Discovery of electron cyclotron MASER emission from the magnetic Bp star HD 133880 with the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope
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ABSTRACT
We report the discovery of coherent radio emission from the young, rapidly-rotating magnetic Bp star HD 133880 at a frequency of 610 MHz with the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT). This is only the second magnetic star in which coherent radio emission has been detected. In our observations of HD 133880 covering the full rotational cycle of the star (except for a phase window $0.17 - 0.24$), we witness an abrupt order-of-magnitude flux enhancement along with $\approx 100$ percent right circular polarization. We attribute this phenomenon to coherent Electron Cyclotron MASER Emission. We attribute the lack of left circularly polarised emission to the asymmetric topology of the star’s magnetic field. The phase of enhancement, $0.73$, differs from the previously reported phase of enhancement, $0.16$, (at 610 MHz Chandra et al. 2015) by one-half cycle. However, no flux enhancement is found at phase $0.16$ in our data, which could be due to an unstable or drifting emission region, or a consequence of the reported changes of the star’s rotational period. Either of these factors could have shifted the enhancement to the above-mentioned phase window not sampled by our observations.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Magnetic hot stars are O- and B-type stars characterised by the presence of strong, organized mostly dipolar (Babcock 1949) magnetic fields in their photospheres. Initially, models for radio emission in magnetic stars were proposed by Drake et al. (1987); Andre et al. (1988); Linsky et al. (1992). These ideas were unified and given quantitative form by Trigilio et al. (2004). According to this model, the radio emission is gyro-synchrotron in nature, as a result of the interaction of the magnetic field with a radiatively-driven wind. Between the “inner magnetosphere” dominated by the magnetic field and the “outer magnetosphere” dominated by the wind kinetic pressure, magnetic field lines are opened up by the wind, forming an equatorial current sheet in which electrons are accelerated to relativistic energies. Eventually, these electrons return towards the stellar surface following the field lines and emit radio photons by the gyro-synchrotron process, at a frequency close to the harmonics of the local gyro-frequency, which is a function of the local magnetic field strength. Because the magnetic field decreases in strength with distance from the star, emission at higher frequencies is generated closer to the star and vice-versa. As a consequence, the study of radio emission from magnetic stars at different frequencies allows one to probe the circumstellar environment at different distances from the star.

Evidence supporting a gyro-synchrotron origin of the radio emission from magnetic stars includes the observed correlation between the variability of radio light-curves with that of the line-of-sight magnetic field and rotation period of the star, the high brightness temperature, and the absence of a high degree of circular polarization (Drake et al. 1987; Linsky et al. 1992; Leto et al. 2006). However, in the rapidly-rotating magnetic Bp star CU Vir, Trigilio et al. (2000) reported the detection of highly directive, 100 percent right circularly polarized coherent emission at 1.4 GHz. The authors proposed Electron Cyclotron MASER Emission (ECME) as the most likely mechanism (Trigilio et al. 2000, 2008). This emission is explainable in the light of the 3D emission model by Leto et al. (2016). The highly energetic electrons in the current sheet, while coming back to-
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wards the star following the converging field lines, give rise to the condition for magnetic mirroring. The electrons with sufficiently small pitch angles are lost from the region creating a loss-cone anisotropy, and if the plasma frequency is much smaller than the local gyro-frequency, masing action can occur, which is more favourable at lower frequencies (Melrose & Dulk 1982). ECME is favored at lower frequencies, since, as a direct consequence of the resonance conditions, the number of resonant electrons decreases towards higher frequencies (Eq. 3a and 3b, Melrose & Dulk (1982)). However, if the corresponding gyro-frequency becomes less than the local plasma frequency, plasma emission should replace ECME as the dominant coherent mechanism at low enough frequencies. One key difference between the two mechanisms is the role of the magnetic field. In case of ECME, the magnetic field determines the frequency and the direction of emission of the radiation, whereas the role of magnetic field is negligible in plasma emission.

