Abstract

Background: Water and sanitation has been identified as an important component of Primary Health Care (PHC) necessitating the World Health Organization to declare 1981-1990 as the international water years. Nigeria is the largest single country in sub Saharan Africa worst hit with about three quarters of its population unable to access safe water. The study aims to examine the association between domestic water sourcing practice and the risk of developing diarrhea.

Methodology: A total of 200 households were studied over an eight week period from 4 June to 31st July 2005 using an interviewer administered questionnaire. Data was analyzed using Epi Info version 3.5.1.

Results: Most of the household (80%) were seen to source domestic water from the municipal pipe-borne water supply, while only 5% source water from their own dug-in well only. 27% of the households reported diarrhea in their household in the last six month. The diarrhea was found to have bivariate association with the number of children in the household, the educational level of the household head, and income of household head. No association was found between diarrhea and age of household head.

Conclusion: The study showed that there is association between domestic water sourcing practice and the risk of developing diarrhea. It is therefore recommended that high premium be placed on improving access to water and improved household hygiene as a way of helping to curb diarrhea.
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Introduction

Water and sanitation has been identified as an important component of Primary Health Care (PHC) necessitating the World Health Organization to declare 1981-1990 as the international water years. However it is sad to note that though the world as whole has made tremendous progress in providing safe water for its teeming populace, the same cannot be said of the developing world especially Africa south of the Sahara. Nigeria been the largest single country in sub Saharan Africa is the worst hit with virtually three quarters of its population unable to access safe water. In most communities in Nigeria, domestic water is source from the river, well or rain water. A few urban communities are linked to pipe borne water which is treated from a central reservoir.

Water for domestic consumption needs to be managed properly to serve its intended purposes. Domestic water management simply refers to the act of rendering water safe for domestic consumption and will include proper sourcing, collection, transportation, treatment, storage, usage and all the good personal and environmental hygiene needed to make it clean. Any short coming in any of these steps will have serious health implications one of such is diarrhea disease.

Diarrhea is defined as an abnormal increase in the daily stool fluidity, frequency and volume from what is considered normal for a particular individual. Diarrhea disease kills an estimated 2.5 million people each year, the majority being children under five years of age. It is responsible for over a quarter of the deaths of children in the world today most of which takes place in developing countries one of which is Nigeria where an estimated 25% of under five mortality is directly attributed to diarrhea disease.

Despite the fact that health authorities generally accept that safe water plays an important role in preventing diarrhea episodes it is still sad to note that diarrhea is still an important cause of under five morbidity and mortality in Nigeria. This then prompted the need for this study to establish the relationship between domestic water management practices and the prevalence of diarrhea in Tudun Wada Ward of Jos North LGA, Plateau State of Nigeria.

Methodology

This study was undertaken in Tudun Wada Ward of Jos North LGA, a semi urban municipality located on the highlands of the Plateau. Within its area of 3 square kilometres there are approximately 16 000 inhabitants distributed amongst 5 communities. There is one Primary health centre (with a small...
Laboratory but no beds) and two smaller health posts and a handful of privately own clinics and maternity homes. A random sample of houses registered with the Ministry of health immunization census was visited in order to determine the prevalence of the different types of water supply and sanitation.

Participants were chosen based on selection criteria consisting mainly of heads of households. A head of household was defined as the person responsible for decision making in the house hold, while a unit household was defined as a family living together and eating from the same pot.

A semi structured interviewer administered questionnaire was used in generating data for analysis. All household heads were made to answer questions with regards to their age, family size, family type, highest educational attainment and income. In addition, information on the number and ages of children in the household and frequency of diarrhea in the last one year was obtained.

Each household head was also asked questions on the source and location of water for domestic use. Ethical approval was sought and obtained from the Jos University Teaching Hospital ethical committee while each house hold head gave a written informed consent before the study was conducted. All data generated was analyzed using Epi info version 3.5.1 software of the Centre Disease Control Atlanta.

Results

Socio demographic characteristics of households
We surveyed 200 households in between June and July 2005 within a five week period. Fifty per cent (101) of the household heads were aged between 30-39 years, twenty six percent(52) between 40-49 years, twelve percent were between 20-29 years, nine percent(16) were between 50-59 years, two percent (4) were aged 60 and above while one percent (2) had teenage head of household. Table I.

We also notice that fifty eight percent (115) of the household heads had secondary education, eighteen percent (37) had tertiary education, and sixteen percent (32) had primary education while nine percent (16) had no formal education. Table II.

