Suzaku and XMM-Newton Observations of the North Polar Spur: Charge Exchange or ISM Absorption?
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ABSTRACT

By revisiting the Suzaku and XMM-Newton data of the North Polar Spur, we discovered that the spectra are inconsistent with the traditional model consisting of pure thermal emission and neutral absorption. The most prominent discrepancies are the enhanced O vii and Ne ix forbidden-to-resonance ratios, and a high O vii Lyα line relative to other Lyman series. A collisionally ionized absorption model can naturally explain both features, while a charge exchange component can only account for the former. By including the additional ionized absorption, the plasma in the North Polar Spur can be described by a single-phase CIE component with temperature of 0.25 keV, and nitrogen, oxygen, neon, magnesium, and iron abundances of 0.4 – 0.8 solar. The abundance pattern of the North Polar Spur is well in line with those of the Galactic halo stars. The high nitrogen-to-oxygen ratio reported in previous studies can be explained by the large transmission of the O viii Lyα line. The ionized absorber is characterized by a balance temperature of 0.17 – 0.20 keV and a column density of 3 – 5 x 10^{20} cm^{-2}. Based on the derived abundances and absorption, we speculate that the North Polar Spur is a structure in the Galactic halo, so that the emission is mostly absorbed by Galactic ISM in the line of sight.
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1. Introduction

The North Polar Spur (NPS hereafter) is a prominent structure emitting both in the soft X-ray and radio bands, with a projected distribution from the Galactic plane at l ~ 20° towards the north Galactic pole. Despite its vicinity, the origin of the NPS remains largely unclear. Early research suggested that the NPS is an old supernova remnant, or a front created by stellar wind from the Scorpio-Centaurus OB association, at a distance of several hundred pc from the Sun (Berkhuijzen et al. 1971; Egger & Aschenbach 1995). Alternatively, the NPS can be explained as a shock front produced by an energetic event, such as starburst, in the Galactic center ~ 15 Myr ago (Sofue et al. 1977; Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003). Recent morphological studies further indicated a possible relation between the NPS and the Fermi γ-ray bubbles (e.g., Kataoka et al. 2013). In the “Galactic center origin” scenario, the distance to the NPS is expected to be several kpc.

X-ray studies of the NPS hot plasma provide important information, including the plasma temperature, density, and metal abundances, which are essential to understand its origin. Using XMM-Newton observations of three regions in the NPS, Willingale et al. (2003, hereafter W03) identified a thermal component, with a temperature of ~ 0.26 keV and metal abundances of ~ 0.5 Z⊙, associated with the enhanced NPS emission. They further deduced that the thermal energy contained in the NPS is consistent with the energy released by one or more supernovae events. Based on a Suzaku observation, Miller et al. (2008, hereafter M08) measured a slightly higher thermal temperature ~ 0.30 keV, and a quite enhanced nitrogen abundance, with nitrogen-to-oxygen abundance ratio ~ 4.0 times of the solar value. They proposed that additional enrichment from stellar evolution in the NPS vicinity is required to explain the observed abundance pattern.

The above two X-ray studies are both based on an assumption that the NPS emission is purely from thermal plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE), affected by only neutral absorption in the line-of-sight. Recent research shows that some diffuse objects also emit non-thermal X-rays, such as charge exchange emission produced at the interface between hot and cold materials (e.g., Lisse et al. 1996, Katsuda et al. 2011, Gu et al. 2015). There are also cases in which a portion of the foreground absorber appears to be highly ionized, as reported in e.g., Yao & Wang (2005) and Hagihara et al. (2010, 2011). Both the charge exchange component and ionized absorption can strongly affect the line emission, and hence deviate the resulting physical model. Indeed, the NPS is a potential target for charge exchange, because it might be surrounded by a shell of neutral gas (e.g., Heiles et al. 1980), providing substantial environment for ion-neutral charge exchange. Meanwhile, the NPS might be subject to ionized absorption, since in the “Galactic center origin” scenario, it is expected to be located behind a layer of hot interstellar medium (ISM) of the Galactic halo/bulge. Actually, the spectra presented in W03 and M08 already showed a hint for such additional components: the central line energies of unresolved O vii and Ne ix triplets are shifted by a few 10 eV to longer wavelength, relative to the energies of O viii and Ne x (Lallement 2009). This might indicate either charge exchange enhancement of the forbidden lines, or possible absorption in the resonance ones.

