The incidence of obscuration in active galactic nuclei
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ABSTRACT

We study the incidence of nuclear obscuration on a complete sample of 1310 active galactic nuclei (AGN) selected on the basis of their rest-frame 2–10 keV X-ray flux from the XMM-COSMOS survey, in the redshift range 0.3 < z < 3.5. We classify the AGN as obscured or unobscured on the basis of either the optical spectral properties and the overall SED or the shape of the X-ray spectrum. The two classifications agree in about 70 per cent of the objects, and the remaining 30 per cent can be further subdivided into two distinct classes: at low luminosities X-ray unobscured AGN do not always show signs of broad lines or blue/UV continuum emission in their optical spectra, most likely due to galaxy dilution effects; at high-luminosities broad-line AGN may have absorbed X-ray spectra, which hints at an increased incidence of small-scale (sub-parsec) dust-free obscuration. We confirm that the fraction of obscured AGN is a decreasing function of the intrinsic X-ray luminosity, while the incidence of absorption shows significant evolution only for the most luminous AGN, which appear to be more commonly obscured at higher redshift. We find no significant difference between the mean stellar masses and star formation rates of obscured and unobscured AGN hosts. We conclude that the physical state of the medium responsible for obscuration in AGN is complex and mainly determined by the radiation environment (nuclear luminosity) in a small region enclosed within the gravitational sphere of influence of the central black hole, but is largely insensitive to the wider scale galactic conditions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The observational appearance of an active galactic nucleus (AGN) is not only determined by its intrinsic emission properties but also by the nature, amount, dynamical and kinematic state of any intervening material along the line of sight. Intrinsic obscuration does indeed play a fundamental role for our understanding of the overall properties of AGN. Large, systematic studies of their spectral energy distribution (SED) clearly show that the intrinsic shape of the X-ray continuum can be characterized by a power law in the 2–10 keV energy range, with a relative narrow distribution of slopes: \( \Gamma = 1.8 \pm 0.2 \) (Nandra & Pounds 1994; Steffen et al. 2006; Tozzi et al. 2006; Young, Elvis & Risaliti 2009). Thus, the hard slope of the cosmic X-ray background (CXRB) spectrum (well described by a power law with photon index \( \Gamma_{\text{CXRB}} \approx 1.4 \) at \( E < 10 \) keV) and the prominent peak observed at about 30 keV are best accounted for by assuming that the majority of AGN are in fact obscured (Setti & Wolter 1989; Comastri et al. 1995).

In the traditional ‘unification-by-orientation’ schemes, the baffling diversity of AGN observational classes is explained on the basis of the line-of-sight orientation with respect to the axis of rotational symmetry of the system (Antonucci & Miller 1985; Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995). In particular, obscured and unobscured AGN are postulated to be intrinsically the same objects, seen from different angles with respect to a dusty, large-scale, possibly clumpy, parsec-scale medium, which obscures the view of the inner engine (Elitzur 2008; Netzer 2008). According to the simplest interpretations of such unification schemes, there should not be any dependence of the obscured AGN fraction on intrinsic luminosity and/or redshift.

In recent years, new generations of synthesis models of the CXRB have been presented (Gilli, Comastri & Hasinger 2007; Treister, Urry & Virani 2009; Akylas et al. 2012), following the publication of increasingly larger and deeper X-ray surveys, and reducing the uncertainties in the absorbing column density distribution. When combined with the observed X-ray luminosity functions, they now provide an almost complete census of Compton thin AGN (i.e. those obscured by columns \( N_H < \sigma_T^{-1} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), where \( \sigma_T \) is the Thomson cross-section). This class of objects dominates the counts in the lower X-ray energy band, where almost the entire CXRB radiation has been resolved into individual sources (Worsley et al. 2005).

The results on the statistical properties of obscured AGN from these studies are at odds with the simple ‘unification-by-orientation’ scheme. In fact, evidence has been mounting over the years that the fraction of absorbed AGN, defined in different and often independent ways, appears to be lower at higher nuclear luminosities (Lawrence & Elvis 1982; Steffen et al. 2003; Ueda et al. 2003; Simpson 2005; Hasinger 2008; Borgiorno et al. 2010; Brusa et al. 2010; Burlon et al. 2011; Assef et al. 2013). Such evidence, however, is not uncontroversial. As recently summarized by Lawrence & Elvis (2010, and references therein), the luminosity dependence of the obscured AGN fraction, so clearly detected, especially in X-ray selected samples, is less significant in other AGN samples, such as those selected on the basis of their extended, low-frequency radio luminosity (Willott et al. 2000) or in mid-IR (MIR) colours (Lacy et al. 2007). The reasons for these discrepancies are still unclear, with Mayo & Lawrence (2013) arguing for a systemic bias in the X-ray selection due to an incorrect treatment of complex, partially covered AGN. In general, one would like to rely on large, complete samples selected on the basis of a robust tracer of bolometric luminosity (independently on the level of obscuration). Theoretically, hard X-ray and MIR selection should satisfy this basic requirement, with the former having the advantage of a much lower level of contamination by stellar processes (see e.g. Merloni & Heinz 2013 for a discussion).

Evidence for a redshift evolution of the obscured AGN fraction is even more controversial. Large samples of X-ray selected objects have been used to corroborate claims of positive evolution of the fraction of obscured AGN with increasing redshift (La Franca et al. 2005; Ballantyne et al. 2006; Treister et al. 2006; Hasinger 2008) as well as counterclaims of no significant evolution (Ueda et al. 2003; Tozzi et al. 2006; Gilli et al. 2007). More focused investigation on specific AGN sub-samples, such as \( z > 3 \) X-ray selected QSOs (Fiore et al. 2012; Vito et al. 2013), rest-frame hard X-ray selected AGN (Iwasawa et al. 2012), or Compton thick (CT) AGN candidates (Brightman & Ueda 2012) in the CDFS have also suggested an increase of the incidence of nuclear obscuration towards high redshift. Of critical importance is the ability of disentangling luminosity and redshift effects in (collections of) flux-limited samples and the often complicated selection effects at high redshift, both in terms of source detection and identification/follow-up.

In a complementary approach to these ‘demographic’ studies (in which the incidence of obscuration and the covering fraction of the obscuring medium is gauged statistically on the basis of large populations), SED-based investigations look at the detailed SED of AGN, and at the IR-to-bolometric flux ratio in particular, to infer the covering factor of the obscuring medium in each individual source (Maiolino et al. 2007; Treister, Krolik & Dullemond 2008; Sazonov et al. 2012; Lusso et al. 2013; Roseboom et al. 2013). These studies also find general trends of decreasing covering factors with increasing nuclear (X-ray or bolometric) luminosity and little evidence of any redshift evolution (Lusso et al. 2013). Still, the results of these SED-based investigations are not always in quantitative agreement with the demographic ones. This is probably due to the combined effects of the uncertain physical properties (optical depth, geometry and topology) of the obscuring medium (Granato & Danese 1994; Lusso et al. 2013), as well as those unaccounted for biases in the observed distribution of covering factors for AGN of any given redshift and luminosity (Roseboom et al. 2013).

Both the apparent decrease of the incidence of obscured AGN with intrinsic luminosity and its possible redshift evolution might be (and have been widely) considered an indirect signature of AGN feedback, in that powerful sources are able to clean up their intermediate gaseous environments, responsible for the nuclear obscuration, more efficiently (see e.g. Archibald et al. 2002; Hopkins et al. 2006; Menci et al. 2008). In such AGN feedback models, unification-by-orientation scenarios are superseded by the so-called evolutionary ones, as the incidence of nuclear obscuration changes dramatically with time during the supermassive black hole (SMBH) active phases. To account for this, different physical models for the obscuring torus have been proposed, all including some form of radiative coupling between the central AGN and the obscuring medium (e.g. Lawrence 1991; Maiolino et al. 2007; Nenkova et al. 2008).

Irrespective of any specific model, it is clear that a detailed physical assessment of the interplay between AGN fuelling, star formation and obscuration on the physical scales of the obscuring medium is crucial to our understanding of the mutual influence of stellar and black hole mass growth in galactic nuclei (Bianchi, Maiolino & Risaliti 2012b). Conceptually, we can identify three distinct spatial regions in the nucleus of a galaxy on the basis of the physical properties of the AGN absorber. The outermost one is the gravitational sphere of influence of the SMBH itself, also called Bondi radius.
$R_b = 2GM_{BH}/\sigma^2 \simeq 10 M_{BH,8}\sigma_{300,8}$, where $M_{BH,8}$ is the black hole mass in units of $10^8 M_{\odot}$ and $\sigma_{300}$ can be either the velocity dispersion of stars for a purely collisionless nuclear environment or the sound speed of the gas just outside $R_b$, measured in units of 300 km s$^{-1}$. To simplify, one can consider any absorbing gas on scales larger than the SMBH sphere of influence to be galactic, in the sense that its properties are governed by star formation and dynamical processes operating at the galactic scale. The fact that gas in the host galaxy can obscure AGN is not only predictable, but also clearly observed, either in individual objects (e.g. nucleus-obscuring dust lanes; Matt 2000) or in larger samples showing a lack of optically selected AGN in edge-on galaxies ( Maiolino & Rieke 1995; Lagos et al. 2011). Indeed, if evolutionary scenarios are to supersede the standard unification-by-orientation scheme and obscured AGN truly represent a distinct phase in the evolution of a galaxy, then we expect a relationship between the AGN obscuration distribution and the larger scale physical properties of their host galaxies.

