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ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: Tuberculosis (TB) is a major health problem, mainly in resource-limited settings. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of TB and rifampicin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (RR-MTB) among presumptive tuberculosis patients using Xpert MTB/RIF assay in Eastern Amhara, Ethiopia.

**Methods**: A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted among presumptive TB patients from three governmental hospitals in Amhara Regional State. Records of sputum sample results using Xpert MTB/RIF assay from January 2015 to December 2019 were investigated from registration books and analyzed using SPSS v.21.

**Results**: Of the total of 26,656 (24,116 adults and 2540 children) TB presumptive patients included in the study, more than half, 14,624 (54.9%), were males and the median age was 36.87 (interquartile: 25.46–50.85 years). The majority of participants were new cases, 20,273 (76.1%), and with unknown HIV status, 18,981 (71.2%), respectively. MTB prevalence was 11% (95% CI: 9.34–12.08%) in all age groups, and 7.6% (95% CI 6.52–9.04%) among children. Of the MTB confirmed cases, prevalence of RR-MTB was 245 (8.3%) in adults and 14 (7.2%) in children. MTB infection was higher in the age groups of 18–35 years [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 2.17; 95% CI: 1.86–2.54, \( p < 0.001 \)], 36–53 years (AOR = 1.31; 95% CI 1.11–1.54, \( p < 0.001 \)), those who were relapse cases (AOR = 1.97; 95% CI 1.69–2.27, \( p < 0.0010 \)), and failure cases (AOR = 4.67; 95% CI 3.36–6.50, \( p < 0.001 \)). However, the age groups of 54–71 years (AOR = 0.79; 95% CI 0.65–0.95, \( p = 0.01 \)) and over 71 years (AOR = 0.48; 95% CI 0.35–0.68, \( p < 0.001 \)) were associated with lower MTB infection. Resistance to rifampicin was higher in the relapsed (AOR = 2.10; 95% CI 1.40–3.03, \( p < 0.001 \)) and failure cases (AOR = 3.50; 95% CI 1.9–6.61, \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Conclusion**: Prevalence of MTB and RR-MTB low. TB infection was higher in adult age groups and those who had previous TB treatment history. Similarly, resistance to rifampicin was higher among the relapsed and failure patients. Appropriate measurements in monitoring of TB treatment could reduce TB and RR-MTB in the study area.
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Tuberculosis (TB) and rifampicin-resistant (RR) MTB is a major health issue, mainly in resource-limited settings like Ethiopia. Since the introduction of Xpert MTB/RIF, assay data on TB and RR-MTB are limited in the nation. The detection rate of TB and RR-MTB increases with the Xpert method. MTB prevalence was 2941 (11%) in all age groups, and 194 (7.6%) among children. TB infection was higher in adult age groups and those who had previous TB treatment history. RR-MTB was higher among relapsed and failure patients.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the top ten causes of mortality and the first killer among infectious diseases worldwide. Multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MDR-MTB), defined as resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampicin, is a major global health problem. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2019, an estimated 10.0 million people globally were infected with TB and about 1.2 million TB deaths were reported among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative people in 2018. Similarly, about half a million new cases of rifampicin-resistant (RR) TB (of which 78% had MDR TB) were reported in 2018 [1]. The high burden of TB and MDR-TB in low-income countries is associated with a delay in early diagnosis and appropriate treatment initiation, and a high prevalence of HIV [2].

Rapid detection, earlier treatment initiation, continuous surveillance, and regular monitoring of drug-resistant TB are essential for disease management and control program [3]. To alleviate the problem associated with early diagnosis of TB in low-income countries, the WHO endorsed Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) in December 2010, the first automated molecular system which detects both MTB and RR concurrently [4]. RR-MTB is a proxy marker for MDR-TB in more than 90% of the cases [5]. Firstly, the method was recommended for patients with TB/HIV co-infection, suspected MDR-TB, and paediatrics [6]. However, 3 years after its implementation, it was indicated for all TB presumptive patients [7]. Ethiopia introduced Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of TB and RR-MTB in 2014 [8].

