Insulating state in tetralayers reveals an even–odd interaction effect in multilayer graphene
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Close to charge neutrality, the electronic properties of graphene and its multilayers are sensitive to electron–electron interactions. In bilayers, for instance, interactions are predicted to open a gap between valence and conduction bands, turning the system into an insulator. In mono and (Bernal-stacked) trilayers, which remain conducting at low temperature, interactions do not have equally drastic consequences. It is expected that interaction effects become weaker for thicker multilayers, whose behaviour should converge to that of graphite. Here we show that this expectation does not correspond to reality by revealing the occurrence of an insulating state close to charge neutrality in Bernal-stacked tetralayer graphene. The phenomenology—incompatible with the behaviour expected from the single-particle band structure—resembles that observed in bilayers, but the insulating state in tetralayers is visible at higher temperature. We explain our findings, and the systematic even–odd effect of interactions in Bernal-stacked layers of different thickness that emerges from experiments, in terms of a generalization of the interaction-driven, symmetry-broken states proposed for bilayers.
lose to charge neutrality and at zero magnetic field, \( B = 0 \), electron–electron interactions in graphene and its multilayers have a strong effect in a very narrow range of electron density\(^1\). In monolayer graphene, Coulomb interactions renormalize the Fermi velocity of the Dirac fermions, which—in agreement with theoretical predictions\(^2\)—increases as the Fermi level approaches the charge neutrality point (CNP)\(^2\). In Bernal bilayers, interactions are considered to be responsible for the opening of a gap\(^3\)–\(^7\), and they turn the system into an insulator at a low temperature\(^8\)–\(^11\). Thicker Bernal-stacked multilayers have received less attention, and it is only known that Bernal trilayers remain conducting at low temperature (rhombohedral trilayers—on the contrary—have again been found to open a large gap due to interactions)\(^12\). In all cases—that is, for mono and bilayers—the effects of interactions are visible experimentally only at charge densities below \( 2–3 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \), and their observation requires the study of suspended devices of the highest quality\(^13\)–\(^14\), since otherwise the magnitude of charge inhomogeneity is too large.

Here we realize very high-quality multiterminal suspended devices based on Bernal-stacked tetralayer graphene (4LG), in which charge inhomogeneity can be reduced to the level of the best mono and bilayer structures realized in the past. Thanks to their very high quality enabled by employing a recently developed fabrication process (see ref. 15 and Methods for details), these devices allow us to reveal experimentally the occurrence of a very pronounced and robust insulating state at the CNP in 4LG, clearly visible already at relatively high temperature (at \( T = 4.2 \) K the resistance at the CNP is several hundreds of kiloOhms, 50 times larger than in analogous bilayer devices). We find that the size of the energy gap in 4LG coincides with the values reported previously for bilayers, strongly suggesting a common origin for the insulating state in the two systems. We discuss the phenomenon theoretically, and suggest that a symmetry-broken state in which a staggered potential is present in 4LG—corresponding to the simplest generalization of the symmetry-broken states that are considered to explain the behaviour of bilayers—naturally explains our observations, as well as the coincidence between the values of energy gap observed in 4LG and bilayers. These same theoretical considerations point to an even–odd interaction effect in Bernal-stacked graphene multilayers at the CNP (odd multilayers remain conducting at low temperature, whereas even multilayers become insulating) that is consistent with all experimental observations so far, and has implication for the crossover from graphene to graphite.

