Optimal Angular Resolution for Face-Symmetric Drawings
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Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph that may be drawn in the plane in such a way that all internal faces are centrally symmetric convex polygons. We show how to find a drawing of this type that maximizes the angular resolution of the drawing, the minimum angle between any two incident edges, in polynomial time, by reducing the problem to one of finding parametric shortest paths in an auxiliary graph. The running time is at most $O(t^3)$, where $t$ is a parameter of the input graph that is at most $O(n)$. 
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1 Introduction

Angular resolution, the minimum angle between any two edges at the same vertex, has been recognized as an important aesthetic criterion in graph drawing since its introduction by Malitz and Papakostas in 1992 \cite{MalitzPapakostas}. Much past work on angular resolution in graph drawing has focused on bounding the resolution by some function of the vertex degree or other related quantities, rather than on exact optimization; however, recently, Eppstein and Carlson \cite{EppsteinCarlson} showed that, when drawing trees in such a way that all faces form (infinite) convex polygons, the optimal angular resolution may be found by a simple linear-time algorithm. The resulting drawings have the convenient property that the lengths of the tree edges may be chosen arbitrarily, keeping fixed the angles selected by the optimization algorithm, and no crossing can occur; therefore, one may choose edge lengths either to achieve other aesthetic goals such as good vertex spacing or to convey additional information about the tree.

In this paper, we consider similar problems of reorienting edges so as to optimize the angular resolution of a more complicated class of graph drawings. Here we consider the class of planar straight line drawings in which each internal face of the drawing is a centrally-symmetric convex polygon. Such polygons always have an even number of vertices. In previous work \cite{FaceSymmetric}, we investigated drawings of this type, which we called face-symmetric drawings. We characterized them as the duals of weak pseudoline arrangements in the plane, and described an algorithm that finds a face-symmetric drawing (if one exists) in linear time, based on an SPQR-tree decomposition of the graph. Graphs with face-symmetric drawings are automatically partial cubes (or, equivalently, median \cite{Median}), graphs in which the vertices may be labeled by bitvectors in such a way that graph distance equals Hamming distance; see \cite{Median} for the many applications of graphs of this type.

Figure 1 depicts an example, a hyperbolic line arrangement with no three mutually intersecting lines, the intersection graph of which requires five colors, as constructed by Ageev \cite{Ageev}, and the planar dual graph of the arrangement. The dual of a hyperbolic line arrangement with no three mutually intersecting lines, such as this one, is a squaregraph, a type of planar median graph in which each internal face is a quadrilateral and each internal vertex is surrounded by four or more faces \cite{Squaregraph}. Any squaregraph may be drawn in such a way that its faces are all rhombi, by our previous algorithm \cite{FaceSymmetric}, and the drawing produced in this way is shown in the figure. However, note that some rhombi have such sharp angles that they appear only as line segments in the figure, making them difficult to view. Other rhombi, even those near the edge of the figure, are drawn with overly wide angles, making them very legible but detracting from the legibility of other nearby rhombi. Thus, we are led to the problem of spreading out the angles more uniformly across the drawing, in such a way as to optimize its angular resolution, while preserving the property that all faces are rhombi.

As we show, this problem of optimizing the angular resolution of a face-symmetric drawing may be solved in polynomial time, by translating it into a problem of finding parametric shortest paths in an auxiliary network. In the
Figure 1: A hyperbolic line arrangement (top), and its dual squaregraph (bottom), drawn by our previous un-optimized algorithm with all faces rhombi.
parametric shortest path problem, each edge of a network is given a length that is a linear function of a parameter \( \lambda \); substituting different values of \( \lambda \) into these functions gives different real weights on the edges and therefore different shortest path problems \[8\]. The number of different shortest paths formed in this way, as \( \lambda \) varies, may be superpolynomial \[4\], but fortunately for our problem we do not need to find all shortest paths for all values of \( \lambda \). Rather, the optimal angular resolution we seek may be determined as the maximum value of \( \lambda \) for which the associated network has no negative cycles, and the drawing itself may be constructed using distances from the source in this network at the critical value of \( \lambda \). The linear functions of our auxiliary network have a special structure—each is either constant or a constant minus \( \lambda \)—that allows us to apply an algorithm of Karp and Orlin \[9\] for solving this variant of the parametric shortest path problem, and thereby to optimize in polynomial time the angular resolution of our drawings.

