Antimigration Effects of the Number of Flaps on a Plastic Stent: Three-Dimensionally Printed Pancreatic Phantom and Ex Vivo Studies
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Abstract: Stent migration is a significant obstacle to successful stent placement. There has been no investigation of the effect and quantitative interpretation of flaps attached to a plastic stent (PS) on antimigration. The antimigration effects of the number of flaps on a PS in a 3D-printed pancreatic phantom (3DP) and extracted porcine pancreas (EPP) were investigated. Four PS types were used in this study: stent without flaps (type 1), stent with two flaps (type 2), stent with four horizontally made flaps (type 3), and stent with four vertically made flaps (type 4). The stents were measured and compared for antimigration force (AMF) in the 3DP and EPP using a customized measuring method and an integrated measuring device. The mean maximum AMFs (MAMFs) in types 2, 3, and 4 were significantly higher than that in type 1 (all p < 0.001). Moreover, the mean MAMFs in types 3 and 4 were significantly higher than that in type 2 (all p < 0.001). When the flaps were removed from the pancreatic duct, the AMF decreased rapidly. As the number of flaps increased, the antimigration effects significantly increased in the 3DP and EPP. However, the direction of the flaps did not affect the MAMF. The position of the flaps attached to the surface of the stent affected the AMF.
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1. Introduction

Endoscopic placement of a plastic stent (PS) is currently a well-accepted therapeutic option for pancreatic duct (p-duct) strictures caused by chronic or acute pancreatitis, traumatic injuries, surgical complications, pseudocysts, and malignant diseases of the pancreatic head and periampullary regions [1–7]. Furthermore, the placement of a trans-anastomotic stent using various stent materials is commonly used to prevent Anastomotic leakage and subsequent fistula and stricture formation at a pancreatoenteric anastomosis.
However, various stent-related complications, such as infection, stent obstruction, duodenal erosions, ductal perforation, and either proximal or distal migrations, have been reported in clinical trials [8]. Especially regarding stent migration, distal migration was rarely harmful as the migrated stent passes into the duodenum and is usually excreted. However, proximal migration further into the p-duct has been shown to occur at a rate of 5–6% and results in severe pancreatitis [9]. Stent migration is one of the significant obstacles for successful stent placement. Migrated stents pose a serious management dilemma, with some patients requiring surgical removal of the stents [10].

Most PSs in studies have been straight or sigmoid-shaped with barbs or flaps at each end to prevent migration or dislocation [11]. However, design and quantitative studies are insufficient to determine whether the number or direction of flaps or barbs prevents stent migration in the p-duct. In previous studies, there was no investigation of the effect and quantitative interpretation of flaps attached to the PS on antimigration. Therefore, four PS types, having different numbers and directions of flaps, were manufactured. Furthermore, the artificial 3D-printed pancreatic phantom with p-duct (3DP) and extracted porcine pancreas (EPP) were developed to evaluate the antimigration effects of a PS. This study investigates the antimigration effects of the number of flaps in a PS on 3DP with p-duct and EPP.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the PSs

The PSs used in this study were designed and manufactured using a biocompatible polymer by a micro-extrusion process. We used polyether block amide Pebax 5533 SA MED polymer (Arkema, France), which is a representative biocompatible elastomer actively used in various polymer stents and catheters. The mechanical properties of Pebax5533 are presented in Table 1. In addition, the polymer was compounded with 20 wt% BaSO$_4$ to provide radiopacity.

| Properties                  | Values (Unit) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Density                     | 1010 (kg/m$^3$) |
| Melting point               | 159 ($^\circ$C) |
| Flexural modulus            | 170 (MPa)     |
| Tensile strength            | 12 (MPa)      |
| Melt flow index (MFR)       | 7 (g/10 min) |

The stents were made according to our specifications (KITECH; Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Daegu, Korea) and are not commercially available elsewhere. The stents had a tubular structure with or without flaps and were 2 mm in diameter and 30 mm in length. Each flap was 2 mm in length and projected 60$^\circ$ toward the papilla.

