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Abstract

The Panspermia hypothesis posits that either life's building blocks (molecular Panspermia) or life itself (organism-based Panspermia) may have been interplanetarily transferred to facilitate the origins of life (OoL) on a given planet, complementing several current OoL frameworks. Although many spaceflight experiments were performed in the past to test for potential terrestrial organisms as Panspermia seeds, it is uncertain whether such organisms will likely “seed” a new planet even if they are able to survive spaceflight. Therefore, rather than using organisms, using abiotic chemicals as seeds has been proposed as part of the molecular Panspermia hypothesis. Here, as an extension of this hypothesis, we introduce and review the plausibility of a polymeric material-based Panspermia seed (M-BPS) as a theoretical concept, where the type of polymeric material that can function as a M-BPS must be able to: (1) survive spaceflight and (2) “function”, i.e., contingently drive chemical evolution toward some form of abiogenesis once arriving on a foreign planet. We use polymeric gels as a model example of a potential M-BPS. Polymeric gels that can be prebiotically synthesized on one planet (such as polyester gels) could be transferred to another planet via meteoritic transfer, where upon landing on a liquid bearing planet, can assemble into structures containing cellular-like characteristics and functionalities. Such features presupposed that these gels can assemble into compartments through phase separation to accomplish relevant functions such as encapsulation of primitive metabolic, genetic and catalytic materials, exchange of these materials, motion, coalescence, and evolution. All of these functions can result in the gels' capability to alter local geochemical niches on other planets, thereby allowing chemical evolution to lead to OoL events.

KEYWORDS
astrobiology, gels, membraneless droplets, origins of life, Panspermia, phase separation, polyesters

1 | INTRODUCTION

The origins of life (OoL) is an unsolved scientific conundrum, and there are two general ways to think about it: (1) that life started exclusively on Earth by the means of geologically driven prebiotic chemistry, that is, abiogenesis,[1] or (2) that life, or some of its components (spores, building blocks of biochemicals, and inorganic chemicals or its minerals, etc.),[2] may have been transported to Earth (or other planets) by interplanetary,[3] interstellar,[4] or even intergalactic,[5] transfer (e.g., by meteorites, comets, stardusts, etc.).[6–8] That is, the Panspermia hypothesis.
In Greek, the word **pan** means “universal,” and **spermia** means “life,” and were both interchangeably used as early as the fifth-century by the philosopher Anaxagoras. In modern times, these words gained scientific attention when Svante Arrhenius suggested that extraterrestrial spores propelled by solar radiation may have been transferred/seeded to early Earth, that is, “radiopanspermia.” Since then, many space-flight experiments (e.g., [11–16]) have been conducted to test the (survivability) limits of terrestrial life during space travel, in hopes to discern the plausibility of transporting extant life to other planets (a key aspect to the Panspermia hypothesis). Though it is beyond the scope of this paper to argue how life (or its constituents, e.g., biomolecules) can physically be transferred throughout the universe, several theoretical models have shown that Panspermia is a likely plausible phenomenon between Earth and Mars. These theoretical estimates are mainly supported by the discovery of Martian meteorites on Earth, and that these meteorites could possibly endure tolerable impact shocks thereby preserving their content (e.g., bacterial spores and lichens). This idea of meteorites transporting life and biomolecules to another planet is better known as “lithopanspermia.”

There are many different versions of Panspermia theories (see Table 1 for summary), which always involve the transfer of organism(s) and/or its crucial building blocks such as biochemicals (e.g., [24,25]) and/or their precursors (e.g., [26,27]), or inorganic compounds or minerals (e.g., [25,28,29]) enclosed within meteorites or comets that were transported to recipient planets to start, enable and facilitate OoL processes.

A similar version but with a touch of “intelligence,” called “directed Panspermia,” suggests the *deliberate* seeding of life on the Earth by an assumed hypothetical technological advanced extraterrestrial civilization. When this narrative is reversed, we can assume that humans are the extraterrestrial civilization capable of performing such a directed Panspermia feat, that is, “human-directed Panspermia” also popularly known as “terraforming.” In fact, attempts to terraform Mars have been making news as a possible avenue to mitigate global societal problems (e.g., overpopulation and climate change) and by preserving the continuity of terrestrial life at the same time. A more recent but different sub-iteration of this version is “Protospermia.” This version suggests that human-directed transfer of chemicals can nudge (or push) a barren planet toward abiogenesis as how contingently the process (may) see fit, but not necessarily to make life similar to that on Earth.

Although the Panspermia hypothesis has been extensively studied and reviewed in the past through all of these different points of view (e.g., [15,16,37–46]), the hypotheses do not center around the necessity of primitive polymers and biopolymer existence and function on the donor planet. As such, here, we incorporate a new aspect to the hypothesis by introducing the material-based Panspermia hypothesis, that is, a scenario where Panspermia seeds are made of materials (e.g., polymers, gels, etc.), and not by microbes or monomeric chemicals (e.g., amino acids, nucleotides, etc.). These prebiotic polymeric gels, we hypothesize, can be plausibly prebiotically formed on a hypothetical donor planet, may endure spaceflight, and subsequently, land on a recipient habitable planet with the ability to modulate or affect chemical evolution in its new environment, thus prompting an OoL event (Figure 1). Hence, in this piece, we will: (1) briefly account for some notable Panspermia experiments and describe their limitations or any knowledge gaps when explaining the mechanism of OoL, and then (2) speculate about the potential of a material-based Panspermia model, in the form of prebiotic polymeric gels, that may shed some more light on plausible OoL mechanisms. Such a materials-based Panspermia model could have occurred on early Earth, and has the plausibility, after further engineering and development, to become an experimental apparatus for terraforming planets in the future.

