Does High Citrus Production Increase The Frequency of Consumption and Affect The Prevalence of Dental Erosion?
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BACKGROUND/AIMS
The authors have investigated the prevalence and reasons for erosive tooth wear, which has a complex and multifactorial etiology, in adolescents and adults in the eastern Mediterranean coastal city of Mersin, Turkey.

MATERIAL and METHODS
This cross-sectional, descriptive study was performed from June 2019 to February 2020 using a survey at the Faculty of Dentistry, Mersin University, Turkey. The questionnaire forms containing an informative section about the study were distributed to the participants. Data on general sociodemographic variables and risk factors were obtained. The survey consisted of 16 questions, including questions on demographic information, general health status, oral health status, and acidic dietary intake time; an oral examination was performed at the end of the survey. The data were statistically analyzed using the Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA.

RESULTS
There was a significant difference in the total erosion scores of the patients with respect to age (p=0.000), whereas no significant differences among the total erosion scores of the patients regarding gender were observed. The presence of erosive tooth wear was significant in terms of the frequency of consuming sour snacks and fruit juice.

CONCLUSION
Dental erosion was associated with both internal and external risk factors. Maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth had more erosive wear.
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INTRODUCTION
Dental erosion is the irreversible progressive loss of dental hard tissues as a result of chemical acid or electrochemical mechanisms from a nonbacterial source. The erosive potential of erosive agents depends on chemical factors (pH, mineral content, and calcium-chelation properties) or biological factors (tooth anatomy, the relationship between soft tissue and teeth, saliva flow rate, and buffering capacity). Furthermore, behavioral factors, including eating and drinking habits, regular exercise resulting in dehydration and a decrease in salivary flow, excessive oral hygiene, and an unhealthy lifestyle, are predisposing factors for dental erosion (1).

The presence of acid solutions in the frequent and prolonged oral environment at values below the critical pH (5.5) causes the destruction of the enamel tissue due to erosion. Acidic solutions may be of internal or external origin; nevertheless, the frequent consumption of acids is not alone responsible for dental erosion (2). There can be predisposing factors, such as acquired dental pellicle, weakened tooth structure, and reduced buffering capacity, that may influence a person’s susceptibility to erosive tooth wear. In terms of long-term dental health, erosive tooth wear has been becoming progressively important according to epidemiological studies, with some evidence showing that the prevalence of dental erosion has increased (3). In the previous studies related to the prevalence of erosion, different results have been reported for deciduous and permanent dentition (4). It was stated that different erosion rates were seen in
Main Points:

- Dental erosion is an irreversible progressive loss of dental hard tissues.
- Dental erosion can be a public health concern because of its high prevalence in population research.
- The scoring methodology appears precious for assessing erosion in populations.
gave permission. One standardized examiner (A.T.E.A.) who was trained to identify erosive lesions performed the clinical examinations. The participants were seated under an artificial source of light and assessed with a dental mirror. The examiner dried the teeth with gauze before the clinical examination. The surfaces (occlusal/incisal, buccal, and lingual) of the teeth except those of the third molars were evaluated for each individual in this study. The status and prevalence of tooth erosion were scored using the modified criteria of Lussi (8).

Statistical Analysis
All data were stored in specially arranged computer files. The statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 25.0 (IBM SPSS Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA). The Student’s t-test was used to compare the total erosion scores of the patients according to sex, systemic disease, drug usage, consumption of sports drinks, and the patients’ perception of dental problems. One-way analysis of variance test was used to compare the total erosion scores of the patients according to the age, the type of systemic disease, the number of daily brushing of teeth, the usage of fluoride toothpaste and auxiliary substances while brushing the teeth, and the consumption of sour snacks, fruit juice, and acidic beverages.

RESULTS
A total of 59.5% of 163 patients were female, and 40.5% were male. It was observed that 25.2% of the participants were aged between 16-25 years, 38% were aged between 26-40 years, and 36.8% were aged >40 years. There were no differences in the total erosion scores of the patients according to sex, whereas there were significant differences according to age groups (p=.001). The participants aged >40 years had significantly higher erosion scores than those in the 16-25 and 25-40 age groups (Table 2). The comparison of the total erosion scores of the patients in terms of systemic disease and drug usage is summarized in Table 3. There was no significant difference according to the type of systemic diseases (p>.05). The scores of patients with systemic disease were significantly higher than those of patients without systemic disease. There were significant differences among the total erosion scores according to drug usage (p=.002).

