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**Abstract:** The fungal species belonging to the genus *Trichoderma* has been globally recognized as a potential candidate of biofertilizer and biocontrol agent to prevent devastating soil-borne fungal pathogens and enhance growth and productivity of agricultural crops. The antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* to pathogenic fungi is attributed to several mechanisms including antibiosis and enzymatic hydrolysis, which are largely associated with a wide range of metabolites secreted by the *Trichoderma* species. Besides suppressing target pathogens, several metabolites produced by *Trichoderma* species may act against non-pathogenic beneficial soil microbial communities and perform unintended alterations within the structures and functions of microbial communities in the crop rhizosphere. Multiple microbial interactions have been shown to enhance biocontrol efficacy in many cases as compared to bioinoculant employed alone. The key advances in understanding the ecological functions of the *Trichoderma* species with special emphasis on their associations with plant roots and other microbes exist in the crop rhizosphere, which are briefly described here. This review focuses on the interactions of metabolites secreted by *Trichoderma* species and plant roots in the rhizosphere and their impacts on pathogenic and non-pathogenic soil microbial communities. The complex interactions among *Trichoderma*–plants–microbes that may occur in the crop rhizosphere are underlined and several prospective avenues for future research in this area are briefly explored. The data presented here will stipulate future research on sustainably maximizing the efficiency of *Trichoderma* inoculation and their secondary metabolites in the crop soil ecosystem.
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1. **Introduction**

The *Trichoderma* species under the Hypocreaceae family has been commercially formulated as biological inoculants or biofungicides worldwide [1–3]. More than 50% of
registered biofungicides against soil-borne pathogens are formulated based on *Trichoderma* [4]. *Trichoderma* species have a wide distribution and ecological plasticity due to their ability to generate a broad range of lytic enzymes to degrade substrates, a flexible metabolism, and high resistance to microbial inhibitors [5]. Therefore, *Trichoderma* is the most used bioinoculant due to its numerous beneficial characteristics, including producing several secondary metabolites, such as antibiotics, peptaibols, and other bioactive compounds with antibiosis properties for parasitizing soil pathogenic fungi [6]. As a result, mycoparasitism and antibiosis are thought to be the most important biocontrol mechanisms in *Trichoderma* species.

The effect of *Trichoderma* species as a biocontrol agent cannot be generalized since it has both harmful and beneficial impacts on pathogens and growth promotion that have been extensively studied [7,8]. Reports show that multidirectional metabolomic interactions occur in the soil ecosystem due to the interactions with introduced *Trichoderma* inoculants, plant root exudates, and resident microbial communities (e.g., antagonism or synergism) [9,10]. The species diversity of existing microbial communities and their richness in the root microbes results in the intra- and interspecies relationship among their members. Secondary metabolites (SMs) play a major role in executing these interactions as chemical signals [11].

In soil, how *Trichoderma* inoculants interact with other non-target and non-pathogenic microorganisms, which are inherently beneficial for crop productivity, is relatively lesser understood [12–15]. Several studies showed a significant increase in fungal population in rhizosphere soil upon inoculation of *Trichoderma* strains; consequently, this increased fungal population reduced the bacterial population [16]. In another study, *Trichoderma koningii* inoculation reduced the resting spore germination of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi (e.g., *Glomus* spp.), which are considered key fungal communities responsible for enhancing soil biofertility and crop productivity [17–19]. For example, the volatile metabolites secreted by *T. koningii* inoculant suppressed spore germination of AM fungi and decreased the population of the beneficial *Azospirillum* species as well. Thus, *Trichoderma* application to control soil-borne pathogens and growth improvement requires comprehensive investigation considering the interactions not only with pathogens, but also with microbial communities already present in crop soils.

Overall, soil microbes contribute significantly to soil structure, fertility, and pathogens suppression [20,21]. At the same time, the release of root exudates (metabolites) influences the structure of the soil microbial population and its enzymatic activity, which provide essential nutrients to plants by decomposing and mineralizing the soil organic matters [22,23]. Furthermore, soil microbes are the primary source and mediators, such as biochemical changes during nutrients recycling, and hence play a critical role in biogeochemical process [24,25]. Research has sought to characterize these beneficial microbes from diverse agricultural ecosystems to obtain a better knowledge of the biodiversity of the soil microbial communities. However, the plant species and soil types primarily influence the composition of the soil microbial population; the interactions in the soil ecosystems are very complicated, particularly among the plants and soil microbes [26].

In this review, we categorized the effect of the metabolomic compounds secreted by different *Trichoderma* species on soil pathogenic (fungi), non-pathogenic (fungi and bacteria) microbial communities, and soil enzymatic activities, and outlined the ecological challenges of *Trichoderma* as a biofungicide/biocontrol inoculant in crop rhizosphere.

