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Abstract

We present a genome assembly from an individual male *Mimas tiliae* (the lime hawk-moth; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Sphingidae). The genome sequence is 478 megabases in span. The complete assembly is scaffolded into 29 chromosomal pseudomolecules, with the Z sex chromosome assembled.
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Species taxonomy
Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Bombycoidea; Sphingidae; Smerinthinae; Smerinthini; Mimas; Mimas tiliae (Linnaeus, 1758) (NCBI:txid522848).

Background
Mimas tiliae (lime hawk-moth) is characterised by scalloped edges to the forewing, along with bold green and buff markings, which are thought to disrupt object perception by predators through ‘disruptive coloration’ (Stevens et al., 2006). The wing markings also show ‘edge enhanced colouration’ which may also slow object recognition by a predator (Sharman et al., 2018). Mimas tiliae is common throughout southern England, particularly London, and has spread further north in recent years, with one record of breeding as far north as Glasgow, Scotland (Payne, 2020). The species can be found in woodland and in suburban habitats, and flies in May and June. The genome of M. tiliae was sequenced as part of the Darwin Tree of Life Project, a collaborative effort to sequence all of the named eukaryotic species in the Atlantic Archipelago of Britain and Ireland. Here we present a chromosomally complete genome sequence for M. tiliae, based on one male specimen from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK.

Genome sequence report
The genome was sequenced from a single male M. tiliae (Figure 1) collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.768, longitude -1.337). A total of 37-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule long reads and 80-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 10 missing/misjoins and removed 2 haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly length by 0.05% and the scaffold number by 21.62%.

The final assembly has a total length of 478 Mb in 29 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 18 Mb (Table 1). Of the assembly sequence, 100% was assigned to 29 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 28 autosomes (numbered by sequence length), and the Z sex chromosome (Figure 2–Figure 5; Table 2). The assembly has a BUSCO v5.1.2 (Simão et al., 2015) completeness of 98.8% (single 98.5%, duplicated 0.3%) using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set. While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.

Methods
Sample acquisition and DNA extraction
A single male M. tiliae (ilMimTili1) was collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.768, longitude -1.337)

Table 1. Genome data for Mimas tiliae, ilMimTili1.1.

| Project accession data |  |
|------------------------|---|
| Assembly identifier    | ilMimTili1.1 |
| Species                | Mimas tiliae |
| Specimen               | ilMimTili1 |
| NCBI taxonomy ID       | NCBI:txid522848 |
| BioProject             | PRJEB43536 |
| BioSample ID           | SAMEA7520521 |
| Isolate information    | Male, head/thorax/abdomen |

| Raw data accessions    |  |
|------------------------|---|
| PacificBiosciences SEQUEL I | ERR6394585 |
| 10X Genomics Illumina   | ERR6054525-ERR6054528 |
| Hi-C Illumina          | ERR6054524 |

| Genome assembly        |  |
|------------------------|---|
| Assembly accession     | GCA_905332985.1 |
| Accession of alternate haplotype | GCA_905333085.1 |
| Span (Mb)              | 478 |
| Number of contigs      | 40 |
| Contig N50 length (Mb) | 17.8 |
| Number of scaffolds    | 29 |
| Scaffold N50 length (Mb) | 17.9 |
| Longest scaffold (Mb)  | 26.0 |
| BUSCO* genome score    | C:98.8%[S:98.5%,D:0.3%], F:0.3%,M:0.8%,n:5286 |

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.1.2. C= complete [S= single copy, D=duplicated], F=fragmented, M=missing, n=number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/Mimas%20tiliae/dataset/ilMimTili1.1/busco.

Figure 1. Image of the ilMimTili1 specimen taken during preservation and processing.
by Douglas Boyes, UKCEH, using a light trap. The specimen was identified by the same individual and preserved on dry ice.

