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Abstract: This article deals with an analysis of the operating costs of a building designed in the “near zero-energy” standard, with various technological solutions for heating and ventilation supported by a photovoltaic system (three technological variants). Following the analysis, a technological variant using an economic criterion based on the minimization of annual operating costs was selected.
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1. Introduction

The year 2017 brought changes to Poland regarding its requirements for energy efficiency in residential buildings [1, 2]. Under the new law, the heat transfer coefficient was reduced, which is related, inter alia, to the adoption of the National Energy Efficiency Action Plan for Poland 2017 on January 23, 2018, by the Council of Ministers [3]. The above document contains a description of measures related to the improvement of energy efficiency obtained during the period of 2008–2015 [4] and plans for further savings to be implemented by 2020. The National Energy Efficiency Action Plan is developed every three years due to the obligation to submit reports to the European Commission under the 2006/32/EC [5] and 2012/27/EU directives [4]. In the field of energy efficiency improvement, the European Union has adopted the goal of achieving 20% savings in primary energy consumption by 2020. The goal adopted for Poland in 2016 concerning final energy savings in an amount not less than 9% of the average national energy consumption from 2001–2005 was implemented. In addition, a constant drop in energy intensity has been observed in Poland. Such a tendency is the result of actions aimed at improving energy efficiency and the effect of dynamic GDP growth against the rate of energy consumption. Further activities related to energy management in Poland are to be based on the continuous implementation of a policy aimed at increasing the energy efficiency of the economy and achieving objectives in accordance with the principle of minimizing costs [4, 6]. The National Energy Efficiency Action Plan for a residential building provides for reducing the energy consumption needed to heat a house, preparing domestic hot water, and ventilation. The above plan enables the use of technological solutions that maximize the efficiency of devices, including the use of condensing boilers or heat pumps with a high efficiency ratio [3]. In this context, it is above all important from a practical point of view to check how the introduction of new (energy-saving and pro-ecological) technological solutions will affect the structure of operating costs, especially for residential buildings. For a resident, economic considerations are just as important as (and perhaps more important than) ecological aspects. The subject matter fits into the discussion on possible solutions applied in sustainable construction, understood as friendly to the natural environment and man, who implements the principles of sustainable development through methods of saving natural resources and prevents environmental pollution [3, 7].

In connection with the above, the aim of the article is to identify and compare the operating costs of a detached single-family residential building designed in a passive standard (“near zero-energy”) using different variants of technological solutions for heating and ventilation supported by a photovoltaic system (three technological variants). Following the analysis, a technological variant using an economic criterion based on the minimization of annual operating costs was selected. The implementation of the research goal required the use of the following research methods: analysis of the literature on the subject as well as Polish and European legislation, analysis of source documentation, and calculation based on the cost calculation.
2. Operating Costs of Heating and Ventilation System for Selected Project

2.1. Technological Solutions Used in Exemplary Single-Family Residential Building

The subject of the research is a designed single-family residential building (a model house) implemented on a housing estate in the suburban area of the city of Bydgoszcz with a usable area of 136.67 m² (183.27 m² including a garage). It is an object with two above-ground stories, no basement, and with a terrace. It is a conventional building using masonry technology: walls made of SILKA E18 silicate blocks with EPS polystyrene insulation with a thickness of 25 cm, reinforced concrete ceilings, and a steep roof covered with a titanium-zinc sheet with 20 cm of mineral wool insulation. The object is to be implemented as a standard with low energy consumption (Tab. 1).

| Table 1. Heat demand of analyzed model house |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Value |
| Climate zone | II |
| Internal temperature | 20°C in rooms intended for permanent stay of people (bathrooms – 24°C) |
| Height of the story | 2.6 m/2.52 m/2.5 m |
| Location relative to direction | N, S, E, W |
| Demand for energy of building (based on energy performance certificate) | Indicator of annual demand for usable energy: \( EU = 33.4 \text{ kWh/(m}^2 \cdot \text{year)} \) (including cooling). Heat demand excluding cooling: \( EU = 28.1 \text{ kWh/(m}^2 \cdot \text{year)} \) Indicator of annual demand for primary energy: \( EP = 13.8 \text{ kWh/(m}^2 \cdot \text{year)} \) |

For the purpose of this article, three variants of various technological solutions in the field of construction of central heating and ventilation have been prepared for the near zero-energy building standard:

- VARIANT 1 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR) – heating installation using air heat pump technology. The heating was designed as a system based on air conditioning in utility rooms with support in the form of a heating mat in the bathroom. Mechanical ventilation with recuperation. An air-handling unit with heat recovery and a capacity of 270 m³/h has a programmable controller that allows for a temporary reduction in ventilation efficiency. A hydraulic module with a heating power of 9 kW has been designed with a built-in electric heater with a power of 4 kW. The addition of the CWU system is a Solter 260l heater with an electric heater with a power of 1.5 kW. Operating parameters of the hot-water system obtained from the heat pump: 50°C.
VARIANT 2 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR + PHOTOVOLTAIC) – design solutions indicated in Option 1 will be supplemented with photovoltaic panels converting solar energy into electricity. Twenty-two photovoltaic panels with a total power of 5.5 kW were designed on the roof of the reference house.

