A Compact Model for the Complex Plant Circadian Clock
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The circadian clock is an endogenous timekeeper that allows organisms to anticipate and adapt to the daily variations of their environment. The plant clock is an intricate network of interlocked feedback loops, in which transcription factors regulate each other to generate oscillations with expression peaks at specific times of the day. Over the last decade, mathematical modeling approaches have been used to understand the inner workings of the clock in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Those efforts have produced a number of models of ever increasing complexity. Here, we present an alternative model that combines a low number of equations and parameters, similar to the very earliest models, with the complex network structure found in more recent ones. This simple model describes the temporal evolution of the abundance of eight clock gene mRNA/protein and captures key features of the clock on a qualitative level, namely the entrained and free-running behaviors of the wild type clock, as well as the defects found in knockout mutants (such as altered free-running periods, lack of entrainment, or changes in the expression of other clock genes). Additionally, our model produces complex responses to various light cues, such as extreme photoperiods and non-24 h environmental cycles, and can describe the control of hypocotyl growth by the clock. Our model constitutes a useful tool to probe dynamical properties of the core clock as well as clock-dependent processes.

Keywords: circadian rhythms, Arabidopsis thaliana, mathematical model, entrainment by light–dark cycles, clock mutants

1. INTRODUCTION

The circadian clock is an endogenous timekeeper that allows organisms to anticipate the day/night cycle, thus improving their fitness (Green et al., 2002; Dodd et al., 2005). In plants, the clock has been shown to regulate a wide variety of processes (Barak et al., 2000), including hypocotyl and root growth (Yazdanbakhsh et al., 2011), flowering time (Imaizumi et al., 2005; Johansson and Staiger, 2015), sugar metabolism (Dodd et al., 2015), photosynthesis (Haydon et al., 2013; Webb and Satake, 2015), nutrient homeostasis (Haydon et al., 2015), hormonal signaling, and immunity (Bolouri Moghaddam and Van den Ende, 2013). Circadian oscillations originate at the cellular level from the interactions of at least a dozen clock genes (Nagel and Kay, 2012). Because the circadian network is made up of many interconnected feedback loops, its behavior is hard to grasp by sheer intuition, and mathematical modeling is a very useful tool to help understand it.

The first conceptual models of the oscillator in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana were put forward shortly after the identification of the clock genes CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1
(CCA1), LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1). For example, Alabadi et al. (2001) proposed a positive-negative feedback loop between CCA1/LHY and TOC1, based on the experimentally observed mutual regulation, while Matsushika et al. (2000) hypothesized a “bar code clock” made up of the five members of the PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR (PRR) gene family, which are expressed sequentially through the day. Locke et al. (2005a) built the very first mathematical model, using ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to describe the temporal evolution of the concentration of various clock components. This first model was a formal description of the previously mentioned feedback loop between CCA1, LHY, and TOC1, in which the two partially redundant morning-phased genes CCA1 and LHY inhibit the expression of evening-phased TOC1, which activates them in turn. This simple mechanism was sufficient to generate a 24 h free-running rhythm, but could not reproduce more complex features such as photoperiodic adaptation or mutant phenotypes. It was nevertheless a useful first step, and in the following decade, as more clock components were discovered, further extensions of the Locke model were published (Locke et al., 2005b, 2006; Zeilinger et al., 2006; Pokhilko et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Fogelmark and Troein, 2014). Each iteration built upon the previous one, adding new genes and regulations, and occasionally revising the role of a component as new evidence was made available. The most important revision concerns the role of the transcription factor TOC1: initially thought to be an activator of CCA1/LHY, it was later shown to be an inhibitor of many clock components, including CCA1/LHY (Gendron et al., 2012).

Other approaches that are not based on ODEs were also developed, such as the Boolean model described in Akman et al. (2012). Linear time invariant (LTI) systems, a type of black-box model, have successfully been used to investigate the response of the clock to environmental stimuli (Dalchau, 2012). Each type of model has its own strengths and weaknesses, and different approaches can fulfill different roles in the study of the circadian clock. For example, LTI systems have accurately predicted which clock gene senses a particular environmental signal, but do not offer information about the mechanism driving the oscillations.

A more recent trend is the study and modeling of Ostreococcus tauri, a unicellular alga whose core circadian clock is made up of a single loop between orthologs of CCA1 and TOC1 (Corellou et al., 2009). This system has been modeled and used to study light sensing and entrainment (Troein et al., 2011; Dixon et al., 2014; Thommen et al., 2015).

In this work, we present an ODE-based model for A. thaliana that retains the small number of components found in older models of the same type, but incorporates many more recent discoveries into the wiring of the oscillator. Compared to the latest published models (Pokhilko et al., 2013; Fogelmark and Troein, 2014), our minimal model is more qualitative. However, its small size makes it more tractable. We show that the many connections between the model components and the addition of multiple light inputs are sufficient to reproduce a large range of behaviors in various light conditions. We also demonstrate the ability of our model to describe clock-dependent processes by building a small output module that reproduces hypocotyl growth phenotypes.

