Altered anxiety-related and abnormal social behaviors in rats exposed to early life seizures
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Neonatal seizures are the most common manifestation of neurological dysfunction in the neonate. The prognosis of neonatal seizures is highly variable, and the controversy remains whether the severity, duration, or frequency of seizures may contribute to brain damage independently of its etiology. Animal data indicates that seizures during development are associated with a high probability of long-term adverse effects such as learning and memory impairment, behavioral changes and even epilepsy, which is strongly age dependent, as well as the severity, duration, and frequency of seizures. In preliminary studies, we demonstrated that adolescent male rats exposed to one-single neonatal status epilepticus (SE) episode showed social behavior impairment, and we proposed the model as relevant for studies of developmental disorders. Based on these facts, the goal of this study was to verify the existence of a persistent deficit and if the anxiety-related behavior could be associated with that impairment. To do so, male Wistar rats at 9 days postnatal were submitted to a single episode of SE by pilocarpine injection (380 mg/kg, i.p.) and control animals received saline (0.9%, 0.1 mL/10 g). It was possible to demonstrate that in adulthood, animals exposed to neonatal SE displayed low preference for social novelty, anxiety-related behavior, and increased stereotyped behavior in anxiogenic environment with no locomotor activity changes. On the balance, these data suggests that neonatal SE in rodents leads to altered anxiety-related and abnormal social behaviors.
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INTRODUCTION

Status epilepticus (SE), an acute condition characterized by repetitive or prolonged seizures, affects between 120,000 to 200,000 people per year in the United States (Neill et al., 2005; Lowenstein, 2006). It occurs more often in children than in adults, and 40–50% of children under the age of 2 years (Shinnar et al., 1997). The incidence of neonatal seizures varies according to the age, severity of the etiologic factor, and of the population studied. The clear incidence has not yet been established, although it has been estimate that varies between 1.1–8.6/1000 live births (Saliba et al., 1996, 1999; Sheth et al., 1999; Mosley, 2010). Furthermore, the prognosis of neonatal seizures is highly variable (Costa et al., 2001), and persists the controversy whether the severity, duration or frequency of seizures may contribute to brain damage independently of its etiology (Udani, 2008). In general terms, half of the cases exhibit minimum sequel and the other half evolves into death or serious squeals (Costa et al., 2001). In addition, newborns with transient focal ischemia, metabolic abnormalities without a clear etiology seem to evolve in a more satisfactory manner, unlike those with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, infection of the nervous system and prenatal brain dysgenesis that generally have a worse prognosis (Udani, 2008). From a clinical standpoint, most studies fail to show that seizures per se regardless the severity of the etiologic factor is able to disrupt brain development. In this context, animal models allow the observation and analysis of variables under controlled conditions and have been used to explore these issues. Thus, the animal models with neonatal injury that results in permanent impairment, especially of social interaction are considered useful for the study of neurodevelopmental disorders, such as schizophrenia and autism (Schneider and Koch, 2005; Tordjman et al., 2007).

Following these lines of reasoning, animal data indicates that seizures during development are associated with a high probability of long-term adverse effects such as, learning and memory impairment, behavioral changes and epilepsy that are strongly age dependent, as well as the severity, duration, and frequency of seizures (Holmes, 2004, 2009). The mechanisms that underlie these changes are not yet completely understood, but neonatal seizures do not lead to cell loss, rather than considerable synaptic reorganization. Studies focused primarily on behavioral changes, rather than epileptogenic activity, are less frequent. Two studies have shown that neonatal seizures produce anxiety-related behavior (Sayin et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2007), and another study demonstrated deficit of cognitive flexibility (Kleen et al., 2011). Recently, we demonstrated that the neonatal SE (Castelhano et al., 2010) leads to impairment of social interaction in adolescent male rats. Our study brings an important contribution to demonstrate that sequel of neonatal seizures extending beyond the learning and memory deficits, increased anxiety and loss in cognitive flexibility. Animals studies reveal that early life seizures can disrupt some brain structure such as the hippocampus (Holmes et al., 1998; Lynch et al., 2000; Santos et al., 2000; Villeneuve et al.,
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Based on accumulated evidence, animal models submitted to neonatal seizures may be relevant for studies of neurodevelopmental disorders. In this context, it is necessary a more comprehensive study on the behavioral repertoire, particularly in the area of sociability, repetitive behaviors, and anxiety. On the balance, the aim of the present study was to investigate the existence of a persistent deficit and if the anxiety-related behavior could be associated with that impairment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All procedures were approved by Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie Ethical Committee (CEUA, 076/02/2011). Newly born Wistar rats were maintained under controlled conditions (07:00–19:00 h, light/dark cycle; 22–24°C) with their mother. Pups’ ages were determined from the day of birth (P0). Colonies were randomly assigned into different groups. All procedures were carried out in male rats.

