Ulnar proper collateral ligament (UCL) injuries occur approximately 10 times more frequently than radial proper collateral ligament (RCL) injuries to the thumb. The thumb metacarpophalangeal joint (MCPJ) is stabilized by static and dynamic stabilizers. Primary stability is imparted by the static stabilizers, which consist of the UCL, RCL, their corresponding accessory collateral ligaments, volar plate, and dorsal capsule. Injuries to the thumb MCPJ collateral ligaments are common, with UCL injuries being the most common and RCL injuries accounting for anywhere from 10% to 42%.5,6

The diagnosis and identification of the rupture site of a proper collateral ligament allows an appropriate treatment regimen, nonsurgical or operative intervention, to be developed. Obviously, at the time of any surgical intervention, the surgeon should be able to inspect the ligament and determine the location of the rupture. However, surgical preplanning is often helpful in allowing the performance of an efficient surgery that limits dissection. In addition, for a rare midsubstance tear, a reconstruction may be required. Ultimately, the goal of the treatment is to prevent further instability and delay the progression of arthritis.5,7

Physical examination alone cannot be used to determine the specific rupture location of the ligament, such as whether it is a distal, proximal, or midsubstance tear. Radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasound are imaging modalities that have been widely used to help establish a diagnosis.8–15 Of these, magnetic resonance imaging is the only modality found to be 99%
sensitive and 100% specific in determining the correct diagnosis. However, among the abovementioned studies, the specific rupture site location has often not been reported.

The literature supports that UCL tears occur up to 90% of the time distally, and RCL tears have a more heterogenous rupture location. However, the abovementioned studies have all been small surgical case series comprising less than 50 patients.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of UCL and RCL rupture site locations during surgery in a heterogenous patient population at a tertiary referral center. We hypothesized that this larger surgical series confirms that UCL tears occur most often distally, whereas RCL tears have more heterogenous tear locations. We aim to update the current literature with these data that may have important clinical implications.

Materials and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed 1,004 consecutive patient records from 2000 to 2017 at a single level-1 trauma tertiary referral care center for all patients who underwent surgical repair or reconstruction of the metacarpophalangeal collateral ligament of any finger according to all Current Procedural Terminology codes containing the phrase “collateral ligament.” This study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board, and all data were collected in a manner compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

The inclusion criteria for this study were patients with a metacarpophalangeal UCL or RCL injury of the thumb, with collateral ligament repair or reconstruction being the primary indication for surgery. Patients were excluded if the collateral ligament that was repaired was present at a site other than in the thumb; no operative report was available; no rupture site location was explicitly stated in the operative report; the rupture etiology was secondary to a laceration, infection, or tumor excision; the rupture was associated with a congenital deformity reconstruction or chronic deformity reconstruction, such as in rheumatoid arthritis; there was simultaneous rupture of both the collateral ligaments; and the index surgical procedure was performed by an outside institution.

Descriptive statistics were calculated and presented for the entire study population (mean ± SD, range), and the Fisher exact test was used to assess the significance of relationships between categorical variables.

Results

After the review of the 1,004 consecutive patient records from 2000 to 2017, 347 patient records were included in the study. Six hundred fifty-seven records were eliminated based on the following exclusion criteria: 248 for having undergone collateral ligament repair at a site other than in the thumb; 170 for no operative report available in either electronic medical records or paper records; 119 for lacerations as the primary etiology for the rupture; 88 for not having a rupture site identified during surgery; 13 for etiologies secondary to chronic rheumatoid arthritis, infection, tumor excision, and congenital deformities; 9 for having undergone simultaneous repair of both the UCL and RCL; 8 for duplicated charts in the database; and finally, 2 for having undergone revisions where the index surgery was performed at an outside institution. Of the remaining 347 charts included, 197 (56.8%) belonged to men and 150 (43.2%) to women. The mean age of the patients was 34 ± 15.6 years (range, 12–82).

For our UCL data, 288 thumbs were included in the final analysis. Of them, 158 (54.9%) belonged to men with a mean age of 32.8 ± 16.5 years (range, 14–82) and 130 (45.1%) to women with a mean age of 36.9 ± 14.9 years (range, 14–76). Two hundred eleven acute (<3 months) injuries were due to a high-energy mechanism, such as a motor vehicle collision, and 22 were due to a low-energy mechanism, such as a fall while standing or due to a fight; 55 injuries were chronic in nature. The rupture site location was found to be distal in 267 (92.7%) cases, proximal in 17 (5.9%), and mid-substance in 4 (1.4%). Avulsion fractures off the proximal phalanx were noted in 18.4% (n = 53) of the injuries. These results are summarized in Figure. There was no evidence of an association between the location of rupture and the mechanism of injury for UCL ruptures (P = .75).

For our RCL data, 59 thumbs were included in the final analysis. Of them, 39 (66.1%) belonged to men with a mean age of 29.8 ± 12.1 years (range, 12–66) and 20 (33.9%) to women with a mean age of 31.9 ± 16.1 years (range, 11–64). Twenty-seven were acute injuries due to a high-energy mechanism, and 1 injury was due to a low-energy mechanism; 31 injuries were chronic in nature. The rupture site location was found to be distal in 15 (25.4%) cases, proximal in 41 (69.5%), and mid-substance in 3 (5.1%), as graphically depicted in Figure. There was no evidence of an association between the location of rupture and the mechanism of injury for RCL ruptures (P = 1.00).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the rupture site locations for main lateral stabilizers of the thumb, the UCL and RCL.
in a heterogeneous patient population at a tertiary care referral center. In this study, we showed that thumb UCL tears occur distally from the phalangeal insertion 93% (n = 267) of the time, proximally at the metacarpal origin 6% (n = 17) of the time, and lastly, mid-substance 1% (n = 4) of the time. Thumb RCL tears occurred distally in 25% (n = 15) of the cases, proximally in 70% (n = 41) of the cases, and midsubstance in 5% (n = 3) (Fig.).

