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Abstract

The predominant method used for the cultivation of European kelp involves seeding onto twine spools. The selection of a suitable twine is essential. In four experiments, synthetic and natural polymer twines were seeded with either meiospore or juvenile sporophytes of *Saccharina latissima*. Development was monitored over both the hatchery and outplanting phase at an experiment seaweed farm, Scotland, UK. Twisted twine seeded with meiospores gave 37 ± 21\% higher biomass yield than the braided form, despite 46 ± 10\% lower sporophyte density during the hatchery period. Twisted twine was also more favourable when sporophyte seeding, increasing juvenile retention by 140\%. Three-month-old sporophytes had 50\% weaker bioadhesion on polyamide (PA), polyester (PES) and polypropylene (PP) compared to polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). This was reflected in 11–24\% higher biomass on PVA following outplanting. However, if the twine surface was treated by corona discharge before seeding, PA, PES and PP achieved an equivalent biomass to PVA. Jute and sisal twine had a toxic effect on the development of meiospores. In contrast, seeding with sporophytes was successful onto jute and sisal, but bioadhesion was weak. Finally, cotton was moderately toxic to meiospores but also had a bioadhesion strength and biomass yield comparable to PVA. We conclude that PVA and corona-treated synthetic twines are excellent for either meiospore or sporophyte seeding. Cotton is a very promising biodegradable twine, although further research is needed to optimise its physical structure. We also conclude that results during the hatchery period do not predict the success of seeded twine following outplanting.
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Introduction

The cultivation of phaeophyte macroalgae is becoming established in Europe. *Saccharina latissima* is a fast-growing species that is currently cultivated across the region from the Faroe Islands, to Norway and Spain (Peteiro and Freire 2011; Forbord et al. 2012; Bak et al. 2018). The main markets are currently food and health supplements; although, it also has an application for bioactives, feed, carbon sequestration and conversion to a biofuel (Holdt and Kraan 2011; Hughes et al. 2012; Kraan 2013; Bleakley and Hayes 2017). The predominate method in Europe for the cultivation of kelps involves seeding meiospores (n) or gametophyte stocks (n) onto spools carrying twine (⌀ 1–6 mm). The twine is then cultured in a hatchery until dense coverages of 2 to 10-mm juvenile sporophytes (2n) are visible after 6–12 weeks (Forbord et al. 2012; Kerrison et al. 2016). The spools are then outplanted to a seaweed farm site, where the twine is wound around a larger diameter rope and left for 4–8 months to grow to adult size.

A variety of different twines have been reported for kelp cultivation across Europe, including polyamide (PA), polypropylene (PP), polyester (PES), polyvinylalcohol (PVA) and cotton in twisted or braided forms (Druehl et al. 1988; Edwards and Watson 2011; Werner and Dring 2011; Sanderson et al. 2012; Peteiro et al. 2014). Yet, there is little published data comparing the effectiveness and suitability of different twine materials (Holt 1984; Salinas et al. 2006). It is now known that differences in surface chemistry between polymers can strongly influence meiospore settlement behaviour and sporophyte attachment success in *S. latissima* (Kerrison et al. 2017, 2018a) similar to reports in other marine organisms (Rittschof and Costlow 1989; Huggett et al. 2009). Specifically, the cross-linked alginate-polyphenol bioadhesive system of the Phaeophyceae (Bitton et al. 2006; Salgado et al. 2009) does not bond well to high water contact angle (θ_w) materials, such as PP (Kerrison et al. 2018a). This can lead to detachment from the surface when the sporophytes reach a few centimetres in length and experience increased drag due to the higher Reynold’s number environment (Vogel 1996; Kerrison et al. 2017). Surface modification using corona discharge can reduce the θ_w of synthetic polymers such as PP (Süzer et al. 1999) and improve bioadhesion in *S. latissima* (Kerrison et al. 2018a). Thus, corona may be a feasible method to create an improved attachment surface for macroalgae on various synthetic polymers.

