Key Parts of Transmission Line Detection Using Improved YOLO v3
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Abstract: Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) inspection has become one of the main methods for current transmission line inspection, but there are still some shortcomings such as slow detection speed, low efficiency, and inability for low light environment. To address these issues, this paper proposes a deep learning detection model based on You Only Look Once (YOLO) v3. On the one hand, the neural network structure is simplified, that is the three feature maps of YOLO v3 are pruned into two to meet specific detection requirements. Meanwhile, the K-means++ clustering method is used to calculate the anchor value of the data set to improve the detection accuracy. On the other hand, 1000 sets of power tower and insulator data sets are collected, which are inverted and scaled to expand the data set, and are fully optimized by adding different illumination and viewing angles. The experimental results show that this model using improved YOLO v3 can effectively improve the detection accuracy by 6.0%, flops by 8.4%, and the detection speed by about 6.0%.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of the economy, the demand for industrial and domestic electricity has increased substantially. Safe and stable operation of transmission lines is of great significance to the regional social and economic development. In order to eliminate safety hazards before power failure, daily inspections of transmission lines are essential [8]. China has a vast territory, complex terrain, varied meteorological conditions, and most of the power lines pass through the mountains, making the inspections greatly difficult. Especially after natural disasters such as earthquakes and typhoons, the inspection environment has become more complicated. For manual inspection, there are some shortcomings, such as major safety hazards, long inspection time and low efficiency. Meanwhile, inspectors are labor-intensive and expensive. The Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) power inspection is a detection method based on machine learning and target detection algorithm, which enables inspection without direct contact with the power tower. Compared with manual inspection, UVA inspection has the advantages of low risk, high efficiency and low cost [2, 10]. Therefore, the UAV inspection technology has received extensive attention in the power industry.

In recent years, artificial intelligence has been rapidly developed, especially machine vision and deep learning technology, making it feasible for UAV to inspect power systems and evaluate high-voltage line conditions [1]. The target detection algorithm using the deep convolutional neural network to extract the target features can be used for the detection of key components of the transmission line [16]. At present, there are two types of mainstream deep learning target detection algorithms, one is a region-based target detection algorithm, and the other is a regression-based target detection algorithm. The region-based target detection algorithms include Faster Regions with CNN features (R-CNN) [4, 17] and Mask R-CNN [18]. The detection accuracy of such algorithms is high, but the detection speed is still slow, which cannot be adapted to the high-intensity real-time inspection requirements. Comparatively, the target detection algorithms based on regression include You Only Look Once (YOLO) [5] and Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) [9] have fast detection speed and can meet real-time detection requirements, but the detection accuracy of small targets needs to be improved. In particular, it is easy for the UAV to detect the insulator over long distances, which is prone to missed detection and false detection. At present, there is no public data set of key parts of the power tower, so the challenge of related detection comes from both the algorithm itself and the limited data set.

Aiming at the particularity of location identification of power towers and insulators, this paper proposes a deep learning detection model based on YOLO v3, which optimizes the neural network architecture of YOLO v3 and creates a new data set. The specific contributions are as follows:

1. The structure of the neural network is simplified.
2. Relatively large and medium-sized target data sets are established, and two feature extraction networks with different sizes of receptive fields are designed to better extract the features of large and medium-sized targets. The feature maps used to detect large and medium-sized targets in the original YOLO v3 are retained. A feature map used to improve the detection performance of small targets is clipped. In this way, the total amount of calculation is reduced and the detection speed is improved.

3. For the newly created data set, the K-means++ clustering method is used to recalculate the anchor value of the training set to improve the detection accuracy of the detection target. A number of 1000 power tower and insulator data sets were created by UAV shooting and online collection of pictures, including 500 power towers and insulator pictures, and the data set images are reversed and scaled [19]. The influence of the background of the data set, illumination and other factors on the training of the model is fully considered, and the optimization of the data set is realized.

