Evaluation of Source Identification Method Based on Energy-Weighting Level with Portal Monitoring System Using Plastic Scintillator
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Background: Radiation portal monitors (RPMs) involving plastic scintillators installed at the border inspection sites can detect illicit trafficking of radioactive sources in cargo containers within seconds. However, RPMs may generate false alarms because of the naturally occurring radioactive materials. To manage these false alarms, we previously suggested an energy-weighted algorithm that emphasizes the Compton-edge area as an outstanding peak. This study intends to evaluate the identification of radioactive sources using an improved energy-weighted algorithm.

Materials and Methods: The algorithm was modified by increasing the energy weighting factor, and different peak combinations of the energy-weighted spectra were tested for source identification. A commercialized RPM system was used to measure the energy-weighted spectra. The RPM comprised two large plastic scintillators with dimensions of 174 × 29 × 7 cm3 facing each other at a distance of 4.6 m. In addition, the in-house-fabricated signal processing boards were connected to collect the signal converted into a spectrum. Further, the spectra from eight radioactive sources, including special nuclear materials (SNMs), which were set in motion using a linear motion system (LMS) and a cargo truck, were estimated to identify the source identification rate.

Results and Discussion: Each energy-weighted spectrum exhibited a specific peak location, although high statistical fluctuation errors could be observed in the spectrum with the increasing source speed. In particular, 137Cs and 60Co in motion were identified completely (100%) at speeds of 5 and 10 km/hr. Further, SNMs, which trigger the RPM alarm, were identified approximately 80% of the time at both the aforementioned speeds.

Conclusion: Using the modified energy-weighted algorithm, several characteristics of the energy weighted spectra could be observed when the used sources were in motion and when the geometric efficiency was low. In particular, the discrimination between 60Co and 40K, which triggers false alarms at the primary inspection sites, can be improved using the proposed algorithm.
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Introduction

The radiation portal monitor (RPM) systems, installed at airports or other ports to detect illicit trafficking of radioactive materials, are mostly developed using plastic scin-
illators. These detectors, used to quickly detect radiation emanating from large cargo containers, are manufactured on a large scale [1]. However, plastic scintillators composed of carbon and hydrogen have a higher probability of Compton scattering than the photoelectric effect, and its large size with relatively narrow surface area of PMTs causes many reflections of produced optical photons that gives rise to poor energy resolution in comparison to semiconductor detectors or inorganic scintillators. Thus, when used to identify radioisotopes, their ability to measure the photo-peak of incident gamma radiation emitted from the original radioisotope is limited. Nevertheless, in terms of detecting radioactive materials, the gross count and energy window algorithms have been applied to the conventional RPM system.

The gross count algorithm triggers an alarm when the measured total count rate of radioactive sources in a container exceeds a certain threshold. However, this algorithm cannot distinguish between artificial and natural radionuclides with high accuracy [2]. Owing to poor energy resolution, numerous false alarms have been caused by naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM), which also emit radiation similar to that emitted by the targeted artificial sources. This leads to interruptions in logistics and necessitates secondary inspections.

The energy window algorithm, developed based on the gross count algorithm, discriminates between artificial and natural radionuclides by comparing the ratio of measured counts in a designated energy window of energy spectrum. However, this method is hardly used to discriminate between isotopes such as $^{60}$Co (0.960 and 1.116 MeV) and $^{40}$K (1.243 MeV) that have theoretically similar gamma energy within the same energy window [3].

Therefore, it has been suggested that RPMs should employ NaI(Tl) [4] or high-purity germanium (HPGe) radiation detectors [5], considering the energy resolution of the detector or, alternatively, an algorithm based on the artificial neural network concept that includes the pattern-matching information of the energy spectrum [6]. However, owing to physical constraints and high cost, these systems were also evaluated as being unsuitable for a port environment. Consequently, these new methods have not yet been implemented in a practical RPM system.

The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) has reported that several gamma sources such as $^{137}$Cs or $^{60}$Co have demonstrated an intrinsic Compton maximum in their energy spectrum [7]. Based on the physical properties of plastic scintillators, we had earlier proposed an energy-weighting algorithm that emphasizes the Compton maximum in the form of a peak by multiplying the counts per channel of the energy spectrum with the energy of the corresponding channel [8–11]. Using this algorithm, we could distinguish among $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co, $^{226}$Ra, and $^{22}$Na in a lab-scale experiment. In particular, the energy-weighted spectra of $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co, and $^{226}$Ra set in motion by a vehicle were also successfully identified at one of the domestic ports in Korea, where a commercial RPM was installed. However, in the American National Standard Institute (ANSI) N42.38 [12], ANSI recommends that a total of 19 radiation sources, including special nuclear materials (SNMs), should be used for the performance evaluation of RPM systems. When the concentration of the nuclide of interest increases, even an energy-weighted algorithm may identify the overlap of peak detection regions, thereby reducing the accuracy of nuclide classification.

