Clinical outcomes in management of dislocation of carpometacarpal joints of hand: a rare orthopaedic presentation
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INTRODUCTION

Dislocation at carpometacarpal (CMC) joints are rare patterns of hand injuries accounting for about 1% of orthopaedic hand injuries.¹ Multiple CMC dislocation and paired dislocations usually involving the 2nd and 3rd CMC joints and divergent dislocations are more uncommon patterns. Scientific literature mostly describes dislocations involving the 5th or 4th CMC joints. These dislocations can occur isolated or associated with fractures of metacarpals and carpal bones. All the literature support that CMC dislocations are by a variety of different mechanisms which involves significant amount of force being transmitted through the metacarpals and carpal which includes high velocity trauma, crush injuries, fall from height on outstretched hand, but occur most commonly following motorbike accidents classically as a result of firmly gripping the handles prior to impact.²
Most of the CMC joints dislocations are missed at initial examinations due to urgent attention to associated more severe injuries on other parts of body and swelling and abrasions over affected hands which makes the deformity obscure. Overlaps of bones on standard lateral X-ray may also obscure the accurate delineation of the injury pattern. Early diagnosis therefore involves a strong clinical suspicion and meticulous X-ray and clinical examination.

Dorsal dislocations are more common than volar dislocation, similarly divergent dislocation are far rarer. One possible reason could be due to the relative strength of dorsal ligaments and dynamic restrain by wrist extensors which prevent failure of bone dorsally during force transmission causing failure and subsequent rupture of relatively weaker volar ligaments. Four ligaments provide inherent stability to CMC joints namely dorsal metacarpal, palmer metacarpal and medial and lateral interosseous ligaments. The middle fingers and index fingers are supported on either side and are relatively less mobile and for a very stable configuration with capitates and trapezoid respectively. This causes there dislocation very unlikely.

These injuries are sometimes associated with compartment syndrome in hand and require prompt address to prevent complications. A through neurovascular examination should be done. Damage to deep branch of ulnar nerve may be associated with dislocation of 5th and 4th CMC joints while a volar dislocation may cause be associated with affection of median nerve. Cases which are untreated or neglected are associated with significant disability of hand and chronic residual pain and poor functional outcomes. Though these dislocated can be variedly managed results with open reduction and internal fixation with K-wires are reported to be excellent.

**Objective of the study**

To determine the outcomes of management of carpometacarpal dislocation by evaluating the clinical and radiological parameters and to determine whether closed reduction or open reduction is a better option in the management of CMC dislocations.

**METHODS**

We treated 6 cases with CMC joint dislocation who attended the causality of Hi-tech Medical College, from August 2014- till October 2016. The average age of patient was 32 years, and all were males. All the cases had a history of road traffic accidents involving bikes where the patient was the driver. Average duration of presentation was 1 week. All gave history of attempted conservative management with icepack application and analgesics. Clinical examination and radiological evaluation was done upon presentation. Digital X-rays were taken at Dept. of Radiodiagnosis, Hi-tech medical college. X-ray evaluation confirmed 1 case had a divergent dislocation of 5th CMC joint (Figure 10), three with dorsal dislocation of 4th and 2 with a paired dorsal dislocation of 2nd and 3rd CMC joint (Figure 3). There was no associated fracture of radius or ulna or carpal bones. After confirmation demographic data, radiological data and quick DASH scores were calculated and recorded. Temporary Cock up splints was used to relieve pain in fresh dislocations and cases were posted for OT within 24-48 after necessary investigations and clearance.

**Inclusion criteria**

All closed CMC injuries presenting at any length of time, irrespective of sex, age, mode of injuries and all persons who were willing to give written consent for the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

All open and infected cases, cases with crush injuries or suspected vascular injuries requiring extensive plastic surgical procedures and cases not willing to give consent for the study.