### Table 1 Brief descriptions of the common types of Panspermia models

| Types of Panspermia model | Descriptions | References |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Radiopanspermia | Microorganisms/spores, as proposed by Svante Arrhenius in 1908, escaped their donor planets to drift in space, carried by the force and pressure of solar radiation to interstellar space that would eventually seed another planet. | [47–50] |
| Lithopanspermia | Life, biomolecules and/or their precursors within comets and meteorites, by which they are protected against space degradation, that travel between planets and galaxies. | [13,51,52] |
| Accidental Panspermia | Accidental Panspermia might occur if terrestrial bacteria were to stow away on a spacecraft traveling to another planet. Hence, to avoid accidental Panspermia to other planets with potential life, such as Mars, NASA and other space institutes follow strict decontamination protocols on all interplanetary vehicles. | [53–55] |
| Directed Panspermia | Directed Panspermia means that an advanced civilization purposely and directly seeded life on the target planetary body. | [30,33,56–60] |
| Molecular Panspermia | The building blocks of life came from space. Simple molecules (e.g., glycine) or even elements (P) could have prompted the OoL. | [3,19,61] |
| Material-based Panspermia | An extension of molecular Panspermia that involves a more higher-ordered group of chemicals than single units of chemicals (monomers), that is, polymers, ceramics, gels and composites, that could have enabled the OoL. | This paper |
2 | HOW CURRENT PANSPERMIA THEORIES COULD AND COULD NOT HAVE LED TO THE ORIGINS OF LIFE

From a conceptual point of view, there are generally two ways for Panspermia seeds to enable an OoL event on “habitable” planets,[62–64] that is, the close proxies of Earth in size, environment and distance to a star, that is, presumably capable of harboring life (although the term “habitable zone” has been coined for the orbital location of such planets, the correctness of such a term is still under debate[65–67]). Here, we select Earth as an example to discuss the plausibility of these two methods of Panspermia, as Earth is the only “habitable” planet that we have direct evidence from.

First, Panspermia seeds transported in the form of biological organisms (e.g., radiopanspermia, lithopanspermia that carries organisms within meteorites, and directed Panspermia), could result in the development of life on another planet. To date, selected organisms in the form of dried microbes (e.g., Deinococcus spp. spores,[13,16]), fungi,[68] and microscopic animals[69] have been investigated for their potential as Panspermia seeds. For example, these organisms were exposed to either ground-based spaceflight simulation and/or low-Earth orbit (LEO) to evaluate their survivability,[13] where some of the organisms showed remarkable survivability. Organisms that survive spaceflight are thus speculated to eventually be able to land on a new “habitable” planet and begin colonization by utilizing existing organic and/or inorganic chemicals (on the new planet) to live, adapt to the new planet’s geochemistry, and proliferate. This could begin, for example, with the organism’s metabolic demands, that is, to remain alive and maintain themselves. In this case, such organisms’ metabolic processes must rely on different types of geochemical redox related energy sources on the new planet that may be different from their accustomed electron donors (e.g., H₂ used typically for methanogens/acetogens or organics for most eukaryotes on Earth) and acceptors (e.g., CO₂ for methanogens/acetogens or O₂ for...
most eukaryotes on Earth), or must manage to function on lower concentrations/tugacity of their accustomed electron acceptors/ donors found on said new planet.

However, organisms utilizing available geochemical redox related energy sources on other planets may be improbable, as we must keep in mind that life-as-we-know-it intertwines several biological subsystems (metabolism, replication, cellularization, etc.) that affect each other in any given organism, resulting in survivability and also in innovation (for new functionalities) against the backdrop of their geochemical environment. More importantly, these subsystems have undergone the rigors of Darwinian selection, that is, evolutionary optimization, but only against their own geoenvironment. For example, assume that a directed Panspermia experiment to terraform an ideal but only against their own their geochemical environment. More importantly, these subsystems have undergone the rigors of Darwinian selection, that is, evolutionary optimization, but only against their own geoenvironment. For example, assume that a directed Panspermia experiment to terraform an ideal habitable planet using the example of dried Deinococcus spp. mentioned above—even if these dried microbes can survive spaceflight, once it reaches a new habitable planet, their biological subsystems will likely not function properly. This is because the conditions on the foreign recipient planet, though they may be hypothetically similar to Earth, would have significant differences and could be detrimental to the survival and propagation of Deinococcus spp. (e.g., differences in atmospheric pressure and composition, oceanic pH, temperature, etc.).

Even present-day terrestrial life occupies specific niches (e.g., Archaea prefers anoxic conditions, halophiles prefer high salinity, thermophiles live in high-temperature environments, etc.). Such organisms cannot generally be transferred from one terrestrial niche to another while being expected to survive and propagate. In other words, we also cannot simply assume that life-as-we-know-it being Earth-compatible to be compatible in extraterrestrial environments. Hence, in a general context, it is unlikely that an organism, terrestrial or not, is capable of “seeding” a new planet, as they are already highly evolved and perhaps would need to rapidly “de-evolve” and “re-evolve” according to the new geochemical conditions to survive on the recipient planet.

