Qutrit Magic State Distillation Tight in Some Directions
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Magic state distillation is a crucial component in the leading approaches to implementing universal fault tolerant quantum computation, with existing protocols for both qubit and higher dimensional systems. Early work focused on determining the region of distillable states for qubit protocols, yet comparatively little is known about which states can be distilled and with what distillable region for \( d > 2 \). Here we focus on \( d = 3 \) and present new four-qutrit distillation schemes that improve upon the known distillable region, and achieve distillation tight to the boundary of undistillable states for some classes of state. As a consequence of recent results, this implies that there is a family of quantum states that enable universality if and only if they exhibit contextuality with respect to stabilizer measurements. We also identify a new routine whose fixed point is a magic state with maximal sum-negativity i.e., it is maximally non-stabilizer in a specific sense.

One of the main challenges facing the implementation of a large scale quantum computer is the ability to protect quantum information from decoherence, typically introduced by unwanted interactions with the environment. Encoding of information in quantum error correcting codes provides a partial answer [1–3]. However such encodings normally only allow a limited set of transversal or manifestly fault-tolerant operations, usually the stabilizer operations i.e., Clifford gates, preparation of stabilizer states and Pauli measurements. Stabilizer operations alone do not enable universality [4] and therefore some additional resource will be required to supplement them in any proposal for universal fault-tolerant quantum computation.

A leading solution (although alternatives exist [5, 6]) is provided by the magic state distillation protocol, first proposed by Knill [7] and Bravyi and Kitaev [8]. There it was shown that stabilizer operations may be promoted to universal fault-tolerant quantum computation when supplemented by a supply of an additional resource state, known as a magic state. Furthermore, these magic states may be prepared through an iterative procedure in which less pure states are consumed to produce a higher fidelity output state using only stabilizer operations. If the input ancillas to a distillation routine are expressible as a mixture of stabilizer states (geometrically, if they are inside the stabilizer polytope e.g. Fig. 1) then no amount of stabilizer operations can produce a magic state. Bravyi and Kitaev [8] presented two distinct routines that could distill input states provided they were sufficiently far outside the face or edge of the stabilizer octahedron. Reichardt [9] showed that the distillable region was tight to the stabilizer boundary along the octahedron edges in the so-called \( H \)-direction (see Fig. 1), while Campbell and Browne [10, 11] showed that (for stabilizer codes of fixed length) there exists a region of undistillable non-stabilizer states outside the octahedron faces in the \( T \)-direction.

For qudits (hereafter this refers to systems of odd prime dimension \( d \)) the set of undistillable ancillas is larger than the set of all mixtures of stabilizer states.
Wigner representation). Here we focus on \( d = 3 \) and present distillation schemes that achieve tight distillation for some directions, as well as showing distillability of a geometrically significant and maximally non-stabilizer magic state.

Definitions.— We begin with a brief overview of the magic state distillation protocol and provide some useful definitions. Magic state distillation procedures are based on stabilizer error correcting codes, which can be described by a set of generators \( \{G_i\} \) consisting of Pauli operators. We take the generalized \( d \)-level Pauli operators to be

\[
D_{\langle x|z \rangle} = \omega^{2^{-1}xz} X^z Z^x, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{Z}_d ,
\]

where qudit \( X \) and \( Z \) are given by

\[
X |j \rangle = |j + 1 \rangle , \quad Z |j \rangle = \omega^j |j \rangle
\]

and \( \omega = e^{2\pi i/d} \) is a \( d \)-th root of unity. The codespace projector \( \Pi_C \) in terms of the generators is given by

\[
\Pi_C = \frac{1}{d^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} G_i^j \right].
\]

The set of unitary operations that map Pauli operators to Pauli operators under conjugation is the Clifford group \([20]\), whose elements (for the single-qudit case) can be written as

\[
C = D_{\langle x|z \rangle} U_F
\]

\[
U_F = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} \omega^{2^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(\alpha k^2 - 2 j k + \delta j^2))} |j \rangle \langle k|, \quad \beta \neq 0 \right\}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega^{2^{-1} \alpha k^2} |k \rangle \langle k|, \quad \beta = 0
\]

where \( F = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \delta & \epsilon & \zeta \end{array} \right) \) is a symplectic matrix and all variables are elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_d = \{0, 1, \ldots , d - 1\} \).