2. MODEL

2.1. The Model Includes Four Pairs of Genes

Given the large number of known clock components and the high degree of redundancy between some of them, we chose to merge very similar genes into single variables, which allowed us to include many well-characterized genes without needing several sets of almost identical equations. This approach is not novel, and has successfully been used with several clock genes, in particular CCA1 and LHY, which were treated as a single entity in all previous ODE-based models except in Fogelmark and Troein (2014). In Locke et al. (2006), Zeilinger et al. (2006), and Pokhilko et al. (2010), PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR9 (PRR9), and PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR7 (PRR7) were also combined into a single variable. Here, we extended the same approach to two more pairs of genes. Our model structure, shown in Figure 1, includes four groups of two genes each. Each pair of genes has similar expression profiles, regulators, targets, and defects in loss-of-function mutant lines.

2.1.1. Dawn Genes: CCA1 and LHY

The dawn-phased genes CCA1 and LHY are transcription factors belonging to the MYB superfamily (Schaffer et al., 1998; Wang and Tobin, 1998). They play largely, although not completely, redundant roles within the clock (Mizoguchi et al., 2002). They are negatively regulated by the PRR family of genes (Nakamichi
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et al., 2010), and have been shown to inhibit the expression of many evening-phased genes, including the clock components TOC1 (Alabadi et al., 2001), EARLY FLOWERING 4 (ELF4) (Kikis et al., 2005), and LUX ARRHYTHMO (LUX) (Hazen et al., 2005). CCA1 and LHY also bind to the promoters of the morning genes PRR9 and PRR7, and have a positive effect on their expression (Farré et al., 2005), although it is unclear whether that action is direct or indirect (Kawamura et al., 2008). The expression of both genes is induced by the dark to light transition, and light simultaneously promotes the translation and degradation of the LHY mRNA (Kim et al., 2003).

In our model, the expression of CCA1/LHY is transiently activated by light and inhibited by PRR9/PRR7 and PRR5/TOC1. The translation and mRNA degradation rates are increased by light. The protein inhibits the expression of the evening genes ELF4/LUX and PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR (PRR5)/TOC1, and directly promotes that of the morning genes PRR9/PRR7.

2.1.2. Dusk Genes: ELF4 and LUX

The Evening Complex (EC) is made up of three proteins, EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3), ELF4 and LUX (Nusinow et al., 2011). Of the three, LUX is the only proper transcription factor (Onai and Ishiura, 2005; Helfer et al., 2011) but the formation of the EC is necessary for LUX to become active (Mizuno et al., 2014b). The EC acts as a transcriptional repressor that inhibits the expression of the morning genes PRR9 and PRR7, its own component LUX, as well as the growth- and flowering-related genes PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) and PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 5 (PIF5) (Mizuno et al., 2014b). Two other clock genes, ELF4 and TOC1, may also be targets, as suggested by the elevated levels of expression of those genes in mutants lacking the EC and the presence of at least one LUX binding site in their promoters. However, the EC components were not shown to bind to those sites in vivo (Onai and Ishiura, 2005; Dixon et al., 2011; Helfer et al., 2011; Mizuno et al., 2014b).

In light/dark cycles, ELF4 and LUX have very similar expression profiles, with a peak at dusk or around 12h after dawn, whichever is earlier (Doyle et al., 2002; Hazen et al., 2005), while the expression of ELF3 extends much later into the night (Hicks et al., 2001). The expression of ELF3 is only inhibited by CCA1/LHY, while ELF4 and LUX are inhibited by CCA1/LHY, TOC1, and the EC. Additionally, ELF4 is induced by light signaling-related proteins (Li et al., 2011).

In the model, we have assumed that ELF4 or LUX must be the limiting factor in the formation of the EC, and have not included ELF3 explicitly. One equation represents the mRNA of ELF4/LUX, and another the fully functional EC, which is translated directly from the mRNA without intermediate steps. The expression of ELF4/LUX is modeled as light dependent, and inhibited by CCA1/LHY, PRR5/TOC1, and the EC. The EC represses PRR9/PRR7 and ELF4/LUX but not PRR5/TOC1, even though there is a possibility that this interaction exists. The experimental evidence in support of a direct inhibition of PRR9, PRR7, and LUX is strong, while the case for a similar regulation of ELF4 and TOC1 is slightly less clear cut, and nothing suggests an effect on PRR5 (Mizuno et al., 2014b). Therefore, we based our decision mainly on LUX for the ELF4/LUX pair and PRR5 for the PRR5/TOC1 pair.

2.1.3. The PRR Family: PRR9 and PRR7, PRR5 and TOC1

The A. thaliana PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR family contains five clock-related genes: PRR9, PRR7, PRR5, PRR3, and TOC1 (sometimes known as PRR1) (Matsushika et al., 2000). We have excluded PRR3 from our model because its expression is limited to vascular tissue (Para et al., 2007). Furthermore, this gene has not been characterized as extensively as the other four family members.

