Current molecular methods for the detection of hepatitis C virus in high risk group population: A systematic review

Rushna Firdaus, Kallol Saha, Aritra Biswas, Provash Chandra Sadhukhan

Rushna Firdaus, Kallol Saha, Aritra Biswas, Provash Chandra Sadhukhan, ICMR Virus Unit, I.D and B.G Hospital Campus, GB-4 (East Wing), Beliaghata, Kolkata 700010, India
Author contributions: Firdaus R and Saha K contributed equally to the work, wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures; Biswas A helped them and Sadhukhan PC looked into overall aspect in manuscript study design and checked the draft.
Conflict-of-interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to report
Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Correspondence to: Dr. Provash Chandra Sadhukhan, I.C.M.R. Virus Unit Kolkata, I.D and B.G Hospital Campus, GB-4 (East Wing), 1st Floor, 57, Dr. Suresh Chandra Banerjee Road, Beliaghata, Kolkata 700010, India. provash2000@gmail.com
Telephone: +91-33-23537425
Fax: +91-33-23537424
Received: September 24, 2014
Peer-review started: September 26, 2014
First decision: October 28, 2014
Revised: December 13, 2014
Accepted: December 29, 2014
Article in press: December 31, 2014
Published online: February 12, 2015

Abstract
Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an emerging infection worldwide and the numbers of persons infected are increasing every year. Poor blood transfusion methods along with unsafe injection practices are potential sources for the rapid spread of infection. Early detection of HCV is the need of the hour especially in high risk group population as these individuals are severely immunocompromised. Enzyme Immunoassays are the most common detection techniques but they provide no evidence of active viremia or identification of infected individuals in the antibody-negative phase and their efficacy is limited in individuals within high risk group population. Molecular virological techniques have an important role in detecting active infection with utmost specificity and sensitivity. Technologies for assessment of HCV antibody and RNA levels have improved remarkably, as well as our understanding of how to best use these tests in patient management. This review aims to give an overview of the different serological and molecular methods employed in detecting HCV infection used nowadays. Additionally, the review gives an insight in the new molecular techniques that are being developed to improve the detection techniques particularly in High Risk Group population who are severely immunocompromised.

Key words: Molecular detection; Enzyme immunoassay; High risk group population; Nucleic acid amplification assays; Polymerase chain reaction
© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: The review focuses on the current molecular diagnostic techniques that are being used to detect hepatitis C virus worldwide. Special emphasis is given on the detection techniques that can be used to screen the individuals with repeated blood transfusion history; particularly thalassaemic individuals, intravenous drug users and persons on hemodialysis.
INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a global health problem which has affected around 170 million people worldwide and is one of the major causes of deaths related to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma[1]. HCV can be classified to seven major genotypes and 80 subtypes[2-4]. HCV genotypes vary in patterns of geographical distribution and therapeutic response. However, the geographical and genetic diversity of this RNA virus is constantly evolving because of rapid globalization. In India, HCV infection has been reported in 0%-21% population and responsible for 14%-26% cases of chronic liver disease[5]. HCV infection is mostly transmitted through transfusion of blood or blood products. A high prevalence of HCV is found in many high-risk groups (HRG) exposed to blood or blood products like intra venous drug users (IDUs), patients with pediatric hematologic malignancies and those with thalassemia and hemophilia. India reported a higher percentage of blood donors (1%-1.5%) than in any developed country[6,7].

An increasing burden of HCV related liver complications has been estimated particularly taking into account those who were infected before safety precautions of blood transfusions happened. A major concern is careful screening of blood and blood related products, but in developing countries like in India, the regulations for strict checking of blood and blood related products came to place only in 2001[8,9]. Recent surveys have reported that testing of blood and blood related products are poorly regulated in India[10]. In United States, data showed that death related to HCV exceeded than those by HIV. Though novel antiviral therapies are recently in the horizon with enhanced efficacy and fewer side effects but the challenge remains in detecting HCV at an early stage.

