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Abstract

Background: Urinary liver-type fatty acid-binding protein (L-FABP) is a well-known marker of proximal tubular impairment. We evaluated the relationship between cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors and levels of L-FABP in a cross-sectional community-based study. Participants with normoalbuminuria and normal estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), that is, non-chronic kidney disease (non-CKD), were enrolled in this study. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to focus on the association between CVD risk factors and a proximal tubular marker in the Japanese general population with normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR.

Methods: The present study is part of the Sasayama study. The participants included 1000 community residents (447 men and 553 women) aged 40–64 years without a history of CVD or renal dysfunction. Out of these participants 375 men and 477 women, defined as non-CKD, were included for further analysis. In each sex, the highest quintile group was considered to have high-normal L-FABP levels. A multiple logistic regression model was used to evaluate the relationship between risk factors for CVD and high-normal L-FABP levels in the non-CKD participants. We performed a similar analysis using the high-normal urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (UACR) as a dependent variable instead of L-FABP.

Results: Among the non-CKD participants, in the highest quintile group (Q5, top 20%), L-FABP was ≥ 2.17 μg/gCre in men and ≥ 2.83 μg/gCre in women. In women, the multivariate odds ratio was 3.62 (1.45–9.00) for high-normal L-FABP in the presence of diabetes mellitus (DM) compared with that in the group without DM. However, the relationship between DM and the UACR level was not significant. In men, DM was significantly associated with high-normal UACR. However, the relationship with L-FABP levels was not significant.

Conclusions: The presence of DM was more strongly related to high-normal L-FABP levels than to high-normal UACR in women even at the stage of normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR. Our results were also consistent with the findings of a previous study where women were more prone to nonalbuminuric renal impairment compared to men, although further studies are required to confirm the results.
Background

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) not only progresses to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) but also represents an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1–3]. Early detection and prevention of CKD may thus contribute to extension of a healthy life expectancy. For diagnosis of CKD, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), urine total protein, and urine albumin are usually measured during medical check-ups or in clinical settings [4]. On the other hand, the early stages of renal impairment could be missed, as mentioned in previous studies [5–7]. A decline in the number of nephrons is accompanied by hypertrophy of the remaining nephrons. Although the prevalence of progressive eGFR decline is relatively low, a decline in renal function has been identified in follow-up studies not only in patients with microalbuminuria but also in patients with normoalbuminuria [5–7]. Results of in vitro and in vivo experiments, in previous studies, indicated that proximal tubular impairment preceded glomerular impairment in the kidneys of rats with diabetic nephropathy [8, 9]. We estimate that there is a considerable proportion of patients with early-stage renal impairment that is not detected by eGFR and urinary albumin. An easy-to-use marker for proximal tubules may facilitate diagnosis of these asymptomatic patients more definitively.

Urinary liver-type fatty-acid-binding protein (L-FABP) is expressed in the proximal tubules of the human kidney and plays a role in fatty acid metabolism [10]. It has been reported that expression of L-FABP in the proximal tubules is increased by ischemia or oxidative stress that causes tubulointerstitial damage [11]. Furthermore, urinary L-FABP has been used to predict deterioration of renal function in diabetic patients [11] and the occurrence of ESRD and CVD in patients with CKD [12]. It has previously been reported that risk factors of CVD such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), and dyslipidemia are associated with CKD [13, 14]. However, to date, there has been no study that focused on the association between CVD risk factors and a proximal tubular marker at the stage of normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR among the general population. Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the relationship between CVD risk factors and high-normal urinary L-FABP levels in Japanese residents with normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR.

Methods

Study population

The Sasayama study was a population-based cohort study, in which the assessed outcomes included, increased medical expenditures, worsening of quality of life, and CVD risk factors such as hypertension, DM, and dyslipidemia [15, 16]. The study cohort included healthy Japanese National Health Insurance subscribers (aged 40 to 64 years) living in Tamba-Sasayama, a city in Hyogo prefecture, Japan, who underwent specific health check-ups. Since May 2012, we recruited participants in the Sasayama study from among all participants undergoing specific health check-ups who met the age and insurance type requirements. The annual consent rate for participation in the Sasayama study was approximately 77%. The present study was a cross-sectional study that used medical data recorded from May 2014 to February 2016. We excluded 70 participants for the following reasons: a history of cardiovascular disease or renal dysfunction (n = 58), and missing spot urine sample (n = 12). Consequently, the data of 1000 participants (447 men and 553 women) were included in the analysis. Whereby, the characteristics of participants, including the presence or absence of low eGFR and albuminuria, were compared. Of these participants, further analysis, using the data of 852 participants (375 men and 477 women) with normal eGFR (eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) and normoalbuminuria (UACR <30 mg/gCre), was performed (Fig. 1).

Measurements

Single spot urine samples were collected and stored at –80°C; urinary albumin, creatinine, and L-FABP were measured. Urinary L-FABP was measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (CIMIC, Tokyo, Japan) [17]. The lower detection limit for the L-FABP assay was 1.5 ng/mL and concentrations below the detection limit were defined as 0.75 ng/mL using the mean value between zero and 1.5 ng/mL. L-FABP levels were reported as ratios relative to urinary creatinine concentration (μg/gCre). Urinary albumin concentration was measured by the turbidimetric immunoassay (TIA). Urinary albumin levels were also expressed as ratios relative to urinary creatinine (mg/gCre).