Table 4 shows that the total erosion scores of the patients did not differ according to the number of daily brushing of teeth and the use of fluoride toothpaste, auxiliaries, and mouthwashess (p>.05). On the other hand, there was a significant difference in the number of patients according to the consumption of sour snacks (p=.01). The patients who responded “always” to the question about sour snacks consumption were significant.
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**Table 1. The questionnaire used in the study**

| Questions                          | Responses                        |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age                                | Male                             |
| Sex                                | Female                           |
| Profession                         |                                   |
| Health problems and medication     | Hypertension, diabetes, heart disease, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) | Chewable tablets, syrup, effervescent vitamin supplement for example vitamin C |
| Daily brushing frequency           | Once a week                      |
| Use fluoride tooth paste or mouth wash | Always                       |
| Use auxiliaries (carbonate, lemon, table salt, vinegar, etc.) when brushing your teeth? | Always |
| Consume sour snacks (citrus fruits like oranges, lemons etc.) | Always |
| Fruit juice consumption            | Always                           |
| Acetic beverage consumption        | Always                           |
| Consumption of sports drinks (eg Powerade, Burn, Redbull) | Always |
| Sports habits (swimming, etc.)     | Always                           |
| The last dental examination        | Always                           |
| Do you think you have a dental problem? | Hypersensitive teeth            |

![Table 2](https://example.com/table2.png)

**Table 2. Scoring of tooth erosion**

| Grade | Anterior Teeth                                      | Posterior Teeth                                      |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 0     | No erosion                                          | No erosion                                           |
| 1     | Loss of surface enamel, dentine not involved        | Enamel erosion on cusp tips (“cupping” with dentine not obviously involved) or erosion of fissures that cannot be attributed to attrition. |
| 2     | Erosion extending into dentine                      | Erosion extending into dentine that cannot be attributed to attrition. |
| 3     | Severe dentine erosion (in at least 4 anterior teeth) and/or the pulp chamber visible | Erosion extending well into dentine and close to the pulp |
ly higher than those who responded “sometimes.” It was observed that the total erosion scores of the patients showed a significant difference in terms of fruit juice drinking ($p=.03$). The patients who consumed fruit juice “always” were significantly higher than those who consumed “sometimes.” The consumption of carbonated and sports drinks and the habit of performing sports activities did not affect the erosion scores ($p>.05$).

The total erosion scores of the patients who had their last dental examination dates differed and having dental problems or tooth hypersensitivity did not show a significant difference ($p>.05$). It was determined that there was a significant difference in terms of the patient’s periodontal problem ($p=.01$). Total erosion scores of patients who had periodontal problems were higher than the scores of those who did not have (Table 4).

A total of 4,564 dental examinations were performed by examining 28 different teeth of 163 patients. A total of 78.92% of the teeth (n=3,602) had no erosion score, 17.62% (n=804) had one, 3.13% (n=143) had two, and 0.33% (n=15) had three. Maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth had more erosive wear, whereas the mandibular posterior teeth were the least commonly affected (Table 5). In a large proportion of the patients, the erosion scores of the teeth in the first, third, fourth, and sixth sextants were zero. However, it was observed that the teeth in the second and fifth sextants had intense signs of erosion.

