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Abstract

Background: Gut microbiota has been increasingly acknowledged to shape significantly human health, contributing to various autoimmune diseases, both intestinal and non-intestinal, including multiple sclerosis (MS). Gut microbiota studies in patients with relapsing remitting MS strongly suggested its possible role in immunoregulation; however, the profile and potential of gut microbiota involvement in patients with primary progressive MS (PPMS) patients has received much less attention due to the rarity of this disease form. We compared the composition and structure of faecal bacterial assemblage using Illumina MiSeq sequencing of V3-V4 hypervariable region of 16S rRNA genes amplicons in patients with primary progressive MS and in the healthy controls.

Results: Over all samples 12 bacterial phyla were identified, containing 21 classes, 25 orders, 54 families, 174 genera and 1256 operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The Firmicutes phylum was found to be ultimately dominating both in OTUs richness (68% of the total bacterial OTU number) and in abundance (71% of the total number of sequence reads), followed by Bacteroidetes (12 and 16%, resp.) and Actinobacteria (7 and 6%, resp.). Summarily in all samples the number of dominant OTUs, i.e. OTUs with ≥1% relative abundance, was 13, representing much less taxonomic richness (three phyla, three classes, four orders, six families and twelve genera) as compared to the total list of identified OTUs and accounting for 30% of the sequence reads number in the healthy cohort and for 23% in the PPMS cohort. Human faecal bacterial diversity profiles were found to differ between PPMS and healthy cohorts at different taxonomic levels in minor or rare taxa. Marked PPMS-associated increase was found in the relative abundance of two dominant OTUs (Gemmiger sp. and an unclassified Ruminococcaceae).

Conclusion: The findings are important for constructing a more detailed global picture of the primary progressive MS-associated gut microbiota, contributing to better understanding of the disease pathogenesis.
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Background
Identification of specific microorganisms that live in the gut, which was made possible by methodological and instruments’ advances, has contributed to revealing the complex relationship between the microbiota and the host.

Gut microbiota has been increasingly and explicitly acknowledged to shape significantly human health, in particular contributing to various autoimmune diseases, both intestinal and non-intestinal, such as multiple sclerosis, type-1 diabetes, systemic lupus erythematosus, psoriasis, schizophrenia, and some other disorders [1, 2].

Multiple sclerosis (MS), affecting the central nervous system, has an unclear etiology involving both genetic and extrinsic factors. Recent evidence indicates that autoimmune activation may happen in the intestine, following an interaction of bacterial components of the gut flora with local CNS autoactive T cells [3]. Although by now it is commonly acknowledged that MS patients have dysbiosis compared to healthy individuals [4, 5], the cause-effect relationship between MS and gut microbiota dysbiosis has not been so far unequivocally established [2, 6]. However, some recently reported results are quite suggestive: for instance, transplantation of gut microbiota from multiple sclerosis patients was found to enable spontaneous autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice [7], and new hypotheses, based on microbes’ involvement, have been proposed as causes for a range of chronic inflammatory diseases, including MS [8]. Accordingly, it has been hypothesized that intervention of the gut microbiome could result in safer novel therapeutic strategies to treat the disease [4, 9]. Yet the development of such strategies needs a more detailed picture of microbiome specifics in the MS-afflicted cohorts in different regions of the world and an improved understanding of the interactions between the microbiota and the host [10].

Pathological and clinical symptoms of MS vary widely [11], the heterogeneity often confusing for diagnostics [12, 13]. Generally several subtypes of the disease are distinguished, among them relapsing-remitting and primary progressive being the most common and the most rare ones, respectively [14]. The former is subdivided into several forms, most commonly with and without relapses, and the latter also is commonly subdivided into the primary and secondary course. There is lack of understanding of pathogenic mechanisms driving progressive MS [15]. In primary progressive MS (PPMS) neurodegenerative mechanisms are believed to dominate, while in the more frequent relapsing forms the autoimmune inflammation is believed to be the major driver, most likely due to different genetic background [16]. Gut microbiota in MS patients has been studied mainly in patients with relapsing remitting MS, and its possible role in immunoregulation was suggested, while the profile and potential in PPMS patients has not been studied yet.

The aim of this study was to investigate gut 16S microbiome of patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) in comparison with the healthy subjects and to reveal the MS-related shifts.

Results
After quality filtering and chimera removal a total of 1256 different OTUs were identified at 97% sequence identity level, of which the overwhelming majority (1252) was Bacteria, the rest four representing the Euryarchaeota phylum of the Archaea domain.

