Autoregulation of mazEF expression underlies growth heterogeneity in bacterial populations
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ABSTRACT

The MazF toxin sequence-specifically cleaves single-stranded RNA upon various stressful conditions, and it is activated as a part of the mazEF toxin–antitoxin module in Escherichia coli. Although autoregulation of mazEF expression through the MazE antitoxin-dependent transcriptional repression has been biochemically characterized, less is known about post-transcriptional autoregulation, as well as how both of these autoregulatory features affect growth of single cells during conditions that promote MazF production. Here, we demonstrate post-transcriptional autoregulation of mazF expression dynamics by MazF cleaving its own transcript. Single-cell analyses of bacterial populations during ectopic MazF production indicated that two-level autoregulation of mazEF expression influences cell-to-cell growth rate heterogeneity. The increase in growth rate heterogeneity is governed by the MazE antitoxin, and tuned by the MazF-dependent mazF mRNA cleavage. Also, both autoregulatory features grant rapid exit from the stress caused by mazF overexpression. Time-lapse microscopy revealed that MazF-mediated cleavage of mazF mRNA leads to increased temporal variability in length of individual cells during ectopic mazF overexpression, as explained by a stochastic model indicating that mazEF mRNA cleavage underlies temporal fluctuations in MazF levels during stress.

INTRODUCTION

MazF is the toxin component of the mazEF toxin–antitoxin (TA) system, which is a type II TA locus (1–3). The mazF gene encodes an endoribonuclease that sequence-specifically cleaves single-stranded RNA at ACA sites in Escherichia coli (4), and mazE encodes the unstable antitoxin that is co-expressed with mazF. Under non-stressful conditions, MazF is inactivated by a sandwich-like complex consisting of two homodimers of MazF and one homodimer of MazE (5). Upon stress, the activity of the proteases ClpAP (6,7) and Lon (8) is increased, resulting in fast degradation of MazE thereby allowing MazF to exert its function, which leads to reduction in overall translation and consequently inhibition of bacterial growth (9). In addition, toxin activation impairs the ability to form colonies, which is predominantly caused by the formation of viable but non-culturable cells, and not by cell death (1). The mazEF system can be triggered by a variety of stress conditions, such as starvation, heat shock and DNA damage (10,11). Moreover, MazF-mediated RNA cleavage occurs in the presence of antibiotics that are general inhibitors of transcription (rifampicin) or translation (chloramphenicol and spectinomycin) (12).

Expression of the mazEF module is controlled by a multifaceted transcriptional negative autoregulation system. The MazE antitoxin and the MazE-MazF complex repress transcription of the mazEF operon during non-stressful conditions (13). Upon stress the MazE antitoxin is degraded (6,8), which results in de-repression of mazEF transcription. Hence, the molar ratio between toxin and antitoxin within a cell dictates the level of mazEF transcription through a mechanism called ‘conditional cooperativity’ (14). Described for several TA modules, conditional cooperativity prevents random toxin activation in conditions without stress, and facilitates fast recovery from translational inhibition (15–19). Furthermore, since the mazF mRNA comprises several ACA sites, it has been suggested that MazF cleaves and degrades its own transcript in vitro (4). However, experimental evidence supporting this hypothesis has not been reported, and the physiological role of...
this additional post-transcriptional autoregulatory feature possibly affecting TA expression dynamics has not been investigated yet.

Complex networks harboring autoregulatory features and protein-protein interactions are prone to generate cell-to-cell variation in gene expression levels and phenotypic heterogeneity (20–22). Generally, phenotypic heterogeneity in populations of genetically identical bacterial cells can arise independently of genetic or environmental differences (23,24). A number of studies revealed that activation of a toxin promotes phenotypic variation in bacterial populations, which can be measured as heterogeneity in growth rate (25,26), cell size (27), and gene expression (28,29). We hypothesized that dynamic regulation of TA expression could account for population heterogeneity, and control and optimize entry and exit from growth arrest caused by the toxin activation.

Here, we investigate how the autoregulation of mazEF expression at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level affects growth of *E. coli* cells and populations. Although the autoregulation of mazEF expression has been biochemically characterized at the transcriptional level (13), we aimed to elucidate the consequences of this transcriptional repression on growth behavior of single cells. In addition, we biochemically verified the previously hypothesized notion that MazF cleaves its own transcript in vivo (4,30), and studied the impact of this post-transcriptional autoregulation on heterogeneity between single cells by flow cytometry, as well as on variability within individual cells over time by microfluidic-based time-lapse microscopy and stochastic modeling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains**

The *E. coli* strains K-12 MG1655 (31) and BW27784 (32) and their derivatives were used in this study (Supplementary Table S1). As a main reporter system, we used a chromosomally integrated reporter for a constitutively expressed gene, i.e. the phage λ promoter drives expression of mCherry (33). A variant of the fast-folding Emerald GFP was used as an additional reporter gene, EmgfpΔACA (34), called ‘gfp’ throughout the manuscript. The EmgfpΔACA amino acid sequence corresponds to the wild-type sequence of Emerald GFP, however the nucleotide sequence was modified such that all ACA sites were substituted. This sequence modification prevents MazF-mediated sequence-specific mRNA cleavage inside the coding region of gfp. Arabinose-inducible mazF was expressed from two sources: either based on a medium-copy plasmid pBAD-mazF (20–30 copies of pBAD-mazF and of AraC (35)) for excessive mazF overexpression (7,36), or as a chromosomally integrated system (a chromosomal copy of pBAD-mazF and a native copy of the transcriptional regulator AraC) for mild level of expression. Sequences of the constructs are reported in Supplementary Information – Supplementary Methods.

