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In this research, syntactic foams including Al-7075 matrix and 2-4 mm low-cost pumice particles were manufactured via a novel casting method called as sandwich infiltration. According to physical evaluations, thanks to extremely porous structure of pumice, density values of the fabricated foams varied between 1.42 and 1.61 g/cm³. Porosity values were between 40.62% and 47.39%. Microstructural observations showed that there was a perfect infiltration between Al matrix and pumice particles because of correct process optimization (process pressure of 0.1 MPa, matrix melting temperature of 720°C and filler pre-temperature of 500°C). Furthermore, T6 treatment was applied to samples to comprehend effect of the aging on mechanical properties. The results indicated that there was an affirmative relation between the heat treatment and quasi-static compressive properties of the fabricated foams. The highest compressive strength, plateau stress and energy absorption values were measured as 64.4 MPa, 74.3 MPa and 34 MJ/m³ for heat treated samples. It was also observed that although the highest energy efficiency of 0.89 was calculated for heat treated sample, there was no relation between the heat treatment and efficiency. As for failure modes, as-cast samples exhibited ductile barreling characteristic whereas T6 treated foams indicated brittle v-shape fracture.
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1. Introduction

Metal matrix syntactic foams (MMSFs) have come into the forefront in recent times because of their superior compressive properties, low densities, good strength to weight ratios and perfect energy absorption abilities. These advanced materials have been thoroughly investigated by certain researchers to explore their mechanical, structural and thermal features. Even though the lowest producible density levels are usually higher than standard open or close cell metallic foams, MMSFs have superior mechanical properties compared to traditional metal foams. Typically, the MMSFs consist of two main components: metallic matrix material and filler component. In the technical literature, while aluminum, magnesium, steel, titanium and zinc alloys are often preferred as matrix materials, thin walled hollow ceramics and porous fly ash cenospheres are used as fillers. Also, recently, since spherical hollow ceramic and glass fillers have high cost and require complex manufacturing methods, researchers have inclined to cheap alternatives like expanded perlite (EP) which is an extremely light volcanic glass and fly ash cenospheres which are the product of coal burning.

Thanks to porous cellular or thin walled hollow filler additives, MMSFs exhibit excellent compressive properties together with good energy absorption under mechanical loading and this situation strongly depends on harmony of the matrix-filler combination. In addition to many advantageous mechanical properties of the MMSFs, their promising feasibility for casting process and great potential for being alternative to conventional particle reinforced composites and classical metal foams are very significant on the road to probable real industrial applications. In this point, automotive, railway, aerospace and construction industries can be considered as major target sectors for the real MMSF applications by reason of the fact that ductility, energy absorption and lightness are highly critical for many design components. Moreover, especially for automotive and aerospace sectors, it should also be emphasized to say that along with decreasing total design weight without a noteworthy strength drop in structural parts, fuel efficiency automatically goes up and environmental pollution can be diminished.

Traditional metal foams are manufactured with a lot of different techniques from chemical ways to powder metallurgical approaches. Similarly, MMSFs can be manufactured with various technical methods such as mechanical melt stirring, gravity assisted infiltration, counter gravity infiltration, pressureless infiltration, gas/vacuum pressure infiltration and powder metallurgical ways. Each method has its own advantages and drawbacks depending on the application and usage conditions. For example, by powder metallurgical method, although it is a promising method, the fracture risk of filler spheres of still exists owing to process pressure and direct contact between matrix and filler granules. Stir casting method, in which hollow spheres are poured inside liquid metal and blended, has the advantage of low cost and easily application, but the disadvantages are that filler sphere damage...
may occur during the mechanical mixing process and an inhomogeneous structure due to density variation may form. Also, control of the filler distribution in the metal matrix is difficult task in this technique. On the other hand, gas/vacuum assisted techniques can provide uniform filler distribution but they require some additional expensive apparatus. Therefore, it is clear that fabrication of the MMSFs can’t be designated without taking the certain critical factors like fabrication cost, duration, dimensional accuracy, product inner quality, repeatability and adaptability into consideration. In this investigation, as fabrication method, sandwich infiltration casting method, which was developed by our team, was used. This novel method is a mixture of gravity assisted and counter gravity melt infiltration techniques to form a homogenous matrix/filler structure along with the accurate part geometry.

