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ABSTRACT

Context: Post-bariatric hypoglycemia (PBH), characterized by enteroinsular axis overstimulation and hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia, is a complication of bariatric surgery for which there is no approved therapy.

Objective: To evaluate efficacy and safety of avexitide [exendin(9-39)], a GLP-1 antagonist, for treatment of PBH.

Design: Phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled crossover study (PREVENT).

Setting: Multicenter.

Participants: Eighteen female patients with PBH.

Intervention: Placebo for 14 days followed by avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD, each for 14 days in random order.

Main Outcome Measures: Glucose nadir and insulin peak during mixed-meal tolerance testing (MMTT) and hypoglycemic events captured by self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG), electronic diary, and blinded continuous glucose monitor (CGM).

Results: Compared to placebo, avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD raised the glucose nadir by 21% (p=0.001) and 26% (p=0.0002) and lowered the insulin peak by 23% (p=0.029) and 21% (p=0.042), corresponding to 50% and 75% fewer participants requiring rescue during MMTT, respectively. Significant reductions in rates of Levels 1-3 hypoglycemia were observed, defined, respectively, as SMBG<70 mg/dL, SMBG<54 mg/dL, and a severe event characterized by altered mental and/or physical function requiring assistance. CGM demonstrated reductions in hypoglycemia without induction of clinically-relevant hyperglycemia. Avexitide was well-tolerated, with no increase in adverse events.

Conclusions: Avexitide administered for 28 days was well-tolerated and resulted in robust and consistent improvements across multiple clinical and metabolic parameters, reinforcing the targeted therapeutic approach and demonstrating durability of effect. Avexitide may represent a first promising treatment for patients with severe PBH.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity affects over 40% of U.S. adults. By 2030, an estimated 50% of U.S. adults will be obese and 25% will be severely obese.¹ Long-term studies in patients undergoing bariatric surgery demonstrate sustained weight loss, durable remission of type 2 diabetes, and reduction in cardiovascular events, stroke, cancer, and all-cause mortality.²⁻⁶ Due to these clinical benefits, use of surgical treatments for obesity has increased by over 50% over the past decade⁷ with further increases expected due to the addition of bariatric surgery to the treatment algorithm for uncontrolled diabetes.⁸

Post-bariatric hypoglycemia (PBH) is a rare but growing complication of bariatric surgery. Prevalence estimates range widely due to differing diagnostic criteria used, though the occurrence of severe hypoglycemia among post-bariatric patients may be as high as 29%⁻³⁹% of patients undergoing Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and 10%⁻²³% of those undergoing vertical sleeve gastrectomy.⁹⁻¹² Similar clinical presentations have been described following gastrectomy,¹³ esophagectomy,¹⁴ and Nissen fundoplication.¹⁵ Patients present at least six months postoperatively with frequent postprandial episodes of hypoglycemia accompanied by neuroglycopenic signs and symptoms, including altered mental status, visual changes, motor incoordination, loss of consciousness, and seizures, putting patients at risk for injury or death from falls, motor vehicle accidents, or prolonged hypoglycemia and rendering many unable to drive, work, live alone, or care for dependents. While the underlying physiology is incompletely understood, the presence of inappropriately high insulin secretion after oral ingestion of nutrients is well established.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ Hyperinsulinemia occurs in response to oral but not intravenous glucose,²⁰ pointing to enteroinsular axis overstimulation and an exaggerated incretin effect. Plasma concentrations of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), secreted by L-cells in response to luminal nutrient stimulation, are markedly elevated after meal intake.²¹⁻²³ GLP-1 hypersecretion, along with hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia, are fully reversible by restoring the original route of nutrient transit via gastrostomy tube feeding into the remnant stomach,²⁴⁻²⁵ suggesting altered nutrient transit with foregut bypass and hindgut stimulation potentiates hypoglycemia via GLP-1 secretion.
At present, there are no approved pharmacotherapies for PBH. Initial management consists of dietary modification involving avoidance of simple sugars and consumption of mixed meals consisting of ample protein, healthy fats, and limited complex carbohydrates.\textsuperscript{26-27} Second-line approaches include off-label use of acarbose, octreotide, and/or diazoxide. These medications are limited by poor tolerability and lack of efficacy, and none have been shown in controlled clinical trials to reduce hypoglycemia in the ambulatory setting. Use of calcium channel blockers or, paradoxically, GLP-1 receptor agonists has been described in case reports or small case series, though responses have not been uniform. Refractory patients have historically been offered partial pancreatectomy, or, more recently, bypass reversal, though both have been associated with surgical complications and inconsistent resolution of hypoglycemia, the former with subsequent total pancreatectomy leading to insulin-dependent diabetes, and the latter with weight regain.\textsuperscript{25,28-29} Thus, a substantial unmet medical need remains.

