Dental Caries: A Microbiological Approach
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Abstract

Tooth decay, also known as dental caries is an epidemic, microbiological contagious disease of the teeth that ends in localized dissolution and damage of the calcified structure of the teeth. This disease occurs due to multiple factors such as interactions within the plaque community, host physiology, diet, fluoride, pH and the nature of the tooth enamel, and dominance of Streptococcus mutans. The time factor is significant for the commencement and development of caries in teeth. The main instigation and progress of dental caries involves acidogenic and aciduric Gram-positive bacteria such as Streptococcus, Lactobacillus and Actinomyces colonizing the supragingival biofilm which impede with usual nutrition intake, verbal communication, self-worth and daily habitual behavior. Nutritional influences on craniofacial development, oral cancer and other oral infectious diseases are expensive to treat. In spite of development in science of oral diseases, dental caries extend to be a global health concern affecting human being of different age groups. With this concern, this review article highlights different microbiological perspectives of dental caries in broader sense and its update will help to upgrade the recent trends of microbiology in dental caries and also formulating various developmental programs towards oral hygiene.
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Introduction

WHO declares that deprived oral health and its related diseases may have dreadful effect on common health as well as eminence of life. Dental caries is a common and major public health oral disease which hamper the attainment and protection of oral health in different age groups [1,2]. The prevalence pattern and severity of dental caries varies with age, sex, race, socio-demographic characteristics, economic status, geographical location, food practice and oral hygiene habits within the same country or region in various parts of the world [3].

During the last few decades, the incidence of microbial diseases has amplified drastically. Microorganisms are the super bug agent responsible for causing dental caries. Many facultatively and obligately anaerobic bacteria dominate the microbial community of dental caries [2]. But, the most important etiological agent of dental caries is Streptococcus mutans [3]. Tooth decay takes place when a vulnerable tooth surface is colonized with cariogenic microbes and dietary source of sucrose or refined sugar. Fermentation of carbohydrate leads to production of lactic acid by the action of bacteria which melts the hydroxyapatite crystal structure of the tooth which grounds caries [4,5].

The experience of pain, problem with eating, chewing, smiling and communication due to missing, discolored or spoiled teeth have a foremost impact on people's everyday life [2]. Low self-esteem, adverse pregnancy outcomes, increased risk of myocardial infarction, cardiovascular disease, respiratory, erectile, diabetes complications, cavernous sinus thrombosis and Ludwig angina are associated with dental caries which can be crucial to human beings [6-8]. Moreover, oral diseases hamper activities at school, at occupation and at residence causing millions of school and employment hours to be vanished each year throughout the globe [3,9]. As a consequence, the treatment need is enhanced nowadays.

Globally, there is a great interest in the use of antimicrobial agents for prevention and treatment of dental diseases [10,6]. The emergence of antibiotic resistance has been identified due to inappropriate prescription and practice of antibiotic use [5,6]. In the recent years, dentists and dental professionals has shifted their interest from narrow spectrum antibiotic prescriptions to broad-spectrum aminopenicillins due to enhancement of bacterial isolates resistant to the former antibiotics in prescription practices [5,6,11]. Antibiotic resistance increases the morbidity associated with dental caries and contributes substantially to rising costs of care and the need for more expensive drugs [5,6,12,13]. This review paper focuses on the inference of definite bacteria in tooth decay, biofilm in the tooth cavity and drug resistance and preventive measures to reduce the susceptibility of dental caries.

Definition

The word caries derives from the Latin for rot or rotten. According to Bader, dental caries is a chronic contagious disease caused by a complete interaction of oral microorganism in dental plaque, diet and a broad array of host factors ranging from societal & environmental factors to genetic & biochemical/immunologic host responses [14].

Historical Evidence of Caries in Hominines and Early Humans

There has been long history of dental caries. Caries is a very old disease and it is not exclusive of the human species. Evidences of dental lesions compatible with caries have been observed in creatures as old as: Paleozoic fishes (570-250 million years), Mesozoic herbivores dinosaurs (245-265 million years), prehominines of the Eocene (60-25 million years), and Miocene (25-5 million years), Pliocene (5-1.6 million years), and Pleistocene animals (1.6-0.01 million years) [15,16]. Caries has also been detected in bears and other wild animals and it is common in domestic animals [17].

The rate of caries remained low through the Bronze and Iron ages. The increase of caries during the Neolithic period may be attributed to...
the increase of plant foods containing carbohydrates. The beginning of rice cultivation in South Asia also believed to have caused an increase in caries. The earliest theory was the “tooth worm theory” proposed by the ancient Chinese in 2500 BC, where it posited a tooth worm as the cause of this rottenness [18-21]. In 350 BC Aristotle observed figs and sweets caused tooth decay and by the 12th century, caries was described as the condition of having holes in the teeth or cavities. A Sumerian text from 5000 BC describes a “tooth worm” as the cause of caries (Figure 1).

Pre-Restorative Era (up to 1850 A.D.)

In this era, numerous observations were made about the causes of dental caries. But, the understanding of dental caries, its causes and treatment did not progress much until the 18th century. The first full text on dental diseases and their treatment was published in 1728 when Pierre Fauchard, a French surgeon, wrote “Le Chirurgien Dentiste.” Fauchard rejected the tooth worm theory of dental caries. Instead, he described enamel hypoplasia as “an erosion of the enamel” [22] and recommended that hypoplastic areas be smoothed using files. Fauchard suggested total excavation of carious cavities and filling them with lead, tin, or gold foil.

Until the eighteenth century, dental treatment was rather simple and was based on extraction of teeth and use of traditional remedies. With the beginning of the second industrial revolution in 1875, dentistry was on the edge of practicing an innovative revolt that paid attention on conserving teeth rather than extracting them (Figure 2).

The Restorative Era: 1850-Present

With the start of the second industrial revolution, significant economic and social changes took place in Europe and the United States. The dispersion of wealth and the creation of a class of middle-income working families in large cities created demands for restoring rather than extracting teeth. Late in the nineteenth century, dentists were faced with an increasing demand to conserve teeth from the ravages of dental caries. Amalgam was first used in Europe, but in 1855, Drs. W. M. Hunter and E. Townsend in the United States published a formula of amalgam that consisted of tin, silver, and mercury [22].

