Simulation of tight fluid flow with the consideration of capillarity and stress-change effect
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The horizontal wells and multi-stage hydraulic fracturing technologies play a significantly important role in developing unconventional reservoirs. Due to the nanopore effects and stress deformation in tight formations, the fluid equilibrium and thermodynamics become more complex and the conventional reservoir simulation models cannot accurately handle these mechanisms. Hence, the objective of this work is to propose a comprehensive simulation model considering the effects of confined space and stress-dependent deformation. We first evaluated the phase envelope and fluid properties in the confined nanopores. Results show that bubble-point pressure and oil viscosity decrease, while formation volume factor and gas-oil ratio increase. The heavy components cause large deviation on the P-T phase envelope at the reservoir condition. Subsequently, a reservoir simulation model of the Bakken tight oil reservoir was built including the effect of stress-dependent deformation. The proposed phase behavior model was applied into the reservoir simulator to predict the hydrocarbon production from the Bakken tight oil reservoir. Finally, the role of the confined space and the stress-dependent deformation on the production are examined in detail. This novel simulation approach can shed light on the better understanding of the key parameters affecting well production of in developing tight oil reservoirs in the future.

Unconventional reservoirs, including tight oil, shale gas, and tight gas are key to meeting the increasing demand for hydrocarbon fuels in the world1,2. Tight oil reservoirs have recently become a hotspot for development in unconventional oil and gas resources3,4. As reported, the production of tight oil reservoirs will increase to more than double from the 2015 to the 2040 all over the world. Hydraulic fracturing has played a prominent role in the improvement of the oil and gas production5. Due to the characteristics of low permeability and low porosity, the combination of horizontal wells and multi-stage hydraulic fracturing has been applied to improve the contacted volume and flow capacity in developing tight oil reservoirs6–9. In spite of great achievement, the mechanisms such as confinement in nanopores and geomechanics are still not well understood10,11.

Recent studies have shown that phase behavior and fluid transport exhibit deviation from the bulk fluid due to the nanopores in tight oil reservoirs. Sigmund et al. demonstrated that the effects of curvature cannot be neglected at high surface curvatures12. Brusilovsky investigated the phase behavior of binary mixture experimentally, concluding that the dew point pressure increases because of the surface curvature13. Nojabaie et al. also pointed out that the capillary pressure leads to the significant suppression on the bubble point pressure14. Similar conclusions were also reported in others literatures15–21. In Nojabaie et al.'s work, they then developed a compositionally-extended black-oil simulator to evaluate the capillarity effect on the well production14. However, pore characterization and geomechanics were not considered. Teklu et al. observed the minimum miscibility pressure (MMP) decreases in the confined space22. Luo et al. conducted experiments of phase behavior in nanopores and also pointed out that the bubble point pressure of confined fluid deviates from the bulk fluid23. Equation of state, such as Peng-Robinson (PR) and Perturbed-Chain Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (PC-SAFT) was implemented to represent the fluid-phase equilibria in nanosized pores24–26. Dong et al. systematically analyzed the capillary pressure effect, adsorption and the critical shifts on the behavior of the confined fluid27. They found that bubble-point pressure is overestimated if neglecting the adsorption film. Haider and Aziz explored
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the key parameters of nano-porous media in shale reservoirs. Their findings showed that the fluid properties and well production are both influenced in the confined space. Cui et al. modified the Peng-Robinson equation of state considering the reduced mole number of fluids caused by adsorption. Zuo et al. first applied SRK-EOS for the phase behavior calculations in the confined phase and investigated the impact of capillary pressure and nanopore confinement on the phase behaviors of shale gas and oil. These methods are mainly based on the pressure-temperature flash calculation, which uses the pressure, temperature, and fluid composition as the primary state variables (NVT-flash). An algorithm for calculating phase equilibrium at specified moles, volume, and temperature was proposed in recent years; additionally, Kou and Sun incorporated the capillarity into the NVT-flash. This method can be used to predict the phase properties of pure substance and mixture systems.

The impacts of the physical mechanisms on the well performance have received more attention. Du and Chu used a commercial reservoir simulator to investigate the influences of PVT variations on well performance. However, they only considered the single pore size and assumed the matrix permeability as a fixed value. Considering the change of porosity and permeability with effective stress, Wang et al. concluded that the well performance was affected due to the capillary pressure in the nanopores. Nojabaie et al. took the pore size distribution into account and incorporated the capillarity effect in their simulation model, but the variation of permeability and stress sensitivity were not included. Sanaei et al. developed a correlation between pore size and permeability based on mercury injection pressure (MICP) tests on Eagle Ford core plugs, but there are still some limits on the field-scale application. Rezaveisi et al. implemented the capillary equilibrium in an in-house simulator and observed obvious difference on the production. However, stress sensitivity was not considered in their model. Yan et al. developed a fully compositional model considering the effect of nanopores, in their approach, capillary pressure is calculated by Leverett J-function. Siripatrachai et al. built an in-house compositional simulator to investigate the capillary pressure effect on the production of hydraulically fractured horizontal well. Yu and Sepetehnoori concluded the capillary pressure effect on the well performance with the complex fracture geometries. However, the physical mechanisms are not included in their study.

