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Abstract

Biliary mucinous cystadenomas are cystic neoplasms commonly mistaken for simple cysts. They are rare and generally benign tumors, often incidentally found on imaging and during unrelated surgical interventions. They tend to be slow growing though may reach symptomatic dimensions. Misdiagnosis of biliary mucinous cystadenomas may have serious consequences secondary to their potential for malignant transformation into biliary mucinous cystadenocarcinomas. Here, we review the epidemiology, etiology, pathology, diagnostic modalities, histology, and available treatment methods for mucinous cystadenomas reported in current literature. Citation of this article: Averbukh LD, Wu DC, Cho WC, Wu GY. Biliary mucinous cystadenoma: A review of the literature. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2019;7(2):149–153. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2019.00017.

Introduction

Biliary cystadenomas are a family of rare cystic neoplasms of the biliary ductal system. Comprised of two subvariants—serous (more common) and mucinous (less common)—these tumors are considered benign but premalignant. Biliary mucinous cystadenomas (BMCA) account for less than 5% of all hepatic cystic neoplasms.1 Due to their malignant transformation potential, BMCAs should undergo evaluation for surgical intervention whether symptomatic or not.2

In this review, we describe the epidemiology and distinguishing features of hepatic BMCAs on histology and imaging. We further discuss the controversy surrounding the lesion’s proposed origins and identify treatment options and their respective efficacies.

Epidemiology

The incidence of biliary cystadenomas is 1 in 20,000–100,000, while the risk of malignant transformation to adenocarcinoma has been reported to be as high as 20–23%.3 BMCAs are most commonly (85–95% of reported cases) seen in Caucasian females in middle age (55–65 years old).4 The tumors are subcategorized into two subgroups: those that have mesenchymal tissue resembling ovarian stroma (OS) on histology and those that do not (nonOS). While the former variant is much more common and seen exclusively in women, the latter has an equal distribution between men and women and is associated with an overall poorer prognosis and higher rate of recurrence.4,5 One study showed that women with OS variant BMCA were on average younger in age than their nonOS counterparts at the time of presentation/diagnosis and were generally within child-bearing age.6

The incidence of malignant biliary mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (BMCA) is equal between males and females and the average age of patients at presentation is 10–20 years older than for those with BMCA.6–8 Though BMCA tumors have great variability in size at the time of presentation, they are frequently between 2–25 cm in diameter.9 Most BMCAs arise from the intrahepatic biliary ducts and they are commonly found in the posterior segment of the right liver lobe, likely due to the fact that the right lobe contains the most hepatic tissue.9 While BMCA is a type of proliferative epithelial tumor, its progression is generally slow, though this varies from patient to patient. One study reported significant abdominal girth increase in patients with BMCA in as little as 5 weeks to as long as over 12 years.5 Additionally, tumor growth rates may be inconsistent longitudinally, as patients have been reported to have slow tumor growth punctuated by periods of rapid growth due to sudden cystic fluid accumulation.7

Etiology

While the origin of BMCA is still debated, findings in experimental studies support the involvement of an acquired process through a superficial injury and an inductive reactive process.5,10 Alternative theories of inductive disease processes include congenital variants such as the presence of aberrant bile duct nests as well as von Meyenberg complexes (bile duct hamartomas and biliary microhamartomas in the liver composed of ectopic embryonic tissues).11 The presence of endocrine cells in about 50% of BMCAs suggests an origin from intrahepatic peribiliary glands.3 However, the theory of congenital disease origin is not limited to the primordial gallbladder, as other studies have suggested that the ectopic tissue may originate from the ovaries and embryonic foregut.12,13

The ovarian origin of disease has been supported by immunohistochemical studies of BMCAs, which have shown mesenchymal stromal cells expressing estrogen and progesterone receptors. This is supported by the finding that women on oral estrogen contraceptive therapy have experienced rapidly growing lesions, suggesting that the estrogen therapy acts as a hormonal tumor promoter.1,14 Further evidence suggesting a gonadal origin for the lesions include the

Keywords: Biliary mucinous cystadenoma; Biliary mucinous cystadenocarcinoma; Cystic neoplasm.

