Differential diagnosis of umbilical polyps and granulomas in children: sonographic and pathologic correlations
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Purpose: The sonographic differential diagnosis of umbilical polyps and granulomas in children based on correlations with pathologic findings.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the ultrasonographic findings of twenty-two umbilical masses in children that were pathologically confirmed as umbilical polyps or umbilical granulomas by surgery. We analyzed size, depth, echogenicity, internal content, intralesional vascularity, and the presence of unobliterated medial umbilical ligament. Pathologic correlation was performed for all of the umbilical masses.

Results: Twenty-two masses consisted of eight umbilical polyps and fourteen umbilical granulomas. The mean age of the children with umbilical polyps was 30.13 months (range, 2 to 108 months) and it was 1.33 months (range, 0.6 to 3 months) for the children with umbilical granulomas. The average mass sizes were 10.25 mm (range, 5 to 35 mm) for umbilical polyps and 6.21 mm (range, 3 to 10 mm) for umbilical granulomas. The umbilical polyps were manifested as cystic lesions with thick echogenic walls in five patients (62.5%), which were associated with the intestinal mucosa (four lesions) and ectopic pancreatic tissue (one lesion) on pathology. Umbilical granulomas were superficially located in 13 (92.9%) and solid in thirteen (92.9%), which correlated with prominent granulation tissues on pathology. Seven (87.5%) of the eight umbilical granulomas were hypervascular and correlated with neovascularization on pathologic examination.

Conclusion: The umbilical polyps revealed deep-seated, hypovascular nodules with cyst formation surrounded by thick echogenic walls. In contrast, the umbilical granulomas revealed superficially located hypervascular hypoechoic solid nodules in young infants.
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Introduction

An umbilical polyp is a rare form of omphalomesenteric duct (OMD) remnant consisting of intestinal mucosa at the umbilicus [1–6]. Umbilical polyps are occasionally associated with other OMD anomalies [2,6–8]. The lesion does not regress with the application of silver nitrate, and surgical excision is often recommended due to the possibility of an underlying OMD remnant such as a Meckel
diverticulum, fistula, sinus tract, OMD cyst, or obliterated duct (fibrous cord) [2,3,6,9]. The clinical outcomes of umbilical polyps vary from trivial to severe. Therefore, making an accurate diagnosis is important, as it influences treatment.

In contrast, umbilical granulomas arise due to the incomplete epithelialization of the umbilical ring and the overgrowth of granulation tissue. These lesions can be treated with one or two applications of silver nitrate. If the granuloma is too large or if treatment with silver nitrate fails, the lesion may need to be excised [2,10-13].

Although both polyps and granulomas are common umbilical lesions with similar clinical manifestations as small, discharging, reddish umbilical nodules in children, they require different treatments [14,15]. Therefore, the differential diagnosis is important to determine the appropriate treatment.

Ultrasonography (US) is the imaging technique used for the initial evaluation of umbilical lesions. US is easily accessible, does not require sedation, does not expose the child to radiation, and provides superior near-field resolution, especially for superficial soft tissue lesions. The US characteristics of umbilical granulomas and umbilical polyps have been described in a few previous studies [3,11,16]. However, to our knowledge, no investigations have been conducted regarding the differential diagnosis of umbilical granulomas and umbilical polyps.

The aim of this study was to identify US features that can help to differentiate umbilical polyps and granulomas based on the correlations of these features with pathologic findings.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

Institutional review board approval was obtained for this retrospective study, and the requirement for informed consent was waived.

We identified 215 confirmed cases of umbilical masses by searching the pathology database of our institution for completed reports dated between 2010 and 2019. These included 137 cases of pediatric umbilical masses, consisting of 15 OMD remnants (including nine umbilical polyps), 52 umbilical granulomas, and other masses, including 46 umbilical hernias, 11 epidermoid cysts, six urachal remnants, and one hemangioma.

We included patients with either umbilical polyps or umbilical granulomas who had undergone both preoperative US and surgery with pathologic confirmation. Ultimately, 22 children (mean age, 11.8 months; range, 0.6 to 108 months; 17 boys and five girls) were enrolled in this study.

US Analysis

The US examinations were performed using a high-frequency (12 to 15 MHz) linear probe. Serial transverse and longitudinal US images of the lesions were evaluated. The US images were retrospectively reviewed by two radiologists who then reached a consensus regarding the images (H.J.L. and D.H.K.; 32 and 2 years of experience, respectively). Both reviewers were blinded to the pathology reports.

