ULTRADEEP NEAR-INFRARED OBSERVATIONS OF GOODS 850-5
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ABSTRACT

GOODS 850-5 is a hyperluminous radio-faint submillimeter source in the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey-North. Although it is generally agreed that GOODS 850-5 is at a redshift, z ≳ 4, its exact redshift is unknown. While its stellar spectral energy distribution (SED) suggests z ~ 6, its radio/far-IR (FIR) SED suggests a lower redshift of z ~ 4. To better constrain its stellar SED and redshift, we carried out nano-Jansky sensitivity ultra-deep near-IR (NIR) observations between 1.2 and 2.1 μm with the Hubble Space Telescope and the 8 m Subaru Telescope. Even with such great depths we did not detect GOODS 850-5, and the results show that it is an extremely curious source. Between the Ks and 3.6 μm bands, its spectral slope is greater than 3 times that of an extremely red object, and the flux ratio between the two bands is greater than 8 times that of Lyman breaks. It is quite challenging to explain this unusually red color without a Lyman break (which would imply z > 17). It requires a large amount (M* ~ 10^{11.5} M⊙) of reddened old stars at z ~ 6, coexisting with an even more extinguished violent ~2400–4400 M⊙ yr^{-1} starburst, which does not have any associated detectable rest-frame UV radiation. We discuss the discrepancy between the NIR and radio/FIR photometric redshifts. We conclude that GOODS 850-5 is at least at z > 4 and is more likely at z ≳ 6. We describe the unusual properties of GOODS 850-5, including its SED and formation history, and we discuss the implications of such massive z > 6 galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Rayleigh–Jeans portion of the dust spectral energy distribution (SED) of IR-luminous galaxies produces a strong negative K-correction and makes the observed submillimeter flux of such galaxies almost invariant at z > 1 to z ~ 10 (Blain & Longair 1993). Thus if there are IR-luminous galaxies at high redshifts, observations at submillimeter wavelengths are a powerful way to find them. However, to date, all but one of the identified submillimeter galaxies (SMGs), other than those around luminous quasars, are at redshifts lower than 4, likely because of the limited resolution of the current submillimeter instruments and the limited sensitivity of the current radio instruments that are needed to locate the sources.

The Submillimeter Common-User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) on the single-dish James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) resolved 20%–30% of the submillimeter extragalactic background light (EBL) into point sources brighter than ~2 mJy at 850 μm (Smail et al. 1997; Barger et al. 1998, 1999; Hughes et al. 1998; Eales et al. 1999). Because of the low resolution of JCMT (~15′′ at 850 μm), identifications of the submillimeter sources have to assume the radio–far-infrared (FIR) correlation in local galaxies (see, e.g., Condon 1992) and rely on radio interferometry to pinpoint the location of the submillimeter emission. Optical spectroscopy of radio-identified SMGs shows that they are ultra-luminous sources (> 10^{12} L⊙, corresponding to a star formation rate of 10^{2}–10^{3} M⊙ yr^{-1}) peaking at z ~ 2–3 and that they dominate the total star formation in this redshift range (Chapman et al. 2003, 2005). However, the positive K-correction of the radio synchrotron emission makes the radio-wavelength insensitive to high-redshift galaxies, and radio observations can only identify 60%–70% of the blank-field submillimeter sources (Barger et al. 2000; Ivison et al. 2002). The radio-unidentified SMGs are commonly thought to be at redshifts higher than the radio detection limit (typically z ~ 3–4), but there has been a lack of direct evidence for such a high-redshift radio-faint submillimeter population. To date, there is only one spectroscopically confirmed SMG at z ~ 4 (Capak et al. 2008, z = 4.547). Luminous radio-faint SMGs contribute ~10% to the submillimeter EBL measured by the COBE satellite (Puget et al. 1996; Fixsen et al. 1998). If most of these galaxies are indeed at high redshifts, then this implies a large amount of star formation in massive high-redshift systems.

With recent developments in submillimeter interferometry, it is now possible to directly locate submillimeter sources without relying on radio interferometers. Younger et al. (2007) observed a sample of SMGs with the Submillimeter Array (SMA). Several of their SMA detections are radio faint and consistent with being at redshifts higher than the radio-identified SMGs. We have also been carrying out a program specifically targeting radio-faint submillimeter sources with the SMA. In Wang et al. (2007, hereafter W07) we reported our first identification in this program, GOODS 850-5. GOODS 850-5 was detected in our JCMT SCUBA jiggle-map survey of the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey-North (GOODS-N; Giavalisco et al. 2004) with an 850 μm flux of 12.9 ± 2.1 mJy (Wang et al. 2004). It was also detected in the combined jiggle and scan map of the
GOODS-N (GN 10; see Pope et al. 2006 and references therein). It is the second brightest submillimeter source in our jiggle-map catalog of the GOODS-N and has a total IR luminosity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$. It did not have a $\sigma$ radio counterpart in the deep Very Large Array (VLA) 1.4 GHz catalogs of Richards (2000) and Biggs & Ivison (2006).

In W07, an SMA 880 $\mu$m detection of GOODS 850-5 was obtained and its counterpart was found to be extremely faint in the optical and near-IR (NIR). Dannerbauer et al. (2008, hereafter D08) soon followed up with new 1.25 mm and 20 cm detections of GOODS 850-5. It turns out that the correct Spitzer counterpart had already been suggested by Pope et al. (2006), but this identification was not confirmed and the high redshift of the source was not realized until the accurate position was obtained by W07. However, the exact redshift of GOODS 850-5 is unclear. Both W07 and D08 found that the NIR SED is consistent with a galaxy at $z \sim 6$, and the submillimeter and radio SED is consistent with $z \sim 4$. While both groups agree that this is a high-redshift SMG, W07 favor $z \sim 6$ but D08 favor $z \sim 4$ based on the radio–FIR correlation. The $z \sim 6$ redshift suggested by W07 is based on a featureless power-law continuum in the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) bands and a nondetection in a relatively shallow $K_s$-band image. However, it is fair to say that this photometric redshift is not a secure one, which would require the detection of at least one prominent spectral feature. To obtain a better constraint on the redshift, we carried out ultradeep NIR imaging in the $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ bands, hoping to detect the stellar continuum at $\lesssim 2$ $\mu$m. However, we found instead that the SED of GOODS 850-5 at 1–3 $\mu$m is quite unusual—it is not detected even at a 5 nJy sensitivity at 1.6 $\mu$m (compared with its $\sim 1$ nJy flux at 3.6 $\mu$m). Explaining this is challenging, but our analyses show that it greatly strengthens the previous W07 suggestion of $z \gtrsim 6$.

