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Abstract.—Accurate species delimitation is fundamental to biology. Traditionally, species were delimited based on morphological characters, sometimes leading to taxonomic uncertainty in morphologically conserved taxa. Recently, multiple taxonomically challenging cases have benefited from integrative taxonomy—an approach that highlights congruence among different disciplines and invokes evolutionary explanations for incongruence, acknowledging that different methods can mirror different stages of the speciation continuum. Here, we used a cohesive protocol for integrative taxonomy to revise species limits in 20 nominal species and 4 morphospecies of an ancestrally wingless insect group, the jumping bristletail genus Machilis from the European Eastern Alps. Even though morphologically conserved, several small-scale endemic species have been described from the Eastern Alps based on variation in hypodermal pigmentation patterns—a highly questionable character. As valuable as these endemics are for conservation, they have never been verified by alternative methods. Using traditional morphometrics, mitochondrial DNA, ribosomal DNA, and amplified fragment-length polymorphism markers, we identify six nominal species as taxonomic junior synonyms (Machilis alpicola Janetschek, 1953 syn. n. under Machilis inermis Wygodzinsky, 1941; M. ladensis Janetschek, 1950 syn. n., M. robusta Wygodzinsky, 1941 syn. n., and M. vicina Wygodzinsky, 1941 syn. n. under M. inermis Wygodzinsky, 1941; M. almaculata Wygodzinsky, 1941 syn. n. under M. montana Wygodzinsky, 1941; M. pulchra Janetschek, 1950 syn. n. under M. helleri Verhoeff, 1910) and describe two new species (Machilis cryptoglacialis sp. n. and Machilis albida sp. n.), one uncovered from morphological crypsis and one never sampled before. Building on numerous cases of incongruence among data sources, we further shed light on complex evolutionary histories including hybrid speciation, historical and recent hybridization, and ongoing speciation. We hypothesize that an inherent affinity to hybridization, combined with parallel switches to parthenogenesis and repeated postglacial colonization events may have boosted endemicity in Eastern Alpine Machilis. We thus emphasize the importance of integrative taxonomy for rigorous species delimitation and its implication for evolutionary research and conservation in taxonomically challenging taxa. [Archaeognatha; gene tree discordance; new species; new synonyms.]

Recently, species delimitation has experienced a renaissance among systematic and evolutionary biologists. With the unified species concept (De Queiroz 2007), a promising synthesis has been achieved which relaxes the rivalry among different species concepts and fosters multidisciplinary reasoning. Methodological advances regarding DNA-based species delimitation (Pons et al. 2006; Knowles and Carstens 2007; Yang and Rannala 2010; Zhang et al. 2013) and increasing popularity of an integrative approach to taxonomic problems (Dayrat 2005; Will et al. 2005; Padiol et al. 2010; Schlick-Steiner et al. 2010) have triggered a growing number of comprehensive species delimitation studies (animal examples: Ravworthy et al. 2007; Leaché et al. 2009; Glaw et al. 2010; Ross et al. 2010; Pinzón and LaJeunesse 2011; Seppä et al. 2011; Goebla et al. 2012; Puissant et al. 2012; Satler et al. 2013; Sistrom et al. 2013; Derkanbetian and Hedin 2014; Wachter et al. 2015). By combining results from analyses covering independent evolutionary aspects of the same set of specimens, integrative taxonomy can provide robust species hypotheses even in taxonomically intricate instances and uncover complex evolutionary histories. Unfortunately, these strengths are often impaired by the reluctance of authors or editors to publish taxonomic consequences such as formally describing new species or synonymizing species resulting from species delimitation studies (Pante et al. 2014). This reluctance has presumably been based, among other reasons, on the common belief that taxonomic studies are poorly cited. However, Steiner et al. (2015) found no evidence for a citation impediment in the taxonomic literature. Accurate species delimitation remains a challenging task in morphologically poorly differentiated animal taxa (e.g., Satler et al. 2013; Andújar et al. 2014; Wachter et al. 2015) or in cases where only larval specimens are available (e.g., Zhou et al. 2007), where appropriate
morphological and molecular characters to quantify variation among proposed species hypotheses are lacking. Often, mitochondrial genes are the first markers of choice due to their fast rate of evolution and ease of amplification. However, mitochondrial phylogenies are especially prone to deviations from the species tree due to hybridization, introgression, incomplete sorting of ancestral polymorphism, and infection with reproduction-manipulating endosymbionts (Funk and Omland 2003). Moreover, nuclear pseudogenes can distort supposedly mitochondrial gene trees and are difficult to identify (Song et al. 2008). Thus, a modern species delimitation approach ideally includes multiple nuclear loci. Inference of allele variants in nuclear sequences is a minor issue in diploids, but higher ploidy levels pose a serious challenge to amplification, sequencing, and even more to the phylogenetic analysis of orthologous sequences. As a consequence, amplified fragment-length polymorphisms (AFLPs) have been frequently used to characterize nuclear genomic variation among species when polyploids are involved (Hedrén et al. 2001; Guo et al. 2005; Koopman et al. 2008; Meudt et al. 2009). These and other studies (Dasmahapatra et al. 2009; Fink et al. 2010; Khan et al. 2014; Kirchberger et al. 2014) have shown that AFLPs are suitable to reconstruct complex evolutionary histories when frequent hybridization, incomplete lineage sorting, or polyploidy complicate the use of molecular markers.

In this study, we apply an integrative taxonomic approach to survey species diversity in the jumping bristletail genus Machilis, which is poor in morphological characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are characters suitable for species delimitation. Jumping bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcoryphia) are ancestrally wingless insects that present many morphological features plesiomorphic for Hexapoda (Sturm and Machida 2001) and are thus often called “primitive”. Phylogenetically, they are sister to the Dicondylia, the group comprising silverfish and bristletails (Archaeognatha or Microcorychia) are characters suitable for species delimitation.
### TABLE 1. The Machilis species of the Eastern Alps

