New Evidence of MIS 3 Relative Sea Level Changes from the Messina Strait, Calabria (Italy)
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Abstract: Investigation of sea-level positions during the highly-dynamic Marine Isotope Stage 3 (MIS 3: 29–61 kyrs BP) proves difficult because: (i) in stable and subsiding areas, coeval coastal sediments are currently submerged at depths of few to several tens of meters below the present sea level; (ii) in uplifting areas, the preservation of geomorphic features and sedimentary records is limited due to the erosion occurred during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) with sea level at a depth of ~130 m, followed by marine transgression that determined the development of ravinement surfaces. This study discusses previous research in the Mediterranean and elsewhere, and describes new fossiliferous marine deposits overlaying the metamorphic bedrock at Cannitello (Calabria, Italy). Radiocarbon ages of marine shells (about 43 kyrs cal BP) indicate that these deposits, presently between 28 and 30 m above sea level, formed during MIS 3.1. Elevation correction of the Cannitello outcrops (considered in an intermediate-to-far-field position with respect to the ice sheet) with the local vertical tectonic rate and Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) rate allows the proposal of a revision of the eustatic depth for this highstand. Our results are consistent with recently proposed estimates based on a novel ice sheet modelling technique.

Keywords: Marine Isotope Stage 3; relative sea level; tectonics; GIA; Calabria

1. Introduction

1.1. MIS 3 Sea Level Historical Background

Marine Isotope Stage 3 was a time of strong climatic contrasts, likely in response to changes in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation [1]. A precise assessment of sea level positions for this interstadial has proved to be elusive and controversial (lastly
revised by Siddall et al. [2]). Indeed, estimates of the global sea-level during MIS 3 range between −15 and −87 m [3–7]. One major problem concerns reconstructions based upon indirect proxies, like the widely used stable oxygen stratigraphy that translates into ice volumes (and related sea-level oscillations) and the geochemical signals incorporated into benthonic or planktonic foraminifera from core records [3,8].

Oppositely, the advantage of using cores to investigate sea-level positions is represented by the continuity of their records. This contrasts the discontinuous record offered by Pleistocene coral reefs and uplifted or buried sedimentary sequences, which have, on the other hand, the advantage to provide direct physical evidence of former sea levels [2,9]. The highly diverse and variegated nature of available markers, coupled with intrinsic difficulties to precisely date deposits >40 kyr, accounts in recognising MIS 3 as ‘enigmatic’ [2]. From a climatic perspective, MIS 3 is a crucial time interval since it was characterised by frequent (millennial) and abrupt thermal oscillations, that strongly reverberated in the variations of sea levels [2,10,11].

It is, therefore, of paramount importance to estimate the sea level position during this interstadial. Most reconstructions on MIS 3 appear to exclude that the sea level ever reached depths greater than −60/−50 m [2,6,9,12–14]. The aim of this paper was to discuss MIS 3 position extracted from marine deposits outcropping in Calabria (Italy, Figure 1), a strongly uplifting area of the central Mediterranean. We selected the Cannitello site in southern Calabria where uplift rates have been calculated using a flight of raised Pleistocene terraces that includes the last interglacial terraces [15].

1.2. Regional Setting

Calabria is the emerging part of a forearc terrane belonging to the Ionian subduction system. The subducting Ionian lithosphere dips ~70–80° northwestward down to a depth of 450–500 km (see inset in Figure 1; [16–19]). The upper plate of the subduction system, from west to east, consists of back-arc basins that become younger southeastward (Vavilov and Marsili basins; [20–22]), an arc-shaped volcanic ridge (i.e., Aeolian islands), and a forearc-accretionary wedge system including the Calabrian Arc (CA) [23,24].

The CA experienced vigorous uplift during the Quaternary [25,26]. Vertical movements cumulate the effects of both regional and local processes. The latter was related to footwall uplift along extensional faults [27–32]. Hanging-wall subsidence counteracts the effects of regional uplift, which, in the long-term, prevails [31,33]. The CA has been stretched by WNW-ESE oriented Quaternary extension [33–35]. Today, belts of active extensional faults run along the chain axis and the Tyrrhenian side of the northern and southern CA, respectively.
Figure 1. Tectonic setting of the Messina Strait region in the southern part of the Calabrian Arc. Active faults (thick solid lines barbed on the downthrown side) after Monaco and Tortorici [36] and Barreca et al. [37]: AF, Armo Fault; RCF, Reggio Calabria Fault; CF, Cittanova Fault; SEF, S. Eufemia Fault; SF, Scilla Fault, and W-Fault. The red balloon indicates the studied Cannitello site. The inset shows the location of the study area in the tectonic setting of the Central Mediterranean (from Chiarabba et al. [38]).