During HCV infection, though attempts are made to diagnose and differentiate acute from chronic hepatitis C infection, it is often not possible to distinguish between the two phases. The infection may be recognized only when it becomes chronic[11,12]. The serologic diagnostic tests used as first step for detecting the infection cannot distinguish between acute and chronic infection[13]. Investigations for patients with HCV infection include serological assays for antibodies to hepatitis C (anti-HCV) and molecular assays for detection of viral RNA.

The importance of low cost molecular diagnostic assays are especially important for the developing nations as they are already burdened with increasing number of hepatitis C patients who are generally economically backward. The advent of molecular diagnostic approaches has allowed for the development of nucleic acid assays that are more sensitive and specific than antibody based technologies. The linking of these assays with appropriate detection systems, therefore, makes them highly desirable for detecting HCV RNA in patient samples. Molecular techniques not only help to detect HCV RNA but confirm active state of infection, i.e., the virus is in replicating state in the patient’s body. In individuals falling in high risk diagnosis of HCV can give false negative results as these patients are already immuno-suppressed, in this scenario, molecular testing remains the best choice for detection.

This review aims to give an overview of the different serological and viral genome based laboratory tests which has become instrumental in the management of HCV infection to diagnose viral infection, and more importantly guide treatment decisions which could be of enormous help to clinicians.

LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

The investigation of HCV diagnosis starts with serological assays for detecting antibodies to HCV followed by molecular assays for detecting HCV RNA (Figure 1). Initial diagnosis of HCV infection is classically done by serologic methods either by determining anti HCV antibody by EIAs or by immunoblot assays and by determining the presence of HCV RNA. The advent of simple rapid immunoassays has significantly reduced the risk of HCV transmission, but concern remains for patients in high risk groups[13-16]. Studies have shown that false negative results in rapid tests might arise in patients who are severely immunocompromised such as those co-infected with HIV[17], in patients on hemodialysis, IDUs, thalassemia. In these patient groups molecular detection by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) remains the best method for detection.

RAPID IMMUNOASSAYS FOR DETECTION OF HCV IN SERUM OR PLASMA

Rapid immunoassay tests are based on the principle to detect HCV antigens from core, NS3, NS4 and NS5 regions of the virus. In western countries, these tests are used besides nucleic acid testing, and used only as point of care tests, but in developing countries these tests are solely relied in commercial places for detection of HCV[18]. Commercial kits like OraQuick rapid HCV antibody test use device that delivers HCV antibody test results in 20 min using a single drop of whole blood. The kit was approved for laboratory use in United States from June 2010. The OraQuick is very accurate, with sensitivity and specificity performance that meets the standards for FDA approval[19]. Though rapid kits have been extensively used for surveillance purposes, they are not well suited for high risk groups and immunocompromised patients[20,21]. In developing countries like India, WHO has recommended certain kits for rapid testing for surveillance purposes.

ENZYME IMMUNOASSAY FOR HCV DETECTION IN SERUM OR PLASMA

Enzyme immunoassays are the most common screening test for HCV [enzyme immunoassay (EIA), microparticle EIA, chemiluminescence immunoassay (CIA)] that detects anti-HCV antibodies in plasma or serum. These assays are
relatively easy to use, does not require expert technicians, automation is simple, have a low variability and are inexpensive.

Three generations of EIA antibody testing have been developed since 1989. In the first generation of EIA developed in 1992, e100-3 epitope from the non-structural NS4 regions was incorporated. A newer and better second generation EIA-2 was developed next which contained HCV antigens from core, NS3, NS4 regions[22-24]. The third generation of EIA developed contained modified antigens from core, NS3, and a slightly modified antigen from NS5 region. The incorporation of these antigens increased the overall sensitivity to 97%, which was better than the second generation assays. The mean time to seroconversion in the improved third generation kits has gone down to 2-3 wk as compared to 4-6 wk in the second generation kits. EIA methods have several advantages as the kits are relatively inexpensive and highly sensitive too, but one of the disadvantages is that it may give false positive results in routine blood donors and asymptomatic adults. For this reason, Centres for Disease control and prevention has recommended the supplementary tests like RIBA or PCR based methods to confirm positive ELISA tests unless the signal-to-cut-off ratio is above a predetermined threshold[25].

