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**Simple Summary:** Potential probiotic bacteria for aquacultured species should be naturally occurring and non-pathogenic in the native habitat of the host, easy to culture, and able to grow in the intestine of the host. Selenium nanoparticles (Se0 Nps) can be effectively used as a growth promoter, antioxidant, and immunostimulant agent in aquacultured species. Dietary supplementation with probiotics and Se0 Nps contributes to the balance of the intestinal microbiota and probiotics have been proposed as an alternative to chemotherapeutants and antibiotics to prevent disease outbreaks, to mitigate the negative effects of stress and to strengthen the antioxidant capacity and the immune system of fish. Our results reported the isolation of a probiotic strain obtained from healthy rainbow trout. The strain was identified as *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* species. This strain showed characteristics typically present in probiotics and, concurrently, the capacity to biosynthesize Se0 Nps. The supplementation of the rainbow trout fish diet with LABS14-Se0 Nps showed a positive effect on innate immune response parameters, oxidative status, well-being, and a better growth performance than the supplementation of the diet with the bacterium LABS14 alone. Therefore, we propose LABS14-Se0 Nps as a promising alternative for the nutritional supplementation for rainbow trout or even other salmonids.

**Abstract:** Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), obtained from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) intestine, were cultured in MRS medium and probiotic candidates. Concurrently, producers of elemental selenium nanoparticles (Se0 Nps) were selected. Probiotic candidates were subjected to morphological characterization and the following tests: antibacterial activity, antibiotic susceptibility, hemolytic activity, catalase, hydrophobicity, viability at low pH, and tolerance to bile salts. Two LAB strains (S4 and S14) satisfied the characteristics of potential probiotics, but only strain S14 reduced selenite (Se0 Nps) on the surface of S14 cells. Rainbow trout diet was supplemented (10^8 CFU g^-1 feed) with Se0 Nps-enriched *L. plantarum* S14 (LABS14-Se0 Nps) or *L. plantarum* S14 alone (LABS14) for 30 days. At days 0, 15, and 30, samples (blood, liver, and dorsal muscle) were obtained from both groups, plus controls lacking diet supplementation. Fish receiving LABS14-Se0 Nps for 30 days improved respiratory burst and plasmatic lysozyme, (innate immune response) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) (oxidative status) activities and productive parameters...
when compared to controls. The same parameters also improved when compared to fish receiving LABS14, but significant only for plasmatic and muscle GPX. Therefore, Se0Nps-enriched L. plantarum S14 may be a promising alternative for rainbow trout nutritional supplementation.
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### 1. Introduction

Chile is a worldwide important producer of trout and salmon. In fact, it is the second largest aquacultured Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) producer after Norway [1] and the leading rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) producer in the world [2]. This high productivity requires intensive farming, i.e., massive fish biomass grown at high densities per unit of water volume, increasing the susceptibility of fish to diseases caused by various microbial pathogens, including bacteria [3]. Controlling bacterial fish diseases has been associated to an increased use of antibiotics and chemotherapeutics, leading to drug resistant pathogens. According to the official 2017 Aquaculture Environmental Report [4], the production of salmonids has caused the accumulation of organic matter (e.g., uneaten food, fish’s feces) and antibiotics in the sediment of sea, fjords, or lakes located directly beneath the fish cages. Urbina [5] reported a localized eutrophication and changes in overall microbial biodiversity in the sediments of different salmon culture centers in southern Chile. Cabello and Godfrey [6] suggested that the excessive use of antibacterials in the Chilean salmon aquaculture industry and the presence of antibacterials residues in the environment are creating a critical condition, inducing and spreading new antibiotic resistance genes in the bacterial communities with potentially negative effects on fish farming and human health.

The main antibiotics used in aquacultured salmonid fish are florfenicol and oxytetracycline. The Chilean governmental National Service of Fishing and Aquaculture (Sernapesca), during the 2017–2020 period, indicated that oxytetracycline and florfenicol represented 16.63% and 79.62%, respectively, of the total use of antibiotics in Chilean aquaculture [7]. Navarrete et al. [8] showed that oxytetracycline reduces bacterial diversity in the salmonid microbiota favoring opportunistic pathogenic bacteria proliferation. Donati et al. [9] reported that after 10 days of florfenicol dietary treatment, rainbow trout underwent a shift in the relative abundance, at the phylum level, of their intestinal microbiome, including an increase of *Proteobacteria* and a reduction of *Firmicutes* when compared to the control. Previously, Valdes et al. [10] had already demonstrated by metagenomic analysis that rainbow trout with an intestinal dysbiosis, with an increase of members of the *Proteobacteria* phylum and a reduction of members of the *Firmicutes* phylum, showed a higher susceptibility to flavobacteriosis, a freshwater disease caused by the Gram-negative bacterium *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*. Therefore, alternatives to reduce the use of these antibiotics are necessary.

The role of the intestinal microbiota in fish seems to be similar to that of terrestrial mammals, i.e., it reinforces the digestive and immune systems [11], promotes growth performance, and alleviates oxidative stress (OS) caused by toxic pollutants, such as the heavy metal cadmium (Cd) [12]. In fish, the imbalance of the intestinal microbiota is one of the most relevant consequences of the misuse of antibacterials. This imbalance may lead to the colonization or the overgrowth of opportunistic pathogenic bacteria, increasing fish mortality [13].

A number of alternatives of antibiotics in salmonid farming are available (Lozano et al., 2017). Among these alternatives, probiotics, “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host” [14], improve nutrition, provide health benefits, reduce the prevalence of diseases, improve growth, health status, immunity, food conversion, microbial balance, and environmental-friendly food production [15,16]. The supplementation of food with probiotics may control various bacterial
pathogens in several fish species [17], including rainbow trout [15]. The lactic acid produced by probiotics causes beneficial effects on a number of aquacultured species [18–20].

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are non-spore forming Gram-positive cocci or coccobacilli, including anaerobe or facultative anaerobe rods which produce organic acids, such as lactic acid, the main fermentation product of the metabolism of carbohydrate [21]. Some LAB bacterial strains, capable to produce bioactive compounds (such as lactic acid, acetate, formic acid, hydrogen peroxide, ethanol, enzymes, benzoate, antibacterial peptides, free fatty acids, and volatile compounds), can work synergistically as broad-spectrum antibacterials toward several pathogens and exert a probiotic activity [22].

Members of bacterial genera investigated as probiotics for salmonids include *Carnobacterium*, *Pediococcus* and *Lactobacillus*, which are LAB belonging to phylum *Firmicutes* [23]. Certain strains of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* (formerly *Lactobacillus plantarum*) have demonstrated probiotic properties [24] which have boosted the immune status and growth when supplemented to the diet in different fish species, such as the Atlantic salmon [25] and the rainbow trout [26,27].

On the other hand, Selenium (Se) is a chemical element indispensable for animals. Se is required in metabolic processes involved in development, growth, health, and fertility. It is a diet supplement required by cultured salmon and trout as a nutritional supplement [28,29]. Moreover, seleno-proteins, proteins requiring Se as cofactor, are involved in the removal of reactive oxygen species (ROS), preventing OS [30]. According to Rathore et al. [31], the elemental Se nanoparticles (Se$_0$Nps) can be effectively used as a growth promoter, antioxidant, and immunostimulant agent in aquacultured species. Numerous other studies also reported the benefit of including Se$_0$Nps in the diet of aquatic animals to enhance their growth performance, and their physiological and health condition [32–34]. The toxicity of Se$_0$Nps is low toxicity and their functionality is high [35]. Markedly, when compared to other forms of Se, the inclusion of Se$_0$Nps as a food supplement has proven to better enhance growth performance and productivity in aquatic animals [36].

Bacteria are microorganisms characterized by their capacity to grow rapidly, being easy to handle and the cost to culture them is relatively low. Therefore, they are microfactories able biosynthesize, among other compounds, metal nanoparticles [37]. Besides their easy processing, the low environmental impact, and the pharmacological merits, the production of Se$_0$Nps by bacteria has become an extensively validated method [38]. A number of LAB have been investigated as Se-enriched (i.e., bacteria capable to produce Se$_0$Nps) food supplement applications [39]. Some strains of *L. plantarum* have demonstrated to be able to accumulate Se salts and to biotransform amino acids into seleno-amino acids or Se$_0$Nps [40–42]. A Se-enriched *L. plantarum* supplemented diet has been shown to protect against Cd toxicity, reducing OS in the fish *Luciobarbus capito* [43] and having anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects in mice [44].

