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ABSTRACT

Using the most recent (1998) version of the VLA FIRST survey radio catalog, we have searched for radio emission from 1704 quasars taken from the most recent (1993) version of the Hewitt & Burbidge quasar catalog. These quasars lie in the roughly 5000 deg$^2$ of sky already covered by the VLA FIRST survey. Our work has resulted in positive detection of radio emission from 389 quasars, of which 69 quasars have been detected for the first time at radio wavelengths. We find no evidence of a correlation between optical and radio luminosities for optically selected quasars. We find indications of a bimodal distribution of radio luminosity, even at a low flux limit of 1 mJy. We show that radio luminosity is a good discriminant between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasar populations, and that it may be inappropriate to make such a division on the basis of the radio-to-optical luminosity ratio. We discuss the dependence of the radio-loud fraction on optical luminosity and redshift.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It has been well known for some time that only about 10% of quasars are radio-loud, with radio luminosity comparable to optical luminosity. This is surprising, because over a very wide wavelength range from 100 $\mu$m through X-ray wavelengths, the properties of radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars are very similar. The presence or absence of a radio component may be a pointer to different physical processes occurring in the two types of quasars, but it is not yet clear what these processes are.

The relationship between quasar radio and optical emission was initially studied using radio-selected objects, which generally had high radio luminosities because the early radio surveys had relatively high limiting radio fluxes. Sandage (1965) showed that not all quasars are powerful radio emitters and that a substantial population of radio-quiet quasars exists, undetectable at high radio flux levels. Since then, in addition to radio surveys, radio follow-up observations of large surveys conducted in the optical have been used to study the radio properties of quasars (e.g., Sramek & Weedman 1980; Condon et al. 1981; Marshall 1987; Kellerman et al. 1989; Miller, Peacock, & Mead 1990, hereafter MPM90). Such targeted radio observations of quasars selected by other means typically go deeper than the large radio surveys, as a result of which the median radio luminosity of these samples is lower. Taken together, these two survey methods have detected quasars with a range of more than 6 orders of magnitude in radio luminosity, but the populations detected by the two methods come from different regions of the overall radio luminosity distribution.

Radio emission from quasars can be used to divide them into two classes: a radio-loud population where the ratio $R$ of radio-to-optical emission is greater than some limiting value $R_{\text{lum}}$ and a radio-quiet population with $R < R_{\text{lum}}$. Such a separation is commonly employed in the literature dealing with the radio properties of quasars, with $R_{\text{lum}} = 1$ or $R_{\text{lum}} = 10$ (e.g., Kellerman et al. 1989; Visnovsky et al. 1992; Stocke et al. 1992; Kellerman et al. 1994). Alternately, the separation between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars may be defined by their radio luminosity. Such a criterion has been advocated by Miller et al. (1990), who noted that for a sample of optically selected quasars, which spanned a wide range of optical luminosity but a narrow range of redshift, there was no correlation between their optical and radio luminosity. This implied that the distribution of $R$ was optical luminosity-dependent, thus making it unsuitable as the discriminant between radio-loud and radio-quiet populations. Miller et al. found that the distribution of radio luminosity was highly bimodal, and from an examination of the luminosities of radio detections and upper limits, they accepted a 5 GHz limiting radio luminosity of $10^{23}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ (we use $H_0 = 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $q_0 = 0.5$, quasar radio spectral index $\alpha = 0.5$, and optical spectral index $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 0.5$ throughout this paper) as the dividing line between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars.

The gap in the radio luminosity function of the two populations is pronounced, with very few objects occupying the region between quasars that are radio-loud and those that are radio-quiet. The detection technique used to find quasars from these two populations is also different. An overwhelming majority of radio-loud quasars have been first detected in the radio and then confirmed using optical spectroscopy, while radio-quiet quasars have been detected using optical, X-ray, or other techniques. An important question in such a situation is, are radio-quiet and radio-loud quasars indeed two physically different populations, or is the distinction merely an artifact caused by selection biases in the detection techniques? Previous efforts to answer this question have been plagued by the small size of the data sets and their incompleteness. Most radio observations of optically selected quasars have lacked the sensitivity to detect their radio emission. There have been a few high sensitivity radio surveys (e.g., Hooper et al. 1996; Kukula et al. 1998) but the size of their samples is quite small. The VLA Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-cm (FIRST) survey (Becker, White, & Helfand 1995; for more up-to-date information see the FIRST survey home page) allows us to address this question meaningfully by...
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1 Becker, R. H., Helfand, D. J., White, R. L., Gregg, M. D., & Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A. VLA FIRST Survey (1998 February 4 version) is available at http://sundog.stsci.edu.
combining a large sky coverage with a low flux limit of 1 mJy at 20 cm. This ongoing survey, when completed, will cover 10,000 deg$^2$ around the northern Galactic cap, the same area of the sky to be surveyed by the Sloane Digital Sky Survey (SDSS).\footnote{SDSS is available at http://www.sdss.org.} To date, data for approximately one-half of the eventual sky coverage have been released.