In 1883, a battery-powered electric dental engine was developed to ease the practice of dentistry with the introduction of faster dental engines and handpieces. These developments in technology, however, were not associated with advancement in knowledge of the diagnosis, etiology, and management of dental caries. This task was left to the pioneering works of Tomes, Webb, Black, and Miller, among others [23,24].

In the 1890s, W.D. Miller proposed chemoparasitic caries theory explained that bacteria colonized the oral cavity and produced acids that liquify tooth structures in the presence of fermentable carbohydrates [25,26]. In 1924, Killian Clarke illustrates a spherical bacterium in chains isolated from carious lesions as Streptococcus mutans. In the 1950s, Keyes and Fitzgerald working with hamsters demonstrate that caries was infectious and caused by an acid-producing Streptococcus. In 1960s, accepted that the Streptococcus isolated from hamster caries was the same as S. mutans described by Clarke [27].

Epidemiology

Today, tooth decay is increasing in incidence in the elderly in the United States and elsewhere as aging populations retain more of their dentition, making more tooth surfaces available for the disease processes. United States Surgeon General’s report shows that 45% of children ages 5 to 17 have cariously affected teeth and the problem is particularly severe among children in specific populations [28].

Globally, 36% population has dental caries in their permanent teeth and 9% population has in baby teeth [29]. The occurrence of this disease is most frequent in Latin American countries, countries in the Middle East, South Asia, and least rampant in China [30]. Dental caries is the most widespread chronic childhood disease in the United States five times more common than asthma affecting 60-90% of school children and the vast majority of adults approximately 29% to 59% [31,32] above the age of 50 years’ experience caries [2,9,33]. Among children in the United States and Europe, 20% of the population endures 60% to 80% of having dental caries [34]. Bacterial vaginosis is more frequent in females with bacterial infection of caries [35]. Total spending on dental services in the United States will be over $65 billion this year, with arguably about half of this a direct or indirect result of dental caries [36].

Types

Primary caries

It can take place on different tooth surfaces. On an approximal surface, the lesion initiates and appears below the contact area between teeth. Caries on an occlusal surface is also a localised phenomenon in pit and fissure. On both occlusal and approximal surfaces, enamel caries is a three-dimensional subsurface demineralisation that spreads along the enamel prisms [37,38].

Secondary caries

It is a lesion located at the margin of a dental restoration which
characterizes a caries lesion adjacent to the margin with signs of
demineralisation (wall lesions) alongside the cavity wall which could
be outcome of micro leakage. However, clinical and microbiological
studies suggest that this leakage does not lead to active demineralisation
below the restoration [37,38].

Microbial Ecology

The microbial ecology of caries includes the biology of oral bacteria
within related habitats i.e.,

The habitat

The hard (enamel and tooth root) and soft (mucosal) tissue surfaces
in the mouth provide a variety of microhabitats with distinctly different
structural and environmental parameters [39]. In particular, the non-
shedding surface of enamel allows the accumulation of a biofilm that
provides a protected habitat with a variety of niches that support a wide
range of bacterial genera and species [40].

Microorganisms associated with tooth decay

Two species of the 'mutans streptococci' viz. Streptococcus mutans
and Streptococcus sobrinus are the principal agents of enamel caries
[6,41-44]. Lactobacillus and Actinomyces are also associated with
caries. Actinomyces odontolyticus colonizes infants before eruption
of teeth [45]. Some root caries lesions are dominated by Actinomyces
naeslundii, A. israelii and A. gerencseriae [46-50]. The other significant
species involved in caries includes Streptococcus mitis, Bifidobacterium
and Actinomyces, a group of 'low pH' aciduric isolates which have been
isolated from white spot lesions in humans [6,46,51]. In contrast to
bacteria that lower plaque pH including Veillonella and Actinomyces
associated with caries.

Diversity among strains of species of oral bacteria

It is well accepted that phenotypic characteristics among strains
of bacterial species can vary. Changes in the phenotypes in plaque
could influence bacterial selection and survival [52,53] and the overall
activities of the plaque community. In addition to generation of diversity
through changes in their genomic DNA strains of species of oral
caries colonizing a mouth may be influenced by 'clonal replacement'
where new clones replace the existing clones in a habitat and contribute
to strain diversity [53].

Adaptation by oral bacteria to environmental parameters

Phenotypic adaptation and stress response mechanisms such as
tolerance of acid, starvation, oxygen, fluoride and expression of urease
[44,46-49,52,54-57], that are common among strains of a species can
be regarded as support of bacteria to survive stresses common to their
habitats due to 'stress proteins' [58-61].

The biofilm mode of growth

Dental plaque is a multifaceted biofilm community where bacterial
populations exist as separated micro-colonies in physiologically diverse
environments. Biofilm cells exhibit different characteristics from the
same cells growing in suspended culture [62].

Etiological Agent of Tooth Decay [6,38,63-67]

Different microorganisms can exist in single or poly-microbial
communities in caries, i.e.

- **Gram positive cocci** - Streptococcus mutans, S. mitis, S. salivarius,
  S. sanguis, S. intermedius, S. vestibularis, Staphylococcus aureus,
  Atopobium spp, Peptostreptococcus spp, Enterococcus fæcalis.

- **Gram negative cocci** - Veillonella parvula, Nesseria spp.

- **Gram negative rods** - Bacteroides denticola, B. melaninogenicus,
  Fusobacterium necrophorum, F. mortiferum, Escherishia coli,
  Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter aerogenes, Citrobacter
  freundii, Pseudomonas fluorescence, Haemophilus spp, Prevotella
  spp, Leptotrichia spp.

- **Yeasts** - Candida albicans, C. tropicalis, C. glabrata.

**Mutans streptococci (MS)**

*Mutans streptococci* are the foremost cariogenic pathogens in tooth
decay. They are highly acidogenic, producing short-chain acids which
soften hard tissues of teeth. Three isoymes of glucosyltransferases
catalyze and metabolize sucrose to synthesize insoluble extracellular
polysaccharides, which increase their adherence to the tooth surface
and persuade biofilm formation [6,68,69]. The most significant *mutans
streptococci* isolated from tooth caries samples are *S. mutans* [6] and
*S. sobrinus*. *S. mutans* is more cariogenic than *S. sobrinus* because of
specific cell-surface proteins, which assist in its primary attachment to
the tooth. But, such proteins are deficient in *S. sobrinus* (Table 1) [38].