Ethiopia is among the 30 high TB, TB/HIV, and MDR-TB burdened countries with a rank of 15th among high MDR-TB countries with more than 5800 estimated MDR-TB cases each year [9]. A systematic review conducted in Ethiopia reported 2.18% and 21.07% prevalence of MDR-TB among new and pre-treatment cases, respectively [10]. Studies so far carried out in Ethiopia have used the conventional culture and sensitivity methods, not the automated Xpert MTB/RIF assay. Few Xpert MTB/RIF assay studies have been conducted in Ethiopia, e.g., in Addis Ababa [11], Amhara Regional State [4], and southern Ethiopia [12], which reported a prevalence of 15.11–23.2% and 3.4–10.3% for MTB and RR-MTB, respectively [4, 11, 12].

However, the studies from the Amhara region and southern Ethiopia were carried out in single hospitals, and their sample size was small. The study conducted in Addis Ababa included a larger sample size (12,414 samples) and four health facilities; however, it cannot represent the prevalence at the national level. Hence, the limited information from previous studies calls for more data, with a representative sample in order to forward reasonable findings and recommendations to help policymakers.
and implementers to plan and design proper intervention strategies to control TB.

In Amhara Regional State, there was one cross-sectional study on RR-MTB conducted from September 2014 to March 2015 on 505 presumptive TB patients with a RR-MTB prevalence of 17.1% [4]. This study cannot represent the RR-MTB status of the whole region. Therefore, having representative data in the region will fill the existing knowledge gap and provide up-to-date information for policymakers and implementers.

METHODS

Study Setting, Study Design and Study Population

Amhara Regional State is located in the northwestern part of Ethiopia. According to the 2007 census conducted by the Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia, the region has a population of 17,221,976 and an area of 154,708.96 km². It is bordered by Tigray Region in the north, Afar in the rast, Oromiya in the south, Benishangul-Gumiz in the southwest, and Sudan in the west. Health services in the region are provided by 5 referral hospitals, 2 general hospitals, 73 primary hospitals, 847 health centers, and 3342 village clinics [13].

A retrospective cross-sectional study design was used to collect data from January 2015 to December 2019 from 2 referral hospitals and 1 general hospital. The hospitals are the Woldeyia general hospital, and the Dessie and Debe-Brhan comprehensive specialized hospitals located in three zones of the region (North Wollo, South Wollo, and Semen Shewa). Directly observed treatment, short-course TB treatment services are given in all the health facilities. The study population were all pulmonary TB presumptive patients who visited the hospitals between January 2015 and December 2019 (Fig. 1).

Inclusion criteria We included all presumptive pulmonary TB patients with complete records of age, sex, Xpert MTB/RIF results, HIV status, and TB treatment history.

Outcome Variable MTB and RR-MTB among presumptive pulmonary TB patients.

Independent Variables Age, gender, HIV status and TB treatment history.

Data Collection Patient’s data such as age, gender, and clinical-related data (Xpert MTB/RIF results, HIV status, and TB treatment history.

Fig. 1 Flow chart for inclusion and exclusion criteria
history) were collected using the data extraction sheet from Xpert MTB/RIF registration books in each health facility.

**Laboratory Processing**

A single sputum sample per patient was used for the diagnosis of TB using the Xpert MTB/RIF assay. Samples were collected and processed by the Xpert MTB/ RIF assay using the standard protocol. Briefly, after the sputum was collected, it was mixed in the supplied cartridge with sample reagent buffer in 1:2 (sample:sample reagent buffer) volume ratio. Then, the cartridge was tightly closed, vortexed for 15 s, and allowed to stand at room temperature for 10 min. It was vortexed again after 10 min and allowed to stand for 5 min. Using the Pasteur pipette provided with the kit, > 2 ml (just above 2 ml mark on the pipette) of the processed sample was put into the cartridge. Finally, the cartridge with the specimen was loaded into the Xpert machine and the results were collected from the Xpert computer after 2 h [14].

**Quality Control and Data Analysis**

After data completeness was checked, the data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21. Frequency, mean, range, and standard deviation were computed. Using an Enter modeling method, potential candidates associated with the outcome variables (MTB and RR-MTB) in bivariate analysis at a significance level of 0.25 were identified and exported to multivariable logistic regression analysis. Confidence intervals (CI) of 95% were reported for each odds ratio (OR). All reported p values were two-tailed, and statistical association was set as significant at a p value ≤ 0.05.

**Ethical Issues**

Ethical clearance was secured from Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Aksum University; College of Health Sciences. Moreover, a letter of cooperation was written to each study hospital and permission to collect the data was obtained from the Amhara Public Health Institute, Dessie branch (Ref: 4/49/2019).

