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Abstract The International Classification of Headache Disorders does not separate the moderate from severe/very severe traumatic brain injury (TBI), since they are all defined by Glasgow coma scale (GCS) < 13. The distinction between the severe and very severe TBI (GCS < 8) should be made upon coma duration that in the latter may be longer than 15 days up to months in the case of vegetative state. Post-traumatic amnesia duration may double the coma duration itself. Therefore, the 3-month parameter proposed to define the occurrence or resolution of post-traumatic headache (PTH) appears inadequate. Following TBI, neuropathic pain, central pain, thalamic pain, combined pain are all possible and they call for proper pharmacological approaches. One more reason for having difficulties in obtaining information about headache in the early phase after regaining consciousness is the presence of concomitant medications that may affect pain perception. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) develops days or weeks after stress and tends to improve or disappear within 3 months after exposure; interestingly, this spontaneous timing resembles that of PTH. In our experience the number of TBI patients with PTH at 1-year follow-up is lower in those with longer coma duration and more severe TBI. Cognitive functioning evaluated after at least 12 months from TBI, showed mild or no impairment in these patients with severe TBI and PTH, whereas they have psychopathological changes, namely anxiety and depression. The majority of patients with PTH after severe/very severe TBI had skull fractures or dural lacerations and paroxystic EEG abnormalities. The combination of psychological changes (depression and anxiety) and organic features (skull fractures, dural lacerations, epileptic EEG abnormalities) in PTH may be inversely correlated with the severity of TBI, with prevalence of psychological disturbances in mild TBI and of organic lesions in severe TBI. On the other hand, only in severe TBI patients with good cognitive recovery the influence of the psychopathological disorders may play a role. In fact, the affective pain perception is probably related to the integrity of cognitive functions as in mild TBI and in severe TBI with good cognitive outcome.
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Introduction

The International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-II) [1] is intended to provide clinical criteria to classify primary and secondary headaches and to serve for adequate and homogeneous diagnoses among experts. It provides up to four sophistication levels of diagnosis and therefore it appears that any pain located in the head and neck may be posed into the proper box. Even in the lack of discrete criteria, clinical entities can be classified, given that the probability is satisfied.

Although the classification has given a great opportunity of speaking a common language, it no longer seems satisfactory. In fact, one of the major pitfalls of the classification is represented by the lack of further clinical and pharmacological data, which are becoming
more and more common in clinical practice due to the thorough evaluation of patients. For example, the debate concerning chronic headaches calls for exhaustive examination of the patients in the aim of disclosing psychological features that may provide other characteristics and criteria to define psychological disturbances underlying drug abuse and possibly identify comorbid conditions that contribute to chronic pain syndromes. Another example is represented by the presence of premonitory symptoms in migraine attacks that is large enough to identify migraine subpopulation and possibly tailored treatment, according to the underlying neuro-transmission mechanisms involved in premonitory symptoms pathophysiology.

Classification and description of post-traumatic headache (PTH), as classified in the ICHD-II are even more scant. The issue will open up an important debate, if only more attention is paid to the many clinical features that characterize the post-traumatic syndrome. PTH is, in fact, classified according to the sole temporal and, partly, neuroimaging data regarding head trauma, without any description of pain characteristics. Most of the issues regarding PTH remain controversial and need more epidemiological, clinical and pathophysiological studies.

This short review will focus on the paucity of information about headache following head trauma and will try to outline the needs for accurate research in this field to provide future revisions of the present guidelines.

**Post-traumatic headache: the facts and the doubts**

The ICHD-II criteria

Criteria for diagnosing headaches following head trauma, according to the ICHD-II are shown in Table 1. According to them, PTH may have an acute or chronic pattern and either acute or chronic forms may occur after severe to moderate or mild traumatic brain injury (TBI).

According to Baandrup and Jensen the ICHD-II did not add further to the previous IHS guidelines, therefore suggesting that characterization of PTH and research into this area is scarce. The authors investigated PTH in 53 patients who sustained mild (n = 45) or moderate/severe (n = 8) TBI and evaluated the presence of medication overuse. Data are shown in Table 2.

Following authors’ comment, the cohort of patients reported did fulfil the diagnostic criteria both for ICHD-II and the previous edition, indicating that the new restriction provided in ICHD-II have non-significant influence on the great majority of patients with chronic PTH.