On the other hand, if the conditions of the new planet are similar enough to that of Earth, then, perhaps survival and rapid evolution could happen considering that microbes can adapt via biochemical or genomic adaptation. Biochemical adaptation refers to the quick induction and expression of existing genes within the organism to change its metabolism and express necessary genes to adapt with an environmental change. An example of this is shown by mesophiles’ ability to adapt to sudden temperature changes by either expressing heat- or cold-shock proteins. Whereas, genomic-level adaptation is more long term where genetic mutations and recombinations can make subsequent proteomic changes to adapt to new environmental changes. This sort of adaptation could, in principle, lead to speciation too (e.g., [81]). Nevertheless, examples of microbial adaptations are demonstrated in food science (e.g., [74]), biomedicine (e.g., [82]), and environmental science (e.g., [83,84]). However, a detailed discussion on whether microbes can or cannot adapt to a new planetary condition is limited, and beyond the scope of this paper.

In addition, there are other issues with utilizing organism-based Panspermia seed to explain the OoL as well. Typically, the time scale of an organism-based Panspermia seed to travel to a new planet may be too long, or would require a short travel window, for its internal biological components (such as proteins and DNA) to survive. For instance, known Martian meteorites took ~600,000 to 14 million years to reach Earth, while bacterial DNA collected from ancient Antarctic ice (100,000 to 8 million years old) had a half-life of ~1.1 million years. As such, assuming that degradation conditions on Earth are identical to in space (which are clearly not identical, as we can see below), if it took 14 million years (upper bound time) for such a terrestrial bacteria to travel between Earth and Mars, then roughly only 0.015% of its original DNA would be present upon arrival; however, a shorter travel time (~600,000 years) would allow ~68% of the original DNA to remain intact. Hence the travel durations play a critical role in the survivability of such biological molecules. Travel times to farther planets, such as exoplanets, would take even longer, and as such the amount of DNA and other biological molecules remaining after arrival would essentially have made such a journey unsurvivable for terrestrial organisms. It is worth noting that ancient terrestrial bacterial DNA samples in Antarctic ice were subjected to various terrestrial degradation types (e.g., hydrolysis, oxidation, etc.) that are absent in space environments. However, spaceflight environments entail other forms of degradation factors not present on Earth (e.g., UV radiation, microgravity, etc.) that could have been destructive to biological molecules. For example, radiation (e.g., HZE ions and UV) is known to be destructive to DNA and proteins. Hence, because of the likely degradation of biochemical components within extant terrestrial organisms, organism-based Panspermia seeds would at best be the provider of molecular building blocks to a new planet, suggesting that the organism-based Panspermia model (to terraform other planets) would be an unnecessarily complex and uncontrollable (due to the unpredictability of the degradation of the biomolecular components of the seed organisms).

Second, the molecular Panspermia hypothesis supposes that molecular building blocks generated by abiotic sources (which themselves could eventually lead to assembly and synthesis of biopolymers (e.g., [92]) can be provided en masse to any planet to enable the OoL, even if such building blocks were not necessarily present on the recipient planet. For example, molecular Panspermia would be one solution to “the phosphate problem”: phosphates are present in central components utilized in biological replication (e.g., nucleic acids) and metabolism (e.g., ATP and Coenzyme A, etc.) but were not readily available on early Earth for the OoL due to their insolubility in mineral form [29,95] (e.g., apatite [Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH,F,Cl], hydroxyapatite [Ca₅(P-O₄)₃(OH)], etc.) [96]. As such, their low prebiotic availability also renders chemical evolution and metabolism on early Earth improbable, if not impossible. However, soluble mineral forms of phosphate that were scarce in early Earth’s mineralogy but do exist in reasonable abundance in meteoritic samples (e.g., schreibersite ([Fe,Ni]₃P) [97] and on Mars (e.g., chlorapatite [Ca₅(PO₄)₂Cl₂]), which suggests a potential example of molecular Panspermia that may have happened in the past; that is, that such phosphate sources were delivered to Earth from an extraterrestrial origin. This, however, would not discount other unknown reservoirs of phosphate that may have existed on early Earth (e.g., [98–100]). Nevertheless, a molecular Panspermia event is plausible since some building blocks (e.g., amino acids and sugars) [26,101–103] organic chemicals [104] and
other inorganics \textsuperscript{105-107} could survive spaceflight (intact) to the recipient planet of interest; that is, that Earth life could have originated from extraterrestrial chemicals, while extant Earth chemicals could lead to the development of life on other planets as well.

Hence, we suggest a polymer material-based testable molecular Panspermia model that may be more plausible than using biological seed organisms by assuming that Panspermia seeds need not be an organism nor chemical monomers/building blocks but can be made of materials. Such a material-based seed, may be capable of facilitating and/or driving prebiotic chemical evolution on a wider range of habitable planets (meaning the planet does not have to be an equal contemporary of the Earth). These materials can be assembled completely from prebiotic chemistry (used to describe the OoL on Earth) or even man-made materials (used to explore its utility for terraforming planets). Essentially, this material-based seed, after being ejected from a (donor) planet into and through space via meteorites (or man-made space vessels), can fulfill two basic criteria: (1) be robust and avoid degradation while enduring space exposure and (2) once arriving in an environment of a potential (recipient) habitable planet, trigger chemical activities (e.g., releasing chemicals, receiving and/or interacting with extant chemicals or geochemistries, etc.) in its new environment. In other words, the seed blends and interacts with its new environment, thus ensuring chemical continuity toward some sort of nascent biology. A seed capable of accomplishing both basic criteria gives us a framework to explore and expand the significance and plausibility of the Panspermia hypothesis in the context of the OoL (Figure 1). As such, here, we focus on prebiotic material-based Panspermia seeds (M-BPS) as a mechanism leading to the OoL.

