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Recent developments in nanoscience and technology have addressed many of the problems associated with water quality. Accordingly, using the technological outputs of the recent research on nanomaterials, the best solution for the purification of water is highlighted in this review. Herein, the main objective is to provide mechanistic insight into the synthesis of various inorganic nano-adsorbents and their adsorption chemistry for poisonous metal ions present in polluted water. Initially, the toxicity and carcinogenicity of As³⁺, Pb²⁺, Cr⁶⁺, Cd²⁺, and Hg²⁺ metal ions are highlighted. For the removal of these toxic ions, this review focuses on eco-friendly nano-adsorbents. The various preparation procedures utilized for the preparation of nano-adsorbents are briefly discussed. Generally, this is because of the adsorption capacity of nano-adsorbents depends on their morphology, shape, size, surface area, surface active sites, functional groups, and quantization effect. Also, due to the importance of their mechanism of action, the recent developments and challenges of novel nano-adsorbents such as metal oxides, core shell nanoparticles, magnetic nano ferrates, and functionalized core shell magnetic oxides and the processes for the treatment of water contaminated by toxic metal ions such as As³⁺, Pb²⁺, Cr⁶⁺, Cd²⁺, and Hg²⁺ are exclusively reviewed. Further, the adsorption efficiency of inorganic nano-adsorbents is also compared with that of activated carbon derived from various sources for all the above-mentioned metal ions.

1. Introduction

Pure water, free from toxic compounds and pathogens, is crucial to the health of living beings, and is also essential in many industries. Unfortunately, society has been exposed to limitless toxic chemicals, polluted water, and hybridized and genetically reformed foods. Impure water containing toxic pollutants from many industries, including the chemical, pharmaceutical, battery, metallurgical, leather, and mining industries, is discharged into natural water resources, although it poses a threat to living organisms.

The presence of heavy metal ions (specific density >5 g cm⁻³) in water has been found to have deleterious effect on living organisms. Among the various water contaminants, the most frequently present and hazardous toxic metals include arsenic (As³⁺), lead (Pb²⁺), chromium (Cr⁶⁺), cadmium (Cd²⁺), and mercury (Hg²⁺). These toxic metal ions induce toxicity to cells and make them malfunction by replacing the healthy metal ions present in their protein binding sites. There are several reports that confirm that these toxic heavy metal ions cause oxidative deterioration of biological macromolecules by binding to DNA.

Therefore, it is urgent to develop suitable techniques to efficiently remove toxic metal ions from polluted water. Among the various available techniques, the adsorption approach has been proven to be the most effective method for the removal of metal ions.
ions. The engineered nanomaterials used as adsorbents in this technique should possess a high surface area, tuneable morphology, and good chemical and thermal stability. Hierarchical inorganic nanostructures are favourable for metal ion adsorption since they possess a high surface area, numerous adsorption sites, adjustable chemical compositions and organized hierarchical porosity on different scales.
Among the various inorganic nanoadsorbents, functionalised nanomaterials such as MgO, Fe3O4, Al2O3, MnO2, TiO2, ZnO, CeO2, CuS, and NiP and their core shell nanocomposites have been proven to be very efficient for the adsorption of toxic metal ions.21–26 Researchers have exhibited more interest in magnetic metal oxides than regular nanoscale metal oxides because they can be easily extracted from water under a magnetic field, and thus easily recycled.27–28 Accordingly, the use of these magnetic adsorbents is essential to reduce the cost and improve the adsorption efficiency for the treatment of wastewater.

This review aims to provide an overview about the importance of removing toxic metal ions, particularly As3+, Pb2+, Cr6+, Cd2+, and Hg2+, and highlight their toxicity and carcinogenicity with their mechanisms. For the removal of these toxic metal ions, hierarchically structured inorganic nanoadsorbents with an eco-friendly nature and high surface area developed by various research groups are carefully selected, and their synthesis and adsorption mechanism are systematically discussed. The inorganic nanoadsorbents covered herein all possess hierarchical morphologies such as flowers, tubes, rods, spheres, and core–shell structures. The surface area and active sites of these structures are generally higher than plane/normal structures, and hence their adsorption efficiency is also higher. However, in this review, it is not the aim to provide in-depth information as presented in other review articles, instead the goal is to provide a compendium of this interesting research area using a finite number of examples, focussing on mechanistic aspects. Therefore, some of the important topics, such as nano-assisted bioremediation, ion-exchange, metal ion sensors, and microbial fuel cells, although interesting, are not covered in this work. In this review article, a brief view on several typical important hierarchically inorganic nanoadsorbents with high surface areas are discussed, including their morphological characterization, adsorption behavior with respect to As3+, Pb2+, Cr6+, Cd2+, and Hg2+ in water systems under different experimental conditions, necessary adsorption mechanism, and their recyclability.

2. Toxicity and its mechanism

The severity of the toxicity of all the above-mentioned metal ions is presented in Fig. 1. The exposure of the skin to significant levels of arsenic has been reported to cause skin cancer, as shown in Fig. 1(a).29 The toxic effects of lead, as shown in Fig. 1(b), mainly include neurocognitive, cardiovascular and psychiatric problems.30 Chromium Cr(vi) is very toxic, and causes lung cancer, nasal irritation, nasal ulcers, and contact dermatitis, as shown Fig. 1(c). Excessive exposure to cadmium causes bone demineralization (Fig. 1(d)), impairs lung function and increases the risk of lung cancer. Acute exposure to both inorganic and organic mercury causes damage to the gastrointestinal tract, nervous system (Fig. 1(e)), lungs, kidneys, and digestive and immune systems.31–33

2.1. Arsenic: mechanism of its toxicity and carcinogenicity

The toxic nature of arsenic (As) is mainly associated with the effects of trivalent arsenite [As(III)] and pentavalent arsenate [As(v)]. As(III) can deactivate over 200 enzymes by linking covalently to the thiol and sulphydryl groups of proteins.41–45 As(III) inhibits various cellular enzymes such as pyruvate dehydrogenase by reducing pyruvate to acetyl coenzyme A (CoA).46 In addition, it also stops the synthesis of one of the most powerful cellular antioxidants, glutathione (GSH). The toxicity of As(v) occurs via its reduction to As(III),47 which replaces the phosphate ion in glycolytic and cellular respiration.48–50 During the biotransformation of arsenic, inorganic arsenic species (iAs) are converted enzymatically into monomethylarsonic acid (MMA) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA)31 by bacteria, algae, fungi and humans, as shown in Fig. 2.

\[
i\text{As(v)} \rightarrow i\text{As(III)} \rightarrow \text{MMA(IV)} \rightarrow \text{MMA(III)} \rightarrow \text{DMA(v)}
\]

During the above detoxification process, end-products such as MMA(IV) and DMA(v) are expelled through the urine. However, MMA(III) remains inside the cell as an intermediate product, which is responsible for arsenic-induced carcinogenesis.52

Fig. 2 represents the typical carcinogenic mechanisms of arsenic compounds. Their carcinogenesis is due to the induction of oxidative stress by ROS species.53 The structural changes in the mitochondrion by arsenic inactivates the mitochondrial enzymes, resulting in the loss of the mitochondrial membrane potential. It is also predicted that the reduction of O2 by As(III) liberates H2O2 and/or arsenic peroxyl radicals, causing DNA damage, as shown in Fig. 2.

2.2. Lead: mechanism of its toxicity and carcinogenicity

Recent articles on the effects of lead show that it severely affects the nervous system, causing multiple neurological disorders such as nerve damage, learning and developmental disabilities, behavioral problems, brain damage, and perhaps Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia, and Alzheimer’s disease.55–58 Together with brain damage, lead also induces kidney damage, gastrointestinal diseases, high blood pressure, and vitamin D metabolism.59 The toxicity mechanisms of lead are because it mimics the actions of metal ions and interacts with proteins. By substituting the essential divalent cations (Ca2+, Fe2+, and Mg2+) and also by binding to the sulphydryl (–SH) and amide groups (–CONH2) of enzymes, lead modifies their configuration and
weakens their activities, resulting in malfunction of the host protein. Recent findings on apoptosis in human cancer cells by lead exposure demonstrated that it is caused by oxidative stress-activated cellular and molecular reactions,\textsuperscript{14} transcripational activation of stress genes,\textsuperscript{60} DNA damage,\textsuperscript{61} externalization of phosphatidylserine, and activation of caspase 3.\textsuperscript{62}

### 2.3. Chromium: mechanism of its toxicity and carcinogenicity

The highly mobile Cr(\textit{VI}) ion is considered to be one of the most toxic heavy metal ions. The chronic consumption of Cr(\textit{VI}) compounds damages the lungs and nasal passage, and causes sinus cancer, severe dermatitis, severe liver abnormalities, \textit{etc}. As reported by IARC, Cr(\textit{VI}) compounds (\textit{e.g.}, CrO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}) are similar to sulfate and phosphate, and thus can easily be substituted by cells \textit{via} the anionic transport system. The negatively charged CrO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} can easily pass through the anionic channels in cellular membranes, and then undergo reduction to Cr(\textit{III}) ions. These ions cross-link DNA and proteins, glutathione, ascorbate, \textit{etc}. The formation of ROS, especially hydroxyl free radicals, is shown in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, the reduction of Cr(\textit{VI}) produces glutathione-thiyl radicals, which\textsuperscript{63} further reduce O\textsubscript{2} to O\textsuperscript{2-} radicals. Further, Cr(\textit{VI}) and Cr(\textit{III}) in the intermediate steps undergo Fenton-type reactions, which generate hydroxyl free radicals (‘OH). The experiments conducted by Ye and co-workers\textsuperscript{64} confirmed that the generation of ‘OH in cells by Cr(\textit{VI}) causes severe cytotoxicity. Their study mainly confirmed that the formation of DNA adducts by chromium is the main cause for carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{65}

### 2.4. Cadmium: mechanism of its toxicity and carcinogenicity

Cadmium, Cd(\textit{II}), which has a biological half-life of 30 years, is stored in the liver and kidney, and causes acute tumours in the lungs and kidneys.\textsuperscript{66} Since Cd(\textit{II}) has a stable oxidation state of +2 (redox-inactive), it can replace Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and Cu\textsuperscript{2+} ions from the intracellular depots of ferritin and apo ferritin,\textsuperscript{67} inducing oxidative stress, as shown in Fig. 4. Also, it causes a deficiency in iron by binding with glutamate, cysteine, aspartate and histidine ligands. Furthermore, Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, which is present in metallothionein, can also be replaced by Cd\textsuperscript{2+} because they have the same oxidation state, thus hindering it from acting as a free radical scavenger within the cell.\textsuperscript{68} As presented in Fig. 4, cadmium helps in the generation of ROS by inhibiting complex III of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, which further leads to the accumulation of semiquinones and the formation of O\textsuperscript{2-} radicals. It also indirectly triggers oxidative stress by obstructing the communication between SOD and catalase, which are antioxidant enzymes,\textsuperscript{69} thereby increasing the levels of O\textsuperscript{2-} and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, and subsequent lipid peroxidation.

