An ID2-dependent mechanism for VHL inactivation in cancer
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Mechanisms that maintain cancer stem cells are crucial to tumour progression. The ID2 protein supports cancer hallmarks including the cancer stem cell state. HIF2α transcription factors, most notably HIF2α (also known as EPAS1), are expressed in and required for maintenance of cancer stem cells (CSCs). However, the pathways that are engaged by ID2 or drive HIF2α accumulation in CSCs have remained unclear. Here we report that DYRK1A and DYRK1B kinases phosphorylate ID2 on threonine 27 (Thr27). Hypoxia downregulates this phosphorylation via inactivation of DYRK1A and DYRK1B. The activity of these kinases is stimulated in normoxia by the oxygen-sensing prolyl hydroxylase PHD1 (also known as EGLN2). ID2 binds to the VHL ubiquitin ligase complex, displaces VHL-associated Cul2, and impairs HIF2α ubiquitination and degradation. Phosphorylation of Thr27 of ID2 by DYRK1 blocks ID2–VHL interaction and preserves HIF2α ubiquitilation. In glioblastoma, ID2 positively modulates HIF2α activity. Conversely, elevated expression of DYRK1 phosphorylates Thr27 of ID2, leading to HIF2α destabilization, loss of glioma stemness, inhibition of tumour growth, and a more favourable outcome for patients with glioblastoma.

The HIFα (hypoxia inducible factor alpha) transcription factors are the key mediators of the hypoxia response1. HIFα protein dysregulation in cancer can be triggered by mutation of the von-Hippel Lindau (VHL) gene, an event that hinders the negative control of HIFα protein stability through the ubiquitin ligase activity of VHL. This idea has been validated for HIF2α, the HIF isoform preferentially upregulated in VHL-mutant tumours and which has recently been implicated as driver of cancer stem cells2-3. However, signalling events that link the stem cell-intrinsic transcriptional machinery to pivotal mechanisms of HIF2α regulation in cancer remain to be charted.

ID proteins (ID1 to ID4) are master regulators of stem cells that are hijacked during tumorigenesis and foster stem cell self-renewal and angiogenesis4,5. Although the pro-tumorigenic role of ID proteins has been linked to the accumulation of mRNAs and proteins, it remains unclear whether other mechanisms exist that deregulate ID activity in cancer cells. Among ID proteins, ID2 is essential for tumour angiogenesis and glioma stemness and it is a component of the signature that predicts poor outcome in patients with high-grade glioma6-10.

We show that ID2 activity is restrained by DYRK1 kinase-mediated phosphorylation on Thr27 and hypoxia reduces this event by inhibiting DYRK1 activity. In hypoxic brain tumour cells, active ID2 binds to and disrupts the VHL complex, thus preventing ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of HIF2α. This is a previously unrecognized mechanism that fosters cancer stem cells and aggressiveness of human cancer.

Hypoxia regulates phosphorylation of ID2 by DYRK1
We used mass spectrometry to identify the phosphorylation sites of human ID2. Beside Ser5 (ref. 11), we found that ID2 is phosphorylated on Ser14 and Thr27 (Extended Data Fig. 1a–c). A sequencing analysis of the ID2 gene in cancer revealed that the colorectal cancer cell line HRT-18 harbours and expresses a mutant ID2(T27A) protein (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). Thr27 of ID2 is highly conserved throughout evolution (Extended Data Fig. 2c). The primary role of ID proteins is to preserve stem cell properties, a function widely documented in neural stem cells (NSCs)12,13. Therefore, to interrogate the significance of the ID2(T27A) mutation, we tested the self-renewing capacity of ID2-null NSCs reconstituted with wild-type ID2 (ID2(WT)) or ID2(T27A) (Fig. 1a). Introduction of ID2(T27A) in ID2-null NSCs increased neurosphere formation in serial passages by more than 50% when compared with ID2(WT) (P = 0.00883–0.000229; t ratio = 4.772–12.597) and caused a 2.4-fold increase in cell expansion rate (40.5 ± 1.7 versus 16.7 ± 0.831; P < 0.0001, Fig. 1b–d). From the analysis of 18 candidate kinases, the dual-specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinases 1A and 1B (DYRK1A and DYRK1B) emerged as the only enzymes able to phosphorylate Thr27 of ID2 (Fig. 1e, Extended Data Fig. 2d). The sequence surrounding the Thr27 residue in ID2 resembles the DYRK1 phosphorylation consensus motif RX(X)(S/T)P and is highly conserved in different species (Extended Data Fig. 2e)14. Antibodies against a phospho-T27-ID2 peptide confirmed that ID2 is phosphorylated by wild-type kinase but not the inactive DYRK1B(K140R) kinase (Fig. 1a, f–h)15. Endogenous and exogenous ID2 and ID2(T27A) co-precipitated endogenous DYRK1A and DYRK1B (Fig. 1i and Extended Data Fig. 2e). Treatment of glioma cells with harmine, a small-molecule inhibitor of DYRK1A and DYRK1B kinase-mediated phosphorylation on Thr27 and hypoxia reduces this event by inhibiting DYRK1 activity. In hypoxic brain tumour cells, active ID2 binds to and disrupts the VHL complex, thus preventing ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of HIF2α. This is a previously unrecognized mechanism that fosters cancer stem cells and aggressiveness of human cancer.
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Figure 1 | Inhibition of DYRK1-mediated phosphorylation of ID2 at Thr27 promotes NSC properties. a, Reconstitution of NSCs ID2+/− with ID2(WT), ID2(T27A) or the empty vector. b, Microphotographs of representative cultures from the neurosphere-forming assay. c, Percent of neurospheres generated in serial clonal assays (means of 3 biological replicates ± s.d.; ***P = 0.00883–0.000229 for ID2+/−/ID2(T27A) compared with ID2+/−/ID2(WT)). d, Cumulative cell number of cultures as in c (means of 3 biological replicates ± s.d. ***P = <0.0001 for ID2+/−/ID2(T27A) compared with ID2+/−/ID2(WT)). e, In vitro kinase assay shows phosphorylation of GST–ID2 protein by recombinant DYRK1B. f, Phosphorylation of ID2 but not ID2(T27A) by DYRK1A in IMR32 cells. g, Phosphorylation of endogenous ID2 by DYRK1A in U87 cells. h, Phosphorylation of endogenous ID2 by DYRK1B but not the kinase inactive DYRK1B(K140R) in U87 cells. i, Binding between endogenous DYRK1A or DYRK1B and ID2. WCL, whole cellular lysate.

