To update information on the epidemiological situation and national capacity for detection, surveillance and containment of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAb) in Europe, we performed a survey in 37 countries. Nine countries reported regional or inter-regional spread and seven an endemic situation. Laboratories with a reference function, surveillance systems, and a national containment plan for CRAb existed in 30, 23 and eight countries, respectively. A pan-European molecular survey would provide in-depth understanding of the CRAb epidemiology.

To better understand the current epidemiological situation of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAb) in Europe and the surveillance and control activities in individual countries, we conducted a survey in 37 countries. The main aims were (i) to update the information about the epidemiological stages of spread of CRAb in Europe, and (ii) to assess the current national capacity for laboratory detection, identification and characterisation, surveillance and containment of CRAb.

**Epidemiological situation**

A questionnaire using the EUSurvey online tool was sent to the EURGen-Net national coordinators from 37 countries in December 2019. The questions in this survey about the situation in 2019 were adapted from two previous assessments of CRAb in Europe, one in 2013 for that same year [2] and one in 2015 covering 2014 and the beginning of 2015. To assess the epidemiological stage of CRAb dissemination across each country, a previously used seven-stage scale (0, 1, 2a, 2b, 3, 4 and 5) was applied [3]. All contacted coordinators completed the survey, with individual answers received from the United Kingdom (UK) for England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales. While the data for these four countries in the UK are shown separately in some of the tables of the current report, all analyses count the United Kingdom as a single country.

With regards to the epidemiological stage of spread in 2019, 10 countries reported sporadic, unrelated cases (stage 1), two reported single hospital outbreaks (stage 2a), eight reported sporadic hospital outbreaks (stage 2b), five reported regional spread (stage 3), four reported inter-regional spread (stage 4), and seven reported an endemic situation (stage 5). For one country the stage was uncertain. The epidemiological stages by country are shown in the Figure and a comparison with the results from previous similar surveys is provided in Table 1. Compared to the result of the 2015 survey, there was a decrease of the epidemiological stage in 13 countries, an identical stage in 16 countries, and an increase in five countries. No comparison could be made for three countries as no epidemiological stage was available from the 2015 study (Table 1).

**Investigators:** The members of the EURGen-Net carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii capacity survey group are listed at the end of the article.
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To update information on the epidemiological situation and national capacity for detection, surveillance and containment of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAb) in Europe, we performed a survey in 37 countries. Nine countries reported regional or inter-regional spread and seven an endemic situation. Laboratories with a reference function, surveillance systems, and a national containment plan for CRAb existed in 30, 23 and eight countries, respectively. A pan-European molecular survey would provide in-depth understanding of the CRAb epidemiology.

To better understand the current epidemiological situation of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAb) in Europe and the surveillance and control activities in individual countries, we conducted a survey in 37 countries. The main aims were (i) to update the information about the epidemiological stages of spread of CRAb in Europe, and (ii) to assess the current national capacity for laboratory detection, identification and characterisation, surveillance and containment of CRAb.

**Epidemiological situation**

A questionnaire using the EUSurvey online tool was sent to the EURGen-Net national coordinators from 37 countries in December 2019. The questions in this survey about the situation in 2019 were adapted from two previous assessments of CRAb in Europe, one in 2013 for that same year [2] and one in 2015 covering 2014 and the beginning of 2015. To assess the epidemiological stage of CRAb dissemination across each country, a previously used seven-stage scale (0, 1, 2a, 2b, 3, 4 and 5) was applied [3]. All contacted coordinators completed the survey, with individual answers received from the United Kingdom (UK) for England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales. While the data for these four countries in the UK are shown separately in some of the tables of the current report, all analyses count the United Kingdom as a single country.

With regards to the epidemiological stage of spread in 2019, 10 countries reported sporadic, unrelated cases (stage 1), two reported single hospital outbreaks (stage 2a), eight reported sporadic hospital outbreaks (stage 2b), five reported regional spread (stage 3), four reported inter-regional spread (stage 4), and seven reported an endemic situation (stage 5). For one country the stage was uncertain. The epidemiological stages by country are shown in the Figure and a comparison with the results from previous similar surveys is provided in Table 1. Compared to the result of the 2015 survey, there was a decrease of the epidemiological stage in 13 countries, an identical stage in 16 countries, and an increase in five countries. No comparison could be made for three countries as no epidemiological stage was available from the 2015 study (Table 1).
National surveillance and notification of cases as well as control guidelines

A national system for surveillance of CRAb, either mandatory or voluntary, was in place in only 23 of the 37 countries (Table 2) with establishment of surveillance systems in only four additional countries since the previous survey, indicating that surveillance has not been further expanded and that attention and resources might have shifted away from CRAb, possibly with a focus on the spread of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales in many European countries [3]. Notification of cases was mandatory or recommended in 14 countries compared to nine in the period 2014 to 2015.