VARIANT 3 (GAS + GRAVITATION VENTILATION) – central heating was designed as a radiator and floor heating system fed from a dual-function gas furnace and gravitational ventilation was proposed.

2.2. Structure of Operating Costs of Heating and Ventilation System in Relation to Costs of Electricity in Terms of Variants

First, the costs of electricity that could potentially be consumed in the reference house (other than the heating and ventilation system) have been determined. The demand for electricity is an estimated value, depending on the level of equipment in the electricity receivers and the number of people in residence. The electricity consumption was assumed for a single-family house inhabited by four people at 4000 kWh/year [8]. Thus, the cost of purchasing electricity (EE) for other purposes in the model house will amount to 1,935.84 PLN/year. Next, the operating costs of the central heating and ventilation system for the three variants of the technological solutions were determined. The results are shown below.

VARIANT 1 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR). The operating costs of the central heating and ventilation system include two cost groups:

- Costs related to required inspections and maintenance, including costs of equipment servicing, de-molding of the installation, and replacement of filters carried out twice a year (before and after the heating season). The cost of the servicing carried out by a service technician authorized by the manufacturer is approx. 712 PLN/year.

- Costs related to electricity consumption – for the analyzed variant, the annual electricity consumption for the devices of the heating and ventilation system in the amount of 1,722.08 KWh/year was balanced that, according to rates on January 1, 2018, at the electricity seller ENEA S.A. [8], gives an amount of 720.17 PLN/year.

VARIANT 2 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR + PHOTOVOLTAIC). The operating costs include the costs related to the required inspections and maintenance as well as related to the consumption of electricity, taking into account the electric energy produced in-house:

- Costs related to the technical inspection of the heat pump and recuperator were determined as in Variant 1 in the amount of 712.00 PLN/year. The mounted solar panels on the roof do not require service inspections (the manufacturer provides a 12-year warranty). The required electrical measurements in a five-year cycle are performed comprehensively with other measurements; thus, they were omitted in further calculations.
Costs related to the distribution and purchase of electricity. Pursuant to the law [9], a person purchasing energy on the basis of a comprehensive contract with an energy seller and producing electricity solely from renewable energy sources in micro-installations for its own consumption is called a prosumer. A prosumer with surplus electricity generated transfers it to the network in which it is stored. During the periods when the installation does not produce energy (e.g., at night), the prosumer uses the previously given energy to the network in the dimension 0.8 (for installations up to 10 kW) based on the readings of the measuring and accounting device. This means that, from every 1 kWh, you can download 0.8 kWh free of charge. From the amount of energy consumed, the prosumer does not pay fees for its settlement nor does he pay distribution fees. Importantly, the surplus of the amount of electricity introduced by the prosumer to the grid in relation to the amount of energy he collected from this network in a given settlement period is available to the seller (in order to cover the settlement costs) (Tab. 2).

The calculation of the operating costs in annual terms for Variant 2 is presented below:

\[
\begin{align*}
&- \text{energy used for current consumption:} \\
&5500 \text{ kWh} \cdot 30\% = 1650 \text{ kWh,} \\
&- \text{energy necessary to be downloaded from the network:} \\
&5725 \text{ kWh} - 1650 \text{ kWh} = 4075 \text{ kWh, of which:} \\
&\quad \text{energy available for download from the grid as part of the surplus produced:} \\
&\quad 5500 \text{ kWh} \cdot 0.7 \cdot 0.8 = 3080 \text{ kWh,} \\
&\quad \text{energy required to purchase from the seller:} \\
&\quad 5725 \text{ kWh} - (1650 \text{ kWh} + 3080 \text{ kWh}) = 995 \text{ kWh.}
\end{align*}
\]

| Table 2. Assumptions for calculating annual costs of electricity consumption for model house – Variant 2 |
|---|---|
| Parameter | Value |
| Annual electricity demand for analyzed model house | |
| Electricity demand for heating and ventilation [kWh/year] | 1,722.08 |
| Average demand for electricity without electric heating, instantaneous water heater, with electric kitchen [kWh/year] [8] | 4000 |
| Electricity demand for photovoltaic panels [kWh/year] | 2.92 |
| Sum | 5725 |
| Amount of electricity produced by photovoltaic panels on annual basis | |
| Photovoltaic power [kWp] | 5.5 |
| Produced electric energy* [kWh/year] | 5500 |
Taking into account the average electricity consumption for other purposes, the cost of purchasing electricity in the model house implemented in accordance with Variant 2 will be EE2 = 506.32 PLN/year.

