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ABSTRACT

Direct speech-to-speech translation (S2ST) with discrete units leverages recent progress in speech representation learning. Specifically, a sequence of discrete representations derived in a self-supervised manner are predicted from the model and passed to a vocoder for speech reconstruction, while still facing the following challenges: 1) Acoustic multimodality: the discrete units derived from speech with same content could be indeterministic due to the acoustic property (e.g., rhythm, pitch, and energy), which causes deterioration of translation accuracy; 2) high latency: current S2ST systems utilize autoregressive models which predict each unit conditioned on the sequence previously generated, failing to take full advantage of parallelism. In this work, we propose TranSpeech, a speech-to-speech translation model with bilateral perturbation. To alleviate the acoustic multimodal problem, we propose bilateral perturbation (BiP), which consists of the style normalization and information enhancement stages, to learn only the linguistic information from speech samples and generate more deterministic representations. With reduced multimodality, we step forward and become the first to establish a non-autoregressive S2ST technique, which repeatedly masks and predicts unit choices and produces high-accuracy results in just a few cycles. Experimental results on three language pairs demonstrate that BiP yields an improvement of 2.9 BLEU on average compared with a baseline textless S2ST model. Moreover, our parallel decoding shows a significant reduction of inference latency, enabling speedup up to 21.4x than autoregressive technique. }

1 INTRODUCTION

Speech-to-speech translation (S2ST) aims at converting speech from one language into speech in another, significantly breaking down communication barriers between people not sharing a common language. Among the conventional method (Lavie et al., 1997; Nakamura et al., 2006; Wahlster, 2013), the cascaded system of automatic speech recognition (ASR), machine translation (MT), or speech-to-text translation (S2T) followed by text-to-speech synthesis (TTS) have demonstrated reasonable results yet suffering from expensive computational costs. Compared to these cascaded systems, recently proposed direct S2ST literature (Jia et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020; Jia et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021a,b) demonstrate the benefits of lower latencies as fewer decoding stages are needed. Among them, Lee et al. (2021a,b) leverage recent progress on self-supervised discrete units learned from unlabeled speech for building textless S2ST systems, further supporting translation between unwritten languages. As illustrated in Figure 1(a), the unit-based textless S2ST system consists of
In modern textless speech-to-speech translation (S2ST), our goal is mainly two-fold: 1) high quality: direct S2ST is challenging, especially without using the transcription. 2) low latency: high inference speed is essential when considering real-time translation. However, the current development of the unit-based textless S2ST system is hampered by two major challenges: 1) It is challenging to achieve high translation accuracy due to the acoustic multimodality (as illustrated in the orange dotted box in Figure 1(b)): different from the language tokens (e.g., bpe) used in the text translation, the self-supervised representation derived from speech with the same content could be different due to a variety of acoustic conditions (e.g., speaker identity, rhythm, pitch, and energy), including both linguistic content and acoustic information. As such, the indeterministic training target for speech-to-unit translation fails to yield good results; and 2) Building a parallel model upon multimodal S2ST systems with reasonable accuracy is challenging as it introduces further indeterminacy. A non-autoregressive (NAR) S2ST system generates all tokens in parallel without any limitation of sequential dependency, making it a poor approximation to the actual target distribution. With the acoustic multimodality unsettled, the parallel decoding approaches increasingly burden S2ST capturing the distribution of target translation.

In this work, we propose TranSpeech, a fast speech-to-speech translation model with bilateral perturbation. To tackle the acoustic multimodal challenge, we propose a Bilateral Perturbation (BiP) technique that fine tunes a self-supervised speech representation learning model with CTC loss to generate deterministic representation agnostic to acoustic variation. Based on preliminary speech analysis by decomposing a signal into linguistic and acoustic information, the bilateral perturbation consists of the 1) style normalization stage, which eliminates the acoustic-style information in speech and creates the style-agnostic "pseudo text" for finetuning; and 2) information enhancement stage, which applies information bottleneck to create speech samples variant in acoustic conditions (i.e., rhythm, pitch, and energy) while preserving linguistic information. The proposed bilateral perturbation guarantees the speech encoder to learn only the linguistic information from acoustic-variant speech samples, significantly reducing the acoustic multimodality in unit-based S2ST.

The proposed bilateral perturbation eases acoustic multimodality and makes it possible for NAR generation. As such, we further step forward and become the first to establish a NAR S2ST technique, which repeatedly masks and predicts unit choices and produces high-accuracy results in just a few cycles. Experimental results on three language pairs demonstrate that BiP yields an improvement of 2.9 BLEU on average compared with baseline textless S2ST models. The parallel decoding algorithm requires as few as 2 iterations to generate samples that outperformed competing systems, enabling a speedup by up to 21.4x compared to the autoregressive baseline. TranSpeech further enjoys a speed-performance trade-off with advanced decoding choices, including multiple iterations, length beam, and noisy parallel decoding, trading by up to 3 BLEU points in translation results. The main contributions of this work include:

- Through preliminary speech analysis, we propose bilateral perturbation which assists in generating deterministic representations agnostic to acoustic variation. This novel technique alleviates the acoustic multimodal challenge and leads to significant improvement in S2ST.

Figure 1: 1) Acoustic multimodality: Speech with the same content "Vielen dank" could be different due to a variety of acoustic conditions; 2) Linguistic multimodality ([Gu et al., 2017] [Wang et al., 2019]): There are multiple correct target translations ("Danke schon" and "Vielen dank") for the same source word/phrase/sentence ("Thank you").

A speech-to-unit translation (S2UT) model followed by a unit-based vocoder that converts discrete units to speech, leading to a significant improvement over previous literature.
• We step forward and become the first to establish a non-autoregressive S2ST technique with a mask-predict algorithm to speed up the inference procedure. To further reduce the linguistic multimodality in NAR translation, we apply the knowledge distillation technique and construct a less noisy and more deterministic corpus.

• Experimental results on three language pairs demonstrate that BiP yields the promotion of 2.9 BLEU on average compared with baseline textless S2ST models. In terms of inference speed, our parallel decoding enables speedup up to 21.4x compared to the autoregressive baseline.

