Pegylated interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C
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AIM: To study the safety and efficacy of pegylated interferon alfa-2b, indigenously developed in India, plus ribavirin in treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV).

METHODS: One-hundred HCV patients were enrolled in an open-label, multicenter trial. Patients were treated with pegylated interferon alfa-2b 1.5 μg/kg per week subcutaneously plus oral ribavirin 800 mg/d for patients with genotypes 2 and 3 for 24 wk. The same dose of peginterferon plus weight-based ribavirin (800 mg/d for ≤ 65 kg; 1000 mg/d for > 65-85 kg; 1200 mg/d for > 85-105 kg; 1400 mg/d for > 105 kg body weight) was administered for 48 wk for patients with genotypes 1 and 4. Serological and biochemical responses of patients were assessed.

RESULTS: Eighty-two patients (35 in genotypes 1 and 4 and 47 in 2 and 3), completed the study. In genotype 1, 25.9% of patients achieved rapid virologic response (RVR); while the figures were 74.1% for early virologic response (EVR) and 44.4% for sustained virologic response (SVR). For genotypes 2 and 3, all patients bar one belonged to genotype 3, and of those, 71.4%, 87.5%, and 64.3% achieved RVR, EVR, and SVR, respectively. In genotype 4, 58.8%, 88.2%, and 52.9% of patients achieved RVR, EVR, and SVR, respectively. The majority of patients attained normal levels of alanine aminotransferase by 4-12 wk of therapy. Most patients showed a good tolerance for the treatment, although mild-to-moderate adverse events were exhibited; only two patients discontinued the study medication due to serious adverse events (SAEs). Eleven SAEs were observed in nine patients; however, only four SAEs were related to study medication.

CONCLUSION: Peginterferon alfa-2b, which was developed in India, in combination with ribavirin, is a safe and effective drug in the treatment of HCV.
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Core tip: In a multicenter study, the safety and efficacy of pegylated interferon alfa-2b, indigenously developed...
in India, plus ribavirin was evaluated on 100 hepatitis C virus (HCV) patients with genotypes 1, 2, 3, and 4. Eighty-two patients completed the study. Most patients had mild-to-moderate adverse events, although 11 serious adverse events were reported in 9 patients. However, only 4 of these were related to study medication. The percentage of serologic response (rapid virologic response, early virologic response, and sustained virologic response rates) of patients was similar to that reported in published studies. In conclusion, peginterferon alfa-2b, developed in India, is a safe and cost-effective drug in the treatment of Indian patients with HCV infection.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization's estimates, over 170 million people (3% of the world's population) are infected with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide[1]. Each year, about five million people are newly infected, and more than 350,000 people, despite availability of treatment, die from HCV-related complications[2]. Hepatitis is an emerging infection in India, with a paucity of large scale prevalence studies on hepatitis C in the general population. The reported prevalence rates also vary widely (range 0.09% to 7.89%)[3]. However, regardless of prevalence rates, the burden of HCV infection in India is expected to be high with a population over 1.2 billion; as a result, its treatment modalities, as well as success rates, demand attention.

The HCV genotype plays a significant role in therapeutic guidelines, since HCV genotypes 1 and 4 are more resistant to treatment compared to HCV genotypes 2 and 3. Yet, irrespective of genotype, pegylated interferon, in combination with ribavirin, is considered the gold standard in the treatment of chronic HCV infection[4-7]. Currently, both pegylated interferon alfa-2a and pegylated alfa-2b are available in India. These drugs are exorbitantly priced and are not easily accessible to the majority of Indian patients. In view of this, Virchow Biotech developed pegylated interferon alfa-2b from Escherichia coli by using recombinant DNA technology, and priced it competitively. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pegylated interferon alfa-2b in chronic hepatitis C patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

