Durable radiative cooling against environmental aging

To fight against global warming, subambient daytime radiative cooling technology provides a promising path to meet sustainable development goals. To achieve subambient daytime radiative cooling, the reflection of most sunlight is the essential prerequisite. However, the desired high solar reflectance is easily dampened by environmental aging, mainly natural soiling and ultraviolet irradiation from sunlight causing yellowish color for most polymers, making the cooling ineffective. We demonstrate a simple strategy to use titanium dioxide nanoparticles, with ultraviolet resistance, forming hierarchical porous morphology via evaporation-driven assembly, which guarantees a balanced anti-soiling and high solar reflectance, rendering anti-aging cooling paint based coatings. We challenge the cooling coatings in an accelerated weathering test against simulated 3 years of natural soiling and simulated 1 year of natural sunshine, and find that the solar reflectance only declined by 0.4% and 0.5% compared with the un-aged ones. We further show over 6 months of aging under real-world conditions with barely no degradation to the cooling performance. Our anti-aging cooling paint is scalable and can be spray coated on desired outdoor architecture and container, presenting durable radiative cooling, promising for real-world applications.

Our world is going awry to achieve the 1.5 °C objective of the Paris Agreement because the global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are still soaring\(^1\). If no urgent action is taken to mitigate the GHG emissions, some parts of the world will be almost uninhabitable for human beings by the end of this century\(^2\). Nowadays, >10% of GHG emissions is from conventional space cooling and refrigeration\(^3\). As the world becomes warmer, >13 new cooling devices are installed every second globally, which creates more GHG emissions and ozone-depleting substances exacerbating the global warming\(^4\). To fight against this destructive feedback loop, subambient daytime radiative cooling (SDRC) technology provides a promising path. It is realized by a sky-facing object reflecting most sunlight (within wavelength of 0.3 to 2.5 \(\mu\)m) and emitting long-wave infrared (LWIR) radiation strongly to the cold universe through the atmospheric transparency window (within wavelength of 8–13 \(\mu\)m)\(^5\). In this manner, the object can be passively cooled below the ambient temperature with zero energy input and GHG emissions.

The core of SDRC lies in the high solar reflectance (\(R_{\text{solar}} \geq 0.9\)), since just a few percent of solar absorbance can effectively heat the surface even if it has perfect LWIR emittance, i.e. \(\epsilon_{\text{LWIR}} = 1\) (Fig. 1a). However, this indispensable high \(R_{\text{solar}}\) is very likely to decline, making this technology ineffective, after the SDRC materials exposed to outdoor natural environment for just several months, which is essentially resulted from natural environmental aging\(^2\). Although SDRC ability in the ideal scenarios have been demonstrated by diverse materials, like nanophotonic thin films\(^5\), polymer-dielectric
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The design of AACP includes the following components:

- **Net cooling power as a function of ambient temperature** for various $R_{\text{solar}}$.
- **Scattering efficiency** of a single TiO$_2$ spherical particle as a function of particle diameter over wavelength range of 0.3 to 2.5 $\mu$m in different scattering mediums.
- **Solar reflectance curves** and resulted $R_{\text{solar}}$ values as a function of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) packing density ($\phi$) for a film of 2 $\mu$m thickness. The declining trend of reflectance in the dashed circle is due to the dependent scattering effect from particle crowding.
- **Apparent water contact angle ($\theta_{\text{app}}$)** and the intrinsic Young’s contact angle ($\theta_{\text{Y}}$) of TiO$_2$ surfaces.
- **Relationship between apparent water contact angle ($\theta_{\text{app}}$) and the intrinsic Young’s contact angle ($\theta_{\text{Y}}$) of TiO$_2$ surfaces.**

While porous fluoropolymer based coating for SDRC is resistant to UV, its modest hydrophobic nature limits the anti-soiling performance. Therefore, to push SDRC towards real-world applications with long-term durability, besides exceptional optical properties, excellent soiling/UV resistances are highly desired, preferred together with ease of fabrication and scalability in the form of paint based coatings.
In this work, in response to above daunting requirements, we demonstrate anti-aging cooling paint (AACP) based coating, which features evaporation-driven assembled hierarchical porous morphology, composed by hydrophobic titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles (NPs). They exhibit excellent cooling abilities even after challenged against accelerated weathering tests of simulated 3 years of natural soiling, simulated 1 year of natural climatic aging and over 6 months of aging under real-world conditions. Our AACP is a suspension that can be sprayed and painted on diverse substrates in a scalable manner, promising for commercial applications.