**Streptococcus mutans**

Number of sugars and glycosides such as glucose, fructose,
sucrose, lactose, galactose, mannose, cellobiose, glucosides, trehalose,
maltose and group of sugar alcohols are metabolized by the bacterial
action of *S. mutans*. *S. mutans* synthesizes intracellular glycogen like
polysaccharides in the presence of extracellular glucose and sucrose
[38,70]. An important factor in the colonization and establishment of
*S. mutans* in the dental biofilm are mutacins (bacteriocins) produced by
*S. mutans* (Table 1) [38,71].

**Virulence Factors of S. mutans**

**Adhesion**

The adhesion of *S. mutans* within dental plaque can be mediated
via sucrose-independent as well as sucrose-dependent mechanism.
Sucrose-independent adhesion, salivary components within the
acquired enamel pellicle may initiate the attachment process whereas
in sucrose-dependent adhesion, sucrose can be principally accountable
for developing colonization to the tooth surface (Figure 3).

| S.No. | Groups                        | Species                    |
|-------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1     | *Streptococcus mutans* group  | *S. mutans*                |
|       |                               | *S. sobrinus*              |
|       |                               | *S. ratti*                 |
| 2     | *Streptococcus salivarius*    | *S. salivarius*            |
|       | group                         | *S. infantarius*           |
|       |                               | *S. vestibularis*          |
| 3     | *Streptococcus mitis* group   | *S. mitis*                |
|       |                               | *S. oralis*               |
|       |                               | *S. infantis*              |
|       |                               | *S. cristatus*             |
|       |                               | *S. pereis*                |
| 4     | *Streptococcus sanguinis*     | *S. sanguinis*             |
|       | group                         | *S. parasanginis*          |
|       |                               | *S. gordonii*              |
| 5     | *Streptococcus anginosus*     | *S. anginosus*             |
|       | group                         | *S. intermedius*           |
|       |                               | *S. constellatus*          |

Table 1: Five Major Groups of Viridans Group Streptococci [38,68].
Sucrose-independent adhesion

Sucrose-independent adhesion of *S. mutans* is strongly predisposed by antigen I/II, an 185kDa surface protein which is found on most oral streptococci [72]. They have been designated by a variety of names including P1, SpaP, Sr, PAC, and antigen B. Proteins within the antigen I/II family share structural similarity based on amino acid domains, but display variable functionality with respect to binding salivary agglutinins, salivary pellicle components, and other plaque bacteria [73,74]. The alanine-rich and proline-rich domains are thought to be primarily responsible for the interaction between antigen I/II and salivary components [75-79].

Importance of Saliva

Viscous saliva is less effective than watery saliva in clearing effect of carbohydrates which provides physical protection. Chemical protection contains calcium, phosphate, fluoride, buffers, bicarbonate, phosphate, and small proteins that neutralize the acids after ingestion of fermentable carbohydrates. Antibacterial substances in saliva work against the bacteria [6]. If salivary production is reduced due to illness or medications or radiation therapy, the teeth are at increased risk for decay [80].

Sucrose-dependent adhesion

The major mechanism behind sucrose-dependent adhesion is the action of glucosyltransferases (GTFs) in the synthesis of both water-soluble (dextran) and water-insoluble glucans (mutan). Apparently, sucrose-dependent adhesion can fasten binding by glucan coated *S. mutans* present inside the dental plaque [81,82] which synthesize by extracellular GTFs that bound the salivary pellicle.

The glucan binding domain (GBD) of the GTFs [83] consists of two non-enzymatic glucan binding proteins, GbpA, GbpD [84,85] and other GbpC acts as a cell surface glucan receptor which is only observed under certain stressful growth conditions [86]. Alternatively, the wall-associated protein WapA contributes to sucrose dependent adhesion indirectly. The interruption of other genetic loci also has been correlated with decreased sucrose-dependent adhesion [87].

Non-enzymatic glucan binding proteins

Non-enzymatic glucan-binding proteins (GBP) are GbpA [88]; subsequently GbpB [89], GbpC [86], and GbpD [84]. Proteins capable of binding glucan were assumed to contribute to sucrose dependent adhesion and possibly to the cohesive nature of the dental plaque biofilm. The role of glucan is important in the caries process.

Carbohydrate Metabolism

Potential virulence factors are the proteins involved in the metabolism of sucrose, glucans or other carbohydrates which include a fructosyltransferase (Pft), a fructanase (FruA), an extracellular dextranase (DexA), and proteins responsible for intracellular polysaccharide accumulation (Dlt1-4) [90].

Acidogenicity

*S. mutans* contains glycolytic pathway which produce lactate, formate, acetate, and ethanol as fermentation products [91]. Strains deficient in lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) display reduced cariogenicity [92,93] and the dearth of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is lethal. The acidogenicity of *S. mutans* leads to ecological changes in the plaque flora that includes an elevation in the proportion of *S. mutans* and other acidogenic and acid-tolerant species (Figure 4).

Acid-Tolerance

The acid tolerance response (ATR) constitutes together with the activity F1F0-ATPase proton pump and change in gene and protein expression. ATR has been revealed to defend the organisms from a sub-lethal pH challenge [94] and acid shock or growth at acidic pH has been allied with changes in the expression of over 30 proteins in *vitro* medium [95,96]. The acid tolerance of *S. mutans* is largely mediated by an F1F0-ATPase proton pump but also involves adaptation with an accompanying change in gene and protein expression. Evidence suggests that acid tolerance may be aided by the synthesis of water insoluble glucan and the formation of a biofilm.

Maintenance of Intracellular pH

*S. mutans* FATPase activity is enhanced with the fall of pH [97,98] maintaining pH gradient of approximately one pH unit. At the meantime, the fatty acid profile of the membrane shifts, decreasing permeability to protons, and excretion of acidic end products increases [99].