To validate the performance of the proposed method, we compare results with YOLO v3. The results show that improved YOLO v3’s average IoU increased by 5.32%, precision increased by 6%, total computation decreased by 8.4%, and detection speed increased by 6%, which basically realized the requirement of real-time high-precision detection.

2. YOLO v3

YOLO was proposed by Redmon and Farhadi [12] of the University of Washington in 2015. After YOLO v1, YOLO v2 to the latest development of YOLO v3 [6], making the latest models have advantages in detection speed and small target detection that other deep learning models do not have. It has a fast detection speed and high detection accuracy. In terms of basic image feature extraction, YOLO v3 uses a network structure called Darknet-53, which has 53 convolutional layers. In order to extract features better, residual block are used [3], and shortcut links are set up between some layers. Inside the entire structure of YOLO v3, there is no pooling layer and full connectivity layer. Therefore, when the convolutional layer is transmitted, the scalar size transformation is realized by changing the convolution kernel moving step size, such as stride=(2, 2), which is equivalent to dividing the image side length by 2, so that the area is the original 1/4. During the entire convolution process, YOLO v3 has undergone 5 down samplings, after the third down sampling, it outputs 52x52x(416/8=52) feature maps, and after the 4th down sampling, outputs 26x26x(416/16=26) feature maps. Similarly, a feature map of 13x13x(416/32=13) is output after the fifth down sampling [14].

As shown in Figure 1, Darknet-53 is composed of five residual blocks, each of which consists of a plurality of residual units, and a residual unit is constructed by inputting residual operations with two Darknet-convolution, Batch normalization and Leaky relu activation function (DBL) units. Among them, the DBL unit contains convolution, batch normalization and leaky relu activation function, as shown in Figure 2. By introducing a residual unit, the depth of the network can be deeper to avoid the gradient disappearing [20].

YOLO v3 down sampled the input image 5 times and predicted the target in the last 3 down samplings. The last three down samplings contain three feature maps for scale target detection. The small feature map provides deep semantic information, while the large feature map provides location information of the target. The small feature map is merged with the large feature map to detect both small targets and large targets. Overall, YOLO v3 maps input images to 3 scale output tensors. A picture with the size of 416x416, each grid of each scale has 3 a priori boxes, so there are 13x13x3+26x26x3+52x52x3=10647 prediction boxes in total [15].

![Figure 1. The overall structure of YOLO v3.](image1)

![Figure 2. Darknet-53 structural unit.](image2)
3. Overall Design

Based on YOLO v3, a real-time detection method for key components of transmission lines is proposed, as shown in Figure 3. Firstly, a new data set of key components of the transmission line is established. Images of different brightness are added to optimize the data set, and then the data set is labeled with YOLO-Mark. In order to get more accurate anchors, K-means++ is used to cluster the labeled data set. Finally, the model is trained and one model is saved every 1000 iterations. The one with the best performance is selected as the final detection model. At this time, real-time detection can be achieved by inputting the UAV inspection video into the detection model.

![Figure 3. Overall design.](image)

3.1. Establishment and Optimization of Data Sets

Training samples for neural networks usually require at least a few thousand. If the sample data is too small, it will affect the accuracy and reliability of the detection. Since there is no publicly available detection database for the key parts of the transmission tower, this paper has established a 1000-volume data set by collecting pictures of the online pictures and UAV. There are 500 pictures of the power tower and the insulator, and the picture contains a variety of complicated situations: multiple power towers and multiple insulators appear in a single picture, or one power tower has multiple insulators.

