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Abstract
Background: There is no incident study of diabetes among elderly Nigerians and it is unclear what factors may constitute risks for the condition in this society undergoing rapid social changes. Objective: This study explores the link between urban residence and socioeconomic status, and incident diabetes among community-dwelling elderly Nigerians. Methods: A cohort of 2,149 persons, aged 65 years and above, were recruited through a clustered multistage sampling in eight contiguous predominantly Yoruba-speaking states in south-western and north-central regions of Nigeria. Follow-up evaluation was conducted approximately 39 months after the baseline assessments. Face-to-face assessments obtained self-report of chronic medical conditions, including diabetes, using a standardized checklist as well as information on social factors, including residence. Incident diabetes was determined among persons who were free of the problem at baseline (n = 1,330). Results: At follow-up, 38 subjects had developed diabetes giving an incidence rate of 8.87% [95% confidence interval (CI): 6.45–12.19] per 1,000 person-years. A stepwise relationship was found between incident diabetes and urbanicity as well as increasing economic status. The highest incidence of diabetes (13.57%; 95% CI: 8.75–21.03 per 1,000 person-years) occurred among subjects residing in urban areas, representing an adjusted relative risk of 4.25 (95% CI: 1.81–9.94) compared to those residing in rural areas. Also, compared with persons in the lowest economic group, those in the highest group had about a 3-fold elevated risk of having incident diabetes. Conclusion: Urban residence and increasing socioeconomic status are risk factors for new onset diabetes among elderly Nigerians. These social factors may be proxies for lifestyles that increase the likelihood of developing the disorder.
Environmental exposures are the major factors driving the diabetes epidemic. The high prevalence of diabetes is due to population growth, aging, urbanization, increasing prevalence of obesity and physical inactivity [2]. For example, studies have shown the increased risk of diabetes among persons residing in urban areas and an inverse relationship between the disease and socioeconomic status (SES) [7–11]. It is unclear whether these associations are true of developing countries, such as Nigeria. Other than a rapidly growing elderly population, these countries are experiencing rapid urbanization as well as changes in social and lifestyle factors of potential relevance to the occurrence of diabetes. In this prospective cohort study, we explore the link between urban residence, SES and incident diabetes among older community dwellers.

**Research Design and Methods**

Study Population

The Ibadan Study of Ageing is a community study of the profile and determinants of healthy ageing. A full description of the baseline methodology conducted between August 2003 and November 2004 has been provided elsewhere [12, 13]. In brief, a clustered multistage random sampling of households was employed to select a representative sample of noninstitutionalized older persons (aged 65 years and older). The resulting cohort of 2,149 with full data was followed up in 2007. Of the 2,149, 1,408 (65.5%) were successfully followed up approximately 3 years later; 269 had died, while 453 could either not be traced, were travelling or had moved, 16 were too sick to be examined and 3 refused to be interviewed. Data from 52 subjects were later discovered to be incomplete; the majority of these were duplicated identification numbers which were excluded from analysis. Also excluded were the 26 subjects who reported having diabetes at baseline. The result of the follow-up exercise is shown in figure 1.

**Fig. 1.** Study flowchart.

| Incident cohort: 1,330 |
|-----------------------|
| Follow-up completed in 2007: 1,408 |
| Diabetes at baseline: 26 |
| Incomplete data: 52 |
| Deceased: 269 |
| Relocated: 216 |
| Travelling: 114 |
| Untraceable: 123 |
| Sick: 16 |
| Refused: 3 |
| Total not interviewed: 472 |

**Instruments**

Diabetes Status. Using a series of questions adapted from the US Health Interview Survey [14], we asked respondents about the presence of selected chronic conditions. In regard to diabetes, respondents were asked if a doctor or other health professional had ever told them they had diabetes. Previous studies have shown that self-report of diabetes showed very high agreement with medical records data (kappa = 0.82) [14] as well as yielding high agreement (kappa = 0.86) when compared with physical examination and glycosylated hemoglobin [15]. Nevertheless, we note that compared to medical and laboratory records, self-reports often produce underestimates of diabetes prevalence [16]. This may be the case in our resource-constrained setting where access to medical service is limited.

All aspects of the study were approved by the University of Ibadan/University College Hospital, Ibadan Joint Ethical Review Board.