In this paper we present our new ultradeep NIR imaging observations of GOODS 850-5 and complete analyses of the likelihood of its redshift. The new observations and data used in this paper are described in Section 2. Photometric redshift analyses in the optical, NIR, FIR, and radio are described in Section 3. The mass and age of the stellar population and the star formation rate of GOODS 850-5 are estimated, and the nature of this galaxy is discussed in Section 4. The implications for galaxy evolution are discussed in Section 5. We summarize and make some final remarks in Section 6. The cosmological parameters adopted in this paper are $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$, and $\Omega_m = 0.27$.

2. NEW NIR IMAGING AND EXISTING DATA

2.1. HST NICMOS F160W Imaging

We observed GOODS 850-5 with the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in Cycle 16. We used the NIC3 camera and the F160W filter to obtain the highest sensitivity. The observations were made in 2007 November and December, containing a total of 16 HST orbits in four visits. In each orbit, we made only one exposure that is as long as possible (~47 min) in order to minimize the contribution of read noise. The exposures were dithered to provide 0.5 pixel sampling.

The data reduction was carried out in the IDL environment. We started the reduction with the standard pipeline-calibrated images provided by the HST Archive, which are flux calibrated and have instrumental singatures removed. We applied a background subtraction to each exposure by fitting the object-masked image with a smooth polynomial surface. The brightest cosmic ray hits and hot pixels were removed in each image with a spatial sigma filtering. The offsets between images were determined by measuring the positions of the detected objects in the central part of the field of view. We did not attempt to correct for the optical distortion of NIC3. The 16 images were then drizzled to a common grid of 0.1 per pixel (two times finer than the original pixel of NIC3) and combined to form a deep image. The absolute astrometry was matched to the GOODS-N Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) catalog (Giavalisco et al. 2004) but corrected for the 0.4 offset in the ACS frame to match the radio frame (Richards 2000). Before the images were combined, another sigma filtering was applied to drizzled pixels in the same coordinate grids in order to remove fainter cosmic ray hits. When the images were combined, each pixel was weighted by its exposure time and each image was inverse weighted by the background brightness to achieve the highest signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). The reduced image is shown in Figure 1. The final image has an extremely deep $\sigma$ sensitivity of 4.9 nJy. Surprisingly, even with such a great depth, we are not able to detect GOODS 850-5.

2.2. Subaru MOIRCS J and $K_s$ Imaging

We obtained extremely deep $J$ and $K_s$ images of GOODS 850-5 with the Multi-Object InfraRed Camera and Spectrograph (MOIRCS, Ichikawa et al. 2006) on the 8 m Subaru Telescope on Mauna Kea. MOIRCS contains two 2k $\times$ 2k HAWAI12 detectors, covering a field of view of $\sim 4^\prime$ $\times$ 7$^\prime$ with a pixel scale of 0.117. The images used here were taken in two large MOIRCS imaging campaigns in the GOODS-N, led by Japanese groups and our group based in Hawaii between 2005 December and 2008 January. All of the $J$-band exposures and approximately half of the $K_s$-band exposures were made by Japanese investigators and were obtained from the Subaru public archive. Parts of the Japanese data were published in Kajisawa et al. (2006). The rest of the $K_s$ exposures were made by our group and will be published elsewhere. The majority of the observations were performed under photometric conditions with excellent seeing of $0/25$–$0/6$. A very small fraction of the data has a large extinction of more than 0.5 mag or poor seeing of greater than $0/7$, and they were excluded in this work.

The MOIRCS images were reduced with the IDL based SIMPLE Imaging and Mosaic Imaging Pipeline (SIMPLE: W.-H. Wang 2008, in preparation). Images within a dither set (typically 20–30 minutes in length) were flattened using an iterative median sky flat in which a simple median sky was first derived to flatten the images and then a second median sky was derived by masking all the detected objects using the flattened images. After the images were flattened, the residual sky background was subtracted with a smooth polynomial surface. The brightest cosmic ray hits were removed by a spatial sigma filtering in each flattened image. MOIRCS produces almost nearly circular fringes in roughly half of the images. The fringes were modeled in polar coordinates where they are nearly perfect straight lines and were subtracted from the images in the original Cartesian coordinates.

The package SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) was used to measure object positions and fluxes in each flattened, sky-subtracted, and fringe-removed image in a dither set. The first-order derivative of the optical distortion function was derived by measuring the offsets of each object in the dither

\footnote{Also see http://www.aoc.nrao.edu/~whwang/idl/SIMPLE/index.htm}
sequence as a function of location in the images. Absolute astrometry was obtained by matching the detected objects to a reference catalog constructed with brighter and compact objects in the GOODS-N ACS catalog (matched to the radio frame) and the GOODS-N SuprimeCam catalog (Capak et al. 2004). The images were then warped directly from the raw frames to a common tangential sky plane with a subpixel accuracy. All projected images were weighted by their sky transparencies, exposure times, inverse background brightnesses, and pixel-to-pixel efficiencies (i.e., flat field) to obtain optimal S/N. The weighted images were then combined to form a large mosaic. The images were calibrated by observing various UKIRT Faint Standards (Hawarden et al. 2001) at least every half night on each detector. Data taken observing various UKIRT Faint Standards (Hawarden et al. 2001) at least every half night on each detector. Data taken nonphotometric conditions and poorly calibrated archive data were recalibrated with photometric data taken by our group.

The final mosaics have weighted exposure times (relative to median sky brightness and transparency) of 13.2 and 23.7 hr in the J and Ks bands, respectively, at the location of GOODS 850-5. The image qualities are very good, with FWHMs of 0.′46 at J and 0.′42 at Ks. The rms astrometry error between the MOIRCS source positions and the ACS/SuprimeCam reference catalog is 0.′08. The reduced MOIRCS images in the region around GOODS 850-5 are shown in Figure 1. The 1σ sensitivity limits at J and Ks are both 14 nJy. As with the F160W image, GOODS 850-5 is not detected in the J and Ks images.

2.3. NIR Photometry

Although GOODS 850-5 is not detected in any of the above ultradepth NIR images, it is useful to measure its fluxes at the SMA position (J2000 = 12:36:33.45, +62:14:08.65, W07) to determine whether there is any low-level flux recorded. It is also important to obtain realistic flux limit/errors in these bands for the use of photometric redshift fitting. We placed apertures with diameters that are 1.5 times the FWHMs of compact objects in the fields (0.′46, 0.′3, and 0.′42 in J, F160W, and Ks, respectively) at the SMA position to measure the fluxes of GOODS 850-5. For each image, the background was estimated in a 3′′ area around GOODS 850-5 after detected objects and GOODS 850-5 itself were masked. The measured J and F160W fluxes are negative, and the Ks flux is 0.65σ. To estimate flux uncertainties, we first masked all detected objects in the images and then randomly placed the apertures in the neighborhood of GOODS 850-5. The dispersions in the random aperture fluxes are considered as flux uncertainties in these three bands. This procedure is necessary especially for the two MOIRCS bands, where the images were not drizzle combined and therefore the noise is more correlated between pixels. Such flux errors also include the uncertainties in background subtraction and confusion noise from faint undetected sources in the field. We summarize the measurements in Table 1.