| Table 3: Comparison of the total erosion scores of the patients by demographic information, systemic disease, and drug use |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Age** | **N** | **Mean** | **Sd** | **p** |
| 16-25 | 41 | 5.80 | 4.80 | $p=0.001^*$ |
| 25-40 | 62 | 5.63 | 3.47 | |
| >40 | 60 | 9.13 | 6.24 | |
| **Sex** | | | | $p=0.66$ |
| Female | 97 | 6.81 | 4.92 | |
| Male | 66 | 7.18 | 5.64 | |
| **Type of Systemic Disease** | | | | $p=0.062$ |
| No Systemic Disease | 91 | 6.22 | 4.44 | |
| Hypertension | 9 | 11.33 | 9.04 | |
| Diabetes | 4 | 9.25 | 8.22 | |
| Heart disease | 8 | 9.00 | 9.74 | |
| GERD | 27 | 6.70 | 3.35 | |
| Other | 24 | 7.38 | 4.82 | |
| **Systemic Disease** | | | | $p=0.040^*$ |
| No | 91 | 6.22 | 4.44 | |
| Yes | 72 | 7.90 | 5.95 | |
| **Drug Use** | | | | $p=0.002^*$ |
| No | 119 | 6.20 | 4.36 | |
| Yes | 44 | 9.02 | 6.66 | |

*p values are based on One-way ANOVA test

*p values are based on The Student t-test

*p<0.05 is significant; Sd: Standard deviation

| Table 4: The comparison of the total erosion scores according to frequency distribution for risk factors |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Number of daily brushing teeth** | **N** | **Mean** | **Sd** | **p** |
| One per day | 73 | 6.96 | 4.89 | $p^*>0.99$ |
| More than two per day | 60 | 6.90 | 5.75 | |
| Every other day | 11 | 6.91 | 4.53 | |
| Once a week | 19 | 7.21 | 5.37 | |
| **Use of fluoride toothpaste** | | | | $p=0.65$ |
| No | 3 | 9.33 | 8.08 | |
| Rarely & Sometimes | 20 | 6.40 | 3.80 | |
| Always | 140 | 6.99 | 5.35 | |
| **Use of auxiliaries when brushing teeth** | | | | $p=0.72$ |
| No | 103 | 6.63 | 5.24 | |
| Rarely | 19 | 7.11 | 4.95 | |
| Sometimes | 35 | 7.69 | 5.08 | |
| Always | 6 | 8.00 | 6.96 | |
| **Using mouthwash** | | | | $p=0.35$ |
| No | 95 | 6.56 | 4.82 | |
| Rarely | 35 | 8.29 | 5.76 | |
| Sometimes | 28 | 6.96 | 5.97 | |
| Always | 5 | 5.40 | 3.05 | |
| **Consuming sour snacks** | | | | $p=0.003^*$ |
| No | 12 | 6.92 | 3.94 | |
| Rarely | 23 | 6.5 | 5.06 | |
| Sometimes | 64 | 5.41 | 3.76 | |
| Always | 64 | 8.75 | 6.18 | |
| **Drinking fruit juice** | | | | $p=0.03^*$ |
| No | 52 | 7.77 | 5.27 | |
| Rarely | 43 | 6.79 | 4.45 | |
| Sometimes | 48 | 5.38 | 3.28 | |
| Always | 20 | 9.05 | 8.60 | |
| **Consuming carbonated drinks** | | | | $p=0.60$ |
| No | 45 | 7.84 | 6.04 | |
| Rarely | 37 | 6.46 | 4.47 | |
| Sometimes | 49 | 6.57 | 5.38 | |
| Always | 32 | 6.91 | 4.23 | |
| **Sports habit** | | | | $p=0.38$ |
| No | 115 | 6.67 | 4.76 | |
| Rarely | 23 | 6.78 | 5.49 | |
| Sometimes | 15 | 9.07 | 7.92 | |
| Always | 10 | 7.60 | 4.62 | |
| **Last Dental Examination Dates** | | | | $p=0.40$ |
| More than 1 year ago | 101 | 7.23 | 5.18 | |
| Between 1-3 years | 30 | 6.90 | 5.15 | |
| More than 3 years | 32 | 6.19 | 5.46 | |

*p values are based on One-way ANOVA test

*p values are based on The Student t-test

*p<0.05 is significant; Sd: Standard deviation
DISCUSSION

Nowadays, with the enhancement of consumption rates of acidic beverages, the percentage of dental erosion is increasing (13). The main dietary acids for erosion are citric, phosphoric, malic, and tartaric acids. Fruits such as orange and lemon and vegetables mainly contain citric acid, which is followed by malic acid. In addition, citric acid is being added to many of the commercially produced products. Among the many agricultural products grown in Turkey, the production of citrus species is also playing an important role, and when the provinces that export most of the citrus fruits are examined, the Mersin region is in the first place. Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine the status of dental erosion in adolescent and adult individuals in Mersin.

