Microstructural Characterization of Sulfurization Effects in Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ Thin Film Solar Cells
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Abstract

Surface sulfurization of Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ (CIGSe) absorbers is a commonly applied technique to improve the conversion efficiency of the corresponding solar cells, via increasing the bandgap towards the heterojunction. However, the resulting device performance is understood to be highly dependent on the thermodynamic stability of the chalcogenide structure at the upper region of the absorber. The present investigation provides a high-resolution chemical analysis, using energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry and laser-pulsed atom probe tomography, to determine the sulfur incorporation and chemical re-distribution in the absorber material. The post-sulfurization treatment was performed by exposing the CIGSe surface to elemental sulfur vapor for 20 min at 500°C. Two distinct sulfur-rich phases were found at the surface of the absorber exhibiting a layered structure showing In-rich and Ga-rich zones, respectively. Furthermore, sulfur atoms were found to segregate at the absorber grain boundaries showing concentrations up to ~7 at% with traces of diffusion outwards into the grain interior.

Key words: atom probe, Cu(In,Ga)Se₂, solar cells, surface treatment, thin films

(Received 17 September 2018; revised 14 November 2018; accepted 15 December 2018)

Introduction

The conversion efficiency of Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)₂ (CIGSSe)-based solar cells is the highest of all thin film technologies with a record of 22.9% (Solar Frontier, 2017). One promising method of improving the efficiency is via creating a graded band gap in the absorber to suppress recombination effects at the interfaces (Gabor et al., 1996). This is commonly achieved by a Ga/In or Se/S composition gradient towards the contacts. Surface-sulfurization has been demonstrated to be efficient in mitigating recombination at the buffer/absorber interface. It is anticipated that the sulfur incorporation leads to a down-shift of the valence band maximum (and an increase in conduction band minimum), resulting in an electronic barrier for majority charge carriers (holes) towards this interface (Singh et al., 2006). The sulfurization is also argued to induce passivation of deep defect states (Kobayashi et al., 2015).

Inconsistent results are found in the literature for the change in the device performance of sulfurized Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ (CIGSe) solar cells. Improvements in the device performance after sulfurization treatment are mainly reported (Nakada et al., 1997; Ohashi et al., 2001; Probst et al., 2001; Kobayashi et al., 2015; Kamanda et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018), whereas a few authors disclose a degraded performance (Singh, 2009; Larsen et al., 2018). The different results are understood to stem from variations in the modification of the material properties at the top region of the absorber, such as the occurrence of mixed phases, different sulfur concentrations and microstructural variations in the modification of the material properties at the top region of the absorber. The present investigation provides a high-resolution chemical analysis, using energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry and laser-pulsed atom probe tomography, to determine the sulfur incorporation and chemical re-distribution in the absorber material. The post-sulfurization treatment was performed by exposing the CIGSe surface to elemental sulfur vapor for 20 min at 500°C. Two distinct sulfur-rich phases were found at the surface of the absorber exhibiting a layered structure showing In-rich and Ga-rich zones, respectively. Furthermore, sulfur atoms were found to segregate at the absorber grain boundaries showing concentrations up to ~7 at% with traces of diffusion outwards into the grain interior.
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evaporation of sulfur (Mueller et al., 2015), respectively. Generally, a smooth Se/S gradient is desirable at the top region of the absorber, where sulfur atoms replace selenium atoms while maintaining the chalcopyrite compound structure. Avoiding the formation of a separate phase on top is understood to produce higher conversion efficiency performances (Ohashi et al., 2001). However, contingent to the absorber composition and sulfur treatment, separate phases have been reported to form due to the diffusion of sulfur, where the CuInS₂ phase is most commonly detected at the surface of the absorber (Singh et al., 2006). Sulfurized CIGSe absorbers with a fully converted sulfide phase formed on top also show contradictory device performance results (Singh, 2009; Kobayashi et al., 2015). Hence, high-resolution analyses are necessary to determine the local composition of such regions in more detail. The distribution of sulfur in the grain interiors (GIs) and at grain boundaries (GBs) after sulfurization treatments is a matter that requires further study. In a previous investigation performed on CIGSe solar cells (Larsen et al., 2018), using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) we have demonstrated that a CuInS₂ phase forms at the surface after sulfurization along with a ~10 nm thick Ga-rich interface (presumably CuGaS₂) underneath. In this work, we investigate the issue in further detail by performing atom probe tomography (APT) for one of the sulfurized CIGSe absorbers examined in Larsen et al. (2018), where APT provides here an atomic level quantification of the sulfur distribution in three dimensions. Local composition analysis is performed at the top region of the CIGSe absorbers as well as at lattice defects.

