Gametocidal Factor Transferred from *Aegilops geniculata* Roth Can Be Adapted for Large-Scale Chromosome Manipulations in Cereals
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Segregation distorters are curious, evolutionarily selfish genetic elements, which distort Mendelian segregation in their favor at the expense of others. Those agents include gametocidal factors (Gc), which ensure their preferential transmission by triggering damages in cells lacking them via chromosome break induction. Hence, we hypothesized that the gametocidal system can be adapted for chromosome manipulations between *Triticum* and *Secale* chromosomes in hexaploid triticale (*×Triticosecale* Wittmack). In this work we studied the little-known gametocidal action of a Gc factor located on *Aegilops geniculata* Roth chromosome 4M. Our results indicate that the initiation of the gametocidal action takes place at anaphase II of meiosis of pollen mother cells. Hence, we induced androgenesis at postmeiotic pollen divisions (via anther cultures) in monosomic 4M addition plants of hexaploid triticale (AABBRR) followed by production of doubled haploids, to maintain the chromosome aberrations caused by the gametocidal action. This approach enabled us to obtain a large number of plants with two copies of particular chromosome translocations, which were identified by the use of cytomolecular methods. We obtained 41 doubled haploid triticale lines and 17 of them carried chromosome aberrations that included plants with the following chromosome sets: 40T+Dt2RS+Dt2RL (5 lines), 40T+N2R (1), 38T+D4RS.4BL (3), 38T+D5BS-5BL.5RL (5), and 38T+D7RS.3AL (3). The results show that the application of the Gc mechanism in combination with production of doubled haploid lines provides a sufficiently large population of homozygous doubled haploid individuals with two identical copies of translocation chromosomes. In our opinion, this approach will be a valuable tool for the production of novel plant material, which could be used for gene tracking studies, genetic mapping, and finally to enhance the diversity of cereals.
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INTRODUCTION

Chromosomal rearrangements play a key role in the evolution of living organisms. Such changes affect the biology of organisms and can result in development of new phenotypes or can even lead to speciation (Levin, 2004). Biological processes, such as mechanisms of variation and selection, are widely used in science for this purpose. In this vein, chromosomal rearrangements are induced to improve the genetic variability of crops, including cereals. An acceleration of the breeding process can be achieved using chromosome manipulations. This could be induced in several ways, i.e., modification of the chromosome pairing mechanism (Ph locus) (Riley and Chapman, 1958; Griffiths et al., 2006), irradiation (Sears, 1981), somaclonal variation (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981), or use of gametocidal factors (Endo et al., 1988; Endo, 2007, 2015), followed by the respective crossing program.

Gametocidal (Gc) factors belong to the group of segregation distorters, which are involved in speciation by triggering reproductive isolation. Segregation distortion is described as a phenomenon where one of the alleles at a heterozygous locus is transmitted at a higher frequency than the expected Mendelian ratio (Sandler and Novitski, 1957; Sandler et al., 1959). Segregation distorters have been found in many animals, plants and fungi (Burt and Trivers, 1998). Many different types of segregation distorters have been reported in plants, e.g., a pollen killer in Nicotiana (Cameron and Moav, 1957), gamete eliminator in Solanum lycopersicum (Rick, 1966), Ki allele in Triticum (Nyquist, 1962), gametocidal factor in Aegilops (Endo and Tsunewaki, 1975), egg killer in Oryza (Ikehashi and Araki, 1986), Sd-1 segregation distorter in Agropyron (Dvorák and Appels, 1986), B-chromosomes in many animal, fungi, and plant species (Jones, 1991), chromosomal knobs in Zea mays (Kanizay et al., 2013), and female meiotic drive in Mimulus (Finseth et al., 2015).

Gc factors are selfish genetic elements that ensure their preferential transmission by inducing chromosome breaks in gametophytes lacking them (Nasuda et al., 1998). Some Gc factors have been reported and assigned to particular chromosomes within the Aegilops genus: Aegilops caudata L. and Ae. triuncialis L. (chromosome 3C), Ae. cylindrica Host (2C), Ae. speltoides Tausch (2S and 6S), Ae. longissima Schweinf. & Muschl. and Ae. sharonensis Eig. (2S and 4S), and Ae. geniculata Roth (4M) (Endo, 2007). Gc-carrying chromosomes have been incorporated from Aegilops species into bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) during the production of chromosome addition lines and allosome lines (Endo, 1990). Certain Aegilops chromosomes were not removed during backcrossing and led to a conclusion that there is a gametocidal action of sporophytes carrying Aegilops chromosomes, which consist in inducing chromosome breaks in gametes lacking the Gc chromosome (Endo and Tsunewaki, 1975; Maan, 1975). The gametes are non-functional if the breakage is severe, but in certain situations the chromosome aberrations—including deletions, translocations, ring, and telocentric chromosomes—are not sufficient to kill the gamete, hence it may still function and be transmitted to the offspring (Tsujimoto and Tsunewaki, 1985). This phenomenon was applied to produce deletion stocks of common wheat (Endo and Gill, 1996). Moreover, a Gc system has been established in wheat-barley addition lines to produce barley dissection lines of wheat, bearing dissected barley chromosomes (Shi and Endo, 1997, 1999, 2000). This approach made it possible to construct cytological chromosome maps or to localize genes, DNA markers or chromosome breakpoints (Nasuda et al., 2005b; Sakata et al., 2010; Ishihara et al., 2014).