### 2.5. Mercury: mechanism of its toxicity and carcinogenicity

Various studies have shown that the cytotoxic effect of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} is linked to cellular oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{70–72} It is believed that the cytotoxicity of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} is due to its ability to covalently bond with thiols and selenols, which further decreases the thiol and selenol-based antioxidant buffers (glutathione) in cells. It also changes the redox state of the sulphhydril lateral chain of cysteines of several enzymes.\textsuperscript{73–75} Because of these chemical modifications, the balance between the production and scavenging of ROS is disturbed. This is one of the reasons for
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**Fig. 3** Typical mechanism of chromium carcinogenicity (ref. 54).

**Fig. 4** Typical mechanism of cadmium carcinogenicity (ref. 54).

**Fig. 5** Typical mechanism of mercury carcinogenicity (ref. 77).
mercury ion toxicity. The selenol group (–Se–H) group present in several antioxidant enzymes such as glutathione peroxidases and thioredoxin reductase mainly exists in the dissociated nucleophilic form (–Se–). The selenol group (–Se–H) is more nucleophilic and shows much higher reactivity toward Hg2+ than the –SH group, as shown in Fig. 5. Therefore, Hg2+ inactivates the enzymes by binding to the selenol (–Se–) group present in the catalytic seleno-cysteine residue of thioredoxin reductase and glutathione peroxidase. In the catalytic cycle, as shown in Fig. 5, glutathione peroxidase converts H2O2 into two reduced glutathione molecules (GSH) into their oxidized form (GSSG). Similarly, thioredoxin reductase oxidizes the thioredoxin (Txr) by simultaneously reacting with NADPH. At the expense of mitochondria, the reduced Txr helps to retain the redox state of protein cysteines (P) from H2O2-mediated oxidation. With the help of the mitochondrial isoform of SOD2, O2 mediated oxidation. With the help of the mitochondrial isoform of SOD2, O2-mediated oxidation.

3. Adsorption process and removal mechanism of toxic metal ions

3.1 Absorption and adsorption

Absorption is the bulk phenomenon in which one substance (absorbate) in the gaseous, liquid or solid form enters the volume (bulk) of another substance (absorbent), specifically, a solid. It is an endothermic process, in which the absorbed substance remains intact in the absorbent due to the presence of empty space, but they do not have any chemical interaction with each other. However, once the absorbent is absorbed, it cannot be separated easily. In contrast, the adsorption process in exothermic in nature, which occurs on the surface of a substrate (adsorbent), where the adsorbates (gas, liquids or solids) loosely stick to the surface of the adsorbent (solid or liquid) through physical or chemical interaction. Generally, absorption occurs at the macroscopic scale and adsorption at the nanoscopic scale.

3.2 Adsorption phenomena and isotherms

Adsorption is a surface phenomenon, in which the adsorbate (in gas/liquid/molecular form or ionic form) binds to the adsorbent (solid) surface. The adsorption of molecules can take place in two ways, i.e. "physiosorption" and "chemisorption". In physiosorption, the molecules or ions adsorb on the adsorbate via weak electrostatic interactions and van der Waals forces. However, in the case of chemisorption, the adsorbates are attached to the adsorbent surface via strong chemical bonds. In this review, we mainly discuss adsorption related to water treatment, which involves liquid–solid adsorption systems. Here, the metal ions present in the water act as the adsorbate and are adsorbed on the surface of nanoadsorbents, which are in the solid state. The type of interaction between the adsorbent (solid) and adsorbate (metal ions) in liquid medium depends on the surface properties of both of them. If physical forces hold both the adsorbent and adsorbate together, then the adsorption can be multilayer and reversible. However, if they are held by chemical bonds, then the adsorption occurs only as monolayer and is irreversible. It has been observed that under favorable experimental conditions, both physiosorption and chemisorption processes can occur simultaneously or independently. Physiosorption is exothermic and results in a decrease in the free energy and entropy of the adsorption system. The adsorption mechanism of toxic metal ions by inorganic nanoadsorbents can be explained in two ways. The first mechanism involves the formation of chemical bonds between heavy metal ions and the functional hydroxy/thiol group on the surface of the nanoadsorbent, forming inner complexes. Secondly, via the electrostatic attraction between heavy metals and the negatively charged –O– groups on the surface, forming outer complexes.

In the water purification process, adsorption results in the removal of metal ions from solution and their accumulation at the solid surface of nanoadsorbents. When adsorption reaches the maximum, the metal ions remaining in the solution reaches a dynamic equilibrium with the nanoadsorbent. The rate at which equilibrium is achieved depends on many parameters such as the surface area of the adsorbent, active sites, surface charge density of metal ions, pH, temperature and concentration of the adsorbate.

In the case of the adsorption of toxic metal ions in contaminated water, solutions with different concentrations of toxic metal ions are prepared, while maintaining the required pH. Then, a fixed quantity (e.g. 5 mg and 10 mg) of the adsorbent sample is added to a known volume (e.g. 10 mL and 15 mL) of toxic metal ion solution under constant stirring. After stirring for some time, the solid adsorbents are extracted from the solution and the residual concentrations of toxic metal ions are analyzed via UV-visible absorption spectral analysis or inducively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and compared with the initial metal ion concentrations. The adsorption isotherm can be recorded by changing the initial toxic metal ion concentration and stirring for a fixed duration at 25 °C. Using eqn (1), the value of qe (equilibrium adsorption efficiency in mg g⁻¹) can be calculated.

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}
\]

where \(C_0\) = initial metal ion concentration (mg L⁻¹), \(C_e\) = equilibrium metal ion concentration (mg L⁻¹), \(V\) = volume (mL) of metal ion solution, and \(m\) = mass (mg) of adsorbents.

For the rate of the adsorption in a liquid medium involving a solid adsorbent, the rate law can be determined using the slowest steps among the following:

(a) Transportation of the metal ions from the bulk of the solution to the solid adsorbent via liquid medium.

(b) Diffusion of metal ions across the liquid medium surrounding the nanoadsorbent particles to the bare adsorbent surface.

(c) Diffusion of metal ions across the liquid medium into the pores of the adsorbent via intraparticle diffusion.

(d) Adsorption of the metal ions on the adsorbent surface either by surface reaction or physical processes.
(e) Desorption of the metal ions from the solid adsorbent surface (in the case of reversible adsorption).

In most cases, the overall rate of adsorption is controlled by the slowest among the above. However, for some complex systems, the rate of adsorption depends on the combination of two or more steps among those listed above. To understand the adsorption rate and mechanism, various types of isotherm models have been used over the years, some with firm theoretical foundation, while others are empirical in nature. Among them, two models, namely the Freundlich and Langmuir models, are mostly followed for the adsorption of heavy metals in water, as presented in this review. The Langmuir adsorption model can be demonstrated by the following equation. This model assumes that the adsorption sites in an adsorbent are finite and have equal energy, and there is no transmigration of the adsorbate in the plane of the surface, resulting in monolayer adsorption. The adsorption data is analysed according to the linear form of the Langmuir isotherm as follows (eqn (2)):

\[
\frac{C_e}{q} = \frac{1}{K q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}
\]

where \( q \) = adsorption efficiency in mg g\(^{-1}\), \( q_m \) = maximum adsorption capacity in mg g\(^{-1}\), \( C_e \) = equilibrium concentration in mg L\(^{-1}\) of metal ion solution, and \( K \) = Langmuir adsorption equilibrium constant (L mg\(^{-1}\)).

The Freundlich isotherm is considered to be multilayer, nonideal, reversible adsorption at a heterogeneous surface with all its adsorption sites possessing different binding energies. Thus, the adsorbent surface has a spectrum of different binding energies, rather than one uniform energy. The Freundlich equation is commonly presented as (eqn (3)):

\[
\log q_e = \log k_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]

where \( k_F \) is the Freundlich constant (mg g\(^{-1}\))(L mg\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/n}\) and \( 1/n \) is the heterogeneity factor.

### 3.3 Categories of nanoadsorbents

Commonly used nanoadsorbents are classified based on their chemical composition and their functionalisation, which make their surface adsorption sites behave completely different. The nanoadsorbents are classified as follows.

(a) Metallic nanoadsorbents: various nanostructured and functionalised gold NPs, silver NPs, copper NPs, nickel NPs, platinum NPs, and palladium NPs.

(b) Metal oxide nanoadsorbents: various nanostructured and functionalised ZnO, MgO, CaO, TiO\(_2\), CuO, SnO\(_2\), NiO, MnO\(_2\), etc.

(c) Magnetic nanoadsorbents: various nanostructured and functionalised Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), CoO, Co\(_3\)O\(_4\), NiO, ferrates of cobalt (CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)), nickel (NiFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)), manganese (MnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)), zinc (ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)), and copper (CuFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)); manganese compounds; core–shell structure; etc.

(d) Metal chalcogenide nanoadsorbents: various nanostructured and functionalised Mo\(_2\)S\(_2\), WS\(_2\), MoSe\(_2\), WSe\(_2\), CuS, CuSe, MnS, MnSe, CoS, NiS, FeS, Fe\(_2\)S\(_3\), etc.

(e) Carbonaceous nanoadsorbents (CNMs): carbon nanotubes (CNTs), carbon nanoparticles (CNPs), graphene and their functionalised materials.

(f) Silicon nanoadsorbents (SiNMs): silicon nanotubes (SiNtNs), silicon nanoparticles (SiNPs), and silicon nanosheets (SiNSs).

### 4. Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of toxic metal ions from water

#### 4.1 Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of arsenic ions

Arsenic (As) is a highly toxic and carcinogenic metal ion, and thus its presence in water can cause a major threat to the ecosystem and human beings. It is found in two main forms, As(III) and As(V), of which, As(III) is more toxic due to its high affinity to the thiols (–SH) sites of proteins in the human body. The IARC and EU categorize arsenic and its compounds (As\(_2\)O\(_3\), As\(_2\)O\(_5\) and arsenate salts) as group 1 carcinogens. These carcinogenic arsenic compounds were removed from water via a simple adsorption method using potential micro/nanostructures adsorbents, which possessed a very high surface area with unique hierarchical structures, by several research groups. Shuliang Yang et al. developed hierarchical microspheres of MgO, which effectively captured As(III) ions from water. The hierarchical flower-like MgO hollow microspheres with a high surface area were prepared via the calcination of magnesium glycolate precursors at 500 °C for 2 h in air. As shown in Fig. 6(a) and (b), the SEM and TEM images confirm the flower-like morphology of MgO with a hollow structure. For the adsorption studies, arsenate solutions of different concentrations were prepared using Na\(_2\)H\(_2\)AsO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O and the pH was adjusted to 7. The adsorption experiments were conducted for different arsenate concentrations at room temperature using 8 mg of flower-like MgO adsorbent with stirring for 12 h. After stirring, the upper solution was extracted, and the concentration of arsenate was analyzed via inductively coupled plasmaatomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). The adsorption kinetics was studied by using the Langmuir model, where each adsorption site on the surface had identical binding sites, and thus was described as monolayer adsorption. The adsorption efficiency with respect to As(V) ions for the flower-like MgO hollow spheres was compared with that of commercial MgO, as displayed in Fig. 6(c). The results confirmed that the adsorption rate of the flower-like MgO hollow spheres (569.7 mg g\(^{-1}\)) was 7.6 times of higher than that of the commercial MgO, as presented in Fig. 6(d). This value is considered to be the highest among the reported MgO and other nanoadsorbents.

