Thermic Attenuation on Concrete Sidewalk under Urban Trees. Case Study: Santa Marta – Colombia
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Abstract

Background and purpose: Urban trees provide a number of services including shade and thermal attenuation. This is related to morphological and physiological characteristics of trees and may vary between species and even between individuals of the same species. The aim of this work was to identify thermic attenuations on concrete sidewalks under six tropical urban trees with six different types of shadows.

Materials and methods: In Santa Marta City, Colombia (10°12′20″ N, 74°13′33″ W, 10 meters above sea level and 31°C temperature), we selected six trees (species) with different types of shade, and they are evaluated for soil temperature and the temperature in the shade and off throughout the day for four different days of the year. ANOVA and t-tests were performed with R program in order to identify the influence of the specie, the day, the hour and the position (at the thermic comfort level, surface temperature) on the temperature results obtained.

Results and conclusion: Some trees have the most translucent shadows most likely due to nictinastic movements and consequently less temperature attenuation. On the other hand, other trees have denser shadows and can generate more substantial thermic attenuations. Regarding temperature data, the hour of the day shows the greatest influence on the variability of air temperature and the species shows the greatest influence on the variability of surface temperature. Honey berry (Melicocca bijugatus) and Malay almond (Terminalia catappa) trees have denser shadows and can generate more substantial thermic attenuations. Tree physiology can play an important role in temperature attenuation in cities as a result of shadow effects and can be applied as a criterion to select urban trees in tropical cities.
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INTRODUCTION

Urban trees provide a number of services including carbon and pollutants capture [1] shade, thermal attenuation and ultraviolet (UV) protection [2, 3] as well as hydric regulation [4].

Heat islands are a consequence of direct sun light effects over city structures. These structures take sun energy and convert it into heat, generating an increase of average city temperature in comparison with nearby rural areas [5]. Trees can reduce temperature by thermic attenuation depending on the shape of their shadows and other physiologic factors such evapotranspiration [6] and nastic movements [7]. Thermic attenuation by trees as an ecosystem service for whole city [8] can range from 0.5°C to 1.4°C [9, 10]. These attenuations increase the service life of urban surface materials [11-13]. For example, asphalt roads can reach temperatures over 70°C without shadow effect causing a reduction in the life cycle of this kind of surface and inducing other effects such as the release of toxic – mutagenic gases [14, 15].

The aim of this work was to identify thermic attenuations on concrete sidewalks under six tropical urban trees with six different types of shadows in Santa Marta city, Colombia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was developed in Santa Marta City, Colombia (10°12′20″ N, 74°13′33″ W, 10 meters above sea level) (Figure 1), which has an average temperature of 32.6 °C (Figure 2), and 443 mm of rain per year (Figure 3) [16].
We selected six different kinds of shadows – six trees (Figure 4–9) and five different species: Malay almond 1 and Malay almond 2 (*Terminalia catappa*), Honey mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*), Honey berry (*Melicocca bijuca*), Redwood tree (*Platysmincium pinatum*) and Rain tree (*Enterolobium ciclocarpum*).

The trees chosen have between 8 and 12 meters (high total), 21 and 45 cm diameter trunk and between 4 and 8 m diameter of crown (Table 1).

| Tree             | Total height (m) | Crown height (m) | Crown diameter (S-N direction) (m) | Crown diameter (W-E direction) (m) | Diameter at breast height (cm) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Malay almond 1   | 8.0              | 4.0              | 6.0                               | 5.0                               | 25                            |
| Honey mesquite   | 8.0              | 4.0              | 8.0                               | 7.0                               | 21                            |
| Malay almond 2   | 7.0              | 3.0              | 8.0                               | 6.0                               | 22                            |
| Honey berry      | 7.0              | 3.0              | 5.0                               | 5.0                               | 25                            |
| Redwood tree     | 6.0              | 3.0              | 4.0                               | 4.0                               | 25                            |
| Rain tree        | 12.0             | 5.0              | 8.0                               | 7.0                               | 45                            |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average comfort level temperature was 30.3°C and ranged from 30.1°C to 34°C. For the temperature measured at the sidewalk, the average value with shadow effect was 29.8°C and ranged from 26.0°C and 40.7°C, while the average value without shadow effect was 40.8°C and ranged from 40.3°C to 77.2°C. (Table 2, Figures 10 to 21).

