Influence of Intelligent Navigation on Exhibition Identity of Atypical Visitors in Experience Context

Wanyun Zhang*
China

*Corresponding Author: Wanyun Zhang, China

Abstract: Under the background of the “Internet plus” era, smart venue services combining artistic tastes and participation in the experience have become an inevitable requirement for venue construction. An atypical visitor is the majority group that cannot be ignored in the exhibition. The intelligent navigation function, as one of the services most closely related to atypical visitors in smart venue services, is a crucial factor that affects the visitor’s sense of experience and exhibition identity. Thus, this study takes atypical visitors of the 21st China International Hi-tech Fair as an example and investigates their use of intelligent navigation service, as well as experience and sense of exhibition identification. Meanwhile, the influence of intelligent navigation function on the exhibition identification of atypical visitors in the context of experience is discussed by using the method of literature analysis and empirical research. The results revealed that the intelligent navigation function can enhance the sense of experience of atypical visitors and their sense of exhibition identification, providing practical suggestions for exhibition organizers to enhance the influence of the exhibition.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The current practical data show that the vast majority of exhibition visitors include various atypical visitors [1]. Lately, smart venues have become an inevitable development trend of venue construction with the rapid development of information. Meanwhile, the demand for knowledge consumption has been increasing. More people are participating in the exhibition, and the demand for the exhibition experience differs from the past. However, problems of large-scale exhibition venues are complex structure, large passenger flow, and unclear routes [2]. Therefore, an intelligent navigation function that supports the use of mobile phones and provides precise navigation and information exchange services is imperative.

The intelligent navigation combined with high-tech, such as VR/AR, with the assistance of mobile devices, has already provided audiences with a full range of audio-visual services [3]; these services enable the audience to obtain timely and accurate route guidance and interpretation of the exhibition area [4], providing immersive and experiential exhibition experience for the audience and leaving a deep impression of atypical visitors.

The major contents of the intelligent navigation function are knowledge services, navigation services, and interaction services. It is a crucial aspect of atypical visitors’ experience, and the sense of experience is connected with the atypical visitors’ awareness and recognition of the exhibition. This study aims to explore whether the application and progress of the intelligent navigation function can improve the visitor’s recognition of the exhibition by enhancing the experience value of the audience, thereby increasing the communication and influence of the exhibition.

2. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES AND DESIGNS

This study defines experience value as the cognitive value that mobilizes audience emotions, guides audience behavior and psychology, and exerts a positive impact on the exhibition through multisensory connection and participation. Focusing on the exhibition experience value, this study proposes the following research hypotheses:

H1: Knowledge services exert a significant positive impact on the experience value of atypical
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visitors.
H2: Navigation services exert a significant positive impact on the experience value of atypical visitors.
H3: Interaction services exert a significant positive impact on the experience value of atypical visitors.

By drawing on the five-dimensional model of brand research, this study categorizes exhibition identification into three dimensions—notability, perception quality, and loyalty. Regarding relevant local identity research models, the following research hypotheses are proposed:
H4: The experience value exerts a significant positive impact on the visibility of atypical visitors.
H5: The experience value exerts a significant positive impact on the exhibition perception quality of atypical visitors.
H6: The experience value exerts a significant positive impact on the exhibition loyalty of atypical visitors.

This study was conducted on-site at the Shenzhen Convention and Exhibition Center during the Open Day of the 21st Hi-Tech Fair on November 15–17, 2019. The design of the questionnaire primarily refers to the concepts and items of previous research, including the intelligent navigation function, visitor experience value, and exhibition approval. Overall, 530 questionnaires were distributed on-site, of which 514 valid questionnaires were recovered eventually, with a valid rate of 97%.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Analysis of General Information

The samples were descriptively analyzed to ensure the recovered samples were used for data analysis. For the background investigation, the proportion of males was marginally higher than that of females, but without much difference between them. No sample bias existed in this study. Males accounted for 48%, whereas females accounted for 52%. Regarding age, the survey samples concentrated in the age group of 18–35 years, of which the 18–25 year age group accounted for 37.5%, and the 26–35 year age group accounted for 41.2%, suggesting that the overall survey sample was younger. Regarding the education background, the proportion of undergraduates and above accounted for 72.6%, that is, the surveyed samples were generally well-educated.