Materials and Methods

CIGSe absorbers were co-evaporated on Mo-coated soda lime glass substrate at Solibro Research AB and post-sulfurized in a custom-built furnace using elemental sulfur (avoiding the use of toxic H₂S gas) at a controlled preheated set temperature of 500°C and 50 mbar Argon pressure for 20 min. More details on the synthesis part are provided in Larsen et al. (2018). STEM imaging was conducted at 200 kV acceleration voltage in a FEI Titan Themis TEM system using a SuperX system for EDS analysis.

A dual-beam focused ion-beam/scanning electron microscopy (FIB/SEM) workstation (FEI Versa 3D) was used to perform site-specific sample preparations for APT analysis via the standard lift-out technique (Thompson et al., 2007). Chromium was deposited on top as a capping layer, with ~200 nm thickness, using magnetron sputtering to protect the region of interest. A 100 nm thick Pt layer was deposited on top of the Cr layer using the electron beam in the FIB/SEM to minimize Ga implantation. A voltage of 2 kV was used during the final shaping of the APT tip specimens. APT measurements were performed in a LEAP™ 3000X HR CAMECA™ system in laser pulsing mode with a repetition rate of 100 kHz, 0.05 nJ laser power and a base temperature of ~50 K. The data were reconstructed and analyzed using the software CAMECA™ IVAS 3.6.14. All concentration values are given in at%.

Results

Figure 1a shows a STEM dark-field image for a cross-section of the CIGSe absorber after the sulfurization treatment. A surface reaction layer is clearly observed at the top-region, which is further highlighted using high angle annular dark-field imaging (HAADF) shown in Figure 1b. The absorber surface appears to be relatively rough where the morphology is closely connected to the grain structures. EDS maps in Figure 1b displays the distribution of the elements in the absorber layer. An enrichment of Ga and a depletion of In is visible towards the back contact. This gradient is produced during deposition to attain a back-surface field for driving electrons away from the defect-rich back contact (Contreras et al., 1994). Some enrichment of Ga, Cu, In, and S is observed at the upper region as well as depletion of Se. A STEM EDS line scan was performed for the top 350 nm of the absorber to elucidate the composition within this region, presented in Figure 1c. Two sulfur-rich layers can be observed. The upper one (~30 nm thick) shows Cu ~28%, In ~23%, S ~45%, Ga<5% and almost no Se. This composition fits to a CuInS₂ phase, which was detected by gracing incidence X-ray diffraction in our previous work (Larsen et al., 2018). The lower layer (~10 nm thick) shows Cu ~26%, In ~13%, S ~23%, Ga ~14%, and Se ~23%, showing Ga enrichment and In depletion.

Atom probe tips were prepared from the same sample, with their main axis perpendicular to the substrate surface. The tips were extracted from areas showing grooves along the surface which most likely exhibit a GB in the CIGSe underneath. Figure 2a displays an APT reconstruction from the sulfurized absorber, with the sulfur-rich region on top, as well as a GB in the absorber. Strong segregation of sodium is detected at the GB in which Na atoms diffused from the soda lime glass substrate during film growth. However, some fine traces of sodium are also detected in the sulfur-rich region. Diffusion of foreign Na atoms to lattice defects is widely known for CIGSe systems (Cadel et al., 2010; Schlesiger et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2011; Cojocaru-Mirédin et al., 2011a, 2011b; Abou-Ras et al., 2012) in that it commonly displays positive effects on the device performance, such as increased p-type conductivity and higher Voc (Singh & Patra, 2010). Interestingly, segregation of S atoms is also detected at the GB here, presenting a broader distribution across the boundary compared with Na. Figure 2b shows 1-D concentration profile across the GB highlighting the segregation of Na and S atoms. Gibbs interfacial excess is measured here to evaluate the segregations at the GB. It offers a more precise quantification compared with 1-D concentration profiles since it is less affected by ion-trajectory aberrations and interface morphology effects (Hellman & Seidman, 2002). The Gibbs interfacial excess of Na measured along the GB plane is \( \Gamma_{Na} = 1.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ at./nm}^2 \), which fits well within \( \Gamma_{Na} \) values for random high angle GBs of CIGSe reported in Cojocaru-Mirédin et al. (2018). Figure 2c shows the Gibbs interfacial excess of sulfur measured from top to bottom along the GB plane at different depths. The decay of \( \Gamma_S \) denotes the diffusion of sulfur atoms from top to bottom. Segregations of sulfur reach up to ~7 at% at the uppermost part of the GB. Minor composition fluctuations are observed for other elements at the vicinity of the boundary (see Fig. 2b), which is often reported using APT analysis on CIGSe, such as in Keller et al. (2013; and Stokes et al. (2017). The composition and segregations measured at the GB region in CIGSe compounds are argued to diverge depending on the nature of the GB and the corresponding grain orientations.