2 BACKGROUND: DIRECT SPEECH-TO-SPEECH TRANSLATION

Direct speech-to-speech translation has made huge progress to date. Translatotron (Jia et al., 2019) is the first direct S2ST model and shows reasonable translation accuracy and speech naturalness. Translatotron 2 (Jia et al., 2021) utilizes the auxiliary target phoneme decoder to promote translation quality but still needs phoneme data during training. UWSpeech (Zhang et al., 2020) builds the VQ-VAE model and discards transcript in the target language, while paired speech and phoneme corpora of written language are required.

Most recently, a direct S2ST system (Lee et al., 2021a) takes advantage of self-supervised learning (SSL) and demonstrates the results without using text data. However, the majority of SSL models are trained by reconstructing (Chorowski et al., 2019) or predicting unseen speech signals (Chung et al., 2019), which would inevitably include factors unrelated to the linguistic content (i.e., acoustic condition). As such, the indeterministic training target for speech-to-unit translation fails to yield good results. The textless S2ST system (Lee et al., 2021b) further demonstrates to obtain the speaker-invariant representation by finetuning the SSL model to disentangle the speaker-dependent information. However, this system only constrains speaker identity, and the remaining aspects (i.e., content, rhythm, pitch, and energy) are still lumped together.

At the same time, various approaches that perturb information flow to fine-tune acoustic models have demonstrated efficiency in promoting downstream performance. A line of works (Yang et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2022) utilizes the pre-trained encoder and introduces approaches that reprogram acoustic models in downstream tasks. For multi-lingual tuning, Yen et al. (2021) propose a novel adversarial reprogramming approach for low-resource spoken command recognition (SCR). Sharing a common insight, we tune a pre-trained acoustic model with bilateral perturbation technique and generates more deterministic units agnostic to acoustic conditions, including rhythm, pitch, and energy. Following the common textless setup in Figure 1(a), we design a challenging NAR S2ST technique especially for applications requiring low latency. More details have been attached in Appendix A.

3 SPEECH ANALYSIS AND BILATERAL PERTURBATION

3.1 ACOUSTIC MULTIMODALITY

As reported in previous textless S2ST system (Lee et al., 2021b), speech representations predicted by the self-supervised pre-trained model include both linguistic and acoustic information. As such, derived representations of speech samples with the same content can be different due to the acoustic variation, and the indeterministic training target for speech-to-unit translation (as illustrated in Figure 1(a)) fails to yield good results. To address this multimodal issue, we conduct a preliminary speech analysis and introduce the bilateral perturbation technique. More details on how indeterminacy units influence S2ST have been attached in Appendix C.

3.2 SPEECH ANALYSIS

In this part, we decompose speech variations into linguistic content and acoustic condition (e.g., speaker identity, rhythm, pitch, and energy) and provide a brief primer on each of these components.

Linguistic Content represents the meaning of speech signals. To translate a speech sample to another language, learning the linguistic information from the speech signal is crucial; Speaker Identity is perceived as the voice characteristics of a speaker. Rhythm characterizes how fast the speaker utters
Figure 2: In subfigure(a), we use $RR$ and $F$ to respectively denote the random resampling and a chain function for random pitch shifting. In subfigure(b), the "sinusoidal-like symbol" denotes the positional encoding, we have $N_b$ encoder and decoder blocks. During training, we randomly select the masked position and compute the cross-entropy loss (denoted as "CE").

each syllable, and the duration plays a vital role in acoustic variation; **Pitch** is an essential component of intonation, which is the result of a constant attempt to hit the pitch targets of each syllable; **Energy** affects the volume of speech, where stress and tone represent different energy values.

### 3.3 Bilateral Perturbation

To alleviate the multimodal problem and increase the translation accuracy in the S2ST system, we propose bilateral perturbation that disentangles the acoustic variation and generates deterministic speech representations according to the linguistic content. Specifically, we leverage the success of connectionist temporal classification (CTC) finetuning [Baevski et al., 2019] with a pre-trained speech encoder, using the perturbed input speech and normalized target. Since how to obtain speaker-invariant representation has been well-studied (Lee et al., 2021b; Hsu et al., 2020), we focus on the more challenging acoustic conditions in a single-speaker scenario, including rhythm, pitch, and energy variations.

#### 3.3.1 Overview

Denote the domain of speech samples by $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and the perturbed speeches in style normalization and information enhancement by $\hat{S}$, $\tilde{S}$ respectively. The source language is therefore a sequence of speech samples $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{N'}\}$, where $N'$ is the number of frames in source speech. The SSL model is composed of a multi-layer convolutional feature encoder $f$ which takes as input raw audio $S$ and outputs discrete latent speech representations. In the end, the audio in the target language is represented as discrete units $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$, where $N$ is the number of units. The overview of the information flow is shown in Figure 2(a), and we consider tackling the multimodality in bilateral sides for CTC finetuning, including 1) **style normalization** stage to eliminate the acoustic information in the CTC target and create the acoustic-agnostic "pseudo text"; and 2) **information enhancement** stage which applies bottleneck on acoustic features to create speech samples variant in acoustic conditions (e.g., rhythm, pitch, and energy) while preserving linguistic content information. In the final, we train an ASR model using the perturbed speech $\hat{S}$ as input and the "pseudo text" as the target. As a result, according to speeches with acoustic variation, the ASR model with CTC decoding is encouraged to learn the "average" information referring to linguistic content and generate deterministic representations, significantly reducing multimodality and promoting speech-to-unit translation. In the following subsections, we present the bilateral perturbation technique in detail:

#### 3.3.2 Style Normalization

To create the acoustic-agnostic "pseudo text" for CTC finetuning, the acoustic-style information should be eliminated and disentangled: 1) We first compute the averaged pitch fundamental frequency $p$ and energy $\bar{e}$ values in original dataset $S$; and 2) for each sample in $S$, we conduct pitch shifting to
and normalize its energy to $\tau$, resulting in a new dataset $S$ with the averaged acoustic condition, where the style-specific information has been eliminated; finally, 3) the self-supervised learning (SSL) model encodes $S$ and creates the normalized targets for CTC finetuning.

3.3.3 Information Enhancement

According to the speech samples with different acoustic conditions, the ASR model is supposed to learn the deterministic representation referring to linguistic content. As such, we apply the following functions as information bottleneck on acoustic features (e.g., rhythm, pitch, and energy) to create highly acoustic-variant speech samples $\hat{S}$, while the linguistic content remains unchanged, including 1) formant shifting $fs$, 2) pitch randomization $pr$, 3) random frequency shaping using a parametric equalizer $peq$, and 4) random resampling $RR$.

