Spatially resolved SO$_2$ flux emissions from Mt Etna
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Abstract

We report on a systematic record of SO$_2$ flux emissions from individual vents of Etna volcano (Sicily), which we obtained using a permanent UV camera network. Observations were carried out in summer 2014, a period encompassing two eruptive episodes of the New South East Crater (NSEC) and a fissure-fed eruption in the upper Valle del Bove. We demonstrate that our vent-resolved SO$_2$ flux time series allow capturing shifts in activity from one vent to another and contribute to our understanding of Etna’s shallow plumbing system structure. We find that the fissure eruption contributed ~50,000 t of SO$_2$ or ~30% of the SO$_2$ emitted by the volcano during the 5 July to 10 August eruptive interval. Activity from this eruptive vent gradually vanished on 10 August, marking a switch of degassing toward the NSEC. Onset of degassing at the NSEC was a precursory to explosive paroxysmal activity on 11–15 August.

1. Introduction

The advent of increasingly sophisticated imaging techniques [Kern et al., 2015] has recently prompted a revaluation in our ability to study volcanic gas emissions. Ground-based observations via UV cameras [Mori and Burton, 2006], in particular, have granted acquisition of volcanic SO$_2$ flux time series of unprecedented temporal and spatial resolution, thus paving the way to a variety of novel volcano-monitoring applications (see Burton et al. [2015] for a review). Importantly, UV cameras can allow examining “fast” degassing processes at high rate and in real time, therefore opening the way to integration of geophysical and volcanic gas data sets into multidisciplinary models of volcanic explosions [Dalton et al., 2010; Nadeau et al., 2011; Tamburello et al., 2012; Waite et al., 2013; Pering et al., 2015], passive degassing [Tamburello et al., 2013], and lava lake dynamics [Nadeau et al., 2015].

One additional advantage of UV cameras is that at least in principle, these are suited to adaptation to fully automated, permanent systems for long-term SO$_2$ flux monitoring [Burton et al., 2015; Kern et al., 2015]. Within the context of the Framework programme 7-European Research Council-funded Project BRIDGE (http://www.bridge.unipa.it/), we recently deployed two stand-alone UV camera systems on the flanks of Mt. Etna volcano, one of the strongest punctual sources of volcanic gas worldwide [Oppenheimer et al., 2011]. Etna has one of the longest and most complete records of volcanic SO$_2$ flux [Caltabiano et al., 2004]. However, the majority of the available data are spectroscopically sensed from relatively remote measurement sites, located several kilometers away from the volcano’s summit. In such conditions, any transient degassing signal (e.g., from puffing behavior and/or discrete explosions) is lost during atmospheric transport, the contribution of individual vents cannot be resolved, and the temporal resolution of observations is typically in the approximately tens of minutes range [Salerno et al., 2009].

Here we report on results obtained from the BRIDGE network, in a period encompassing the summer 2014 eruptive episodes of Etna volcano. We show that our observations contribute spatially and temporally resolved SO$_2$ flux time series that, when interpreted in tandem with independent volcanological and geophysical (seismic tremor and infrasound data) information, allow to fully interpret and understand the time-changing degassing and eruptive behavior of the volcano. We demonstrate, in particular, that transition from one degassing/eruptive mode/site to another, a recurrent process on Etna [Allard et al., 2006; Marchetti et al., 2009; Cannata et al., 2011a; Patanè et al., 2008, 2013], can be fully captured from the UV camera.

2. Materials and Methods

The SO$_2$ flux data were collected with a network of permanent, fully autonomous UV cameras run by University of Palermo (Figure 1). The network includes two stand-alone UV camera systems installed at La
Montagnola (UV3), 3.5 km from the New South East Crater (NSEC), and at Pizzi Deneri (UV4), 2 km from the North East Crater (NEC) (Figure 1). The two UV camera systems are designed to separately resolve degassing activity from Etna’s summit craters NSEC and NEC (Figure 2). We specifically designed the network to also capture the degassing from any eruptive fissure (EF) opened on the upper Etna’s Eastern flank. This is the upper portion of the Valle del Bove area (Figure 1) where eruptive activity has systematically been concentrating since 2004 [Behncke et al., 2006, 2014]. Our SO2 flux time series do not account for degassing from Bocca Nuova and Voragine craters (Figure 1) and therefore should not be considered as representative of the total volcano’s SO2 budget.