Over all samples 12 bacterial phyla were identified, containing 21 classes, 25 orders, 54 families and 174 genera, alongside with unidentified taxa. Most of the bacterial OTUs represented the Firmicutes phylum (857 OTUs, or ca. 68% of the total bacterial OTU number), with Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria being the second and the third most OTU-rich phyla with 148 (12%) and 84 OTUs (7%), respectively.

Thus the overwhelming majority of OTUs in the faecal bacterial assemblages were ascribed to the Firmicutes phylum.

Clostridia was the OTU-richest class (669 OTUs), accounting for 53% of the total OTU richness, with Bacteroidia (135 OTUs) and Actinobacteria (79) contributing 11 and 6%, respectively. Thus these three classes drastically prevailed in faecal bacterial assemblages.

Summarily in all samples the number of dominant OTUs, i.e. OTUs contributing ≥1% into the total sequence number in a sample, was 13, i.e. 1.0% of the total number of OTUs. They represented 3 phyla, 3 classes, 4 orders, 6 families and 12 genera, i.e. much less taxonomic richness as compared to the total list of identified OTUs. The dominant OTUs accounted for 30% of the sequence reads in the healthy cohort and for 23% number in the PPMS cohort.

The dominant phyla structure was quite similar in these cohorts (Fig. 1a). However, at the minor/rare phylum level, i.e. phyla with the number of sequence reads contributing less than 1% into the total number of sequence reads, there was a difference in bacterial assemblage structure as Verrucomicrobiae sequences were more abundant in PPMS (0.09%) as compared with the healthy (0.00%) cohort (Fig. 1b).

At the class level the relative abundance of Clostridia representatives was lower in the PPMS assemblages (61.2 vs. 63.2%, resp., Fig. 2a). There was also a difference (p = 0.0008) between the healthy (0.06%) and PPMS (0.40%) cohorts in the relative abundance of Deltaproteobacteria class-specific reads, as well as in Verrucomicrobiae (0.00 vs. 0.09%, resp., p = 0.01).

At the order level no differences were detected among the dominant ones (Fig. 3a), while statistically significant differences between the healthy and PPMS cohorts were
found in some minor and rare orders (Fig. 3b): Actinomycetales (0.01 vs. 0.03%), Verrucomicrobiales (0.00 vs. 0.09, \( p = 0.01 \)), Desulfovibrionales (0.06 vs. 0.36%, \( p = 0.001 \)).

At the family level the assemblage structure (Table 1) displayed some differences between healthy and PPMS patients, related mostly to minor or rare families (8 families were explicitly classified, and two were unclassified).

The Acidaminococcaceae family in our study was represented by 3 genera (Phascolarctobacterium, Acidaminococcus and some unidentified one) and 8 OTUs, namely Phascolarctobacterium faecium, Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens, Acidaminococcus intestini, Acidaminococcus fermentans, as well as 3 unidentified ones. Eubacteriaceae family was represented by 2 genera (Eubacterium, Anaerofustis) and 9 OTUs; Verrucomicrobiaceae had one genus (Akkermansia) and one OTU; Desulfovibrionaceae was represented by two genera, i.e. Desulfovibrio with 7 OTUs, and Bilophila with one. Actinomycetaceae had 4 genera, with Actinomyces contributing 9 OTUs and Mobiluncus, Varibaculum and Trueperella each contributing just one. Corynebacteriaceae had 4 OTUs of the Corynebacterium genus. Only one OTU represented
Oxalobacteraceae, and two OTUs represented Christensenellaceae family.

As for the dominant OTUs, we found some marked PPMS-associated increase in the relative abundance of Gemmiger sp. and an unclassified Ruminococcaceae (Table 2). In contrast to the dominant OTUs, a larger number of minor and rare OTUs were found to show PPMS-related differences: 101 OTUs at the significance level of 0.05 and 85 OTUs at the significance level of 0.10. (Additional file 1: Tables S1 and S2).

Statistically significant differences between the studied healthy and PPMS cohorts were detected in such indices as OTU richness, Berger-Parker, Flyvbjerg and Mirror (Table 3), all indicating higher diversity in PPMS-associated bacterial assemblage.

