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The virus rapidly spreads worldwide that caused the human coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, more than 180 million people were infected within one half years ( Worldometrics, 2021 ). The viral outbreak caused more than 3 million deaths and several global issues. The virus is a single-positive-strand ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus that viral sequence is coarsely arranged into four classes. Classes 1 and 2 antibodies bind to the human angiotensin-converting-enzyme 2 (ACE2) ( Yang et al., 2020 ), SARS-CoV-2 thus using the receptor to infect human cells ( Lan et al., 2020 ). It should be noted that ACE2 is available in various tissues involving the human lung, heart, and liver ( Hoffmann et al., 2020 ). Therefore, S protein is a target for neutralizing by human antibodies ( Barnes et al., 2020; Ju et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021 ) and the protein interestingly is the biological target for the COVID-19 vaccine design ( COVID-19 Vaccines, 2021 ).

SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks worldwide caused COVID-19 pandemic, which is related to several million deaths. In particular, SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S) protein is a major biological target for COVID-19 vaccine design. Unfortunately, recent reports indicated that Spike (S) protein mutations can lead to antibody resistance. However, understanding the process is limited, especially at the atomic scale. The structural change of S protein and neutralizing antibody fragment (FAb) complexes was thus probed using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. In particular, the backbone RMSD of the 501Y.V2 complex was significantly larger than that of the wild-type one implying a large structural change of the mutation system. Moreover, the mean of Rg, CCS, and SASA are almost the same when compared two complexes, but the distributions of these values are absolutely different. Furthermore, the free energy landscape of the complexes was significantly changed when the 501Y.V2 variant was induced. The binding pose between S protein and FAb was thus altered. The FAb-binding affinity to S protein was thus reduced due to revealing over steered-MD (SMD) simulations. The observation is in good agreement with the respective experiment that the 501Y.V2 SARS-CoV-2 variant can escape from neutralizing antibody (NAb).

**1. Introduction**

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) initially reported from Wuhan, China in December 2019 ( WHO, 2021 ). The virus rapidly spreads worldwide that caused the human coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic worldwide ( WHO, 2021 ). Despite the huge efforts of the international community to limit the spread of SARS-CoV-2, more than 180 million people were infected within one and half years ( Worldometrics, 2021 ). The viral outbreak caused more than 3 million deaths and several global issues. The virus is a single-positive-strand ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus that viral sequence is similar to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) ( Barnes et al., 2020; de Wit et al., 2016 ). SARS-CoV-2 genomes, which are contained from 26 to 32 kb in size, are encryption of more than 20 structural and non-structural proteins ( Francès-Monerris et al., 2020 ). These proteins arrange into four groups including spike, envelope, membrane, and nucleocapsid ( Ngo et al., 2020; Schoeman and Fielding, 2019 ). In particular, the viral S protein is used to bind to human angiotensin-converting-enzyme 2 (ACE2) ( Yang et al., 2020 ), SARS-CoV-2 thus using the receptor to infect human cells ( Lan et al., 2020 ).
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simulations emerge as appropriate methods for evaluating the structural at an atomic level remains open problems (Pan et al., 2019). Atomistic Directly determining physical insights into the protein-protein binding changes and interactions between biomolecules (Ngo et al., 2019, et al., 2001), but the obtained results are popularly indirect or limited. 2021). Therefore, in this work, a fragment of NAb bind to 501Y. V2/wildtype (WT) S proteins was revealed using molecular dynamics

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Structure of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and RBD

The three-dimensional structure of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and S2M11 NAb fragment was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with code 7K43 (Tortorici et al., 2020). The complexed structure was obtained using electron microscopy method with a resolution of 2.60 Å. The South African SARS-CoV-2 variant adopts eight changes in the S protein. In particular, four substitutions and deletion in NTD appeared as L18F, D80A, D215G, Δ242–244, and R246I and RBD (K417N, E484K, and N501Y) (Weisblum et al., 2020). The major targets of these antibodies are N1-loop (NTD N-terminus), N3-loop (supersite β-hairpin), and N5-loop (supersite loop) (Wibmer et al., 2021).

