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Abstract

We present a compilation of predictions for the amplitudes of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing.

We are not aware of any exhaustive compilation of predictions for the amplitudes for $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0$. We have therefore found it helpful to compile, here, those predictions that we have found in a rudimentary search of the literature.

Our search has depended upon the SLAC Spires data base, available at the URL:

http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/find/hep.

We keep an annotated table of all of the references that we have found, hyperlinked where possible into both the SLAC Spires and LANL xxx data bases, at the URL:

http://hep.ucsb.edu/people/hnn/wrongd/predictions/pred.html.

For all predictions, it is useful to know how to convert between the two common standards for quoting the mixing amplitudes: $x$ and $y$, or $\Delta M$ and $\Delta \Gamma$.

Denote the mean life of the $D^0$ as $\tau_{D^0} = 415 \pm 4 \text{ fs}$, and the full width of the $D^0$ as $\Gamma_{D^0} = 1/\tau_{D^0}$. In the limit of $CP$ conservation, $\Delta M = 2M_{12}$ and $\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_{12}$, where $M_{12}$ and $\Gamma_{12}/2$ are the mixing amplitudes through virtual and real intermediate states, respectively.

Then,

$$x = \frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma_{D^0}} = \frac{\Delta M}{\tau_{D^0}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{c \tau_{D^0}}{\hbar c} \right] \times \Delta M$$

$$= \left[ \frac{2.998 \times 10^{23} \text{fm/s} \times 415 \times 10^{-15} \text{s}}{0.1973 \text{GeV-fm}} \right] \times \Delta M \text{ (GeV)}$$

$$y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2 \Gamma_{D^0}}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2 \Gamma_{D^0}} = [3.15 \times 10^{11}] \times \Delta \Gamma \text{ (GeV)}$$

For Standard Model predictions, we have not made an effort to update author’s limits for new values of the parameters that describe quark mixing (the CKM matrix elements), or the mass of the top, or any other, quark. We let the predictions of the authors stand as originally made.
Occasionally, new authors have revised the predictions of older authors. We simply include both predictions.

All non-Standard Model predictions concern \( x \), the amplitude for mixing through virtual intermediate states (in units of one-half the mean \( D^0 \) decay rate). Most non-Standard Models contain numerous adjustable parameters; generally, we have tried to take values of the adjustable parameters that the authors themselves recommend.

Our compilation of predictions are summarized in Tables 1-2 and Fig. 1.

We assign a ‘Reference Index’ to each prediction that we include, and the first column of the Tables 1-2 is that Reference Index. Roughly, the reference index is assigned chronologically. The predictions are then plotted in Fig. 1, with the horizontal axis being this Reference Index.

Some papers contain multiple predictions. Usually we assign each prediction a new Reference Index. However, a family of predictions is usually assigned a range of predictions, and is plotted in Fig. 1 as a central value and an error bar, where the error bar construes the range, above a single Reference Index.

When mixing is measured with the decay of the \( D^0 \) to a particular hadronic final state \( f_{\text{had}} \), there is the possibility of a \( CP \)-conserving, relative strong phase \( \delta \) between the amplitude for the decay \( D^0 \to f_{\text{had}} \), and that for \( \bar{D}^0 \to f_{\text{had}} \).

In the useful case of \( f_{\text{had}} = K^+\pi^- \), it has been argued that \( \delta \) is small. Although the strong phase \( \delta_I \), between the decay amplitudes for \( \bar{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) to specific isospin configurations of the \( K^+\pi^- \) system, is known to be large, the relative strong phase \( \delta \) between the total \( \bar{D}^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) and \( D^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) amplitudes is probably much smaller.