FIRST allows us to address the issue of quasar bimodal radio luminosity distribution in two different but complementary ways. First, optical identifications of FIRST sources using large optical surveys such as the Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (POSS) provide a large database of quasar candidates, whose true nature can then be verified spectroscopically. Several such efforts (e.g., Gregg et al. 1996; Becker et al. 1997) are currently underway. Secondly, the large area covered by the FIRST survey allows us to look for radio emission from a significant fraction of already known quasars and correlate their radio properties with other observables. In the present paper, we have used this approach to determine the radio properties of quasars from the catalog of Hewitt & Burbidge (1993, hereafter HB93).

Such an approach has also been taken, although with a different radio survey and quasar catalog, by Bischof & Becker (1997, hereafter BB97), who compared positions of radio sources from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) radio survey (Condon et al. 1998) with the positions of 4079 quasars from the Veron catalog (Veron-Cetty & Veron 1991). They detected radio emission from 799 quasars, of which 168 were new radio detections.

168 samples have also been taken, although with a different radio survey and quasar catalog, by Bischof & Becker (1997, hereafter BB97), who compared positions of radio sources from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) radio survey (Condon et al. 1998) with the positions of 4079 quasars from the Veron catalog (Veron-Cetty & Veron 1991). They detected radio emission from 799 quasars, of which 168 were new radio detections.

2. RADIO/OPTICAL COMPARISONS

We compare the positions of quasars in HB93 with the positions of radio sources in the FIRST radio source catalog\footnote{Available at http://sundog.stsci.edu.} and calculate the angular separation between each quasar and each FIRST source. About 4% of sources in the FIRST catalog have been tagged as possible sidelobes of bright sources. Of these, less than 10% are real sources and considerably less than 1% of the unflagged sources in the catalog are sidelobes (White et al. 1997). We have excluded these flagged sidelobe sources from our cross-correlation. We are then left with a total of 421,447 unflagged sources in the northern and southern strips, covering a total area of about 4760 deg$^2$. Of these, 368,853 sources lie in the northern strip while 52,594 sources are in the southern strip. On an average, there are 88.54 FIRST sources per square degree of sky.

Our quasar sample consists of 1704 quasars from HB93 that lie in the area covered by FIRST. We have excluded the BL Lac objects listed in the catalog from the present work. In HB93, the authors use a simple selection criterion for quasars. Any object that is starlike (with or without fuzz) and has redshift $z \geq 0.1$ is called a quasar and is included in the catalog. The positions listed in the catalog are for the optical object, most of which are taken from the identification paper or from the paper containing the redshift measurement. If a quasar is very close to a bright galaxy and the quasar coordinates are not available in the literature, the galaxy coordinates have been listed by HB93 for the quasar position.

In Figure 1 we show the distribution of the HB93 quasars on the sky in Galactic coordinates. The apparent clustering of known quasars is due to the limited solid angle covered by deep quasar surveys (most of them optical), which have uncovered the largest number of quasars. The approximate area for which FIRST survey data has been released is also marked in the figure. FIRST has currently covered an area of 4150 deg$^2$ around the northern Galactic cap in addition to two narrow strips totaling about 610 deg$^2$ near the southern Galactic cap. The southern strip has a peculiar shape and the box shown here is a very approximate representation. Detailed sky coverage maps are available at the FIRST home page.

In order to find coincidences between HB93 and FIRST sources, we begin with a search circle of radius 300$''$ centered on each HB93 quasar, and we look for FIRST radio sources within this circle. When there is more than one FIRST source in the search circle, we tentatively accept all such sources as matches. In Figure 2 we show a histogram of the angular separation between the HB93 quasars and the FIRST sources found in the search circles.

The angular autocorrelation function for FIRST has shown that 35% of sources have resolved structure on scales from 2$''$ to 30$''$ (Cress et al. 1996). Since our aim in this work is to look only for radio emission from the compact (flat-spectrum) component of quasars, we have considered only quasars containing at least one FIRST source within 10$''$ of them. This would make us miss out on some quasars that may have elaborate extended radio structure but a core emission lower than the FIRST flux limit. To see which of the radio sources found can be accepted as true identifications, we estimate the quasar-FIRST source chance coincidence rate for a random distribution of FIRST survey sources. For a random distribution, the chance coincidence rate is directly proportional to the area of sky covered by the search circle around each quasar, i.e., the square of the search radius. The straight line in Figure 2 is the expected number of chance coincidences between quasars and FIRST sources, in annuli of radius shown on the abscissa.

We therefore choose a search circle of radius 10$''$ and count all matches found within this radius as true matches.
All subsequent discussion about the radio properties of quasars only uses matches obtained with this search radius.

