High-resolution characterization of centriole distal appendage morphology and dynamics by correlative STORM and electron microscopy
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Centrioles are vital cellular structures that form centrosomes and cilia. The formation and function of cilia depends on a set of centriole’s distal appendages. In this study, we use correlative super resolution and electron microscopy to precisely determine where distal appendage proteins localize in relation to the centriole microtubules and appendage electron densities. Here we characterize a novel distal appendage protein ANKRD26 and detail, in high resolution, the initial steps of distal appendage assembly. We further show that distal appendages undergo a dramatic ultra-structural reorganization before mitosis, during which they temporarily lose outer components, while inner components maintain a nine-fold organization. Finally, using electron tomography we reveal that mammalian distal appendages associate with two centriole microtubule triplets via an elaborate filamentous base and that they appear as almost radial finger-like protrusions. Our findings challenge the traditional portrayal of mammalian distal appendage as a pinwheel-like structure that is maintained throughout mitosis.
Centrioles are microtubule (MT)-based cylindrical structures. Human centrioles are ~500 nm long and exhibit proximal-distal polarity. On their proximal ends, a centriole’s wall is ~230 nm wide and built of nine MT triplets, which consist of one full MT and two partial MTs (Fig. 1). At the beginning of the cell cycle, vertebrate cycling cells have two centrioles. One of them is older and has undergone at least two cell cycles. The younger one was initiated in the previous cell cycle. The proximal end of both centrioles organizes a highly structured supramolecular matrix called the pericentriolar material (PCM), which is the site of MT nucleation and centriole duplication. The distal end of centrioles is the assembly site of two types of electron-dense projections called distal and subdistal appendages (DAs and SDAs, respectively). Only the older centriole, which has a fully assembled distal end harbors appendages, while the distal end of the younger centriole is incomplete. Thus, the younger centriole lacks all functions associated with these structures. DAs are essential for ciliogenesis because they mediate the attachment of ciliary vesicles to mother centrioles and their subsequent fusion with the cytoplasmic membrane. Subdistal appendages anchor MTs and position centrioles and cilia. This generational gap between centrioles ensures that only one centriole forms a primary cilium.

**Fig. 1** Electron microscopy characterization of distal appendages. a Six 80 nm serial sections (S1–6) through a mature centriole, from a HeLa cell, after chemical fixation. On the proximal end, nine microtubule (MT) triplets (S1, arrows) are surrounded by an electron dense pericentriolar material (PCM). Four subdistal appendages (SDAs) are visible in S4 (arrows). The distal appendages (DAs) are visible in S5 and S6 (arrows). b Enlarged detail of S5 from (a). A scheme illustrates major DA features and average dimensions of the DA densities in the crosscut (n = 8 centrioles). Blue arrows: regions of intermediate electron density surrounding a dense DA heads. The centriole A, B, and C MTs are delineated in yellow, green, and blue, respectively. The fibers at the base of the appendage and the head densities are illustrated in orange and dark grey. c Two 80 nm serial sections (S1 and S2) through almost longitudinally sectioned mature centriole from a HeLa cell, after high-pressure freezing and substitution. The filamentous nature of the DA base is accentuated (S2 and enlarged detail from S2). d An 80 nm longitudinal section through a mature centriole from a HeLa cell. Two DAs protrude at an angle of ~34 degrees. Scheme: The average dimensions of DA when sectioned longitudinally (n = 7 centrioles). e Upper row: A zero-degree tilt projection of a 120 nm-thick portion of the basal body, from a multiciliated mTEC containing DAs, used for tomography. A structural model is built for the DA marked by the red square. On the DA model, the centriole A, B, and C MTs are delineated in yellow, green and blue, respectively. A fibrous DA’s base and a head density are delineated in cyan. Middle row: Four bottom-up views showing progressive slicing through the tomogram density and the DA structural model. Bottom row: DA model shown in four different orientations. The very distal end of the head density is missing. A slice-through movie of a reconstructed tomogram and a structural model built for a DA is presented in Supplementary Movie 1 and 2. Scale bars: 200 nm in (a, c, d) and 100 nm in (e).
human health. However, the appendage architecture and the mechanisms that guide their assembly and maintenance are still largely unknown. The assembly of SDAs is initiated by the recruitment of Odf2 around the centriole’s MTs, followed by the recruitment of CCDC68, CCDC120, Cep170, Cep128, and Ninein17–19. Some SDA components such as Ninein17 and Cep17018 are transiently removed from SDAs from late G2 until G1. Although Odf2 remains associated with centrioles throughout the cell cycle, SDA electron microscopy (EM) densities become undetectable in mitosis17. The physiological significance of SDA remodeling in mitosis remains unclear.

So far, several DA proteins (DAPs) have been identified in mammalian cells and all have essential roles in ciliogenesis or ciliary-associated processes19–9,11,12. DA assembly is initiated by the recruitment of CC2CD3, followed by CCDC41/CEP83. CCDC41 is required for the recruitment of CCDC123/Cep89 and SCLT1. SCLT1 is, in turn, needed for the recruitment of FBF1 and Cep164. Once assembled, DAs are thought to be permanent structures, contrary to SDAs. This notion was based on an observation that EM DA densities can be detected throughout interphase and on metaphase centrioles of pig kidney embryo (PE) cells2. However, the levels of centriole-associated Cep164 decrease before mitosis16,20, suggesting that DAs might remodel in mitosis similar to SDAs.