Within the gravitational sphere of influence of an SMBH, the most critical scale is the radius within which dust sublimates under the effect of the AGN irradiation. A general treatment of dust sublimation was presented in Barvainis (1987) and Fritz, Franceschini & Hatziiminaoglou (2006), and subsequently applied to sophisticated clumpy torus models (Nenkova et al. 2008) or to interferometric observations of galactic nuclei in the near-IR (NIR; Kishimoto et al. 2007). For typical dust composition, the dust sublimation radius is expected to scale as $R_s \approx 0.4 (L_{bol,15}/10^{45})^{1/2} (T_{sub}/1500) K^{-2.6}$ pc (Nenkova et al. 2008), as indeed confirmed by interferometry observations of sizeable samples of both obscured and unobscured AGN in the nearby Universe (Tristan et al. 2009; Kishimoto et al. 2011). Within this radius only atomic gas can survive, and reverberation mapping measurements do suggest that indeed the broad emission line region (BLR) is located immediately inside $R_s$ (Netzer & Laor 1993; Kaspi et al. 2005).

The parsec-scale region between $R_d$ and $R_b$ is the traditional location of the obscuring torus of the classical unified model. On the other hand, matter within $R_d$ may be dust free, but could still cause substantial obscuration of the inner lens of Schwarzchild radii of the accretion discs, where the bulk of the X-ray emission is produced (Dai et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2012). Indeed, a number of X-ray observations of AGN have revealed in recent years the evidence for gas absorption within the sublimation radius. Variable X-ray absorbers on short time-scales are quite common (Risaliti, Elvis & Nicastro 2002; Elvis et al. 2004; Risaliti et al. 2007; Maiolino et al. 2010), and the variability time-scales clearly suggest that these absorbing structures lie within (or are part of) the BLR itself.

In light of all this bewildering complexity, general interpretations and global models for the inner structure of a galaxy nucleus should be taken with extreme care, even very successful ones such as the ‘unification-by-orientation’ scheme. Issues of sample selection, incompletenesses or incomplete coverage of the nuclear SED should all be accounted for in order to properly assess the overall relevance, for the AGN population at large, of any observational trend.

Here, we present a study of the obscuration properties of a very large sample of 1310 AGN selected in the XMM-COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007) on the basis of their X-ray luminosity. In particular, we take advantage of the unprecedented level of identification and redshift completeness of the XMM-COSMOS (Brusa et al. 2010; Salvato et al. 2009, 2011) to perform a rest-frame 2–10 keV X-ray flux selection, thus mitigating the $N_{H_1} - z$ bias that plagues X-ray observed-frame flux-limited samples (see e.g. Tozzi et al. 2006; Gilli et al. 2010b). The unique multiwavelength coverage of the COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007) allows us to extract information on the host galaxies of the X-ray selected AGN. We rely on the SED decomposition method of Merloni et al. (2010) and Bongiorno et al. (2012) to derive robust stellar mass estimates for all objects in the sample and use the far-IR (FIR) Herschel PACS Evolutionary Probe (PEP) observations (Lutz et al. 2011) of the field to infer star formation rate (SFR) indicators. Finally, the extensive spectroscopic follow-up granted through the zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009) and Magellan/IMACS (Trump et al. 2007, 2009) projects also plays a crucial role for the study we present here, together with archival data from the SDSS DR9 (Ahn et al. 2012).

The strength of our approach lies both in the sheer quantity and in the multiwavelength quality of the data in hand. Not only are we able to explore the relationships among AGN obscuration, nuclear luminosity and host galaxy stellar mass in complete bins of the luminosity–redshift parameter space with high statistical accuracy, but we can also study the physical nature of the obscuration by comparing the classification of AGN into obscured and unobscured based on different indicators (X-ray spectral analysis, optical spectroscopy and optical–NIR SED).

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe the sample and the selection process. In Section 3, we explore the dependences of the fraction of obscured AGN on luminosity, redshift and obscuration classification, while in Section 4, we take a closer look at the relationship between obscured and unobscured AGN and the stellar masses and SFRs of their hosts. Finally, in Section 5, we examine critically differences and similarities in fraction of X-ray obscured AGN for the optical versus the X-ray obscuration classification, before drawing our conclusions in Section 6.

2 SAMPLE SELECTION

Our AGN were selected from the XMM-COSMOS point-like source catalogue (Hasinger et al. 2007; Cappelluti et al. 2009) that includes ~1800 X-ray sources detected above flux limits of ~5 × 10$^{-16}$, ~3 × 10$^{-15}$ and ~7 × 10$^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–2 keV, 2–10 keV and 5–10 keV bands, respectively, and has been presented in Cappelluti et al. (2009), to which we also refer for a detailed discussion of the X-ray data analysis. Thanks to the rich multiwavelength data in the field, almost complete reliable counterpart identification (>98 per cent) was achieved by Brusa et al. (2010); a combination of spectroscopic data (for more than half of the sources) and good-quality photometric redshifts (Salvato et al. 2009, 2011) ensures redshift completeness close to 100 per cent.

2.1 Rest-frame flux selection and intrinsic luminosities

The main goal of this work is to study the incidence of obscuration in a large sample of X-ray selected AGN across a wide range of luminosity, host properties and, crucially, redshift. However, because of the wide energy band-pass of XMM, the X-ray selected sample described in the previous section is a mixture of objects that have been detected above the flux thresholds in the various energy bands. However, obscuration due to neutral gas affects different bands at different redshifts in different ways, causing a severe ‘obscuration-redshift’ bias. As a result, flux-limited samples preferentially pick up more obscured objects at higher redshift (see e.g. Gilli et al. 2010b).

Fortunately, the AGN sample at our disposal allows us to circumvent (to a large extent) such a bias, as redshift is known for all sources in the sample: a simple $K$-correction of the observed
spectrum can in principle guarantee a clean selection based on the rest-frame flux in any given band. In the following, we call this method for estimating rest-frame fluxes and luminosity on the basis of the observed hardness ratios (HR) and redshifts (z), the ‘HRz’ method (Ueda et al. 2003).

We proceed with the HRz in the following way. For the 619 brightest sources (those with more than 200 pn counts in the full 0.5–10 keV observed XMM band), we use the full spectral analysis of Mainieri et al. (2011) and obtain a value of the intrinsic column density $N_{\text{H}}$ and rest-frame 2–10 keV flux (both observed and intrinsic). For all other sources, we compute the ‘observed’ spectral slope ($\Gamma_{\text{obs}}$) that best reproduces the ratio of X-ray fluxes$^1$ in the soft (0.5–2 keV) and hard (2–10 keV) X-ray bands. For objects detected only in one band, of course, we can only place an upper (if only a 2–10 keV detection is available) or a lower (if only a 0.5–2 keV detection is available) limit on the observed spectral slope. In these cases, we assign each source a value of $\Gamma_{\text{obs}}$ by picking from the tails of the observed distribution of spectral slopes among sources detected in both bands.

The intrinsic (absorption corrected) luminosity for the AGN in the sample was computed as in Brusa et al. (2010), i.e. on the basis of the full spectral analysis (see Mainieri et al. 2011), for objects with enough X-ray counts, while for the rest, we use the HRz method to estimate a value of the absorbing column density $N_{\text{H}}$, in a statistical fashion, assuming each object has an intrinsic spectral slope which is normally distributed around a mean of $\Gamma_{\text{in}} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ (1$\sigma$ error).$^2$ The HRz method can be tested against the full spectral analysis for the objects with the highest number of counts. The distribution of the difference in the logarithm of the best-fitting column densities is plotted in Fig. 1. There are no apparent biases, although the scatter is substantial, but only slightly larger than the statistical uncertainty on the spectrally derived column densities, which has a median of about 0.3 dex.

The choice of a flux limit that defines our final sample is somewhat arbitrary, but has to be chosen on the basis of the best possible compromise between completeness and total number of sources in the sample. Fig. 2 shows the rest-frame intrinsic 2–10 keV fluxes estimated with the HRz method as a function of redshift for the sources in the original sample. We chose to strike this compromise at $f_{\text{2–10 keV,rest-frame}} = 2 \times 10^{-15} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$, which is the intrinsic flux of the faintest sources detected in the hard band only (and thus most heavily obscured), further restricting our analysis to sources at $z > 0.3$, discarding only very few low-redshift genuine AGN. We tested the robustness of such a choice by applying more conservative flux limits, up to twice as bright a limit (i.e. up to $4 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$), but no qualitative change to the final results could be seen, apart from the obvious reduction of their statistical significance.