**Results**

**Design of AACP**

We designed the AACP based on three criteria: (1) the material must be intrinsically resistant to UV irradiance, (2) the derived coating must have $R_{\text{solar}} \geq 0.9$ and (3) the coating surface must be able to reduce the accretion of substances (e.g. common soiling contaminants). To satisfy the first criterion, we chose not to use polymer binders in our formulation, because most common polymers are reactive to UV light, become yellowish after long-term UV exposure. Instead, we used rutile type TiO$_2$ NPs to form porous coating layers. TiO$_2$ NPs are strong light scatterers (refractive index $n_{\text{TiO}_2} = 2.7$), commonly used as UV mitigation component, commercially available and cost effective. Although TiO$_2$ has been studied for daytime radiative cooling since 1970s, the lack of ability to realize subambient cooling under direct sunlight makes its practical value very limited. Subambient radiative cooling under direct sunlight based on TiO$_2$ has been realized only recently by adding fluorescent particles for enhanced $R_{\text{solar}}$ and silica microspheres for higher $f_{\text{EVAP}}$\textsuperscript{30}, which creates TiO$_2$ based radiative cooling paint of more complexity. Conventionally the UV absorptance of TiO$_2$ NPs and near-infrared (NIR) absorptance of common polymer binders were believed to have adverse impact for paint based coatings to achieve $R_{\text{solar}} > 0.9$.\textsuperscript{31} However, we note that the energy proportion within UV region (wavelength of 0.28 to 0.4 μm) only accounts for 4.5% of the whole solar spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 1). Therefore, we can compensate the UV absorptance via suppressing the NIR absorptance by replacing polymer with air forming porous morphology. And we note that the refractive index of air ($n_{\text{air}} = 1$) is lower than the one of common polymer binder ($n_{\text{polymer}} = 1.5$). According to the Snell’s law, large refractive index difference between two different mediums leads to high magnitude of light refraction. Thus, TiO$_2$ NPs in air should scatter light more strongly than they do in polymers. To further evaluate this optical property theoretically, we numerically calculated the scattering efficiency ($Q_{\text{sca}}$) of a single spherical TiO$_2$ particle as a function of particle diameter across the solar spectrum based on Mie theory (details in Methods). We compared the $Q_{\text{sca}}$ of TiO$_2$ particle in surrounding medium of either air or polymer with preset refractive indices ($n_{\text{air}} = 1$ and $n_{\text{polymer}} = 1.5$). We found that, within the solar spectrum, a single TiO$_2$ particle could scatter sunlight more strongly in air than in polymer (Fig. 1b). Empirically, the magnitude of $R_{\text{solar}}$ is equivalent to the total magnitude of TiO$_2$ NPs scattering, which is determined by the number of air/particle interfaces the light passes through. Therefore, for coatings with equal thickness composed of TiO$_2$ NPs with the same size, high packing density ($\phi$) of NPs, which means large number of air/particle interfaces, should be able to satisfy the second requirement ($R_{\text{solar}} \geq 0.9$). However, we note that the crowding of TiO$_2$ NPs gives rise to the dependent scattering leading to a reduction of scattering efficiency, in contrast to independent scattering wherein the distance among the scattering particles large enough to ignore the scattering effect brought by the presence of neighboring particles. This phenomenon is evident for a thin coating composed of NPs with high packing density, corroborated by finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations (Fig. 1c). In practice, to compensate this adverse dependent scattering effect, we can fabricate thick coating composed of particles with broad size distribution to increase the total scattering power (Supplementary Fig. 5). Nevertheless, continual increasing the $\phi$ of TiO$_2$ NPs might not render higher $R_{\text{solar}}$ as expected intuitively. In another word, we should be able to obtain a high $R_{\text{solar}}$ with $\phi$ lying in the medium region, neither very low nor high.

To meet the last criterion of the ability to reduce the accretion of substances, we aim to obtain low surface adhesion force by means of non-wettability.\textsuperscript{27,28,35} Herein, the governing equation is based on the Cassie-Baxter model (Supplementary Figs. 2, 10 and 11), i.e. $\cos \theta_{\text{app}} = f (1 + \cos \theta_1) - 1$, where $\theta_{\text{app}}$ is the apparent contact angle, $\theta_1$ is the Young’s contact angle, and $f$ the liquid-solid contact fraction (details in Methods). As shown in Fig. 1d, $\theta_1$ of pristine flat smooth TiO$_2$ surface is only $-1^\circ$. To achieve the critical value for non-wetting surface, $150^\circ$ of $\theta_{\text{app}}$, it is required that $f < 10^\circ$, which is very challenging for scalable practical application. Fluorinated flat smooth TiO$_2$ surface has $\theta_1$ as $-120^\circ$, then the critical value of $f$ increases to $-0.3$ to have $\theta_{\text{app}} > 150^\circ$ to realize non-wettability, making porous surface topology a potential candidate.

Previously, non-wettability was realized by constructing hierarchical surface morphology from the random packed fluorinated TiO$_2$ NPs.\textsuperscript{45} However, solely tuning the surface morphology cannot meet our target, which is realizing the above three criteria at the same time: resilient to UV irradiation, high $R_{\text{solar}}$, and great non-wettability. Hence, besides surface morphology, bulk morphology and its associated optical and wetting properties must be considered altogether to obtain durable radiative cooling performance. We consider the coating’s surface and bulk owning similar morphology, thereby the magnitude of $f$ should be in direct proportion to the magnitude of $\phi$ (Supplementary Fig. 6). Hence, from the perspective of qualitative analysis, we propose that the magnitude of $\phi$ and $f$ leads to three distinct regimes, as shown in the schematic Fig. 1e, and anticipate $R_{\text{solar}}$ and $\theta_{\text{app}}$ to vary as a function of TiO$_2$ NPs packing density ($\phi$) resulting from these three regimes. Each regime features a characteristic set of $R_{\text{solar}}$ and $\theta_{\text{app}}$, corresponding to schematic presented in Fig. 1f, with hypothesized light path and liquid-solid contact interface. In regime I, the low $\phi$ leads to relatively weak light scattering, thus the light has a long path length, penetrates deeply into the coating, may reach the substrate, resulting in light transmission. While at the same time, the low $f$ leads to $\theta_{\text{app}} > 150^\circ$, indicating good non-wettability. In regime III, the scenario is just the opposite. The high $\phi$ leads to strong light scattering, a short path length, thus shallow penetration, which turns the light around relatively quickly. The high $f$ leads to $\theta_{\text{app}} < 150^\circ$ indicating modest hydrophobicity, not beneficial to anti-soiling purpose. Therefore, regime II is preferred to be the design target to balance the desired high $R_{\text{solar}}$ and $\theta_{\text{app}}$.

