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Are flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities associated with functional limitation?
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A B S T R A C T

This retrospective cohort study examined the relationship between self-reported participation in flexibility and muscular strengthening activities and the development of functional limitation (i.e., once an individual has difficulty with or becomes unable to perform activities of daily living). Data were obtained from 1318 adults (mean age 49.5 ± 9.7 years; 98.7% Caucasian; 14.9% female) enrolled in the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study from 1979 to 2004 and free of functional limitation at baseline. Mail-back health surveys were used to prospectively determine incident functional limitation. Participation in muscle-strengthening and flexibility activities was assessed via self-report. Adjusted logistic regression analyses were used to determine the odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals for developing functional limitation during follow-up based on participation in general and specific categories of flexibility (‘Stretching’, ‘Calisthenics’, or ‘Exercise Class’) and muscular-strengthening activities (‘Calisthenics’, ‘Free Weights’, ‘Weight Training Machines’, or ‘Other’). Overall, 42.6% of the sample reported incident functional limitation. After adjusting for potential confounders (e.g., age, sex, cardiometabolic risk factors), those who reported performing muscle-strengthening activities in general (n = 685) were at lower risk of developing functional limitation [OR = 0.79 (0.63–1.00)]. In addition, the specific flexibility activities of stretching (n = 491) and calisthenics (n = 122) were associated with 24% and 38% decreased odds of incident functional limitation, respectively. General muscle-strengthening, stretching, and calisthenics activities are prospectively associated with decreased risk of incident functional limitation in generally healthy, middle-aged and older adults. Thus, both public health and rehabilitation programs should highlight the importance of flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities during adulthood to help preserve functional capacity.

Introduction

Activities of daily living represent a domain of fundamental skills and routine tasks that are essential to independently care for oneself and include the following self-maintenance components: basic activities of daily living, mobility, and instrumental activities of daily living.\textsuperscript{1} The inability of an individual to perform activities of daily living, deemed functional limitation,\textsuperscript{2} contributes to higher morbidity and mortality risk in an aging population.\textsuperscript{3,4} An individual’s functional limitations (e.g., mobility impairments; difficulty performing recreational, household, daily, and personal care activities) are indicators of quality of life and may affect an individual’s independence.\textsuperscript{3,5} Mobility impairment and the loss of functional independence associated with aging may contribute to higher economic and societal costs.\textsuperscript{7} Thus, to reduce the prevalence of functional limitation and to enhance public health efforts, there is a need to identify factors that play a significant role in the development of functional limitation.

In middle-aged and older adults, greater participation in physical activity and higher cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) are associated with lower functional limitation.\textsuperscript{2,8} Other constructs of physical fitness – including flexibility, muscular strength, and body composition – may also
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be used to evaluate functional status. These fitness constructs – particularly flexibility and strength – play a major role in everyday life, as limited balance and mobility are major risks for falls. The American College of Sports Medicine and American Heart Association recommend older adults perform muscular strengthening and flexibility activities at least two days per week for the maintenance of their physical independence and health. In addition, flexibility has been touted as an important construct for individuals to successfully perform activities of daily living. Previous studies indicate that decreased flexibility and mobility are not only associated with a greater risk of falling, but also difficulty performing and sustaining motor activities. The resulting degenerative changes in soft-tissue may decrease neuromuscular function, emphasizing the need to further explore flexibility in an aging population. Additionally, decreased muscular strength is related to an increased risk for developing functional limitation later in life. While there is some evidence regarding general muscular strengthening activities and functional limitation, the mode of strengthening activity remains under studied. Moreover, little is known about the effect of flexibility on functional limitation. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to determine the relationship between self-reported participation in flexibility and muscular strengthening activities and the development of functional limitation in a cohort of healthy, middle-aged and older adults.