If analyzed by EM, SDAs appear as robust, often striated, cone-shaped dense structures protruding from the centriole’s wall1,2 (Fig. 1a). SDAs are present in variable numbers, while DAs always appear as nine densities (Fig. 1a)1,2,21–24. Based solely on their appearance in two-dimensional EM analyses, DAs have been characterized as trapezoidal pinwheel-like sheets, which are rotated in the opposite direction from the centriole’s MTs2. They are also thought to attach to one MT triplet with the smaller base of the trapezoid.

A challenge in the analysis of appendage organization arises from their small size and biochemical complexity. Recent employment of super resolution microscopy techniques has provided initial insights in the localization of SDA and DAPs, but employment of super resolution microscopy techniques has provided initial insights in the localization of SDA and DAPs, but has not yet been reported. Thus, we decided to combine the strengths of Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (STORM, which can localize fluorescent epitopes with a resolution of ~25 nm), with 2D and 3D EM analysis (which allows for the detection of a centriole’s and its appendage’s ultrastructural features) to unravel the localization of key DAPs, dissect the process of DA assembly, and investigate the dynamic properties of DA components, and correlate them to their ultrastructural features. Our insights greatly advance the understanding of the formation, maintenance, and architecture of these vital cellular structures.

Results
Distant appendages are radial protrusions. Ultrastructural analysis of mammalian DAs is scarce. Prior EM analyses conducted on different species refer to DAs as pericentriolar satellites in jellyfish28, alar sheets in rhesus monkey oviduct22, or transitional fibers in human leukocytes24 and Chlamydomonas29. These analyses provided somewhat different descriptions of DAs, which may reflect their species-specific variability. Thus, we first characterized how DA EM densities appear in human and mouse cells after using chemical fixation followed by plastic embedding and serial 80 nm sectioning2. In cross-sectioned centrioles, DA EM densities are found within two consecutive sections (Fig. 1a, section S5 and S6). When observed from the distal centriole end, we found that each DA is anchored to the centriole via a triangle-shaped base, comprised of filamentous densities. Each triangular base associates with one MT triplet, and with the outer, C tubule, belonging to the adjacent MT triplet (Fig. 1b). The filamentous densities fuse forming a narrower electron dense area, which continues into a wider density (hereafter referred to as the “head”). The dense heads are, additionally, surrounded by an irregularly-shaped material of intermediate density (Fig. 1b, blue arrows). The side of the triangular base which associates with the full MT triplet appears denser. This side in continuity with the head density, is turned ~50 degrees in the opposite direction from MTs (Fig. 1b), and gives a perception of a ‘blade’ as described in ref. 12. The triangular and filament-like nature of the DA base was also visible in RPE-1 (Supplementary Figure 1b), and it was accentuated if high-pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution is used instead of a classic chemical fixation (Fig. 1c).

In cross sectioned centrioles (Fig. 1a, b), the centers of the heads are positioned ~177 nm away from the centriole’s center and are separated by ~117 nm. If the line is drawn to connect a DA head with a centriole center, it always passes between two MT triplets. This DA organization also appears on basal bodies containing primary cilia in RPE-1 cells, and on mouse tracheal epithelial cells (mTEC) harboring motile cilia (Supplementary Figure 1a and b). In a longitudinal orientation, DAs connect to the centriole wall via a narrow density, followed by a dense head (Fig. 1d). DAs angle ~34 degrees with respect to centriole’s longitudinal axis and their length measured from the base to the end of the head is ~148 nm. The bases of DAs are placed ~110 nm from centriole’s distal end.

Our 2D EM analysis contradicts the proposed DA sheet-like appearance, albeit recognizing similar structural elements as previously described. Rather, in our studies, DAs appear as slightly curved, almost radial finger-like protrusions anchored to two MT triplets by a fibrous base. The finger-like appearance of EM densities and their association with two MT triplets were also apparent on oblique, 120 nm-thick sections (Supplementary Figure 1c). However, in 2D EM analysis, imaged densities are merely projections of 3D structures and the perceptual dimensions of DAs are highly sensitive to the sectioning angle, making them difficult to judge. So, to fortify our conclusions, we additionally analyzed DAs by electron tomography, which allowed us to create an accurate 3D model of the DAs (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Movie 1 and 2). This analysis revealed an intricate fibrous structure at the DA’s base and confirmed its association with two adjacent MT triplets. It also revealed the finger-like appearance of DAs, corroborating our conclusions deduced from 2D EM analysis. We thus propose that such DA organization is representative for mammalian centrioles, as it was present in all cell types examined. We suggest that a robust fibrous base anchored to two MT triplets and the finger-like DA arrangement would indeed be more efficient in positioning and stabilizing relatively large DA assemblies around the centriole, when compared to organization as trapezoid-shaped sheets, linked to only one MT triplet.

