Prion-Seeding Activity in Cerebrospinal Fluid of Deer with Chronic Wasting Disease
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Abstract

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), or prion diseases, are a uniformly fatal family of neurodegenerative diseases in mammals that includes chronic wasting disease (CWD) of cervids. The early and ante-mortem identification of TSE-infected individuals using conventional western blotting or immunohistochemistry (IHC) has proven difficult, as the levels of infectious prions in readily obtainable samples, including blood and bodily fluids, are typically beyond the limits of detection. The development of amplification-based seeding assays has been instrumental in the detection of low levels of infectious prions in clinical samples. In the present study, we evaluated the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of CWD-exposed (n=44) and naive (n=4) deer (n=48 total) for CWD prions (PrPSc) using two amplification assays: serial protein misfolding cyclic amplification with polytetrafluoroethylene beads (sPMCAb) and real-time quaking induced conversion (RT-QuIC) employing a truncated Syrian hamster recombinant protein substrate. Results from both amplification assays were compared to one another and to obex immunohistochemistry, and were correlated to available clinical histories including CWD inoculum source (e.g. saliva, blood), genotype, survival period, and duration of clinical signs. We found that both sPMCAb and RT-QuIC were capable of amplifying CWD prions from cervid CSF, and results correlated well with one another. Prion seeding activity in either assay was observed in approximately 50% of deer with PrPSc detected by IHC in the obex region of the brain. Important predictors of amplification included duration of clinical signs and time of first tonsil biopsy positive results, and ultimately the levels of PrPSc identified in the obex by IHC. Based on our findings, we expect that both sPMCAb and RT-QuIC may prove to be useful detection assays for the detection of prions in CSF.

Introduction

Chronic wasting disease (CWD) is an efficiently transmitted prion disease of cervids (e.g. deer, elk, and moose), and the only known prion disease affecting free-ranging, non-domestic animals. While the origins of CWD are uncertain, the disease has been present in wild cervid populations of northern Colorado and southern Wyoming for over 40 years [1,2] and has now been identified in both captive and free-ranging cervids in 22 states, 2 Canadian provinces, and the Republic of Korea [3]. With intensified national surveillance efforts, CWD continues to be identified in areas previously thought to be free of infection, including recent discoveries in Iowa, Texas, and Pennsylvania in 2012 [4–6]. The prevalence of CWD varies across North America, but can be as high as 30% in some areas of Colorado and up to 100% in captive populations [7,8]. Identification of infected cervids requires a sensitive and specific detection assay. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of designated target tissues is currently considered the gold standard diagnostic test for CWD and other prion diseases of animals and man. The true sensitivity and specificity of IHC in the detection of infected individuals is unknown, though it has been demonstrated that the assay may underestimate the level of abnormal pathogenic prion protein (PrPSc) in a given sample due to the necessity of a proteolytic pre-treatment step to abolish cellular prion protein (PrPc) cross-reactivity [9–11]. More recently, reports have focused on in vitro PrP conversion assays that involve either amplification of the protease-
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Definitive identification of infected individuals also relies on selection of an appropriate diagnostic specimen [16–18]. In cervids, the retropharyngeal lymph nodes have been shown to identify infected animals at earlier stages of disease [16,18], though in other species, including cattle and man, the obex (a region of the caudal medulla) is generally considered to be the tissue of choice for the detection of TSE infection [19]. As retropharyngeal lymph node and obex samples are only available postmortem, efforts over the past decade have been made to identify peripherally accessible samples from which an antemortem diagnosis may be made. To this end, several investigators have examined peripheral lymphoid tissues of sheep and deer (e.g. tonsil and recto-anal mucosal associated lymphoid tissue, RAMALT) for antemortem detection of prion infection and found sensitivities (when compared to IHC) approaching 100% in both pre-clinical and clinical animals [20–25]. More recently, using cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), amplification or fluorometric seeding activity assays have shown promise in distinguishing TSE-infected and uninfected individuals, including CWD-infected elk [26], scrapie-infected hamsters [27], and humans with Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease [28,29].

In the present study, we have applied a standardized sPMCA incorporating polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, Teflon®) beads (i.e. sPMCAb) [30] and RT-QuIC methodologies to examine the CSF of 48 CWD-exposed and naïve white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) with known inoculum source (e.g. blood, saliva, brain, etc.), genotype, survival periods, and serial tonsil biopsy IHC results. We hypothesized that sPMCAb and RT-QuIC results would correlate positively with IHC results, as well as to one another, suggesting CSF amplification may be a reliable means of antemortem detection of prion infection. We also sought to identify which specific historical correlates (e.g. incubation periods, etc.) were the strongest predictors of assay results derived from sPMCAb and RT-QuIC. We hypothesized that deer showing protracted signs of CWD, based on longitudinal clinical and lymphoid biopsy history, were more likely to show positive CSF amplification in either assay.