In this paper, we present a detailed spectral analysis by revisiting the high quality X-ray data of the NPS. The latest SPEX version 3.01 is employed, as it includes a new charge exchange code (Gu et al. 2016) and a model for ionized absorption. §2
gives a brief description of data reduction, and the data analysis and results are presented in §3. We discuss the physical implication of the results in §4 and summarize our work in §5. Throughout the paper, the errors are given at 68% confidence level. We adopt the proto-Solar abundance table of Lodders et al. (2009), and convert the previous abundance measurements to the new standard.

2. Observations and data reduction

2.1. Suzaku and XMM-Newton datasets

The NPS region was observed by Suzaku, pointing at Galactic coordinates $l = 26.83^\circ$ and $b = 21.95^\circ$, on 2005 October 3 for a total exposure of 46.1 ks. The same XIS dataset was already utilized in M08. The data were processed with the latest HEASoft 6.18 and CALDB 151005. Following Gu et al. (2012), we removed hot pixels and data obtained either near South Atlantic Anomaly or at low elevation angles from the Earth rim. For each XIS detector, a $0.3 – 8.0$ keV lightcurve was extracted from a source free region, and was screened to filter out anomalously high count bins with rates above the $2\sigma$ limit of the quiescent mean value. The XIS0 and XIS1 data are affected by high count rate periods over $3\sigma$ of the mean value, probably due to temporary system anomaly and variation in the particle background. Some high particle periods are also visible in the XIS2 and XIS3 lightcurves. The clean exposures are 37 ks, 38 ks, 40 ks, and 40 ks for the XIS0, XIS1, XIS2, and XIS3 data, respectively. Similar time filtering results were reported in M08. Contaminating point sources were masked out in the same way as M08.

XMM-Newton was used to observe six fields of the NPS region; as reported in W03, only three datasets (fields IV, V, and VI) are useful, providing a total exposure of 45.7 ks. The three pointings have Galactic ($l, b$) = ($25^\circ, 20^\circ$), ($20^\circ, 30^\circ$), and ($20^\circ, 40^\circ$). The SAS v13.5 and the built-in extended source analysis software (ESAS) were utilized to process and calibrate the data obtained with the XMM-Newton European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC). The MOS raw data were created by emchain, and the lightcurves were extracted and screened for time variable background component with the mos-filter task, which uses filter of $2\sigma$ as a conservative cut. The final net clean exposures are 13 ks, 12 ks, and 13 ks for fields IV, V, and VI, respectively. The point sources were detected and removed by cheese task with a flux threshold of $10^{-14}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; the field IV is also contaminated by a diffuse X-ray source and it was masked out manually. The spectra and response files were calculated by the mos-spectra mask. The pn data are not included in this work, since they suffered more from the time variable particle background, and have a lower spectral resolution than the MOS data in soft X-ray band.

2.2. Background modeling

The background was estimated as a combination of three components, i.e., non X-ray background (NXB), cosmic X-ray background (CXB), and Galactic emission. For the XIS and MOS datasets, the NXB spectra were created by the xisnxbgen and mos-back tasks, which are based on a dark earth observation and exposures with filter wheel closed, respectively. To determine the CXB and Galactic components, we analyzed an off-source XIS pointing to the direction of an intermediate polar 1RXS J180340.0+40121 at Galactic $l = 66.85^\circ$ and $b = 25.78^\circ$. This object is clearly outside the NPS structure, and has a similar Galactic latitude as the NPS XIS pointing. Hence, it is optimal for characterizing the background of the NPS structure. The data was screened in the same way as described in §2.1. After excluding the central $4'$ region covering the intermediate polar, and subtracting the NXB based on dark earth observation, we perform a model fitting of the remaining spectrum with the CXB and Galactic components. The CXB is approximated by a broken powerlaw with photon indices $\Gamma = 2.0$ and $1.4$ at $< 0.7$ keV and $> 0.7$ keV bands, respectively, absorbed by the Galactic cold material with column density of $3.54 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Williams et al. 2013). The Galactic emission consists of two sub-components, i.e., local hot bubble (LHB) and Galactic halo (GH) emissions. We include an unabsorbed, solar-abundance CIE component with a fixed temperature $T = 0.08$ keV to account the LHB emission, and another absorbed brighter CIE component with temperature of $0.2$ keV and solar-abundance for the GH. The off-source XIS spectra can be well fit by the model, with C-statistics of 215 for 182 degrees of freedom. The best-fit CXB flux is $7.2 \times 10^{-8}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ in $2 – 10$ keV, which agrees well with previous reportings (e.g., Gu et al. 2012). The best-fit CXB and Galactic backgrounds are then used in the subsequent NPS analysis.

