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Abstract

Background: Combined with the increasing life expectancy, chronic medical conditions have gradually become the dominant cause of death and disability, and multimorbidity became an increasingly serious public health challenge. However, most existing studies have focused on the coexistence of specific diseases or relatively few diseases. Given one person may have multiple diseases at the same time, we applied Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) to systematically evaluate one's 10-year mortality. In this study, we explored the effects of nutrients and physical activity on CCI using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2013–2014 data.

Methods: The study sample consists of one continuous cycle (2013–2014) of NHANES, and 4386 subjects were included in the study. Nutrients intake was measured by dietary recall, and physical activity was evaluated by the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire respectively. Besides, CCI was the sum of the scores assigned for each medical condition. We utilized zero-inflated negative binomial (ZINB) model to investigate the effects in nutrients intake and physical activity on CCI by adjusting for seven sociodemographic characteristics, smoking and drinking.

Results: Among the 4386 participants, 2018 (68.7%) are Non-Hispanic White, over half participants (78.6%) drink. In count part (CCI ≥ 0), holding other variables constant, the expected change in CCI for a one-unit increase in niacin is 1.621 (RR = 1.621, p = 0.016), in lutein + zeaxanthin is 0.974 (RR = 0.974, p = 0.031), and in sedentary time is 1.035 (RR = 1.035, p = 0.005). Moreover, those who do not have vigorous work activity would be more likely to have higher CCI than those who have (RR = 1.275, P = 0.045). In logit part (CCI = 0), the log odds of having CCI equals zero would increase by 0.541 and 0.708 for every additional vigorous recreational activity (OR = 0.541, p = 0.004) and moderate recreational activity (OR = 0.708, p = 0.017) respectively.

Conclusions: Lutein and zeaxanthin intake, vigorous work activity, vigorous recreational activity and moderate recreational activity may be good for one's health. Rather, increasing niacin intake and sedentary activity may be likely to raise 10-year mortality. Our findings may be significant for preventing diseases and improving health, furthermore, reducing people's financial burden on healthcare.
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Background
Multimorbidity can be described as one person having multiple diseases at the same time [1]. Multimorbidity has been linked to reduced quality of life, increased mortality and healthcare cost [2]. Combined with the increasing life expectancy, chronic medical conditions have gradually become the dominant cause of death and disability, and multimorbidity became an increasingly serious global public health challenge [3, 4]. As a chronic disease, the death rate of diabetes increased by 32.1% between 2005 and 2015, while the death rate of diabetic nephropathy increased by 39.5% during the same period [5]. Increasing evidences show that diabetic patients will be more likely to suffer from one or more microvascular complications, including cardiovascular diseases (CVD), peripheral neuropathy, blindness, kidney diseases, and so on [6]. Moreover, researches indicated that hypertension, heart failure and diabetes were the most common comorbidities of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, importantly, the incidence of patients with at least one comorbidity was 84.5% [7]. Furthermore, researchers indicated that there is a correlation between smoking and hepatitis C virus infection in the United States [8]. For infectious diseases, chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) are a major global health burden, which usually results in liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [9–11].

However, most existing studies have focused on the coexistence of specific diseases or relatively few diseases, such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and cancer, rather than various chronic diseases affecting one person. Moreover, several studies have indicated that social determinants play an important part in pathological changes of the disease and were regarded as the direct cause of some chronic diseases, such as Type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancers, infectious diseases and so on [12, 13]. The social determinants includes income, education, occupation, lifestyles, government programs and many other elements that affect the health of individuals [14]. Several studies have shown associations of positive effects of nutrition and lifestyle on hypertension reduction [15, 16]. In addition, recent evidence suggests that smoking and drinking is associated with increased risk of cancers and other chronic diseases [17, 18]. What is more, researchers from large-scale population-based study have indicated that serum folate concentration may be concerned with prostate cancer risk [19]. Furthermore, patterns of dietary, intensity of physical activity and sedentary time play a crucial role in cardiovascular health [20].

Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) is a tool used to quantify the total burden of comorbidity and have clinical applications in evaluate one’s 10-year mortality [21–23]. In 1984, CCI was first used to assess the one-year mortality by reviewing hospital charts, which was confirmed in a cohort study of 685 breast cancer patients. Each disease from 19 medical conditions was given a weighting score, and the CCI was the sum of all the scores [23]. The standard regression model usually applies to a normal distribution. Although Poisson regression is suitable for modeling counts, it assumes the variance and mean of the distribution are equal. Besides, negative binomial (NB) model allows for the variance to exceed the mean because of its dispersion parameter, but it unable to model too many zeros counts well [24]. Zero-inflated negative binomial (ZINB) model is appropriate for modeling over-dispersed and zero-inflated data [25, 26], which assumes the zeros come from two sub-classes. Specifically, one population constitutes observations that might contain zero counts (at risk class) because of sampling while another population is composed of observations that always contain zeros (not at risk class). In general, logistic regression (logit link) is employed to distinguish structural zeros from sampling zeros and positive counts. Besides, NB or Poisson model is used to model the counts that might contain zeros. Furthermore, we can estimate odds ratios (OR) and risk ratios (RR) from the logit part and count part of the model, respectively [24].

The aim of this study was to explore the relative contribution of nutrients and physical activity to 10-year mortality, which will provide valuable information for public health professionals, providers, and policymakers. And improve quality of life, reduce mortality as well. Given one person may have various diseases at the same time, we applied CCI to systematically evaluate one’s 10-year mortality. Different from other studies, we explored the effects of nutrients and physical activity on one’s 10-year mortality using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2013–2014 data by ZINB model.

Methods
Study population
The study sample consists of one continuous cycle (2013–2014) of NHANES, which used a stratified multi-stage probability cluster design to be representative of the civilian, noninstitutionalized U.S. population, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, under the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [27, 28]. A total of 10,175 individuals participated in NHANES during 2013–2014, but 5769 adults who were 20 years of age or older were restricted to our study. We excluded participants who were pregnant or lactating (n = 104). Besides, adults with caloric intakes of < 500 kcal or > 5000 kcal per day were excluded (n = 60). Then, we excluded 1219 participants due to missing covariate
information, leaving 4386 eligible subjects for the study. Study protocols for NHANES were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics ethics review board (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm). All the participants signed the informed consent before participating in the study. The dataset is available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/continuousnhanes/default.aspx?BeginYear=2013.

Assessment of sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics
The study included a number of covariates evaluated as potential confounding factors, such as age (20–39; 40–59; 60 and above); gender (male, female); race/ethnicity (Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Other Race); educational attainment (less than high school; high school, including general equivalent diploma; college or higher); income ($0–$24,999, $25,000–$44,999, $55,000–$74,999, $75,000 or above); smoking (yes, no); drinking (yes, no).

In addition, physical activity was also incorporated into the paper. The Physical Activity Questionnaire is based on the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire [29], and the questions were asked using the Computer-Assisted Personal Interview software. The main outcomes of physical activity were defined using the following questions: (1) Sedentary activity: “How much time do you usually spend sitting on a typical day?”; (2) Vigorous work activity: “Does your work involve vigorous-intensity activity that causes large increases in breathing or heart rate like carrying or lifting heavy loads, digging or construction work for at least 10 minutes continuously?” (yes, no); (3) Moderate work activity: “Does your work involve moderate-intensity activity that causes small increases in breathing or heart rate such as brisk walking or carrying light loads for at least 10 minutes continuously?” (yes, no); (4) Moderate recreational physical activity: “Do you do any moderate-intensity sports, fitness, or recreational activity that cause a small increase in breathing or heart rate such as brisk walking, bicycling, swimming, or volleyball for at least 10 minutes continuously?” (yes, no); (5) Vigorous recreational physical activity: “Do you do any vigorous-intensity sports, fitness, or recreational activity that cause large increases in breathing or heart rate like running or basketball for at least 10 minutes continuously?” (yes, no).

Assessment of dietary nutrients
NHANES subjects were asked for two averaged 24-h recalls of dietary intakes using the USDA’s Automated Multiple-Pass method [30]. The first dietary recall was conducted by trained dietary interviewers, they gathered detailed information on all the foods and beverages participants consumed in the past 24 h, and a second recall was administered by telephone 3 to 10 days later. The total dietary nutrients taken through food and beverages are averaged over two days, nonetheless, if one did not complete the second dietary interview, only the first interview was used. Dietary supplements were also evaluated by the information on the number of days they were taken and the amount that were taken in the past 30 days. What is more, the average intake for 30 days is the total amount of supplement. Furthermore, total dietary nutrients intake was evaluated by foods and supplements.