3D distribution pattern of distant appendage proteins. Microscopy analysis of immunolabeled DAPs previously demonstrated that they form circles of various diameters around the mother centriole (Fig. 2a and 3–5,8). But how the DAPs are arranged with respect to DA EM densities remained unanswered due to the lack of correlative high-resolution light and EM approaches. As the first step toward answering how DAPs localize around centrioles, we analyzed the organization of the core DAPs; CCDC41, SCLT1,
FBF1, and Cep164 by 3D STORM. We used retinal pigment epithelial (RPE-1), HeLa, and mouse inner-medullary collecting duct cells (mIMCD3). Cells expressing Centrin1-GFP (C1-GFP) were plated on coverslips and immunolabeled for individual DAPs. The centriole of interest was first imaged in a wide-field mode to select mother centrioles oriented perpendicular to the imaging plane for STORM imaging. The position of mother and daughter centriole C1-GFP signals were used to determine the mother centriole's proximal-distal orientation (Supplementary Figure 7a). STORM revealed that each DAP exhibits a characteristic nine-fold pattern of distribution (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Figure 2). CCDC41 localized closest to the centriole MTs and was organized in nine discrete densities. These densities frequently contained a central region of lower signal intensity. SCLT1 signal also formed nine densities, but they were localized further from the centriole's center than CCDC41. Like CCDC41, SCLT1 densities often contained a central region devoid of signal. FBF1 appeared as nine densities, which were at a similar distance...
from centriole centers as SCLT1 but showed more variability in size and shape than CCDC41 and SCLT1. Finally, an antibody recognizing the first 112 N-terminal residues of Cep164 revealed that Cep164 occupies a much wider area than the other DAPs. Cep164’s signal was distributed in nine loop-like units, with the loop bending anticlockwise if viewed from the proximal end. The loop-like units often touched laterally, homogenizing the space between DAs (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Figure 2). Such characteristic Cep164 arrangement was preserved on both ciliated or non-ciliated mIMCD3 centrioles (Fig. 2c), and on centrioles of HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure 3a).

We additionally characterized the localization of ANKRD26 (Ankyrin repeat domain 26), a putative Cep164 binding partner (https://thebiogrid.org/), and the knockout of which perturbs protein-protein interactions (Fig. 2e). This region, as an example, contains two tryptophan-rich domains (WW), which facilitate centriole and colocalizes with Cep164. Right: A comparative wide-field and 3D STORM analysis reveals that ANKRD26 organizes as a toroid consisting of irregularly-shaped lobules. Outer and inner diameters in (b, d) are averages ± s.d. Dashed circles in (b, c, d) delineate approximate position of mother centriole microtubules. e A full-length (FL) and three truncated Cep164 constructs were generated to determine the spatial organization of Cep164 on appendages. f The fragments from (e) were expressed in cells depleted of endogenous Cep164, labeled against HA, and analyzed by STORM. The examples of STORM images are shown. The C-terminal, Δ1200, fragment localizes closest the centriole center, indicating a radial Cep164 organization. g Quantification of HA STORM signals. A median line and upper and lower quartile is presented in box-and-whisker plots. Scale bars: 1 μm for all wide-field images of centrioles and 500 nm for STORM images. (This Figure is associated with Supplementary Figure 2 and 3).

Correlative STORM/EM analysis of distal appendage proteins. To unravel where STORM signals localize with respect to EM densities and centriole MTs, we employed correlative 3D STORM and EM analysis. DAPs were first imaged by STORM, post-fixed, and the same centrioles were serially sectioned and imaged by EM, while maintaining the original vertical orientation of centriole through embedding and sectioning. Electron micrographs of centriole sections (80 nm) were manually aligned and scaled up based on the determined shrinking coefficient, which inevitably occurs during EM sample preparation. Then, the corresponding STORM image was aligned with EM micrographs (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Movie 3). CCDC41 signals aligned with the regions below EM head densities. Although filamentous bases of DAs were not preserved well in CLEM experiments (due to the lower structural preservation after immunostaining), CCDC41 most frequently occupied the space above and in between two MT triplets where the appendage bases would normally be observed by EM (Fig. 1c). FBF1 signal colocalized or near-colocalized with head densities. SCLT1 staining colocalized with the tips of the DA heads and often extended beyond them. Characteristic loop-like signals marking the N-termini of Cep164, encircled and extended beyond electron dense heads, filling the regions between DA electron-densities. Such localization of Cep164 N-termini agrees with our prediction that Cep164 C-termini co-localize with the head densities.

Determining the positions of DAPs with respect to centriole MTs and EM head densities, allowed us to superimpose STORM images and obtain their horizontal distribution map. For that purpose, CLEM micrographs were rotated to reach a maximal overlap between EM head densities (Supplementary Figure 15). Following the same rotational scheme, we rotated corresponding STORM images and superimposed them (Fig. 3b). Superimposed images revealed almost radial organization of DAPs, in agreement with EM data. To further validate our CLEM approach and confirm that DAP signals were properly aligned, we additionally performed two-protein STORM analysis. We expected that labeling and imaging two DAPs simultaneously in one channel should result in the pattern obtained by superposition of the CLEM images. Indeed, CCDC41 and SCLT1 formed nine elongated signals, in which the more centrally localized CCDC41 signal could be distinguished from SCLT1 signal, that localized further from the centriole (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Figure 4). A combination of CCDC41 and FBF1 showed a similar pattern. SCLT1 in a combination with FBF1 reduced the inner diameter of Cep164 signal and diminished the appearance of empty regions within Cep164 loops. Thus, two-protein STORM yielded the expected dimensions and the localization of DAP signals,
**Fig. 3** Correlative STORM/EM analysis positions DAP signals with respect to EM densities. **a** RPE-1 C1-GFP cells were immunolabeled for the indicated DAPs and imaged first in a wide-field mode (magenta in inserts), followed by 3D STORM imaging, and correlative electron microscopy analysis. The panels show one 80 nm section containing DA EM densities, the STORM image of the same centriole, merged STORM and EM image, and the STORM image with a scheme delineating the centriole and the DA head densities. The averaged STORM signal is shown in the right column. Averaged signals were pseudo colored. **b** Averaged STORM images from (**a**) were rotated and then superimposed to generate a horizontal distributional map of the DAPs. **c, d** Two-protein STORM analysis of indicated DAPs. Cells were simultaneously labeled for two DAPs in one channel and imaged by STORM. The averaged signals from all nine appendages are shown below. More examples of two-protein STORM are shown in Supplementary Figure 4, where the average outer and inner diameter of the toroid is noted. Scale bars: 400 nm
validating our CLEM approach. We additionally preformed two protein STORM analysis of CCDC41 and ANKRD26 (Fig. 3d). More proximally situated CCDC41 signals were easily distinguished from ANKRD26 signal localized in a larger diameter and more distally, forming a wider ‘platform’ toward centriole distal end.

