Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) as a marker to estimate fecal output in sheep
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ABSTRACT: Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is an external marker used to estimate fecal output based on forage intake of grazing ruminants; however, its use as marker for grazing sheep still needs attention. In the present study the TiO₂ role to estimate fecal output of sheep grazing annual ryegrass was tested. Two essays were conducted in sequence using the same forage and animals. Six lacaune lambs were housed in metabolic cages. Lambs were administered 1 g TiO₂ in a single (at 8:30 am, essay 1), or in two daily doses (at 8:30 am and 4:30 pm, essay 2). In both essays the TiO₂ administration was followed by a 7-day period to stabilize the fecal excretion of the marker and then by a 5-day period for total collection of feces. Observed and TiO₂based estimated fecal outputs were compared, with or without correction for TiO₂ fecal recovery rate (kTiO₂). The kTiO₂ was around 1.0 and the estimated fecal outputs were similar to the observed ones (p>0.05), regardless the number of daily doses. These results suggested that TiO₂, administered in single or two daily doses, can be used as an external marker in grazing sheep.
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RESUMO: O dióxido de titânio (TiO₂) é um marcador externo utilizado para estimativas da excreção fecal, visando medidas de consumo de forragem em ruminantes a pasto. No entanto, o uso deste marcador para ovinos em pastejo ainda pode ser melhor explorado. Neste trabalho, o TiO₂ foi testado para estimar a produção fecal de ovinos ingerindo azevém anual. Foram conduzidos dois ensaios sequenciais utilizando a mesma forragem e os mesmos animais. Os animais eram seis cordeiros da raça Lacaune, mantidos em gaiolas metabólicas. No primeiro ensaio, os cordeiros receberam diariamente 1 g TiO₂, em única dosagem (às 08 h 30), e no segundo foram oferecidas duas dosagens (08h30 e 16 h 30). Em ambos os ensaios, após sete dias para estabilização da excreção fecal do marcador foram realizados cinco dias de coleta total de fezes. A produção fecal observada foi comparada com a produção fecal estimada pelo TiO₂, com ou sem correções, para sua taxa de recuperação fecal (kTiO₂). A kTiO₂ foi próxima de 1.0 e a produção fecal estimada foi similar à observada (P>0,05), independente do número de dosagens. Estes resultados mostram que o TiO₂ é um indicador externo que pode ser utilizado, em única ou dupla dosagem, em experimentos com ovinos em pastejo.
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It is important to assess forage intake of grazing ruminants, given its key effect on their performance (AZEVEDO et al., 2014). However, the currently available methodologies are neither precise nor easy to implement (PENNING, 2004). There are several types of internal (e.g. fecal proteins and dietary fibers) and external (e.g. n-alkanes, CrO₂, Yb and TiO₂) markers used to estimate forage intake and each one of them has its own limitations (CARVALHO et al., 2007).

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has been considered as a suitable, viable and cost effective external marker to assess fecal outputs of grazing animals (TITGEMEYER et al., 2001), and to replace other markers, such as n-alkanes and chromium oxide (Cr₂O₃), known for its carcinogenic potential (SEDMAN et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the reliability of TiO₂ as a marker needs to be evaluated by comparing observed and estimated fecal outputs of housed animals submitted to different dietary protocols (COATES & PENNING, 2000).
Two sequential essays were performed using 1 g of TiO₂ in a single (essay 1) or in two daily doses (essay 2). Six castrated Lacaune lambs, fed exclusively with annual ryegrass silage (Lolium multiflorum Lam.), were housed in metabolic cages (110 x 50 cm). In the former essay – single dose of TiO₂ - animals had initial average body weight (BW) and body condition score of 24.1 ± 2.3 kg and 3.0 ± 0.3, respectively. In the latter – two daily doses – animals presented 25.9 ± 1.7 kg and 3.0 ± 0.2, respectively.

The ryegrass silage dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) were 213 g/kg, 132 g/kg DM and 594 g/kg DM, respectively. Silage supply was adjusted to meet NRC (2007) requirements, starting from 0.672 kg DM/d – single – and 0.725 kg DM/d – two doses (about 2.8% BW). An additional 100 g of green matter (GM)/kg GM consumed per day was fed to the lambs to allow diet selection. The same forage was used for both treatments.

Titanium dioxide (pure TiO₂, Synth®, Brazil) was administered in cellulose capsules in two ways for 12 consecutive days: a single 1 g daily dose at 8:30 am (essay 1); two 0.5 g daily doses at 8:30 am and 4:30 pm (GMT: -03 h 00) (essay 2). The later essay started immediately after the former; ie, on the 13th day, with the same lambs. A 7-day period was allowed to stabilize fecal excretion of the marker. Between the 8th and the 12th days, feces samples were collected, identified and dried in aluminum trays using a forced-air oven (MA035, Marconi, Piracicaba, Brazil) at 60 ºC for 48 h. Dry feces were collected, identified and dried in aluminum trays using a forced-air oven (MA035, Marconi, Piracicaba, Brazil) at 60 ºC for 48 h. Dry feces were collected, identified and dried in aluminum trays using a forced-air oven (MA035, Marconi, Piracicaba, Brazil) at 60 ºC for 48 h.

The following steps were used to determine the concentration of TiO₂ in the samples: 1) 0.1 g of feces, 3.5 g of potassium sulphate (K₂SO₄) and 0.4 g of copper sulphate (CuSO₄) were weighed in duplicates on an AY-220 scale (Shimadzu®, Tokyo, Japan), transferred into micro-Kjeldahl digestion tubes (100 mL), in which 10 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) was added; 2) samples were placed in a digestion block at 420 °C for 2 h (or until they became translucent green); 3) after cooling for at least 30 minutes, the contents were diluted to 100 mL in a volumetric flask with distilled water, carefully to avoid any supernatant; 4) 2.5 mL of the digested and diluted samples were loaded to a spectrophotometer together with 0.1 mL of hydrogen peroxide P.A. (H₂O₂), so the color of the solution became orange; 5) the absorbance was measured at 410 nm using a UV–visible light spectrophotometer IL-592-LC (Kazuaki®, Wuxi, China).