In this paper, we report the discovery of coherent emission from the magnetic star HD 133880 (= HR Lup= HR 5624) at 610 MHz with the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT). HD 133880 is a young, Bp type star with a rotation period of about 0.88 days (Waelkens 1985; Landstreet 1990; Bailey et al. 2012; Kochukhov et al. 2017). It has an unusual magnetic topology, as the rotation-phased longitudinal (line-of-sight) magnetic field ($B_z$) differs significantly from the typical sinuousidal variation. This led Landstreet (1990) to propose that the star’s magnetic field is a combination of a dipole component and a much stronger quadrupole component. Bailey et al. (2012) reported the strength of dipolar and quadrupolar components as $B_d = -9600 \pm 1000$ G and $B_q = -23200 \pm 1000$ G, respectively. However, this was contradicted by Kochukhov et al. (2017), who demonstrated that the phase-resolved Stokes V profiles of HD 133880 are best reproduced using a weaker, distorted dipole, topology. In their study, they found that the star has a weak but extended positive field region and a stronger but smaller negative field region. They also found the mean magnetic field strength to be much smaller ($\sim 4$ kG) than that ($\sim 11 - 23$ kG) reported by Bailey et al. (2012).

The rotation period of the star HD 133880 has been studied very little. In this Letter, we present observations of HD 133880 at 610 MHz covering nearly full rotation period which enable us to see the coherent nature of the radio emission. This paper is structured as follows: We describe our observations and data analysis in §2. Our results are presented in §3 and finally we discuss and summarise our main results in §4.

### 2 Observations and Data Reduction

We observed HD 133880 at a frequency of 610 MHz with the GMRT for seven consecutive nights, from 2016 February 5 until 2016 February 11 in two polarizations, right circular $RR^*$ (Stokes $I + V$) and left circular $LL^*$ (Stokes $I - V$). The observations were carried out for 4 hours each day at the same Indian Standard Time, so as to achieve a full rotational phase coverage. Including overheads due to the flux calibrator (3C286) and phase calibrator (J1626–298), the final on-source time at each epoch varied from ~ 2.5 hours to 3 hours. The flux calibrator was also used as bandpass calibrator. The phase calibrator was observed after every target (i.e. HD 133880) scan of 35 minutes. The total bandwidth of the observations was 32 MHz, divided equally into 256 channels. However, for the final imaging, only the central $\pm 25$ MHz were used. Initial flagging and calibration were done using the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA, McMullin et al. 2007) package, and the imaging and subsequent self-calibrations were done using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS). For each data set, an average sky map was produced using the standard procedures in AIPS. All non-target sources were then subtracted from the visibility data using the AIPS tasks ‘BOX2CC’, ‘CCEDT’ and ‘UVSUB’. The resulting single source visibility data were then used to get an image for every scan, independently for the $RR^*$ and $LL^*$ polarizations. The flux density values were obtained using the AIPS task JMFIT.

This method has one drawback. During self calibration, it is assumed that the sky is stationary and the only quantity which can vary with time is the antenna gain. Because of this, the actual temporal variation of a source is likely to be suppressed. However, since the self-calibration is dominated by much brighter sources (the brightest ones are $\sim 100$ mJy) in the field of view (FoV), the variation of the flux with time can still be recovered (Pearson & Readhead 1984). Thus it is safe to apply this method to find the base flux of the star which is much smaller ($\sim 1$ mJy, Chandra & Kanekar 2015) and roughly constant. The strategy that we followed was to employ the above method if the average flux at each epoch was of the order of the base flux. In our data, out of seven data sets, six were found to fulfil this condition. The data set obtained on 2016 February 08 did not comply with this condition and thus each scan was imaged independently.

To demonstrate the robustness of this method, we estimated the flux density of a test source SUMSS J150757-403711 (RA: $15^h07^m57^s.011$, Dec: $-40^\circ 37'13.65''$) in the FoV at each epoch. We did not find any significant variation over various epochs as well as in the right and left circular polarizations. The maximum variation of $\sim 10$ per cent is consistent with the known systematic errors of GMRT data at 610 MHz (Chandra & Kanekar 2017).

In this paper, we report the discovery of coherent emission from the magnetic star HD 133880 (= HR Lup= HR 5624) at 610 MHz with the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT). HD 133880 is a young, Bp type star with a rotation period of about 0.88 days (Waelkens 1985; Landstreet 1990; Bailey et al. 2012; Kochukhov et al. 2017). It has an unusual magnetic topology, as the rotation-phased longitudinal (line-of-sight) magnetic field ($B_z$) differs significantly from the typical sinusoidal variation. This led Landstreet (1990) to propose that the star’s magnetic field is a combination of a dipole component and a much stronger quadrupole component. Bailey et al. (2012) reported the strength of dipolar and quadrupolar components as $B_d = -9600 \pm 1000$ G and $B_q = -23200 \pm 1000$ G, respectively. However, this was contradicted by Kochukhov et al. (2017), who demonstrated that the phase-resolved Stokes V profiles of HD 133880 are best reproduced using a weaker, distorted dipole, topology. In their study, they found that the star has a weak but extended positive field region and a stronger but smaller negative field region. They also found the mean magnetic field strength to be much smaller ($\sim 4$ kG) than that ($\sim 11 - 23$ kG) reported by Bailey et al. (2012).