Male and female patients aged 18-65 years-old (both years inclusive) that attended the outpatient department of 12 hospitals were screened. 100 consecutive patients were enrolled if they had chronic hepatitis C infection as per the following criteria: presence of HCV RNA and persistent elevation of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels 1.5 times greater than normal (N < 40 IU/L); compensated liver disease at the time of baseline visit as defined by Child-Pugh class A; hemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL (females), ≥ 10 g/dL (males); platelet count ≥ 75 × 10^9/L; neutrophil count ≥ 1.5 × 10^9/L; and thyroid stimulating hormone within normal limits (0.35-5.50 mIU/mL). Only treatment naïve patients were included in the study. Patients were excluded if they had evidence of other liver diseases such as hepatitis A virus, hepatitis B virus, alfa-2 antitrypsin deficiency, Wilson’s disease, primary biliary cirrhosis, autoimmune liver disease, or hemochromatosis. Other criteria for exclusion were: chronic alcoholism; history of drug abuse; immune suppression associated with organ transplantation; history of hypersensitivity to interferon or its diluents; significant psychiatric disease, especially depression; severe cardiovascular disease; patients with co-infection of human immunodeficiency virus infection; and pregnant and lactating women. Study procedures were explained to each participant and written informed consent was obtained before enrolment into the study.

Study design

This is an open-label, multicenter study that was conducted, with the approval of the Drugs Controller General of India, at 12 centers across eight Indian cities between March 2010 and March 2013. The study, conducted in accordance with principles under the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and later revisions, was initiated after obtaining approval of the study protocol from the institutional ethical committee at respective centers. This trial was registered in Clinical Trial Registry India (CTRI/2011/000028).

Treatment regimen

Treatment consisted of the administration of peginterferon alfa-2b (manufactured by Virchow Biotech Private Ltd, Hyderabad, India) 1.5 μg/kg per week subcutaneously, in combination with ribavirin 800 mg/d orally, for patients with genotypes 2 and 3 for 24 wk. The same dose of peginterferon was administered in combination with weight-based ribavirin (800 mg/d for ≤ 65 kg; 1000 mg/d for > 65-85 kg; 1200 mg/d for > 85-105 kg; 1400 mg/d for > 105 kg body weight) for 48 wk for patients with genotypes 1 and 4.

Dose modification/discontinuation

Ribavirin dose was reduced to half if hemoglobin level was < 10 g/dL; treatment was discontinued if hemoglobin level was < 8.5 g/dL. Peginterferon dose was reduced to half in patients with white blood cells (WBC) < 1.5 × 10^9/L, neutrophils < 0.75 × 10^9/L, or platelet count < 50 × 10^9/L. Peginterferon treatment was discontinued in
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patients with WBC < 1.0 × 10^9/L, neutrophils < 0.5 × 10^9/L, or platelet count < 25 × 10^9/L.

**Assessment of efficacy**

The primary efficacy endpoint was the percentage of patients with sustained virologic response (SVR), defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA 24 wk after cessation of therapy. Secondary efficacy endpoints were: rapid virologic response (RVR), defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA at week 4; early virologic response (EVR), defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA at weeks 24 and 48; with normalization of ALT at weeks 12, 24, 48, and 24 after cessation of therapy (only for patients with genotypes 1 and 4). Data on non-responders, relapse, and breakthrough were also collected. Non-responders were defined as those who failed to clear HCV RNA from serum after 24 wk of therapy. Relapse was defined as undetectable HCV RNA at the end of treatment, followed by the reappearance of HCV RNA during follow-up. Breakthrough was defined as undetectable HCV RNA during treatment, followed by the appearance of HCV RNA, despite continued treatment.

Blood samples were obtained for serologic tests for quantitative HCV RNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) at baseline and at weeks 4, 12, 24, and 48 for genotypes 2 and 3; while for genotypes 1 and 4 this was at baseline and at weeks 4, 12, 24, 48, and 72. Cobas Taqman HCV test (Roche), using the real-time PCR method with a lower detection limit of < 25 IU/mL, was employed for quantification of HCV RNA in serum. A linear array detection kit from Roche was used in HCV genotyping.