Figure 2 | DYRK1 kinases and ID2 Thr27 phosphorylation are inhibited by hypoxia and enhanced by PHD1. a, Hypoxia inhibits phosphorylation of ID2 Thr27 in GSC line 1123. b, CoCl2 inhibits DYRK1 kinase. GFP–DYRK1 immunoprecipitates from U87 cells untreated or treated with CoCl2 and recombinant Flag–ID2 were used in kinase assay in vitro. c, d, Hypoxia reduces tyrosine phosphorylation of DYRK1A (c) and DYRK1B (d) as evaluated by anti–p-Tyr immunoprecipitation in GSC line 1123 cells. e, CoCl2 inhibits proline hydroxylation of DYRK1A and DYRK1B as shown by anti–hydroxyproline immunoprecipitation in U87 glioma cells. (HC, IgG heavy chain; LC, IgG light chain). f, Endogenous DYRK1A and DYRK1B interact with Flag–PHD1 in U87 cells. g, The kinase domain (KD) but not the N- or the C-terminal domains of DYRK1B interacts with PHD1 in co-immunoprecipitation assay. h, Expression of PHD1 enhances cellular DYRK1 kinase activity in an in vitro phosphorylation assay recombinant ID2. i, Expression of PHD1 enhances DYRK1 kinase activity towards ID2 Thr27 in vivo.
required for the activity of DYRK1 kinase. (Extended Data Fig. 3e–g). Similarly, exposure of GSCs to low oxygen decreased DYRK1A and DYRK1B tyrosine auto-phosphorylation (Fig. 2c, d).

Phosphorylation of ID2 by DYRK1 destabilizes HIF2α.

We used human GSCs to interrogate the effects of DYRK1 and ID2(T27A) on HIF2α and glioma stemness. Lentiviral transduction of the DYRK1-resistant ID2(T27A) mutant in GSC line number 48 resulted in elevation of HIF2α and enhanced tumour sphere forming capacity as measured by limiting dilution assay (LDA, Extended Data Fig. 4a–d). ID2(T27A)-induced accumulation of the HIF2α protein was independent of transcription (Extended Data Fig. 4e). When detectable, HIF1α levels mirrored those of HIF2α but with more limited changes (Extended Data Fig. 4f).

Expression of DYRK1 in GSC line number 34 and GSC line number 31 reduced HIF2α, the HIF2α target TGFα and the glioma stem cell marker SOX2 (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 4g, h). Also in this case HIF2α mRNA was unchanged (Extended Data Fig. 4i, j). LDA and serial clonal experiments showed that the DYRK1-induced decrease of HIF2α attenuated glioma stemness (Fig. 3b–d and Extended Data Fig. 4k). However, accumulation of HIF2α, expression of SOX2 and the frequency of GSCs were restored by co-expression of DYRK1 and ID2(T27A) but not ID2(WT) (Fig. 3a–d and Extended Data Fig. 4k). DYRK1-mediated inhibition of gliosphere formation was overridden by co-expression of non-degradable HIF2α (HIF2α-TM, TM, triple mutant; Extended Data Fig. 4l). Furthermore, silencing of DYRK1A or DYRK1B upregulated HIF2α and reduced phosphorylation of Thr27 of ID2, with maximal effects after co-silencing of both kinases (Fig. 3e). Next, we investigated the effects of DYRK1 and ID2(T27A) on ubiquitination and the stability of HIF2α. DYRK1-mediated phosphorylation of Thr27 triggered HIF2α ubiquitination and expression of ID2(T27A) reversed DYRK1 effect (Fig. 3f and Extended Data Fig. 4m).

ID2 binds and disrupts the VCB–Cull2 complex

Mass spectrometry analysis of ID2 immunoaffinity complexes revealed that Elongin C, a component of the VCB–Cull2 ubiquitin ligase

Figure 3 | The DYRK1–ID2–Thr27 pathway controls GSCs and HIF2α. a, Phosphorylation of ID2 but not ID2(T27A) by GFP–DYRK1B downregulates HIF2α and SOX2 in GSC line 31. b, In vitro LDA of parallel cultures shows that decreased frequency of gliomaspheres by DYRK1B is rescued by ID2(T27A). Data are means of 3 biological replicates ± s.d.; **P = 0.0031 (vector versus DYRK1B); ***P = 0.0022 (DYRK1B versus DYRK1B plus ID2(T27A)). c, Microphotographs of representative cultures in b, d, Serial clonal experiments of cells in b. Data are means of 3 biological replicates ± s.d. of percent gliomaspheres; ***P = 0.0059–0.0007 for vector versus DYRK1B plus vector; ***P = 0.0089–0.0008 for ID2(T27A) plus DYRK1B versus DYRK1B plus vector.
Docking whereby a N-terminally-derived ID2 peptide (amino acids 15–31) docked preferentially to a groove on the molecular surface of VHL:Elongin C22 with the N-terminal half of its interaction surface contacting the SOCS box of VHL that binds Cul2 (primarily Lys159) and the C-terminal half (including Thr27) fitting snugly into a hydrophobic pocket mostly contributed by the Elongin C surface (Extended Data Fig. 6a, c, d). A more detailed mapping of the regions involved in the VHL–ID2 interaction revealed that amino acids 15–35 of ID2 and the SOCS box of VHL (amino acids 154–174) were required for the binding (Fig. 4d, e). Mutation of Lys159 (K159E), which provides the VHL module for the interaction with the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 (Fig. 4h and Extended Data Fig. 7c). As we expressed ID2(T27A), ID2 was loaded onto VCB, and from this location on the complex (Extended Data Fig. 6b). DYRK1 disrupts the interaction between VHL and ID2(WT) but did not affect the binding of VHL to ID2(T27A) (Fig. 4g). The phosphominomic ID2(T27E) mutant failed to bind VHL and did not promote accumulation of HIF2α (Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). Next, we developed an in vitro assay using purified proteins that included bacterially expressed Flag–ID2, enzymatically active recombinant DYRK1B and baculovirus-expressed VCB–Cul2 complex or purified VHL. In this system, ID2 bound to VCB–Cul2 complex and VHL in the absence of active DYRK1B, but the interaction was disrupted by DYRK1-mediated phosphorylation of Thr27 (Fig. 4h and Extended Data Fig. 7c). As we expressed ID2(T27A), ID2 was loaded onto VCB, and the Cul2/RBX1 module dissociated from the complex in the absence of changes in the total cellular levels of Cul2 and RBX1 (Fig. 4i). We also found that challenging the pre-assembled VCB complex with increasing presence of recombinant VCB–Cul2.

