Deglacial bottom water warming intensified Arctic methane seepage in the NW Barents Sea
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Changes in the Arctic climate-ocean system can rapidly impact carbon cycling and cryosphere. Methane release from the seafloor has been widespread in the Barents Sea since the last deglaciation, being closely linked to changes in pressure and bottom water temperature. Here, we present a post-glacial bottom water temperature record (18,000–0 years before present) based on Mg/Ca in benthic foraminifera from an area where methane seepage occurs and proximal to a former Arctic ice-sheet grounding zone. Coupled ice sheet-hydrate stability modeling shows that phases of extreme bottom water temperature up to 6 °C and associated with inflow of Atlantic Water repeatedly destabilized subsurface hydrates facilitating the release of greenhouse gasses from the seabed. Furthermore, these warming events played an important role in triggering multiple collapses of the marine-based Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet. Future warming of the Atlantic Water could lead to widespread disappearance of gas hydrates and melting of the remaining marine-terminating glaciers.
The Arctic has experienced dramatic changes during recent decades in response to climate warming. In particular, the Barents Sea has shown signs of an ongoing “Atlanticification”, with an increased inflow of warm Atlantic Water (AW) and a significant loss of sea ice. The incursion of warm AW onto the Barents Shelf can also destabilize buried gas hydrates—frozen compounds of water and methane—releasing greenhouse gases into the water column. Although methane released from the seafloor is microbially consumed in the sediment by anaerobic oxidation of methane or dissolved/consumed by aerobic methane oxidation in the water column, a major methane venting event induced by dissociation of gas hydrates could amplify the effects of the current ocean acidification and, if escaping to the atmosphere could lead to further warming.

Past periods with the subsurface inflow of warm AW have been recorded in sediment cores from the Nordic Seas during Heinrich Stadials (HS)10–12. These are millennial-scale events related to the extensive discharge of icebergs and meltwater into the North Atlantic that occurred in the last glacial period. During the Last Glacial Maximum (ca. 26,000 calendar years before present (BP)), the Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet (SBIS) reached its maximum extent, covering the entire Barents Sea shelf.3–5 The Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet (SBIS) during the Late Glacial Maximum (LGM) according to ref.9 (dashed white line). The collapse of the marine-based SBIS resulted in the formation of brine-enriched shelf water (−1.9 °C, >34.8 psu) that flows along the bottom of Storfjordrenna on its way to the shelf edge. In summer-autumn, the AW occupies the entire water column. In July 2018, when core 1059 was retrieved, the AW reached the seafloor with a temperature of 2.5 °C (Fig. 1C).

Previous attempts to estimate paleo-BWT in Storfjordrenna have involved converting δ18O of benthic foraminifera into BWT by assuming constant seawater δ18O similar to modern values or calculating BWT using benthic foraminiferal transfer functions. The latter method quantifies BWT as an average of the whole foraminiferal assemblage and might therefore be biased towards species-specific ecological preferences other than temperature (e.g., food and oxygen availability, salinity, and water depth). Here, we quantify BWT based on Mg/Ca in the benthic foraminiferal species Cassidulina neoteretis, in

Fig. 1 Physical oceanography and bathymetry of the study area. A Map showing major surface currents (based on ref. 10) and maximum extent of Svalbard-Barents Sea Ice Sheet (SBIS) during the Late Glacial Maximum (LGM) according to ref. 9 (dashed white line). B Bathymetric map of the Pingo Area. C Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) profiles (July 2014 and April 2015 from core site of JM02-460; July 2018 from core site of HH18-1059GC). The right columns in panel C indicate the two different hydrographic scenarios: 1 = when Atlantic Water occupies the entire water column and 2 = when a winter polynya and winter conditions allow the formation of brine-enriched shelf waters. Star shows the location of core HH18-1059GC (1059 in text). Location of core JM02-460 is indicated with a white circle and core CAGE 15-2 920GC (920 in text) is shown with white pentagon (B). WSC: West Spitsbergen Current; ESC: East Spitsbergen Current.
order to more accurately constrain past temperature changes in the western Barents Sea. In addition, the reconstructed BWT is used to investigate the past dynamic of the gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ) by modeling variations in its thickness to provide an oceanographically constrained history of methane-venting from the northwestern Barents Sea area.

