Characterization of the Gut Microbiota of Papua New Guineans Using Reverse Transcription Quantitative PCR
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Abstract

There has been considerable interest in composition of gut microbiota in recent years, leading to a better understanding of the role the gut microbiota plays in health and disease. Most studies have been limited in their geographical and socioeconomic diversity to high-income settings, and have been conducted using small sample sizes. To date, few analyses have been conducted in low-income settings, where a better understanding of the gut microbiome could lead to the greatest return in terms of health benefits. Here, we have used quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction targeting dominant and sub-dominant groups of microorganisms associated with human gut microbiome in 115 people living a subsistence lifestyle in rural areas of Papua New Guinea. Quantification of Clostridium coccoides group, C. leptum subgroup, C. perfringens, Bacteroides fragilis group, Bifidobacterium, Atopobium, Prevotella, Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, and Lactobacillus spp. was conducted. Principle coordinates analysis (PCoA) revealed two dimensions with Prevotella, clostridia, Atopobium, Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, and Lactobacillus grouping in one dimension, while B. fragilis, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus grouping in the second dimension. Highland people had higher numbers of most groups of bacteria detected, and this is likely a key factor for the differences revealed by PCoA between highland and lowland study participants. Age and sex were not major determinants in microbial population composition. The study demonstrates a gut microbial composition with some similarities to those observed in other low-income settings where traditional diets are consumed, which have previously been suggested to favor energy extraction from a carbohydrate rich diet.
Introduction

The human digestive system comprises a huge number of bacteria ($\sim 10^{14}$) which interact closely with the host to impact on our health. The gut microbiome aids digestion, thus delivering nutrients and vitamins; and helps prevent infection through protection against colonization and modulation of the immune system [1,2]. In addition to these fundamental roles, we are now recognizing the broader implications for human health that the gut microbiome may have. Over the past 10–12 years the potential interactions between the gut microbiome and non-communicable diseases such as obesity and autism spectrum disorder have been of great interest [3–6]. These and other studies have highlighted the need for a better understanding of the core composition of the gut microbiota, and the impact of diet and other factors on gut composition. As a consequence, and as a result of rapidly advancing techniques, there has been a rapid increase in studies investigating the microbiome of the human digestive system in recent years.

Researchers have sought descriptions of the composition of the gut microbiota, resulting in the notion of enterotypes, i.e. clusters of microbes in which the composition of the population is ‘driven’ by a genus or group of specific bacteria [7]. Initially three principle human enterotypes were proposed; and were considered ‘cross-national’, in that they were not geographically confined. However, the conclusions were drawn from only 39 individuals, all from high-income countries. One year later, an independent study [8] based on 98 individuals looked at the impact of diet on gut microbial composition, and sought to determine the validity of the previously proposed enterotypes [7]. Two major findings of the study were that the gut composition was better described by two enterotypes; and these enterotypes correlated with long-term diet. The two predominant enterotypes were a Bacteroides-dominated type and a Prevotella-dominated type. The Bacteroides-dominated enterotype was associated with high protein and animal fat consumption, whereas individuals with a high carbohydrate intake more commonly had a Prevotella dominated enterotype [8].

Prior to the proposal of enterotypes, a difference between the gut microbiota of European children to that of children consuming a traditional high fiber diet in Burkina Faso was demonstrated [9], suggesting that gut microbial composition is not consistently cross-national. In the Burkina Faso children the predominant species included Prevotella spp. and Xylanibacter spp., bacteria able to hydrolyse cellulose and xylan. It was hypothesized that this Prevotella-rich gut flora may enable children to maximize energy intake from their carbohydrate and fiber rich diet.

