A comparison of radar and optical remote sensing to detect cyclone-induced canopy disturbance in two subtropical forest landscapes
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Abstract
Optical remote sensing is a tool frequently used to assess cyclone-induced forest disturbances. However, the frequent cloud cover limits the availability of optical data in cyclone basins. On the other hand, radar remote sensing is not affected by cloud cover and has been used to detect windthrows. Yet, the potential of radar sensing in monitoring cyclone damages of varying magnitudes across forest landscapes remains unclear. Here, we compared radar remote sensing to optical remote sensing of four cyclone disturbances in the Fushan Experimental Forest of northern Taiwan and the El Yunque National Forest in Puerto Rico using Landsat 8 and C-band Sentinel-1 satellite data. We analyzed the change in two optical vegetation indices, EVI (Enhanced Vegetation Index) and NDII (Normalized Difference Infrared Index), and three radar-based metrics, co- and cross-polarized backscatters (VV, VH) and their ratio (Canopy Development Index, CDI) after cyclone disturbances and during approximately the same periods of non-cyclone years. We assessed the improved temporal resolution permitted by Sentinel-1 constellation on the detection of forest canopy disturbance. Bootstrapped comparisons indicated that both optical and radar indices detected canopy change, but their correlations were not significant. Improved temporal resolution of CDI allowed to distinguish cyclone-induced canopy change from the phenological variation and even change by nearby cyclones. Although this, VV and VH backscatters responded more closely to cyclone disturbances than their ratio. Our results demonstrate that the C-band backscatter intensities can track cyclone-induced change of forest canopies, and provide an assessment of C-band capabilities to monitor cyclone disturbances.

1. Introduction

Tropical cyclones are major disturbances of forest landscapes, with rain- and wind-mediated damages ranging from light defoliation, branch fall, to tree death through wind throw or broken boles [1]. As a result, cyclones influence carbon sequestration [2], and their effect on carbon stocks may be exacerbated by the observed shift of their trajectories toward coasts and higher latitudes [3, 4], and change in frequency and intensity [5, 6].

The effects of cyclone disturbance on forest landscapes have been widely assessed using passive optical remote sensing techniques, such as vegetation indices (VIs), that relies on canopy reflectance within the blue to shortwave infrared spectrum [7–10]. However, optical remote sensing is limited by clouds which can be present in a significant portion of scenes either seasonally or through the year, especially in humid regions [11, 12]. High cloudiness therefore limits the study of forest dynamics and disturbances that relies on multidate imagery and common pixels across images [8, 10]. As shown in figure 1 for the subtropical Fushman Experimental Forest...
of Taiwan and the El Yunque National Forest (EYNF) in Puerto Rico, clouds and cloud shadows can represent high proportions of pixels in tropical mountain forests, making diachronic studies difficult.

Forest landscapes have also been studied with synthetic aperture radar-based imagery (SAR) [7, 13]. Unlike optical remote sensing, radar is not affected by clouds and illumination. Moreover, whereas optical remote sensing is mostly responding to biochemical properties of the surfaces, radar backscatter responds to surface physical structure and its dielectric constant that is related to moisture [14, 15] and temperature [16]. Radar can be emitted in different wavelengths, from X- and C-bands (2.4–7.5 cm) to P- and L-bands (15–100 cm). X- and C-bands mostly interact with smaller elements of the forest, such as leaves and smaller branches [14, 17], and thus are stopped within the canopy whereas longer wavelengths penetrate deeper and interact with larger elements such as trunks and primary branches [17–19]. In addition, SAR studies used the horizontal and vertical polarizations of transmitted signals that interact differently with the variable orientations of forest components [20], such as branches [14, 15].

As a result, radar remote sensing has been used to study forest dynamics [21–24] and characteristics [25–27], and to follow the effects of different disturbances on forested landscapes [7]. Bae et al [28] demonstrated that C-band can accurately monitor insect-mediated defoliation and recovery of a mixed-oak forest canopy. Cyclone disturbances have been also studied using SAR, with several studies focusing on floods as both short and long wavelengths can produce accurate identification based on the strong water-related change in radar backscatter [29]. Additionally, a few studies have analyzed cyclone-induced stand destruction and identified windthrows using co- and cross-polarizations [30–32].