Errors quoted in the subsequent spectral analysis were estimated by accounting for both statistical and systematic uncertainties. The former were calculated by the SPEX command error, as the fitting was repeated for several iterations to ensure that the actual minimum C-statistics is found. For the latter, the NXB, CXB, LHB and GH components were re-normalized by 10% to assess the typical background error.

3. Analysis and results

3.1. CIE/CXB with neutral absorption

After subtracting the point sources and background, we first fit the full-field XIS and MOS spectra by a single-phase CIE component, absorbed by only neutral material. For each field, the absorbing column density is allowed to vary up to the Galactic value in Willingale et al. (2013). The plasma emission measure, temperature, and N, O, Ne, Mg, and Fe abundances are also left free. The fitting was performed over the $0.4 – 3.0$ keV for both XIS and MOS data. The best-fit models are plotted in Figure 1. As was already noted in M08, the XIS spectra in the $0.5 – 1.0$ keV are poorly fit with this simple model (C-statistics $= 1152.9$ for a degree of freedom of 717). Apparent residuals are seen in the O vii Ne x (0.56 – 0.57 keV), Ne ix He x (0.90 – 0.92 keV) and O vii Ly $\beta$ (0.77 keV) bands. At the first two energies, the emission from the unresolved He-like triplets appears to be systematically shifted, by $10 – 20$ eV, to the forbidden line side, while the H-like Ly$\alpha$ counterparts are nicely fitted by the thermal model. For the O vii Ly$\alpha$ line, the CIE model underestimates the line intensity by $\simeq 20\%$, which indicates an anomalously high Ly$\beta$/Ly$\alpha$ ratio. Adding another CIE component does not improve the fitting. The poor fit cannot be caused by possible defects in the Suzaku calibration or atomic model (Appendix A). As shown in Table 1, the best-fit temperature is $0.27 \pm 0.01$ keV, the N and O abundances are $0.71 \pm 0.17 Z_{\odot}$ and $0.25 \pm 0.03 Z_{\odot}$, respectively, and the Ne, Mg, and Fe abundances are about $0.3 – 0.6 Z_{\odot}$. These results agree well with those reported in M08.

As plotted in Figure 1b–1d, the MOS spectra are fit with the CIE model with neutral absorber, and the obtained C-statistics are 148.8, 130.4, and 126.1 for $\approx 110$ degrees of freedom for field IV, V, and VI, respectively. Compared to the XIS data, the MOS spectra are better fit by the model in the O vii Ne x and Ne xix Ne x bands, while apparent residuals can still be seen at
O VIII Lyα and Lyβ. The resulting temperatures and metal abundances are presented in Table 1. Similar to the XIS results, the three MOS fields give temperatures of 0.24 – 0.26 keV, O abundances of ~0.2 – 0.3 Z⊙, and Ne, Mg, and Fe values of 0.2 – 0.7 Z⊙. The best-fit parameters are consistent with those reported in W03.

In some objects, the enhanced forbidden-to-resonance ratios of triplet transitions might be explained by charge exchange recombination created by highly ionized particles colliding with neutrals (e.g., Dennerl 2010). To examine such a possible component, we included a newly developed CX model (Gu et al. 2016) in the spectral fitting. This model calculates charge exchange emission by incorporating a set of velocity-dependent reaction rates, followed by a radiative cascade calculation up to the atomic shell with principle quantum number n = 16. In the fitting, the relative velocity between hot and cold particles was left free to vary, while the ionization temperature and metal abundances of the hot plasma were tied to those of the CIE component. As plotted in Figure 2a, the XIS fitting is slightly improved (∆χ²-statistics = 71.2 for a degree of freedom = 715), as the O vii and Ne ix triplets are better reproduced by the recom-
Table 1. Best-fit parameters of the NPS spectra

