Specific damping capacity calculation of composite plates with delamination based on higher-order Zig-Zag theory
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Abstract. Damping is focused in a mass of engineering applications at present. In this paper a new laminate element rests on the higher-order zig-zag theory for composite plates were presented. And then, viscoelasticity damping and frictional damping models in delaminated composites were established. The damping changes of delaminated composites with different boundary conditions were investigated via the laminate element, the effects of area and location on damping were also researched. The results revealed that viscoelasticity damping and frictional damping are in the same order of magnitude even delamination area is small, and frictional damping increase significantly when delamination area enlarged, frictional damping is needed to be considered in the damping research of delaminated composites.
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1. Introduction

Composite materials has widely used during the last decades. We could find the application of composite materials from the aviation industry to sports equipment. Since their first appearance and application, most researchers have focused on static characteristics and anisotropy properties, an extensive literature is available in this filed. Beside stiffness and strength, their dynamic responses, e.g. vibration and damping, also need deep understanding in engineering design for purpose of vibration and noise controlling.

The damping of the structure is possibly caused by the resistance of external, e.g. air drag and support friction. It also possibly comes from the interior energy dissipation of structure, including the viscosity of material, friction of interior contact surfaces, heat and sound production and damage evolution [1, 2]. Polymer matrix composites are generally recognized possessing better damping capacity, several orders of magnitude higher, than traditional metal materials because of their visco-elastic matrix. Additionally, various defects often found in composites can dissipate energy during cyclic load and elevate damping furthermore [3-5].

For the widely used laminate composite, defects include matrix cracks, delamination, imperfect fiber/matrix bounding and fiber breakage and these defects are considered inevitable during manufacture and service [6]. For fiber composites, Friction of crack surfaces and damage development consume energy. For instance, Cho C. [7] presented an estimation of interfacial friction in fiber-reinforced ceramics via increasing temperature during cyclic loading. David B. [8] used an indentation method to obtain the fiber/matrix interfacial frictional sliding stress and debone energy of a SiC/glass-ceramic composite. One of the macro phenomena of these energy dissipations manifests of damping under cycle loads. Birman V. [9, 10] analytically modeled the relation between damping and micro matrix crack of ceramic matrix unidirectional and cross-ply composites. Damping is also used to figure out damage level of structure. Relations between damping and damage have been extensively studied theoretically and experimentally. Damping is
found sensitive to damage, thus it is recommended as an effective representation of damage evaluation [11-16].

Among damages, delamination is most concerned for composite laminate because of it might lead fatal consequence without obviously visual mark. The gradients of in-plane displacements along thickness are discontinuous in delaminated interface. Various approaches have been proposed to represent this discontinuity. For example, Jim K. S. build a novel transition element based on first-order theory [17], Cheng Z. Q. established a spring-layer model to simulate vibration of multilayered laminate with weak interface [18], Marco D. S. develop a nonlinear theory of multilayered composites with interface slips based on higher-order shear model [19], and a higher-order zig-zag theory established by Cho M was employed for the natural frequency analysis of delaminated plates [20]. To adapt the complicated configurations of structure and irregular shaped multi plies delamination, FEM algorithms have been developed based on the various laminate plate theories which consider the inter-laminar displacement discontinuity introduced by delamination [20, 25]. Among them, FEM models founded on layer-wise plate theory [21] and higher-order zig-zag theory [20] exhibit satisfying accuracy. However, the former defines degree of freedom (DOF) upon each individual ply, thus it is not computationally efficient. Whereas, the latter theory only need additional DOF when delamination occurs. In this study, a new four-node plane element was developed to represent damping of delaminated plates based on the higher-order zig-zag theory.

2. Higher-order zig-zag theory of delaminated composites

2.1. Displacement model

An abridged general view of composite plates with multi-delaminations is shown in Fig. 1. The discontinued displacement field predicted by higher-order zig-zag theory [20] includes Heaviside functions to adapt the displacement discontinuity between the delaminated laminas. Meanwhile, the transverse shear stresses of this theory are continuous through the thickness and vanish on the top and bottom surface as well as on the interior surfaces of delamination.