**Assessment of safety**

Vitals (respiratory rate, pulse rate, body temperature, and blood pressure), hematology (complete blood picture, hemoglobin, and platelet count), and ALT levels were measured at each visit. Biochemical parameters (serum lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine, potassium, and phosphorus) were also measured at specified screening visits; weeks 4, 12, 24, and 48 for genotypes 2 and 3, and weeks 4, 12, 24, 48, and 72 for genotypes 1 and 4. Patients were monitored for adverse events (AE) and medication compliance throughout the duration of study. Adverse events were graded as mild, moderate, or severe. Treatment was suspended or modified according to the severity of adverse events. The dosage of peginterferon alfa-2b, ribavirin, or combination of the two was again increased to the original level after the resolution of adverse events. Serious adverse events (SAEs) were documented and communicated to the institutional ethics committee and Drugs Controller General of India.

### Sample size

Various trials conducted on patients with genotypes 1 and 4 or 2 and 3 have reported around 40%-80% SVR, which reflects the efficacy of peginterferon alfa-2b in the treatment of HCV 1, 2, 6-8. In our earlier pilot study conducted on 25 patients with HCV infection, a SVR of 60% was observed. Considering the 60% efficacy, 95%CI, 80% power, and 15% error with a 15% dropout rate with two tailed t-test, the calculated sample size was 100 patients.

### Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as mean (SD). Since an open-label study design was adopted, efficacy assessment basically relied upon descriptive statistics rather than inferential analysis. Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was carried out on the population that included all patients who met the eligibility criteria and had received at least one dose of the investigational drug during the study period. Per protocol analysis was also carried out, which included patients who completed the stipulated study period. Safety parameters, such as vital signs and laboratory findings including hematology and biochemical parameters, were analyzed by repeated measure analysis of variance. Two-sided P-values were reported, with those less than 0.05 being considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 19.0 for Windows.

### RESULTS

**Patients’ characteristics**

A total of 100 consecutive patients with chronic hepatitis C who met the inclusion/exclusion criteria were enrolled into the study. Among them, 27 pertained to genotype 1, 17 for genotype 4, only one for genotype 2, and 55 for genotype 3. Since there was only one patient with genotype 2, the results presented on genotypes 2 and 3 basically represent only those of genotype 3. The demographic and baseline characteristics of the 100 enrolled patients are presented in Table 1. At baseline, values of hematomatological and biochemical investigations were within normal limits except for liver function tests such as serum ALT, aspartate aminotransferase, and alkaline aminopentosidase.

---

**Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients with genotypes 1, 3 and 4**

| Parameter               | Genotype 1 (n = 27) | Genotype 3 (n = 56) | Genotype 4 (n = 17) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Age (yr)                | 41.9 ± 13.2         | 41.7 ± 10.9         | 46.3 ± 9.3          |
| Weight (kg)             | 60.5 ± 12.0         | 63.3 ± 11.5         | 63.7 ± 10.8         |
| Male number (%)         | 19 (70.3%)          | 11 (19.7%)          | 11 (64.7%)          |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)       | 14.1 ± 1.6          | 13.8 ± 1.9          | 14.2 ± 1.2          |
| White blood cell count (10^9/L) | 6062 ± 1682        | 7086 ± 1886         | 7201 ± 1886         |
| Neutrophils (%)         | 58.4 ± 8.4          | 56.0 ± 11.8         | 53.3 ± 8.0          |
| Platelet count (10^9/L) | 200 ± 80            | 199 ± 78            | 170 ± 50            |
| Alanine Aminotransferase (U/L) | 88.1 ± 41         | 127.7 ± 87.4        | 104.9 ± 61.1        |
| HCV RNA log10 IU/mL     | 5.5 ± 1.2           | 5.4 ± 1.1           | 5.5 ± 0.9           |

1 Includes one patient with genotype 2; 2: Value in percentage. HCV: Hepatitis C virus.
phosphatase. Barring serum ALT levels, other demographic, hematological, and biochemical parameters, including HCV RNA levels, were not significantly different between genotypes 1, 3, and 4. The mean ALT levels in genotype 3 patients were significantly higher \((P < 0.02)\) than those in genotype 1; but these were similar to those of genotype 4.