False-negative EIA especially occur in patients with major immunosuppression (advanced HIV infection or organ transplantation recipients), patients with chronic renal failure on long-term hemodialysis, and patients with acute or early HCV infection have been reported in HCV EIA[26].

In developing countries like India, WHO recommends the use of 3rd generation HCV EIA kits. Several commercial kits which employ the structural and non-structural antigens i.e., core, E1, E2, NS3, NS4 and NS5 are in use. The 3rd generation kits are better than the previous versions with improved specificity and sensitivity. The use of EIA kits in India is limited as it requires expertise in handling and in developing countries like India where the health care resources are already burdened; it becomes very difficult to reach the people[27].

**RECOMBINANT IMMUNOBLOT ASSAY FOR HCV ANTIGEN DETECTION**

In the recombinant immunoblot assay (RIBA) assays, multiple HCV antigens are individually displayed on a nitrocellulose strips as bands. In positive HCV infection, RIBA results show two reactive bands, in intermediate infection show one positive band. Since positive cases in RIBA, show two bands they are considered more sensitive than EIA. However, they are not considered as independent gold standard as two tests contain similar antigens to detect HCV antibody[28-30].

**DETECTION OF HCV CORE ANTIGEN IN PLASMA OR SERUM**

HCV core antigen testing was developed as an alternative to nucleic acid testing (NAT assays). The HCV core antigen detects viral antibodies within the sero-conversion period and can be used to utilize on antiviral therapy. But till now is not commercially used as detection...
Detection of viral RNA is useful in diagnosing HCV infection prior to seroconversion, distinguishing active from resolved infection, and diagnosing chronic hepatitis carriers who are HCV antibody negative, especially among HRGs. Nucleic acid testing is recommended: (1) for confirmation of HCV RNA in cases where patients are HCV seropositive; (2) to confirm the presence of HCV viremia in patients who are seronegative but immunocompromised such as HIV infected individuals; (3) in babies who are born to HCV positive mothers- as antibody testing in babies can give false positive results up to 18 mo of age; and (4) for determining the baseline value before starting the anti-viral therapy. Molecular detection of HCV includes both qualitative and quantitative assays. The qualitative HCV RNA testing is very popular due to its higher sensitivity, but a major disadvantage of the qualitative assays is that it only determines the presence or absence of HCV RNA. On the other hand, quantitative HCV RNA determines the HCV RNA level and thus provides prognostic information for treatment. Nowadays, there are several widely used commercial tests which are used to detect the presence of HCV RNA in patient’s serum. One of the commercial assays used is the Cobas Amplicor HCV version 2.0 (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Pleasanton, CA, United States) based on a standard RT-PCR is available for the qualitative measurement of HCV RNA. The lowest detection limit is 50 IU/mL whatever the HCV genotype. Another assay commercially used is versant HCV qualitative assay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL, United States) which is based on transcription mediated amplification technique. In this assay, first viral RNA is isolated from the patient’s serum and then amplified by utilizing two enzymes (reverse transcriptase and T7 RNA polymerase). These amplicons are further detected via hybridization protection assay (HPA) in which only hybridized probes remain chemiluminescent and are detected in a luminometer. Analytical sensitivity is 10 IU/mL for most genotypes and 5.3 IU/mL for genotype 1.

**QUANTITATIVE ASSAY**

HCV quantitative assay is used to determine the number of international units of HCV RNA per milliliter of serum or plasma (IU/mL) in known HCV positive patients.