Considering the benefits that probiotics on one hand and Se$_0$NPs on the other hand can provide to the salmonid farming industry, this study aimed firstly to isolate and select, from the intestinal content of rainbow trout, a suitable lactic acid bacterial strain possessing the characteristics of a probiotic and concurrently being able to produce Se$_0$Nps and then to evaluate, in vivo, its possible positive effect, when administered as a diet supplement, on innate immune response, the oxidative status, and productive parameters of rainbow trout.

### 2. Materials and Method

#### 2.1. Animals Used

All rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) subjected to the following assays were treated according to the Biosecurity Regulations and Ethical Protocols approved by the University of Concepcion (UdeC) Ethics Committee (protocol code CBB 1084-2021). A total of 108 apparently healthy rainbow trout were used in this study. All fish were obtained from a fish farm (Florida, BioBio Region, Chile) and transported to the UdeC facilities (approximately 25 km distance) considering the guidelines for the welfare of farmed fish during transport included in the Aquatic Animal Health Code [45].
The plan to be accomplished in the present study firstly included to isolate putative LAB from the intestinal content of 6 fish to search for isolates showing characteristics of potential probiotics as well as the ability to produce Se⁰Nps. Then, considering its probiotic potential and Se⁰Nps production, a selected isolate was to be selected to be dispensed, as a food supplement, to rainbow trout to evaluate, in vivo, its effect on the innate immune response, on the oxidative status, and on the productive parameters of O. mykiss. The in vivo work plan required a total of 102 fish (96 fish required for the in vivo trials plus 6 additional spare fish).

2.2. Obtention of Putative LAB Isolates from the Intestinal Content of Rainbow Trout

Six fish (average weight 105.7 ± 3.2 g) were transferred to the Laboratory of Environmental Microbiology (LEM), UdeC, where they were euthanized using an overdose (50 ppm) of BZ-20 (sodium para-aminobenzoate) anesthetic (Veterquimica, Santiago, Chile) following indications given by the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) guidelines for euthanizing animals [46]. Then, their intestines were aseptically removed, with the intestinal content of the 6 fish mixed and homogenized. Subsequently, 1 g of this homogenate was suspended in 9 mL sterile saline solution, vigorously vortexed by at least 2 min, and then transferred to a 15 mL Falcon tube (Corning Inc., Tewksbury, MA, USA). Then, 100 µL of serial dilutions (10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁷) were transferred to plates containing Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), a culture medium specially designed to allow the growth of most LAB strains [47], and then incubated under microaerobic condition at 37 °C for 48 h using the candle jar method [48]. For the identification of colonies as LAB, the macroscopic morphological characterization of colonies was analyzed, and the Gram stain and catalase test were used. Gram-positive, catalase negative colonies were chosen for carbohydrate fermentation tests. Each colony that showed a carbohydrate fermentative metabolism was considered a LAB isolate [49,50]. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.3. Search for Isolates from the Intestinal Content of Rainbow Trout Having Characteristics of LAB

2.3.1. Morphological Characterization of Isolates

The morphology of each colony (hereafter referred as isolate) was evaluated visually in pure cultures in Petri dishes as described by Procop et al. [51]. These observations included the shape, color, edges, and elevation of the colonies. The observation of bacterial cells was performed, after Gram staining, under a light microscope (Olympus CX31, Tokyo, Japan), in order to select the Gram-positive isolates [52].

2.3.2. Catalase Test

The catalase test was performed by placing two drops of 3% hydrogen peroxide on an object glass slide in which each isolate from a 24–48 h culture at 37 °C under microaerobic conditions was previously spread. A catalase test was considered as positive when bubbles, resulting from the activity of the bacterial catalase enzyme which converts H₂O₂ into water and oxygen, were observed [53]. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.3.3. Carbohydrate Fermentation Tests

Carbohydrate fermentation tests were performed according to Erkus [54] with modifications. Briefly, 6 sugar substrates, 3 hexoses (glucose, fructose, and galactose) and 3 pentoses (ribose, xylose and arabinose) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), were used. Sugars were independently dissolved in deionized water at final concentrations of 5% (w/v), and each solution was sterilized using 0.22 µm pore diameter sterile syringe filters (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Göteborg, Sweden). MRS broth plus 0.01 g phenol red (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) per L of broth, as pH indicator, was prepared. Then, 4.5 mL of MRS broth plus phenol red were placed into screw cap test tubes and, after placing Durham’s tubes, they were autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min. Each sugar sterile solution (0.5 mL) was added to different test tubes and 200 µL of each isolate (previously adjusted to 0.5 McFarland) were
inoculated into the MRS broth containing phenol red. Incubation was performed under microaerobic condition at 37 °C for 24–48 h. Carbohydrate fermentation was detected by the color change of the medium and gas formation was detected in the Durham tubes [54].

2.4. Search for Potential Probiotic Characteristics in the Isolated LAB Strains

The isolates classified as LAB strains were tested to determine, according to Rondón et al. [55], if they possessed characteristics of potential probiotics. Their antibacterial activity, antibiotic susceptibility, viability at a low pH, tolerance to bile salts, hemolytic activity, and hydrophobicity of isolates were evaluated using assays. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.4.1. Antibacterial Activity of the LAB Strains

Isolates were individually screened to detect their antibacterial activity against indicator bacteria. Indicator bacteria included both Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 and Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633 strains) and Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 10145 strains) and were tested according to Schillinger & Lücke [56] and Geria et al. [57] with modifications. Briefly, each LAB strain, adjusted to 0.5 McFarland, was individually sown by swabbing on Petri dishes containing a thin layer of MRS agar and then 4 mm in diameter discs were aseptically removed. One disc of each LAB strain was placed on top of trypticase soy agar (TSA) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) containing Petri dishes in which the indicator bacteria (adjusted to 0.5 McFarland) had been previously sown. Finally, the Petri dishes were incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h under microaerobic conditions. Diameters of inhibition halos observed were recorded. The absence of inhibition halo was interpreted as negative antibacterial activity [58]. LAB strains and indicator bacteria were also separately cultured under similar conditions as growth controls. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.4.2. Antibiotic Susceptibility Test of the LAB Strains

The phenotypic susceptibility of LAB strains to antibiotics was determined by means of the agar diffusion method as indicated by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [59]. Susceptibility to: gentamicin (GEN; 10 µg), tetracycline (TET; 30 µg), oxytetracycline (OXY; 30 µg), erythromycin (ERY; 15 µg), florfenicol (FLO; 30 µg), and ampicillin (AMP; 10 µg) (Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom) was tested. Briefly, each LAB strain was grown in MRS broth at 37 °C for 18 h under microaerobic conditions from which a 0.5 McFarland inoculum was prepared. Then, 100 µL of cell suspension were evenly spread on a Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) containing plate and maintained at room temperature for 1 h. Antibiotic discs were aseptically placed on the plates and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24–72 h under microaerobic condition. Antibacterial susceptibility was interpreted according to the inhibition diameter disc diffusion breakpoint proposed by the CLSI [59] (Table 1). The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

| Antibiotic (µg) | Interpretable Categories and Inhibition Diameter Breakpoints, Nearest Whole mm | Antibiotic Class | Mechanism of Action |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
|                | S  | I  | R  |                                           |                  |                   |
| GEN (10)       | ≥15 | 14–13 | ≤12 | Aminoglycosides                               | Inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| TET (30)       | ≥15 | 14–12 | ≤11 | Tetracycline                                  | Inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| OXY (30)       | ≥15 | 14–12 | ≤11 | Tetracycline                                  | Inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| ERY (15)       | ≥22 | 21–16 | ≤15 | Macrolides                                   | Inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| FLO (30)       | ≥19 | 18–15 | ≤14 | Amphenicols                                  | Inhibitor of protein synthesis |
| AMP (10)       | ≥17 | 16–14 | ≤13 | B-Lactams                                    | Inhibitor of the cell wall synthesis |