Our objective is to build a more efficient method to comprehensively evaluate the well performance considering the effect of capillary pressure on phase behavior and stress-change effect, especially for the field-scale case study by incorporating the flash calculation and the commercial simulators. We combine the phase behavior calculation with the CMG commercial simulator, which offers more chances to investigate important factors affecting well production of tight oil reservoirs. In this work, the phase equilibrium calculation considering the confined space was included, which has been validated against the experimental data. The impact of capillary pressure on phase behavior was investigated for different compositions. Subsequently, a field-scale simulation model was built including two horizontal wells with hydraulic fractures from the Bakken tight oil reservoir. We performed analysis of different LGR-grid cells to reduce the computational cost and numerical dispersion. Furthermore, the black-oil properties were calculated using the proposed phase behavior model, which were then implemented in the black-oil reservoir simulator. Finally, the field-scale case was conducted to evaluate the effects of confined space and stress-dependent deformation on the well production. Results illustrate that the phase equilibrium in the confined space facilitates the oil production, while the stress-dependent deformation offsets the well performance. This novel simulation approach can provide a useful tool to predict the well performances in developing tight oil reservoirs.

**Methodology**

**Fluid flow mechanism.** The governing equation was applied to model the total mass balance for a component “i” in the oil and gas phases:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \varphi \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} \rho_l S_l w_{li} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \varphi \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} \rho_l S_l \mathbf{K}_d \nabla w_{li} \right) - r_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_p
\]

where \(\varphi\) denotes porosity, \(\rho_l\) and \(S_l\) are density and saturation of phase \(l\), \(r_i\) is mass rate injection or production as source or sink term, \(N_p\) is number of phase, \(w_{li}\) is mass fraction of component \(i\) in the phase \(l\) per unit volume. \(u_i\) is Darcy’s flow velocity and \(\mathbf{K}_d\) is the dispersivity coefficient of component \(i\) in the phase \(l\)

\[
u_i = \frac{K}{\mu_i} (\nabla P_l - \rho_i \mathbf{g}),
\]

where \(K\) is permeability tensor, \(P_l\) is pressure of phase \(l\) and \(\mu_i\) is viscosity of phase \(l\).

\[
\mathbf{K}_d = \frac{\mathbf{D}_d}{\tau} + \frac{[\tau] [\sigma_i]}{\varphi S_l}
\]

where \(\mathbf{D}_d\) is the dispersivity coefficient of phase \(l\) in the longitudinal direction and two transverse directions, \(\tau\) is tortuosity, \(\mathbf{D}_d\) is the diffusion coefficient of component \(i\) in the phase \(l\), which can be taken from work by Sigmund.

In this study, these equations are solved using CMG-IMEX module, a numerical black-oil reservoir simulator.

**Vapor-liquid phase equilibrium in the confined space.** The fugacities of the liquid and vapor phases at equilibrium can be expressed as.
where \( f \) is the fugacity of component \( i \) in the liquid and vapor phases. \( P_L \) and \( P_V \) refer to the pressures in the vapor and liquid phase, respectively. Due to the interactions in the confined space, \( P_V \) is not equal to \( P_L \), and it is defined as:

\[
P_V - P_L = \bar{P}_{cap}
\]

(5)

where \( \bar{P}_{cap} \) denotes the capillary pressure. Young-Laplace equation is applied for calculating capillary pressure.

The following mass balance equations are also satisfied at equilibrium:

\[
F Z_i = x_iL + \chi_i V, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_c
\]

(6)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} y_i = 1,
\]

(7)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} (K'_i - 1) z_i = 0,
\]

(8)

where \( z_i \) is the overall mole fraction of component \( i \), \( x_i \), and \( y_i \) are liquid and vapor compositions, respectively. \( N_c \) is the number of components in the system. \( F \) is the number of moles of original feed. \( L \) and \( V \) are the number of moles of liquid and vapor phases, respectively. \( K'_i \) is the equilibrium constant considering the capillary pressure. Wilson’s equation is applied to estimate the initial equilibrium constant. The equilibrium constant is updated based on ratio of fugacity coefficients, which is evaluated as \( \phi^f_i/\phi^f_V \). \( \phi^f_L/\phi^f_V \) is the fugacity coefficient of component \( i \) in the liquid or vapor phase.

The fugacity coefficients can be obtained from Peng-Robinson equation of state:

\[
P = \frac{RT}{V_m - b} - \frac{a(T)}{V_m^2 + 2bV_m - b^2},
\]

(9)

where \( V_m \) is the molar volume of component \( i \), \( R \) is the universal gas constant. \( a(T) \) and \( b \) are the parameters calculated using van der Waals mixing rules.

