Evaluation of Newer Pesticides against Leafhopper Population and its Effect on Summer Okra Yield
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A B S T R A C T

The studies on bio-efficacy of leafhopper population showed quite promising results and from the pooled data at first spray it can be revealed that treatment fenpropathrin 30EC at 200 ml a.i./ha was found most effective while during second spray the highest population reduction of leafhopper was obtained in imidacloprid 70WG at 35g a.i./ha treatment. The average marketable fruit yield among different treatments ranged from 41.43 to 72.42 q/ha. The highest yield was recorded by imidacloprid 70WG at 35 g a.i./ha followed by diafenthiuron 50WP at 600 g a.i./ha and thiamethoxam 25WG at 100 g a.i./ha.
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Introduction

Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.)Moench) originated from Africa commonly known as “Lady’s finger” or “Bhendi” under Malvaceae family is a flowering plant which has multipurpose crop value producing high valued edible green pods with good nutritional. In okra cultivation and production India ranks first with an area of 532.64 thousand hectares and production of 6346.40 thousand tones alongwith productivity of 13.14 mt/ha (Anonymous, 2013). Okra is also known as the house of pests due to its two distinct i.e vegetative and fruiting growing stages. As high as 72 species of insects have been recorded on okra. Jassid attack causes the leaves to curl upward along the tips and margins develop necrotic areas which extend over the entire leaf surface resulting in “hopper burn symptoms” while aphid and thrips reduce the vigour of the plants (Walse, 2004). Leafhoppers are one of the important pests in the early stage of the crop which desap the plants, make them weak and reduce the yield. Failure to control them in the initial stages was reported to cause an yield loss to the tune of 54.04 per cent (Chaudhary and Dadeech, 1989).
Due to which, the present investigation were undertaken with an objective to know better management of these destructive okra sucking pests, information on effective newer insecticide, botanical and acaricide molecules are pre-requisite.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Department of Agricultural Entomology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani to study the bio-efficacy of newer pesticides against okra during summer 2013 and summer 2014.

The field design was RBD with two replications and fourteen treatments in which Mahyco Popular Bhendi No. 1 variety was sown keeping spacing of 60cmX60cm plant to plant. Observations were made by randomly selecting 5 plants from each plot and top, middle and bottom leaves of each randomly selected plants were considered for counting number of leafhoppers. Pretreatment observations were recorded one day before the application of pesticide and post treatment observations were recorded on 1, 3, 7 and 14 days after spraying. The data were averaged and subjected to square root transformation and then statistically analyzed and the results were interpreted at five per cent level of significance by using ICAR wasp 2 software. To compare the bio-efficacy of different newer pesticides, per cent reduction in the population of leafhopper over untreated control (water spray) was calculated using Henderson and Tilton (1955) formula.

The okra fruits were ready for first picking after 45 days after sowing and near about 10 pickings were completed. The weight of fruits was recorded on individual plot basis. The recorded weight of fruits on individual plot basis was later computed to hectare basis and then subjected to statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion

The bio-efficacy data regarding leafhopper during summer 2013 and 2014 (Pooled) on okra were recorded with an objective to develop economically feasible management strategy, to reduce unwarranted pesticide load in the environment and to gain knowledge on safer pesticides during the study period.

Leafhopper (Amrasca biguttula biguttula Ishida)

First spray

In two successive cropping years, the results (Table 1) during first spray revealed significant reduction in leafhopper population at 1st, 3rd and 7th day after application of pesticides compared to untreated check.

A day before first spray, it was observed that there was no significant difference among the treatments evaluated and the leafhopper population ranged from 6.42 to 8.16 leafhoppers/ 3 leaves. A day after first spray, among the treatments T6 (fenpropathrin 30EC) recorded the lowest leafhopper population of 1.30 leafhopper / 3 leaves whereas highest leafhopper population of 8.27 leafhopper/ 3 leaves was recorded in untreated check. The treatment fenpropathrin 30EC was followed by fipronil 5SC, imidacloprid 70WG and thiamethoxam 25WG which were found at par with each other. Whereas treatment T4 showed results at par with T3, T2, T1, T7, T12, T13, T5 and T8.