**RESULTS**

**Socio-demographic, Clinical Characteristics and TB Results**

Of the total 28,061 presumptive TB patients who provided sputum samples for TB diagnosis, 26,656 (95%) had complete data and were included in this study. More than half, 14,624 (54.9%), were males and the median age was 36.87 (interquartile range: 25.46–50.85 years; range 6 months–109 years). The majority of the patients were treatment-naive, 20,273 (76.1%), and with unknown HIV status, 18,981 (71.2%), respectively. According to the results of this study, the prevalence of MTB was 2941 (11%). Of these, RR-MTB numbered 245 (8.3%) (Table 1).

**Associated Risk Factors of MTB Infections**

Patients whose age group was 18–35 years (AOR = 2.17; 95% CI: 1.86–2.54, p < 0.001), 36–53 years (AOR = 1.31; 95% CI: 1.11–1.54, p < 0.001) were more infected compared the children age group (< 17 years), whereas the age groups of 54–71 years (AOR = 0.79; 95% CI: 0.65–0.95, p = 0.01) and over 71 years (AOR = 0.48; 95% CI: 0.35–0.68, p < 0.001) were associated with lower MTB. Similarly, the odds of contracting TB among relapsed and failure cases were 1.97 and 54.67 times, respectively, more compared the new patients. (Table 2).

**Associated Risk Factors of RR-MTB**

Of the total 2,941 MTB confirmed patients, 245 (8.3%) of them were positive for RR-MTB. Relapsed patients (AOR = 2.10; 95% CI: 1.40–3.03, p < 0.001) and failure cases (AOR = 3.5; 95% CI: 1.9–6.61, p < 0.001) were more likely to have RR-MTB compared to the new cases (Table 3).
Prevalence of MTB and RR-TB by Years

Table 4 compares the prevalence of MTB and RR-MTB by study years. Our study revealed that MTB prevalence decreased from 13.9% in 2015 to 8.8% in 2017, then slightly increased to 9.5% in 2018 and 9.3% in 2019. Similarly, the prevalence of RR-MTB showed a significant decline from 17.3% in 2015 to 5.1% in 2019 (AOR (95% CI) 1.93 (1.81–2.14, p < 0.001; data not shown) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Having local epidemiological data on MTB and RR-MTB and identification of potential predisposing factors helps to design an appropriate intervention methods to control the disease. In this study, the overall prevalence of MTB and RR-MTB were 11% and 8.3%, respectively. Our prevalence 11%, was comparable with previous reports from in Addis Ababa, 12.46% [15], and South Africa, 13% [16]. This was, however, lower than other studies conducted in Ethiopia, 15.11–60.4% [4, 11, 12, 17–21], and other similar African countries: Congo, 79.1% [22], Togo, 57% [23], Nigeria, 19.1–22.9% [24, 25], and Uganda, 20.9% [26]. However, our prevalence was higher than studies carried out in Addis Ababa, 6% [27], Amhara region, 8% [28], Oromia region, 3.8% [29] and Uganda, 5.5% [30].

The discrepancy might be due to differences in diagnostic modalities, study participants, study period, sample size, geographical, and TB control practices. The high prevalence of TB in other studies [12, 18, 19, 21–23], for example, was because their study participants were MDR suspected patients (relapse, defaulter, lost, and failure), but, in this study, 76.1% of the participants were TB presumptive patients. Another possible reason for the high TB recovery in other reports [20, 25] was due to their small sample size (small sampling can cause higher prevalence rate).

The higher TB prevalence in this study compared to the study in Uganda [30] might be due to the fact that the study participants in Uganda were paediatric age groups (2 months–12 years) who are less likely to be infected by TB, whereas our participants included all age groups with 90.5% of them were adults who are more likely to be infected compared to children [1]. The higher prevalence of TB compared with studies conducted in Somalia region [17] and Togo [23] might be because their study period was from 2011 to 2014 where
the Xpert assay was recommended for patients with TB/HIV co-infection, presumptive MDR-TB and paediatric TB patients, while this study collected data from 2016 to 2019 where the method was used for all TB suspected patients. Participants whose age was 18–35 years \((p < 0.001)\) were more likely to be infected by TB compared to children. Although there is no clear-cut value for age among studies, other studies have reported a higher prevalence in different age groups: 16–30 years [12] and 25–34 years [20], while others have reported no association between age and TB infection [2, 11, 19, 24]. The high prevalence of TB among the productive age groups of 18–35 years and 36–53 years in this study may indicate that TB is circulating in the community, which needs more attention to combat the problem.