**Fabrication of AACP based coatings and their cooling performances**

From these principles, we created AACP by selecting TiO$_2$ NPs with size distribution centering around 0.3 μm. This wide size distribution is not only useful for TiO$_2$ NPs to strongly scatter light within the solar spectrum through collective effect of Mie resonances\textsuperscript{31} (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 5), but also helpful to obtain micro/nano-roughness for robust non-wettability\textsuperscript{30}. We mixed the TiO$_2$ NPs and perfluorooctyltrichlorosilane (PFOTS) into ethanol to create an AACP suspension. We dispensed this suspension in drops onto the substrate, let the ethanol evaporate to form a uniform coating layer (details in Methods). As presented in Fig. 2b, the coating shows TiO$_2$ NPs assemblies at dual length scales forming micro/nano-roughness. Ultra-small X-ray scattering (USAXS) was implemented to characterize the coating’s bulk morphology demonstrating hierarchical porous nature, featuring air pores with two distinct length scales at ~110 and 350 nm (details in Methods) (Supplementary Fig. 7). PFOTS (Fig. 2c) was grafted on the surface of TiO$_2$ NPs through silanization, shown by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) as a blurred outer layer
as a complement, showing a rising trend from 1.2° to 4.0°. We note that these results agree with our anticipations as shown in Fig. 1e, and the shaded area represents a range of φ for optimal optical and wetting properties.

(Fig. 2d). The silanization reaction not only adds fluoride component lowering the surface energy of the AACP coating, but also enhances its emittance within atmospheric transparency window, because of the collective stretching vibrations from carbon-fluorine (C – F), carbon-carbon (C – C), silicon-oxygen (Si – O) and silicon-oxygen-silicon (Si – O – Si) bonds between 7 and 10 μm (Fig. 2e and f, and Supplementary Fig. 8). We evaluated the AACP coating’s optical and wetting properties as a function of TiO₂ NPs packing density (φ from 0.33 to 0.53), presented in Fig. 2g. ṅ̅solar climbs to -0.94 with dense packing of TiO₂ NPs, while ṅ̅LWIR (-0.97) is insensitive to the variation of φ. Since the variation of θ_app, decreasing from 158° to 153°, is not significant compared to the large contact angles, we measured the roll-off angle (θ_roll) as a complement, showing a rising trend from 1.2° to 4.0°. We note that these results agree with our anticipations as shown in Fig. 1e, rendering a range of φ centering around 0.45 for optimal optical and wetting properties. Specifically, with φ = 0.45, we are able to routinely fabricate super-repellent AACP coating with ṅ̅solar = 0.93 ± 0.02 and ṅ̅LWIR = 0.97 ± 0.01 in a highly reproducible way. And we realized these excellent optical properties with coating thickness of only about 100 μm, which is much thinner than the polymeric SDRC coating usually >300 μm² (Supplementary Fig. 9). By using the step-wise heating method, we obtained the cooling power of 84.9 ± 14.8 W m⁻² under strong solar irradiance (I_solar) of 920 W m⁻² (Supplementary Fig. 13). And by using the close-tracking heating method, we obtained the cooling power of about 95 W m⁻² under strong sunshine from 11 AM to 4 PM (Supplementary Fig. 14).

Standard simulated anti-aging test
One of the most rewarded applications of AACP is believed to be used as cool roofing materials. The real-world aging of cool roofing
materials mainly includes soiling and weathering. Soiling mainly results from the deposition of airborne carbon black, dust, particulate organic matter (POM) and microbial growth. Weathering is mainly from exposure to UV irradiation of natural sunlight, accompanied by moisture and temperature variation of day and night. It takes quite a long time, usually several years, for the roofing materials to reach a quasi-steady state of $R_{\text{solar}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{LWIR}}$\(^{39}\). Hence, to quantitatively evaluate the anti-aging performances of the AACP, we performed accelerated soiling and weathering tests based on the ASTM D7897-18 standard (details in Methods), which was developed particularly to simulate natural environmental aging effects on roofing materials in an accelerated way, equivalent to 3 years of natural soiling. We performed the anti-aging tests based on the ASTM D7897-18 standard, equivalent to 3 years of natural soiling. The soiled AACP coating showed almost intact visual appearances of the coating samples before and after soiling.

Fig. 3 | Anti-aging performances of AACP against heavy soiling and long-term UV exposure. a $R_{\text{solar}}$ after accelerated soiling test based on ASTM D7897-18 standard, equivalent to 3 years of natural soiling. The soiled AACP coating demonstrated almost intact $R_{\text{solar}}$ compared with the unsoiled one. Insets present visual appearances of the coating samples before and after soiling. b Measurements of coating temperature showing excellent anti-soiling performance of the AACP coating (August 23rd, 2021, Chengdu). c Viscous mud dripped and flowed off the AACP coating showing excellent self-cleaning function. d The almost unchanged optical and wetting properties of AACP coating after 1000 h of UV exposure (0.89 W m\(^{-2}\) at 340 nm and 60 °C), equivalent to 1 year of Florida natural sunshine.

