Genomics and prognosis analysis of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in colorectal cancer patients
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Abstract

Background: The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays a pivotal role in various physiological processes, such as embryonic development, tissue morphogenesis, and wound healing. EMT also plays an important role in cancer invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance. Additionally, EMT is partially responsible for chemoresistance in colorectal cancer (CRC). The aim of this research is to develop an EMT-based prognostic signature in CRC.

Methods: RNA-seq and microarray data, together with clinical information, were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) databases. A total of 244 differentially expressed EMT-related genes (ERGs) were obtained by comparing the expression between normal and tumor tissues. An EMT-related signature of 11 genes was identified as crucially related to the overall survival (OS) of patients through univariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), and Cox regression analysis. Finally, we established a clinical nomogram to predict the survival possibility of CRC patients by integrating clinical characteristics and the EMT-related gene signature.

Results: Two hundred and forty-four differentially expressed ERGs and their enriched pathways were confirmed. Significant enrichment analysis revealed that EMT-related signaling pathway genes were highly related to CRC. Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that the 11-EMT signature could significantly distinguish high- and low-risk patients in both TCGA and GEO CRC cohorts. In addition, the calibration curves verified fine concordance between the nomogram prediction model and actual observation.

Conclusion: We developed a novel EMT-related gene signature for the prognosis prediction of CRC patients, which could improve the individualized outcome prediction in CRC.
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Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Nearly 1.8 million CRC patients are initially diagnosed and 1 million CRC patients die every year [2, 3]. Despite continuous efforts in prevention, screening, and management, the incidence of CRC was still increased by 38% from 2007 to 2017 [2]. In addition, patients with the same clinical and pathologic conditions show contrasting clinical outcomes, even when treated similarly [4]. The diverse prognosis of CRC patients might be due to the inherent genetic heterogeneity.

There is still no definite conclusion about the pathogenesis of CRC. However, a growing number of studies have shown that the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays an important role in invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance [5–9]. Even though the mechanisms of EMT have been extensively studied in CRC, the prognostic value of EMT remains limited and inconclusive.

Considering the strong relationship between EMT and tumor pathogenesis, the aim of this study is to identify EMT-related genes for cancer diagnosis, management, and prognosis. We initially screened differentially expressed EMT-related genes between tumorous and nontumorous tissues, and then used Cox proportional hazard regression analysis to screen prognosis-related genes from 244 EMT-associated genes in a CRC cohort of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). The resulting genes were applied to the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) to establish an optimal risk model, followed by validation in an independent Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) CRC population. The results showed that CRC patients with high EMT risk scores were obviously associated with shorter overall survival (OS) than that of patients with low risk scores. The difference in the key signaling pathways between high and low risk groups were explored using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). Taken together, our research constructs a nomogram to predict individuals’ survival probability by integrating clinical characteristics and the prognostic gene signature.

Methods

Data processing

ERGs were downloaded from the Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Gene Database (http://dbemt.bioinfo-minzhao.org/download.cgi) and the Molecular Signatures Database v7.1 (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp). We listed the all EMT-related genes in Table S1. We downloaded the RNA-seq data and clinical information for CRC from the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). The GSE17536 dataset was obtained from the GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) for the validation studies.

Differentially expressed ERGs and enrichment analysis

The differentially expressed ERGs in the mRNA expression data of the CRC cohort were identified by the limma package in R software (version 3.6.1) (adjusted \( P < 0.05 \), \(|\log FC| > 1\)) [10]. Volcano plots and heat maps were visualized with the ggplot, ggplot2, and pheatmap packages in R software. Entrez gene annotations were referred to as “org.Hs.ea.db”. The functional annotation of Gene Ontology (GO), including biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF), was performed in the R “clusterProfiler” [11]. The GO cluster was plotted with the R “GOplot” package [12]. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis was performed using the open access WebGestalt tool (http://www.webgestalt.org) [13, 14]. The results with a false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.05 were included. The enriched pathways and processes were visualized in the volcano plot, where the x- and y-axis showed the enrichment ratio and the log of the FDR for all categories in the database [13, 14]. In addition, we used GSEA to uncover the different signaling pathways between high- and low-risk subgroups (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/). The number of random sample permutations was set at 1000, and the significance threshold was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

Functional enrichment of protein-protein interaction network of ERGs

The STRING database was applied to construct potential protein-protein interactions (PPI) among the ERGs [15]. PPI pairs with a combined score > 0.4 were extracted. The connectivity degree of each node in the network was calculated. Then, the PPI network was constructed based on these protein pairs using Cytoscape software [16]. Moreover, the genes of the prognostic model were used to identify interactions between proteins through GeneMANIA [17, 18].