A local mass spectrum from the region of the GB is presented in Figure 3. The spectrum contains 1.5 million atoms with a background noise level of ~35 ppm, and mass resolving power of ~1,200 full width at half maximum (calculated on \(^{115}\text{In}^{1+}\) peak). Single and complex ions are detected, respectively, marked in Figure 3. An overlap for some \( \text{Se}^{1+} \) and \( \text{Se}^{2+} \) peaks exists in the
spectrum, which is deconvoluted using the natural isotope abundance ratios. If sufficient oxygen exists in the sample, another overlap would be expected for O$^{16}$ and S$^{32}$ at 16 Da as well as for O$^{18}$ and S$^{34}$ at 32 Da. Such overlaps would be difficult to deconvolute in the spatial reconstruction. Oxygen as an impurity can diffuse along CIGSe GBs during the layer deposition or from the substrate, which was reported by some authors, such as in Oana Cojocaru-Mirédin et al. (2011a, 2011b) and Abou-Ras

**Fig. 1. a:** STEM image for the sulfurized CIGSe sample. **b:** HAADF image and corresponding elemental STEM-EDS maps. **c:** STEM-EDS line-scan of the elemental composition near the surface region (the two sulfur-rich layers are marked by "1" and "2").
Fig. 2. (a): APT reconstruction for the sulfurized CIGSe sample showing the sulfur-rich region at the top of the absorber and a GB in the absorber displaying segregation of Na and S atoms. (b): 1-D concentration profile across the GB (region highlighted in the reconstruction). (c): Gibbs interfacial excess ($\Gamma$) for sulfur as a function of distance along the GB (from top to bottom direction).
et al. (2012). Regarding sulfur detection, only one main peak at 32 Da is detected in the spectrum here, while no peak at 16 Da is observed. Furthermore, a small peak is detected at 34 Da that belongs to the second isotope of sulfur ($^{34}\text{S}^{+}$). A very good fit is found when comparing the $^{34}\text{S}^{+}/^{32}\text{S}^{+}$ ratio detected experimentally to the natural isotope abundance ratios. Several other tips from the same sample have shown similar results. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility of having traces of oxygen at the GBs that we have analyzed, which could be below the detection limit here. However, it is important to note that the diffusion of oxygen along CIGSe GBs can be highly dependent on the deposition technique and conditions. It is also essential to note that some slight traces of potassium ($\sim 0.01$ at%) were detected at the GB region which also originates by diffusion from the soda lime substrate.

A 1-D composition profile through the uppermost 100 nm of the APT reconstruction shown in Figure 2 is plotted in Figure 4. The volume for the plotted profile is within a region slightly distant from the GB segregations. The profile shows a close agreement with the STEM-EDS line scan displayed in Figure 1c. Two sulfur-rich layers can be identified, where $\sim 10$ nm thick Ga-rich layer exists underneath the uppermost Se and Ga depleted layer. In the uppermost layer, the sulfur composition is almost at 50 at% and Ga is below 2 at%. The Cu and In concentrations within this uppermost layer are slightly different as compared with the EDS line scan. Such differences in the composition can arise due to the effect of the lamella thickness and beam interaction volume compared with the localized APT analysis. Furthermore, the compositions may slightly vary in different grains within the CuInS$_2$ phase (Keränen et al., 2001). It is important to mention that the sulfur concentration drops down below 1 at% under the Ga-rich interlayer, which reflects that the absorber grains here are not much influenced by the sulfurization process, rather the incorporated sulfur is highly concentrated at the surface and in the GBs in the absorber.

