Interfacial Behavior of Cs⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, and Rb⁺ Extraction in the Presence of Dibenzo-18-Crown-6 from the Nitrobenzene−Water Biphasic System: Experimental, Quantum Chemical, and Molecular Dynamic Studies

Rima Biswas,† Pallab Ghosh,† Tamal Banerjee,*‡ Sk. Musharaf Ali,‡* and Ashish Kumar Singha Deb‡

†Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781039 Assam, India
‡Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Chemical Engineering Division, Mumbai, 400085 Maharashtra, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Extraction of metal ions (i.e., Cs⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, and Rb⁺) in the presence of ionophore such as dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6) from the nitrobenzene−water biphasic system is reported by COSMO-RS (conductor-like screening model for real solvents) predictions, molecular dynamics simulation, along with experimental validation. The predicted values of selectivity as obtained for the Na⁺−DB18C6 complex were 4.571, 4.877, and 4.947 at 298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K, respectively. This was then confirmed by the experimental distribution coefficient (D) as obtained in the diluent systems along with by varying the metal ion to crown ether ligand (M−L) mole ratios: 10:1 (0.1 M M⁺ and 0.01 M DB18C6), 1:1 (0.01 M M⁺ and 0.01 M DB18C6), and 1:10 (0.001 M M⁺ and 0.01 M DB18C6). The experimentally determined values of DNa (i.e., 0.059, 0.060, and 0.056) were found to be very large as compared to the values of DCs (i.e., 0.001, 0.010, and 0.024) in the nitrobenzene phase. It indicates an excellent extraction ability of DB18C6 for Na⁺. The rate of phase separation for the Cs⁺NO₃⁻ system was slow as compared to other metal ion systems. The binding energies, free energies, and nonbonded interaction energies of the complexed metal ion in solution were calculated with both explicit and implicit solvent models. A higher interaction energy between Na⁺−DB18C6 complex and nitrobenzene was observed (i.e., −289.92 in the explicit model and −143.12 kcal/mol in the implicit model) when compared with other metal ions (i.e., Cs⁺, K⁺, and Rb⁺).

1. INTRODUCTION

Extraction of metal ions is considered to be a very complex process. The spent nuclear fuel contains a small amount of ¹³⁷Cs and a large amount of Na⁺, which is a major heat-generating radionuclide.¹,² Removal of radionuclide leads to reduction in heat load, which lowers the volume of repository required for final vitrification. The partitioning of these radionuclides is one of the most difficult challenges in spent nuclear reprocessing. Specific ionophores such as calix⁴ arene-crown-6 ethers are extensively used for the extraction of cesium ion from the nuclear waste solution.³ Removal of cesium ion using crown ether (CE) has been reported earlier by Luo et al.⁴ and McDowell et al.⁵ The application of CE has been found to be very efficient for the extraction of metal ions such as cesium, sodium, and potassium.⁴

Along with the ionophore, the diluent also plays a major role in liquid−liquid extraction. The ionophore is usually soluble in the diluent, which aids in the metal ion coordination. The use of nitrobenzene as an organic diluent for the extraction of alkali metal ions using dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6) has been reported by Makrilik et al.⁶ Michael et al.⁷ reported a theoretical study on the neat water−nitrobenzene liquid−liquid interface at 300 K. Jorge et al.⁸ further studied the intrinsic structure and dynamics of the water−nitrobenzene interface. Continuing with the same trend, the transfer of calcium ion across the water−
nitrobenzene interface has also been performed by Santos et al.  

On the basis of this perspective, a need is felt for a comprehensive study involving the two-phase system, that is, the CE and the metal ion. One of the commonly used quantum chemical (QC)-based models, that is, COSMO-RS (conductor-like screening model for real solvents), is based on the integration of QC calculations and a statistical mechanical framework. This model is used to determine the activity coefficient of any component in the system by placing the solute in the midst of a conductor, so as to attain perfect screening. The screening charges developed are used to predict the chemical potential and the activity coefficient. However, COSMO-RS predicts equilibrium properties and hence may not provide a deep understanding of the solvation and transport mechanism. To get a wide picture, molecular dynamic (MD) simulations are necessary. Although COSMO-RS will help us in predicting the Gibbs solvation free energy, MD will provide information on the demixing index (rate of phase separation) and radial distribution functions (RDFs).