Construction and validation of EMT-related gene signature

Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to identify genes clearly related to OS with \( p \)-values < 0.01. Then, the significant prognostic genes were filtered in LASSO-penalized Cox regression analysis. A \( \lambda \) value of 0.023 with log (\( \lambda \)) = −3.78 was selected by 10-fold cross-validation via minimum criteria. Only genes with non-zero coefficients in the LASSO regression model were chosen to further calculate the risk score [19]. The formula used to calculate the degree of crystallization is presented in Eq. (1). In Eq. (1), \( n \) denotes the number of prognostic genes, \( G_i \) represents the expression value of the \( i \)th genes, and weight \( i \) represents the coefficient of each gene. The same formula was used to calculate risk scores in GEO datasets, as in the TCGA datasets. We used Kaplan–Meier survival curves and the log-rank method to estimate the prognostic significance. A \( p \)-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses...
were performed in R "survival ROC" to validate gene signatures in TCGA and GSE17536 datasets.

\[
\text{Risk score} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i \times \text{weight}_i
\]  

(1)

**Development of nomogram**

Age, gender, stage, and risk score were used to construct a nomogram, using the survival and rms package for R. Moreover, calibration curves were plotted to assess the concordance between actual and predicted survival. Furthermore, decision curve analysis (DCA) was used to measure whether our established nomogram was suitable for clinical utility. The x-axis represents the percentage of threshold probability, and the y-axis represents the net benefit.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were carried out with R (version 3.6.0). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to estimate the survival differences between the high- and low-risk groups in the datasets. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were performed to determine prognostic values for risk scores, as well as various clinical features.

To validate the effect of the risk assessment model, we used the ROC curve for verification. The calibration curves and DCA were applied to determine the predictive accuracy of the prognostic models.

**Results**

**Identification of ERGs in CRC**

The flowchart of this study is shown in Fig. S1. All the mRNA expression profiles and clinical follow-up data of 568 cancer samples and 44 normal samples from TCGA dataset were downloaded, containing 1269 ERGs. Among these, 1121 genes of intersect expression in TCGA dataset and GSE17536 were selected, and then normal samples and CRC samples were compared through the limma package in R software (adjusted \( P < 0.05, \left| \log_{2}\text{fold change} \right| > 1 \)). There were 159 genes significantly upregulated and 85 genes significantly downregulated in CRC. Figure 1a revealed a heatmap of differentially expressed mRNAs between groups. The volcano map was shown in Fig. 1b.

**Biological functions and significant pathway analysis**

The functions and significant pathways of these 244 differentially expressed ERGs were identified by GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analyses. GO enrichment terms are shown in Fig. 2a and b. The analysis showed a significant enrichment of processes related to the growth of CRC and the EMT process. KEGG pathway enrichment of these genes was mainly associated with focal adhesion, the Hippo signaling pathway, and IL-17 signaling pathways (Fig. 2c). These genes were linked and formed a tight PPI network, as indicated in Fig. 2d.

**Identification of survival-related differentially expressed ERGs**

The correlations between the differentially expressed ERGs and clinical data were analyzed using univariate Cox regression \((p < 0.01\) is considered significant). Sixteen genes were screened with prognostic value in CRC.

**Establishment and validation of the prognostic model**

The 16 EMT-related genes were filtered into LASSO-penalized Cox regression analysis (Fig. 3a and b). After 1000 resamples, an 11-gene prognostic model, including follistatin-like 3 (FSTL3), TNF receptor-associated protein 1 (TRAP1), procollagen C-endopeptidase enhancer 2 (PCOLCE2), secretogranin II (SG2), clusterin (CLU), C-C motif chemokine ligand 19 (CCL19), heart and neural crest derivatives expressed 1 (HAND1), FOS-like 1 (FOSL1), AP-1 transcription factor subunit (FOSL1), plasin 3 (PLS3), insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP3), and snail family transcriptional repressor 1 (SNAI1)—was constructed. We used GeneMANIA to analyze the relationships between the 11 genes (Fig. 3c). A strong correlation was noticed in the genetic interaction between CCL19 and SCG2, as well as between CCL19 and PCOLCE2. Furthermore, a majority of the 11 genes were correlated with each other (Fig. 3d). The risk score = \((0.011 \times \text{FSTL3}) + (-0.02 \times \text{TRAP1}) + (0.124 \times \text{PCOLCE2}) + (0.0057 \times \text{SG2}) + (0.00212 \times \text{CLU}) + (0.00257 \times \text{CCL19}) + (0.054 \times \text{HAND1}) + (0.00874 \times \text{FOSL1}) + (0.0189 \times \text{PLS3}) + (0.000763 \times \text{IGFBP3}) + (0.0366 \times \text{SNAI1})\). The samples were classified into high-risk and low-risk groups according to the median risk score.

