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We describe the relation between spin fluctuations and superconductivity in a highly-ordered sample of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$ using both polarized and unpolarized neutron inelastic scattering. The spin susceptibility in the superconducting phase exhibits one-dimensional incommensurate modulations at low-energies, consistent with hydrodynamic stripes. With increasing energy the susceptibility curves upward to a commensurate, intense, well-defined and asymmetric resonance at 33 meV with a precipitous high-energy cutoff. In the normal phase, which we show is gapless, the resonance remains surprisingly strong and persists clearly in Q scans and energy scans. Its similar asymmetric spectral form above $T_c = 59$ K suggests that incoherent superconducting pairing fluctuations are present in the normal state. On cooling, the resonance and the stripe modulations grow in well above $T_c$ below a temperature that is comparable to the pseudogap temperature where suppression occurs in local and low-momentum properties. The spectral weight that accrues to the resonance is largely acquired by transfer from suppressed low-energy fluctuations. We find the resonance to be isotropically polarized, consistent with a triplet carrying $\sim 2.6$ % of the total spectral weight of the Cu spins in the planes.

PACS numbers: 74.72.-h, 75.25.+z, 75.40.Gb

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin fluctuations play a fundamental role in the superconductivity of high-temperature superconductors. Intensive research has spawned a plethora of theories for the interplay between superconductivity and antiferromagnetism but no model is generally accepted for the unusual behavior of the doped planar cuprate superconductors. The search for new phases of matter, especially in the underdoped region, as the boundary with the antiferromagnetic phase is approached, continues to provide stimulus for experiment and theory. Many recent theories for both the normal and superconducting states have made specific predictions for the spin correlations and therefore a detailed and complete study of $\chi''(Q,\omega)$ is essential to understanding the cuprates.

One of the most interesting properties of the cuprate phase diagram is the existence of a pseudogap phenomenon seen clearly in tunneling, NMR, transport, and ARPES experiments. Tunneling data have evinced clear evidence of a gap-like structure in the density of states well above the onset to superconductivity. Some of the cleanest data have come from NMR studies which have found a suppression of both the Knight shift and the relaxation rate $1/T_1T$ in the normal state.

Many theories have been constructed to explain the pseudogap. Most recently an orbital current or flux phase has been suggested which predicts circulating currents flowing in the copper-oxide planes. In the static version of the theory, a hidden order parameter, called the d-density wave (DDW), condenses at a temperature well above the superconducting transition temperature. Since, the static DDW order would produce a moment, this phase should be directly observable with neutrons as new Bragg peaks, and since orbital currents break an Ising-like symmetry, a gap should exist in the spin excitation spectrum. We have previously found that no new Bragg peaks are observable in the normal phase of the ortho-II ordered YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$ (YBCO$_{6.5}$), thus excluding strong DDW order that breaks the symmetry at $Q = (\pi,\pi)$. We cannot exclude the possibility of broken orbital symmetry at zero wave vector or the possibility that the orbital currents are primarily dynamic.

Another theory to explain the variety of phases throughout the cuprate phase diagram is the stripe theory. Upon the introduction of charge, this theory predicts the existence of several phases whose structure is analogous to the nematic and smectic phases of liquid crystals. In the stripe picture, charge and spins are spatially segregated with the spins forming antiphase domains. In the smectic phase, long-range correlations exist producing incommensurate Bragg peaks. In the nematic phase, the stripes only have orientational order and therefore the antiferromagnetic correlations will be short-ranged. Also, since the ground state of the stripe phase breaks a continuous symmetry there should be no spin-gap. This theory seems to give a good account of the phase diagram of the La$_2-x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO) and YBCO$_{6.5}$ systems which have been studied in great detail. For YBCO$_{6.5}$, Mook et al. obtained the first evidence for stripes along the $b$ axis. The overall picture in the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+z}$ system is still not clear, however, since a complete study as a function of doping has not been completed and, as we will also show, the structure of the...
spin dynamics is highly dependent on structural disorder and impurities.

The most striking feature of the spin spectrum in the YBCO$_{6+x}$ system is the presence of an intense resonance peak at low temperatures which is well defined in both momentum and energy. The resonance peak has been observed in the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$ system with band structure models with the Cu$^{2+}$ spins being coupled to the quasiparticles. Since these theories predict that the resonance is a direct consequence of a gap opening in the quasiparticle channel, no well-defined resonance is predicted to exist in the normal phase.

We present a comprehensive study of spin fluctuations in the oxygen ordered ortho-II YBCO superconductor in both the normal and superconducting states up to $\omega \sim 40$ meV energy transfer. The oxygen doping in the system studied YBCO$_{6.5}$ corresponds to a hole doping in each CuO$_2$ plane of $p=0.09$. We expect that the high degree of structural order will remove some of the scattering and damping seen in the spin response from samples where there is disorder from twinning, poor chain order, and short oxygen chain segments randomly located. We believe that much of the previous discrepancies in the neutron scattering data can be reconciled by the existence of such disorder and a consistent picture of the underdoped region can be formed.

This paper is divided into parts that deal with the normal and superconducting phases. The section on the normal phase will present new results on the temperature dependence of the low-energy excitations that show that no spin gap exists and that one-dimensional incommensurate scattering is present that obeys $\omega/T$ scaling. In the section on the superconducting phase we show how the low energy scattering is suppressed, and that a resonance peak grows that is much better defined in energy in YBCO ortho-II than it is in disordered YBCO. We end with a discussion of the integrated intensities and the total moment sum rule, where we determine the absolute weight of the resonance in the superconducting phase and the surprisingly large fraction of resonance weight that is already present in the normal phase. Comparisons are made with the pseudogap phenomenon and with theory.

II. EXPERIMENT

The sample consisted of six orthohombic crystals of total volume $\sim 6$ cm$^3$ aligned on a multi-crystal mount with a combined rocking curve width of $\sim 1.5^\circ$ as shown in Fig. 1. The lattice constants were measured to be $a=3.81$ Å, $b=3.86$ Å, and $c=11.67$ Å. Details of the crystal growth, the detwinning by stress along the $a$ axis and the oxygen order have been given earlier. The (2 0 0) scan of Fig. 2 shows that the majority domain occupies 70% of the sample volume. The peak at larger $|\mathbf{Q}|$ ($\mathbf{H}=2$) is the (2 0 0) Bragg peak from the majority squeezed domain, and the peak $\mathbf{H}=1.98$ is the (0 2 0) of the minority domain. An independent check is obtained from the satellites produced by oxygen chain order at (3/2 0 0) and (0 3/2 0). Fig. 3 shows scans through the oxygen superlattice peaks. Fits to resolution-convolved Lorentzians showed that the oxygen correlation length exceeded $\sim 100$ Å in the $a$ and $b$ directions, while it was approximately 50 Å along the $c$ direction. The correlation lengths compare favorably to the x-ray characterization of highly ordered ortho-II indicating the high quality of our crystals.

The measurements were carried out with the DUALSPEC triple axis spectrometer at the C5 beam of the NRU reactor at Chalk River Laboratories. A focusing graphite (002) monochromator and a graphite (002) analyzer were used. A pyrolytic graphite filter in the scattered beam eliminated higher order reflections and the final energy was fixed at $E_f = 14.6$ meV. For unpolarized measurements the horizontal collimation was set at [33', 29' S 51' 120'] for energy transfer greater than 10 meV and set to [33' 48' S 51' 120'] for energy transfers below 10 meV where the magnetic scattering is weaker. The vertical collimation was kept fixed at [80' 240' S 214' 430']. The six-crystal assembly was mounted in a closed-cycle refrigerator that was carried on an open C-cradle.

For constant-energy scans along the in-plane directions the rotation axis of the C cradle was along the [001] direction. This permitted scans along (H 0 0) in zones (1/2 1/2 L), and, by rotating the C-cradle by $\sim 26^\circ$, also along (H 3H 0) in the (1/2, 3/2, L) zone. To study the incommensurate nature of the magnetic $Q$-correlated peaks, scans were made along the [H 0 0], [0 K 0], and...
[0 0 L] directions independently with respect to the zone center (1/2, 3/2, L). To do this, the rotation axis of the C-cradle was placed along the [T10] direction. By slaving the C-rotation to the L value we could access a general (H K L).

To confirm the magnetic origin of the scattering we made polarized measurements with a Heusler (111) monochromator and analyzer. A flipper coil was placed on the incident side and a graphite filter was used on the scattered side to filter higher order neutrons. A Mezei flipper in the incident beam allowed spin-flip (SF) and non-spin-flip (NSF) cross sections to be measured. At the sample two pairs of coils applied either a weak horizontal field (HF) parallel to Q or vertical field (VF) perpendicular to Q (of ~ 3-5 gauss) to control the direction of the neutron spin at the sample. With the flipper on, the difference between the HF and VF count rates gives the spin-flip scattering from the magnetic electrons alone independent of nuclear incoherent or phonon scattering. To prevent any possible depolarization from the Meissner state the sample was always warmed to 100 K (~40 K above the transition temperature) and then field cooled to low temperatures. During scans the field direction with respect to the sample never changed more than a few degrees thereby minimizing the effects of depolarization on the neutron beam.