Despite the role the gut microbiome plays in human health, to date most studies investigating the microbial composition have focused on people living in socio-economically developed countries. Relatively few studies have investigated the composition of the gut microbiota in low socio-economic settings where the greatest improvement in health outcomes is needed; and specifically, where the burden of infectious diseases remains high. Based on the limited data to date, it appears that there are differences in the composition of gut microbiota, both within high-income European countries [10]; and particularly when comparing people from socio-economically developed settings to those that live a non-Western or traditional subsistence lifestyle [9,11]. Moreover, when detailed analyses have been conducted in low socio-economic settings, typically studies have focused on children and sample sizes have been small [9,12,13]. Two recent studies in Malawi have used appreciable sample sizes, although with the focus on children only [14,15]; with only one sizable study looking at adults and children from high- and low-income settings [16]. Thus, there remain large gaps in our knowledge in this field, particularly from the Asia-Pacific region. To address these issues, we have used reverse transcriptase real-time PCR to determine the prevalence of the recognized important groups of bacteria.
in the gut of adults and children in the highlands and lowlands of Papua New Guineans living a predominantly traditional lifestyle.

Methods
Ethics Statement
Participation in the study was voluntary, and all participants or parent/guardian (for participants under the age of 18 years) provided written informed consent. Ethics approval was granted by the Papua New Guinea (PNG) Institute of Medical Research (IMR) institutional review board (Ethics 10.25), and the PNG Medical Research Advisory Council (Ethics # 11.25).

Sample Collection
Samples were collected from two PNG highland regions (Asaro Valley, approximately 20 km from the provincial capital of Goroka in Eastern Highlands Province and the Tari Basin, Hela Province) and one lowland region (East Maprik, East Sepik Province). Participants from the highland regions consume sweet potatoes as their staple food, supplemented by edible leafy vegetables, while those from the lowland consume sago starch and edible leafy vegetables, and various starchy crops (i.e., banana, yam, taro). The participants from both regions occasionally consume pig meat, but the contribution to total protein intake is limited [17,18].

Samples were collected in highland regions in February to March, 2012; and in the lowland region in September, 2012. Demographic information (i.e. sex, age) and history of antibiotic use was collected by face-to-face interview. Age was estimated by referring to the demographic database completed by members of the research team during earlier fieldwork [17,19]. Height was measured to the nearest 1 mm using a field anthropometer (GPM, Switzerland). Weight measurements were taken to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale (Tanita Japan), without shoes and in light clothing. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as a function of body weight (kg) divided by squared height (m) and rounded to 1 decimal place.

Participants were given a clean plastic bag for faecal collection at the participant’s earliest convenience. Once a stool specimen had been passed it was given to a member of the study team, who preserved it in RNAlater (Life Technologies) to stabilise nucleic acids. Initially a 10-fold dilution (w:v) of stool was prepared in RNAlater.

RNA extraction
A further 5-fold dilution of the stool sample in RNAlater (final ratio 1:50) was conducted. A 200 μl aliquot was washed in phosphate buffered saline, centrifuged, and the pellet stored at IMR laboratories at -80°C. The pellet was then sent to Japan for analysis. RNA was extracted using a modified method described by Matsuda et al. [20]. Briefly, the thawed sample was resuspended in a solution containing 346.5 μl of RLT buffer (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany), 3.5 μl of β-mercaptoethanol, and 100 μl of Tris-EDTA buffer. Glass beads (300 mg; diameter, 0.1 mm) (Tomy Seiko, Tokyo, Japan) were added to the suspension, and the mixture was disrupted vigorously for 5min using a ShakeMaster Auto (Biomedical Science, Tokyo, Japan). 500 μl of acid phenol was added and mixed, and the mixture was incubated for 10 min at 60°C. After incubation, the mixture was added to 100 μl of chloroform-isoamilalcohol (24:1) and mixed by vortex. Following the centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, 450 μl of the supernatant was collected and added to an equal volume of chloroform-isoamilalcohol. After mixing by vortex, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min, 400 μl of supernatant was collected and subjected to isopropanol precipitation. Finally, the nucleic acid fraction was suspended in 200 μl of nuclease-free water (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, USA) and frozen at -80°C until use.
Bacterial microbiota composition

We conducted Yakult Intestinal Flora-SCAN (YIF-SCAN) analysis based on reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis using methods previously described [20,21]. In brief, primers targeting either the 16S rRNA or 23S rRNA region of the genome were used to detect dominant and sub-dominant gut microbes. Target organisms and their lower limit of detection are listed in Table 1. RT-qPCR was conducted by using an OneStep RT-PCR kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). RT-PCR amplification and detection were performed in 384-well optical plates on an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The total bacterial count obtained by RT-qPCR is shown as the sum of the counts of 10 bacterial groups and one species. The count of Lactobacillus obtained by RT-qPCR is expressed as the sum of the counts of six subgroups and two species.