GEN: gentamicin; TET: tetracycline; OXY: oxytetracycline; ERY: erythromycin; FLO: florfenicol; AMP: ampicillin.
S: susceptible; I: intermediate; R: resistant.
2.4.3. Hemolytic Activity of the LAB Strains

To determine the hemolytic activity of the LAB strains, the method of Rodrigues et al. [61], with modifications, was used. Briefly, bacteria from an axenic and fresh culture were sown on MRS agar containing 5% human blood. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24, 48, or 72 h under microaerobic conditions, after which alpha, beta or gamma hemolysis around each colony was determined. S. aureus ATCC 6538 was used as a positive control. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.4.4. Hydrophobicity Assays

To determine the hydrophobicity of the LAB strains, the MATH method by Xu et al. [62] was used with modifications. Briefly, 2 mL of a bacterial suspension of each LAB strain adjusted to 0.5 McFarland was combined with 0.8 mL p-xylene (1,4-dimethylbenzene; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and vortexed for 2 min. Samples were maintained at room temperature and the phases allowed to separate by decantation and the aqueous phase removed. The decrease in the absorbance of the aqueous phase, at an optical density (OD) of 600 nm, was considered a measure of cell surface hydrophobicity (H%), which was calculated using the formula:

\[
H\% = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100.
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(A\) are the absorbances before and after extraction with p-xylene, respectively. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

According to Sánchez-Ortiz et al. [63], H% values < 30% were considered as “Low”, values ≥ 30%, <60% were referred to as “Medium”, and values ≥ 60% were referred to as “High”. Strains with low adhesion to p-xylene (<30%) were discarded as potential probiotics.

2.4.5. Cell Viability of the LAB Strains at a Low pH or Bile Salts

Tolerance of the selected LAB strains to acidic pH or bile salts was determined based on the methodology of Kaushik et al. [64] and Klayraung and Okonogi [53], respectively, with modifications. Briefly, 1 mL of bacterial culture was grown in 9 mL MRS broth adjusted to pH 3 using 5 N HCl (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) or supplemented with 0.3% be salts (Ox-bile dehydrated and purified salt for microbiology, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) [65] at 37 °C for 4 h under microaerobic conditions. Then, aliquots were transferred to plates containing MRS agar and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h under microaerobic conditions and counts expressed as log CFU mL\(^{-1}\). LAB strains cultured under similar conditions but not subjected to the low pH or bile salts were used as controls. The viability of LAB strains subjected to the acidic pH or to the bile salts as a percentage of viable cells with respect to the control was assessed according to the formula:

\[
\% \text{ cell viability} = \frac{\text{Number of CFU LAB strain in MRS exposed low pH or bile salts}}{\text{Number of CFU LAB strain in MRS not exposed to low pH or bile salts}} \times 100
\]

A LAB strain was considered as tolerant to low pH or bile salts if counts of CFU mL\(^{-1}\) of the LAB strain cultured under a low pH or to bile salts was higher than 50% counts of CFU mL\(^{-1}\) of the respective control. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.5. Biosynthesis and Characterization of Se\(^{0}\)Nps-Enriched Probiotic Strain (LABstrain-Se\(^{0}\)Nps)

The LAB strains that showed the most promising characteristics of a potential probiotic bacterium according to Rondón et al. [35] were individually cultured in MRS agar containing 1 mM Na\(_2\)SeO\(_3\) at 37 °C for 24 h under microaerobic condition [48]. Colonies which acquired a red color, a characteristic feature of the allotropic form of the Se\(^{0}\) [66], were transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes (Merk, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 500 µL of previously sterilized distilled water and tubes centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min in a Universal 320/320 R centrifuge (Andreas Hettich GmbH & Co., KG, Tuttingen, Germany).
The supernatants of each tube were discarded, and the pellets washed three times using 500 µL sterile distilled water. After the last wash, distilled water was discarded and replaced by 500 µL 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in cacodylate buffer (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) [67]. Samples were processed at the Laboratory of Electron Microscopy (UdeC) for characterization by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) as described by Dhanjal and Cameotra [68] using a JEOL 1200EX-II TEM microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA, USA) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for their visualization and SEM-Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) for their chemical characterization, as described by Torres et al. [69], using a JEOL JSM 6380LV SEM microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA, USA). The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.6. DNA Isolation, 16S rDNA Gene Amplification and Sequencing of LAB Strain-Se⁰Nps

DNA was extracted from each LAB strain making use of the Dneasy UltraClean Microbial kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), in accordance with the manufacturer’s indications. The bacterial DNA of every single isolate was amplified by PCR according to a method described by Wang et al. [70] using 16S rDNA universal primers GM3f (5′-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGG-3′) and GM4r (5′-TACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′) [71]). The products were sequenced by the method of Sanger’s using an ABI PRISM 3500 xL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) [71]. The sequencing was done at Genoma Mayor (Universidad Mayor, Santiago, Chile). The sequences were analyzed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST).

2.7. Effects of the LAB Strain-Se⁰Nps Dietary Supplementation on the Innate Immune Response, the Oxidative Status, and Productive Parameters of Rainbow Trout

2.7.1. Rainbow Trout Rearing Conditions and Experimental Design

One hundred and two fish were transported to a semi-closed and environmental controlled recirculation system at the Laboratory of Pisciculture and Aquatic Pathology (LPAP), Faculty of Natural and Oceanographical Sciences (Universidad de Concepción). The 102 fish were kept in fiberglass tanks at a density of 25 kg fish m⁻³ under a 12:12 light:dark photoperiod [72]. The water quality parameters were monitored and recorded daily during the time fish were maintained at the LPAP (up to 51 days). The daily average of the parameters was, temperature: 14.9 ± 1.3 °C, dissolved oxygen: 8.3 ± 0.12 mg L⁻¹, ammonia (total ammonia nitrogen ≤0.1 mg L⁻¹), nitrite (≤0.2 mg L⁻¹) and pH: 7.6 ± 0.7 (values ± correspond to the maximum daily variation recorded).

Rainbow trout were maintained during a 21-day acclimation period at the LPAP facilities before starting the assays. During adaptation, fish received a commercial extruded food acclimation diet (AD) (Cargill-Ewos, Coronel, Chile). The composition of the Cargill-Ewos commercial extruded food is reported in Table 2. Fish were fed two times per day, at 10:00 h and 16:00 h with 2% of their average body weight. At the beginning of the assay and on sampling days, eight randomly selected fish from each tank were weighed (BLC 1500 scale, Boeco, Hamburg, Germany) to adjust the amount of food supplied. After the adaptation period, fish were randomly distributed in 6 tanks (17 fish per tank, 2 tanks per diet) and there fed, for 30 days. Diet D1 corresponded to AD plus the LAB strain selected for having properties of a probiotic and producer of Se⁰Nps when cultured in the presence of Na₂SeO₃. Diet D2 was similar to D1 except that the selected bacterial strain was cultured in the absence of Na₂SeO₃ to avoid the presence of Se⁰Nps. The control diet was the same as AD.

| Compound   | %     | Compound   | %     | Compound   | %     |
|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Crude protein | 39–43% | Lipids     | 10–16% | Ash        | 9–12% |
| Moisture   | 7–13% | Calcium    | 1–2%  | Fiber      | 3–4%  |
| Phosphate  | 1–1.4%|            |       |            |       |
2.7.2. Preparation of Diets

The LAB strain selected to enrich D1 and D2 was cultured in MRS broth with or without 1 mM Na$_2$SeO$_3$, respectively, with agitation (100 rpm) in 2000 mL Erlenmeyer flasks (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37 °C for 24 h under microaerobic condition. Then, cultures were transferred to 50 mL conical Falcon centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 10,000× g for 10 min in a Universal 320|320 R centrifuge. The supernatant of each tube was discarded, and the pellet was washed thrice using 500 µL sterile distilled water. After the last wash, distilled water was discarded and replaced by sterilized saline solution at a 1:4 ( pellets:saline solution) ratio.