Macroscopic surface roughness is another important factor in the successful attachment of marine organisms (Candries et al. 2001). A varied topography allows the mechanical interlocking of the holdfast bioadhesive and/or hapteron with the surface (Milligan and DeWreede 2000). This thigmotactic interaction will allow mechanical attachment even where there is only weak chemical bioadhesion. Thus, mechanical interlocking onto surface roughness may supersede the need for chemical compatibility between the bioadhesive and surface chemistry during twine seeding. The success of attachment may then be modulated by the choice of either braided or twisted twine, which provides a different local topographical landscape for the developing juvenile (Salinas et al. 2006).

The problem of oceanic plastic pollution is becoming increasingly prominent in the public conscience. The abrasion of synthetic twines and ropes used by the fishing and aquaculture industries is a substantial source of small- or microplastic fibres (Gewert et al. 2017) which can impact marine organisms (Wright et al. 2013). The selection of renewable biodegradable polymer is recommended for the fledging European macroalgal cultivation industry to ensure its green credentials are maintained, similar to in other marine industries (Winger et al. 2015).

A new method under development for seeding kelp is to use juvenile sporophytes (0.1–2 mm) embedded within a hydrocolloid binder (Kerrison et al. 2018b). This binder method allows direct seeding onto substrates immediately prior to submersion in the sea, but may also be used to seed onto twine within the hatchery. It is hypothesised that the contrasting size and development stage of the juvenile sporophytes compared to single-celled meiospores (⌀ 8 μm) will result in different optimal twine characteristics.

The aim of this study is to determine how the character of the twine selected for the hatchery phase can influence cultivation success, in the hatchery and after outplanting at a seaweed farm. Through four stepwise experiments, we assess the suitability of the following: (1) four synthetic polymer twine chemistries (PA, PES, PP and PVA), (2) the braided and twisted form, (3) surface modification by corona discharge, (3) three nature polymer twines (cotton, jute and sisal) and (4) seeding with either meiospores or sporophytes.

Methods

Synthetic twines (⌀ ca. 2 mm) of polyamide (PA), polyester (PES), polypropylene (PP) and polyvinylalcohol (PVA) were prepared in both braided and twisted forms (Tecnored, ES; Sioen Industries NV, BE; Supp. Table 1). Three natural fibre twines (cotton, jute and sisal; ⌀ ~2 mm) were also sourced separately (Homebase, UK).

In experiment 1 (E1), each twine was wound to tightly cover a glass microscopic slide pre-cleaned with a 24 h soak in 5% Decon 90 detergent (Decon Laboratories Ltd., UK), 24 h in 10% hydrochloric acid, rinsed in ultra-high purity water and dried at 40 °C. The wound twine slides were soaked 24 h in 2% sodium bicarbonate solution, rinsed thoroughly, soaked for a further 24 h in ultra-high purity water and dried at 40 °C. This procedure will remove manufacturing residues and dirt, which can influence the settlement and attachment.
response of marine organisms. Meiospores were extracted from five fertile specimens of *S. latissima*, as described previously (Kerrison et al. 2016). Briefly, sporangial tissue was wiped clean, excised and dried overnight in a refrigerator at 4 °C. They were submerged in 8.5 °C tyndallized seawater (Kawachi and Noël 2005), enriched with F/2 medium without silicate (F/2-Si). These were incubated in the dark for 1 h with agitation every 15 min. The meiospore suspension was then filtered at 50 μm and enumerated using a Sedgwick Rafter counting chamber.

Twine slides were submerged within independent borosilicate glass basins (*n* = 5) containing 150 mL of 8.5 °C F/2-Si and 0.125 mL saturated germanium dioxide solution·L⁻¹ (Kerrison et al. 2016) and shaken to remove air bubbles. Glass slides alone were used as the control (*n* = 5). One hundred thousand meiospores were introduced into each basin and incubated 48 h in the dark at 8.5 °C. The twine slides were then transferred to basins containing 150 mL of fresh medium and were incubated at 15–25 μmol photons·m⁻²·s⁻¹, 12:12 L:D under warm white fluorescent lighting with gentle bubbling. Every 7 days, thereafter, the lighting was increased to 30–50 μmol photons·m⁻²·s⁻¹ and the twines were transferred to new basins of F/2-Si, without GeO₂ until week 6, following the recommendations of Kerrison et al. (2016).

