Life Cycle Assessment of an Innovative Hybrid Energy Storage System for Residential Buildings in Continental Climates
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Abstract: With the aim of contributing to achieving the decarbonization of the energy sector, the environmental impact of an innovative system to produce heating and domestic hot water for heating demand-dominated climates is assessed. The evaluation is conducted using the life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology and the ReCiPe and IPCC GWP indicators for the manufacturing and operation stages, and comparing the system to a reference one. Results show that the innovative system has a lower overall impact than the reference one. Moreover, a parametric study to evaluate the impact of the refrigerant is carried out, showing that the impact of the overall systems is not affected if the amount of refrigerant or the impact of refrigerant is increased.
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1. Introduction

The European Green Deal [1] includes new ambitious targets in Europe related to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to move towards a climate-neutral economy and to achieve the commitments under the Paris Agreement [2]. These key targets for 2030 include cuts of at least 40% GHG emissions compared to that of 1990 levels to achieve at least 32% share for renewable energy and to improve the energy efficiency at least by 32.5%. With these targets, Europe aims at becoming the first climate-neutral continent.

Key actions highlighted within this new strategy are the decarbonization of the energy sector, which clearly needs more renewable energy use and more energy storage implementation and to ensure that buildings are more energy efficient [3]. This can be obtained by merging green and smart technologies into a green smart building (GSB), as suggested and discussed in detail by Pramanik et al. [4]. However, a building automation control system is needed, especially in complex systems, such as the one reported by Liberati et al. [5]. In that study, an economic model predictive control approach was used to handle the problem of managing both electric and heating resources in a smart building to achieve nearly zero energy consumption and automated participation to demand response programs. An intelligent supervisory predictive control (ISPC) was proposed by Gonçalves et al. [6] to minimize energy consumption without sacrificing the thermal comfort of building occupants. The proposed methodology proved to be capable of assisting supervisory predictive control in commercial buildings for real-time applications. A comprehensive review of the importance of sensors in the built environment and their influence on energy saving, thermal and visual comfort, as well as indoor air quality was reported by Dong et al. [7].

With these targets in mind, a new concept was developed, where heating and domestic hot water (DHW) for buildings are produced with a high share of renewable energy (solar...
photovoltaic (PV)) and integration of both thermal and electrical storage [8]. Moreover, the concept is based on the use of a heat pump with a refrigerant that has low global warming potential (GWP) and is connected to the PV panels with direct current (DC). This approach is also a contribution towards the development of net-zero energy buildings (NZEB) [9].

Heat pumps have been integrated with energy storage for different purposes. For example, Meng et al. [10] showed that integrating thermal energy storage (TES) with an air source heat pump can reduce the number of on–off operations. Meng et al. [11] evaluated the heating energy and economic viability of an air source heat pump with latent TES for heating. Chwieduk and Chwieduk [12] analyzed the use of a PV system driving a heat pump with water storage and with batteries storage.

According to the literature, the choice of refrigerant plays a key role in vapor compression heat pumps since not only is the performance affected by this selection but also the environmental impact [13,14]. Refrigerants to be used should have low GWP and ozone depletion potential (ODP); GWP should be lower than 150 (EU Regulation 517/2014). Refrigerants complying with these requirements are natural refrigerants, hydrocarbons (HCs), low-GWP hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), novel hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), and hydrochlorofluoroolefins (HCFOs) [15].

In this paper, an innovative system to produce heating and domestic hot water for heating demand-dominated climates is assessed from an environmental point of view. This system includes sensible, latent, and electrical storage to increase the use of renewable energy decreasing the use of fossil fuels, both as final energy in heating production in the building and as primary energy in the production of electricity for the grid. Moreover, this system integrates a three-media refrigerant/phase change material (PCM)/water heat exchanger in a heat pump using a low GWP refrigerant, R32. The performance of such a heat exchanger was demonstrated to be highly efficient [16]. Moreover, the economic performance of the full system has also been assessed [8]. The systems showed a payback time of 12.4 year with energy savings of 622 kWhel per year. That analysis also showed that this system is best suited for low-energy buildings in cold climates.

A step forward is the analysis of the environmental performance of this innovative system. Therefore, in this paper, LCA is used to evaluate the environmental impact of the system and to assess the contribution of the refrigerant used in the overall impact, considering the huge efforts by the industry to develop refrigerants with low GWP and to develop heat pumps to use those refrigerants.

2. Methods
2.1. Case Study

The selected building was assumed to be located in Stuttgart, in the German state of Baden-Württemberg, in the southwest side of the country where a reference building was defined for a continental climate where the studied system would be implemented. Moreover, a reference system was defined to compare the environmental and energetic performance with regard to the innovative system.