Avexitide (exendin 9-39) is a 31 amino acid fragment of exenatide, a GLP-1 receptor (GLP-1r) agonist that stimulates insulin secretion and lowers plasma glucose. An entire class of drugs to treat type 2 diabetes has been developed around GLP-1r agonism. Avexitide is a GLP-1r antagonist that competes with endogenous GLP-1 for the GLP-1r, counteracting the effects of excessive GLP-1 secretion. Avexitide has been shown under experimental conditions to effectively prevent postprandial hyperinsulinemia and hypoglycemia and reduce neuroglycopenic symptoms in patients with PBH.\textsuperscript{13,15,30-33} PREVENT—a multicenter Phase 2 trial of avexitide conducted at five U.S. academic centers—is the first randomized placebo-controlled study to evaluate the efficacy of a pharmacologic agent for patients with PBH in the outpatient setting.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design**

The PREVENT trial was a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study conducted at five U.S. academic centers. The study design, consisting of three 14-day treatment periods, is shown in **Figure 1**. After a run-in period during which eligibility was confirmed, 18 participants with severe, diet-refractory PBH were randomized 1:1 to one of two arms,
each differing in the order of dosing regimen. For both groups, Treatment Period 1 consisted of subcutaneous placebo injections. During Treatment Periods 2 and 3, avexitide was administered 30 mg twice daily (BID) and 60 mg once daily (QD) in crossover design and random order. At the end of each treatment period, participants underwent standardized mixed-meal tolerance testing (MMTT) in the clinical research unit (CRU) with hormonal, metabolic, and symptomatic assessments. Throughout, participants were required to adhere to PBH dietary recommendations and document all hypoglycemic events in the outpatient setting using electronic diaries (eDiaries), self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG), and blinded continuous glucose monitors (CGM). Avexitide dosing regimens were selected on the basis of modelling and exposure-response analyses from prior investigations.\textsuperscript{31-33}

The study was conducted in accordance with the International Council of Harmonization Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki\textsuperscript{34} after Institutional Review Board approval at each trial site. All patients provided written informed consent before trial participation. This study was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03373435).

**Participants**

Eligible participants were men or women ages 18-65 years old who had undergone RYGB surgery at least 12 months before screening and had a documented history of PBH defined as Whipple’s triad, with inappropriately elevated insulin (≥3 µU/mL) or C-peptide (>0.6 ng/mL) at the time of hypoglycemia (≤54 mg/dL glucose).\textsuperscript{17} Eligible participants were further required to exhibit at least 2 episodes of hypoglycemic symptoms confirmed by SMBG≤54 mg/dL while following dietary guidelines during the run-in period.

Patients who had any of the following criteria were excluded from study participation: history of hypoglycemia predating RYGB surgery, history of insulinoma or other cause of endogenous hyperinsulinism; clinically significant acute medical conditions; pregnancy, lactation, and/or women of childbearing potential not using effective contraceptive methods; and use of any agents known to interfere with glucose metabolism within 5 half-lives at screening. Pregnancy status for individuals of childbearing potential was confirmed
by documentation of negative plasma pregnancy test at screening and a negative urine pregnancy test on the first day of dosing. Nonchildbearing potential was defined as surgical sterility (documented hysterectomy, tubal ligation, or bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy) or postmenopausal status (defined as 12 months of spontaneous amenorrhea).

**Randomization and masking**

Participants were informed that one treatment period would involve placebo injections and were blinded to treatment sequence and study drug composition. Participants received two subcutaneous injections daily throughout all three study periods to fulfill blinded conditions, with injections comprised of the appropriate combination of placebo and/or active avexitide 30 mg dose(s). Investigators and site staff were blinded to avexitide sequence during Treatment Periods 2 and 3 and to laboratory results. For safety reasons, investigators, but not participants, had access to point-of-care glucose results during the MMTTs to determine whether glycemic rescue was indicated.

**Procedures**

In-clinic MMTT procedures: At the end of each treatment period, subjects were admitted to the CRU after an overnight fast for a 180-minute MMTT (Figure 1). After a baseline blood draw, subjects consumed two Ensure® Compact Drinks containing 64g of carbohydrate over 10 minutes, with labs drawn every 15 minutes (for plasma glucose, insulin, c-peptide, GLP-1 and glucagon) and bedside assessment of neuroglycopenic symptoms and point-of-care glucose via the HemoCue® Glucose 201 System every 30 minutes. If rescue parameters were met (the earlier of point-of-care glucose ≤50 mg/dL with documented neuroglycopenic symptoms or ≤40 mg/dL irrespective of symptoms), final blood samples were drawn and participants were rescued by intravenous dextrose. The primary outcome of plasma glucose nadir was based on plasma samples assayed per standard methods.

Pharmacokinetic assessments: Blood samples for the determination of plasma avexitide concentrations were collected at the end of each 14-day avexitide treatment period at -90, 0, 60, 180 and 330 min relative to the timing of study drug injection.
At home procedures: Throughout all treatment periods subjects used an eDiary (internet-connected web application), a CONTOUR®Next One glucometer, and blinded Dexcom Mobile G4® CGM for recording of hypoglycemic events occurring in the ambulatory setting. For each episode, patients recorded hypoglycemia symptoms/signs, the lowest SMBG reading during the episode, actions taken to treat or prevent the episode, requirement for assistance, and whether the episode was postprandial. Study drug injections were also recorded, and adherence was additionally monitored via accounting of returned study drug vials.