**DAP signals show a proximal-distal shift.** To determine how each DAP signal is distributed laterally, along the proximal-distal axis of the centriole, we first imaged DAP signals on horizontally oriented centrioles by STORM. CCDC41, SCLT1 and FBF1 signals measured ~52, 88, and 83 nm long foci, respectively (Fig. 4a). Cep164 N terminus was distributed in a wider (~141 nm) signal, which agrees with the thicker lateral distribution of Cep164 on perpendicularly imaged centrioles (Fig. 2c). The width of the ANKRD26 signal was ~115 nm. Multi-color SIM imaging was then used to determine the proximal-distal shift between Cep164 and other DAPs (Fig. 4b). The center of CCDC41 signal was shifted ~81 nm toward the centriole’s proximal end, with respect to Cep164 signal. SCLT1 was positioned ~20 nm toward the proximal end, while FBF1 showed an ~21 nm shift toward the distal end. ANKRD26 colocalized with Cep164. The measurements obtained by multicolor SIM were further corroborated by two-protein STORM. We simultaneously labeled CCDC41 and FBF1, CCDC41 and SCLT1, or CCDC41 and ANKRD26 and measured the lateral distance between the centers of their signal. CCDC41-SCLT1 signals were separated by ~80, and CCDC41-FBF1 by ~100 nm, and CCDC41-ANKRD26 by ~80 nm (Fig. 4c), aligning with our SIM measurements (Fig. 4b). Finally, using the horizontal and vertical distributions of individual DAPs and their relative positions with respect to the EM densities, we created a 3D model for DAPs distribution, as presented in Fig. 4d.

The distribution of DAPs revealed in our experiments is in several aspects different from previously suggested distribution [27]. The most notable distinction is in distribution of Cep164 and FBF1 N-termini with respect to centriole MT triplets and EM densities, which probably originates from the use of different methodological approaches. Our correlative STORM and EM analysis in combination with genetics and two-protein STORM analysis, allowed us to unambiguously determine the localization and organization of DAP domains. Hence, we elucidated a different distribution of Cep164, where its C-termini colocalize with DA electron dense heads, while its WW-rich N-termini extend into the regions between DA’s electron densities and into the regions beyond electron-dense heads, homogenizing the space around the centriole. We also precisely localized N-terminal FBF1 domains to the regions above DA head densities (Fig. 4d).

**Appendages form gradually on maturing mother centrioles.** The localization of the core DAPs along EM densities determined by CLEM follows the proposed recruitment hierarchy [8], in which proteins that localized closer to the centriole wall such as CCDC41 are needed for the accumulation of outer DAPs such as FBF1 and Cep164. This would suggest that during appendage formation on maturing centrioles, the inner DAPs accumulate before the outer DAPs. To address the timing of DA assembly with respect to their second cell cycle, we quantified when various DAPs first associate with the younger mother centrioles (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Figure 5a). The quantification showed that, CCDC41 indeed accumulates first and was detectable on maturing centrioles by G2, often before DNA was visibly condensed (Fig. 5a, b). CCDC41 continued its accumulation through early mitosis, reaching its highest centriolar levels in metaphase. SCLT1, the next DAP on the recruitment hierarchy, accumulated around prophase, while FBF1 and Cep164, the two outer DAPs, were largely absent from maturing centrioles until telophase (Fig. 5a). The analysis of centrosome-associated ANKRD26 levels was somewhat hampered due to the nonspecific scattered immunofluorescence signal associated with both centrioles during mitosis (Supplementary Figure 5b). Nevertheless, we determined that ANKRD26 was not detectable on maturing centrioles in prophase, while it clearly accumulated to the young centrioles after metaphase, thus, slightly earlier than Cep164 and FBF1. The accumulation of Cep164, and FBF1 continued throughout early G1 (within ~1 h after mitosis). Odj2, the essential SDA protein, gradually accumulated on the maturing centrioles through mitosis and early G1 (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Figure 5a).

Next, we used STORM to reveal how DAs form in high resolution. From its first appearance on maturing centrioles in G2, CCDC41 localized in discrete densities, which were spaced at the distances typically found on fully mature centrioles (Fig. 5b). This confirms that CCDC41 can organize in nine-fold fashion even in the absence of outer DAPs. SCLT1, which started to accumulate in prophase, was present in variable densities until late mitosis (Fig. 5c). Cep164 accumulation on younger centrioles was still incomplete in late mitosis and the STORM signal consisted of sporadic irregularly shaped densities (Fig. 5d). In anaphase, ANKRD26 formed a smaller toroid (Fig. 5e) than the one measured in interphase (Fig. 2d). Thus, although inner DA components CCDC41 and SCLT1 start accumulating during centriole’s second G2 phase, in what could be a nine-fold DA scaffold, DAs are not molecularly complete before early G1.