As a reference building, a refurbished multi-family house (MFH) was considered as the most representative building typology for the building stock in continental climate regions. The building has a total of five floors, with two dwellings per floor and an individual staircase located inside the building envelope. Each dwelling has a living surface area of 50 m², inhabited by three people. The ceiling/floor heights considered were 2.5 m/3.0 m, while the building width/depth was 16.3 m/7.6 m. A flat concrete roof and a glazing ratio of 20% in the north and south facades were assumed according to the most common MFH. The building model includes two zones per dwelling (1–2 and 3–4), plus the staircase zone.

The reference system consists of an air–water heat pump that can provide heat to a DHW storage tank by means of a heat exchanger located inside the storage tank, and also to the heating system of each dwelling, as shown in Figure 1. An electric heater inside the DHW tank was considered as a back-up for DHW production. The sizing of the main
system components was carried out considering heating and DHW demand of the building (Table 1).

![Schematic diagram for the reference system.](image)

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram for the reference system.

**Table 1.** Sizing of the main components for the reference system.

| Component            | Variable           | Value | Unit |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------|------|
| DHW storage tank     | Storage capacity   | 420   | L    |
| Heat pump            | Maximum thermal power | 35  | kW   |

The diagram of the general layout of the innovative system is shown in Figure 2. The main components of the system are a PV system connected to the heat pump, 10 sensible heat storage DHW storage tanks (one for each dwelling), a high-temperature latent heat storage tank, and an electric battery. The latent heat storage is connected at the compressor outlet to store part of the energy contained in the hot refrigerant gas that leaves the compressor, which is used to generate DHW in an efficient way. There are many innovative aspects in the proposed system, such as the direct integration of an innovative three-media refrigerant/PCM/water heat exchanger (RPW-HEX) in the hot superheated section of the heat pump, the use of electric storage combined with both sensible and latent heat storage, and the use of a DC microgrid and innovative control for coupling the electric grid with the thermal distribution. Therefore, the use of PV panels and both the thermal and electrical storage systems help increasing the share of renewable energy. A description of system operating modes can be found in [8].
The sizing of the main system components was performed as follows: the sensible heat storage capacity was fixed according to indications from technology provider, the heat pump size was fixed to ensure the coverage of building space heating peak demand obtained from the dynamic simulations described in the following section (16 kW at the yearly minimum external temperature, $-12$ °C), and the latent heat thermal energy storage size was fixed to maximize its contribution to DHW production. The PV system nominal power and the electrical storage capacity were designed through an iterative process and to ensure that the yearly self-consumption and self-sufficiency KPIs (key performance indicators), obtained from the dynamic simulations explained in the following section, are both greater than 40%. As a result, the sizing that the main system components should have is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Sizing of the main components of the innovative system.

| Component                                   | Variable                    | Value | Unit |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| PV panels                                   | Peak power                  | 10    | kWp  |
| Heat pump                                   | Nominal heating power       | 30    | kW   |
| Sensible heat storage                       | Storage capacity            | 140   | L    |
| Latent heat thermal energy storage          | Amount of PCM              | 80    | kg   |
| Electrical storage (battery)                | Electrical storage capacity | 15    | kWh  |

2.2. System Simulations

Dynamic simulations were performed in TRNSYS [17] to obtain the energy consumption of the reference building described in the previous section. The energy consumption of the innovative system to guarantee comfort inside the building, needed for the operational stage of the LCA, was obtained simulating each system component using standard
and specifically developed TRNSYS types along with performance maps provided by the manufacturer of the component. Instead, the energy consumption of the heat pump of the reference system was estimated from the energy demand profile of the building, and assuming constant seasonal coefficient of performance for space heating (2.8) and space cooling (3.8) and for DHW production (3.0 in winter and 3.7 in summer). Climate data of the selected location (Stuttgart) were taken from the Meteonorm database [18] to estimate the energy consumption for heating, cooling, and DHW supply.

The components of the innovative system can be divided in the following sub-systems:

- Heat pump
- Latent heat thermal energy storage
- Distribution
- Space heating and cooling emission system
- Domestic hot water
- Electrical system

A full one-year time frame was considered for all the TRNSYS simulations using a timestep of 1 min. The use of a relative short timestep for an annual simulation was necessary given the complexity of the system in terms of number of components and possible operational modes.

2.3. LCA Methodology

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is a methodology that is used to evaluate the environmental impact of a product over its entire life cycle. This LCA study considers a system through its life cycle from cradle to grave. The life cycle of a product system includes the manufacturing phase (extraction of raw materials, handling, and processing), operational phase (the normal and intended use of the product), and the disposal phase (the end of the product until landfill disposal) [19].