| Genus      | Species | Taxon authority | Endemic Geographic Reproductive Number of Information on type specimens |
|------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Machilis   | aciliata| Wygodzinsky, 1941a | EA | Parthenogenetic | 7 | Holotype and paratypes at LMFI; type material uninformative due to dissolved tissue. |
| Machilis   | alpicola| Janetschek, 1953 | AW | Sexual | 19 | Unclear if any type was deposited at MNHNP (no response). |
| Machilis   | alpina  | Riezler, 1941 | L | Sexual | — | No type specified by Riezler (1941); synonymized under M. lehnhoferi by Janetschek (1955). |
| Machilis   | anderlani| Riezler, 1941 | L | Sexual | — | No type specified by Riezler (1941). |
| Machilis   | engiadina| Wygodzinsky, 1941a | A | Parthenogenetic | 59 | Syntypes uninformative due to oxidized embedding medium; holotype (incomplete) and paratype of synonymized M. distincta Janetschek (1970) at LMFI. |
| Machilis   | fuscistylis| Riezler, 1941 | L | Sexual | — | No type specified by Riezler (1941). |
| Machilis   | gepatschi| Verhoeff, 1910 | L | Sexual | 18(34) | Type material “almost certainly lost” according to Mendes (1990). |
| Machilis   | glacialis| Verhoeff, 1910 | none | Sexual | 40 | Type material “almost certainly lost” according to Mendes (1990); holotype of M. helleri styriaca lost (this study); paratype of M. helleri styriaca at LMFI. |
| Machilis   | inermis | Wygodzinsky, 1941a | N | Sexual | 16 (14) | All but one (male) syntypes uninformative due to dissolved tissue or oxidized embedding medium. |
| Machilis   | ladensis| Janetschek, 1950a | L | Sexual | 10 | Type material untraceable (this study). |
| Machilis   | lehnhoferi| Janetschek, 1949c | L | Sexual | — | Holotype and paratypes at LMFI. |
| Machilis   | longiseta| Wygodzinsky, 1941a | L | Sexual | 20 | Syntypes (NMB) uninformative due to dissolved tissue. |
| Machilis   | mesolcinensis| Bach de Roca, 1982 | L | Sexual | — | Type material at MSNV. |
| Machilis   | nigrifrons| Wygodzinsky, 1941a | L | Sexual | — | Syntypes at NMB. |
| Machilis   | oblitterata| Janetschek, 1950a | L | Sexual | 47 | Holotype lost; lectotype (Janetschek 1955) untraceable (this study). |
| Machilis   | pallida | Janetschek, 1949a | N | Parthenogenetic | 43 | Holotype and paratypes at LMFI. |
| Machilis   | pasubiensis| Bach de Roca, 1982 | L | Sexual | — | Type material at MSNV. |
| Machilis   | pulchra | Wygodzinsky, 1941a | L | Sexual | 11 | Syntypes (NMB) uninformative due to dissolved tissue or oxidized embedding medium. |
| Machilis   | rubrofusca| Wygodzinsky, 1941a | L | Sexual | 54 | Syntypes (NMB) uninformative due to dissolved tissue or oxidized embedding medium. |
| Machilis   | simplex | Wygodzinsky, 1941a | A | Parthenogenetic | 3 | Syntypes not at NMB, untraceable (this study). |
| Machilis   | vicina  | sp. B Undescribed; this study | A | Sexual | 13 | — |
| Machilis   | sp. C Undescribed; this study | Unknown | EA | Unknown | 2 | — |
| Machilis   | sp. D Undescribed; this study | Unknown | EA | Unknown | 1 | — |

Notes: Eight species from the Western Alps were suspected to potentially occur in the Eastern Alps and were therefore included. Additionally, four potentially unknown species that could not be assigned to any nominal species are listed. Endemic status was estimated based on known distribution ranges following criteria commonly used for terrestrial arthropods (Rabitsch and Essl 2009). A = Alpine endemic; N = narrow-range endemic (<2,000 km²); L = local endemic (<5 km²); EA = Eastern Alps; WA = Western Alps; CE = Central European. Collections = Landesmuseum Ferdinandeum Innsbruck, Austria; MHJ = Museo di Storia Naturale di Verona, Italy; MNHNP = Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle Paris, France; NMB = Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Switzerland. aThese type specimens were examined by means of traditional morphometrics. bEighteen specimens as M. glacialis var. silvestris (M. sp. A). cM. cf. inermis. dEven though sexual individuals of M. tirolensis Information about reproductive modes refers to explicit statements in the literature.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling
We defined our study area, the Eastern Alps, based on the line connecting Lake Constance in the north and Lake Como in the south (the Rhine–Splügen line), which represents an established geological and biogeographical barrier between the Western and Eastern Alps (Grimm and Mattmüller 2004; Schönswetter et al. 2005; Schmitt 2009). Our goal was to sample the type localities of the 23 nominal species known from the Eastern Alps and 8 additional species from the Western Alps with a potential distribution across the Rhine–Splügen line (Table 1). Because of the absence of males in parthenogenetic species, we limited morphometric analyses to females but included males in molecular analyses. We aimed at a minimum of 15 females from three different populations per nominal species. In total, 105 populations were sampled (Fig. 1). For details on individual samples, including GPS coordinates and GenBank accessions, see Supplementary Table S1, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr. All specimens were sampled between 2010 and 2013 and stored at −20°C in 96% ethanol at the Institute of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Austria.

Examination of Type Material
Type material of jumping-bristletail species is typically composed of dissected body parts permanently mounted on one or more glass slides per individual. All relevant type specimens that could be traced (see Table 1 for comments on the availability of type material) are kept in Landesmuseum Ferdinandeum Innsbruck (Austria; LMF1) or Naturhistorisches Museum Basel (Switzerland; NMB). The condition of holotypes, lectotypes, paratypes, or syntype series was determined for most of the species listed in Table 1. Female types in good condition were used to cross-check species identifications of collected samples and were included in the reanalysis of traditional morphometric characters.

Traditional Morphometrics
Of 574 collected specimens, 331 females were dissected and mounted on glass slides using water-soluble Marc-André 2 medium (Christiansen 1990). Eight meristic characters were determined using a Nikon Eclipse E600 bright field microscope. Character definitions and the resulting data matrix are given in Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Table S3, respectively, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr. In short, these characters represent count data from articulation and chaetotaxy of the ovipositor, coxites, and legs of females and had been identified as most informative for discriminating Machilis species in Dejaco et al. (2012). The principal component analysis (PCA) based cluster identification method of Ezard et al. (2010) was applied to the 331 mounted females to find significant clusters in our data set without a priori hypotheses. Discriminant analyses (original and leave-one-out cross-validated) based on final species limits were performed in SPSS v.21 (IBM, New York, USA) using data from the 331 mounted females and seven female type specimens. The latter were not included for calculating the discriminant function but were treated as unknowns in the reanalysis and were assigned to final species based on the discriminant function.

PCR Amplification, Sequencing, and Alignment
DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved muscle tissue using the GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Amplification of the partial cox1 gene necessitated multiple primer pairs: MachF1/MachR3 (Dejaco et al. 2012), MachF5/MachR7 (Gassner et al. 2014), and MachF4 (5′-ATTCGAGCTGAACTAGGNC-3′)/UEA10 (this study/Lunt et al. 1996). Ten-microliter reaction volumes contained ca. 50 ng template-DNA, 1× MyTaq Buffer, 0.2 μM of each primer, and 0.5 U MyTaq polymerase (Bioline Inc., London, UK). PCR conditions were 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 90 s, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min.

