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The global population of Anser erythropus has rapidly declined since the middle of the 20th century. The decline in numbers has been accompanied by the fragmentation of the breeding range and is considered as «continuing affecting all populations, giving rise to fears that the species may go extinct». Overhunting, poaching and habitat loss are considered to be the main threats. The official estimate of the dimension of the decline is in the range of 30% to 49% between 1998 and 2008. Monitoring and the prospection of new areas are needed for the future conservation of this species. The eastern part of the Nenetsky Autonomous Okrug, the Baydaratskaya Bay and the Lower Ob (Dvuobye) are important territories for the Western main population of Anser erythropus on a flyway scale. Moving along the coast to the east, Anser erythropus can stay for a long time on the Barents Sea Coast, from where they fly over the Baydaratskaya Bay to the Dvuobye. We made aerial surveys and identified key sites and the main threats for Anser erythropus on this part of the flyway. According to our data, the numbers of the Western main population of Anser erythropus amount to 48 580 ± 2820 individuals after the breeding season, i.e. higher than the previous estimates made in autumn in Northern Kazakhstan. The key sites of Anser erythropus in this part of the flyway were identified.
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Introduction

Anser erythropus Linnaeus, 1758 is the smallest of the geese in the genus Anser. The species is globally threatened, being recognised as Vulnerable by IUCN – The World Conservation Union (IUCN, 2006). This species ranked by BirdLife International as ‘SPEC 1’ within Europe, denoting a European species of global conservation concern (BirdLife International, 2004). It is listed in Annex 1 of the European Council Directive of April 2 1979 on the conservation of wild birds (79/409/EEC), in Column A of the Action Plan under the African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbird Agreement (AEWA) and in Annex II «Strictly protected species» of the Bern Convention. This species is listed in the Red Data Book of Russia (Vinogradov & Morozov, 2001) as a rare species (category 2). Anser erythropus is a long-distance Palearctic migrant, currently breeding discontinuously in the sub-arctic zone from northern Fennoscandia to eastern Siberia. Four subpopulations can be recognised, three of which are surviving components of the species’ formerly more extensive breeding range. These are the Fennoscandian population (breeding in the Nordic countries and the Kola Peninsula, northwesternmost Russia); the Western main population (nesting in northern Russia west of the Taimyr Peninsula); the Asian main population (nesting in the eastern Taimyr Peninsula and wintering in China). The global population of Anser erythropus has rapidly declined since the middle of the 20th century. The official estimate of the dimension of the decline is in the range of 30% to 49% between 1998 and 2008 (Jones et al., 2008). The current estimate of the Western main population size is 30 000 (Fox & Leafloor, 2018) – 34 000 (Cuthbert & Aarvak, 2016) individuals. Thus, monitoring and the prospection of new areas could be extremely useful for the assessment of the current numbers and state of Anser erythropus for the future conservation of this species. The objective of the present work is, using the most effective methods, to estimate the numbers and status of the Western main population in the key regions during the autumn migration. These data could be used for conservation on an international scale. As hunting is deemed one of the most negative factors for the species, a large-scale survey would allow for the creation of hunting free zones at the key sites revealed.
It is clear that in vast, inaccessible areas, the monitoring and counts of migratory birds can be processed only by using aviation. In North America, waterfowl are typically surveyed by aerial surveys. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (1918) requires signatory countries to establish hunting regulations that ensure sustainability of bird populations while affording harvest opportunities for subsistence and sport hunters. Experimental efforts at surveying waterfowl were initiated since 1930 (Bowman, 2014). To assess status of bird populations the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service began developing methods for aerial surveys in the mid-1940s. By 1955, a continent-wide waterfowl breeding population survey was operational in North America. Methods for this survey, known as the Waterfowl Breeding Population and Habitat Survey (WBPHS) were formalised in a protocol document written jointly between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Canadian Wildlife Service in 1987 (Platte, 1987). The WBPHS survey was the seed for numerous additional aerial surveys that focus on specific management questions and conservation efforts (Baldassarre & Bolen, 2006; Kerbes et al., 2009). Within harvest management processes, specific population size benchmarks have been identified that trigger different harvest strategies. Measuring status relative to those benchmarks is accomplished with aerial surveys (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2012). In the US Waterfowl Program currently uses the light aircrafts on amphibious floats. This airframe is ideal for long-range flights over variable terrain and conditions. Amphibious floats provide a combination of safety for operations beyond gliding distance from the shore and the flexibility to operate on water or runways (Aerial Survey Training Manual U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2016).