Response variable
Forty-nine chronic diseases (including infectious diseases, like HBV and HCV) were included in the study, which were self-reported using the NHANES questionnaire. Chronic HBV and chronic HCV are considered as a global public health problem, which usually lead to liver cirrhosis and HCC [9–11], so they were included in this study. Diagnosed diseases were defined by positive responses to one or more questions such as: “Have you ever been told that you have the illness?” and “Are you now taking some pills to control your disease?”. People who do not report whether they suffer from a certain disease are considered healthy, which means the disease condition is assigned a value of zero. And we did this for the following reasons. Firstly, those who “do not know” the answer are most likely to have never been diagnosed by patients, because they do not have the symptoms of certain disease. Thus, we consider them do not suffer from such disease. What is more, the occurrence of chronic diseases is a slow progress. And it takes a long time from the change of body to the appearance of symptoms, which brings us to keep a conservative estimate. Meanwhile, this also avoid reducing the sample size by excluding the participants with missing values, so as to improve the representativeness and reliability of the study. The comprehensive health status of a participant was measured by the CCI [31], which is shown in Additional file 1. Derived from adding up the scores of forty-nine diseases, CCI evaluates a participant’s severity of comorbidity and the mortality in 10 years. The 10-year mortality (Y) is calculated as follows: Y = e ^ (CCI + 0.9), which can be obtained at website https://fpnotebook.com/prevent/Exam/ChrlsnCmrbdtyIndx.htm#fpnContent-panel-id_6.

Statistical analyses
Figure 1 demonstrates that the distribution of CCI is non-normal count data and the variance is greater than the mean, which could be deemed as over-dispersed data. Simultaneously, there are too many zero counts presented in Fig. 1, and NB model unable to model CCI well. CCI was assumed as an over-dispersed and zero-
inflated count variable, so we utilized ZINB model to appropriately model it [24, 32]. The model examined the association of the exposure variables, in this case dietary nutrients and physical activity, with the outcome variable (CCI) in two parts. Specifically, the logit part models CCI equals zero (structural zero), and count part models CCI equals to zero (sampling zero) and positive counts. Seven covariates including age, gender, race, education, income, smoking and drinking were adjusted for both in the logit part and count part.

All analyses account for clusters pseudo-strata, pseudo-sampling units and participant weights to accommodate the complex sampling of the data, which were performed by using Stata/SE, version 15.1 statistical software. Two-sided \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant for statistical inferences.

**Results**

Among the 4386 participants, 2018 (68.7%) are Non-Hispanic White, 946 (13.8%) are Hispanic, 863 (10.5%) are Non-Hispanic Black, and 559 (7.0%) are other races (including multi-racial). In addition, 2396 (55.2%) are GED/AA degree. In the respect of drinking, over half participants (78.6%) drink. On average, the intake of niacin is 37.1 ± 1.34 mg, and sedentary activity is 7.1 ± 0.10 h. Other information related to background characteristics, nutrient intake and physical activity are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The frequency distribution of CCI is shown in Fig. 1, and there are 66.7% of the respondents with CCI equals zero.

The results of count part were presented in Table 3. In count part (CCI \( \geq 0 \)), holding other variables constant, the expected change in CCI for a one-unit increase in niacin is 1.621 (RR = 1.62, \( p = 0.016 \)), the expected change in CCI for a one-unit increase in lutein + zeaxanthin is 0.974 (RR = 0.974, \( p = 0.031 \)), and the expected change in CCI for a one-unit increase in sedentary time is 1.035 (RR = 1.035, \( p = 0.005 \)). Moreover, a non-vigorous work activity has an expected RR of 1.275 higher than a vigorous work activity holding other variables constant (RR = 1.275, \( P = 0.045 \)).

Table 3 also contains weighted ORs from logit part of ZINB model. In logit part (CCI = 0), the log odds of having CCI equals zero would increase by 0.541 for every additional vigorous recreational activity (OR = 0.541, \( p = 0.004 \)), and the log odds of having CCI equals zero would increase by 0.708 for every additional moderate recreational activity (OR = 0.708, \( p = 0.017 \)).

After adjusting for the background characteristics (age, gender, race, education, income, smoking and drinking), we have the following principal findings. With the increasing intakes of niacin, participants were more likely to suffer from an increase in CCI. However, lutein and zeaxanthin might have beneficial impact on individuals’ health and decrease mortality. In the respect of physical activity, the death rate among people who have higher sedentary time and do not have vigorous work activity will be more likely to increase. In addition, persons do not have vigorous or moderate recreational activity have the risk of death compared with those who have.