Figure 1 shows the flow of patients through the study. Among the 100 patients, 82 completed the study. Eighteen patients did not complete the study for the following reasons: lost to follow-up \((8)\), withdrew \((6)\), discontinued due to SAE \((2)\), and discontinued therapy due to non-response by the investigator \((2)\). Treatment compliance was monitored by maintaining a patient dairy. During the study period, the mean daily intake of ribavirin was \(14.3 \pm 1.84 \text{mg/kg body weight}\) in genotypes 1 and 4 and \(12.84 \pm 2.29 \text{mg/kg body weight}\) in genotype 3.

### Treatment response

Overall, 57%, 84%, 72%, and 57% of enrolled patients achieved RVR, EVR, ETVR, and SVR, respectively. Results on virologic response of genotypes 1, 3, and 4, evaluated by ITT and per protocol analysis, are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Data on the percentage of patients with normalization of ALT at weeks 4, 12, and 24 of treatment, at the end of treatment (week 48 in genotypes 1 and 4, and week 24 in genotypes 2 and 3), and at 24 wk after cessation of therapy are presented in Table 4. In general, the majority of patients, irrespective of their genotype, attained normal levels of ALT by 4 to 12 wk of therapy and the effect was sustained even during follow-up. Mean ALT levels during different study periods are presented in Figure 2.

### Side-effects

The majority of patients tolerated the scheduled treatment with peginterferon and ribavirin, though with the usual known adverse events with these drugs. Adverse events were analyzed for safety of peginterferon alfa-2b and presented in Table 5. Ninety-one patients reported 638 adverse events; 95 events by genotype 1 patients, 68 events by genotype 4 patients, and 165 events in geno-

### Tables

**Table 2** Percentage of patients who responded in terms of rapid virologic response, early virologic response, end of treatment virologic response, and sustained virologic response in genotypes 1, 3 and 4 by intention-to-treat analysis

| Parameter | Genotype 1 \((n = 27)\) | Genotype 3 \((n = 56)\) | Genotype 4 \((n = 17)\) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RVR       | 25.9% \((7/27)\) | 74.1% \((40/54)\) | 58.8% \((10/17)\) |
| EVR       | 74.1% \((20/27)\) | 100% \((49/49)\) | 88.2% \((15/17)\) |
| ETVR      | 84.2% \((16/19)\) | 89.8% \((44/49)\) | 75.0% \((12/16)\) |
| SVR       | 60.0% \((12/20)\) | 76.6% \((36/47)\) | 60.0% \((9/15)\) |

**Table 3** Percentage of patients who responded in terms of rapid virologic response, early virologic response, end of treatment virologic response, and sustained virologic response in genotypes 1, 3 and 4 by per protocol analysis

| Parameter | Genotype 1 \((n/N)\) | Genotype 3 \((n/N)\) | Genotype 4 \((n/N)\) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RVR       | 25.9% \((7/27)\) | 74.1% \((40/54)\) | 58.8% \((10/17)\) |
| EVR       | 74.1% \((20/27)\) | 100% \((49/49)\) | 88.2% \((15/17)\) |
| ETVR      | 84.2% \((16/19)\) | 89.8% \((44/49)\) | 75.0% \((12/16)\) |
| SVR       | 60.0% \((12/20)\) | 76.6% \((36/47)\) | 60.0% \((9/15)\) |

**Table 4** Percentage of patients with normalization of alanine aminotransferase levels during different study periods \(n(\%)\)

| Weeks | Genotype 1 \((n = 27)\) | Genotype 3 \((n = 56)\) | Genotype 4 \((n = 17)\) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 4     | 16 \((59.2)\)   | 27 \((48.2)\)   | 8 \((47.0)\)    |
| 12    | 17 \((62.9)\)   | 29 \((51.7)\)   | 8 \((47.0)\)    |
| 24    | 17 \((62.9)\)   | 35 \((62.5)\)   | 9 \((52.9)\)    |
| 48    | 17 \((62.9)\)   | 40 \((71.4)\)   | 11 \((64.7)\)   |
| 72    | 17 \((62.9)\)   | -               | 12 \((70.6)\)   |

**Table 5** Adverse events by genotype.

| Genotype | Total events | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1        | 95          | 84      | 3       | 1       | 7       |
| 3        | 68          | 36      | 20      | 13      | 9       |
| 4        | 165         | 130     | 32      | 13      | 10      |

This includes one patient with genotype 2.

