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Structural Characterization, Magnetic and Luminescent Properties of Praseodymium(III)-4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(2-Naphthyl)Butane-1,3-Dionato(1-) Complexes
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Abstract: Four new Pr(III) mononuclear complexes of formula [Pr(ntfa)(MeOH)] 2 (1), [Pr(ntfa)(bipy)] 2 (2), [Pr(ntta)(4,4`-Mtibipy)] 3 (3) and [Pr(ntta)(5,5`-Me-bipy)] 4 (4), where ntfa = 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)butane-1,3-dionato(1-), 5,5`-Me2bipy = 5,5`-dimethyl-2,2`-dipyridine, 4,4`-Mt2bipy = 4,4`-dimethoxy-2,2`-dipyridine, have been synthesized and structurally characterized. The complexes display the coordination numbers 8 for 1, 3 and 4, and 10 for 2. Magnetic measurements of complexes 1–4 were consistent with a magnetically uncoupled Pr3+ ion in the 1H4 ground state. The solid state luminescence studies showed that the ancillary chelating bipyridyl ligands in the 2–4 complexes greatly enhance the luminescence emission in the visible and NIR regions through efficient energy transfer from the ligands to the central Pr3+ ion, behaving as “antenna” ligands.
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1. Introduction

The unique properties of lanthanide complexes to display characteristic narrow emission bands over a wide spectral range from ultraviolet (UV) to near infrared (NIR) regions and their long emission life times (microseconds to milliseconds timescale) [1–9] make them ideal candidates for many potential applications. The lanthanide complexes have been employed in light devices [10–17], solid state lasers [1,2,18], temperature probes [19–21] and in the construction of optical signal amplifiers in telecommunication networks [22,23] as well as in biomedical imaging (MRI) fields [24,25]. Moreover, complexes of Pr(III), Er(III) and Yb(III) containing the Schiff base N2,N3-bis(anthracen-9-ylmethylene)pyridine-2,3-diamine (SBL) showed in vitro cytotoxicity against some human cancer cell lines [26] and the role of Ln(III) complexes to bind, cleavage of DNA also in diagnosis, and monitoring the treatment of cancer disease was recently summarized [27]. In addition to these applications, the presence of large number of unpaired f-electrons and the large intrinsic magnetic anisotropy of the lanthanide
compounds [3,28–32] utilized a useful way in the design of molecular magnetic materials (SMMs) [3,33–43].

The high surface positive charge density of Ln³⁺ ions (charge/ionic radius) makes them behave as hard acids and consequently they prefer the coordination to hard Lewis bases. Although the intermolecular interaction forces of Ln³⁺ with chelated ligand(s) are electrostatic in nature, steric factors rather than electronic ones [44] dominate the geometry of the complexes and as a result, the Ln³⁺ complexes containing the same ligand are usually all isostructural. The interactions of β-diketonato ligands with Ln³⁺ produces thermodynamically stable complexes [9,17,40,41,43,45–48], where the coordinated β-diketonates act as efficient antenna ligands for lanthanides emitting in the visible and NIR region [1–4,6,17,36]. The resulting Ln(III)-β-diketonates complexes may display coordination numbers (C.N.) up to 12, but 8 and 9 are the most frequently observed C.N. with the Ln³⁺ ions. In most of these complexes, the central Ln³⁺ ion is coordinated to 3 bidentate-β-diketonate anions, resulting in the presence of several vacant coordination positions, which may be available for the interaction with various solvent molecules and/or ancillary ligands [36,48–51].

