The Use of the Three-Pedicle Dermal Flap in Preventing Mastectomy for Large and/or Ptotic Breasts: A Novel Concept to prevent NAC survival in Two Stages Reconstruction
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Abstract

Preventing mastectomy (or prophylactic or risk reducing mastectomy) has been a major area of interest within the field of surgical methods during the last years, as a result of the recognition that the genetic basis of patients with BRCA 1 and 2 mutations, and those with very strong family history, acts as an indicative factor. Whereby, the procedure appears to be a cancer disease preventing method at very high risk patients.

Firstly, surgical management of Preventing Mastectomy and Implant Based Reconstruction is easier in small and moderate ptotic breasts, rather than in big and extensive breasts. Whereas, in patients with macromastia a reduction or mastopexy procedure is needed in order to achieve the desirable shape and position of the breast. In this category of patients viability and survival of Nipple Areola Complex is unsecure. Thus, this technique describes the performance of Preventing Mastectomy using a three pedicle dermal flap that supports blood supply of the NAC and increases the survival rate. All patients who underwent the three pedicle technique had been operated at the Plastic Surgery Department of Nicosia General Hospital between October of 2013 and October of 2015, by the writer.
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Introduction

Preventing Mastectomy (or risk reducing mastectomy) is performed in order to reduce the risk of breast cancer in high risk patients, in patients with BRCA mutation, and those with very strong family history. This is achieved by removing mammary gland based on the oncological principles, and by reducing skin by a safety way with preservation of the nipple.

Target of the procedure is to remove the mammary gland with a NAC preservation. The Skin Reduction pattern is often needed to minimize the skin excess and give the breast an aesthetically good result. In fact, NAC sparing mastectomy techniques have improved our reconstructive options and aesthetic outcomes, giving a solution to the increasing expectations of a good aesthetic result and oncological safety [1,2].

It is widely accepted that women with large and ptotic breasts, where a reduction pattern during the mastectomy is required, appear to have high rates of skin flaps and NAC complications. [3,4] Depending on the different level of ptosis of the breast, different approaches are needed to ensure NAC and skin flaps viability [5].

The use of the three-pedicle flap a modification of the classical vertical bipedicile flap creates a more viable solution for NAC survival in large and/or ptotic breasts.

Surgical Technique

The patient is marked in standing position (the same procedure we follow for a reduction mammoplasty using wise pattern, although with some modifications in measurements) accordingly to the patients breast size (Figure 1) [2].

Figure 1: Preoperative marking of wise pattern–three pedicle pattern.

The first step is the deepithelization of the three-pedicle flaps, which also separates them from the lateral part of the breast. The three dimensions of the blood supply flap are: inferiorly, superiorly and
laterally based. These will provide a better vascularization to the NAC by preserving vascularity coming from branches of the internal mammary and lateral thoracic arteries, which in turn run in the subcutaneous tissue and communicate with each other (Figure 2). The mastectomy is then performed by dissecting both, superiorly and inferiorly, in the typical plane between the subcutaneous fat and breast parenchyma.

The underlying dermal flap increases blood supply to the skin pillars and T-point, decreasing complication rates 6 of skin flaps and NAC necrosis. Inflation of the expander begins at the third postoperative week.

Gradually inflation of the expander minimizes the tension on the NAC and skin flaps a second parameter that decreases the complication rates. A second stage follows the maturity of the capsule, where the replacement of the expander with the definitive implant takes place. The surgical procedure is performed through the sub mammary incision. During the second stage minor corrections of the shape can take place by a more safe way than the time of the mastectomy [1,6].

Following this, a submuscular pocket is perform under the pectoralis major, until it is attached to the rectus abdominus fascia and serratus anterior. Elevation of the pectoralis muscle will provide a complete coverage to the expander and a good width for the mastectomy skin flaps.

**Discussion**

Preventing Mastectomy in women with large and ptotic breasts, combines a Nipple preserving and a reduction mastectomy technique. The determinant factors for the achievement of a good esthetic result are: the accurate preoperative marking, and the safety surgical technique to provide NAC’s and skin flaps viability.

In order to ensure satisfactory cosmetic results, these patients frequently require extensive skin reduction and removal of nipple position [2,7-9]. A causal pattern is one of the techniques chosen to facilitate large and/or ptotic breasts. Besides, it allows for greater control over the final shape of the reconstruction performing reduction or mastopexy at the same time [5]. Although this can result in favorable shape and symmetry, it has a high rate of NAC and skin pillars complications, such as superficial epidermolysis, or full thickness necrosis of the NAC, wound dehiscence, and implant exposure [10,11].

Incidence of skin necrosis, especially at the T-junction, range from 20 to 30 percent by some writers [4,6,12,13].

NAC in large and/or ptotic breasts have insufficient blood supply due to the long distance from stern al notch, or inframammary fold.

The additional blood supply from the three pedicle dermal flap provides a third dimension blood supply to the flap by preserving vascularity coming from branches of the internal mammary and lateral thoracic arteries which run in the subcutaneous tissue and communicate with each other [2].

Immediate reconstruction with implants may sometimes result to NAC or skin flaps necrosis due to the tension on the flaps. The two stages reconstruction with the use of tissue expanders could minimize the tension with gradually inflation of the expander [6,10,13].

The advantage of using the expander in this method is the fact that it allows for a larger expansion, a more natural ptotic shape and applies less pressure on NAC and the skin flaps of mastectomy [14,15]. Therefore facilitating the tissues with a better blood supply level, hence minimizing the necrosis incidence.

Key features of the technique that uniquely mark it compared to others are the following: a) The use of a three pedicle dermal flap which will provide additional blood supply; b) The use of the tissue expander that will minimize the tension on NAC. These factors ensure a good and safe solution to NAC preservation in addition to viability, by giving a good aesthetic result (Figure 3).

The three pedicle dermal flap described for the first time, give the chance to achieve a good and safe aesthetic result in women with large and ptotic breasts who undergo a preventing mastectomy (Figures 4-7).
Between the 42 patients who underwent a three pedicle preventing mastectomy the last two years (from October 2013 to October 2016), Skin Flaps viability problems appear in 6 patients (14.28%) 4 patients with Superficial necrosis of the nipple (9.52%), one patient with Epidermolysis (2.38%), and one patient with full thickness necrosis as part of the T-junction (2.38%).

Conclusion

The described innovation with the use of the three pedicle dermal flap, a modification of the well known bipedicle flap, gives the chance of a better blood supply to the nipple support and an increase rate on NAC survival and the skin pillars as well. The two stages reconstruction with the use of a tissue expander and the gradually inflation, decreases the possibility of a NAC necrosis due to tension on the Nipple Areola Complex.

This is the first time that a three-pedicle dermal flap has been used in preventing mastectomy for large and/or ptotic breasts. Therefore it plays a pivotal role in the field of preventing mastectomy techniques as it minimizes the complications that usually appear when a NAC transposition at a new place is needed due to breast ptosis and skin excess exist.
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