The PRRs are transcriptional repressors, acting to inhibit CCA1 and LHY as well as each other (Nakamichi et al., 2010; Gendron et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012). TOC1 also inhibits ELF4 and LUX (Huang et al., 2012). While each PRR is partially redundant with the next one, there is little overlap between the expression, regulation and roles of the two most distant members, PRR9 and TOC1. We chose to split the PRR family into two pairs, PRR9/PRR7 and PRR5/TOC1, based on the transcriptional and post-translational regulation of all four genes and the clock-related defects in overexpression and loss-of-function mutant lines.

The circadian phenotypes of the various prr knockouts are one argument in favor of those pairings: prr5, toc1 and prr5toc1 mutants have a short period and lowered CCA1/LHY expression levels (Ito et al., 2008), while the prr9, prr7, and prr9prr7 mutants have long periods and slightly elevated CCA1/LHY levels (Farré et al., 2005).

At the transcriptional level, PRR9 and PRR7 are activated by CCA1 and LHY (Farré et al., 2005), while TOC1 is inhibited (Alabadi et al., 2001). No direct evidence is available regarding PRR5 but several observations indicate its inhibition by CCA1 and LHY. Its circadian phase is similar to that of TOC1, ELF4, LUX, and GIGANTEA (GI), all of which are known targets of CCA1 and LHY (Pokhliko et al., 2012). It is also activated by the MYB transcription factor REVILLE8 (RVE8), which works in opposition to CCA1 and LHY at the molecular level, and which positively regulates all of the aforementioned evening-phased genes (Rawat et al., 2011; Hsu et al., 2013). It should be noted that RVE8 is not explicitly included in our model, but its action is implicitly accounted for in the description of CCA1/LHY. Furthermore, PRR9 and PRR7 are inhibited by the evening complex in a temperature-dependent fashion. There is no evidence of the EC targeting PRR5, and PRR5 and TOC1 are not strongly temperature-responsive like PRR9 and PRR7 (Mizuno et al., 2014a,b).

At the post-translational level, all of the PRRs are degraded faster in the dark than in the light but the proteins mediating that effect are different: PRR5 and TOC1 are tagged for degradation by ZEITLUPE (ZTL) (Más et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2007; Fujiiwara et al., 2008), which does not interact with PRR9 or PRR7 (Kiba et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2011). The protein acting on PRR9 and PRR7 has not been identified yet (Farré and Kay, 2007; Ito et al., 2007). Although neither ZTL nor the unknown regulator of PRR9/PRR7 is explicitly included in the model, this
provides another indication that the two pairs PRR9/PRR7 and PRR5/TOC1 are more similar to each other than to any other PRR. Moreover, PRR5 and TOC1 form a dimer which enhances the nuclear import and accumulation of TOC1, thereby linking the activity of two proteins (Wang et al., 2010).

In the model, the transcription of PRR9/PRR7 mRNA is transiently light-induced at dawn, as is the case for PRR9 (Khanna et al., 2006). It is induced by CCA1/LHY and repressed by PRR5/TOC1 and the EC. The protein is degraded faster in the absence of light and inhibits the transcription of CCA1/LHY. The transcription of PRR5/TOC1 is inhibited by CCA1/LHY and PRR5/TOC1. The protein is degraded differently in the light than in the dark, and inhibits the expression of all of the clock genes, including itself.

The degradation of PRR5 and TOC1 is known to be mediated by two other proteins, GI and ZTL. The degradation rate is not constant throughout the night. Instead, it starts out slow at dusk, when a large fraction of ZTL proteins are sequestered by GI, and accelerates as the night progresses, when more ZTL is released from the complex (Fujiiwara et al., 2008). In our model, we have greatly simplified that regulation, and only have different degradation rates in light and darkness. A more detailed description could potentially improve the description of the evening section of the clock; however, when we attempted to include such a description, we found that the simplest approximations actually worsened the fit of the model. We needed at least three additional equations and over a dozen parameters just to match the performance of the model version presented here. The resulting system had a slightly improved description of the phase of PRR5/TOC1 but no major qualitative changes. Since adding this regulation increased the number of variables and parameters by over 30% each, we considered that we had reached the level of complexity where the cost of adding more details, in terms of ease of use, outweighed the benefits of a more accurate result.

It should be noted that several previous models described an activation cascade where PRR9 induces PRR7 expression and PRR7 induces PRR5 in turn, while TOC1 was considered separately (Pokhilko et al., 2010, 2012, 2013). Such a cascade was initially hypothesized to exist because of the pattern of PRR expression during the day: the members of the family are sequentially expressed, with PRR9 peaking shortly after dawn, followed by PRR7 in the morning, PRR5 in the evening, and TOC1 at or after dusk (Makino et al., 2000; Matsushika et al., 2000). We have not included the PRR cascade in our model, for similar reasons to the ones outlined in Fogelmark and Troein (2014). First, the data on knockout and overexpression lines does not support the hypothesis. The ppr9 knockout mutant has a very mild phenotype including almost wild-type levels of PRR7 and PRR5 (Farré et al., 2005), showing that PRR9 can not be necessary for the expression of PRR7/5. Similarly, PRR5 is not suppressed in the ppr7 mutant, making PRR7 unnecessary for the expression of PRR5 (Farré et al., 2005). Conversely, PRR9-OX (Matsushika et al., 2002) and PRR7-OX (Matsushika et al., 2007) lines do not have elevated levels of the next PRR in line, which would be expected if they were activators. Other studies have shown that while some of the PRRs bind each others promoters, they are strictly transcriptional repressors (Nakamichi et al., 2010).