Almost similar trends were seen on third day after spraying. At 7 days after the first spray, no change in the trend was observed in which fenpropathrin 30EC showed best efficacy in controlling the leafhopper population by recording lowest leafhopper population of 2.64 leafhoppers/ 3 leaves.
Table 1: Bio-efficacy of newer pesticides against leafhoppers, *A. biguttula biguttula* Ishida after first spray on okra (pooled of summer 2013 and 2014)

| Tr. No. | Treatments | Dose (a.i./ha) | Number of leafhoppers / 3 leaves | 1 DBS | 1 DAS | 3 DAS | 7 DAS | 14 DAS |
|---------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|         |            |               |                                 | 2013  | 2014  | 2013  | 2014  | 2013   | 2014   | 2013   | 2014   | 2013   | 2014   | 2013   | 2014   |
| T1      | Dithane 50WP | 600 g         |                                 | (2.79)| (2.45)| (2.63)| (2.63)| (1.79)| (1.91)| (2.05)| (1.71)| (1.91)| (2.22)| (2.12)| (2.47)|       |
| T2      | Thiamethoxam 25WG | 100 g         |                                 | (2.61)| (1.45)| (1.85)| (1.85)| (1.79)| (1.97)| (2.29)| (1.71)| (1.97)| (2.12)| (2.22)| (2.47)|       |
| T3      | Imidacloprid 70WG | 35 g          |                                 | (2.78)| (1.66)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)| (2.05)|       |
| T4      | Fipronil 5SC | 1000 ml        |                                 | (2.80)| (1.42)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)| (2.13)|       |
| T5      | Buprofezin 25SC | 300 ml         |                                 | (2.82)| (1.59)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)|       |
| T6      | Fenpropathrin 30EC | 200 ml        |                                 | (2.76)| (1.66)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)|       |
| T7      | Dimethoate 30EC | 1000 ml        |                                 | (2.90)| (1.66)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)|       |
| T8      | Fenazaquin 10EC | 1000 ml        |                                 | (2.82)| (1.66)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)| (2.75)|       |
| T9      | Spiromesifen 22.9SC | 400 ml       |                                 | (2.82)| (1.66)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)|       |
| T10     | Propargite 57EC | 1500 ml        |                                 | (2.82)| (1.66)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)|       |
| T11     | Chlorfenapyr 10SC | 750 ml        |                                 | (2.82)| (1.66)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)|       |
| T12     | Dicofol 18.5SEC | 1250 ml        |                                 | (2.76)| (1.66)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)| (2.35)|       |
| T13     | Azadirachtin 3000ppm | 1250 ml     |                                 | (2.61)| (1.59)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)|       |
| T14     | Untreated check | -              |                                 | (2.82)| (1.66)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)| (2.25)|       |

DAS – Days after spraying

Figures in the parentheses are square root transformed values
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| Tr. No. | Treatments            | Dose (a.l./ha) | 1 DBS | 1 DAS | 3 DAS | 6 DAS | 9 DAS | 12 DAS | 15 DAS | 18 DAS | 21 DAS | 24 DAS | 27 DAS |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|        |                       |               | 2013  | 2014  | Pooled| 2013  | 2014  | Pooled| 2013  | 2014  | Pooled| 2013  | 2014  | Pooled|
| T₁     | Diazinon 50WP         | 600 g         | 25.81 | (5.08) | 18.70 | 22.26 | 8.53  | (2.92) | 6.18  | (2.48) | 7.36  | (2.70) | 3.71  | (1.92) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₂     | Thiamethoxam 25WP     | 100 g         | 25.93 | (5.01) | 17.19 | 21.57 | 3.82  | (1.95) | 5.61  | (2.36) | 4.72  | (2.16) | 1.43  | (1.17) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₃     | Imidacloprid 70WG     | 35 g          | 25.83 | (5.06) | 18.03 | 21.93 | 7.15  | (2.67) | 3.26  | (1.80) | 5.21  | (2.24) | 1.96  | (1.40) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₄     | Fipronil 5SC          | 1000 ml       | 26.23 | (5.12) | 19.15 | 22.69 | 6.29  | (2.50) | 5.57  | (2.36) | 5.93  | (2.43) | 2.23  | (1.49) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₅     | Buprofezin 25SC       | 300 ml        | 26.19 | (5.11) | 18.18 | 22.19 | 9.42  | (3.07) | 4.29  | (2.07) | 6.86  | (2.57) | 4.69  | (2.15) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₆     | Fenpropatrin 30EC     | 200 ml        | 25.90 | (5.08) | 18.04 | 21.97 | 8.31  | (2.88) | 6.50  | (2.54) | 7.44  | (2.72) | 6.76  | (2.60) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₇     | Dimethoate 30EC       | 1000 ml       | 25.79 | (5.08) | 15.59 | 20.69 | 9.23  | (3.03) | 6.16  | (2.46) | 7.70  | (2.76) | 5.72  | (2.36) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₈     | Fenazaquin 10EC       | 1000 ml       | 25.82 | (5.05) | 18.21 | 22.01 | 9.31  | (3.05) | 6.82  | (2.83) | 8.07  | (3.05) | 9.29  | (3.05) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₉     | Spironesfen 22.9SC    | 400 ml        | 25.85 | (4.96) | 19.10 | 22.48 | 11.07 | (3.31) | 8.75  | (2.95) | 9.91  | (3.14) | 9.87  | (3.14) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₁₀    | Propargite 57EC       | 1500 ml       | 25.89 | (5.08) | 18.69 | 22.29 | 10.45 | (3.22) | 7.55  | (2.75) | 9.00  | (2.99) | 10.71 | (3.27) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₁₁    | Chlorfenapyr 10SC     | 750 ml        | 26.19 | (5.10) | 17.20 | 21.70 | 10.24 | (3.20) | 8.36  | (2.89) | 9.30  | (3.05) | 10.05 | (3.16) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₁₂    | Dicofol 18.5EC        | 1250 ml       | 25.93 | (5.09) | 19.64 | 22.79 | 9.36  | (4.43) | 6.78  | (2.60) | 8.07  | (2.83) | 9.32  | (3.05) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₁₃    | Azadirachtin 3000ppm  | 1250 ml       | 26.09 | (5.11) | 18.26 | 22.18 | 10.34 | (4.31) | 6.71  | (2.58) | 8.53  | (2.90) | 10.61 | (3.26) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| T₁₄    | Untreated check       | -             | 26.11 | (5.11) | 18.54 | 22.33 | 28.39 | (5.32) | 19.18 | (4.38) | 23.79 | (4.85) | 30.08 | (5.47) |
|        |                       |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