- For rhythm information, random resampling $RR$ divides the input into segments of random lengths, and we randomly stretch or squeeze each segment along the time dimension.
- For pitch information, we apply the chain function $F = fs(pr(peq(S)))$ to randomly shift the pitch value of original speech $S$.
- For energy information, we perturb the audio in the waveform domain.

The perturbed waveforms $\hat{S}$ are highly variant on acoustic features (i.e., rhythm, pitch, and energy) while preserving linguistic information. It guarantees the speech encoder to learn the "acoustic-averaged" information referring to linguistic content and generate deterministic representations. The hyperparameters of the perturbation functions have been included in Appendix E.

4 TransSpeech

The S2ST pipeline has been illustrated in Figure 2(a), we 1) use the SSL HuBERT [Hsu et al., 2021] tuned by BiP to derive discrete units of target speech; 2) build the sequence-to-sequence model TranSpeech for speech-to-unit translation (S2UT) and 3) apply a separately trained unit-based vocoder to convert the translated units into waveform.

In this section, we first overview the encoder-decoder architecture for TranSpeech, following which we introduce the knowledge distillation procedure to alleviate the linguistic multimodal challenges. Finally, we present the mask-predict algorithm in both training and decoding procedures and include more advanced decoding choices.

4.1 Architecture

The overall architecture has been illustrated in Figure 2(b), and we put more details on the encoder and decoder block in Appendix F.

Conformer Encoder. Different from previous textless S2ST literature [Lee et al., 2021b], we use conformer blocks [Gulati et al., 2020] in place of transformer blocks [Vaswani et al., 2017]. The conformer model [Guo et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021] has demonstrated its efficiency in combining convolution neural networks and transformers to model both local and global dependencies of audio in a parameter-efficient way, achieving state-of-the-art results on various downstream tasks. Furthermore, we employ the multi-head self-attention with a relative sinusoidal positional encoding scheme from Transformer-XL [Dai et al., 2019], which promotes the robustness of the self-attention module and generalizes better to different utterance lengths.

Non-autoregressive Unit Decoder. Currently, S2ST systems utilize the autoregressive S2UT models and suffer from high inference latency. Given the $N'$ frames source speech $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{N'}\}$, autoregressive model $\theta$ factors the distribution over possible outputs $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{N'}\}$ by $p(Y | X; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N'+1} p(y_{i} | y_{0:t-1}, x_{1:N';t}; \theta)$, where the special tokens $y_0(\langle bos\rangle)$ and $y_{N+1}(\langle eos\rangle)$ are used to represent the beginning and end of all target units.

Unlike the relatively well-studied non-autoregressive (NAR) MT [Gu et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2019; Ghazvininejad et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2023], building NAR S2UT models that generate units in parallel could be much more challenging due to the joint linguistic and acoustic
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multimodality. Yet the proposed bilateral perturbation eases this acoustic multimodality and makes it possible for NAR modeling. As such, we further step forward and become the first to establish a NAR S2ST model $\theta$.

It assumes that the target sequence length $N$ can be modeled with a separate conditional distribution $p_L$, and the distribution becomes $p(Y \mid X; \theta) = p_L(T \mid x_{1:N}; \theta) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(y_i \mid x_{1:N}; \theta)$. The target units are conditionally independent of each other, and the individual probabilities $p$ is predicted for each token in $Y$. Since the length of target units $N$ should be given in advance, TranSpeech predicts it by pooling the encoder outputs into a length predictor.

### 4.2 Linguistic Multimodality

As illustrated in Figure 1(b), there might be multiple valid translations for the same source utterance, and thus this linguistic multimodality degrades the ability of NAR models to properly capture the target distribution. To alleviate this linguistic multimodality in NAR translation, we apply knowledge distillation to construct a sampled translation corpus from an autoregressive teacher, which is less noisy and more deterministic than the original one. The knowledge of the AR model is distilled to the NAR model, assisting to capture the target distribution for better accuracy.

### 4.3 Mask-Predict

The NAR unit decoder applies the mask-predict algorithm [Ghazvininejad et al., 2019] to repeatedly reconsider unit choices and produce high-accuracy translation results in just a few cycles.

**Training.** During training, the target units are given conditioned on source speech sample $X$ and the unmasked target units $Y_{\text{obs}}$. As illustrated in Figure 2(b), given the length $N$ of the target sequence, we first sample the number of masked units from a uniform distribution $n \sim \text{Unif}(\{1, \ldots, N\})$, and then randomly choose the masked position. For the learning objective, we compute the cross-entropy (CE) loss with label smoothing between the generated and target units in masked places, and the CE loss for target length prediction is further added.

**Decoding.** In inference, the algorithm runs for pre-determined $T$ times of iterative refinement, and we perform a mask operation at each iteration, followed by predict.

In the first iteration $t = 0$, we predict the length $N$ of target sequence and mask all units $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$. In the following iterations, we mask $n$ units with the lowest probability scores $p$:

$$Y_{\text{mask}}^t = \arg\min_{i} (p_i, n) \quad Y_{\text{obs}}^t = Y \setminus Y_{\text{mask}}^t,$$

where $n$ is a function of the iteration $t$, and we use linear decay $n = N \cdot \frac{T-t}{T-1}$ in this work.

After masking, TranSpeech predicts the masked units $Y_{\text{mask}}^t$ conditioned on the source speech $X$ and unmasked units $Y_{\text{obs}}$. We select the prediction with the highest probability $p$ for each $y_i \in Y_{\text{mask}}$ and update its probability score accordingly:

$$y_i^t = \arg\max_w P(y_i = w \mid X, Y_{\text{obs}}^t; \theta) \quad p_i^t = \max_w P(y_i = w \mid X, Y_{\text{obs}}^t; \theta)$$

### 4.4 Advanced Decoding Choices

**Target Length Beam.** It has been reported [Ghazvininejad et al., 2019] that translating multiple candidate sequences of different lengths can improve performance. As such, we select the top $K$ length candidates with the highest probabilities and decode the same example with varying lengths in parallel. In the following, we pick up the sequence with the highest average log probability as our result. It avoids distinctly increasing the decoding time since the computation can be batched.

