Sorafenib Metabolism Is Significantly Altered in the Liver Tumor Tissue of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patient
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Abstract

Background: Sorafenib, the drug used as first line treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4-mediated oxidation and uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (UGT) 1A9-mediated glucuronidation. Liver diseases are associated with reduced CYP and UGT activities, which can considerably affect drug metabolism, leading to drug toxicity. Thus, understanding the metabolism of therapeutic compounds in patients with liver diseases is necessary. However, the metabolism characteristic of sorafenib has not been systematically determined in HCC patients.

Methods: Sorafenib metabolism was tested in the pooled and individual tumor hepatic microsomes (THLMs) and adjacent normal hepatic microsomes (NHLMs) of HCC patients (n = 18). Commercial hepatic microsomes (CHLMs) were used as a control. In addition, CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 protein expression in different tissues were measured by Western blotting.

Results: The mean rates of oxidation and glucuronidation of sorafenib were significantly decreased in the pooled THLMs compared with those in NHLMs and CHLMs. The maximal velocity ($V_{max}$) of sorafenib oxidation and glucuronidation were approximately 25-fold and 2-fold decreased in the pooled THLMs, respectively, with unchanged $K_{m}$ values. The oxidation of sorafenib in individual THLMs sample was significantly decreased (ranging from 7 to 67-fold) than that in corresponding NHLMs sample. The reduction of glucuronidation in THLMs was observed in 15 out of 18 patients' samples. Additionally, the level of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 expression were both notably decreased in the pooled THLMs.

Conclusions: Sorafenib metabolism was remarkably decreased in THLMs. This result was associated with the down regulation of the protein expression of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common hepatic malignancies in regions where chronic hepatitis or liver diseases are prevalent, such as in China [1,2]. Sorafenib, an orally active multikinase inhibitor, has been approved in the United States and the European Union for the treatment of HCC [2]. Sorafenib enhances the overall survival compared with placebo in advanced HCC patients [3]. Like other anti-tumor medications, sorafenib is associated with several side effects, including diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, hypertension and dermatologic toxicities. And hand-foot skin reaction (HFSR) is currently emerging as a major toxicity of sorafenib treatment, with the greatest morbidity [4–6].

Sorafenib metabolism occurs primarily in the liver by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4-mediated oxidation and uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (UGT) 1A9-mediated glucuronidation [2]. Sorafenib has a mean elimination half-life ranging from approximately 25 h to 48 h. Approximately 77% of the administrated sorafenib dose is detected in feces (50% as unchanged drug) and 19% is excreted in urine, almost exclusively as glucuronide conjugates of the parent drug or metabolites, but not unchanged sorafenib [7]. Sorafenib N-oxide (M2) accounts for ~17% of the circulating analytes in the plasma and is the major CYP 3A4 metabolite. It has potency similar to that of sorafenib. N-oxidation plus N-methylhydroxylation (M1), N-methylhydroxylation (M3), N-demethylation (M4), and N-oxidation plus N-demethylation (M5) have also been detected as CYP3A4 metabolites of sorafenib. In addition, M7 accounts for ~15% of the administrated dose of sorafenib and is glucuronidated by UGT1A9 [8–10]. A previous study has reported that plasma sorafenib concentration is increased by inhibiting CYP3A4 in

* E-mail: liuqz@smu.edu.cn

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Citation: Ye L, Yang X, Guo E, Chen W, Lu L, et al. (2014) Sorafenib Metabolism Is Significantly Altered in the Liver Tumor Tissue of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patient. PLoS ONE 9(5): e96664. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096664

Editor: Hua Zhou, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau

Received October 25, 2013; Accepted April 10, 2014; Published May 5, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Ye et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported in part by the Key International Joint Research Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 8111201082025) and the Science and Information Technology of Guangzhou (Grant No. 2011Y1-00017-5). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Background: Sorafenib, the drug used as first line treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4-mediated oxidation and uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (UGT) 1A9-mediated glucuronidation. Liver diseases are associated with reduced CYP and UGT activities, which can considerably affect drug metabolism, leading to drug toxicity. Thus, understanding the metabolism of therapeutic compounds in patients with liver diseases is necessary. However, the metabolism characteristic of sorafenib has not been systematically determined in HCC patients.