**Results**

Highly resistive state in charge neutral Bernal-stacked 4LG. Before discussing the detailed characterization of the thickness and the stacking of the layers in our devices, we present the experimental indications of the high device quality and of the unusual highly resistive state at the CNP, which can be appreciated already in the most basic transport measurements. Figure 1a shows the gate voltage (\( V_G \)) dependence of the two-terminal resistance (\( R_{2–4} \)) of two different devices measured at 4.2 K. In both cases, a very high and narrow peak is observed around the CNP, \( \sim 50 \) times higher than that observed in suspended monolayer and Bernal-stacked bi/trilayer graphene at the same temperature\(^2\)–\(^8\)–\(^16\) (\( \sim 0.3 \) M\( \Omega \)) as compared with 4–8 K\( \Omega \); see Supplementary Fig. 1; the two devices exhibit identical behaviour and in the rest of the manuscript we show the data from one of them). The peak width is extracted from the log–log plot of the conductance \( G_{2–4} = \frac{1}{R_{2–4}} \) versus carrier density \( n \), as illustrated in Fig. 1b (\( n \) is calculated from the applied \( V_G \), with the gate capacitance obtained from the analysis of the quantum Hall effect; see discussion of Fig. 2d). The range in which the conductance stays constant upon increasing \( n \)—a measure of the carrier density fluctuations\(^13\)–\(^14\)—extends only up to \( n' \sim 2–3 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \), comparable to the best values reported in the literature for suspended graphene of any thickness\(^2\)–\(^8\)–\(^16\). Finally, the multiterminal configuration allows magnetotransport to be measured in both longitudinal and transverse configurations\(^15\)–\(^16\). Already at moderately low \( B \), a fully developed integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) is observed (see Fig. 1c), with integer conductance plateaus (in units of \( \frac{h}{e^2} \)) in the transverse resistance (\( R_{xy} \)) and concomitant vanishing of the longitudinal resistance (\( R_{xx} \)).

The thickness and stacking order of our samples are determined by magneto-Raman spectroscopy. The 2D Raman peak, occurring around 2,710 cm\(^{-1} \) at \( B = 0 \) when measured with an excitation at \( \lambda = 514 \) nm, exhibits the contribution of two components (see inset of Fig. 2a), as expected for 4LG with Bernal stacking\(^17\)–\(^19\). This observation, however, is not sufficient to
Figure 2 | Magneto-Raman spectroscopy and four-probe quantum Hall transport in suspended tetralayer graphene. (a) Position of the Raman G-band peak as a function of \( B \) measured at room temperature on one of our suspended devices, exhibiting characteristic magneto-Raman oscillations (the inset shows the 2D Raman peak at zero \( B \)). The empty circles denote the position at which the anti-crossings occur, which coincide at values of \( B \) for which the broadening of the Raman G line (measured by the full width at half maximum—FWHM—shown in (b)) peaks. The magenta, blue and cyan circles between panels a and b correspond to the values of \( B \) at which the anti-crossings are expected for tri-, tetra- and pentalayer Bernal-stacked graphene, respectively (see the main text for details): this comparison unambiguously identifies our layer as Bernal-stacked 4LG. (c) \( R_{xx} / C_0 \) plotted as a function of \( B \) and \( n \). Clear Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations are visible starting from \( B = 0.1T \) and fully developed quantum Hall states from \( B = 0.3T \). The arrows indicate the local \( R_{xx} \) minima (black strips) at \( n = 4, 8, 12, 16 \) (the \( n = 8 \) state is the first to develop fully at \( B = 0.3T \), white dashed line). At low \( n \), below \( 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), the device becomes highly insulating (see Fig. 3), preventing multiterminal measurements. (d) Traces of the longitudinal and transverse conductivities, \( \sigma_{xx} = \rho_{xx}/(\rho_{xx}^2 + \rho_{xy}^2) \) and \( \sigma_{xy} = \rho_{xy}/(\rho_{xx}^2 + \rho_{xy}^2) \), as a function of \( n \), measured for \( B \) between 0.475 T and 0.8 T (\( \rho_{xx} = R_{xx} \times W/L \) and \( \rho_{xy} = R_{xy} \) with the device aspect ratio \( W/L = 0.87 \)). The filling factor \( n = \mu B/T \) is calculated from (b) and \( n = 2(V_G - V_{CNP})/x \) with \( x = 4.66 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{V}^{-1} \) determined by imposing scaling of all the measured curves in this magnetic field-range. The good scaling enables averaging of the magnetoconductivity curves to suppress noise, as shown in (e).

