Stepwise co-sensitization of two metal-based sensitizers: probing their competitive adsorption for improving the photovoltaic performance of dye-sensitized solar cells†
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How to graft co-sensitizers with different binding strengths onto TiO2 surfaces for enhancing the performance of dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) has not been discussed very much. Herein a ruthenium-based sensitizer (N719) and a porphyrin molecule (LP-2) with complementary absorption spectra (300–750 nm) have been chosen to investigate how the dye loading procedure would influence the photovoltaic performance of co-sensitized solar cells. Interestingly, it is found that 54.7% of the loading amounts of pre-adsorbed LP-2 are replaced by the post-adsorption of N719. The replacement adsorption is not observed when the two molecules are loaded in reverse order, which is attributed to their different adsorption configurations and binding energies. The competitive adsorption between co-sensitizers is thus systematically investigated by UV-visible absorption spectroscopy, energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) and electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). Upon optimization, the device sequentially sensitized with LP-2 and N719 exhibits efficiency (7.72%) enhancement of 38.6% and 18.0% compared with those fabricated with single LP-2 and N719, respectively. The results provide a new vision on the stepwise sensitization of TiO2 films using co-sensitizers with a difference in adsorption properties, suggesting that complementary spectral absorption of co-sensitizers can lead to excellent cell performance by choosing an appropriate dye loading procedure.

1. Introduction

As the third generation of photovoltaic devices utilizing sunlight, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have attracted considerable attention in recent years due to their relatively low cost, easy-fabrication process and high photo-to-electron conversion efficiency (PCE).1–3 In order to improve light-harvesting efficiencies of DSSCs, various types of sensitizers have been developed including ruthenium complexes,4–6 zinc porphyrins7–10 and metal-free organic dyes.11–16 To date, DSSCs based on zinc porphyrin (SM315) have attained the highest PCE of 13%.17 However, designing and synthesizing a single dye molecule that can absorb panchromatic sunlight is still challenging, as it may involve complex reactions, purification procedures and high cost. Moreover, the HOMO and LUMO orbitals of the sensitizers may not match the energy levels of the electrolyte or the conduction band of the TiO2 electrode when the synthetic work has been completed.18–23 As a consequence, taking the absorption spectra and the light-harvesting efficiency into account, the co-sensitization approach employing two or more dyes with complementary absorption spectra co-adsorbed on TiO2 films has generated promising results in DSSCs.24–31 For example, co-sensitization of YD2-o-C8 with a D–π–A organic dye (Y123) possessing the complementary absorption spectrum achieved incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) of ~90% on the whole absorption spectra and boosted the cell device performance to the PCE of 12.3% in 2011,24 which motivates further investigation on the co-sensitization in DSSCs. Ho et al. first reported the co-sensitization in plastic DSSCs with black dye/FL and N719/FL at low temperature, which showed pronounced improvement in PCE.35 In 2014, Peng et al. used a zinc phthalo-cyanine (Zn-tri-PcNc-1) and a metal-free organic dye (DH-44) as the co-sensitizers sequentially adsorbed on TiO2 films and the co-sensitized cell device exhibited PCE (6.61%) enhancement of 28.1% and 177.7% relative to those sensitized with the individual dyes.36 Likewise, Zhu et al. fabricated the cell device sensitized with a metal-based sensitizer (zinc porphyrin) and a metal-free organic dye. The PCE of 10.41% for the co-sensitized device was obtained.37 Wang et al. also investigated the co-sensitization effects on the performance of DSSCs by employing the zinc
porphyrin and metal-free organic dye. As comparison, co-sensitization using two pure organic sensitizers (IQ21 and S2) for the enhancement of photovoltaic performance was reported by Zhu’s group. They found that the improved PCE (10.4%) of the co-sensitized device far surpassed those of the devices sensitized with single dyes, which is attributed to the well-matched molecule structure and the loading amounts of the two dyes.

Recently, Hu et al. synthesized the conjugated polyphenylene (7-BC), but the PCE of the device sensitized with 7-BC only reached 0.16%. However, the DSSC co-sensitized with 7-BC and N719 showed a much higher PCE of 9.68% compared to the PCE (8.34%) of the N719-based device due to the increase in light harvesting, and the retardation of electron recombination and dye aggregation. The remarkably improved efficiency shows that co-sensitization is an effective approach for the enhancement of the photovoltaic performance of DSSCs.