The eGFR was calculated using the following formula, which was specifically derived for the Japanese population: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 194 × serum creatinine–1.094 × age–0.287 (if female × 0.739) [18]. In accordance with the Japanese Society of Nephrology guidelines [4], we defined eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² as low eGFR. We defined urinary albumin to creatinine (UACR) ≥ 30 mg/gCre as albuminuria then subdivided into UACR 30–299 mg/gCre as microalbuminuria and UACR ≥ 300 mg/
gCre as macroalbuminuria. Furthermore, participants showing eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² and/or urinary albumin ≥30 mg/gCre were considered to have CKD. We also evaluated kidney impairment by using dipstick urinary protein scores using the same single urine sample immediately after sample provision; the participants showing scores of ≥1+ were considered to have proteinuria.

Blood samples were collected and serum creatinine, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and blood glucose were measured by enzymatic assays. The participants were considered to have hypertension if they had systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg and/or had been prescribed medication for hypertension [19]; DM if they had fasting (for ≥10 h) blood glucose levels ≥126 mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels ≥200 mg/dL and/or HbA1c ≥6.5% and/or DM medication [20]; and dyslipidemia if they had LDL-cholesterol levels ≥140 mg/dL and/or HDL-cholesterol < 40 mg/dL and/or non-HDL-cholesterol levels ≥170 mg/dL and/or fasting triglyceride levels ≥150 mg/dL and/or dyslipidaemia medication [21].

Smoking status, drinking habits, medication use, and medical history were assessed first during the medical check-up, according to the standard protocol for middle-aged and elderly individuals in Japan. Second, details of drinking habits were confirmed during face-to-face interviews with a research nurse and a nutritionist. Ethanol intake (g/day) was determined by alcohol consumption frequency, type of beverages consumed, and the amount of each type of beverage consumed per day.

The participants with an ethanol intake of ≥46 g per day, equivalent to approximately 2 gō of the traditional Japanese unit of volume, were defined as heavy drinkers.

**Statistical analysis**

The analyses were conducted according to the sex of the participants. Clinical characteristics of the participants with normal eGFR and normoalbuminuria were compared to those of the participants with albuminuria or low eGFR. Next, participants with normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR were included for further analysis, and were divided into quintiles by L-FABP (μg/gCre) levels. As a biomarker of early kidney impairment before albuminuria and low eGFR occurrence, we defined high-normal L-FABP levels as the levels corresponding to the highest quintile (top 20%) group.

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. Variables with non-normal distribution are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (25, 75%). Bonferroni correction was applied to multiple post-hoc comparisons between continuous variables, and a Bonferroni-corrected chi-square test was used for categorical variables. For the non-CKD participants, multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for high-normal L-FABP levels. Independent variables for multivariate analysis were traditional risk factors of CVD, including age, body mass index (BMI), hypertension, DM, dyslipidaemia, current smokers, and heavy drinkers. The UACR level was also included as an independent variable. To compare significant independent variables with high-normal L-FABP levels, multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for high-normal L-FABP levels. Independent variables for multivariate analysis were traditional risk factors of CVD, including age, body mass index (BMI), hyper-
levels, multivariate OR and 95% CI for high-normal UACR were also estimated using the same CVD risk factors as independent variables. We divided the non-CKD participants according to UACR levels into quintiles and considered the highest quintile level (Q5) as high-normal UACR.

A two-sided $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. The analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 22 for Windows (IBM, Tokyo, Japan).

**Results**

The percentage of participants with and below the detection limit of L-FABP ($\leq 1.5$ ng/mL) was 42.3% men and 56.2% women. When a value of 0.75 ng/mL was assigned to the values lower than the detection limit and corrected by urinary creatinine, the median values of L-FABP were 1.33 μg/gCre in men and 1.71 μg/gCre in women. The percentage of participants showing L-FABP values that were equal to or higher than 8.4 μg/gCre (the normal upper limit for L-FABP [22]) were 1.3% men and 0.4% women. The levels of L-FABP in the highest quintile group (Q5, top 20%) were $\geq 2.17$ μg/gCre in men and $\geq 2.83$ μg/gCre in women among the participants with normal eGFR and normoalbuminuria (non-CKD).

The respective characteristics of participants with normal eGFR and normoalbuminuria, and with albuminuria and normal eGFR, and those with low eGFR are presented in Table 1. In the groups of normal eGFR and albuminuria, 94.4% men and 90.9% women were considered to have microalbuminuria. In the groups of low eGFR, 98.1% men and 96.9% women had eGFR levels from 45 to 59 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$. In men, L-FABP levels were significantly higher in the groups with normal eGFR and albuminuria than in other groups, while there was no significant difference in women. In both men and women, the group with normal eGFR and albuminuria had the highest levels of variables regarding DM (blood glucose and HbA1c and the proportion of DM medication users).

