A transgenic mouse model for monitoring oxidative stress
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Oxidative stress conditions enhance the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) resulting from a variety of stimuli, and are associated with various human diseases, including neurodegenerative disorders, inflammation, and various cancers. Though such associations have been closely studied using animal models, there has been no in vivo system for monitoring oxidative stress. We have developed an oxidative stress indicator that is dually regulated by induction at the transcriptional level, and by protein stabilisation at the post-translational level in Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. In vitro, our indicator elicited an intense and specific signal to oxidative stress among various agents, in a Keap1-Nrf2-dependent manner. Moreover, the transgenic animal expressing the indicator exhibited significant signals upon oxidative stress. These results indicate the usefulness of our system as an indicator of oxidative stress both in vitro and in vivo.

Oxidative stress conditions enhance the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) resulting from a variety of stimuli including ionising radiation, exposure to xenobiotics, electrophilic agents, and diseases¹. Treatment of cells with electrophilic agents usually provokes cellular responses, including transcriptional activation of genes encoding proteins that participate in the defence against oxidative stress.

The Keap1-Nrf2 pathway is a typical cellular defensive system against oxidative stress. Nrf2, a basic region-leucine zipper (bZip) transcription factor, plays a key role in this pathway²–⁴. Under normal conditions, Nrf2 is rapidly degraded by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway through the association with Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH associating protein 1), a substrate adaptor protein of the Cul3-based ubiquitin E3 ligase complex⁵–⁸. Upon exposure to oxidative or electrophilic stress, reactive cysteine residues in Keap1 are covalently modified, leading to the liberation of Nrf2 from Keap1-mediated degradation. The stabilised Nrf2 is then translocated to the nucleus, and interacts with a member of the small Maf family proteins⁹. This complex activates the transcription of a wide range of cytoprotective genes (HO-1, NQO1, GST, etc.) via a cis-acting DNA element known as the antioxidant/electrophile responsive element (ARE/EpRE)¹⁰–¹².

Importantly, both in vitro and in vivo models have shown that the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway is involved in the onset or mitigation of various human diseases, including neurodegenerations like Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)¹³–¹⁴. Moreover, recent studies using Nrf2 knockout mice indicate significant, close relationships between Nrf2 and human diseases¹⁵–¹⁷, including acute lung injury or acute inflammation¹⁸.

Therefore, studies on oxidative stress in vivo should yield crucial information, from both scientific and medical aspects. However, no oxidative stress indicators which could be used in vivo have been reported. To facilitate in vivo analysis, we developed an indicator for oxidative stress in living cells, named OKD48 (Keap1-dependent Oxidative stress Detector, No-48). Here, we describe the specificity and sensitivity of OKD48 as an oxidative stress indicator, and the usefulness of OKD48 transgenic mice.

Results

design and construction of OKD48, a novel oxidative stress indicator. To design the oxidative stress indicator, we used the dual regulating mechanism in the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. The designed indicator consists of the following. First, the Nrf2 fragment which contributes to the stress-dependent stabilisation was fused to luciferase. Second, the resulting fusion gene was expressed by oxidative stress inducible promoter (Fig. 1). Under normal conditions, such a fusion gene is not transcriptionally induced and the leaked fusion protein is degraded by Keap1, and therefore no signal is detected. However, under oxidative stress conditions, the fusion gene is induced at the transcriptional level by endogenous Nrf2, and the resulting protein is stabilised at the post-transcriptional...
level by cancellation of Keap1-mediated degradation. Thus, the dual regulating mechanism produces an intense signal upon oxidative stress (Fig. 1).

To optimise the combination with stress-inducible promoters and the lengths of Nrf2 fragments, several types of construct were tested (Supplementary Fig. 1). As a result, the combination of three repeats of ARE promoter with Nrf2 fragment (a.a.1–433) showed the best response to the oxidative stressors ASN (sodium arsenite) and DEM (dimethylmaleate) (Supplementary Fig. 1). We named this construct OKD48 (Keap1-dependent Oxidative stress Detector, No-48) (Fig. 1), and used it for subsequent experiments.