Figure 4 | Unphosphorylated ID2 binds to and disrupts the VCB–Cul2 complex. a, Recombinant ID2 interacts with Elongin C and VHL in a GST pulldown assay (input: 10%). b, Co-immunoprecipitation shows that VHL preferentially interacts with ID2. c, The N terminus of ID2 is required for the interaction with VHL as determined by immunoprecipitation and western blot (IP-WB) analysis. d, The N terminus of GST–ID2 is required for the interaction with in vitro translated HA–VHL. e, Amino acids 154–174 of in vitro translated HA–VHL mediate the interaction with GST–ID2 (input: 10%). f, Phosphorylation of ID2 Thr27 or the ID2(T27W) mutation disrupt the ID2–VHL interaction as analysed by in vitro streptavidin pull down of biotinylated ID2 peptides in the complex that includes VHL, Elongin C, Elongin B, Cullin 2, and RBX1 is an ID2-associated protein (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The direct interaction of ID2 with Elongin C and VHL was confirmed in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 5d, e). ID2 was unable to bind to HIFα proteins (Extended Data Fig. 5d). VHL and Elongin C interacted strongly with ID2, weakly with ID1 and ID3, and did not bind to ID4 (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 5f, g). The interaction between ID2 and VHL was mediated by the amino-terminal region of ID2 that includes Thr27 and did not require the HLH domain (amino acids 35–76, Fig. 4c). A more detailed mapping of the regions involved in the VHL–ID2 interaction revealed that amino acids 15–35 of ID2 and the SOCS box of VHL (amino acids 154–174) were required for the binding (Fig. 4d, e). Mutation of Lys159 (K159E), which provides the VHL contact surface for binding to Cul2 (refs 21, 22), impaired the interaction with ID2 (Fig. 4e). Consistent with ID2 deletion mapping analysis, an ID2 peptide composed of amino acids 14–34 bound to both VHL and components of the VCB complex pre-assembled in insect cells. However, addition of a phosphate to Thr27 or mutation of Thr27 to a bulky hydrophobic amino acid (T27W) prevented the binding to both VHL and the VCB complex (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig. 5h). These findings were corroborated by computational molecular docking whereby a N-terminally-derived ID2 peptide (amino acids 15–31) docked preferentially to a groove on the molecular surface of VHL:Elongin C22.
Amounts of recombinant Flag–ID2 resulted in progressive dissociation of Cul5-based complex containing SOCS2, a SOCS protein that cannot bind to ID2(T27A) (Extended Data Fig. 7d, e). Finally, in glioma cells in which ID2 and VHL are present at a molar ratio of 5:7:1 (Extended Data Fig. 7f), hypoxia signalling promoted the association between endogenous VHL and ID2 while dissociating Cul2 (Fig. 4k and Extended Data Fig. 7g). Silencing of ID2 rescued the dissociation of Cul2 from VCB complex and prevented HIF2α elevation (Fig. 4k). Together, these findings indicate that ID2 activation stabilizes HIF2α by disabling VCB ubiquitin ligase via dissociation of Cul2.

**A DYRK1–ID2 pathway controls HIF2α in glioma**

To determine whether activation of ID2 enhances HIF2α transcriptional activity in an unbiased fashion, we used CINDy, an algorithm for high-fidelity reconstruction of post-translational causal dependencies to interrogate whether ID2 can affect the activity of HIF2α on its targets in the context of GBM. When applied to a collection of 548 TCGA-derived GBM samples, ID2 activity emerged as the modulator of the transcriptional connection between HIF2α and its activated target genes (Fig. 5a, b). The activity of ID2 is estimated by the VIPER algorithm, a computational tool designed to infer protein activity from gene expression data. When GBM samples were divided into two groups based on ID2 activity, samples with higher ID2 activity showed significantly stronger correlation between HIF2α and its targets than a set of random genes ($P = 0.001$, Fig. 5a). This positive correlation was absent in the cohort of GBM with low ID2 activity ($P = 0.093$, Fig. 5b). Consistent with these observations, we detected a marked reduction of HIF2α protein following acute deletion of the Id1 and Id2 genes in a mouse model of malignant glioma (Extended Data Fig. 8a). We interrogated the effect of DYRK1 expression in mouse models of human glioma. Tetracycline-induced expression of DYRK1B at levels comparable to normal brain (Extended Data Fig. 8b), downregulated HIF2α in glioma cells in vitro and in sub-cutaneous xenografts and reduced the expression of the HIF2α targets that promote stem cell functions (Fig. 5c–e). Expression of DYRK1B also inhibited tumour cell proliferation in vivo, resulting in tumour reduction (Fig. 5f and Extended Data Fig. 8c, d). Next, we evaluated the anti-tumour effects of DYRK1B(WT) or the kinase inactive K140R mutant in an orthotopic model of glioma (Extended Data Fig. 8e). Animals bearing glioma cells that expressed DYRK1B(WT) manifested significantly increased survival and tumour latency relative to mice bearing DYRK1B(K140R) or vector-transduced cells (Fig. 5g, h). Two out of seven mice in the DYRK1B(WT) group developed tumours that failed to express exogenous DYRK1B (Extended Data Fig. 8f). This result suggests that active DYRK1 kinase is incompatible with tumour growth in this glioma model. Finally, higher DYRK1A and DYRK1B predicted a more favourable clinical outcome for GBM patients, thus supporting the clinical significance of DYRK1 activity in glioma (Fig. 5i and Extended Data Fig. 9a).

**Discussion**

Here we report a novel mechanism of functional inactivation of the VHL ubiquitin ligase that is independent of genetic mutations of the VHL gene. This mechanism is centred on the ability of active ID2 to disrupt the VCB–Cul2 complex, leading to HIF2α stabilization. We also unravel a hypoxia-directed cascade of events that by overriding the restraining effect of DYRK1-mediated phosphorylation of ID2 on Thr27, culminates with ID2 activation and HIF2α stabilization. The transcriptional activation of the ID2 gene, a HIFα target, by HIF2α generates a feed-forward ID2–HIF2α loop that further supports cancer stem cells and glioma aggressiveness (Extended Data Fig. 10). By showing that PHD1-mediated prolyl hydroxylation enhances the enzymatic activity of DYRK1 kinases towards ID2, our findings provide a clue to the mechanism of DYRK1 inhibition in hypoxia. Thus, inhibition of DYRK1 kinases is an oxygen-sensing signal that disables VCB–Cul2.