2.4. Existing Data

GOODS 850-5 is not detected in the GOODS-N HST ACS images but is clearly detected in the Spitzer IRAC 3.6–8 μm and MIPS 24 μm images (GOODS Spitzer Legacy Program; M. Dickinson et al. 2008, in preparation). Its IRAC and MIPS fluxes were first measured by Pope et al. (2006). Because GOODS 850-5 is blended with brighter, nearby IRAC and MIPS sources, in W07 we remeasured the IRAC and MIPS fluxes with a point-spread function fitting method to better isolate its flux. In this work, we adopt the W07 results. The flux errors in W07 and here include the uncertainties in the deblending processes. GOODS 850-5 is not detected in the MIPS 70 μm and 160 μm bands (Frayer et al. 2006; Huynh et al. 2007). We adopt the 1σ limits of 2 mJy at 70 μm and 30 mJy at 160 μm.

In the submillimeter, we adopt the SCUBA 850 μm jiggle map flux of Wang et al. (2004) and the SMA 880 μm flux of W07. GOODS 850-5 was detected by D08 with the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer at 1.25 mm with a flux of 5 ±1 mJy. In W07, we used the older VLA 20 cm image of Biggs & Ivison (2006; also see Richards 2000), in which GOODS 850-5 has a flux of 18.7 ± 8.0 μJy.7 G. Morrison et al. (2008, in preparation) obtained a deeper VLA 20 cm image of GOODS-N. GOODS 850-5 was detected with a highly significant flux of 34.4 ± 4.2 mJy in the new VLA image (D08). Here we adopt the latest D08 values at 1.25 mm and 20 cm. The photometry used in this work is summarized in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the multicolor images of GOODS 850-5. Comparing with the figure shown in W07, the main difference is the new Subaru and HST NIR images and the new VLA 20 cm image.

3. SED AND REDSHIFT OF GOODS 850-5

3.1. Non-Detections in J, F160W, and Ks

The results of our new NIR imaging are surprising and not particularly easy to understand. GOODS 850-5 is clearly

7 This flux was measured at the location of the SMA position, which is ∼0.′3 away from the best-fit VLA position. G. Morrison (2008, personal communication) and R. Ivison (2008, personal communication) found best-fit VLA fluxes of 24.1 ± 5.8 and 23.8 ± 5.9 μJy, respectively, from the image of Biggs & Ivison (2006).
determined in the IRAC 3.6 \( \mu m \) band at 8\( \sigma \). Despite the great sensitivities at F160W and \( K_s \), which are respectively 29 and 10 times higher than those at 3.6 \( \mu m \), GOODS 850-5 is not detected. This reveals an extraordinarily red SED in the NIR. The 1\( \sigma \) \( K_s \) flux upper limit (23 nJy) implies a \( S_{3.6 \mu m}/S_{K_s} \) flux ratio greater than 50 or a spectral slope of \( \alpha < -7.8 \). For comparison, objects with \( R - K > 5 \), corresponding to \( \alpha < -2.5 \), are called “extremely red objects” (EROs, e.g., McCarthy 2004).

The spectral slope of GOODS 850-5 is more than three times that of EROs, and the \( K_s - 3.6 \mu m \) color (in AB scale) of GOODS 850-5 is \( \gtrsim 2 \) higher than the \( I - J \) color of Hu-Ridgway 10, which is a prototype dusty starburst ERO (Hu & Ridgway 1994).

High-redshift UV-emitting objects are commonly selected with red colors of \( \gtrsim 2 \) (in the AB system) between two adjacent optical filter bands (the “Lyman-break” technique, e.g., Steidel et al. 1999; Fan et al. 2001), corresponding to \( \alpha \gtrsim -10 \). The flux ratio of GOODS 850-5 between \( K_s \) and 3.6 \( \mu m \) is \( \sim 8 \) times that of a minimal Lyman break. (A Lyman break between \( K_s \) and 3.6 \( \mu m \) would imply \( z > 17 \).)

The extremely red color of GOODS 850-5 sets a strong constraint on its redshift. It can be seen in Figure 3 that it is very difficult to explain the observed colors between 1.6 and 3.6 \( \mu m \) with galaxies at \( z < 5 \) even with a considerable amount of reddening. Simply based on the two colors in Figure 3, \( z \sim 6 \) appears to be the most likely redshift for GOODS 850-5. However, we can use all the filter bands simultaneously to obtain better redshift estimates with the photometric redshift technique.

### References

1. This work; 2. Wang et al. 2007; W07; 3. Frayer et al. 2006; 4. Huynh et al. 2007; 5. Wang et al. 2004; 6. Dannerbauer et al. 2008, D08.

### Table 1

| Wave Band | Flux (nJy) |
|-----------|-----------|
| MOIRCS J  | -0.8 ± 13.8 |
| NICMOS F160W | -2.75 ± 4.90 |
| MOIRCS K | 9.1 ± 13.9 |

### Table 2

| Wave Band | Flux (\( \mu m \)) | Reference |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| ACS F435W | -0.013 ± 0.004 | 2         |
| ACS F606W | -0.004 ± 0.003 | 2         |
| ACS F775W | 0.001 ± 0.006 | 2         |
| ACS F850LP | -0.009 ± 0.009 | 2         |
| MOIRCS J  | -0.0008 ± 0.0014 | 1         |
| NICMOS F160W | -0.0028 ± 0.0049 | 1         |
| MOIRCS K | 0.0091 ± 0.014 | 1         |
| IRAC 3.6 \( \mu m \) | 1.14 ± 0.14 | 2         |
| IRAC 4.5 \( \mu m \) | 1.64 ± 0.13 | 2         |
| IRAC 5.8 \( \mu m \) | 2.33 ± 0.24 | 2         |
| IRAC 8.0 \( \mu m \) | 5.37 ± 0.37 | 2         |
| MIPS 24 \( \mu m \) | 46.3 ± 9.2 | 2         |
| MIPS 70 \( \mu m \) | <2000 | 3         |
| MIPS 160 \( \mu m \) | <30000 | 4         |
| SCUBA 850 \( \mu m \) | 12900 ± 2100 | 5         |
| SMA 880 \( \mu m \) | 12000 ± 1400 | 2         |
| IRAM 1.25 mm | 5000 ± 1000 | 6         |
| VLA 20 cm | 34.4 ± 4.2 | 6         |