The Sentinel-1 constellation [33] provides C-band SAR data dating back to 2014 (launch of Sentinel-1A). The use of Sentinel-1 data over annual scales permitted to show that co- and cross-polarized backscatter at those wavelengths respond to canopy phenology or disturbance [23, 24, 28, 34], reaching results that can be comparable or better than those produced using optical remote sensing [35, 36]. Sentinel-1 imagery has a finer temporal resolution (6-days at the equator) than L-band based imagery (revisit interval of a few weeks) which allows monitoring canopy damage quickly after disturbance with good accuracy [34, 37]. This is particularly important for assessing cyclone damage and recovery in the subtropical region because most cyclones occur in the warm growing season during which substantial phenological change could occur with a few weeks. Moreover, Sentinel-1 imagery is freely available unlike L-band imagery. There has been extensive forest disturbances monitoring based on Sentinel-1, covering vectors such as caterpillars [28], fires [35, 38], and logging [39]. Moreover, it has been successfully used for automated detection of forest loss in the tropics [40, 41]. However, in relation with cyclone disturbances, Sentinel-1 data has mainly been used to detect floods [29, 42, 43] or effects on temperate forest canopies [32, 34]. Thus, it is unclear whether Sentinel-1 C-band is able to detect

![Figure 1. Proportions of cloud free pixels in the El Yunque National Forest in Puerto Rico (A, total pixels = 129283) and the Fushan Experimental Forest in northern Taiwan (B, total pixels = 12104) in Level-2 Collection-2 Landsat 8 images over two consecutive years (one without and one with cyclones category 3). Cyclone occurrences are indicated with dashed lines. The list of scenes is shown in Supporting Information table S1.](image-url)
cyclone-induced change across tropical and subtropical forests where damages are generally not as severe as large blowdowns.

Assessment of the potential of freely available C-band satellites to monitor cyclone-induced canopy disturbances would provide valuable information on the alternative they represent to optical sensors that are generally limited by clouds in tropical forests and regions affected by cyclones [8, 11]. Here we compared the forest canopy change measured with Sentinel-1 backscatter to the change of widely used VIs derived from Landsat 8 data after the passage of cyclones in Puerto Rico and Taiwan. Both islands experience frequent major cyclones, with five hurricanes and 37 typhoons ≥ category 3 on the Saffir-Simpson scale [44] passing within 100km of Puerto Rico and Taiwan, respectively, between 1980 and 2021 according to the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship archive (IBTrACS) [45, 46]. We assessed whether the cyclone-induced change in the intensity of co- and cross-polarized backscatters and their ratio fit the variation detected by optically sensed VIs over the same period. Then, we computed the instantaneous change in backscatter between the closest scenes before and after disturbance and compared it to backscatter change measured along with the optical data, which often spread over a wider time interval, in order to study the effect of the delay caused by cloudiness. Because the severity of cyclone induced tree damage is much higher in Puerto Rico than in Taiwan [47], this study also assessed whether Sentinel-1 monitoring also applies to locations with very different cyclone damage severities.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site and cyclone selection
Site and cyclone selection was based on the availability of non-cloudy multispectral images and Sentinel-1 data, and on the occurrence of major cyclones. The EYNF (11336 ha; altitude 150–1065 meters) is located on the Luquillo Mountains in eastern Puerto Rico (figure 2). Hurricanes Irma (2017/09/06) and Maria (2017/09/20) passed at 23 and 69 km from EYNF. The FEF (1097 ha, 400–1400 meters) is a natural reserve of northern Taiwan (figure 2). Typhoon Soudelor (2015/08/07, category 3) and Typhoon Dujuan (2015/09/28, category 4) crossed Central Taiwan at 92 and 65 km from FEF. Noticeable damages and increased litterfall have been reported in the two forests following these four cyclones [48–51].

2.2. Data
Studied sites were delimited using shapefiles from the World Database on Protected Areas for the EYNF [52], and from Dr Chung-Te Chang for FEF. The cyclone tracks and strengths on the Saffir-Simpson scale were identified in the IBTrACS archives.
For each disturbance event, Landsat 8 scenes within two months before and after the cyclone passage were downloaded from the USGS Earthexplorer portal (earthexplorer.usgs.gov) as Collection 2 Level-2 products of 30-meters spatial resolution. Collection 2 Level-2 scenes are the product of the LaSRC and CFmask algorithms [53, 54] which create surface reflectance bands and a pixel quality assessment band identifying areas not obstructed by clouds or shadows. In addition, we selected scenes recorded during the similar period in the following years to monitor changes associated with plant phenology. Because of cloud cover over the EYNF (figure 1), Landsat 8 data did not permit to study Irma and María individually, hence the two hurricanes were studied together using Landsat 8 but independently using Sentinel-1. On the other hand, Soudelor and Dujuan could be studied separately with both Landsat 8 and Sentinel-1, using one scene to describe pre- or post-disturbance. Sentinel-2 data was not used because there is no available Sentinel-2 scene to assess forest canopy state before Soudelor and Dujuan.