Progress was made in developing national recommendations or guidelines on infection control measures for CRAb, with 15 countries having guidelines in 2019 compared to 12 countries in the 2014 to 2015 period. A national plan for containment of CRAb existed in eight countries; however, six of the seven CRAb endemic countries did not have such a plan. National guidelines on phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing were present in 15 countries, whereas a national guideline on molecular characterisation of carbapenem resistance was available in only three countries. Ten countries had a national screening policy or guideline. For admission screening of patients to acute care hospitals, all of these guidelines recommended selective screening in high-risk situations (outbreaks) or of high-risk groups, for example after hospitalisation abroad. The survey did not include any questions regarding the laboratory capacity and microbiological methods used for screening.

National laboratory capacity

Collection of data on isolates analysed by standardised microbiological methods is crucial for a reliable assessment of the spread of CRAb. However, laboratories with a reference function for CRAb existed in only 30 of the 37 countries. Differentiation between A. baumannii s.s. and other closely related species, such as A. pittii, A.
### Table 1
Comparison of epidemiological stages of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in European countries, 2013–2019 (n = 37)

| Country               | Epidemiological stage of spread of CRAb | Change between 2014–15 and 2019 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                       | 2013 [2] | 2014–15 (previously unpublished) | 2019 |                                  |
| Albania               | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | → |
| Austria               | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | Stage 2* | ↑ |
| Belgium               | Stage 3 | Stage 2a | Stage 2a | → |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina* | Stage 1 | Stage 3 | Stage 3 | → |
| Bulgaria              | Stage 2b | Stage 2a | Stage 2a | → |
| Croatia               | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | → |
| Cyprus                | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 2a | ↓ |
| Czechia               | Stage 4 | Stage 2a | Stage 2a | → |
| Denmark               | Stage 2b | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | → |
| Estonia               | Stage 2a | Uncertain | Stage 2a | NA |
| Finland               | Stage 1 | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | ↓ |
| France                | Stage 3 | Stage 2a | Stage 3 | ↑ |
| Germany               | Stage 4 | Stage 3 | Stage 2a | ↓ |
| Greece                | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | → |
| Hungary               | Stage 4 | Stage 4 | Stage 4 | → |
| Iceland               | Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | → |
| Ireland               | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | → |
| Italy                 | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | → |
| Kosovo*               | Stage 3 | Stage 5 | Stage 2a | ↓ |
| Latvia                | Stage 5 | Stage 2a | Stage 4 | ↑ |
| Lithuania             | Stage 5 | Uncertain | Stage 2 | NA |
| Luxembourg            | Stage 1 | Stage 0 | Stage 1 | ↑ |
| Malta                 | Stage 1 | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | ↓ |
| Montenegro            | Stage 0 | Uncertain | Uncertain | NA |
| Netherlands           | Stage 1 | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | ↓ |
| North Macedonia       | Stage 1 | Stage 1 | Stage 2a | ↑ |
| Norway                | Stage 1 | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | ↓ |
| Poland                | Stage 2b | Stage 4 | Stage 3 | ↓ |
| Portugal              | Stage 4 | Stage 5 | Stage 3 | → |
| Romania               | Stage 2b | Stage 5 | Stage 5 | → |
| Serbia                | Stage 2b | Stage 5 | Stage 4 | ↓ |
| Slovakia              | Stage 4 | Stage 4 | Stage 4 | → |
| Slovenia              | Stage 2a | Stage 4 | Stage 3 | ↓ |
| Spain                 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 3 | ↓ |
| Sweden                | Stage 2b | Stage 2a | Stage 1 | ↓ |
| Turkey                | Stage 2a | Stage 5 | Stage 2a | → |
| United Kingdom*       | Stage 4 | Stage 2a | Stage 2a | ↓ |

CRAb: carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. NA: not applicable.

