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Figure S1: Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for body weight (kg) for 14 randomized controlled aerobic exercise (AET) vs. resistance (RT) groups.

For each AET study, the shaded square represents the point estimate of the intervention effect. The horizontal line joins the lower and upper limits of the 95% CI of these effects. The area of the shaded square reflects the relative weight of the study in the respective meta-analysis. The diamond at the bottom of the graph represents the pooled MD with the 95% CI for the 14 study groups.

Figure S2: Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for waist circumference (cm) for 10 randomized controlled aerobic exercise (AET) vs. resistance (RT) groups.

Figure S3. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for fat mass (kg) for 8 randomized controlled aerobic training vs. resistance training trials.
Figure S4: Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for lean body mass (kg) for 7 randomized controlled aerobic exercise (AET) vs. resistance (RT) groups.

| Study or Subgroup | RT Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|------|----|-------|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bangs et al. 2002 | 78.2    | 5.99 | 8     | 82.32| 13.31| 11    | 0.4%   | -4.22 [-12.93, 4.49]            |                                  |
| Donges et al. 2010| 47.2    | 13.4 | 35    | 47.5 | 13.3 | 41    | 0.8%   | -0.30 [0.63, 6.72]             |                                  |
| Pottelger et al. 2012 | 87.6 | 6.6 | 12 | 89.5 | 6.4 | 9 | 1.0% | 0.70 [4.51, 6.31] |                                  |
| Willis et al. 2012 | 1.09 | 1.54 | 44 | -0.1 | 1.22 | 38 | 95.4% | 1.19 [0.89, 1.79] |                                  |
| Fisher et al. 2011 | 42.4   | 4   | 54    | 40  | 4   | 43    | 11.9%  | 2.00 [0.40, 3.60]          |                                  |
| Ballor et al. 1998 | 51.0 | 12.2 | 9 | 48.8 | 9.0 | 9 | 0.3% | 3.10 [7.59, 13.70] |                                  |
| Stanhope et al. 2010 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 11 | 9.42 | 15.2 | 11 | 0.2% | 5.00 [0.74, 9.74] |                                  |

Total (95% CI) 173 102 100.0% 1.26 [0.71, 1.81]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.19, df = 6 (P = 0.99), I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.47 (P < 0.0001)

Figure S5. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for lean body mass (kg) for 3 randomized controlled combined training vs. aerobic training trials.

| Study or Subgroup | CT Mean | SD | Total | AET Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|----------|----|-------|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Stensvold et al. 1990 | 57.5   | 1.21 | 10   | 64.2 | 15.2 | 11   | 0.3%   | -6.70 [-18.40, 5.00] |                                  |
| Wallace et al. 1998 | 68.08 | 5.85 | 8    | 67.21 | 7.35 | 8    | 0.6%   | 1.68 [4.63, 8.19] |                                  |
| Willis et al. 2012 | 0.01 | 1.38 | 37 | -0.1 | 1.22 | 38 | 93.9% | 0.91 [0.32, 1.50] |                                  |

Total (95% CI) 55 57 100.0% 0.90 [0.31, 1.48]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.68, df = 2 (P = 0.43), I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.00 (P = 0.003)

Figure S6. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for body weight (kg) for 3 randomized controlled combined training vs. resistance training trials.

| Study or Subgroup | CT Mean | SD | Total | RT Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Stensvold et al. 2010 | 92.1    | 14.8 | 10 | 102.5 | 17.2 | 11 | 0.4% | -10.40 [-24.09, 3.29] |                                  |
| Willis et al. 2012 | -1.63 | 3.17 | 37 | 0.83 | 2.32 | 44 | 40.6% | -2.46 [-3.69, -1.23] |                                  |
| Davidson et al. 2009 | -2.31 | 1.77 | 35 | -0.64 | 2.22 | 36 | 59.0% | -1.67 [-2.60, -0.74] |                                  |

Total (95% CI) 82 91 100.0% -2.03 [-2.94, -1.12]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.14; Chi² = 2.46, df = 2 (P = 0.29), I² = 19%
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.35 (P < 0.0001)