In addition, participants with normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR were included for further analysis, and the participants’ characteristics are presented according to quintile L-FABP levels in Table 2. Among the non-CKD participants, both in men and in women, the L-FABP levels were positively associated with age and UACR.

Table 1 Participant characteristics by the presence or absence of low eGFR and albuminuria

| Number | Men | Women | $p$ for difference | Men | Women | $p$ for difference |
|--------|-----|-------|-------------------|-----|-------|-------------------|
| 375    | 18  | 54    | 0.01             | 477 | 11    | 0.01             |
| Urinary L-FABP (μg/gCre) | 1.270(0.81-1.96) | 3.28(0.69-4.91) | 1.55(1.00-2.14) | 1.7(1.17-2.55) | 1.94(1.44-2.75) | 1.55(1.12-2.34) |
| Age (years) | 55.1 ± 7.6 | 57.4 ± 8.5 | 60.5 ± 4.0 | 56.2 ± 7.1 | 54.3 ± 9.5 | 60.2 ± 4.6 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 23.5 ± 3.1 | 24.6 ± 3.5 | 25.0 ± 3.4 | 22.0 ± 3.3 | 27.9 ± 12.2 | 22.8 ± 3.4 |
| Hypertension (%) | 34.4 | 66.7 | 53.7 | 0.01 | 27.9 | 45.5 | 43.1 | 0.023 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 126.9 ± 17.7 | 134.6 ± 19.1 | 127.3 ± 16.4 | 0.192 | 123.0 ± 18.5 | 138.5 ± 21.6 | 129.5 ± 19.2 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 78.3 ± 10.5 | 80.8 ± 9.0 | 78.9 ± 10.9 | 0.001 | 72.2 ± 11.2 | 81.0 ± 8.5 | 75.4 ± 9.5 |
| Hypertension medication (%) | 16.5 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.001 | 13.4 | 18.2 | 30.8 |
| Diabetes (%) | 41.7 | 55.4 | 55.8 | 0.001 | 27.8 | 31.1 | 0.022 |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL) | 104.0 ± 26.9 | 140.0 ± 85.7 | 103.5 ± 26.2 | 0.001 | 97.1 ± 18.6 | 140.5 ± 85.9 | 96.2 ± 12.9 |
| HbA1c (NOSP, %) | 5.7 ± 0.8 | 6.6 ± 1.8 | 5.6 ± 0.4 | 0.001 | 5.6 ± 0.5 | 6.6 ± 3.0 | 5.6 ± 0.4 |
| Glucose (%) | 4.5 | 22.2 | 1.9 | 0.001 | 1.0 | 27.3 | 3.1 |
| Diabetes medication (%) | 4.8 | 33.3 | 5.6 | 0.001 | 2.7 | 27.3 | 3.1 |
| Dyslipidemia (%) | 54.7 | 72.2 | 66.1 | 0.001 | 50.9 | 63.6 | 80.0 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 104(73-156) | 136(87-224) | 127(85-188) | 0.021 | 8(61-113) | 107(69-124) | 112(82-137) |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 60.4 ± 15.0 | 55.9 ± 14.5 | 56.7 ± 15.9 | 0.002 | 71.3 ± 15.7 | 57.9 ± 17.8 | 65.9 ± 13.9 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 128.9 ± 33.5 | 128.4 ± 43.0 | 127.2 ± 30.0 | 0.96 | 129.3 ± 34.0 | 130.6 ± 23.2 | 139.0 ± 33.5 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 211.6 ± 35.2 | 213.1 ± 49.0 | 211.7 ± 30.5 | 0.001 | 221.2 ± 37.6 | 209.5 ± 28.8 | 230.0 ± 43.4 |
| Dyslipidemia medication (%) | 6.9 | 16.7 | 9.3 | 0.220 | 14.9 | 18.2 | 32.3 |
| eGFR (ml/min/71.3 m$^2$) | 76.8 ± 10.9 | 83.6 ± 21.3 | 54.7 ± 4.2 | 0.001 | 75.5 ± 10.7 | 80.6 ± 13.2 | 55.5 ± 4.3 |
| UACR (mg/gCre) | 3(7-65-6) | 75(47-144) | 3.8(2.0-5.3) | 0.001 | 4.53(4-6.2) | 38.0(6-53.9) | 0.001 |
| Proteinuria (%) | 0.3 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Uric acid (mg/dL) | 6.1 ± 1.2 | 5.8 ± 1.1 | 6.8 ± 1.5 | 0.001 | 4.7 ± 1.0 | 5.0 ± 1.5 | 5.2 ± 1.0 |
| Hypertension medication (%) | 5.6 | 0 | 25.9 | 0.001 | - | - | - |
| Current smokers (%) | 30.7 | 50.0 | 31.5 | 0.001 | 5.9 | 18.2 | 0.0 |
| Heavy drinkers (%) | 18.2 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 0.018 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 0.0 |

Median and interquartile range (25%–75%)

Hypertension: systolic blood pressure $\geq 140$ mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mmHg and/or prescription of medication for hypertension

Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose levels $\geq 126$ mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels $\geq 200$ mg/dL and/or HbA1c $\geq 6.5$% and/or prescription of medication for diabetes mellitus

Dyslipidemia: LDL-C levels $\geq 140$ mg/dL and/or HDL-C levels $< 40$ mg/dL and/or non-HDL cholesterol levels $\geq 170$ mg/dL and/or fasting triglyceride levels $\geq 150$ mg/dL and/or prescription of medication for dyslipidemia

UACR Urinary albumine to creatinine ratio (mg/gCre). Albuminuria: UACR $\geq 30$ mg/gCre. Low eGFR $< 60$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$. Heavy drinker: $\geq 46$ g ethanol/day
### Table 2: Participant characteristics according to quintile of L-FABP among non-CKD participants

**Men**

| Characteristics                        | Quintile of L-FABP among normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                       | Q1            | Q2            | Q3            | Q4            | Q5            |
| Number                                 | 75            | 75            | 75            | 75            | 75            |
| Urinary L-FABP (μg/gCr)                | 0.76(0.57-0.90) | 1.28(1.17-1.39) | 1.73(1.61-1.83) | 2.30(2.16-2.55) | 3.75(3.13-4.31) |
| Age (years)                            | 53.8 ± 7.8    | 55.8 ± 7.8    | 55.7 ± 6.9    | 58.6 ± 5.1    | 57.3 ± 6.7    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                            | 21.7 ± 3.1    | 21.7 ± 3.0    | 21.5 ± 3.0    | 22.6 ± 3.3    | 22.2 ± 3.8    |
| Hypertension (%)                       | 26.3          | 26.0          | 26.3          | 35.4          | 25.3          |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | 122 ± 19      | 123 ± 17      | 121 ± 19      | 128 ± 19      | 121 ± 18      |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)        | 72 ± 12       | 72 ± 11       | 71 ± 11       | 74 ± 11       | 71 ± 11       |
| Hyperuricemia (%)                      | 9.5           | 11.5          | 12.6          | 18.8          | 14.7          |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)                  | 45.3          | 69.3          | 52.0          | 62.7          | 54.7          |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)                  | 106(74-137)   | 101(75-139)   | 102(70-192)   | 113(80-164)   | 100(74-161)   |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                | 63 ± 15       | 61 ± 16       | 60 ± 14       | 50 ± 16       | 58 ± 15       |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                | 130 ± 33      | 132 ± 38      | 128 ± 36      | 132 ± 32      | 123 ± 29      |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)              | 214 ± 34      | 216 ± 39      | 213 ± 37      | 214 ± 33      | 201 ± 33      |
| Uric acid (mg/dL)                      | 6.1 ± 1.2     | 6.1 ± 1.1     | 6.2 ± 1.2     | 6.0 ± 1.2     | 6.0 ± 1.4     |
| Hypertension medication (%)            | 4.0           | 5.3           | 2.7           | 9.3           | 6.7           |
| Current smokers (%)                    | 29.3          | 26.7          | 28.0          | 32.0          | 37.3          |
| Heavy drinkers (%)                     | 16.0          | 13.3          | 24.0          | 29.0          | 17.6          |

**Women**

| Characteristics                        | Quintile of L-FABP among normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                       | Q1            | Q2            | Q3            | Q4            | Q5            |
| Number                                 | 95            | 96            | 95            | 96            | 95            |
| Urinary L-FABP (μg/gCr)                | 0.76(0.57-0.90) | 1.28(1.17-1.39) | 1.73(1.61-1.83) | 2.30(2.16-2.55) | 3.75(3.13-4.31) |
| Age (years)                            | 53.8 ± 7.8    | 55.8 ± 7.8    | 55.7 ± 6.9    | 58.6 ± 5.1    | 57.3 ± 6.7    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                            | 21.7 ± 3.1    | 21.7 ± 3.0    | 21.5 ± 3.0    | 22.6 ± 3.3    | 22.2 ± 3.8    |
| Hypertension (%)                       | 26.3          | 26.0          | 26.3          | 35.4          | 25.3          |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | 122 ± 19      | 123 ± 17      | 121 ± 19      | 128 ± 19      | 121 ± 18      |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)        | 72 ± 12       | 72 ± 11       | 71 ± 11       | 74 ± 11       | 71 ± 11       |
| Hyperuricemia (%)                      | 9.5           | 11.5          | 12.6          | 18.8          | 14.7          |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)                  | 45.3          | 69.3          | 52.0          | 62.7          | 54.7          |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)                  | 81(54-113)    | 82(58-110)    | 78(60-104)    | 85(65-121)    | 83(64-120)    |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                | 71 ± 16       | 72 ± 15       | 72 ± 16       | 70 ± 16       | 71 ± 16       |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                | 123 ± 31      | 127 ± 31      | 131 ± 33      | 127 ± 35      | 125 ± 35      |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)              | 215 ± 35      | 218 ± 39      | 232 ± 43      | 223 ± 35      | 218 ± 39      |
| Uric acid (mg/dL)                      | 5.5 ± 0.3     | 5.6 ± 0.4     | 5.6 ± 0.4     | 5.7 ± 0.8     | 5.7 ± 0.6     |
| Hypertension medication (%)            | 3.2           | 1.0           | 1.1           | 2.1           | 6.3           |
| Current smokers (%)                    | 3.2           | 1.0           | 1.1           | 2.1           | 6.3           |
| Diabetes medication (%)                | 4.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           |
| Hyperuricemia medication (%)           | 4.5 ± 0.9     | 4.7 ± 0.9     | 4.8 ± 1.1     | 4.9 ± 0.9     | 4.5 ± 1.1     |