Therefore, the goals of this study are to formulate an improved energy-weighted algorithm by changing the intensity of energy weighting and evaluate the degree of identification of radiation from various sources through repeated measurements of the spectrum.

Materials and Methods

1. Improvement of Energy-Weighted Algorithm

The energy-weighted algorithm proposed in the previous studies multiplies the counts in each energy bin and the energy corresponding to the same channel (in the form of a coefficient) using the following equation:

$$C_{gw,i} = C_i \times E_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $C_{gw,i}$ is the energy-weighted count of the energy spectrum’s $i^{th}$ bin, $C_i$ is the original optical photon count in the $i^{th}$ bin, and $E_i$ is the energy coefficient in the $i^{th}$ bin [8–11]. Applying the equation, it was observed that the increased area, such as the Compton maximum of the gamma energy spectrum measured by the plastic scintillator, was converted into an identifiable peak as shown in Fig. 1.

However, as the number of sources of interest increases, the number of peak detectable areas also increases. This causes overlaps between the areas and hinders accurate peak detection for source discrimination. Therefore, considering that the energy-weighted algorithm derives different features of the spectrum, Equation (1) was transformed into Equation (2) with the integer constants $a$ and $b$:

$$C_{gw,i} = a \times C_i \times E_i + b$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
The exponents $a$ and $b$ were varied and the resultant energy-weighted spectra of $^{212}$Bi corresponding to these variations are presented in Fig. 2. The energy spectrum of $^{212}$Bi as raw data was calculated using the Geant4 simulation under the same conditions as in the previous study [8]. It was observed that the peak obtained using the $C_i \times E_i$ equation was more emphasized as the exponent of the “count” was raised to power as depicted in Fig. 2A. Further, as the exponent of “energy” was raised, a tremendous increase was observed in the energy-weighted counts in the high-energy region. In the case of simultaneous weighting of the “count” and “energy”, an improved resolution of the peak was observed, as presented in Fig. 2C–2E.

Considering that the degree of spectral resolution and emphasis of statistical fluctuations are different depending on the application of the exponents, three energy-weighting equations were applied simultaneously as follows:

\[ C_{EW,i} = C_i^a \times E_i^b \]  

(2)

i) $C_{EW,i} = C_i \times E_i$

ii) $C_{EW,i} = C_i^2 \times E_i^3$

iii) $C_{EW,i} = C_i^3 \times E_i^6$

These equations were arbitrarily determined considering the number of used radioactive sources. Therefore, they are not optimized value and can be further modified according to the interested radionuclides. And nuclide classification...
was performed in combination with a comparison of the count ratio of the energy spectrum, as depicted in the flowchart presented in Fig. 3.

Furthermore, when the energy-weighted algorithm was applied, the statistical fluctuation of the energy spectrum measured under moving condition is increased as it goes to the high-energy region [10]. And it was found that the maximum peak positions fluctuate within tens of keV due to it. Therefore, it is essential to designate the energy window where can detect fluctuating peaks for each radioisotope of interest.

In the normalized energy weighted spectrum measured under static condition, the peak region is no Poisson distribution. We calculated the width (b to c shown in Fig. 4) be-

**Fig. 3.** Flowchart of radionuclide identification by applying energy-weighted algorithms.

**Fig. 4.** Schematic illustration showing how to determine the peak detectable energy window.
between the maximum peak and a point at 80% height of the peak on the high-energy side. And it was considered as half of the peak detectable energy window. The height at 80% of peak was conservatively decided to search peak in any condition. The same width was additionally applied to the region before the peak as the other half of the energy window (a to b).

2. RPM System under Test and Spectrum Measurement Condition

In this study, the commercialized RPM (Model 4500-3000; Ludlum Measurements Inc., Sweetwater, TX, USA) installed on the test track located at PNNL for performance test was used as shown in Fig. 5. The used RPM model was one of commercialized models have been used at inspection site in seaport or airport. The RPM consists of two 174 x 29 x 7 cm³ sized plastic scintillators made of polyvinyltoluene (PVT) panels bonded with photomultiplier tubes (PMT). The distance between the faces of each panel was 4.6 m, as depicted in Fig. 5. In addition, to divide the signal obtained from the PMTs, signal summing (SS) boards fabricated in-house and a data acquisition (DAQ) board [11] were connected to the PMTs using a T-connector.

