Genome-wide acquired uniparental disomy as well as chromosomal gains and losses in an uterine epithelioid leiomyoma
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Abstract

Background: Epithelioid leiomyoma is a rare subtype of benign smooth muscle tumors.

Results: Herein, we present the results of classical cytogenetics, MED12 mutation analysis, and copy number variation array evaluation in one such case. Whereas cytogenetic did not show evidence for clonal chromosome abnormalities and no MED12 mutation in the “fibroid hot spot” region was detected, array hybridization revealed multiple abnormalities. Most noteworthy, almost all chromosomes showed copy-number neutral loss of heterozygosity. As examples of further abnormalities, trisomies of chromosomes 8, 12, 20, and X were noted.

Discussion: The data presented suggest a near-haploid karyotype of the tumor as the initial genetic alteration followed by secondary duplications of large parts of the genome. The absence of any clonal karyotypic alterations after performing classical cytogenetics is likely explained by a reduced ability of the tumor cells to proliferate in vitro. However, to the best of our knowledge this is the first report of an uterine leiomyoma showing extended uniparental disomy. It remains to be determined if this is a more common phenomenon in epithelioid leiomyomas or even subsets of “ordinary” leiomyomas.
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Background

Epithelioid leiomyoma is a rare variant of uterine leiomyomas (UL) accounting for less than 1% of these tumors. Nevertheless, clinical presentation as well as its histology suggests a considerable degree of heterogeneity among these lesions. Benign uterine smooth muscle tumors neither carrying clonal cytogenetic deviations nor mutations of the gene encoding mediator subcomplex 12 (MED12) [1], as predominantly found in cytogenetically normal UL [2], are rare. In an attempt to identify genetic alterations in these lesions, we have analyzed an epithelioid UL by copy number variation (CNV)-array in addition to karyotyping and MED12 sequencing. By the two latter methods the tumor did neither display clonal karyotypic deviations nor a MED12 mutation akin to those found in a large percentage of UL [1]. Besides numerical aberrations of chromosomes 8, 12, 20, and X and some small gains and losses that had all escaped detection by classical cytogenetics, the tumor showed uniparental disomy for most of the chromosomes except for those affected by trisomies and tetrasomies. The pattern of genetic alterations clearly distinguishes this lesion from ordinary UL.

Case presentation

A 45 year old patient was admitted to the hospital because of a large intramural uterine tumor which was removed by hysterectomy. As a gross finding, a 7 cm well-circumscribed tumor was found. Histologically, the tumor presented as an encapsulated so-called epithelioid leiomyoma (Figure 1) with mild nuclear atypica but no enhanced mitotic activity or necrotic areas. There were no signs of local invasiveness. Besides this single nodule no further uterine tumors were detected. By classical cytogenetics, no clonal karyotypic abnormalities were detected among a total of 20 metaphases fully analyzed (band resolution: 300 -500 bands per haploid set) (Figure 2) but one
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of the metaphases examined showed a 45, XX, -8, +12, -15 karyotype.

In addition to cytogenetic examination, the tumor was also analyzed for \textit{MED12} mutations by DNA-sequencing as described earlier [1]. As a result, the tumor did not display a \textit{MED12} mutation as commonly found in UL (data not shown).

Finally, the tumor was investigated by whole genome copy number variation (CNV)-arrays. Despite its apparently normal karyotype in cell culture, this latter analysis detected various genetic abnormalities. Most remarkably, the tumor displayed large areas and even whole chromosomes with copy-number neutral loss of heterozygosity (Figure 3).

Of note, only chromosomes 8, 12, 20, and the X-chromosome lacked this uniparental disomy but these chromosomes showed copy number gains with three (chromosome 12) or three to four copies (chromosomes 8, 20, and X) each. Chromosome 8 and the X-chromosome presented with these copy number gains as well as with large discontinuous stretches of uniparental disomy in a few other chromosomes, small deletions were seen within otherwise long chromosomal regions of homozygosity (Table 1). Of these, one affecting a small segment on the long arm of chromosome 7 (Figure 4) deserves particular interest because it lies within the common region of overlap of cytogenetically visible deletions of 7q. As the target gene of these deletions \textit{CUX1} has been proposed earlier [3-5].

However, for none of the genetic alterations the evaluation offered evidence for a significant proportion of cells not carrying these alterations and its restriction to a subset of the tumor cell population has been obtained.