Regarding the participation of exhibitions, the surveyed samples that participated in <10 exhibitions accounted for 77.1%, of which those participating in 1–5 exhibitions accounted for 51.4% and 5–10 exhibitions accounted for 25.7%, suggesting that the majority of surveyed samples participated in more exhibitions. Regarding the types of samples participating in the survey, the major type was technical communication, accounting for 19.5%, and the remaining proportions were relatively average, suggesting that most of the samples were technology-preferred. Regarding the role of the exhibition, most of the surveyed samples’ participation roles were diversified, among which professional and technical personnel, peer practitioners, suppliers, and students/teachers/associations accounted for 19.5%, 38.4%, 20.6%, and 17.5%, respectively.

3.2. Correlation Analysis

The Pearson correlation coefficient indicates the correlation between variables. The higher absolute value of the correlation coefficient suggests a stronger correlation between the variables; else, the correlation between the variables is weaker. In this study, we used the SPSS 22.0 software to validate the correlation among the intelligent navigation function, experience value, and factors of exhibition recognition (Table 1).

| Knowledge service | Navigation service | Interaction service | Experience value | Exhibition notability | Exhibition perception quality | Exhibition loyalty |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Knowledge service | Pearson correlation | 1                   |                 |                      |                             |                  |
| Significance (bilateral) |                           |                     |                 |                      |                             |                  |
| N                  | 514                |                     |                 |                      |                             |                  |
| Navigation        | Pearson correlation | 0.665**             | 1               |                      |                             |                  |
As shown in Table 1, knowledge services moderately and significantly correlated with the experience value, exhibition notability, exhibition perception quality, and exhibition loyalty; navigation services moderately and significantly correlated with the experience value, exhibition notability, exhibition perception quality, and exhibition loyalty; interaction services positively and significantly correlated with the experience value, exhibition notability, exhibition perception quality, and exhibition loyalty; experience value moderately, positively, and significantly correlated with the exhibition notability, exhibition perception quality, and exhibition loyalty.

### 3.3. Linear Regression Analysis

In this study, we applied the linear regression equation to analyze the degree of influence between variables. Based on the research model proposed in this study, one multivariate linear regression analysis and four univariate linear regression analyses are required.

**Table 2. Variable definitions for multiple regression**

| Variable type        | Variable name    | Symbols |
|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Explained variable   | Experience value | EV      |
| Explanatory variables| Knowledge service| AKI     |
| Explanatory variables| Navigation service| AGI     |
| Explanatory variables| Interaction service| AAI     |

Thus, the multiple regression equation of the dependent variable experience value for independent variables of knowledge service, navigation service, and interaction service is expressed as follows:

$$EV = \alpha_0 + \beta_1AKI + \beta_2AGI + \beta_3AAI + \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\alpha_0$ is the intercept of the regression line; $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the slopes of the regression line, denoting the changes in the dependent variable when the independent variable changes by one unit; and $\varepsilon$ is the random error of the regression equation.

**Table 3. Variable definitions for univariate regression**

| Variable type        | Variable name    | Symbols |
|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Explained variable   | Exhibition notability | BA     |
| Explained variable   | Exhibition perception quality | BPQ    |
| Explained variable   | Exhibition loyalty | BL      |
| Explanatory variables| Experience value  | EV      |
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Thus, the univariate regression equations of the dependent variable exhibition notability, exhibition perception quality, and exhibition loyalty to the independent variable experience value are expressed as follows:

\[ BA = \alpha_1 + \beta_4 EV + \mu_1 \]  
(2)

\[ BPQ = \alpha_2 + \beta_5 EV + \mu_2 \]  
(3)

\[ BL = \alpha_3 + \beta_6 EV + \mu_3 \]  
(4)

where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \) and \( \alpha_3 \) are the intercepts of the regression line; \( \beta_4, \beta_5, \) and \( \beta_6 \) are the slopes of the regression line, denoting the changes in the dependent variable when the independent variable changes by one unit; and \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \) and \( \mu_3 \) are the random errors of the equations.

