A novel method for developing frost-resistant epoxy-urethane binders is proposed that is based on mixtures of epoxy-urethane oligomers and diglycidyl urethane formed during synthesis. The microheterogeneous elastic materials obtained by curing these mixtures by the cycloaliphatic amines have a low glass transition temperature and high mechanical properties.

1. Introduction

Elastic compositions based on epoxy-urethane oligomers (EUOs) are used as adhesives [1–5], are the basis of compounds for various purposes, are resistant to humidity and corrosive environments [6–10], and are also components for biodegradable materials [11]. They have a wide variety of applications because of their excellent adhesion to different materials and good dielectric and mechanical properties. They are characterized by the presence of urethane groups in the chain and end epoxy groups.

The EUOs are synthesized from oligodiols, diisocyanates, and epoxy alcohols. As a rule, the synthesis is carried out in two stages. In the first stage, a reaction is carried out between the oligodiol and diisocyanate to form the oligodiisocyanate. In the second stage, a reaction occurs between the obtained oligodiisocyanate and the epoxy alcohol [12–15]. Amines, dicarboxylic acid anhydrides, and compounds with carboxyl groups are used as hardeners for the obtained EUOs [16]. Curing of this type of material is provided, as a rule, at a temperature of 70°C-90°C.

The use of polymer materials in the Arctic requires a decrease in the temperature limit for their operation down to ~70°C. Similar materials with satisfactory frost resistance were developed on the basis of oligodienurethane epoxides, in which the glass transition temperature reaches -76°C [16]. However, a significant drawback of such materials is low strength, as it may not be higher than 5 MPa. It is known that the strength properties of EUO-based compositions can be increased by introducing a certain amount of epoxy resin [17]. However, this modification is usually accompanied by the appearance of problems associated with ensuring the necessary deformability of the material, which is especially important at low temperatures.

In authors’ opinion, the solution to the problem of developing a frost-resistant elastic material with high mechanical characteristics lies in the field of the use of polyetherurethane epoxides. Their glass transition temperature can be lowered below -70°C, but they have a much higher level of interchain interaction that can lead to better strength properties compared to polydienurethane epoxides [18].

In this paper, the results of studies for the dramatic improvement of the strength properties of compositions based on EUOs on the directed regulation of the structure of the resulting frost-resistant material are presented.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The studies were carried out using a series of urethane-epoxide oligomers synthesized on the base of...
urethane-containing pseudo-prepolymers with terminal functional isocyanate groups (oligotetramethylene oxide urethane diisocyanates) and glycidol. The synthesis of the mentioned pseudopolymers was carried out on the base of polylethyleneoxide diol oligoether with a molecular mass 1400 (PTMO-1400) and 2,4-toluene diisocyanate (TDI). The molar ratio between the NCO groups and OH groups in the synthesis was provided from 2.03 to 4.03. The functional isocyanate groups (oligotetramethylene oxide diol oligoether with a molecular weight of 1000-2000) were treated with glycidol at a molar ratio of OH : NCO = 2 : 1. This synthesis step was carried out at 70°C in the aforementioned mixer. The completeness of the NCO group conversion was monitored by Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy after the disappearance of the absorption band at 2270 cm⁻¹. Synthesis of the EUO was almost finished in 5 hours. The content of the free epoxy groups was determined according to ASTM D2572-080.

In the second stage, the obtained pseudo-prepolymers were treated with glycidol at a molar ratio of OH : NCO = 2 : 1. This synthesis step was carried out at 70°C in the aforementioned mixer. The completeness of the NCO group conversion was monitored by Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy after the disappearance of the absorption band at 2270 cm⁻¹. Synthesis of the EUO was almost finished in 5 hours. The content of the free epoxy groups was determined according to [19].

The ratio between final oligomers depends on the ratio between the n and m parameters (Scheme 1): n is the number of the oligodiol moles; m is the number of diisocyanate moles taken in excess.

Synthesized oligomers were used to prepare cured samples for the study of their physicomechanical and other functional properties. Two liquid cycloaliphatic amines were used as the hardener: 3-aminomethyl-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohexylamine (IFDA) and aminoethylpiperazine (AEP), which simultaneously contain primary, secondary, and tertiary nitrogen atoms. The molar ratio of EUO to amine was 1 to 0.87 using AEP, and it was 1 to 0.75 when using IFDA. These ratios were chosen earlier according to experimental values of the tensile strength of similar materials given elsewhere [20].