**Post-traumatic headache after moderate/severe and very severe head injury**

Post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) is generally defined as the time interval from head trauma to the recovery of memory of the current events and of those occurring in the last 24 h. PTA may last from two to four times the coma duration itself. Therefore, the ICDH-II criterion of the 7 day interval after regaining consciousness for PTH development may be inadequate, since the mental confusion and impaired communication during PTA limit the subjects ability to complain or to be aware of headache until PTA resolution.

The ICHD-II does not separate the severe from the very severe TBI, since both are defined by Glasgow coma scale (GCS) <8, whereas the distinction should be made upon coma duration. This observation is more than academic. In fact, the very severe TBI may lead to a coma duration of more than 15 days up to months in the case of vegetative state and the PTA duration may double the coma duration itself. Therefore, the 3-month parameter proposed to define the occurrence or resolution of PTH appears inadequate, especially in those most severe TBI cases with prolonged disturbance of consciousness and PTA lasting several months.

For as moderate/severe TBI is concerned, the ICHD-II criteria suggest that imaging data refer to the acute phase, but do not provide any clue for the follow-up period. The latest issue rises further consideration regarding the occurrence of delayed pain after TBI and the quality of such pain. In fact, pain pathways can be damaged in any location following head trauma, from the very peripheral structures (bone, muscles and nerves) to the highest cortical, sub-cortical and brainstem level. Therefore, any pain quality is possible and that should be carefully evaluated and treated accordingly: neuropathic pain, central pain, thalamic pain, combined pain are all possible and they call for proper pharmacological approaches. Moreover, imaging data in the chronic phase after TBI may be useful in order to evaluate the progressive degeneration of pathways, or their functional recovery, to understand the fate of many deficits and symptoms, pain being one of them, in the long run.

According to the ICHD-II, acute PTH occurs after 1 week from head trauma and may cease within 3 months (acute headache) or later (chronic). This definition is evidently discrepant with the clinical feature of very severe TBI patients, since loss of consciousness may persist for several weeks (or months) and PTA is rather longer. Unfortunately, the ICHD-II criteria do not allow separate diagnosing between moderate/severe and very severe TBI. Therefore, the temporal pattern provided in the guidelines appears inadequate and not applicable to all patients, as said above.
One more reason for having difficulties in obtaining information about headache in the early phase after regaining consciousness, especially when TBI was severe or very severe, is the presence of concomitant medications. In fact, these patients receive antiepileptic therapy to treat behavioural disturbances and post-traumatic seizures [15].
or beta-blockers, namely propranolol, which is the only effective drug in psychomotor agitation, according to Cochrane Analysis [16], which is also used for post-traumatic dysautonomic syndrome, all drugs that may affect pain perception. Anticonvulsants or GABAergic drugs such as gabapentin, pregabalin or clonazepam, which are given to reduce central or peripheral pain or indomethacin to prevent para-articular ossification [17], may well be responsible for attenuation of head pain, if present.

Severe TBI does not only imply cerebral damage. In fact, the trauma may be responsible for skull fractures and epidural, subdural and intracerebral haemorrhage may occur. The latest conditions often require neurosurgical procedures and therefore certain causes of head pain should be classified along with the pure PTH, as shown in bold in Table 3.

Skull fractures are often associated with dural laceration and tearing. Surgical craniotomy to evacuate haematoma or to reduce intracranial blood pressure in the acute phase of TBI produce dural interruption and scar formation. Lesion of the dura mater may produce neurogenic inflammation due to depolarization of sensory fibres and contribute to the antidromic activation of nociceptive pathways mediated by the trigeminovascular system [18]. Haemoglobin from haemorrhage (either intracerebral or epi-subdural haematoma) may be responsible for dural sensitive innervation activation [19]. A chronic damage of dura and attendant sensory fibres may contribute to sensitisation and pain production [20, 21].

A late complication of TBI is represented by hydrocephalus which is, itself, a possible cause of headache. Beside of the presence of headache, hydrocephalus should always be sought after TBI in patients in whom recovery of either motor or cognitive functioning course during rehabilitation declines or there is worsening of functions [22].