**Distal appendages remodel before mitosis.** We next explored whether DAs, once formed on mature centrioles, are stable structures or are modified in mitosis, as suggested by the reduction of centriole-associated Cep164 [16,20]. First, we quantified the levels of DAPs associated with the older parental centriole and found that they behave differently (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Figure 5a). CCDC41 was present throughout the cell cycle and even transiently accumulated on the centrioles from prophase to metaphase. SCLT1 levels remained relatively constant, although we regularly detected a transient ~20% loss of SCLT1 in prophase. FBF1 levels were on average reduced two-fold by metaphase, but careful analysis has revealed that ~50% of older mother centrioles maintained near-interphase levels, while the other ~50% lost some or most of the signal (Fig. 6b). STORM analysis of the remaining FBF1 signals, in early mitosis, showed a correlation between decreased FBF1 intensity and a reduced number of FBF1 STORM densities (Fig. 6c). The reason for this bipartite FBF1 behavior in a cell population remains unclear to us. Consistent with previous reports [16,20], the levels of centriole-associated Cep164 decreased in G2 and, by prometaphase, Cep164 was largely removed from centrioles. It gradually re-accumulated at the end of mitosis (Fig. 6a). STORM imaging of residual Cep164 signal in G2 and early mitosis revealed a variable number of weak Cep164 signals closer to the centrioles and a loss of the outer Cep164 layers and its loop-like organization (Fig. 6d). In addition, its binding partner TTBK2 was also lost from centrioles before mitosis (Supplementary Figure 6a). Another outer DAP ANKRD26, was undetectable on older mother centrioles by early prophase (Supplementary Figure 5b) and re-accumulated during late mitosis (see also the older mother centriole in Fig. 5e).

Consistent with reduced levels of DAPs, the DA EM densities were also less apparent and sometimes altogether undetectable around older mother centrioles in prophase and prometaphase (Fig. 6e). Quantification of remaining densities demonstrated that the average DA head density, as well as their overall size, was significantly reduced (Fig. 6f). The distances between adjacent heads appeared more variable, indicating that DAs may be less...
**Fig. 4** Longitudinal distribution of distal appendage proteins. 

a A comparative wide-field and 3D STORM analysis of the SDA component Odf2 and various DAPs on longitudinally-oriented older mother centrioles in interphase. The average ± s.d. thickness of the signals is noted. \( n = 11 \) centrioles for Odf2, 10 for CCDC41, 22 for SCLT1, 16 for FBF1, 26 for Cep164, and 10 for ANKRD26.

b Two-color SIM images illustrating the lateral shift between the DAPs. The average ± s.d. distance between centers of each signal’s intensity peaks is indicated. CCDC41 signal localizes most distally, while SCLT1 almost colocalizes with Cep164. FBF1 signal appears slightly shifter towards the distal end. ANKRD26 and Cep164 colocalize. \( n = 8 \) centrioles for Odf2, 11 for CCDC41, 17 for SCLT1, 15 for FBF1 and 10 for ANKRD26.

c Two-protein STORM analysis of longitudinally-oriented centrioles showing that CCDC41 and FBF1 are laterally shifted for ~100 nm, and CCDC41 and SCLT1 and CCDC41 and ANKRD26 for ~80 nm, corroborating values obtained in (b) by SIM.

d A 3D model illustrating the organization of DAs electron densities, and the localization of the DAPs with respect to the electron densities. Scale bars: 1 μm for all wide-field images of centrioles and 200 nm for STORM images.
DA head densities were no longer detectable. Further, two-organized in nine discrete densities, although the SDA and the NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08216-4 ARTICLE

DAP CCDC41 in prophase (Fig. 6h) showed that it remained time. In agreement, correlative STORM/EM analysis of the inner DAPs and Odf2 associated with younger mother centrioles in RPE-1 during the cell cycle. n = 217 cells for Cep164, 135 for CCDC41, 155 for SCLT1, 176 for FBF1, 244 for Odf2. Box-and-whisker plots of the same dataset are presented in Supplementary Figure 5. Representative results from a single dataset; the quantification was performed several times with similar results (3x for Cep164 and FBF1, 2x for SCLT1, CCDC41 and Odf2).

b In prophase, CCDC41 is localized in discrete foci on both the young and old mother centriole. c SCLT1 signal can be detected on maturing centriole from prophase to metaphase, but it is still not organized in nine densities as visible on older mother centrioles. d The levels of Cep164 are low in metaphase and the signal is not structured. Cep164 associated with older mother centriole is less abundant and unstructured than in interphase (compare with Fig. 2b and d).

In telophase, ANKRD26 is associated with the both mother centrioles but is organized in a smaller toroid than in interphase (compare with Fig. 1h). Scale bars: 1 μm for wide-field images; 200 nm for STORM images in (b, c, d) and 500 nm in (e).

The source data underlying Figure 5a is provided as a Source Data file.

**Fig. 5** Distal appendage assembly on younger mother centrioles. a Average levels of the DAPs and Odf2 associated with younger mother centrioles in RPE-1 during the cell cycle. n = 217 cells for Cep164, 135 for CCDC41, 155 for SCLT1, 176 for FBF1, 244 for Odf2. Box-and-whisker plots of the same dataset are presented in Supplementary Figure 5. Representative results from a single dataset; the quantification was performed several times with similar results (3x for Cep164 and FBF1, 2x for SCLT1, CCDC41 and Odf2).

protein STORM analysis of CCDC41 and SCLT1 in prometa-phase showed both proteins maintained nine-fold organization, like in interphase (Fig. 6g, and the older mother centrioles in Fig. 5b, c). Based on this data, we speculate that the transient displacement of Cep164, FBF1, ANKRD26, TTBK2, and possibly some still undefined DA components contributes to the diminished detectability of DAs by EM in mitosis.