The positions of quasars listed in HB93 have astrometric errors of a few arcseconds, or more in some cases. In such cases, there will be missed matches when the positional error places a HB93 quasar outside the 10" search radius around the FIRST radio source with which it is actually associated. Some of these missed quasars can be recovered by using the accurate positions of starlike objects from the USNO-A2.0 Catalog, which is an all-sky astrometric and photometric catalog of over 500 million starlike objects. For this purpose we considered FIRST radio sources that had an HB93 quasar in an annulus of inner radius 10" and outer radius 20" around it. We cross-correlated the positions of such radio sources with starlike sources from the USNO-A2.0 catalog. The search radius used for this purpose was of 3", which is 3 times the rms uncertainty in the first-survey positions (the positions in USNO-A2.0 are known to better than this accuracy). When a USNO-A2.0 object is found in this circle, we compare its blue magnitude with the blue magnitude of the corresponding HB93 quasar. When the difference \( \delta m \) was less than 1 mag, we considered the USNO-A2.0 object and the HB93 quasar to be the same object.

We have a total of 158 FIRST sources with an HB93 quasar within the 10"–20" annulus around it. Out of these 158 sources, 16 had a USNO-A2.0 source within a 3" circle around it, and of these eight had blue magnitudes that passed our criterion. We accepted the corresponding eight HB93 quasars as valid matches with FIRST survey sources.
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4 See http://www.nofs.navy.mil/projects/pmm/a2.html.
and added these to our list of 381 radio detections mentioned above.

We find that positions of radio-selected quasars match the FIRST source positions better than the non-radioselected quasars. This is because the radio positions have been more accurately determined than optical positions. Accurate astrometry on optically selected quasars is often not available, and quasar positions are computed approximately using finding charts. The mean astrometric error in non-radio-selected quasar surveys is typically a few arcseconds. In high-resolution radio-selected surveys, the astrometric error is often less than an arcsecond. About 12% of quasars detected have more than one (usually two) FIRST sources within the search circle of 10″ radius. In such cases, we have used all of the FIRST sources associated with the quasar in our analysis. This is because generally the combined error in quasar and FIRST source positions is too large to allow us to reliably determine which of the two radio sources actually corresponds to the quasar core. There are approximately 1320 nondetections, amongst the HB93 quasars covered by FIRST, and we assign an upper limit of 1 mJy to their radio flux at 1.4 GHz.

Table 1 provides a summary of our radio detections. The radio and optical properties of the quasars with FIRST detections (which includes all the new detections in the radio) are summarized in Table 2. Detections in the radio reported after the quasar catalog was published (mostly in BB97) are mentioned in the last column. Those quasars that do not have the letter R in the selection technique code and do not have a recent radio detection mentioned in the last column may be considered to be the new detections. There are 69 such quasars in Table 2. The last eight entries are the additional list of matches obtained using a correlation with the USNO-A2.0 catalog. These eight matches were obtained using an indirect comparison technique and have not been used in the statistical correlations reported in subsequent sections.

2.1. 1343 + 266: Not a Gravitationally Lensed Quasar?
This is a close pair of quasars with identical redshift and similar spectra, separated by only about 10″. Detailed spectroscopic observations have shown qualitative (e.g., presence of certain lines) as well as quantitative (e.g., ratio of line strengths) differences between the two quasars, strengthening the claim that this is not a gravitationally lensed pair but a physically associated pair of quasars, possibly residing in a cluster of galaxies at z = 2.03 (Crampton et al. 1988; Crotts et al. 1994). The optical luminosities are comparable, with 1343 + 266B having a luminosity higher by about 5% than 1343 + 266A. We find radio emission from only one of the quasars: 1343 + 266B has a flux of 8.9 mJy. The separation between the gravitationally lensed quasar and the FIRST source is 2.18″, which is consistent with an error of ~1″ each in the quasar optical position and the FIRST radio position. There is no radio emission associated with 1343 + 266A at the FIRST flux limit of 1 mJy, because the FIRST source associated with 1343 + 266B is 7″ away, too far to be associated with 1343 + 266A, considering the extremely accurate astrometry done for this well-studied pair of quasars. There is no other FIRST source associated with 1343 + 266A. This implies that the radio luminosity of 1343 + 266B is at least 8.9 times higher than that of

| Parameter                                      | Value  |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|
| Number of HB93 quasars in FIRST area           | 1704   |
| Number of quasars with radio detections       | 389    |
| Number of radio-selected quasars              | 263    |
| Number of non-radio-selected quasars          | 126    |
| Number of nondetections                       | 1315   |
| Percentage of quasars with detected radio emission | ~22%  |
| Percentage of non-radio-selected quasars with detected radio emission | ~7%    |

**TABLE 1**

**SUMMARY OF RADIO DETECTIONS**

| Parameter                                      | Value  |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|
| Number of HB93 quasars in FIRST area           | 1704   |
| Number of quasars with radio detections       | 389    |
| Number of radio-selected quasars              | 263    |
| Number of non-radio-selected quasars          | 126    |
| Number of nondetections                       | 1315   |
| Percentage of quasars with detected radio emission | ~22%  |
| Percentage of non-radio-selected quasars with detected radio emission | ~7%    |

