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Abstract

Since January 2020, there has been a worldwide pandemic of COVID-19, caused by a novel coronavirus–severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. The United States has been particularly affected, with the largest number of confirmed cases in a single country in the world. Healthcare systems for adults as well as children have dealt with challenges. This article will reflect on the experiences of selected children’s hospitals in Seattle, New York City, and New Orleans, three of the “hotspots” in the US and share common aspects and lessons learned from these experiences. This article discusses testing and cohorting of patients, personal protective equipment utilization, limiting workplace exposure, and information sharing.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In January 2020, a novel coronavirus–severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]—was identified, and by March 11th the disease it causes, COVID-19, was declared a worldwide pandemic [2]. The United States has been particularly affected, with more than 1.7 million cases as of the end of May, the largest number of confirmed cases in a single country in the world [3]. The country has been in a state of emergency since the 13th of March [4], and by mid-March all fifty states, the District of Columbia, and four U.S. territories had reported cases of COVID-19 [5]. Although the majority of severe illness has been seen in adults, leading to immense challenges for hospitals and healthcare systems, COVID-19 also has profound impact on the healthcare systems for children as well. Seattle, New York City, and New Orleans were three “hotspots” of COVID-19 infections in the US [Table1], each with unique epidemiologic features contributing to their case rate [Fig. 1].

In this review, we reflect on the experiences of selected children’s hospitals (Seattle Children's, Children's Hospital at Montefiore in New York, and Children's Hospital New Orleans) in each of these cities, with the aim of identifying commonalities and lessons learned that might be applicable to future health crises.
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Seattle

On January 21, 2020, a 35 year old man who had returned to Washington a few days prior after traveling to Wuhan, China became the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the Seattle area [9,10]. In late February, the Seattle Flu Study identified COVID-19 in a 15 year old evaluated for influenza-like illness, and using sequence data, linked this case to the first adult case [11,12], demonstrating ongoing community transmission. Also in late February, a large ongoing outbreak was reported by an area nursing home, further reflecting and contributing to community spread. In response, regional hospitals braced for patient surges and planned for overwhelmed healthcare systems and alternate standards of care. By the end of March, approximately 200 new cases were being identified per day in King County (the Seattle region).

At Seattle Children’s Hospital, a multidisciplinary group began meeting regularly at the end of January to plan the response to COVID-19, and by the end of February an incident command structure was erected in order to provide for centralized decision-making and rapid escalation of questions and concerns. The experience in China suggested that children accounted for a very small proportion of COVID-19 cases and that their symptoms and signs were relatively mild compared to adults. Thus, while the hospital planned for a surge of pediatric patients out of caution, they also planned to care for the region's children who would otherwise be cared for at mixed adult-pediatric hospitals and prepared to admit sick young adults in the event the adult hospitals reached their capacity. In the end, perhaps in part due to suspension of all elective visits and surgeries, and the ‘shelter in place’ strategies employed as of March 23rd, the adult hospitals avoided exceeding their capacity and Seattle Children’s maintained available beds.

New York City

Nearly six weeks after the Seattle area saw its first case, the first case of COVID-19 in New York State was confirmed on March 1st: a woman who had returned home to New York City (NYC) after travel to Iran [14]. By March 15th, there were nearly 3000 identified cases in NYC and by the end of March, 3500–6000 new cases were being identified each day [7]. Multiple factors may have contributed to this huge increase, including high population density and reliance on crowded public transportation, in addition to the more than two-week period from when the first cases were reported until the official NYC shutdown began, and three week period until the definitive ‘stay at home’ order on March 22nd [15]. Given NYC’s accelerated rate of transmission, hospitals feared that a surge of pediatric patients in the emergency department, including the “worried well”, would lead to admissions. There was particular concern in the Bronx, the borough in which our hospital system is primarily located, given that it is one of the poorest areas of the state and the rates of obesity, asthma, and other comorbidities thought to exacerbate COVID-19 are very high [16,17]. Although ultimately the Bronx did experience a disproportionate number of cases and death in adults [7], pediatric ED visits and admissions remained low, and dropped even further after NYC public schools closed and non-urgent procedures were cancelled. Efforts were made in the Bronx to consolidate pediatric admissions at the Children’s Hospital at Montefiore in anticipation of the need to increase capacity for adult patients across NYC [18].