The physiological significance of pre-mitotic DA reorganization is unclear. It has been shown that RPE-1 cells in G1 which inherit the older mother centriole tend to grow primary cilium ahead of their sisters that inherited the younger mother centriole. Thus, DA remodeling could serve to reduce the age gap between two mother centrioles during mitosis and early G1. Removing outer functional components which mediate ciliogenesis or signaling from older centrioles before mitosis and gradually restoring them in early G1 (Fig. 6i), could be a mechanism for balancing centrosome-associated functions between two sister cells in early G1, before younger mother centriole’s DAs are fully assembled. Pre-mitotic loss of outer DAPs could also assure timely cilia reabsorption.

It is also noteworthy that the inner DAP component CCDC41, and to a large extent SCLT1, which gradually accumulate on the younger centrioles during mitosis, remain continuously associated with mature centrioles and even retain a nine-fold organization. We speculate that the inner DAPs such as CCDC41 and SCLT1 might play a structural role in assembling the appendage’s scaffold. Maintaining the scaffold, while modulating the levels of only peripheral, more functional DA components by cell cycle regulators, would allow functional adaptation of DAs to various cell cycle requirements, and a quicker restoration of the DA’s interphase functions after mitosis.

**Plk1 and Aurora A modulate Cep164 dynamics before mitosis.**

Pre-mitotic DA reorganization appears to be a complex process, which probably affects other more outer DAP components in addition to the ones analyzed here. Cytosolic levels of Cep164 do not change during mitosis, so it is plausible that its dissociation from the centrioles, before mitosis, is regulated via posttranslational modifications. Among those lines, phosphorylation of some SDA and DAPs changes during the cell cycle.6,15,18 DA remodeling occurs prior to mitotic centrosome maturation, which is regulated by mitotic kinases such as Plk1 (Polo-like kinase 1), and Aurora A. Plk1 and Aurora A, together with Nek2 kinase have been implicated in cilia reabsorption (reviewed in ref. 19). This
prompted us to investigate whether the association of Cep164 is dependent on Plk1 or Aurora A. Cells were treated with BI2536 (BI) to inhibit Plk1 or MLN8237 (MLN) for Aurora A inhibition, incubated for 2–4 h, and immunolabeled. The levels of centriole-associated DAPs were assessed from prophase to prometaphase. Inhibition of Aurora A did not significantly change the dynamics of DAPs in HeLa cells (Fig. 7a, b). However, inhibition of Plk1, in some cells partially and in some cases completely, prevented Cep164 removal from older centrioles. We observed similar results in in mIMCD3 cells (Fig. 7c, d). In mIMCD3, Aurora A inhibition also prevented the loss of Cep164, albeit to a lesser degree than Plk1. Plk1 inhibition also reduced the loss of TTBK2 and ANKRD26 before mitosis (Supplementary Figure 6). To assess a potential relationship between Cep164 removal and cilia reabsorption, we used mIMCD3 cells, which ciliate in the presence of serum and normally absorb cilia in G2, before cells exhibit visible signs of DNA condensation. We treated mIMCD3 with Plk1 and Aurora A inhibitors and analyzed the dynamics of cilia reabsorption. We identified a cilium by the presence of an elongated acetylated tubulin signal associated with Cep164 (Fig. 7e). In control cells, all cilia reabsorbed before prophase. In contrast, ~50 or ~20% of prophase cells maintained their cilium
Fig. 6 Distal appendages of mature centrioles remodel before mitosis. a Average levels of the DAPs and Odf2 associated with older mother centrioles in cycling RPE-1 during the cell cycle. n = 217 cells for Cep164, 135 for CCDC41, 155 for SCLT1, 176 for FBF1, 244 for Odf2. Box-and-whisker plots of the same dataset are presented in Supplementary Figure S. Representative results from a single dataset; the quantification was performed several times with similar results (3x for Cep164 and FBF1, 2x for SCLT1, CCDC41 and Odf2). b Intensity of FBF1 signals is variable on older mother centrioles in mitosis. A median line and upper and lower quartile are marked in dot-plots, n = 63/61 for interphase/mitotic centrioles. c Decreased FBF1 intensity in prometaphase correlates with reduced number of STORM foci. d The typical interphase loop-like organization of Cep164 is no longer detectable on older mother centrioles in mitosis. e Comparison of the DA EM densities of interphase and mitotic cells. One 80 nm section is shown for each centriole. f Quantification of DA's EM densities from interphase and mitotic centrioles. A median line and upper and lower quartile are marked in dot-plots, n = 5 centrioles for mitotic and n = 7 for interphase samples. ***P<0.001. g Left panel: wide-field image of prometaphase RPE-1 cell immunolabeled for CCDC41 and SCLT1 in one color (magenta). The same cell was analyzed by STORM (right panel). h Correlative STORM/EM analysis of older mother centriole’s CCDC41 in prophase. Left: wide-field image of a prophase RPE-1 cell immunolabeled for CCDC41 and analyzed by STORM. Each mother centriole (containing brighter C1-GFP) is associated with a daughter centriole (adjacent dimmer C1-GFP). Right: Correlative EM analysis of the same older mother centriole from left panel. CCDC41 is localized to nine discrete signals, but DAs and SDAs densities are no longer detectable. The PCM is outlined by red circle. This panel is associated with Supplementary Figure 2. i Two sister cells in early G1, at the stage of Cep164 re-accumulation to the mother centrioles (C1 and C2). STORM shows the lack of Cep164 arrangement typical for late G1 and S phase (compare to Fig. 2). Scale bars: wide-field images of centrioles, 1 μm; wide-field image in (g) 2 μm; STORM images, 500 nm in (d, c, i); 200 nm in (g); 400 nm in (h); 500 nm in (e). The source data underlying Figure 6a is provided as a Source Data file.

