MACRONUTRIENTS CONTENT IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF SEEDLING, SAPLING AND TREE OF *Bruguiera parviflora* OF KUALA SELANGOR NATURE PARK MANGROVE FOREST IN MALAYSIA
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Abstract: Macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, C and S) in different parts of seedlings, saplings and trees of *Bruguiera parviflora* were analysed for Kuala Selangor Nature Park Mangrove Forest. Relatively higher content of nitrogen (1.04 to 1.37%), phosphorus (0.12 to 0.18%), potassium (0.78 to 1.27%) and calcium (0.67 to 1.13%) were found in leaves of seedlings, saplings and trees than other parts. But, comparatively higher content of magnesium (1.1 to 1.2%) and sulphur (0.75 to 1.79%) was detected in roots of seedlings, saplings and trees, leaves, buds and flower of trees. Comparatively higher carbon (51.12 to 52.54%) content was detected in saplings and tree roots while a relatively lower content of all macronutrients were detected in stems and bigger branches (diameter > 2 cm) of saplings and trees. The study indicated that macronutrients distribution in *Bruguiera parviflora* were variable in the different plant parts and at various stages of plant development.
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Introduction

Mangrove forests are economically and ecologically important (Field, 1995). They link between marine and terrestrial ecosystems. These communities are clearly important to the stability and maintenance of various adjoining ecosystems (Ong, 1982; Aksornkoae, 1993). Mangrove formations contribute to the marine food web through their production of detritus, and several commercially important species of marine animals are known to spend at least part of their life cycle in this ecosystem (FAO, 1985; Arshad et al., 1997). Mangroves provide primary source of inorganic and organic compounds for estuarine production (Aksornkoae and Khemnark, 1994) and acts as an open pathway of nutrient transport to the aquatic ecosystem. The rate of nutrients transport is controlled by biological and physical factors (Boto, 1979) and simultaneously these factors also control the rate of import and storage of inorganic or organic compounds (Aksornkoae and Khemnark, 1984) as well as within stand nutrient cycling in a mangrove forest. Litter standing crops, litter production and estimate of slash production in a managed forest could be an approach for estimating productivity and functioning of a mangrove forest (Gong et al., 1984) as well as the estimation of standing biomass and nutrient flux (Ong et al., 1984; Gong and Ong, 1990). At the same time, measurement of nutrients in various parts of plant could be another useful approach of estimating mangrove productivity and functioning.

Macronutrients are essential for normal growth and metabolism, completion of life cycle and to detoxify the presence of heavy metals in the plant (Jones et al., 1991; Marschner, 1995). Nutrients content in plant parts are influenced by metabolic requirements (Baker and Walker, 1990). However, insufficient and excess amount of the nutrients poses various stresses on plant growth and metabolism (Boto, 1992; Marschner, 1995). Nutrients content in plant parts are not only differ from species to species but also in different parts of a plant at different stages of growth (Jones et al., 1991; Li, 1997). Nutrient uptake by the mangrove plants is impeded by poor aeration in the rooting zone and result in coping with low nutrient availability (Boto, 1992; Li, 1997). The coping mechanism may affect uptake, distribution, loading and excretion of micronutrients within the plant parts (Waisel et al., 1986; Hutchings and Saenger, 1987; Tomlinson, 1994). *Bruguiera parviflora* occurs throughout the Southeast Asia to the tropical Australia. This species has some characteristics of pioneer species and serve as a nurse crop by creating suitable site condition for climax species (*Rhizophora* sp.) in the process of succession (Chapman, 1976; Tomlinson, 1994). Present study aims to assess the comparative content of macronutrients and their distributional trend in different parts of seedlings, saplings and trees of *Bruguiera parviflora*.

Materials and Methods

The study area consists of 100 ha of mangrove forest (Latitude 3°20’ N and Longitude 101°14’ E) in the Kuala Selangor Nature Park, Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. This mangrove forest has been totally protected since 1987 and categorized under Watson’s (1928) tidal inundation class 4. It appears as a strip with an average 200 m width, varies from 150 to 250 m from shoreward to landward, and ends at a man-made embankment. Species of mangroves from the families of *Avicenniaceae, Rhizophoraceae, Sonneratiaceae*.
and *Euphorbiaceae* were found in the forest. The mean annual rainfall was about 1790 mm and mean minimum and maximum temperature were 24°C and 32°C, respectively (Malaysian Metrological Service).

