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ABSTRACT

The foraging niches of seabirds are driven by a variety of factors, including competition for prey that promotes divergence in trophic niches. Bass Strait, south-eastern Australia, is a key region for seabirds, with little penguins *Eudyptula minor*, short-tailed shearwaters *Ardenna tenuirostris*, fairy prions *Pachyptila turtur* and common diving-petrels *Pelecanoides urinatrix* being particularly abundant in the region. The trophic niches of these species were investigated using isotopic values in whole blood and by identifying prey remains in stomach contents. The four species occupied different isotopic niches that varied among years, seasons and regions. Little penguins consumed mainly fish whereas the three procellariforms primarily consumed coastal krill *Nyctiphanes australis*. The dietary similarities between the procellariforms suggest that food resources are segregated in other ways, with interspecific differences in isotope niches possibly reflecting differential consumption of key prey, divergent foraging locations and depth, and differences in breeding phenology. Because oceanographic changes predicted to occur due to climate change may result in reduced coastal krill availability, adversely affecting these seabird predators, further information on foraging zones and feeding behaviour of small procellariform species is needed to elucidate more fully the segregation of foraging niches, the capacity of seabirds to adapt to climate change and the potential for interspecific competition in the region.
INTRODUCTION

Seabirds are major consumers of marine biomass, feeding on a variety of fish, cephalopods and crustaceans (Ridoux 1994, Brooke 2004). The foraging niche of seabirds is influenced by a range of factors, including environmental conditions (Waugh & Weimerskirch 2003, Amélineau et al. 2016, Jakubas et al. 2017), prey availability (Baird 1991, Camprasse et al. 2017), morphological characteristics and their influence on flight performance (Phillips et al. 2004, 2005, Navarro et al. 2013), and interspecific competition (González-Solís et al. 2008, Phillips et al. 2008, Kokubun et al. 2016). Competition is thought to promote foraging niche divergence since species occupying the same ecological niche cannot theoretically coexist through time (Schoener 1974). Seabirds may separate their resources on several dimensions, with studies showing divergence in foraging zone (González-Solís et al. 2008, Barger et al. 2016), diving depth (Mori & Boyd 2004), the timing of breeding (Granroth-Wilding & Phillips 2019), and seasonal patterns of activity (Phillips et al. 2008). In particular, divergence in diet has been proposed as an important mechanism in reducing niche overlap (Ridoux 1994, Surman & Wooller 2003, Pratte et al. 2017).

Bass Strait, the shallow continental shelf area between mainland Australia and Tasmania (Fig. 1), is a key region for Australian seabirds, supporting a large proportion of breeding populations of at least 11 major species (Table 1; Ross et al. 2001). This area is considered a region of low primary productivity (Gibbs et al. 1986, Gibbs et al. 1991) that occurs at the confluence of three main ocean currents. The warm, oligotrophic waters of the East Australian Current (EAC) flow southward along the eastern edge of Bass Strait (Ridgeway & Godfrey 1997, Sandery & Kämpf 2007) while the South Australian Current (SAC) advects warm water from the west onto the shelf which then flows eastward through Bass Strait (Sandery & Kämpf 2007). The latter is the
major source of Bass Strait water and is strongest in winter (Ridgeway & Condie 2004, Sandery & Kämpf 2007). In summer, a weakening or reversal of this eastward-flowing trend occurs (Gibbs et al. 1986, Sandery & Kämpf 2007). Finally, in winter, cold, nutrient-rich sub-Antarctic surface water (SASW) enters Bass Strait from the south (Gibbs 1992) where it mixes with the EAC and SAC along the sub-Tropical Convergence (STC, Prince 2001).

The relative influence of the currents and upwelling systems affecting Bass Strait varies spatially, seasonally and inter-annually (Prince 2001, Sandery & Kämpf 2005). This affects the reproductive success of seabirds in Bass Strait, presumably due to shifts in prey availability (Mickelson et al. 1992). Additionally, climate change is predicted to weaken the SAC (Feng et al. 2012) and increase the strength of the EAC, resulting in warming along the path of its strengthening (Cai et al. 2005). This is likely to have a considerable impact on the marine ecosystem of Bass Strait. Seabird assemblages in other parts of the world have shown differential responses to shifts in ocean regimes in parameters such as breeding success, population size and survivorship due, at least in part, to changes in prey availability (Croxall et al. 2002). Knowledge of the trophic relationships and diets of Bass Strait seabirds is crucial for predicting their population responses to environmental change. This information is important for the conservation of these marine predators and for the refinement of sustainable fisheries management practices. At present, the trophic structure of Bass Strait seabird community is poorly understood, with trophic niches of most pelagic species not yet described or based on a few localised studies that did not address spatial or temporal variation. Accordingly, it is not known whether, or how, they diverge in foraging niche.

The little penguin *Eudyptula minor*, short-tailed shearwater *Ardenna tenuirostris*, fairy prion *Pachyptila turtur* and common diving petrel *Pelecanoides urinatrix* are the most abundant and
ubiquitous seabirds in Bass Strait, breeding sympatrically on numerous offshore islands (Schumann et al. 2014). They are known to feed on a variety of fish, cephalopod and/or crustacean prey (Harper 1976, Montague et al. 1986, Schumann et al. 2008, Chiaradia et al. 2010). Previous foraging ecology studies indicate that the little penguin is an inshore forager relying mainly on small pelagic schooling fish (Cullen et al. 1992, Chiaradia et al. 2010), while the pelagic short-tailed shearwater, with a foraging range extending to the Antarctic waters (Woehler et al. 2006, Cleeland et al. 2014), and the smaller and more neritic fairy prion and common diving petrel, feed on a wide range of small prey, concentrating predominantly on coastal krill (Nyctiphanes australis) and myctophid fish (Harper 1976, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Schumann et al. 2008). However, in Bass Strait, information on spatial and temporal variation in the ecology of these species is limited to the at-sea foraging behaviour of little penguins and short-tailed shearwaters (e.g. Collins et al. 1999, Chiaradia et al. 2010, Berlincourt & Arnould 2015a, b) and there is almost no information on the small procellariforms (Underwood 2012).