**TABLE 2**

**FIRST DETECTIONS OF QUASARS**

| IAU Designation | Selection Techniquea | mpg | 1.4 GHz Peak Radio Flux (mJy) | z     | Separation (arcsec) | Alternative Designation | Recent Radio Detection |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0002-018        | O                    | 18.7| 62.26                         | 1.71  | 1.2                |                         |                        |
| 0003-003        | R                    | 19.35| 3111.27                      | 1.03  | 0.6                | 3CR 2                  |                        |
| 0004-006        | O                    | 17.8| 1.55                          | 0.32  | 1.3                |                         |                        |
| 0009-018        | O                    | 18.4| 1.61                          | 1.07  | 2.2                | UM 212                  |                        |
| 0012-002        | O                    | 17  | 1.45                          | 1.55  | 5.0                | UM 221                  |                        |
| 0012-004        | O                    | 18.6| 12.67                         | 1.70  | 0.7                |                         |                        |
| 0013-005        | R                    | 20.8| 1050.26                      | 1.57  | 1.6                | PKS                     |                        |
| 0019-003        | O                    | 18.6| 1.72                          | 0.31  | 0.5                | A                       |                        |
| 0020-020        | O                    | 18.4| 8.31                          | 0.69  | 0.4                |                         |                        |
| 0021-010        | O                    | 18.2| 1.17                          | 0.76  | 0.7                |                         |                        |

* Selection technique: O: objective prism, R: radio, C: UV-excess, X: X-ray, U: selection technique not mentioned.
the mean radio luminosity is given by

\[ R_{\text{radio}} \]

for quasars. For a given optical luminosity it follows from equation (3) that the radio luminosity ranges from

\[ L_{\text{min}} \] to \[ L_{\text{max}} \] and redshift in the range \( z, z + dz \) is in general given by \( \Phi(L_{\text{op}}, L_{\text{r}}, z) dL_{\text{op}} dL_{\text{r}} dz(z) \), where the luminosity function \( \Phi(L_{\text{op}}, L_{\text{r}}, z) \) is the comoving number density of quasars for unit ranges of the respective luminosities, and \( dz(z) \) is a comoving volume element at \( z \). If the radio and optical luminosities are independently distributed, it is possible to separate the luminosity function as

\[ \Phi(L_{\text{op}}, L_{\text{r}}, z) dL_{\text{op}} dL_{\text{r}} dz(z) = \Phi_{\text{op}}(L_{\text{op}}, z) dL_{\text{op}} dz(z) \Phi_{\text{r}}(L_{\text{r}}) dL_{\text{r}}. \]

(1)

In this case there will be no correlation between the optical and radio luminosities of the quasars described by equation (1).

Another form of the bivariate luminosity function extensively considered in the literature has been

\[ \Phi(L_{\text{op}}, L_{\text{r}}, z) dL_{\text{op}} dL_{\text{r}} dz(z) = \Phi_{\text{op}}(L_{\text{op}}, z) dL_{\text{op}} dz(z) \Phi(q) dR, \]

(2)

where

\[ R = \frac{L_{\text{r}}}{L_{\text{op}}} = \frac{F_{\text{r}}}{F_{\text{op}}} (1 + z)^{\alpha_{\text{r}} - \alpha_{\text{op}}} \]

(3)

and \( F_{\text{op}} \) and \( F_{\text{r}} \) are the optical and radio flux densities at some fiducial points in the spectrum, which we will take to be at 2500 Å and 5 GHz, respectively. Since we take \( \alpha_{\text{op}} = \alpha_{\text{r}} = 0.5 \), the ratio of the luminosities is simply equal to the ratio of the fluxes. It is assumed here that the distribution of \( R \) is independent of the other variables. This form of the luminosity function was first introduced by Schmidt (1970) to describe the bivariate luminosity distribution of 3CR radio quasars. For a given optical luminosity \( L_{\text{op}} \), it follows from equation (3) that the radio luminosity ranges from \( R_{\text{min}} L_{\text{op}} \) to \( R_{\text{max}} L_{\text{op}} \) for \( R \) in the range \( R_{\text{min}} < R < R_{\text{max}} \) and the mean radio luminosity is given by

\[ \langle L_{\text{r}} \rangle = \langle R \rangle L_{\text{op}}, \quad \langle R \rangle = \int_{R_{\text{min}}}^{R_{\text{max}}} R \Phi(q) dR, \]

(4)

with the function \( \Phi(q) \) being normalized to unity. The luminosity function in equation (2) therefore implies that the mean radio luminosity increases with the optical luminosity.