**Conditions**

Cultures were grown in rich media with 1× M9 salts, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.5% casamino acids (Fluka), 10 mM maltose. The antibiotics were added for plasmid maintenance in the following final concentrations: 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 15 μg/ml chloramphenicol, 50 μg/ml kanamycin. In general, frozen glycerol clones were first streaked on LB agar. A single colony was inoculated overnight in 4 ml of rich defined media, incubated at 37°C with shaking at 165 rpm. On the following day, the cultures were diluted 1:1000 into fresh rich media (OD₆₀₀ ~ 0.007), and analyzed after 2 h 15 min when they were in the exponential phase. Each replicate culture was then split into tubes where one served as a control, and different stressors or inducers of mazF expression were added in other tubes (4 ml in each tube). The cultures were analyzed by flow cytometry after the time period indicated in the Results section. For the majority of experiments regarding constitutive reporter genes, the cultures were analyzed in two time points: 2 h (t1) and 5.5–6.5 h (t2) after arabinose induction.

**Growth measurements**

Bacteria were grown in 96-well plates incubated at 37°C with shaking, and growth was recorded every 10 min in a Synergy H1 plate-reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.) as absorbance at 600 nm (A₆₀₀). Maximum growth rate was defined as the maximum value of slopes calculated as In-transformed measurements over five time points, i.e. 40 min. Additionally, bacterial growth was monitored by measuring optical density (OD) at 600 nm with a spectrophotometer at specific time points.

**Flow cytometry**

*FACS Calibur*. Flow cytometer FACS Calibur (BD, CA, USA) is equipped with argon laser with excitation at 488 nm. For each sample 100 000 events were acquired at slow speed (generally, 1800–2000 events/s) and measured with the following ‘height’ settings—FSC-H (forward scatter): E01, SSC-H (side scatter): 349 V, FL1-H: 813 V; log mode; primary threshold on SSC.

*LSR Fortessa*. Flow cytometer LSR Fortessa (BD, CA, USA) is equipped with lasers with excitation at 488 nm (blue laser) and 561 nm (yellow–green laser), required to detect GFP fluorescence (BP filter 530/30 nm) and mCherry fluorescence (BP filter 610/20 nm), respectively. For each sample 50 000 events were acquired at slow speed (generally, <1000 events/s) and measured with the following ‘height’ settings—FSC-H (forward scatter): 380 V, SSC-H (side scatter): 220 V, FITC-H: 430 V (GFP detection), PE-Texas Red-H: 590 V (mCherry detection); log mode; compensation FITC-PE Texas Red 0.2; threshold: SSC at 200 V and FSC at 200 V.

**Time-lapse microscopy**

All images were acquired with a Nikon Ti-Eclipse microscope equipped with a Lumenercor light source and enclosed into a custom-made incubation box with a temperature controller (Reinach, Life Imaging Services, Switzerland). The microscope was equipped with a perfect focus system (PFS), and images were acquired every 5 min using a 100 × 1.4 NA
Figure 1. Influence of the antitoxin MazE on growth and constitutive reporter fluorescence during mazF overexpression. (A) We monitored growth of strain MG1655 (black), and isogenic strains ΔmazEF (blue) and ΔS (five deleted TA loci) (green) harboring plasmids pBAD-mazF and pZS*-mCherry. 0.1% Ara was added to one half of exponentially growing cultures to induce mazF overexpression; the uninduced half served as a control. Growth was measured as absorbance at 600 nm (A600), and plotted is the ratio of the A600 measurements of induced cultures and uninduced (control) cultures, calculated per replicate. (B) We calculated the changes in CFU (colony-forming units) after 6 h in induced (empty bars) and uninduced cultures (filled bars) relative to the exponential cultures. Both mutant strains, ΔmazEF (empty blue bar) and ΔS (empty green bar), exhibited lower CFU count compared to the wild-type strain (empty black bar) after 6 h of arabinose induction. (C) Six hours after arabinose induction, induced and uninduced cultures were washed, and allowed to recover in fresh media. We defined the period to exit the stress-phase as time required for bacterial populations to reach their maximum growth rate relative to the exit of uninduced cultures. The wild-type strain recovered from stress after 4.5 hours, the isogenic ΔmazEF and ΔS strains recovered after 5.6 hours and more than 9 hours, respectively (N = 3 replicates, except two replicates for induced ΔS cultures). (D) We analyzed the effect of mazF overexpression on constitutive fluorescence encoded on plasmid pZS*-mCherry using flow cytometry. The results obtained for uninduced cultures are depicted in black and blue, and induced cultures in gray and light blue for the wild-type and ΔmazEF strain, respectively. Two hours after arabinose induction, induced populations of the wild-type and ΔmazEF strains exhibited increased fluorescence levels. After 6 h, induced populations of the wild-type strain exhibited bimodal distribution of mCherry fluorescence. Here plotted is one replicate per genotype, originating from the same exponential culture. Light grey distributions in panels (D) and (E) depict measurements of the reporterless strains MG1655 and BW27784, respectively, harboring plasmid pBAD-mazF. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of strain TB212, a BW27784 derivative deficient in L-arabinose metabolism, with a chromosomally encoded constitutive mCherry reporter, harboring pZS*-612 with a constitutive gfp reporter gene devoid of ACA sites. Two hours after induction of mazF expression, populations exhibited increased levels of mCherry and GFP fluorescence. After 6 h, bimodal patterns of mCherry fluorescence were detected, which correlate with the bimodal patterns of GFP fluorescence. Here, one replicate is presented, for further results see Supplementary Figure S7.