We have also attempted to predict the interaction energies during complexation for the metal ion–ionophore pair using density functional theory (DFT) optimizations within a polarizable continuum model (PCM) and an explicit solvent model. Together, we shall be able to obtain lucid information on the underlying mechanism of charge transfer.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. COSMO-RS Predictions. Within the COSMO-RS mode, the sigma profile is a useful descriptor which defines the polarity of two adjacent molecules or segments. These are depicted in Figure 1, indicating the local polarity of the molecular surfaces. The hydrogen-bonding cutoff region for screening charge density ($\sigma$) is defined by the hydrogen bond acceptor ($\sigma_{HB}^{<} < -0.0084$ e/Å$^2$) and hydrogen bond donor ($\sigma_{HB}^{>} > +0.0084$ e/Å$^2$). A fraction of sigma profile in the acceptor region ($\sigma_{HB}^{<} < -0.0084$ e/Å$^2$) defines the electropositive charge of the molecule, which shows the ability of the respective section to donate a hydrogen bond. This is evident from the sharp peak of the metal ion, which is positive in nature. The screening charges developed are opposite to that of the inherent solute density. On the other hand, a fraction of the sigma profile in the donor region ($\sigma_{HB}^{>} > +0.0084$ e/Å$^2$) defines an electronegative part of the molecule, which indicates its ability to accept a hydrogen bond. This corresponds to the anion of the metal salts. The sigma profiles of DB18C6 along with the metal ion (or the complexes) are shown in Figure 1a. From the sigma profiles, it is implied that the metal ions (Figure 1b) are located toward the hydrogen bond donor region, that is, $\sigma_{HB}^{>} > +0.0084$ e/Å$^2$; however, the cutoff for hydrogen bond energy is greater than +0.0084 e/Å$^2$. A contrary is observed for the metal ion complex where the majority of the profile lies in the nonpolar region of $-0.0084$ e/Å$^2 < \sigma_{HB} < +0.0084$ e/Å$^2$. This indicates that after the capture, it indeed becomes hydrophobic and tends to have a potential to diffuse into another solvent. This indicates an increased stability of the metal ions when encompassed within the ionophore.

The screening charge density of DB18C6 and its complexes is shown in Figure 2a–e. The red portion shows the positive surface screening charge within the CE cavity, whereas the blue region corresponds to the negative surface charge of the trapped metal ion (Figure 2b–e). It is interesting to observe that the charge neutralization on the introduction of metal ion is highly dispersed in the case of Cs$^+$ extraction (Figure 2b) when compared to the same for Na$^+$ (Figure 2d). However, we cannot comment on the nature of interaction or binding energies because we do not know the absolute activity coefficient. This can be decided by the selectivity values which are experimentally observed.

The selectivities for the metal ions and their complexes in the nitrobenzene–water binary system at three temperatures (i.e., 298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K) are listed in Table 1. The selectivity ($S$) of the solutes was obtained from the ratio of the aqueous species in the nitrobenzene-rich phase (extract) to the complex in the nitrobenzene-rich phase.  

$$ S_{12}^{\infty} = \frac{\gamma_{1}^{\infty}}{\gamma_{2}^{\infty}} \text{ nitrobenzene} \quad \frac{\gamma_{12}^{\infty}}{\gamma_{22}^{\infty}} \text{ water} \quad \approx \frac{\gamma_{1}^{\infty}}{\gamma_{2}^{\infty}} \text{ nitrobenzene} $$

Figure 1. Sigma Profiles of (a) DB18C6 (blue), Cs$^+$–DB18C6 (red), K$^+$–DB18C6 (green), Na$^+$–DB18C6 (pink), and Rb$^+$–DB18C6 (black) and (b) Cs$^+$NO$_3^-$ (pink), K$^+$NO$_3^-$ (green), Na$^+$NO$_3^-$ (red), and Rb$^+$NO$_3^-$ (blue).