Characterization of OKD48 in vitro. First, to test the functionality at the cellular level, OKD48 was transiently transfected to cells, treated with various agents, and its activities were evaluated. Among various agents, OKD48 specifically and robustly responded to oxidative stressors (ASN or DEM), and barely responded to other stressors (ER stressors Tun (tunicamycin) or Tg (thapsigargin); reducing reagent DTT (dithiothreitol); apoptosis inducer via DNA damage from topoisomerase II inhibition Etp (etoposide); chemical hypoxia inducer TTFA (thenoyltrifluoroacetone)) (Fig. 2a). The specific response of OKD48 was also confirmed at the protein level: OKD48 protein was detected only with oxidative stressors, not with other agents (Fig. 2b). To check the response of the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway to these agents, the Nrf2 protein was examined by Western blot. As shown in Fig. 2c, the endogenous Nrf2 protein was significantly induced only by ASN or DEM, similarly to the case of OKD48. These results indicated that the response and specificity of OKD48 corresponded with the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. Moreover, the examination of OKD48 with various concentrations of ASN (Fig. 3a) revealed its higher sensitivity than the traditional promoter-driven reporter (5x ARE in Fig. 3b).

The activation of the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway under these conditions was evaluated by the induction of the HO-1 (Heme Oxygenase 1) mRNA, which is the transcriptional target of Nrf2 (Fig. 3c). These results imply the usefulness of OKD48 as an oxidative stress indicator in vitro.

Because OKD48 is regulated by the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway, there was a possibility that OKD48 produced a dominant negative effect on the cells. To eliminate this doubt, we evaluated the induction of the HO-1 (Heme Oxygenase 1) mRNA. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2, HO-1 mRNA was induced at comparable levels both with and without OKD48, indicating that OKD48 does not have a dominant negative effect on the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway.

Next, the effects of Keap1 or Nrf2 for OKD48 were examined in vitro. As expected, the activity of OKD48 was greatly strengthened by overexpression with Nrf2, and also slightly activated by the treatments with ASN or DEM (Fig. 4a; left). The powerful activation by overexpression with Nrf2 was also confirmed at the protein level (Fig. 4a; right). On the contrary, overexpression with Keap1 attenuated OKD48 both with and without oxidative stress, though the stress response was maintained (Fig. 4b; left: cellular assay, right: Western blot). The effect of MG132, a proteasome inhibitor, was also examined. As shown in Fig. 4c, MG132 activated OKD48 more strongly than the oxidative stressors ASN or DEM. The stronger activation by MG132 was also found in endogenous Nrf2 (Fig. 4d). These results support the predicted working model that OKD48 is induced at the transcriptional level by Nrf2, and is degraded/stabilised at the post-translational level by Keap1.

In addition to the luciferase-fused type, we also developed a GFP-fused indicator (Supplementary Fig. 3). Similarly to the luciferase version, the GFP-fused indicator (OKD48-venus) yielded significant fluorescence upon treatment with oxidative stressors (Supplementary

Figure 1 | Schematic of OKD48 function. p(3xARE)TKbasal-hNrf2(1-433)GL4-Flag was generated as the OKD48 construct. In human Nrf2, the Neh2 domain (red) functions as the Keap1 binding and ubiquitinated region, and the Neh1 domain (blue) functions as the DNA binding region. The OKD48 construct has 3xARE promoter (light blue), human Nrf2 (a.a.1–433; purple) and Flag-tagged luciferase (GL4; yellow). Under normal conditions, transcription of the OKD48 construct was not induced, and the leaked OKD48 protein was degraded by the Keap1 (orange) system. Upon oxidative stress, OKD48 was transcriptionally induced by the 3xARE element, and the resulting protein was stabilised by the Keap1 system. Thus, we detected luminescence only in cells experiencing oxidative stress.
Fig. 3a: fluorescence image; Supplementary Fig. 3b: fluorescence intensity with lysates). The specific induction of the GFP-fused indicator was also confirmed at the protein level (Supplementary Fig. 3c). These results suggest that the GFP-fused reporter (OKD48-venus) also functions as an oxidative stress indicator.