3 | A POTENTIAL MATERIAL-BASED PANSPERMIA MODEL

M-BPS can be composed of many types of potential polymers, ceramics, and composites, but we will focus on the usage of polymeric (or biopolymeric) gels due to their plausible prebiotic relevance and their particular usefulness in the OoL, in particular their potential compartment-like structure.\textsuperscript{108-110}

One way that a polymeric gel material could have promoted the emergence of early life would have been through assembly into primitive compartments (themselves postulated to have been cell-like compartments that immediately preceded primitive and then modern cells), encapsulating primitive metabolic, genetic and catalytic materials on the donor planet.\textsuperscript{111,112} Such compartments providing encapsulation functionality are absolutely essential to the emergence and evolution of early life, as they provide a number of essential functions to a primitive system such as material exchange, recombination and evolution.\textsuperscript{113,114} However, primitive compartments come in many sizes and shapes, including lipid bilayer vesicles, membraneless droplets and even mineral pores, each providing their own unique advantages and disadvantages. For example, lipid bilayer vesicles can provide stable compartmentalization to encapsulated genetic polymers,\textsuperscript{115,116} which would have promoted genetic evolution. However, depending on the composition, some lipid compartments are unstable in high salinity\textsuperscript{117} or extreme pH\textsuperscript{118,119} although this instability can be ameliorated through introduction of divalent cation chelators (like citric acid)\textsuperscript{120} or increasing membrane diversity.\textsuperscript{121-123} Membraneless droplets generated by liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS),\textsuperscript{114,124} such as coacervates\textsuperscript{125} or aqueous two-phase systems,\textsuperscript{126} have also shown the ability to segregate primitive biomolecules such as RNA or peptides.\textsuperscript{127-130} While such systems can be cyclically assembled and disassembled (e.g., through modulation of environmental conditions such as pH, salt, or temperature\textsuperscript{131-133}), depending on the composition, membraneless droplets may have been more “leaky” than vesicles to encapsulated components.\textsuperscript{116} Other primitive compartments, such as mineral pores, are very stable on long timescales and have been shown to promote polymerization of primitive genetic materials such as RNA\textsuperscript{134,135} but their structure is governed by its geochemical composition, and thus it is difficult to envision any dynamic structural changes on the short term. Thus, as one can see, while compartmentalization as a principle must be considered in prebiotic systems, the potential structures that can achieve such compartmentalization are still large in variety and there is no clear consensus within the field.

As such, it is necessary to investigate other types of primitive compartment structures. Polymeric gels in particular could have potentially formed compartments similar to the membraneless droplets described above on prebiotic Earth, potentially through phase separation (or more appropriately, LLPS), which is a process in which liquid mixtures spontaneously separate into two liquid phases,\textsuperscript{136} forming membraneless droplets. For example, polyester gels generated from dehydration synthesis (by heating) of alpha-hydroxy acid monomers have recently been shown to form membraneless microdroplets upon hydration in aqueous solution\textsuperscript{127,128,137} (Figure 2). Other primitive polymers formed through dehydration polymerization have also shown similar behavior in their ability to form membraneless droplets.\textsuperscript{138} Such a mechanism leading toward droplet assembly could have potentially occurred in primitive evaporative environments on early Earth with mild temperature fluctuations, such as hot spring environments,\textsuperscript{139} as a result of wet/dry cycles due to day/night\textsuperscript{140} or seasonal cycles\textsuperscript{141} or in the presence of deliquescent materials.\textsuperscript{142} Emergence of the ability for membraneless droplets to have been generated from such polymeric gel materials (which simply requires an aqueous medium), both on a donor planet and a receiving planet, could have resulted in a number of functions that promoted further chemical evolution being imbued into a primitive chemical system such as material exchange (as a mechanism for nutrient input, waste output), motion (potentially due to diffusion), coalescence (as a mechanism for recombination), as well as scaffolding amphiphile assembly on droplet surfaces (i.e., evolution toward a membrane-bound compartment state). In particular, these potential functions are all “cell-like” in nature, and may have been used both by primitive and/or modern cells for their own function/survival or evolution. This suggests that an intact M-BPS arriving on a receiving planet could have imbued “cell-like” functions on such a planet and resulted in more favorable conditions leading to the origin of life (or fasttracking the origin of life); this assumes that such functions either would not have been possible without the M-BPS or would have required significantly more time to
emerge on the recipient planet in the absence of the M-BPS. We also note that while the phase separation that gels undergo to form droplets have so far been shown in aqueous environments, other liquids (such as organic solvents, some of which are abundantly present in other extraterrestrial environments such as the methane lakes of Titan\textsuperscript{143}), could also result in a similar phase transition and would depend on the chemistry of the polymeric gels themselves.