In experiment 2 (E2), a set of PA, PES, PP and PVA twisted twine was passed five times, through a 1000 W corona treater (Ahlbrandt Systems, GE) at 2 m min⁻¹. Both corona-treated and untreated twines were wrapped tightly around the centre of pre-cleaned glass slides to cover ~ 20 mm; this was due to limited availability of corona treated twine. All twine slides were then cleaned, seeded and incubated as described above, except that they were maintained at 15–25 μmol photons·m⁻²·s⁻¹ through the entire 6-week cultivation and not bubbled. After 4 weeks, duplicate slide sections (37.2 mm²) were photographed using stereomicroscopy allowing the apparent sporophyte density and the length of the five largest sporophytes to be determined using Image J 1.46r (National Institutes of Health, USA). After 6 weeks, the entire slide was photographed to determine the length of the five largest sporophytes and to estimate the sporophyte coverage (%).

At the end of E1 and E2, the twine was removed from the slide and wrapped around 1-m length of PES rope (⌀ 8 mm; Bexco NV-SA, BE). For experiment 3 (E3), these 1-m ropes were deployed at the Sound of Kerrera experimental seaweed farm, Scotland (56.3820° N, 5.5370° E), on 23 March 2015 to monitor their grow-out. The ropes were mounted in a randomised order on a ladder system, 1 m apart at a depth of 1.5 m (Supp. Fig. 1). The lines were harvested after 5 months (13 August 2015). The length of the five largest fronds was recorded, and the fresh mass of the section recorded after water was shaken off for 30 s.

In experiment 4 (E4), twine slides were prepared and cleaned as in E1. These were seeded with a sporophyte culture at a density of 10 sporophytes·cm⁻² in a 2% BinderA hydrocolloid solution (SAMS, UK). The cultures were then maintained as in E1. After 5 weeks, a photograph of each slide was used to determine the apparent sporophyte density. The twines were then suspended, 10 cm apart and 5 cm above the bottom of outdoor tanks 2.6 × 0.6 × 0.5 m, which received a constant flow of sand-filtered natural seawater. After 3 months, individual sporophytes with no interaction to neighbours were selected for detachment force trials following the protocol in Kerrison et al. (2018a). Briefly, a digital force gauge (FK25, **Fig. 1** a Density of *Saccharina latissima* sporophytes in experiment 1. a Four weeks after seeding from meiospores onto different twine materials and b visually estimated coverage 5 wk after seeding. Five synthetic twines were examined in both braided (cross-hatched) and twisted (hatched) forms: polyamide (PA), two polyester twines (PES₁ and PES₂), polypropylene (PP) and polyvinylalcohol (PVA). Significant difference between braided and twisted pairs are shown *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Three natural fibre twines were also examined: cotton (C), jute (J) and sisal (S). A glass microscopic slide (G) was used as a control. Shown is mean ± standard deviation.
Sauter, DE) was clipped to the base of the frond (> 10 mm) and pulled perpendicular to the twine until either the sporophyte detached or broke. Six replicates were completed for each twine, where present, and the morphological characteristics of these sporophytes recorded: frond length, width, stipe length, and length of three largest hapterae.

**Statistics**

Before analysis, all data were log transformed and tested for normality (Anderson and Darling 1952) and homoscedasticity (Levene 1960). Significant differences were tested using one- or two-way analysis of variance (AN, 2wAN), followed by post hoc Fisher’s (phF) to examine individual differences between treatments. Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corp., USA) and Minitab 15.1.0.0 (LEAD Technologies, Inc., USA) were used for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Experiment 1 (E1): material selection when seeding with meiospores**

