Hydroxylated-Graphene Quantum Dots Induce DNA Damage and Disrupt Microtubule Structure in Human Esophageal Epithelial Cells
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ABSTRACT

Graphene quantum dots (GQDs) have attracted significant interests due to their unique chemical and physical properties. In this study, we investigated the potential effects of hydroxyl-modified GQDs (OH-GQDs) on the human esophageal epithelial cell line HET-1A. Our data revealed significant cytotoxicity of OH-GQDs which decreased the viability of HET-1A in a dose and time-dependent manner. The moderate concentration (25 or 50 mg/ml) of OH-GQDs significantly blocked HET-1A cells in G0/G1 cell cycle phase. An increased percentage of γH2AX-positive and genomically unstable cells were also detected in cells treated with different doses of OH-GQDs (25, 50, and 100 μg/ml). Microarray data revealed that OH-GQDs treatment down-regulated genes related to DNA damage repair, cell cycle regulation and cytoskeleton signal pathways indicating a novel role of OH-GQDs. Consistent with the microarray data, OH-GQDs disrupted microtubule structure and inhibited microtubule regrowth around centrosomes in HET-1A cells. In conclusion, our findings provide important evidence for considering the application of OH-GQDs in biomedical fields.
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and released Dox in a pH-responsive manner. Modifying GQDs with specific ligands can increase tumor cells targeted drug delivery. Wang et al. (2014) functionalized GQDs with folic acid (FA) and their in vitro data showed that Dox-GQD-FA nano-complex could be specifically targeted to the tumor cells thus decreasing the cytotoxicity in nontarget cells. Abdullah-Al-Nahain et al. (2013) developed a new targeting strategy by modifying GQDs with hyaluronic acid (HA) which can bind to the CD44 antigen, a recognized cancer stem cells marker highly correlated with chemo-resistance (Vinogradov and Wei, 2012). They were able to show enhanced fluorescence of the HA-GQDs in a tumor environment compared with GQDs alone in an in vivo system (Abdullah-Al-Nahain et al., 2013). In addition to their role in drug delivery, GQDs can also be used as a therapeutic agent via production of •O2 in a multistate sensitization process (Ge et al., 2014). Moreover, the study of Zhou et al (Zhou et al., 2016) suggested that GQDs have better DNA cleavage activity than graphene oxide (GO), which has added to our knowledge of the interaction between GQDs and biological systems.

One of the major concerns regarding the biological applications of GQDs is their potential toxicity. Recent studies have focused on the toxicological properties of both raw and surface-doped GQDs nanomaterials (Chang et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015, 2016; Wu et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015). Although many of these studies indicate good biocompatibility of the GQDs, we and several other studies have observed cytotoxicity in certain biosystems. For instance, Wang et al. (2014) demonstrated that GQDs promote intracellular ROS generation and activate apoptosis and autophagy signal pathways (Qin et al., 2015). Our recent study demonstrated that hydroxyl-modified GQDs (OH-GQDs) can significantly inhibit the viability of A549 and H1299 cells (Tian et al., 2016). OH-GQDs promote nuclear accumulation of the p53 protein (Tian et al., 2016), an important tumor suppressor that maintains genetic stability after DNA damage, indicating the activation of p53-dependent transcription. All these data indicate that GQDs might be potentially genotoxic, although the precise genotoxic effects of GQDs and its underlying molecular mechanism are still not fully known.

In this study, we evaluated the genotoxicity of OH-GQDs on cells due to its potential medical application, such as drug delivery. Oral administration is a preferred mode of drug delivery in humans. Esophageal cancer is one of the important organs to expose to the drugs. We found that OH-GQDs significantly inhibited the viability of human esophageal epithelial HET-1A cells. OH-GQDs blocked cell cycle progression at G0/G1 phase and induced a dose-dependent increase in DNA damage signals. A 24 h treatment with OH-GQDs (100 µg/ml) caused a dramatic increase in micronucleated cells. To further clarify the potential biological mechanism of OH-GQDs’ genotoxicity, mRNA microarray was performed on control and OH-GQDs-treated HET-1A cells which revealed that OH-GQDs treatment altered the gene expression profile related to DNA damage repair and cell cycle regulation pathways. More interestingly, we discovered that cytoskeleton-related genes were downregulated by OH-GQDs. Consistent with these results, OH-GQDs disrupted microtubule structure and inhibited microtubule regrowth in HET-1A cells. Taken together, our data provide novel insights into how GQDs communicate with the biological system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Characterization of the OH-GQDs. The OH-GQDs were purchased from XF-NANO Materials Tech Co. (Nanjing, China) and their physical properties were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Tecnai G-20, FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon) and atomic force microscopy (AFM; Nanoscope Icon; Veeco, Plainview, New York). The hydrodynamic size of OH-GQDs was determined by a dynamic light scattering (DLS) instrument. Prior to use, the OH-GQDs were ultra-sonicated for 5 min and vortexed for 1 min.

