Reliability of Self-Sampling for Accurate Assessment of Respiratory Virus Viral and Immunologic Kinetics
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The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic demonstrates the need for accurate and convenient approaches to diagnose and therapeutically monitor respiratory viral infections. We demonstrated that self-sampling with mid-nasal foam swabs is well-tolerated and provides quantitative viral output concordant with flocked swabs. Using longitudinal home-based self-sampling, we demonstrate that nasal cytokine levels correlate and cluster according to immune cell of origin. Periods of stable viral loads are followed by rapid elimination, which could be coupled with cytokine expansion and contraction. Nasal foam swab self-sampling at home provides a precise, mechanistic readout of respiratory virus shedding and local immune responses.
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The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is an unprecedented event in modern history. As of August 4, there were approximately 18.5 million documented COVID-19 cases and 700,000 deaths worldwide with rapidly expanding outbreaks ongoing in dozens of countries [1]. In all likelihood, this highly contagious and lethal respiratory virus will circulate widely for years to come [2].

A critical research priority is to develop rapid molecular tests that provide accurate diagnosis, determine infectiousness and transmissibility, and allow for monitoring of viral load during therapy [3]. For numerous viral infections, including influenza, viral load correlates with disease severity and secondary household attack rate [4–6]. Early studies suggest that peak viral load differentiates mild from severe COVID-19 [7]. Furthermore, viral load monitoring during antiviral therapy is a mainstay for various human infections including human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B, cytomegalovirus, and hepatitis C infections [8–14]. For viruses such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) for which severe clinical outcomes are rare, viral load may serve as a useful surrogate marker to design smaller, but still sufficiently powered treatment studies [7, 15].

Another major unmet medical need is the ability to frequently measure the local mucosal immune response during infection. It is increasingly recognized that tissue resident T cells and antigen-presenting cells are phenotypically and functionally distinct from circulating immune cells, especially in respiratory viral infections [16–18]. Therefore, measuring immune responses in blood can fundamentally misclassify the agents responsible for local viral elimination. Important shifts in the immune response against respiratory viruses likely occur rapidly and in stages during the early and late phases of viral shedding [19], and serial measurement of local cytokines may provide a window into the local cellular response [20].

Self-testing for respiratory viruses has been successfully performed both in research and primary care, but regulatory agencies have been slow to accept patient collected samples as valid, especially in the home setting. Currently licensed flocked swabs may not be optimal for patients with vulnerable mucosal membranes and low platelet counts (eg, following cytotoxic chemotherapy) because they are associated with discomfort and possible bleeding. Moreover, discomfort may deter participants from collecting longitudinal samples. Importantly, a reliable and comfortable home-based self-testing methodology is needed to prevent potentially infected individuals from entering healthcare facilities to be tested and transmitting virus to healthcare workers and other patients. Initial data on foam swabs are promising, suggesting a broader role for home-based self-swabbing for respiratory viral pandemics [21, 22].
Here we report data on a novel respiratory virus detection method using self-collected nasal foam swabs. This methodology expands our testing armamentarium with easily collected and comfortable swabs that can be applied to viral load and cytokine kinetic studies. Most importantly, they can be easily scaled and used at home in this time of severe testing shortages and dangerous transmission risk.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Protocol**
The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center.

**Flocked vs Foam Swab Study**
Participants with respiratory symptoms (Supplementary Table 1) for <3 days were enrolled in the study. Each participant completed 2 sample collections, each separated by 1 hour. At each time point, the participant collected either (i) 2 self-collected Copan flocked swabs (number 23–600–966), 1 from each nostril in the mid-turbinate space; or (ii) 2 self-collected Puritan foam swabs (Puritan Medical Red number 25–1805–SC 2), 1 from each nostril in the mid-nasal space. Swab collection was randomized by order of swab type. Details of the swab collection and processing are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Following sample collection, participants were asked to complete a survey to assess tolerability and acceptability. McNemar test with exact P values was used to compare survey responses.

**Longitudinal Sampling Study**
Separately, new participants with respiratory symptoms (Supplementary Table 1) for <3 days were enrolled in the study. Each participant collected 2 Puritan foam nasal swabs, 1 from each nostril, per day for 14 days after enrollment or until symptoms resolved, whichever was longer. Details of the swab collection and processing are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Participants completed a daily electronic symptom survey (Supplementary Table 1) and an end-of-study survey.