The discontinued displacement field for a plate composite with multi-delaminations can be written as follows [20]:

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}, z; t) = u_{0\alpha}(x_{\alpha}; t) + \psi_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}; t)z + \xi_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}; t)z^2 + \phi_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}; t)z^3 \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} S_{\alpha}^k(x_{\alpha}; t)(z - z_k)H(z - z_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{u}_{\alpha}^k(x_{\alpha}; t)H(z - z_k), \\
&u_3(x_{\alpha}, z; t) = w(x_{\alpha}; t) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{w}^k(x_{\alpha}; t)H(z - z_k). 
\end{align*}
\] (1)

The subscript \( \alpha \) denotes the two in plane directions of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), as illustrated in Fig. 1. the first term in right side of Eq. (1) is the in-plane displacement on the reference plane, the three terms following are those of linear, square and cubic terms along thickness, the forth term characterize slope variation between neighbor plies caused by stiffness jump and delamination, the last term represent the relative shear displacement on the interfacial crack surfaces. \( \psi_{\alpha} \) are the rotations in the normal direction of the reference plane about the \( x_{\alpha} \) coordinate, \( \xi_{\alpha} \) and \( \phi_{\alpha} \) are the square and cubic displacement coefficients respectively. The first and second terms in right side of Eq. (2) are deflection on the reference plane and opening of delamination. \( N \) is the number of ply, the terms \( \tilde{u}_{\alpha}^k \) and \( \tilde{w}^k \) represent possible jumps in the slipping and opening displacements, \( z_k \) means the distance between the kth interlaminar to the bottom reference plane, \( H(z - z_k) \) is the Heaviside function. The deformed schematic configuration is shown in Fig. 2.

In the well bonded interface composites plate, transverse shear stress and displacement should
be continuous, in the delaminated interface, transverse stress vanished but it is still continuous. Applied the shear stress continuity conditions of the interface, the slope change $S_{\alpha}^k$ could be written as:

$$S_{\alpha}^k = a_{\alpha\gamma}^k \phi_{\gamma} - \bar{w}_{\alpha}^k. \quad (3)$$

The details of coefficients meaning can be found in reference [20].

2.2. Constitutive equations

From Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), in-plane and transverse strains are derived as:

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(u_{\alpha,\beta}^0 + u_{\beta,\alpha}^0 - (w_{\alpha\beta} + w_{\beta\alpha})z - \frac{1}{2h} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (a_{\alpha\gamma}^k \phi_{\gamma,\beta} + a_{\beta\omega}^k \phi_{\omega,\alpha} - 2\bar{w}_{\alpha\beta}^k)(z - z_k) + \sum_{d=1}^{D} (\bar{u}_{d\alpha}^\beta + \bar{u}_{d\beta}^\alpha)H(z - z_k)\right), \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma_{\alpha3} = u_{\alpha,3} + u_{3,\alpha} = -\left(3h\phi_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha\gamma}^k \phi_{\gamma} z + 3\phi_{\alpha} z^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_{\alpha\gamma}^k \phi_{\gamma}\right). \quad (5)$$

The constitutions an individual ply in the global coordinate system are expressed as:

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} = \tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}^{(k)} \varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(k)}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha3}^{(k)} = \tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\gamma3}^{(k)} \varepsilon_{\gamma3}^{(k)}, \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}^{(k)}$ denotes the transformed stiffness of the $k$th lamina. Through integrating stiffness of each ply, the resultant constitutive relations for the laminate are obtained, as shown in matrix form below:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
N_{\alpha\beta} \\
M_{\alpha\beta} \\
R_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} \\
R_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} \\
\tilde{N}_{\alpha\beta} \\
\tilde{M}_{\alpha\beta}
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
A^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} \\
A^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(4)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} \\
A^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(4)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(5)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} \\
A^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(4)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(5)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & A^{(6)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} \\
B^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B^{i(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & D^{ij}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & F^{ij}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} \\
E^{i(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(1)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(2)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{i(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & F^{ij}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & E^{ij}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(2)} \\
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(3)} \\
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(4)} \\
\varepsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{(5)}
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$
The formula derivation and variable specific meaning in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) could be found in reference [20].