Accounting for the difference between liquid and vapor pressure in Eqs (3) and (9) should be separately solved for liquid and vapor phases.

\[
(Z_L)^3 - (1 - B_L)(Z_L)^2 + (A_L - 2B_L - 3(B_L)^2)Z_L - (A_L B_L - (B_L)^2 - (B_L)^3) = 0,
\]

(10)

\[
(Z_V)^3 - (1 - B_V)(Z_V)^2 + (A_V - 2B_V - 3(B_V)^2)Z_V - (A_V B_V - (B_V)^2 - (B_V)^3) = 0,
\]

(11)

where \( A_L = \frac{a_L}{V_m^2} \), \( B_L = \frac{b_L}{V_m} \), \( A_V = \frac{a_V}{V_m^2} \), \( B_V = \frac{b_V}{V_m} \). \( Z_L \) and \( Z_V \) represent the compressibility of liquid and vapor phase, respectively. For root selection, the minimum root of Eq. (10) is determined for the liquid phase, while the maximum one of Eq. (11) is for the vapor phase. The roots satisfy the Gibbs free energy minimization.

Fugacity coefficients of different component in the liquid and vapor phases were also solved for different pressures, which are expressed as:

\[
\ln \phi^L_i = \frac{b_L}{B_L} (Z_L - 1) - \ln (Z_L - B_L)
\]

\[
\quad \quad \quad - \frac{A_L}{2\sqrt{2}B_L} \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} x_i (1 - k_{ij}) a_{ij} a_{ijL} \right] - \frac{b_L}{B_L} \ln \left( \frac{Z_L + (\sqrt{2} + 1) B_L}{Z_L - (\sqrt{2} + 1) B_L} \right),
\]

(12)

\[
\ln \phi^V_i = \frac{b_V}{B_V} (Z_V - 1) - \ln (Z_V - B_V)
\]

\[
\quad \quad \quad - \frac{A_V}{2\sqrt{2}B_V} \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} x_i (1 - k_{ij}) a_{ij} a_{ijV} \right] - \frac{b_V}{B_V} \ln \left( \frac{Z_V + (\sqrt{2} + 1) B_V}{Z_V - (\sqrt{2} + 1) B_V} \right).
\]

(13)

The Gibbs free energy criterion is applied for root selection. Newton-Raphson method is used to solve the set of nonlinear equations. Fortran Language is used to accomplish this program. In this study, we only considered the effect of capillary pressure; other surface effects are neglected, which will be included in our future work.

In the following sections, we first use the aforementioned vapor-liquid phase equilibrium model to calculate the black-oil properties. The phase envelopes of different compositions were compared with the nanopore effects. Then, we built a field-scale reservoir simulation model using the CMG-IMEX simulator module. Different PVT properties under the pore size distribution were included in the model. Finally, the impacts of nanopore effects and stress-dependent deformation were analyzed in detail. Figure 1 displays the flow chart of well performances in tight oil reservoirs. The main steps are summarized as follows:
Step 1: Input all properties of fluid component.
Step 2: Calculate the phase behavior considering capillary pressure. In this part, K-value initial estimates and capillary pressure are first evaluated; then solve Eqs (6) through (8). Afterwards, calculate compressibility factor and fugacity coefficients using Eqs (10) through (13). Check the fugacity coefficients with the convergence criteria.
Step 3: Incorporate the phase behavior results into CMG-IMEX module as well as stress-dependent deformation.
Step 4: Run simulation with CMG-IMEX simulator and obtain the results.

Results and Discussion

Phase behavior considering the effect of confined space. With the phase equilibrium model in "Methodology" Section, we investigated the effect of confined space on the bubble-point pressure and properties of the Bakken fluid. The P-T envelope of different fluid compositions was also calculated in this section. Table 1 lists the detailed compositions and properties of the Bakken fluid.

We first calculated the capillary pressure and the bubble-point pressure for the Bakken fluid at different pore sizes. Figure 2 displays the capillary pressure and bubble-point pressure under different pore sizes. From Fig. 2(A), the smaller the pore radius, the larger the capillary pressure. When the pressure varies from 1000 psi to 2500 psi, the capillary pressure decreases, leading to less oil trapped in the nanopores. This is beneficial for the improvement of oil production. From Fig. 2(B), the nanopore effect is noticeable for the small pores (less than 50 nm). The reduction of pore sizes leads to the suppression of the bubble-point pressure. The nanopore effect can be neglected for the pores larger than 50 nm.

Figure 3 illustrates the nanopore effect on the fluid black-oil properties. The viscosity, gas-oil ratio, and formation volume factor (FVF) were evaluated at the pore size of 10 nm, 15 nm, 25 nm, 40 nm, and 50 nm, respectively. The viscosity is calculated with the work by Fong and Nghiem54. The temperature is set as 240 °F.