Biofilm Formation

Dental plaque is considered as a biofilm. There are many variables
coupled with growth in a biofilm including adhesion, nutrient flow, and co-aggregation can persuade growth rate, gene expression and quorum sensing in ways that differ from life in a planktonic environment. *gtf*B and *gtf*C genes are directly involved in biofilm development with the variability of gene expression in response to the environment. Evidence advocates that these genes can be both independently transcribed and co-transcribed. Hudson and Curtiss, by using the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (*cat*) reporter gene demonstrated increased expression of the *gtf*B/C genes in response to sucrose or when bound to an artificial tooth pellicle [100].

**Steps of biofilm formation**

a. **Association** – Dental pellicle forms on the tooth & provides bacteria surface to attach.
b. **Adhesion** – Within hours, bacteria loosely binds to the pellicle.
c. **Proliferation** – Bacteria spreads throughout the mouth & begins to multiply.
d. **Microcolonies** – Microcolonies are formed, streptococci secrete protective layer (slime layer).
e. **Biofilm formation** – Microcolonies from complex groups with metabolic advantages.
f. **Maturation** – The biofilm develops a primitive circulatory system.

**Progression of Dental Biofilm**

Dental plaque forms through a sequential events resulting in a structurally and functionally organized species rich microbial community [6,101]. Once plaque forms, its species composition at a site is characterized by degree of stability among the component species. This stability is due to a balance imposed by numerous microbial interactions [102], such as conventional biochemical interactions where complex host glycoproteins catabolize to develop food chains and cell to cell signaling which leads to coordinated gene expression within the microbial community [103,104].

**Streptococcus sobrinus**

*S. sobrinus* has been implicated in caries development particularly in instances where caries development appears to be independent of *S. mutans*. *S. sobrinus* exhibits higher acid production and acid tolerance compared to *S. mutans* [29,38,97,105].

**Streptococcus mitis**

*Streptococcus mitis* are commensal bacteria which belong to the viridans streptococci group usually arranged in short chains in the shape of cocci [106]. These Gram-positive bacteria are part of human oral flora, usually nonpathogenic but commonly cause bacterial endocarditis which colonizes hard surfaces in the oral cavity such as dental hard tissues as well as mucous membranes. The development of this microbial community is reliant on numerous factors including adherence, signalling, nutritional adaption, and host modulation. In addition, environmental conditions such as pH, temperature, oxygen availability, organic metabolites etc. may be involved in *Streptococcus mitis* colonization (Table 2) [107,108].

**Streptococcus gordonii**

*S. gordonii* is a non pathogenic commensal streptococci considered as integral members of the human oral flora is part of the group viridians of *Streptococci*. *Streptococcus gordonii* initiates colonization through formation of a monospecies biofilm. The human tooth is covered by pellicle containing lipids and proteins, including salivary agglutinin glycoprotein. The receptors for salivary agglutinin glycoprotein located on *S. gordonii* and other pioneer colonizers recognize and bind to the pellicle. *S. gordonii* cells bound to the surface of the tooth initiates a signal transduction pathway known as BrfAB which regulates adhesive activity [109].

**Lactobacilli**

Lactobacilli are dominant part of the flora, considered as pioneer microbes in the development of caries particularly in dentin [110]. It inhabits the deep cavities, and their number correlates with the quantity of carbohydrates [38,111]. The isolation of Lactobacilli is from deep caries lesions but rarely just earlier than the development of dental caries and in the early tooth decay.

**Enterococci**

Enterococci are gram positive, round or ovoid, facultative anaerobic bacteria. They are part of normal flora however they also colonize oral mucus membranes and skins especially in hospital settings [38,112].

**Staphylococcus aureus**

Most of the healthy individuals (30%) are the carrier of *S. aureus*, often carrying the bacterium on their skin, mucous membranes of anterior nares. Such carriers serve as the source of infection to themselves as well as to others [38,113].

**Actinomycetes**

Actinomycetes are not powerfully acidogenic or acid tolerant but are also carbohydrate users which are copious in the human mouth and persuade root surface caries in hamsters and gnotobiotic rats [38,114].

**Etiological Theories of Dental Caries**

Different theories have been specified for the cause of dental caries which are as follows:

**Chemical theory**

It is often known as acid theory. This theory proposes that the putrefaction of protein leads to the production of acid formation in oral cavity producing ammonia and subsequently get oxidized to nitric acid which destroys the teeth [115].

**Parasitic theory**

This theory is also known as septic theory. It suggests that decomposition of the enamel and dentin are caused by filamentous bacteria.

### Table 2: Colony morphology and Gram’s staining reaction of bacteria isolated from dental caries patients [38].

| S.No. | Bacterial isolates          | Colony morphology                      | Gram’s reaction          |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1.    | *S. mutans*                 | Very small, spherical, white-grey, α-haemolysis on BA | Gram positive cocci in chains |
| 2.    | *S. mitis*                  | Raised center, very small, black white colony, α-haemolysis on BA | Gram positive cocci in chains |
| 3.    | *S. vestibularis*           | White, convex, glossy with entire edges colony, α-haemolysis on BA | Gram positive cocci |
| 4.    | Enterobacter spp            | Lactose fermenter colony on MA         | Gram negative rods       |
microorganisms (denticolae) in the enamel cuticle and in carious lesions leads to tooth decay [115].

**Chemo-parasitic theory**

In this theory, W. D, Miller highlights that the fermentable carbohydrates are degraded to form acids by the metabolism and secretion of enzymes of different microbes present in the oral cavity. These acids demineralize the enamel and the disintegrated enamel is subsequently mechanically removed by force of mastication [116].

**Proteolytic theory**

Gottlieb in 1947 provoked that microbes enter the organic pathways of the enamel and start caries by proteolytic action in this theory. Subsequently, the inorganic salts are dissolved by acidogenic bacteria [116].

**Proteolysis chelation theory**

This theory reveals that tooth decay initiates from an earliest bacterial and enzymatic proteolytic action on the organic matter of enamel without preliminary demineralization. This causes the emancipation of a several complexing agents such as aminoacids, polyphosphates and organic acids which soften the crystalline hydroxyapatite [115] (Flowchart 1).

**Caries Transmission**

Cariogenic bacteria are not present in humans by birth. But, transmission of mutans streptococci is from caregivers, usually mothers, by mouth-to-mouth transmission via kissing or by sharing a spoon during feeding [117].