Nine plots (1 m x 1 m) were randomly selected and all *Bruguiera parviflora* seedlings (height < 1 m) within the plots were collected with root systems. Ten saplings (Diameter at Breast Height, 1-<4 cm) and six trees (DBH, 4-16 cm) of *Bruguiera parviflora* were selected randomly (avoiding suppressed, mechanically or insect damaged or infested with disease) and they were felled and uprooted. Sub-samples (about 100 g) of leaves, buds (saplings and trees), flower (trees), smaller branches (diameter < 2 cm), bigger branches (diameter > 2 cm), stems, barks (saplings and trees) and roots (homogenous sub-samples of smaller, diameter < 1 cm and bigger roots, diameter > 1 cm) were collected from the sampled seedlings, saplings and trees. Nine samples of topsoil up to 10 cm depth were collected randomly from the forest area using core sampler of 5 cm diameter (Allen, 1974). All samples were collected at the same time of plant sampling.

All plant samples were oven-dried at 80°C until constant weight and soil samples were air-dried. The samples were then ground and processed according to Allen (1974) for the total Nitrogen (Weatherburn, 1967) and total phosphorus (Timothy *et al*., 1984). Potassium content in the samples was measured by flame photometer (Jenway PFP, England). Calcium and magnesium were measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS PERKIN ELMER 4100). Sulphur was determined following the method of Tandon (1993) and total carbon by Leco CR–12 carbon determinator, USA (Allen, 1974) and triplicate samples were used for each analysis in this experiment.

Macronutrients content in plant samples were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT, p<0.05) by using SAS (6.12) statistical software. The mean content of each nutrient was calculated from all plant parts. Sapling branches and tree branches diameter < 2 cm considered as one group in the graphical presentation. The trends of macronutrients distribution were derived from the principal plant parts (leaves, smaller branches, bigger branches, stems and roots).

**Results and Discussion**

Nitrogen content was comparatively (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05) higher irrespective of leaves of seedlings, saplings and trees. In addition, seedling stems contained higher nitrogen and remained above the mean nitrogen content of 0.63% (Fig. 1). Leaves and green parts contained higher nitrogen than woody parts such as stems and bigger branches (Binkley, 1986). According to Allen (1974) phosphorus and potassium contents in different plant parts also found to be in the range from 0.05 to 3% and 0.5 to 3%, respectively. Moreover, phosphorus and potassium are most abundant in reproductive and physiologically active tissue (leaves, buds and roots) (Meyer *et al*., 1973; Marschner, 1995). Similarly, leaves, buds, flowers and roots contained relatively higher phosphorus and potassium and remained above the mean content of 0.11 and 0.56%, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 2 to 3).

![Fig. 1. Nitrogen content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05](image1)

![Fig. 2: Phosphorus content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05](image2)

Calcium is necessary for the continued growth of apical meristems and accumulated in the leaves and permanently fixed in the cell wall as calcium salt (Meyer *et al*., 1973; Jones *et al*., 1991) and this could be the reason for observing comparatively (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05) higher calcium content (0.77 to 1.44%) in leaves, buds, flowers and small branches (diameter < 2 cm) and remained above the mean content (0.5%) (Table 1 and Fig. 4). Relatively (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05) higher magnesium content (1.1 to 1.2%) was found in roots followed by leaves and remained above the mean content (0.49%) (Fig. 5) and higher sulphur content (1.08 to 1.79%) was observed in roots of saplings and trees, leaves, buds and flowers of trees (Table
1 and Fig. 6). Magnesium and sulphur in plant samples were higher compared to the ranges of 0.1 to 0.5% and 0.08 to 0.5%, respectively as reported by Allen (1974). Sulphur is the key constituent of several amino acids and plays an important role in plant metabolism (Jones et al., 1991). Unlike phosphorus, sulphur salts of Ca, Fe and Al are fairly soluble and more available for plant uptake, but excess sulphur than the requirement often accumulated in leaves (Binkley, 1986).

Table 1. Macronutrient content in soil and buds, flower (trees), and barks of saplings and trees.