Discussion

This study demonstrates the power of correlative imaging approaches in revealing architectural and dynamic properties of complex cellular structures with a nanoscale resolution. In this work, we identify novel architectural features of distal appendages and develop a precise 3D localization map for their components, revealing a radial organization of DAs. We next ascertained the timing of their initial formation and unravel their dynamic nature during the cell cycle. We further detail, in nanoscale resolution, the early phases of DA assembly, which demonstrated that DA formation occurs gradually through accumulation of the inner components CCDC41 and SCLT1 in G2. Thus, although the mechanisms that lead to the pre-mitotic removal of DAs remain unclear, our studies point to the direct or indirect involvement of mitotic kinases.

mTEC cultures. Cultures were established as previously described41,42. Briefly, C3BL/6 mice were sacrificed at 2–4 months of age, trachea were excised, opened longitudinally, and exposed to 5% CO2. RPE-1 were starved in medium containing no serum for 24 h to induce ciliation. For immunofluorescence and imaging, cells were plated on round 25 mm, 1.5 high precision cover glasses (Warner Instruments). Cells were treated with 200 nM BI2536 inhibitor (BI; Selleckchem) to inhibit Plk1 activity and with 250 nm MLN8237 (MLN; Selleckchem) to inhibit Aurora A.

Creation of ANKRD26 knock-out cells. CRISPR/Cas9 was used to target ANKRD26 in Tet-On Myc-Plk4 1TERT RPE1 cells43. An sgRNA targeting ANKRD26 (5'-ACT AAGCCGTCCATTATACT-3') was cloned into a lentiviral vector (sV236; Addgene). HEK293FT cells were transfected with the plasmid along with lentiviral packaging plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G (12260 and 12259; Addgene). Virus was harvested 48 h after transfection and filtered through a 0.45 μm syringe filter (Denville). Cells were transfected with 1 μl of filtered virus supplemented with 10 μg of polybrene (MilliporeSigma). Cells were selected with 3 μg/mL puromycin (MilliporeSigma) and then limiting dilution was used to obtain monoclonal lines. ANKRD26 knock out was validated in monoclonal lines using western blot (GeneTex; GTX128255).

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed in 1.5% formaldehyde and post fixed in 100% methanol at −20 °C for 1–4 min, washed in 1×PBS, blocked in 1% after Plk1 or Aurora A inhibition, respectively. Such cilia were retained until late prophase but, although Cep164 remained associated with centrioles, the ciliary marker become absent soon after nuclear envelope breakdown (Fig. 7e). We concluded that, although cilia reabsorption and DA remodeling both occur concomitantly in G2, the removal of Cep164 from centrioles is not necessary but may facilitate cilia reabsorption. Nevertheless, the observed delay in cilia reabsorption after Plk1 inhibition could stem from the lack of Plk1-dependent activation of tubulin acetylation, shown to be needed for cilia reabsorption in G224,35. Thus, although the mechanisms that lead to the pre-mitotic removal of DAs remain unclear, our studies point to the direct or indirect involvement of mitotic kinases.

Methods

Cell cultures and drug treatment. Retinal pigment epithelial RPE-1 and HeLa40, constitutively expressed Centrin1-GFP (C1-GFP). Mouse inner-medulary collecting duct cells mMCD3 were a gift from Dr. C. Westlake (NCI/CCR-Frederick). The cultures were grown in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% Penicillin Streptomycin (PS), at 37 °C, in a humidified environment with 5% CO2. RPE-1 were starved in medium containing no serum for 24 h to induce ciliation. For immunofluorescence and imaging, cells were plated on round 25 mm, 1.5 high precision cover glasses (Warner Instruments). Cells were treated with 200 nM BI2536 inhibitor (BI; Selleckchem) to inhibit Plk1 activity and with 250 nm MLN8237 (MLN; Selleckchem) to inhibit Aurora A.

mTEC cultures. Cultures were established as previously described41,42. Briefly, C3BL/6 mice were sacrificed at 2–4 months of age, trachea were excised, opened longitudinally, and exposed to 5% CO2. RPE-1 were starved in medium containing no serum for 24 h to induce ciliation. For immunofluorescence and imaging, cells were plated on round 25 mm, 1.5 high precision cover glasses (Warner Instruments). Cells were treated with 200 nM BI2536 inhibitor (BI; Selleckchem) to inhibit Plk1 activity and with 250 nm MLN8237 (MLN; Selleckchem) to inhibit Aurora A.
Fig. 7 Plk1 and Aurora A modulate pre-mitotic DAP dynamics. a Examples of older mother centrioles from HeLa cells under different experimental conditions. Inhibition of Plk1 for 4 h (BI) prevents the loss of Cep164 from centrioles in early mitosis. b Quantification of Cep164 associated with older mother centrioles in HeLa cells after Plk1 (BI) and Aurora A (MLN) inhibition. A median line and upper and lower quartile is presented in box-and-whisker plot. c BI and MLN were added to logarithmically growing mIMCD3 cells. Two h later, cells were stained for Cep164 and quantified for the presence of Cep164 on older mother centrioles. d Cells were treated as in (b) The histogram presents averages ± s. d. STORM analysis of Cep164 distribution on older mother centrioles in mIMCD3 cells. The loss of Cep164 from older mother centrioles in prophase is diminished by Plk1 inhibition. e The effect of Plk1 and Aurora A inhibition on cilia reabsorption. BI and MLN were added to logarithmically growing mIMCD3 cells. Two h later, cells were stained for Cep164 and acetylated tubulin (used as a marker for cilia). Plk1 inhibition and to some extent Aurora A inhibition delay cilia reabsorption, which in control cells occurs before prophase. However, after nuclear envelope breakdown cilia reabsorbed and by prometaphase were no longer detectable. The number of cells is from three independent experiments. Scale bar: 10 μm for wide-field images; 500 nm for STORM image in (b).

