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ABSTRACT

The separation of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from methane (CH₄) is critical in biogas upgrading, requiring materials with high selectivity towards one of the two gas components. Hereby we
show, by means of density functional theory based calculations including dispersive forces
description, the distinct interaction of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ with most stable (001) surfaces of seven
transition metal carbides (TMC; TM = Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Mo). Transition state theory
derived ad/desorption rates suggest a very high CO$_2$ uptake and selectivity over CH$_4$, even at
ambient temperature and low partial gas pressures.

Serious concerns about climate change are associated with rising atmospheric concentrations of
greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$).$^1$ A reduction of emissions is
needed, encouraging the use of renewable energies that contribute in satisfying the worldwide
growing energy demand.\textsuperscript{1} Among other available technologies, biogas production from anaerobic digestion is a viable approach,\textsuperscript{2} offering a route towards CH\textsubscript{4}, an interesting energy source and carrier, obtainable in 50-75 v/v \% purity, but admixed with 25-50 v/v \% CO\textsubscript{2}, which lowers the energy content but in turn allows a direct use in combined heat and power plants (CHPs) after removal of other minor constituents.\textsuperscript{3} The use of CH\textsubscript{4} as fuel is to date hampered, requiring a purity > 95 v/v \%.\textsuperscript{3} Separation of CO\textsubscript{2} while retaining CH\textsubscript{4} in the gas stream is thus a critical step for biogas upgrading,\textsuperscript{3} whose concomitant economic and ecologic interest extends to other upgradable sources of CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} mixtures,\textsuperscript{4} e.g. landfill and coal mine ventilation airs, and natural gas.

Despite both CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} are quite stable and mostly inactive, the noticeable CO\textsubscript{2} quadrupole moment allows for a strong interaction with some privileged materials,\textsuperscript{5,6} a factor lacking in the nonpolar CH\textsubscript{4}, which weakly interacts with most of them.\textsuperscript{7} Thanks to such polarity differences, CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} efficient separation seems \textit{a priori} possible. However, materials with a high CO\textsubscript{2} selectivity over CH\textsubscript{4} at standard temperature/pressure operation conditions are needed. There is exhaustive experimental research on promising materials,\textsuperscript{8} yet performance regrettfully often remains low.

Materials screening is conveniently first sieved from simulations accurately estimating the interaction of a given material with CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4}. Among other methods,\textsuperscript{7,9} density functional theory (DFT) including dispersion (DFT-D) has proven especially useful to size CH\textsubscript{4} interactions with Ni\textsuperscript{10} and Co\textsuperscript{11} surfaces, nanoporous carbons,\textsuperscript{9} zeolites,\textsuperscript{7} periodic mesoporous phenylene-silica (PMOs),\textsuperscript{8} and metal organic frameworks (MOFs).\textsuperscript{12} Similar examples are available for CO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{13} and, in combination with statistical thermodynamics based approaches,
realistic and therefore useful estimates of selectivity and uptake rates are accessible.\textsuperscript{8,14}

We recently applied this computational strategy to assess CO\textsubscript{2} capture on most stable and exhibited (001) surfaces of transition metal carbides (TMCs), with 1:1 TM:C ratio and rocksalt crystal structure under standard and moderate pressures.\textsuperscript{15} TiC, ZrC, HfC, NbC, TaC, and δ-MoC were TMCs found to strongly adsorb and even activate CO\textsubscript{2} —a noteworthy case of CO\textsubscript{2} chemisorption. This exceptional behavior can allow for CO\textsubscript{2} capture even at ambient temperatures and low gas partial pressure, with the specific storage power dependent on the TMC composition.\textsuperscript{13} The CO\textsubscript{2} capture and activation on TMCs, as predicted by DFT, has been previously directly evidenced by infrared spectroscopy and indirectly by its hydrogenation.\textsuperscript{16,17} Such reactivity suggest promising usage of TMCs to catalyze a wide variety of reactions,\textsuperscript{13,18} often equaling or surpassing Pt group catalysts performance,\textsuperscript{19} with the added benefit of low cost, chemical robustness, and poisoning resistance.\textsuperscript{18}