The presence of the relative strong phase \( \delta \) causes mixing studies that use a specific hadronic final state to be, in effect, sensitive to a rotated set of mixing amplitudes:

\[
\begin{align*}
y' &= y \cos \delta - x \sin \delta \\
x' &= x \cos \delta + y \sin \delta
\end{align*}
\]  

When \( \delta \) is small, \( y' \approx y \), and \( x' \approx x \).
Figure 1: $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing predictions; the vertical direction, read off the left scale, is the mixing amplitude $x$, $y$, or, if the appropriate strong phase is negligible, $x'$, $y'$. The right vertical scale is the equivalent mixing rate, which is either $(1/2)x^2$ or $(1/2)y^2$. The horizontal is the Reference Index, which is a number assigned to each prediction, and documented in Tables 1-2. The open triangles (blue) are Standard Model predictions for $x$, the open squares (green) are Standard Model predictions for $y$, and the solid circles (magenta) are non-Standard Models for $x$. 
**Table 1: Reference Indices from 1 to 40.** The notation S stands for ‘Standard Model’ and the notation NS stands for ‘non-Standard Model’. The notation ‘±’ does not indicate a 1σ region, but an entire range of predictions, where unknowable parameters govern the variation.

| Reference Index | Citation | Amplitude | S/NS | Value                  | Comment                                |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1               | 1        | x         | NS   | 6 × 10⁻²                | Family Symmetry                        |
| 2               | 4        | x         | S    | (0.9 ± 3.7) × 10⁻⁴     | Short Distance                         |
| 3               | 1        | y         | S    | −(0.06 − 8.0) × 10⁻⁴   | Short Distance                         |
| 4               | 4        | x         | NS   | (0.11 ± 1.8) × 10⁻³    | Higgs Doublet                          |
| 5               | 3        | x         | S    | 1.2 × 10⁻³              | Short Distance                         |
| 6               | 3        | y         | S    | (0.082 ± 2.1) × 10⁻⁷   | Short Distance                         |
| 7               | 4        | x         | S    | (1.44 ± 0.79) × 10⁻⁶   | Short Distance                         |
| 8               | 3        | y         | S    | 2.2 × 10⁻⁷              | Short Distance                         |
| 9               | 7        | x         | NS   | 5 × 10⁻²                | Higgs Doublet                          |
| 10              | 1        | x         | NS   | (0.6 − 6.0) × 10⁻⁵     | L-R Symmetry                           |
| 11              | 6        | x         | NS   | (0.6 − 6.0) × 10⁻⁴     | Broken L-R Symmetry                    |
| 12              | 12       | x         | NS   | (5.05 ± 1.85) × 10⁻²   | Kane-Thun Model                        |
| 13              | 7        | x         | NS   | (0.06 − 60) × 10⁻⁸     | SUSY                                   |
| 14              | 14       | x         | NS   | (0.06 − 60) × 10⁻⁵     | SUSY - large CKM                      |
| 15              | 8        | x         | S    | (0.01 − 10) × 10⁻²     | Long Distance                          |
| 16              | 8        | y         | S    | (0.01 − 10) × 10⁻²     | Long Distance                          |
| 17              | 3        | x         | S    | 6.3 × 10⁻⁴              | Long Distance                          |
| 18              | 10       | x         | NS   | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶              | L-R Sym., 10 TeV Higgs                 |
| 19              | 10       | x         | NS   | 8.5 × 10⁻³              | FCNC, at K⁰ bound                      |
| 20              | 12       | x         | NS   | (0.15 − 90) × 10⁻³     | Superstring-inspired E₆                 |
| 21              | 13       | x         | S    | 4.4 × 10⁻⁴              | Short Distance                         |
| 22              | 13       | x         | NS   | 4.4 × 10⁻²              | Higgs Doublets, m_H = 1 TeV            |
| 23              | 14       | x         | NS   | (0.1 − 10) × 10⁻²      | Fourth Generation                      |
| 24              | 15       | x         | NS   | (0.06 − 40) × 10⁻⁴     | FCNC, seesaw limit                     |
| 25              | 10       | x         | S    | 3.2 × 10⁻²              | Long Distance                          |
| 26              | 17       | x         | S    | (1.4 ± 0.8) × 10⁻⁵     | HQET                                   |
| 27              | 18       | x         | NS   | ≈ 0.1                  | (s)/quark mass matrix align.           |
| 28              | 19       | x         | NS   | 0.11                   | Flavor Changing Scalar Int.           |
| 29              | 20       | x         | S    | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵              | Short Distance                         |
| 30              | 20       | y         | S    | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵              | Short Distance                         |
| 31              | 20       | x         | S    | 2.5 × 10⁻⁴              | Dispersive                             |
| 32              | 20       | x         | S    | (1.5 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁵     | HQET                                   |
| 33              | 21       | x         | S    | 3.2 × 10⁻⁶              | Short Distance                         |
| 34              | 22       | x         | S    | 3.0 × 10⁻⁶              | Short Distance                         |
| 35              | 23       | x         | S    | 6.0 × 10⁻⁵              | Dispersive                             |
| 36              | 22       | x         | S    | (1.5 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁵     | HQET                                   |
| 37              | 22       | x         | NS   | (0.006 − 120) × 10⁻³   | 4th Generation                         |
| 38              | 22       | x         | NS   | (0.004 − 120) × 10⁻³   | Higgs Doublet                          |
| 39              | 22       | x         | NS   | (0.06 − 120) × 10⁻³    | Flavor-Changing Higgs                  |
| 40              | 23       | x         | NS   | 6.3 × 10⁻⁴              | Isosinglet Quarks                      |
Table 2: Reference Indices from 41 to 65. The notation S stands for ‘Standard Model’ and the notation NS stands for ‘non-Standard Model’. The notation ‘±’ does not indicate a $1\sigma$ region, but an entire range of predictions, where unknowable parameters govern the variation.

