Pemphigus is derived from the Greek word pemphix meaning blister or bubble. It is represented by a group of potentially life-threatening autoimmune mucocutaneous diseases such as epithelial blistering affecting the mucosal/cutaneous surfaces. It not only affects the oral mucosa and the skin but also affects the mucosa of the conjunctivae, nose, pharynx, larynx, genitals, and esophagus. Pemphigus affects 0.1–0.5/100,000 individuals per year. It affects both sexes equally and is more common in middle-aged and elderly patients but few shows to be slightly predominant in women. The etiology of pemphigus vulgaris (PV) is not known, and in some cases, it may have a strong genetic basis. The possible etiological factors that are responsible for the pathogenesis of PV are human leukocyte antigen complex; diet; drugs; viruses; some autoimmune disorders such as myasthenia gravis, rheumatoid arthritis, pernicious anemia, and lupus erythematosus; pregnancy; smoking; and high exposure to pesticides. The oral mucous membrane is frequently affected in PV patients; most of patients present with oral lesions as the first sign of PV. Lesions may occur anywhere on the oral mucosa but the buccal mucosa is the most commonly affected site and erosive or desquamative gingivitis is a common manifestation of the disease. In majority of PV patients, the oral lesions are followed by the development of skin lesions. If oral PV is recognized early, progression of the disease to skin involvement can be prevented. Early oral lesions of PV are difficult to diagnose and diagnostic delay of more than 6 months is common as they are nonspecific, presenting as ulcers or superficial erosions, and they rarely present with the intact bullae formation. This study describes the case of a patient presenting with a 8-month history of painful gingiva who was finally diagnosed as having PV.

Case Report

A 32-year-old homemaker reported to the department of dentistry with a chief complaint of burning sensation in the gums, pain when brushing and eating, and painful gingival erosion and desquamation for the past 8 months. The patient was not able to eat or drink adequately.

A detailed family history was obtained and it was noncontributory.

On intraoral examination, there were denuded, spontaneously bleeding gingival zones. Nikolsky’s sign showed a positive reaction, and the epithelium could be peeled away easily by slightly scratching the surface of the gingiva. There was presence of white patches and ulcerative and erythematous area on the gingival surface. Periodontal examination revealed no calculus and plaque formation.
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There was no attachment and bone loss. Gingiva was reddish blue, soft, and edematous and showed bleeding on probing. The site most severely affected was her gingival surface (attached marginal and interdental gingival) with no involvement of oral mucosa, tongue, or palate [Figure 1].

On extraoral examination, no skin lesions were present. A dermatologist confirmed the absence of any cutaneous lesions. Other sites such as conjunctival, nasal, genital, and esophageal mucosa did not show any evidence of the lesions. The cytological smear was performed before obtaining biopsy specimens. Smears were prepared by exfoliating from the labial surface of the gingiva [Figure 2]. Cytosmear showed Tzanck (acantholytic) cells with a high nuclear–cytoplasmic ratio with hyperchromatic nuclei and a very smooth nuclear outline [Figure 3]. An incisional biopsy was drawn from perilesional site of the involved gingival surface. Histopathological examination revealed suprabasal separation with the formation of tombstone appearance. The dermis showed mild perivascular inflammation [Figure 4].

Differential diagnosis of PV included aphthous stomatitis, gingivostomatitis, erythema multiforme, erosive lichen planus, or oral candidiasis and may be improperly treated for months or even years.[7]

Discussion

PV is an autoimmune disease that is characterized by acantholysis (loss of cell-to-cell contact) in the epithelium,[1,2,8] with a positive Nikolsky’s phenomenon which was seen in our study. Histologically, there is presence of intraepithelial blister, rounded acantholytic, and Tzanck cells,[2,4,7] demonstrating degenerative changes, including round, swollen hyperchromatic nuclei with a clear perinuclear halo in the cytoplasm,[8] which was also evident in our study. According to Coscia-Porrazzi et al., acantholytic/Tzanck cells were recognized in 37 out of 40 PV patients and stated that cytomorphologic studies are useful to screen the cases suspected to be oral PV.[8] In our study, acantholytic cells were seen in the cytological smear, which enabled us to make a presumptive diagnosis of PV.
However, biopsy is necessary because the acantholytic cells alone are not a definitive diagnosis of PV, but it is only a presumptive diagnosis as the acantholytic cells may also appear in other diseases such as impetigo, Darier’s disease, transient acantholytic dermatosis, viral infections, and carcinoma.[³]

The mainstay of treatment with PV, even if confined to the oral mucosa, is systemic corticosteroids though the oral lesions may respond partially to topical corticosteroids (creams such as clobetasol propionate and intralesional injections such as triamcinolone).[⁶,⁷] The periodontal care consists of frequent professional oral prophylaxis, 0.2% chlorhexidine mouth rinse, the powered tooth brushes for home care, and removing local irritating factors such as sharp cusps of the teeth, thus minimizing irritation.[³] The initial dose of corticosteroids (prednisolone) is typically about 0.75–1 mg/kg/day. The dose is increased by 25%–50% every 5–7 days, if the initial dose is insufficient in controlling the disease. Adjuvant immunosuppressives are added if more than 1 mg/kg/day of prednisolone is needed for their “steroid-sparing” effect and are also instituted also if there are frequent relapses of the disease when doses of prednisolone are tapered or if significant side effects are experienced with the steroids. The most commonly used immunosuppressants are azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclophosphamide.[⁷]

**Conclusion**

Being a serious disease, it becomes very important to diagnose PV at an early stage when it is limited to oral cavity and a close follow-up is essential, and referral to the specialists should be done in the event of appearance of extraoral symptoms. Careful assessment and correlation of the clinical appearance, histological features, and immunofluorescence findings should be done. As it is a life-threatening disease condition, it is important that the dentist is able to recognize oral manifestations of PV and treat or refer appropriately.[²]
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