Phylodynamic reconstruction of the spatiotemporal transmission and demographic history of coxsackievirus B2
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Abstract

Background: Studies regarding coxsackievirus (CV) tend to focus on epidemic outbreaks, an imbalanced topology is considered to be an indication of acute infection with partial cross-immunity. In enteroviruses, a clear understanding of the characteristics of tree topology, transmission, and its demographic dynamics in viral succession and circulation are essential for identifying prevalence trends in endemic pathogens such as coxsackievirus B2 (CV-B2). This study applied a novel Bayesian evolutionary approach to elucidate the phylodynamic characteristics of CV-B2. A dataset containing 51 VP1 sequences and a dataset containing 34 partial 3D pol sequencing were analyzed, where each dataset included Taiwan sequences isolated during 1988–2013.

Results: Four and five genotypes were determined based on the 846-nucleotide VP1 and 441-nucleotide 3D pol (6641–7087) regions, respectively, with spatiotemporally structured topologies in both trees. Some strains with tree discordance indicated the occurrence of recombination in the region between the VP1 and 3D pol genes. The similarities of VP1 and 3D pol gene were 80.0%–96.8% and 74.7%–91.9%, respectively. Analyses of population dynamics using VP1 dataset indicated that the endemic CV-B2 has a small effective population size. The balance indices, high similarity, and low evolutionary rate in the VP1 region indicated mild herd immunity selection in the major capsid region.

Conclusions: Phylodynamic analysis can reveal demographic trends and herd immunity in endemic pathogens.
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Background

Coxsackievirus (CV) B2 belongs to the species Enterovirus B (EV-B) in the genus Enterovirus, family Picornaviridae. Long-term surveillance of circulating EV serotypes has identified three main outbreak patterns: epidemic, endemic and sporadic [1]. However, most studies of EV have focused on 'epidemic' pathogens rather than on 'sporadic' or 'endemic' pathogens such as CV-B2; thus, no phylogenetic analysis of CV-B2 has been reported. In terms of epidemiology, a virus is considered 'endemic' if its population remains constant within a population in a geographic area; it is considered 'sporadic' if it appears often but not regularly. The circulation model of individual serotypes differs by season, geographic location, herd immunity and viral genotypes [1, 2]. Thus, analysis history of spatiotemporal transmission and viral population dynamics can reveal trends in their patterns of circulation and succession.

In Taiwan, episodes of unusually high CV-B2 activity were detected in 1999, 2002, 2003 and 2006, and...
infections are still reported almost annually to the Taiwan Centers for Disease Control (Additional file 1). CV-B2 has an endemic circulation pattern worldwide where it comprises 1.5%–6.0% of the annual reported EV rates (Additional file 2) [1, 3–7]. The peak activity of CV-B2 (>10%) is rare, but it is commonly identified as one of the top 15 most frequently detected EV serotypes globally [3, 4]. CV-B2 shares many common properties and clinical features with other CV-Bs but they have different outbreak tempos, and overwhelming infection may develop in infants (<1 year) [8]. CV-B infection is also associated with myocarditis, polymyositis and chronic autoimmune diseases such as insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [9]. Moreover, outbreaks of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases are strongly associated with climate change and human activity [10]. Increased CV-B1 activity levels and severe infections of young infants have been reported in the USA (2007–2008) and South Korea (2008–2009) [11, 12]. Therefore, identifying the phylodynamics of CV-B2 is essential for bridging relationships between successive prevalent serotypes and identifying EV circulation trends.

The Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Sampling Trees (BEAST) program combined various models to infer complex relationships among viral phylodynamics [13]. In addition to genetic variation, viral spatial and temporal components are fundamental issues that require clarification as the dispersal pattern expands. A clear understanding of the tree topology, transmission history, and demographic dynamics of an endemic pathogen such as CV-B2 during viral succession and circulation is needed to identify its prevalence trends. In EVs, the VP1 gene sequence encodes the major serotypic epitope, thereby making it the target choice for molecular typing [14, 15]. Recombination, which is reportedly a common phenomenon in the EV family, can be identified based on phylogenetic incongruencies in the VP1 and 3Dpol gene regions [16–18] because 3Dpol is located in the last codon region of the EV genome. To explore the phylogenetic structure of endemic pathogens such as CV-B2, both VP1 and 3Dpol regions were analyzed by the BEAST program to elucidate its phylodynamic properties and reconstruct its spatiotemporal transmission history.

