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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of meromorphic functions, using the exponent $q$-derivative operator. Afterwards, coefficient estimates, extreme points, convex linear combination, radii of starlikeness and convexity and finally partial sum property have been investigated.
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1. Introduction

Fractional calculus have started to appear more and more frequently for the modelling of relevant systems in several fields of applied sciences. For more details, one may refer to the books [6, 7, 9] and the recent papers on the subject. The theory of $q$-analysis has attracted a considerable effort of researches due to its application in many branches of mathematics and physics and $q$-theory has an important role in various branches of mathematics and physics as for example, in the areas of special functions, ordinary fractional calculus, optimal control problems, $q$-difference, $q$-integral equations, $q$-transform analysis and in quantum physics (see for instance, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 16]).

The theory of univalent functions can be described by using the theory of the $q$-calculus. Moreover, in recent years, such $q$-calculus as the $q$-integral and $q$-derivative have been used to construct several subclasses of analytic functions (see, for example, [12, 13, 14, 15, 17]).

Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k z^{k-1},$$

(1.1)
which are analytic in the punctured unit disk
\[ \Delta^* = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1 \}. \]

Gasper and Rahman [7] defined the $q$-derivative of a function $f(z)$ of the form equation 1.1 by
\[ D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q - 1)z}. \]
where $z \in \Delta^*$ and $0 < q < 1$.
Therefore, the $q$-derivative of $\frac{z}{k-1}$ is given by
\[ D_q \frac{z}{k-1} = \frac{(q)_{k-1} - (q)_{k-1}}{(q - 1)z} = [k - 1]_q z^{k-2} \]

Our aim in this paper is to introduce a new operator and a new class of functions given by equation 1.1. So we have
\[ (1.3) \quad D_n^q f(z) = \frac{(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1})}{q(n+1) z^{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q a_k z^{k-n-1} \]
where
\[ (z \in \Delta^*, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}) \]
and
\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q := \left( \frac{1 - q^{k-1}}{1 - q} \right) \left( \frac{1 - q^{k-2}}{1 - q} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{1 - q^{k-n}}{1 - q} \right). \]
also $\prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (k - i)$ as $q \to 1$. So we conclude
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} D_n^q f(z) = f^{(n)}(z), \quad z \in \Delta^*, \]
see also [11].

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$, let $\sum_q (n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\sum$ consisting of functions $f$ of the form equation 1.1 and satisfying the condition
\[ (1.6) \quad \left| z^{n+3} \left( D_n^q f(z) \right)^{'} + z^{n+2} (D_n^q f(z))^{'} - \frac{(-1)^n (n + 1)^2}{q(n+1)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}) \right| \]
\[ \lambda z^{n+1} (D_n^q f(z))^{'} + \frac{(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1})}{q(n+1)} + \frac{(1 + \lambda)\alpha}{q(n+1)} \]
< $\beta$.

We also derive some results given various coefficient inequalities, Radii condition and Hadamard product.
2. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we suppose throughout this paper that \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( \beta > 0 \). First we state coefficient estimates on the class \( \sum_q(n;\lambda,\alpha,\beta) \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( f(z) \in \sum \), then \( f(z) \in \sum_q(n;\lambda,\alpha,\beta) \) is and only if

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n}(k-i)_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) a_k \\
\leq \beta (1+\lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) q^{(n+1)}_{(n-1)}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f(z) \in \sum_q(n;\lambda,\alpha,\beta) \), then equation 1.6 holds true. So by replacing equation 1.3 in equation 1.6 we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q (k-n-1)(k-n-2) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q (k-n-1) a_k z^k \\
\frac{(1+\lambda)}{q^{(n+1)}_{(n-1)}} (-1)^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q a_k z^k + \frac{(1+\lambda)\alpha}{q^{(n+1)}_{(n-1)}}
\]

\(< \beta.
\]

or

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q (k-n-1)^2 a_k z^k \\
\frac{(1+\lambda)}{q^{(n+1)}_{(n-1)}} (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q a_k z^k
\]

\(< \beta.
\]

Since \( \text{Re}(z) \leq |z| \) for all \( z \), therefore

\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q (k-n-1)^2 a_k z^k}{(1+\lambda)\left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q a_k z^k} \right\}
\]

\(< \beta.
\]

