Molecular identification and population genetic study of *Elaeidobius kamerunicus* Faust. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) from Indonesia, Malaysia and Cameroon based on mitochondrial gene

**INTRODUCTION**

The oil palm weevil pollinator *E. kamerunicus* in Indonesia was originated from Cameroon (Africa). Based on the history of its introduction, *E. kamerunicus* in Indonesia was introduced from Malaysia on July 16, 1982, in collaboration with the Marihat Research Center and PT. London Sumatra, led by an entomologist R. A. Syed. Furthermore, with the permission of the Indonesian Minister of Agriculture, as many as 4623 pupae that later developed into 508 adults of *E. kamerunicus* were officially released in March 1983 (Lubis 1992). Currently, the population of *E. kamerunicus* has spread in oil palm plantations in various islands in Indonesia (Bakara 2019). This population is thought to originate from the initial population that was first released in 1983 in Siantar (North Sumatra, Indonesia).

The existence of geographical isolations in a species’ population can cause intraspecific genetic diversity of this species (Schmitt and Haubrich 2008; Cox et al. 2016). This had also been demonstrated by research in genetic diversity study of *E. kamerunicus* in Indonesia using simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker (Bakara 2019).

Cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene markers are common to genetic diversity and phylogenetic studies in animals (Ursing and Arnason 1998). The importance in using COI in animal studies is based on several premises (i) Mitochondrial DNA is abundant in the cell, so it is easy to get the genes in the mitochondria (Crozier and Crozier 1993); (ii) Mitochondrial DNA has a high mutation rate and is inherited maternally; (iii) Mitochondrial DNA does not undergo recombination, so genetic diversification occurs only through mutation (Smith 1991; Hoy 2003); (iv) The differences among nucleotide bases using mitochondrial DNA markers are few so they are expected to be able to identify species accurately (Zein and Pradireda 2003; Huguet et al. 2016).

In this study, the origin and genetic differentiation of *E. kamerunicus* will be assessed, 30 years after its
introduction to Malaysia and Indonesia. Genetic information about *E. kamerunicus* population in Indonesia, Malaysia and Cameroon have never been reported. The purpose of this study was to examine the effectiveness of using mitochondrial DNA barcoding technology in the identification of genetic diversity and population origin in the oil palm pollinating weevil *E. kamerunicus*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Specimen collection and morphological identification**

This research was carried out from December 2018 to July 2019. The insect samples were taken from oil palm plantations at 6 different locations (Table 1). Three male and female samples for each location were observed, in this study. All individuals were identified to a species level based on morphological characteristics and taxonomic keys under a light microscope. Molecular characterization was carried out at the Biotechnology Laboratory, Astra Agro Lestari, Pangkalan Lada, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia.

**DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing**

DNA was isolated from each individual of the weevil imago (Table 1). The DNA isolation process was begun by crushing the weevil's body with a micro-pestle. DNA was isolated using the GS 100-Genaid gSYNCTM DNA Extraction Kit and the process was carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the isolated DNA were measured using NanoDrop 2000-Thermo Scientific Spectrophotometer. A target 700-bp fragment of COI was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystem, USA) with the following primers: E.kam F primer forward (5’-TTGAGGATTTGGGAATTTGAC-3’) and E.kam R primer reverse (5’-TTGCTGAATAAAAATGTGGCCGT-3’). This primer is specifically designed for *E. kamerunicus* DNA based on laboratory optimization. PCR was performed in 50 μl reaction volume containing 5 μl genomic DNA, 1 μl each forward and reverse primer (20 mM), 25 μl of MyTaqTM HS Red Mix 2X (Bioline, UK), and 18 μl nuclease-free water. The PCR thermal profile was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 15 s, a final extension at 72°C for 5 min and storage at 4°C. The PCR products were visualized by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with GelRed (Biotium). Sequencing was done at First Base, Malaysia using automated DNA sequencing with ABI 3730 XL (Applied Biosystems, USA). The sequencing chromatograms data of ABI file were assembled and trimmed using Geneious software. Sequences obtained in this study were finally deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers (MN548049-MN548084) and shown in Table 2.

**Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis**

The sequences were imported into the Barcode of Life Database (BoLD) System (www.barcodinglife.org) website (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) and GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) website (Benson et al. 2012) to determine the similarity of the samples with current databases. The sequences of the closest relatives to *E. kamerunicus* from those databases were used as comparisons (Table 3). All of the sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Larkin et al. 2007) with default parameters. A distance-based and a phylogenetic tree-based approach of species discrimination were used for molecular identification or barcoding analysis. For the distance-based method, the genetic pairwise divergences based on the broadly used Kimura-2-parameter model (K2P) were used as implemented in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). For the tree-based approach, a simplified neighbor-joining (NJ) tree was constructed using MEGA X based on Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) distances of COI, with 1000 bootstrap replicates (Kumar et al. 2018). Species delimitation plugin (Rosenberg, 2007; Masters et al. 2011) inside Geneious software was used to determine monophyletic species from the tree.

**Population genetic analysis**

DnaSP 6 (Rozas et al. 2017) was performed to calculate number of polymorphic sites (S), number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (Pi). Neutrality tests (Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs) were performed in Arlequin version 3.51 (Tajima 1989; Fu 1997; Excoffier and Lischer 2010). In addition, haplotype network analysis was performed using the Minimum Spanning Network (MSN) (Bandelt et al. 1999). Clustal W aligned sequences from MEGA X in the previous section were used to create haplotype network. MSN reconstruction was carried out using POPART software (Leigh and Bryant 2015). Geographical structuring of mtDNA variation was examined by a hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) and population pairwise FST values between populations were calculated in ARLEQUIN version 3.51 using the method of (Weir and Cockerham 1984). Fixation indices significantly different from zero were identified by comparison with the results of 10,000 data permutations.

Table 1. Sampling location and number of samples used in this study

| Code | Country  | Province          | City    | Geographic location | Number of samples |
|------|----------|------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| CD   | Cameroon | Littoral Region  | Douala  | Latitude: 4.600548  | Male: 3          |
| MD   | Malaysia | Selangor         | Dengkil | Longitude: 90.77712 | Female: 3        |
| IS   | Indonesia| North Sumatra    | Siantar |                  |                  |
| IB   | Indonesia| West Java        | Bogor   |                   |                  |
| IT   | Indonesia| South Kalimantan | Tapin   |                   |                  |
| IM   | Indonesia| Central Sulawesi | Morowali|                  |                  |
### Table 2. COI sequence obtained in this study

| Country    | Province      | City          | Sex     | Sample name          | GenBank accession number |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Female  | Douala Female 1      | MN548084                 |
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Female  | Douala Female 2      | MN548049                 |
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Female  | Douala Female 3      | MN548050                 |
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Male    | Douala Male 1        | MN548051                 |
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Male    | Douala Male 2        | MN548052                 |
| Cameroon   | Littoral Region | Douala        | Male    | Douala Male 3        | MN548053                 |
| Indonesia  | West Java     | Bogor         | Female  | Dramaga Female 1     | MN548054                 |
| Indonesia  | West Java     | Bogor         | Female  | Dramaga Female 2     | MN548055                 |
| Indonesia  | West Java     | Bogor         | Female  | Dramaga Female 3     | MN548056                 |
| Indonesia  | West Java     | Bogor         | Male    | Dramaga Male 1       | MN548057                 |
| Indonesia  | West Java     | Bogor         | Male    | Dramaga Male 2       | MN548058                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Female  | Tapin Female 1       | MN548060                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Female  | Tapin Female 2       | MN548061                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Female  | Tapin Female 3       | MN548062                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Male    | Tapin Male 1         | MN548063                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Male    | Tapin Male 2         | MN548064                 |
| Indonesia  | South Kalimantan | Tapin       | Male    | Tapin Male 3         | MN548065                 |
| Indonesia  | Central Sulawesi | Morowali     | Female  | Morowali Female 1    | MN548066                 |
| Indonesia  | Central Sulawesi | Morowali     | Female  | Morowali Female 2    | MN548067                 |
| Indonesia  | Central Sulawesi | Morowali     | Male    | Morowali Male 1      | MN548068                 |
| Indonesia  | Central Sulawesi | Morowali     | Male    | Morowali Male 2      | MN548069                 |
| Indonesia  | Central Sulawesi | Morowali     | Male    | Morowali Male 3      | MN548070                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Female  | Siantar Female 1     | MN548071                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Female  | Siantar Female 2     | MN548073                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Female  | Siantar Female 3     | MN548074                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Male    | Siantar Male 1       | MN548075                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Male    | Siantar Male 2       | MN548076                 |
| Indonesia  | North Sumatra  | Siantar       | Male    | Siantar Male 3       | MN548077                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Female  | Dengkil Female 1     | MN548078                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Female  | Dengkil Female 2     | MN548079                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Female  | Dengkil Female 3     | MN548080                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Male    | Dengkil Male 1       | MN548081                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Male    | Dengkil Male 2       | MN548082                 |
| Malaysia   | Selangor       | Dengkil       | Male    | Dengkil Male 3       | MN548083                 |