Induction of new genetic variability is crucial for cereal breeding, especially for bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L., 2n = 6x = 42, AABBDD). Species that are close relatives of wheat, such as rye (Secale cereale L., 2n = 2x = 14, RR), offer vast germplasm pools for various agronomic and quality traits, such as resistance or tolerance to pests, diseases, and adverse environmental conditions. Wheat-rye hybrids are widely used to study genetic mechanisms of wheat, such as homeologous chromosome pairing (Lukaszewski and Kopecky, 2010; Martin et al., 2014). Furthermore, wheat/rye chromosome translocations are interesting, considering their association with novel phenotypes or their usefulness in genetic mapping (Bhat et al., 2007). Those efforts succeeded by achieving wheat-rye chromosomal translocations and substitutions, which were commercialized with a great success. Moreover, a fusion of wheat and rye genomes allowed breeders to obtain an artificial cereal called triticale (× Triticosecale Wittmack), which after many efforts is competing with wheat as a commercial cereal. Triticale is an important source of germplasm for wheat improvement, providing a platform to transfer valuable rye traits to wheat.

One of the crucial issues for crop improvement is an acceleration of breeding progress. Doubled haploid (DH) breeding is the most productive way to incorporate new genes or loci for crop improvement. Plant breeders seek to bypass sexual reproduction, to produce DH individuals derived from the chromosome-doubled cells of the haploid gametophyte (Kelliler et al., 2017). The key role of DH production is a fixation of recombinant haploid genomes in inbred lines (Chang and Coe, 2009). The big advantage of DHs in breeding lines is the shorter time needed between the initial cross and large-scale testing of developed lines. This approach allows us to provide large amounts of seed material for replicated field trials. What is more, the crucial attribute of DHs is that they are perfectly homozygous lines. DH lines can be produced in several ways, applying distant hybridization, androgenesis, or gynogenesis (Forster et al., 2007). In triticale, generally, androgenesis is induced, using anther cultures (Ślusarkiewicz-Jarzina and Ponitka, 2003; Oleszcuk et al., 2011). This technique, in most cases, produces reasonable yields of haploids and DHs and is routinely used in triticale breeding.

Here we investigate the gametocidal action of the 4M8 chromosome (derived from Aegilops geniculata Roth) during the meiosis of pollen mother cells (PMCs) of monosomic 4M8- addition triticale (M4M8A) plants. Furthermore, we study the variability of chromosome aberrations that appeared between the A- or B-genome (Triticum) and the R-genome (Secale) chromosomes, induced by the gametocidal factor and maintained by the production of DH triticale lines.
METHODS

Plant Material and Culture Conditions

Seeds of monosomic addition hexaploid triticale plants, carrying a 4M<sup>g</sup> chromosome from <i>Aegilops geniculata</i> (Kwiatek et al., 2016c), were germinated on wet filter paper in the dark at room temperature for 4 days. Thereafter the plantlets were transferred to soil and cultivated for 6–8 weeks under short-day conditions (8 h light/16 h dark, 20°/18°C). Finally, the plants were transferred for 6 weeks to vernalizing conditions (10 h light/14 h dark, 4°C) and then returned to long-day conditions (13 h light/11 h dark, 20°/16°C) to induce flowering.

Chromosome Preparation

Mitotic metaphase accumulation and fixation procedures were carried out according to Kwiatek et al. (2016a). Enzymatic digestion was made in 0.2% (v/v) Onozuka R-10 and Calbiochem cytohelicases (1:1 ratio) and 20% pectinase (Sigma) in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 4.6) at 37°C for 2 h and 40 min and stopped by washing twice, for 10 min each, in citrate buffer. Chromosome preparations were made according to the protocol reported by Heckmann et al. (2014) with a minor modification. Root tips were placed in depression slides in ice-cold 60% acetic acid. Apical meristems were separated from the rest of root tips by using needles and were placed on slides in a drop of ice-cold 60% acetic acid. Leaf fragments were removed from the hot plate and 200 µl of ice-cold 60% acetic acid (3:1, v/v) was added to wash the slides briefly. Then the slides were placed in 60% acetic acid for 10 min, followed by washing in 96% ethanol and air dried.