**Noisy Parallel Decoding.** The absence of the AR decoding procedure makes it more difficult to capture the target distribution in S2ST. To obtain the more accurate optimum of the target distribution and compute the best translation for each fertility sequence, we use the autoregressive teacher to identify the best overall translation.
5 Experiments

5.1 Experimental Setup

Following the common practice in the direct S2ST pipeline, we apply the publicly-available pretrained multilingual HuBERT (mHuBERT) model and unit-based HiFi-GAN vocoder [Polyak et al., 2021; Kong et al., 2020] and leave them unchanged.

Dataset. For a fair comparison, we use the benchmark CVSS-C dataset [Jia et al., 2022], which is derived from the CoVoST 2 [Wang et al., 2020b] speech-to-text translation corpus by synthesizing the translation text into speech using a single-speaker TTS system. To evaluate the performance of the proposed model, we conduct experiments on three language pairs, including French-English (Fr-En), English-Spanish (En-Es), and English-French (En-Fr).

Model Configurations and Training. For bilateral perturbation, we finetune the publicly-available mHuBERT model for each language separately with CTC loss until 25k updates using the Adam optimizer ($\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.98, \epsilon = 10^{-8}$). Following the practice in textless S2ST [Lee et al., 2021b], we use the k-means algorithm to cluster the representation given by the well-tuned mHuBERT into a vocabulary of 1000 units. TranSpeech computes 80-dimensional mel-filterbank features at every 10-ms for the source speech as input, and we set $N_b$ to 6 in encoding and decoding blocks. In training the TranSpeech, we remove the auxiliary tasks for simplification and follow the unwritten language scenario. TranSpeech is trained until convergence for 200k steps using 1 Tesla V100 GPU. A comprehensive table of hyperparameters is available in Appendix B.

Evaluation and Baseline models. For translation accuracy, we pre-train an ASR model to generate the corresponding text of the translated speech and then calculate the BLEU score [Papineni et al., 2002] between the generated and the reference text. In decoding speed, latency is computed as the time to decode the single n-frame speech sample averaged over the test set using 1 V100 GPU.

We compare TranSpeech with other systems using the publicly-available fairseq framework [Ott et al., 2019], including 1) Direct ASR, where we transcribe S2ST data with open-sourced ASR as reference and compute BELU; 2) Direct TTS, where we synthesize speech samples with target units, and then transcribe the speech to text and compute BELU; 3) S2T+TTS cascaded system, where we train the S2T basic transformer model [Wang et al., 2020a] and then apply TTS model [Ren et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2020] for speech generation; 4) basic transformer [Lee et al., 2021a] without using text, and 5) basic norm transformer [Lee et al., 2021b] with speaker normalization.

5.2 Translation Accuracy and Speech Naturalness

Table 1 summarizes the translation accuracy and inference latency among all systems, and we have the following observations: 1) Bilateral perturbation (3 vs. 4) improves S2ST performance by a large margin of 2.9 BLEU points. The proposed techniques address acoustic multimodality by disentangling the acoustic information and learning linguistic representation given speech samples, which produce more deterministic targets in speech-to-unit translation. 2) Conformer architecture (2 vs. 3) shows a 2.2 BLEU gain in translation accuracy. It combines convolution neural networks and transformers as joint architecture, exhibiting outperformed ability in learning local and global dependencies of an audio. 3) Knowledge distillation (6 vs. 7) is demonstrated to alleviate the linguistic multimodality where training on the distillation corpus provides a distinct promotion of around 1 BLEU points. For speech quality, we attach evaluation in Appendix D. When considering the speed-performance trade-off in the NAR unit decoder, we find that more iterative cycles (7 vs. 8), or advanced decoding methods (e.g., length beam (8 vs. 9) and noisy parallel decoding (9 vs. 10)) further lead to an improvement of translation accuracy, trading up to 1.5 BLEU points during decoding. In comparison with baseline systems, TranSpeech yields the highest BLEU scores than the best publicly-available direct S2ST baselines (2 vs. 6) by a considerable margin; in fact, only 2 mask-predict iterations (see Figure 3(b)) are necessary for achieving a new SOTA on textless S2ST.

5.3 Decoding Speed

We visualize the relationship between the translation latency and the length of input speech in Figure 3(a). As can be seen, the autoregressive baselines have a latency linear in the decoding
Table 1: Translation quality (BLEU scores (↑)) and inference speed (frame/second (↑)) comparison with baseline systems. We set beam size to 5 in autoregressive decoding, and apply 5 iterative cycles in NAR naive decoding. †: In this work, we remove the auxiliary task (e.g., source and target CTC, auto-encoding) in training the S2ST system for simplification. Though the S2ST system can be further improved with the auxiliary task, this is beyond our focus. BiP: Bilateral Perturbation; NPD: noisy parallel decoding; b: length beam in NAR decoding.

| ID | Model                                           | BiP | Fr-En | En-Fr | En-Es | Speed | Speedup |
|----|------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1  | Basic Transformer (Lee et al., 2021a)†          | ✓   | 15.44 | 15.28 | 10.07 | 870   | 1.00×   |
| 2  | Basic Norm Transformer (Lee et al., 2021b)†     | ✓   | 15.81 | 15.93 | 12.98 |       |         |
| 3  | Basic Conformer                                 | ✓   | 18.02 | 17.07 | 13.75 |       |         |
| 4  | Basic Conformer                                 | ✓   | 22.39 | 19.65 | 14.94 | 895   | 1.02×   |

Non-autoregressive models with naive decoding

| 5  | TranSpeech - Distill                            | ✓   | 14.86 | 14.12 | 10.27 |       |         |
| 6  | TranSpeech - Distill                            | ✓   | 16.23 | 15.9  | 10.94 | 9610  | 11.04×  |
| 7  | TranSpeech                                     | ✓   | 17.24 | 16.3  | 11.79 |       |         |

Non-autoregressive models with advanced decoding

| 8  | TranSpeech (iter=15)                            | ✓   | 18.03 | 16.97 | 12.62 | 4651  | 5.34×   |
| 9  | TranSpeech (iter=15 + b=15)                     | ✓   | 18.10 | 17.05 | 12.70 | 2394  | 2.75×   |
| 10 | TranSpeech (iter=15 + b=15 + NPD)               | ✓   | 18.39 | 17.50 | 12.77 | 2208  | 2.53×   |

Cascaded systems

| 11 | S2T + TTS                                       | /   | 27.17 | 34.85 | 32.86 |       |         |
| 12 | Direct ASR                                      | /   | 71.61 | 50.92 | 68.75 |       |         |
| 13 | Direct TTS                                      | /   | 82.41 | 76.87 | 83.69 |       |         |

Figure 3: The translation latency is computed as the time to decode the n-frame speech sample, averaged over the test set using 1 NVIDIA V100. b: length beam. NPD: noisy parallel decoding.

length. At the same time, NAR TranSpeech is nearly constant for typical lengths, even with multiple cycles of mask-predict iterative refinement. We further illustrate the versatile speed-performance trade-off for NAR decoding in Figure 3(b). TranSpeech enables a speedup up to 21.4x compared to the autoregressive baseline. On the other, it could alternatively retain the highest quality with BELU 18.39 while gaining a 253% speedup.