Most of the households were noticed to source their water from the municipal pipe-borne water only (80 %), fourteen percent source from both well and pipe-borne supplies, two households(1%) use only well water while five percent (10) source from all three supplies. Table III.

Diarrhea was noticed to occur in twenty seven percent (53 households) while seventy three percent (147) of the households reported no diarrhea.

Relationship of diarrhea with certain risk factors
Table IV shows the results of testing the association of five factors with the development of diarrhea in the households. Diarrhea is seen to have bivariate association with number of children in the household, source of domestic water, domestic water treatment, educational status of household head and income of household head. No association was found between diarrhea and age of household head. Only three variables reached the statistical significance level (P<0.05) in the logistic regression multivariable model predicting diarrhea. Number of children in the household (OR 0.27, 95% CI:0.11-0.64), source of domestic water(OR 0.23, 95% CI:.00-0.86), and educational level of household head (OR 4.09, 95%CI :1.30-13.08).

Table I: Age Characteristics of household heads

| Age of household head | Frequency | % |
|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| < 20 yrs              | 2         | 1 |
| 20-29 yrs             | 24        | 12|
| 30-39 yrs             | 101       | 50|
| 40-49 yrs             | 52        | 26|
| 50-59 yrs             | 16        | 9 |
| >60 yrs               | 4         | 2 |

Table II: Educational levels of household

| Variable            | Frequency | % |
|---------------------|-----------|---|
| Primary education   | 32        | 10|
| Secondary education | 115       | 58|
| Tertiary education  | 37        | 18|
| No formal education | 16        | 9 |

Table III: Source of domestic water

| Variable            | Frequency | % |
|---------------------|-----------|---|
| Pipe-borne water    | 160       | 80|
| Well water          | 2         | 1 |
| Bore hole           | 28        | 14|
| All three sources   | 10        | 5 |

Table IV: Bivariate associations of diarrhea with different independent variables

| Family size | Diarrhea N | Diarrhea % | No diarrhoea N | No diarrhoea % | 95% CI of OR |
|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1-2 persons | 2          | 25         | 6              | 75             |               |
| 3-4 persons | 3          | 60         | 2              | 40             |               |
| >4 persons  | 47         | 25         | 140            | 75             |               |
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Number of children in the household

| <2 | 8  | 12  | 59  | 88  | 0.27 (0.11-0.64) |
| >2 | 45 | 33.8 | 88  | 66.2 |

Educational status of Head of household:

| illiterate | 9  | 56.3 | 7   | 43.7 | 4.09 (1.30-13.0) |
| literate   | 44 | 24   | 140 | 76   |

Income level of household head

| <N10000 | 34 | 30.1 | 79  | 69.9 | 1.54 (0.77-3.10) |
| >10000  | 19 | 21.8 | 68  | 78.2 |

Domestic Water Source:

| Pipe-Borne Water | 46 | 24.5 | 142 | 75.5 | 0.23 (0.06-0.86) |
| Well water       | 7  | 48.3 | 5   | 41.7 |

Domestic water Treatment

| Yes | 5  | 9.4 | 48  | 90.6 | 1.17 (0.34-3.84) |
| No  | 12 | 8.2 | 135 | 91.8 |

Discussion

Our findings support the notion that access to water is an important risk factor for diarrhea. Mertens et al. working in Sri Lanka were able to demonstrate that diarrhea morbidity was significantly related to the type of water source as found in our study. This effect was adjudged to be due to water quality rather than water availability. However in our study, we found an increased incidence of diarrhea morbidity in those who use pipe-borne water. Even though pipe-borne water is said to be safer than other sources of water, our findings may not be unconnected with the fact that most of these homes actually source for pipe-borne water outside their home with an increased risk of contamination because of distance and possibly contamination at source. This was corroborated by Gorter et al who demonstrated that water sourcing five hundred metres away from the home is associated with increase diarrhea morbidity as a result of microbial contamination.

We also found an association between the level of education of the household head and increase incidence of diarrhea morbidity. This may not be unconnected with better hygiene and sanitation practice that education confers on a person especially the mothers.

A significant association was found between the number of children in the household and the risk of diarrhea. The higher rate of diarrhea morbidity in houses with more children under the age of five requires little explanation. Secondary transmission of diarrhea is obviously facilitated by person to person contact between the children in the house. Family planning programmes or improved housing may have some impact on diarrhea incidence.

Conclusion

This study suggest that diarrhea morbidity is associated with water-washed factors and so suggest a higher premium on improving access to water as a measure to curbing diarrhea in the community.

It also does suggest that improvements in water availability and hygiene education can be effective interventions for the prevention of childhood diarrhea.
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