Methods: Sorafenib metabolism was tested in the pooled and individual tumor hepatic microsomes (THLMs) and adjacent normal hepatic microsomes (NHLMs) of HCC patients (n = 18). Commercial hepatic microsomes (CHLMs) were used as a control. In addition, CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 protein expression in different tissues were measured by Western blotting.

Results: The mean rates of oxidation and glucuronidation of sorafenib were significantly decreased in the pooled THLMs compared with those in NHLMs and CHLMs. The maximal velocity ($V_{max}$) of sorafenib oxidation and glucuronidation were approximately 25-fold and 2-fold decreased in the pooled THLMs, respectively, with unchanged $K_{m}$ values. The oxidation of sorafenib in individual THLMs sample was significantly decreased (ranging from 7 to 67-fold) than that in corresponding NHLMs sample. The reduction of glucuronidation in THLMs was observed in 15 out of 18 patients' samples. Additionally, the level of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 expression were both notably decreased in the pooled THLMs.

Conclusions: Sorafenib metabolism was remarkably decreased in THLMs. This result was associated with the down regulation of the protein expression of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9.
combination with felodipine in a patient with HCC [11]. Moreover, prednisolone, a CYP3A4 inducer, can stimulate sorafenib metabolism [12]. Therefore, changes in sorafenib metabolism by altering CYP3A4 or UTG1A9 activity may affect its clinical effects and sorafenib-induced toxicity.

The phases I (CYPs) and II (UGTs) metabolizing enzymes have vital roles in carcinogenesis and tumor response to anticancer therapy [13–15]. CYPs and UGTs are present in many organs and tissues, but their concentration is most abundant in the liver. Hepatic CYPs and UGTs are involved in the pathogenesis of several liver diseases [1]. And drug metabolism mediated by CYPs and UGTs is impaired in patients with liver disease [16].

Liver diseases are associated with reduced CYP and UGT activities. Hepatic steatosis has been associated with decreased hepatic CYP5A activity in humans [8]. CYP3A4 and UGT activities declined in patients with hepatic cirrhosis [15,17]. Consequently, the ability of the liver to eliminate many clinical therapeutic drug substrates would decline. Among patients with liver cirrhosis, several pharmacokinetics studies have shown a significant decrease in the metabolism of drugs (lidocaine, nifedipine and midazolam etc.) metabolized by CYP3A4 [18–20]. Atomoxetine, a drug primarily eliminated via CYP2D6, was with reduced metabolism in patients with hepatic impairment [21]. And the metabolic clearances of omeprazole, s-mephentoin and aminopyrine metabolized by CYP2C19, have been reported to be decreased in patients with cirrhosis [22–25]. The clearance of CYP2A6 substrates was decreased for hepatitis A infections [26]. The metabolic clearance of zidovudine, a drug glucuronidated by UGT1B7, was decreased significantly in liver cirrhosis (0.17 versus 0.37 ml/min/mg protein) [17]. The in vivo oral clearance of zomepirac undergoing extensive glucuronidation, was decreased by 50% in cirrhosis [27]. These findings have practical implications for the use of drugs in patients with liver diseases and emphasize the need to understand the metabolism of therapeutic compounds.

However, the metabolism characteristic of sorafenib has not been systematically examined in HCC patients. Therefore, our study aims to determine whether CYP3A4- and UGT1A9-mediated sorafenib metabolism is differentially affected in HCC patient tumor hepatic microsomes (THLMs) in comparison with those in adjacent normal hepatic microsomes (NHLMs) and commercial hepatic microsomes (CHLMs). CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 protein expression in different tissues were also determined. The results of this study will support valuable information for sorafenib in clinical use.

**Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

Approvals for tissue collection and in vitro xenobiotic metabolism studies were obtained from the Nanfang Hospital Research Ethics Committee. All the patients with HCC provided their written informed consents to participate in this study.