SE induction

SE is usually defined as continuous seizure activity lasting for 30 min or longer or intermittent seizures lasting 30 min or more from which the patient does not regain consciousness (Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy—ILAE, 1989). However, it has been suggested that seizure activity lasting for 20 min could also be qualified as SE (Lowenstein et al., 1999). Experimental group (n = 40) received pilocarpine 3.8% in saline (380 mg/kg, i.p), on P9 which corresponds to a full-term neonate (Holopainen, 2008), and control group (n = 30) received saline solution instead pilocarpine (0.1 mL/10 g). SE started within 3–4 min following pilocarpine injection being characterized by continuous intense body tremor, scratching, clonic movements of forelimbs and head bobbing. Following cessation of SE (ca 4 h) animals returned to their mothers. The rate of mortality in experimental group after SE induction was about 37%, yielding 25 animals. At 21 days postnatal, 2–3 male animals of each litter were randomly chosen, housed together (4–5 animals per cage) and distributed in the following groups:

(A) Experimental group (EXP), 16 male rats submitted to neonatal SE.

(B) Control group (CTR), 14 male rats that received saline injection.

The reminiscent animals, being 9 for EXP and 16 for CTR were perfused and/or decapitated for further studies.

Testing procedure

The behavioral tests started from 60 days postnatal and were videotaped. Only male offspring were investigated. The home cage containing the animals was transferred to the testing room 60 min before each day session. All apparatus were cleaned with a 5% alcohol solution after each behavioral procedure. All behavioral tests were carried out in the same room with a controlled intensity of light (9 lx). At the end of behavioral tests, for both groups, half of the animals were perfused and the others had their brains removed and frozen for further studies. This procedure was adopted to avoid replicate experiments, reducing the use of animals and finally to allow the correlation between data.

Sociability

The social behavior apparatus was adapted by Novaes et al. (2012) from Crawley (2007). The apparatus was an acrylic rectangular box divided into three compartments of equal size (39 cm height × 26 cm width × 41 cm deep) by retractable doors. The sociability test, preceded by a habituation period in the apparatus, was divided in three sequential phases of the 10 min each. During the habituation period, the test rat was placed in the middle chamber for 10 min with the retractable doors closed. Each of the two sides contained an identical empty wire cage. After the habituation period, the retractable doors were opened allowing the test rat to explore the entire apparatus. In this phase, the number of entries and time spent in each compartment with objects were measured. In the social approach phase, an unfamiliar rat was enclosed into one of the wire cages and a human observer scored the number of snout-snout contacts between the test rat and the unfamiliar rat. In the social novelty phase, a new unfamiliar rat was enclosed into the wire cage in the opposite compartment and all parameters were again investigated. Of important, before the introduction of a social stimulus, the test rest was trapped in the central chamber.

Elevated plus-maze

The elevated plus-maze is based on a natural tendency of the animals to explore a new environment vs. the aversive properties of an elevated open runway. The apparatus had two closed arms with walls 45 cm in height and two open arms 50 cm long (Insight Ltda, Brazil). The maze was elevated 50 cm from the floor. The animals were placed in the center of the maze with their nose pointing toward an open arm and allowed to freely explore the maze for 10 min. The sections were videotaped, and the number of entries and the time spent in both arms were recorded. Both parameters were expressed as percent of entries or time in open arms [(Open arm/Open arm + Closed arm) × 100].

