This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal’s standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical guidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.
High-Pressure Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction Study of the Linear Metal Chain Compound Co₃(dpa)₄Br₂·CH₂Cl₂

S. R. Madsen, J. Overgaard, D. Stalke, and B. B. Iversen*

The crystal structure of the linear metal chain compound Co₃(dpa)₄Br₂·CH₂Cl₂ (1) has been investigated up to a pressure of 13.6(2) GPa in a diamond anvil cell (DAC) using single crystal X-ray diffraction. The structure remains orthorhombic as the unit cell volume is reduced by 30% at 12.8 GPa. At 13.6(2) GPa the diffraction pattern is of very poor quality and not even reliable unit cell parameters can be determined. Peak broadening resulting from non-hydrostatic conditions was avoided by annealing the loaded DAC prior to data collection, allowing reliable structural models to be refined up to a pressure of 11.8(2) GPa. On increasing pressure, the disordered CH₂Cl₂ crystal solvent molecule gradually becomes redistributed from one site to another. Hirshfeld surface analysis suggests that the redistribution is a result of repulsive H---H interactions. Pressure also affects the molecular geometry, in particular the Co-Co and Co-Br bond lengths which decrease by 4% and 12%, respectively, at 11.8(2) GPa.

Introduction

The effect of pressure on transition metal coordination complexes is a new and highly active field of research, providing interesting new knowledge about the geometric flexibility, physical properties, and high-pressure chemistry of a diverse range of compounds. Co₃(dpa)₄Br₂·CH₂Cl₂ (1) is a member of the EMAC family, a new and highly active field of research, which is found in a symmetrical form when the complex the lengths of the two Co₆Co bonds are identical, whereas in the unsymmetrical form there is a difference in the lengths of the two Co₆Co bonds. The EMACs have been intensely studied for their resemblance to electrical wires, in particular the Co-Co and Co-Br bond lengths which decrease by 4% and 12%, respectively, at 11.8(2) GPa.

In the symmetrical Co₃(dpa)₄Br₂·CH₂Cl₂ (2) the crystal solvent is disordered at ambient conditions. On cooling to below 165 K the CH₂Cl₂ molecule becomes ordered, and the space group symmetry is reduced from Pnn2 (orthorhombic) to Pn (monoclinic). On ordering, the CH₂Cl₂ molecule arranges itself close to one end of the Cl-Co-Co-Co-Cl chain, causing the two Co-Cl bond lengths to differ by 0.023(1) Å at 109 K. Recently, the effect of applying an external pressure to the symmetrical complex in 2 was investigated up to 3.6 GPa. It was found that the Co-Cl bond length increased between ambient pressure and 0.32 GPa, and that compression caused the CH₂Cl₂ solvent molecule to become disordered on four sites instead of two. This behavior is completely different from the ordering of the CH₂Cl₂ molecule observed on cooling.

In the present study, much higher pressures have been applied to 1 (up to 13.6(2) GPa) using a diamond anvil cell (DAC), and the structural changes have been followed using single crystal X-ray diffraction. A mixture of n-pentane and isopentane was used as the pressure transmitting medium. The mixture is effectively hydrostatic up to 7.4 GPa and in order to...
reduce non-hydrostatic strain at higher pressures, the DAC was annealed at 130°C.\(^9\)