Since the early lanthanide elements (Ce-Pm) were not thoroughly investigated compared to the heavier series (Sm-Yb), the following study was undertaken to focus on one of these elements. Therefore, herein, we report the synthesis, structural characterization and magnetic behavior as well as the luminescence properties of the four praseodymium(III) complexes derived from 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(2-naphthyl)butane-1,3-dionato(1-) (ntfa) and the ancillary ligands: 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy), 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (5,5'-MeBipy) and 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (4,4'-Mtbipy) as well as MeOH.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials and Physical Measurements

4,4,4-Trifluoro-1-(2-naphthyl)butane-1,3-dione, 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy), 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (5,5'-MeBipy) and 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (4,4'-Mtbipy) were purchased from TCI. Praseodymium(III) nitrate hexahydrate was obtained from Strzem Chemicals and the other chemicals were of analytical grade quality. Infrared spectra of solid complexes were recorded on a Bruker Alpha P (platinum-ATR-cap) spectrometer. Elemental microanalyses for complex 1 were performed at Atlantic Microlaboratory, Atlanta, Georgia and the rest of complexes were carried out with an Elementar Vario EN3 analyser.

2.2. Synthesis of the Complexes

[Pr(ntfa)₃(MeOH)] (I). A methanolic solution (10 mL) of Pr(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (435 mg, 1 mmol) was added to another methanolic solution (15 mL) containing 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(2-naphthyl)-1,3-butanedione (Hntfa) (799 mg, 3 mmol) and sodium hydroxide (120 mg, 3 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, filtered through celite and then allowed to crystallize at ambient temperature. The shiny crystals of [Pr(ntfa)₃(MeOH)] (I), which was separated after two days, were collected by filtration, and dried in air (yield: 760 mg, 75%). Anal. Calcd. for C₅₇H₃₄F₆O₉Pr (1000.61 g/mol): C, 52.8; H, 3.2; N, 0.0%. Selected IR bands (ATR-IR, cm⁻¹): 3450 (w,br), 1601 (s), 1590 (s), 1504 (s), 1351 (m), 1457 (m), 1354 (w), 1286 (s), 1251 (m), 1183 (s), 1125 (vs), 1075 (m), 1016 (m), 958 (w), 864 (m), 796 (vs), 763 (m), 683 (s).

[Pr(ntfa)₂(bipy)] (2). [Pr(ntfa)₃(MeOH)] (103 mg, 0.103 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL ethanol/acetone (4:1) and 2,2'-bipyridine (34 mg, 0.22 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL ethanol/acetone (4:1). The two solutions were combined and stirred for approximately 2 h. The mixture was then filtered and allowed to crystallize at room temperature. After three days, white crystals of [Pr(ntfa)₂(bipy)] were collected by filtration and dried in air (yield: 71 mg, 52%). Anal. Calcd. for C₆₂H₄₆F₃N₄O₆Pr (1248.89 g/mol): C, 59.6; H, 3.2; N,
4.5. Found: C, 59.8; H, 3.3; N, 4.4%. Selected IR bands (ATR-IR, cm⁻¹): 1632 (m), 1612 (s), 1591 (m), 1567 (m), 1505 (m), 1432 (w), 1384 (w), 1352 (w), 1284 (s), 1167 (m), 1067 (m), 1004 (m), 957 (m), 923 (w), 862 (w), 816 (w), 785 (s), 750 (s), 680 (s), 636 (w), 546 (m), 506 (w), 470 (m), 449 (w), 416 (m).

\[\text{[Pr(NTfa)(4,4'-Mt:Bipy)] (3).} \text{[Pr(NTfa)(MeOH)] (150 mg, 0.15 mmol) and 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2’-dipyridine (36 mg, 0.16 mmol) were dissolved in 30 mL ethanol/acetone mixture (4:1) and the solution was stirred for approximately 2 h. The mixture was then filtered and allowed to crystallize at room temperature. After five days, the resulting small green crystals, which were separated, were collected by filtration and dried in air (yield: 109 mg, 63%).} \text{Anal. Calcd. for C₅₄H₃₆F₉N₂O₈Pr (1152.76 g/mol): C, 56.3; H, 3.1; N, 2.4. Found:}}

