\(^{127,128}\) Based on the above results, it is reasonable to conclude that the TPB is particularly vulnerable to Si-deposits, resulting in performance degradation by blocking the oxygen insertion step, Fig. 7b. In MIEC samples, even if the oxygen insertion is not confined to the TPBs, significant deactivation is observed without full surface coverage. Though the formation of space charge regions has been attributed to Si-impurities at grain boundaries, this aspect has been largely ignored with regard to surface activity. Surface additives, are well known to contribute to the generation of space charge regions adjacent to the surfaces of semiconducting or mixed conducting solids, e.g. for noble metal additives on the surface of SMOX gas sensors.\(^{129-131}\) The authors recently reported the ability to predict whether a surface binary oxide additive on the surface of mixed conducting Pr\(_{0.1}\)Ce\(_{0.9}\)O\(_2-\delta\) would result in surface electron depletion or accumulation, depending on its Smith acidity factor (Fig. 9b) relative to the pristine oxide.\(^{132}\)

Indeed, while the oxygen exchange rate \(k_{\text{chem}}\) was found to be suppressed by a factor of roughly 220 by strongly acidic SiO\(_2\), strongly basic additive Li\(_2\)O, resulted in a thousand-fold increase, Fig. 9a.

More generally, the authors demonstrated that the Smith acidity scale allows one to predict, \textit{a priori}, the effect of binary oxide additives on the oxygen exchange rates of mixed
conducting oxides.\textsuperscript{132} Moreover, the negative impact of Si-poisons on $k_{\text{chem}}$ occurred even though surface coverage of the Si-poison was not continuous. No change in the activation energy was found, unlike recent studies in which thin siliceous layers significantly degraded performance and practically doubled the activation energy.\textsuperscript{134} Overall these results indicate an electron depletion model rather than one depending on blockage of oxygen transport to the interface. As a result of the electron depletion layer, charge transfer to oxygen (step 3) is hindered, Fig. 9c, left. On the other hand, lithia leads to enhanced exchange, Fig. 9c, right. While surface poisoning mechanisms have largely mirrored those proposed for grain boundaries, at the surface an additional key feature must be considered, i.e., the varying reactivity of different adsorption sites with the surrounding atmosphere. For example, metal cations are Lewis acid sites while hydroxyl groups can act as either Brønsted base or acid sites depending on how tightly they are bound.\textsuperscript{135} How VOSCs interact chemically with the metal oxide surface is often neglected in the literature. Williams and Pratt, and Schultealbert et al., both found that exposure to VOSCs deactivate different surface sites on SnO$_2$ and at varying rates (Fig. 10a).\textsuperscript{95,96} The transient response to all gases slows down as a result of Si poisoning, but the active sites for hydrogen appear to be deactivated to a lesser degree than those for the other gases (Fig. 10b).

The authors argue that the high selectivity, and even increased steady state response to hydrogen, results from the fact that the re-adsorption of oxygen is more strongly hindered by the adsorption of VOSCs than the reduction by hydrogen. This is also in line with the reported decrease of the baseline resistance in air at low Si-impurity concentrations, i.e. the preferential sites for oxygen adsorption are blocked, and therefore surface band bending and resulting inter-grain potential barrier heights are decreased relative to those in the pristine case Fig. 10c.\textsuperscript{95,120} These results indicate that VOSCs do not indiscriminately react with the surface, but rather have preferential adsorption sites. Controlling the surface chemistry therefore offers an avenue for rendering samples more robust against poisoning by VOSCs and thus deserves further investigation.

\section*{Poisoning mechanisms - overview}

The understanding of Si-poisoning at metal oxide grain boundaries and surfaces has developed along similar lines, an overview of the mechanisms is given in Fig. 13. Initially, samples with high Si-concentrations, resulting from intentional additives as sintering agents or from various other sources (e.g., greases or fuel contamination) led to the formation of continuous glassy layers, supporting a model that correlated decreased performance with the physical blocking of oxygen species by the glassy phases. Even for Si-concentrations too low to form continuous layers, however, significant negative impacts on performance have been reported. For grain boundaries, the formation of inactive, but non-continuous blocking Si-layers, constricted current flow between grains. On surfaces, Si-species were hypothesized to preferentially block certain surface or interface adsorption sites, e.g. the TPBs or surface hydroxyl groups. Even in nominally pure materials, grain boundaries were found to have a significantly higher resistance than the grains. Kilner et al. among others attributed this “intrinsic limitation” to the existence of space charge regions adjacent to the grain boundaries.\textsuperscript{111} Recently, Xu et al. reported that the source of these space charge regions could be attributed to interstitial incorporation of small cations such as Si$^{4+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ into the grain boundary core creating a net positive core charge.\textsuperscript{67} Recent findings indicate that finely dispersed high acidity Si-species also contribute to the formation of space charge regions (electron depleted) on the surface, decreasing oxygen exchange kinetics.\textsuperscript{132} Despite the widespread detrimental
effects of Si-species on performance of a variety of metal oxides devices, the poisoning mechanisms remain controversial. In the following, we discuss proposed methods to compensate or protect against Si-based poisons as well as progress in identifying or refining the poisoning mechanisms.