For the nuclear sequence marker, ITS2, primers Bel28S and revBel28S (Belshaw and Quicke 1997) were used to amplify a DNA fragment spanning the 3′-end of the 5.8S domain, complete ITS2, and the 5′-end of the 28S domain of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) tandem repeat. A new forward primer (ITS-MachF2, 5′-GGGTCGATGAAGAACGCAGCTA-3′) was designed to improve cross amplification among species. The primer combination ITS-MachF2/revBel28S was then used throughout this study. Ten-microliter reaction volumes contained ca. 50 ng template-DNA, 1× PCR Buffer, 0.2 μM of each primer, and 0.5 U MyTaq polymerase. PCR conditions were 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 62°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Amplicons were checked by gel electrophoresis and purified by incubating 8 μL PCR product, 1 U ExoI, and 0.05 U FastAP (both Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA) at 37°C for 15 min followed by inactivation at 80°C for 15 min. Sanger sequencing was conducted by a commercial sequencing facility (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebensburg, Germany) using the amplification primers. Electropherograms were visually inspected for quality and absence of double peaks. cox1 sequences were aligned manually and checked for correct amino acid translation. Two additional cox1 sequences from Trigoniothalamus alternatus (GenBank accession: EU016193.1) and Petrobius brevitarsis (GenBank accession: AY956355.1) were included as outgroups. ITS2 sequences were aligned using the X-INS-i algorithm in Mafft v.7.215 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and
Figure 1. Sampling sites. Species are color- and shape-coded, implemented identically in all other figures. The type localities of M. alpicola and M. helleri are outside our study area, but were nonetheless sampled. The dashed line roughly marks the Rhine-Splügen line that separates the Eastern from the Western European Alps.
adjusted manually. Gaps were coded using the simple indel-coding algorithm (Simmons and Ochoterena 2000) implemented in GapCoder (Young and Healy 2003). Both the A-INS-I alignment algorithm, which incorporates information from pairwise structural alignments, and the simple indel-coding algorithm, which is known for its "biological realism" (Young and Healy 2003), maximize homology of gap characters. The resulting 1/0 matrix was appended to the ITS2 alignment. Identical sequences were removed from the final alignments before phylogenetic analyses, thus giving unequal sample numbers in the two alignments. Since the information contained in the two alignments was partly incongruent, we decided to build two independent gene trees rather than building one poorly resolved phylogeny. Both alignments are available (Supplementary Materials 2 and 3, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t7qfr). All sequences were deposited in GenBank (Supplementary Table S1, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t7qfr).

AFLP Fingerprinting

A detailed description of the AFLP protocol applied is given in Wachter et al. (2012). Briefly, DNA samples of 516 individuals were digested with the enzymes MseI and EcoRI, and AFLP adaptors were ligated simultaneously. Preselective amplification was carried out with the enzymes MseI and EcoRI, and AFLP adaptors were ligated simultaneously. All sequences were deposited in GenBank (Supplementary Table S1, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t7qfr). The outgroup was excluded for Bayesian clustering methods because—in contrast to phylogenetic trees—no root is needed for correct interpretation.

**Phylogenetic Analyses of cox1, ITS2, and AFLPs**

Phylogenetic trees were inferred using both Bayesian (MrBayes v.3.2; Ronquist et al. 2012) and Maximum-Likelihood (ML; Garli v.2.0; Zwickl 2006) approaches. In the Bayesian analyses, nucleotide substitution models were estimated by sampling over the entire GTR+G model space using the reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo (rMCMC) algorithm implemented in MrBayes (nis = mixed). By directly estimating substitution models, uncertainties in model selection can be mitigated according to the posterior probability of each model (Huelsenbeck et al. 2004). The cox1 alignment was partitioned into three codon positions as suggested by PartitionFinder v.1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012), and model parameters were unlinked between partitions. The ITS2 alignment was partitioned into nucleotide and gap information. Gap characters were treated as standard data, and coding bias was corrected for (coding = variable) to account for absence of invariable characters. For both loci, two parallel runs, each consisting of four chains, were iterated for 3 × 10^6 generations. Trees were sampled every 1000 generations, and the first 25% were discarded as burn-in. Convergence was assured by an average standard deviation of split frequencies below 0.01 and accurate parameter estimates as indicated by estimated sample sizes above 200 and potential scale reduction factor values close to 1.

For the ML analyses, the cox1 alignment was partitioned into three subsets (1st, 2nd, and 3rd codon position) with three different substitution models (TrNef+G, HKY+I+G, and GTR+G, respectively) as identified via Bayesian Information Criterion using PartitionFinder. The non-coding ITS2 data set was partitioned into nucleotide and gap characters. For the nucleotide partition, the GTR+G model was identified as best-fitting substitution model using Modeltest v.2.14 (Darriba et al. 2012). The gap partition used the Mkv
model implemented in Garli. Twenty independent ML searches were iterated in Garli, and the tree showing the highest likelihood was defined as best topology. To estimate node support, 100 bootstrap replicates were iterated in a separate Garli run, and bootstrap values were then summarized and mapped onto the best topology using SumTrees, included in the DendroPy software package v.3.12 (Sukumaran and Holder 2010).

Presence/absence data from AFLPs were coded as restriction data (1/0 matrix). In MrBayes, a correction for coding bias was applied using the “noabsencesites” option. After preliminary runs, the temperature of the heated chains was lowered to 0.01 to increase chain swapping frequency. For the state frequency parameter, a Dirichlet prior was used that resembled the actual state frequencies in our matrix (2 and 1 for “0” and “1”, respectively). Substitution rate was allowed to vary across sites. Two parallel runs, each consisting of one cold and five heated chains, were iterated for $3 \times 10^6$ generations. Trees were sampled every 5000 generations and the first 50% were discarded as burn-in.

**Admixture Analyses**

Bayesian clustering as implemented in the programs STRUCTURE v.2.3.4 (Hubisz et al. 2009) and BAPS v.6.0 (Corander and Marttinen 2006) was used to infer the number of species and the presence of interspecific admixture in the final AFLP data set. STRUCTURE is frequently used for species delimitation (Meudt et al. 2009; Leavitt et al. 2011; Slatyer et al. 2013; Hedlin 2015) but can produce misleading results when sample sizes vary heavily among groups (Kalinowski 2011). In contrast, BAPS is less sensitive to sample-size variation and tends to detect finer genetic differentiation (Wilkinson and Donnelly 2004), but nested entities were recognized in instances of excessive nested diversity (Kizirian and Donnelly 2004), but nested entities were recognized in case of congruence with primary species hypotheses. Cases of incongruence among primary and secondary species hypotheses were later resolved and final species hypotheses formulated. The following criteria were used to build secondary species hypotheses:

**Traditional morphometrics.**—The delimitation criterion of phenotypic distinctness was implemented using the cluster identification method of Ezard et al. (2010). This approach accounts for the absence of multivariate normal distribution typically found in morphometric data sets containing multiple species. More specifically, the traditional morphometrics data matrix was centered on the median and scaled to the mean absolute deviation. The b-stick model was used as stopping criterion for dimension reduction.

