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Abstract

The recycling of Risidu Pet-Al (a laminated foil made from polyethylene and aluminum foil in food brine packaging) continues to be a challenge. Despite the fact that previous studies proposed green solutions for the recycling of the Pet-Al composite, the handicaps linked to the increase of the cost of the reagents involved in the recycling mechanism, the complexity of the steps, resulting in products with a critical aspect, really reflect a problem. The growing concern about environmental impacts such as greenhouse gas emissions from incineration treatment is distinctly clear to the scientific community. This article involves chemical treatment by adding a strong base NaOH or KOH as the dissolving reagent, Followed by the addition of the strong acid HCl. The birth of a neutral environment promotes the recovery of aluminum salts as well as sodium (or potassium). The released H\textsubscript{2}, polyethylene and cardboard are also products regenerated by this recycling.
The study contributes to the optimal conditions of time concentration temperature as well as the mode of separation. The recovered salts are identified, however their purity remains an important factor of quality before adapting their use.

**Keywords**: Separation ; Packaging wastes ; Basic ; Recycle.

### 1. Introduction

Aseptic composite packaging materials are mainly composed of paper, aluminum and low density polyethylene (LDP) plastics; a small amount of printing ink and adhesives also present. Food brick packaging generally contains 73% fiber, 25% LDP, 5% aluminum and 2% others [1]. The aluminum-plastic laminates of the packaging structure are formed by a high-frequency and hot pressing process, which tightly bonds layers of aluminum and LDP plastic. The aluminum-plastic composite is difficult to be separated or broken down effectively into elementary components. The most effective approach to convert this waste into precious material is currently to separate the laminated packaging composites into several simple materials containing fibers, aluminum and plastics [2-4]. Speaking on the sustainability and non-ferrous metal resources, the separation and reuse of materials has a high regenerated value. The fibers can be extracted from these post-consumer aseptic packaging by hydraulic dissociation. Reinforced aluminum-plastic laminates can be separated by various recycling approaches and separation with solvents has attracted the attention of many researchers. Supercritical water, inorganic acid and organic solvents such as hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, formic acid, acetic acid, unique components such as benzene and acetone, and a mixture of solvents have been put into practice [5-8]. These solvent-based approaches are effective in separating aluminum-plastic laminates, but it creates solvent pollution. For this, our approach is to use reagents giving a neutral medium during the recovery of the products, to minimize
impact on the environment. For that a wet recycling method; whose separation mechanism as well as the appropriate reagent and process parameters have been determined.

2. Materials and Methods

The Pet-Al residue is separated from the cardboard by simple pulping with distilled water at room temperature, Fig. 1(A).

In fact, the adhesive used during the manufacture of food bricks such as polyethylene-co-methacrylic acid (EMAA) leads on the one hand to the increase of the viscosity and on the other hand ensures the attachment of the layers. Therefore we need to gently scrape the Pet-Al residue to remove the thin cardboard still linked to one of the two sides Fig. 1(B). The Pet-Al residue is washed then dried and cut in two dimensions: A square of 5 cm / 5 cm with mass \( M = 162.5 \) mg and a square of 1 cm / 1 cm with mass \( M = 6.5 \) mg using a Kern-type precision balance (0.001 g). The reagents prepared are 6 NaOH solutions (Novachim) of 1 M, 2 M, 4 M, 6 M, 8 M and 10 M concentration; the same for 6 KOH solutions (Panreac). The temperature is measured by using a digital thermometer; the heating is done in a thermostatically controlled water bath model Stuart RE300B.

Several test groups were conducted to determine the optimal separation reagent, see the appropriate mechanism and process parameters and produce a mass review for the aluminum-polyethylene separation system. The aluminum-polyethylene composite packaging material was introduced into test tubes with the reagents in question at different concentrations ranging from 1 M to 10 M and at temperatures of 20 °C, 40 °C, 60 °C and 80 °C. The mass concentration of aluminum in the solution of a reagent was analysed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ICP-OES model Perkin Elmer optima 8000. The microscopic structure of the Pet-Al composite samples of the packaging materials were determined by using ultra high resolution SEM field emission scanning electron microscope Thermo scientific
Q250. We used an IR spectrometer of Perkin-elmer (FTIR SPECTRUM 1000) to record the spectra on KBr pellet samples. The separation results were presented in terms of separation time, and the aluminum loss report. The separation time is the moment when the layers of polyethylene and aluminum are completely separated. The aluminum loss ratio is the percentage of Al that has reacted, with the original Al reagent solution in the Pet-Al composite package when the separation reaction has just ended. Each test was repeated three times, the average of the closest values are taken as final measurement values.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Separation mode