Figure 2. COSMO segmented surface of (a) DB18C6, (b) Cs$^+$–DB18C6 complex, (c) Rb$^+$–DB18C6 complex, (d) K$^+$–DB18C6 complex, and (e) Na$^+$–DB18C6 complex.
where $\gamma_1^\infty$ and $\gamma_2^\infty$ are the activity coefficients of the complex and water at infinite dilution. The selectivity of metal ions is found to be lower than that of the complexed metal ions in the nitrobenzene–water binary system. For the calculation, we have assumed a complete dissociation of the metal salts. Thus, the sigma profiles of the individual ions are linearly added up as per their individual sigma profile.\textsuperscript{14} The selectivity was found to decrease with increasing metal ion size, that is, Na$^+$ > K$^+$ > Rb$^+$ > Cs$^+$. The selectivities of Na$^+$NO$_3^-$ (i.e., 1.285, 1.257, and 1.231) were found to be higher than those of other metal ions, that is, K$^+$NO$_3^-$, Rb$^+$NO$_3^-$, and Cs$^+$NO$_3^-$. Similarly, in the case of the Na$^+$–CE complex, the selectivities (i.e., 4.571, 4.877, and 4.947) were larger than the other complexed metal ions. The selectivity sequence of the complexed metal ions is known to depend on the metal ion size and the ligand cavities.\textsuperscript{15} The high selectivity of the complexed metal ion signifies the good extraction ability of CE for metal ions in the nitrobenzene–water binary system.

### 2.2. MD Simulations

In this subsection, we will first report the phase separation of the nitrobenzene–water mixtures so as to understand the extraction mechanism. The following sections will then demonstrate the computation of binding energies, free energies, and the interaction energies of the complexed metal ions using the explicit and implicit solvent models.

#### 2.2.1. Demixing Simulations for the Binary Nitrobenzene–Water Solution

In this section, phase separation of nitrobenzene–water binary mixtures containing the ionophore (DB18C6) and the salts (i.e., Cs$^+$NO$_3^-$, K$^+$NO$_3^-$, Na$^+$NO$_3^-$, Cs$^+$)

| solutes                  | 298.15 K | 308.15 K | 318.15 K |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Cs$^+$NO$_3^-$           | 0.348    | 0.354    | 0.361    |
| Rb$^+$NO$_3^-$           | 0.362    | 0.364    | 0.366    |
| K$^+$NO$_3^-$            | 0.406    | 0.409    | 0.412    |
| Na$^+$NO$_3^-$           | 1.285    | 1.257    | 1.231    |
| Cs$^+$–CE complex        | 1.607    | 1.651    | 1.697    |
| Rb$^+$–CE complex        | 1.651    | 1.711    | 1.755    |
| K$^+$–CE complex         | 3.562    | 3.760    | 3.994    |
| Na$^+$–CE complex        | 4.571    | 4.877    | 4.947    |

#### Table 1. COSMO-RS-Predicted Selectivities of Solutes in Nitrobenzene–Water Systems at Different Temperatures

Figure 3. Initial and final snapshots of nitrobenzene–water demixing systems with (a) Na$^+$NO$_3^-$ (blue), (b) K$^+$NO$_3^-$ (yellow), (c) Rb$^+$NO$_3^-$ (orange), (d) Cs$^+$NO$_3^-$ (cyan), and DB18C6 at 1:1 (metal ion/ligand) ratio. Plots for density profiles of solvents and solutes for each system are given in the last column.
and Rb\(^{+}\)NO\(_3^-\)) is reported. The two liquids were found to be separated rapidly after 1 ns run, forming two clean bulk phases. During the dynamics, the hydrophilic moieties of the solutes (i.e., Cs\(^+\), K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), and Rb\(^+\)) were found to diffuse from the bulk aqueous phase to the nitrobenzene side of the interface in the presence of ionophore. This is observed from the density curves of metal ions pointing to the broad peak near the interface at 40 ns (Figures 3–5). The MD simulations were carried out at four-metal ion to CE ligand (M/L) stoichiometric ratios: 1:1 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6), 1:2 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 12 DB18C6), and 2:1 (i.e., 12 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6). In the Na\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) system, the first complexation of Na\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed only after 3 ns of simulation time, whereas at the end of the dynamics, we observed three complexes at the interface (Figure 3a). The position of the complexes is specified by red circles, as shown in Figure 3. In the case of K\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) and Rb\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) systems, the complexation was observed at 12 and 17 ns, respectively. Both the complexes were found to be located near the interface (Figure 3b,c). In the case of Cs\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) system, a single Cs\(^+\) ion was found to diffuse from the bulk aqueous phase to the interface (Figure 3d).