**Discussion**

We could not compare our results with other PPMS cohorts, as, similar to other researchers [17], we failed to find the data on PPMS-associated gut microbiome obtained using metagenomic methodology. It might seem surprising, as bibliography search commonly produces review articles stating that patients with MS have altered microbiome as compared to healthy people. However,
most of such statements pertain to the patients with relapse-remitting subtype of MS as it is the most common subtype of the disease, while PPMS one is rather rare [14] and, consequently, the information on gut bacterial assemblage structure and composition is also scarce.

We found altered gut bacterial assemblage in the PPMS patients as compared with the healthy subjects. At the phylum level no difference in faecal bacterial assemblage structure and composition is also scarce.

We found altered gut bacterial assemblage in the PPMS patients as compared with the healthy subjects. At the phylum level no difference in faecal bacterial assemblage structure and composition is also scarce. However, some PPMS-associated differences were detected in the relative abundance of the rare phyla, i.e. phyla represented by just few OTUs and contributing much less than 1% into the total number of sequences: for example, Verrucomicrobiae with just 4 OTUs showed PPMS-related increase due to *Akkermansia muciniphila*. This result agrees with the earlier finding that increased *A. muciniphila* relative abundance was associated with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (an animal model of MS) [18, 19].

*Akkermansia muciniphila* is a mucin-degrading bacterium, in such capacity not being beneficial for human health; however, it is also a propionogenic bacterium, believed to have several health benefits in humans [20].

*Synergistetes* phylum, with its practically negligible relative abundance and represented by just 6 OTUs, also...
had increased PPMS-associated relative abundance. The phylum was found to be positively correlated with normal immune homeostasis [21]; however, earlier research found the phylum association with chronic osteomyelitis of the jaw [22]. Albeit the interpretation of the phylum significance, if any, in MS-associated microbiome is difficult, such rare phyla might be important in ecological, physiological and/or pathogenic interplay within the human gut microbiome and between the latter and the host organism.

### Table 1 Relative abundance (% median values) of family-specific 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences in human faecal samples collected from healthy subjects and patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS)

| Family                | PPMS   | Healthy | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Ruminococcaceae       | 31.9   | 28.2    | 0.23    |
| Lachnospiraceae       | 17.1   | 26.5    | 0.06    |
| Bacteroidaceae        | 2.7    | 1.6     | 0.84    |
| Prevotellaceae        | 1.8    | 1.0     | 0.74    |
| Bifidobacteriaceae    | 4.0    | 2.1     | 0.44    |
| unc. Clostridiales a  | 4.2    | 2.6     | 0.09    |
| Coriobacteriaceae     | 2.2    | 1.4     | 0.15    |
| unc. Firmicutes       | 2.3    | 0.3     | 0.01b   |
| Veillonellaceae       | 2.2    | 1.9     | 0.87    |
| Acidaminococcaceae    | 1.1    | 0.02    | 0.04    |
| Porphyromonadaceae    | 0.9    | 0.5     | 0.23    |
| unc. Clostridium      | 0.5    | 0.07    | 0.04    |
| Eubacteriaceae        | 1.5    | 0.5     | 0.01    |
| Rikenellaceae         | 0.6    | 0.2     | 0.07    |
| Streptococcaceae      | 0.3    | 0.6     | 0.59    |
| Erysipelotrichaceae   | 0.4    | 0.4     | 0.62    |
| Verrucomicrobiaceae   | 0.09   | 0.00    | 0.01    |
| unc. Bacteria         | 0.31   | 0.06    | 0.16    |
| Peptostreptococcaceae | 0.5    | 0.1     | 0.12    |
| Lactobacillaceae      | 0.01   | 0.00    | 0.10    |
| Methanobacteriaceae   | 0.07   | 0.00    | 0.06    |
| Clostridaceae_i        | 0.01   | 0.1     | 0.57    |
| Sutterellaceae        | 0.09   | 0.06    | 0.93    |
| Desulfovibroniaceae   | 0.36   | 0.05    | 0.00    |
| Enterobacteriaceae    | 0.1    | 0.3     | 0.13    |
| Actinomycetaceae      | 0.03   | 0.01    | 0.01    |
| Clostridiales_i_s_XIII| 0.003  | 0.000   | 0.17    |
| Oxalobacteriaceae     | 0.004  | 0.000   | 0.03    |
| Christensenellaceae   | 0.005  | 0.000   | 0.01    |
| Corynebacteriaceae    | 0.003  | 0.000   | 0.01    |

a "unc." stands for unclassified; b the rows with statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) differences between the cohorts’ medians are highlighted in bold