Materials and methods

Participants were part of the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study (ACLS), a prospective observational study at the Cooper Clinic in Dallas, TX, USA. Study details have been previously described. Participants came to the clinic periodically for preventive health examinations, as well as counseling for physical activity, nutrition, and wellness. Participants were self- or employer-referred, and the majority of the cohort was Caucasian (> 95%), had a college education, and was from middle to upper socioeconomic strata. All participants underwent a thorough, physician-led physical examination, had anthropomorphic measurements taken, gave a blood sample for blood chemistry analyses, completed a detailed health history questionnaire, and performed a maximal graded treadmill test to assess CRF (measured as treadmill time in minutes). Additionally, health status and physical activity habits were assessed via self-report. From the physical activity questionnaire, total aerobic activity (min/week) from responses to 10 specific activities (walking, jogging, running, treadmill exercise, cycling, stationary cycling, swimming, racquet sports, aerobic dance, and other sports-related activities (e.g., basketball or soccer) was summed by cycling, swimming, racquet sports, aerobic dance, and other activities. The detailed calculation was reported in a previous ACLS paper. All participants provided written informed consent, and the ACLS received annual approval from the Cooper Institutional Review Board. The present investigation involved a de-identified dataset without any personal identifiable information, therefore is exempt from the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of South Carolina.

In the current study, 1318 men and women completed a baseline medical examination between 1979 and 1995. Inclusion criteria for the current analysis required participants to have returned the 1995 mail-back survey for the baseline function limitation assessment and returned at least one additional health survey from 1999 to 2004 for determining functional limitation risk. Participants were excluded if they had baseline cardiovascular disease, cancer, or bone and joint problems including arthritis, gout, swollen/stiff joints, joint replacement, and chronic joint pain. Additionally, those with missing data on flexibility and muscle-strengthening exercises and those with baseline functional limitation were excluded.

Functional limitation was determined from responses to the mail-back health surveys in 1995, 1999, and 2004. The 1995 mail-back survey served as the baseline reference for functional limitation. The 1999 and 2004 surveys were used to prospectively determine incident functional limitation. The functional status questions encompassed the participants’ ability to perform recreational activities (e.g., bicycling, fishing), household activities (e.g., cleaning, cooking), personal care activities (e.g., bathing, dressing), daily activities (e.g., bending, twisting), and activities requiring dexterity (e.g., writing, opening jars). For each category of tasks, the participants were asked to rate the difficulty they had performing the tasks. The possible responses included: no difficulty, some difficulty, much difficulty, and cannot do. Subsequently, participants were identified as having a functional limitation if they reported having difficulty or not being able to perform at least one activity from the list of tasks.

To assess muscle-strengthening and flexibility activities, participants were asked to provide answers to the following separate survey questions:

1) “Are you currently involved in a muscle-strengthening program?”
   a. “Can you specify the muscle-strengthening activity as ‘Calisthenics,’ ‘Free Weights,’ ‘Weight Training Machines,’ or ‘Other’?”
2) “Are you currently involved in exercises to maintain or improve your joint flexibility?”
   a. “What type of exercises?”
      i. ‘Stretching,’ ‘Calisthenics,’ ‘Exercise Class,’ ‘Yoga,’ or ‘Other’?

We examined baseline differences using chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables across activity groups. Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for incident functional limitation according to flexibility or muscle-strengthening activities including the following exposures: flexibility activities (yes/no), specific flexibility activity, muscle-strengthening activities (yes/no), and specific muscle-strengthening activity. In order to control for potential confounding factors, we included the following variables in the full model: baseline age (years), sex (male/female), total aerobic activity (MET-min/week), body mass index (BMI), current smoking (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), diabetes (yes/no), hypercholesterolemia (yes/no), CRF (treadmill time duration in minutes), and flexibility activities (when muscle-strengthening was the exposure) or muscle-strengthening activities (when flexibility was the exposure). We set statistical significance for all analyses at α = 0.05. All analyses were conducted using SAS statistical software (V.9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Participants (n = 1318; 98.7% Caucasian; 14.9% female) had a mean age of 49.5 ± 9.7 years at baseline. Of the 1318 participants, 562 (42.6%) reported the development of one or more functional limitations. Of the 643 participants who reported performing flexibility activities (48.8% of the total sample), 259 reported incident functional limitation. Of the 685 participants who reported performing muscle-strengthening activities (52.0% of the total sample), 267 reported incident functional limitation.
The development of functional limitation. After adjusting for age and sex, functional limitation compared to those who did not were no longer significant (Model 2, 3, and 4), the odds of developing functional limitation were no longer significantly different (Model 4). Additionally, those who participated in calisthenics (n = 122) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation in all models [adjusted for age, sex, total aerobic activity, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and CRF; Model 4 OR = 0.62 (95% CI: 0.41–0.93); p = 0.022].

