Can IGF-I be used as a Metabolic Biomarker in Professional Volleyball Players during a Training Season?
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Abstract
The aim of this study was to investigate the kinetics of serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations on volleyball athletes during a training season and their relation to performance in physical tests. Ten adult male volleyball professional players were analysed at baseline (A1), during (A2), and at the end (A3) of 17 weeks of training. Anthropometric data and power of lower limbs in the squat jump, counter movement jump, 40 cm drop jump (DJ40) were analysed. Serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations were collected before and after a standardized training session at A1, A2 and A3. Statistical analyses were performed using ANOVA test and Δ%. There was no statistically significant difference for the anthropometric measures of Total Body Mass (TBM), %Body Fat (%BF), Lean Mass (LM), and Fat Mass (FM) during the training season. The power of lower limbs results showed a linear increase with statistical difference (p < 0.05) in the DJ40 at A3. The training session had no influence on the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations. There was no statistically significant difference between the A1, A2 and A3 moments during the training season, although Δ% demonstrated an upward trend in serum IGF-I at A3. This higher IGF-I concentration happened together with higher jump height results at A3, indicating potential role between fitness and IGF-I. However, the IGF-I did not prove to be an adequate marker of training status in professional volleyball players during a training season.
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Introduction
The GH/IGF-I axis (growth hormone - insulin-like growth factor-I) is responsible for somatic tissue growth in several species, including humans. GH is a hormone with anabolic functions, acting on the growth of many tissues (e.g. muscles and bones) and metabolic alterations in the flow of synthesis and degradation of nutrients such as glucose and lipids. An anabolic function of GH occurs through IGF-I. This growth factor is produced mainly in the liver via GH stimulation, but also in many other organs and tissues. Therefore, IGF-I can perform its endocrine, autocrine, and paracrine functions [1].

Evidence shows that the practice of physical exercise during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood may influence the growth and development of muscle mass and bone mass as exercise is closely linked to anabolic function, stimulating the secretion and action of the GH/IGF-I axis [1]. On the other hand, there are some studies that observed decreased GH and IGF-I concentrations after conducting training programs. The reduction in GH and IGF-I levels may be related to a catabolic state, which is expected to reduce physical capacity and body mass [2-4].

According to Rarick, et al. [5] the serum IGF-I concentrations may indicate that there is a close relationship between the IGF-I-induced changes in a short training period and an up regulation caused by a longer training period. It is speculated that the GH/IGF-I axis may present biphasic behavior throughout a training season that can be influenced by the
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training content, with a phase marked by a catabolic state followed by an anabolic state [3,6].

There are few studies about the kinetic of anabolic mediators during a training season. According to Nemet, et al. [7], what causes the reduction in IGF-I during periods of intense training or the optimal increase during tapering phase (reduced training intensity) remains unknown. Nevertheless, the inability to increase circulating IGF-I levels before a target competition should be an alarm signal for both, the athlete and the coach, that the general condition of the athlete could not be ideal. It is still questionable whether the responses of IGF-I and IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3 levels after acute training sessions differ from the responses observed during a training season, through the different periods of athletic preparation, as well as the influence of possible variations between different sports and planning forms [3,8].

Therefore, we hypothesized that concentrations of these hormones, obtained at different time points of preparation during a training season adult volleyball players, would change related to physical performance of the athletes, and could serve as a training status marker. In addition, monitoring these variables may serve as a metabolic indicator to increase or decrease the training intensity.

Thus, this study aimed to investigate the kinetics of serum IGF-I and IGF-3 on volleyball athletes during a training season and their relation to the performance in physical tests.

Materials and Methods

The procedures performed in this study were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Physical Education and Sports, Ribeirao Preto, University of Sao Paulo, being identified by the General Certificate of Appreciation for Ethics number (CAAE) 38761314.5.0000.5659.

Sample

After being informed verbally and in writing about the procedures to be adopted in the research through the Informed Consent, 10 male athletes with a mean age of 24.6 ± 3.47 years met the requirements and participated in the study. The athletes fulfilled a routine of 14 hours and 30 minutes of training per week, with 100% attendance. They were involved in the Pro-Volleyball Association (APV) championship and the “Regional Games”, achieving 1st place (Nov/16) and 2nd place (Jul/16) respectively.

Design study

The team’s follow-up period occurred between the months of March to July. The data were collected at 3 different time points; the first being (A1) two weeks after the start of training, the second (A2) seven weeks after the first sample, and the third (A3) eight weeks after the second sample, the week before the target competition.

The contact platform Jump System Pro (Cefise, Nova Odessa, Brazil) was used connected to a computer with specific software (Jump System 1.0) to determine the jump height and power. The jump tests were the squat jump (SJ), counter movement jump (CMJ) [9], and 40 cm drop jump (DJ40) [10].

To determine relative power (Pt/Kg) of the lower limbs the equation proposed by Bosco [11] was used.