We observed almost no significant changes in the optical and wetting properties of AACP coating after 1000 h of UV exposure (0.89 W m\(^{-2}\) at 340 nm and 60 °C), equivalent to 1 year of Florida natural sunshine (Fig. 3d). The almost unchanged optical and wetting properties of AACP coating after 1000 h of UV exposure (0.89 W m\(^{-2}\) at 340 nm and 60 °C), equivalent to 1 year of Florida natural sunshine (Fig. 3d). This indicates the excellent anti-aging performance of the AACP coating.
retain its cooling ability against this soiling test, due to the modest hydrophobicity (Supplementary Figs. 19 and 20). Meanwhile, the $\Delta T$ of our AACP coating increased just from ~3.8° to ~3.5°C, barely affecting the cooling performance. Additionally, we dripped viscous mud, as ultra-heavy soiling agent, onto the AACP coating to show its excellent ability to reduce the accretion of soiling substances (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig. 21, Supplementary Movie 1). The accelerated weathering test was performed by 1000 h of UV exposure at 60°C (Fig. 3d), equivalent to 1 year of Florida natural sunshine. Owing to the UV resistance of TiO$_2$ NPs and strong C–F bonds in PFOTS, the $R_{\text{olar}}$ of AACP coating only declined by 0.5% of the original one (from 0.925 to 0.920). The $\theta_{\text{app}}$ and $\theta_{\text{roll}}$ were almost unchanged. As a comparison, the $R_{\text{olar}}$ of white paint coating declined by 5.4% (from 0.856 to 0.810), the $\varepsilon_{\text{LWIR}}$ declined by 2.3% (from 0.944 to 0.922) (Supplementary Fig. 23).

**Real-world test**

Different from simulated aging, the real-world aging is more complex. The soiling and weathering are synergistic to promote the course of aging. To make up for the limitation of simulated aging, we performed over 6 months long outdoor exposure in Chengdu (humid and hot climate) and Xi’an (dry and hot climate) of China to test the durability of the coatings against real-world aging (Fig. 4a, b, and Supplementary Fig. 25). All coating slides were split into three regions: unexposed/exposed, washed/unwashed. The $\varepsilon_{\text{LWIR}}$ of all samples only had little decrease. For white paint coating, the $R_{\text{olar}}$ of the three regions were 0.832/0.769/0.725, showing a decrease of 7.6% and 12.9% respectively. Remarkably, the decrease of our AACP was only 0.4% and 1.7% (0.931/0.927/0.915). As for self-cleaning properties of AACP coatings, after aging, the $\theta_{\text{app}}$ stayed above 150° and $\theta_{\text{roll}}$ stayed below 5°.

**Mechanical stability and scalability**

We further reinforced the mechanical stability of the AACP coatings by utilizing “paint + adhesive” strategy (Supplementary Fig. 26). A layer of adhesive strongly bonds the AACP coating onto the substrate, rendering robustness against high-speed water jet, tape-peel, sand falling abrasion and scratch test (Supplementary Figs. 27–30, Supplementary Table 2, and Supplementary Movie 3). Our AACP formulation can be drop/dip cast, sprayed onto diverse substrates, like building’s exterior wall (Fig. 4c), glass, metal, wood and plastic. We note that excellent $R_{\text{olar}}$ values (<0.92) can be obtained in regardless of substrate materials (Supplementary Fig. 32 and Supplementary Table 3), which makes our AACP ideal for outdoor roofing material. Specifically, we fabricated half a dozen of AACP coated outdoor wall tiles to demonstrate the cooling performance in a scalable manner (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Figs. 33 and 34, Supplementary Movie 4 and 5). Furthermore, as a proof-of-concept, we measured the inner air temperature of the AACP coated tin box at noon to show its great potential as cool roofing materials (Fig. 4e).

**Discussion**

In summary, we demonstrate a strategy for realizing durable radiative cooling coating against harsh environmental aging in the form of paint, namely anti-aging cooling paint (AACP), through evaporation-driven assembled TiO$_2$ NPs. Our AACP is fundamentally different from existing radiative cooling materials equipped with hydrophobicity (Supplementary Table 4): the absence of polymer binders in the coatings not only circumvents the UV degrading issue completely, but also leads to much larger difference of the refractive index between TiO$_2$ and air compared with the porous polymeric SDRC materials, greatly enhancing the ability of light scattering, thus enabling us to obtain counter-intuitive high $R_{\text{olar}}$ with much thinner coating; the hierarchical morphology formed by evaporation-driven assembled fluorinated TiO$_2$ NPs owning a self-similar feature, ensuring the durable self-cleaning function against outdoor aging. The resulting optical and anti-aging performances accompanied by simple fabrication, excellent scalability and cost-effectiveness (Supplementary Fig. 36, Supplementary Table 5) cannot be achieved with existing approaches that involve reflective substrate, multi-layered architectures or complicated post-modification of existing radiative cooling materials, especially when scalability is a desired property. Further exploration of this strategy of implementing inorganic NPs can be extended to a broad range of materials (Supplementary Fig. 35), like calcium carbonate, aluminum oxide, silicon dioxide, barium sulfide and other colored pigments meeting the visual comfort, pushing the SDRC towards the real-world applications.