Meiotic chromosome spreads of macerated anthers were prepared from flower buds fixed with ethanol-acetic acid (3:1, v/v) according to Zwierzynkowski et al. (2008) with minor modifications. Anthers were placed onto a slide in a drop of ice-cold 60% acetic acid and dispersed with a metal needle. The slides were heated on a heating table (Medax) for 2 min at 48°C. Afterwards, the slides were removed from the hot plate and 200 µl of ice-cold ethanol-acetic acid (3:1, v/v) was added to wash the slides briefly. Then the slides were placed in 60% acetic acid for 10 min, followed by washing in 96% ethanol and air dried.

Meiotic chromosome spreads of macerated anthers were prepared from flower buds fixed with ethanol-acetic acid (3:1, v/v) according to Zwierzynkowski et al. (2008) with minor modifications. Anthers were placed onto a slide in a drop of ice-cold 60% acetic acid and dispersed with a metal needle. The slides were heated on a heating table (Medax) for 2 min at 48°C. Afterwards, the slides were removed from the hot plate and 200 µl of ice-cold ethanol-acetic acid (3:1, v/v) was added to wash the slides briefly. Then the slides were placed in 60% acetic acid for 10 min, followed by washing in 96% ethanol and air dried.

Lagging Chromosomes

The mean numbers of lagging chromosomes (divided into <i>Secale</i> and <i>Triticum</i> genomes) at anaphase II of PMCs of four monosomic 4M<sup>g</sup> addition plants of triticale were calculated considering 50 cells of each plant and compared between cells carrying/lacking the 4M<sup>g</sup> chromosome, by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test at P = 0.05 and P = 0.01 significance levels (STATISTICA 13.1, StatSoft Poland).

Probe Preparation and Fluorescence In situ Hybridization

Total genomic DNA was isolated using DNeasy Plant Maxi Kit 24 (Qiagen). DNA of <i>Aegilops comosa</i> Sm. (PI 551020, U.S. National Plant Germplasm System), a progenitor of the M-genome of <i>Ae. geniculata</i>, was labeled by nick translation with Atto-488 dye (Atto-488NT kit; Jena Bioscience) for investigation of 4M<sup>g</sup> chromosome behavior during meiotic divisions of PMCs of M4M<sup>A</sup> triticale. Total genomic DNA of rye (Imperial) was labeled in the same way by Atto-550 dye. Blocking DNA from <i>T. durum</i> (AAB, Duromax) or triticale (AABBRR, Moreno) was sheared by boiling for 30–45 min and used at a ratio of 1:50 (probe-block). Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) or multicolor GISH was performed according to previously published protocols (Kwiatk et al., 2016a,c). Mitotic chromosomes were identified using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with the repetitive sequences from pTa-86, pTa-103, and pTa-535 clones as well as GAA microsatellite characterized by Komuro et al. (2013), amplified from genomic DNA of wheat (Chinese Spring) according to Kwiatk et al. (2016b), and labeled by nick translation kits (Jena Bioscience) using Atto-488, Atto-550, and Atto-647 dyes. FISH was performed according to Kwiatk et al. (2016b). Slides were examined with an Olympus XM10 CCD camera attached to an Olympus BX 61 automatic epifluorescence microscope. Image processing was carried out using Olympus Cell-F (version 3.1; Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions GmbH: Münster, Germany) imaging software and PaintShop Pro X5 software (version 15.0.0.183; Corel Corporation, Ottawa, Canada). Particular chromosomes were identified by comparing the signal patterns of the probes (Komuro et al., 2013; Kwiatk et al., 2013, 2016b).

Anther Cultures and DH Production

Tillers from M4M<sup>A</sup> triticale plants were cut at the microspore stage and treated in mineral salt medium N6 (Chu, 1975) supplied with 2,4-D (2.0 mg/l) in the dark at 4°C for 6–9 days. Anthers were cultured on the C17 medium (Wang and Chen, 1983) with 2,4-D (2.0 mg/l), kinetin (KIN, 0.5 mg/l), and maltose (90 g/l) in darkness at 28°C. Androgenic structures were placed in regeneration medium 190-2 (Zhuang and Xu, 1983) at 22°C in continuous light for 12 h per day. Ploidy levels of the androgenic and regenerated plants were evaluated by fluorescence (DAPI) using a Partec II (Sysmex Partec GmbH, Germany) flow cytometer (de Laat et al., 1987). Leaf fragments were chopped with a sharp razor blade in a Petri dish with 2 ml of the nuclei-isolation buffer [0.1 M Tris, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 6H<sub>2</sub>O, 85 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100] containing DAPI and the cell suspension was filtered through a 30-µm filter. Plants containing 1C DNA were identified as haploids, while those with 2C DNA, as diploids. Chromosome doubling was conducted using 0.1% colchicine solution, with DMSO (4%) and GA3 supplement (25 mg/l). Finally, the plants were placed in a growth chamber at 4°C for 6 weeks and then located in the greenhouse until harvest.

Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) Marker Analysis

Genomic DNA of 10 plants of each DH line was isolated using Plant DNA Purification Kit (EurX Ltd., Poland). DNA quality and quantity were determined using 1% agarose gel and a spectrophotometer. DNA concentration was adjusted to
50 ng/µL. Sequences of 30 wheat-specific SSR markers were amplified using specific primers (GrainGenes 2.0, Table 5) via polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR reaction volume was 20 µL, consisting of 150 nM each of the 2 primers, 0.2 mM each of the nucleotides, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 units of Taq-DNA hot-start polymerase (Xceed, Biotech-Poland), and 50 ng of genomic DNA as a template. A typical PCR procedure was as follows: 5 min at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 50–60°C (depending on the primer), 1 min at 72°C, and 5 min at 72°C. Low-copy and high-quality SSR markers with relatively high polymorphic information content were selected based on data provided by the GrainGenes database (GrainGenes2.0 2016). PCR products were run on 3% agarose gel (Sigma) with 1% TBE buffer. DNA was visualized via Midori Green Direct (Nippon Genetics Europe) added to the samples.

FIGURE 1 | Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) with genomic DNA of Aegilops comosa (MM) labeled with Atto647 (green) identifies chromosome 4Mg in a monosomic addition plant of triticale (× Triticosecale) (a) at metaphase of mitosis in the root apical meristem; (b) at prophase I (pachytene) of meiosis; (c) metaphase I of meiosis; (d) anaphase/telophase I of meiosis; (e) anaphase/telophase II of meiosis; (f,g) telophase/pollen tetrad stage of meiosis of pollen mother cells. Arrows show 4Mg chromatin/chromosome. The inset in (a) shows chromosome 4Mg identified using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with pTa-86 and pTa-535 probes. Scale bar: 10 µm.
RESULTS

Meiotic Analysis of Monosomic 4M\textsuperscript{g} Addition Hexaploid Triticale Plants

Four monosomic addition hexaploid triticale plants carrying a 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome from Aegilops geniculata (M4M\textsuperscript{A}) (Figure 1a) were selected from the offspring of F\textsubscript{2}BC\textsubscript{1}× Ae. geniculata hybrids, which were previously generated by Kwiatek et al. (2016c). A GISH analysis of meiosis of PMCs showed that chromosome 4M\textsuperscript{g} was present as a univalent at prophase I (Figure 1b) and metaphase I of meiosis (Figure 1c). M4M\textsuperscript{A} plants had no meiotic irregularities and chromosomes paired in the form of 21 bivalents plus a 4M\textsuperscript{g} univalent (Figure 1c) or as 20 bivalents plus three univalents, including a 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome (Table 1). Chromosome 4M\textsuperscript{g} was transmitted into one of two daughter cells formed after the first cell division (Meiosis I: Figure 1d). Furthermore, sister chromatids of this chromosome were separated to opposite cell poles during the second cell division. During anaphase II we observed 1-3 lagging chromosomes of triticale (Figure 1e). The ANOVA showed no significant differences between the four M4M\textsuperscript{A} plants. The mean number of lagging chromosomes was 0.11 in the daughter cells carrying the 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome, and 0.76 in the daughter cells lacking 4M\textsuperscript{g}. Interestingly, the Tukey’s highest significant difference test revealed that the frequency of lagging R-genome chromosomes was significantly higher than the frequency of A- or B- genome chromosomes in each plant (Table 2). Additionally, micronuclei were observed in 3.85% of pollen cells carrying the 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome (Figures 1f,g). Finally, the mean pollen viability of M4M\textsuperscript{A} plants was 35.9% and ranged between 29.3 and 48.0%.

Production of DH Lines

A total of 4,313 anthers collected from four M4M\textsuperscript{A} plants were used to produce 4,373 androgenic structures. The highest number of androgenic structures (2,538) was obtained from a plant M4M\textsuperscript{A} with 40 chromosomes, including a pair of chromosomes 4B and 4R, which carried a pair of translocation chromosomes built out of a short arm of 3B and a long arm of 7R. From plant M4M\textsuperscript{A} we obtained one DH line, where the plants had no complete 4M chromosomes but chromosome 4M was transmitted into one of two daughter cells formed after the first cell division (Meiosis I: Figure 1d). All chromosomes 5B and 5R were damaged by the gametocidal action and remodeled, as a consequence. From plant M4M\textsuperscript{A} we obtained three DH lines containing plants with 40 chromosomes, including a pair of chromosomes 5B with a translocation of a segment of the long arm from chromosome 3R (38T+D5S5BL5RL). Moreover, complete 5B and 5R chromosomes were absent in those plants (Figure 4B). All chromosomes 5B and 5R were damaged by the gametocidal action and remodeled, as a consequence. From plant M4M\textsuperscript{A} we obtained three DH lines containing plants with 38 chromosomes, including a pair of chromosomes containing a short arm of chromosome 3A and a long arm of chromosome 7R (3AS.7RL) and no complete 3A and 7R chromosomes (38T+D3AS.7RL, Figure 4C).