exclude tri- and pentalayer graphene unambiguously. To gain conclusive evidence, Raman spectra were collected in the regime of Landau quantization, by applying a finite \( B \) to split the single-particle electronic spectrum into discrete Landau levels (LLs). In this regime, the G Raman peak exhibits characteristic anti-crossings upon increasing \( B \)—an oscillatory shift of the peak position with a concomitant broadening (see Fig. 2a,b)—when the energy of the \( E_{2g} \) phonon matches that of specific inter-LL transitions (see ref. 20 for details). In few layer graphene, whose electronic spectrum consists of several bands21–27, the effect of coupling is enhanced in the presence of nearly degenerate transitions (that is, when inter-LL transitions from different bands occur at nearly the same energy)28, and become measurable even at room temperature (for non-degenerate transitions, the effect of coupling is too weak to be detected). In the simplest approximation—that we will refer to as the effective bilayer model—the single-particle band structure of 4LG consists of two independent bilayer-like bands with different effective masses21–27, and predicts pairs of nearly degenerate inter-LL transitions to match the phonon energy at \( B \approx 5.8, 7.8 \) and 12 T as marked by the blue circles between Fig. 2a–b. As indicated by the blue dashed lines in Fig. 2a–b, the observed features are in virtually perfect agreement with these predictions, whereas they do not match the expectations for tri- and pentalayer graphene (respectively, magenta and cyan circles between Fig. 2a–b) nor those for non-Bernal-stacked multilayers (note, in this regard, that since our devices are realized by exfoliating natural graphite, the probability to find two-out-of-two identical non-Bernal-stacked 4LG is entirely negligible). Magneto-Raman spectroscopy, therefore, unambiguously identifies our devices as made of Bernal-stacked 4LG. In addition, by showing the persistence of Landau quantization at room temperature, these observation further provide another clear indication of the high quality of our devices.

To characterize the device quality in more detail, and to gain additional understanding of the low-energy electronic properties, we have investigated transport in the quantum Hall regime by taking advantage of the multiterminal geometry that enables both \( R_{xx} \) and \( R_{xy} \) to be measured15,16. Figure 2c shows a colour plot of \( R_{xx}(V_G, B) \), exhibiting clear features originating from the QHE, with Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations becoming visible from \( B \approx 0.1T \). Through the condition for their visibility (\( \mu B \gg I \); refs 13,14), we estimate the carrier mobility \( \mu \) to be larger than 100,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. The oscillations evolve into fully developed IQHE states—with plateaus in \( R_{xy} \) and vanishing \( R_{xx} \)—starting from \( B \approx 0.3T \) at filling factor \( \nu = \mu B/T = 8 \) (see the white dashed line in Fig. 2c), and subsequently at \( \nu = 12 \) and 16 (starting from \( B \approx 0.4–0.5T \)). These states are clearly apparent in Fig. 2d, which shows the longitudinal (\( \sigma_{xx} \)) and transverse (\( \sigma_{xy} \)) conductivity measured as a function of \( V_G \) for \( B \) in the range 0.475–0.8 T, and...
measured at 250 mK. The insulating state corresponds to the dark blue region close to the CNP and within the accuracy of the measurement.

The high device homogeneity, as indicated in the legend (see inset in Fig. 1a for the contact labelling). The same behaviour—a pronounced suppression of the effective bilayer model) or electron–electron interactions\textsuperscript{31}, for which an analysis of the behaviour at larger $B$ provides additional evidence (see discussions below). Irrespective of these details, the observation of the 16-fold degenerate zero-energy LL provides useful information about the low-energy electronic properties of suspended 4LG.

**Insulating state and electron–electron interaction in 4LG.** With the device structure and quality characterized well, we look at the properties of suspended 4LG.

