INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, is a bacterial disease that mainly affect the lungs leading to severe coughing, fever, and chest pains. Tuberculosis has been a global health concern because it is the world’s leading fatal disease. In the early 1800s, the people infected with tuberculosis were advised to “just sleep rest and eat healthy and nutritious food”. But the scenario changed with the advancement in the area of science and medicine.

In 1882, the bacterium that causes tuberculosis *i.e.*, MTb was discovered by German microbiologist Robert Koch. This finding helps in the discovery of tuberculin (in 1890), Bacillus-Calmette Guerin (BCG) vaccine (in 1908), and later anti-tuberculosis drugs.

Tuberculosis is a curable disease but its early detection, treating it with the right drugs and vaccines, and thereby controlling it is very necessary. For the treatment, multiple antibiotics are administered for a considerable period of time, which makes it a challenging task. Along with this multiple drug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) infections are also an emerging problem. In present, first line anti-TB drugs, second-line anti-TB drugs, and DOTS (Directly Observed Treatment, Short-Course) are used widely to treat and prevent tuberculosis.

According to the Global tuberculosis report 2019 by WHO, in the year 2018, almost 10 million people were found to be infected with tuberculosis in all countries out of which 5.7 million infected patients were men, 3.2 million infected women, and 1.1 million cases of children. Along with this, in 2018, around 1.5 million people died from TB. Countries with the highest number of cases are India (27%), China (9%), Indonesia (8%), Philippines (6%), Pakistan (6), Nigeria (4%), Bangladesh (4%), and South Africa (20%) (Figure 1).

ABSTRACT

Aim: Tuberculosis remains the most deadly infectious killer affecting about one-quarter of the world population. Early diagnosis and correct detection of TB has always been a challenge since its discovery in 1882. The economic burden in low- and middle-income countries is estimated to be US$ 10.1 billion in 2019. It is necessary to analyze the current tuberculosis diagnostic techniques and understands their advantages and limitations for future advancement.

Observation: The current status of tuberculosis necessitates advancement in diagnostic techniques. In recent years with the initiatives taken by WHO, various new diagnosis techniques have been introduced to the world. Techniques such as Fluorescent microscopy and bleach microscopy with their improved sensitivity are proposed to give a new face to the microscopy. Whereas molecular techniques such as Cartridge based NAAT and line probe assay are also proving their potential. Culture techniques such as MB/BacT, Versa TREK/ESP, and Thin layer agar cannot be replaced for their sensitivity and specificity, but they are required to be used alongside new techniques. Novel techniques such as biosensors with the aid of their higher sensitivity, low detection cost, and simple methodology are paving a better future for the world.

Conclusion: Despite the long list of conventional diagnostic techniques, early and precise diagnosis of tuberculosis is still a challenge in developing countries. For the complete eradication of tuberculosis from world, we need to focus on developing new diagnostic techniques and also increase awareness about the existing techniques.
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On 26 September 2018 United Nations organized a meeting on TB for discussing the present status of TB, its epidemic, and methods to control this deadly disease in the present and future. In this meeting, a target is decided that is to treat 40 million individuals with TB in between 2018 and 2022. Therefore, according to this target, it is necessary to treat approximately 7 million individuals in 2018. According to WHO, the target for 2018 was achieved. For 2030 WHO has set a target as a 90% reduction in the number of TB death and a 80% reduction in TB incidence rate as compared to 2015. For the accomplishment of this goal the WHO has worked on introducing new techniques for early and better diagnosis of TB adding on to the traditional techniques. The traditional techniques used earlier for diagnosis of TB remains the same for quite a decade. But these conventional microscopic techniques fell short in the detection of extra-pulmonary TB and multidrug-resistant TB. So, to overcome the limitation of conventional techniques WHO took some initiatives and worked out new techniques that are more efficient, less time consuming, and economical for the estimation of tuberculosis.

The microscopic method of tuberculosis detection started with its invention. Although sputum smear microscopy remains the most relied technique for detection of TB for a long stretch, but its several limitations restrict its use as an efficient tool6. New microscopic techniques such as Fluorescence microscopy, Front-loaded Microscopy, Sodium hydrochlorite microscopy are introduced and endorsed by WHO in past few years, with an expectation of more sensitive and specific detection of TB in a timely manner. Culture techniques have always been the gold standard in the diagnosis of extra-pulmonary, Multi drug-resistant (MDR) TB. This technique offers an advantage of best results in TB detection even in a low level of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Plentiful Culture techniques are utilized in the sensitive detection of MTB, exclusively for the first line and second-line anti-tuberculosis drug-resistant cases (Table 2). Further, the molecular methods of TB detection are also utilized for MDR cases (Table 3). These techniques have improved turnaround time and are more sensitive in the early diagnosis of TB. Also techniques such as the Tuberculin skin test and interferon-gamma based assay are used for indirect detection of tuberculosis, however low sensitivity and specificity towards the result restrict their utility as efficient tools for detection of TB (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Apart from these detection techniques, the focus of research is now shifting to advanced concept i.e., Tuberculosis Biosensors. As most of the cases of TB are recognized in developing countries, there is a strong need for a diagnostic tool that is quick, simple, and cost-effective.

Biosensors are the devices that convert the biochemical response from the reaction between enzymes, cell, organelles, antibodies, nucleic acid, and chemical compound into optical, thermal, and electrical signals. These are the analytical devices comprising sensor, signal processor, and storage device. Sensors act as an interface between the biological systems and instrument19-20.