In W07 we derived photometric redshifts for GOODS 850-5 and found that galaxies at \( z \sim 6 \) provided the best fits. Here we used our new photometric data in the NIR to improve our photometric redshift estimates. We used all the \textit{Spitzer} IRAC, \textit{HST} ACS and NICMOS, and Subaru MOIRCS data, as well as the latest version of the Hyperz package (Bolzonella et al. 2000), for this calculation. In each band, we added a 5\% of zero-point error quadratically. We used zeros to replace negative fluxes in the four ACS bands and the \( J \) and F160W bands, since negative fluxes are not physical. (The F775W flux is consistent with zero.) We used the nonzero \( K_s \) flux. The photometric redshifts were derived independently with two SED template sets. The first is the latest stellar population synthesis model of Bruzual & Charlot (2003, BC03). The second contains the empirically observed galaxy spectra of Coleman et al. (1980) from E to Im types and the starburst spectra of Kinney et al. (1996). Both SED sets are widely used by the optical extragalactic community for photometric redshift estimates. The combination of the latest Hyperz with the BC03 model has the nice feature of estimating ages and stellar masses of galaxies. Hyperz also limits the age of the galaxies not to exceed the age of the universe.

We adopted the standard extinction law of Calzetti et al. (2000). There is a subtlety in the maximum extinction to be allowed. Explaining the extremely red color of GOODS 850-5 between F160W/\( K_s \) and 3.6 \( \mu m \) requires a strong Balmer break (rest wavelength 4000 Å) at a high redshift of \( z > 5 \) (or a Lyman break at \( z \sim 16 \)). On the other hand, if we allow very large extinctions, this red color might be reproduced with a highly-reddened low-redshift galaxy. For example, Mobasher et al. (2005) suggest that the very red \( z - J \) color of the object HUDF-JD2 may come from a Lyman break at \( z \sim 6.5 \). On the other hand, the mid-IR (MIR) observations of Chary et al. (2007) suggest that HUDF-JD2 is at \( z \sim 2 \) and the red \( z - J \) color comes from an extinction of \( A_V \sim 4.9 \) (also see Fontana et al. 2006; Dunlop et al. 2007). Spectroscopically confirmed SMGs have a typical extinction of \( A_V \sim 1-3 \) (Smail et al. 2004; Swinbank et al. 2004), but the spectroscopic sample may be biased toward less-reddened systems. Photometric redshift fitting to SMGs without spectroscopy generally gives \( A_V \sim 0-5 \). From these examples, \( A_V < 6 \) seems to be a reasonable limit for high-redshift SMGs. (For heavier extinctions, the shape of the extinction curve becomes a much more important uncertainty than the \( A_V \) value itself.) We adopted this limit for our photometric redshift analyses. Later we will show that, unlike in the case of HUDF-JD2, a \( z < 3 \) redshift with a large extinction is ruled out for GOODS 850-5 by its radio and FIR SED.

Figure 4 shows the results of our photometric redshift analyses. Both SED template sets give best fits at redshifts between 6 and 7. The BC03 best fit is a galaxy at \( z = 6.9 \) that formed in a single burst of star formation and has now aged 0.7 Gyr with an extinction of \( A_V = 1.8 \). The empirical SED set provides a best fit at \( z = 6.4 \), with an elliptical type and \( A_V = 0.5 \). The BC03 set systematically gives higher extinctions at all redshifts, likely because of the limit in the galaxy age, i.e., an unreddened galaxy that is sufficiently red to fit the observed SED may be too old for the age of the universe. Nevertheless, the \( \chi^2 \) distributions from the two SED sets are consistent with each other. In our subsequent analyses, we adopt the BC03 result as it includes an age limit for the galaxy and provides physical

---

\(^8\) Also see http://www.ast.obs-mip.fr/users/roser/hyperz/
Figure 2. Multiwavelength images of GOODS 850-5. Each panel has a 24'' width. North is up. The SMA position is labeled with 2'' diameter circles and has an uncertainty of 0.2. The color codes in the optical, NIR, and IRAC images are labeled in the pictures. The gray-scale images have negative color scales. The MOIRCS and NICMOS images are from this work and the VLA image is from D08 and G. Morrison (in preparation). The rest are from W07.

Figure 3. NIR colors of GOODS 850-5 and galaxies between $z = 0$ and 10: (a) colors between the F160W and the 3.6 μm bands; (b) colors between the $K_s$ and 3.6 μm bands. The solid curves are colors of E, Sbc, and Im galaxy types in Coleman et al. (1980), without reddening. The dotted curves are the same types of galaxies with $A_V = 2.0$ (a) and $A_V = 5.0$ (b). We adopt the extinction law in Calzetti et al. (2000). The horizontal dashed lines are the lower limits for the colors of GOODS 850-5, derived from the observed $1\sigma$ upper limits of its F160W and $K_s$ fluxes.

quantities such as the age and stellar mass. The 68% confidence range (1σ for a Gaussian distribution) from the integration of the BC03 probability function is $z = 6.0–7.4$. We note that redshifts between 4 and 5 are not entirely ruled out, although the fit is poorer here. On the other hand, $z < 3$ is safely ruled out by the strong limits from the NICMOS and MOIRCS nondetections.

Comparing to the photometric redshift analyses in W07, the probability distribution now becomes narrower, which is an important improvement of this work. On the other hand, the minimum $\chi^2$ increases, indicating that it is generally difficult to explain the red color between 1.6 and 3.6 μm. We tested photometric redshifts at $z > 10$ and obtained nearly perfect fits at $z > 17$ (i.e., a Lyman break between $K_s$ and 3.6 μm). However, this high redshift cannot explain the observed SED in the FIR and radio. We do not consider $z > 10$ in this paper.

3.3. Millimetric Redshift

We used the radio and FIR portion of the SED for another photometric redshift estimate (the millimetric redshift estimate). Because there is not a clear correlation between the radio/dust SEDs and the stellar SEDs of galaxies, this millimetric redshift estimate was carried out independently of the above NIR photometric redshift. The earliest version of such millimetric redshift estimates was carried out using the spectral index between two wavebands in the radio and submillimeter (Carilli & Yun 1999; Barger et al. 2000; Yun & Carilli 2002) based on the well-known radio–FIR correlation in the local universe. This method has larger errors caused by the uncertainty in the dust temperature. Advanced versions utilize full SED fitting in the radio and FIR as the amount of available data increases.