**Source of Human Liver Tissues**

Human liver samples (30 g to 50 g) were obtained from patients with HCC who were undergoing hepatic surgery. Patients didn't receive any antitumor medication before the surgery. A total of 18 male (aged 39 to 75 years) liver samples were used in the present study. The cases include patients who were admitted from 2011 to 2012 in the Affiliated Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China.

**Preparation of Microsomes**

Healthy tissues surrounding the primary tumor were isolated and considered as adjacent normal liver tissues. Then, the two parts were separately homogenized in ice-cold Tris-HCl buffer to yield liver homogenate tissue. Microsomal fractions were prepared by differential ultracentrifugation [28]. Part of 18 samples each of THLMs and NHLMs were separately mixed. Male-pooled CHLMs purchased from BD Gentest Corp. (Woburn, MA) were used as a control. Microsome concentration was detected by Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as previously described [29].

**Sorafenib Metabolism by CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 in the Different Microsomes**

Sorafenib was metabolized with pooled and individual NHLMs, THLMs, or CHLMs in typical phases I and II reaction incubation systems as described previously [30,31].

**Sorafenib Metabolism by CYP3A4 with the Pooled and Individual Microsomes**

A typical phase I incubation system contained potassium phosphate (50 mM, pH 7.4), NADP (1.55 mM), 6-P-G (3.3 mM), MgCl2 (3.3 mM), PDH (0.4 U/mL), pooled HLMs (THLMs, NHLMs or CHLMs, 0.4 mg protein/mL), and sorafenib (0.39–120 μM) in a total volume of 500 μL. Incubations were carried out for 90 min in a shaking water bath (150 rpm) at 37°C. Termination of the enzyme activity was by the addition of 4 mL ice-cold dichloromethane containing testosterone (used as an internal standard). After centrifugation, the supernatant was drawn into another tube and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved with 50% methanol in water and analyzed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (LC-MS/MS). All incubations were performed in triplicate.

In addition, sorafenib metabolism in phase I incubation system with individual sample (n = 18) was tested.

**Sorafenib Metabolism by UGT1A9 with the Pooled and Individual Microsomes**

The optimal phase II incubation procedures for measuring UGT activity were as follows: pooled HLMs (THLMs, NHLMs or CHLMs, 0.133 mg/mL), magnesium chloride (0.88 mM), saccharolactone (4.4 mM), alamethicin (0.022 mg/mL), different concentrations of sorafenib (0.078–40 μM) in a 30 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and UDPGA (3.5 mM) were mixed and incubated at 37°C for 90 min (the final volume of mixture was 120 μL). The reaction was terminated by the addition of 60 μL acetonitrile containing testosterone as the internal standard. After centrifugation, the supernatant was analyzed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (LC-MS/MS). All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

In addition, sorafenib metabolism in phase II incubation system with individual sample (n = 18) was tested.

**Determination Sorafenib and its Metabolites by UPLC-MS/MS**

The UPLC conditions: system, Waters Acquity™; column, Acquity UPLC HSS T3 column (100×2.1 mm, 1.8 μm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA); mobile phase A: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water; mobile phase B: 100% acetonitrile; gradient, 0 min to 4 min at 30 to 70% B, 4 min to 5 min at 70% to 50% B; flow rate, 0.3 mL/min; column temperature, 50°C; and injection volume, 10 μL. The MS/MS detector used was a quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer (Waters, USA). Samples were analyzed using electrospray ionization in the positive mode. The main working
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Figure 1. Sorafenib metabolism by CYP3A4 (A–E) and CYP3A4 protein expression (F) in pooled NHLMs, THLMs and CHLMs. The formation rate of M2 expressed as pmol/mg/min (mean ± SD), other metabolites rates (M1, M3, M4 and M5) expressed as peak area ratio (mean ± SD). All the experiments were carried out in triplicate. One-way ANOVA, with or without Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison (post-hoc) tests, was used for data analysis. "*" denotes statistical significance (P<0.05); "**" denotes statistical significance (P<0.01); "***" denotes statistical significance (P<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096664.g001