Methods
Ethics statement
Twenty virus strains were randomly selected from CV-B2-positive viral stock. These virus strains were collected during 1988–2013 by the Taiwan Centers for Disease Control, Taiwan (CDC-TW) and two medical centers in Southern Taiwan, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital and Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital. This study was approved by the ethics committees of both hospitals. All samples were de-identified and analyzed anonymously. Informed consent was waived because we only conducted experiments on viral isolates obtained from clinically necessary laboratory procedure and no harm to the patients was anticipated because none of the patients’ medical history was studied.

Specimens, viral RNA extraction, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and sequencing
Among the twenty CV-B2 strains, fifteen were isolated from throat swabs and five were isolated from rectal swabs or stool. Forty percent of the infected patients were infant younger than 1 year (mean age: 2 years; range: 1 month–11 years). The male-female ratio was 1.86:1. Viral RNA was extracted using a QiAmp viral RNA purification kit according to the manufacturer instructions (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA). The RT-PCR and sequencing were performed as described previously [19, 20]. Table 1 lists the degenerate primer pairs used for amplifying and sequencing the VP1 and partial 3Dpol genes. The purified PCR products were sequenced with an ABI Prism Ready Reaction Dideoxy Terminator cycle sequencing kit (Model 3730, Version3.4, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The obtained sequence data were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers AB862097, AB862101, AB862107, AB862115–AB862116, LC055763–LC055766, LC055768–LC055778, and LC057297–LC057316.

Model selection and sequence variation detection
Multiple sequence alignments were performed with T-coffee [21, 22]. In addition to the 20 CV-B2 strains obtained in this study, the sequences available in GenBank were also included. Sequences were excluded if they had a nonsense or frame-shift mutation pattern in a single strand. The final VP1 dataset comprised 51 sequences and the partial 3Dpol dataset comprised 34 sequences (Additional file 3).

| Table 1 Primer sets used for amplification and sequencing of Coxsackievirus B2 |  |
|---|---|---|---|
| Gene | Primer<sup>a</sup> | Sequence | Position<sup>b</sup> | Reference |
| VP3 | 2400F | GCTTTGTGTCTGCTGATTAATGA | 2372–2393 | CDC-TW<sup>c</sup> |
| VP1 | 222R | CICCGGCGGAYRWACAT | 2909–2891 | [20] |
| VP1 | 292F | MGGCIY1GARACNGG | 2550–2565 | [20] |
| 2A | 011R | GGCICIGATCGTGCICCAAC | 3327–3308 | [20] |
| 3D<sup>c</sup> | PY-03F | GYACMTATGACARRGTG | 4338-4398 | This study |
| 3D<sup>c</sup> | PY-04R | CTCATTGGGCATTACTGAT | 7104-7085 | This study |

<sup>a</sup>F: Forward primer, R: reverse primer
<sup>b</sup>Numbering system used for the Coxsackievirus B2 strain (Accession No. AF085363)
<sup>c</sup>Primer designed by the Centers for Disease Control, Taiwan
The jModelTest v 2.1.4 program [23] was used to select the best-fit model for both datasets according to Akaike's information criterion. A 4-category Generalised Time Reversible [24] model with a Gamma distribution (GTR + G) was used for VP1 and 3DPOL (G = 0.1570 and G = 0.1380, respectively). For the BEAST estimation, eight model compositions were compared: two substitution models (GTR + G and Shapiro-Rambaut-Drummond-2006 (SRD06) [25]), two population models [constant (CON) population size and Bayesian skyline plot (BSP)], and two relaxed molecular clock models [uncorrelated log-normal distribution and uncorrelated exponential distribution (uced)] [26]. The Bayes Markov chain Monte Carlo (BMCMC) analysis results were sampled regularly until convergence was reached.

Recombination was estimated using the Recombination Detection Program (RDP v3.44) with the default settings [27]. Pairwise comparisons of nucleotide and amino acid (aa) sequences were calculated based on p-distance matrices implemented in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6 (MEGA6) program. The ratio of non-synonymous/synonymous substitutions rate (dN/dS) was used as an indicator of the selection force acting on the coding sequences and was detected by using Single Likelihood Ancestor Counting (SLAC) method via the Datamonkey website [28].