**Discussion**

**Niacin**

In our study, we found the higher the total niacin intake, the higher the risk of death. Although there are limited studies that directly look at the association between
niacin and CCI, the relationship between niacin and cer-
tain disease plays the role of indirect explanation. Similar
to our findings, Park et al. [33] reported that there is a
positive correlation between niacin intake and basal cell
carcinoma risk, the authors also indicated that the
higher the total niacin intake, the higher the risk of mel-
anoma risk in men, but not in women. This also pro-
vides new ideas for our future research, because we did
not analyze gender differences. Niacin promote the syn-
thesis of sex hormones, and affect the development of
melanocytes, and higher estrogen exposure increases the
risk of skin melanoma [34, 35]. Although high doses of
niacin is used as a cholesterol-lowering drug clinically,
side effects on the body are also obvious, such as causing
vasodilation, skin flushing, headache and hypotension
[36, 37]. However, the intakes of niacin always
accompanied with other B group vitamins or other nu-
trients, and this might mask the opposite relationship. In
addition, in this study, niacin intake is $37.1 \pm 1.34$ mg
averagely presented in Table 2, which marginally exceeds
recommended allowances of nutrients [38]. And it is
easily accumulated in the body because of the properties
of fat-soluble, which might be partially reasons of ad-
verse relationship between niacin and mortality. So, the
results may be due to chance. Thus, future studies are
need in this area.

Lutein and zeaxanthin
Our study showed a negative correlation between lutein
and zeaxanthin intake and 10-year mortality. Although it’s
hard to find studies that directly look at the correlation
between lutein and zeaxanthin and CCI, the relationship
between lutein and zeaxanthin and certain disease plays
the role of indirect explanation. In this way, our result is
in agreement with plenty of earlier studies. One recent
research suggested that the more lutein and zeaxanthin
consumed, the lower risk of colorectal cancer [39]. In
addition, Heinen et al. [40] indicated that lutein and zea-
xanthin also plays a crucial role in skin cancer. Moreover,
researchers demonstrated that lutein and zeaxanthin may
reduce the risk of cancer types especially breast and lung
cancers, they also indicated its function of suppressing
heart disease and stroke [41]. Its impact on preventing
and controlling diseases might attribute to the following
mechanisms. Firstly, acting as anti-oxidant, they reduce
oxidative damage indirectly by absorbing light [42, 43].
Secondly, early studies indicated that lutein and zeaxan-
thin may protect against inflammation by inhibiting the
increase of oxidation-induced cytokines and upregulating
the expression of inflammation-related genes [44]. Besides,
as anti-oxidants, zeaxanthin effectively remove water-
soluble and lipid-soluble peroxyl radicals [45]. Further-
more, animal studies suggested that lutein and zeaxanthin
could reduce the adverse effects of inflammatory cytokines
and low density lipoprotein on blood vessels [46, 47].
These reports all support our finding.

Nutrients without statistically significance
Our study did not find any associations between other
nutrients intake and CCI. Consistent with our report, re-
searchers of a cohort study reported that vitamin K in-
take was not associated with mortality [48], and Vinceti
et al. [49] indicated that increasing selenium intake
through diet and supplement may not prevent cancer.
Besides, a systematic review and meta-analysis of pro-
spective cohort studies demonstrated that dietary choline
intake have no effect on incident CVD, and authors
also find the association between dietary choline intake
and CVD mortality was not statistically significant [50].
Table 3 shows the 95% confidence interval (CI) of OR

### Table 1: Background characteristics of respondents aged 20
years and above, NHANES 2013–2014 (n = 4386)

| Variable                        | Study Participants n (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Age**                        |                         |
| 20–39                          | 1456 (34.9)             |
| 40–59                          | 1501 (37.2)             |
| 60 and above                   | 1429 (27.9)             |
| **Gender**                     |                         |
| Male                           | 2154 (49.6)             |
| Female                         | 2232 (50.4)             |
| **Race/ethnicity**             |                         |
| Hispanic                       | 946 (13.8)              |
| Non-Hispanic White             | 2018 (68.7)             |
| Non-Hispanic Black             | 863 (10.5)              |
| Other Races (Including Multi-Racial) | 559 (7.0)       |
| **Educational attainment**     |                         |
| Less than High school          | 842 (13.6)              |
| GED/AA degree                  | 2396 (55.2)             |
| College and higher             | 1148 (31.2)             |
| **Income**                     |                         |
| 0–24,999                       | 1343 (22.5)             |
| 25,000–54,999                  | 1275 (27.1)             |
| 55,000–74,999                  | 470 (12.0)              |
| 75,000 and above               | 1298 (38.4)             |
| **Smoking**                    |                         |
| Yes                            | 1942 (43.8)             |
| No                             | 2444 (56.2)             |
| **Drinking**                   |                         |
| Yes                            | 3219 (78.6)             |
| No                             | 1167 (21.4)             |