Similarly, Swasmi Purwajanti et al. prepared hierarchical MgO spheres with a uniform size of 10 μm via a precipitation-aging calcination procedure (Fig. 6(e)). It was found that the MgO microspheres were actually constituted by a large number of nano-scale sheets (Fig. 6(f)). Their experimental studies confirmed that the aging temperature played a major role in obtaining the hierarchical MgO microspheres. At 60 °C, they obtained both micro-scale rods and spheres (Fig. 6(f)), and at
Fig. 6  (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of flower-like MgO hollow spheres. (c) Adsorption rates and (d) adsorption isotherm curves of As(V) adsorption on the flower-like MgO hollow spheres (red line) and commercial MgO (violet line). (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 90] Copyright © (2016) the Royal Society of Chemistry.) (e and f) SEM images of MgO–500 and MgO–500 prepared at different aging temperatures (g) 60 °C and (h) 70 °C. (i) As(III) adsorption isotherm, (j) arsenic removal percentage. (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 91] Copyright © (2015) the American Chemical Society) (k) FESEM image and (l) TEM image of as-prepared hollow nest-like α-Fe2O3 nanostructures. (m) Adsorption isotherms of As(III) and Cr(VI) using the hollow nest like α-Fe2O3 nanostructures. (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 92] Copyright © (2013) the American Chemical Society.) (n) SEM (inset: magnified image) and (o) TEM of FeMn$_x$O$_y$@FeOOH. (p) Residual As (total As, As(III), and As(V)) concentration in solution and its removal rate by FeMn$_x$O$_y$@FeOOH. (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 93] Copyright © (2018) the American Chemical Society.)
a temperature above 70 °C, only micro-size spheres made up of nano-scale sheets were obtained (Fig. 6(g) and (h)). The MgO microspheres calcined at different temperatures were used for the adsorption of As(III) ions in water. For effective adsorption results, 20 mg of MgO was dispersed in 50 mL of As(III) solution (different concentrations ranging from 50 to 400 ppm) and stirred for 24 h at 200 rpm. After the stirring was complete, the adsorbent was extracted by centrifugation and the remaining As(III) in the extracted solution was analyzed via ICP-OES. For the solution containing an As(III) concentration of 400 ppm, the MgO microspheres calcined at 400 °C (labelled as MgO-400), possessed an adsorption capacity of about 545 mg g⁻¹, which was highest value recorded. However, the MgO microspheres calcined at 700 °C (MgO-700), only possessed an adsorption capacity of 148 mg g⁻¹. This confirmed that the calcination temperature plays a major role in the adsorption capacity for As(III).²⁹

Furthermore, compared with other nano metal oxides and commercially available arsenic adsorbents, the MgO microspheres reported in this work shows a higher adsorption efficiency for As(III). According to Fig. 6(i), it was observed that with an increase in C₀ or Cₑ, the As(III) adsorption by the MgO-400 adsorbent also increased. Using the Langmuir adsorption isotherm, qₘₐₓ and Kₐ were calculated to be 595 mg g⁻¹ and 0.040 L mg⁻¹ at 25 °C, and based on the Freundlich adsorption isotherm, K’ₐ and ‘n’ were found to be 87 mg g⁻¹(1−1/n) and 2.6, respectively. This ‘n’ value of 2.6 (between 2 and 10) confirms that the arsenite anions can be easily adsorbed (Fig. 6(j)).²⁹

Zhenhua Wei et al.²⁹ synthesized hollow nest-like α-Fe₂O₃ spheres via a glycerol-mediated microwave-assisted hydrothermal method. As shown in Fig. 6(k) and (l), the α-Fe₂O₃ spheres (~400 nm) were composed of nanorods with an aspect ratio 5. To study the removal efficiency of the nanoadsorbents for As(V) and Cr(VI), the corresponding metal ion solutions of various concentrations including 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500 ppm were prepared using Na₃H₂AsO₄, 7H₂O and K₂Cr₂O₇, respectively. About 15 mg of hollow α-Fe₂O₃ nanoadsorbent was added to 30 mL of the above metal ion solution and stirred constantly for 10 h. After equilibrium was achieved, the solid and liquid phases were immediately separated, and the resultant concentration of As(V) or Cr(VI) in the remaining solutions was determined by ICP-OES. To study the adsorption rate, As(V) and Cr(VI) solutions with an initial concentration of 40 ppm were used. The adsorption efficiency of the nest-like α-Fe₂O₃ spheres was studied using the initial concentration of 40 ppm As⁵⁺ and Cr⁶⁺ solutions (Fig. 6(m)). The hollow nest-like α-Fe₂O₃ showed a removal efficiency of 88% for As(V) and 67% for Cr(VI) within 120 min. Using the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model, as shown in Fig. 6(m), the maximum adsorption capacity was calculated to be ~75.3 mg g⁻¹ for As(V) and ~58.6 mg g⁻¹ for Cr(VI). These values are much higher than that of previously reported nanomaterials.²⁹

Lu-Bin Zhong et al.²⁹ prepared 3D urchin-like Fe–Mn binary oxide (UFMO) via a one-pot template-free method without the use of a surfactant. The as-synthesized UFMO consisted of an amorphous FeMnO₂ binary oxide core and a well-aligned α-FeOOH nanorod shell having a high specific surface area of 142 m² g⁻¹. Owing to its 3D hierarchical morphology with heterogeneous surface chemical composition due to the core shell combination, it could efficiently adsorb heavy metal ions in water. As shown in the SEM and TEM images (Fig. 6(n) and (o), respectively), UFMO is composed of a spherical core with an
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**Table 1** Comprehensive comparison of the adsorption efficiency of various nanoadsorbents used for the removal of arsenic (As(III) and As(V)) ions

| Nano-adsorbent | Morphology                             | BET surface area (m² g⁻¹) | Toxic ion adsorbed | Max. removal capacity (mg g⁻¹) | Ref. |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| α-Fe₂O₃        | Hollow nest-like spheres               | 152.42                    | As(v)              | 75.3                           | 92   |
| α-FeOOH        | Chrysanthemum-like micro-spheres       | 120.8                     | As(v)              | 66.2                           | 94   |
| α-Fe₂O₃        | Flowers                                | 40                        | As(v)              | 7.6                            | 95   |
| α-Fe₂O₃        | Flowers                                | 130                       | As(v)              | 51                             | 96   |
| Commercial α-Fe₂O₃ | Bulk powder                           | 2                         | As(v)              | 0.3                            | 96   |
| α-FeOOH        | Hollow urchin-like spheres             | 96.9                      | As(v)              | 58                             | 97   |
| CeO₂           | Flowers                                | 34.1                      | As(v)              | 14.4                           | 98   |
| CeO₂           | Hollow nanospheres                     | 72                        | As(v)              | 22.4                           | 99   |
| MgO-400        | Nanosheets calcined at 400 °C          | 194                       | As(III)            | 545                            | 91   |
| MgO-500        | Nanosheets calcined at 500 °C          | 122                       | As(III)            | 502                            | 91   |
| MgO-600        | Nanosheets calcined at 600 °C          | 34                        | As(III)            | 339                            | 91   |
| MgO-700        | Nanosheets calcined at 700 °C          | 16                        | As(III)            | 148                            | 91   |
| Fe₂O₃-MnO₂     | Core–shell nanoplates                  | 124.85                    | As(III)            | 72.8                           | 100  |
| Fe–Mn binary oxide | Nanowires                             | 57.6                      | As(III)            | 171                            | 101  |
| Granular activated carbon (GAC) | Porous surface                        | 1124                     | As(v)              | 1.430                          | 102  |
| Iron impregnated granular activated carbon (Fe-GAC) | Porous surface with aggregated particles | 876                      | As(v)              | 1.013                          | 102  |
| CeO₂ coated powdered activated carbon | —                                    | 635                      | As(v)              | 12                             | 103  |
| Cerium oxide modified activated carbon | Rough surfaces with pores              | 414.4                    | As(III)            | 43.60                          | 104  |

---
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average diameter of 250 nm and numerous nanorods 125 nm in length and 15 nm in width. For the adsorption studies, about 100 mL of the 100 ppb As(III) or Cd(II) solution was added to a 150 mL flask together with 50 mg L⁻¹ UFMO nanoadsorbent, and the mixture was constantly stirred at 200 rpm for 6 h at 25 °C with the pH maintained at 7.0 ± 0.1 and 6.5 ± 0.1 for the As(III) and Cd(II) solutions, respectively. All the samples were filtered using Millipore membrane filters (0.22 μm) and their metal ion concentration was determined by ICP-OES. As shown in Fig. 6(p), just 0.05 g L⁻¹ of UFMO could efficiently decrease 100 ppb As(III) to less than 1 ppb, which is below the maximum permissible limit (5 ppb) recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) for drinking water.

The various morphological architectures of the inorganic nanoadsorbents used for the adsorption of toxic arsenic ions in water are listed in Table 1. Among the nanoadsorbents listed in Table 1, hierarchical α-Fe₃O₄ with hollow nest-like spheres with the highest BET surface area 152.42 m² g⁻¹ and exhibits the highest adsorption efficiency of 75.3 mg g⁻¹ for As(Ⅴ) ions. The hierarchical MgO-400 nanosheets calcined at 400 °C (ref. 91) with the highest BET surface area of 194 m² g⁻¹ exhibits the highest adsorption efficiency of 545 mg g⁻¹ for As(Ⅲ) ions. The high adsorption efficiency of the nest-like α-Fe₃O₄ and flower-like MgO can be attributed to their hierarchical unique morphologies and high surface area.

### 4.1.1. Mechanism of arsenic adsorption.
Hierarchically nanostructured metal oxides possess a high surface area and suitable surface functional groups that can adsorb metal ions through chemisorption. It is well known that the sorption behavior for arsenic is largely dependent on the metal ion oxidation state, sorbent phase, sorbent surface area, surface binding sites and solute pH.