To prepare the live spray diets D1 and D2, 10$^8$ CFU LAB strain per g of dry AD was used as indicated by Vera [73]. All diets were prepared weekly. Care was taken to maintain sterile conditions through all procedures. The stock diets were kept at 20 ± 2 °C. The viability of the LAB strain incorporated to the diets D1 and D2 was tested after vortexing 10 g of each diet in 90 mL of peptone water and spreading 0.1 mL aliquots of serial dilutions, in triplicate, on plates containing MRS agar and incubating them at 37 °C under microaerobic conditions for 48 h. Finally, the number of CFU was counted.

2.7.3. Rainbow Trout Sampling

On days 0, 15, and 30, six fish from each group (it being the experimental or control group) were taken from the tanks at the LPAP and anesthetized by immersion in fresh water containing 50 ppm of BZ-20 (sodium para-aminobenzoate) (Veterquimica, Santiago, Chile) until stage III anesthesia in fish was observed (total loss of equilibrium and reactivity but opercular movement present) [74,75]. Then, rainbow trout were individually weighed using a BLC 1500 scale and measured (tip of the snout to the rear edge of the fork at the tail center). Subsequently, blood was withdrawn, using a heparinized 18G needle and a syringe, from the caudal vein of each fish and transferred to sterile microtubes containing 0.02 mL of 1000 U mL$^{-1}$ heparin sodium salt (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Once blood was obtained, each sampled fish was humanely euthanized, as described in Section 2.2. Samples of the liver and of the dorsal muscle of each fish were obtained on day 30 of experimentation after the euthanasic procedure was concluded. Blood, liver, and dorsal muscle samples were promptly carried, at 4 °C, to the LEM, UdeC (distance approximately 400 m). Blood obtained from each fish was divided into 2 parts, one used to isolate white blood cells (WBC), as described by Hu et al. [76], and the other to obtain plasma by centrifugation at 5000× g for 10 min. Liver and dorsal muscle were fragmented. Then, plasma, liver, and dorsal muscle were stored at −80 °C. WBC were used to determine ROS concentration immediately after being obtained.

2.7.4. Evaluation of ROS in White Blood Cells and Lysozyme Activity in Plasma

ROS concentration in WBC and plasmatic lysozyme activity of six fish were measured each sampling day per diet. The ROS assay evaluated the reduction, by oxidizing agents, of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) into spectrophotometrically measurable formazan [77]. Briefly, 100 µL of the WBC suspension in Ringer’s solution from each experimental or control fish containing 1 × 10$^7$ WBC mL$^{-1}$ were incubated, with 100 µL 0.1% NBT (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in tubes of 2 mL (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) for 60 min. Posteriorly, 1 mL N,N-dimethylformamide (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was added and the tubes of 2 mL (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), for 10 min at 100× g. The absorbance, measured at 620 nm, of the supernatant was determined using an Epoch spectrophotometer.

The plasmatic lysozyme activity was assessed using a turbidimetric assay [78]. Briefly, after plasma was thawed on ice, an aliquot of 50 µL was combined with 950 µL of a mixture containing 0.25 mg of Micrococcus lysodeikticus in 1 mL of buffered 40 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.2. The absorbance of this suspension was quantified at 450 nm wavelength by means of an Epoch spectrophotometer immediately after adding plasma (time 0) and after 30 min. A 0.001 min$^{-1}$ absorbance reduction was valued as one unit of lysozyme activity [78]. ROS in WBC and plasmatic lysozyme activity measurements were carried out in triplicate.
2.7.5. Activity of the Antioxidant Enzyme Glutathione Peroxidase (Gpx) in Plasma, Liver and Dorsal Muscle

The Gpx activity was measured in plasma following the indications of Lawrence and Burk [79] and in the liver and in the dorsal muscle those of Fontagné-Dicharry et al. [80]. For plasma, Gpx activity was evaluated immediately after thawing. For liver and muscle, after thawing the samples on ice and homogenizing them in 10 volumes (w/v) of ice-cold saline for 3 min, they were centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 × g before the activity of Gpx was determined in the supernatants. Gpx activity was measured in a solution of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), 2 mM sodium azide (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), 2 mM reduced glutathione (GSH) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), 0.1 mM NADPH (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and 0.2 mM glutathione reductase (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). H2O2 (50 µM) reduction at 30 °C was measured at 340 nm in an Epoch spectrophotometer. One unit of Gpx activity was valued as 1 mol NADPH consumed per min per mg of plasmatic proteins, using the appropriate molar absorptivity coefficient for NADPH (6220 mol L⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Plasmatic protein measurement was performed following the method of Lowry et al. [81].

2.7.6. Effect of Diets on Trout Growth Performance and Survival Rate

On day 30, the effects of D1 or D2, in comparison to AD (control), on the productive parameters of the fish were evaluated. The weight and length of each trout and the number of dead fish were recorded to evaluate the specific growth rate (SGR), weight gain (WG), condition factor (CF), and survival percentage. The parameters were calculated as described by Naderi et al. [82] and Lugert et al. [83], using the formula:

\[ SRG \left( \% \ increase \ body \ wt \ d^{-1} \right) = \left[ \frac{\ln w2 - \ln w1}{days} \right] \times 100 \]

\[ WG \ (g) = w2 - w1 \]

\[ CF = \left[ \frac{w}{L^3} \right] \times 100 \]

\[ \text{Survival rate} \ (\%) = \left[ \frac{n2}{n1} \right] \times 100 \]

where \( w1 = \) starting weight (g); \( w2 = \) final weight (g); \( \text{days} = \) days in the growth period; \( w = \) weight (g); \( L = \) length (cm); \( n1 = \) initial number of fish; \( n2 = \) final number of fish.

Fish of groups D1, D2, and AD were made up considering an initial condition factor (ICF) (similar sizes and weights) to ensure that the initial populations of the groups were homogeneous regarding their development stage and nutritional condition.

2.8. Statistics

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) associated to a power and sample size test followed by a Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparison test allowed to determine the statistical significance for multiple comparisons. The student’s t-test was used for pairwise comparisons. \( p < 0.05 \) values were considered as statistically significant. GraphPad Prism software version 7 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for all statistical tests executed.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of LAB

Culturable bacteria from the intestinal content of six apparently healthy rainbow trout were obtained in MRS agar medium. After 12 h of incubation at 37 °C under microaerobic condition, colonies were visible in all agar plates. After 48 h of incubation, 16 colonies slightly white, convex, circular, with defined edges, 2–5 mm in diameter, and creamy consistency were observed. Each colony was collected and individually referred to as S1–S16 isolate. After light microscopy observations (Gram staining) and the catalase test
were performed using the 16 isolates, six rod-shaped Gram-positive and catalase negative isolates were selected (S2, S4, S8, S12, S13, and S14) (Table 3) before they were subjected to the carbohydrate fermentation test by each isolate. The results are displayed in Table 4. From these findings, isolates S2, S4, S8, S12, S13, and S14 isolates were considered as LAB strains.

Table 3. Morphological characteristics and catalase activity of 16 isolates obtained from the intestinal content of rainbow trout.

| Isolate | Gram | Morphology | Catalase |
|---------|------|------------|----------|
| S1      | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S2      | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S3      | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S4      | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S5      | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S6      | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S7      | negative | rod-shaped | N/A |
| S8      | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S9      | negative | rod-shaped | N/A |
| S10     | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S11     | positive | coccoid | positive |
| S12     | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S13     | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S14     | positive | rod-shaped | negative |
| S15     | positive | rod-shaped | positive |
| S16     | positive | rod-shaped | negative |

Isolates were cultured in MRS agar 24–48 h at 37°C under microaerobic conditions. N/A: Not Applicable.

Table 4. Fermentation pattern of hexoses (glucose, fructose or galactose) or pentoses (ribose, xylose or arabinose) of isolates S2, S4, S8, S12, S13 and S14 obtained from the intestinal content of rainbow trout when cultured in MRS broth plus phenol red.

| Isolate | Glucose | Fructose | Galactose | Ribose | Xylose | Arabinose |
|---------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|
| S2      | +       | +        | −         | −      | −      | −         |
| S4      | +g      | +g       | −         | −      | −      | −         |
| S8      | +g      | +g       | −         | +      | +      | +         |
| S12     | +g      | +g       | −         | +      | +      | +         |
| S13     | +       | +        | −         | −      | −      | −         |
| S14     | +       | +g       | −         | +      | +      | +         |

Detection of carbohydrate fermentation: + (positive): fermentation of the carbohydrate, − (negative): no fermentation of the carbohydrate, g: presence of gas in Durham tube.