DNA was extracted at the Tree of Life laboratory, Wellcome Sanger Institute. The ilMimTili1 sample was weighed and dissected on dry ice with tissue set aside for Hi-C sequencing. Abdomen tissue was disrupted using a Nippi Powermasher fitted with a BioMasher pestle. Fragment size analysis of 0.01-0.5 ng of DNA was then performed using an Agilent FemtoPulse. High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was extracted using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA extraction kit. Low molecular weight DNA was removed from a 200-ng aliquot of extracted DNA using 0.8X AMPure XP purification kit prior

Figure 2. Genome assembly of Mimas tiliae, ilMimTili1.1: metrics. The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 477,981,037 bp assembly. The distribution of chromosome lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest chromosome present in the assembly (25,978,239 bp, shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 chromosome lengths (17,899,878 and 11,870,120 bp), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative chromosome count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the lepidoptera_odb10 set is shown in the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/Mimas%20tiliae/dataset/ilMimTili1_1/snail.
to 10X Chromium sequencing; a minimum of 50 ng DNA was submitted for 10X sequencing. HMW DNA was sheared into an average fragment size between 12-20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system with speed setting 30. Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation using AMPure PB beads with a 1.8X ratio of beads to sample to remove the shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA sample. The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

**Sequencing**

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics read cloud sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Sequencing was performed...
by the Scientific Operations core at the Wellcome Sanger Institute on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II and Illumina HiSeq X instruments. Hi-C data were generated from head/thorax tissue using the Arima Hi-C+ kit and sequenced on HiSeq X.

Genome assembly
Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021); haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan et al., 2020). One round of polishing was performed by aligning 10X Genomics read data to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) using SALSA2 (Ghurye et al., 2019). The assembly was checked for contamination and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow et al., 2016) as described previously (Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation (Howe et al., 2021) was performed using gEVAL, HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al., 2018) and Pretext. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva et al., 2021) and annotated using MitoFinder (Allio et al., 2020). The genome was analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis et al., 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.
Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Mimas tiliae*, ilMimTili1.1.

| ENA accession | Chromosome | Size (Mb) | GC% |
|---------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| HG995238.1    | 1          | 25.98     | 38.2|
| HG995240.1    | 2          | 21.59     | 37.9|
| HG995241.1    | 3          | 20.90     | 38  |
| HG995242.1    | 4          | 20.30     | 38  |
| HG995243.1    | 5          | 20.14     | 38  |
| HG995244.1    | 6          | 19.20     | 37.9|
| HG995245.1    | 7          | 18.59     | 37.9|
| HG995246.1    | 8          | 18.42     | 38  |
| HG995247.1    | 9          | 18.34     | 38.2|
| HG995248.1    | 10         | 18.23     | 38.1|
| HG995249.1    | 11         | 17.90     | 38.1|
| HG995250.1    | 12         | 17.80     | 37.7|
| HG995251.1    | 13         | 17.67     | 37.9|
| HG995252.1    | 14         | 17.29     | 38.1|

Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Mimas tiliae*, ilMimTili1.1: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilMimTili1.1 assembly, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are given in order of size from left to right and top to bottom.
Table 3. Software tools used.

| Software tool  | Version | Source                                                                 |
|----------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hifiasm        | 0.12    | Cheng et al., 2021                                                     |
| purge_dups     | 1.2.3   | Guan et al., 2020                                                      |
| SALSA2         | 2.2     | Ghurye et al., 2019                                                    |
| longranger align | 2.2.2 | https://support.10xgenomics.com/genome-exome/software/pipelines/latest/advanced/other-pipelines |
| freebayes      | 1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8 | Garrison & Marth, 2012                                               |
| MitoHiFi       | 1.0     | https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi                              |
| gEVAL          | N/A     | Chow et al., 2016                                                      |
| HiGlass        | 1.11.6  | Kerpedjiev et al., 2018                                                |
| PretextView    | 0.1.x   | https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView                               |
| BlobToolKit    | 2.6.2   | Challis et al., 2020                                                   |
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Data availability
European Nucleotide Archive: Mimas tiliae (lime hawk-moth). Accession number PRJEB43536: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB43536.

The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The M. tiliae genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.
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The authors present the work “The genome sequence of the lime hawk-moth, Mimas tiliae” where they assemble the genome of the lime hawk-moth. Overall, the genome assembly is very high quality and the authors did a great job presenting the assembly statistics in their figures. This is the first time this species has been assembled, therefore it is obvious that it is beneficial to the field to have such a high quality reference genome. I will note that it's unfortunate that the authors used a male rather than female as that precludes an assembly of chrW - but in my mind that does not preclude publication in any way.

My only comments to increase the impact of the study would be to:

1. In the background section of the paper introduce why the genome of this species is valuable and what biological questions can now be answered.

2. I would also suggest citation of karotyping and the expectation of the number of chromosomes (which matches the assembly of Traut et al. (2007)).

3. Utilize the new assembly to answer specific phylogenetic questions by comparing this species to other lepidopterans.

4. These suggestions are minor and the assembly quality is impressive, I recommend this manuscript for publication.
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