5.4 Case Study

We present several translation examples sampled from the Fr-En language pair in Table 2 and have the following findings: 1) Models trained with original units suffer severely from the issue of noisy and incomplete translation due to the indeterministic training targets, while with the bilateral perturbation brought in, this multimodal issue is largely alleviated; 2) the advanced decoding methods lead to a distinct improvement in translation accuracy. As can be seen, the results produced by the TranSpeech
Table 2: **Two examples comparing translations produced by TranSpeech and baseline models.**

We use the bond fonts to indicate the the issue of **noisy and incomplete translation.**

| Source: | l’origine de la rue est liée à la construction de la place rihour. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target: | the origin of the street is linked to the construction of rihour square. |
| Basic Conformer: | the origin of the street is linked to the construction of the. |
| TranSpeech: | th origin of the seti is linked to the construction of the rear. |
| TranSpeech+BiP: | the origin of the street is linked to the construction of the ark. |
| TranSpeech+BiP+Advanced: | the origin of the street is linked to the construction of the work. |

| Source: | il participe aux activités du patronage laïque et des pionniers de saint-ouen. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target: | he participates in the secular patronage and pioneer activities of saint ouen. |
| Basic Conformer: | he participated in the activities of the late patronage a d see. |
| TranSpeech: | he takes in the patronage activities in of saint. |
| TranSpeech+BiP: | he participated in the activities of the lake patronage and say pointing |
| TranSpeech+BiP+Advanced: | he participated in the activities of the wake patronage and saint pioneers |

with advanced decoding (more iterations and NPD), while of a similar quality to those produced by the autoregressive basic conformer, are noticeably more literal.

5.5 **Ablation Study**

We conduct ablation studies to demonstrate the effectiveness of several detailed designs in this work, including the bilateral perturbation and the conformer architecture in TranSpeech. The results have been presented in Table 3 and we have the following observations: 1) Style normalization and information enhancement in bilateral perturbation both demonstrate a performance gain, and they work in a joint effort to learn deterministic representations, leading to improvements in translation accuracy. 2) Replacing the relative positional encoding in the self-attention layer by the vanilla one (Vaswani et al., 2017) witnesses a distinct degradation in translation accuracy, demonstrating the outperformed capability of modeling both local and global audio dependencies brought by architecture designs.

| ID | Model | PE | Fr-En | En-Fr | En-Es |
|----|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|
| 1  | Basic Conformer | Relative | 18.02 | 17.07 | 13.75 |
| 2  | Basic Conformer + IE | Relative | 21.98 | 19.60 | 14.91 |
| 3  | Basic Conformer + SN | Relative | 21.54 | 18.53 | 13.97 |
| 4  | Basic Conformer | Absolute | 17.23 | 16.19 | 13.06 |

Table 3: **Ablation study results.** SN: style normalization; IE: information enhancement; PE: positional encoding.

In this work, we propose TranSpeech, a speech-to-speech translation model with bilateral perturbation. To tackle the acoustic multimodal issue in S2ST, the bilateral perturbation, which included style normalization and information enhancement, had been proposed to learn only the linguistic information from acoustic-variant speech samples. It assisted in generating deterministic representation agnostic to acoustic conditions, significantly reducing the acoustic multimodality and making it possible for non-autoregressive (NAR) generation. As such, we further stepped forward and became the first to establish a NAR S2ST technique. TranSpeech took full advantage of parallelism and leveraged the mask-predict algorithm to generate results in a constant number of iterations. To address linguistic multimodality, we applied knowledge distillation by constructing a less noisy sampled translation corpus. Experimental results demonstrated that BiP yields an improvement of 2.9 BLEU on average compared with a baseline textless S2ST model. Moreover, TranSpeech showed a significant improvement in inference latency, which required as few as 2 iterations to generate outperformed samples, enabling a sampling speed of up to 21.4x faster than the autoregressive baseline. We envisage that our work will serve as a basis for future textless S2ST studies.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 62222211, National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No.2020YFC0832505, Zhejiang Electric Power Co., Ltd. Science and Technology Project No.5211YF22006 and Yiwise.

REFERENCES

Alexei Baevski, Michael Auli, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. Effectiveness of self-supervised pre-training for speech recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.03912, 2019.

Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:12449–12460, 2020.

Nanxin Chen, Shinji Watanabe, Jesús Villalba, Piotr Żelasko, and Najim Dehak. Non-autoregressive transformer for speech recognition. IEEE Signal Processing Letters, 28:121–125, 2020.

Sanyuan Chen, Yu Wu, Zhuo Chen, Jian Wu, Jinyu Li, Takuya Yoshioka, Chengyi Wang, Shujie Liu, and Ming Zhou. Continuous speech separation with conformer. In ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 5749–5753. IEEE, 2021.

Hyeong-Seok Choi, Juheon Lee, Wansoo Kim, Jie Lee, Hoon Heo, and Kyogu Lee. Neural analysis and synthesis: Reconstructing speech from self-supervised representations. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34, 2021.

Jan Chorowski, Ron J Weiss, Samy Bengio, and Aäron Van Den Oord. Unsupervised speech representation learning using wavenet autoencoders. IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing, 27(12):2041–2053, 2019.

Yu-An Chung, Wei-Ning Hsu, Hao Tang, and James Glass. An unsupervised autoregressive model for speech representation learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.03240, 2019.