### 2. *Trichoderma* Species as a Commercial Biofungicide

*Trichoderma* is a filamentous fungus beneficial for its multi-prong action against numerous plant pathogens [27]. Biofungicide is an important approach against some notable plant pathogens. Several *Trichoderma* strains have been recognized as a potential source to formulate biofungicide because of their suitability to reduce disease incidences caused by several fungal plant pathogens [28]. Species belonging to the *Trichoderma harzianum* complex are mostly found in various soil habitats and on plant decay materials, and
have shown parasitism to other fungi [29]. Recently, a few commercial strains, such as Trichoderma afarasin, T. afroharzianum, T. afrobrunneum, T. camerunense, T. endophyticum, T. guizhouense, T. harzianum, T. inhamatum, T. lentiforme, T. lixii, T. neotropicale, T. pyramidale, T. rifaii, and T. simmonsii, have been identified as effective biofungicide formulations [30].

T. afroharzianum is the mostly reported strain used as an active ingredient in several commercial biocontrol products [30,31]. The taxonomy of the T. harzianum complex formalized the phylogenetic progenies and opened new prospects for the revelation of biological utilities, particularly controlling the plant pathogens. For instance, newly recognized T. lentiforme and T. neotropicale showed strong antagonistic actions against the Moniliophthora roreri pathogen causing frosty pod rot disease of the cacao tree (Theobroma cacao) [32].

T. viride has also been extensively used as a well-known biofungicide that protects the plant from fungal diseases striving with systemic negative effects on foliar leaves and seedcoat. Bio-formulations based on T. viride work as potential biofungicides against seedborne and soil-borne fungal pathogens including Armillaria, Pythium, and Rhizoctonia [33]. Moreover, Trichoderma species play a significant role against seed-borne fungi, such as Fusarium sp., M. phaseolina, and R. solani, which cause pre-harvest and post-harvest losses in cotton, cowpea, mungbean, sorghum, soybean, and tomatoes [33]. The dry powder or dust of Trichoderma is used to coat seed for seed treatment just before sowing [34,35]. T. harzianum, T. virens, and T. viride were proven as potential seed protectants against the Pythium sp. and R. solani. Incubation of Trichoderma–treated seeds under warm and humid conditions right before radical emergence, results in rapid and uniform seedling emergence [36]. Trichoderma germinates conidial masses on the seed surface and forms a layer surrounding the primed seeds. These primed seeds are capable of tolerating the adverse conditions of soil habitats, such as vegetable seedlings treated with Trichoderma spore or cell suspension showed antagonistic to damping-off disease. Trichoderma was successfully applied in aerial plant parts to control the decay fungi in wounded shrubs and trees [36]. For instance, across the globe, several Trichoderma–based commercial bioformulations are used in controlling plant pathogenic fungi are listed in Table 1.

| Trichoderma–Based Bioformulation/Tradename | Trichoderma Species | Target Pathogens | Manufacturer | References |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Agroguard WG™                            | T. harzianum        | Phoma, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Sclerotinia, and Sclerotium | Life Systems Technology S.A. (Colombia) | [34] |
| Antagon WP™                              | T. harzianum        | Botrytis, Ceratocystis, Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Rosellinia, Sclerotinia, and Sclerotium | Bio Ecologico Ltd. (Colombia) | [34] |
| Binab TF                                 | T. polysporum       | Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Sclerotinia, and Sclerotium | BINAB Bio-Innovation AB (USA) | [35] |
| Bioderma H                               | T. harzianum        | Alternaria, Ascochyta, Cercospora, Colletotrichum, Fusarium, Phytophthora, Pythium, Macrophomina, Myrothecium, and Ralstonia | Biotech International Ltd. (India) | [34] |
| BioFungo™                                | T. virens           | Botrytis cinerea and Sphaerotheca pannosa | Orius Biotecnologia (Colombia) | [34] |
| ECO-77™                                  | T. harzianum        | Botrytis and Eutypa | Plant Health Products (South Africa) | [34] |
| ECO-T™                                   | T. harzianum        | Fusarium, Phytophthora, Pythium, and Rhizoctonia | Plant Health Products (South Africa) | [34] |
| Ecoderma                                 | T. virens           | Botrytis, Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Rosellinia, Sclerotinia, and Sclerotium | BigHaat Agro Ltd. (India) | [35] |
| Ecotrich EST™                             | T. harzianum        | Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium, and Sclerotinia | Ballagro Agro Tecnologia Ltd. (Brazil) | [34] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Trichoderma–Based Bioformulation/Tradename | Trichoderma Species | Target Pathogens | Manufacturer | References |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Esquive                                  | T. atroviride       | Pythium and Rhizoctonia | Agrauxine (France) | [35]        |
| Floragard                                | T. hamatum          | Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia solani, and Sclerotinia homeocarpa | Sellew Associates LLC (USA) | [35]        |
| FoliGuard™                               | T. hamatum          | Alternaria, Botrytis cinerea, Cladosporium, Oidium, and Sphaeroteca pannosa | Live Systems Technology S.A. (Colombia) | [34]        |
| Lycomax                                  | T. viride           | Soil-borne pathogens | Russell IPM (UK) | [34]        |
| Natibioli™                               | T. viride           | Rhizoctonia       | Probiagro S.A. (Venezuela) | [34]        |
| PlantShield™/RootShield™                 | T. harzianum        | Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia solani, and Sclerotinia homeocarpa | Bioworks (USA) | [34]        |
| T-Gro                                    | T. harzianum        | Botrytis, Ceratocystis, Fusarium, Pythium, and Rhizoctonia | Dagutat Biolab (USA) | [35]        |
| Trianum™                                 | T. harzianum        | Soil-borne pathogens | Koppert BV (The Netherlands) | [34,35]    |
| Tricho™                                  | T. harzianum        | Alternaria, Armillaria, Botrytis, Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, Rosellinia, Sclerotinia, and Sclerotium | Orius Biotecnologia (Colombia) | [34]        |
| Trichodermil™                            | T. harzianum        | Botrytis ricini, Fusarium, Phytophthora capsici, Phytophthora palmivora, Rhizoctonia, and Sclerotina sclerotiorum | Itaforte BioProdutos (Brazil) | [34]        |
| Trichodex                                | T. harzianum        | Colletotrichum, Fusarium, Phytophthora, and Pythium, Macrophomina | Makhteshim chemical works Ltd. (USA) | [35]        |
| Trichososav                              | T. harzianum        | Soil-borne pathogens | Centros de Reproduccion de Medios Biologicos (Cuba) | [34]        |
| Trichosav                                | T. harzianum        | Fusarium          | Lage S.A. (Uruguay) |            |
| Tusal                                    | T. asperellum       | Fusarium, Pythium, and Rhizoctonia solani | Isagro (USA) | [35]        |
| Virisan                                  | T. asperellum       | Phytophthora, and Pythium | Isagro (USA) | [35]        |
| VinevaxTM–TrichoprotectionTM             | T. harzianum        | Armillaria, Botryosphaeria stevensii, Chondrostereum purpureum, Eutypa lata, and Phacomonella chlamydospor | Agrimm Technologies Ltd. (New Zealand) | [34,35]    |