3.2. Evaluation of the Attributes of a Probiotic in the LAB Strains

3.2.1. Antibacterial Activity of the LAB Strains

Except for the LAB strain S12 (LABS12), the rest of them (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13, and LABS14) showed antibacterial activity against the indicator bacterial strains (S. aureus ATCC 25923, B. subtilis ATCC 6633, E. coli ATCC 25922 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145). The inhibition halos produced by the rainbow trout intestinal strains assayed on the indicator strains (Table 5) ranged from 8 mm (LABS8 against E. coli) to 31 mm (LABS4 against P. aeruginosa). Gram-positive indicator bacteria were shown to be more susceptible than Gram-negative to the antibacterial activity of the strains, being mostly and more significantly inhibited (p < 0.05) by LABS4 and LABS14 strains than by strains LABS2, LABS8, and LABS13. Gram-negative reference bacterial strain E. coli ATCC 25922 was mainly inhibited by LABS2 (p < 0.05) followed by LABS4, both having a significantly higher activity than LABS8, LABS13, and LABS14. P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145 strain was mainly inhibited by LABS4, followed by LABS14 both having a significantly higher activity.
than LABS2, LABS8, and LABS13 strains \((p < 0.05)\). Considering that LABS12 showed the poorest antibacterial activity against the indicator bacteria, it was not included in the following assays. LABS12 was unable to produce an inhibition halo against \textit{S. aureus ATCC 25923}, \textit{E. coli ATCC 25922}, and \textit{P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145}.

Table 5. Antibacterial activity of LAB strains (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS12, LABS13 and LABS14) isolated from the intestinal content of rainbow trout against indicator strains \textit{Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923}, \textit{B. subtilis ATCC 6633}, \textit{E. coli ATCC 25922} and \textit{P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145}.

| LAB Strains | \textit{S. aureus ATCC 25923} | \textit{B. subtilis ATCC 6633} | \textit{E. coli ATCC 25922} | \textit{P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145} |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LABS2       | 16.7 ± 0.9 \(^a\)          | 18.9 ± 0.4 \(^a\)           | 18.6 ± 1.0 \(^a\)          | 13.9 ± 0.5 \(^a\)               |
| LABS4       | 30.8 ± 0.7 \(^b\)          | 25.3 ± 0.7 \(^b\)           | 16.2 ± 0.3 \(^b\)          | 31.6 ± 0.8 \(^b\)               |
| LABS8       | 20.8 ± 0.4 \(^c\)          | 18.3 ± 0.4 \(^a\)           | 8.9 ± 0.4 \(^c\)           | 12.6 ± 0.4 \(^a\)               |
| LABS12      | -                           | 12.8 ± 0.7 \(^c\)           | -                           | -                                |
| LABS13      | 20.5 ± 0.8 \(^c\)          | 16.5 ± 0.7 \(^d\)           | 11.5 ± 0.4 \(^d\)          | 12.4 ± 1.1 \(^a\)               |
| LABS14      | 26.3 ± 0.4 \(^d\)          | 20.9 ± 1.1 \(^e\)           | 12.9 ± 0.3 \(^de\)         | 18.9 ± 0.3 \(^c\)               |

\(-\) inhibition halo not observed. \(^a\)–\(^e\) Means with different superscripts within a column are significantly different \((p < 0.05)\). Values are means of two independent experiments, each in triplicate.

3.2.2. Antibiotic Susceptibility of the LAB Strains

The susceptibility of the five LAB strains remaining as part of this study (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13 and LABS14) to the antibacterial GEN, TET, OXY, ERY, FLO, and AMP was determined by the disc diffusion method. The susceptibility shown by the five LAB strains is summarized in Figure 1. According to the criteria indicated in Table 1 (Section 2.4.2), all the isolates were demonstrated to be susceptible to every one of the antibiotics tested.

Figure 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of the 5 LAB strains (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13 and LABS14), obtained from the intestinal content of rainbow trout to the antibacterial gentamycin (GEN), tetracycline (TET), oxytetracycline (OXY), erythromycin (ERY), florfenicol (FLO), and ampicillin (AMP), evaluated by the disc diffusion antibiotic method according to CLSI (2022) \(^{[59]}\). Data is given as mean ± SD. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

3.2.3. Hemolytic Activity

The hemolytic activity of the five LAB strains (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13, and LABS14) was evaluated in MRS agar plus 5% human blood after 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h of incubation (Table 6). Because gamma hemolysis is consistent with a LAB strain with probiotic properties, LABS4 and LABS14 were selected for the following assays.
Table 6. Type of hemolytic activity on MRS agar plus 5% human blood after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h incubation at 37 °C of the selected LAB strains obtained from the intestinal content of rainbow trout.

| LAB Strain | Type of Hemolysis |
|------------|-------------------|
|            | 24 h   | 48 h   | 72 h   |
| LABS2      | Alpha  | Alpha  | Alpha  |
| LABS4      | Gamma  | Gamma  | Gamma  |
| LABS8      | Alpha  | Alpha  | Alpha  |
| LABS13     | Beta   | Beta   | Beta   |
| LABS14     | Gamma  | Gamma  | Gamma  |

The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

3.2.4. Hydrophobicity Assays

The MATH test, a method used to evaluate the ability of cells to adhere to the surface of another cell, was assessed for LABS4 and LABS14 measuring absorbance at 600 nm. LABS4 (30%) and LABS14 (37%) showed a medium hydrophobicity. Therefore, LABS4 and LABS14 were selected to evaluate their viability at a low pH.

3.2.5. Cell Viability of the LAB Strains at Low pH

The tolerance at low pH, measured as viability of LABS4 and LABS14 at pH 3, is summarized in Table 7. LABS4 and LABS14 were tolerant to pH 3 showing a viability of 57.1% and 74.8%, respectively, when compared with their respective controls. LABS14 was significantly more resistant to pH 3.0 than LABS4 ($p < 0.05$). Even though the number of CFU recorded from LABS4 or LABS14 strains exposed to pH 3.0 was significantly reduced when compared to their respective controls ($p < 0.05$), they satisfied the criterium to consider them as tolerant to a low pH.

Table 7. Tolerance to acidic pH and 0.3% bile salts evaluated by the viability of LABS4 and LABS14 strains isolated from rainbow trout intestinal content, incubated for 4 h at 37 °C at pH 3.0 or in the presence of 0.3% (w/v) bile salts.

| LAB Strain | Acid Resistance | Bile Salts Resistance |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|            | pH 3 (Viability %) | Control     | Bile Salt (Viability %) | Control     |
| LABS4      | *8 × 10^9 ± 0.06 (57.1) | 14 × 10^9 ± 0.12 | *9 × 10^9 ± 0.07 (69.2) | 13 × 10^9 ± 0.18 |
| LABS14     | *12 × 10^9 ± 0.10 (74.8) | 16 × 10^9 ± 0.09 | *12 × 10^9 ± 0.14 (82.3) | 15 × 10^9 ± 0.03 |

Acid resistance: N° of CFU mL$^{-1}$; Bile resistance: N° of CFU mL$^{-1}$. Results are shown as viable cells percentage when compared to cells not subjected to HCl or bile salts (control) assigned as 100%. * Significantly smaller than corresponding control ($p < 0.05$). Data is given as mean ± SD; Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

3.2.6. Cell Viability of the LAB Strains in the Presence of Bile Salts

The tolerance to bile salts, measured as viability of LABS4 and LABS14 strains when subjected to 0.3% (w/v) bile salts, is summarized in the Table 6. LABS4 and LABS14 were tolerant to 0.3% bile salts with 69.2% and 82.3% viability, respectively, when compared with their respective controls. LABS14 was significantly more resistant to 0.3% bile salts than LABS4 ($p < 0.05$). Although the number of CFU recorded from LABS4 or LABS14 strains exposed to bile salts was significantly reduced when compared to respective controls ($p < 0.05$), the criterium to consider them as tolerant was fulfilled.

