Toll-like receptor 3 in viral pathogenesis: friend or foe?
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Summary

Viral infections frequently induce acute and chronic inflammatory diseases, yet the contribution of the innate immune response to a detrimental host response remains poorly understood. In virus-infected cells, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is generated as an intermediate during viral replication. Cell necrosis (and the release of endogenous dsRNA) is a common event during both sterile and infectious inflammatory processes. The discovery of Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) as an interferon-inducing dsRNA sensor led to the assumption that TLR3 was the master sentinel against viral infections. This simplistic view has been challenged by the discovery of at least three members of the DExd/H-box helicase cytosolic sensors of dsRNA that share with TLR3 the Toll–interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) -adapter molecule TIR domain-containing adaptor protein interferon-β (TRIF) for downstream type I interferon signalling. Data are conflicting on the role of TLR3 in protective immunity against viruses in the mouse model. Varying susceptibility to infection and disease outcomes have been reported in TLR3-immune-deficient mice. Surprisingly, the susceptibility to develop herpes simplex virus-1 encephalitis in humans with inborn defects of the TLR3 pathway varies, and TLR3-deficient humans do not show increased susceptibility to other viral infections. Therefore, a current challenge is to understand the protective versus pathogenic contribution of TLR3 in viral infections. We review recent advances in the identification of TLR3-signalling pathways, endogenous and virus-induced negative regulators of the TLR3 cascade, and discuss the protective versus pathogenic role of TLR3 in viral pathogenesis.

Keywords: negative regulation; pathogenesis; Toll-like receptor 3; virus.

Abbreviations: AP-1, activator protein 1; BTK, Bruton’s tyrosine kinase; CNS, central nervous system; CVB, coxsackievirus B; CYLD, cylindromatosis; DC, dendritic cell; dsRNA, double-stranded RNA; DUB, de-ubiquitinating enzyme; ECMV, encephalomyocarditis virus; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; EV71, enterovirus 71; FADD, Fas-associated protein with death domain; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HSE, herpes simplex encephalitis; IAV, influenza A virus; IFN, interferon; IKKa, IKKβ kinase; IRAK2, interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase-like 2; IRF3, interferon regulatory transcription factor 3; ISRE, interferon-sensitive response element; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; LCMV, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MAVS, mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein; MCMV, mouse cytomegalovirus; MCP-1, monocyte chemotactrant protein-1; NAP1, NAK-associated protein 1; NEMO, nuclear factor-κB essential modulator; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; NLR, nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat containing; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; poly(I:C), polyriboinosinic polyribocytidylic acid; PTV, Punta Toro virus; PV, poliovirus; RANTES, regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted; RIP1, receptor-interacting protein 1; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; RTA, replication and transcription activator; RV1B, rhinovirus type 1B; SARM, sterile α- and armadillo-motif-containing; SHP, small heterodimer partner; SOCS1, suppressor of cytokine signalling 1; ssRNA, single-stranded RNA; STAT1, signal transducer and activator of transcription 1; TAG, TRAM adaptor with GOLD domain; TAK1, transforming growth factor-β-activated kinase 1; TBEV, tick-borne encephalitis virus; TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; TIR, Toll–interleukin-1 receptor; TLR, Toll-like receptor 3; TMEV, Theiler’s murine encephalomyelitis virus; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; TRAF3, tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 3; TRAM, translocating chain-associating membrane; TRIF, TIR domain-containing adaptor protein interferon-β; TRIM, tripartite motif; USP4, ubiquitin-specific protease 4; VSV, vesicular stomatitis virus; WNV, West Nile virus
Introduction

Mammalian cells are able to detect viruses at multiple steps. Therefore, it is likely that cells benefit from redundant mechanisms to detect and limit viral infections. Recognition of viral nucleic acids by intracellular Toll-like receptors (TLRs) entails the early steps of the immune response elicited during viral infections. For the past 10 years, efforts have been made to define the role of TLR3 in the antiviral response and to elucidate at the molecular level the TLR3 pathway as a ‘major sentinel’ against viruses. In contrast to TLR7, which recognizes single-strand (ss) RNA (therefore its role could be restricted to certain types of RNA viruses), TLR3 has, at least in principle, the ability to play a crucial role in the antiviral response against most viruses by its ability to sense double-stranded (ds) RNA, a common intermediate of replication among many viruses. Intriguingly, dsRNA of non-viral origin and, in particular, endogenous mRNA and RNA released from necrotic cells have been shown to trigger the TLR3 pathway.2,3

Toll-like receptor 3 is broadly expressed and well conserved among vertebrates and its expression has been confirmed in immune and non-immune cells. A functional antiviral role of TLR3 against certain viruses has been demonstrated in various human and murine cells as well as in the mouse model (recently reviewed in ref. 5). Interestingly, TLR3 has been implicated in licensing myeloid dendritic cells (DCs) for cross-priming of CD8 T cells in the mouse, and a certain subset of human DCs that highly express TLR3 exhibit a better capacity for cross-presenting apoptotic and necrotic cell antigens after TLR3 stimulation.6 Indeed, at least in part, the strategy of using TLR3 ligands as adjuvants in vaccine therapy is based on the selective high expression of TLR3 in human CD141+ DCs and mouse CD8a+ DC subsets. In contrast to the beneficial roles of TLR3 in antiviral response and cellular immunity, emerging evidence suggests that TLR3 also mediates pathogenesis, which may or may not be directly related to the exacerbation of the antiviral response. This review provides background on the TLR3 pathway, highlights the negative regulation of TLR3 signalling by endogenous and virus-encoded proteins, and discusses emerging evidence on the detrimental contribution of TLR3 in viral pathogenesis.