After excluding potential starburst galaxy contaminants (i.e. X-ray sources with 2–10 keV luminosity smaller than $2 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$), we are left with a final sample of 1310 AGN selected on the basis of their intrinsic (absorption-corrected) rest-frame 2–10 keV flux at $z > 0.3$.

We denote $L_X$ the intrinsic, absorption-corrected 2–10 keV luminosity of the AGN. Because of the probabilistic way of assigning an intrinsic value for the spectral index to each source, our final rest-frame flux-limited sample is statistical in nature: for the faint AGN detected in XMM-COSMOS, the individual values of $L_X$, $N_{\text{H}}$, and $\Gamma_{\text{in}}$ are not precisely known, but their ensemble average is accurate in a statistical sense. The sheer size of the sample then guarantees the robustness of our results. Fig. 3 shows the distribution of the sources in the $L_X - z$ plane. In the following sections, we will describe the main results of our analysis, performed in many instances by defining regions in the luminosity–redshift plane in which our sample is complete; incomplete bins in the $L_X - z$ plane are shaded in Fig. 3.

One final note of caution is in place here. Such a simple approach for the absorption correction (i.e. the assumption that all objects can be corrected for by taking into account a uniform, full-covering absorber) might be inadequate for more complex spectra, such as those produced by partial covering absorbers. These are known to be present in many local AGN (Winter et al. 2009), albeit at luminosities typically lower than those we are probing with our

$^1$ In each band, the fluxes had been derived from the observed counts using fixed conversion factors, as described in Cappelluti et al. (2009).

$^2$ All objects for which the inferred column density is smaller than an average galactic value of $3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ is assigned a value Log$N_{\text{H}} = 20$.

---

**Figures**

**Figure 1.** Distribution of the difference between the logarithm of the best-fitting absorption column density $N_{\text{H}}$ (in cm$^{-2}$) between the HRz method and the full spectral analysis of Mainieri et al. (2011) for the 619 brightest sources in the sample.

**Figure 2.** Intrinsic (absorption corrected) rest-frame 2–10 keV fluxes as a function of redshift for all the XMM-COSMOS AGN in the original sample. The black, cyan and purple circles denote objects detected in the full (0.5–2 and 2–10 bands), soft (0.5–2 keV) and hard (2–10 keV) bands, respectively. The dashed and dot–dashed lines mark our selection criteria for the analysis presented in this paper ($z > 0.3$ and $f_{\text{2–10 keV,rest-frame}} = 2 \times 10^{-15}$).
XMM-COSMOS sources. Such spectra are very hard to model properly in survey data with limited photon statistics (but see Brightman & Nandra 2012), but could dramatically affect our estimates of the sources’ intrinsic luminosity, for objects with CT absorbers covering a high fraction of the sky seen by the X-ray emitter. If this kind of objects represent a non-negligible fraction of the overall AGN population, the resulting effects on the statistics of obscured AGN fraction can be profound, as demonstrated by Mayo & Lawrence (2013). This is not predicted by current synthesis models of the CXRB, which all imply very small fractions of CT AGN at the flux limits of XMM-COSMOS (see e.g. Akylas et al. 2012), but should obviously be checked with detailed spectral analysis of individual sources. Here, lacking the data quality sufficient for a proper treatment of partially covered AGN, we compare the 2–10 keV intrinsic luminosity we derived with the MIR luminosity (rest frame 12 μm, measured from the overall optical/NIR SED fit, see Bongiorno et al. 2012).

Using a sample of local Seyfert galaxies (both Seyfert-1 and Seyfert-2) at high spatial resolution, Gandhi et al. (2009) found that the uncontaminated nuclear MIR continuum of AGN closely correlates with the intrinsic X-ray [2–10] keV AGN emitted powers over three orders of magnitude in luminosity, following the relation \( \log(L_{\text{X}}/10^{43}) = (0.19 \pm 0.05) + (1.11 \pm 0.07) \log(L_{\text{MIR}}/10^{43}) \). We show the \( L_{\text{X}}-L_{\text{MIR}} \) for all the objects in our sample in Fig. 5, colour-coded by their absorption classification (see Section 2.2 below). Our objects cluster closely along the Gandhi et al. relation, with a noticeable scatter. More importantly, only a handful of them have X-ray luminosities more than a factor of 10 smaller than what would be predicted on the basis of the 12 μm luminosity. If our absorption corrections were not accurate (as in the case in which most of the objects were buried, CT AGN), we would have observed much higher \( L_{12 \mu m}/L_{\text{X}} \) ratios. We conclude that, given the current limitations of the photon statistics, our simplified spectral analysis is justified. We cannot exclude that some objects might be affected by partial covering from a CT medium, but this should not qualitatively change the overall results we present below.

### 2.2 Obscuration classification and host galaxy properties

The sources in the final sample can be classified as obscured and unobscured AGN according to their optical/NIR properties, as discussed in Brusa et al. (2010) and Bongiorno et al. (2012). First of all, for all the AGN with spectroscopic redshift information (742/1310 in our final sample), we classified as optically unobscured (type-1) those (400) which show broad optical emission lines (full width at half-maximum \( > 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) and as optically obscured (type-2) all those (342) without broad emission lines. For the remaining 568 sources, for which only photometric redshifts are available, the source classification in type-1 and type-2 was performed on the basis of the template that best describes their SED as derived from Salvato et al. (2011); we have 130 ‘photometric’ type-1 and 438 ‘photometric’ type-2 AGN. Photometric classification relies on observables such as point-like morphology in the Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) images (Koekemoer et al. 2007), blue rest-frame optical/UV colours and significant variability to identify unobscured AGN (Salvato et al. 2009; Lusso et al. 2010, for more details, see the flowchart in fig. 8 of Salvato et al. 2011).

As a first test of the different classification methods, we compare the column density estimated from the X-ray broad-band spectra (HRz method) with the optical classification in terms of type-1 and type-2 AGN described above. This is shown in Fig. 4 both for the entire sample (left-hand panel) and for the sub-sample of AGN with spectroscopic redshift information (right-hand panel). As expected, type-1 AGN (optically unobscured) have a column density distribution which is peaked at values consistent with little or no X-ray obscuration, but with a substantial tail at higher column densities. In contrast, most type-2 (optically obscured) AGN appear to have column densities \( N_{\text{H}} > 21 \), but again with a non-negligible fraction of sources (both spectroscopically and photometrically classified) with X-ray spectra (or HR) consistent with no obscuration.

We can quantify this mismatch between the two classification methods (optical and X-ray based) by comparing the cumulative \( N_{\text{H}} \) distribution of the type-1 AGN (i.e. the cumulative number of objects with column density \( N_{\text{H}} \) smaller than a given value, blue curves in Fig. 4) with the opposite of the cumulative \( N_{\text{H}} \) distribution of type-2 AGN (i.e. the cumulative number of objects with column density \( N_{\text{H}} \) larger than a given value, red curves in Fig. 4). Their intersection tells us what value of \( N_{\text{H}} \) best separates the two samples (measured at \( N_{\text{H}} = 21.5 \) and \( =21.1 \) for the full and the spectroscopic samples, respectively), while the level at which the two curves intersect can be used to define a level of ‘coherence’ of the two classifications, with unity being perfect consistency. As we can see from both panels, typically the two classifications agree to within about 70 per cent, i.e. there are about 30 per cent of optically classified type-1 (type-2) AGN with (without) evidence of obscuration in the X-ray spectra. This is consistent with the similar analysis done by Trouille et al. (2009) on three separate Chandra survey fields. In the remaining of this work, we will adopt a value of \( N_{\text{H}} = 10^{21.1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) to distinguish between X-ray obscured and unobscured AGN. Similar values for the discriminating column density had been previously argued in studies of the optical properties of smaller hard X-rays selected samples (Caccianiga et al. 2008; Della Ceca et al. 2008).

It is worth noticing here that the values of the neutral hydrogen equivalent column density that best separate the obscured and unobscured samples, providing the maximal overlap between the

---

**Figure 3.** Intrinsic (absorption-corrected) 2–10 keV luminosity–redshift plane distribution of the sources studied. The blue circles represent optically classified type-1 AGN and red ones type-2 AGN. The vertical and horizontal dashed lines show the binning adopted for subsequent studies of complete samples. The grey shaded area represent incomplete bins, while the yellow regions define the two luminosity–redshift intervals used to investigate the optical/X-ray misclassified AGN (see Section 5.1 below).
X-ray- and optical-based classification are smaller than the traditionally adopted value of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. Assuming a standard dust-to-gas ratio (Predehl & Schmitt 1995) and Galactic extinction law, the critical column densities correspond to optical extinction values of $E(B - V) \simeq 0.57$ for the entire sample and $E(B - V) \simeq 0.23$ for the spectroscopic sample. These values of extinction are consistent with the findings of Lusso et al. (2010), who have studied in detail the SED of spectroscopic type-1 AGN in COSMOS, concluding that only a negligible minority appears to suffer from reddening in excess of $E(B - V) = 0.2$.