The period of the star too has been modified many times. Waelkens (1985) first estimated the rotation period as 0.877459 days which was revised to 0.877465 days (Landstreet 1990). Bailey et al. (2012) again revised this period to 0.877467 days in order to remove the offset between their measurements of magnetic field and those of Landstreet (1990). However, recently, Kochukhov et al. (2017) reported the period to be 0.877483 days - close to the value proposed by Landstreet (1990). The reported values of the periods differ significantly from one another, and can only be reconciled if the period is assumed to vary with time (Kochukhov et al. 2017).

In this paper, we adopt the most recent period of 0.877483 days (Kochukhov et al. 2017) and the reference Julian date 2445472.000 (Bailey et al. 2012) for all calculations. Hence we use the ephemeris

$$JD = 2445472.000 + (0.877483) \cdot E.$$  

Unlike CU Vir, which has been studied extensively in radio bands, (Trigilio et al. 2000, 2004; Leto et al. 2006; Trigilio et al. 2008; Ravi et al. 2010; Trigilio et al. 2011; Lo et al. 2012), HD 133880 has been studied very little. In this Letter, we present observations of HD 133880 at 610 MHz covering nearly full rotation period which enable us to see the coherent nature of the radio emission. This paper is structured as follows: We describe our observations and data analysis in §2. Our results are presented in §3 and finally we discuss and summarise our main results in §4.
3 RESULTS

In Table 1, we have listed the details of the observations and flux densities in the RR* and LL* polarizations. The errors in the flux values correspond to fitting errors obtained from ‘JMFIT’. Except for the data taken on 16 February 2016, the mean HJD corresponds to the mean epoch of the data averaged for each scan, with uncertainties reflecting the length of the time intervals over which averaging was performed. The data for 16 February 2016 were found to be too noisy; as a result, we averaged over a longer time.

As is evident from Table 1, there are flux enhancements between phases 0.70 and 0.77 in the 2016 February 8 data with a peak at a rotational phase of 0.731 in right circular polarization RR*. We further divided the scans corresponding to the enhanced emission into three ~ 10 minutes subscans. Finally, the subscan at which the flux attained its maximum was further divided into five segments each of two minutes duration. The resulting values are reported in Table 2.

No significant flux enhancement was obtained at any phase in left circular LL* polarization. In order to ensure that the observed right circular polarization was indeed coming from the star and not due to any instrumental effect, we checked the polarization fraction (Stokes V/I) for the test source, SUMSS J150757-403711. Table 3 shows the polarization fraction for both sources. It was observed that the maximum polarization that can result due to effects not intrinsic to the star is less than 2 per cent, consistent with the fact that the error in Stokes V flux due to polarization leakage in GMRT data is less than 5 per cent (Roy et al. 2010). The maximum circular polarization measured in our observations of HD 133880 is ~ 90%. However, if we consider the fact that the basal flux and the enhanced flux originate by different emission mechanisms, we can potentially remove the contribution of the basal flux to the total intensity while calculating the circular polarization fraction in the peak emission. This involves the assumption that the circular polarization fraction in the basal flux is negligible. In general, this assumption does not hold for the basal gyrosynchrotron emission from a magnetic star. In our case, we are rescued from this problem by the fact that the variation of the circular polarization due to gyro-synchrotron follows the longitudinal magnetic field (Bz) (Figure 2 of Lim et al. 1996) and we observed the enhancement when Bz ≈ 0 (Figure 1), thus validating our assumption that the basal flux at the phase of enhancement is nearly unpolarized. Once we incorporate this, the maximum circular polarization fraction increases to ≈ 99%.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The flux density variation for both polarizations RR* and LL* are shown in Figure 1. We observe a significant flux enhancement (≃ 23 mJy) in RR* polarization at phase 0.731 ± 0.001. To have an idea about the corresponding brightness temperature, we put the size of the emitting region to be equal to that of the star and this gives a value of 10^{12} K. However, since the enhancement happens for a small range of rotational phases, the actual emitting region must be much smaller than what has been assumed. Thus the above estimation can only be treated as a lower limit to the actual brightness temperature. The nearly 100 per cent circular polarization, together with the order-of-magnitude enhancement, and high brightness temperature, indicate a coherent emission process. As mentioned in §1, both ECME and plasma mechanisms can plausibly give rise to this kind of phenomenon. However, in case of ECME, energy is radiated away at an angle given by θ = cos^{-1}(v/c) (v is the characteristic speed of the electron population at resonance with the electromagnetic wave and c correspond to the and the speed of light) with respect to the direction of the magnetic field (Melrose & Dulk 1982). For an electron distribution typical of a magnetic hot star, v is such that θ ~ 90° (Trigilio et al. 2008). This means that the flux enhancement should be obtained when the line of sight magnetic field is close to zero.