It is recognized that epidemiological prevalence studies did not use an ideal index for clinical staging and monitoring of dental erosion and that there is no simple assessment system meeting all the needs of both clinicians and investigators (6). There are different dental index systems that vary according to the evaluation type, scoring system, and tooth selection (14). This study was aimed to determine the severity of dental erosion and to identify whether the lesion involved enamel or enamel and dentine. We considered that having this information clinically could be critical for treatment decisions and important for prevalence studies. The modified criteria of the Lussi index, which evaluates the teeth separately in the anteroposterior areas according to the wear severity of the hard tooth tissue and has been used widely by European researchers (6) to score the facial, lingual, and occlusal surfaces of all teeth except those of the third molar, was preferred in this study.

According to the findings of this study, a significant difference was observed in total erosion scores with respect to the age of the participants. Therefore, the first hypothesis of this study, which suggested, “there is no difference among the total erosion scores of the participants according to the age groups,” is rejected. Similar to this study, Vered et al. (15) reported a significant difference between the mean total erosion scores of five different age groups (15-18, 25-28, 35-38, 45-48, and 55-60 years). Regarding sex, there was no significant difference between male and female participants in this study (Table 3). This finding is in accordance with the previous studies conducted by Chu et al. (11) and Luciano et al. (16) who reported that no significant differences were observed between male and female individuals about the prevalence of dental erosion.

The prevalence of erosion has been reported to be 11%-100% in adolescents and 4%-82% in adults (1). In this study, the prevalence of erosive tooth wear was 33.3% (163 participants), and the total erosion scores of the participants aged >40 years were significantly higher than the scores of those aged 16-25 and 26-40 years (Table 3). Therefore, the second hypothesis of this study, which suggested, “there is no difference in the prevalence of dental erosion between adolescents and young adults in terms of the importance of the research area with high citrus production,” is rejected. Similar to the findings of this study, Pineda et al. (12) reported that the prevalence of erosive wear was 31.7% in a sample of adolescents aged 14-19 years, and Mathew et al. (17) stated that the prevalence of erosive wear was 36.5% in a population of individuals aged 18-28 years. Kitasako et al. (18) evaluated the prevalence of erosion on all tooth surfaces of adults aged 15-89 years in Tokyo, Japan, and reported that the prevalence in the group who had erosion was 26.1%. In this study, the prevalence of erosive tooth wear limited to enamel was found to be 17.6% (804 teeth) overall in 4,564 teeth, and the prevalence of erosive wear with exposed dentin was found to be 3.5% (158 teeth). Our findings are in consonance with those of the previous study conducted by McGuire et al. (19) in the United States who reported that the prevalence of erosive wear with exposed dentin was 5.5%. With the progression of age, changes in saliva structure (decreased buffering capacity, hyposalivation, and pH) owing to factors such as systemic disease and eating or drinking habits may play a role in the exacerbation of erosive lesions. Nevertheless, it is thought that physiological or pathological gingival recessions that occur depending on age also increase the predisposition to erosion.

The presence of exogenous and endogenous acids in the diet has proven to play a role in the initiation and progression of dental erosion. Erosive tooth wear occurs more common in anorexia nervosa, bulimia, nervous vomiting, alcohol usage, and pregnancy cases (20). In addition, the passage of stomach contents into the esophagus without difficulty is defined as gastro-esophageal reflex disease (GERD). The relationship between erosion and GERD has been shown in many studies, and erosive wear are frequently encountered on the palatal and lingual surfaces of the teeth (21). According to the findings of this study, the scores of the patients with systemic diseases were significantly higher than the scores of the patients without, and there were significant differences in the total erosion scores with respect to the presence of systemic diseases and drug usage. A total of 27 of the participants (19%) had GERD in this study, and this was shown as the reason for the difference (Table 2). This is in agreement with the findings reported by Picos et al. (22).