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of sorafenib calculated by M2 formation in pooled NHLMs, THLMs and CHLMs.

| Kinetic Parameters                  | pooled NHLMs     | pooled THLMs     | pooled CHLMs     |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| K' (µM)                            | 19.08±5.88       | 13.10±6.85       | 11.48±4.19       |
| Vmax (pmol/min/mg)                 | 184.61±2.14      | 7.25±0.19        | 148.90±3.33      |
| CL (Vmax/K', µl/min/mg protein)    | 9.52             | 0.55             | 12.97            |
| R²                                 | 0.999            | 0.995            | 0.997            |
| AIC                                | −48.82           | −0.91            | −59.31           |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096664.t001
parameters were set as follows: capillary voltage, 3 kV; cone voltage, 38 V; collision voltage, 35 V; source temperature, 120°C; desolvation temperature, 400°C; desolvation gas flow, 600 L/Hr; cone gas flow, 50 L/Hr; and collision gas flow, 0.20 mL/min. Data were collected and analyzed by Waters Quantify software (Masslynx 4.1, Waters, USA). Sorafenib was monitored at m/z 451.0, 465.3 M7 were monitored at m/z 497.0, 512.3, 641.0 transitions, respectively. Testosterone was used as an internal standard (IS) and was monitored at m/z 289.5, 315.4. Metabolites including M1, M2, M3, M4, M5 and M7 were monitored at m/z 497.0->479.0, m/z 481.3->463.1, m/z 481.3->468.3, m/z 431.0->406.3, m/z 477.1->464.0 and m/z 641.0->270.2 transitions, respectively. Testosterone was used as an internal standard (IS) and was monitored at m/z 209.5->97.4.

Data Analysis

Data are analyzed using Student’s T-test analysis or One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with or without Tukey–Kramer multiple comparisons (post-hoc) tests. Differences are considered statistically significant when P<0.05.

Kinetic parameters were estimated by fitting the proper models (Michaelis-Menten, autoactivation (Hill equations), substrate inhibition or biphasic kinetic) to the substrate concentrations and initial rates with a weighting of 1, aided by profiles of the Eadie–Hofstee or biphasic kinetic) to the substrate concentrations and initial rates with a weighting of 1, aided by profiles of the Eadie–Hofstee plots as previously described [32]. When the Eadie–Hofstee plots showed the autoactivation kinetic, the data from these atypical profiles were fit to

\[ V = \frac{V_{\max} \times [S]^n}{K + [S]^n} \]  

where \( S \) is the substrate concentration, \( V \) is the initial reaction rate, \( V_{\max} \) is the maximal enzyme velocity, \( K \) is the substrate concentration at which the reaction rate is half of \( V_{\max} \), and \( n \) is the Hill slope.

When Eadie–Hofstee plots revealed substrate inhibition kinetics, the formation rates (\( F \)) were fit to

\[ V = \frac{V_{\max} \times [S]}{K_m + S \times \left( 1 + \frac{[S]}{K_i} \right)} \]  

where \( S \) is the substrate concentration, \( V \) is the initial reaction rate, \( V_{\max} \) is the maximum enzyme velocity, \( K_m \) is the substrate concentration at which the reaction rate is half of \( V_{\max} \), and \( K_i \) is the substrate inhibition constant. The goodness of fit was evaluated by M2 formation was 17-fold lower in the pooled THLMs compared with those in the pooled NHLMs and pooled CHLMs. The rate of CYP3A4-mediated oxidation of sorafenib was remarkably decreased in the pooled THLMs compared with those in the pooled NHLMs and pooled CHLMs (Figures 1A–1E). The intrinsic clearance (\( CL \)) of sorafenib calculated by M2 formation was 17-fold lower in the pooled THLMs (0.55 versus 9.52 μL/min/mg protein, Table 1) as a consequence of a decrease of its \( V_{\max} \) of metabolism (7.25±0.19 versus

### Table 2. Kinetic parameters of sorafenib calculated by other CYP3A4 mediated metabolites in pooled NHLMs, THLMs and CHLMs.