3. Effects of Trichoderma Metabolites on Plant Root Exudates

The signaling between Trichoderma and plant roots is often performed with root-derived chemicals (Table 2). Plant roots exude various organic compounds into the rhizosphere, which create and promote contact with Trichoderma [37]. Sucrose is a key molecule in carbohydrate-mediated plant signaling. Plant cells degrade sucrose to provide a carbon source for Trichoderma during Trichoderma–plant interactions [37]. T. viride intracellular invertase (TvInv) is responsible to hydrolyze sucrose and production of normal T. viride in the presence of sucrose. A plant-like sucrose transporter (TvSut) carries sucrose from the plant to Trichoderma during their beneficial interactions [38]. The ThPTR2 gene encodes the PTR family di/tripeptide transporter, which is found in T. harzianum. The secreted proteins that are found in plant–pathogen and plant–mycorrhizal interactions, also play a significant role in Trichoderma–plant interactions. Trichoderma species produce and regulate hormonal signals that help to colonize in plant roots [3]. Auxin-induced root formations (e.g., increased number of root hairs) increase the total area of the absorptive surface in the root zones, making nutrient absorption easier and resulting in increased plant growth [39].

The exchange of root exudates and other signaling molecules between Trichoderma and plants is complex and not well characterized [40]. Thus, several antibiotics, toxins, and plant antimicrobial agents affect the Trichoderma species in the crop rhizosphere. For example, benzoic acid, cinnamic acid, ferulic acid, phenolic acids, vanillic acid, 3-phenyl propionic acid, and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid can inhibit the growth of Trichoderma [41]. However, some Trichoderma species induce root branching and increase shoot biomass by the presence of
auxin-like compounds, which help to exchange these root exudates and signaling molecules between *Trichoderma* and plants in crop rhizosphere [40]. The ATP cassette-binding cell membrane pump of *Trichoderma* species is an important part of a comprehensive, potent cell detox system that explains the ability of *Trichoderma* to cope with various chemical stresses. In addition to co-inoculating other useful organisms such as the AM fungi, the *Trichoderma* species appears to have a role to play in attenuating plant hormone reactions to the root colonization process [42]. The effective colonization of the *Trichoderma* species on the roots of their hosts implies a reprogramming of the plant, with improved growth, yield, and pathogen resistance [43].