Recently, real time PCR based detection systems have become widely available and are considered as the detection method of choice by many clinicians. The advantages of this technique are that they have a very low limit of detection, have a broad dynamic range. Several companies now market the real time PCR assays: the COBASs Ampliprep/Cobas TaqMan assay (CAP/CTM, Roche Molecular Diagnostics) and the real-time HCV assay (also named AccuGenes HCV, Abbott Molecular Inc., Des Plaines, IL, United States). These assays have the advantage of having a broad dynamic range of amplification, thus improving the limits of detection (LOD) to 10 IU/mL, and linear quantification up to 10^-10 IU/mL. The quantitation of HCV viral RNA in Cobas Amplicor is performed using the HCV Quantitation...
Standard. The HCV quantitation standard is a non-infectious armoured DNA construct of HCV sequences with identical primer binding sites as the HCV RNA target and a unique probe binding region that allows HCV QuantiTitation Standard amplicon to be distinguished from HCV target amplicon. The HCV QuantiTitation Standard is pipetted into each individual sample and control at a known copy number and is then amplified by PCR. The COBAS TaqMan HCV Test, v2.0 uses reverse transcription and PCR amplification primers against the highly conserved 5' untranslated region of the HCV genome[56].

The Versant HCV quantitative test (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) which is HCV RNA assay based on signal amplification by branched DNA (bDNA). In this assay, single stranded DNA molecules are present; which acts as probe DNA molecules. Next an extender DNA molecule is added. Once the capture and extender molecules are in their proper place they are hybridized and the sample is added. The bDNA assay version 3.0 has been reported to have a lower detection limit of 615 IU/mL to 8 million IU/mL whatever the HCV genotype[57].

The advantage of RT-PCR is that it allows continuous monitoring of amplicon kinetics during the exponential phase before the amplification reaches its plateau. This allows for a good correlation between the initial numbers of template copies whereas in qualitative assays based on PCR, amplicon detection was at the end[56,58]. Thus the use of quantitation techniques have greatly enhanced the sensitivity and reliability in detection techniques.

VIRAL GENOTYPING ASSAYS

There are at least seven genotypes and over 80 subtypes of HCV. Different assays are used to determine genotype such as sequencing and hybridization[59]. Most genotype assays use amplification of specific region of viral genome by PCR followed by direct DNA sequencing. While a variety of techniques are used, the gold standard for HCV genotyping is nucleotide sequencing, which can be done by using core (C), envelope (E1), or the non-structural (NS5B) regions which can be amplified by reverse transcription followed by polymerase chain reaction[56-63]. Most diagnostic assays commonly target the 5' UTR but in research settings core and or NS5B region is usually sequenced as this region is more conserved amongst all genotypes. Genotypes are very useful for determining the duration of treatment regimens and predicting treatment response[64-68].

EMERGING MOLECULES TECHNIQUES

One of the emerging diagnostic assays is nanoparticle based diagnostic assay. Quantum dot and gold based nanoparticle based diagnostic assay[69-71]. Quantum dots are nanoparticles made of semiconductors that emit light at different spectra; the emission is dependent on the size which greatly increases the ability to multiplex[72-74]. Another novel technique being developed recently is the use of aptamers as capture molecules. Aptamers are short, single stranded oligonucleotide that can fold into specific 3-dimensional structures and recognize target molecules such as small chemicals, proteins, and even cells[75]. These techniques have been used for various diagnostic applications because of their ability to bind their targets with high affinity and specificity.

CONCLUSION

Molecular diagnostic testing for HCV has provided a crucial tool for addressing significant controversies in HCV management. NATs for detecting HCV RNA remain the mainstay for detecting HCV infection in individuals in high risk group population. Nucleic acid test not only helps to detect HCV RNA but confirms active state of viral infection, i.e., the virus is in replicating state in the patient's body. However, in developing countries due to financial constraints and lack of technical expertise in clinical settings, these tests are difficult to perform and time consuming. In these settings, the most widely employed screening tests are the HCV rapid immunoassays. However, it is the need of the hour to effectively design strategies to detect HCV infection even in sero-conversion period.
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