After 4 weeks, the glass slide control had a sporophyte density of 4.35 ± 0.68 mm⁻² with mean maximum length of 0.97 ± 0.25 mm. The natural fibre materials adversely affected the meiospore survival and/or development compared to the control (all \(P < 0.001\)): no sporophytes were apparent on either jute or sisal (Supp. Fig. 2), while only a very low density (0.19 ± 0.07 mm⁻²) of small sporophytes (0.04 ± 0.03 mm) was present on cotton (Fig. 1a; Table 1). On the five synthetic twines examined, the sporophyte length after 4 weeks was not significantly affected by polymer choice or the braided/twisted form (Table 1; \(P > 0.05\)), with the exception of twisted PA where the sporophytes were 50% smaller than on the glass control (phF \(P < 0.05\)). Conversely, the sporophyte density after 4 weeks was significantly affected by the choice of polymer (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,4,30} = 8.7; P < 0.0001\)), with a 50% lower density on PA (phF, \(P < 0.05\); 1.98 ± 0.99 mm⁻²) and 35% lower density on PVA, although this was not significant (\(P > 0.05\); 2.83 ± 1.15 mm⁻²). Twisted twine resulted in significantly lower sporophyte densities (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,4,30} = 15.3; P < 0.0001\)). PA, PP and PVA twisted twine had 46 ± 10% fewer sporophytes. The exception was on PES twine where no difference was seen (\(P > 0.05\); Fig. 1b).

After 5 weeks, the sporophyte mean maximum length was still not significantly affected by the synthetic polymer choice or braided/twisted form (\(P > 0.05\); Table 1). Conversely, the sporophyte coverage was significantly affected by both the polymer choice (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,4,30} = 7.2; P < 0.0001\)) and braided/twisted (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,4,30} = 35.2; P < 0.0001\)), with a significant interaction (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,4,30} = 5.3; P < 0.005\)). Braided materials were not significantly different from the glass control (\(P > 0.05\)), while twisted materials had significantly lower coverage on all materials (AN, \(F_{1,6} = 6.3–26.4\); \(P < 0.01–0.05\)) except PES1 (\(P > 0.05\)). The difference between braided and twisted forms was 81–87% on PA and PVA, 53% on PES2 and 21% on PP. Data was not collected from the natural polymers due to negligible coverage visible.

**Experiment 2 (E2): corona twines with meiospore seeding**

After 4 weeks of growth, a significant difference was seen in both the mean maximum length and the density of sporophytes on the four synthetic polymers (2wAN, \(F_{4,1,3,24} = 4.4–14.4; P < 0.05–0.0001\)). In both cases, this was due to PVA, where the sporophytes were 25% smaller (Table 1; 0.32 ± 0.08 mm) and 56% less numerous (Fig. 2a; 2.96 ± 0.77 mm⁻²) than on the other materials (0.43 ± 0.08 mm and 6.68 ± 1.55 mm⁻²; Supp. Fig. 3).

**Table 1** Mean maximum length of *Saccharina latissima* sporophytes on different twine 4–6 wk. after meiospore seeding in the laboratory (experiments 1 and 2), and 5 mo after seeding following outplanting at a seaweed farm (experiment 3). Shown is mean ± standard deviation