In common, the major focus of utilizing LCA methodology is on reducing the environmental impact of specific products under consideration for more sustainable solutions through decision-making process [20]. A full LCA requires significant effort and expertise, although according to UNE-EN ISO 14040:2006 standard [21], performing an LCA should at least be performed through four main interrelated steps. Those steps are definition of the goal and scope, developing the life cycle inventory (LCI), carrying out the life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), to finally interpreting the results.

The LCA of this study is based on the impact assessment method ReCiPe and global warming potential (GWP), extracted from the database Ecoinvent [22]. ReCiPe indicator was indicated by the Joint Research Commission of European Union [23] as the best method for the European context in comparison with EPS2000, Eco-indicator 99, and IMPACT2002+. The ReCiPe indicator, a method used to assess the environmental impact, is based on an update version of CML and Eco-indicator 99 [19]. The primary objective of the ReCiPe method is to transform the long list of life cycle inventory results into a limited number of indicator scores. These indicator scores express the relative severity on an environmental impact category. In ReCiPe indicators are determined at two levels, eighteen midpoint indicators, and three endpoint indicators. Midpoint indicators focus on single environmental interventions at the level of a cause–effect chain between emissions and the endpoint level. Endpoint indicators show the environmental impact on three higher damage levels: effect on human health, biodiversity, and resource scarcity [24]. Converting midpoints to endpoints simplifies the interpretation of the LCA results (Figure 3).
2.3.1. Definition of Goal and Scope

The goal of the LCA activities carried out in this paper is related to the materials and components. Benchmarking against conventional products was intended and achieved by the use of equivalent reference systems that should provide similar functionalities to the building. It is then one of the main goals of the LCA study to identify the components with higher environmental impact.

The study was focused on the system and not on the building, meaning only the relevant components of the system were included in the LCA study. Therefore, the following parts (modules) of the innovative system were included: high-temperature latent heat thermal energy storage (RPW-HEX), electrical storage and DC bus, compression DC-driven chiller (heat pump), sensible heat storage (decentralized tank), and PV system.

2.3.2. Functional Unit

The scope of this study was defined according to the performance characteristics of the system under study. The functional unit must be consistent with the objectives and scope of the system (according to UNE EN ISO 14040 and 14044) [21,29] since it determines

The IPCC GWP indicator is used for characterization at midpoint level, and only this indicator was selected as representative for all midpoint model currently used in LCA studies according to the Joint Research Commission of European [26]. The IPCC GWP is an indicator based on the ratio of the radiative damage force of the greenhouse gases. In order to measure GWP, the gas must have a long atmospheric lifetime, which means that the gas lasts long enough in the atmosphere to mix and spread through it. This given time lasts long enough in the atmosphere to mix and spread through it. This given time

The assessment of each impact category according to each indicator is given in Equation (1):

\[ IMP_j = \sum_k d_{k,j} LCI_k \]  

where \( IMP_j \) is the \( j \) impact category, \( d_{k,j} \) is the coefficient of damage (extracted from database Ecoinvent) associated with the component \( k \) and impact \( j \) and, finally, the \( LCI_k \) is the life cycle inventory LCI entry (i.e., kg of paraffin) [28].

![Figure 3. Overview of the impact categories that are covered in the ReCiPe2016 method and their relation to the areas of protection (adapted from [25]).](image-url)
the reference flow from which the inputs and outputs of the system are determined. The results of the LCA will be expressed on the basis of the functional unit. In this study, the functional unit of a 1 m² of livable floor per year was adopted, based on publications of LCA studies in the construction sector [19,20]. A 30-year system lifespan is assumed for this study. Depending on the lifespan of the different components, the number of replacements of every component or product along the 30-year period was also calculated, which is a crucial point to be considered in the manufacturing phase.

2.3.3. System Boundaries

The study accounts for the raw material extraction to final disposal of the portion of the life cycle and considers embodied environmental impacts. The system boundaries determine which unit processes are included in the LCA study. This model encompasses three distinct phases of evaluation where each product during its lifetime goes through different stages, and there are a number of operations in each phase:

1. Manufacturing stage—materials production phase, including extraction of raw materials, transportation to the factory, and manufacturing processes.
2. Operational stage—all activities related to the use of the systems, including all operating energy for heating, cooling, and domestic hot water (DHW).
3. End-of-life stage—the dismantling and demolishing of the system components and their transport to the landfill site and/or to recycling sorting plants.