**TLR3 pathway**

The TLR3 is located in the endoplasmic reticulum of unstimulated cells, and upon dsRNA stimulation, it traffics to endosomes to encounter its ligand through a pH-dependent mechanism that also requires TLR3 dimerization.7 The interaction between the endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein UNC-93B and TLR3 is crucial for TLR3 trafficking and signalling.8 In addition, recent structural studies have demonstrated that the length (at least 45 bp) and structure of dsRNAs play critical roles in TLR3 recognition and signalling.9 Emerging evidence suggests that TLR3 proteolysis by asparagine endopeptidase and cathepsins in endolysosomes may represent a general regulatory strategy for all TLRs involved in nucleic acid recognition.10 The TLR3 proteolytic processing regulates stability and endosomal localization, but its role in TLR3 function remains poorly understood.11 Furthermore, TLR3 tyrosine phosphorylation in the endosome is essential for recruiting the adaptor protein Toll-interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor (TIR) domain–containing adaptor protein interferon-β (IFN-β) (TRIF) to the TIR domain of TLR3. This is in contrast to other endosomal TLRs (TLR7–9) that do not require tyrosine phosphorylation, possibly owing to their MyD88-dependent, TRIF-independent signalling. To recruit TRIF upon recognition and dimerization, Tyr759 and Tyr558 residues in the cytoplasmic domain of TLR3 are sufficient for downstream signalling when phosphorylated.12 Two studies have reported a differential role for the tyrosine kinases c-Src13 and epidermal growth factor receptor14 in mediating TLR3 phosphorylation. These studies support the current model that after dsRNA stimulation, TLR3 binds epidermal growth factor receptor before c-Src does. Interestingly, epidermal growth factor receptor is required for Tyr858 phosphorylation, and Src is required for Tyr759 phosphorylation. In addition, phosphoinositide 3-kinase and Bruton’s tyrosine kinase have been implicated in TLR3 phosphorylation. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase is required for the full activation of IFN regulatory transcription factor 3 (IRF3) and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB),15 but its role in TLR3 signalling remains to be determined. Bruton’s tyrosine kinase directly phosphorylates the Tyr759 residue of TLR3.16 In Bruton’s tyrosine kinase-deficient macrophages, TLR3-induced phosphoinositide 3-kinase, AKT and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling and activation of NF-κB, IRF3, and activator protein 1 (AP-1) transcription factors are all defective.16

Triggering TRIF recruitment by TLR3 dimerization and Tyr phosphorylation results in the stimulation of the transcription factors IRF3, NF-κB and AP-1 through two branches. NF-κB activating kinase (NAK)-associated protein 1 mediates TRIF association with the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3) together with TRAF family member-associated NF-κB activator (TANK)-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and IκB kinase α (IKKa) complex, which subsequently promotes the phosphorylation, dimerization and translocation of IRF3 into the nucleus.17 The second branch drives the activation of NF-κB and AP-1 and is mediated by the protein kinase receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1) and the E3 ubiquitin protein ligase TRAF6, which interact with TRIF and recruit TAB2 (TAK1 binding protein 2), TAB3 (TAK1 binding protein 3), and TAK1
Potential that might be developed during infection.

The MAPK pathway, and further activation of NF-κB and AP-1, respectively. The RIP1 and TRAF3 branches result in the activation of the antiviral and pro-inflammatory response by the induction of type I IFN and other cytokines.

Intriguingly, RIP1 interaction with TRIF leads to cell death through a Fas-associated protein with death domain/caspase-8-dependent and mitochondrion-independent pathway (RIP1/Fas-associated protein with death domain). Indeed, the TLR3–TRIF axis has a cytopathic potential that might be developed during infection.

Taken together, these data suggest that upon TLR3–TRIF stimulation and activation, three major outcomes can be predicted: (i) the development of the antiviral response mediated by IRF3 activation and further type I IFN production; (ii) a cytopathic effect or cell death through caspase-8 activation by RIP1; and (iii) the generation of a pro-inflammatory environment by the activation of NF-κB and AP-1.

Negative regulators of the TLR3 signalling cascade

Many of the adaptors and molecules involved in TLR3 signalling are shared by other TLR pathways. For example, TRIF is also an adaptor in a branch of the TLR4 and perhaps the TLR2 pathways and modulates the TLR5 pathway by inducing proteolytic degradation of TLR5. Unexpectedly, evidence is emerging on the role of TRIF in cytosolic sensing of dsRNA. In particular, TRIF is an adaptor of a novel dsRNA sensor complex consisting of the RNA helicases DDX1-DDX21-DHX36 that has been identified in the cytosol of myeloid DCs. Hence, the challenge is to understand specificity and redundancy among TLRs and other known and yet to be discovered pathways. Moreover, several positive and several negative regulators of the TLR3 pathway have been identified. Here, we focus on the negative regulation of the TLR3 pathway and discuss endogenous and virus-encoded negative regulators of the TLR3 pathway.

Endogenous regulators

The signalling balance must be maintained during the development of any immune response to prevent tissue damage and, potentially, autoimmunity. Emerging evidence demonstrates that endogenous negative regulators play a key role in the fine tuning of innate signalling pathways. Several adaptors and downstream molecules of the TLR3 pathway have been shown to be negatively modulated by various mechanisms and regulators. Among these, we discuss recent advances in the regulation of TRIF, TRAF3, RIP1 and TRAF6 (Table 1).

A number of molecules have been demonstrated to affect TRIF under sterile and infectious conditions in various studies using in vitro cell approaches. Sterile α- and armadillo-motif-containing protein (SARM) is a TIR domain-containing adaptor that has been shown to inhibit TRIF, resulting in down-regulation of TRIF-dependent cytokine and chemokine induction and AP-1 activation through the MAPK pathway. TRIF is also involved in the TLR4, MyD88-dependent signalling pathway, and the adaptor translocating chain-associated factor 3 (TRAM) mediates TRIF recruitment. TAG (TRAM adaptor with GOLD domain), a splice variant of TRAM, is an adaptor that negatively modulates TLR4–TRAM signalling from endosomes by displacing TRIF, so inhibiting the signal transduction. Interestingly, integrins may play a role in the regulation of some TLRs. The integrin CD11b activates Syk and promotes degradation of TRIF via the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cbl-b. Triad3A is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that modulates TLR3 signalling by degradation of the TIR domains of TRIF and RIP1. Tripartite motif (TRIM) proteins and, in particular, TRIM38 have been identified as novel negative regulators of the innate immune response. TRIM38 expression is enhanced after TLR3 stimulation and negatively regulates TLR3-mediated type I interferon signalling by targeting TRIF for proteasomal degradation. Importantly, TRIM38 negatively regulates TLR3/4- and RIG-I-mediated IFN-β production and antiviral response by targeting NF-κB-activating kinase-associated protein 1 (NAP1) and TRAF6. Finally, a novel role for ADAM15 (a disintegrin and metalloprotease) as a negative regulator of TLR3 and TLR4 signalling by a mechanism involving TRIF degradation has recently been identified.