By letting \( z \to \overline{z} \) through real values, we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k-i)_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) a_k \\
\leq \beta (1+\lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) q^{(n+1)}_{(n-1)}.
\]
Conversely, Let equation 2.1 holds true, by equation 1.6 it is enough to show that
\[ X(f) = \left| \frac{z^{n+3} (D_q^n f(z))'' + z^{n+2} (D_q^n f(z))'}{q^{(n+1)}} - \frac{(-1)^n(n+1)^2}{q^{(n+1)}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}) \right| \lambda z^{n+1} (D_q^n f(z)) + \frac{(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1})}{q^{(n+1)}} + \frac{(1 + \lambda)\alpha}{q^{(n+1)}} \]
\[ < \beta, \]
or
\[ X(f) = \left| \frac{z^{n+3} (D_q^n f(z))'' + z^{n+2} (D_q^n f(z))'}{q^{(n+1)}} - \frac{(-1)^n(n+1)^2}{q^{(n+1)}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}) \right| \beta \lambda z^{n+1} (D_q^n f(z)) + \frac{(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1})}{q^{(n+1)}} + \frac{(1 + \lambda)\alpha}{q^{(n+1)}} \]
\[ < 0. \]

But for \(0 < |z| = r < 1\) we have
\[ X(f) = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q (k-n-1)^2 a_k z^k \right|
\[ - \beta \left( 1 + \lambda \right) \left( -(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1} - \alpha) \right) \]
\[ - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q a_k z^k \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q (k-n-1)^2 |a_k| r^k \]
\[ - \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( -(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1} - \alpha) \right) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n+1)}} \]
\[ + \lambda \beta \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q a_k |r^k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 - \lambda \beta) |a_k| r^k \]
\[ - \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( -(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1} - \alpha) \right) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n+1)}}. \]

Since the above inequality holds for all \(r (0 < r < 1)\), by letting \(r \to 1\) and using equation 2.1 we obtain \(X(f) \leq 0\), and this completes the proof. \(\Box\)
Corollary 2.1. If function \( f(z) \) of the form equation 1.1 belongs to \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) then
\[
a_k \leq \frac{\beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)}_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n)^2 + \lambda \beta)}.
\]

This result is sharp for \( H(z) \) given by
\[
H(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)}_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n)^2 + \lambda \beta)} z^{k-1}.
\]

Next we obtain extreme points and convex linear combination property for \( f(z) \) belongs to \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \).

Theorem 2.2. The function \( f(z) \) of the form equation 1.1 belongs to \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) if and only if it can be expressed by
\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z), \quad \sigma_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k = 1
\]
where \( f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \) and
\[
f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)}_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n)^2 + \lambda \beta)} z^{k-1}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

Proof. Let
\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z)
\]
\[
= \sigma_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \left[ \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)}_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n)^2 + \lambda \beta)} z^{k-1} \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha) \sigma_k z^{k-1}.
\]

Now by using Theorem 2.1 we conclude that \( f(z) \in \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \).
Conversely, if \( f(z) \) given by equation 1.1 belongs to \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \), by letting
\[
\sigma_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_k,
\]
where
\[
\sigma_k = \frac{q^{(n+1)}_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n)^2 + \lambda \beta)}{\beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha)} a_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
we conclude the required result. \( \square \)

Theorem 2.3. Let for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), \( f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_{k,n} z^{k-1} \) belongs to \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \), then \( F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n f_n(z) \) is also in the same class, where \( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n = 1 \). (Hence \( \sum_{q}(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) is a convex set.)
Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 for every \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta) a_{k,n} \leq \beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right). \]

But

\[
\begin{align*}
F(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n \left( \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} z^{k-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n a_{k,n} \right) z^{k-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n a_{k,n} \right) z^{k-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n a_{k,n} \right) \\
= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right) a_{k,n} \\
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n \frac{\beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right)}{q^{(n+1)}(n-1)} \\
= \frac{\beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right)}{q^{(n+1)}(n-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sigma_n \\
= \frac{\beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right)}{q^{(n+1)}(n-1)}
\end{align*}
\]

then by Theorem 2.1 the proof is complete. \( \square \)