### 2 Drawings with symmetric faces

The algorithm of \[6\] can be used to find a non-optimal face-symmetric drawing, when one exists, in linear time; therefore, in the algorithms here we will assume that such a drawing has already been given. We summarize here the relevant properties of the drawings produced in this way.

In a face-symmetric drawing, any two opposite edges of any face must be parallel and of equal length \[6\]. The transitive closure of this relation of being opposite on the same face partitions the edges of the drawing into equivalence classes, which we call *zones*. Any zone consists of a set of edges that are parallel and have equal lengths. (Note, however, that edges in different zones may also be parallel and have equal lengths.) The line segments connecting opposite pairs of edge midpoints within each face can be grouped together into a collection of curves which can be extended to infinity away from the interior faces to form a weak pseudoline arrangement. Each zone is formed by the drawing edges that cross one of the curves of this arrangement. This construction is depicted in Figure 2. Note that these weak pseudoline drawings are a useful expository concept, but are never created or manipulated by our algorithm.

Thus, the positions of all the vertices of the drawing are determined, up to translation of the whole drawing, by a choice of a vector for each zone that specifies the orientation and length of the zone’s edges. For, if an arbitrarily chosen base vertex has its placement fixed at the origin, the position of any other vertex \( v \) must be the sum of the vectors corresponding to the weak pseudolines that separate \( v \) from the base vertex. All vertex positions may be computed by performing a depth first search of the graph, setting the position of each newly visited vertex \( v \) to be the position of \( v \)’s parent in the depth first search tree plus the vector for the zone containing the edge connecting \( v \) to its parent.

The algorithm from \[6\] determines the vectors for each zone as follows. Associate a unit vector with each end of each of the pseudolines dual to the drawing, in such a way that these unit vectors are equally spaced around the unit circle in
Figure 2: Converting a face-symmetric drawing into a weak pseudoline arrangement, by drawing line segments connecting opposite pairs of edge midpoints within each face. From [6].

the same cyclic order as the order in which the pseudoline ends extend to infinity. The vector associated with each zone is then simply the difference of the two unit vectors associated with the corresponding pseudoline’s ends, normalized so that it is itself a unit vector.

As shown in [6], this choice of a vector for each zone leads to a face-symmetric drawing without crossings. Additionally, it has two more properties, both of which are important and will be preserved by our optimization algorithm. First, if the planar embedding chosen for the given graph has any symmetries, they will be reflected in the dual pseudoline arrangement, in the choice of zone vectors, and therefore in the resulting drawing.

Second, although it may not be possible to draw the given graph in such a way that the outer face is convex, its concavities are all mild. This can be measured by defining the winding number of any point \( p \) on the boundary of the drawing, with respect to any other point \( q \) also on the boundary, as the sum of the turning angles between consecutive edges along a path counterclockwise around the boundary from \( q \) to \( p \). For any simple polygonal boundary, the winding number from \( p \) to \( q \) is \( 2\pi \) minus the turning angle from \( q \) to \( p \). In a convex polygon, all winding numbers would lie in the range \([0,2\pi]\); in the drawings produced by this method, they instead lie in the range \([-\pi,3\pi]\). That is, intuitively, the sides of any concavity may be parallel but may not turn back towards each other. It follows from this property that the vectors of each zone may be scaled independently of each other, preserving only their relative angles, and the resulting drawing will remain planar [6]. In particular, the step in the algorithm of [6] in which the zone vectors are normalized to unit length leads to a planar drawing. A similar constraint on winding numbers was used in the
algorithm of [3] for finding the optimal angular resolution of a tree drawing, and again led to the ability to adjust edge lengths arbitrarily while preserving the planarity of the drawing. Indeed, tree drawings may be seen as a very special case of face-symmetric drawings in which there are no internal faces to be symmetric.

Thus, we may formalize the problem to be solved, as follows: given a face-symmetric drawing of a graph $G$, described as a partition of the edges of $G$ into zones and a zone vector for each zone, we wish to find a new set of zone vectors to use for a new drawing of $G$, preserving the relative orientation of any two edges that meet at a vertex of $G$, maintaining the constraint that all winding numbers lie in the range $[-\pi, 3\pi]$, and maximizing the angular resolution of the resulting drawing.