Our record spans the early deglaciation (since the Late Glacial 18,000 cal years BP) to the late Holocene, including the cold atmospheric periods Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1; 17,500–14,600 cal years BP) and Younger Dryas (YD; 12,800–11,700 cal years BP) and the warm interstadial phases Bølling-Allerød (14,600–12,800 cal years BP) and the Holocene (since 11,700 cal years BP)23 (Fig. 2). The core site is located close to an area of methane release from gas hydrate mounds ("Pingo Area") in the northwestern Barents Sea22, allowing correlation and comparison between methane-influenced records24 and records from unaffected areas (e.g., core 1059; see "Methods" section). The gas hydrate stability zone outcrops at this water depth in the outer Storfjordrenna25 in an area also strongly affected by the inflow of the warm Atlantic Water (Fig. 1).

Results and discussion

Bottom water temperatures during the last 17,500 years. The BWT in Storfjordrenna varied between 1.5 to 5.5 °C since the start of HS1 at 17,500 years BP (Fig. 3A). The warmest BWT occurred during HS1 and the following deglaciation (Bolling-Allerod interstadials, 14,600–12,800 cal years BP) and the Holocene (since 11,700 cal years BP)23 (Fig. 2). The core site is located close to an area of methane release from gas hydrate mounds ("Pingo Area") in the northwestern Barents Sea22, allowing correlation and comparison between methane-influenced records24 and records from unaffected areas (e.g., core 1059; see "Methods" section). The gas hydrate stability zone outcrops at this water depth in the outer Storfjordrenna25 in an area also strongly affected by the inflow of the warm Atlantic Water (Fig. 1).

Fig. 2 Lithology, calibrated ages, sedimentological and physical properties of core 1059. A Weight percentages of the different grain-size fractions. B Magnetic susceptibility. C Reconstructed bottom water temperature (BWT). D Age-depth model. Left columns: XRF-Image scan, X-ray images, and lithological log with cal ages indicated of core 1059. Ages in italics are transferred ages from two nearby cores (see text for explanation).

Bottom water temperature progressively increased up to 5.5 °C by the early Holocene between 11,700 and 9000 years (Fig. 3A). During this period, benthic foraminiferal assemblages show an increase in relative abundances of Cibicides lobatulus and Melonis barleeanus supporting the presence of stronger advection of AW and a reduced cover of sea ice15. During the Mid-Late Holocene, the BWT stabilized with an average of 3.3 ± 1 °C, except for a short warming event of around 6000 years.
Bottom water temperature and gas-hydrate dissociation. Bottom water temperature is an effective regulator of the stability of sub-seafloor gas hydrates, with minor fluctuations on a seasonal basis directly impacting the flow of greenhouse gases from the seabed to the water column. Using our BWT time series, we modeled changes in the thickness of the GHSZ for the past 18,000 years (see "Methods" section). The measured benthic foraminiferal species, *C. neoteretis*, thrives under the influence of AW. The thickness of the GHSZ presented in this work should be considered as a narrowest limit (see "Methods" section).

During the late glacial from 18,000–17,500 years BP, the rapid removal of the glacial overburden followed by the intrusion of the warm AW caused the ca. 200 m thick GHSZ to disappear entirely within ca. 1000 years (Figs. 3B, 4, 5A, B and Fig. S4). The combined effects of glacial uplift (that decreases the pressure) and sea-level rise (which exceeds the uplift and increases the pressure) heighten the gas hydrate stability (Fig. 4). The most likely factor in our model to drive the rapid changes in the postglacial hydrate stability is thus the recurrent increases in BWT in the Pingo Area (Fig. 4). Indeed, the GHSZ continued to outcrop at the sediment surface in the area during the HS1 and warm Bølling and Allerød interstadials with high BWT. The GHSZ reappeared and thickened at the Allerød–YD transition following a decrease in BWT (Fig. 3B). The climatically unstable periods of the YD and earliest Holocene with highly variable BWT resulted in rapid fluctuations in the thickness of the GHSZ (0 to between 50 and 80 m) (Fig. 3B). Thereafter, it disappeared again during the Holocene Thermal Maximum, before increasing to a thickness of 60–75 m beginning from 4500 years BP in the late Holocene, when BWT stabilized to modern values (Figs. 1C and 3A). Seismic data from the area shows that the base of the modern GHSZ (i.e., the bottom-simulating reflector) occurs today between 85 and 150 m below the seafloor, validating the results of our model.