**Experimental**

Crystals of 1 were synthesized as described by Cotton et al. 1997.\(^7\) Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected at room temperature on a Super Nova diffractometer from Oxford Diffraction using Mo K\(\alpha\) radiation (0.71073 Å). Pressure was generated using a plate type DAC from Almax-Boehler equipped with diamond anvils with culet diameters of 600 μm. The pressure was determined using the ruby fluorescence method.\(^10\) The ruby crystals were placed in three positions around the single crystal (Figure S1 in Supporting Information) in order to better detect any significant pressure gradients appearing above the hydrostatic limit of the pressure transmitting medium. A steel gasket (initial thickness 250 μm) was indented to 150 μm and a hole was drilled with a diameter of 300 μm. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on two different crystals of compound 1 (different pressure transmitting media were used for the two crystals). For the first crystal the pressure transmitting medium was a 1:1 mixture of \(n\)-pentane and isopentane and complete data sets were collected between 1.45(5) GPa and 13.6(3) GPa. After observing the effects of non-hydrostaticity, heating of the DAC was attempted as described in the following. As the pressure reached 10.3 GPa at the 7\(^{th}\) pressure point, the crystal quality had deteriorated severely and the resolution was only 1.8 Å. In order to try to make the conditions more hydrostatic and potentially reestablish crystal quality, the DAC was put in an oven at 130°C for 5 hours. The DAC was allowed to cool to room temperature before the pressure was measured and the DAC was mounted on the diffractometer. Following this first annealing the crystal diffracted to an improved resolution of 1.0 Å. Another consequence of annealing was that the pressure dropped to 9.1 GPa. After collecting data at 9.1 GPa, the pressure was increased to 10.5 GPa and the DAC was annealed as before. After annealing the pressure dropped to 9.7 GPa. Data were collected and the pressure was increased to 11 GPa, after which a third and final annealing was performed. This time the heating caused the gasket hole to expand slightly and the pressure dropped to 9.7 GPa. Instead of measuring at 9.7 GPa, the pressure was increased to 10.6(2) GPa and data were collected without annealing. On subsequently increasing the pressure to 11.8(2) GPa, the crystal quality deteriorated, but annealing was not attempted because it seemed more likely that it would result in the gasket deforming. The pressure was increased to 12.8(3) GPa, and a data set was measured even though the conditions were clearly non-hydrostatic and sample diffraction was significantly reduced. At 13.6 GPa the diffraction from the crystal was very weak and no attempt was made to solve the structure. Ruby crystals placed at different positions in the DAC measured 13.2 GPa and 15.4 GPa two hours after the pressure had been increased, showing that the conditions were very far from hydrostatic. After 24 hours the variation over the distance between the ruby crystals was reduced to 0.2 GPa, but still the crystal diffracted to a very poor resolution of 2.3 Å. In summary, the following data were collected on crystal A in the listed order of pressure (GPa), suffix \(\text{H}\) indicates that the DAC had been heated in the oven prior to data collection: 1.45(5), 2.70(5), 4.22(7), 5.54(9), 7.3(1), 9.2(1), 10.3(2), 9.1(1)\(\text{H}\), 9.7(1)\(\text{H}\), 10.6(2), 11.8(2), 12.8(3), and 13.6(2).

Crystal B was pressurized using silicone oil as pressure transmitting medium (hydrostatic to <2 GPa) and data were collected at 0.01(5) i.e. ambient pressure but in a closed DAC, 0.4(1), and 0.7(1) GPa.

All the single crystal X-ray diffraction data were integrated using the CrysAlisPro\(^{11}\) software and an empirical absorption correction was performed. The crystal structures were refined using SHELXL-97.\(^7\) Crystallographic information is listed in Supporting Information.

**Results and Discussion**

The unit cell of 1 is orthorhombic with space group \(Pnn2\). The central cobalt atom in the Br-Co-Co-Co-Br chain, Co2, lies on a twofold rotation axis, and the asymmetric unit contains half a molecule (Figure 1). The \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) solvent molecule is disordered on four positions (orange and green in Figure 1) with occupancies summing to 1. Only two of the four positions are crystallographically independent. They will be referred to as the A and B positions in the following discussion. At ambient conditions, the occupancies of the A and B positions refine to 0.439(7) and 0.061(7), respectively, but the structural model fits the data equally well if component B is removed completely. Up to 2.7 GPa, the occupancy of the B position is less than 0.1, and only the A position is included in the final structural model. Likewise, above 9.7 GPa, it is reasonable to leave out the A position and fix the occupancy of the B position to 0.5. For the disordered \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) molecule, the ADPs were refined as isotropic and constrained to equal values for chemically identical atoms. ADP similarity and geometric restraints were used, and hydrogen atoms were refined as riding on their parent atom.\(^11\)

At 9.2 GPa and 10.3 GPa, the reflections were initially very broad and the resolution was relatively low (1.5-1.8 Å compared to 1.0 Å at lower pressures). The unit cell appeared to have changed to monoclinic and the refinements gave high R-values (>15%). However, after heating the DAC, the reflection profiles were much sharper, and the resolution improved to 1.0 Å. The X-ray diffraction pattern once again complied with the orthorhombic crystal system and space group symmetry \(Pnn2\).
In Figure 2, the unit cell axes are plotted against pressure. The $b$-axis decreases more rapidly for the non-hydrostatic data (filled blue squares above 8 GPa) than what is observed in the annealed data (empty blue squares). The opposite behavior is observed for the $c$-axis which from the non-hydrostatic data seems incompressible beyond 9.9Å (filled green triangles). For the annealed sample, the $c$-axis continues to decrease in length (empty green triangles).