In the 1:2 Na\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) system, the first complexation was observed at 1 ns, which slowly increased to five toward the end of simulation. However, all of the complexes were observed to appear at the interface (Figure 4a). The partitioning of Na\(^+\) was increased with increasing CE ligand concentration. On the other hand, for the K\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) system, the complexation of K\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed at 5 ns, whereas at the end of the simulation, three complexes were placed at the interface, as indicated by the density profiles (Figure 4b). In the RbNO\(_3\) system, the complexation of Rb\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed after 17 ns (Figure 4c) and in the CsNO\(_3\) system, the complexation of Cs\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed at 23 ns at the interface (Figure 4d).

For the 2:1 Na\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) system, the first complexation of Na\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed after 7 ns, whereas the second one was observed at 18 ns (Figure 5a). Both the complexes were found to be located near the interface (Figure 5a). In the other systems (i.e., K\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\), Rb\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\), and Cs\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\)), the complexation of M\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed at the interface and the complexes were solvated by the nitrobenzene molecules (Figure 5b–d).

To understand the cation exchange phenomena, we considered different metal salts in the systems. The MD simulations were carried out at four-metal ion to CE ligand (M/L) stoichiometric ratios: 1:1 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6), 1:2 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 12 DB18C6), and 2:1 (i.e., 12 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6). In the Na\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) system, the first complexation of Na\(^+\) with DB18C6 was observed only after 3 ns of simulation time, whereas at the end of the dynamics, we observed three complexes at the interface (Figure 3a). The position of the complexes is specified by red circles, as shown in Figure 3. In the case of K\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) and Rb\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) (1:1) systems, the complexation was observed at 12 and 17 ns, respectively. Both the complexes were found to be located near the interface (Figure 3b,c). In the case of Cs\(^+\)NO\(_3^-\) system, a single Cs\(^+\) ion was found to diffuse from the bulk aqueous phase to the interface (Figure 3d).
simulations were carried out with four metal salts (Na\(^+\)Cl\(^-\), K\(^+\)Cl\(^-\), Rb\(^+\)SO\(_4\)\(^-\), and Cs\(^+\)Cl\(^-\)) and DB18C6 at the stoichiometric ratios of 1:1 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6), 1:2 (i.e., 6 M\(^+\) and 12 DB18C6), and 2:1 (i.e., 12 M\(^+\) and 6 DB18C6) (Figures S1–S3, Supporting Information). We notice that the counter anions do not change the extraction behavior when compared to the same anion, that is, NO\(_3\)\(^-\) (Figures 3–5). Thus in all cases, Na\(^+\) interacts more strongly with DB18C6 at the interface which can be derived from its higher stoichiometry. This also reflects in their experimental distribution coefficients in Table 2. It should be noted that the ionophore affects the extraction process by solvating the metal ion complex in the nitrobenzene phase which tends to depend on its dielectric constant, dipole moment, and the H-bonding ability. The results indicate that the smallest ion Na\(^+\) is intrinsically preferred, and it agrees with the large value of selectivity (4.947) as predicted by COSMO-RS (Table 1).

With respect to phase separation in Cs\(^+\)NO\(_3\)\(^-\)- and Rb\(^+\)NO\(_3\)\(^-\)-based systems [Figure 6a,b], the demixing index \(\lambda\) decreases down to 0.4 after 6.82 and 4.81 ns, respectively. One the other hand, when K\(^+\)NO\(_3\)\(^-\) and Na\(^+\)NO\(_3\)\(^-\) [Figure 6c,d] is represented as solutes in the system, \(\lambda\) decreases down to 0.4 in only 2.04 and 2.93 ns, respectively. Thus, it implies that an interface formation is faster for K\(^+\)- and Na\(^+\)-based systems when compared to Cs\(^+\) and Rb\(^+\) systems. The phase separation was found to be slower for the Cs\(^+\)NO\(_3\)\(^-\)-based system. This is expected as the solvation phenomena are known to be stronger in the cesium-based system owing to its higher concentration of charge. Although all systems demix readily, the outcome of phase separation depends on the nature and concentration of the solute. In all of the profiles, \(\lambda\) decreases from 0.9 (mixed state) and approaches an equilibrium value of 0.4 (separated phases) to well-separated phases at 40 ns. The final value is not exactly zero because the densities of the liquids overlap in the interfacial region.