compensation methods

Optim ion conducton (grain boundaries and other internal interfaces)

The configuration, wetting behaviour, and composition of impurity phases all impact the magnitude of the grain boundary resistance. A variety of methods, i.e., thermal annealing, application of pressure during sintering and additive scavenging that drives Si-agglomeration can all contribute to lowering the level of degradation, see Fig. 11a. When secondary phases are present, resulting in constriction effects, strategies that can modify the wetting of the grains appear most effective. For example, heat treatments have been used to modify the amount of grain boundary surface area wet by silicate phases. Lee et al. showed that for 8YSZ, a pre-sintering heat treatment at 1200 C for >10 h, prior to sintering for 1600 C for 4 h, could help decrease the grain boundary resistance. The authors noted that the optimum pre-sintering temperature coincided with the nucleation temperature of ZrSiO₄, although it was limited to small impurity concentrations (<400 ppm SiO₂). Alternative approaches to precursor scavenging have relied on applied pressure at high temperature, during creep experiments, to “squeeze” the impurity phases towards the triple junctions, see Fig. 11a. Additionally, the addition of small amounts of impurities that preferentially react with the Si-impurities, resulting in their agglomeration at triple junctions, can help reduce constriction effects (Fig. 11a). Due to their higher affinity for Si than for ZrO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, Bi₂O₃, and MgO have been extensively studied as scavengers as reviewed by Lee et al. Using scavengers to successfully reduce grain boundary resistance is not, however, always predictable. First, the scavenging process was found to be sensitive to the amount of Si that was scavenged. Second, the scavenging process was found to be sensitive to the amount of Si that was scavenged. Third, the scavenging process was found to be sensitive to the amount of Si that was scavenged. Finally, even if the additive scavenging strategy is successful at segregating large insulating phases towards the triple points, the additive on an elemental level can still result in an intrinsically higher grain boundary resistance. For example, while Al₂O₃ has been proposed as the most effective scavenging precursor, there is also indications that Al contributes to the formation of the space charge potential at grain boundaries, due to its net positive charge within the grain boundary, thus not making it an ideal candidate for eliminating the grain boundary resistance. Generally, the strategy of additive scavenging is a sensitive process that depends on the concentrations of other impurities and the sintering temperature. Using scavengers not only inherently increases the level of impurities, but is often associated with additional processing steps to improve powder homogeneity that can result, in turn, in additional contaminants. Therefore, avoiding Si-impurities in the base material, and during the processing steps, is the most effective solution in preventing the formation of secondary phases that can act as constrictors. Additional strategies are subsequently needed to modulate the intrinsic grain boundary resistance, as it relates to the space charge properties, as we discuss next.

One of the most common strategies to mitigate space charge effects relies on the high acceptor doping of the bulk to increase the ionic strength of the system and decrease the impact of space charge zones. As seen in eqn (8), the Debye length inversely correlates to the dopant concentration in the bulk lattice. Thus, introducing the bulk dopant concentration leads to a shrinkage in the size of the space charge and its width. This can be done extrinsically through doping or intrinsically by reducing the electrolytes under H₂/Ar environments in order to generate additional negative charges that compensate the space charge potential, though the later has limited use as it also reduces the ionic transference of solid electrolytes. Moreover,
the segregation of the negatively charged dopant into the grain boundary core can help counteract the naturally present positive charges (Fig. 11b). This strategy can be quite successful as it also increases the bulk conductivity. However, above a certain dopant fraction, the bulk conductivity will begin to decrease which has often been attributed to defect association effects. More recent theoretical considerations have challenged this perspective and describe the conductivity decrease to arise from a change in barrier height due to the proximity of dopant as nearest neighbour. Generally, this means an optimum dopant level must be found.