During the curing reaction, the EUO was stirred with an amine for 5 minutes under vacuum (1-2 kPa) at 25°C ± 1°C. The resulting reaction mixture was cured for 24 hours at 25°C ± 1°C in slotted metal forms. The mentioned curing time was determined preliminary using FTIR spectroscopy method. The absorption band at 910 cm⁻¹ referring to the free epoxy groups for all investigated compositions based on EUOs and amines disappears at the mentioned temperature within 20-24 hours [21–23].

2.2. Methods. The softening point of the hard phase, \( T_S \), was determined by thermomechanical analysis on a TMA/SDTA 841e METTLER TOLEDO device at a scanning rate of 0.05 deg·s⁻¹ under a load of 0.015 MPa. The glass transition temperature, \( T_g \), was determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) on the DSC 822e METTLER TOLEDO calorimeter at a scanning rate of 0.08 deg·s⁻¹.

Mechanical tests of the obtained samples were carried out using a universal INSTRON 3365 test machine at a temperature of 25°C ± 1°C and -70°C ± 1°C according to ISO 37-2013. The engineer strength \( \sigma_{ek} \) (the maximum stress calculated for the initial cross section of the sample), the relative critical deformation \( \varepsilon_{ek} \), and the engineer modulus \( E_{100} \) (the stress at the relative strain of a sample at \( \varepsilon = 100\% \)) were determined.

Bonding properties of the adhesive elastomer-metal joints were determined for 1017 carbon steel and 1050 aluminium alloy. The pull-off bonding strength, \( \sigma_{pot} \), for the adhesive elastomer-steel joints was determined according to the Russian standard GOST 14760-69 using cylindrical samples with a polymer layer of 2 ± 0.1 mm thickness between
the metal cylinders (the test conditions are close to the ASTM C633 method). The shear bonding strength, \( \sigma_{sh} \), of adhesive joints with aluminium was determined according to ASTM D3163.

The change in viscosity during the curing process of the epoxy-urethane compositions was studied using a rotational viscometer Rheotest 2.1 (Germany) with a cone-plate working assembly at a temperature of \( 25 \pm 1 \) °C and the shear rate of 180 s^{-1} [24]. The kinetic curves, \( \eta(t) \), that characterize the change in the dynamic viscosity of the reaction mass over time were drawn on the basis of the rheological tests results.

## 3. Results and Discussion

Properties of the synthesized isocyanate-containing pseudo-prepolymers and epoxidized oligomers based on them are shown in Table 1. Theoretical values for the content of the functional groups were calculated based on the molar ratios used between the monomers and their molecular weights.

A feature of the second stage of EUO synthesis is the formation of mixtures of oligomeric epoxy-urethane and diglycidyl urethane (DGU) when pseudo-prepolymers are used. The formation of such mixtures of monomers with oligomeric products, which differ significantly in structure, can lead to microphase separation during the curing stage. This is accompanied by the appearance of two glass transition temperatures in the final polymers. This effect is typical, for example, for segmented polyurethanes [25].

In the opinion of the authors, such a microphase separation can be a favourable factor for the formation of the optimal structure of frost-resistant compounds based on EUOs. This is because the glass transition temperature of the polymer matrix depends on the concentration of the DGU fragments in the polymer chain in a much lesser degree. At the same time, it can be hoped that the appearance of these fragments that have a rather hard structure in the chain will favourably affect the strength properties of the cured materials.

The DSC thermograms of synthesized EUOs are presented in Figure 1. The analysis of these thermograms shows that the glass transition temperature of the soft matrix naturally increases with an increase in the DGU fraction in the mixture, which is associated with a decrease in the mobility of the soft chains due to the formation of additional hydrogen bonds. At the same time, all curves show a melting peak at 20°C, corresponding to the phase transition of the hard blocks containing DGU fragments. The intensity of this transition naturally increases with increasing diglycidyl urethane content.

Analysis of FTIR spectra in the area of carbonyl valence vibrations (wave number interval \( \nu = 1600-1760 \) cm\(^{-1} \)) revealed important features of structural organization of studied objects (Figure 2). The results of spectral studies of some similar polymers with urethane groups were used to classify the corresponding absorption bands [26, 27].