We measured the polarized scattering with two different Heusler analyzers. The first small analyzer, 7.9×3.2 cm², was used for the constant energy scans. The horizontal collimation was set to [33′ 48′ S 126′ 120°] and the vertical collimation was [80′ 72′ S 120′ 430°]. The second taller analyzer of dimensions 13×12 cm² was installed for the constant-Q studies through the resonance. The horizontal collimation was then set to [33′ 48′ S 126′ 120°] and the vertical collimation was [80′ 72′ S 280′ 430°]. The flipping ratios from both the small and large analyzer crystals were measured at the (2 2 0) Bragg position for E_i = E_f = 47.6 meV, the incident energy at the resonance peak. The flipping ratio with the small analyzer was found to be 19:1 for both VF and HF field directions. The flipping ratio for the tall analyzer was measured to be 15:1 for the HF and 12:1 for the VF directions. By using a taller analyzer we were able to obtain a factor of two increase in the resonance scattering per unit time.

To compare our results with those of other groups and to connect with theory we have put our measurements of χ′(Q, ω) on an absolute scale. We have calibrated the spectrometer by measuring the integrated intensity of an acoustic phonon near the strong (0 0 6) Bragg peak. By comparing the measured energy-integrated intensity to that calculated in the long-wavelength limit, where eigenvectors are known, we were able to obtain the calibration constant that puts the magnetic intensities on an absolute scale. Details are provided in the appendices. The appendices also show how we made the correction for monitor contamination by higher-order wavelength neutrons. This correction is particularly important at small energies, where its neglect may lead to an underestimate of the low energy response.
III. THE NORMAL STATE

A. Gapless normal state spin excitations

The existence or not of a normal state spin gap has many important theoretical implications. Theories involving a broken discrete symmetry such as orbital currents require the existence of a normal state spin-gap at low temperature. In one model, the d-density wave (DDW) theory, the orbital currents are equivalent to static weak moments with Ising-like behavior, and the theory predicts that a magnetic Bragg peak will appear in the underdoped phase at temperatures well above the onset temperature of superconductivity. In this model, in which the orbital currents are in opposite directions in adjacent unit cells, the DDW peak is predicted to break the spatial symmetry of the copper oxide plane and to appear at \( Q = (\pi, \pi) \). In the fluctuating orbital current theory of Wen and Lee, there is no static order, and there is a crossover to fluctuations that compete with superconducting fluctuations in the normal phase. It is possible that this might still lead to a suppression of the magnetic spectral weight well above \( T_c \).

Experiments on underdoped YBCO in which no observable scattering below \( \sim 10 \) meV has been detected have been taken as evidence for a pseudogap phase in the normal state. In terms of this interpretation the pseudogap is defined to be a range in energy transfer where there is zero or negligible spectral weight in the energy response as measured by the dynamic susceptibility, \( \chi''(\omega) \), and which lies below a range with appreciable weight. Another definition of the pseudogap phase arises from NMR studies which have shown the suppression, not absence, of both the Knight shift and the relaxation rate, \( 1/T_1T \), well above the superconducting transition temperature, \( 2, 32, 33, 34 \). Based on this we interpret the normal phase pseudogap to be a spectral range in which either there is negligible scattering or there is substantial suppression of the scattering with decreasing temperature. Therefore, in terms of neutron scattering, evidence for a pseudogap must come from both the energy and temperature dependence of \( \chi'' \). Recent work on optimally doped LSCO has shown some evidence for a spin pseudogap based on both the energy and temperature dependence of \( \chi'' \). As regards the observed dynamics we find no evidence for a gap or pseudogap in the normal phase of YBCO based on the temperature and energy dependence of \( \chi'' \). In this section we present our results on the energy dependence of \( \chi'' \) pointing to gapless excitations in the normal phase. The temperature dependence showing \( \chi'' \) increasing in the normal phase with decreasing temperature is presented in later sections.

In an extensive survey of the low-energy spectra we have observed that scattering centered on \( Q = (\pi, \pi) \) clearly exists in the normal state and extends to the lowest energies studied (\( \sim 4 \) meV). A summary of our results, shown in Fig. 4, indicates the absence of any clear normal state spin pseudogap in contrast to the suggested
behavior by previous studies. The data were obtained by conducting fits to the $Q$-correlated peak above the background that lies under the magnetic correlations centered on $(\pi, \pi)$. We have removed the temperature-dependent Bose factor and the anisotropic $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ form factor from the $Q$-correlated scattering to obtain the dynamic susceptibility as discussed in detail in the appendices.

While the spectral weight at low energy is lower than that at the resonance energy (see later), this, in itself, is not sufficient evidence for a gap. We have recently discussed this result in the context of orbital currents and have argued that the presence of low energy excitations is evidence against the presence of a static density wave or orbital current phase. From Fig. 4 we see that the susceptibility decreases monotonically with energy below $\sim 15$ meV. The clear suppression of scattering in the superconducting state will be discussed later. Clearly the difficulty of detecting the normal-phase scattering increases at low energies as the weight declines, but the trend is uniform and gives no sign that the spectral response drops off in a way that might suggest a gap. This linear decrease of susceptibility with energy transfer is consistent with over-damped spin waves or fermi liquid behavior. It is also consistent with several early studies of disordered YBCO in the underdoped region. Note that the spin gap referred to in several studies (see Figs. 9, 26 and 13 respectively) is the gap in the superconducting state, not the normal phase gap whose absence we address here.

Some typical scans at low energies along the $[100]$ direction through $(1/2, 3/2, 2)$, are shown in Fig. 3. This zone boundary is equivalent to the 2D wave vector $(\pi, \pi)$ with L=2 near a maximum of the bilayer structure factor. The results are corrected for the effect of higher-order contamination of the monitor rate. If this correction were ignored it would lead to an underestimate of the low-energy intensity by a factor $\sim 1.5$ at 6 meV as discussed in the appendices. The solid curves represent the over-damped spin-wave model of Chou et al. which gives an excellent fit to the data and also predicts that the scattering should increase roughly proportionally to the energy transfer $\hbar \omega$. Because it is more difficult to detect this signal as the energy decreases, it is possible that experiments where the sensitivity and statistics are optimized for the intense resonance peak might have failed to observe the low-energy response and so might have led to the inference that a gap was present. All of our results indicate that there is no well defined normal state spin-gap in Ortho-II ordered YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$.

### B. Incommensurate dynamic structure in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$ Ortho-II

To establish the incommensurate structure we made purely H and K scans (see Fig. 3 left hand panels) in a configuration with good in-plane resolution, where the coarse vertical resolution was only 20-30$^\circ$ from the $(0,0)$ L) direction and so did not integrate over the incommensurate peaks. We see that the profile shows incommensurate peaks along $a^*$ but only a commensurate feature along $b^*$. From the H-K anisotropy of the low-energy scans in Fig. 4 we can show that the dynamic spin fluctuations are one-dimensional along $a^*$ with an incommensurate wave vector $\delta \sim 0.06$ r.l.u. To do this we recognize that the scattering observed is the sum of that from the majority and minority domains weighted in the oxygen-order ratio of 70:30. Because of the low weight of the incommensurate peaks of the $b^*$ minority domain, the (010) scan shows only a commensurate ridge as the scan passes between the strong $a^*$ peaks at $\pm \delta$ where the resolution picks up its greatest contribution from the wings of the broadened $a^*$ peaks.

Since it gives a good description of the scan profile, we fit the over-damped spin-wave model of Chou et al. and thereby extract $\delta \sim 0.06$ after convoluting with the spectrometer resolution function. In the Chou model linear branches of damped spin waves rise isotropically in the 2D plane from incommensurate wave vectors displaced by $(\delta, 0)$ in r.l.u. from the antiferromagnetic point $(1/2, 1/2)$, equivalent to $Q = (\pi, \pi)$. Similar behavior is found for low-energy excitations in the stripe phase of the nickelates where the stripes are static instead of fluctuating. As we apply the model to the $a^*$ domain, $q$ is measured from $Q$ and the incommensurate wave vector is $q_{\text{inc}} = (\delta, 0, 0)$, so that the Chou model is the sum of scattering from two wave vector origins:

$$S(q, \omega)|_{q_{\text{inc}}} = A[n(\omega) + 1] \frac{\hbar \omega}{(\hbar^2 + (q \pm q_{\text{inc}})^2)}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1}{(1^2 + (\hbar \omega - \hbar \omega_{q_{\text{inc}}})^2)} + \frac{\Gamma}{(1^2 + (\hbar \omega + \hbar \omega_{q_{\text{inc}}})^2)} \right),$$

where

$$\hbar \omega_{q_{\text{inc}}} = \hbar c(q \pm q_{\text{inc}})$$

and

$$\Gamma = \hbar c \kappa = \frac{\hbar c}{\xi_c}$$

where $c$ is the spin-wave velocity and $\xi_c$ can be interpreted as a dynamic correlation length. We emphasize that the parameter $\xi$ is not strictly the instantaneous correlation length as we are not integrating over energy. To account for the minority domain we add to Eq. $\Delta$ a similar term in which the scattering emanates from wave vectors $q_{\text{inc}} = (0, \delta, 0)$. Therefore the total cross-section is given as follows:

$$S(q, \omega) = S(q, \omega)|_{q_{\text{inc}}=(\delta,0,0)} + RS(q, \omega)|_{q_{\text{inc}}=(0,\delta,0)}.$$
The ratio of the two amplitudes, \( R \), from the two domains is set to the known domain ratio of 30:70 determined from the oxygen chain superlattice peaks. After folding with the resolution function the fit to the data is performed.

The excellent agreement displayed in Fig. 4 shows that the slow spin correlations are incommensurate in \( Q \). There is no observable static incommensurate peak. At these low energies, phonon contamination is unlikely and as a check we have verified that the origin of this scattering at each energy transfer is truly magnetic, from its temperature dependence around \( T_c \) (see future sections regarding temperature dependence). By iterating through each data set we have chosen the spin-wave velocity, \( hc \sim 300 \text{ meV}\cdot\text{Å} \), a dynamic correlation length, \( \xi_s \sim 20 \text{ Å} \), and incommensurability, \( \delta = 0.06 \), that applies at all energies and temperatures.