Preparation of normal brain homogenate for sPMCA

To reduce the risk of contamination, normal brain homogenate (NBH), the substrate for prion conversion in vitro by sPMCA, was prepared from Tg(CerPrP)5037 mice in a room that had not previously been used for prion research [10,34–36]. Following euthanasia and perfusion with 5mM EDTA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), whole brain was collected from naïve Tg(CerPrP)5037 mice and placed on ice. Brain homogenates were prepared as a 10% (w/v) solution in NaCl in PBS adjusted to a pH of 7.2) with the addition of Complete Protease Inhibitors (Roche Pharmaceuticals, Indianapolis, IN) using a dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were then centrifuged for 1 minute at 2000rpm and the supernatant frozen in single-experiment aliquots at ~80°C in a room in which neither prion containing or potentially exposed materials or equipment were ever present until use in sPMCA. Each preparation was composed of brain from 4-6 mice to minimize the potential influence of expression variation in CerPrP or other co-factors [37,38]; multiple preparations of NBH were utilized over the course of the experiments.

Serial PMCA of cerebrospinal fluid

Cerebrospinal fluid was initially coded to allow for blinded evaluation by sPMCA, then thawed briefly with 10µl of test or control CSF added to 50µl of NBH with the addition of three 1/16” polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon®) beads (i.e. sPMCAb, McMaster-Carr). Each test sample was evaluated in duplicate and in parallel with positive and negative controls, in adjacent wells of a 96-well plate (USA Scientific, Ocala, FL); along with NBH prepared from unexposed Tg(CerPrP)5037 mice as additional, unseeded negative controls. In each experimental run, between 25-35% of samples evaluated were from sham-inoculated deer (n=56/171 total replicates), and an additional 10-20% (n=25/171 total replicates) of the samples were unspiked, NBH negative controls. After sample loading, plates were sonicated using an ultrasonic processor (Qsonica, Newtown, CT) and incubated at 37°C. Sonication parameters entailed 40 second bursts at power level 7.0, followed by 30
Table 1. Summary of background information and sPMCAb, RT-QuIC, and IHC results from individual deer.

| Animal ID | Inoculum | Initial tonsil + date (months) | Duration of Clinical Signs (weeks) | Mean IHC Score | Mean PMCA Score | Mean RT-QuIC Score |
|-----------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 106       | Positive brain | 12                             | 3                                | 0.16667        | 0.52            | 0                 |
| 107       | Saliva     | 0                              | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 108       | Whole blood | 12                             | 16                               | 0.33333        | 0              | 0                 |
| 110       | Whole blood | 12                             | 28                               | 0.33333        | 0              | 0                 |
| 111       | Urine/feces | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 114       | Whole blood | 19                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 115       | Urine/feces | 12                             | 0.5                              | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 112       | Saliva     | 12                             | 0.33333                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 123       | Negative brain | NA                             | 0                               | 0              | 0.17           | 0                 |
| 124       | Urine/feces | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 132       | Saliva     | 19                             | 0.66667                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 133       | Whole blood | 12                             | 14                               | 1              | 0.17           | 0.38             |
| 134       | Urine/feces | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 136       | Positive brain | 12                             | 52                               | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 137       | Whole blood | 19                             | 0.16667                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 138       | Brain      | 6                              | 52                               | 1              | 0.85           | 0.38             |
| 141       | Urine/feces | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 143       | Positive brain | 12                             | 4                                | 0.33333        | 0              | 0                 |
| 144       | Saliva     | 12                             | 0                               | 0              | 0.28           | 0                 |
| 147       | Saliva     | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 150       | Urine/feces | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 302       | Whole blood | 12                             | 9                                | 0.83333        | 0              | 0                 |
| 303       | B cells    | 12                             | 0.66667                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 307       | Monocytes  | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 311       | Monocytes  | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 322       | B cells    | 19                             | 0.83333                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 324       | Platelets  | 19                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 346       | B cells    | 12                             | 0                               | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 347       | Whole blood | 6                              | 9                                | 0.33333        | 0              | 0                 |
| 357       | Platelets  | 19                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 360       | Monocytes  | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 371       | B cells    | 19                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 372       | Whole blood | 12                             | 8                                | 0.52           | 0              | 0                 |
| 373       | Monocytes  | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 374       | Whole blood | 6                              | 8                                | 1              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4116      | Whole blood | 12                             | 0                               | 1              | 0.52           | 0                 |
| 4117      | Plasma     | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4119      | Whole blood | 12                             | 10                               | 0              | 0.28           | 0                 |
| 4128      | Blood cells | 12                             | 1                               | 1              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4129      | Environment | 19                             | 0.16667                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4153      | Plasma     | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4461      | Environment | 19                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4488      | Negative brain | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4502      | Whole blood | 6                              | 12                               | 0.57           | 0              | 0                 |
| 4509      | Blood cells | 19                             | 0                               | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4513      | Negative brain | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4516      | Negative brain | NA                             | 0                                | 0              | 0              | 0                 |
| 4521      | Blood cells | 19                             | 0.16667                          | 0              | 0              | 0                 |