Open field

The apparatus consisted of a circular arena (100 cm diameter) enclosed by plain white walls and a floor divided into 12 zones, being 8 peripheral and 4 central (Insight Ltda, Brazil). Each animal was placed into the central area and observed for 10 min. During this time, the locomotor activity was expressed as the
number of peripheral, central, or total lines crossed. Central locomotion was also expressed as ratio of central to total locomotion. In addition, the time of immobility and number of grooming episodes were measured. The test was repeated 7 days later, in order to investigate habituation after repeated exposure to the same stimulus. Repeated exposure to the open field apparatus results in time dependent changes in behavior.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

The test was analyzed by Mixed ANOVA using condition (object 1 × object 2, object × unfamiliar rat or familiar rat vs. social novelty) as within-subjects factor and groups (EXP vs. CTR) as between-subjects factor (Kohl et al., 2013). Significant effects were probed with post-hoc testing (Bonferroni). The Open Field’s parameters were analyzed by Mixed ANOVA, using Bonferroni for post-hoc testing. The elevated plus maze’s parameters were analyzed by Student’s T-test for independent samples. p values of 0.05 or less were considered significant. The analyses were effected using commercial program (Prism 5.03 for windows).

**RESULTS**

**SOCIABILITY**

In the first test phase, the number of entries between the compartments with objects did not differ for both groups (EXP and CTR, $F_{(1,28)} = 0.81; NS$), but EXP exhibited less number of entries as compared to CTR for both compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 13.96, p < 0.008$], Figure 1. In addition, no effect of interaction was noted [$F_{(1,28)} = 4.00; NS$] for time spent in the compartments. In this phase, the groups did not reveal preference for any compartments with objects, although the EXP has showed less motor activity.

In the social approach phase, with the introduction of an unfamiliar rat into one of the compartments, significant difference was observed between groups for number of entries into compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 33.96, p < 0.0001$], but no effect was observed neither for compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 0.051; NS$], nor for interaction [$F_{(1,28)} = 0.74; NS$]. EXP exhibited less number of entries as compared to CTR for both compartments, Figure 2A. In parallel, both groups spent more time in the compartment with unfamiliar rat as compared with object [$F_{(1,28)} = 62.97, p < 0.0001$], but EXP spent less time than CTR in both compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 4.69, ^*p < 0.038$], Figure 2B. In this phase, experimental group demonstrated being as interested as the control for social encounter.

In the social novelty phase, with an introduction of a novel rat into another wire cage, the differences between groups became more evident. Under this condition, a significant difference was observed between groups for number of entries into compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 46.52, p < 0.0001$] and between compartments [$F_{(1,28)} = 26.48, p < 0.0001$] with no effect of interaction [$F_{(1,28)} = 2.32, NS$]. Both groups exhibited higher number of entries into compartments with unfamiliar rat (EXP, $t = 2.65, ^*p < 0.05$; CTR, $t = 4.46, ^***p < 0.001$), Figure 3A. As the locomotion was highly different between groups, number of entries was reanalyzed as ratio of novel to familiar. The ratio was significantly less in EXP as compared to CTR ($1.25 ± 0.25$ vs. $1.54 ± 0.4$, respectively, $t = 2.37, p = 0.025$). For time spent with familiar and novel rat, a significant effect of interaction was noted [$F_{(1,28)} = 10.95; p < 0.0026$], indicating that EXP exhibited a decreased preference for social novelty, Figure 3B. Finally, for snout-snout contacts a significant effect of interaction was noted for the factors compartments vs. group, [$F_{(1,28)} = 10.08, p = 0.0036$], Figure 4. CTR exhibited more preference for the social novelty than did EXP.