At both UV3 and UV4, the UV camera system is equipped with the following: (i) two JAI CM 140 GR cameras, with 10 bit digitization and 1392 × 1040 pixels. Each camera mounts a Uka Optics UV lens with ~37° field of view and a band-pass filter from Edmund Optics (full width at half maximum: 10 nm), centered at either...
310 nm or 330 nm; (ii) an Ocean optics USB2000+ UV Spectrometer; and (iii) a Dlink DCS 3010 visible IP camera. The cameras, controlled by either a mini-PC Jetway (UV4) or a computer server (UV3), capture sequential images of the plume at ~0.5 Hz rate during 6 h long measurement intervals each day. Each set of coacquired images, from both UV cameras, is processed using the methodology of Kantzas et al. [2010] through the Vulcamera software [Tamburello et al., 2011] to calculate an absorbance for each camera pixel. This is converted into an SO2 column amount using simultaneous UV spectrometer readings as outlined in Lübcke et al. [2013] and finally into integrated column amounts (ICAs) along a plume cross section. To calculate SO2 flux time series examples of which are given in Figure 2, the obtained ICA time series were combined with high-temporal records of plume transport speed. These were derived at ~1 Hz using an optical flow subroutine using the Lukas/Kanade algorithm [Bruhn et al., 2005; Peters et al., 2015], integrated in Vulcamera.

Our SO2 flux data set was integrated with seismic and infrasonic data recorded by the permanent networks run by the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Etneo (INGV-OE). Seismic stations used to investigate volcanic tremor are equipped with broadband (40 s corner period), three-component Nanometrics™ Trillium seismometers acquiring at a sampling rate of 100 Hz (Figure 1). Seismic amplitudes were obtained by calculating RMS (root-mean-square) envelope over 1 min long nonoverlapping time.
windows at ECNE (Figure 3b) and ECPN stations (Figure 3a). The infrasonic permanent network, in 2014, was made up of nine stations, three equipped with Monacor condenser microphones (sensitivity of 80 mV/Pa in the 1–20 Hz infrasonic band) and the others with G.R.A.S. 40AN microphones (sensitivity of 50 mV/Pa and flat response in the 0.3–20,000 Hz band). In order to investigate eruption dynamics and the interconnection between craters involved in the 2014 summer eruption, we located volcanic tremor and infrasound events extracted from the continuous signal [Di Grazia et al., 2006; Patanè et al., 2008; Cannata et al., 2011b, 2013]. In order to locate infrasound event sources, we applied a grid search method based on the composite use of semblance and brightness functions (see Cannata et al. [2011b, 2013] for details). On the upper side, solid bars mark the eruptive activity of NCSE (episodes E48 and E50 in De Beni et al. [2015]) and two phases of EF.