Quantification of bacterial populations was conducted by correlating RT-qPCR outputs to previously obtained qPCR, FISH and/or culture results [20].

Data analysis

Data were entered into a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) as log bacterial counts, and basic statistical analysis conducted. All statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS v20. Non Linear Principle Components Analysis, also known as Principle coordinates analysis (PCoA), was

---

**Table 1. Detection of bacteria using RT-qPCR.**

| Organism                  | Detection limit (log_{10} /g) | Primers                          | Mean ± SD (log_{10} / g) | Prevalence |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| **Phylum Firmicutes**     |                               |                                  |                          |            |
| *Clostridium cocoides*    | 5.0                           | Matsuki et al, 2004              | 8.9 ± 0.7                | 98%        |
| *C. leptum* subgroup       | 5.0                           | Matsuki et al, 2004              | 9.3 ± 0.7                | 99%        |
| *C. perfringens*          | 2.6                           | Matsuda et al, 2009; Kikuchi et al, 2002 | 4.5 ± 1.2                | 66%        |
| *Enterococcus* spp        | 3.0                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 6.5 ± 1.5                | 84%        |
| *Staphylococcus* spp      | 3.0                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 4.2 ± 0.8                | 53%        |
| *Lactobacillus gasseri*   | 2.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 4.1 ± 1.1                | 54%        |
| *L. reuteri* subgroup      | 2.7                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 4.7 ± 1.3                | 51%        |
| *L. ruminis* subgroup      | 2.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 6.0 ± 1.6                | 66%        |
| *L. plantarum* subgroup    | 2.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 3.3 ± 1.1                | 3%         |
| *L. sakei* subgroup        | 2.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 2.8 ± 0.5                | 8%         |
| *L. casei* subgroup        | 2.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 3.1                      | 1%         |
| *L. brevis*               | 2.8                           | Matsuda et al, 2009              | 3.8 ± 1.0                | 3%         |
| *L. fermentum*            | 4.0                           | Watanabe, 1998                   | 4.9 ± 0.5                | 3%         |
| **Phylum Bacteroidetes**  |                               |                                  |                          |            |
| *Bacteroides fragilis*    | 5.0                           | Matsuki, 2007                    | 6.8 ± 0.9                | 88%        |
| *Prevotella* spp           | 4.0                           | Matsuki et al, 2004              | 9.0 ± 0.9                | 92%        |
| **Phylum Actinobacteria** |                               |                                  |                          |            |
| *Bifidobacterium* spp.    | 5.1                           | Matsuki et al, 2004              | 7.0 ± 1.3                | 70%        |
| *Atopobium* cluster        | 5.9                           | Matsuki et al, 2004              | 8.1 ± 0.8                | 100%       |
| **Phylum Proteobacteria** |                               |                                  |                          |            |
| *Enterobacteriaceae*      | 4.3                           | Matsuda et al, 2007              | 7.4 ± 1.1                | 97%        |

Phylogenetic groups of organisms detected using RT-qPCR, the source of primers that were used and the limit of detection for each group. The mean number of organisms detected (± standard deviation) in faecal samples from Papua New Guinean study participants (n = 115), and the prevalence of detection is also provided.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.t001
conducted using the original data and the CATPCA program in SPSS. All variables were transformed as spline ordinal (degree = 2, the number of internal knots = 2) and two components were extracted. Variables were removed from analysis if the total variance explained for the variable in the analysis was less than 25%. Relationships between gut microbial composition and age, sex, regions were sought. For age, study participants were grouped as <5 years old (children), 5–17 years old (older children and adolescents, but referred to as ‘adolescents’ to avoid confusion with the ‘children’ group), and ≥18 years (adults). Participants were categorized as either living in the highlands or the lowlands for regional analysis. Comparisons were conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test.