4. Effects of *Trichoderma* Metabolites on Soil and Root Pathogens

*Trichoderma* species are commonly found on plant root surfaces in various soil habitats where they control the soil-borne pathogens causing plant root diseases [44]. The most versatile strains from the *Trichoderma* genus, including *Trichoderma arundinaceum*, *T. asperellum*, *T. atroviride*, *T. citrinoviride*, *T. gamsii*, *T. harzianum*, *T. pseudo-koningii*, *T. koningii*, *T. koningiopsis*, *T. longibrachiatum*, *T. longipile*, *T. ovalisporum*, *T. polysporum*, *T. reesei*, *T. saturnisporum*, *T. spirale*, *T. virens*, and *T. viride*, secrete diverse chemical compounds [45–47] (Table 2). A large number of soil-borne fungi are capable of generating chemicals that are recognized for their antifungal efficiency. *Trichoderma* species possess the fungicidal and fungistatic characteristics as they generate various cell wall-degrading enzymes and secondary metabolites (SMs) [48,49]. These metabolites enhance the plant defense response when attacked by phytopathogens. Secreted antimicrobial compounds during the *Trichoderma*–mediated defense response pathways are often associated with the barriers of pathogen entry into the plant cells [43]. For example, the accumulation of secondary phenolic metabolites plays a crucial role in plant defense mechanisms against various pathogens. *Trichoderma* produces various peptides, proteins, and low molecular weight compounds, which are involved in biochemical resistance to pathogens and induce resistance in plants [50].

Various groups of compounds are secreted by the *Trichoderma* species trigger to induce the defense reactions in plants. Celluloses produced by *T. harzianum* have been proven to act as an elicitor for systemic acquired resistance (SAR) by causing peroxides and chitinase activity. Systemic plant reactions occur via the JA/ethylene signaling pathway (Figure 1). *Trichoderma* has been shown to release these enzymes or otherwise functioning proteins, avirulence gene (*Avr*) encoded homologous proteins, oligosaccharides, and other low molecular weight compounds [51]. The chitinase enzymes are commonly known as plant gene-encoding enzymes, which degrade cell walls, and are used to induce plant resistance against phytopathogens. In terms of antifungal efficiency, the chitinase genes from *Trichoderma* showed dominant expression over the corresponding plant genes resulting in improved pathogenic resistance [52]. Therefore, it is expected that the transgenes inserted in the plant-host increase the resistance level against a variety of plant pathogens [53]. The *Trichoderma* gene *chit42* encodes a powerful endochitinase enzyme that exhibits strong antifungal activity against a broader range of plant pathogens as compared to other chitinolytic enzymes. The constitutive expressions of *Trichoderma* genes in plants have shown higher levels and improved resistance against soil-borne plant pathogens [54].
Figure 1. Metabolites (antibiotics and enzymes) produced by *Trichoderma* induce plant defense responses against the pathogens: (A) *Trichoderma* released antibiotics, enzymes, and secondary metabolites (SMs) through metabolic pathways leading to antagonize the phytopathogens. (B) Signals involved in *Trichoderma*-plant interaction enhanced the plant defense responses.

Table 2. A list of metabolites and chemical compounds secreted by *Trichoderma* species affects soil pathogenic fungi.

| Metabolites                  | Compound | Trichoderma Species | Target Fungal Pathogens                                                                 | References |
|------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Anthraquinones               | 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methylantraquinone, 1-hydroxy-3-methylantraquinone and 6-methyl-1,3,8-trihydroxyanthraquinone | *T. harzianum* | *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Rizoctonia solani*, and *Sclerotium rolfsii* | [55]       |
|                             | 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methylantraquinone and 1-hydroxy-3-methylantraquinone | *T. harzianum* | *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. tritici*, and *Pythium ultimum*                 | [56]       |
| Azaphilones                  | Harziphilone, Fleephilone and T22azaphilone | *T. harzianum* | *G. graminis* var. tritici*, *P. ultimum*, and *R. solani*          | [57]       |
|                             | T22azaphilone | *T. harzianum* | *Leptosphaeria maculans*, and *Phytophthora cinnamomi* | [58]       |
| Epipolythiodioxopiperazines  | Gliotoxins | *T. virens* | *M. phaseolina*, *Pythium aphaniernatum*, *Pythium deharyanum*, *Rizoctonia bataticola*, *R. solani*, and *S. rolfsii* | [1]        |
|                             | Gliovirin  | *T. longibrachiatum* | *R. solani*                                          | [1]        |
|                             | Gliovirin  | *T. virens* | *P. ultimum*                                           | [1]        |
| Koningins                   | kinginin A, B, D, E, and G | *T. aureoviride* | *G. graminis* var. tritici*                                    | [59]       |
|                             | kinginin A, B, and D | *T. harzianum* and *T. koningii* | *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, and *S. rolfsii* | [59]       |
|                             | kinginin D  | *T. harzianum* and *T. koningii* | *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *F. oxysporum*, *P. cinnamomi*, and *Pythium middletonii* | [6]        |
Table 2. Cont.