Abbreviations: BMCA, biliary mucinous cystadenoma; BMCAC, biliary mucinous cystadenocarcinoma; CA, cancer antigen; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OS, ovarian stroma; US, ultrasound.

Received: 13 April 2019; Revised: 25 May 2019; Accepted: 11 June 2019

*Correspondence to: Leon D. Averbukh, Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology–Hepatology, University of Connecticut Health Center, 236 Farmington Ave., Farmington, CT 06030, USA. E-mail: Averbukh@uchc.edu

Review Article

Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology 2019 vol. 7 | 149–153

149

Copyright: © 2019 Authors. This article has been published under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits noncommercial unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the following statement is provided: “This article has been published in Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology at DOI: 10.14218/JCTH.2019.00017 and can also be viewed on the Journal’s website at http://www.jcht.net”.
finding that phenotypically similar mucinous cystadenomas are found in areas closest to the embryonic gonads, such as the liver, pancreas, and spleen. Gonadal fusion to the liver and spleen has also been well documented during pediatric surgeries.

Pathology/symptomatology

While BMCAs are often asymptomatic and diagnosed as incidental findings on imaging/surgical exploration, those patients that are symptomatic at the time of presentation generally complain of vague abdominal symptoms. Symptoms associated with BMCAs include palpable abdominal mass (the most commonly reported symptom, being in roughly 60% of cases) as well as abdominal discomfort that is either diffuse or limited to the right upper quadrant or the epigastric area. Less commonly, in cases involving compression of the stomach and duodenum, patients report nausea/vomiting, dyspepsia, anorexia, and weight loss. Biliary obstruction secondary to either tumor compression of the biliary tract or tumor-produced mucin plugging the biliary tract may lead to symptoms of jaundice, biliary colic, nausea, fever, chills, itching, and steatorrhea. Obstructive jaundice on presentation is significantly more common in cases of extrahepatic BMCAs; however, it is less frequent in cases of intrahepatic lesions. Additionally, in cases where inferior vena caval or hepatic vein compression occurs, patients may present with abdominal swelling and ascites. 35% of patients with BMCAs experience complications secondary to obstruction such as cholangitis, rupture, and intracystic hemorrhage, or due to malignant transformation.

Diagnostic imaging methods and findings

The choice of imaging in patients with suspected hepatic cysts is dependent on patient risk, screening imaging availability, cost effectiveness, safety, and diagnostic accuracy. In most cases of BMCA, the lesion is identified incidentally by ultrasound (US), noncontrast computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen, or during unrelated surgical procedures. For those patients with suspected BMCA and no prior imaging, the initial screening should be US, as its sensitivity is approximately 90%. Findings on US include an anechoic lesion with thickened and irregular walls and internal septations (Fig. 1). Unlike US, endoscopic US with fine needle aspiration is not used regularly in diagnosis of BMCA, and at present no literature reporting on its diagnostic efficacy is available.

In medically stable patients who have undergone prior noncontrast CT or US, CT with contrast is recommended for differentiation of BMCA from solitary bile duct cysts. One study found that by using a 2-of-5 characteristic selection criteria (presence of septa, central septa, mural nodules, bile duct dilation either upstream or downstream), they were able to successfully differentiate between mucinous cystic neoplasms and solitary bile duct cysts with a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 87%. While CT is not as sensitive for detecting septations in cystic lesions when compared to sonography, it is superior in demonstrating accurate size and anatomic extent of the lesion. Additionally, BMCAs are usually isodense to water (less than 30 Hounsfield units) and display nodular areas of enhancement on CT with contrast (Table 1).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has the added benefit of demonstrating anatomic relationships within the liver to a higher degree than on CT, a quality which can aid in surgical planning. Findings on MRI depend on the type of weighted images, with T1 showing varying cystic fluid signal intensities and intracystic septations identified on T2-weighted images (Fig. 2).

