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Abstract. Online learning is one of the main alternatives to learning during the Covid-19 pandemic and the new normal period. This learning has been implemented at various levels of education with various platforms. Various studies have shown that online learning has varying effectiveness at all levels and types of education. The implementation of online learning is not supported by an in-depth study of student readiness. Student readiness in online learning can be assessed comprehensively from the aspects of equipment capability, technology skills, self-directed learning skills, motivation, and perceived usefulness

1. Introduction

The Covid-19 pandemic crisis had a direct impact on education not only at the international level but at the national level that had not been previously imagined [1], [7]. Covid-19 has even changed the education system related to curriculum, educator functions, student positions and assessments [9]. Covid-19 has also changed the way of educating future generations and even led to redefining the role of educators [15]. Tam and El Azar [19] stated that Covid-19 caused three fundamental changes in the global education. They are how people educated, new solutions in education that could generate the most required innovation and the digital divide that results in changes in education. Covid-19 also teaches the importance of life skills needed in the future era and opens up a wider role for technology in supporting education. Thus, digital technology and learning innovation are two key words in dealing with education in the Covid-19 pandemic.

Various countries have made efforts to overcome the impact of Covid-19 in the field of education, especially learning. The most widely pursued solution related to learning mitigation is distance learning, especially online learning or e-learning. One of the fundamental problems is how student readiness in online learning or e-learning? Various studies need to be carried out in order to obtain a comprehensive formulation of student readiness in online learning. Through this study, it is hoped that more comprehensive online learning preparation steps can be determined.

The rapid growth and popularity in the internet, distance education, and the pandemic crisis have made online education also grown rapidly. E-learning is the use of technology to enhance teaching and learning activities [11]. The main purpose of e-learning is to increase accessibility of education, cost and productivity. Waryanto [27] defines e-learning as the delivery of learning content or learning experience electronically based on multimedia computer. Wang [26] said that the e-learning system is a special type of instructional system. In addition, Holsapple [22] define as a learning using electronics technology such as computer and internet.

The advantages of e-learning utilization include: shorten the learning time, more economical, facilitate the interaction between students with materials, and accessed at any time. There are six key dimensions about e-learning system benefits [4] include: (1) connectivity, which means access to information is available on a global scale, (2) flexibility, which is learning can take place any time, any place, (3) interactivity, that is assessment of learning can be immediate and autonomous, (4) collaboration or the use of discussion tools can support collaborative learning beyond the classroom, (5) extended opportunities in terms of e-content which can reinforce and extend classroom-based learning, and (6) motivation where multimedia resources can make learning fun.
In addition, the benefits of e-learning were enhance independent learning, improve the efficiency of learning, and make lifelong learning opportunity for all.

2. Method
Literature review was conducted which served as the basis for the formulation of student e-learning readiness. There were 16 main literatures considered for analysis and served as the basis for the study.

3. Result and Discussion
In general there are various formulas for assessing online learning readiness. Chapnick [8] defined the e-learning readiness assessment as a process for determining the gap between what students know and what they need to know. She listed eight categories to measure e-learning readiness including: psychological, sociological, environmental, human resources, financial, technological skills, equipment, and content readiness. Borotis and Poulymenakou [6] defined e-learning readiness as the mental or physical preparedness of an organization. E-learning readiness provides key information to organization to prepared e-learning implementation. So and Swatman [22] developed e-learning readiness assessment consist of six components: student's preparedness, teacher's preparedness, IT infrastructure, management support, school culture, and face-to-face. In addition, various studies [5], [14], [18], [28], [10], [20], [21] have also developed online learning readiness assessments in various contexts.

From these various opinions, it can be basically formulated that online learning readiness can be assessed from the aspects of the organization, students, and lecturers. In the context of education, student readiness needs to be formulated specifically. Various studies on student readiness in online learning can be summarized in the following table.

| Table 1. Student e-learning Readiness |
|---------------------------------------|
| **McVay Lynch's (2000)** | **Akaslan & Law (2011)** | **Tang & Chaw (2013)** | **Alem (2014)** | **Doe & Castillo (2017)** | **St. Andrew’s College** | **University of Arkansas** | **SPAHP** |
| 1. Students behavior | 1. Technology | 1. learning flexibility | 1. Self-competence | 1. Student Behavior | 1. Self-directedness | 1. Computer skills | 1. Learning |
| 2. Student attitudes | 2. People | 2. online learning | 2. Usefulness | 2. Learning preferences | 2. Learning preferences | 2. Learning capabilities | 2. Online |
| 3. Content | 3. Student Self Direction | 3. Self-Directed Learning | 3. Student Attitude | 3. Study Habits | 3. Online learning | 2. Time | 3. Management |
| 4. Institution | 4. Technology | 4. Motivation | 4. Learner Characteristics | 4. Technology Skills | 3. Communication and Support | | |
| 5. Acceptance Training | 5. online interaction | 5. Financial | 5. Cognitive Engagement | 5. Computer capabilities | | | |
| 6. Classroom learning | 6. classroom learning | | 6. Emotional Engagement | | | | |
| | | | 7. Behavioral Engagement | | | | |

The synthesis of these various formulations basically results in the conclusion that student readiness in online learning can be assessed from the following aspects: equipment capability, technology skills, self-directed learning, motivation, and perceived usefulness. Equipment capability is related to online learning readiness from the aspect of facilities, especially computer devices and internet access; technology skills related to the ability of students to access and use technology, especially information and communication technology; self-directed learning is related to the student’s ability to learn independently; motivation is closely related to the enthusiasm or self-driving of students in participating in online learning; and
usefullness related to student opinion on the benefits of online learning. The description of these indicators is an instrument for assessing student readiness in online learning according to the actual context.

4. Conclusion
Student readiness in online learning in principle can be assessed from the following aspects: equipment capability, technology skills, self-directed learning, motivation, and perceived usefulness.
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