Cell culture and treatment. The human esophageal squamous epithelial cell line HET-1A was kindly provided by Dr Jundong Zhou of the Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Suzhou Hospital Cancer Medical Central Laboratory (Suzhou, China). LO2, HIEC-6 and ECA-109 cells were preserved in our laboratory. The cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 or DMEM medium (HyClone, Hudson, New Hampshire) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Rockville, Maryland) in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO2. The cells were treated with varying concentrations of OH-GQDs for different durations.

Cellular uptake assays. To determine the cellular uptake of OH-GQDs, HET-1A cells were plated on a confocal dish and cultured overnight. Next, OH-GQDs were added to the dish for incubation in culture medium for 24 h. Then the cells were observed by a fluorescence microscope (IX73; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

The internalized OH-GQDs were also estimated by comparing the side scattered light (SSC) signals between OH-GQDs-treated and -untreated cells through flow cytometry. The procedures are as follows: the cells were washed 3 times with PBS 24 h post OH-GQDs exposure. Cells were harvested and fixed with 4% formaldehyde solution and then resuspended in PBS buffer for flow cytometry measurements (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA).

Cell viability measurement. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; DOJindo Laboratories, Kyushu, Japan) was used to assess the cell viability according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 3 × 104 cells per well and incubated overnight. The OH-GQDs were added at the indicated concentrations (0, 25, 50, 100, and 200 µg/ml) and the cells were further incubated for 24 h or 48 h. After the stipulated time, 10 µl of CCK-8 solution was added to each well and incubated for 2 h. The absorbance values (OD450) were measured using a VersaMax Microplate Reader (BioTek, Winooski, Vermont).

Cell cycle distribution analysis. HET-1A cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of 1.0 × 105 cells per well in triplicates and cultured overnight. After being treated with 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs for 24 and 48 h, cells were collected and fixed in 70% ethanol overnight at –20°C. The control cells were plated and harvested at the same time as OH-GQDs-treated cells. The fixed cells were washed and resuspended in PBS containing RNase A (100 µg/ml) and propidium iodide (PI, 50 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, Missouri). After incubation for 30 min in the dark, cells were analyzed by FACSVerse Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Diego, California).

Apoptosis detection. HET-1A cells were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with different doses of OH-GQDs (0, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml) for 24 h. Then the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD, Pharmingen, San Diego, California) was used for the detection of apoptosis according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
The cells were harvested and resuspended in 100 µl 1 × binding buffer. Then 5 µl of FITC-conjugated Annexin V and 5 µl of PI were added. Cells were incubated in the dark for 15 min at room temperature. After addition of 400 µl of 1 × binding buffer, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSVerse; BD Biosciences).

Measurement of intracellular ROS generation. HET-1A cells were seeded into 3.5-cm dishes and treated with 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs for 24 and 48 h. Then cells were incubated with 200 µl of 100 mM DCFH-DA (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 30 min. The control cells were plated and harvested at the same time as OH-GQDs-treated cells. After the aspiration of the DCFH-DA, cells were harvested and the ROS probe was detected by flow cytometry (FACSVerse; BD Biosciences). The data were presented as the average ROS intensity.

Immunofluorescent staining. HET-1A cells were seeded in a 6-well plate containing poly-D-Lysine-coated cover slides and treated with different concentrations of OH-GQDs (0, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml) for 24 h. The slides were washed twice in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS at room temperature for 30 min. After permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100/PBS at room temperature for 15 min, the cells were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin/PBS at room temperature for 1 h. The slides were incubated with anti-α-tubulin, γ-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich) or H2AX (Abcam, Cambridge, Massachusetts) antibodies (1:1000) for 4 h at room temperature. After being washed 3 times with PBS, the cells were then incubated with Alexa-488 conjugated anti-rabbit or Alexa-568 conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were counterstained with DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California) for visualization of DNA and imaged under a FV1200 laser-scanning confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

The cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. After being treated with different concentrations of OH-GQDs (0, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml) for 24 h, the HET-1A cells were harvested and suspended with 10 ml of 75 mM KCl for 5 min at room temperature. The cells were fixed in 5 ml of Carnoy’s solution (1:3 mixtures of ice-cold acetic acid and methanol) for 40 min, then dropped onto slides, air-dried and stained with Giemsa. A total of 200 mitotic cells per sample were examined under ordinary optical microscopes, and the micronuclear frequency was reported as the percentage of micronucleated cells in mitotic cells.