**Caries mechanism**

The bacterial flora and host defense systems are in the process of being established. Caries development is dependent on the following factors [118] (Flowchart 2):

- **Susceptible tooth and host**

  Implantation of *S. mutans* can occur only when teeth are present, because the teeth provide a non-shedding surface for colonisation of the micro-organisms. The amount of *S. mutans* depends on the number of erupted teeth present [119]. Sometime after eruption, newly exposed enamel surfaces undergo the final stages of post-eruptive maturation and hardening. This period immediately after eruption and prior to final maturation, is when the tooth is most susceptible to caries. The presence of structural developmental defects in enamel may increase the caries risk and may manifest as partial or total loss of enamel (hypoplasia). Irregular surfaces such as pits and grooves lead to plaque retention, increased *S. mutans* and decreased elimination of carbohydrates. Dentin, when exposed, provides little resistance to acid attack [120].

**Fermentable carbohydrate diet**

The formation of dental caries is associated with the carbohydrate component of the diet. Oral micro-organisms, especially *S. mutans*, utilize certain carbohydrates to form a sticky matrix that facilitate them to stick to the teeth. Organic acids are formed from the carbohydrates, which demineralize the teeth [121]. The frequent consumption of soluble carbohydrate as well as their prolonged contact with tooth surfaces is highly significant risk factors [122].

**Microflora**

The micro-organisms are responsible for dental caries. The early colonizers of these micro-organisms are mainly mutans streptococci, produce large amounts of acid, especially lactic acid, which are potent in causing tooth demineralisation [123]. Attachment of the *S. mutans* is now thought to be independent of sucrose and mediated by adhesions on the bacterial surfaces interacting directly with the salivary proteins, which form the pellicle on the tooth surface.

**Time**

Time is a significant factor in the progress of caries in relation to the frequency and amount of exposure of the liquid which will affect both the severity of the lesions and the number of teeth involved. The frequency of contact of the substrate has a major role in cariogenicity during a 24-h period [118].

**Stages & Symptoms of dental caries**

The different five stages of dental caries with symptoms are as follows (Figures 5 and 6):

- **Stage One: white spots**

  The appearance of yellowish spots or chalky white areas on the surface of tooth due to the loss of calcium is the first stage of tooth decay which is still reversible with appropriate treatment. There are no subjective symptoms, including pain (Figure 5) [2].

- **Stage Two: enamel decay**

  The enamel of tooth begins flouting beneath the exterior layer with the outside intact during this stage. The surface of the tooth ruins when decay persists. Such type of damage is irreversible with no pain or sensitivity [123].
c. **Stage Three: dentin decay**

In this stage, the decay progresses beyond the enamel into the dentin with pain [124].

d. **Stage Four: involvement of the pulp**

The infection of the pulp of the tooth starts in the fourth stage due to the action of bacteria. Blood vessels and nerves in the pulp die due to pus formation [123].

e. **Stage Five: abscess formation**

This is the final stage of the infection which reaches to the root tip of the tooth causing severe pain. The bones surrounding the tooth also get infected and visible swelling on the cheeks, along the affected side is observed [124].

### Problems Associated with Tooth Decay

The complications of tooth decay are toothache, pulpitis, tooth loss, tooth discoloration, oral cancer, endocarditis, cavernous sinus thrombosis and Ludwig angina can be fatal [6,38,125].

### Dental Caries Risk Assessment

Risk factors are either "causal" or "associated" is one of the most important queries. Initially, the consumption of sugar, plaque, hygiene regimen and the host were considered as local caries process were the associated risk factors for caries. Burt in 2005 highlights that these factors should be comprehensive and stated that "Social determinants of health and population health are also associated with caries". Major three types of factor related to tooth decay risk assessment have been defined:

- **Risk factor or caries-promoting factor**
  
  The exposure that plays an essential role in caries development is known as risk factor.
  
  a. **Sugar consumption**

  The growth rate of many oral bacteria increases and changes the composition of the microflora in a caries-promotion with sucrose-rich diet [126]. Acid-producing micro-organisms, such as the *mutans streptococci* in dental plaque, play a crucial role in the caries development [127].

  b. **Tooth location**

  Molars and premolars are more susceptible towards tooth decay as these teeth have lots of grooves, pits and crannies that can accumulate food particles. As a consequence, they're difficult to maintain hygienic and clean. Plaque can fabricate and bacteria can increase between back teeth, producing the acid that demolishes tooth enamel.

- **Risk indicator**

  a. **Socio-economic factors**

  Low socio-economic status and immigrant background that ultimately influence oral hygiene standards and attitudes to tooth care in children and adolescents are social risk indicators [129,130].

  b. **Age**

  In the United States, cavities are more frequent in children and teenagers and older adults also are at elevated jeopardy. Teeth can wear down and gums may recede, making teeth more vulnerable to root decay by overtime. Older adults can possibly use supplementary medications that decrease saliva flow, escalating the risk of dental caries [131].

  c. **Dry mouth**

  Dry or dehydrated mouth is caused by a deficiency of saliva. Substances found in saliva also assist to oppose the acid produced by bacteria and can help in restore early caries. Certain medications, some medical conditions, radiation to head or neck, or certain chemotherapy drugs can augment risk of cavities by reducing saliva production [132].

  d. **Worn fillings or dental devices**

  Dental fillings can weaken, begin to break down or develop rough edges over the years which allow plaque to build up more simply and make it harder to remove. Dental devices can also stop fitting well, allowing decay to begin beneath them [133].

  e. **Eating disorder**

  Significant tooth erosion and cavities can also be due to anorexia and bulimia. Stomach acid from repeated vomiting (purging) cleanses over the teeth and instigates melting the enamel. Eating disorders can also hinder with saliva production [130].

  f. **Heartburn**

  Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) or heart burn can cause stomach acid to flow into mouth (reflux), wearing away the enamel of teeth leads to significant tooth damage [131].

  g. **Genetic factors**

  The presence of bacteria and carbohydrates are necessary for caries
to develop. Whether this actually happens depends upon the inherited or acquired resistance of the teeth [134].

h. Malnutrition

Horowitz (1998) stated that children who are malnourished pre-, peri- or post-natally and/or who are of low birth weight are likely to have hypomineralised or hypoplastic primary teeth. These teeth have a higher risk of becoming carious and are more susceptible to mutans streptococci colonization [134].