- RVR: Rapid virologic response; EVR: Early virologic response; SVR: Sustained virologic response; ETVR: End of treatment virologic response.
Infection with HCV is one of the most important medi-
cal and public health problems worldwide in view of its life-threatening complications, including hepatocellular carcinoma, cirrhosis, and liver failure\cite{8-10}. The goal of therapy in chronic HCV infection is to achieve SVR and thereby prevent long-term complications. Despite the promising role of new antiviral therapies\cite{11}, the use of pegylated-interferon alfa combined with ribavirin continues, to date, to be the standard care in treatment of HCV infection.

Since genotype constitutes one of the important determinants of the course and outcome of therapy, 24 or 48 wk combination therapy with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin has been recommended for genotypes 2 and 3 and genotypes 1 and 4 patients, respectively\cite{12,13}. The present open-label, multicenter study using standard-of-care therapy was undertaken to establish that the safety and efficacy of peginterferon alfa-2b is comparable to the results of historical controls in the treatment of chronic HCV infection.

One-hundred eligible patients with chronic HCV infection were enrolled, with the majority (55%) having HCV genotype 3 which is in accordance with the published prevalence studies conducted in India\cite{14,15}. There was only one patient with genotype 2, which is rare among Indians, and thus it should be noted that the reported combined results of patients with genotypes 2 and 3, in fact, only reflects those of genotype 3. Anticipating 15% attrition, 100 patients were enrolled. However, there was instead an 18% dropout, and as a result, 82 patients completed the specified study period of therapy.

Since the dose and duration of therapy were different, the data on outcome measurements were analyzed separately for genotypes 1 and 4 and for genotypes 2 and 3. The SVR (44.4%) observed in the present study for genotype 1 is comparable with those of reported studies\cite{16-18}. In genotypes 2 and 3, 64.3% of patients achieved SVR, which is comparable with the conformity figure results reported by Manns et al.\cite{19}. The rates of SVR in treatment naïve genotype 2 patients were reported to be 86.5%\cite{20}, which is higher than that of genotype 3. Since our genotype 2 and 3 patients, except for one, belonged to genotype 3, a lower SVR (64.3%) was observed in the present study. In genotype 4, 52.9% patients achieved SVR, which is comparable with values from published studies\cite{21,22}. Apart from genotype, baseline viral load has been shown to be one of the determinants of SVR\cite{21}. However, perhaps due to the small number of patients covered in the present study, our stratified statistical analysis showed that baseline viral load had no impact on SVR.

In view of the cost factor and incidence of adverse events with peginterferon use during long-duration treatment, individualized treatment, based on the results of RVR and EVR, has been emphasized. In this respect, the presence of RVR is highly predictive of ultimate SVR with a full treatment course of 48 wk in genotype 1 patients\cite{23}. In the current study, all genotype 1 patients (n = 7) who achieved RVR also attained SVR; while a study reported a SVR rate of 86.8% in patients with RVR\cite{24}. In genotype 4 patients, 80% with RVR attained SVR,

### DISCUSSION

Infection with HCV is one of the most important medi-
cal and public health problems worldwide in view of its life-threatening complications, including hepatocellular carcinoma, cirrhosis, and liver failure\cite{8-10}. The goal of therapy in chronic HCV infection is to achieve SVR and thereby prevent long-term complications. Despite the promising role of new antiviral therapies\cite{11}, the use of pegylated-interferon alfa combined with ribavirin continues, to date, to be the standard care in treatment of HCV infection.

Since genotype constitutes one of the important determinants of the course and outcome of therapy, 24 or 48 wk combination therapy with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin has been recommended for genotypes 2 and 3 and genotypes 1 and 4 patients, respectively\cite{12,13}. The present open-label, multicenter study using standard-of-care therapy was undertaken to establish that the safety and efficacy of peginterferon alfa-2b is comparable to the results of historical controls in the treatment of chronic HCV infection.

