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Abstract

Background: Blood pressure (BP) is the major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases and is the leading cause of death in the US. The objective of this study was to determine the effectiveness of nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods coupled with E-nutrition counseling on BP in patients with hypertension.

Methods: Forty-one adults (17 males, 24 females) with a medical diagnosis of hypertension and elevated BP (BP >120/80mm Hg) were recruited. Subjects consumed three nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods daily for 8 weeks. The foods included 25-35% of the Daily Value (DV) for all nutrients (including potassium) except for sodium and chloride. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) BP and body weight were measured weekly by participants and self-reported. Quality of life was assessed using a validated questionnaire (SF-12), which measured physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component scores.

Results: Baseline mean age, BMI and BP of study participants were 57±7 years, 32.8±5.4kg/m² and 146±18/89±8mm Hg SBP/DBP, respectively. BP decreased by 11.4/11.2mm Hg (SBP/DBP, p<0.05) compared to baseline, after 8 weeks of the dietary intervention. Body weight and BMI decreased by 3.9% (p<0.05) and 1.7kg/m² (p<0.05), respectively. PCS and MCS scores improved (p<0.05) after 8 weeks of dietary intervention.

Conclusion: BP and body weight decreased significantly, and quality of life indicators improved after 8 weeks of a dietary intervention with nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods coupled with E-nutrition counseling. The improved BP reported in this study is comparable to Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet and reported efficacy of anti-hypertensive drugs.
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Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) is the major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases including stroke, myocardial infarction and heart failure [1]. It is the most common chronic condition affecting 46% of adults in the US [1,2]. The cost of treating hypertension is more than 50 billion dollars and continues to rise [3]. Even a modest decrease in blood pressure (BP) can significantly reduce the risk for stroke and cardio-vascular diseases [4]. Dietary modification and increased physical activity are the most important lifestyle intervention strategies recommended as the first line of treatment for elevated BP [2]. Among the dietary factors, it is well established that high sodium intake of >4g/day is associated with elevated BP [5,6]. Additionally, evidence shows that inadequate potassium intake was associated with elevated BP [5,7]. Clinical guidelines for BP management recommend limiting dietary sodium intake to 2.3g/day or less and consuming adequate diet potassium intake to meet the Daily Value (DV) of 4.7g/day for adults to control BP [2]. Further, weight management is an important factor contributing to BP reduction [2,8].
To date, the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet is the most effective diet therapy for BP management and prevention of HTN [2,9,10]. The DASH diet is high in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, low-fat dairy, and limits saturated fats and high sodium foods [9]. While evidence clearly supports the benefits of diet therapy for BP control in patients at risk or with HTN, practitioners are not clear on the effectiveness of dietary modification as a treatment option for HTN. Furthermore, compliance with drug and diet therapy is generally less than optimal, with only 53% of adult patients with hypertension able to maintain BP control within the targeted range [2].

Dietary strategies to improve diet quality and compliance with BP management therapies are clearly needed. Functional foods improve diet quality and can be incorporated easily based on individual food preferences. These are foods enhanced with bioactive ingredients and have demonstrated health benefits [11]. Functional foods usually have demonstrated beneficial physiological effects in the prevention, management, and/or treatment of chronic disease. Functional foods can come from conventional foods, or from the addition of a bioactive constituent (e.g., vitamins or minerals) to conventional foods. Thus, nutrient-dense, functional foods with added essential nutrients that are inadequately consumed in the typical western diet (e.g., potassium, vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids), can be an effective strategy to optimize BP management. The objective of the present study was to determine the effectiveness of a dietary intervention with nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods coupled with E-nutrition counseling based on DASH dietary guidelines on systolic and diastolic blood pressure and on quality of life in patients with HTN and/or elevated BP.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

Adult men and women aged 50 years or older with a BP of greater than 120/80 mm Hg were recruited using the social media platforms of Instagram and Facebook. Adults with hypertension related to congenital conditions and/or with a history of heart failure or stroke were excluded from the study.

**Study design**

In this interventional study, the participants consumed three nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods daily for 8 weeks. The packaged nutrient-dense foods were provided free of cost. Participants were encouraged to consume one snack and/or one meal of their own choice in addition to the three nutrient-dense foods, adhering to the DASH diet principles. Each week, participants measured BP and body weight, and completed a general quality of life questionnaire; this information was provided electronically to participants before starting the study.