As shown in Fig. 4 the fit from this model describes the line shape along both the [100] and [010] directions very well. The minority domain is not strong enough to be seen as a separate peak in the [010] scan (lower left panel), both because of its small weight and because the majority peaks are broadened by \( \xi \) and resolution so that they contribute most of the scattering at the commensurate point \( q = 0 \). Thus the [010] scan shows a single peak at \( K = 1.5 \) as the scan crosses a saddle point between the two majority peaks at \( (\pm \delta, 0, 0) \).

Our analysis provides strong evidence that the low-energy spin dynamics reflect a one-dimensional incommensurate structure along \( a^* \). Its dynamics can be associated with the Doppler shift for a right and left moving incommensurate spin density wave. Similar incommensurate scattering has been previously observed for oxygen concentrations of \( x = 0.16 \) and \( x = 0.74 \) at energies of \( \sim 20 \text{ meV} \), where the incommensurate wave vector and doping is larger. The one-dimensional incommensurate scattering is thus a common feature of all cuprates.

Physically, our data can be interpreted in terms of one-dimensional antiphase domains parallel to the \( b^* \) chains. This may be consistent with the stripe picture in which the spin correlations are antiferromagnetic in a domain between charged walls separated by \( \ell \) spins along [100], where the carriers concentrate. Across a wall the coupling is ferromagnetic so that the next spins belong to an antiphase spin domain \( \pi \) out of phase with the first. Antiferromagnetic coupling across a row of spin vacancies would also give antiphase domains. A nice feature of the stripe model is that the local spin correlations within a domain remain commensurate, as suggested by analysis of NMR data. Nevertheless, the maximum in a scattering experiment moves away from \( \pi \) to an incommensurate wave vector, \( 2\pi/2\ell \) i.e., \( \delta = 1/2\ell \), the inverse of the \( 2\ell \) spin repeat distance for long-range stripe correlations. Our data are consistent with the stripe model where the charge domain is of length \( \ell = 6 \) spins, and the domain correlations fall off over a length of 7 spins (\( \sim 27 \text{ Å} \)) as shown in Fig. 6. This fit was conducted by convolving the stripe model with the \( Q \) resolution only and taking the energy dependence to have a simple Lorentzian form. One of the predictions of a sharp domain boundary is the presence of higher-order satellite peaks which are clearly washed out by the resolution. This model gives a \( \delta \) of 0.08, slightly higher than that deduced using the Chou model but consistent given the errors of the fit and the fact that we have neglected energy dispersion in fitting the antiphase domain model.

Because our data are consistent with the idea that an incommensurate structure exists in the normal state, it is possible that the stripe phase is a precursor to superconductivity in the underdoped YBCO system. The one-dimensional nature of the scattering is difficult to interpret as originating from band structure effects. We find that for models such as Refs. 51 the anisotropic hopping caused by the small 1\% \( a-b \) splitting should produce little difference in the bands and peaks in \( \chi'' \) along the \( a^* \) and \( b^* \) directions. This is confirmed by density-functional calculations for the orthorhombic unit cell which predict nearly isotropic hopping integrals in the bandstructure. It seems more likely that the intrinsic structure of the spins (and carriers) in a plane favors stripe order and that the small \( a-b \) splitting as well as the filled oxygen chains serve to select \( a^* \) to orient the preexisting stripes. Our results are similar to those found in the LSCO system. In particular, the incommensurate wave vector in this experiment is consistent with those found in the monolayer cuprates with the same hole doping.

A comparison of the incommensurability with doping
for bilayer and single layer systems is shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, our data are consistent with values of $q_{\text{inc}}$ observed in the LSCO system for similar hole doping. Even though data in the YBCO system are currently very limited, the good agreement to-date in the underdoped region points to a possible universal trend between the hole doping and the spin stripe width. Following Yamada et al., Balatsky and Bourges showed that the overall half-width at half maximum of the $Q$-correlated peak below the resonance, whether it shows incommensurate modulations and so can be interpreted within a stripe model with equally populated structural domains, a single incommensurate peak along the Cu-O-Cu direction in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.) such that the wave vector is $2\pi\delta/a$. The incommensurate correlations along $a^*$ are a robust feature of the behavior and not a result of superconducting order in underdoped YBCO. They are present in the normal phase up to temperatures of at least $\sim 120$ K, and, as we shall see later, they persist in the superconducting phase up to $\sim 25$ meV (Fig. 13).

In scanning tunnelling microscopy Davis, de Lozanne and collaborators have observed a charge modulation along the $b^*$ (chain) direction in optimally doped YBCO with a 13 Å periodicity cell. This would correspond to a reciprocal lattice wave vector of 0.3 r.l.u. In underdoped YBCO$_{6.5}$ there is no evidence for this charge modulation along $b^*$ nor of any double-length spin modulation 0.15 r.l.u., both of which would have been easy to resolve. The spin modulation wave vector lies along $a^*$. However, the scanning tunnelling microscope images the chains not the electronic structure of the planes.

C. Temperature dependence of $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ and $\omega/T$ in the Normal State

To study the $Q$-correlated peak as a function of energy and temperature, the sample was aligned in the (H 3H L) and (H H L) scattering planes. This configuration was chosen so that the vertical resolution integrated over the intensity from the two slightly out-of-plane incommensurate peaks (see Fig. 5). Since the width of the $Q$-correlated peaks was found, within experimental error, to be independent of temperature, the peak susceptibility was then a good measure of the integrated intensity. To extract the susceptibility in absolute units we have removed the anisotropic $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ form factor multiplied by the bilayer structure factor as discussed in the appendices. The bilayer structure factor for odd-symmetry fluctuations will be shown later to give a good account of the $\omega/T$-dependence of the scattering.

The temperature dependence of the susceptibility is shown in Fig. 6 for energies of 12.4 meV and 24.8 meV. One of the most striking features is the presence of a clear suppression of the spin fluctuations at 12.4 meV below the superconducting transition temperature, as will be discussed in the next section devoted to the supercon-
meV (Fig. 4), but such a small gap would predict the underdoping. We cannot exclude a smaller gap than 4 expected for a pseudogap that many believe grows with significantly with underdoping (Tc ≤ 60 K) as would be expected for a pseudogap that many believe grows with underdoping. We cannot exclude a smaller gap than 4 meV (Fig. 4), but such a small gap would predict the

FIG. 9: The peak susceptibility at 12.4 meV and 24.8 meV as a function of temperature. For the normal phase, the solid curves are fits to the ω/T scaling analysis of Birgeneau et al. At 12.4 meV the normal state follows the scaling analysis. A clear suppression of scattering is observed in the superconducting state. The scattering at 24.8 meV in both the normal and superconducting states continues to grow on cooling and no longer follows the nearly constant temperature dependence predicted by ω/T scaling. The dashed line in the lower panel is a guide to the eye. The local and low-frequency susceptibility sensed by the NMR relaxation rate of 63Cu is suppressed on cooling below a temperature T* while the susceptibility at the antiferromagnetic wave vector increases uniformly until the onset of coherent superconductivity. NMR data is for YBCO6.64 taken from Timusk and Statt.

maximum NMR relaxation to occur at much too low a temperature ∼30 K and cannot explain the observed T* ∼ 150 K (estimate via Eq. 21 of Tranquada et al.38). In early studies of the temperature dependence, χ’’ in disordered YBCO6.5 was found to follow a simple ω/T scaling relation.38,39 The same ω/T scaling was very successful in describing the temperature dependence of the integrated susceptibility in underdoped La2−xSrxCuO4.37,38 The analysis assumes that the dominant energy scale is set by the temperature and predicts the following temperature and energy dependence of the scattering,

\[
\frac{\chi''(\omega, T)}{\chi''(\omega, T = 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\omega}{a_1 T + \omega^3 a_2 T^3 + \ldots} \right)
\]

FIG. 10: A summary of the χ’’ is plotted for all normal state data below 16 meV energy transfer as a function of ω/T. The temperature dependence of the low energy scattering is very well described by ω/T scaling.

The inclusion of higher terms in the expansion was found not to noticeably improve the fits. This scaling was also originally proposed in the context of the marginal Fermi liquid theory to explain many of the normal state physical properties of the cuprates.28 The marginal Fermi liquid theory does not give the strong q-space structure seen here.

For ordered YBCO6.5 in its ortho-II state we find that in the normal phase this scaling form is in excellent accord with the spin response at low-energies. Surprisingly

FIG. 10: A summary of the χ’’ is plotted for all normal state data below 16 meV energy transfer as a function of ω/T. The temperature dependence of the low energy scattering is very well described by ω/T scaling.
the scaling function fits the data with the same coefficient $a_1=0.9$ as for the disordered system. The relation is plotted in the normal state for 12.4 meV and 24.8 meV transfers in Fig. 9 and shows excellent agreement at 12.4 meV but not at 24.8 meV. The results for energy transfers below 20 meV are summarized in Fig. 10. The figure was obtained by fitting (with $a_1=0.9$) the $\omega/T$ scaling relation at each energy to derive a single parameter $\chi''(\omega, T=0)$, from which $\chi''(\omega, T)/\chi''(\omega, T=0)$ follows for all temperatures and energies. We conclude that $\omega/T$ scaling accurately describes the normal state low-energy $h\omega$ and temperature dependence.