Theoretical simulations on CH\textsubscript{4} interaction with TMC surfaces are rather sparse. Only Tominaga\textit{ et al.} predicted CH\textsubscript{4} reforming to ethylene on orthorhombic β-Mo\textsubscript{2}C surfaces,\textsuperscript{20} and a recent DFT-D study showed strong CH\textsubscript{4} physisorption on δ-MoC (001), with a predicted possible methane capture at room temperature.\textsuperscript{21} Indeed, molybdenum carbides are known to be very reactive TMCs, and CH\textsubscript{4} adsorption is assumed to be weaker on others. That in mind, TMCs could then be suited for CO\textsubscript{2} removal from mixed CH\textsubscript{4}/CO\textsubscript{2} gas streams. To evaluate this possibility, we carried out a thorough DFT-D study of CH\textsubscript{4} attachment to most stable (001) surfaces of seven different TMCs, providing a realistic estimate of initial CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity. As done in previous works,\textsuperscript{13} we restrain our study to experimentally known rocksalt TMCs with 1:1 TM:C ratio, known to be the stable phase under standard and moderate pressure and
temperature conditions. Thus structural aspects are circumvented and a meaningful comparison is feasible, while including group 4 (TiC, ZrC, and HfC), group 5 (VC, NbC, and TaC), and group 6 (δ-MoC) TMCs. Note that for MoC rocksalt packing is only present in the high temperature δ-phase.

To assess the CH₄ adsorption on the different TMCs, periodic DFT based calculations were carried out on suitable surface models using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package – VASP code. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation (xc) functional was used to account for xc effects, to which the dispersion (van der Waals) correction D3 as proposed by Grimme (PBE-D3) was added. Further computational details are found in the Supporting Information. For the sake of the oncoming discussion clarity it is necessary to state that favourable adsorption energies, E_{ads}, are defined negative.

First, the surface exposed sites that most strongly interact with CH₄ have been identified by a computational screening at PBE-D3 level: Four non-equivalent sites were tested for each TMC (001) model, namely bridge Mo-C (b), hollow (h), top C (t'), and top metal (t^M). Interaction at each site was evaluated with two different CH₄ orientations, with either two or three H pointing towards the surface, denoted H₂ and H₃, respectively. Notice that orientations with a sole H pointing towards the TMC surface were neglected as previously found to be overall less favourable. Results show that all optimized structures yield rather similar E_{ads} within a range of 0.1 eV. Still, H₂(t^M), H₃(b), and H₃(t^M) lead to most favourable adsorptions for all considered TMCs, to which further assessment is focused onto. The corresponding PBE-D3 adsorption energies are reported in Table 1. Results show that adsorption on TiC, ZrC, HfC (group 4) and VC, NbC, TaC (group 5) is favourable at PBE-D3 level, with E_{ads} values ranging -0.19 to -
0.23 eV, and well agreeing to DFT-D results on other materials, e.g. -0.19 eV on Ni (110) and (100) surfaces,\(^1\) or -0.12 eV on MgO (001).\(^2\)

**Table 1.** PBE-D3 adsorption energies, \(E_{ads}\), of CH\(_4\) on TMC (001) surfaces. Previously reported values for adsorbed CO\(_2\) are also included.\(^3\) All values are given in eV.

| \(E_{ads}\) | CH\(_4\) | CO\(_2\) |
|-------------|---------|---------|
|             | \(H_2(t^M)\) | \(H_3(b)\) | \(H_3(t^M)\) | MMC | TopC |
| TiC         | -0.23   | -0.23   | -0.23   | -0.19\(^b\) | -0.81 | -0.83 |
| ZrC         | -0.23   | -0.23   | -0.23   | -1.56 | -1.60 |
| HfC         | -0.23   | -0.23   | -0.23   | -1.62 | -1.65 |
| VC          | -0.21   | -0.22   | -0.21   | -0.19\(^b\) | -0.04\(^b\) |
| NbC         | -0.19   | -0.19   | -0.19   | -0.87 | -0.70 |
| TaC         | -0.23   | -0.23   | -0.21\(^{a,c}\) | -1.21 | -0.94 |
| \(\delta\)-MoC | -0.77   | -0.77\(^a\) | -0.76   | -1.20 | -1.03 |

