Parasite-Modified Chemical Communication: Implications for Aquatic Community Dynamics
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Chemical communication within an aquatic environment creates an intricate signaling web that provides species with information about their surroundings. Signaling molecules, like oxylipins, mediate a multitude of interactions between free-living members of a community including non-consumptive effects by predators. Parasites are another source of signaling molecules in aquatic communities and contribute directly by synthesizing them or indirectly by manipulating host chemical cues. If chemical cues of infected hosts are altered, then non-consumptive interactions between other members of the community may also be affected. Different cues from infected hosts may alter behaviors in other individuals related to foraging, competition, and defense priming. Here, we discuss how parasites could modify host chemical cues, which may have far reaching consequences for other community members and the ecosystem. We discuss how the modification of signaling molecules by parasites may also represent a mechanism for parasite-modified behavior within some systems and provide a mechanism for non-consumptive effects of parasites. Further, we propose a host-parasite system that could be used to investigate some key, unanswered questions regarding the relationship between chemical cues, parasite-modified behavior, and non-consumptive effects. We explain how trematode-gastropod systems can be used to test whether there are alterations in the diversity and amounts of signaling molecules available, and if habitat use, immune function, and behavior of other individuals and species are affected. Finally, we argue that changes to pathway crosstalk by parasites within communities may have broad ecological implications.
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INTRODUCTION

Species obtain important information about their surroundings through intricate signaling webs created by chemical cues (Brönmark and Hansson, 2000; van Poecke and Dicke, 2004; Vos et al., 2006). Cues can have a variety of functions including alarm cues, chemical defense, and sex pheromones, that impact the behavior and physiology of organisms receiving these signals (Kats and Dill, 1998; Kaupp et al., 2006; Fink, 2007; Pohnert et al., 2007; Kita et al., 2010). Aquatic organisms respond to minute concentrations of chemical cues within this environment (Dicke and Sabelis, 1988; Brönmark and Hansson, 2000; Vos et al., 2006). Gradual changes in chemical cue diversity and concentration throughout an ecosystem relays important information to species,
creating a network or signaling web that can be used by community members and influence community dynamics (van Poecke and Dicke, 2004; Voc et al., 2006; Fink, 2007; Brönmark and Hansson, 2012). As a result, many interactions, such as predator avoidance, and parasite transmission are influenced by cues produced in the community (Kats and Dill, 1998; Burks and Lodge, 2002; Fink, 2007; Saha et al., 2019).

Within the aquatic community, individuals of other species may also “eavesdrop” on this communication to obtain additional information about their environment (Baldwin et al., 2006; Voc et al., 2006). If predators and parasites can perceive cues through all of the chemicals found within the aquatic system, or “ambient noise,” they can take advantage of chemical crosstalk to increase depredation and transmission (Scholtz and Appel, 2004; Baldwin et al., 2006; Brönmark and Hansson, 2012). For example, eavesdropping has been shown to facilitate tritrophic interactions in aquatic communities. Plants released oxylipins and other VOCs as they were being consumed by herbivores, which attracted carnivores and parasitoids that subsequently reduced herbivore performance (Martin et al., 2019; Kergunteuil et al., 2020).

Conversely, if a species is able to perceive an increased risk of predation or parasitism through the perception of cues released by other individuals, they may adopt strategies to reduce this risk (Buck et al., 2018; Weinstein et al., 2018). Indeed, chemical cues are important mediators of non-consumptive effects (NCEs) in predator-prey relationships (Ferrari et al., 2010; Hill and Weissburg, 2013). Response to predator cues leads to alterations in behavior and physiology that reduce an individual’s risk of predation (Weissburg and Beauvais, 2015; Hermann and Landis, 2017). Relatively less is understood about the role of chemical cues in mediating NCEs of parasites and parasite-host interactions (Fink, 2007; Saha et al., 2019). More work is needed to determine whether parasites perceive and use crosstalk in their non-consumptive interactions with their hosts and other members of the aquatic community.

