Zonal flow reversals in two-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection
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Using long-time direct numerical simulations, we analyse the reversals of the large scale zonal flow in two-dimensional Rayleigh–Bénard convection with a rectangular geometry of aspect ratio $\Gamma$. We impose periodic and free-slip boundary conditions in the streamwise and spanwise directions, respectively. As Rayleigh number $Ra$ increases, large scale flow dominates the dynamics of a moderate Prandtl number fluid. At high $Ra$, transitions are seen in the probability density function (PDF) of the largest scale mode. For $\Gamma = 2$, the PDF first transitions from a Gaussian to a trimodal behaviour, signifying the emergence of large scale flow reversals, where the flow fluctuates between three distinct states: two states in which a zonal flow travels in opposite directions and one state with no zonal mean flow. Further increase in $Ra$ leads to a transition from a trimodal to a unimodal PDF which demonstrates the disappearance of the zonal flow reversals. On the other hand, for $\Gamma = 1$, the zonal flow reversals are characterised by a bimodal PDF of the largest scale mode, where the flow fluctuates only between two distinct states with zonal flow travelling in opposite directions.

INTRODUCTION

Large scale zonal flow in buoyancy-driven convection is found in the atmosphere of Jupiter [1–3], in the Earth's oceans [4–6], in nuclear fusion devices [7, 8], in laboratory experiments [9–11], and recently in numerical simulations of Rayleigh–Bénard convection [12–15]. This large scale flow can undergo abrupt transitions, seemingly randomly, after very long periods of apparent stability [16, 17].

Such transitions have been observed in a wide range of turbulent flows, including flow past bluff bodies [18, 19], von Kármán flow [20–22], reversals in a dynamo experiment [23], Rayleigh–Bénard convection [24, 25], Taylor–Couette flow [26], experiments on two-dimensional (2D) turbulence [27] and Kolmogorov flow [28]. In the turbulent regime, the broken symmetries of the flow can be restored statistically [29]. However, these flows undergo transitions, which lead to different flow states as a control parameter increases, and correspond to spontaneous symmetry breaking in a system far from equilibrium.

In Rayleigh–Bénard convection, such transitions have also been observed in the form of reversals of the large scale flow in various set-ups [30–32]. In particular, reversals of the large scale circulation (LSC) in an enclosed rectangular geometry have been observed in several experiments and numerical simulations [33–40].

In this article, we report on the reversals of the large scale zonal flow that emerge in 2D Rayleigh–Bénard convection. These transitions occur between long-lived metastable states on a fluctuating background, and thus resemble phase transitions in condensed matter physics [41]. Thus, the present work could be of interest to a wider range of fields beyond fluid dynamics. Moreover, the zonal flow reversals are found in the classical Rayleigh–Bénard convection set-up of a rectangular geometry, with periodic and free-slip boundary conditions in the streamwise and spanwise directions, respectively. On this idealised set-up, the flow field can be decomposed into convenient basis functions, which allow further theoretical development.

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

We adopt the Boussinesq approximation, assuming constant kinematic viscosity $\nu$, and thermal diffusivity $\kappa$. The resulting equations governing 2D Rayleigh–Bénard convection, written in terms of the stream function $\psi(x, y, t)$ and the perturbation $\theta(x, y, t)$ from the steady state temperature, are

$$\psi_t + \nabla^2 (\psi, \nabla^2 \psi) = g \alpha \nabla^2 \theta + \nu \nabla^2 \psi, \quad (1)$$

$$\theta_t + \psi = \frac{\Delta T}{\pi d} \psi_x + \kappa \nabla^2 \theta, \quad (2)$$

where $\{ f, g \} = f_x g_y - g_x f_y$ is the standard Poisson bracket. Our spatial domain is bounded vertically by $y \in [0, \pi d]$ and horizontally by $x \in [0, 2\pi L]$. The imposed boundary conditions are periodic in $x$ and free-slip in the $y$-direction, specifically $\psi(x, y, t) = \psi(x + 2\pi L, y, t)$, $\theta(x, y, t) = \theta(x + 2\pi L, y, t)$ and $\psi = \psi_{yy} = \theta = 0$ at $y = 0, \pi d$. The three non-dimensional parameters are the aspect ratio $\Gamma = 2L/d$, the Prandtl number $Pr = \nu/\kappa$, and the Rayleigh number $Ra = \alpha g \Delta T (\pi d)^3 / (\nu \kappa)$, where $\alpha$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $\Delta T$ is the temperature difference between the top and bottom plates and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. In this study, we fix $Pr = 30$ and consider $\Gamma = 1$ and 2 for $Ra$ ranging from $10^2$ to $10^7$.