It is noted that screening the co-sensitizers with well-matched molecular structures has gained extensive attentions, since it is widely accepted that the TiO2 surface can be sufficiently occupied by these sensitizers, dye aggregation effectivly restrained and consequently, the improved light-harvesting efficiency and the reduced electron recombination realized. On the other hand, how to graft two dye molecules with different binding energies and adsorption modes onto TiO2 surfaces would certainly influence the performance of the resultant co-sensitized solar cells, since improper loading of two dye molecules to the TiO2 surface would lead to ineffective dye penetration into the inner of the TiO2 film, the imbalanced dye loading amounts, and thus the possible aggregation of the dye molecules on TiO2 films.

The improved short-circuit current (Jsc) and open-circuit voltage (Voc) cannot be achieved. It is believed that stepwise sensitization of co-sensitizers with different binding energies and adsorption modes on TiO2 films may be an effective approach to enhance the DSSC performance via the competitive adsorption between the co-sensitizers. As a result, the Jsc can be improved based on the trade-off between the dye-loading amounts and the reduced aggregation to some extent.

In this study, to further investigate the influence of the loading procedure for the co-sensitizers on the performance of DSSCs, we selected two metal-based sensitizers (a ruthenium-based sensitizer and a porphyrin molecule denoted as N719 and LP-2, respectively) with well complementary absorption spectra for the co-sensitized DSSCs. The Soret and Q absorption bands of LP-2 well compensate the weak absorption in the 400–500 nm and 600–700 nm regions for N719. Two co-sensitization procedures were discussed in detail, including the cocktail approach (using a mixture of dye solutions) and the stepwise approach (sequential loading of two dyes). The DSSC sequentially sensitized with LP-2 and N719 performs the best PCE. Interestingly, it is found that 54.7% of the LP-2-loading amounts is replaced by the post-adsorption of N719. To further understand the influence of the stepwise sensitization on the loading amounts of the two dyes, the results obtained from the stepwise sensitization via a different soaking order were compared. The competitive adsorption was systematically investigated by UV-visible absorption spectroscopy, energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) and electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). The incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra and the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (ESI) technique were also employed to elucidate the improved performance of the co-sensitized DSSCs. Eventually, the co-sensitized device reached the highest PCE of 7.72%, which is improved by 18.0% and 38.6% in comparison with those of the devices sensitized with single LP-2 and N719, respectively. The improved performance is ascribed to the complementary absorption spectra, the competitive adsorption ensuring the balance of the loading amounts of two sensitizers and the reduced aggregation of LP-2 on TiO2 films. The study here provide a new vision on the stepwise sensitization of TiO2 films using co-sensitizers with different binding properties on TiO2 surfaces for the enhancement of the performance of co-sensitized solar cells.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Fluorine-doped tin oxide substrate (FTO, sheet resistance 15 Ω per square) was obtained from HeptaChroma. Other chemicals such as lithium iodide, iodine, 4-tert-butylpyridine (4-TBP) and 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazoliumiodide (DMPII), were all purchased from the Shanghai Institute of Aladdin. The zinc porphyrin (LP-2) was synthesized according to the literature procedure and N719 obtained from Solaronix, Switzerland. P25 was purchased from Degussa AG, Germany. And all the chemicals were used without further purification.

2.2 Preparation of photoanode

The TiO2 paste used for fabricating photoanode was prepared by mixing 1 g TiO2 powder, 0.1 g lauric acid, 3.5 g terpilenol, 0.5 g ethyl cellulose and 50 g ethanol together. Then 30 g zirconium beads were added, and after ball-milling treatment for 4 h, the mixture was concentrated using a rotary evaporator and grinded to form viscous paste. In addition, the FTO conducting glasses were ultrasonically cleaned with detergent solution, distilled water and ethanol for 30 min successively, then immersed in 50 mM aqueous TiCl4 solution at 70 °C for 30 min and washed with water and ethanol subsequently. TiO2 films were prepared by using a doctor blade printing TiO2 paste on the precleaned FTO glass and dried at 70 °C for 30 min. The TiO2 electrodes were treated with 50 mM aqueous TiCl4 solution at 70 °C for 30 min, rinsed with ethanol, and heated at 500 °C for 30 min. After naturally cooled to room temperature, the TiO2 electrodes were treated with 50 mM aqueous TiCl4 solution at 70 °C for 30 min, rinsed with ethanol, and heated at 500 °C for 30 min. After cooled to 110 °C, the TiO2 electrodes were immersed into the pre-made dye solutions. The individually dye-sensitized photoanode was prepared by immersing the TiO2 films in LP-2 (0.3 mM in ethanol/THF) or N719 (0.3 mM in ethanol) solution, and for the co-sensitized photoanode, the TiO2 film was dipped into the two single dye solutions in sequence or a solution of two mixed dyes and the soaking hour for TiO2 films into the dye solutions was further optimized through a series of experiments.
2.3 DSSCs assembly and characterization

