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Fluid reasoning is the cornerstone of human cognition, both during development and in adulthood. Despite this, the neural mechanisms underlying the development of fluid reasoning are largely unknown. In this review, we provide an overview of this important cognitive ability, the method of measurement, its changes over the childhood and adolescence of an individual, and its underlying neurobiological underpinnings. We review important findings from psychometric, cognitive, and neuroscientific literatures, and outline important future directions for this interdisciplinary research.
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Fluid reasoning (FR)
The capacity to think logically and solve problems in novel situations independent of acquired knowledge. This construct is central to theories of human intelligence.

Relational reasoning
A form of fluid reasoning consisting of identifying correspondences between the structures of distinct mental representations.

Propositional analogy
A form of relational reasoning that entails the abstraction of a relationship between a familiar representation and mapping it to a novel representation (see Figure 1B). Solving such a problem requires (1) the abstraction of the relationship between the base items (a bike moves on the road), and (2) mapping the relationship to the target pair (a canoe moves on water).

Rostrolateral prefrontal cortex (RLPFC)
Brain region corresponding to the lateral Brodmann area 10, which has been implicated in fluid reasoning, and in particular, relational integration.

Relational integration (or second-order relational processing)
The cognitive process, by which several relations between mental representations are combined or compared, as in a 2-relational RPM problem, a propositional analogy, or a transitive inference problem. This is a critical component of relational reasoning that shows delayed maturation.

FR DEVELOPMENT AND INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

DEVELOPMENTAL TRAJECTORY
FR is believed to emerge in the first 2 or 3 years of life, after the development of general, perceptual, attentional and motoric capabilities (Cattell, 1987). Notably, FR follows a different developmental trajectory than crystallized abilities (McArdle et al., 2002), supporting the idea of separable cognitive functions (Horn, 1991; Schaie, 1996). The psychometric literature indicates that FR advances rapidly in early and middle childhood, continues to increase, though at a slower rate, until early adolescence, and reaches asymptotic values in the mid-adolescence to late-adolescence stage, after which it begins to decline (McArdle et al., 2002). Although age-related changes in FR ability have been well-characterized, the mechanisms of such changes, especially the structure and function of brain areas underlying FR, are unknown.

FR AND RELATED COGNITIVE ABILITIES
FR has been linked to other important cognitive abilities. For example, cross-sectional behavioral studies indicate that FR is related to working memory and executive functioning (Engle et al., 1999), and to secondary memory (Mogle et al., 2008). Such studies provide information about the time-independent covariation between FR and other cognitive abilities. However, they do not reveal information about within-person changes and, more importantly, an empirical understanding of possible mechanisms underlying such covariation.

In longitudinal studies with adults, FR has been related to changes in crystallized abilities, short-term memory, and processing speed (McArdle et al., 2000). Furthermore, among children and adolescents, FR has been identified to be a leading indicator of changes over time in crystallized abilities (McArdle, 2001) and changes in quantitative ability, academic knowledge, and reading (Ferrer and McArdle, 2004; Ferrer et al., 2007). In addition to these bottom-up and top-down influences, there are possible synergistic influences that involve working memory (Demetriou, 2002). This longitudinal research suggests that FR is most closely related to processing speed and working memory, although other studies focused on the simultaneous changes of these constructs over time indicate a complex pattern of interrelations among variables, eliminating a simple interpretation of a single leading indicator of changes (McArdle et al., 2000).

NEURAL BASIS OF FR
An important endeavor for understanding FR is to identify the neural substrates that underlie such cognitive ability and its development (e.g., Duncan et al., 2000). Achieving the goal requires the usage of measures that directly map onto the theoretical construct of FR, such as the RPM task (Figure 1A).

Studies have demonstrated the importance of the frontal lobe in fluid intelligence (e.g., Duncan, 2005; Duncan et al., 1995). More specifically, functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) studies involving the RPM task in adults have demonstrated that a region in the anterior prefrontal cortex, known as the rostrolateral prefrontal cortex (RLPFC), is activated when participants engage in relational integration during RPM tasks (Christoff et al., 2001; Kroger et al., 2002). As RLPFC is activated more for 2-relational problems than 1- or 0-relational problems, it appears that RLPFC is specifically engaged when participants must integrate across multiple mental representations (Rammani and Owen, 2004). fMRI studies involving other visuospatial reasoning tasks have also linked RLPFC to the process of relational integration (Christoff et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2007). Additionally, in verbal propositional analogy tasks, RLPFC is preferentially engaged when participants must consider an analogy (identical in structure to the propositional analogy shown in Figure 1B, with words rather than pictures), as opposed to when participants must evaluate two individual semantic relationships (Bunge et al., 2005; Green et al., 2006; Wendelken et al., 2008).