**Discussion**

The experimental results herein reveal further insights on the sulfur distribution within sulfurized CIGSe resulting from the applied sulfurization process (Larsen et al., 2018). The formation of a separate sulfur-rich area at the top-region of the absorber is observed using both STEM-EDS and APT techniques. Since the CIGSe absorber is fully selenized and crystallized before the sulfurization treatment, it is expected that a new sulfur containing layer is formed at the surface instead of a direct in-diffusion of sulfur into the CIGSe lattice. The absorber is deposited with a Cu-poor composition (Cu/III ratio $\sim 0.82$) in order to suppress the formation of secondary copper chalcogenides, which means that the lattice contains high concentrations of Cu-vacancies. The adsorption of sulfur at the surface is suggested to create a driving force for cations (Cu and In) to diffuse via the V$_{Cu}$ point defects towards the surface and bond with sulfur atoms. According to quaternary phase diagram calculations of the Cu-In-Ga-Se and Cu-In-Ga-S systems (Stephan, 2011), the Cu(In,Ga)S$_2$ phase exhibits a narrower solubility range compared with Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$. Hence, off-stochiometric compositions of a Cu-In-Ga-S solid solution would easily promote a decomposition into In-rich and Ga-rich solid solutions under equilibrium conditions. The CuInS$_2$ phase is expected to nucleate and grow from the In-rich Cu-In-Ga-S solid solution. CuInS$_2$ will grow further as more sulfur atoms are adsorbed on the surface along with Cu and In atoms diffusing outwards from the absorber lattice driven by the high copper-vacancy concentration. Therefore, the Ga-rich interlayer is understood to form here as a result of a decomposition process during the early stages of sulfurization. Phase separation was frequently reported for Cu-In-Ga-Se systems at relatively low deposition temperatures (typically 300–400°C), such as in Keränen et al. (2001) and Moon et al. (2012). The Gibbs free energy of mixing for Cu-In-Ga-Se solid solution (at 87°C), calculated in Xue et al. (2014), exhibits three

Fig. 3. Local mass spectrum from the GB region. The inset (top-right) displays a spectrum range between 15 and 42 Da for better visualization of the Na, S, and K peaks.
such calculations, the Ga-rich Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)₂ interlayer with
fraction in our previous study (Larsen et al., 2018).

 conversion efficiency values of up to
presented in this study have shown degraded
responding solar cell devices, processed from the absorber pre-
the sulfurization treatment resulting in such variations. The cor-
minima points and two unstable regions (spinodal decomposi-
tions). The three minima points correspond to In-rich CIGSe,
Cu(In,Ga)Se₂, and Ga-rich CIGSe compositions. Thus, based on
such calculations, the Ga-rich Cu(In,Ga)(SeS)₂ interlayer with
∼12 at% In is considered to be a metastable phase. No CuGa
(SeS)₂ phase was detected in the current sample using X-rays dif-
fraction in our previous study (Larsen et al., 2018).