3.3. Screening for Biosynthesis of Se$^0$Nps by LAB Strains (LABstrain-Se$^0$Nps)

The ability of LABS4 or LABS14 to convert Na$_2$SeO$_3$ into Se$^0$Nps was tested. The red color of colonies cultured in medium containing Na$_2$SeO$_3$ confirms the transformation of Na$_2$SeO$_3$ into Se$^0$ and the possible capacity of LAB strains to produce Se$^0$Nps. Only LABS14 colonies showed red color after being incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in MRS agar plus 1 mM Na$_2$SeO$_3$. LABS4 failed to produce a red color and was therefore excluded from the remaining experiments.
3.4. Characterization of Se Nanoparticles Produced by LABS14

TEM, SEM, and SEM-EDS observations (Figure 2) were performed to confirm that LABS14 was able to produce Se\(^0\)Nps when Se was available in the culture medium. Electron microscopy revealed sphere-like nanoparticles, with sizes between 98 and 245 nm in diameter, attached to the surface of LABS14 cells (Figure 2A,B). The detection of Se in the nanoparticles was verified by SEM-EDS (Figure 2C), allowing them to be considered as Se\(^0\)Nps. The presence of C, N, and O can be attributed to cell debris and the presence of Na and P could be associated to remnants of the culture medium (Figure 2C).

![Figure 2. LABS14-Se\(^0\)Nps. (A) TEM micrograph of LABS14 showing a bacillar morphology bacterium with nanoparticles attached to the cell surface (black arrows); (B) SEM micrograph showing a nanoparticle attached to the bacterial surface (white arrow); (C) SEM-EDS data confirmation of the presence of Se in the nanoparticles (Se\(^0\)Nps).](image)

3.5. Molecular Identification of LABS14 Strain by 16S rDNA Sequence Analysis

LABS14 was identified by PCR, after amplifying its 16S rDNA gene and sequencing the product of PCR (approximately 1500 bp) and subjecting them to a BLAST analysis. LABS14 strain was identified with a high level of confidence (98%) as *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* (access number GenBank AY096004).

3.6. Effect of the Dietary Administration of Enriched-(LABS14-Se\(^0\)Nps) as a Nutritional Supplement in Rainbow Trout (In Vivo Model)

3.6.1. ROS in White Blood Cells and Lysozyme Activity in Plasma

The respiratory burst of the rainbow trout peripheral leukocytes was assessed by the reduction of NBT into formazan. Higher absorbances correspond to higher ROS concentrations.
On days 15 and 30, cellular ROS increased significantly in trout receiving LABS14-Se0 Nps (diet D1) or LABS14 (diet D2) \( (p < 0.05) \) when compared to the control (Figure 3). On days 15 and 30, ROS concentration in the group LABS14-Se0 Nps was higher, although not significantly, when compared to fish receiving LABS14 \( (p > 0.05) \) (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by rainbow trout blood leukocytes, evaluated by nitroblue tetrazolium reduction into formazan. Fish food was supplemented for 30 days with \( 10^8 \) CFU of Se nanoparticle-enriched Lactiplantibacillus plantarum S14 strain (LABS14-Se0 Nps) g\(^{-1}\) or \( 10^8 \) CFU L. plantarum S14 strain (LABS14) g\(^{-1}\). Control did not receive the bacterial strain. Bars indicate standard deviation.

Plasmatic lysozyme activity increased significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) in rainbow trout fed LABS14-Se0 Nps supplemented food (diet D1) on days 15 and 30 when compared to the control group, while LABS14 (diet D2) achieved this only on day 30 (Figure 4). LABS14-Se0 Nps supplementation caused the highest levels of plasmatic lysozyme activity on days 15 and 30, but only on day 15 was it significantly elevated \( (p < 0.05) \) when compared to that caused by LABS14 (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Plasmatic lysozyme activity (U mL\(^{-1}\)) in rainbow trout whose food was supplemented, for 30 days, with \( 10^8 \) CFU of Se nanoparticle-enriched Lactiplantibacillus plantarum S14 strain (LABS14-Se0 Nps) g\(^{-1}\) or \( 10^8 \) CFU L. plantarum S14 strain (LABS14) g\(^{-1}\). Control did not receive the bacterial strain. Bars indicate standard deviation.
3.6.2. Activity of the Antioxidant Enzyme Gpx

The Gpx activity in the plasma, liver, and dorsal muscle of rainbow trout administered LABS14-Se\(^{0}\)Nps or LABS14 supplemented food for 30 days is shown in Figure 5. Significant increases in Gpx activity were observed on day 30 in the plasma, liver, and dorsal muscle in the groups receiving diets D1 or D2 (\(p < 0.05\)) when compared to the control. When compared to fish which received the diet D2, the group receiving the D1 diet showed higher Gpx activities in all samples, but the difference was significant only in plasma and muscle.

![Figure 5. Glutathione peroxidase (mU mg\(^{-1}\) protein) activity in rainbow trout whose food was supplemented, for 30 days, with 10\(^8\) CFU of Se nanoparticle-enriched Lactiplantibacillus plantarum S14 strain (LABS14-Se\(^{0}\)Nps) g\(^{-1}\) or 10\(^8\) CFU L. plantarum S14 strain (LABS14) g\(^{-1}\). Control did not receive the bacterial strain. Bars indicate standard deviation.](image)

3.6.3. Growth Performance and Survival

The growth and survival of fish receiving diet D1 or D2 for 30 days are shown in Figure 6A,B. Weight gain (WG) was significantly higher in the D1 (93.2 g) and D2 (87.1 g) fed groups when compared to the AD group (73.8 g) (\(p < 0.05\)). Similarly, the specific growth rate (SGR) values were significantly higher in D1 (2.03%) and D2 (1.91%) dietary treatment groups when compared to the control (1.71%) (\(p < 0.05\)). Although fish with D1 diet showed the highest SGR value, this was non-significantly higher than that of the group fed the diet D2.

The final condition factor (FCF) of rainbow trout administered the diet D1 (1.69%) was significantly higher than the FCF of the control group (1.23%) (\(p < 0.05\)) but non significantly higher than the group fed with the D2 diet (1.63%) (\(p > 0.05\)).
Figure 6. Growth performance. (A) initial weight (IW), final weight (FW) and weight gain (WG). (B) specific growth rate (SGR), initial condition factor (ICF) and final condition factor (FCF) of rainbow trout whose food was supplemented, for 30 days, with $10^8$ CFU of Se nanoparticle-enriched *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* S14 strain (LABS14-Se$^{0}$Nps) g$^{-1}$ or $10^8$ CFU *L. plantarum* S14 strain (LABS14) g$^{-1}$. Control did not receive the bacterial strain. Bars indicate standard deviation.

4. Discussion

Potential probiotic bacteria for aquaculture species, as reported by Merrifield et al. [18], should be naturally occurring and non-pathogenic in the natural habitat of the host, be easy to culture, and able to grow in the intestine of the host (thus, resist bile salts and low pH, adhere within the intestinal mucus, and capable to colonize the epithelial surface of the intestine). Probiotic candidates should lack plasmid-encoded genes providing antibiotic resistance and should have positive effects on the health and/or nutrition of fish. Dietary supplementation with probiotics contributes to the balance of the intestinal microbiota and probiotics have been proposed as an alternative to chemotherapeutants and antibiotics to avoid disease outbreaks, to mitigate the negative effects of stress, and to strengthen the antioxidant capacity and the immune system of fish [84]. Since intensive fish farming faces heavy losses caused by disease, probiotics are being used to control diseases [85].

LAB, such as *L. plantarum*, are administered as probiotics in fish production because of their positive effects, e.g., in feed utilization [86,87], as growth promoters [88], as immune
response enhancers [89], and as stress tolerance improvers [86]. It has also been reported that some LAB are able to absorb Se ions and produce Se\(\text{0}^{}\)Nps to become selenium-enriched bacterial cells with high biological activity [90–92]. On this basis, we hypothesized that supplementing fish food with a LAB strain having properties of a probiotic and, concurrently, able to biosynthesize Se\(\text{0}^{}\)Nps may have a better potential to improve the innate immune response, the oxidative status, and productive parameters of rainbow trout than supplementing their food with only probiotics.