To see the action model of NaOH or KOH solution on a solid residue of polyethylene-aluminum Rsd (Pet-Al), we introduce into a beaker a piece of Pet-Al of dimension 25 cm$^2$ (a 5 cm / 5 cm square) with a 1 M concentration solution at room temperature. Dissolving aluminum is not a single step, the corrosion kinetics of aluminum involves two conjugate electron transfer processes, the first between Al and Al$^{3+}$ the second between H$_2$O and H$_2$. According to the bibliography the chemical reaction at basic pH $\geq$ 12 takes place as follows [9].

$$2Al + 6H_2O + 2OH^- \rightarrow 2Al(OH)_4^- + 3H_2$$

(eq1)

As a result, the dissolved aluminum level will depend on several factors, including the OH$^-$ hydroxyl concentration in solution also the presence of [Al(OH)$_4$]$^-$ without forgetting the temperature factor. Fig. 2 shows the aluminum layer degradation stacked between the two polyethylene layers going from the sides towards the center (centripetal degradation) observed by the action of NaOH or KOH.
To improve the reaction speed, the size of a 25 cm\(^2\) Pet-Al residue piece is minimized into 25 elementary pieces of 1 cm\(^2\) area and keeping the same liquid / mass ratio. Each sample is introduced into a volume equal to 10 mL of 1 M of sodium hydroxide and heated to T = 60 °C, then their progress is monitored over time; the results are illustrated in Table 1.

The attack speed improved fourteen times for the 25 pieces of 1 cm\(^2\) of surface, the attack of OH\(^-\) ions became more favorable along the perimeter which is called attack track P:

\[ P_B = 5 P_A \] (\(P_A\) and \(P_B\) are the attack tracks of samples A and B respectively).

We can therefore confirm that on basic medium the attack process of aluminum compiled between 2 layers of polyethylene, is totally different to that in acid medium; in fact, in the latter, the H\(^+\) ions of the methanoic acid used cause cracks on the surface of the plastic and penetrate there through (very small cation) to oxidize the aluminum [10]. To ensure the attack model, we analyze the surface of a sample of Rsd (Pet-Al) by SEM, Fig. 3 present images taken at an intermediate instant before the plastic total separation from the aluminum.

The cracks observed in an acid medium on the polyethylene layer are absent in our case, which proves that the OH\(^-\) anion is unable to penetrate through the polyethylene where the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer remains intact. In our case the attack is done by the edges, the attack speed improves as we minimize the sample size.

3.2 Conditions of the separation process

3.2.1 Concentration of the reagent and separation temperature

In order to see the favorable separation conditions, we study the concentration and temperature effects on the reaction kinetics, we keep the same liquid / solid ratio for each test (10 ml / 1 pcs of 1 cm\(^2\) of Rsd (Pet-Al)). The reaction end was marked by the total dissolution of the aluminum until the plastic separation, see Fig. 4.
For the two separation reagents, two different behaviors are observed depending on whether the temperature is below or above 40 °C; indeed if the temperature is lower than 40 °C one expects a too long separation time. Whereas, when the temperature is higher than 40 °C, the duration is much shorter. Except that, an anomaly was observed for the 10 M concentration of the NaOH reagent characterized by a slower speed same that of 1 M. This anomaly may be due to a chemical reaction dynamics blockage caused by the aluminum complexation with OH⁻ in NaOH solution when concentration values exceed 8 M, also the OH⁻ ions consumption and their generations must be taken into account [11]. We can conclude that the temperature 60 °C and the concentration 4 M are the most adequate kinetic parameters for the separation, except that, for the reagent NaOH the separation time is slightly less than that of KOH.

3.2.2 The liquid-solid ratio

At a basic solutions volumes ranging from 3 to 7 ml of 4 M concentration, 100 mg of Rd (Pet-Al) are introduced, a temperature equal to 60 °C was carried out, the results by ICP-OES are shown in Fig. 5.