Lately, efforts about aluminum matrix syntactic foams (AMSFs) have increased on a large scale mainly from the point of mechanical properties. Many different aluminum alloy series are utilized with several filler types in order to examine mechanical features and to comprehend deformation mechanisms exhaustively. For instance, Orbulo et al., by applying three-point bending test, investigated notch sensitivity of Al matrix syntactic foams manufactured via pressure infiltration casting. The researchers revealed that due to different chemical bonding between matrix and fillers crack propagation of Al99.5 was different from AlSi12. In another effort, Taherishargh et al. studied high temperature compressive behavior of expanded perlite/A356 syntactic foam and reported that increasing temperature led to smoother stress-strain curves and better ductility. Goel et al. tried to explain high strain rate compression response of fly ash cenosphere/Al2014 foam by conducting split Hopkinson pressure bar test. As a consequence of their work, the authors alleged that high loading rates resulted in higher strength levels. Akinwokemi et al. fabricated Al/fly ash cenosphere foams by using powder metallurgy and hybrid microwave sintering and it minimized negative effect of interfacial brittleness. Hao et al. indicated that mechanical properties of cp-Al/alumina and ZL111/alumina foams enhanced with decreasing sphere size. Fiedler et al. reported that thermal treatment improved mechanical response of A356 syntactic foam at cryogenic temperature (-196°C). Orbulo et al. recommended expanded clay particles for commercial purity Al and AlSi9MgMn matrices to try low-cost and useful alternative to the widely used hollow spheres, and emphasized that T6 treatment enhanced mechanical properties. Fiedler et al. fabricated functionally graded A356/EP syntactic foam. The research group concluded that particle pre-compaction was effective for density diminishment and it converted the fracture characteristic from barreling to layer by layer deformation. In another interesting study, Hao et al. investigated mechanical properties of hybrid expanded glass/ hollow alumina sphere filled ZL111 matrix syntactic foam. The research team expressed that as the expanded glass content increased, compressive strength and plateau stress of the fabricated foam dwindled, but fracture strain value went up. Thalmair et al. tried a new manufacturing method and showed that syntactic foams could be produced from aluminum sawing chips. Sahu et al. used stir-casting method for manufacturing of 25 vol.% cenosphere filled Al 2014 syntactic foam. The research team found that even though foam density was 2 g/cm³, the compressive strength was high and limited strain hardening effect was seen in the plateau region. Broxtermann et al. compared stir-casting and infiltration casting methods for aluminum syntactic fabrication. As a result of their work, the researchers reported that although infiltrated foams displayed vertical density gradient, this case was not valid for stir casted foams.

In order to analyze the probable effect of heat treatment on the mechanical properties of MMSFs, some researchers studied Al and Zn alloys as matrix materials due to their large-scale usage in several industrial applications. In this context, Taherishargh et al. carried out artificial aging treatment (T6) on expanded perlite reinforced aluminum A356 syntactic foams and stated that the T6 treatment improved plateau strength and absorbed energy of the produced samples. In another work, Movahedi et al. focused on effects of the heat treatment operation on the compressive features of expanded perlite filled Zn(Al27) syntactic foam and reported that specific energy absorption, plateau stress, and energy absorption efficiency of produced foams improved following the heat treatment. Similar enhancements in the compressive properties were also observed for T6 treated ceramic microspheres (45 vol.% 3Al2O3–2SiO2 and 55 vol.% SiO2) reinforced Al 7075 syntactic foam by Dunand et al. Santa Maria et al. studied on effects of T4 and T7 heat treatments on the deformation behaviors of Al 206/Al2O3 syntactic foam and the researchers pointed that heat treated foams had higher average peak strength and specific plateau strength values than their as-cast versions.

In this research, contrary to widely used Al-Si cast alloys, Al 7075 alloy was used as matrix material due to its widespread usage in several industrial applications and T6 heat treatment availability. As for filler material, pumice, which is a naturally occurred extremely porous volcanic rock, was picked out owing to its unique cellular structure and cost effectiveness in comparison with expensive engineered hollow ceramic spheres. Pumice have rarely been investigated as a filler material syntactic foams in previous studies. Unfortunately, no investigations were carried out on the effect of heat treatment on pumice reinforced syntactic foams. The main targets of the present work are to obtain perfect matrix/filler harmony by means of new developed sandwich infiltration casting method and to analyze the effect of T6 heat treatment on pumice reinforced Al 7075 syntactic foams.