The divided signal was converted into an energy spectrum that the original system does not measure. The SS boards conduct the signals synthesized from the two PMTs and the DAQ board converts the composed analog signal into a digital signal. The digitized signals were converted into the energy and energy-weighted spectra through a spectrum-monitoring program based on LabVIEW (version 2014; National Instrument Corp., Austin, TX, USA) which was similar program used in [11] for testing, as presented in Fig. 6. Especially, for the peak detection, we used “threshold peak detection VI” in the LabVIEW. It scans the input data and search valid peaks through evaluating the parameters of threshold and width of spectrum (https://www.ni.com/ko-kr/support/documentation/supplemental/06/peak-detection-using-labview-and-measurement-studio.html).
We measured the energy-weighted spectra of eight radioactive sources including the SNMs listed in Table 1 based on recommended sources [12]. The sources were set in motion using the linear motion system (LMS) and intermodal cargo container (IMCC) depicted in Fig. 7 at speeds of 5 and 10 km/hr along the center track between the two PVT panels. The sources on the LMS of 13.4 m length were in bare condition without any shielding material and their speeds were controlled by computer. The IMCC, including a 6-m steel container, which can be shielding material, was moved using a global positioning system (GPS) to maintain constant speed.

### Results and Discussion

#### 1. Spectrum Analysis of Moving Sources

In this study, the energy spectra of eight radionuclide sources were studied as three types of energy-weighted spectra. It was commonly observed that each energy-weighted spectrum of all the sources had a specific peak location although high statistical fluctuation errors of spectrum were identified as the speed of the source increased.

In case of $^{137}$Cs having a Compton edge at 0.477 MeV theoretically, it was already observed that the peak of the energy-weighted spectrum that originated from the Compton maximum was a feature for nuclide identification in previous studies. Similarly, $^{60}$Co having a clear Compton maximum of the energy spectrum at 1.041 MeV also exhibited a discernable peak in the energy-weighted spectrum [8–11]. Figs. 8 and 9 depict energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra of $^{137}$Cs and $^{60}$Co based on the speed of the moving source. The black solid lines in Figs. 8 and 9 are spectra measured under static conditions for 300 seconds, and there are no expanding distributions except the peak areas, although there were increased weighting levels. Although the source speed and spectral fluctuation were increased, the peak locations of the colored lines were detected in the designated energy range, represented as dotted lines.

On the other hand, as depicted in Fig. 10, $^{226}$Ra is a natural radioactive nuclide and its daughter products emit gamma rays in various energy lines. In the energy-weighted spectrum, the measured counts were highest up to 0.2 MeV and decreased after that. As the weighting factor was increased, the counts within 0.8–1.2 MeV increased, which led to a change in the peak detection area in the $C_3 \times E_6$ energy-weighted spectrum. The peak detection area was designated in a relatively wide energy range compared to other sources owing to high fluctuations, which can disturb accurate peak detection in all energy-weighted spectra.

$^{232}$Th is also a natural radioactive nuclide and exhibits wide count distribution until 0.8 MeV in the $C_3 \times E_6$ energy-weighted spectrum as shown in Fig. 11, where the peak detection
Fig. 7. Sources for spectrum measurement were set in motion by LMS and IMCC.

Fig. 8. Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of $^{137}$Cs of 17.4 μCi measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS) and intermodal cargo container (IMCC).
Fig. 9. Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of $^{60}$Co of 6.2 μCi measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS) and intermodal cargo container (IMCC).

Fig. 10. Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of $^{226}$Ra of 8.1 μCi measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS) and intermodal cargo container (IMCC).
area is similar with $^{226}\text{Ra}$. However, in the $C_i \times E_i^6$ energy-weighted spectrum, a high-count distribution is observed owing to $^{208}\text{Tl}$—one of the daughter products that emit gamma rays at 2.61 MeV. This is a main distinguishing feature that enables $^{232}\text{Th}$ to be identified among $^{226}\text{Ra}$ and other sources.

In the RPM inspection site, $^{40}\text{K}$, which has a Compton edge at 1.243 MeV, gives off a false alarm by being perceived as $^{60}\text{Co}$, whose theoretical Compton edge is at 1.041 MeV. Even when the energy-weighting method was applied, the peaks were misidentified because the peak detection areas were similar to those of $^{60}\text{Co}$, as depicted in Fig. 12. Therefore, an additional algorithmic step comparing the count ratios of $0.9573–1.3781$ MeV and $0.9573–1.1677$ MeV in the $C_i \times E_i$ energy-weighted spectrum was applied after simultaneous peak detection in the three energy-weighted spectra. In the two designated energy ranges, the highest counts different enough to discriminate $^{40}\text{Co}$ and $^{40}\text{K}$ were measured.