We have correlated nearby core CAGE15-2-920GC (hereafter core 920) from a hydrate pingo to our core 1059 (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3). Core 920, analyzed for its content of archaeobacteria and their 13C signals, indicates three major episodes of methane venting. Based on our correlation and placing core 920 into our age model (see "Methods" section), increased release of gas occurs in the late HS1, during the Bølling interstadial and Allerød interstadial.

In the Pingo Area methane is released through several gas hydrate mounds connected to the Hornsund fault system in Storfjordrenna. The record from core 920 shows when the methane flux in the Pingo Area in Storfjordrenna increased during the deglaciation. There is no signal in core 920 for most of HS1, which may have been expected given the rapid disappearance of the GHSZ and the following high BWT (Fig. 3B, C). Data from the SW Barents Sea indicated a maximum in seepage immediately after the retreat of the SBIS 18,000–16,000 years BP. The venting right after depressurizing from the ice burden may not have been recorded because (1) it was a very rapid and violent event, (2) the gas escaped through cracks in the gas phase, or (3) it escaped through cracks and faults elsewhere. Indeed, studies from the SW Barents Sea also indicated an increase in methane venting during the Bølling and Allerød intervals supporting the hypothesis of the protracted nature of methane release from gas hydrate dissociation during the deglaciation over millennial timescales, where a high flux of methane follows the

---

**Fig. 3** Bottom water temperature in the Pingo Area, stable isotopes, methane seepage, and evolution of the gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ). A Reconstructed bottom water temperature (BWT) derived from benthic foraminiferal Mg/Ca. The shaded area indicates the uncertainty interval calculated with error propagation. Thick vertical lines represent the average BWT for each interval. For the Bølling–Allerød interval, the average only represents the.

---

**Methods**

During the late glacial from 18,000–17,500 years BP, the rapid removal of the glacial overburden followed by the intrusion of the warm AW caused the ca. 200 m thick GHSZ to disappear entirely within ca. 1000 years (Figs. 3B, 4, 5A, B and Fig. S4). The combined effects of glacial uplift (that decreases the pressure) and sea-level rise (which exceeds the uplift and increases the pressure) heighten the gas hydrate stability (Fig. 4). The most likely factor in our model to drive the rapid changes in the postglacial hydrate stability is thus the recurrent increases in BWT in the Pingo Area (Fig. 4). Indeed, the GHSZ continued to outcrop at the sediment surface in the area during the HS1 and warm Bølling and Allerød interstadials with high BWT. The GHSZ reappeared and thickened at the Allerød–YD transition following a decrease in BWT (Fig. 3B). The climatically unstable periods of the YD and earliest Holocene with highly variable BWT resulted in rapid fluctuations in the thickness of the GHSZ (0 to between 50 and 80 m) (Fig. 3B). Thereafter, it disappeared again during the Holocene Thermal Maximum, before increasing to a thickness of 60–75 m beginning from 4500 years BP in the late Holocene, when BWT stabilized to modern values (Figs. 1C and 3A). Seismic data from the area shows that the base of the modern GHSZ (i.e., the bottom-simulating reflector) occurs today between 85 and 150 m below the seafloor, validating the results of our model.

We have correlated nearby core CAGE15-2-920GC (hereafter core 920) from a hydrate pingo to our core 1059 (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3). Core 920, analyzed for its content of archaeobacteria and their 13C signals, indicates three major episodes of methane venting. Based on our correlation and placing core 920 into our age model (see "Methods" section), increased release of gas occurs in the late HS1, during the Bølling interstadial and Allerød interstadial.