| Metabolites | Compound | Trichoderma Species | Target Fungal Pathogens | References |
|-------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Lactones    | aspinolide C | T. arundinaceum | Fusarium sporotrichioides | [60] |
| Cerinolactone | T. cerinum | R. necatrix | | [60] |
| Cremenolide | T. cremeum. | | F. oxysporum and R. solani | [60] |
| Peptaibols  | trichokonins VI, VII, and VIII | T. koningii | F. oxysporum, R. solani, and Verticillium dahliae | [55] |
| Trichokonin VI | T. pseudokoningii | | F. oxysporum, Phytophthora parasitica, and V. dahliae | [55] |
| Polyketides | Harzianolide and Dehydro Harzianolide | T. harzianum | F. oxysporum and R. solani | [61] |
| 6-pentyl-α-pyrone | T. harzianum | T. viride and Trichoderma spp. | | R. solani | [61] |
| 6-pent-1-enyl-α-pyrone | T. harzianum and T. viride | | | R. solani | [61] |
| Pyridones | Harzianopyridone | T. harzianum | | | |
| Pyrones | 6-Pentyl pyrone (6-PP) | T. harzianum, T. koningii, and T. viride | F. oxysporum and R. solani | [62] |
| Viridepyronone | T. viride | | S. rolfsii | [62] |
| Steroids | Stigmasterol | T. harzianum and T. koningii | F. oxysporum, M. phaseolina, R. solani, and S. rolfsii | [57] |
| Terpenes (trichotheccenes) | Trichodermin | T. polysporum | R. solani | [63] |
| | T. reesei and T. virens | | | |
| | Harzianum A | T. harzianum | F. oxysporum | [63] |
| | Mycotoxin T2 | T. lignorum | R. solani and S. rolfsii | [63] |
| Terpenes (triterpenes) (sterols) | Ergokonin A | T. koningii | Phoma spp. | [64] |
| | T. longibrachiatum and T. viride | | | |
| | Viridin | T. koningii | F. oxysporum and R. solani | [64] |
| | T. virens and T. viride | | | |
| | Trichotheccenes | Trichodermin | T. brevicaulis | R. solani | [65] |
| | | Trichodermin | T. harzianum | F. oxysporum and R. solani | [66] |

5. Effects of Trichoderma Metabolites on Soil Non-Pathogenic Fungal Communities

Microbial community structure, biodiversity, and functions are crucial for maintaining agroecosystem sustainability and productivity [67,68]. Very little is known about how Trichoderma interacts with non-pathogenic microbial communities. Trichoderma species secrete numerous cell wall-degrading enzymes such as cellulases, chitinases, glucanases, proteinases, and xylanases, which can substantially degrade the microbial cells (including pathogens) in soil habitats to absorb nutrients and persist longer. Thus, changing or altering the structure and functions of microbial populations, particularly fungal and bacterial communities [69,70].

Secondary metabolites (SMs) are secreted by Trichoderma play significant roles in signaling, developing, and establishing interactions with plants and soil microbes (Table 3).
Trichoderma produces numerous secondary metabolites, such as peptaibols, polypeptides, pyrons, siderophores, steroids, terpenes, etc. [62]. The effectiveness of using Trichoderma in agriculture depends on their metabolic activity and the type of interaction with plants and other microbes. These fungi effectively colonize the plants roots and soil rhizosphere, and produce several metabolites with anti-microbial features [27]. For instance, T. atroviride and T. harzianum have developed different major antibiotics, such as azaphilone, butenolide, harzianolide, hydrazinopyridine, 1-hydroxy-3-methyl-anthraquinone, 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methyl-anthraquinone, and 6-Pentyl pyrone (6-PP) [71,72]. This low molecular weight, non-polar, volatile compounds (i.e., 6-PP) yield a high concentration of antibiotics in the soil environments, which influence the diversity, composition, and functional attributes of the long-distanced soil microbial community. On the other hand, the polar antibiotics and peptaibols affect the production of microbial hyphae within short-distanced ranges [73]. However, the contribution of other secondary metabolites (i.e., pyrones) by Trichoderma and their synergisms with other soil-root associated chemical compounds to beneficial soil microbial communities has not yet been well understood [74–76].

T. koningii producing volatile compounds induced the reduction in resting spore germination of non-pathogenic AM fungi (e.g., G. mosseae) [17]. However, these volatile compounds did not affect the mycelial growth of G. mosseae, affecting the spores produced only in the resting phase. Trichoderma inoculation on mycorrhizal maize plants reduced Azospirillum populations in soil [77]. This operation was only found in natural mycorrhizal plants as compared to non-mycorrhizal control plants. The relative abundance of fungal species was also found in the soil rhizosphere of black pepper (Piper nigrum L.). T. harzianum caused considerable alterations in the metabolic profiles of the black pepper rhizosphere, resulting in a lower number of metabolized compounds; although, absorbance was considerably greater for a specific set of metabolites in which Trichoderma was applied [78].