Risk Inhibitor

Risk inhibitor is an exposure that prevents with the probability of developing a disease.

a. Proper tooth brushing and use of fluoride toothpaste

The declination of caries can occur with the use of fluoride toothpaste with daily tooth brushing. Fluoride has significant effect in caries prevention which is mostly due the topical effect of different fluoride vehicles after tooth eruption [133].

b. Antibacterial therapy

Products such as chlorhexidine rinses are effective [135].

c. Fermentable carbohydrates

Reduce the amount and frequency of ingestion.

d. Salivary flow

Salivary flow can be increased by chewing sugarless gum, for example, those with a non-sugar sweetener such as xylitol [136].

Immunology of Caries with Relation to IgA

IgA deficiency is a comparatively widespread disease affecting 1:1000 individuals associated with tooth decay. Evidence suggests that IgA deficiency falls into two groups in terms of oral antibody: i.e. those with compensatory IgM antibodies against S. mutans in saliva and those without.

Increased caries activity has been reported in Panhypo-or agammaglobulinemia. Human parotid IgA antibodies against surface antigen I/II of S. mutans could block S. mutans adhesion to saliva-coated hydroxyapatite highlighting the mechanism of protection available to the host against certain cariogenic bacteria. Serum antibodies, intragingival antibodies, complement, and granulocytes are continuously extravagating from the periodontal crevice and into the oral environment. These components may bestow modest defense to the tooth in the cervical area, but they are not likely to be important in coronal portions of the teeth [137] (Table 3).

Diagnosis

- Examination of all visible tooth surfaces using a good light source, dental mirror and explorer for a small chalky area or cavity [2,38].
- Early, uncavitated caries is often diagnosed by blowing air across the suspect surface [2,38,138].
- X-rays of tooth are used for less visible areas of teeth in particular caries between the teeth [2,38].
- Lasers without ionizing radiation also now used for detection of interproximal decay [2,38,139].
- Dental fluorosis and developmental defects of the tooth including hypomineralization of the tooth and hypoplasia of the tooth are used for dental caries [2,38,139].

Microbiological Diagnosis (Table 3, Flowcharts 3 and 4)

Antibiotics commonly used in dental practice

Both anaerobic and aerobic Gram positives and Gram negatives bacteria are involved in most of the oral infections. A depiction of the most-prescribed antibiotics in dental practice is listed.

β-Lactam antibiotics

In dentistry, penicillins are the most broadly used antimicrobial agents among all β-lactams antibiotics [6,38]. The primary interest to dental practitioners is the narrow-spectrum penicillinase-sensitive agents as penicillin G and penicillin Vand the broad-spectrum aminopenicillins as ampicillin and amoxicillin. Penicillin V, phenoxymethylpenicillin is active against streptococci and most oral anaerobes which is orally administered [6,38,140]. Phenoxymethylpenicillin is effective against a majority of α-haemolytic streptococci and penicillinase-negative staphylococci.

| Tests         | S. mutans | S. mitis | S. vestibularis | S. aureus | S. albus | K. pneumoniae | Pseudomonas spp. | P. Vulgaris | Enterobacter spp. |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Catalase      | -         | -        | -               | -         | -        | -             | -               | -           | +               |
| Coagulase     | ND        | ND       | ND              | +         | +        | +             | ND              | ND          | ND              |
| Oxidase       | -         | -        | -               | -         | -        | +             | ND              | ND          | ND              |
| Motile        | -         | -        | -               | -         | -        | -             | -               | +           | +               |
| Indole        | +         | -        | -               | -         | -        | -             | -               | +           | +               |
| Citrate       | -         | -        | -               | +         | +        | +             | +               | +           | +               |
| Urease        | -         | -        | +               | +         | -        | +             | +               | +           | +               |
| Optochin      | R         | R        | R               | ND        | ND       | ND            | ND              | ND          | ND              |
| Bile solubility | R        | R        | R               | ND        | ND       | ND            | ND              | ND          | ND              |
| Fructose      | AG        | A        | A               | A         | A        | A             | NR              | A           | A               |
| Lactose       | A         | A        | A               | AG        | AG       | AG            | NR              | NR          | A               |
| Arabinose     | NR        | NR       | NR              | A         | AG       | NR            | ND              | NR          | A               |
| Sucrose       | A         | A        | A               | A         | AG       | NR            | NR              | NR          | A               |
| Maltose       | A         | NR       | A               | A         | A        | NR            | NR              | NR          | A               |
| Glucose       | A         | A        | A               | A         | A        | A             | A               | A           | A               |
| Mannitol      | ND        | ND       | ND              | A         | NR       | ND            | ND              | ND          | ND              |

Keywords: NR-No reaction; A-Acid production; ND-Not done; +ve=Positive; -ve=Negative; R-Resistant [38].

Table 3: Identification of bacterial isolates from dental caries by biochemical tests.
Aerobic Gram-positive organisms, including Actinomyces, Eubacterium, and Peptostreptococcus species, are sensitive together with anaerobic Gram-negative organisms, such as, Bacteroides, Prevotella, and Porphyromonas, Fusobacterium, and Veillonella species. The majority of Staphylococcus aureus strains have developed resistance to the drug. Acute purulent infections, post-extraction infections, and salivary gland infections is treated with Phenoxymethylpenicillin frequently prescribed by dental practitioners [6,38,141,142].

Sometime, ampicillin is used in the experimental treatment of dento-alveolar infections when the antibiotic sensitivity patterns of the causative organisms are unidentified [38,141]. It is also universal to combine some penicillin with β-lactam inhibitory substances such as clavulanic acid, sulbactam, or tazobactam which block the β-lactamase enzyme produced by the bacteria from functioning and amplify the capability of the β-lactam antibiotic to work [38,143].

Metronidazole

Microbial RNA synthesis on bacteria is inhibited by the action of metronidazole. This drug is active against almost all strict anaerobes including Bacteroides, Eubacterium, Fusobacterium, and Peptostreptococcus species. The drug is indicated in the treatment of acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis and for moderate to severe odontogenic infections, frequently in combination with penicillins [6,38,140,141].