### Table 3. Outgroup COI sequence used in this study

| Sample name                  | Family            | Species                  | GenBank accession number |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Araucarius major (AY040285) | Curculionidae     | Araucarius major         | AY040285                 |
| Abantiadinus nodipennis (KX191194) | Curculionidae | Abantiadinus nodipennis  | KX191194                 |
| Amorphocerus talpa (EU310754) | Curculionidae     | Amorphocerus talpa       | EU310754                 |
| Sphenophorus sp. (GU176342)  | Curculionidae     | Sphenophorus sp.         | GU176342                 |
| Naupactus xanthographus (GU176345) | Curculionidae | Naupactus xanthographus  | GU176345                 |
| Hylobotulus xiaoi (JX847496) | Curculionidae     | Hylobotulus xiaoi        | JX847496                 |
| Curculionidae sp. (KM244695) | Curculionidae     | Curculionidae sp.        | KM244695                 |
| Eucryptorrhynchus chinensis (KP410324) | Curculionidae | Eucryptorrhynchus chinensis | KP410324                 |
| Eucryptorrhynchus brandti (KP455482) | Curculionidae | Eucryptorrhynchus brandti | KP455482                 |
| Sphenophorus sp. (NC_018351) | Curculionidae     | Sphenophorus sp.         | NC_018351                 |
| Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (NC_028535) | Curculionidae | Rhynchophorus ferrugineus | NC_028535                 |
| Aegorhinus superciliosus (NC_027577) | Curculionidae | Aegorhinus superciliosus | NC_027577                 |
| Hylobotulus xiaoi (NC_022680) | Curculionidae     | Hylobotulus xiaoi        | NC_022680                 |
| Naupactus xanthographus (NC_018354) | Curculionidae | Naupactus xanthographus  | NC_018354                 |
| Apodrosus epipoileatus (HQ891423) | Curculionidae | Apodrosus epipoileatus   | HQ891423                 |
| Laemophloeus fasciatus (KP134161) | Curculionidae  | Laemophloeus fasciatus   | KP134161                 |
| Exophthalmus pictus (KT350641) | Curculionidae     | Exophthalmus pictus      | KT350641                 |
| Exophthalmus pictus (KT350642) | Curculionidae     | Exophthalmus pictus      | KT350642                 |
| Scepticus tigrinus (LC108870) | Curculionidae     | Scepticus tigrinus       | LC108870                 |
| Scepticus uniformis (LC108925) | Curculionidae     | Scepticus uniformis      | LC108925                 |
| Meotiorhynchus querendus (LC108949) | Curculionidae | Meotiorhynchus querendus | LC108949                 |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular identification

In this study, the power of COI sequence as a DNA barcode was tested for identifying the *E. kamerunicus* species using distance-based and tree-based methods. The species identification using distance-based (pairwise K2P) is considered success when the barcoding gap between intraspecific and interspecific distance-based was detected. In this study, the intraspecific distribution means that the pairwise K2P distance between *E. kamerunicus* individuals from all locations. Meanwhile, the interspecific distribution showed the pairwise K2P distance between *E. kamerunicus* individual with the close relatives species or outgroup. The result of intraspecific and interspecific distance based on COI gene can be seen in Figure 1. The frequency distribution between intraspecific and interspecific distances of pairwise K2P can be differentiated in this study. Based on pairwise K2P, it was inferred that there is a clearly big gap between intraspecific and interspecific distance. Thus, the identification of *E. kamerunicus* has been successfully demonstrated using this approach.