3. Finite element algorithm

A four-node plane element is developed on the foundation of the proposed theory. The primary displacement of the plate is interpolated in terms of nodal displacements via shape functions, as below:

\[
(u_a^0, \phi_a, \bar{u}_a^i) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} N_m \{u_a^0, \phi_a, \bar{u}_a^i\}_m,
\]

\[
w = \sum_{m=1}^{n} P_m \{w\}_m + H_xm \{w_x\}_m + H_ym \{w_y\}_m,
\]

\[
\bar{w}^j = \sum_{m=1}^{n} P_m \{\bar{w}^j\}_m + H_xm \{\bar{w}^j_x\}_m + H_ym \{\bar{w}^j_y\}_m,
\]

where \(n\) is the node number in a plate unit, \(N_m\) denotes a Lagrange shape function and \(P_m, H_xm, H_ym\) are Hermite interpolation functions.

Strains relate to nodal displacement in expression as:

\[
\{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\} = [B]_b \{u\}_n, \{\gamma_{\alpha3}\} = [B]_s \{u\}_n,
\]

\[
\{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\} = \{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^0, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)}, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(4)}\}, \{\gamma_{\alpha3}\} = \{\gamma_{\alpha3}^{(1)}, \gamma_{\alpha3}^{(2)}, \gamma_{\alpha3}^{(3)}\},
\]

\[
\{u\}_n = \{u_a^0, w, \phi_a, \bar{u}_a^i, \bar{w}^j, \bar{w}^j_{,\alpha}\},
\]

\[
[B]_b = \begin{bmatrix} B_{b11} & \cdots & B_{bn11} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{b112} & \cdots & B_{bn12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad [B]_s = \begin{bmatrix} B_{s111} & \cdots & B_{sn11} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{s112} & \cdots & B_{sn12} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \([B]_b\) is the in-plane geometry matrix, \([B]_s\) denotes the transverse shear geometry matrix, these two items are deviated from shape function, and \(\{u\}_n\) are node displacements.

The DOF of each node on the element will increase with number of delamination, it is expressed as \(7 + 5D\). In the other word, DOF is 7 for an un-delaminated plate and it increases 5 for each delamination. Corresponingly, sub matric of \([B]_b\) and \([B]_s\) are partitioned to two blocks:

\[
B_{bij\beta} = [B_{bij\beta ud} \quad B_{bij\beta da}], \quad B_{sia\beta} = [B_{sia\beta ud} \quad B_{sia\beta da}].
\]

The first block \([B_{bij\beta ud}]\) (and \([B_{sia\beta ud}]\)) relates to un-delamination DOF, while the second block \([B_{bij\beta da}]\) (and \([B_{sia\beta da}]\)) to delamination one. The detailed description of \([B_{bij\beta ud}]\) and \([B_{bij\beta da}]\) can be found in the appendix.

4. Damping model of delaminated plates

Damping properties of a structure can be characterized by specific damping capacity (SDC)
[26-28]. SDC, denoted $\psi_{lam}$, is expressed by the following formula:

$$\psi_{lam} = \frac{E_{diss}}{E_{str}} \quad (14)$$

where $E_{str}$ and $E_{diss}$ denote the dissipated energy and largest strain energy in one load cycle, respectively.

If interior delamination surfaces of a composite laminate contact and have relative slide, the frictional energy dissipation also contribute to damping. Together with damping caused by materials viscoelasticity, SDC of delaminated plates can be rewritten as:

$$\psi_{lam} = \frac{E_{vis} + E_{fri}}{E_{str}} = \psi_{vis} + \psi_{fri} \quad (15)$$

where $E_{vis}$ is viscoelastic damping dissipated energy, $E_{fri}$ is frictional damping dissipated energy, $\psi_{vis}$ and $\psi_{fri}$ denote viscoelastic specific damping capacity (VSDC) and frictional specific damping capacity (FSDC), respectively. Note that, other damping resources such as damage evolution are not taken account here.

4.1. Viscoelastic damping model of delaminated plates

$E_{vis}$ and $E_{str}$ can be expressed as a summation of energies corresponding to six strain components. SDC corresponding to each strain component, named as $\psi_{ij}$, can be obtained through unidirectional damping experiment or FEM analysis [29].

For a linear elastic material, the deformation energy stored in an element is:

$$E_{str} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} + \sigma_{22} \varepsilon_{22} + \sigma_{33} \varepsilon_{33} + \sigma_{23} \gamma_{23} + \sigma_{13} \gamma_{13} + \sigma_{12} \gamma_{12} dV$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{22} \varepsilon_{22} dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{33} \varepsilon_{33} dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{23} \gamma_{23} dV$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{13} \gamma_{13} dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{12} \gamma_{12} dV$$

$$= E_{11} + E_{22} + E_{33} + E_{23} + E_{13} + E_{12} = \sum E_{ij}, \quad (16)$$

where $E_{ij}$ is the energy component.

