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Abstract:
Objectives: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is common among undergraduate students and associated with several modifiable risk factors. The aim of the present study was to explore the epidemiology of IBS in Saudi undergraduate students.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out from February 2018 to June 2018. A total of 767 undergraduate students from all Saudi Arabia regions completed an online self-administered questionnaire. IBS symptoms were assessed using the Rome IV diagnostic criteria.

Results: The mean age was 21.88 years (range 18-29); 56.1% of the study population were females and 50.1% were from the central region of Saudi Arabia. Students of medical colleges represented 30.2% of the sample. The overall prevalence of IBS was 15.8%. Significant independent risk factors for IBS were female sex (OR=3.738; 95%CI=2.093, 6.673), being a student in a medical college (OR=7.216; 95%CI=4.438, 11.733), living in a rented apartment (OR=6.752; 95%CI=2.586, 17.627), living on campus (OR=6.563; 95%CI=2.138, 20.145), poor sleep quality (OR=3.156; 95%CI=1.850, 5.385), exercise (OR=0.394; 95%CI=0.193, 0.803), smoking (OR=5.256; 95%CI=2.841, 9.724), family history of IBS (OR=1.641; 95%CI=1.012, 2.661), and emotional stress (OR=2.184; 95%CI=1.375, 3.469).

Conclusion: Overall, 15.8% of participants in this study met the Rome IV criteria for IBS diagnosis. IBS was associated with several lifestyle factors, as well as family history and emotional stress.
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INTRODUCTION:
Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a chronic gastrointestinal condition that is clinically manifested by recurring abdominal pain or discomfort, which is relieved after bowel emptying and associated with changes in bowel habits [1]. IBS affects around 10-20% of adults worldwide,[2] and tends to be more common in women and adults under 50 years [3,4].

The exact mechanism of IBS remains uncertain, and available mechanisms include abnormal regulation of serotonin, post-infectious IBS, and bacterial overgrowth.[5] Twin studies have also suggested a genetic basis of IBS [6]. Besides, psychosocial factors have been long thought to predispose individuals to IBS [5].

In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), little is known about the prevalence of IBS, particularly among university students [7]. Some cross-sectional studies, using Rome III diagnostic criteria, have reported that IBS is common among undergraduate students. For example, reports from Jeddah and Riyadh, KSA found that 31.8% and 21 % of medical students, respectively, met the criteria for an IBS diagnosis [7,8]. However, IBS prevalence rates are variable, and some factors, including study methods, diagnostic criteria, and sample size, should be taken into consideration.

Hasosah et al. have estimated the prevalence rate of IBS to be 15.6% among medical students and interns in Jeddah, KSA. In this study, high levels of stress, family history of IBS, and lack of exercise were found to be significant risk factors for IBS [9].

Undergraduate students are exposed to continuous stress due to the duration of their studies and tough exams [7]. The high prevalence rate of IBS observed in university students, especially medical students, could be partly explained by the role of stress [10]. In 2012, Chu et al. conducted a study among science, engineering, and medical students in China. They found that the prevalence of IBS was relatively higher in women and medical students [11].

Individuals with IBS cause more costs for the health care system compared to healthy individuals [12]. Low quality of life, ambiguity of the underlying causes, frequency of symptoms, and co-existing health problems lead to recurrent hospital visits and costly investigations for IBS patients [13]. The present study was designed to explore the epidemiology of IBS in a sample of Saudi undergraduate students.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:
This observational cross-sectional survey was conducted in the KSA in the period from February 2018 to June, 2018. A total of 767 students from around the KSA were enrolled in the study. All undergraduate students aged 18 years and older were invited to participate in an online questionnaire. Excluded from the study were students who refused to give written informed consent for participation and those who self-reported having the following criteria: inflammatory bowel disease, bloody diarrhea, nocturnal diarrhea, chronic fever, or weight loss (red flag symptoms). The sample size was calculated using convenience sampling method as we only accepted responses during a period of three months.

Data were collected using a validated, structured, and self-assessment questionnaire. The questionnaire was presented in two parts. The first part included socio-demographic details such as age, sex, college, marital status, and monthly income. Also, participants were asked about their sleep quality, exercise, stress, family history of IBS, and smoking. The second part included the Arabic version of the Rome IV criteria questionnaire [14], according to which a diagnosis of IBS requires the presence of recurrent abdominal pain/discomfort for at least one day/week during the past 3 months for at least 6 months or more duration associated with two or more of the following: (i) the pain is related to defecation; and/or (ii) onset is associated with stool frequency change; and/or (iii) onset is associated with stool appearance change.