PCR Analysis of Chromosome Breakpoint Loci

We used 30 wheat-specific SSR markers to screen the breakpoint loci of rearranged chromosomes in 10 plants of each of the DH translocation lines (Table 5). Markers were chosen to cover

---

**TABLE 1** Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) analysis of specificity of chromosome pairing (in bivalents) in four monosomic addition hexaploid plants of triticale (× Triticosecale) carrying a 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome from Aegilops geniculata (M4M\textsuperscript{A}).

| Cell no | No. of cells | Mean no. of univalents per cell | Mean no. of bivalents per cell |
|---------|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|         |              | Total | Ae. geniculata | Triticale | Total | Ring | Rod |
| M4M\textsuperscript{A} \_1 | 30          | 1.27  | 1.00          | 0.21       | 20.87 | 12.43 | 8.43 |
| M4M\textsuperscript{A} \_2 | 30          | 1.20  | 1.00          | 0.20       | 20.90 | 12.60 | 8.30 |
| M4M\textsuperscript{A} \_3 | 30          | 1.13  | 1.00          | 0.13       | 20.93 | 12.77 | 8.17 |
| M4M\textsuperscript{A} \_4 | 30          | 1.13  | 1.00          | 0.13       | 20.93 | 12.70 | 8.23 |

---

The efficiency of androgenesis and numbers of spikes and seeds of DH lines are presented in Tables 3, 4, respectively.
The induced chromosome breakage occurred after
The 4M
Kwiatek et al. Induction of Chromosome Rearrangements in Triticale addition plants of triticale (× Triticosecale) studied in 50 cells per plant.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\text{Mean (range)} & 0.1(0-3) & 0.16(0-3) & 0.26(0-3) & 1.02(0-3) & 0.08(0-3) & 1.03(0-3) & 0.09(0-3) & 0.11(0-3) & 0.14(0-3) & 0.14(0-3) \\
\text{Variance} & 0.091837 & 0.075102 & 0.137143 & 0.098367 & 0.122867 & 0.137143 & 0.122867 & 0.137143 & 0.137143 & 0.137143 \\
\text{Std. Dev.} & 0.303046 & 0.274048 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 & 0.370328 \\
\text{Std. Err.} & 0.042857 & 0.038756 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 & 0.052372 \\
\text{HSD} & 0.05 & 0.29 & 0.27 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.29 \\
\text{P} & <0.05 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 & <0.01 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 \text{ vs } 2 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
2 \text{ vs } 3 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
3 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 \text{ vs } 2 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
2 \text{ vs } 3 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
3 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 \text{ vs } 3 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
2 \text{ vs } 3 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
3 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
2 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
3 \text{ vs } 4 & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} & \text{nonsignificant} \\
\end{array}
\]

DISCUSSION

Until now, Aegilops-specific Gc factors were identified in S-genome, C-genome, and M-genome species (Endo, 2007). All of the already identified Gc factors are located on homoeologous groups 2, 3, 4, and 6 chromosomes of Aegilops species (Endo, 2007). Previous studies showed that Gc genes, like other pollen killer factors, ensure their preferential transmission by inducing chromosome breakage in gametophytes lacking them (Nasuda et al., 2005a). The induced chromosome breakage occurred after the meiotic divisions of PMCs and took place in the interphase before the first pollen mitosis (Nasuda et al., 1998). The 4M\textsuperscript{g} gametocidal chromosome action is the least studied. Hence, in this study we used monosomic addition plants of hexaploid triticale (AABBRR) carrying the 4M\textsuperscript{g} chromosome from Ae. geniculata to induce the chromosome rearrangements between the A- or B-genome (Triticum) and the R-genome (Secale) chromosomes of triticale. Kynast et al. (2000) first reported that a Gc factor is present on group 4 chromosomes of the M-genome of Ae. geniculata, which was analyzed using wheat (Chinese Spring) monosomic 4M\textsuperscript{g} addition plants. Our research team has presented its preferential transmission and gametocidal properties in triticale (Kwiatek et al., 2016c). An investigation of monosomic Triticum aestivum-Ae. geniculata chromosome 4M\textsuperscript{g} addition plants revealed that male gametogenesis in disomic 4M\textsuperscript{g} addition plants is normal (Kynast et al., 2000). However, chromosome breakage and anaphase bridges were