Results

A total of 126 samples were collected: 29 from Asaro Valley, 60 from Tari Basin (collectively the highland samples) and 37 from Maprik (lowland samples). Of the 126 samples tested using reverse transcriptase qPCR, 11 samples failed to amplify and were excluded from analysis. An overview of the basic demographic data for the remaining 115 participants is provided in Table 2. The age range of participants was 2 to 66 years, with an average of 30 years (median 28 years). Twenty-six participants were under 18 years of age, but only three of the juveniles were under 5 years of age. The majority of participants (71/115) were male. Participants < 18 years old were excluded from BMI analysis.

Table 1 provides the average number of each bacterial group detected in the 115 study participants, and the frequency of detection (prevalence). The most abundant bacteria were the Clostridium leptum subgroup (log_{10} 9.3±0.7), followed by Prevotella spp (log_{10} 9.0±0.9), C. coxoides group (log_{10} 8.9±0.7) and Atopobium cluster (log_{10} 8.1±0.8). Each of these four groups of bacteria was present in ≥92% of samples. S1 Table provides the raw data.

The average number of Prevotella spp. was significantly greater than average number of Bacteroides fragilis group (log_{10} 6.8±0.9) (S1 Fig.). Individually, 101 of 115 participants had a higher number of Prevotella spp. than B. fragilis group. In nine of the 14 participants for which B. fragilis group was more abundant than Prevotella spp, the later was not detected (detection limit of log_{10}5.0); and in one sample numbers of Prevotella spp. and B. fragilis group were comparable (log_{10}8.0). Due to nonlinear relationships present between variables in the data set, PCoA was used to extract two components. Prevotella grouped with six other groups of bacteria tested for in this study, whereas B. fragilis grouped with two other groups (Table 3).

Table 2. An overview of the demographic data of study participants, including age, sex, location and average BMI.

| Location | Average age (age range) years | Sex (M:F) | BMI all adults | BMI male adults | BMI female adults |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| Overall  | 30 (2–66)                    | 71: 44    | 23.27         | 23.16          | 23.45            |
| (n = 115)|                              | (n = 89)  | (n = 54)      | (n = 35)       |
| Goroka   | 29 (4–66)                    | 13: 14    | 23.84         | 23.50          | 24.09            |
| (n = 27) |                              | (n = 19)  | (n = 8)       | (n = 11)       |
| Lebani   | 26 (15–49)                   | 20: 2     | 23.11         | 23.11          | NA               |
| (n = 22) |                              | (n = 14)  | (n = 14)      | (n = 0)        |
| Maprik   | 35 (12–58)                   | 18: 11    | 22.07         | 22.54          | 21.27            |
| (n = 29) |                              | (n = 27)  | (n = 17)      | (n = 10)       |
| Tari     | 30 (2–65)                    | 20: 17    | 24.10         | 23.72          | 24.50            |
| (n = 37) |                              | (n = 29)  | (n = 15)      | (n = 14)       |

BMI was calculated on adult study participants only.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.t002
two components explain 62.09% of the variance in the data set. The outcome of PCoA is illustrated in Fig. 1. The results of the PCoA support an inverse relationship between *Prevotella* spp. and *B. fragilis* group with the two factor loading for these species having opposite signs.

Statistical analysis was conducted to determine whether socio-demographic factors (sex, region, and age) impacted on the composition of the gut microbiota. Using PCoA outcomes, clustering occurred according to region (Fig. 2). No clear trends were observable by sex or age (Figs. 3 and 4). Specific comparisons were conducted for key microbial groupings primarily based on phyla (Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria), but also using total bacterial numbers and total *Lactobacillus*. Kolmogov-Smirnov analysis revealed non-normal distribution of data. Appropriate descriptive statistic analyses were conducted, revealing differences in multiple microbial populations in highland and lowland populations (Table 4), and relatively fewer differences due to age (Table 5) and sex (Table 6). The differences observed in bacterial populations of highland compared to lowland individuals were also observed when only adults were included in analysis (S2 Table).