3 Algorithmic results

In this section we describe a polynomial-time algorithm for the problem at hand. As described above, the core of our algorithm is a routine that maps a planar face-symmetric drawing $G$ to an auxiliary graph $A$, such that the auxiliary graph may be used as input to a parametric shortest paths algorithm of Karp and Orlin [9], and the output of that algorithm describes a drawing $G'$ isomorphic to $G$ and with maximal angular resolution. The algorithm of [9] runs in polynomial time, so what remains to be seen is the correctness and running time of our mapping routine.

Table 1 summarizes the intuitive relationships between concepts in the drawings $G$ and $G'$ and their representation in the auxiliary graph $A$. As shown in the table, edge zones correspond to auxiliary graph vertices; angular resolution corresponds to the parametric variable $\lambda$; the change in angle of zones between $G$ and $G'$ corresponds to lengths of shortest paths in $A$; and constraints on the angles are represented by edges in $A$. Figure 3 shows a small example input graph $G$ with five zones, and Figure 4 shows the corresponding auxiliary graph $A$.

We now define our notation formally and show that our reduction is correct.

Definition 1 Let

- $G$ be the planar face-symmetric graph and its nondegenerate drawing given as input;
- $Z = \{z_0, z_1, \ldots\}$ be the zones of $G$;
- $t = |Z|$ be the number of zones in $G$;
- $\theta_G(z_i)$ be the angle assigned to edges of zone $z_i$ in $G$, in radians counterclockwise;
- $\angle_G(i,j) = \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i)$ be the counterclockwise turning angle from $\theta_G(z_i)$ to $\theta_G(z_j)$ in $G$;
Figure 3: Example input graph $G$, using degrees rather than radians.

- $A$ be a weighted, directed, auxiliary graph, such that every zone $z_i \in Z$ corresponds to some vertex $v_i$ in $A$, and every edge $(v_i, v_j)$ of $A$ has weight $w(v_i, v_j) = b_{i,j} - m_{i,j} \cdot \lambda$, where $b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}$ are per-edge constants, and $\lambda$ is a graph-wide variable;
- $s$ be a special start vertex in $A$;
- $A$ have a weight 0 edge $(s, v_i)$ for every vertex $v_i \neq s$ in $A$;
- for every pair of distinct zones $z_i, z_j$, such that $\theta_G(z_i) \leq \theta_G(z_j)$ and there exists a face in $G$ with some edge from $z_i$ and some edge from $z_j$, $A$ contains the following edges:
  - an edge $(v_j, v_i)$ with weight $w(v_j, v_i) = \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i) - \lambda$,
  - if a corresponding angle in $G$ is interior, an edge $(v_i, v_j)$ with weights $w(v_i, v_j) = \pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$, and
  - if a corresponding angle in $G$ is exterior, opposing edges with weights $w(v_i, v_j) = 3\pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$ and $w(v_j, v_i) = \pi + \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i)$;
- $\lambda^*$ be the largest value of $\lambda$ such that $A$ contains no negative cycles;
- $d(v_i)$ be the weight of the shortest path from $s$ to $v_i$ in $A$ when $\lambda = \lambda^*$; from the $x$-axis;
Figure 4: Auxiliary graph \( A \) for the input shown in Figure 3, again using degrees. Only the lowest-weight edge between any pair of vertices is shown.

- \( G' \) be the output drawing of \( G \);
- \( \theta_{G'}(z_i) = d(v_i) + \theta_G(z_i) \) be the angle assigned to edges in zone \( z_i \) in the output drawing \( G' \), in radians counterclockwise from the \( x \)-axis;
- and \( \angle_{G'}(i,j) = \theta_{G'}(z_j) - \theta_{G'}(z_i) \) be the angle between \( z_i \) and \( z_j \) in \( G' \), analogous to \( \angle_G(i,j) \) in \( G \).

**Lemma 1** If \( A \) contains any edge from \( v_i \) to \( v_j \) with weight \( w(v_i, v_j) = W \), then \( d(v_j) \leq d(v_i) + W \).

**Proof:** The shortest path to \( v_i \) in \( A \), followed by the edge \( (v_i, v_j) \), is a path to \( v_j \) with total weight \( d(v_i) + W \). Since \( d \) is defined for \( \lambda = \lambda^* \), \( A \) has no negative cycle; so the shortest path to \( v_j \) has weight \( d(v_j) \leq d(v_i) + W \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2** \( G' \) has angular resolution \( \lambda^* \), and every interior face of \( G' \) is non-concave.