**Diet**

Each nutrient-dense, portion controlled, functional food provided to the participants included 25-35% DV for all essential nutrients, including potassium, vitamin D and other micronutrients, except for sodium and chloride; and 25% of the Adequate Intakes (AI) or more for omega-3 fatty acids. All foods were low in saturated fat and added sugar (<10g/serving). The nutrient content of the functional foods consumed (data not shown) was provided to the participants before starting the study.

**BP**

Participants self-measured morning and evening systolic (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) on two separate days each week using the BP monitor provided at no cost (Medline MDS 4001, Mundelin, IL) and self-reported weekly. Detailed written and video instructions for measuring BP were provided before the initiation of the study and additional assistance was provided by video conferencing, as needed. Most participants were familiar with self-monitoring BP.

**Anthropometrics**

Body weight was measured weekly and waist circumference was measured at baseline, weeks 4 and 8, using a measuring tape provided free of charge, by the participant and self-reported. Disease risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, and type 2 diabetes was determined using body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference [12]. Waist circumference greater than 102 cm for men and 88 cm for women is classified as high risk for CVD [12].

**SF-12 questionnaire**

Participants completed the validated SF-12 questionnaire at baseline, weeks 4 and 8. The SF-12 questionnaire tool was designed to assess quality of life in adults with hypertension [13]. This questionnaire measures eight domains on quality of life and provides two summary scores namely, physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary scores (PCS). The SF-12 data compare the score of each participant against the mean score of the reference population. SF-12 questionnaire data was analyzed using Optum® SF-12v2 (Eden Prairie, MN).

**General Questionnaire**

Each week, participants answered questions about their quality of life. Questions probed were general feeling, fullness, mood, energy level, any gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms, sleep quality, appearance and overall diet quality. Each was rated using a scale of one to five, with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst. The percentage change between the mean at baseline and week 8 was calculated. Additionally, participants also provided information on the major foods consumed and physical activity weekly.

**E-Nutrition counseling**

E-nutrition counseling based on the AHA's recommended DASH dietary guidelines was provided by a registered dietitian nutritionist and/or a nutrition health coach via text, email, phone, or video conferencing weekly. Additionally, participants were provided individual counseling as needed.
Table 1: Background characteristics of study participants.

| Number of participants completing the study | 41 |
| Gender | 57.1±6.0 |
| Males % (N) | 41% (17) |
| Females % (N) | 59% (24) |
| Weight (kg) (mean±SD) | 93.8±17.9 |
| Body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) (mean±SD) | 32.8±5.4 |
| BMI classification [% and (N) of participants] | 5% (2) |
| Normal (<18.5kg/m²) | 27% (11) |
| Overweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) | 36% (15) |
| Obese I (30.0-34.9kg/m²) | 20% (8) |
| Obese II (35.0-39.9kg/m²) | 12% (5) |
| Obese III (≥40kg/m²) | 12% (5) |
| Waist Circumference (cm) (mean±SD) | 104.1±11.9 |
| Males | 98.5±11.8 |
| Females | 12% (5) |
| Disease risk based on BMI and waist circumference | 5% (2) |
| No risk | 12% (5) |
| Increased | 34% (14) |
| High | 37% (15) |
| Very high | 12% (5) |
| Extremely high | |
| Blood pressure (BP) mm Hg (mean±SD) | 145.5±17.6 |
| Systolic BP** | 89.2±7.7 |
| Diastolic BP*** | |
| BP risk status | 0% (0) |
| [ % and (N) of participants] | 7% (3) |
| Normal (<120/80mmHg) | 22% (9) |
| Elevated (120-129/<80mmHg) | 71% (29) |
| Stage I Hypertension (130-139/or 80-89mmHg) | |
| Stage II Hypertension (≥140/or ≥90mmHg) | |
| Antihypertensive medications | 83% (34) |
| Yes | 17% (7) |
| No | 12% (5)

*N=41; **Average of morning and evening systolic blood pressure; ***Average of morning and evening diastolic blood pressure.