**Table.2** Bio-ecfficacy of newer pesticides against leafhoppers, *A. biguttula biguttula* Ishida after second spray on okra (pooled of summer 2013 and 2014)

**Figures in the parentheses are square root transformed values**

**CV %**

| DAS | 13.58 | 11.40 | 8.71 | 9.45 | 8.56 | 8.75 | 10.94 | 9.15 | 14.23 | 11.20 | 11.02 | 14.20 | 9.60 | 8.92 | 8.76 |

**DAS** – Days after spraying

**CD at 5%**

| NS | NS | NS | 0.63 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.62 |
### Table 3 Effect of newer pesticide molecules on okra fruit yield of summer 2013 and 2014

| Tr. No. | Treatments                | Dose (a.i./ha) | Yield of okra fruit (q/ha) |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| T1      | Diafenthiuron 50WP        | 600 g          | 68.58                      |
| T2      | Thiamethoxam 25WG         | 100 g          | 67.90                      |
| T3      | Imidacloprid 70WG         | 35 g           | 72.42                      |
| T4      | Fipronil 5SC              | 1000 ml        | 60.49                      |
| T5      | Buprofezin 25SC           | 300 ml         | 59.92                      |
| T6      | Fenpropathrin 30EC        | 200 ml         | 59.77                      |
| T7      | Dimethoate 30EC           | 1000 ml        | 55.64                      |
| T8      | Fenazaquin 10EC           | 1000 ml        | 65.27                      |
| T9      | Spiromesifen 22.9SC       | 400 ml         | 52.00                      |
| T10     | Propargite 57EC           | 1500 ml        | 53.63                      |
| T11     | Chlornfenapyr 10SC        | 750 ml         | 50.77                      |
| T12     | Dicofol 18.5EC            | 1250 ml        | 64.53                      |
| T13     | Azardirachtin 3000ppm     | 1250 ml        | 50.51                      |
| T14     | Untreated check           | -              | 41.43                      |

S. Em. (+) 0.25
CD at 5% 0.77
CV % 4.66

However statistically it was found at par T₃, T₄, T₂, T₁, T₇, T₁₃, T₅, T₁₂ respectively. The treatment T₈ (fenazaquin 10EC) with 5.23 leafhoppers/3 leaves showed the least effective results followed by T₁₀ and T₁₁. Leafhopper population on 14 days after the first spray ranged from 4.43 to 16.01 leafhoppers/3 leaves. The treatment fenpropathrin 30EC showed the lowest number of leafhopper population (4.43 leafhoppers/3 leaves) which was followed by T₃>T₂>T₄>T₇>T₃>T₄ and found statistically at par with each other. The least effective treatment recorded was T₁₁ (chlornfenapyr 10SC) which showed maximum population incidence of 8.52 leafhoppers/3 leaves while in untreated check 16.01 leafhoppers/3 leaves were observed.

The superiority of fenpropathrin 30EC against the leafhoppers as revealed in the present study is in close agreement with Dhawan and Brar (1995) who reported that fenpropathrin 75 g a.i./ha was effective in controlling the sucking pests of cotton. Similar results were also obtained by Anuradha and Arjuna Rao (2005) and Shivanna et al. (2011).