2. Materials and Method

2.1 Materials

A wide range of Al alloys have been used in lots of applications for a long time and they basically are divided into two groups namely heat treatable and work hardened alloys. 7000 series of Al alloys, mostly with heat treated state, are preferred by aeronautics and space industries for aircraft fittings, gears, and shafts. Besides, that series of Al alloys are also used in other sectors like automotive (car body parts), defense/military services (missile parts) and outdoor applications (climbing equipment, bicycle components). In this paper, as matrix alloy, Al 7075 was used and supplied from Güray Aluminum Limited Company (Istanbul, Turkey). This alloy composes of 5.68 wt% zinc, 2.39 wt% magnesium, 1.4 wt% copper, 0.21 wt% iron, 0.2 wt% silicon, 0.18 wt%
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manganese, 0.034 wt% titanium and 0.018 wt% zirconium. For reinforcement material, 2-4 mm pumice particles (65 wt% SiO$_2$, 11.7 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$, 4.12 wt% Na$_2$O, 4.1 wt% K$_2$O, 1.75 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$, 0.55 wt% CaO, 0.03 wt% TiO$_2$ and trace quantity of others) were received from Miner Mining and Transportation Limited Company (Nevsehir, Turkey), were soaked in water and low density floating particles were parsed out from damaged particles and impurities like stone and sand fragments. According to the supplier information, certain physical features of the pumice can be found in Table 1.

2.2 Fabrication method

In the AMSFs fabrication, a new manufacturing method called “sandwich infiltration casting” was used. If the method briefly explained, at the beginning, the steel mold shown in Figure 1 and a graphite crucible in which Al alloy was settled were put into the electrical resistance furnace (1100°C max. capacity) and were heated up to 720°C. All of these equipment was kept in the furnace for 25 min. The volume of the molten alloy was higher than the volume of the filler with intend to make complete infiltration and to form flawless foam structure. In the sandwich casting, except for the preheating procedure, all process steps were performed outside of the furnace. Firstly, the steel mold was taken out of the furnace and 50 wt% of fillers were poured into the mold cavity. Then, the molten and dross removed liquid Al was added on with the help of the graphite crucible. Before addition into the mold, all pumice particles were preheated to 500°C so as to remove moisture and to block early solidification of molten Al during the infiltration. Immediately after the initial filtration through gravitational way, remain particles were put on the semi-finished mixture to fulfill full infiltration by the way of counter gravity effect. In the final infiltration step, mechanical pressure of 0.1 MPa was applied with lubricated (Trewa S grease oil) steel plunger.

After the casting operation, medium fit steel plunger, which provides evacuation of excess alloy and enough ventilation, was taken apart. The mold parts and fabricated foam sample were cooled down at room temperature in air. Finally, the mold was carefully opened and the syntactic sample was ejected manually. As a result of the sandwich infiltration, we manufactured cylindrical syntactic samples having 25±0.3 mm diameter and 33±0.6 mm length. With the average value of 1.49 g/cm$^3$, density values of the produced foams varied between 1.42 and 1.61 g/cm$^3$. Half of the specimens having similar or same density values with the others were singled out for T6 heat treatment comprising of solution treatment at 480°C for 2 h and aging at 120°C for 24 h. Basically, T6 treatment of 7000 series of Al alloys, comprises of supersaturated solution treatment, Guiner Preston (GP) zones and aging treatment for precipitations, and secondary precipitates (especially MgZn$_2$) are decisive on final hardness of the alloy.

Table 1. Physical properties of the pumice particles.

| Property                        | Result       |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Bulk density (g/cm$^3$)         | 0.50 – 0.60  |
| Specific heat capacity (J/(kg.K)) | 1.00 – 1.08  |
| Thermal conductivity (W/(m.K))  | 0.11 – 0.21  |
| Softening temperature (°C)      | 1240         |

Figure 1. The mold used in AMSF production (a) and its technical drawings; front view(b), cross-sectional view(c) and front view of steel plunger(d).
crack propagation from particle to particle. What’s more, the sandwich infiltration can be defined as a simple method consisting of only a steel mold and a cylindrical steel plunger in comparison with other methods requiring specially designed ceramic filtration molds, alloyed steel meshes or extra gas/vacuum equipment. At the end of the process, any secondary operations as turning, milling or full surface cutting is not necessary and only some minor cleaning process like grinding and deburring are enough for further analysis. Furthermore, infiltration stage of the sandwich casting method is carried out outside of the furnace at the room temperature, so the amount of solved gases and probability of filler/matrix reaction can be diminished because of rapid solidification.