\[\text{H, 3.3; N, 2.6%. Selected IR bands (ATR-IR, cm}^{-1}: 1606 (s), 1588 (m), 1567 (m), 1528 (m), 1506 (m), 1458 (m), 1384 (m), 1289 (s), 1249 (m), 1219 (w), 1181 (m), 1131 (s), 1072 (w), 956 (m), 935 (w), 865 (w), 793 (s), 751 (m), 680 (m), 563 (m), 518 (w), 471 (m), 392 (w).} \text{[Pr(NTfa)(5,5'-MeBipy)] (4).} \text{[Pr(NTfa)(MeOH)] (160 mg, 0.16 mmol) and 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2’-dipyridine (32 mg, 0.17 mmol) were dissolved in 20 mL ethanol/acetone mixture (3:1) and the solution was stirred for about 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was then filtered and allowed to crystallize at room temperature. After ten days, the green crystals, which were separated, were collected by filtration and dried in air (yield: 90 mg, 50%).} \text{Anal. Calcd. for C₅₄H₃₆F₉N₂O₈Pr (1120.76 g/mol): C, 57.9; H, 3.2; N, 2.5. Found: C, 57.7; H, 3.3; N, 2.6%. Selected IR bands (ATR-IR, cm}^{-1}: 1606 (s), 1588 (m), 1567 (m), 1528 (m), 1506 (m), 1458 (m), 1384 (w), 1289 (s), 1249 (m), 1219 (w), 1181 (m), 1131 (s), 1072 (w), 956 (m), 935 (w), 865 (w), 793 (s), 751 (m), 680 (m), 563 (m), 518 (w), 471 (m), 392 (w).} \]

2.3. Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction Analysis

Single-crystal data of 1–4 were measured on an APEX II CCD diffractometer (Bruker-AXS). Table 1 summarizes crystallographic data, intensity data collection, and structure refinement specifications. Data collections were performed at 100(2) K with Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å); computer programs APEX and SADABS [52,53] were used for data reduction, LP, and absorption corrections. The program library SHELX [54,55] was used for solution (direct methods) and refinement (full-matrix least-squares methods on F²). Anisotropic displacement parameters were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. H atoms (Uiso) were obtained from difference Fourier maps. HFIX geometrical constraints were applied only for C–H bonds. Additional software: Mercury [56]; PLATON [57]. CCDC deposition numbers: CCDC 2054083 for 1, CCDC 2054084 for 2, CCDC 2054085 for 3, and CCDC 2054086 for 4.

Table 1. Crystallographic data and processing parameters for 1–4.

| Compound | 1 | 2 |
|----------|---|---|
| Empirical formula | CsH₅F₅O₅Pr | CsH₅F₅N₂O₅Pr |
| Formula mass | 1000.61 | 1248.89 |
| System | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P2₁/c | P-1 |
| a (Å) | 8.9307(4) | 11.9059(4) |
| b (Å) | 28.9448(12) | 15.3675(6) |
| c (Å) | 16.2421(7) | 79.097(2) |
| α (°) | 90 | 70.308(2) |
| β (°) | 105.674(2) | 67.575(2) |
| γ (°) | 90 | 263.20(16) |
| V (Å³) | 4042.4(3) | 2632.08(16) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| T (K) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| μ (mm⁻¹) | 1.301 | 1.016 |
| Dcalc (Mg/m³) | 1.644 | 1.576 |
| Data collected | 185055 | 95375 |
|----------------|--------|-------|
| Unique refl. / $R_{\text{int}}$ | 11862 / 0.0797 | 11469 / 0.0642 |
| Parameters / Restraints | 567 / 0 | 767 / 66 |
| Goodness-of-Fit on $F^2$ | 1.234 | 0.991 |
| $R_1$ / $wR_2$ (all data) | 0.0437 / 0.0853 | 0.0276 / 0.0728 |