We perform direct numerical simulations (DNS) by integrating Eqs. (1) and (2) using the pseudospectral method [42]. Based on the numerical code from [28, 43] we decompose the stream function into basis functions with Fourier modes in the $x$-direction and sine modes in...
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We are interested in quantifying the transitions of the large scale flow as the Rayleigh number is increased. Thus, we consider the largest scale mode \( \psi_{0,1}(t) \), defined as

\[
\hat{\psi}_{0,1}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 L d} \int_0^{2\pi L} \int_0^{\pi d} \psi(x, y, t) \sin(y/d) \, dy \, dx.
\]  

The emergence of \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) spontaneously breaks the centreline symmetry about \( y = \pi d/2 \) to form a zonal mean profile. The onset of the \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) mode is currently being studied in detail and will be reported elsewhere.

**RESULTS**

We first focus on simulations of an anisotropic domain with \( \Gamma = 2 \). Time series of \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) normalised appropriately (using the depth \( \pi d \) and the rms velocity

\[ u_{\text{rms}} = \langle |\nabla \psi|^2 \rangle_x^{1/2} \]  

where \( \langle \rangle \) denotes a spatio-temporal average and their corresponding probability density functions (PDFs) are displayed in Fig. 1 for different values of Ra.

At Ra = 2 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \), the time series is turbulent with the amplitude of \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) fluctuating randomly around the zero mean. This is an example of non-shearing convection as \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) does not break the centreline symmetry in a statistical sense, i.e. \( \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \rangle = 0 \), where \( \langle \rangle \) denotes a time average. The PDF of this time series (blue squares) is close to Gaussian. For non-shearing convection, the resulting flow is characterised by the usual convection rolls.

At Ra = 4.5 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \), the PDF (green diamonds) has three distinct peaks. This follows the first bifurcation where the system transitions from an approximate Gaussian to a trimodal distribution with two symmetric maxima either side of the peak around \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} = 0 \). This behaviour is related to the emergence of two symmetric shearing states, and the time series is characterised by abrupt and random transitions between these two states (i.e. \( \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \rangle > 0 \) and \( \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \rangle < 0 \) and the non-shearing state (i.e. \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \approx 0 \)).

For Ra = 6.4 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) we get random reversals of the large scale flow with a PDF (green circles) which is again trimodal. The system now spends longer intervals in the symmetric shearing states, and the corresponding peaks in the PDF are therefore stronger compared with the peak at \( \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \rangle \approx 0 \). As we keep increasing Ra, the reversals become rarer until we get to a transition where no more large scale flow reversals are observed. This is seen in the case with Ra = 9 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) where the system was never observed to reverse, remaining stuck in one of the shearing states, and the corresponding PDF (red hexagons) is unimodal with a non-zero mean. The PDF for the largest Ra = 9 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) chooses either a positive or negative

\[
\psi(x, y, t) = \sum_{k_x=-N_x/2}^{N_x/2} \sum_{k_y=1}^{N_y} \hat{\psi}_{k_x, k_y}(t) e^{ik_x x/L} \sin(k_y y/d),
\]

where \( \hat{\psi}_{k_x, k_y} \) is the amplitude of the \((k_x, k_y)\) mode of \( \psi \), and \((N_x, N_y)\) denotes the number of aliased modes in the \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions. We decompose \( \psi \) in the same way. A third-order Runge-Kutta scheme is used for time advancement and the aliasing errors are removed with the two-thirds dealiasing rule [44]. For Ra < \( 10^6 \) a resolution of \( N_x = N_y = 128 \) is used, while for Ra \( \geq 10^6 \), we fix the resolution to \( N_x = N_y = 256 \). All our runs were integrated to at least \( 10^4 \) eddy turnover times. Integrations for such very long times are necessary to accumulate reliable statistics for the zonal flow reversals. To verify our findings, some runs were repeated at a finer resolution.