2.3 Sample characterization

In the stage of physical characterization, foam density ($\phi_{\text{syn}}$), volume fraction of filler particles ($F_{\text{fil}}$) and total porosity percentage ($F_{\text{TP}}$) were calculated. Density of the foam samples were calculated by using the Equation 1 written below;

$$\phi_{\text{syn}} = \frac{m_{\text{syn}}}{V_{\text{syn}}}$$

where $V_{\text{syn}}$ and $m_{\text{syn}}$ are the volume and mass of the samples. For determination of volume fraction of filler particles and total porosity percentage, equations reported by Taherishargh et al.\textsuperscript{11} was used. Besides, in Equation 2, Equation 3 and Equation 4, $m_{\text{fil}}, \rho_{\text{fil}}, \rho_{\text{alu}}$ and $\rho_{\text{sd}}$ are the mass of pumice, particle density of pumice, density of the matrix and density of solid state of the pumice respectively.

$$F_{\text{fil}} = \frac{\left( V_{\text{syn}} - \left( m_{\text{syn}} - m_{\text{fil}} \right) / \rho_{\text{alu}} \right)}{V_{\text{syn}}} \times 100$$

$$\rho_{\text{fil}} = \frac{m_{\text{fil}}}{V_{\text{syn}} - \left( m_{\text{syn}} - m_{\text{fil}} \right) / \rho_{\text{alu}}}$$

Both macroscopic and microscopic observations were carried out elaborately in order to analyze structural features of the fabricated syntactic foams. Samples were cut neatly with band-saw and grinded with Metcon Forcipol 2V (Bursa, Turkey) machine. SiC abrasive papers were used from coarse grit (180) to fine grit (1200) before polishing process which was implemented by utilizing 1µ Metkon Diapant diamond suspension. Polished samples were placed in an ultrasonic bath for final cleaning since they might have some contaminants or unwanted accumulations. Fei Quante Feg 250 (Oregon, USA) model scanning electron microscope (SEM), Nikon Eclipse LV150L (Tokyo, Japan) and Nikon SMZ800 (Tokyo, Japan) model optical microscopes with DpxView-Pro software were made use of on the purpose of detailed micro observations.

Compression tests were performed on samples at the room temperature and computer controlled 50 KN Shimadzu AG-IS (Kyoto, Japan) uniaxial testing machine cooperating with Trapezium 2 data acquisition software was used. During all tests, the load and displacement of the press head were recorded and turned into engineering stress-strain curves by utilizing initial foam sample height and cross sectional area. Compression platens moving with 1 mm/min deformation rate (quasi-static condition) was applied for both untreated and heat treated samples. Before each test, in order to apply uniform uniaxial loading and to hinder barreling, a lubricant was rubbed on top and bottom surfaces of compression platens. Additionally, by using a digital camera, we recorded all tests to understand failure mechanism of the foams better.

Some major properties such as compression stress, plateau stress, densification strain, fracture strain and energy absorption were determined in accordance with ISO 13314 standard\textsuperscript{39}. In the elastic region, slope of the linear section
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is used to determine compressive 1% proof strength ($\sigma_{\text{cmp}}$), in other words 1% offset yield stress. Following the linear section, stress values decrease depending on sample properties (matrix strength, filler strength, size, type and porosity) and plateau behavior starts. In order to appoint plateau stress ($\sigma_{\text{pl}}$) arithmetic mean of the stress values between 0.2 and 0.4 strain is calculated. For finding of energy absorption (W), collected data from the stress-strain curve was integrated up to 50% strain. Moreover, the energy absorption efficiency ($W_e$) is another parameter and it is described as the ratio of energy absorption value to absorption capacity of perfect foam.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Microstructural analysis of pumice particles

Macro and micro views of the pumice particles can be seen in depth in Figure 3. Owing to its unique structure, pumice has not spherical form contrary to other filler materials used in syntactic foam metals. Pumice particles compose of multiple different sized circular and lengthwise pores as can be seen in Figure 3c and Figure 3d. On the other hand, pumice particles may have unwanted contaminants like small stones and sand particles, so the separation step is highly significant to obtain high quality of foam samples. Prior to the main infiltration process, all pumice particles were filtered with proper sieves and their size range was set constant between 2-4 mm.

3.2 Macro and microstructural analysis of fabricated AMSFs

Fabricated samples were checked up in terms of both macro and micro properties. Figure 4 illustrates macro views of syntactic foams from different perspectives. Macro observations were carried out for measurement of dimensions and detection of outer surface flaws if they exist. In the light of the macro analysis, it could be said that after sandwich casting there was no imperfections like solidification cracks and evident big pits on matrix surfaces. Since an easy opening sealed split steel mold facilitating sample removal was used in the design of sandwich casting, all syntactic samples had split line marks. Ahead of the compressive loadings, split marks and excess burrs (especially around the top faces of the samples) were grinded and polished sensitively.

The typical microstructure of the manufactured foams is represented in Figure 5. This figure reflects similar features with general MMSFs described in former literature studies. Figure 5 demonstrates that all samples have perfect infiltration harmony. Usually, depending on reinforcement type, two kinds of voids, which are in the form of small pores on/into the body of the filler or are relatively in the form of huge gaps in thin walled spheres, can be present. In our work, we observed perfect infiltration between pumice particles even in quite narrow gaps (Figure 5a) and rough outer surface of the pumice particles (Figure 5d). In some circumstances shown in Figure 5b and Figure 5c, little amount of molten Al may leak into the pumice structure from the outer surface pits or channels, but, compared to engineered hollow sphere ceramics, the effect of this situation is considerably limited due to closed cell structure of pumice.