The aims of the present study, therefore, were to: 1) determine the trophic niche of the study seabirds using both stomach contents and stable isotope analysis; 2) investigate temporal (inter-annual and seasonal) and geographic variation in their isotopic niche, and 3) assess the degree of niche segregation between these four abundant species within Bass Strait.

MATERIAL & METHODS

The study was conducted during the winters (July – August) of 2008 – 2010 and summers (January – February) of 2009 – 2011 in three regions of Bass Strait, south-eastern Australia (Fig.
In summer, the study species were sampled in mid, early and late chick-rearing, respectively for the little penguin (Reilly & Cullen 1981), the short-tailed shearwater (Vertigan 2010) and the fairy prion (Harper 1976) (Fig. 2). In winter, sampling occurred during the inter-breeding period for the little penguin (Reilly & Cullen 1981) and fairy prion (Harper 1976) and incubation for the common diving petrel (Schumann et al. 2008) (Fig. 2). The trophic niches of little penguins, short-tailed shearwaters, fairy prions and common diving petrels were determined using two complementary techniques. Trophic information was derived from stable isotope values in whole blood of each species in western, central and eastern Bass Strait, and stomach samples were collected from the seabirds in central Bass Strait to assess the relative importance of prey and inform interpretation of the stable isotope results. Procellariiform study species were banded and little penguins were micro-chipped to avoid sampling the same individual more than once. All research was conducted under permit from Deakin University (animal ethic permit: AWC A9-2008) and the Department of Sustainability and Environment (Permit No. 10004530), and access to the islands was provided by Parks Victoria.

**Dietary analysis**

Stomach contents analysis provides information on the composition and abundance of prey consumed (Duffy & Jackson 1986). Stomach samples were collected from the four seabird species on Notch (38°56’S, 146°37’E) and Kanowna (39°10’S, 148°16’E) Islands in central Bass Strait (Fig. 1). Adult little penguins were sampled in both winter and summer (n = 20 and 22, respectively), short-tailed shearwater and fairy prion diet samples were collected in summer only (n = 51 and 20, respectively) and common diving petrels were sampled in winter only (n = 6).
Due to logistical constraints and few individuals onshore in some years, it was not possible to sample all species in all years.

Adult birds were captured as they came ashore at night after foraging at sea. Diet samples were collected using the water-offloading technique. While it is possible that not all stomach contents were retrieved, stomach flushing is an effective technique for diet estimation in seabirds (Gales 1987) and, in most cases, the majority of prey remains were ejected on the second (final) flush, as evidenced by clear water being ejected. After flushing, birds were given an electrolyte solution of Vytrate or Lectade (Jurox Pty Ltd, NSW), and placed into boxes for recovery (Chiaradia et al. 2003). Little penguins and short-tailed shearwaters were also provided with a meal, delivered via a stomach tube, of homogenised pilchard (purchased snap-frozen and unsalted) immediately before release.

Diet samples were frozen (-20°C) or stored in 70% ethanol after collection. Crustacean remains were initially identified to lower taxonomic levels with reference to Ritz et al. (2003). Sagittal otoliths, scales, fish mouth parts and cephalopod beaks were identified by comparison to reference atlases (Neira et al. 1998, Lu & Ickeringill 2002, Furlani et al. 2007) and collections (held by Phillip Island Nature Parks and Deakin University).

Fresh prey items were washed with water and separated from accumulated ones. To estimate the numerical abundance of crustacean prey, the heads of amphipods and whole bodies of copepods, isopods and crab megalopa were counted directly while individual eyes of krill and stomatopods were counted and divided by two. Left and right otoliths were counted and the side comprising the greater number considered representative of the minimum number of each fish taxon per sample. Where otoliths were unidentifiable, their abundance was halved and rounded to the nearest number. Similarly, the highest number of upper or lower squid beaks in a sample was
used to estimate the abundance of cephalopods and only unbroken beaks were measured to
estimate size (Tollit et al. 1997). Hard prey remains that could not be quantified, such as fish
scales, were assigned a numerical abundance of one. The frequency of occurrence of prey
remains was calculated as the proportion of samples containing identifiable remains in which a
particular prey type occurred while the numerical abundance was expressed as the mean number
of each prey taxon encountered in samples.

Stable isotope analyses

Stable carbon ($\delta^{13}$C) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}$N) values in tissues have been used to infer the diet of a
range of marine species (Hobson & Welch 1992, Hobson 1993, Cherel et al. 2007). Stable
isotope values of $\delta^{13}$C values allow discrimination between benthic and pelagic prey (e.g., Cherel
et al. 2007) and inshore and offshore feeding (Hobson et al. 1994b), while $\delta^{15}$N in tissues show
enrichment with increasing trophic levels (Hobson et al. 1994a). Information derived from whole
blood, as used in the present study, reflects dietary integration of approximately four weeks
(Bearhop et al. 2002).