### 3.1 Material exchange

Membraneless droplets formed after phase separation of polymeric gel materials could exhibit a variety of dynamic behaviors that could be indicative or relevant to life. One important aspect is material exchange, as the dynamic and reversible physical property of membraneless droplets shows that encapsulated molecules inside have the ability to dynamically exchange its material with the surrounding environment\textsuperscript{145,146}. At the OoL, such membraneless droplets have been proposed as primitive compartment systems in which internal and external components exchange across the membraneless boundary with lower energetic cost compared with a membrane\textsuperscript{130}. In particular, when the external components have chemical affinity and compatibility (charge, polarity, etc.) for the interior of the LLPS, the material exchange process could lead to the spontaneous multiple orders-of-magnitude increase in concentration of DNA\textsuperscript{132,147} or of magnesium ions, nucleotides or RNA within the droplets\textsuperscript{129}. Membraneless compartmentalization based on polymers produced by prebiotically available organic compounds such as $\alpha$-hydroxy acids showed preferential and differential segregation of small molecules and RNA, providing a potential strategy to facilitate primitive chemistries through exchange and encapsulation of primitive components\textsuperscript{127}. For example, a M-BPS in droplet form could have uptaken chemicals on an aqueous donor planet, before transitioning to a gel-based form (following dehydration) to be transported to a receiving planet (assuming that such encapsulated chemicals could have survived the journey). Then, upon arriving at the receiving planet and phase separation into the droplet form (depending on the environmental conditions at the time), the pre-encapsulated chemicals could then be released into the receiving planet environment. The concentration of the encapsulated chemicals (whether they were transported within the M-BPS or whether they originated on the receiving planet) within a M-BPS could also be significantly higher than that of similar chemicals in the receiving planet environment, which could have promoted chemical reactions or interactions essential for the emergence of life that require higher concentration regimes of reactants on a receiving planet.

Finally, similar to the material exchange process of membraneless droplets, biomolecular import/export is significant for facilitating dynamic cell–cell communication in extant biology\textsuperscript{148}. Accordingly, communication between membraneless droplets through material exchange can provide transport of genetic/catalytic materials to adjacent compartments, resulting in exchange of chemicals essential for
survival in a harsh environment on early Earth. Such chemical communication, such as of compartmentalization of nascent chemicals on a receiving planet into a M-BPS, could have even led to the potential of further chemical reactions, in particular those catalyzed by a gel-based M-BPS itself acting as a catalytic microreactor for compartmentalized chemicals. Gel-based compartments built from a variety of components have shown the ability to catalyze various prebiotically relevant chemical processes.

3.2 | Motion

Another important aspect of polymeric gel material droplets is motion, which would allow the droplet to move to other locales on the recipient planet, which might be more favorable. For example, a different location could result in less degradation of the droplets themselves, while there may be more chemical abundance at a different location for uptake and compartmentalization. Finally, combining this motion with their material exchange properties would allow local transport of chemicals in the compartmentalized droplet. For instance, the surface motion behavior of LLPS droplets has been investigated on a transparent glass surface through fluorescence microscopy. Two surface diffusion modes exist (fix mode and diffusion mode) and the distribution of these two diffusion modes can be tuned by chemical modification of the glass surface with positive charges due to Coulomb interactions between such droplets and the solid surface. The droplets studied contained a negative ζ-potential and consisted of peptides and RNA, but it is plausible for gel-material-based membraneless droplets to exhibit the same properties and, depending on their ζ-potential (which can be tuned based on their composition) encapsulate different types of molecules.

Meanwhile, the distribution of charges in LLPS droplet components also affects droplets assembly (in this case, also made up of peptides and RNA) and their diffusion ability; such a process is related to motor neuronal cell death in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) disease. According to fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) measurements reflecting diffusion efficiency, droplets of peptide variants with small charge periodicity have a faster FRAP recovery rate than that with larger periodicity, suggesting differences in internal exchange. As such, due to such differences in physical diffusion processes, component molecules can be rearranged within the droplets. While again, such a demonstration is based on non-gel-material-based droplets, previous demonstrations showed the ability for primitive gel-based droplets to acquire differential charges based on residue incorporation.

3.3 | Coalescence

For modern cells experiencing “cell cycles,” the coalescence process is required to undergo repair, reproduce and distribute their genetic information to prevent genetic extinction. In principle, membraneless droplets adopt round morphologies with minimal surface tension, and coalesce into a single droplet upon contact with each other. There are mainly two mechanisms of droplet coalescence processes. Ostwald ripening results in small molecules moving to “find” larger droplets due to the minimization of surface free energy, resulting in molecules located close to the surface of droplets being energetically less stable compared to those in the droplet interior. On the other hand, passive coalescence occurs when droplets move freely via Brownian motion, and when two droplets similar in size contact each other randomly, they then fuse into a single droplet. Preliminary results show that NaCl concentration increases induce membraneless polyester droplet coalescence, potentially due to migration of ions to the droplet interface, resulting in surface tension or surface charge changes, while temperature increases can also accelerate membraneless droplet coalescence. The rate of coalescence depends on aqueous solution properties as well, such as phase volumes and viscosities. However, unilamellar lipid vesicles and natural clay microparticles have been put forward as a mechanism to stabilize LLPS droplets as artificial cell model at interface, preventing or controlling droplet coalescence without serving as a barrier to the input/output of molecules up to the size proteins or nucleic acids in some cases. Hence, the initiation/control of polymeric gel material droplets through prebiotic environmental factors could be a significant mechanism leading to their growth or division.

