Abstract

Results of the beam dynamics simulation in a linear accelerator at full energy 1.5 – 2.0 GeV for an international project – CERN Future Circular Collider (FCC-ee) are presented. FCC is developing designs for a higher performance particle collider to extend the research currently being conducted at the Large Hadron Collider, once the latter reaches the end of its lifespan. Beam dynamics simulations done using BEAMDULAC-BL code developed in NRNU MEPhI. This code allows taking into account both the quasistatic and high-frequency self-field components.
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1 Introduction

The Future Circular Collider (FCC) is one of the most prospective and ambitious projects of future [Abada, 2019 EPJ C; Abada, 2019 EPJ ST 228(2); Abada, 2019 EPJ ST 228(4); Abada, 2019 EPJ ST 228(5)]. Few layouts of the electron beam injection systems were early discussed [Oide et al., 2016; Papaphilippou, 2016 FCC Meeting; Papaphilippou et al., 2016 IPAC; Ogur et al., 2018 FCC Meeting; Ogur et al., 2018 eeFACT; Ogur et al., 2019]. But all layouts will include the e-linac with energy of few GeV. The beam dynamics simulations were done at NRNU MEPhI [Bondarenko et al., 2016; Bondarenko et al., 2017] using BEAMDULAC-BL code developed at MEPhI [Masunov et al., 2006; Masunov et al., 2008; Masunov et al., 2010; Bondarenko et al., 2013; Polozov, 2018]. As it was discussed many times, we have a possibility to use the scheme of injector with two types of the first sections (1) RF-gun with photocathode for 300 pC bunches for injection into booster (RF-gun-v2); (2) RF-gun with thermocathode as an option for high intensity 6 nC drive bunches production for e-e+ conversion (RF-gun-v3). The possible layout of linac is presented in Figure 1. The beam dynamics simulation was presented and discussed in [Bondarenko et al., 2016; Bondarenko et al., 2017]. The main results are described below:

I) A 300 pC and 10 ps bunch can be easily accelerated in RF-gun-v2 version (results of beam dynamics simulation in RF-gun-v1 are presented in Bondarenko et al., 2016). Here and following all simulations done for 3000 MHz structures. The current transmission coefficient is close to 100 % and RF field amplitude of 600 kV/cm is quite enough to have 10.5 MeV after photogun. Such energy is necessary for effective recapturing by the second section, as it was presented at FCC Meeting 2016. The bunch energy spread FWHM (full width at half maximum) is ±3 % (or ±300 keV) and we can suppose that output energy spread after 10 or 20 regular sections with $\beta_{ph}=1$ will not be higher than 0.5-1.0 %. Beam loading effect is not sufficient here: one bunch decreases RF field amplitude less than 0.5 % and such beam loading can be easily compensated by RF feed system. Transverse focusing can be effective using solenoid of 0.1 T on axis.

II) Beam dynamics in RF-gun-v2 structure was also simulated for 1-6 nC bunches. It was shown that the current transmission coefficient will drop fast for high bunch charge, as example it will not be higher than 60 % for 6 nC bunches. The RF-gun-v2 will have current limitation due to this and cannot be effectively used as nC bunch accelerator for bunches
with population higher of 2 nC. The bunch spectrum width will also increase fast vs the bunch charge.

III) Simulations show that high bunch intensities lead to high back currents. Sufficient Coulomb “head-tail” repulsion was observed. Head-tail difference of RF field amplitude due to high bunch phase size and beam loading effect leads to energy spectrum growth.

IV) One interesting effect was observed for high intensity bunches: beam spectrum and capturing coefficient are sufficiently dependent on initial bunch phase distribution. Two phase distributions were used: Kapchinsky-Vladimirsky (KV) and uniform. The capturing coefficient is \(\sim 5\%\) higher for KV and energy spread is less for KV distribution. It can be possible that for short (100 fs-1 ps) laser pulses a phase distribution is close to uniform, but for higher pulse durations it can be different.

