Impact of early coronary angiography on the survival to discharge after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest
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Objective Acute myocardial infarction is a major cause of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). Coronary angiography (CAG) enables diagnostic confirmation of coronary artery disease and subsequent revascularization, which might improve the prognosis of OHCA survivors. Non-randomized data has shown a favorable impact of CAG on prognosis for this population. However, the optimal timing of CAG has been debated.

Methods The clinical outcomes of 607 OHCA patients registered in CAPTURES (Cardiac Arrest Pursuit Trial with Unique Registration and Epidemiologic Surveillance), a nationwide multicenter registry performed in 27 hospitals, were analyzed. Early CAG was defined as CAG performed within 24 hours of emergency department admission. The primary outcome was survival to discharge, with neurologically favorable status defined by cerebral performance category scores ≤2.

Results Compared to patients without CAG (n=469), patients who underwent early CAG (n = 138) were younger, more likely to be male, and more likely to have received bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, pre-hospital defibrillation, and revascularization (P<0.01 for all). Analysis of 115 propensity score-matched pairs showed that early CAG is associated with a 2.3-fold increase in survival to discharge with neurologically favorable status (P<0.001, all). Survival to discharge increased consistently according to the time interval between emergency department visit and CAG (P<0.05).

Conclusion Early CAG of OHCA patients was associated with better survival and favorable neurologic outcomes at discharge. However, there was no clear time threshold for CAG that predicted survival to discharge.
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INTRODUCTION
Sudden cardiac death is a major complication of coronary artery vascular disease. Current clinical guidelines recommend immediate coronary angiography (CAG) after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients presenting with ST segment elevation. Even when there is no evidence of cardiac cause, CAG is recommended within 2 hours of admission after ROSC. However, early identification of acute myocardial ischemia by electrocardiography in the setting of OHCA is challenging. Performing CAG early in such clinical situations might enable early diagnostic confirmation of coronary artery disease and subsequent revascularization or hemodynamic support, thereby improving clinical outcomes. Prior clinical studies have shown improved clinical outcomes after CAG in OHCA patients. However, most of these studies lack controls and a multicenter-study design. The optimal timing of CAG after OHCA in patients without ST segment elevation is still debated. We investigated the effect of early CAG after OHCA using a large nationwide OHCA registry in Korea.

METHODS
Patients
The Cardiac Arrest Pursuit Trial with Unique Registration and Epidemiologic Surveillance (CAPTURES) is a prospective, nationwide, multicenter, and dynamic registry of OHCA aimed at identifying the etiology of acute cardiac arrest in Korea. CAPTURES collects data on all emergency medical service (EMS) activated OHCA events without a definite non-cardiac cause of arrest, such as major trauma or end-stage malignancy. The registry includes data regarding patient clinical characteristics, pre-hospital time variables, and in-hospital outcomes at enrolling sites. From March 2014 to December 2014, a total of 1,616 OHCA patients were identified from 27 hospitals. Patients were excluded if they did not achieve ROSC (n = 883), were aged < 18 years (n = 19), were not transferred by EMS (n = 4), or had incomplete data (n = 45). Patients with sustained ROSC and sinus rhythm did not have important clinical variables recorded before emergency department (ED) arrival and were therefore excluded on the basis of incomplete data. In addition, patients who underwent CAG after 24 hours were considered as requiring “non-urgent CAG” and were not included (n = 58). Therefore, a total of 607 patients were enrolled in the study (Fig. 1).

Clinical variables
Pre-hospital patient-level data including age, sex, location of arrest (public, home, or healthcare), witnessed state, provision of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, pre-hospital defibrillation, and pre-hospital electrocardiography, dichotomized as shockable or non-shockable rhythm, were assessed. The time of the EMS call, EMS arrival, and arrival to ED were assessed and used for calculations of response time (from EMS call to EMS arrival), transfer time (from EMS arrival to ED arrival), and ROSC time (from EMS call time to ROSC).

In-hospital data included intubated status, use of intravenous inotropic agents, therapeutic hypothermia, time from ED arrival to CAG, mechanical circulatory support, which included an intra-aortic balloon pump and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and revascularization, which included the use of thrombolytic agents, percutaneous coronary revascularization, and bypass surgery. Cardiovascular risk factors, including diabetes, hypertension, and current smoking status, were also assessed.

Early CAG was defined as CAG performed within 24 hours of arrival to ED. The primary endpoint was survival to discharge with favorable neurological status, defined by cerebral performance category scores ≤ 2 within 90 days. The secondary outcome was survival to discharge within 90 days.