Another approach using tree-based analysis was conducted to differentiate *E. kamerunicus* from its closely related taxa (Figure 2). Reconstruction of the phylogeny tree of COI markers was carried out by the Neighbor-joining method with K2P distance-based method and bootstrap 1.000. The discrimination performance was assessed by evaluating the proportion of the monophyletic clusters for individuals belonging to the same species in the neighbor-joining tree. The results showed that *E. kamerunicus* samples from all locations were in one big group in the phylogeny tree while outgroups from other nearby genera formed different groups. Based on these results it can be shown that the COI gene can be used to distinguish *E. kamerunicus* from its closely related taxa (Bakara et al. 2020).

A neighbor-joining tree based on K2P distances also uncovered that the *E. kamerunicus* populations formed three haplogroups (Figure 2), and was used to assess the distinctiveness of the haplogroups with the Species Delimitation Plugin in Geneious software. Within each of the three haplogroups, intergroup distances were significantly bigger than intraclade distances (Table 4). Rosenberg’s $P_{AB}$ 1.50E-07, 1.40E-05, 1.40E-05, for clades 1, 2, and 3, respectively, strongly supported that the three haplogroups were reciprocal monophyly (Table 4). All three haplogroups are clearly differentiated from the closest taxon *Amorphocerus tarpa* and *Araucarius major* (Figure 2).

### Table 4. Species delimitation result

| Haplogroup         | Haplogroup I | Haplogroup II | Haplogroup III |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Closest Haplogroup | II           | III           | II            |
| Intra Dist         | 0.002        | 0.001         | 0.001         |
| Inter Dist-Closest | 0.041        | 0.012         | 0.012         |
| Intra/Inter        | 0.05         | 0.09          | 0.09          |
| $P_{ID}$(Strict)   | 0.90 (0.78, 1.0) | 0.73 (0.56, 0.91) | 0.96 (0.91, 1.0) |
| $P_{ID}$(Liberal)  | 0.97 (0.87, 1.0) | 0.96 (0.81, 1.0) | 0.99 (0.96, 1.0) |
| Av(MRCA-tips)      | 0.0024       | 6.98E-04      | 8.49E-04      |
| Pr(Randomly Distinct) | 0.05       | 0.05           | 0.05          |
| Rosenberg's $P_{AB}$ | 1.50E-07   | 1.40E-05      | 1.40E-05      |

![Figure 1](image-url). The intra-and inter-specific comparisons of COI gene for oil palm pollination weevil species.
Genetic diversity

A total of 36 mitochondrial COI sequences of 684 bp length were analyzed to evaluate the genetic diversity of *E. kamerunicus* populations from 6 different locations. Three types of basic descriptive indices, namely number of polymorphism site (S), haplotype diversity (Hd), and nucleotide diversity (Pi) were calculated to measure genetic diversity within populations (Table 5). The number of polymorphism sites (S) ranged from 3 to 37. The number of haplotypes per population ranged from 4 to 6. Polymorphisms were found in 6 populations that ranged from 0.8 to 1 and nucleotide diversity ranged from 0.00146 to 0.02914. This study showed that there were genetic variations among *E. kamerunicus* populations from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Cameroon. Among them, CD population showed the highest haplotype diversity and IB population showed the lowest haplotype diversity. The highest nucleotide diversity was found in MD population and the lowest nucleotide diversity was found in IB population.