Outcomes

Primary and secondary endpoints were based on participant responses to MMTT in the CRU, while exploratory endpoints were based on events captured via eDiary, SMBG, and blinded CGM in the outpatient setting. Endpoint definitions are provided in Table 1. The primary outcome was postprandial plasma glucose nadir during MMTT and the main secondary outcome was postprandial insulin peak during MMTT. Exploratory outcomes captured by SMBG and eDiary were pre-specified with definitions updated post-hoc according to current international consensus guidelines on the reporting of hypoglycemia in clinical trials, as follows: Level 1 hypoglycemia: SMBG<70 mg/dL; Level 2 hypoglycemia: SMBG<54 mg/dL; Level 3 hypoglycemia: a severe event characterized by altered mental and/or physical functioning that requires assistance from another person for recovery. Exploratory outcomes captured by CGM were prespecified with definitions revised post-hoc in accordance with current guidance and included percent time above or below extreme glycemic thresholds (<54 mg/dL; >250 mg/dL) and number of events <54 mg/dL. Percent time <54 mg/dL and number of events <54 mg/dL were also defined temporally by fasting (12am-8am) vs. prandial/post-prandial (8am-12am) periods; the latter more broadly representing the hours during which meal-induced hypoglycemia may occur and accounting for the mean 1-2-hour delay from mealtime to glucose nadir observed in patients with PBH. The pharmacokinetic profile for each dosing regimen was evaluated on an exploratory basis. Safety assessments included adverse events, clinical laboratory results, and physical examination findings.
Statistical analysis

A sample size of 12 completed patients was selected to provide more than 90% power to detect an increase in glucose nadir of at least 15.0 mg/dL assuming a standard deviation of 14.0 mg/dL at a significance level (α) of 0.05 using a two-sided paired t-test. The primary efficacy endpoint was calculated and examined in a mixed-effect model, including treatment, treatment sequence, and treatment period as fixed effect, and subject-within-sequence as random effect. The Least Squares (LS) mean, standard error (SE), 95% confidence interval (CI), and p-value were derived from the mixed-effect model for each active treatment. No multiplicity adjustment was planned, and the primary endpoint was evaluated through the nominal p-values. Placebo-corrected secondary and exploratory endpoint data were analyzed in the same manner as the primary endpoint, with the exception of pharmacokinetic data, which were summarized descriptively.

Results

Participants

Participant baseline characteristics are shown in Table 2. Twenty-two patients were screened; 18 were randomized and completed the trial. Of the 18 participants, two had prior exposure to avexitide. One participant was excluded from the efficacy analysis due to major protocol deviation. The study profile is provided in Figure 2. Participants were female, mostly white (94%) and non-Hispanic (89%), with average age 44 years, and BMI 30 kg/m². Baseline disease characteristics reflected the severe and refractory nature of PBH: 44% reported a history of loss of consciousness, 94% reported daily or weekly hypoglycemic symptoms, 16.7% had been hospitalized due to hypoglycemia, and 11% reported history of seizure. All were refractory to dietary treatment, 83% had attempted off-label use of at least one medication, and 17% had undergone surgery (RYGB revision or gastrostomy tube placement) for recurrent hypoglycemia.
Efficacy Outcomes

MMTT Outcomes

Metabolic responses to MMTT provocation at the end of each treatment period are shown in Table 3 and Figure 3. The primary endpoint was met with statistical significance by both dosing regimens. The mean plasma glucose nadir was increased by 21% and 26% following avexitide 30 mg and 60 mg dosing, respectively, compared to placebo, corresponding to 50% and 75% fewer participants requiring rescue. Specifically, the LS mean postprandial plasma glucose nadir during MMTT provocation was 10.10 mg/dL (p=0.001) and 12.19 mg/dL higher (p=0.0002) following avexitide 30 mg and 60 mg dosing, respectively, compared to placebo, with 24% and 12% vs. 47% of participants requiring rescue following 30 mg and 60 mg vs. placebo dosing, respectively. Area-under-the-curve (AUC) glucose concentrations were 12% (p=0.008) and 18% (p=0.0003) higher following avexitide 30 mg and 60 mg dosing, with observed increases primarily attributable to reductions in hypoglycemia during the 90-180 min period. Consistent with avexitide’s mechanism of action, peak insulin was reduced by 23% and 21% following avexitide 30 mg and 60 mg dosing, respectively. Specifically, the LS mean postprandial insulin peak was 104.53 μIU/mL (p=0.029) and 96.29 μIU/mL (p=0.042) lower following avexitide 30 mg and 60 mg dosing, respectively, compared to placebo. Avexitide had no influence on fasting insulin, GLP-1, or glucagon but postprandial concentrations of GLP-1 and glucagon were significantly higher during both avexitide treatment regimens relative to placebo.

Outpatient Outcomes

Outpatient outcomes during each treatment period are shown in Table 3. Both avexitide treatment regimens resulted in reductions in the rates of Levels 1-3 hypoglycemia relative to placebo. The rate of SMBG<70 mg/dL (Level 1 hypoglycemia) was reduced by 30% (p=0.072) and 61% (p=0.001) and the rate of SMBG<54 mg/dL (Level 2 hypoglycemia) was reduced by 40% (p=0.040) and 60% (p=0.004) during avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD, respectively, compared to placebo. Although severe hypoglycemia (Level 3) events occurred rarely during the short duration of this study, the rate of events was reduced by 23% (p=0.224) and 56% (p=0.014) during avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD, respectively.
Blinded CGM demonstrated reductions in percent time with glucose <54 mg/dL without clinically relevant increases in percent time with glucose >250 mg/dL. Specifically, the mean percent time with glucose <54 mg/dL was reduced by 50% (p=0.014) and 24% (p=0.209) during avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD treatment, respectively, while percent time with glucose >250 mg/dL remained less than 1% across treatment groups. Similarly, the mean number of hypoglycemia events with glucose <54 mg/dL as captured by CGM during daytime hours was reduced by 43% (p=0.009) and 28% (p=0.055) during avexitide 30 mg BID and 60 mg QD, respectively.