1.3. Study Area

The study area is located on the Calabrian side of the Strait of Messina (southern sector of the Calabrian Arc, Figure 1). The strait is a Pliocene–Quaternary basin limited by ENE–WSW to NNE–SSW striking normal faults offsetting Palaeozoic metamorphic basement rocks and Miocene terrigenous covers [27,28,39,40] (Figure 1). The stratigraphic architecture of the basin consists of Lower Pliocene chalks, Upper Pliocene–Lower Pleistocene calcarenites, up to the middle Pleistocene Messina Gravels and Sands Fm [41–43].

These sequences are unconformably overlain by terraced Pleistocene marine deposits [15,26,44–46], ranging in elevation from 40 to 1200 m a.s.l. The marine terraced series is partly displaced by the Scilla Fault and by the Cappuccini Fault, which bounds the Piale High [27,28,33,47–49] to the north and south, respectively (Figure 2). The terraced deposits consist of fossiliferous calcarenites or sublittoral sands and sandy gravels, more or less cemented, directly lying on the Palaeozoic metamorphic basement or on the Pliocene–Pleistocene deposits [26,44,46]. The marine deposits generally pass upwards to continental colluvial reddish silt with sands and gravels levels.

The lowest and youngest terrace extends along the coast, from Villa S. Giovanni to Cannitello area, where it seals the western end of the Scilla Fault, with an inner edge at 45 m a.s.l. Balescu et al. [50] correlated this terrace with the Isotope Stage 3 (60 ka, [51]) based on the Thermo Luminescence and Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) ages of associated aeolian sands. This paleoshoreline corresponds to the lowest one mapped by Monaco et al. [15] (Figure 1) and attributed by the authors to the MIS 3.3.
The upper terraces extend around the Piale High, extensively outcropping on the hanging wall of the Scilla Fault northward and along the south-west side with inner edges at elevations between 60–85 and 205 m a.s.l. The complete sequence outcrops only on the Piale High (Figure 2), where the oldest terraces show inner edges at elevations between 285 and 520 m a.s.l. These oldest terraces are represented by wave-cut platforms directly resting on the crystalline substratum and are covered by silts and reddish continental sands.

The absolute dating obtained by Balescu et al. [50], crossed with geomorphological correlations to deposits containing *Thetystrombus latus* (Gmelin, 1791) *Persististrombus latus* (Gmelin, 1791) *Strombus bubonius* (Lamarck, 1822) in the Reggio Calabria area [26,45,52,53] robustly constrain the age of the whole sequence, whose highest terrace has been attributed to the MIS 11 (405 kyrs, Figure 2). A more elevated terrace, associated with marine deposits containing *Globorotalia truncatulinoides excelsa* [54], reaches an elevation of 680 m. It was attributed to the MIS 25 (~950 ka) by Miyauchi et al. [26], but more likely must be referred to the MIS 19 (~730 ka). A complete map of Quaternary coastal terraces, obtained from
Miyauchi et al. [26], is shown in Figure 2 where, following the authors, the highest terraces are assigned to middle-lower Pleistocene.

2. Previous Studies on MIS 3

2.1. MIS3 in the Global Context

On a global scale, a limited number of studies have specifically considered MIS 3 RSL records [55]. For instance, deposits and paleoshorelines ascribed to MIS 3 have been found in Grand Bahamas where Richards et al. [56] found evidence that the MIS 3.3 highstand exceeded the depth of $-18.1$ m as speleothem GB-89-25-5C shows a hiatus between 63.7 and 58.5 kyrs. However, the highstand does not exceed the $-15$ m depth of flowstone DWBAH [57,58] (Figure 3). The Bahamas flowstone DWBAH may prove to represent a sea-level marker of utmost global importance, since encompassing a continuous record from 326 up to 37 kyrs BP, with five hiatuses corresponding to many marine highstands. The comparison of DWBAH altitude data with the speleothem of Richards et al. [56], not presenting hiatus for MIS 3 ($-18.1$ m), sets an upper limit ($-16$ m) for the MIS 3.3 highstand.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Constraints on MIS 3 depth from the Bahamas. The submerged speleothem GB-(89) sampled in the Blue Hole (Bahamas) at $-18.1$ m [56] shows a hiatus between 63.7 and 58.5 kyrs. Glacial and hydro-isostatic Adjustments (GIA) at Bahamas is similar to that of the Central Mediterranean sea [39]. Predicted RSL curves for the ICE-5G (red curves), ICE-6G (green curves), and ANICE-SELEN (blue curves) ice sheet models in combination with MVP 1–3 mantle viscosity profiles (solid, dashed and dotted lines, respectively) at each site and with respect to the measured elevations.

