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1. Introduction

Lanthipeptides are a major group of ribosomally synthesized and posttranslationally modified peptides (RiPPs) produced by microorganisms, characterized by intramolecular thioether crosslinks (termed lanthionine and methyllanthionine residues), with many defined biological activities.[1] Genes related to the biosynthesis of lanthipeptides are typically assembled in gene clusters, which encode a precursor peptide (LanA) (comprising a C-terminal core peptide and a N-terminal leader peptide), posttranslational modification (PTM) enzymes, transporters, processing proteases, immunity proteins, and regulatory machinery.[2] During their biosynthesis, the lanthionine and methyllanthionine residues are introduced in a two-step PTM process.[1] In the first step, Ser and Thr residues in the core peptide of LanA are dehydrated by dehydrase. The thioether crosslinks are then subsequently formed via a Michael-type addition by Cys residues onto the dehydroamino acids. The LanA with thioether crosslinks is defined as modified precursor peptide (mLanA) and generally lack biological activities. Furthermore, following protease cleavage the leader peptide in mLanA, the remaining core peptide with thioether crosslinks functions to exert various biological activities and is designated as mature lanthipeptides. Because most lanthipeptides exhibit antimicrobial activity, microbes must express transporters and immunity proteins in their biosynthetic gene cluster to achieve adequate protection.

Lanthipeptides hold much promise for the discovery of novel bioactive compounds. However, although their biosynthetic gene clusters have been identified in the genomes of many microorganisms,[3] a large proportion of these microorganisms are difficult to culture in the laboratory. Hence, the heterologous synthesis of inactive mLanA (e.g., the coexpression of LanA and PTM enzymes) in Escherichia coli and Lactococcus lactis and formation of mature lanthipeptides by protease cleavage in vitro provide an opportunity for lanthipeptides synthesis.[4,5] Furthermore, construction of a mutant lanthipeptides library and performing high-throughput screening is another useful method for discovering novel lanthipeptides. Methods have been developed that allow for the high-throughput screening of new...
bioactive (antiviral) lanthipeptides in vivo, and for ingenious high-throughput screening of antimicrobial lanthipeptides obtained by expression of inactive mLanAs in living cells and then removal of the leader peptide in vitro (including in dead cells). However, the in vivo systems pose unavoidable challenges: intracellular toxicity; frequent formation of inclusion bodies by LanA or mLanA in vivo, which is problematic for subsequent purification; and lack of special tRNA_{Glu} for heterologous dehydroxylase (dehydration in the lanthipeptide PTM process is catalyzed in a tRNA_{Glu}-dependent manner). Specifically, Hudson et al. reported that E. coli tRNA_{Glu} contributes to the lack of dehydroxylase activity in thiomuracin (a type of RiPPs was synthesized by Thermobispora bispora) heterologous biosynthesis.

In addition to discovering novel lanthipeptides, there is an urgent need for increased production of these molecules as they have a wide range of applications in industry and medicine. It has been reported that screening for optimal strains, optimizing culture conditions, and metabolic engineering would serve to improve the production of lanthipeptides. Although the biosynthesis of lanthipeptides involves similar pathways, including LanA synthesis, PTM catalyzation, proteolysis, and export, the rate-limiting steps of biosynthesis are unknown and no clear principle has been defined to increase production in vivo.

Because many lanthipeptides are bactericidal, cell-free protein synthesis (CFPS), which is independent of cell growth, is a promising approach for lanthipeptides research. Cheng et al. used a commercial in vitro rapid translation system kit to express the nisin (class I lanthipeptide) precursor peptide gene (nisA) and PTM genes (nisB and nisC) together to form modified NisA (mNisA). Active nisin was then obtained by commercial protease trypsin treatment. Additional studies on RiPPs synthesis used cell-free systems to transcribe and translate precursor peptide genes, combined with some of the original PTM purified enzymes in the original RiPPs biosynthetic gene cluster and some heterologous isozymes (the original is difficult to purify or may result in isolation of inactive enzymes); one of these studies even employed a chemical reagent (H2O2, to generate dehydroalanines) to synthesize RiPPs in vitro. These studies demonstrate the flexibility and robustness of CFPS; however, they were unable to fully reconstitute the biosynthesis of RiPPs in microbes and thus, are not capable of identifying the rate-limiting steps in vivo for RiPPs overproduction, or developing methods for high-throughput discovery of novel RiPPs in CFPS.

To further explore the methodological advantages of cell-free systems in the research of lanthipeptides, we developed a CFPS platform that solves the current issues in genome mining and screening of novel antimicrobial lanthipeptides. Furthermore, we achieved overproduction of lanthipeptides via the CFPS platform guidance. Nisin was selected for our proof-of-concept experiments as it is the first commercially available lanthipeptide, classified as type I, that has been used as a food preservative worldwide for more than 70 years without the development of bacterial resistance, and has a well-defined catalytic mechanism in its biosynthesis. We developed an Escherichia coli CFPS platform using a simple preparation method to fully reconstruct the natural nisin biosynthetic pathway, and then optimized the ratio of biosynthetic enzymes for higher efficiency. To test the functionality of this optimized CFPS platform, we first performed genome mining on all potential nisin analogs in the NCBI database using our CFPS platform in a single day. We next developed a screening process for the identification of lanthipeptides that are functionally active against gram-negative bacteria and applied it to our CFPS platform to assess the nisin mutant library. Thirdly, we employed one-step metabolic engineering in vivo to overproduce nisin in an industrial host, and nisin analogs in a heterologous expression host; the engineered target was identified using the optimized nisin CFPS platform.