**Figure 1: Preoperative diffuse swelling over dorsum of hand, increased AP diameter and maximum dorsiflexon of 0 degree.**

**Figure 2: Maximum palmar flexon- 60.**

All the surgeries were conducted under regional block (brachial block) and mild sedation. 1 case involving dislocation of 5th CMC joint was reduced closely under fluoroscopic guidance. After checking appropriate alignment, the dislocations were fixed with single number 1 mm K-wires under fluoroscopy (Figure 11 and 12) and...
pop cast was given in 15 degrees of dorsiflexion at wrist. Closed reduction of 2 cases of 4th CMC joint dislocation was successful and it was stabilized by single 1.5 mm k wire. 1 case of 4th CMC joint dislocations was attempted but was unsuccessful, so open reduction was done with dorsal approach, the extensor tendon was retracted and soft tissue cleared, the dislocation reduced by traction and volar directed forced and reduction held in place by one number of 1.5 mm k wire. Attempted closed reduction of both cases were old dislocation of nearly 2 weeks, closed reduction failed and open reduction was attempted. One curvilinear incision was given was made over the base of 3rd and 4th metacarpals (Figure 4) taking care not to damage the underlying extensor tendons. CMC joint and fractures were exposed and reduction was visually achieved (Figure 5 and 6); subsequently, internal fixation was done with Kirschner wire (K-wire) (Figure 7). K-wires of 2 mm were passed antegrade from the base of metacarpals towards the head and then again retrogradely towards the carpals to stabilize the CMC joints. Reduction and stabilization of third metacarpal CMC joint was the key for reduction remaining CMC joints. Alignment of fracture and joint reduction was evaluated under image intensifier in AP, lateral and oblique views (Figure 8). The incision was closed in layers. Postoperative X-ray revealed excellent reduction (Figure 9). Patient was discharged with a below elbow pop cast. In the other case, extensive fibrosis and soft tissue adhesions prevented the reduction of 3rd CMC joint even after repeated attempted. So finally the articular margins were denuded on both sides, a very thin chunk of bone was nibbled out of the base of 3rd metacarpal and the joint was reduced and fixed with 2 mm k wire attempting future arthrodesis of the said joint. The hand was kept in a pop below elbow cast for 6 weeks, followed by gradual rehabilitation.

Follow-up was done at 6 weeks (Figure 10), 3 months, 9 months and 12 months with AP and lateral X-ray of the wrist joint and hand. In addition, functional assessment was conducted with quick disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand score (Quick DASH score) at 6 weeks, 3 months, 9 months, and 12 months postoperatively.
RESULTS

Average follow-up was 12 months (range, 12 to 14 months). In our series of 6 cases, 4 were dorsal CMC joint dislocation and 1 was a divergent dislocation. There were no associated fractures of metacarpal, carpal or distal radius (Table 1). Average Quick DASH score was 77.39 at 6 weeks, 36.92 at 3 months, 10.95 at 9 months, and 4.07 at 12 months. Average Quick DASH score was improved from 77.39 to 4.07 from 6 weeks to 12 months. 1 of 6 patients had a Quick DASH score of 0 at the end of 12 months (Table 2).

Table 1: Patient data.

| S. no. | Age | Sex | Duration of fracture | Direction of displacement | Closed/open | Treatment | Associated fracture |
|--------|-----|-----|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1      | 22  | Male| 2 days               | Divergent 5th            | Closed      | CRIF with K wire | Nil               |
| 2      | 34  | Male| 6 day                | Dorsal 4th               | Closed      | CRIF with K wire | Nil               |
| 3      | 40  | Male| 13 days              | Dorsal 4th               | Closed      | ORIF with K wire | Nil               |
| 4      | 32  | Male| 3 days               | Dorsal 4th               | Closed      | CRIF with K wire | Nil               |
| 5      | 30  | Male| 10 days              | Dorsal 2,3rd             | Closed      | ORIF with K wire | Nil               |
| 6      | 36  | Male| 22 days              | Dorsal 2,3rd             | Closed      | ORIF, excision & arthodesis | Nil |

Table 2: Quick DASH score.