| Reference Index | Citation | Amplitude | S/NS | Value       | Comment                                |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|----------------------------------------|
| 41              | 24       | $x$       | S    | $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | Short Distance                        |
| 42              | 24       | $x$       | S    | $(1-10) \times 10^{-3}$ | Long Distance                         |
| 43              | 24       | $x$       | S    | $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$   | Dispersive                            |
| 44              | 24       | $x$       | S    | $(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$ | HQET                                   |
| 45              | 24       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.06-120) \times 10^{-3}$ | 4th Generation                        |
| 46              | 24       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.04-120) \times 10^{-3}$ | Higgs Doublet                         |
| 47              | 24       | $x$       | NS   | $5 \times 10^{-2}$     | Tree Level FCNC                       |
| 48              | 24       | $x$       | NS   | 0.1                     | SUSY                                   |
| 49              | 25       | $x$       | S    | $3 \times 10^{-5}$     | Short Distance                        |
| 50              | 25       | $x$       | S    | $(6.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$ | Broken SU(3), Octet                   |
| 51              | 25       | $x$       | S    | $6 \times 10^{-2}$     | Upper Limit                           |
| 52              | 26       | $y$       | S    | $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$   | Phenomenological B. R.’s              |
| 53              | 27       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.6-6) \times 10^{-5}$ | Higgs Doublet                         |
| 54              | 27       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.6-6) \times 10^{-1}$ | Higgs Doublet                         |
| 55              | 27       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.6-6) \times 10^{-6}$ | Higgs Doublet                         |
| 56              | 28       | $x$       | NS   | $5 \times 10^{-4}$     | SUSY                                   |
| 57              | 29       | $x$       | S    | $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$   | Dipenguin                             |
| 58              | 30       | $x$       | NS   | $6 \times 10^{-4}$     | Neutral Scalar Subquarks              |
| 59              | 31       | $x$       | NS   | $(0.06-600) \times 10^{-4}$ | Singlet Quarks                        |
| 60              | 32       | $x$       | S    | $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$   | $U(4)_L \times U(4)_R$ Chiral         |
| 61              | 33       | $x$       | S    | $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$   | Long Dist. - Resonances               |
| 62              | 33       | $y$       | S    | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$   | Long Dist. - Resonances               |
| 63              | 34       | $x$       | NS   | $3 \times 10^{-3}$     | FCNC Dualized SM                      |
| 64              | 35       | $x$       | NS   | $2.1 \times 10^{-2}$   | SUSY Broken by Flavor                |
| 65              | 36       | $x$       | NS   | $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$   | Flavor Changing from Higgs            |
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