We obtained 16 possible LAB autochthonous isolates from the intestinal content of rainbow trout and tested them to select the most suitable probiotic LAB candidates. Only six of them (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS12, LABS13, and LABS14) showed characteristics attributable to LAB strains (rod-shaped, non-motile, Gram-positive, catalase negative and lactic acid producing bacteria) [93]. Therefore, these six LAB strains were considered to be tested, according to Rondón et al. [55], to determine if they possessed the characteristics of potential probiotics. The characteristics evaluated were their antibacterial activity, antibiotic susceptibility, viability at a low pH, tolerance to bile salts, hemolytic activity, and hydrophobicity. Strains not fulfilling the requirement of a particular characteristic were excluded and not considered to evaluate the remaining characteristics in them.

Results of the antibacterial activity showed that, except LABS12, all other strains (LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13, and LABS14) had antibacterial activity against Gram-positive (\textit{S. aureus} and \textit{B. subtilis}) and Gram-negative (\textit{E. coli} and \textit{P. aeruginosa}) indicator bacteria. Gram-positive indicator strains were more susceptible than Gram-negative indicator strains to the antibacterial activity of the isolated LAB, results akin to those described by Savadogo et al. [94] and Tebyanian et al. [95], indicating that Gram-negative bacteria are less susceptible than Gram-positive ones to the antibacterial mechanisms executed by LAB strains. The antibacterial activity of probiotics is presently mostly attributed to the production of antibacterial substances or metabolites and to competitive exclusion, i.e., competition with pathogens for nutrients and attachment sites, preventing pathogens from colonizing the intestine [96]. Antibacterial substances are produced by probiotic strains, organic acids (particularly lactic and acetic acids), hydrogen peroxide, and bacteriocins [97].

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) guidelines indicate that all bacterial strains intended for human or animal consumption must be tested for antibiotic susceptibility. The rationality is to avoid these bacteria to become a source of antibiotic resistance genes that may be transferred to other bacteria of the host microbiota or to environment bacterial communities [98]. According to Bujnakova and Strakova [99], strains harboring acquired resistance patterns must be excluded from hosts and environment. Our results concerning the susceptibility of LABS2, LABS4, LABS8, LABS13, and LABS14 to GEN, TET, OXY, ERY, FLO, and AMP, according to the CLSI [59], revealed that all five LAB strains, being susceptible to the antibiotics tested, were considered for the following tests, including hemolytic activity, hydrophobicity (which estimates the capacity of a cell to adhere to another cell surface), cell viability at low pH, and tolerance to bile salts. These tests evaluated, according to Rondón et al. [55], properties of the LAB isolates consistent with a probiotic.

The hemolytic activity assays showed that only LABS4 and LABS14 strains caused gamma hemolysis. In general, pathogenic bacteria are able to lyse erythrocytes and other cell membranes synthetizing and secreting hemolysins, considered as virulence factors [100]. Therefore, both alpha- and beta-hemolytic phenotypes are considered virulence-associated determinants of bacterial species and/or strains [101]. On the other hand, gamma-hemolysis indicates the lack of hemolytic activity of bacteria [102]. Thus, when considering the safety of a probiotic, the absence of hemolysins is an important consideration [14]. Hence, only LABS4 and LABS14 strains could be reasonably selected for the following test: hydrophobicity assay.

Cell hydrophobicity, important property for probiotic bacteria, allows their adherence, including that to the epithelium of the intestine and to colonize the gastrointestinal tract to provide their beneficial effects, such as exclusion of enteropathogenic bacteria [103–105].
LABS14 strain showed a higher level of hydrophobicity than LABS4; nevertheless, the hydrophobicity of both strains was considered as medium. Hydrophobicity figures from 30% to 60% are considered as medium while over 60% is considered as high [62], indicating that besides hydrophobicity other variables may influence the adherence of cells. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that LABS4 and LABS14 strains could efficiently avoid the adherence of enteropathogenic bacteria to the gastrointestinal epithelium of rainbow trout. Thus, LABS4 and LABS14 strains were both included in the following test: cell viability of the LAB strains isolated at a low pH assay.

According to Bravo et al. [106], in salmonid fish fed with artificial diets, the gastric pH drops to 3.5. At a pH < 5, the growth of several Gram-negative bacteria is reduced, so a low pH also creates a natural barrier against pathogens from the environment and favors the proliferation of acid-tolerant, beneficial bacteria, such as LAB [107]. LABS14 and LABS4 strains were able to tolerate a pH of 3 (74.8% and 57.1% viability, respectively). Based on the report of Fečkaninová et al. [93], LABS14 and LABS4 viabilities after being grown at a low pH suggest that these strains can reach the intestine in a viable form. Therefore, LABS14 and LABS4 were both included in the following test: tolerance of the LAB strains isolated to bile salts assay.

Bacterial resistance to intestinal bile salts is another parameter to consider when selecting probiotic bacteria [108]. Then, we can suggest that LABS14 and LABS4 may colonize the rainbow trout intestine because both resisted 0.3% bile salts, a concentration similar to that resisted by a strain of L. plantarum with probiotic potentiality obtained from the Mediterranean trout (Salmo macrostigma) intestine [109]. The secretion of bile salts into the gastrointestinal tract can hinder the growth of bacteria, acting as antibacterial molecules, even if they are present at low concentrations [110]. Nevertheless, probiotics may tolerate them producing bile salts hydrolase enzymes [111]. Thus, the capacity of LABS14 and LABS4 to produce Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps when cultured in Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3} supplemented culture medium was evaluated.

The metabolism of LAB leading to transform inorganic Se into elemental Se (Se\textsuperscript{0}) involves a high activity of the enzyme glutathione reductase (GR) [112] and these authors concluded that a number of LAB strains are overexpressed genes coding for GR (GshR/gor) when grown in the presence of Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}. Selenite may interact with glutathione to produce selenotrisulfide derivatives, which participate in the conversion of inorganic Se into bioactive selenocompounds. These conversions allow reduced glutathione (GSH) to be oxidized to Se di glutathione (GSSeSG), which is then reduced again to GSH by GR. GSSeSG is decomposed to produce Se\textsuperscript{0} [113], allowing LAB cells to form Se\textsuperscript{0}-cysteine (SeCys) and Se\textsuperscript{0}-metionine [41].

When cultured in the presence of Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}, LABS14 colonies, but not LABS4 colonies, acquired a red color. Daza et al. [66] and Ravanal [114] also reported the same phenomenon for the Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps biosynthesized by the bacterium Pantoea agglomerans, attributable to intracellular red amorphous Se, a non-crystalline allotrophic form of Se, resulting from the enzymatic reduction of Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}. The production of Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps by bacteria would be a detoxification process permitting Se (IV) to be reduced to insoluble Se (Se\textsuperscript{0}) and later stored as electron-dense amorphous granules which can be detected in the cytoplasm and/or extracellularly [115,116]. Since LABS4 was unable to reduce Na\textsubscript{2}SeO\textsubscript{3}, only LABS14 strain cells were analyzed by TEM, SEM, and SEM-EDS. Observations revealed that LABS14 was able to produce sphere-like Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps, which were located in the surface of cells.

The transformation of inorganic Se into Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps by LAB has been previously reported [40,41,117,118]. Reported size of biosynthesized Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps by some L. plantarum strains are: <250 nm [116], between 55 and 90 nm [115] and 142.6 nm average [42]. According to Zhang et al. [117], smaller Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps have greater biological activity. Hosnedlova et al. [118] showed that the absorption of Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps synthesized by L. lactis in the gastrointestinal tract was 15–250 times higher when particles were 50–90 nm compared to nanoparticles of 125–155 nm.
Since LABS14 showed that its tested characteristics are consistent with those of probiotics and that it was concurrently able to produce Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps, it was molecularly identified by 16S rDNA sequence analysis. The analysis revealed, with 98\% of confidence, that this isolate (GenBank accession number AY096004.1) corresponds to \textit{L. plantarum}, a LAB species of considerable industrial and medical interest \cite{119} and already evaluated as a probiotic for rainbow trout \cite{27,120,121}.