Lambeck et al. [8] described a MIS 3 deposit at about $-50/-60$ m along the coasts of Bonaparte Gulf and Huon Peninsula. However, such results could be affected by an underestimation of the tectonic contribution. An integrated study of the Gulf of Mexico offshore using high-resolution seismics, extensive coring, and dating ($^{14}$C AMS ages and stable isotope stratigraphy) supplies considerable evidence of a MIS 3 sea level high-stand. The shoreline (as a brackish lagoon proxy) likely reached up to $-15/-18$ m below the present sea level at $^{14}$C ages of about 37–40 ky BP [4]. Evidence of circum-Antarctic emerged marine deposits attributed to MIS 3 was summarised by Berkman et al. [60]. Wide shelfal sectors in the Weddell and Ross seas were probably under open-water conditions at this time [61,62].

Pico et al. [63] refined estimates of the global ice volume during MIS 3 by employing sediment cores in the Bohai and Yellow Sea, that recorded the migration of the paleo-
shoreline at 50–37 ka through a transition from marine to brackish conditions, defining a peak global mean sea level of 38 ± 7 m during the interval 50–37 ka.

2.2. A Mediterranean MIS3 Perspective

In the Mediterranean region, sea-level information for the MIS 3 mainly relates to offshore cores ([64–67]) and on combinations of offshore and onland boreholes [68–76]. These data chiefly report the position of continental or marine deposits ascribed to MIS 3 within marginal sequences; however, their value to assess the sea level positions with confidence is rather limited. Additional reference to MIS 3 is found in other records, including submerged terraces [77] and prehistoric contexts [78].

Regarding the eastern Mediterranean, Dogan et al. [79] focused their attention on fossiliferous units cropping along the Samandag coast, Turkey. They applied the electron spin resonance (ESR) method to molluscs to identify MIS 5.1 and MIS 3 deposits. The study estimated for the area a fast uplift that is likely related to the vertical component of the strike-slip active faults in the Samandag Antakya Fault Zone. Data analysis and vertical tectonic movements suggested a −40 m eustatic position of MIS 3 in this coastal area of Turkey. Kirci-Elmas et al. [67], based upon floro-faunal arguments, proposed that the Izmit Gulf was connected with the Black Sea and the Mediterranean Sea at the beginning of MIS-3 (ca. 52.0–40.0 ky BP).

A borehole drilled by ‘Regione Emilia-Romagna’ in the Po Plain (Italy) onshore the Adriatic coast encountered, at about 30 m below the surface, sandy layers interpreted as representing a fluviatile facies [68]. Noticeably, fresh shells of the marine intertidal gastropod *Nassarius circumcinctus* (A. Adams, 1852) picked from these sands were 14C dated at ca. 37 kyr (A. Viesce, pers. com.). Thus, the sandy layer might represent a former marine shoreline, currently located about 30 m below the surface and ascribable to MIS 3 [78]. This evidence merits further investigation because it may shed new light on sea level variations during MIS 3.

On the other side of the north Adriatic Sea, in Lošinj (Croatia), Brunović et al. [80] attributed a deposit found at about −50 m, in a brackish-to freshwater lacustrine body, to MIS 3. Antonioli et al. [59], in a review paper on the use of submerged speleothems in the Mediterranean Sea, provided sea level information about MIS 3 for several areas of the Mediterranean basin. Along the Croatian side of the northern Adriatic Sea, speleothems collected inside submerged caves proved that MIS 3 never exceeded a −18.8 m depth (after correction for subsidence affecting the coastal area [81]).

Along the Tyrrhenian sea coastline, submerged stalagmites collected in the Argentarola Island showed an uninterrupted continental deposition during MIS 3, testifying that the sea level did not reach values shallower than −21.7 m [82,83]. Along the Ionian coast of south-eastern Sicily, Dutton et al. [84] analysed several stalagmites found inside caves developed along paleo shorelines presently submerged at about −20 and −40 m [85]. Samples collected along the deepest paleo shorelines showed a continuous growth of continental layers inside the speleothems during MIS 3, proving that the sea level has never been shallower than −38 m (using a tectonic uplift rate of 0.2 mm/y).

Along the Ionian Sea coast of northern Calabria, a flight of eleven terraces dated to the Middle-Late Pleistocene indicates uplift at −1 mm/yrs [86–88]. The lowermost terrace (T1), with an inner edge at an elevation between 11–24 and 17 m at the foot of the Pollino and Sila mountain ranges, respectively, was attributed to MIS 3.1 by Ferranti et al. [86] based on a 14C calibrated age of 44 ± 0.4 ka from a ‘Cardium’ shell sampled at the Pollino range coast. The sample was taken from a conglomerate deposit in the bank of a stream cutting terrace T1; however, although resedimented in the recent alluvial system, it likely comes from terrace T1, and thus the existence of MIS 3 was ascertained.