Only for SF2, a negligible shrinkage cavity shown in Figure 5e with red arrows was detected. It can be asserted about this case that because of different thermal conductivity values of the filler and matrix alloys, that kind of small cavities might form around matrix/filler interface and might occur also rarely in the matrix itself during solidification. In addition, any major breakaway in the inner struts shown with red arrows or deep surface cracks on the pumice particles were not noticed (Figure 5f). This circumstance can be interpreted as a significant advantage from the points of deformation and fracture behavior.

Figure 3. Macro (a), optical (b) and SEM (c, d) views of the pumice particles.
3.3 Physical properties of fabricated AMSFs

Table 2 given below indicates physical properties of produced samples. Volume fraction of filler particles ($F_{\text{vol}}$), particle density of the fillers ($\rho_{\text{fil}}$) and total porosity percentage ($F_{\text{TP}}$) were calculated by using the Equations 1-4.

From Table 2, average density of all produced samples is 1.50 g/cm$^3$ while the lowest value is 1.42 g/cm$^3$ (for SF2 and SF5). These values are compatible with A356/pumice foams manufactured by Taherishargh et al. by way of backward infiltration casting. Also, if the measured foam densities are checked up with some other works tried different methods, it can be claimed that relatively low density levels can be obtained by means of sandwich casting method.

Pumice is considerably hard/cellular material and has a lot of small pores on and into its solid body. Thanks to the strong nature of cell walls and very small sized pore structure, it is notably resistant against both crushing under fabrication pressure and matrix leakage into its pores. From the point of fractional behavior of MMSFs, damaged fillers and matrix leakage can be evaluated as undesired circumstances by the reason of the fact that they cause density increasing and can dominate fracture style of the AMSF. In comparison with natural pumice, some engineered hollow fillers used in technical literature such as thin-walled SiC spheres, special ceramic mixtures and glass micro spheres are more sensitive to cracking and molten matrix penetration. Total porosity values of fabricated foams were calculated from Equations 2-4. The result shows that the highest and the lowest porosity levels are 47.39% and 40.62% for SF5 and for SF4 respectively. According to the further evaluations, through sandwich infiltration technique, all fabricated pumice reinforced syntactics possess averagely 44.69% porosity.

Certain researchers like Dunand et al. emphasized that depending on Si content of reinforcement material, a probable chemical activity written below could be observed. After this chemical reaction, solid crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$ emerges at matrix/filler interface, which may affect deformation behavior of the foam by the reason of the fact that Al$_2$O$_3$ has high stiffness.

$$4\text{Al}_{(l)} + 3\text{SiO}_2(g) \rightarrow 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(s) + 3\text{Si}_{(l)}; \ (700 - 850^\circ C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\Delta G = -310 \text{ to } -330 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

Similar to Al atoms, Mg atoms may also react with solid SiO$_2$ between 650 °C and 900 °C as written below in Equations 6 and 7:

$$2\text{Mg}_{(l)} + \text{SiO}_2(g) \rightarrow 2\text{MgO}_{(s)} + \text{Si}_{(l)}; \ (800^\circ C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\Delta G = -251.300 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

$$\text{SiO}_2(g) + 4\text{Mg}_{(l)} \rightarrow \text{Mg}_2\text{Si}_{(s)} + 2\text{MgO}_{(s)} (800^\circ C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\Delta G = -322.865 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
Atomic diffusion and chemical activities are considered as controllers for the kinetics of the Equation 5-7. Therefore, main parameters affecting the extent of that kind of reactions are casting duration, infiltration temperature and silicon content of matrix alloy. Since the all infiltration process performed in newly offered sandwich infiltration casting was performed at the low temperature of 720 °C with the rapid solidification duration of approximately 45 seconds, we did not take the chemical reaction at the Al matrix/pumice interface into consideration. Moreover, also in the microscopic investigations, any signs of the reaction couldn’t be seen.

Figure 5. Micro images of fabricated AMSFs; optical view (a) and SEM views (b-f).
3.4 Compressive properties of fabricated AMSFs

The compression tests were carried out on all syntactic samples and half of them were subjected to T6 artificial aging heat treatment. The compressive stress-strain curves of as-cast and T6 heat-treated AMSFs can be seen in Figure 6a and Figure 6b respectively.