Any magic state distillation protocol based on stabilizer codes consists of iteratively applying the following steps: 1. Prepare \( n \) copies of the input state \( \rho^{\otimes n} \); 2. Perform Pauli measurements corresponding to each of the \( n - k \) generators \( G_i \), and postselect on the desired outcome; 3. Optionally perform a Clifford transformation based on the measurement outcome. When successful, the output state(s) will be purified in the direction of the target magic state. Typically \( k = 1 \) and consequently an \( \lfloor n, k = 1, \text{distance} \rfloor \) stabilizer code is used (see \([21–23]\) for \( k \geq 2 \) however). We represent input states with depolarizing noise as

\[
\rho_M = (1 - p) |M \rangle \langle M| + p \frac{1}{d^3} ,
\]

where \( |M \rangle \) is the target magic state, and \( p^* \) will be used to denote the depolarizing noise rate above which our distillation routine no longer works.

For qudits \( (d > 2) \) the subset of quantum states known to be classically simulable, and therefore not useful for magic state distillation, is prescribed by the Wigner polytope

Wigner polytope \( \{ \rho : \text{Tr}(\rho A_{x,z}) \geq 0, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{Z}_d \} \),

where the phase point operators are given by

\[
A_{x,z} = \frac{1}{d} D_{\langle x|z \rangle} \left( \sum_{x',z'} D_{\langle x'|z' \rangle} \right) D_{\langle x|z \rangle}^\dagger ,
\]

This polytope contains the subset of quantum states that are nonnegatively represented in all \( d^2 \) positions of Gross’ discrete Wigner function \([13]\)

\[
W_{\rho}(x, z) = \frac{1}{d^2} \text{Tr}(A_{x,z} \rho).\]

It turns out that the sum-negativity \([15]\) of a state,

\[
\text{sn}(\rho) = \sum_{x,z:W_{\rho}(x, z) < 0} |W_{\rho}(x, z)|,
\]

is an operationally useful quantification of how far from the Wigner polytope a state is. For qutrits, the phase point operators \( A_{x,z} \) are given by \( A_{x,z} = D_{\langle x|z \rangle} A_{0,0} D_{\langle x|z \rangle}^\dagger \)

\[
A_{0,0} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) ,
\]

\((a,b,b)\) subspace.—We describe magic state distillation protocols that iteratively distill towards pure states within the +1-eigenspace of the \( A_{0,0} \) phase point operator \((9)\). This eigenspace is degenerate and has eigenvectors of the form \((a, b, b) \in \mathbb{C}^3\). Before describing the protocols, we give an overview of the geometrical interpretation of this eigenspace. We may parameterize pure states living within the \((a, b, b)\) subspace using a polar angle \( \theta \) and an azimuthal angle \( \phi \), via

\[
|\psi\rangle = (a, b, b) = (\cos \theta, e^{i \phi} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2}, e^{i \phi} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2})
\]

with

\[
\langle \psi|\psi\rangle = (a, b, b)\]
states in general. For example, in the qubit Bloch sphere picture, we expect an equal mixture of any two diametrically opposite points to correspond to the maximally mixed state. However in our representation, mixing the North pole $|0\rangle$ with the South pole $|N\rangle = (|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |N\rangle \langle N| = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \frac{1}{3} I_3.$$  

(12)

Despite the fact that our representation does not respect convexity, we feel it provides good intuition for the relevant geometry and symmetries. Mixtures of stabilizer states as well as states with positive Wigner function form a closed volume within the sphere (see Fig. 2). A convex 2-dimensional slice through qutrit state space can be found in [16], which depicts a similar hierarchy (i.e., the stabilizer polytope is a strict subset of the Wigner polytope).
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FIG. 2. Depolarized versions of qutrit states $(\cos \theta, e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/\sqrt{2}, e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/\sqrt{2})$: Points on surface of the sphere represent pure states, while every point in the interior is a depolarized version of the nearest surface state (see (5)). Figure (a) depicts the set of states that are non-negatively represented in the Wigner function and hence useless for MSD. The volume roughly corresponds to a “curvy tetrahedron” which hereafter will be referred to as the Wigner tetrahedron. Figure (b) depicts the set of states expressible as convex combinations of stabilizer states. A regular tetrahedron is shown as a visual aid and the pure states at its vertices are stabilizer states $\{|0\rangle, (1, \omega^k, \omega^k)/\sqrt{3}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$.