The quantity of aluminum decreases strongly for KOH when the volume increase, on the other hand it remains almost constant for NaOH. The activation energy at this temperature is greater in the presence of KOH, this is confirmed by (Sloter) [12] but without any explanation for such chemical behavior. The activation energy of corrosion is related to the exchange current density \( i_0 \): the greater the activation energy, the lower \( i_0 \) so that corrosion progresses more slowly, and vice versa [13]. So the increase in volume for KOH directly affects the corrosion current \( i_0 \) hence the decrease of generated aluminum amount. It can be concluded that the NaOH reagent is best suited for separation under these conditions of temperature and concentration, with a liquid-solid ratio equal to 30L/ kg of Rsd (Pet-Al).
3.3 Treatment of the residual basic solution

In order to minimize the impact on the environment, also restore the aluminum which exists in the form of $[\text{Al(OH)}_4^-]$ in the strongly basic solution, an acid treatment is used by adding 1 M HCl solution (SIGMA-ALDRICH 37%) until a neutral pH solution we obtained two phases, **Fig. 6** show a white phase and a second transparent phase above the first.

Indeed, the free OH$^-$ in the solution (NaOH reagent initially introduced) are in excess compared to the hydroxides forming NaAl(OH)$_4$, so free OH$^-$ are dosed first according to reaction (eq2), which causes the drop in pH. For pH < 11 a white precipitate begins to appear, at this moment we are witnessing at the dosage of the OH$^-$ linked to pass to the form of aluminum hydroxide Al(OH)$_3$ according to reaction (eq3).

$$\text{HCl} + \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{NaCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{eq2})$$

$$\text{NaAl(OH)}_4 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{NaCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Al(OH)}_3 \quad (\text{eq3})$$

The sum of the chemical equations (eq2) and (eq3) give:

$$\text{NaAl(OH)}_4 + 2\text{HCl} + \text{NaOH} \rightarrow 2(\text{NaCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}) + \text{Al(OH)}_3 \quad (\text{eq4})$$

Phase 1            Phase 2

The two phases 1 and 2 are then separated, filtered under vacuum and then heated to a temperature above 100 °C, after drying, two solid products SD2 from phase 1 and SD3 from phase 2 with different appearance are obtained.

3.3.1 SEM Analysis of the solids SD2 and SD3

The solid SD2 SEM analysis in **Fig. 7**, shows a crystalline appearance which appears sharper for an enlargement of 50 µm.

The SEM spectrum is given in **Fig. 8**, the appearance of two intense lines characteristic of sodium and chlorine confirms the presence of the sodium chloride salt.
According to Table 2, chlorine and sodium present approximately the same atomic percentage which gives \( x = y = 1 \) for the crude formula \( \text{Na}_x\text{Cl}_y \), the elements which appear such as C and O which may have originated from (EMAA) are considered as impurities.

The solid SD3 SEM analysis (white precipitate) in Fig. 9 shows an aspect seems like aluminum hydroxide.

The SEM spectrum of the solid SD3 in Fig. 10 shows two intense lines for aluminum and oxygen which proves the formation of a white precipitate of aluminum hydroxide.

According to Table 3, the atomic percentage for a compound of crude formula \( \text{Al}_z\text{O}_t \) gives \( t / z = 3.9 \sim 4 \).

### 3.3.2 Infrared analysis of SD2 and SD3

The rehydration experiments of amorphous aluminum oxides carried out by Verdes.G (1987), showed that the phase which formed in weakly basic medium at 25 °C is Bayerite, these experiments also show the considerable influence of the solid surface adsorption phenomena. Boehmite can sometimes precipitate at the same time as bayerite and gibbsite probably in small pores where certain water molecules are strongly linked to the surface of the solid [14]. Studies carried out in the laboratory have made it possible to demonstrate the absorption intensity component located at approximately \( 3460 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) on the infrared spectrum in transmission of pellets of gibbsite diluted in KBr [15-17]. The infrared spectra of the solid SD2 and SD3 are respectively presented in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, the spectral identification is deduced by comparison with the references data, the results are grouped in Table 4.

The SD2 solid presents a broad band of non-binding OH and OH linked to the aluminum hydroxide which is already detected by SEM in a trace form, the deformation vibrations which appear for the two solids are due to the carbon-oxygen bonds. single and double type of
EMAA, except that for SD3 solid the band 976 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the Al-OH signal of gibbsite [20]; for SD3 solid it appears in the form of mixtures of Bayerite and Gibbsite in proportions not yet identified.