Blood samples (< 0.2 ml) were collected from seabirds resident in western (WBS - Lady Julia
Percy Island: 38°25’S, 142°00’E), central (CBS - Notch, Kanowna, Norman: 39°02’S, 146°12’E
and Anser Islands: 39°09’S, 146°18’E) and eastern Bass Strait (EBS - Gabo Island: 37°34’S,
149°55’E). A total of 278 (167 in summer, 111 in winter), 177 (summer only), 88 (66 in
summer, 22 in winter) and 38 (winter only) stable isotope profiles were obtained from little
penguins, short-tailed shearwaters, fairy prions and common diving petrels, respectively. Adult
individuals (only birds that were not sampled for diet determination) were captured as they
returned to their nesting burrows at night or taken from their burrows during the day. Little penguins and short-tailed shearwaters were captured by hand, common diving-petrels were captured in mist nets, and fairy prions were captured by hand or using hand nets as they approached their burrows. Upon capture, blood was collected into a heparinised syringe via venipuncture of the tarsal vein or an inter-digital vein in the foot.

Blood samples were stored frozen (-20ºC) and, prior to analysis, oven dried (60ºC) and homogenised using a mortar and pestle. The low lipid content of whole blood does not typically necessitate lipid extraction (Cherel et al. 2005a). Indeed, all mean values of C:N mass ratio encompassed a narrow range (3.1-3.7) indicating low lipid content and, thus, allowing accurate comparisons of δ¹³C values among groups (Bond & Jones 2009). Isotope ratios in whole blood were measured using a continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer, with analyses conducted by the Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry service in the Research School of Biology, Australian National University (Canberra, Australia). The values of stable isotope abundances were expressed in δ-notation as the deviation from standards in parts per thousand according to the equation:

\[ \delta X = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \]

where \( X \) is \(^{15}\)N or \(^{13}\)C and \( R \) represents the corresponding \(^{15}\)N/\(^{14}\)N or \(^{13}\)C/\(^{12}\)C ratios (Hobson et al. 1994a). \( R_{\text{standard}} \) values were based on Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for \(^{13}\)C, and atmospheric nitrogen (N\(^2\)) for \(^{15}\)N. Based on variation between repeats of a standard material, measurement error was estimated to be ± 0.20 and ± 0.15 ‰ for \( \delta^{15}\)N and \( \delta^{13}\)C, respectively.
Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted in the R statistical environment 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018). To investigate the effect of geographic, inter-annual and seasonal variations in stable isotope values, generalised linear models (GLM) were fitted using the lme4 package (Bates et al. 2014). Terms were added sequentially, model selection was based on Akaike’s information criterion (AIC), and the global models were checked to ensure normality and homoscedasticity of the residuals. Post-hoc tests were conducted using analyses of variance (ANOVA) and t-tests, or Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank sum tests where transformations did not improve data distributions. The stable isotope Bayesian ellipses in R (SIBER package; Jackson et al. 2011) were used to determine the isotopic niche width of each species in each region, for each year and season. The Standard Ellipse Area corrected (SEA$_C$; 40% probability of containing a subsequently sampled datum regardless of sample size) was used to quantify niche width. The Bayesian estimate of the standard ellipse and its area (SEA$_B$) were used to measure the overlap of the isotopic niches between groups (Jackson et al. 2011). The niche overlap was estimated as the isotopic area of overlap from the maximum likelihood fitted ellipses of two given groups.

RESULTS

Diet

Stomach content samples were obtained from individuals between August 2008 and January 2011 in order to inform interpretation of the stable isotope results. Samples were collected from little penguins in both winter and summer (2008-2009), from short-tailed shearwaters in summer
2009 and 2010, from fairy prions in summer 2011 and from common diving-petrels in winter 2008 and 2009. Overall, 79, 84, 95 and 40 % of little penguin, short-tailed shearwater, fairy prion and common diving-petrel samples, respectively, contained identifiable fresh prey remains.

Stomach samples of studied seabird species contained remains of fish, cephalopods and crustaceans (Table 2). Not all taxa could be identified to species level. Little penguins ingested crustaceans, comprising isopods, amphipods and/or copepods, but consumed mainly jack mackerel in winter and Australian anchovy Engraulis australis in summer, though high numbers of post-larval fish were also ingested in summer (Table S1 in the Supplement). The diets of all three procellariform species were dominated by euphausiids, particularly coastal krill (Nyctiphanes australis), representing 78 – 96 % of the mean number of prey items consumed by these species (Table S2 and Table S3 in the Supplement). Other important prey taxa included Euphausia sp. and the hyperiid amphipod Themisto australis for short-tailed shearwaters, the megalopa stage of a swimming crab species Ovalipes sp. for fairy prions and hyperiid amphipods for common diving-petrels. For short-tailed shearwaters, the abundance of crustaceans was higher in 2010 than in 2009 (Wilcoxon-test, w = 126.5, p-value < 0.01), mainly driven by the variation in number per samples of coastal krill (n = 118.9 ± 52.6 and n = 969.6 ± 194.2 in 2009 and 2010, respectively).