Univariate Cox analysis and multiple Cox regression analysis verified whether the model could be independent progress factors (Fig. 4). The results demonstrated that the lower survival outcome of CRC patients was related to higher risk score (Fig. 5a and b). The Kaplan–Meier analysis displayed a significant difference in the outcome of the patients between the high-risk group and the low-risk group (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \); Fig. 5c and d). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for the model was 0.727 and 0.65 in TCGA and GEO datasets, respectively (Fig. 5e and f). Meanwhile, we also evaluated angiogenesis related genes and metabolism-related genes as genetic indicators for survival prediction. The corresponding AUC values were 0.538 and 0.584 respectively, which are not yet ideal (Fig. S2). In addition, we used GSEA to uncover the different signaling pathways between high- and low-risk subgroups. The representative pathways were showed in Fig. 6.
Construction of a nomogram
According to the Cox regression combined with the significant clinical parameters, the nomogram contains three prognostic parameters: age, stage, and risk score (Fig. 7a). Every patient receives one point for each prognostic parameter, and higher total points indicates a worse outcome. Moreover, the ROC curves of 3- and 5-year OS shows that our model has a good predictive ability (Fig. 7b). The calibration plots indicated that in comparison with an ideal model, the nomogram had a similar performance (Fig. 7c). The results of DCA also demonstrated that our nomogram has high potential for clinical utility (Fig. 7d).

Discussion
Nowadays, CRC remains a major threat to human health, but the mechanisms underlying its pathogenesis are still unclear. However, it is significant for researchers to explore new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. On the other hand, an increasing number of studies have widely proved that EMT plays an important role in the development and progression of CRC [20]. Recently, mRNA gene signatures based on certain characteristics, such as metabolism [21] and cell cycle [22], have become research hotspots.

In this study, we collected the transcriptome data along with their corresponding clinical information from TCGA and GEO databases. Among these, we obtained...
the differentially expressed ERGs between CRC samples and nontumorous samples. Further analysis was performed to identify the oncogenes. Finally, a prognostic model for CRC patients was constructed. Interestingly, major differentially expressed ERGs were enriched in several cancer-related pathways—the Hippo signaling pathway, ERK1 and ERK2 cascade, negative regulation of response to DNA damage stimulus, and so on. Notably, it has been reported that the IL-17 pathway participated in autoimmune pathology or hypersensitivity, host defense, and tissue repair [23]. Consistent with previous findings [24, 25], we predicted that the IL-17 pathway might be involved in the EMT process through KEGG enrichment. Interestingly, IL-17 upregulated PD-L1 protein expression in HCT116 and LNCaP cells, as reported in previous literature [26]. Therefore, targeting this pathway could not only inhibit the tumor metastasis, but also enhance the killing effect of immune cells on tumors.

Eleven genes were used to establish the model equations for risk assessment. Among them, three candidate genes (FOSL1, PLS3, SNAI1) were reported to promote CRC cell migration and invasion. FOSL1 plays a central role in EMT and is highly expressed in solid cancers, especially in metastatic CRC. In vitro studies showed that blocking the expression of FOSL1 could diminish the migration of tumor cells [27]. Mimori et al. confirmed that PLS3 induced EMT via transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling, followed by the acquisition of invasive ability in CRC cells. Furthermore, overexpression of PLS3 in CRC cells significantly increased the expression levels of EMT-related transcription factors...
Fig. 3 Establishment of prognostic gene signature by LASSO regression analysis. a Solid vertical lines represent partial likelihood deviance ± standard error (SE). The dotted vertical lines are drawn at the optimal values by minimum criteria and 1-SE criteria. Herein, a value $\lambda = 0.023$ with $\log(\lambda) = -3.78$ was chosen by 10-fold cross-validation via minimum criteria. b Selection of the optimal parameter (lambda) in the LASSO model for training cohort. c Gene-gene interaction network among selected genes by LASSO regression analysis in the GeneMANIA dataset. d Spearman’s correlation analysis of the selected genes by LASSO regression analysis
(TWIST, SNAI1, SLUG, SMAD4, and ZEB1), EMT markers (vimentin, FN1, and N-cadherin), and TGF-β, enhancing the invasiveness of CRC cells [28]. In addition, previous studies have demonstrated that high expression of PLS3 in peripheral blood was independently associated with poor prognosis and recurrence [29]. Wang et al. identified that SNAI1 was not detected in normal colorectal epithelia, whereas SNAI1 was upregulated in tumor tissues from lymph node (LN) + patients [30]. Similar studies have found that SNAI1 was upregulated in CRC, which might have potential in the control of metastasis and possibly serve as a target for chemopreventive agents [31]. Data from Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) revealed that a high expression of TRAP1 was correlated with a good prognosis in CRC. However, researchers have already observed that TRAP1 was significantly upregulated in CRC patients with lymph node metastasis compared to those without LM metastasis [32]. Using RT-qPCR detection of CRC in different tumor stages, Scorilas et al. found that the CLU mRNA expression levels were significantly enhanced as CRC tumors progressed from tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage I to IV [33]. Further in vivo and in vitro experiments focusing on TRAP1 and CLU are still needed to explore their roles in CRC.