Figure 2. Unit cell axes versus pressure. Empty symbols represent data collected after annealing. Vertical uncertainties are of the same size as the symbols, horizontal uncertainties are given in the experimental section. Below 10 GPa they are below 0.1 GPa, above 10 GPa, they are below 0.3 GPa.

Annealing
Molecular crystals such as 1 are typically soft and therefore the effects of strain are quickly observed. The presence of strain is easily detected by looking at mosaicity descriptors (Figure 3(a)-(b)) and data resolution (Figure 3(c)). In CrysAlisPro the average mosaicity e1, e2, and e3 are obtained from fitting a Gaussian function to the peak shape. The values do not distinguish between beam divergence and crystal mosaicity. Therefore, the absolute value does not directly quantify the mosaicity, but since the beam divergence is constant, the evolution of the average mosaicity should represent the actual evolution of mosaic spread with pressure. The average mosaicity and the resolution are directly related to the quality of the single crystal, but also the ruby fluorescence signal can be used as a strain indicator (Figure 3(d)). Significant increases in all the indicators are observed above 5.5 GPa, which is a lower pressure than the previously determined hydrostatic limit of 7.4 GPa. This is, in part, a result of 1 being much softer than ruby which was the previously used as probe of hydrostaticity. Data collected after annealing are shown as empty symbols and it is clear from all five plotted parameters that annealing reduces non-hydrostatic strain very efficiently.

Effect of Pressure on 1
In Figure 4(a)-(b) the Co1-Co2 and Co1-Br1 bond lengths in 1 are plotted between 0.0001 GPa and 11.8 GPa. Data obtained under very non-hydrostatic conditions (at 9.2 and 10.3 GPa) are not included in the plots due to the low data quality which makes structure refinement results unreliable. The uncertainties are large at 7.4 and 11.8 GPa, which (among the included data) are most affected by non-hydrostaticity. In both the Co-Co and Co-Br distances, there is a very small change in slope above 8 GPa. It is barely significant, but might be a combined effect of pressure and annealing, though the data were collected after cooling to room temperature.

In Figure 4(c) the Co-N distances are plotted, and as expected most of them decrease with pressure (Co2-N5 being the exception). Expectedly the metal amine Co1-N distances are longer than the metal amide Co2-N distances, as indicated by the average values (dotted lines) being 0.1 Å longer. Above 9 GPa, the Co2-N5 distance starts increasing, and at 11.8 GPa it is the longest of all six Co-N distances. However, it should be kept in mind that the uncertainties are rather large, especially at 11.8 GPa.

The ADPs of the Co1, Co2 and Br1 atoms are plotted in Figure 4(d) and as previously observed, they decrease until the hydrostatic limit, above which they increase. The increasing...
ADPs are an indirect effect of peak broadening which leads to weaker and more inaccurate high-order data. The errors in the high-order data are absorbed in the ADPs. The increase starts at 5.5 GPa, much lower than the previously reported hydrostatic limit of the n-pentane-isopentane mixture (7.4 GPa). As mentioned, this is most likely a result of 1 being much softer than previously used probe which was ruby, therefore being more sensitive to strain gradients.

**Disorder**

As previously described, the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solvent molecule is disordered over four positions (Figure 1) at high pressure. At and below 2.7 GPa, inclusion of the B position in the structural model is not significantly improving the quality of the fit. For these data the B position is excluded, and the occupancy of the A position fixed at 0.5. With increasing pressure above 4.2 GPa, the B position of the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ molecule becomes progressively more occupied (Figure 5). Above 9.7 GPa, the A position is no longer included in the structural model and the occupancy of the B position is fixed at 0.5.

In the previous study of the iso-structural compound Co$_3$(dpa)$_4$Cl$_2$:CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2), similar disorder was observed. In 2, the occupancies were equivalent above 2 GPa, and the B position became the dominant position above 3 GPa. In 1, the occupancies of the A and B positions do not become similar until the pressure reaches at least 4 GPa and the occupancy of the B position does not exceed that of the A position until 9 GPa.