Phylogenetic analyses
Phylogenetic analyses were performed as described previously [19]. The BMCMC tree analyses were performed using BEAST v1.8.2 [13]. Nodal reliability of BMCMC trees were estimated according to posterior probability (PP). The breakpoint for significant support was set to a PP value ≥ 0.9. The BEAST program was used to co-estimate the nt substitution rate and population growth model in the Tracer v1.6 program [29]. Parameters with effective sample size (ESS) values > 200 were considered reliable. Briefly, each run of VP1 and 3DPOL had a chain length of 6 million generations, and sampling was performed once every 6000 generations. When the major parameters with ESS value > 200 were considered as candidates for the best model composition, the best model for both the VP1 and 3DPOL regions was SRD06 + UCED + CON (Additional file 4). All estimation parameters were shown as mean and 95% highest posterior density (HPD). Demographic changes over time (Ner) were also explored by BSP method [30]. The FigTree v1.4.2 program was used to construct and visualize the maximum clade credibility tree. The SPREAD program [31] was used to estimate the route of virus transmission and to calculate the Bayes factors (BFs) associated with major virus dispersal routes. A major virus dispersal route was defined as a route in which at least two locations had BF values > 3. Furthermore, the TreeStat program in the BEAST package was used to summarize statistical tests of neutrality (Fu & Li’s D) and to calculate three tree-balance statistical measures: cherry count (C), Colless’s tree imbalance (Ic), and Bc [32].

Results and discussion
No recombination events were detected in the VP1 or 3DPOL region. High support values (defined as PP ≥ 0.9) were obtained for four genotypes in the VP1 tree (Genotypes II–V; GII–GV) and for five genotypes in the 3DPOL tree (Genotypes A–E; GA–GE) (Figs. 1 and 2). Both the VP1 and 3DPOL trees contained spatiotemporally structured clusters, but the VP1 and 3DPOL trees were clearly incongruent. Based on a genetic discrimination rate of at least 15%, five clusters were obtained in the VP1 region (Fig. 1). In the ascent cluster (cluster 1), the prototype Ohio strain (isolated in the US in 1947) and Taiwan strain 01 (isolated in 1988) were clustered together in VP1 and in 3DPOL (GA). However, the support value was not statistically significant for VP1. In both the VP1 and partial 3DPOL regions, Taiwan strain 01 had the same sequence as the prototype Ohio strain. Strains GII (1994–2013), GIV (1999–2003), and GV (2007–2009) were isolated in Asia, where GIV was geographically distributed in India. By contrast, GIII was isolated in France (2006–2010) and Australia (2005). The analysis of the historical transmission routes for VP1 by using the Spread program showed that only the Taiwan-South Korea route had a BF of 6.67. The demographic history determined by BSP showed that the CV-B2 viral population had maintained a stable level with only a slight decrease since 1947, where the median Ner was 3.57–3.42 (Fig. 3a). Compared with the data reported in year 2000, the Ner for CV-B2 was much lower than the median Ner values of 4 × 10^5 reported for EV68 [33] and 30 for CV-B5 [34].

Phylogenetic comparisons of the VP1 topology with those of other EVs revealed similar features: (1) a serotype-based monophyletic origin; (2) different CV-B2 genotypes evolved and diverged sequentially, where each genotype had a different geographic distribution and circulation half-life; (3) the prevalent lineages were chronologically replaced by emerging different lineages that co-existed at the same time and in the same location and (4) tree topologies with long internal branches (i.e., the branch from the node near the root) and intricate short terminal branches [35]. In particular, the long internal branches and short terminal branches indicated a stable pathogen population size [36], which supported BSP estimation of the CV-B2 viral population in this study.

Five genotypes are depicted in the 3DPOL tree (Fig. 1b). The ancestral America strains (1947–1996) were clustered in GA–C, especially in GB. By contrast, most of
the Taiwan strains were clustered in GD–GE with the other Asian strains. Interestingly, GB in the 3Dpol tree included two Taiwan strains isolated during 2011–2013 (clustered in the VP1 region of GV). This indicated that those strains with GV sequence in VP1 region has endemic in Taiwan since 2002–2013, but the 3Dpol gene might have switched from GE to GB, because the 3Dpol gene in the latter two isolates (2011 and 2013) clustered...
together with US strains in GB. Therefore, changes in the trends in CV-B2 in Taiwan should be monitored continuously in Taiwan.

In contrast to the low (< 4) median Ne\textsubscript{τ} value estimated by VP1 region of CV-B2 in this study, the demographic history analysis of the 3D\textsubscript{pol} region by BSP...
showed that CV-B2 emerged during 1947, where it had a larger effec-
tive population size ($N_e$) of 27.8 before it began to bottleneck in 1955. After peaking in 1985 the $N_e$ values decreased gradually from 80.4 to 51.7 during 1985–2013 (Fig. 3b). Only capsid-based analyses reveal that serotypes of EVs are monophyletic; however, when the analysis is based on regions outside the capsid region, EVs are monophyletic species rather than serotypes [37]. Thus, the 3D$^{\text{pol}}$-based estimation of $N_e$ was relevant to EV-B species (CV-B2 is one of the EV-B serotypes). One proposed explanation for this phenomenon is independent evolution of VP1 and 3D$^{\text{pol}}$ [38], which is consistent with the discordant topologies of VP1 and 3D$^{\text{pol}}$ determined in the present study. Our results indicate that recombination may occur within discordant strains, and that mutation in the capsid region is a result of immune escape.