| Compound | 3 | 4 |
|----------|---|---|
| Empirical formula | Cs$_5$H$_{36}$F$_9$N$_2$O$_8$Pr | Cs$_5$H$_{36}$F$_9$N$_2$O$_6$Pr |
| Formula mass | 1152.76 | 1120.76 |
| System | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $P2_1/c$ | $Pca2_1$ |
| $a$ (Å) | 13.2021(5) | 20.1848(6) |
| $b$ (Å) | 14.6509(6) | 11.9034(3) |
| $c$ (Å) | 24.8108(10) | 19.6102(6) |
| $\alpha$ (°) | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$ (°) | 98.938(2) | 90 |
| $\gamma$ (°) | 90 | 90 |
| $V$ (Å$^3$) | 4740.7(3) | 4711.7(2) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 4 |
| $T$ (K) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| $\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$) | 1.123 | 1.124 |
| $D_{\text{calc}}$ (Mg/m$^3$) | 1.615 | 1.580 |
| $\theta$ max (°) | 30.641 | 29.995 |
| Data collected | 405492 | 163636 |
| Unique refl. / $R_{\text{int}}$ | 14586 / 0.0514 | 13727 / 0.0648 |
| Parameters / Restraints | 669 / 0 | 651 / 7 |
| Goodness-of-Fit on $F^2$ | 1.146 | 1.029 |
| $R_1$ / $wR_2$ (all data) | 0.0260 / 0.0622 | 0.0266 / 0.0534 |

2.4. Powder X-Ray Diffraction

The purity of the compounds was checked by PXRD (See supplement materials Figures S1-S4). The powder patterns were measured on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, USA) with a LynxEye detector in Bragg–Brentano $\theta$/2$\theta$ geometry, with the sample dispersed thinly on a zero-background Si sample holder, $\lambda$(CuK$\alpha$) = 1.54060 Å, scans from 5° to 50° 2$\theta$, stepsize 0.02°.

2.5. Magnetic Measurements

Magnetic measurements were performed on solid polycrystalline samples in a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer at the Magnetic Measurements Unit of the Universitat de Barcelona. Pascal’s constants were used to estimate the diamagnetic corrections, which were subtracted from the experimental susceptibilities to give the corrected molar magnetic susceptibilities.

2.6. Luminescence Measurements

Solid state fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Horiva Jobin Yvon SPEX Nanolog fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a three slit double grating excitation and emission monochromator with dispersions of 2.1 nm/mm (1200 grooves/mm) at room temperature. The steady-state luminescence was excited by unpolarized light from a 450 W xenon CW lamp and detected at an angle of 90° for solid state measurement by a red-sensitive Hamamatsu R928P photomultiplier tube. Spectra were reference corrected for
both the excitation source light intensity variation (lamp and grating) and the emission spectral response (detector and grating). Near infra-red spectra were recorded at an angle of 90° using a liquid nitrogen cooled, solid indium/gallium/arsenic detector (850-1600 nm).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Spectra

The reaction of a methanolic solution of Pr(NO₃)₃·6H₂O with 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(2-naphthyl)-butane-1,3-dione (Hntfa) in the presence of NaOH in a 1:3:3 molar ratio yielded the complex [Pr(ntfa)₃(MeOH)] (1). The interaction of [Pr(ntfa)₃(MeOH)] with the polypyridyl compounds bipy, Mebipy and Mt₂bipy afforded the title crystalline complexes [Pr(ntfa)₃(bipy)] (2), [La(ntfa)₃(Mt₂bipy)] (3) and [Pr(ntfa)₃(Mebipy)] (4), respectively, in moderate yields (50-63%). The isolated complexes 1-4 were structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray crystallography as well as by elemental microanalyses and by IR spectroscopy. Furthermore, their purity was checked by Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD).

As expected, the IR spectra of the complexes 1-4 display a general characteristic feature. The strong vibrational band observed over the frequency range 1605-1615 cm⁻¹ is typically assigned to the coordinated carbonyl stretching frequency, ν(C=O) and the weak broad band observed around 3450 cm⁻¹ in 1 reveals the ν(O-H) stretching frequency of MeOH.