| Plant Parts | Nitrogen (%) | Phosphorus (%) | Potassium (%) | Calcium (%) | Magnesium (%) | Carbon (%) | Sulphur (%) |
|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| Sapling     |              |                |               |             |               |            |             |
| Buds        | 0.97±0.01^E  | 0.15±0.01^B    | 0.86±0.01^D   | 1.44±0.02^A | 0.89±0.01^C   | 45.5±0.01^M | 0.42±0.01^H |
| Barks       | 0.54±0.01^H  | 0.09±0.01^H    | 0.13±0.01^I   | 0.94±0.01^D | 0.14±0.01^I   | 44.27±0.01^N| 0.54±0.04^F |
| Tree        |              |                |               |             |               |            |             |
| Flowers     | 0.80±0.03^B  | 0.14±0.01^C    | 1.03±0.03^C   | 0.83±0.01^E | 0.57±0.01^E   | 46.16±0.01^I| 1.49±0.03^B |
| Buds        | 1.10±0.02^C  | 0.16±0.01^B    | 0.84±0.02^D   | 0.97±0.02^C | 0.64±0.01^D   | 45.56±0.01^I| 1.30±0.06^C |
| Barks       | 0.46±0.01^K  | 0.14±0.01^C    | 0.13±0.01^I   | 1.11±0.01^B | 0.08±0.01^K   | 46.34±0.01^I| 0.68±0.03^F |
| Soil        | 0.38±0.01    | 0.08±0.01      | 0.70±0.01     | 0.27±0.01   | 1.08±0.01     | 3.71±0.01  | 0.69±0.02   |

Means with similar alphabets in the same column are not significantly different at p<0.05

Fig.-3. Potassium content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05

Fig.-4. Calcium content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05

Fig.-5. Magnesium content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05

Fig.-6. Sulphur content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05

A relatively (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05) higher carbon content was observed in leaves, branches and roots of sapling and tree (Fig. 7). During the process of photosynthesis, atmospheric carbon dioxide is transformed to carbohydrate and accumulated in plant biomass. The rate of photosynthesis is accelerated by higher temperature and higher light intensity, which results in higher carbon accumulation (Meyer et al., 1973). In general, woody parts (stems, bigger branches and roots) of plants contained higher carbon compared to green parts (Jones, 1998), but the fluctuation in climatic condition (rainfall and temperature) and content of other nutrients can affect the carbon content of the respective parts of plant (Marschner, 1995). Carbon to nitrogen ratio in bigger branches of trees, stems and roots of saplings and trees was found to exhibit higher than mean
ratio 116:1 (Fig. 8). Carbon to nitrogen ratio in plant parts especially in the litter regulates the rate of decomposition. Low C:N ratio (less than 25:1) usually indicated a higher content of all nutrients and consequently a favourable environment for high bacterial activities (Tam et al., 1990). The macronutrients (with the exception of magnesium and sulphur) were rich in the plant biomass when compared to the mangrove soil of the study area (Table 1 and Fig. 5 to 6). It has been reported that climatic condition (rainfall and temperature), soil edaphic factors, available nutrients in the substrate and concentration of other nutrients can affect the nutrient contents in plant parts considerably (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1984; Walbridge, 1991; Jones, 1998) as well as mineral metabolism and uptake of nutrients by the roots (Jones et al., 1991; Marschner, 1995; Jones, 1998).

Table 2. Comparison of macronutrients content in different parts of different mangrove species

| Species          | Plant parts | Macronutrients (%) | Sources and Location                |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| *Rhizophora apiculata* | Leaves      | N: 1.02 P: 0.11 K: 0.98 Ca: 1.40 Mg: 0.51 | Ong et al. (1984)                   |
|                  | Branches    | N: 0.29 P: 0.09 K: 0.36 Ca: 0.84 Mg: 0.19 | Matang Mangrove,                    |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.20 P: 0.02 K: 0.33 Ca: 0.42 Mg: 0.07 | Malaysia                            |
| *Avicennia spp.*  | Leaves      | N: 1.96 P: 0.14 K: 1.10 Ca: 0.25 Mg: 0.14 | Aksornkoae and Khenmark             |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.89 P: 0.14 K: 0.75 Ca: 0.14 Mg: 0.06 | (1984)                              |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.86 P: 0.09 K: 0.051 Ca: 0.11 Mg: 0.07 | Amphoe Khung mangrove,              |
| *Bruguiera spp.* | Leaves      | N: 1.17 P: 0.07 K: 0.37 Ca: 0.43 Mg: 0.24 | Thailand                            |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.9 P: 0.06 K: 0.31 Ca: 0.30 Mg: 0.12 |                                    |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.4 P: 0.03 K: 0.08 Ca: 0.28 Mg: 0.07 |                                    |
| *Ceriops spp.*   | Leaves      | N: 1.08 P: 0.06 K: 0.78 Ca: 1.46 Mg: 0.14 |                                     |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.67 P: 0.04 K: 0.55 Ca: 0.94 Mg: 0.07 |                                     |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.44 P: 0.03 K: 0.31 Ca: 0.54 Mg: 0.03 |                                     |
| *Rhizophora apiculata* | Leaves      | N: 1.64 P: 0.02 K: 0.52 Ca: 0.44 Mg: 0.77 | Gong and Ong (1990)                 |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.55 P: 0.03 K: 0.16 Ca: 0.25 Mg: 0.28 | Matang mangrove,                    |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.4 P: 0.03 K: 0.06 Ca: 0.29 Mg: 0.20 | Malaysia                            |
| *Aegiceras corniculatum* | Leaves   | N: 1.37 P: 0.12 K: 0.50 Ca: 0.50 Mg: 0.50 | Li (1997)                           |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.75 P: 0.19 K: 1.03 Ca: 1.03 Mg: 1.03 | Futian mangrove, South              |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.38 P: 0.07 K: 0.26 Ca: 0.26 Mg: 0.26 | China                               |
| *Kandelia candel* | Leaves      | N: 1.39 P: 0.13 K: 0.64 Ca: 0.64 Mg: 0.64 |                                     |
|                  | Branch      | N: 0.35 P: 0.15 K: 0.85 Ca: 0.85 Mg: 0.85 |                                     |
|                  | Stem        | N: 0.68 P: 0.07 K: 0.21 Ca: 0.21 Mg: 0.21 |                                     |
|                  | Root        | N: 0.44 P: 0.16 K: 1.26 Ca: 1.26 Mg: 1.26 |                                     |