However, this study detected high (>95 %) aa sequence similarity. Based on the $p$-distances calculated in this study, the estimated maximum differences in the 846-nt (2456–3301) and 282-aa sequences of the VP1 gene were 80.0 % and 96.8 %, respectively. The estimated maximum differences in the 441-nt (6641–7087) and 147-aa sequences of the partial 3D$^{\text{pol}}$ gene were 74.7 % and 91.9 %, respectively. Further, the estimated evolutionary rates of VP1 and 3D$^{\text{pol}}$ were $5.42 \times 10^{-3}$ (95 % HPD: $3.38 \times 10^{-3}$–$6.98 \times 10^{-3}$) and $6.02 \times 10^{-3}$ (3.72 $\times 10^{-3}$–8.31 $\times 10^{-3}$) substitutions/site/year (s/s/y), respectively. The VP1 mutation rate of CV-B2 is within the mutation rate range reported
in a previous study of EVs (range from $3.40 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1.19 \times 10^{-2}$ s/s/y) [39]. In the present study, the estimated evolution rate of $3D_{\text{pol}}$ ($6.02 \times 10^{-3}$ s/s/y) was not only higher than that of VP1 but also higher than the estimated evolution rate reported for $3D_{\text{pol}}$ in previous studies of EVs (from $5.53 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1.17 \times 10^{-2}$ s/s/y) [39]. The dN/dS values for the VP1 and $3D_{\text{pol}}$ region were 0.0334 and 0.0265, respectively, but neither region contained a positive selection site. In summary, the low and slightly decreasing Ner value, low evolution rate, and negative selection indicate that the variation in this VP1 region was fixed by adaptive fitness.

Phylodynamic analysis depicts interaction among epidemics, evolution and selection and reveals the demographic and spatiotemporal transmission signatures [26]. A phylogenetic tree with an imbalanced trunk and short terminal branches suggests acute infection with partial cross-immunity, for example, the phylogenetic tree topology for seasonal influenza virus is ladder-like. In contrast, the topology of a chronic infection such as hepatitis C virus is star-like with long terminal branches [26]. The tree balance is impacted by selection; therefore, a ladder-like backbone indicates the trajectory of fitness. Table 2 summarizes the results of the statistical analyses of tree shape and balance obtained in the present study. Fu and Li’s $D$ value is a classic summary statistic for testing neutrality, which indicates the normalize difference between the external branch lengths and total tree length. As the symmetry of a phylogeny increases, the values for $b_1$ and $Cn$ are expected to increase, whereas the value of $Ic$ is expected to decrease. The distributions of the mean $Ic$ indices among human and zoonotic RNA viruses reportedly peak between 0.15 and 0.2 [32]. The values for the VP1 tree were within this range in the present study, but $3D_{\text{pol}}$ had higher tree balance indices compared with VP1.

A major challenge in modern phyloodynamics is qualitatively and quantitatively describing a tree topology to elucidate the epidemiological, evolutionary, and demographic characteristics of a pathogen. To investigate the evolutionary history of an endemic or sporadic pathogen, a BMCMC sampling framework was used to infer time scaled phylogenies of CV-B2 during 1947–2013. Sampling bias was unavoidable in this study because the incomplete GenBank data precluded sampling of all strains isolated in earlier time periods (particularly before the 1980s). An even greater problem was that the sequences tended to accumulate in the same isolation years and locations because CV-B2 outbreaks are rare. On average, CVB2 has been implicated in 1.5 %–6.0 % of the reported surveillance results for known EV serotypes, and thus the low frequency of CV-B2 outbreaks may be related to the stable low Ner values estimates obtained in this study. Furthermore, low herd immunity selection may have an important role in endemic EVs because the VP1 has high aa sequence similarity and a low rate of evolution. Compare to $3D_{\text{pol}}$ region, the VP1 region has a more balanced topology.

### Conclusions

An endemic or sporadic EV, CV-B2, was analyzed in a phylodynamic analysis, and four genotypes in the VP1 region and five genotypes in the $3D_{\text{pol}}$ region were determined. Recombination events were not detected in the VP1 sequences or $3D_{\text{pol}}$ sequences, but VP1 and $3D_{\text{pol}}$ trees were clearly incongruent, thereby indicating the occurrence of recombination events involving the region between VP1 and $3D_{\text{pol}}$. Although the random branching topology observed in this study is typically interpreted as the rapid evolution of an RNA virus, the imbalanced tree topology and the high similarity of the VP1 sequences indicate that endemic CV-B2 is characterized by a low viral population and low herd immune selection.
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