Despite the fact that the scaling analysis works very well for low energies, the scaling previously observed and predicted suggests that for higher energy transfers the integrated susceptibility should be only weakly dependent on temperature. This is clearly not the case at 24.8 meV (Fig. 9) where the response grows strongly on cooling. It also does not hold at higher energies where we see $\chi''$ increasing continuously with decreasing temperature. This difference in scaling in the normal state shows a clear departure of the scattering in YBCO ortho-II from that in the disordered systems previously studied which observed scaling up to at least 33 meV. We note that a breakdown of $\omega/T$ scaling has been observed at very low energies in La$_{1.98}$Sr$_{0.02}$CuO$_4$ and is thought to originate from a small gap in the excitations due to the out-of-plane anisotropy.

A possible explanation for the presence of one-dimensional incommensurate scattering, $\omega/T$ scaling, and the breakdown of the scaling relation at higher energies can be found in the stripe model. The stripes consist of one-dimensional domains $\pi$ out of phase with each other. As discussed by Zaanen et al. for a stripe liquid, where there is no long-range order and stripes are fluctuating, there are two extreme energy scales. The first is at high energy where the domain walls appear static, and the second is the hydrodynamic limit where the stripes are in a fluid state. For the second hydrodynamic (low energy) limit the energy scale of the system is set by the temperature. These assumptions underlying $\omega/T$ scaling suggest that the fluctuating stripe model provides a natural explanation for the $\omega/T$ scaling we clearly observe at low energies in the normal state. It is also argued by Zaanen et al. based on hydrodynamics that $\chi'' \sim \omega/T$ which can also be derived from the $\omega/T$ scaling relation by expanding the expression to first order. Therefore stripes do not predict the presence of a spin pseudogap in the normal state but predict scattering at all energies and that $\chi'' \sim \omega$ at low energies. This is exactly the model applied here to our low scattering and originally used by Chou et al. This is further illustrated in Fig. 9 which shows the scattering at very low energies decreasing as $\omega$.

The stripe model also predicts the breakdown of $\omega/T$ scaling. At some point the energy will be sufficiently large compared with the fluctuation frequencies that the fluid domains will appear almost static. In our line-shape analysis this energy would be given by $\omega_c \sim \hbar c/\xi_0 = 300$ meV·Å/20 Å = 15 meV. Therefore we would expect that for energies above $\sim 15$ meV the internal domain dynamics will start to play a role in setting the energy scale and lead to a breakdown of $\omega/T$ scaling. This is qualitatively what is seen in our data - perfect $\omega/T$ scaling up to at least 16 meV followed by a clear departure from scaling at around 25 meV.

These qualitative ideas can be extended to explain the role of disorder. Disorder would decrease the correlation length $\xi$ and therefore increase the characteristic frequency $\omega_c$. This would provide a natural explanation for why early studies on highly disordered crystals followed scaling up to high energies $\sim 33$ meV. These arguments provide some support to the idea that the presence of fluctuating stripes in a liquid phase can explain many qualitative features of the data in the normal state including the temperature dependence and line shape.

$\omega/T$ scaling can also be interpreted as the result of the close proximity of a quantum critical point where energy and temperature are interchangeable. Such critical points have been suggested to exist in the over-doped and near optimally doped region of the generic cuprate phase diagram. The fact that we observe scaling in the underdoped region and that scaling is observed over a broad region in the LSCO system suggests that the scaling is not the result of a quantum critical point. This assertion does depend on the extent and size of the cross-over region. To address the issue of quantum criticality clearly a more detailed study as a function of hole doping would be required in the YBCO$_{6+x}$ system.
per conducting order develops, with the degree of gapping increasing as the energy tends to zero as seen in Fig. 4. We do not observe a full gap, and indeed would not expect to do so in a superconductor where the pairing gap has nodes, regardless of the gap symmetry.

In contrast to the suppression of the superconducting response below ∼16 meV, for energies greater than 24 meV the response is enhanced (Fig. 3). It is interesting to compare this observation to simple BCS theory which predicts a gap at 2Δ = 3.5k_B T_c ∼18 meV. Levin et al. and coauthors have calculated χ''(Q, ω) in the random phase approximation (RPA) and found a partial suppression of the response in the superconducting phase. There is qualitative agreement with our results (Figs. 4 and 9). The temperature dependence of χ'' for both the s-wave and d-wave cases have been computed in the random-phase approximation by Bulut and Scalapino. They calculated a single band with only nearest neighbor hopping. The hole doping was p=0.15, somewhat above the doping of p=0.09 that is estimated for our ortho-II YBCO system from its T_c. For a gap with s-wave symmetry the imaginary part of the susceptibility shows a strong suppression or gap at all energies up to hω ∼2k_BT_c. The d-wave model shows a suppression for energies below hω ∼k_BT_c and an enhancement for energies of k_BT_c and above. The d-wave results capture only the qualitative trend of our data, in the sense that they show suppression at low energies and enhancement at high energies. Quantitatively, however, the model fails to predict the energy scale at which the crossover from suppression to enhancement occurs, for it predicts E ∼k_BT_c, which is ∼5 meV, whereas we observe crossover at ∼16 meV or ∼3k_BT_c. Despite this disagreement the general trend of our data is consistent with the formation of a d-wave, instead of an s-wave gap which would show a suppression of the scattering at all energy transfers.

IV. SUPERCONDUCTING STATE

A. Suppression of Low Energy (≤16 meV) Scattering

On entering the superconducting state, the scattering at energies less than ∼16 meV is suppressed but not eliminated as shown in Figs. 4, 9, 11 and 12. Thus there is not a complete gap. Moreover, the incommensurate structure remains in the superconducting state. As shown in Fig. 9, the suppression clearly starts at T_c and cannot be taken as evidence for a normal phase pseudogap as previously discussed. This suppression at the onset of superconductivity clearly departs from the ω/T scaling relation, in contrast to what was derived from early studies on disordered systems for similar oxygen concentrations. Our measurements also agree qualitatively with the result of early studies on higher oxygen dopings which showed a departure from ω/T scaling and a suppression of scattering in the superconducting state. This response is consistent with the behavior in underdoped LSCO, where the low energy scattering has been found to be suppressed in the superconducting state with almost complete gapping at the lowest energy. For YBCO, we find that the energy below which the response is suppressed in the superconducting state is consistent with the gap derived by other groups in the YBCO system for higher dopings and with the universal curve of Dai et al. (see their Fig. 26).

Thus, although there is no evidence for a normal phase gap, there is clear evidence of formation of a gap as superconducting order develops, with the degree of gapping...
As regards the spatial spin correlations we observe that they continue to exist with the same incommensurate form in the superconducting phase as they did in the normal phase, and this despite the suppression of the susceptibility by the superconducting order. The dynamic stripe structure is robust not only with respect to temperature, but also with respect to energy, for it is present up to $\sim 25$ meV as illustrated in Fig. 14.

In the context of the stripe picture previously discussed for the normal phase, we observe that the spin suppression in the superconducting phase occurs over an energy range similar to that of the hydrodynamic region of the striped normal phase, i.e., the energy range where we found that $\omega / T$ scaling describes the normal state temperature dependence. This suggests that the superconducting order acts to suppress the amplitude of the precursor stripe fluctuations of the normal phase, but does not essentially change their spatial or temporal character.

### B. Resonance at 33 meV

One of the most dominant features of the scattering in the superconducting state, as shown in Fig. 14, is the presence of an intense and well-defined peak in energy at 33 meV energy transfer. We have verified that this resonance peak is magnetic in origin from three features: it exhibits the clear $L$ dependence (Fig. 15) expected for the bilayer structure factor of the two copper oxide planes, its intensity decreases with increasing temperature as does magnetic scattering (Fig. 15), and it appears in polarized neutrons in the purely magnetic difference channel, as will be discussed later.

In Fig. 15 we show that the bilayer structure factor, scaled by a single amplitude, gives an excellent account of the modulation of the resonance intensity along the [001] direction. The scan in Fig. 15 was done by conducting a series of one-point scans on and off the peak and subtracting. The fact that the data are negative at the minimum of the bilayer indicates the presence of a sloping background. We have also verified that the scattering follows the anisotropic Cu$^{2+}$ form factor by comparing constant energy scans done at the $(1/2, 1/2, 0)$ position to equivalent scans conducted at higher $|Q|$ Brillouin zones. This shows that the resonance is consistent with scattering from Cu$^{2+}$ spins in the planes which fluctuate in antiphase between the bilayers (also known as the acoustic or odd-symmetry mode).

We find, as have others, that the resonance is commensurate. Scans along (K/3, K, 2), (H, H/3, 2), and (H, H, 5) directions geometries have been used in previous studies to investigate the incommensurate scattering as a function of energy and temperature. We have determined that the resonance is commensurate by scanning along the (K/3, K, 2), (H, H/3, 2), and (H, H, 5) directions. The latter two scans are sensitive to any incommensurability in $Q$ along $a^*$ and show incommensurate peaks for energies below 24 meV. However at the resonance we observe only a single commensurate peak, an example of which we display in Fig. 16. Its width (FWHM) in $Q$, after correcting for resolution, is $\sim 0.17$ Å$^{-1}$, implying a correlation length of 12 Å or about 3 cells.