Table 1 (continued).

Data on the inoculum, earliest tonsil biopsy positive result (in months), and duration of clinical signs (in weeks) prior to necropsy were collected on experimentally inoculated deer. Scores from each experimental assay were normalized and correlated to clinical histories. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.0001

minutes of incubation. Each duplicate sample was processed through 92 cycles of sonication performed over 48 hours, followed by a transfer of a 10µl aliquot of sonicated material into 50µl of fresh NBH with PTFE beads for serial amplification over a total of three rounds. Following each round of amplification, samples were evaluated by western blotting, as described below, for the presence of PrP. For each sample, the number of positive rounds in each duplicate were tallied to allow for relative quantification of PrP; thus, each sample could have a maximum score of 6 (e.g. three positive rounds in duplicate experiments), and a minimum score of zero. Scores were then normalized by dividing by the total number of rounds run (i.e. six for each sample) to arrive at a final score between 0-1.

Western blotting (WB) of sPMCAb amplified cerebrospinal fluid

Following each round of amplification, an aliquot of each sonicated sample was subjected to western blotting for evaluation of PrP signal. Fifteen µl of sample homogenate were mixed with 7µl of sample buffer (0.1% [v/v] triton-X 100 and 4% [w/v] SDS in PBS), digested with 3µl proteinase-K at 500µg/ml (final concentration: 60µg/ml) for 20' at 37°C followed by 10' at 45°C. Twenty µl of this preparation were run on a pre-cast 12% bis-tris SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen) in a Bio-Rad electrophoresis apparatus for 1 hour at 160mV. Samples were then transferred to a PVDF membrane using a Transblot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad). PVDF membranes were subsequently probed with a PrP-specific monoclonal antibody (BAR224 conjugated to horse radish peroxidase, HRP) diluted 1:20,000 in PBS containing 5% (w/v) BSA and 0.2% Tween-20 for 1 hour. Following washing with 0.5% Tween 20 in tris-buffered saline, immunoreactivity was detected using an enhanced chemiluminescent detection system (ECL-plus, Amersham Biosciences) in a Bio-Rad imaging system.

Preparation of recombinant PrP for RT-QuIC

Real-time quaking-induced conversion assays were performed with recombinant Syrian hamster PrP (ShPrP) encoding residues 90-231 in pET41b and expressed and purified as previously described [39]. In brief, 1 liter cultures of lysogeny broth (LB) containing Auto Induction™ supplements (EMD Biosciences) were inoculated with ShPrP-expressing Rosetta strain E. coli, grown overnight, and harvested when optical density (OD, 600 nm) of ~3 was reached. Cells were lysed with Bug Buster™ reagent with supplemented Lysonase™ (EMD Biosciences) and inclusion bodies (IB) were...
harvested by centrifugation of the lysate at 15,000 x g. IB pellets were washed twice and stored at -80 °C until purification (typically 24 hours or less). IB pellets were solubilized in 8 M guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) in 100 mM NaPO₄ and 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15' and added to Super Flow nickel-nitritolactric acid (Ni-NTA) resin (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated with denature buffer (6.0 M GuHCl, 100 mM NaPO₄, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0). Denatured SHrPrP and Ni-NTA resin was incubated by rotating at room temperature for 45 min and then added to an XK fast protein liquid chromatography column (GE Healthcare). Refolding was achieved on column using a linear refolding gradient of denature buffer to refold buffer (100 mM NaPO₄, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0) over 340 ml at 0.75 ml/min. SHrPrP was eluted with a linear gradient of refold buffer to elution buffer (100 mM NaPO₄, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM imidazole pH 5.5) over 100 ml at 2.0 ml/min. Fractions were pooled and dialyzed against two changes of 4 liters of dialysis buffer [20 mM NaPO₄, pH 5.5]. Recovered SHrPrP was adjusted to a final concentration of ~0.5 mg/ml.