In the Pingo Area methane is released through several gas hydrate mounds connected to the Hornsund fault system in Storfjordrenna. The record from core 920 shows when the methane flux in the Pingo Area in Storfjordrenna increased during the deglaciation. There is no signal in core 920 for most of HS1, which may have been expected given the rapid disappearance of the GHSZ and the following high BWT (Fig. 3B, C). Data from the SW Barents Sea indicated a maximum in seepage immediately after the retreat of the SBIS 18,000–16,000 years BP. The venting right after depressurizing from the ice burden may not have been recorded because (1) it was a very rapid and violent event, (2) the gas escaped through cracks in the gas phase, or (3) it escaped through cracks and faults elsewhere. Indeed, studies from the SW Barents Sea also indicated an increase in methane venting during the Bølling and Allerød intervals supporting the hypothesis of the protracted nature of methane release from gas hydrate dissociation during the deglaciation over millennial timescales, where a high flux of methane follows the
The core site in Storfjordrenna is providing a direct means of comparison of its impact on the ice the northwestern Barents Sea since the Last Glacial Maximum, enhanced by the sea temperature (BWT) sampled every 100 years; (salinity, and thermal properties are listed in Table S1. LG: Late Glacial; HS1: Heinrich Stadial 1; BA: Bølling-Allerød interstadials; YD: Younger Dryas stadial.

Significance of bottom water temperature changes for methane release and ice retreat. Our BWT record for the western Barents Sea provides quantifiable evidence for bottom warming events in the northwestern Barents Sea since the Last Glacial Maximum, providing a direct means of comparison of its impact on the ice sheet and hydrate dissociation. The core site in Storfjordrenna is located in front of a former major ice stream of the SBIS35, which had retreated to the central part of the shelf at least before 18,150 cal years BP (16,750 ± 110 14C years calibrated with Normarine1829) (see “Methods” section). The collapse and retreat of this ice stream from the shelf edge have been linked to oceanographic forcing36, enhanced by the seafloor geometry and substrate37,38. The three major periods of high BWT (with temperatures ca. 4–5 °C) identified after 18,000 years BP correlate closely with major postglacial retreat phases of the SBIS in Storfjordrenna and Storfjorden16,38 (Fig. S4).

The early retreat of the SBIS from the outer part of Storfjordrenna was probably accelerated by the presence of warm (4.4 ± 1 °C) AW flowing eastwards during the late glacial and HS115 (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4) followed by an increased release of methane from the seafloor24 (Fig. 3C). The contemporaneous and rapid reduction in thickness of the GHSZ in Storfjordrenna has previously been attributed to decompression associated with deglaciation of the SBIS39. Our data suggest that the high BWT most likely also played an important role, driving the retreat of the SBIS, and preconditioning and accelerating the postglacial thinning of the GHSZ much faster than previously suggested22.

We observe a decrease in seawater δ18O following the peak in BWT during HS1, potentially indicating a freshening of the bottom waters (Fig. 3A, E). This indicates that the advection of warm AW into the western Barents Sea probably resulted in basal melting of the SBIS, forcing a rapid retreat of the ice stream in Storfjordrenna and a significant supply of freshwater (Fig. 5B)15,16. Similar chronologies of the retreat patterns of the Storfjordrenna Ice Stream and north Norwegian Ice Streams likely suggest that the retreat was controlled by a common forcing (i.e., atmosphere and/or oceanic control) rather than by local factors only16 (Fig. 5A–D). Subsurface melting has been suggested by model studies to be the major control on the retreat of the marine-based SBIS17, in contrast to the minor role played by atmospheric forcing and sea-level rise18. Our BWT, with three main phases of AW warm pulses, agrees with the stepwise retreat pattern of the SBIS previously documented in Storfjordrenna37 and the SW Barents Sea40.

The BWT increase at the beginning of the Holocene between 11,300 and 9000 years BP, may have driven the final retreat of the SBIS in Storfjorden16 and the disappearance of the GHSZ (Fig. 3B). By the Mid-Late Holocene, modern BWT values were reached (Fig. 3A). The lower summer BWT and the increased pressure related to sea-level rise during the Holocene probably thicken the GHSZ again (Figs. 3B and 5F). Seasonal variations in bottom water temperature today and during the late Holocene32,41 were pronounced due to increased brine formation in Storfjorden creating dense, cold outflow water via Storfjorden (winter with strong brine formation: T = −1.3 °C, S = 35.3 psu; summer T = 2.5–3 °C, S = 34.9–35 psu; Fig. 1C). This strong seasonal variability is likely to accelerate the destabilization of the GHSZ and cause variable seasonal patterns of methane seepage on Arctic continental shelves2–4 (Fig. 5F).