We also report significantly higher MTB among previously treated patients (failure, relapsed) which was in line with the report by Adane and his colleagues [20]. The higher MTB prevalence in the previously treated patients highlight due attention to the DOT program, as this may indicate high TB transmission to new TB cases in the community and, in the case of relapse, the lack of TB treatment monitoring and control.

RR-MTB (8.3%) in our study is in line with previous reports from Ethiopia, 9.9–10.3% [4, 11], and Nigeria, 7.3% [31]. However, our prevalence is lower than previous similar studies conducted in other parts of Ethiopia: 11–54% [18, 19, 21, 27, 32], Congo, 42.2% [22], Nigeria, 14.7% [25], and Togo, 24% [23]. In contrast, studies from Ethiopia, 1.2–4.7%

| Variables          | MTB pos. n (%) | MTB neg. n (%) | COR (95% CI) | p value | AOR(95% CI) | p value |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Gender             |                |                |              |         |             |         |  
| Male               | 1653 (11.3)    | 12,971 (88.7)  | Ref          |         |             |         |
| Female             | 1288 (10.7)    | 10,744 (89.3)  | 0.94 (0.87–1.02) | 0.37    |             |         |
| Age                |                |                |              |         |             |         |  
| ≤ 17               | 194 (7.6)      | 2346 (92.4)    | Ref          |         |             |         |
| 18–35              | 1621 (15.6)    | 8744 (84.4)    | 2.24 (1.92–2.62) | < 0.001 | 2.17 (1.86–2.54) | < 0.001* |
| 36–53              | 770 (10.1)     | 6888 (89.9)    | 1.35 (1.15–1.60) | < 0.001 | 1.31 (1.11–1.54) | < 0.001* |
| 54–71              | 313 (6.2)      | 4699 (93.8)    | 0.81 (0.67–0.97) | 0.02    | 0.79 (0.65–0.95) | 0.01*    |
| ≥ 72               | 43 (4)         | 1038 (96)      | 0.50 (0.36–0.70) | < 0.001 | 0.48 (0.35–0.68) | < 0.001* |
| HIV status         |                |                |              |         |             |         |  
| Positive           | 357 (12.6)     | 2469 (87.4)    | 1.01 (0.88–1.16) | 0.93    |             |         |
| Negative           | 609 (12.6)     | 4240 (87.4)    | Ref          |         |             |         |
| TB treatment history |            |                |              |         |             |         |  
| New case           | 2093 (10.3)    | 18,180 (89.7)  | Ref          |         |             |         |
| Relapse            | 258 (18.8)     | 1118 (81.2)    | 2.0 (1.74–2.31) | < 0.001* | 1.97 (1.69–2.27) | < 0.001* |
| Lost               | 530 (10.9)     | 4319 (89.1)    | 1.07 (0.96–1.18) | 0.215   | 1.10 (0.95–1.16) | 0.34    |
| Failure            | 60 (38)        | 98 (62)        | 5.32 (3.85–7.36) | < 0.001* | 4.67 (3.36–6.5)  | < 0.001* |

*p < 0.05 statistically significant
have reported lower RR-MTB prevalence than this study. Disparities in the results could be due to variations in geographical, methodology (sample size, method of drug resistance diagnosis, study participants), study setting, and study period and MDR-TB monitoring and control practice. The high RR-MTB prevalence in Ethiopia [18, 19, 21] is because their study participants were MDR-TB suspected unlike the presumptive TB patients included in this study. The lower RR-MTB report by Hamusse et al. [29] could be due to the fact that the study was community-based, not a health service-based one. Likewise, the study participants reported from Uganda were paediatric age, who are less prone to TB and MDR compared to adults [30].

Table 3 Prevalence of RR-MTB among adult TB patients by sex, age, treatment history and HIV status in Eastern Amhara, Ethiopia, 2015–2019 (n = 2941)