The change in the intensity of the absorption bands of the polyepoxy-urethane (PUE) samples cured using IFDA and AEP hardeners is shown in Figure 3 for the range of 1600-1760 cm\(^{-1} \). Two absorption bands appear in spectra of PUE-1 and PUE-6 synthesized without excess of DGU. The band at 1702 cm\(^{-1} \) refers to the carbonyl bonded by the hydrogen bond to the NH group of the urethane hydroxyl hard block. The peak at 1730 cm\(^{-1} \) is related to the absorption of free carbonyl of the urethane group [18]. It should be noted that the intensity of the peak at 1730 cm\(^{-1} \) of the PUE-1 sample is higher than that of the sample of the PUE-6 (based on IFDA), which indicates a higher level of interchain interaction in the polymers cured by AEP. The intensity of peaks at 1702 cm\(^{-1} \) and 1730 cm\(^{-1} \) naturally increases while the fraction of hard blocks based on diglycidyl urethane rises without regard to the hardener type.
Two glass transition temperatures are clearly visible in the DSC thermograms for the cured PUE samples synthesized with an excess of DGU (Figure 3), which indicate a microheterogeneous structure of the investigated polymers. One can see the presence of one glass transition temperature of elastomers synthesized without an excess of DGU. It is interesting that the glass transition of a soft polymer matrix remained practically unchanged regardless of the DGU fraction. The formation of hard blocks in the polymer matrix due to the DGU hardening, corresponding to the appearance of the nanodispersed high-modulus filler, led to a significant increase in the engineer modulus, hardness, and strength of the cured material (Table 2). The appearance of the mentioned nanodispersed filler was described earlier for curing mixes of noncompatible oligomers [17, 28]. It should be noted that the glassing transition temperature of the soft phase in these materials is almost independent of the amount of the filler. The same effect is apparent in the discussed samples (Figure 3).

Figure 4 shows the kinetic curves for changes in the dynamic viscosity of reaction mixtures based on epoxyurethane oligomers using various hardeners. In all cases, the processes of chemical transformation began immediately after mixing the components and without an induction period. A correlation was observed between the gelation rate and the amount of DGU in the initial reaction mixture, despite the fact that the viscosity varied according to different laws, as indicated by the shape of the kinetic curves. The higher the amount of DGU in the initial oligomer, the higher the curing rate of the composition based on the EUO, which indicates the acceleration of chemical reactions accompanying the process of formation of spatial cross-linked structures [29]. In this case, not only a chemical but also a physical network was formed, which arose from the phase separation between the hard and soft blocks [30] and intermolecular interactions. These interactions were accompanied by a sharp increase in viscosity.

The maximum rate of the viscosity increase, which corresponds to the minimum viability time, is observed for systems based on EUO synthesized with a fourfold excess of TDI: namely, PUE-5 and PUE-10. The type of curing agent in this case had no significant effect on the gelling rate. But the initial viscosity, \( \eta_0 \), was almost 1.5 times higher for the PUE-10 composition compared to PUE-5. The influence of the hardener type was more pronounced for compositions based on EUO that were synthesized with a 2- and 3-fold excess of TDI. The viscosity of the compositions cured by the AEP changed according to a law close to the exponential one in the whole range (curves for PUE-1 and PUE-4). The exponential character of curves for the PUE compositions cured by IFDA is obvious for the initial part of the curing process (less than 10 min). However, one can see a significant slowing of the gelling rate with a bend for curves corresponding to PUE-6 and PUE-9.

As shown by kinetic calculations, the experimental gelation time is more than an order of magnitude higher than the values calculated according to Flory [31], which is explained by the prevalence of chain elongation in the early stages of curing compared to cross-linking. A similar effect was previously observed by the authors for compositions based on oligodieneoxides and meta phenylenediamine.

It is known that the curing rate has a significant effect on the formation of a regular structure and on the physical and mechanical properties of urethane composites cured at low temperatures [32]. One can conclude the following upon comparing the results of rheological and physicomechanical (Table 2) studies. First, the higher the DGU content in a mixture with EUO, the shorter the viability of the composition on the basis of this EUO. Second, the use of an IFDA hardener produces materials with a higher viability and better physicomechanical properties.

The results of the mechanical tests given in Table 2 show that when the DGU content in the mixture with EUO increased, the engineer strength, the engineer modulus, and adhesion characteristics of the material significantly increased, while the relative critical strain naturally decreased.

It should be noted that the reinforcing effect was more clearly manifested with an increase in the fraction of DGU in materials cured by IFDA.

The hardening effect related with an increase in the content of DGU in mixes with EUOs is more pronounced for materials cured with IFDA. This effect, in our opinion, is associated with the formation of different structures of the hard phase in EUO when using different hardeners.

It was shown earlier that using isophorone diamine as a hardener of urethane-containing elastomers lead to the formation of a “loose” (poorly ordered) structure of the hard phase [33].