**Second spray**

The data pooled over periods of second spray on number of leafhopper population presented in Table 2 indicated that all the treatments were significantly superior over control in reducing the leafhopper population. A day before spray showed no significant difference of leafhopper population among the evaluated
treatments which ranged from 20.69 to 22.79 leafhoppers/3 leaves. After 1 day of spray, the per 3 leaves leafhopper population ranged from 4.72 to 23.79. The most effective treatments in controlling the leafhopper was $T_2$ (thiamethoxam 25WG) with maximum reduction of population to 4.72 leafhoppers/3 leaves which were found at par with $T_3$, $T_4$, $T_5$ and $T_1$ showing leafhopper population of 5.21, 5.93, 6.86 and 7.36 leafhoppers/3 leaves respectively.

The pooled data collected on 3 DAS revealed that all the treatments had significant differences with control. The least number of leafhoppers were recorded in the treatment $T_3$ (imidacloprid 70WG) with 2.04 leafhoppers/3 leaves followed by $T_2$, $T_4$, $T_5$, $T_1$ and $T_7$ which were at par with each other. Among different treatments, spiromesifen 22.9SC ($T_9$) recorded the highest (10.52 leafhoppers/3 leaves) population of leafhoppers, next to it was untreated control with 25.00 leafhoppers/3 leaves. Almost same trend of result was observed during seven days after spray.

By observing the pooled data regarding 14 DAS, it was evident that similar treatment as above i.e., $T_3$ (imidacloprid 70WG) has recorded the lowest leafhoppers population of 4.99 leafhoppers/3 leaves followed by fipronil 5SC and thiamethoxam 25WG which recorded 7.88 and 8.13 leafhoppers/3 leaves and found at par with each other. However $T_9$ (spiromesifen 22.9SC) and $T_{11}$ (chlorfenapyr 10SC) were proved to be ineffective in controlling the leafhopper population during the consecutive years.

The present studies showed superiority of imidacloprid 70WG against the leafhoppers which are in close agreement with Gul (1998) who screened five insecticides against A. biguttulla biguttulla on okra and found that imidacloprid was the most effective in controlling jassids over a longer period. Similar results were also obtained by Rathod et al. (2003) and Anitha and Nandihalli (2009).

**Effect of newer pesticides molecules on fruit yield of summer okra**

The ultimate output to the farmer is yield, the data pertaining on marketable fruit yield of okra for pooled results of summer 2013 and 2014 are presented in Table 3. The results on marketable fruit yield revealed that all treatments gave significantly higher yield of okra fruits over untreated control in both the years.

Pooled data for summer 2013 and 2014 indicated that all the treatments were significantly superior over control. The average marketable fruit yield among different treatments ranged from 41.43 to 72.42 q/ha. The highest yield was recorded in the treatment of $T_3$ i.e., imidacloprid 70WG at 35 g a.i./ha (72.42 q/ha) followed by diafenthiuron 50WP at 600 g a.i./ha (68.58 q/ha) and thiamethoxam 25WG at 100 g a.i./ha (67.90 q/ha) which were at par with each other. The next best treatments were fenazaquin 10EC at 1000 ml a.i./ha (65.27 q/ha) and dicofol 18.5EC at 1250 ml a.i./ha (64.53 q/ha) and found at par with each other. Better yield was obtained from other treatments such as fipronil 5SC at 1000 ml a.i./ha, buprofezin 25SC at 300 ml a.i./ha and fenpropathrin 30EC at 200 ml a.i./ha showing at par results with each other followed by dimethoate 30EC at 1000 ml a.i./ha, propargite 57EC at 1500 ml a.i./ha and spiromesifen 22.9SC at 400 ml a.i./ha. Among different insecticidal treatments, the lower yield were recorded in the treatment of chlorfenapyr 10SC at 750 ml/ha (50.77 q/ha) and azadirachtin 3000ppm at 1250ml a.i./ha (50.51 q/ha) both being at par with each other while untreated check plot recorded the lowest yield of 41.43 q/ha. Thus increased
level of marketable fruit yield of okra due to various treatments over untreated check is due to protection of okra crop from major sucking pests complex and also may be due to some physiological effect of pesticides on the plants leading to higher yield.

The results are in close agreement with the results obtained by Krishnaiah (1980) who reported that losses in okra due to leafhopper \((A.\) \textit{biguttula} \textit{biguttula})). Failure to control okra sucking pests in the initial stages may cause yield loss to the extent of 54.04\% (Chaudhary and Dadeech, 1989). The maximum yield (508.8 kg/ha, 1188.8 kg/ha) was recorded in imidacloprid (80g a.i./ha) followed by its lower dose 40g a.i./ha (455.5 kg/ha, 1055.5 kg/ha) during 2004 and 2005, respectively (Raghuraman and Birah, 2011).
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