In summary, statistical analysis revealed that animals exposed to neonatal SE showed less preference for social novelty. Additionally, the animals also exhibited reduced locomotor activity which could be less motivation or an anxiogenic-like effect of exposure to social stress. In next step, we evaluated the locomotor activity and anxiety-like behavior.

**ELEVATED PLUS-MAZE (EPM)**

The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference between groups on anxiety-like behavior. EXP exhibited less entries ($t = 3.29, df = 28, p = 0.0027$, Figure 5A) and time on the open arms ($t = 3.95, df = 28, p = 0.0005$, Figure 5B). The locomotor activity was only marginally different between groups ($t = 2.03, df = 28, p = 0.055$, Figure 5C). In summary, the statistical analysis revealed that the neonatal SE induced anxiety-like behavior.

**OPEN FIELD**

To the time of immobility, Two-Way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of group [EXP vs. CTR, $F_{(1,28)} = 15.421, df = 28; p = 0.0005$] and of the exposure [1st × 2nd, $F_{(1,28)} = 13.71; df = 28; p = 0.0009$], and no effect of interaction [$F_{(1,28)} = 0.103, df = 28; p = 0.749$]. Thus, EXP exhibited higher time of immobility as compared to CTR in both exposures, Figure 6A. To grooming episodes, EXP exhibited more number of events [$F_{(1,28)} = 10.026; df = 28; p = 0.0037$] as compared to CTR, Figure 6B, and no effects of exposure [$F_{(1,28)} = 2.14, df = 28; p = 0.154$] nor interaction were noted [$F_{(1,28)} = 0.609, df = 28; p = 0.441$]. These effects were accompanied by reduction of central locomotor activity as compared to CTR [$F_{(1,28)} = 27.72; df = 28; p < 0.0001$]. In addition, it was noted effect of exposure [$F_{(1,28)} = 14.02; df = 28; p < 0.0001$] and interaction between factors [$F_{(1,28)} = 18.23; df = 28; p < 0.0002$].
FIGURE 2 | Number of entries (A) and time spent (B) into social (rat) and non-social (object) compartments is shown as mean ± standard error. Control group (CTR) \( n = 14 \) and Experimental group (EXP), \( n = 16 \). EXP showed less locomotor activity compared to CTR (\( **p < 0.0001 \)), but both groups spent more time on the side with unfamiliar rat.

FIGURE 3 | Number of entries (A) and time spent (B) into compartments with familiar and novel rat is shown as mean ± standard error. Control group (CTR) \( n = 14 \) and Experimental group (EXP), \( n = 16 \). In (A), less number of entries was noted to EXP (\( **p < 0.0001 \)), but both groups exhibited higher number of entries into compartments with unfamiliar rat (EXP, \( t = 2.65, {}^* p < 0.05 \); CTR, \( t = 4.46, ***p < 0.001 \)). In (B), the CTR showed a clear preference for the social novelty spending more time with an unfamiliar rat than did the EXP (\( {}^* p < 0.01, **p < 0.001 \)).

FIGURE 4 | Number of snout-snout contacts is shown as mean ± standard error. Control group (CTR), \( n = 14 \) and Experimental group (EXP), \( n = 16 \). EXP exhibited less preference for the social novelty than did CTR. \( **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.0001 \).

In the first exposure, central locomotion was significantly less in EXP comparatively to CTR (\( t = 6.26, {}^{***}p < 0.001 \)), and kept unchanged in the second exposure, Figure 7A. However, the total locomotion did not differ between groups [\( F(1,28) = 1.8921; df = 28; p = 0.1799 \)], Figure 7B, but decreased on second exposure for both [\( F(1,28) = 48.05; df = 28; p < 0.0001 \)], suggesting long term habituation to a novel environment. Taking in account that EXP exhibited a general tendency for decreased locomotion, and in order to magnify the interpretation of results, it was analyzed the ratio of central locomotion to total locomotion. The ratio was significantly lower in EXP as compared to CTR [\( F(1,28) = 6.89; df = 28; p = 0.013 \)] and effect of interaction was also observed [\( F(1,28) = 12.78; df = 28; p = 0.012 \)]. The ratio significantly decreased on the first exposure in the EXP as compared to CTR (\( t = 6.32, ***p < 0.001 \)), and in the CTR on second exposure (\( t = 3.32, **p < 0.01 \), but was kept unchanged for experimental group. EXP, which exhibited high level of anxiety at first exposure to central zone, kept the same behavior at second exposure, suggesting no habituation to anxiogenic environment, Figure 7C.