A recent longitudinal study among 109 moderate/severe TBI patients [23], showed that in the acute rehabilitation phase, 37.6% of patients developed PTH. Among them, PTH at 6 months from regaining consciousness was still present in 20.2% patients and in 19.3% of patients at 12 months. Despite of the careful description of PTH occurrence at different time points, and the quantitative

| Table 2 Evaluation of post-traumatic headache clinical features and medication overuse in TBI patients, according to ICHD-II [7] |
|---|---|---|
| Headache clinical features | No. of patients | Medication overuse (No. of patients) |
| Episodic tension-type headache | 6 | 0 |
| Chronic tension-type headache | 27 | 12 |
| Migraine without aura | 1 | 1 |
| Chronic tension-type headache + Migraine without aura | 4 | 3 |
| Chronic tension-type headache + Probable migraine | 10 | 5 |
| Non-classified | 5 | 1 |
| Total | 53 | 22 |

5. Headache attributed to head and/or neck trauma
5.1 Acute post-traumatic headache
5.1.1 Acute post-traumatic headache attributed to moderate or severe head injury
5.1.2 Acute post-traumatic headache attributed to mild head injury
5.2 Chronic post-traumatic headache
5.2.1 Chronic post-traumatic headache attributed to moderate or severe head injury
5.2.2 Chronic post-traumatic headache attributed to mild head injury
5.3 Acute headache attributed to whiplash injury
5.4 Chronic headache attributed to whiplash injury

5.5 Headache attributed to traumatic intracranial haematoma
5.5.1 Headache attributed to epidural haematoma
5.5.2 Headache attributed to subdural haematoma
5.6 Headache attributed to other head and/or neck trauma
5.6.1 Acute headache attributed to other head and/or neck trauma
5.6.2 Chronic headache attributed to other head and/or neck trauma

5.7 Post-craniotomy headache
5.7.1 Acute post-craniotomy headache
5.7.2 Chronic post-craniotomy headache

| Table 3 Other causes of headache following head injury, according to ICHD-II |
|---|---|---|
| Headache clinical features | No. of patients |
| Headache attributed to head and/or neck trauma |
| Acute post-traumatic headache |
| Chronic post-traumatic headache |
| Migraine without aura |
| Chronic tension-type headache + Migraine without aura |
| Chronic tension-type headache + Probable migraine |
| Non-classified |
| Total |
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method to measure PTH in this cohort, authors failed to obtain premorbid data to analyse along with recovery, in order to verify the hypothesis that a pre-existent headache may have been hidden by poor cognitive functioning recovery. In fact, nociception per se may not be reduced, whereas the poor affective component of pain perception may contribute to the lack or low pain experience in those patients.

The fate of pre-traumatic headache after TBI is uneven, depending to cognitive recovery. In our experience some patients may refer a transient or definitive relief of pain after severe TBI, although generally a good cognitive recovery is followed by reappearance of pre-existing headache. Other issues should be also considered. For example, in women, recovery of hormonal fluctuations occurs months later (up to 1 year) following TBI and the recovery of such physiological fluctuations may contribute to brain susceptibility to experience migraine pain again. Besides, too many factors which are responsible for secondary pain due to TBI interfere with previous primary headache pattern. Efforts should, therefore, be made to identify pain syndromes in order to provide relief other than try to classify them within strict criteria.

Baandrup and Jensen [7] in their review report that they “could not find many of the so-called late-acquired post-traumatic headache, indicating that this subgroup is not as common as earlier assumed”.

As personal comment, it may be that this subgroup consists of those very severe TBI, with prolonged disturbances of consciousness, longest PTA, late recovery of cognitive and affective functions and therefore later complaining of PTH.

Neuropsychological and cognitive functioning after moderate, severe and very severe TBI

In our experience, acute PTH after moderate, severe and very severe TBI is not a proper definition, since patients may complain of PTH months after severe TBI and this is true only for those subjects who regained an adequate cognitive functioning and therefore affective pain perception along with high-level integration, that serve to discriminate a discomfort as head pain with its given characteristics. It is unreal to expect that the havoc those patients go through allow such a sophisticated discrimination and in fact, the number of severe TBI patients who experience a clear head pain are the very minority.

Based on our clinical experience on PTH after severe and very severe TBI we retrospectively compared two different TBI patient groups and we found that the number of patients with headache at 1-year follow-up was lower in those with longer coma duration and more severe TBI (Table 4) (data published in abstract form, [24, 25].

As shown in Table 4, the occurrence of PTH in very severe TBI was 4.3% (13 patients). All headaches in this group had features of primary-like headaches (migraine without aura, 31%; chronic tension-type headache, 69%). Only one patient had both. Cognitive functions, detected through neuropsychological evaluation after at least 12 months from TBI, showed mild or no impairment. In the same group of patients, psychopathological changes were found: anxiety-depression were present in 57% of the patients; depression alone was diagnosed in 29% and anxiety in 14% of patients. Beside of the association with good cognitive recovery, depression and anxiety, the majority of patients (69.1%) with PTH had skull fractures or dural lacerations (traumatic or due to craniotomy) and paroxystic EEG abnormalities with specific sharp waves (84.6%).