Non-CKD: normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR

Median and interquartile range (25%–75%)

Hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg and/or prescription of medication for hypertension. Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 126 mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 200 mg/dL and/or HbA1c ≥ 6.5% and/or prescription of medication for diabetes mellitus. Dyslipidemia: LDL-C levels ≥ 140 mg/dL and/or HDL-C levels < 40 mg/dL and/or non-HDL cholesterol levels ≥ 170 mg/dL and/or fasting triglyceride levels ≥ 150 mg/dL and/or prescription of medication for dyslipidemia

UACR: urinary albumine to creatinine ratio (mg/gCr). Albuminuria: UACR ≥ 30 mg/gCr. Low eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Heavy drinker: ≥ 46 g ethanol/day
men, the L-FABP levels were positively associated with systolic blood pressure and inversely associated with HDL-cholesterol levels. In women, L-FABP levels were positively associated with the proportion of participants with DM and that of dyslipidaemia.

We also examined the characteristics of participants with non-CKD, according to quintile UACR levels (Table 3). In both men and women, UACR levels were positively associated with age and the proportion of participants with hypertension. In men, UACR levels were positively associated with blood glucose, HbA1c and the proportion of participants with DM. We observed a similar relationship regarding HbA1c in women. However, the relationships were not significant for blood glucose and the proportion of participants with DM.

Table 4 shows the associations between CVD risk factors and high-normal L-FABP levels (Q5) in the participants with normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR (non-CKD) by multiple logistic regression analysis. First, we calculated the crude OR (95%CI) for each independent variable as univariate analysis. Second, we examined three multivariate models using different sets of independent variables. When UACR levels (log-transformed) were included within the independent variables, in men, age was significantly associated with high-normal L-FABP [OR (95%CI): 1.07 (1.02–1.11)]. In women, the OR (95%CI) of presence of DM was 3.62 (1.45–9.00) and that of current smoking was 3.21 (1.31–7.85). Both in men and in women, the UACR levels were associated with high-normal L-FABP levels; the ORs were 2.56 (1.64–4.00) in men and 2.12 (1.37–3.27) in women. Following multivariate analysis, with an adjustment for age, BMI, systolic blood pressure, blood glucose, HDL-cholesterol (as continuous variables), smoking status (current smoking or not) and drinking status (heavy drinker or not), blood glucose levels and current smoking were associated with high-normal L-FABP levels in women; the OR of blood glucose levels was 1.01 (1.00–1.02) and that of current smoking was 2.90 (1.19–7.08). In men, age was associated with high-normal L-FABP levels; OR, 1.07 (1.03–1.12) (Supplement Table 1).

Table 5 shows the associations between CVD risk factors and high-normal UACR levels (highest quintile: Q5) in the non-CKD participants by multiple logistic regression analysis. The highest quintile levels of UACR (≥30 mg/gCre) were ≥6.423 mg/gCre in men and ≥6.90 mg/gCre in women. When L-FABP levels (log-transformed) were included within the independent variables in men, the OR (95%CI) of DM was 3.06 (1.45–6.49). Both in men and in women, hypertension was associated with high-normal UACR; the ORs were 2.25 (1.27–3.99) in men and 2.11 (1.26–3.53) in women.

Discussion
We examined the association between CVD risk factors and high-normal L-FABP levels, which is a recently described marker of tubulointerstitial impairment among the general population with normalalbuminuria and normal eGFR. We found that hypertension was significantly associated with high-normal UACR levels in men and women. Of note, according to gender, DM was strongly associated with different renal impairment markers; high-normal UACR in men and high-normal L-FABP in women. Furthermore, DM and current smoking were significantly related to high-normal L-FABP levels in women only.

Using the dataset of participants without CKD, L-FABP levels were substantially lower in our present study than in a previous study [23]. In previous studies, L-FABP levels were measured for patients with DM or apparent renal failure [11, 23]. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first that investigated the relationship between L-FABP and CVD risk factors in normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR participants in a general population.