|                | Neutral absorber | Ionized + neutral absorbers |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
|                | CIE | CIE + CX | CIE | CIE + CX |
| $kT^*$ (keV)   | 0.27 ± 0.01 | 0.28 ± 0.01 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 0.25 ± 0.01 |
| N (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.71 ± 0.17 | 0.59 ± 0.12 | 0.48 ± 0.15 | 0.49 ± 0.17 |
| O (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.25 ± 0.03 | 0.21 ± 0.04 | 0.71 ± 0.09 | 0.65 ± 0.11 |
| Ne (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.32 ± 0.03 | 0.23 ± 0.03 | 0.48 ± 0.06 | 0.44 ± 0.07 |
| Mg (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.38 ± 0.06 | 0.25 ± 0.05 | 0.46 ± 0.08 | 0.43 ± 0.08 |
| Fe (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.54 ± 0.06 | 0.45 ± 0.04 | 0.65 ± 0.07 | 0.63 ± 0.07 |
| $kT_{\text{ion}}$ (keV) | – | – | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.02 |
| $nH_{\text{ion}}$ ($10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$) | – | – | 3.8 ± 0.3 | 5.7 ± 1.3 |
| C-stat/dof     | 1152.9/717 | 1081.7/715 | 902.3/714 | 877.2/712 |

|                | CIE | CIE + CX | CIE | CIE + CX |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| $kT^*$ (keV)   | 0.26 ± 0.01 | – | 0.24 ± 0.01 | – |
| O (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.28 ± 0.05 | – | 0.68 ± 0.07 | – |
| Ne (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.35 ± 0.08 | – | 0.54 ± 0.07 | – |
| Mg (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.70 ± 0.22 | – | 0.78 ± 0.24 | – |
| Fe (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.72 ± 0.07 | – | 0.74 ± 0.09 | – |
| $kT_{\text{ion}}$ (keV) | – | – | 0.18 ± 0.01 | – |
| $nH_{\text{ion}}$ ($10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$) | – | – | 3.2 ± 0.3 | – |
| C-stat/dof     | 148.8/111 | – | 130.5/108 | – |

|                | CIE | CIE + CX | CIE | CIE + CX |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| $kT^*$ (keV)   | 0.24 ± 0.01 | – | 0.23 ± 0.01 | – |
| O (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.27 ± 0.06 | – | 0.62 ± 0.08 | – |
| Ne (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.25 ± 0.05 | – | 0.42 ± 0.07 | – |
| Mg (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.62 ± 0.19 | – | 0.78 ± 0.27 | – |
| Fe (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.54 ± 0.06 | – | 0.59 ± 0.06 | – |
| $kT_{\text{ion}}$ (keV) | – | – | 0.19 ± 0.01 | – |
| $nH_{\text{ion}}$ ($10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$) | – | – | 2.7 ± 0.4 | – |
| C-stat/dof     | 130.4/109 | – | 104.3/106 | – |

|                | CIE | CIE + CX | CIE | CIE + CX |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| $kT^*$ (keV)   | 0.25 ± 0.01 | – | 0.23 ± 0.01 | – |
| O (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.23 ± 0.06 | – | 0.68 ± 0.21 | – |
| Ne (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.26 ± 0.07 | – | 0.61 ± 0.17 | – |
| Mg (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.49 ± 0.16 | – | 0.68 ± 0.29 | – |
| Fe (Z$_{\alpha}$) | 0.56 ± 0.09 | – | 0.75 ± 0.12 | – |
| $kT_{\text{ion}}$ (keV) | – | – | 0.17 ± 0.02 | – |
| $nH_{\text{ion}}$ ($10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$) | – | – | 2.8 ± 0.9 | – |
| C-stat/dof     | 126.1/109 | – | 115.7/106 | – |

Notes: (a) Best-fit plasma temperature of the CIE component. (b) Best-fit plasma temperature of the ionized absorber. (c) Best-fit column density of the ionized absorber.

bining component. However, the O iii Lyβ deficiency cannot be solved with the CX model. Setting the ionization temperature and metal abundances as free parameters has a negligible effect on the fitting. For the MOS spectra, the CIE + CX model does not improve the fitting significantly over the CIE model alone.