The gene coding for **DYRK1A** is gained in Down syndrome, a disease characterized by impaired neural proliferation during...
development28–30, reduced self-renewal and premature withdrawal from the cell cycle31. These findings are consistent with the notion that activation of DYRK1A and DYRK1B inhibits proliferation and activates a cellular quiescence program12−13. It is plausible that DYRK1-activating signals are negative regulators of self-renewal of both normal and cancer stem cells. By phosphorylating ID2 on Thr27, DYRK1 weakens the core of the stemness machinery centred on the ID2–HIF2α pathway. We suggest that together with the control of ID2 activity, regulation of synaptic hedgehog/Gli signalling and CREB-mediated transcription by DYRK kinases cooperate to restrain stem cell functions and tumourigenesis in the nervous system38–40. The glioma inhibitory effect of DYRK1 in the mouse, and the predictive value of DYRK1 expression on GBM patient survival support the tumour suppressor function of DYRK kinases in vivo. Whereas there is agreement on the negative role of DYRK1 towards stemness and cell proliferation11,35, the broad spectrum of DYRK kinase substrates may account for the pro-tumorigenic functions of DYRK1 reported by other studies41. It has been proposed that loss of DYRK1 and accumulation of ID2 and HIF2α drive tumour progression of other cancer types besides GBM. The mechanism reported here might operate widely during the transition of cancer towards the more aggressive stem-like state that drives maintenance and progression of solid tumours.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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Plasmin, cloning and lentivirus production. A constitutively stabilized mutant of HIF2α (HIF2α-TM) was obtained from Christina Warnecke. The HIF2α-TM (triplet mutant) construct harbours the following mutations in the prolyl and asparagyl hydroxylase sites: P405A, P530G and N851A. Polypeptidase-resistant HIF2α for the generation of the pCDA vector was cloned into pCDA-HA and include DYSK1B N terminus, N-Ter (amino acids 1–110), DYSK1B kinase domain, KD (amino acids 111–431), and DYSK1B C terminus, C-Ter (amino acids 432–629). cDNAs for RBX1, Elongin B and Elongin C were kindly provided from Michele Pagano (New York University) and cloned into the pcDNA vector by PCR. HA-tagged HIF1α and HIF2α were obtained from Addgene. GFP-tagged DYSK1A and DYSK1B were cloned into pcDNA vector. pcDNA-HA-VHL was provided by Kook Hwan Kim (Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Korea). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using QuickChange or QuickChange Multi Site-Directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) and resulting plasmids were sequence verified. Lentivirus was generated by co-transfection of the lentiviral vectors with pCMV-DR8.1 and pMD2.G plasmids into HEK293T cells as previously described44.5. SHRNA sequences are: ID2-1: GCCTAATCATGTATACCTGAGATACACACATCTGATAG; ID2-2: CCCAACATGGCTCAGCACTTCGAGATCGAGGCTGAACTCTG; ID2-3: CCCTGAGATCTCAGGCTGAACTCTG. Cell culture and hypoxia induction. IMR-32 (ATCC CCL-127), SK-N-SH (ATCC HTB-11), U87 (ATCC HTB-14), NCI-H299 (ATCC CRL-5803), HRT18 (ATCC CCL-244), and H460 (ATCC CCL-11268) cell lines were acquired through American Type Culture Collection. U251 (Sigma, catalogue number 09063001) cell line was obtained through Sigma. Cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma). Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination using Mycoplasma Plus PCR Primer Set (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and were found to be negative. Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or calcium phosphate. Mouse NSC9s were grown in Neurocult medium (StemCell Technologies), and recombinant FGF-2 and EGF (20 ng ml–1 each; PeproTech). GMβ4-derived glioma stem cells were obtained by de-identified brain tumour specimens from excess material collected for clinical purposes at New York Presbyterian-Columbia University Medical Center. Donors (patients diagnosed with glioblastoma) were anonymous. Progressive numbers were used to label specimens coded in order to preserve the confidentiality of the subjects. Work with these materials was designated as IRB exempt under paragraph 4 and it is covered under IRB protocol BAA-17305. GMβ4-derived GSCs were grown in DMEM/F12 containing 1× N2 and B27 supplements (Invitrogen) and human recombinant FGF-2 and EGF (20 ng ml–1 each; PeproTech). Cells at passage (P) 4 were transduced using lentiviral particle in medium containing 4μg/ml of polybrene (Sigma). Cells were cultured in hypoxic chamber with 1% O2 (O2 Control Glove Box, Coy Laboratory Products, MI) for the indicated times or treated with a final concentration of 100–300μM CoCl2 (Sigma) as specified in figure legends. Mouse neurosphere assay was performed by plating 2,000 cells in 35 mm dishes in collagen containing NSC medium to ensure that distinct colonies were derived from single cells and therefore clonal in origin45. We determined neurosphere formation over serial clonal passages in limiting dilution semi-solid cultures and the cell expansion rate over passages, which is a direct indication of self-renewing symmetric cell divisions44. For serial sub-culturing we mechanically dissociated neurospheres into single cells in bulk and re-cultured them under the self-renewing symmetric cell divisions44. The number of spheres was scored after 14 days. Only colonies >100 μm in diameter were counted as spheres. Neurosphere size was determined by measuring the diameters of individual neurospheres under light microscopy. Data are presented as percent of neurospheres obtained at each passage (number of neurospheres scored/number of NSCs plated × 100) in three independent experiments. 

Generation of phospho-ID2-T27 antibody. The anti-phospho-T27-ID2 antibody was generated by immunizing rabbits with a short synthetic peptide containing the phosphorylated T27 (CGGSRK5T-pT-PVDDPMS) (Yenxenz Antibodies, LLC). A two-step purification process was applied. First, antigen was cross-absorbed against the phospho-peptide matrix to remove antibodies that recognize the phospho-tyrosine peptide. Then, the anti-serum was purified against the un-phosphorylated peptide matrix to remove non-specific antibodies.

Identification of phosphorylation sites of ID2. To identify the sites of ID2 phosphorylation from IMR32 human neuroblastoma cells, the immunoprecipitated ID2 protein was excised, digested with trypsin, chymotrypsin and Lys-C and the peptides extracted from the polyacrylamide in two 30 μl aliquots of 50% acetonitrile/5% formic acid. These extracts were combined and evaporated to 25 μl for MS analysis. The LC–MS system consisted of a state-of-the-art Finnigan LTQ-FT mass spectrometer system with a Protana nanospray ion source interfaced to a self-packed 8 cm × 75μm id Phenomenex Jupiter 10 μm Phenomenex Jupiter 10 μm C18 reversed-phase capillary column. 0.5–5 μl volumes of the extract were injected and the peptides eluted from the column by an acetonitrile/0.1 M acetic acid gradient at a flow rate of 0.25 μl min–1. The nanospray ion source was operated at 2.8 kV. The method was therefore able to use the double play capability of the instrument acquiring full scan mass spectra to determine peptide molecular weights and product ion spectra to determine amino acid sequence in sequential scans. This mode of analysis produces approximately 1200 CAD spectra of ions ranging in abundance over several orders of magnitude. Tandem MS/MS experiments were performed on each candidate phosphopeptide to verify its sequence and locate the phosphorylation site. A signature of a phosphopeptide is the detection of loss of 98 daltons (the mass of phosphoric acid)15. In MS/MS spectra obtained using this method, three phosphopeptides were found to carry phosphorylations at residues Ser5, Ser14 and Thr27 of the ID2 protein.