### Table 2 Relative abundance (% median values) of dominant OTUs in human faecal samples collected from the healthy subjects and patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS)

| Dominant OTUs            | PPMS   | Healthy | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Faecalibacterium prausnitzii | 11.2   | 11.3    | 0.35    |
| Bifidobacterium sp.      | 1.8    | 0.1     | 0.12    |
| unc. Lachnospiraceae     | 2.5    | 4.2     | 0.19    |
| Ruminococcus bromii      | 3.5    | 2.3     | 0.22    |
| Gemmiger sp.             | 2.2    | 1.0     | 0.03b   |
| Collinsella sp.          | 1.0    | 0.8     | 0.47    |
| Bacteroides sp.          | 1.4    | 0.7     | 0.71    |
| Blautia wexlerae         | 0.4    | 1.2     | 0.10    |
| Prevotella copri         | 1.3    | 0.0     | 0.46    |
| Eubacterium hallii       | 1.8    | 0.8     | 0.14    |
| unc. Ruminococcaceae     | 1.7    | 0.2     | 0.00    |
| Anaerostipes sp.         | 1.1    | 1.8     | 0.22    |
| Blautia kats            | 0.4    | 1.4     | 0.08    |

a "unc." stands for unclassified; b the rows with statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) differences between the cohorts’ medians are highlighted in bold

### Table 3 Alpha-biodiversity indices of bacterial assemblages in human faecal samples collected from the healthy subjects and patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS)

| Index                      | PPMS   | Healthy | p-value |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Richnessa                  | 163    | 129     | 0.03    |
| Chao1                      | 231    | 177     | 0.10    |
| Berger-Parker              | 0.13   | 0.16    | 0.03    |
| Simpson                    | 0.95   | 0.94    | 0.11    |
| Dominance                  | 0.05   | 0.06    | 0.11    |
| Buzas-Gibson               | 0.020  | 0.015   | 0.12    |
| Equitability               | 0.75   | 0.73    | 0.22    |
| Jost                       | 28     | 22      | 0.11    |
| Shannon                    | 3.8    | 3.6     | 0.14    |
| Robbins                    | 0.35   | 0.31    | 0.12    |
| Flyvbjerg                  | 165    | 117     | 0.05    |
| Mirror                     | 272    | 219     | 0.04    |

a Rows with significant (P ≤ 0.05) differences between the values are highlighted in bold
Eubacterium and Anaerostis with 6 and 3 OTUs, respectively, are beneficial gut bacteria [25–27]. Quite a lot of OTUs with differential relative abundance in PPMS and healthy cohorts also belonged to Firmicutes phylum.

The increased relative abundance of sequences, representing beneficial bacteria, in PPMS-associated assemblage confuses the pathophysiological interpretation of their association with MS, the situation complies with earlier conclusion that so far little consistency in the MS-associated bacterial taxa has been found [28].

Desulfovibrionales order, represented by Desulfovibrio naceae family with Bilophila and Desulfovibrio genera, in their turn represented respectively by one OTU (Bilophila wadsworthia) and 7 OTUs of unclassified Desulfovibrio, were found to be more abundant in the PPMS cohort. Bilophila is a known pathobiont [29, 30]. Although Desulfovibrio piger is found in some healthy human guts, a greater abundance of this species may be associated with certain gastrointestinal diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease [31] or autism [32].

Biodiversity indices serve to compact information about communities, assemblages, guilds etc. of living organisms; thus the indices are useful for comparing large arrays of metagenomic data. We found that four α-diversity indices were higher in the primary progressive MS cohort, indicating the presence of a bigger set of players, albeit minor and rare ones, in the PPMS-associated gut microbiota as compared with the healthy one. However, earlier a trend towards lower species richness was found in relapsing-remitting MS patients with active disease as compared with the healthy controls [33], using the same methodology, i.e.V3-V4 rRNA gene region for PCR amplification and sequencing by Illumina MiSeq, as in our study.

The aberrant MS-related gut microbiota, found in our study, supports the idea of using diet and/or other means to modulate gut microbiota in an attempt to alleviate [9] and possibly prevent MS [34] even without certainty about cause-effect relationship between microbiome members and MS progress. However, the rarity of most of the PPMS-associated gut bacteria makes further investigation of their physiological and pathogenic relevance quite challenging.