**Proof:** Let \( e_i \) and \( e_j \) be any pair of edges in \( G \) that form an angle on some interior or exterior face. If \( e_i \) has the lesser absolute angle measure, then by construction there exists an edge \( (v_j, v_i) \) with weight \( w(v_j, v_i) = \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i) - \lambda^* \).
Table 1: Intuitive relationships between drawing concepts and auxiliary graph components.

| Concept in drawing | Representation in auxiliary graph |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Zone $z_i$         | Vertex $v_i$                      |
| Angular resolution | Parametric variable $\lambda$    |
| $\alpha$ is feasible angular resolution | No negative cycles when $\lambda \leq \alpha$ |
| Difference between angles of vectors for zone $z_i$ in unoptimized and optimized drawings | Shortest path distance $d(v_i)$ from $s$ to $v_i$ |
| Angle between $z_i$ and $z_j$ is $\geq \lambda$ | Edge with weight $\theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j) - \lambda$ |
| Interior faces are convex | Edge with weight $\pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$ |
| Exterior boundary is mildly convex | Opposing edges with weight $w(v_i, v_j) = 3\pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$ and $w(v_j, v_i) = \pi + \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i)$ |

where $v_i$ and $v_j$ are the zones for $e_i$ and $e_j$, respectively. Thus by Lemma 1

\[
\begin{align*}
  d(v_i) & \leq d(v_j) + w(v_j, v_i) \\
  d(v_i) - d(v_j) & \leq (\theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i) - \lambda^*) \\
  -d(v_i) + d(v_j) & \geq -\theta_G(z_j) + \theta_G(z_i) + \lambda^* \\
  d(v_j) + \theta_G(z_j) - d(v_i) - \theta_G(z_i) & \geq \lambda^* \\
  (\theta_{G'}(z_j)) - (\theta_{G'}(z_i)) & \geq \lambda^* \\
  \angle_{G'}(i, j) & \geq \lambda^*;
\end{align*}
\]

so every corner angle in $G'$ has measure no less than $\lambda^*$.

Now suppose $\angle e_i e_j$ is interior. Then by construction there also exists an opposing edge $(v_i, v_j)$ with weight $w(v_i, v_j) = \pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$. So again by Lemma 1

\[
\begin{align*}
  d(v_j) & \leq d(v_i) + (\pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)) \\
  d(v_j) + \theta_G(z_j) - d(v_i) - \theta_G(z_i) & \leq \pi \\
  (\theta_{G'}(z_j)) - (\theta_{G'}(z_i)) & \leq \pi \\
  \angle_{G'}(i, j) & \leq \pi,
\end{align*}
\]

which implies that $G'$ contains no concave face. \hfill $\square$

**Lemma 3** The winding number of any $p$ and $q$ on the boundary of $G'$ is in the range $[-\pi, 3\pi]$.

**Proof:** As in Lemma 1, let $e_i$ and $e_j$ be edges in $G$ such that $\theta_G(i) \leq \theta_G(j)$. If $e_i$ and $e_j$ form an angle on the boundary of $G$, then the corresponding vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ in $A$ have opposing edges with weights $w(v_i, v_j) = 3\pi + \theta_G(z_i) - \theta_G(z_j)$
and \( w(v_j, v_i) = \pi + \theta_G(z_j) - \theta_G(z_i) \). Then by algebraic manipulations symmetric to those in Lemma 2
\[
-\pi \leq \angle_{G'}(i, j) \leq 3\pi.
\]

**Theorem 2** The output graph \( G' \) is a planar face symmetric drawing isomorphic to the input drawing \( G \), such that all boundary winding numbers lie in the range \([-\pi, 3\pi]\), and the angular resolution of \( G' \) is maximal for any such drawing. Given \( G, G' \) may be generated in \( O(t^3) \) time.

**Proof:** Identifying the zones of \( G \) and constructing \( A \) may be done naively in \( O(t^2) \) time. The value \( \lambda^* \) and corresponding distances \( d(v_i) \) for every vertex \( v_i \) in \( A \) may be reported by invoking the algorithm of Karp and Orlin. That algorithm runs in \( O(N^3) \) time, where \( N \) is the number of vertices in the algorithm’s input graph. We pass our auxiliary graph to the Karp-Orlin algorithm; \( A \) has \( t \) vertices, so this step takes \( O(t^3) \) time. The \( d(v_i) \) distances define the zone angles \( \theta_{G'} \), allowing the drawing \( G' \) to be output in linear time as described in Section 2.