Alternatively, using small amounts of cations with effective negative charge that segregate to the grain boundary would serve to counteract the net grain boundary positive charge and thus provide extrinsic control over the space charge potential, while minimizing its impact on the bulk conductivity. Previous reports of improvement in grain boundary conductivity upon introduction of alkaline earth additives\(^\text{141,146–148}\) support this hypothesis and direct heterogeneous doping of the interface by in-diffusion of metallic elements has been investigated for this purpose as well.\(^\text{150,151}\) Negatively charged elements such Ni, Co, and Fe have been selectively diffused into the grain boundaries of polycrystalline acceptor doped CeO\(_2\) thin films, leading to decreases in space charge potentials by as much at 20%. Key to this approach is the careful selection of elements that are insoluble in the bulk lattice, but diffuse preferentially at the grain boundaries. We note, however, that this type of strategy is only viable for already purified samples, as shown in the Kilner work,\(^\text{132}\) as the presence of high concentrations of impurities can lead to undesirable cross reactivity of transition metal additives and the formation of additional insulating phases, increasing the constriction resistance (Fig. 11a and 5c).\(^\text{67}\)

**Oxygen reduction and exchange reactions**

In most fields, great lengths have been taken to avoid exposure of active surfaces to VOSCs. For example, research is currently being performed with different adsorption options, e.g., active carbon, zeolites etc. to remove VOSCs so as to increase the processing and energy efficiency of biogas; see review by Gaj.\(^\text{77}\) In the case of the TPB at Ni/YSZ, the effect of silica deposition was successfully reduced using an electrochemical scavenging mechanism. Tao et al. found that when operating a solid oxide cell in electrolysis mode (SOEC), silica precipitates in the nickel grain instead of at the TPB. The authors argue that the silica is reduced to Si under strong cathodic polarization and then diffuses into Ni.\(^\text{152}\) This principle has not been examined for Pt/YSZ.

Few studies exist in which attempts have been made to compensate for Si-impurity induced degradation of the surface oxygen exchange reaction. Prof. Kilner, also here, was a pioneer. After noting that La had previously been shown to reduce the impact of Si on blocking ionic conduction through grain boundaries in acceptor doped ceria, he and colleagues reported that the addition of La to the electrode surface could likewise improve the oxygen exchange rate.\(^\text{153}\) By monitoring the oxygen exchange kinetics of PCO thin films by an optical transmission relaxation (OTR) technique, a >10 fold increase in oxygen exchange kinetics was observed following deposition of La via PLD on the Si contaminated film surface (Fig. 12a).\(^\text{154}\) Subsequent work by Zhao et al. examined the effect of different additives on the oxygen exchange kinetics and found that additives that tend to react with Si (e.g., La, Sm oxides) resulted in improved kinetics while those that did not form silicates (e.g., Nb, Ti, Zn oxides), showed no improvement. The improvement was thus attributed to the likely conversion of a dense siliceous phase to a porous phase, leading to volumetric changes and accompanying cracking, thereby allowing oxygen molecules to penetrate and reach the surface of the PCO film (Fig. 12b).\(^\text{91}\)

Based on our own recent results in which acidic additives serve to deplete oxide surfaces of electrons and basic additives serve to accumulate electrons, effects beyond morphological changes in Si-rich layers appear responsible.\(^\text{155}\) On this basis, one can understand why the two “basic” additives like La and Sm would result in better oxygen exchange kinetics on ceria-based systems. On the other hand, titania- and alumina, like silica, are significantly more acidic than ceria and thus would be expected to hinder the oxygen exchange reaction. It thus appears that, in addition to the possible formation of more highly porous layers upon addition of lanthanum, more importantly, it is likely that regions of increased reactivity result from the basic character of lanthanum that serves to compensate regions of lower activity induced by acidic surface species like SiO\(_2\).
Conclusions and outlook

The interaction between metal oxides and atmospheric oxygen is central to the development of high efficiency and environmentally friendly energy conversion and storage devices and sensors including SOFC/SOECs, permeation membranes, and SMOX based and potentiometric gas sensors. Given global challenges associated with climate change, such devices are poised to become even more important in the coming years, and as a result, increasing their long-term stability is of paramount importance. This review paper highlighted Si-impurities as a ubiquitous source of degradation in nearly of these devices.