In the following sections we will see whether the data from the FIRST survey are consistent with either of the two forms of luminosity function. In our discussion, we will use the following nomenclature to refer to different classes of quasars:

1. RSQs: radio-selected quasars,
2. OSQDs: non–radio (mostly optical) selected quasars detected by FIRST, and
3. OSQUs: optically selected quasars with radio upper limits.

The OSQDs and OSQUs include a few X-ray–selected quasars, but their numbers are too small to warrant separate treatment. All radio-selected quasars lying in the area covered by FIRST have radio emission higher than the FIRST limit of 1 mJy.

We have shown in Figure 3 the variation of absolute magnitude with redshift for all the quasars in our sample. In this figure, triangles represent RSQs while the OSQDs are represented by open circles and the OSQUs by dots. The optical luminosity of the sample ranges over about 4 orders of magnitude, and the redshift goes up to approximately 3.6. All three kinds of quasars are distributed over much of these wide ranges.

3.2. Distribution of Radio Luminosity

The radio-selected quasars (RSQs) in our sample have all been discovered in radio surveys with flux limits much higher than the 1 mJy limit of the FIRST survey. For a given redshift, these quasars will have much higher radio luminosity than most of the non–radio-selected component of our population. The radio luminosity distribution of the RSQs is consequently not representative of the distribution for the overall quasar population. We shall therefore omit the RSQs from the following considerations, except where they are needed in some specific context.

In Figure 4 we show a plot of the 5 GHz radio luminosity against redshift for non–radio-selected quasars. The OSQDs are shown as open circles, while the OSQUs are shown as dots forming the almost continuous lower envelope, which indicates the radio luminosity corresponding to a radio flux of 1 mJy over the redshift range. In Figure 5 is shown a plot of the 5 GHz radio luminosity against the absolute blue magnitude for the non–radio-selected quasars. In this figure too, radio detections are shown as open circles, and the radio upper limits as dots. There appears to be a correlation between the logarithm of the radio luminosity and absolute magnitude, in spite of the large scatter in radio luminosity for a given absolute magnitude. The linear correlation coefficient for the 135 radio detections alone is 0.22, which is significant at the greater than 99.9% confidence level. However, it is seen from Figures 3 and 4 that mean radio as well as optical luminosity increases with redshift, which is because of the existence of a limiting radio flux and apparent magnitude in the…

Fig. 3.—Absolute magnitude of quasars in our sample as a function of redshift. Non–radio-selected (mostly optical) quasars with FIRST detection are indicated by open circles; triangles indicate radio-selected quasars. The upper limits are represented by dots.
surveys in which quasars are discovered. A situation can arise in which an observed correlation between radio luminosity and absolute magnitude is mainly due to the dependence of each luminosity on the redshift $z$. It is important to see if the correlation remains significant when such an effect of the redshift on the observed correlation is taken into account. This can be done by evaluating a partial linear correlation coefficient as follows (Havilcek & Crain 1988; Kembhavi & Narlikar 1999):

Let $r_{Lr,M}$, $r_{Lr,z}$, and $r_{M,z}$ be the correlation coefficients between the pairs $\log L_r$ and $M$, $\log L_r$ and $z$, and $M$ and $z$, respectively. The partial linear correlation coefficient is then defined by

$$r_{Lr,M,z} = \frac{r_{Lr,M} - r_{Lr,z} r_{M,z}}{\sqrt{1 - r^2_{Lr,z}} \sqrt{1 - r^2_{M,z}}}.$$ (5)

The partial correlation coefficient has the same statistical distribution as the ordinary correlation coefficient and therefore the same tests of significance can be applied to it. A statistically significant value for it means that the luminosities are correlated at that level of significance even after accounting for their individual dependence on the redshift.

For our sample of 135 radio detections, the partial linear correlation coefficient is 0.09, which is insignificant only at the 72% confidence level. The observed correlation between the radio luminosity and absolute magnitude thus appears to be largely induced by the effect of the large range in redshift over which the sample is observed. The lack of correlation found here is consistent with the results of MPM90 and Hooper et al. (1995).

MPM90 have observed a sample of optically selected quasars, with redshift in the range $1.8 < z < 2.5$, with the VLA to a limiting sensitivity of $\sim 1$ mJy at 5 GHz. They detected nine quasars out of a sample of 44; these objects are shown in Figure 5 as filled squares. The radio upper limits of MPM90 occupy the same range as our upper limits shown in the figure and are not separately indicated. MPM90 have commented at length on the luminosity gap found between their radio detections and upper limits. They concluded that the gap was indicative of a bimodality in the distribution of radio luminosity, which divides quasars into a radio-loud population, with radio luminosity $> 10^{25}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$, and a radio-quiet population with luminosity $< 10^{24}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$. The radio-loud quasars were taken to be highly luminous representatives of the population of radio galaxies, and the radio-quiet population was taken to be like Seyfert galaxies. The conspicuous gap between radio detections and upper limits is absent in our data. It is seen in Figure 5 that the region $\sim 10^{22} \leq L_r (5 \text{ GHz}) \leq 10^{23}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ (which corresponds to the gap found by MPM90 for our units and constants) is occupied by many quasars. Only seven of these are in the redshift range of the MPM90 sample, which probably explains why they did not find any quasars in the gap: our sample is about 30 times larger, and even then we find only a small number in the range.