OXYLIPIN CHEMICAL CUES MEDIATE COMMUNITY INTERACTIONS

Organisms release species-specific chemical emissions, representing a unique cocktail of cues, including fatty acids, amino acids, nucleotides, and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (Brönmark and Hansson, 2000; Pohnert, 2007; Fink, 2007). One important group of VOCs are oxylipins, or oxygenated metabolites of fatty acids, (de Petrocellis and di Marzo, 1994; Baldwin et al., 2006) that have essential roles in normal physiology, stress, and immune response of a wide breadth of taxa, from plants to animals (Stanley-Samuelson, 1994; Fink, 2007; Caldwell, 2009; Stanley, 2014; Gabbs et al., 2015). Each oxylipin cocktail carries complex information to the receiver, with the specificity of the mixture being key to dictating the response by the receiver rather than a single oxylipin alone (Metcalf and Kogan, 1987; Wendel and Jüttner, 1996; Fink, 2007). For example, mixtures containing eicosanoids, oxylipins formed from C20:C22 fatty acids, affect the physiology of invertebrates, including the neuro- and reproductive physiology of mollusks and insect cellular defense (Stanley-Samuelson et al., 1991; Stanley-Samuelson, 1994). Eicosanoids have also been detected in a wide diversity of parasite species, including the trematodes Schistosoma mansoni and Fasciola hepatica, protozoans such as Trypanosoma brucei and Plasmodium falciparum, the cestode Spirometra erinaceieuropaei, and nematodes Brugia malayi and Dirofilaria immitis (Liu and Weller, 1992; Kubata et al., 1998, 2000; Ali et al., 1999; Noverr et al., 2003; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012). Although the functions of some oxylipins from some parasites are known, considering the diversity of parasite species and the breadth of their host taxa, the functions of oxylipins in host-parasite interactions are largely unexplored (Noverr et al., 2003; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012).

Oxylipins are released by all biota transported within their environment, received and perceived by another individual, leading to possible changes in behavior depending on the receiver’s state or species (Baldwin et al., 2006; Fink, 2007). The reception of these chemical cues may trigger chemokinesis and chemotaxis in a wide diversity of species in response to the presence of a specific cocktail of cues and play an important role in species interactions within aquatic systems (de Petrocellis and di Marzo, 1994; Fink, 2007; Pohnert et al., 2007; Poulson et al., 2009; Brönmark and Hansson, 2012). For example, oxylipin cocktails released from a benthic diatom (Achnanthes biasolettiana) were attractive to the Wandering snail (Radix ovata) (Fink et al., 2006a). Yet, another diatom species (Gompnema parvulum) was not attractive to the snail, demonstrating that differences in oxylipin cocktails between species were detected and elicited a differential response from the snail (Fink et al., 2006a,b; Fink, 2007).

Preliminary research suggests that oxylipins may strongly influence ecosystem processes and fine-scale community structure, through structuring planktonic food webs, and influencing patchiness of consumers within ecosystems (Pohnert et al., 2007; Moelzner and Fink, 2015a; Saha et al., 2019). Gastropods respond to oxylipin cues from food sources and shift their behavior in response to food resource quality (Moelzner and Fink, 2014, 2015a). As snails actively grazed upon food sources, chemicals released by the snail and its prey provide information about the quality and types of food resources in the environment (Moelzner and Fink, 2015b). The perception of these cues is a potential mechanism behind the patchy distribution of herbivores within communities (Moelzner and Fink, 2015a).

Parasites themselves use chemoperception of host-generated oxylipins to facilitate transmission to hosts (Daugsches and Joachim, 2000; Noverr et al., 2003; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012). For example, host-seeking by sea lice (Lepeophtheirus salmonis) is mediated by the parasite’s attraction to isophorone, an oxylipin expressed by salmon hosts (Salmo salar and Scaphthalmus maximus) (Ingvarsdóttir et al., 2002; Bailey et al., 2006). Similarly, aquatic transmission of larval blood flukes (Schistosoma spp.) to invertebrate (miracidia stage) and vertebrate (cercariae stage) hosts is increased in the presence of particular host-derived oxylipins (Fusco et al., 1986; Allan et al., 2009). In this system, not only do a larger percentage of cercarial blood flukes penetrate...
hosts, but the parasite also alters the biosynthesis of some of their own oxylipins (Fusco et al., 1986; Nevhutalu et al., 1993; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012). If the host’s behavior is affected by this change in the parasite oxylipins, this could be an instance where oxylipins mediate NCEs in host-parasite interactions. But, despite the potential importance of oxylipins in structuring community interactions, oxylipin cocktails are not well studied in aquatic systems and most of this research has focused on two-way interactions between free-living species (Fink, 2007; Saha et al., 2019). Given the preponderance of parasites in aquatic systems, more work is needed to understand the key molecules in chemoperception by parasites, whether parasites alter their oxylipins after receiving host cues, and whether these in changes parasite oxylipin cocktails. Oxylipin modifications in the host may include changes in activity levels, aggression, boldness, microhabitat use, and attraction of uninfected host species (Kunz and Pung, 2004; Mikheev et al., 2010; Reisinger et al., 2015; Friessen et al., 2018; Eliuk et al., 2020). It is often suggested that chemical cues may be mediating these types of behaviors and interactions albeit through indirect evidence (e.g., Rohr et al., 2009; Eliuk et al., 2020). As a result, our understanding of how parasites may impact chemical communication in an ecological context is not well understood.