2.2.2. Structural Properties of Metal Ions in the Nitrobenzene–Water Demixing System. The structure of the solvent molecules around the alkali metal ions is usually

| metal ion | \(D_{\text{Exp}}\) (1:1) | \(D_{\text{Exp}}\) (1:10) | \(D_{\text{Exp}}\) (10:1) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cs\(^+\)  | 0.001          | 0.010                | 0.024                |
| Rb\(^+\)  | 0.001          | 0.020                | 0.025                |
| K\(^+\)   | 0.028          | 0.055                | 0.042                |
| Na\(^+\)  | 0.059          | 0.060                | 0.056                |
obtained by the RDFs. The RDF plots of the metal ions (i.e., Cs⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, and Rb⁺) with the oxygen atoms of CE, nitrobenzene, and water are depicted in Figure 7. A sharp peak in the RDF of the Cs⁺−CE complex at 3.25 Å (Figure 7a) indicates the formation of the complex. The pair correlation function g(r) corresponds to the interaction between the metal ion and the center of mass of DB18C6 CE. A sharp peak in the RDF of the Cs⁺−CE complex at 3.45 Å (Figure 7a) indicates the formation of the complex. Similarly, sharp peaks of large magnitude between the metal ions and the oxygen atom of DB18C6 were obtained at 3.05 Å (Rb⁺), 2.85 Å (K⁺), and 2.23 Å (Na⁺). Hence, it can be observed that the position of the sharp peaks changes monotonously with decreasing the ion size from Cs⁺ to Na⁺. This indicates the formation of a highly stable complex (Figure 7a−d) for all of the metal ions. Similar large peaks between the metal ions and the oxygen atom of water.
were obtained at 2.65 (Na⁺), 3.25 (K⁺), 3.35 (Rb⁺), and 3.65 Å (Cs⁺) (Figure 7). This suggests a reasonably strong association of the metal ions with water as compared to that with nitrobenzene. In addition, it was observed that the nitrobenzene molecules formed a well-defined first solvation shell around the metal ions but a weaker second solvation shell. This indicates that long-range ordering of the complex was not possible. The coordination number of the nitrobenzene molecules in the first solvation shell of the metal ion was obtained by integrating the M⁻–O (nitrobenzene) RDFs till the first minimum. The results are given in Table 3. The coordination numbers (Table 3) of free Cs⁺, K⁺, and Rb⁺ (i.e., 35.67, 25.84, and 27.92) were much larger than that of Na⁺ within the first solvation shell. This is due to the fact that higher fractions of Cs⁺, K⁺, and Rb⁺ were coordinated with the oxygen atoms of water. The Na⁺ ion was found to be surrounded by three to four oxygen atoms of CE. The higher coordination number of Na⁺ (i.e., 3.17) than the other metal ions (i.e., K⁺, Cs⁺, and Rb⁺) suggests the formation of complexes with high stability.

Figure 8 represents the spatial distribution functions (SDFs) that provide the average density of different metal ions around a reference CE, water, and a nitrobenzene molecule. These SDFs are obtained using TRAVIS package.18 The isovalue employed for the SDFs corresponding to the metal ion–CE is 3.5 particle Å, whereas for the metal ion–nitrobenzene and metal ion–water, the isovalue is 0.05 and 0.02 particle Å, respectively. As can be seen, the more active (“O atom”) side of CE is surrounded by Na⁺ ion, whereas the less active side of CE surrounds the Cs⁺ ion. Moreover, the appearance of the distribution of the Na⁺ ion around the active side of nitrobenzene is closer than that of other metal ions. This finding is in accordance with the RDF plots involving Na⁺–CE and Na⁺–nitrobenzene (Figure 7). In specific, the positions of the first peak of these RDFs appear at 2.23 and 4.16 Å, respectively. Furthermore, Na⁺ ions are distributed at a distance that is very far from the water molecule. Therefore, other metal ions (i.e., Cs⁺, Rb⁺, and K⁺) are very closely distributed around the active sides of the water molecule.