In this work, 0.1 M DMPII, 0.5 M 4-TBP, 0.5 M LiI and 0.03 M I$_2$ dissolving in acetonitrile/valeronitrile (volume ratio of 85/15) were used as the electrolyte. The active area of the TiO$_2$ film is 0.16 cm$^2$. For the fabrication of DSSCs, the photoanode and counter electrode (Pt sheet) were assembled in sandwich-type cells with injection of the electrolyte. UV-visible absorption spectra of the dye in solution ($3 \times 10^{-6}$ M in ethanol) and adsorbed on TiO$_2$ films (3 $\mu$m) was measured by a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. To determine the dye loading amounts on TiO$_2$ films, the photoanodes were immersed into 0.1 M solution of NaOH in water/ethanol (volume ratio of 1/1), and then the absorption spectra of the desorbed dye solutions also measured by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer.

2.3 DSSCs assembly and characterization

In this work, 0.1 M DMPII, 0.5 M 4-TBP, 0.5 M LiI and 0.03 M I$_2$ dissolving in acetonitrile/valeronitrile (volume ratio of 85/15) were used as the electrolyte. The active area of the TiO$_2$ film is 0.16 cm$^2$. For the fabrication of DSSCs, the photoanode and counter electrode (Pt sheet) were assembled in sandwich-type cells with injection of the electrolyte. UV-visible absorption spectra of the dye in solution ($3 \times 10^{-6}$ M in ethanol) and adsorbed on TiO$_2$ films (3 $\mu$m) was measured by a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. To determine the dye loading amounts on TiO$_2$ films, the photoanodes were immersed into 0.1 M solution of NaOH in water/ethanol (volume ratio of 1/1), and then the absorption spectra of the desorbed dye solutions also measured by the Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The distributions of the Zn and Ru element in the two dye molecules along the TiO$_2$ film depth were investigated by energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, Hitachi S4800) and electron probe microanalysis (EPMA, JEOL JXA-8100). The photocurrent density–voltage ($J$–$V$) characteristics of DSSCs were measured under illumination with a solar simulator (solar AAA simulator, AM 1.5 G, Oriel China) and the incident light was adjusted with a calibrated Si solar cell (National Institute of Metrology, China). All the photovoltaic parameters are the averaged results from three parallel devices, and the error is displayed in Table 1. The IPCE was measured by using a commercial setup (QTest Station 2000 IPCE Measurement System, CROWNTECH, USA). A 300 W xenon lamp (Newport, USA) was used to give the incident light ranging from 300 to 800 nm. All the IPCE values are the medium results from three parallel devices, and the error in IPCE values is within ±2%. EIS was carried out by employing an electrochemical analyzer (CHI660 Chenhua, China) under dark condition, and for open-circuit voltage decay (OCVD) from light ON to OFF.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characteristics of LP-2 and N719

The chemical structures of LP-2 and N719 are shown in Fig. 1. The carboxylic acid group in the dye LP-2 serves as the anchoring group which binds to the surface of TiO$_2$, while the dye N719 contains four anchoring units which could bind to TiO$_2$ via two neighboring carboxylic acid/carboxylate groups. Therefore, the different anchoring modes probably lead to the difference in the binding energies onto TiO$_2$ films.