3. Volcanic Activity

The SO₂ flux observations we report on in this work were taken between 17 June and 31 August 2014, when both UV3 and UV4 systems were operating (Figure 3). This temporal window encompasses two eruptive episodes of the NSEC, on 14–18 June (therefore only partially covered by our measurements) and 8–15 August. The first episode, referred to as E48 in De Beni et al. [2015], started with a 1 month long prelude phase, in which mild Strombolian activity with weak ash emissions increased in frequency/intensity. This was followed...
by a 4 day long paroxysmal phase, with intense Strombolian explosions to lava fountaining that formed lava flow traveling on the cone’s eastern flank toward the Valle del Bove (Figure 1) (www.ct.ingv.it and De Beni et al. [2015]). After 3 days of scanty explosions from NSEC and 2 weeks without any summit activity, an eruptive fissure (EF) opened in the Valle del Leone, the upper side of Valle del Bove, on the east flank of the NEC (Figure 1). According to De Beni et al. [2015], the EF activity was fed by a NW-SE-trending fractures system, departing from the NSEC (Figure 1). The EF eruption consisted of two phases (I: 5–25 July; II: 25 July to 10 August) that occurred from two distinct but closely spaced vents, at 3000 m and 3090 m elevation (Figure 1). Both phases were characterized by emplacement of a compound lava flow field that covered a wide area of the Valle del Leone, during a strong spattering/Strombolian activity at the vents, which were particularly intense during phase II. The EF activity gradually vanished during 8–10 August, in tandem with resuming of activity at the NSEC (episode E50). On late 10 August Strombolian explosions became intense forming the first lava flow. The paroxysmal phase occurred on 11–15 August, when lava fountaining fed both an ash-rich plume and a vigorous lava flow traveling toward the northern side of the Valle del Bove.

4. Results

Figure 2 shows examples of two 2.5 h long UV camera acquisitions from (a) UV3 and (b) UV4. The plots demonstrate the usual structure of high-rate Etna’s SO$_2$ flux time series, characterized by the repetition (periods of tens to thousands of seconds) of small-amplitude (<5 kg/s) gas flux oscillations produced by emission of distinct gas pulses or “puffs” [Tamburello et al., 2013]. Superimposed on this “puffing” degassing style are, at both the NSEC (Figure 2a) and EF (Figure 2b), stronger (>5 kg/s) SO$_2$ pulsations, typically lasting tens of seconds to minutes and corresponding to individual Strombolian explosions or, more frequently, sequences of closely spaced Strombolian events (Figure 2).

In the attempt to explore the temporal evolution of degassing behavior at each target, we calculated, for each measurement day and crater, the mean SO$_2$ flux value and the interquartile ranges. We remind that our mean daily values are in fact representative of only 6 h of observations. These exhibited large variations during our 10 week observation period (Figure 3).

The NSEC (Figure 3a) contributed little to the total SO$_2$ budget during the most part of the observational period and was characterized by SO$_2$ emission below the instrumental detection limit (<1 kg/s) during its periods of quiescence. In contrast, the NSEC was the strongest SO$_2$ source (among those analyzed here) during its 11–15 August eruptive episode, with mean SO$_2$ fluxes of 16.0 to 44.4 kg/s, and a peak emission of 150 kg/s during the major (paroxysmal) eruptive phases on 13 August. In the same 11–15 August interval, source locations of both seismic tremor and infrasound events (Figure 3c) confirm that eruptive/degassing activity was concentrating at the NSEC. Interestingly enough, the NSEC SO$_2$ emissions were also detectable (range, 2–20 kg/s) between 5–9 July and 23–27 July, e.g., at onset of both eruptive episodes of the July 2014 EF, and, even more importantly, in the days (7–10 August) prior to the NSEC paroxysmal activity of 11–15 August. These preparoxysmal gas detections at the NSEC were accompanied by a concomitant shift in seismic tremor centroid and infrasound event locations, from the EF toward NSEC, during 9–10 August (Figure 3c).

The SO$_2$ degassing regime of the EF (Figure 3b) was clearly captured by our network since eruption onset on 5 July. The daily means of the EF SO$_2$ flux ranged from 2.1 to 26.6, and daily peak emissions varied from 11.5 to 86.4 kg/s. SO$_2$ emissions were typically higher during episode II (25 July to 10 August) than in episode I (5–25 July), in agreement with distinct volcanic tremor RMS amplitudes (Figures 3a and 3b). The initial phases of EF activity were accompanied by a weak and gradual increase of seismic amplitude, and a migration of volcanic tremor centroids, from central craters toward EF area (Figure 3c). In contrast, more evident amplitude variations occurred on 25 July (start of EF phase II), when RMS amplitude sharply increased (Figures 3a and 3b). Intense explosive activity at EF during phase II (25 July to 10 August) is revealed by infrasound events, which had sources located in the area of EF (Figure 3c). Notably, the EF SO$_2$ emissions attenuated on 10 August, just 1 day before eruptive activity switched to the NSEC (Figure 4).