For the comparison of Landsat 8 optical and Sentinel-1 SAR data, we selected Sentinel-1 scenes within 7-days before and after the Landsat 8 scene collection in order to minimize phenological variation. However, we increased the range to 10-days for the image preceding Soudelor because no data was available within 7-days. Only scenes from the ascending orbit and from the same paths increased the range to 10-days for the image preceding Soudelor because no data was available within 7-days. Only scenes from the ascending orbit and from the same paths showed slight variation (see figure S1). Then, to assess whether SAR data recorded immediately before and after disturbance could provide an advantage in disturbance detection, we selected the closest Sentinel-1 data before and after each disturbance event. Sentinel-1 data were downloaded from the Copernicus Open Access Hub of the ESA (scihub.copernicus.eu/dhus) as ground range detected (GRD) products of 10-meters spatial resolution acquired using the interferometric wide-swath mode (IW). The products had two bands corresponding to the co-polarized vertical radar transmission and reception (VV), and to cross-polarized vertical radar transmission and horizontal reception (VH). All Landsat 8 and Sentinel-1 scenes are listed in Supporting Information table S1.

2.3. Processing

2.3.1. Pre-processing

Topographical correction was applied to all Landsat 8 bands using 30-m ASTER-global DEM and the 'topCor' function of the RStoolbox package in R 4.0.3 [55–57]. Sentinel-1 data was pre-processed using the method described by Bae et al [38] that relies on the Sentinel-1 Toolbox within the Sentinel Application Platform software. After precise orbit correction, the thermal and border noises were removed. The data was then radiometrically calibrated into the radar brightness coefficient (beta naught, \(\gamma\)). Using 1-arc-second spatial resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission DEM, terrain flattening was applied on the \(\beta\) to produce the backscatter coefficient \(\gamma\) (gamma naught), which was then terrain corrected in order to account for local illumination. Finally, the \(\gamma\) products were aligned with the Landsat 8 products, and resampled to the 30-meters resolution using bilinear interpolation.

2.3.2. Indices to monitor vegetation change

We computed two VIs commonly used to monitor tropical forest dynamics, including their change associated with cyclones [59–61]. The Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) [62] is based on blue, red, and near-infrared (NIR) bands, and is computed using coefficients adjusting for aerosol resistance and ground effect. The Normalized Difference Infrared Index (NDII) [63] is calculated using NIR and shortwave infrared. NDII is sensitive to leaf water content, and has been used to monitor change in tropical forest canopies [59, 61, 64, 65].

C-band co- and cross-polarized backscatters are affected differentially by characteristics of forest canopies such as leaf area or AGB [15, 21, 26]. Moreover, co- and cross-polarizations have been shown to have different sensitivities to windthrows [27, 66] and to soil moisture [67]. The ratio between co- and cross-polarized backscatters of C-bands has been used to monitor forest biophysical parameters, including vegetation disturbance [23, 27, 28, 37]. Therefore, we used the Canopy Development Index (CDI, equation (3)) [28], that combines VV and VH backscatters. CDI was computed as the difference between the average over 15 days of the resampled VV and VH backscatters converted to decibels with \(10 \times \log_{10}(\gamma)\), henceforth referred to as \(\gamma_{\text{VV}}^0\) and \(\gamma_{\text{VH}}^0\).

\[
\text{CDI} = \gamma_{\text{VV}}^0 - \gamma_{\text{VH}}^0
\]  

(3)

The effect of cyclones on forest structure was assessed by computing the differences between pre- and post-cyclone VI values (i.e., pre-disturbance VI—post-disturbance VI), defined as \(\Delta VI\) here. Similarly, we computed the change of \(\gamma_{\text{VV}}^0\), \(\gamma_{\text{VH}}^0\), and CDI, referred to as \(\Delta \text{VV}, \Delta \text{VH},\) and \(\Delta \text{CDI}\). Indeed, forest canopy disturbances generally induce a decrease in VIs [10, 60, 68], and they can affect the \(\gamma_{\text{VV}}^0 / \gamma_{\text{VH}}^0\) ratio [28] as backscatters change [38].
2.4. Analysis