The following sonographic features of the umbilical mass were evaluated: (1) size, (2) depth (superficial vs. deep), (3) contents (cystic, solid, or complex), (4) internal echogenicity (anechoic, hypoechoic, isoechoic, or hyperechoic), (5) intralesional vascularity (hypervascular or hypovascular/avascular), and (6) the presence of an unobliterated medial umbilical ligament (MUL) (present or absent). The size was recorded as the largest of the dimensions of length, width, and height. The depth of the mass was classified as superficial when the most superficial portion of the nodule was abutted by the epidermis of the umbilicus and as deep when it was not. A complex mass was defined as the combination of a cyst and a solid lesion, and the level of internal echogenicity was determined via comparison with that of the adjacent muscle. The presence of an unobliterated MUL was defined as the presence of an echogenic mucosal line (Fig. 1).

Pathologic Analysis

A histologic analysis was performed using hematoxylin and eosin staining. Histologically, umbilical polyps are characterized by glandular structures lined by ectopic intestinal mucosa. Umbilical granulomas predominantly consist of fibroblasts, abundant small vessels, and endothelial and inflammatory cells that lack neural elements. The specimens were retrospectively reviewed by one pathologist (H.R.J., 18 years of experience).

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using commercial software (SPSS version 25.0 for Windows, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). To compare the US findings between umbilical granulomas and umbilical polyps, the Mann-Whitney test was used for continuous variables and the Fisher exact test was used for categorical variables. P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

The demographic characteristics of the patients and the US features of the umbilical polyps and umbilical granulomas are summarized in Table 1.

Eight children (mean age, 30.13 months; range, 2 to 108 months; four boys and four girls) were diagnosed with umbilical polyps,
and 14 children (mean age, 1.33 months; range, 0.6 to 3 months; 13 boys and one girl) were diagnosed with umbilical granulomas. The patients with umbilical granulomas were significantly younger than the patients with umbilical polyps (P<0.001). The umbilical granulomas were predominantly found in boys (92.3%), and this difference with regard to sex was statistically significant (P=0.039).

The umbilical polyps had a greater mean size (10.25±10.11 mm) than the umbilical granulomas (6.21±2.29 mm), but this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.330).

The location of the mass was deep in six (75%) of the umbilical polyps, whereas it was superficial in 13 (92.9%) of the umbilical granulomas; this constituted a statistically significant difference (P=0.002).

The contents of the masses were cystic in five (62.5%) of the umbilical polyps and solid in 13 (92.9%) of the umbilical granulomas, a difference that was statistically significant (P<0.01). All of the cystic umbilical polyps exhibited echogenic inner walls; additionally, the cyst walls were associated with intestinal mucosa, including colonic mucosae in two cases (Fig. 2), small intestinal mucosae in two cases, and ectopic pancreatic epithelium in one case (Fig. 3) on the pathologic findings. The pathologic analysis also revealed that of the three (37.5%) solid umbilical polyps, one contained gastric mucosa (Fig. 4) and two contained fibroepithelial mucosa.

The echogenicity of the umbilical polyps was variable, whereas 78.6% (11 cases) of the umbilical granulomas exhibited hypoechoogenicity. These findings regarding echogenicity differed to a statistically significant degree between lesion types (P<0.001).

Fourteen patients underwent color Doppler US. Five (83.3%) of the six umbilical polyps assessed exhibited hypovascularity.

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients and ultrasound features of umbilical polyps and umbilical granulomas**

| Characteristic                        | Umbilical polyps | Umbilical granulomas | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Total No. of cases                    | 8                | 14                   |         |
| Age (month)                           | 30.13±41.40      | 1.33±0.66            | <0.001* |
| Sex                                   |                  |                      | 0.039*  |
| Male                                  | 4                | 13                   |         |
| Female                                | 4                | 1                    |         |
| Size (mm)                             | 10.25±10.11      | 6.21±2.29            | 0.330   |
| Depth                                 |                  |                      | 0.002*  |
| Superficial                           | 2 (25.0)         | 13 (92.9)            |         |
| Deep                                  | 6 (75.0)         | 1 (7.1)              |         |
| Contents                              |                  |                      | <0.01*  |
| Cystic                                | 5 (62.5)         | 0                    |         |
| Solid                                 | 3 (37.5)         | 13 (92.9)            |         |
| Complex                               | 0                | 1 (7.1)              |         |
| Internal echogenicity                 |                  |                      | <0.001* |
| Anechoic                              | 5 (62.5)         | 0                    |         |
| Hypoechoic                            | 1 (12.5)         | 11 (78.6)            |         |
| Isoechoic                             | 0                | 3 (21.4)             |         |
| Hyperechoic                           | 2 (25.0)         | 0                    |         |
| Intrallesional vascularity (n=14)     |                  |                      | 0.026*  |
| Hypervascular                         | 1 (16.7)         | 7 (87.5)             |         |
| Hypovascular/avascular                | 5 (83.3)         | 1 (12.5)             |         |
| Unobliterated medial umbilical ligament|                  |                      | 0.193   |
| Present                               | 1 (12.5)         | 6 (42.9)             |         |
| Absent                                | 7 (87.5)         | 8 (57.1)             |         |

Values are presented as number, mean±standard deviation, or number (%). *P<0.05.