Tetracyclines

Tetracyclines are broad-spectrum bacteriostatic drugs. It binds to the 30S ribosomal subunit of bacteria, and specifically inhibits the binding of aminoacyl-t-RNA synthetases to the ribosomal acceptor site, thus inhibiting protein synthesis [38,141]. Tetracycline, doxycycline, and minocycline are the well-known members of this family of antibiotics.

In dentistry, tetracyclines are used with a few accomplishments as additive to anti-infective agents in localized aggressive periodontitis [38,141]. Due to few side effects of tetracyclines, it is not recommended for children or pregnant women as it can discolor developing teeth and alter bone development [38,144].

Macrolides and lincosamides

Macrolides are bacteriostatic drugs having activity against streptococci, staphylococci, and some oral anaerobes [140]. It exhibits their action by interfering with bacterial protein synthesis by binding to the 50S ribosomal subunit to the donor site during the translocation step [143]. Erythromycin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin are the well-known members of this family of antibiotics.

In penicillin-allergic patients, erythromycin is used as a substitute of penicillin with an additional benefit of being active against β-lactamase producing strains [38,141]. Clindamycin, potent bactericidal antibiotic is a lincosamide having a wider host range than erythromycin. It is effective against both aerobic and anaerobic species of bacteria and exhibits its action by interfering with protein synthesis. In dentistry, clindamycin has its main indication in penicillin-allergic patients who require antibiotic prophylaxis prior to dental treatments [38,145].

Antibiotic Resistance

Bacterial resistance to antimicrobials can be clear from two perspectives either genotypically, where the bacteria carry certain resistance elements or phenotypically, where the bacteria can survive and grow above a certain
level of antibiotics in the laboratory. Clinically, the bacteria are capable to multiply in humans in the presence of drug concentrations during therapy [6,146]. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents can be either natural (inherent, intrinsic) or acquired.

a. **Natural (inherent, intrinsic) resistance**

In this category of resistance, all isolates of a certain bacterial species are not sensitive to the antimicrobial as there is lack of certain structures in bacteria which serve as the target molecules for the antimicrobial or the lack of metabolic processes essential for the activation of the antimicrobial [147].

Example, enterococci are intrinsically resistant to cephalosporins as there are no penicillin-binding proteins in enterococci that bind cephalosporins drugs with high affinity. Consequently, intrinsic resistance is due to lack of metabolic processes and is also observed amongst oral microbes [38,148]. For example, *Actinomyces* species, *Streptococcus* species, and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* lack nitroreductase enzyme which is necessary to convert metronidazole to its active metabolites. Thus, these species are not affected by the drug at normal therapeutic concentrations. Facultative anaerobic metabolism limits the uptake of aminoglycosides because of the absence of an electron-transport chain [38,149].

b. **Acquired resistance**

Acquired resistance in microorganisms is evolved due to genetic alteration which can be attained by two processes:

a) **Chromosomal mutation:** It occurs in the pre-existing bacterial genome.

b) **Horizontal gene transfer:** It is the most recurrent pathway for the propagation of antibiotic resistance genes. Most frequently, Horizontal gene transfer occurs between bacteria both within and outside species. A resistance gene may be inserted into transferable genetic elements (plasmids, transposons, and integrons) and may be linked within them to other resistance genes in horizontal gene transfer [146].

**Mechanisms of Acquired Antibiotic Resistance**

Specialized defense mechanisms are utilized by bacteria for their survival in an environment in which antimicrobials are designed to kill them. The principal ways utilized by bacteria to render antimicrobials ineffective are as follows:

a. **Alteration of target site**

The target molecules are structurally altered to prevent antibiotic binding. An example, the targets of fluoroquinolones is the alteration of ribosomal target sites in the DNA gyrase/topoisomerase genes. Modification of the PBPs may occur through mutation in the chromosomal genes encoding the enzymes or through the acquisition of foreign homologous genes or fragments of genes from related species encoding new PBPs. This is more prevalent in Gram positive cocci but seen less frequently in Gram negative bacteria. Meticillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) is known to produce an alternative PBP (2a) that bypasses the consequence of the antibiotic [150,151]. Resistance to β-lactam antibiotics might be caused by the production of low-affinity PBPs. This resistance mechanism is pervasive along with the oral viridans streptococci such as *Streptococcus oralis*, *Streptococcus sanguis* and *Streptococcus mitis* [152].

b. **Modification of metabolic pathway**

Antibiotics are expelled from cell entry. Porin channels are utilized by several antibiotics when entering Gram negative bacteria. Thus, the diminished expression of porins results in impermeability or decreased uptake that often leads to antibiotic resistance [27,145,153].

c. **Reduced drug accumulation**

Efflux pump is the mechanism where antibiotics are pumped out of the cell where bacteria can actively efflux the antimicrobial agent. The most important five families of the efflux system are: MFS: Major Facilitator Superfamily; RND: Resistance Nodulation-Division; SMR: Small Multidrug Resistance; ABC: ATP Binding Cassette; and MATE: Multidrug and Toxic Extrusion [27,145].

d. **Drug inactivation or modification**

Antibiotics are inactivated through enzymatic degradation. As for example, this mechanism is resistance against β-lactam antibiotics because of inactivation of β-lactamas. These enzymes present resistance to the most extensively used 24 antimicrobials in medical and dental practice, i.e. β-lactams [6,38,147].

**Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern of Dental caries**

The study carried by Olajokun et al. reported 45.6% *S. mutans*, 41.2% *Lactobacillus* spp and 13.2% *S. aureus* were isolated from carious lesion. This study also showed 43.2% mixed growth of *S. mutans* and *Lactobacillus* spp. 38.6% of *S. mutans* and *S. aureus*, 18.2% of *Lactococcus* spp and *S. aureus*. Pefloxacin, chloramphenicol, ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin were the most effective drug (76.1% to 92.2%) against the caries [38,154].

The study conducted at Dental Unit of General Hospital Minna, Nigeria reported *Staphylococcus aureus* had the highest degree of occurrence with 31 isolates, followed by *Streptococcus mutans* with 23 isolates, while the least was *Lactobacillus* spp with 4 isolates. All the strains of *Streptococcus mutans*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* were sensitive to ofloxacin and nitrofurantoin and totally resistant to co-trimoxazole and erythromycin. Only a small percentage of these strains were sensitive to chloramphenicol, ceftriaxone, gentamicin, tetracycline, cefuroxime and cefotaxime. *Lactobacillus* strains were totally resistant to all the antibiotics except ofloxacin [38,155].