The calibration curve was obtained by measuring the absorbance of the control sample (100 mg of TiO₂ diluted in 100 mL of distilled water), as well as of the samples with 0, 2, 6, 8 and 10 mg of TiO₂ (Table 1) in distilled water and 0.1 mL of H₂O₂ (Labsynth, Diadema, Brazil). Before the dilution in 100 mL of distilled water, the TiO₂ was transferred into macro-Kjeldahl digestion tubes (45 x 200 mm), in which 14 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and 3.5 g of potassium sulphate (K₂SO₄) and 0.4 g of copper sulphate (CuSO₄) were added and placed in a digestion block at 420 °C until they became translucent green. A standard sample (no TiO₂ added) was used to standardize the spectrophotometer at 410 nm. The TiO₂ fecal recovery rate (kTiO₂) was calculated by dividing the daily excretion of TiO₂ by its daily dose (1 g), with the former being obtained by multiplying the fecal mass (g DM/d) by the concentration of TiO₂ in the feces, corrected by its baseline concentration in the standard samples. Fecal outputs were estimated as follows:

\[
FO = (TiO₂_{ingested} \times k_{TiO₂}) / ([TiO₂]_{feces} - [TiO₂]_{standard})
\]

in which FO is the fecal output (g DM/d); TiO₂_{ingested} is the amount of TiO₂ ingested by the animal (g TiO₂/d); k_{TiO₂} is the fecal recovery rate of TiO₂ (g/g ingested); ([TiO₂]_{feces}) is the concentration of TiO₂ in the feces (mg TiO₂/g DM); and ([TiO₂]_{standard}) is the concentration of TiO₂ (mg TiO₂/g DM) in the standard samples collected on the day before the experiment started.

Statistical differences between estimated and observed fecal outputs were calculated using
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A one-way ANOVA followed by a t-Student pairwise comparison test (P<0.05) using SigmaPlot Version 12 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). Normal distribution and equal variances assumptions were confirmed by Shapiro-Wilk normality and Bartlett homoscedasticity tests, respectively.

The kTiO2 was around 1.0 and no statistical difference (P>0.05) between estimated and observed fecal outputs was reported neither in the single dose (168 ± 90 g DM/d and 151 ± 48 g DM/d, respectively) nor in the two daily doses (112 ± 11 g DM/d and 188 ± 46 g DM/d, respectively) essays. The highest individual variances of both estimated and observed fecal outputs were reported (SD of 90 g and 48 g, respectively), as well as the smallest mean difference between the two of them (17 g/d), with the administration of a single TiO2 daily dose. Furthermore, with the two doses, both estimated and observed fecal outputs varied less (SD of 11 g and 46 g, respectively), but the mean difference between them increased to 76 g/d.

It was expected that the administration of TiO2 in two daily doses would reduce possible variations on its concentration in the feces along the day (PENNING, 2004). Nevertheless, SIBBALD et al. (2000) evaluated the use of n-alkane protocols with two and single daily doses and found no difference between the observed and the estimated intake of markers in both protocols. Conversely, VULICH et al. (1993) concluded that the intake was overestimated by single daily doses of n-alkanes, while estimated and observed values were similar when two daily doses were administered. In the present study, as for the n-alkanes protocol (SIBBALD et al., 2000), the use of a single daily dose did not reduce the accuracy of TiO2 to estimate the fecal output.

Therefore, it is possible to conclude that the single daily administration of TiO2 is a potential protocol to estimate fecal outputs and intake in grazing sheep.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), financial code 456957/2014-6 and also supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Brasil - financial code 001. Alda Lúcia Gomes Monteiro is member of the MARCARNE network, funded by CYTED (ref. 116RT0503). Alda Lúcia Gomes Monteiro and Henrique Nunes Mendonça Ribeiro Filho are grateful by the award of CNPq fellowships.

BIOETHICS AND BIOSSECURITY COMMITTEE APPROVAL

This project was submitted and approved by the Committee on Ethics for the Use of Animals (CEUA) of Federal University of Parana under the protocol n° 007/2012.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION

All authors contributed equally to the design and writing of the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

Ciência Rural, v.49, n.12, 2019.

---

Table 1 - Volumetric and mean absorbance values of various samples dilutions used to obtain the spectrophotometric calibration curve for the external marker titanium dioxide (TiO2).

| Cuvette | Standard Solution (mL) | Distilled Water (mL) | H2O2 (mL) | Absorbance (dimensionless) |
|---------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 1       | 0.000                  | 2.500               | 0.1       | 0.000                     |
| 2       | 0.025                  | 2.475               | 0.1       | 0.027                     |
| 3       | 0.050                  | 2.450               | 0.1       | 0.057                     |
| 4       | 0.100                  | 2.400               | 0.1       | 0.113                     |
| 5       | 0.150                  | 2.350               | 0.1       | 0.215                     |
| 6       | 0.200                  | 2.300               | 0.1       | 0.297                     |
| 7       | 0.250                  | 2.250               | 0.1       | 0.380                     |
| 8       | 0.300                  | 2.200               | 0.1       | 0.447                     |
| 9       | 0.350                  | 2.150               | 0.1       | 0.515                     |
| 10      | 0.400                  | 2.100               | 0.1       | 0.591                     |
| 11      | 0.450                  | 2.050               | 0.1       | 0.665                     |
| 12      | 0.500                  | 2.000               | 0.1       | 0.731                     |
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