In a typical adsorption process, as presented in Fig. 7, the hierarchically nanostructured metal oxide adsorbent undergoes hydrolysis in aqueous medium, resulting in the formation active hydroxy binding sites. The active hydroxy groups on the surface of the adsorbent bind the As⁵⁺ and As³⁺ ions. The high adsorption efficiency of metal oxide nanostructures for both As⁵⁺ and As³⁺ can be ascribed to following processes: (1) the formation of M(OH)ₓ (x = 2 and 3) (eqn (1)) active sites on the surface of metal oxide MO nanostructures in aqueous medium and (2) monodentate and bidentate complex formation on the surface between As⁵⁺ and As³⁺ species with the surface hydroxyl groups (eqn (3)).

**Aqueous protonation reaction of arsenate (As⁵⁺) and arsenite (As³⁺) is as follows:**

Arse nate protonation reaction in aqueous medium:

\[
\text{AsO}_4^{3-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HAsO}_4^{2-} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{AsO}_4^{3-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^- \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{AsO}_4^{3-} + 3\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 \quad (6)
\]

Arsenite protonation reaction in aqueous medium:

\[
\text{AsO}_3^{3-} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HAsO}_3^{2-} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{AsO}_3^{3-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_3^- \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{AsO}_3^{3-} + 3\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3 \quad (9)
\]

**Surface complexation reaction (adsorption)**

**Arsenate adsorption**

\[
\text{M-OH} + \text{AsO}_4^{3-} + 3\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{MH}_2\text{AsO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{M-OH} + \text{AsO}_4^{3-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{MH}_2\text{AsO}_4^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{M-OH} + \text{AsO}_4^{3-} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{MAO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (12)
\]

**Arsenite adsorption**

\[
\text{M-OH} + \text{AsO}_3^{3-} + 3\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{MH}_2\text{AsO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\text{M-OH} + \text{AsO}_3^{3-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{MH}_2\text{AsO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (14)
\]

The adsorption of arsenic ions also depends on the pH of the solution. It is observed that when the solution is highly acidic (pH = 2), there is an increase in the positively charged sites on the surface due to protonation of the adsorbent, which favors the electrostatic attraction between MO and negatively charged arsenate ions. However, at higher pH values, there is an increase in the number of hydroxyl groups on the MO surface, which enhances its affinity towards As(Ⅲ) and As(Ⅴ). These observations confirm that MO adsorbents can be used in a wide pH range for the removal of arsenic in water.

### 4.2 Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of lead, Pb(Ⅱ), ions

Recently, researchers have demonstrated that inorganic metal oxides, hydroxides, and oxy hydroxides are efficient adsorbents for the removal of Pb(Ⅱ) metal ions in water.¹⁰⁵,¹⁰⁶

Hui Li et al.³⁴ synthesized chrysanthemum-like α-FeOOH microspheres with a diameter of about 1 μm using an H₂O/
Fig. 8  (a) SEM image of the as-obtained chrysanthemum-like \( \alpha \)-FeOOH; insets: high-magnification SEM image (upper right) and TEM image (lower right) of an individual structure. (b) Time-dependent concentration of As(\( \text{V} \)) and Pb(II). (c) Adsorption isotherms of As(\( \text{V} \)) and Pb(II) using chrysanthemum-like \( \alpha \)-FeOOH (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 94] Copyright \( \text{\textcopyright} \) (2011) the Royal Society of Chemistry.) (d) SEM and (e and f) TEM images of as-synthesized MnO\(_2\) samples. (g) Relationship between the removal efficiency and time for the adsorption of Pb(II), Cd(II), Cu(II) and Cr(\text{VI}) by MnO\(_2\). (h) Relationship between the removal efficiency and time for the adsorption of Pb(II) by MnO\(_2\). (i) Pseudo-second-order kinetics for the adsorption of Pb(\text{II}) on the MnO\(_2\) sample (\( T = 30\, ^\circ\text{C} \); absorbent dose = 100 mg L\(^{-1}\); and Pb(\text{II}) concentration: a = 10 mg L\(^{-1}\); b = 30 mg L\(^{-1}\); and c = 50 mg L\(^{-1}\)). (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 107] Copyright \( \text{\textcopyright} \) (2014) the Royal Society of Chemistry.) SEM images of (j) flower-like Mg(OH)\(_2\) spheres and (k) nZVI@Mg(OH)\(_2\). (l) Comparison of Pb(II) removal by nZVI@Mg(OH)\(_2\), nZVI, and Mg(OH)\(_2\). (m) Comparison of Pb(II) removal capacity and efficiency by nZVI@Mg(OH)\(_2\) and weighted sum of the value by nZVI and Mg(OH)\(_2\) alone. (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 108] Copyright \( \text{\textcopyright} \) (2015) American Chemical Society.) SEM images of (n) Prussian blue (PB) (Fe\(_4\)[Fe(CN)\(_6\)]\(_3\)) microcubes, (o) \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), (p) Mn-doped \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoboxes (FM-1-L), and (q) hollow \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/KMn\(_8\)O\(_{16}\) nanoboxes (FM-1-S). (r) Absorption capacity of five types of heavy metal ions in the presence of the \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/KMn\(_8\)O\(_{16}\) nanoboxes with different initial concentrations of Fe/Mn. Adsorption isotherms of (s) Pb(II) using the \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/KMn\(_8\)O\(_{16}\) nanoboxes. (t) Competition absorption properties of a mixture solution (Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), NO\(_3^-\), and Cl\(^-\)) using the \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/KMn\(_8\)O\(_{16}\) nanoboxes and commercial \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder. (Reprinted with permission from [ref. 109] Copyright \( \text{\textcopyright} \) (2017) American Chemical Society.)
ethylene glycol (EG) cooperation-mediated method (Fig. 8(a)). The individual 3D hierarchical microsphere was comprised of numerous solid nanorods with lengths of a few hundred nanometres. To study the adsorption efficiency of the chrysanthemum-like $\alpha$-FeOOH microspheres, solutions containing different concentrations (10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, and 1500 ppm) of As($v$) and Pb($u$) were prepared using NaAsO$_4$, 7H$_2$O and Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$, respectively. Using 15 mg of $\alpha$-FeOOH adsorbent, the adsorption isotherms were recorded using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry. Fig. 8(b) shows the adsorption rate of As($v$) and Pb($u$) at room temperature in water. Using, the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model, as shown in Fig. 8(c), the adsorption efficiency was calculated to be ca. 66.2 mg g$^{-1}$ for As($v$) and 103 mg g$^{-1}$ for Pb($u$). Compared to commercial bulk $\alpha$-FeOOH, the chrysanthemum-like $\alpha$-FeOOH microspheres is 100 times more efficient for the adsorption of arsenic ions in water.$^{44}$

Yuanyuan Guo et al.$^{18}$ synthesised uniform hierarchical MnO$_2$ microspheres with an average diameter of 700 nm (Fig. 8(d)) using 0.28 g of KMnO$_4$, 0.01 g of NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$ and 0.01 g of Na$_2$SO$_4$·10H$_2$O via hydrothermal treatment at 140 °C for 48 h. The morphology was analysed in-depth via TEM, which confirmed that the MnO$_2$ spheres were actually composed of thin nanosheets with a thickness of 2–3 nm, as is clearly shown in Fig. 8(e) and (f).

The adsorption experiments of heavy metal ions such Pb($u$), Cd($u$), Cu($u$) and Cr($vi$) were carried out at room temperature and pH 3. To a solution containing 20 ppm of these metal ions, 20 mg of MnO$_2$ adsorbent was added and stirred constantly for 24 h. After sufficient stirring followed by aging, the supernatant solution was withdrawn and tested for metal ion concentration using atomic adsorption spectroscopy (AAS).

They conducted a series of kinetic experiments to determine the adsorption efficiency of the MnO$_2$ microspheres for Pb$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Cr$^{6+}$ at room temperature, and the results are shown in Fig. 8(g). The MnO$_2$ microspheres were able to efficiently remove 100%, 99.6%, 99.1% and 95.2% of Pb$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Cr$^{6+}$, respectively. This high removal efficiency could be attributed to their high specific surface area (252.82 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) with abundant active sites. Fig. 8(h) and (i) show the adsorption tendency of the MnO$_2$ adsorbent with respect to different concentrations of Pb$^{2+}$ (10, 30 and 50 ppm) at 30 °C. The adsorption rate for the removal of Pb$^{2+}$ by MnO$_2$ was observed to be faster for the initial concentration and decreased with an increase in concentration. This is because for a lower concentration of Pb$^{2+}$, there will be a large number of available high energy sites on the adsorbent; however, the availability of high energy sites decreases with an increase in the concentration of Pb$^{2+}$, and hence it adsorbs at low energy sites, which results in a decrease in the adsorption rate.

Using nanoscale zerovalent iron (nZVI) as a support, Minghui Liu et al.$^{189}$ prepared an nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ nanocomposite via a precipitation method for the removal of Pb$^{2+}$. As shown in the SEM image (Fig. 8(j)), the Mg(OH)$_2$ flower-like spheres (10

### Table 2: Comprehensive comparison of the adsorption efficiency of various nanoadsorbents for removal of lead, Pb($u$), ions