**Discussion**

To date, human gut composition studies have lacked global representation; and emphasis has been placed on very detailed analysis of often undersized sample sizes. We have applied RT-qPCR to detect and quantify dominant and sub-dominant groups of microbes known to inhabit the human gut of Papua New Guinean people living a mostly traditional subsistence-based lifestyle. Our study revealed differences in the gut composition of highland and lowland people in PNG, and differences in children and adults. Also of interest is that *Prevotella* was detected in higher numbers than *Bacteroides* in the majority (88%) of study participants, and there was an inverse relationship between the two genera.

Differences in gut microbial composition based on location and age of study participants have been observed in other studies, though geographical variation has more commonly been investigated at the inter-country level rather than the intra-country level [9,16]. In this study we compared populations with similar socio-economic conditions and subsistence lifestyle. Of the seven phylogenetic groups that cluster in dimension 1 (Table 3), five were present in the

| Table 3. Principle coordinates analysis loadings for microbial groups in the gut of Papua New Guinean study participants. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Dimension**                                                                                                     |
|                                                                                                                  |
| 1                                                                                                                  |
| 2                                                                                                                  |
| C. coccoides group                                                                                                 |
| 0.841                                                                                                              |
| C. leptum subgroup                                                                                                 |
| 0.878                                                                                                              |
| B. fragilis                                                                                                       |
| -0.604                                                                                                             |
| Bifidobacterium                                                                                                   |
| 0.683                                                                                                              |
| *Atopobium* cluster                                                                                               |
| 0.888                                                                                                              |
| *Prevotella*                                                                                                      |
| 0.775                                                                                                              |
| Enterobacteriaceae                                                                                                |
| 0.754                                                                                                              |
| Enterococcus                                                                                                      |
| 0.720                                                                                                              |
| *Staphylococcus*                                                                                                  |
| 0.491                                                                                                              |
| C. perfringens                                                                                                    |
| All variables considered to be spline ordinal (degree = 2, no. of internal knots = 2); VAF = 62.09%. |

All variables considered to be spline ordinal (degree = 2, no. of internal knots = 2); VAF = 62.09%.
vast majority of samples analysed (≥92%), and the enterococci were present in 84% of samples (Table 1). This component represents the organisms that are commonly present, and often present in high numbers relative to many of the other organisms detected in this study. The staphylococci were an exception to this observation; they clustered in dimension 1 despite a

Fig 1. Output of PCoA showing 2 dimensions. Prevotella groups into dimension 1 (red circle) with other commonly detected bacterial groups such as the clostridia, Enterobacteriaceae and Atopobium cluster. Bacteroides fragilis group is a component of dimension 2 (blue eclipse) and has an inverse relationship with Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.g001
relatively low rate of detection and mean bacterial numbers. Organisms in dimension 1 (excluding staphylococci) contributed to the core gut microbiota of people in PNG.

In Papua New Guineans *Prevotella* predominated over *Bacteroides*: this is likely a reflection of the diet and subsistence lifestyle of the study participants. De Filippo et al [9] found *Prevotella* (along with *Xylanibacter* and *Treponema*) to be present in children from Burkina Faso but not in European children. The authors hypothesized that the presence of these genera were on account of the high fiber diet, and that the bacteria maximize energy extraction from plant polysaccharides. Wu and colleagues [8] recently confirmed a link between *Bacteroides*-rich enterotypes and high protein, high animal-fat diets, and *Prevotella*-rich enterotypes with high
carbohydrate diets. Although “western foods” such as rice and tinned meat are available and commonly consumed in PNG, these foods contribute more to the diet of urbanized Papua New Guineans than people living a rural subsistence lifestyle. For the latter, the focus of this study, garden foods continue to primarily contribute to daily energy intake [21,22]. As such, much of the diet consists of complex carbohydrates rather than refined carbohydrates; and protein intake is lower than in western diets [23]. A food frequency questionnaire recently conducted by our research team in the highland study sites confirmed that people living in these communities do not meet the biologically required protein intake [24].