Image analysis
Microscopy images were analyzed with the Matlab-based package Schnitzcells (37). We analyzed single-cell growth rate, mCherry fluorescence, cell length and cell cycle duration of a bottom cell in each microfluidic channel. Single-cell growth was measured as the cell elongation rate and computed by exponential fitting the plot of cell length versus time, measured from cell birth until division (38). Cell length and mCherry fluorescence were measured just before cell division event. Normalized fluorescence was defined as total fluorescence divided by the cell area (37), and it is proportional to the concentration of fluorescent protein molecules in the cell.
Northern blot analysis

To verify MazF ability to cleave its own mRNA, single colonies of MG1655 harboring either pBAD-mazF or pBAD-mazFΔACA were inoculated and grown overnight in selective LB media. Plasmid pBAD-mazFE24A in the MG1655ΔmazF background was used as a control for mRNA stability and MazF-independent cleavage. Ectopically expressed MazFE24A protein is enzymatically inactive, and thus cannot cleave RNA (39). Strain BW27784 without any plasmid was used as an additional negative control.

Overnight cultures were diluted in 50 ml of LB medium supplemented with chloramphenicol, and their growth was monitored by measuring optical density at 600 nm (OD600). At OD600 = 0.2–0.3, mazF expression was induced by addition of L-arabinose to the final concentration of 0.2%. 30 min (mazF mRNA detection) or 60 min (mCherry mRNA detection) after induction, the cells were harvested and the pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Scientific) as described by the manufacturer protocol. Upon isopropanol precipitation, 7.5 μg of total RNA extract was separated in a denaturing polyacrylamide gel (5% or 10%), and transferred to an Amersham Hybond-XL (GE Healthcare) nylon membrane using the Trans-Blot Semi-Dry Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad). Prior hybridization, the membrane was UV crosslinked twice and stained with a solution of Methylene Blue (0.5 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 5.2, 0.04% Methylene Blue) for detection of the ladder (RiboRuler Low Range RNA Ladder, Thermo Scientific) and rRNA that serves as loading control. Subsequently, the membrane was hybridized to the [32P]-labeled DNA oligonucleotide, as listed in Supplementary Table S2.

To produce in vitro size markers, PCR products of defined length were amplified from pBAD-mazF using forward primer F47, and selected reverse primers designed to anneal on the mazF coding sequence at desired positions. As primer F47 contains T7 promoter sequence, the PCR product was employed as a template for in vitro transcription using T7 RNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). DNA template was digested with DNase I (Roche).

RESULTS

The antitoxin MazE controls growth reduction during mazF overexpression and enables fast exit from stress

In this study, we investigated how autoregulation of mazEF expression affects growth of E. coli, in particular entry into stress and the recovery process. First, we established that the antitoxin MazE rescues bacterial growth during ectopic mazF overexpression from plasmid pBAD-mazF. Growth of the wild-type strain MG1655 was reduced during approximately the first 2 h after induction of mazF expression with 0.1% L-arabinose (Ara) (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S1). After this initial inhibition, growth recovered, which can be attributed to MazF-dependent de-repression of the mazEF operon due to conditional cooperativity as suggested in (19), and the onset of neutralization of MazF by newly produced antitoxin MazE (5). This behavior was absent in an isogenic ΔmazEF strain and a Δ5 strain devoid of mazF, relBEF, chpB, yefM-yoeB and dinJ-yafQ (40), which all belong to the RNA-degrading type II TA systems. Furthermore, both mutant strains exhibited a stronger decline in colony-forming units (CFU) after 6 h of mazF overexpression when compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 1B). Thus, growth modulation during prolonged mazF overexpression occurs in two phases: an initial transient growth cessation caused by large amount of free toxin, followed by antitoxin-dependent growth rescue.

Next, we specifically tested the dynamics of recovery from stress caused by mazF overexpression, and whether the recovery is mediated by the presence of the native antitoxin MazE (ΔmazEF strain) and other type II TA systems (Δ5 strain) (Figure 1C). We followed the recovery of the respective E. coli strains after 6 h of mazF overexpression, and defined the exit period as the time required for bacterial populations to reach maximum growth rate. The wild-type strain MG1655 recovered significantly faster in comparison to the ΔmazEF strain (4.5 h versus 5.6 h on average). Recovery of the Δ5 strain was drastically delayed, as the strain required >9 h to resume growth after release of the toxin stress (Supplementary Table S3). Thus, the antitoxin MazE mediates the exit from stress caused by mazF overexpression and the transition to normal growth by neutralization of MazF activity through direct interaction (5). Furthermore, our results suggest that the presence of other type II TA loci is likewise important for recovery from stress caused by mazF overexpression, which is in line with previous studies describing cross-talk between different TA systems (41,42), although the exact nature of this cross-talk still remains elusive. Together, the differences in the recovery times between the wild-type and deletion strains are a result of short-term effects (direct antitoxin neutralization), long-term growth arrest (viable but non-culturables cells), and possibly, cell death.