2.3.4. Inventory Analysis of the Manufacturing Stage

The life cycle inventory analysis (LCIA) is defined as a phase of the LCA involving the compilation of inputs and outputs for a given product system throughout its life cycle [30]. The LCI data were extracted from a recompilation of data with the help of different partners of the project. The inventory list of all the materials used for the manufacturing phase of the system that spans this study is shown in Tables 3 and 4 for the reference system and the innovative system, respectively. All data were provided by the producers of the equipment. The tables list the elements to be considered, their amount in the system (named “unit” in the table), as well as their quantification (in units or kg); the replacement is the number of times that given unit has to be replaced during the time life of the whole system, which provides the total amount.

| Element                  | Unit | Quantity/Unit | Unit of Measurement | Replacement | Total Amount |
|--------------------------|------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Heat Pump                | 1    | 1             | unit                | 1.5         | 1.5          |
| 3-Way mixing globe       | 2    | 10.4          | kg                  | 2.5         | 26           |
| 2-Way manual valve       | 6    | 2.7           | kg                  | 2.5         | 6.7          |
| Check valve              | 1    | 1.7           | kg                  | 2.5         | 4.2          |
| Non-return valve         | 1    | 0.4           | kg                  | 2.5         | 1            |
| DHW tank                 | 1    | 1             | unit                | 3           | 3            |
| 2-Way manual valve       | 1    | 0.5           | kg                  | 2.5         | 1.2          |
| Non-return valve         | 1    | 0.4           | kg                  | 2.5         | 1            |
| Piping                   | 17.6 (m) | 53.5          | kg                  | 1           | 53.5         |
| Insulation               | 17.6 (m) | 4.6           | kg                  | 1           | 4.6          |
### Table 4. Inventory of the innovative system.

| Element                          | Unit     | Quantity/Unit | Unit of Measurement | Replacement | Total Amount |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Heat pump                        |          |               |                     |             |              |
| Compressor                       | 1        | 5 kg          | kg                  | 2           | 10           |
| Controller                       | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 2           | 2            |
| Inverter                         | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 3.3         | 3.3          |
| Expansion valve                  | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 2           | 2            |
| Heat exchanger-evaporator        | 1        | 22 kg         | kg                  | 1.2         | 26.4         |
| Fan-evaporator                   | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 2           | 2            |
| 4-way valve                      | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 2           | 2            |
| Refrigerant R32                  | 1        | 3.5 kg        | kg                  | 2           | 7            |
| Expansion vessel                 | 1        | 8 kg          | kg                  | 1.2         | 9.6          |
| Heat exchanger-condenser         | 1        | 9.5 kg        | kg                  | 1.2         | 11.4         |
| Electric heater                  | 1        | 1.2 kg        | kg                  | 2           | 2.4          |
| Manual 2-way valve               | 1        | 0.4 kg        | kg                  | 2           | 0.8          |
| Circulating pump                 | 1        | 4.7 kg        | kg                  | 2           | 9.4          |
| Diverter 3-way valve             | 1        | 1 kg          | kg                  | 2           | 2            |
| Hydraulic separator              | 1        | 12 kg         | kg                  | 1.2         | 14.4         |
| Security valve                   | 1        | 0.1 kg        | kg                  | 1.2         | 0.12         |
| Flow rate sensor                 | 1        | 1 unit        | unit                | 2           | 2            |
| Electric storage                 |          |               |                     |             |              |
| Electric battery                 | 1        | 225 kg        | kg                  | 0.75        | 168.8        |
| DC–DC converter                  | 1        | 40 kg         | kg                  | 1.5         | 60           |
| AC–DC converter                  | 1        | 50 kg         | kg                  | 1.5         | 75           |
| Electric controller (PLC)        | 1        | 0.5 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.75         |
| Current transducer               | 3        | 0.24 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.08         |
| Line filter                      | 1        | 1.8 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 2.7          |
| Fuses                            | 8        | 0.02 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.24         |
| Aux power supply                 | 1        | 0.75 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1125         |
| Grid monitoring                  | 1        | 0.36 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.54         |
| LED indicators                   | 5        | 0.05 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.375        |
| Insolation monitoring            | 1        | 0.39 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.585        |
| Connectors                       | 4        | 0.03 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.18         |
| Time delay relay                 | 1        | 0.07 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.105        |
| Power relay                      | 1        | 0.86 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.29         |
| Relays                           | 5        | 0.06 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.45         |
| Grid contactor                   | 1        | 0.84 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.26         |
| DC contactors                    | 3        | 1 kg          | kg                  | 1.5         | 4.5          |
| Battery contactor                | 1        | 5.2 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 7.8          |
| Circuit breakers + aux           | 7        | 0.8 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 8.4          |
| Precharge resistor               | 1        | 0.01 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.015        |
| Switches                         | 4        | 0.2 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.2          |
| Smart meters                     | 3        | 0.1 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.45         |
| Ethernet switch                  | 1        | 0.28 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.42         |
| Terminal blocks                  | 84       | 0.01 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.26         |
| Electric cabinet + acc.          | 1        | 30 kg         | kg                  | 1.5         | 45           |
| DIN rail                         | 6        | 0.8 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 7.2          |
| Wires                            | 1        | 30 kg         | kg                  | 1.5         | 45           |
| Screws                           | 150      | 0.04 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 9            |
| Nuts                             | 100      | 0.03 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 4.5          |
| Washers                          | 100      | 0.01 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 1.5          |
| Slotted wiring duct              | 3        | 0.5 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 2.25         |
| Strain relief bar                | 2        | 0.01 kg       | kg                  | 1.5         | 0.03         |
| Latent storage                   |          |               |                     |             |              |
| RPW-HEX                          | 1        | 220 kg        | kg                  | 1.5         | 330          |
| Paraffin                         | 1        | 80 kg         | kg                  | 1.5         | 120          |
| Sensible storage                 |          |               |                     |             |              |
| DHW tank                         | 1        | 10 unit       | unit                | 0.7         | 6.7          |
| PV panels                        |          |               |                     |             |              |
| PV panels                        | 1        | 80 m²         | m²                  | 1.2         | 96           |
2.3.5. Inventory Analysis of the Operational Stage