Figure S7. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for waist circumference (cm) for 3 randomized controlled combined training vs. resistance training trials.

| Study or Subgroup | CT Mean | SD | Total | RT Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Davidson et al. 2009 | -4.61 | 2.78 | 35 | -3.18 | 2.94 | 36 | 37.2% | -1.43 [-2.76, -0.10] |                                  |
| Stensvold et al. 2010 | 105.5 | 8.2 | 10 | 110.1 | 11 | 11 | 1.0% | -4.60 [-12.85, 3.65] |                                  |
| Willis et al. 2012 | -1.66 | 2.65 | 37 | -0.06 | 1.96 | 44 | 61.8% | -1.60 [-2.63, -0.57] |                                  |

Total (95% CI) 82 91 100.0% -1.57 [-2.38, -0.75]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.56, df = 2 (P = 0.75), I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.78 (P = 0.0002)
Figure S8. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for fat mass (kg) for 3 randomized controlled combined training vs. resistance training trials.

| Study or Subgroup | CT Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|------|----|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Davidson et al. 2009 | -3.38 | 2.01 | 35 | -1.56 | 2.16 | 36 | 55.6% | -1.82 [-2.79, -0.85] |
| Stensvold et al. 2010 | 32 | 5.5 | 10 | 30.5 | 5.7 | 11 | 2.7% | 1.50 [-1.29, 6.29] |
| Willis et al. 2012 | -2.44 | 2.97 | 37 | -0.26 | 2.16 | 44 | 41.7% | -2.18 [-3.33, -1.03] |
| Total (95% CI) | 82 | 91 | 100.0% | -1.88 [-2.67, -1.08] |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chi² = 2.19, df = 2 (P = 0.34); I² = 9%
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.63 (P < 0.00001)

Figure S9. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for maximal oxygen uptake (ml/kg/min) for 7 randomized controlled aerobic exercise (AET) vs. resistance (RT) groups.

| Study or Subgroup | AET Mean | SD | Total | RT Mean | SD | Total | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|----------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fennell et al. 2008 | 34.4 | 7.14 | 20 | 33.23 | 8.3 | 20 | 4.7% | 1.17 [0.30, 6.34] |
| Ettinger et al. 1986 | 26.0 | 3.3 | 9 | 24.0 | 4.2 | 9 | 68% | 2.00 [1.49, 5.40] |
| Villis et al. 2012 | 3.34 | 3.54 | 30 | 1.26 | 2.18 | 44 | 46.8% | 2.17 [0.84, 3.50] |
| Davidson et al. 2009 | 3.9 | 3 | 30 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 30 | 32.4% | 2.30 [1.20, 4.40] |
| Ahmadzad et al. 2007 | 35.2 | 4.8 | 8 | 28.1 | 7.8 | 8 | 26% | 4.10 [2.28, 10.48] |
| Flattenger et al. 2012 | 3.805 | 4.19 | 9 | 3.45 | 3.6 | 13 | 57% | 4.35 [0.54, 6.17] |
| Glensvold et al. 2010 | 38.0 | 11.3 | 11 | 33.1 | 4.3 | 11 | 10% | 5.50 [1.84, 12.64] |
| Total (95% CI) | 125 | 135 | 100.0% | 2.53 [1.62, 3.44] |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.10; Chi² = 3.06, df = 6 (P = 0.68); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.46 (P < 0.00001)

Figure S10. Forest plot showing pooled MD with 95% CI for maximal oxygen uptake (ml/min/kg) for 3 randomized controlled combined training vs. resistance training trials.

| Study or Subgroup | CT Mean | SD | Total | RT Mean | SD | Total | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI | Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Davidson et al. 2009 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 30 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 30 | 26.1% | 2.60 [0.63, 4.57] |
| Stensvold et al. 2010 | 31.5 | 10.2 | 10 | 33.1 | 4.3 | 11 | 2.2% | -1.60 [-8.41, 5.21] |
| Willis et al. 2012 | 4.25 | 2.97 | 37 | 1.26 | 2.38 | 44 | 71.7% | 2.99 [1.80, 4.18] |
| Total (95% CI) | 77 | 85 | 100.0% | 2.79 [1.78, 3.79] |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.74, df = 2 (P = 0.42); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.43 (P < 0.00001)
Figure S11. Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for body weight (kg) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.

![Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for body weight (kg) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.](image)

AET, aerobic exercise; CrI, credible intervals; CT, combined training; RT, resistance training;

Figure S12. Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for waist circumference (cm) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.

![Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for waist circumference (cm) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.](image)

AET, aerobic exercise; CrI, credible intervals; CT, combined training; RT, resistance training;
Figure S13. Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for fat mass (kg) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.

AET, aerobic exercise; CrI, credible intervals; CT, combined training; RT; resistance training;

Figure S14. Scatterplot showing the median with 95% CrI for maximal oxygen uptake (ml/kg/min) for the indirect comparison of different training modalities.

AET, aerobic exercise; CrI, credible intervals; CT, combined training; RT; resistance training;
Figure S15. Funnel plot showing study precision against the MD effect estimate with 95% CIs for body weight (aerobic vs. resistance training). SE = Standard error

Figure S16. Funnel plot showing study precision against the MD effect estimate with 95% CIs for waist circumference (aerobic vs. resistance training). SE = Standard error
Table S1: Pooled estimates (change scores) of effect size (95% confidence intervals) expressed as weighted mean difference for the effects of AET vs. RT, CT vs. AET and CT vs. RT on anthropometric outcomes, blood lipids and cardiorespiratory fitness.

| Outcomes                  | No. of Studies | Sample Size | WMD  | 95% CI            | p-values | Inconsistency I² |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|------|-------------------|----------|------------------|
| **AET vs. RT**            |               |             |      |                   |          |                  |
| BW (kg)                   | 9             | 380         | -1.64| [-2.27, -1.01]    | <0.00001 | 30%              |
| WC (cm)                   | 8             | 362         | -0.92| [-1.80, -0.05]    | 0.04     | 40%              |
| WHR                       | 6             | 302         | 0.00 | [-0.00, 0.00]     | 0.96     | 0%               |
| FM (kg)                   | 6             | 296         | -0.95| [-1.49, -0.42]    | 0.0005   | 0%               |
| LBM (kg)                  | 4             | 198         | -1.05| [-1.56, -0.53]    | <0.0001  | 16%              |
| TC (mg/dl)                | 3             | 123         | -8.02| [-21.82, 5.78]    | 0.25     | 65%              |
| HDL-C (mg/dl)             | 4             | 184         | 1.36 | [-0.26, 2.98]     | 0.10     | 0%               |
| TG (mg/dl)                | 4             | 184         | -15.07| [-32.23, 2.10]    | 0.09     | 0%               |
| VO₂max (ml/kg/min)        | 5             | 522         | 2.23 | [1.35, 3.11]      | <0.00001 | 0%               |
| **CT vs. AET**            |               |             |      |                   |          |                  |
| BW (kg)                   | 4             | 184         | 0.53 | [-0.16, 1.23]     | 0.13     | 0%               |
| WC (cm)                   | 3             | 168         | 0.13 | [-0.64, 0.89]     | 0.75     | 9%               |
| FM (kg)                   | 4             | 184         | -0.12| [-1.42, 1.18]     | 0.86     | 66%              |
| LBM (kg)                  | 3             | 122         | 0.88 | [0.35, 1.41]      | 0.001    | 0%               |
| HDL-C (mg/dl)             | 3             | 92          | 1.13 | [-1.15, 3.39]     | 0.33     | 0%               |
| TG (mg/dl)                | 3             | 92          | -6.51| [-27.43, 14.41]   | 0.54     | 0%               |
| VO₂max (ml/kg/min)        | 4             | 172         | 0.28 | [-0.76, 1.32]     | 0.60     | 0%               |
| **CT vs. RT**             |               |             |      |                   |          |                  |
| BW (kg)                   | 3             | 173         | -1.24| [-2.83, 0.35]     | 0.13     | 77%              |
| WC (cm)                   | 3             | 173         | -0.77| [-2.27, 0.72]     | 0.31     | 81%              |
| FM (kg)                   | 3             | 173         | -0.91| [-2.98, 1.15]     | 0.38     | 91%              |
| VO₂max (ml/kg/min)        | 3             | 162         | 2.79 | [1.82, 3.76]      | <0.00001 | 0%               |