In order to map the distillable region within the $(a, b, b)$ subspace, it will suffice to partition the space into Clifford-equivalent sections and find the distillable region for only one such section. It is a well-known property of the Clifford group that $A_{0,0}$ is invariant under the symplectic part of the Clifford group i.e., $A_{0,0} = U_F A_{0,0} U_F^\dagger$ for all $F \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_d)$. Consequently qutrit states of the form $(a, b, b)$ remain in the $+1$ eigenspace of $A_{0,0}$ under all $|\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)| = 24$ such transformations. Not only does the symplectic unitary $U_{+1} = \frac{1}{3}$ fix every qutrit vector but the symplectic unitary $U_{-1} = A_{0,0}$ also fixes every vector of the form $(a, b, b)$. Consequently, the set of non-trivial symplectic transformations acting on $(a, b, b)$ states is $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)/\pm \mathbb{I}_3 = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_3)$. This latter symmetry group is isomorphic to the rotation group of the tetrahedron (i.e. the alternating group $A_4$). Therefore we expect the entire space to partition into 12 Clifford-equivalent regions. These regions correspond to the 4 Wigner tetrahedron faces, further divided into 3 wedges each as shown in Fig. 3. We will consider distillation confined to the region defined as being contained by the three lines

Arc 1. $(x, y, z) = (\sin 2\theta, 0, \cos 2\theta)$  

Arc 2. $(x, y, z) = ((17 \sin 2\theta - 2\sqrt{2} \cos 2\theta)/18, \sin 2\theta + 2\sqrt{2} \cos 2\theta)/2\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2} \sin 2\theta + 5 \cos 2\theta)/9)$  

Arc 3. $(x, y, z) = (\frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin 2\theta, \cos 2\theta)$  

(13)  

(14)  

(15)

MSD routines.— In this section we present two classes of magic states within the $(a, b, b)$ subspace, give explicit distillation schemes for each, and sketch out the corresponding distillable region. Magic states within the $(a, b, b)$ subspace can be generally split into two types, those lying outside the six Wigner tetrahedron edges, and those lying outside the four Wigner tetrahedron faces. By numerically searching over a large set of stabilizer codes, we find that there exists many limiting states outside the Wigner tetrahedron edges, where limiting state is taken to mean the end point of the iterative procedure based on a given stabilizer code. In contrast, we find only one limiting state outside the Wigner tetrahedron faces, and this is the state geometrically located the furthest outside the Wigner tetrahedron in the middle of each face. These so-called Norrell states [15], which were not previously known to be directly distillable, have maximal sum-negativity $sn = \frac{1}{3}$ arising from a Wigner function of $-\frac{1}{2}$ in two phase space points. Another important state, also with maximal sum-negativity $sn = \frac{1}{3}$, albeit concentrated in a single point, comprises the $-1$-eigenspace of the $A_{0,0}$ operator. These latter states were called Strange
states in [15] and generalized $|T\rangle$ states in [24]. The set of numerically identified limiting states is shown in Fig. 4 and we note that a nice feature of all our distillation protocols is that they do not require twirling (i.e., dephasing in a particular basis) between rounds of MSD or cycling [17].