Prolonged mazF overexpression fosters a high degree of cell-to-cell growth heterogeneity

We next used flow cytometry to test whether mazF overexpression leads to cell-to-cell growth rate heterogeneity, as our population measurements of stress and stress recovery might mask the presence of different growth rates or states.
Figure 2. Cleavage of the *mazF* transcript *in vivo*. (A) A schematic showing the *mazF* gene. The promoter position and the ACA sites are indicated and their corresponding positions relative to the transcriptional start site are listed in the table on the right. The MazF-dependent cleavage sites experimentally verified in panels (B–D) are marked with arrows. ACA sites that are not part of the coding region, i.e. plasmid 3′ untranslated region (UTR), are marked with asterisks in the table. The annealing positions of probes N46 used for Northern blot analysis shown in (B) and (C), and L56 used for primer extension shown in (D) are indicated by bars. (B–D) Northern blot and primer extension analysis of total RNA extracted 30 min after induction with 0.2% Ara. Total RNA was separated on (B) 5% and (C) 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel prior to blotting and hybridization with probe N46. Sizes depicted to the left side of the blots correspond to an RNA ladder. Methylene Blue staining of 23S, 16S and 5S rRNA served as loading control. Lanes depicted from left to right: BW27784 without plasmid, MG1655 pBAD- *mazF* (plasmid-encoded wild-type *mazF*), MG1655 pBAD- *mazF*ΔACA (*mazF* coding sequence devoid of ACA sites), MG1655Δ *mazF* pBAD- *mazF*E24A (*mazF*E24A encoding an inactive MazFE24A), and the last lane are *in vitro* transcribed size markers. The white arrow depicts MazF-mediated cleavage within the 3′ UTR transcribed from the pBAD plasmid backbone. Blue, orange and black arrows highlight MazF-mediated cleavage of the *mazF* transcript absent in the *mazF*ΔACA and *mazF*E24A transcripts. (D) Cleavage sites at ACA sequences were mapped by primer extension using primer L56.

at the single-cell level. To this end, we monitored the fluorescence of a constitutively expressed *mCherry* driven by the right phage λ promoter PR, as a proxy for growth rate. Analysis of the expression of a constitutively expressed (i.e. unregulated) gene can be used to distinguish growth-rate dependence from the effects of gene regulation, as indicated in (25,26,43). In the absence of the phage λ repressor cl, PR is not specifically regulated (44), and the level of mCherry fluorescence will depend on the constitutive production of the mCherry fluorescent protein and its degradation rate. Given that mCherry is only subjected to dilution but not degradation by proteases, differences in mCherry fluorescence reflect changes in growth rate (26,43). Similar fluorescent reporter systems have been previously used to assess growth states of cells within a population by flow cytometry (45). In our experiments, slow growth or cessation of growth will lead to accumulation of stable mCherry and an increase in fluorescence, while fast growth will lead to dilution of mCherry and a decrease in fluorescence. During *mazF* overexpression, mCherry is less diluted through growth due to growth rate reduction. However, the production rate of mCherry is most likely impeded as MazF be-
sides mRNAs also degrades rRNA precursors (46,47), and generates non-stop translation complexes (48), thereby affecting overall translation. Nonetheless, several recent studies have shown that MazF-induced cells maintain a certain degree of transcriptional and translational capability (49,50). Therefore, during MazF overproduction, the level of mCherry fluorescence will increase when growth rate is reduced more strongly than protein production rate. In contrast, constitutive reporter fluorescence will decrease when a translational inhibitor is employed to restrict growth (Supplementary Figure S2), as previously shown in (43).

Flow cytometry analysis performed 2 h after mazF overexpression revealed that mCherry fluorescence increases unimodally, concomitant with a reduction in population growth (Figure 1D), without formation of subpopulations of different fluorescent intensities. Interestingly, 6 h after mazF overexpression, we observed high cell-to-cell variation in mCherry fluorescence. Bacterial cultures were characterized by bimodal distributions in mCherry fluorescence, which is suggestive of subpopulations of cells growing with different growth rates. We did not observe bimodality in the ΔmazEF (Figure 1D) and Δ5 strains (Supplementary Figure S3), which suggests that induction of the native mazEF operon and neutralization of MazF by the MazE antitoxin led to growth heterogeneity within a population. We note that the Δ5 strain exhibited increased variation in mCherry fluorescence even in the absence of mazF overexpression (Supplementary Figure S3), pointing towards an inherently high degree of growth rate variability.

To test whether the observed bimodality in mCherry fluorescence was based on variability in arabinose induction, we repeated the above experiments using derivatives of strain BW27784 that constitutively transports L-arabinose but is devoid of L-arabinose metabolism (32) (Figure 1E). Because arabinose is metabolized by the MG1655 derivatives, less arabinose remains to ectopically induce mazF expression after 6 hours of induction. We thus detected a higher left mode of the mCherry fluorescence distribution in the MG1655 strain (Figure 1D), on average 89% of the population, indicating a larger fraction of fast-growing cells. The BW27784-derived strain exhibited a higher right mode of the mCherry fluorescence distribution (Figure 1E), on average 89% of the population, indicating a larger fraction of non- or slow-growing cells.

In general, cultures induced with Ara concentrations ranging from 0.0001 to 0.36% showed bimodal mCherry fluorescence distributions after 5.5–6.5 h of mazF overexpression from pBAD-mazF (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5). We excluded that the observed variation in fluorescence arose from genetic variability through mutations in the plasmid-based expression system as determined by sequencing (Supplementary Information – Sequence S1). Moreover, multimodal fluorescence distributions were detected when cultures were induced repeatedly with L-arabinose (Supplementary Figure S4), or when different fluorescent reporter genes or inducible expression systems were employed (Supplementary Figure S5). Simultaneous analysis of fluorescence encoded by a chromosomally integrated mCherry reporter gene (the mCherry gene contains ACA sequence motifs, and is cleaved by MazF, Supplementary Figure S6) and a plasmid-based gfp reporter gene cured of ACA sites showed strong correlation in reporter fluorescence (Figure 1E, Supplementary Figure S7), indicating that the observed fluorescence distributions are not a direct consequence of mCherry mRNA cleavage by MazF. We also confirmed the results from a previous study (Supplementary Figure S8), which indicated heterogeneity in growth resumption after removal of the inducer of the mazF expression (41).