Protein extraction and Western blot. Protein extraction and Western blot were performed as previously described in Li et al. (2015). The following primary antibodies were used: Cyclin A2, Cyclin B1, Cyclin D2, FANCD2, ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, Massachusetts), DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, California), γ-H2AX (Abcam), H2AX (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, Colorado) and GAPDH (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China). All primary antibodies except DNA-PKcs were used at a dilution of 1000-fold. The DNA-PKcs antibody was used at a 500-fold dilution.

Microtubule regrowth assay. Microtubule regrowth assays were performed as previously described in Shang et al. (2014). HET-1A cells were plated onto coated cover slides in 3.5-cm dishes and incubated with ice-cold medium supplemented with 1 µg/ml nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h. Prewarmed fresh medium containing 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs was added after washing with PBS. At indicated times (0, 4, and 8 min) after treatment with OH-GQDs, cells were fixed in ice-cold methanol and subjected to immunofluorescent staining as described earlier.

Microarray. HET-1A cells were seeded in 6-cm dishes and treated with 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs or equivalent volume of vehicle in triplicates and harvested after 24 h. Total RNA was extracted for gene expression profiling using the Agilent SurePrint G3 Human Gene Expression v3 (8’60K; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California). Total RNA labeling and array hybridization were performed using standard protocols according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The Agilent Scanner G2505C was used to scan the probe arrays and Agilent Feature Extraction software (version 10.7.1.1) was used to analyze array images to get raw data. Quantile normalization and subsequent data processing were performed using the GeneSpring software package (version 13.1, Agilent Technologies). After quantile normalization of the raw data, the probes that at least 100% of the values in any 1 out of all conditions have flags in “Detected” were chosen for further data analysis. Differentially expressed genes were then identified through fold change and p values were calculated using t test. The threshold set for up- and down-regulated genes was a fold change ≥ 2.0 and a p value ≤ .05. Afterwards, gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis were applied to determine the roles of these differentially expressed mRNAs. Finally, Hierarchical Clustering was performed to display the distinguishable genes’ expression pattern across samples. Microarray data were available on the GEO database: accession number GSE96720.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assay. Total RNA was extracted by mirVana RNA Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California) following the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified by the NanoDrop ND-2000 (Thermo Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts). The RNA integrity was assessed using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies).

The PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Perfect Real Time) (TAKARA, Otsu, Japan) was used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The SYBR Green real-time PCR (RT-PCR) assay kit (TAKARA) was used for amplification of cDNA. The mRNA levels of SLG6A13, USP31, GADD45B, ATF3, SH3MD1, FANCF, and the internal standard GAPDH were measured by qRT-PCR in triplicates using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers specific to the above genes are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Primer Sequences for qRT-PCR Analysis

| Gene Name | Primer Sequences |
|-----------|------------------|
| GAPDH     | 5′-CACTTACATGGTCTCGATGTTCC-3′<br>5′-CAACTTGTTCCATGTGATAG-3′ |
| SLG6A13   | 5′-TGGGAGATCATGCGCTTATG-3′<br>5′-AGGAGAACGAGGATAGG-3′ |
| USP31     | 5′-CTGGACTACCCCGCAG-3′<br>5′-CAGAAACTCTGGGACCATC-3′ |
| GADD45B   | 5′-GATGTCATCCCTCCCTCCCTC-3′<br>5′-GGCCCAGAAAAACCCGG-3′ |
| ATF3      | 5′-ACTTCCAGGGCAAGACCTG-3′<br>5′-CGATCCTTGAGGTCTCAAGAG-3′ |
| SH3MD1    | 5′-AGGAAACKCCCTTCGAACTA-3′<br>5′-CTGATCCTTGAGGTCTCAAGAG-3′ |
| FANCF     | 5′-GGTATGTTCCACGTGCTTCGG-3′<br>5′-GTTGGCCTAGTCTACACTA-3′ |
Statistical analysis. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). Student’s t test was used for 2-group comparison and one-way ANOVA was performed for multiple comparisons. All tests were 2-sided and differences were considered significant when p < .05.

RESULTS

OH-GQDs Characterization

TEM imaging of the OH-GQDs (Figure 1A) showed that they range in size from 4 to 6 nm. Figure 1B illustrates the topographic morphology of OH-GQDs as characterized by AFM; the heights are mainly distributed between 1 and 3 nm. The DLS analysis showed that OH-GQDs in deionized water had a narrow size distribution with an average hydrodynamic size of 14.1 ± 2.3 nm (Figure 1C). To study the cellular uptake of OH-GQDs, fluorescence microscope was used to assess the cellular uptake of OH-GQDs. The results showed that OH-GQDs can be efficiently uptaken by esophageal epithelial cells HET-1A (Figure 1D). We further detected the internalized OH-GQDs by flow cytometry. The SSC is proportional to cell granularity or internal complexity, thus any nanoparticles within the cells will result in the changing in the SSC signals. The SSC signals in cells treated with OH-GQDs were shown in Supplementary Figure 1. A significantly increased SSC signal was detected in OH-GQDs-treated cells when compared with control cells, indicating that OH-GQDs entered into cells efficiently.