As shown in Figure 1, the flexural stress of the dried stent shaft was measured according to the vertical displacement at room temperature. A three-point bending test method was applied, and the speed at which the clamp presses the load point was set to 20 mm/min. The maximum stress of the PS shaft was analyzed to be 0.56 MPa.

To analyze the effect of the number and direction of flaps on antimigration, four types of PS were prepared as follows: stent without flaps (type 1) as a control, stent with two flaps (type 2) as a commonly used example, stent with four horizontally made flaps (type 3) attached in the same direction as type 2, and stent with two horizontally and two vertically made flaps (type 4) attached with a 90$^\circ$ difference (Figure 2a).
Figure 1. Flexural stress of the plastic stent shaft according to the vertical displacement.

Figure 2. Types of plastic stents (PSs) with or without flaps and 3D-printed pancreatic phantom with the pancreatic duct (3DP) model. (a) The tubular structure with or without flaps was 2 mm in diameter and 30 mm in length. Each flap was 2 mm in length and projected 60° toward the papilla. (b) Photographs of modeling and specification. (c) Photographs of a 3DP model.

2.2. Design of the 3DP

The 3DP, similar to a human pancreas from an open-source standard triangulated language file, was developed using injection molding of liquid silicone rubber. The modeling of the pancreas with moldings and the 3DP were designed and made by local manufacturers (ANYMEDI, Seoul, Korea). The 3DP consisted of the pancreas and the p-duct. It was manufactured using two types of silicone with different hardnesses by considering the tissue properties of each part. The pancreas part was made of a hard-silicone material (Dragon Skin-Silicone Elastomer, Smoothon, AB, Canada) and was 200 mm in total length and designed to contain the p-duct inside. The p-duct part was 2 mm in diameter and 200 mm in length, and it was stabilized using a soft-silicone material (Vero Magenta RGD, Stratasys Ltd., CA, USA) (Figure 2b,c).
2.3. Preparation of the EPP for Ex Vivo Examination

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Asan Institute for Life Sciences (2017-14-190) and conformed to US National Institutes of Health guidelines for humane handling of laboratory animals. One pig (Yorkshire; weight, 35.5 kg; Orient Bio, Seongnam, Korea) was euthanized after administering anesthesia according to the ethical procedures for pancreas extraction. Anesthesia was induced by intramuscular injection of a mixture of 50 mg/kg zolazepam, 50 mg/kg tiletamine (Zoletil 50; Virbac, Carros, France), and 10 mg/kg xylazine (Rompun; Bayer HealthCare, Leverkusen, Germany). Next, the pig was immediately euthanized by administering 75–150 mg/kg potassium chloride. The pancreas was surgically explored to evaluate the antimigration effects of the stents.

2.4. Measuring Device Setup and Measurement of Antimigration Effects

The measuring device consisted of a 3D-printed (Ultimaker 3; Ultimaker, Utrecht, The Netherlands) jig, measuring table, load cell (KTOYO/333FB, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) with a measuring range of 0.25–500 g, microcontroller (Arduino UNO R3, Arduino AG, Somerville, MA, USA), and suture thread (Vicryl 4-0, Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). A load cell was fixed to the 3D-printed jig, which functioned as a slider on an instrument base. The force measurement of the four samples was evaluated (Figure 3).

The four PS types were analyzed for antimigration forces (AMFs) in the 3DP and EPP using customized measuring methods and an integrated measuring device (Figure 4). The load cell of the measuring device was connected to the distal end of the PS using a suture thread. Each stent sample was placed into the p-duct of the 3DP or EPP under fluoroscopic guidance to confirm the stent position. A load cell unit was pulled at a speed of 5 mm/s on a sliding guide to measure the AMF of the PS. The total length of the sliding was 80 mm; therefore, the AMFs were measured for 16 s to stay on the set speed for each measurement. AMF was defined as the resistance force to migration between the inner surface of the
p-duct models and the stent. The AMF was continuously monitored and realized by using a microcontroller connected to the load cell. A data processing code was developed in a numerical computing environment (Matlab 2018b, MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). All experiments were repeated 10 times using each PS type.