Since the observed O iii Lyman series cannot be described well under the current vision, we measure the O iii line ratios and compare them directly with the model. This is achieved by ignoring the O iii ion during model calculation, and replacing it by putting six delta functions at the energies of its Lyα (0.653 keV), Lyβ (0.774 keV), Lyγ (0.817 keV), Lyδ (0.837 keV), Lyε (0.847 keV), and Lyζ (0.854 keV). We focus on two types of line ratios, the Lyβ/Lyα, and the (Lyγ + Lyδ + Lyε + Lyζ)/Lyβ. As shown in Figure 3a, the observed Lyβ/Lyα ratios are higher, by a factor of 2–3 from different instruments, than the value predicted by thin thermal CIE model with a balance temperature below 1.6 keV. The high Lyβ/Lyα can be achieved by the CX model, if the collision velocity is lower than about 300 km s$^{-1}$. This result is consistent with those reported in Cumbee et al. (2016), which shows that the high Lyβ/Lyα ratio of Ne x observed in M82 can be explained by their charge exchange code. On the contrary, Figure 3b shows that the observed (Lyγ + Lyδ + Lyε + Lyζ)/Lyβ ratios agree better with the CIE value; the CX value is consistently higher than the observation by a factor of 3–5 for the considered velocity range. This is probably due to the fact that the electrons captured in the O iv + H charge exchange would mainly fall onto the high Rydberg states with $n = 4 - 6$, producing strong Lyγ to Lyε lines (e.g., Muller et al. 2016). The $n = 3$ shell could only be occupied by radiative cascade, and thus Lyβ line is weaker than the combined transitions from the shells with higher $n$. The
line measurement indicates that neither a pure CIE or CX, nor a combined model, can explain the observed NPS spectra.

### 3.2. Additional ionized absorption

The anomalous Lyβ-to-Lyα ratio leads us to consider another scenario: the emission from the NPS might be obscured in the line of sight by an ionized absorber, so that the transitions with large oscillator strengths, e.g., resonance lines of the triplets and Lyα lines, are partially absorbed. We utilized the hot model in SPEX to calculate the ionized absorption. For a given temperature, it calculates the ionization concentration for each ion, and then determines the cross section based on the oscillator strength, the thermal, and turbulent broadening. The plasma transmission is calculated by combining all the ionized transmissions. The ionized absorption was applied, in addition to the neutral absorption, to a CIE component describing the NPS emission, as well as to the CXB and GH components. In the fitting, the column density and temperature of the ionized absorber are set free to vary. The average systematic velocity and turbulent broadening of the absorber cannot be determined well by the current data, and are always consistent with zero within error ranges. Thus we assume the absorber to be nearby and turbulence-free. For a similar reason, the metal abundances of the absorber are fixed to the solar value. As shown in Figures 4a–4d, the new model apparently better reproduces the O vii Lyβ and O vii/Ne ix triplets for all XIS and MOS spectra. The best-fit C-statistics are 902.3 (degree of freedom = 714) for the XIS, and 130.5, 104.3, and 115.7 (degrees of freedom ≈ 107) for the three MOS data, significantly better than those obtained in §3.1. The best-fit XIS model in Figure 4e indicates that half of the emission in O vii Lyα band is absorbed, while the Lyβ emission is absorbed by about 10%.

As presented in Table 1, the ionized absorber has a best-fit temperature of $0.17 \pm 0.19$ keV, significantly lower than that of the NPS ($0.23 \pm 0.25$ keV). The best-fit column densities by different instruments are in the range of $2.7 \times 10^{19}$–$3.8 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. The resulting O abundance of the NPS becomes $0.6 \pm 0.7 Z_\odot$, more than twice as the value obtained in §3.1. The other elements are less affected by the new model, probably because they have smaller ionization concentrations than O at the temperature of the absorber. The N/O abundance ratio becomes $0.68 \pm 0.22$, significantly lower than the one reported in M08 (N/O = 4).

We further investigate the effect of turbulence on the absorption feature in the O vii bands. The opacity drops with the increasing turbulence, while the absorption line becomes broadened by turbulence so that it would affect also the adjacent continuum. As shown in Figure 4f, the effective transmissions in near-O vii Lyα ($0.625 \pm 0.675$ keV) and near-O vii Lyβ ($0.75 \pm 0.80$ keV) bands are plotted as a function of turbulent velocity. The calculation is based on the best-fit model for the XIS data, with only the O vii lines and the continuum included. The obtained transmissions slightly differ from those shown in Figure 4e in which the model is folded with the CX response. In both energy bands, the absorption feature reaches its maximum at a turbulence of $100 \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$, and drops towards lower and higher velocities. As a result, for a turbulent absorber, the column density to fit the observed spectra would be lower by $\sim 10 \sim 20\%$ with respect to the static value at a velocity of 150 km s$^{-1}$, and becomes almost double at 1000 km s$^{-1}$.