\(^a\) Spurious imaginary frequencies found, see main text and Supporting Information.
\(^b\) More stable physisorbed \(E_{ads}\) of -0.28 eV was used for rate evaluation.
\(^c\) Minor convergence problems occurred, see discussion in Supporting Information.

Methane adsorption is almost exclusively due to dispersive forces, as revealed by comparing to PBE values, see Table S1 in the Supporting Information, where adsorption energies range +0.03 to -0.02 eV, suggesting that the electronic interaction in between CH\(_4\) and TMC surfaces is almost negligible. Given the weak interaction neither significant distortion of CH\(_4\) nor of surface atoms is found. CH\(_4\) is adsorbed ranging 3.06 to 3.39 Å away from the surface, distance measured by the vertical distance between methane carbon and highest surface carbon, see Fig. 1 and distances in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. Altogether, CH\(_4\) interaction on TMCs (001) surfaces can be safely related to physisorption. The \(\delta\)-MoC case is to
be discussed apart; $E_{\text{ads}}$ values are of the order of $\sim-0.8$ eV, and so significantly higher compared to the rest of studied TMCs, and actually also electronically favored since PBE $E_{\text{ads}}$ values contribute $\sim-0.55$ eV.\textsuperscript{21}

![Diagram of CH$_4$ adsorbed on TiC (001) in three different cases. Lighter color layers were fixed during optimization. For top views of all geometries, see Supporting Information.]

**Figure 1.** Side sketches of CH$_4$ adsorbed on TiC (001) in the three different considered cases. Lighter color layers were fixed during optimization. For top views of all geometries, see Supporting Information.

With results for methane interaction laid out, a straight comparison to earlier results for CO$_2$ adsorption\textsuperscript{22} allows a first assessment of selectivity. CO$_2$ was found to adsorb strongly on two sites, namely MMC and TopC, see their PBE-D3 adsorption energies in Table 1. Direct comparison already suggests a high CO$_2$ selectivity over CH$_4$ for few TMCs (TM = Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta): Adsorption energies for CH$_4$ are on the order of $\sim-0.2$ eV, while values for CO$_2$ exceed these by 3.5 to 8 times, ranging from $\sim-0.7$ to $\sim-1.6$ eV depending on the TMC. On $\delta$-MoC both CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ adsorptions are rather strong; selectivity is therefore expected low yet molecular anchoring suited, which may be advantageous for catalytic applications such as methane dry reforming, where similar binding of both gases is needed. On the other hand, binding to VC is rather weak and the material likely unselective: CO$_2$ physisorption has an $E_{\text{ads}}$ of -0.28 eV, comparable to methane interaction of -0.22 eV.
Further better-grounded evaluation of CO$_2$ selectivity over CH$_4$ is provided for the temperature range 50–1000 K as based on the estimation of adsorption and desorption rates from harmonic transition state theory (TST) using present DFT-D results, as fully explained in the Supporting Information. Notice that such theoretical approach has been successfully used to explain the experimental biogas upgrading in PMOs.$^8$ In short, adsorption rates $r_{ads}^{CO_2}$ and $r_{ads}^{CH_4}$ for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ depend on the impingement of molecules on the surfaces and therefore on their partial pressures. Here we evaluate these at two partial pressures, (i) 0.01 bar, for capture from dilute streams, e.g. coal mine ventilation air with CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ contents of 1 v/v % each,$^7$ and (ii) 1.0 bar, to assess gas enrichment at higher pressure, e.g. useful for pressure swing adsorption. We refrained the evaluation at higher partial pressures, as model validity could become compromised, see below.