OH-GQDs Inhibited Cellular Viability and Arrested Cell Cycle Progression of Human Esophageal Epithelial Cells

Since oral administration is a preferred mode of drug delivery in humans, an esophageal epithelial cell line (HET-1A) was used as a model to assess the potential cytotoxicity of OH-GQDs. The HET-1A cells were exposed to different concentrations (25–200 µg/ml) of OH-GQDs for 24 and 48 h. As shown in Figures 2A and 2B, OH-GQDs significantly reduced the cell proliferation in a dose- and time-dependent manner. When compared with the untreated group, the viability of HET-1A cells was decreased to 13% and 2% after treated with 100 and 200 µg/ml OH-GQDs respectively for 24 h (Figure 2A). As shown in Figure 2B, 100 and 200 µg/ml OH-GQDs killed almost all the cells after 48-h treatment. We also found that OH-GQDs exhibited obvious cellular toxicity on normal human hepatic cells LO2, human small intestine epithelium cells HIEC-6 and human esophageal cancer cells ECA-109 (Supplementary Figures 2 and 5A).

To further determine the effect of OH-GQDs on proliferation, the cell cycle progression of HET-1A cells was detected by flow cytometry. The HET-1A cells were incubated with 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs for 24 and 48 h. As shown in Figures 2C and 2D, OH-GQDs significantly induced G0/G1 phase arrest in HET-1A cells. The percentage of cells blocked in G0/G1 phase increased from 57.2% in the untreated group to 68.78% and 80.83% in the groups treated with 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs for 24 h, respectively. The percentage of G0/G1 arrested cells increased from 57.7% in control group to 82.02% and 89.16% in the groups treated with 25 and 50 µg/ml OH-GQDs for 48 h, respectively.

However, the Annexin V-FITC-staining assays revealed that OH-GQDs significantly induced apoptosis only at the high OH-GQDs dose (100 µg/ml) but not at moderate dose (25 and 50 µg/ml) in HET-1A cells (Supplementary Figure 3).
The OH-GQDs-Induced DNA Damage and Increased Genomic Instability in HET-1A Cells

Our previous data demonstrated that OH-GQDs activated the p53 signaling pathway in the human nonsmall cell lung cancer cell line A549 (Tian et al., 2016). The p53 protein has long been recognized as the guardian of the genome as it mediates cell cycle arrest, DNA repair and cell death in response to DNA damage. However, the precise effects of OH-GQDs on genomic stability have not been fully clarified. As one of the variants of core histone H2A, H2AX has a unique C-terminal extension carrying a conserved serine residue (Ser139) which is phosphorylated in response to DNA damage. The phosphorylated H2AX (γ-H2AX) at the damaged sites is a docking platform for further recruitment of DNA damage repair factors. The phosphorylated...
signal can be detected by immunofluorescent staining and provides a convenient method to assess the number of DNA lesions. In this study, we used this assay to monitor DNA damage in the HET-1A cells exposed to different concentrations of OH-GQDs for 24 h (Figure 3A). The results showed that the percentage of γ-H2AX positive cells increased from 16% to 53%, 58% and 73% following treatment with 25, 50, and 100 μg/ml OH-GQDs, respectively (Figure 3B). We further determined the expression levels of γ-H2AX and H2AX in HET-1A cells treated with different doses of OH-GQDs using Western blot. As shown in Figure 3C, OH-GQDs promote H2AX phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner.

The cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay is another frequently used method to measure DNA lesions—precisely the damaged chromosomes fragments that fail to bind to the mitotic spindle and lag behind during nuclear separation. In addition, this assay can also detect nucleoplasmic bridges generating from asymmetrical chromosome rearrangements and nuclear buds which represent gene amplification. As shown in Figure 4, the abnormal nuclei frequency increased significantly only at the high OH-GQDs dose (100 μg/ml). Although the frequency of micronucleus increased from 11% to 19%, OH-GQDs did not induce the generation of nucleoplasmic bridges and nuclear buds in HET-1A cells. These data provide direct evidence that OH-GQDs nanoparticles induce DNA damage and increase genomic instability in human esophageal epithelial cells.