Next we examine the XIS spectra for the possible charge exchange component. The emission model consists of a CIE and a CX components, both are subject to the ionized and neutral absorption. The two emission components have the same temperature and metal abundances, while the collision velocity of the CX component is left free. As shown in Figure 2b and Table 1, the new model provides a minor improvement to the CIE-alone fitting, with best-fit C-statistics of 877.2 for a degree of freedom of 712. Based on the best-fit $\chi^2$ values, an $F$-test shows that the CX component is significant on the $> 3\sigma$ confidence level. The charge exchange emission contributes $\approx 8\%$ of the entire NPS emission in $0.3 \sim 1.6$ keV. The best-fit collision velocity is $150^{+150}_{-100}$ km s$^{-1}$. As seen in Table 1, the CIE temperature remains intact, while the abundances are slightly affected by including the CX. The best-fit N/O ratio becomes $0.75 \pm 0.29$. The new fitting further prefers a higher column density of the ionized absorption, $5.7 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, to balance out the additional line emission introduced by CX in the spectra.

**Fig. 3.** (a) The observed O vii Lyβ/Lyα ratios obtained with the XIS (red), the MOS field IV (green), field V (blue), and field VI (cyan) plotted against the best-fit temperatures. The solid line is the Lyβ/Lyα versus temperature curve calculated by the CIE model, and the dashed line shows the Lyβ/Lyα versus collision velocity by the CX model. (b) Same as panel a, but for the O vii (Lyγ + Lyδ + Lyε + Lyζ)/Lyβ ratio.
4. Discussion

By revisiting the Suzaku and XMM-Newton data of the NPS region, we discovered that the soft X-ray spectra can be well described by a single-phase thermal component, with a temperature of 0.23 – 0.25 keV, absorbed by at least two species of foreground materials, in both neutral and ionized states. The key evidence for the ionized absorber is the unusually high O vii Lyα line relative to other Lyman series. Assuming a nearby turbulent-free plasma, the hot absorber exhibits a balance temperature of 0.17 – 0.20 keV and column density of ~ 3 – 5 x 10^19 cm^-2. A charge exchange component is marginally detected only with the Suzaku XIS data. The oxygen abundance of the NPS is then obtained to be 0.6 – 0.7 Z⊙, apparently higher than those reported in W03 and M08. The Fe/O ratio is consistent with the solar values within measurement uncertainties, while the N/O becomes slightly sub-solar.

Next we shed light on the origin of the ionized absorber based on the derived properties. As shown in §3.2, the balance temperature of the absorber is 0.17 – 0.20 keV, lower than the NPS plasma temperature ~ 0.23 – 0.25 keV on > 90% confidence level. This means that it cannot be fully ascribed to the self-absorption of the NPS plasma. On the other hand, the local hot bubble alone cannot be the absorber either. The temperature of the local hot bubble (~ 0.1 keV) is lower than the observed
value, and assuming a line-of-sight scale of 40 – 90 pc and density of 0.01 cm\(^{-3}\) (e.g., W03), the column density is estimated to be 1.2 – 2.7 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}, accounting < 10% of the obtained value (§3.2).

The obtained properties of the ionized absorber are consistent with those reported in the absorption studies on Galactic compact object 4U 1820–303 (Hagihara et al. 2011), and extragalactic objects PKS 2155–304 (Hagihara et al. 2010), LMC X–3 (Yao et al. 2009), and Mrk 509 (Pinto et al. 2012). This leads us to the scenario that the Galactic ISM contributes significantly to the observed ionized absorption. The temperature \(\approx 0.2\) keV appears to be self-consistent with that of the Galactic ISM included as a background component in §2.2. It also agrees well with previous measurements of the ISM temperature in the Galactic halo (e.g., Smith et al. 2007) and Galactic bulge (e.g., Almy et al. 2000). To calculate the ISM absorption column density, we employ the 3-D ISM density models from Almy et al. (2000, in their Fig. 5) and Miller & Bregman (2013, “spherical-saturated” model in their Table 2). The former is based on \textit{ROSAT} 3/4 keV observation of the emission in the Galactic bulge region, while the latter is focused on the Galactic halo, and utilized line absorption measurement on background objects. The two models provide galactocentric ISM density profiles, which are then transformed into Earth-centered line-of-sight distance profiles by using Eqs. 1–3 of Miller & Bregman (2013). The sky coordinate of the \textit{Suzaku} pointing is used in the center transformation. By integrating the density over distance, we calculate the column density distance profiles and present them in Figure 5a. It shows that the two ISM models are roughly consistent with each other. Let us consider an extreme case, in which the ionized absorption is fully due to the Galactic ISM, the models predict that the part of NPS covered by the XIS would be at a distance of \(\sim 6 – 7\) kpc. This is still well in line with the recent measurements of the NPS distance with radio data by Sun et al. (2014) and Sofue (2015).