TRAF3 assembles Lys6-linked polyubiquitin chains and forms a protein complex with TRB1 and IKKα, then binds to TRIF and acts as a mediator of the IRF3 activation, which results in the phosphorylation of IRF3. De-ubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) are proteases that specifically cleave ubiquitin linkages, negating the action of ubiquitin ligases. De-ubiquitinating enzyme A (DUBA) selectively cleaves the polyubiquitin chains on TRAF3, resulting in its dissociation from the downstream signalling complex and the reduction of the type I IFN response. Interestingly, MIP-T3 has been suggested to function in the cilia to facilitate infection with viruses that preferentially infect the apical ciliated side of the respiratory and gastrointestinal epithelia by compromising innate immunity, although this hypothesis requires further investigation. The negative role of MIP-T3 in the production of type I IFN by inhibition of TRAF3 complex formation is unique as MIP-T3 appears to affect TRAF3 ubiquitination only slightly but is capable of preventing TRAF3 from engaging downstream transducers.
The RIP1 kinase is negatively regulated by RIP3, Triad3A and A20. Meylan and colleagues have shown that RIP3 inhibits RIP1 by competition, down-regulating the TRIF-RIP1-induced NF-κB pathway. Similarly to DUBA, A20 is a de-ubiquitinating enzyme that has been found to act in the cytoplasm as a negative regulator of TLR responses, affecting RIP1 and TRAF6 and thereby terminating TLR-induced NF-κB signalling. In addition, A20 interacts with TRIF and inhibits TLR3- and Sendai virus-induced activation of IFN-sensitive response element and IFN-β promoter, suggesting that A20 is a virus-inducible protein that blocks both inflammatory and cellular antiviral responses.

The variety of negative regulators affect TRAF6, a signal transducer shared by the TRIF and MyD88 axis. TRAFs other than TLR3 depend on the adaptor protein MyD88, and its activation from different sources converges in the recruitment of TRAF6. Therefore, it is not surprising to find several molecules that interact with and tightly regulate TRAF6. In addition to A20, TRAF6 is negatively regulated by the DUB tumour suppressor cylindromatosis, which negatively regulates the TNF receptor-induced activation of NF-κB and c-Jun N-terminal kinase by reversing ubiquitination of its target and possibly by a transient interaction with nuclear factor-κB essential modulator. Activation of NF-κB and AP-1 leads to production of pro-inflammatory signals as well as cylindromatosis. Therefore, it generates an autoregulatory loop that controls the immune response through TRAF6. TANK (also known as I-TRAF) has been identified as a
TRAF-binding protein. The presence of TANK in unstimulated cells mediates the inhibition of TRAF6 by blocking its ubiquitination. TANK seems to negatively regulate pro-inflammatory cytokine production, rather than the IFN response induced by TLR signalling. TRAF6 is also regulated by Pellino-3, a family of proteins that have been shown to be subject to various forms of post-translational modifications. It was demonstrated that TRIF signalling induces its expression, and through its E3 ubiquitin ligase capability, Pellino-3 inhibits TRAF6 and further activation of IRF7, resulting in down-regulation of type I IFN expression. A potent negative regulator of TRAF6 is the ubiquitin-specific protease 4 (USP4), which plays an essential role in modulation of the TLR/IL-1R signalling-mediated innate immune response by cleaving ubiquitin linkages in a DUB activity-dependent manner. Similarly, the orphan nuclear receptor small heterodimer partner is a transcriptional co-repressor that regulates hepatic metabolic pathways and has been found to inhibit TLR signalling by regulating the polyubiquitination of TRAF6. The nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat containing (NLR) proteins serve as regulators of inflammatory signalling pathways. The mitochondrial NLRX1 protein interacts with TRAF6 and inhibits NF-κB activation. Upon TLR stimulation, NLRX1 dissociates from TRAF6 to allow its function. NLRX1 is an important regulator of the antiviral response against RNA viruses, because it also counteracts the RIG-I-MAVS (mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein) cytoplasmic pathway.

Virus-induced regulators

In the previous section, we described a number of endogenous negative regulators that target TRIF, TRAF3, RIP1 and TRAF6, thereby controlling the signalling cascades mediated by TLR3 stimulation to protect the host from potentially harmful, excessive immune activation. During co-evolution with the host, viruses have evolved mechanisms to counteract cell responses against infection for their own benefit and diminish the immune response at multiple levels. Here we discuss the various strategies that some viruses have developed to negatively regulate the TLR3 pathway by targeting TRIF, TRIM38, TRAF3, TRAF6 and RIP1 (Table 2).

One viral strategy to interfere with the TLR3 signalling pathway is to down-regulate the expression of the adaptor TRIF. Some viral non-structural proteins with serine protease activity have been identified to target TRIF for proteolysis. The NS3/4A of hepatitis C virus (HCV), the hepatitis A virus (HAV) protease-polymerase processing intermediate (3CD), the 3C(pro) cysteine protease of coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3), and the enteroivirus 71 (EV71) 3C protease cleave TRIF and disrupt the TLR3 signalling. Additionally, the replication and transcription activator that is necessary and sufficient for the switch from latent to lytic infection with Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, mediates the degradation of TRIF through the ubiquitin-mediated proteasome pathway.

Little is known about how viruses may regulate the activity of the endogenous negative regulator TRIM38. As discussed above, the expression of this E3 ligase is up-regulated after TLR3 stimulation. TRIM38 promotes K48-linked polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of NAP1 and TRIF and negatively regulates TLR3-mediated type I IFN signalling. The picornavirus enterovirus 71 induces TRIM38 degradation, suggesting that reduced expression of TRIM38 may be beneficial for the virus by targeting molecules yet to be discovered. The precise role of TRIM38 suppression in picornavirus infection remains unknown. In contrast, TRIM38 expression was induced upon vesicular stomatitis virus infection, an ssRNA virus recognized by RIG-I. Over-expression of TRIM38 decreased IFN-β production and increased vesicular stomatitis virus replication in the presence or absence of polyriboinosinic polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)], suggesting that virus-induced TRIM38 negatively regulates antiviral immune responses.

Some viral proteins have the ability to interfere with the antiviral pathways by sequestration of cellular proteins such as TRAF3 and TRAF6 that play key roles in the antiviral response. For instance, the M protein of the highly pathogenic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus prevents the formation of the TRAF3-TANK-TBK1/IKKε complex and thereby inhibits TBK1/IKKε-dependent activation of IRF3/IRF7 transcription factors. Vaccinia virus inhibits TRIF3-induced NF-κB activation by sequestering TRAF6 and IL-1 receptor-associated kinase-like 2 through interaction with the poxvirus protein A52R.

Taken together, these findings provide evidence of the complex regulatory networks that viruses exploit to counteract the TLR3 signalling pathway. The question that arises is how crucial is TLR3 in antiviral immunity in vivo. Surprisingly, data from the literature suggest that TLR3 may be a double-edged sword that functions in both defence and offence in host immunity to viruses.

