admissions, despite optimal pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatment, and always with an accurate clinical and bacteriological control in reference centers. This recommendation concurs with that expressed by other experts in recent reviews on this type of therapy.

Treatment with long-term antibiotics is a clear example of a necessary collaboration between primary and secondary care. Primary care physicians must be alert to the possibility and identify those patients who could potentially benefit from this therapy, and secondary care must assess the patients' suitability, optimising baseline treatment, investigating the presence of bronchiectasis and possible chronic bronchial infection – including the patterns of resistance to antibiotics to the identified microorganisms – and evaluating heart and liver function before long-term treatment with macrolides can be indicated.

However, some questions must be addressed before a broader recommendation on the use of long-term antibiotics in COPD can be made. It is not clear which is the best antibiotic, whether it is better to use the same drug or rotate different antibiotics, which is the best dose for macrolides and, once started, what the duration of treatment should be. For all these reasons the use of long-term antibiotics in COPD must be restricted to a very selective subgroup (or phenotype) of patients with close supervision by primary and secondary care.
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**Asthma prevalence and humoral immune response in Somali immigrants in the US: implications for the hygiene hypothesis**
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As the social determinants of health improved, so exposure to microbiological diversity reduced and asthma prevalence increased. The Hygiene Hypothesis suggests a causal relationship between these trends, through an effect of exposure of environmental factors (including invasive and non-invasive infections) on T-helper cells. Children with greater exposure to infections and a wider array of microbes early on in life, according to the hypothesis, can expect to have lower rates of asthma and better health outcomes. This article supports this hypothesis by examining the prevalence of asthma and allergic rhinitis in Somali immigrants in the US, and the influence of environmental factors on immune response.

See linked article by Patel et al. on pg 278
balanced immune function.7

In this issue of the PCRI, Patel and colleagues studied 89 Somali immigrants living in Olmsted County in Minnesota in the United States.8 Firstly, they found that 10 (16% of the 62 children included in the analysis) had asthma, and 22 (35%) had other atopic conditions, similar prevalences to the general population of children in Rochester, Minnesota.9 Secondly, they found lower levels of mumps virus specific antibody levels in those Somali immigrants with asthma compared to those without asthma. The authors therefore conclude that their results may not support the hygiene hypothesis.

The hygiene hypothesis is appealing on many levels, not least to those who are keen to reduce antibiotic prescribing for self-limiting conditions. Evidence supporting the hypothesis may justify comments in primary care consultations to parents of young children with probable viral illnesses such as, “Fighting this illness without antibiotics will make your child’s immune system stronger.”10-12 Society in general needs to shift its mindset from a superficial concept of bacteria as being bad and needing to be destroyed (“our cleaner kills 99.9% of all household germs”) to an ecological view of the world where we find better ways of living symbiotically with the microorganisms we depend on for our well-being and immune competence. Indeed, our microbiome’s relationship with immune status is a major developing research area,13 and some have come to think of our commensal bacteria as one of our major organs, essential for health and for life itself.

So what can be said about the applicability of Patel and colleague’s findings? Study design issues limit our ability to interpret their findings both on asthma prevalence and on humoral immune response to MMR vaccine viruses. The authors describe their study design as “a retrospective cohort study with a cross sectional study component.” Their “convenience” sample, a secondary study base of 89 children, was obtained through their participation in a previous study of MMR vaccine viruses. The authors describe their study itself.

The authors are undoubtedly correct in concluding that a straightforward interpretation of the hygiene hypothesis should be made with caution. Potential confounders, as the authors point out, including genetic and epigenetic influences, are likely to apply.1 While the concept of this ‘natural experiment’ study is intriguing, study design issues make it hard to know what the real implications are for the longevity of the hygiene hypothesis…
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Deprivation, winter season, and COPD exacerbations
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COPD exacerbations are a major cause of hospital admissions, especially during the winter months. They are mainly attributed to respiratory viral infections, though clearly other factors must also play a part. Some patients with COPD have particular susceptibility to frequent exacerbations, and this patient group is especially at risk of hospital admissions, repeat admissions, co-morbidity and deaths.1

The interesting paper by McAllister and colleagues in this issue of the PCRJ examines the additive effect of socio-economic deprivation on seasonal hospital admissions in COPD patients.2 The authors found a greater number of winter admissions for COPD in the most deprived compared to the least deprived quintile of the Scottish population (39 versus 7 extra admissions per 10,000 patient years between summer and winter respectively). Previous studies in England and Wales have shown no difference in excess winter deaths between areas with greater or less deprivation or colder housing.3 Indeed, excess winter mortality of working men aged between 50-59 years in the lowest social group (class 5) is lower than any other social class possibly because of their increased activity and that they take precautions against the cold during their manual occupations.4

The findings by McAllister and colleagues can be explained by their unique approach to the question. They investigated whether the joint effect differs from the sum of the effects caused individually by temperature and deprivation. Thus, they found that patients with COPD are more likely to be admitted to hospital for an exacerbation beyond what one would expect because it is cold outside and they live in a deprived area. The cause of these extra admissions could be due to the synergistic effect of poor housing being more expensive to heat.

The study does have implications, as the demands on community care systems will be greater because more of the COPD patients discharged from hospital will be from a socio-economically deprived background. Targeting help for these patients is more problematic; interventional studies have so far failed to show any reduction in mortality or morbidity due to housing and heating upgrades, though improvements have been seen in quality of life indices.1 This may be due to the small sample size of these trials performed in the community for practical reasons. Targeting home improvement schemes just at elderly people is also politically difficult – inevitably those elderly people who live with their families will not be as high a priority.

An important limitation of McAllister et al.’s study was the use of monthly data. There are fewer admissions to hospital over the weekend and the number of weekends in each month will differ. Also, fewer patients are admitted during Christmas and the New Year periods, and this will affect the hospital admission numbers on a monthly basis.5 Cold weather also has a delayed effect on people, with peak mortality from respiratory disease occurring 12 days after peak cold.6 Though this study showed a disproportionate effect of cold weather on the most deprived, Keatinge7 reported that excess winter deaths in the general population were no different from able bodied elderly people, mainly women, living in warden controlled housing where the costs of heating did not depend on how much the resident used. However, inadequate home heating is not the only cause of winter mortality, and outdoor cold exposure is also important.8 Wearing suitable protective clothing such as a hat and gloves in cold weather is beneficial but this advice is often neglected by patients. Other reasons are that COPD may be underdiagnosed in patients with socio-economic deprivation and thus this group is more likely to develop more severe exacerbations with a respiratory infection.

McAllister and colleagues report that there were around 30% more hospitalised COPD exacerbations in the winter than in the summer months.7 Large well designed public health interventional studies are now needed to investigate these observations further. It is likely that deprivation and season may also interact together to increase COPD exacerbations of mild to moderate severity. Therefore,