The frequency distribution for risk factors of this study is summarized in Table 4. There was a significant association between the presence of erosive tooth wear and the frequency of consuming citruses such as orange, lemon, or other and fruit juice (p<.05). The excessive consumption of sour snacks due to the high production in the region of the study may be the reason for this difference. On the other hand, there was no significant difference between the other risk factors and participants’ erosion tooth wear. As a reason for this difference, it is thought that the consumption of such products increases in the location of this study owing to the high production. It has been reported that excessive and frequent consumption of citrus fruits due to their bioactive components, such as citric acid, ascorbic acid, and polyphenol, damages the hard tissues of the teeth (23). Jarkander et al. (24)
investigated the prevalence and risk factors of dental erosion on adolescents in Stockholm and reported that soft drink consumption and the consumption of juice or sports drinks were significantly correlated with clinically diagnosed erosive wear. However, not in accordance with the results of this study, Luciano et al. (16) reported that no significant difference was found between the citrus fruit and juice consumption and dental erosion risk in individuals aged between 12-30 years in the Brazilian city. On the other hand, in this study, it was determined that the total erosion scores of the participants who had periodontal problems were higher than those of patients who did not have periodontal problems (Table 4). It is known that the exposure of tooth crown and root surfaces due to the periodontal problems increases the frequency of dental erosion clinically (25).

Although dental erosion-related wear occurs on all surfaces of the tooth, it is reported that it often occurs on the palatal region of the maxillary anterior teeth and the occlusal surfaces of the lower first molar (26). Consistent with the literature, the second and fifth sextants had more erosive wear, whereas the mandibular posterior teeth were the least commonly affected (Table 5). The reason why more erosive wear on the anterior teeth was seen was that some participants expressed that they especially ate the lemon by biting it. However, in this study, in a large proportion of the participants, the erosion scores of the teeth in the first, third, fourth, and sixth sextants were zero. These results are not similar to those of the previous study conducted by Pineda et al. (12) and Bardolia et al. (27) who stated that the most affected regions were the occlusal surfaces of mandibular first molars. Most of the participants stated that they usually ate lemon by biting, which may be the reason for the excessive wear of the anterior teeth (caused by erosion) found in this study. In our study, it was observed that erosive tooth wear was more common in individuals aged >40 years, as stated before. Differences between anterior and posterior teeth depending on age may be explained by variations in dental restoration situations (crowns, onlays, inlays) or intraoral location because posterior teeth are more often missing or have full-coverage restorations than anterior teeth. The number of teeth remaining in the mouth could also be responsible for erosive tooth wear. In agreement with this result, Vargas-Ferreira et al. (28) and Gurgel et al. (29) reported the buccal surface of the maxillary central incisors as the most affected erosive wear site. On the other hand, Auad et al. (30) observed that erosive wear mostly occurs on the palatal surface of anterior teeth. The discrepancy between previous findings and these results may be explained by the evaluation type, specific population properties, different scoring systems, tooth selection, or diversity of the diagnostic criteria.

Cross-sectional studies provide limited data in a short period and at a one-time point. These studies are generally used to determine the prevalence of a disease in a population, but there is no recall and control over. The first limitation of this study is that the total erosion scores of the patients were compared according to the consumption frequency of carbonated drinks, sour snacks, or fruit juice. Therefore, further clinical studies should be designed to investigate the properties of saliva, such as flow and buffering capacities. The second limitation is the determination of total erosion tooth wear using one scoring system. Using different dental indices together will contribute to the sensitivity and specificity of the studies.

To manage dental erosion, it is important to diagnose the lesions at an early stage, identify possible etiology, and have knowledge regarding the protective and risk factors and their interactions. Clinicians should make recommendations to the patients considering the etiological factors for oral care and consider the individual needs and motivation of the patients to provide their oral health.

On the basis of the findings of this study and within the limitations, it seems appropriate to conclude that individuals aged >40 years have a higher risk in terms of the total erosion scores. The prevalence of erosive tooth wear may be associated with age, systemic disease, drug use, and consumption of sour snacks and fruit juice. Erosive tooth wear was observed on mostly maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth, whereas total erosion scores were lowest in the mandibular posterior teeth.
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