**Sequence markers.**—Two different delimitation criteria were applied: The first, reciprocal monophyly (Donoghue 1985), was used despite the problems known to afflict it (Wiens and Servedio 2000; Kizirian and Donnelly 2004). Specifically, only nodes supported by Bayesian posterior probabilities >0.95 and ML bootstrap values >75 (Fattengale et al. 2009) were accepted as monophyletic. Reciprocity was interpreted on the level of primary species hypotheses to avoid instances of excessive nested diversity (Kizirian and Donnelly 2004), but nested entities were recognized in case of congruence with primary species hypotheses or with results from other data sets. The second criterion was the transition from species-level to population-level branching patterns identified using posterior delimitation probabilities as inferred by the Bayesian Poisson tree processes algorithm (Zhang et al. 2013). In contrast to the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent approach of Pons et al. (2008), the Bayesian Poisson tree processes algorithm models speciation in terms
of number of substitutions instead of time units. Therefore, this model can be used when time-calibrated (ultrametric) trees are not available. The Markov chain was iterated for $10^9$ generations using default settings for thinning and burn-in. Convergence was verified on the likelihood trace plot. Out of several possible species partitions, the partition with the highest likelihood found by a simple heuristic search was accepted as the delimitation result, and nodes supported by posterior delimitation probabilities $>0.95$ were accepted as species. For recently diverged lineages, however, the Bayesian Poisson tree processes model may return low posterior delimitation probabilities for both hypotheses (one vs. two species). In such instances, the one-species hypothesis was always favored as the delimitation result.

**AFLP genotyping.**—We are unaware of any algorithm that specifically identifies species limits on trees generated from AFLPs; two different delimitation criteria were used: First, reciprocal monophyly on the Bayesian consensus tree (see details above), and second, genotypic clustering (Mallet 1995) as inferred by BAPS and STRUCTURE. BAPS exclusively outputs the number of clusters with the highest marginal likelihood. For the STRUCTURE results, Evanno’s $\Delta K$ and the change in marginal likelihoods across runs [L(K)] were used to determine the optimum number of clusters.

Once secondary species hypotheses had been established, we sought evolutionary explanations for incongruence among data sets and reached agreement on final species hypotheses. Eventually, we used these final hypotheses to reanalyze the traditional morphometrics data set; in more detail, character combinations were sought that can be used for these final hypotheses to reanalyze the traditional morphometric characters. PCA scatterplots from the reanalysis of these clusters mainly reflected differences in ovipositor among them, with most clusters lumping two or more nominal species, stemming from length and chaetotaxy of the ovipositor, these clusters mainly reflected differences in ovipositor morphology. PCA scatterplots from the reanalysis of traditional morphometric characters based on final species limits and including the type specimens listed in the identification key of Palissa (1964); see Table 1 for the taxon authorities. The other 78 specimens could not be assigned and were thus classified as follows: 1) In four species belonging to what is here termed the *M. inermis* species group (*M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina*), identification was hampered by the gradual nature of otherwise diagnostic characters (i.e., patterns of pigmentation). Even though we hypothesized synonymy already at this stage (see section “Morphological Oversplitting”), we assigned individuals from the respective type localities to nominal species (*M. inermis: n = 16, M. ladensis: n = 10, M. robusta: n = 11, M. vicina: n = 9*) and 14 specimens sampled from additional sites to a fifth category (*M. cl. inermis*). 2) Eighteen specimens sampled from the Southeastern Alps could not be determined at all and were hence assigned to four previously unknown morphospecies: *M. sp. B (n = 13), M. sp. C (n = 2), M. sp. D (n = 1), M. sp. E (n = 2). In total, we defined 25 primary species hypotheses (see Fig. 6a).

**Type Specimens Examined**

We compiled up-to-date information on type material of 31 nominal species listed in Table 1. In 13 species, the types are lost or untraceable. For three species, no types were specified at all in the original descriptions. The type material of 15 species was examined. Of these, five species were missing in our collection of fresh samples, and the types were therefore not included in further analyses. In six species, the types were uninformative due to oxygenized embedding medium, dissolved tissues, or missing body parts. Only two holotypes (*M. pulchra* and *M. rubrofusca*), one syntype (*M. montana*), and one lectotype (*M. fuscistylis*) were informative.

We additionally included the types of two subspecies (holotype of *M. pulchra* ssp. *silvestris* and paratype of *M. helleri* ssp. *styracae*) and one paratype of an already synonymized species (M. *distincta* in the reanalysis of traditional morphometric characters. *Machilis distincta* had been synonymized under *M. engiadina* by Janetschek (1979), and therefore the available *M. distincta* paratype was included instead of the uninformative syntypes of *M. engiadina*.

**Species Delimitation (Secondary Species Hypotheses)**

**Traditional morphometrics.**—Meristic characters were quantified in 331 females of 20 nominal species and *M. sp. B*. Nine distinct clusters were recognized without any a priori assumptions by the method of Eazrd et al. (2010; Fig. 2a). Color-coding nominal species on the same PCA plot (Fig. 2b) revealed extensive overlap among them, with most clusters lumping two or more nominal species. Since most information on PC1 and PC2 stemmed from length and chaetotaxy of the ovipositor, these clusters mainly reflected differences in ovipositor morphology. PCA scatterplots from the reanalysis of traditional morphometric characters based on final species limits and including the type specimens listed in
Table 1 are given in Supplementary Figure S2, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr.

Mitochondrial DNA.—Approximately 800 bp from the 5′-region of the cox1 gene were successfully amplified and sequenced from 563 individuals representing the 20 nominal species and M. sp. B to M. sp. E. The final alignment included 703 bp and was reduced to 111 unique haplotypes for phylogenetic inference. The Bayesian majority-rule consensus and the ML tree returned the same topology (Fig. 3). Basal nodes were weakly supported and therefore collapsed. According to the reciprocal monophyly criterion, 16 secondary species hypotheses were recovered, of which only six were congruent with primary species hypotheses. Ten secondary species hypotheses were incongruent with respect to 18 primary species hypotheses, rendering them paraphyletic either due to splitting (M. glacialis, where three clades were recovered, hereafter named M. glacialis, M. sp. A sex., and M. sp. A parth.) or sharing of haplotypes among primary species hypotheses (M. alpicola, M. engiadina, M. rubrofusca, and M. vagans; M. helleri and M. pulchra; M. helleri and M. lehnhoferi; M. helleri and M. hrabei; M. inermis, M. cf. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina).

Applying the Bayesian Poisson tree processes algorithm to the Bayesian consensus tree resulted
in 20 secondary species hypotheses (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S3, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr), of which 9 were congruent with primary species hypotheses. Eleven secondary species hypotheses were incongruent with respect to 15 primary species hypotheses, rendering them paraphyletic either due to splitting (M. glacialis, M. hrabei, and M. sp. B) or lumping (M. helleri and M. pulchra; M. glacialis and M. montana; M. alpicola, M. engiadina, M. rubrofusca, and M. vagans; M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina). Machilis sp. C, M. sp. E, and M. sp. D were recovered as separate species.