2. Results

2.1. A Cell-Free Protein Synthesis Platform for Lanthipeptide Biosynthesis

Inactive mNisA has been successfully synthesized using a commercial E. coli-based CFPS, and the active nisin was obtained by commercial protease trypsin cleavage of the leader peptide in mNisA. However, because the natural biosynthetic system of nisin is not completely reconstituted in CFPS (lacks original protease NisP), it cannot be employed to identify the rate-limiting step of nisin biosynthesis in vivo, nor can be used to enhance the CFPS efficiency for nisin synthesis by systematic titration of nisin’s components (precursor peptide NisA, PTM enzyme NisB and NisC, and protease NisP), whereas using commercial kits to perform large-scale screening is costly. We previously developed an E. coli-based cell-free system, which comprises E. coli BL21(DE3) cell extracts (lyase protein content 26.5 mg mL−1), essential substrates, salts, and cofactors (e.g., amino acids, zinc, dNTPs, CoA) required for the transcription, translation, and PTM of nisin as previously described in detail, which was proven to lack any remaining living cells (Figure S1, Supporting Information). By comparing this cell-free system with a commercial cell-free synthesis kit, the result (Figure S2, Supporting Information) showed our system was acceptable for subsequent research. Therefore, we constructed the following four plasmids to achieve nisin Z biosynthesis in our CFPS system: pJL1-niz for expression of precursor peptide NisZ; pET28a-nisB and pET28a-nisC for expression of PTM enzymes NisB and NisC, respectively; and pET28a-nisP for expression of protease NisP.

We evaluated the performance of our CFPS platform for nisin biosynthesis. Each plasmid (0.5 nmol L−1) (pJL1-niz, pET28a-nisB, pET28a-nisC, and pET28a-nisP) was added into the CFPS platform and incubated for 6 h (Figure 1A). The 400 µL of reaction mixture was concentrated to 20 µL and the concentrated mixture was then detected by tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS). The results confirmed that fully modified nisin Z was produced in the CFPS mixture (Figure 1B). The concentrated mixture was then used for antibacterial bioassays using the agar diffusion method, which revealed an obvious zone of inhibition on a Micrococcus luteus plate (Figure S3A, Supporting Information). This result confirmed that nisin Z synthesized by the CFPS platform was biologically active.

2.2. Optimization of the Nisin CFPS Platform

Although bioactive nisin was synthesized using the initial CFPS platform, the efficiency was low. Therefore, to enhance the
efficiency of CFPS for synthesizing nisin, we used western blotting to analyze the accumulation of nisin biosynthetic enzymes and identified the rate-limiting step of the initial nisin CFPS platform. We detected a single hybridization band at the expected size of the precursor peptides or modified precursor peptides (Figure S3B, Supporting Information), indicating that the other three enzymes responsible for nisin PTM (NisB and NisC) and leader peptide cleavage (NisP) were poorly expressed. Accordingly, his6-tagged NisB, NisC, and NisPs (truncated NisP) \[^{[17]}\] were overexpressed in E. coli and purified. The rate-limiting step was then investigated by systematically replacing each plasmid with 500 nmol L\(^{-1}\) of the corresponding purified protein. The substitutions of NisB and NisC significantly increased the titer of nisin Z (quantitative unit IU, 40 IU = 1 µg nisin) \[^{[19]}\] whereas the replacement of pET28a-nisP with NisPs had minimal effects on nisin production (Figure S3C, Supporting Information). These results indicate that the dehydration and cyclization of the precursor peptide, controlled by NisB and NisC, serves as the rate-limiting steps in the nisin PTM process. Moreover, the cleavage of the leader peptide did not act as a bottleneck.

We used systematic titration to study the optimal concentration of each component in the nisin biosynthetic pathway using the CFPS platform. First, concentrations of the precursor peptide gene encoded by plasmid pJL1-nisZ were examined by varying the concentration, while fixing the concentrations of NisB and NisC to 0.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\) and pET28a-nisP to 0.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\). The highest level of nisin Z production was detected at concentrations of pJL1-nisZ of 0.4–1.3 nmol L\(^{-1}\) and pET28a-nisP of 0.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\). The concentration of pET28a-nisP did not appreciably influence nisin production (Figure 2B). Based on the nisZ and nisP titration studies, the optimal concentrations were determined to be 1.3 and 0.1 nmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively.

We next investigated the two enzymes responsible for nisin PTMs. In these assays, concentrations of pJL1-nisZ and pET28a-nisP were set to 1.3 and 0.1 nmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively. When testing the dehydration reaction, NisC was set to 500 nmol L\(^{-1}\), and the concentration of NisB was varied from 10 to 1500 nmol L\(^{-1}\). The titer of active nisin Z improved dramatically (from 15.1 ± 0.6 to
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**Figure 1.** Reconstitution of nisin biosynthesis using the CFPS platform. A) Schematic illustration of nisin Z reconstitution in CFPS. Dha, dehydrolalanine; Dhb, dehydrobutyrine; Abu, 2-aminobutyric acid. B) LC-MS-MS qualitative assay of nisin Z CFPS and standard. [M+5H]\(^5\)+ ion of nisin Z (m/z = 666.71312) was used for ion monitoring.

