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Abstract. We prove that completing an untimed, unbounded track in TrackMania Nations Forever is NP-complete by using a reduction from 3-SAT and showing that a solution can be checked in polynomial time.

1 Introduction

TrackMania Nations Forever (TMNF, or TMF) is a 3D racing game that was released in 2008 by video game developer Nadeo. It is part of the racing game series TrackMania. It was designed for the Electronic Sports World Cup, which is a yearly international professional gaming championship that have distributed millions of dollars in prizes since its creation in 2003. Over 13 million online players signed up for TMNF, as the game is free of charge and its reception in video game magazines was largely positive. Guinness World Records [1] awarded TrackMania six world records in 2008: “biggest online race”, “most popular online racing simulation”, “most nationalities in an offline racing competition”, “largest content base of any racing game”, “first publicly available game developed specifically for an online competition” and “most popular user-created video”.

In TMNF, the player’s goal is to complete a track as quickly as possible. To complete a track, the player must first go through all checkpoints, which he can do in any order, then reach the finish gate. Figure 1 shows an example of a track.

Figure 1: A track in TrackMania Nations Forever (TMNF)

This paper is, to the best of our knowledge, the first consideration of the complexity of playing TrackMania or any other real-time racing game (see [2] for a complexity proof for a non-real-time racing game).
2 Rules of TrackMania Nations Forever (TMNF)

A track is composed of a set of 3D blocks that are positioned in a 3D space. The player’s car appears on the start block. To complete the track, the player’s car must go through all checkpoint blocks in any order, then reach the finish block. We ignore multi-lap tracks.

In addition to the start, checkpoint and finish blocks, there are typically many other road blocks, which can be straight roads, curves, slopes, dividers, etc. To enable the car to perform jumps between blocks or simply go faster, accelerator blocks can be placed, which as the name indicates increase the car’s speed.

If the player is dissatisfied with his current time while racing through the track or is blocked somewhere, he can choose to respawn at the last checkpoint he went through or at the start block. Since the track is located in a 3D space the player’s car may sometime fall and not be able to go back on the track, and might therefore need to respawn.

![Example of a simple track](image)

Figure 2: Example of a simple track. This track contains one start gate (green) at the right. The latter is adjacent to accelerator block (yellow). The player’s car can either go to the finish block (red) or the two checkpoints (blue). In order to complete the track, the car must first go through the two checkpoints in any order, then go through the finish block.

We make two assumptions regarding the rules of TMNF:

- the track is *untimed*, i.e. the player can take all the time he needs to complete the track.
- the track is *unbounded*, i.e. we ignore the fact that tracks have a maximum size.

Such relaxations are common in complexity proofs [3].

3 NP-completeness

We present a reduction from 3-SAT, which is known to be NP-complete [4], to the problem of completing a track in TMNF. To that end, we present a variable gadget, a clause gadget and a crossover gadget. Any road can serve as wires.

**Variable gadget**

Figure 3 presents a variable gadget that uses the 3D property of the game. Figure 4 does not work as a variable gadget due to a counter-intuitive ability of going through road accelerators in reverse direction.
Figure 3: Variable gadget. It is composed of 3 platforms. The leftmost one is located in a higher altitude than the two rightmost platforms. The player’s car must jump from the leftmost platform to one of the two rightmost platforms. The decision regarding which platform to jump to is similar to deciding whether a variable is true or false. Video: http://youtu.be/-NO6ZWDqWYY

Figure 4: Variable gadget that does not work. It is tempting to make use of the road accelerators to force the player to select a variable. However, a player’s car can go through road accelerators in reverse direction, not matter how many subsequent road accelerators there are, as shown in the video http://youtu.be/Em3am64t8LM
Clause gadget