**Methods**

**Raw materials**

1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyltrichlorosilane (PFOTS), rutile type titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles (NPs) (Supplementary Fig. 3), manganese dioxide (MnO$_2$) particles, carbon black, ferric oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$), huminic acid (HAC), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium nitrate (NaNO$_3$), calcium sulfate dihydrate (CaSO$_4$·2H$_2$O), ethanol (95%), acetone (99%), formaldehyde (99%) and toluene (99%) were purchased from Aladdin, Co. All above chemicals were used as received. Delineo (DB) water was produced from Millipore Synergy UV-R water purification system. Borosilicate glass slides (170 µm thick) were purchased from Marienfeld Superior. Adhesives from diverse brands were used obtaining similar performances. Commercial white paint was purchased from Sherwin-Williams (extra white, product number A74W00051). Poly (vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP, Kynar Flex® 2801) was purchased from Arkema. Silver and aluminum plates, plastic slides (5 mm thick) made of poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA), basswood sheet (10 mm thick), soiling agents (including montmorillonite, bentonite, coal ash and sand), aluminum foils, outdoor wall tiles (40 × 80 cm), tin boxes (47 × 32 × 32 cm) and spray coating equipment were purchased from local market.

**Fabrication of anti-aging cooling paint (AACP) and coatings**

PFOTS and TiO$_2$ NPs were added into ethanol, which was stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature (about 25°C) to have an apparent uniform suspension. Weight ratio of PFOTS/TiO$_2$/ethanol as 0.1/1.3/10 (Supplementary Fig. 12) was routinely used to fabricate AACP suspension. The prepared AACP suspension could be drop/dip-cast or sprayed/brushed onto diverse surfaces to form uniform coatings. In the present work, drop-cast method was chosen to routinely fabricate coatings from AACP. By using scanning electron microscopy to observe the cross-section image of the coating layers, we obtained the coating thickness (Supplementary Fig. 4). The averaged thickness data and corresponding standard deviation were obtained from at least 5 measurements at different positions. To enhance mechanical durability of AACP coatings, a layer of adhesive was first brushed onto the substrate before the drop-cast of AACP, enhancing cohesive strength between the substrate and AACP. Commercial white paint was used as received to brush onto the substrate as control group. Porous coatings from PVDF-HFP were obtained via phase inversion-based process according to previous report. In short, PVDF-HFP and acetonitrile were first mixed to form a solution mixture, then water was added into it to induce liquid-solid phase inversion to have solid coatings. The weight ratio of PVDF-HFP/acetonitrile/water was 1/8/1. For AACP’s scalability demonstration, spray coater was employed for painting on outdoor wall tiles. To obtain uniform coating layer of about 100 µm, a series of equipment parameters were chosen for the purpose, including 0.1 bar as driving pressure, nozzle size of 2.5 mm, rendering 320 mL min$^{-1}$ as flow rate, about 40 cm as spray distance, and spray time of about 1 min to paint the whole tile (40 × 80 cm).
Packing density (\(\phi\)) calculation was based on mass conservation principle. The mass density of TiO\(_2\) NPs is about 4.26 g cm\(^{-3}\), measured by Ultrapyc 5000 pycnometer. As an example, for 5 × 5 cm substrate, the used solid content of TiO\(_2\) suspension is 0.48 g (weight ratio of TiO\(_2\)/ethanol is 1.3/10), the measured dry coating thickness is about 100 \(\mu\)m, then \(\phi\) is calculated as \(\frac{0.48 \text{ g}}{5 \times 5 \text{ cm} \times 100 \text{ \(\mu\)m}} = 0.48\). In practice, TiO\(_2\) concentration in ethanol is the determining factor in controlling the magnitude of \(\phi\), high concentration corresponding to high \(\phi\) and vice versa.

**Optical characterization of the coatings**

The solar reflectance (\(R_{\text{solar}}\)) of AACP and other coating samples was measured and averaged within wavelength (\(\lambda\)) range of 0.3–2.5 \(\mu\)m using PerkinElmer LAMBDA 950 with a polytetrafluoroethylene...
integrating sphere. The emittance of the coatings was measured within λ of 2.5–16 µm using PerkinElmer Spotlight 200I with a gold integrating sphere. The long-wave infrared (LWIR) emittance (ε_{LWIR}) at the transparent atmospheric window was calculated by averaging the emittance data over wavelength of 8–13 µm. For each coating sample, the averaged data and corresponding standard deviation were obtained from at least 3 measurements.

**Surface-wetting characterization**

The apparent static water contact angle (θ_{app}) and roll-off angle (θ_{roll}) measurements were performed on OCA 50 AF, Dataphysics. For measurement of θ_{app}, a 6 µL droplet was placed on the coating surface and sat still. The angle between the tangent to the liquid-vapor interface and the solid surface was recorded as θ_{app}. For measurement of θ_{roll}, a 10 µL droplet was placed on the coating surface and the substrate was tilted at a speed of 0.1° per second. θ_{roll} value was recorded at the moment of droplet-rolling. For each coating sample, the averaged data and corresponding standard deviation were obtained from at least 5 measurements at different positions.

To obtain the energy dissipation factor (EDF) of water drops while impacting on the coating surfaces, a 5 µL water droplet was released from a height of 10 mm to impact the coating surface, and the droplet rebounded after impact. The maximum height (h, unit of mm) of the droplet rebounding after the first impact was recorded using a high-speed camera (Photron, Fastcam SAS) at 30,000 fps (frames per second). Then the EDF is defined as EDF = (10 − h)/10. For each coating sample, the averaged data and corresponding standard deviation were obtained from at least five measurements at different positions.