The annual energy consumption of both systems was obtained through simulations, as explained in Section 2.2, using a set-point temperature of 25 °C in summer and 20 °C in winter, and is shown in Table 5 for the reference system and in Table 6 for the innovative system.

Table 5. Annual energy consumption of the reference system.

| Component                     | DHW (kWh/year) | Heating (kWh/year) | Cooling (kWh/year) | Total (kWh/year) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Heat pump                     | 6113           | 8956              | 700               | 15,769          |
| Electric DHW heater           | 0              | -                 | -                 | 0               |
| Circulation pump: DHW         | 30 *           | -                 | -                 | 30              |
| Circulation pump: heating and cooling | -            | 376 **            | 29 ***            | 405             |
| Total                         | 6143           | 9332              | 729               | 16,204          |

* Obtained assuming that the heat pump that heats the water inside the DHW tank has a constant average power of 30 kW. This means that the estimated time when the circulation pump is working is obtained by dividing the total annual DHW demand of the building (19,699 kWh) by the energy that the heat pump can provide in 1 h (30 kWh/h), i.e., time = 19,699/30 = 656.6 h. An average electricity consumption of the circulation pump of 45 W was assumed. ** Obtained assuming that the heat pump working in heating mode has a constant average power of 30 kW. This means that the estimated time when the circulation pumps are on is obtained by dividing the total annual heating demand of the building (50.15 kWh/m²) by the energy that the heat pump can provide in 1 h (0.06 kWh/(h·m²)), i.e., time = 50.15/0.06 = 835.8 h. A total of ten circulation pumps was assumed with and an average electricity consumption of 45 W for each pump. *** Obtained assuming that the heat pump working in cooling mode has a constant average cooling power of (30/2.8)·3.8 = 40.71 kW, where a SCOP (seasonal coefficient of performance) of 2.8 and a SEER of 3.8 were assumed. This means that the estimated time when the circulation pump is working is obtained by dividing the total annual cooling demand of the building (5.32 kWh/m²) by the average cooling energy that the heat pump can provide in 1 h (0.0814 kWh/(h·m²)), i.e., time = 5.32/0.0814 = 65.3 h. A total of ten circulation pumps was assumed with and an average electricity consumption of 45 W for each pump.

Table 6. Annual electricity consumption from the grid of the innovative system.

| Component                     | DHW (kWh/year) | Heating (kWh/year) | Cooling (kWh/year) | Total (kWh/year) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Heat pump                     | N/A            | N/A               | N/A               | 6374            |
| Electric heater               | 3654           | -                 | -                 | 3654            |
| Fan coil                      | -              | N/A               | N/A               | 658             |
| Circulation pumps             | N/A            | N/A               | N/A               | 392             |
| Total                         | N/A            | N/A               | N/A               | 11,078          |

Comparing the total annual energy consumption of the innovative system with that of the reference system, it can be clearly seen that the innovative solution achieves significant reduction in the electricity consumption, from 15,292 to 10,973 kWh per year, which represents a reduction of almost 30%.

2.3.6. LCIA of Manufacturing and Disposal Stage

The LCIA for the manufacturing and disposal stage of the reference and the innovative systems are shown in Tables 7 and 8, respectively.