3.2. Description of the Crystal Structures (1-4)

Molecular plots and coordination figures of 1-4 are depicted in Figures 1-4, respectively together with selected some bond distances and angles in each compound. Each Pr(III) center of the neutral and monomeric complexes 1-4 is ligated by six oxygen donor atoms of three β-diketonato ligand anions (ntfa). Coordination numbers (C.N.) eight around Pr1 in 1 is completed by oxygen atoms of two terminal methanol molecules, in 3 and 4 by two N-donor atoms of the chelating 4,4'-dimethoxy-dipyridine and 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridine, respectively. The Pr-O/N bond distances in 1, 3 and 4 vary from 2.382(2) to 2.6455(13) Å. (C.N.) ten around Pr1 in 2 is completed by four nitrogen donor atoms of the two bipy chelating ligands. The Pr-N/O bond distances in 2 are in the range from 2.4527(15) to 2.8378(18) Å. The O-Pr1-O bite angles of the β-diketone groups fall in the range from 67.63(5) to 70.62(7)° in 1-4, and the N-Pr1-N bite angles of the chelating bipy, Mt₂bipy and Mebipy molecules in 2-4 vary from 56.80(5) to 61.81(9)°.

The calculation of the degree of distortion of the PrOs coordination polyhedron for 1 with respect to ideal eight-vertex polyhedra, using the continuous shape measure theory and SHAPE software [58,59], shows intermediate distortion between various coordination polyhedra. The lowest continuous shape measures (CShM’s) values for compound 1 correspond to triangular dodecahedron (TDD-8), biaugmented trigonal prism (BTPR-8) and square antiprism (SAPR-8), with values of 0.379, 1.714 and 2.491, respectively. The corresponding values for the PrO₅N: coordination polyhedra for 3 are 0.877, 2.737 and 3.401; and for 4 are 0.933, 2.005 and 2.348, respectively. The calculation of the degree of distortion of the PrO₅N: coordination polyhedron for 2 with respect to ideal ten-vertex polyhedra shows intermediate distortion between various coordination polyhedra. The lowest continuous shape measures (CShM’s) values for compound 2 correspond to Sphenocorona J87 (JSPC-10) with a value of 0.427. The next lowest continuous shape measures correspond to Bicapped square antiprism J17 (JBCSAPR-10) with a value of 4.041.
Figure 1. Perspective view (left) and coordination figure (right) of 1. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°): Pr1-O1 2.395(2), Pr1-O2 2.416(2), Pr1-O3 2.408(2), Pr1-O4 2.382(2), Pr1-O5 2.462(2), Pr1-O6 2.453(2), Pr1-O7 2.498(2), Pr1-O8 2.586(2); O1-Pr1-O2 70.62(7), O3-Pr1-O4 69.72(7), O5-Pr1-O6 68.79(7).

Figure 2. Perspective view (left) and coordination figure (right) of 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°): Pr1-O1 2.5131(15), Pr1-O2 2.4614(14), Pr1-O3 2.4770(14), Pr1-O4 2.4531(14), Pr1-O5 2.4527(15), Pr1-O6 2.4651(14), Pr1-N1 2.7792(18), Pr1-N2 2.7434(17), Pr1-N3 2.8045(17), Pr1-N4 2.8378(18); O1-Pr1-O2 67.63(5), O4-Pr1-O3 68.65(5), O6-Pr1-O5 69.09(5), N1-Pr1-N2 58.10(5), N3-Pr1-N4 56.80(5).
Figure 3. Perspective view (left) and coordination figure (right) of 3. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°): Pr1-O1 2.4035(12), Pr1-O2 2.4610(12), Pr1-O3 2.4499(12), Pr1-O4 2.4036(11), Pr1-O5 2.4300(12), Pr1-O6 2.4045(11), Pr1-N1 2.6284(13), Pr1-N2 2.6455(13), O1-Pr1-O2 69.80(4), O2-Pr1-O3 69.50(4), O3-Pr1-O4 69.59(4), O4-Pr1-O5 69.59(4), O5-Pr1-O6 69.50(4), N1-Pr1-N2 60.34(4).