Pharmacokinetics

The mean pharmacokinetic profile for each dosing regimen as measured at the end of each 14-day avexitide treatment period is shown in Figure 4. Evidence of systemic plasma exposure to avexitide was observed in all patients. Morning, pre-dose (C₀) exposure was 146% higher for the avexitide 30 mg BID than the 60 mg QD dosing regimen, while peak (Cₘₚₐₓ) and mean (AUC₀₋ₜ) exposure was 72% and 40% higher for the avexitide 60 mg QD than the 30 mg BID dosing regimen.

Safety Outcomes

Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) reported in at least 10% of participants are shown in Table 4. Overall, avexitide was well-tolerated across both dosing regimens. There were no treatment-related serious adverse events (SAEs) and no patient withdrawals. Adverse Events (AEs) were generally mild-to-moderate and transient. Two patients experienced TEAEs deemed clinically-significant and related or possibly related to study drug: one with mild injection-site irritation and one with mild or moderate injection-site reaction. In both instances, symptoms were focal and transient. One severe event (hypoglycemic unconsciousness during placebo) and one SAE (presyncope during avexitide 60 mg QD) occurred, both reported as unrelated to study drug and self-limited. The most common AEs were injection site bruising (39%), headache (28%), and nausea (22%), each of which occurred more often during placebo than during either avexitide dosing regimen. No clinically-significant changes were observed in vital signs, body weight, hematology or
chemistry, and no clinically-relevant increases were observed in fasting or peak postprandial plasma glucose concentrations.

**Discussion**

In the present Phase 2 trial, avexitide treatment in patients with severe PBH significantly reduced the occurrence of hypoglycemia. The primary endpoint, glucose nadir during MMTT, was met for both dosing regimens evaluated in spite of the higher rate of glycemic rescue required during placebo, which had the effect of blunting the magnitude of hypoglycemia during placebo and reducing the observed treatment effect. Significant reductions in hypoglycemia were also observed in the home setting, with fewer Level 1-3 hypoglycemia events and less time spent with glucose <54 mg/dL as measured by eDiary, SMBG, and blinded CGM. Importantly, fasting and postprandial glucose levels remained in the normal range for each avexitide dosing regimen, both in the CRU and in the outpatient setting, suggesting that avexitide treatment does not induce hyperglycemia. Glycemic improvements were accompanied by significant reductions in postprandial insulin concentrations and significant elevations in postprandial glucagon concentrations. These hormonal changes, which likely account for the observed decrease in hypoglycemia, are consistent with avexitide’s mechanism of action: antagonism of GLP-1 activity at its receptor. This study extends the findings of prior studies involving single- or multi-dose in-clinic administration of avexitide, confirming that hypoglycemia during MMTT is significantly improved, and demonstrating, for the first time, that avexitide administered in the ambulatory setting can prevent hypoglycemia, with benefits sustained over 28 days of consecutive treatment. Moreover, avexitide was well tolerated, with no increase in adverse events observed.

While both avexitide dosing regimens yielded significant improvements, they differed in their pharmacokinetic profile. The twice daily regimen (30 mg BID) provided more even and sustained pharmacokinetic exposure over the 24-hour period, while the once daily regimen (60 mg QD) offered a more pulsatile pharmacokinetic profile, with higher daytime exposure and lower late evening/overnight coverage. Detailed examination of the 60 mg QD CGM data revealed a greater tendency for “breakthrough” episodes of hypoglycemia in the late
evening (post-dinner) hours when plasma concentrations waned, which had the result of diminishing the overall treatment effect as measured by CGM and expressed as percent time and number of episodes with glucose <54 mg/dL. In contrast, the higher daytime exposure conferred by the 60 mg QD regimen yielded more robust “daytime” effects, as measured by SMBG and eDiary, than the 30 mg BID regimen. Together, these results suggest that higher and more prolonged avexitide exposure may provide greater clinical improvements.

It is well known that insulin secretion is augmented by GLP-1 and the potentiation of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion by GLP-1 is dose-dependent\(^3^7\), supporting the hypothesis that an exaggerated GLP-1-mediated incretin effect is at root in PBH. We have previously observed a departure from the linear dose-dependency of insulin secretion on glucose and GLP-1 at the highest GLP-1 concentrations\(^3^1\) and a reversal of this effect during GLP-1r blockade, suggesting that the bulk of insulin secretion in patients with PBH is mediated through GLP-1r signaling and that excessive GLP-1 levels do not contribute substantially to insulin secretion via pathways that do not involve GLP-1r signaling. Although physiologic levels of GLP-1 are glucagonostatic in non-bariatric patients, glucagon is paradoxically elevated postprandially in the setting of very high GLP-1 levels in RYGB patients\(^2^1,2^5\). Emerging data suggest that glucagon may potentiate insulin secretion via direct GLP-1r signaling and this effect is reversible by GLP-1r antagonism\(^3^8-3^9\), raising the possibility that avexitide is also inhibiting glucagon-stimulated insulin secretion. Prior studies in patients with PBH\(^1^3,2^1,3^0-3^1\) have consistently shown that under conditions of extremely high GLP-1 levels, continuous intravenous infusion of avexitide significantly reduces postprandial insulin and significantly increases postprandial glucagon. The present study findings mirror prior results, providing further evidence that avexitide blunts the insulinotropic effects of GLP-1r signaling while raising glucagon levels, synergistically resulting in potent prevention of hypoglycemia.