The Children’s Hospital at Montefiore (CHAM) is a part of a large health system and is situated within the main Montefiore Hospital campus. In order to help alleviate some of the burden on our adult colleagues and in preparation for an anticipated peak in the first two weeks of April, pediatric leadership met with institutional leadership regularly, and surge plans were put into place. Pediatric providers, nurses and staff employed a “surge in place” model, creating and staffing an adult COVID-19 unit [19]; increased the age limit on pediatric med-surg units to 30 years; and deployed multiple providers and staff to units run by adult providers.

New Orleans

The first case of presumptive COVID-19 in the greater metropolitan area of New Orleans was identified on March 9th, approximately one week after the first case in NYC. Over the next
week, several more cases were identified in individuals who had no significant travel history and were unrelated to each other, suggesting that there was previously unrecognized existence of disease and presence of community transmission in the area. New Orleans had recently celebrated Mardi Gras during the last two weeks of February, when nearly one million visitors from around the country and world visited the area. It has been suggested that the Mardi Gras celebration may have significantly accelerated transmission within the community and possibly led to the virus’s spread around the country [20]. Currently available sequencing data [21] indicate that a small number of closely related strains were introduced, likely in late February or early March, and those strains spread rapidly within the New Orleans community.

Within a week of the first case, state and local officials moved to decrease transmission. Schools were closed on March 20th, 2020 and restrictions on business activities followed shortly thereafter, leading to a predictable decline in visits to the pediatric Emergency Room at Children’s Hospital New Orleans (CHNOLA). As a part of a hospital system with four adult hospital partners, CHNOLA leaders were acutely aware of the stressors that colleagues across the system faced, and set up an Incident Command team on March 11th with broad representation. A subset of this group met twice a day with leaders from across the system so that decisions made at CHNOLA were based on the real-time activities at adult partner facilities. Pediatric acute and critical care units were consolidated in anticipation of the need to accommodate adult patients during the predicted surge. However, their adult partners expanded their own capacity to absorb the surge which peaked in the last week of March through the first week of April, and CHNOLA did not care for any adult patients. However, CHNOLA clinical and non-clinical team members were deployed to adult facilities to assist in a variety of ways. In addition, supplies including ventilators, medications, and personal protective equipment (PPE) were shared to meet the needs of each facility in the health system as dictated by clinical volumes.

**DISCUSSION**

As COVID-19 spread across the United States, there were factors unique to each city which influenced the individual experiences. Seattle was the first major US city to experience COVID-19, and therefore faced the need to implement COVID-19 policies immediately. New York City, with its high population density and delayed implementation of strict social distancing, had a rate of spread and acute surge that challenged hospitals and healthcare systems to an extent not seen in other US cities. New Orleans hosted a highly attended event in February before the threat of transmission in the US was fully appreciated, and thus likely had an increase in community spread that may have been initially under-recognized [5]. Despite these different starting points, the three children’s hospitals shared many similar experiences.

**Testing and cohorting patients**

All three institutions faced limited testing capabilities [22]. Each region initially relied on testing through local Public Health or Department of Health (DoH) centers, which pragmatically pre-
and face shields, were stored in a central location with controlled access.

Through the three hospitals’ experiences, several strategies for managing PPE shortages emerged as promising: controlling access immediately, monitoring supply closely including tracking utilization, and implementing conservation strategies that may need to change frequently to reflect degree and type of shortages. In addition, capitalizing on appropriate opportunities for PPE discontinuation was recognized as an important strategy [24]. In order to ensure effective use of available supplies, all three sites made PPE references, including donning and doffing protocols [24], easily accessible and interpretable by all frontline clinicians. Once adequate PPE supplies were ensured, each site was able to successfully implement universal masking.