Oxidative stress is one of the most common reasons leading to DNA damage. Consistent with this, we observed that OH-GQDs dramatically promote the generation of ROS in HET-1A cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Supplementary Figure 4).

Figure 3. OH-GQDs induced DNA damage in human esophageal epithelial cells. A, HET-1A cells were treated with the indicated concentration (0, 25, 50, and 100 μg/ml) of OH-GQDs for 24 h; samples were collected and immuno-stained for anti-γ-H2AX antibody. The incidences of γ-H2AX-positive cells were counted. Scale bar = 50 μm. B, Quantitative data are represented as mean ± SD from 3 tests. One-way ANOVA was performed. When compared with 0 μg/ml OH-GQDs-treated cells, *** p < .001. C, Western blot analysis for γ-H2AX and H2AX expressions between OH-GQDs treated and control cells. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
OH-GQDs Interfered With Multiple Signaling Pathways and Decreased the Expression Levels of a Number of Genes Involved in DNA Damage Response and Cell Cycle Progression

To understand the potential molecular mechanism through which OH-GQDs disrupted cell cycle progression and induced DNA damage, the gene expression profiles of OH-GQDs-treated and -untreated HET-1A cells were evaluated and compared using microarray. HET-1A cells were harvested 24 h postculture with or without 50 μg/ml OH-GQDs and processed for microarray. The data revealed that 8151 genes had a 2-fold or higher change in expression level in the OH-GQDs-treated compared with the untreated cells. Among them, 3895 genes were up-regulated and 4256 genes were down-regulated (Figures 5A and 5B). The top 20 genes dysregulated in the OH-GQDs-treated cells in comparison to the vehicle-treated cells are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Pathway analysis showed that OH-GQDs treatment specifically altered the expression of genes associated with several key DNA damage response signaling pathways, including Fanconi anemia (FA), homologous recombination (HR) repair, mismatch repair, base excision repair, cell cycle, DNA replication, and p53 signal pathways (Figures 5C and 5D). The expression levels of 6 representative genes were validated by qRT-PCR and that of FANCF, SH3MD1, USP31, ATF3, and GADD45B were consistent with microarray data (Figure 5E).

The FA DNA damage repair pathway plays a crucial role in repairing DNA inter-strand crosslinks (ICLs) and is also reported to participate in replication stress response and HR. Our data revealed that OH-GQDs decreased the expression of a number of genes in FA and HR pathways, including the essential factor FANCD2 (Figures 6A and 6B). We further validated the protein level of FANCD2 in HET-1A cells treated with different doses of OH-GQDs using Western blot. As shown in Figure 6C, OH-GQDs inhibited FANCD2 protein expression in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, OH-GQDs also suppressed the expression of DNA-PKcs and ATM in both normal esophageal epithelial cells HET-1A (Figure 6C) and esophageal cancer cells ECA-109 (Supplementary Figure 5B), which are key regulators of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) repair pathway.

Our study revealed that OH-GQDs lead to cell cycle arrest, consistent with altered expression patterns of many cell cycle-related genes in response to OH-GQDs treatment (Figure 6D) which was also validated by Western blotting data (Figure 6E).

OH-GQDs Inhibited the Expression of Microtubule-Associated Proteins, Disrupted Microtubule Integrity and Interfered With Microtubule Regrowth

Microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) can bind to the microtubules and regulate their organization. Microarray data revealed that OH-GQDs inhibited the expression of MAPs genes (Figure 7A). To investigate the effect of OH-GQDs on microtubule functions, the microtubules in HET-1A cells were visualized by immuno-staining against α-tubulin which was detected using confocal immunofluorescence microscopy. As shown in Figure 7B, 25 μg/ml OH-GQDs was enough to disrupt microtubules as early as 24 h and a higher dose (100 μg/ml) could completely disrupt the microtubule structure and lead to their dissolution. To further assess the involvement of OH-GQDs in microtubule dynamics, OH-GQDs treated or control HET-1A cells were exposed to nocodazole in chilled medium to...
depolymerize the microtubules. Once nocadazole was removed and the medium changed to prewarmed RPMI-1640 with 25 and 50 μg/ml OH-GQDs, the microtubules started to regrow. The area of the nucleated microtubules around centrosomes was analyzed in OH-GQDs treated and control cells which showed that microtubule growth was significantly slowed down in the

Figure 5. Significant pathways altered in OH-GQDs-treated human esophageal epithelial cells. A, Clustering map of genes differentially expressed in OH-GQDs-treated and control HET-1A cells. B, Volcano plot comparing vehicle treatment versus OH-GQDs treatment. C and D, Significant pathways altered in OH-GQDs treated and control HET-1A cells. E, Quantitative real-time PCR validation for selected genes between OH-GQDs treated and control cells (n = 3). Student’s t test was performed. When compared with control cells, "]p < .01, ""p < .001. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
OH-GQDs-treated cells (Figures 7C and 7D). These results thus reveal a novel function of OH-GQDs in microtubule dynamic regulation.

