months (April–May) and again in October. In November, both groups received feedback reports. Antibiotic prescribing rates for all three conditions were tracked for both groups for the baseline period (January to March) and throughout the study duration.

Results. During the baseline period, antibiotic prescribing rates for the three conditions combined was 71% for Group A and 69% for Group B. Antibiotic prescribing rates for both groups throughout the baseline and study periods are displayed in Figure 1. For Group A, prescribing rates declined from 71% (baseline) to 66% in May and for Group B declined from 69% (baseline) to 55%. During June–September, the monthly prescribing rate remained 66–69% for Group A and 56–57% for Group B. In November, following the additional individualized feedback report provided to both groups, the prescribing rate was 63% for Group A and 46% for Group B.

Conclusion. Individualized prescribing feedback reports coupled with education to telemedicine providers was more effective than education alone in reducing unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions for ARTIs. These findings should be used to promote antibiotic stewardship across telemedicine and other care settings.

Figure 1
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Background. An estimated 30% of outpatient antibiotic prescriptions are unnecessary. Antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASP) are associated with decreased antibiotic prescribing and improved patterns of antimicrobial resistance. The objective of Targeting Appropriate Prescribing in Outpatient settings (TAP OUT) is to study how public health jurisdictions may assist implementation of ASP in primary and urgent care to measure the impact on reducing inappropriate antibiotic prescribing.

Methods. Los Angeles County Department of Public Health (DPH) partnered with an outpatient medical group to implement an ASP in 2017. The TAP OUT ASP included public commitment, communication skills training, clinical treatment education, and prescribing audits. Implementation characteristics were collected via key informant interviews and provider surveys and were analyzed following the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research. Historical (November 2016–March 2017) and intervention (November 2017–March 2018) period prescribing data from electronic health records were compared with calculating antibiotic prescribing rates for uncomplicated acute upper respiratory infection (URI) encounters.

Results. Twenty primary care and three urgent care clinics, representing 208 providers, participated in TAP OUT. The baseline inappropriate antibiotic prescribing rate for URI was 15.5% amongst all prescribers (range: 0–100%). During the intervention period, the inappropriate prescribing rate decreased to 7.6% (51% reduction, P < 0.0001) (Figure 1). Several key implementation elements were identified, such as leadership buy-in and on-site peer champions. Visible and recurring prescribing reminders were useful. To improve adoption, the ASP was integrated into existing workflow.

Conclusion. The TAP OUT program met all of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Core Elements of Outpatient Stewardship and was associated with a decrease in inappropriately prescribed antibiotics with low implementation costs. DPH will develop a TAP OUT implementation guide and work with local providers to develop ASPs.
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Background. The methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) nasal polysaccharide chain reaction (PCR) has a negative predictive value of 95.2–99.2% for MRSA pneumonia. Negative MRSA nasal PCR results can be used as an effective tool to discontinue unnecessary empiric vancomycin therapy.

Methods. This single-center, pre–post quasi experimental pilot study evaluated the impact of a pharmacist-led MRSA nasal PCR screening protocol on vancomycin days of therapy (DOT) in patients with pneumonia. All adult patients with IV vancomycin ordered for pneumonia admitted to non-intensive care units were included. Patients who received nasal mupirocin, transitioned to hospice during admission, or had another indication requiring vancomycin were excluded. Pharmacists ordered an MRSA nasal PCR per protocol, upon order verification. Negative results were used to recommend vancomycin discontinuation when appropriate. Provocative data were compared with a random retrospective cohort during a similar time frame the previous year. The primary outcome was vancomycin DOT before and after protocol implementation. Secondary outcomes included length of stay, quantity of vancomycin levels obtained, in-hospital mortality, acute kidney injury incidence, adherence to the protocol, and need for antimicrobial escalation.

Results. A total of 130 patients were included (n = 65, pre-intervention; n = 65, post-intervention). No statistically significant differences were observed in the demographics between the two groups. The median reduction in vancomycin DOT was 1.4 days [2.9 days (IQR 1.8–4.1) vs. 1.5 days (IQR 0.7–2.3); P = 0.001]. The percentage of IV vancomycin ordered for pneumonia was reduced by 5.2% (19.6% vs. 14.4%; P = 0.036). The protocol also resulted in a decreased median number of serum vancomycin levels (P < 0.001). No statistically significant differences were observed in the secondary outcomes, and there were no adverse clinical outcomes. Protocol adherence was 67.9% overall.

Conclusion. Implementation of a pharmacist-led MRSA surveillance protocol significantly reduced vancomycin days of therapy, reduced serum vancomycin levels, and had no unintended adverse consequences for respiratory tract infections. Results from this pilot project will be used to expand this protocol systemwide.
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Background. There is a need to develop successful antibiotic stewardship interventions that do not require ID physicians. Our hospital implemented a pharmacist-driven intervention to prompt critical assessment of antibiotic regimens during interdisciplinary team rounds. We evaluated the acceptance of this intervention and the effects on concordance with institutional prescribing guidance.

Methods. This quality improvement initiative took place between November 2016 and June 2017 on a medical ward in an urban, level 1 trauma, public teaching hospital. During interdisciplinary team rounds, if the medicine team’s antimicrobial choice was not concordant with institutional prescribing guidance, the clinical pharmacist made a recommendation. We assessed prescribing for urinary tract infection, skin and soft-tissue infection, and pneumonia pre- and post-intervention. Prescribing was classified as overall guideline-concordant if the antibiotic choices and duration of therapy were consistent with institutional guidance.

Results. Thirty cases from each period were evaluated. Recommendations to the medical team were made on 63% (92/146) of days and on 31% (205/664) of patients on antibiotics. The most common recommendation was regarding days of therapy (Figure 1). The recommendations were accepted in 76% (156/205) of cases (Figure 2). There were improvements in both the inpatient (70% to 83%, P = 0.22) and discharge (64% to 86%, P = 0.35) antibiotic choices and overall guideline concordance (53% to 63%, P = 0.43); however, these were not statistically significant. Concordance with duration of therapy was similar between the periods (76% vs. 77%, P = 0.94) (Figure 3).

Conclusion. During interdisciplinary rounds, prompting by pharmacists to critically assess antibiotic regimens is a feasible antibiotic stewardship intervention that does not require ID expertise, is generally accepted by physicians, and may increase guideline-concordant antibiotic selection.

Figure 1:

Number of recommendations

Figure 2:

Acceptance of pharmacist recommendations on rounds

Figure 3:

|                | Baseline (N = 30) | Intervention (N = 30) | P-value |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Inpatient antibiotic choice | 21/30 (70%) | 25/30 (83%) | 0.22 |
| Discharge antibiotic choice | 7/11 (64%) | 12/14 (86%) | 0.35 |
| Duration of therapy | 22/29 (76%) | 23/30 (77%) | 0.75 |
| Overall concordance | 16/30 (53%) | 19/30 (63%) | 0.43 |
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