Effects of Moisture and Salinity on Methane Adsorption in Kerogen: A Molecular Simulation Study
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ABSTRACT: The adsorption characteristics of methane in shales play a critical role in the assessment of shale gas resources. The microscopic adsorption mechanism of methane considering the effect of moisture and especially salinity remains to be explored. In this work, combined molecular dynamics and grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations are conducted to investigate the adsorption behaviors of methane in the realistic kerogen matrixes containing different moisture contents (0–6 wt %) and various salinities (0–6 mol/L NaCl). Adsorption processes are simulated under realistic reservoir conditions at four temperatures in the range from 298.15 to 358.15 K and pressures up to 40 MPa. Effects of the moisture content on methane adsorption capacities are analyzed in detail. Simulation results show that the methane adsorption capacity declines as the moisture content increases. In comparison to the dry kerogen matrix, the reduction in the maximum CH\(_4\) adsorption capacity is as high as 42.5% in moist kerogen, with a moisture content of 6.0 wt % at 338.15 K. The overlap observed in the density isotherms are discussed. The salinity is found to have a negative influence on the methane adsorption capacity. The maximum CH\(_4\) adsorption capacity reduces around 6.0% under the salinity of 6 mol/L at 338.15 K. Adsorption of methane in kerogens of constant salinity but different moisture contents are further discussed. Results from the present study show that the moisture content has a greater impact on the adsorption of methane compared to that of salinity. The findings of this study have important implications for more accurate estimation of shale gas in place.

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural gas, particularly shale gas, is identified as a relatively clean energy source that helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Shale gas has recently attracted considerable attention because many countries have proven to have large shale gas deposits, which may offer the opportunity to reduce their reliance on energy imports.\(^1\) In 2017, the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) reported that the dry natural gas production in the United States was slightly greater than natural gas consumption, in which shale gas wells served as the largest source of total natural gas production, providing 57% of total natural gas production.\(^3\) Thus, plenty of efforts are being devoted to the storage evaluation\(^1\) and exploitation of the shale gas resources.\(^2\)

Shale gas is predominantly methane and mainly reserved in the shale formation. Shale is dense, with pores in the range of nanometers and permeability in the range of nanodarcies. Shale formations consist of two parts: inorganic and organic ones. The inorganic minerals are mostly made of clay, silica, calcites, etc. Kerogen makes up the predominant component of the organic matter in most shales\(^6\) and is considered as a most favorable place for the occurrence of shale gas.\(^6\) Generally, there are three modes of shale gas occurrence in reservoirs, including adsorbed gas, free gas, and dissolved gas, among which adsorbed gas (up to 85%) and free gas are the main shale gas modes of occurrence.\(^7\) Understanding the methane storage, especially the adsorption mechanism in kerogen, is important for the accurate assessment of the storage potential and the effective design for exploitation of shale gas.

The organic matter in shales is generally considered to be hydrocarbon-wetting in shales.\(^8\) However, the existence of water in kerogen materials is proven in several recent studies.\(^9\) Kerogen from the Lower and Middle Cambrian deposits of the Kuonamka Formation were reported to have moisture contents in the range of 0.6–5%.\(^9\) Kerogen exhibits a mixed-wet characteristic because the carbon skeleton is hydrophobic, whereas the oxygen functional groups are hydrophilic. The higher proportion of hydrophilic to hydrophobic sorption sites increases the moisture content and, consequently, decreases the adsorption capacity of methane.\(^10\) Several experimental studies have investigated the relationship between the water content and CH\(_4\) adsorption capacity in shales. It is found that the presence of moisture can lead to a sharp decline in the methane adsorption capacity based on the hypothesis that the occupation of moisture in surface sites or pore throats would reduce the effective pore volume and block the access of methane to adsorption sites.\(^1,12\) Most of the experimental research focuses on adsorption in shale samples, but the existence of clay minerals in the shale samples would influence the methane adsorption properties because the...
presence of water has more significant effects on clay minerals than organic matter as a result of their difference in wettability. It is not easy to isolate kerogen from shale samples and keep the morphology of kerogen intact in experiments. Moreover, there exists a large percentage of nanosized pores in organic kerogen material, while experimental studies on exploring pore volumes at the nanoscale and microscopic properties of fluids remain challenging.

