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**Abstract**

More and more people turn to Online Health Communities to seek social support during their illnesses. By interacting with peers with similar medical conditions, users feel emotionally and socially supported, which in turn leads to better adherence to therapy. Current studies in Online Health Communities focus only on the presence or absence of emotional support, while the available datasets are scarce or limited in terms of size. To enable development on emotional support adherence to therapy, we introduce ENSYNET, a dataset of 6,500 sentences annotated with two types of support: encouragement and sympathy. We train BERT-based classifiers on this dataset, and apply our best BERT model in two large scale experiments. The results of these experiments show that receiving encouragements or sympathy improves users’ emotional state, while the lack of emotional support negatively impacts patients’ emotional state. We make our code and data available at [https://github.com/tsosea2/EnSyNet](https://github.com/tsosea2/EnSyNet).
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1. **Introduction**

Online Health Communities (OHCs) have become a major source of support for people with health problems and for their caregivers. People usually seek social support during their treatments [LaCoursiere, 2001], and such support is a key component to their overall well-being, having the potential to directly affect the success of their treatment [Coulson et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2004]. Social support in OHCs can be divided in two core components: emotional and informational support [Olson, 1995; Gooden and Winefield, 2007; Eysenbach et al., 2004; Brennan and Ripich, 1994]. These two core functions of OHCs help to promote new treatments, lifestyle, and can also reveal adverse drug effects [Yang et al., 2012].

In this paper, we focus on emotional support detection, more specifically on the expression of encouragements and sympathy. Encouragement is the action of giving someone support, confidence, or hope, while sympathy is the feeling of pity or sorrow for someone else’s misfortune. These two types of support are extremely beneficial for patients in improving their emotional state. To our knowledge, we are the first to address this fine-grained approach, as previous studies on emotional support detection focused only on a high level view of emotional support, which analyzes the presence or absence of such support [Khanpour et al., 2018; Biyani et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014]. To this end, we introduce ENSYNET, a new dataset composed of 6,500 sentences annotated with encouragement and sympathy. ENSYNET is a challenging benchmark, being more fine-grained and 6 times larger than other datasets used for detecting emotional support [Biyani et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014]. To indicate a few challenges of the task, we show some examples from our dataset in Table 1. For instance, we observe that a sentence can contain both sympathy and encouragement. In *I know how terrible this damn disease is but keep the battle and I know I and many others are praying hard for you,* the encouragement is expressed through the expression *keep the battle,* while *praying hard* expresses sympathy towards the person. Surprisingly, distinguishing between sympathy and encouragement is challenging, and 40% of the sentences that convey sympathy also express encouragements.

We model the expression of sympathy and encouragement in ENSYNET using weak and strong baselines. Notably, our best pre-trained language model achieves as much as 0.63 F1 on sympathy and 0.75 F1 on encouragement. We improve upon these results by using additional unsupervised pretraining on health-related and emotion-related datasets. Finally, we perform a comprehensive analysis of our dataset, then use models trained on ENSYNET in two large-scale experiments to understand the impact of sympathy and encouragement to the emotional states of patients.

Our contributions are as follows: (1) We create ENSYNET, a dataset of 6,500 sentences annotated with encouragements and sympathies.

| SYM  | I know how terrible this damn disease is but keep the battle and I know I and many others are praying hard for you. |
| ENC  | Sending lots of prayers and positive thoughts to you, my friend. |
| NONE | I wish your mom the best in making a decision. |

Table 1: Examples from the dataset.
SYNET, a new dataset of 6,500 sentences for encouragement and sympathy detection. (2) We show ENSYNET is a challenging benchmark by fine-tuning state-of-the-art pre-trained language models, and exploring additional domain-specific pre-training for inductive biases. (3) We apply our best pre-trained language model in a large-scale experiment across the OHC. Our experiments validate the importance of social support in OHCs, revealing that offering sympathy or encouragement to users improve their state-of-mind, increasing the emotion frequency of joy, while decreasing that of sadness.

2. Related Work

Despite that a wide body of research focuses on analyzing social support in Online Health Communities using surveys and questionnaires (Courasaris and Liu, 2009; Han et al., 2011; Zaphiris and Pfeil, 2007; Rodgers and Chen, 2005; Buis, 2008; Coulson et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2004), computational studies of social support started to emerge only recently. Yang et al. (2019), Wang et al. (2015) and Nolker and Zhou (2005), modeled social roles in OHCs, by identifying clearly defined roles such as emotional support seeker or informational support provider. Wang et al. (2012) studied how social support in OHCs affects the length of user participation, while Chancellor et al. (2018) studied behavioral changes in the presence of social support. Wang et al. (2014), Biyani et al. (2014), and Khanpour et al. (2018) studied emotional support at a high level, by only identifying the presence or degree of emotional support. Instead, in order to get a better understanding into how emotional support is provided across OHCs, we adopt a more fine-grained approach by studying 2 specific types of emotional support: encouragements and sympathy.