RT-QuIC analysis of cerebrospinal fluid

Blinded cervid CSF samples were diluted 1:10 in RT-QuIC dilution buffer (PBS with 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate, SDS). Five µl of this 10⁻¹ dilution were added to 95µl of RT-QuIC reaction buffer, consisting of 50mM NaPO₄, 250mM NaCl, 1.0mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tetrasodium salt (EDTA), 10µM Thioflavin T (ThT), and 0.1mg/ml Syrian hamster rPrP, to yield a final volume of 100µl. Each test sample was repeated in triplicate in three separate experiments. Positive controls, consisting of 5µl of a 10⁻³ dilution of pooled CWD-positive brain from six experimentally infected white-tailed deer (cervid brain pool 6, CBP6) spiked into 95ul of RT-QuIC reaction buffer, were included in triplicate in each experiment. Negative controls, also repeated in triplicate, consisted of CSF samples from sham-inoculated white-tail deer prepared in a manner consistent with study deer, as well as untreated RT-QuIC reaction buffer spiked with 5µl of RT-QuIC dilution buffer. Reactions were prepared in a black 96-well, optical-bottom plate, which were then sealed and incubated in a BMG Labtech Polarstar™ fluorimeter at 42 °C for 48 hours (192 fifteen minute cycles) with intermittent shaking cycles; specifically 1 minute shakes (700rpm, double orbital pattern) interrupted by 1 minute rest periods. Thioflavin T fluorescence measurements (450nm excitation and 480nm emission) were taken every 15 minutes with the gain set at 1200, with the relative fluorescence units (RFU) for each triplicate sample progressively monitored against time with orbital averaging and 20 flashes/well at the 4nm setting.

Replicates were considered positive when they crossed a pre-defined positive threshold, calculated as five standard deviations above the mean fluorescence of all negative controls (CSF from sham-inoculated deer and untreated replicates spiked with RT-QuIC dilution buffer) in the assay. Times at which a sample crossed the positive threshold (Cₜ) were recorded; samples remaining below the threshold were considered negative. Cₜ scores were derived by dividing the average Cₜ time of positive controls in an experiment by the average Cₜ times of individual triplicates from unknown CSF samples, resulting in RT-QuIC Cₜ scores typically between 0-1. Each sample was repeated in triplicate in three separate experiments to estimate the reproducibility of RT-QuIC scores. The fluorometric curves generated from sample amplification were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0, with the real-time data from each well fit with a Boltzmann sigmoidal curve. From these curves, the slope and final (maximal) plateau fluorescence were determined. Parameters for each triplicate sample were averaged across the 3 experiments.

Optimization of sPMCAb and RT-QuIC for use with cervid CSF

To optimize each of the seeded amplification assays, we selected CSF from six study deer, inoculated with a variety of substrates through various routes, which were found to have large accumulations of PrPSc in their obex (i.e. immunohistochemical scores of 3/3 – deer 106, 110, 133, 144, 346, and 4116), and a sham inoculated deer (deer #4488) for dilutional analysis. For sPMCAb, we selected a range of spike volumes including 20µl, 10µl, and 1µl into 50µl of NBH. Samples were amplified as described above for sPMCAb, over a total of three rounds and evaluated by western blotting. For RT-QuIC, we selected a range of dilutions of CSF into RT-QuIC dilution buffer: 10⁻² (i.e. no dilution), 10⁻¹, and 10⁻²; 5µl of each dilution were spinkled into 95µl of RT-QuIC amplification buffer and subjected to amplification over a 24hr period as described above.

Immunohistochemistry of cervid obex

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using the protocol described by Spraker et al [40]. Briefly, 3–5 mm sections of formalin fixed formic acid treated tissues were deparaffinized at 60–70 °C for 1 hour, rehydrated via a series of xylene/ethanol baths, and treated in formic acid a second time (5 minutes) prior to a 20 minute antigen retrieval (Dako Target Retrieval Solution 10×) cycle in a 2100 Retriever™ (PickCell Laboratories). Slides were further processed with the aid of a Ventana Discovery™ autostainer utilizing the Ventana Red Map™ stain kit, the PrPSc specific primary antibody BAR224, and a biotinylated secondary goat anti mouse antibody (Ventana). After autostaining, the slides were quickly rinsed in a warm water detergent solution, passed through a series of graded alcohols and cover-slipped. Stained slides were blindly evaluated by two independent evaluators and assigned scores between 0-3, with zero being a complete absence of staining and three indicating intense PrPSc-specific staining throughout the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus and remainder of the obex. Scores were then averaged and divided by the maximum score (i.e. 3), to arrive at normalized IHC scores between 0-1.