3. Radii condition and partial sum property

In this section we obtain radii of starlikeness and convexity and investigate about partial sum property.
Theorem 3.1. If the function \( f(z) \) defined by equation 1.1 is in the class \( \sum q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \), then \( f(z) \) is meromorphically univalent starlike of order \( \gamma \) in disk \( |z| < R_1 \), and it is meromorphically univalent convex of order \( \gamma \) in disk \( |z| < R_2 \) where

\[
R_1 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{q(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^n [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta)(1 - \gamma)}{\beta(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)(k + 1 + \gamma)} \right\}^{1/n}
\]

(3.2)

\[
R_2 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{q(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^n [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta)(1 - \gamma)}{\beta(1 - 1)(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)(k + 1 + \gamma)} \right\}^{1/n}
\]

Proof. For starlikeness it is enough to show that

\[
\left| \frac{zf(z)' + f(z)}{f(z)} \right| < 1 - \gamma,
\]

but

\[
\left| \frac{zf(z)' + f(z)}{f(z)} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} ka_k z^k \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} ka_k |z|^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k |z|^k} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} ka_k |z|^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k |z|^k} \leq 1 - \gamma,
\]

or

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} ka_k |z|^k \leq (1 - \gamma) - (1 - \gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k |z|^k,
\]

or

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k + 1 - \gamma - a_k |z|^k \leq 1.
\]

By using equation 2.1 and equation 3.3 we obtain

\[
\frac{k + 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |z|^k \leq \frac{\beta(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^n [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta)(1 - \gamma)}.
\]

So, it is enough to suppose

\[
|z|^k \leq \frac{q(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^n [k - i]_q ((k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta)(1 - \gamma)}{\beta(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)(k + 1 + \gamma)}.
\]

Hence we get the required result equation 3.1. For convexity, by using the Alexander’s Theorem (If \( f \) be an analytic function in the unit disk and normalized by \( f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 \), then \( f(z) \) is convex if and only if \( zf'(z) \) is starlike.) and applying an easy calculation we conclude the required result equation 3.2. So the proof is complete. \( \square \)
Theorem 3.2. Let \( f(z) \in \sum \), and define
\[
S_1(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad S_m(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (m = 2, 3, \ldots).
\]
Also suppose \( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} x_k a_k \leq 1 \), where
\[
x_k = \frac{q^{(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k - i] q \left( (k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta \right)}{\beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right)},
\]
then
\[
Re \left( \frac{f(z)}{S_m(z)} \right) > 1 - \frac{1}{x_m}, \quad Re \left( \frac{S_m(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \frac{x_m}{1 + x_m}
\]
Proof. Since \( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} x_k a_k \leq 1 \), they by Theorem 2.1, \( f(z) \in \sum q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \). Also by equation 1.4 and equation 1.5 we have
\[
\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n} [k - i] q}{\beta (1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right)} \geq 1,
\]
so
\[
x_k > \frac{q^{(n+1)} \left( (k - n - 1)^2 + \lambda \beta \right)}{\beta (1 + \lambda)},
\]
and \( \{x_k\} \) is an increasing sequence, therefore we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k + x_m \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} a_k \leq 1.
\]
Now by putting
\[
X(z) = x_m \left[ \frac{f(z)}{S_m(z)} - (1 - \frac{1}{x_m}) \right],
\]
and making use of equation 3.7 we obtain
\[
Re \left( \frac{X(z) - 1}{X(z) + 1} \right) \leq \left| \frac{X(z) - 1}{X(z) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{x_m f(z) - x_m S_m(z)}{x_m f(z) - x_m S_m(z) + 2 S_m(z)} \right|
\]
By a simple calculation we get \( Re(X(z)) > 0 \), therefore \( Re \left( \frac{X(z)}{x_m} \right) > 0 \), or equivalently \( Re \left[ \frac{f(z)}{S_m(z)} - (1 - \frac{1}{x_m}) \right] > 0 \), and this gives the first inequality in equation 3.6. For the second inequality we consider
\[
Y(z) = (1 + x_m) \left[ \frac{S_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{x_m}{1 + x_m} \right],
\]
and by using equation 3.7 we have \( \left| \frac{Y(z) - 1}{Y(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \), and Hence \( Re(Y(z)) > 0 \), therefore \( Re \left( \frac{Y(z)}{1 + x_m} \right) > 0 \), or equivalently \( Re \left[ \frac{S_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{x_m}{1 + x_m} \right] > 0 \), and this shows the second inequality in equation 3.6. So the proof is complete. \( \Box \)
4. Some properties of $\sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $f(z), g(z) \in \sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and given by

\[ f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} b_k z^{k-1}. \]

Then the function

\[ h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (a_k^2 + b_k^2) z^{k-1} \]

is also in $\sum_q(n; \gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ where $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(k-n-1)^2}{2\beta}$.