Molecular simulations provide insights into the adsorption phenomena and properties at the atomic scale and allow for the control of the microstructure. Besides, simulations are access to high-pressure and high-temperature conditions that are difficult to achieve in the experiment. Molecular simulations have been applied widely to the prediction of thermodynamic properties of adsorbed fluids in microporous media over the past few decades. In these research, the planar graphene slit pore model and graphite model modified with functional groups are adopted to simplify the nanoporous organic materials in shales. With the modification of the oxygenated group, the carbonyl (C=O) group used here, onto the graphene surfaces, Hu et al. revealed that, for shales of higher O/C ratios, kerogen may be mixed-wet or even hydrophilic. Liu and Wilcox analyzed adsorption of CH4, CO2, and N2 gas mixtures in functionalized graphitic slit pores and reported that the surface chemistry influences the adsorption selectivity of gas mixtures in carbon-based sorbents. Results of these studies imply that the heterogeneity of kerogen is critical and should be taken into account and the smooth graphene slit-pore model may not have the ability to describe the realistic kerogen structures with complex pore surface roughness and surface-functionalized chemistry. Therefore, more realistic kerogen models have recently been used to capture the adsorption properties in shales, which are highly heterogeneous.

Zhao et al. examined the influence of the moisture content on methane adsorption on a type II kerogen model under pressures up to 20 MPa using grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations and found that the amount of methane adsorption sharply in the presence of moisture. They also pointed out that the moisture content has a greater effect on methane adsorption in mature kerogen than in immature kerogen. More recently, Huang et al. also performed GCMC simulations to study the methane adsorption in kerogens with the existence of water and reported that the increasing moisture content results in a decrease of the effective pore volumes and, thus, leads to the reduction in adsorption capacities. Despite the important findings gained in these studies, the microscopic mechanism of the water effect on methane adsorption in kerogen was not thoroughly understood.

Moreover, previous studies were only restricted to the effects of water contents on CH4 adsorption, and pure water was employed as a substitute for underground water in kerogens. However, produced water generated during shale oil and gas production is highly saline and possesses a much higher salinity than injection water. The salinity of subsurface water commonly increases with depth below the surface. Among the chemical compositions in the saline water, the dominant anion is generally Cl− and Na+ is the dominant cation in chloride waters. However, very little is known about the effects of salinity on methane adsorption properties in shales. In this study, realistic kerogen matrixes are constructed using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, with the representative functional groups incorporated to represent the shale organic pore structure. On the basis of the kerogen matrix, GCMC simulations are carried out to investigate the methane adsorption properties in kerogens of different moisture contents and salinities at four different temperatures under pressures up to 40 MPa. To figure out the effects of the water content on the methane adsorption, the distributions of water and methane molecules in the kerogen matrix are quantified and visualized. In terms of salinity effect on methane adsorption, sodium chloride aqueous solution is chosen to represent the saline water in kerogen for its ubiquity in shales. The purpose of this work is to gain an understanding of the effects of moisture and salinity on methane adsorption in kerogen and further offer some important insights into the accurate prediction of shale gas storage as well as effective shale gas recovery.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Kerogen Model. Among the three types of kerogen, type II kerogen is commonly deposited in marine environments and has relatively high H/C and low O/C ratios. Our investigation focuses on the methane adsorption in type II kerogen, which is particularly frequent in many petroleum source rocks and oil shales. The kerogen molecular unit (type II-C) used in this study was proposed by Ungerer et al. on the basis of experimental data published by Kelemen et al. The chemical formula of the type II-C kerogen macromolecule is C248H343O134N5S2, and its molecular weight is 3469.9 g/mol. The structure of the model unit is illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Molecular model of type II kerogen. The chemical formula is C248H343O134N5S2. Atom representation: gray for carbon atoms, white for hydrogen atoms, red for oxygen atoms, blue for nitrogen atoms, and yellow for sulfur atoms.