3.4 | Evolution

Evolution of a primitive compartment system with limited function into a complex compartment system, similar to a cell, may have been one mechanism by which the first cells emerged on early Earth. However, this is not the only mode of primitive compartment evolution, as it may be possible for primitive compartments to evolve more function even if they do not ultimately become more “cell-like.” While it is plausible for a polymer gel material compartment to have evolved through sequence replication and evolution of an encapsulated genetic polymer (such as a nucleic acid), it is also possible for such compartments to evolve in other ways. As such, here, we briefly discuss two modes by which polymer gel material droplets could have evolved: compositionally through evolution of its polymer sequence or structurally through acquisition of more complex structural components.

Compositional evolution of polymeric materials, which has been proposed to have been plausible before the advent of genetic biopolymers, would have required that the composition of any material directly affects its “phenotype,” and that modulations of this composition result in evolution of the material. In particular, this means that the various properties governing polymeric gel assembly, structure and/or function are directly controlled by its polymer composition, and that modulation of the polymer composition (whether temporarily or permanently) may result in differences in the material properties. For example, recent observations suggested that modulation of the composition of a polyester microdroplet to include more
basic residues resulted in acquisition of RNA segregation and intrinsic fluorescence.\textsuperscript{[128]} While this is just one observation, the potential exists for additional compositional modulations of polyester microdroplets to affect the compartment assembly, structure or function in other ways. As such, perhaps through cyclical polymerization/hydrolysis events facilitated by wet-dry cycles,\textsuperscript{[128,137,167]} one may be able to experimentally demonstrate evolution of polymeric gel-based material compartments.

Structural evolution of compartments may have resulted in acquisition of more complex structural components which contributed more complex functions to the compartment system, such as demonstrated \textit{via} coacervate acquisition of liquid crystal character\textsuperscript{[147,168]} or lipid membranes.\textsuperscript{[169–171]} Emergence of such structures in polymeric gel material droplets could have occurred, perhaps through acquisition of the nucleic acids or lipids that formed those structures. In particular, such compartments would have benefited from the ability to actively scaffold the assembly of additional structures (rather than passively acquiring such structures). For example, hyperbranched polymers have been shown to be able to scaffold the assembly of zinc sulfide nanocrystals.\textsuperscript{[172]} For example, perhaps polymeric gel compartments could have actively scaffolded lipid assembly on its surface\textsuperscript{[127]} to promote the formation of an enclosing membrane. This ability for a membraneless droplet to actively acquire and assemble a lipid membrane could have been a key stage of evolution connecting a membraneless protocellular world (polymeric gel material droplets) to a membrane-bound world (more cell-like state).\textsuperscript{[125]}

We described here plausible mechanisms by which polymeric gels can potentially be applied as a M-BPS, especially through supramolecular structures generated from LLPS, that can potentially drive chemical evolution upon arrival on a recipient planet. However, we must bear in mind that such proposed mechanisms, specifically on how chemical evolution would occur on an extraterrestrial planet, are vague considering that researchers still understand very little about how chemical evolution led to terrestrial OoL in general. The problem of understanding terrestrial OoL is multifold (discussed elsewhere, e.g., \textsuperscript{[1,173–176]}), and, a major oversight in all OoL models (e.g., RNA world, metabolism first, etc.), although compatible with the structures and functions of extant life,\textsuperscript{[177]} is that OoL models generally appear to minimize the role of historical contingencies,\textsuperscript{[73,178]} that is, open-ended circumstances which undoubtedly shaped the direction or plausibility of the OoL, but cannot be predicted \textit{a priori}. For instance, contingencies that shaped the OoL on Earth may have come, among many, in the form of certain emergent properties of prebiotic chemistry driven by varying geochemical processes (e.g., \textsuperscript{[179]}), or global/local geological changes\textsuperscript{[180]} that can potentially change inanimate matter to animated matter, that is, life-as-we-know-it. In fact, contingencies were (and still) are instrumental after the onset of Darwinian evolution\textsuperscript{[181]} (e.g., \textsuperscript{[182,183]}). Hence, in the same vein, such contingencies will also shape the direction of how a M-BPS drives chemical evolution on a new planetary environment (if at all). For example, in certain cases, the local planetary conditions could potentially allow a recipient planet to form gel-like droplet structures independent of M-BPS. In this case, even if arrival of a M-BPS may not be required for the receiving planet to form such structures, M-BPS could contribute to the chemical evolution of the receiving planet through delivery of novel organic or inorganic compounds (whether encapsulated in the M-BPS or directly as the M-BPS composition), which cannot be formed be the receiving planet. Such novel organic compounds could also (in isolation or combined with local compounds) form droplets with different structural or functional properties than those on the receiving planet, such as concentration of components (whether transported to or native to the receiving planet). These processes would have likely changed the ambient prebiotic chemistry of the OoL site of a particular planet (similar to what has been observed to occur in other prebiotic chemical reactions where novel reactants are introduced part-way through the process\textsuperscript{[179]}). Thus, input of M-BPS to a new planet can indeed change the outcome of chemical evolution, toward some sort of higher-order, perhaps as a missing chemical piece required for the emergence of life, whether that planet can already form similar types of droplets or not. While there are a number of remaining open questions pertaining to material-based Panspermia models (vide infra), how to account for contingencies in chemical evolution is by far the most difficult open question that remains to be explored experimentally.