| Nano-adsorbent                  | Morphology                        | BET surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Max. removal capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| MgO                             | Flowers                           | 72                                | 1980                               | 110  |
| $\alpha$-FeOOH                  | Chrysanthemum-like micro-spheres  | 120.8                             | 103                                | 94   |
| $\alpha$-FeOOH                  | Bulk commercial                   | 10                                | 1.0                                | 94   |
| nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ composite       | Flower-like spheres               | nZV = 11.6                        | nZV = 1718.4                       | 108  |
|                                | Support material (S.M) = 41.3     | Zeolite = 1.03                    | Composite = 0.2                    |      |
| nZVI-zeolite composite          | Chain-like structures             | nZV = 12.25                       | Zeolite = 1.03                     |      |
|                                | Composite = 80.37                 | Composite = 1986.6                | Composite = 80.6                   |      |
| Sineguelas waste-supported nZVI | Nanoparticles                     | S.M = 3.9                         | S.M = 63.5                         |      |
|                                | Composite = 35.6                  | Composite = 225                   | Composite = 440.5                  |      |
| Kaolin-supported nZVI           | Nanoparticles                     | S.M = 3.7                         | Composite = 26.1                   |      |
|                                |                                  |                                   |                                    |      |
| $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_3$O$_16$ | Nanoboxes                        | 452                               | 179                                 | 114  |
| MnFe$_2$O$_4$                   | Nanowires                         | 37.8                              | 131                                 | 115  |
| $\alpha$-FeOOH                  | Hollow spheres                    | 96.9                              | 80                                  | 97   |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$                     | Nanoparticles                     | 43                                | 36                                  | 116  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$/Fe@ZnO              | Nanospheres                       | 135                               | 163                                 | 117  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$/MnO$_2$             | Flowers                           | 118                               | 53.2                                | 118  |
| MnO$_2$/CNTs                    | Nanotubes                         | 275                               | 78.7                                | 119  |
| MnO$_2$                        | Hierarchical microspheres         | 252.82                            | 149                                 | 107  |
| Activated carbon derived from   | Heterogeneous, lamellar and       |                                   | 42.9                                | 120  |
| the seeds of Caryota aren plant | spongy structures                 |                                   |                                    |      |
| Activated carbon from hazelnut  | Rough and coarse with              | 1092                              | 13.05                               | 121  |
| husks                           | irregular crevices                | 4273                              | 20.3                                | 122  |
μm) were composed of 10–20 nm thin interwoven Mg(OH)$_2$ nanoparticles. The high-resolution image of the morphology of nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$, shown in Fig. 8(k), clearly confirms that the nZVI nanoparticles with a diameter of 40–60 nm are uniformly embedded on the surface of the Mg(OH)$_2$ nanoparticles. The adsorption of Pb(II) was carried out using 50 mg of Mg(OH)$_2$, nZVI, and nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ adsorbents for 1000 ppm Pb(II) solution having a pH of 6.86 in a 250 mL three-necked flask with stirring at 300 rpm in an N$_2$ environment at room temperature. After certain intervals, 3 mL of suspension was extracted by centrifugation and tested for Pb(II) concentration using ICP-AES. Under the optimal conditions, the Pb$^{2+}$ removal efficiency of Mg(OH)$_2$, nZVI, and nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ is presented in Fig. 8(l). As shown in Fig. 8(l), Mg(OH)$_2$, nZVI and nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ reduced the Pb(II) concentration from 1000 ppm to 623.2 ppm, 141.8 ppm, and 6.8 ppm, respectively. The results shown in Fig. 8(m) confirm that the Pb(II) removal efficiency by nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$ is higher compared to the combined efficiency of both nZVI and Mg(OH)$_2$. It is understood that the synergistic effect between nZVI and Mg(OH)$_2$ caused the composite to show exceptional removal efficiency.

Qiaoling Mo et al.$^{109}$ derived hierarchical metal oxide nanostructures such as 500 nm uniform, smooth Prussian blue (PB) (Fe$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$]$_3$)$_2$ microcubes (Fig. 8(n)), α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 8(o)), Mn-doped α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoboxes (FM-1-L) (Fig. 8(p)), hollow α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes (Fig. 8(q)) by annealing metal–organic frameworks (MOFs). The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoboxes were prepared by annealing PB crystals (Fe$_6$[Fe(CN)$_6$]$_2$) at 650 °C with a slow heating rate of 0.5 °C min$^{-1}$. Further, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes were prepared by adding KMnO$_4$ solution (1 g L$^{-1}$) dropwise to 10 mL ethanol solution containing 0.1 g of PB microcubes. The SEM image of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 8(n)) consisted of nanosheets, which were formed via the calcination PB microcubes at 650 °C. The SEM image (Fig. 8(p)) of the Mn-doped Fe$_2$O$_3$ microcubes was similar to that of the PB crystals. The doping was confirmed by EDS analysis, which indicated the presence of slightly less than 1.5 wt% Mn. The size of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particle in the (104) and (110) directions was found to decrease to 35.3 and 76 nm, respectively, due to the doping of Mn. However, the doping of Mn did not damage the nanobox morphology. Fig. 8(q) shows the SEM image of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ hollow nanoboxes (FM-1-S) with a relative concentration of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ to KMn$_8$O$_16$ of roughly 2 : 1. Due to its large surface area and plenty of surface adsorbed oxygen, FM-1-S showed an exceptional adsorption efficiency. The adsorption efficiencies of all the adsorbents were studied using 40 ppm Pb(II) solution and 10 mg of adsorbents. Together with 40 ppm of both Pb$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$, synthetic wastewater containing a significant amount of interfering ions including Na$^+$ (68.3 ppm), K$^+$ (6.44 ppm), Mg$^{2+}$ (11.8 ppm), and Ca$^{2+}$ (34.4 ppm), and significant amount of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and Cl$^-$, Fig. 8(r) represents the equilibrium adsorption capacity ($Q_e$) of all the adsorbents. It was found that $Q_e$ for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, FM-10-L, FM-1-L, and FM-1-S was 104.1 mg g$^{-1}$, 129.8 mg g$^{-1}$, 108.5 mg g$^{-1}$, and 178.8 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively.$^{109}$

Fig. 8(s) shows that about 70% Pb$^{2+}$ could be removed within 1 min, and about 91.6% within 15 min. It was found that by using a slightly higher dose of the adsorbent (0.25 g L$^{-1}$), the Pb$^{2+}$ concentration decreased from 40 ppm to 0.76 ppm in just 10 min. This value is considerably lower than the permissible (threshold) value of 1 ppm Pb according to the national standard for wastewater to be released in public.

The adsorption capacity of FM-1-S (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes) towards Pb$^{2+}$ in the presence of other heavy metal ions such as Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Cd$^{2+}$ was also studied (Fig. 8(t)). The high adsorption capacities for Pb$^{2+}$ in the presence of other ions confirmed that the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes are more selective to Pb$^{2+}$. As reported in Fig. 8(t), the adsorption efficiency of the FM-1-S adsorbent toward 180 ppm Pb$^{2+}$ was found to be higher than all the other adsorbents.

Moreover, in a mixture of Pb$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ solution, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes adsorbed relatively very less Zn$^{2+}$ (21.5 mg g$^{-1}$), confirming that they can be effective in selectively separating Pb$^{2+}$ from Zn(II) in a mixture. Fortunately, due to their magnetic nature, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes can be easily extracted from the solution using an external magnetic field.

The various morphological architectures of inorganic nanoadsorbents studied for the adsorption of toxic Pb(II) ions in water are listed in Table 2. Among them, the nZVI@Mg(OH)$_2$, composite$^{109}$ with flower-like spheres having a BET surface area of 40.2 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ exhibited the maximum adsorption capacity of 1986.6 mg g$^{-1}$ for Pb(II) ions. Also, the hierarchical MgO-nanoflowers$^{110}$ with a BET surface area 72 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ exhibited the maximum adsorption capacity of 1980 mg g$^{-1}$ for Pb(II) ions. The high adsorption efficiency of chrysanthemum-like α-FeOOH and flower-like Mg(OH)$_2$ spheres, and hollow α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/KMn$_8$O$_16$ nanoboxes$^{109}$ can be attributed to the hierarchy in their unique morphologies and high surface area.

4.2.1 Mechanism of lead adsorption. The probable mechanism of Pb(II) adsorption on hierarchical metal oxide nanostructures is highlighted in Fig. 9. Usually, metal oxide surfaces are rich in hydroxyl groups (M–OH) groups due to adsorbed
water molecules. Thus, the pH of the solution is an important factor for Pb\(^{2+}\) adsorption. If the adsorbent surface is covered with hydroxyl groups, in acidic medium, the possible species are MOH\(_2\)^+, and in basic medium, the dominant forms are M(OH)\(_2\)^−, MO^− or M(OH)\(_3\)^−.

Further, due to hydrolysis, the Pb\(^{2+}\) cation exists in various forms in aqueous solution as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Pb}^{2+} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{Pb}((\text{OH})_n)^{2+} \quad (15) \\
\text{Pb}((\text{OH})_n)^{2+} & \rightarrow \text{Pb}((\text{OH})_{n-1}) + \text{H}^+ \quad (16) \\
n\text{Pb}^{2+} + m\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{Pb}_m(\text{OH})_{2n-m} + m\text{H}^+ \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

Depending on the pH of the solution, the surface of metal oxide nanoadsorbents may also undergo protonation/deprotonation as follows:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M} - \text{O}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (18)
\]

From the above observations, it is understood that the pH value greatly influences the adsorption performance of Pb\(^{2+}\). It has been reported that with [Pb\(^{2+}\)] = 1 μM, if pH < 5, Pb\(^{2+}\) exists as the dominant species, whereas around pH > 5, Pb(OH)^+ tends to exists. Besides, Pb(OH)\(_2\) starts to exist after pH = 6.5 (when \(K_{\text{sp}} = 1.43 \times 10^{-26}\) at 298 K). It was found that there exists very high electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged adsorbent and Pb\(^{2+}\) in the pH range of 5 to 6.5. With a decrease in the pH of the solution below 3, the number of positively charged sites on the adsorbent increases, which does not favor the adsorption of Pb\(^{2+}\) ions due to electrostatic repulsion (see Fig. 9). Also, at low pH, due to the competition between the excess H\(^+\) ions and Pb\(^{3+}\), the adsorption rate decreases. Therefore, the adsorption rate increases slowly with an increase in pH up to 6.5 due to the balanced opposite charges. However, for pH > 6.5, the adsorption efficiency decreases due to the precipitation of Pb\(^{2+}\) as lead hydroxide and the repulsion between the negatively charged adsorbent and adsorbate, as shown in Fig. 9. Therefore, for the efficient adsorption of Pb\(^{2+}\), the optimum pH of 6 should be maintained.\(^{124}\)

### 4.3 Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of chromium(VI) ions

It is mandatory that the concentrations Cr(m) and Cr(vi) should not be more than 2 and 0.05 ppm, respectively, in wastewater. Thus, to remove these chromium ions in water, several research groups have developed highly efficient hierarchical nanoadsorbents.

Recently, Shijiao Wu et al.\(^{125}\) prepared, various polymorphs of iron oxy hydroxides such as α-FeOOH, β-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH, and δ-FeOOH using the procedure reported by various research groups.\(^{123,125-129}\) The SEM images presented in Fig. 10(a)–(d) correspond to uniform 3D urchin-like α-FeOOH, rod-shaped β-FeOOH, fine-grained irregular particles of γ-FeOOH, and irregular nanoflakes of δ-FeOOH, respectively.

The adsorption efficiency of all the FeOOH polymorphs was studied by conducting a series of batch experiments. Specifically, 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) of FeOOH powder was added to 100 mL solution containing 20 ppm Cr(vi) solution, stirred sufficiently and a sample was withdrawn at certain intervals for analysis. Further, using 1,5-diphenylcarbazide, the residual Cr(vi) concentration in the extracted sample was determined using a UV-2400 spectrophotometer at 540 nm. Also, the effect of pH value on the Cr(vi) removal was studied by adjusting the pH value to 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, and 9.0 at room temperature. To understand the adsorption kinetics, the experiments were conducted by adding 0.25 g of FeOOH polymorph adsorbent to 100 mL of solution containing the initial Cr(vi) concentration of 20, 40, 80, 120, 180, 240, and 300 ppm, while maintaining the pH 3 at 25 °C.

