Association of Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio With Inflammation and Erythropoietin Resistance in Chronic Dialysis Patients
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Abstract

Background: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was widely studied as a prognostic marker in various medical and surgical specialties, but its significance in nephrology is not yet established.

Objective: We evaluated its accuracy as an inflammation biomarker in a dialysis population.

Design setting: Single-center retrospective study.

Patients: The records of all 550 patients who were treated with hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD) from September 2008 to March 2011 were included.

Measurements: NLR was calculated from the monthly complete blood count.

Methods: Association between NLR and markers of inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP], serum albumin, and erythropoietin resistance index [ERI]) was measured using Spearman coefficient.

Results: In total, 120 patients were eligible for the correlation analyses. We found a positive correlation between NLR and CRP (all patients: \( r = 0.45, P < .001 \); HD: \( r = 0.47, P < .001 \); PD: \( r = 0.48, P = .13 \)). NLR and albumin were inversely correlated (\( r = -0.51, P < .001 \)). Finally, high NLR was associated with a nonsignificant increased ERI, but we have not demonstrated a direct correlation.

Limitations: CRP and albumin are not measured routinely and were ordered for a specific clinical reason leading to an indication bias. Also, no relationship with clinical outcome was established.

Conclusions: NLR seems to be a good inflammatory biomarker in dialysis in addition to being easily available. However, controlled studies should be conducted to properly assess and validate NLR levels that would be clinically significant and relevant, as well as its prognostic significance and utility in a clinical setting.

Abrégé

Contexte: La qualité de marqueur pronostique du ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes a fait l’objet d’études approfondies dans plusieurs disciplines médicales et spécialités chirurgicales. En revanche, son importance n’est toujours pas établie dans le domaine de la néphrologie.

Objectif de l’étude: Nous avons voulu évaluer l’efficacité du ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes comme biomarqueur de l’inflammation chez une population de patients dialysés.

Modèle de l’étude: Il s’agit d’une étude rétrospective restreinte à un seul établissement.

Participants: Les dossiers médicaux des 550 patients ayant été traités par hémodialyse (HD) ou par dialyse péritonéale (DP) entre septembre 2008 et mars 2011 ont été retenus pour cette étude.

Mesures: Le ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes a été calculé à partir de la formule sanguine complète prélevée mensuellement.

Méthodologie: On a utilisé le coefficient de Spearman pour mesurer la corrélation entre le ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes et certains marqueurs de l’inflammation : la protéine C-réactive, l’albumine sérique et l’index de résistance à l’érythropoïétine (IRE).

Résultats: De tous les dossiers médicaux retenus, seuls 120 patients étaient admissibles à l’analyse de corrélation. Nous avons pu établir une corrélation positive entre le ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes et la protéine C-réactive (\( r = 0.45, P < 0.001 \) pour l’ensemble des patients, \( r = 0.47, P < 0.001 \) chez les patients en HD, et \( r = 0.48, P = 0.13 \) chez les patients sous DP), alors que
la corrélation était inversée dans le cas de l’albumine sérique ($r=0,51; \ p<0,001$). Nous avons également observé qu’un ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes élevé était associé à une élévation non significative de l’IRE, sans toutefois établir une corrélation directe.

**Limites de l’étude:** Règle générale, l’albumine sérique et la protéine C-réactive ne sont mesurées que lorsqu’une raison d’ordre clinique le demande, ce qui pourrait signaler un biais. De plus, aucun lien n’a été établi entre le ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes et les devenirs cliniques des patients.

**Conclusion:** Le calcul du ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes semble être un bon indicateur de l’état inflammatoire chez les patients dialysés. Qui plus est, les données nécessaires pour évaluer ce ratio sont faciles à obtenir. Néanmoins, des études contrôlées devraient être menées pour déterminer les valeurs de ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes qui seraient significatives du point de vue clinique, de même que pour établir l’importance du ratio neutrophiles/lymphocytes sur le plan du pronostic et sa pertinence dans un cadre clinique.
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**What was known before**

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a prognostic marker of morbidity and mortality for numerous conditions, and was recently associated with all-cause mortality in hemodialysis patients, but no study has ever evaluated the relationship between NLR and erythropoietin (EPO) resistance index (ERI), a measure of EPO resistance associated with inflammation. The aim of this study was to evaluate associations between NLR and inflammation biomarkers (C-reactive protein [CRP], serum albumin, and ERI) in a cohort of chronic dialysis patients.

**What this adds**

This study demonstrates that NLR correlates positively with CRP and inversely correlates with albumin in patients receiving chronic dialysis, 2 well-known biomarkers of inflammation. While we did not find a correlation between NLR and ERI, high NLR was associated with a nonsignificant increased ERI. This study suggests that NLR is a good biomarker of inflammation. As it can be calculated routinely without additional cost, from the complete blood count, NLR has the potential to be a convenient and inexpensive marker of inflammation in patients receiving chronic dialysis.