2.1.4. Acute Light-Induced Activation: The Protein P

The transcription of the morning genes CCA1, LHY and PRR9 is induced upon transition from an extended period of darkness to light, through the action of dark-accumulating proteins: PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3-LIKE 1 (PIF1) for PRR9 (Khanna et al., 2006) and possibly PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3 (PIF3) along with other unidentified agents for CCA1 and LHY (Martínez-García et al., 2000). In the model, those proteins are all represented by a non-clock regulated variable called P, which accumulates during dark periods and is rapidly degraded by light. The equation for P was taken directly from previously published models. During the building of our model, we examined whether replacing this variable with an explicitly clock-regulated one (similar to the PIF4/5 protein included in the hypocotyl growth module, see equations in the Supplementary Information) improved the description of the clock, and found virtually no difference.

2.2. Model Equations

The model consists of nine ODEs (See Supplementary Materials). Eight equations describe the temporal evolution of the mRNA and protein levels of the main clock genes, grouped into four groups, each of which represents a pair of clock genes: CL (CCA1 and LHY), P97 (PRR9 and PRR7), P51 (PRR5, and TOC1), and EL (ELF4, and LUX). The ninth equation is the dark-accumulating protein P, introduced by Locke et al. (2005a) and used by subsequent models as a stand-in for PIF1, PIF3 and other proteins that induce the transcription of CCA1, LHY, and PRR9 upon dark-to-light transition. All rates of protein synthesis, protein degradation, and mRNA degradation are linear. The rates of mRNA transcription are non-linear, and activations/inhibitions are modeled using Hill-type terms. The environmental light conditions are represented by the parameter L, which only takes the values 0 (lights off) or 1 (lights on). Light/dark cycles are thus modeled by square waves. The light is considered to be white light at a constant fluence rate of around 100 µmol.m\(^{-2}\).s\(^{-1}\). The model contains 34 parameters. Parameter values were obtained through automated optimization as described in the Section 5.

3. RESULTS

The simple model captures the main features of the wild-type clock and the main clock mutants, in both entrained and free-running conditions. In contrast to earlier models of similar complexity, this model also responds well to unusual light cues, including extreme photoperiods and non-24 h light/dark cycles.

3.1. The Wild-Type Clock

We first present the time profiles, obtained after optimization, for the wild-type clock under various LD cycles. As shown in Figure 2, in short days (8L:16D), the simulated mRNA profiles of three of the four model components match the experimental data very closely. The expression level of PRR5/TOC1 remains
FIGURE 2 | Experimental and simulated expression profiles in short days (8L:16D). Experimentally measured (in blue) and simulated (in red) mRNA levels of (A) CCA1 and LHY, (B) PRR9 and PRR7, (C) PRR5 and TOC1, and (D) ELF4 and LUX. All values are normalized to their respective maximum. The experimental data was obtained by qRT-PCR (see Section 5).

high much longer in simulations than in experiments. However, PRR5 and TOC1 proteins are known to persist well into the dark phase (Fujiwara et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011), and the simulated PRR5/TOC1 protein (not shown) peaks much closer to its experimental counterparts (Kim et al., 2007). Furthermore, depending on the source, the reported phase of TOC1 in the Columbia (Col-0) wild type in short days varies between Zeitgeber Time (ZT) 8 (our data, shown here) and ZT15 (Edwards et al., 2010). The source of this important variation is unknown, but may be related to growth conditions.

The simulated expression of clock genes varies according to the entraining photoperiod, as observed experimentally. Figure 3 shows simulated and experimentally measured mRNA levels of the eight modeled clock genes in long days (16L:8D). Supplementary Figure 2 shows the same in the standard 12L:12D conditions. The model provides a good fit in all three cases.

Figure 4 shows that the model produces strong, sustained oscillations with a period of 24 h in continuous light. In continuous darkness, the period is 25.7 h (not shown). This reflects the fact that the free-running period is generally reported to be between 24 and 25 h in white light, and longer and more variable in darkness (see for example, Dalchau et al., 2011).

3.2. Clock Mutants

In order to evaluate the ability of the model to reproduce the observed defects (defined as any change in gene expression levels, phase, or free-running period length) associated with a loss-of-function of the main clock genes, we looked at those features in single and double mutants. For three of the four gene pairs in the model, there are two single mutants with the same or very similar defects, and a double mutant with qualitatively similar but more pronounced version of the same phenotype.