Finally, we derive the host galaxy properties of our AGN using the results of the analysis presented in Bongiorno et al. (2012), where we introduced a procedure to fit the multiwavelength SED of the sources by disentangling the nuclear and stellar contributions. In particular, as discussed in Bongiorno et al. (2012), at rest-frame wavelengths of about 1 μm, three quarters of type-1 AGN have AGN fractions smaller than about 50 per cent, implying that, even for unobscured objects, our method allows a robust determination of the host total stellar masses, with typical uncertainty (statistical plus systematic) of the order of 0.4 dex (see also Merloni et al. 2010). Thanks to that approach, stellar masses for the host galaxies of all the AGN in our final sample could be obtained.

3 THE FRACTION OF OBSCURED AGN: LUMINOSITY, REDSHIFT AND CLASSIFICATION DEPENDENCIES

First of all, we examined the luminosity and redshift dependence of the fraction of AGN optically classified as obscured. The left-hand panel of Fig. 6 shows such a fraction as a function of intrinsic X-ray luminosity in five different redshift bins (see also Table 1). The decrease of the obscured AGN fraction with luminosity is strong and confirms previous studies on the XMM-COSMOS AGN (Brusa et al. 2010). The dashed line, instead, shows the best-fitting relations to the optically obscured AGN fraction obtained combining all redshift bins. We assumed the relation to be of the form

$$F_{\text{obs}} = A + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{L - L_0}{\sigma_L} \right),$$

(1)

Figure 4. Distribution of the estimated column density (in logarithmic units) with the HR$_z$ method. The blue shaded histograms are for optically classified type-1 AGN and red ones for type-2. The left-hand panel is for the whole sample (spectroscopic and photometric; 1310 sources) and the right-hand panel for the spectroscopic redshift sample (742 sources in total). In each panel, the blue solid lines show the cumulative distribution $C(\log N_H)$ (percentage) for the type-1 AGN and the red solid line shows $1 - C(\log N_H)$ for the type-2. The vertical dashed lines indicate the values of log$N_H$ that best separate the two samples.

Table 1. Fraction of optically (from Fig. 6) and X-ray (from Fig. 7) obscured AGN for various redshift and X-ray luminosity bins. The incomplete bins are marked with an asterisk.

| $L_X$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | Optical obsc. frac. (per cent) | X-ray obsc. fract. (per cent) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.3 ≤ $z$ < 0.8    |                               |                               |
| 42.5–42.8          | 95.0$^{+1}$ $^{+15}_{-1}$     | 58 ± 8*                       |
| 42.8–43.1          | 93$^{+9}_{-9}$               | 59 ± 6                        |
| 43.1–43.4          | 85 ± 10                      | 54 ± 7                        |
| 43.4–43.7          | 61 ± 9                       | 45 ± 8                        |
| 43.7–44.0          | 53 ± 16                      | 46 ± 15                       |
| 0.8 ≤ $z$ < 1.1    |                               |                               |
| 42.8–43.1          | 100$^{+10}_{-22}$            | 35 ± 12*                      |
| 43.1–43.4          | 89 ± 8                       | 68 ± 6                        |
| 43.4–43.7          | 82 ± 8                       | 68 ± 7                        |
| 43.7–44.0          | 71 ± 10                      | 60 ± 9                        |
| 44.0–44.3          | 42 ± 11                      | 47 ± 12                       |
| 1.1 ≤ $z$ < 1.5    |                               |                               |
| 43.15–43.45        | 67 ± 10$^{+}$                | 46 ± 8$^{+}$                  |
| 43.45–43.75        | 74 ± 7                       | 68 ± 6                        |
| 43.75–44.05        | 58 ± 7                       | 59 ± 7                        |
| 44.05–44.35        | 33 ± 7                       | 44 ± 8                        |
| 44.35–44.65        | 41$^{+}$                     | 22 ± 8                        |
| 1.5 ≤ $z$ < 2.1    |                               |                               |
| 43.52–43.82        | 64 ± 8$^{+}$                 | 46 ± 7$^{+}$                  |
| 43.82–44.12        | 49 ± 5                       | 56 ± 6                        |
| 44.12–44.42        | 40 ± 5                       | 55 ± 6                        |
| 44.42–44.72        | 18 ± 5                       | 36 ± 7                        |
| 44.72–45.02        | 15 ± 11                      | 53 ± 17                       |
| 2.1 ≤ $z$ < 3.5    |                               |                               |
| 44.05–44.35        | 28 ± 5$^{+}$                 | 49 ± 6$^{+}$                  |
| 44.35–44.65        | 31 ± 5                       | 57 ± 7                        |
| 44.65–44.95        | 21 ± 6                       | 53 ± 10                       |
| 44.95–45.25        | 22 ± 9                       | 50 ± 14                       |
most constant, but the range of intrinsic luminosities sampled is too limited to draw firmer conclusions.

We now turn to a discussion of any possible evolutionary trend of the obscured AGN fractions as a function of redshift.

3.1 Redshift evolution

We plot in the right-hand panels of Figs 6 and 7 the fraction of obscured AGN as a function of redshift, for three separate luminosity intervals and for the optical and X-ray classifications, respectively. Only bins where the sample is complete are shown. For optically classified AGN, we do not see any clear redshift evolution, apart from the highest luminosity objects (i.e. genuine QSOs in the XMM-COSMOS sample, with $L_X$ between $10^{41.3}$ and $10^{44.7}$ erg s$^{-1}$). To better quantify this, we have fitted separately the evolution of the obscured fraction in the three luminosity bins with the function:

$$F_{\text{obs}} = B \times (1 + z)^{\delta}.$$  (2)

The best-fitting parameters are shown in Table 2, while the best-fitting relations are shown as thin lines in the right-hand panels of Figs 6 and 7. For the optical classification, as anticipated, we measure a significant evolution ($\delta \text{opt} > 0$) only for the most luminous objects, with $\delta \text{opt} = 1.27 \pm 0.62$. Previously, Treister & Urry (2006) had claimed a significant increase in the fraction of optically classified obscured AGN with redshift, on the basis of the analysis of a large sample extracted from a combination of seven different X-ray surveys of various areas and depth. Their sample indeed covers a wider redshift and redshift range, so is in principle better suited to disentangle their degeneracies, but, on the other hand, requires corrections to be made for compensating for the redshift incompleteness of the original X-ray selected sample, which could introduce biases, if the spectroscopic redshift completeness correlates with obscuration. Our nearly complete sample (within the volumes probed by the COSMOS field 2 deg$^2$ area) is at least minimally affected by such biases.

For the X-ray classification, we observe a significant evolution with redshift both at the lowest and highest luminosities, where the fraction of X-ray obscured AGN increases with $z$, consistent with previous findings by numerous authors (La Franca et al. 2005; Ballantyne et al. 2006; Treister & Urry 2006; Hasinger 2008; Fiore et al. 2012; Vito et al. 2013). A more robust assessment of the redshift evolution of the obscured AGN fraction, however, would require a more extensive coverage of the $L-z$ plane than that afforded by our flux-limited XMM-COSMOS sample.

4 OBSCURED AGN AND GALAXY-WIDE PROPERTIES

4.1 Relationship between AGN obscuration and host galaxies’ stellar masses

An important test that is made possible by the quality of the data of the COSMOS AGN sample is to study whether the host galaxy stellar mass ($M_*$) plays any role in determining the statistics of (optically) obscured AGN in our sample. Fig. 8 shows the distribution of the 1310 AGN in the sample in the log $L_X$–log $M_*$ plane. Most of the AGN hosts in our sample have stellar masses within one decade (between $10^{10.3}$ and $10^{11.3}$ solar masses), but span a large range of ‘specific accretion rates’ (Aird et al. 2012; Bongiorno et al. 2012), i.e. the observed ratio of nuclear X-ray luminosity to host galaxy stellar mass. Modulo the AGN bolometric correction, the standard scaling relation between $M_*$ and the SMBH mass (Haring & Rix...
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Figure 6. Left-hand panel: the fraction of optically obscured AGN is plotted versus the X-ray luminosity for different redshift bins (purple circles: $0.3 \leq z < 0.8$; blue upward-pointing triangles: $0.8 \leq z < 1.1$; cyan downward-pointing triangles: $1.1 \leq z < 1.5$; green square: $1.5 \leq z < 2.1$ and red star: $2.1 \leq z < 3.5$). The vertical dashed lines mark the luminosities above which the samples are complete in each redshift bin (of corresponding colour). The empty symbols are from incomplete bins. The dashed line shows the best fit to the entire data set across the whole redshift range (see the text for details). Right-hand panel: redshift evolution of the fraction of obscured AGN in different luminosity bins (only those for complete bins have been shown). The dotted, dashed and dot–dashed lines show the best-fitting evolution in the three luminosity intervals, respectively, according to equation (2). The best-fitting values for the parameter of each curve are reported in Table 2.

