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Summary: Widely quoted, “the axillary tail of Spence” refers to a contiguous extension of adipose angling superolaterally from the primary breast into the axilla. Described anecdotally in 1871, the “tail of Spence” has been considered important to the fields of general surgery, oncology, plastic surgery, and anatomy ever since. Despite the ubiquitous presence of the concept in literature, clinical discussions, and educational settings, we argue against the very existence of Spence’s “tail.” While pinch-testing and topographically mapping 316 consecutive patients in preparation for breast and gynecomastia surgery, we found a consistent pattern of focal fat mounds without continuity between breast and axilla. The absence of an uninterrupted superolateral tail was reaffirmed while analyzing 20 research participants who were pinch-tested with calipers and topographically mapped, specifically to define fat pad anatomy. We documented that the axillary breast mound was always distinct from the primary breast and that all women and many men had an additional “lateral chest wall tail” that never angled toward the axilla. In most, rolling the shoulder girdle anteriorly created a visible groove between the axillary mound and the primary breast, with little or no pinchable fat beneath that crease. With all deference to Spence, we have established that the outer half of the chest is consistently defined by three focal adipose structures—an axillary mound, the primary breast mound, and a previously unnamed “lateral chest wall tail”—with no anatomic evidence of an “axillary tail” of fat extending superolaterally from breast to axilla. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2022;10:e4086; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000004086; Published online 9 February 2022.)

INTRODUCTION
Relatively few individuals were accorded the honor of a medical eponym. Regarding anatomy of the breast, those memorialized in this way include surgeon and anatomist Sir Astley Cooper for the “ligaments of Cooper,”1 Rudolf “Virchow’s node” for lymphatic drainage that includes the superomedial breast,2 and Scottish surgeon James Spence for his “axillary tail of Spence.” Following his tenure as president of the Royal College of Surgeons in Edinburgh, Spence described “…an undefined tail-like projection creeping up from the breast towards the axilla” which he spoke of earlier, but first published in his 1871 volume Lectures on Surgery.3 In a paragraph discussing when a surgeon should not operate on breast carcinoma, Spence mentioned that when an ill-defined “tail” extends into the axilla (written as if he were referring to direct extension of tumor into the axilla, with no mention of benign fat or breast tissue), then one should not operate on that cancer. He never diagrammed or published the anatomy of the “tail.” Contemporaries who wrote of Spence’s concept were similarly vague about incidence of the finding and anatomy of the “tail.” A year before Spence published his own lectures, Bell clearly wrote in 1870 that Spence’s “tail” was not always present, mentioning that Spence used it to describe the path of infected lymphatic channels and nodes, although Bell never described his interpretation of the anatomic makeup of Spence’s concept.4 Curiously, in 1892 Stiles wrote that breast ducts extended all the way into the axilla on the basis of Spence’s claim, without providing anatomic evidence or mentioning either direct extension of tumor, or infection, or a “tail” of adipose.5 Therefore, the published record shows that Spence’s contemporaries could not find a tail in many...
patients and did not agree on its basic anatomic configuration or contents. Nonetheless, over subsequent years, surgeons somehow adopted a romantic concept of the “axillary tail of Spence” as normal human anatomy, composed of fat, through which some ducts and the lymphatic drainage system travel—without much proof from actual healthy anatomic data. With widespread acceptance 150 years later, students still find it mentioned in most general surgery textbooks, though Brunicardi et al helped shift the narrative in 2006 in Schwartz’s Manual of Surgery, stating that the “tail” extends laterally. Despite the recent movement to de-eponymize medicine, the “axillary tail of Spence” remains in use, likely because of its presumed relevance in the management of breast cancers. However, we believe there is inadequate data in either the original anecdotal mention, in contemporaneous written accounts, or in the more recent described variations to support that the “tail of Spence” exists at all, let alone that it represents normal human anatomy.

**BASIS FOR OUR HYPOTHESIS**

For more than 24 years, the senior author and his clinical and research teams have been using pinch-distraction to topographically map the shapes and volumes of subcutaneous fat pads onto the surface of the skin, as seen in Figure 1. This has served to define and quantify actual underlying anatomy in patients undergoing breast and body contouring procedures and in research participants as part of anatomic investigations. Informed by the pattern of lipohypertrophy seen in chronic survivors of HIV, our healthy research participants were all discovered to have a strikingly consistent pattern of focal fat pad anatomy, even if less obvious than in the chronic HIV survivors. That insight became the foundation for several recent publications and now provides the basis for evaluating the veracity of Dr. Spence’s historic anecdotal mention. We showed that focal fat mounds consistently run down the anterior torso from axilla to groin in a configuration matching the curvilinear path of the mammary ridges seen in all placental mammal embryos. The superior-most of these is the axillary breast mound, followed by the primary breast, usually with a distinct projecting “lateral chest wall tail.” Just inferior and medial to these, an “anterior inferior chest wall mound” overlies costal cartilages and completes the series of four pairs of variably sized accessory breast mounds normally present on the chest (Fig. 2).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In 1997, the senior author began pinch-testing fat pads on patients’ torsos by gently distracting skin and fat off the underlying muscle fascia to determine the shape and thickness of each focal adipose mound. Then, the findings were topographically mapped onto the skin surface in preparation for body contouring procedures, and each patient underwent highly standardized rotational photography of the torso with images captured at 45 degree increments to memorialize the findings. Figure 3 shows a typical woman who has undergone distraction pinch-testing, topographic contour mapping of focal fat pads, and highly standardized rotational imaging of the body in preparation for surgery the next morning, shown here at the 45 degree station.