The epidemiological stages of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* are defined as follow: stage 0: no cases reported; stage 1: sporadic occurrence (epidemiologically-unrelated single cases); stage 2a: single hospital outbreak (two or more epidemiologically-associated cases with indistinguishable genotype or phenotype in a single institution); stage 2b: sporadic hospital outbreaks (unrelated hospital outbreaks with epidemiologically unrelated introduction or different strains, no autochthonous inter-institutional transmission); stage 3: regional spread (more than one epidemiologically-related hospital outbreak confined to hospitals that are part of the same region or health district, indicating regional autochthonous inter-institutional transmission); stage 4: inter-regional spread (multiple epidemiologically-related outbreaks occurring in different health districts, indicating inter-regional autochthonous inter-institutional transmission); stage 5: endemic situation (most hospitals in a country are repeatedly seeing cases admitted from autochthonous sources).

* The results reported for Bosnia and Herzegovina only apply to the Republic of Srpska.

* This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

* Acinetobacter spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. are becoming a major problem in Montenegro as they are frequently isolated and are increasingly resistant to carbapenems. However, a National Reference Laboratory for these bacteria does not exist and all answers in the manuscript are connected with that fact. Therefore, it was judged that a realistic picture of the situation cannot be presented.

* The reported results are for the United Kingdom overall. The epidemiological stages vary among countries within the United Kingdom.
## Table 2 A

National capacity for surveillance and containment of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, European countries, 2019 (n = 37)

| Country                | NRL     | Routine referral of suspected CRAb isolates to NRL | National system for surveillance | National recommendation or obligation for notification | National guideline on phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing | National guideline on molecular characterisation of carbapenem resistance | National recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAb | National plan for containment of CRAb | National policy or guideline on screening |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Albania                | Yes     | No                                                 | No                              | Yes                                                 | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No                                     | No                                     |
| Austria                | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Voluntary                       | No                                                  | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No                                     | Selective screening                     |
| Belgium                | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Mandatory                       | Yes                                                 | Yes                                                           | No                                                            | No                                                      | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes                                    | Selective screening                     |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | No      | NA                                                 | No                              | No                                                  | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No                                     | No                                     |
| Bulgaria               | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Voluntary                       | No                                                  | Yes                                                           | No                                                            | For single cases and outbreaks | In preparation | No                                     |
| Croatia                | No      | NA                                                 | Mandatory                       | No                                                  | No                                                            | No                                                            | In preparation for single cases | No                                     | Selective screening                     |
| Cyprus                 | No      | NA                                                 | Voluntary                       | No                                                  | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No                                     | No                                     |
| Czechia                | Expert laboratory | Subset of isolates | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Denmark                | Yes     | All isolates                                       | Mandatory                       | No                                                  | Yes                                                           | No                                                            | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes | Selective screening                     |
| Estonia                | No      | NA                                                 | No                              | In preparation                                     | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No | No | No |
| Finland                | Expert laboratory | Subset of isolates | Mandatory | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| France                 | Yes     | All isolates                                       | Mandatory                       | Yes                                                 | Yes                                                           | No                                                            | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes | Selective screening                     |
| Germany                | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Mandatory                       | Yes                                                 | No                                                            | No                                                            | No                                                      | No | No | No |
| Greece                 | No      | NA                                                 | Voluntary                       | Yes, for bacteraemias                               | No                                                            | No                                                            | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes | No | No |
| Hungary                | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Mandatory                       | Yes                                                 | Yes                                                           | No                                                            | For single cases and outbreaks | In preparation | Selective screening                     |
| Iceland                | Expert laboratory | All isolates | Mandatory | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ireland                | Yes     | Subset of isolates                                 | Mandatory                       | Yes                                                 | Yes                                                           | Yes                                                           | Yes                                                      | No | No | No |
| Italy                  | Expert laboratory | No | Voluntary | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |

CRAb: carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*; NA: not applicable; NRL: national reference laboratory; UK: United Kingdom.

* Results reported for Bosnia and Herzegovina only apply to Republic of Srpska.

* An expert laboratory fulfils the role of a NRL.

* Regional or local recommendations/guidelines apply.

* This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

* All organisms are isolated in one laboratory, which is interfaced with the surveillance unit.

* There is a national system for surveillance of multidrug-resistant microorganisms, but not specifically for CRAb. It is an obligation to report these microorganisms through the Centers of Public Health to the National Institute of Public Health.

* There is no national recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAb, but World Health Organization guidelines are followed.

* Guidelines available at institutional level.