These images contain different illumination, different detection target shooting angles, different resolutions, different detection backgrounds, etc., which meet the requirements of sample diversity, so that they are purposefully optimized. The optimization of the sample is important for the improvement of the robustness of the algorithm. If the brightness factor of the data set is not considered, the training of the model using only a single-illuminated image will lead to unreliable detection performance in a dark environment. Also, complex background and different resolution images are added to the data set, so that the detection model can be better trained. Part of the data set shown in Figure 4:

![Figure 4. Part of the data set.](image)

At the same time, it is necessary to standardize the training set annotation technique. The low-resolution image and small target have great influence on the model training. Its influence is reflected in the oscillation and detection accuracy of the Loss curve. The deep learning network is prone to errors in the extraction of low-resolution images and small target features, making it more prone to miss detection and false detection. Therefore, in this paper, the annotation of the small target of the low-resolution image and the minimum target of the high-resolution image is discarded at the time of labelling, so as to improve the robustness of the model.

3.2. Pruning of Neural Network Structure

YOLO v3 has a very good characteristic for the detection of small targets. In this paper, the actual detection of power towers and insulators has low demand for small target detection. The feature map of 52×52 in YOLO v3 is to extract the shallow neural network features to achieve the detection of small targets, so the pruning of the layer does not theoretically affect the detection results.

Figure 5 shows the structures before and after pruning where (a) is the original structure of YOLO v3 and (b) is the structure after pruning. The two share the same backbone network Darknet-53 which consists of the res1, res2, res4, res8 residual blocks, six DBLs and a convolutional layer where the res8 is used twice aiming to make the network deeper to
improve the performance of feature extraction and to construct multiple feature maps of different scales to improve the detection performance of targets with different sizes as well. Since the power towers and insulators studied in this paper belong to relatively large and medium sizes, the targets in the established data set are all large and medium sizes, with very few small sizes. However, the output of the three receptive fields of different sizes of YOLO v3 is aimed at the detection of large, medium and small size targets. For the purpose of this paper, it is more reasonable to retain the output for detecting large and medium-sized targets. For the detection of key parts of the transmission tower, deleting some parts that have little effect on the detection will help reduce the calculation amount and improve the detection speed.

The K-means++ algorithm is a typical distance-based clustering algorithm. The degree of similarity is evaluated according to the distance, that is, the closer the distance between two objects is, the greater the similarity is. Clusters are made up of objects that are close together, so a compact and independent cluster is the ultimate goal. K-means++ [11, 13] is used to calculate anchors, because the use of Euclidean distance will make the larger bounding boxes produce more errors than the smaller bounding boxes. After getting more accurate anchors through clustering, good IoU scores can be obtained.

\[
IoU = \frac{\text{DetectionResult} \cap \text{GroundTruth}}{\text{DetectionResult} \cup \text{GroundTruth}}
\]  

(1)

IoU is the ratio of the intersection and the union of the prediction box and the label box. The larger the ratio, the better the detection performance of the

3.3. Selection of Accurate Anchor

Anchors are used to predict the bounding box. In the Fast R-CNN, 128x128, 256x256, 512x512 are used to transform 1:1, 1:2, 2:1 in three scales, and a total of 9 anchors are used to predict the box. Each anchor predicts about 2,000 frames, making the detection rate much higher. YOLO v2 began to increase the anchor mechanism [7], 9 anchors are added in YOLO v3. Anchors of YOLO v3 are 10, 13, 16, 30, 33, 23, 30, 61, 62, 45, 59, 119, 116, 90, 156, 198, 373, 326, which are the original values form VOC data sets. There are 20 types of targets in the Visual Object Classes (VOC). Each target has a different size and a large gap. If the original values of anchor are used for the newly established data set, it is obviously unreasonable. Therefore, the new data set is clustered to get anchors to improve detection accuracy.