Desorption rates differ for each of the identified sites given in Table 1, but a macroscopic rate is likely superimposed from all contributions, justifying the use of average desorption rates $r_{des}^{CO_2}$ and $r_{des}^{CH_4}$. For their calculation, two different models for entropy losses upon adsorption were devised, accounting for upper and lower limits,$^{26}$ which help to rationalize such an effect. In the *hindered model* all translations and rotations are impeded upon adsorption and effectively converted to frustrated vibrations; in the *free model* gas-phase rotational and translational degrees are preserved, the latter in two dimensions above the surface, with the adsorbate fixed only in height. We initially evaluated both models for physisorbed species, namely for CH$_4$ on all TMCs and CO$_2$ on VC, though for strongly chemisorbed CO$_2$ on the other TMCs only the hindered model is considered, being the most likely situation.
To clarify these models, their estimated ad/desorption rates are given in Fig. 2a for TiC (001). Only CO$_2$ adsorption rates are depicted, as CH$_4$ ones are simply higher by a factor of 1.7, extracted from the CH$_4$ smaller molar mass, see Supporting Information. The difference can be considered insignificant within the range of accuracy, though. Larger differing desorption rates are the main factor on selectivity. At intersection points of adsorption and desorption rates one can mark fringe temperatures, above which materials would likely lose their ability to capture significant amounts of CH$_4$ or CO$_2$. Taking TiC as an example, see Fig. 2a, one observes that at temperatures below $T_1 = 145$ K and $T_2 = 195$ K CH$_4$ adsorption rate at 0.01 bar pressure regime is larger than desorption rates, for hindered and free models, respectively. These temperatures rise to $T_3 = 195$ K and $T_4 = 270$ K for the 1.0 bar pressure regime; in all cases, well below ambient temperature; so, CH$_4$ would not be captured at ambient conditions. Equivalent fringe temperatures are found for ZrC, HfC, NbC, TaC, and VC, as CH$_4$ desorption rates do not significantly differ, and similar conclusions are extracted.
Figure 2. a) Temperature dependence of estimated desorption rates of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ on TiC and δ-MoC (001) surfaces, and adsorption rates for CO$_2$ at different partial pressures. b) Temperature ranges for different TMC (001) surfaces, where CO$_2$ adsorption is predicted high with nearly no CH$_4$ capture. Solid green (dark gray) correspond to partial pressures of 0.01 bar (1.0 bar) evaluated according to free model. Light green gives the hindered model predictions for TiC. c) Temperature dependence of CO$_2$ selectivity over CH$_4$ for different TMCs. Solid (dashed) curves correspond to the free (hindered) model. Gray dashed horizontal and vertical lines highlight a selectivity value of 1 and ambient temperature of T = 298 K.
For completeness, $T_5 = 360$ K and $T_6 = 435$ K mark temperatures below which CO$_2$ accumulates on TiC at 0.01 and 1.0 bar, respectively, considerably high values that lead us to suggest these materials for CO$_2$ capture.$^{13}$ In combination with fringe CH$_4$ temperatures $T_2$ and $T_4$, lower and higher temperature ends are marked where capture of high amounts of CO$_2$ with good selectivity over CH$_4$ are thus predicted. These ranges are graphically depicted in Fig. 2b for all studied TMCs, with the exception of δ-MoC, see below. According to this TiC, NbC, and TaC could be useful around ambient temperatures, whereas ZrC and HfC could be used even at higher temperatures. VC seems hardly usable for such application – as expected from weaker interaction with CO$_2$ – with ranges only given to demonstrate this point. Note that free model values were used in such an analysis, providing the more conservative estimate, yet hindered model would extend the temperature range on the lower end; the example for TiC is provided for 0.01 bar partial pressure. Concerning δ-MoC, CH$_4$ capture temperature ranges $460 – 520$ K at 0.01 bar and $570 – 730$ K at 1.0 bar partial pressures, suggest the material for CH$_4$ capture, in line to previous estimates of ~330 K in vacuum.$^{21}$