2.4.1. Optical- and SAR-based canopy change detection

Cyclone-induced change was assessed in two steps. First, we compared pre- and post-cyclone values using bootstrapped comparisons on means as $\text{mean}_{\text{pre-cyclone}} - \text{mean}_{\text{post-cyclone}}$ with resampling and 5000 iterations to compute 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). However, the computed difference did not separate the cyclone effect from phenological effect, thus the change (i.e., $\Delta$) measured during the cyclone year was compared with the change computed over the similar period during non-cyclone years. It used the same bootstrapped approach as $\text{mean}_{\text{pre-cyclone}} - \text{mean}_{\text{post-cyclone}}$.

Correlations between $\Delta$EVI, $\Delta$NDII, and $\Delta$CDI were analyzed using the 'corr.test' function of the psych package [69] adjusting $p$ using Holm’s method.

2.4.2. Effect of temporal resolution

We investigated the role of temporal resolution on the assessment of cyclone damages by comparing the values of CDI measured approximately at the same time as the Landsat 8 images (defined here as wide interval) to those measured immediately before and after the cyclone disturbances (short interval). We used the method of Herberich et al. [70] for multiple comparisons using multcomp and sandwich packages [71, 72] to compare all CDI groups (i.e., CDI before and after cyclone, at short or wide interval). Finally, we computed Spearman’s correlation coefficients between $\Delta$CDI measured over the wide and the short intervals.

3. Results

3.1. Optical and SAR detected canopy change

Significant differences were detected between pre- and post-cyclone states in both El Yunque National Forest ($n = 3118$ pixels) and Fushan Experimental Forest ($n = 4235$ pixels) (figure 3, table S2). Canopy damage can be observed following Irma–Maria, and Dujuan, as EVI and NDII had significantly dropped ($\Delta$VIs > 0 based on bootstrapped comparisons of the means). However, after Typhoon Soudelor, EVI and NDII increased (EVI, $0.57 \pm 0.002$ to 0.60 $\pm$ 0.002; NDII, 0.32 $\pm$ 0.001 to 0.33 $\pm$ 0.001). Interestingly, during non-cyclone years, most of the VIs also significantly changed, with some change directions identical to those for the cyclone year (table S2). Similar to EVI and NDII, the SAR-based index, CDI, decreased after Hurricanes Irma and Maria ($6.57 \pm 0.027$ to 5.66 $\pm$ 0.021, 95% CI of 0.837;0.975; figure 3(A)). Unlike EVI and NDII, CDI decreased after Soudelor ($6.60 \pm 0.023$ to 6.53 $\pm$ 0.024) and did not change after Dujuan ($6.60 \pm 0.023$ to 6.61 $\pm$ 0.017). Backscatetr consistently increased after the cyclones in EYNF and FEF (figure 3; table S2).

The comparison of $\Delta$VIs, $\Delta$VV, $\Delta$VH, and $\Delta$CDI measured during cyclone- and non-cyclone years at similar time periods indicated that they had different magnitudes of change (table 1). EVI and NDII decreased more sharply after the passage of Irma–Maria, and Dujuan than during non-cyclone years (95% CIs $> 0$, table 1). On the other hand, $\Delta$EV following Soudelor was negative whereas $\Delta$EVI of the similar period in 2017 was positive (95% CI of $0.953;0.337$;975; figure 3(A)). Unlike EVI and NDII, CDI decreased after Soudelor but less so than over the similar period in 2017 (95% CI of $0.011;0.0158$; table S2). The decrease of CDI after Irma–Maria was significantly greater than that over the similar period of the non-cyclone year (95% CI $> 0$). CDI associated with Soudelor was not significantly different from $\Delta$CDI measured in the similar period of 2017 in the absence of disturbance ($0.270 \pm 0.032$ and $0.203 \pm 0.032$, 95% CI $-0.023;0.156$). Similarly, the decrease of CDI associated with Dujuan was not significantly different than that measured in the similar period of 2018 ($0.042 \pm 0.021$, 95% CI $-0.121;0.022$). $\Delta$VV and $\Delta$VH associated with cyclones were significantly greater than for non-cyclone years (95% CI $< 0$; table 1) during which $\gamma_{\text{VV}}^2$ and $\gamma_{\text{VH}}^2$ did not always significantly change (figure 3, table S2).