Based on borehole data, Santoro et al. [87] documented a −10–15 m thickness of T1 coarse marine-transitional deposits and suggested that it includes both MIS 3.3 and 3.1, as the terrace was sea-flooded during the two peaks of the MIS 3. Santoro et al. [85] indicated, at the Pollino coast, the existence of a lower terrace (T0) with an inner edge at 5–11 (average
8 m) and suggested that T1 (average 15 m) formed during MIS 3.3. This attribution would support that the age from the ‘Cardium’ shell refers to terrace T0 that is, thus, attributed to MIS 3.1.

With an uplift rate of 0.9 mm/y established by Santoro et al. [88] on the MIS 5.5 terrace (dated by Ferranti et al. [86]), a 43 ka old terrace with an inner margin presently at +8 m should have been uplifted of 38 m; thus, it formed at −30 m below the present sea-level. Further north, raised marine deposits that have been attributed to MIS 3 by means of OSL age determinations have been recognized along the Ionian coast of Basilicata [89,90].

3. The Cannitello Site

3.1. Geological-Paleontological Description

Several outcrops of fossiliferous sublittoral deposits are found in the Cannitello location. The sites were positioned through GPS RTK, and orthometric heights were obtained using the IGM (Italian Geographic Military Institute) grids for the Calabria area.

The most relevant site (Cannitello 1, elevation 28 m; Figure 2, Table 1) is stratigraphically located beneath the outer margin of the terrace attributed to MIS 3.3 [15]. The 3 m thick stratigraphic section (Figure 4a) presents fine sands at the base, with flat parallel lamination in a marly silty matrix with fine gravel levels (1). This deposit is engraved by a surface of sub-planar erosion on medium sands in silty matrix in plane-parallel position (2) characterised at the base by a level of heterometric pebbles (2a) with a thickness of 10 cm. A Mitylus shell (Sample 1, Table 2) was sampled from one of the levels containing fragments of bivalves and gastropods (Figure 4a).

Table 1. The Cannitello studied sites altitudes; see also Figure 2.

| Site Number | Latitude   | Longitude | GPS Altitude m | Google Earth Altitude m |
|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|
| CANNITELLO 1| 38.232280 N| 15.644851 E| 28.382         | 30                      |
| CANNITELLO 2| 38.230440 N| 15.64630 E| 57.201         | 57                      |
| CANNITELLO 3| 38.229399 N| 15.643769 E| 48.122         | 47                      |
| CANNITELLO 4| 38.230805 N| 15.642728 E| 27.282         | 28                      |

Figure 4. (a–c) Stratigraphic sections of the sites Cannitello 1, 3, and 4. For Cannitello 1, we have highlighted the position of the sampled and dated fossils of Table 2.
Table 2. Radiocarbon ages: samples at Cannitello 1 site; see also Figures 5 and 6.

| Sample   | Radiocarbon Age Years (BP) | Age Years Cal BP 1sigma | δ¹³C (‰) | Fossil           | Altitude Satellite m |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|
| LTL20153A | >48,000                     | 2.5 ± 0.2               | -        | Mytilus         | 28.3 ± 0.2           |
| LTL20327A | 40,887 ± 320                | 43,152 ± 304            | 4.2 ± 0.4 | Gastropod       | 28.3 ± 0.2           |
| LTL20490A | 40,828 ± 300                | 43,092 ± 273            | 0.3 ± 0.2 | Arca noae       | 29.3 ± 0.2           |

In the top part of this level, the plane-parallel lamination resumes, and levels of lamellibranch fragments are observed. Medium sands with inclined lamination follow upward above an erosion surface proximal submerged beach environment foreset (3). The section is sealed at the top by continental deposits represented by a colluvial level (4) and a stratified slope deposit with poorly elaborated subangular pebbles (5).

The basal sedimentary level (2) of the Cannitello 3 site rests upon an irregular erosion surface carved within the altered metamorphic bedrock (1). Marine sediments likely documenting the mesolittoral and infralittoral environment are represented by fine sands and gravels with flat parallel lamination. This level is characterized by a weakly-cemented skeletal bryozoan hash dominated by *Miriapora truncata* (Pallas, 1766) biosomes. These marine deposits are overlaid by continental scree deposits containing aligned sub-angular pebbles (3), which are, in turn, blanketed by anthropic fill materials (4).

The site Cannitello 4 exposes a densely-packed shelly rudstone with abundant but degraded biosomes and bioclasts pertaining to infra-mesolittoral organisms, such as bivalves, e.g., *Arca cf noe* Linnaeus, 1758, cf. *Acanthocardia tuberculata* (Linnaeus, 1758), gastropods as *Jujubinus cf striatus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Alvania* sp., naticid, *Tritia* sp., and scaphopods (*Antalis* sp.). Finally, a level of broken *Mytilus* shells was found. Although a precise bathymetric assessment based upon fossils is problematic; nonetheless, an estimated paleodepth between 10–30 m is not unreasonable (Figures 2 and 4–6).