In cases of intrabiliary lesions or those or those in the proximal biliary tree, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography may be helpful in identifying areas of obstruction and cyst communication with the biliary tree. Rarely, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography may also be employed for lesions communicating with the biliary tree for tissue sampling purposes.

The ability to consistently and accurately differentiate mucinous cystic neoplasms from other solitary cysts using imaging alone has been controversial. One study on a 52-patient cohort found that no individual radiological characteristics from US, CT, or MRI successfully differentiated between the two lesion types.

Differential diagnosis

Hepatic cystic neoplasms can be simple or complex, with complex cysts characterized by septations, debris-containing...
fluid, hemorrhage, mural thickening, nodularity, or proteinaceous fluid collection.\textsuperscript{22,23} The differential diagnosis for hepatic cystic neoplasms can be categorized by etiology: developmental, inflammatory, neoplastic, traumatic, and miscellaneous\textsuperscript{22} (Table 2). Generally, the presence of septation and septal thickening are significant predictors of possible BMCA. Cysts that do not have internal septa or papillary projections are more likely to be simple cysts which can be managed conservatively with regularly scheduled follow up.\textsuperscript{24} Other cystic lesions that also have septations, such as liver abscesses and echinococcal cysts, are harder to differentiate from BMCA through imaging alone and may require fine needle aspiration.

On imaging, echinococcal cysts often have debris or daughter cysts within the main cyst, and liver abscesses typically have a more irregular and thicker wall than seen in BMCA.\textsuperscript{5} Hemorrhagic cysts may also appear nodular with septations on US and weighted MRI, due to intracystic blood clots. However, unlike with BMCA, hemorrhagic cysts often appear to be homogenous on CT as the latter have decreased sensitivity for intracystic contents.\textsuperscript{25}

Other multiloculated cystic lesions include mesenchymal hamartomas and embryonal sarcomas. While they have a similar appearance to BMCAs on imaging, demographic characteristics of patients presenting with these lesions (children and young adults rather than middle-aged women) differ. For those patients with BMCA aspiration of cystic fluid generally yields bile-tinged mucin helping to differentiate the lesion from other cystic lesions, such as hemorrhagic and parasitic. Intraductal papillary mucosal neoplasms may be the hardest lesions to distinguish from BMCAs, as they are also mucin-producing complex cysts and ultimately require histological differentiation postbiopsy.\textsuperscript{2}

### Histopathology

BMCA are generally large and multiloculated, with internal septations surrounded by cellular fibrostroma. Most commonly, they contain clear or bile-tinged mucinous fluid, though some have been reported to be purulent and proteinaceous as well.\textsuperscript{1,13} The presence of blood within the cyst is a concerning finding and may signify a malignant component.\textsuperscript{25} The septations may also present with calcifications, though this finding is frequently a sign of benignity. BMCA are true proliferative epithelial tumors and consist of a layer of biliary

---

**Table 2. Differential diagnosis of hepatic cystic neoplasms**

| Hepatic cystic neoplasms | Diagnosis |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| **Developmental**        | Simple benign cyst |
|                          | Adult polycystic liver disease |
|                          | Caroli disease |
| **Inflammatory**         | Pyogenic parasitic |
|                          | - Entamoeba histolytica |
|                          | - Hydatid (echinococcal) |
|                          | Abscess |
|                          | - Fungal |
|                          | - Bacterial |
| **Neoplastic**           | Primary |
|                          | - Undifferentiated embryonal carcinoma |
|                          | - Biliary cystadenoma or cystadenocarcinoma |
|                          | - Embryonal sarcoma |
|                          | - Cavernous hemangioma |
|                          | - Intraductal papillary neoplasms |
| **Secondary**            | Cystic metastasis |
| **Traumatic**            | Hemorrhagic or hematoma |
|                          | Seroma |
|                          | Biloma |
|                          | Pseudocyst |
type cuboidal-to-tall columnar, mucin-producing, nonciliated epithelial cells, with papillary necrosis. In patients with the OS subvariant, there is an additional mesenchymal stroma layer between the inner epithelial lining and an outer connective tissue capsule (Fig. 3). In those patients with the nonOS variant, a hyaline stroma layer exists in place of the mesenchymal stroma.