BW, body weight; FM, fat mass; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LBM, lean body mass; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triacylglycerols; VO₂ max, maximal oxygen uptake; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist to hip ratio.
Table S2. Baseline study comparability reported as mean and standard deviation.

| Reference | BW (kg) | WC (cm) | WHR | FM (kg) | LBM (kg) | TC (mg/dl) | LDL-C (mg/dl) | HDL-C (mg/dl) | TG (mg/dl) | VO2max (ml/kg/min) |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----|---------|----------|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| Ahmadizad et al. 2007 [27] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 83.1±6.8 RT: 82.3±10 n.d n.d | AET: 0.95±0.006 RT: 0.95±0.004 n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | AET: 26.3±4.8 RT: 24.6±8.8 |
| Ballor et al. 1996 [45] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 84.2±9.9 RT: 84.6±12 n.d n.d | AET: 0.86±0.09 RT: 0.87±0.09 AET: 34.8±5.7 RT: 34.2±4.8 | AET: 49.4±9.9 RT: 50.4±13.2 n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | AET: 23.9±4.8 RT: 23.4±3.6 |
| Banz et al. 2001 [30] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 109.35±12.15 RT: 101.25±9.45 n.d n.d | AET: 1.01±0.04 RT: 1.03±0.03 n.d n.d | AET: 81.4±11.56 RT: 74.92±5.58 AET: 205±44.3 RT: 203±41.6 | AET: 129.8±56.7 RT: 93±70.4 AET: 29.8±7 RT: 31.7±8.4 | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d |
| Bateman et al. 2011 [31] Willis et al. 2012 [44] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 89.3±10.8 RT: 89.2±14.5 CT: 90.1±13.2 n.d n.d | AET: 96.1±10.25 RT: 93.6±9.06 CT: 97.3±8.9 n.d n.d | AET: 34.7±7.89 RT: 34.3±9.12 CT: 34.9±8.92 AET: 53.3±8.71 RT: 54.4±13.3 CT: 54±9.59 | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | AET: 41.5±14.2 RT: 46.8±13.9 CT: 45±11 AET: 154±81.3 RT: 140±81 CT: 152±93.9 AET: 27.3±5.57 RT: 27±6.24 CT: 27±5.78 |
| Davidson et al. 2009 [35] Female vs. male No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | AET: 104.2±10.4 RT: 104.3±8.5 CT: 102.8±9.6 AET: 113±7.9 RT: 111±5.4 CT: 114.1±8.3 n.d n.d | AET: 34.9±7.2 RT: 34.4±6.7 CT: 34.9±6.8 AET: 33±9.2 RT: 31.5±4.5 CT: 34.9±6.8 n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | AET: 21.8±3.1 RT: 21±3.9 CT: 23.5±5.2 AET: 26.9±4 RT: 27.8±5.2 CT: 28.1±6.2 |
| Donges et al. 2010 [36] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 84.8±18.6 RT: 78.6±12.9 n.d n.d | AET: 94±14.7 RT: 91.3±11.9 n.d n.d | AET: 36.1±15.1 RT: 31.3±9.4 AET: 46.8±13.4 RT: 45.5±12.0 | AET: 205.4±35.1 RT: 199.2±37.83 AET: 128.57±27.41 RT: 123.16±28.95 | AET: 51.73±12.35 RT: 54.05±17.76 AET: 121.34±71.74 RT: 108.94±64.65 | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d |
| Fenke et al. 2006 [42] Sarsan et al. [47] No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | AET: 88.2±12.6 RT: 85.4±9.92 n.d n.d | AET: 94.6±9.1 RT: 96.2±8.1 AET: 0.83±0.1 RT: 0.87±0.1 n.d n.d | AET: 207.9±30.8 RT: 213.7±35.5 AET: 139.4±43 RT: 131.1±33.6 | AET: 51±13.6 RT: 53.4±12.3 AET: 124.1±38.2 RT: 118±56.1 | AET: 27.09±5.13 RT: 27.19±5.59 | n.d n.d | n.d n.d | n.d n.d |