For Ra = 9 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) we get random reversals of the large scale flow with a PDF (green circles) which is again trimodal. The system now spends longer intervals in the symmetric shearing states, and the corresponding peaks in the PDF are therefore stronger compared with the peak at \( \langle \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \rangle \approx 0 \). As we keep increasing Ra, the reversals become rarer until we get to a transition where no more large scale flow reversals are observed. This is seen in the case with Ra = 9 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) where the system was never observed to reverse, remaining stuck in one of the shearing states, and the corresponding PDF (red hexagons) is unimodal with a non-zero mean. The PDF for the largest Ra = 9 \( \times \) \( 10^6 \) chooses either a positive or negative

\[
\psi(x, y, t) = \frac{N_x/2}{N_y} \sum_{k_x=-N_x/2}^{N_x/2} \sum_{k_y=1}^{N_y} \hat{\psi}_{k_x, k_y}(t) e^{ik_x x/L} \sin(k_y y/d),
\]

FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Time series of the normalised large scale mode \( \hat{\psi}_{0,1} \) for \( \Gamma = 2 \) and (b) their corresponding PDFs for different values of Ra.
sider the time-dependent Nusselt number, \( \text{Nu}(t) \). To quantify the instantaneous heat transport, we consider the time-dependent Nusselt number,

\[
\text{Nu}(t) = 1 + \frac{\pi d}{\kappa \Delta T} \langle \theta \psi_x \rangle_x,
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle_x \) denotes a spatial average. Within the regime of zonal flow reversals, we observe significant reduction in the heat transport whilst the system is in a shearing state. Fig. 3 shows instantaneous realisations of the temperature field \( T = \Delta T(1 - y/\pi d) + \theta \) for \( \text{Ra} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \) and \( \Gamma = 2 \). The middle and bottom rows have associated time series for \( \psi_{0,1} \) and \( \text{Nu}(t) \) respectively, with times (a), (b) and (c) annotated.

To understand the flow structure of the different states, in Fig. 2 we plot the zonal mean flow profile

\[
U(y, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi L} \int_0^{2\pi L} \psi_y(x, y, t) \, dx
\]

normalised with \( u_{\text{rms}} \), for the flow with \( \text{Ra} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \). The light coloured curves indicate instantaneous realisations of the zonal mean flow profile at different times. These times correspond to \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle < 0 \) for the light-blue curves, to \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle > 0 \) for the light-red curves and to \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle \approx 0 \) for the light-green curves. The thicker blue, red and green curves are the time averages of the corresponding light-coloured curves. The shear developed in the two shearing states is anti-symmetric with respect to the centreline \( y/\pi d = 1/2 \). When \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle > 0 \), we observe strong eastward and westward moving flow in the upper half \( (1/2 < y/\pi d < 1) \) and lower half \( (0 < y/\pi d < 1/2) \) of the domain respectively. The opposite is true when \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle < 0 \), while there is no time-averaged zonal mean flow when \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle \approx 0 \) even though some instantaneous profiles can be considered having fairly strong shear due to the fluctuations of \( \psi_{0,1} \) around zero. The transition between the two shearing states denotes the reversals of the large scale zonal flow, which occur on a time scale much longer than the eddy turnover time.

To understand the flow structure of the different states, in Fig. 2 we plot the zonal mean flow profile

\[
U(y, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi L} \int_0^{2\pi L} \psi_y(x, y, t) \, dx
\]

comparing the instantaneous realisations of the temperature field \( T = \Delta T(1 - y/\pi d) + \theta \) for \( \text{Ra} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \) and \( \Gamma = 2 \). The middle and bottom rows have associated time series for \( \psi_{0,1} \) and \( \text{Nu}(t) \) respectively, with times (a), (b) and (c) annotated.

FIG. 2: (Color online) Time averaged zonal mean flow profiles when \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle < 0 \) (blue), \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle \approx 0 \) (green) and \( \langle \psi_{0,1} \rangle > 0 \) (red) for \( \text{Ra} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \) and \( \Gamma = 2 \). The light coloured curves represent instantaneous zonal mean flow profiles and the thicker curves are the averages of these.