**Stable isotopes analysis**

Blood samples were collected from all four species in WBS and CBS, and from little penguins and short-tailed shearwaters in EBS (Table 3 and 4). Values of δ¹³C ranged between -20.6 and -18.1 ‰ for little penguins, between -23.7 and -20.4 ‰ for short-tailed shearwaters, between -
233 21.0 and -18.3 ‰ for fairy prions and between -21.4 and -19.4 ‰ for common diving petrels.
234 Whole blood $\delta^{15}$N values ranged between 10.8 and 16.0 ‰ for little penguins, between 7.8 and
235 11.4 ‰ for short-tailed shearwaters, between 8.8 and 14.8 ‰ for fairy prions and between 10.9
236 and 14.5 ‰ for common diving petrels (Fig. S1 in the Supplement).
237 For all four species, stable isotope values in whole blood showed intraspecific variation between
238 regions and years (Fig. 3 and 4). Inter-annual variations of $\delta^{13}$C values were significant in all
239 species in most regions (Paired $t$-test or Wilcoxon-test: $P < 0.01$) except for short-tailed
240 shearwater and common diving petrel in CBS ($t$-test: $P > 0.07$). While there was no pattern in
241 $\delta^{13}$C differences between regions for the short-tailed shearwater, for the three other species
242 values in CBS were generally lower than those from WBS (0.56 ‰ to 1.40 ‰ lower) (Tables 3
243 and 4). Indeed, for the little penguin, fairy prion and common diving petrel, the variable “region”
244 explained, respectively, 43, 37 and 58 ‰ of the variance for the $\delta^{13}$C model, but only 2 ‰ for the
245 short-tailed shearwater. For $\delta^{15}$N, the best models retained, with interactions, the $\delta^{13}$C, the region
246 and the year (and season for the little penguin and fairy prion) as significant variables explaining
247 60 ‰ to 93 ‰ of the deviance (Table S4 in the Supplement). While “season” explained 27.1% of
248 the model for the fairy prion (winter data available only for WBS in 2009), this variable was not
249 significant for the little penguin (accounting for only 0.2% of the variation). No inter-seasonal
250 variations of $\delta^{13}$C in blood of little penguin and fairy prion were found (except in CBS, paired $t$-
251 test or Wilcoxon-test: $P < 0.01$). Significant inter-annual differences were recorded in all regions
252 (Paired $t$-test or Wilcoxon-test: $P < 0.01$), but no clear pattern was detected in the values or the
253 isotopic niche metrics (Tables 3, 4 and Table S5 in the Supplement). Similarly, for each year, the
254 $\delta^{15}$N values varied between region for the little penguin, fairy prion and common diving petrel
255 (Paired $t$-test or Wilcoxon-test: $P < 0.01$). For the short-tailed shearwater, a spatial difference in
δ¹⁵N values was detected between WBS and EBS in 2010 (Paired t-test \( t_{37} = -2.19, P < 0.05 \)), but no other differences were found. The models for δ¹³C retained the region and year as main variables for all the study species, explaining 55% to 70% of the variance (Table S4 in the Supplement).

The four study species occupied different isotopic niches in all years and each region (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Values of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N in whole blood of short-tailed shearwaters were lower (with no isotopic niche overlap) than those of the other species in each region in all three years (paired t-test or Wilcoxon-test: \( p < 0.01 \), Table 3 and Table S5 in the Supplement). In contrast, mean δ¹⁵N values in blood of little penguins typically showed the greatest enrichment in both winter and summer, though this varied spatially and inter-annually (Table 3 and 4). Isotopic δ¹³C values of little penguins and fairy prions were relatively similar, but tended to be higher than those of common diving-petrels in winter, particularly in CBS. While the isotopic niche of the fairy prion overlapped sparsely with the common diving petrel (SEA overlap < 8.1%), and with little penguin in 2009 and 2011 (SEA overlap < 4.9%), niche overlap with the little penguin was important in 2010 (maximum SEA overlap = 21.8% in winter 2010 in WBS, Table S5 in the Supplement).

**DISCUSSION**

Foraging niches of sympatric seabird species diverge in a variety of spatial and temporal ways (Waugh & Weimerskirch 2003, González-Solís et al. 2008, Davies et al. 2009). Bass Strait is occupied by an abundant marine avifauna (Ross et al. 2001), with little previously known of the trophic niches of most species. Combining stomach contents and stable isotope analyses, the
present study has shown that the four most abundant and ubiquitous species generally occupy different trophic niches that vary among regions, years and season.

Diet

The little penguin is considered an inshore generalist forager relying mostly on small pelagic prey such as Clupeiformes (Cullen et al. 1992, Chiaradia et al. 2010, Sutton et al. 2015). In the present study, stomach contents of little penguins in summer were similar to that previously reported, with Australian anchovy and post-larval fish contributing the majority of samples. In contrast, winter stomach contents were dominated by jack mackerel, highlighting a seasonal switch in the availability of the main prey of little penguins. Such differences have also been shown in little penguins from Albatross Island in southern Bass Strait (Gales & Pemberton 1990) and Phillip Island in northern Bass Strait (Cavallo et al. 2018). While recent studies have observed that jellyfish can contribute a substantial proportion of the little penguin diet (Sutton et al. 2015, Cavallo et al. 2018), no evidence of such prey were found in the present study. This could potentially be due to rapid digestion of gelatinous prey in comparison to fish or crustaceans (Cavallo et al. 2018), emphasising the limitation of traditional stomach content analyses, or reflect inter-annual differences in available prey types.

Stomach contents of short-tailed shearwaters in the present study were similar to those of individuals from Tasmania (Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Cherel et al. 2005b). The main identified prey was the coastal krill, indicating that sampled birds were mostly returning from short foraging trips over the continental shelf (Blackburn 1980, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998). Indeed, during the breeding season, short-tailed shearwaters alternate between short (1-2 d) local trips within 35-70 km of the colony and long trips (10-20 d) to Antarctic waters (Weimerskirch
& Cherel 1998, Woehler et al. 2006, Raymond et al. 2010, Einoder et al. 2011, Berlincourt & Arnould 2015b) where they feed mainly on coastal krill, and myctophid fish and Antarctic krill, respectively (Montague 1986, Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998). In the 2010 samples, a limited number of birds (n = 4) had stomach contents dominated by stomach oil and digested Euphausia sp, suggesting they had returned from long trips to Antarctic waters (Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998).