Of note, contrary to our research, Zhou et al. confirmed that decreased expression of IGFBP3 promoted tumor metastasis in CRC [34]. Another study indicated...
Fig. 5 Development of risk score based on the 11-EMT-related gene signature of patients with CRC in TCGA and GEO. a, b The hierarchical clustering analysis of eleven genes with the increase of the risk score. c, d Kaplan–Meier analysis of the prognostic model in TCGA or GEO datasets. e, f Time-dependent ROC analysis showing the optimal AUC of the gene signature in the two cohorts.
that silencing IGFBP3 in two human CRC cell lines, SW480 and Caco2, could reduce the proliferation, colony formation, and migration. They found that the expression levels of IGFBP3 simultaneously increased with the growth and advanced stage of CRC [35]. Our studies, however, showed that increased IGFBP3 expression was associated with a poor prognosis in CRC patients. Considering the inconsistent results, further experiments are still required. As a potential immune stimulator, CCL19 has been observed to be increased in lung cancer, and an association between CCL19 expression and high TNM staging and vascular invasion was identified [36]. CCL19 enhances parenchymal central nervous system (CNS) retention of lymphoma cells (LCs), thereby promoting central nervous system lymphoma (CNSL) formation [37]. Xu et al. identified that CCL19 suppressed angiogenesis in CRC via promoting miR-206 [38]. However, further study will be required to uncover and understand its mechanisms in the metastasis of CRC.

FSTL3 was upregulated by the IncRNA DSCAM-AS1/miR-122-5p axis and could promote proliferation and migration of non-small cell lung cancer cells [39]. Moreover, FSTL3 served as a surrogate marker in breast cancer and was the only variable that could distinguish a benign breast mass from a malignant one [40]. One report indicated that astrocytic HAND1 was found to be unique in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) and might work as a transcriptional amplifier of the oncogenic GIST program [41]. There are few studies on these two genes in CRC. Further research on these genes is required. It should be noted that SCG2 and PCOLCE2 have been predicted to be associated with the prognosis of CRC, but in-depth investigation on these two genes in CRC is rarely reported [42, 43]. It is necessary to explore their roles in tumors, especially in CRC.

So far, most of the cancer-related genes identified through bioinformatics methods were analyzed individually, which could not reflect the carcinogenesis process comprehensively. However, we generated a multigene signature predicting the prognosis of individual CRC patients, focusing on the ERG sets. Nevertheless, this research also has some imperfections. First, we examined data from public databases, so the quality could hardly be guaranteed. Second, the study could be more valuable if further experiments in CRC cells and animal models are performed on these genes. Finally, most of the data we studied were obtained from the United States or Europe. Due to the limited origin of the data, they might not be able to reflect all persons worldwide. Therefore, future research is needed to validate our findings.
Conclusions

In summary, by using 2 datasets, our research established and validated a novel EMT-related gene signature for the prognosis prediction of CRC patients, where higher risk scores indicate poorer prognosis. Further elucidating the underlying mechanisms of these genes will provide theoretical guidance for basic research and better evidence for future clinical decision-making.

Fig. 7 Construction of a nomogram based on the 11-EMT-related gene signature. a A nomogram based on the signature and clinical information. b Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for predicting overall survival (OS) of the nomogram. c, d Calibration plot evaluating the predictive accuracy of the nomogram [at 3-year survival (c) at 5-year survival (d)]. (e, f) Decision curve analysis evaluating the clinical utility of the nomogram [at 3-year survival (e) at 5-year survival (f)].
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