Table 7. LCIA of the reference system during manufacturing/disposal stage.

| Element                      | Mass (kg) | ReCiPe Impact/m² Floor | GWP 100a kg CO₂-eq/m² | GWP 20a kg CO₂-eq/m² |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Heat pump                    | 1.5 (unit)| 10.290                 | 14.007                | 30.071               |
| 3-Way mixing globe           | 26        | 0.022                  | 0.044                 | 0.050                |
| 2-Way manual valve           | 6.7       | 0.006                  | 0.011                 | 0.013                |
| Check valve                  | 4.2       | 0.004                  | 0.007                 | 0.008                |
| Non-return valve             | 1         | 0.001                  | 0.002                 | 0.002                |
| DHW tank                     | 3 (unit)  | 2.027                  | 4.922                 | 5.754                |
| 2-Way manual valve           | 1.2       | 0.001                  | 0.002                 | 0.002                |
| Non-return valve             | 1         | 0.001                  | 0.002                 | 0.002                |
| Pipes                        | 53.5      | 0.045                  | 0.090                 | 0.102                |
| Insulation                   | 4.6       | 0.085                  | 0.044                 | 0.052                |
### Table 8. LCIA of the innovative system during manufacturing/disposal stage.

| Element                              | Mass (kg) | ReCiPe Impact/m² Floor | GWP 100a kgCO₂-eq /m² | GWP 20a kgCO₂-eq /m² |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| **Heat pump**                        |           |                        |                      |                     |
| Compressor                          | 10        | 0.030                  | 0.061                | 0.061               |
| Controller                          | 2 (unit)  | 0.094                  | 0.191                | 0.221               |
| Inverter                            | 3.3 (unit)| 0.172                  | 0.281                | 0.331               |
| Expansion valve                     | 2         | 0.045                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| Heat exchanger-evaporator           | 26.4      | 0.298                  | 0.461                | 0.571               |
| 4-Way valve                         | 2         | 0.036                  | 0.011                | 0.001               |
| Refrigerant R32                     | 7         | 0.031                  | 0.311                | 0.461               |
| Expansion vessel                    | 9.6       | 0.152                  | 0.331                | 0.381               |
| **Heat exchanger-condenser**        | 11.4      | 0.035                  | 0.061                | 0.081               |
| Electric heater                     | 2.4       | 0.007                  | 0.001                | 0.021               |
| Manual 2-way valve                  | 0.8       | 0.099                  | 0.021                | 0.031               |
| Circulating pump                    | 9.4       | 0.029                  | 0.051                | 0.061               |
| Diverter 3-way valve                | 2         | 0.249                  | 0.061                | 0.070               |
| Hydraulic separator                 | 14.4      | 0.044                  | 0.08                 | 0.100               |
| **Security valve**                  | 0.12      | 0.015                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| Flow rate sensor                    | 2 (unit)  | 0.009                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| **Electric storage**                |           |                        |                      |                     |
| Electric battery                    | 168.8     | 2.564                  | 2.364                | 2.873               |
| DC–DC converter                     | 60        | 1.338                  | 0.346                | 0.386               |
| AC–DC converter                     | 75        | 1.673                  | 0.432                | 0.482               |
| Electric controller (PLC)           | 0.75      | 0.017                  | 0.004                | 0.005               |
| Current transducer                  | 1.08      | 0.024                  | 0.006                | 0.007               |
| Line filter                         | 2.7       | 0.336                  | 0.080                | 0.094               |
| Fuses                               | 0.24      | 0.030                  | 0.007                | 0.008               |
| Aux power supply                    | 1.125     | 0.025                  | 0.006                | 0.007               |
| Grid monitoring                     | 0.54      | 0.012                  | 0.003                | 0.003               |
| LED indicators                      | 0.375     | 0.008                  | 0.002                | 0.002               |
| Insolation monitoring               | 0.585     | 0.001                  | 0.002                | 0.002               |
| Connectors                          | 0.18      | 0.004                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| Time delay relay                    | 0.105     | 0.013                  | 0.003                | 0.004               |
| Power relay                         | 1.29      | 0.160                  | 0.038                | 0.045               |
| Relays                              | 0.45      | 0.056                  | 0.013                | 0.016               |
| Grid contactor                      | 1.26      | 0.028                  | 0.007                | 0.008               |
| DC contactors                       | 4.5       | 0.100                  | 0.026                | 0.029               |
| Battery contactor                   | 7.8       | 0.005                  | 0.025                | 0.033               |
| Circuit breakers + aux              | 8.4       | 0.187                  | 0.048                | 0.054               |
| Precharge resistor                  | 0.015     | 0.001                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| Switches                            | 1.2       | 0.149                  | 0.036                | 0.042               |
| Smart meters                        | 0.45      | 0.056                  | 0.013                | 0.016               |
| Ethernet switch                     | 0.42      | 0.052                  | 0.012                | 0.015               |
| Terminal blocks                     | 1.26      | 0.157                  | 0.037                | 0.044               |
| Electric cabinet + acc.             | 45        | 1.004                  | 0.259                | 0.289               |
| DIN rail                            | 7.2       | 0.161                  | 0.042                | 0.046               |
| Wires                               | 45        | 1.104                  | 0.259                | 0.289               |
| Screws                              | 9         | 0.201                  | 0.052                | 0.058               |
| Nuts                                | 4.5       | 0.560                  | 0.134                | 0.156               |
| Washers                             | 1.5       | 0.187                  | 0.045                | 0.052               |
| Slotted Wiring Duct                 | 2.25      | 0.050                  | 0.013                | 0.014               |
| Strain relief bar                   | 0.03      | 0.001                  | 0.001                | 0.001               |
| **Latent storage**                  |           |                        |                      |                     |
| RPW-HEX                             | 330       | 3.727                  | 5.735                | 7.148               |
| Paraffin                            | 120       | 0.060                  | 0.160                | 0.171               |
| **Sensible storage**                |           |                        |                      |                     |
| Domestic hot water tank             | 6.7 (unit)| 4.423                  | 10.235               | 11.933              |
| **PV panels**                       |           |                        |                      |                     |
| PV panels                           | 96 (m²)   | 2.039                  | 9.031                | 10.708              |