* Part of the general policy on multidrug-resistant organisms.
## TABLE 2B
National capacity for surveillance and containment of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, European countries, 2019 (n = 37)

| Country          | NRL         | Routine referral of suspected CRAb isolates to NRL | National system for surveillance | National recommendation or obligation for notification | National guideline on phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing | National guideline on molecular characterisation of carbapenem resistance | National recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAB | National plan for containment of CRAB | National policy or guideline on screening |
|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Kosovo           | Expert laboratory | All isolates | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Latvia           | Expert laboratory | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No answer | No answer | No |
| Lithuania        | Expert laboratory | Subset of isolates | Mandatory | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Luxembourg       | Expert laboratory | All isolates | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Malta            | Expert laboratory | All isolates | Voluntary | Other | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Montenegro       | No | NA | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| The Netherlands  | Expert laboratory | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| North Macedonia  | Expert laboratory | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Norway           | Yes | All isolates | Mandatory | Yes | Yes | No | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes | Selective screening |
| Poland           | Expert laboratory | Subset of isolates | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Portugal         | Yes | Subset of isolates | Mandatory | Yes | Yes | No | In preparation | In preparation | No | No |
| Romania          | Expert laboratory | Subset of isolates | Voluntary | No | No | No | In preparation | No | No | No |
| Serbia           | Yes | Subset of isolates | In preparation | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Slovakia         | No | NA | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Slovenia         | Expert laboratory | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |

CRAb: carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii; NA: not applicable; NRL: national reference laboratory; UK: United Kingdom.

*a* Results reported for Bosnia and Herzegovina only apply to Republic of Srpska.

*b* An expert laboratory fulfils the role of a NRL.

*c* Regional or local recommendations/guidelines apply.

*d* This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

*e* All organisms are isolated in one laboratory, which is interfaced with the surveillance unit.

*f* There is a national system for surveillance of multidrug-resistant microorganisms, but not specifically for CRAb. It is an obligation to report these microorganisms through the Centers of Public Health to the National Institute of Public health.

*g* There is no national recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAb, but World Health Organization guidelines are followed.

*h* Guidelines available at institutional level.

*i* Part of the general policy on multidrug-resistant organisms.
### Table 2c
National capacity for surveillance and containment of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, European countries, 2019 (n=37)

| Country       | NRL | Routine referral of suspected CRAb isolates to NRL | National system for surveillance | National recommendation or obligation for notification | National guideline on phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing | National guideline on molecular characterisation of carbapenem resistance | National recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAb | National plan for containment of CRAb | National policy or guideline on screening |
|---------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Spain         | Expert laboratory<sup>b</sup> | Subset of isolates | In preparation | In preparation | Yes | No | In preparation | Yes | No |
| Sweden        | Yes | All isolates | Voluntary | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Selective screening |
| Turkey        | Yes | No | Voluntary | Yes | Yes | No | For outbreaks | No | Selective screening |
| UK            | England | Yes | Subset of isolates | Voluntary | In preparation | Yes | No | For outbreaks | No | Yes |
|               | Northern Ireland | Yes | Subset of isolates | No | No | Yes | Do not know | Other<sup>h</sup> | No | No |
|               | Scotland | Yes | All isolates | Voluntary | Yes | Yes | No | For single cases and outbreaks | Yes | No |
|               | Wales | Yes | All isolates | In preparation | No | Yes | No | Other<sup>i</sup> | No | Selective screening |

CRAb: carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*; NA: not applicable; NRL: national reference laboratory; UK: United Kingdom.

<sup>a</sup> Results reported for Bosnia and Herzegovina only apply to Republic of Srpska.

<sup>b</sup> An expert laboratory fulfils the role of a NRL.

<sup>c</sup> Regional or local recommendations/guidelines apply.

<sup>d</sup>This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

<sup>e</sup> All organisms are isolated in one laboratory, which is interfaced with the surveillance unit.

<sup>f</sup>There is a national system for surveillance of multidrug-resistant microorganisms, but not specifically for CRAb. It is an obligation to report these microorganisms through the Centers of Public Health to the National Institute of Public health.

<sup>g</sup>There is no national recommendation or guideline on infection control measures for CRAb, but World Health Organization guidelines are followed.

<sup>h</sup>Guidelines available at institutional level.