| Polymer     | Length (mm) | Length (cm) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|             | 4 wk        | 5/6 wk      | Ca. 5 mo    |
| Experiment 1|             |             |             |
| PA Br       | 0.82 ± 0.13 | 3.07 ± 0.67 | 103 ± 11    |
| PA Tw       | 0.48 ± 0.13 | 2.46 ± 0.73 | 108 ± 11    |
| PES1 Br     | 0.82 ± 0.12 | 3.13 ± 0.60 | 100 ± 15    |
| PES1 Tw     | 0.72 ± 0.12 | 3.19 ± 0.52 | 114 ± 12    |
| PES2 Br     | 0.83 ± 0.18 | 4.09 ± 1.29 | n.t.        |
| PES2 Tw     | 0.78 ± 0.17 | 3.55 ± 0.29 | n.t.        |
| PP Br       | 0.83 ± 0.10 | 3.59 ± 0.34 | 110 ± 5     |
| PP Tw       | 0.83 ± 0.16 | 4.17 ± 1.38 | 104 ± 2     |
| PVA Br      | 0.86 ± 0.22 | 4.28 ± 1.12 | 113 ± 12    |
| PVA Tw      | 0.71 ± 0.12 | 3.76 ± 0.50 | 106 ± 5     |
| Glass       | 0.97 ± 0.25 | 3.87 ± 1.62 | n.t.        |
| Cotton      | 0.04 ± 0.03 | n.t.        | 115 ± 6     |
| Jute        | n.d.        | n.t.        | n.t.        |
| Sisal       | n.d.        | n.t.        | n.t.        |
| Experiment 2|             |             |             |
| PA Tw       | 0.44 ± 0.09 | 2.69 ± 0.78 | 103 ± 4     |
| PA Twc      | 0.45 ± 0.07 | 2.53 ± 0.53 | 106 ± 4     |
| PES Tw      | 0.36 ± 0.11 | 2.48 ± 0.35 | 104 ± 6     |
| PES Twc     | 0.42 ± 0.04 | 2.60 ± 0.62 | 104 ± 3     |
| PP Twc      | 0.46 ± 0.07 | 2.20 ± 0.21 | 98 ± 4      |
| PP Twc      | 0.42 ± 0.11 | 2.69 ± 0.30 | 105 ± 5     |
| PVA Twc     | 0.36 ± 0.10 | 2.32 ± 0.69 | 108 ± 11    |
| PVA Twc     | 0.28 ± 0.04 | 2.84 ± 0.31 | 107 ± 6     |

PA polyamide, PES1/PES2 polyesther from different suppliers, PP polypropylene, PVA polyvinylalcohol, Br braided, Tw twisted, c corona-treated, n.d. not detected, n.t. not tested.
After 6 weeks, all twines had a similar mean maximum length (P > 0.05) of 2.54 ± 0.49 mm. The sporophyte density was still significantly affected by polymer type (Fig. 2b; 2wAN, $F_{1,3,24} = 39.2; P < 0.001$). Highest density was seen on PP and PES (2.18 ± 0.49 mm$^{-2}$), with less seen on PA (1.36 ± 0.50 mm$^{-2}$) and PVA (0.39 ± 0.21 mm$^{-2}$). Corona treatment alone did not affect the density (P > 0.05); however, there was a significant interaction with a higher density seen on corona-treated PVA (+120%; AN, $F_{1,6} = 7.1; P < 0.05$) and lower density on treated PES (−30%; AN, $F_{1,6} = 15.2; P < 0.01$).

**Experiment 3 (E3): outplanted polymer and corona twines**

All treatments from the polymer twine experiment (E1) reached a mean maximum length of 107 ± 10 cm with no significant effect of twisted/braided or polymer (P > 0.05; Table 1). However, the final fresh mass was affected due to twisting/braiding (2wAN, $F_{3,1,3,24} = 12.1; P < 0.005$), with twisting increasing it by 37 ± 21% from 4.5 ± 0.7 to 6.1 ± 0.3 kg (Fig. 3a). There was no significant influence of polymer on the final fresh mass or any interaction (P > 0.05).

All treatments from the corona twine experiment (E2) treatments reached a mean maximum length of 104 ± 6 cm with no significant effect of polymer or corona (P > 0.05; Table 1). The final fresh mass was increased by the corona treatment (2wAN, $F_{3,1,3,24} = 10.2; P < 0.01$; Fig. 3b) and varied with the polymer type (2wAN, $F_{3,1,3,24} = 3.9; P < 0.05$). The largest increase in fresh mass due to corona treatment was 34% on PP: 3.7 ± 0.3 to 5.0 ± 0.5 kg. The smallest increase of 15% was seen on PA: 3.5 ± 0.3 to 4.0 ± 0.7 kg. PVA was equally effective with or without the corona treatment (4.7 ± 0.5 kg; P > 0.05).

**Experiment 4 (E4): material selection with sporophyte seeding**

When twine was seeded using sporophytes, a significant difference in sporophyte density was seen due to polymer choice (AN, $F_{3,2,8} = 2.7; P < 0.05$) and the braided/twisted forms (2wAN, $F_{3,1,5,12} = 12.5; P < 0.005$; Fig. 4a). Surface roughness was observed to influence the sporophyte density. The smooth glass control had a sporophyte density similar to the braided twines (1.3 ± 0.5 cm$^{-2}$; P > 0.05), whereas the
sporophyte density was high on both twisted twine (2.8 ± 1.7 cm$^{-2}$) and the natural fibres (3.9 ± 2.2 cm$^{-2}$).