| Metabolites | Kinetic Parameters | pooled NHLMs | pooled THLMs | pooled CHLMs |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| M1 | \( K_m \) (μM) | - | 4.43±2.71 | 5.94±4.15 |
| | \( V_{\max} \) (\( \Delta A60/A60 \)) | - | 0.12±0.01 | 0.06±0.01 |
| | \( CL \) (\( V_{\max}/K_m \)) | - | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| M3 | \( K_m \) (μM) | 9.75±2.53 | 18.23±6.35 | 27.66±4.67 |
| | \( V_{\max} \) (\( \Delta A60/A60 \)) | 0.20±0.01 | 2.73±0.27 | 2.17±0.12 |
| | \( CL \) (\( V_{\max}/K_m \)) | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| M4 | \( K_m \) (μM) | 16.53±9.29 | 17.39±8.51 | 17.55±6.63 |
| | \( V_{\max} \) (\( \Delta A60/A60 \)) | 0.17±0.03 | 2.90±0.40 | 1.30±0.14 |
| | \( CL \) (\( V_{\max}/K_m \)) | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.07 |
| M5 | \( K_m \) (μM) | - | 5.03±3.52 | 7.11±4.38 |
| | \( V_{\max} \) (\( \Delta A60/A60 \)) | - | 0.03±0.005 | 0.02±0.002 |
| | \( CL \) (\( V_{\max}/K_m \)) | - | 0.007 | 0.003 |

- not detectable.

*doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096664.t002
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Immunooquantitation of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 Protein by Western Blot Analysis

Microsomal proteins were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10% acrylamide gels), and then transferred onto PVDF membranes. Blots were probed with anti-CYP3A4 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) or anti-UGT1A9 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG or HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), respectively. Membranes were developed by chemiluminescence, following the enhanced chemiluminescence protocol (PerkinElmer Inc., Netherland).

Results

Eight sorafenib metabolites have been identified [2]. Given that M6 and M8 are not detectable by the present analytical method, we determined the formation of sorafenib metabolites M1 to M5 mediated by CYP3A4 and M7 mediated by UGT1A9 in the pooled and individual THLMs, NHLMs, and CHLMs.

Mean rates of CYP3A4 metabolite formation with increasing sorafenib concentration were shown in Figure 1. Sorafenib exhibited autoactivation oxidation kinetics in the pooled THLMs, NHLMs, and CHLMs. The rate of CYP3A4-mediated oxidation of sorafenib was remarkably decreased in the pooled THLMs compared with those in the pooled NHLMs and pooled CHLMs (Figures 1A–1E). The intrinsic clearance (CL) of sorafenib calculated by M2 formation was 17-fold lower in the pooled THLMs (0.55 versus 9.52 μL/min/mg protein, Table 1) as a consequence of a decrease of its V_{\max} of metabolism (7.25±0.19 versus
184.61 ± 2.14 pmol/min/mg protein). No significant differences were observed in the $K_m$ values of sorafenib in the different groups (Table 1), indicating that liver disease did not alter the CYP3A4 enzyme’s affinity for sorafenib. Additionally, we also estimated the $CL$ and $K_m$ of sorafenib by other oxidative metabolites using their peak areas ratio. A remarkable decrease (ranging from 7.5- to 15-fold) in the $CL$ of pooled THLMs was noted compared with that in the NHLMs (Table 2). CYP3A4 protein expression was down regulated in the THLMs compared with that in the NHLMs and CHLMs (Figure 1F).

Individual metabolism mediated by CYP3A4 was depicted in Figure 2. The results showed that the amounts of all the CYP3A4 metabolites of sorafenib in each patient’s THLMs sample were significantly lower (ranging from 7 to 67-fold) than those in corresponding NHLMs sample (Figure 2). More specifically, the average amount of $M_2$ formed in NHLMs was 79.20±24.51 pmol/min/mg protein (varying from 42.32 to 112.66 pmol/min/mg protein), while that in THLMs was 8.46±14.06 pmol/mg protein/min (ranging from 0.076 to 52.08 pmol/min/mg protein).