Blood samples were collected 30 minutes before and 30 minutes after the training session. The training sessions consisted on average of 27.7 minutes of warm up, which was carried out using stretching of the upper and lower limbs, varied displacements, drills for upper limbs with the ball, technical drills, attack-defense exercises, service and reception, and attacks over the net. The main part of the training consisted on average of 65.3 minutes of action, and was characterized by collective training playing three volleyball sets, similar to a match in competitive conditions. All athletes involved in the research participated fully in all training sessions.

Anthropometric measures

Height was measured using a portable stadiometer (Avanutribrand) and all procedures were performed according to the Anthropometry Manual [12]; total body mass (TBM) was obtained using a digital scale, (EKS brand, model SI 9805). To determine the body fat percentage (%BF), the equation of Evans [13] was used. In total three skinfold measurement were taken with a Cescorf adipometer, following the Guedes protocol [14].

Blood collection

The collection of venous blood was performed via puncture of a blood vessel on the surface of the forearm by a nurse in the training center. Before and after the training session 10 ml were collected into tubes without anticoagulant. The samples were stored in ice at 0-4 °C and centrifuged at 1,200 rpm at the same temperature for 15 minutes to obtain the serum, the samples were then aliquoted and stored in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes at -80 °C for further hormone analysis.

Determination of serum IGF-I, IGFBP3

Serum concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 (Immulite 2000, Siemens, Los Angeles, CA, USA) were determined by specific immunoassays using commercial kits. All samples were analysed in duplicate within the same assay. The intra-assay variations were 2.4 % for IGF-I and 2.3 % for IGFBP-3. Assay sensitivity was 5 ng/ml for IGF-I and 0.1 mg/l for IGFBP-3.

Diet recall

In order to analyze the ingestion at the three collection time points, a 24-hour diet recall (R24h), led by a nutritionist was used. Once collected, the information was analyzed by the DietPro Lite program (DietPro, Viçosa, Brazil) and the total amount of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, and calories ingested by the athletes were determined according to the American Dietetic Association and Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs).

Statistical treatment

Statistical procedures were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software, version 20.0 (IBM Corporation®). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures with one and two factors was used, adopting a significance level of p ≤ 0.05,
Table 1: Height, TMB, %BF, LM and FM (mean ± SD) throughout the training season in volleyball players (n = 10) and relative changes.

| Time points | A1          | A2          | A3          | Δ%          |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Height (m)  | 1.86 ± 0.08 | 1.86 ± 0.08 | 1.86 ± 0.07 | 0           |
| TBM (Kg)    | 81.37 ± 12.91 | 81.17 ± 8.99 | 77.75 ± 9.90 | -4.44       |
| %BF         | 9.16 ± 3.54  | 9.65 ± 3.62  | 8.68 ± 3.01  | -5.24       |
| LM (Kg)     | 73.70 ± 10.56 | 73.30 ± 8.29 | 70.89 ± 8.51 | -3.81       |
| FM (Kg)     | 7.67 ± 3.74  | 7.87 ± 3.29  | 6.85 ± 2.82  | -10.69      |

Table 2: IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations (mean ± SD) in volleyball players (n = 10) before and after (pre × post) standardized training throughout the training season and relative changes.

| Time points | A1          | A2          | A3          | Δ%          |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| IGF-I pre   | 218 ± 97.75 | 215 ± 91.76 | 236 ± 100.20 | 8.35        |
| IGF-I post  | 216 ± 96.51 | 219 ± 93.44 | 234 ± 89.00  | 8.24        |
| IGFBP-3 pre | 3.76 ± 0.76  | 3.66 ± 0.95  | 3.80 ± 0.80  | 1.06        |
| IGFBP-3 post| 3.79 ± 0.99  | 3.73 ± 1.06  | 3.82 ± 0.85  | 0.79        |

Table 3: SJ, CMJ, and DJ40 max height and Pw/kg in the SJ and CMJ (mean ± SD) throughout the training season in volleyball players (n = 10) and relative changes.

| Time points       | A1          | A2          | A3          | Δ%          |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| SJ (cm)           | 43.00 ± 6.99 | 42.39 ± 5.44 | 44.60 ± 6.36 | 3.72        |
| CMJ (cm)          | 46.32 ± 6.65 | 46.61 ± 5.23 | 47.99 ± 5.48 | 3.60        |
| DJ40 (cm)         | 40.98 ± 6.37 | 42.15 ± 4.66 | 45.28 ± 5.84 | 10.49       |
| Pr/Kg SJ (W)      | 52.04 ± 5.50 | 51.84 ± 4.19 | 53.63 ± 4.89 | 3.05        |
| Pr/Kg CMJ (W)     | 53.70 ± 4.36 | 54.05 ± 3.30 | 55.07 ± 3.39 | 2.55        |

and when necessary, the post-hoc Bonferroni test. The normality of the data was certified using the Shapiro-Wilk test and homoscedasticity by the Levene test.

The Pearson correlation test was used to verify possible correlations between the IGF-I concentrations with the results of the motor tests at each collection time point. Relative responses are presented as e.g. Δ% = ((A3 – A1)/A1*100).