Statistical analysis
Continuous parameters are expressed as median (1st to 3rd quart-
Continuous and categorical parameters were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. The clinical outcomes of patients with early CAG and patients without CAG were compared using Cox’s proportional hazard model and logistic regression and expressed as hazard ratios (HR) and odds ratios (OR). The dose-response relationship between CAG time and survival was assessed by the Jonckheere-Terpstra test for trend. Both unadjusted data and propensity score-matched data, based on the predicted probability of being assigned to early CAG, were analyzed. The propensity score-matched dataset was created by using propensity scores calculated with the following covariates: age, sex, location of arrest, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, pre-hospital defibrillation, ROSC time, intubation, use of inotropic agents, and hypothermia. These clinical variables showed statistically significant differences between the two groups by univariate analysis, as shown in Table 1. The survival analysis was conducted using ED arrival to discharge time or death time to distinguish between patients who underwent early CAG or no CAG. R ver. 3.2.5 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for all statistical analyses. Statistical significance was defined by a two-tailed P-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Compared to patients without CAG (n = 469, 77%), patients who underwent early CAG within 24 hours (n = 138, 23%) were younger (median age, 58 vs. 65 years), more likely to be male (89% vs. 66%), and more likely to be treated by bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (56% vs. 42%), pre-hospital defibrillation (72% vs. 27%), and revascularization (50% vs. 3%) (Table 1). The unadjusted rates of survival to discharge and neurologically favorable discharge were higher in patients with early CAG compared to patients without CAG (66% vs. 22% and 52% vs. 10%, respectively; P < 0.001 for all) (Table 2).

To reduce confounding and indication bias, 115 propensity score-matched pairs were prepared based on the predicted probability of being assigned to early CAG (Table 3). The appropriateness of matching was confirmed by achieving covariate balance between both groups. Superior clinical outcomes of patients with early CAG compared with patients without CAG persisted after propensity score-matched analysis (62% vs. 37% and 49% vs. 20%, respectively; P < 0.001 for all) (Table 4). These clinical outcomes are depicted in Fig. 2.

Survival analysis showed that early CAG was associated with more than a 3-fold increase in survival to discharge with neurologically favorable status or survival to discharge alone (HR, 3.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.8 to 4.7; OR, 6.8; 95% CI, 4.5 to 0.3 and HR, 4.0; 95% CI, 3.0 to 5.5; OR, 10.5; 95% CI, 6.8 to 16.6, respectively; P < 0.001, all). In the analysis of propensity-matched pairs, early CAG showed a 2-fold increase in survival to discharge with neurologically favorable status or survival to discharge alone (HR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.6 to 3.3; OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 2.1 to 6.8 and HR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.6 to 3.1; OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.6 to 4.6, respectively; P < 0.001 for all) (Table 5).

The timing of early CAG and survival to discharge are shown in Fig. 3. Most (78%) early CAGs were performed within 3 hours of ED arrival. Interestingly, there was a significant increase in survival to discharge according to the time interval between ED ar-

---

**Fig. 1.** Study flow. OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CAPTURES, Cardiac Arrest Pursuit Trial with Unique Registration and Epidemiologic Surveillance; CAG, coronary angiography; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.
Table 1. Pre-hospital clinical characteristics and hospital course