Statistical analyses of experimental results

To firstly evaluate if there was a relationship between sPMCAb, RT-QuIC, and IHC scores, we correlated normalized scores against one another using Spearman rank correlation. We then evaluated sPMCAb and RT-QuIC relative to IHC for sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values.
We next evaluated and contrasted how well sPMCAb and RT-QuIC test scores were predicted by inoculum, genotype (GG/GS), month at which the individual was tonsil biopsy positive (by IHC), survival period (months), duration of clinical signs (weeks), and IHC obex scores. To undertake this we employed an Information Theoretic approach [41], whereby all single and pair-wise combinations of predictor variables were evaluated, using logistic regression, and ranked based on Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc) [41]. This approach is of value because it enables determination of the most parsimonious model or set of models to explain sPMCAb and RT-QuIC scores, and also calculation of Variable Importance weights to determine the relative importance of one predictor variable over others [41]. Because Information Theory departs from frequentist based statistical approaches, which are dependent on P-values, we also calculated McFadden $r^2$ values (appropriate for calculating fit of logistic regression models) so that the relative fit of models to the data could be assessed.

The above analyses were also extended to evaluate how well predictor variables performed at predicting Boltzmann slope and maximum fluorescence for deer with positive RT-QuIC diagnoses. These values could only be assessed for deer returning a positive RT-QuIC result, causing the dataset to be reduced ($n=14$) and, accordingly, analyses were restricted to all pair-wise combinations of predictor variables so that the number of models did not greatly exceed the amount of data. All analyses were conducted using the program R (www.r-project.org). Models were conducted based on a binomial data distribution, with the exception of models predicting maximum fluorescence which was based on a Gaussian distribution. Because models of maximum fluorescence were based on a Gaussian distribution, a regular coefficient of variation was computed, rather than McFadden $r^2$.

Results

Immunohistochemical detection of PrP$\text{d}$ in cervid obex

Using previously reported methods for IHC detection of PrP$\text{d}$ in cervid tissues, we analyzed obex collected at necropsy from each individual deer. Independent scores (0-3) from two separate evaluators were averaged and divided by the maximum score (i.e. 3), to arrive at a normalized score between 0-1. IHC demonstrated PrP$\text{d}$ in 26/48 individuals (Table 1), with normalized scores from positive animals ranging from 0.17-1 (mean: 0.77). (Figure 1)

Figure 1. Immunohistochemistry of white-tailed deer obex. Immunohistochemistry results from deer #4502 (A), 4129 (B), 144 (C) and 4488 (D). Normalized scores from IHC+ animals ranged from 0.17 (e.g. #4129) to 1.0 (e.g. #4502 and 144). Sham-inoculated deer (e.g. #4488, D) were consistently negative by IHC.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.g001

Optimal spike volumes vary for sPMCAb and RT-QuIC

To evaluate the optimal volumes for seeded amplification in both sPMCAb and RT-QuIC, we evaluated a range of starting volumes and dilutions of CSF from six CWD-positive deer in each assay: 20μl, 10μl and 1μl of CSF into 50μl of NBH with sPMCAb, and 10$^0$, 10$^{-1}$, and 10$^{-2}$ dilutions of CSF in RT-QuIC. For sPMCAb, we observed the most efficient seeded
amplification with 10μl of spike volume (5/6 showing positive amplification). Less efficient amplification was observed with 20μl (2/6 positive) and 1μl (1/6 positive) spike volumes. For RT-QuIC, seeded amplification had the highest precision when using 10⁻¹ dilutions of CSF, showing seeded amplification in 3/3 replicates in 5/6 CSF samples; higher and lower dilutions of CSF showed less repeatable amplification. (Figure 2)

Serial PMCA prion seeding activity in cerebrospinal fluid of white-tailed deer

Using a modified sPMCA technique incorporating PTFE beads (sPMCAb) [30], amplification was observed in 16/48 cerebrospinal fluid samples (Table 1). The mean number of rounds positive in amplified samples was 5.1 out of 6, ranging from 0-3 rounds for each duplicate and yielding sPMCAb scores from 0.17-1.0 (mean: 0.85). A single aliquot (i.e. 1/56 replicates from CWD-negative control samples) of CSF from a sham-inoculated deer (deer 123) yielded a false positive result in a single replicate in sPMCAb, though failed to demonstrate amplification in RT-QuIC (see below). The remaining controls (CSF from additional negative control deer, additional aliquots of CSF from deer 123, and unspiked negative controls) failed to amplify PrPd during the course of sPMCAb analysis. (Figure 3)