We retrospectively evaluated all surgical cases since 2008 and excluded from analysis all individuals who presented for secondary care or breast implants. Of the remaining 316 consecutive patients, those who had chest procedures were analyzed (138 women and 178 men). Our patient population is strikingly diverse for age, gender, ethnicity, sexuality, hormonal station, gender identity, and states of disease or health. In addition, we included data from 20 healthy lean individuals who participated in our recent study to define mammary ridge focal fat pad anatomy. Each research participant was pinch-tested using distraction technique and a Harpenden Skinfold Caliper (John Bull, British Indicators, Ltd., West Sussex, UK), topographically mapped, and photographed with the same methodology used for patients. Then, a rectilinear grid was mapped onto the anterior torso of each to permit quantification of pinch-thickness over the entire region. Figure 4 shows a representative participant from the earlier study, also providing data for this current analysis.

**RESULTS**

We reviewed the baseline unmarked, highly standardized, rotational images of the torsos of patients and study participants, as well as their standardized mapped images. We failed to uncover a single instance when a fatty extension of the upper-outer breast angled superiorly into the axilla, either in cases of male gynecomastia or in women undergoing breast procedures (Figs. 5, 6). Instead, when fullness was present, 100% exhibited a lateral chest wall tail extending from the primary breast laterally, then posteriorly. Among lean individuals, pinch-testing done between the axillary mound and primary breast was “skin on skin,” with no significant subcutaneous fullness between the mounds. In those with moderate generalized subcutaneous fullness or thicker accessory breast mounds, rolling the shoulder girdle anteriorly (at full exhalation without pectoral contraction) usually

| Takeaways |
| --- |
| **Question:** Does the historical “axillary tail of Spence” really exist in human anatomy? |
| **Findings:** By pinch-testing 316 patients and 20 research participants, we determined that the anatomic fatty structure called the “axillary tail” was not present in any individual. Instead, anatomy of the superolateral chest is composed of three fatty foci: the axillary mound, primary breast mound, and “lateral chest wall tail.” |
| **Meaning:** By describing the true anatomy of the breast and the surrounding fatty mounds, physicians and surgeons can better care for individuals with benign and malignant diseases in the region. We support elimination of the anatomically inaccurate term “axillary tail of Spence” from medical parlance. |
resulted in a groove between axilla and breast, with little or no pinchable subcutaneous fat beneath the crease, as demonstrated in Figure 7. No person mapped or pinched had a continuous extension of fat connecting the breast with the axilla.

DISCUSSION

Our anatomic evidence suggests “the axillary tail of Spence” does not exist as originally mentioned or subsequently described. Although they can be small, we instead found consistent and distinct bilateral axillary mounds and lateral chest wall tails—two pairs of accessory breast mounds (with or without normal ductal elements) superior and lateral to the primary breast, respectively. In our sample of 336 individuals analyzed, we identified approximately 10 patients whose mounds could be called small “axillary breasts,” having other vestigial mammary elements, including forme fruste nipples, diminutive areola, and/or overlying clusters of hair. Several of these had palpable ductal elements, though none had symptoms or pathological findings.

Although the laterally oriented focal fat has been called the “lateral chest wall roll,” the term “lateral chest wall tail” is the appropriate moniker, as the focal fat always abuts the primary breast just lateral to the lateral border of the pectoral muscle. The feature then tapers in width and volume down to nothing as it wraps posterolaterally, as mapped in a South Asian woman (Fig. 8) and an elderly man of Russian heritage (Fig. 9). We have never
seen a distinct, tubular “roll” on the chest wall that was not a tapering extension. The tail appears physiologically distinct from the primary breast, as we have documented in many clinical situations that enlargement of either the primary breast or the lateral tail can occur without growth of the other.

In patients with higher fat content, anterior rolling of the shoulder girdle usually results in a crease between the axillary mound and primary breast, with little to no pinchable fat atop the pectoralis. In obese individuals as well as those with genetic or disease-based hypertrophy of the accessory breasts, the mounds are more difficult to distinguish visually, though pinch-distraction and/or anterior shoulder rotation usually permit identification of a separating crease between the mounds. We caution that indiscriminate pinch-testing of a large swath of subcutaneous tissue or pinching across mounds can result in a myriad of shapes that confuse analysis, as depicted in Figure 10. Instead, knowledge of focal fat pad anatomy permits pinch-testing between specific adipose mounds to confirm they are separate.