Numerous viral variants have been recently reported that can be escaped from NAbs (Chen et al., 2021; Weisblum et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2021, 2021). The issues were raised because the mutations mostly appeared in the S protein sequence, especially in RBD. For example, in the South African (B.1.351 or 501Y.V2) variant, the mutations appeared in both NTD (L18F, D80A, D215G, Δ242–244, and R246I) and RBD (K417N, E484K, and N501Y) (Weisblum et al., 2020). The structural change under mutation effects probably decreases the binding affinity between S protein and NAbs (Wibmer et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Cele et al., 2021) as well as RDB and antibodies (Ngo et al., 2021). The rapid transmission among communities probably generates more variants and some of them have higher toxicity, larger transmission rate, and are able to escape from NAbs, etc (CDC, 2021a, 2021b; WHO, 2020; Abdool Karim and de Oliveira, 2021; Reuters, 2021). Decreasing NAbs efficacy is probably associated with the reduction of the Covid-19 vaccine efficacy (CDC, 2021b). Therefore, it is an emergency to understand the physical insight at the atomic level into the escape from NAbs of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant.

Studying conformations, interactions, and association/dissociation of protein-protein complexes are fundamental problems (Pan et al., 2019). It should be noted that structures of many complexes persist difficult to experimentally evaluate (Lupardus et al., 2014; Shan et al., 2014). Moreover, investigating the protein-protein interactions/associations needs influential experimental tools (Tang et al., 2006; Frisch et al., 2001), but the obtained results are popularly indirect or limited. Directly determining physical insights into the protein-protein binding at an atomic level remains open problems (Pan et al., 2019). Atomistic simulations emerge as appropriate methods for evaluating the structural changes and interactions between biomolecules (Ngo et al., 2019, 2021). Therefore, in this work, a fragment of NAb bind to 501Y. V2/wildtype (WT) S proteins was revealed using molecular dynamics (MD) and steered-MD (SMD) simulations. Furthermore, the obtained results indicated that 501Y.V2 complex is less stable compared with the WT one. The FAb-binding affinity to S protein is significantly decreased when the South African variant was induced. In addition, it should be noted that although glycans play an important role in the modulation of the spike conformational dynamics (Casalino et al., 2020; Woo et al., 2020; Turonova et al., 2020), glycosylation of S protein was neglected to clarify the natural interaction between S protein + antibodies.

2.2. MD simulations

Structural change of S protein + FAb complexes was investigated by using atomistic simulations with the GROMACS version 5.1.5 (Abraham et al., 2015). The protein, FAB, and neutralized ions were parameterized via the Amber99SB-ildN force field (Aliev et al., 2014), according to the previous works (Zhang, Yin, et al., 2018; Zhang, Jiang, et al., 2018; ). Besides that, the complex was inserted into a water box, in which water molecules were parameterized via the TIP3P water model (Jorgensen et al., 1983). In particular, the dodecahedron periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and rectangular PBC boxes were used in simulating the solvated complex with MD and SMD simulations, respectively. The size of the dodecahedron PBC box is 6311.26 nm3 with a box vector of (20.74, 20.74, 20.74) nm and the size of the rectangular PBC box is 5397.98 nm3 with a box vector of (15.59, 14.54, 23.80) nm. 10 and 1 Na+ ions were added to neutralize the WT and 501Y.V2 S protein + FAB complexes, respectively. More details about systemic configurations were shown in Fig. 2.
### 2.2.1. MD simulations

MD simulations were performed using parameters, which were referred to the prior studies (Ngo et al., 2021). However, the integral steps were attempted every 3 femtoseconds. A non-bonded pair between two atoms was available if the spacing was smaller than 0.9 nm. The electrostatic interaction was mimicked using the fast Particle-Mesh Ewald approach (Darden et al., 1993). The van der Waals (vdW) interaction was calculated via the cut-off scheme.

Initially, the SARS-CoV-2 S protein + FAb in solution was minimized by using the steepest descent approach. The energy minimized complex was positioned restrained by NVT and NPT simulations in a short interval (100 ps each). During relaxed simulations, the integral steps were performed every 1 femtosecond. The equilibrium conformations, which were obtained via NPT simulations, were used as initial shapes of MD simulations. The MD simulations were carried out 4 independent times with a length of 100 ns.

### 2.2.2. SMD simulations

MD-refined structures of S protein + FAb complexes, which were obtained via free energy landscape and clustering analyses, were used as starting conformations of SMD simulations. The systems were reinserted into a rectangular PBC box (Fig. 2), which size was reported above, to reduce the computing times. During SMD simulations, the FAb was dissociated from S protein under the effects of a harmonic pulling force as mentioned in Fig. 2. The pulling force was applied on the FAb center of mass with an external force using cantilever and constant velocity $v = 0.001 \text{ nm ps}^{-1}$ referring to the recent work (Ngo et al., 2021). It should be noted that the $C_\alpha$ atoms were weakly constrained via a harmonic force. The data was recorded every 33 integral steps.