RT-QuIC detects prion seeding activity in cerebrospinal fluid of white-tailed deer

An RT-QuIC assay employing truncated Syrian hamster recombinant prion protein [13] as a substrate successfully amplified CWD prion seeding activity in 14/48 CSF samples (Table 1). By comparing threshold times to those of a 10⁻³ dilution of CBP6 positive control, resultant RT-QuIC scores from positive animals ranged from 0.2-1.0, with a mean score of 0.47, inferring that the level of prion-seeding activity was multiple orders of magnitude lower than that found in the CNS. All samples were repeated in three separate experiments, and negative controls (CSF from sham-inoculated deer and untreated controls spiked with RT-QuIC dilution buffer) remained negative throughout the course of analysis. Over the course of multiple experiments, we found that RT-QuIC scores were fairly reproducible, with standard error of C₅ scores between separate experiments ranging from 0.01-0.07. (Figure 4)

Inter-assay correlation and relationship to available historical data

All three assays (IHC, sPMCAb, and RT-QuIC) were positively correlated (Table 2). The strength of agreement was greatest between sPMCAb and RT-QuIC and less strong vs. IHC. Prion seeding activity in the CSF was detected by sPMCAb and RT-QuIC in ~50% of obex IHC-positive deer (Table 3). Both amplification assays were more likely to align with IHC-negative results (specificity), and deer with a positive sPMCAb or RT-QuIC score had a high probability of detection by IHC (positive predictive value). However, absence of amplification by sPMCAb or RT-QuIC was only moderately likely to align with absence of detection by IHC (negative predictive value). Overall, sPMCAb and RT-QuIC were broadly comparable.

Using regressions and Information Theory, we found that sPMCAb and RT-QuIC diagnostic scores were predicted by single and pair-wise combinations of inoculum, genotype, month tonsil biopsy positive, incubation period, duration of clinical signs and IHC diagnosis (Table S2). IHC-positivity was the best (most parsimonious) predictor of sPMCAb-positivity (Figure 4a, slope coefficient ± SE 2.371 ± 1.108). Next in variable importance was the amount of duration of clinical signs (slope coefficient ± SE 0.112 ± 0.065), while the remaining predictors were less important. IHC score was also the best predictor of RT-QuIC-positivity (Figure 4, slope coefficient ± SE 4.190 ± 2.576). The month at which an animal was tonsil biopsy positive was the second best predictor (slope coefficient ± SE -0.212 ± 0.117), inferring that a longer period for which prions might accumulate in the CNS favored prion entry into the CSF. For both sPMCAb and RT-QuIC, McFadden R² values were generally related to variable importance weights (Figure 4a), except for inoculum. However, inoculum source and type was a relatively unimportant predictor variable, owing to the number of categories within this predictor causing low model parsimony (Figure 5a, Table S2). Boltzmann slope, a modeled, best fit slope based on available data, for RT-QuIC yielded low McFadden R² values (Figure 5b, Tables S1 and S3). A similar pattern was observed for predators of Boltzmann maximum fluorescence for RT-QuIC, although inoculum was distinctly less important than the other variables (Figure 5b, Tables S1 and S3).

Discussion

As the only known prion disease affecting free-ranging animals, the early and antemortem detection of chronic wasting disease infection in cervids is of paramount importance in disease management. The detection of CWD and other prions in bodily fluids, including saliva and CSF, has been the subject of recent research [26,42]. In the present study, we compared sPMCAb and RT-QuIC analysis of CSF from CWD-exposed cervids in relation to IHC detection of PrPd in the obex region of the brain and other available historical information (e.g. inoculum source, incubation period, genotype, etc.).