Figure 7. The fraction of X-ray obscured AGN is plotted versus the X-ray luminosity for different redshift bins (purple circles: $0.3 \leq z < 0.8$; blue upward-pointing triangles: $0.8 \leq z < 1.1$; cyan downward-pointing triangles: $1.1 \leq z < 1.5$; green squares: $1.5 \leq z < 2.1$ and red stars: $2.1 \leq z < 3.5$). The vertical dashed lines mark the luminosities above which the samples are complete in each redshift bin (of corresponding colour). The empty symbols are from incomplete bins. The dashed line is plotted here as a reference and represents the best fit to the absorbed AGN fraction versus luminosity relation for optically obscured AGN, from Fig. 6 and equation (1). Right-hand panel: redshift evolution of the fraction of obscured AGN in different luminosity bins (only those for complete bins have been shown). The dotted, dashed and dot–dashed lines show the best-fitting evolution in the three luminosity intervals, respectively, according to equation (2). The best-fitting values for the parameter of each curve are reported in Table 2.

2004) would imply that the specific accretion rate could be used as a rough proxy of the Eddington ratio, and Fig. 8 shows that most AGN in our sample accrete at Eddington ratios of the order of 10 per cent, but with a very wide distribution. The distribution of the host galaxies’ stellar masses for the two classes of AGN with overplotted the obscured AGN fraction (black circles with error bars) calculated for different mass bins is shown in the top panel of Fig. 8. In each of these bins, the obscured AGN fraction is calculated mixing AGN of
Table 2. Best-fitting parameter (from equation 2) for the redshift evolution of the obscured AGN in three different luminosity bins. The parameters for the optically classified AGN are marked by ‘OPT’, while those for the X-ray-classified AGN by ‘HRc’. In boldface, we highlight the sub-sample where significant redshift evolution is seen.

| Parameter | log$L_x$ range | log$L_x$ range | log$L_x$ range |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|           | [43.2, 43.5]   | [43.8, 44.1]   | [44.3, 44.7]   |
| $B_{OPT}$ | 0.71 ± 0.27    | 0.46 ± 0.20    | 0.05 ± 0.04    |
| $\delta_{OPT}$ | 0.26 ± 0.05    | 0.17 ± 0.46    | 1.27 ± 0.62    |
| $B_{HRc}$ | 0.18 ± 0.09    | 0.52 ± 0.21    | 0.13 ± 0.07    |
| $\delta_{HRc}$ | 1.89 ± 0.77    | 0.09 ± 0.43    | 1.08 ± 0.42    |

Figure 8. The main panel shows the distribution of the 1310 AGN in the sample in the log$L_*$–log$L_x$ plane. The blue circles represent optically classified type-1 objects and red type-2 objects. The dot–dashed, dashed and solid lines mark the approximate locus of 1, 10 and 100 per cent of the Eddington limit, estimated by assuming constant 2–10 keV bolometric correction, $\kappa_X$, and a universal $M_{BH}/M_*$ ratio, such that $\kappa_X M_*/M_{BH} = 10^{19}$. The right-hand panel shows the luminosity distributions for the two classes, with overplotted the obscured AGN fraction (black circles with error bars) and the best-fitting relation of equation (1). The top panel shows the distribution of the host galaxies’ stellar masses for the two classes of AGN, with overplotted the obscured AGN fraction (black circles with error bars) calculated for different mass bins; in each of these fixed stellar mass bins, the obscured AGN fraction is calculated mixing AGN of different luminosities, and the result is an almost mass-independent average obscured fraction of about 55 per cent (dot–dashed horizontal line).

4.2 Relationship between AGN obscuration and host galaxies star formation

We have then analysed the relationship between AGN obscuration and the star-forming properties of their host galaxies. To avoid as much as possible the contaminating effects of the AGN itself for the determination of SFR from optical–UV SED fitting, we have used the FIR data collected by Herschel/ Photodetector Array Camera & Spectrometer (PACS; Poglitsch et al. 2010) guaranteed time that has observed the entire COSMOS field as a part of the PEP-survey (Lutz et al. 2011, www.mpe.mpg.de/Research/PEP). Rosario et al. (2012) have studied in detail the possible influence of AGN-driven emission on the Herschel/PACS fluxes and stacks of X-ray selected sources in various deep fields. They concluded that AGN contamination is minimal and affects only the objects with the lowest SFR. At the depth of the Herschel-PEP survey of the COSMOS field, however, only a relatively small fraction of the X-ray sources in our sample are individually detected (∼18 per cent). We thus resort to a stacking analysis to assess statistically the incidence of star formation in the host galaxies of our AGN.

In order to do so, we have stacked the Herschel fluxes, both at 100 and 160 µm, at the location of the detected counterparts of the AGN. We did so separately for objects classified as type-1 and type-2 in each of the 15 bins of the $L_x$–$z$ plane where our survey is complete (see Fig. 3). We define ‘detection’ whenever the stacked signal exceeds a value of three times the noise in at least one PEP band in a bin. We then derive the SFR of each redshift, luminosity and obscuration-class bin using their stacked PACS flux densities and the Chary & Elbaz (2001) SED library. In the case where there are two PACS detections (at both 100 and 160 µm), we fit the PACS flux densities with the Chary & Elbaz (2001) SED library leaving the normalization of each template as a free parameter. If, on the other hand, the stacked flux is detected significantly in only one luminosity from the observed X-ray one, which could introduce a spurious luminosity dependence, as discussed in Sections 2.1 and 3 above.

Despite its size, our sample is still too small to study simultaneously the obscured AGN fraction as a function of luminosity, redshift and stellar mass. However, the results of Fig. 6 indicate that, to a first approximation, redshift dependences can be ignored for low- to moderate-luminosity AGN. Therefore, we have carried out a study of the AGN fraction evolution as a function of intrinsic X-ray luminosity and host galaxy stellar mass across the entire redshift range spanned by our sample. The results are shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 9. Clearly, the total stellar mass of the host galaxy does not appear to have any strong influence on the fraction of optically obscured AGN in our sample, even if there is marginal evidence of a slightly larger fraction of obscured AGN in the most massive galaxies. The right-hand panel of the same figure shows again the obscured AGN fraction for different stellar mass bins, but now plotted as a function of the specific accretion rate.

Not surprisingly, given what we discussed in Section 3 and on the left-hand panel of Fig. 9 itself, the specific accretion rate does not seem to be a good predictor of the obscured AGN fraction, and definitely it is a much worse one than the X-ray luminosity itself. Indeed, as shown by the dashed lines of the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, the data are consistent with the simplest assumption that the fraction of (optically defined) type-2 AGN is mainly a function of luminosity, so that neither the Eddington ratio nor the overall gravitational potential of the host galaxy affects in a significant way the statistical properties of the optically obscuring medium.

Not surprisingly, given what we discussed in Section 3 and on the left-hand panel of Fig. 9 itself, the specific accretion rate does not seem to be a good predictor of the obscured AGN fraction, and definitely it is a much worse one than the X-ray luminosity itself. Indeed, as shown by the dashed lines of the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, the data are consistent with the simplest assumption that the fraction of (optically defined) type-2 AGN is mainly a function of luminosity, so that neither the Eddington ratio nor the overall gravitational potential of the host galaxy affects in a significant way the statistical properties of the optically obscuring medium.

Not surprisingly, given what we discussed in Section 3 and on the left-hand panel of Fig. 9 itself, the specific accretion rate does not seem to be a good predictor of the obscured AGN fraction, and definitely it is a much worse one than the X-ray luminosity itself. Indeed, as shown by the dashed lines of the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, the data are consistent with the simplest assumption that the fraction of (optically defined) type-2 AGN is mainly a function of luminosity, so that neither the Eddington ratio nor the overall gravitational potential of the host galaxy affects in a significant way the statistical properties of the optically obscuring medium.
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Figure 9. Left: the fraction of obscured AGN is plotted versus the X-ray luminosity for different host galaxy stellar mass bins. The dashed line shows the best fit to the entire data set shown in Fig. 6. Right: the fraction of obscured AGN is plotted versus the specific accretion rate ($L_X/M_*$), for different host galaxy stellar mass bins. The dashed lines show the best fit to the entire data set shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 6, each shifted horizontally by the corresponding factor of log $M_*$. PACS band (either at 100 or 160 μm), the SFR is obtained simply using the scaled SED library. As it was shown by Elbaz et al. (2010, 2011), scaling the FIR templates to match a monochromatic luminosity provides robust (and non-degenerate) estimates of the total FIR luminosity, and thus of the SFR. In both cases, SFR is given by integrating the best SED template from 8 to 1000 μm and using the standard relation between IR luminosity and SFR of Kennicutt (1998) for a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. For bins where no stacked signal is detected, we derive robust upper limits for the average SFR.