TLR3 in human viral pathogenesis

Because TLR3 recognizes dsRNA, which is produced as a replicative intermediate by RNA and DNA viruses, and some viruses contain dsRNA genomes, the TLR3 pathway has the potential to control immunity to most of the clinically relevant viral infections in humans, caused by flaviviruses, hepatitis B and C viruses, herpesvirus, rotavirus, retroviruses, orthomyxoviruses and poxviruses, among others. However, the role of TLR3 in human immunity is still largely unknown, and our understanding is based mostly on studies using human primary or immortalized cells (Table 3, Fig. 1).
Viral encephalitis

A remarkable exception is the knowledge gained from human patients with inborn errors in the TLR3 pathway who do not display susceptibility to viral infections other than herpes encephalitis.\(^5^8\) Herpes simplex virus (HSV-1) is a highly prevalent neurotropic virus that infects the central nervous system (CNS) and can generate herpes simplex encephalitis in children with inborn errors of TLR3 immunity.\(^5^9,6^0\) It has been shown that impaired TLR3- and UNC-93B-dependent IFN-\(\alpha/\beta\) intrinsic immunity to HSV-1 in the CNS, in neurons and oligodendrocytes in particular, may underlie the pathogenesis of herpes simplex encephalitis in children with TLR3-pathway deficiencies.\(^5^9,6^0\) Moreover, Li and colleagues have demonstrated that among IFNs, endogenous IFN-\(\kappa\) also participates in TLR3-mediated antiviral activity in primary human astrocytes.\(^6^1\) To date, TLR3 is the only TLR that has been shown to play a non-redundant role for protection against HSV-1 infection in the CNS, although TLR3 may have a redundant role against other viruses in humans.\(^6^2\) Taken together, these findings suggest that the role of human TLR3 in protection against herpes simplex encephalitis is unique.

Moreover, Hidaka and colleagues performed a genetic analysis including TLR genes that could be involved in the recognition of influenza A virus (IAV) on patients with influenza-associated encephalopathy and found a missense mutation (F3035) in the TLR3 gene. This finding correlated the deficiency of TLR3 with the encephalopathy induced by IAV.\(^6^3\) In contrast, a genetic evaluation of TLR3 identified the wild-type TLR3 rs3775291 allele to be a risk factor for tick-borne encephalitis virus infection.\(^6^4\) This study suggests that a functional TLR3 is a risk factor for tick-borne encephalitis virus infection.

Viral hepatitis

In contrast, emerging evidence suggests that TLR3 may exhibit protective as well as detrimental functions in the context of other human viral infections. Hepatitis C virus is an ssRNA virus that induces chronic hepatitis and may lead to hepatocellular carcinoma. TLR3 senses dsRNA of HCV upon infection in cultured hepatoma cells as well as in primary human hepatocytes, leading to NF-\(\kappa\)B activation and to secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. These data suggest that TLR3 may contribute to the intrahepatic and unbalanced pro-inflammatory response that characterizes the pathogenesis of HCV-associated liver diseases.\(^6^5\) Interestingly, a link has been reported between the TLR3 pathway and induction of glomerulonephritis in patients with chronic HCV infection.\(^6^6\) This disease is characterized by inflammation of the blood vessels in the kidneys. In HCV-associated glomerulonephritis, TLR3 mRNA and protein expression are up-regulated in mesangial cells, and upon stimulation with TLR3 ligand, an increment of selected cytokines and chemokines that are characteristic of the disease is observed.\(^6^6\) In addition, some TLR3 polymorphisms have been associated with chronic hepatitis B and hepatitis B-related acute-on-chronic liver failure\(^6^7\) and hepatocellular carcinoma.\(^6^8\)

Influenza and rotavirus infections

Furthermore, there is a close relationship between the presence of TLR3 rs5743313/CT polymorphism and an increased risk of pneumonia in children infected by the pandemic A/H1N1/2009 influenza virus.\(^6^9\) Although animal models are being evaluated (discussed below), the precise role of TLR3 against influenza in humans remains to be determined. In the context of rotavirus infection, up-regulation of epithelial TLR3 expression during

### Table 2. Viral-induced negative regulators of the TLR3-TRIF axis

| Target | Negative regulators | Virus | Function |
|--------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| TRIF   | NS3-4A              | HCV   | Inhibits both NF-\(\kappa\)B and IRF3 activation via its interaction with and cleavage of TRIF, leading to IFN-I inhibition.\(^5^0\) |
| 3CD    | HAV                 |       | Inhibits both NF-\(\kappa\)B and IRF3 activation via its interaction with and cleavage of TRIF, leading to IFN-I inhibition.\(^5^1\) |
| 3C Protease | CVB3          |       | B 3C protease cleaves MAVS and TRIF to attenuate host type I interferon and apoptotic signalling.\(^5^2\) |
| 3C Protease | EV71           |       | 3C cleaves TRIF to attenuate the antiviral response mediated by the TLR3 pathway.\(^5^3\) |
| RTA    | KSHV               |       | Replication and transcription Factor (RTA) mediates degradation of TRIF through the ubiquitin-mediated proteosome pathway.\(^5^4\) |
| TRIM38 | SeV                |       | Induces the expression of cellular TRIM38 upon infection, down-regulating TRIF and further downstream signalling cascades.\(^5^5\) |
| M protein | SARS-CoV       |       | M prevents the formation of the TRAF3-TANK-TBK1/IKKe complex inhibiting the activation of IRF3/IRF7.\(^5^6\) |
| TRAF6  | A52R               | VACV  | Inhibits TLR3-induced NF-kB activation by sequestering TRAF6 and IRAK2.\(^5^7\) |

A list of definitions for the abbreviations appearing in this Table can be found at the beginning of the article.
infancy might contribute to the age-dependent susceptibility to rotavirus infection.  

Human immunodeficiency virus
The contribution of TLR3 in HIV-1 infection is poorly understood. Activation of the TLR3 pathway with poly(I:C) induces an antiviral state that limits HIV-1 infection in primary human macrophages and human fetal astrocytes by different mechanisms. In addition, when BALB/c mice were immunized with purified recombinant HIV-1 envelope gp120 or influenza haemagglutinin protein together with poly(I:C), epitope-specific CD8+ MHC class I molecule-restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes were primed from naive CD8+ T cells in vivo, suggesting that activation of the TLR3 pathway enhances class I processing of exogenous protein and induction of HIV-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Interestingly, a common TLR3 allele confers immunologically mediated protection from HIV-1. Taken together, these findings suggest that triggering of TLR3 with specific ligands could have therapeutic potential against HIV-1 infection in humans. However, extreme caution is advised because TLR3 may also make a detrimental contribution of TLR3 in HIV-1-induced pathogenesis. For example, TLR3 is elevated and it is expressed in proximity to infiltrating mononuclear cells in biopsy specimens from patients with HIV myopathies.

Immunity to viral infection in TLR3-deficient mice
Viral infections in mice with TLR3 deficiency are useful models to better understand the complexity of TLR3-mediated immune responses in vivo. The role of TLR3 has been tested in at least 17 different viral infections in mice (Table 4, Fig. 1). Most of the viruses studied so far have neurotropic, respiratory, liver or cardiac tropisms.