We also examined the association between muscle-strengthening activities and functional limitation (Table 4). After adjusting for age and sex, those who reported performing muscle-strengthening activities in general (n = 685) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation compared to those who did not [OR = 0.71 (95% CI: 0.57–0.89), p = 0.003]. The OR remained significant at a slightly attenuated after further adjustment for total aerobic activity, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and CRF (Model 2). However, once CRF (Model 3) and flexibility activity (Model 4) were added to the model, the OR for developing functional limitation was no longer significantly lower than the odds for those who did not. When examining specific types of muscle-strengthening activities, those who reported using weight training machines (n = 362) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation than those who did not [OR = 0.76 (95% CI: 0.59–0.99), p = 0.038] after adjusting for age and sex. When the model was additionally

Table 1 displays the distributions of baseline participant characteristics by activity types. Participants reporting flexibility activity were older, had lower BMI, higher aerobic activity participation, higher CRF, higher systolic blood pressure, lower total cholesterol, and reduced prevalence of hypercholesterolemia compared to those who did not report performing flexibility activity. The participants who reported muscle-strengthening activities had lower BMI, higher aerobic activity participation, higher CRF, lower total cholesterol, lower fasting blood glucose, and lower prevalence of diabetes and hypercholesterolemia than those who did not participate in muscle-strengthening activities. Table 2 displays the frequency of participants reporting overall and individual-level functional limitations by activity types.

Table 3 and 4 display the independent associations between flexibility activities, muscle-strengthening activities, and incidence of functional limitation, respectively. As shown in Table 3, after adjusting for age and sex, those who reported performing flexibility activities in general (n = 643) were at lower risk of developing functional limitation compared to those who did not [OR = 0.75 (95% CI: 0.60–0.94), p = 0.014]. When the model was additionally adjusted for potential confounding factors including cardiometabolic risk factors, CRF and muscle-strengthening activities (Models 2, 3, and 4), the odds of developing functional limitation were no longer significantly different. Table 3 also presents specific types of flexibility activities and their association with the development of functional limitation. After adjusting for age and sex, those who reported stretching (n = 491) were at lower risk of developing functional limitation [OR = 0.70 (95% CI: 0.55–0.88), p = 0.002] than those who did not. Similar results were observed after additional adjustment for total aerobic activity, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia (Model 2), and CRF (Model 3) with the ORs being slightly attenuated. When the model was further adjusted for muscle-strengthening activities, the odds of developing functional limitation were no longer significantly different (Model 4). Additionally, those who participated in calisthenics (n = 122) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation in all models [adjusted for age, sex, total aerobic activity, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and CRF; Model 4 OR = 0.62 (95% CI: 0.41–0.93); p = 0.022].

We also examined the association between muscle-strengthening activities and functional limitation (Table 4). After adjusting for age and sex, those who reported performing muscle-strengthening activities in general (n = 685) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation compared to those who did not [OR = 0.71 (95% CI: 0.57–0.89), p = 0.003]. The OR remained significant at a slightly attenuated after further adjustment for total aerobic activity, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia (Model 2). However, once CRF (Model 3) and flexibility activity (Model 4) were added to the model, the OR for developing functional limitation was no longer significantly lower than the odds for those who did not. When examining specific types of muscle-strengthening activities, those who reported using weight training machines (n = 362) were at a lower risk of developing functional limitation than those who did not [OR = 0.76 (95% CI: 0.59–0.99), p = 0.038] after adjusting for age and sex. When the model was additionally

Data shown as ± SD unless specified otherwise. Significant differences are presented in bold and set at α = 0.05 level; MET, metabolic equivalent.