The formation of a “loose” hard phase is due to the bulky structure of IFDA. The loose structure of the hard phase promotes the dissipation of energy due to the crushing large hard blocks into smaller ones. This process leads the hardening effect of the material under tension. This effect was observed in the experiment for samples cured with IFDA (increase in the current modulus value in the last stages of strain-stress plots). It is interesting that similar effects were observed earlier for polyurethane ureas when using isophorone disocyanate in the synthesis [34].
The adhesion strength for EUO-DGU mixtures with metals using AEP as a hardener was the highest at the maximal DGU content, and the same results were obtained for the tensile strength of the cured material. The engineer strength of PUE-5 with the volume fraction of the DGU $v_2 = 0.271$ was higher than that of PUE-1 (0.01) because it increased 9.1 MPa to 18.60 MPa. The pull-off bonding strength for the polymer-steel system increased by 1.95 times because it

| Sample | EUO Hardener   | DGU volume fraction in EUO-DGU mixtures | $T^*$ (°C) | $\sigma_k$ (MPa) | $\epsilon_k$ (%) | $E_{100}$ (MPa) | Bonding strength (MPa) | $\sigma_{po}$ | $\sigma_{sh}$ | $T_s$ (°C) | Shore A hardness |
|--------|----------------|----------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| PUE-1  | EUO-1          | 0.010                                  | 25         | 9.10            | 477             | 1.81           | 4.30                  | 3.20       |            | 144        | 73              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 49.00           | 7               | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-2  | EUO-2          | 0.085                                  | 25         | 13.10           | 340             | 5.30           | 6.90                  | 4.30       |            | 146        | 88              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 52.60           | 12              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-3  | EUO-3 AEP      | 0.156                                  | 25         | 15.20           | 260             | 9.03           | 8.20                  | 7.15       |            | 151        | 94              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 56.30           | 8               | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-4  | EUO-4          | 0.217                                  | 25         | 16.20           | 221             | 11.20          | 8.30                  | 7.20       |            | 155        | 96              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 54.80           | 10              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-5  | EUO-5          | 0.271                                  | 25         | 18.60           | 127             | 18.37          | 8.40                  | 7.50       |            | 157        | 98              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 53.80           | 19              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-6  | EUO-1          | 0.010                                  | 25         | 7.22            | 510             | 1.25           | 4.50                  | 3.60       |            | 145        | 82              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 45.38           | 7               | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-7  | EUO-2          | 0.085                                  | 25         | 16.00           | 216             | 7.40           | 7.40                  | 5.70       |            | 150        | 91              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 56.90           | 10              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-8  | EUO-3 IFDA     | 0.156                                  | 25         | 27.60           | 212             | 13.00          | 9.10                  | 7.70       |            | 152        | 96              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 57.80           | 10              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-9  | EUO-4          | 0.217                                  | 25         | 28.90           | 202             | 14.40          | 12.60                 | 8.70       |            | 155        | 99              |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 62.40           | 11              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |
| PUE-10 | EUO-5          | 0.271                                  | 25         | 24.00           | 172             | 22.30          | 11.30                 | 8.30       |            | 156        | 100             |
|        |                |                                        | -70        | 79.10           | 11              | -              | -                     | -          | -          | -          |                 |

Figure 3: DSC thermograms of PUE samples.
increased from 4.30 to 8.40 MPa. The shear bonding strength increased for the polymer-aluminium system by 2.34 times, from 3.6 to 7.50 MPa. The tensile strength increased by 2.4 times, from 12.60 MPa (from PUE-6 to PUE-9). The shear bonding strength for polymer-steel system increased by 2.8 times, from 4.50 to 28.90 MPa (PUE-9). The pull-off bonding strength increased by 4 times, from 7.22 MPa (PUE-6) to 28.90 MPa (PUE-9). The softening temperature, $T_S$, naturally increases with an increase in the fraction of DGU in the mixture of epoxy-urethane oligomers based on grafting thereof. The maximum strength was realized at a molar fraction of DGU in the mixture of epoxy-urethane oligomers based on oligotetramethylene glycol terminated polyurethane prepolymers, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 126–145, 2007.

4. Conclusions

(1) The high-strength frost-resistant epoxy-urethane binder was developed. The glass transit temperature of this binder is lower than -70°C. It can be cured at room temperature within 24 hours using cycloaliphatic amines

(2) The influence of the peculiarities of the synthesis of epoxy-urethane oligomers based on oligotetramethyleneoxide diol with molecular weight ≈ 1400 on their most important physical and physicomechanical properties was studied

(3) The creation of conditions that enable increasing the content of diglycidyl urethane fragments in the polymer chain in the synthesis of epoxy-urethane oligomers promoted a sharp increase in the strength and adhesion properties of the cured materials

(4) The presence of diglycidyl urethane fragments in the polymer chain of epoxy-urethane oligomers based on polyether did not significantly affect the glass transition temperature of the elastic phase of the cured polymeric materials. This finding, likely due to the microphase separation in the materials studied, makes the development of high-strength polymer matrices with a low glass transition temperature based on the studied oligomers a promising approach
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