FIG. 3: (Color online) The top row of figures shows instantaneous realisations of the temperature field \( T = \Delta T(1 - y/\pi d) + \theta \) for \( \text{Ra} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \) and \( \Gamma = 2 \). The middle and bottom rows have associated time series for \( \psi_{0,1} \) and \( \text{Nu}(t) \) respectively, with times (a), (b) and (c) annotated.

where the reversals of the large scale zonal flow, which occur on a time scale much longer than the eddy turnover time.
Rayleigh–Bénard convection, we observe the emergence of a large scale zonal flow, whose dynamics are dominated by the large scale mode $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$. As the Rayleigh number increases, we find large scale flow transitions between long-lived metastable states within the turbulent regime of the system. These transitions are seen in the PDF of the time series of $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$ mode. For aspect ratio $\Gamma = 2$, the PDF transitions first from a Gaussian to a trimodal distribution signifying the onset of reversals between two symmetric shearing states and a non-shearing state. The zonal flow reversals suppress the convective heat transfer as thermal plumes are not able to traverse the layer. Then, as Ra increases further, a second transition occurs from a trimodal to a one-sided unimodal distribution, where reversals cease to exist for the whole duration of the simulation. For $\Gamma = 1$, similar flow transitions are observed but the reversals in this case occur between two symmetric shearing states giving a bimodal PDF for the large scale mode. A similar set of bifurcations on a turbulent background leading to the emergence and disappearance of reversals in the large scale zonal mean flow has been observed in other contexts [28, 45–47], and hence we believe that they are generic.

In this article, we have focused on the parameter range in which the dynamics of zonal flow reversals are observed. The physical mechanism behind the reversals of the large scale zonal flow remains an open question. The truncated Euler equations could be one way to theoretically understand these dynamics further like in [28, 45]. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the mechanism for zonal flow reversals must differ markedly from the one that has been used to explain reversals of the LSC in Rayleigh–Bénard convection in a box geometry with no-slip walls, where the boundary layers [34] and vortex reconnection [37] play a crucial role for reversals. With free-slip walls, it has been suggested that the non-linearity in the temperature equation plays a vital role on the reversals of the LSC [39]. However, buoyancy only does work on the vertical flow, meaning that the dynamics of the $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$ mode partially decouples from the temperature equation since $\partial_z (\hat{\theta}_{0,1} (t) \sin (y/d)) = 0$. This issue, and a wider parameter space, is explored in an ongoing study, which we aim to report in detail in the near future.
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In summary, for a moderate Prandtl number fluid in 2D Rayleigh–Bénard convection, we observe the emergence of a large scale zonal flow, whose dynamics are dominated by the large scale mode $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$. As the Rayleigh number increases, we find large scale flow transitions between long-lived metastable states within the turbulent regime of the system. These transitions are seen in the PDF of the time series of $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$ mode. For aspect ratio $\Gamma = 2$, the PDF transitions first from a Gaussian to a trimodal distribution signifying the onset of reversals between two symmetric shearing states and a non-shearing state. The zonal flow reversals suppress the convective heat transfer as thermal plumes are not able to traverse the layer. Then, as Ra increases further, a second transition occurs from a trimodal to a one-sided unimodal distribution, where reversals cease to exist for the whole duration of the simulation. For $\Gamma = 1$, similar flow transitions are observed but the reversals in this case occur between two symmetric shearing states giving a bimodal PDF for the large scale mode. A similar set of bifurcations on a turbulent background leading to the emergence and disappearance of reversals in the large scale zonal mean flow has been observed in other contexts [28, 45–47], and hence we believe that they are generic.

In this article, we have focused on the parameter range in which the dynamics of zonal flow reversals are observed. The physical mechanism behind the reversals of the large scale zonal flow remains an open question. The truncated Euler equations could be one way to theoretically understand these dynamics further like in [28, 45]. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the mechanism for zonal flow reversals must differ markedly from the one that has been used to explain reversals of the LSC in Rayleigh–Bénard convection in a box geometry with no-slip walls, where the boundary layers [34] and vortex reconnection [37] play a crucial role for reversals. With free-slip walls, it has been suggested that the non-linearity in the temperature equation plays a vital role on the reversals of the LSC [39]. However, buoyancy only does work on the vertical flow, meaning that the dynamics of the $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$ mode partially decouples from the temperature equation since $\partial_z (\hat{\theta}_{0,1} (t) \sin (y/d)) = 0$. This issue, and a wider parameter space, is explored in an ongoing study, which we aim to report in detail in the near future.
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\caption{(Color online) (a) Time series of $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$ for $Ra = 6 \times 10^5$ and $\Gamma = 1$. (b) & (c) Bifurcation diagrams for $\Gamma = 2$ and $\Gamma = 1$, respectively. Error bars show one standard deviation in the time series of $\hat{\psi}_{0,1}$. The non-shearing, shearing and reversing regimes are highlighted by (●), (▲) and (●), respectively.
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