Mean rates of $UGT1A9$ metabolite formation with increasing sorafenib concentration were shown in Figure 3. Sorafenib showed substrate inhibition glucuronidation kinetics in the pooled THLMs, NHLMs, and CHLMs. In sorafenib glucuronidation, $M_7$ in the pooled THLMs demonstrated an expected remarkable decrease in contrast to that in the pooled NHLMs and CHLMs (Figure 3A). As expected, the $V_{max}$ value of sorafenib calculated by $M_7$ formation was significantly decreased in the pooled THLMs. Afterwards, the $CL$ in the pooled THLMs was 1.9-fold lower than that in the pooled NHLMs (Table 3). There was no change in the $K_m$ values of sorafenib for the two groups, suggesting that liver disease did not change the $UGT1A9$ enzyme’s affinity for sorafenib (Table 3). Correspondingly, the protein level of $UGT1A9$ in THLMs revealed a considerable decrease, comparing to NHLMs and CHLMs (Figure 3B).

Similarly, we also investigated sorafenib metabolism by $UGT1A9$ with individual THLMs and NHLMs ($n=18$). The amount of $M_7$ in each patient THLMs sample was significantly lower than that in corresponding NHLMs sample (Figure 4), except the samples of three patients (No. 18, 28 and 42). Detaledly, the average formation of $M_7$ (Area$_{M7}$/Area$_{IS}$) in THLMs was 2-fold less than that in NHLMs (0.41±0.45 versus 0.95±0.39).

**Discussion**

Previous publications have demonstrated that the metabolism of many drugs has considerably altered in the patients with liver disease [17,26,27]. Sorafenib is the first line treatment drug for the advanced HCC. Little attention has been done on its metabolism characteristic in HCC patients. Our present study indicated the mean rates of oxidation mediated by CYP3A4 and glucuronidation mediated by $UGT1A9$ of sorafenib were significantly decreased in the pooled THLMs, compared with those in NHLMs and CHLMs. Noteworthy, maximal velocity ($V_{max}$) of sorafenib oxidation and glucuronidation were approximately 25-fold and 2-fold decreased in the pooled THLMs, respectively, with unchanged $K_m$ values. The disease affected the apparent $V_{max}$ but not $K_m$ values, suggesting that the level of enzyme expression was impaired. Herein, we determined the level of expression of CYP3A4 and $UGT1A9$ by western blotting. As expected, the level of CYP3A4 and $UGT1A9$ expression were both notably decreased in the pooled THLMs.
Individual metabolism of sorafenib was also tested in our present study. Among the 18 patients’ samples, the CYP3A4-mediated oxidation of sorafenib was all decreased in THLMs. Nevertheless, the reduction of UGT1A9-mediated glucuronidation (M7) in THLMs was observed in 15 out of 18 patients’ samples. In the remaining three samples (No. 18, No. 28 and No. 42), the glucuronidation in THLMs was greater than that in NHLMs. We believe we determined accurately differences in glucuronidation activities, even though we could not quantify the formation rate of M7 using standards, since the activity measurements were done under linear assay conditions and using a substrate concentration equal to the \( K_m \) determined under the experimental conditions reported in this study. In addition, we used commercial pooled human microsomes (CHLMs) as a control. In CHLMs, the \( K_m \) value was 11.48±4.19 \( \mu M \) for oxidation and 2.89±0.29 \( \mu M \) for glucuronidation, which was equal to that reported in the previous publication (12.1±0.71 \( \mu M \) and 3.6±0.22 \( \mu M \), respectively) [33]. Furthermore, we have measured the UGT1A9 protein content by UPLC-MS/MS. And the results shown that UGT1A9 protein expression in THLMs of these three patients was greater than that in corresponding NHLMs (data not shown, due to these data were using in another related manuscript), which was accordance with the observed UGT1A9 activity.