Although a few hypotheses have been proposed to explain changes in host behavior, the potential mechanisms, including the role of chemical communication, are rarely explored (Poulin, 2010; Heil, 2016; Herbison et al., 2018). One hypothesis proposes that parasites may cause pathology to their host, and the side effects or byproducts of this pathology may lead to changes in host behavior and physiology (Pohnert, 2002; Poulin, 2010; Heil, 2016). Oxylipins may be one potential mechanism for this hypothesis as oxylipins are released by some hosts in response to an attack, or tissue damage (Morishima et al., 1997; Funk, 2001). Wound-activated lipases can start to liberate oxylipins as disease progresses and even mediate symptoms (Pohnert, 2002; D’Ippolito et al., 2004; Maibam et al., 2014). During this process, the oxylipin cocktail released by the host may include these novel or upregulated oxylipins, altering its composition and thereby affecting interactions relying on this chemical communication.

An alternative hypothesis proposes that an adaptive response to infection may be a mechanism for alterations in host behavior due to parasites (Poulin, 2010). The host may change its behavior or physiology in order to either mitigate the consequences of this infection or to be rid of the infection or infestation altogether (Poulin, 2010; Heil, 2016). Oxylipins are known to modulate the immune response of insects and plants in response to pathogens and predation in a variety of ecosystems (Stanley-Samuelsen et al., 1991; Noverr et al., 2003; Pozo et al., 2004; Pieterse and Dicke, 2007). Hosts may produce different amounts or combinations of oxylipins in attempts to remove infection (Noverr et al., 2003; Heil, 2016).

Finally, parasites may directly manipulate their hosts through biochemical interference (Poulin, 2010). Many parasite species are known to produce a variety of oxylipins (Noverr et al., 2003; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012). Parasites may use oxylipins to their advantage and produce oxylipins that modulate host immune systems as a way to protect themselves (Noverr et al., 2003). Parasites have evolved mechanisms to suppress the host defense response by interfering with key pathway regulators (Angeli et al., 2001; Pozo et al., 2004). We suggest that altering oxylipins released by the host or the release of oxylipins by the parasite are adaptive avenues by which the parasite could directly modify their host behavior in a manner that would benefit the parasite, such as increased transmission.

Parasite modification of chemical cues released by their host, through any of the mechanisms described above, will affect interactions and other physiological processes involving these cues. Oxylipins have crucial hormone-like functions within species and play roles in secondary metabolite biosynthesis (Holighaus and Rohlfs, 2019). If parasites release novel or higher amounts of oxylipins than their hosts or induce changes in the production of oxylipins by their host, this may induce behavioral changes in their host, such as boldness and feeding rates, as many oxylipins are well-known as extracellular signaling molecules (Noverr et al., 2003; Gabbs et al., 2015). Additionally, if an altered oxylipin cocktail contains novel or an increased amount of oxylipins that induce chemotaxis by conspecifics (e.g., oxylipins used in mate finding, prey seeking), the change in cocktail may lead to attraction of novel hosts (including predators) increasing the chances of successful transmission.

**NON-CONSUMPTIVE EFFECTS OF PARASITISM MEDIATED BY ALTERED CUES**

To avoid parasitism, host species may adjust their behavior and physiology (Rohr et al., 2009; Horn et al., 2020). These NCEs of parasites are an ecological consequence of novel host responses to infection risk (Rohr et al., 2009; Koprivnikar and Penalva, 2015). The importance of NCEs of parasites and the role of chemical cues in these interactions has been recognized but much is still not understood (Rohr et al., 2009). For example, tadpoles (*Bufo americanus*) exhibited avoidance behavior and elevated activity in response to chemical cues produced by a snail (*Planorbella trivolvis*) shedding *Echinostoma trivolvis* cercariae (Rohr et al., 2009). A potential mechanism for these effects is manipulation of oxylipin cocktails by the parasite, by altering the production of host oxylipins or releasing oxylipins of its own.