---

Table 3. TLR3 in human viral pathogenesis

| Virus     | Target organ | Disease                     | Model tested       | Role of TLR3                                                                 |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HSV-1     | CNS          | Encephalitis, other         | Humans with inborn errors of TLR3 | Children with inborn errors in the TLR3 pathway developed HSE; TLR3 is required for protective immunity against HSE by a mechanism that involves production of type I IFN in neurons and oligodendrocytes. |
| HCV       | Liver        | Hepatitis, HCC              | Hepatoma cells, in vitro | TLR3 mediates pro-inflammatory response. |
|           | Kidney       | Glomerulonephritis          | Mesangial cells, in vitro | Up-regulation of TLR3 mRNA expression in HCV-positive glomerulonephritis that correlated with enhanced RANTES and MCP-1. Immune complexes containing viral RNA may activate mesangial TLR3 during HCV infection, thereby contributing to chemokine/cytokine release, effects proliferation and apoptosis. |
| HBV       | Liver        | Hepatitis, hepatocellular carcinoma | Humans | TLR3 polymorphisms are associated with acute-on-chronic liver failure, and hepatocellular carcinoma. |
| Influenza, A/H1N1/2009 | Lung        | Pneumonia                   | Humans | A TLR3 polymorphism correlates with increased risk of pneumonia in children. |
| Influenza A | CNS, brain  | Encephalopathy              | Humans | A missense mutation (F3035) in the TLR3 gene correlated with encephalopathy in IAV-infected patients. The wild-type rs3775291 TLR3 allele was more common among TBE patients than among healthy controls, suggesting that TLR3 may be a risk factor for TBEV infection. |
| TBEV      | CNS          | Encephalitis                | Humans | Up-regulation of epithelial TLR3 expression during infancy might contribute to the age-dependent susceptibility to rotavirus infection. |
| Rotavirus | Intestine    | Gastrointestinal            | Humans | Activation of the TLR3 pathway enhances the induction of HIV-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes. |
| HIV-1     | CD4+ T cells, macrophages, others | Immunodeficiency, encephalitis, other | In vitro and in vivo | Activation of the TLR3 pathway with poly(I:C) induces an antiviral state that limits HIV-1 infection in primary human macrophages and human fetal astrocytes. |

A list of definitions for the abbreviations appearing in this Table can be found at the beginning of the article.
Viral infections of the CNS

The role of TLR3 has been studied in TLR3-deficient mice with various genetic backgrounds with the following neurotropic viruses: West Nile virus (WNV) (*Flaviviridae*, positive-sense ssRNA), poliovirus and Thellier’s murine encephalomyelitis virus (TMEV) (*Picornaviridae*, positive-sense ssRNA), HSV-1 and HSV-2 (*Herpesviridae*, dsDNA) and T3 reovirus (*Reoviridae*, segmented dsRNA). The CNS is separated from the rest of the body by the blood–brain barrier; the cerebrospinal fluid is also separated from the periphery by the blood–cerebrospinal fluid barrier of the choroid–plexus epithelium.75 This structure makes the brain an immune-privileged tissue where, during viral infection, the tight balance between a controlled antiviral response and excessive immune activation determines the pathological outcome.75 West Nile virus infection induces encephalitis in humans and mice.76 The role of TLR3 in WNV encephalitis remains controversial, and both protective and pathogenic roles have been reported in the mouse model. Perhaps these conflicting data reflect the use of different routes of virus inoculation and strains. For instance, TLR3 deficiency protected mice that were infected intraperitoneally from WNV lethal infection, reduced blood–brain barrier permeability, and decreased systemic TNF-α and IL-6 production suggesting a detrimental role of TLR3.77 In contrast, increased mortality was observed in TLR3-deficient mice in association with elevated virus burden in neurons in the brain, suggesting that TLR3 has a protective role against WNV in the CNS.78 In addition, contrasting results on the role of pattern recognition receptors in vitro and in vivo have been reported for some viruses. For example, type I IFN production in response to poliovirus infection is mediated by MDA5 in primary cultured kidney cells in vitro.79

Figure 1. Role of Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) in the pathogenesis of viral infections in humans and mice shown by organ type. TLR3 may exhibit protective (+) or detrimental roles (−) in humans (a) and mice (b) depending on virus type. (a) A protective role of TLR3 in the central nervous system (CNS) has been suggested for herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and influenza A virus (IAV)–induced encephalitis in humans. In contrast, TLR3 sensing of tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) may contribute to neuropathogenesis in some infected individuals. Although the precise role of TLR3 in influenza infection in the lung remains unknown, children with a TLR3 polymorphism had an increased risk of pneumonia induced by the pandemic IAV/H1N1/2009 strain. Rotavirus is the leading cause of severe diarrhoea in infants and young children worldwide. Up-regulation of TLR3 expression during infancy might contribute to age-dependent susceptibility to rotavirus infection. HIV-1 induces AIDS and it can also cause several neurological disorders. Despite various studies demonstrating an antiviral role of the TLR3 pathway in cell culture, there is little evidence that TLR3 could play a major role in host defence in HIV-1-infected individuals. TLR3 expression is up-regulated in proximity to infiltrating mononuclear cells in biopsy specimens from patients with HIV-1 myopathies. The role of TLR3 in liver diseases induced by human hepatitis C (HCV) and B (HBV) viruses remains to be elucidated. Chronic over-stimulation of the TLR3 pathway may contribute to an unbalanced intrahepatic inflammatory response that is observed in chronic viral hepatitis. Some TLR3 polymorphisms have been associated with hepatocellular carcinoma in patients infected with HBV. (b) The role of TLR3 in the pathogenesis of various viral infections has been studied in TLR3-deficient mice. TLR3 plays a detrimental role in the pathogenesis of rhinovirus type 1B (RV1B), vaccinia virus (VACV), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and IAV in the lung. In contrast, TLR3 plays a protective role against the infection with herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) in the CNS. However, the contribution of TLR3 to West Nile virus (WNV) encephalitis remains controversial, and the CNS is separated from the rest of the body by the blood–brain barrier; the cerebrospinal fluid is also separated from the periphery by the blood–cerebrospinal fluid barrier of the choroid–plexus epithelium.75 This structure makes the brain an immune-privileged tissue where, during viral infection, the tight balance between a controlled antiviral response and excessive immune activation determines the pathological outcome.75 West Nile virus infection induces encephalitis in humans and mice.76 The role of TLR3 in WNV encephalitis remains controversial, and both protective and pathogenic roles have been reported in the mouse model. Perhaps these conflicting data reflect the use of different routes of virus inoculation and strains. For instance, TLR3 deficiency protected mice that were infected intraperitoneally from WNV lethal infection, reduced blood–brain barrier permeability, and decreased systemic TNF-α and IL-6 production suggesting a detrimental role of TLR3.77 In contrast, increased mortality was observed in TLR3-deficient mice in association with elevated virus burden in neurons in the brain, suggesting that TLR3 has a protective role against WNV in the CNS.78 In addition, contrasting results on the role of pattern recognition receptors in vitro and in vivo have been reported for some viruses. For example, type I IFN production in response to poliovirus infection is mediated by MDA5 in primary cultured kidney cells in vitro.79
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### Table 4. Viral pathogenesis in TLR3-deficient mice