## 4 | OPEN QUESTIONS AND LIMITATION TO THE MATERIAL-BASED PANSPERMIA HYPOTHESIS

Overall, the ability of polymeric gel materials to form droplets in an aqueous conditions via LLPS to possess certain functionalities that could have contributed to the emergence or evolution of life (material exchange, motion, coalescence, evolution, etc.) makes them desirable candidates as M-BPS. Given that early planetary systems were turbulent, where land mass from a certain planet can be transferred to another \textit{via} meteoritic transfer (e.g., during late heavy bombardment \textsuperscript{[LHB]}\textsuperscript{[184]}), polymeric gels could have plausibly been transported between nearby planets (e.g., Mars and Earth, the outer moons of Jupiter and Saturn\textsuperscript{[185,186]} and the TRAPPIST-1 system\textsuperscript{[3]}). However, although a polymeric gel material-based M-PBS is conceptually palatable, there are several open questions and limitations about the plausibility of this concept that deserve considerable attention before its full application.

First of all, even if an M-BPS can assemble on a donor planet (through local chemistries and geological processes), it must first move from this planet into space (before arrival on a receiving planet). Certain astronomical events could result in ejection of M-BPS (and/or other materials) into space from a donor planet (such ejected particles can potentially be as small as microdust grains, or as big as the moon). One of these events is bombardment from large planetary bodies or meteorites, similar to what is postulated to have happened to Earth during the “Late Accretion Period”\textsuperscript{[187]} and the “Late Heavy Bombardment” period\textsuperscript{[188]} respectively. During these periods, “ejecta” (in the form of chemicals, geological bodies such as rocks/minerals, etc.) could have left Earth (or another planet) following such
planetary impacts, and would have resulted in the ejecta either (1) remaining in space (such as planetary orbit\cite{189}), (2) returning to Earth via atmospheric re-entry,\cite{190} (3) or traveling to another planet (assuming that it did not degrade en route [vide infra]).\cite{191,192} It is the third case in which an ejected M-BPS could potentially act as a panspermia seed following transport to another planet.

However, another major question is can M-BPS survive the harsh conditions in space during transport? For example, prebiotically plausible polyester gel materials, similar the DNA mentioned above, may succumb to space degradation (e.g., by microgravity, UV radiation, temperature, cosmic rays, etc.) resulting in their degradation or hydrolysis, and hence determining its rate of degradation in such conditions is warranted. These experiments can be done in a ground-based facility simulating a space environment (e.g.,\cite{193,194} and/or exposing the samples to LEO on the International Space Station (e.g.,\cite{16,37,40}). In addition, other aspects related to their degradation must also be investigated. For instance, what are the limits of degradation that these polymeric gel materials can tolerate and still retain their functions? Second, can the degradation rates of the polymeric gel materials be minimized if they are combined with inorganic or meteoritic matter (e.g.,\cite{51,195}) as a proxy of lithopanspermia? Previous studies have shown that some organisms and organic chemicals undergo little degradation when associated with minerals or meteoritic matter. For example,\textit{Bacillus subtilis} spores survived better (up to five orders of magnitude) in spaceflight exposure of 2-weeks when mixed with powdered clay, rocks and meteorites.\cite{43} And in a separate study, trimer of leucine and diketopiperazine (DKP; a cyclic dimer of glycine), when associated with powdered meteorite film (Allende), also degraded to a lower extent when exposed to spaceflight for 98 days on board the MIR space station.\cite{103}

Of course, if a M-BPS can survive spaceflight without degradation of itself or its contents, entry into an atmosphere-containing planet (assuming that a gaseous atmosphere is a prerequisite for the origin of life) may also cause degradation of the M-BPS (and/or its contents) due to high temperatures caused by atmospheric entry (which may reach up to 2000 °C or higher for Earth\cite{196}). However, some gels have been found to be more heat-resistant than others, namely hyperbranched polymer gel-based materials,\cite{197} some of which appear to be stable >850 °C\cite{198}; as mentioned before, hyperbranched gel-based polymers have been investigated in the context of OoL and prebiotic chemistry.\cite{167,172} Although this would still be far less than the maximum temperatures reached by particles upon atmospheric entry, not all particles reach the maximum temperature, and a recent study suggested that the average entry temperature of micrometeorites to Earth (radius of <1 mm) was closer to 1300 °C, rather than 2000 °C.\cite{199} In fact, it was proposed that 3.5% of all such micrometeorites would not reach 900 °C during entry into the Earth atmosphere\cite{199}; if entry of M-BPS onto a receiving planet with an Earth-like atmosphere resulted in similar entry temperatures of the gel materials, then at least some percentage of the materials could have arrived onto the planet surface in-tact. However, there are some other considerations that may affect the maximum entry temperature and subsequent survivability of M-BPS on a recipient planet. For example, the atmospheric composition (and subsequently, density) may be significantly different from that of Earth, while the gravitational forces on the recipient planet may also result in differences in entry velocities, both of which could result in lower entry temperatures for an M-BPS onto a recipient planet and increase its survivability. Additionally, it can not be ruled out that an M-BPS could have arrived on a recipient planet enclosed within or co-assembled rocks, landmasses, or other minerals (similar to those investigated recently such as clay microparticle-containing droplets\cite{163} or membraneless droplets within rock pores\cite{200}), that is, lithopanspermia, which could have also resulted in protection of both the M-BPS and/or encapsulated components from decay. Thus, although a gel-based M-BPS may not easily survive entry into the Earth's atmosphere, some fraction of M-BPS may still survive entry into another atmosphere-containing receiving planet.