---

---
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187.07 ± 4.1 IU mL\(^{-1}\)) when the concentration of NisB increased from 10 to 800 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (saturation occurred at concentrations above 1000 nmol L\(^{-1}\) NisB; Figure 2C). Thus, increasing the concentration of NisB over a larger range would help increase nisin production. Moreover, a high NisB concentration did not inhibit nisin Z production. These results confirm that overexpression of nisB contributes substantially to nisin overproduction. Further, when the NisB concentration was 500 nmol L\(^{-1}\) and the NisC concentration was varied from 1 to 80 nmol L\(^{-1}\), the titer of active nisin Z ranged from 6.9 ± 2.0 to 167.3 ± 4.4 IU mL\(^{-1}\); a higher NisC concentration (from 100 to 10000 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) did not appreciably influence nisin Z production (Figure 2D).

The concentrations of pJL1-nisZ and pET28a-nisP in the optimized cell-free platform were low, and NisB and NisC were added as purified proteins, which eliminates the possibility of transcription and translation of PTM enzymes. To further prove that this system would not be hindered by interference from imbalanced transcription and translation, we added 100 ng of the pJL1-sfGFP plasmid into the optimized cell-free platform use for the synthesis of nisin Z for 6 h, and the results showed that sfGFP could be synthesized successfully, although the titer was lower than that produced in the system without nisin Z synthesis (Figure S4, Supporting Information). This result confirmed that the substrate and energy used in our system for transcription and translation were sufficient. Overall, the nisin titer of CFPS was increased by more than 30-fold (from <5 to ≈180 IU mL\(^{-1}\)) following replacement of nisin PTM enzyme, which is close to the highest nisin cell-free synthesis titer reported to date (≈200 IU mL\(^{-1}\))\(^{[14]}\) that was achieved by synthesizing mNisA in a commercialized cell-free synthesis kit followed by trypsin digestion to form the active nisin Z in vitro. This comparison further supported that the efficiency of our cell-free platform may reach the limit of current cell-free technology. The optimized CFPS platform was therefore used in subsequent experiments.

2.3. Use of the CFPS Platform for Rapid Genome Mining of Novel Lanthipeptides

In a previous genome mining study, the core peptide of a potential lanthipeptide was fused to the nisin leader peptide to form a hybrid precursor peptide, which were then coexpressed with nisBC and nisT (transporter) in \(L.\) lactis. The leader peptides of mLanAs were removed by NisP or trypsin in vitro to form bioactive lanthipeptides. Five novel lanthipeptides similar to nisin with antibacterial activity were identified in this way.\(^{[5]}\) Here, we explored the use of our CFPS platform for mining potential lanthipeptides (nisin analogs) with antibacterial activity using a similar strategy.

We designed a rapid genome mining scheme (Figure 3A). All proteins that were 40–80 amino acids in length were selected from the NCBI database (accessed June 2018). The resulting number of proteins was over two million. The sequence “SxSLCTPGCxTG” (where x denotes an arbitrary residue) was
used to retrieve all potential nisin analogs. A total of 210 analogs were identified. We then excluded known lanthipeptides via sequence alignment in the BAGLE4 database[20] and identified 18 potential lanthipeptides (RL1-RL18; Table 1), the core peptides of which were subsequently linked to the nisin leader peptide by gene synthesis to form hybrid precursor peptides (Table S1, Supporting Information).

These hybrid precursor peptides were then cloned into the pJL1 plasmid. Bagelicin, a nisin analog with antibacterial activity that was identified using a previously described in vivo screening system,[5] was chosen as the positive control for validation. The pJL1-nisZ plasmid in our CFPS platform was replaced with the hybrid precursor peptide plasmids (pRL1-pRL18 and pJL1-bagelicin). After incubation for 6 h, the bagelicin CFPS mixture (positive control) was analyzed by LC-MS-MS and bioassays. The results indicate that the Thr and Ser residues in bagelicin were dehydrated and that the bagelicin CFPS mixture contained anti-\textit{M. luteus} activity (Table 1), demonstrating that our CFPS platform can complete the previous work of mining novel lanthipeptides using in vivo methods.[5] Six candidate lanthipeptides were dehydrated based on LC-MS-MS detection, among which four displayed anti-\textit{M. luteus} activity (Table 1).

The CFPS system is for quick screening of potential antimicrobial lanthipeptides, and we then purified enough compounds of the screened nisin analogs for structure identification and activity assays. We prepared mLanAs for the novel lanthipeptides (RL6, RL8, RL13, and RL14) in \textit{E. coli} (Table S2, Supporting Information) and removed their leader peptides in vitro, as it is more economical to mass produce targeted lanthipeptides using this previously reported method[4] rather than to use CFPS to mass produce lanthipeptides. Nisin and most lanthipeptides are not pure products in the commercially available and standard products, they are a mixture of different dehydrating ingredients resulting from incomplete NisB catalysis. Therefore, we verified that the decisive antibacterial component in the currently commercially available nisin is the eightfold dehydrated component (Figure S5, Supporting Information). The structure of nisin was determined using its most effective antibacterial component (eightfold dehydrated component), and the eight-fold dehydrated components of RL6, RL8, RL13, and RL14 were also used to determine their structure (Figure S6, Supporting Information).