Here we used all the data listed in Table 2 between 24 μm and 20 cm, including the two nondetections at 70 and 160 μm. These two nondetections play a key role in ruling out low redshifts of $z < 3$, but do not provide strong constraints at $z > 4$. We used two sets of radio and FIR SED templates. The first set contains model SEDs of Arp 220 (ultraluminous starburst with cooler dust emission), NGC 6090 (luminous starburst), M 82 (low-luminosity starburst with warm dust), and M 100 (normal spiral) adopted from Silva et al. (1998), and Mrk 231 (ultraluminous dusty active galactic nucleus, AGN, with warm dust) derived from the photometry in NED.9 The second SED

9 The NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
that about the dust and radio emission. This is not only because the stellar spectral synthesis models (such as the Bruzual & Charlot 2003 models) and the empirical spectra (such as the Coleman, Wu, & Weedman 1980 templates) have been widely adopted and tested in numerous extragalactic studies from local galaxies (Condon 1992), but the detailed physical mechanism of this correlation is still unclear. Several groups have attempted to measure this correlation on different samples of high-redshift galaxies with various methods, and the results remain controversial (Appleton et al. 2004; Boyle et al. 2007; Vlahakis et al. 2007). If high-redshift SMGs are brighter in the radio, as suggested by Vlahakis et al., then the redshift of GOODS 850-5 should be higher than that inferred from the radio/IR photometric redshift. Below we discuss each of the uncertainties.

First, the two-waveband spectral index method suffers from the uncertainty in dust temperature. Second, the stellar contamination in the 24 μm band is quite uncertain at z > 4. All NIR photometric redshift models for GOODS 850-5 at z > 4 predict observed 24 μm stellar photospheric fluxes that are much weaker than those in the Silva et al. (1998) and CE01 models. If we decrease the stellar emission in the Silva et al. models to match the observations, all fits become better than those in Figure 3. In particular, the M 82 fit at z ∼ 6 slightly shifts to z ∼ 5.5 and becomes better than the Arp 220 fit at z ∼ 4. Third, and most importantly, there is a fundamental problem in the millimetric redshift, which is the uncertainty in the radio–FIR correlation.

The radio–FIR correlation is a tight correlation observed for local galaxies (Condon 1992), but the detailed physical mechanism of this correlation is still unclear. Several groups have attempted to measure this correlation on different samples of high-redshift galaxies with various methods, and the results remain controversial (Appleton et al. 2004; Boyle et al. 2007; Vlahakis et al. 2007). If high-redshift SMGs are brighter in the radio, as suggested by Vlahakis et al., then the redshift of GOODS 850-5 should be higher than that inferred from the local radio–FIR correlation. In addition to the uncertainties in observational determinations of the correlation, there are
also physical reasons to suspect that the radio–FIR correlation is not assumed. While it is established that many high-redshift SMGs have cool dust SEDs similar to Arp 220, a small number of SMGs have warmer, M 82-like SEDs for GOODS 850-5. We consider the radio and FIR emission of GOODS 850-5 is entirely powered by a Compton-thick dusty AGN-like Mrk 231, its redshift would be \( z \approx 9 \) (Section 3.3, W07), which is less likely. Furthermore, our new observation in the NIR shows a strong spectral break around 2–3 \( \mu \)m, which is an important feature of old stellar populations. On the other hand, QSOs typically have power-law SEDs across a wide wavelength range from the UV to the NIR and do not show strong spectral breaks like this. We conclude that there is no evidence for an AGN in any of the observations.

### 4.2. Stellar Population

The use of the BC03 models and the latest Hyperz allows us to estimate the stellar masses and ages. The SED of GOODS 850-5 is nearly a power law in the IRAC bands but shows a clear spectral break between 1.6 and 3.6 \( \mu \)m. This can hardly be explained by a normal extinction curve. A spectral break in the unreddened stellar SED is required, and the most natural spectral break is the 4000 Å Balmer break, which is a signature of older stars. Hyperz agreed with this interpretation, and all the best-fit models between \( z = 4 \) and 10 have nearly the age of the universe. For example, at the best fit of \( z = 6.9 \), the age of the universe is 0.79 Gyr and the best-fit model has an age of 0.7 Gyr. The minimum age with good fits (\( \chi^2 \) probability greater than 0.5 times the best-fit one) is 0.5 Gyr, corresponding to a formation redshift of 10–14. Because there are no detections in the \( K_s \) and F160W bands, the actual strength of the Balmer break in GOODS 850-5 is unknown. Therefore we do not think that we can constrain its age with sufficient accuracy. Nevertheless, we can conclude that it requires a well established stellar population with an age that is significantly large compared with the cosmic time to explain the observed SED at less than 10 \( \mu \)m.

Since the observed IRAC fluxes are likely dominated by old stars with little AGN contributions, they can be used to make a measurement of the stellar masses. Figure 7 shows the Hyperz/BC03 best-fit masses as a function of redshift, and the uncertainty range. At \( z = 4 \) and 6.0, the best-fit masses are 3 and 5 \( \times 10^{11} M_\odot \), respectively. The range allowed by the photometric redshift fitting is quite narrow at \( z > 4 \). We therefore believe that the true uncertainty in the mass estimate is more likely from the stellar population model. In BC03, we can see that the mass-to-light (\( M/L \)) ratio is a strong function of initial mass function (IMF) and a weaker function of metallicity. The adopted IMF in BC03 is the Chabrier (2003) IMF, which is more top heavy than the standard Salpeter (1955) IMF. If the IMF is Salpeter, the stellar mass of GOODS 850-5 would approximately be two times larger than the above values. Another important factor is the likely existence of the thermally pulsing asymptotic giant branch (TP-AGB, Maraston 2005) phase, which is not included in the BC03 models. Such a phase decreases the \( M/L \) ratio for a young stellar population in the rest-frame NIR. Maraston et al. (2006) found that the inclusion of the TP-AGB stars decreases the mass by \( \sim 60\% \) on average, compared with the BC03 models. This would decrease the stellar mass of GOODS850-5 to \( 3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \) at \( z \sim 6 \), but this is still a very large mass.

### 3.5. Summary on the Redshift

A low redshift of \( z < 3 \) is ruled out by both the NIR and millimetric redshifts for GOODS 850-5. The NIR photometric redshift suggests \( z \sim 6–7 \), but the millimetric redshift suggests \( z \sim 4 \). The latter strongly relies on the validity of the local radio–FIR correlation. If we do not assume the local radio–FIR correlation, redshifts between 3 and 10 seem equally possible for the observed FIR SED of GOODS 850-5. We consider the \( z \geq 6 \) NIR photometric redshift as a more likely one, but \( z \sim 4 \) is still a possibility for GOODS 850-5.
There is another factor of 2 uncertainty caused by the uncertainty in the IMF.

The result from the M 100 SED is not shown since M 100 does not fit the best-fit model is $M_{82}$ and the luminosity is $2 \times 10^{13}$ $L_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. The lower limits for the extinctions of the starburst component are significantly higher than those derived from the optical/NIR SED. We will come back to this in Section 4.5.