2.3.7. LCIA of the Operational Stage

The LCIA of the operation stage are presented in Table 9 for the reference and in Table 10 for the innovative one.
Table 9. LCIA of the reference system during the operational stage for a 30-year lifespan.

| Element                      | Total (kWh/Years) | ReCiPe | GWP 100a  | GWP 20a  |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
|                              |                   | Impact/m² Floor | kgCO₂-eq /m² | kgCO₂-eq /m² |
| Heat pump                    | 15,769            | 94.18  | 398.83    | 434.94    |
| Circulating pump DHW         | 30                | 0.18   | 0.76      | 0.83      |
| Circulating pump H&C         | 405               | 2.42   | 10.24     | 11.17     |
| Total                        | 16,204            | 96.78  | 409.83    | 446.94    |

Table 10. LCIA of the innovative system during the operational stage for a 30-year lifespan.

| Element                                      | Total (kWh/Year) | ReCiPe | GWP 100a | GWP 20a  |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|----------|----------|
|                                              |                  | Impact/m² Floor | kgCO₂-eq /m² | kgCO₂-eq /m² |
| Heat pump                                    | 6374             | 38.070 | 161.211  | 175.81   |
| Domestic hot water tank with electric heater | 3654             | 21.824 | 92.417   | 100.78   |
| Fan coil                                     | 658              | 3.930  | 16.642   | 18.149   |
| Circulating pumps                            | 392              | 2.341  | 9.914    | 10.812   |
| Total                                        | 11,078           | 66.166 | 280.184  | 305.55   |

3. Results and Discussion

The results are presented for the functional unit m² of living floor area. Moreover, the results are presented first considering the ReCiPe and then the IPCC GWP indicator. The interpretation of the results of the impact assessment are presented in this section. The results are interpreted demonstrating four aspects: the comparison between the impact scores of the studied systems, the damage categories, the materials’ contribution percentage to the total impact score, and the parametric study of influence of the refrigerant in the system. Moreover, a parametric study based on the refrigerant used in the heat pump is shown.

3.1. LCA Results

The results per m² of living floor using the ReCiPe indicator are presented below. The total impact of the reference system is higher (109 impact points) than the innovative system (88 impact points) (Figure 4a). Moreover, in all damage categories (Figure 4b), the impact of the innovative system is lower than that of the reference system. When going to more detail (Figure 4c), the only category where the impact of the innovative system is higher than that of the reference is the metal depletion sub-category within the resources category; nevertheless, the other subcategory, fossil depletion, shows lower impact for the innovative system than for the reference system.

Finally, when the life cycle stages are evaluated (Figure 4d), the impact of the innovative system in the manufacturing and disposal stages is higher than the reference one (22 impact points vs. 13 impact points). However, this is highly compensated during the operational stage, where the innovative system has 66 impact points vs. the 97 of the reference system.

When the IPCC GWP indicator is considered (Figure 5), both for the 100-year and 20-year horizon, the reference system has higher impact than the innovative one (428 kg CO₂ eq. per m² vs. 312 kg CO₂ eq. per m² for GWP 100a; and 482 kg CO₂ eq. per m² vs. 343 kg CO₂ eq. per m² for GWP 20a).