Compliance

83% of participants reported excellent compliance consuming 3 nutrient-dense, functional foods daily as required by the dietary intervention protocol. 10% reported consuming two to three functional foods daily, and 7% reported consuming 1 or none of the functional foods through the course of the study.

Changes in BP

Systolic and diastolic BP improved significantly (p<0.0001) after 8 weeks of dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods and E-nutrition counseling compared to baseline (Table 2). Average BP (SBP/DBP) decreased significantly (p<0.001) by 8.8/7.4mm Hg after 4 weeks and decreased (p<0.0001) by 11.4/11.2mm Hg after 8 weeks of dietary intervention, compared to baseline (Figure 1). Overall, systolic and diastolic BP improved in 76% and 83% of the participants, respectively, after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Changes in BP risk status is shown in Table 3. Percentage of participants with stage II hypertension declined from 71% at baseline to 27% after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Among the participants who had stage II HTN at baseline, 32% improved to stage I, 15% improved to elevated, and 2% improved to normal. Additionally, among the participants who had stage I HTN at baseline, 5% improved to elevated and 2% improved to normal.

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Data at baseline, week 4 and week 8 were used for analysis. Shapiro Wilk test was used to test the normality assumption. Changes in BP and quality of life indicators over 8 weeks were assessed by non-parametric Friedman repeated measures test and post-hoc Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Changes in anthropometric measures were analyzed using repeated measures analysis of variance and post-hoc paired t-test. Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between change in body weight, waist circumference, and BP reduction after 8 weeks of intervention. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS® software (IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

Sixty eligible participants initially enrolled in the study, following rigorous pre-screening and 41 (68%) of participants completed the study. Among the 19 (32%) who discontinued without completing the study, 5 were due to mild GI symptoms (gas, bloating), 3 were related to a hospitalization not related to the study, 1 was due to not liking the flavor/consistency of the nutrient dense foods, and 10 discontinued without communicating and giving a reason for discontinue the study.

Baseline characteristics

The mean age of the study population was 57.1 ± 6.0 years (Table 1). The mean BMI was 32.8 ±5.4kg/m². The average BP (SBP/DBP) was 145.5 ±17.6/89.2±7.7mm Hg. At baseline, 9 subjects (22%) and 29 (71%) had stage I and stage II HTN, respectively; and 73% had obesity (BMI≥30kg/m²). The average BP (SBP/DBP) was 145.5±17.6/89.2±7.7mm Hg. At baseline, 9 subjects (22%) and 29 (71%) had stage I and stage II HTN, respectively; and 73% had obesity (BMI≥30kg/m²). Additionally, among the participants who had stage I HTN at baseline, 32% improved to stage II, 15% improved to elevated, and 2% improved to normal. Among the participants who had stage II HTN at baseline, 32% improved to stage I, 15% improved to elevated, and 2% improved to normal. Among the participants who had stage II HTN at baseline, 32% improved to stage I, 15% improved to elevated, and 2% improved to normal. Additionally, among the participants who had stage I HTN at baseline, 5% improved to elevated and 2% improved to normal.
Table 2: Changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) at baseline, and 4 and 8 weeks after dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods*.

| Blood Pressure (BP; mmHg) | Baseline        | Week 4          | Week 8          |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Average Systolic BP**     | 145.5±17.6a     | 136.9±14.2b     | 134.2±11.8a     |
| Morning Systolic BP       | 146.7±20.0a     | 137.1±15.7b     | 134.7±14.0a     |
| Evening Systolic BP       | 143.9±18.9a     | 136.0±15.1b     | 133.2±12.2a     |
| Average Diastolic BP***   | 89.2±7.7a       | 81.8±8.5b       | 78.0±9.0c       |
| Morning Diastolic BP      | 90.6±7.8a       | 82.1±8.0b       | 78.8±10.2c      |
| Evening Diastolic BP      | 87.7±10.0a      | 81.5±10.4b      | 77.1±8.9c       |

*Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (N=41);

**Average of morning and evening systolic blood pressure;

***Average of morning and evening diastolic blood pressure;

a,b,cDifferent superscripts indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).