In Figure 6 we show the distribution of the log of radio luminosity for the RSQs, the OSQDs, and the OSQUs. The mean value for each is indicated by an arrow. The radio luminosity of the OSQDs has a mean value of $10^{22.24}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$), which is approximately 1.5 orders of magnitude fainter than the mean luminosity of the RSQs, because the latter were selected in high flux limit surveys. The RSQs have a median radio flux of approximately 400 mJy, while there are only three OSQDs with radio flux $\geq 100$ mJy. The radio luminosity upper limits of the OSQUs are well mixed with the fainter half of the luminosity distribution of the OSQDs. The rather sharp cutoff in the luminosity upper limit distribution of the OSQUs is due to the flattening in the 1 mJy luminosity envelope in Figure 4 at high redshifts. The upper limits peak at a luminosity that is approximately half a decade lower than the peak in the luminosity distribution of the OSQDs. The mean value for the OSQUs is $10^{31.55}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test on the distribution of radio luminosity of the OSQDs and OSQUs shows that they are drawn from different distributions with a significance of 99.9%. This is consistent with a bimodal distribution among the radio detections and upper limits. If the radio luminosity distribution is indeed bimodal, the present radio upper limits, when observed to a limiting flux significantly less than 1 mJy, would be found to have radio luminosities considerably less than the present set of detections.

3.3. Distribution of Radio-to-Optical Luminosity Ratio $R$

The ratio $R$ is defined using rest-frame monochromatic radio and optical luminosities at some fiducial rest-frame wavelengths. In the following we will choose these to be at 5
GHz and 2500 Å in the radio and optical case, respectively. With our choice of spectral indices $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{op} = 0.5$, $\log R$ is given in terms of observed flux densities at observed wavelengths at 5 GHz and 2500 Å by

$$
\log R = \log F_r(5 \text{ GHz}) - \log F_{op}(2500 \text{ Å}) .
$$

Figure 7 shows the variation of $R$ with redshift. There is considerable overlap for $R \leq 3$ between the radio detections and upper limits, but there are only detections at the highest values of $R$. There is only one upper limit with $R > 3$. At each redshift, there is a maximum to the $R$ upper limits, which increases slowly with redshift, so that an envelope is seen. For an upper limit to be found above the envelope, it would be necessary to have quasars at fainter optical magnitudes than are presently to be found in the HB catalog. In the case of the detections, the maximum value $R_{\text{max}}(z) = L_{r,\text{max}}(z)/L_{op,\text{min}}(z)$ decreases with redshift. This occurs because the increase in $L_{r,\text{max}}(z)$ with redshift is slower than the increase in $L_{op,\text{min}}(z)$ with redshift, as can be seen from Figures 3 and 4. Similarly, the minimum value of $R$ for the detections $R_{\text{min}}(z) = L_{r,\text{min}}(z)/L_{op,\text{max}}(z)$ increases with redshift, because $L_{op,\text{max}}(z)$ increases more slowly than $L_{r,\text{min}}(z)$.

Figure 8 shows a histogram of $\log R$ for radio detections (solid line) and radio upper limits (dashed line). For comparison, the distribution of $R$ for the radio-selected quasars is shown as a dotted line. An important question here is whether the distribution of $R$ is bimodal. The number of radio detections is not large enough to provide information about the distribution of $R$ over its wide range. However, as mentioned above, there is considerable overlap in the distributions of the detections and upper limits in the region $0 \leq R \leq 3$. It is therefore possible, in principle, to use statistical techniques from the field of survival analysis (see, e.g., Feigelson & Nelson 1985) to determine the underlying distribution for a mixed sample of detections and upper limits. If this joint distribution and the overall distribution of detections have distinct maxima, then one could say that the distribution of $R$ among all quasars is bimodal.

The appropriate technique to derive the joint distribution would be the Kaplan-Meier estimator included as part of the ASURV package (LaValley, Isobe, & Feigelson 1992). One of the requirements of this estimator is that the probability that an object is censored (i.e., that it has an upper limit) is independent of the value of the censored variable. If such random censoring applies to our sample, then the shape of the observed distribution of $R$ for the detections and upper limits should be the same in the region of overlap $0 \leq R \leq 3$. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test shows that the two distributions may be considered to be drawn from the same population at only about the 20% level of significance. Because of this low level of significance it is not possible to use either the Kaplan-Meier or another similar estimator to obtain a joint distribution. A radio survey with a lower
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**Fig. 7.** — $R = L_r/L_{op}$ as a function of redshift. Symbols are as in Fig. 4.
limiting flux than FIRST would be needed to convert the upper limits to detections and to constrain the distribution of $R$ at its lower end. Additional quasars with higher $R$ values can be found by increasing the area covered by the FIRST survey.