The Cr\(^{6+}\) removal efficiency of all the polymorphs of FeOOH was studied for comparison, and the results are shown in Fig. 10(e). The results indicated that the Cr\(^{6+}\) adsorption efficiencies of the FeOOH polymorphs decreased in the order of γ > α > δ > β form of FeOOH. This order of adsorption efficiency is almost similar to the of their surface area (γ-FeOOH > δ-FeOOH > α-FeOOH > β-FeOOH). Therefore, γ-FeOOH having a high surface area exhibits high adsorption efficiency.\(^{125}\)

The adsorption maximum reached a fast rate within 60 min, indicating that the Cr\(^{6+}\) adsorption efficiency of the FeOOH polymorphs was very fast. The reason for this very fast removal tendency could be the large number of easily accessible active sites on the surface of the FeOOH polymorphs for Cr\(^{6+}\) species. It was observed that at 60 min, the Cr\(^{6+}\) removal efficiencies by α, β, γ, and δ were about 85.5%, 33.5%, 99.3%, and 82.2%, respectively. By maintaining pH 3, 100% and 84.6% Cr\(^{6+}\) adsorption efficiency was achieved by the γ-FeOOH and δ-FeOOH forms, respectively. Due to its ferromagnetic nature, δ-FeOOH was easily recovered.

Recyclability is very important for any adsorbent to explore its practical applicability. Therefore, the Cr(vi) removal by the FeOOH polymorphs in the successive sorption cycles was also investigated. As shown in Fig. 11, the recyclability of all four FeOOH polymorphs was studied by conducting adsorption for 3 cycles. It was found that except for γ-FeOOH, the residual Cr(vi) concentration increased in the 3 cycles for α-FeOOH, β-FeOOH, and δ-FeOOH. This result was attributed to the loss of active adsorption sites on the surface of α-FeOOH, β-FeOOH, and δ-FeOOH. Very promisingly, γ-FeOOH exhibited very good adsorption efficiency even after 3 times repeated use. In the 3rd adsorption cycle, γ-FeOOH also showed more than 97% Cr(vi) removal efficiency. This was due to its highest surface area (294.5 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), and hence it could retain a greater number of adsorption active sites for the further removal of Cr(vi).\(^{125}\)

In another work, using a microwave-assisted solvothermal method, Chang-Yan Cao et al.\(^{126}\) synthesized flower-like α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanostructures with a high surface area (130 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) and hydroxy group-rich surface. The typical SEM image shown in Fig. 10(f) presents flower-like architectures (0.8–1 μm in diameter) composed of hundreds of nanopetals [Fig. 10(g)]. For the adsorption studies, solutions of As(v) and Cr(vi) with different concentrations were prepared using Na\(_2\)HAsO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O and
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K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$, respectively. By adding 20 mg of flower-like $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ to 25 ppm of 50 mL As(V) and 30 mL Cr(VI) solutions, while maintaining pH 3, the solutions were constantly stirred for 12 h to reach the maximum adsorption. After a specific time, the concentration of metal ions in the remaining solution was analyzed by ICP-OES. Using $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflowers, they studied the adsorption mechanism for Cr$^{6+}$ together with As$^{5+}$ for comparison. The mechanism was analysed via XPS and X-ray absorption near edge structure analysis. The adsorption was attributed to the exchange of ions between the surface hydroxyl groups and Cr$^{6+}$ species. It was found that about 51 and 30 mg of As$^{5+}$ and Cr$^{6+}$, respectively, were adsorbed by 1 g of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflowers. The adsorption process was studied using 25 ppm of As$^{5+}$ and Cr$^{6+}$ ion solutions. As presented in Fig. 10(h), in the
first 30 min, the adsorption rate was very fast, and then it reached equilibrium after 3 h.

Many other metal oxide nanoadsorbents besides iron oxides have been explored as adsorbents for Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ions, such as cerium oxide (ceria, CeO\textsubscript{2}). Chang-Yan Cao et al.\textsuperscript{99} prepared CeO\textsubscript{2} hollow nanospheres using 1 mmol of Ce(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O and 1.5 mmol of urea. The reaction was carried out at 170 °C in 2 min by microwave irradiation, and then hydrothermal treatment at that temperature for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, a white precipitate of amorphous ceria was collected, which was washed and calcined in air at 500 °C for 2 h. The SEM image of the CeO\textsubscript{2} hollow nanospheres shown in Fig. 10(i) confirms their uniform shape, with an average diameter of 260 nm, which were prepared at 170 °C for 30 min. According to the TEM image (Fig. 10(j)), it was also found that these ceria hollow structures were made up of a 30 nm shell consisting of a large number of nanoparticles. These CeO\textsubscript{2} hollow nanospheres were used for the adsorption of Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ions in solution. For the detailed adsorption studies, metal ion solutions such as As(V), Cr(VI), and Pb(II) were prepared using Na\textsubscript{2}HAsO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, K\textsubscript{2}Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7}, and Pb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}, respectively. To 10 mL of metal ion solution, about 0.02 g of ceria adsorbent was added at pH 3, and stirred at 25 °C to achieve the maximum adsorption. After sufficient stirring, the remaining metal ions in solution were tested by ICP-OES. The adsorption process was carried out at room temperature, as shown in Fig. 10(k), which indicates that the adsorption process was very fast for both As\textsuperscript{5+} and Cr\textsuperscript{6+} solutions. Using the Langmuir adsorption model, the adsorption efficiency was calculated to be 22.4 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for As\textsuperscript{5+} and 15.4 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Cr\textsuperscript{6+}. Very surprisingly, these CeO\textsubscript{2} hollow nanospheres showed about 70 times higher adsorption efficiency than that of commercial bulk CeO\textsubscript{2}. Furthermore, the recycling efficiency of the adsorbent was studied, and the results were found to be promising. The adsorbent was regenerated with NaOH treatment.

The various morphological architectures of inorganic nanoadsorbents investigated for the adsorption of toxic Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ions present in water medium are listed in Table 3. Among them, the MoS\textsubscript{2}@Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} tiny nanoparticles\textsuperscript{130} having a BET surface area of 74.6 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} exhibits the maximum adsorption capacity of 218.18 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ions. Also, Ga-doped ZnO\textsuperscript{131} exhibits the maximum adsorption capacity of 220.7 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ions. The high adsorption efficiency of the flower-like α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanostructures and ceria hollow nanospheres can be attributed to their unique hierarchical morphologies and high surface area.

4.3.1 Mechanism of Cr\textsuperscript{(vi)} adsorption. Zoleikha Hajizadeh et al.\textsuperscript{140} proposed the mechanism for Cr\textsuperscript{6+} removal from aqueous samples using a humic acid (HA)-functionalised and magnetic Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-loaded halloysite nanotube (HNT) nanocomposite denoted as HNT/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}–HA. Among the various types of nanoadsorbents, halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) are more effective and useful due to their non-toxic, biodegradable, and biocompatible nature and tunable pore volume, pore area, and pore size by varying the pH. The aluminol and siloxane groups on the surface of HNT facilitate the formation of hydrogen bonding with metal ions. Fig. 12 presents the Cr\textsuperscript{6+} ion adsorption mechanism by the HNT/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}–HA nanoadsorbent at different
pH. During their studies, they used a 1000 ppm Cr$^{6+}$ solution containing 50.0 mg of HNT/Fe$_3$O$_4$-HA nanoadsorbent and different pH values including 2, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 using 0.1 M NaOH and 0.1 M HCl solutions. They found that the removal efficiency was 53% at pH = 2, which increased to 98% for pH = 3, and showed almost same efficiency up to pH = 5 (94%).

This may be due to the formation of the various forms of chromate such as H$_2$CrO$_4$, HCrO$_4^-$, CrO$_4^{2-}$ and Cr$_2$O$_7^{2-}$ in solution with different pH values. It was found that, the high adsorption capacity was due to the electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged surface and the positively charged acid groups. At the low pH = 2, due to the strong protonation of the acid groups and formation of neutral H$_2$CrO$_4$, the electrostatic interaction decreases, which results in a low removal efficiency. However, at pH = 11, due to the strong competition between CrO$_4^{2-}$ and OH$^-$ anions of the nanoadsorbent, the Cr$^{6+}$ absorption decreases (RE = 44%). However, in the pH range of 3 to 5, the solution contains more dominant negatively charged HCrO$_4^-$ ions and the nanoadsorbent is positively charged, which is beneficial for more effective adsorption. Therefore, 98% removal efficiency by the HNT/Fe$_3$O$_4$-HA nanocomposite was observed in the pH range of around 3 to 5. Therefore, from the observations, it is clear that the nanoadsorbent has to be carefully designed to be effective to show high efficiency in the pH range of 3 to 5.

### 4.4 Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of Cd(n)

Various research groups have made significant efforts to develop potential adsorbents for the removal of the highly toxic Cd(n) present in water. Using a microwave-assisted solvothermal method, Chang-Yan Cao et al. synthesized a flower-like MgO nanoadsorbent. For the synthesis of MgO, 5 mmol of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 10 mmol of urea were dissolved in ethanol and placed in a Teflon-lined autoclave. The sealed autoclave was exposed to microwaves at 150 °C for 3 min, and then hydrothermally treated at that temperature for 30 min. After cooling, the precipitate of amorphous MgO was extracted, washed and calcined in air at 400 °C for 2 h. The SEM (Fig. 13[a]) and TEM images (Fig. 13[b]) confirmed the flower-like morphology of MgO. The BET surface area of the MgO flowers was found to be 72 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The adsorption capacity of these flower-like MgO nanostructures was tested for Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ for comparison. The metal ion Pb(n) and Cd(n) solutions with different concentrations having pH 7 were prepared using lead nitrate and cadmium nitrate, respectively. To study the adsorption kinetics, 15 mL of 100 ppm metal ion solution containing 10 mg flower-like MgO nanostructures was constantly stirred for 12 h to achieve the maximum adsorption. After a specific time, the remaining metal ions in solution were separated immediately and analysed by ICP-OES. Using 100 ppm of Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$.