The corresponding viscoelastic damping energy dissipation of the element can be written in terms of the specific damping capacity along each direction as:

$$E_{vis} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \psi_{11} \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} + \psi_{22} \sigma_{22} \varepsilon_{22} + \cdots + \psi_{12} \sigma_{12} \gamma_{12} dV$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \psi_{11} \int_{V} \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} dV + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{22} \int_{V} \sigma_{22} \varepsilon_{22} dV + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{12} \int_{V} \sigma_{12} \gamma_{12} dV \quad (17)$$

$$= \psi_{11} E_{11} + \psi_{22} E_{22} + \cdots + \psi_{12} E_{12} = \sum \psi_{ij} E_{ij}.$$ 

Therefore, SDC of laminate composite $\psi_{vis}$ can be expressed as:

$$\psi_{vis} = \frac{E_{vis}}{E_{str}} = \frac{\sum \psi_{ij} E_{ij}}{\sum E_{ij}} \quad (18)$$
For a plate model, the off-plane normal strain is neglected, therefore, strain energy has three in-plane components and two transverse shear components.

In the higher-order zig-zag theory, in-plane strain and transverse strain are all higher order function of \( z \) coordinates and contains a step-function at the interface of the lamina. It is difficult to calculate strain energy and viscoelastic damping via integration layer by layer directly. Here, numerical integration is adopted, and the strain energy corresponding to one strain component, named as \( E_{ij} \), is accumulated as:

\[
E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N_p} \left( \sigma_{ij}^{kl} \varepsilon_{ij}^{kl} h_{ply} \right) N_p A_{ele} \tag{19}
\]

where, \( N \) and is the ply number, \( N_p \) is the integrate point number of each ply, \( h_{ply} \) is the thickness of each ply, \( A_{ele} \) is the plate element area, \( \sigma_{ij}^{kl} \) and \( \varepsilon_{ij}^{kl} \) denote the stress and strain at \( l \)th integrate point locating \( k \)th ply, respectively.

### 4.2. Frictional damping model of delaminated plates

Normal stress \( \sigma_z \) is usually neglected in the thin plate theory for it is much smaller than the in-plane normal stress. However, it is essential for calculating frictional energy dissipation introduced by delaminated interface. Here, \( \sigma_z \) between plies is estimated through force equilibrium condition in thickness. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the inter-ply normal stress between \( k \)th ply and \( k+1 \)th ply, named as \( \sigma_z^k \), is determined by following equation:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Delta \tau_{yz}^i h_{ply}^i l_x + \Delta \tau_{zx}^i h_{ply}^i l_y + \Delta \tau_{xz}^i h_{ply}^i l_x l_y + f_z \right) + \sigma_z^k l_x l_y + f_z = 0 \tag{20}
\]

where, \( \Delta \tau_{yz}^i \) and \( \Delta \tau_{zx}^i \) denote the shear stress increments on the two lateral sides face of \( i \)th ply, \( h_{ply}^i \) is the thickness of \( i \)th ply, \( l_x \) and \( l_y \) are the element length of the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, \( f_z \) is the transverse external resultant force applied (below above) the \( k \)th ply and it is postulated going through the central point of the element.

To delaminated interface \( \sigma_z^k \) will be null when interface is open. So, the contact pressure on the delamination surface is expressed with one-side condition as:

\[
\sigma_N = \langle -\sigma_z \rangle \tag{21}
\]

The symbol of ‘\( \langle \rangle \)’ means it takes the value of variable inside if the variable is positive otherwise it equals zero. The contact force is further supposed to be evenly distributed for simplification. If the structure is supposed experience periodic load, the compressive stress on crack surface also alternates with the same frequency.