The treatment with T. harzianum MTCC 5179 altered the structure and functions of fungal communities such as Mortierella verticillata, Oidiodendron maius, Pseudogymnoascus pannorum, Rhizophagus irregularis, Talaromyces stipitatus, and T. harzianum [78]. Significant variations were observed among the fungal communities in the soil rhizosphere due to Trichoderma inoculation. For instance, Gibberella and Phoma were found as the dominant fungal genera, whereas relative abundances of other fungi, such as Monographella, Mortierella, Penicillium, Rhizophlyctis, Sphaerosporella, and Trichoderma, were reduced. Another study showed that after inoculation of Trichoderma, the relative abundance of Trichoderma was 98.41%, including resident Trichoderma species, while the relative abundances of other genera were reduced [79]. In contrast, inoculation of T. atroviride I-1237 resulted in a significant increase in the density of the other soil fungal community [80]. Similarly, T. longibrachiatum inoculation enhanced the capacity of microbial communities utilizing the carbon source that was the highest in rhizosphere soil [81].

6. Effects of Trichoderma Metabolites on Soil Bacterial Communities

Several metabolites released by Trichoderma species have been shown to substantially inhibit the growth of diverse bacterial strains in the tomato rhizosphere. Occasionally, volatile compounds (VCs) produced by indigenous bacterial communities affected the growth of Trichoderma and their secretion of antifungal/antibacterial metabolites. This counter-secretion within the rhizosphere raised the questions of whether these Trichoderma species significantly change the rhizosphere bacterial communities during biocontrol and how the consequent alterations influence soil and plant health. Trichoderma species strongly inhibiting the bacterial population implies that VCs might be used as soil fumigants. However, 373 distinct bacterial strains have been identified in the soil rhizosphere, though the specific activity of these microbes are still unknown in many cases [6,82,83].

Trichoderma has some fundamental functions to stimulate the plant beneficial bacteria to restrict pathogens through different mechanisms (Table 3) [84]. The non-target effects of T. harzianum, Bacillus megaterium, and Pseudomonas fluorescens were found on major
actinomycetes and β-proteobacterial communities in soil rhizosphere [85]. *T. harzianum* and *B. megaterium* significantly increased the population of actinomycetes with greater abundance during the maturity stage of plants. Another study showed that *Trichoderma* inoculant reduced the total soil bacterial population of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* [16]. A study on biodegradation revealed, *T. viride* was inoculated together with a bacterial consortium of 195 strains [86]. After 12 months of observation of the biodegradation process, only 73 bacterial strains were found from the consortium population. *T. viride* proved to exert an antagonistic effect on the bacterial consortium; as a result, the lower relative abundance of bacterial communities was achieved, whereas higher relative abundance of bacterial communities was found in the control treatment. Gasoni et al. [87] found that the application of *T. harzianum* changed a particular group of compounds deferred from the uninoculated control, indicating that the inoculation of *T. harzianum* contributed to the growth of a distinct soil bacterial population, altering the microbial communities in the host rhizosphere.

7. Effects of *Trichoderma* Metabolites on Soil Enzyme Activities

The *Trichoderma* species applied in the crop rhizosphere affected soil enzyme activities. A variety of *Trichoderma* species substantially decreased the activities of β-glucosidase, chitobiosidase, and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) enzymes, thus inducing the plants to respond with their defense mechanisms [16]. These enzymes exhibited the alteration in microbial communities in the soil ecosystem [73] (Table 3). Enzymatic activities occurring in the soil are used to better understand the ecological functions of *Trichoderma* [88]. Several *Trichoderma* species reduced the activity of alkaline phosphatase resulting in the effective control of the soil-borne pathogen (*P. ultimum*). Different degrees of soil enzyme activities significantly inhibit the pathogenic effect of soil-borne fungi [16].

*Trichoderma* inoculation to AM fungi-colonized plants (*G. deserticola*) reduced phosphatase activity [73]. A significant increase in chitinase activity was found in the soil with *Trichoderma* inoculation of natural mycorrhizal fungi-colonized plants (121%) and non-mycorrhizal plants (151%). However, it considerably reduced the enzymatic activity of trehalase by 47%. The imbalance structure of the soil microbial community is the major reason for soil-borne diseases. *Trichoderma* species increase the contact area among the soil microbes and crop rhizosphere because of their strong colonization ability. *Trichoderma* species exhibit hyperparasitism due to their advantages of rapid growth and high vitality. The fungus secretes cell wall-degrading extracellular enzymes, such as cellulases, chitinases, glucanases, proteinases, and xylanases, which enhance the soil enzyme activity to repair soil health [89]. The inoculation of *Trichoderma* increases nutrient availability, nutrient recycling activity, and microbial biomass by degrading microbial cells, thus leading to the improvement of structure and function of the soil microbial community [90,91]. *Trichoderma* inoculation significantly enhances the nutrient contents and enzymatic activity in rhizosphere of *Pinus sylvestris* var. *mongolica* seedlings. *T. virens* ZT05 was proven to have a greater impact as compared to *T. harzianum* E15 on nutrient availability and soil enzymatic activity in the crop rhizosphere [75].