SO$_2$ degassing at the NEC (Figure 3b) was substantially reduced (daily means of 1.2–4.2 kg/s) during the EF activity, at least compared to the prereruptive period, e.g., end of June daily means: 5.7–27.7 kg/s; peak SO$_2$ fluxes: 16.1–75 kg/s. After opening of the EF on 5 July, the NEC contributed only ~20% of the EF + NEC flux.
Yet notwithstanding, daily records (e.g., Figure 2b) systematically showed a high level of coherence (e.g., simultaneous gas variations) between EF and NEC throughout the entire eruption duration.

5. Discussion

UV cameras contribute SO$_2$ flux time series of unprecedented high spatial and temporal resolution and therefore open the way to investigating novel aspects of volcano degassing regime. At Etna, a >30 year long SO$_2$ flux record is available from use of the COrrelation SPECTrometer (COSPEC) [Caltabiano et al., 1994, 2004] and, more recently, from continuous UV scanning spectrometers of the FLux Automatic MEasurements (FLAME) network [Salerno et al., 2009] using the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) technique [Galle et al., 2003]. In contrast to such distal (>5 km from degassing vents) “bulk plume” measurements, near-vent observations of individual crater’s SO$_2$ flux have remained very sporadic and limited in number [McGonigle et al., 2005; Aiuppa et al., 2008, 2011; La Spina et al., 2010]. The Etna’s four summit craters have diverse degassing/erupting behaviors and often exhibit fast transitions in activity style, with frequent switches from one vent to another over timescales of hours/days [Allard et al., 2006]. As such, vent-resolved SO$_2$ flux measurements are needed to fully interpret, and eventually predict, the volcano’s behavior.

Our study here attempts at a systematic characterization of SO$_2$ emissions from Etna’s individual craters/eruptive vents. While we admit that gas emissions from Etna’s central craters do also require careful scrutiny, we here specifically target gas emissions from the eastern side of the volcanic summit (Figure 1), where eruptions have been clustering since 2004 (www.ct.ingv.it and Branca and Del Carlo [2005] and Behncke et al. [2006, 2014]).
The summer 2014 example shows that SO2 emissions from an eruptive fissure can successfully be monitored over time using UV cameras (Figure 3b). The daily averaged SO2 emissions from the 2014 EF eruption ranged between 2.1 and 26.6 kg/s and, if extrapolated over the entire eruption duration (=36 days), imply a cumulative released SO2 mass of 50,000 t. This corresponds to ~30% of the total SO2 mass released by Etna during the same temporal interval (considering an average total SO2 flux of ~3000 tons/day in July–August 2014, as measured by the FLAME network of INGV-OE; www.ct.ingv.it). We conclude that during eruptive periods, active degassing at the fissures contributes a nonmarginal fraction of the volcano’s total SO2 budget. This result has typically been difficult to prove from traditional spectroscopic observations made from distal locations [Caltabiano et al., 2004], where volatiles contributed from a single fissure are dispersed within the “bulk plume” and mixed with volatiles derived from the summit craters.

SO2 emissions from the NEC virtually ceased during the 2014 EF activity (Figure 3b). A data acquisition gap (from 23 June to 15 July) prevents us from establishing the exact timing of the SO2 drop at NEC. Still, no SO2 emission was detected from the NEC during most part of the EF eruptive episode (until 5 August), and the SO2 flux was detectable but low (<4.2 kg/s) until late August. We interpret the drastic reduction of the NEC SO2 emissions, combined with the temporal coherence observed in the NEC and EF time series (Figure 2b), as an evidence for the two systems being structurally connected. We propose that opening of the EF in early July drained magma/gas normally circulating in the NEC feeding conduit system. Interestingly, a similar link between the NEC conduit system and an eruptive fissure was proposed based on geophysical data by Sciorto et al. [2013] for the 2008–2009 eruption, which occurred in similar area [Bonaccorso et al., 2011].