2.2. Molecular Models. Details of the molecular models adopted in the current study are depicted in this section. Discussions on the molecular structures, the intra- and intermolecular interactions of the type II kerogen matrix, methane, water, helium, and sodium chloride are included.

2.2.1. Kerogen Matrix Generation. A series of MD simulations were performed in the canonical ensemble (NVT) and isobaric isothermal ensemble (NPT) to generate the condensed kerogen matrix. For the kerogen model, the Dreiding force field, which is
The kerogen matrix was held rigid but the water molecules and Na+ and Cl− ions were allowed to move. Before the construction of the condensed kerogen matrix, the initial structure of the kerogen molecular unit was relaxed individually. Then, eight relaxed kerogen units were randomly placed in a cubic simulation box with dimensions of $10 \times 10 \times 10$ nm$^3$, in which the initial density of kerogen is approximately 0.05 g/cm$^3$. According to the relaxation procedures applied in the previous studies,25,35,39 the initial configuration should be relaxed in successive MD simulations from high temperatures to typical reservoir conditions. Therefore, MD simulations were conducted in the NVT ensemble at 1000 K, and after that, the system was relaxed step by step in the NPT ensemble at 200 atm through a stepwise decreasing temperature (900, 700, 500, and 300 K). Each run duration was set as 200 ps to make sure that the kerogen density converged to the equilibrium value. At last, a MD simulation in the NPT ensemble at 338 K and 200 atm was performed for 1 ns to obtain a stable kerogen structure. The final configuration of the kerogen matrix is presented in Figure 2. The microstructure of this kerogen matrix is highly heterogeneous and sufficient to represent complex realistic kerogen. The kerogen matrix under a wide range of moisture contents from 0.5 to 6.0 wt % as well as the kerogen matrix filled with saline water were also created to study the effects of the moisture content and salinity on the methane adsorption. A higher NaCl salinity (2.5 mol/L) than typical subsurface water was contained in our systems to reduce statistical bias and obtain reliable simulation results. During the simulations of methane adsorption, the kerogen matrix was held rigid but the water molecules and Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions were allowed to move.

2.2.2. Other Molecules. The methane molecule was modeled using transferable potentials for phase equilibria (TraPPE) force field parameters40 with the united-atom representation with the eﬀective potential of 12 Å, and analytical tail corrections were applied.51 A particle and particle−mesh (PPP) solver with a precision of 1 × 10$^{-4}$ was adopted for the calculation of long-range electrostatic interactions.

For the GCMC simulations, the position of the kerogen matrix was fixed, while methane molecules were translated and inserted/deleted with a probability of 0.5, 0.25, and 0.25, respectively. In each GCMC cycle, 1000 GCMC exchanges (insertions and deletions were attempted with equal probability) and 1000 GCMC moves (only translations were attempted as a result of the united atom model for methane) were achieved.

An estimate of the effective pore volume of the kerogen matrix was given using helium adsorption.52 Helium is assumed to be a non-adsorbing gas because the adsorption of helium in microporous media could be negligible at temperatures close to room temperature.53 The pore volume was determined on the basis of the number of helium molecules filled in the kerogen pores

$$V_p = \frac{\langle N_{He}\rangle}{\rho_{He,bulk}}$$

where $\langle N_{He}\rangle$ is the ensemble-averaged number of helium molecules filled in kerogen nanopores and $\rho_{He,bulk}$ is the number density of bulk helium at given temperatures and pressures. In this work, tests to calculate the pore volume were performed up to a pressure of 30 MPa.
to check its constancy with pressure. The effective pore volume of the kerogen matrix is $8.18 \pm 0.02$ nm$^3$ based on the average over several pressures. Additional details of the adsorption of helium and the calculation of pore volume were given in Figures S2 and S3 of the Supporting Information.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In section 3.1, physical properties of the kerogen structure constructed by MD simulations are validated and presented. Methane adsorption isotherms in dry kerogens under a large range of pressures and four temperatures are analyzed in section 3.2. The methane adsorption properties and microscopic distribution of water molecules in moisture-equilibrated kerogens are discussed in section 3.3. Finally, section 3.4 focuses on the effects of salinity on the methane adsorption capacity.