Coastal krill occurs in neritic waters of eastern Australia, where other krill species are rare or absent (Blackburn 1980). Due to its abundance, it plays a key role in the coastal ecosystem, reflected by its dominance in the diets of various cetacean, seabirds and fish species (O’Brien 1988, Gill et al. 2011, Woehler et al. 2014). Despite limited data on the diet of fairy prions and common diving petrels in Bass Strait, their stomach contents confirmed the importance of coastal krill to these species in the Australasian region (Harper 1976, Schumann et al. 2008). These results, together with estimates of trip duration in previous studies (1-3 d trips at sea, Harper 1976, Bocher et al. 2000a, b, Navarro et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2018), suggest that both breeding fairy prions and common diving petrels most likely forage within Bass Strait or in the vicinity of the continental shelf. This analysis emphasises the value of a multi-tools approach when considering niche segregation, as here, while stomach analysis can suggest substantial dietary overlap among the procellariiforms, isotopic and tracking analysis may be able to tease the species apart into separate foraging niches.

Spatial variability in isotopic niche

Since whole blood integrates dietary information over approximately four weeks (Bearhop et al. 2002), it might be expected that isotopic values for short-tailed shearwaters would reflect a
combination of both their local and Antarctic foraging areas (Berlincourt & Arnould 2015b), thereby masking any differences in blood isotope values between foraging zones. However, Cherel et al. (2005b) showed that while most of the food consumed by short-tailed shearwaters during short local trips is allocated to their chick, adults feed for themselves when foraging farther south and, therefore, have a truly Antarctic blood isotopic signature. In the present study, values of $\delta^{13}C$ in whole blood of short-tailed shearwaters were comparable to those of other procellariforms foraging in subantarctic waters (Cherel et al. 2002a, Cherel et al. 2002b, Quillfeldt et al. 2005) but were slightly higher than those in plasma of short-tailed shearwaters from south Tasmania (Cherel et al. 2005b).

Interestingly, the $\delta^{13}C$ values of short-tailed shearwaters varied significantly between the three study regions in Bass Strait, indicating possible foraging spatial segregation within the Southern Ocean by individuals from the different regions. As $\delta^{13}C$ values are higher in subtropical than in Antarctic waters (Cherel & Hobson 2007, Cherel et al. 2007, 2010, Jaeger et al. 2010), this suggests a latitudinal segregation in the foraging areas between the three sampled populations. However, this variation was not consistent across years, with strong inter-annual variability in $\delta^{13}C$ values for birds from WBS and EBS compared to CBS. This could suggest not only colony-specific niche segregation but also density-dependent competition (Ainley et al. 2004, Wakefield et al. 2013), with the smallest colonies having a more flexible foraging area. Indeed, the CBS population is considerably bigger than the WBS and EBS populations, with 755,400, 30,000 and 6,000 breeding pairs, respectively (Bowker 1980, Pescott 1976, Fullagar & Heyligers 1996, Schumann et al. 2014). This is in accordance with previous tracking studies (Berlincourt & Arnould 2015b) that observed inter-annual longitudinal and latitudinal variation in the long trip foraging areas of short-tailed shearwater from the small populations in WBS and EBS. Despite
the geographic and temporal differences in $\delta^{13}C$ observed, there were no major differences in the $\delta^{15}N$ values between regions in the present study, highlighting the consistency of the diet of short-tailed shearwaters in the Southern Ocean.

For little penguins, fairy prions and common diving petrels, seasonal and geographic differences in isotopic signatures are likely to reflect differences in prey availability associated with the strength of the prevailing of ocean currents and upwelling systems in the different regions of Bass Strait. For example, the SAC may transport cold waters from the west into Bass Strait (Mickelson et al. 1992, Sandery & Kämpf 2007), weakening towards the east (Sandery & Kämpf 2007) where the EAC increases in prevalence, bringing warmer nutrient-poor water into north-eastern Bass Strait (Gibbs 1992). This was reflected in $\delta^{13}C$ values in the whole blood of little penguins and fairy prions, where values were systematically lower in CBS than in WBS and EBS. Similar observations have been reported for Australian fur seals where $\delta^{13}C$ values in blood plasma of individuals from CBS were consistently lower than those from EBS (Arnould et al. 2011). In winter, however, spatial differences in isotopic values declined. This may reflect the homogenization of Bass Strait waters in winter due to a greater influence of the SAC and SASW during this period (Prince 2001, Sandery & Kämpf 2007).