The top panel of Fig. 10 shows the average SFR for each of the 30 stacks we have considered, as a function of the average X-ray luminosity of the AGN that were included in the stacks. Different symbols distinguish type-1 (circles) from type-2 AGN (squares). The overall trend of the average SFR as a function of AGN X-ray luminosity mostly reflects the influence of distance on both quantities in our flux-limited sample. Within individual redshift intervals (points of the same colour), there is little evidence of a correlation between $L_X$ and $\langle SFR \rangle$, a result consistent with previous investigations of SFR in AGN hosts from Herschel survey data (Mullaney et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2012). This might be due to the lack of any physical connection between SFR and accretion luminosity or to the effect of rapid AGN variability modulating a long-term correlation (Hickox et al. 2013).

Most striking, however, is the comparison between the average SFR of optically obscured and unobscured AGN, shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 10. In fact, for essentially all bins in the luminosity–redshift plane for which we have at least one measure of the average SFR from the stacks, we see no difference whatsoever in the rate of star formation in type-1 and type-2 AGN, despite the wide range of luminosities probed. Clearly, galaxy-wide star formation does not appear to distinguish between type-1 and type-2 AGN, or, to put it differently, the physical entity responsible for the optical classification into obscured and unobscured AGN is not strongly affected by the overall star formation properties of the host galaxy.

Despite the intriguing differences in the AGN X-ray and optical classification, the main results presented in this section do not change qualitatively when we look at the host galaxies of AGN classified on the basis of their X-ray spectral properties. In the left-hand panel of Fig. 11, we show the fraction of X-ray obscured AGN as a function of stellar mass of their host galaxies. In order to improve the statistics, we restrict ourselves to the luminosity range...
Figure 11. Left: fraction of obscured AGN, as classified from their X-ray spectra with the HRz method, as a function of their host galaxies’ stellar mass, for all AGN in the sample with 43.7 < \log L_X < 44.3 and 0.3 < z < 2.1. Right: the ratio of the mean SFRs in HRz classified as obscured (type-2) and unobscured (type-1) AGN is plotted as a function of their X-ray luminosity. The solid line shows the best-fitting mean value, with the uncertainty marked by the dashed lines.

43.7 < \log L_X < 44.3, where we can combine all objects within a wide redshift range (0.3 < z < 2.1), as the luminosity dependence is negligible there. Again, no strong dependence of the absorption fraction on \(M_\ast\) is evident. In the right-hand panel of the same figure, we see that the average SFR computed from Herschel-PEP stacking analysis on the X-ray obscured and unobscured AGN confirms our finding that the galaxy-wide SFR appears to be totally disconnected from the nuclear X-ray obscuring medium. This is consistent with the results of large Herschel studies over similar redshifts which find no clear dependence of SFR on X-ray obscuration (Shao et al. 2010; Rosario et al. 2012).

5 DISCUSSION: OPTICAL VERSUS X-RAY OBSCURATION CLASSIFICATION

As we showed in Section 3, the differences in the optical- and X-ray-based classification of the AGN are strongly luminosity dependent. We can show this if we introduce a four-ways classification of the entire sample. Within such a scheme, we can define type-11 AGN as those which appear unobscured both from their optical and X-ray spectra (363 in total); type-22 objects are instead those which appear obscured both at X-ray and optical wavelengths (546). Finally, we call type-12 AGN as those which are optically unobscured (showing broad lines in their optical spectra and/or a strong, blue optical/UV continuum and a point-like morphology) but have X-ray spectra (or HR) consistent with a value of \(N_{H} > 10^{21.5} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (167); conversely we call type-21 as those which are consistent with no X-ray obscuration, but do not show broad lines in their optical spectra or have galaxy-like optical/UV SEDs (234).

Fig. 12 shows the distribution of these four classes as a function of luminosity (across the entire redshift range spanned by our sample). The ∼30 per cent AGN with ambiguous classification clearly separate into two distinct luminosity regimes: type-21 (orange shaded area) at low luminosities and type-12 (purple shaded area) at high luminosities. We now discuss in greater detail the properties of these classes of objects.

Figure 12. The relative fractions of AGN classified according to our four-ways scheme are plotted as a function of intrinsic X-ray luminosity. The red shaded area is for type-22 AGN (both X-ray and optically obscured); blue for type-11 (both X-ray and optically unobscured), while the orange shaded area is for type-21 objects (those which are X-ray unobscured but optically obscured) and the purple one for type-12 AGN (optically unobscured but X-ray obscured).

5.1 Clues on the nature of the optical/X-rays discrepant classification: stacked spectra

The two sets of AGN with discordant classification call for a physical explanation. Having shown that this is most likely not to be searched in a different property of the host galaxies, we need to investigate in more detail the properties of the nuclear emission on parsec and sub-parsec scales, where the X-ray and optical features
First, we have looked at the average spectral shape of four separate groups of objects. As we discussed before, there are two clearly separate regimes we need to study. At low luminosities (and, in our flux-limited sample, at low redshift) many X-ray unobscured AGN are optically classified as obscured (type-21 class). In order to study this regime, we took a complete sample, selected in the redshift range $0.3 < z < 0.9$ and with $42.9 < \log L_X < 43.5$ (see the leftmost yellow area in Fig. 3), and constructed the average X-ray and optical spectra for the type-21 (57 objects, 47 of which with spectroscopic redshift information) and type-22 AGN (99 objects, 61 with spec-z), respectively. On the other hand, in order to study the properties of the high-luminosity AGN that are classified as obscured from the HRz method, but appear optically unobscured, we took a complete sample, selected in the redshift range $1.5 < z < 2.5$ and with $\log L_X > 44$ (rightmost yellow area in Fig. 3), and constructed the average X-ray and optical spectra for the type-11 (109 objects, 94 with spectroscopic redshifts) and type-12 AGN (66 objects, 38 with spec-z), respectively.

For the X-ray rest-frame spectral stacking, we adopt the method of Iwasawa et al. (2012) and produce rest-frame-stacked spectra in the 2–10 keV range using the XMM–Newton data, and the four rest-frame-stacked X-ray spectra are shown in Fig. 13. We also compared the optical properties of the samples by stacking all available optical spectra. For this latter exercise, as we combine spectroscopic observations with different instruments (SDSS, IMACS, VLT/VIMOS from the zCOSMOS bright and deep surveys), covering different wavelength ranges at different resolutions, we first smooth all spectra to the resolution of the worst spectrum available (set at $R = 300$ by the zCOSMOS deep programme for the high-redshift type-11 and type-12 samples and at $R = 600$ by the zCOSMOS bright spectra for the low-redshift type-21 and type-22 samples), then normalize at a common rest-frame wavelength ($2200 \, \text{Å}$ for the type-11 and type-12 at high redshift, and $4500 \, \text{Å}$ for the type-21 and type-22 at low redshift) and finally compute the mean rest-frame spectrum.

![Figure 13. Rest-frame-stacked X-ray spectra for the four classes of objects in two different regions of the $L_X - z$ plane (see the yellow boxes in Fig. 3, and Section 5.1). The top row shows the stacked spectra for type-11 and type-12 AGN, respectively, in the $1.5 < z < 2.5$ redshift range and with $L_X < 10^{43} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$. The bottom row shows the stacked spectra for type-21 and type-22 AGN, respectively, in the $0.3 < z < 0.9$ redshift range and with $10^{42.5} < L_X < 10^{43.5} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}$.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/437/4/3550/1006867/3561)

The incidence of obscuration in AGN

![Figure 14. Rest-frame-stacked X-ray spectra for type-12 AGN with spectroscopic redshift information (red points) and with photo-z (blue points). The spectroscopic sample is the same used to produce the median optical spectrum shown with a purple line in Fig. 15 below. Both samples can be described by a simple power-law model fitted with spectral index $\sim 1.5$, with an absorbed power-law model of $\Gamma_{12} \approx 1.7$ and $N_{\text{H}} \approx 7 \times 10^{23} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/437/4/3550/1006867/3561)

5.1.1 The most luminous AGN: closing in on the close-in absorber

The top row of Fig. 13 shows the two stacked X-ray spectra for the two high-redshift, high-luminosity groups: type-11 (upper left) and type-12 (upper right). As expected, all luminous AGN classified as unobscured from both X-ray and optical analysis show an almost featureless power-law spectrum, with slope $\Gamma_{11} = 1.9 \pm 0.1$, consistent with the basic assumption of the HRz method. No clear evidence of emission lines is seen, possibly consistent with the so-called Iwasawa–Taniguchi effect, i.e. the observational fact that the equivalent width (EW) of the ubiquitous narrow Fe Kα emission line decreases with increasing X-ray luminosity for the AGN (Iwasawa & Taniguchi 1993; Bianchi et al. 2007; Chaudhary et al. 2010). The average rest-frame X-ray spectrum of the type-12 AGN has a significantly shallower slope; when fitted with a simple power law, we obtain $\Gamma_{12} = 1.5 \pm 0.1$. However, an equally good fit can be obtained with an absorbed power-law model with $\Gamma_{12} \approx 1.7$ and $N_{\text{H}} \approx 7 \times 10^{23} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$, suggesting that indeed there could be excess absorption in these optically classified, highly luminous, type-1 AGN. Note that the difference between type-11 and type-12 median X-ray spectra cannot be due to the 28 type-12 objects without spectroscopic information, as type-12 objects with and without spectroscopic redshift show almost identical rest-frame-stacked X-ray spectra, as can be seen in Fig. 14.