Chenye Cui, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Feiyang Chen, Rongjie Huang, Ming Lei, and Zhou Zhao. Emovie: A mandarin emotion speech dataset with a simple emotional text-to-speech model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09317, 2021.

Chenye Cui, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Rongjie Huang, and Zhou Zhao. Varietysound: Timbre-controllable video to sound generation via unsupervised information disentanglement. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.10666, 2022.

Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Quoc V Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Transformer-xl: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.02860, 2019.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018.

Heting Gao, Junrui Ni, Kaizhi Qian, Yang Zhang, Shiyu Chang, and Mark Hasegawa-Johnson. Wavprompt: Towards few-shot spoken language understanding with frozen language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15863, 2022.

Marjan Ghazvininejad, Omer Levy, Yinhan Liu, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Mask-predict: Parallel decoding of conditional masked language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09324, 2019.

Jiatao Gu, James Bradbury, Caiming Xiong, Victor OK Li, and Richard Socher. Non-autoregressive neural machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.02281, 2017.

Jiatao Gu, Changhan Wang, and Junbo Zhao. Levenshtein transformer. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 2019.
Anmol Gulati, James Qin, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Niki Parmar, Yu Zhang, Jiahui Yu, Wei Han, Shib Wang, Zhengdong Zhang, Yonghui Wu, et al. Conformer: Convolution-augmented transformer for speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08100*, 2020.

Pengcheng Guo, Florian Boyer, Xuankai Chang, Tomoki Hayashi, Yosuke Higuchi, Hirofumi Inaguma, Naoyuki Kamo, Chenda Li, Daniel Garcia-Romero, Jiatong Shi, et al. Recent developments on espnet toolkit boosted by conformer. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 5874–5878. IEEE, 2021.

Wei-Ning Hsu, David Harwath, Christopher Song, and James Glass. Text-free image-to-speech synthesis using learned segmental units. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15454*, 2020.

Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:3451–3460, 2021.

Rongjie Huang, Feiyang Chen, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Zhou Zhao. Multi-singer: Fast multi-singer singing voice vocoder with a large-scale corpus. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 3945–3954, 2021.

Rongjie Huang, Chenye Cui, Feiyang Chen, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Zhou Zhao, Baoxing Huai, and Zhefeng Wang. Singgan: Generative adversarial network for high-fidelity singing voice generation. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 2525–2535, 2022a.

Rongjie Huang, Max WY Lam, Jun Wang, Dan Su, Dong Yu, Yi Ren, and Zhou Zhao. Fastdiff: A fast conditional diffusion model for high-quality speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.09934*, 2022b.

Rongjie Huang, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Zhou Zhao. Generspeech: Towards style transfer for generalizable out-of-domain text-to-speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07211*, 2022c.

Rongjie Huang, Zhou Zhao, Huadai Liu, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Yi Ren. Prodiff: Progressive fast diffusion model for high-quality text-to-speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.06389*, 2022d.

Ye Jia, Ron J Weiss, Fadi Biadsy, Wolfgang Macherey, Melvin Johnson, Zhifeng Chen, and Yonghui Wu. Direct speech-to-speech translation with a sequence-to-sequence model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.06037*, 2019.

Ye Jia, Michelle Tadmor Ramanovich, Tal Remez, and Roi Pomerantz. Translatotron 2: Robust direct speech-to-speech translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.08661*, 2021.

Ye Jia, Michelle Tadmor Ramanovich, Quan Wang, and Heiga Zen. Cvss corpus and massively multilingual speech-to-speech translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.03713*, 2022.

Jungil Kong, Jaehyeon Kim, and Jaekyoung Bae. Hifi-gan: Generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:17022–17033, 2020.

Max WY Lam, Jun Wang, Rongjie Huang, Dan Su, and Dong Yu. Bilateral denoising diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.11514*, 2021.

Alon Lavie, Alex Waibel, Lori Levin, Michael Finke, Donna Gates, Marsal Gavalda, Torsten Zeppenfeld, and Puming Zhan. Janus-iii: Speech-to-speech translation in multiple languages. In *1997 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 1, pp. 99–102. IEEE, 1997.

Ann Lee, Peng-Jen Chen, Changhan Wang, Jiatao Gu, Xutai Ma, Adam Polyak, Yossi Adi, Qing He, Yun Tang, Juan Pino, et al. Direct speech-to-speech translation with discrete units. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05604*, 2021a.
Ann Lee, Hongyu Gong, Paul-Ambroise Duquenne, Holger Schwenk, Peng-Jen Chen, Changhan Wang, Sravya Popuri, Juan Pino, Jiatao Gu, and Wei-Ning Hsu. Textless speech-to-speech translation on real data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.08352*, 2021b.

Zhijie Lin, Zhou Zhao, Haoyuan Li, Jinglin Liu, Meng Zhang, Xingshan Zeng, and Xiaofei He. Simulr: Simultaneous lip reading transducer with attention-guided adaptive memory. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 1359–1367, 2021.

Satoshi Nakamura, Konstantin Markov, Hiromi Nakaiwa, Gen-ichiro Kikui, Hisashi Kawai, Takatoshi Jitsuhori, J-S Zhang, Hirofumi Yamamoto, Eiichiro Sumita, and Seiichi Yamamoto. The atr multilingual speech-to-speech translation system. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 14(2):365–376, 2006.

Myle Ott, Sergey Edunov, Alexei Baevski, Angela Fan, Sam Gross, Nathan Ng, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. fairseq: A fast, extensible toolkit for sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01038*, 2019.

Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 311–318, 2002.

Adam Polyak and Lior Wolf. Attention-based wavenet autoencoder for universal voice conversion. In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 6800–6804. IEEE, 2019.

Adam Polyak, Yossi Adi, Jade Copet, Eugene Kharitonov, Kushal Lakhotia, Wei-Ning Hsu, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Emmanuel Dupoux. Speech resynthesis from discrete disentangled self-supervised representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00355*, 2021.

Kaizhi Qian, Yang Zhang, Shiyu Chang, Mark Hasegawa-Johnson, and David Cox. Unsupervised speech decomposition via triple information bottleneck. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 7836–7846. PMLR, 2020.

Kaizhi Qian, Yang Zhang, Shiyu Chang, Jinjun Xiong, Chuang Gan, David Cox, and Mark Hasegawa-Johnson. Global prosody style transfer without text transcriptions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 8650–8660. PMLR, 2021.