A modeling exercise on the fate of the GHSZ in the southwestern Barents Sea, under warming ocean conditions since 1960, indicates that the inflow of Atlantic water played a major role in the thinning of the GHSZ between 1985 and 201042. Furthermore, a linear increase of 1 °C of BWT from 2010 to 2060 would cause a further thinning of the GHSZ, allowing shallow (<80 mbsf) methane hydrates to dissociate and release between 1 and 8 Gt of carbon into the ocean42. Under a very high baseline scenario of greenhouse gas emissions (RCP8.5)43, CMIP5 climate predictions43 of BWT show that the temperature could increase up to 5 °C by the end of the 21st century in the western Barents Sea at water depths of 390 m45, similar to the estimated BWT in Storfjordrenna during HS1 and the Bølling-Allerød interstadials (Fig. 3).

The mechanisms underlying the intrusion of warm AW into the western Barents Sea were different in the past (i.e., when AW could flow with minimal heat loss beneath a thick halocline10,11). However, our study shows that the rate of thinning of the GHSZ in the Pingo Area was at least six times faster than previously estimated, occurring over a period of 1000 years compared to the suggested 6000 years22. The high BWT during HS1 (Fig. 5B) drove the rapid thinning of the GHSZ, and probably the enhanced gas hydrate dissociation and methane seepage reconstructed for the Bølling-Allerød interstadials (Figs. 3A–C, and 5C, D). These results highlight the important role of BWT changes in
gas hydrate systems in shallow water at millennial time scales. At human time scales, the migration of the GHSZ occurs in response to seasonal variations in BWT in shallow waters when gas hydrates form in the near-surface sediments. Records of past BWT at different time scales underline the risk that the current "Altantification" process in the Arctic bears in triggering an increase in seepage of methane into the ocean, causing an increase in ocean acidification and potentially amplifying the effects of current climate change if reaching the atmosphere.

Methods
Core handling. Core HH18-1059GC (core 1059) (76°06.117′N; 15°58.077′E, 382 m water depth) was retrieved from the southwestern Barents Sea during a cruise in July 2018 with R/V Helmer Hanssen (Fig. 1). The 4.15 m long core was split into 1-m sections, capped and taped at both ends, and stored at 4 °C right after retrieval. Prior to opening, the core sections were X-rayed and logged with a GEOTEK 7.9 Multi-Sensor Logger. The core was split longitudinally into two halves. The work half was color imaged with a Jai L-107CC 3 CCD RGB line scan camera installed on an Avaatech XRF. The archive half was scanned at 10 and 30 kV on an Avaatech XRF for bulk element ratios. Thereafter, the work half was sampled in 1-cm thick slices. Samples were weighed, freeze-dried, and weighed again. Samples were selected following the main focus of our study: (a) from 3.9 to 2.9 m samples were selected every 2 cm, except at 3.66–3.64 m and 3.83–3.80 m where every cm was selected; (b) from 2.9 to 0.1 m samples were selected every 10 cm; (c) from 0.1 to 0 m, every 2 cm was selected. The samples were wet-sieved over 63 μm, 100 μm, and 500 μm mesh-sizes. The residues were dried at 40 °C, weighed and the weight percent of each grain size was calculated.

Lithology, radiocarbon dating, and construction of the age model. The lithological log is based on visual examination, together with the records of X-ray scanning, grain-size distribution, and magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 2). Nine AMS-14C dates were acquired on samples of the planktic foraminiferal species Neogloboquadrina pachyderma and bivalve samples at the 14Chrono Centre, Queens University, Northern Ireland (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Two additional dates from the upper and lower boundaries of the laminated layer with a low concentration of foraminifera in core 1059 were added from correlation to dates of the same laminated layer from the well-dated nearby located cores JM02-46015 and HH15-1282GC. Dates older than 12,436 ± 6614C years were calibrated using the Normarine calibration curve which uses variable reservoir ages ranging from 42014C years to 162014C years prior to the Bølling-Allerød warming. Dates younger than 10,827 14C years were calibrated using the Marine20 calibration curve.
Individual samples were calibrated using the CLAM 2.3.5 package \(^{48}\) (Table 1). The age model was built using the BACON 2.4.3 package \(^{49}\) in R software. Core 1059 covers the early deglaciation (since 18,000 cal yr BP) to the late Holocene (Figs. 2 and 3).