Figure 4. Perspective view (left) and coordination figure (right) of 4. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°): Pr1-O1 2.436(2), Pr1-O2 2.392(2), Pr1-O3 2.425(2), Pr1-O4 2.416(2), Pr1-O5 2.426(2), Pr1-O6 2.410(2), Pr1-N1 2.630(3), Pr1-N2 2.632(3), O1-Pr1-O2 68.88(7), O2-Pr1-O3 70.00(7), O3-Pr1-O4 70.00(7), O5-Pr1-O6 69.60(8), N1-Pr1-N2 61.81(9).

Packing plots of 1–4 compounds are presented in Figures S5–S8 in the supplementary section. The O-H groups of the MeOH molecules in 1 form hydrogen bonds of type O-H···O to generate centrosymmetric dimeric units. The aromatic naphthyl- (in 1–4) and pyridyl- (in 2–4) moieties are involved in numerous π···π ring···ring and C-H/F···ring interactions which further stabilize the packing of the mononuclear complexes (Tables S1-S4). Interestingly in the packing of 3 a stacking sequence of four naphthyl moieties is observed where the π···π ring···ring interactions connect the 4 mononuclear complexes within the unit cell to a secondary building unit (SBU) (Figure S7).

There exist two types of conformers with respect to the relative orientations of the three ntfal ligands. In complexes 1 and 3 with conformer A the three ntfal ligands are oriented in the same direction, whereas in 2 and 4 one ntfal ligand has opposite orientation compared to the other two ones (conformer B).

The Pr-O/N bond distances in structure of [Pr(ntfa)3(phen)] (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) [60] vary from 2.388(2) to 2.694(3) Å, average 2.487 Å. Eight-coordinated
Pr(III) in title compounds 1, 3 and 4 have mean Pr-O/N bond distances of 2.450, 2.478 and 2.471 Å, respectively, whereas a mean Pr-O/N bond length of 2.599 Å is found in the complex 2 with C.N. ten. Title compounds 2 and 4 are isomorphic to their corresponding La(III) complexes [49] with mean La-O/N bond distances of 2.634 and 2.581 Å, respectively. In contrast to title complex 1, as a consequence of larger ionic size, three MeOH molecules are ligated to La(III) to form [La(ntfa)(MeOH)3] with a mean La-O bond length of 2.539 Å for C.N. 9.

3.3. Magnetic Properties

Powder samples of complexes 1–4 were measured under applied magnetic fields of 0.3 T over the temperature range 300–2 K. The data are plotted as $\chi_M T$ products versus $T$ in Figure 5. The Magnetization dependence of the applied field at 2 K were also recorded and shown in Figure 6. The magnetic measurements on the 1–4 complexes reveal that the $\chi_M T$ values at 300 K are 1.47, 1.76, 1.66 and 1.38 cm$^3$·mol$^{-1}$·K, respectively, which are in the range of the theoretical value for a magnetically uncoupled Pr(III) compound (1.60 cm$^3$·mol$^{-1}$·K) in the $\text{H}_4$ ground state ($g = 4/5$). Upon cooling the 1–4 compounds, the $\chi_M T$ values decrease gradually reaching the same value of 0.05 cm$^3$·mol$^{-1}$·K at 2 K.

![Figure 5. $\chi_M T$ vs. $T$ plots for compounds 1 (●), 2 (■), 3 (▲) and 4 (▼).](image-url)
Magnetization dependence on magnetic static applied field at $T = 2\, \text{K}$ for complexes 1–4 (Figure 6) reveals no saturation at high fields with similar values of 0.20, 0.20, 0.19 and 0.18 N$\mu$B at 5T for 1–4 complexes, respectively.