Results

Anthropometry

ANOVA revealed no statistically significant differences between the three collection time points during the season for the anthropometrical measures of TBM, %BF, LM, and FM. When Δ% was observed, TBM, %BF, LM and FM demonstrated a downward trend between the collection times with lower values at A3 (Table 1).

IGF-I and IGFBP-3

ANOVA revealed no significant differences between pre-training and post-training IGF-I concentrations (acute effect) - IGF-I pre-training (Ax.1) and IGF-I post-training (Ax.2); nor were any observed in the IGFBP-3 concentrations pre and post-training - IGFBP-3 pre-training (Ax.1), and IGFBP-3 post-training (Ax.2). In addition, no interaction was observed between different time points during training and during the season phases (chronic) in the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations. An upward trend was observed in Δ% levels of IGF-I before and after training was found between A1-A3. For IGFBP-3 pre and post training levels, Δ% was discreetly elevated between the time points A1-A3 (Table 2).

Vertical jump tests and power of lower limbs

ANOVA revealed statistically significant differences in the DJ40 test results at A3 compared to A1 [F = 4.50; p = 0.03], and Δ% showed a considerable change between A1-A3. No differences were found for the jump height values recorded in the power test of the lower limbs in the SJ and CMJ. However, these variables presented a trend to increase between time points A1-A3, which can be an important result considering that the subjects were trained athletes.

There was no significant difference in relative power (Pw/kg) results measured in watts (W) in the SJ and CMJ, with Δ% revealing a quite small difference (Table 3).

Correlation between IGF-I concentrations and vertical jump test

The Pearson correlation test showed that the best cor-
relation results for each jump test were found at A3. There was a greater increase in IGF-I concentrations and the best results of the three jump tests (SJ, CMJ, and DJ40) (Table 4).

The analysis of the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations throughout the training season showed no statistically significant differences, however, the Δ% showed a trend to increase at A3 for IGF-I. Based on these data, it may be reasonable to suggest that the IGF-I concentrations were correlated (r = 0.48) with the physical performance of the athletes, since at A3 both IGF-I values and jump height (DJ40) presented their highest values (Figure 1). This fact coincided with the achievement of second place in the competition in which the team participated one week after the tests.

**Diet recall**

In the present study, the results of the diet recall analysis were similar at the three time points of evaluation (Table 5).

**Discussion**

In the present study, the results of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations showed no influence of the training session, possibly indicating that the reality of playing three volleyball sets did not reach the intensity and ideal capacity to cause concentration changes. Eliakin, et al. [15] explained that increases in IGF-I concentrations were associated with above maximum and short-term intensities, and that possibly, volleyball training sessions do not reach this intensity. According to our data, the volleyball training sessions did not cause significant changes in the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations. This information is supported by Kraemer, et al. [16] who explained that above maximum efforts with a duration of 90 seconds were able to increase IGF-I concentrations.

The results of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations before and after the training sessions were consistent with data from other studies that analyzed these hormones in male [17], female [18], and male and female [19] volleyball athletes. The researchers suggest that the responses of the IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations, and the relationship with the cortisol and IL-6 responses promote changes in anabolic/catabolic/inflammatory hormonal balance and can be used in various team sports in key training sessions or specific training periods, as a quantitative tool to monitor training load and as a parameter to improve planning during the competitive season.

In the present study, the hypothesis that the hormonal GH/IGF-I axis concentrations present biphasic behavior during a training season [3,5,6] was not observed, and there was no decrease in hormone concentrations, which could indicate a catabolic stage for further increases in concentrations as a chronic adaptation. Apparently, the hormonal GH/IGF-I axis concentrations may vary during the training period and these changes are related to the intensity of training phases and not

---

**Table 4**: Pearson correlation between IGF-I concentrations pre-training and vertical jump tests.

| IGF-I A1.1 × SJ A1 | IGF-I A2.1 × SJ A2 | IGF-I SJ A3.1 × A3 |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| r = 0.17           | r = 0.21           | r = 0.34           |
| IGF-I A1.1 × CMJ A1 | IGF-I A2.1 × CMJ A2 | IGF-I A3.1 × CMJ A3 |
| r = 0.23           | r = 0.17           | r = 0.28           |
| IGF-I A1.1 × DJ40 A1 | IGF-I A2.1 × DJ40 A2 | IGF-I A3.1 × DJ40 A3 |
| r = 0.39           | r = 0.19           | r = 0.48           |

**Table 5**: Total calories intake obtained by the diet recall (R24h) at the 3 time points.

|       | 1                  | 2                  | 3                  |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| R24h (Kcal) | 2669.69 ± 358.64 | 2681.23 ± 350.53 | 2670.78 ± 354.12 |

**Figure 1**: IGF-I pre and post training mean values and Squat Jump (SJ), Counter Movement Jump (CMJ) and 40 cm Drop Jump (DJ40) results throughout the training season.

*Statistical difference to A1 (p < 0.05).*

---
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