**Morphology and other properties characterization**

Optical microscopy images were acquired on Nikon LV ND microscope. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis were conducted on Phenom Pro X. The morphological and elemental analysis of TiO₂ NPs were performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using FEI Talos F200S Super-X. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the coating samples was conducted on Q50 TGA (TA Instrument) with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under a flow of nitrogen gas (50 mL min⁻¹). The functional group analysis was conducted by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Thermo Fisher Nicolet iS10). The powder X-ray diffraction pattern of TiO₂ NPs was examined by dynamic light scattering (DLS), Laser particle size, Zetasizer Nano ZS-90. Ultra-small angle X-ray scattering (USAXS) experiments were performed on BL10U1 beamline at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility. An Eiger-4M detector was used to collect the two-dimensional (2D) scattering data. The x-ray wavelength was 1.24 Å (corresponding energy of 10 keV). The beam size was 400 × 450 µm. All samples were coated on circular mica substrate (almost transparent to x-ray) with 0.1 mm thickness and 30 mm diameter. The distance between the coating and detector was 27,600 mm. The data collection time was 10 s for all the measurements. Fit2D (v12.077) software was used to reduce 2D raw data to one-dimensional (1D) curves. Infrared camera (R300, Mission Technology Co.) was employed to obtain infrared images.

**Finite difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations**

FDTD Solutions by Lumerical 2020 R2.4 was employed to investigate the effect of TiO₂ NPs packing density and nanoparticle size effect on the coating’s solar reflectance. Mesh dimension is 20 × 20 × 20 nm. Due to limited computation power, close-packing model was applied, the film thickness was set as 2 µm and simulated spectrum is 0.25 to 2.5 µm of wavelength. Thickness of 10 and 20 µm were also studied and obtained similar variation trend. Diameter of 200 nm of TiO₂ NPs was used to generate the date for Fig. 1C. The results are presented in Supplementary Fig. 5.

**Field test for the coatings**

All field tests were performed in Chengdu, China. To mimic real operating condition of AACP, we measured the coatings’ temperature variation and cooling power without the implementation of wind and radiation shield. All samples were coated on glass substrates with black tape backing, which could absorb transmitted light, if any. J-type thermocouple was attached on the back of glass and connected to Onset HOBO 4-channel thermocouple data logger UX120-014M. Solar irradiance (I_{solar}) data were recorded using SP-S30 thermopile pyrometer from Apogee Instrument. The thermocouple monitoring ambient temperature was shield by a thermometer shelter to prevent direct solar heating. All samples and instruments were placed on a vacuum insulation panel backing with a styrofoam to limit non-radiative heat transfer. A weather station (DCSV model, YiGu Co.) was used to monitor ambient relative humidity and wind speed (Supplementary Fig. 15). The whole setup was displayed in Supplementary Fig. 17.

The cooling power of the AACP coating was determined by inputting heat power, letting the sample reach ambient temperature. Then, this heat power could be treated as equal to the cooling power of the sample without cooling. Detailed discussion was in the section of Estimation of radiative cooling power. We used both step-wise and close-tracking method to determine the cooling power respectively. By using step-wise method, we chose to increase heat power in a step-wise manner at noon time, letting the temperature of the sample incrementally reaching steady state within a 5 min time interval. Then we plotted the temperature difference between the sample and ambient as a function of heat power, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 13. The cooling power was then equal to the heat power when the temperature difference reached zero. The heat power was from a customized Kapton® flexible heater controlled by a Keithley 2400 SourceMeter. Proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control via LabVIEW was used to regulate the heat power in response to desired sample temperature value. A thermocouple was attached between the back of the coated glass and the heater to monitor the temperature variation giving feedback data for control accuracy. The sample temperature, heat power and corresponding standard deviation data were obtained over the last 3 min of each time interval after the initial transient peak in heat power. By using close-tracking method, the heater was controlled by the same PID control program to let the AACP surface temperature equal to the ambient temperature in a real-time close tracking manner from 11 AM to 4 PM. Then we can obtain the cooling power variation in a period of 5 h during daytime (Supplementary Fig. 14). All coatings for field tests were on 5 × 5 cm glass substrates.

**Accelerated soiling evaluation based on ASTM D7897-18 standard**

We simulated 3 years of natural soiling effect on the coatings according to ASTM D7897-18 standard, which was developed for evaluating soiling effect on the solar reflectance and thermal emittance of roofing materials. According this standard, we prepared the artificial soiling mixture composed of four soiling agents, i.e. soot, dust, particulate organic matter (POM) and salts, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 16. The formulas are as follows:

(1) Soot: 0.26 ± 0.01 g of carbon black was mixed with 1 L of distilled water to have a suspension;

(2) Dust: A mixture of 0.3 ± 0.02 g of Fe₂O₃, 1.0 ± 0.05 g of montmorillonite and 1.0 ± 0.05 g of bentonite was dispersed into 1 L of distilled water to obtain a suspension with a concentration of 2.3 ± 0.1 g/L;
Salts: A mixture of $0.3 \pm 0.03 \, g$ of NaCl, $0.3 \pm 0.03 \, g$ of NaNO$_3$, and $0.4 \pm 0.03 \, g$ of CaSO$_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ was dissolved into $1 \, L$ of distilled water to obtain a solution with a concentration of $1.0 \pm 0.1 \, g/L$.

Soiling procedure. The above four soiling agents were mixed to simulate natural soiling materials. The weight ratio of soot/dust/POM/salts was $5/47/28/20$. This soiling mixture was poured into a spraying tank, equipped with an air pressure gauge. Then we sprayed the soiling mixture continuously and evenly onto the coatings from a distance of 30 cm above. The sprayed wet soiling agents were controlled as $8 \pm 1 \, mg \, cm^{-2}$. The soiled coating was then dried under an infrared heat lamp. Weathering steps before and after soiling procedure were performed to simulate ultraviolet (UV) irradiation and natural aging effect of moisture and rain. This weathering step included 2 cycles of 8 h of UV with 0.89 W m$^{-2}$ at 340 nm and 60 °C, 4 h of simulated rain at 50 °C.