The above findings are in agreement with those published in smaller surgical case series dating from Stener’s original publication to the only other large surgical series published in 2017 by Boesmueller et al. In Austria. Table reviews the values seen in 15 studies. Across nearly 60 years of published data, the UCL has been shown to predominantly tear distally at the proximal phalanx insertion, and the RCL has a more heterogeneous tear location, with tears occurring frequently at both the proximal metacarpal origins and distally at the phalangeal insertion.

Despite the data reported in this study and data amassed from the literature regarding differences in rupture site locations, very little is known about the etiology of this specific rupture site pattern. In an anatomic study of the radial thumb by Lyons et al., the authors suggested that a difference in the origin and insertion size may explain the higher proximal origin rupture rate of RCL tears. However, they reported that the proximal metacarpal origin site was only smaller 54% of the time, and both the origin and insertion sites were equal 28% of the time. Since then, several studies have cited Lyon’s findings suggesting that the smaller size of metacarpal origin plays a role in the higher rate of proximal ruptures. Our results show a 70% proximal rupture rate of the RCL, whereas other studies have shown a higher predominance of distal tears. Thus, the abovementioned explanation for the higher rate of proximal ruptures appears inadequate. Further, we have no available literature describing differences in the size of the UCL origin or insertion sites to compare the above data with. Carlson et al. published their anatomic data describing the exact metacarpal origin and phalangeal insertion for both the UCL and RCL. Unfortunately, they did not report the size of the origins or insertions. Their findings have important implications for the recreation of the anatomic footprint. Bean et al. previously reported that as much as a 2-mm displacement of either the UCL origin or insertion can result in significant changes in both the radial deviation and flexion of the thumb metacarpophalangeal joint.

Distal UCL tears may be more frequently reported in the surgical literature because the anatomy of the ulnar side of the thumb may impede healing. As reported in the literature, Stener lesions are fairly common and are an indication for surgical repair because the adductor aponeurosis entraps the distal free end of the ligament. However, in proximal UCL tears, the adductor aponeurosis overlies the distal end of the MCPJ and allows the free end of the torn ligament to remain adjacent to its origin, acting as a natural splint for the ligament. Perhaps, there are more proximal UCL tears, but because of the reasons mentioned above, they are more stable and may be treated nonsurgically. In contrast, on the radial side of the thumb, the abductor aponeurosis does not directly overlay the RCL. This difference in anatomical relationship possibly allows the ligament to remain against the MCPJ, allowing eventual healing and not necessitating surgery. We propose that future studies be performed assessing specific rupture site locations in thumb UCL and RCL tears managed operatively versus nonsurgically. This can include a review of available magnetic resonance imaging or ultrasound data on nonsurgically treated tears.

**Limitations**

A limitation of our study is that these results were collected at a single institution. However, our diverse patient cohort ranged from 12 to 82 years of age and from athletes to elderly patients. Another limitation is the retrospective nature of the study. Although obtaining prospective data on tear location is not feasible, future biomechanical cadaver studies of the thumb can be performed to assess the specific in situ origin and insertion widths of the ligaments. Additionally, 170 of the total 1,004 charts reviewed could not be included in the study because of the lack of available data in the electronic medical or paper records. This group with missing data may have contributed to the overall frequencies reported, specifically in the RCL group (n = 59). Our data go back to the year

### Table

Review of the Frequency of Rupture Site Location for Both UCL and RCL Ruptures Reported in the Literature

| Study                          | Total Patients (n) | Distal % (n) | Proximal % (n) | Midsubstance % (n) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Stener (1962)                 | 9                 | 89 (8)      | 11 (1)        | -                 |
| Coonrad and Goldner (1968)    | 6                 | 33 (2)      | 67 (4)        | -                 |
| Smith (1977)                  | 48                | 98 (47)     | 2 (1)         | -                 |
| Louis et al (1986)            | 20                | 90 (18)     | 10 (2)        | -                 |
| Weiland et al (1997)          | 36                | (34)        | (2)           | -                 |
| Melone et al (2000)           | 60                | 100 (60)    | -             | -                 |
| Harley et al (2004)           | 16                | 63 (10)     | 38 (6)        | -                 |
| Moharram et al (2013)         | 27                | 93 (25)     | 7 (2)         | -                 |
| Boesmueller et al (2017)      | 1,582             | 84 (1333)   | 5 (75)        | 11 (174)          |
| Coonrad and Goldner (1968)    | 5                 | 60 (3)      | 40 (2)        | -                 |
| Smith (1977)                  | 17                | 35 (6)      | 24 (4)        | -                 |
| Camp et al (1980)             | 9                 | 44 (4)      | 33 (3)        | 22 (2)            |
| Durham et al (1993)           | 18                | 22 (4)      | 22 (4)        | 56 (10)           |
| McDermott et al (1998)        | 5                 | 80 (4)      | 20 (1)        | -                 |
| Melone et al (2000)           | 40                | 20 (8)      | 40 (16)       | 20 (8)            |
| Coyle (2002)                  | 45                | 29 (13)     | 55 (25)       | 16 (7)            |
| Catalano et al (2006)         | 16                | 44 (7)      | 37 (6)        | 19 (3)            |
| Taylor et al (2013)           | 11                | 36 (4)      | 27 (3)        | 36 (4)            |

* Cadaveric study.

27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33.
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