![Figure 5. The Cannitello 4 and 1 outcrops. (a–c) The size and some details of the section. (d) A particular of Mytilus of Cannitello 1.](image-url)
Radiocarbon ages: samples at Cannitello 1 site; see also Figures 5, 6.

In addition to these sites attributable to MIS3 (see below), we identified older Pleistocene marine deposits. For example, the site named Cannitello 2 (57.2 m elevation), located above the terrace attributed to MIS 3.3 [15], consists of well-cemented calcarenite encasing deep-water scleractinian corals, i.e., Desmophyllum dianthus (Esper, 1794), D. pertusum (Linnaeus, 1758), and Caryophyllia sp. In all likeness, these facies represent a Late to Middle Pleistocene bathyal deposit plastering a former submarine cliff, a common case in this region subjected to important uplift [91].

3.2. Sampling and Radiocarbon Analyses

Dated samples come from silt-sandy deposits at Cannitello 1 site and were easily extracted from the poorly cemented matrix. Radiocarbon dating analyses were carried out at CEDAD-Centre for Applied Physics, Dating and Diagnostics, Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Salento in Lecce-Italy [92]. The samples were first analysed at the optical microscope in order to highlight possible macro-contamination such as the adhering residues of soils. They were then etched with H2O2 to remove the external layer and rinsed with de-ionized water.

The purified sample was then hydrolysed under a vacuum using H3PO4. The released CO2 was then cryogenically purified and then converted to graphite by using H2 as a reducing agent at 600 °C on Fe powder acting as a catalyst. For all three samples, ~1 mg of graphite was obtained, which is considered optimal for the following isotopic analyses. The obtained graphite was then used to measure the 14C/12C and 13C/12C isotopic ratio at the AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) beamline at CEDAD based on a 3 MV Tandetron (Mod. HVEE 4130HC) accelerator.

The radiocarbon age of the samples was then calculated from the measured 14C/12C ratios by applying the radiocarbon decays law and after correcting the measured terms for mass fractionation and processing blanks. In particular, C1 carbonate standards (Carrara Marble completely depleted in 14C supplied by IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna Austria) were used to estimate the machine and chemical processing blank during the whole process. Conventional radiocarbon ages were then calibrated in calendar years.
by using the last released calibration dataset for marine organisms (MARINE20) [93] and using a local marine reservoir correction term $\Delta R = -88 \pm 50$ y as obtained by Siani et al. [94] and recalculated for the MARINE20 curve (Table 2).

Table 2 lists the radiocarbon dating results. Two samples fall within MIS 3.1, and one resulted out of the radiocarbon range (>48,000 years).

4. GIA Modelling

4.1. Model Setting

Existing estimations of MIS 3.1 and MIS3.3 RSL depths carry the contribution of both vertical land and mean sea surface (geoid) variations in response to the ice sheet fluctuations. Indeed, surface mass transfer from the oceans to the continents, and vice versa, in addition to altering the global ocean mass and volume (eustatic sea-level change), trigger solid Earth deformations and gravitational and rotational perturbations that affect the geoid.

This process is known as glacial- and hydro-isostatic adjustment (GIA) and causes local RSL changes to deviate from the global mean (eustatic) as a function of the distance from the ice sheets. Here, we account for the GIA process by solving the gravitationally self-consistent sea level equation (SLE) [56,57] and using the open-source program SELEN [58–60]. Accordingly, we compute the local RSL curves for prescribed solid Earth rheological models and ice sheet models.

We assumed a self-gravitating, rotating, spherically symmetric, radially stratified, deformable but not compressible Earth model. The latter is 1-dimensional, implying that all the relevant rheological parameters are a function of the Earth’s radius only. The outer shell of the model is perfectly elastic and represents the lithosphere. Between the lithosphere and the inviscid core is the mantle, characterised by linear Maxwell viscoelastic rheology.

We employed a four-layer mantle discretisation where the upper mantle (UM), the lower upper mantle (LUM), the transition zone (TZ), and the lower mantle (LM) are characterised by uniform viscosity according to the VM2 profile (see Table 3). We combine this vertical stratification with a lithosphere thickness of 90 km and use it as a reference model. Furthermore, we consider three mantle viscosity profiles (MVPs) that are characterised by an increase in the vertical viscosity gradient from MVP1 to MVP3 (see Table 4). We use these three profiles in combination with a 100 km thick Lithosphere.