| Pre-hospital presentation | Early CAG (n = 138) | No CAG (n = 469) | P-value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Pre-hospital presentation |                    |                 |         |
| Age (yr)                  | 58 (50–68)         | 65 (52–77)      | 0.001   |
| Sex, male                 | 123 (89)           | 307 (66)        | < 0.001 |
| Location                  |                    |                 |         |
| Public                    | 64 (46)            | 129 (28)        | <0.001  |
| Home                      | 47 (34)            | 282 (60)        |         |
| Healthcare                | 16 (12)            | 51 (11)         |         |
| Other                     | 11 (8)             | 7 (2)           |         |
| Witnessed arrest          | 106 (77)           | 325 (69)        | 0.13    |
| Bystander CPR             | 77 (56)            | 195 (42)        | 0.004   |
| Pre-hospital ECG rhythm< |                    |                 |         |
| Shockable                 | 97 (70)            | 116 (25)        | <0.001  |
| Non-shockable             | 40 (29)            | 348 (74)        |         |
| Pre-hospital defibrillation | 99 (72)           | 125 (27)        | <0.001  |
| ED arrival during weekend (Saturday or Sunday) | 47 (34) | 137 (29) | 0.33 |
| ED arrival during non-business hours (< 8 am or > 5 pm) | 31 (23) | 134 (28) | 0.19 |
| Response time (min)       | 6 (5–9)            | 6 (5–8)         | 0.80    |
| Transfer time (min)       | 20 (14–48)         | 19 (14–30)      | 0.29    |
| ROSC time (min)           | 29 (18–43)         | 34 (27–45)      | 0.001   |
| Cardiovascular risk factors |                |                 |         |
| Diabetes                  | 28 (20)            | 109 (23)        | 0.66    |
| Hypertension              | 60 (44)            | 182 (39)        | 0.61    |
| Smoking                   | 83 (60)            | 175 (37)        | <0.001  |
| Hospital course           |                    |                 |         |
| Intubation                | 120 (87)           | 446 (95)        | 0.002   |
| Use of inotropes          | 95 (69)            | 361 (77)        | 0.07    |
| Therapeutic hypothermia   | 65 (47)            | 100 (21)        | <0.001  |
| Temporary pacemaker       | 5 (4)              | 5 (1)           | 0.10    |
| Mechanical circulatory support | 39 (28)       | 9 (2.2)         | <0.001  |
| IABP                      | 18 (13)            | 1 (0.2)         | <0.001  |
| ECMO                      | 21 (15)            | 8 (2)           | <0.001  |
| Revascularization         | 69 (50)            | 15 (3)          | <0.001  |
| PCI                       | 60 (44)            | 0 (0)           | <0.001  |
| Bypass surgery            | 3 (2)              | 2 (0.4)         | 0.14    |
| Thrombolysis              | 15 (11)            | 13 (3)          | <0.001  |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%). Six cases without pre-hospital ECG rhythm were excluded from the table.
CAG, coronary angiography; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ECG, electrocardiography; ED, emergency department; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

Table 2. Clinical outcome

|                         | Early CAG (n = 138) | No CAG (n = 469) | P-value |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Survival at discharge   | 91 (66)            | 104 (22)        | <0.001  |
| Cerebral performance category score | <0.001 |        |         |
| 1                       | 66 (48)            | 36 (8)          |         |
| 2                       | 6 (4)              | 8 (2)           |         |
| 3                       | 4 (3)              | 15 (3)          |         |
| 4                       | 15 (11)            | 38 (8)          |         |
| 5                       | 47 (34)            | 372 (79)        |         |
| ≤2                      | 72 (52)            | 44 (10)         | <0.001  |

Values are presented as number (%).
CAG, coronary angiography.

DISCUSSION

In this analysis of a nationwide multicenter registry, propensity score-matched patients who underwent early CAG after OHCA had 2.3-fold higher odds of survival with a favorable neurologic status, compared with patients without CAG.

An organized team approach, including transfer, hypothermia, and interventional procedures, is being emphasized for optimal...
### Table 3. Pre-hospital clinical characteristics and hospital course, propensity score-matched

| Pre-hospital presentation | Early CAG (n = 115) | No CAG (n = 115) | P-value |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                  | 57 (50–69)          | 59 (46–72)       | 0.88    |
| Sex, male                 | 100 (87)            | 94 (82)          | 0.36    |
| Location                  |                     |                  |         |
| Public                    | 49 (42)             | 56 (49)          | 0.02    |
| Home                      | 40 (35)             | 48 (42)          |         |
| Healthcare                | 16 (14)             | 10 (9)           |         |
| Other                     | 10 (9)              | 1 (1)            |         |
| Witnessed arrest          | 89 (77)             | 89 (77)          | 0.60    |
| Bystander CPR             | 60 (52)             | 64 (56)          | 0.69    |
| Pre-hospital electrocardiography rhythm |               |                  |         |
| Shockable                 | 75 (69)             | 65 (50)          | 0.50    |
| Non-shockable             | 40 (31)             | 50 (50)          |         |
| Pre-hospital defibrillation | 76 (66)            | 80 (70)          | 0.67    |
| ED arrival during weekend (Saturday or Sunday) | 40 (35)            | 35 (30)          | 0.57    |
| ED arrival during non-business hours (< 8 am or > 5 pm) | 25 (22)            | 26 (23)          | 1.00    |
| Response time (min)       | 6 (5–9)             | 6 (5–8)          | 0.67    |
| Transfer time (min)       | 21 (14–46)          | 20 (15–34)       | 0.97    |
| ROSC time (min)           | 29 (20–42)          | 31 (22–44)       | 0.35    |
| Cardiovascular risk factors |                   |                  |         |
| Diabetes                  | 24 (20)             | 16 (14)          | 0.46    |
| Hypertension              | 51 (44)             | 38 (33)          | 0.20    |
| Smoking                   | 65 (57)             | 57 (50)          | 0.19    |
| Hospital course           |                     |                  |         |
| Intubation                | 106 (92)            | 107 (93)         | 1.00    |
| Use of inotropic          | 81 (70)             | 88 (77)          | 0.37    |
| Therapeutic hypothermia   | 48 (42)             | 48 (42)          | 1.00    |
| Temporary pacemaker       | 5 (4)               | 1 (1)            | 0.22    |
| Mechanical circulatory support | 35 (31)         | 4 (4)            | <0.001  |
| IABP                      | 16 (14)             | 1 (1)            | <0.001  |
| ECMO                      | 19 (17)             | 3 (3)            | 0.001   |
| Revascularization         | 55 (48)             | 3 (3)            | <0.001  |
| PCI                       | 50 (44)             | 0 (0)            | <0.001  |
| Bypass surgery            | 3 (3)               | 0 (0)            | 0.25    |
| Thrombolysis              | 11 (10)             | 3 (3)            | 0.05    |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%).
CAG, coronary angiography; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ED, emergency department; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