As presented in Fig. 3, when the pore size reduces, gas-oil ratio and formation volume factor increases, while the oil viscosity decreases. The deviation is more significant at smaller pore sizes. The bubble-point pressure (inflection point) suppresses about 210 psi at the pore size of 10 nm compared to the bulk bubble-point pressure. Suppression of bubble-point pressure may lead to gas diffused in the oil phase at lower pressure. Once the pressure increases beyond the bulk bubble-point pressure, only single phase exists and the confined oil properties are the same with the fluid in the bulk phase. The properties at 50 nm are consistent with those without the nanopore effect, which is agree with the results in Fig. 2(B).

| Component | z | Tc, K | Pc, atm | Vc, L/mol | wi |
|-----------|---|-------|--------|-----------|----|
| C1        | 0.2506 | 190.60 | 45.40 | 0.0990 | 0.0080 |
| C2–C4     | 0.2200 | 363.30 | 42.54 | 0.1970 | 0.1432 |
| C5–C7     | 0.2000 | 516.56 | 33.76 | 0.3338 | 0.2474 |
| C8–C9     | 0.1300 | 579.34 | 30.91 | 0.4062 | 0.2861 |
| C10+      | 0.1994 | 788.74 | 21.58 | 0.9208 | 0.6869 |

Table 1. Compositions and basic properties of the fluid.
The impacts of fluid compositions were also included in this section. The fluid in Table 1 was applied, and we increased the percentage of each fluid’s original composition by 50%, one at a time, while adjusting the others accordingly, so that the total percentages add up to 100%. Table 2 lists the original and altered compositions of the Bakken fluid.

The effects of confinement were studied for the alteration of C$_1$, C$_5$–C$_7$ and C$_{10}$+ which represents light, mid-heavy and heavy components, respectively, as presented in Fig. 4. The P-T envelopes were compared with that of the original compositions.

Table 2. The original and altered compositions of the Bakken fluid.
From Fig. 4, it can be seen that bubble-point pressure decreases and the envelope considering the confinement deviates from the case without the confinement effect. At the temperature of 240 °F, the suppression of bubble-point pressure is 70 psi, 210 psi, and 300 psi for the increase of C1, C5–C7, and C10, respectively, while the suppression is 130 psi for the original compositions. The increase in C10 results in the largest shrinkage of two-phase region and the suppression of the bubble-point pressure, while the effect of C1 component is weak on the P–T phase envelope. When the reservoir condition approaches to the critical point, the impacts can be neglected. Hence, change of composition causes noticeable difference in the phase behavior, especially the condition away from the critical point. These observations agree well with the work taken by Nojabaei et al.14.

**Field-scale reservoir simulation model.** West et al. (2013) have pointed out that lateral length in the Bakken in most cases has two scenarios, one is “short laterals” with approximately one mile long and spaced at 640 acres; the other is “long laterals” with approximately two miles long with spaced at 1280 acres55. In addition, Yu and Sepehrnoori have optimized the well spacing and pointed out that 4 horizontal wells are often drilled in a unit of 1280 acres (1 mile × 2 miles)56. Hence, in this section, we investigated a quarter of the field model with the dimensions of 5280 ft × 2640 ft × 50 ft, which corresponds to length, width, and height, respectively. The grid block is decided as 40 ft × 40 ft × 50 ft in x, y, and z directions, respectively, which can maintain balance of accuracy and computation speed. It covers an area of approximately a quarter section. Two horizontal wells with the length of 4,640 ft are set in the model. The well spacing is 1,320 ft. There are 15 hydraulic fractures along each well. Fracture spacing is 320 ft and fracture height is 50 ft. The reservoir temperature and initial pressure remain 240 °F and 7800 psi, respectively. The bottomhole pressure (BHP) of 1500 psi is set as the simulation constraint. The detailed properties for the reservoir and fracture properties are shown in Table 3, which are in the reasonable range of characteristics of Bakken tight formation57.

The detailed properties for the reservoir and fracture properties are shown in Table 3, which are in the reasonable range of typical values for the Bakken formation.

| Properties         | Value | Unit |
|--------------------|-------|------|
| Reservoir temperature | 240   | °F   |
| Initial reservoir pressure | 7500  | psi  |
| Water saturation    | 49%   | —    |
| Total compressibility | 1 × 10^4 | psi^-1 |
| Porosity           | 5.6%  | —    |
| Number of fractures | 30    | —    |
| Fracture width      | 0.01  | ft   |
| Fracture spacing    | 320   | ft   |

Table 3. Reservoir and fracture properties from middle Bakken for simulation study.

The history matching was conducted to calibrate the fracture parameters for the simulation study. During the history matching, oil flow rate was used as the simulation constraint, while the bottomhole pressure and gas flow rate were the history-matching variables. Matrix permeability, fracture half-length, and fracture conductivity were the main tuning parameters.

Figure 5 displays the history matching results for the field case study with confinement effects. The field data is obtained from an actual well of the Bakken tight oil reservoirs. By the history matching, fracture half-length of 300 ft and fracture conductivity of 50 mD·ft were considered with the following effects of confined space. Relative permeability curves are presented in Fig. 6.