We furthermore observed that the extent of variation in mCherry fluorescence was dependent on the induction level of mazF expression; low L-arabinose concentrations (1 × 10⁻⁷%) caused neither growth arrest nor multimodal patterns of mCherry fluorescence (Supplementary Figure S4). Similarly, induction with 0.1% Ara from a single-copy chromosomally encoded pBAD-mazF system (Supplementary Figure S9) resulted in growth adaptation, a decrease in growth yield by 20% (similarly shown in (29)), and unimodal distributions of mCherry fluorescence (Supplementary Figure S10).

MazF-dependent cleavage of the mazF mRNA alleviates the extent of cell-to-cell heterogeneity during arabinose induction

In line with a previous study suggesting that MazF degrades its own mRNA that contains multiple ACA sites in vitro (4), we hypothesized that mazF mRNA abundance is subjected to an additional level of autoregulation through MazF-dependent cleavage in vivo. To investigate this hypothesis, we designed a mazF gene that is devoid of ACA sites (mazFΔACA), while preserving the amino acid sequence of the wild-type MazF toxin. To test whether MazF cleaves its own transcript in vivo, we performed northern blotting on total RNA extracted 30 min after arabinose induction to determine cleavage patterns of mazF, mazFΔACA and mazFΔE24A transcripts (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figures S11 and S12). The mazFΔE24A gene encodes an enzymatically inactive protein MazFE24A, thus the cleavage pattern of the mazFΔE24A transcript indicates intrinsic mazF mRNA stability independent of mazF expression. Our analysis showed that mazFΔACA and mazFΔE24A transcripts yielded different cleavage patterns than the wild-type mazF transcript (Figure 2B), since in particular low-molecular weight fragments of MazF-specific degradation products were absent (Figure 2C). To pinpoint the cleavage positions with nucleotide resolution, we performed primer extension on the same samples, and confirmed that the observed pattern was due to MazF-dependent cleavage at ACA sites (Figure 2D). Taken together, this analysis highlights the MazF-dependent degradation of the mazF mRNA in vivo.

Since it has been previously hypothesized that stabilizing the mazF transcript by curing it from ACA sequence motifs leads to higher toxin levels (30,49), we investigated whether mazF mRNA cleavage by MazF affects population growth. Bacterial growth was measured during and after excessive overexpression from either plasmid pBAD-mazF or from plasmid pBAD-mazFΔACA. However, we did not observe differences in population growth (Figure 3A), colony formation after arabinose induction (Figure 3B), and length of the recovery phase (Figure 3C). Nevertheless, using flow cytometry to test for changes in population heterogeneity,
Figure 3. Population growth and constitutive mCherry fluorescence during mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression. (A) We recorded growth of strain TB212 harboring either plasmid pBAD-mazF, or plasmid pBAD-mazFΔACA. The experimental setup is identical as in Figure 1A. Population growth was similarly impaired during mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression. (B) Changes in CFU were determined after 6 hours in induced (empty bars) and control (filled bars) cultures compared to the exponential cultures, and there were no differences in CFU counts between mazF (empty black bar) and mazFΔACA overexpression (empty orange bar). (C) Six hours after mazF or mazFΔACA overexpression all cultures were washed and re-suspended in fresh media. The experimental setup is identical as in Figure 1C. No significant differences in population growth were detected upon recovery from arabinose induction, regardless of mazF or mazFΔACA overexpression (N = 3 biological replicates). (D) Bimodal distributions of mCherry fluorescence were measured 6 h after arabinose induction. Measurements of induced TB212 cultures harboring plasmid pBAD-mazF are shown in blue, and harboring plasmid pBAD-mazFΔACA in orange. Light grey distributions depict measurements of the reporterless strain MG1655 pBAD-mazF. (E) The variation in mCherry fluorescence, computed as Shannon entropy, was significantly higher after 6.5 hours of mazFΔACA overexpression (average entropy = 3.80 bits) than mazF overexpression (average entropy = 3.56 bits) supported by t-test (P = 0.04, N = 3 replicates). (The difference in the variation in mCherry fluorescence is significant as well when comparing squared coefficients of variations; average SCV = 0.0090 for mazFΔACA overexpression, average SCV = 0.0076 for mazF overexpression; P = 0.018.) We also quantified the percentage of cells that display mCherry fluorescence above the threshold of 2000 a.u. indicated with a black line in panel (D), which corresponds to the percentage of the cells in tails.