As described in §3.2, the over-solar N/O abundance ratio reported in M08 can be migrated to the large opacity of O \textsc{iii} Ly\(\alpha\) line. In Figure 5b, the new results are plotted in a [N/O] versus [O/H] diagram, and are compared with the abundances of Galactic halo stars measured in Israeliian et al. (2004). The NPS values are consistent with the implied Galactic stellar evolution by lying in the gap between the “metal-poor” and “metal-rich” subsamples of stars. At the same time, the abundance patterns of Galactic cold ISM, based on \textit{HST} and \textit{FUSE} observations of O\textsc{i} and N\textsc{i} absorptions against stars (Knauth et al. 2006), are also plotted in the same diagram of Figure 5b. Despite of the large uncertainties, the NPS results appear to agree better with the abundance patterns of the distant ISM \((d > 500\) pc\), than with those of the local ISM \((d < 500\) pc\). This also supports the scenario that the NPS is a structure in the Galactic halo rather than in the solar neighborhood.

5. Summary

By re-analyzing the \textit{Suzaku} and \textit{XMM-Newton} data of the North Polar Spur, we detected an anomalously high O \textsc{iii} Ly\(\alpha\) line relative to other Lyman series in four different fields. It prefers an ionized absorption model over a charge exchange component, which suggests that the NPS is partly obscured by foreground plasma, presumably Galactic hot ISM, with a temperature of 0.17 – 0.20 keV and column density of \(3 – 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). After correcting the absorption, the oxygen abundance of the NPS changes from \(\sim 0.2\) Z\(_{\odot}\) to \(\sim 0.7\) Z\(_{\odot}\), and the abundance ratio between nitrogen and oxygen becomes even a bit lower than the solar value. Combining the absorption and abundance measurements, it is suggested that the North Polar Spur is likely an object in the Galactic halo, supporting the “Galactic center origin” scenario. This exercise provides a good example to show that an accurate spectral model is crucial to ensure reliable scientific output.
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Appendix A: Possible issues with the Suzaku data calibration

As reported in M08, the Suzaku NPS data might be affected by a build-up of contamination on the optical blocking filter in the early phase of the mission. The effective area of the XIS around 0.5 keV is therefore dependent on time and chip location. To examine the possible calibration uncertainty, we analyzed two Suzaku datasets observed around the same time as the NPS data, and compared their spectra in the 0.4–1.4 keV band. The two observations were made in 2005 September 21 and 2005 November 2, which pointed to a common target, planetary nebula BD +30 3639. The same data were reported in Murashima et al. (2006).

The XIS data of BD +30 3639 were screened in the same way as described in §2.1. The source spectra were taken from the central 3′, and the background region was defined as a surrounding annulus with outer radius of 5′. Following §2.2, we modeled the background spectrum and corrected it in the source spectra. The two data were fit simultaneously. As shown in Figure A.1, the two source spectra are nicely fitted by a CIE model absorbed by Galactic neutral material. The best-fit plasma temperature (0.18 keV) and abundances agree well with those reported in Murashima et al. (2006). The spectrum below 1 keV appears to be more absorbed in the second observation, probably due to the contamination on the optical blocking filter. This effect has been fully corrected by the response files. This exercise proves that the spectral features on Ovii, Oviii, and Neix discovered in the NPS data (§3.1) are unlikely due to calibration issues.

Similar to the NPS, the BD +30 3639 spectra also show two prominent Fe xvii lines around, or partially blended with the Ovii Lyβ line. While the NPS spectra exhibit a strong excess in the Ovii Lyβ line (§3.1), the same energy band in the BD +30 3639 spectra is well-fitted by the thermal model. This further indicates that the Ovii Lyβ excess in the NPS is unlikely to be an artifact by either Fe xvii blending, or poor atomic model calculation of the Fe xvii + Ovii complex.
Fig. A.1. The XIS1 spectra of BD +30 3639 taken before the NPS (a) and after the NPS (b), modeled with a single-phase CIE component absorbed by Galactic neutral material.