The schematic reservoir simulation model and the pore size distribution are shown in Fig. 7. According to the nanopore size distribution of a rock sample from Middle Bakken formation and the frequency of each pore size, we divided the pore sizes into different regions. It should be noted that the division of pore size distribution is based on the experimental measurements of the tight rock. We performed the sensitivity analysis to identify the computational time and accuracy of different patterns. Finally, we found that distribution into five regions is a better choice to maintain balance of accuracy and computation speed. Five regions include: smaller than 10 nm (27%), 10–20 nm (26%), 20–30 nm (30%), 30–50 nm (13%), and larger than 50 nm (4%), as shown in Fig. 7(B). Since different pore sizes in this model have their own PVT properties and permeabilities, we applied the black-oil properties under 10 nm, 15 nm, 25 nm, 40 nm, and 50 nm (Fig. 3) to represent different regions.

During the simulation, the local grid refinement (LGR) method was utilized to model hydraulic fracture explicitly, which can accurately describe the transport from matrix to fracture. In order to avoid the dispersion effect induced by refined cells in modeling hydraulic fractures, we compared the cumulative oil production in 5 years with the number of refined cells ranging from 3 to 7. Figure 7(C) displays the effects of LGR-grid size on the well performances. From Fig. 7(C), the results of LGR with 5 cells are similar with 7 cells. Therefore, 5 cells are appropriate to diminish the numerical dispersion effect, which is applied in the following case studies.

**Field-scale case studies.** In this section, we evaluated the impacts of confined phase behavior and stress-dependent deformation on the well performances. The simulation model in Section 3.2 is set as the base case for the following case studies. Reservoir size and fracture properties are kept the same, as shown in Fig. 7. The permeability and fracture conductivity under different pore sizes were calculated, which were implemented into the black-oil reservoir simulator CMG-IMEX module.
During the depletion process, stress changes and influences the matrix permeability and fracture conductivity of the tight oil reservoirs. Civan demonstrated that Carman-Kozeny equation of permeability was appliable in shale\(^5\); hence, the corresponding permeability can be calculated based on Carman-Kozeny equation and Nelson's correlation\(^6\).

Table 4 lists the permeability and capillary pressure under different pore sizes, which are expected to be close to the practical situation.

Geomechanics is complex in the tight formations. It negatively impacts the fluid flow capacity in the porous media. Alramahi and Sundberg fitted the laboratory measurement data and presented the relationship between normalized fracture conductivity and closure stress as below\(^2\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Stiff Shale: } & \log(F_c) = -0.0001 \times \sigma - 0.1082, \quad R^2 = 0.954 \\
\text{Medium Shale: } & \log(F_c) = -0.0004 \times \sigma + 0.2191, \quad R^2 = 0.998 \\
\text{Soft Shale: } & \log(F_c) = -0.0006 \times \sigma - 0.4256, \quad R^2 = 0.987
\end{align*}
\]
which $F_c$ is fracture conductivity, $\sigma$ is the closure stress, defining as the difference between the minimum horizontal stress and bottom hole pressure. In this case, stiff shale is selected to investigate the impact of geomechanics in the Middle Bakken formation. The stress-dependent fracture conductivity is generated using Eq. (10), as shown in Fig. 8.

The well production was forecasted to investigate the effects of confinement and stress-dependent deformation during 30 years. Three cases are shown as follows,

(A) **Case A**: Without effect of confinement and stress-dependent deformation;
(B) **Case B**: With effect of confinement but without stress-dependent deformation;
(C) **Case C**: With effect of confinement and stress-dependent deformation.

Figure 9 presents the well performance during 30-year periods. As shown in Fig. 9(A, B), Case B produces the most oil from the Bakken tight oil reservoir, increasing by 14.3% of the cumulative oil production and 2.1% of the oil recovery factor. The effect of confinement on phase equilibrium favors the oil transport from matrix to fractures, then to wellbore. Additionally, the confinement induces the reduction of the oil viscosity, leading to the increase of oil mobility and oil production.

**Table 4.** Permeability and capillary pressure under different pore sizes.

| Pore radius (nm) | 50   | 40   | 30   | 20   | 10   |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Permeability (md) | 0.0079 | 0.0058 | 0.0036 | 0.0024 | 0.0010 |
| $P_{cap}$ (psi)   | 101.52 | 123.28 | 159.54 | 246.56 | 493.11 |

**Figure 7.** Schematic reservoir simulation model with the pore size distribution and the effect of LGR-grid sizes. (A) Schematic reservoir simulation model. (B) Pore size distribution (Color bars of 1–5 represent five different regions). (C) Effect of LGR-grid sizes on the well performance.

**Figure 8.** Stress-dependent conductivity multiplier of rock sample from the Bakken formation.

**Figure 9.** The well performance during 30-year periods.
The results in Case C indicate that the deformation decreases rock permeability and negatively influences the fluid flow capacity. The stress-dependent deformation affects the connections, leading to the resistance during the fluid flow process. However, it should be noted that with the consideration of rock deformation, pore size reduces, resulting in more suppression of the bubble point pressure for the confined fluid during the oil production. The two-phase flow delays with light components dissolved in it, and the flow capacity increases. Hence, it would
be more complex when considering the rock deformation. Two effects of increasing effect of confinement and decreasing permeability compete with each other.