If parasites alter the oxylipin cocktail of their host, parasites can alter the signaling web. Other individuals within the community may receive different signals and as a result alter their behavior because of the modifications in the oxylipin
cocktails (Vos et al., 2006). In some cases, other conspecifics and heterospecifics may have evolved to respond to these changes, like responses to alarm cues, by reacting to an imminent risk of becoming infected themselves. Alterations could include an uninfected individual actively changing phenotypic traits, like its behavior, physiology, or habitat use to avoid becoming infected (Hill and Weissburg, 2013). A naïve host may prime their immune system in response to the perceived risk of becoming infected, which is likely to be energetically costly (McPherson et al., 2018). The defense priming of other naïve species may have additional consequences to the transmission success of other parasites within the ecosystem, which in turn may have cascading impacts on the entire community (Friesen et al., 2020). As a defense to the perceived risk, individuals may spend time trying to avoid the threat, but in turn this may increase their vulnerability to predation by reducing time spent on effective defenses and foraging, reducing their ability to compete for resources, and reducing energy available to reproduce, develop and/or grow (Koprivnikar and Penalva, 2015). These altered cues within aquatic signaling webs could also provide one mechanism driving the “ecology of fear” in these communities (Buck et al., 2018; Weinstein et al., 2018; Zanette and Clinchy, 2019). Further, modified cues may ultimately lead to altered species abundance and distribution, and competition resulting in potentially dramatic impacts on ecosystems (Thiemann and Wassersug, 2000; Marino et al., 2014; Buck et al., 2018; Horn et al., 2020).

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND MODEL SYSTEMS**

Due to our limited understanding of the extent that oxylipins mediate interactions, including NCEs, many effects of oxylipin modification remain speculative and require further research. Gastropods and trematode parasites are ubiquitous, have essential functional roles, and their interactions are fundamental
to community dynamics within aquatic ecosystems (Hawkins and Furnish, 1987; Fink et al., 2006a; Wojdak and Mittelbach, 2007; Strong et al., 2008). Thus, they present promising systems to test hypotheses related to the role of oxylipins in mediating NCEs of parasites. Gastropods serve as intermediate hosts to trematode parasites, which infect a wide variety of other host species to complete their life cycles. As trematodes penetrate, migrate, develop, and feed in gastropods, the oxylipin cocktails emitted by these hosts may change. For example, as redial stages of trematodes consume gonad tissue, oxylipins important for reproductive activities of gastropods may be reduced or eliminated. Gastropod hosts may also alter their oxylipin cocktail to increase their reproductive output in order to mitigate the costs of infection, such as a shortened life span or castration (Sorensen and Minchella, 2001).

As gastropods and trematodes are known to both produce and respond to oxylipins and represent a crucial interaction within aquatic communities, these model systems are ideal for testing the role of oxylipins in mediating NCEs of parasites within aquatic environments (see Figure 1). Currently, the chemical cues of schistosome and echinostome trematodes have received the most attention (e.g., Haas et al., 1995; Noverr et al., 2003; Chaisson and Hallem, 2012; Langeloh and Seppälä, 2018). These trematodes could be further explored to test if the behavior or physiology (e.g., immune function) of their conspecific or heterospecific snail hosts are altered in response to exposure to the chemical cocktails produced by infected snails compared to cocktails produced by uninfected snails, or trematodes themselves (Figure 1; Rohr et al., 2009; Langeloh and Seppälä, 2018; Eliuk et al., 2020). Oxylipin cocktails produced by infected snails can be characterized, isolated and used to directly test the role of chemical signals in mediating NCEs of parasites. Further, exposure to these modified chemical cocktails alone could be used to test differences in behavior by other snails and other aquatic community members, such as their foraging time, habitat use, reproductive output, competition, and the ability to evade predators. The generality and strength of alterations to oxylipins in response to infection and their consequences in mediating interactions could be assessed by testing across the diversity of trematodes and snail hosts, between hosts within a life cycle, and according to host-specificity of the trematode. Further, the dynamic nature of chemical communication in snail trematode-systems can be investigated in different contexts such as in controlled laboratory, semi-natural, and natural conditions.

As oxylipins are impacted by shifts in temperature, we can test whether signaling webs and pathway crosstalk involving gastropods and trematodes are being affected by climate change. Emerging research has demonstrated that alterations in oxylipin cues change the outcome of predator-prey interactions (Zupo et al., 2015). Many non-target individuals will be receiving and responding to parasite-modified cues within the signaling web, which may lead to unpredictable changes to the community (e.g., freshwater fish, Fisher et al., 2006). Given the indirect evidence available, we think it is reasonable to suggest variation in parasite diversity and abundance, which ultimately alters the signaling web of a community, may have similarly dramatic impacts on aquatic communities by mediating NCEs of parasites within the community.

In addition, as trematode parasites are ubiquitous throughout aquatic ecosystems and often dominate the biomass of communities, the alteration of chemical cues, like oxylipin cocktails, through parasite infection, may have far reaching effects, modifying the signaling web and affecting pathway crosstalk (Mitchell, 2003; Kuris et al., 2008; Lagrue and Poulin, 2016; Paseka, 2017). Because gastropod-trematode systems can be readily studied in nature and the lab, the impacts of NCEs of parasites mediated by chemical cues can be explored in a variety of contexts. They provide an ideal opportunity to investigate the role of chemical cues in influencing ecological interactions (from individual to community level) and ecosystem processes.
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