**Laboratory Methods**

**Sample Processing**
Each conical vial containing a swab was vortexed and 500 μL of buffer was removed and stored at −80°C for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis. Swabs were processed and stored at −80°C for cytokine testing as described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Viral Testing**
Nasal swab specimens were tested using a multiplex PCR assay for 11 respiratory viruses (adenovirus A–F, human rhinovirus [HRV], influenza A and B, parainfluenza viruses 1–4, human coronavirus [CoV], bocavirus [BoV], respiratory syncytial virus [RSV], and human metapneumovirus [HMPV]) as previously described [23].

**Cytokine Testing**
Cytokine levels were quantified in nasal specimens using the electrochemiluminescence-based Mesoscale Discovery platform. Details of the panels used are described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Statistical Analysis**
PCR results that were positive but below the limit of detection (LOD) were imputed as 500 copies/mL, using the LOD divided by 2. Negative results were assigned a value of 0. The concordance correlation coefficient was used to measure agreement of quantitative results between paired samples [24]. Cytokine results below the fitted curve range were assigned the value of the lower LOD divided by 2, and results above the fitted curve range were assigned the value of the upper LOD. Symptoms are represented as the total number of symptoms present for each day, out of a total of 26 (Supplementary Table 1). SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina) and Stata version 16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas) software packages were used for analysis.

**Cytokine Clustering**
We performed a cluster analysis where each sample is an array of 20 measured cytokine concentrations. First, we checked for cluster tendency of the samples using the Hopkins statistic [25, 26], where values close to 1 indicate that the samples are highly clustered and values close to 0.5 indicate random samples. When the calculated Hopkins statistic (computed using the “get_clust_tendency” function in R statistical software) was >0.5, we did a linkage hierarchical clustering with Euclidean distances of the samples [27].

**Mathematical Modeling**
We used an acute viral infection model that distinguishes between early and late responses to RSV and HMPV. Full description of the definitions, model assumptions, and surrogate cytokine selection is provided in the Supplementary Methods.

**RESULTS**

**Concordance Between Foam and Flocked Nasal Swabs for Viral Detection**
Fifteen participants were enrolled in the foam vs flocked nasal swab study. Four participants were negative for any respiratory virus from all swabs (Table 1). Combining results from both nostrils, foam and flocked swabs were concordant for viral detection in 22 of 30 samples (73.3%) (Supplementary Table 2). Discrepant results occurred exclusively in samples with low viral load (<4 log_{10} viral copies/mL) (Table 1). Agreement between samples collected by foam and flocked swabs from the same nostril was generally high, particularly with high viral load samples, with no evidence of higher yield with 1 method vs the other (Figure 1A).
Focality of Respiratory Virus Shedding in Nasal Passages
In the same dataset, we compared swab samples obtained with the same swab type from separate nostrils with a total of 15 paired samples. Viral loads were notably higher in 1 nostril than the other and were less in agreement (Figure 1B). Moreover, the value from the highest nostril strongly agreed with the sum of the 2 nostrils, suggesting that a majority of sampled virus comes from 1 side (Figure 1C) and that sampling the other side underestimates viral load. Therefore, bilateral sampling is likely required for optimal yield and accurate quantitation.

Comfort and Ease of Self-Collected Foam Swabs
Survey responses suggested that participants found foam swabs more comfortable (9/15 participants agreed/strongly agreed that foam swabs were comfortable, vs 4/15 for flocked swabs; \( P = .13 \)) and easier to collect (14/15 participants agreed/strongly agreed for foam swabs vs 11/15 for flocked swabs; \( P = .25 \)). Almost all participants (14/15) would consider participating in future research using foam swabs, but only 10 of 15 if flocked swabs are used (\( P = .13 \)).

Ease, Comfort, and High Compliance Associated With Longitudinal Nasal Sampling
We next enrolled 9 otherwise healthy, adult study participants who self-sampled their nasal passage serially for 14 days. One participant contributed serial samples twice. Overall compliance was high: the median number of sample days was 14 (range, 11–19 days). After study completion, the majority of participants agreed or strongly agreed that foam swabs were comfortable (70%) and easy (90%) and that they would participate in future research with foam swabs (80%). Serial home-based testing appears to be a well-accepted methodology.

Steady-State Nasal Passage Viral Load Kinetics and Correlation With Symptoms
In longitudinal sampling, we were able to detect 14 viruses including 7 HRV, 2 CoV, 1 BoV, 2 adenovirus, 1 HMPV, and 1 RSV. There were 4 instances of viral coinfection, though in each case a dominant virus was evident based on greater duration of shedding and higher viral load (Figure 2A).