In a previous study, patients who failed to achieve and maintain blood pressure control during a 4-year follow-up showed an increased risk of developing diabetic nephropathy (OR 1.38, p < 0.001) and risk of its component: albuminuria (OR 1.47, p < 0.001) [24]. Penno et al. demonstrated that the female sex correlated with nonalbuminuric renal impairment and the male sex with the albuminuric forms in patients with type 2 DM [25]. A previous clinical study showed that urinary excretion of human L-FABP levels were correlated with the severity of tubulointerstitial damage and the rate of CKD progression [11]. Structurally, L-FABP has a high capacity to bind and a high affinity for fatty acid peroxidation products and causes their excretion into urine and thus L-FABP may be an effective endogenous antioxidant [26]. Furthermore, Kanaguchi et al. evaluated the expression of tubulointerstitial markers under oxidative stress and proximal tubular damage in human L-FABP chromosomal gene-transgenic mice and suggested that tubular enhancement of L-FABP may protect mice with antibody-induced glomerulonephritis during the progression of both tubulointerstitial and glomerular injury [27]. Therefore, L-FABP is considered as a stress marker, which is increased by oxidative stress during ischemia of the proximal tubules and hyperglycaemia [11]. In addition, its potential protective effect against the progression of both tubulointerstitial and glomerular damage has been suggested, although further studies are required to confirm the detailed mechanisms [26, 27]. Neugarten et al. conducted a meta-analysis of 68 studies and concluded that men with CKD, due to various aetiologies, showed a more rapid time-dependent decline in
Table 3  Participant characteristics according to quintile of UACR among non-CKD participants

| Quintile of UACR among normalalbuminuria and normal eGFR | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| **Men**                                                   |    |    |    |    |    |
| Number                                                    | 93 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 95 |
| UACR (mg/gCr)                                             | 2.81(2.46-3.05) | 3.66(3.40-3.71) | 4.31(4.26-4.70) | 5.61(5.32-6.19) | 9.55(7.95-14.7) |
| Age (years)                                               | 64.8 ± 15.9 | 63.7 ± 10.6 | 69.7 ± 10.4 | 94.6 ± 11.9 | 98.3 ± 22.8 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                               | 22.0 ± 3.2 | 22.0 ± 2.9 | 21.7 ± 3.1 | 21.7 ± 3.2 | 22.4 ± 3.7 |
| Hypertension (%)                                          | 16.8 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 21.4 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)                           | 131.8 ± 18.4 | 131.2 ± 18.4 | 123.4 ± 18.6 | 128.8 ± 20.4 | 128.8 ± 20.4 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)                           | 73.3 ± 12.2 | 73.0 ± 12.2 | 72.8 ± 11.9 | 74.7 ± 11.9 | 74.7 ± 11.9 |
| Hypertension medication (%)                               | 6.3 | 15.6 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 20.0 |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)                                     | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL)                                     | 96.0 ± 15.3 | 96.7 ± 10.4 | 94.6 ± 11.9 | 98.3 ± 22.8 | 99.7 ± 26.9 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                                   | 112.3 ± 15.7 | 126.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)                                 | 127.3 ± 35.7 | 128.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)                                     | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                                   | 71.2 ± 16.0 | 71.7 ± 15.0 | 71.5 ± 15.1 | 70.4 ± 14.9 | 72.1 ± 17.5 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)                                     | 127.3 ± 35.7 | 128.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Albuminuria (mg/gCre)                                     | 1.60(0.94-2.23) | 1.74(1.72-2.43) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) |
| Plasma creatinine (%)                                     | 4.8 ± 1.0 | 4.9 ± 1.0 | 4.6 ± 0.9 | 4.5 ± 1.0 | 4.5 ± 1.0 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)                                     | 73.2 ± 10.0 | 75.9 ± 10.5 | 75.2 ± 9.8 | 75.5 ± 10.6 | 77.8 ± 12.2 |
| Non-CKD: normalalbuminuria and normal eGFR                | 2.09(1.84-2.36) | 2.79(2.53-2.96) | 3.66(3.35-3.96) | 5.03(4.57-5.58) | 9.57(7.47-14.0) |
| **Women**                                                 |    |    |    |    |    |
| Number                                                    | 93 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 95 |
| UACR (mg/gCr)                                             | 2.81(2.46-3.05) | 3.66(3.40-3.71) | 4.31(4.26-4.70) | 5.61(5.32-6.19) | 9.55(7.95-14.7) |
| Age (years)                                               | 64.8 ± 15.9 | 63.7 ± 10.6 | 69.7 ± 10.4 | 94.6 ± 11.9 | 98.3 ± 22.8 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                               | 22.0 ± 3.2 | 22.0 ± 2.9 | 21.7 ± 3.1 | 21.7 ± 3.2 | 22.4 ± 3.7 |
| Hypertension (%)                                          | 16.8 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 21.4 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)                           | 131.8 ± 18.4 | 131.2 ± 18.4 | 123.4 ± 18.6 | 128.8 ± 20.4 | 128.8 ± 20.4 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)                           | 73.3 ± 12.2 | 73.0 ± 12.2 | 72.8 ± 11.9 | 74.7 ± 11.9 | 74.7 ± 11.9 |
| Hypertension medication (%)                               | 6.3 | 15.6 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 20.0 |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)                                     | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL)                                     | 96.0 ± 15.3 | 96.7 ± 10.4 | 94.6 ± 11.9 | 98.3 ± 22.8 | 99.7 ± 26.9 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                                   | 112.3 ± 15.7 | 126.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)                                 | 127.3 ± 35.7 | 128.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)                                     | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) | 79.0(84-111) |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                                   | 71.2 ± 16.0 | 71.7 ± 15.0 | 71.5 ± 15.1 | 70.4 ± 14.9 | 72.1 ± 17.5 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)                                     | 127.3 ± 35.7 | 128.0 ± 32.9 | 111.8 ± 33.1 | 129.1 ± 33.5 | 132.4 ± 34.8 |
| Albuminuria (mg/gCre)                                     | 1.60(0.94-2.23) | 1.74(1.72-2.43) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) | 1.60(1.42-2.32) |
| Plasma creatinine (%)                                     | 4.8 ± 1.0 | 4.9 ± 1.0 | 4.6 ± 0.9 | 4.5 ± 1.0 | 4.5 ± 1.0 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)                                     | 73.2 ± 10.0 | 75.9 ± 10.5 | 75.2 ± 9.8 | 75.5 ± 10.6 | 77.8 ± 12.2 |
| Non-CKD: normalalbuminuria and normal eGFR                | 2.09(1.84-2.36) | 2.79(2.53-2.96) | 3.66(3.35-3.96) | 5.03(4.57-5.58) | 9.57(7.47-14.0) |