In the ortho-II ordered sample the susceptibility shows a linear region followed by a clear upward curvature to a well-defined resonance at 33 meV (Fig. 14) with a half-width of 3.6 meV (including resolution). In contrast, when the system has the same $x=0.5$ oxygen content, but is disordered, the linear region of the local susceptibility leads to a broad maximum at $\sim 15$ meV without the upward curvature typical of a resonant mode. That broad spectrum extends to low energies and differs substantially from the present well-defined resonance of the well-ordered ortho-II system. In another $x=0.5$ sample with $T_c=52$ K the peak of the resonance occurred at $\sim 25$ meV again without upward curvature. The large differences suggests that the effect of structural disorder in the chains is to damp out the resonance. Indeed the reso-
nance is broadened in disordered systems to give a tail on its high-energy wing, resulting in a more symmetric peak, whereas in ordered ortho-II we find a sharp resolution-limited decrease above the resonance. When the disorder is small, as in the present ortho-II crystal, the resonance becomes quite long-lived (of order 10 periods from the raw data and longer if resolution is allowed for). Also, this naturally explains why in early studies the resonance was first discovered in optimally doped systems. These have all the chains nearly full and so no oxygen disorder is present (i.e., ortho-I chain ordering), while for lower doping without stress detwinning a much broader version of the resonance is seen.

As for the effect of disorder on the width in $Q$, we can understand why, in the comprehensive study of Dai et al., the sample with the most developed oxygen order, YBCO$_{6.6}$, as determined from clear ortho-II oxygen peaks, exhibited a much sharper resonance than did other samples. This line of thought would suggest that the resonance should be strongly broadened in the LSCO system because Sr enters the lattice in a disordered manner, therefore having the analogous effect to disordered oxygen chains in YBCO. To-date there has been no clear sign of a resonance in LSCO. However, there is evidence for doubling of the strength of the local susceptibility, relative to that in the antiferromagnetic insulator, at $\sim 20$ meV, just above the superconducting gap. This could be taken as evidence that the superconducting order pushes the spectral weight to a region above the gap. If this feature was taken as the analog of the YBCO resonance, its spectral form is substantially different since it is broad with a tail to high energies, but may nonetheless be consistent with the effects of disorder. The resonance has been found in monolayer compounds indicating that a bilayer structure is not necessary for its presence.

Fig. 17 shows constant energy scans at 33 meV for several temperatures. These scans show that the $Q$ correlations at the resonance energy still exist well into the normal state. Fig. 18 shows the growth upon cooling of the peak susceptibility at 33 and 31 meV. The spin response exhibits a very clear upward break in slope at the onset of superconductivity and is clearly enhanced below $T_c$. What is plotted is the peak in absolute units derived from the constant-energy scans of Fig. 17.

To establish that in the normal phase the 33 meV feature is resonant in time as well as localized in $Q$ it is important to observe that its width is less than its energy in a constant-$Q$ energy scan. To remove the effects of phonons we have subtracted a background scan taken at 250 K. Since the magnetic scattering, as determined from constant energy scans, at energies greater than $\sim 24$ meV, essentially disappears by 250 K this method of subtraction should give the full peak susceptibility $\chi''(Q, \omega)$. We have also subtracted an estimate for the temperature independent background by rotating the analyzer crystal five degrees and counting. As can be seen from Fig. 19 where results for three of the temperatures studied are shown, the method of subtracting high-temperature data does a reasonable job at removing the phonons. There is a very intense optic phonon at 20 meV which does not quite subtract out and gives an apparent rise in scatter-
FIG. 17: Constant energy scans through the resonance peak as a function of temperature. The fits are to Gaussian peaks with the fixed width for all temperatures. A clear peak is seen in the superconducting state and it persists in the normal state until it almost unobservable at 175 K.

The lines in Fig. 18 are the results of a fit to a Lorentzian and a temperature dependent background. We fixed the width at all temperatures to obtain a stable fit in the normal state. The quality of the data above \( T_c \) prevents us from determining whether or not the resonance broadens in the normal state. The fit, though, does suggest that the peak of the resonance shifts to higher energy (as shown in the inset to Fig. 19) with decreasing temperature, reminiscent of a slight decrease in damping with decreasing temperature. This shift in resonance frequency with decreasing temperature can be explained by the spin-fermion model of Morr and Pines.\(^{22}\) In the spin-fermion model the resonance position is predicted to be inversely proportional to the magnetic correlation length. We should emphasize, however, that we do not explicitly measure any temperature dependence of the correlation length. A similar result has been obtained by Fong et al.\(^{23}\) for optimally doped YBCO.

The energy integrated resonance intensity as a function of temperature from constant \( Q \) scans is seen from Fig. 19 to show a clear enhancement below \( T_c \) and presence of a resonance peak at \( Q=(\pi, \pi) \) well into the normal state.

The fraction of the full superconducting resonance intensity that remains in the normal phase just above \( T_c \) (Fig. 20) is 25% from the Lorentzian fits and 70% from the numerical integration. Either estimate is a substantial fraction of the low-T resonance. We conclude that the resonant feature exists in the normal phase as a temporal and spatially correlated feature, suggestive, as discussed later, that superconducting fluctuations persist in the normal phase. A normal phase resonance at 34 meV was observed\(^{26}\) below 150 K in YBCO\(_{6.6}\) and used to define a pseudogap temperature. As a fingerprint for pairing it appears that of order half the superconduct-
ing density, albeit incoherent, has already formed in the normal phase.

By combining both methods, Q-scans and constant-Q energy scans using temperature subtraction, we have been able to arrive at a complete picture of the magnetic spectrum up to 40 meV as shown in Fig. 19 in both the superconducting (8 K) and normal (85 K) states. The spectrum is obtained at high-resolution because we used a low final neutron energy of 14.6 meV for even the largest energy transfers. The absolute calibration was determined against the known cross-section of an acoustic phonon assuming a paramagnetic (isotropic in spin) cross-section (see appendices). The two methods for determining $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ agree very well, further indicating the validity of the assumptions used in the subtraction analysis previously discussed.

In Fig. 20 we plot the dependence of the low temperature resonance frequency on doping (derived from $T_c$) as determined by different groups. It can be seen that the resonance frequency in our sample is consistent with the overall trend. A linear scaling of the resonance energy with $T_c$ (dashed line) is consistent with most of the data. However, the resonance trend also lies close to the predictions of the SO(5) and quantum critical theory of Chubukov which for the solid line is a fit to $\omega_{res} \propto (p-p_c)^{1/2}$ with $p_c=0.055$, as measured carefully in the LSCO system. Because its spectral weight has a strong onset at $T_c$ for optimally doped, and an accelerated growth rate at $T_c$ for underdoped, there is little doubt that the resonance peak is intimately related to superconductivity. It is not yet clear which effect drives the other; the pairing mechanism could involve the entire high energy spin-wave band while the resonance is a consequence of the low-energy concentration of spin response caused by the pairing. Further studies, particularly at lower doping, are required to address this point. We will discuss this topic later in the context of the total integrated intensity and the sum rule.

At low temperatures we clearly see the presence of both a commensurate resonance peak, and incommensurate scattering at low energy transfers. This coexistence points to a possible common origin of both the resonance and incommensurate scattering. This topic has been discussed by Batista et al. who have analyzed possible common magnetic origins of both the resonance and incommensurate scattering. In the analysis of Batista et al. the incommensurate features are interpreted as spin-waves originating from static incommensurate wave vectors. A resonance then results when the spin-wave branches meet at the ($\pi$, $\pi$) position. This idea connects with our data as our line shape found in the unpolarized data has a clear tail at low energies and is suggestive of an umbrella type dispersion where the excitations meet at the resonance position. Such a dispersion in the context of the incommensurate to commensurate behavior has been discussed in studies of optimally doped YBCO. However, these ideas are speculative for YBCO$_{6+x}$ as the incommensurate scattering is broad and we cannot re-
solve the spin-wave branches suggested by this analysis. Experiments on stripe-ordered systems, however, give a spectrum with a sharp cut off for $Q = \pi$ at a maximum energy, similar in form to the asymmetric spectrum we observe for the YBCO resonance.

It is not clear how the low-energy fluctuating stripes relate to the resonance peak. In the normal state we see formation of incommensurate fluctuations below $\sim 120$ K or $2T_c$, comparable to the temperature below which the resonance first becomes clearly observable. On cooling, the growth of resonance intensity accelerates on passing into the superconducting phase, concomitant with the onset of suppression of the stripe fluctuations. Whether one or the other is the progenitor remains an open issue.

C. Polarization Analysis

To determine if there is any preferred orientation for the spin fluctuations we studied the resonance and low-energy scattering in three different zones and scattering planes. These included the (H, H, L), (H, 3H, L), and (3K, K, L) zones. With unpolarized neutrons the low-energy incommensurate scattering and the resonance peak do not show measurable asymmetry between the (H, 3H, L) and (3H, H, L) directions. This rules out any enhanced spin fluctuations oriented along either the $a^*$ or $b^*$ directions. A comparison of the intensity in the (H, H, L) plane with that in other scattering planes suggests no preferred spin fluctuations along the $c^*$ direction. This is the same isotropic spin polarization behavior as in a paramagnetic phase.

Further evidence for this finding comes from polarization analysis. For scattering from a paramagnetic material with a horizontal field (HF) along $Q$, all of the magnetic scattering will appear in the spin flip channel since the neutron detects only the perpendicular component of the magnetization. For a vertical field (VF) perpendicular to $Q$ the magnetic scattering will contribute equally to the spin-flip and non-spin-flip channels. If the magnetic scattering is entirely paramagnetic, the scattering in the HF channel will then be exactly twice that in the VF channel. Fig. 22 shows polarized scans through the resonance at three different temperatures. The HF intensity is always twice that in the VF channel to within error, showing that the scattering arises only from the magnetic spins and that the resonance is isotropically polarized. The fact that in this paramagnetic system we see no preferred polarization in the spin fluctuations can be taken to be consistent with transitions from a singlet superconducting ground state to a triplet excited state. We note that a triplet particle-particle resonance, coupled by the superconducting order parameter into the particle-hole spin susceptibility, is predicted by the SO(5) theory of Demler and Zhang. We note that a triplet resonance was an early prediction of Chubukov et al.