Table 5. Genetic diversity of *E. kamerunicus* population from Cameroon, Malaysia, and Indonesia.

| Population | n  | S   | h   | Hd        | Pi          |
|------------|----|-----|-----|-----------|-------------|
| CD         | 6  | 37  | 6   | 1         | 0.0269      |
| MD         | 6  | 36  | 5   | 0.93333   | 0.02914     |
| IS         | 6  | 3   | 5   | 0.93333   | 0.00224     |
| ID         | 6  | 3   | 4   | 0.8       | 0.00146     |
| IT         | 6  | 3   | 4   | 0.86667   | 0.00175     |
| IM         | 6  | 11  | 4   | 0.86667   | 0.00575     |

Notes. n, number of individuals; S, number of polymorphic sites; h, number of haplotypes; Hd, haplotype diversity; Pi, nucleotide diversity.

Haplotype network

Relationships among COI haplotypes were inferred using a haplotype network. The haplotype network was constructed using the Minimum Spanning Network (MSN) analysis (Figure 3). MSN constructed from 14 haplotypes demonstrated that several haplotypes were highly common and shared by many locations.

Neutrality test

For all sites, Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs test did not reveal any significant departure from neutrality (Table 6), which may indicate population expansion or purifying selection. The only exception was that Fu's FS revealed significant departure from neutrality for population from Indonesia, North Sumatra, Siantar (IS). Significant negative results of neutrality tests indicated an excess number of alleles. This is an indication of population expansion and genetic hitchhiking.

Distribution of individuals in haplogroup

As shown in Figure 2, *E. kamerunicus* population taken from 6 different locations representing 3 countries formed 3 haplogroups. Haplogroup I is *E. kamerunicus* from CD and MD. Haplogroup II is *E. kamerunicus* from CD, MD, and IM. Whereas Haplogroup III is *E. kamerunicus* population from all sampling locations.

The distribution of individuals in haplogroups can be seen in Table 7. It can be seen that the Haplogroups differed in their geographic distribution. Most of the individuals from every 6 populations were in haplogroup III. Only, individuals from Indonesia, Central Sulawesi (IM) were in haplogroup II and the rest is from Malaysia (MD) and Cameroon (CD). Interestingly there is no individual from Indonesia in haplogroup I. This means that the haplogroup I was specific only to populations from Malaysia (MD) and Cameroon (CD).

Figure 3. Haplotypes relationships using Minimum Spanning Network, size of nodes and pie segments were proportional to haplotype frequency
Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationship of *E. kamerunicus* based on partial COI sequences. Neighbor-joining tree using Kimura-2-Parameter (K2P) with 1000 Bootstrap.

Genetic differentiation

Analysis of pairwise FST and AMOVA gave first insight to genetic differentiation between populations. FST and AMOVA results showed significant genetic structure between population, with ~21% of the variation among populations and ~78% of the variation within populations (Table 8). This means that there is significant genetic differentiation among populations tested in this study.

The values of pairwise FST range from 0 to 0.39. From 21 comparisons, two showed significantly high genetic differentiation. Referring to the criterion for genetic differentiation by (Wright 1984), genetic differentiation was defined as low for FST<0.05, moderate for 0.05<FST<0.15, high for 0.15<FST<0.25, and very high for FST>0.25 (Figure 4).

The pairwise FST values between Indonesia populations were less than 0.12, indicating low to moderate genetic differentiation. The pairwise FST values between the populations from Cameroon (CD) and Malaysia (MD) were 0, indicating a low genetic differentiation. FST values between populations from Cameroon and Indonesia range from 0.12 to 0.2, suggesting a relatively moderate to high genetic differentiation. Interestingly, the values of pairwise genetic distance between Malaysia and Indonesia population range from 0.31 to 0.39, indicating very high genetic differentiation. However, based on statistical significance at p-value <0.05, the only significant differences were observed between populations from IT and MD and between population from IB and MD.
Table 6. Neutrality Test. Fu’s Fs: Statistical significance: Not significant, P>0.01. Tajima’s D: Statistical significance: Not significant, P>0.01.

| Population | Tajima’s D p-value | FS p-value |
|------------|--------------------|------------|
| CD         | 0.86293            | 0.08       |
|            |                    | -0.09971   |
|            |                    | 0.316      |
| MD         | 1.68211            | 0.803      |
|            |                    | 0.803      |
|            |                    | 0.775      |
| IS         | -1.23311           | 0.09       |
|            |                    | 0.09       |
|            |                    | 0.016      |
| ID         | -0.44736           | 0.315      |
|            |                    | 0.315      |
|            |                    | 0.049      |
| IT         | -1.11              | 0.166      |
|            |                    | 0.166      |
|            |                    | 0.63       |