both short and long arms of the A- or B-genome (Triticum) chromosomes involved in translocation with R-genome (Secale) chromosome segments. Three SSR markers linked to the 4BS chromosome arm showed its presence (Figure 4A). The amplification of marker Xbarc60 resulted in two products that allowed us to differentiate between 4BS and 4RS chromosome arms. For mapping of chromosome 3A we have chosen 10 SSR markers (five markers for the short arm and five for the long one). Two or more products of amplification were observed in case of three markers (Xbarc45-3AS, Xgwm5-3AS, and Xwmc428-3AL). Besides, the amplification products of nine markers (except Xwmc532-3AS) were different when comparing control varieties of wheat (Chinese Spring) and rye (Imperial) with triticale (Moreno). In order to identify 5B chromosome segments, we used 11 markers linked to both short and long arms of this chromosome (Figure 4B). The SSR analysis showed that four markers linked to the short arm, while two markers connected with the long arm (Xcfd2-5BL and Xwmc537-5BL, Figures 4D,E, respectively) were identified in 38T+D5BS-5BL.5RL, indicating that the 5B chromosome segment includes the centromere. Additional SSR analysis with Xgwm271-5RL (Figure 4F) and Xgwm6-5RL markers showed that the 5RL.5BL breakpoint locus is placed between Xcfd2-5BL and Xgwm271-5RL loci. A similar approach was used to map 3A chromosome segments in 38T+D3AS.7RL DH translocation plants. All five markers proved the presence of the short arm of the 3A chromosome in the 38T+D3AS.7RL plants, while markers linked to the 3AL chromosome indicated a lack of this arm (Figure 4C).
observed at anaphase and/or telophase of the first and second pollen mitosis. In the present study, the investigation of the first (MI) and second (MII) meiotic divisions of PMC of triticale monosomic 4M<sup>g</sup> addition plants shows the regular transmission of the 4M<sup>g</sup> univalent to one of two daughter cells (in MI), giving rise to four haploid cells, and half of them carried a 4M<sup>g</sup> chromosome (MII). In contrast to previous studies, we have observed lagging chromosomes at anaphase II of PMCs. Intriguingly, the number and frequency of those chromosomes were significantly higher in the cells lacking a 4M<sup>g</sup> chromosome, in comparison to cells containing this gametocidal chromosome. This may indicate that the gametocidal action is initiated right at the second meiotic division of daughter cells lacking the Gc chromosome. It is possible that the Gc factor induced a higher number and frequency of chromosome lagging, as well. Such developments can have multiple fates. Lagged chromosomes can be trapped in the cytokinetic furrow and break during cytokinesis. Alternatively, they can form their own micronucleus, which is either accurately segregated or mis-segregated (Santaguida and Amon, 2015). Irrespective of how

---

**TABLE 3** Effectiveness of androgenesis induction and doubled haploid production from four monosomic 4M<sup>g</sup> addition plants of triticale (*× Triticosecale*).

| Plant     | Number of anthers | Androgenic structures | Green plants | Plants for colchicine treatment | Haploids | Doubled haploids | Aneuploids |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
|           | Number Per 100 anthers | Number Per 100 anthers | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>1</sub> | 950 | 183 | 19.3 | 42 | 4.4 | 42 | 16 | 38.1 | 26 | 61.9 | 0 | 0 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>2</sub> | 1020 | 1450 | 142.2 | 12 | 1.2 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>3</sub> | 1386 | 2538 | 183.1 | 16 | 1.2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>4</sub> | 957 | 1202 | 125.6 | 7 | 0.7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 |

**TABLE 4** Characterization of doubled haploid (DH) lines obtained by anther cultures from four monosomic 4M<sup>g</sup> addition plants of triticale (*× Triticosecale*).

| Plant     | Total number | Chromosome constitution | Number of lines | % | Number of spikes | Number of seeds | Number of analyzed plants |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>1</sub> | 13 | 40T + Dt2RS + Dt2RL | 5 | 38.5 | 68 | 4827 | 50 |
|           |              | 42T + M4Mg             | 7 | 53.9 | 84 | 5523 | 70 |
|           |              | 40T + N2R              | 1 | 7.6 | 12 | 835 | 10 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>2</sub> | 8 | 38T + D4RS.4BL | 3 | 37.5 | 37 | 2563 | 30 |
|           |              | 42T + D4Mg             | 5 | 62.5 | 61 | 3825 | 50 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>3</sub> | 13 | 38T + D5BS-5BL.5RL | 5 | 38.5 | 67 | 4197 | 50 |
|           |              | 40T + D4Mg             | 8 | 61.5 | 92 | 5846 | 80 |
| M4M<sup>g</sup>A<sub>4</sub> | 7 | 38T + D3AS.7RL | 3 | 42.9 | 41 | 2763 | 30 |
|           |              | 42T + D4Mg             | 4 | 57.1 | 59 | 3751 | 40 |
the broken chromosomes are segregated, their segments can be incorporated into other chromosomes. Moreover, it could be hypothesized that early appearance of gametocidal action could be related to the lack of Gc suppressor gene in triticale (Moreno) or its incomplete form. Friebe et al. (2003) showed that Gc genes encode two factors: one causing chromosome breakage in gametophytes lacking them, and another factor protecting the gametophytes that have the Gc-carrier chromosome. Both the Gc gene and the suppressor are located on chromosomes of the same homeologous group (Tsujimoto, 2005). Moreover, some cultivars of common wheat carry a gene that suppresses the function of the Gc gene, but some others (i.e., “Chinese Spring”) carry an incomplete suppressor, which makes pollen without the Gc chromosome functional and transmitted to the progeny (Tsujimoto, 2005).