**Stable isotope analyses.** Oxygen and carbon isotopes were analyzed on pristine tests of the planktic foraminiferal species *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and on the benthic foraminiferal species *Cassidulina neoteretis*, in the size fraction 150 to 250 µm. The measurements were performed on 10 to 20 specimens (only 8 samples out of 117 samples contained less than 10 specimens) of each species using a Thermo Scientific MAT253 IRMS and Gaspach 11 at the Department of Geosciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø. The precision of the instrument is 0.1% for oxygen and carbon isotopes and the results are reported versus the in-house Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard.

The measured \(^{81}O\) values of *C. neoteretis* range from –1.77‰ to –0.51‰ (Fig. 3F). These values are within the expected range of \(^{81}O\) values for *C. neoteretis* \(^{50}\) indicating that core 1059 has not been affected by methane seepage and any associated diagenetic coatings. We, therefore, concluded that core 1059 was suitable for benthic foraminiferal Mg/Ca-based reconstructions of BWT.

**Mg/Ca analysis and bottom water temperature calculation.** A total of 15 to 30 pristine tests of the dominant benthic foraminiferal species in the core *Cassidulina neoteretis* were picked for Mg/Ca analyses from the 150 to 250 µm size fraction. The oxidative-reductive approach was used to clean the samples prior to the analysis \(^{31–33}\). This cleaning approach includes clay removal, reductive cleaning with hydrous hydrazine, oxidative cleaning with oxygen peroxide, and weak acid leach. After cleaning the samples were dissolved in HNO\(_3\) (0.1 M) and the [Ca\(^{2+}\)] was measured on an inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (Agilent 5100 ICP-OES) at the Department of Earth Sciences at the University of Cambridge. Due to the small amount of material, samples were analyzed again at fixed [Ca\(^{2+}\)] concentration \(^{34}\) of ca. 20 ppm in order to determine the trace element content. Instrumental precision of the ICP-OES was monitored using an in-house standard solution with an Mg/Ca 1.474 mmol/mol. The average Mg/Ca on repeated measurements (n = 14) of the in-house standard solution at [Ca\(^{2+}\)] of 20 ppm during this work was 1.476 mmol/mol (std. dev. = 0.009 mmol/mol, r.s.d. = 0.58%). Elemental ratios Mn/Ca, Fe/Ca, and Al/Ca were used in combination to evaluate contamination, and two samples indicating potential contamination were excluded. Thereafter, we applied Grubb’s test to identify any outliers in the Mg/Ca data and two samples were excluded. The remaining samples showed a low correlation between Mn/Ca, Fe/Ca, and Mg/Ca (r\(^2\) = 0.14 for Mn/Ca-Mg/Ca; r\(^2\) = 0.06 for Fe/Ca-Mg/Ca; aluminum concentrations were below the detection limit indicating no clay contamination; Fig. S5 and S6).

Mg/Ca values were converted into temperature using the calibration equation for *C. neoteretis* from Kristjánsson et al. \(^{35}\):

\[
\text{Mg/Ca} = 0.864 \pm 0.07 \times \exp(0.082 \pm 0.020 \times \text{BWT})
\]

The calibration error (±0.62 °C \(^{35}\)), the analytical error (±0.017 mmol/mol equivalent to 0.18 °C using Kristjánsson et al.’s calibration), and the standard deviation of the replicates of four samples (±0.078 mmol/mol equivalent to 0.78 °C) were used to calculate the error, that is the result of the squared root of the sum of the squared individual errors. The analytical error was calculated as two times the mean standard deviation of the repeated measurements on the in-house standard solution. This gave an estimated propagation error of ±1.01 °C for *C. neoteretis*. When an average BWT was presented in the main text, the error was calculated taking into account the propagation error of the measurement (±1.01 °C) and the standard deviation of the mean, and the higher "error" value was chosen. Considering the affinity of *C. neoteretis* for Atlantic Water (AW) \(^{37,38}\) and the hydrography of Storfjordrenna, our BWT record probably represents the temperature range of this water mass. Today, the AW has a temperature of ca. 3 °C in Storfjordrenna and is representative of years with low brine production (in years with strong brine formation temperature may reach down to −1.3 °C and salinity increase to 35.3) \(^{31}\). We, therefore, speculate that in the past, during periods with strong stratification, such as the early deglaciation, with low seasonality and no or low brine flow \(^{32}\), the AW reached the deepest part of Storfjordrenna almost all year and therefore that our BWT represents an annual mean. During periods of strong seasonality (e.g., Late Holocene \(^{41}\)), our BWT would represent seasons of AW inflow (i.e., modern summer-autumn conditions).