We treated the novel lanthipeptides with the thiol-alkylating reagent N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) to sequester any noncyclic Cys residues, and evaluated ring topology using LC-MS (Figure S7, Supporting Information). The results show that all five thioester rings in their core peptides were formed in mature lanthipeptides; their structure are shown in Figure 3B. We quantified these four novel lanthipeptides using the purified eight-fold dehydrated component of nisin as a standard. The concentration of their most effective antimicrobial components (eight-fold...
dehydrated components) was determined, and the antibacterial activity of novel lanthipeptides against M. luteus and clinical pathogenic strains of Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, and methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) was then tested. All four tested lanthipeptides exhibited antibacterial activity against these bacteria, with RL14 outperforming nisin against M. luteus and E. faecalis (Table S3, Supporting Information).

2.4. Screening of Lanthipeptides Activity Using the CFPS Platform

Next, we evaluated the performance of the CFPS platform for library screening, an application that has been reported using Next, we evaluated the performance of the CFPS platform for library screening, an application that has been reported using

| Sample | Core peptide | Modification | Anti-M. luteus | Source |
|--------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------|
| RL1* | ITVRSKSLCTPGCITGTLRUCTYLCFCPTIHMVNC | (7) | N | Bacillus velezensis |
| RL2* | ITWKESESLCTPGCITGVLQTCLFQITTNCNCKIS | (9) | N | Bacillus subtilis/Bacillus licheniformis |
| RL3 | VTSSKSLCTPGCITGQLLCLTQNCSCNSCIC | (9) | N | Bacillus thermoaerophilus |
| RL4 | ITSSKSLCTPGCVTGLMCTQFCPTACCGCQITGK | 6,7 | N | Blautia coccosides |
| RL5 | ITSSKSLCTPGCITGQLLCLTQNCSCNSCIC | (9) | N | Parageobacillus thermantarcticus/Anoxybacillus ayerdenis |
| RL6 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | 6-8 | (8) | Y | Leuconostoc gelidum |
| RL7 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQGLMCGNTSCNCSIC | (9) | N | Streptococcus pneumoniae |
| RL8 | VTSSKSLCTPGCITGQGLMCGNTSCNCSIC | 6-8 | (9) | Y | Bacillus cenum |
| RL9 | ITWKESESLCTPGCITGVLQTCLFQITTNCNCKIS | (9) | N | Anureinibacillus sp. XH2 |
| RL10* | ITVKSYSLSCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | 6-9 | (10) | N | Lactobacillus salivarius |
| RL11* | ITVKSYSLSCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | 6-9 | (10) | N | Lactobacillus salivarius |
| RL12 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (9) | N | Bacillus nakamurai |
| RL13 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (9) | N | Streptococcus salivarius |
| RL14 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | 6-7 | (8) | Y | Streptococcus equinus |
| RL15 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (9) | N | Pseudobutyriabio sp. UC1232/sp. 49 |
| RL16 | ITSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (8) | N | Pseudobutyriabio sp. UC1232/sp. 49 |
| RL17* | ITQKSYSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (9) | N | Pseudobutyriabio sp. UC1232/sp. 49 |
| RL18 | ITSPQITSVSLSCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | (10) | N | Thermotoga thermalis sp. An2 |
| Bagelicin | VITSKSLCTPGCITGQALMNCSNTAASCGCVHVHSV | 6-8 | (8) | Y | Streptococcus suis R61 |

*represents the addition of J/IT to the N-terminal of the core peptide to become a cleavable site recognized by NisP. "Modification" refers to the number of dehydrated residues based on the LC-MS-MS observed. The total number of serines and threonines in the peptide are presented in parentheses. Cysteines are depicted as blue, serines are purple and threonines are green.
Figure 4. Screening of nisin mutants using the CFPS system. A) Schematic illustration of the screening for nisin mutants. B) Nisin and nisin mutants. 
Dha, dehydrolalanine; Dhb, dehydrobutyrine; Abu, 2-aminobutyric acid. C) Heat-map of antimicrobial activity of nisin mutants against E. coli in the second round of screening. After adding the CFPS mixture to E. coli and incubating for 8 h, the ΔOD₆₀₀ value represents the OD₆₀₀ of E. coli with added nisin mutant CFPS mixture minus the OD₆₀₀ (control group) of E. coli with nisin CFPS mixture in the same plate. Yellow areas represent an inhibitory effect on E. coli. D) OD₆₀₀ of E. coli DH5α in LB medium with 320 µmol L⁻¹ EDTA after treatment with nisin and nisin mutants (n = 3). The ΔOD₆₀₀ value represents the OD₆₀₀ of E. coli with lanthipeptide minus the OD₆₀₀ of blank LB medium with the same concentration of lanthipeptide. The test lanthipeptide is a mixture of different dehydration molecules, quantification of lanthipeptides was performed using the eightfold dehydrated molecules. The data represented mean ± SD.

and the thioester rings were detected by NEM reaction (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Nisin exhibited observable inhibition against some strains of E. coli;²¹,²⁵ however, these strains were not available in our laboratory. To ensure comparable conditions to previous reports, DH5α with 320 µmol L⁻¹ EDTA was used based on the apparent growth inhibition of E. coli with different concentrations of nisin (Figure S8, Supporting Information). We generated the E. coli DH5α growth curve using M4, M5, S29A, or nisin Z with 320 µmol L⁻¹ EDTA and found that M5 inhibited growth more effectively against gram-negative E. coli than did nisin Z or S29A in this condition (Figure 4D). These results suggest that our novel CFPS platform is effective in screening for lanthipeptides.