$\Delta$EVI and $\Delta$NDII were positively correlated for all cyclones ($0.53 < p < 0.76$; $p < 0.001$; table S3). On the other hand, the $\Delta$CDI did not have significant correlations with $\Delta$EVI and $\Delta$NDII.

3.2. Temporal resolution and disturbance monitoring of SAR

In EYNF, the use of SAR scenes closer to Irma and Maria passage than Landsat 8 imagery permitted to study the individual effect of each disturbance. CDI significantly varied among the five points in the timeline (figure 4(A), tables S4–S5). CDI decreased between the first image and the last image ($6.71 \pm 0.004$ to 5.33 $\pm$ 0.003), which are the two time points with available optical images, as well as over the two short intervals including the two hurricanes (i.e., from 6.75 $\pm$ 0.004 to 5.94 $\pm$ 0.004 for Irma, and from 5.94 $\pm$ 0.004 to 5.27 $\pm$ 0.004 for Maria). CDI increased significantly between the value measured around the time of the Landsat 8 scene (i.e., the first), and the value measured right before the landfall of the first hurricane, Irma (i.e., the second), and between the value immediately after Hurricane Maria (i.e., the fourth) and the first Landsat 8 scene available after Maria (i.e., the fifth).
In FEF, the CDI showed the same decreasing pattern between the wide and close intervals for both Typhoons Soudelor and Dujuan (figure 3(B), table S4). However, CDI increased over a month between the two post-cyclone images after Soudelor (08/18 and 09/11) and after Dujuan (10/05 and the average of 11/10 and 11/22).

Although significant ($p < 0.001$), the correlations were weak between $\Delta$CDI of wide and short intervals ($r = 0.07$) measured before and after Irma and Maria passage in EYNF. In FEF, $\Delta$CDI of wide and short intervals had $r = 0.48$ ($p < 0.001$) for Soudelor and $r = 0.56$ ($p < 0.001$) for Dujuan.

4. Discussion

Our study showed that both optical-based VIs and the SAR-based backscatters and their ratio responded to cyclone-induced change of subtropical forest canopies, but their responses were not always similar. Most VIs had decreased post disturbance, reflecting the decrease in vegetation cover following cyclone passage as has been reported in other studies of these cyclones [9, 68, 73], but there were exceptions (i.e. the increase of VIs) after
Typhoon Soudelor, CDI and VV and VH backscatters showed more consistent changes than VIs after cyclones. CDI decreased after cyclones (figure 3, table 1) agreeing with the change caused by insect disturbance [28], while VV and VH backscatters increased (figure 3, table 1) in accordance with the change observed after windthrows [32].

The significant effect of cyclones was seen through their comparison to non-cyclone years (figure 3, table 1). The comparison with non-cyclone years is important in assessment of cyclone-induced change when vegetation...
experiences phenological changes over the studied period. We detected significant changes in optical and radar-based metrics during years without cyclones that are likely explained by the phenological variation [74], suggesting that the variation in VI and radar backscatter after cyclone passage is the combined product of phenomenology and disturbance. Hence, after early cyclones, phenological-induced increase of VI and CDI may obscure the cyclone-induced drop in VI and CDI, as shown in the increase of VI after Soudelor, while VI and CDI drop associated with late cyclones could be confounded with the decrease in photosynthetic activity and canopy cover, respectively, leading to overestimation of cyclone damage severity. Such obstacle in detecting disturbance was intensified by wide intervals of around two months between available cloud-free optical images.

With such wide two-months intervals between SAR images during the vegetation growing season, CDI also cannot avoid failing to distinguish the canopy change caused by cyclones, as shown with the Typhoons Soudelor and Dujuan (figure 4). However, CDI over the short intervals (c.a., 12 days) significantly detected canopy change before and after the two typhoons in Taiwan and even respective changes over the two consecutive Hurricanes Irma and María in two weeks (figure 4) while Landsat did not provide cloud-free images between the two hurricanes. Sentinel-1 VV and VH bands, and their ratio have been used to automate the detection of forest loss over tropical landscapes [40, 41]. Moreover, the CDI has been shown to respond to highly variable dynamics by caterpillar-mediated canopy defoliation and successive refoliation [28]. Our results showed another possible application of Sentinel-1, the rapid return rate of which can allow observing the abrupt change in forest canopy after cyclones, distinguishing it from changes driven by other factors and even by nearby cyclones.