Further estimates of low coverage CO$_2$ selectivity over CH$_4$, $S_{CO_2/CH_4}$ are obtained for equal CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ partial pressures. Selectivity is defined through adsorption/desorption equilibrium constants $K_{CO_2}$ and $K_{CH_4}$

$$S_{CO_2/CH_4} = \frac{K_{CO_2}}{K_{CH_4}} = \frac{r_{ads}^{CO_2}/r_{des}^{CO_2}}{r_{ads}^{CH_4}/r_{des}^{CH_4}}$$

(1).

Again, $S_{CO_2/CH_4}$ can be evaluated from hindered or free models: A comparison is made for TiC, see Fig. 2c: Hindered model selectivities are ~2 orders of magnitude higher compared to free model values, yet qualitative conclusions remain similar. So, further discussion is restricted to
more conservative free model. Among all studied TMCs, CO₂ selectivity is rather high for TiC, NbC, and TaC and very high for ZrC and HfC, with a wider working temperature range. According to this, selectivity values of 10⁵ or higher are predicted even for very low partial pressures of 0.01 bar, which, in theory, ensure a biogas CH₄ enrichment above 99.9%. In contrast, a rather low selectivity is expected for VC and δ-MoC, but the latter does capture both CH₄ and CO₂, interesting for possible use in methane dry reforming at moderate temperatures.

Note that present estimations are given for initial adsorption stages, where adsorbate lateral interactions are disregarded. For CO₂ at medium coverages, these are found not to be repulsive, seen e.g. on Ni²⁷ or α-Mo₂C²⁸ surfaces. For CH₄ pair interactions become increasingly favourable with coverage, e.g. in MOF pores¹⁴ or on MgO (001) surface. This effect would decrease CO₂ selectivity at higher pressures. However, CO₂ selectivity is expected to prevail, due to its stronger adsorption.

While keeping these points in mind, a first assessment of the materials CO₂ adsorption capacity seems necessary. Interestingly, this is possible when knowing the specific surface area of a TMC powder sample. We use here a reasonable and conservative estimate of 50 m²/g, justified by values of 450, 200, 140, and 22 m²/g reported by Giordano et al.³⁰ for nanoparticles of VC, TiC, NbC, and Mo₂C. For an assumed half monolayer coverage of CO₂ on each TMC surface slab – 2x2 surface slab areas range from 75.0 to 89.1 Å² with in total four CO₂ molecules assumed to be adsorbed–, exemplary capacities of 1.6 to 1.9 wt% are found, comparable in magnitude to 2.2 wt% found at 323 K for MgO with a specific surface area of 32 m²/g.³¹ In combination with the herein demonstrated high CO₂ selectivity over CH₄, specifically synthesized TMC samples exhibiting higher specific surface areas³² could prove as highly
performing materials. Similar strategies have been successfully applied to significantly increase CO₂ capture capacity on porous MgO samples.\textsuperscript{31}

In summary, periodic DFT PBE-D3 calculations on the interaction of CH₄ with most stable (001) surfaces of seven transition metal carbides (TMCs) predict a weak CH₄ attachment for groups 4 and 5 TMCs (TM=Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta) with adsorption energies of ~0.2 eV, almost exclusively dominated by dispersion. In contrast δ-MoC (group 6) displays a strong interaction with CH₄ of ~0.8 eV. Comparison to earlier results of strong CO₂ interaction on these materials suggest a highly preferred CO₂ adsorption over CH₄ when TMCs (TM=Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta, Mo) are exposed to CO₂/CH₄ mixtures. Adsorption and desorption rate estimates mark temperature ranges around ambient conditions (TiC and NbC) or even up to elevated temperatures (ZrC, HfC, and TaC) at which CO₂ capture selectivities above 99.9% are expected even at very low partial pressures, highlighting the usage of such TMCs for CO₂ separation from CH₄ in biogas upgrading.
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