![Molecular structures of LP-2 and N719.](image_url)

![Absorption spectra of LP-2 and N719 in ethanol solution (3.0 × 10$^{-6}$ M) (a) and LP-2, N719, and LP-2 and N719 co-adsorbed on TiO$_2$ films (thickness 3 $\mu$m) (b).](image_url)

Fig. 2a shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of LP-2 and N719 in ethanol solution. LP-2 exhibits an intense Soret band in the 400–450 nm range and two moderate Q bands in the 550–650 nm range. For N719, the light absorbance is far less in the 400–500 nm and 600–750 nm regions than that between 500–600 nm. The absorption spectrum of LP-2 well compensates that of N719, resulting in the panchromatic absorption of the co-sensitized solar cell from 300 to 750 nm. The normalized absorption spectra of the individual dye on TiO$_2$ films. Therefore, based on their absorption spectra of the TiO$_2$ film, LP-2 and N719 maybe a promising couple for the co-sensitized solar cells.
However, in addition to the mentioned prerequisites of the complementary absorption spectra for LP-2 and N719, the adsorption rate and loading amounts for each dye have great influence on the co-sensitized cell device performance. When the concentration of each dye solution is determined, the TiO₂ films soaking hour tends to affect the dye-loading amount. The PCE of the cell devices based on the single sensitizer (LP-2 or N719) were then obtained, in which the TiO₂ photoanodes were prepared under different dye-soaking hour, thus leading to different dye-loading amounts on TiO₂ photoanodes. Hence, the relations between the PCE and the dye-loading amount as a function of the dye-soaking hour for LP-2 and N719 are shown in Fig. 3a and b. The dye-loading amount is calculated by fitting the adsorption plots (Fig. S1) of the desorbed dye solution from TiO₂ films into Lambert–Beer law. In Fig. 3a and b, with the dye-soaking hour increasing from 1 h to 8 h and 1 h to 27 h for LP-2 and N719, respectively, the dye-loading amount keeps increasing. After 8 h and 27 h of the LP-2- and N719-soaking time, the dye-loading amount remains unchanged, which means that LP-2 and N719 reached the saturated loading on TiO₂. From Fig. 3a and b, it also can be seen that the PCE of the cell devices sensitized with LP-2 and N719 achieve the maximum of 5.57% and 6.54% at 3 h and 18 h rather than 8 h and 27 h, respectively. The results indicate that optimal dye-soaking hour and loading amount are necessary for the achievement of the best cell performance. It is believed that the extra dye-soaking hour and loading amounts will lead to serious dye aggregation on the TiO₂ surface, resulting in the decreasing of the cell performance. Furthermore, it can be observed that the loading amount of LP-2 reaches $1.48 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$ at 3 h, but that of N719 reaches $1.33 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$ at 18 h, clearly indicating that the adsorption rate of LP-2 is much greater than that of N719 on TiO₂ films, which will influence the loading amounts of the co-sensitizers.

### 3.2 Co-sensitization of LP-2 and N719 in DSSCs

In order to achieve panchromatic DSSCs for better performance, the co-sensitization technique was applied by using LP-2 and N719 with the complementary absorption spectra. In general, two approaches are developed for co-sensitization: the cocktail and stepwise procedure. For the cocktail co-sensitization, the TiO₂ film is soaked into a solution of the dye mixture of LP-2 and N719 at a certain molar ratio. In the stepwise procedure, two dye molecules in individual solutions sequentially adsorbs on the TiO₂ film. The soaking sequence will influence the loading for each dye molecule, and thus the resultant cell performance.

Upon optimization, the performance of different devices was evaluated by measuring the photocurrent density–voltage ($J$–$V$) curves (as shown in Fig. 4) and the photovoltaic parameters, as well as the dye-loading amounts for each dye molecule in the devices, summarized in Table 1. The molar ratio between co-sensitizers N719 and LP-2 was optimized for the cocktail-type DSSC, and the photovoltaic parameters are summarized in Table S1.† The device 3, in which the TiO₂ film was soaked in a mixing solution with the molar ratio of N719 to LP-2 at 10 : 1 for 20 h, shows the best performance. It is found that the efficiency (6.93%) of the device 3 is a just bit greater than that of the single N719-sensitized solar cell (device 1) or LP-2-based cell (device 2). On the other hand, the loading amounts of LP-2 (6.68 $\times 10^{-8} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$) are very close to those of N719 (7.35 $\times 10^{-8} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$) in device 3, which suggests that the loading amounts of LP-2 are similar to that of N719. Since the device 1 yields the greater cell device efficiency than 2 (as shown in Table 1), the co-sensitization is aimed at enhancing the cell device performance by introducing LP-2 as a co-sensitizer and N719 as the primary sensitizer adsorbed on the TiO₂ film. However, based on above results, the loading amounts of N719 in device 3 only take up 55.3% of that (1.33 $\times 10^{-7} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$) in device 1, which gives rise to the relatively low cell performance improvement of device 3 with regard to that of device 1. Interestingly, the open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of device 3 is much lower than that of 1, which is probably due to the long loading time of LP-2 resulting in serious dye aggregation on the TiO₂ film, and subsequent low $V_{oc}$. The results are ascribed to the different adsorption rates of LP-2 and N719, i.e., the much greater adsorption rate of LP-2 makes the unbalance of the loading amounts for two molecules on TiO₂ films.