Non-CKD: normalalbuminuria and normal eGFR
Median and interquartile range (25–75%)
Hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg and/or prescription of medication for hypertension. Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 126 mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 200 mg/dL and/or HbA1c ≥ 6.5% and/or prescription of medication for diabetes mellitus. Dyslipidemia: LDL-C levels ≥ 140 mg/dL and/or HDL-C levels < 40 mg/dL and/or prescription of medication for dyslipidemia. Albuminuria: UACR ≥ 30 mg/gCre. Low eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Heavy drinker: ≥ 46 g ethanol/day.
renal function than did women [28]. In most experimental animal models of CKD, males showed a more accelerated progression of renal injury compared with females, and as a potential mechanism for renal protection in females, an influence of oestrogen is suggested [29]. In our study, in women, DM and current smoking, which cause oxidative stress, were associated with high-normal L-FABP levels that reflect oxidative stress in the proximal tubules. Additionally, considering the protective role of L-FABP against the progression of renal impairment, higher L-FABP levels in women compared to men may partly be explained by previous suggestions

### Table 4

| Univariate | Multivariate |
|------------|-------------|
| OR         | 95% CI      | p-value | OR         | 95% CI      | p-value |
| Age (years)         | 1.07 | 1.01 - 1.13 | 0.017 | 1.07 | 1.03 - 1.11 | <0.01 | 1.07 | 1.03 - 1.12 | <0.01 |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 1.13 | 1.02 - 1.26 | 0.018 | 1.04 | 0.95 - 1.14 | 0.369 | 1.06 | 0.96 - 1.15 | 0.279 |
| Hypertension        | 1.72 | 0.86 - 3.46 | 0.123 | 1.39 | 0.80 - 2.41 | 0.241 | 1.42 | 0.81 - 2.49 | 0.218 |
| Diabetes mellitus   | 0.90 | 0.30 - 2.68 | 0.854 | 0.64 | 0.28 - 1.50 | 0.904 | 0.63 | 0.27 - 1.47 | 0.281 |
| Dyslipidemia         | 1.35 | 0.68 - 2.69 | 0.394 | 1.08 | 0.63 - 1.84 | 0.779 | 1.02 | 0.59 - 1.77 | 0.932 |
| Current smoker       | 1.43 | 0.71 - 2.98 | 0.321 | -    | -            | -     | 1.38 | 0.91 - 2.76 | 0.106 |
| Heavy drinker        | 1.10 | 0.46 - 2.62 | 0.836 | -    | -            | -     | 0.79 | 0.39 - 1.59 | 0.509 |
| UACR (log-transformed) | 3.53 | 2.11 - 5.91 | <0.01 | -    | -            | -     | 2.56 | 1.64 - 4.00 | <0.01 |

### Table 5

| Univariate | Multivariate |
|------------|-------------|
| OR         | 95% CI      | p-value | OR         | 95% CI      | p-value |
| Age (years)         | 1.03 | 0.98 - 1.08 | 0.263 | 1.03 | 0.99 - 1.06 | 0.176 | 1.04 | 1.00 - 1.08 | 0.069 |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 1.08 | 0.99 - 1.17 | 0.080 | 1.02 | 0.95 - 1.10 | 0.609 | 1.03 | 0.95 - 1.11 | 0.513 |
| Hypertension        | 0.67 | 0.33 - 1.40 | 0.290 | 0.73 | 0.42 - 1.27 | 0.265 | 0.76 | 0.43 - 1.32 | 0.327 |
| Diabetes mellitus   | 4.53 | 1.76 - 11.7 | <0.01 | 3.86 | 1.60 - 9.31 | <0.01 | 3.59 | 1.47 - 8.76 | <0.01 |
| Dyslipidemia         | 0.96 | 0.52 - 1.75 | 0.890 | 0.86 | 0.52 - 1.41 | 0.538 | 0.85 | 0.52 - 1.41 | 0.532 |
| Current smoker       | 1.57 | 0.52 - 4.75 | 0.421 | -    | -            | -     | 3.15 | 1.33 - 7.49 | <0.01 |
| Heavy drinker        | 1.15 | 0.14 - 9.37 | 0.898 | -    | -            | -     | 1.40 | 0.31 - 6.35 | 0.660 |
| UACR (log-transformed) | 2.07 | 1.23 - 3.50 | <0.01 | -    | -            | -     | 2.12 | 1.37 - 3.27 | <0.01 |