We induced the androgenesis of M4MgA triticale using anther cultures for further evaluation of the 4Mg gametocidal action. However, our main goal was to catch the possible chromosomal rearrangements and maintain them by the generation of DHs. The induction of androgenesis usually starts with the production of embryo-like structures via the development of microspores. To achieve this, the normal development of microspores has to be blocked and turned toward sporophytic development. This usually takes place during the first pollen mitosis. Hence, this process led us to obtain haploid plants from both microspores carrying the Gc chromosome (4Mg) and microspores lacking it. The number of DH lines with the doubled 4Mg chromosome was 24 (58.54%), while the number of DH lines without the Gc chromosome, carrying chromosome aberrations, was slightly lower (17; 41.46%). In other words, despite the gametocidal action, which appeared during anaphase II of meiosis in the cells lacking the Gc chromosome,
### Table 5 | Analysis of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers specific to chromosomes involved in intergenomic chromosome translocations in doubled haploid (DH) plants of triticale (*x Triticosecale*).

| No. | SSR marker | Chromosome arm | Primer sequences (5′ → 3′) | Amplification products (bp) |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|     |            |                | Control genotypes           | Triticum aestivum            | Secale cereale               | Triticale Moreno              | 38T+D3AS  | 38T+D4RS  | 38T+D5BS  |
| 1   | Xwmc11     | 3AS            | Forward: TTAGTGATCCTGGTTGTGTTGTGA  | 180                          | 0                          | 185                          | 185       | n/a       | n/a       |
| 2   | Xwmc532    | 3AS            | Reverse: CACCCAGCCGTTATATATGTTGA | 180                          | 0                          | 185                          | 180       | n/a       | n/a       |
| 3   | Xgm369     | 3AS            | Forward: CTGGAGCGCAGCTGATGAGTGA | 190                          | 280                        | 280                          | 200       | n/a       | n/a       |
| 4   | Xbarc45    | 3AS            | Reverse: AGCAAAGGCGCCAGCTGATGAGTGA | 170 + 180                    | 0                          | 190 + 200                     | 190 + 200 | n/a       | n/a       |
| 5   | Xgwm5      | 3AS            | Forward: CAGCACTACCTGGATAACAACCTC | 180 + 190                    | 280                        | 180 + 200 + 290              | 180 + 200 | n/a       | n/a       |
| 6   | Xwmc428    | 3AL            | Reverse: GGACCTTCGTGTTATTTTGTTGA | 260 + 270                    | 280                        | 280 + 300                     | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 7   | Xcfa2193   | 3AL            | Forward: AATACGATGATGTTGTGTTGTGA | 210                          | 0                          | 220                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 8   | Xgwm155    | 3AL            | Reverse: AAACCTGAGAACCTGATGAGTGA | 140                          | 0                          | 150                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 9   | Xwmc594    | 3AL            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 200                          | 0                          | 210                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 10  | Xgwm540    | 3AL            | Reverse: AGCAAAGGCGCCAGCTGATGAGTGA | 170                          | 0                          | 170                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 11  | Xwmc125    | 4BS            | Forward: ATACGACATGATGTTGTGTTGTGA | 225                          | 200                        | 200 + 230                     | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 12  | Xbarc60    | 4BS            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 110                          | 0                          | 100                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 13  | Xgwm251    | 4BS            | Forward: ATACGACATGATGTTGTGTTGTGA | 170                          | 0                          | 170                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 14  | Xgwm262    | 4BS            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 170                          | 0                          | 170                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 15  | Xwmc192    | 4BL            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 190                          | 0                          | 200                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 16  | Xwmc657    | 4BL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 130                          | 0                          | 130                          | 0         | n/a       | n/a       |
| 17  | Xwmc511    | 4BL            | Forward: ATACGACATGATGTTGTGTTGTGA | 220                          | 470                        | 220 + 470                     | 0         | n/a       | 220       |
| 18  | Xbarc21    | 5BS            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 200 + 240                    | 350                        | 200 + 250 + 350              | 0         | n/a       | 200 + 250 |
| 19  | Xgwm234    | 5BS            | Forward: ATACGACATGATGTTGTGTTGTGA | 210                          | 0                          | 210                          | 0         | n/a       | 210       |
| 20  | Xwmc376    | 5BS            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 130                          | 0                          | 140                          | 0         | n/a       | 140       |
| 21  | Xbarc89    | 5BS            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 170                          | 0                          | 170                          | 0         | n/a       | 170       |
| 22  | Xgwm371    | 5BL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 300 + 380                    | 390 + 450  300 + 380 + 390 + 450 | 0         | n/a       | 300 + 380 |
| 23  | Xcfa2       | 5BL            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 190                          | 0                          | 200                          | 0         | n/a       | 0         |
| 24  | Xwmc357    | 5BL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 180                          | 0                          | 185                          | 0         | n/a       | 0         |
| 25  | Xgwm408    | 5BL            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 270                          | 240                        | 230 + 265                     | 0         | n/a       | 230       |
| 26  | Xbarc142   | 5BL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 140                          | 0                          | 135                          | 0         | n/a       | 0         |
| 27  | Xwmc118    | 5BL            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 170                          | 0                          | 175                          | 0         | n/a       | 0         |
| 28  | Xbarc59    | 5BL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 140 + 150                    | 130                        | 130 + 140 + 150              | 0         | n/a       | 140 + 150 |
| 29  | Xgwm205    | 5RS            | Forward: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 150 + 160                    | 170 + 180  150 + 160 + 175 + 190 | 0         | n/a       | 175 + 190 |
| 30  | Xwmc271    | 5RL            | Reverse: TTTGCTACCTTTGGAAAGGAGAC | 150 + 160                    | 170 + 180  150 + 160 + 175 + 190 | 0         | n/a       | 175 + 190 |