We found that results from both in vitro detection assays (sPMCAb and RT-QuIC) were highly correlated, with ~50% of deer with IHC-positive PrPd in the obex showing demonstrable prion seeding activity in the CSF. Assay agreement was fairly high between sPMCAb and RT-QuIC, and while sPMCAb identified slightly more IHC-positive animals than RT-QuIC (16/26 vs. 14/26 for RT-QuIC), assay scores seemed to correlate slightly better between RT-QuIC and IHC using Spearman correlation (0.690 vs. 0.593 for sPMCAb). These results are consistent with a previous study evaluating the CSF of CWD-infected Rocky Mountain elk (Cervus elaphus nelsoni), in which elk with higher levels of PrPd demonstrated by IHC of the obex were more likely to be sPMCA positive [26]. In addition to our findings in samples from CWD-positive animals, sPMCAb produced a false positive result from a single aliquot of CSF from a negative control deer (1/56 total replicates), implying slight deficits in specificity for this assay. Previous experiments in our lab and elsewhere have found specificities...
Figure 2. Optimization of sPMCAb and RT-QuIC. Various spike volumes and dilutions were chosen for optimization of each assay for use with cervid CSF. Cerebrospinal fluid from six CWD-positive deer (#106, 110, 133, 144, 346, and 4116) and one CWD-negative deer (#4488) were chosen for optimization. With sPMCAb (A.), spike volumes including 20μl (Lanes 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, and 20), 10μl (Lanes 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 21), and 1μl (Lanes 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, and 22) were evaluated using three rounds of sPMCAb. For RT-QuIC (B.), dilutions of CSF including $10^0$, $10^{-1}$, and $10^{-2}$ were evaluated in 48hr experiments. The threshold for positive amplification ($C_t$) is represented by the horizontal hashed red line. Data encompassing results from IHC, sPMCAb, and RT-QuIC from CSF samples from seven deer (C.) were evaluated to estimate the optimum spike volumes and dilutions of CSF, and revealed that 10μl of whole CSF appeared to be optimal for sPMCAb, while a $10^{-1}$ dilution of CSF had the greatest precision in RT-QuIC analysis.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.g002
of <100% for three-round sPMCA [36], so these results perhaps are not surprising. As amplification was only observed in a single aliquot of CSF from one of the negative control deer, while additional aliquots from the same deer remained negative, sample contamination seems probable.

A number of previous studies employing amplification assays for the detection of PrP\textsuperscript{\textsubscript{D}} in CSF samples have been reported, predominantly in IHC-confirmed cases of CJD in humans and scrapie of hamsters [27,28]. In these reports, seeded amplification was observed in 75-80% of CJD or scrapie-positive individuals. Another study evaluating the CSF of Rocky Mountain elk by sPMCA was only able amplify protease-resistant prion from 3/15 (20%) samples from CWD-positive animals, somewhat lower than the percentage of prion-seeding activity seen in the CSF of white-tailed deer in the present study. Taken collectively, this seems to suggest that
prionorrhachia (shedding of prions into the CSF) is either intermittent or inconsistent in CWD-infected cervids, or levels of prion seeding activity are very low, compared to scrapie-infected hamsters or CJD-infected humans. A systematic evaluation of TSE-infected CNS tissues of deer and other species may help pinpoint the probable source of CSF shedding (e.g. ependymal cells [43]) via correlating histopathological or PrP\textsuperscript{d}-deposition analysis to amplification results.

In addition to comparing inter-assay results, we sought correlations between amplification results and other available historical data from experimental animals, a study component our laboratory is uniquely suited to undertake. We found that two aspects of the clinical history – duration of clinical signs and earliest time point of tonsil biopsy positivity – were positively correlated to sPMCA\textsubscript{b} and RT-QuIC results, respectively. This finding seems to imply that the longitudinal accumulation of CWD prions in the central nervous system of deer, either following extended incubation periods or early access to the lymphoreticular system – the predominant amplification site in affected deer – has an important impact on the accumulation of detectable prions in the cerebrospinal fluid.

In previous studies, we have found a correlation between genotype and successful amplification of CWD prions in lymphoreticular tissues [11]; this finding does not appear to translate to seeded amplification in the CSF of deer as there was little correlation between genotype and likelihood of detection via either sPMCA\textsubscript{b} or RT-QuIC.

Apart from simply investigating the presence or absence of seeding activity, we also examined relationships between

---

**Table 2. Spearman correlation of diagnostic methods.**

|                | sPMCA\textsubscript{b} | IHC | RT-QuIC |
|----------------|------------------------|-----|---------|
| sPMCA\textsubscript{b} | 0.593                  |     | 0.778   |
| IHC            | <0.001                 |     | 0.690   |
| RT-QuIC        | <0.001                 |     | <0.001  |

Upper diagonal represents correlation coefficients (ρ) and lower diagonal corresponding P-values.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.t002

**Table 3. Evaluation of how PMCA and QuIC compare to IHC in identification of CWD-positive deer.**

|                | sPMCA\textsubscript{b} | RT-QuIC |
|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Sensitivity    | 53.8                   | 50.0    |
| Specificity    | 90.9                   | 95.5    |
| Positive predictive value | 87.5                 | 92.9    |
| Negative predictive value | 62.5                 | 61.8    |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.t003