**Table 3 Comprehensive comparison of the adsorption efficiency of various nanoadsorbents for removal of chromium, Cr$^{6+}$, ions**

| Nano-adsorbent | Morphology | BET surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Max. removal capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| z-Fe$_2$O$_3$  | Hollow nest-like spheres | 152.42 | 58.6 | 92  |
| z-Fe$_2$O$_3$  | Flower-like | 40 | 4.47 | 95  |
| z-Fe$_2$O$_3$  | Flower-like | 130 | 30 | 96  |
| CeO$_2$        | Flowers    | 34 | 5.9 | 98  |
| CeO$_2$        | Hollow nano-spheres | 72 | 15.4 | 99  |
| γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$  | Flower     | 56 | 3.86 | 95  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$    | Flower     | 34 | 4.38 | 95  |
| Commercial z-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Bulk | 2 | 0.68 | 95  |
| Amino-modified Fe$_3$O$_4$ | Nanoparticles | — | 11.24 | 132 |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Nanoparticles | — | 6.0 | 133 |
| Ga-doped ZnO  | Nanoparticles | — | 220.7 | 131 |
| Fe@Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Core-shell nanowires | — | 177 | 134 |
| MoS$_2$@Fe$_3$O$_4$NPs | Clumsy tiny particles | 74.6 | 218.18 | 130 |
| Activated carbon from Fox nutshell | — | — | 74.95 | 135 |
| Activated carbon from Ziziphus spina-christi leaf | Abundant bumps and cavities on surface | 69.427 | 13.81 | 136 |
| Chestnut oak shell activated carbon | Porous aggregated particles | 989.4 | 85.47 | 137 |
| Activated carbon from sugar beet bagasse agricultural waste | Irregular shape and size with high porosity | 748 | 52.8 | 138 |
| ZnO-coated activated carbon derived from Peganum harmala seed | Irregular shapes of nanoparticles | 442 | 74.67 | 139 |

**Fig. 12 Schematic representation of the adsorption of chromium ions by the HNT/Fe$_3$O$_4$-HA nanoadsorbent at different pH. (Reprinted with permission from ref. 140, Copyright © (2020) John Wiley and Sons.)**
solution, the adsorption rate was studied (Fig. 13(c)). In the first 30 min, the adsorption process was very fast, and then it reached equilibrium after 1 h. To understand the adsorption mechanism in detail, the adsorption process was also carried by varying the metal ion concentration from 100 to 5000 ppm, as shown in Fig. 13(d). The Langmuir model was used to understand the surface adsorption process for both ions. It was found that 1 g MgO nanoflowers could adsorb about 1500 mg of Cd$^{2+}$
and 1980 mg of Pb$^{2+}$, which are significantly higher than that of many reported nanoadsorbents.

There have been several attempts to effectively adsorb Cd$^{2+}$ using organic group-functionalised inorganic nanoadsorbents instead of the traditional metal oxide-based adsorbents. One such attempt was made by Chunrong Ren et al., where they prepared an amino-functionalized CoFe$_2$O$_4$–SiO$_2$ core–shell magnetic adsorbent, which was found to exhibit excellent magnetic and adsorption performances. The CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs prepared via the co-precipitation method were further coated with SiO$_2$ and functionalised with amine groups using the procedure reported by the research groups of Qingbiao Yang, Min Wei, and Lili Yang. As shown in the SEM image (Fig. 13[e]), the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs exist in the form of particle-aggregated chain-like nanostructures because of their magnetic properties. The size of the aggregated particles was determined using TEM, as shown in Fig. 13[f], to be between 10–20 nm. According to the TEM image, it can also be seen that the core–shell structure possessed a chain morphology with about 50 nm thin shell. The same morphology was retained even after amino functionalization.

About 20 mg of the amino-functionalized CoFe$_2$O$_4$–SiO$_2$ core–shell adsorbent was used to study its adsorbing tendency for 80 ppm solutions of Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ ions, maintaining a pH of 6.5 and temperature 35°C. Using a permanent magnet, the adsorbent was separated from the solution and the remaining metal ion concentration in the supernatant was determined using TEM, as shown in Fig. 13[g], to be between 50 to 200 min, and subsequently, it showed no change up to 540 min. The adsorption efficiency of the amino-functionalized CoFe$_2$O$_4$–SiO$_2$ with respect to three metal ions is shown in Fig. 13[h], which was found to be 170.829, 144.948 and 110.803 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively.

The large-scale use of nanoadsorbents depends on the efficiency of their recyclability. Therefore, the removal efficiency of the CoFe$_2$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–NH$_2$ NCs for the adsorption of Cu$^{2+}$ after four times of recycling was studied. It was found that in the first cycle, the removal efficiency was 96.92%, and after four cycles, the adsorption efficiency for Cu$^{2+}$ was around 85.41%. The decrease in their adsorption efficiency was not significant. Therefore, the CoFe$_2$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–NH$_2$ adsorbent can be used for at least four times with high adsorption efficiency. Furthermore, due to its magnetic nature and high desorption ability, this adsorbent can be recycled easily from wastewater using a strong magnet. Additionally, its structural features and magnetic property remained unaltered, confirming its stability even after four cycles of use, and hence it can be effectively used for the removal of various heavy metal ions.

There was one more attempt in using a metal phosphide nanoadsorbent for the removal of Cd$^{2+}$ in water. Yonghong Ni et al. synthesized urchin-like, magnetic Ni-P microstructures via a solvothermal route. In a typical synthesis, NiCl$_2$ and NaH$_2$PO$_4$ as reactant precursors were dissolved in water–DMF, a mixed solvent, and reacted at 160°C for 16 h in the absence of any surfactant or template. As shown in Fig. 13[i], the FESEM image confirms the synthesis of homogeneous microstructures with an average diameter of ~2 μm of Ni–P microstructures. The high-magnification SEM image shown in Fig. 13[j] also confirms the presence of the porous microstructures, which are constructed by nanoscale urchin-like structures. To study the adsorption efficiency, about 10 mg of urchin-like Ni–P adsorbent was dissolved in 50 mL solution containing 10 ppm Pb$^{2+}$ metal ions (or Cd$^{2+}$) and stirred with a magnetic pulsator for 10 min. After a specific time, the adsorbents were collected using a magnet and the metal ion concentration remaining in solution was analysed by ICP-AES. The adsorption efficiency of the Ni–P microstructures was studied for Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ ions under the same experimental conditions. It was found that 1 g of Ni–P microspheres could

### Table 4 Comprehensive comparison of the adsorption efficiency of various nanoadsorbents used for the removal of cadmium, Cd(II), ions

| Nanoadsorbent                        | Morphology                | BET surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Max. removal capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| MgO                                  | Nanoflowers               | 72                              | 1500                              | 110  |
| Ni–P                                 | Urchin-like microstructure | 425                             | 40.7                              | 110  |
| Fe$_2$O$_4$–mesoporous magnesium silicate | Inter-crossed nanosheets  | 263.4                           | 223.2                             | 148  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$–SO$_2$H                   | Nanoparticles coated shell structure | 18.32                         | 108.93                            | 149  |
| Chitosan/SiO$_2$/Fe$_3$O$_4$          | Microspheres              | 1.04                            | 4.5                               | 150  |
| Ni@SiO$_2$                           | Spherical core–shell nanostructures | 124.2                          | 45.02                             | 151  |
| CoFe$_2$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–NH$_2$          | Core–shell chain structure | —                               | 144.948                           | 143  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$@FeOOH                    | Urchin-like               | 142                             | 79.06                             | 93   |
| MgO@SiO$_2$                          | Nanoparticles             | 427                             | 35.86                             | 152  |
| TiO$_2$                              | Nanoparticles             | 185.5                           | 15.32                             | 153  |
| MnO$_2$                              | —                         | 100.5                           | 143.31                            | 154  |
| Al$_2$O$_3$                          | —                         | 30.38                           | 83.33                             | 155  |
| Al$_2$O$_3$–Fe$_3$O$_4$              | Nanocomposite             | 298                             | 625                               | 156  |
| Maghemite (γ–Fe$_2$O$_3$)             | Nanotubes                 | 321.33                          | 94.33                             | 157  |
| Commercial (mesoporous) activated carbon | Rough and coarse with irregular crevices | 427.3                          | 27.3                              | 122  |
| Activated carbon                     | Honeycomb or the circular holes | 305.8                           | 11                                | 158  |
| Polyethyleneimine-modified activated carbon | Irregular rough surface and the pores with spongy-like deposits | 113.3                           | 45                                | 158  |
adsorb about 40.7 mg of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} (81.4\%) in just 10 min. Fig. 13(k) presents the variation in the concentration of metal ions (Cd\textsuperscript{2+} and Pb\textsuperscript{2+}) with contact time. The adsorption isotherms of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions, as presented in Fig. 13(l), shows that the adsorbent Ni-P microspheres with a large surface area of 425 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} adsorbed a large amount of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} and Pb\textsuperscript{2+} ions from their dilute solutions at a fast rate. Due to their magnetic nature, the Ni-P microspheres could be easily extracted from solution using a strong magnet, and reused several times.\textsuperscript{147}

The various morphological architectures of inorganic nano-adsorbents studied for the adsorption of toxic Cd(u) ions is water are listed in Table 4. Among them, the MgO nanoflowers\textsuperscript{148} (surface area 72 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}) exhibit the maximum adsorption efficiency of 1500 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions. Also, the amine-functionalised CoFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}-NH\textsubscript{2} core shell nanostructures exhibit the maximum adsorption capacity of 145 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions.\textsuperscript{149}

4.4.1 Mechanism of Cd(u) adsorption. Ruhua Zha et al.\textsuperscript{150} prepared TiO\textsubscript{2} spherical flower nanostructures with promising specific surface areas (226 cm\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}) and the pore volumes (0.236 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}) via a one-pot solvothermal method. The adsorption mechanism of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions by the TiO\textsubscript{2} dandelions and spherical flowers is schematically illustrated in Fig. 14. The presence of OH groups on TiO\textsubscript{2} plays a key role for bonding the Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions. Also, the presence of uniform channels on the surface of TiO\textsubscript{2} can provide suitable active adsorption sites and facilitate the Cd\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption process. The adsorption tendency of the dandelions and spherical flowers of TiO\textsubscript{2} was found to be different. The difference in their adsorption tendency was due to the different number of available active adsorption sites on the adsorbent. Generally, the dandelions of TiO\textsubscript{2} have a larger surface area, and thus can provide abundant active sites that can coordinate with Cd\textsuperscript{2+}. Also, their high pore volume enhances the fast diffusion of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} into their pores. Accordingly, the absorbent possessing a high surface area and pore volume with a greater number of surface hydroxyl groups increases the adsorption of cadmium ions in solution.