![Figure 4. The customized measuring method and the integrated measuring device in (a) the 3D-printed pancreatic phantom with the pancreatic duct and (b) the extracted porcine pancreas.](image)

### 2.5. Histological Examination

The EPP was transversely sectioned at the proximal, middle, and distal regions of the stented p-duct and the normal region of p-duct to evaluate the possible mucosal injuries during the stent removal procedure. Tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24 h and then embedded in paraffin. The slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The differences between the stent types were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test or Mann–Whitney U-test, as appropriate. *p*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. For *p*-values < 0.05, a Bonferroni-corrected Mann–Whitney U-test was performed to detect which stent type’s cause differences (*p* < 0.008 as statistically significant). Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 24.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

### 3. Results

All PSs were successfully placed without difficulty at the base of the 3DP and EPP. The AMFs were successfully analyzed without disconnecting any suture thread during the experiments. During the measurement of the AMFs, perforations or scratched traces in the p-duct of the EPP were not detected after complete removal of all PSs.

The maximum AMF (MAMF) values are summarized in Table 2. The mean MAMF was significantly different between the four types (*p* < 0.001) in the 3DP and EPP. In the 3DP, the mean MAMFs in types 2, 3, and 4 were significantly higher than that in type 1.
Furthermore, the mean MAMFs in types 3 and 4 were significantly higher than that in type 2 (all \( p < 0.001 \)). In the EPP, the mean MAMFs in types 2, 3, and 4 were significantly higher than that in type 1 (all \( p < 0.001 \)). The mean MAMFs in types 3 and 4 were also significantly higher than that in type 2 (all \( p < 0.001 \)). However, no statistically significant difference was found between types 3 and 4 in the 3DP and EPP (\( p = 0.113 \) and 0.493, respectively).

**Table 2.** The maximum antimigration force (MAMF) values in the 3D-printed pancreatic phantom with the pancreatic duct and the extracted porcine pancreas.

| MAMF (N) | p-Value | Number | Type 1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 | All \* | 1 vs. 2 † | 1 vs. 3 † | 1 vs. 4 † | 2 vs. 3 † | 2 vs. 4 † | 3 vs. 4 † |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 3D-Printed Pancreatic Phantom with the Pancreatic Duct | | | 1 | 0.08 | 0.69 | 2.00 | 1.98 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.113 |
| | | | 2 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 2.00 | 1.94 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 0.08 | 0.61 | 1.95 | 2.00 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 1.88 | 1.94 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 5 | 0.09 | 0.69 | 1.98 | 1.89 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 2.10 | 1.79 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 7 | 0.08 | 0.75 | 2.00 | 1.82 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 8 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 1.89 | 1.91 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 9 | 0.09 | 0.65 | 1.98 | 2.00 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 10 | 0.08 | 0.68 | 1.89 | 1.88 | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | | 0.09 | 0.68 | 1.97 | 1.92 | | | | | | | |
| | SD | | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | | | | | | | |
| Extracted Porcine Pancreas | | | 1 | 0.06 | 0.54 | 1.80 | 1.78 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.493 |
| | | | 2 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 1.74 | 1.86 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 3 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 1.69 | 1.83 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 4 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 1.7 | 1.73 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 5 | 0.04 | 0.59 | 1.75 | 1.64 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 6 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 1.72 | 1.69 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 7 | 0.05 | 0.51 | 1.82 | 1.63 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 8 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 1.64 | 1.62 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 9 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 1.71 | 1.69 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | | | 10 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 1.84 | 1.71 | | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| | Mean | | 0.06 | 0.49 | 1.74 | 1.72 | | | | | | | |
| | SD | | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | | | | | | | |

\( \ast \) Kruskal–Wallis, \( \dagger \) Compare between the types using the Bonferroni-corrected Mann–Whitney U-test. \( \& \) Compare between the 3D-printed pancreatic phantom and the extracted porcine pancreas using the Bonferroni-corrected Mann–Whitney U-test.

The mean MAMFs of all types of PS in the 3DP were significantly higher than those in the EPP (all \( p < 0.001 \)).