| Virus      | Target organ          | Disease                      | Route of inoculation; model tested | Phenotype in TLR3−/− mice                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WNV        | CNS (neurons)         | Encephalitis                 | Subcutaneous, intraperitoneal C57BL/6J | Detrimental role: higher viral burden in the periphery but lower load in the brain; diminished inflammatory response and neuropathology.77
Protective role: absence of TLR3 enhances WNV mortality in mice and increases viral burden in the brain but modest changes in peripheral viral loads; TLR3 serves a protective role against WNV by restricting replication in neurons.78 |
| HSV-1      | CNS (neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes) | Encephalitis | Skin flank scariﬁcation, C57BL/6J | Protective role: higher viral load at infection site; lower number of HSV-1 specific CD8+ T cells.83 |
| HSV-2      | Female genital tract; CNS (astrocytes) | Genital herpes; meningitis, myelitis, encephalitis | Intravaginal, subcutaneous C57BL/6J | Protective role: higher viral load in cerebellum and medulla spinalis; Aggravation of CNS disease score.82 |
| T3 reovirus | CNS                    | Encephalitis                 | i.c. or i.c. C57BL/6 × B129 | No differences in CNS injury; survival and viral load were not determined.64 |
| PV         | CNS (brain, spinal cord) | Poliomyelitis                | Transgenic mice expressing human PVR C57BL/6- PVRTg21 | Protective role: lower survival rate and increased viral burden in the liver, spleen, and kidney; serum IFN-α levels were blunted.79 |
| TEMV strains BeAn and GDVII | CNS                    | Encephalitis, Demyelination | Hemisphere; SJL and C57BL/6J | TLR3-deficient susceptible SJL mice accelerated the development of demyelinating disease; TLR3-deficient resistant B6 mice remained disease free; Protective role: higher viral load in brain and spinal cord; severe demyelination.80
Detrimental role: activation of TLR3 with poly IC prior to viral infection exacerbated disease development, whereas such activation after viral infection restrained disease development.80 |
| IAV        | Lung (airways)        | Pneumonia                    | intranasal, C57BL/6               | Detrimental role: increased survival rate and viral burden in the lung; lower pro-inﬂammatory response in bronchoalveolar air space.86 |
| RSV        | Lung                  | Bronchiolitis, pneumonia, asthma exacerbations | Intratracheal C57BL/6, BALB/c | RSV sensitizes the airway epithelium to subsequent viral and bacterial exposures by up-regulating TLRs and increasing their membrane localization.82
TLR3 contributes to formation of lung oedema through nucleotide/P2Y Purinergic receptor-mediated impairment of alveolar fluid clearance.88 |
| RV1B       | Lung (airways)        | Chronic inflammatory disease of the airways (asthma) | intranasal C57BL/6J | Detrimental role: reduced lung inﬂammatory responses and airways responsiveness; TLR3 initiates pro-inﬂammatory signalling pathways leading to airways inﬂammation and hyperresponsiveness.85 |
| Vaccinia   | Lung, multiorgan      | Respiratory, other            | intranasal C57BL/6               | Detrimental role: increased survival rate and lower viral load in the respiratory tract; less pro-inﬂammatory response in serum, lung, and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.89 |
| MCMV       | Multiorgan            | Systemic                     | intraperitoneal C57BL/6, C57BL/6J × B129 | Protective role: lower survival rate; increased viral load in spleen; and decreased IFN production in serum. No difference in CD8 T or CD4 T cells.84 |
| LCMV       | CNS, multiorgan       | Encephalomyelitis, other      | intraperitoneal, foot pad C57BL/6J × B129 | No difference in CD4 and CD8 T cells; Survival rate and viral load, not tested.84 |
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However, the same group found that serum IFN-α levels, viral load in non-neural tissues, and mortality rates did not differ significantly between MDA5-deficient mice and wild-type mice. In contrast, serum IFN production was abrogated and the viral load in non-neural tissues and mortality rates were both markedly higher in TRIF-deficient and TLR3-deficient mice than in wild-type mice.

These results suggest that multiple pathways are involved in the antiviral response in mice and that the TLR3–TRIF-mediated signalling pathway plays an essential role in the antiviral response against poliovirus infection in the mouse model.

The role of TLR3-mediated signalling in the protection and pathogenesis of TMEV-induced demyelinating disease is intriguing and warrants further investigation. In this case, activation of TLR3 signal transduction before TMEV infection leads to pathogenesis via over-activation of the pathogenic immune response. In contrast, TLR3-mediated signalling during viral infection protects against TMEV demyelinating disease by reducing the viral load and modulating immune responses.

Herpes simplex virus 1 is a major cause of encephalitis, whereas HSV-2 can give rise to meningitis as well as encephalitis, sacral radiculitis and myelitis in humans. The role of TLR3 in herpes simplex encephalitis has been recently studied in the mouse model using the HSV-2 strain. Interestingly, TLR3-deficient mice were hypersusceptible to HSV-2 infection in the CNS after vaginal inoculation. Although TLR3-deficient mice did not exhibit a global defect in innate immune responses to HSV, astrocytes were defective in HSV-induced type I IFN production. Overall, these data suggest that TLR3 acts in astrocytes to sense HSV-2 infection immediately after entry into the CNS, possibly preventing HSV from spreading beyond the neurons mediating entry into the CNS. Although HSV-1 strain has been studied in TLR3-deficient mice, the role of TLR3 in HSV-1 infection of the CNS has not been fully investigated. However, the study above has revealed a key function of TLR3 in CD8 T-cell priming to HSV-1. Finally, the role of TLR3 in reovirus-induced neuropathogenesis has been addressed using the T3 reovirus strain Dearing (T3D). No differences were observed in CNS injury, viral loads, and mortality in TLR3-deficient compared with wild-type mice, suggesting that at least for the T3D strain, TLR3 does not play a significant biological role in reovirus neuropathogenesis.