2.5. Targeted Metabolic Engineering for Nisin and Nisin Analog Overproduction In Vivo

We have learned from the process of optimizing the CFPS platform that increasing NisB significantly increased nisin Z production. We next evaluated this strategy using industrial
L. lactis strains for nisin Z overproduction. The industrial nisin Z-producing strain is difficult to manipulate genetically because it has been used for mutations for decades, reducing its ability to accept foreign DNA. Hence, the targeted genetic procedure employed is critical when working with this industrial strain because it can only be manipulated once. To verify whether the overexpression of nisB in vivo could increase nisin production, RL405 for overexpression of nisZ and RL406 for co-overexpression of nisZ and nisB were constructed (Figure 5A). The results (Figure 5C) show the industrial strain J1-004 produced 5549.0 ± 316.3 IU mL⁻¹ nisin Z after 16 h of fed-batch fermentation, whereas RL405 produced 6479.7 ± 443.9 IU mL⁻¹ nisin Z under the same fermentation conditions, which was 16.8% higher than that of J1-004. However, the nisB overexpressed strain, RL406, exhibited an increase in nisin Z production to 8828 ± 336.2 IU mL⁻¹, representing a nearly 60% increase over that of the industrial strain J1-004 and a 36.2% improvement to RL405. This result further demonstrated that the overexpression of nisB contributed substantially to nisin overproduction. We further analyzed the nisin biosynthesis proteins in the engineered L. lactis strains by a targeted proteomics approach. NisZ was detected in RL405 and both NisZ and NisB were detected in RL406, which shows that nisZ and/or nisB were overexpressed as designed (Figure S9, Supporting Information).

To investigate whether the overexpression of nisB could also increase the titer of nisin analogs in a heterologous expression host, E. coli BL21(DE3)/pPYX106 (Table S2, Supporting Information) was constructed according to a previously described method[4] with lanA of RL6, nisB, and nisC coexpressed in pPYX106 for the production of mRL6 (mRL6) (Figure 5B). BL21(DE3)/pPYX106/pPYX125 (Table S2, Supporting Information) was constructed for the overproduction of mRL6 with an extra copy of nisB expression in pPYX125 besides pPYX106 (Figure 5B). The parallel fermentation of these two strains resulted in no obvious differences in OD₆₀₀ values, indicating that the overexpression of nisB had no effect on the growth of E. coli. After cleavage of the leader peptide in vitro by trypsin, the nisB overproduction strain BL21(DE3)/pPYX106/pPYX125 produced RL6 at 260.1 ± 10.5 IU mL⁻¹, whereas the control strain BL21(DE3)/pPYX106 produced 137.5 ± 17.5 IU mL⁻¹. The overexpression of nisB increased the titer by 89.2% in E. coli (Figure 5D), confirming nisB is a universal key determinant for nisin analogs.
lanthipeptides overproduction. We can conclude from these results that the CFPS platform guided successful overproduction of lanthipeptides.

3. Conclusion

We have developed an optimized CFPS platform for the genome mining of nisin analogs, screening of mutants, and guiding lanthipeptide overproduction in vivo. The functionality of this platform was verified in that all nisin analogs with bactericidal effects were mined using our CFPS platform in a single day, one of which (RL14) exhibited stronger antibacterial activity than that of nisin. Moreover, a nisin mutant (M5) with higher activity against gram-negative bacteria (EDTA-treated) than nisin was screened using this platform; and a 60% nisin Z increase in an industrial host and an 89.2% increase in nisin analogs in a heterologous expression host were observed.

The first advantage of our CFPS platform for lanthipeptide research is efficiency. Although we used purified nisin PTM enzyme proteins in the CFPS platform for improved efficiency, these enzymes can be purified in abundance and stored at −80°C for an extended period of time, allowing lanthipeptides to be synthesized from DNA templates in 6 h. The second advantage of our platform is that the production of lanthipeptides is not dependent on cell growth. To ensure that it has the advantage of screening for the bacteriocin activity of lanthipeptides, we developed the process of screening lanthipeptide with anti-E. coli (EDTA-treated) activity. However, by changing the indicator strain, the platform can be used for screening other bacteriocin lanthipeptides as well. The third advantage is that the reaction volume is 8–10 µL, and the reaction mixture can be processed for use in biosays and M5 detection (after desalting) without the requirement for complex purification processes. It is, therefore, reasonable to suggest that the CFPS platform can perform high-throughput screening work. The fourth advantage is the rapid and accurate identification of the rate-limiting step, regardless of the complex regulatory mechanisms in the cell.