This trial had certain limitations. While men and women were eligible for enrollment, no men enrolled. Additionally, this study enrolled patients with severe, diet-refractory disease. Thus, generalizability of study outcomes to males or those with milder disease is limited. This study was somewhat limited by the intentional placement of placebo during the first
treatment period to minimize the chance of unblinding that might occur if participants took placebo after benefiting from an effective therapy and to permit for evaluation of 28 consecutive days of avexitide treatment. It is likely that dietary compliance was better during the first treatment period (i.e., placebo), which would have had the effect of diminishing the perceived treatment effect. Indeed, study investigators unanimously reported that their patients liberalized their diets during active treatment periods because they felt better and could tolerate a wider variety of foods. This study was also limited by no formal assessment of dietary intake and/or composition. Patients with PBH are known to experience weight regain at ≥10% higher rates than their normoglycemic post-bariatric counterparts; a factor attributed mainly to frequent preemptive eating to avoid hypoglycemic events. Since reduction of hypoglycemia event rate may reduce preemptive eating and attenuate or reverse weight regain, the effects of avexitide treatment on dietary intake should be assessed in future trials. Notably, although dietary liberalization during avexitide treatment due to improved tolerability was reported by all site investigators, no weight changes were observed. Finally, it is possible that hypoglycemia unawareness may have led to underreporting of events, which if more frequent during placebo versus active treatment would have minimized the observed treatment effect.

In conclusion, avexitide administered for 28 consecutive days in patients with severe PBH was well-tolerated and resulted in robust and consistent improvements across multiple clinical and metabolic parameters—both in the CRU and in the outpatient setting—reinforcing the efficacy of this targeted therapy and demonstrating durability of effect. Given the safety and tolerability profile, higher avexitide exposure may provide even greater clinical benefits and should be considered. While further evaluation of avexitide under chronic dosing conditions in larger cohorts of patients is warranted, the strength and consistency of the current study results suggest that avexitide may offer the first effective and targeted treatment for patients with severe PBH.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1.
Study Schematic for the PREVENT Trial (above) and mixed meal tolerance test (MMTT) sampling timepoints (below). Abbreviations: Avexitide 30 mg BID, avexitide 30 mg dose every 12 h; avexitide 60 mg QD, avexitide 60 mg dose once each morning; CGM, continuous glucose monitor; eDiary, electronic-diary; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glucose; SC, subcutaneous.

Figure 2.
Study Profile (Consort). Twenty-two patients were screened and 18 were randomized and completed the study. *Evaluable set was defined as all randomized patients who received at least Treatment Period 1 placebo and Treatment Period 2 active treatment with blood glucose nadir measured during MMTT in both Treatment Periods 1 and 2, without any major protocol deviations that may confound the interpretation of efficacy. One participant was excluded from the Evaluable set analysis because glycemic rescue was not administered as indicated per protocol during the Period 1 placebo MMTT.

Figure 3.
Mean postprandial plasma glucose (A) and insulin (C) concentrations and mean postprandial plasma glucose nadir (B) and insulin peak (D) in response to MMTT provocation at the end of placebo (grey/dotted line), avexitide 30 mg BID (light blue/solid line), and avexitide 60 mg QD (dark blue/dashed line) treatment periods. Inset within (A) shows an enlargement of axes detailing the glucose values during the 90-180 minute time period. The chart below (A) shows the number of evaluable patients at each sampling timepoint by treatment regimen, representing the number of participants not having required rescue by that timepoint. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 for avexitide 30 mg BID vs placebo; +P<0.05; ++P<0.01 and +++P<0.001 for avexitide 60 mg QD vs placebo. Avexitide 30 mg BID, avexitide 30 mg dose every 12 h; avexitide 60 mg QD, avexitide 60 mg dose once each morning.

Figure 4.
Mean avexitide plasma concentration by dosing regimen: avexitide 30 mg BID; light blue/solid line, and avexitide 60 mg QD; dark blue/dashed line on Days 29 and 43. On each of Days 29 and 43, a trough PK sample was collected immediately before the T = −90 minute injection of study drug. T= 0 min represents the start of Ensure Compact drink consumption. T = 0, 60, and 180 PK samples were collected at the same time as the plasma glucose and hormonal (insulin, C-peptide, GLP-1, glucagon) draws with PK sampling additionally obtained at T = 330 minutes.
Table 1. Primary, Secondary, and Exploratory Efficacy Endpoints and Definitions

| Efficacy Endpoint | Definition |
|-------------------|------------|
| **Primary Efficacy Endpoint** | |
| Glucose nadir | The least squares (LS) mean placebo-adjusted postprandial plasma glucose nadir within 3 hours of MMTT provocation. |

**Secondary and Exploratory Efficacy Endpoints**

*In-Clinic MMTT-derived endpoints*

- **Insulin peak** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted peak postprandial insulin concentration in response to meal provocation by MMTT. |

*Outpatient eDiary/SMBG-derived endpoints*

- **Rate of Level 1 hypoglycemia** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted number of episodes of SMBG<70 mg/dL within each treatment period. Rate is expressed in number of distinct episodes divided by number of days for a given treatment period, then normalized to duration of 2 weeks if the treatment period was not exactly 14 days. |

- **Rate of Level 2 hypoglycemia** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted number of episodes of SMBG<54 mg/dL within each treatment period. |