### 2.3. Analyzed tools

The MD-refined structures, as well as representative structures, were obtained using a combination of the free energy landscape (FEL) and clustering method (Papaleo et al., 2009). FEL was constructed using the principal component analysis (PCA) approach, in which the first and second eigenvectors were computed using “gmxfanaeg” tool. The solvent accessible surface area (SASA) and gyration of radius $R_g$ were estimated via using “gmxsasa” and “gmx gyrate” tools, respectively. The collision cross section (CCS) were determined via ion mobility projection approximation calculation tool (IMPACT) protocol (Marklund et al., 2015). The hydrogen bond (HB) contact was predicted as the default option of PyMOL (Schrödinger LLC, 2010).

---

**Fig. 2.** Initial conformations of SARS-CoV-2 S protein + FAb complexes in (A) MD and (B) SMD simulations. S protein was highlighted using blue and orange colors in (A) and (B), respectively. FAb was denoted using green color. The blue balls represent neutralized Na$^+$ ions. The solvation was hidden from (B) to provide a clarifier view.

### 3. Results and discussion

As mentioned above, the protein-protein association is a fundamental problem (Pan et al., 2019). Protein-protein association or dissociation processes are hard to directly determine in experiments (Lupardus et al., 2014; Shan et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2006; Frisch et al., 2001). In this work, atomistic MD simulations were employed to clarify the physical insights into the binding process between S protein and FAb. The structural change of the complexes was easily monitored over simulation trajectories (Casalino et al., 2020; Ngo et al., 2020; Sikora et al., 2021). The backbone root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of the complexes suggested that systems mostly reached equilibrium states after 40 ns of MD simulations (Figs. S1–S2 of the Supporting Information + SI file). Moreover, the superposition of calculated metrics also confirms the convergence of simulations (Fig. S3 of the SI file). Structural analyses were then carried out over equilibrium intervals of S protein + FAb complexes.

Structural metrics of complexes including backbone RMSD, $R_g$, SASA, and CCS were analyzed in detail. The distribution of these values was reported in Fig. 3. In particular, the 501Y.V2 variant enlarged the backbone RMSD of the complex (cf. Fig. 3A), in which the corresponding values of WT and 501Y.V2 systems formed averages of 0.31 ± 0.03 and 0.38 ± 0.05 nm, respectively. The averaged $R_g$ of two complexes are almost similar with amounts of 5.13 ± 0.02 (WT) and 5.12 ± 0.03 (501Y.V2), but the 501Y.V2 $R_g$ is more diffusion than WT one (Fig. 3B). The mean CCS of WT and 501Y.V2 systems are 134.47 ± 0.80 and 134.37 ± 1.64 nm$^2$, respectively. Although the average value of CCS is not different, the form of CCS curve was significantly altered as well as RMSD curve (cf. Fig. 3C). Moreover, it is consistent with the larger RMSD of 501Y.V2 were observed, the mean of total SASA is of 1400 ± 20 (501Y.V2) nm$^2$ (Fig. 3D). The observations suggested that the complex structure of 501Y.V2 S protein + FAb was significantly altered in comparison with the WT system.

The $C_\alpha$ atoms root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) of the complexes were investigated over equilibrium MD domains. The results are shown in Fig. 4, in which the fluctuation of S protein was averaged over three chains. Two chains of FAb are asymmetric to each other, so the $C_\alpha$ RMSF results were presented to all chains. The $C_\alpha$ RMSF of S protein can roughly arrange into two domains corresponding to S1 and S2 domains. As shown in Fig. 4, $C_\alpha$ RMSF of S1 domain is significantly larger than that of S2 domain. Moreover, the 501Y.V2 S protein is more flexible than WT system since forming a larger $C_\alpha$ RMSF along with the sequences. Especially, the RBD region of the South African variant much changed under the effects of three substitutions. In particular, residue K417 adopted a $C_\alpha$ RMSF of 0.22 ± 0.02 nm, which is significantly smaller.
than that of residue K417N (0.33 ± 0.08 nm). While the residue E484 fluctuates with a Cα RMSF of 0.28 ± 0.04 nm, the residue E484K changes with a Cα RMSF of 0.55 ± 0.06 nm. In similar, N501Y formed a larger Cα RMSF (0.43 ± 0.10 nm) compared with N501 (0.25 ± 0.04 nm). Furthermore, the fluctuation of 501Y.V2 S protein also turns the structure of FAb to becomes more flexible with the mean Cα RMSF of 0.39 ± 0.08 nm in comparison with the mean WT Cα RMSF of 0.26 ± 0.06 nm.