Incidentally, the fact that no significant iron emission line is detected in these two stacked spectra can be used to argue against a large fraction of these sources being hidden behind a CT screen with high covering fraction, as required by the model of Mayo & Lawrence (2013) to explain the observed obscured fraction versus luminosity relation.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 15 shows the comparison between the rest-frame median optical spectra of type-11 and type-12 AGN. The spectroscopic completeness of the two samples is significantly different: while the template spectrum for the type-11 AGN is made with 71/109 object in the complete X-ray selected sample, we have only 31/66 spectra for the type-12. Nevertheless, the stacked optical spectra of the two classes are very similar, with no clear evidence that the type-12 are more reddened than the type-11, and no significant discrepancy in the EW of the most prominent broad
Figure 15. Left: rest-frame median spectra for the two high-redshift, high-luminosity classes of objects, type-11 and type-12, are plotted with blue and purple lines, respectively. The most prominent emission lines are marked. The spectra are normalized at 2200 Å. Right: rest-frame median spectra for the two low-redshift, low-luminosity classes of objects, type-21 and type-22, are plotted with orange and red lines, respectively. The most prominent emission and absorption lines are marked. The spectra are normalized at 4500 Å.

Figure 16. Left: rest-frame median SED for type-11 and type-12 AGN (blue and purple solid lines, respectively). The dashed lines mark the 25th and 75th percentiles. The median spectra for the spectroscopic samples, all normalized at the same rest-frame wavelength (2200 Å) are overplotted. Right: rest-frame median SED for type-21 and type-22 AGN (orange and red solid lines, respectively). The dashed lines mark the 25th and 75th percentiles. The median spectra for the spectroscopic samples, all normalized at the same rest-frame wavelength (4500 Å) are also overplotted. In both panel, and for all four AGN groups, we note a substantial agreement between the SED of the complete samples and of the spectroscopic ones.

emission lines. Moreover, the median SED derived by stacking the best-fitting models of all photometric observations for type-11 and type-12 AGN in this redshift and luminosity range do not show any substantial difference either, as can be seen in the left-hand panel of Fig. 16. This suggests that large-scale (or galaxy-wide) extinction is not the cause of the X-ray obscuration and contradicts the naive expectation of AGN evolutionary models in which powerful, obscured QSOs are associated with galactic absorption produced by
the vigorous star formation activity. While the most luminous AGN in our sample tend to be hosted by galaxies with high SFR, there is no evidence of any relationship between this and the obscuration properties of the sources (see Figs 10 and 11).

In fact, it seems more plausible to argue that the excess X-ray obscuration in a large fraction of the most luminous AGN could be produced by dust-free gas within (or inside) the broad-line region. In the nearby Universe, the presence of small-scale (sub-parsec) absorbers has been inferred in a number of cases (Risaliti et al. 2002; Maiolino et al. 2010), with NGC 4151 being the prototypical example, well known since more than 30 years (Ives, Sanford & Penston 1976). Evidence of excess absorption in more luminous, distant type-1 QSOs was already highlighted by Perola et al. (2004) and Fiore et al. (2012), and for AGN in the Chandra-COSMOS survey by Lanzuisi et al. (2013). An interesting possibility, worth further exploration, is that type-12 AGN might be related to broad absorption-line quasars (BALQSOs). BALQSOs have indeed long been known to be X-ray obscured (see e.g. Green et al. 1995; Gallagher et al. 1999; Brandt, Laor & Wills 2000), even if more recent works on X-ray selected samples questioned this evidence (Giustini, Cappi & Vignali 2008). Due to the lack of a systematic analysis of the optical spectra of broad-line AGN in the c2COSMOS and IMACS spectroscopic surveys and the inclusion of photometric type-1 AGN, we do not have a robust estimate of the broad absorption-line fraction in our sample. Assuming they constitute about 10–15 per cent of the entire quasar population (Richards et al. 2003; Hewett & Foltz 2003), BALQSOs may be numerous among type-12 AGN. Indeed, a tantalizing hint of extra absorption can be seen in the stacked spectrum of type-12 AGN in Fig. 15.

5.1.2 The nature of Type–21 AGN at low luminosity

Let us now consider the opposite end of the luminosity scale: among lower luminosity AGN (with $L_X < 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$), a substantial fraction (about 1/3) is optically classified as type-2, but is unobscured in the X-rays, and we called these objects type-21 AGN. These might well include so-called true type-2 AGN (Panessa & Bassani 2002; Brightman & Nandra 2008; Bianchi et al. 2012a), i.e. accreting black holes without a hidden broad-line region. Our sample of 234 such objects is about one order of magnitude larger than any previous one.

In the local Universe, objects of this class had been identified among Seyfert 2 galaxies by the lack of polarized broad emission lines (Tran 2001, 2003), and a number of theoretical investigations have explored models for the BLR and/or for the obscuring torus which naturally account for their disappearance at lower luminosities (Nicastro et al. 2000; Nenkova et al. 2008; Elitzur & Ho 2009), even if in most models this effect sets in at much lower luminosities and/or Eddington ratios than those probed by our sample. We cannot discard this as a viable interpretation of our data, but we note that the concurrent effect of galaxy dilution, i.e. the decreasing contrast between the nuclear AGN emission and the stellar light from the whole galaxy must play an important role, too.

A simple estimate illustrates this point (see e.g. Merloni & Heinz 2013). Let us consider an AGN with optical $B$-band luminosity given by $L_{\text{AGN,B}} = \lambda L_{\text{Edd}} f_B$, where we have introduced the Eddington ratio ($\lambda = L_{\text{Edd}} / L_{\text{bol}}$) and a bolometric correction $f_B = L_{\text{AGN,B}} / L_{\text{bol}} \approx 0.1$ (Richards et al. 2006). Assuming a bulge-to-black hole mass ratio of 0.001 and a bulge-to-total galactic stellar mass ratio of $(B/T)$, the contrast between nuclear AGN continuum and host galaxy blue light is given by

$$\frac{L_{\text{AGN,B}}}{L_{\text{host,B}}} = \frac{\lambda}{0.1} \left( \frac{M_\bullet / M_\odot}{(B/T)} \right)$$

Thus, for typical mass-to-light ratios, the AGN will become increasingly diluted by the host stellar light in the UV–optical–IR bands at Eddington ratios $\lambda$ smaller than a few per cent, which is quite common among lower luminosity type-2 AGN (in the sample (see Fig. 8).

Broadly speaking, in order to understand the nature of type-21 AGN, three explanations can be put forward (see e.g. Bianchi et al. 2012a), depending on how much do the X-ray spectra reveal the true unabsorbed nature of these objects: (i) low-luminosity AGN do not produce broad lines, as the physical conditions in the accretion disc and its associated outflows are not favourable for the generation of a broad-line region (Nicastro 2000; Elitzur & Ho 2009); (ii) these weak type-1 AGN are simply outshone by the stellar light from the massive host galaxy they live in, especially at the relative longer wavelengths probed by the majority of spectrographs used to follow up XMM-COSMOS AGN in this luminosity range, and (iii) these objects are indeed heavily obscured (CT) and the soft X-ray spectra appear because of a strong scattered continuum (Brightman & Nandra 2012; Mayo & Lawrence 2013). NGC 1068 (Pier et al. 1994) would be the prototypical analogue in the nearby Universe.

In the first case, we expect no difference whatsoever between the optical/NIR SED of objects with obscured or unobscured X-ray spectra, while in the second case a weak nuclear continuum should emerge at shorter wavelengths. In the CT case, the optical spectra of type-22 and type-21 should be similar, but the type-21 should have a much stronger hot dust component in the NIR.

The bottom row of Fig. 13 shows the two X-ray spectra for the two low-redshift, low-luminosity groups: type-21 (lower left) and type-22 (lower right). The type-22 AGN show a clear signature of obscured spectra, with an apparent curvature, and an overall shape that, if fitted with a simple power law, would require a very hard slope $\Gamma_{22} = 0.8 \pm 0.2$. On the other hand, the vast majority of AGN which are HR$_C$-classified as unobscured in the type-21 class must indeed not show any evidence of cold, neutral absorption: the stacked X-ray spectrum, despite the low-signal-to-noise ratio, can be fitted with a power law of slope $\Gamma_{21} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$. A tantalizing excess consistent with emission from iron K$_\alpha$ at 6.4 is apparent (with $E_W \sim 300$ eV), but significant at just the 2σ level; where this the telltale of heavily obscured AGN (which have typically EW of the order of 1 keV), one could speculate that a fraction of the order of 20 per cent of the type-21 AGN could harbour heavily obscured nuclei.

Still, for the majority of the type-21 classification the fundamental question we need to answer is why do the optical spectra of these low-luminosity AGN, the X-ray spectra of which are by and large unobscured, not show any evidence of unobscured AGN emission?