Wide-field fluorescence microscopy. Wide-field images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope equipped with a 64 μm pixel CoolSNAP HQ2 camera (Photometrics) and Intenstulight C-HGFI illuminator, using 100x NA 1.42 Plan Apo objective with 1.5x magnifying tube lens. Two hundred nanometers-thick Z-sections spanning entire cell or entire centrosome, as needed, were acquired. Image/Fiji (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD), and NIS-Elements software package was used to make maximal intensity projections and to assemble image panels. AutoQuant X3 software (MediaCybernetics) was used for deconvolution.

Fluorescence intensity measurement. Fluorescence intensity of centrosome-associated proteins was performed using Image/Fiji. The cells in various cell cycle and mitosis stages were identified by DNA morphology and the centriole number. The position of the centrosomes/cilia was identified based on C1-GFP signals or by staining with acetylated tubulin. Integrated density of centrosome-associated proteins was measured within a defined area of a constant size encircling the centrosome from the summed intensity projections of Z stacks. Intensity of the background in a near proximity of each centrosome was subtracted from the signal intensity. At least 20 centrosomes were measured for each condition/cell cycle stage.

Structured illumination microscopy (SIM). SIM was performed on N-SIM, Nikon Inc., equipped with 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm excitation lasers, Apo TIRF 100x NA 1.49 Plan Apo oil objective, and back-illuminated 16 μm pixel EMCCD camera (Andor, DU897). Hundred nanometers-thick Z Sections were acquired in 3D SIM mode generating 15 images per plane (5 phases, 3 angles) as a raw image, which was reconstructed to generate a super-resolution image. XYZ shift in multicolor images was corrected using 100 nm tetra-spectral fluorescent spheres.
Superposition of STORM images from CLEM experiments. To better visualize lateral arrangement of DAPs obtained in CLEM experiments, we superimposed CLEM STORM data as follows: First, we averaged the EM signals from individual centrioles used in CLEM experiments (Supplementary Method Fig. 12). Four averaged EM images were then laterally aligned so that they were first centered and then rotated to obtain the maximum overlap of nine DA EM signals and the aligned serial EM sections (Supplementary Figure 13). The alignment was possible if three criteria were met: the mother centriole was associated with a procentriole, the mother centriole was vertically oriented to the coverslip and there was no introduced tilt in the sectioning plane during serial sectioning. The alignment was repeated several times for each sample. The alignment error (a standard deviation between three measurements) was within 5°.

Electron microscopy. The tomographic data collection was carried out through SerialEM software56 with a Philips Tecnai F20 electron microscope operating at 200 kV. Prior to data collection, the serial plastic sections were examined to assess specimen quality and to locate basal bodies with appendages using a 100 kV JEM 1400 electron microscope (JEOL). Then, 10 nm colloidal gold beads (Sigma) were applied to one side of the sections as fiducial markers for reconstruction. The tilt series of electron micrographs were recorded on a 4k × 4k CMOS (Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor) based TemCam-F416 camera (TVIPS) with tilting angles ranging from −65° to +65° of 1° increment. Image alignment and structural map reconstruction were conducted using IMOD software. Segmentation of the tomogram and visualization of the distal appendage were carried out using the 3D interactive tool in Amira software (Thermo Fisher). Before segmentation, the “slicer” function in IMOD software was used to rotate the tomogram and look for a suitable orientation for convenient visualization and model building. Based on the orientation parameters in “slicer”, the tomogram was reoriented using Bsoft package57. The re-oriented tomogram was imported into Amira software for structural visualization, model building, and movies making.
Construction of RFP-HA truncated Cep164 fragments and transfections. The primers used for the construction of truncated Cep164 were as follows: eRFP-F: acgCCTAACGGTGCyTCTGCAAGGCGG; eRFP-R: acgGATCTTTTCTCC ATTTAAGTTTGCCCACCATTTG; Full-length F: acgAGCTTTATCCATACG ATTTGCTAGTATCCGCTGAGGGCCCTCC; Full length-R: acgGA ATTTCTCGAGAACATATGATGACATCG; AN99-F: acgAGCTTTATCCATACG ATTTGCTAGTATCCGCTGAGGGCCCTCC; AN297-F: acgGATCTTTTTC ATTTAAGTTTGCCCACCATTTG; AN297-R: acgGATCTTTTCTCC ATTTAAGTTTGCCCACCATTTG; Δ ATTC TATTTCA (Dharmacon) following manufacturer’s instructions. 2 days after transfection, cells were fixed and analyzed.

Statistics. Statistical differences between two samples was determined using a two-tailed Student’s t-test in Excel for two unpaired samples. P values < 0.001 (marked ***) were considered statistically significant. Sample sizes are indicated in figure legends. A median line and upper and lower quartile is presented in box-and-whisker plots and dot-plots.

Data availability. All data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The source data underlying Figures 5a, 6a, and Supplementary Figure 5d are provided as a Source Data file.
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