In addition to RLPFC, the parietal cortex has been implicated in relational reasoning. Parietal activation has been shown to mediate the relationship between FR and performance during a demanding working memory task (Gray et al., 2003). Individuals with superior IQ scores rely more heavily on parietal cortex during relational integration tasks, compared to individuals with average IQ scores (Lee et al., 2006). Thus, it appears that while RLPFC is critical for relational integration during relational reasoning, the parietal cortex is essential for the identification and representation of visual–spatial relations that are fundamental to overall relational reasoning. The notion of parietal cortex as the “workhorse” of relational reasoning is consistent with a recent lab study, in which adults showed a higher degree of inferior parietal activation compared to children, during an RPM task (Crone et al., 2009).
CHANGES IN THE NEURAL SUBSTRATES OF FR OVER CHILDHOOD

DEVELOPMENTAL CHANGES IN BRAIN STRUCTURE

Structural brain development during late childhood and adolescence consists of concomitant reductions in synaptic density and increases in axonal myelination that proceeds along specific spatio-temporal patterns. Longitudinal MRI research confirms and extends prior post-mortem work by demonstrating that in general, brain loss occurs first in the dorsal parietal lobes during childhood and then spreads anteriorly to dorsal frontal regions during adolescent and post-adolescent years (Gogtay et al., 2004; Shaw et al., 2008; Sowell et al., 2004). During this stage, RLPFC exhibits cortical thinning until the age of 20 years (O’Donnell et al., 2005).

In adults, IQ has been observed to correlate positively with cortical thickness in bilateral RLPFC (Narr et al., 2007). However, a longitudinal MRI study found that it was the trajectory of cortical thickness in aPFC in individuals from ages 4–29 rather than the actual values that distinguished highly intelligent individuals from others (Shaw et al., 2006). By this measure, the most intelligent children displayed a protracted increase in cortical thickness, followed by adolescents, in whom cortical thickness was observed to have undergone significant thinning (Shaw et al., 2006). If the authors had compared these individuals only at ages 8, 10, or 12, they would have concluded that individuals of superior IQ exhibited lower, greater, or equivalent cortical thickness in aPFC, respectively, when compared with average or high-IQ individuals. In fact, their longitudinal data reveal that any one of these accounts would have been an incomplete and potentially misleading characterization of the differences between these groups of children. Similarly, we expect that the large, ongoing longitudinal study will shed additional light on the relationship between age, individual differences in FR ability, and cortical thickness.

AGE-RELATED CHANGES IN BRAIN ACTIVATION ASSOCIATED WITH FR

Three studies have examined the neural basis of FR in a pediatric sample (Crone et al., 2009; Eslinger et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2007). In the first of fMRI studies, the group (Wright et al., 2007) tested children (ages 6–13) and adults (ages 19–26) on a visual analogy task with semantic (1-relational problems) and analogy (2-relational problems) conditions. In semantic trials, participants were presented with one target image (e.g., a baseball) and five response images. They had to select the response image that best matched the target image (e.g., a baseball bat). In analogy problems, participants were presented with three target images and had to select one of the four response figures that completed the array (Figure 1B).

Among children, it was observed that RLPFC activation increases from the age of 6–13, bilater-
ally for 1-relational problems and in left RLPFC for 2-relational problems (i.e., analogy problems). Among adults, it was found that individuals performing analogy problems with the greatest accuracy showed the largest differential recruitment of RLPFC during relational integration problems as compared with 1-relational problems. The findings suggest that RLPFC involvement in analogical reasoning may go through several developmental stages. During middle childhood, RLPFC is recruited during the performance of visual analogy tasks, but is not distinguished between 1-relational and 2-relational problems. In early adulthood, RLPFC shows selective engagement for the processing and integration of multiple relations (e.g., relational integration). Furthermore, time series analyses have revealed delayed RLPFC activation in children, compared to adults (Figure 2).