Viral respiratory infections

The contribution of TLR3 in respiratory viral infections has been tested for rhinovirus, influenza A virus, vaccinia, and respiratory syncitial virus in the mouse. Rhinovirus is the most frequent cause of asthma exacerbations and can be studied in vivo by using the rhinovirus type 1 RV1B strain, a minor group virus that replicates in mouse lungs. By using TLR3-deficient mice, Wang and colleagues showed that lack of TLR3 was beneficial for the infected mice. TLR3-deficient mice showed reduced lung inflammatory responses and reduced airway responsiveness, suggesting that in the context of rhinovirus infection, binding of viral dsRNA to TLR3 initiates pro-inflammatory signalling pathways leading to airway inflammation and hyper-responsiveness. Similarly, in the absence of TLR3, IAV-infected mice had increased

### Table 4 (Continued)

| Virus       | Target organ          | Disease                  | Route of inoculation; model tested | Phenotype in TLR3−/− mice                                                                 |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| VSV         | CNS, multiorgan       | Encephalo-myelitis, other| intravenous C57BL/6J × B129        | No difference in CD4 and CD8 T cells; Survival rate and viral load, not tested.          |
| CVB3        | Heart                 | Chronic myocarditis      | intraperitoneal C57BL/6J           | Protective role: increased viral burden in the heart and serum, but lower IFN-γ and pro-inflammatory responses. |
| CVB4        | Heart, liver          | Chronic myocarditis, hepatitis | intraperitoneal C57BL/6 × B129 NOD | Protective role: lower survival rate; increased viral burden and inflammation in the heart. |
| EMCV, myocarditic variant | Heart | Myocarditis              | intraperitoneal C57BL/6J           | Protective role: lower survival rate; increased viral burden and inflammation in the heart; phenotype rescued by WT NOD macrophages. |
| PTV         | Liver, multiorgan     | Hepatitis, other         | subcutaneous C57BL/6J              | Detrimental role: increased survival rate, lower viral load in serum, and less pro-inflammatory response in liver and serum. |

The role of TLR3 in respiratory viral infections has been tested for rhinovirus, influenza A virus, vaccinia, and respiratory syncitial virus in the mouse. Rhinovirus is the most frequent cause of asthma exacerbations and can be studied in vivo by using the rhinovirus type 1 RV1B strain, a minor group virus that replicates in mouse lungs. By using TLR3-deficient mice, Wang and colleagues showed that lack of TLR3 was beneficial for the infected mice. TLR3-deficient mice showed reduced lung inflammatory responses and reduced airway responsiveness, suggesting that in the context of rhinovirus infection, binding of viral dsRNA to TLR3 initiates pro-inflammatory signalling pathways leading to airway inflammation and hyper-responsiveness. Similarly, in the absence of TLR3, IAV-infected mice had increased

Viral respiratory infections

The contribution of TLR3 in respiratory viral infections has been tested for rhinovirus, influenza A virus, vaccinia, and respiratory syncitial virus in the mouse. Rhinovirus is the most frequent cause of asthma exacerbations and can be studied in vivo by using the rhinovirus type 1 RV1B strain, a minor group virus that replicates in mouse lungs. By using TLR3-deficient mice, Wang and colleagues showed that lack of TLR3 was beneficial for the infected mice. TLR3-deficient mice showed reduced lung inflammatory responses and reduced airway responsiveness, suggesting that in the context of rhinovirus infection, binding of viral dsRNA to TLR3 initiates pro-inflammatory signalling pathways leading to airway inflammation and hyper-responsiveness. Similarly, in the absence of TLR3, IAV-infected mice had increased
survival rates even though the viral burden in lungs was
raised, and it correlated with significantly reduced pro-
inflammatory mediators as well as a lower number of
CD8+ T lymphocytes in the bronchoalveolar airspace,
suggesting a detrimental pro-inflammatory role of TLR3
in the lungs of IAV-infected mice.86

Furthermore, respiratory syncytial virus preferentially
infected airway epithelial cells, causing upper respiratory
infections, asthma exacerbations and pneumonia. Respira-
tory syncytial virus induces TLR3 up-regulation, leading to
a priming of lung epithelial cells for subsequent exposure
to extracellular dsRNA. Upon TLR3 stimulation, activation
of NF-κB and increased levels of IL-8 amplifies epithelial cell
responsiveness, resulting in an altered inflammatory
response.87 Additionally, Aeffner and colleagues reported
that dsRNA induces similar pulmonary dysfunctions to
respiratory syncytial virus infection in mice. Respiratory
syncytial virus induces nucleotide/P2Y purinergic receptor-
mediated impairment of alveolar fluid clearance, which
results in the formation of lung oedema.88

The role of TLR3 in the pathogenesis of vaccinia virus,
a prototype poxvirus, has been investigated using a recombinant strain Western Reserve vaccinia virus that
expresses firefly luciferase in the mouse. TLR3-deficient
mice had substantially lower viral replication in the respi-
rotary tract and diminished dissemination of virus to
abdominal organs. Mice lacking TLR3 had reduced dis-
ease morbidity and lung inflammation that correlated
with reduced recruitment of leucocytes to the lung. Inter-
estingly, mice lacking TLR3 also had lower levels of
inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, monocyte
chemoattractant protein-1 and TNF-α in serum and/or
bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, but levels of IFN-β did not
differ between genotypes of mice.89 Taken together, these
results show that, in the respiratory tract, TLR3 might
have a detrimental role and its stimulation leads to
potential over-activation of the pro-inflammatory
response in the airways that is detrimental to the host.

Viral infections of the heart and the pancreas
Mice that are TLR3-deficient have been shown to be
highly susceptible to encephalomyocarditis virus
(EMCV)90,91 and coxsackieviruses B3 and B492–94 infec-
tions, resulting in significant mortality. These viruses
induce cardiac injury or diabetes depending on the virus
strain, and are considered a model of virus-induced auto-
immune inflammation in the heart and pancreas. Lack of
TLR3 leads to an impaired expression of pro-inflamma-
tory response in the heart and the liver of mice infected
with EMCV, and in the pancreas of mice infected with
the EMCV variant of pancreas β-cell tropism, facilitating
the increment of viral burden in these tissues.108,109
Greater cardiac damage and increased viral load in heart,
surgeon and liver are also observed in TLR3-deficient mice
compared with immunocompetent mice infected with
coxsackieviruses B3 and B4.92,94 Remarkably, TLR3 and
its adaptor TRIF may have differential roles in viral myo-
carditis resulting in different T helper type 2 (Th2)
responses that uniquely influence the progression to
chronic myocarditis. In particular, TLR3-deficient mice
developed an IL-4-dominant Th2 response during acute
coxsackievirus B3 myocarditis with elevated markers of
alternative activation, whereas TRIF-deficient mice had
elevated the Th2-associated cytokine IL-33. Although
TLR3- or TRIF-deficient mice developed similarly worse
acute coxsackievirus B3 myocarditis and viral replication
compared with control mice, disease was significantly
worse in TRIF- than in TLR3-deficient mice. This is the
first report in the literature to demonstrate a differential
role of TRIF and TLR3 in a mouse model of viral patho-
genesis. These findings, although intriguing, suggest that
TLR3 may also signal independently of TRIF under at
least some circumstances. Indeed, emerging evidence
demonstrates the existence of a new branch of TLR3 sig-
nalling that does not lead to gene induction but affects
many cellular properties, such as cell migration, adhesion
and proliferation.95 Surprisingly, for this effect of TLR3
signalling, the adaptor proteins TRIF and MyD88 were
not required. The effects of the new pathway were medi-
ated by the proto-oncoprotein c-Src, which bound to
TLR3 after dsRNA stimulation of cells.96 This is the first
example in the literature of TLR-mediated cellular effects
of an adaptor-independent effect of any TLR.