**DISCUSSION**

Several recent studies have demonstrated that carbon nano-materials could activate the DNA damage response by enhancing the expression of p53, ATM, and Rad51 (Liu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). Our current work showed that OH-GQDs significantly increased the phosphorylation of H2AX at its Ser139 and increased the number of micronucleus in the human esophageal epithelial cell line HET-1A (Figures 3 and 4). Our and others’ previous investigations revealed that GQDs triggered the generation of ROS (Qin et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2016), which play key roles in mediating physical and chemical agents induced DNA damage (Cooke et al., 2003).

To further understand the molecular mechanism of OH-GQDs-mediated DNA damage response, gene expression analysis was done using mRNA microarray which identified 3895 up-regulated and 4256 down-regulated genes. Among them, the genes associated with several key DNA damage response signaling pathways, including FA, HR, mismatch

| Table 2. Top 20 Genes Upregulated Within OH-GQDs- and Vehicle-Treated HET-1A Cells (OH-GQDs-Treated versus Vehicle-Treated Cells) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Gene Name | Fold Change Upregulated | P-Value | Chromosome | Definition |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| SLC6A13 | 56.18 | 5.29E-05 | chr12 | Solute carrier family 6 (neurotransmitter transporter), member 13 |
| ATF3 | 35.58 | 9.44E-07 | chr1 | Activating transcription factor 3 |
| HMOX1 | 30.29 | 1.32E-06 | chr22 | Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 |
| RSAD2 | 30.06 | 2.75E-05 | chr2 | Radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2 |
| IL10RA | 26.34 | 8.14E-06 | chr11 | Interleukin 10 receptor, alpha |
| FRZB | 26.18 | 2.18E-05 | chr2 | Frizzled-related protein |
| PLCB1 | 24.94 | 9.95E-06 | chr20 | Phospholipase C, beta 1 (phosphoinositide-specific) |
| AOC3 | 24.72 | 9.85E-04 | chr17 | Amine oxidase, copper containing 3 |
| EGR4 | 24.38 | 3.61E-06 | chr2 | Early growth response 4 |
| MAFB | 23.92 | 5.57E-07 | chr20 | V-maf avian musculosarcomatous fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B |
| COL20A1 | 23.7 | 7.12E-04 | chr20 | Collagen, type XX, alpha 1 |
| JUN | 23.39 | 1.30E-06 | chr1 | Jun proto-oncogene |
| LIPN | 22.56 | 2.10E-02 | chr10 | Lipase, family member N |
| PYGM | 21.37 | 8.08E-05 | chr11 | Phosphorylase, glycogen, muscle |
| CTGF | 21.29 | 8.22E-07 | chr6 | Connective tissue growth factor |
| GADD45G | 20.76 | 6.35E-09 | chr9 | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, gamma |
| CDRT1 | 20.46 | 1.91E-05 | chr17 | CMT1A duplicated region transcript 1 |
| OASL | 18.04 | 2.64E-06 | chr12 | 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetase-like |
| ANKKD1 | 17.65 | 5.55E-06 | chr10 | Ankyrin repeat domain 1 (cardiac muscle) |
| FAM71F1 | 16.84 | 4.91E-06 | chr7 | Family with sequence similarity 71, member F1 |