![Diagram of YOLO v3 and improved YOLO v3](image-url)
Table 1. K-means++ clustering results analysis.

| K  | Anchor      | Avg IoU |
|----|-------------|---------|
| 1  | 54,118      | 21.21%  |
| 2  | 30,61,138,324 | 38.31%  |
| 3  | 26,47,63,182,173,364 | 43.83%  |
| 4  | 24,42,57,129,95,357,232,353 | 47.19%  |
| 5  | 29,24,13,56,84,63,34,167,153,356 | 58.53%  |
| 6  | 12,48,33,27,30,145,92,67,91,344,226,359 | 59.58%  |
| 7  | 12,50,28,22,59,50,30,151,132,89,88,348,217,368 | 60.15%  |
| 8  | 11,45,29,23,24,109,63,50,43,215,136,91,101,367,234,364 | 61.06%  |
| 9  | 11,44,27,22,90,58,44,34,182,116,84,69,328,133,374,267,349 | 61.88%  |

The above table shows the values of different anchors and different Avg IoUs obtained by setting different numbers of cluster centers. With the increase of the cluster center point, Avg IoU shows an increasing trend, and the increasing speed is fast and slow, and gradually converges. The minimum value of Avg IoU is 21.21% and the maximum is 61.88%. From the above table, we can be seen from the above table that when K=6, it is the inflection point position of the change of the entire Avg IoU, and then it starts to converge. In order to obtain the best detection speed and detection result, the anchor value of K=6 is selected in this paper: (12,48), (33, 27), (30, 145), (92, 67), (91, 344), (226, 359).

4. Experimental Results and Analysis

Due to the large amount of sample data required to train the deep learning network, high-performance GPU parallel computing is needed to improve the training and testing speed. The experimental development environment selected is as follows:

1. Hardware environment:
   - CPU: intel i7 8700, 3.2GHz
   - GPU: NVIDIA GeForce GTX1060 6G
   - RAM: 16G
2. Software environment
   - Operating system: Win10
   - Deep Learning Network Framework: Darknet53

The learning rate determines the speed at which the weights are updated. If the setting is too large, the result will exceed the optimal value. Too small will make the falling speed too slow. So set a dynamically changing learning rate to get a better model. When the number of iterations is from 0 to 6,400, the learning rate is set to 0.001. When the number of iterations is between 6400 and 7200, the learning rate is set to 0.0001. When the number of iterations is 7200 to 8000, the learning rate is set to 0.00001. Throughout the training process, the learning rate attenuation reaches 100 times.

The training got the Loss curve in the above figure. The previous training set learning rate=0.001 makes Loss fall rapidly. From the 2400th iteration, the Loss slowdown becomes slower and more stable, and it converges from the 6400th iteration. Finally, the loss value converges at 0.7676, and an ideal small sample training result is obtained. Figure 7 shows the comparison of the Loss curves before and after the data set optimization. Figure 7-a) shows the Loss before data set optimization and Figure 7-b) shows the Loss after data set optimization. The abscissa is the number of iterations and the ordinate is the Loss value. In Figure 7-b), there is a very large oscillation when the model is iterated to 800 times, and the curve price reduction is accompanied by large fluctuations when iterating 800 to 1600 times. When iterating 6400 times, it appears larger oscillation again. Explain the impact of data sets on model training: As the learning rate decays, instability occurs. The Loss in Figure 7-b) shows a more uniform and stable attenuation change throughout the iteration, without violent oscillations, and eventually Loss tends to converge.
Tables 3 and 4 show the comparison between YOLO v3 and improved YOLO v3. The improved YOLO v3 recall is 0.45 higher than YOLO v3 by 0.03, F1-score is basically the same, the Avg IoU increased by 5.32%, the precision increased by 6.0%. At the same time, Total BFLOPs dropped by about 8.4%, making detection speed up 6.0%.
5. Conclusions

Based on YOLO v3, an improved algorithm for power tower and insulator detection is proposed in this paper. A data set containing relatively large and medium-sized targets is established, and two-way convolutional networks with different sizes of receptive fields are designed to better extract features. Also, the use of K-means++ makes the calculation of anchors more accurate. The model detection accuracy is 88% and the detection speed is 31.2ms/frame, which show the reliability of the proposed algorithm for the detection of power towers and insulators. In the future, we will explore more advanced algorithms for real-time identification of damage to key parts of transmission lines. For example, real-time identification of the collapse of transmission towers and breakage of insulators can prevent transmission line accidents from happening earlier.
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