Limiting exposure

Limiting workplace and nosocomial exposure to COVID-19 required a coordinated institutional response [25]. For example, in order to identify potentially infected people quickly and contain the risk of transmission, strategies for screening all staff prior to entering the hospital were implemented at each hospital. All three centers also implemented institutional policies to limit those at the bedside of admitted pediatric patients, in alignment with official recommendations [26] to one parent or primary caretaker. All three institutions suspended team rounds at the bedside, which had previously been a daily part of the workflow, in order to limit staff and patient exposure, and to maintain social distancing [25]. Technology was increasingly utilized, for example calling or face-timing patients and families to obtain histories and answer questions so that PPE could be conserved and movement in and out of patient rooms limited [27]. To minimize overall crowding in buildings and offices, institutions changed prior policies to allow a work-from-home option for non-clinical work. Ambulatory settings in each hospital have seen a marked increase in the use of telemedicine in an attempt to limit unnecessary visits to the hospital campus; this is a strategy that merits further exploration in the inpatient setting.

Information sharing and communication

Timely and accurate communication was crucial within each hospital to avoid any confusion or misinformation that might be potentially generated by the multiple streams of information existing within a complex hospital. All three institutions mitigated this risk by using a centralized system to collect and disseminate information such as treatment protocols, clinical trial information, and epidemiologic data. This allowed each hospital to maintain alignment throughout the organization, and ensure all staff was informed as protocols and policies changed. In addition, in-person communication was key to real-time response. At CHNOLA, members of the Incident Command team made regular rounds in all units to address any questions, concerns and misconceptions, and about PPE conservation in particular. At CHAM, leadership walk rounds and institution-wide virtual town halls occurred daily, allowing staff to interact with leadership and share concerns and successes directly. Pediatric leadership meetings were held twice weekly, to ensure information sharing and to address local issues. At Seattle Children’s, an incident command structure was used for escalation of problems and centralized decision-making. Organization-wide communications happened almost daily and leaders rounded to ensure uptake of the information and to answer questions. In addition, virtual town halls occurred on a regular cadence.

Communication between institutions and providers proved to be equally as important as communication within institutions. The internet and multiple means of electronic communications conferred an ability to disseminate information at a pace unprecedented in any previous health crisis. Federal, state and local agencies provided real-time updates via electronic posts and bulletins to wide audiences in order to ensure that both providers and the public had accurate information about all aspects of the emerging pandemic. Physician group “listservs” [an electronic mailing list] provided timely discussion of new findings as well as information to those in areas which had yet to experience a surge. Indeed, when Seattle Children’s circulated a summary of their experiences and protocols on numerous listservs in early March to members of the pediatric community across the country, CHAM and CHNOLA reaped the benefit of their experience, which enabled a more informed response and an incorporation of their lessons learned into their early planning. Webinars allow for rapid, widespread learning about a novel disease without needing to gather large groups of individuals together. In fact, institutions continue to use these channels to share information about the evolving clinical picture and treatment of the newly recognized covid-19 associated Multi-system Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C). Lastly, social media platforms allow for sharing of reflections, provision of emotional support and communal mourning as well as celebration, which may be comforting to providers navigating the myriad challenges of the pandemic.

CONCLUSION

During the winter and spring of 2020, the three selected children’s hospitals in Seattle, New York City, and New Orleans initially experienced the COVID-19 pandemic differently because of unique contextual factors. However, all three institutions faced the challenges of addressing the needs of their communities with a strong spirit of cooperation, resilience, and adaptability among the pediatric staff, which allowed for the flexibility necessary to respond to the fluid nature of the situation. With emerging knowledge about the virus and by accumulating experience managing it, the three institutions independently developed solutions which, taken together, can inform our understanding and can drive responses to future recurrences or new pandemics should they arise.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- Determine the impact of transmission mitigation strategies on the inpatient experience for patients and their families.
- Identify which of the PPE conservation strategies utilized are most effective in maintaining adequate supply.
- Characterize effective use of telemedicine and other electronic communication with patients in the inpatient setting.
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