Nuclear ribosomal DNA.—Between 600 and 800 bp of ITS2 from 562 individuals were successfully amplified and sequenced. The edited sequence alignment included 73 unique sequences with 761 characters and numerous gaps of variable length (1–98 bp).
Consequently, 219 gaps were coded, and the resulting 1/0 matrix was appended to the final alignment (Supplementary Material 4, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). The Bayesian majority-rule consensus and the ML tree returned the same topology (Fig. 3) and resolved more nodes compared with the cat1 tree. According to the reciprocal monophyly criterion, 20 secondary species hypotheses were recovered, of which 8 were congruent with primary species hypotheses. Twelve secondary species hypotheses were incongruent with respect to 16 primary species hypotheses, rendering them paraphyletic either due to splitting (M. alpicola, M. glacialis, M. helleri, and M. ticinensis) or lumping (sexual M. ticinensis and M. engiadia; M. alpicola, M. engiadia, M. rubrofusca, and M. vagans; M. hrabei and M. lehnhoferi; M. helleri and M. pulchra; M. aleamucalata and M. montana; M. inermis, M. cf. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina). Machilis sp. B, M. sp. C, M. sp. D, and M. sp. E were recovered as separate species.

The Bayesian Poisson tree processes algorithm delimited 21 secondary species hypotheses (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S3, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr), of which 7 were congruent with primary species hypotheses. Fourteen secondary species hypotheses were incongruent with respect to 17 primary species hypotheses rendering them paraphyletic either due to splitting (M. alpicola, M. glacialis, M. helleri, and M. lehnhoferi) or lumping (M. alpicola, M. engiadia, M. rubrofusca, and M. vagans; M. aleamucalata and M. montana; M. inermis, M. cf. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina). Machilis sp. B, M. sp. C, M. sp. D, and M. sp. E were recovered as separate secondary species hypotheses.

**AFLP genotyping**—The final alignment comprised 488 profiles including 49 replicates and one outgroup. An error rate of 23.4% (SD = 7.2%) was calculated, corresponding to the mean pairwise Euclidean distance among replicates of four different individuals. Similar error rates were reported in a study investigating the effect of automated scoring algorithms on AFLP marker quality (Holland et al. 2008). In the same study, Holland et al. showed that there is a tradeoff between scoring few high-quality markers and more low-quality markers and that the latter strategy potentially increases phylogenetic resolution. In line with this, using more stringent scoring parameters resulted in fewer markers but reduced the phylogenetic resolution in our data set (data not shown).

The Bayesian majority-rule consensus tree was almost fully resolved, but several inner nodes were weakly supported (Fig. 4). Resolution at the species level was good, though. Sixteen secondary species hypotheses were recovered following the reciprocal monophyly criterion, six of which corresponded to primary species hypotheses. Ten secondary species hypotheses were incongruent with respect to 13 primary species hypotheses, rendering them paraphyletic Machilis sp. A was split from M. glacialis, and parthenogenetic populations of M. sp. A were nested within the sexual population of M. sp. A. Two reciprocally monophyletic secondary species hypotheses were recovered within M. helleri, corresponding to western and eastern populations, in line with entities recovered by the ITS2 data and AFLPs analyzed with BAPS (see paragraph below). A second nominal species, M. pulchra, was nested within the western clade of M. helleri. Machilis aleamucalata and M. montana formed a monophyletic group. Machilis ticinensis was split into two clades corresponding to one southern population (hereafter sexual M. ticinensis), and four northern populations (hereafter parthenogenetic M. ticinensis). The samples of M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina were not recovered as separate monophyletic but clustered in one group that was not supported. Machilis engiadia individuals clustered on the branch in between sexual M. ticinensis and the species pair M. rubrofusca/M. alpicola.

BAPS returned 21 secondary species hypotheses and almost no admixture (Fig. 5). Eight secondary species hypotheses corresponded to primary species hypotheses (Fig. 6b). In three instances, primary species hypotheses were split into northern and southern (M. ticinensis) or into eastern and western populations (M. hrabei and M. helleri). The western lineage A M. helleri included all samples of M. pulchra. Machilis glacialis was split in three secondary species hypotheses, corresponding to M. glacialis s. str., sexual M. sp. A, and parthenogenetic M. sp. A. The samples of M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina were assigned to two separate clusters, not reflecting any apparent geographical or altitudinal pattern.

**STRUCTURE** runs first converged inconsistently, but this problem disappeared when the locprior model (Hubisz et al. 2009) was applied, using nominal species affiliations as prior population information. Both the ΔK statistic and the mean likelihood across runs of the same K indicated 19 separate clusters (Supplementary Fig. S4, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). Background noise was prominent in the admixture plot, resulting in two biologically arbitrary groups (gray and dark gray in Fig. 5). We considered this artifact to be a result of our strategy to call a high number of low-quality peaks instead of few high-quality peaks. Thus, we ignored the two arbitrary groups and accepted the remaining 17 groups as the most conclusive result of **STRUCTURE**. Nine groups corresponded to primary species hypotheses (Fig. 6b). Splits of primary species hypotheses were supported in M. ticinensis (sexual M. ticinensis and parthenogenetic M. ticinensis) and in M. glacialis (M. glacialis and M. sp. A). The following primary species hypotheses were lumped: M. helleri and M. pulchra, M. aleamucalata and M. montana, and one group including four nominal species (M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina).
**M. ladensis**, **M. robusta**, and **M. vicina**). Admixture was found in **M. alpicola** (from **M. rubrofusca**) and in sexual **M. ticinensis** (from **M. tirolensis**, **M. engiadina**, and **M. alpicola**). Moreover, there was a weak but consistent signal of admixture from **M. engiadina** into **M. rubrofusca**. An inconsistent signal of admixture from several different groups into the sexual population of **M. sp. A** (as recovered by **cox1**, the AFLP tree, and BAPS) rather than true admixture events.

**Evolutionary Explanations for Incongruence, Final Species Hypotheses, and Taxonomic Consequences**

We uncovered multiple cases of incongruence among species limits inferred with different methodological approaches (Fig. 6), possibly reflecting complex evolutionary histories in several **Machilis** species. Not a single nominal species was recovered by unsupervised analysis of the traditional morphometrics data set, and only 3 out of 20 primary species hypotheses were congruent across molecular data sets. In the
following, we discuss instances of incongruence, present evolutionary explanations for the observed patterns, and suggest taxonomic changes including six new synonymies and the description of two new species.