Fig. 7. Carbon content in different plant parts and the dotted line indicates the mean content of the combined plant parts. Similar alphabet on the bar is not significantly different at p<0.05.

Fig. 8. Carbon to nitrogen ratio in different parts and the dotted line indicates the mean ratio of the combined plant parts.

The trend of nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium in seedlings parts was leaves > stems > roots (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05), while potassium, magnesium, sulphur and carbon showed different trend in seedlings parts. Nitrogen, potassium and calcium in saplings parts showed a trend with leaves > branches > roots > stems (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05), while phosphorus and magnesium showed trend of roots > leaves > branches > stem (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05) but the trend of sulphur and carbon was roots > branches > leaves > stem (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05). The trend of nitrogen and phosphorus in trees parts was as leaves > smaller branches > roots > bigger branches > stems (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05), but potassium and sulphur showed a trend with leaves > roots > small branches > bigger branches > stems (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05). The trends of calcium, magnesium and carbon in tree parts were leaves > small branches > roots > bigger branches > stems, roots > leaves > small branches > bigger branches > stems and roots > small branches > leaves >
bigger branches > stems (ANOVA, DMRT, p<0.05), respectively (Fig. 1 to 7). The fluctuation in environmental parameters and soil physiochemical characteristics may affect the uptake of nutrients and their contents in different parts of Bruguiera parviflora seedlings, saplings and trees. Moreover, each element characteristics, plant species, types of plant parts, physiological age of the tissue and seasons (dry and wet) may influence the nutrient contents in plant parts (Jones et al., 1991; Walbridge, 1991).

In the present study, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium in saplings and trees showed similar trend and contents for the above ground parts with Rhizophora apiculata at the Matang mangrove forest reserve, Malaysia as obtained by Ong et al. (1984) and for Avicennia spp., Bruguiera spp. and Ceriops spp. at the Amphoe Khlung mangrove forest, Thailand, obtained by Aksornkoe and Khennark (1984). Different trends for macronutrients in above and below ground parts were observed with Rhizophora apiculata at the Matang mangrove forest reserve (Gong and Ong, 1990), Aegicera corniculatum and Kandelia candel at Futian mangrove, South China (Li, 1997) (Table 2). From the above comparison, it was revealed that different mangrove species might have different rate of nutrient uptake and distributional pattern in their parts, which may have been also site specific. Present study showed different distributional pattern of macronutrients, which varied with seedlings, saplings and tree stages. The different content of macronutrients in plant parts and their distributional trends at seedling, sapling and tree stages suggested that different levels of macronutrients were required at the various stages of plant growth and development (Jones et al., 1991; Marschner, 1995).

Conclusion
The plant species, physiological age of the tissue, position of the tissue on the plant, available form of nutrients in the substrate, concentration of other nutrients, climatic and soil edaphic factors affect the extent of nutrients variation in the plant parts. Moreover, various plant parts respond differently with the varying nutrient content in the substrate. Nutrients are stored within plant biomass and few macronutrients such as magnesium and sulphur tend to be lower in plants but comparatively higher in the soil. The nutrients are stored as biomass of the plants is important for internal nutrient cycling of the mangrove ecosystem.
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