| S. no. | Cases       | 6 weeks | 3 months | 9 months | 12 months |
|--------|-------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1      | 22 m divergent 5th | 62.2    | 22.4     | 0        | 0         |
| 2      | 34 m dorsal 4th | 74.82   | 31.64    | 5.8      | 2.6       |
| 3      | 40 m dorsal 4th | 82.4    | 42.8     | 16.56    | 5.2       |
| 4      | 32 m dorsal 4th | 80.48   | 40.7     | 12.8     | 4.6       |
| 5      | 30 m dorsal 2, 3rd | 80.2   | 41.46    | 12.1     | 3.82      |
| 6      | 36 dorsal 2, 3rd | 84.24   | 42.5     | 18.44    | 8.2       |
| Average|             | 77.39   | 36.92    | 10.95    | 4.07      |
At the end of final follow-up, no signs of osteoarthritides of CMC joints were observed clinically and radiologically in any of the patients. In our series, one issue of paresthesia over the incision site with residual carpal boss deformity was seen in the case of paired CMC dislocation managed with ORIF. There was also complaint of weakness of adduction of 5th finger in isolated 5th CMC dislocation case. There were no other significant postoperative complications (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Carpometacarpal dislocations are rare orthopaedic injuries accounting for 1% of all injuries of hand.\(^6\) The carpometacarpal joints are configured such that there is an increase in the degree of concavity on the radial aspect of each joint. Ligamentous scaffolding is provided by dorsal and volar ligaments, transverse metacarpal ligaments and long flexors and extensors of hand along with the intrinsic muscles. Dorsal ligaments are stronger and ulnar side CMC joints are more mobile than the radial CMC joints.\(^9\) The third metacarpal articulates with the capitate more proximally than the other carpometacarpal joints, thus acting as a keystone to the framework. As a result the ring and small fingers CMC joints are most commonly dislocated. CMC dislocations of 2nd and 3rd fingers are rare due to profound ligamentous and bony articulation providing stability.\(^10\)

Significant amount of forced in required to achieve dislocations of CMC joints. Shih et al demonstrated that the most likely mechanism causing these dislocations is a large deceleration force transmitted longitudinally and dorsally through the metacarpals from the handle bars of motorcycle riders causing dislocation of the CMC joints.\(^17\) Since the directions of force transmitted is usually dorsally directed, dorsal displacements are more common than volar. These injuries may be associated with oblique or spiral fractures of the metacarpals.

These cases are usually diagnosed by standard anterior-posterior, lateral or sometimes oblique X-rays. Computer tomography is used for occult and missed carpal bone fracture and dislocation cases.

Management of CMC dislocations remains controversial. Various treatment modalities include closed reduction and cast immobilization, close reduction and internal fixation or open reduction and internal fixation with k wires and excision of articular ends with arthrodesis have been described. Cases presenting very late and or features of degenerative changes may have to be fused. Closed reduction carries high risk of redislocation and soft tissue interposition and may be preferred for fresh cases involving the 4th or 5th CMC joints. More complex dislocations, multiple joint dislocations or cases presenting late warrant Open reduction and inter-fixation. Prokuski et al, published a large series of injury complex and stated prompt open reduction and internal fixation helps to achieve good functional outcomes.\(^12\) Open reduction and internal fixation is best achieved by dorsal approach, with stability of 3rd CMC being crucial to any form of CMC injuries. Advantages of open reduction include direct reduction under vision, removal of any soft tissue interposition, avoiding pinning the tendons, and drainage of any local hematoma. If the articular integrity and stability of affected joint is doubtful, open method allows excellent exposure to remove the offending soft tissue block, demudation of articular cartilage and plan for arthrodesis to provide future stability and prevent the carpal boss deformity and prevent restriction of movement. Although prompt management is warranted, delay in reduction for a period of 4 weeks doesn’t seem to compromise results of surgical outcomes.\(^12\)

Closed observation is essential after reduction on serial follow to check for any early signs of loss of reduction. After removal of k wires physiotherapy of hand and wrist joints is crucial to avoid postoperative stiffness and improved grip strength, prevent arthritis and improve muscle function. Postoperative physiotherapy had a significant impact of functional recovery as evident by gross improvement in quick DASH scores.

**CONCLUSION**

CMC joint dislocations involving 2nd to 5th CMC joints are rare orthopaedic hand injuries needing prompt attention, evaluation and treatment. Diagnosis are often missed as associated more serious injuries takes priority and makes clinical finding obscure. Hence, careful and meticulous hand examination is necessary in any high velocity trauma case. Doubtful cases must be subjected to
radiological evaluation. Whether patients are treated with closed or open fashion, either way appropriate treatment usually leads to excellent outcomes. Closed reduction may be attempted in fresh cases involving the 4th and 5th CMC joints but open reduction and internal fixation is often required to achieve good reduction and is our preferred method for achieving excellent hand function. Open reduction is the preferred choice in cases presenting late.
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