![Fig. 3](image1.png) The relation between the PCE, dye-loading amounts ($I$) and dye-soaking hour for LP-2 (a) and N719 (b).

![Fig. 4](image2.png) The $J$–$V$ curves of cell devices fabricated with LP-2, N719 and LP-2/N719 sensitized TiO₂ electrodes under simulated AM 1.5 G irradiation; TiO₂ photoanode thickness 20 μm, active area 0.16 cm² (device 1 fabricated with TiO₂ electrode soaked in N719 solution for 18 h; device 2 in LP-2 solution for 3 h; device 3 in LP-2/N719 (1/10) solution for 20 h; device 4 in N719 for 15 h and in LP-2 for 2 h sequentially; and device 5 in LP-2 for 5 h and in N719 for 21 h sequentially).
To further investigate the influence of the adsorption rates and loading amounts of LP-2 and N719 on the cell performance and further improve the performance of the co-sensitized solar cell, the devices 4 and 5 were fabricated by immersing the TiO$_2$ films in the solutions of a single dye LP-2 and N719 in sequence. The soaking hour in single dye solutions was optimized for the stepwise-type DSSCs. The device 4 with TiO$_2$ films first immersed in the solution of N719 for 15 h and then in LP-2 solution for 2 h achieved the best performance, and for device 5, in LP-2 solution for 5 h and in N719 solution for 21 h. From Table 1, the loading amounts of N719 in device 4 reach 1.09 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, which is close to that in device 1, and the loading amounts of LP-2 in device 4 account for 41.1% relative to that in device 2. Moreover, the total loading amounts of two dye molecules on the TiO$_2$ films is 1.70 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, which far surpasses that in device 1 and 2 and further leads to the serious aggregation on TiO$_2$ films. As shown, the device 4 exhibits the lower PCE of 6.41% than that (6.54%) of device 1. The short-circuit photocurrent ($J_{sc}$) shows a slight enhancement from 12.65 to 13.03 mA cm$^{-2}$, but the $V_{oc}$ significantly decreases from 779 to 725 mV. Therefore, it is similar to that observed for device 3 that the introduction of the second dye molecule (LP-2) onto the TiO$_2$ surface in device 4 probably increases the light-harvesting, resulting in the enhanced $J_{sc}$ compared to that in device 1. However, the aggregation of LP-2 simultaneously decreases the $V_{oc}$ for device 4. The combined effects of LP-2 on the $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$, as well as the excess loading amounts of dye molecules in device 4, leads to relatively poorer performance of device 4 than that of device 1. Furthermore, it is shown that when the loading time of LP-2 on the TiO$_2$ film decreases, the devices can not give any better results (Table S2†). Although the $V_{oc}$ is slightly increased from 725 mV to 738 mV by adjusting the loading time of LP-2, the $J_{sc}$ is reduced to 12.57 mA cm$^{-2}$, and ultimately, the even lower efficiency is obtained. The results further demonstrate the influence of the secondly introduced LP-2 on the cell performance, and indicate that N719 firstly adsorbed on the TiO$_2$ film may inhibit the effective permeation of LP-2 along the TiO$_2$ film depth. Therefore, for device 4, LP-2 with low mobility is difficult to adsorb on the unoccupied positions of TiO$_2$ films along the TiO$_2$ film depth, and the aggregation of LP-2 is formed.