We now demonstrate distillation schemes based on stabilizer codes for both types of magic state. We begin with the edge states for which tight distillation thresholds were achieved, and then present the Norrell state which improves the distillation region outside the Wigner tetrahedron faces slightly. Out of the many edge-type magic state depicted in Fig. 4, the state $|E\rangle$ whose code has the best overall distillable region will be presented. The state

$$|E\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0.774149 \\ 0.447601 \\ 0.447601 \end{pmatrix},$$

which lies on the $x$-z plane is distilled by a $[[4,1,2]]_3$ code given by generators:

| Edge, $|E\rangle$ : |
|------------------------|
| $G_1$ | 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 |
| $G_2$ | 1 1 0 1 1 1 2 2 |
| $G_3$ | 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 |
| $Z_L$ | 2 0 0 2 2 2 1 2 |
| $X_L$ | 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 |

in $(x|z)$ notation where each element is taken to be a generalized Pauli operator. This magic state $|E\rangle$ may be transformed into an equatorial state useful for state injection by first applying a symplectic unitary $U_F$ with $F = (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})$ and then following the parity check and equatorialization procedures as outlined in [17]. For all states $|\theta\rangle := (\cos \theta, \sin \theta/\sqrt{2}, \sin \theta/\sqrt{2})$ along the Wigner tetrahedron edge, on the line $(x,y,z) = (\sin 2\theta, 0, \cos 2\theta)$ with $0 \leq \theta \leq \arccos 1/\sqrt{3}$, we find an error threshold given by

$$p^* = 1 - \frac{4}{1 + 3 \cos 2\theta + 3\sqrt{2} \sin 2\theta},$$

which corresponds to a state $\rho_0 = (1 - p^*) |\theta\rangle \langle \theta| + p^* \mathbb{1}/3$ with Wigner function

$$W_{\rho_0} = \begin{pmatrix} r & s & s \\ t & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore distillation is tight to the Wigner polytope boundary for all points along the Wigner tetrahedron edges. The maximally robust edge state occurs at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and this corresponds to the $+1$ eigenstate of the qutrit Fourier transform. Using our edge code, this state can tolerate depolarizing noise up to

$$p^* = 1 - \frac{4}{1 + 3\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.354438,$$

which is the best known depolarizing noise threshold for qutrits. The edge code distills points along the depolarizing axis of the Norrell state $|N\rangle = (2, -1, -1)/\sqrt{6}$ with a threshold of

$$p^* = \frac{1}{3} \left( 5 - \frac{1}{q} - q \right)$$

$$q = \sqrt{28 - 3\sqrt{7}}$$

$$\approx 0.304379.$$  

The entire distillable region of this $[[4,1,2]]_3$ edge code confined to the Clifford-equivalent wedge of interest is shown in Fig. 5. The situation is analogous to the qubit picture wherein distillation is tight for all edges ($H$-type) and there is a pocket of undistillable states outside the Wigner tetrahedron faces ($T$-type).

The distillation region may be improved slightly by a second stabilizer code which has the Norrell state $|N\rangle = (2, -1, -1)/\sqrt{6}$ as a limiting state. The Norrell state $|N\rangle$ is Clifford equivalent to the south pole state $|N\rangle$ shown in Fig. 4. This $[[4,1,2]]_3$ code has generators:

| Face, $|N\rangle$ : |
|------------------------|
| $G_1$ | 2 0 0 2 1 2 0 1 |
| $G_2$ | 2 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 |
| $G_3$ | 1 0 1 2 0 2 1 0 |
| $Z_L$ | 1 0 0 2 1 0 1 2 |
| $X_L$ | 0 0 0 0 1 2 1 2 |
We find that the Norrell state $|N\rangle$ is distilled up to a threshold noise rate of

$$p^* \approx 0.32989,$$

which increases the distillable region as shown in Fig. 5. The equatorialization procedure of [17] converts the Norrell state into something useful for state injection (two copies of $|N\rangle$ enable implementation of the non-Clifford unitary $U = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$ [17]).

The success probabilities $p_{\text{succ}} = \text{Tr}(\rho^{\otimes 4}_{\text{in}} \Pi_C)$ for both codes for points along the depolarizing axes of their respective limiting states begin at approximately $p_{\text{succ}} = 0.12$ for $p = 0$ and drop to approximately $p_{\text{succ}} = 0.07$ for $p = 0.3$, assuming post-selection on the trivial error syndrome. In both cases, the success probability may be increased by allowing for different measurement outcomes and applying a Clifford recovery operation to the output state as specified in Table I. The success probability of both codes is effectively tripled to $p_{\text{succ}} \approx 1/3$ using the Clifford recovery method. Similar to [17] error suppression appears to be linear, which means that despite the appreciable success probability, both codes are outperformed in terms of efficiency by a previously known qutrit code [18, 19]. Our focus was on maximizing the range of applicability of MSD schemes rather than their efficiency. Nevertheless, exploring the landscape of stabilizer codes with non-stabilizer limiting states may have practical consequences if these codes can be adapted or used in conjunction with others (as in [21]) to produce more efficient schemes.