During most extended periods of HRV, RSV, and HMPV shedding, viral loads were remarkably stable (Figure 2A). For HRV, a pattern of viral load steady state or slight gradual decline followed by rapid elimination was noted. The case of RSV had a similar profile but with an initial high viral load peak and shorter duration of shedding. The case of HMPV had a more protracted decline with a single reexpansion phase. These transiently observed periods of steady-state viral loads are highly unlikely to occur by chance if true viral loads fluctuated or exhibited stochastic noise. Thus, the sampling method appears highly reliable. These data also suggest a brief period of equilibrium between the virus and local immune system before viral elimination.

In general, the level of symptoms appeared to track with detectable virus, particularly for CoV, HRV, and HMPV. For the single case of RSV, a high number of symptoms persisted beyond viral elimination (Figure 2A).

Stable and Surging Nasal Cytokine Levels During Respiratory Virus Infection
For several cytokines, particularly those in the Th2, Th17, and nondefined pathways (interleukin [IL] 2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-13, IL-17A, and eotaxin), there was notable stability within and between study participants, independent of viral shedding.
The minority of samples with the highest levels of granzyme B, perforin, IL-6, IL-1α, MIP-1α, IL-12p70, IL-21, and among Th2-associated responses (IL-5, IL-10, IL-17). The Th2-associated cytokines also correlated with many of the cytolytic T-cell and macrophage-associated cytokines (Figure 3A). HRV viral load was only moderately correlated with granzyme B, perforin, and interferon gamma-induced protein 10. This suggests that HRV may not induce an intense local immune response in a dose-dependent fashion. Similar results occurred with inclusion of all samples from all participants in the cohort (Supplementary Figure 3A).

Cytokines Correlations According to Cellular Origin
In 6 participants with HRV, we correlated cytokine patterns to infer cellular origin. High positive correlations were noted among analytes associated with a cytotoxic T-cell response (granzyme B, perforin, TNF-α, IL-6), among macrophage or epithelial cell–derived cytokines (MIP-1α, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12p70, IL-21), and among Th2-associated responses (IL-5, IL-10, IL-17). The Th2-associated cytokines also correlated with many of the cytolytic T-cell and macrophage-associated cytokines (Figure 3A). HRV viral load was only moderately correlated with granzyme B, perforin, and interferon gamma-induced protein 10. This suggests that HRV may not induce an intense local immune response in a dose-dependent fashion. Similar results occurred with inclusion of all samples from all participants in the cohort (Supplementary Figure 3A).

Sample Clustering According to Degree of Inflammation
We sorted all HRV samples using linkage clustering analysis and demonstrated 3 classes of samples that were distinguished by levels of T-cell and macrophage-associated cytokines (Figure 3B). The minority of samples with the highest levels of granzyme B, perforin, IL-6, IL-1α, MIP-1α, and IFN-γ all had high viral loads, all from 2 participants. All 6 participants had some samples in the least inflammatory class and 5 participants had samples in the moderate inflammatory class. These data indicate that the inflammatory milieu in the HRV-infected nasal passage is dynamic over time, but tilts toward higher inflammation with higher...
viral loads. Similar results were observed when all samples were analyzed, though only 2 classes were distinguished (Supplementary Figure 3B).

We next sorted the RSV and HMPV samples and could not identify the optimal number of clusters. We selected 2 clusters, which were differentiated according to concentrations of most cytokines, again including granzyme B, perforin, IL-6, IL-1α, MIP-1α, and IFN-γ. Here the more inflammatory cytokine cluster clearly associated with high viral loads for both RSV and HMPV (Figure 3D).

Mathematical Modeling
We developed the ordinary differential equation model in equation (1) to link RSV and HMPV viral load and early and late immune responses, and evaluated which cytokines may track...
DISCUSSION

Here we demonstrate that home self-sampling with mid-nasal foam swabs is well tolerated and provides reliable results for monitoring viral load and molecular immune responses to respiratory virus infections. These results have enormous practical implications. Self-collection at home is safe, noninvasive, and easily learned, allowing a reliable method for diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring. Because our kits could easily be used at home or in a drive-through testing environment, they provide an avenue to eliminate contact between an infected and contagious person and healthcare providers. They could also be used in the hospital or clinic setting, thereby saving personnel time