Figure 9(C) displays the gas oil ratio (GOR) for different cases. Due to the early production mainly from fractures, GOR increases at very early period and then quickly decreases before steady increase. As shown, the GOR for the case considering confinement effect (Case B) is lower than the case without confinement effect (Case A). The GOR for the case with confinement effect and stress-dependent deformation (Case C) exhibits an increase at early time and reduce later. The reason might be that the stress-dependent deformation caused the reduction in the pore sizes and the further suppression of bubble-point pressure. Hence, more hydrocarbons will flow from matrix to the wellbore in the liquid phase. The difference of GOR also impacts the oil production.

Figure 9(D) illustrates the average pressure during the depletion process. It can be clearly seen that the pressure of Case B experiences the largest decrease in the 30-year period. The large pressure drop indicates that the well performance will be overestimated if stress-dependent deformation is ignored.

Figure 10 displays the oil distribution at the end of 30 years. The oil saturation in Case B is the lowest, while the oil saturation in Case A is the largest. During the process of production, the oil around the fractures has been produced gradually, and oil is trapped in the regions away from the fractures. Additionally, the oil between two horizontal wells is also swept in Case B and Case C, leading to higher oil production.

Conclusions

(1) An efficient numerical model of evaluating well performance considering the confinement effects on the phase behavior and stress-dependent deformation was developed.

(2) For the fluid compositions like Bakken oil, the phase behavior in confined space significantly deviates from the bulk fluid. The change of heavy components brings large deviation on the phase envelope.

(3) Simulation studies of confinement effect and stress-dependent deformation on the well production of Bakken tight oil reservoirs were conducted. The results indicate that the effect of confinement on phase equilibrium leads to 14.3% of cumulative oil production and 2.1% of oil recovery factor, respectively, for these typical reservoirs.

(4) The stress-dependent deformation decreases the rock permeability and impacts the flow capacity, leading to the suppression of the cumulative oil production and oil recovery factor. Simulation results of oil production in tight oil reservoirs are demonstrated to sensitive to rock compaction during reservoir depletion. Therefore, it should be included in the simulation analysis.

(5) This simulation study provides a useful approach, incorporating phase behavior calculation and the reservoir simulator to investigate the factors affecting the well production. This comprehensive model can be easily used to evaluate the effects of confinement and stress sensitivity on the well performance of tight oil reservoirs.

References

1. Ben Salem, I., Cantat, I. & Dollet, B. Response of a two-dimensional liquid foam to air injection: swelling rate, fingering and fracture. J. Fluid Mech. 714, 258–282 (2014).
2. Eshkole, O. D., Ghanbari, E., Siddiqui, S. & Dehghanpour, H. Pseudo-steady state analysis in fractured tight oil reservoirs. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 129, 40–47 (2015).
3. Liu, P., Zhang, X., Wu, Y. & Li, X. Enhanced oil recovery by air-floam flooding system in tight oil reservoirs: Study on the profile-controlling mechanisms. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 150, 208–216 (2017).
4. Zuluaga-Molero, P., Yu, W., Xiu, Y., Sephrehnoori, K. & Li, B. Simulation study of CO2-EOR in tight oil reservoirs with complex fracture geometries. Sci. Rep. 6, 33415 (2016).
5. Aloulou, F. World tight oil production to more than double from 2015 to 2030. International Energy Outlook (2016).
6. Sorensen, J. A. et al. Characterization and evaluation of the Bakken petroleum system for CO2 enhanced oil recovery. Presented at the Unconventional Resources Technology Conference. San Antonio, Texas, USA, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.15530/URTEC-2015-2169871 (2015, 20–22 July).
7. Guo, J., Wang, H. & Zhang, L. Transient pressure behavior for a horizontal well with multiple finite-conductivity fractures in tight reservoirs. J. Geophys. Eng. 12, 638–656 (2015).
8. Sheng, J. J. Critical review of field EOR projects in shale and tight reservoirs. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 159, 654–665 (2017).
9. Du, S., Pang, S. & Shi, Y. Quantitative characterization on the microscopic pore heterogeneity of tight oil sandstone reservoir by considering both the resolution and representativeness. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 169, 388–392 (2018).
10. Aïfa, T., Zerrouki, A. A., Baddari, K. & Géraud, Y. Magnetic susceptibility and its relation with fracture sand petrophysical parameters in the tight sand oil reservoir of Hamra quarzites, southwest of the Hassi Messaoud oil field, Algeria. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 123, 120–37 (2014).
11. Wu, H. et al. An improved method of characterizing the pore structure in tight oil reservoirs: Integrated NMR and constant-rate-controlled porosimetry data. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 166, 778–796 (2018).
12. Singumud, P. M., Dranchuk, P. M., Morrow, N. R. & Purvis, R. A. Retrograde condensation in porous media. SPE J. 13(2), 93–104 (1973).
13. Brusilovsky, A. I. Mathematical simulation of phase behavior of natural multicomponent systems at high pressures with an equation of state. SPE Reserv. Eng. 7(1), 117–122 (1992).
14. Nojabaee, B., Johns, R. T. & Chu, L. Effect of capillary pressure on phase behavior in tight rocks and shales. SPE Reserv. Eval. Eng. 16(3), 281–289 (2012).
15. Hamada, Y., Koga, K. & Tanaka, H. Phase equilibria and interfacial tension of fluids confined in narrow pores. J. Chem. Phys. 127(8), 084908 (2007).
16. Wu, T. & Zhang, D. Impact of adsorption on gas transport in nanopores. Sci. Rep. 6, 23629 (2016).
17. Du, L. & Chu, L. Understanding anomalous phase behavior in unconventional oil reservoirs. Presented at the SPE Canadian Unconventional Resources Conference, Calgary, Canada, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/161830-MS (2012, 30 October–1 November).
18. Pang, J., Zuo, J. Y., Zhang, D. & Du, L. Impact of porous media on saturation pressures of gas and oil in tight reservoirs. Presented at the SPE Canadian Unconventional Resources Conference, Calgary, Canada, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/161143-MS (2012, 30 October-1 November).