| Study                          | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD | AET (min) ± SD | RT (min) ± SD |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Fisher et al. 2011 [46]       | 77±7           | 78±8         | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | AET: 34±5       | RT: 33±5      | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           |
| Janssen et al. 2002 [37]      | 99.9±19.9      | 86.1±10.5    | AET: 101.9±13.9| RT: 95.6±9   | AET: 47.3±15.7| RT: 37.8±9.3 | n.d            | n.d          | AET: 178.76±33.97| RT: 190.73±30.11| AET: 110.42±27.41| RT: 119.69±30.11| AET: 41.69±10.03| RT: 44.78±10.03| AET: 132.86±67.31| RT: 128.43±68.2| n.d          | n.d          |
| Martins et al. [40]           | 73.1±11.9      | 73.7±9.2     | AET: 106.8±7.3 | RT: 108.4±9  | n.d            | n.d          | AET: 208.3±36.3| RT: 218.5±33.6| AET: 79.8±32    | RT: 90.9±27.5| AET: 51.8±9.4 | n.d          | RT: 52.5±9.7 | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           |
| Potteiger et al. 2012 [25]    | 102±9.1        | 101.3±11.4   | AET: 113.4±7.7 | RT: 118.5±10.7| AET: 1.01±0.06| RT: 1.02±0.04| n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           |
| Rice et al. 1999 [24]         | 100.9±12.7     | 109.9±9.2    | AET: 110.6±5.1 | RT: 112.4±10.6| AET: 0.91±0.07| RT: 0.95±0.06| n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d          | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           | n.d            | n.d           |
| Ross et al. 1994 [23]         | 96±16.3        | 86.1±10.5    | AET: 109.6±10 | RT: 111.5±10.8| AET: 33.5±8.6 | RT: 32.3±7   | n.d            | n.d          | AET: 63.5±15.2  | RT: 68.2±12  | AET: 235.52±37.06| RT: 211.96±46.71| AET: 45.17±13.51| RT: 44.4±6.94 | AET: 203.72±88.57| RT: 159.43±79.71| CT: 230.29±124| CT: 30.4±3.6 | CT: 28.4±6.3 |
| Stensvold et al. 2010 [21]    | 99.7±18.7      | 103.4±17.1   | AET: 109.6±10 | RT: 111.5±10.8| CT: 106.2±8.6 | AET: 33.5±8.6| n.d            | n.d          | AET: 63.5±15.2  | RT: 68.2±12  | AET: 235.52±37.06| RT: 211.96±46.71| AET: 45.17±13.51| RT: 44.4±6.94 | AET: 203.72±88.57| RT: 159.43±79.71| CT: 230.29±124| CT: 30.4±3.6 | CT: 28.4±6.3 |
| Wallace et al. 1997 [20]      | 93.3±15.1      | 94.65±12.24  | AET: 1.05±0.08 | CT: 1.02±0.08| AET: 25.98±8.34| CT: 25.76±6.98| AET: 67.18±7.52| CT: 64.56±22.65| n.d            | n.d          | AET: 32.25±4.52| RT: 30.75±4.21| AET: 191.13±37.5 | RT: 206.5±63.35| AET: 27.03±3.5 | CT: 25.4±2.99 | CT: 25.4±2.99 | CT: 25.4±2.99 |
| No significant differences between groups for any baseline variable | n.d |  |  | n.d | n.d |  |  |  |

AET, aerobic endurance training; BW, body weight; CT, combined training (RT and AET); Ex, exercises; FM, fat mass; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LBM, lean body mass; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; n.d, no data; R, Repetition; RT, resistance training; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triacylglycerols; VO\(_2\) max, maximal oxygen uptake; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist to hip ratio; *reported as interquartile range.