Generation of OKD48 transgenic mice. Given the functionality in vitro, we generated OKD48 transgenic mice and performed the following experiments. As expected, the resulting transgenic mice expressed the OKD48 transgene in all the organs that we examined (Fig. 5a). In the in vivo experiment, oxidative stress was induced by the intraperitoneal injection of ASN (Fig. 5) or DEM (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Whole body analysis indicated that the ASN injection elicited significant signals from the thorax, abdomen (and weakly, from the lower abdomen) in the transgenic mice. In contrast, the control transgenic mice that received an injection of PBS showed very little luminescence, comparable with that of wild type mice (Fig. 5b). The signals were further precisely analysed by abdominal operation. The ASN-injected mice showed intense signals in almost all organs (Fig. 5c), and the liver elicited a particularly strong signal (Fig. 5d). Subsequent ex vivo analysis with surgically-eviscerated organs confirmed the signals from the liver, stomach, kidney, and lung (Fig. 5e). Furthermore, the expressions of HO-1 mRNA in the liver were examined (Fig. 5f), and comparison analysis with these data indicated correlated activation between the OKD48 transgene and the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. Also, as HO-1 was induced both in wild type mice and transgenic mice at comparable levels, the OKD48 transgene did not have a dominant negative effect on the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway.

Similarly to the case of ASN injection, luminescence signals were detected in the DEM-injected transgenic mice. Analysis by abdominal operation showed specific signals from the stomach and kidney in the DEM-injected transgenic mice, but not in the wild type mice or control mice (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Subsequent ex vivo analysis confirmed significant signals from surgically-eviscerated stomach
Moreover, the examination of HO-1 mRNA confirmed a significant correlation between the luminescence signals and the activation of the endogenous Keap1-Nrf2 pathway in the kidney of DEM-injected mice (Supplementary Fig. 4c).

Characterization of OKD48 transgenic mice. To test the in vivo functionality, we next performed three oxidative stress assays with OKD48 transgenic mice. First, we elucidated the signal intensity with or without sodium arsenite (ASN) and diethylmaleate (DEM) for 8 hr. Their lysates were subjected to anti-Nrf2, anti-Keap1 or anti-GAPDH Western blotting. (b) Effect of overexpression with Keap1 on OKD48. Assays similar to those in Fig. 4a were performed with the Keap1 overexpression vector. (c) Effect of MG132 on OKD48. The OKD48 construct was transfected into HeLa cells, and luciferase assays were performed after treatment with or without sodium arsenite (ASN), diethylmaleate (DEM), and MG132 for 8 hr. (d) Effect of MG132 on endogenous Nrf2 protein. HeLa cells were treated with or without sodium arsenite (ASN), diethylmaleate (DEM), and MG132 for 8 hr, then their lysates were subjected to anti-Nrf2, anti-Keap1 or anti-GAPDH Western blotting.
ASN, and the signals were further increased with 12.5 mg/kg ASN. However, no significant signal was detected with 6.25 mg/kg ASN or PBS. The examination of HO-1 mRNA confirmed a significant correlation between the luminescence signals and the activation of the endogenous oxidative stress response (Fig. 6c).

Next, we performed multiple-round assays with OKD48 transgenic mice, taking advantage of the less-invasive method of in vivo imaging. As shown in Fig. 6d, the same individuals (upper, middle, lower for each) were sequentially injected with PBS or ASN at an interval of 4 days. The upper and middle were the control experiment confirming that the one-shot injection with ASN elicited significant signals at day 1 and day 5, respectively. Importantly, multiple injections at day 1 and day 5 both elicited intense signals repeatedly (Fig. 6d; lower). This indicated that the oxidative stress signal in OKD48 transgenic mice could be analysed repeatedly with the course of time.

Finally, OKD48 transgenic mice were subjected to UV-A irradiation, a milder and more physiological oxidative stimulus than toxically induced stress. As shown in Fig. 6e, the strong signal was detected with intense irradiation (30 mW per cm²; upper), and a significant signal was also detected even under more moderate condition with weak irradiation (5 mW per cm²; lower). However, no signal was detected before UV-A irradiation. The examination of HO-1 mRNA confirmed activation of the endogenous oxidative stress response with UV-A irradiation (Fig. 6f).