Hybridization and parthenogenesis in the M. engiadina species group.—The six species M. alpicola, M. engiadina, M. rubrofusca, M. ticinensis, M. tirolensis, and M. vagans were morphologically very similar and were allocated to what we term the M. engiadina species group. The group also includes M. sp. E, based on its position in the PCA plot of traditional morphometric characters and in the trees generated from cox1, ITS2, and AFLPs. Since M. alpicola and M. vagans were consistently lumped in all data sets, we declare M. alpicola a junior synonym of M. vagans, following the priority rule of the ICZN (1999). In principle, the failure to delimit M. vagans as separate species could also result from the low sample size (n=3), since this is a known issue of Bayesian clustering methods such as STRUCTURE (Kalinowski 2011). However, BAPS delimit other small-sample clusters in our data set that are clearly biologically relevant (e.g., sexual and parthenogenetic populations of M. cryptoglacialis; M. sp. G and M. hrbei). We are thus confident that M. vagans and M. alpicola constitute just one species and advocate the use of BAPS alongside STRUCTURE in future delimitation studies, independent of the taxonomic rank under investigation.

Reanalysis of the traditional morphometrics data set tentatively indicated two overlapping clusters, with M. tirolensis and M. ticinensis on one side, M. engiadina, M. rubrofusca, and M. vagans on the other side, and M. sp. E in between (Supplementary Fig. S2a, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). This is congruent with the phylogenetic pattern observed in the cox1 tree (Fig. 3). In the ITS2 tree, however, some M. engiadina individuals clustered with M. alpicola and M. rubrofusca, others with sexual M. ticinensis. This mito-nuclear discordance is unlikely to be the result of incomplete lineage sorting because M. rubrofusca/M. vagans and sexual M. ticinensis are distantly related. Rather, we discuss three different hybridization scenarios (Fig. 7a–c).

Scenario 1: Hybridization between the M. rubrofusca/M. vagans lineage and sexual M. ticinensis, followed by the persistence of a hybrid lineage (i.e., M. engiadina), could represent a reasonable explanation. We found statistical support for this scenario using a subset of the final AFLP matrix. The software NewHybrids identified the samples of M. engiadina as either F1 or F2 hybrids when M. rubrofusca and sexual M. ticinensis were predefined as parental species (for details, see Supplementary Table S4a, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). However, when M. tirolensis, parthenogenetic M. ticinensis, and M. sp. E were included as unknowns, they were identified as paternal lineages alongside sexual M. ticinensis with equally high posterior probabilities (Supplementary Table S5b, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). With the data at hand, we cannot evaluate whether this is due to the close relation of M. ticinensis, M. tirolensis, and M. sp. E or a more complex evolutionary history, possibly involving repeated hybridizations among more than two species. In the absence of a formal test
for multiple hybridizations, we used the results of descriptive statistics and admixture analyses to discuss two additional scenarios.

Scenario 2: A principal coordinates analysis of the reduced AFLP matrix revealed four major clusters (Fig. 7d). By comparing the two-dimensional pattern (PCo1 vs. PCo2) with the results of a simulation study of Ma and Amos (2012), we built the following hypotheses: First, *M. rubrofusca*, *M. tirolensis*, and parthenogenetic *M. ticinensis* formed a triangle of parental species. Second, *M. engiadina* was a hybrid (30:50; H1 in Fig. 7b) of *M. rubrofusca* and *M. tirolensis* since it lies halfway on the line connecting these two species. Third, *M. vagans* was a backcrossed hybrid (~80:20; H3 in Fig. 7b) of *M. rubrofusca* and parthenogenetic *M. ticinensis* since it lies on the line connecting these two species. Fourth, sexual *M. ticinensis* was a backcrossed hybrid (H2 in Fig. 7b) between *M. tirolensis*, parthenogenetic

---

**Figure 6.** Summary of species delimitation. Numbers on the right are the sums of delimited species in each line. a) Primary species hypotheses. Each colored box represents 1 out of 20 sampled nominal species. White boxes with solid outlines represent additional four, potentially unknown species as defined in Table 1. For definition of *M. cf. inermis*, refer to section “Morphology-Based Identification (Primary Species Hypothesis)” in the Results and Discussion. b) Species delimitation. Each row summarizes secondary species hypotheses delimited by one data set. Colored boxes depict congruence with primary species hypotheses. White boxes represent secondary species hypotheses that were incongruent with primary species hypotheses. Horizontal splits specifically indicate populations in which individuals belonged to either one or another secondary species hypothesis; they do not indicate admixed individuals. The results based on AFLPs are given independently for analyses conducted in MrBayes, BAPS, and STRUCTURE. TM, traditional morphometrics; bPTP, Bayesian posterior tree processes; AFLP, amplified fragment-length polymorphism. c) Evolutionary explanations for cases of incongruence among primary and secondary species hypotheses of different data sets. d) The first row indicates final species hypotheses. Boxes representing previously unrecognized species have solid outlines. The second row lists classification success (in %) based on discriminant functions calculated separately from optimal combinations of traditional morphometric characters for four groups of morphologically similar species. LOOCV, leave-one-out cross-validation.
Figure 7. Deciphering admixture in the Machilis engiadina species complex. Three hypotheses explaining incongruence among sequence markers in M. engiadina, a) without taking into account signals of admixture inferred from AFLP markers, b) under the assumption of four independent hybridization events, and c) under the assumption of fixation of extant lineages from a hybrid swarm. d–e) Principal coordinates plots based on 466 AFLP markers from 158 individuals. Gray dashed lines indicate the triangle of putative parental species—a pattern described by Ma and Amos (2012). f) STRUCTURE plots for $K = 2$ to $K = 6$. g) Triangle plot from the STRUCTURE results at $K = 3$. h) Distribution map including occurrence data from this study and the literature.
M. ticinensis, and a third species (M. rubrofusca, M. vagans, or M. engiadina) since it almost completely lies within the triangle. Machilus engiadina is an especially good candidate for the third parent species since it shares some AFLP loci with sexual M. ticinensis (those represented by PCo3; Fig. 7e) but none with M. tirolensis. Fifth, M. sp. E was a backcrossed hybrid (H4 in Fig. 7b) of M. tirolensis and another species from within this species group (possibly the ancestor of M. ticinensis, to which its cox1 sequence is most closely related). These hypotheses are congruent with the results of an admixture analysis in STRUCTURE (Fig. 7f). All lineages except M. sp. E were recognized by the clustering algorithm (K = 7), which would not be expected from young hybrids but could be due to separate evolutionary histories of the hybrids following hybridization. A triangle plot (Fig. 7d) captures the overall picture when three parental populations are assumed, basically mirroring the pattern of the first two principal coordinates (Fig. 7a). We thus hypothesize four independent hybridization events and consequently four instances of hybrid speciation (H1–H4 in Fig. 7b).