A study conducted by Maripandi et al. reported 87 bacterial isolates were associated with caries from which 63 were facultative anaerobes and 24 were anaerobes. Among facultative anaerobes, 22.98% were *Streptococcus mutans*, 13.79% were *S. salivarius*, 14.97% were *Candida* spp and among anaerobes 12.64% were *Prevotella* spp and 5.74% were *Fusobacterium* spp. All the isolates of *Streptococcus* spp were resistant to penicillin and sensitive to tetracycline [156].

*S. aureus* was found to be the most prevalent organism in tooth decay followed by *S. mutans* and *Lactobacillus* species in a study conducted at Department of the Rajah Muthiah Dental College and Hospital Annamalai University. *Lactobacillus* species were resistant to gentamycin, tetracycline, cotrimoxazole and chloramphenicol except ofloxacin [157]. The bacterial isolates implicated in dental caries were *Streptococcus mutans*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus albus*, *Proteus vulgaris* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Streptococcus mutans* (53.13%) and only 6.25% of *Proteus vulgaris* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from caries. All isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and norfloxacin while highest level of resistance was shown to chloramphenicol and tetracycline in this study [38,158].

In a study conducted by Salman and Senthikumar, 55.38% were *S.
mutans and 7.69% were S. sobrinus. All S. mutans and S. sobrinus isolates were vulnerable to penicillin, ampicillin, cefotaxime, cephalothin, cefazolin, methicillin, erythromycin and chloramphenicol. Penicillin and ampicillin were the most effective antibiotics against S. mutans and S. sobrinus and no resistance was found in this study [159]. As per Dwivedi et al., the resistance percentages of bacterial isolates were found to be 48% of penicillin V, 66% of tetracycline, 90% of amoxicillin, 78% of cloxocillin, 60% of erythromycin, 26% of penicillin V/amoxicillin and 30% of amoxicillin/erythromycin [160].

In a study conducted by Bancescu et al., 73 isolates were S. oralis, 6 isolates were S. mitis, 5 isolates were S. sanguis and one isolate was S. gordonii associated with caries. The isolates were 78.8% susceptible to penicillin, 93% were susceptible to ampicillin, 98% were susceptible to cefotaxime, and 90.6% were susceptible to erythromycin. All the isolates were susceptible to clindamycin and chloramphenicol but 41.2% were resistant to tetracycline [161].

In a study conducted by William et al. on dental caries, the antibiotic resistance pattern of S. mutans and S. sobrinus towards penicillin and tetracycline was found to be 56% and 44% respectively. This study showed that 54.7% of bacterial isolates were resistant to cotrimoxazole while 1.6% of bacterial isolates were resistant to amoxicillin. Of 95% of the isolates in the prophylaxis group showed resistance to sulfamethoxazole, while 61% of resistance was towards trimethoprim. Similarly, in the non-prophylaxis group, the most prevalent resistance was associated with tetracycline (35.7%), while the least resistance was found with erythromycin (3.6%). Resistance to cotrimoxazole in the non-prophylaxis group was 15.5% [162].

At the Plateau State Dental Hospital Jos, 74% Streptococcus mutans were associated with dental caries. The molar teeth were more affected with 56% compared to the premolars and the roots with 35% and 9% respectively. The number of cases with dental caries was found to be highest between ages of 21-40 years with more females (54%) than male (46%). Streptococcus mutans was susceptible to ampicillin (84%), amoxicillin (90%), ciprofloxacin (85%), penicillin (78%), ampicillin/ cloxocillin (55%) and streptomycin (30%), but resistant to erythromycin, gentamycin and cefuroxime in this study [163].

Similarly, a study conducted by Karimnik (2015) showed all the isolates of Streptococcus mutans were sensitive to used antibiotics, penicillin, gentamycin, vancomycin, cephalotin [164]. In 2006, the viridans group streptococci (VGS) strains were isolated from carious lesions of 49.3% from children with tooth decay. S. mitis was isolated most frequently from 4-5 year old while S. vestibularis was isolated most often in 12 years old. VGS strains were resistant to erythromycin (23.5%), clindamycin (23.1%), tetracyclines (52%), doxycycline (16%), gentamycin (25.9%) and ciprofloxacin (55.2%) [165].

A study conducted on dental caries by Yadav et al. 2015 at Department of Microbiology, Janaki Medical College, Ramdaiya, Janakpur-dham, Nepal reported 66.15% isolates of S. mutans were resistant to Penicillin; 60.76% were to tetracycline and 20% were resistant to cotrimoxazole. S. aureus was highly resistant towards penicillin (91.48%), tetracycline (86.17%) and ampicillin (61.70%). S. mitis was resistant to tetracycline (78.12%), ciprofloxacin (65.62%). Pseudomonas spp were highly resistant to tetracycline followed by cotrimoxazole (90.90%) [4].

Conclusion

Dental caries is seen as a common and costly disease in the world that can greatly affect the health and quality of life. This review highlights significant role of the Streptococcus and Lactobacillus which are acidogenic and aciduric in the development of caries. Other Streptococci, Enterococci, Actinomyces are also important etiological agents of dental caries. The population interactions are complex and apart from the commonly known mutualism, competitions etc. involve stress responses, adaptation, variation in gene expression, genetic variation and probably quorum sensing. The consumption of easily fermentable carbohydrates which stimulates the growth of oral microbes is the main cause of dental caries. Several risk factors of dental caries are also documented in this review. The mechanism of drug resistance developed by bacteria embedded in biofilm, which are up to 1000-fold more resistant to antibiotics. The increased bacterial resistance to antibiotics currently used in dentistry has a great importance for the prevention of oral bacterial growth, adhesion and colonization. So, it is extremely important to increase the knowledge towards their mechanisms, focusing on prevention and the correct therapeutic approaches usually involve decreasing the growth of S. mutans. The access and efficient use of regular dental care, both preventive and restorative, including optimal use of sealants should be ensured in dealing with this serious dental public health crisis. The antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates should be monitored at different time interval regularly.
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