### 4.3. IR Luminosity and Star Formation Rate

The data at wavelengths greater than 24 $\mu$m allow us to robustly determine the total IR luminosity (integrated from 8–1000 $\mu$m, e.g., Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Figure 8 shows the IR luminosity of the best-fit Silva et al. (1998) models (FIR only, Section 3.4 and Figure 6) as functions of redshift and SED type. We consider two redshifts here: $z \sim 4.0$, as suggested by the millimetric redshift estimate, and $z \sim 6.9$, as suggested by the NIR photometric redshift. At $z \sim 4$, the best-fit model is Arp 220 and the best-fit IR luminosity is $1.4 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$. At $z \sim 6.9$, the best-fit model is M 82 and the luminosity is $2.6 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$.

These are all comparable with the result directly inferred from the submillimeter flux using the standard formulas (e.g., Blain et al. 2002, $L_{\text{IR}} = 1.9 \times 10^{12} S_{850\mu m} L_{\odot}/\text{mJy}$, which is $2.5 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ for GOODS 850-5).

The star formation rate for GOODS 850-5 can be estimated with the relation $M = 1.7 \times 10^{-10} L_{\text{IR}} / L_{\odot}$ (e.g., Kennicutt 1998). The results are $2400 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for $z \sim 4$ and $4400 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for $z \sim 6.9$. This assumes the standard Salpeter IMF.

It is interesting to compare the above star formation rates with the nondetections in the NIR. Using the UV luminosity versus star formation rate conversion in Kennicutt (1998), $M = 1.4 \times 10^{-28} L_{\text{UV}} / (\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})$, and a minimum IR star formation rate of 2000 $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, we found an unattenuated UV (1500–2800 Å) luminosity of $1.4 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$. At $z = 4–6.9$, this corresponds to approximately 40–20 $\mu$m Jy at 1.6 to 2.1 $\mu$m, assuming a young burst SED from BC03. The observed F160W and K$_{\text{s}}$ flux upper limits then imply the extinctions for the starburst component to be at least $A_V \sim 6.7–4.6$. Figure 9 shows the SEDs of such hidden starbursts at $z = 4$ and 6.9. The lower limits for the extinctions of the starburst component are significantly higher than those derived from the optical/NIR SED. We will come back to this in Section 4.5.

### 4.4. Nature of the 24 $\mu$m Emission

All the above discussions on the existence of an AGN, the stellar mass, and the IR luminosity depend (to various degrees) on whether the observed 24 $\mu$m emission is dominated by emission from stellar photosphere or from dust (see the extensive discussion on the 24 $\mu$m emission from HUDF-JD2 in Mobasher et al. 2005). Roughly speaking, the observed 24 $\mu$m flux of GOODS 850-5 is unlikely to be dominated by stellar emission, as this would require $A_V > 8$ at $z \sim 6$ to explain the observed red 24-to-8.0 $\mu$m color without dust extinction. If we include the 24 $\mu$m band in the optical/NIR photometric redshift analyses, the fit becomes poor at all redshifts.

We can quantify the strength of stellar radiation at 24 $\mu$m using photometric redshift fits without including the 24 $\mu$m data. The best-fit BC03 models in Section 3.2 imply 24 $\mu$m stellar emission of 4.6 and 2.9 $\mu$m Jy at $z \sim 4$ and 6.9, respectively, corresponding to 10% and 6% of the observed 24 $\mu$m flux. These seem unusually small, especially at $z \sim 6.9$, where 24 $\mu$m corresponds to rest-frame 3 $\mu$m. We can compare these with local templates in Silva et al. (1998) to see if they make any sense. Table 3 compares the ratios of $L_{\text{IR}} / M$, and the ratios of dust-to-stellar radiation at 24 $\mu$m for Arp 220, NGC 6090, M 82, and GOODS 850-5, at $z \sim 4$ and $z \sim 6.9$. 

---

**Figure 7.** Stellar mass vs. redshift, derived from Hyperz with the BC03 models. The solid curve is the best-fit result. The dotted curves are the maximum and minimum stellar masses when the $\chi^2$ is larger than the minimum $\chi^2$ by 1.9 (see Figure 4 for the distribution of minimum $\chi^2$), showing how the stellar mass changes when the fit is perturbed around the best fit. At $z < 4$, the fits become very poor although the best-fit mass still follows the trend at $z > 4$. At $z \sim 6.9$, the minimum and maximum ranges are approximately ±40% around the best fit. There is another factor of 2 uncertainty caused by the uncertainty in the stellar population model (see text).

**Figure 8.** Total IR luminosity for the best-fit models vs. redshift. The IR luminosity is derived from SED fitting to data between 24 $\mu$m and 1.25 mm. The result from the M100 SED is not shown since M100 does not fit the observations ($\chi^2 > 10$ for all redshifts).

**Figure 9.** NIR SEDs of ongoing starbursts suggested by the IR luminosity of GOODS 850-5 at $z = 4.0$ and 6.9. Two curves are shown for each redshift: one with no extinction, derived from a BC03 instantaneous burst model with an age of 5 Myr and a star formation rate of 2000 $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$; the other with an extinction that is required to minimally hide the burst in the F160W and K$_{\text{s}}$ bands such that the hidden burst contributes less than 50% to the observed 1σ upper limits. The squares are the observed SED of GOODS 850-5 at less than 1 $\mu$m.
We found that once the dust-to-star ratios in the observed 24 μm emission is normalized by the L_24/M_⋆ ratios, GOODS 850-5 is not different from local galaxies. It is also interesting to note that at z ∼ 4 and 6.9 the normalized dust-to-star ratios are similar to Arp 220 and M 82, respectively. This is consistent with what we found in the photometric redshift analyses: GOODS 850-5 is similar to Arp 220 if it is at z ∼ 4 but more similar to M 82 if z > 6.

There are two subtle issues in the above comparison. First, the underlying assumption for the normalization is that the M/L ratios are similar for the galaxies at 24 μm (rest-frame 3.0–4.8 μm for the redshifts of interest). Given the long wavelengths, this is acceptable. Second, the estimates of the IR luminosity for GOODS 850-5 slightly depends on how much of the observed 24 μm emission comes from the warmer dust components. However, from Figure 8 we can see that the uncertainty is well within a factor of 2 and the IR luminosity is mostly determined by the three measurements in the millimeter and submillimeter. Therefore the 24 μm measurement can still be considered as a semi-independent check for all the analyses in longer and shorter wavelengths. The fact that the extrapolations of the optical/NIR photometric redshift analyses agree well with the millimeter one at 24 μm (in terms of dust-to-star ratio) does show an excellent self-consistency. It is fair to conclude that the unusually large dust-to-star ratio in the observed 24 μm emission of GOODS 850-5 is simply a consequence of its extremely large IR luminosity.