In the present study, \textit{L. plantarum} strain S14 containing Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps (LABS14-Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps) or lacking Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps (LABS14), corresponding to diet D1 or D2, respectively, were administered to rainbow trout as a food supplement for 30 days. The effects of supplementations on two parameters of the innate immune response (ROS production by WBC and plasmatic lysozyme), oxidative status (activity of the enzyme Gpx in plasma, liver and muscle), and in the productive parameters were studied.

Phagocytosis allows to ingest and eliminate microbial pathogens and apoptotic cells by phagocytes \cite{122}. Phagocytes produce respiratory bursts to eliminate pathogens and these bursts can be measured to evaluate the defensive response of the host. Superoxide anions, together with hydroxyl radicals and nitric oxides, which are inducible ROS, enhance the microbicidal capacity of phagocytes \cite{123,124}. Our results showed a significantly higher ROS concentration in WBCs of rainbow trout fed with D1 or D2 diets since day 15. The last day of the assay (day 30) ROS concentrations were even higher than those of day 15, showing a progressive improvement of the microbicidal capacity of WBCs. Soltani et al. \cite{125} demonstrated that administering \textit{L. plantarum} in the diet also improved neutrophil ROS concentration in carps (\textit{Cyprinus carpio}).

Although a non-significant difference, WBCs of fish fed with D1 diet showed a higher ROS concentration than those of fish fed with the D2 diet on days 15 and 30. Hence, a combined effect of probiotic and Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps on the respiratory burst of rainbow trout phagocytic cells can be suggested. Chen et al. \cite{126}, showed a potential higher phagocytic capacity of macrophages of mice fed with \textit{Lactobacillus} sp. Containing higher concentrations of intracytoplasmic Se\textsuperscript{0}, with their phagocytic index depending on the intracellular Se concentration in Se-enriched bacteria. They pointed out that Se might provide additional protection to the cell membrane of macrophages against oxidative damage.

Lysozyme, produced by phagocytic cells and secreted into blood and mucus to produce bacteriolytic activities, is a very significant nonspecific immune factor in fish \cite{127}. Its level and activity in fish depends, among others, on the nutritional status \cite{128}. This study demonstrated that rainbow trout receiving D1 or D2 diets significantly improved plasmatic lysozyme activity levels on day 15 when compared to the control group. Noteworthily, D1 diet caused, although not significantly, a better lysozyme activity than D2 treatment on both testing days, suggesting a combined effect of the probiotic strain LABS14 and Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps on lysozyme plasmatic level by rainbow trout.

The effect of food supplemented with \textit{L. plantarum} on the increasing of lysozyme activity in the blood has been previously demonstrated in the rainbow trout \cite{129}, striped catfish (\textit{Pangasianodon hypophthalmus}) \cite{130}, and the Orange-spotted grouper (\textit{Epinephelus coioides}) \cite{131}. Furthermore, other reports demonstrated that the dietary supplementation with Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps significantly improved tissular lysozyme activity in various fish species, including, among others, rainbow trout \cite{81,132}, yellowtail kingfish (\textit{Seriola lalandi}) \cite{133}, and Nile tilapia (\textit{Oreochromis niloticus}) \cite{134}. We have been unable to find studies pertaining the combined effect of \textit{L. plantarum} and Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps on lysozyme activity in vertebrates. However, Shang et al. \cite{135} reported a significantly higher activity of blood lysozyme in carps (\textit{Cyprinus carpio var. specularis}) exposed to Hg for 30 days when their food was supplemented for 30 days with Se-enriched, not in the form of nanoparticles, probiotic \textit{Bacillus subtilis}.

Antioxidants are important for fish in wildlife, but also in captivity, due to various stressors, such as variations of the oxygen levels associated to an increase of ROS \cite{136}. Magnoni et al. \cite{137} indicated that both nutritional and environmental stressors are able to cause OS, reduce innate immune and OS response, and diminish energy generation by affecting metabolic pathways in rainbow trout. In our study, at the end of the 30-day assay,
diets D1 and D2 caused higher Gpx activity in plasma, liver, and muscle of the rainbow trout when compared to the control. On the other hand, diet D1 also improved all three tested Gpx activities when compared to D2, but significantly only for plasmatic and muscle, suggesting a combined effect of LABS14 strain and the Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps produced by the bacterium on tissular Gpx activity. Chen et al. [126] reported that supplementation of mice food with Se-enriched \textit{Lactobacillus} ameliorated or enhanced Gpx activity biosynthesis in a \textit{Lactobacillus} cytoplasm Se concentration-dependent manner. Moreover, Mengistu et al. [138] reported a combined effect of Se and \textit{Lactobacillus acidophilus}. Supplementation of chickens’ food with Se-enriched \textit{Lactobacillus acidophilus} for 42 days determined a significant upregulation of the Gpx1, Gpx4, seleno-protein W, and interferon gamma mRNA expression when compared to mRNA expression in groups of chickens feed with \textit{L. acidophilus} or sodium selenite supplemented food and control group (non-supplemented food). Shang et al. [43] also demonstrated an increase of Gxp activity in juvenile \textit{Luciobarbus capito} using Se-enriched \textit{L. plantarum} as a food supplement. Shang and colleagues did not precisely clarify what form of Se was present in the cytoplasm of \textit{L. plantarum}.

This study also investigated the effect of D1 or D2 diets as a 30-day food supplement on growth performance. Both diets significantly improved all growth performance indexes evaluated when compared to the control. Nevertheless, the comparison of nutrient supplementations showed that rainbow trout fed with diet D1 showed a higher, but non-significant, growth performance than the one achieved by diet D2. A FCF above 1.00 indicates a good health condition (well-being) and it is associated to the improvement of important production parameters, including fertility rate, and the production of high-quality gametes [139]. The results of the present work suggest a combined effect of LABS14 strain and Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps on physiological processes that impact on the rainbow trout growth performance. Diet D1 may allow rainbow trout to be more efficient to accumulate reserves of energy.

Probiotic bacteria shield epithelial cells from toxins produced by pathogenic microorganisms exerting direct antibacterial action toward competing enteropathogens, which may prevent colonization by pathogens [140], favoring intestinal absorption, and immunity [141]. The nutrients absorbed (vitamins, amino acids, and fatty acids) reach the bloodstream with ease, contributing to the metabolic functions of the whole body. Thus, host derived probiotics can enhance nutrients digestibleness in the intestine of fish, competing with pathogenic microorganisms and inhibiting their detrimental impact on the intestinal wellbeing [142]. The use of diets supplemented with \textit{L. plantarum} has been shown to improve food conversion and growth in a number of fish species [133,143–146]. Soltani et al. [125] indicated the improvement of immunological parameters achieved when supplying \textit{L. plantarum} in the diet, resulting in better growth conditions for rainbow trout. The beneficial effect of Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps on the growth performance of fish, such as, among others, the European seabass (\textit{Dicentrarchus labrax}) [147], the Nile tilapia (\textit{Oreochromis niloticus}) [31], and the crucian carp (\textit{Carassius auratus gibelio}) [148], was reported. A previous study using biogenic Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps as a dietary supplement in rainbow trout showed an increase of the final condition factor index after only 30 days of experimentation [132]. A \textit{Bacillus subtilis} selenium-enriched probiotic, administered in the diet as a growth promoter, has been evaluated in broiler chicken with promising results [149].

5. Conclusions

Our results reported the isolation of a LAB strain, named S14 strain, from a sample of intestinal content obtained from healthy rainbow trout. S14 strain was identified, with a high level of confidence (98%), as a member of the \textit{Lactiplantibacillus plantarum} species. This strain showed characteristics typically present in probiotics and, concurrently, the capacity to biosynthesize Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps. The supplementation of fish diet with LABS14-Se\textsuperscript{0}Nps for 30 days significantly improved respiratory burst and plasmatic lysozyme (innate immune response), as well as glutathione peroxidase (GPX) (oxidative status) activities and productive parameters when compared to controls. Moreover, it improved those parameters
when compared to fish receiving LABS14, but significant only for plasmatic and muscle GPX. Therefore, we propose LABS14-Se0 Nps as a promising alternative for nutritional supplementation for rainbow trout or even other salmonids.
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