We have mentioned in § 3.1 that the separation of the bivariate luminosity function as in equation (2) is most useful if $R$ is independent of the optical luminosity. Moreover, such a separation implies that the mean radio luminosity must increase with the optical luminosity. Such a correlation between the luminosities is not seen in Figure 5 and, as discussed § 3.2, $L_r$ appears to be distributed independently of $L_{op}$ (i.e., absolute magnitude). This requires that the distribution of $R$ depends on $L_{op}$, and separation as in equation (2) is not possible. Separation of the bivariate function as in equation (1) therefore appears to be the preferred alternative.

4. RADIO-LOUD FRACTION

As mentioned in the introduction, the boundary between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars can be defined either (1) in terms of a characteristic value of the radio-to-optical luminosity ratio $R$, say, $R = 1$, or (2) in terms of a characteristic radio luminosity. These two criteria are related to the two ways in which the bivariate luminosity function can be split up between the optical and radio parts, as discussed in § 3.1. We have found no correlation between the radio and optical luminosities, which implies that a separation involving $R$, as in equation (2), is not consistent with the data. The distribution of $R$, therefore, must be luminosity-dependent, and using a single value of $R$ for separation between radio-loud and -quiet populations is not appropriate. In this situation we prefer to adopt the criterion for radio loudness that uses radio luminosity as the discriminant, as in MPM90.

The dividing radio luminosity chosen by MPM90 (in our units) is $10^{33.1}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$. This choice was made on the basis of a clear separation between radio detections and upper limits observed by them, which we do not find, as explained in § 3.2. We have shown the MPM90 division with a dashed line in Figure 5. It is seen that there is a region below this line with a number of FIRST survey radio detections, but no upper limits. It is therefore possible for us to reduce the dividing luminosity to a level of $10^{32.5}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$, which is indicated by a solid line in the figure. We define as radio-loud all quasars with $L_r (5$ GHz$) > 10^{32.5}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ and as radio-quiet all quasars below this limit, even though they may have detectable radio emission. The radio-loud objects tend to have bright absolute magnitudes, while a dominating fraction of the radio-quiet detections have $M_B > -25$. The faintest of the latter objects could perhaps be active galaxies like Seyferts, which in the local neighborhood are known to have lower radio luminosities than radio galaxies. The radio-loud quasars can be considered to be luminous counterparts of the radio galaxies, as in the unification model (Barthel 1989). If the radio-loud and-quiet classes indeed represent such a physical division, then the host galaxies of the former would perhaps be elliptical, as is the case with radio galaxies, while the hosts of the quiet objects would be disk galaxies like the Seyferts. Deep optical and near-IR imaging of different types of quasars would help in settling this issue.

We plotted in Figure 9 the variation of the radio-loud fraction of all quasars as a function of absolute magnitude. The fraction here is taken to be the ratio of the number of radio-loud quasars to the number of all non-radio-selected quasars in one absolute-magnitude–wide bin. Each point in Figure 9 is plotted at the center of the absolute-magnitude bin that it represents. The error bar shown is the $\pm 1 \sigma$ deviation about the detected fraction for a random binomial distribution in the radio-loud fraction. We find that the radio-loud fraction are independent of the absolute magnitude for $M_B \gtrsim -25$, while they increase at brighter absolute magnitudes. The reason for this is the increase in radio luminosity toward brighter absolute magnitudes seen in Figure 5, which arises because of the existence of optical and radio flux limits and the consequent redshift dependence of the observed luminosities. An explicit dependence of the radio-loud fraction on absolute magnitude would imply a real correlation between the radio and optical luminosities, which, as we argued in § 3.2, is not consistent with the data.

In Figure 10 we show the radio-loud fraction as a function of redshift. Each point in the figure represents quasars in a bin of width 0.1 in redshift. The error bars are computed as in Figure 9. In contrast with Hooper et al. (1996), we do not find a clear peak in the radio-loud fraction between a redshift of 0.5 and 1. We find that the radio-loud fraction remain nearly constant up to a redshift of $z \approx 2.2$. There is an indication of increase in the radio-loud fraction.
at higher redshift, but the number of objects here is rather small, as is apparent from the size of the error bars. A very sharp reduction in the radio-loud fraction for $z < 0.5$ was found by BB97. Such a reduction is seen only when radio-selected and non-radio-selected quasars are considered together, and it is also apparent in our data if the two kinds of objects are mixed. We have chosen not to do that, to keep our results free from biases introduced by the radio-selected objects, as explained in § 3.2.