Additional soiling tests

Mud soiling. Mud was formulated by mixing coal ash and water. Coal ash weight ratios of 50% and 80% were produced to simulate low and high viscous mud. We dripped the mud (1 g cm$^{-2}$ for each time) on the coatings to investigate the soiling effect. The soiling process was repeated ten times. The cleaning effect was shown in Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Movie 1.

MnO$_2$ soiling. MnO$_2$ particles with diameters ranging from hundreds of nanometers to tens of microns were used to simulate dust contaminations. About 10 mg cm$^{-2}$ of MnO$_2$ particles was uniformly spread on the coatings. Water drops and wind-blowing were performed to study cleaning effect respectively. The soiling-cleaning cycle was repeated 10 times. The cleaning effect is presented in Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Movie 2.

Sand soiling. 10 mg cm$^{-2}$ of sand was uniformly spread on the coatings. Water condensation was implemented on the coatings to study the cleaning effect. The coating sample was placed on a cold stage of about 5 °C tilted at 45°. The ambient temperature was about 25 °C and the relative humidity was controlled as 99%. The cleaning effect was shown in Supplementary Fig. 22.

Accelerated UV irradiation weathering test

We placed the coatings into an UV weathering chamber (HT-UV3, Haotian Testing Equipment Co.,) 1000 h (~42 days) of UV irradiance with 0.89 W m$^{-2}$ at 340 nm and 60 °C were used for accelerated weathering experiments. This UV dosage is equivalent to 1 year of Florida sunshine exposure (annual UV dosage of about 275 MJ m$^{-2}$). Florida sunshine exposure is an international benchmark for durability of coatings to investigate the soiling effect. The soiling process was repeated ten times. The cleaning effect was shown in Supplementary Fig. 21, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Movie 1.

Sands with diameter of 50 to 300 μm were used to fall on a 24 × 60 mm coating surface from a height of 30 cm. For each time of sand falling, a total sand mass of 20 g was used. The abrasion test was repeated 100 times. The test process and coating properties after sand falling test were shown in Supplementary Fig. 29.

Scratch test. Scratch resistance test was performed according to ASTM standard D7027-20 (Test mode A). Specifically, a scratch was applied onto the AACP coating surface under a normal load of 2 N, 20 N and 50 N respectively, over a distance of 0.1 m (±0.0001 m) at a constant scratch rate of 0.1 m s$^{-1}$ (±0.0005 m s$^{-1}$). The coating properties after scratch test are shown in Supplementary Fig. 30 and Supplementary Table 4.

Photocatalysis effect of AACP coating. The coating sample was on glass substrates (22 × 60 mm) with a thickness of about 100 μm. The concentrations of the feeding stream of formaldehyde and toluene were both controlled as 30 ppm in nitrogen gas flow. The gas humidity was controlled at 50%, and the total flow rate was set as 25 mL/min. A UV lamp (300 W) was vertically placed above the reactor (0.357 L). The incident light wavelength was controlled at 365 nm and the light intensity 0.29 W cm$^{-2}$. The lamp was turned on after reaching the adsorption-desorption equilibrium. The concentrations of formaldehyde, toluene, water and CO$_2$ were continuously recorded by a multi-gas analyzer (DKG-42A, Duke Technology). The pollutant conversion efficiency was calculated as $η = (C_0 - C)/C_0\times 100\%$, where $C_0$ and $C$ representing the concentration of formaldehyde or toluene in the feeding stream and the real-time concentration in the outlet stream, respectively. The results are shown in Supplementary Fig. 31.

Outdoor long-term durability test at real-world condition

Two locations in China were selected to demonstrate AACP’s outdoor long-term durability performance. One was Chengdu (30°40’36’’N, 104°6’28’’E) in humid and hot climate, dating from April 25th to November 10th, 2021. The other one was Xi’an (34°17’57’’N, 108°58’21’’E) in dry and hot climate, from May 1st to November 30th, 2021. The coating samples (5 × 15 cm) were fixed on a display board (80 × 120 cm) tilted at 30° facing the sky. All coating slides were split into three regions: unexposed/exposed, washed/exposed, unwashed. We used aluminum foil to wrap one third of the slide as “unexposed” region. The “wash” was done by tap water rinsing. When the outdoor exposure was finalized, the samples were retrieved to test the optical and wetting properties. The results of aging of the samples in Xi’an were presented in Supplementary Fig. 25.

Definitions of solar reflectance and thermal emittance

The solar reflectance ($R_{solar}$) is defined as the ratio of the reflected solar power in the λ of 0.3–2.5 μm to the integral of solar intensity within the same wavelength range$^1$:

$$R_{solar} = \frac{\int_{0.3 \mu m}^{2.5 \mu m} I_{solar}(\lambda) R(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{0.3 \mu m}^{2.5 \mu m} I_{solar}(\lambda) d\lambda},$$

where $I_{solar}(\lambda)$ is the ASTM G173-03 AM 1.5 Global Tilt spectrum, $R(\lambda)$ is the measured spectral reflectance of the sample.