19. Sandoval, D., Yan, W., Michelsen, M. L. & Stenby, E. H. Phase envelope calculations for reservoir fluids in the presence of capillary pressure. Presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/175116-MS (2015, 28–30 September).

20. Zhang, Y., Yu, W., Sepehrnoori, K. & Di, Y. A comprehensive numerical model for simulating fluid transport in nanoperes. Sci. Rep. 7, 40507 (2017).

21. Wang, S., Javadpour, F. & Feng, Q. Confinement correction to mercury intrusion capillary pressure of shale nanopores. Sci. Rep. 6, 20160 (2016).

22. Teklu, T. W. et al. Phase behavior and minimum miscibility pressure in nanopores. SPE Reserv. Eval. Eng. 17(3), 396–403 (2014).

23. Luo, S. Lukenhaus, J. L. & Nasrabad, H. Experimental study of confinement effect on hydrocarbon phase behavior in nano-scale porous media using differential scanning calorimetry. Presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/175095-MS (2015, 28–30 September).

24. Stimpson, B. C. & Barrufet, M. A. Thermodynamic modeling of pure components including the effects of capillarity. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 61, 2844–2850 (2016).

25. Tan, S. P. & Piri, M. Equation-of-state modeling of confined-fluid phase equilibria in nanopores. Fluid Phase Equilibri. 393, 48–63 (2015).

26. Liu, Y., Jin, Z. & Li, H. A. Comparison of Peng-Robinson equation of state with capillary pressure model with engineering density-functional theory in describing the phase behavior of confined hydrocarbons. SPE J. 23(5), 1784–1797 (2018).

27. Dong, X., Liu, H., Hou, J., Wu, K. & Chen, Z. Phase equilibria of confined fluids in nanopores of tight and shale rocks considering the effect of capillary pressure and adsorption film. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 55(3), 798–811 (2016).

28. Haider, B. A. & Aziz, K. Impact of capillary pressure and critical property shift due to confinement on hydrocarbon production in shale reservoirs. Presented at the SPE Reservoir Simulation Conference, Montgomery, Texas, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/182603-MS (2017, 20–22 February).

29. Cui, X. et al. Phase equilibrium of hydrocarbons confined in nanopores from a modified Peng-Robinson equation of state. Presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Dallas, Texas, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/191547-MS (2018, 24–26 September).

30. Zuo, J. Y. et al. Impact of capillary pressure and nanopore confinement on phase behaviors of shale gas and oil. Energ. Fuel. 32, 4705–4714 (2018).

31. Jindrová, T. & Mikyška, J. Fast and robust algorithm for calculation of two-phase equilibria at given volume, temperature, and moles. Fluid Phase Equilibri. 353, 101–114 (2013).

32. Kou, J., Sun, S. & Wang, X. Efficient numerical methods for simulating surface tension of multi-component mixtures with the gradient theory of fluid interfaces. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engng. 292, 92–106 (2015).

33. Kou, J. & Sun, S. Numerical methods for a multi-component two-phase interface model with geometric mean influence parameters. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 37(4), B545–B569 (2015).

34. Kou, J. & Sun, S. Multi-scale diffuse interface modeling of multi-component two-phase flow with partial miscibility. I. Comput. Phys. 318, 349–372 (2016).

35. Kou, J. & Sun, S. Unconditionally stable methods for simulating multi-component two-phase interface models with Peng-Robinson equation of state and various boundary conditions. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 291, 158–182 (2016).

36. Kou, J. & Sun, S. A stable algorithm for calculating phase equilibria with capillarity at specified moles, volume and temperature using a dynamic model. Fluid Phase Equilibri. 456, 7–24 (2018).

37. Wang, Y., Yan, B. & Killough, J. Compositional modelling of tight oil using dynamic nanopore properties. Presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, New Orleans, Louisiana, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/166267-MS (2013, 30 October-2 November).

38. Nojabaei, B., Siripatrachai, N., Johns, R. T. & Ertekin, T. Effect of saturation dependent capillary pressure on production in tight rocks and shales: A compositionally-extended black oil formulation. Presented at the SPE Eastern Regional Meeting, Charleston, WV, USA, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/171028-MS (2014, 21–23 October).