In the study of 2, the change in distribution of the solvent molecule on different disorder sites was explained as a consequence of H---H repulsion. In the structure of 1 the same tendency is observed from evaluating fingerprint plots calculated with the program CrystalExplorer (Figure 6). In 2, the change in distribution of the solvent molecule on different disorder sites was explained as a consequence of H---H repulsion. In the structure of 1 the same tendency is observed from evaluating fingerprint plots calculated with the program CrystalExplorer (Figure 6).
uncertainties are calculated by CrystalExplorer. Still, the absolute H—H distances might be uncertain, but the trends should be reliable. Wood et al., found that for a model compound, CH₂. H—H distances shorter than 2.0 Å are repulsive. On further compression, as H—H distances become shorter than 1.7 Å, phase transitions are typically observed (at least in crystals of small organic molecules). Nevertheless, there are a few exceptions for which very short H—H interactions are stabilizing.

Comparison of Iso-Structural Compounds
A Birch-Murnaghan third-order equation of state has been fitted to the data for 1 using the program EosFit (shown in Figure 7 as the dashed line). Only data points within the quasi-hydrostatic pressure range, 0-5.5 GPa, were fitted. The fitted parameters are listed in Table 1 which also lists the values for the iso-structural compound Co₃(dpa)₂Cl₂·CH₂Cl₂ (2).

![Figure 7. Unit cell volume of 1 versus pressure. Empty symbols represent data collected after annealing, the dashed line is the third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state.](image)

|     | V₀ (Å³) | K₀ (GPa) | K₀'     |
|-----|---------|----------|---------|
| 1   | 2074.3(3) | 6.4(7)   | 14(2)   |
| 2   | 2044.6(2) | 5.1(1)   | 18.2(5) |

Given that 1 and 2 are iso-structural, it is not surprising that the bulk moduli and pressure derivatives are very similar. The unit cell parameters are plotted against pressure in Figure 8(a)-(b) for 1 (green symbols) and 2 (red symbols). In 1 (and 2) for which c-axis is the most compressible and the b-axis is the least compressible. The overall pressure dependence of 1 is similar to that of 2. There is, however, a small difference; in 1, the c-axis is slightly longer than in 2, and the difference appears to increase on increasing pressure. The opposite trend is observed for the a- and b-axes, which are practically identical. Larger differences between 1 and 2 are found when comparing the pressure dependencies of the Co-Co, and Co-X (X is Br or Cl) bond lengths (Figure 8(c)-(d)). In 1, both bond lengths decrease smoothly on increasing pressure, with the Co-Br bond being more compressible than the Co-Co bond. In 2, the Co-Cl distance initially increases slightly, after which it decreases.

Conclusions
Co₃(dpa)₂Br₂·CH₂Cl₂ (1) has been investigated in the pressure range 0-13.6 GPa using single crystal X-ray diffraction. A mixture of n-pentane and isopentane was used as pressure transmitting medium, and above 5.5 GPa the effects of non-hydrostatic conditions are clearly visible in both the raw data and in the refined crystal structure. At 10.3 GPa the resolution was reduced to 1.8 Å (from 1.0 Å at ambient conditions) and the structure could not be reliably refined. After annealing the DAC, the diffraction power of the crystal was restored and the resolution was again 1.0 Å.

Between 0.0001 and 12.8 GPa the unit cell volume of 1 decreased by 30%, with the c-axis being the most compressible. This anisotropy of compression is similar to the iso-structural
The compound Co\textsubscript{6}(dpa)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}·CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (2) which was recently described.\textsuperscript{7} The two structures also show similar crystal solvent redistribution at high pressure, though the redistribution occurs at higher pressures in 1 than in 2 (at 4 and 2 GPa, respectively). The CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} molecule is distributed on two crystallographically independent positions with occupancy ratios (A:B) changing from 1:0 at ambient conditions, to 0.7:0.3 at 4.2 GPa and 0.3:0.7 at 9.1 GPa. At 9.7 GPa the redistribution appears to be complete and the A:B occupancy ratio is 0:1, though this could be a combined effect of pressure and heating (annealing at 130 °C).

The largest difference in the behavior of 1 and the previously studied 2 is that no Co-Br bond length increase was observed, but rather a smooth decrease of both the Co-Br and Co-Co bond lengths is found. The relative decrease of the Co-Br bond is much more pronounced than that of the Co-Cl bond in 2, even within the 4 GPa-pressure range in which 2 was investigated. This is a result of the Br atom being much softer, and thus the Co-Br bond is more compressible, than the Co-Cl bond.
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