Figure 3 | Insulating state at charge neutrality and $B = \Omega T$ in tetralayer graphene. (a) log($G$) versus $V_G$, measured in several different two-terminal configurations, as indicated in the legend (see inset in Fig. 1a for the contact labelling). The same behaviour—a pronounced suppression of $G$ in a very narrow range of gate voltages $|V_G - V_{CNP}| < 5 \text{ V}$, corresponding to $|n| < 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$—is observed irrespective of the contacts used, which is indicative of the high device homogeneity. (b) $T$-dependence of log($G$)-versus $V_G$ measured in a two-terminal configuration. Upon increasing $T$, the minimum conductance $G_{\text{min}}$ at the CNP increases by $> 4$ orders of magnitude, exhibiting a thermally activated behaviour for $T > 1 \text{ K}$, as shown in panel c ($G_{\text{min}} = \exp(-E_A/2k_BT)$ with $E_A \sim 14.6 \text{ K}$). (d) Colour plot of the differential conductance $G = dI/dV$ (in log scale) as a function of source-drain ($V_B$) and gate voltage ($V_G$), measured at 250 mK. The insulating state corresponds to the dark blue region close to the CNP and $V_B = 0 \text{ V}$. (e) Line-cut, $dI/dV(V_B)$, of the colour plot shown in d, taken at $V_G = 1.6 \text{ V}$ (corresponding to the white dashed line in panel d). Below $V_B \sim 1.5 \text{ mV}$ (indicated by the arrow), the conductance vanishes within the accuracy of the measurement.
In the case of bilayer graphene (BLG), a qualitatively similar behaviour has been observed (see also Supplementary Note 1) and interpreted in spectroscopic terms, with the applied bias taken to be a direct measure of the energy of the injected electrons\(^5,8\). It cannot be excluded, however, that the dominant effect of the applied bias is the electrostatic accumulation of charges on the suspended 4LG flake. Indeed, since the gap closes very rapidly upon increasing the charge density away from the CNP, the accumulation of even a very small amount of charges can result in a drastic suppression of the gap, leading to a large and sharp conductance increase.

The occurrence of an insulating state at the CNP is unexpected. It cannot be accounted for in terms of the gapless single-particle band structure of 4LG\(^{21-27}\), and its explanation calls for the appearance of fully developed QHE at\(\nu = 4\) (Fig. 5a; again, in analogy to the BLG, ground states with different \(\nu\) are in surprisingly good agreement with the behaviour expected from the effective bilayer model\(^{7,8}\). While the low-energy properties of the symmetry-broken states in BLG are commonly described within a two-band model, in terms of a \(2 \times 2\) matrix Hamiltonian of the type

\[H^{BLG}_{\nu} = -\frac{\hbar}{2m} (k_x^2 - k_y^2) \sigma_x \pm 2k_x k_y \sigma_y) - \Delta \sigma_z,\]

for each valley and spin (the ‘\(\pm\)’ sign changes going from the K to the K’ valley). The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the transformation, and the staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG

Gap origin and even–odd effect in graphene multilayers. A comprehensive analysis of the effect of electron–electron interactions in Bernal-stacked 4LG is complex and goes beyond the scope of this paper. However, our observation that the magneto-Raman measurements and the IQHE at low \(B\) are in surprisingly good agreement with the behaviour expected from the effective bilayer model suggests that treating 4LG by analogy with the case of graphene bilayers is a good starting point. At a mean-field level\(^7,7,8\), the low-energy properties of the symmetry-broken states in BLG are commonly described within a two-band model, in terms of a \(2 \times 2\) matrix Hamiltonian of the form

\[H^{BLG}_{\nu} = -\frac{\hbar}{2m} (k_x^2 - k_y^2) \sigma_x \pm 2k_x k_y \sigma_y - \Delta \sigma_z,\]

for each valley and spin (the ‘\(\pm\)’ sign changes going from the K to the K’ valley). The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG

Figure 4 | Strong electron–electron interactions at finite magnetic field. (a)\(V_G\) dependence of the longitudinal (\(\sigma_{xx}\); black curve) and the transverse (\(\sigma_{xy}\); magenta curve) conductivity at \(B = 2.3\) T, showing fully developed broken symmetry states at \(\nu = 1, 2, 3\) and 4 with zeros in \(\sigma_{xx}\) and plateaus in \(\sigma_{xy}\). (b) Fan diagram of the derivative of the conductance \(dG/dB\) as a function of \(B\) and \(n\), illustrating the evolution of the quantum Hall states at \(\nu = 1, 2, 3, 4\) (black strips indicated by arrows) for each valley and spin (the ‘\(\pm\)’ sign changes going from the K to the K’ valley). The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG

The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG

Figure 4 | Strong electron–electron interactions at finite magnetic field. (a)\(V_G\) dependence of the longitudinal (\(\sigma_{xx}\); black curve) and the transverse (\(\sigma_{xy}\); magenta curve) conductivity at \(B = 2.3\) T, showing fully developed broken symmetry states at \(\nu = 1, 2, 3\) and 4 with zeros in \(\sigma_{xx}\) and plateaus in \(\sigma_{xy}\). (b) Fan diagram of the derivative of the conductance \(dG/dB\) as a function of \(B\) and \(n\), illustrating the evolution of the quantum Hall states at \(\nu = 1, 2, 3, 4\) (black strips indicated by arrows) for each valley and spin (the ‘\(\pm\)’ sign changes going from the K to the K’ valley). The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG

The first term is the kinetic energy of the electrons (\(m^*\) is the effective mass) and the second one represents the order parameter of the broken symmetry state (\(\sigma_{K,K’}\) are Pauli matrices acting on the layer degree of freedom). Different ground states emerge, depending on how the sign of the order parameter \(\Delta\) changes upon switching valley or spin\(^7,8\), but, in all cases, the ‘bulk’ of BLG is gapped (see Fig. 5a) as the term \(\Delta \sigma_z\) in the Hamiltonian has an opposite sign in opposite layers for any fixed density. The staggered potential then works as the \(\Delta \sigma_z\) term for each bilayer (see Supplementary Note 3). It is straightforward to show by direct diagonalization of the 4LG Hamiltonian that, within the effective bilayer model, the staggered potential \(\Delta\) opens a gap at the CNP in 4LG
The opening of a gap at the CNP at finite approximation, including only nearest neighbour hopping in the plane and perpendicular to the plane. The opening of a gap at the CNP at finite \( \Delta \) is apparent. On the contrary, no gap is opened by a staggered potential \( \Delta \) in the case of few layer graphene with odd \( N \), as shown in (b) for the case of trilayer graphene \( (N = 3) \), because of the presence of a monolayer-like band (see Supplementary Note 3 for the theoretical calculations and more details).

above discussion based on the analogy with BLG certainly cannot substitute a complete theoretical analysis—and more complex possibilities cannot be ruled out at this stage—it has the benefit of showing explicitly a realistic scenario based on electron–electron interactions, which leads to the opening of a gap at the CNP and naturally explains why the gap size in 4LG is essentially identical to that observed in BLG.

Interestingly, the scenario that we propose also captures the systematic behaviour of charge neutral Bernal multilayers, which emerges experimentally with our finding of an interaction-driven insulating state in 4LG. Specifically, as it has been reported in previous experiments, ‘odd’ (that is, mono and tri) layers remain conducting at low temperature, whereas, as we can conclude from this work, ‘even’ (that is, bi, tri and tetra) layers exhibit a gap and become insulating. This phenomenon cannot simply be explained in terms of an enhanced susceptibility to interactions due to a larger low-energy density of states, since Bernal-stacked trilayers, for instance, have a larger density of states than bilayers, and yet they remain conducting at low temperature. On the contrary, describing the effect of interactions in terms of a staggered mean-field potential at the level of approximation used in this study (see Equation (1) and the Supplementary Note 3) leads precisely to the even–odd effect that is observed experimentally. In fact, at the same level of approximation used to discuss 4LG, the electronic structure of even multilayers generally decouples into bilayer-like bands, and since each band opens a gap in the presence of a staggered potential, the electronic state of a generic even multilayer is gapped (see Fig. 5a). When performing the same analysis for odd multilayers, next to the bilayer-like bands, a linearly dispersing monolayer-like band emerges and remains ungapped in the presence of a staggered potential (see Fig. 5b). As a result, odd multilayers remain metallic without opening a gap (see Supplementary Note 3 for more details). It remains to be understood why the simple approximation used in this study to describe the Bernal multilayers (which neglects, for instance, next-layer hopping processes, responsible for the \( \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_5 \) parameters in the tight-binding description of graphene) correctly captures the basic aspects of the electron–electron interactions. The robustness of the even–odd effect may be rooted in the symmetry of these systems, which is different in the two cases: even multilayers are inversion symmetric, whereas odd ones possess reflection symmetry (for thickness larger than the monolayer). It therefore seems plausible that the appearance of a staggered potential—which breaks inversion and preserves reflection symmetry—can account for a drastic modification of the behaviour of even multilayers, and not of that of odd ones.