| Variables          | RR-MTB n (%) | Not RR-MTB n (%) | COR (95% CI) | p value | AOR(95%CI) | p value |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Gender             |              |                  |              |         |            |         |
| Male               | 138 (8.3)    | 1515 (91.7)      | Ref          |         |            |         |
| Female             | 107 (8.3)    | 1181 (91.7)      | 0.99 (0.76–1.3) | 0.97    |            |         |
| Age                |              |                  |              |         |            |         |
| ≤ 17               | 14 (7.2)     | 180 (92.8)       | Ref          |         |            |         |
| 18–35              | 152 (9.4)    | 1469 (90.6)      | 1.33 (0.75–2.35) | 0.32    |            |         |
| 36–53              | 56 (7.3)     | 714 (92.7)       | 1.01 (0.55–1.85) | 0.98    |            |         |
| 54–71              | 20 (6.4)     | 293 (93.6)       | 0.88 (0.43–1.78) | 0.72    |            |         |
| ≥ 72               | 3 (7)        | 40 (93)          | 0.96 (0.27–3.51) | 0.96    |            |         |
| HIV status (n = 966) |          |                  |              |         |            |         |
| Positive           | 41 (11.5)    | 316 (88.5)       | 1.07 (0.71–1.61) | 0.76    |            |         |
| Negative           | 66 (10.8)    | 543 (89.2)       | Ref          |         |            |         |
| TB treatment history |          |                  |              |         |            |         |
| New case           | 154 (7.4)    | 1939 (92.6)      | Ref          |         |            |         |
| Relapse            | 40 (15.5)    | 218 (84.5)       | 2.3 (1.59–3.36) | < 0.001 | 2.1 (1.4–3.03) | < 0.001 |
| Lost               | 37 (7)       | 493 (93)         | 0.95 (0.65–1.37) | 0.77    | 0.98 (0.65–1.40) | 0.76    |
| Failure            | 14 (23.3)    | 46 (76.7)        | 3.8 (2.06–7.13) | < 0.001 | 3.5 (1.90–6.60) | < 0.001 |

Table 4 Prevalence of MTB and RR-TB by years in Eastern Amhara, Ethiopia, 2015–2019 (n = 26,656)

| Year   | Total patients | MTB positive n (%) | RR-MTB positive n (%) |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 2015   | 1625           | 225 (13.9)         | 39 (17.3)             |
| 2016   | 4321           | 550 (12.7)         | 362 (6.6)             |
| 2017   | 4096           | 362 (8.8)          | 26 (7.2)              |
| 2018   | 5979           | 566 (9.5)          | 36 (6.4)              |
| 2019   | 10,635         | 993 (9.3)          | 51 (5.1)              |
| 2015–2019 | 26,656       | 2941 (11)         | 245 (8.3)             |
could be related to poor compliance by patients or clinicians, lack of treatment supervision, inappropriate drug regimens, and inadequate or irregular drug supply that make the bacteria mutate and develop drug resistance [34]. This highlights the need for coordinated work among stakeholders to improve the monitoring of treatment to reduce the emergence and circulation of drug-resistant MTB strains in the community.

Our study also tried to investigate the trend of TB and RR-MTB over the study periods. Accordingly, this study revealed that MTB prevalence decreased from 13.9% in 2015 to 8.8% in 2017, then slightly increased to 9.5% in 2018 and to 9.3% in 2019. Similarly, the prevalence of RR-MTB showed a significant decline from 17.3% in 2015 to 5.1% in 2019. The increased MTB and RR-MTB in 2015 in our study could be because, during 2015, Xpert in Ethiopia was indicated for patients with TB/HIV co-infection, MDR-TB suspected, and paediatrics. However, later, it was recommended for all TB presumptive patients and, while the number of patients diagnosed were significantly increased through the years, the number of positive cases decreased.

Our study has limitations. First, as the study was conducted in one region, it cannot represent the prevalence at national level. Second, microbiological confirmation of the Xpert-positive TB and RR-MTB, phenotypic rifampicin resistance, and resistance to other anti-TB drugs was not carried out due to the nature of the study. Third, information on the contact history of MDR-TB and TB, living conditions, and education of study participants was not obtained; therefore, we could not show the associations of MTB and RR-MTB. Hence, the results of this study should be interpreted with due consideration to these limitations.

**CONCLUSION**

The overall prevalence of MTB and RR-MTB was 11% and 8.3%, respectively. Adults and those who were relapsed and or failed were found to be significantly associated with MTB infection. Similarly, relapsed and failure cases were independent predictors of RR-MTB. The strong association of MTB and RR-MTB with relapse and failure highlights the need for coordinated work of the regional government and other stakeholders to improve the monitoring of TB treatment and to minimize the magnitude of any further emergence of drug-resistant TB in the community. Although the prevalence of RR-MTB shows a decreasing trend over the study period, it still shows that more work should be carried out to further combat drug-resistant-associated morbidities and mortalities in the study area.
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