Increasing growth rate heterogeneity underlies increasing variation in mCherry fluorescence during mazF overexpression in a microfluidic device

To describe the differences in constitutive mCherry fluorescence arising from mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression in detail, we employed time-lapse microscopy in microfluidic devices (38) to directly observe single-cell growth (measured as cell elongation rate), mCherry fluorescence, and cell length (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure S13). In these microfluidic devices cells are captured in blunt-end growth-channels that are open to a feed channel where newborn cells are removed by media flow (Figure 4A and B). Arabinose induction resulted in increased formation of filamentous cells and large variation in cell length in general (Figure 4B, middle panel), which has been previously reported to occur during overexpression of other type II TA systems (27). To quantitatively compare results from flow cytometry and microfluidic experiments, we analyzed all cells from static images obtained after 3 and 6 h of arabinose induction, and found that the cell-to-cell variation in mCherry fluorescence was higher during mazFΔACA overexpression.
Figure 4. Cell elongation rate and mCherry fluorescence during arabinose induction in a microfluidic device, and recovery from stress. (A) A schematic depiction of the microfluidic device used (38). We analyzed 110 bottom cells of strain TB212 that harbor pBAD-mazF, and 98 bottom cells that harbor pBAD-mazFΔACA. (B) Strain TB212 was grown for 3 hours to allow for steady-state growth (time 0–180 min). MazF or mazF/ΔACA overexpression was induced by adding 0.1% Ara for a period of 6 hours (time 185–540 min). After switching to media without arabinose, the cells were monitored during the recovery phase (time 545–990 min). White scale bar indicates length of 2 μm. (C) During arabinose induction (yellow window), the cell elongation rate decreased and PR-mCherry reporter fluorescence increased due to the slowdown of growth and mCherry accumulation. Average values over a 5 minute-window are presented in black and red circles, for mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression, respectively. Inserts: Differences in the mCherry fluorescence reflect changes in the cell elongation rate; Spearman’s rho = -0.761, P-value = 0.00, R² = 0.644 for the experiment with the strain harboring pBAD-mazF (N = 2709 cells) and rho = -0.730, P-value = 0.00, R² = 0.586 for pBAD-mazFΔACA (N = 2546 cells). (D) Recovery from stress is dependent on MazF-mediated cleavage of the mazF mRNA. A line was fitted through the cell elongation rate values per each cell lineage, during t = 545–725 min to infer exit from stress. Single cells recovered from stress caused by mazF overexpression 23% faster than from stress caused by mazFΔACA overexpression (Mann-Whitney test, P = 0.014; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, P = 0.006). (E) Stability of the A (ACA-free) and B (contains one ACA site in the wild-type transcript) regions of the mazF and mazFΔACA transcripts was determined by quantitative reverse transcription PCR over five time points. mRNA abundance was quantified relative to the cysG transcript, and normalized to the zero time point (see Supplementary Methods); error bars present standard deviation. Insert: The half-life of mazF mRNA was on average 1.4 times shorter than the half-life of mazFΔACA mRNA, supported by t-tests (P = 0.047 for the A region, P = 0.0002 for the B region, N = 3 biological replicates); error bars present standard error of the mean.
compared to mazF overexpression, similar to the flow cytometry analysis (Supplementary Figure S14).

We further analyzed time-lapse data of bottom cells in each microfluidic channel (Figure 4A) before, during and after induction from either pBAD-mazF (Supplementary Movie S1) or from pBAD-mazFΔACA (Supplementary Movie S2). mCherry fluorescence and cell elongation rate were significantly correlated throughout the experiment (Figure 4C, Supplementary Figure S13B), corroborating that differences in single-cell growth rate underlie differences in mCherry fluorescence. As indicated by our results from population-level measurements (Figures 1A and 3A), single-cell growth was reduced but not arrested during arabinose induction, and reduction occurred in at least two steps (Figure 4C, upper panels). Initially, the cell elongation rate decreased rapidly, followed by a slower decrease towards the end of the arabinose induction period, resembling an adaptation phase. Moreover, towards the end of the mazF overexpression period, the variation in cell elongation rate increased (Supplementary Figure S13C), implying that the bacterial population consisted of cells growing with different rates.

We also tested whether there are differences in how single cells recover from mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression after removal of the inducer. Although we did not observe differences in population growth between mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression (Figure 3A and C), our single-cell analysis showed that there are subtle differences during the exit from stress (Figure 4D). The cells recovered 23% faster after induction from pBAD-mazF than after induction from mazFΔACA. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR showed decreased stability of a mazF mRNA region containing one ACA site (dashed lines in Figure 4E). Moreover, cleavage at ACA sites reduced mazF mRNA half-life in general (full lines in Figure 4E). Together, these results indicate that MazF-dependent mazF transcript cleavage mediates faster removal of functional mazF mRNAs from the cells during recovery from stress.

Cell length measured before division fluctuates during mazF overexpression

By using microfluidic-based time-lapse microscopy we were able to quantify not only phenotypic heterogeneity across cells in a clonal population at a given time point, but also temporal variability, i.e. variation in phenotypic traits of the individual cells in time. We next analyzed differences in length of cells harboring pBAD-mazF or pBAD-mazFΔACA, and found that cell length measured just before division fluctuated during arabinose induction (Figure 5A). However, the extent of fluctuations was larger during mazF overexpression compared to mazFΔACA overexpression (Figure 5B), suggesting that the fluctuations were a direct consequence of MazF-dependent mazF mRNA degradation. Upon mazF expression, cellular mRNAs including mazF mRNA are cleaved, which leads to decreased MazF production and temporary recovery, which is further promoted by the MazE antitoxin neutralizing MazF. Since mazF is constantly ectopically expressed, MazF levels increase, which again affects cellular processes. This temporal variability in length of individual cells might further imply that proteins involved in coordination of cell elongation and division, and impairment of cell wall synthesis, are encoded by mRNAs that are prone to MazF-dependent degradation and sensitive to MazF levels (46,51,52). During recovery from stress, there were no phenotypic differences between cells harboring pBAD-mazF or pBAD-mazFΔACA (Supplementary Figure S15). Furthermore, comparing cell length in individual cell lineages before arabinose induction and during recovery from stress (Figure 5A) we found no differences, indicating that there are no long-term effects of the stress caused by mazF and mazFΔACA overexpression on single-cell physiology.

