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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the efficacy of permeatal endoscopic myringoplasty, in inactive (mucosal) COM regarding, graft take and hearing improvement.

Study Design: Quasi-experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: ENT Department, Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, from Aug 2019 to Feb 2020.

Methodology: This was conducted on a sample size of n=100. Patients having inactive (mucosal) COM with dry central small, medium and large perforations were included. Patients were divided in to three groups on the basis of age, group A 15-30 years, group B 31-45 years and group C 46-60 years. All the patients underwent per-meatal endoscopic myringoplasty, without raising the tympano-meatal flap, under general anesthesia. Patients were followed up over a period of six months. Graft take was assessed by microscopy. Hearing was assessed by Pure Tone Audiometry (PTA) with improvement in air bone gap to 15db as primary end point. Data was collected on structured performa. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 17.

Results: In this study, 33 (33%) were males and 67 (67%) were females. Age ranged 15-60 years. Out of 5 patients lost to follow up. A total of 95 completed their follow up. Based on age of the patients, in group A, successful graft take was 100%. In group B, it was 91% & in group C it dropped to 46%. On the basis of tympanic membrane perforation size, the graft success rate in small perforations was 94.8%; in medium sized perforations it was 86.8% and in large sized perforations of tympanic membrane it was 61.1%.

Conclusion: Permeatal endoscopic myringoplasty is a reliable, relatively swift procedure with lesser post operative morbidity. It has overall success rate of 81.3%. This technique should be encouraged for further research, to contemplate results on larger sample size.

Keywords: EES, Endoscopic ear surgery, Pop in technique, Permeatal myringoplasty.

How to Cite This Article: Niazi SA, Maqbool S, Riaz Y, Khan Z, Zaman S, Akhtar R. Efficacy of Permeatal Endoscopic Myringoplasty in Inactive (MUCOSAL) Chronic Otitis Media. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2021; 71 (Suppl-3): S552-S555. Doi: https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v111.7915

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Otitis Media (COM) previously called Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media (CSOM) is one of the most common and frequent ear disorder encountered in ENT OPD, especially in developing countries like Pakistan. It can be managed conservatively as well as surgically. A persistent dry ear, for 4-6 weeks can be managed surgically via grafting mainly temporalis fascia, by the surgical technique named myringoplasty. Myringoplasty not only converts the diseased ear into a safe ear, but also improves hearing and quality of life.

Before the advent of Endoscopic Ear Surgery (EES) in 1990s, myringoplasty was a microsurgical procedure, and could be performed by, i.e., per-meatal, end-aural, or post-aural approach. Tympano-meatal flap was raised in all the above approaches. Now, in the era of EES, per-meatal/trans-canal approach is used, tympano-meatal flap is mostly raised & perforation is grafted. Its efficacy can be measured in terms of lesser morbidity, shorter operating time, reduced post operative hospital stay, and the surgical scar for graft harvesting, is small and hides well under the hairline.

We conduct this study to assess the results of graft success with regards to size of perforation and age of patients; in this per-meatal endoscopic technique of myringoplasty, in which the tympano-meatal flap is not raised & temporalis fascia graft is popped-in.

METHODOLOGY

A quasi-experimental study was conducted from August 2019 to February 2020, in ENT Department of Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi. Sample size was n=100; inducted by convenience sampling. Approval was taken from the hospital’s Institutional Review Board. Written consent was obtained from all patients inducted. Data was collected on a structured performa, including demographic details and outcomes of surgery.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients between the ages of 15 and 60, with central small (<25% of the tympanic membrane), medium (more than 25% of tympanic
membrane) and large perforations (up to 50% of the tympanic membrane); discharge free for more than 4-6 weeks were included.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Comprise of patients having total or subtotal tympanic membrane perforations, active mucosal COM, squamous epithelial type of COM, profound sensory-neural hearing loss, gross DNS, any systemic illness like diabetes or hypertension, and extreme of ages.

As per protocol, all patients were admitted to inpatient facility one day prior to surgery, preceded by relevant work up. Thorough ENT examination and microscopic examination (EUM) of the ear and eustachian tube assessment by endoscopy, under local anesthesia was carried out. Pure tone audiometry (PTA) and x-rays of mastoids were also requested. Patients were placed in three groups with respect to age, group A 15-30 years, group B 31-45 years and group C 46-60 years.

Endoscopic per-veal myringoplasty of all included candidates was done under general anesthesia. Temporalsis fascia graft was harvested from 3-4 cm hairline surgical incision. Zero degree rigid endoscopes of 2.7 mm and 4 mm in diameter, and 16-18 cm in length were introduced in the external auditory canal (EAC). Margins of perforation were freshened using postage stamp technique. Middle ear cavity including eustachian tube opening was assessed endoscopically. Gelfoam was filled in the middle ear cavity and graft of appropriate size was tucked in all around the tympanic membrane remnant. We named it as ‘Pop-in Technique’. EAC was packed with dry gelfoam, and mastoid dressing applied. Postoperatively intravenous antibiotics cover for minimum of 3 days was given and then oral antibiotics prescribed for another 4 days. Follow up was planned at the end of first, 6th and 12th weeks post operatively. On each visit, EUM of operated ear was carried out to access the graft take. Pot operative hearing was assessed by PTA with improvement in air bone gap up to 15db as primary end point. Persistence of perforation and no improvement in hearing were taken as failed outcome. Data was analyzed using SPSS-17 and the outcome of endoscopic per-veal myringoplasty was the dependant variable. Quantitative variables expressed as mean with ± SD, whereas qualitative data was expressed in percentage and frequencies. $p$-value was generated using chi-square.