3. Dataset

We build our dataset from Cancer Survivors Network (CSN), which is one of the largest online health communities for people suffering from cancer. Here, users can start discussion threads or reply to existing discussions, and these threads are grouped by cancer type. We sample our data from three frequent types of cancer: breast, lung and prostate cancer. We choose to model the task at sentence level, because consecutive sentences in a discussion might cover different topics (Biyani et al., 2014). The task objective is to predict if a sentence contains sympathy or encouragement.

Construction We randomly sampled 25,000 sentences from CSN and used the Amazon Mechanical Turk crowd-sourcing platform to acquire labels for the data. For every sentence, the final label can be sympathy, encouragement, both sympathy and encouragement, or neither. We designed separate annotation forms for sympathy and encouragement. These forms ask an annotator to label a sentence with true or false, i.e., if a sentence contains sympathy/encouragement, the label is true, otherwise it is false. We use 5 annotators for the labelling, and remove spurious annotations by ruling out annotators inconsistent with the majority vote in more than 20% of the cases. This setting provides a good inter-annotator agreement, with a Krippendorff α = 0.71.

Analysis We present the frequency and co-occurrence of sympathy and encouragement in Table 2. Interestingly, there are about twice more messages containing encouragement compared to sympathy. We also observe that ~ 40% of sentences expressing sympathy also contain encouragement. Finally, out of the 25,000 sentences sampled, 25% contain sympathy or encouragement.

Benchmark Dataset We provide a benchmark dataset created as follows. For each of the encouragement and sympathy categories, we consider as positive examples all sentences containing encouragement or sympathy, respectively. Next, we sample an equal number of negative examples in the following manner: (1) For encouragement, we use all the sentences conveying sympathy and not encouragement, while we sample the rest from the set of sentences with neither sympathy or encouragement. (2) For sympathy, $\frac{1}{4}$ are sampled from sentences conveying neither sympathy or encouragement, while $\frac{3}{4}$ are sampled from sentences containing encouragement but not sympathy. Finally, we randomly construct a 80/10/10 train, validation and test split, which we will provide alongside our data.

4. Experiments and Results

4.1. Methods

We evaluate the sympathy and encouragement detection performance on ENSYNET using several methods.

Weak Baselines We experiment with 1) Naive Bayes applied after stemming and stop-word removal and 2) Logistic Regression using tf*idf vectors as input.

Strong Baselines As strong baselines, we explore with: 1) Bi-directional Long Short Term Memory network (Bi-LSTM) (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), 2) Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) (Kim, 2014) using pre-trained GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) word embeddings, and 3) Convolutional Bi-LSTM (Conv-Bi-LSTM) (Khanpour and Caragea, 2018) using pre-trained GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) word embeddings.

| SYM | ENC |
|-----|-----|
| 2,505 | 1,004 |
| 1,004 | 4,881 |

Table 2: Co-occurrence of sympathy and encouragement.
Pre-trained Language Models  Finally, we experiment with pre-trained language models that use transfer learning, a method which implies pre-training on a large unsupervised task, followed by fine-tuning on a less computationally expensive supervised task. We experiment with 1) BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) base uncased model, 2) DistilBERT (Sanh et al., 2019), a distilled version of BERT with a considerably reduced size, and 3) Clinical BERT (Alsentzer et al., 2019), a specialized version of BERT model pre-trained on a health-related task (e.g., clinical narratives, medical text).

Additional Pre-training  It has been shown that performing additional unsupervised pretraining before the fine-tuning phase can prove successful when dealing with specialized contexts (Sosea and Caragea, 2020) (Desai et al., 2020) (Gururangan et al., 2020) (such as medical forums). To this end, to improve the performance of our best language models, we train our model further on various pre-training domains using dynamic masked language modelling (Devlin et al., 2018). We consider two pre-training domains. First, we pre-train our model on EmoNet (Abdul-Mageed and Ungar, 2017), a dataset annotated with fine-grained emotions using distant supervision, obtained from the authors. Given that the EmoNet sentences are biased towards an emotion context, which is somewhat close to our emotional support area, we investigate if pre-training on this task improves the model performance. Second, we pre-train on the entire CSN forum, hoping to implicitly induce health-specific biases.

4.2. Experimental Setup  We carry out all our experiments using an Nvidia V100 GPU. We use the HuggingFace Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) library for the implementation of our pre-trained language models. We run all our experiments on the benchmark dataset 10 times using different weight initializations, and report the average of the runs.

4.3. Results  We show the results of the above models in Table 3. First, the pre-trained language models consistently outperform the other baselines by as much as 4% on sympathy and 6% on encouragement. The DistilBERT model obtains the best performance among the language models, even though it is almost half the size and a direct distilled version of BERT. Second, the pre-trained models tend to have a better precision than recall on encouragement, and opposite on sympathy. We also observe that Clinical BERT does not help the performance on the task, even though it is specifically pre-trained on health-related data. Finally, additional pre-training on CSN improves the downstream overall performance on both sympathy and encouragement, whereas pre-training on EmoNet (Abdul-Mageed and Ungar, 2017) largely hurts the performance. To investigate why EmoNet pre-training decreases the downstream performance, we perform a linguistic analysis. First, EmoNet is constructed using distant supervision and a health-related task (e.g., clinical narratives, medical text).