Figure 1: Blood pressure reduction after 4 and 8 weeks of dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods, compared to baseline (N=41)

Table 3: Changes in blood pressure (BP) risk status at baseline, and 4 and 8 weeks after dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods*.

| Blood Pressure Risk Status** | % of Total (41) and (Number) of Participants | Baseline | Week 4 | Week 8 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| Normal (<120/80mmHg)        | 0% (0)                                      | 7% (3)   | 5% (2) |
| Elevated (120-129/<80mmHg)  | 7% (3)                                      | 7% (3)   | 22% (9)|
| Stage I Hypertension (130-139/or 80-89mmHg) | 22% (9) | 42% (17) | 46% (19) |
| Stage II Hypertension (≥140/or ≥90mmHg) | 71% (29) | 44% (18) | 27% (11) |

*N=41;

**BP risk status was determined on 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APHA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults [2].

Changes in anthropometrics

Changes in body weight, BMI and waist circumference over 8 weeks of dietary intervention with nutrient-dense foods are shown in Table 4. Body weight decreased (p<0.00001) by 2.8% after 4 weeks and decreased (p<0.00001) by 3.9% after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Mean BMI decreased (p<0.00001) by 1.6 units after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Mean waist circumference changed significantly in females, after 4 weeks (-4.2cm; p=0.001) and 8 weeks (-6.0cm; p<0.001) of dietary intervention. In males, waist circumference did not change significantly over 8 weeks (-2.4cm,
NS). Disease risk based on BMI and waist circumference improved after 8 weeks of dietary intervention; notably, the percentage of participants at no disease risk increased from 5% at baseline to 15% after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Weight loss was positively correlated with a reduction in average SBP (R=0.44, p<0.01) and DBP (R=0.37, p<0.05) at 8 weeks. The decrease in waist circumference over 8 weeks was positively correlated with a decrease in DBP (R=0.39, p<0.05), but not with SBP (R=0.30, p=0.07).

Table 4: Changes in body weight, body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference at baseline, and 4 and 8 weeks after dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods*

| Attributes | Baseline | Week 4 | Week 8 |
|------------|----------|--------|--------|
| Body weight (kg) (mean±SD) | 93.0±17.9a | 90.4±17.5a | 89.4±17.5c |
| Body weight change from baseline | -2.8% (-2.6kg) | -3.9% (-3.6kg) | |
| BMI (kg/m²) (mean±SD) | 32.8±5.4a | 31.8±5.4a | 31.1±5.7c |
| BMI classification [% and (N) of participants] | | | |
| Normal (<18.5kg/m²) | 5% (2) | 10% (4) | 15% (6) |
| Overweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) | 27% (11) | 24% (10) | 27% (11) |
| Obese I (25.0-34.9kg/m²) | 36% (15) | 34% (14) | 27% (11) |
| Obese II (35.0-39.9kg/m²) | 20% (8) | 24% (10) | 24% (10) |
| Obese III (≥40kg/m²) | 12% (5) | 8% (3) | 7% (3) |
| Waist Circumference (cm) (mean±SD) | | | |
| Males | 104.1±11.9 | 102.3±10.9 | 101.8±11.2 |
| Females | 98.5±11.8a | 94.3±11.9a | 92.4±11.1c |
| Increased disease risk based on waist circumference (cm) [% and (N) of participants] | | | |
| Males (>102cm) | 12% (5) | 10% (4) | 15% (6) |
| Females (>88cm) | 46% (19) | 42% (17) | 39% (16) |
| Disease risk based on BMI and waist circumference** | | | |
| No risk | 5% (2) | 10% (4) | 15% (6) |
| Increased | 12% (5) | 17% (7) | 20% (8) |
| High | 34% (14) | 27% (11) | 17% (7) |
| Very high | 37% (15) | 39% (16) | 39% (16) |
| Extremely high | 12% (5) | 10% (4) | 7% (3) |

*N=41;  
**Disease risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, and type 2 diabetes was determined using body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference [12].

SF-12 questionnaire quality of life indicators

Physical and mental component summary scores improved significantly at 4 weeks (p<0.04) and 8 weeks (p<0.002) after dietary intervention, compared to baseline scores (Table 5). The percentage of participants with physical component scores at or above the reference population benchmark increased to 80% at week 8 compared to 64% at baseline; those who were below the benchmark score at baseline declined to 20% at week 8 compared to 35% at baseline. The percentage of participants with mental component scores at or above the reference population benchmark increased to 80% at week 8 compared to 60% at baseline; those who were below the benchmark score at baseline declined to 20% at week 8 compared to 40% at baseline.