The stable conformation of the complexes can be probed using two-dimensional FEL analysis, which was constructed using “gmx sham” tool (Papaleo et al., 2009; Mu et al., 2005) with two coordinates were first and second eigenvectors. Complexes eigenvectors were calculated using the principal component analysis (PCA) method (Papaleo et al., 2009; Mu et al., 2005). The PCA method was used to generate 2D FEL in order to probe the conformational alterations of S protein + FAb complexes. The obtained FEL were displayed in Fig. 5. Although the minima amounts are different with RBD + NAb complexes (Ngo et al., 2021), the general picture is almost similar that the 501Y.v2 variant still increases the number of FEL minima of S protein + FAb complex. It probably implies that the 501Y.V2 complex is less stable than the WT one. This is in good agreement with a comparison of the backbone RMSD distribution of WT and 501Y.V2 systems above (cf. Fig. 3). Therefore, it may argue that the binding affinity of FAb to S protein probably decreases when the 501Y.V2 variant was induced.

FEL of S protein + FAb formed more minima when 501Y.V2 variant was induced. In particular, FEL of WT S protein + FAb formed three minima denoted as WT1, WT2, and WT3 in Fig. 5, which is located at (CV1; CV2) coordinates of (−12.81; 0.63), (8.31; −10.63), and (14.81; 10.00), respectively. The populations of three minima were of 50%,
25%, and 25% corresponding to minima WT1, WT2, and WT3 (cf. Table 1), respectively. Besides, FEL of 501Y.V2 S protein + FAB adopted seven minima noted as MT1, MT2, MT3, MT4, MT5, MT6, and MT7, which is located at (CV1; CV2) coordinates at (-11.19; 14.38), (-17.69; 18.13), (-3.06; -1.88), (21.31; -4.38), (31.06; 1.25), (-14.44; -8.13), and (-3.88; -16.25), respectively. The populations of seven minima were mentioned in Table 1, in which the minima MT3 formed the largest populations and the minima MT6 adopted the smallest populations.

The representative structures of reported minima were then obtained by using the clustering method with a non-hydrogen cut-off of 0.2 nm (Fig. S14 of the SI file) (Papaleo et al., 2009; Ngo et al., 2020). Moreover, the structural information as (Fig. S13 of the SI files). In particular, the obtained rupture force curve is in good consistent with the previous works (Ngo et al., 2021; Pham et al., 2020), in which the pulling force is linearly increased to the maximum value, $FS_{\text{max}}$, then rapidly decreased to zero. The average of $F_{\text{Max}}$ and $W$ was then calculated upon the population of the representative structures. The WT system adopted a mean value of $F_{\text{Max}} = 1102.9 \pm 27.6$ pN and $W = 149.1 \pm 4.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is significantly larger than that of the 501Y.V2 system forming a value of $F_{\text{Max}} = 1038.7 \pm 31.0$ pN an $W = 134.6 \pm 5.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. The obtained results imply that FAB formed a poorer binding affinity to 501Y.V2 S protein in good agreement with the recent experiments (Weisblum et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021).

### Table 1

Detailed information of representative structures of WT/501Y.V2 S protein + FAB and SMD results.