The fourth pair, ELF4 and LUX, is different: since they function together as part of the same protein complex instead of separately, their effects are not additive, and the loss of either one leads to the loss of the whole EC. Both single mutants are completely arrhythmic in continuous light. However, there exists a reduced function ELF3 mutant (elf3-12), in which the clock is only mildly affected. This mutant has lower levels of nuclear ELF3 protein than the wild type, a light-dependent short period phenotype, and its growth- and clock-related defects are intermediate between the wild type and elf3-1, a null mutant. (Kolmos et al., 2011) Thus, we defined the elf3-12 line as the weak EC mutant, similar to the single mutants for the other pairs, and the elf3, lux, and elf4 null lines as the strong EC mutants, similar to the double mutants in other pairs.

Simulated single mutants were produced by dividing the relevant mRNA synthesis rate by 2. For simulated double mutants, the synthesis rate was divided by 10. The results of the double mutant simulations are shown in Figure 5. Mutant simulations in continuous light conditions can be found in Supplementary Figure 3.
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FIGURE 3 | Experimental and simulated expression profiles in long days (16L:8D). Experimentally measured (in blue) and simulated (in red) mRNA levels of (A) CCA1 and LHY, (B) PRR9 and PRR7, (C) PRR5 and TOC1, and (D) ELF4 and LUX. All values are normalized to their respective maximum. The experimental data was obtained from the DIURNAL database (Mockler et al., 2007).

3.2.1. cca1, lhy, and cca1lhy Mutants
Experimentally, in light/dark cycles, CCA1 and LHY set the phase of most evening genes by preventing their expression in the hours directly after dawn. In cca1, lhy, and cca1lhy mutants, this effect is diminished or lost, leading the evening-phased genes (including ELF4, LUX, TOC1, and PRR5) to rise early. In continuous light, the single mutants have a short period while the double mutant is generally reported to be arrhythmic (Alabadi et al., 2002; Mizoguchi et al., 2002).

Figure 5A shows simulated levels of PRR5/TOC1 in the double mutant in light/dark conditions. Its elevated expression level, especially in the morning, is correctly reproduced. In continuous light, lowering the rate of PRR9/PRR7 synthesis correctly lengthens the period, but the system becomes completely arrhythmic if the synthesis rate is set to zero. This behavior is reminiscent of the prr9prr7prr5 triple mutant (Nakamichi et al., 2005). A possible explanation for the exaggerated features of the simulated double mutant is the partial redundancy between PRR7 and PRR5, which the model does not account for, and which is probably sufficient to sustain clock function in the prr9prr7 double mutant.

3.2.2. prr9, prr7, and prr9prr7 Mutants
Experimentally, the prr9 and prr7 single mutants have only very slight defects, both in period length (slightly long, 25 to 26 h Farré et al., 2005; Salomé and McClung, 2005) and in clock gene expression levels. The prr9prr7 double mutant, however, has a strong (temperature-dependent) phenotype, with measured period lengths of around 32 h at 20/22°C and exceeding 35 h at higher temperatures (Salomé et al., 2010). In light/dark cycles, it has elevated levels of CCA1 and LHY, which fail to return to baseline in the morning, thus clamping the expression of evening genes very low for most of the day (Farré et al., 2005).

Figure 5B shows CCA1/LHY expression in the simulated double mutant. The mRNA levels are elevated, as observed experimentally, though the magnitude of the effect is larger in the simulation. In continuous light, lowering the rate of PRR9/PRR7 synthesis correctly lengthens the period, but the system becomes completely arrhythmic if the synthesis rate is set to zero. This behavior is reminiscent of the prr9prr7prr5 triple mutant (Nakamichi et al., 2005). A possible explanation for the exaggerated features of the simulated double mutant is the partial redundancy between PRR7 and PRR5, which the model does not account for, and which is probably sufficient to sustain clock function in the prr9prr7 double mutant.

3.2.3. prr5, toc1, and prr5toc1 Mutants
Experimentally, the prr5 and toc1 mutants have short periods, and the prr5toc1 double mutant is shorter than each single mutant, although the magnitude of the synergetic effect is lower than for CCA1/LHY and PRR9/PRR7 (Ito et al., 2008). All three mutants have low CCA1 and LHY expression. This is seemingly in contradiction with the role of the PRRs as inhibitors of CCA1/LHY expression and is the reason why TOC1 was initially thought to be an activator (Locke et al., 2005a).

As seen in Figure 5C, the model correctly reproduces the low levels of CCA1/LHY. That effect is due to the indirect activation
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FIGURE 4 | Experimental and simulated expression profiles in continuous light conditions. Experimentally measured (in blue) and simulated (in red) mRNA levels of (A) CCA1 and LHY, (B) PRR9 and PRR7, (C) PRR5 and TOC1, and (D) ELF4 and LUX. All values are normalized to their respective maximum. The experimental data was obtained by qRT-PCR (see Section 5).

of CCA1/LHY via the repression of PRR9/PRR7 overpowering the direct inhibition. The phase of CCA1/LHY peak expression is early in the model, due to the loss of the night-phased PRR5 and TOC1 proteins allowing the morning genes to rise early. A possible explanation for the difference between the simulation and experiments lies in the lack of a detailed description of the regulation of PRR5/TOC1 protein stability by GI/ZTL. The model building section discusses our reasons for not adding this regulation.