**Introduction**

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been studied in medical and surgical populations. It is a prognostic marker of morbidity and mortality for numerous conditions, including cardiovascular disease, oncology, critical care medicine, liver disease, general surgery, and vascular surgery. It was recently associated with all-cause mortality in hemodialysis (HD) patients. Reddan et al were the first to mention its possible use as a biomarker in HD. The relationship between NLR and increased inflammatory biomarkers in HD was observed in a cross-sectional study, and another study showed an association between NLR and decreased serum albumin, a surrogate biomarker of inflammation. Moreover, Kalantar-Zadeh et al demonstrated that a low lymphocyte count was associated with refractory anemia in end-stage renal disease (ESRD), another probable surrogate marker of inflammation, but no study has ever evaluated the relationship between NLR and erythropoietin resistance index (ERI), a measure of EPO resistance. Findings from these small studies may be the result of specific populations and may not apply to all dialysis patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate associations between NLR and inflammation biomarkers (CRP, serum albumin, and ERI) in a cohort of chronic dialysis patients. Given that a high CRP and a low
serum albumin are inflammatory biomarkers, our hypotheses were that NLR would be directly correlated with CRP and inversely related to serum albumin.

**Methods**

**Study Setting, Population, and Design**

We conducted a single-center retrospective study using chart reviews. We included patients older than 18 years who were treated with either HD or peritoneal dialysis (PD) for at least 3 months at our hospital-based outpatient dialysis center in Montreal (Canada) from September 2008 to March 2011. Patients receiving chemotherapy or immunosuppressant were excluded because of its myelosuppressive effect on white cells count. To calculate ERI, we excluded patients who were not treated with an erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA), or had no weight available. Finally, only patients who had at least 1 set of NLR, CRP, and albumin values collected at the same time point were included in the correlation analysis between those markers; therefore, these results do not represent longitudinal changes.

**Measurements**

We calculated NLR for each patient using absolute neutrophils count \( \times 10^9/L \) divided by absolute lymphocytes count \( \times 10^9/L \) from the complete blood count. For each patient, CRP, serum albumin, and corresponding NLR were collected at each time point during follow-up when they were measured together; multiple observations within the patient were permitted. As a proxy for erythropoietin (EPO) resistance, ERI was calculated by dividing the weekly dose of ESA (U/kg/wk) by the hemoglobin value (g/dL).\(^{15}\) ERI was calculated at each time point when the dose was modified. Two ESAs were available at our center: epoetin alfa and darbepoetin alfa. Darbepoetin doses were converted to equivalent epoetin dose using a conversion factor of 200:1 as suggested in the product monograph. The corresponding NLR was collected concurrently.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were summarized by median and interquartile range, and categorical variables were summarized by frequency and percent. NLR and CRP, albumin, or ERI values were also presented in quartiles. Associations between NLR and CRP, albumin, or ERI were estimated by Spearman correlation \( r \) and multivariate linear mixed-effects models. In the correlation analysis, only the first measures of NLR, CRP, albumin, and ERI were included in the analysis. As mixed-effects models account for multiple measures for a single patient, all observed data could be included in the multivariate mixed model. Age, sex, and dialysis modality were also included in the model. We did not use imputation because using multiple imputations might not improve the analysis in this situation, given that data are acknowledged not to be missing at random. Differences between quartiles were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis statistic. Statistical significance level was set at .05. Statistical analysis was performed with SAS (SAS 9.2; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina).

This project was approved by the Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital ethics committee, and informed consent was waived.

**Results**

**Study Participants and Baseline Characteristics**

We reviewed the charts of all 550 patients who were treated with dialysis for at least 3 months at our outpatient dialysis center during the observation period. Of these, 42 were excluded as illustrated in Figure 1, leaving 508 patients eligible for the study. Among those, 120 patients had NLR, CRP, and albumin measured at the same time and were included. For analysis, median age was 68 years (interquartile range: 59-76), 42.5% were men, and 90.8% were receiving HD (n = 109).

**NLR and Inflammation**

The correlation analysis (Table 1) showed a positive correlation between CRP and NLR \( r = 0.45, P < .001 \). Serum albumin and NLR were inversely correlated \( r = -0.51, P < .001 \). CRP and albumin were also negatively correlated, but to a lesser extent than with NLR \( r = -0.34, P < .001 \). The linear multivariate mixed-effects models were consistent with correlation results (Table 1). An increase in 1 unit of NLR was associated with a 4.64 mg/L increase in CRP and a 0.047 g/dL decrease in albumin (multiply by 10 to convert to g/L of albumin). These relationships are also demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3 where we can note that as NLR increases, CRP increases and albumin decreases \( P < .0001 \).