Intriguingly, the device 5, in which the sensitized photoanode was prepared in a reverse dye-loading order (in LP-2 solution for 5 h and then in N719 for 21 h) exhibited the significantly enhanced cell performance among all the single- and co-sensitized solar cells, which yielded a promising PCE of 7.72% (with a $J_{sc}$ of 14.30 mA cm$^{-2}$, a $V_{oc}$ of 765 mV and a FF of 69.95%) relative to 6.54% and 5.57% for devices 1 and 2, respectively. Furthermore, the loading amount of LP-2 in device 5 is 2.53 × 10$^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$ which is much lower than 1.61 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$ (in Fig. 3a) representing the loading amount of LP-2 when the device was sensitized with the single LP-2 for 5 h. However, the total loading amount of two dyes is 1.41 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, which is close to that of N719 and LP-2 in devices 1 and 2, respectively. Therefore, it is believed that the competitive adsorption exists in the process of the post-adsorption of N719, i.e., some of the pre-adsorbed LP-2 molecules are replaced by N719. By competitive adsorption loading, the extra LP-2 is replaced by N719, which seems to have stronger binding to the TiO$_2$ surface. Thus, in device 5, the aggregation is efficiently suppressed and thus, the $V_{oc}$ value of device 5 is found to be close to that of device 1. Simultaneously, due to the complementary light absorbance of two dye molecules in the sunlight spectrum, the light-harvesting increases, leading to the significantly improved $J_{sc}$ value for device 5 compared to those of other single dye- or co-sensitized solar cells.

### 3.3 Insight into the competitive adsorption between LP-2 and N719 on TiO$_2$ films

The loading amounts of LP-2 on the co-sensitized TiO$_2$ film in device 5 are found to be 2.53 × 10$^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, as shown in Table 1. This value is significantly lower than 1.61 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, ascribed to the loading amounts of LP-2 desorbed from the single LP-2-sensitized TiO$_2$ film after dipping into the LP-2 solution for 5 h. In order to further understand the difference in the LP-2 loading amounts between the single LP-2-sensitized TiO$_2$ films and the co-sensitized ones, a series of photoanodes sensitized by the single LP-2 and co-sensitized first by LP-2 and then by N719 were prepared. The loading time for LP-2 varied from 2 h, 5 h to 8 h and the photoanodes were then denoted as PL2, PL5 and PL8, respectively, and for N719 it was fixed for 21 h (denoted as PL2 + 21, PL5 + 21 and PL8 + 21). The UV-vis absorption spectra of the desorbed LP-2 solution for PL2, PL5, PL8, PL2 + 21, PL5 + 21 and PL8 + 21 are all shown in Fig. 5a. It can be observed that with the increasing of the LP-2-loading time from 2 to 8 h, the loading amounts of LP-2 without the post-adsorption of N719 increases from 1.32 to 1.71 × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$ and those with the post-adsorption of N719 also shows the trend of increasing. However, the loading amounts of LP-2 for the co-sensitized photoanodes (PL2 + 21, PL5 + 21 and PL8 + 21) significantly drop compared to those for the single LP-2-sensitized ones (PL2, PL5 and PL8). Obviously, the dramatic reduction of the loading amounts of LP-2 could be induced by the co-sensitization of N719. Furthermore, a series of photoanodes co-sensitized first by LP-2 and then by N719, the loading time for N719 varied from 10 h, 21 h to 28 h and for LP-2 it was fixed for 5 h (denoted as PN5 + 10, PN5 + 21 and PN5 + 28), were also obtained. It is found that with the increasing of the N719 loading time, the loading amounts of LP-2 decrease based on the UV-vis absorption spectra of the desorbed LP-2 solution for PN5 + 10, PN5 + 21 and PN5 + 28 as shown in Fig. 5b. The results further suggests that the loading amounts of LP-2 are remarkably influenced by N719. In order to determine the desorption amounts of LP-2 influenced by the competitive adsorption of N719 in device 5, Fig. S2a† shows the absorption spectra of the desorbed LP-2 solution for PL5 and PL5 + 21, and the solvent-effect-desorbed LP-2 solution which was obtained by soaking the PL5 into the ethanol solvent for 21 h representing the loading time of N719 in device 5 (denoted as PL5 + SE21). It is calculated by Lambert–Beer law that the desorption amounts of LP-2 for PL5, PL5 + 21 and PL5 + SE21 are 1.61 × 10$^{-8}$, 2.5 × 10$^{-8}$ and 4.8 × 10$^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, respectively, as is shown in Fig. S2b.† As a result, it is found that the desorption amounts of
LP-2 influenced by the competitive adsorption of N719 (PL5 + N21) reach 8.8 × 10⁻⁶ mol cm⁻² calculated by deducting those for PL5 + 21 and PL5 + SE21 from that for PL5. Therefore, it is believed that 54.7% of the loading amount of the pre-adsorbed LP-2 dye is replaced by the post-adsorption of the N719 dye, leading to the balance of the loading amounts for two dye molecules on TiO₂ films.