Two questions arise once we establish that >90% of the observed 24 μm emission comes from dust. (1) Does this affect our millimeter redshift analyses? (2) Does the dust emission extend to the IRAC bands and bias our stellar mass estimates? To examine (1), we decreased the stellar contribution in the Silva et al. (1998) templates by factors of 2 to a few tens and repeated the photometric redshift fitting. We found that the fits improve slightly. The best-fit redshift with Arp 220 does not change significantly and the best-fit redshift with M 82 decreases from ~6–7 to ~5.5–6. Thus, the general conclusions on the millimeter redshift and the IR luminosity are both fairly insensitive to the assumed stellar contribution to the 24 μm flux.

The answer to (2) is negative as well. The dust spectral slope for starbursts is extremely steep at less than 1.6 μm (IRAC bands for z > 4), and the blue end of the dust emission does not contaminate the IRAC fluxes. This is still true even if the observed 24 μm emission comes from a dusty AGN with dust much warmer than starbursts. Mobasher et al. (2005) compared the observed 24 μm emission from HUDF-JD2 with dusty AGN templates Mrk 231 and NGC 1068. We follow the procedure in Mobasher et al. and compare the SEDs of GOODS 850-5 and NGC 1068. We found that the dust emission only contributes to the IRAC fluxes at z < 4. At z > 6, similar to what Mobasher et al. concluded for HUDF-JD2 at z = 6.4, the dusty AGN does not bias the IRAC stellar mass estimates. We do not consider Mrk 231 here as its SED in the literature is contaminated by stellar emission at rest-frame less than 3 μm (as pointed out by Mobasher et al.) and hence it does not provide a definite answer. Moreover, as shown in our photometric redshift analyses, to explain the large millimeter fluxes of GOODS 850-5 with Mrk 231-like warm dust, it would require unlikely high redshifts of z ∼ 8–10. In short, we conclude that the dust emission at 24 μm is unlikely to bias our IRAC-based stellar mass estimates, regardless of the existence of a dusty AGN.

### 4.5. Coexistence of Old Stars and a Starburst

The properties of GOODS 850-5 are puzzling. There appear to be two inconsistencies that are related to each other. (1) While the IR luminosity implies an intensive ongoing starburst and the formation of a young galaxy, the NIR SED suggests that most of the observed stellar radiation comes from old stars without any detectable rest-frame UV radiation. (2) Our interpretation of the NIR SED suggests A_V 4.6 is required to hide all the young stars.

One conceivable way to solve this is to see if A_V > 4.6 with young stellar populations could provide reasonably good fits in the photometric redshift. If it can, we may argue that the entire young galaxy is behind an A_V > 4.6 dust screen and that the observed IRAC fluxes indeed mostly come from young stars. To investigate this possibility, we limited the photometric redshift fitting to the BC03 models with A_V = 4.6–10, z > 4, and the ongoing star formation. At z ∼ 4, fits with such highly extinguished stellar populations all have χ^2 > 2.7, which is significantly poorer than the fits with A_V ∼ 2. At z > 5, the χ^2 becomes larger than 5 and the models fail to fit the data. We conclude that A_V > 4.6 for a young galaxy is a less likely case, although it is not fully ruled out for z ∼ 4. It is more likely that the observed IRAC fluxes mostly come from a relatively old stellar population with a moderate extinction of A_V ∼ 2.

Another possible, and indeed sensible, scenario to explain the above inconsistencies is that the star forming region (with A_V > 4.6) is confined to a thin layer of dust around the galaxy. GOODS 850-5 is not resolved by the SMA (~2″ beam FWHM, S/N = 8.6) and by the VLA (~1″7, S/N = 8.2). These imply an uncertainty of ~0″2 (beam FWHM divided by S/N) for its beam-convolved size and ~0″8 for the upper limit of its intrinsic size. The upper limit corresponds to ~4.5 kpc at z = 6.9 and is consistent with the CO sizes of low-redshift SMGs (Tacconi et al. 2006). On the other hand, the IRAC fluxes suggest a massive stellar population of M_⋆ ≈ 10^{11.5} M_☉, which may be spatially offset from the starburst region and may have less extinction.

An interesting object for comparison is the prototypical dusty starburst ERO, Hu-Ridgway 10. Stern et al. (2006) found that its 10 μm silicate feature implies A_V ∼ 11 but its optical/NIR SED implies a much smaller A_V ∼ 2.4. They ascribed this to a heavily obscured starburst in Hu-Ridgway 10, similar to our hypothesis for GOODS 850-5. Unfortunately, the current data of GOODS 850-5 do not allow us to use the silicate feature for an extinction measurement. Another possibility to test the above two-component hypothesis for GOODS 850-5 is to see if we can fit the observed NIR SED with two components. We found that
the photometric redshift fitting becomes poorer at all redshifts if we include hidden bursts shown in Figure 9. The increase in $\chi^2$ is from $\sim 0.2$ at $z = 4$ to $\sim 0.5$ at $z = 6.9$. However, we note that the actual extinction for the starburst component can be higher than the minimally hidden burst shown in Figure 9. Once we increase the extinction for the burst component by $\sim 2$–$3$ (still less than the extinction in Hu-Ridgway 10), the photometric redshifts reduce to those shown in Section 3.2 at all redshifts. In other words, the current data are insufficient for further testing the two-component hypothesis.

We conclude that a massive galaxy with an old stellar population, but with some dust screening, hosting a much more dusty nuclear starburst can explain the observations of GOODS 850-5.

5. DISCUSSION

For the stellar masses and star formation rates estimated at $z \sim 4$ and 6.9, the mass build-up times are both $\sim 110$ Myr, corresponding to 7% and 14% of their cosmic times, respectively. It is clearly more difficult to produce the stellar mass for the $z \sim 6.9$ case, since there is less time available for the formation of the galaxy. Given that all the stars we see are old, it requires an intensive but short burst of more than $10^5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ at $z > 10$. This also suggests that GOODS 850-5 has undergone two distinct bursts of star formation: one produced the old stars observed in the IRAC bands, and the other is producing the observed dust emission in the MIPS and (sub)millimeter bands.

The estimated mass of $M_* \sim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$ is similar to typical SMGs at $z < 4$ (e.g., Smail at al. 2004; Dye et al. 2008) but is more massive than other galaxies observed at $z > 6$. The most massive Lyman-break (UV luminous) selected galaxies at $z \sim 6$–7 have stellar masses of $\sim 10^{10}$–$10^{10.5} M_\odot$ (Labbé et al. 2006; Yan et al. 2006; Eyles et al. 2007). GOODS 850-5 is ten times more massive than these optically selected galaxies, suggesting that the very massive galaxies at these redshifts may be still in dusty starbursting phases and therefore may be missed by deep optical surveys.