Discussion

The experimental observation of the even–odd effect just discussed is particularly interesting because it shows how the interactions induce robust, systematic behaviour in graphene multilayers, irrespective of the specific values of the tight-binding hopping parameters. It is worth noting the similarity between the effect discussed here and the phenomenon observed in a class of very different materials, namely ladders of antiferromagnetically coupled spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) chains. There, the spectrum of spin excitations also exhibits an even–odd effect, being gapped for ladders composed by an even number of chains and gapless when the number of chains is odd. Theoretical concepts that have been considered to explain the even–odd effect observed in spin ladders include topological terms related to the non-trivial Berry phase of spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) and the presence of a Dirac dispersion (ubiquitous in truly one-dimensional systems), which clearly have analogies with the physics of graphene. Nevertheless, there are important differences, for example, in spin ladders the even–odd effect is seen when going from 1D to 2D systems, whereas with graphene multilayers we go from 2D to 3D.

An important implication of the robustness of the even–odd interaction effect, and of the experimental findings reported here, is that electron–electron interactions can be expected to determine also the properties of multilayers that are so thick to be normally considered as bulk graphite. Indeed, finding an insulating state in 4LG already clearly visible well above 4.2 K, that is, more pronounced than in BLG (where it becomes visible only below 1 K; see Supplementary Note 1), shows that the role of interactions does not become weaker, upon increasing layer thickness. This finding and the theoretical considerations made above, therefore, indicate that the commonly held notion that bulk graphite can be well understood within a single-particle model is unlikely to be correct, and that at a sufficiently low-energy the effects of electron–electron interactions become important. These effects can easily be eclipsed in experiments on samples of microscopic size, which unavoidably contain structural defects, but when probing well-characterized, small multilayers—which can be made defect free—they become fully apparent.

Methods

Device fabrication and measurement. Samples in this study were fabricated by using polydimethylglutarimide (PMGI)-based lift-off resist (LOR, MicroChem) as a sacrificial layer. Graphene flakes were mechanically exfoliated from natural graphite onto an \(~ 1 \mu \text{m}\)-thick LOR layer covering the highly doped Si/SiO\(_2\) substrate (acting as a back gate), and the metallic electrodes (10 nm Ti/70 nm Au) contacting the selected flakes were defined by using a standard microfabrication process: electron-beam lithography, deposition and lift-off. Before removing the sacrificial LOR resist locally under the flake to achieve suspension, the flake shape was defined by the exposure to an Oxygen plasma through a patterned polymethylmethacrylate resist mask (see the inset of Fig. 1a for an optical image of etching pattern). After suspension, the devices were mounted in the vacuum chamber of a Heliox \(_3^{}\)He system (Oxford Instruments; base temperature of 250 mK) and current annealed at low temperature \((T = 4.2 \text{ K})\) in a two-terminal
configuration, by using shorted adjacent pairs of contacts (1 and 2) and (3 and 4) as a source and drain, respectively. As we adopt the strategy to proceed with the current annealing until all obvious manifestations of inhomogeneity in the transport properties of the device disappear, multiple annealing steps are required and the number of devices that survive the process in the end is small (in other words, we do not start with in-depth investigations of transport, which can easily take several months for a single device, as long as non-idealities are present in the transport characteristics of the devices).
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