The $1/\chi_M$ values were plotted against $T$ for the 1–4 compounds and plots are shown in Figure 7. Between 45 and 300 K, the $1/\chi_M$ versus $T$ plots are linear for the four compounds and well described by the Curie–Weiss law $1/\chi_M = (T - \theta)/C$, where C = 1.76 cm$^3$mol$^{-1}$K and $\theta = -60.4\, \text{K}$ for 1, C = 2.6 cm$^3$mol$^{-1}$K and $\theta = -104\, \text{K}$ for 2, C = 1.96 cm$^3$mol$^{-1}$K and $\theta = -54.6\, \text{K}$ for 3 and C = 1.7 cm$^3$mol$^{-1}$K and $\theta = -66\, \text{K}$ for 4. Comparable results were obtained for the previously published [($\eta_1$(N,N)-3,5-tBu$_2$dp)Pr(THF)$_2$] compound (C = 1.527 cm$^3$mol$^{-1}$K and $\theta = -70.24\, \text{K}$), where [K(3,5-tBu$_2$dp)] = potassium 3,5-di-tert-butyl-1,2,4-diazaphospholide [61].

Figure 6. Field dependence of the magnetization plots at $T = 2\, \text{K}$ for compounds: 1 (●), 2 (■), 3 (▲) and 4 (▼). The solid lines are a guide for the eye.

Figure 7. $1/\chi_M$ vs. $T$ plots for compounds 1 (●), 2 (■), 3 (▲) and 4 (▼).
3.4. Luminescence Properties

The luminescence properties of the compounds under investigation were studied in the solid state at room temperature. In all compounds, the excitation spectra reveal the presence of an intense band around 350 nm, which corresponds to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition from the ligands. The emission spectra were recorded at the excitation wavelengths ($\lambda_{ex}$) of 350 nm for 1 and 4, 330 nm for 2 and 340 nm for 3. The excitation–emission spectra of all compounds are illustrated in Figure 8.

For \([\text{Pr(ntfa)}_3(\text{MeOH})]_2\) (1), very weak emission bands were observed around 596 and 606 nm, which are attributed to the $ff$ transitions in the Pr$^{3+}$ ion and a broad intense band at 454 nm corresponding to the ligand emission showing a non-effective antenna effect. The presence of two coordinated methanol molecules bonded to the central Pr$^{3+}$ ion in the coordination sphere of 1 and their involvement in H-bonding in the vicinity of the metal center are strongly quench the luminescence through non-radiative relaxation processes, especially through the vibrational quenching caused by O–H vibrations [62].

The luminescence emission spectra of the complexes 2–4 display general characteristic features, where four emission bands were observed around 596, 610, 618 nm and a fourth one was located at 635, 632 and 625 nm for complexes 2, 3 and 4, respectively (Figure 8). These emission bands result from the $ff$ transitions of the Pr$^{3+}$ ion in these compounds. The two intense bands centered at 610 and 618 nm were assigned to the $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3H_4$ and $^3P_0 \rightarrow ^3H_6$, respectively in the three complexes 2–4, whereas the medium intense band located around 630 ± 5 nm was most likely assigned to the $^3P_0 \rightarrow ^3F_2$ transition. In addition, each complex displays a pair of emission bands in the near Infrared (NIR) region at 1025, 1061 nm for complex 2, 1010, 1061 nm for 3 and 615, 1061 nm for 4. These bands arise from the $^1D_2$ level and can be assigned to the $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3F_4$ transition for the lower energy band and tentatively to $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3F_2$ transition for the former band in each complex [63].