We provide an effective method for the cell-free production of lanthipeptides, with applications for the biosynthesis of other RiPPs. The direct synthesis of lanthipeptides using DNA templates is less efficient than synthesis using purified enzymes; however, the development of cell-free systems with higher titers,26 lower costs,27 and simpler preparation methods28 is an active area of research. These studies will contribute to increasing the efficiency of directly synthesized RiPPs using DNA templates. Moreover, addition of extra tRNA25, optimization of DNA codon, ion concentrations, or redox environment may also improve the efficiency of CFPS and allow it to play a more prominent role in RiPPs research.

In the CFPS platform, we used PTM enzymes (NisB and NisC) with clear catalyzed machinery to modify other potential lanthipeptides (using hybrid precursor peptides). Although novel lanthipeptides may not share the same natural structure as their original structure in vivo, this method represents a general and rapid approach for genome mining for potential RiPPs. More importantly, owing to the diversity of PTMs, different types of PTM enzymes can be applied to generate novel RiPPs in a combinatorial biosynthesis manner.29 Owing to the robustness and flexibility of CFPS, different PTM enzymes can readily be combined in this cell-free system to achieve novel RiPPs with diverse bioactivities.

CFPS can be easily developed as an automated high-throughput screening platform. The Freemont group at ICL has developed a rapid automated method using a cell-free platform to quantify a series of ribosome-binding site mutants and uncharacterized endogenous constitutive and inducible promoters to characterize new DNA components in nonmodel bacteria.30 It is, therefore, reasonable to suggest that combined automated high-throughput platforms containing CFPS with different microbes, such as Streptomyces and cyanobacteria that possess many RiPP gene clusters, will accelerate the rate of discovery for novel RiPPs because their cell extracts may contain specific components related to the synthesis of RiPPs. Overall, our research extends the use of cell-free systems to address the issue facing lanthipeptide overproduction and novel compound discovery, while providing the possibility for development of CFPS platforms for other RiPPs studies.

4. Experimental Section

Strains: The strains used in this study are listed in Table S2 (Supporting Information). E. coli was grown in lysogeny broth (LB) with appropriate antibiotics (Kan: 50 µg mL⁻¹, Cmr: 34 µg mL⁻¹) at 37 °C. L. lactis was grown in M-17 (Oxoid, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 0.5% b-glucose (GM-17) at 30 °C with appropriate antibiotics (EmR: 5 µg mL⁻¹). M. luteus was grown in bioassay medium with 1.2% tryptone, 0.75% yeast extract, 0.75% NaCl, 0.3% NaH₂PO₄, and 0.75% b-glucose at 37 °C. E. faecalis, S. aureus, and MRSA were grown in Mueller–Hinton broth (MHB; BD Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) at 37 °C.

Plasmids Construction: The primers and plasmids used for strain construction are listed in Tables S4 and S5 (Supporting Information), respectively. In general, the primers used to construct the relevant plasmids were named after the plasmid, and the corresponding sites of the plasmid pRSFDuet-1 and yielded plasmid pJL1-nisZ-R. All plasmids were obtained by polymerase chain reaction were gel-purified using a DNA gel extraction kit (Axygen, Corning, NY, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions, before cloning.

For the biosynthesis of nisin and other lanthipeptides in the CFPS system, genomic DNA from L. lactis was obtained using the Blood & Cell Culture DNA mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. nisZ was amplified by PCR from L. lactis J1-004, subcloned to pJL1, and designated as pJL1-nisZ. All plasmids were individually amplified by PCR from L. lactis J1-004 and cloned to pET28a (+) (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) to yield pET28a-nisB, pET28a-nisC, pET28a-nisP, and pET28a-nisR, respectively. Each hybrid lanthipeptide RL1-RL18 (see Table S1, Supporting Information for sequences) was amplified using pRL1 as the general forward primer and pRLR-R as the reverse primer (X represents a specific plasmid; Table S4, Supporting Information). For example, the reverse primer for pRL1 is pRL1-R, followed by the PCR fragment cloned into the Ndel and BamHI sites of pJL1-nisZ, respectively, yielding pRL1-pRL18.

For the expression of mLanAs in E. coli, several plasmids were constructed. An ≈3 kb Ndel/KpnI fragment containing nisB was inserted into the corresponding sites of the plasmid pRSFDuet-1 and yielded plasmid pYZ28. In the plasmid pYZ28, the lanA of RL6 was inserted between the BamHI and EcoRI sites of the plasmid pYZ28, which was constructed to express the lanA of RL6 with a His6-tag and nisB. Similarly, pYZ28, pYZ28, pYZ29, and pYZ29 were constructed by inserting the lanA of RL6, S29A, M5, M4, and NisZ genes between the BamHI and EcoRI
sites of the plasmid pYZ28, respectively. Five plasmids, pYZ29, pYZ39, pYZ95, pYZ296, pYZ97, and pYZ99, were constructed to replace the His6-	ag in pYZ20, pYZ89, pYZ285, pYZ86, and pYZ91 with the sumo-	ag. Primers Sumo-F and Sumo-R were used to amplify the sumo-	ag fragment from pSUMO. The plasmid pYZ121 for the expression of nisC under the control of T7 promoter was inserted into the corresponding sites of the plasmid pACYCDuet.