Thus, OKD48 transgenic mice could produce intense signals in line with the degree of stress damage, could be used for multiple-round assays, and could detect both toxically induced and UV-A induced oxidative stress. The OKD48 transgenic mice would thus be useful for identifying organs or cells under oxidative stress in vivo.

**Discussion**

As described in the introduction, many recent studies have reported a significant connection between oxidative stress and various diseases, and so an in vivo imaging system for oxidative stress has been awaited. Although a reporter mouse for oxidant stress has been
Figure 6 | In vivo oxidative stress assay with OKD48 transgenic mice. (a) Luminescence activity with several concentrations of ASN in internal organs. OKD48 transgenic mice were intraperitoneally injected with several concentrations of ASN. After 6 hr, the mice were abdominally operated, and analysed using the in vivo imaging system. (b) Luminescence activity with several concentrations of ASN in liver. Livers in Fig. 6a were surgically eviscerated, and analysed using the in vivo imaging system. (c) Induction of HO-1 mRNA with several concentrations in liver. Total RNAs from each ASN-injected mouse liver were subjected to quantitative PCR analysis. GAPDH was used as an internal standard. (d) Multiple detection of OKD48 signal in vivo. At day 1, OKD48 transgenic mice were intraperitoneally injected with ASN. After 6 hr, the mice were analysed using the in vivo imaging system. After an interval of 4 days (at day 5), the same individuals were re-injected with ASN, and analysed similarly to day 1. (e) Luminescence activity with UV-A irradiation in vivo. Before (left) and after (right) UV-A irradiation (30 mW per cm² (upper), and 5 mW per cm² (lower)), OKD48 transgenic mice were analysed using the in vivo imaging system. (f) Induction of HO-1 mRNA with UV-A irradiation. Total RNAs from mouse skins irradiated with or without UV-A were subjected to quantitative PCR analysis. GAPDH was used as an internal standard.
previously reported\textsuperscript{20}, its performance was not sufficient to detect the stress signal \textit{in vivo}. In this study, we developed an oxidative stress indicator that is dually regulated by induction at the transcriptional level, and by protein stabilisation at the post-translational level in the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway (Fig. 1). Our \textit{in vitro} experiments showed that OKD48 works as a specific and sensitive indicator for oxidative stress, in a Keap1-Nrf2-dependent manner (Fig. 2–4). Also, experiments in transgenic animals showed that OKD48 functions as an indicator for oxidative stress \textit{in vivo} (Fig 5 and 6). This is the first system which can monitor oxidative stress with high sensitivity both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}.

Previously, the activation of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway has been monitored by the Western blot or Northern blot. These methods need cell lysis, involve multiple complicated procedures, and are time-consuming. However, the newly-developed OKD48 system uses the luminescent reaction to overcome these problems and provides a simple, less-invasive, and highly-sensitive detection method both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. This is a major advantage of our system.

The high S/N ratio of our system is achieved by combining the dual regulation mechanism from the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. Previously, ARE-driven reporters have been used as a common tool for identifying transcription activators or inhibitors\textsuperscript{21}. However, these reporters are activated not only by Nrf2 but also by other transcription factors that bind to TRE\textsuperscript{2}. To avoid this issue, we used a GST\(\alpha\) fragment as the ARE promoter (GGAAATGACATTGCTAATGG-TGACAAAAGCAACTTTTGTAAC, which does not contain a typical TRE sequence\textsuperscript{2}. Thus, the ARE-driven reporters are activated not only by Nrf2 but also by other transcription factors that bind to TRE\textsuperscript{2}. To avoid this issue, we used a GST\(\alpha\) fragment as the ARE promoter (GGAAATGACATTGCTAATGG-TGACAAAAGCAACTTTTGTAAC, which does not contain a typical TRE sequence\textsuperscript{2}. Further, given the usefulness of the Nrf2 fusion\textsuperscript{23,24}, we optimised the length of the fused Nrf2 fragment. The resulting fragment (a.a. 1–433 of Nrf2) contains a region other than the Neh2 domain (a.a. 1–93), which mainly contributes to the Keap1 binding and the stabilisation/degradation. This extra region (a.a. 94–433) might also contribute to the stress-dependent stabilization\textsuperscript{27,28}, and thus to the high sensitivity of the OKD48 system. These elements in the OKD48 system at the transcriptional level and post-translational level contribute to minimise the side effects and maximise the sensitivity to oxidative stress.