The energy spectrum of depleted uranium (DU) having $^{235}\text{U}$ enrichment under 0.72% exhibits relatively high counts under 0.2 MeV and decreases rapidly after that, as presented in Fig. 13. In the three types of energy-weighted spectra, similar distributions are observed with $^{232}\text{Th}$ except in the energy range over 2 MeV in the $C_i \times E_i^6$ energy-weighted spectrum. In the case of highly enriched uranium (HEU) shown in Fig. 14 and weapon-grade plutonium (WGPu) shown in Fig. 15, outstanding peaks were identically detected under 0.4 MeV in the $C_i \times E_i$ and $C_i^2 \times E_i^2$ energy-weighted spectra. However, in the $C_i^2 \times E_i^6$ energy-weighted spectra in motion for the DU and HEU, excessive fluctuations were identically observed outside the expected peak detection area. In case of WGPu, the energy windows for peak detection in $C_i \times E_i^6$ energy-weighted spectrum was not necessary due to that the source was already discriminated clearly from other sources without it.

2. Source Identification Test

The $^{137}\text{Cs}$ and $^{60}\text{Co}$ recommended sources [12] were completely identified at both the speeds of 5 and 10 km/hr implemented by LMS, as presented in Table 2. Further, $^{232}\text{Th}$, which exhibited high spectral fluctuation, was successfully identified by comparison, as $^{226}\text{Ra}$ was discriminated up to 90% at a speed of 5 km/hr. The SNMs that must trigger an RPM alarm were identified up to over 80% at speeds of both 5 and 10 km/hr although they were misidentified as natural sources in some cases. In addition, at a speed of 10 km/hr,
**Fig. 12.** Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of $^{40}$K measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the intermodal cargo container (IMCC).

**Fig. 13.** Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of depleted uranium measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS).
Fig. 14. Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of highly enriched uranium measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS).

Fig. 15. Energy spectra and three types of energy-weighted spectra (averaged value) of weapon-grade plutonium measured under static (black line) for 300 seconds and moving (colored lines) conditions at 5 and 10 km/hr by the linear motion system (LMS).
the energy-weighted spectra of $^{232}$Th, $^{226}$Ra, and DU were evaluated erroneously as similar spectra because their relative geometrical efficiencies decreased.

In the case of the test conducted with the IMCC, most cases were misidentified, except $^{137}$Cs of 58.25 μCi and $^{60}$Co of 27.9 μCi at 5 km/hr, which were discriminated successfully even at 10 km/hr. In the energy-weighted spectra of misidentified sources, relatively high fluctuations were observed, which was attributed to the structure of the container where was surrounded with steel plate which can shield radiation. The sources were placed at the bottom portion of the truck, and their heights from the ground were the same as the centers of the PVT panels. Further, it was also inferred that the radiation scattering increased because of the under-structure of the truck.

The $^{40}$K volume source, however, was identified up to 58.3% at speeds of both 5 and 10 km/hr. Unlike the other sources, the Compton maxima of $^{60}$Co and $^{40}$K were observed in the 1–1.3 MeV region in the energy spectra. It is possible to discriminate between the two nuclides by comparing the count ratio between the designated peak detection regions of the energy-weighted spectra. Although more spectral data is required, it is expected that the high false alarm rate owing to the $^{40}$K source can be reduced by applying the energy-weighting method at the primary inspection site.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the energy spectra of eight radioactive sources set in motion by an LMS and IMCC were measured using a commercialized RPM system; the energy-weighted algorithm was applied to evaluate the identification of the radioactive sources. Further, the variety of spectral characteristics for each source was observed by changing the intensity of energy-weighting, as the energy-weighted algorithm proposed in the previous study did not identify the spectral characteristics sufficiently.

The peak detection areas based on the intensity of energy weighting were organically combined for source identification. Further, count ratios in specific energy regions were compared with respect to similar spectral distribution. This method derived a relatively high identification rate for the eight sources set in motion by the LMS. In particular, $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co, and SNMs were successfully identified up to 80% even at a speed of 10 km/hr. The algorithm proposed in this study was also able to discriminate between $^{60}$Co and $^{40}$K, which triggers false alarms at primary RPM inspections.

Although the majority of cases set in motion by container trucks were identified at a lower rate than expected, it is estimated that the algorithm can be improved through additional studies with respect to the evaluation of the energy-weighted spectrum according to the source locations in the container.
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