For the expression of the mLanAs in E. coli with nisB overexpression, several plasmids were constructed. The 1.5 kb Xhol/Xhol fragment that contained the T7 promoter and nisC was inserted into the corresponding sites of the plasmid pYZ105, yielding pYZ106. The plasmid pYZ125 was used for the expression of nisB under the control of the T7 promoter used an ≈ 3 kb Xpol/Xhol fragment inserted into the corresponding sites of the plasmid pACYCDuet. The plasmid pYZ105 was constructed to produce a modified sumo-tag S29A precursor peptide (coexpression of S29A, nisB and nisC). An ≈ 0.2 kb BamHI/EcoRI fragment of a different lanA was inserted into the corresponding sites of the plasmid pYZ105, yielding pYZ122 (sumo-tag/mL-13) and pYZ123 (sumo-tag/mL-14). For the plasmid pYZ126, nisB under the control of the T7 promoter was inserted into Xhol site of the plasmid pYZ81 for the expression of nisB and nisC.

For overexpression of lanthipeptides in L. lactis, two plasmids were constructed via the Gibson assembling method.[32] The plasmid pRL415 overexpressing nisZ under the control of Pnis promoters was constructed. Primers pRL415-F and pRL415-R were used for the amplification of the Pnis-nisZ operon from L. lactis J1-004. Primers pRL415-VF and pRL415-VR were used for the amplification of the pMG36e backbone. The plasmid pRL423 for the overexpression of nisZ and nisB under the control of the Pnis promoter was constructed by coamplification in their original order in the nisZBTCIP operon.[33] Primers pRL423-F and pRL423-R were used for the amplification of nisB from L. lactis J1-004. Primers pRL423-VF and pRL423-VR were used for amplification from pRL415.

NisB, NisC, and NisPs Purification: The protein NisPs was purified according to a previously described method.[31] NisB and NisC were overexpressed and purified according to the previously described NisB purification method.[14] Briefly, E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells were transformed with pET28a-nisB and pET28a-nisC. BL21 Rosetta (DE3) was transformed with pET28a-nisPs. Several colony transformants were then grown in 50 mL of media supplemented with 50 µg mL⁻¹ kan at 37 °C overnight. A 1% inoculation of a 2 L of LB-antibiotic culture was grown aerobically at 37 °C until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6–0.8. Then, 0.1 mmol L⁻¹ IPTG was added for NisPs induction and further grown for 3 h at 37 °C. NisB and NisC cells were cooled to 50°C and inoculated with 1.5% overnight culture of the in-

CFPS Reactions: CFPS reactions were performed to synthesize lanthipeptides. The previously described crude extract-based CFPS system[15,16] was used for in vitro transcription and translation and supplementation with essential components for lanthipeptide PTMs. In the prepa-

ation of E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells, 1 mmol L⁻¹ isopropyl-β-
galactoside (IPTG) was added during mid-log phase to induce T7 RNA polymerase overexpression, and cell lysate protein concentrations were measured using a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The reaction mixture for CFPS consisted of the following compo-

nents in a final volume of 8–400 µL containing 1.2 mmol L⁻¹ ATP; 0.85 mmol L⁻¹ each of GTP, UTP, and CTP; 34.0 µg mL⁻¹ L-5-formyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrolfolic acid (folinic acid); 170.0 µg mL⁻¹ E. coli tRNA mix-
ture; 130 mmol L⁻¹ potassium glutamate; 10 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium glutamate; 12 mmol L⁻¹ magnesium glutamate; 2 mmol L⁻¹ each of 20 natural amino acids; 0.33 mmol L⁻¹ nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD); 0.27 mmol L⁻¹ coenzyme-A (CoA); 1.5 mmol L⁻¹ spermidine; 1 mmol L⁻¹ putrescine; 4 mmol L⁻¹ sodium oxalate; 33 mmol L⁻¹ phosphonopyruvate (PEP); 10 µmol L⁻¹ ZnCl₂ (for NisC cyclization), and 27% v/v cell ex-
tracts. For each reaction, plasmids, purified NisB, and purified NisC were added at various concentrations. The CFPS reactions were performed at 30 °C for 6 h. Reactions were terminated by incubation at 85 °C for 10 min, and precipitated proteins were pelleted by centrifugation at 10 000 × g for 5 min. The resulting supernatant was subjected to downstream analyses.

Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses by LC-MS-MS: Qualitative analyses of nisin Z and other lanthipeptides were performed using a previously described LC-MS-based method with modifications.[15] Briefly, the supernatant of the CFPS reaction mixture or other solutions treated with trypsin were obtained after centrifugation at 10 000 × g for 10 min. Supernatants were desalted using Sep-Pak Vac C18 cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and subjected to LC-MS-MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a Thermo Fisher Ultimate 3000 UPLC system equipped with a Thermo Fisher Hypersil GOLD C18 (2.1 × 100 mm, 3 μm) HPLC column; mobile phase A was H₂O (0.1% formic acid) and mobile phase B was acetonitrile (ACN). The gradient program was (time, % B) 0 min, 10% B; 5 min, 10% B; 25 min, 95% B; 35 min, 95% B; 35.1 min, 10% B; 40 min, 10% B. The flow rate was 200 μL min⁻¹. The column temperature was 35 °C and the injection volume was 10 μL. The sample tray temperature was 8 °C. Detection was performed using a Thermo Fisher Q Exactive Orbitrap MS with an ESI source in positive ion mode. Instrument parameters were as follows: sheath gas set to 35; auxiliary gas set to 5 (arbitrary units); spray voltage 3.5 kV; capillary temperature 320 °C; probe heater temperature 250 °C. Full scan trigger dd-MSE mode was used for qualitative condition determination, and the settings were as follows: scan range 150–2000 Da; MS resolution 70 000; MS₂ resolution 17 500; isolation window 1.4 m/z; CE 30; dynamic exclusion of 2 s.