In the Soviet Union large aircrafts, such as AN-2 or MI-8, were used. Theses types of aircrafts significantly limit the possibility of observations and bird counts and are very expensive. Unfortunately, at present, the methods of aerial surveys and monitoring existing in Russia are hopelessly outdated. There are no approved methods for aerial surveys of migratory birds in Russia. Monitoring of their populations at the state level is not carried out. This leads to a not sustainable use of waterfowl resources and the lack of effectiveness of measures for their conservation. With the development of light and ultra-light aviation, entirely new prospects opened up. It became necessary to develop new methods of aerial surveys using the experience of North American countries, including those used in the 1990s (Poyarkov et al., 2000). Based on North American experience, we used ultra-light aircraft on floats for the counts.

The flyway of *Anser erythropus* is very large. *Anser erythropus* nesting in the Nenetsky Autonomous Okrug (NAO) moves along the Barents Sea Coast to the east in autumn. Birds can stay for a longer time in some of the bays there. Subsequently, in Russia the total Western main population flies via the Dvuobybe (over the Baydaratskaya Bay) to Northern Kazakhstan and further to the wintering areas. Thus, the eastern part of the NAO, the Baydaratskaya Bay (I – in Fig. 1) and the Lower Ob (Dvuobybe) (II – in Fig. 1) are important territories within the flyway of *Anser erythropus*. The entire Western main population migrates via the Dvuobybe (Jones et al., 2008).

In Russia total counts are feasible only along the coast of the Barents Sea and Kara Sea and in Dvuobybe. In these regions after the breeding season, *Anser erythropus* gathers in large concentrations and stays for an extended period. Ground counts are impossible due to very large territories and the absence of roads, leaving aerial surveys as the best option. The use of light and ultra-light aviation gives the opportunity to make counts of a whole population occurring in a very large area within a short time frame.

We made aerial surveys and identified the key sites and main threats for *Anser erythropus* within this part of the flyway.

**Material and Methods**

Autumn surveys were carried out on 12–13 and 21–22 September 2017 in Dvuobybe, on 15–19 September 2017 in the NAO and on 14 and 20 September 2017 in the Baydaratskaya Bay. As the Baydaratskaya Bay is a kind of «swirl» that attracts birds migrating through Dvuobybe to Kazakhstan, we planned the surveys so that we could cover the peak of *Anser erythropus* migration. The surveys allowed covering the majority of the migrating *Anser erythropus*.

The surveys were carried out using a STER-KH-1S ultralight two-seater single-engine hydroplane. This model is perfect for counting waterfowl by a small number of observers (Rozenfeld et al., 2017). The total area of the territory explored was 23 506 km². The total route length was 12 608 km (Fig. 1). The survey routes were...
plotted to sites with potentially suitable habitats previously chosen, based on topographic maps and Landsat images (Fig. 1). The flight track was recorded with a GPS Garmin Dacota 20. During the count the aircraft speed was 80–120 km/h, the flight altitude was 15–100 m. The count was carried out in a strip of 1000–2000 m at both sides of the aircraft. We used the GPS navigator Garmin Dacota 20 to map flocks at 30–50 m altitudes. We photographed all birds per flock using a Canon 700D camera with 100–400 mm lens for mapping. To geo-reference the photographs, we used a GP-12 Canon GPS Receiver, synchronised with the camera. The photographs were subsequently referenced to track points using the free GEOSETTER software. Approaching a flock, we descended to an altitude of 10 to 20 m to photograph and to identify species and proportions within the flock. In total, 8274 photographs were analysed.
Estimation of the total numbers of geese in autumn 2017

We define the estimated number as the result of extrapolation of the numbers of birds counted during the aerial survey to the whole territory of potentially suitable habitats, including unsurveyed areas. Density surface modeling was used to calculate the numbers of birds (Miller et al., 2016). The method requires the partitioning of the count strip into continuous segments, and we used segments of 2 km in length, the area of which, respectively, was 4 km$^2$. Calculations that require the use of geographic operators were conducted using GIS Manifold System 8.0; other data processing was performed in the Paradox 9.0 Database Management System. The calculations were performed in the dsm package version 2.2.13 (Miller et al., 2016) of the R statistical language version 3.3.2 (R Core Team, 2016). A full description of methods is given by Rozenfeld et al. (2017).