| Species - Position | Temperature (°C) |
|--------------------|------------------|
|                    | min  | aver | max  |
| Malay almond 1 (air) | 30.1 | 30.0 | 34.5 |
| Malay almond 1 (surface) | 29.1 | 29.9 | 38.1 |
| Honey mesquite (air) | 31.3 | 30.4 | 34.7 |
| Honey mesquite (surface) | 30.0 | 30.8 | 40.7 |
| Malay almond 2 (air) | 30.9 | 29.9 | 34.7 |
| Malay almond 2 (surface) | 26.0 | 29.1 | 36.4 |
| Honey berry (air) | 31.2 | 30.8 | 34.1 |
| Honey berry (surface) | 28.8 | 28.1 | 36.5 |
| Redwood tree (air) | 31.2 | 29.2 | 34.7 |
| Redwood tree (surface) | 29.2 | 31.6 | 40.1 |
| Rain tree (air) | 31.3 | 31.4 | 34.7 |
| Rain tree (surface) | 27.4 | 29.2 | 36.6 |
| Direct sunlight (surface) | 40.3 | 40.8 | 77.2 |
| Total value tree (air) | 30.1 | 30.3 | 34.7 |
| Total value (surface) | 26.0 | 29.8 | 40.7 |

Temperature data were collected at the thermic comfort level (1.5 m) beneath the trees and on the sidewalk at points affected and not affected by the tree’s shadow, for day we took temperature with eleven measurements per hour (each 5 sec for a minute) between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. over 4 days (March 13 and 26 and April 6 and 7), for a total of 5 720 values. For each tree we started with air temperature and in second place we took the surface temperature under the shadow. Finally we took the surface temperature in direct sun light. The value of temperature was taken with an air thermometer (Sper Scientific Mini Environmental Quality Meter), with a resolution of ±1.2°C ambient, and surface thermometer (Extech® High Temperature IR Thermometer), with a resolution of 0.1°F/°C.

ANOVA and t-tests were performed with R program in order to identify the influence of the specie, the day, the hour and the position (at the thermic comfort level, surface temperature) on the temperature results obtained.
For temperature collected we applied ANOVA and t-test. ANOVA results indicated that the species (kind of shadow) show the greatest influence on entire temperature variability, followed by the hour of the day, the date and the position (with or without shadow) (Figure 19). The kind of shadow is a primary factor for tree services associated with thermic attenuation. Regarding temperature data, the hour of the day shows the greatest influence on the variability of air temperature (Figure 19) and the species shows the greatest influence on the variability of surface temperature (Figure 20). These results are of the same magnitude as those reported in the literature for Mediterranean climate.
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FIGURE 16
Thermic variation by specie (total value)

FIGURE 17
Thermic variation by specie (air values)

FIGURE 18
Thermic variation by specie (surface values)

FIGURE 19
Total variance (total values)

FIGURE 20
Total variance (air values)

FIGURE 21
Total variance (surface values)

(41.3 °C surface under tree and 63.6°C surface direct sun light) [16]. T-test results indicated that the air temperature under the shadow of Malay almond 1 tree vary significantly (p-value < 0.05) from the air temperature results under the shadows of the other trees studied, including Malay almond 2. No significant differences between air temperatures under the shadow of Malay almond 2 tree and air temperature related to the other trees were detected (with exception of Malay almond 1) and Honey berry
The values of air temperature are significant similar for 13:00 and 16:00 hours, 12:00 and 17:00 hours and between 13:00 and 15:00 hours (Table 5). The values of surface temperature are similar significantly between 11:00 to 13:00 hours and between 13:00 and 16:00 hours (Table 6).

Finally about the surface temperature, all trees are significantly different in refer to surface with shadow (direct sunlight).

**TABLE 3**

*p*-values from *t*-test species, air temperature

|                | Malay almond 1 | Malay almond 2 | Redwood tree | Honey mesquite | Rain tree |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| Malay almond 2 | 9.88E-17       | NA             | NA           | NA             | NA       |
| Redwood tree   | 1.51E-24       | 0.837962096    | NA           | NA             | NA       |
| Honey mesquite | 8.02E-09       | 0.214090457    | 0.00019813   | NA             | NA       |
| Rain tree      | 2.38E-28       | 0.093276126    | 1            | 3.39E-06       | NA       |
| Honey berry    | 2.68E-16       | 1              | 0.63659583   | 0.294487039    | 0.064964105 |

**TABLE 4**

*p*-values from *t*-test species, surface temperature

|                | Malay almond 1 | Malay almond 2 | Redwood tree | Honey mesquite | Rain tree | Honey berry |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------|-------------|
| Malay almond 2 | 4.47E-07       | NA             | NA           | NA             | NA       | NA          |
| Redwood tree   | 2.75E-69       | 1.44E-33       | NA           | NA             | NA       | NA          |
| Honey mesquite | 1.31E-42       | 1.07E-15       | 0.001378591  | NA             | NA       | NA          |
| Rain tree      | 2.85E-38       | 4.59E-13       | 4.46E-05     | 1              | NA       | NA          |
| Honey berry    | 6.67E-06       | 1              | 3.20E-36     | 1.49E-36       | 9.13E-15 | NA          |
| Surface        | 2.82E-260      | 7.50E-204      | 2.39E-99     | 1.35E-129      | 1.14E-135| 1.31E-208   |