3.2.4. elf4 and lux Mutants

Experimentally, the effects of the elf4 and lux mutations are the same rather than being additive. Both mutants are arrhythmic in constant light, with the clock arresting around dusk, when the level of all of the PRRs are elevated, and CCA1 and LHY are almost completely suppressed (Onai and Ishiura, 2005; McWatters et al., 2007).

In simulations, lowering the synthesis rate of the EC shortens the free-running period. This is coherent with the reported short period of reduced-function elf3 lines, which presumably have reduced EC levels. The null mutant, with a synthesis rate set to zero, is arrhythmic in free-running conditions. With the parameters presented here, the simulated evening complex mutant has only slightly lower levels of CCA1/LHY than the wild-type in light/dark cycles (Figure 5D). However, CCA1/LHY expression correctly drops to very low levels in continuous light (Supplementary Figure 2D). The free-running period is short in weak mutants, and the oscillations rapidly damp to undetectable levels in strong mutants.

3.3. Entrainment by Light/Dark Cycles

In our model, light affects every gene, whether at the transcriptional, translational, or post-translational levels. Those multiple light-sensing points are all backed up by experimental data, as described in our model building section, and allow for complex responses to a wide range of light conditions.

3.3.1. Dawn and Dusk Sensitivity

Both at the experimental and simulation levels, changing the length of the photoperiod leads to changes in the phase and amplitude of expression of many clock genes. The relationship between the phase of maximum (or minimum) expression of a gene and the photoperiod can be characterized and even quantified using the concept of dawn- and dusk-sensitivity (Edwards et al., 2010; Dixon et al., 2014). A gene is said to be dawn-sensitive if its phase is completely independent of the photoperiod (i.e., always at the same time relative to dawn). Conversely, the phase of a dusk-sensitive gene is entirely dependent on the photoperiod (i.e., always at the same time relative to dusk). The clock genes CCA1, LHY, PRR9, and TOC1 have been systematically characterized in photoperiods ranging from 3 to 18 h and have more complex responses than pure dawn- or dusk-tracking (Edwards et al., 2010). Data for other clock genes is generally only available in 8-, 12-, and 16-h photoperiods.
The response of the model variables in photoperiods ranging from 3 to 21 h in increments of 3 h is shown in Figure 6. Although the exact phase tends to be slightly off, the qualitative behavior of the model largely matches the findings of Edwards et al. (2010).

Experimentally, CCA1 and LHY are almost completely dawn-sensitive, peaking shortly after lights on in all photoperiods. The model correctly predicts almost perfect dawn sensitivity for CCA1/LHY (Figure 6A), though the variable slightly overanticipates the end of the night in very short days.

Edwards et al. (2010) reported that PRR9 is partially dusk-sensitive, but they did not characterize its homolog PRR7. In the model, PRR9/PRR7 has a small but noticeable degree of dusk sensitivity, which agrees with the reported behavior of PRR9 (Figure 6B).

The simulated PRR5/TOC1 (Figure 6C) has the most complex response, with a phase that first gets earlier, then later as the photoperiod becomes longer. The same behavior is observed experimentally with TOC1. Unfortunately, no full data is available for PRR5.

Finally, although neither ELF4 nor LUX were systematically measured in all photoperiods, their simulated response (Figure 6D) is coherent with the limited data available from the literature, which generally gives their phase of peak expression as ZT8 in 8L:16D conditions, ZT11-12 in 12L:12D, and around ZT12-13 in 16L:8D. In our simulations, ELF4/LUX displays a light-dependent behavior, tracking dusk perfectly in short days then becoming mostly dawn-sensitive in longer days.

In addition to the wild type, Figure 6 shows the same analysis for the simulated cca1 or lhy single mutant. Plotting the phase of all of the clock components can highlight the defects of each mutant, in this case a general phase advance of the whole clock caused by the premature release of the evening genes.

3.3.2. Entrainment and Release

As discussed above, our model can be reliably entrained to a 24 h cycle regardless of the length of the light period. Figure 7 shows the simulated time courses for CCA1/LHY (Figure 7A) and PRR5/TOC1 (Figure 7B) expression during one light/dark cycle (following several cycles of adaptation, not shown) in photoperiods ranging from 3 to 21 h, followed by release into continuous light. As we can see on those panels, and plotted on Figures 7C,D, the phase of the first peak of expression after release into continuous light is largely independent of the preceding entraining conditions. This correlates well with the experimental data (Edwards et al., 2010) and in contrast to several previous models. While the more recent models (Pokhilko et al., 2012, 2013; Fogelmark and Troein, 2014) have the same feature, the earlier ones either lack the capacity to adapt to changing photoperiods (Locke et al., 2005a,b) or show an important
correlation between the phase of the free-running clock and the length of the last photoperiod before release (Locke et al., 2006; Edwards et al., 2010).