It is noteworthy that headache associated to anxiety and/or depression may represent an adaptive disorder syndrome to TBI and its sequelae. Therefore, TBI of any severity should be studied as a possible trigger of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). PTSD may develop in any person who experiences extreme stress with fear, helplessness or horror following threat of injury or death [26]. Therefore, TBI may well be responsible for the development of any of the three symptom clusters characterizing PTSD: re-experiencing symptoms, avoidance symptoms and hyper-arousal symptoms, all being a possible cause of stress-related headache. PTSD develops days or weeks after stress and tends to improve or disappear within 3 months after exposure to the stressful event or after PTA resolution in most severe cases [27]. Interestingly, this spontaneous timing resembles that of PTH. The co-occurrence of PTSD and TBI is intriguing [28]. Interestingly, mild TBI is less protective toward PTSD, compared to severe TBI. Again, PTSD and TBI sequelae (such as PTH), call for concomitant diagnosis and treatment. Some recent studies reported that patients who sustain a severe TBI and are fully amnesic of their trauma could nevertheless develop PTSD [29, 30].

Post-traumatic headache after mild head injury

Pain may occur after head trauma and in most of cases it is not the only symptom. In fact, it may be associated with

| Table 4 | Comparison between severe and very severe TBI and headache at follow-up [24, 25] |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Severe TBI: GCS ≤ 8; coma duration 3–14 days—n = 77 |
| no. of patients with chronic PTH 18/77 (23.4%) |
| Very severe TBI—GCS ≤ 8—Coma duration > 15–60 days—n = 300 |
| no. of patients with chronic PTH 13/300 (4.3%) |
dizziness, difficulty in concentration, nervousness, personality changes and insomnia, etc. Taken together, these symptoms characterize the post-concussion syndrome [31]. Headaches are estimated as occurring variably in 30–90% of patients who suffered mild head injury [32]. Although, not conclusive, most clinical studies report that PTH occurs less frequently after severe TBI than mild head injury [32, 33].

According to the ICHD-II, headache may develop soon after the trauma and have clinical features similar to primary headaches [34], mostly tension-type headaches, or it may occur late after the injury [35]. However, characteristics of head pain are not required for making diagnosis, in fact this is only made upon the presence of pain temporally related to head injury and adequate instrumental data. The risk of PTH occurrence is higher in women, and increasing age may hamper recovery. Litigation and opportunities of receiving compensation after injuries may support a chronic headache disturbance until the conflict is cleared, although symptoms may not resolve with the settlement of litigation [36]. In our previous study on PTH patients with mild TBI, we found clinical and psychopathological features very similar to those found in primary headaches and no relationship between frequency or severity of headache and pending litigation or resolution of medico-legal controversies [34].

One of the major problems concerning PTH, is that even after mild TBI, the brain is not like it was used to be, no matter whether the injury is detectable or not with sophisticated diagnostic procedure [37]. Therefore, changes in bio-behavioural components must be assumed and neuropsychological and psychopathological clues must be sought as well. The ICHD-II neither provide any neuroradiological, neuropsychological criterion in PTH following mild TBI, nor in the acute or the chronic form. However, the ICHD-II comments to PTH following mild TBI are the following: “Mild head injury may give rise to a symptom complex of cognitive, behavioural and consciousness abnormalities and a GCS of ≥13. It can occur with or without abnormalities in the neurological examination, neuroimaging (CT scan, MRI), EEG, evoked potentials, CSF examination, vestibular function tests and neuropsychological testing. There is no evidence that an abnormality in any of these changes the prognosis or contributes to treatment. These studies should not be considered routine for patients with ongoing post-traumatic headache. They may be considered on a case-by-case basis, or for research purposes” . This comment does not apply longer because of the most recent advances in neuroimaging techniques, such as fast sequences MRI, diffusion-weighted and diffusion tensor imaging techniques, which may show, at the distance from mild TBI, structural changes in discrete brain areas like frontal and temporal lobes, thalamus, and corpus callosum [37]. Functional techniques approaching cognitive tasks may further clarify metabolic and ischemic damage (secondary injury) after mild TBI [38]. The evaluation of diffuse axonal injury [39] in these patients is promising and may add further data for prognosis after mild TBI [37, 40].