Yi Ren, Chenxu Hu, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. Fastspeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04558*, 2020.

Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.

Wolfgang Wahlster. *Verbmobil: foundations of speech-to-speech translation*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

Changhan Wang, Yun Tang, Xutai Ma, Anne Wu, Dmytro Okhonko, and Juan Pino. fairseq s2t: Fast speech-to-text modeling with fairseq. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference of the Asian Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL): System Demonstrations*, 2020a.

Changhan Wang, Anne Wu, and Juan Pino. Covost 2 and massively multilingual speech-to-text translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.10310*, 2020b.

Yiren Wang, Fei Tian, Di He, Tao Qin, ChengXiang Zhai, and Tie-Yan Liu. Non-autoregressive machine translation with auxiliary regularization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pp. 5377–5384, 2019.

Yan Xia, Zhou Zhao, Shangwei Ye, Yang Zhao, Haoyuan Li, and Yi Ren. Video-guided curriculum learning for spoken video grounding. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 5191–5200, 2022.

Bang Yang, Fenglin Liu, and Yuexian Zou. Non-autoregressive video captioning with iterative refinement. 2019.
Chao-Han Huck Yang, Yun-Yun Tsai, and Pin-Yu Chen. Voice2series: Reprogramming acoustic models for time series classification. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 11808–11819. PMLR, 2021.

Dongchao Yang, Songxiang Liu, Jianwei Yu, Helin Wang, Chao Weng, and Yuexian Zou. Norespeech: Knowledge distillation based conditional diffusion model for noise-robust expressive tts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.02448*, 2022a.

Dongchao Yang, Jianwei Yu, Helin Wang, Wen Wang, Chao Weng, Yuexian Zou, and Dong Yu. Diffsound: Discrete diffusion model for text-to-sound generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.09983*, 2022b.

Dongchao Yang, Songxiang Liu, Rongjie Huang, Guangzhi Lei, Chao Weng, Helen Meng, and Dong Yu. Instructts: Modelling expressive tts in discrete latent space with natural language style prompt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13662*, 2023.

Zhenhui Ye, Zhou Zhao, Yi Ren, and Fei Wu. Syntaspeech: Syntax-aware generative adversarial text-to-speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11792*, 2022.

Zhenhui Ye, Ziyue Jiang, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Jinzheng He, and Zhou Zhao. Geneface: Generalized and high-fidelity audio-driven 3d talking face synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13430*, 2023.

Hao Yen, Pin-Jui Ku, Chao-Han Huck Yang, Hu Hu, Sabato Marco Siniscalchi, Pin-Yu Chen, and Yu Tsao. A study of low-resource speech commands recognition based on adversarial reprogramming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.03894*, 2021.

Aoxiong Yin, Zhou Zhao, Jinglin Liu, Weike Jin, Meng Zhang, Xingshan Zeng, and Xiaofei He. Simulslt: End-to-end simultaneous sign language translation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 4118–4127, 2021.

Aoxiong Yin, Zhou Zhao, Weike Jin, Meng Zhang, Xingshan Zeng, and Xiaofei He. Mslt: Towards multilingual sign language translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5109–5119, 2022.

Aoxiong Yin, Tianyun Zhong, Li Tang, Weike Jin, Tao Jin, and Zhou Zhao. Gloss attention for gloss-free sign language translation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023*, Vancouver, Canada, June 17-23, 2023. IEEE, 2023.

Chen Zhang, Xu Tan, Yi Ren, Tao Qin, Kejun Zhang, and Tie-Yan Liu. Uwspeech: Speech to speech translation for unwritten languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07926*, 59:132, 2020.

Jie Zhang, Chen Chen, Bo Li, Lingjuan Lyu, Shuang Wu, Shouhong Ding, Chunhua Shen, and Chao Wu. Dense: Data-free one-shot federated learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.

Jie Zhang, Bo Li, Jianghe Xu, Shuang Wu, Shouhong Ding, Lei Zhang, and Chao Wu. Towards efficient data free black-box adversarial attack. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 15115–15125, 2022a.

Jie Zhang, Bo Li, Chen Chen, Lingjuan Lyu, Shuang Wu, Shouhong Ding, and Chao Wu. Delving into the adversarial robustness of federated learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09479*, 2023a.

Zijian Zhang, Zhou Zhao, and Zhijie Lin. Unsupervised representation learning from pre-trained diffusion probabilistic models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022b.

Zijian Zhang, Zhou Zhao, Jun Yu, and Qi Tian. Shiftddpms: Exploring conditional diffusion models by shifting diffusion trajectories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.02373*, 2023b.
Appendices

TranSpeech: Speech-to-Speech Translation With Bilateral Perturbation

A RELATED WORK

A.1 SELF-SUPERVISED REPRESENTATION LEARNING

There has been an increasing interest in self-supervised learning in the machine learning (Zhang et al., 2022a; Lam et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023b; 2022b) and multimodal processing community (Xia et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., Huang et al., 2022b). Wav2Vec 2.0 (Baevski et al., 2020) trains a convolutional neural network to distinguish true future samples from random distractor samples using a contrastive predictive coding (CPC) loss function. HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021) is trained with a masked prediction with masked continuous audio signals. The majority of self-supervised representation learning models are trained by reconstructing (Chorowski et al., 2019) or predicting unseen speech signals (Chung et al., 2019), which would inevitably include factors unrelated to the linguistic content (i.e., acoustic condition).

A.2 PERTURBATION-BASED SPEECH REPROGRAMMING

Various approaches that perturb information flow in acoustic models have demonstrated the efficiency in promoting downstream performance: SpeechSplit (Qian et al., 2020), AutoPST (Qian et al., 2021), and NANSY (Choi et al., 2021) perturb the speech variations during the analysis stage to encourage the synthesis stage to use the supplied more stable representations. Voice2Series (Yang et al., 2021) introduces a novel end-to-end approach that reprograms pre-trained acoustic models for time series classification by input transformation learning and output label mapping. Wavprompt (Gao et al., 2022) utilizes the pre-trained audio encoder as part of an ASR to convert the speech in the demonstrations into embeddings digestible to the language model. For multi-lingual tuning, Yen et al. (2021) propose a novel adversarial reprogramming approach for low-resource spoken command recognition (SCR), which repurposes a pre-trained SCR model to modify the acoustic signals. In this work, we propose the bilateral perturbation technique with style normalization and information enhancement to perturb the acoustic conditions in speech.

