On May 8th, 2020 the Italian Ministry of Health reported that 217,185 people had been infected by SARS-CoV-2 and among them there were 30,201 fatalities. The Case Fatality Rate (CFR), i.e., the ratio between confirmed deaths and confirmed cases, was therefore 13.9%, and the mortality rate (MR), i.e. the ratio between the confirmed deaths and total exposed population, was 0.05% [1].

Most of these events were recorded in Lombardy, the most densely populated Italian region, where, by April 30th, 2020, about 460,000 people (4.6% of the entire regional population) had undergone nasopharyngeal swabs and 17.4% were positive for COVID-19. Consequently, the CFR was 18.8%, and the MR was 0.15% [2].

Almost one year later, we know that the clinical spectrum of COVID-19 ranges from a totally asymptomatic infection to mild respiratory tract symptoms to severe pneumonia, multi-organ failure, and death [3]. Preexisting conditions including age ≥ 65 years, immunodepression, cardiovascular disease, chronic lung disease, diabetes and chronic kidney disease are associated with a worse prognosis [3, 4]. Dialysis patients may present several of these conditions and may be expected to have a dramatically high risk of death in case of COVID-19.

A few days after the appearance of SARS-CoV-2 in Lombardy, 25 dialysis patients from the Bergamo area were found to be affected. Thereafter, all the dialysis centers applied preventive measures, suspected cases were isolated, and isolation rooms and pathways were identified for patients who tested positive to nasopharyngeal swabs [5].

By the end of April, the Lombardy branch of the Italian Society of Nephrology launched a survey on SARS-CoV-2 infection in dialysis patients. The survey employed an online questionnaire which was sent to all the dialysis facilities, and information on the effects and diffusion of SARS-CoV-2 infection regarding both patients and health care teams were collected. We report herein the data of this survey, focusing mainly on the mortality of dialysis patients, a population which, regardless of COVID-19, has a mortality rate of about 16% per year [6].

Our survey reports on 5385 out of 7040 (76.5%) patients on hemodialysis treatment in the region.

From the beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak until April 30th, 2020, a total of 1722 out of 5,385 dialysis patients (32.0%) underwent nasopharyngeal swab, and among those who did, 553 (32.1%) tested positive and 171 of them died (CFR 30.9%, MR 3.2%).

However, there are some factors that weaken the significance of the CFR attributable to COVID-19 in patients on hemodialysis. The probability of finding positive swabs increases with the number of swabs carried out. The number of swabs performed in the general population of Lombardy was much lower than among dialysis patients (4.6% vs. 32%, respectively). Most deaths occurred in the first 6 weeks after the outbreak of the disease. Thereafter, although the preventive measures taken in all dialysis centers effectively reduced the spread of SARS-CoV-2, in the same period the Italian government imposed a total lockdown, thus drastically reducing the risk of contamination in the general population.
In this setting, the MR is probably a more reliable index, and it resulted 21 times higher in the dialysis than in the general population (3.17% vs. 0.15% respectively).

As previously mentioned, dialysis patients have an MR of about 16% per year [6]. Assuming a homogeneous yearly distribution of mortality rates, 144 out of 5385 dialysis patients would have died within the 2 months of our survey.

In addition to the 171 patients with a positive nasopharyngeal swab who died in the same period, we recorded 60 further deaths in the same period of time, accounting for 231 deaths versus 144 expected deaths.

One of the questions in our survey asked the caregiver nephrologists if they would have been surprised if these patients had died within the following 6 months. Interestingly, 65% answered that these deaths were likely expected, thus suggesting an acceleration of an event that most likely would have occurred with a relatively short delay.

The same comments highlight how very difficult it is to clearly define what the MR directly related to COVID-19 actually is.

It is interesting to note that many dialysis patients had mild symptoms with an elusive course. This is certainly positive for the affected patient but results in a higher probability of spreading SARS-CoV-2 among patients and caregivers.

As a consequence, we believe that frequent testing is essential to reduce the risk of contamination.

The reasons why dialysis patients, despite the high comorbidity burden, may have less aggressive infection, are not clear; one hypothesis is that a less efficient immune system may increase susceptibility, but the inflammatory reaction may be less intense [7]. In addition, exposure to heparin during dialysis may contribute to mitigating endothelial and pulmonary damage [8].

Our survey also included approximately 1550 nurses and 210 physicians, whose age is much lower than that of dialysis patients. Since the nasopharyngeal swab was mostly done in symptomatic cases, asymptomatic infections presumably escaped. Within this limit, 34 out of 210 physicians and 90 out of 1,550 nurses tested positive (7.0% of all health care personnel). Dialysis centers are overcrowded places where patients, nurses, transport workers, cleaning staff, and doctors work together for many hours a week. In the 33 of the 37 dialysis centers that took the survey the dialysis nurses affected by COVID-19 ranged from none to 2, while in 4 centers there were up to 20 symptomatic nurses confined at home, thereby compromising the dialysis organization.

However, by May 22nd, 81,261 health workers had been tested for the presence of specific IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 (Liaison SARS-CoV-2 IgG; DiaSorin, Italy) in Lombardy and 11,295 (13.9%) of them were found positive [9].

This means that we could assume that at least 121 additional dialysis healthcare workers could have been infected and asymptomatic without being diagnosed or isolated.

Three main lessons may be learned from this experience.

1. It would be useful to include versatile rooms in the construction project of any new dialysis facility: for example small 3-bed rooms with dedicated access that could be quickly and easily converted into quarantine chambers to dialyze infected patients during pandemics.
2. Future health crisis planning should foresee an adequate number of doctors and nurses trained to handle life-saving therapies such as dialysis.
3. Regularly test dialysis patients and healthcare professionals, even if they are asymptomatic, to prevent viral spread and to guarantee the efficiency of the facility.

Appendix

List of dialysis centers and contact persons for each center that participated to the survey, shared data and discussed the conclusions.

P. Fabbrini, ASST Nord Milano; S. Badalamenti H. Umanitas-Rozzano, Mi; S. Bertoli Multimedica Milano; S. Bisegna, ASST Melegnano-Martesana, M. Bracchi, ASST Franciacorta; A. Castiglioni, ASST ValleOloa; C. Colturi, ASST Valtellina; E. Costantino, ASST Garda; M. Cozzolino,
ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo; G. De Petri, ASST Crema; M. Doria, Policlinico San Donato; C. Esposito, H. Fondazione Maugeri, Pavia; M. Farina, ASST Lodi; E. Galli, ASST Bergamo Ovest-Treviglio; M. Gallieni, ASST Sacco-Fatebenefratelli Mi; A. Gazo, ASST-Pavia-Vigevano; C. Guastoni, ASST Ovest Milano; F. Ledda, H Umanitas-Gavazzeni, Bergamo; M. Lorenz, Policlinico San Marco, Zingonia; G. Mazzola, ASST Mantova; G. Melfa, ASST-Como; P. Messa, ASST-Policlinico Milano; A. Materangeli, Policlinico Ponte San Pietro, Bergamo; F. Milanesi, ASST Pavia Voghera; E. Minetti ASST Grande ospedale metropolitano, Milano; G. Montini, Fondazione Ca Granda Policlinico, Milano; P. Onedi, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo; F. Pieruzzi, ASST Monza e Desio; T. Rampino Fondazione Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia; R. Floreani ASST-Settelaghi, Varese; R. Savino, ASST Rhodense; P. Scalia, ASST Settelaghi, Tradate; G. Slaviero, H. San Raffaele, Milano.
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