In vitro binding assay. Cells were lysed in NP40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 1.5 mM NaVO4, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) or RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 r.p.m. for 15 min at 4 °C. For immunoprecipitation, cell lysates were incubated with primary antibody (hydroxyproline, Acanb, ab37967, VHL, BD, 556347; DYSK1A, Cell Signaling Technology, 2771; DYSK1B, Cell Signaling Technology, 5672) and protein A/G beads (Santa Cruz, sc-2003) or phospho-Tyrosine (P-Tyr-100) Sepharose beads (Cell Signaling Technology, 9419). HA affinity matrix (Roche, 11815016001), Flag M2 affinity gel (Sigma, F2426) at 4 °C over-night. Beads were washed with lysis buffer four times and eluted in 2× SDS sample buffer. Protein samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred to polyvinyl difluoride (PVDF) or nitrocellulose (NC) membrane. Membranes were blocked in TBS with 5% non-fat milk and 0.1% Tween20, and probed with primary antibodies. Antibodies and working concentrations are: ID2 1:500 (C-20, sc-489), GFP 1:1000 (A-11122), Elongin B 1:1000 (FL-118, sc-11447), obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; phospho-Tyrosine 1:1000 (P-Tyr-100, 9411), HA 1:1000 (C29F4, 3724), VHL 1:500 (2738), DYSK1A 1:1000, 2771; DYSK1B 1:1000, 5672 and RBX1 1:2000 (D35J, 11922), obtained from Cell Signaling Technology; VHL 1:500 (GeneTex, GTX101087); 5-actin 1:8000 (A5411), α-tubulin 1:8000 (T5168), and Flag M2 1:500 (F1804) obtained from Sigma; HIF1α 1:500 (Hlapha67, NB100-105) and Elongin C 1:1000 (NB100-78353) obtained from Novus Biologicals; HA 1:1000 (3F10, 12158167001) obtained from Roche. Secondary antibodies horseradish peroxidase-conjugated were purchased from Pierce and ECL solution (Amersham) was used as detection agent.

In vitro binding assays, TAG-activated RBX1, Elongin B, Elongin C and VHL were in vitro translated using TNT quick coupled transcription/translation system (Promega). Active VHL protein complex was purchased from EMD Millipore. Purified His-VHL protein was purchased from ProteinOne (Rockville, MD).
GST, GST–ID2 and Flag–ID2 proteins were bacterial expressed and purified using glutathione sepharose beads (GE Healthcare life science). Active DYRK1B (Invitrogen) was used for in vitro phosphorylation of Flag–ID2 proteins. Biotinylated wild-type and modified (pT27 and T27W) ID2 peptides (amino acids 14–34) were synthesized by LifeTein (Somerset, NJ). In vitro binding experiments between ID2 and VCB–Cul2 were performed using 500 ng of Flag–ID2 and 500 ng of VCB–Cul2 complex or 500 ng VHL protein in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 0.2% NP40, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mg ml−1 BSA and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) at 4 °C for 3 h. In vitro binding between ID2 peptides and purified proteins was performed using 2 μg of ID2 peptides and 200 ng of recombinant VCB–Cul2 complex or 200 ng recombinant VHL in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 0.4% NP40, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mg ml−1 BSA and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) at 4 °C for 3 h or overnight. Protein complexes were pulled down using glutathione sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Life Science) or streptavidin conjugated beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analysed by immunoblot.

In vitro and in vivo kinase assays. Cdk1, Cdk5, DYRK1A, DYRK1B, ERK, GSK3, PKA, CaMKII, Chk1, Chk2, RSK-1, RSK-2, aurora-A, aurora-B, PLK-1, PLK-2, and NEK2 were all purchased from Life Technology and ATM from EMD Millipore. The 18 kinase proteins used in the test were selected because they are proline-directed S/T kinases (Cdk1, Cdk5, DYRK1A, DYRK1B, ERK, GSK3) and/or because they were considered to be candidate kinases for Thr27, Ser14 or Ser5 from kinase consensus prediction algorithms (NetPhosK1.0, http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhosK/; GPS Version 3.0 http://gps.biocuckoo.org/#) or visual inspection of the flanking regions and review of the literature for consensus kinase phosphorylation motifs. 1 μg of bacterially purified GST-ID2 substrates were incubated with 10–20 ng each of the recombinant active kinases. The reaction mixture included 10 μl of [γ-32P]ATP (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in 50 μl of kinase buffer (25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM MgCl2, and 0.2 mM ATP). Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 30 min. Reactions were terminated by addition of Laemmli SDS sample buffer and boiling at 95 °C for 5 min. Proteins were separated on SDS–PAGE gel and phosphorylation of proteins was visualized by autoradiography. Coomassie staining was used to document the amount of substrates included in the kinase reaction. In vitro phosphorylation of Flag–ID2 proteins by DYRK1B (Invitrogen) was performed using 500 ng of GST–DYRK1B and 200 ng of bacterially expressed purified Flag–ID2 protein.

In vivo kinase assay in GSCs and glioma cells was performed using endogenous or exogenously expressed DYRK1A and DYRK1B. Cell lysates were prepared in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). DYRK1 kinases were immunoprecipitated using DYRK1A and DYRK1B antibodies (for endogenous DYRK1 proteins) or GFP antibody (for exogenous GFP–DYRK1 proteins) from 1 mg cell lysates at 4 °C. Immunoprecipitates were washed with lysis buffer four times followed by two washes in kinase buffer as described above and incubated with 200 ng purified Flag–ID2 protein in kinase buffer for 30 min at 30 °C. Kinase reactions were separated by SDS–PAGE and analysed by western blot using p-T27–ID2 antibody.

Protein half-life and stoichiometry. HIF2α half-life was quantified using Imagej processing software (NIH). Densitometry values were analysed by Prism 6.0 using the linear regression function. Stoichiometric quantification of ID2 and VHL in U87 cells was used to determine (1) the efficiency of the immunoprecipitation using the antibody against p-ID2–T27 and (2) the ratio between efficiency of the immunoprecipitation evaluated by western blot for p-T27–ID2 and total ID2 antibodies. This represents the percent of phosphorylated Thr27 of ID2 present in the cell preparation.

Identification of ID2 complexes by mass spectrometry. Cellular ID2 complexes were purified from the cell line NCI-H1929 stably engineered to express Flag–ID2. Cellular lysates were prepared in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP40, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Flag–HA–ID2 immunoprecipitates were recovered first with anti-Flag antibody-conjugated M2 agarose (Sigma) and washed with lysis buffer containing 300 mM NaCl and 0.5% NP40. Flag–HA–ID2 immunoprecipitates were further affinity purified by anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose (Roche). The eluates from the HA beads were analysed directly on long gradient reverse phase LC–MS/MS. A specificity score of proteins interacting with ID2 was computed for each polypeptide by comparing the number of peptides identified from mass spectrometry analysis to those reported in the CRAPome database that includes a list of potential contaminants from affinity purification-mass spectrometry experiments (http://www.crapome.org). The specificity score is computed as [(peptide * xcorr)/(AveSC * #xcorr)] for all candidate peptides queried from the database; AveSC, averaged spectral counts from CRAPome; MaxSC, maximal spectral counts from CRAPome; and # of Ext, the total found number of experiments from CRAPome.