Table 3 summarizes the compressive test results. \( \sigma_{\text{cmp}} \), \( \sigma_{\text{pl}} \), \( \epsilon_{\text{ple}} \), \( W \) and \( W_e \) are compression strength, plateau stress, plateau end strain value, energy absorption and energy absorption efficiency values respectively.

The compression 1% proof strength levels of heat treated syntactic foams exceed the corresponding value for as-cast foams at near density values regardless of the filler distribution. As known well from the technical literature, T6 heat treated alloys have higher yield strength levels compared to solid as-cast aluminum alloys due to secondary phase precipitations blocking dislocation movements. That circumstance is observed also in AMSF behaviors because Al matrix supports most of the compressive load during deformation. In general, filler materials are insufficient to contribute to the compression strength of the syntactic foams. Maximum and minimum compression 1% proof strength values are 64.6 and 31.2 MPa for T6 treated SF4 and as-cast SF1 respectively. On the other hand, it can be stated that there is an affirmative effect of density rising on compressive strength of the T6 treated samples since higher density corresponds to higher matrix volume fraction in the syntactic structure.

The plateau stress (\( \sigma_{\text{pl}} \)) is another property of AMSFs and it plays an important role for endurance to deformation during large strain compression and for ability of energy absorption. Similar to 1% offset yield strength, the plateau stress values of heat treated samples are utterly higher than these of as-cast foams. Contrary to standard closed cell metal foams or some hollow sphere reinforced syntactic foams, plateau zones of all fabricated foams have irregularities and not smooth by the reason of original structural nature of pumice. Besides, it can be asserted that owing to their complex tubular and circular pores, pumice particles carry a potential for mechanical anisotropy leading to plateau fluctuations. From Figure 6 and Table 3, T6 treated sample of SF4 with the value of 74.3 MPa has higher plateau stress than the others, whereas as-cast sample of SF3 has the lowest plateau stress with 37.3 MPa. As a consequence of common evaluation of physical and mechanical properties, it can be reached that there is an affirmative relation between plateau stress and foam density, but sometimes, due to unstable density gradient of the foam and mechanical anisotropy of pumice, there may be opposite cases as observed for SF3.

Area under the stress/strain curve up to 50% deformation represents capability of energy absorption for the AMSFs. It is clear from the Figure 7 that the heat-treated syntactic foam samples display evidently better performance in terms of energy absorption ability compared to as-cast foams. That improvement can be expressed with high compressive response stress levels of heat treated samples in spite of ductility decrease in matrix. As can be seen from Figure 7c showing energy absorption capabilities between near/same densities, the most serious energy absorption increase (almost 61%) is detected between as-cast sample of SF1 and heat treated SF4. The best energy absorption performance belongs to SF4 with 34 MJ/m\(^3\) by reason of the fact that it has the highest density of 1.61 g/cm\(^3\) and the lowest porosity of 40.6%.

Table 3. Compressive properties of fabricated AMSFs.

| Foam No. | \( \sigma_{\text{cmp}} \) (MPa) | \( \sigma_{\text{pl}} \) (MPa) | \( \epsilon_{\text{ple}} \) | \( W \) (MJ/m\(^3\)) | \( W_e \) (%) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| SF1 (As-cast) | 31.2 | 46.5 | 0.44 | 21.2 | 0.84 |
| SF2 (As-cast) | 32.5 | 42.9 | 0.41 | 21.1 | 0.87 |
| SF3 (As-cast) | 34.1 | 37.3 | 0.60 | 18.3 | 0.79 |
| SF4 (T6 treated) | 64.6 | 74.3 | 0.46 | 34.0 | 0.89 |
| SF5 (T6 treated) | 53.5 | 59.6 | 0.55 | 29.0 | 0.85 |
| SF6 (T6 treated) | 54.0 | 68.1 | 0.54 | 30.4 | 0.84 |

Figure 6. Compressive engineering stress-strain curves of the sandwich filtrated samples: as-cast (a) and heat treated (b).
The highest densification strain of 0.60 belongs to SF3 while the lowest value is found as 0.41 for SF2. The difference between densification strain values of pumice filled syntactics is relatively high in comparison with engineered hollow sphere filled foams because of better roundness and homogenous inner structures of thin walled spheres. However, with the average of 0.5 densification strain pumice material can be considered as a promising alternative to expensive engineered ceramic spheres. There is a general approach that the main mechanical features of metal syntactic foam ($\sigma_{\text{cmp}}, \sigma_{\text{pl}}, e_{\text{pl}}$ and $W$) improve with its density, but sometimes, some minor differences may be observed between almost same or close density foams. In this point, it can be interpreted that heterogeneous distribution of fillers (random filling/packing), non-uniform size and shape of the filler particles and influence of mechanical anisotropy due to irregular porous structure of filler materials are main reasons.