n/a = not applicable.
we have obtained 17 of 41 DH lines with chromosome rearrangements. Of course, the induced androgenesis via anther cultures itself can provide cytogenetic abnormalities, including ploidy changes and chromosome rearrangements (Kaepller et al., 2000). Moreover, triticale is a less meiotically stable crop, compared to wheat, and that is manifested by chromosome pairing issues and tends to show a fair proportion of aneuploids among both sexually derived progenies and DH lines (Łukaszewski and Gustafson, 1987; Lelley, 2006). Oleszczuk et al. (2011) karyotyped (not randomly, basing on morphology) 140 DH plants of winter triticale and observed some plants with chromosome abnormalities, such as different chromosome number (nullisomics and tetrasomics), telocentric chromosomes, and translocations. What is more, most of the plants were nullisomics for one or more rye chromosomes, and chromosome 5R was the most frequently involved, followed by 2R. However, those chromosomal abnormalities were not constant, which means that different types of chromosome aberrations were observed, considering individual DH lines. In contrast, our study shows that the karyotypes of 10 plants from each of the 41 DH lines were identical, so apparently the chromosome set was established during meiosis of PMCs by the gametocidal action and has not been changed during androgenesis. Interestingly, chromosomes 2R and 5R were involved in chromosome translocations. Molecular cytology and SSR marker analysis enabled us to confirm the uniformity of particular DH lines in terms of their karyotypes and to identify the breakpoint loci in the translocation forms. In case of 40T+D12RS+D12RL (five lines), 38T+D4RS.4BL (three lines), and 38T+D7RS.3AL (three plants), the breakpoints were located in the centromere. Molecular analysis of 38T+D5BS-5BL.5RL (five lines) plants showed that the chromosome breakage took place between Xcfd2 and Xwmc537 loci on chromosome 5B and the distal part of a long arm of chromosome 5R carrying Xgwm271-5RL and Xgwm66-5RL markers. From the other point of view, the gametocidal action contributed to elimination of triticale chromosome arms (3AS, 3RS, 4RL, 7RL) and segments (distal segment of 5B and 5RS-5RL.del). Intriguingly, some of the reports indicated that chromosome 5R is involved in induction of chromosome abnormalities (Charmet et al., 1986; Oleszczuk et al., 2011). It is also worth underlining that chromosome 5B carries the Ph1 gene locus (5BL), which enforces strictly bivalent pairing in polyploid wheat, and this makes 38T+D5BS-5BL.5RL DH lines a great germplasm for meiotic studies.

In summary, this approach proves that gametocidal action can be applied to induce intergenomic chromosome aberrations in triticale. Besides, the application of this mechanism in combination with anther culture induction, followed by DH line production, revealed a sufficiently large population of homozygous DH individuals with two identical copies of each chromosome, especially translocated ones. This system seems to be fit for breeding programs to produce homozygous forms in terms of particular chromosome rearrangements. Those forms are ideal for genetic mapping and can be used for the development of high-density marker maps. Such translocation forms could have a significant contribution to locating gene control traits for yield, quality, agronomy, and resistance to abiotic and biotic stress factors. Finally, translocation lines of hexaploid triticale, lacking a 5BL chromosome segment carrying the Ph1 gene locus (38T+D5BS-5BL.5RL), could be used for the induction of homeologous recombination, which is a crucial issue in chromosome engineering (Sears, 1981), considering the introduction of alien chromatin fragments with desirable genes or loci from related species directly into triticale.
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