Biosensors offer a number of advantages over the traditional techniques such as high specificity, rapid response, and provide better results with minimal quantity of sample. On the basis of the transducer principle, these are classified as Electrochemical, optical, mechanical, and magnetic biosensors which are further classified on the basis of their application as TB sensors including nanowires, electronic nose, breathalyser, etc.20-22.

CONCLUSION

By analyzing the current statistics provided by WHO, the impact of TB can be easily concluded. TB remains a major health concern over the years. Despite using several medications and diagnostic techniques its control is still challenging in developing countries due to lack of awareness and fall in appropriate implementation of TB prevention and control program2. In developing countries where the cost of treatment is a major concern, traditional diagnostic techniques are still in use. Thus, leading to an increased percentage of false reports and delayed diagnosis. Newer microscopic and molecular techniques are however introduced with reduced turnaround time but these techniques require skilled personal due to complex procedures and are costly. Culture techniques are unparalleled in sensitivity but are quite time-consuming. Both traditional and newer techniques have their advantages and disadvantages and thus cannot be replaced by each other23. However, for a better and safe world future research should continue to develop new techniques, which are simple, cheap, and effective in controlling the spread of TB worldwide. Biosensors for TB diagnosis are the latest technology endeavor which in the future may prove to be highly beneficial and effective due to their precise detection, simple use, and low cost.
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Table 1: Microscopic techniques for the direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis

| S. No. | Technique | Principle | Advantages | limitations |
|--------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 1.     | Sputum Smear microscopy⁷ | Acid-fast staining using carbol fuchsin and fluorochrome dye-auramine/rhodamine. | Fast technique, Expensive | Low sensitivity (detect less than 50% active cases). Cannot detect multidrug resistant cases or non-tuberculous mycobacteria. |
| 2.     | Fluorescent microscopy with light emitting diode.⁸ | Use of royal blue colour LED lamps. | Economical, Better sensitivity, Rapid detection (four times faster than conventional FM). | Instability of fluorescent stains under fluid conditions. |
| 3.     | Front loaded Microscopy⁹ | Collection of two specimens at a time interval of 1 hr. | Fast diagnosis. | - |
| 4.     | Sodium hydrochlorite (Bleach) microscopy¹⁰ | Digestion of sputum with Sodium hydrochlorite, before the sputum smear preparation and microscopy. | Improved sensitivity and specificity. | Due to lack of standardization in results, problem in method development phase. |
### Table 1: (Continued)

| S. No. | Technique | Principle | Advantages | Limitations |
|--------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 5      | Vital Fluorescent staining<sup>6</sup> | Based on fluorescent viability markers, fluoresin diacetate (FDA) | Useful for diagnosis of rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis. | - |
| 6.     | Urine based assays<sup>6</sup> | Lipoarabinomannan antigen present on cell wall of MTB. | Low accuracy (28.3%) and fails to differentiate between active and latent cases. | |

### Table 2: Culture techniques for direct detection of actively growing bacilli.

| S. No. | Technique | Principle | Turnaround time (TAT) | Utility |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1.     | Mycobacterial growth indicator tube (MGIT)<sup>a</sup> | Detect the consumption of oxygen by fluorescence. | 9-16 days | Used for extra pulmonary tuberculosis cases. |
| 2.     | MB/BacT<sup>a</sup> | Measure Carbon dioxide produced by microbial growth. | 11.8 days | Detect the isoniazid, rifampicin, streptomycin-susceptible and isoniazid-resistant cases. |
| 3.     | Versa TREK/ESP<sup>1</sup> | Measurement on the basis of oxygen consumption. | 19.1 days | Work as an Automated Microbial Detection System (VTI) for the recovery of mycobacteria. |
| 4.     | Micro-colony detection<sup>4</sup> | Solid and Liquid cultures in microplates. | 9-12 days for culture | Detect pulmonary tuberculosis |
| 5.     | Thin layer agar<sup>5</sup> | Use TLA quadrant plate | 10 days | Rapid detection of MTB bacterial strains resistant to rifampicin, ofloxacin and kanamycin. |
| 6.     | Nitrate reduction assay<sup>6</sup> | Colorimetric detection of nitrite | 10-15 days | Accurate detection of First-line Antituberculous drugs resistant strains of bacteria. |

### Table 3: Molecular methods for the direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis.

| S. No. | Technique | Utility | Analytical limit | Turnaround time (TAT) | Sensitivity | Limitations |
|--------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1a.    | Cartridge based NAAT (CB-NAAT)<sup>7</sup> | Diagnose both pulmonary and extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. | 131 CFU/ml | 2 hr | 99.8% sensitivity for smear positive and culture positive cases. 90.2% sensitivity for smear negative, culture positive cases. | Mono-resistant cases of isoniazid (INH) cannot be detected. |
| 1b.    | Line Probe assay (LPA)<sup>8</sup> | Detect Isoniazid and rifampicin resistant cases. | - | - | 98.9% sensitivity for the detection of rifampicin (RIF) resistant cases. 94.2% sensitivity for isoniazid (INH) resistant cases. | Complex procedure. Require skilled personals. |
Table 4: Techniques for indirect detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis

| S. No. | Technique                  | Principle                                                                 | Limitations                                      |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Tuberculin skin test       | Sub-cutaneous injection of protein purified derivative from sub culture of MTB bacteria. | Immuno-deficient subject failed to generate sufficient response. |
| 2.     | Interferon gamma based assay | Detect the specific T-cell response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens | Expensive. Low specificity and sensitivity.       |

Figure 1: Percentage of tuberculosis cases worldwide in 2018 (WHO report 2019.)