2.3. Explicit and Implicit Solvent Models. The binding, interaction, and free energies with the solvents for free metal ions and the complexes are computed using both explicit solvent as well as PCM approach. Figure 9 shows the schematic diagram for calculating the binding and interaction energies in vacuo using explicit and implicit solvents. Binding energies of the complexed metal ions in vacuo were calculated by eq 2

\[ \Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{complex}}^0 - (\Delta E_{\text{metal}}^0 + \Delta E_{\text{solvent}}^0) \]  

where \( \Delta E_{\text{complex}}^0 \) and \( \Delta E_{\text{metal}}^0 \) are the energies of the complex, metal ion, and CE, respectively, in vacuo. Here, the values of the binding energies in vacuo were derived based on a thermodynamic cycle. Interaction energies, \( \Delta E_{\text{int}}^N \), were calculated between the solute Z and the solvent molecules N as per eq 3.

\[ \Delta E_{\text{int}}^N = \Delta E_Z^N - (\Delta E_Z^0 + \Delta E_{\text{solv}}) \]  

The superscript, N, represents the number of solvent molecules, and 0 indicates their absence. \( \Delta E_Z^0 \) and \( \Delta E_{\text{solv}} \) represent the energy of the solute in vacuo and the energy of the N solvent molecules in the geometry of the system (i.e., Z + solvent), respectively. The calculated binding and free energies of the free and the complexed metal ions are depicted in Figure 10. From Figure 10a,b, it is clear that the binding and free energies of Na⁺ (i.e., -163.07 and -162.09 kcal/mol) were higher than the same for the other free metal ions (i.e., Cs⁺, K⁺, and Rb⁺) in vacuo. The calculated binding and free energies for Na⁺ with CE (i.e., -78.41 and -68.06 kcal/mol, respectively) were also found to be higher than those of the other complexed metal ions (Figure 10c,d). The binding energy for Na⁺ with DB18C6 using SDD basis set was higher than those of the other ions, indicating a higher selectivity of Na⁺ over the other metal ions. The binding and free energies for the free and complexed metal ions in vacuo follow the sequence of selectivity obtained from COSMO-RS, that is, Na⁺ > K⁺ > Rb⁺ > Cs⁺.

A comparison between the values of interaction energies obtained from the explicit solvent model and the PCM is presented in Table 4. Interaction energies between the solute and the solvent molecules were calculated by using the difference between the energies obtained in the PCM solvent and the same in vacuo. The binding energies in the implicit solvent model were calculated from the energies in the PCM solvent. The binding energy of the complexed metal ions in the implicit solvent model was calculated from eq 4.
three of the other metal ions (i.e., Cs+, K+, and Rb+), indicating the higher selectivity for Na+ over the other metal ions. From the results reported in Table 4, it is clear that the smallest ion Na+ is intrinsically preferred, in the order: Na+ > K+ > Rb+ > Cs+. Hence, the results obtained from the MD simulations and the explicit and implicit solvation models on the preferential selectivity of Na+ over other competing metal ions with DB18C6 exactly agree with the selectivities predicted by COSMO-RS.

Overall, we have used MD combined with quantum mechanics (QM) to calculate the interaction energies of the complex with the solvents. We have compared the predictions of explicit and implicit solvation models and have related them to the structures observed in MD trajectories. The optimized potential for liquid simulation force field, which includes the bonded, van der Waals, and electrostatic interactions, is used in MD to calculate interaction energies, whereas QM calculations are based on all-electron ab initio approach. Results were averaged over about 1000 structures (last 5 ns of the MD trajectory). Interaction energies of the complex with solvents observed in MD differ with the predictions of the QM model. We suggest that this discrepancy results from the structure of the complex used in explicit solvent calculations at the optimal geometry, whereas the coordination of the complex with solvent molecules as observed from simulations is different. Further, the PCM interaction energies depend on the dielectric permittivity of the medium and polarity of the liquid. We conclude that the differences between MD simulations and the predictions of the QM model can be attributed to the structure of the studied systems as well as the fundamental level of approach is different.

3. CONCLUSIONS

This paper reports experimental studies combined with COSMO-RS and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to study the intermolecular interactions between the solute and the solvent (i.e., nitrobenzene and water). A comparison has been made between the interaction energies computed using both explicit and implicit solvent models. The sigma profiles of the metal ions and their complexes were used to understand the miscibility of the components. From the experimental data, it has been shown that the distribution constant of the Na+ ion is found to be higher than those of the other metal ions in the nitrobenzene-water binary mixture, which is in good agreement with the COSMO-RS predictions at three different temperatures. The calculated interaction energies for the Na+—CE complex with nitrobenzene obtained by MD and QC were also found to be higher than those for other metal ion complexes. While on one end, COMSO-RS gave a qualitative aspect for the distribution coefficient, on the other end, the experimental and MD- or QC-predicted interaction energies validated the COSMO-RS phenomena. The selectivities of the metal ions predicted by COSMO-RS decreased with increasing metal ion size in the sequence: Na+ > K+ > Rb+ > Cs+. The higher binding and interaction energies for the Na+—CE complex indicated the higher selectivity of Na+ over the other metal ions, which complemented well with the COSMO-RS predictions.

4. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

4.1. COSMO-RS Model. The COSMO-RS method has been extensively used to predict thermodynamic properties of
fluids and liquid mixtures. The COSMO-RS method was first reported by Klamt and co-workers. In the COSMO-RS model, the interactions of the molecular surfaces are determined by an interaction energy function, which depends on the screening charge densities. The screening charges are developed when the solute is placed in the midst of a conductor. The screening charges so produced are unique for each solute, and they are depicted in the form of histogram or probabilistic distributions. This probability distribution is estimated by the sigma profile

\[ P(\sigma) = \frac{A_\sigma(\sigma)}{A_i} \]  

Here, \( A_\sigma(\sigma) \) is the total surface area of the segment with a charge density \( \sigma \), and \( A_i \) is the total surface area of the entire solute. The activity coefficient of a molecule is then obtained by reducing the information for predicting segment potential using

Table 4. Interaction Energies (kcal/mol) of the Complex with the Solvent Calculated by QC and MD Simulation

| species          | ΔE_MD  | ΔE_explicit | ΔE_PCM     |
|------------------|--------|-------------|------------|
|                  | water  | nitrobenzene| water      | nitrobenzene|
| Cs⁺–CE complex   | -97.87 | -128.71     | -77.94     | -121.79     |
| Rb⁺–CE complex   | -85.28 | -164.92     | -87.34     | -152.45     |
| K⁺–CE complex    | -78.51 | -211.56     | -89.71     | -198.62     |
| Na⁺–CE complex   | -56.86 | -271.65     | -92.39     | -289.92     |

Figure 10. Binding energies and free energies (in kcal/mol) for free metal ions (a,b) and complexed metal ions (c,d) obtained from QC calculations.

Figure 11. Binding energies (in kcal/mol) for complexed metal ions in (a) water and (b) nitrobenzene (PCM approach) obtained from QC calculations.
a statistical mechanical framework. The global adjustable parameters such as the surface area of the segment ($\sigma_{\text{aal}} = 6.32 \text{Å}^2$), the hydrogen-bonding interaction constant ($\varepsilon_{\text{HH}} = 75 006 \text{kcal mol}^{-1} \text{Å}^4$), and the cutoff for hydrogen-bonding interaction ($\sigma_{\text{HH}} = 0.0084 \text{Å}^2$) are then subsequently used for the activity coefficient predictions.\textsuperscript{21} In this work, the initial COSMO files were generated by the BVP86/TZVP/DFT level of theory.\textsuperscript{22} These files contain the screening charge densities of the molecule concerned. These screening charges are then clubbed together in the form of sigma pro

\begin{align*}
U &= \sum_{ij} \frac{k_{ij}}{2} (r_{ij} - r_{0,ij})^2 + \sum_{\theta} \frac{k_\theta}{2} (\theta_{ijk} - \theta_{0,ijk})^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu} \sum_{m=1}^{4} \frac{6}{2} [1 + (-1)^m \cos(m\pi \nu_{ijkl})] + \\
&\quad \sum_{m=1}^{4} \left\{ 4 \epsilon_{\nu} \left[ \frac{\sigma_\nu}{r_{ij}} \right]^{12} - \left[ \frac{\sigma_\nu}{r_{ij}} \right]^{6} + \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{\nu} r_{ij}} \right\}.
\end{align*}

The first three terms denote the sum of bond, angle, and dihedral energies. The last term corresponds to the sum of electrostatic and van der Waals interactions between the nonbonded atoms. The parameters for nitrobenzene were taken from an earlier work.\textsuperscript{23,26} The equations and methodology are available in our earlier reported work on the COSMO-RS model.\textsuperscript{14} All of the calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 package.

4.2. Quantum Calculation. The structures of all molecules were drawn using the molecule visualization and editing program Gauss View 5.0, whereas the geometry was optimized by B3LYP in Gaussian 09 package. The frequency was estimated by using the freq command by B3LYP/SDD/DFT level of theory.\textsuperscript{25} The individual solutes in the implicit solvent model (PCM) were optimized with the same functional theory and SDD(+)/CBS basis.\textsuperscript{25} The single-point energy calculations were carried out at the MP2/SDD(+)/PCM level.

