Antimicrobial Property of Hydrocolloid Impression Material Incorporated with Silver Nanoparticles Against *Staphylococcus Aureus*
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Abstract. Dental impressions can easily become contaminated with patient’s blood and saliva which are capable of transmitting infectious diseases to dental personnel. The addition of antimicrobial agents into impression materials could be effective in reducing the chances of cross-infection. Silver nanoparticles have been applied in dentistry as a potent antimicrobial agent. This study aims to evaluate the in vitro antimicrobial efficacy of silver nanoparticles incorporated to irreversible hydrocolloid impression material against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Silver nanoparticles (AgZrPO₄, National Direct Network Company, Thailand) at concentrations of 0.25%, 0.50%, 1.00% and 1.50% w/w were added to powder of impression materials (Kromopan, Lascod, Ilaty). Impression material samples were prepared on sterile plate in accordance with manufacturer’s instruction. After setting, a 100 microliter of *S. aureus* ATCC6538 suspension (10⁶ cells/mL) were inoculated on the surface of the impression sample and left for 10 minutes. The amount of *S. aureus* on the surface was quantified using imprint technique on Mannitol Salt agar. Impression materials incorporated with AgZrPO₄ showed antimicrobial property against *S. aureus* (up to 95% reduction) compared with control (impression material without AgZrPO₄). Even though the mechanism of antimicrobial action was not clearly understood, AgZrPO₄ incorporated to impression material was demonstrated to possess an inhibitory effect against pathogenic bacteria. Further studies are needed to investigate physical properties of the material and the clinical usage.

1 Introduction

The study of dental biomaterials and its properties are of immense interest in the field of research and innovative technology. One of the most widely accepted and used in dentistry is the hydrocolloid alginate impression material owing to its several advantages over the others [1]. Impression material has been one of the many biomaterials that have captured the attention of many researchers. Firstly in trying to replicate the anatomic details of the oral cavity and then further to addition of antimicrobial agent to render it sterile. The reasons for the purpose of rendering it sterile erupts from the fact that dental impressions become contaminated with patient’s saliva and/or blood that can consequently cross-contaminate stone casts poured against them. Subsequent handling puts the dental personnel at high risks of microbial transmission/infection.

The micro flora of the oral cavity has a diverse community of microbes ranging from bacteria to fungi with studies even confirming the presence of viruses [2]. In a three year retrospective study by McCormack et al, they reported a large data regarding the recovery of *Staphylococcus aureus* in the oral and perioral cavity [3].

His findings condemned oral rinse as the main specimen from which the *S. aureus* could be isolated. It warns us of the potential cross-infection and dissemination to other body sites. It may be indispensable to the hands of dentists but impression taking is also known for effective cross infection and transmission of microbes and diseases thereof like many other dental practices [4]. As it is known that the oral cavity is a host to many known and unknown microbes [2], researches have confirmed that the oral fluid diffuse into the impression material [5] suggesting a pathway for initiating a cross infection that can be potentially deadly in transmitting infectious microorganisms. Of course it is needless to mention the numerous methods invented to render the impression material sterile in the form of aerosol spraying or immersion in the disinfectant solution or solely rinsing under running tap water[6], [7]. These methods tend to have some shortcomings either in the form of disinfection achieved or the compromise resulting in the physical property of the impression material that is controversial overall [8].

The dawn of nanotechnology, silver nanoparticles to be specific has gained much popularity owing to its potent antimicrobial activity [9]. Its use is well documented especially as a dressing material resulting from injury at times of battle. Dentistry also reports its use in various field including prosthetic dentistry, dental
implants and restorative as well as in endodontics in the form of root canal irrigation solution [10].

The purpose of this study is therefore to evaluate the antimicrobial property of hydrocolloid impression material incorporated with silver zirconium phosphate nanoparticles against *S. aureus*.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Microorganisms

*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 5638 were obtained from the culture collection of the Department of Oral Microbiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Mahidol University, Thailand. They were grown in Mannitol Salt agar at 30 to 35 °C for 7 days. Microbial suspension was prepared in saline solution to approximately 10⁸ CFU/ml using Mac Farlands no. 0.5 and further diluted to 10⁵ CFU/ml before being used in the antimicrobial testing.

2.2 Irreversible hydrocolloid impression material

The irreversible alginate impression material used for the test was Kromopan class A, type I dust free complying with ISO 1563. Lascod, Italy.

2.3 Silver based nanoparticles

The silver zirconium phosphate nanoparticles (AgZrPO₄) were supplied from the National Direct Network Company, Thailand and were mixed with powder of hydrocolloid impression material to the concentrations of 0.25%, 0.50%, 1.00 % and 1.50% w/w.