Participants have all rights to participate and their information was promised to be kept anonymous and confidential. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of King Faisal University, Al Ahsa, KSA.

Qualitative and quantitative variables were compared using the Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test and Student’s t-test, respectively. Variables with $p<0.10$ from the univariate analysis were then entered into a multivariate model to explain the factors associated with the IBS diagnosis. Adjusted odds ratio (AOR) and their 95% CIs were calculated. Associations were considered statistically significant when $P <0.05$. The analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS:
A total of 767 undergraduate students participated in the present survey, with a mean age of 21.88 (SD 1.99). Female students represented 56.1% of the study sample. It was found that half of the participants
(50.1%) were from the central region of the KSA, 21.9 from the western region, 14.7% from the eastern region, 8.0% from the southern region, and 4.4% from the northern region. A total of 232 participants (30.2%) were enrolled in medical colleges that included medicine, applied medical sciences, dentistry, nursing, and pharmacy, and the remaining were from non-medical colleges [Table1]. The assessment of the Rome IV diagnostic criteria showed that 121 (15.8%) of the study population met the criteria for an IBS diagnosis. Female participants (20.5%) were significantly more affected by IBS than male participants (9.8%, \( P=0.000 \)). Significant differences were also found in college, with students of medical colleges (31.9%) being more affected by IBS than other students (8.8, \( P=0.000 \)). Analysis of marital status (\( P=0.007 \)) and monthly income (\( P=0.009 \)) also showed significant differences.

### Table 1: Distribution of study participants by IBS diagnosis (\( n=765 \))

| Characteristics                  | Total (\( n=767 \)) | IBS (\( n=121 \)) | No IBS (\( n=646 \)) | \( \chi^2 \) or \( t \)-test | \( P \)-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| **Sex**                          |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| Males                            | 337                  | 43.9%             | 33                    | 9.8%                          | 304           | 90.2        | 16.197      | 0.000          |
| Females                          | 430                  | 56.1%             | 88                    | 20.5%                         | 342           | 79.5        |               |               |
| **Mean age years (SD)**          | 21.88 (1.99)         | 21.96 (1.87)      | 21.86 (2.02)          | 0.513                         | 0.608         |             |               |               |
| **Residence region in the KSA**  |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| Central                          | 391                  | 51.0%             | 53                    | 13.6%                         | 338           | 86.4        | 3.944       | 0.414          |
| Western                          | 168                  | 21.9%             | 28                    | 16.7%                         | 140           | 83.3        |             |               |
| Northern                         | 34                   | 4.4%              | 7                     | 20.6%                         | 27            | 79.4        |             |               |
| Southern                         | 61                   | 8.0%              | 10                    | 16.4%                         | 51            | 83.6        |             |               |
| Eastern                          | 113                  | 14.7%             | 23                    | 20.4%                         | 90            | 79.6        |             |               |
| **College**                      |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| Medical                          | 232                  | 30.2%             | 74                    | 31.9%                         | 158           | 68.1        | 65.054      | 0.000          |
| Non-medical                      | 535                  | 69.8%             | 47                    | 8.8%                          | 488           | 91.2        |             |               |
| **Marital status**               |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| Single                           | 619                  | 80.7%             | 87                    | 14.1%                         | 532           | 85.9        | 7.149       | 0.007          |
| Married                          | 148                  | 19.3%             | 34                    | 23.0%                         | 114           | 77.0        |             |               |
| **Living condition**             |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| With parents                     | 637                  | 83.1%             | 95                    | 14.9%                         | 542           | 85.1        | 4.548       | 0.103          |
| In a rented apartment             | 90                   | 11.7%             | 15                    | 16.7%                         | 75            | 83.3        |             |               |
| On campus                        | 40                   | 5.2%              | 11                    | 27.5%                         | 29            | 72.5        |             |               |
| **Monthly income**               |                      |                   |                       |                               |               |
| Enough and exceeds               | 225                  | 29.3%             | 42                    | 18.7%                         | 103           | 81.3        | 9.336       | 0.009          |
| Enough only or not enough        | 542                  | 70.7%             | 79                    | 21.8%                         | 463           | 78.2        |             |               |