**Ice volume correction for stable isotopes.** We used *Cassidulina neoteretis* (\(^{81}O_{\text{calcite}}\)) and BWT to calculate seawater \(^{81}O\) (\(^{81}O_{\text{sw}}\)) using the equation of Lubinski et al. \(^{36}\) with a slight modification:

\[
\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}} = -0.27 - ((-16.9 + \text{BWT})/ - 4) = \delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}}
\]

In addition, we removed the ice volume component in our \(^{81}O_{\text{sw}}\) record (i.e., to calculate \(^{81}O_{\text{ICE}}\) using the relative sea-level record of Spratt and Lisiecki \(^{37}\) and a conversion factor of 0.009% of \(^{81}O\) per meter of sea level change \(^{35}\).

**Transient modeling of the thickness of the gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ).** Variations in the thickness of gas hydrate stability within the sediments over the
last 35,000 years were estimated by employing a diffusive heat transport model, in combination with theoretical hydrate stability estimates29. The diffusive heat flow model was designed in 1D with 2000 cells at a resolution of 1 m with an upper boundary at the seafloor and basal boundary 2000 meters below the seafloor. Initial boundary conditions at 35,000 years were set assuming average present-day BWT of 2°C (13, this study), sediment thermal diffusivity of 3.51 × 10⁻² m² s⁻¹ (derived using a thermal conductivity of 1.41 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ (21), bulk sediment density of 1990 kg m⁻³, and specific heat capacity of 2000 kg K⁻¹ °C⁻¹ (14), and a linear thermal gradient of 0.035 °Cm⁻¹ (22). The ice sheet was assumed to be present in the study area from 35,000 to 18,500 years BP13,22. During this period, a constant ice sheet thickness of 900 m and a constant basal ice temperature of −2°C were used to determine pressure and temperature conditions within the sediments. After the ice sheet retreated at 18,500 years BP, the reconstructed BWT presented in this study was used as the upper boundary condition until the present day. The transient diffusive heat transport in sediments was then estimated using an explicit finite-difference numerical solution of the Fourier heat equation30.

The subsurface thermal profile over the past 35,000 years generated by the heat flow model was then integrated with pressure changes resulting from variations in sea level31 and topographic changes due to glacial isostatic effects. The maximum subsidence generated by the ice loading during the period 35,000 to 18,500 years BP was ca. 85 m32. The subsidence and the subsequent uplift were linearly distributed over the modeled period with peak subsidence reaching 18,500 years BP and uplift reaching zero by the present day. The pressure and temperature conditions were then compared with the theoretical hydrate stability phase diagrams generated with the CSMHYD program32 to estimate the thickness of the gas hydrate stability zone at any time step. The gas hydrate phase boundary was generated for a feed gas composition containing 99.54% methane, 0.41% ethane, and 0.05% propane as well as an assumed pore-water salinity of 35 psu. The sensitivity of GHZS to the input parameters of the model was analyzed assuming a plausible range of values (see Fig. 4 and Table S1 for details).

**Correlation of cores.** Sediment core 1059 was taken from a non-methane affected area close to core CAGE 15-2 920GC (core 920) taken from a methane hydrate mound named “pingo”33. The two cores were correlated using minima and maxima in Zr/Rb and Fe/Ca ratios (Fig. S3). In Yao et al.24 the age-depth model of core 920 is established by correlation to the reference core CAGE 15-2 921GC, with one radiocarbon date and three tie-points to other cores in the region. In order to allow a direct comparison between core 920 and our core 1059, a new and improved age-depth model of core 920 was established using our much more detailed chronology.

The concentration in µg g⁻¹ of Archaea and bacterial lipids indicating anaerobic oxidation of methane is presented in Yao et al.24. However, with the new age model the flux of bacterial lipids to indicate the productivity (cm µg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) using the archaeal concentrations24 and the sedimentation rate. Only flux and δ¹³C of archaean are shown in Fig. 3 (see Yao et al.24 for more data).

**Data availability**

The data is stored at the UIT Open Research Data Repository: https://doi.org/10.18710/XFYDFL.
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