Autoregulation through mazEF mRNA cleavage triggers fluctuations in the MazF level

In order to understand the influence of the mazEF mRNA cleavage on mazF expression, and the resulting fluctuations in cell length, we simulated mazF expression dynamics in individual cells, based on a previously established model (18) (Supplementary Table S5). Consistent with our finding that MazF cleavage reduces the half-life of its own mRNA (Figure 4E), we extended the model so that it included MazF-dependent mazEF mRNA cleavage as an autoregulatory feature (Supplementary Figure S16), which was also implemented in a recent computational model of cellular resource allocation (52). We compared the MazF levels between two settings, which included or excluded mazEF mRNA cleavage, over a time course that comprised exposure to stress. This episode of stress was either simulated by an increase in the toxin production rate (which corresponds to the experimental approach used in this study, Supplementary Figure S17), or by an increase in the antitoxin degradation rate (which would be a realistic effect in vivo, given that cellular proteases are upregulated during nutritional stress (6,8), Figure 6).

We continued to explore the dynamics of mazEF expression in stressful conditions defined through enhanced degradation of the MazE antitoxin. The behavior for the simulated mazEF module including and excluding mazEF mRNA cleavage is very similar in the absence of stress, manifesting as a very low, close to zero level of the free MazF toxin. However, during an episode of stress (yellow shaded regions in Figure 6), we observed increased fluctuations in the free MazF level in the simulations where the mazEF mRNA cleavage is included in the model (red lines in Figure 6A compared to B). These simulations were based on cleavage of the entire mazEF mRNA (see Supplementary Figure S18 for the full simulation); nonetheless, we also performed simulations with ‘differential’ mRNA cleavage, as the mazE coding sequence has two ACA sites and the mazF coding sequence has nine ACA sites (30), thus the mazF-encoding part of the mRNA is 4.5 times more likely to be cleaved (Supplementary Figure S19). Similarly to the cleavage of the entire mazEF mRNA (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure S18), differential mRNA cleavage resulted in increased fluctuations in the MazF level, however, with higher average MazE levels and lower average MazF levels (Supplementary Figure S19).

Overall, the fluctuations in MazF levels arising from mazEF mRNA cleavage potentially reflect experimentally
measured fluctuations in cell length (Figure 5). These large fluctuations were absent in the simulations when the mazEF mRNA was not degraded by MazF, indicating that MazF-dependent mazEF mRNA cleavage is indeed an autoregulatory feature controlling the mazEF expression dynamics. Moreover, in an initial response to stress, all cells respond quasi-synchronously by an increase in the toxin levels, leading to a peak in the average toxin level (black lines in Figure 6A compared to B). This leads to all cells increasing their division time in that initial phase (Figure 6C, distribution of division times after 2 h of stress), which corresponds to the behavior observed in Figure 1D. After a few hours, the cells still have similar spikes in the toxin levels, but these no longer occur synchronously. Therefore, there are now two types of cells in the simulated population analyzed after 6 hours of stress (Figure 6C): fast-growing and slow-growing cells, leading to the bimodality seen in Figure 1D.

While the regulation at the transcriptional level is a negative regulation, where the binding of toxin–antitoxin complexes to the operator inhibits the production of mRNA at moderate toxin to antitoxin ratios, the post-transcriptional regulation contains a positive feedback loop, as previously included in the model for post-transcriptional regulation of the relBE operon (16). The addition of a positive feedback loop in the autoregulation of mazEF operon by including mRNA cleavage by MazF in our model can explain increased fluctuations in the free toxin levels observed in Figure 6A. A high enough stochastic increase in the free toxin level can lead to an increased degradation of mRNA, which in turn causes a decrease in the production of toxin and antitoxin. Since the toxin is more stable than the antitoxin, this will reduce the level of antitoxin in the cell, and finally ensure a further increase in the free toxin level (Figure 6D). We further studied the robustness of this behavior by exploring how the fluctuating free toxin levels change with the model parameters (Supplementary Figure S20). Omitting negative regulation at the transcriptional level does not qualitatively change the system dynamics (Supplementary Figure S20E), however it gives rise to higher free toxin levels (Supplementary Figure S20F-H). These results suggest that the two-level regulation of mazEF expression generates controlled bursts in the amount of free MazF toxin in single cells during stress.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we investigated how autoregulation of mazEF expression at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level affects growth of E. coli populations and single cells during ectopic mazF expression, as well as during recovery after stress caused by mazF expression. Our data show that mazF overexpression promotes cell-to-cell growth heterogeneity in isogenic populations of E. coli. Bacterial growth is transiently restricted upon mazF overexpression, however during extended stress the MazE antitoxin is required for adaptation to mazF expression, which leads to growth rate heterogeneity, and the onset of recovery of growth in general.