Table 1. Variation of the flux density of HD 133880 with its rotational phase

| Date            | Mean HJD | Phase | LL* Flux mJy | RR* Flux mJy |
|-----------------|----------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| 05-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.028 | 2.740 ± 0.02 | 1.240 ± 0.2  |
| 06-Feb-2016     | 245742.6 | 0.030 | 3.940 ± 0.04 | 1.240 ± 0.2  |
| 07-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.030 | 1.260 ± 0.20 | 1.760 ± 0.2  |
| 08-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.030 | 1.240 ± 0.20 | 1.760 ± 0.2  |
| 09-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.030 | 1.240 ± 0.20 | 1.760 ± 0.2  |
| 10-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.030 | 1.240 ± 0.20 | 1.760 ± 0.2  |
| 11-Feb-2016     | 245742.5 | 0.030 | 1.240 ± 0.20 | 1.760 ± 0.2  |

a) LL* polarization is Stokes (I – V) and RR* polarization is Stokes (I + V).

Table 2. Observed enhancements of the RR* polarization flux of HD 133880 on 2016 February 8

| Mean HJD | Phase       | Flux density mJy |
|----------|-------------|------------------|
| 245742.5 | 0.028       | 4.4 ± 0.3        |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 8.4 ± 0.3        |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 10.4 ± 0.3       |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 15.2 ± 0.3       |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 20.4 ± 0.3       |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 20.4 ± 0.3       |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 16.0 ± 0.2       |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 16.0 ± 0.2       |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 16.0 ± 0.2       |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 16.0 ± 0.2       |

Table 3. Stokes V/I flux for HD 133880 and the test source on 8 February 2016

| Mean HJD | Phase       | Stokes V/I       |
|----------|-------------|------------------|
| 245742.5 | 0.028       | 0.625 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 0.655 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 0.964 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 0.964 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 0.731 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 0.860 ± 0.003    |
| 245742.5 | 0.030       | 0.767 ± 0.014    |
| 245742.6 | 0.030       | 0.470 ± 0.012    |

Coherent radio emission from HD 133880
This is exactly the case we observed (see Figure 1). Since plasma emission has no such dependence on magnetic field in terms of direction of emission, we conclude that ECME is greatly favoured over plasma emission as the source of the observed coherent emission.

Lim et al. (1996); Bailey et al. (2012) first reported that the 5 and 8 GHz flux density of HD 133880 is modulated with the stellar rotation period, with stronger, broader peaks near the longitudinal field extrema (phases 0.0 and 0.5) and weaker, narrower peaks near the longitudinal field nulls (phases 0.25 and 0.75). They also found that the degree and sense of circular polarization were smoothly modulated, with maximum circular polarization reaching $\approx 16$ per cent at phase $\approx 0.5$ with a mean of 0, and sense of circular polarization corresponding to the extraordinary mode of propagation. Thus the ECME mechanism, more favorable at low frequencies, appears to be triggered somewhere between 0.6–5.0 GHz. In CU Vir, until now the only star for which ECME has been confirmed, the emission at 2 GHz was found to be ECME (Ravi et al. 2010). More studies in this frequency range are needed to ascertain the nature of the contributing emission mechanisms as a function of frequency.