3.4 Development of a continuous separation system

The adequate conditions already concluded are applied to a mass \(m = 1\) kg of post-consumed food bricks: The solid liquid ratio was equal to 30 L / Kg, the NaOH concentration equal to 4 M and the temperature \(T\) equal to 60 ° C. The certainty of the results during the passage from the micro-mass scale (samples: \(m_1 = 35.1\) mg, \(m_2 = 5.4\) g) of FB (Food bricks) to the macro-mass scale (samples: \(m_3 = 100\) g, \(m_4 = 1\) Kg), has been verified by following the deduced mass percentages relating to the carton, plastic, solid aluminum, RSD(Pet-Al) and released hydrogen; as for sodium hydroxide and aluminum hydroxide they are excluded because they require the addition of hydrochloric acid to be formed. **Fig. 13** shows an acceptable similarity when switching from sample \(m_1\) to \(m_4\), except that the mass loss, having a low value equal to 0.17% relative to sample \(m_1\), does not appear in this figure.

The extrapolation of the study for \(m = 1\) tonne of food bricks is illustrated in **Table 5**. Note that the mass loss per tonne of food brick is equal to 6.28%.

3.4.1 Process flow

The results of this study are used for the design of an industrial continuous separation process, which is formed by three units U1, U2 and U3 as shown in **Fig. 14**.

The food bricks are crushed in a pulper in the presence of water, the paper pulp separated from the RSD (Pet-Al) passes with the water in U3 provided with a filtration thus separating the water from the paper pulp. RSD (Pet-Al) passes through a stainless steel reactor, under the action of sodium hydroxide, hydrogen is released which is then stored at room temperature and
at a pressure equal to 700 bar. A second filtration separates the PBD from the filtrate, that under the action of hydrochloric acid and via decantation followed by filtration we manage to extract the aluminum hydroxide (Gel) which is transformed with lyophilization into aluminum hydroxide (Sd). The new resulting filtrate is distilled to give sodium chloride (Sd). The water resulting from the distillation will be used for washing the LDP, and will eventually be recycled before being introduced into a new cycle.

3.4.2 Control system

The control of temperature, pressure, volume, mass and pH is necessary throughout the recycling process especially with regard to the inputs as also for the steps listed in Table 6.

3.4.3 Economic benefits

The limited choice of calculation of the benefits relating to the treatment of 1 ton of FB., is based only on two factors: The purchase prices of the raw material and the sale prices of the recovered products. The unit prices used are the average of three prices existing on the world market in March 2020. According to Table 7, a profit of 244.58 $ per tonne is deducted. If added value is introduced to the recovered products, the profit concluded will have significant growth.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the closed cycle chemical recycling process proposed by this study ensures a neutral acid-base environment during the recovery of the major products, which constitutes an advantage on an industrial and environmental scale. So the results obtained give a relatively large favor for the reagent NaOH in front of KOH. That is to say, the mechanism involved in the separation of aluminum and polyethylene, already confirmed by SEM, is the attack of the reagent only from the edges. The appropriate parameters for the separation of aluminum and
polyethylene are concluded. Second, by acid treatment of the residual solution, two products are recovered which are a sodium chloride salt (or potassium chloride if the starting reagent is KOH) and aluminum in different possible forms of hydroxide, these two products remain to be furthermore purified. Their applications being important in various fields such as laboratories, water treatment and pharmaceuticals.
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Figure 1

Pet-Al residue (A) : after pulping with distilled water, (B) : treated by scraping

Figure 2

The aluminium layer degradation over the time t
Figure 3

SEM micrograph of Rsd (pet-Al); (A): without reagent, (B): in the presence of NaOH, (C): in the presence of KOH

Figure 4

The separation kinetics by, (A): NaOH and (B): KOH
Figure 5

Liquid / solid ratio
Figure 6

Residue solution after addition of 1M of HCl
Figure 7

The SD2 micrograph for an enlargement of 1 mm (A), then 50 μm (B)

Figure 8

The SD2 SEM spectrum
Figure 9

The SD3 micrograph for an enlargement of 50 μm
Figure 10

The SD3 SEM spectrum
Figure 11

IR spectrum of solid SD2
Figure 12

IR spectrum of solid SD3
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The % mass evolution of the samples
Figure 14

Flow chart of the industrial continuous separation process
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