**Inter-annual trophic variability**

The isotope values in the whole blood of little penguins from WBS and CBS in summer are within the range previously reported from Phillip Island in CBS (Chiaradia et al. 2010, Chiaradia et al. 2012), with the exception of 2010 when $\delta^{15}N$ values were significantly lower in both regions. This could reflect fluctuations in isotopic baseline signatures due to different water masses and variable strength of the currents influencing the regions where individuals foraged.
Indeed, as reported by Polito et al. (2019), variations in oceanic factors such as chlorophyll-\(a\) concentration can substantially alter mean isotope values independently of any change in the diet of the species. However, inter-annual variation in \(\delta^{15}N\) values could also reflect a variation in main prey species consumed, with little penguins known to have important inter-annual variability in their diet (Gales & Pemberton 1990, Cullen et al. 1992, Chiaradia et al. 2010). In the present study, individuals from CBS in summer 2009 consumed predominantly Australian anchovy, a species exploiting higher trophic levels than other prey targeted by little penguins (Espinoza et al. 2009, van der Lingen et al. 2009). As previously highlighted by Chiaradia et al. (2010), \(\delta^{15}N\) values are higher during years with an important proportion of anchovy in little penguin diet. Therefore, it is likely that the low \(\delta^{15}N\) values in summer 2010 in the present study was due to a depletion of Australian anchovy in the diet, potentially due to a reduced availability in the region. This is consistent with previous reports indicating inter-annual flexibility in little penguin at-sea foraging behaviour in relation to environmental conditions that directly influence prey abundance (Berlincourt & Arnould 2015a, Camprasse et al. 2017). Indeed, in WBS and CBS, the niche space occupied by little penguins in 2010 was much larger than in 2009 and 2011, indicating a larger trophic diversity (Layman et al. 2007), possibly due to the absence of the usual main prey. Similarly, for both fairy prions and common diving petrels, \(\delta^{15}N\) values in the whole blood of both species in the region varied substantially between years, suggesting a potential variation in the importance of their main prey (coastal krill) in their respective diet. Significant inter-annual differences in the density and biomass of coastal krill in southern Bass Strait have previously been observed (Young et al. 1993).

Trophic and isotopic niche segregations
In the present study, interspecific comparisons of stomach contents and $\delta^{15}$N values revealed that little penguins typically occupied the highest trophic positions of the four seabird species while short-tailed shearwaters always occupied the lowest. Little penguin $\delta^{15}$N values were nevertheless lower than those of the top predators shy albatross and Australian fur seal (Arnould et al. 2011; Cherel et al. 2013), but were close to the values of the large Australasian gannet (Angel et al. 2016), that predominantly consumes pilchards and anchovy (Bunce 2001) (Fig. 5). In contrast, $\delta^{15}$N values of short-tailed shearwaters, fairy prions and common diving petrels were remarkably lower than those of the much smaller white-faced storm petrels (Underwood 2012) (Fig. 5), which consume a significant proportion of fish in addition to coastal krill (Underwood 2012). These results, combined with the stomach content analysis, confirm that coastal krill was a key prey taxon in all three procellariforms in central Bass Strait. During breeding, both fairy prions and common diving petrels return to the nest every night (Harper 1976, Payne & Prince 1979), suggesting that they forage mainly on the shelf near their colonies. Elsewhere, fairy prions take prey from the surface waters (Harper 1987, Prince & Morgan 1987) whereas common diving petrels exploit depths averaging 2-4m (Navarro et al. 2013, Navarro et al. 2014, Dunphy et al. 2015). Likewise, despite isotopic signatures showing self-maintenance feeding in the Southern Ocean, short-tailed shearwaters forage on coastal krill over the shelf near colonies during short trips (Einoder et al. 2011, Berlincourt & Arnould 2015b), resulting in the potential for interspecific overlap in the foraging zones of the three procellariforms. However, short-tailed shearwaters forage at deeper depths (average 13 m) during local trips (Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998). While the foraging zones and dive depths of little penguins may overlap with those of short-tailed shearwaters (Berlincourt & Arnould 2015a, 2015b), the limited distance travelled per trip and fish-based diet of little penguins would reduce competition with procellariforms.
In addition to segregation of diet and foraging behaviour, the four species differ in their breeding phenologies. Common diving petrels, fairy prions and short-tailed shearwaters lay their eggs in late July, late October and late November, respectively (Harris 1979, Marchant & Higgins 1990). Thus, there is limited overlap in the breeding periods of the three procellariiforms. However, the protracted and variable breeding season of little penguins (Reilly & Cullen 1981, Cullen et al. 1992) may overlap with the other species. Interspecific competition may, therefore, intensify in years of low fish prey availability. Indeed, previous studies have documented the presence of coastal krill in the diet of little penguin during years of low prey availability (Cullen et al. 1992).

The dependence of these seabird species on relatively few prey types (such as coastal krill, pilchard or anchovy) may increase the impacts of reductions in prey abundance. Climate models have described an intensification of the EAC due to large-scale changes in ocean circulation in the Southern Hemisphere (Cai 2006), produced in association with an increasing trend in the Southern Annular Mode (Cai et al. 2005). During years of intensified EAC, Young et al. (1993) reported a dramatic drop in coastal krill biomass. This is likely to adversely affect seabirds in the region (Mills et al. 2008, Chambers et al. 2011). Similarly, significant mortality events, poor chick growth and population declines in short-tailed shearwaters in Tasmania have been previously attributed to local prey shortages (Vertigan 2010). Declines in coastal krill availability may also indirectly impact little penguins since this species is an important dietary component of several of their prey taxa (Harris et al. 1979, O’Brien 1988). The predicted increase in the strength of the EAC with climate change (Cai et al. 2005) could, therefore, have severe negative consequences for the Bass Strait seabird community (Chambers et al. 2011).