Figure 3. Cytokines correlate according to cellular origin during respiratory virus infection, whereas samples cluster according to level of inflammation. A and B, Data from participants p16, p17, p18, p20, p21, and p22 who have human rhinovirus (HRV) infection. C and D, Data from participants p22 and p23 who have respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and human metapneumovirus (HMPV), respectively. A and C, Correlation plots with strong correlation according to cell type origin. X indicates a nonsignificant correlation. Color intensity and the size of the dot are proportional to the Pearson correlation coefficient. For both datasets, strong positive correlations are noted within cytokines linked with cytolytic T-cell responses, macrophage responses, and Th2 responses. B and D, Linkage clustering analysis of samples (columns) demonstrates classes of samples based on the concentration of inflammatory cytokines. B, For HRV infections, a minority of samples (class 3) from 2 participants had high viral loads. All 6 participants had samples in the least inflammatory class (class 1) and 5 participants had samples in the moderate inflammatory class (class 2). D, For RSV and HMPV, inflammatory (class 2) and noninflammatory (class 1) sample clusters are evident. The inflammatory class of samples is highly associated with the highest viral loads. Abbreviations: DL, detection limit; HMPV, human metapneumovirus; HRV, human rhinovirus; IFN-α, interferon alpha; IFN-γ, interferon gamma, IL, interleukin; IP-10, interferon gamma-induced protein 10; MIP-1α, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor alpha; VL, viral load.
and personal protective equipment. The use of comfortable, safe, and affordable foam swabs also highlights the possibility of scaling this approach to pediatric, adult, elderly, and immunocompromised populations. For the current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, and future deadly respiratory virus epidemics, home self-swabbing will be a vital tool. The simplicity of the sampling approach also facilitates large-scale research studies of viral pathogenesis and transmission dynamics in which participants self-sample for months.

We have previously demonstrated increased sensitivity of self-collected foam nasal swabs compared to nasal washes in immunocompetent adults with respiratory viral infections [21], and in longitudinal studies in solid organ transplant recipients [22], with good compliance and participants reporting no issues with swab discomfort. The specific swab used in these prior studies and our present study were custom designed to limit discomfort while maintaining adequate sensitivity; we have demonstrated stability with these swabs with and without transport media after storage at room temperature for 7 days [21], making them ideal for home self-testing followed by shipment directly to a testing laboratory. Our data also demonstrate that bilateral swabbing increases yield and allows for more accurate quantification than swabbing a single nostril.

We also demonstrate an ability to accurately sample local cytokines with our swab, present at picogram levels. The combination of precise virologic and immunologic readouts of local infection is highly relevant for developing clinical severity scores and biomarkers. While studies are beginning to show that viral load may be predictive of COVID-19 severity [7], it is equally plausible that the intensity and phenotype of the early local cellular immune response plays a causal role in limiting the extent of infection [28]. By following the molecular immune response closely with daily sampling intervals, we also provide adequate data for mathematical models that can link specific arms of the cellular immune response to pathogen control in real time [20], a goal that has been difficult to attain for a majority of viral infections in humans.

Our study demonstrates several novel features of respiratory virus kinetics. RSV infection achieves a brief, extremely high, viral load, followed by a steady state and a final rapid phase of elimination. HRV also has a remarkably stable viral load in most participants before being rapidly eliminated. During a majority of our observed episodes, viral shedding is strongly correlated with symptoms. As viral load decreases, symptoms tend to dissipate.

Certain molecular immune responses are constitutively expressed, and vary little between and within participants, particularly those associated with Th2 mechanisms that are unlikely to play a role in elimination of virally infected cells. On the other hand, cytokines associated specifically with tissue-resident T-cell responses such as granzyme B, perforin, and IFN-γ, and macrophages such as IL-6 and IL-1 expand and contract during the course of viral shedding, particularly with more severe infections such as RSV and HMPV. Our technique therefore overcomes a fundamental limitation of human immunological studies, which is the inability to sample over temporally granular time intervals at the mucosal site of viral replication.

Further application of our technique is demonstrated with mathematical modeling that links expression of certain cytokines with early and late elimination of virus, although we were only able to model data from single participants with RSV and HMPV. Larger-scale studies may be able to link surges in different cytokines with specific respiratory viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, and to differentiate severity using these techniques.

There are important limitations to our study. Correlations between foam and flocked swabs were weaker at low viral loads. However, stochastic variation in low viral load samples is inherent to quantitation of viruses that replicate in mucosa. Additional variables such as storage temperature may have contributed to viral quantification variability. Our sample size for longitudinal episodes is relatively small, particularly on a per virus basis. A greater number of participants will be required to definitively differentiate kinetics patterns of different respiratory viruses and cytokine profiles associated with their containment. Selection of cytokines was incomplete and may have missed critical responders to viral infection. Finally, our mathematical models dramatically oversimplify the coordinated immune responses but do generate testable hypotheses that IFN-γ and IL-21 are vital for early and late containment of infection.

In summary, we establish a foam swab-based sampling method that is optimal for patient self-testing, both at home and in the clinical setting, permits serial therapeutic monitoring, and is suitable for tracking the natural virologic and immunologic course of respiratory virus infections. We recommend that this method be adapted to future clinical and research applications, including for the study of SARS-CoV-2.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at The Journal of Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.
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