**Fig. 1. Status of the medial umbilical ligament.**

A. The unobliterated medial umbilical ligament is defined by the presence of echogenic mucosal lines (arrows) along the course of both medial umbilical ligaments. B. The image reveals a completely obliterated medial umbilical ligament without echogenic mucosal lines.
or avascularity, whereas seven (87.5%) of the eight umbilical granulomas exhibited hypervascularity. This difference in intrallesional vascularity was statistically significant (P=0.026). The pathologic findings revealed abundant vessels extending from the base of the umbilical granuloma (Fig. 5).

Seven umbilical polyps (87.5%) and eight umbilical granulomas (57.1%) demonstrated obliteration of the MUL. The rate of presence of an unobliterated MUL was not statistically different between groups (P=0.193).

**Discussion**

The umbilicus is associated with the embryologic development of the gastrointestinal tract, urinary tract [3,9,16], and umbilical vessels (Fig. 6). Notable umbilical masses in the pediatric population include OMD remnants, umbilical granulomas, umbilical hernias, urachal remnants, and benign soft tissue masses, such as epidermoid cysts, hemangiomas, and other benign soft tissue tumors [2]. The pathologically confirmed pediatric umbilical masses at our institution during the study period included, from most to least frequent, 52 umbilical granulomas, 46 umbilical hernias, 15 OMD remnants (including nine umbilical polyps), 11 epidermoid cysts, six urachal remnants, and one hemangioma.

As the placental circulation increases from the fifth to the ninth week of gestation, the OMD usually regresses [3,16]. However, a remnant is retained in approximately 1% to 4% of infants [3,5,10,16], making the presence of an OMD remnant the most common congenital gastrointestinal anomaly of the umbilicus.

It is necessary to discriminate between umbilical polyps and OMD cysts. An umbilical polyp forms when the OMD mucosa grows outward and associates with the gastric epithelium and/or small intestinal, colonic, and even islands of pancreatic tissue [4,5,7,9,16,17]. In contrast, an OMD cyst is formed when an intermediate portion of the duct retains patent, but both ends are sealed. Both lesions can appear as bright red, fluid-secreting nodules at the umbilicus; any such lesion is often clinically referred to as an umbilical polyp, but an OMD cyst differs in that it has a fluid-filled lumen with a grossly cystic appearance. The histology of umbilical polyps and granulomas is often characterized by the presence of inflammatory cells, epithelial remnants, and varying degrees of tissue differentiation.

Fig. 2. An umbilical polyp in a 3-month-old boy.
A. Transverse ultrasonography shows a 5-mm-sized cystic lesion with an echogenic inner wall. The lesion shows deep-seated location (arrows) without abutting the epidermis. B. Transverse color Doppler ultrasonography shows hypovascularity of the lesion. C. The pathologic findings show a cyst surrounded by abundant inflammatory cells and a detached intestinal epithelial component (arrow) (H&E stain, ×40).
Fig. 3. An umbilical polyp in a 15-month-old boy.
A, B. Transverse (A) and longitudinal (B) ultrasonography show a 35-mm-sized, deep-seated, cystic lesion with an echogenic inner wall. C. The pathologic finding reveals cystic space (asterisk). Also, the specimen contains ectopic pancreatic tissues (arrows) (H&E stain, ×12.5).

Fig. 4. An umbilical polyp in an 11-month-old girl.
A. Transverse ultrasonography shows an 8-mm-sized, superficially located, hyperechoic solid lesion. B. The pathologic findings show glandular structures lined by columnar epithelium (arrow) with apical mucinous globules. This structure resembles gastric mucosal structure (H&E stain, ×40).
polyps and OMD cysts is the same, with both exhibiting a lining of cuboidal or columnar epithelium with gastrointestinal differentiation [7,9]. Reflecting this, the definition of an umbilical polyp used in the present study included both umbilical polyps and OMD cysts. In this study, five umbilical polyps were found to include colon, small bowel, and pancreatic tissue. All of these lesions displayed cystic contents surrounded by echogenic walls, suggesting secretion from the inner mucosa on pathologic correlation. Three umbilical polyps were observed to include stomach and fibroepithelial polyps without cyst formation.