Juvenile sporophyte detachment force was not affected by braided/twisted polymer form ($P > 0.05$); however, there was a significant difference between the polymers tested (AN, $F_{5,50} = 8.2; P < 0.0001$). The greatest attachment force of 2.85 ± 0.65 N was seen on PV A and cotton, whereas all other materials had an attachment force of 1.43 ± 0.74 N; Fig. 4b). Only two samples were tested for sisal, and so, it could not be tested for significance.

During the attachment force test, about half of the sporophytes broke at the stipe or frond rather than detach from the twine. Detachment occurred most often (63–75%) on the synthetic polymers PA, PES and PP, but only 17–33% on PVA, cotton and jute. The force required for detachment of the holdfast (1.42 ± 0.65 N) was significantly lower that the force at which the sporophyte broke (2.37 ± 0.85 N; AN, $F_{1,55} = 18.3; P < 0.0001$). No pattern was seen regarding detachment force and the sporophyte morphological parameters ($P > 0.05$; Supp. Table 2).

**Discussion**

We report very large differences in the suitability of twine, depending on numerous factors including: polymer chemistry, natural or synthetic, twisted or braided, corona treatment and the developmental stage used for seeding. These results are applicable to both researchers and commercial cultivators.

**Synthetic twines**

Meiospore-seeded twines of PP, PES and PA all produced a dense coverage of sporophytes in the hatchery phase (1–4 sporophyte·mm$^{-2}$), and produced a yield of 4–6 kg m$^{-1}$ at harvest. In contrast, smooth films of PP and PES have been found to be incompatible with the holdfast bioadhesive, leading to their eventual detachment (Kerrison et al. 2018a). The successful seeding and harvest of PP and PES twines in this study shows the importance of mechanical interlocking to the attachment of *S. latissima*, similar to natural kelp on rocks (Milligan and DeWreede 2000): the thigmotactic interlocking of the holdfast with the surface structure of the twine has superseded the need for a strong chemical bioadhesion.

Despite mechanical attachment being highly effective, the surface chemistry still has a role. The attachment force of sporophytes grown on PVA was twice that on PP, PES and PA and resulted in an increased final yield of 11–24%. PVA is widely used for macroalgal cultivation in SE Asia (Werner and Dring 2011; Kuraway 2015) and has recently been validated as ideal for bioadhesion of Phaeophyceae macroalgae (Kerrison et al. 2019). The strong chemical interaction of the holdfast bioadhesive with PVA decreases the likelihood of juvenile detachment and so maximises the final yield achieved through cultivation. Strong bioadhesion will also increase the reliability of cultivation, as it will decrease the risk of juvenile detachment, for example, due to abrasion against the carrier rope or turbulence during storms.

**Hatchery studies do not inform us about success at sea**

We have determined that it is not possible to extrapolate the performance of small sporophytes in the hatchery, to their final yield after outplanting. Firstly, as reported in Kerrison et al. (2019), meiospore settlement tends to be higher on high $\theta_w$ materials and lower at low $\theta_w$. This favours a higher initial density on materials that are less suitable for sporophyte attachment, because the Phaeophyceae bioadhesive (Bitton et al. 2006) does not bond well to surfaces with a low $\theta_w$ (Kerrison et al. 2019). Broadly, this is the pattern we observe.
with a lower sporophyte density on PVA ($\theta_w 70^\circ$) compared to PP ($\theta_w 102^\circ$). Secondly, the flow environment inhabited by the juveniles and the adults is very different. Small sporophytes of kelp (<1 cm) grow in a viscous-dominated, low Reynolds’s number condition (Vogel 1996), and so remain attached despite weak bioadhesion (Kerrison et al. 2017). Yet, with a weak attachment, they can be easily detached due to abrasion or drag as they grow larger and experience the more turbulent environment of higher Reynolds’s numbers (Kerrison et al. 2018, 2019). This is a very important in the context of hatchery cultivation, as a dense coverage of juveniles is not a guarantee that once outplanted a high yield will be achieved. A twine with a lower sporophyte density and patchy growth in the hatchery can give a higher yield (e.g., comparing PVA and PP in E3). To draw reliable conclusions, future hatchery studies should ensure that the adhesion of juvenile seaweed is challenged using either pull tests, high velocity water jets or physical abrasion (Finlay et al. 2002; Kerrison et al. 2018a).