3.1. Kerogen Model Validation. To evaluate the reasonability of our kerogen model, further comparisons are made from physical density to methane adsorption isotherms between simulated results of our kerogen model and experimental data. The density of the kerogen matrix is 1.22 g/cm$^3$, which is within the range of the experimental value of mature shales (1.18–1.35 g/cm$^3$). Besides, methane adsorption isotherms are calculated and compared to published experimental data. In experiments, the adsorption properties obtained by the conventional sorption measurement are evaluated by excess adsorption capacities.

To make comparisons to experimental results, we convert the measurement are evaluated by excess adsorption capacities. To check its constancy with pressure. The effective pore volume of the kerogen matrix is $8.18 \pm 0.02$ nm$^3$ based on the average over several pressures. Additional details of the adsorption of helium and the calculation of pore volume were given in Figures S2 and S3 of the Supporting Information.

3.2. Methane Adsorption on Dry Kerogen. 3.2.1. Total Adsorption. Figure 5 displays the total adsorption isotherms of methane in the dry kerogen matrix at different temperatures ranging from 298.15 to 358.15 K under pressures up to 40 MPa. The total adsorption capacity refers to the total amount of gas confined in the pore structure, including both adsorbed and bulk gas phases, per gram of adsorbent. As shown in Figure 5, the total adsorption isotherms of methane are observed to fit the shape of the Langmuir isotherm. Therefore, we parameterize the total adsorption data of methane using the Langmuir model, which is commonly used and widely accepted in the petroleum industry.

$$n(T) = \frac{n_l}{p + p_l(T)}$$

where $n(T)$ denotes the adsorption capacity at temperature $T$ and pressure $p$, $p_l(T)$ is the Langmuir pressure, corresponding to the pressure at which half of the sorption sites are occupied, and $n_l$ denotes the maximum Langmuir capacity. The adsorption quantity is normalized by the mass of the adsorbent. Table 1 summarizes the results of the Langmuir equation fitting using the least squares method. The Langmuir adsorption model is observed to have good performance in predicting methane adsorption isotherms. The total adsorption capacity increases as the pressure increases and tends to reach equilibrium under a relatively high pressure. The total adsorption capacity decreases with the increase of the experimental results, in which the excess adsorption capacity rises at the beginning and reaches a peak at about 5 MPa. Afterward, it drops with increasing pressure. In the experimental data of Posidonia and Woodford kerogens, the pressure range is not large enough for the isotherms to reach the excess maximum; therefore, the decrease in excess adsorption isotherms cannot be observed. The difference in adsorption values may be attributed to the diversity in thermal maturity, porosity, and shale composition because the presence of inorganic materials in shale samples, such as clays, silica, and carbonates, could decrease the adsorption amount of methane in experiments. Above all, the kerogen model is proven to have the ability to represent realistic kerogen and can be used to investigate methane adsorption behaviors.
temperature as a result of the exothermic nature of the adsorption process. At higher temperatures, the methane molecules also have higher energy to escape from the adsorption layer.