Si-impurities are known to negatively affect both the ionic conductivity at grain boundaries and the metal oxide surface reaction with oxygen. In initial studies, with very high impurity concentrations, the creation of impervious glassy blocking layers was cited as the main degradation mechanism. Attempts to limit degradation resulted in higher purity samples. Regardless, Si-impurity based degradation remained even at lower concentrations, where continuous impervious glassy layers no longer existed. Prof. John Kilner was among the first to suggest that, in addition to constraining conduction, segregated Si-impurities at the grain boundaries induced resistive space charge regions, a hypothesis now supported by more recent findings. Similar to findings of how Si-impurities impact grain boundaries, space charge regions induced by Si-impurities on oxide surface depleted of electrons, are reported tomarkedly lower surface oxygen exchange rates. Particularly for the case of SMOX-based sensors, VOCs reportedly can preferentially block specific surface sites, leading to depressed surface interactions with oxygen.

Guided by such poisoning models, various mitigation methods have been developed. Three different methods have been utilized to minimize ion blocking grain boundaries: (1) increased bulk dopant levels, (2) heat/pressure/scavenger treatment and (3) insertion of cations with net negative charge. To date, there has been less focus on silica surface contamination. Beyond chemical removal of surface Si-species through HF etching, inspired by the success at grain boundaries, Prof. Kilner was able to address Si-poisoning at the surface by additive scavenging. Traditionally scavengers were selected based on their ability to form porous silicates, thereby reducing the surface which is physically blocked by Si. Our recent finding however indicate that it is possible that the additives themselves could also be used to enhance the surface reactivity. We found that it is possible to predict a priori the effect of additives on the oxygen reduction reaction of PCO based electrodes on the Smith acidity scale. Based on these findings, it is clear that acidic silica not only physically blocks the surface of the MIEC oxide, but also induces an electron depletion region at the electrode surface, hindering the charge transfer step. In addition to providing insight into the mechanism responsible for the degradation through silica, it also suggests the possibility of introducing surface additives with more basic character to compensate for the negative effects of silica. Work along this line is in progress in our laboratory and will soon be submitted for publication. The general findings of this review with regard to addressing Si-poisoning at grain boundaries and on surfaces are summarized in Fig. 13.

The conclusions of this review are summarized in Fig. 13. It is possible using, e.g. heat, pressure, and scavenging to reduce the effect of high level of Si-contamination (shown in Fig. 13 as a dense blue layer) by reducing the area that is physically blocked by the contaminant. In the case of the surface, the impurities can also be removed after preparation using e.g. etching, but this is an additional preparation step. In order to avoid any regions of physical blocking, it is therefore best to work with high purity precursors and to avoid sources of Si-contamination during sample preparation. It is however not feasible to entirely avoid contamination and at low concentrations (small blue dots in Fig. 13), Si-impurities often result in the creation of space charge regions that negatively affect the interaction of the surface with oxygen (light brown in Fig. 13) or cause high grain boundary resistance (light beige in Fig. 13). Based on recent work, it appears that space charge regions induced by acidic silica can be compensated with basic additives or dopants (small grey dots in Fig. 13). This method is, however, not trivial to implement as modifying surface and grain boundary composition locally is challenging. Additionally, the formation of secondary phases between the additive and the Si-impurities (clusters of grey and blue dots Fig. 13) are also possible. The effects of these secondary phases is unclear and still needs to be studied. In the future, alternative methods of modifying space charge regions without the need to modify the material chemistry would be desirable. For examples the authors have recently demonstrated, that it possible to use above band gap illumination to shrink the space charge potentials at grain boundaries in an acceptor doped ceria-based electrolyte thin film. In the case of the surface, similar phenomena have often been reported in photoelectrochemistry where above band gap illumination is known to modify the surface potential of metal oxides which aids in enhancing their

---

**Fig. 13** The means for addressing Si-poisoning at grain boundaries and on surfaces. Advantages of mitigation methods are underlined in green, aspects that are not entirely resolved are underlined in yellow and problems that remain or are caused are underlined in red.
surface reaction kinetics. This has been used in the realm of metal oxide gas sensors, for example, to operate cells at reduced temperature. An additional benefit of light in this case is that it also improves the sensing response by facilitating the desorption of analytes, thus enabling operation of devices near room temperature. Overall, this could create new opportunities to modify space charge potential at interfaces in metal oxide without the need to modify the materials chemistry and as such may be a valuable tool.
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