As is well known, there are large differences in UGT expression in different individuals, and the variability in expression is a major determinant of glucuronidation capacity. Many factors are considered to affect the variability of UGT expression, such as diet, smoking behavior, co-medication and diseases. However, the liver-enriched transcription factors (LETFs) have a major role in regulation UGT expression in the liver [34]. UGT1A9, 6.1% of the total expression of UGT enzymes with hepatic tissue, is the second abundant isoform among UGT1A subfamily in the liver [35,36]. HNF1\( \alpha \), HNF4\( \alpha \), and Cdx2 have been shown to regulate the hepatic transcription of the UGT1A9 gene. Transcription factor levels are known to vary between individuals. For example, the level of HNF1\( \alpha \) mRNA in human liver varies up to 10-fold [37]. In addition, stimuli that alter the expression or activity of LETFs may also change the expression of UGT1A9. Furthermore, Polymorphisms in the genes coding for LETFs or their co-factors, or in their cognate binding sites, may affect UGT1A9 expression [38].

It was reported that the single-nucleotide polymorphisms in positions T-440C/C-331T, C-665T and T-1887G in the promoter region, and M33T of UGT1A9*3 alleles significantly enhanced mycophenolic acid glucuronidation in vitro [39–41]. Besides, UGT1A9 intronic 1399 C>T polymorphism enhanced SN-38 glucuronidation in Asian cancer patients [42]. Taken together, the abnormal phenomenon of these three patients might have a much more related with polymorphism of UGT1A9.

Liver disease may have intricate roles in drug pharmacokinetics, biotransformation and clearance. Sometimes alterations increase the bioavailability of drug, causing normal drug doses to have toxic effects. Sorafenib-related HFSR and other side effects are associated with increasing cumulative sorafenib dose [4–6]. Sorafenib metabolism is significantly altered in the liver tumor tissue of HCC patient. The decreased metabolism of sorafenib might have a potential to increase the plasma concentration of sorafenib and its metabolites, resulting in sorafenib-induced HFSR and other side effects. Although the metabolic activity and expression level of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9 were low exclusively in THLMs but not in NHLMs in our patients, the plasma

---

**Table 3.** Kinetic parameters of sorafenib glucuronidation calculated by M7 formation in pooled NHLMs, THLMs and CHLMs.

| Kinetic Parameters | pooled NHLMs | pooled THLMs | pooled CHLMs |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| \( K_m \) (\( \mu M \)) | 1.97±0.31 | 1.89±0.26 | 2.89±0.29 |
| \( V_{max} (A_{405}/A_{600}) \) | 1.34±0.09 | 0.67±0.037 | 2.03±0.10 |
| \( K_c \) (\( \mu M \)) | 142.9±55.7 | 172.3±68.6 | 50.6±6.9 |
| Cl (\( V_{max}/K_m \)) | 0.68 | 0.35 | 0.70 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.991 | 0.994 | 0.997 |
| AIC | −40.42 | −53.78 | −48.21 |

---

**Figure 4.** Inter-individual variability of sorafenib metabolism by UGT1A9 with 18 human liver microsomes samples (THLMs and NHLMs). Sorafenib (2.5 \( \mu M \)) was incubated with microsomes (0.133 mg/ml) obtained from different patients for 90 min at 37°C. The formation rate of M7 expressed as peak area ratio (mean ± SD). All the experiments were performed in triplicate. Student’s T-test analysis was used for data analysis. * denotes statistical significance (\( P<0.05 \)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096664.g004
concentrations of sorafenib and its metabolites probably depend on the volume of HCC tumor tissue and/or the surrounding cirrhotic tissue. Further studies have to be performed to clarify whether the specific metabolic features of sorafenib in HCC tumor tissue contribute to increase their plasma concentrations and to cause HFSR.

In conclusion, we determined the metabolism features of sorafenib in HCC patients. Sorafenib metabolism is significantly altered in the liver tumor tissue of HCC patient, due to a remarkable decrease of the expression level of CYP3A4 and UGT1A9. The mechanisms involved in the downregulation of these enzymes and the relationship between altered sorafenib metabolism in HCC tumor tissue and the clinical beneficial and adverse effects of sorafenib in HCC patients should be examined in future studies.
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