Probably, it should be noted that luminescence band located at 1061 nm was previously assigned to $^3G_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ transition but due to the absence of conclusive information, it was assigned to $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3F_4$ transition [64]. Similar NIR emission bands with very weak intensities were also detected in 1. As expected, displacement of the coordinated MeOH in 1 by the ancillary strong chelating ligands bipy, 4,4′-Mt-bipy or 5,5′-Me-bipy in complexes 2, 3 or 4, respectively, led to significant enhancement of the luminescent intensities. Each complex of the series 2–4 displayed three strong emission bands of about close intensity at 610, 618 and 1061 nm. The positions and almost all of the intensities of the observed bands are independent of the nature of the ancillary ligands.
The assignments of the emission bands in the four complexes were based on comparison of the $f$-$f$ transitions of the Pr$^{3+}$ ion in compounds 2–4 with some similar chelated Pr($\beta$-diketonato)$_3$ systems [65,66] and their corresponding $f$-$f$ energy levels scheme [67]. Moreover, it has been reported that the excited Pr$^{3+}$ may lose energy in the cross-relaxation process Pr$^{3+}$($^5D_0$) + Pr$^{3+}$($^1H_4$) $\rightarrow$ Pr$^{3+}$($^3G_4$) + Pr$^{3+}$($^1F_2$) [68,69], in addition the luminescence is generated by two different excited states, $^3P_0$ and $^1D_2$. This is common for Pr$^{3+}$ ions when the ligand triplet state is considerably higher than the $^3P_0$ and $^1D_2$ energy levels. Thus, in the compounds 2–4, there is an efficient intramolecular energy transfer from the ligand’s triplet state to the excited states of Pr$^{3+}$ ion. The results obtained here are similar to those reported in related Pr$^{3+}$ compounds and Pr$^{3+}$-$\beta$-diketonates systems [60,63,70,71].
4. Conclusions

Three mononuclear Pr(III) complexes of C.N. 8 in [Pr(ntfa)(MeOH)] (1), [Pr(ntfa)(4,4'-Mtbipy)] (3) and [Pr(ntfa)(5,5'-Mebipy)] (4) as well as C.N. 10 in [Pr(ntfa)(bipy)] (2) have been synthesized and structurally characterized. Interestingly, steric demand encountered in substituted 2,2'-bipyridine derivatives led to the formation of mono-adducts as this was demonstrated in compounds 3 and 4 as well as [Pr(ntfa)(phen)] [60], whereas as un-substituted bipy forms a bis(bipy) adduct as occurred in the case in [Pr(ntfa)(bipy)] (2). Probably the O–H···O hydrogen bonding in 1 and the generated dimeric units suppressed the possibility of formation of a compound with C.N. > 8. However, it has been reported that the ability of the lanthanide (III) ions to form C.N. 8–10 with the same ligand set decreases across the series and the ability to isolate complexes with C.N. > 8 is not very common in the late lanthanide series but it can be targeted through stoichiometry and under solvent-free syntheses and this approach was demonstrated in the syntheses of [Ln(hfac)(bipy)] and [Ln(hfac)(bipy)] complexes from the [Ln(hfac)(H2O)]8, where Ln = La–Sm and hfac = 1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-acetylacetonate anion [72]. In addition, Pr(III) complexes with C.N. 10 were isolated and characterized in a series of heterodimetallic Pr(III) complexes of acetylacetonate derivatives containing small anions such as NO3 [73–75].

The magnetic measurements of the four complexes 1–4 revealed that the \( \chi mT \) values at 300 K are within the range of 1.60 cm\(^3\)mol\(^{-1}\)K, which is predicted for magnetically uncoupled Pr(III) compounds (\( S = \frac{3}{2} \)) in the \( ^{4}H_{\frac{5}{2}} \) ground state. Similar magnetic behavior was observed in [Pr(hfac)\( (\text{NITFumbis}) \)] \( \text{NITFumbis} = (2,5\text{-bis}(1\text{-oxido}-4,4',5',5'\text{-tetramethyl-4,5-hydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl})furan) \) molecules, where the C.N. = 8 [41]. The luminescence studies of the complexes showed that binding the “antenna” ligands to the \( \text{Pr}^{3+} \) ion resulted in a strong absorption of the ligand in the UV region and efficient energy transfer from the ligands to the central Pr(III) ion. Replacement of the coordinated MeOH in 1 by strong chelating bipyridyl ligands (bipy, 4,4'-Mtbipy or 5,5'-Mebipy), significantly enhances the luminescent intensities in the visible and NIR regions, where the complexes 2–4 revealed strong emission bands at 610, 618 and 1061 nm, which are almost independent of the nature of the ancillary bipyridyl ligands. The luminescence emission and magnetic results reported here indicate that these properties are not significantly affected by either the C.N. of the Pr(III) complexes nor their local symmetry, however, this is not the case in the late lanthanide series [41].
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