For lanthipeptides quantification, full scan mode was used. A semi-preparative HPLC was used to collect the eightfold dehydrated component of nisin (see Supporting Information) and then use this as a standard to quantify nisin analogs or nisin mutants. The collected eightfold dehydrated component of nisin was lyophilized and then used to generate a standard curve. Angiotensin II (10 ppb) was added as an internal stan-
dard for quantification of dehydrated component of nisin. The ordinate axis of the standard curve corresponds to the concentration of the eight-fold dehydrated component of nisin (linear range: 10–20 000 ppb), and the abscissa axis is the ratio of the corresponding different concentrations of the eightfold dehydrated component of nisin to 10 ppb angiotensin II. Angiotensin II (10 ppb) was also added as an internal stan-
dard to tested samples, allowing the calculation of the ratio of eightfold dehydrated component of nisin-like lanthipeptide to 10 ppb angiotensin II and the quantification of nisin analogs or nisin mutants. Additionally, 200 ppm BSA solution was used as a dilution was used.

Agar Diffusion Assay: The activity levels of nisin and new lanthipe-
tides were determined by a previously described agar diffusion method, with minor modifications.[17] Briefly, a stock nisin solution 1000 IU mL⁻¹ was prepared by adding 50 mg of commercial nisin (10⁵ IU g⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) into 50 mL of sterile 0.02 mol L⁻¹ HCl. Standard nisin solutions of 1000, 500, 250, 200, 100, and 5 IU mL⁻¹ were prepared using the stock solution and diluted with 0.02 mol L⁻¹ HCl to construct a standard curve. The bioassay medium contained 1.2% tryptone, 0.75% yeast extract, 0.75% NaCl, 0.3% Na₃PO₄, and 2% agar. After the addition of sterile 0.75% glucose and 0.5% Tween 80, the agar medium was cooled to 50°C and inoculated with 1.5% overnight culture of the indicator strain M. luteus NCIB 8166. The bioassay agar (25 mL) was aseptically poured into sterile Petri dishes, and several holes were made on each plate after solidification. Then, 2 µL of the CFPS mixture supernatant and
an equal volume of the nisin Z standard solution were separately added to the holes. After incubation at 30 °C for 18 h, a standard curve of the nisin zone of inhibition versus units of the nisin standard solution was created by measuring the zone diameter using digital calipers (TAIJIMA Tool Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) horizontally and vertically. Nisin concentrations for each CFPS mixture were estimated.

In Silico Prediction and Selection of Nisin Analog: Protein sequences for bacteria and fungi with lengths of 40–80 amino acid residues were retrieved from the NCBI database (accessed June 2018). The sequences containing the conserved motif of the core peptide (\( \text{SxSLCTPGCxTG} \), where \( x \) denotes an arbitrary residue) were retained. The sequences were further reduced by applying the filter rule that if there are not LanBC-like or LanM-like proteins among the ten genes upstream or downstream of the 40–80 amino acid residues led to exclusion of the sequences. Finally, after the exclusion of known peptides using BAGEL4,[20] 18 candidates were selected for experimental validation. These 18 sequences were adjusted to conform to the recognition site of NisP (if the N-terminal amino acid residues of the core peptide sequence were not "IT" or "VT", "I/IT" was added to the N-terminus).

Overexpression and Purification of Modified Lanthipeptide Precursor Peptides: The overexpression and purification of his6-tagged mLanAs were performed as described previously.[4] Briefly, overnight cultures were grown from a single recombinant \( E. coli \) colony transformant and used as inoculum to grow 1.5 L of Terrific broth containing 50 mg L\(^{-1} \) Kan and 34 mg L\(^{-1} \) Cm at 37 °C until the \( 	ext{OD}_{600} \) reached 0.6–0.8. The incubation temperature was adjusted to 18 °C and the culture was induced with 0.5 mmol L\(^{-1} \) IPTG. The induced cells were shaken continuously at 18 °C for an additional 18–20 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (11 900 × g for 10 min; Beckman JA-10.500 rotor). The cell pellet was resuspended in 45 mL of start buffer (20 mmol L\(^{-1} \) Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mmol L\(^{-1} \) NaCl, 10% glycerol, containing a protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche Applied Science) and lysed by homogenization at variable pressures suspended in 45 mL of start buffer (20 mmol L\(^{-1} \) Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mmol L\(^{-1} \) NaCl, 10% glycerol, containing a protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche Applied Science) and lysed by homogenization at variable pressures.
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