**Toxic leachates, additives and cleaning the twine**

During the manufacture of synthetic polymers, complex additive mixtures are incorporated to alter the characteristics of the final product, including the colour, flexibility and degradability (Deanin 1975). These additives can modulate macroalgal bioadhesion by altering the surface chemistry (Kerrison et al. 2019), and may release leachates that interfere with algal development (Dyer and Richardson 1962; Blankley 1973; Kerrison et al. 2017). This means that different sources of a particular polymer may give different results during cultivation trials (Dyer and Richardson 1962). In E1, we found differences in the sporophyte coverage between PES twine produced by two manufacturers. Also, in previous studies, solid PA was determined to be a highly suitable material for *S. latissima* cultivation due to a low $\theta_w$ and strong bioadhesion (Kerrison et al. 2017, 2018, 2019). Yet, in the present study, PA twine was equally successful to PP twine, which has a weak bioadhesion with *S. latissima*. We hypothesise that this may be due to the inclusion of plasticizers within the PA twine to make it more flexible, based on a similar difference observed between solid and flexible polyvinylchloreide (Kerrison unpublished results).

Our present study also reports that the development rate of *S. latissima* was slowed on PA in E1 and PVA in E2, yet this was not consistent between experiments and was not seen in previous studies on *S. latissima* (Kerrison et al. 2017, 2019). These differences may be due to an inefficiency of pre-cleaning the twine using 2% NaHCO$_3$ method. During textile manufacture, spinning oils or waxes are added to lubricate the strands. These and any other dirt/surface leachate should be fully removed to prevent any negative impact on the attachment or development of macroalgal juveniles. For future work, we recommend the use of boiling or strong detergent as used in previous studies where no negative effects were reported (Salinas et al. 2006; Shea and Chopin 2007; Edwards and Watson 2011; Kerrison et al. 2017).

**Favoured twisted twine**

The structural form of the twine—twisted or braided—had a surprisingly large impact on juvenile development and the final yield. When three-stranded 2-mm twine is wound onto a surface, the surface topography varied by ~1 mm between prominent and recessed regions. When meiospores were seeded onto this surface, sporophytes tended to only develop on the prominent regions. This reduced the effective growing area of the twine, causing a lower density and coverage of juvenile sporophytes after 4 or 5 weeks, respectively. In contrast, sporophytes on braided twine had a similar density and coverage to the glass control; this may be because the hollow structure of braided twine flattens when wound against the surface.

These findings alone would suggest that braided twine is a superior choice, as concluding by Salinas et al. (2006) for *Undaria pinnatifida* and *S. latissima*. Nonetheless, following outplanting, the opposite result is seen: twisted twine produced 25% more biomass than braided. We identify three factors that may be responsible. First, the flat topography of braided twine reduces the opportunities for early thigmotactic interaction compared with the twisted twine. Second, the flat form of braided twine will have increased the likelihood that when unreeled onto the longline, the seeded side of the twine will lie pressed against the rope, creating denuded areas of longline. Third, twisted twine can be wrapped more closely around the longline and has greater elasticity; braided twine tends to sag away from the longline preventing holdfast attachment to the carrier rope (pers. obs).

When seeding sporophytes onto twine using the binder method (Kerrison et al. 2018b), twisted twine allowed a higher density of sporophyte to develop, compared to braided twine or glass. The more varied topography of the twisted twine provides recesses where the binder carrying the sporophytes can become trapped (Kerrison et al. 2018b). These recesses may also protect the binder from being washed away, allowing a higher density of sporophytes to become attached.