Acute PTH following mild head injury often resolves within few weeks, therefore the major category of PTH patients is represented by those who complain of chronic PTH that may add this symptom to the burden of TBI sequelae for years. Because of the poor knowledge of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying chronic PTH, an appropriate management is often unavailable. In fact, treatment of chronic PTH is generally difficult and non-specific and guidelines often resemble those available for primary headaches [41].

It is common belief that mild TBI is often followed by a post-traumatic syndrome including headache and that this syndrome is mostly in accordance with expectation of being entitled with damages. Most of patients who sustained mild TBI seek for medical advice because of their headaches, along with vertigo, dizziness, memory disturbances, sleeping disorders. In most cases this syndrome is the reason why those patients also go through several (and fairly normal) standard diagnostic procedures, and therefore the final diagnosis is likely to be referred to a compensation reward expectation. Such seeking behaviour is therefore considered an indemnity syndrome. However, anxiety and depression due to the traumatic event usually happening in a sudden and unexpected and painful way are the biochemical background for seeking medical reassurance [42]. The development of anxiety and depression may well be a consequence of the trauma rather than a “building up” phenomenon due to expectation. Besides anxiety or depression as symptoms of a psycho-organic syndrome should be taken into account also in mild TBI, given that damage in discrete brain area can be revealed with the appropriate techniques [40]. It is conceivable that this issue calls for medico-legal consideration and for long follow-up. PTH patients after mild TBI should be thoroughly investigated for psychic disturbances and treated accordingly. Also, difficulties in coping with “a damaged head” should be taken as a symptom to be followed up and cared. An adequate pre-morbid assessment should also be obtained from family and community members. This may also be useful to disclose any personality trait leading to neurotic disorders such as compulsive attitude toward abuse [3]. In fact, a chronic PTH, along with the other symptoms belonging to the post-concussion syndrome, may be sustained by misuse/overuse/abuse of analgesic compounds, either non-opioids, opioids or combination of substances, the latter two being largely responsible for medication overuse headache (ICHD-II code 8.2). To quote...
Sheftell et al. [43]: “It is wrong to oversimplify these complex issues by throwing this disorder into the psychiatric waste basket, simply because we have not adequately described its causes ...”.

A glimpse to the future

Management of PTH is usually based upon the clinical characteristics of the pain which, in most of cases meet the diagnostic criteria for primary headaches, namely migraine and tension-type headache. Accordingly, therapeutic approaches are the same as in the latter conditions. However, results are not satisfactory in the presence of more complex pain syndromes involving psychological as well as organic disorders in head injured patients. PTH classification should therefore be revised in term of pathophysiological mechanisms and studied through neurophysiological and functional neuroimaging techniques along with neuropsychological assessment, rather than in term of temporal pattern. Furthermore, the occurrence of post-traumatic epilepsy [15] in TBI patients complaining of headache and pain, needs to be investigated in order to find common mechanisms that may drive to therapeutic approaches with neuromodulators of neuronal excitability that may show efficacy in post-traumatic syndromes in which pain and epilepsy may be associated.

A systematic review on the prevalence of chronic pain after TBI reported a greater prevalence in civilian patients with mild TBI (75.3%) compared with moderate or severe TBI (32.1%). PTSD may mediate chronic pain, but brain injury appears to have an independent correlation with chronic pain [44].

Susceptibility to primary headache, i.e., personal pre-traumatic or family history of head pain should be recorded in TBI patients to better study the evolution and reappearance after TBI and patient pre-TBI personality traits should be obtained to better cope with post-traumatic habit and help with adequate pharmacological and non-pharmacological support.

The combination of psychological changes (depression and anxiety) and organic features (skull fractures, dural lacerations, epileptic EEG abnormalities) in PTH may be inversely correlated with the severity of TBI, with prevalence of psychological disturbances in mild TBI and of organic lesions in severe TBI. Only in severe TBI patients with good cognitive recovery the influence of the psychopathological disorders may play a role. In fact, the affective pain perception is probably related to the integrity of cognitive functions as in mild TBI and in severe TBI with good cognitive outcome.

Due to the great difference between mild, moderate, severe and very severe TBI, attention should be paid to use specific criteria related to coma duration and PTA duration. Epidemiological, clinical and pathophysiological studies should address these issues in order to better categorize and identify PTH in different TBI population.
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