The temperature dependence of the resonance intensity agrees with that obtained from the unpolarized constant energy scans. The agreement proves that magnetic resonance scattering persists in the normal state at 65 K. Since the scattering disappears at high temperatures, this unambiguously demonstrates the absence of any contamination from accidental Bragg or phonon scattering. This type of contamination has been a problem in previous studies of the resonance mode.

Fig. 22 also shows that the background around the resonance peak has the same intensity in the HF and VF channels. This indicates that there is no measurable continuum or diffuse magnetic scattering other than the antiferromagnetic correlations centered on the $(\pi, \pi)$ position. This point is further highlighted by the dotted line in Fig. 22 which gives the fast neutron count with the analyzer rotated five degrees. Even though this method does not obtain the full background, it does put a lower bound on the amount of background scattering. From this one can see that most of the background is taken into account by the scattering with the analyzer turned. The rest of the spin-flip intensity in the wings is close to that expected from feed-through from the non spin-flip channel. This contribution to the scattering in the spin-flip channel is equal to the scattering in the non-spin-flip (NSF) channel divided by the flipping ratio. This is equal to approximately 10 counts for the monitor used in Fig. 22. The NSF feed-through and fast neutron rate account for almost the entire background. This shows that there is no measurable magnetic diffuse or continuum scattering around the correlated peak at $(\pi, \pi)$. Finally, we note that in this energy range there is no observable scatter-
FIG. 22: Polarized constant energy scans through the resonance peak at temperatures below and above $T_c$. The decrease with temperature of the resonance intensity at 8 K agrees with that of the unpolarized data, eliminating any possibility of phonon contamination. The open circles are taken with guide field parallel to $Q$ (HF) and the triangles with field perpendicular to $Q$ (VF). The peak intensity in the VF channel is roughly half that in the HF channel, which indicates no preferred polarization of this excitation. The dotted line is an estimate of the fast neutron background. The feedthrough from the NSF channel can be estimated from the flipping ratio to be on average $\sim$ 10 counts. When added to the fast neutron background, this almost completely accounts for the background around the correlated peak. The temperature dependent high point at $H=0.35$ also appears in the NSF channel and is likely due to a phonon. The solid lines describe Gaussian fits to the data.

FIG. 23: Polarized measurement of the spectral form of resonance peak obtained by subtracting the vertical field (VF) from the horizontal field (HF) data. The 33 meV peak position, the resolution limited high-energy cut off, and the low-energy tail connecting to the incommensurate response, confirm the spectral form got from the unpolarized data (dotted line is smoothed unpolarized data). In particular, the polarized scans confirm the interesting asymmetric line shape consisting of a low-energy tail leading to a sharp cut-off beyond the peak of the resonance as discussed above.

**D. Integral of $S(Q, \omega)$ and the Sum Rule**

From Fig. 14 we have estimated the total integrated spectral weight of the resonance at 8 K. To do this a symmetric Lorentzian profile centered at 33 meV was fit to the low temperature energy scan in Fig. 14 to obtain...
Fong's integral includes only the component of the in-

dex $[\mu]$ in both momentum and energy. By assuming a Gaussian line shape along both
the $[100]$ and $[010]$ directions, a perfect column of scat-
tering along the $[001]$ direction, and ignoring the bilayer
structure factor, we estimate the integrated intensity of the resonance to be

$$I_{\text{res}} \equiv \pi^{-1} \int d\omega \int d^3q [\omega(\omega + 1)] \chi''(q, \omega) = 0.052 B_0^2$$

per formula unit where $d^3q$ is in reciprocal lattice units. Our integrated intensity for the resonance compares very well to the results of previous studies for similar oxygen concentrations. Because of resolution effects, the true comparison between different groups and experiments comes from the integral of $\chi''$ with decreased doping. These results lend support to theories, such as SO(5) and the spin-fermion model, which predict such an increase.

An increase in the spectral weight under the resonance peak with decreasing doping is predicted by the SO(5) theory of Denler and Zhang. Their theory relates the spectral weight in the superconducting state to the difference in exchange energies between the normal and superconducting states extrapolated to $T=0$, taken to be the condensation energy, which is expected to decrease with lower doping. As noted by Denler and Zhang this is consistent with the electronic specific heat measurements of Loram et al. who have found a decrease in the condensation energy with decreased doping. The condensation energy is proportional to the difference in the integral of the susceptibility. For the spin response below 42 meV we find that in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.5}$ the integral $\int d\omega \chi''(q, \omega)$ (no Bose factor) is indeed larger in the superconducting phase, since it amounts to 17 $\pm$ 2 $B_0^2$ at 8K and only 13 $\pm$ 2 $B_0^2$ at 85K, but the difference lies within error.

An increase with reduced doping of the resonance inten-
sity also arises in the spin-fermion model. With this model, and previous normal state inelastic neutron re-
sults, Morr and Pines were able to predict quantitatively a factor of $\sim 2$ increase in the integrated intensity from optimal doping to YBCO$_{6.5}$. The results of Fong et al. show that any growth is by a smaller factor. However, Fong's integral includes only the component of the in-
tegrated intensity which develops below $T_c$. We find the same integral if we remove from our data all but the resonance growth below 85 K (lower Chalk River point in Fig. 24). However, our results for the total resonance weight of the normal plus superconducting state, combined with the comparable results of Dai et al., show that there is a clear growth of total spectral weight with decreasing doping. These results lend support to theories, such as SO(5) and the spin-fermion model, which predict such an increase.

Ignoring the effect of the chains, and therefore taking only two Cu$^{2+}$ atoms per formula unit, the total spectral weight integrated over all energy and momentum should be given by the total moment sum rule:

$$\int d\omega \int d^3q \ S(q, \omega) = 2 \times \frac{2}{3} S \ (S+1) g^2,$$  

where $\int d^3q$ is the momentum space integral over the correlated peak. In this equation the factor of 2 comes from the fact that we are taking two Cu$^{2+}$ ions per formula unit. Here we have assumed that $S(q, \omega)$ has been corrected for the bilayer structure factor and the Cu$^{2+}$ form factor (see appendices). We do not expect the total moment sum rule to be strictly obeyed in the supercon-
ducting cuprates as the holes will destroy a fraction of the Cu$^{2+}$ moments. Given that the hole doping in our sample is $p \sim 0.1$, this effect should be small and we expect the total measured moment to be similar to that

![Fig. 24: The integrated intensity, $\pi^{-1} \int d\omega \int d^3q [\omega(\omega + 1) \chi''(q, \omega)$, measured by several groups for different values of $T_c$. An increase of spectral weight with decreasing doping is predicted by both the spin-fermion and SO(5) models. The ILL data has been divided by $\pi$ to correspond to the present definition for the integral. The upper Chalk River and ORNL points are the total detected resonance weight. The lower data are the growth in resonance weight below $T_c$ for ILL and below 85 K for Chalk River.](image)
predicted by the sum rule. Equating the above integral to the cross-section for paramagnetic scattering discussed in the appendices, where the relation between \( S(q, \omega) \) and \( \chi''(q, \omega) \) is defined, we obtain the total moment sum rule for localized spins as the integral over \( S(q, \omega) \):

\[
I \equiv \pi^{-1} \int d\omega \int d^3q [n(\omega) + 1] \chi''(q, \omega) = \frac{2}{3} \mu_B^2 g^2 S(S + 1).
\]

For \( S=\frac{1}{2} \) this gives a total integral of \( I = 2\mu_B^2 \). The total resonance at low temperatures therefore makes up only \( \sim 2.6\% \) of the spectral weight predicted by the total moment sum rule. This agrees very well with estimates found in other studies on YBCO_{6+x} and BSCCO_{8+x}.

The absolute intensity of the resonance has also been computed from band structure theories and is in reasonably good agreement with our experiment.\(^{88,89}\) Despite the fact that the resonance is strongly affected by the approach and onset of superconductivity, it contributes a tiny fraction of the total moment. Its weakness has led to the suggestion that the presence of a resonance is not crucial to the onset of superconductivity and that it is unlikely the resonance can be associated with the mediating boson of superconductivity.\(^{88,89}\) This of course does not exclude the entire high-energy \( \sim 250 \text{ meV} \) spin-wave spectrum from providing the boson, while the resonance is just a redistribution of a tiny fraction of the low-energy spin spectrum in response to the opening of a pairing gap, an idea that we now examine.

To understand the origin of the resonance spectral weight we compare in the superconducting and normal phases the integral from 0 to 42 meV of the two spectra of Fig. 14 multiplied by the Bose factor. The integral, which is equal to \( \pi \int d\omega S(q, \omega) \), was carried out by polynomial interpolation of the data. If the resonance growth has come from energies larger than our 42 meV window, or from other momenta than than AF region, then we would calculate an increase in the superconducting phase.

For 8 K we obtained a \( I = \int d\omega \chi''(q, \omega) [n(\omega) + 1] = 17 \pm 2 \mu_B^2 \) and we find \( 14 \pm 2 \mu_B^2 \) for 85 K. Although there is some growth, within error the total weight of the spin correlations is conserved. This indicates that the bulk of the spectral weight gathering at the resonance energy at low temperatures is transferred from from the low-energy incommensurate scattering as this becomes suppressed in the superconducting state. Since the Bose factor increases as \( \sim \omega^{-1} \) for small energy transfers, a natural concern with this type of calculation is the weight carried by \( \chi'' \) at very low energies, a region which is difficult to characterize experimentally. To assess its influence we note that that integral from 0 to 5 meV at 85 K amounts to \( \sim 0.5 \mu_B^2 \), representing only 4% of the total weight. Thus the the low-energy limit of \( \chi'' \) is not contributing a large amount and so validates the accuracy of the integral and our finding of a low-energy to resonance transfer of spectral weight.