In summary, these findings indicate that twisted twine should be used in favour of braided during the seeding of any development stage. The topography of the twisted form provides more opportunity for early thigmotactic attachment, provides recesses that aid binder-seeding and wraps more favourable around the longline rope.

**Natural fibres**

The selection of a renewable biodegradable polymer for seaweed cultivation is highly favourable to prevent microplastic...
release from the cultivation structures (Wright et al. 2013). Lignocellulose fibres of sisal and jute biodegrade in seawater (Holt 1984; Winger et al. 2015) may be a substitute for non-biodegradable plastics. To this end, we examined the suitability of three materials as seeding twines for *Saccharina latissima* cultivation: sisal, jute and cotton.

Both sisal and jute were highly unsuitable when seeded with meiospores. Very few surviving sporophytes were observed after 6 weeks, despite a dense initial meiospore settlement. This agrees with some preliminary work by Holt (1984), where a similar toxic/developmental inhibition effect on kelp meiospore was reported. Leaching compounds are thought to be responsible, as aqueous extract from dried sisal and jute reduce seed germination and growth in some higher plants (Chowdhury et al. 2009). Nevertheless, it should be noted that other macroalgae are unaffected by this leachate (Geng et al. 2009). Nevertheless, synthetic twines are likely to be favoured from sisal or jute can be removed by a similar process; so sisal or jute may only be toxic to specific species or groups.

Another natural lignocellulose–palm fibre–has been used traditionally in China. The sap is known to be toxic to juvenile kelps, causing growth malformations or killing them outright (FAO 1989, 2004). To prevent this, the fibres are extensively treated beforehand involving: ageing, dry and wet hammering, re-boiling, washing, and finally, sun drying (FAO 2004). It is likely that the toxic leachate from sisal or jute can be removed by a similar process; nevertheless, synthetic twines are likely to be favoured since they provide consistent qualities and require less pre-treatment.

Despite the apparent toxicity of jute and sisal towards meiospores, binder-seeding with sporophytes was successful, with no toxicity or developmental inhibition observed. The rough texture allowed a higher density of juveniles to become establish compared to the control. However, the attachment force was far below on PVA, and so jute and sisal are not recommended as a suitable substitute for synthetic twine.

Cotton is a component of the standard twine used for kelp cultivation in China (Pang et al. 2009). In this study, it initially appeared unsuitable for meiospore seeding, with slow growth and low density indicating a possible moderate toxic effect. Despite this, after cultivation at sea, the yield of cotton was equivalent to the best synthetic twine, PVA. When binder-seeding sporophytes onto cotton, the density of established sporophytes was higher than on most synthetic twines. In addition, the attachment force was high, equivalent to PVA. These results may be explained by cotton’s physical structure, which is composed of numerous hollow cellulose fibres of Φ 11–22 μm (Cotton Inc. 2018). These form a very fluffy surface structure with excellent water retaining properties. In the case of binder-seeding with sporophytes, this complex structure emmeshed the binder and provided the sporophyte holdfast with numerous attachment points and early thigmotactic attachment. This makes cotton an excellent option when binder-seeding sporophytes.

### Surface topographic complexity

Increasing twine surface roughness by sanding is known to improve the retention of spray-seeded gametophytes, which physically entangled in the more fluffy structure (Salinas et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2018) and so sisal or jute may only be toxic to specific species or groups.

Conclusions

Through an experimental approach combining both hatchery and outplanting phase experimentation, we have determined that the characteristics of the settlement twine can influence the development of *Saccharina latissima* juveniles. The main findings were:
1. Twisted twine performed better than braided, for both meiospore and sporophyte seeding.

2. PA, PES and PP twine gave a lower final yield than PVA, due to weaker bioadhesion of juveniles.

3. Corona treatment of PA, PES and PP twine improved the final yield, equaling that of PVA.

4. Jute and sisal were toxic to meiospores. These materials were successful when sporophyte seeding, but bioadhesion was weak.

5. Fluffy cotton twine, slowed the growth of meiospores, yet the yield and bioadhesive force were equivalent to PVA. Less fluffy cotton may be highly suitable as a biodegradable twine.
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