| No. | System | Population | $R_g$ | CCS | SASA | $F_{\text{Max}}$ | $W$ |
|-----|--------|------------|-------|-----|------|-----------------|-----|
| 1   | WT1    | 50         | 5.12  | 134.86 | 1410 | 1145.1 ± 27.3 | 150.6 ± 4.9 |
| 2   | WT2    | 25         | 5.12  | 134.24 | 1416 | 947.4 ± 16.3  | 129.7 ± 4.1 |
| 3   | WT3    | 25         | 5.16  | 134.31 | 1397 | 1174.1 ± 39.8 | 165.7 ± 5.6 |
| 4   | MT1    | 24         | 5.10  | 132.85 | 1373 | 1006.6 ± 37.6 | 142.1 ± 5.3 |
| 5   | MT2    | 14         | 5.07  | 132.54 | 1372 | 1049.0 ± 32.3 | 131.2 ± 5.3 |
| 6   | MT3    | 26         | 5.14  | 133.73 | 1424 | 1064.5 ± 29.7 | 131.6 ± 5.2 |
| 7   | MT4    | 9          | 5.15  | 136.63 | 1413 | 1056.4 ± 56.9 | 137.0 ± 5.8 |
| 8   | MT5    | 16         | 5.17  | 136.79 | 1423 | 1048.8 ± 15.1 | 139.8 ± 3.6 |
| 9   | MT6    | 4          | 5.11  | 133.82 | 1394 | 799.4 ± 26.9  | 94.8 ± 4.3  |
| 10  | MT7    | 7          | 5.12  | 133.10 | 1398 | 1123.2 ± 16.4 | 134.5 ± 5.9 |

$^*$ The computed error is the standard error of the mean.

In order to probe the binding affinity between two biomolecules, numerous approaches were developed such as perturbation free energy (Zwanzig, 1954), thermodynamics integration (Kirkwood, 1935; Leoni et al., 2013), molecular mechanics/Poisson-Boltzmann surface area (Srinivasan et al., 1998; Kollman et al., 2000), umbrella sampling (US) (Ngo et al., 2021), a combination of perturbation simulations and US (Siebenmorgen and Zacharias, 2019), and enhanced sampling implementation of perturbation simulations (Ngo et al., 2020). However, unfortunately, the S protein + FAB complex is a large system comprising more than a half-million atoms, it would be thus required huge computing resources if these approaches were applied. In that context, SMD simulations emerge as a potential approach to complete task force since requiring a small among of computing resources (Ngo et al., 2021; Pham et al., 2020).

The antibody FAB was dissociated from the S protein using SMD simulations according to the recent work (Ngo et al., 2021). The binding affinity of FAB to S protein was thus probed with an assumption that a stronger binder correlates to a larger rupture force or pulling work system. The representative conformations of S protein + FAB provided by MD simulations were used as initial structures for SMD investigations. Because the complexes were reinserted to the new water box, these systems were first optimized using energy minimization and then relaxed using short NVT and NPT simulations. The protein coordinates were positionally retrained during these simulations. FAB was forced to mobilize from bound to unbound states via 2.5 ns of SMD simulations (cf. Figs. S4–S13 of the SI file). The obtained rupture force and pulling work were shown in Table 1. In particular, the form of pulling force curve is in good consistent with the previous works (Ngo et al., 2021; Pham et al., 2020; Tam, Nam, et al., 2021; Tam, Pham, et al., 2021), in which the pulling force is linearly increased to the maximum value, $FS_{\text{max}}$, then rapidly decreased to zero. The average of $F_{\text{Max}}$ and $W$ was then calculated upon the population of the representative structures. The WT system adopted a mean value of $F_{\text{Max}} = 1102.9 \pm 27.6$ pN and $W = 149.1 \pm 4.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is significantly larger than that of the 501Y.V2 system forming a value of $F_{\text{Max}} = 1038.7 \pm 31.0$ pN an $W = 134.6 \pm 5.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. The obtained results imply that FAB formed a poorer binding affinity to 501Y.V2 S protein in good agreement with the recent experiments (Weisblum et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021).

### 4. Conclusions

The structural conformations of the WT and 501Y.V2 S protein + FAB complexes were probed using atomistic MD simulations. The 501Y.V2 S protein + FAB structure is more flexible than the WT system. In particular, backbone RMSD of the 501Y.V2 complex was significantly larger than that of the WT implying a large structural change of the mutation system. The mean of other metrics as well as $R_g$, CCS, and SASA are almost the same when compared two complexes, but the distribution of these values are clearly different. Moreover, the structural changes are clearly reflected in the FEL analysis, in which the number of FEL minima of the 501Y.V2 complex is much larger than that of the WT system. The population of the minima was also changed under the effects of the mutations. Furthermore, the binding affinity of FAB to S protein is then revealed using SMD simulations, which is starting from the representative structure of the complexes. The 501Y.V2 S protein was found to be formed a smaller binding affinity to FAB compared with the WT one that is in good agreement with the recent observations (Weisblum et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). In addition, it may argue that the SMD simulations would be a potential approach to reveal the binding process between two proteins.
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