The large $1\sigma$ error bars on the plots presented in this section are caused by the relatively few non-radio-selected quasar detections. Due to these error bars it is not possible to distinguish unambiguously between alternatives regarding the dependence of radio-loud fraction on other observable properties. More data would be required to confirm or refute our preliminary conclusions regarding the evolution of radio-loud fraction with absolute magnitude and redshift.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The main results of our work are as follows:

1. We have reported radio detections of 69 previously undetected quasars.
2. We have found additional evidence that the close pair of quasars 1343 + 266A and 1343 + 266B are not gravitationally lensed.
3. We have found no correlation between radio luminosity and optical luminosity for the non-radio-selected quasars. Our data are consistent with a bimodal distribution in radio luminosity. The distribution of the ratio of radio to optical luminosity is also bimodal, but this may have little relevance because of the lack of a clear correlation between radio and optical luminosities.
4. The radio-loud fraction do not seem to be strongly dependent on absolute magnitude, which is consistent with the lack of correlation between radio and optical luminosities.
5. The radio-loud fraction do not seem to vary significantly with redshift.

The highly heterogeneous nature of the sample used here makes it inappropriate for studies in parameter ranges where it is seriously incomplete, such as high-redshift radio quasars. Large surveys like the Digitized Palomar Observatory Sky Survey and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey will remedy this situation by providing a large number of quasar candidates for spectroscopic follow-up.

It is possible that the radio emission from radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars may be powered by entirely different physical mechanisms. In recent years, there have been suggestions that radio emission in radio-quiet quasars originates in a nuclear starburst rather than accretion on a central engine (Terlevich et al. 1992). A logical step in testing this idea is to look for differences in the radio and optical morphology of the quasar environment for the two quasar populations (e.g., Kellerman et al. 1994). We will report work on the radio morphology of quasar environments obtained from FIRST in a future paper.
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REFERENCES

Barthel, P. D. 1989, ApJ, 336, 606
Becker, R. H., Gregg, M. D., Hook, I. M., McMahon, R. G., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1997 ApJ, 479, L93
Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1995, ApJ, 450, 559
Bischof, O. B., & Becker, R. H. 1997, AJ, 113, 2000 (BB97)
Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., Yin, Q. F., Perley, R. A., Taylor, G. B. & Broderick, J. J. 1998, AJ, 115, 1693
Condon, J. J., Odell, S. L., Puschell, J. J., & Stein, W. A. 1981, ApJ, 246, 624
Crampton, D., et al. 1988, ApJ, 330, 184
Cress, C. M., Helfand, D. J., Becker, R. H., Gregg, M. D., & White, R. L. 1996, ApJ, 473, 7
Crotts, A. P. S., Bechtold, J., Fang, Y., & Duncan, R. C. 1994, ApJ, 437, L79
Feigelson, E. D., & Nelson, P. I. 1985, ApJ, 293, 192
Gregg, M. D., Becker, R. H., White, R. L., Helfand, D. J., McMahon, R. G., & Hook, I. M. 1996, AJ, 112, 407
Havlick, L. L., & Crain, R. D. 1988, Practical Statistics for the Physical Sciences (Washington: American Chem. Soc.)
Hewitt, A., & Burbidge, G. 1993, ApJS, 87, 451 (HB93)
Hooper, E. J., Impey, C. D., Foltz, C. B., & Hewett, P. C. 1995, ApJ, 445, 621
Hooper, E. J., Impey, C. D., Foltz, C. B., & Hewett, P. C. 1995, ApJ, 445, 621
Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R. A., Schmidt, M., Green, R. F., & Shaffer, D. B. 1994, AJ, 108, 1163
Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R., Schmidt, M., Shaffer, D. B., & Green, R. 1989, AJ, 98, 1195
Kembhavi, A., & Narlikar, J. 1999, Quasars and Active Galactic Nuclei: An Introduction (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Kukula, M. J., Dunlop, J. S., Hughes, D. H., & Rawlings, S. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 366
LaValley, M., Isobe, T., & Feigelson, E. 1992, in ASP Conference Series 25, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems I, ed. D. Worrall, C. Bimesderfer, & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 245
Marshall, H. L. 1987, ApJ, 316, 84
Miller, L., Peacock, J. A., & Mead, A. R. G. 1990, MNRAS, 244, 207 (MPM90)
Sandage A. 1965, ApJ, 141, 1560
Schmidt, M. 1970, ApJ, 170, 162
Sramek, R. A., & Weedman, D. W. 1980, ApJ, 238, 435
Stocke, J. T., Morris, S. L., Weymann, R. J., & Foltz, C. B. 1992, ApJ, 396, 487
Terlevich, R., Tenorio-Tagle, G., Franco, J., & Melnick, J. 1992, MNRAS, 255, 713
Veron-Cetty, M.P., & Veron, P. 1991, A Catalogue of Quasars and Active Galactic Nuclei (Garching: ESO)
Visnovsky, K. L., et al. 1992, ApJ, 391, 560
White, R. L., Becker, R. H., Helfand, D. J., & Gregg, M. D. 1997, ApJ, 475, 479