This scenario provides a reasonable explanation for the mito-nuclear incongruence in M. engiadina and for the admixture proportions seen in the STRUCTURE plots. Hybrid speciation is increasingly accepted as a promoter of diversity in animals (Herder et al. 2006; Dasmahapatra et al. 2012; Papadopoulos et al. 2013; Andújar et al. 2014) but difficult to document (Abbott et al. 2013). More than four instances of hybrid speciation could be inferred, so we focus on this hypothesis. From Hybrid Speciation to Parthenogenesis

Diversification in M. engiadina provides a reasonable explanation for the discordance between mito-nuclear incongruence and some clade-like patterns observed in the nucleotide sequence (Schumer et al. 2014). Here, reproductive isolation of the putative hybrid lineages has been warranted by parthenogenetic in two species (M. engiadina and M. vagans). Moreover, switches to parthenogenesis are known to occur frequently after hybridization in animals (Suomalainen et al. 1987; Paland et al. 2005; Gómez-Zurita et al. 2006). In the third putative hybrid species (sexual M. ticinensis), reproductive isolation may be only temporarily warranted by parapatry with respect to its parental species (M. tirolensis, parthenogenetic M. ticinensis, and possibly M. engiadina; Fig. 7h). In times of sympatry, however, reproductive isolation may have been absent. Possibly, incomplete reproductive isolation of sexual M. ticinensis from its parental species could even explain why this lineage shows admixture with a third species.

Scenario 3 (Fig. 7c) differs from Scenario 2 in that only one ancestral hybridization event is postulated (e.g., between the ancestors of M. rubrofusca and M. tirolensis). When two distantly related genomes fuse, hybrid swarms consisting of lineages with different admixture proportions can emerge (Cockayne 1926; Stebbins 1959; Seehausen 2004). Given available ecological niche space, hybrid swarms can act as cradle of novel, highly adapted genotypes and are therefore discussed as potential precursors of adaptive radiations (Seehausen et al. 2003; Hudson et al. 2010; Genner and Turner 2012). The extant representatives of the M. engiadina species group may represent lineages that emerged from a hybrid swarm and became fixed via parthenogenetic reproduction, while most other lineages went extinct. The fact that the two putative ancestral lineages were distantly related and the cline-like pattern at K = 2 in the admixture plot may be interpreted as in favor of this hybrid swarm scenario.

We cannot here evaluate the likelihood of Scenarios 1–3. However, this does not impede our inference that M. engiadina originated via homoploid hybrid speciation, since M. engiadina and sexual and parthenogenetic M. ticinensis share the same ploidy level (Gassner et al. 2014). Homoploid hybrid speciation is thought to occur rarely compared with polyploid hybrid speciation (but see Schwarz et al. 2005; Gompert et al. 2006; Mavarez et al. 2006; Nice et al. 2013), because a clear reproductive barrier against both parental species is missing in the absence of polyploidy (Mallet 2007). Consequently, homoploid hybrid speciation becomes more likely when hybrids find alternative ecological niches or become reproductively isolated via asexual reproduction (Rieseberg et al. 2003; Abbott et al. 2013). Both conditions are met in M. engiadina and M. vagans: First, their distributional ranges extend beyond those of their putative parental species (Fig. 7b) indicating a shift in their potential ecological niche space, and second, no males have been found so far.

Overall, the M. engiadina species group may be an emerging example of how parthenogenesis can stabilize hybrid genotypes and underpins the idea that hybrid and ecological speciation can mutually facilitate biological diversification (Seehausen 2004; Kearney 2005). In terms of species delimitation, hybridization provides a reasonable explanation for the discordance found among secondary species hypotheses for the M. engiadina species group. Moreover, our hybridization scenarios support the persistence of parthenogenetic hybrid lineages as independently evolving units and thus as separate species. For now, we set aside the formal description of parthenogenetic M. ticinensis (henceforth M. sp. F) as a new species, mainly because sexual M. ticinensis was represented only by one population. Until additional samples of sexual M. ticinensis become available, we cannot exclude the possibility that we sampled an aberrant population of M. ticinensis until further material becomes available.

Mito-nuclear discordance in the M. helleri species group.—Three nominal species, M. ibnhoferi, M. helleri, and M. krahei, belong to what we term the M. helleri species group. We found incongruence between mitochondrial and nuclear trees (see Fig. 3) in several populations in this group. We first tested for signals of admixture by separately comparing the AFLP profiles of the three possible species pairs. NewHybrids unambiguously
assigned all putative hybrid individuals to pure parental species (Supplementary Table S5, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d7frq), thus rejecting the hybrid hypothesis. However, in the comparison of M. helleri and M. hrabei, one individual of M. helleri (from population “Leopoldsteiner See”) had a higher posterior probability for having a backcrossed genotype than for being pure M. helleri. Even though we do not consider recent hybridization as the reason for the extensive mito-nuclear discordances in this species group, the one putatively backcrossed individual may indicate recent or ongoing hybridization between M. helleri and M. hrabei.

Alternative explanations for the mito-nuclear discordance in this species group are 1) incomplete lineage sorting, 2) introgression of mitochondrial or ribosomal DNA, and 3) phylogenetic error. Incomplete lineage sorting is a stochastic process that affects populations randomly over the entire geographical distribution (Funk and Omland 2003; Toews and Breldsford 2012). By contrast, all populations of M. lehnhoferi and M. hrabei that harbored a M. helleri-like mtDNA haplotype were located at the margins of the species’ geographical distribution (Supplementary Fig. S5, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d7frq). In accordance with established patterns of mitochondrial introgression (Toews and Breldsford 2012), we therefore conclude that mitochondrial haplotypes of M. helleri occasionally introgressed into both M. hrabei and M. lehnhoferi. We consider phylogenetic error to be an unlikely explanation for incongruence here due to the large gap observed between intra- and interspecific genetic distances among cox1 haplotypes.

The M. hrabei-like ITS2 sequences found in two populations of M. lehnhoferi could be explained by incomplete lineage sorting, introgression of ribosomal DNA, or phylogenetic error in the ITS2 tree. It is almost impossible to disentangle these effects in phylogenetic reconstructions of young sister species. In the absence of any signal of admixture in the AFLP data set and any geographical pattern in line with a historical or recent contact zone (Supplementary Fig. S5, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d7frq), all three scenarios seem equally plausible.

In terms of species delimitation, incomplete lineage sorting, mitochondrial and nuclear introgression, and phylogenetic error provide reasonable explanations for the patterns of incongruence in our data, and thus, the species status of M. helleri, M. hrabei, and M. lehnhoferi is confirmed.

Additionally, our data indicate the possibility of ongoing speciation between western (including M. pulchra) and eastern populations of M. helleri. Even though western mtDNA haplotypes are still present in some populations of the eastern lineage, Gassner et al. (2014) found a consistent difference in chromosome numbers (western: $n = 52$; eastern: $n = 50$). Thus, both lineages potentially constitute independently evolving metapopulations as defined under the unified species concept. The western lineage corresponds to M. helleri as it includes topotypic samples and includes M. pulchra consistently across all data sets. We therefore declare M. pulchra a junior synonym under M. helleri, following the priority rule of the ICZN (1999). The eastern lineage likely corresponds to M. aciliata (see Table 1) based on morphological similarity of our samples to the original description of M. aciliata (Janetschek 1955). We were not able to obtain topotypic samples of M. aciliata and therefore refer to the eastern lineage of M. helleri as M. sp. G until further material has become available.