One-hundred eligible patients with chronic HCV infection were enrolled, with the majority (55%) having HCV genotype 3 which is in accordance with the published prevalence studies conducted in India\cite{14,15}. There was only one patient with genotype 2, which is rare among Indians, and thus it should be noted that the reported combined results of patients with genotypes 2 and 3, in fact, only reflects those of genotype 3. Anticipating 15% attrition, 100 patients were enrolled. However, there was instead an 18% dropout, and as a result, 82 patients completed the specified study period of therapy.

Since the dose and duration of therapy were different, the data on outcome measurements were analyzed separately for genotypes 1 and 4 and for genotypes 2 and 3. The SVR (44.4%) observed in the present study for genotype 1 is comparable with those of reported studies\cite{16-18}. In genotypes 2 and 3, 64.3% of patients achieved SVR, which is comparable with the conformity figure results reported by Manns et al.\cite{19}. The rates of SVR in treatment naïve genotype 2 patients were reported to be 86.5%\cite{20}, which is higher than that of genotype 3. Since our genotype 2 and 3 patients, except for one, belonged to genotype 3, a lower SVR (64.3%) was observed in the present study. In genotype 4, 52.9% patients achieved SVR, which is comparable with values from published studies\cite{21,22}. Apart from genotype, baseline viral load has been shown to be one of the determinants of SVR\cite{21}. However, perhaps due to the small number of patients covered in the present study, our stratified statistical analysis showed that baseline viral load had no impact on SVR.

In view of the cost factor and incidence of adverse events with peginterferon use during long-duration treatment, individualized treatment, based on the results of RVR and EVR, has been emphasized. In this respect, the presence of RVR is highly predictive of ultimate SVR with a full treatment course of 48 wk in genotype 1 patients\cite{23}. In the current study, all genotype 1 patients (n = 7) who achieved RVR also attained SVR; while a study reported a SVR rate of 86.8% in patients with RVR\cite{24}. In genotype 4 patients, 80% with RVR attained SVR,
whereas the published study reported 86%\(^{23}\). Similarly, among the genotype 3 patients who had RVR, 83.3% attained SVR, which is similar (83.7%) to that reported in the literature\(^{35}\). This further confirms the utility of RVR in predicting SVR.

Among the patients who attained EVR, 10 (76.9%) in genotype 1 and 9 (75%) in genotype 4 achieved SVR. In patients with genotypes 2 and 3, the percentage with ETVR attaining SVR was 100%. This is in line with the literature\(^{28}\), which shows that patients with genotype 3 who fail to achieve EVR also fail to achieve SVR. Since the duration of treatment for genotypes 2 and 3 is only 24 wk, it has been reported that EVR testing is not cost-effective in these patients\(^{35}\). This indicates that utility of RVR is higher than EVR in the prediction of SVR.

Overall, 16 patients had relapse; 5 (31.2%) patients in genotype 1, 8 (18.2%) patients in genotypes 2 and 3, and 3 (25%) patients in genotype 4. Among the 100 patients, 5 were non-responders to the study treatment; 1 (3.7%) patient in genotype 1; 2 (3.6%) patients in genotypes 2 and 3, and 2 (11.7%) in genotype 4. In addition 4 patients had breakthrough during the treatment; 2 (7.4%) patients in genotype 1; 1 (1.8%) patient in genotype 3, and 1 (5.8%) patient in genotype 4.

Biochemical response of peginterferon alfa-2b was assessed by the percentage of patients attaining normalization of ALT levels. Overall, the majority of patients (51%) had normalization of ALT levels as early as week 4. This denotes that peginterferon is very effective in producing a biochemical response in patients with chronic hepatitis C. The treatment was well-tolerated in the majority of patients, though with the common side-effects usually attributed with interferon or ribavirin. In 32% of patients, temporary dose modifications in peginterferon (4%), ribavirin (24%), or both (4%), and temporary discontinuation of therapy in 10% of patients, were required. Though 11 SAEs were observed in 9 patients, only 4 were related to study medication, with such SAEs also distributed with interferon or ribavirin. In 32% of patients, though with the common side-effects usually at peak level. This is a straightforward clinical control study.
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