**RESULTS**

Study was conducted on 100 patients, with the female preponderance of n=67 (67%) whereas only 33% were males. Age range was from 15-60 years, with mean of 35.95 ± 12.4. Out of 5 patients lost to follow up (one from group A, two each from groups B & C). A total of 95 completed their three months follow up. In group A, 34 patients (100%) had a successful graft take. In group B, 40 cases (91%) out of n=44 had a successful graft take, while only 4 (9%) cases were failure. In group C, 7 out of 15 (46%) cases had a successful graft take and remaining n=8 (53%) had graft failure. (Figure-1).

**DISCUSSION**

Inactive (mucosal) COM has been classified by WHO as Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media (CSOM). In this type of COM, pars tensa has a permanent perforation but the mucosa of middle ear cleft is not inflamed. The patients usually present with hearing loss and a central dry perforation.
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Tymanoplasty refers to the eradication of the disease and grafting of the tympanic membrane and/or reconstruction of the ossicular chain if necessary. Myringoplasty entails tympanoplasty without ossicular reconstruction. Myringoplasty was first mentioned in history in 1878, but was properly documented by German surgeons latter in 1952. Horst Wullstein wrote proper classification of middle ear repair in 1958. He also introduced operating microscope, a transformative event in the ear surgery. Objectives of myringoplasty are essentially to restore the integrity of tympanic membrane and to improve hearing. Myringoplasty is an established procedure and performed by various approaches and techniques. Underlay technique using temporalis fascia or sometimes perichondrium, by end/post-aural approach is most commonly practiced. However, the quest is to improve the results, operating time and postoperative recovery period, by analyzing novel otological surgical techniques.

Endoscopic myringoplasty has been introduced for in this endeavor, since 1990s. There was one earlier report in 1992 of endoscopic myringoplasty from El-Guindy. Endoscopes allow for enhanced surgical visualization; and have transformed EAC into an operative gateway. The advantages of Endoscopic Ear Surgery (EES) include, wider view, enhanced imaging & magnification, minimally invasive technique with better ability to perform reconstructive procedures, shorter operating time as compared to microscope assisted surgery, lesser complications and rapid post operative recovery translating into lesser hospital stay. EES has become an emerging avenue that has gathered a significant amount of interest. The endoscopic surgery can be applied in a spectrum of otological procedures such as grommet insertion, all five types of tympanoplasty, ossicular reconstruction, cholesteroloma clearance, stapedectomy and cochlear implant surgery.

Graft placement can either be medial or lateral to tympanic membrane remanents. Medial grafting, also known as the underlay technique, is most commonly practiced. This technique involves raising a tympanomeatal flap through a canal incision and raising the annulus and tympanic membrane remnants. Graft is then slipped between the bony EAC & the raised tympanomeatal flap. Gel foam is already tucked in the middle ear cavity, to keep the graft lateralized. The graft can be positioned either medial or lateral to the handle of maleus making no difference. Materials used for grafting can be temporalis muscle fascia, tragal perichondrium, tragal or conchal cartilage and even allografts.

In our study, we resorted to EES, for inactive (mucosal) COM, a technique not commonly mentioned in literature. Rigid endoscopes, 2.7 mm, 0° and 16-18 cm in length were used. The technique is unique in a way that the tympano-meatal flap is not raised and tucking in the temporal fascia graft all around the deep epithelialized margins of the tympanic membrane perforation (Figure-2). EES is mostly advocated in cases of small size tympanic perforations with wide EAC. However, in our study small, medium and large perforations irrespective of EAC circumference were grafted. Permeatal/trans-canal endoscopic myringoplasty, without raising the tympano-meatal flap (Pop-in Technique) though difficult, due to lesser space of maneuverability in EAC; has proved to be an efficacious technique with lesser morbidity, shorter operative time & post operative hospital stay, cosmetically superior with a small scar in the hairline. Occam’s razor encourages the procedure which is simpler, swift & carries lesser morbidity.

We were able to establish an inverse relation of graft take with age of patients & size of perforation. Ears with small perforation had 94.8% graft take. Next was medium sized perforation with a graft take of 86.8%. While large perforations showed only 61.1% success in graft takes. Our results are comparable to the study conducted in Egypt, by Ahmed El- Guindy in 1992, who gave graft success rate of 91%.

With an overall success rate of graft take 81.3%, our Pop-in Technique stands comparable to the conventional microscope assisted myringoplasty using underlay technique. In 2001, Raj and Mehar reported a success rate of 90%. Likewise, later in 2015, Patel et al,
reported a comparable success rate in patients undergoing endoscopic transcanal tympanoplasty.15

Our study had a few limitations. Sample size (n=100) was not large enough to yield more reliable results, yet we were able to establish generalized results for our target population. A comparative study with microscopic tympanoplasty would have more varied results. The Pop-in technique though very less discussed in literature, was adopted by the senior author, in 2006, and managed to publish an article of his experience in 2013.16 So forth, we believe that this study will encourage the otologists of endoscopic era to work and adopt better approaches for ear surgery and thus generate even better results. Otoendoscopy is the future of myringoplasty and tympanoplasty.17 Endoscopic ear surgery has super added benefit of being less invasive as compare to microscopic myringoplasty.18

CONCLUSION

Permeatal endoscopic myringoplasty (Pop in technique) is a reliable, relatively swift procedure with lesser post operative morbidity especially in small and medium sized perforation. It has overall success rate of 81.3%. This technique should be encouraged for further research, to contemplate results on larger sample size.
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