When the life cycle stages are considered (Figure 5b,d), the biggest difference is in the operational stage, where the reference system has higher impact due to the higher use of electricity from the grid vs. the use of renewable energy, both thermal and electrical, in the innovative system. On the other hand, in the manufacturing and disposal stage, the reference system has a lower impact than the innovative one (19 kg CO₂ eq. per m² vs.
31 kg CO₂ eq. per m² for GWP 100a; and 36 kg CO₂ eq. per m² vs. 37 kg CO₂ eq. per m² for GWP 20a).

**Figure 4.** LCA results using ReCiPe indicator: (a) total impact points; (b) total impact points of damage categories; (c) total impact categories towards ReCiPe endpoint single score; (d) impact points per life cycle stage.

**Figure 5.** Results per m² of living floor using the indicator IPCC GWP–kgCO₂-eq.: (a) GWP 100a per life cycle stage; (b) GWP 100a total; (c) GWP 20a per life cycle stage; (d) GWP 20a total.
3.2. Parametric Study: Influence of the Refrigerant in the System

In the continental climate system, a parametric study of the influence of the refrigerant impact was carried out. The Ecoinvent database [31] only includes the refrigerant R134a, but the HYBUILD continental demo makes use of the refrigerant R32, which has a global warming potential (GWP) half of that of R134a (675 kg CO₂ eq. vs. 1430). Therefore, different refrigerants that could be used in the innovative system without major changes to the equipment were selected (Table 11). As can be seen in Table 11, these refrigerants have a GWP similar to that of R134a (or slightly higher) or lower, going to as low as 3 kg CO₂ eq. Therefore, the impact of the refrigerant in the overall LCA of the system was calculated, changing the refrigerant impact from 150% to 0%, with 100% being the impact of R134a.

Table 11. GWP 100a of the selected refrigerant for the parametric study.

| Refrigerants       | GWP 100a | Reference |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|
| Reference (R134a)  | 1430     | [32]      |
| R32                | 675      | [33]      |
| R407c              | 1520     | [33]      |
| R410a              | 1725     | [33]      |
| R600a (butane)     | 3        | [32]      |
| R290 (propane)     | 3        | [34]      |
| R152a              | 137      | [34]      |
| R1234ze (E)        | 6        | [35]      |
| R1234yf            | 4        | [32]      |

Figure 6 shows that the contribution of the compression DC-driven chiller is between 5% and 6% in all cases studied, showing that the GWP of the refrigerant does not have any influence in the overall LCA analysis.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Contribution of the refrigerant to the overall system change in the refrigerant impact: (a) R134a (100%); (b) 150%; (c) 50%; (d) 0%.
Given these results, a new parametric study was conducted varying the replacement rate of the refrigerant. In the initial LCA, a life cycle of the refrigerant of 15 years was considered. Figure 7 shows that to see a change on the contribution of the compression DC-driven chiller in the overall LCA, the refrigerant life cycle needs to be considered as lower than 5 years, which is very unrealistic [36].

Figure 7. Contribution of the refrigerant to the overall system change in the refrigerant lifespan: (a) 15 years; (b) 10 years; (c) 5 years; (d) 1 year.

4. Conclusions

A detailed LCA was carried out of an innovative system for heating and domestic hot water production, including sensible, latent, and electric storage. The LCA was performed comparing the results to a selected reference system. The LCA was carried out for a functional units m² of living floor area, and using two different indicators, ReCiPe and IPCC GWP (20 years and 100 years). The operational data were given by simulations as explained in Section 2.2.

Results show that when using the ReCiPe indicator, the overall impact of the innovative system is lower than that of the reference system. In all damage categories, the impact of the innovative system is lower than that of the reference system. Again, the impact of the manufacturing and disposal stage is higher than for the operational stage, but this difference is compensated with the one of the operational stage (lower in the innovative system).

On the other hand, when using the IPCC GWP indicator, the impact of the innovative system is lower than that of the reference system. The impact of the operation stage clearly makes the difference, since although in the manufacturing stage, the impact of the innovative system is very similar than that of the reference system, the decrease in the operational stage in the innovative clearly compensates for it.

If the contribution of the different subsystems is analyzed, it can be seen that the sensible heat storage and the PV panels are the subsystems with higher impact (both with a contribution of 34% and 30%, respectively), while the high-temperature latent TES storage...
sub-system has a contribution of 20%. The other two sub-systems considered, the electrical storage and the compression heat pump, have a contribution of 10% and 6%, respectively.

Finally, the parametric study carried out analyzed the influence of the impact of the refrigerant. The analysis was conducted taking R134a as baseline, since this is the refrigerant found in the used database Ecoinvent. The analysis performed on changing both the impact of the refrigerant or the amount of refrigerant shows that the contribution of the refrigerant to the overall impact of the studied system does not vary enough to consider that decreasing the impact of the refrigerant will contribute to decreasing the overall impact of the innovative system.
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