Arguing against the possibility that children merely display sluggish hemodynamic response in RLPFC, similar time courses have been observed in the region in the age group of 8–12 years as well as in young adults, in the context of a RPM task (Crone et al., 2009). Furthermore, a study comparing the hemodynamic response between children and adults has not revealed consistent differences in timing between these groups (Kang et al., 2003). Hence, the shift in the timing of RLPFC activation is task-specific: children engage RLPFC in a timely manner on the RPM task, but not on the visual analogy task. It is speculated that children rely on their knowledge about the objects depicted in the visual analogy problems, rather than approaching the problems analytically. A line of research shows that sometimes, even adults endorse illogical lines of reasoning if the content, about which the adults are asked to reason, is familiar and plausible (Braine, 1978). Similarly, it may be true that children approach visual analogy problems in the inappropriate way because they can access semantic memory for objects comprising the problem. However, this is not probable for RPM problems, which are largely devoid of semantic information.

These observations are consistent with the possibility that children tend to respond too hastily, and that the performance of children can benefit from training on the tasks requiring response inhibition. Additionally or alternatively, training on FR tasks might lead to more efficient relational integration.

The idea of a developmental shift in the networks of the brain regions involved in relational integration, across childhood and adolescence, receives additional support from the study involving an RPM-type task (Crone et al., 2009). In adults, RLPFC did not discriminate between 0-relational and 1-relational problems and was
specifically recruited with dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and posterior parietal cortex for 2-relational problems. In contrast, children aged 8–12 recruited both RLPFC and DLPFC to similar extents for 1- and 2-relational problems. These findings are also consistent with a recent report that indicates decreased activation with age, in bilateral RLPFC and DLPFC, during 1-relational problem solving (Eslinger et al., 2008).

Altogether, the results indicate that while regions that support relational reasoning are already engaged by middle childhood, the precise ways in which the regions contribute to reasoning are fine-tuned via structural brain changes during adolescence (see Figure 3).

CURRENT AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Neuroscientific research has begun to provide clues about the changes in brain function underlying developmental changes in FR. However, there are still many unknown changes. First, it is necessary to measure the reasoning-related patterns of brain activation when reasoning ability first begins to emerge in young childhood and changes most rapidly (e.g., Goswami, 1992). Marked changes have been observed in performance between the age groups 4–6 and 7–10 on the visual analogy task (B. Matlen, unpublished undergraduate honors thesis). By the age of 6 years, the youngest age at which fMRI data were successfully collected on the reasoning tasks, children had already begun to reason – although this ability improved further over subsequent development.

Second, longitudinal fMRI data are needed to examine within-subject changes in brain function, which underlie improvements in FR. Indeed, the longitudinal structural MRI data from Shaw et al. (2006) revealed that it was the trajectory of cortical thickening and its thinning over time that distinguished individuals on the basis of IQ, rather than the thickness values themselves. Similarly, the ongoing longitudinal fMRI study is expected to reveal new insights into the developmental changes in brain function underlying FR.

Third, a longitudinal approach enables modeling of the complex patterns of interrelations between the cognitive abilities that contribute to FR, including processing speed, working memory, and specific executive functions. These behavioral measures, as well as brain measures, can be evaluated with regard to their predictive value – the extent to which a combination of these measures at one timepoint allows the prediction of an individual’s FR ability at a later time (Hoeft et al., 2007).

Fourth, it is important to examine the implications of the research for school achievement. It is necessary to determine whether a deeper understanding of the mechanisms underlying FR development will enable the development of an effective intervention for children who struggle to perform well in school as a result of low FR ability. Encouraging preliminary evidence from the laboratory indicates that 8 weeks of training on FR – but not on processing speed – leads to improved performance on standard reasoning tasks in children aged 7–9 years, some of whom had low IQ scores at the outset of training. It will be necessary to replicate these findings in a larger sample, and test whether FR training has a positive and lasting influence on school performance.
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Figure 3 | Schematic illustration of some of the key regions that support FR, and data in relation to their change in the regions over middle childhood and adolescence phases.
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