V) We need to do more intensive studies of near-cathode processes including review of references in field of photo emission. Bunch duration of 10 ps is much higher than relaxation time in metal and we can have an electron depletion for laser exposed volume. Back current influence the beam emission, double layer problems and emission processes including possible depletion should be studied in details.

VI) RF-gun with thermocathode (RF-gun-v3) was proposed to accelerate nC bunches. Beam dynamics simulations results shows that for such gun the capturing coefficient can be increased to 90-95\% for 3 nC bunches and to 70-80\% for 6 nC bunches. But FWHM energy spectrum enlarges to \(\pm 20\%\) for 6 nC bunches compared to \(\pm (8-11)\%\) for photogun_v2. But here we should note, that energy spectrum for thermionic guns is defined not only by Coulomb repulsion but by phase velocities and RF field amplitudes in first 2-4 cells where electron velocities are sufficiently less than 1. Energy spread can be decreased by initial cells parameters optimization (it is planned for future simulations). The optimal injection energy for RF-gun with thermocathode is 100 keV.

2 RF-gun with Thermionic Cathode and Beam Dynamics

As it is clear we have no problems for 300 pC bunches generation and acceleration but for 6 nC bunches the structure and beam dynamics simulation results will be sufficiently improved.

First initial cells of the RF-gun with thermionic cathode were optimized to increase the capturing coefficient. The optimized version (called RF-gun-v4) will have three cells with adiabatically increasing phase velocity \(\beta_{ph}=0.92, 0.96, 0.99\) (compared to four cells for RF-gun-v3 with \(\beta_{ph}=0.9, 0.91, 0.98, 0.99\)). The solenoid field also should be increased up to 0.6 T on the channel axis (0.4 T for RF-gun-v3) to control the beam envelope and transverse emittance.

The RF-gun-v4 structure and the bunch have the following characteristics: the structure consists of 8 accelerating and 7 coupling cells, there are no side coupled cells, first three cells have the phase velocities less than 1, last accelerating cell have no coupling one and its length was enlarged, total section length is \(\sim 31\) cm, channel aperture radius 10 mm, coupling cell length is 4 mm, diaphragm thickness 4 mm, shunt impedance \(\sim 80\) MOhm/m. The simulation was done for bunch charge 6 nC and bunch duration of 10 ps, the injection energies was chosen equal to 50±0.5 keV, 100±1.0 keV, 200±2.0 keV, the initial transverse emittance is 20 mm-mrad. The results of the beam dynamics simulations are given in Figures 2-5 for RF fields of 800 kV/cm and 1000 kV/cm.

Beam dynamics simulation results shows that the capturing coefficient is \(\sim 85-90\%\) for 6 nC bunch (comparatively 70-80\% for RF-gun-v3) depending on injection phase, initial phase distribution and bunch current, output energy about 6-7 MeV for 800 kV/cm and 1000 kV/cm, output beam spectrum FWHM \(\pm 20-40\%\).

The main remarks can be done after next simulations:

1. Beam dynamics, including capturing, Coulomb repulsion, head-tail effects, energy spread are defined by the bunch charge and by the initial phase-energy distribution;

2. as it can be seen in Figures 3-5, Kapchinsky-Vladimirsky (KV) initial phase distribution give better results compared to normal one;

3. the field distribution in the first accelerating cells play the key role in bunch formation and, further, to capturing coefficient and energy spread;

4. it should be noted that 1000 kV/cm give no preferences for beam dynamics compared to 800 kV/cm;

3 Photogun Beam Dynamics

The RF-gun-v2 structure also was optimized for decrease the energy spread and to enlarge the capturing coefficient. After that the RF-gun-v2 structure and...
the bunch have the following characteristics: the structure consists of 8 accelerating and 7 coupling cells, there are no side coupled cells, first three cells have the phase velocities of $\beta_{\phi_1}=0.92$ (half cell), $0.96$, $0.99$, last accelerating cell have no coupling ones and its length was enlarged, total section length is $\sim 31$ cm, channel aperture radius $10$ mm, coupling cell length is $4$ mm, diaphragm thickness $4$ mm, shunt impedance $\sim 80$ MOhm/m, solenoid field was varied from 0.05 to 0.6 T.