In a similar way, the thermal emittance ($\varepsilon_{LWIR}$) is defined as the ratio of the sample’s thermal radiation energy in the primary long-wave infrared (LWIR) atmospheric transparency window (λ of 8–13 μm) to...
the integral of spectral intensity emitted by a standard blackbody within the same wavelength range:

\[ \varepsilon_{LWbk} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{8\mu m}^{13\mu m} I_{bb}(T, \lambda) \varepsilon(T, \lambda) d\lambda, \]

where \( \varepsilon(T, \lambda) \) is the sample’s measured spectral emittance and \( I_{bb}(T, \lambda) \) is the blackbody radiation intensity at a temperature of \( T \) calculated by Planck’s law:

\[ I_{bb}(T, \lambda) = \frac{2\pi^3h}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{hc}{\lambda kT}} - 1}, \]

where \( h \) is the Planck constant, \( c \) is the speed of light in a vacuum, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant. In the present work, the temperature is set as 298 K.

Estimation of radiative cooling power

To evaluate the cooling performance of the radiative cooling materials, the subambient temperature drop is a parameter to feel the cooling ability intuitively. However, since the test conditions, like location, wind speed and humidity, etc. could vary case by case, one can hardly obtain the same temperature reduction even for the same material from different tests\(^{44} \). Therefore, it is more appropriate to use cooling power for objectively comparing the cooling ability of various materials.

To estimate the cooling power of a radiative cooling surface, we start from the steady state heat transfer balance analysis\(^{9} \). For a radiative cooling surface with temperature \( T \) and ambient temperature \( T_a \), the net cooling power \( P_{net}(T, T_a) \) is calculated as

\[ P_{net}(T, T_a) = P_{rad}(T) - P_{atm}(T_a) - (1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun} - P_{nrad}(T, T_a), \]

where \( P_{rad}(T) \) is emitted power from the surface, \( P_{atm}(T) \) is absorbed power from atmospheric radiation, \( P_{sun} \) is energy power from the sun and \( P_{nrad}(T, T_a) \) is the non-radiative heat transfer power stemming from the surrounding environmental conductive and/or convective heat exchange. For a radiative cooling surface with a unit area of 1 m\(^2 \), \( P_{rad}(T, T_a) \) can be further expressed as \( h(T - T_a) \) by bringing in a non-radiative heat transfer coefficient \( h \) (unit of W m\(^{-2} \) K\(^{-1} \)). Like we mentioned before, real environmental conditions could strongly influence the \( h \), making it vary from 2 to 20 W m\(^{-2} \) K\(^{-1} \). Hence, to rule out this uncontrollable factor, we can set \( \Delta T = T_a - T = 0 \), thus eliminating the \( P_{nrad}(T, T_a) \) term. In practice, we utilized a heater to compensate the heat loss of the cooling device to make its temperature equal to the ambient one. Then, the net cooling power \( P_{net}(T, T_a) \) becomes \( P_{net}(T, T_a) \) and can be further defined as \( P_{cool}(T_a) \), which equals to the heat power.

Now let us consider an ideal scenario, i.e. the radiative cooling material has 100% reflectance in the solar spectrum (\( \lambda \) of 0.3–2.5 \( \mu m \)) and 100% emittance in the primary atmospheric transparency window (\( \lambda \) of 8–13 \( \mu m \)). Then, \( (1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun} = 0 \).

\[ P_{rad}(T_a) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \theta \sin \theta \int_{0}^{\infty} I_{bb}(T_a, \lambda) \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta) d\lambda d\theta, \]

\[ P_{atm}(T_a) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \theta \sin \theta \int_{0}^{\infty} I_{bb}(T_a, \lambda) \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta) \varepsilon_{a}(\lambda, \theta) d\lambda d\theta, \]

and

\[ \varepsilon_{a}(\lambda, \theta) = 1 - t_{a}(\lambda, 0)^{1/cos \theta}, \]

where \( \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta) \) and \( \varepsilon_{a}(\lambda, \theta) \) are spectral and angular emissivity of the radiative cooling surface and ambient air, \( t_{a}(\lambda, 0) \) is the atmospheric transmittance at the zero zenith angle. In our estimation, the data of \( t_{a}(\lambda, 0) \) is from ATRAN modeling software. The cooling power mainly relies on the surface spectral emittance through the primary atmospheric transparency window with \( \lambda \) of 8 to 13 \( \mu m \). Then we obtain \( P_{cool}(T_a) \) as

\[ P_{cool}(T_a) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \theta \sin \theta \int_{8\mu m}^{13\mu m} I_{bb}(T_a, \lambda) \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta) t_{a}(\lambda, 0)^{1/cos \theta} d\lambda d\theta. \]

As a result, \( P_{cool} \) as a function of ambient temperature \( T_a \) can be plotted as shown in Fig. 1a of the main text. It is worth noting that we set \( \bar{R}_{solar} = 1 \) to eliminate the absorbed solar power in the above discussion. We can study the impact of imperfect \( \bar{R}_{solar} \) simply by reintroducing non-zero term of \((1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun}\) to have the net cooling energy \( P_{net}(T_a) \):

\[ P_{net}(T_a) = P_{cool}(T_a) - (1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun}. \]

Energy saving analysis on a medium size building level

We estimate the saved electricity on a three-storey commercial office building with a 1600 m\(^2 \) roof for AACP and reflective white paint for a 3-year period. The simulation procedure is similar to the previous discussion. We can study the impact of imperfect \( \bar{R}_{solar} \), simply by reintroducing non-zero term of \((1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun}\) to have the net cooling energy \( P_{net}(T_a) \):

\[ P_{net}(T_a) = P_{cool}(T_a) - (1 - \bar{R}_{solar})P_{sun}. \]

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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