\textbf{Conclusions}

In the case presented herein, array-based CNV detection not only allowed to detect copy number variations but also copy-number-neutral loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or uniparental disomy, respectively. Apparently, most chromosomes were in a disomic stated while they had lost heterozygosity. The large extension of this copy-number-neutral LOH [6] allows for the conclusion that it has developed as a somatic alteration. As the most likely explanation, the alterations detected have arisen from a near-haploid tumor retaining e.g. both chromosomes 12 with secondary duplication of the chromosome complement. The occurrence of near haploid karyotypes has been observed in a variety of solid tumors including...
leiomyosarcomas [7] as well as in leukemias [8]. Also, copy-number-neutral LOH affecting more or less large segments has been observed in many cancers [9]. When it affects larger parts of the genome, secondary duplications have been proposed as the underlying mechanism. Duplication of the chromosomes as a later event has e.g. been suggested to explain findings obtained by array hybridization on chondrosarcomas. In a study of sixteen chondrosarcomas that had been investigated by single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, the majority of the tumors displayed SNP patterns indicative of a hyperhaploid-hypodiploid origin, with or without subsequent polyploidization [10]. Despite chromosomal gains detected by array analysis in the present case, no clonal chromosome abnormalities were found by classical cytogenetics. The small deletion of 7q which was noted coincides with a commonly deleted region in UL [3-5], but is too small to be detected by classical cytogenetics. The proximal border of the deleted segment lies in close proximity of CUX1 but does not affect that gene. In contrast, this proximal breakpoint is located within SH2B2. Nevertheless, the deletion may have removed downstream regulating sequences of CUX1 thus not excluding CUX1 as a possible target.

As to the molecular pathogenesis of the tumor, this deletion as well as the gain of chromosome 12 are frequent findings in other UL too and are likely to have contributed to tumor development either as primary or secondary alterations besides UPD.

In contrast, another explanation may account for the lack of numerical chromosome alterations as clonal karyotype deviations. Recent findings of an in vitro selection against tumor cells in some subtypes of fibroids [11] suggest that this absence reflects an in vitro artefact.

In summary, to the best of our knowledge this is the first report of an uterine leiomyoma showing extended uniparental disomy. It remains to be determined if it is a more common phenomenon in epithelioid leiomyomas or even in subsets of ordinary leiomyomas.

**Methods**

**Histologic examination**

The tumor was fixed in paraformaldehyde (4% in PBS) and processed for paraffin embedding. Tissue sections (1–2 μm thickness) were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated through a series of ethanol, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

**Cytogenetic studies**

Chromosome analyses of cell cultures were performed following routine techniques as described earlier [12].

**DNA isolation**

DNA from the frozen tissue sample was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) on a QIACube (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**PCR and sequencing**

For PCR amplification 1000 ng of genomic template DNA were used. Primers to amplify the desired human PCR fragment of the MED12 gene were those recently described [1,2]. Subsequently, PCR-products were separated by agarose gel-electrophoresis and the desired DNA-fragments/-bands were extracted by a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) using a QIACube (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. DNA-sequencing of the purified PCR-products was performed by GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany).

**Arrays**

CNV (copy number variation) analysis was performed using premade CytoScan HD Arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) consisting of more than 2.4 million markers for copy number and approximately 750,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Enriched gene coverage for cancer and constitutional genes results in marker-base ratio cover ages of 1/384 for ISCA, 1/553 for cancer genes, 1/486 for X-chromosomal genes and 1/659 for
### Table 1 Genetic abnormalities detected by whole genome CNV + SNP array hybridization

| Chromosome | Type   | CN | Cytobands | Size (kbp) | Genes                                                                 |
|------------|--------|----|-----------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            | OR2T2, OR2T3, OR2T5                                                  |
| 2          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            | HCG48, HLA-A                                                          |
| 3          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 4          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 5          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 6          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 7          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 8          | Gain   | 4  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 9          | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 10         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 11         | Gain   | 3  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 12         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 13         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 14         | Gain   | 3  | q32.33    | 324.063    | KIAA0125, ADAM6                                                      |
| 15         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 16         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 17         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 18         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 19         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 20         | Gain   | 4  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 21         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| 22         | UPD    | 2  | All       |            |                                                                  |
| X          | Gain   | 4  | All       |            |                                                                  |

**Abbreviations:** CN, Copy number.
12,000 OMIM genes. Labelling of 250 ng DNA and hybridization were done following the manufacturer’s instructions. After staining and washing using a GeneChip Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix) the arrays were scanned by an Affymetrix 3000 7G scanner. Arrays were analyzed through the Affymetrix Chromosome Analysis Suite (ChAS) software (ChAS analysis files for CytoScan® HD Array version NA32.3). Numbering of map positions was based on hg19 (NCBI Build 37 reference sequence).
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