According to the results stated in Table 1 and Table 2, the energy absorption efficiency is not related to the foam density as observed for other kinds of MMSFs and it can be interpreted as an independent parameter. From Table 2, the energy efficiency values of samples vary between 0.79 and 0.89 (SF3 and SF4). The term of efficiency depends highly on ideal plateau behavior during deformation, so it can be emphasized that the flatter the plateau region is, the higher energy absorption efficiency can be reached. Since all pumice particles are unique in terms of pore structure and the average size distribution is not constant for different samples, both as-cast and heat treated samples have oscillations in their plateau regions. In addition to this, it is correct to express that there is no relationship between the energy absorption efficiency and heat treatment for all samples. While the heat treated sample of SF4 has the highest efficiency with 0.89, the average of all types of foams is 0.85.

### 3.5 Failure mechanism

When the deformation mechanisms of the AMSFs are analyzed together with the observations conducted in former investigations, it is seen that there are several different failure characteristics as depicted schematically in Figure 8. Strength of matrix alloy, crush strength and pore structure of filler materials, fabrication technique and heat treatment state of aluminum alloy are the most significant factors affecting main failure mechanism of the AMSFs. If insufficient lubrication is applied on the compression contact surfaces before the loading and the matrix has enough ductility, failure style emerges in the form of barreling (Figure 8a). If the density gradient is present in the fabricated foam body, specific deformation zones is detected (Figure 8b, Figure 8c and Figure 8d). Nevertheless, when the matrix flow strength surpasses the crush strength of the fillers or any heat treatment process is applied to the alloy, failure style of the fabricated AMSF displays brittle characteristics due to various crack types (Figure 8e, Figure 8f and Figure 8h).

The compressive properties of the MMSFs are considerably related to the deformation style of whole foam body. In this context, there is an apparent dissimilarity between mechanical behavior of pumice reinforced as-cast samples and T6 treated foam samples. This circumstance stems from increased matrix brittleness following T6 treatment and mechanical anisotropy of pumice particles having different directional pores. Figure 9 and Figure 10 illustrates different failure mechanisms of as-cast (1.61 g/cm$^3$) and heat treated (1.60 g/cm$^3$) foams in detail during and after the compression test respectively.

At the beginning of the deformation, there is a sharp increment in the stress values following elastic deformation of the metal matrix and pumice particles together. As can be seen from Figure 9a and Figure 10a, at 10% deformation, both as-cast and heat treated samples start to bulge and deform.

---

**Figure 7.** Compressive properties of the Al 7075/pumice syntactic foam: Compressive strength and plateau stress alterations with foam density (a, b) and pairwise comparison of energy absorption according to density similarities (c).
Figure 8. Schematic view of the probable failure styles of the AMSFs: middle barreling(a), bottom barreling(b), top barreling(c), double barreling(d), $45^\circ$ brittle fracture(e), v shape crack(f), x type crack(g) and mixed type deformation(h).

Figure 9. Deformation mechanism of the as-cast syntactic foam sample; during (a) and after (b).
plastically due to activated dislocation mechanism. Following the localized bulging, stress values go up at a lower rate up to 20% strain in the as-cast sample. Moreover, although the sample has a tendency for bulging from its bottom side, other sections of the foam remain majorly un-deformed or little deformed, and that sections can be named as dead zones (Figure 9a). This behavior can be attributed to existence of density gradient in the foam body\(^45\). Volume of the local porosity in the bulged zones is higher than the other zones, so it is true to say that some sections having higher porosity deform earlier due to deformation localization. Besides, the as-cast sample displays relatively uniform deformation accompanied with some minor cracks owing to its superior ductility up to 40% strain. Upon further compression, bottom side bulging effect maximizes and multiple small cracks and shear bands become more evident between at 40% and 50% deformation. When the plateau region approaches to the end, all shear bands pile up that culminate in even deformation. At the end of the plateau region, the densification stage begins and measured stress values begin to climb quickly as predicted one since majority of the pumice particles damage and there is no more porosity in the foam body. According to these findings, the main failure mechanism of the as-cast sample can be defined as ductile deformation dominated mixed type failure. Also, perfect infiltration can be created even in very narrow gaps by means of the sandwich infiltration casting technique. The method uses free fillers contrary to other methods utilizing preforms, this circumstance is effective to prevent early crack propagation for the as-cast sample since propagated crack faces ductile matrix after brittle pumice particles. Based on metal matrix flow strength and filler crush strength, fracture style of the AMSFs turns from ductile deformation (barreling or bulging styles) to brittle fracture (v, x or mixed shaped cracks)\(^46\). Pumice is an igneous cellular rock having different shape and oriented pores. Owing to its higher compression strength, pumice with parallel oriented structural pores to the loading direction shows better failure performance. For some as-cast samples (SF2 and SF3), immediately after the peak stress point, stress values decrease because certain pumice particles experience less deformation depending upon their pore orientation in terms of compression axis. Compared to pumice or other types of natural base porous fillers, it can be stated this kind of stress drop is seen more clearly in engineered hollow sphere filled MMSFs\(^35,46\) because of the simultaneous failure and identical structural properties of the spheres.