Figure 2. Phase portraits and output energy spectrums for different bunch injection phase, injection energy $100$ keV, $E_z=800$ kV/cm, the bunch charge $6$ nC, uniformly and KV initial phase distribution.

The simulation was done for bunch charge $6$ nC and bunch duration of $10$ ps, the initial transverse emittance is $20$ mm-mrad. Some initial phase-energy particles distributions were simulated: normal and KV phase distribution were studied, the initial energy spread influence was also discussed. The results of the beam dynamics simulations are given in Figure 5 for RF fields of $E_z=800$ kV/cm.

It is clear that RF-gun-v3 can realize more effective bunch capturing compared to RF-gun-v2 version, but electron’s losses of $15-20$ % are still very high. Higher solenoid focusing field give us not only higher capturing coefficient but also the lower energy spread. It should be noted that the phase loses for all simulation variants are close to $15-17$ %, other particles are lost transversally. For all variants the optimal injection phase $\delta \phi$ is close to $3.4$. In case when $\delta \phi=3.0-3.2$ the higher energy $\approx 12-12.5$ MeV is observed, but the energy spectrum FWHM is wider and equals $\approx \pm 15-19$ %, current transmission coefficient is the same. For the injection phase $\delta \phi=3.5$ the energy spectrum is much better $\approx \pm 7-8$ %, but output energy is $\approx 5-5.5$ MeV.

All results noted above leads to an evident conclusion: beam dynamics of the high-intensity bunch, capturing efficiency, energy spread are defined by the bunch emission process, RF and magnetic field values near cathode and Coulomb effects at the first $2-3$ mm of trajectory where electrons are non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic.

Figure 3. Phase portraits and output energy spectrums for different bunch injection phase, injection energy $50$ keV, $E_z=800$ kV/cm, the bunch charge $6$ nC, uniformly and KV initial phase distribution.

Figure 4. Phase portraits and output energy spectrums for different bunch injection phase, injection energy $50$ keV, $E_z=1000$ kV/cm, the bunch charge $6$ nC, uniformly and KV initial phase distribution.

The electrons loses of $15-20$ % are much lower than for RF-gun-v2 version ($\sim 40$ %) but they should be sufficiently neglected because about half of the non-captured electrons forms the back-current. We cannot propose a
Figure 5. Phase portraits and output energy spectrums for different bunch injection phase, injection energy 100 keV, $E_z=800$ kV/cm, the bunch charge 6 nC, uniformly and KV initial phase distribution.

Figure 6. Variants of electric field amplitude distribution on cell’s axis.

Figure 7. Beam cross section (the initial distribution is shown in red, the output in blue), phase portrait and output energy spectrum, injection energy 50 keV, $E_z=600$ kV/cm, the bunch charge 6 nC.

4 Conclusions

Results of beam dynamics simulation and electrodynamics study for the new FCC-ee injector linac were presented. Two possible schemes of linac layout were discussed: with single RF photogun, with RF photogun for injecting beam into the booster and RF-gun with thermocathode to drive beam for the $e-/e+$ conversion. It was shown that photogun can produce high-quality bunches of hundreds pC for injection as one could expect. But the capturing coefficient falls for high bunch charge and the bunch quality sufficiently decreases.

A RF-gun with the thermocathode is free from these limitations and can be used to produce drive bunches with high efficiency. But energy spectrum FWHM enlarges to $\sim \pm 20\%$ for 6 nC bunches in thermogun compared to $\pm (8-11)\%$ for RF-gun-v2. Beam loading influence is not sufficient for hundreds pC bunches but should be compensated for drive nC bunches.

A conventional biperiodical acceleration structure (BAS) with the magnetic coupling windows was studied as the base accelerating structure. The high coupling coefficient of 10-12% can be met with BAS. It leads to low time of the transient process and SLED (Stanford Linac Energy Doubler) or any other RF power pulse compression technique can be used for new linac. Any beam loading compensation method should be used for drive bunches acceleration, but loading is much lower for injecting bunches.
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