The appearance of SO2 at the NSEC, in concomitance with onset of both EF eruptive phases (Figure 3a), suggests a structural link between these two systems as well. We propose a mechanism in which the EF eruption was triggered by magma intrusion along the NW-SE trending dyke, irradiating from the NEC, and which tip eventually intersected the NSEC plumbing system (Figure 1b). The centroids of seismic tremor, located at about 2.5–3 km above sea level, suggest that dyke intrusion propagated at a very shallow depth. Some level of structural interconnection between EF and NSEC is also supported by Figure 4, where degassing activity at the former is seen to vanish (on 10 August) as the latter becomes active (during 11–16 August). This activity switch, evident in the gas record (Figure 4), is also captured as a rapid shift in the centroid of seismic tremor and infrasound event locations, from the EF area toward NSEC crater (Figure 3c). We caution that while a connection between EF and NEC/NSEC conduits is supported by our SO2 and volcanic tremor data, additional (independent) evidence for this hydraulic link needs to be established. We cannot rule out that our observations merely reflect ascent of gas, rather than magma, through interconnected chimneys opening as the Etna’s upper plumbing system pressurizes.

Our observations also allow characterizing the SO2 degassing behavior of the Etna’s NSEC (Figures 3a and 4). This pyroclastic cone, developed over a series of collapse pits formed on the eastern flank of the SEC cone during 2004–2009, has shown an unusually fast growth and eruptive rate in 2011–2014. Fifty eruptive episodes, including lava fountaining episodes, Strombolian activity, and lava flows have been recognized at NSEC by Behncke et al. [2014] and De Beni et al. [2015], making this crater the current largest source of volcanic hazard on the volcano [Spampinato et al., 2015]. No gas flux information has been reported for the NSEC until this study. We show here that the NSEC exhibits no detectable SO2 flux (<1 kg/s) during quiescence but becomes a substantial source of gas (with peak emissions up to 150 kg/s) during its paroxysmal eruptive phases (Figures 3a and 4). Importantly, low but detectable (2–3 kg/s) SO2 flux emissions from the NSEC were detected for four consecutive days (7–10 August) prior to onset of the paroxysmal phase of 11–15 August (Figure 4). Such preparoxysm gas detections at the NSEC appear to anticipate the shift in volcanic tremor centroid and infrasound event location, from the EF toward NSEC, which were clearly visible only on 9–10 August (Figure 3c). Our gas observations are promising, but more work is needed to understand their implications for a robust, gas-based early-warning system of NSEC eruptions.

6. Conclusions

In this work, we took advantage of the high spatial (~5 m) and temporal (~1 Hz) resolution of the UV camera to systematically investigate SO2 gas emissions from Etna’s individual vents. Our vent-resolved SO2 flux time
series suggest rapid (hours/days) switch in degassing activity from one active vent to another, that implies a geometry of the Etna’s shallow plumbing system with interconnections between summit vents (NEC and NSEC) and with (EF) summit eruptive fissure. We find that the 2014 EF contributed a substantial (~30%) fraction of the total volcano SO2 budget and altered the usual magma/gas circulation in the summit craters’ shallow feeding conduits. The SO2 emissions from the NEC were, consequently, strongly reduced. Our SO2 flux records also indicate that the NSEC contributed little or no gas to the quiescent Etna’s emissions in summer 2014. SO2 degassing activity reactivated at the NSEC in the days prior to its eruptions and intensified as volcanic activity escalated toward paroxysmal phases. We conclude that UV cameras open new prospects for identifying short-term gas flux variations prior to paroxysmal Etna eruptions.
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