Table 3. Parameters of the four-layer mantle discretization; Lithospheric Thickness (LT), Upper Mantle (UM), Lower Upper Mantle (LUM), Transition zone (TZ), and Lower Mantle (LM).

| VM2 | LT (km) | UM ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) | LUM ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) | TZ ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) | LM ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) |
|-----|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|     | 90      | 0.67                      | 0.44                      | 0.46                      | 2.53                      |

Table 4. Vertical viscosity gradient increasing on the three mantle viscosity profiles (MVPs).

| MVPs | LT (km) | UM ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) | TZ ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) | LM ($\times 10^{21}$ Pa·s) |
|------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| MVP1 | 100     | 1                         | 1                         | 2                         |
| MVP2 | 100     | 0.5                       | 0.5                       | 5                         |
| MVP3 | 100     | 0.25                      | 0.5                       | 10                        |

We employed four ice sheet model chronologies that can be divided into two categories:

1. $\delta^{18}$O-dependent ice sheet models:
   1.1 ICE-5G and ICE-6G [95–98]: These global models describe the ice sheet thickness variations over North America, Eurasia, Greenland, and Antarctica for the last 123 ka. The
ice thickness chronology between 26 ka and the present day is constrained by geological and modern geodetical observations through an iterative procedure that involves the solution of the SLE for an a priori ice sheet configuration and a prescribed fixed solid Earth model (mantle viscosity profile and Lithosphere thickness). The ice sheet volumetric evolution between 123 and 26 ka was tuned to the delta-18O curve [97] and, where possible, constrained by geological evidences that define the ice sheet coverage and margins.

1.2 ANICE-SELEN [99,100]: This global chronology model is the result of an inverse forward modelling procedure where the delta-18O stack [97] is decoupled into global ice sheet volume and deep-water temperature. For this purpose, 3D thermomechanical ice sheet models for North America, Eurasia, Greenland, and Antarctica, are dynamically coupled to SELEN in order to include all the GIA feedbacks. ANICE-SELEN is not constrained by geological or instrumental data.

2. $\delta^{18}$O-independent ice sheet models:

2.1 PaleoMIST 1.0 [101]: This model stems from the combination of simplified 2-dimensional ice flow modelling and geological data that constrain the ice sheet boundaries in space and time (2500 years temporal snapshots). The reconstructed ice sheet margins, once combined with the topography, allow for the calculation of the basal sheet stress that, for a prescribed ice flow law, yield the 2-dimensional, steady state ice thickness variations within the ice sheet margins.

The model is then improved by an iterative procedure where the SLE is solved to account for realistic changes in topography. RSL curves are computed for each iterative step and compared to dated near-field RSL observations (RSL database). The iterative process is run until convergence is found. Accordingly, the ice sheet model is independent of the far-field and global sea-level proxies that are based on deep sea $\delta^{18}$O records. We employ two versions of PaleoMIST 1.0: (i) Minimal MIS 3 and (ii) Maximal MIS 3, respectively, with and without major retreat of the Laurentide Ice Sheet.

Therefore, the eustatic sea-level curves of ICE-5G, ICE-6G, and ANICE-SELEN, which reflect the ice sheet volume variation in time and expressed in meters of equivalent sea level, strongly depend on the benthic oxygen curve. The eustatic curve of PaleoMIST 1.0, instead, depends on the areal extent of the ice sheets, which is constrained by surface geological indicators and is completely independent of the benthic oxygen curve.

We employ the reference VM2 profile (Table 3) combined with the four ice sheet models, while the three MVPs (Table 4) are only used for ICE-5G, ICE-6G, and ANICE-SELEN.

4.2. Numerical GIA Predictions

Numerical RSL predictions for the three ice sheet models that depend on the delta-18O record are very close to the corresponding eustatic curves, which represent the ice sheet volume variation in time expressed as meters of equivalent sea level (Figure 7). The expected GIA variability, i.e., the vertical difference of the GIA-modulated RSL curves with respect to the eustatic, is $\sim$2.5 m for ICE-5G (red curves in Figure 7) and ICE-6G (blue curves in Figure 7) and $\sim$5.0–10.0 m for the ANICE-SELEN model runs (green curves in Figure 7). This confirms previous findings of Antonioli et al. [59,102] and stresses the major role of glacio-eustasy in this area and during the MIS 3 period.

Data model comparison reveals that the predicted MIS 3.1 and MIS 3.3 RSL elevations for ICE-5G, ICE-6G, and ANICE-SELEN were significantly lower than the observed values (corrected for tectonics). The GIA variability cannot explain the differences of 35–60 m and 30–50 m, respectively, at the MIS 3.1 and 3.3.
The predicted RSL curves for PaleoMIST 1.0 Maximal and Minimal MIS 3 (cyan and pink curves in Figure 7), both in combination with VM2 mantle profile (Table 3), were significantly above the ICE-5G, ICE-6G, and ANICE-SELEN curves (Figure 7). This implies that the PaleoMIST 1.0 ice sheet model was characterised by smaller ice volumes during the time frame under consideration.