Results and Discussion

Survey of the eastern part of the Nenetsky Autonomous Okrug including the part of western coast of the Baydaratskaya Bay

The total number of Anser erythropus counted within the eastern part of NAO (including the western part of Baydaratskaya Bay within NAO borders) was 4881 individuals. The proportion of young birds in the study region was 27.3% ($n = 2292$). Large concentrations were noted at the coast of the Khaypudyrskaya Bay and at the western coast of the Baydaratskaya Bay, especially in the estuary of the River Kara and in the northeastern part of the Yugorsky Peninsula. Small flocks of Anser erythropus were encountered on the Vaygach Island (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Anser erythropus migrates across the study area in a wide front, often together with the other hunted goose species (Fig. 2).

Survey of the Baydaratskaya Bay within the Yamalo-Nenetsky Autonomous Okrug and in the Dvuobye

The coastal marine marshes of Baydaratskaya bay, as was shown in our 2012–2015 survey, are used by Anser erythropus as main staging sites (Rozenfeld et al., 2017; Rozenfeld & Kirtaev, 2017). After Baydaratskaya bay survey, the next step of the migration is Dvuobye. This area is known as a very important key stopover site on a flyway scale according to data from satellite transmitter tagged birds and our previous observations (Rozenfeld & Strelnikov, 2011; Rozenfeld et al., 2016b, 2017).

In 2017 we counted 5190 Anser erythropus: 3699 in the Baydaratskaya Bay within YNAO and 1491 in the Dvuobye. The proportion of young birds at the autumn migration here was 31.4% ($n = 3380$).

The proportion of Anser erythropus in noted goose flocks whitin the survey area and the estimated numbers of Anser erythropus and the other geese species

The proportion of Anser erythropus in noted goose flocks was 11% (Fig. 2). The proportion of young birds – 29.4% ($n = 5672$). The total number of registered birds was 18 818. The extrapolative number amounted to 202 340 individuals ($SE = 14 439.5$, $CV = 0.0714$).

The flock size of Anser albifrons Scopoli, 1769 varied from separate pairs and groups to...
In the study period, the proportion of *Anser albinus* in the noted goose flocks was 31%. The proportion of young birds was 25.4% \((n = 2155)\). The number of registered birds totaled 13,567. The extrapolative number amounted to 115,926 birds \((SE = 8076.202, CV = 0.070)\).

*Branta ruficollis* Pallas, 1769 was observed only in Dvuobyte. In the study period, the proportion of this species in the noted goose flocks in Dvuobyte was 23.6%. The proportion of young birds was only 5.5% \((n = 138)\). The number of registered birds totaled 2529. We didn’t make the extrapolation of numbers as this species uses only Dvuobyte as the migration route and not the whole study area.

We did not find large concentrations of *Branta bernicla* Linnaeus, 1758. Fifteen groups of this species were encountered in total: on the Vaygach Island, the Yugorsky Peninsula, the coast of the Khaipudyrskaya Bay, in the estuary of the River Kara and at the coast of the Baydaratskaya Bay. In total, we noted six separate flocks ranging from 22 to 250 birds, and nine small groups \(2–9\) birds that we encountered in the mixed geese flocks. In total, 575 birds were registered. The proportion of *Branta bernicla* in the noted flocks was very small: only 1%. We failed to note young birds. The late and cold spring of 2017 could have led to almost no breeding of *Branta bernicla*.

*Branta leucopsis* Linnaeus, 1758 was extremely rare and was mostly encountered at the coast of the Khaipudyrskaya Bay and in the north of the Yugorsky Peninsula. The number of birds totaled 6358. The breeding success was also extremely low: the proportion of young birds was only 11.3% \((n = 1435)\).