BMCAs have been reported to show reactivity to markers common for epithelial lesions arising from the abdominal cavity, namely cytokeratins (CAM5.2, AE1, AE3), cancer antigen (CA) 19-9, epithelial membrane antigen, and carcinoembryonic antigen. However, these findings have been inconsistent and do not allow for definitive differentiation between BMCA and BMCAC. Cystic fluid aspirate CA 19-9 may be helpful in differentiating BMCA from other cystic lesions, however, as levels of CA 19-9 in BMCA are on average significantly higher than in other lesions. While monitoring trends of serum CA 19-9 at regularly spaced intervals has been suggested for postexcisional tumor resolution, this strategy is not recommended for long-term monitoring because immunoreactivity to the marker has been noted to be lost upon malignant transformation to BMCAC.

BMCACs are difficult to distinguish from BMCAs due to a lack of consistent and significant differences in immunohistochemical markers. On histology, however, BMCAC demonstrates characteristics of cytologically malignant epithelium, consisting of multiple layers with nuclear pleomorphism, loss of cellular polarity, and papilla of fibrous tissue invading through the basement membrane.

### Treatment and prognosis

For those who have suspected or confirmed diagnosis of BMCA, active intervention rather than tumor monitoring is recommended, due to the potential for malignant transformation. Treatment of the condition ranges from simple aspiration to liver transplantation. More conservative therapies, such as cyst aspiration, laparoscopic fenestration, internal drainage by roux-en-Y, narrow margin cyst excision, sclerotherapy, and cyst decompression have been reported. However, concerns for high rate of tumor recurrence (as high as 80% for partial cyst excision and 100% for cyst aspiration) as well as complications (including biliary obstruction, secondary infection or sepsis, rupture, and hemorrhage) have prevented their regular use and are thus not recommended.

Wide-margin (2 cm) resection is considered the treatment of choice, although rates of recurrence have been reported to be between 5–20%. It is unclear why such variability in neoplasm recurrence rates exists for wide-margin cyst excisions, though it may be in part secondary to initial tumor resection completeness. In cases where wide-margin resection is not possible, such as in centrally-located lesions involving central vascular or biliary structures, enucleation may be performed instead.

In cases such as giant single and double lobar BMCAs, where the size or quantity of the cysts makes excision impossible, as well as in cases where prior surgical intervention was unsuccessful or injurious, lobectomy and even liver transplantation may be considered. Aside from the need for a liver donor, such radical therapy requires patients to undergo life-long immunosuppressive therapy.
the risk and benefits of intervention should be weighed and discussed with the patient and a multidisciplinary review of the case must be conducted.6

Conclusions

BMCA are rare, generally slow-growing, benign lesions that are often asymptomatic and incidentally found. While most commonly identified in middle-aged Caucasian females, depending on the BMCA subvariant, they can also be found in males. The propensity for BMCA to grow to symptomatic sizes as well as their ability to undergo malignant transformation are of concern. Although making the diagnosis by imaging alone is difficult, an approach using multiple imaging modalities, such as US, CT and MRA, aids in the identification of septations and other key cystic features. Cystic fluid aspiration may also help differentiate BMCA from other etiologies, as they often contain bile-tinged mucin. Ultimately, biopsy and histological analysis results (WCC), developed the idea for the article and critically revised it (GYW).
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