Interestingly, the PaleoMIST 1.0 curves converge towards a minimum at 60 ka, which is broadly in line with the other models, where the minimum is slightly shifted at ~65 ka. Accordingly, the predicted RSL elevation at the MIS 3.3 is ~30 m lower than the tectonically-corrected MIS 3.1 terrace at Cannitello. However, after 60 ka, the predictions for PaleoMIST 1.0 diverge and maximum highstands of −30 and −40 m are obtained at 42.5 ka for, respectively, the Minimal and Maximal MIS 3 versions. These values are in agreement with the tectonically-corrected MIS 3.1 elevation at Cannitello (and at the Ionian sea coast).

5. Discussion

The published global information coupled with new field data from southern Calabria suggests that the quantity of melted ice during MIS 3 could have been underestimated in the global curves [5,6]. In general, observed sea-level markers are largely consistent showing a sea level between −18 and −40 m, with a 30–40 m difference with respect to the global curves. An agreement exists between the predicted sea-level and observed markers with Gowan et al. [101] for MIS 5.3, 5.1, and 3.1.

The radiocarbon ages (Table 1) of samples collected at 28 m attribute Cannitello 1 stratigraphic section at MIS 3.1. The result is consistent with the age of the overlying marine terraces (see Table 5, in particular with the MIS 3.3 terrace at 45 metres (64 kyrs [50])).

The sedimentary features of the studied deposits suggest that they formed at the foot of a cliff in a high-energy environment (slope almost overlooking the sea with a relief that reaches 5–600 m). Today, the sea-bottom rapidly deepens respectively to −50 and −100 m at 120 and 230 m offshore Cannitello. For these reasons, it is quite difficult to determine with great precision the depth of the foot of the paleocliff on which fossils sampled and aged at Cannitello 1 accumulated.
Table 5. (1) Elevation of sea level markers from: this paper; Monaco et al. [15]; and Miyauchi et al. [26]. The distinct inner edges were mapped by these authors with an error margin in the elevation of ±5 m, depending on the erosion and depositional processes following the emergence of the terraces. An error between 0 and −10 m was applied for the fossil raised deposits*. This implies that the elevations above sea level of the inner edges must be considered as mean values. (2) The Marine Isotopic Stage attribution based on dating and geomorphological correlations (see text for explanations). (3) Age from: this paper; Balescu et al. [50]; Miyauchi et al. [26] and Monaco et al. [15]; Antonioli et al. [103] and Senegalese fauna; Dutton et al. [83]; and Lisieki and Raymo [97]. (4) The eustatic sea level from: Gowan et al. [101]; this paper; Waelbroek et al. [5]; Dutton et al. [83]; and Lisieki and Raymo [97]. (5) The uplift rate calculated for each stage and (6) as the average value for the middle-late Pleistocene.

| 1 Observed Elevation of Terraces Inner Margins or Fossils/Infra/Circalittoral Raised Deposits * m | 2 Marine Isotopic Stage (MIS) | 3 Age (ka) | 4 Eustatic Sea Level (m) | 5 Uplift Rate mm/ y | 6 Mean Uplift Rate mm/ y |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| * 28 | 3.1 | 43.1 | −34 | 1.4–1.7 | 1.3 |
| 45 | 3.3 | 64 | −48 | 1.4 |
| 85 | 5.1 | 81 | −16 | 1.2 |
| 120 | 5.3 | 101 | −19 | 1.4 |
| 165 | 5.5 | 119 | +8.35 | 1.3 |
| 205 | 7.1 | 201.5 | −16 | 1.3 |
| 285 | 7.3 | 217.5 | −17.5 | 1.1 |
| 345 | 7.5 | 248.9 | −13 | 1.2 |
| 415 | 9 | 329 | +4 | 1.3 |
| 520 | 11 | 405 | +5 | 1.2 |
| 680 | 19 | 760 | −5 | 0.9 |

The bivalves and gastropods are sourced from infralittoral to circalittoral environments, with some mixing. Important clues come from the observation that: (i) pebbles within the deposit are covered by algal encrustations, and (ii) there is no evidence of tractive sedimentary structures. Together, those considerations appear to indicate an environment at the transition between infralittoral and circalittoral zones below the wave closure depth (estimated at ca. −10–20 m below the coeval sea level).

In order to frame the studied deposit in the terrace flight in this sector of southern Calabria, we considered (Table 5): (a) the elevation of inner margin or fossil beaches associated to the terraces, (b) the age of terraces, and (c) the predicted sea level.