By using SPSS 22.0, a stepwise regression analysis method was used to perform a multiple regression analysis on the three factors of the intelligent navigation function and the experience value.

**Table 4. Regression analysis of the influence of intelligent navigation function on the experience value of atypical audience**

| Model | Non-standardized coefficient | Standardized coefficient | t | Sig. | Collinear statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----|---------------------|
|       | B Standard deviation | Trial version | | | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 (Constant) | 1.521 | 0.139 | 10.607 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Knowledge service | 0.204 | 0.044 | 0.229 | 4.742 | 0.000 | 0.496 | 2.000 |
| Navigation service | 0.168 | 0.044 | 0.200 | 4.131 | 0.000 | 0.498 | 2.007 |
| Interaction service | 0.427 | 0.035 | 0.319 | 7.477 | 0.000 | 0.638 | 1.567 |

\( R^2 = 0.684; R^2 = 0.405; R^2 \) is adjusted to 0.403; \( F = 132.3 ** \)

a. Dependent variable: Experience value

The experience value model fitted the index, the bias relationship number was 0.684, the \( R^2 \) value was 0.405, and the adjusted \( R^2 \) value was 0.403, that is, the independent variable can explain 40.5% of the experience value variation. Furthermore, the \( F \) value was 132.2, and the significance was <0.01, that is, extremely significant; thus, the model passed the significance test.

The model can be expressed as follows:

\[ EV = 1.521 + 0.204 \times AKI + 0.168 \times AGI + 0.427 \times AAI \]  
(5)

As shown in Eq.(5), hypotheses 1–3 (H1, H2, and H3) are verified.

By using SPSS 22.0, the regression analysis method was used to perform a univariate regression analysis on the three factors of experience value and exhibition recognition.

**Table 5. Regression analysis of the influence of experience value of atypical visitors on the notability of the exhibition**

| Model | Non-standardized coefficient | Standardized coefficient | t | Sig. | Collinear statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----|---------------------|
|       | B Standard deviation | Trial version | | | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 (Constant) | 1.312 | 0.141 | 6.732 | 0.000 |  |  |
| Experience value | 0.675 | 0.034 | 0.410 | 15.290 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

\( R^2 = 0.640; R^2 = 0.413; R^2 \) is adjusted to 0.412; \( F = 264.721 ** \)

a. Dependent variable: Exhibition notability

The exhibition notability model fitted the index, the bias relationship number was 0.56, the \( R^2 \) value was 0.413, and the adjusted \( R^2 \) value was 0.412, that is, the independent variable can explain 41.3% of the experience value variation. Furthermore, the \( F \) value was 264.721, and the significance was <0.01, that is, extremely significant; thus, the model passed the significance test.

Regarding the model coefficient, the constant coefficient value was 1.312, the standard error was 0.141, and the \( t \) value was 6.732. The significance was <0.01, suggesting an extremely significant relationship. The non-standardized coefficient of experience value was 0.673, the standard deviation was 0.034, the standardized coefficient was 0.410, and the \( t \) value was 15.290, which was significantly <0.01 and extremely significant.
Thus, the model can be expressed as follows:

\[ BA = 1.312 + 0.675 \times EV \]  

(6)

Thus, the hypothesis H4 is verified.

Table 6. Regression analysis of the experience value of atypical visitors on the perception quality of the exhibition

| Model | Non-standardized coefficient | Standardized coefficient | Sig. | Collinear statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------------|
|       | B                           | Standard deviation       | Trial version | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1     | (Constant)                 | 1.384                    | 0.111 | 14.978               | 0.000 | | | | |
|       | Experience value           | 0.521                    | 0.035 | 0.528                | 14.070 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

\[ R^2 = 0.528; R^2 = 0.279; R^2 \text{ is adjusted to } 0.277; F = 254.476^{**} \]

a. Dependent variable: Exhibition perception quality

The exhibition perception quality model fitted the index, the bias relationship number was 0.528, the \( R^2 \) value was 0.279, and the adjusted \( R^2 \) value was 0.277, that is, the independent variable can explain 27.9% of the experience value variation. Furthermore, the \( F \) value was 254.476, and the significance was <0.01, that is, extremely significant; thus, the model passed the significance test.