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, the present study has shown that the isotopic niches of seabirds in Bass Strait vary significantly between regions, years and seasons. These differences are likely to result from changes in prey availability driven by variations in ocean currents and local productivity. Despite interspecific similarities in diet, divergence in the relative foraging niche is likely to reduce interspecific competition for prey, though this may become more important in years of low prey availability. The low diversity of prey taxa ingested by these seabirds suggests that they are vulnerable to changes in the availability of key prey. In order to better understand the foraging niches of the Bass Strait community of seabirds, as well as their capacity to adapt to changing environmental conditions, more detailed information on their foraging zones and feeding behaviour is required. This is particularly important for the small procellariforms in light of the paucity of information in south-eastern Australia, in contrast to the numerous studies that have been conducted on little penguins and short-tailed shearwaters in the region (e.g. Ropert-Coudert et al. 2004, 2009, Cleeland et al. 2014, Berlincourt & Arnould 2015a, b). Such information may help elucidate the likelihood of interspecific competition in this assemblage of seabirds.
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Table 1 (on next page)

Main species of seabirds breeding in Bass Strait, indicating the estimated number of breeding pairs, their proportion of the total Australian populations (based on Ross et al. 2001), and the major groups of prey consumed.

Some of the population estimates were not updated for at least three decades (e.g. Brothers et al. 2001) and may represent a source of error.
| Species                  | Abundance (number of breeding pairs) | % of the Australian population | Groups of main prey            | Reference                                                                 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shy albatross            | 5 200                                | 35 %                           | Fish/cephalopods               | Alderman et al. 2011, Hedd & Gales 2001                                  |
| Short-tailed shearwater* | 14 600 000                           | 75 %                           | Crustaceans/Fish               | Weimerskirch & Cherel 1998, Brothers et al. 2001, Schumann et al. 2014 |
| Common diving petrel*    | 98 500                               | 63 %                           | Crustaceans                    | Brothers et al. 2001, Schumann et al. 2008, Schumann et al. 2014        |
| Fairy prion*             | 97 000                               | 7 %                            | Crustaceans                    | Brothers et al. 2001, Schumann et al. 2014                               |
| White-faced storm petrel | 94 500                               | 25 %                           | Crustaceans                    | Brothers et al. 2001, Underwood 2012                                    |
| Little penguin*          | 353 000                              | 82 %                           | Fish                           | Cullen et al. 1992, Brothers et al. 2001, Dann & Norman 2006, Schumman et al. 2014 |
| Australasian gannet      | 16 800                               | 85 %                           | Fish                           | Bunce et al. 2002, Bunce 2001                                            |
| Black faced cormorant    | 4 400                                | 55 %                           | Fish                           | Brothers et al. 2001, Taylor et al. 2013                                |
| Pacific gull             | 1 500                                | 82 %                           | Scavenge - polyvorous          | Brothers et al. 2001, Leitch et al. 2014                                |
| Silver gull              | 50 000                               | 35 %                           | Scavenge - polyvorous          | Brothers et al. 2001, Leitch et al. 2014                                |
| Crested tern             | 10 400                               | 13 %                           | Fish                           | Brothers et al. 2001, Chiaradia et al. 2012                             |

*study species
Table 2 (on next page)

Percentage of numerical abundance of the main groups of prey recovered from stomach contents of little penguins, short-tailed shearwaters, fairy prions and common diving petrels in Central Bass Strait.
|                  | Little penguin (n = 42) | Short-tailed shearwater (n = 51) | Fairy prion (n = 20) | Common diving petrel (n = 6) |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fish (%)         | 74.1                    | 1.8                              | >0.1                 | -                           |
| Cephalopods (%)  | 0.2                     | 4.8                              | -                    | -                           |
| Crustaceans (%)  | 21.1                    | 98.0                             | 99.9                 | 100                         |
Table 3 (on next page)

Summer mean (± SD) δ\(^{13}\)C and δ\(^{15}\)N values (‰) in whole blood and isotopic niche metrics of little penguins, short-tailed shearwaters and fairy prions from western, central and eastern Bass Strait.

The samples were collected in summer (January-February) 2009, 2010 and 2011.
| Year | Western Bass Strait | Central Bass Strait | Eastern Bass Strait |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|      | Little penguin     | Short-tailed shearwater | Fairy prion | Little penguin     | Short-tailed shearwater | Fairy prion | Little penguin     | Short-tailed shearwater | Fairy prion |
| 2009 | -19.1 ± 0.4 (n = 10) | -21.9 ± 0.4 (n = 16) | -19.2 ± 0.2 (n = 8) | -19.8 ± 0.1 (n = 18) | -22.2 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | - | -19.0 ± 0.3 (n = 18) | -22.9 ± 0.5 (n = 20) | - |
| 2010 | -19.5 ± 0.2 (n = 20) | -23.1 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | -19.5 ± 0.6 (n = 10) | -20.1 ± 0.4 (n = 19) | -22.2 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | -20.5 ± 0.7 (n = 6) | -19.0 ± 0.2 (n = 20) | -22.1 ± 0.4 (n = 20) | - |
| 2011 | -18.9 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | -21.9 ± 0.4 (n = 20) | -18.8 ± 0.4 (n = 18) | -19.9 ± 0.2 (n = 10) | -22.0 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | -19.8 ± 0.3 (n = 17) | -18.5 ± 0.2 (n = 10) | -21.1 ± 0.5 (n = 13) | - |

| Year | δ\textsuperscript{13}C (‰) | | | δ\textsuperscript{15}N (‰) | | | |
|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2009 | 15.5 ± 0.3 (n = 10) | 9.5 ± 0.6 (n = 16) | 13.7 ± 0.6 (n = 8) | 14.4 ± 0.2 (n = 18) | 9.3 ± 0.7 (n = 20) | -12.9 ± 0.9 (n = 18) | 9.4 ± 0.6 (n = 20) | - |
| 2010 | 13.2 ± 0.9 (n = 20) | 8.5 ± 0.4 (n = 20) | 13.6 ± 0.9 (n = 10) | 13.4 ± 0.5 (n = 19) | 8.6 ± 0.5 (n = 20) | 11.7 ± 0.8 (n = 6) | 13.6 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | 8.8 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | - |
| 2011 | 15.0 ± 0.4 (n = 20) | 9.7 ± 0.6 (n = 20) | 12.5 ± 0.9 (n = 18) | 14.9 ± 0.3 (n = 10) | 9.9 ± 0.6 (n = 20) | 13.2 ± 0.5 (n = 17) | 13.6 ± 0.2 (n = 10) | 9.9 ± 0.7 (n = 13) | - |
Table 4 (on next page)

Winter mean (± SD) δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N values (‰) in whole blood and isotopic niche metrics of little penguins, fairy prions and common diving petrels from western, central and eastern Bass Strait.