Bäckhed et al [25] observed that Prevotella and Bacteroides coexist if the community is predominant in Firmicutes, whereas in communities dominated by Bacteroidetes the two Gram
negative genera are mutually exclusive. In our study participants Prevotella and Bacteroides co-existed (both organisms present in 80% of samples), and Firmicutes were detected in higher numbers than Bacteroidetes. However, our methods did not comprehensively detect all bacteria within the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, thus it is difficult to make a direct comparison to other settings.

Although always represented in PNG samples and typically in high numbers, there are lower numbers of bacteria clustering in dimension 1 in lowland participants than in highland participants (Fig. 2 and Table 4). The causes of the difference between highland and lowland
populations are difficult to ascertain in this study. People living in the PNG highlands (1,500–2,300 meters altitude) have a diet that differs to some degree from the lowland diet, though both are subsistence based and are broadly similar (high in carbohydrates, the primary source of carbohydrate varies; and low in protein). The homology/heterogeneity of the core gut microbiome across the genetic spectrum has not been fully elucidated [7,9]. If genetic factors influence human microbiome composition this may be a contributing factor; as PNG and nearby island communities are renowned for the genetic diversity of their people. In general lowland people have recent Austronesian influences on their genetic composition (including non-Papuan Melanesian, Polynesian and Micronesian influences), relative to the more remote highland people in whom genetic composition is more homogenous [26–28]. The true extent of microbiotal composition differences between highland and lowland populations, and the mechanisms of variation, warrants further investigation, ideally in conjunction with detailed analysis of dietary intake.

We observed differences in Actinobacteria (Bifidobacterium and Atopobium) and Lactobacillus in children, adolescents (children over 5 to 17 years old) and adults (Table 5). These findings correlate with recent findings, in which changes in the composition of the gut flora are shown to occur with age. Perhaps the greatest change occurs at the time of weaning, prior to which Bifidobacterium (or other genera of Actinobacteria) constitute a major proportion of the gut flora [9]. However, the change is not as abrupt as once thought, with Bifidobacterium being more abundant in adolescents than adults in a study conducted in the USA [29]. Differences in gut composition have also been noted in later life, though in participants of a greater age than our most senior study participants [30].

Table 4. Comparison of population numbers of selected microbial groups in the highland and lowland study participants.

| Microbial Group | Lowland (n = 29) | Highland (n = 86) | Statistical analysis | P-value |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
| Bacteroidetes   | 8.63 ± 0.740    | 9.01 ± 1.013    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.005  |
| Firmicutes      | 8.92 ± 0.629    | 9.63 ± 0.577    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.000  |
| Enterobacteriaceae | 5.91 ± 2.376  | 7.60 ± 0.953    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.000  |
| Actinobacteria  | 7.46 ± 0.854    | 8.49 ± 0.586    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.000  |
| Total Lactobacillus | 3.65 ± 2.588  | 5.44 ± 2.175    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.001  |
| Total bacteria  | 9.23 ± 0.621    | 9.86 ± 0.536    | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.000  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.t004

Table 5. Comparison of population numbers of selected microbial groups in children, adolescents and adults.

| Microbial Group | Child (n = 4) | Adolescent (n = 22) | Adult (n = 89) | Statistical analysis | P-value |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
| Bacteroidetes   | 9.15 ± 0.76  | 8.97 ± 0.85         | 8.89 ± 1.00   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.936  |
| Firmicutes      | 9.69 ± 0.74  | 9.48 ± 0.66         | 9.44 ± 0.67   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.986  |
| Enterobacteriaceae | 7.20 ± 0.99  | 7.36 ± 1.80         | 7.14 ± 1.60   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.469  |
| Actinobacteria  | 9.16 ± 0.37  | 8.35 ± 0.69         | 8.16 ± 0.81   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.030  |
| Total Lactobacillus | 7.53 ± 1.02  | 5.38 ± 2.49         | 4.78 ± 2.37   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.033  |
| Total bacteria  | 10.00 ± 0.56 | 9.73 ± 0.61         | 9.69 ± 0.63   | Kruskal-Wallis       | 0.829  |