General Health Questionnaire Quality of Life Scores

General quality of life scores at baseline, weeks 4 and 8 are presented in Table 6. Generally, the scores tended to improve for each quality of life indicator over the course of the study. Greatest improvements of at least 20% at 8 weeks compared to baseline were seen for diet quality (41%), general feeling of wellness (39%), energy level (35%), and sleep (29%).
Table 5: SF-12 questionnaire physical and mental component scores at baseline, and 4 and 8 weeks after dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods*.

|                              | Baseline       | Week 4        | Week 8        |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Physical component summary score (mean±SD)** | 44.7±11.4<sup>a</sup> | 47.5±11.7<sup>b</sup> | 49.1±11.3<sup>b</sup> |
| **Physical functional status (% of participants)** | | | |
| Above benchmark              | 42             | 63            | 68            |
| At benchmark                 | 22             | 13            | 12            |
| Below benchmark              | 35             | 24            | 20            |
| **Mental component summary score (mean±SD)** | 45.6±10.5<sup>a</sup> | 50.6±8.9<sup>b</sup> | 51.8±9.9<sup>b</sup> |
| **Mental functional status (% of participants)** | | | |
| Above benchmark              | 40             | 61            | 58            |
| At benchmark                 | 20             | 18            | 22            |
| Below benchmark              | 40             | 21            | 20            |

*<sup>N=41;</sup> Different superscripts indicate significant difference (p<0.05).

Table 6: General quality of life questionnaire scores at baseline, and 4 and 8 weeks after dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods*.

| Attributes**                  | Baseline       | Week 4        | Week 8        |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| General feeling of wellness   | 2.6±0.9        | 3.4±0.9       | 3.6±0.9 (39%)*** |
| Feel full                     | 3.1±0.7        | 3.8±0.7       | 3.7±0.9 (19%)*** |
| Mood                          | 3.8±1.0        | 4.2±0.9       | 4.2±1.1 (11%)*** |
| Energy level                  | 2.9±1.1        | 3.8±0.9       | 3.9±1.0 (35%)*** |
| GI symptoms                   | 3.7±1.0        | 3.7±1.1       | 4.1±0.9 (11%)*** |
| Sleep                         | 2.8±1.2        | 3.6±0.9       | 3.6±1.0 (29%)*** |
| Appearance                    | 3.1±0.9        | 3.7±0.7       | 3.7±0.7 (19%)*** |
| Diet quality                  | 2.7±0.9        | 3.7±0.8       | 3.8±1.0 (41%)*** |

*Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation (N=41);
**Each attribute was rated using a scale of one to five, with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst;

***The percentage change between the mean at baseline and week 8.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that including nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods in the diet of adults with hypertension or elevated BP can significantly reduce systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Additionally, significant weight loss and decreased BMI were observed. An important finding of this study was that health-related quality of life indicators namely, physical and mental component summary scores improved after 8 weeks from the dietary intervention.

Healthful dietary modification is currently recommended...
as the initial treatment, and as a complement to pharmacologic treatment for HTN [2]. Results from this study showed that dietary intervention with nutrient-dense, portion-controlled, functional foods, which provided about 75% DV for essential nutrients including potassium daily, and E-health nutrition counseling based on DASH guidelines for 8 weeks, resulted in significant BP reduction of 11.4/11.2 mm Hg (SBP/DBP). Furthermore, participants with stage II HTN benefitted the most as evidenced by a sharp decline in the number of participants with stage II HTN after 8 weeks of dietary intervention; only 27% of participants had stage II hypertension after 8 weeks of dietary intervention compared to 71% at baseline.