**Statistical Analysis**

Follow-up assessments were conducted on average 39.3 months [95% confidence interval (CI): 39.1–39.5] after baseline interviews. Incidence rates were calculated by dividing the number of cases with the onset of diabetes in each group of interest by the number of person-years of observation in that group. The person-years at risk for an individual with diabetes were calculated as the midpoint between baseline and the follow-up time. Incidence rates were calculated within gender groups and four age categories (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, and 80 and older).

Baseline risk factors for incident diabetes were explored using logistic regression and the results are presented as relative risks (adjusted for age and sex) with 95% CIs. All the CIs reported are adjusted for design effects. All analyses were conducted with the STATA statistical package (StataCorp, Stata Statistical Software, version 7.0 for windows; Stata, College Station, Tex., 2001). Economic status and residence were classified as in our previous reports [12, 13]. Briefly, we took an inventory of 21 household and personal items, a standard and validated method of estimating the economic wealth of older persons in low income settings [17]. Respondents’ economic status is categorized by relating each respondent’s total possessions to the median number of possessions of the entire sample. Thus, economic status is rated low if its ratio to the median is 0.5 or less, low-average if the ratio is 0.5–1.0, high-average if it is 1.0–2.0, and high if it is over 2.0. Residence was classified as rural (less than 12,000 households), semiurban (12,000–20,000 households) and urban (greater than 20,000 households).

**Results**

A total of 1,330 subjects comprising 615 (46.2%) males and 715 (53.8%) females were studied. The mean age (SE) was 77.3 (0.3) years. A total of 450 (33.8%) resided in the rural areas while 569 and 311 (42.8 and 23.4%), respectively, resided in semiurban and urban areas. Relatively
more people (868 or 65.3%) were of the low socioeconomic strata, i.e. low and low average combined, while 462 or 34.7% belonged to the high (combined high average and high) socioeconomic strata. The demographic features of the total sample as well as the subsample with incident diabetes are shown in table 1.

Table 1 also shows that 38 subjects developed diabetes after a follow-up period of about 3 years, giving a standardized incidence rate (SIR; total) of 8.87 (95% CI: 6.45–12.19) per 1,000 person-years. SIR was higher among males than females [10.64 (95% CI: 6.94–16.32) vs. 7.35 (95% CI: 4.57–11.82), respectively], but the difference was not statistically significant. The highest SIR of diabetes (13.57; 95% CI: 8.75–21.03) occurred among subjects residing in the urban areas. SIR increased with increasing SES, with persons in the highest group having a rate of 17.70 (95% CI: 8.85–35.39).

Table 2 shows the results of the comparisons of the estimate of incident diabetes between groups based on residence and SES. There was a stepwise relationship between incident diabetes and residence with persons residing in urban centers having a 4-fold increased risk compared to those in rural areas. Although not statistically significant, results in the socioeconomic strata showed that risk of diabetes increased as the subject moved up the SES ladder.

After adjustment for age, sex and educational group, subjects residing in the urban centers still had a 4.1 risk of developing diabetes (p = 0.001) while the steady increment in the risk of incident diabetes persisted as SES improved (HR = 2.61 for highest SES, p = 0.057).

Discussion and Conclusions

Findings in our study showed that the SIR of self-reported diabetes in this Nigerian cohort of elderly persons followed up for just over 3 years was 8.87 (95% CI: 6.49–12.19) per 1,000 person-years. Urban residence was a risk factor for incident diabetes. The incidence of diabetes increased nonsignificantly with increasing economic status.