2.4 Antimicrobial testing

The irreversible hydrocolloid impression material powder incorporated with each concentration of silver nanoparticles (0.25%, 0.50%, 1.00%, and 1.50% w/w) was mixed with water following the manufacturer’s instruction and then poured into sterile petri dish. The 100 microliter of the microbial suspension were then spread on the surface of the impression material and left for 10 minutes. A 2×2 cm² sterile Whatmans filter paper was then placed on the spread bacteria and left for few minutes. This step simulates the activity of microbes that are harvested during the impression taking.

The filter paper were then removed and placed in the Mannitol Salt agar plate which serves as a selective media for the growth of *S. aureus* and was incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours. This procedure best describes the optimal technique that could give quantitative results for samples of specific unit areas. In this study the results were collected as the observed number of bacterial growth in all the media reflecting the different concentrations of AgZrPO₄ containing impression material. Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of viable bacteria recovered from impression material. It was evident to see that that least number of colonies (0.31±0.38) was observed in the impression material with the highest concentration of AgZrPO₄ (1.50%). On the other hand, the highest number of bacteria (1.67±0.48) was recovered from the impression material containing the least AgZrPO₄ (0.25%) that was comparable to that of the bacteria recovered from the control group without the AgZrPO₄.

![Figure 1. The percentage of *S. aureus* reduction at various concentration of AgZrPO₄ containing impression material compared with control.](image)

2.5 Statistical analysis

The antimicrobial property was evaluated by the recovery of number of *S. aureus* (CFU/cm²) on Mannitol Salt agar by imprint technique with non-parametric test using Kruskal-Wallis test. Pairwise comparison of group was done using Mann-Whitney test at a confidence interval set at 95% with the significance level set at α=0.05.

| AgZrPO₄ (%w/w) | 0.25  | 0.50  | 1.00  | 1.50  |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| no AgZrPO₄     | 1.67±0.4 | 0.65±0.53 | 0.31±0.38 | 0.13±0.28 | 2.47±0.45 |

3 Results

Imprint technique was used for this method, as it is noninvasive easy-to-use that would give representative and quantitative results for samples of specific unit areas. In this study the results were collected as the observed number of bacterial growth in all the media reflecting the different concentrations of AgZrPO₄ containing impression material. Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of viable bacteria recovered from impression material. It was evident to see that that least number of colonies (0.31±0.38) was observed in the impression material with the highest concentration of AgZrPO₄ (1.50%). On the other hand, the highest number of bacteria (1.67±0.48) was recovered from the impression material containing the least AgZrPO₄ (0.25%) that was comparable to that of the bacteria recovered from the control group without the AgZrPO₄. As seen in the Fig. 1, the percentage reduction of the viable bacteria is seen to increase in a dose dependent manner. The impression material containing (0.25%) AgZrPO₄ showed the least percentage reduction of 32%. On the other hand, almost up to 95% reduction in the viable *S. aureus* was seen with impression material containing (1.50%) AgZrPO₄ that corresponds to its highest concentration used. Statistical analysis showed no significant difference when the control group was compared to that of the lowest concentration of the AgZrPO₄ (0.25%). However, significant difference was
seen among the different concentrations of AgZrPO₄ containing impression material and that between the control and 0.50%, 1.00% and 1.50%.

4 Discussion

Infection control and prevention is of paramount importance to prevent cross contamination. It has been a huge concern in the medical practice including dentistry. One of the pathways to cross-infection can be demonstrated simply by the use of a common biomaterial by the name, irreversible hydrocolloid impression material while making a negative replica of the patients intra-oral hard and soft tissue. This material owing to their composition and the nature of hydrophilic mechanism of solidification can be easily infected with microorganisms present in the oral cavity and therefore be responsible for cross-infection. Literature has it that the oral fluids diffuse into the impression material up to 540 micrometer establishing the fact that impression material could be contaminated with microorganisms from oral fluid [5], [11]. It is also reported that impression material when not disinfected can be heavily contaminated with microorganisms.

The earliest methods to disinfect the impression material included the rinsing of the impression under running tap water. Many methods have been developed and applied since then in an attempt to disinfect the impression material in the form of either mixing the disinfectant directly with the impression material or immersed into or sprayed in the form of aerosol. Potential disinfectants include iodophors, chlorhexidine, phenolics and inorganic ions such as copper or fluoride. Quaternary ammonium compounds are reported to have limited antimicrobial abilities. Although the above methods and the disinfectants were effective in reducing the number of bacteria, difficulties were faced when complying with the procedure of doing “another step” [12]. Moreover, immersion techniques are known to distort the anatomic details in addition to taking up much of the time before the impression material can be poured. Some of the agents could cause adverse tissue response such as the quaternary ammonium compounds when present in multiple forms. Aerosol spraying has limitations in reaching every nook and corner of the dental impression.