OKD48 is a highly specific, sensitive system for screening Nrf2 inducers. There is a growing body of research suggesting that Nrf2-activating compounds will be potential therapeutic agents for various diseases such as cancers and neurodegeneration. In animal models, Nrf2-inducing compounds have a powerful ability to suppress chemical carcinogenesis by inducing both phase II detoxifying enzymes and antioxidant enzymes\textsuperscript{29}. It has been reported that in an Alzheimer’s disease model, Nrf2 activation improved symptoms\textsuperscript{30}. To discover efficient Nrf2 inducers, high-throughput screening (HTS) of compound libraries will be one of the most powerful technologies\textsuperscript{31}. We expect that HTS screening with the OKD48 system will allow highly efficient and specific screening of Nrf2 inducers. Moreover, the OKD48 transgenic mice also could be exploited to address various issues regarding oxidative stress in human diseases and drug development. By crossing the OKD48 transgenic mice with a mouse model for a human disease, information about the status of oxidative stress during the course of disease could be obtained. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 5, intraperitoneally injected luciferin could reach the brain, though the efficiency was slightly less than that to the liver. Thus, OKD48 transgenic mice could also be useful for elucidating the oxidative status in a disease model relating to neurodegeneration. The OKD48 construct and the OKD48 transgenic mice could be powerful tools for addressing various issues regarding oxidative stress in human disease and drug development.

However, there are still some limitations to the usefulness of OKD48 transgenic mice. For example, weak oxidative stress associated with chronic diseases might not be detected in the mice. Indeed, we could not detect significant signals under physiological conditions, as detected in the case of our ER stress indicator\textsuperscript{20,29}. One reason would be the low emission from the OKD48, though this indicator has an excellent S/N ratio. By fusing with the Nrf2 fragment, the luminescence ability of the luciferase portion might be partially inhibited. The use of other types of luminescence protein which have stronger light-emitting ability would overcome this problem; further research on this issue is required.

Nevertheless, OKD48 transgenic mice may provide valuable information regarding the oxidative status during development and under pathological conditions. Transient induction of oxidative stress in a limited portion of tissue may be a crucial trigger for disease progression. The OKD48 transgenic mice reported here will help clarify the mechanisms involved in oxidative stress and disease.

**Methods**

**Plasmid construction.** To make p(3xARE)TKbasal, a PCR-amplified ARE fragment (from mouse GST\(\alpha\) promoter ACTAGTACTAGTGAAAAATGGCATTGCTAATGG-TGACAAAAGCAACTTTTGTAAC, attached restriction sites are underlined) was digested with SpeI-XbaI and self-ligated to form a triple-repeat fragment, and further inserted into pTKbasal with XbaI-SpeI sites, which are located in the 5’ site of TK basal promoter\textsuperscript{32}. The cDNA encoding human Nrf2 (a.a. 1–433 in Fig. 1, or 1–93, 1–433, full-length in Supplementary Fig. 1) was PCR-amplified and inserted into the p(3xARE)TKbasal (or pTKX in Supplementary Fig. 1), with Kpn1-Xhol sites. The DNA encoding luciferase (GL4) was PCR-amplified with 1X Flag-tag on its terminal, and inserted into p(3xARE)TKbasal-hNrf2(1–433) with Xhol-Nhel sites. The resulting p(3xARE)TKbasal-hNrf2(1–433)-GL4-Flag was used as the OKD48 construct. We constructed its GFP version, p(3xARE)TKbasal-hNrf2(1–433)-Venus-Flag, in a similar way and used it as the OKD48-venus construct.

The overexpression vector of human Nrf2, pCAX-hNrf2, was constructed by inserting a PCR-amplified human Nrf2 fragment into pCAX with Kpn1-Xhol sites. The overexpression vector of human Keap1, pCAX-hKeap1, was constructed by inserting a PCR-amplified human Keap1 fragment into pCAX with HindIII-Xhol sites.