4.5 Inorganic nano-architectures for the removal of Hg(u) ions

The main issue with magnetic adsorbents is that they tend to aggregate easily during the adsorption process.\textsuperscript{160} Thus, to avoid this issue, various research groups have prepared core–shell structures of nanosized magnetic adsorbents for the removal of Hg(u) in water.\textsuperscript{161,162}

Chaiti Ray et al.\textsuperscript{163} synthesized a porous CuS adsorbent using 4 mmol of thiourea (TAA) and 4 mmol of copper chloride (CuCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O) as reactant precursors via the precipitation method. The FESEM images shown in Fig. 15(a) and (b) demonstrate the porous open end tubular CuS product, with a length of 20–30 μm and diameter of 1.5–2 μm. The high-magnification FESEM image in Fig. 15(c) shows that the CuS tube is made up of 600–700 nm-thick walls, conjointly stacked micro-flowers, and 15–25 nm-thin nano-flakes. The BET specific surface area and total pore volume of CuS were found to be 62.308 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and 0.5182 mL g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. To study the adsorption kinetics, 10 mg of copper sulfide adsorbent was dissolved in 200 mL of Hg(u) or Pb(u) solution with a mM concentration, maintaining the pH of ~2.8 and 4.7, respectively. The solution mixture was stirred to achieve maximum adsorption, and then after a pre-determined interval, a some of the reaction mixture was centrifuged, and the remaining Hg(u) ion complexed with diphenyl carbazide analyzed. By recording the absorbance of the complex at 521 nm using a UV-vis spectrophotometer, the concentration of remaining Hg(u) ions was estimated. Similarly, by forming a complex of Pb(u) ions with xylene orange and measuring the absorbance of the complex at 579 nm, the residual Pb(u) ion concentration was estimated. The experimental data of the adsorption kinetics of Hg(u) metal ions, as presented in Fig. 15(d), was found to be in good agreement with the pseudo-second order equation. By studying the adsorption isotherms, as shown in Fig. 15(e), the adsorption mechanism of Hg(u) was found to follow monolayer adsorption (Langmuir model). The maximum adsorption efficiency of CuS was studied by varying the initial concentration of Hg(u) ions using 0.05 g L\textsuperscript{-1} of adsorbent (Fig. 15(f)). The maximum adsorption efficiency was found to be 3096 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} for Hg(u). Accordingly, the porous nature and ion exchange reaction were proposed to be responsible for the very high adsorption efficiency of CuS.\textsuperscript{163}

According to Pearson’s hard acid–base theory (HSAB),\textsuperscript{165} it is very well understood that the Hg\textsuperscript{2+} ion has a strong affinity to form strong bonds with soft Lewis base groups, such as –CN, –RS, and –SH. Inspired by this, Shengxiao Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{164} prepared robust, stable, efficient, and super paramagnetic Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}–SH by covalently coating 3-mercaptop propyl trimethoxy silane (3-MPTS) on silica-coated Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}). This novel mercapto-propyl-functionalized sorbent (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}–SH) was successfully used for the removal of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} ions from solution. The TEM micrographs (Fig. 15(g)) of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}–SH revealed that it consists of 10 nm nanoparticles with an irregular shape covered with a silica shell and thiol coating. The EDS spectrum of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}–SH not only confirmed the presence of silica and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, but also the very important peak of sulfur (2.64 wt%). The TEM and EDS results confirmed the successful coating of SiO\textsubscript{2} and 3-MPTS on the Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} magnetic adsorbent. The adsorption of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} was studied using Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}, and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}@SiO\textsubscript{2}–SH adsorbents and varying the initial concentration of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} from 5 ppb to 100 ppb. The adsorption experiments were performed by
adding 50 mL metal ion solution to 100 mL polypropylene bottles. The concentration of metal ion was adjusted to 50 ppm with NaCl stock solution and the quantity of adsorbent used was 0.2 g L$^{-1}$. The solution mixture was stirred for 4 h at 303 K to achieve the maximum adsorption. After stirring for 4 h, the bottle was kept on a magnet for 5 min to separate the adsorbent from the aqueous solution. Then the mercury concentration in the supernatant was determined using a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer.\textsuperscript{164}

The adsorption kinetics was studied by withdrawing a sample at the intervals of 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 240, 360, and 480 min. Further, by adding $K^+$, $Na^+$, and $Ca^{2+}$ to the solution, the effects of coexisting cations on mercury adsorption was also investigated. According to Fig. 15(h), it was found that the adsorption efficiency of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ was much less compared to that of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH. The lower adsorption efficiency of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ can be ascribed to the lower affinity between the surface hydroxyl groups (hard Lewis base) and Hg$^{2+}$ (soft acid). However, the high adsorption efficiency of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH can be ascribed to the strong bonding between the surface –SH group (soft base) and Hg$^{2+}$ (soft acid). The adsorption results were in good agreement with the Langmuir model, which occurred as a monolayer. According to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm calculations, it was found that Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH exhibited the maximum adsorption capacity ($q_m$) for Hg$^{2+}$ of about 148.8 mg g$^{-1}$, which was found to be the best among the reported magnetic adsorbents such as Fe$_3$O$_4$/ HA (97.7 mg g$^{-1}$ at pH 6)\textsuperscript{166} and SH–HSM (140.1 mg g$^{-1}$) sorbents.\textsuperscript{167}

The effect of temperature on adsorption was also studied for 60 ppm of Hg$^{2+}$ solution at pH 6.5. The adsorption rate of Hg$^{2+}$ at two different temperatures of 293 and 303 K was recorded, as shown in Fig. 15(i). It was observed that for the adsorption process carried out at 303 K, the adsorption reached equilibrium in just 1 h, whereas for that carried out at 293 K, it was prolonged to 4 h. The high adsorption tendency at a higher
temperature (303 K) can be due to the high mobility of Hg$^{2+}$ ions towards surface bonding sites, and the adsorption was confirmed to be an endothermic reaction.

To explore the recyclability efficiency of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH adsorbent, the adsorbed mercury was desorbed by a solution containing HCl and thiourea. After desorbing the adsorbed mercury, the sorbent was washed with pure water for further use. The adsorption capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH in the first cycle was 130 mg g$^{-1}$, which was found to decrease to 105 and 95 mg g$^{-1}$ in the second and third cycles, respectively. Further, in the two subsequent cycles (fourth and fifth cycle), the adsorption capacity was found to be almost constant. The decrease in the adsorption efficiency in first two cycles was attributed to the decrease in the number of loosely held surface mercapto groups due to washing. However, due to the presence of strongly held mercapto groups to the silica surface, which remained firm during washing, the adsorption efficiency remained constant in the subsequent cycles.$^{164}$

The various morphological architectures of inorganic nano-adsorbents studied for the adsorption of toxic Hg(II) ions in water are listed in Table 5. Among them, Fe$_3$O$_4$–SH$^{168}$ and poly(1-vinylimidazole)-grafted Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles$^{169}$ were found to exhibit the maximum adsorption capacity of 344.82 and 346 mg g$^{-1}$ for Hg(II) ions, respectively.

### 4.5.1 Mechanism of Hg(II) adsorption

As listed in Table 5, various adsorbents have been developed for the removal of Hg$^{2+}$ ions in water. Most of these adsorbents were found to be

---

**Table 5** Comprehensive comparison of the adsorption efficiency of various nanoadsorbents for the removal of mercury, Hg(II), ions

| Nano-adsorbent                          | Morphology/surface area | Max. removal capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–SH                 | Nanoparticles           | 132                                 | 170  |
| Thiolated CNT                          | Nanotubes               | 105.2                               | 171  |
| CuO                                    | Nanoparticles           | 28.2                                | 172  |
| Sulfurized activated carbon            | —                       | 58.9                                | 173  |
| Activated carbon                       | —                       | 38.9                                | 173  |
| Hydroxyapatite                         | —                       | 25.3                                | 174  |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$–SH                         | Nanoparticles           | 344.82                              | 168  |
| poly[1-vinylimidazole]-grafted Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ | Nanoparticles | 346                                 | 169  |
| Humic acid-coated Fe$_3$O$_4$          | Nanoparticles           | 98                                  | 166  |
| Thiol-functionalized magnetite/graphene oxide hybrid | —                   | 290                                 | 175  |
| CoFe$_2$O$_4$-reduced graphene oxide   | —                       | 158                                 | 176  |
| Naphthalimide-functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ | Nanoparticles | 32                                  | 177  |
| Activated carbon from corn cob         | Specific surface area of = 1054.2 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | 2.39                               | 178  |
| Polyethyleneimine-modified activated carbon derived from waste tires | Specific surface area of = 363 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | 16.39                             | 179  |
| Pistachio wood waste-derived activated carbon | Well-developed pores like parallel and long channels | 201                                 | 180  |
| Specific surface area of = 1448 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | Specific surface area of = 1448 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | 248                                 | 181  |
| *Bambusa vulgaris striata*-derived activated carbon | Specific surface area of = 608 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | 172                                 | 182  |
| Bagasse pith                           | Specific surface area of = 537 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ | 172                                 | 182  |

---

Fig. 16 Schematic of the mechanism of the adsorption of Hg$^{2+}$ by magnetic CoFe$_2$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–EDTA adsorbent (ref. 183).
functionalised with various ligands. Similar to other adsorbents, Kai Xia et al. developed EDTA-functionalized CoFe2O4@SiO2 for the adsorption of mercury ions. CoFe2O4@SiO2–EDTA exhibited an adsorption capacity of 103.3 mg g⁻¹ for Hg²⁺ ions at pH = 7. The possible adsorption mechanism of Hg²⁺ by CoFe2O4@SiO2–EDTA is shown in Fig. 16. In the proposed mechanism, it was clear that the Hg²⁺ formed a coordinate complex with the EDTA ligand present on the surface of the magnetic adsorbent. There are various ways in which the EDTA ligand can be attached covalently to the surface of CoFe2O4@SiO2. Among them, the two quite common ways of forming covalent bonds between a ligand and Hg(II) are shown in Fig. 16. The Hg(II) present in the solution was adsorbed to the magnetic CoFe2O4@SiO2 through two EDTA ligands or through one EDTA ligand. However, the overall adsorption process of Hg(II) is a chemical reaction involving chelation and single-layer adsorption. The adsorption mechanism follows the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model and pseudo-second-order kinetics. Further, the process of adsorption is spontaneous and exothermic reaction.

5. Conclusion and future prospects

Herein, the severity of the toxicity and carcinogenicity of most commonly present hazardous toxic metal ions, such as arsenic (As³⁺), lead (Pb²⁺), chromium (Cr⁶⁺), cadmium (Cd²⁺), and mercury (Hg²⁺), were presented in detail. The mechanism of the toxicity and carcinogenicity of all these metal ions was systematically discussed. For removing these toxic metal ions, hierarchically structured inorganic nanoadsorbents having a high surface area developed by various research groups were carefully selected and their efficiencies were systematically explored. Among the various reported nanoadsorbents, the main focus was on promising nanoadsorbents for the removal of individual toxic metal ions such as α-Fe2O3 hollow nest-like spheres for As(³⁺), MgO nanosheets for As(³⁺), nZVI@Mg(OH)2 flower-like spheres for Pb(²⁺), polymers of iron oxy hydroxides (α-FeOOH, β-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH, and δ-FeOOH) and CeO2 nanoadsorbents for Cr(⁶⁺), and MgO nanoflowers. Also, amine-functionalised CoFe2O4@SiO2–NH₂ core shell nanostructures for Cd(II) and finally Fe3O4@SH and MPTS-grafted Fe3O4@SiO2@SH nanoparticles for Hg(II) ions together with other nanoadsorbents were highlighted.

This review provided comprehensive information on oxide-based adsorbents possessing a high surface area with hierarchical architectures for water purification. However, the research for solving many issues related to heavy metal ions in water is still in its nascent stage, and therefore detailed investigations are required to establish the large-scale purification of water in order to solve the pure domestic water crisis worldwide.
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