We can estimate the limit for the number of massive, dust-hidden galaxies at $z > 6$. The photometric redshift uncertainty range for GOODS 850-5 is $z \sim 6$–7.4, and our GOODS-N SCUBA survey area for sources brighter than GOODS 850-5 is $\sim 100$ arcmin$^2$. Therefore the comoving volume of our survey for sources similar to GOODS 850-5 is $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$. This implies a number density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ for massive objects of $M_* \sim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$. It is unclear how a radio-faint submillimeter selection is related to stellar masses. However, the above density is probably a lower limit, since GOODS 850-5 is the first radio-faint SMG studied in our SMA survey and the survey is not yet complete. This lower limit is slightly larger than the maximum value suggested by Yan et al. (2006, see their Figure 11) for optically selected galaxies at $z \sim 6$, and is significantly larger than the values from the CDM hydrodynamic simulations of Nagamine et al. (2004) and Night et al. (2006). This emphasizes the importance of dusty galaxies at high redshift, but we clearly need a much larger high-redshift SMG sample to reach cosmologically meaningful conclusions.

It is interesting to ask whether current CDM models can produce $10^{11.5} M_\odot$ galaxies at all at $z > 6$. In standard CDM models, massive galaxies form in later cosmic times (aka. “hierarchical” galaxy formation). However, evidence of “cosmic downsizing” (Cowie et al. 1996) has been observed at many different wavelengths over a broad range of redshifts, and this has been considered by some as antihierarchical. The existence of ultraluminous SMGs at $z > 2$ once put a strain on the CDM models (Baugh et al. 2005). Moreover, the stacking detection of a population of faint SMGs at low redshifts of $z \sim 1$, which dominates the submillimeter EBL, further demonstrated the downsizing behavior in the SMG population from $z \sim 4$ to $z = 0$ (Wang et al. 2006; Serjeant et al. 2008). Recent semi-analytic and hydrodynamic models are able to reproduce galaxies with $M_* > 10^{10} M_\odot$ up to $z \sim 5$–6 under the CDM framework (Bower et al. 2006; Night et al. 2006).

At slightly higher redshifts of $z \sim 6$–7, the CDM N-body simulations of Lukić et al. (2007) provide a halo density of $\sim 10^{-5} (\text{Mpc}/h)^{-3}$ for the mass range of $10^{12}$–$10^{13} M_\odot$. The density rapidly drops to $10^{-9}$–$10^{-11} (\text{Mpc}/h)^{-3}$ for the mass range of $10^{13}$–$10^{14} M_\odot$. If we assume a matter-to-star mass ratio of $\gtrsim 10$, the density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-6} Mpc^{-3}$ that we derived for high-redshift SMGs seems to match the upper bound in the simulations. This suggests that it is plausible to find approximately one $z > 6$ dark halo in our survey area that is massive enough to host a hyperluminous SMG. However, whether the simulations can reproduce the observed intensive starburst and the large stellar mass within such a halo in a short cosmic time remains to be seen.

Lastly, can objects like GOODS 850-5 play a role in the reionization of the universe? The latest 5 yr WMAP result (Dunkley et al. 2008) implies a reionization redshift of 11.3 $\pm$ 1.4 (for instantaneous reionization), and the massive old stellar population in GOODS 850-5 implies intensive star formation at $z > 10$. We use the standard formalism in Madau et al. (1999),

$$\rho = 0.013 \times f_{esc}^{-1} \times [(1+z)/6]^3 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3},$$

where $\rho$ is the minimum star formation rate density required for reionization, $f_{esc}$ is the escaping fraction of ionizing photons, and a Salpeter IMF is assumed. With the number density estimated above, $f_{esc} \sim 0.1$, and assuming that the initial burst in GOODS 850-5 is as intensive as the current one, we found that the formation of GOODS 850-5 at $z > 10$ contributed less than $10^{-2}$ to the ionizing photons that are required for reionization. The fraction would be even smaller if the initial burst of GOODS 850-5 were dusty (i.e., a smaller f$_{esc}$). This is consistent with the current picture that the universe is ionized by a large amount of low-luminosity objects.

6. SUMMARY AND FINAL REMARKS

Our new ultradeep NIR observations reveal many unusual properties of GOODS 850-5. It is detected by the SMA, IRAM, and VLA from the submillimeter to centimeter wavelengths, and by Spitzer between 3.6 and 24 $\mu$m, all with high significance. However, it is not detected in the $J$, $F160W$, and $K_s$ bands, even with the nano-Jansky sensitivities. We analyzed the photometric redshifts of GOODS 850-5. The NIR photometric redshift suggests a high redshift of $z \sim 6.9$ and rules out $z < 3$. The millimetric redshift also rules out $z < 3$ and suggests $z \sim 4$ if we assume the local radio–FIR correlation. Without this assumption, $z = 4$ to 10 is equally possible for the observed IR SED. We conclude that $z \gtrsim 6$ is more likely for GOODS 850-5 but $z \sim 4$ remains a possibility.

Explaining the observed NIR SED of GOODS 850-5 and the IR luminosity requires an established stellar population that formed at a large look-back time coexisting with an intensive ongoing starburst that is completely invisible in the rest-frame UV. The old stars observed in the IRAC bands have a
large mass of \( M_* \approx 10^{11} M_\odot \) and are \( \approx 10 \) times more massive than optically selected massive galaxies at \( z > 6 \). The current burst of the star formation seems to be compact with a total IR luminosity of \( 1.4-2.6 \times 10^{13} L_\odot \) and a star formation rate of \( 2400-4400 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\). It is deeply enshrouded by an \( A_V > 4.6 \) dust screen, so its UV radiation is not detected by NICMOS at 1.6 \( \mu \)m and by Subaru at 2.1 \( \mu \)m. This large extinction required for the starburst component also makes it difficult to directly confirm its existence in the IRAC bands and the two-population hypothesis remains to be tested.

The high redshift of GOODS 850-5, if confirmed, will have important implications for galaxy formation and evolution, as discussed in this paper and in W07. Its extreme faintness at less than 2 \( \mu \)m prevents any optical and NIR spectroscopy with current space and ground-based instruments. A precise measurement of its redshift will most likely come from millimeter “redshift machines” on large telescopes such as the 110 m Green Bank Telescope. Spectroscopy in the MIR and FIR with the Herschel Space Observatory is another possibility. In general, the observations of GOODS 850-5 remind us that there is a class of unexpected objects that are not included in the traditional picture of radio-identified SMGs. The SMA is likely to reveal more examples in the near future. Studies of cosmologically large samples of such high-redshift SMGs, however, will require combinations of next-generation instruments, such as the Expanded VLA, Atacama Large Millimeter/Submillimeter Array, James Webb Space Telescope, and large bolometer arrays on single-dish millimeter telescopes.
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