The samples were collected in winter (July-August) 2008, 2009 and 2010.
| Year | Western Bass Strait | Central Bass Strait | Eastern Bass Strait |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|      | Little penguin | Fairy prion | Common diving petrel | Little penguin | Fairy prion | Common diving petrel | Little penguin | Fairy prion | Common diving petrel |
| 2008 | -19.2 ± 0.4 (n = 3) | - | - | -19.0 ± 0.3 (n = 7) | - | -20.8 ± 0.8 (n = 10) | - | - | - |
| 2009 | -20.0 ± 0.4 (n = 2) | - | - | -19.7 ± 0.1 (n = 20) | - | -20.8 ± 0.2 (n = 4) | -19.3 ± 0.5 (n = 20) | - | - |
| 2010 | -19.3 ± 0.6 (n = 16) | -19.2 ± 0.4 (n = 18) | -19.6 ± 0.1 (n = 8) | -19.8 ± 0.1 (n = 20) | -19.5 ± 0.3 (n = 3) | -20.9 ± 0.3 (n = 15) | -19.2 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | - | - |
| 2008 | 13.0 ± 0.1 (n = 3) | - | - | 14.4 ± 0.4 (n = 7) | - | 12.0 ± 1.1 (n = 10) | - | - | - |
| 2009 | 13.3 ± 0.4 (n = 2) | - | - | 15.0 ± 0.4 (n = 20) | - | 14.3 ± 0.2 (n = 4) | 13.1 ± 1.2 (n = 20) | - | - |
| 2010 | 13.2 ± 0.6 (n = 16) | 11.4 ± 1.4 (n = 18) | 11.6 ± 0.3 (n = 8) | 14.2 ± 0.3 (n = 20) | 12.2 ± 0.3 (n = 3) | 12.2 ± 0.2 (n = 15) | 13.3 ± 0.6 (n = 20) | - | - |
Figure 1

Simplified representation of the three study areas and the major water masses influencing the region.

Western Bass Strait (WBS); Central Bass Strait (CBS); Eastern Bass Strait (EBS); Lady Julia Percy Island (LJPI); Norman Island (NorI); Kanowna Island (KI); Answer Island (AI); Notch Island (NotI); Gabo Island (GI); South Australian Current (SAC); Sub-Antarctic Surface Water (SASW); East Australian Current (EAC) from Sandery & Kämpf 2005. The solid line indicates the location of the 300 m isobath.
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Figure 2

Phenology and sampling period of little penguin (LP), short-tailed shearwater (STSW), fairy prion (FP) and common diving petrel (CDP) in Bass Strait.

Blocks with vertical and horizontal lines correspond to incubation and chick-rearing period, respectively. Grey shaded blocks correspond to the winter and summer sampling periods.
Figure 3

Summer inter-annual variation of δ\textsuperscript{13}C and δ\textsuperscript{15}N values (‰) in whole blood of little penguins (A,B,C), short-tailed shearwaters (D,E,F) and fairy prions (G,H): western Bass Strait (WBS), central Bass Strait (CBS) and eastern Bass Strait

Solid lines represent the standard ellipses corrected for sample size (SEAc) based on δ\textsuperscript{13}C and δ\textsuperscript{15}N values in summer 2009, 2010 and 2011. Note that the ranges for x and y axes are different for each species.
Figure 4

Winter inter-annual variation of δ\textsuperscript{13}C and δ\textsuperscript{15}N values (‰) in whole blood of little penguins (A,B,C) and common diving petrels (D,E): western Bass Strait (WBS), central Bass Strait (CBS) and eastern Bass Strait (EBS).

Solid lines represent the standard ellipses corrected for sample size (SEAc) based on δ\textsuperscript{13}C and δ\textsuperscript{15}N values in winter 2008, 2009 and 2010. Note that the range for x and y axes are different for each species.
Figure 5

Summary of $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values (‰) in whole blood of the main marine predators in Bass Strait region.

Little penguin (LP, $n = 278$; present study; summer and winter combined), short-tailed shearwater (STSW, $n = 177$; present study; summer and winter combined), fairy prion (FP, $n = 88$; present study; summer and winter combined), common diving petrel (CDP, $n = 38$; present study; summer and winter combined), Australasian gannet (GA, $n = 27$; Angel et al. 2016; summer), white-faced storm petrel (WFST, $n = 17$; Underwood 2012; summer), shy albatross (SA, $n = 8$; Cherel et al. 2013; summer) and Australian fur seal (AFS, $n = 242$; Arnould et al. 2011; winter). The isotopic values of WFST and SA were calculated from data on chick feathers (Underwood 2012) and adult feathers (Cherel et al. 2013), respectively, and corrected using mean correction factors in Cherel et al. 2014.