Table 3: Precision, recall and F-1 results for sympathy and encouragement detection. We show results obtained using weak baselines (first block), strong baselines (second block), pre-trained language models (third block), and language models that underwent additional pre-training using masked language modeling (fourth block).

| METHOD               | SYMPATHY | ENCOURAGEMENT |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|
|                      | PRECISION | RECALL | F-1 | PRECISION | RECALL | F-1 |
| Naive Bayes          | 0.53      | 0.55  | 0.54 | 0.70      | 0.74  | 0.67 |
| Log Regression       | 0.57      | 0.58  | 0.58 | 0.66      | 0.68  | 0.67 |
| Bi-LSTM              | 0.58      | 0.58  | 0.58 | 0.67      | 0.72  | 0.69 |
| CNN                  | 0.58      | 0.60  | 0.59 | 0.68      | 0.70  | 0.69 |
| Conv-Bi-LSTM         | 0.56      | 0.57  | 0.57 | 0.67      | 0.67  | 0.67 |
| BERT                 | 0.61      | 0.63  | 0.62 | 0.74      | 0.72  | 0.73 |
| DistilBERT           | 0.62      | 0.64  | 0.63 | 0.76      | 0.74  | 0.75 |
| Clinical BERT        | 0.60      | 0.62  | 0.61 | 0.74      | 0.70  | 0.72 |
| DistilBERT+EmoNet    | 0.57      | 0.59  | 0.58 | 0.64      | 0.62  | 0.63 |
| DistilBERT+CSN       | 0.62      | 0.67  | 0.64 | 0.70      | 0.74  | 0.76 |

Figure 1: Word Clouds from sentences containing encouragement or sympathy.

(a) Encouragement  (b) Sympathy
from a Twitter corpus by leveraging cues in the data in the form of emotion hashtags. This domain differs substantially in language and terminology from our health-related domain. Second, we perform a word-level analysis and extract the most prominent words in our data, and show the results using word clouds in Figure 1a and 1b. Interestingly, we observe a notable difference between the word sets used in our sympathy and encouragement sentences, which are not related to the emotional context that EmoNet (Abdul-Mageed and Ungar, 2017) addresses.

5. Large-Scale Experiments

To illustrate the importance of encouragement and sympathy in Online Health Communities we apply our best performing model in two large-scale experiments. In these experiments, we investigate correlations between sympathy/encouragement and emotions such as joy and sadness in order to study if emotional support helps improve a patient’s feelings and state-of-mind. We obtained models for joy and sadness sentence classification trained on CSN from the authors of a previous work on fine-grained emotion detection (Khanpour and Caragea, 2018).

Sympathy and Encouragement effect in Time We randomly sample 20 users from the entire CSN forum with at least 500 posts. For each user, we sort the posts chronologically, then group them in 11 timepoints with an equal number of posts in each timepoint. For each timepoint $t$ and an user $u$, we look at all the comments addressed to $u$ and use our models to calculate the percentage of sentences containing encouragements or sympathy. Next, for timestep $t + 1$, we extract all of $u$’s posts and, using the trained emotion model, we determine the percentage of sentences expressing joy. We do this for all the 11 timepoints and for all 20 users, and average the results. We now address the following question: Does encouragement and sympathy offered to an user at timepoint $t$ correlate with the joy of that same user at a later time $t + 1$? We show our findings in Figure 2. For visualization purposes, we also show a Lagged Joy graph. This graph is the same as the Joy graph, but translated 1 timepoint backwards on the $x$ axis, and 0.05 downwards on the $y$ axis. We perform these operations for better visualization and to get an alignment in timesteps with the encouragement and sympathy. In our experiments, $t$ is one month. Interestingly, the results show a strong correlation between joy and the amount of emotional support received: emotional support boosts a user’s joy, while the absence of such support tends to worsen the feelings of the user.

Potential of Emotional Support to Change Feelings In this experiment, we investigate how likely messages conveying emotional support are to change a user’s feelings. To this end, we sample 5000 threads from the CSN with the following property: let $x$ be the post that initiates the thread. There has to be a post $y$ somewhere in the thread, such that the author of $x$ is the same as the author of $y$. First, we compute the polarity of post $x$. The polarity is positive if there are more sentences conveying joy than sadness, neutral if they are equal, and negative if there are more sentences expressing sadness. Then, we compute the polarity of post $y$. Next, we check if the messages inbetween these two posts contain sympathy or encouragement. Finally, we investigate if positive shifts in polarity happen more frequently if the user receives encouragement or sympathy, as well as if negative polarity shifts appear more often in the absence of encouragement or sympathy. We show our results in Figure 3. Interestingly, there are significantly more positive shifts and less negative shifts if users are offered emotional support. For instance, the probability of a user to have a negative polarity shift is four times less likely if being offered sympathy than not.

6. Conclusion

We introduced ENSYNENET, a new health related dataset of 6,500 sentences aimed at detecting two types of emotional support: sympathy and encouragement. Our experiments show that ENSYNENET is a challenging benchmark, even for large-scale pre-trained language models, obtaining an F-1 of 76% on encouragement detection and 64% on sympathy detection. We make our code and dataset publicly available.
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