Beyond degradation during spaceflight or atmospheric entry, impact shock (e.g., ejecta impacts on Mars, the Moon and Earth during the LHB period\cite{201}) may also degrade polymeric gel materials (such as polyester gels) upon their arrival onto a recipient planet, which requires investigations on chemical and mechanical degradation of such materials caused by impact shocks. However, given that organisms such as\textit{Deinococcus radiodurans}\cite{202} and some bacteria (e.g.,\textit{B. subtilis} spores and\textit{Tardigrada} cells\cite{203} have been experimentally shown to survive low to moderate impact shocks, it is possible that polymeric gel materials have the potential to survive similar or even greater-strength shocks during transport. While some progress has indeed been made in understanding the survivability issues surrounding M-BPS, laboratory and field experiments and more theoretical modeling must be done to test the probability of M-BPS survivability against decay in pace, atmospheric entry, and impact going forward.

Another bottleneck is that phase-separation of polymeric gel materials (polymers) from previous works (mentioned in Section 3.3) were investigated only in aqueous conditions mimicking early Earth environments, either with pure H\textsubscript{2}O\cite{128} or H\textsubscript{2}O together with a small ratio of organic solvent (e.g., Acetonitrile).\cite{127,138} However, it comes to “seeding” a new planet using polymeric gels as M-BPS, H\textsubscript{2}O may not be the only solvent present (e.g., Titan's hydrocarbon lakes).\cite{204} Hence, testing the gels’ ability to phase-separate with different solvents, both aqueous-based (including with a wide range of pH and salinity) as well as organic based, is necessary. However, it has been found that other planetary bodies, mainly Europa and Enceladus, have shown strong evidence of water\cite{205} below the surface, that is, subsurface oceans, suggesting that as long as the polymeric gels can reach such aqueous environments, that they have the potential to phase separate. However, it is also plausible that the cold temperatures encountered during space travel and on other planetary surfaces may result in irreversible structural damage, and further investigations into the robustness of the structure polymeric gel materials at extreme temperatures must be undertaken.

Finally, one of the most pointed criticisms toward experimental validation of Panspermia hypotheses is that the experiments conducted only encompass short time scales which do not represent realistic astronomical (or geological) timescales. For instance, the longest Panspermia-related experiment, to our knowledge, was performed
over 6 years.\textsuperscript{13} Such experimental durations fall short of the time needed for Panspermia seeds to be transferred between planets. For example, as introduced previously, the travel time of meteorites between Mars and Earth is \~600,000 to 14 million years,\textsuperscript{85} and thus it is, at least at present, humanly impossible to perform experiments matching such time scales. This problem is in fact synonymous with OoL research in general,\textsuperscript{175} where the OoL was estimated to have occurred over 500,000–1 billion years,\textsuperscript{206–208} yet the laboratory proxies, that is, prebiotic chemistry experiments, are often (but not always) done in much shorter timescales (e.g., hours to days to weeks, etc.). Because of this time-scale issue, the role of making assumptions and speculations on the OoL based on such short-term experimental simulations is rather unavoidable even though they are firmly grounded (and updated) on what we presently know about biology and geochemical constraints of early Earth and other planetary bodies. Nevertheless, efforts are being made to address this problem through longer-term prebiotic chemistry investigations and simulations (e.g., \textsuperscript{209}). It will be especially important in the near future to determine (through laboratory, field, and/or theoretical experiments) potential M-BPS lifetimes (both on a planetary surface and in space) and whether this would be plausible considering the distance to be traveled/travel time between planets not just between Mars and Earth, as reported above, but also between other planets both within and outside of the solar system (which may in fact be much longer than 14 million years).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

To our knowledge, experimental validation of Panspermia hypotheses performed thus far overtly tests the limits of life in extreme conditions, that is, spaceflight, but stops short of explaining the “seeding” part of the hypothesis. While these studies are interesting and full of prospects to study the coping mechanism of Earth-bound biology in extreme conditions, it is uncertain that such biological seeds can lead to life on a new planet.

Instead, we propose an alternative Panspermia hypothesis utilizing materials in the form of polymeric gels that can potentially be an experimental framework to study the Panspermia hypothesis and the OoL. We have briefly shown that polymer gels (in the form of polyesters) formed from a variety of prebiotically available hydroxy acids,\textsuperscript{128,144} amino acids and cyclic compounds,\textsuperscript{138} showed cell-like functionality and have the potential to lead to the emergence of life via chemical evolution. While these polymeric gels’ cell-like functions\textsuperscript{127,128,138} provide glimpses of the potential of non-biomolecule-based OoL models (e.g., \textsuperscript{137,177,210}), its robustness as a Panspermia seed, especially during spaceflight and also impact shock upon arriving in a recipient planet is unknown, require necessary investigation. As such, with further experimental study and validation, the plausibility of M-BPS and polymeric material-based Panspermia hypotheses can be utilized as a prototype to explore possible means to terraform other planets and glean more information about aspects of the OoL not yet investigated.
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