VARIANT 3 (GAS + GRAVITATION VENTILATION). The operating costs for this variant include two cost groups:

- Costs related to checking the technical condition of the installation and the boiler. In accordance with legal regulations, inspection of the gas system is required once a year [1]. The cost of servicing carried out by a service technician authorized by the manufacturer is approx. 350 PLN/year.
- Costs related to the distribution and purchase of gas: 779.78 PLN/year; and costs related to the purchase of electricity for the condensing boiler operation: 22.83 PLN/year (Tab. 3).

Table 3. Assumptions for calculation of annual costs of gas consumption and boiler operation – Variant 3

| Parameter                                      | Value       |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Heat demand of building [kWh/(m²-year)]       | 28.10       |
| Heated area (usable area) [m²]                | 142.26      |
| Heat demand of building with hot water [kWh/year] | 3,997.51    |
| Efficiency of boiler                          | 0.94        |
| Heat demand of building including boiler efficiency [kWh/year] | 4,237.36    |
| Gas supplier                                  | PSG Sp. z o.o. |
| Gas seller                                    | PGNiG S.A.  |
| Tariff                                        | W-2.2       |
| Annual cost of gas distribution and purchase for heating system | 797.78      |
| Annual cost of distribution and purchase of electricity for condensing boiler | 22.83       |
Taking into account the average electricity consumption for other purposes, the cost of purchasing electricity in the model house implemented in accordance with Variant 3 will be EE3 = 1,958.67 PLN/year.

3. Comparative Analysis

The operating costs of the model house are presented in three technological variants in which various design solutions for the construction of a heating and ventilation system supported by a photovoltaic system have been proposed. Depending on the variant adopted, these costs included the purchase of electricity, purchase of gas, and costs related to the service and assessment of the technical condition of the installation on a yearly basis. In addition, the analysis includes the cost of purchasing electricity for other purposes. The calculation of all cost items did not include VAT. The summary of the calculated quantities is given in Table 4, and their graphical interpretation is shown in Figure 1.

Table 4. Comparison of annual operating costs [PLN/year] of analyzed model house in variant approach

| Technological variant     | Heating system and ventilation | Heating, ventilation system, and electricity |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                           | cost of control and maintenance | cost of buying electricity | cost of buying gas | cost of operation | cost of buying electricity for other purposes without photovoltaics | total cost of buying electricity | total cost of operation |
| VARIANT 1 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR) | 712.00 | 720.17 | – | 1,432.17 | 2,656.01 | 3,368.01 |
| VARIANT 2 (HEAT PUMP + RECUPERATOR + PHOTOVOLTAIC) | 712.00 | – | – | 712.00 | 1,935.84 | 506.32 | 1,218.32 |
| VARIANT 3 (GAS + GRAVITATION VENTILATION) | 350.00 | 22.83 | 797.78 | 1,170.61 | 1,958.67 | 3,106.45 |
4. Conclusions

The research carried out leads to the following final conclusions:

– The analyzed model house is characterized by a very low demand for annual computational demand for non-renewable primary energy for heating, ventilation, cooling, and domestic hot water preparation amounting to 13.8 kWh/(m²·year), while under the current Polish law [9], the maximum demand for this energy from January 1, 2017, to December 31, 2020, is $EP = 95$ [kWh/(m²·year)]. Starting on January 1, 2021, this will be limited to $EP = 70$ [kWh/(m²·year)].

– This low energy demand translates into low building operating costs. The cheapest in service is the model house made in Variant 3 with gas heating and mechanical ventilation, amounting to 3,106.45 PLN/year. Variant 1, which proposes environmentally friendly solutions in the form of a heat pump and recuperator, generates an annual operating cost of 3,368.01 PLN/year. The difference between the variants is only 261.56 PLN/year, which is about 8.5% more for the pro-ecological Variant 1, which eliminates the emission of harmful substances into the atmosphere.

– Lowering the cost of operating the house with a heat pump and recuperator is a technological solution presented in Variant 3, supported by a photovoltaic system (definitely the cheapest of all of the considered variants), reducing the cost of operating a model house to 1,218.32 PLN/year.
The conducted analysis showed that using the selection criterion based on the minimization of annual operating costs (Variant 2) is the most advantageous, in which energy-saving and environmentally friendly solutions (heat pump, recuperator, and photovoltaic technology) were used in a residential building.
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Analiza porównawcza kosztów ogrzewania, wentylacji i energii elektrycznej na przykładzie budynku mieszkalnego w standardzie niemal zeroenergetycznym

**Streszczenie:** W artykule przedstawiono analizę kosztów eksploatacji budynku projektowanego w standardzie “niemal zeroenergetycznym”, przy różnych wariantowych rozwiązanach technologicznych ogrzewania i wentylacji wspomaganych systemem fotowoltaicznym (trzy warianty technologiczne). W następstwie przeprowadzonych analiz zarekomendowano wybór wariantu technologicznego przy zastosowaniu kryterium ekonomicznego opartego na minimalizacji rocznych kosztów eksploatacji.

**Słowa kluczowe:** budynek o niskim zużyciu energii, koszty eksploatacji, gaz ziemny, pompa ciepła, system fotowoltaiczny