All the PPMS patients included in our study received neither oral nor injective disease modifying therapies (DMTs), which could have modified the gut microbiome, as was shown earlier for patients with the relapsing forms of MS [35]. Quite recently gut microbiota-dependent T cells were found altered in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis [36], suggesting similar possibility in the PPMS as well.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this study presents the first inventory of the faecal bacterial assemblage composition and structure in patients affected by primary progressive multiple sclerosis. We provide evidence that human faecal bacterial diversity profiles revealed differences between PPMS and healthy cohorts at different taxonomic levels. A number of MS-associated changes, detected in some rare bacterial OTUs’ abundance, translated into increased diversity in MS patients. The findings are important to get a more detailed global picture of the MS-associated bacterial assemblage, contributing to better understanding of the disease pathogenesis (associated both with immunoregulation and neurodegeneration) and suggesting, at least for the alleviation therapy, possible avenues.

Methods

Participants and faecal sample collection

Healthy subjects (n = 15) and patients with PPMS (n = 15) as diagnosed by MacDonald criteria [37] were recruited for the trial. Demographic characteristics of these patients are in Table 4. All patients underwent clinical examination to assess their neurological status and disability according to the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) [38]. Median of MS duration was 3.6 years (2.0–5.0). All included MS patients had PPMS with confirmed EDSS progression at least for 12 months, according to generally accepted criteria [16], which are validated in Russia [39]. None of the PPMS patients received any DMTs or oral or intravenous courses of corticosteroids. All patients were duly informed and gave their consent to the study and signed the Information Consent.

Faecal samples were collected into 10 ml sterile faecal specimen containers and stored frozen at approximately –20°C. Samples were transferred to the laboratory within 1 week of collection and stored at −80°C until used for DNA extraction. The samples were collected at least one month prior to corticosteroid treatment.

The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethic Committee of the Pirogov National Science and Research Medical University. All clinical aspects of the study were supervised by a neurologist. New medicines, sorbents and/or laxatives (including magnesium salts and castor oil), as well as any diet changes, were cancelled or not started at least one week prior to faecal samples collection.

DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted from 50 to 100 mg of thawed patient faecal samples using MetaHIT protocol [40]. The bead-beating was performed using TissueLyser II (Qiagen,

| Table 4 Demographics of the study cohorts (medians) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Property                        | Healthy (n = 15) | PPMS (n = 15)   |
| Age, years (range)              | 23 a (20–73)    | 45 a (25–56)    |
| Females, %                      | 44              | 40              |
| Males, %                        | 56              | 60              |
| BMI (range)                     | 24 a (17–30)    | 22 a (20–27)    |

*The values in rows followed by the same letters do not differ significantly at P ≤ 0.05 level*
Germany), for 10 min at 30 Hz and 0.75 ml Zirconia/Silica Beads 0.1 mm (BioSpec Products). The quality and quantity were analysed by Nanodrop-1000 (Thermo-Scientific) and Qubit (Invitrogen) respectively.

The 16S rRNA gene region was amplified with the primer pair V3-V4 combined with Illumina adapter sequences [41]. PCR amplification was performed as described earlier [42]. All PCR reactions used 25 ng of faecal DNA as template and were performed in triplicates for each sample. Then the triplicates were pooled, and a total of 200 ng PCR product for each sample was pooled together and purified through MinElute Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The obtained libraries were sequenced with 2 × 300 bp paired-ends reagents on MiSeq (Illumina, USA) in SB RAS Genomics Core Facility (ICBFM SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia). The read data were deposited in GenBank under the study accession PRJNA565173 and the sample accession SRP221464.

Bioinformatic and statistical analyses
Raw sequences were analyzed with UPARSE pipeline [43] using Usearch v.11.0. The UPARSE pipeline included merging of paired reads; read quality filtering; length trimming; merging of identical reads (dereplication); discarding singleton reads; removing chimeras and operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering using the UPARSE-OTU algorithm. The OTU sequences were assigned a taxonomy using the SINTAX [44] and 16S RDP training set v.16 [45].

Taxonomic structure of thus obtained sequence assemblages, i.e. a collection of different species at one site at one time, was estimated by the ratio of the number of taxon-specific sequence reads to the total number of sequence reads, i.e. by the relative abundance of taxa, expressed as percentage.

Comparison of relative abundances of different bacterial taxa in faecal samples of the control and PPMS group was carried out by the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test for independent samples using the Statistica v.13.3 software (Statsoft, USA). The α-biodiversity indices were calculated using Usearch. All data are presented as median values.

Supplementary information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1685-2.

Additional file 1: Tables S1 and S2 with relative abundance (%) of OTUs in human faecal samples collected from healthy subjects and patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis.
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