*All data are weighted to be nationally representative*
and RR values for dietary fiber, vitamin E, copper, etc. is very large. This may be attributed to the insufficient sample size, leading to the instability of results. However, some of our results differed from several others. Authors of a prior study of middle and older-aged adults aged 40 and older years (NHANES, 1988–1994) found the relationship between vitamin D status and cardiometabolic mortality [51]. Our results may differ from others due to age difference, and dietary recall is less accurate than a biological sample. In addition, in contrast to a cohort study of Spanish graduates’ findings of correlations between vitamin C intake and cardiovascular mortality [52], we found no relationship between vitamin C intake and mortality, which may be attributed to ethnic factors. Furthermore, the differences in our study results and those of other studies might be partially due to the potential confounding factors and measurement differences. Furthermore, statistical model plays a crucial role as well.

Sedentary time and physical activity
We concluded that individuals who have higher sedentary time and do not have vigorous work activity will be more likely to have higher rate of death. And people who do not have vigorous recreational activity or moderate recreational activity have the risk of death. Previous studies have reported that there is a negative correlation between health status and sedentary time, which is associated with chronic diseases and overall mortality [53, 54]. For adults and the elderly, inactivity in leisure time and high television time increase the incidence of chronic diseases [55]. Moreover, a research from NHANES 2009–2012 pointed out that increasing time spent on physical activity will lead to lower risk of chronic diseases [56]. However, some researchers suggested that high activity level could weaken the increased risk associated with excessive sedentary time [57]. Importantly, this provide us with a novel idea that our study could consider the interaction between physical activity and sedentary time in further studies.

Strengths and limitations
There are several strengths of this work. Firstly, including a representative sample with a large size, and NHANES

| Table 2 | Nutrients intake and physical activity of respondents aged 20 years and above, NHANES 2013–2014 (n = 4386) (Continued) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | Study Participants |
| Protein (gm) | 82.7 (0.93) |
| Carbohydrate (gm) | 245.3 (1.80) |
| Dietary fiber (gm) | 17.0 (0.27) |
| Total fat (gm) | 80.4 (0.76) |
| Vitamin A (mcg) | 634.5 (15.22) |
| Thiamin (Vitamin B1) (mg) | 7.5 (1.24) |
| Riboflavin (Vitamin B2) (mg) | 4.6 (0.31) |
| Niacin (mg) | 37.1 (1.34) |
| Vitamin B6 (mg) | 7.4 (1.96) |
| Folate (mcg) | 526.8 (9.63) |
| Vitamin B12 (mcg) | 78.3 (6.60) |
| Vitamin C (mg) | 178.7 (9.00) |
| Vitamin K (mcg) | 133.8 (6.00) |
| Vitamin D (D2 + D3) (mcg) | 18.1 (0.77) |
| Vitamin E (mg) | 10.1 (0.22) |
| Calcium (gm) | 1.1 (0.02) |
| Phosphorus (gm) | 1.4 (0.02) |
| Magnesium (mg) | 331.9 (5.5) |
| Iron (mg) | 17.9 (0.34) |
| Zinc (mg) | 15.5 (0.30) |
| Copper (mg) | 1.5 (0.03) |
| Sodium (gm) | 3.5 (0.03) |
| Potassium (gm) | 2.7 (0.04) |
| Selenium (mcg) | 132.9 (1.65) |
| Water (kg) | 2.9 (0.05) |
| Cholesterol (mg) | 292.5 (4.46) |
| Lycopene (mg) | 5.1 (0.11) |
| Lutein + zeaxanthin (mg) | 1.8 (0.06) |
| Alpha-carotene (mcg) | 419.3 (22.9) |
| Beta-carotene (mg) | 2.3 (0.10) |
| Beta-cryptoxanthin (mcg) | 849.3 (31.1) |
| Total choline (mg) | 336.2 (4.84) |
| Hours sedentary activity | 7.1 (0.10) |
| Vigorous work activity | |
| Yes | 847 (20.1) |
| No | 3339 (79.9) |
| Moderate work activity | |
| Yes | 1516 (36.9) |
| No | 2870 (63.1) |
| Vigorous recreational activity | |
| Yes | 1017 (25.3) |
| No | 3369 (74.7) |