Ubiquitylation assay. U87 cells were transfected with pcDNA3–HA–HIF1α (HIF1α or HIF2α), pcDNA3–Flag–ID2 (WT or T27A), pEGFP–DYRK1B and pcDNA3–Myc–Ubiquitin. 36 h after transfection, cells were treated with 20 μM MG132 (EMD Millipore) for 6 h. After washing with ice-cold PBS twice, cells were lysed in 100 μl of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl (TBS) containing 2% SDS and boiled at 100 °C for 10 min. Lysates were diluted with 900 μl of TBS containing 1% NP40. Immunoprecipitation was performed using 1 μg of cellular lysates. Ubiquitylated proteins were immunoprecipitated using anti-Myc antibody and analysed by western blot using HA antibody.

Docking of ID2 peptide to the VCB complex. A previously described46, highly accurate flexible peptide docking method implemented in ICM software (Molsoft LLC, La Jolla CA) was used to dock ID2 peptides to VCB or components thereof. A series of overlapping peptides of varying lengths were docked to the complex of VHL and EloC (EloC), or VHL or EloC alone, from the recent crystallographic structure39 of the VHL–CRAL ligase. Briefly, an all-atom model of the peptide was docked into grid potentials derived from the X-ray structure using a stochastic global optimization in internal coordinates with pseudo-Brownian and collective ‘probability-biased’ random moves as implemented in the ICM program. Five types of potentials for the peptide-receptor interaction energy — hydrogen bonding, van der Waals, non-hydrogen van der Waals, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobicity and electrostatics — were precomputed on a rectilinear grid with 0.5 Å spacing that fills a 34 Å × 34 Å × 25 Å box containing the VHL–EloC (V-C complex) to which the peptide was docked by searching its full conformational space within the space of the grid potentials. The preferred docking conformation was identified by the lowest energy conformation in the search. The preferred peptide was identified by its maximal contact surface area with the respective receptor.

Docking of ID2 peptide to VCB complex. A previously described46, highly accurate flexible peptide docking method implemented in ICM software (Molsoft LLC, La Jolla CA) was used to dock ID2 peptides to VCB or components thereof. A series of overlapping peptides of varying lengths were docked to the complex of VHL and EloC (EloC), or VHL or EloC alone, from the recent crystallographic structure39 of the VHL–CRAL ligase. Briefly, an all-atom model of the peptide was docked into grid potentials derived from the X-ray structure using a stochastic global optimization in internal coordinates with pseudo-Brownian and collective ‘probability-biased’ random moves as implemented in the ICM program. Five types of potentials for the peptide-receptor interaction energy — hydrogen bonding, van der Waals, non-hydrogen van der Waals, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobicity and electrostatics — were precomputed on a rectilinear grid with 0.5 Å spacing that fills a 34 Å × 34 Å × 25 Å box containing the VHL–EloC (V-C complex) to which the peptide was docked by searching its full conformational space within the space of the grid potentials. The preferred docking conformation was identified by the lowest energy conformation in the search. The preferred peptide was identified by its maximal contact surface area with the respective receptor.
To infer if ID2 modulates the interactions between HIF2α and target gene of interest, it estimates the mutual information that is, how much targets we used a modified version of MINDy algorithm, called CINDy analysis. Data are presented as means of five different mice DNA was counterstained with DAPI (Sigma) for immunofluorescence. Images were incubated at 4 °C overnight. Secondary antibodies biotinylated (Vector Laboratories) mice. Mice were monitored daily for abnormal ill effects permitted according to our IACUC protocol, specifically 20 mm in the maximum volume allowed according to AAALAS guidelines and euthanized when neurological symptoms indicated glioma related deaths (\(n\) = 7 animals for each group, \(s.d.\) of three independent experiments each performed in triplicate (\(n = 9\)). Statistical significance was determined by Student’s \(t\)-test (two-tailed) using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software.

Orthotopic implantation of glioma cells was performed as described previously using 5 \(\times\) 10^6 U87 cells transduced with pLOC-vector, pLOC-DYRK1B (WT) or pLOC-DYRK1B-K146R mutant in 2 μl phosphate buffer. In brief, 5 days after lentiviral infection, cells were injected 2 mm lateral and 0.5 mm anterior to the bregma, 2.5 mm below the skull of 4–6-week-old athymic nude (Nu/Nu, Charles River Laboratories) mice. Mice were monitored daily for abnormal ill effects according to AALAS guidelines and euthanized when neurological symptoms were observed. Tumours were dissected and fixed in formalin for histopathological analysis. Data are means \(\pm s.d.\) of 7 mice in each group. Statistical significance was determined by ANCOVA using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software package (GraphPad).

To test expression of DYRK1A and DYRK1B is a predictor of prognosis, we divided the patients into two cohorts based on their relative expression compared to the mean expression of all patients in GBM. First cohort contained the patients with high expression of both DYRK1A and DYRK1B (\(n = 101\)) and the other cohort contained patients with low expression (\(n = 128\)). We used average expression for both DYRK1A and DYRK1B, which individually divide the patient cohort into half and half. However, when we use the condition that patients should display higher or lower average expression of both these genes, then we select approximately 19% for high expression and 24% for low expression. Selection of these patients was entirely dependent on the overall expression of these genes in the entire cohort rather than a predefined cutoff. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed the significant survival benefit for the patients having the high expression of both DYRK1A and DYRK1B (\(P = 0.004\)) compared to the patients with low expression.
When similar analysis was performed using only the expression of DYRK1A or DYRK1B alone, the prediction was either non-significant (DYRK1A) or less significant (DYRK1B, $P$ value = 0.008) when compared to the predictions using the expression of both genes.

**Statistics.** Results in graphs are expressed as means ± s.d. or means ± s.e.m., as indicated in figure legends, for the indicated number of observations. Statistical significance was determined by the Student’s $t$-test (two-tailed, unequal variance). $P$ value < 0.05 is considered significant and is indicated in figure legends.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | ID2 is phosphorylated on Ser5, Ser14 and Thr27. Chromatographic results of mass spectrometry analysis of ID2 protein immunoprecipitated from IMR32 human neuroblastoma cells.

a, The peptide identified as ID2 A3–R8 shows phosphorylation of Ser5.
b, The peptide identified as ID2 K12–R24 shows phosphorylation of Ser14.
c, The peptide identified as ID2 S25–L36 shows phosphorylation of Thr27.
Extended Data Figure 2 | T27A missense mutation in ID2 in human cancer cells and Thr27 phosphorylation of ID2 by DYRK1 kinases.

a, Sequence analysis of genomic DNA from the neuroblastoma cell line IMR32 shows the wild-type sequence (left). Sequencing of DNA from the colon cancer cell line HRT-18 shows a heterozygous mutation resulting in the change of codon-27 from ACC (Thr) to GCC (Ala) (right). b, Both wild-type and mutant ID2(T27A) are expressed in HRT-18 colon cancer cells. Sequence analysis of representative clones (out of 20 clones) derived from HRT-18 cDNA demonstrates expression of wild-type (left panel) and mutant (right panel) alleles. c, Amino acid sequence flanking Thr27 of ID2 (marked in red), including the DYRK1 consensus motif (bold), is evolutionarily conserved. d, In vitro kinase assay using bacterially expressed GST-ID proteins and recombinant DYRK1A. e, U87 cells transfected with Flag–ID2, Flag–ID2(T27A) or the empty vector were immunoprecipitated with Flag antibody. Co-precipitated proteins were analysed by western blot using DYRK1A, DYRK1B and Flag antibodies. β-actin was used as control for loading. WCL, whole cellular lysate. f, U87 stably transfected with Flag–ID2 were treated with harmine (10 μM) or vehicle for 24 h and analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies.