| Table 3. Top 20 Genes Downregulated Within OH-GQDs- and Vehicle-Treated HET-1A cells (OH-GQDs-Treated Versus Vehicle-Treated Cells) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Gene Name | Fold Change Downregulated | P-Value | Chromosome | Definition |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| ADAT2 | 47.67 | 4.12E-06 | chr6 | Adenosine deaminase, tRNA-specific 2 |
| CSF3 | 42.88 | 7.14E-05 | chr17 | Colony stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) |
| TMEM71 | 34.1 | 1.13E-03 | chr8 | Transmembrane protein 71 |
| SH3XP2D2A | 32.61 | 1.23E-07 | chr10 | SH3 and PX domains 2A |
| PSD3 | 26.61 | 1.08E-05 | chr8 | Pleckstrin and Sec7 domain containing 3 |
| USP31 | 26.19 | 3.02E-05 | chr16 | Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 31 |
| PPARGC1B | 24.6 | 1.02E-04 | chr5 | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma, coactivator 1 beta |
| SH3XP2D2A | 23.95 | 9.04E-04 | chr10 | SH3 and PX domains 2A |
| U2SURP | 23.37 | 9.82E-05 | chr3 | U2 snRNP-associated SURP domain containing |
| ADAR2 | 22.96 | 8.61E-03 | chr10 | Adenosine deaminase, RNA-specific, B2 (nonfunctional) |
| RNF207 | 20.34 | 8.34E-06 | chr1 | Ring finger protein 207 |
| B4GALNT4 | 20.17 | 2.94E-03 | chr11 | Beta-1, 4-N-acetyl-galactosaminyl transferase 4 |
| FAM208A | 19.91 | 6.38E-06 | chr3 | Family with sequence similarity 208, member A |
| NMNAT3 | 18.59 | 2.69E-04 | chr3 | Nicotinamide nucleotide adenyllytransferase 3 |
| CA12 | 17.77 | 1.38E-03 | chr15 | Carbonic anhydrase XII |
| PAQR5 | 17.54 | 1.05E-03 | chr15 | Progestin and adipoQ receptor family member V |
| C1orf21 | 17.3 | 7.06E-07 | chr1 | Chromosome 1 open reading frame 21 |
| MPRI | 16.96 | 2.17E-05 | chr17 | Myosin phosphatase Rho interacting protein |
| TRAF5 | 16.73 | 3.61E-03 | chr1 | TNF receptor-associated factor 5 |
| SRGAP3 | 15.53 | 7.99E-04 | chr3 | SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase activating protein 3 |
repair, base excision repair (Figures. 5C and 5D). The DNA DSBs are among the most toxic types of DNA lesions and DNA DSBs response signaling pathways are coordinated by 3 phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-like family members: ATM, DNA-PKcs, and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR) (Marechal and Zou, 2013). In response to DNA damage, ATM is immediately activated and participates in both nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) and HR pathways and cell cycle checkpoint response (Lee and Paull, 2005). DNA-PKcs mainly contributes to DNA repair through NHEJ pathway (Davis and Chen, 2013), whereas ATR is activated by the generation of signal-stranded DNA by DNA damage end resection (Cimprich and Cortez, 2008). It has been reported that lots of small molecular compounds target different DNA damage repair proteins. For example, Shamanna et al. (2016) found that camptothecin, a inhibitor of DNA topoisomerase I (Top1), promotes WRN degradation in ubiquitin-proteasome dependent manner. Yan et al. (2006) revealed that vanillin derivate bromovininduces cleavage of DNA-PKcs. In addition, DNA-PKcs is subject to cleavage by proteases during apoptosis (McConnell et al., 1997). However, our study showed that the mRNA levels of several DNA repair genes, such as ATM and DNA-PKcs, were dramatically down-regulated in OH-GQDs-treated cells (Figure 6C). How the nanoparticles affect the transcription of DNA damage response proteins is still unclear. Interestingly, a recent investigation demonstrated that heat shock-induced activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress inhibits transcription of several DNA repair proteins via ATF4 and IRE1α (Zhu et al., 2012). Pagliarini et al. (2015) identified that transcription factors E2F1 is also down-regulated during

**Figure 6.** Heat maps and validation of differentially expressed genes related to DNA damage repair and cell cycle regulation in response to OH-GQDs treatment. The expression of (A) FA pathway genes, (B) HR repair genes, and (D) DNA DSBs response and cell cycle regulation pathway genes in OH-GQDs treated and control cells. C and E, Western blot validation for differentially expressed proteins between OH-GQDs treated and control cells.
ER stress-induced apoptosis. It is well known that E2F1 is an upstream transcriptional factor for lots of DNA repair genes, such as BRCA1, RAD51, and RAD52 (Wang et al., 2016). Therefore, it will be interesting to further determine whether OH-GQDs trigger ER stress and inhibit DNA repair genes expression via E2F1 in the next study (Figure 7E).

OH-GQDs also inhibited the expression of several genes involved in the FA pathway which plays a central role in repairing DNA damage. FA pathway involves the expression of several genes, including PARP, ATM, and ATR. The expression of these genes was downregulated in cells treated with OH-GQDs. Additionally, OH-GQDs inhibited the expression of several genes involved in the DNA repair pathway, such as BRCA1, RAD51, and RAD52.