The behavioral data of the open field revealed that neonatal SE increased emotionality of rats when exposed to a new environment, especially when it offers greater risk of attack or exposition, as the central area of the open field. It was also observed increased of grooming episodes and the time of immobility with no alterations in general locomotor activity.
DISCUSSION

In the present study we used a three—chambers choice test to assess social behavior, elevated plus maze and open field to evaluated general anxiety. Adult animals exposed to neonatal SE showed less preference for social novelty, increased emotionality in an unfamiliar and threatening environment, increased grooming episodes and immobility, reduced locomotor activity in situations of higher risk. Taken as a whole, the data suggest that early life seizures in rats leads to increased emotionality and abnormal social behavior.

The social interaction test developed by File and Hyde (1978) was the first to measure experimental anxiety based on ethologic concept. Posteriorly, Haller and Bakos (2002) proposed a novel animal model of anxiety in a social context (Social Approach-Avoidance test). In this paradigm, prestressed Wistar rats spent significantly less time as compared to control in a social compartment containing a unfamiliar rat confined behind a transparent wall, demonstrating the anxiogenic-like effect of the stress on approach-avoidance behavior (Nicolas and Prinssen, 2006). In the sociability paradigm, the animals exposed to neonatal SE displayed less preference for social novelty than controls. We previously demonstrated that pilocarpine-induced neonatal SE impaired social play behavior (Castelhano et al., 2010). It is well-established that adolescence is an important period for the development of adult social competence and that an inadequate social development, in addition to seizures itself or maladaptive response following seizures, may contribute to social anxiety in adulthood. In rodents, higher levels of social anxiety can disrupt the preference between two stimuli in a preference test (Mines et al., 2010). This effect could be related to decreased motivation,
increased emotionality or impaired social memory. Lin et al. (2009) reported in lithium-pilocarpine-induced neonatal seizures reduced levels of dopamine in the PFC. It is well-established that the dopamine found in the PFC originates from the ventral tegmental area, a structure involved in neural network of social behaviors (Goodson and Kabelik, 2009) is also involved in and emotional responses (Baskerville and Douglas, 2010). In this sense, dopamine reduction in PFC could lead to less engagement in social interactions. However, taking in account that in social approach phase experimental group demonstrated being as interested as the control for a social encounter, the probable mechanisms to explain reduced preference for social novelty are the increased state of anxiety associate with the deficit in social discrimination. There is emerging in the literature a discussion about the bi-directional relationship and possibly cyclic, between social interaction impairment and the degree of anxiety or emotionality. Anxiety intensifies social impairment, and poor social function contributes to anxiety (Nicolas and Prinssen, 2006; White et al., 2010). In this sense, animals were evaluated in the open field and the elevated plus-maze. Experimental animals avoided the central area of the open field in both exposures, suggesting no habituation to anxiogenic environment, but they performed similarly to control in total locomotion suggesting no locomotor activity changes. In addition, they displayed higher immobility and stereotyped behavior. In EPM, they spent less time and reduced number of entries on the open arms, suggesting the presence of general anxiety. Reduced locomotor activity on sociability test, even after the habituation period and before social presence, suggest that the new environment increased the state of anxiety and that no habituation to anxiogenic space was reached, as observed in the central zone of the open field. Rodents also have emotional behaviors similar to humans when exposed to specific experimental situations (Ramos and Mormède, 1998). In this regard, Nicolas and Prinssen (2006) evaluated the social approach-avoidance behavior in a high-anxiety strain, F-344 rats. The animals exhibited spontaneous avoidance behavior that was sensitive to benzodiazepine agonist and inverse agonist in a bidirectional manner. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first group to demonstrate abnormal social behavior following early life seizures. However, general anxiety was previously reported. Corroborating with our results, Sayin et al. (2004) demonstrated anxiety-related behavior without locomotor activity changes in adult animals submitted to SE by kainic acid injection during early stage of development. Oliveira et al. (2007) reported anxiety-like behavior in adult rats submitted to lithium-pilocarpine model at 15 days postnatal. The enhanced emotionality could be associated to changes in hippocampus function. Lugo et al. (2012) and Benedikt et al. (2012) demonstrated that hippocampal damage leads to anxiety-like behavior. Beyond of the hippocampus, others brain regions exhibit maladaptive response following neonatal seizures. For example, Kleen et al. (2011) observed that adults male Wistar rats aged between 1 and 10 days postnatal that undergo a series to of neonatal seizures by fluorothyl administration exhibited structural changes of the PFC that were positively correlated with the impairment of cognitive flexibility and insistence to maintain a...
routine previously learned. Individuals with autism often have repetitive behaviors and strong resistance to the change of routine. Failure to change routine in rodents may be analogous to the cognitive inflexibility. In stressful situations is common the emergence or intensification of stereotypes. In our study, we observed increase in self-grooming when animals were exposed to an anxiogenic environment. The association of grooming behavior with increase in self-grooming when animals were exposed to an anxiety or intensification of stereotypes. In our study, we observed failure to change routine in rodents may be analogous to the repetitive behaviors and strong resistance to the change of routine situations can cause an animal to develop abnormal repetitive behavior (Spruijt et al., 1992; Van Erp et al., 1994). Additionally, the enhanced stereotyped behavior could be also due of imbalance both within and between the motor, cognitive and limbic corticostriatal circuits. The corticostriatal circuits include striatum, globus pallidus, substantia nigra, thalamus, and cortex. It is well-established that corticostriatal circuits are functionally divided into three circuits related to the predominant cerebral corticostriatal input to striatum: sensoriomotor circuit functionally related to movements (comprising the motor and oculomotor loops), associative circuit functionally related to cognitive functions (dorsolateral prefrontal loop) and the limbic circuit functionally related emotional-motivational behavior (lateral orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate loops) (for an extensive review see; Langen et al., 2011). Abnormal repetition of behavior can result from damage to any of the corticostriatal circuits. Experimental data demonstrated that SE can damage brain regions involved with corticostriatal circuit. Fernandes et al. (1999) observed hypermetabolism in cortical and forebrain regions plus the substantia nigra following lithium-pilocarpine-induced SE in immature rats. Kubova et al. (2001) demonstrated the presence of silver-positive cells predominantly in the mediodorsal, but also too in the ventrolateral and ventromedial thalamic nucleus in rats that experienced pilocarpine-induced SE at the age of 12 days postnatal. Interestingly, the mediodorsal and ventrolateral nucleus of thalamus are part of limbic and sensoriomotor circuit, respectively. Taking in account that stereotypies can function as coping mechanism to reduce animal’s arousal level when it is exposed to stressful events or environments (Langen et al., 2011), it is licit to suppose that animals with damaged thalamus nuclei could be more prone to experience stereotypies under these situations.

As previously mentioned, the decreased preference for social novelty could be also associated to inability of animals exposed to neonatal SE to distinguish a familiar from an unfamiliar conspecific. In support to this hypothesis, Sankar et al. (1998) reported in rats submitted to pilocarpine-induced seizures during second week of life, damage in the amygdala and hippocampus, brain regions implicated in social recognition memory an emotionality (Ferguson et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2013). Thus, the abnormal social behavior noted in animals exposed to early life seizures could be related to high state of anxiety and impaired social memory.

Taken as a whole, adult animals exposed to neonatal SE displayed less preference for social novelty than control, anxiety-related behavior, increased stereotyped behavior in anxiogenic environment without locomotor activity changes. The data suggest that neonatal SE in rodents leads to altered anxiety-related and abnormal social behaviors.
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