From an oncological perspective, the numerous reports of breast cancers arising in various accessory breast mounds include those originating within the “axillary tail of Spence.” We suggest these are likely de novo tumors arising within ductal or parenchymal elements of axillary breasts (more complete embryologic expressions of simple fatty mounds), for which there are many additional reports, historic and recent, or that the tumors were simply located near the outer perimeter of the upper outer quadrant. From a surgical oncology perspective,
our findings do not alter how mastectomy should be performed, as it is widely acknowledged that lymphatic drainage of the breast extends into the axilla along the same path as Spence’s presumed “tail.” Therefore, resection should continue to remove the primary breast and axillary fat, whether that fat exists as a “tail” or form-fruste separate breast mound along the mammary ridge. Our findings may have more of an impact on reconstructive care, when knowledge of normal anatomy will enhance the ability to generate symmetry or to shift a woman’s anatomy to a more youthful (less postmenopausal) configuration by addressing each mound independently.

Most would acknowledge that the size of any individual’s body is partially under that person’s control, based upon caloric intake and burn. However, as our group previously demonstrated using a monozygotic twin research model, human body shape appears to be almost entirely genetically based. In that study, we identified fat pad asymmetries that were either directly concordant or mirrored within any twin pair and concluded that body shape must be genetically encoded as nothing environmental can create mirrors. As body shape is primarily determined by the relative fullness and configuration of each of the focal fat pads in any given individual, these factors (also called “proportionality”) must be “anatomically predetermined” if twins have the same or mirrored shape. In contrast, gaining and losing weight only temporarily changes the size of adipose foci. Our data also show that aging, changes of hormonal station, and severe systemic disease can all permanently change the relative fullness of focal fat pads and therefore one’s shape. However, the basic anatomic arrangement of the focal mounds (the common human pattern for fat pad arrangement) is unaltered. It appears focal fat pads enlarge or decrease in size with aging, changes of hormonal station, or due to disease, but that the basic anatomic arrangement of the mounds is not altered by any of those processes.

Fig. 5. Hypertrophic superolateral chest wall fat pads and intervening creases are particularly evident in this post-andropausal and diabetic African American man. Hypertrophic findings in the 45 degree (A) and 0 degree (B) rotational views represent the typical anatomy seen to a greater or lesser degree across our entire patient population and among all healthy lean research participants as well. Note that this patient exhibits hypertrophy of the lateral chest wall tails without significant enlargement of the primary breast mounds. It appears focal fat pads enlarge or decrease in size with aging, changes of hormonal station, or due to disease, but that the basic anatomic arrangement of the mounds is not altered by any of those processes.

Fig. 6. A 20-year-old woman of Southeast Asian descent exhibits cushingoid features, but still has the same pattern of fullness in the accessory breasts, as well as a groove of hollowness between the primary breasts and the axillary mounds when the arms are partially rolled forward.
Only recently was it proven that eight pairs of fatty foci—likely adult vestiges of primordial teats—run along the paths of the embryological mammary ridges. We contend that it would have been nearly impossible for Spence to deduce the local fatty anatomy of the chest in 1871, without the broader understanding of fat pad anatomy made possible by accurate calipers and pinch-distraction methods, modern topographic mapping techniques, and standardized digital photography to accurately document findings. Also, before the advent of worldwide travel and easy access to extreme social diversity, Spence could not have easily recognized that a defined pattern of focal fat pad anatomy is consistently present, regardless of age, gender, sexuality, ethnicity, hormonal station, or states of disease or health.

Although our findings clash with the well-entrenched supposition of an “axillary tail of Spence,” we argue that an improved understanding of actual baseline anatomy is imperative to provide a rational foundation upon which future medical treatments and surgical procedures can be based. In any scientific discipline, skepticism and a logical approach to question unsupported concepts are essential. We contend that longstanding beliefs based upon historical, anecdotal mentions should always be reexamined. Further work is needed to correlate our clinical findings with cadaveric anatomic dissection and histopathology. In addition, re-evaluation of imaging studies should be undertaken with these new clinical anatomic insights, including determining whether any breast ductal tissue crosses the consistently lean crease that exists between the primary breast and the axillary mound.

CONCLUSIONS

With more than 20 years of pinch-testing and topographic mapping of focal fat, we have never identified a single “axillary tail” that angles superiorly from the primary breast into the axilla. Instead, our study participants showed that a clear pattern of accessory breasts is consistently present, comprising the entirety of focal adipose anatomy on the upper-outer chest, representing normal human anatomy. Even lean individuals almost always exhibit a small but defined axillary mound, separate primary breast, and a “lateral chest wall tail” that wraps posterolaterally. These fixed anatomic structures can enlarge as fat content increases, obscuring focality, but remaining anatomically and physiologically distinct. When the shoulder is rolled anteriorly, a deep groove often forms between the mounds, with little or no pinchable fat beneath that crease. It has been well-established that the lymphatic drainage channels do indeed follow the path mentioned by James Spence, but we have demonstrated that neither fatty anatomy nor significant volumes of breast tissue do so. Therefore, to improve the dialog about actual anatomy of the chest and with all respect due to an esteemed historic colleague, we suggest the term “axillary tail” be retired from the medical lexicon.
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