In the literature, different compositions and sulfur-rich phases
are reported to form at the CIGSe surface after the sulfurization
treatment, where in most cases improvements in the device per-
formance are measured. Huang et al., have reported a drop in
In, Cu, Se, and Ga concentrations at the top 60 nm using second-
ary ion mass spectrometry where a Cu(In,Ga)(SeS)₂ phase was
detected after the sulfurization treatment resulting in ∼1.9% in-
crease in efficiency (Huang et al., 2018). Kobayashi et al.,
have observed a drop in In and a rise in Ga concentrations in
the top 100 nm using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy after sul-
furization, where the solar cell device showed 2.2% efficiency
enhancement (Kobayashi et al., 2015). Several processing factors,
such as sulfur gas pressure or deposition temperature, can con-
tribute to the compositional changes and phase formations during
the sulfurization treatment resulting in such variations. The cor-
responding solar cell devices, processed from the absorber pre-
sent in this study have shown degraded FF values and conversion efficiency values of up to ∼6% lower compared with
non-sulfurized samples (Larsen et al., 2018). One may argue
that the formation of a separate CuInS₂ phase is causing such
an undesirable performance. Comparison of relative device
improvements should also be done with caution since low per-
forming devices can be improved more easily. Nonetheless, in
other works such as in Kim et al. (2018), CIGSe solar cells were
sulfurized and similarly display CuInS₂ phase formation at the
absorber surface, while on the contrary, improvements in the
device performance were recorded. Based on the STEM-EDS
and APT results here, the device performance improvement is
likely to depend on whether single or multiple sulfide phases
form in the sub-surface region. Multiple sulfide phases exhibit
dissimilar diode properties, which creates an electron transport
barrier correspondingly. Simulations of the band diagram of the
sulfurized sample analyzed here have shown a conduction band
offset (CBO) of 0.54 eV between the Ga-rich CuInGa(Se₁₋₅S₂)₂
interlayer and the CIGSe absorber, and an offset of 0.18 eV
between the CuInS₂ phase and Ga-rich CuInGa(Se₁₋₅S₂)₂ inter-
layer (Larsen et al., 2018). In particular, the first-mentioned
CBO is supposed to act as an effective electronic barrier.
Furthermore, both (newly created) interfaces exhibit additional,
potential areas of high recombination velocities. Hence, phase
separation should, therefore, be mitigated within the sulfur-rich
regions. One possible approach to avoid phase separation is by
having Ga-poor compositions at the top part of the absorber.
Indeed, in the three-stage synthesis process of the CIGSe absorb-
ers in the work of Kim et al. (2018) no Ga was deposited in the
third stage (upper region of the absorber), which can be expected
to have circumvented the formation of a Ga-rich interlayer.

The diffusion of sulfur in GBs of CIGSe has been discussed in
the literature, due to the clear correlation between the sulfur
incorporation and the grain sizes of the absorber layer, where
higher sulfur content was detected in microstructures with finer
grains (Nakada et al., 1997; Basol et al., 2000; Frobst et al.,
2001; Titus et al., 2001). The broad spread of the sulfur profile
observed here across the GB (see Fig. 2b) indicates some outward
diffusion of sulfur into the GI. This is also supported by similar
results, from APT analysis of sulfurized CIGS solar cells made
from metallic precursor layers (Keller et al., 2013), that show
increased sulfur concentration in smaller grains due to the
effective enhanced diffusivity. It is important to point out that
the distribution of alkali metals does not appear to be affected
by the sulfur diffusion into the GBs. This is an important advan-
tage of using sulfur to tune the bandgap at the vicinity of the p-n
junction since the segregation of alkali metals (e.g. Na, K, Rb or
Cs) at GBs is understood to have beneficial effects on the device
performance. However, it cannot be excluded that the sulfur
in-diffusion forms Na-S-(O) compounds in the GBs, which
might reduce a possible passivating effect and thereby reduce
VOC. Furthermore, these Na-S-(O) phases might be conductive
and locally shunt the p-n junction, resulting in bad diodes and
eventually in a reduction in FF and VOC. Another possibility is
a negative influence from Na-S-(O) phases on CdS growth as
was shown for Cu₂ZnSnS₄ devices (Ren et al., 2016).

Conclusions

High-resolution microstructural analysis using STEM-EDS
and APT techniques was conducted on a CIGSe absorber post-
sulfurized by using an elemental sulfur source. The results high-
light the re-distribution of matrix elements as a result of the
sulfurization treatment at the upper region of the absorber,
where two distinct sulfur-rich phases were formed. Sulfur atoms
also segregate at the GBs of the absorber which declines with
the distance from the surface. The presence of multiple sulfur-rich
phases is anticipated to be causing an electron transport barrier
leading to a reduction in the device performance after the sulfu-
rization treatment. In the cases where the formation of a single
sulfur-rich phase at the absorber surface is inevitable, the deposi-
tion of CIGSe absorbers with Ga-poor compositions near the sur-
face is predicted to hinder the nucleation of a Ga-rich interlayer.
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Fig. 4. 1-D concentration profile along the uppermost 100 nm of the APT reconstruc-
tion displayed in Figure 2.