Viral infections of the liver
Finally, the role of TLR3 in viral hepatitis using TLR3-
deficient mice remains poorly understood. A caveat in
the literature is that the viruses studied so far (EMCV,
coxsackievirus B4, poliovirus and the phlebovirus Punta Toro
virus) are not strictly hepatotropic and induce multi-
organ pathogenesis. Nevertheless, mice lacking TLR3
expression developed higher viral load in the liver and
increased mortality compared with their controls after
intraperitoneal infections with EMCV98 and coxsackievir-
uirus B494 and intravenous infection with poliovirus.79 In
contrast, TLR3-deficient mice demonstrate increased
resistance to lethal infection and have reduced liver dis-
ease associated with hepatotropic Punta Toro virus infec-
tion.96 Although infectious challenge produced comparable liver and serum viral loads, mice lacking
TLR3 were able to clear systemic virus at a slightly faster
rate, and exhibited fewer inflammatory mediators. In par-
icular, TLR3-deficient mice had lower levels of IL-6,
monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, IFN-γ, and RAN-
TES than wild-type animals. Therefore, the TLR3-medi-
ated response to Punta Toro virus infection is
detrimental to disease outcome. Although IL-6 is critical
to establish antiviral defence in this model, it also
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contributes to pathogenesis when released in excess through a TLR3-mediated mechanism. In addition, non-specific activation of innate immunity can drastically enhance susceptibility to immune destruction of the liver through activation of TLR3. Immune destruction of the liver requires first the priming of liver-specific T cells. These activated T cells then have to migrate into the target organ, where autoimmunity finally occurs. Usually, the liver does not attract liver-specific T cells because chemokines are expressed at low levels in the liver. However, pro-inflammatory signals derived from ligation of TLR3 can lead to homing of CD8 T cells to the liver with subsequent enhancement of liver disease by a mechanism mediated by IFN-α- and TNF-α-dependent up-regulation of genes and their products, such as the chemokine CXCL9, involved in T-cell homing and migration. This pathogenic mechanism may explain observations suggesting that autoimmunity, including hepatitis, can be promoted by viral infections.

TLR3: lessons learned from mice

Overall, data from the mouse model suggest that the protective versus pathogenic contribution of TLR3 in viral infections is multifactorial. However, some conclusions can be drawn. First, there are differences among type of viruses, but the role of TLR3 in viral pathogenesis does not exhibit a differential trend among ssRNA, dsRNA and DNA viruses. Second, TLR3 may exhibit differential roles that are tissue specific. In this regard, the detrimental contribution of the TLR3 pathway in autoimmune hepatitis through activation of certain cytokines and chemokines involved in T-cell migration to the liver is remarkable. However, TLR3 is protective in three and detrimental in only one of the four hepatotropic viruses tested to date in the mouse (the phlebovirus Punta Toro virus). Perhaps among these viruses, the pathogenesis of Punta Toro virus represents a better example of virus-induced hepatitis in the absence of other major organ involvement. In the CNS, TLR3 seems to be protective rather than detrimental against infection with certain viruses, and at least in the case of the flavivirus WNV, controversial results remain to be further evaluated. In contrast, studies suggest that TLR3 may have a pathogenic role in the lung at least in the context of the four respiratory viruses that have been evaluated. Third, experimental factors (e.g. route of virus inoculation and mouse strain) may affect the interpretation of the results obtained in certain studies.

Concluding remarks and perspectives

Although the role of TLR3 in protection against viral infections may vary among viruses, a detrimental contribution of TLR3 in viral pathogenesis is emerging from studies in the mouse and in certain viral infections in humans (Fig. 1). TLR3 participates in both defence and offence in host immunity to viruses. A working model of the role of TLR3 in antiviral (protective) and immunoregulatory (detrimental) responses based on data from the literature is shown in Fig. 2. We have much to learn about the mechanisms that drive TLR3-mediated disease in the context of viral infections and, importantly, in autoimmunity and in cancers that occur after certain infections.

The role of TLR3 in the fine-tune regulation of T-cell polarization may have a major pathogenic impact in the context of some viral infections. Virally infected cells generate signals that act on DCs to favour cross-priming. Upon dsRNA recognition, TLR3 induces type-I IFN secretion known to enhance cross-presentation by DCs and to mediate an antiviral immunity against a number of viral infections. This event ultimately results in Th1 responses and cytotoxic T lymphocyte activation. The beneficial or detrimental role of TLR3 balancing the response towards Th1 immunity upon tissue-specific viral infections would greatly diverge depending on the ability of the cellular environment to modulate and balance it by generating a Th2 counterpart response. Moreover, a single viral pathogen conventionally defined as Th1-inducing bears a variety of ligands capable of engaging multiple TLRs that can also stimulate a Th2 response, although to a different degree. Therefore, a better understanding of the Th1/Th2 response modulation through TLR3 stimulation in virus-driven tissue-specific pathogenesis is essential. In the context of chronic RNA viral infections that result in sustained IFN-α/β signalling, without viral control, the TLR3–TRIF axis may play important roles in determining how the balance between antiviral and immunoregulatory pathways affect protective versus detrimental responses.

It will be interesting to determine whether TLR3 has a non-redundant, protective role against viral infections other than in herpes simplex encephalitis in humans. A current challenge is to fully understand this remarkable and perhaps unique role. Another intriguing challenge is to identify TLR3-dependent, TRIF-independent pathways, and their contributions not only during infections but also in autoimmunity and cancer. Our understanding of the potential differential roles of TLR3 in certain organs is in its infancy, and at least in live and kidney, activation of TLR3 may induce autoimmune hepatitis and glomerulonephritis, respectively. Triggering of TLR3 with specific ligands such as poly(I:C) is being evaluated to enhance the antiviral response. Based on the emerging detrimental role of TLR3 in certain diseases, the question remains how therapeutic activation of TLR3 for antiviral purposes may aggravate pathogenesis in some individuals. We can probably expect the development of specific therapeutic approaches to diminish TLR3-driven disease in the future.
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