**Proof.** Since $f(z), g(z) \in \sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ therefore we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right]^2 a_k^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) a_k \right]^2 \leq \left[ \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) \right]^2,
\]

and

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right]^2 b_k^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) b_k \right]^2 \leq \left[ \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) \right]^2.
\]

The above inequalities yield us

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right]^2 (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \leq \left[ \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) \right]^2.
\]

Now we must show

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \gamma \beta) \right]^2 (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \leq \left[ \beta(1 + \lambda) \left( (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha \right) \right]^2.
\]

But above inequalities holds if
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n}[k-i]_q((k-n-1)^2 + \gamma \beta) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n}[k-i]_q((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right]
\]
or equivalently
\[
2(k-n-1)^2 + 2\gamma \beta \leq (k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta
\]
or
\[
\gamma \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{(k-n-1)^2}{2\beta}.
\]

\begin{proof}
Let
\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1},
\]
and
\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-1},
\]
be in the class \(\sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\). For \(t \in (0, 1)\), it is enough to show that the function \(h(z) = (1-t)f(z) + tg(z)\) is in the class \(\sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\). Since
\[
h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1-t)a_k + tb_k\right) z^{k-1},
\]
then
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n}[k-i]_q((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \right] \left((1-t)a_k + tb_k\right)
\leq \beta(1+\lambda)(-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k-1}) - \alpha
\]
so \(h(z) \in \sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\). \(\square\)

\begin{corollary}
Let \(f_j(z) (j=1, 2, \ldots, n)\), defined by \(f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^{k-1}\) be in the class \(\sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\), then the function \(F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j f_j(z)\) is also in \(\sum_q(n; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)\) where \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j = 1\).
\end{corollary}
5. Hadamard product

For the functions $f(z), g(z) \in \Sigma$ is given by equation 1.1, we denote by $(f \ast g)(z)$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f(z), g(z)$, that is

$$(f \ast g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-1} = (g \ast f)(z).$$

**Theorem 5.1.** If $f(z), g(z)$ defined by equation 1.1 is in the class $\Sigma(q(n;\lambda,\alpha,\beta))$ then

$$(f \ast g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-1}$$
in the class $\Sigma(q(n;\gamma,\alpha,\beta))$ where

$$\gamma \leq \frac{q^{(n+1)}(n-k)q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda\beta)^2}{\beta^2(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q^2+\cdots+q^{k-1})-\alpha)} - \frac{(k-n-1)^2}{\beta}.$$

**Proof.** Since $f(z), g(z) \in \Sigma(n;\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$, so by equation 2.1

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} ([k-i]q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda\beta) a_k \leq \beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})-\alpha) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n-1)}}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} ([k-i]q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda\beta) b_k \leq \beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})-\alpha) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n-1)}}.$$

By using the equation 5.1, equation 5.2 and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} ([k-i]q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda\beta) \sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})-\alpha) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n-1)}}.$$

we must find the smallest $\gamma$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} ([k-i]q ((k-n-1)^2 + \gamma\beta) a_k b_k \leq \beta(1+\lambda)((-1)^{n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1})-\alpha) \frac{q^{(n+1)}}{q^{(n-1)}}.$$
Now it is enough to show that
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \gamma \beta) a_k b_k
\]
\[
\leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta) \sqrt{a_k b_k}
\]
(5.5)
or equivalently
\[
\sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \frac{(k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta}{(k-n-1)^2 + \gamma \beta}
\]
But from equation 5.3,
\[
\sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \frac{\beta(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta)}
\]
so it is enough that
\[
\frac{\beta(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}{q^{(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta)} \leq \frac{(k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta}{(k-n-1)^2 + \gamma \beta}
\]
(5.6)
By using the equation 5.6 we have
\[
\gamma \leq \frac{q^{(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [k-i]_q ((k-n-1)^2 + \lambda \beta)^2}{\beta^2(1 + \lambda)((-1)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1}) - \alpha)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{(k-n-1)^2}{\beta}
\]
(5.7)
\[\square\]
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