4.3. Molecular Dynamics. The MD simulations were performed by NAMD,\textsuperscript{24} version 2.9, where the potential energy is given by

\begin{align*}
N\epsilon &= T \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_W} + \frac{1}{\rho_M} \right).
\end{align*}

Here, $N$ is the normalization factor.

\section{5. EXPERIMENTS FOR DETERMINING METAL ION DISTRIBUTION}

5.1. Reagents and Solutions. DB18C6, \textgt;98% assay, was purchased from Alfa Aesar. DB18C6 solution (0.1 M) in nitrobenzene (AR grade, SDFine make) was prepared by dissolving 3.604 g of CE in 100 mL of nitrobenzene. Analytical-grade sodium chloride (Chemco Fine Chemicals), potassium chloride (Thomas Baker), rubidium sulfate (Merck), and cesium chloride (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.) were used for preparing 0.1 M of individual alkali metal ion (Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+}/Rb\textsuperscript{+}/Cs\textsuperscript{+}) solution by dissolving an appropriate amount of the above alkali metal salts in acidified water. Millipore water (a resistivity of 18.2 mΩ cm) was used in all experiments.

5.2. Solvent Extraction Studies. The organic phase was prepared by diluting 0.1 M DB18C6 to 0.01 M DB18C6 in nitrobenzene. The 0.1 M alkali metal ion solution was used as the aqueous phase. Each 5 mL of the aqueous and the organic phases was placed in a 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask, placed on a shaking machine at 200 rpm, and the mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min until the phases clearly separated. The extraction experiments were carried out at four-metal to CE ligand mole ratios: 10:1 (i.e., 0.1 M M\textsuperscript{+} and 0.01 M DB18C6), 1:1 (i.e., 0.01 M M\textsuperscript{+} and 0.01 M DB18C6), and 1:10 (i.e., 0.001 M M\textsuperscript{+} and 0.01 M DB18C6). The distribution coefficient was determined by comparing the metal ion trajectory was taken from VMD software.\textsuperscript{34} The densities of nitrobenzene ($\rho_{\text{N}}$) and water ($\rho_{\text{w}}$) were plotted as a function of the z-coordinate. The phase separation as a function of time was described by the demixing index ($\lambda$). This value ranges from 1 (for a perfectly mixed system) to 0 (for completely separated phases).

\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\lambda} &= N \left( \frac{1}{\rho_W} + \frac{1}{\rho_M} \right).
\end{align*}

Here, $N$ is the normalization factor.

\section{Table 5. Size of the Simulation Boxes and the Number of Molecules at 300 K}

| systems          | solutes          | box size $x$, $y$, $z$ (Å$^3$) | time (ns) |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| nitrobenzene–water (demixing) | 6 C\textsuperscript{6}NO\textsubscript{3}– | 43.22 $\times$ 43.22 $\times$ 86.44 | 40        |
| nitrobenzene–water (preinterface) | 6 C\textsuperscript{6}NO\textsubscript{3}– | 43.22 $\times$ 43.22 $\times$ 86.44 | 40        |
| nitrobenzene–water (preinterface) | 12 Rb\textsuperscript{+}NO\textsubscript{3}– | 43.22 $\times$ 43.22 $\times$ 86.44 | 40        |
concentrations in the aqueous phases before and after extraction. An inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, developed at TPD, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (Mumbai, India), was employed to estimate the alkali metal ion concentration in the aqueous phase after appropriate dilution. Equation 8 was used for calculating the distribution coefficient (D).

$$D = \frac{C_{\text{org}}}{C_{\text{aq}}} = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_e} \right) \left( \frac{V_{\text{aq}}}{V_{\text{org}}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $C_{\text{org}}$ and $C_{\text{aq}}$ are the concentrations of the extracted alkali metal ion in the organic phase and the retained alkali metal ion in the aqueous phase, respectively. $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the initial and final concentrations of the alkali metal ion in the aqueous phase, respectively. $V_{\text{aq}}$ is the volume of the aqueous phase, and $V_{\text{org}}$ is the volume of the organic phase. The values of D for DB18C6 are reported in Table 2.
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