A big proportion of *Anser erythropus* in the flocks of other geese species \((Fig. 2)\) has a negative impact on the state of the species in the areas with high intensity of autumn hunting. This presents a valid reason for limiting the autumn goose hunting at the sites of concentrations of *Anser erythropus*. This species stays in a separate compact groups even if it is flying with other geese or even with ducks. As *Anser erythropus* are very curious and not easy to frighten, they often get shot by ignorant hunters. In the study region, *Anser erythropus* forms large flocks of 50–300 birds. In such concentrations, illegal shooting can sufficiently affect the numbers.

The abundance of *Anser erythropus* in autumn 2017

Our survey data thus show a higher number than in the previous estimates of the Western main population made in northern Kazakhstan \((Rozenfeld et al., 2016a; Cuthbert & Aarvak, 2016; Fox & Leafloor, 2018)\). These results can mean two things. First – the ground surveys can not reflect the whole picture. Second – we had the chance to «catch» the whole population in the stage of pre-migration concentrations in all known sites during the autumn migration. Our estimates of abundance can be regarded as a certain benchmark, on which it is necessary to rely in the future to analyse the dynamics of abundance and breeding success and distribution of *Anser erythropus*.

Conservation implication and recommendation

In Russia, the conservation of Anseriformes is possible through the creation of temporary hunting free zones during the hunting season. A justification for creating such zones and outlining their boundaries \(by analogy with the experience derived from the countries in North America\) each season must be based on data on annual waterfowl monitoring. Based on an evaluation of the habitat quality (density of birds in each habitat), data from tagged birds and the numbers in observed concentrations the *Anser erythropus* key sites were chosen \((Fig. 2, Fig. 3)\). To identify key sites, the following criteria were used: \(1)\) multiannual long-term places of stopovers for *Anser erythropus*, according to satellite tracking data and observations; \(2)\) maximum concentrations. Some key territories of *Anser erythropus* discovered during our study, fall into currently existing specially protected natural areas. On the other hand, certain key sites where *Anser erythropus* temporary gather in great numbers belong to areas most frequently visited by hunters. A GIS project was launched that incorporated the main migration routes, boundaries of the key sites, places of mass bird aggregations, and sites for the observation of *Anser erythropus*. In these territories, waterfowl hunting has to be limited by creating protected areas or permanent waterfowl hunting free zones \(hunting resources protected areas\). We note that some of the key sites are a part of the wetlands included in the shadow list of the Ramsar Convention on wetlands of international importance. We also recommend carrying out annual monitoring of *Anser erythropus* at these sites.
At present time, raw hydrocarbon material is transported from its sources in the Yamal Peninsula through pipelines laid on the bottom of the Baydaratskaya Bay. These large infrastructure objects also run straight across the coastal marine marshes used by *Anser erythropus*. Data obtained in the framework of the current study indicate that the gas exploration infrastructure in Baydaratskaya Bay has not caused any decrease of the numbers of *Anser erythropus* so far and exerts no negative effects on the bird’s survival.

According to our data, the number of the Western main population of *Anser erythropus* is higher than previously estimated. It can be estimated at $48,580 \pm 2,820$ individuals after the breeding season.

The key sites and the main threats for the Western main population of *Anser erythropus* in this part of the flyway were identified. Urgent measures for conservation are proposed to the Authorities of the prospected subjects of the Russian Federation.
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РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ АВИАУЧЕТОВ ЗАПАДНОЙ ПОПУЛЯЦИИ
*ANSER ERYTHROPUS* (ANSERINI) В ПЕРИОД ОСЕННЕЙ МИГРАЦИИ
В РОССИИ В 2017 ГОДУ
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В сентябре 2017 г. проведены авиаучеты пискульки (*Anser erythropus*) западной популяции и других видов гусей и казарок в восточной части Ненецкого автономного округа, в Байдарацкой губе и в Двуобье. Экстраполяционная оценка численности пискульки методом генерализованных аддитивных моделей составила 48 580 ± 2820 особей. Полученные данные по численности пискульки показали, что она выше, чем предполагалось ранее по результатам учетов в северном Казахстане (30 000–34 000 особей). Кроме того, определен успех размножения, выявлены основные угрозы и ключевые места в районе работ. В Администрации Ненецкого и Ямало-Ненецкого автономных округов направлены конкретные предложения по созданию зон покоя водоплавающей дичи на выявленных ключевых местах.

Ключевые слова: авиаучеты, мониторинг, Ненецкий автономный округ, пискулька, Ямало-Ненецкий автономный округ