- **Rate of Level 3 hypoglycemia** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted number of severe hypoglycemia events during each treatment period characterized by altered mental and/or physical functioning that requires assistance from another person for recovery. This applies regardless of whether a patient actually receives external assistance. |

*Outpatient CGM-derived endpoints*

- **Percent time with glucose <54 mg/dL** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted percentage of CGM values <54 mg/dL during the designated time interval (8am-12am or 12am-8am) during each treatment period, normalized to 14 days. |

- **Percent time with glucose >250 mg/dL** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted percentage of CGM values >250 mg/dL during each treatment period, normalized to 14 days. |

- **Number of events <54 mg/dL** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted number of events captured by CGM with glucose measures <54 mg/dL sustained for at least 15 minutes during the designated time interval (8am-12am or 12am-8am) during each treatment period, normalized to 14 days. |

- **Number of events >250 mg/dL** | The LS mean placebo-adjusted number of events captured by CGM with glucose measures >250 mg/dL sustained for at least 15 minutes during each treatment period, normalized to 14 days. |

Endpoint definitions include those prespecified in the study protocol and updated post-hoc to comply with current international consensus guidelines on the reporting of hypoglycemia and in accordance with current guidance on the use of continuous glucose monitoring. Abbreviations: CGM, continuous glucose monitor; eDiary, electronic diary; MMTT, mixed meal tolerance test; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glucose.
### Table 2. Participant Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

| Characteristic                        | Treatment Sequence                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                       | Placebo, Avexitide 30 mg BID, Avexitide 60 mg QD† (N = 8) | Placebo, Avexitide 60 mg QD, Avexitide 30 mg BID† (N = 10) | Total (N = 18) |
|                                       |                                                                                     |                                                                                     |                |
| Demographic/Anthropomorphic Character  |                                                                                     |                                                                                     |                |
|                                       |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| Sex, n female (%)                     | 8 (100.0)                                                                            | 10 (100.0)                                                                           | 18 (100.0)     |
| Age, mean (SD), years                 | 45.5 (7.5)                                                                            | 43.4 (12.0)                                                                          | 44.3 (10.0)    |
| Race, n. (%)                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| Asian                                 | 1 (12.5)                                                                              | 0                                                                                    | 1 (5.6)        |
| White                                 | 7 (87.5)                                                                              | 10 (100.0)                                                                           | 17 (94.4)      |
| Ethnicity, n. (%)                     |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| Hispanic or Latino                    | 0                                                                                    | 2 (20.0)                                                                             | 2 (11.1)       |
| Not Hispanic or Latino                | 8 (100.0)                                                                             | 8 (80.0)                                                                             | 16 (88.9)      |
| Weight, mean (SD), kg                 | 81.6 (7.3)                                                                            | 81.0 (16.1)                                                                          | 81.23 (12.6)   |
| BMI, mean (SD), kg/m²                 | 30.0 (3.1)                                                                            | 29.3 (4.9)                                                                           | 29.6 (4.1)     |
| Clinical Baseline/History             |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| Time since RYGB, mean (SD), months    | 88.1 (43.3)                                                                           | 97.8 (63.6)                                                                          | 93.5 (54.2)    |
| Pre-RYGB weight, mean (SD), kg        | 124.7 (29.6)                                                                          | 131.5 (14.2)                                                                         | 128.5 (21.9)   |
| Time to first experience of postprandial hypoglycemia, mean (SD), months | 24.1 (30.7)                                                                           | 44.9 (53.4)                                                                          | 35.7 (44.9)    |
| History of LOC due to PBH, n (%)      | 3 (37.5)                                                                              | 5 (50.0)                                                                             | 8 (44.4)       |
| History of seizure due to PBH, n (%)  | 0                                                                                    | 2 (20.0)                                                                             | 2 (11.1)       |
| History of hospitalization due to PBH, n (%) | 1 (12.5)                                                                              | 2 (20.0)                                                                             | 3 (16.7)       |
| Frequency of symptoms of hypoglycemia |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| Daily, no. (%)                        | 3 (37.5)                                                                              | 4 (40.0)                                                                             | 7 (38.9)       |
| Weekly, no. (%)                       | 5 (62.5)                                                                              | 5 (50.0)                                                                             | 10 (55.6)      |
| Monthly, no. (%)                      | 0                                                                                    | 1 (10.0)                                                                             | 1 (5.6)        |
| History of Type 2 DM before RYGB, n (%) | 0                                                                                     | 0                                                                                    | 0              |
| Following medical nutrition therapy,  |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |                |
| n (%)                                 | 8 (100)                                                                              | 10 (100)                                                                             | 18 (100)       |
| History of pharmacotherapy for PBH, n (%) | 5 (63.0)                                                                              | 10 (100.0)                                                                           | 15 (83.0)      |
Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) or number (percent). † Placebo (Treatment Period 1) then avexitide 30 mg BID (Treatment Period 2) then avexitide 60 mg QD (Treatment Period 3). ‡ Placebo (Treatment Period 1) then avexitide 60 mg QD (Treatment Period 2) then avexitide 30 mg BID (Treatment Period 3). Abbreviations: Avexitide 30 BID, avexitide 30 mg dose every 12 h; avexitide 60 QD, avexitide 60 mg dose once each morning; BMI, basal metabolic index; DM, diabetes mellitus; LOC, loss of consciousness; PBH, post-bariatric hypoglycemia; RYGB, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SD, standard deviation.
Table 3. Clinical Research Unit and Outpatient Outcomes by Treatment Regimen