The inner edges of the overlying terraces have been mapped with an estimated error in elevation of ±5 m [12,23] depending on the phenomena of erosion and/or deposition of colluvial sediments following the emergence of the terraces. Consequently, the elevations above sea level of the paleo-shorelines reported in Table 5 must be considered as the mean values. This uncertainty is, however, negligible in estimating the long-term Quaternary uplift rates involving time spans of tens to hundreds of thousands of years.

We calculated the uplift rate of distinct terraces by adding the observed elevation to the coeval eustatic position and dividing it by the age of the deposit or terrace. Table 5 shows that the uplift rates were rather constant during the Middle-Late Pleistocene.

Specifically, a lower long-term value was calculated for the MIS 19 terrace, (older than 730 ka, 0.9 mm/y), and higher uplift rates, between 1.4 and 1.7 mm/y, were estimated for younger terraces. Uplift rates have undergone an acceleration in the Holocene (last 3–4 ka Cal BP), reaching values of 1.8–2 mm/y along the coastline at the footwall of the Scilla Fault [86].

The uplift rate estimated for Middle-Late Pleistocene terraces supports our reconstruction of the eustatic elevation of the MIS 3.1 deposits from the Cannitello site. For this
estimation, we used the sea level curve of Gowan et al. [101] (Figure 7) where the average between PaleoMIST 1.0 maximal (−40 m) and minimal (−29 m) of the curve at 43 kyr is −34.5 m. As mentioned before, a rigorous paleobathymetric assessment of the units under scrutiny proves problematic due to the lack of unquestionable sea level markers. Therefore, we chose a conservative approach not adopting a bathymetric correction for Cannitello 1 but an error of −10 m. The resulting uplift rate ranged between 1.4 and 1.7 mm/y, in agreement with the estimation from older terraces.

The uplift rate results are also consistent with our reconstruction of the MIS 3.3 eustatic sea level elevation. Indeed, they confirm that the eustatic value at −48 m derived by Monaco et al. [15], based on the estimation of Waelbroeck et al. [5], may be valid.

Our numerical results and observational data confirmed that the MIS 3 RSL changes at Cannitello are governed by glacio-eustasy, whereas GIA plays a secondary role. While the δ¹⁸O dependent ice sheet models result in RSL curves that are always significantly lower than the observations, PaleoMIST 1.0 is the only model capable of returning a MIS 3.1 elevation that is in agreement with the observations. Indeed, we observe that there is a discrepancy (of at least 30–40 m) between the eustatic altitude of the MIS 3 of all global curves and those suggested by observations. Therefore, our results confirm previous evaluations by Pico et al. [63] and Gowan et al. [101] and support the contention that a reduction of global ice sheet volumes across the MIS 3, and specifically at the MIS 3.1 and 3.3, is needed.

6. Conclusions

Estimates of the global ice volume during MIS 3 (60–29 ka) can be generally constrained between −25 and −87 m. Regarding the maximum altitude reached during this period, there are few observed data for a comparison between the global curves and the variations due to the different rheostatic behaviour of the mantle in coastal areas. Uncertainties of the rheostatic behaviour in the near- or far-field from the ice bulk make it difficult to estimate the local sea level during MIS 3.

Our study illustrated three new outcrops at Cannitello (southern Calabria) where we found and dated fossiliferous marine sediments deposited on uplifted metamorphic bedrock. Field evidence, appraised uplift rates of more ancient terraces, and ¹⁴C age of fossil deposits sampled at an elevation of 28 m strongly suggest that such deposits formed during MIS 3.1.

Given the overall scarceness of MIS 3 marine outcrops that are explorable in coastal areas subject to important uplift, we consider the southern Calabrian site relevant for the assessment of past sea levels during this still poorly known interstadial.

The GIA results suggest that the δ¹⁸O-based ice sheet models appear to significantly overestimate the ice sheet volumes during the MIS 3.1 and 3.3. Our data are in agreement with Gowan et al. [101], raising, by 40 metres, the eustatic contribution to sea level during interstadials MIS 3.1, 5.1, and 5.3 with respect to the current global sea level curve scenarios. Further, our reconstruction agrees well with the records proposing MIS 3 sea levels at depths between −18 and −40 m.
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Abbreviations/Acronyms

MIS  Marine Isotope Stage
CA  Calabrian Arc
MS  Mediterranean Sea
MSL  Mean Sea Level
AMS  Accelerator Mass Spectrometry
GIA  Glacial and hydro Isostatic Adjustment
RSL  Relative Sea Level
SLE  Sea Level Equation
UM  Upper Mantle
LUM  Lower Upper Mantle
TZ  Transition Zone
LM  Lower Mantle
BP  Before Present

DWBAH  the acronym of a submerged flowstone
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