If the shear stress on delaminated (but compressed) surface has overcome the static frictional resistance, the two delamination surfaces will have relative shear displacement and frictional force does work. The frictional force is the product of compression \( \sigma_N \) and slide friction coefficient \( \mu \). In order to correspond to the relative displacement, the transverse shear stress on the stratified plane is also divided into two directions of \( X \)-axis and \( Y \)-axis. Therefore, sliding friction conditions of \( X \) direction and \( Y \) direction can be written as:

\[
\mu \sigma_N \leq \sqrt{\tau_{xz}^2 + \tau_{yz}^2} \tag{22}
\]
When relative displacement occurs on delamination surface, the friction energy dissipation of a delamination in one load cycle can be expressed as:

\[
E_{fr1} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \bar{\sigma}_N(t) \left[ (\bar{u}_x(t))^2 + (\bar{u}_y(t))^2 \right] A_d dt,
\]

where, \(\bar{\sigma}_N\) is the peak magnitude of average contact pressure, \(\bar{u}_x\) and \(\bar{u}_y\) denote the shear relative displacement of the upper and lower surfaces of the \(k\)th delamination in one element. \(A_d\) is the delamination area of one element, when delamination occurred in an element, delamination area \(A_d\) equals the element area \(A_{ele}\), otherwise \(A_d\) equals 0. It is worth noting that, without consideration of the interaction between the contacted surfaces, \(\bar{\sigma}_N\), \(\bar{u}_x\) and \(\bar{u}_y\) may have a little difference comparing with the real situation.

The frictional energy dissipation of a composite plate can be obtained by summarized frictional energy dissipation of all elements.

5. Numerical examples

In order to validate the application of theoretical model developed above in structure, a plate finite element based this theory was implemented on platform of ABAQUS. The effects of the delamination area, delamination location and boundary conditions on the viscoelastic damping and friction damping of laminated plates are studied.

The material parameters of the fiber and the matrix of the laminated plates used in this paper are derived from the reference [29]. When the fiber volume fraction of this composite takes 60 %, the engineering constants and the SDC of single ply are calculated by mixture theory and employed from reference [29] respectively, as presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Damping properties of the single layer plate are show in Table 2.

| \(E_1\) / GPa | \(E_2\) / GPa | \(G_{12}\) / GPa | \(G_{23}\) / GPa | \(\nu_{12}\) | \(\nu_{23}\) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| 136.28         | 7.83           | 2.84           | 1.5            | 0.28      | 0.35      |

| \(\psi_{11}\) | \(\psi_{22}\) | \(\psi_{23}\) | \(\psi_{12}\) | \(\psi_{13}\) | \(\psi_{11}\) |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0.00123       | 0.01256       | 0.01688       | 0.02164       | 0.02164       | 0.00123       |

A fictitious square composite laminate with dimension of 100 mm × 100 mm × 1 mm was used as example. Its stacking sequences are \([0^\circ, 90^\circ, 90^\circ, 0^\circ]\) and each ply layer is 0.25 mm in thickness. 400 uniform square elements were discretized for the FE model and each element involves 4 plies, as demonstrated in Fig. 3. Three boundary conditions were considered here, i.e. (1) One side was
clamped (x = 0 mm) and the other three sides were free; (2) One side was clamped (y = 0 mm) and the other three sides were free; (3) All the four sides are clamped. Symmetrical cycle sinusoid uniform pressure (or traction) 0.004 MPa is applied on upper surface of the plate. Note that, during half of one load cycle the upper surface is in traction and delaminated surfaces separate and thus no frictional dissipation takes place.

5.1. Delamination area influence on special damping capacity

A serious square shape delaminations were preset between ply 2 and ply 3 (z = 0.5 mm) in the laminated plate. Their areas are 10 mm×10 mm, 20 mm×20 mm, 30 mm×30 mm, 40 mm×40 mm, 50 mm×50 mm, 60 mm×60 mm, 70 mm×70 mm and 80 mm×80 mm respectively and all of them locate in the middle region of the plate. Fig. 4 shows the relationship between the viscoelastic damping capacity (VSDC), friction damping capacity (FSDC) and the delamination area under the three boundary conditions.

![VSDC and FSDC graphs]

Fig. 4. Delamination area influence on SDC

As see from the Fig. 4, VSDC and FSDC both increase with the increase of the delamination area under the three kinds of boundary conditions, and the damping capacity with four edges clamped boundary condition grows quicker than that with two kinds of one side clamped boundary condition when delamination area increases. In the boundary conditions (1) and (3), FSDC and VSDC are numerically equal with each other when the delamination area is up to 30 mm×30 mm (less than 10 % of the total area), ψfr is greater than ψvis when the delamination area enlarge continually, and ψfr is two orders of magnitude more than ψvis when delamination area reaches 64 % of the laminated plate. In the boundary condition (2), FSDC and VSDC are numerically close to each other when the delamination area is up to 40 mm×40 mm (about 16 % of the total area), and ψfr increases more significant than ψvis when the delamination area expand continually. Compared with the three lines of differ boundary conditions in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b), we can also know that boundary conditions significant influences VSDC and FSDC.