Interestingly, VV and VH backscatter intensities consistently showed distinct increases after cyclones compared to non-cyclone years, even in the wide interval analysis. Substantial increases of both VV and VH intensities by cyclones caused relatively small changes of CDI a ratio index using the different sensitivities of VV and VH polarizations to volume scattering and double bounce [75, 76]. The backscatter change may not only be explained by vegetation damage [20]. Backscatter change is probably related to LAI or overall biomass variation as C-band is mostly interacting with the canopy [18, 26, 77]. However, backscatter also likely responded to variation in surface humidity [78] after cyclone passage that influence SAR backscatter of tropical forest floor and canopy ([79, 80] with L-band). Besides, increased backscatter might be also attributed to the increased entropy [81] stemming from modification of structural complexity and surface roughness by cyclones. Although drivers of backscatter change are not clear, our study showed that VV and VH backscatter intensities are more effective than CDI for detecting cyclone disturbance effects.

We assume that the different disturbance magnitudes and characteristics of cyclones influenced the effectiveness of VIs, SAR backscatterers, and CDI in monitoring disturbance effects. Indeed, Puerto Rican forests display greater cyclone-induced damages than Taiwan forests [47], as evident from the greater drop in VIs and CDI and jump in SAR backscatter in EYNF than in FEF. Soudelor and Dujian were weaker than Irma and María, and EVI and NDII increased after Soudelor and CDI had no change after Dujian. VI-increase observed after Soudelor is likely due to mild upper canopy damage and the reflectance of remaining understory vegetation. Additionally, we postulate that Soudelor’s legacy interacted with the passage of Dujian. Increased vulnerability in some parts of the forest canopy, such as changes of soil stability and tree weakening [82–85], may explain the sharper drop of VIs following Dujian. Nevertheless, comparing cyclones is complex as reported by Feng et al. [9] in the North Atlantic, partly because they have different wind speeds and rainfall [86, 87].

Across the two sites, SAR remote sensing appeared to be advantageous because cloudy pixels Landsat images represented a significant part of the total (88% for Irma-Maria, 46% for Soudelor, and 33% for Dujian). The area occulted by clouds would grow if we were to include images of non-cyclone years or analyze canopy recovery. Nevertheless, across cloudy regions, atmospheric moisture may have an effect on the SAR signal. Further research is needed to assess whether the radar-based detection of cyclone-induced fine scale canopy change is affected by frequent cloudiness.

Several studies based on optical and SAR remote sensing have suggested that their combination could improve forest monitoring in comparison with the use of optical or SAR alone, even if SAR-role is marginal [39, 88–90]. For instance, Cornforth et al. [91] had monitored changes in mangroves, including changes induced by cyclones, using a combination of optical and SAR L-band imagery. Our results confirm these observations for cyclone disturbances and suggest that Sentinel-1 C-band helped understanding landscape dynamics immediately after disturbance. Thus, this study adds another possible use of Sentinel-1 in combination with Landsat imagery for tropical cyclone research besides the recent analysis of cyclone floods [29]. It is likely that VV and VH backscatterers, and VIs tracked different changes in the forest landscape, including change other than vegetation disturbance as SAR responds to a wider range of parameters (e.g., temperature, rainfall) [20]. Therefore, their complementary use mitigates the effect of their respective limiting factors such as clouds, underlayer reflectance, and moisture effects [92, 93]. Yet, our interpretation of VV and VH change across the two forest landscapes was limited by the lack of field data. Future ground-based assessments of cyclone disturbances are necessary to understand the relationships between canopy change and the variation in VV and VH backscatterers at finer scales.
5. Conclusions

We found that, alike EVI and NDII, $\gamma_{VV}$ and $\gamma_{VH}$, and their ratio (CDI) permitted to detect canopy change following cyclones in comparison to pre-disturbance and to non-cyclone years. VV and VH backscatters showed greater disturbance responses than CDI, because the change of CDI was mitigated by the same increases of VV and VH after cyclones. The use of SAR permitted to improve forest landscape representation, as cloudy and cloud-free pixels could be monitored. Additionally, the finer temporal resolution permitted by the cloud-independence of radar sensors indicated rapid canopy responses to cyclone disturbances in both subtropical forests. Our results demonstrated that C-band co-polarized and cross-polarized backscatters can be used to monitor cyclone disturbances. Because the relationship between optical- and radar-based vegetation indices is unclear, further research should resolve the links between site-observed canopy change and the dynamics of $\gamma_{VV}$ and $\gamma_{VH}$ associated with cyclone disturbance.
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