| Measurement | Limiting state | Correction |
|-------------|----------------|------------|
| (0,0,0)     | (0.774131, 0.447616, 0.447616) | $I$         |
| (1,0,2)     | (0.447616, 0.774131, 0.447616) | $X^2$       |
| (2,0,1)     | (0.447616, 0.447616, 0.774131) | $X$         |
| (0,0,0)     | (2, -1, -1)/$\sqrt{6}$ | $I$         |
| (1,2,0)     | (-1, -2, -1)/$\sqrt{6}$ | $X^2$       |
| (2,1,0)     | (-1, -1, 2)/$\sqrt{6}$ | $X$         |

**Summary & open questions.** We have demonstrated the existence of magic state distillation schemes with thresholds tight to the Wigner polytope boundary for $d = 3$. This represents a first step towards showing that all negatively represented states are distillable by some routine. Our characterization of the distillable region presents an interesting analogy to distillable region for the qubit Bloch sphere. Our results help to map out the set of codes which are useful for MSD and the set of limiting states for higher dimensional systems. In particular, finding stabilizer codes with distillation properties besides those known to be useful for magic state distillation by their transversality properties [8, 18] is useful. We have shown that a certain class of state with maximal sum-negativity for qutrits, the Norrell state, is distillable by at least one stabilizer code. We have given a convenient parameterization of the $+1$-eigenspace of the $A_{0,0}$ phase space operator which allows us to easily visualize the distillable region and understand the geometric significance of the Norrell state. We identified a large set of limiting states within the ($a, b, b$) subspace, all located along the Wigner tetrahedron edges. These edge states allow for universality by following the parity check and equatorialization procedures outlined in [17] to produce equatorial states useful for state injection. Why these states in particular are limiting states, and what properties of the identified stabilizer codes make them useful for magic state distillation are interesting open questions.
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**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Each set of generators describe a stabilizer code in \((x|z)\) notation which has edge state \(|E\rangle = (a, b, b)\) as its limiting state. All limiting states lie outside the Wigner tetrahedron edge in the \(x-z\) plane as shown in Fig. 4.
| 0.842186 0.381263 0.908192 0.418554 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 2 1 0 0 |
|   | G2 | 1 1 0 0 1 | 2 1 2 1 0 |
|   | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 |
|   | G4 | 0 0 0 1 0 | 2 2 0 0 0 |
|   | Z | 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 2 1 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 2 0 0 |
| 0.881207 0.334271 0.833147 0.553052 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 0 0 0 |
|   | G2 | 1 1 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 0 0 |
|   | G3 | 0 2 1 0 2 | 1 1 1 0 0 |
|   | G4 | 0 0 0 1 0 | 2 2 2 0 0 |
|   | Z | 0 2 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 0 0 |
| 0.811769 0.412936 0.948113 0.317936 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 2 0 0 |
|   | G2 | 2 1 0 0 2 | 1 1 2 0 0 |
|   | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 2 1 |
|   | G4 | 0 0 0 1 0 | 2 2 2 0 0 |
|   | Z | 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 2 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 0 0 |
| 0.964002 0.188015 0.512644 0.858601 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G2 | 2 1 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G3 | 2 0 1 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G4 | 2 0 0 1 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G5 | 1 0 0 0 1 | 2 2 2 2 1 |
|   | Z | 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 0 |
| 0.921798 0.274124 0.714707 0.699424 | G1 | 1 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 |
|   | G2 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G3 | 0 2 1 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G4 | 0 2 0 1 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G5 | 0 0 0 0 1 | 2 0 0 0 0 |
|   | Z | 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 0 |
| 0.943399 0.274124 0.622954 0.781983 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G2 | 2 1 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G3 | 2 0 1 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 |
|   | G4 | 1 0 0 1 0 | 2 2 2 2 1 |
|   | G5 | 0 0 0 0 1 | 2 0 0 0 0 |
|   | Z | 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 2 0 |
| 0.863728 0.356353 0.622954 0.781983 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 |
|   | G2 | 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 |
|   | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 |
|   | G4 | 0 0 0 0 2 | 0 0 1 1 1 |
|   | G5 | 0 0 0 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 1 |
|   | Z | 0 0 0 2 0 | 0 0 1 1 1 |
|   | X | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 1 2 |
| 0.872073 0.346041 0.853543 0.521023 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 2 1 0 0 0 |
| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | G2 | 1 1 0 0 0 1 | 2 1 2 1 1 1 |
| 0.782757 0.440052 0.974262 0.225417 | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 0 |
| 0.925353 0.268068 0.701613 0.712558 | G4 | 0 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 0 |
| 0.945567 0.230113 0.615429 0.788192 | G5 | 0 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 0 |

| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 2 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.919698 0.277629 0.722196 0.691689 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 0 0 |

| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 1 0 0 |
| 0.782757 0.440052 0.974262 0.225417 | G2 | 0 1 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 2 0 0 |
| 0.925353 0.268068 0.701613 0.712558 | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 2 0 0 |
| 0.945567 0.230113 0.615429 0.788192 | G4 | 0 0 1 0 1 2 | 0 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | G5 | 0 0 1 0 1 2 | 0 0 1 1 1 1 |

| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.782757 0.440052 0.974262 0.225417 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.925353 0.268068 0.701613 0.712558 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.945567 0.230113 0.615429 0.788192 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |

| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 1 0 0 |
| 0.919698 0.277629 0.722196 0.691689 | G2 | 2 1 0 0 0 2 | 2 0 2 2 2 2 |
| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | G3 | 0 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 2 0 |
| 0.782757 0.440052 0.974262 0.225417 | G4 | 1 0 0 1 0 1 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.925353 0.268068 0.701613 0.712558 | G5 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 1 1 1 1 |

| 0.945567 0.230113 0.615429 0.788192 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 2 0 0 0 |
| 0.919698 0.277629 0.722196 0.691689 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 2 1 1 |
| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 2 0 0 0 |

| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | G1 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 2 0 0 |
| 0.919698 0.277629 0.722196 0.691689 | G2 | 2 1 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 2 0 1 |
| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | G3 | 2 0 1 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 2 1 0 |
| 0.782757 0.440052 0.974262 0.225417 | G4 | 1 0 0 1 0 1 | 1 0 1 2 1 0 |
| 0.925353 0.268068 0.701613 0.712558 | G5 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 2 2 0 0 0 0 |

| 0.945567 0.230113 0.615429 0.788192 | ZL | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 1 2 1 1 |
| 0.931836 0.256594 0.676287 0.736638 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 2 0 0 0 |
| 0.919698 0.277629 0.722196 0.691689 | ZL | 0 0 2 0 0 2 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 0.899161 0.309443 0.786979 0.616980 | XL | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 1 2 0 0 |
|   | G1    | G2    | G3    | G4    | G5    | ZL    | XL    |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.934648 0.251429 0.664674 0.747134 | 1 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 0 1 1 0 0 1 | 0 1 0 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 2 0 0 0 2 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
|   | 0 0 0 0 2 2 | 1 1 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 2 2 2 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 1 | 2 2 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 1 2 2 0 0 |
| 0.923498 0.271248 0.708513 0.705698 | 1 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 0 1 1 0 0 1 | 0 1 0 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 2 0 0 0 2 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
|   | 0 0 0 0 2 2 | 1 2 1 1 0 0 | 2 1 2 2 2 0 | 2 1 2 2 2 0 | 2 2 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 1 1 1 2 | 0 1 2 2 0 0 |
| 0.939523 0.242173 0.643544 0.765409 | 0 0 0 0 0 2 | 2 1 0 0 0 2 | 2 0 1 0 0 2 | 1 0 0 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 2 0 0 0 0 2 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
|   | 1 2 1 1 0 0 | 1 2 1 1 0 2 | 1 2 1 1 1 0 | 2 1 2 2 2 1 | 2 2 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 2 0 0 |