George & Stevens (2012); Chandra et al. (2015) also reported the 610 MHz GMRT observations of HD 133880. However, the observations were of short duration and did not cover the phase 0.73 at which we observed the flux enhancement. However, it is important to note that Chandra et al. (2015) reported a large flux enhancement at 1390 and 610 MHz at phases $0.827 \pm 0.012$ and $0.261 \pm 0.030$, respectively (using the ephemeris of Bailey et al. 2012). While they indicated the possibility of ECME, they did not have full coverage or polarization information to derive any conclusions, as their data were taken in dual band mode (George & Stevens 2012, 610/235 MHz.), and as a consequence they measured only $RR^\ast$ polarization at 610 MHz. One interesting thing to note here is that their 1390 MHz phase of enhancement now becomes (after using our ephemeris) close to one-half rotation away from the longitudinal field extrema (phases 0.25 and 0.75). They also found that the degree and sense of circular polarization were smoothly modulated, with maximum circular polarization reaching $\approx 16$ per cent at phase $\approx 0.5$ with a mean of 0, and sense of circular polarization corresponding to the extraordinary mode of propagation.

First, the previous event may have been a transient phenomenon. Ravi et al. (2010) reported significant variations in pulse height of ECME radio flux enhancements of CU Vir on a timescale of $\approx 200$ rotations. In fact, at 13 cm, one of the pulses disappeared completely. The time separation of the 610 MHz observations obtained by us and by Chandra et al. (2015) corresponds to 2254 days, or about 2569 rotations of HD 133880. Hence it is plausible that a similar evolution of the magnetosphere of HD 133880 has occurred. Alternatively, the peak could still be present, but may fall into the small phase window (0.170–0.245) in which we lack data. Shifts in the phases of the radio emission peaks were also noted in the case of CU Vir. However, Ravi et al. (2010) could not establish if the phase shifts were due to intrinsic variations of the stellar rotation period (long known to occur for CU Vir; e.g. Pyper et al. 2013) or instabilities in the
region of emission (Ravi et al. 2010). If the emission region is unstable (or drifting) for HD 133880, then it would need to have drifted in phase by at least 0.01 cycles since December, 2009 for us to have missed it. Alternatively, if the phase shift is a consequence of a period change, the period of the star would need to have changed by approximately 0.34 second per day since 2009. To put this in context, Kochukhov et al. (2017) reported evidence exists for a 0.6 second net increase in the rotational period of HD 133880 between 1974–1988 and 2010–2015. While at face value the required period change seems rather large, the short-term behaviour of the period evolution is entirely unknown.

In Figure 2, we have combined our $RR^*$ polarization data with those of Chandra et al. (2015). The enhancement around phase 0.16 (data from Chandra et al. 2015) is clearly much larger than that around phase 0.73. A similar phenomenon was also observed for CU Vir (Trigilio et al. 2011), which was attributed to instability in the emission region Ravi et al. (2010). However, it is interesting to note that in both CU Vir and HD 133880, the stronger pulse was obtained when the North magnetic pole was approaching the observer and weaker pulse was obtained when the North pole was receding away. Thus, the difference in ECME pulse height might be a result of a more fundamental phenomenon and this certainly deserves more attention.

Another important result is the absence of enhancement in left circular polarization, also seen in CU Vir. Trigilio et al. (2000) attributed this to the deviation of the magnetic field from a perfect dipole. The extensive study of the magnetic field of HD 133880 by Kochukhov et al. (2017) has revealed that the star has an extended but weaker positive field region and a smaller but stronger negative field region. For such a configuration it can well be possible to distort the magnetosphere in such a way that the coherent emission from the South pole experiences much stronger absorption in the inner magnetosphere than that from the North pole.

It is interesting to note that CU Vir and HD 133880 are remarkably similar. Both are rapidly-rotating late-B stars with distorted dipole fields. Both show evidence for period changes, and exhibit radio pulses attributable to ECME. As illustrated by these results, the detection of periodic pulses can act as a sensitive probe of any change in the stellar rotation period, since in the case of a period change, the phases of arrival of the pulses will vary with time (Trigilio et al. 2008). Thus a detailed study of the light curve of HD 133880 at multiple radio frequencies might help in resolving the period issue (Das et al., in preparation).

To summarise, we present the rotational variation of the 610 MHz flux of the Bp star HD 133880. We observe order of magnitude flux enhancement at phase $0.731 \pm 0.001$ in $RR^*$ polarization. No flux enhancement is obtained for $LL^*$ polarization. The enhancement temperature, $\sim 100$ per cent circular polarization and the almost null value of the line of sight magnetic field $B_z$ at the peak of emission, we infer that the emission mechanism is likely to be coherent radio mechanism due to ECME. Further studies to understand the complete morphology and characteristics of the radio emission are underway.
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