Furthermore, in order to explore the distribution of the LP-2 and N719 dye molecules across the TiO₂ surface are occupied by N719. The relative amounts of LP-2 are significantly lower than that of N719 over the TiO₂ surface, i.e., most of the positions of the TiO₂ surface are occupied by N719. The relative amounts of N719 reach 67% at ~16 μm, which means that most of LP-2 are replaced by N719, and on the other hand, the TiO₂ film is covered by both N719 and LP-2 along the TiO₂ film depth.

Thereby, it is supposed that the aggregation of the LP-2 dye molecule is reduced by the competitive adsorption of the N719 dye molecule. On the basis of the above results, it can be explained from the adsorption modes (Fig. S4†) that the N719 dye molecule possessing four anchoring units including two carboxylic acid groups and two carbazole can strengthen their binding onto the TiO₂ surface compared to the LP-2 dye molecule including one carboxylic acid group. However, when the TiO₂ film is sensitized with N719 and LP-2 sequentially, N719 is not replaced by LP-2 from the viewpoint of the change of the loading amount of N719 (Table S3†). And we draw a conclusion that the competitive adsorption of N719 versus LP-2 is efficient, whereas there is not the competitive adsorption in the reverse adsorption sequence. Therefore, the results of the detailed investigation including the change of the loading amounts, the distribution and the relative amounts of the two dye molecules along the TiO₂ film depth reveal that there is the competitive adsorption in the process of LP-2 and N719 adsorbed on TiO₂ films in sequence, and 54.7% of the pre-adsorbed LP-2 is replaced by the post-adsorption of N719, which contribute to the significantly improved performance of device 5.

### 3.4 Photovoltaic performance and electrochemical behaviors analysis of DSSCs with stepwise co-sensitization

The incident photo-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra of the DSSCs sensitized with the individual dyes, N719 and LP-2 (devices 1 and 2, respectively) and the co-sensitizers stepwisely adsorbed on TiO₂ films (device 5) were measured and presented in Fig. 7a. It is found that the device 2 shows high IPCE in the range 400–500 nm and 550–650 nm corresponding to the Soret-band and Q-band absorption in the UV-vis absorption spectra respectively, reaching the maximum value of 68% at 436 nm, while the IPCE spectra of the device 1 covers a broad wavelength from 350–700 nm but displaying the relatively lower IPCE value (maximum value 58% at 523 nm). After...
sensitized with LP-2 and N719 sequentially, the device 5 exhibits the higher IPCE value than the device 1 in the range 420–700 nm. All the IPCE values are the medium results from three parallel devices, and the error in IPCE values is within ±2%. The improvement of the IPCE value is attributed to the co-adsorption of LP-2, which is also consistent with the UV-vis absorption spectra where the co-sensitized TiO₂ films shows the increased light absorption (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the improved $J_{SC}$ value in device 5 (14.30 mA cm$^{-2}$), relative to 12.65 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 11.09 mA cm$^{-2}$ in device 1 and 2 respectively, is in good agreement with the improvement of the IPCE value. On the other hand, the better performance of the device 5 is also ascribed to the balance of the loading amounts of LP-2 and N719 by the competitive adsorption. Thus, a small number of the loading amount of LP-2 with high absorption coefficients (Table S4†) yields a sufficient optical density, thereby providing a sufficient space for the N719 dye yielding a complementary absorption spectra.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were employed to elucidate the interfacial charge recombination process in the device 1, 2 and 5 at a forward bias of −0.6 V with the frequency range of 1 to $10^5$ Hz under dark condition. Fig. 7b and c show the Nyquist and Bode plots of their EIS spectra, respectively. The equivalent circuit is displayed in Fig. 7b (inset). $R_{CT}$, $R_{CE}$ and $R_{S}$ respectively represent the charge-transfer resistance at the TiO₂ dye/electrolyte interface and at the counter electrode, and the series resistance. The smaller semicircle in the Nyquist plots corresponds to $R_{CE}$ and $R_{S}$, and the larger semicircle corresponds to the $R_{CT}$. In general, the $V_{oc}$...
is closely associated with the charge transfer at the TiO2/dye/electrolyte interface, i.e., the back reaction of the electrons in the TiO2 film with I− species in the electrolyte.