This feature of our model is coherent with the existing literature, as it includes a complex network structure and multiple light inputs, both of which have been shown to be important factors in the ability of a system to respond to light cues (Troein et al., 2011; Dixon et al., 2014; Ohara et al., 2015).

3.3.3. Exotic Light Cues: Non-24 h Cycles and Skeleton Photoperiods

An oscillator has a range of entrainment, that is, a range of periods it can be entrained to. Frequency demultiplication is a particular phenomenon that happens when the clock is subjected to a short cycle that is outside of its range of entrainment, but has a frequency that is close to an integer multiple of the free-running frequency. In such a case, the clock can skip cycles and entrain not to the short period but to a multiple of it. The wild-type Arabidopsis clock has been shown to oscillate with a 24 h period for at least several cycles following a switch from standard 24 h (12L:12D) conditions to a 12 h (6L:6D) (Kolmos et al., 2011; Anwer et al., 2014) or 8 h (4L:4D) cycle. (Nozue et al., 2007) In contrast, clock mutants with severe defects, such as the arrhythmic CCA1-OX line shown here, or the cca1lhy double mutant (not shown), immediately entrain to the short cycle. Mutants with mild to moderate defects (not shown) initially retain a 24 h period before transitioning to the short cycle.

Another hallmark of a functional circadian clock is the ability to be entrained by skeleton photoperiods, in which the organism is maintained in darkness except for pulses of light at dawn and dusk. Experimentally, the clock in A. thaliana will oscillate with a 24 h period provided that the dawn and dusk light pulses are at least 3 h long (Pokhilko et al., 2010). Figure 8C shows that the simulated wild type clock readily locks onto a 24 h cycle, as reported, while the arrhythmic CCA1-OX line, in Figure 8D, is predicted to simply respond to the light cues.

3.4. Clock Output: Hypocotyl Length

One of the motivations for developing clock models, aside from understanding the core circadian oscillator itself, is to investigate the many clock-controlled metabolic and physiological aspects. To ensure the ability of our minimal model to capture the general features of such processes, we have developed a small output module to model hypocotyl growth.

Hypocotyl growth is gated by light and the circadian clock through a coincidence mechanism. The clock controls the expression of the partially redundant genes PIF4 and PIF5, two major activators of hypocotyl elongation. Light degrades the

![FIGURE 6 | Phase dependence on the photoperiod: (A) CCA1/LHY, (B) PRR9/PRR7, (C) PRR5/TOC1, and (D) ELF4/LUX. Phase of the peak (filled upward triangles) and trough (empty downward triangles) of the model components as a function of the photoperiod. The wild type is in blue, the cca1 mutant in red. The typical phase advance of the mutant is clearly apparent.](image-url)
PIF4/5 proteins through a phytochrome-mediated mechanism. In long days, the EC is active throughout the night, and PIF4/5 are only expressed during the light period. Under these conditions, the proteins cannot accumulate, and growth is suppressed. In shorter days, the EC is fully degraded before the end of the night, allowing PIF4/5 transcription and protein accumulation before dawn, and thus enabling hypocotyl growth.

This output module keeps the same minimalist approach as the rest of the clock, consisting of three equations and nine parameters. The three modeled variables are PIF4/5 mRNA (combined as a single variable, as with the clock genes), which is inhibited by the EC, the PIF4/5 protein, which is rapidly degraded by light, and hypocotyl length, which starts at zero and accumulates with time.

Figure 9 shows the experimentally measured and simulated length after 5 days of growth as a function of the photoperiod, in the wild type and two mutants. The model correctly captures the two domains of activity in the wild type, with hypocotyls remaining short in long photoperiods before growing as a mostly linear function of day length. The model also correctly predicts the growth defects of the cca1lhy and prr9prr7 mutants. The simulated elf4/lux mutant (not shown) has a long hypocotyl, similar to prr9prr7, which fits the experimental evidence. However, the model incorrectly predicts a short hypocotyl phenotype for prr5toc1 (also not shown), which is reported to have an elongated hypocotyl (Ito et al., 2008).

4. DISCUSSION

Our minimal model is able to reproduce many of the key features of the A. thaliana circadian clock, including clock mutant phenotypes and light responses. It demonstrates that the molecular mechanism of the plant oscillator can be described by a reduced set of equations. It highlights the importance of multiple light sensing mechanisms and offers a more qualitative but more tractable alternative to recent detailed models.