39. Sanaei, A., Jamili, A., Callard, J. & Mathur, A. Production modeling in the Eagle Ford gas condensate window: Integrating new relationships between core permeability, pore size, and confined PVT properties. Presented at the SPE Western American and Rocky Mountain Joint Meeting, Denver, Colorado, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/169493-MS (2014, 17–18 April).

40. Razaei, M. et al. Compositional simulation including effect of capillary pressure on phase behavior. Presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/175335-MS (2015, 28–30 September).

41. Yan, B., Wang, Y. & Killough, J. E. A fully compositional model considering the effect of nanopores in tight oil reservoirs. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 152, 675–682 (2017).

42. Siripatrachai, N., Ertekin, T. & Johns, R. T. Compositional simulation of hydraulically fractured tight formation considering the effect of capillary pressure on phase behavior. SPE J. 22(4), 1046–1063 (2017).

43. Yu, W. & Sepehrnoori, K. Shale gas and tight oil reservoir simulation, 1st edition. Gulf Professional Publishing (2018).

44. Zhang, Y., Lasgari, H. R., Di, Y. & Sepehrnoori, K. Capillary pressure effect on phase behavior of CO2/hydrocarbons in unconventional reservoirs. Fuel. 197, 575–582 (2017).

45. Lasgari, H. R. Development of a four-phase thermal-chemical reservoir simulator for heavy oil. PhD dissertation, Austin, TX: The University of Texas at Austin, USA (2014).

46. Sigmond, P. M. Prediction of molecular diffusion at reservoir conditions. Part II-estimating the effects of molecular diffusion and convective mixing in multiphase systems. J. Can. Pet. Technol. 15(3), 53–62 (1976).

47. CMG. IMEX user’s guide. Computer Modeling Group Ltd (2012).

48. Firoozabadi, A. Thermodynamics of hydrocarbon reservoirs. New York City, New York: McGraw-Hill (1999).

49. Shapiro, A. A. & Stenby, E. H. Thermodynamics of the multi-component vapor–liquid equilibrium under capillary pressure difference. Fluid Phase Equilibri. 178(1), 17–32 (2001).

50. Rachford, H. H. & Rice, J. D. Procedure for use of electronic digital computers in calculating flash vaporization hydrocarbon equilibrium. J. Petrol. Technol. 4(10), 19–20 (1952).

51. Wilson, G. M. A modified Redlich—Kwong equation of state, application to general physical data calculations: proceedings of 65th National AIChE Meeting, Cleveland, USA (1969).

52. Peng, D. Y. & Robinson, D. B. A new two-constant equation of state. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fund. 15(1), 59–64 (1976).

53. Sauer, T. Numerical Analysis, 2nd Edition. Addison Wesley Longman (2011).

54. Fong, D. K. S. & Nghiem, L. X. A viscosity model for reservoir fluid. Comput. Model. Group Res. Rep. R7 (1980).

55. West, D. R. M. et al. Optimized production in the Bakken shale: South Antelope case study. Presented at the SPE Unconventional Resources Technology Conference-Canada, Calgary, Alberta, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/167168-MS (2013, 5–7 November).
56. Yu, W. & Sepehrnoori, K. Optimization of well spacing for Bakken tight oil reservoirs. Presented at the SPE Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, Denver, Colorado, Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, https://doi.org/10.15530/URTEC-2014-1922108 (2014, 25–27 August).
57. Jin, L. et al. Improving oil transportability using CO2 in the Bakken system–A laboratory investigation. Presented at the SPE International Conference and Exhibition on Formation Damage Control. Lafayette, Louisiana, Society of Petroleum Engineers, https://doi.org/10.2118/178948-MS (2016, 24–26 February).
58. Civan, F. Effective correlation of apparent gas permeability in tight porous media. Transp. Porous Med. 82(2), 375–384 (2010).
59. Carman, P. C. Fluid flow through granular beds. Chem. Eng. Res. Design. 75, S32–S48 (1997).
60. Kozeny, J. Ueber kapillare leitung des wassers im boden. Sitzungsber Akad. 136(2a), 271–306 (1927).
61. Nelson, P. H. Permeability-porosity relationships in sedimentary rocks. Log Analysts. 35(3), 38–62 (1994).
62. Alramahi, B. & Sundberg, M. I. Proppant embedment and conductivity of hydraulic fractures in shales. In: 46th U.S. Rock Mechanics/Geomechanics Symposium, Chicago, Illinois, American Rock Mechanics Association, ARMA-2012-291 (2012, 24–27 June).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (51804282 and 51674010), National Science and Technology Major Project of China (2017ZX05009-005 and 2016ZX05015002-004), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2652017294 and 2652018240).

Author Contributions
As the first author, Y. Zhang established the methodology and conducted all the simulations as well as wrote the main manuscript text. Y. Di guided and supervised all the simulations. As the corresponding author, P. Liu have made substantial contributions to the conception/design of the work and revised the main manuscript text; AND I have approved the final version to be published; AND I agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated. W. Li collected data and prepared all of the figures. All authors discussed the results and critically reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019