| Parameter | Endpoint Type | Placebo (N = 17) | Avexitide 30 BID (N = 17) | Avexitide 60 QD (N = 17) | Placebo-Corrected Value† (SE) | 95% CI | P-val | Placebo-Corrected Value† (SE) | 95% CI | P-val |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Glucose   | —             | 83.8 (5.3)      | 87.5 (6.0)               | 84.6 (4.2)               | 3.8 (1.1)                     | 5.99 (1.05) | 0.00 | 0.85 (1.05)                     | -1.38 (3.09) | 0.42 |
| Fasting   | 211.8 (38.5)  | 218.2 (45.2)    | 224.5 (47.3)             | 6.3 (4.8)                | 3.85 (5.16)                   | 11.87 (1.70) | 0.02 | 22.05 (5.7)                     | 5.00 |
| Peak      | 47.1 (12.7)   | 57.1 (16.5)     | 59.2 (16.1)              | 10.1 (2.5)               | 4.77 (3.50)                   | 12.19 (6.85) | 0.00 | 17.52 (0.00)                    | 0.00 |
| Nadir     | 286.9 (70.1)  | 320.0 (89.5)    | 338.1 (80.7)             | 33.2 (10.9)              | 10.10 (9.79)                  | 50.09 (26.96) | 0.00 | 73.23 (0.00)                    | 0.00 |
| AUC_{(peak-nadir)} (h•mg/dL) | 161.8 (56.5)   | 192.3 (91.9)    | 204.6 (86.3)             | 30.4 (12.3)              | 4.18 (12.34)                  | 41.57 (15.27) | 0.00 | 67.86 (0.00)                    | 0.00 |
| Insulin   | —             | 4.5 (2.4)       | 4.5 (2.7)                | 4.1 (2.6)                | 0.03 (0.35)                   | -0.71 (0.78) | 0.92 | -0.43 (0.32)                    | -1.18 (0.30) | 0.23 |
| Fasting   | 454.5 (240.1) | 349.5 (156.9)   | 357.2 (190.9)            | -104.5 (43.2)            | 196.7 (19.34)                  | 9 (43.24) | 188.5 (2.00)                    | 4.14 |
| Peak      | 5.6 (1.2)     | 12.7 (1.7)      | 12.7 (2.3)               | 0.31 (1.23)              | -2.31 (2.94)                   | 2 (1.23) | 2.91 (4.00)                     | 0.82 |
| GLP-1     | 326.5 (149.4) | 413.3 (193.6)   | 397.1 (150.2)            | 87.1 (27.6)              | 28.40 (145.8)                  | 71.20 (12.48) | 0.02 | 129.9 (1.00)                     | 0.00 |
| AUC_{(peak-3h)} (h•mg/dL) | 277.0 (117.0)  | 330.8 (140.5)   | 351.1 (127.5)            | 53.8 (18.0)              | 15.48 (92.07)                  | 74.74 (36.44) | 0.00 | 113.0 (0.00)                     | 0.00 |
| Glucagon  | —             | 156.3 (0)       | 156.3 (0)                | 156.8 (2.2)              | 0.00 (0.37)                    | -0.79 (0.79) | 1.00 | 0.56 (0.37)                     | -0.23 (1.34) | 0.15 |

Outcomes During MMTT Provocation in the Clinical Research Unit
| Peak (pg/mL) | — | 178.5 | 196.7 | 182.9 | 18.3 | 0.29 | **0.04** | 4.53 | — | 0.59 |
|-------------|---|-------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|------|---|------|
|             |   | (33.3)| (49.8)| (35.9)| (8.4)| 36.20|        | (8.42)|   | 13.43|
|             |   |       |       |       |      |      |        |      |   | 22.48|
| AUC_{0-180h} (h•mg/dL) | — | 423.5 | 466.7 | 484.1 | 43.6 | —   | 0.13   | 61.21 | 2.69 | **0.04**|
|             |   | (108.2)| (131.2)| (96.7)| (27.5)|      | (27.45)|     |    |      |
|             |   |        |       |       |      |      |        |      |    |      |

**Outcomes Captured by SMBG/eDiary in the Outpatient Setting**

| Rate of Level 1 § Hypoglycemia | Expl. | 4.03 | 2.81 | 1.56 | -1.24 | -2.62 | 0.07 | -2.51 | -3.88 | **0.00** |
|--------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|----------|
|                                |       | (3.10)| (2.13)| (1.27)| (0.64)| 0.13  | 2     | (0.64)| -1.14| 1        |

| Rate of Level 2 ¶ Hypoglycemia | Expl. | 2.01 | 1.21 | 0.81 | -0.77 | -1.49 | **0.04** | -1.17  | -1.90 | **0.00** |
|--------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
|                                |       | (1.69)| (1.65)| (0.88)| (0.34)| -0.04 | 0       | (0.34)| -0.44| 4        |

| Rate of Level 3 || Hypoglycemia | Expl. | 1.96 | 1.50 | 0.86 | -0.49 | -1.32 | 0.22 | -1.09 | -1.92 | **0.01** |
|-----------------|----------|------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
|                 |          | (1.94)| (2.36)| (1.16)| (0.39)| 0.34  | 4       | (0.39)| -0.26| 4        |