Comparing the IBS prevalence rate against some lifestyle characteristics and family history of IBS showed that IBS was more commonly present in participants who self-reported having bad sleep quality (\( P=0.009 \)), lack exercise (\( P=0.010 \)), regularly smoke cigarettes (\( P=0.000 \)), have a positive family history of IBS in a first-degree relative (\( P=0.032 \)), and emotional stress (\( P=0.000 \)) [Table2].
Table 2: Distribution of study participants by lifestyle characteristics, family history, and emotional stress 
\( (n=767) \)

| Characteristics        | Total \( (n=766) \) | IBS \( (n=121) \) | No IBS \( (n=646) \) | \( \chi^2 \) | \( P\)-value |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|
|                        | N                    | %                 | N                    | %          |             |
| Sleep quality          |                       |                   |                      |            |
| Good                   | 319                  | 41.6              | 25                   | 7.8        | 294         | 92.2        | 25.905     | 0.000      |
| Bad                    | 448                  | 58.4              | 96                   | 21.4       | 352         | 78.6        |
| Exercise               |                       |                   |                      |            |
| Yes, regularly         | 141                  | 18.4              | 12                   | 8.5        | 129         | 91.5        | 6.730      | 0.010      |
| No                     | 624                  | 81.6              | 108                  | 17.3       | 516         | 72.7        |
| Smoking                |                       |                   |                      |            |
| Yes, regularly         | 124                  | 18.4              | 34                   | 27.4       | 90          | 72.6        | 15.404     | 0.000      |
| No                     | 641                  | 81.6              | 86                   | 13.4       | 555         | 86.6        |
| Family history         |                       |                   |                      |            |
| Yes                    | 204                  | 26.6              | 42                   | 20.6       | 162         | 79.4        | 5.099      | 0.032      |
| No, I don’t know       | 562                  | 73.4              | 78                   | 13.9       | 484         | 86.1        |
| Emotional stress       |                       |                   |                      |            |
| Yes                    | 562                  | 73.4              | 78                   | 13.9       | 484         | 86.1        |
| No                     | 445                  | 58                 | 45                   | 10.1       | 400         | 89.9        |

Table 3 summarizes the results of the multiple regression analysis of possible risk factors for IBS in the study population. Significant independent risk factors for IBS were female sex (OR=3.738; 95%CI=2.093, 6.673), being a student in a medical college (OR=7.216; 95%CI=4.438, 11.733), living in a rented apartment (OR=6.752; 95%CI=2.586, 17.627), living on campus (OR=6.563; 95%CI=2.138, 20.145), poor sleep quality (OR=3.156; 95%CI=1.850, 5.385), exercise (OR=0.394; 95% CI=0.193, 0.803), smoking (OR=5.256; 95%CI=2.841, 9.724), family history of IBS (OR=1.641; 95%CI=1.012, 2.661), and emotional stress (OR=2.184; 95%CI=1.375, 3.469).

Table 3: Multiple regression analysis: risk factors for IBS among Saudi undergraduate students

| Risk factors                        | B     | SE     | \( P\)-value | OR     | 95% CI  |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Males                               | Ref.  |        |              |        |         |
| Females                             | 1.318 | 0.296  | 0.000        | 3.738  | 2.093   | 6.673   |
| Non-medical colleges                | Ref.  |        |              |        |         |
| Medical colleges                    | 1.976 | 0.248  | 0.000        | 7.216  | 4.438   | 11.733  |
| Enough income and exceeds           | Ref.  |        |              |        |         |
| Not enough income                   | -0.204| 0.250  | 0.414        | 0.816  | 0.500   | 1.330   |
| Living with parents                 | Ref.  |        |              |        |         |
| Living in a rented apartment         | 1.910 | 0.490  | 0.000        | 6.752  | 2.586   | 17.627  |
| Living on campus                    | 1.881 | 0.572  | 0.001        | 6.563  | 2.138   | 20.145  |
| Poor sleep quality                  | 1.149 | 0.273  | 0.000        | 3.156  | 1.850   | 5.385   |
| Regular exercise                    | -0.932| 0.364  | 0.000        | 0.394  | 0.193   | 0.803   |
| Smoking                             | 1.659 | 0.314  | 0.000        | 5.256  | 2.841   | 9.724   |
| Family history of IBS               | 0.495 | 0.247  | 0.045        | 1.641  | 1.012   | 2.661   |
| Emotional stress                    | 0.781 | 0.236  | 0.001        | 2.184  | 1.375   | 3.469   |

* Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.
DISCUSSION:
The present study explored various epidemiological aspects of IBS in 767 Saudi undergraduate students. The sample included college students from different regions in the KSA who were categorized according to college type into medical and non-medical students. The overall prevalence rate of IBS in the study population was 15.8%, which is consistent with what has been reported by international and local studies [7,8]. Globally, around 10 to 20% of adults are affected by IBS [3,4]. In Saudi Arabia, similar findings were reported by Hasosah et al., who found that 15.6% of medical students in Jeddah, KSA, are eligible cases for IBS according to the Rome III criteria [9]. No significant difference in the IBS prevalence rate was found between participants from different KSA regions. This is possibly due to similar lifestyle characteristics in this particular age group (i.e., 18 to 30 years) in all KSA regions.

Our data revealed that the prevalence of IBS was higher among females than among males, with a female to male ratio of 1.27:1. This is in agreement with previous reports that found a female predominance in the IBS prevalence [3,4,15]. In a systematic review and meta-analysis for 55 studies including 162 543 subjects, women showed a higher prevalence of IBS than men [16]. Possible explanations for this difference include physiologic differences and different medical care-seeking behaviors [17].

IBS is most commonly reported to be higher among medical students than among students of other colleges, possibly due to stressful medical students’ lifestyle [10,18]. In the current study, students of medical colleges were 7.2 times more likely to meet the criteria for an IBS diagnosis. These findings indicate the need for interventional studies that address this epidemiologic picture. Offering medical counseling for medical students may help in relieving their stress and, possibly, their IBS symptoms.

Cigarettes smoking in our study was an independent risk factor for IBS (OR=5.256; 95%CI=2.841, 9.724). The relationship between cigarettes smoking and IBS has been reported to be inconsistent in the literature. Our findings are supported by some observational studies that showed that cigarettes smoking was associated with a higher IBS prevalence [19,20].

Heredity plays an important role in IBS development [21,22]. Having a first-degree relative with IBS in this study was significantly associated with IBS symptoms. Emotional stress was common in participants with IBS and significantly predicted IBS prevalence. The role of stress in IBS is well-established. Evidence from clinical and experimental studies showed that emotional stress significantly impact intestinal sensitivity, secretion, motility, and permeability, and the underlying mechanism is correlated with activation of mucosal immunity, alterations in central and peripheral neurons, and gastrointestinal microbiota. As a result of stress, alterations in neuro-endocrine-immune pathways act on the gut-brain axis and cause or exaggerate symptoms of IBS. IBS is a stress-sensitive condition; therefore, treatment methods should focus on managing stress and stress-induced responses [23].

Goodnight sleep and regular exercise are essential components of everyday life. As many as 50% of individuals with IBS have sleep disturbances [24]. While IBS symptoms can potentially have a harmful effect on the restorative sleep pattern, recent data suggest that sleep disruption may directly impact GI symptoms and visceral sensitivity [25]. Moreover, regular exercise emerged in the current analysis as a protective factor against IBS symptoms, with students who regularly practiced exercise being 19% less likely to have IBS symptoms compared to students with sedentary lifestyles (OR=0.394; 95%CI=0.193, 0.803). These results, namely, poor sleep quality, lack of exercise, living away from family, and smoking further support the notion that IBS is a multifactorial process that is precipitated by environmental factors in those who have genetic susceptibility [26].

In conclusion, 15.8% of participants in this study met the Rome IV criteria for IBS diagnosis. Risk factors for IBS included female sex, being a student in a medical college, living in a rented apartment, living on campus, poor sleep quality, exercise, smoking, family history of IBS, and emotional stress. Interventional studies are required to explore possible solutions for the high prevalence of IBS, given that much of its risk factors are modifiable.

A limitation of the present study is the use of self-administered questionnaire which may result in a higher frequency of missed and inaccurate data than in an interview-based study. Also, as subjects were recruited through social media in a specific period of time, the current sample may not be representative of all Saudi undergraduate students as it neglects students who were socially inactive due to exams, vacations, or personal reasons.
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