### Table 3. Rhizosphere metabolites secreted by crop roots, *Trichoderma*, and soil microbial communities.

| Metabolites (Enzymes) | *Trichoderma* Strains | Bacteria | Fungi | Host Plant | References |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methylantraquinone | *Trichoderma hamatum* TR1-4 | Bacillus spp. | *Gaemannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*, and *Rhizoctonia solani* | Wheat and Eggplant | [92,93] |
| 1-hydroxy-3-methylantraquinone | *T. harzianum* 2413 | *Pseudomonas* spp. | *Phytophthora capsici* | Pepper | [94] |
| 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methylantraquinone | *T. harzianum* T-22 | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Q8r1-96 | *Gaemannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*, and *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* | Wheat | [95,96] |
Table 3. Cont.

| Metabolites (Enzymes) | Trichoderma Strains | Bacteria | Fungi | Host Plant | References |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| Harzianopyridone      | T. harzianum T-1    | Pseudomonas aureofaciens AB244 | Fusarium oxysporum; Pythium ultimum, and R. solani | Bean and Tomato | [97,98] |
| 6-pentyl-α-pyrones    | T. harzianum 1295-22 | Pseudomonas fluorescens VO61 | R. solani | Creeping bent grass and rice | [99,100] |
| Trichorzianin TA      | T. harzianum Th-87  | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia C3 | R. solani | Eggplant and Tall fescue | [92,101] |
| Trichodermin          | T. harzianum BAFC 742 | Bacillus subtilis GB03 | Sclerotinia sclerotiorum | Soybean | [102] |
| β-1,4 endoglucanase   | T. longibrachiatum CECT 2606 | Serratia plymuthica | P. ultimum | Cucumber | [101,103] |
| 6-pent-1-enyl-α-pyrones | T. viride WT-6    | Bacillus subtilis GB03 | R. solani | Eggplant | [96] |
| 3,4-dihydroxycarotane | T. virens GL-21   | Pseudomonas fluorescens VO61 | P. ultimum and R. solani | Cucumber and pea | [104] |
| 6-Pentyl pyrone (6-PP) | T. virens GL-1, GL-21, GL-23 | Bacillus subtilis BACT-D | R. solani | Eggplant | [92] |
| β-1,4 glucanase       | T. virens GL-3     | Burkholderia cepacia A3R, B. cepacia PHQM 100, Pseudomonas aureofaciens 63-28, and P. aureofaciens AB244 | Fusarium graminearum, Pythium aphanidermatum, and P. ultimum | Barley, Cucumber, Maize, and wheat | [105–109] |

8. Challenges and Future Research Directions

8.1. Efficacy of Trichoderma–Based Bioformulations

The compatibility of Trichoderma–based bioformulations needs to be assessed for integrated disease control approaches. Farmers should be encouraged to use Trichoderma–based formulations for environmentally sustainable disease control. To overcome the drawbacks of biological control techniques due to adverse environmental factors, formulations based on Trichoderma strains can be developed that act employ different mechanisms under both abiotic and biotic stresses in different climate zones. The utilization of microencapsulation technology can improve the effectiveness of Trichoderma–based bioformulations, and thus help to protect the pathogens in the field [34,35]. Furthermore, encapsulation is also capable to extend the shelf life of commercial products. This new technology will allow for the development of more effective pathogen control formulations in the pre- and post-harvest periods [34,35]. It is important to highlight the optimization and adjustments of microencapsulation processes employed to produce viable Trichoderma bioformulations for field applications. In this context, the association of the nanotechnology and biologically active compounds derived from Trichoderma on the surface of the nanoparticles can promote additional benefits for the efficient management of phytopathogens. However, it is a new technology, detailed investigation should be conducted to confirm that these nanoparticles do not have adverse effects on non-target organisms or cause any environmental contamination. Moreover, to commercialize these nanotechnological products obtained by the biogenic synthesis route, it is necessary to establish protocols for the standardization of the preparation of these biocontrol agents, as well as methods for scaling up production processes. There is tremendous potential to develop and commercialize novel products for the biological control of plant pathogens based on the genus Trichoderma, especially considering their applications in sustainable agriculture. In this review, we briefly discussed recently identified few commercial strains from the T. harzianum complex, which have been used to formulate biofungicides. We suggest researchers conduct further research to confirm how these commercial strains are effective in combating phytopathogens. Nowadays, silicon–based nanoparticles are also using in controlling microbes that exist in crop rhizosphere [110]. So, to see how Trichoderma bioformulations perform compared to silicon-based nanoparticles, further investigation needs to be assessed.
8.2. Ecological Challenges of Trichoderma Inoculant in Crop Rhizosphere

Metabolites and antibiotics produced by *Trichoderma* inoculant might affect not only phytopathogens, but also other beneficial or neutral soil microbes. The intensity of such impacts depends upon the inoculation period of biocontrol agents and the concentration of metabolites secreted [76]. The competition for nutrients might also be responsible for the alteration of the microbial population in the soil. The soil enzymatic activities are considered as the indicator for abiotic or biotic stresses with the presence of pathogens increasing their levels. Generally, inoculation of biocontrol agents has been shown to reduce biotic stress (soil pathogens) by decreasing the level of enzyme activities [111]. However, *Trichoderma* inoculant has certain non-target impacts because of the increase in enzymatic activities. It is highly challenging to monitor how bioinoculants affect non-target soil microbes in the rhizosphere and to understand their functions in the soil ecosystem. Future research is also needed to analyze the ecological consequences on non-target microbes, due to the application of bioinoculants into the crop rhizosphere.