**Outcomes Captured by CGM in the Outpatient Setting**

| % Time ≤54 mg/dL | Expl. | 1.97 | 0.99 | 1.49 | -0.93 | -1.65 | **0.01** | -0.44 | -1.15 | 0.20 |
|------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|
| 8am-12am         |       | (1.64)| (1.12)| (1.70)| (0.34)| -0.22 | 4       | (0.34)| 0.28 | 9       |

| % Time ≤54 mg/dL | Expl. | 2.16 | 2.06 | 2.95 | -0.10 | -1.79 | 0.90 | 0.75 | -0.94 | 0.35 |
| 12am-8am         |       | (2.54)| (2.36)| (3.50)| (0.79)| 1.59  | 2       | (0.79)| 2.44 | 9       |

| % Time >250 mg/dL | Expl. | 0.62 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 0.34 | 0.001| **0.04** | 0.13 | -0.21 | 0.41 |
| 24h              |       | (0.82)| (1.34)| (0.98)| (0.16)| 0.680 | 9       | (0.16)| 0.47 | 6       |

| No. Events ≥54 mg/dL | Expl. | 4.92 | 2.82 | 3.46 | -2.00 | -3.43 | **0.00** | -1.39 | -2.82 | **0.05** |
| 8am-12am            |       | (4.08)| (3.00)| (2.48)| (0.67)| -0.58 | 9       | (0.67)| 0.03 | 5       |

| No. Events <54 mg/dL | Expl. | 2.12 | 2.34 | 3.56 | 0.23 | -1.40 | 0.76 | 1.38 | -0.25 | 0.09 |
| 8am-12am             |       | (2.30)| (3.03)| (4.18)| (0.77)| 1.86  | 8       | (0.77)| 3.01 | 2       |

Mean values represent pooled data by treatment. Two-sided P-value versus placebo are shown (Fisher’s exact test). P-values ≤0.05 shown in bold font. AUC_{0-180h} denotes area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 180 minutes; avexitide 30 BID, avexitide 30 mg dose every 12 h; avexitide 60 QD, avexitide 60 mg dose once each morning; CI, confidence interval; Expl., exploratory endpoint; P-val, P-value, SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error.

† Placebo-Corrected Values represent the least squared mean difference between the placebo and avexitide result.

‡ Rates are expressed as number of distinct episodes divided by number of days for a given treatment period, then normalized to duration of 14 days if the treatment period was not exactly 14 days.

§ Level 1 Hypoglycemia is defined as SMBG < 70 mg/dL (3.9 mmol/L) and glucose ≥54 mg/dL (3.0 mmol/L).
Level 2 Hypoglycemia is defined as SMBG < 54 mg/dL (3.0 mmol/L).

Level 3 Hypoglycemia is defined as severe hypoglycemia; a severe event characterized by altered mental and/or physical functioning that requires assistance from another person for recovery. This applies regardless of whether a patient actually receives external assistance.

**Percent Time is expressed as the percentage of CGM values that are above or below the stated glycemic threshold during each treatment period.

†† Number of Events is defined as the number of occurrences with CGM glucose measurements <54 mg/dL sustained for at least 15 minutes during the specified time period (8am-12am or 12am-8am) during each treatment period, normalized to 14 days.
Table 4. Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Reported in ≥10% of Patients Overall

| Preferred Term         | Placebo (N = 18) | Treatment Avexitide 30 mg BID (N = 18) | Avexitide 60 mg QD (N = 18) | Overall (N = 18) |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| All TEAEs              | 14 (77.8)        | 7 (38.9)                              | 13 (72.2)                   | 16 (88.9)        |
| Injection site bruising| 7 (38.9)         | 0                                     | 1 (5.6)                     | 7 (38.9)         |
| Headache               | 4 (22.2)         | 1 (5.6)                               | 1 (5.6)                     | 5 (27.8)         |
| Nausea                 | 4 (22.2)         | 2 (11.1)                              | 3 (16.7)                    | 4 (22.2)         |
| Dizziness              | 1 (5.6)          | 0                                     | 1 (5.6)                     | 2 (11.1)         |
| Injection site pain    | 1 (5.6)          | 0                                     | 1 (5.6)                     | 2 (11.1)         |
| Migraine               | 0                | 0                                     | 2 (11.1)                    | 2 (11.1)         |

Adverse events were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 20.1. For each preferred term, each patient was counted only once during a treatment period and once in the overall total.

Abbreviations: Avexitide 30 mg BID, avexitide 30 mg dose every 12 h; avexitide 60 mg QD, avexitide 60 mg dose once each morning; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse events.
*The Evaluable set is defined as all randomized patients who received at least Period 1 placebo and Period 2 active treatment with blood glucose nadir measured during MMTT in both Treatment Periods 1 and 2, without any major protocol deviations that may confound the interpretation of efficacy. One participant was excluded from the Evaluable set analysis as glycemic rescue was not administered as indicated per protocol during the Period 1 placebo MMTT.
Figure 4

The graph illustrates the mean ± SD of Avexitide Concentration (ng/mL) over time. Two dosing regimens are compared:
- **Avexitide 30 mg BID** (dotted line with squares)
- **Avexitide 60 mg QD** (solid line with triangles)

The x-axis represents Time Points (min) ranging from -90 to 330 minutes. The y-axis represents the Mean ± SD Avexitide Concentration (ng/mL) ranging from 0 to 800 ng/mL.