In particular, we experimentally demonstrated the impact of post-transcriptional autoregulation of mazEF expression on the phenotypic heterogeneity across cells in a clonal population, as well as on the variability in phenotypic traits of the individual cells in time. First, MazF-dependent cleavage of the mazF transcript might serve as a mechanism to alleviate the extent of cell-to-cell growth rate heterogeneity elicited by MazF activation, as measured by decreased variation in constitutive reporter fluorescence (Figure 3D). Second, mazF transcript cleavage generates temporal variability in length of individual cells during ectopic mazF overexpression as indicated by microfluidic-based time-lapse microscopy (Figure 5). This finding was further corroborated with the model that indicates an increased variability in mazF expression dynamics in the presence of MazF-dependent mRNA cleavage, resulting in the stochastic pulsing excitation of MazF levels in single cells (Supplementary Figure S17). The model suggests that such fluctuations in the MazF level can also occur during nutritional stress or other adverse conditions when proteolysis of MazE is the main process that promotes MazF activation (6–8).
Figure 6. Numerical simulations of the regulation of the *mazEF* toxin–antitoxin module expression and its influence on cell division times. (A and B) During a period of stress (yellow window), the degradation of the MazE antitoxin was increased by a factor 3 in the model. The graphs in red show the free toxin level in a single cell (A) including or (B) excluding cleavage of the *mazEF* mRNA by the MazF toxin. The averages over 10 000 cells are indicated in black. The results suggest that MazF-dependent *mazEF* mRNA cleavage amplified fluctuations in the MazF level in single cells during stress (red line), and increased initial spike in the MazF level upon entry to stress for the entire simulated population of cells (average black line). (C) Left: Three-dimensional plot showing the distribution of the division times before and after the onset of stress. The simulation was run for 10 000 cells carrying the *mazEF* toxin–antitoxin module including mRNA cleavage by the MazF toxin. Right: Histograms showing the distribution of the division times at the onset of stress, and after 2 and 6 h of stress. The histograms correspond to the lines shown in red in the left panel. (D) Schematic representation of the post-transcriptional regulation of the *mazEF* operon. Both the antitoxin A and the toxin T are translated from a polycistronic mRNA. The antitoxin can inhibit the activity of the toxin by binding to it. Noise can induce a switch from low to high toxin levels. In this case, the toxin can additionally cleave the mRNA and as such prevent the production of both toxin and antitoxin. These interactions form a positive feedback loop, as indicated in green on the left hand side.

Moreover, the results of numerical simulations indicate that the initial spike in the MazF concentration in response to stress conditions is higher when the system is autoregulated through *mazEF* mRNA cleavage (black lines in Figure 6A and B). This could generally be advantageous when bacteria encounter adverse conditions, as mounting a strong stress response program is favorable to rapidly adapt to the hostile environment. Eventually, when the stressor is no longer present in the environment, escaping timely from the stress response program and resuming growth might be favorable for regrowth of the surviving population, and as such represents an efficient stress exit strategy. Overall, our study supports that both autoregulatory features, MazE-dependent repression (Figure 1C) and MazF-dependent *mazF* mRNA cleavage (Figure 4D), facilitate exit from the stress caused by *mazF* overexpression.

In general, control of gene expression through direct transcriptional repression has been suggested to reduce phenotypic heterogeneity (53), and to positively affect the response time to environmental perturbations (54). Besides this negative feedback through repression, positive feedback has also been identified within bacterial regulatory networks. Positive feedback loops can function as effective switching mechanisms, by delaying activation of the regula-
tery network and thus adjusting cellular response to stimuli (55). Native autoregulation of the mazEF operon contains both types of feedback: negative regulation through direct antitoxin neutralization, negative regulation at the transcriptional level, and a positive feedback loop at the post-transcriptional level.

MazF is not the only sequence-specific type II toxin encoded on the E. coli genome. The ribosome-independent toxins MqsR and ChpB cleave at GCC and ACD sites (D is G, A or U, but not C), respectively, while the ribosome-dependent toxin YafQ cleaves AAA codons (9, 30). mqsRA, chpSB, and yafQ-dnaJ transcripts contain sequence-specific cleavage sites for cognate toxins. Specifically, mqsRA and chpSB transcripts have less toxin-specific cleavage sites in the toxin coding sequence than in the antitoxin coding sequence. On the other hand, the toxin yafQ mRNA part harbors seven AAA codons, whereas the antitoxin dnaJ mRNA part harbors three AAA codons. Thus, cleavage of toxin–antitoxin mRNA by the cognate toxin might generate different dynamics of toxin expression among type II TA systems in E. coli in general.

Lastly, it has been previously argued that ectopic mazF overexpression in E. coli may invoke artificial physiological responses (9) and, eventually, cell death (6, 7, 11, 36). In our microfluidic setup, arabinose induction of mazF expression from a medium-copy plasmid (7) resulted in growth rate reduction at the single-cell level rather than in complete growth arrest. We did however observe death of a minority of cells, representing 4.3% of the total bottom cell count (4 cells lysed and 1 cell stopped dividing during or after mazF overexpression). During mazFΔACA overexpression there was neither lysis nor growth arrest of bottom cells. Therefore, cell death was not a predominant phenotype during steady state growth conditions and constant mazF overexpression.

To conclude, bacterial populations might employ the MazF-mediated stress response to rapidly adjust and optimize their growth under fluctuating environmental conditions. It is conceivable that the extent of MazF activation upon physiological stress resembles mild ectopic mazF expression in laboratory setups (19, 56). Even though stressed populations activate MazF at low levels (29, 57), the MazF-mediated pathway might be an important strategy for cells to respond to stress rapidly and efficiently, and to optimize growth resumption after the stressor is gone. When bacterial cells encounter long-term adverse conditions in environment or in host, the subtle MazF-mediated response might be complemented by the activation of other TA systems (26, 41, 42) or stress response mechanisms (58, 59) that allow for complex regulation of gene expression and growth, and eventually result in persistence.
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Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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