---

**Figure 4. RT-QuIC seeded amplification detected by ThT fluorescence in CSF of deer.** A $10^{-3}$ dilution of cervid brain pool (red), as well as $10^{-1}$ dilutions of CSF from deer #4502 (blue) and 144 (orange) showed evidence of prion seeding activity (ThT binding) in 48 hour RT-QuIC experiments. The time to reaching threshold fluorescence ($C_t$ – red horizontal dashed line) varied amongst RT-QuIC positive animals, and correlated with IHC scores. CSF from some CWD-positive deer (e.g. deer #4129), all sham-inoculated deer (e.g. deer #4488), and all untreated controls failed demonstrate seeding activity.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081488.g004
available historical data and advanced analysis of RT-QuIC amplification curves, to determine whether additional insights could be gained into the relationships of seeding activity curves and sample source. We focused on amplification amplitude and slope, and attempted to correlate these to each of the \textit{a priori} variables. In this examination, we found no substantive relationship of any of the variables to either mean slope or peak fluorescence. These results may imply that these metrics of RT-QuIC analysis do not arise from inherent properties of the amplifiable prions present in the CSF, or may be due to the small sample size available for the statistical analyses. We are presently analyzing additional samples from multiple cervid sources to further examine whether advanced amplification metrics may correlate to certain aspects of an individual’s clinical history.

In summary, the present study evaluated two amplification assays – sPMCAb and RT-QuIC – for their ability to amplify PrP$^d$ in the CSF of CWD-exposed and naïve white-tailed deer. Results between the two assays correlated well with each other and to IHC results from obex collected at necropsy, albeit with reduced sensitivity. \textit{A priori} variables, notably date of tonsil biopsy positivity and duration of clinical signs, influenced the likelihood of a sample being positive by either assay, while our post-amplification analyses (slope of curve or peak fluorescence) did not correlate with clinical histories. Based on our findings, we believe that amplification assays hold continued promise in the detection of prion-infection using post- or ante-mortem samples and our future work will continue to evaluate the utility of these assays in detecting seeding activity in these tissues and biological fluids.

**Supporting Information**

**Table S1.** Summary of genotype, incubation period and advanced RT-QuIC metrics from study deer. Neither genotype nor incubation period were significantly correlated to sPMCA or RT-QuIC results; while advanced metrics derived from RT-QuIC experiments had no direct correlation with any of the historical variables from CWD-exposed or sham inoculated deer.

| Variable                     | Inoculum | Genotype (GG/GS) | Month tonsil biopsy positive | Incubation period (months) | Duration of clinical signs (weeks) | IHC diagnosis | %K | w     | r$^2$ |
|------------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---|-------|-------|
|                              | 20       | 20               | 20                          | 20                        | 20                              | 20            | 20 | 20    | 0.292|
|                              |          |                  |                             |                           |                                 |               |    |       |       |

Table S2. Comparison of predictor models to estimate sPMCAb and RT-QuIC scores. Models represent logistic regressions of all individual and pair-wise combinations of predictors, and are ranked from most parsimonious to least parsimonious by \textit{AICc}. 1=Inoculum (blood and blood products, environment, urine/feces, negative, brain, saliva), 2=IHC, 3=Genotype (GG/GS), 4=Month sample was tonsil biopsy positive (by IHC), 5=Incubation period (months), and 6=Duration of clinical signs (weeks). K=number of model parameters, w=model weight, r$^2$=McFadden’s r$^2$. 

![Figure 5. The relative importance of predictor variables for (a) sPMCAb (blue circles) and RT-QuIC (green circles) scores, and (b) Boltzmann slope (blue circles) and maximum fluorescence (green circles). Size of circles represents McFadden r$^2$ values (range <0.001 – 0.292). Where r$^2$ values were <0.03 a blue or green arrow indicates their position for clarity. Although inoculum r$^2$ values were often relatively high (larger circles) compared to other predictors, their importance was low indicating that the high r$^2$ values for this variable was a statistical artifact of the high number of inoculum categories.](https://example.com/figure5.png)
Table S3. Comparison of predictor models to estimate Boltzmann slope and maximum fluorescence of samples diagnosed as CWD positive by QuIC. Models represent logistic regressions for slope and linear regressions for fluorescence of all pair-wise combinations of predictors, and are ranked from most parsimonious to least parsimonious by ΔAICc. 1=Inoculum (blood and blood products, environment, urine/feces, negative, brain, saliva), 2=IHC, 3=Genotype (GG/ GS), 4=Month sample was tonsil biopsy positive (by IHC), 5=Incubation period (months), and 6=Duration of clinical signs (weeks). K=number of model parameters, w=model weight, $r^2$=McFadden's $r^2$ for slope and $r^2$ for fluorescence.
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