*All data are weighted to be nationally representative.
### Table 3: Predictors of Carlson comorbidity index among respondents aged 20 years and above, NHANES 2013–2014* (n = 4386)

| Variable                     | Count part          | OR part          |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                              | RR (95% CI)         | p-Value          |
| Protein (kg)                 | 0.171 (0.013,0.03)  | 0.211            |
| Carbohydrate (kg)            | 0.462 (0.19,1.11)   | 0.079            |
| Dietary fiber (gm)           | 0.00013 (6.19e-9,2.65) | 0.074        |
| Total fat (kg)               | 0.223 (0.03,1.66)   | 0.132            |
| Vitamin A (mg)               | 0.934 (0.76,1.14)   | 0.479            |
| Thiamin (Vitamin B1) (gm)    | 0.820 (0.42,1.60)   | 0.539            |
| Riboflavin (Vitamin B2) (gm) | 25.700 (0.01,466,3003) | 0.379         |
| Niacin (gm)                  | 1.621 (1.1,2.37)    | 0.016            |
| Vitamin B6 (gm)              | 15.134 (0.24,958.15) | 0.183        |
| Folate (mg)                  | 1.085 (0.94,1.25)   | 0.240            |
| Vitamin B12 (mg)             | 0.988 (0.90,1.08)   | 0.786            |
| Vitamin C (gm)               | 1.111 (0.93,1.33)   | 0.239            |
| Vitamin K (mg)               | 1.007 (0.99,1.02)   | 0.231            |
| Vitamin D (D2 + D3) (mg)     | 2.242 (0.72,7.01)   | 0.152            |
| Vitamin E (mg)               | 0.998 (< 0.01,1419.52) | 0.764          |
| Calcium (gm)                 | 1.072 (0.97,1.19)   | 0.170            |
| Phosphorus (gm)              | 0.933 (0.77,1.13)   | 0.448            |
| Magnesium (gm)               | 1.004 (0.67,1.49)   | 0.984            |
| Iron (gm)                    | 2.711 (0.07,100.16) | 0.565            |
| Zinc (gm)                    | 7.067 (0.09,529.69) | 0.350            |
| Copper (mg)                  | 1.018 (1.10e-15,1.69e+30) | 0.482        |
| Sodium (mg)                  | 0.959 (0.91,1.01)   | 0.123            |
| Potassium (gm)               | 0.924 (0.83,1.03)   | 0.157            |
| Selenium (mg)                | 0.862 (0.47,1.59)   | 0.612            |
| Water (kg)                   | 0.983 (0.93,1.04)   | 0.559            |
| Cholesterol (gm)             | 0.994 (0.67,1.47)   | 0.973            |
| Lycopene (mg)                | 0.992 (0.99,1.00)   | 0.140            |
| Lutein + zeaxanthin (mg)     | 0.974 (0.95,0.99)   | 0.031            |
| Alpha-carotene (mg)          | 0.945 (0.85,1.05)   | 0.253            |
| Beta-carotene (mg)           | 0.987 (0.955,1.02)  | 0.411            |
| Beta-cryptoxanthin (mg)      | 0.863 (0.71,1.05)   | 0.133            |
| Total choline (gm)           | 0.828 (0.50,1.38)   | 0.442            |
| Hours sedentary activity     | 1.035 (1.01,1.06)   | 0.005            |
| Vigorous work activity       |                     |                  |
| Yes                          | Reference           | Reference        |
| No                           | 1.275 (1.01,1.62)   | 0.045            |
| Moderate work activity       |                     |                  |
| Yes                          | Reference           | Reference        |
| No                           | 0.984 (0.87,1.12)   | 0.788            |
| Vigorous recreational activity|                     |                  |
| Yes                          | Reference           | Reference        |
| No                           | 0.977 (0.78,1.22)   | 0.828            |
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Table 3 Predictors of Carlson comorbidity index among respondents aged 20 years and above, NHANES 2013–2014\(^a\) (n = 4386) (Continued)

| Variable                              | Count part                | Logit part               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                       | RR (95% CI)               | p-Value                  | OR (95% CI)               | p-Value |
| Moderate recreational activity         |                           |                          |                           |         |
| Yes                                   | 1.030 (0.89,1.20)         | 0.680                    | 0.708 (0.54,0.93)         | 0.017   |
| No                                    | Reference                 | Reference                |                           |         |

*All data are weighted to be nationally representative*
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