3.2.2. Excess Adsorption. Figure 6 presents the CH₄ excess adsorption capacities calculated using eq 2. From the excess adsorption isotherms, the excess adsorption isotherms at different temperatures follow the same trend as the excess adsorption amount increases with the rising pressure and there appears a maximum in the excess adsorption isotherm at around 5 MPa, and after that, the excess adsorption amount decreases because the bulk density rises faster than the adsorbed density. Besides, an increase in the temperature results in the change in the shape of adsorption isotherms. The maximum in excess adsorption is higher at low temperatures compared to that at high temperatures and shifts to a higher pressure with the increasing temperature. This is in accordance with recent studies on kerogen in both experiments¹² and simulations.²⁵ In this work, we extend the pressure range to 40 MPa, and it is found that, as the pressure increases further, the excess adsorption capacity becomes larger at the higher temperature and the lowest value is observed at the lowest temperature, which is 298.15 K in the current study. This is because the methane bulk density at 298.15 K rises relatively faster with increasing pressure.

3.2.3. Thermodynamic Properties. The isosteric heat of adsorption q and the standard entropy of adsorption ΔS⁰ are two critical thermodynamic parameters for characterization and optimization of an adsorption process. The two thermodynamic parameters can be derived from eq 4 using the adsorption isotherms at different temperatures.

\[
\frac{1}{P_L} = \exp\left(\frac{q}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^0}{R}\right)
\]

where q denotes the isosteric heat of adsorption, ΔS⁰ denotes the standard entropy of adsorption, p_L denotes the Langmuir pressure, and R is the gas constant. On the basis of the isotherms, a linear correlation can be clearly seen in Figure 7 between the natural logarithm of the Langmuir pressure p_L and the reciprocal of the temperature (1/T), and the corresponding correlation coefficient is R² = 0.998. Then, the isosteric heat of adsorption and standard entropy are determined by the slope and intercept, respectively. The isosteric heat of adsorption and the standard entropy of adsorption are calculated to be 13.7 kJ mol⁻¹ and -48.7 kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, respectively. The value of isosteric heat of adsorption is within the range of the previously reported experimental quantities for kerogen (10.3−21.9 kJ mol⁻¹).¹²,⁵⁶ Besides, the simulated standard entropy of adsorption also shows good consistency with the values published by Zou et al.⁶² from -42 to 74.7 kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

3.3. Effects of Moisture on Methane Adsorption. Adsorption of methane in kerogens of different moisture contents in the range of 0−6 wt % at 338.15 K and up to 40 MPa is studied by GCMC simulations, and the isotherms of total adsorption are presented in Figure 8. It is obvious that the higher moisture content corresponds to the decreased adsorption capacity of methane, which is consistent with the results reported in previous studies.¹³,²⁵,²⁸ The Langmuir adsorption model (eq 3) provides a very good representation of the total adsorption isotherm. The fitting Langmuir parameters are listed in Table 2. The maximum Langmuir capacity ranges from 1.76 to 3.07 mmol/g, and a 42.5% reduction is found in the maximum adsorption capacity of moisture content.

**Table 1. Langmuir Fitting Results of Methane Adsorption in Dry Kerogens**

| T (K) | 298.15 | 323.15 | 338.15 | 358.15 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| p_L (MPa) | 1.40 | 2.21 | 2.72 | 3.53 |
| n_L (mmol/g) | 3.24 | 3.13 | 3.07 | 2.98 |
| R² | 0.987 | 0.988 | 0.991 | 0.992 |

Figure 6. CH₄ adsorption isotherms in dry kerogen at four temperatures.

Figure 7. Plot of ln p_L against 1/T for methane adsorption in dry kerogen.

Figure 8. CH₄ adsorption isotherms under different moisture contents at 338.15 K. Points are results of simulation, and lines are results of Langmuir fitting.
methane after moisture equilibration of 6.0 wt %. The excess adsorption isotherms of different moisture contents are plotted in Figure S4 of the Supporting Information. Like in the dry conditions, the excess adsorption capacities pass through a maximum and then decrease as the pressure further increases. The methane excess adsorption decreases with increasing moisture contents. When the moisture content is over 3 wt %, the excess adsorption isotherms become negative under high pressures. This is because the adsorbed density of methane in moist kerogen of high moisture content is less than the methane bulk density. To further quantify the effects of moisture contents on methane adsorption, the maximum Langmuir capacity of different moisture contents and reduction of the adsorption capacity compared to dry kerogen in the function of moisture contents are depicted in Figure 9.