As for the heat treated sample, its behavior at early stages of the compression is very similar with the as-cast sample due to elastic deformation, but from this point on, typical failure characteristics of these two samples differ in a noteworthy manner. Figure 10a indicates that the T6 treated sample exhibits both ductile (bottom side bulging) and brittle (independent multiple cracks at 45° and at other acute angles)

---

**Figure 10.** Deformation mechanism of the heat treated syntactic foam sample; during (a) and after (b).
deformation. At 25% and subsequent deformation, brittle deformation with v type major crack dominates the ductile bulging effect in terms of damage characteristic. Because of the aging treatment, although the yield strength of the matrix metal improves, fracture toughness and ductility diminish, so probability of rapid crack propagation between pumice particles also increases. As long as the plastic deformation continues, v shaped crack gets deep and accelerates fragmentation of the foam body. Additionally, different deformation zones and dead zones are observed in a fashion similar to the as-cast sample as a result of local bulging and v shaped crack. After the compressive loading, from Figure 10b, it is observed that lots of fragments having different volumes break off from the main foam body. If this behavior of the T6 treated sample is checked up on with the as-cast version, it can be expressed that even though both of them show mixed type of deformation, dominant deformation types are quite opposite. Contrary to the T6 treated sample, thanks to its ductile matrix structure, inner or surface cracks in the as-cast sample can’t propagate easily between the pumice particles. Figure 10b depicts that when the compressive loading is removed, the heat treated sample divides into many unlike pieces and lose its monolithic body structure. Nevertheless, the as-cast sample remains majorly one-piece except for some small fragments.

![Figure 11. SEM images of deformed zones of the as-cast sample; ductile matrix adhesion on the filler materials(a) and ductile deformation with some slightly deformed fillers(b).](image1)

![Figure 12. SEM images of deformed zones of the heat treated sample; major crack propagation(a) and brittle fracture dominated mixed deformation(b).](image2)
4. Conclusions

1) New offered sandwich infiltration casting is an effective method for production of Al 7075/pumice syntactic foam and can also be used for other kinds of filler materials. This method doesn’t require any additional high-cost apparatus and sophisticated designs compared to the other alternatives. By optimizing the process parameters, molten Al matrix can be filtered between very narrow gaps.

2) Micro investigations show that with the pressure of 0.1 MPa almost perfect infiltration can be obtained at the melting temperature of 720°C. Density values of all fabricated samples range between 1.42 and 1.61 g/cm³. As long as the foam density goes up, compression strength and plateau strength gain upward tendency in the heat treated samples. Due to the fact that heat treatment increases matrix strength, T6 treated samples have higher compression strength than the as-cast ones.

3) For all samples, total porosity values are determined by physical structure of tubular and circular pores on and into the pumice particles and vary between 40.62% and 47.39%.

4) Plateau stress is an important parameter for syntactic foams because of its decisiveness on energy absorption. The highest plateau stress belongs to T6 treated sample of SF4 with 74.3 MPa.

5) Energy absorption ability is directly affected by heat treatment in a positive manner and all T6 aged samples have bigger area under their stress-strain graphs. Compared to other expensive ceramic spheres, pumice contributes perfectly to energy absorption ability of the fabricated AMSFs by the reason of its unique extremely porous structure. It can be also expressed that there is no major difference on energy absorption efficiency. The peak value of 89% efficiency is reached by T6 treated foam of SF4.

6) In spite of their ruptured and damaged sections, as-cast versions of AMSFs remain largely one-piece owing to relatively high ductility of the Al matrix and usage of the free pumice particles. By means of sandwich casting design, compared to alternative techniques using preform shape fillers, rapid crack propagation can be hindered by ductile Al matrix due to perfect infiltration even succeeded in quite narrow gaps.

7) Heat treatment changes the deformation style of the syntactics by allowing multiple body separations and influences considerably matrix deformation behavior by decreasing ductility of the alloy. Compared to as-cast foams, heat treated foams exhibit more brittle behavior even though their quasi-static compressive features are superior.
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