To further examine this issue, we show in the right-hand panel of Fig. 15 the comparison between the rest-frame median spectra of type-21 and type-22 AGN. As opposed to the case of the type-11 and type-12 median spectra, we see now two clearly galaxy-dominated spectra, displaying a strong stellar continuum with superimposed absorption features seen typically in massive galaxies. Also, the global SED derived from combining the best-fitting models to the entire photometric data sets, shown in the right-hand panel

3 $L_{\text{Edd}} = 4\pi G M_\text{BH} m_p c / \sigma_T \geq 1.3 \times 10^{38} (M_\text{BH} / M_\odot)$ erg s$^{-1}$ is the Eddington luminosity.
of Fig. 16, are dominated by the host galaxy stellar emission all the way to the rest-frame NIR. Within the wavelength range where the signal-to-noise ratio of the stacked spectrum is high enough, no strong broad emission line can be seen (the only one expected being Hβ), neither in the type-22 AGN nor in the type-21 AGN composite. However, two differences can be seen between the two composites: the type-21 spectrum has significantly stronger continuum blue-wards of the 4000 Å break and a prominent, clearly visible [NeⅡ] λ3426 emission line that could be the signature of the optical/UV ionizing continuum emerging from the redder host galaxy stellar light (Gilli et al. 2010a; Mignoli et al. 2013). In fact, the emergence of a blue continuum shortwards of the 4000 Å break could suggest that, for the majority of type-21 AGN, galaxy dilution is the most plausible explanation. This is also confirmed by the measured ratio between MIR (rest frame 12 µm) and intrinsic X-ray luminosities for the four selected sub-samples discussed in the text. The top panel shows type-11 and type-12 AGN in the high-redshift, high-luminosity range, while the bottom shows the type-21 and type-22 AGN in the low-luminosity, low-redshift range. The vertical solid line marks the expected ratio (at the corresponding luminosity) for unobscured, uncontaminated AGN from the relation of Gandhi et al. (2009), with the dashed lines denoting 1σ uncertainty. This is an indirect confirmation that our absorption correction to the X-ray luminosity is, statistically, correct, as therefore should be our HR≥ classification.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have looked in great detail at the obscuration properties of a complete sample of AGN selected by XMM–Newton in the COSMOS field. The unique multiwavelength coverage of the 2 deg² of the XMM-COSMOS area allows a full identification of the X-ray source counterparts, and an extraordinary redshift completeness, by means of both spectroscopic observations and well-calibrated photometric redshift analysis. This, in turn, enables us to perform a basic K-correction of the X-ray spectra for all objects for which the number of X-ray counts is insufficient for a thorough spectral analysis. We could therefore select a large (1510 AGN) sub-sample of the XMM-COSMOS AGN above a fixed rest-frame 2–10 keV intrinsic flux (equal to $2 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²), in the redshift range $0.3 < z < 3.5$, conveniently mitigating the bias caused by the degeneracy between redshift and neutral absorption column density.

We classify all the AGN in our sample as obscured or unobscured on the basis of either (i) the optical spectral properties and/or the overall SED and optical morphology or (ii) the shape of the X-ray spectrum.

To our knowledge, this is the largest systematic study of the difference between type-1 and type-2 AGN classifications based on X-ray versus optical SEDs (see e.g. Corral et al. 2011; Malizia et al. 2012 for a comparison). Our ability to provide optical and X-ray classifications for a large, completely identified sample of X-ray selected AGN uncovers the complexity of the obscuring medium in the nuclear region of active galaxies. Our main conclusions are as follows.

(i) By contrasting the cumulative distribution of the inferred column density for optically obscured and unobscured AGN, we found that the most consistent classification divide occurs at $\log N_H \simeq 21.5$, corresponding to an optical reddening of $E(B-V) = 0.57$, for standard dust-to-gas ratio. This is not too surprising, as already above $E(B-V) \simeq 0.3$, the optical (0.3–1 µm) slope of a typical QSO would become red (Bongiorno et al. 2012; Hao et al. 2013).

Nevertheless, such ‘optimized’ classification still fails for about 30 percent of the entire sample, for which the optical- and X-ray-based classifications give contrasting results. These ‘unclassifiable’ AGN clearly separate into two well distinct classes according to their nuclear luminosity (or redshift: in our flux-limited sample, we are not able to fully disentangle these two possibilities).

(ii) By studying the stacked X-ray and optical spectra of the low-luminosity, low-redshift type-21 AGN, we conclude that their optical classification as obscured, despite the apparently unobscured X-ray spectra, is most likely due to host galaxy dilution, even if we cannot rule out that a small minority of these objects are CT AGN or true ‘naked’ type-2.

(iii) The high-luminosity, high-redshift type-12 objects, instead, appear to be truly X-ray obscured, despite their optical SED typical of type-1 QSOs, and we argue that such excess absorption could be produced by dust-free gas within (or inside) the broad-line region.

(iv) The simplest version of the classical ‘unification-by-orientation’ scheme needs to be modified to account for the strong luminosity dependence of the obscured AGN fraction. Radiative coupling between the central AGN and the source of obscuration must be the critical ingredient of any model, with the physics of dust sublimation probably playing a fundamental role. We cannot completely rule out the possibility that a substantial fraction of the AGN in our sample have more complex spectra than what we have assumed. If they are in fact dominated by almost complete covering from CT material, this could, at least partially, contribute to artificially enhance the dependence of the obscured AGN fraction on
The incidence of obscuration in AGN

luminosity. The discrepancy in the literature between the statistics of obscured AGN fractions in X-ray, optical, radio and MIR selected samples (Lawrence & Elvis 2010) warrants further exploration of this issue. Here, we simply note that, for the bulk of our sample, the IR-to-X-ray luminosity ratio is consistent with the values of a local sample observed at high angular resolution (Gandhi et al. 2009), suggesting that our estimated intrinsic X-ray luminosities are not biased low.

(v) As far as the redshift evolution of the obscured AGN fraction is concerned, we clearly do not detect any significant evolution in the optically obscured AGN fraction at low-to-moderate luminosities (see the right-hand panels of Figs 6 and 7), while obscured AGN (both X-ray and optically classified) at high luminosity are more common at high redshift. Hasinger (2008) had compiled a similarly sized sample of AGN from a number of different surveys and studied the evolution of the obscured fraction, defined in a hybrid way (from optical spectra, when available, and from X-ray HR otherwise). He found an increase with redshift of the fraction of obscured AGN, but, as remarked by Gilli et al. (2010b), the lack of a proper K-correction in Hasinger (2008) induces a severe redshift–N$_{\text{H}}$ bias (see our discussion in Section 2 above), whereby an intrinsically constant fraction of obscured AGN as a function of redshift would have shown strong positive evolution. Having corrected for such an effect, albeit in a rudimentary way, due to the poor photon statistics for most of our sources, we can conclude that the most significant redshift evolution is displayed only by the most luminous AGN.

(vi) All measurable host galaxy properties in our sample do not show any relationship with the obscured/unobscured classification. Stellar masses can be measured quite accurately for the XMM-COSMOS AGN, as previously demonstrated by Merloni et al. (2010) and Bongiorno et al. (2012), and shown by the characteristic shape of the 4000 Å break in the median SED of all four exemplary classes of AGN we discussed in Section 5.1. When controlling for the redshift and/or the luminosity of the sources, we do not see any dependence of the obscured fraction on the host galaxy stellar mass (Figs 9 and 11).

(vii) Even more striking is the lack of any significant difference between the average SFR of obscured and unobscured AGN, as probed by the stacked Herschel fluxes at the position of the sources in the sample (Fig. 10). This is remarkable, as the sample spans a wide range of redshift, nuclear luminosity and average SFR. In Bongiorno et al. (2012), we have measured indirectly the AGN duty cycle by measuring the fraction of AGN in a complete parent sample of field galaxies as a function of nuclear luminosity, host stellar mass and specific accretion rate. There we found a universal functional form that describes the probability of a galaxy to host an AGN as a function of the specific accretion rate, the normalization of which increases with redshift in steps with the increase of the specific SFR. This led to the suggestion that, in a statistical sense, AGN activity and star formation may be globally correlated, but that there is little physical connection in each individual source between the gas accreting on to the SMBH and the material out of which stars form throughout the galaxy. Here, we confirm this general view, by demonstrating the lack of any connection between the matter obscuring the accreting black holes (on pc or sub-pc scales) and the galaxy-wide SFR, consistent with the conclusions of large comprehensive Herschel studies (Rosario et al. 2012).

In closing, we note that, despite the large size of the complete sample of X-ray selected AGN studied here, we are still limited by small number statistics once we attempt to unravel the true nature of the relations between obscuration (N$_{\text{H}}$), luminosity, redshift, host galaxy stellar mass and SFR. Arguably, only the next generation of massive AGN surveys enabled by the eROSITA all-sky X-ray survey (Kolodzik et al. 2012; Merloni et al. 2012), with its expected harvest of hundreds of thousands of AGN, will enable the necessary leap forward, provided extensive multiwavelength coverage can be obtained over very wide areas of the sky.
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