There are only very few incidence studies of diabetes in Africans and none was found among Nigerians. Motala et al. [18] reported a cumulative incidence of 9.5% after a 10-year follow-up of 517 South African Indians aged 15 years and above. Another study in Tanzania reported an incidence of 11.5% over 50 months of follow-up in a cohort of 807 subjects aged 40 years and above [19] (or an annualized rate of about 2.9%). Our incidence rate is just over the rate obtained in the South African sample but lower than was reported in the Tanzanian study. However, neither of these two studies focused specifically on the elderly. Although no data were found on the incidence of diabetes in Nigerians, there, however, appears to be a rise in prevalence [20, 21]. The only nationwide prevalence study in Nigeria so far was done [20] almost 2 decades ago and recorded 2.2%.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first prospective incidence study to report the possible impact of ur-
Physical inactivity, a well-known predisposing factor for developing diabetes as a result of obesity, is commonly associated with urban residence. A cross-sectional study [24] involving the elderly (55 years and above) in the same south-western region of Nigeria where our study was carried out reported generally low levels of blood glucose and lipids among their subjects. They attributed this to reliance on healthier local food such as grains, vegetables and minimal red meat. Unlike this study by Ezenwaka et al., we conducted a prospective study involving relatively older subjects. Many ageing persons in Nigeria practice farming. It would not be surprising therefore if more of the subjects became physically inactive after migration to semiurban and urban areas where land and the opportunity for farming are in short supply. The researchers in Tanzania also identified physical inactivity and ageing among others as notable predisposing factors for the development of diabetes among their subjects [19]. Obesity, especially in women, was a strong predisposing factor for the future occurrence of diabetes among South African Indians [18]. Although both the studies among the Tanzanians and South Africans were longitudinal by design similar to our own, they were not exclusive for ageing subjects. A more recent cross-sectional study carried out among adult urban dwellers in the southern region of Nigeria reported that a family history of diabetes, physical inactivity, heavy consumption of alcohol, older age as well as high social status and Hausa-Fulani or Ibibio origin were associated with a significantly higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes [21]. Similar to our study, subjects in the highest socioeconomic class of the aforementioned study showed a significantly higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes when compared with the others [21].

Unlike others, we did not find an inverse relationship between SES and incident type 2 diabetes [10, 25, 26]. It would appear from the literature, as previously cited, that the inverse relationship between occurrence of diabetes and SES has been more commonly demonstrated among younger people (and not older people as in our study), and especially women, in developed countries. On the other hand, one prospective study carried out among 887 elderly German subjects aged 55–74 years and followed up for about 7 years found no association between incident type 2 diabetes and socioeconomic groups [27]. It would appear that there is more evidence in support of an inverse relationship between SES and prevalence rather than incidence of diabetes in the developed world [28, 29]. It is plausible to suspect that this may have to do with differential survival following the onset of diabetes with persons in the higher economic groups more likely to survive, thus resulting in higher prevalence, rather than incidence, among them. It is nevertheless not difficult to see why the risk factors that we have identified will be germane to the development of new onset of diabetes. Persons residing in urban areas are more likely to live sedentary lifestyles and those in higher economic groups are more likely to abandon traditional cereal-based diets for processed high-calorie foods. On the other hand, it is also possible that people with higher SES and residing in urban areas are likely to be screened for diabetes because of better access to healthcare facilities.

Our study has strengths and weaknesses that are germane to the interpretation of our findings. One obvious limitation is that incident diabetes was ascertained by self-report. In a setting with highly limited access to medical care, it is likely that we have underreported the rate of incident diabetes in the group. On the other hand, there can be reasonable assurance about the validity of such self-reporting. Studies have reported high agreement in terms of sensitivity and specificity between self-reports of chronic conditions, especially diabetes, and medical criteria of diagnosis of these conditions [15, 16]. Furthermore Goldman et al. [16] showed marginal underestimates of those who self-reported diabetes and those who were diagnosed based on fulfilling medical criteria (14.5 vs. 15.5%, respectively). Another limitation is the extent to which our findings can be generalized to the larger Nigerian society. Given the widely differing rates of urbanization and cultural practices (including diets and lifestyles) in the country, with the south-west being among the most urbanized regions, it cannot be assumed that the rate we have reported is generalizable to the entire country. However, ours represents the largest such community-based study of older persons in the country, and indeed, to the best our knowledge, in sub-Saharan Africa and the results thus offer some insight into the occurrence of and risk factors for diabetes in this largely understudied population. Lastly, a small number of incident cases of diabetes were found and a limited number of risk factors were studied. It is also not impos-
sible that some of the cases lost to follow-up may have had diabetes or some of the deaths may have been due to diabetes complications but we expect this not to have significantly affected the results. Also it may be argued that probably those in rural areas or having low SES were likely to lack awareness of diabetes due to limited access to healthcare. However, further analysis showed that there was no significant difference among subjects residing in various centers in terms of access to healthcare.

In conclusion, we have reported a fairly high incidence rate of type 2 diabetes among a rather neglected group, the older population. We have shown that urban life and increasing SES are likely risk factors for new onset of diabetes. As the population of the older persons increases in Nigeria, there is an urgent need to encourage increased physical activity and consumption of a balanced low-energy and cereal-based diet characteristic of the traditional African society so that the rising incidence and prevalence of diabetes can be stemmed.
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