We now extend our calculations to determine what fraction of the total moment resides in the entire spectrum, not just the part under the resonance. By integrating numerically up to 42 meV, and with the same q-dependence (Gaussian in the a-b plane and constant along the c direction) we find a total integral of \( \pi^{-1} \int d\omega \int d^3q [n(\omega) + 1] \chi''(q, \omega) \sim 0.06 \mu_B^2 \). We conclude that the spin response below 42 meV carries about 3% of the spectral weight available from the total moment sum rule. Thus the spin response below 42 meV captures only a small fraction of the total moment and illustrates the importance of studying higher energy spin fluctuations.

V. DISCUSSION

We first discuss the contribution to the absolute total moment squared of the entire spectrum up to 43 meV. We have shown above that this amounts to \( \sim 0.06 \mu_B^2 \) per formula unit. For a single Cu²⁺ spin this gives \( \sim 0.03 \mu_B^2 \), comparable that observed to develop (on cooling in zero field) (Ref. 57) in underdoped La_{1-x}Sr_xCuO_4 with \( x=0.1 \), a doping similar to that for the present YBCO_{6.5}. This is one of the many similarities with the LSCO system. We note that both LSCO and YBCO ortho-II exhibit scattering that extends to the lowest energies in the normal phase with incommensurate features characteristic of moving stripes. There is a shorter correlation length in YBCO ortho-II, yet we have shown that the incommensurate wave vector tracks the doping equally in both systems (Fig. 10). For LSCO, if we associate the resonance with the enhanced response at energies above the region where superconductivity suppresses \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \), then qualitatively there is much similar behavior in LSCO and in YBCO.

On cooling below \( T_c \), the strong enhancement of spectral weight in the resonance energy region is predicted by RPA calculations of the susceptibility of a single quasiparticle band based on BCS theory.\(^{50,86}\) The presence of resonance intensity at temperatures above \( T_c \) is not. We believe, as discussed below, that this reflects local superconducting fluctuations above \( T_c \) in agreement with the evidence for vortices seen through the Nernst effect.

One aspect of the resonance observed here at high resolution appears different from the results of previous studies of YBCO. Its spectral shape is asymmetric with a sharp drop-off above the peak, and with a much slower decrease along a wing below the resonance. This wing connects smoothly with the previously noted linear, low-energy response part of \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \). Several calculations\(^{88,89}\) predict the opposite asymmetry as does the t-J model.\(^{50,86}\) Norman\(^{50}\) has noted that the calculation for \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \) drops off too fast below the resonance. On the other hand the pair-fermion model of Morr and Pines\(^{50}\) gives a spectral shape for the resonance with a tail to low energies as we observe.

The physical mechanism underlying the resonance
peak still remains unknown and is a matter of much debate. Band structure calculations using a single band associate the resonance peak with the opening of a superconducting d-wave gap in the excitation spectrum. Since these theories are mean-field they are not able to explain the presence of the resonance in the normal phase at a similar energy to that in the superconducting state. Other theories such as that of Wen and Lee, where d-symmetry normal-phase fluctuations are dynamical, may be more appropriate. The QED$_3$ theory, which takes into account vortex-antivortex fluctuations within the pseudogap region, may also account for the resonance we see above $T_c$. A heuristic approach to understanding the spectral form of the resonance is to interpret it as the result of a sampling of the density of states of the d-superconducting (dSC) gap function. In this picture the spectral weight of the overdamped low-lying spin response is pushed up to energies above a varying gap function. This accords with our observation of a transfer from low energies to the resonance energy as superconducting order develops. We find that a uniform sampling of a dSC form of gap function, $\Delta_{\text{d}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y))$ gives a good account of the initial rise in $\chi''$, and also the cusp-like cut off we observe at the maximum energy of the resonance. This might suggest that the spins couple to an incoherent charge spectrum so that the momentum conservation between the spin response and charge response of a band model is compromised. It would imply that the cutoff beyond the resonance might be a measure of twice the maximum gap, $2\Delta_0 \sim 33$ meV in a two particle picture. However, the recent theory of Eschrig and Norman, which models the ARPES charge spectrum of Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$, predicts for $p=0.1$ that the charge pairing gap $2\Delta_M = 120$ meV $\sim 30k_BT_c$ is much larger than our observed spin resonance energy of $\sim 6k_BT_c$, and that it increases while the resonance energy declines with reduced doping. This much larger energy scale presumably provides the less metallic environment in which a spin resonance can survive with relatively little damping. An attractive feature of the model, however, is that it predicts an increasing degree of incoherence in the charged quasiparticle properties as doping is reduced, a finding that lends support to the momentum averaging that underlies our heuristic model.

We have looked for behavior that might account for the decline on cooling of the NMR relaxation rate $1/T_1T$, which has been associated with a pseudogap temperature of $\sim 150$ K. We note that the low-energy dynamic susceptibility in the meV range does the opposite - it increases on cooling from room temperature right through the pseudogap range, and continues to rise until interrupted by superconducting pairing below 59 K. Since the normal response below 16 meV is linear in $\omega$, it follows that its slope $\chi''(Q, \omega)/\omega$, which amounts to 0.014 $\mu_B^2$/meV$^2$ and which is proportional to the NMR relaxation rate $1/T_1T$, also increases while the NMR relaxation decreases. The different temperature dependence of the NMR and neutron spin response, suggests that either the extrapolation from the THz neutron to MHz NMR frequency range is far from linear, or that it is at the other wave vectors sampled by the local NMR probe that the pseudogap suppression takes place.

The only feature in the neutron spin response which has an onset near the presumed pseudogap temperature is the resonance peak which grows in below $130$ K. The increasing weight in the resonance may be interpreted in terms of a shift of spectral weight away from very low energies. In comparing the results in the superconducting state with those in the normal phase, we have noted earlier that the rapid increase of the resonance intensity below $T_c$ can be accounted for by the loss of low-energy intensity below $\hbar\omega \sim 20$ meV. Such a picture cannot account for the normal state, where the neutron spin susceptibility inexorably rises on cooling and gathers extra weight at the resonance frequency well above $T_c$ accompanied by growth, rather than suppression at low energies. It is possible that the spectral weight under the normal state resonance may be acquired from higher energies or momenta, well removed from $(\pi, \pi)$. However, since the resonance energy scale is already much larger than thermal energies, it is unlikely that there are substantial changes in the higher energy spectrum near $(\pi, \pi)$. On the other hand, the depression of the local NMR spin susceptibility (Fig. 9), which reflects an average over momenta, suggests that much of the resonance weight is being transferred from low momentum fluctuations.

While the spin correlations at all energies remain visible to the highest temperatures as a correlated peak at $(\pi, \pi)$, the formation of the stripe modulation only becomes observable below $\sim 120$ K. This is in a broadly similar temperature range to where we see the resonance begin to grow in. The emergence of resonance intensity and stripe modulations are associated in the model of Batista et al. We emphasize that Batista’s theory is for an incommensurate static ordered structure, which is far from the present situation. Calculations for the 2D Hubbard cluster model have led to the conjecture that uniform rather than antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations are causing the pseudogap. However, they find no evidence for pairing fluctuations for $T_c < T < T^*$, and reject the notion of preformed pairs in favor of a theory (such as spin-charge separation) where the dynamics are not described in terms of individual quasiparticles. Spin-charge separation is also favored in the theory of P. Lee.

In the energy spectrum the pseudogap is clearly seen as noted above in the charge response given by the optical conductivity. For YBCO$_{6.7}$ the c-axis conductivity is suppressed below an energy of $\sim 50$ meV. It grows in at high temperatures $\sim 3T_c$. For YBCO$_{6.8}$ the suppression of the a-b optical conductivity is below 80 meV. The connection between the resonance in the spin sus-
ceptibility and the charge dynamics has been made in the work of Schachinger et al. and Carbotte et al.96,97

The resonance has been described as a two-particle state or as a spin exciton. Demler and Zhang82 have described in the SO(5) theory how a particle-particle (p-p) triplet resonance arises from paired carriers. The spin resonance at 33 meV then gives the maximum energy, 2|Δ0| to create a pair when the d-wave superconducting (dSC) gap function is \( \Delta = (|\Delta_0|/2)\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y) \). The pair resonance can only be seen in neutron scattering when it is coupled to the spin susceptibility by the superconducting order parameter and hence not above \( T_c \). A reduction of the superconducting order by a magnetic field would then lessen the coupling to the spin channel as found by Dai et al., who observed the resonance intensity to weaken with field. However Tchernyshyov et al.98 have shown that for flat bands near (\( \pi, 0 \)) the neutron resonance is more likely a spin exciton near the lower energy of \( \Delta_0 \). The triplet symmetry of the spin resonance was predicted by the quantum critical theory of Chubukov et al., and also in SO(5), and is confirmed by our polarized neutron results which can be interpreted in terms of a singlet ground state with a triplet excited state.