The number of equations and parameters in our model (9 equations and 34 parameters) is similar to that of the very first one-loop model proposed by Locke et al. (2005a) (7 equations and 29 parameters). Nonetheless, the significantly different network structure allows us to capture a much wider range of behaviors. Locke et al. (2005a) included only two genes, one feedback loop, and one light entry point, and could only reproduce the behavior of the wild-type clock. In contrast, our model has four groups of genes, multiple interlocked loops and multiple light inputs, allowing it to simulate various clock mutants and respond to a wide range of light cues. To further study these responses, the role of multiple light inputs in the seasonal adaptation could be explored via a systematic analysis of
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FIGURE 8 | Entrainment by non-24 h cycles and skeleton photoperiods. (A) Entrainment of the wild type clock by a 12 h cycle (6L:6D). The clock oscillates with a 24 h, not 12 h, period. (B) Response of a CCA1-overexpressing line in a 12 h cycle. This arrhythmic line entrains to the short cycle. (C) Entrainment of the wild type clock by a skeleton photoperiod (3L:6D:3L:12D). The functional clock entrains to the 24 h cycle. (D) Response of the CCA1-OX line to a skeleton photoperiod.

The ability of our minimal model to respond to various photoperiods is similar to that of the most recent clock models, published by Pokhilko et al. (2013) (32 equations and 133 parameters) and Fogelmark and Troein (2014) (35 equations and 119 parameters), which have three to four times as many components. Those detailed models are useful to dissect the core regulatory mechanism of the clock but are less convenient to manipulate. Besides the decrease of the computational cost for the optimization, methods such as sensitivity and bifurcation analyses can be applied more easily on simpler models (See Supplementary Figure 5).

While the current results are more qualitative than quantitative, the model could be expanded to either split the gene pairs into individual variables or include more detailed descriptions of various effects, especially of post-translational regulations affecting protein stability and activity. This would allow a more quantitative description of the clock response to environmental stimuli, at the cost of reintroducing complexity into the system.

Nonetheless, our simple model is capable of driving an equally simple output module to reproduce the experimentally measured hypocotyl length of the wild type plant and predict that of several mutant lines. This demonstrates the potential use of our model in studying both the core circadian clock and clock-dependent processes. The model could also be coupled to more output modules, including clock-controlled slave oscillators (as done by Schmal et al., 2013). More generally, processes like hypocotyl and root growth, flowering, photosynthesis, nutrient uptake, and sugar metabolism are known to be tightly regulated by the circadian clock. As shown here for hypocotyl growth and in Seaton et al. (2015) for both hypocotyl growth and flowering time, output pathways can be coupled to the core clock to predict the response to various photoperiods. Modeling these processes requires a clock model that is capable of reproducing key features of the circadian clock without an explicit description of all genes and regulations. Our model constitutes a suitable tool for this purpose by simulating entrainment under various light–dark conditions and the main clock mutants.

5. MATERIALS AND METHODS

5.1. Model Simulation and Optimization

All simulations were done in MATLAB and XPPAUT (Ermentrout, 2002). Bifurcation diagrams were made in XPPAUT (Schmal et al., 2014). The model was developed with the MATLAB toolbox SBToolBox2 (Schmidt and Jirstrand, 2006). All integrations were performed using the CVODE solver bundled in the toolbox. Parameter estimation was done using the
genetic algorithm and simulated annealing algorithm from the MATLAB Global Optimization Toolbox.

During the optimization process, the clock was simulated in 8L:16D conditions for a total of 384 h, then released into free-running conditions for 300 h. The purpose of the first 360 h of simulation is to allow the system to reach its limit cycle; the scoring algorithm used only the last light/dark cycle to compute the score in entrained conditions. Similarly, the first 100 h in free-running conditions were discarded, as they contain transient effects, and the score was computed using the last 200 h of simulations. The wild type and the four single mutants (obtained by halving the relevant mRNA synthesis rates) were simulated in 8L:16D and continuous light. Additionally, the wild type was simulated in continuous darkness.

Because the model is very simple and meant to be more qualitative than quantitative, the optimization function was similar to the one defined in Locke et al. (2005a), using arbitrary constraints on period length and amplitude rather than experimental data sets. The cost function is described in more detail in the Supplementary Information.

5.2. Plant Growth Conditions

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia-0 (Col-0) were grown in hydroponic system as described in Hermans et al. (2010a,b). Plants were grown in a chamber with 8 h light (100 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹)/16 h dark photoperiod at 21°C. After 5 weeks, plants were maintained in the same (8L:16D) or transferred to continuous (L:L) light conditions. Root and shoot organs were harvested every 4 h and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organs from three individual plants were pooled and ground prior to RNA extraction.

5.3. RNA Extraction and qPCR Assay

Total RNA was extracted and purified using Aurum total RNA mini kit (Bio-Rad). After NanoDrop RNA quantification (Thermo Scientific), reverse transcription was done with the GoScript Reverse cDNA Synthesis kit (Promega). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) reactions were performed in a final volume of 10 µl using VeriQuest SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Affymetrix) with the PikoReal Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Scientific): preincubation at 95°C for 7 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s followed by melting curves. Primers are listed in Supplementary Table 3. Levels of *CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE A-1* (CDKA-1) and *UBIQUITIN 10* (UBQ10) were used as normalization factors. Data present the transcript levels of three pooled organs, each sample being assessed by three technical replicates.
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