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of participants by activity types.

| Characteristic               | Flexibility Activity | p value | Muscle-strengthening Activity | p value |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                             | No (675)             | Yes (643) |                             | No (633) | Yes (685) |
| Age (years)                 | 48.7 ± 9.4           | 50.3 ± 10.0 | 0.004                        | 49.2 ± 9.6 | 49.8 ± 9.8 | 0.62 |
| Female (%)                  | 6.9                  | 8.0      | 0.15                         | 7.6      | 7.3      | 0.36 |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)     | 24.9 ± 3.1           | 24.3 ± 2.8 | <0.0001                      | 24.9 ± 3.1 | 24.3 ± 2.8 | 0.0004 |
| Aerobic activity (MET-min/km) | 1281.8 ± 1161.1     | 1753.5 ± 1309.8 | <0.0001                      | 1226.4 ± 1082.4 | 1775.7 ± 1348.4 | <0.0001 |
| Treadmill test duration (min) | 20.3 ± 4.9           | 21.8 ± 4.9 | <0.0001                      | 20.1 ± 5.0 | 21.9 ± 4.7 | <0.0001 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)  | 5.39 ± 1.0           | 5.3 ± 1.0 | 0.03                         | 5.4 ± 1.0 | 5.3 ± 0.9 | 0.0007 |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L) | 5.5 ± 1.0            | 5.4 ± 0.6 | 0.11                         | 5.5 ± 1.1 | 5.4 ± 0.5 | 0.0009 |
| Resting blood pressure (mmHg) | 120.0 ± 14.0         | 121.6 ± 14.0 | 0.04                         | 120.4 ± 13.4 | 121.2 ± 14.6 | 0.31 |
| Systolic                    | 79.6 ± 9.4           | 79.2 ± 9.1 | 0.54                         | 79.4 ± 9.4 | 79.4 ± 9.1 | 0.99 |
| Diastolic                   | 3.3                  | 2.4      | 0.27                         | 3.1      | 2.6      | 0.24 |
| Current smoker (%)          | 1.1                  | 0.5      | 0.15                         | 1.2      | 0.4      | 0.0009 |
| Diabetes (%)                | 11.4                 | 11       | 0.89                         | 10.6     | 11.8     | 0.82 |
| Hypertension (%)            | 12.9                 | 9.5      | 0.012                        | 11.9     | 10.5     | 0.04 |
| Hypercholesterolemia (%)    | 0.5                  | 0.0009   |                               | 0.0006   |           |       |

Data shown as means ± SD for each column.

Table 2
Frequency of participants reporting overall and individual-level functional limitations by activity types.

| Functional limitation | All (n = 1318) | Participants who reported flexibility activity (n = 643) | Participants who reported muscle-strengthening activity (n = 685) |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Overall functional limitation | 562 (42.6%) | 259 (40.3%) | 267 (39.0%) | 12 (1.8%) |
| Moderate recreational activities limitation | 33 (2.5%) | 14 (2.2%) | 12 (1.8%) | 0 (0%) |
| Strenuous recreational activities limitation | 487 (37.0%) | 228 (35.5%) | 30 (4.3%) | 18 (2.6%) |
| Light household activities limitation | 3 (0.2%) | 1 (0.2%) | 2 (0.3%) | 1 (0.2%) |
| Moderate household activities limitation | 1 (0.1%) | 0 | 1 (0.1%) | 0 (0%) |
| Strenuous household activities limitation | 135 (10.2%) | 62 (9.6%) | 58 (8.5%) | 1 (0.1%) |
| Light daily activities limitation | 30 (2.3%) | 12 (1.9%) | 13 (1.9%) | 0 (0%) |
| Moderate daily activities limitation | 107 (8.1%) | 50 (7.8%) | 50 (7.3%) | 0 (0%) |
| Strenuous daily activities limitation | 86 (6.5%) | 39 (6.1%) | 38 (5.6%) | 1 (0.1%) |
| Activities requiring dexterity limitation | 49 (3.7%) | 27 (4.2%) | 28 (4.1%) | 1 (0.1%) |
| Moderate personal care activities limitation | 5 (0.4%) | 3 (0.5%) | 3 (0.4%) | 0 (0%) |
adjusted for other potential confounders including cardiometabolic risk factors, CRF, and flexibility activities, the odds of developing functional limitation were no longer significantly different.