5.2. Delamination location influence on special damping capacity

In order to study the influence of the delamination position on damping of the composite laminated plate, the laminated plate models with different delamination positions are established respectively. All delamination areas are 40 mm×40 mm and occur between layer 2 and layer 3. In boundary condition (1) and (3), the distances to one side (x = 0 mm) are 30 mm, 40 mm, 50 mm and 60 mm and 70 mm in turn, and they keep at middle of the plate in y-axis direction. In boundary condition (2), the delamination location changes similar to boundary condition (1) but in y-axis direction. As show in Fig. 5, in boundary condition (1) and (3), both VSDC and FSDC with four
edges clamped boundary condition are higher than those with one side clamped boundary condition and $\psi_{fr}$ is one order of magnitude more than $\psi_{vis}$. In boundary condition (1) and (2), both VSDC and FSDC small decrease with the delamination location away from the fixed boundary. In boundary condition (2), VSDC is closed to FSDC during the delamination area is 16% of total plate area. Considered the cycle load condition applied on the plate, during half of one load cycle the upper surface is in traction thus no frictional dissipation takes place, but viscoelastic dissipation appears in the whole load cycle, that is to say, in boundary condition (2), frictional dissipation is also considerable.

![Fig. 5. Delamination location influence on special damping capacity](image)

Actually, $\psi_{fr}$ may be smaller than the calculated value, because of this paper does not consider the friction influence when calculate the deformation. However, the friction energy dissipation on layer surface will be one of the main sources of damping without doubt when the delamination damage area is large enough.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, a four-node finite element was constructed based on higher-order shear deformation theory to calculate damping capacity of composite plates with delamination. The effects of area and location of delamination on viscoelasticity damping and frictional damping of a four layer laminate composite are researched. The results reveal that viscoelasticity damping and frictional damping will rise as delamination area increased in all cases and the friction energy dissipation will increase significantly, it shows the close relationship between the friction energy dissipation and the area of delamination. Friction damping will become one of the main sources of damping in laminates when the delamination area is large enough, it needed to be considered in engineering design.
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**Appendix**

\[
B_{bi1ud} = \begin{bmatrix}
N_{i,x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -P_{i,xx} & -H_{xi,xx} & -H_{yi,xx} & N_{i,x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\left(\frac{3h}{2} + \frac{1}{2h}A_{11}\right)N_{i,x} & -\frac{1}{2h}A_{12}N_{i,x} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,x} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,x} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,x} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,x} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B_{bi1id} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
[N_{i,y}]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -P_{i,yy} & -H_{xi,yy} & -H_{yi,yy} & N_{i,y} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2h}A_{21}N_{i,y} & -\left(\frac{3h}{2} + \frac{1}{2h}A_{22}\right)N_{i,y} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B_{bi2ud} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
[N_{i,y}]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -P_{i,yy}N_{i,y} & -\left(\frac{3h}{2} + \frac{1}{2h}A_{22}\right)N_{i,y} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B_{bi2d} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
[N_{i,y}]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} & [0]_{N-1\times D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -P_{i,yy}N_{i,y} & -\left(\frac{3h}{2} + \frac{1}{2h}A_{22}\right)N_{i,y} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{i,y} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
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\[ B_{s13ud} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left( -3h - \frac{1}{h} A_{13} \right) N_i & -\frac{1}{h} A_{12} N_i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3N_i & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^{-1} N_i & a_{12}^{-1} N_i \\
\end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ B_{s13d} = [0]_{N+1\times5D}, \]

\[ B_{s23ud} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{h} A_{21} N_i & \left( -3h - \frac{1}{h} A_{22} \right) N_i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3N_i & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{21}^{-1} N_i & a_{22}^{-1} N_i \\
\end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ B_{s23d} = [0]_{N+1\times5D}, \]

\[ B_{bi12d} = \begin{bmatrix}
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
[0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} & [0]_{1\times D} \\
0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad a_{21}^{-1} N_i \quad a_{22}^{-1} N_i \\
\end{bmatrix}. \]
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