**Figure 7.** OH-GQDs treatment disrupted microtubule structure and suppressed microtubule regrowth around centrosomes. A, Differentially expressed genes related to tubulin functions in response to OH-GQDs treatment. B, HET-1A cells were exposed to the indicated concentration (0, 25, 50, and 100 μg/ml) of OH-GQDs for 24 h. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-α-tubulin antibody to visualize microtubules (green) and DAPI to visualize the nucleus (blue). Scale bar = 20 μm. C, The HET-1A cells were treated with chilled medium + 1 μg/ml nocodazole for 1 h on the ice. Microtubule nucleation and regrowth were detected at the indicated time post nocodazole removal in 25 and 50 μg/ml OH-GQDs treated and control cells. Scale bar = 20 μm. D, The area of microtubule around the centrosomes was measured and quantitative data are represented as mean ± SD from 3 tests (n ≥ 50). One-way ANOVA was performed. When compared with control cells, *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001. E, The OH-GQDs exhibit significant cytotoxic effects via increasing DNA damage, suppressing the expression of DNA damage repair genes and disturbing microtubule function. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
DNA ICLs and maintaining genome stability (Moldovan and D’Andrea 2009). To date, 18 gene products (FANCA to FANCT) have been identified in the FA pathway. A germline mutation in any one of these genes leads to the FA syndrome, a rare human genetic disease that causes bone marrow failure and results in a high incidence of tumorigenesis (Schwab et al., 2015). In addition to its function in repairing ICL lesions, FA pathway also participates in replication fork maintenance and the regulation of DNA DSBs end resection (Michl et al., 2016; Moldovan and D’Andrea 2009). Based on the microarray data, at least 9 of the FA pathway members were downregulated in OH-GQDs-treated HET-1A cells (Figure 6A). In addition, the expression of genes related to HR, mismatch repair and base excision repair was also significantly decreased in response to OH-GQDs treatment. Several previous studies have shown that GQDs induce oxidative stress which activates DNA damage response (Qin et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015). Our results confirmed that OH-GQDs suppressed the expression of many important DNA damage repair genes which might further enhance ROS-induced genotoxicity (Figure 7E). Consistent with this, we observed that OH-GQDs dramatically induced DNA damage and increased the genomic instability in HET-1A cells. It is widely accepted that chemical or occupational (such as silica and asbestos) toxicant exposure is one of the major reasons leading to the esophageal cancer (Kamangar et al., 2009). The increased genomic instability is hallmark of most human cancer and plays an important role in tumor development (Hu et al., 2009). Here, our data indicated that OH-GQDs have the potential risk to contribute to esophageal carcinogenesis via inducing DNA damage and increasing genomic instability in human esophageal epithelial cells. However, although the genotoxicity of OH-GQDs limits its general biomedical application, it can actually prove to be advantageous in cancer therapy. Since DNA damage is a significant cause of radio- and chemotherapy-induced cell death in different types of cancer cells, targeting the DNA damage repair system is one of the most common strategies used to sensitize the tumors to radio- and chemotherapy (Begg et al., 2011). The surface of OH-GQDs can be suitably modified to enhance its targeted delivery to cancer cells where it can specifically block the DNA damage repair system.

When associated with their corresponding partner cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) can be activated and promote cell cycle progression by phosphorylating appropriate substrates (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2009). We found that several cyclins and CDKs were down-regulated in OH-GQDs-treated cells, which was consistent with the G0/G1 cell cycle arrest induced by OH-GQDs. In addition, our data also revealed that OH-GQDs inhibited expression of many tubulin and MAPs genes. Microtubules are key components of the cytoskeletal system and play an important role in cell shape maintenance, mobility, and division (Pasquier and Kavallaris, 2008). Our study showed that OH-GQDs prevented microtubules assembly and disrupted their structure within cells. To date, several investigations have revealed that disruption of cytoskeletal structures can be induced by different kinds of nanoparticles (Holt et al., 2010; Ratnikova et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2017). For instance, Tian et al. (2017) found that GO can directly interact with and disrupt intracellular actin filaments while Ratnikova et al. (2011) reported that the nanoparticle C(60)(OH)(20) forms hydrogen bonds with tubulin heterodimer and inhibits microtubule polymerization. In this study, we only treated cells with OH-GQDs for very short time (only several minutes), but it is already enough to affect microtubule assembly speed. We speculate that there might be some more direct association between OH-GQDs and microtubule. Further studies will be focused on exploring whether OH-GQDs directly interact with the cytoskeletal system. More importantly, a recent study demonstrated that microtubules play an important role in mediating trafficking of DNA damage proteins (Poruchynsky et al., 2015). Therefore, the disruption of cytoskeleton system by OH-GQDs might further enhance their genotoxic effects via interfering with DNA repair proteins’ transport in cells.

In conclusion, we explored the biological effects of OH-GQDs in human esophageal epithelial cells and found that OH-GQDs can induce cell cycle arrest, DNA damage and increase genomic instability. Microarray analysis demonstrated that OH-GQDs inhibit the expression of genes associated with multiple signaling pathways such as DNA damage repair, cell cycle progression and cytoskeleton maintenance (Figure 7E).
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