The continuous AMF changes are shown in Figure 5. When the flaps were removed from the p-duct, the AMF rapidly decreased. AMF changes were affected by each location of attached flaps on the surface of the PS in types 3 and 4.
In the histological results, no mucosal or submucosal injuries were observed in the proximal, middle, and distal regions of the stented p-duct in types 1, 2, 3, and 4 compared with the normal p-duct (Figure 6). In addition, perforations or scratched traces of the p-duct were not detected during removal of the PS with all types on gross examination.

4. Discussion

Our results demonstrated that the resistance to migration of types 2, 3, and 4 was significantly greater than to that of type 1. Similar results were observed when comparing PSs with four flaps (types 3 and 4) with those with two flaps (type 2). No difference was found between PSs with the same number of flaps (types 3 and 4). These findings support that the AMF proportionally increased as the number of flaps increased, and the vertical and horizontal directions of the flaps did not affect the MAMF. However, the attachment position of the flaps can affect the AMF. Our histological results demonstrated that there were no mucosal injuries caused by attached flaps during stent removal. Stent migration can be sufficiently prevented when the number of flaps is increased, and using a PS with flaps angled 60° toward the papilla is an effective and safe strategy for preventing stent
migration. When developing an antimigration stent, the attachment location and number of flaps should be considered.

The endoscopic placement of a PS in the p-duct can resolve or improve symptoms in patients with ductal stricture [12,13]. Despite the several advantages of PS placement, periodic stent exchanges or re-interventional procedures are inevitable because of stent deterioration or obstruction and various other stent-related complications [14–19]. Stent-related complications included proximal or distal migration, stent occlusion, and stent-induced p-duct changes. In addition, 5.2% of cases had proximal migration, and 7.5% had distal migration [9]. To overcome stent migration, PSs were developed in varying shapes and sizes and having varying numbers of flaps, barbs, or flanges. Depending on PS type, stent size usually ranges from 3 to 10 French, with variable numbers of internal and/or external flaps made of polyethylene [20].

The experimental results were properly acquired using the proposed device. Due to the compactness of the device that contains a small number of components with a small footprint, the 3DP and EPP experiments were accomplished in a simple manner. From the acquired data in Table 2, the MAMF in the EPP shows a significantly small value compared with that in the 3DP. This was derived from the frictional effect and elongation ratio of the test pieces. The silicone surrounding the p-duct may provide a small elongation ratio compared with that in the EPP. In contrast, the friction coefficient of the silicone can be higher than that of the p-duct tissues. These are considered major factors that made differences. Nevertheless, the overall tendency was similar in both experiments.

This study has some limitations. First, the total number of PSs was relatively small for performing a robust statistical analysis, even though the Bonferroni-corrected Mann-Whitney U test was used in this study. Second, the EPP was too tenacious to faithfully reflect the characteristics (e.g., size, configuration, and quality) of the human pancreas. In vivo tests are required to precisely measure the AMF of the PS with flaps in the p-duct. Third, the push–pull gauge apparatus for measuring the AMF was operated at a fixed rate without a delicate control. Fourth, the displacement from the origin point was not clearly defined because the device does not contain any position sensors for the moving axis, which means that the migration distance was not strictly synchronized in each trial. To complement this limitation, the stent location in the 3DP and EPP was confirmed using fluoroscopic guidance. Fifth, the stents were not placed in models representing p-duct stricture but in those representing a normal pancreas without strictures. An ideal stent should be safe and effective in clinical trials. Flaps attached to a stent might effectively prevent migration without mucosal damage of the p-duct. In this study, neither PSs with flaps nor those without flaps caused mucosal damage, such as ductal perforation or scratches during stent removal in the EPP. However, further studies involving in vivo animal models are required for accurate evaluation.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, to our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the antimigration effects of four PS types in the 3DP and EPP. As the number of flaps increased, the antimigration effects significantly and proportionally increased in the 3DP and EPP. However, the direction of the flaps did not affect the MAMF. The attachment position of the flaps on the surface of the stent also affected the AMF. Additional studies are required to optimize the attachment position and size of the flaps on a PS. The number and location of flaps should be considered when developing a PS for preventing stent migration in clinical settings.
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