© 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved
Extended Data Figure 3 | DYRK1 kinase activity and Thr27 phosphorylation of ID2 are inhibited by hypoxia. a, U87 glioma cells were treated with 100 μM CoCl2 for the indicated times. Cellular lysates were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. b, SK-N-SH cells were treated with 300 μM CoCl2 for the indicated times and assayed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. c, Stoichiometric evaluation of pThr-27-ID2 in SK-N-SH cells untreated or treated with CoCl2 for 24 h. Cellular lysates prepared in denaturing buffer were immunoprecipitated using pT27-ID2 antibody or normal rabbit IgG. Aliquots of whole cellular lysates (WCL, μg) and immunoprecipitates were assayed by western blot using pT27-ID2 and non-phosphorylated ID2 antibodies (upper panels). The efficiency of immunoprecipitation with anti-pT27-ID2 antibody from untreated cells was determined to calculate the percent of the pT27-ID2 in the absence and in the presence of CoCl2 (lower panel). d, 293T cells expressing GFP–DYRK1 proteins untreated or treated with 100 μM CoCl2 for 12 h were used as a source of active kinase. The kinase activity of the anti-GFP–DYRK1 immunoprecipitates was tested in vitro using bacterially expressed and purified Flag–ID2 as substrate. Kinase reactions were evaluated by western blot using p-T27-ID2 antibodies (top). Analysis of kinase reactions by Flag immunoblot shows similar amount of ID2 protein in each kinase reaction (middle). Immunocomplexes were analysed by western blot using GFP antibody (bottom). e, Lysates from U251 cells expressing GFP–DYRK1 proteins untreated or treated with 100 μM CoCl2 for 6 h were immunoprecipitated using GFP antibodies. Western blot was performed using anti-p-Tyrosine (p-Tyr) or GFP antibodies. Analysis of WCL shows similar expression levels of DYRK1 proteins. α-tubulin was used as control for loading. f, Lysates from 293T cells expressing GFP–DYRK1A untreated or treated with 100 μM CoCl2 for 12 h were immunoprecipitated with anti-p-Tyr antibodies and analysed by western blot using antibodies against GFP, α-tubulin was used as control for loading. g, Lysates from 293T cells expressing GFP–DYRK1B untreated or treated with 100 μM CoCl2 for 12 h were immunoprecipitated with anti-p-Tyr antibodies and analysed by western blot using antibodies against GFP, α-tubulin was used as control for loading. h, U87 transfected with GFP–DYRK1A, GFP–DYRK1B or GFP and Flag–PHD1, Flag–PHD2, or Flag–PHD3 were immunoprecipitated using anti-hydroxyproline antibody. Western blot was performed using GFP antibody (upper panels). HC, IgG heavy chain. Lower panels, WCL.
Extended Data Figure 4 | The DYRK1–ID2 Thr27 pathway controls GSCs and HIF2α. a, GSC line 48 cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing ID2(WT), ID2(T27A), or the empty vector. b, Cells were analysed by in vitro LDA. Representative regression plot used to calculate gliomasphere frequency in panel c. c, The frequency of cells capable of forming gliomaspheres by in vitro LDA. Data in the histograms represent means of 3 biological replicates ± s.d.; **P = 0.00163. d, The microphotographs show representative gliomasphere cultures of cells treated as in a. e, HIF2α mRNAs from cells treated as in a were analysed by semi-quantitative RT–PCR. f, U87 cells stably expressing Flag–ID2 or Flag–ID2(T27A) were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. Arrow points to specific band. Arrowhead indicates Flag–ID2. Asterisk indicates endogenous ID2. g, GSC line 34 cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing DYRK1B-V5 or empty vector. Cells were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. Arrow points to specific band. Asterisk indicates a non-specific band. h, qRT–PCR from cells treated as in g. Data in the histograms represent means ± s.d. (n = 9, triplicate experiments each performed in triplicate; ***P = 8.44524 × 10^{-7} for TGFA). i, GSC line 31 was transduced with lentiviruses expressing DYRK1B–V5 or empty vector. Expression of HIF2α, DYRK1B-V5 and α-tubulin was analysed by western blot. j, mRNAs from experiment shown in Fig. 3a–c were analysed by semiquantitative RT–PCR for HIF2α. k, GSC line 31 cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing DYRK1B and ID2, ID2(T27A), or the empty vector. Cells were analysed by LDA. Representative regression plot used to calculate gliomasphere frequency in Fig. 3b. l, GSC cell line 31 cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing DYRK1B or the empty vector in the absence or in the presence of undegradable HIF2α (HIF2α–TM). Cells were analysed by in vitro LDA. Representative regression plot used to calculate the frequency of gliomaspheres in cultures from three independent infections (Vect plus Vect = 13.35%; DYRK1B–Vect = 4.36%; DYRK1B–HIF2α–TM = 9.73%).
Extended Data Figure 5 | The DYRK1–ID2-Thr27 pathway modulates HIFα stability by regulating the interaction between ID2 and VHL.

a, In vivo ubiquitylation of HIF1α protein. U87 cells transfected with the expression plasmids HIF1α and MYC-ubiquitin were co-transfected with Flag–ID2, Flag–ID2(T27A), or the empty vector in the presence or in the absence of GFP–DYRK1B. After treatment with MG132 (20 μM) for 6 h, lysates were prepared in denaturing buffer and identical aliquots were immunoprecipitated with antibodies directed against MYC. An anti-HA antibody was used to detect HIF1α ubiquitin conjugates (left); cellular lysates, WCL, were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies (right).

b, U87 cells were co-transfected with plasmids expressing HA–HIF2α and GFP–DYRK1B or GFP-vector. Cells were treated with 50 μg ml⁻¹ of CHX for the indicated times and analysed by western blot.

c, Quantification of HIF2α protein from the experiment in panel b as the log₂ of the percent of HIF2α relative to untreated cells.

d, IMR32 cells were co-transfected with ID2 and Flag–VHL or Flag–HIF1α expression vectors. Immunoprecipitation was performed using Flag antibody and immunocomplexes and whole cellular lysates (WCL) were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. e, IMR32 cells transfected with Flag–VHL expression vector were used for IgG or ID2 antibody immunoprecipitation. Immunocomplexes and WCL were analysed by western blot. Arrow points to the specific Flag–VHL band; asterisk indicates IgG light chain.

f, Flag immunoprecipitation of binding reactions of in vitro translated Flag–ID and HA–Elongin C proteins. Immunocomplexes were analysed by western blot for HA and Flag.