**TABLE 5**

*p*-values from *t*-test species, hour air temperature

|                | 8:00 | 9:00 | 10:00 | 11:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 |
|----------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 9:00           | 2.04E17 | NA   | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 10:00          | 6.33E-54 | 5.51E-09 | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 11:00          | 7.65E-90 | 2.43E-28 | 3.02E-06 | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 12:00          | 1.06E-82 | 3.27E-24 | 0.000342336 | 1    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 13:00          | 1.75E-110 | 4.29E-41 | 1.23E-13 | 0.596434889 | 0.032186769 | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 14:00          | 1.36E-110 | 3.64E-41 | 1.11E-13 | 0.575694756 | 0.030741969 | 1    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 15:00          | 6.59E-124 | 6.05E-50 | 1.95E-19 | 0.002970047 | 4.43-05 | 1    | 1    | NA    | NA    |
| 16:00          | 2.36E-108 | 9.97E-40 | 8.77E-13 | 1    | 0.076710664 | 1    | 1    | 1    | NA    |
| 17:00          | 7.34E-69 | 1.02E-16 | 0.439815179 | 0.25604151 | 1    | 7.49E-06 | 7.00E-06 | 7.26E-10 | 2.75E-05 |

The species of Redwood tree and Rain tree significantly similar, the Malay almond 1 tree was significantly different about other trees; the Malay almond 2 tree was not similar to Redwood tree and at the same time significantly different about other trees, however the Redwood tree was significantly different about other trees; Honey mesquite tree was significantly different about other trees as such the Rain tree (Table 3). The Honey mesquite, Redwood tree and Rain tree trees have nictinastic movements and consequently less temperature attenuation. About the surface temperature only the Malay almond 2 and Honey berry offer not significantly difference for other species, the species of Redwood tree and Rain tree significantly similar, the Malay almond 1 tree was significantly different about other trees; the Malay almond 2 tree was not similar to Redwood tree and at the same time significantly different about other trees, however the Redwood tree was significantly different about other trees; Honey mesquite tree was significantly different about other trees as such the Rain tree (Table 3).
TABLE 6
p-values from t-test especies, hour surface temperature

| Time  | 8:00 | 9:00 | 10:00 | 11:00 | 12:00 | 13:00 | 14:00 | 15:00 | 16:00 |
|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 9:00  | 4.86E-35 | NA   | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 10:00 | 3.31E-102 | 7.94E-16 | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 11:00 | 1.95E-199 | 6.40E-69 | 4.10E-22 | 1     | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 12:00 | 4.23E-192 | 2.10E-64 | 2.19E-19 | 1     | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 13:00 | 6.55E-217 | 5.69E-80 | 4.04E-29 | 1     | 1     | NA    | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 14:00 | 8.26E-264 | 2.09E-111 | 4.75E-51 | 7.95E-06 | 2.17E-07 | 0.007869 | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| 15:00 | 1.15E-248 | 5.37E-101 | 1.64E-43 | 0.002392 | 0.000134 | 0.457822 | 1     | NA    | NA    |
| 16:00 | 2.40E-228 | 2.07E-87 | 4.55E-34 | 0.711183 | 0.10442 | 1     | 0.220402 | 1     | NA    |
| 17:00 | 2.87E-138 | 6.61E-34 | 0.000591 | 9.34E-07 | 2.94E-05 | 6.91E-11 | 1.79E-25 | 3.01E-20 | 5.39E-14 |

CONCLUSIONS

For urban surfaces (sidewalks) we found a range of 50ºC between the lowest value (26ºC under shadow effect) and the highest value (77.2ºC under direct sunlight), conditions that can generate positive effects on heat islands and, in the case of asphalt pavements, even a control of toxic vapours and increase in life cycle durability.

Honey mesquite (Prosopis juliflora), Redwood tree (Platysmincium pinatum) and Rain tree (Enterolobium ciclocarpum) trees have the most translucent shadows most likely due to nictinastic movements and consequently less temperature attenuation. On the other hand, Honey berry (Melicocca bijugatus) and Malay almond (Terminalia catappa) trees have denser shadows and can generate more substantial thermic attenuations. Finally found that there are significant differences between the shadow temperature control (sun light direct) and any temperature under the surface shadow tree.

This information suggests that tree physiology can play an important role in temperature attenuation in cities as a result of shadow effects and can be applied as a criterion to select urban trees in tropical cities.
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