Our method of choosing new angles for the zone vectors implies that \( G' \) is isomorphic to \( G \) and every interior face of \( G' \) is centrally symmetric. By Lemmas 2 and 3, every interior face of \( G' \) is convex, and the exterior boundary of \( G' \) satisfies the convexity constraint.

Finally we must show that \( G' \) has maximal angular resolution. Let \( H \) be any correct drawing of the graph \( G \), and let \( H \) have angular resolution \( \lambda_H \). Then \( A \) has no negative cycles for \( \lambda = \lambda_H \): for, suppose we replace every weight \( w(v_i, v_j) \) with \( w'(v_i, v_j) = w(v_i, v_j) + \theta_H(v_i) - \theta_H(v_j) \), where \( \theta_H(v_i) \) is the angle assigned to zone \( z_k \) in \( H \). Any cycle contains an equal number of \( +\theta_H(v_k) \) and \( -\theta_H(v_i) \) terms for each \( v_i \) in the cycle, so our transformation does not change total cycle weights, and hence preserves negative cycles. Each edge has nonnegative weight, so no negative cycles exist in \( A \). We use the Karp-Orlin algorithm to find the largest value \( \lambda^* \) for which \( A \) contains no negative cycle, and by Lemma 2 the output graph \( G' \) has angular resolution \( \lambda^* \). Thus the angular resolution of \( G' \) is greater than or equal to that of any correct drawing \( H \). □

**4 Experimental results**

We implemented a simplified version of the algorithm described in Section 3 in the Python language. Our simpler algorithm performs a numerical binary search for \( \lambda^* \) rather than an implementation of the Karp-Orlin parametric search algorithm. We make binary search decisions by generating the auxiliary graph as described in Section 3 substituting in the value of \( \lambda \) to obtain real-valued edge weights, then checking for negative cycles with a conventional Bellman-Ford shortest paths computation. This algorithm runs in \( O(t^3 \log W) \) time, where \( t \) is the number of pseudolines (zones) in the drawing and \( W \) is the number of bits of numerical precision used. Our motivation for these experiments was to
Figure 5: Example input (top) and output (bottom) with 15 pseudolines.
Figure 6: Output of our implementation for the graph shown in Figure [1] safely optimized (top) and unsafely optimized (bottom).
confirm that our optimization algorithm makes a noticeable visual improvement over nonoptimized drawings, so we were comfortable trading some running time for ease of implementation. Wall clock time ranged from a matter of seconds for small $t$ to roughly two minutes for $t = 220$ and $W = 64$.

Figure 5 shows a sample of input and output for our implementation. The input is a correct, though suboptimal, planar face-symmetric drawing of a graph with 15 pseudolines generated by the algorithm presented in [6]. The angular resolution of the output is visibly improved. Figure 6 shows the output of the algorithm when the 220-pseudoline graph shown in Figure 1 is used as input. That unoptimized drawing has angular resolution $2\pi/110 \approx 0.0571$ radians. The top output drawing was produced by our optimization algorithm using all correctness constraints, and has angular resolution $2\pi/75 \approx 0.0838$ radians. The bottom drawing is the result of removing the constraint that concavities have opening angles of at least $\pi$ radians, which may in general result in a nonplanar drawing, but in this case yields a planar drawing with an even greater angular resolution of $2\pi/30 \approx 0.209$ radians.

5 Conclusion

We have described two algorithms for generating face-symmetric drawings with optimal angular resolution. The first algorithm runs in strictly cubic time and uses a subsidiary parametric shortest paths algorithm as a black-box subroutine. The second algorithm runs in pseudopolynomial time and relies on the simpler Bellman-Ford shortest paths algorithm. We implemented the latter algorithm and found that it generates output that is both numerically and visibly improved over unoptimized drawings.

Finally we offer the following possible directions for future research:

- We choose the edge length for each zone arbitrarily; can choosing them more carefully lead to improved legibility?
- Can this approach be applied to related types of drawings, such as the projections of high dimensional grid embeddings also studied in [6]?
- Our algorithm respects a fixed embedding. What about allowing for different embeddings, e.g. flipping parts of the graph at articulation vertices? Is it still possible to optimize angular resolution efficiently in this more general problem?
- What about other angle optimization criteria, such as those defined by all angles in the drawing, rather than merely the sharpest angle?
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