<sup>i</sup>Part of the general policy on multidrug-resistant organisms.
Table 3a Methods used by national reference or expert laboratories for species identification, phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing and genotypic characterisation of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB), European countries, 2019 (n = 37)

| Country                | Method for species determination | Method for phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing | Method for genotypic characterisation of carbapenem resistance |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                        | Biochemical                      | MALDI-TOF                                             | PCR/gene sequencing                                           |
|                        | Automated system                 | Disc diffusion                                        | Gradient test                                                |
|                        |                                  | Commercial broth microdilution                         | Agar dilution                                                |
|                        |                                  | In-house broth microdilution                           | WGS                                                          |
|                        |                                  | PCR                                                   | Real-time PCR                                                |
|                        |                                  | Single-gene sequencing                                | Other                                                        |
| Albania                | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Austria                | Yes                               | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Belgium                | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Bulgaria               | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | No NRL or expert laboratory      | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Croatia                | No                               | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Cyprus                 | No NRL or expert laboratory      | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Denmark                | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Estonia                | No NRL or expert laboratory      | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Finland                | No                                | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| France                 | No                               | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Germany                | No                                | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Greece                 | No NRL or expert laboratory      | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Hungary                | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Iceland                | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Ireland                | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Italy                  | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Kosovo                 | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Latvia                 | No                                | Yes                                                   | Yes                                                         |
| Lithuania              | No                                | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Luxembourg             | No                                | Yes                                                   | No                                                          |
| Malta                  | No                                | Yes                                                   | No                                                          |
| Montenegro             | No NRL or expert laboratory      | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| The Netherlands        | No                                | Yes                                                   | No                                                          |
| North Macedonia        | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Norway                 | No                                | Yes                                                   | No                                                          |
| Poland                 | Yes                              | No                                                    | No                                                          |
| Portugal               | No                                | Yes                                                   | No                                                          |

MALDI-TOF: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry; NRL: national reference laboratory; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; UK: United Kingdom; WGS: whole genome sequencing.

\(^a\) Commercial multiplex nucleic acid amplification methods.

\(^b\) Results reported for Bosnia and Herzegovina only apply to Republic of Srpska.

\(^c\) Commercial loop-mediated isothermal amplification.

\(^d\) Species determination, phenotypic and genotypic characterisation are not routinely performed but only upon request.

\(^e\) This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with United Nations Security Council resolution 1244/99 and the International Court of Justice Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.
**Table 3B**

Methods used by national reference or expert laboratories for species identification, phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing and genotypic characterisation of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAb), European countries, 2019 (n = 37)

| Country     | Method for species determination | Method for phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility testing | Method for genotypic characterisation of carbapenem resistance |
|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|             | Biochemical | MALDI-TOF | PCR/gene sequencing | WGS | Automated system | Disc diffusion | Gradient test | Commercial broth microdilution | Agar dilution | In-house broth microdilution | PCR | Real-time PCR | Single-gene sequencing | WGS | Other |
| Romania     | Yes         | Yes       | Yes                 | No  | No               | Yes           | No            | No                    | No            | No                  | Yes | No           | Yes           | No | No    |
| Serbia      | No          | Yes       | No                  | No  | No               | Yes           | Yes           | Yes                   | No            | No                  | Yes | No           | Yes           | No | No    |
| Slovakia    | No or NRL or expert laboratory |
| Slovenia    | No          | Yes       | Yes                 | No  | No               | Yes           | Yes           | No                    | No            | No                  | Yes | Yes          | No            | Yes| No    |
| Spain       | Yes         | Yes       | Yes                 | Yes | No               | Yes           | Yes           | No                    | Yes           | No                  | Yes | Yes          | Yes           | Yes| No    |
| Sweden      | No          | Yes       | No                  | Yes | No               | No            | Yes           | No                    | No            | No                  | No  | No           | Yes           | Yes| No    |
| Turkey      | No          | Yes       | Yes                 | No  | No               | Yes           | Yes           | No                    | Yes           | Yes                  | Yes | No           | Yes           | No | No    |
| England     | No          | Yes       | Yes                 | No  | No               | Yes           | Yes           | No                    | No            | No                  | Yes | Yes          | No            | Yes| No    |
| UK          | See UK – England |
| Scotland    | Yes         | No        | No                  | No  | No               | No            | No            | No                    | No            | No                  | Yes | No           | Yes           | No | No    |
| Wales       | No          | Yes       | No                  | Yes | No               | Yes           | Yes           | No                    | No            | No                  | Yes | Yes          | Yes           | No | No    |