The association of umbilical polyps with other OMD remnants and the related need for surgical exploration are controversial. Kutin et al. [6] found that 56% of patients with cutaneous umbilical polyps also exhibited internal OMD remnants. These researchers recommended mini-laparotomies in all cases of umbilical polyps because the underlying anomalies can lead to serious complications, including occult bleeding, intestinal obstruction, and abdominal

Fig. 5. An umbilical granuloma in a 1-month-old boy. A. Transverse ultrasonography shows a 9.5-mm-sized, hypoechoic solid lesion. The lesion shows superficial location (arrow) with abutting the epidermis. B. Transverse color Doppler ultrasonography shows hypervascularity of the lesion. C. Transverse ultrasonography shows presence of echogenic spots (arrows) along the course of both medial umbilical ligaments. D. The pathologic findings show rich vascular structures (arrows) with surrounding fibrous tissues, correlating well with the sonographic findings (H&E stain, ×12.5).

Fig. 6. The illustration of the anatomy of the umbilicus.
masses. However, a recent review by Pacilli et al. [18] suggested the opposite. In that study, 13 children underwent surgical resection of umbilical polyps, and six children were suspected of having underlying OMD abnormalities. However, no abnormalities were found after abdominal exploration. In addition, the seven children who did not undergo intraperitoneal exploration developed no symptoms over 5.8 years of follow-up. Therefore, the authors suggested that abdominal exploration may not be necessary because the polyp may exist in the absence of other abnormalities. In the present study, none of the umbilical polyps were found to be associated with internal OMD remnants during surgery.

With respect to umbilical polyps, the major differential diagnosis is umbilical granuloma [8,12,13,18]. When the fibromuscular ring of the umbilicus closes and the umbilical cord sloughs, the ring is covered anteriorly by skin and posteriorly by peritoneum. After cord detachment, the ring may undergo incomplete epithelialization, causing beefy red granulation tissue to be visible after the first few weeks of life [2]. In the present study, most of the umbilical granulomas abutted the skin (93%); in such cases, sonographic features can be used to identify the superficial location of the umbilical granuloma. Granulation tissue formation is a normal stage in wound healing and represents the division and migration of endothelial cells to form a rich bed of new capillaries [2,13,14]. These pathologic features aligned with the sonographic findings of hypervascular solid nodules in our study, whereas most (83.3%) of the umbilical polyps exhibited hypovascularity or avascularity. The locations of the masses were also helpful for the differential diagnosis of the two lesions. In 92.9% of the cases of umbilical granuloma, the mass was superficially located, but umbilical polyps were deeply located in 75% of cases. Small amounts of serous or serosanguinous discharge may be secreted by both umbilical granulomas and polyps. The secretion may be caused by the unobliterated MUL in umbilical granulomas and by the lining of the intestinal mucosa in umbilical polyps. Although the correlation was not statistically significant, the patients with umbilical polyps exhibited a higher frequency of MUL obliteration than those with granulomas. Importantly, the patients with umbilical polyps were older than those with umbilical granulomas, which may relate to the higher rate of MUL obliteration observed among patients with umbilical polyps. Furthermore, umbilical granulomas predominantly affected male infants to a statistically significant degree.

The differential diagnosis of reddish lesions in the umbilicus and proximal umbilical cord also includes urachal cysts [12,19]. These result from the incomplete regression of the allantoic duct (urachus), a second ductal structure in the cord that connects to the embryonic bladder [1,4,15]. Urachal remnants are less common than OMD remnants and are usually lined by a single layer of flattened epithelium. They typically present as an infra-umbilical or suprapubic mass, but they occasionally manifest as a red papule at the umbilicus. If located in the proximal umbilical cord, urachal cysts can cause an enlarged or giant cord and are positioned at the inferior surface between the umbilical arteries. A urachal cyst near the umbilicus is rare and may represent an associated sinus or fistula tract along the course of the urachus.

Epidermoid cysts should also be considered in the differential diagnosis. These cysts can contain keratin and desquamated squamous cells. The associated sonographic findings have been reported as onion skin-like or target-like in appearance with varying internal echogenicity. Epidermoid cysts rarely present with a cystic appearance, as in umbilical polyps, or with the hypervascularity observed in umbilical granulomas [20].

In conclusion, umbilical polyps appeared as deep-seated, hypovascular nodules with cystic contents surrounded by thick echogenic walls. In contrast, umbilical granulomas manifested as superficially-located hypervascular solid nodules in young infants.
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