### Discussion

This is among the first longitudinal studies to assess the association between flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities and risk of functional limitation.
developing functional limitation in generally healthy middle-aged and older adults – a cohort reflective of the natural aging process. Those who participated in muscle-strengthening activity were 21% less likely to develop functional limitation, after adjusting for age, sex, and traditional cardiometabolic risk factors (Models 1 and 2). Those who participated in either stretching or calisthenics for flexibility were 24% and 38% less likely to develop functional limitation, respectively, independent from age, sex, cardiometabolic risk factors, and CRF (Models 1 to 3). Furthermore, calisthenics for flexibility was the only activity that decreased an individual’s likelihood of developing functional limitation when adjusted for muscle-strengthening activities (Model 4). Taken together, our findings that both muscular strengthening and flexibility activities reduce the risk of developing functional limitation further support the American College of Sports Medicine and American Heart Association’s recommendations to perform these types of activities to preserve physical independence and maintain health.13

Previous work from the ACLS found that individuals with moderate to high CRF have decreased odds for developing functional limitation compared to those with low CRF.25 Since muscular strength and flexibility are constructs of physical fitness, our current findings expand the previous work and strengthen the case that physical fitness is associated with the incidence of functional limitation in middle-aged and older adults. When we analyzed participation in specific modes of flexibility activities (Table 3), participation in either stretching or calisthenics demonstrated significantly lower odds of developing functional limitation. Although the benefits of performing flexibility activities are not yet well supported,26 our results demonstrate that these activities, especially stretching and calisthenics, may decrease an individual’s risk for developing functional limitations. Recommendations regarding physical activity for adults should include the performance of flexibility activities regularly, similar to other modes of exercise.13,14,27 Additionally, the current study provides evidence that for those who may be unable to perform resistance training, flexibility training may provide some benefit for their continued functional ability.28 Although our study did not reveal any significant associations between specific modes of muscle-strengthening activities and incident functional limitation after adjustment, those who reported general participation in muscular strengthening activities demonstrated significantly lower risk of developing functional limitation (Table 4). This is supported by previous literature that shows muscular strengthening and resistance exercise activities are beneficial for the reduction of risk for functional limitation.13,14,26 In older adults, an individual’s muscular strength is related to their risk of falling and may have implications on the development of functional limitation.10,11 Falls in an aging population may lead to injury, which in turn may also lead to fear and avoidance behaviors resulting in a negative effect on an individual’s function and independence.26 Thus, maintaining muscular strength in an aging population is vital to help prevent falls leading to injury and limitation.11,12

A limitation of the study is that the main outcome measure of the development of functional limitation relied upon self-report measures. However, self-reported functional status has been shown to be relatively accurate when compared with performance measures in older adults (aged 60 and older).30 In addition, we controlled for the presence of major diseases and musculoskeletal problems at baseline, thus the results from the current analyses are unlikely to be caused by pre-existing functional complications, which can significantly influence the development of functional limitation.10,11 Another limitation is that participation in flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities was also self-reported. Participants reported whether they currently participated in the activities; however, we are unaware of specific muscle groups targeted, how frequently they participated in the activities, and potential sub-types of activity. As the sample for this study was predominantly Caucasian, male, white-collar workers with a middle to upper socioeconomic status, this sample may not allow for a comparison of the exposures of flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities to the general public.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the relationship between the performance of flexibility activities and functional limitation. Other strengths of this study include the sample size, long-term follow-up, and thorough baseline physical examination from the ACLS. The physical examination allowed formal and systematic evaluation of the presence of medical conditions at baseline.

Conclusion

In generally healthy, middle-aged and older adults, general participation in muscle-strengthening activities is associated with a lower risk of developing functional limitation, encompassing a range of activities including recreational, household, daily, and personal care activities. Additionally, participation in stretching or calisthenics for flexibility is protective against incident functional limitation. Thus, both public health efforts and rehabilitation programs should highlight the importance of flexibility and muscle-strengthening activities during adulthood to help preserve the ability to independently perform activities of daily living.
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