The methane maximum Langmuir capacity decreases linearly with increasing moisture contents, with a correlation coefficient of $R^2 > 0.996$. The reduction in adsorption capacity for moisture-equilibrated kerogens also increases as the moisture content increases, which results from the adsorption of water in the kerogen nanopores. To verify this argument, density distribution of water molecules in moisture-equilibrated kerogen at 3 wt % moisture content and the decrease in methane adsorption density distribution compared to dry kerogen are illustrated in Figure 10, where distances are measured along the $X$ direction and the position $X = 0$ corresponds to the center of the kerogen matrix. The temperature and pressure are 338.15 K and 40 MPa, respectively. A significant overlap can be observed between the distribution of water molecules and distribution of the decreased density of methane adsorbed in the kerogen matrix, which demonstrates that the water molecules occupy the adsorption sites in the kerogen matrix and block the access of methane to the nanopores, which results in the decrease in the methane adsorption capacity in kerogens. In some regions, a very small increase in the methane adsorption density can be observed in the moisture-equilibrated conditions, but the corresponding water density is close to zero. The difference in methane number density can be attributed to the fluctuation of methane molecules from 0 to 1 during simulations.

### 3.4. Effects of Salinity on Methane Adsorption

As mentioned in the Introduction, previous studies suggest that the composition of underground water contains not only water but also a certain amount of salts. In this section, we quantitatively analyze the effects of salinity on methane adsorption in kerogens, in which the NaCl aqueous solution is chosen for its abundance in underground water. Total adsorption isotherms of methane in moisture-equilibrated kerogens of several salinities up to 6 mol/L are plotted in Figure 11, where the moisture content in kerogen is 3 wt %.

The adsorption isotherms are fitted using the least squares method based on the Langmuir equation. As shown in Figure 11, in comparison to the total adsorption capacity in moist kerogen filled with pure water, namely, 0 mol/L, the CH$_4$ adsorption capacity is further reduced with the presence of salinity. The relationship between the maximum CH$_4$ Langmuir adsorption capacity and salinity is presented in Figure 12. With increasing salinity, the maximum CH$_4$ adsorption decreases linearly with increasing salinity, with a correlation coefficient of $R^2 > 0.996$.

### Table 2. Langmuir Constants of Methane Adsorption on Moisture-Equilibrated Kerogens at 338.15 K

| moisture content (wt %) | $n_L$ (mmol/g) | $P_L$ (MPa) | $R^2$ |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|------|
| 0                      | 3.07           | 2.72        | 0.991|
| 0.5                    | 2.99           | 2.79        | 0.992|
| 1                      | 2.83           | 3.03        | 0.992|
| 1.5                    | 2.76           | 2.83        | 0.991|
| 2                      | 2.57           | 2.94        | 0.991|
| 2.5                    | 2.54           | 3.00        | 0.990|
| 3                      | 2.43           | 3.07        | 0.991|
| 3.5                    | 2.31           | 2.92        | 0.990|
| 4                      | 2.18           | 3.05        | 0.990|
| 5                      | 1.97           | 3.20        | 0.981|
| 6                      | 1.76           | 2.85        | 0.984|
Langmuir adsorption capacity declines slowly at first and then the decrease rate increases rapidly and finally comes to a relatively stable stage. The corresponding adsorption capacity reduction curve is also shown in Figure 12. The adsorption capacities reduce about 1.6, 3.4, 5.7, and 6.0% under the salinities of 2.5, 3.5, 5, and 6 mol/L, respectively. This indicates that a higher salinity results in a larger decline in the adsorption capacity. This can be explained by the decreasing solubility of methane in saline water. Previous literature had reported that methane has a very low solubility in water and the addition of NaCl to water further decreases the CH₄ solubility.⁶³−⁶⁵ As a result, careful consideration should be taken to the effects of salinity as well as the moisture contents in kerogens to guarantee the accuracy when evaluating the shale gas in place.