Table 7. Distribution of individuals in haplogroups. Number of individuals falling in haplogroups 1, 2, and 3 for each sampling location.

| Population | Haplogroup I | Haplogroup II | Haplogroup III |
|------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| CD         | 2            | 1             | 3              |
| MD         | 3            | 1             | 2              |
| IS         | 0            | 0             | 6              |
| ID         | 0            | 0             | 6              |
| IT         | 0            | 0             | 6              |
| IM         | 0            | 1             | 5              |

Table 8. Analysis of Molecular Variation (AMOVA). *Statistical significance: Significant at P<0.01.

| Source of variation   | d.f. | Sum of squares | Variance components | Percentage of variation |
|-----------------------|------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Among populations     | 5    | 51.139         | 1.06574 Va          | 21.75                  |
| Within populations    | 30   | 115            | 3.83333 Vb          | 78.25                  |
| Total                 | 35   | 166.139        | 4.89907             |                        |
| Fixation Index        | FST  | 0.21754*       |                     |                        |

Figure 4. Pairwise Fst between E. kamerunicus population. Negative values converted to 0. Significance level at p < 0.05

Discussion

The use of the COI gene as a genetic marker in the identification of E. kamerunicus has been successfully demonstrated in this study. This is proven by the existence of a barcode gap that distinguishes between intraspecific and interspecific and it is clearly visible on the K2P histogram and phylogenetic tree. The species identification is considered success when the barcoding gap was detected (Meyer and Paulay 2005; Meier et al. 2008). This method also successfully assessed the power of COI as DNA barcoding marker in another insect species such as ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) (Wang et al. 2019), fish species (Intiaz et al. 2017; Bramandito et al. 2018), coffee pollinator insects (Sitompul et al. 2018), Xyleborus sp. (Coleoptera: Scolytinae) (Chang et al. 2014) or even a general soil insect (Nyamwasa et al. 2017).

Based on these two approaches, the COI sequences are strongly recommended to be used as DNA barcoding for molecular identification of E. kamerunicus species. The sequences obtained in this study were neither found nor had any high similarity in both BoLD and NCBI databases. This means that there is no E. kamerunicus COI that has been deposited in these databases before. Therefore, this research is the first study that used COI in E. kamerunicus population for molecular identification.

The results of this study also showed that the COI gene of E. kamerunicus from Cameroon is still carried in the population of Indonesia and Malaysia. This means that it is consistent with the history of the introduction of E. kamerunicus in Malaysia and Indonesia, where the population of E. kamerunicus in Malaysia and Indonesia started from Cameroon population. Phylogenetic trees constructed using the COI gene indicate the presence of 3 haplogroups, while E. kamerunicus from Indonesia only have 2 of them. After 30 years of introduced in Indonesia and Malaysia, the genetic diversity of E. kamerunicus populations from Cameroon was different from population from Indonesia and Malaysia. The samples from Indonesia and Malaysia possess a reduction in genetic diversity based on COI gene in comparison to Cameroon population.

This study shows a decrease in the genetic diversity of E. kamerunicus populations in Indonesia and Malaysia. One factor that causes a decrease in genetic variation is population size. This has been proven before by Soule (1976) and Furlan et al. (2011) who conducted research on...
Ornithorhynchus anatinus and lizard. The results of his research showed intraspecific genetic variation was positively correlated with population size. So, the decline in genetic variations that occur in E. kamerunicus population in Indonesia and Malaysia can be accepted, given the population of E. kamerunicus in Indonesia comes from 508 individuals who were introduced 30 years ago.

However, based on current data, we cannot conclude the global dispersion and distribution pattern of E.kamerunicus populations. We would need more loci and more samples in order to clearly elucidate the demographic history and the major dispersal routes of E.kamerunicus into Indonesia from Cameroon.
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