Previous studies [9,10,14,15] have demonstrated the effectiveness of DASH intervention for treatment of HTN. A meta-analysis of 24 randomized clinical trials [14] revealed that DASH was the most effective dietary intervention, resulting in net reduction of systolic and diastolic BP by 7.6/4.2 mm Hg, compared to control diet. Blumenthal et al. [10] showed that DASH coupled with weight management for 16 weeks resulted in a greater BP reduction of 16.1/9.9 mm Hg, compared to DASH alone, where BP reduction was 11.2/7.5 mm Hg in adults with elevated or stage I HTN. The reported efficacy of anti-hypertensive drug therapies ranges from a net reduction of 10-16 mm Hg for systolic and 8-10 mm Hg for diastolic BP [16]. The BP reduction of 11.4/11.2 mm Hg after 8 weeks of dietary intervention seen in this study is comparable to the reported effects of DASH with weight management and drug monotherapies. The magnitude of BP reduction observed in this study has been shown to lower stroke risk by 40% and cardiovascular disease risk by 25% [17].

Weight loss (4% of baseline body weight) was likely an important factor contributing to the significant decrease in BP observed in this study. A previous study has also demonstrated that medically significant weight loss of at least 5% occurs with nutrient-dense foods [18]. The benefits of weight loss on BP control has been well documented [2,8,10]. A positive correlation between weight loss and reduction in systolic and diastolic BP after 8 weeks of dietary intervention seen in this study supports the importance of weight management in BP control interventions.

Improved diet quality, especially increased intake of dietary potassium with nutrient-dense foods, was likely another major factor contributing to the observed decrease in BP. Recent studies demonstrate that adequate dietary potassium intake and lower dietary sodium-to-potassium ratio effectively lower BP in hypertensive patients [7,19]. Current US dietary guidelines recommend daily intake of 4.7 g/d potassium for adults [20], while WHO recommends at least 3.5 g/d [21]. However, average intake of potassium in the U.S. is estimated to be between 2.5-2.7 g/day [5]. In this study, consuming three nutrient-dense foods provided at least 75% DV, or 3.0 g/day potassium (77 mmol/day) based on the potassium content of the nutrient-dense foods provided (data not shown). Furthermore, nutrition counseling based on DASH guidelines emphasized consuming a variety of vegetables and fruits daily, which would have also provided additional potassium.

Dietary sodium intake likely decreased during the study. Current clinical guidelines for BP management recommend restricting sodium to 2,000 mg/day [2]. Sodium intake from the three nutrient-dense foods would have provided a maximum of 2,000 mg/day sodium (87 mmol/day). While extreme sodium restriction was not emphasized in this study, a modest decrease in sodium intake based on DASH diet guidelines was expected. Current guidelines recommend a sodium-to-potassium ratio of 1.0-1.2 for optimal BP management [2], however, dietary sodium-to-potassium ratio of the typical diet in the US is about 3.2 [5]. The estimated molar sodium-to-potassium ratio from consuming the three functional foods was about 1.1 (maximal 2,000 mg or 87 mmol sodium and at least 3,000 mg or 77 mmol potassium from the three functional foods). Thus, the lower dietary sodium-to-potassium ratio was likely a significant factor underlying the observed BP reduction.

A significant finding of this study was improved health-related, quality of life assessed by SF-12 questionnaire of physical and mental component summary scores after 8 weeks of dietary intervention. Improved quality of life after dietary intervention was also supported by improved scores for general well-being, diet quality, energy level, and sleep. Impaired health-related, quality of life with lower physical and mental functional scores have been reported for hypertensive patients compared to normotensive population [22]. Further, hypertensive patients taking anti-hypertensive drugs for BP control had a worse quality of life compared to those not taking medications, resulting in lower adherence to pharmacotherapy [23]. Thus, our finding that the dietary intervention with nutrient-dense functional foods and E-nutrition counseling significantly improved health-related quality of life has the potential to improve BP management in hypertensive adults.

The limitation of the study was that dietary intake was not assessed using validated tools such as urinary sodium and potassium excretion and diet recall. However, participants reported major foods consumed weekly and rated their diet quality. All data were self-measured and self-reported. However, no financial incentives were offered, thus minimizing participant bias in reporting their data. Results from this interventional study provide evidence that dietary intervention with nutrient-rich, portion-controlled, functional foods, coupled with E-health nutrition counseling, can be an effective treatment option for BP control in adults with HTN, including those with stage II HTN and using anti-hypertensive medications. Additionally, improved health-related quality of life parameters observed in adults with HTN after 8 weeks of dietary intervention is of clinical significance and needs further investigation.
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