Non-CKD: normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR

Hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg and/or prescription of medication for hypertension. Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 126 mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 200 mg/dL and/or HbA1c ≥ 6.5% and/or prescription of medication for diabetes mellitus. Dyslipidemia: LDL-C levels ≥ 140 mg/dL and/or HDL-C levels < 40 mg/dL and/or non-HDL cholesterol levels ≥ 170 mg/dL and/or fasting triglyceride levels ≥ 150 mg/dL and/or prescription of medication for dyslipidemia

UACR: urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (mg/gCre). Albuminuria: UACR ≥ 30 mg/gCre. Low eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Heavy drinker: ≥ 46 g ethanol/day

### Table 6

| Univariate | Multivariate |
|------------|-------------|
| OR         | 95% CI      | p-value | OR         | 95% CI      | p-value |
| Age (years)         | 1.05 | 1.01 - 1.09 | <0.01 | 1.03 | 0.99 - 1.08 | 0.105 | 1.04 | 1.00 - 1.08 | 0.078 |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 1.11 | 1.02 - 1.20 | 0.011 | 1.06 | 0.97 - 1.16 | 0.194 | 1.07 | 0.98 - 1.17 | 0.148 |
| Hypertension        | 3.12 | 1.85 - 5.24 | <0.01 | 2.63 | 1.51 - 4.56 | <0.01 | 2.42 | 1.38 - 4.24 | <0.01 |
| Diabetes mellitus   | 3.33 | 1.71 - 6.47 | <0.01 | 2.69 | 1.31 - 5.54 | <0.01 | 2.72 | 1.31 - 5.63 | <0.01 |
| Dyslipidemia         | 1.35 | 0.81 - 2.25 | 0.256 | 1.17 | 0.67 - 2.62 | 0.582 | 1.24 | 0.70 - 2.18 | 0.457 |
| Current smoker       | 1.46 | 0.86 - 2.48 | 0.163 | -    | -            | -     | 1.59 | 0.90 - 2.81 | 0.113 |
| Heavy drinker        | 1.90 | 1.05 - 3.46 | 0.035 | -    | -            | -     | 1.65 | 0.86 - 3.17 | 0.132 |
| L-FABP (log-transformed) | 2.40 | 1.61 - 3.58 | <0.01 | -    | -            | -     | 2.25 | 1.46 - 3.45 | <0.01 |

Non-CKD: normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR

Hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg and/or prescription of medication for hypertension. Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 126 mg/dL or non-fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 200 mg/dL and/or HbA1c ≥ 6.5% and/or prescription of medication for diabetes mellitus. Dyslipidemia: LDL-C levels ≥ 140 mg/dL and/or HDL-C levels < 40 mg/dL and/or non-HDL cholesterol levels ≥ 170 mg/dL and/or fasting triglyceride levels ≥ 150 mg/dL and/or prescription of medication for dyslipidemia

UACR: urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (mg/gCre). Albuminuria: UACR ≥ 30 mg/gCre. Low eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Heavy drinker: ≥ 46 g ethanol/day
that the progression of renal impairment is slower in women than in men [28, 29]. Although there are few studies in which urinary L-FABP is measured separately according to gender, Ishimitsu et al. determined the average urinary L-FABP levels (μg/g Cre) of 550 subjects without disease and L-FABP levels were significantly higher in women than in men, which is consistent with our findings [30].

In our study, L-FABP levels correlated strongly with UACR levels both in men and women, but particularly in men. It has been shown that tubular biomarkers, including L-FABP, were higher in patients with micro and macro-albuminuria compared to persons without albuminuria [9]. When either tubular or glomerular injuries occur, tubules and glomerulus closely interacted via glomerulotubular junctions [9, 31]. The stronger association between L-FABP and UACR levels in men rather than in women may partly contribute to a more rapid progression of renal injury in men.

Our study has several limitations. First, the determination of L-FABP levels and diagnosis of kidney impairment were based on data of participants in the research survey only. Second, the present study is a cross-sectional study and follow-up studies are needed to confirm that high-normal L-FABP levels, which reflect mild tubulointerstitial stress, predict glomerular impairment, and ultimately lead to a decline in kidney function. Third, the reason for the gender difference in the strength of the relationship between DM and high-normal L-FABP levels remains to be clarified.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the presence of DM was more strongly related to high-normal L-FABP levels than high-normal UACR in women even at the stage of normoalbuminuria and normal eGFR, which reflects oxidative stress in the proximal tubules. Our results were also consistent with the findings of a previous study where women were more prone to nonalbuminuric renal impairment than the findings of a previous study where women were more prone to nonalbuminuric renal impairment than men [25], although further studies are required to confirm the results.
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