MALDI-TOF: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry; NRL: national reference laboratory; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; UK: United Kingdom; WGS: whole genome sequencing.
nosocomialis, A. dijkshoorniae or A. seifertii, has been considered difficult in the past, but has improved with the widespread availability of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS) method for species discrimination [4]. Widespread use of this technology in clinical laboratories is reflected in the answers indicating that, in 11 countries, all or nearly all clinical laboratories routinely use MALDI-TOF/MS for species identification; however, on the other end of the scale, experts from 13 countries reported that no or very few clinical laboratories in their country have access to MALDI-TOF/MS. In the national reference or expert laboratories, the most common method for species identification was MALDI-TOF/MS (n = 22) followed by PCR / gene-sequencing (n = 15).

The most frequently used methods for determination of phenotypic carbapenem susceptibility were disk diffusion (n = 23), gradient tests (n = 19) and commercial broth microdilution (n = 17). European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoints for susceptibility testing were used in all countries with a laboratory with reference function. Most national reference or expert laboratories (25 of 30 laboratories) reported that they perform genotypic characterisation of carbapenem resistance. The most commonly used methods were PCR (n = 18) and whole genome sequencing (n = 16).

Colistin susceptibility testing of A. baumannii isolates was performed in 25 of the 30 reference or expert laboratories with broth microdilution according to EUCAST recommendations (four laboratories did not use broth microdilution and the information was missing for one laboratory). Of the 25 laboratories using broth microdilution for colistin testing, nine tested all referred A. baumannii isolates for colistin susceptibility and the remaining 16 only tested a subset of isolates. The survey did not include questions regarding internal and external quality assessment in the laboratories. Detailed information on the laboratory capacity is provided in Table 3.

**Ethical statement**

This study did not involve personal data and ethical approval was thus not required. The release of the included national data was approved by the authors.

**Discussion**

CRAB poses a significant threat to patients and healthcare systems in countries of the European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA) [5] with an estimated 2,363 annual attributable deaths in 2015 [6]. According to 2018 data from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net), nearly a third of invasive Acinetobacter spp. isolates in the EU/EEA are already resistant to carbapenems, limiting the availability of adequate treatment [7]. Several reports on outbreaks of CRAB in European countries have recently been published, possibly indicating increasing spread [8-12]. These outbreaks frequently affect intensive care units, and morbidity and mortality are high. In the current study however, our results show that perception of the epidemiological situation of CRAB has not changed substantially since the last survey conducted in 2015. The extent of spread of CRAB appeared to be decreasing in some countries while it was increasing in other countries. The underlying reasons for these developments are not clear. On the one hand, decreasing epidemiological stages may reflect successful local or national control efforts. Control programmes were shown to be effective both in settings of high endemicity [13] and outbreaks [14,15]. On the other hand, the decrease in the epidemiological stage was not always confirmed by the carbapenem resistance rates in invasive isolates reported by EARS-Net or the Central Asian and European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance network (CAESAR) [7,16]. Some countries reported a low epidemiological stage of spread of CRAB whereas EARS-Net showed that, in 2018, a high or very high proportion of Acinetobacter spp. invasive isolates in the same country were resistant to carbapenems [7]. One possible explanation for such discrepancies could be that local outbreaks of CRAB are driving the national resistance proportions in Acinetobacter spp. invasive isolates as reported to EARS-Net. In addition, two countries also mentioned increasing numbers of carbapenem-resistant isolates in Acinetobacter species other than A. baumannii s.s., e.g. A. pittii or A. lwofii that were not included in this assessment of epidemiological stages but are included in the EARS-Net collection of data on Acinetobacter species. Finally, the epidemiological stages were determined by a self-assessment of the national representatives and might have been affected by unavailability of national surveillance data or reference laboratories in some countries, especially as differentiation between stages might be difficult without molecular typing results. The three surveys over time were also not always answered by the same national expert and it cannot be excluded that changes resulted from differences in subjective judgement.

An interest to participate in a European structured survey including the collection of CRAB isolates or whole genome sequencing data thereof as well as related epidemiological information was expressed by 32 countries. Such a survey would help identify successful clones and predominant lineages and the extent of their spread, provide a better understanding of predominant resistance mechanisms to carbapenems and other antimicrobials and allow conclusions on potential cross-border spread of CRAB.

**EURGen-Net carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii capacity survey group**
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