Energy Resolution of Terahertz Single-Photon-Sensitive Bolometric Detectors
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Nanoscale Hot-Electron Bolometric Detector

\[ \delta E \sim (k_B T^2 C_e)^{1/2} \propto T^{3/2}, V^{1/2} \] Smaller, colder = more sensitive

We have developed a nanoscale superconducting Ti bolometric detector with \( T_c = 0.3 \) K. Nb contacts, with \( T_c = 8 \) K, confine hot electrons in the Ti. Thermal relaxation is set by electron-phonon coupling in the Ti, with a time constant \( \sim \mu s \). [1]

To test a detector with \( \delta E/h \sim \text{THz} \), one needs very precise control of the incident photon flux over a very wide frequency range. For example, a 300 K blackbody presents \( \sim 10^{12} \) photons/sec to a single-mode detector in a 1-2 THz bandwidth.

Experimental Technique: Testing with Fauxtons

A single THz/IR photon is simulated by a fast microwave pulse, where the absorbed energy of the pulse is equal to the photon energy. We call this pulse a faux photon, or fauxton. This technique has several key advantages:

- The device is operated in a cryogenically dark environment with negligible blackbody photons.
- The input coupling efficiency is precisely calibrated in situ using Johnson noise thermometry.
- The fauxton frequency can be tuned simply by adjusting the amplitude of the microwave source.

Readout is achieved by measuring the change in the reflection coefficient with a CW microwave probe. This takes advantage of low-noise amplifiers and isolators available at these frequencies, and is also amenable to frequency-domain multiplexing of a multi-pixel detector array.

Results

Histograms of pulse heights from \( 10^3 \) single-shot measurements for different fauxton energies; the FWHM gives the energy resolution. Also shown is the histogram with the device biased well above \( I_c \), which gives the energy uncertainty due to amplifier noise.

We find \( \delta E_{\text{tot}}/h = 49 \pm 1 \) THz and \( \delta E_{\text{amp}}/h = 43 \) THz, and hence \( \delta E_{\text{intrinsic}}/h = 23 \) THz.

The predicted intrinsic energy resolution can be calculated from the NEP:

\[ \delta E_{\text{intrinsic}} = \frac{2 \sqrt{\text{NEP}}}{\Delta f} \]

\( \delta E_{\text{intrinsic}} \) is estimated using the thermal fluctuation NEP \( \text{NEP}_\text{th} = (4k_B T^2 G_{\text{th}})^{1/2} \) and integrating over the measurement bandwidth of 100 kHz. Using \( G_{\text{th}} \) from [1], we find \( \delta E_{\text{intrinsic}}/h = 20 \) THz, in good agreement with the fauxton measurements.

Outlook

\( \delta E/h \sim 1 \) THz is predicted to be achievable by reducing the Ti nanobridge volume and \( T_c \). The fauxton technique avoids several complications of real optical measurements, such as imperfect optical coupling and the loss of energy from the initial photoexcitation before a thermal electron distribution is achieved. Hence, the fauxton technique is not only useful for preliminary device characterization, it can also be used to understand detector nonidealities in real optical experiments.
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