On the other hand, Silver nanoparticles were extensively explored for their antimicrobial activity. The earliest reports of silver as documented were used for the prevention of infection. They were extensively researched and experimented in various dental fields including endodontics, prosthetic dentistry as well as dental implants. And since then, its use evolved giving way to the latest technology of nanoparticles that had the advantage of small-sized particles showing efficient antimicrobial properties due to their larger surface area producing an effective contact with microorganism [14]. Our study demonstrated that AgZrPO₄ when used in mixture with the impression material could have antimicrobial effects at concentrations as low as 0.25% against S. aureus. This result is in agreement with finding of Saengmee-Anupharb et al where silver inorganic materials were evaluated for the antimicrobial activities including silver zeolite (AgZ), silver zirconium phosphate (AgZrPO₄) and silver zirconium phosphate silicate (AgZrPSi) [14]. However, this antimicrobial activity exhibited by AgZrPO₄ is still an ambiguity. Reliable researches base the whole mechanism of antimicrobial activity firstly to the silver ions interaction with the cell wall, cell membrane and cell envelope of microorganism causing them to rupture and consequently resulting in cell death. It is also shown that silver ions do react with the nucleophilic amino acid residues in proteins that are attached to sulfhydril, amino, imidazole, phosphate and carbonyl groups of membrane or enzyme proteins [13]. Some studies relate the antimicrobial property to the structural changes induced in the bacterial cell by formation of free radicals and subsequent free-radical induced membrane damage [15].

The results of this study, through the statistical analysis, found no significant difference in bacterial inhibition between the control and the 0.25% AgZrPO₄ containing impression material. Significant difference was found between the control and the 0.50%, 1.00% and with 1.50% AgZrPO₄ and also between the different concentration of AgZrPO₄ containing impression material. With the increase in concentration of the nanoparticles in the impression material, their antimicrobial activity increased vice-versa. From this inference, although a high level of antibacterial activity can be achieved with the use of 1.50% of AgZrPO₄ in the impression material, the percentage reduction of S. aureus is comparable to that 0.50% AgZrPO₄ and therefore recommend the use of 0.50% of silver nanoparticle in impression material that will aim to achieve the maximum antimicrobial benefit while maintaining a low dose level of the disinfectant at the same time.

Few studies have reported the effect disinfection procedures had on the dimensional accuracy and surface quality of the irreversible hydrocolloid impression material. One study reports an increased distortion of irregular areas of the impression when using the immersion technique [8]. While there is a lack of evidence on the effect AgZrPO₄ has on the physical property of the impression material, it was interesting to note that Bajrchary et al, in their study of Poly methyl methacrylate resin incorporated with silver nanoparticles did not compromise the flexural strength of the Poly methyl methacrylate resin [16]. The findings of Slane et al, in acrylic bone cement impregnated with silver nanoparticles authenticate the least effect it has on the materials physical property where it was established that under low concentrations of metallic silver nanoparticles, acrylic bone cements had retained their mechanical and material properties similar to the standard cement [17]. It may not be appropriate to say the physical properties of the impression material incorporated with AgZrPO₄ will be least affected but rather be experimented and prove accordingly.

As with the problem of every antibacterial agent, silver nanoparticle has also expressed concern regarding
the side effect especially in terms of cytotoxicity and genotoxicity. Although limited studies can be found that are aimed at investigating the genotoxicity of the nanoparticles, it is nevertheless considered complex. One study in particular points out that the most resistant organism to genotoxicity being the human cell cultures [18]. More over the overall time period of contact of the impression material with the oral mucosa and related structures is limited to few minutes. This is even supported by the fact that oral healthcare products do contain silver which is attributed to the broad-spectrum antimicrobial effects and low toxicity to humans [14]. This propels us to further research more regarding the potential ability to produce an impression material equipped with an antibacterial agent least harmful to the patient while exerting the most beneficial antibacterial activity.

Indeed the human oral cavity is extensively populated with a vast variety of microbes out of which S. aureus having reported a carriage rate of 24-84% in healthy oral cavity and 48% incidence among the denture-wearing population [19], takes the credit for causing majority of the deleterious clinical diseases involving the hard and soft tissue of the mouth [20]. Since its spread by contact is vividly documented in literature posing a great deal of threat to the dental personnel, this study therefore provides a significantly useful alternative to control the pathologies connected with S. aureus and other associated microbes. Dental impressions can be easily contaminated with patient’s blood and saliva capable of transmitting infectious diseases to dental personnel. The method adopted in this experimental study was an attempt to produce an impression material with the addition of an antimicrobial agent so as to render the impression material effective against the numerous microbes including the S. aureus. The results obtained reflect the antimicrobial property of the AgZrPO₄ in the impression material that was seen to be effective in a dose dependent manner.

In conclusion, hydrocolloid impression material containing AgZrPO₄ could evidently reduce the number of test bacteria. However, further studies are required to test the accuracy in capturing the anatomic details including the physical properties with this impression material. Nevertheless, this basic research provides useful information on the application of AgZrPO₄ in dental product to control or reduce risk of cross-infection. Although the invention of 3-D scanner and printer endanger the practice of using conventional alginate impression material, it is still nevertheless an economic, safe and reliable method of impression taking of the oral cavity in many of the developing countries
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