8.2.1. Survival Fitness of Microbial Communities in Crop Rhizosphere

Soil microbes have been shown to play an essential role in soil formation, pathogen suppression, and nutrient solubilization and acquisition [112]. Bioinoculation is the most efficient and successful method for manipulating soil microbial communities [113,114]. However, there is very little evidence that these microbes can compete, develop, and operate since they are not reproducible on a long-term basis in natural agricultural soil. Moreover, a wide range of bioinoculants in agricultural fields is readily attacked by many predators and face nutrient competition from native microbes. The effective bioinoculants must be capable of forming interactions with other neighboring microbes, imitating the strongly structured crosslinks found in the native soil rhizosphere. The goal behind this strategy is to introduce beneficial microbial diversity into the plants, which will enhance the plant functions and provide resistance against phytopathogens [115,116]. A systemic approach is required for the successful engineering of soil microbes in the crop rhizosphere. However, knowledge of the basic mechanism of bioinoculants regarding how they are linked with the rhizosphere is important, it and also would improve sustainable crop production simply by enhancing the beneficial symbiotic associations among the plant–soil–microbial communities [117].

8.2.2. *Trichoderma* Affects Chemical Signals in Crop Rhizosphere

Interaction between plants and their associated microbes occurs in the soil rhizosphere through the exchange chemicals signals secreted by inoculated *Trichoderma* [118]. Signaling molecules can influence the metabolomic interactions among the soil microbes in either a positive or a negative way [119]. The interchange of these signaling molecules in *Trichoderma*–plant relationships is complex and not well characterized. However, in recent years, increased attention has been paid to understanding the chemical composition of *Trichoderma*–released secondary metabolites and their impacts on plant biochemical and physiological processes with potential applications in the field. Thus, we suggest researchers investigate how these signaling molecules interchange in *Trichoderma*–plant complex interactions.

8.2.3. *Trichoderma* Challenges Abiotic and Biotic Factors in Crop Rhizosphere

So far, around 100 compounds (e.g., alcohols, alkanes, amines, arenes, esters, phenols, etc.) have been identified in the rhizosphere soil [120]. Therefore, metabolomic interactions in the microbial community imply that soil chemical ecology might play significant roles in establishing biological inoculants and agroecosystem functions under diverse abiotic and biotic environments, such as soil–plant, pathogen–pathogen, microbe–microbe, microbe–pathogen, and indigenous versus non–indigenous inoculant interactions [18,118,120], which require further investigation to ensure introduced microbial inoculants such as *Trichoderma* can contribute in an eco-friendly and efficient manner in an agricultural production system (see soil ecological factors in Figure 2).
Figure 2. Soil ecological challenges and factors (1. Biotic, 2. Abiotic, and 3. Metabolomics) of *Trichoderma* bioinoculants’ survival, establishment, and function in crop rhizosphere.

With the continuous growth of *Trichoderma*-based bioinoculants, we suggest researchers conduct further research to confirm how these commercial inoculants are effective to combat phytopathogens. To understand this multitalented biocontrol agent, further research should be conducted by which *Trichoderma* species can act against several lethal fungi as a potential biocontrol agent rather than minimizing the negative impact of *Trichoderma* on other resident microbes in the rhizosphere. To confirm an acceptable database for safe and sustainable usage of *Trichoderma* for better ecological balance, the extensive applications of any fungal species and their secondary metabolites for biological control management should be assessed. As a result, *Trichoderma* genomes can be a valuable source of candidate genes to produce transgenic or genetically modified plants resulting in improved resistance against abiotic and biotic stresses.

9. Conclusions

The successful establishment of biocontrol inoculants is reliant upon the multitrophic interactions including wide-ranging metabolites in the crop rhizosphere that play a vital role in shaping the microbial population, plant defense responses, and pathogen control. The molecular cross-talk among the contributors and understanding of these entire ecosystem processes would result not only in the safe use of biocontrol inoculants, but also expand our knowledge of the developmental process of soil and plant root diseases and their biocontrol mechanisms. The potentiality of the *Trichoderma* species in controlling soil-borne fungal pathogens is already renowned; however, reviewing this topic, no clear evidence of *Trichoderma* controlling bacterial plant pathogens in the soil rhizosphere has been found, conceivably an area that warrants further investigation. Future experiments on the mechanisms of possible synergistic actions by *Trichoderma*, soil microorganisms (pathogenic and non-pathogenic consortia), and environmental interactions should be performed. This could open up a new door for crop plants adapting to the *Trichoderma* as biological inoculants, minimizing negative impacts or unintended alterations to keystone functional soil microbiomes and crop productivity in diverse agroecosystems.
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