To better understand the effects of moisture and salinity, the adsorption of methane in kerogens by varying moisture contents at the same salinity is also investigated. The adsorption isotherms of 3 and 6 wt % with and without salinity are chosen as a typical case and presented in Figure 13.

The Langmuir isotherm is used to parametrize the methane adsorption isotherms. Results of other moisture contents can be found in Figure S7 of the Supporting Information. Reductions of 6 and 12% are measured for moisture contents of 3 and 6 wt %, respectively. Summarization of the maximum CH₄ adsorption capacities of different moisture contents at a salinity of 5 mol/L and full comparison to that of zero salinity are shown in Figure 14. Under the salinity of 5 mol/L, the total adsorption capacities of CH₄ are 2.29, 1.98, 1.78, and 1.55 mmol/g in 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0 wt % moisture-equilibrated kerogens, respectively. With the same salinity, the reduction in adsorption capacity increases as the moisture content increases. An approximately linear trend can be observed ($R^2 > 0.97$). The reduction caused by different moisture contents is much larger than that of the different salinities. It can be concluded that the moisture content has a larger influence on methane adsorption capacity in kerogens compared to salinity.

**4. CONCLUSION**

In the present work, realistic kerogen matrixes at various moisture contents (0–60 wt %) and different salinities (0–6 M NaCl) are generated using a combination of MD and GCMC simulations. We investigate the adsorption properties of methane in both dry and moisture-equilibrated kerogens. Effects of moisture and salinity on methane adsorption are discussed in detail. Simulations are carried out under a larger range of pressures (up to 40 MPa) than attempted in previous studies.⁶⁶−⁷⁸ Both pressures and temperatures are set to realize typical reservoir conditions. The main conclusions drawn from our study are as follows: (1) The adsorption of methane in dry kerogens indicates that, under relatively low pressures, the CH₄ excess adsorption capacity decreases with the increase of the temperature as reported in previous studies.²⁵,²⁶ When the pressure rises above 25 MPa, the excess adsorption capacity of methane is observed to be larger at the higher temperature, which can be explained by the competition between the methane bulk density and adsorbed density. Under relatively high pressures, the bulk density of methane at lower temperatures rises relatively faster than the adsorbed density with increasing pressure. (2) Adsorption of methane in kerogen matrixes is greatly reduced by the presence of water. A reduction of 42.5% is observed in CH₄ maximum adsorption capacity of kerogen matrixes at 6.0 wt % moisture content. Besides, results suggest a linear relationship between the reduction in the methane adsorption capacity and the moisture content. The areas of water molecules are observed to coincide with the areas of decrease in methane adsorption, which demonstrates that water molecules occupy the adsorption sites in the kerogen matrix and lead to the decline in CH₄ adsorption capacity in kerogens. (3) Effects of salinity are also investigated. The NaCl aqueous solution is chosen to

---

**Figure 12.** Langmuir maximum adsorption capacity of different salinities with the moisture content of 3 wt % (black) and reduction in adsorption capacity as a function of salinities (blue). The temperature is 338.15 K.

**Figure 13.** CH₄ adsorption isotherms of different moisture contents and salinities at 338.15 K. Points are results of simulation, and lines are results of Langmuir fitting. The reduction in adsorption capacity from the pure water- to the saline water-equilibrated kerogens is shown, too.

**Figure 14.** Langmuir maximum adsorption capacity of different moisture contents with the salinity of 5 mol/L (black) and reduction in adsorption capacity as a function of moisture contents (blue). The temperature is 338.15 K.
represent the subsurface water. Our results show that the presence of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) further decrease the methane adsorption capacity, which is attributed to the reduced solubility of methane in water. The higher salinity in the kerogen matrix leads to a larger reduction in CH\(_4\) adsorption capacity. Effects of salinity cannot be ignored when assessing shale gas potential of reservoirs, although it seems to have a less significant effect on methane adsorption compared to that of the moisture content in the kerogen matrix.
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