**NLR and EPO Resistance**

Correlation between NLR and ERI was negligible, as shown in Table 1 \( r = 0.10, P = .27 \). Relation between ERI and NLR is shown in Figure 4. Mean ERI in all patients seems constant in the first 3 quartiles of NLR (between 24 and 25.5 of ERI). In contrast, at higher level of NLR (Q4 > 8.3), there is an increase in ERI value (29.8), but this was not statistically significant \( P = .32 \).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that NLR correlates with other biomarkers of inflammation in patients receiving chronic dialysis. Indeed, NLR was modestly positively correlated with
CRP and inversely correlated with albumin, 2 well-known biomarkers of inflammation. Although we did not find a correlation between NLR and ERI, the highest quartile of NLR was associated with a nonsignificant higher ERI.

Our results are consistent with a previous study that established an association between NLR and various inflammatory biomarkers in HD. The fact that NLR is simply calculated from easily available complete blood count makes it an interesting biomarker in assessing and detecting inflammatory conditions in dialysis patients. Other specific markers of inflammation (eg, interleukin 6 [IL-6], tumor necrosis factor α [TNF-α], etc) are more expensive and are not routinely used.

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the cohort.

**Table 1.** Correlation and Multivariate Mixed-Effects Linear Regression Analysis for NLR, CRP, albumin, and ERI.

| Outcome | Predictor | Patients count (n) | Observed data (n) | Correlation analysis (spearman) | Mixed linear regression analyses |
|---------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|         |           |                    |                   | Correlation index (r) | P value | Slope (β) | P value |
| Albumin | CRP       | 120                | 182               | -0.34                        | <.001   | -0.002    | <.001   |
| CRP     | NLR       | 120                | 182               | 0.45                         | <.001   | 4.64      | <.001   |
| Albumin | NLR       | 120                | 182               | -0.51                        | <.001   | -0.047    | <.001   |
| ERI     | NLR       | 120                | 182               | 0.10                         | .27     | 0.44      | .18     |

Note. NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; CRP = C-reactive protein; ERI = erythropoietin resistance index.

*Only the first collected specimen for a given patient is included.

*All observed data are included (multiple measures per patients).
In addition, EPO hyporesponsiveness is an important problem in dialysis. Approximately 5% to 10% of chronic kidney disease patients have resistance to EPO to a different extent. Risk factors associated with EPO resistance are not all well-defined, but relationship with inflammation is well established. Using an inexpensive and easily available biomarker to identify patients who are prone to severe EPO resistance could be of interest in early treatment of ESRD-related anemia. NLR seems to be a good biomarker for this purpose. Our observed possible association between the highest NLR quartile and severe EPO hyporesponsiveness suggests that NLR is a biomarker of inflammation in dialysis patients. However, this was not statistically significant; the relation does not seem to be linear, and our results do not support a correlation between ERI and NLR. The fact that EPO resistance is a complex entity and is not only due to inflammation probably explains why we did not find a clear correlation. There are many confounding variables in EPO resistance not exclusively related to inflammation (diabetes, ARB/ACEi [angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme

Figure 2. CRP values according to NLR quartiles (P < .0001). Note. CRP = C-reactive protein; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.

Figure 3. Albumin values according to NLR quartiles (P < .0001). Note. NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.
inhibitor] use, dialysis adequacy, severe hyperparathyroidism,) that were not easily measurable in our retrospective study which explain the lack of correlation with NLR.

It is important to note that NLR is one biomarker among others and should not replace clinical judgment. In addition, its sensitivity and specificity for predicting inflammatory conditions remain unknown.

The strengths of our study were as follows. We had a greater statistical power compared with previous studies that observed similar results and, to our knowledge, this is the first study to describe the association between higher NLR and EPO resistance.

However, we recognize that our retrospective study has some limitations. CRP values are not routinely measured in our center and were therefore not available for all patients. Because a clinical condition probably explained why a CRP was sampled in a given patient, the relationship between the NLR and CRP may be different for patients for whom CRP was not measured. These data derive from patients in whom the 3 assessments were made on the same occasion. The decision to order these tests was based on clinical indications. Therefore, the sample is likely to overrepresent patients who are unwell or being investigated for clinical issues. Moreover, other well-known and interesting inflammatory biomarkers previously studied such as IL-6 and TNF-α were not available for the present study. Also, this study was not meant to establish a correlation with clinical outcomes, thus limiting its impact. Finally, the limited number of PD patients limits the generalizability of the results to these patients.

In summary, this study suggests that NLR is a good biomarker of inflammation as well as a potential predictor of EPO resistance, a condition also related to inflammation. As it can be calculated routinely without additional cost from the complete blood count, NLR have the potential to improve the detection of ongoing subclinical illnesses and thus investigate high-risk patients. However, further studies are needed to determine clinically significant thresholds for NLR and its clinical relevance and to validate its incorporation as a biomarker in the design of prognostic models.
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Figure 4. ERI according to NLR quartiles ($P = .32$).
Note. ERI = erythropoietin resistance index; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.
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