Children < 5 years old; adolescents 5–17 years old; adults ≥18 years old. All Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted on child, adolescent and adult groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.t005
Our lactobacilli primer set detects a broad range of *Lactobacillus* spp. [20], included those identified as major genera in the human gut in independent studies [31,32]. Thus we were able to gain an approximation of the overall number of lactobacilli while also determining the predominant subgroups. In doing so we have shown *L. ruminis* subgroup to be the most prevalent and abundant group of lactobacilli in our study participants relative to other groups.

The association with increased Firmicutes in obese humans and animal models [4,6] has led to speculation about a role for these organisms in obesity. The majority of studies have been conducted in high income settings; however, Xu and colleagues [33] used quantitative PCR to demonstrate reduced Bacteriodetes and an increased Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio in obese school children in the minority Kazakh people from under-developed farming communities in rural China. Our analyses did not use universal primers to target all Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, thus it is difficult to interpret how our findings pertain to obesity. Moreover, the relationship between Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio and obesity is more complex than initially thought [34,35]. Nonetheless, it is possible that high numbers of Firmicutes may be an evolutionary advantage in people living a truly subsistence lifestyle (such as the people of PNG) due to an increased energy harvesting efficiency. Metagenomic approaches are required to further elucidate relationships between gut microbiota and obesity in this and other Pacific populations, where obesity and type 2 diabetes are becoming problematic.

The application of RT-qPCR does not enable the detection of all bacteria in the gut, only those targeted by the primers used (Table 1). The primers used in this study have been shown to detect a large range of bacteria, albeit culturable species. However, the primers have been shown to amplify various species within the target taxonomic group, while being specific for the target group [36,37]. As such, the primers used in our study are likely to amplify closely-related non-culturable species should they be present. Importantly, the higher taxonomic groups found to predominate in this study using RT-qPCR are consistent with the findings of other studies using sequence based technologies and microarrays [8,38,39]; and Tap et al [40] found cloning-based 16S rRNA sequence data to closely match qPCR data for the dominant species in their study. Thus, while this analysis does not allow for the detection of the full breadth of microbes present, we have been able to characterize the gut microbiota based on the predominant groups.

Our study documents important observations regarding the composition of the gut microbiota of people living a subsistence lifestyle in PNG, in particular the predominance of *Prevotella* over *Bacteroides* and an insight into the core gut microbiota. Future studies are warranted using PCR independent detection methods that accurately characterize the entire gut microbiome as opposed to targeting selected dominant and sub-dominant microbial taxa; and ideally correlate gut microbiome composition to diet and activity. Recent studies have begun exploring

---

**Table 6. Comparison of population numbers of selected microbial groups in male and female study participants.**

| Microbial Group     | Bacteria \(\log_{10} \pm \text{std dev}\) | Bacteria \(\log_{10} \pm \text{std dev}\) | Statistical analysis | P-value |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                     | Male (n = 71)                            | Female (n = 44)                          |                     |         |
| Bacteroidetes       | 8.903 ± 0.880                           | 8.941 ± 1.094                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.687   |
| Firmicutes          | 9.505 ± 0.638                           | 9.371 ± 0.701                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.331   |
| Enterobacteriaceae  | 6.937 ± 1.766                           | 7.573 ± 1.255                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.059   |
| Actinobacteria      | 8.190 ± 0.808                           | 8.298 ± 0.787                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.521   |
| Total *Lactobacillus* | 4.885 ± 2.331                      | 5.164 ± 2.537                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.461   |
| Total bacteria      | 9.709 ± 0.588                           | 9.705 ± 0.677                           | Mann-Whitney U test | 0.991   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117427.t006
the interactions of gut microbiota with nutritional status and infectious diseases in Malawi [15,22]; further work should address such issues in other low-income settings such as PNG where the burden of infectious disease is high.
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