### Table 4. Clinical outcome of propensity score-matched groups

|                   | Early CAG (n = 115) | No CAG (n = 115) | P-value |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Survival at discharge | 71 (62)           | 43 (37)          | <0.001  |
| Cerebral performance category score | <0.001      |                  |         |
| 1                 | 50 (43)             | 21 (18)          |         |
| 2                 | 6 (5)               | 2 (3)            |         |
| 3                 | 4 (3)               | 7 (6)            |         |
| 4                 | 11 (10)             | 13 (11)          |         |
| 5                 | 44 (38)             | 72 (63)          |         |
| ≤ 2               | 56 (49)             | 23 (20)          | <0.001  |

Values are presented as number (%).
CAG, coronary angiography.

Performing CAG, which enables immediate confirmation of coronary artery disease followed by revascularization if necessary, has been reported to improve clinical outcomes of OHCA patients.\textsuperscript{7,9,12-14} and was confirmed in this propensity score-matched analysis of a nationwide registry. Among patients who underwent early CAG, revascularization was performed in half of the patients, which might be a critical component in the acute care of these patients, potentially leading to better outcomes.

The definition of early CAG was not consistent in prior studies and ranged from 6 to 24 hours.\textsuperscript{7,9,12-14} In this study, we assessed post-resuscitation care of OHCA patients.\textsuperscript{11}
Early coronary angiography and survival after OHCA

Fig. 2. Clinical outcome. (A-D) Unadjusted and propensity score-matched survival analyses. (E) Standardized mean difference between the two groups was reduced to less than 0.1 after propensity score-matching. CPC, cerebral performance category; CAG, coronary angiography; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; SMD, standardized mean difference.

the relationship between the timing of early CAG and the resulting clinical benefits. Both the survival to discharge with favorable neurological status and survival to discharge alone increased according to the time interval between ED arrival and CAG (Fig. 3). As such, the optimal timing of early CAG for clinical benefit could not be determined. Better clinical outcomes in relatively late CAG
(between 3 to 24 hours) compared to very early CAG (within 3 hours) might reflect a selection bias, rather than a causal relationship. However, because most CAGs were performed within 3 hours of ED arrival, our results suggest that very early CAG might be important for better clinical outcomes. Further clinical studies are required to determine the optimal timing and maximal benefit of CAG for this extremely high-risk population.

Our study has several limitations. First, although multicenter registry data was used, the study period was relatively short, resulting in a moderate number of patients. Second, the transfer of patients initially sent to hospitals lacking percutaneous coronary intervention was not addressed. Third, the decision regarding CAG was subjective, as determined by physicians in each institute without pre-specified criteria. Fourth, propensity score-matched analysis is insufficient to assess the entire impact of CAG on clinical outcomes and does not account for multiple factors involved in the decision of CAG, such as functional status, non-cardiac comorbidities, and family or social factors. Fifth, neurological outcome was not assessed by an independent, blinded neurologist and had a limited follow-up duration. Sixth, despite being a nationwide multicenter registry, our results should not be extrapolated to all OHCA patients. Finally, this study is not a blind randomized study and thus it is possible that physicians performing CAG in less severe OHCA patients led to more favorable outcomes.

In conclusion, in OHCA patients who achieved ROSC, early CAG was associated with better survival and favorable neurologic outcomes at discharge. There was no optimal CAG timing associated with better clinical outcomes; however, further clinical trials are warranted.
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