It is more difficult to understand the presence of the spin resonance in the normal phase, albeit weakened but of similar spectral form. Indeed, most theories predict that the resonance should be seen in the superconducting but not in the normal phase above \( T_c \). Our observation of the resonance above \( T_c \) does not support these models. The quantum critical spin-fermion model of Abanov et al.100 (Eq. 140 with \( \omega_{sf}=33 \) meV), including hot spots, does give a broad peak in the normal phase spin response. It is a relatively featureless Lorentzian spectrum, however, falling slowly above a spin-fluctuation energy. The model does not predict the more peaked spectrum with a faster high-energy fall off near the resonance energy that we see in the normal phase (Fig. 14 lower panel). The spectral shape of the normal-phase spectrum appears more like a weakened version of the superconducting resonance spectrum and provides circumstantial evidence that local superconducting fluctuations exist above \( T_c \). Thus the neutron response supports the evidence that fluctuating vortices exist in a YBCO_{6.5} sample (\( T_c=50 \) K) as has been obtained from the Nernst effect. It is possible, we suggest, that the normal phase fluctuations may be of the correct symmetry to let a local resonance appear in the neutron spin response. Since the neutron spectrum is independent of the sign of \( \Delta_0 \), fluctuations of the gap parameter on a timescale slower than the inverse resonance energy should allow a resonance feature to remain in the normal state. The density of states of the dSC gap function is strongly peaked at its maximum energy, and this characteristic energy can persist in the normal phase. The loss of pair coherence on heating through the dSC superconducting transition can occur in the nodal regions, without eliminating the high-energy resonance spectrum. By contrast in an s-wave superconductor the nodeless order parameter is non-zero everywhere, and the gap function would have to collapse in all directions to effect the transition. Extensions to the theoretical models to treat fluctuations so as to extend their validity to the normal phase would be valuable.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations in YBCO_{6.5} ortho-II are gapless, with incommensurate modulation at low energy consistent with dynamic stripes. The spin susceptibility curves upward with energy to a well-defined but asymmetric resonance peak at 33 meV followed by a precipitous cut-off. A weakened image of the resonance is readily observed in the normal phase as a well-defined temporal and spatial structure with an asymmetric spectrum similar to that of the superconducting state. On cooling, the resonance at 33 meV (\( \sim 6.5 \ k_B T_c \)) and low-energy spin fluctuations strengthen until the superconducting phase at 59 K is reached. As coherent superconducting order is established the resonance accelerates its growth rate, while the low-energy fluctuations below \( T_c \) are sharply suppressed but not eliminated as expected for a d-wave gap, and transfer much of their weight to the resonance region. The spin susceptibility, which is confined to nearly antiferromagnetic momenta, shows no evidence for an energy gap in the normal phase, and we suggest that experiments in which a pseudogap suppression is seen sample a range of lower momenta. However we find that the resonance and incommensurate response make their appearance below a temperature as high as \( T^* \sim 2T_c \) comparable with the pseudogap temperature. The fraction of the low-temperature resonance weight that develops before entering the superconducting phase is surprisingly large. We suggest that this indicates that substantial superconducting pairing fluctuations occur in the normal phase of underdoped YBCO_{6.5}.
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VII. APPENDIX A: ABSOLUTE UNITS AND CALIBRATION OF SPECTROMETER

To compare our data with that of other groups and to theory we have put our measurements of $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ on an absolute scale by comparing the measured magnetic intensity to the integrated intensity of a transverse acoustic phonon near the (0 0 6) Bragg peak, e.g. with $Q=(0.15, 0.15, 6)$. The use of a phonon from the sample provides a good internal calibration which is independent of errors resulting from impurities or domains which may be an issue with the use of a vanadium standard.

The correlation function is related by the fluctuation dissipation theorem to the imaginary part of the spin susceptibility,

$$I(Q, \omega) \propto S(Q, \omega).$$

(10)

The constant of proportionality ($A$) can be determined from the measured integrated intensity, $I(Q)=\int d\omega I(Q, \omega)$, of an acoustic phonon. In the long wavelength limit

$$I(Q) = A \left( \frac{2\pi n_p}{k_B} \right) \left[ 1 + n(\omega_p) \right] |F_N|^2 \frac{Q^2 \cos^2(\beta)}{M} e^{-2W},$$

(11)

where $M$ is the known mass of the unit cell, $e^{-2W} \sim 1$ is the Debye-Waller factor, $[1 + n(\omega)]$ is the Bose factor, $|F_N|^2$ is the static structure factor of the Bragg reflection nearest to where the acoustic phonon is measured, and $\beta$ is the angle between $Q$ and the phonon eigenvector. Inserting the measured phonon frequency allows a direct measurement of the calibration factor $A$ and therefore allows any measured intensity to be put on an absolute scale.

For the magnetic scattering we relate the measured scattering to the magnetic correlation function by\textsuperscript{101}

$$S(Q, \omega) = g^2 f^2(Q) B^2(Q) \sum_{\alpha\beta} \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{Q}_\alpha \hat{Q}_\beta \right) (12)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(Q, \omega).$$

The correlation function is related by the fluctuation dissipation theorem to the imaginary part of the spin susceptibility $\chi(Q, \omega)$ by

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(Q, \omega) = \pi^{-1} [n(\omega) + 1] \frac{\chi''_{\alpha\beta}(Q, \omega)}{g^2 \mu_B^2}.$$ (13)

Since the paramagnetic scattering is isotropic in spin, $\chi'' = \chi''_{xx} = \chi''_{yy} = \chi''_{zz}$, we can extract $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ from the measured intensity, $I$, through the equation

$$I(Q, \omega) = A \frac{\left( \frac{\gamma r_o}{2} \right)^2}{4} f^2(Q) B^2(Q) e^{-2W} \frac{[1 + n(\omega)]}{\pi \mu_B^2} (2\chi''(Q, \omega)).$$

(14)

FIG. 25: The fraction of neutrons hitting the diffracted beam monitor is plotted as a function of energy transfer for $\lambda/n = \lambda/1, \lambda/2, \lambda/3$. The final energy is taken to be 14.5 meV where then energy transfer is defined as $h\omega=E_f-E_i$. The solid curves are calculations using a Maxwellian distribution and a moderator temperature $k_B T=28.8$ meV.

The factor 2 comes from the orientation factor and $\chi''$ denotes the susceptibility per formula unit here and in the body of paper. We have chosen to use the anisotropic form factor $f^2(Q)$ over the isotropic form\textsuperscript{102} because the anisotropic form has been carefully verified in the ordered antiferromagnetic system and in the Al doped YBCO system\textsuperscript{103,104}. For practical cross-section calculations $\frac{(\gamma r_o)^2}{4}$ is 73 mbars sr\textsuperscript{−1}. The paramagnetic description for the spin scattering follows from our polarized measurements and from our variation of the orientation factor in different Brillouin zones. These show no preferred polarization of the fluctuations. The factor $B(Q_z)$ is the bilayer structure factor equal to $\sin(Q_z d/2)$, where $d$ is the intrabilayer spacing. In the normalization to absolute units of the spin fluctuations below ~ 40 meV energy transfers we have only considered the acoustic, or odd, fluctuations to the susceptibility since these predominate at the moments studied. In the notation of Fong et al. we have calculated $\chi'''_{odd}(Q, \omega)$ only.

The definitions of the magnetic cross-section used here are identical to those used in the phonon calibration by Fong et al.\textsuperscript{101}. But, the definition of the $q$ and $\omega$ integrated value of $\chi''$ used by Fong et al. is larger by a factor of $\pi$ from the definition used in this paper and that of Dai et al. We have therefore removed this factor $\pi$ from the integral quoted by Fong et al. for the comparisons made here.\textsuperscript{105} The vanadium calibrations conducted by Dai et al.\textsuperscript{76} use the isotropic form factor but otherwise the same equations were used\textsuperscript{106} as presented here. This allows a direct comparison between different experiments.\textsuperscript{107}
VIII. APPENDIX B: CORRECTION FOR HIGHER ORDER CONTAMINATION OF MONITOR

The low-efficiency fission monitor in the incident beam only approximately removes the factor $1/k_0$ from the measured cross-section. As the incident energy decreases, the monitor rate is perturbed because it senses an increasing fraction of higher-order neutrons reflected by the monochromator out of the reactor spectrum. Thus low-energy transfer scattering would be underestimated if no correction were made. To understand the relative intensities as a function of energy transfer, a complete characterization and correction for this contamination is essential.

We determined the relative weight of $\lambda/2$ and $\lambda/3$ neutrons over the energy range of our experiments from the relative intensities of aluminum powder Bragg peaks in two-axis mode. The relative flux of each component of the incident beam can be uniquely calculated from the following formula:

$$ I(2\theta) \propto \Phi(\lambda) \frac{\lambda^3}{\sin(2\theta) \sin(\theta)} \sum_Q |F_N(Q)|^2 $$

(15)

where $\Phi$ is the incident flux, $|F_N(Q)|^2$ is the nuclear structure factors and the sum is over constant $|Q|$.

By correcting for the $1/k_0$ monitor efficiency the relative fraction of monitor counts in the beam from $\lambda$, $\lambda/2$, and $\lambda/3$ orders were calculated as shown in Fig. 25. We also computed the fractions for a Maxwellian distribution of neutrons, a moderator temperature of $kT = 28.8$ meV (60° C), and for these three components only. The resultant fraction of each order is:

$$ F(n^2, E_i) = \frac{(n^2)e^{-(n^2E_i)/kT}}{c^{-E_i/kT} + 4e^{-4E_i/kT} + 9e^{-9E_i/kT}}. $$

(16)

As shown for $n = 1$, 2, and 3 in Fig. 26, this simple model provides a reasonable description of the data given that we have not corrected for the energy dependent reflectivity of the monochromator. As can be seen from Fig. 26, the correction factor required for low energies is substantial. Inclusion of this factor is essential for obtaining an accurate form for the spectral distribution, as we have done from 0 to 43 meV. The correction becomes particularly important when discussing low-energy excitations where it amounts to a factor two. Neglect of the factor would make it more difficult to observe low-energy scattering and might lead to an inference that a normal state spin gap was present.
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