**Cell culture, transfection, and treatment.** HeLa cells and HEK293T cells were cultured at 37°C in DMEM supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine serum, in an atmosphere containing 5% CO\(_2\). The calcium phosphate–DNA precipitation method was used to introduce plasmid DNA into the cells. To test cellular response to drugs, cells were treated with 10 µM (or various concentrations in Fig. 3) ASN (sodium arsenite), 100 µM DEM (diethylmaleate), 200 µM H\(_2\)O\(_2\), 2.5 µg/ml tunicamycin, 1 µM DTT, 100 µM etoposide, 100 µg/ml TFA (thenoyltrifluoroacetone), or 20 µM MG132 for the indicated time.

**Luciferase assay.** Dual luciferase assay was performed using the dual luciferase assay system (Promega) and a luminometer (Berthold). As an internal control, pRL-TK (Promega) was used. The results are shown as mean ± s.e.m. from triplicate experiments. Each value is shown as a fold induction normalised to that of each non-treated (for Fig. 2a, 3a and b), non-treated without Nrf2 overexpression (for Fig. 4a), or non-treated without Keap1 overexpression (for Fig. 4b), the value of which was set at 1.0. As a promoter-driven oxidative stress reporter, Cignal Reporter Assay Kit ARE (QIAGEN) was used in Fig. 3b.

**Western blot analysis.** Cells were lysed in SDS sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 50 mM DTT, 1% glycerol and 1 µg/ml bromophenol blue). The lysate was heated to 98°C for 10 min, and SDS-PAGE was used to resolve the proteins in the lysate. After electrophoresis, the proteins were electrotransferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride microporous membrane and immunodetected with a monoclonal antibody to luciferase (Promega), monoclonal antibody to GFP (Nacalai Tesque), monoclonal antibody to GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology), monoclonal antibody to Keap1 (Cell Signaling Technology), or polyclonal antibody to Nrf2 (Santa Cruz) using standard procedures. The lysates from mice tissues were analysed similarly.

**Quantitative PCR analysis.** Quantitative PCR analysis of each transcript was performed using a TaqMan probe and 7900HT (Applied Biosystems) in Fig. 5f, Supplementary Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 4c, and StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems) in Fig. 3c, 6c and f in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions with GAPDH transcripts as an internal control. Results are expressed as mean ± s.e.m. from triplicate experiments using RNA isolated from three independent samples. The probe/primer sets Hs011112050_m1 and Mm00516005_m1 were used for the quantification of human H0-1 and mouse HO-1 transcript, respectively.
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Transgenic mice. The 4.5-kb SpeI-5fl fragment of p3xARE/TKbshw-bnrf2 (1–433)-GL4-Flag was microinjected as a transgene into fertilised C57BL/6 mouse eggs, and the transgenic offspring were screened by PCR using the primers 5′-ATC ACC AGA ACA CTC AGT GG-3′ and 5′-ACT CGG CCG ATG TAA TGT CTC-3′. The resulting mice were used for in vivo imaging analysis. To induce oxidative stress for mice, ASN (12.5 mg/kg) and various concentrations in Fig. 6a and b) or DEM (3 mmol/kg)15 were intraperitoneally injected. As a control for ASN, PBS was injected15. As a control for DEM, corn oil was injected15. UV irradiation was performed with a Blak-Ray High Intensity Lamp (100W, B-100A, 115V (UVP)) which emitted radiation of wavelength above 320 nm, providing 5 mW or 30 mW per cm2 UV-A. After 6–8 hr, the mice were intraperitoneally injected with D-luciferin (0.15 mg/g body weight) in PBS, and analysed using the in vivo imaging system IVIS (Xenogen) according to standard protocols. Liver, kidney, stomach, and lung were collected surgically from mice 10 minutes after luciferin injection, immersed in 300 μg/ml D-luciferin, and analysed. All experimental protocols involving animals were approved by the Animal Studies Committees at RIKEN and Gunma University.
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