A.3 NON-AUTOREGRESSIVE SEQUENCE GENERATION

An autoregressive model (Lin et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2021; 2022) takes in a source sequence and then generates target sentences one by one with the causal structure during the inference process. It prevents parallelism during inference, and thus the computational power of GPU cannot be fully exploited. To reduce the inference latency, Gu et al. (2017) introduces a non-autoregressive (NAR) transformer-based approach with explicit word fertility, and identifies the multimodality problem of linguistic information between the source and target language. Ghazvininejad et al. (2019) introduced the masked language modeling objective from BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) to non-autoregressively predict and refine translations. Besides the study of neural machine translation, many works bring NAR model into other sequence-to-sequence tasks (Cui et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2022c; Yang et al., 2022b), such as video caption (Yang et al., 2019), speech recognition (Chen et al., 2020) and speech synthesis (Ye et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022d; Yang et al., 2023). In contrast, we focus on non-autoregressive generation in direct S2ST, which is relatively overlooked.

B MODEL ARCHITECTURES

In this section, we list the model hyper-parameters of TranSpeech in Table 4.
C IMPACT OF INDETERMINISTIC TRAINING TARGET

To visualize the acoustic multimodality and demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed bilateral perturbation, we apply the information bottleneck on acoustic features (i.e., rhythm, pitch, and energy) to create perturbed speech samples $\hat{S}_r$, $\hat{S}_p$, $\hat{S}_e$, respectively. We further plot the spectrogram and pitch contours of the original and acoustic-perturbed samples in Figure 5 in Appendix F. The unit error rate (UER) is further adopted as an evaluation matrix to measure the undeterminacy and multimodality according to acoustic variation, and we have the following observations: 1) In the pre-trained SSL model, the acoustic dynamics result in UERs by up to 22.7% (in rhythm), indicating the distinct alteration of derived representations. The pre-trained SSL model learns both linguistic and acoustic information given speech, and thus the units derived from speech with the same content can be indeterministic; however, 2) with the proposed bilateral perturbation (BiP), a distinct drop of UER (in energy) by up to 82.8% could be witnessed, demonstrating the efficiency of BiP in producing deterministic representations referring to linguistic content.

| Acoustic | Pretrained | BiP-Tuned |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Reference| 0.0        | 0.0       |
| Rhythm $\hat{S}_r$ | 22.7 | 10.2 |
| Pitch $\hat{S}_p$ | 16.3 | 4.3 |
| Energy $\hat{S}_e$ | 10.5 | 1.8 |

Table 5: We calculate UER between units derived from original and perturbed speeches respectively using the pre-trained and fine-tuned SSL model, which is calculated averaged over the dataset. It measures the ability of the SSL model to generate acoustic-agnostic representations referring to linguistic content.

D EVALUATION ON SPEECH QUALITY

Following the publicly-available implementation fairseq [Ott et al., 2019], we include the SNR as an evaluation matrix to measure the speech quality across the test set. We approximate the noise by subtracting the output of the enhancement model from the input-noisy speech and then compute the SNR between the two. Further, we conduct crowd-sourced human evaluations with MOS, rated from 1 to 5 and reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI). For easy comparison, the results are compiled and presented in the following table:

As illustrated in Table 6, TranSpeech has achieved the SNR and MOS with scores of 46.56 and 4.03 competitive with the baseline systems. Since we apply the publicly-available pre-trained unit
Table 6: Speech quality (SNR(↑) and MOS(↑)) comparison with baseline systems.

| Method        | SNR ↑ | MOS ↑ |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| Translation GT| /     | 4.22±0.06 |
| DirectS2ST    | 46.45 | 4.01±0.07 |
| TextlessS2ST  | 47.22 | 4.05±0.06 |
| TranSpeech    | 46.56 | 4.03±0.06 |

vocoder and leave it unchanged for unit-to-speech, we expect our model to exhibit high-quality speech generation as baseline models while achieving a significant improvement in translation accuracy.

E INFORMATION ENHANCEMENT

We apply the following functions (Qian et al. 2020; Choi et al. 2021) on acoustic features (e.g., rhythm, pitch, and energy) to create acoustic-perturbed speech samples $\hat{S}$, while the linguistic content remains unchanged, including 1) formant shifting $f_s$, 2) pitch randomization $p_r$, 3) random frequency shaping using a parametric equalizer $peq$, and 4) random resampling $RR$. As shown in Figure 4, we further illustrate the mel-spectrogram of the single-perturbed utterance in bilateral perturbation.

- For $f_s$, a formant shifting ratio is sampled uniformly from $\text{Unif}(1, 1.4)$. After sampling the ratio, we again randomly decided whether to take the reciprocal of the sampled ratio or not.
- In $p_r$, a pitch shift ratio and pitch range ratio are sampled uniformly from $\text{Unif}(1, 2)$ and $\text{Unif}(1, 1.5)$, respectively. Again, we randomly decide whether to take the reciprocal of the sampled ratios or not. For more details for formant shifting and pitch randomization, please refer to Parselmouth [https://github.com/YannickJadoul/Parselmouth](https://github.com/YannickJadoul/Parselmouth).
- $peq$ represents a serial composition of low-shelving, peaking, and high-shelving filters. We use one low-shelving HLS, one high-shelving HHS, and eight peaking filters HPeak.
- $RR$ denotes a random resampling to modify the rhythm. The input signal is divided into segments, whose length is randomly uniformly drawn from 19 frames to 32 frames (Polyak & Wolf 2019). Each segment is resampled using linear interpolation with a resampling factor randomly drawn from 0.5 to 1.5.

F VISUALIZATION OF ACOUSTIC-PERTURBED SPEECH SAMPLES
Figure 4: Spectrogram and pitch contours of the utterance with the single-perturbed acoustic condition, remaining the linguistic content ("really interesting work will finally be undertaken on that topic") unchanged. RR: random resampling. $F$: a chain function $F = fs(pr(peq(x)))$ for random pitch shifting.

Figure 5: Spectrogram and pitch contours of speech sample with the perturbed acoustic condition, remaining the linguistic content ("really interesting work.") unchanged. The altered units are printed in red upside the spectrogram.