Regarding the model coefficient, the constant coefficient value was 1.384, the standard error was 0.111, and the \( t \) value was 14.978. The significance was <0.01, suggesting an extremely significant relationship. The non-standardized coefficient of experience value was 0.489, the standard deviation was 0.035, the standardized coefficient was 0.528, and the \( t \) value was 14.07, which was significantly <0.01 and extremely significant.

Thus, the model can be expressed as follows:

\[ BPQ = 1.384 + 0.521 \times EV \]  

(7)

Thus, the hypothesis H5 is verified.

Table 7. Regression analysis of the experience value of atypical visitors on exhibition loyalty

| Model | Non-standardized coefficient | Standardized coefficient | Sig. | Collinear statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------------|
|       | B                           | Standard deviation       | Trial version | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1     | (Constant)                 | 2.047                    | 0.171 | 7.039                | 0.000 | | | | |
|       | Experience value           | 0.535                    | 0.042 | 0.520                | 13.919 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

\[ R^2 = 0.640; R^2 = 0.293; R^2 \text{ is adjusted to } 0.290; F = 261.223^{**} \]

a. Dependent variable: Exhibition loyalty

The exhibition loyalty model fitted the index, the bias relationship number was 0.64, the \( R^2 \) value was 0.293, and the adjusted \( R^2 \) value was 0.290, that is, the independent variable can explain 29.3% of the experience value variation. The \( F \) value was 261.223, and the significance was <0.01, that is, extremely significant; thus, the model passed the significance test.

Regarding the model coefficient, the constant coefficient value was 1.545, the standard error was 0.171, and the \( t \) value was 7.039. The significance was <0.01, indicating an extremely significant relationship. The non-standardized coefficient of experience value was 0.628, the standard deviation was 0.042, the standardized coefficient was 0.52, and the \( t \) value was 13.919, which is significantly <0.01 and extremely significant.

Thus, the model can be expressed as follows:

\[ BL = 2.047 + 0.535 \times EV \]  

(8)

Thus, the hypothesis H6 is verified.

In summary, Table 8 shows the results of this survey.

Table 8. Study results

| Research hypotheses | Contents | Results |
|---------------------|----------|---------|
| H1                  | Knowledge services exert a significant positive impact on the | Valid |
The research results validated all the six research hypotheses proposed in this study. Thus, the knowledge service, navigation service, and interaction service of the intelligent navigation function exert a significant positive impact on the experience value. The experience value exerts a significant positive impact on all dimensions of the exhibition identification, that is, the experience value exerts a significant positive impact on the visibility, perception quality, and loyalty of the exhibition.

4. CONCLUSION

Smart service is a crucial means to enhance the experience of atypical visitors. To construct smart venues, efforts should be made to enhance the quality and efficiency of audience services, enhance the interactivity of the platform, and strengthen the visitor’s sense of participation and gain. In particular, in the development of intelligent navigation functions, the focus should be on the dissemination of exhibition information and the upgrade of interactive functions. In addition, the mobile terminal service platforms should be well-utilized to provide intelligent services for atypical visitors. This study investigates the impact of the intelligent navigation function on the atypical visitors’ exhibition identification in the context of experience, providing ideas and suggestions for the construction of smart venues and the research of atypical visitor participation.

However, as only atypical visitors of the 21st Hi-Tech Fair were selected as survey samples, some limitations could be present in the selection of samples. In addition, the Hi-Tech Fair has already become an iconic exhibition or even a business card for the city; thus, the actual impact of the smart tour on the visitor’s recognition of the exhibition could be affected. Thus, to draw more precise and general conclusions, more samples and research on the situation of different types of exhibition visitors are warranted.
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