g, Flag–ID proteins and HA–VHL were translated and incubated in vitro. Flag immunocomplexes were analysed by western blot for HA and Flag.

h, In vitro streptavidin pulldown assay of biotinylated ID2 peptides (amino acid 14–34 (WT), pT27, and T27W) and in vitro translated HA–VHL. Bound polypeptides were detected by western blot.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Molecular docking of an ID2 (15–31) peptide on the VHL–Elongin C complex. **a**, Ribbon representation of the backbone of the VHL–Elongin C complex and the predicted binding conformation of the ID2 peptide. VHL (red ribbon), Elongin C (blue ribbon) and the docked ID2 peptide (purple ribbon). Cul2 contact residues are colored yellow ribbon in both VHL and Elongin C. Arrow indicates the ID2 peptide. **b**, Docking result for the phospho-Thr-27-ID2 peptide shown from the same perspective as in panel a. **c**, The view and complex in a is rotated 90 degrees around an axis parallel to the page so that the perspective is from the arrow shown in panel a. **d**, Electrostatic molecular surface representation of the VHL–Elongin C complex with the docked ID2 peptide. The perspective is the same as in panel c. The T27 side chain is shown as space-filling spheres and is indicated by the red arrow. The N-terminus and C-terminus of the ID2 peptide are indicated by purple arrows.
**Extended Data Figure 7 | DYRK1-mediated phosphorylation of ID2 prevents dissociation of the VCB–Cul2 complex.**

- **a,** *In vivo* binding assay using lysates from U87 cells co-transfected with HA–VHL and Flag–ID2 or Flag–ID2(T27E) expression vectors. Flag immunocomplexes were analysed by western blot using HA and Flag antibodies. Whole cell lysates, WCL, were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. Binding of Flag–ID2 and Flag–ID2(T27E) to the bHLH protein E47 is shown as control for ID2 binding.

- **b,** U87 cells were transfected with Flag–ID2, Flag–ID2(T27A) or Flag–ID2(T27E) plasmids. Cellular lysates were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies. *In vitro* binding between purified Flag-ID2 and His-VHL following *in vitro* kinase reaction using recombinant DYRK1B and Flag–ID2.

- **c,** *In vitro* binding assay using recombinant GST–DYRK1B and Flag–ID2 or Flag–ID2(T27E) expression vectors. Flag immunocomplexes were analysed by western blot using HA and Flag antibodies. Whole cell lysates, WCL, were analysed by western blot using the indicated antibodies.

- **d,** Analysis of the Flag–SOCS2 immunocomplexes in U87 cells transfected with ID2, ID2(T27A) or the empty vector. Flag immunoprecipitation reactions and WCL were analysed by western blot using antibodies against Cul5, ID2, and Flag (SOCS2).

- **e,** Stoichiometric analysis of ID2 and VHL in cellular lysates. Decreasing amount of WCL from 1 × 10⁶ U87 cells and purified proteins were assayed by western blot (left). Regression plots of densitometry analysis were used to determine ID2 and VHL protein concentration and the ID2:VHL ratio (right).

- **g,** Immunoprecipitation of endogenous VHL in U87 cells in the presence and in the absence of CoCl₂. Western blot for Cul2 and VHL are analysed by western blot. Vinculin is shown as loading control.
Extended Data Figure 8 | DYRK1 kinase inhibits proliferation of human glioma. 

a, Malignant glioma were induced in Id1\textsuperscript{Flx/Flx}\_Id2\textsuperscript{Flx/Flx}\_Id3\textsuperscript{+/−} mice via injection of lentivirus expressing H-RAS-V12-IRES-CRE-ER linked to U6-shp53 cassette into the dentate gyrus as described\textsuperscript{9}. Mice were treated for 5 days with tamoxifen or vehicle and euthanized 2 days later. Tumours were analysed by immunohistochemistry using HIF2\textalpha and OLIG2 antibodies. Nuclei were counterstained with haematoxylin.

b, Western blot analysis of DYRK1B in U87 cells stably expressing a doxycycline inducible DYRK1B or the empty vector. Cells were treated with 0.75\(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) doxycycline or vehicle for 36 h. Lysates of adult mouse cortex (CX) and cerebellum (CB) were used to compare exogenous DYRK1B with endogenous levels of the protein.

c, Tissue sections from experiment in Fig. 5e, f were analysed by immunostaining using BrdU antibodies.

d, Quantification of BrdU positive cells from the experiment in c. Data in the histograms represent means ± s.d.\((n = 5; ***P = 3.065 \times 10^{-7}, \text{DYRK1B – Dox versus DYRK1B + Dox}).\) Asterisks indicate statistical significance by two-tailed t-test.

e, Western blot analysis of ectopically expressed V5-DYRK1B, V5-DYRK1B-K140R in U87 cells.

f, Brain cross-sections of mice intracranially injected with U87 cells in e were analysed by immunofluorescence using V5 antibody (red, upper panels) to identify exogenous DYRK1B and human vimentin antibody (red, lower panels) to identify human glioma cells. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). T, tumour; B, brain.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Analysis of DYRK1A, DYRK1B and ID2 expression in human GBM. a, Scatter plot showing the expression of DYRK1A and DYRK1B in GBM. Blue and red dots indicate GBM samples with high or low expression of both DYRK1A and DYRK1B, respectively. GBM samples were used for Kaplan–Meier survival analysis to evaluate the prognostic power of the expression of DYRK1A and DYRK1B shown in Fig. 5i. b, Distribution of ΔESrand, representing the null model, for ID2 activity (left) and ID2 expression (right). This distribution is used to calculate the P value for enrichment of ΔES. Red dot (or vertical black bar) represents the ΔES using HIF2α targets. The P value is calculated as ratio of number of times ΔESrand is greater than ΔES (falls in green regions) over the total trials (= 1000).
Extended Data Figure 10 | Model for the regulation of HIFα stability by the DYRK1 kinase and ID2 pathway. In cellular contexts that favour HIFα protein instability (normal oxygen levels, but also low ID2 expression and high DYRK1 expression) prolyl hydroxylases (PHD1) is active and positively regulates DYRK1 kinases. Active, tyrosine phosphorylated DYRK1 kinases keep ID2 under functional constraint by phosphorylation of Thr27. The VCB–Cul2 ubiquitin ligase complex efficiently ubiquitylates HIFα (left). With decreasing oxygenation and PHD1 inactivation but also in the presence of downregulation of DYRK1, elevated expression of ID2, or ID2(T27A) mutation, the un-phosphorylated/un-phosphorylatable pool of ID2 exerts an inhibitory function towards the VCB–Cul2 complex by binding directly VHL and Elongin C proteins and displacing Cul2. This results in HIFα accumulation (right). The transcriptional activation of the ID2 gene, a HIFα target, by HIF2α generates a feed-forward ID2–HIF2α loop that amplifies the effects.