The larger $R_{ct}$ value indicates it is more difficult to transfer the injected electrons from the TiO2 back to the electrolyte, and thus the back recombination can be suppressed in the cell device, thus giving a higher $V_{oc}$. It is found that the device 1 presents the largest $R_{ct}$ (64 $\Omega$ cm$^{-2}$, Table S5†), and accordingly, the highest $V_{oc}$ (779 mV) is achieved for the device 1 among all the three devices. The $V_{oc}$ values of the other two devices (2 and 5) are found to be 727 mV and 765 mV, corresponding to $R_{ct}$ values of 48 $\Omega$ cm$^{-2}$ and 58 $\Omega$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively. Obviously, the trend of the $R_{ct}$ observed here is consistent with that of the $V_{oc}$ value. However, for the co-sensitized device 5, the $V_{oc}$ slightly decreases compared to that of the device 1. The introduction of LP-2 with the lower $V_{oc}$ as the co-sensitizer probably results in the lowering of the TiO2 Fermi level, and thus decreases the $V_{oc}$ of the device 5. As a result, the $V_{oc}$ value of the device 5 falls in between those of the devices 1 and 2.

The electron lifetimes ($\tau_e$) in the devices 1, 2 and 5 were calculated from the Bode plots as shown in Fig. 7c, using $\tau_e = 1/(2\pi f)$, where $f$ is the frequency at the maximum of the plot at the lower frequency region, which is related to the charge recombination rate. The longer $\tau_e$ is indicative of the less electron recombination rate, thus leading to the improvement of the $V_{oc}$ value. The obtained $\tau_e$ values of the three devices are listed in Table S5†. It is found that the electron lifetime of the device 1, 2 and 5 is 25.16, 9.91 and 20.65 ms, respectively. In other words, the device 1 and 2 display the longest and shortest electron lifetime and the $\tau_e$ value of the device 5 falls in between them, which corresponds to the trend of the $V_{oc}$ value of the three devices.

Kinetics measurement of open-circuit voltage decay (OCVD) in DSSCs can reveal the characteristics of the electron lifetime and the electron recombination.37 The OCVD technique consists of turning off the illumination in a steady state and monitoring the subsequent decay of the $V_{oc}$. Fig. 7d shows the OCVD curves of the devices 1, 2 and 5. The correlation between the $V_{oc}$ decay and $\tau_e$ can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\tau_e = -\frac{K_b T}{e} \left(\frac{dV_{oc}}{dt}\right)^{-1}$$

where $e$ is the electron charge, $K_b$ is Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is temperature. Therefore, the electron lifetime can be extracted from the slope of the $V_{oc}$ decay curves, and the steeper slope indicates the shorter electron lifetime. As shown in Fig. 7d, the device 1 presents the smallest slope, suggesting the longest electron lifetime, and which is followed by the device 5 and 2 in sequence. The results are well consistent with the $V_{oc}$ and EIS analysis of the devices 1, 2 and 5.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we have presented that the stepwise co-sensitization of TiO2 films with two metal-based sensitzers, i.e., a porphyrin dye (LP-2) and a ruthenium dye (N719), is an effective approach which can significantly improve the photo-voltaic performance of DSSCs. The co-sensitized cell device 5 exhibits a PCE value of 7.72%, which is improved by 18.0% and 38.6% with respect to the single LP-2 and N719-sensitized devices, respectively. The improved performance of the co-sensitized cell device is attributed to the enhancement of the $J_{sc}$, which is mainly due to the complementary absorption spectra and the balanced loading amounts of LP-2 and N719 by the competitive adsorption. It indicates that 54.7% of the pre-adsorbed LP-2 is replaced by the post-adsorption of N719 which can penetrate into the inner side of the TiO2 film probably due to the different binding energies between the two dye molecules. On the other hand, the $V_{oc}$ of the device 5 is a little bit lower than the highest $V_{oc}$ of the N719-sensitized cell, which is well agreement with the change of the electron lifetimes, as was demonstrated by the EIS and OCVD. The present work thus can provide a guidance to properly introduce of the co-sensitzers onto the TiO2 films for the performance enhancement of the co-sensitized solar cells. Further studies on the loading procedure for the incorporation of a third small dye molecule for the co-sensitized DSSCs are in progress.
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