The main idea behind the clause gadget is to place an “aerial checkpoint” so that it can be accessed through three different directions, to simulate the fact that if a literal is true then a clause that contains it is true: for each clause we create a checkpoint, which we insert in the track as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Clause gadget. The car comes from the right on one of the two paths. We only represented two paths in the figure due to space constraint, but in actuality the clause gadget contains three paths: the third path is axially symmetric to the top right path with respect to the middle right path. Each path represents a literal in the clause. Since we perform a reduction from 3-SAT, each clause has exactly 3 literals, which can be either positive or negative. In this example, we assume that the clause is $X_1 \land \neg X_3 \land X_4$ (2 positive literals and 1 negative literal). The $X_4$ literal is not represented on the figure. In this track’s portion, the car comes from one of the three paths on the right, jumps through the checkpoint at the center in blue and lands on one of the three paths on the left. The path the driver lands on depends on the path he jumped from: the upper right path ($X_1$) can only lead to the lower left path (http://youtu.be/hj9PV5DNLvU), the middle right path ($\neg X_3$) to the middle left path (http://youtu.be/DnnXUJlOzDc), and the lower right path ($X_4$) to the upper left path (not represented on the figure).
Crossover gadget

Since we are in a 3D space, as a crossover gadget we can simply cross two paths at different altitude and make sure that the player cannot fall to the lower path, as shown in Figure 6.

![Crossover gadget](image)

Figure 6: Crossover gadget. The player’s car cannot fall from the higher path to the lower path due to the presence of barriers on each side of the road.

These 3 gadgets demonstrate that we can reduce from 3-SAT to TMNF. Furthermore all 3 gadgets can be constructed in polynomial time. This implies that TMNF is NP-hard. Since given a path in the track we can check in polynomial time whether it completes the track, it implies that TMNF is in NP. Since TMNF is NP-hard and in NP, it is therefore NP-complete.

4 Conclusion

We proved that the problem of completing a track in TrackMania Nations Forever (TMNF) is NP-complete. To the best of our knowledge, the proof is also valid in other games of the TrackMania series (see Table 1), as in all of them completing a track requires the car to go through all checkpoints in any order, then going through the finish block (put aside multi-lap tracks), and they contain the same or similar blocks\(^1\) as the ones we used in the proof for TMNF. Proving NP-completeness leaves many questions open, such as refining the complexity analysis of tracks, or using the game to crowdsource tasks of higher (or lower, depending on one’s point of view) interest [5].
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6 Data

The tracks for each gadget can be found at [https://github.com/Franck-Dernoncourt/trackmania-np-complete](https://github.com/Franck-Dernoncourt/trackmania-np-complete).

\(^1\)For example, the aerial checkpoint that we used was introduced in TrackMania United, and was not present before (e.g. TrackMania Nations): we can use a road checkpoint instead.
The TrackMania series

| Release date | Title                                      | Platform      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 2003-11-28   | TrackMania                                 | MS Windows    |
| 2004-04-02   | TrackMania: Power Up! (Expansion Pack)     | MS Windows    |
| 2004-10-09   | TrackMania Speed-Up (Expansion Pack)       | MS Windows    |
| 2005-04-08   | TrackMania Sunrise                         | MS Windows    |
| 2005-10-12   | TrackMania Original (Expansion Pack)       | MS Windows    |
| 2006-01-27   | TrackMania Nations ESWC                    | MS Windows    |
| 2006-11-17   | TrackMania United                          | MS Windows    |
| 2008-04-15   | Trackmania United Forever                  | MS Windows    |
| 2008-04-16   | TrackMania Nations Forever                 | MS Windows    |
| 2009-03-17   | TrackMania DS                              | Nintendo DS   |
| 2011-03-24   | TrackMania: Build To Race                  | Wii           |
| 2011-04-19   | Trackmania Turbo                           | Nintendo DS   |
| 2011-09-14   | TrackMania 2: Canyon                       | MS Windows    |
| 2013-02-27   | TrackMania 2: Stadium                      | MS Windows    |
| 2013-07-04   | TrackMania 2: Valley                       | MS Windows    |

Table 1: The TrackMania series. All games in the series comes with a track editor.
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