Morphological oversplitting.—According to their original descriptions, M. inermis, M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina differ from each other in pigmentation patterns on legs, head, and maxillary palps. However, these descriptions were based on few individuals from single or few populations (Wygodzinsky 1941a). By sampling multiple populations between type localities, we found that the pigmentation patterns did not fit discrete categories. We therefore suspected synonymy of the four nominal species already when defining primary species hypotheses. This hypothesis has been corroborated by the results of traditional morphometrics and all molecular analyses. We give M. inermis priority over the other three names and declare M. ladensis, M. robusta, and M. vicina as junior synonyms under M. inermis. We also point out that four additional species descriptions (i.e., M. anderlani, M. nigirfrons, M. callicola, and M. ohlitterata) potentially fall in the morphological variation now present in M. inermis. Unfortunately, no samples were found at the type localities of these nominal species (see Table 1). Future studies should be alert to potential synonyms of M. inermis among Machilis species characterized by a primary ovipositor also outside the Eastern Alps, that is, M. zangherii Janetschek, 1949, M. macedonica Janetschek, 1957, M. lindbergi Wygodzinsky, 1959, and M. ingens Bitsch, 1963. Should synonymy with any of these apply, the Alpine endemicism of M. inermis will need to be reconsidered.

Cryptic species uncovered.—Both sequence markers and AFLPs supported the presence of at least two species within M. glacialis. No type material was available (Table 1), but allocating the name glacialis to a biological entity is feasible because M. glacialis was exclusively described from its type locality. Moreover, samples from the type locality fitted the original description given by Verhoeff (1910), and no additional Machilis species was found at this location. Consequently, we assign the name glacialis Verhoeff, 1910 to the final species hypothesis including topotypic samples and—temporarily—term the other, morphologically cryptic final species hypothesis M. sp. A. Reanalysis of M. sp. A using traditional morphometrics (Supplementary Table S3, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d7frq) and qualitative morphology (see formal description of M. crypotglacialis sp. n. in Appendix 1) revealed
consistent differences from \( M. \) glacialis, therefore uncovering it from morphological crypsis. \textit{Machilis} sp. A included two mitochondrial subclades divided by 9.6% mean net-between-group distance that were recognized as separate secondary species hypotheses by the Bayesian Poisson tree processes model. These subclades corresponded, on the one hand, to individuals from five central and, on the other hand, one Southern Alpine population. While in the former only females were found \((n=26)\), four males were sampled in the latter \((n=8)\), possibly indicating a pattern of geographical parthenogenesis (Vandel 1928). Clonal reproduction is further supported by the homogeneity of \textit{cox1} sequences among Central Alpine populations compared with the observed sequence diversity in the sexual population. Even though southern and central populations each had private \textit{ITS2} sequences, reciprocal monophyly was not supported, and one male from the southern population was recognized as a separate secondary species hypotheses by the Bayesian Poisson tree processes model. Similarly, reciprocal monophyly was not supported in the Bayesian phylogeny of AFLPs, while Bayesian clustering (BAPS) recovered Central and Southern Alpine lineages as separate secondary species hypotheses. In the absence of additional samples from the area between central and southern populations, we decline to describe two separate species. Instead, we erect one new species, \textit{M. cryptoglacialis} sp. n. (see printed Appendix 1), and highlight the possibility of ongoing speciation among Central and Southern Alpine populations.

\textbf{Previously unrecognized species beyond cryptic diversity.—} Our sampling strategy included areas rarely sampled for jumping bristletails, especially in the Southern and Southeastern Alps. We thereby sampled several previously unknown \textit{Machilis} species. Among these, just \( M. \) sp. B was sampled repeatedly and is here described as \textit{M. albida} sp. n. (see printed Appendix 1). In contrast, \( M. \) sp. C, \( M. \) sp. D, and \( M. \) sp. E were sampled just once each, and we thus set aside any potential formal description until more samples become available uncovering it from morphological crypsis. In the absence of additional samples from the area between central and southern populations, we decline to describe two separate species. Instead, we erect one new species, \textit{M. cryptoglacialis} sp. n. (see printed Appendix 1), and highlight the possibility of ongoing speciation among Central and Southern Alpine populations.

\textbf{Geographical parthenogenesis.—} In several species, Central Alpine populations were putatively all-female while conspecific populations at the eastern or southern margin of the Alps were bisexual, and this pattern often reflected genetic or morphological differentiation or both. In \textit{M. ticinensis}, Northern Alpine parthenogenetic populations \((M. \) sp. F) may have experienced a different evolutionary history of hybridization compared with Southern Alpine sexual \textit{M. ticinensis}. In \textit{M. cryptoglacialis} sp. n., Central Alpine parthenogenetic populations differed strongly in mtDNA and traditional morphometric characters from Southern Alpine sexual individuals. In \textit{M. mesocinensis}, Central Alpine parthenogenetic populations differed strongly in traditional morphometrics from Southern Alpine sexual individuals. Within \textit{M. helleri}, individuals previously determined as \textit{M. pulchra} syn. n. were restricted to the Central Alps and are putatively parthenogenetic, while sexual \textit{M. helleri} primarily occurred along the Eastern Alpine foothills. These patterns are in line with the concept of geographical parthenogenesis (Vandel 1928). It is also well-known that peripheral Alpine areas served as refuges (so-called massils de refuge) during Pleistocene glaciations (Holdhaus 1954; Pauls et al. 2006; Margraf et al. 2007) and that recolonization of previously devastated areas has often been associated with increased frequency of asexuality in several animals and plants (Kearney et al. 2006; Horandl 2009). Consequently, we hypothesize that several Alpine \textit{Machilis} species survived Pleistocene glaciations in southern or eastern massifs de refuge, where they retained sexual reproduction. At the same time, parthenogenetic populations may have survived in so-called nunataks (see Wachter et al. 2012) or may have recolonized Central Alpine areas following deglaciation. Either way, these mechanisms may have triggered the genetic and morphological divergence between Central Alpine and peripheral populations, thus promoting diversification and speciation in the genus \textit{Machilis}.

\textbf{Reanalysis of Traditional Morphometric Data} Using the final species hypotheses, we identified traditional morphometric character combinations that yielded the highest possible classification success for individual specimens in four species groups. Optimal combinations included three to eight characters and produced group-specific classification rates between 77% and 97% (for details, see Supplementary Table S6, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). Classification rates specific for final species hypotheses ranged between 53% and 100% (original), and 29% and 100% (cross-validated). Six type specimens of four species \((\textit{M. engiadia}, \textit{M. fuscistylis}, \textit{M. helleri}, and \textit{M. rubrofusca})\) were correctly classified based on the discriminant function (Supplementary Table S7, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr). For three of these species \((\textit{M. fuscistylis}, \textit{M. rubrofusca}, and \textit{M. engiadia})\) which are sympatric with at least some of the morphologically most similar species \((\text{Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. S2, available on Dryad at http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tf7qr})\), the classification results are especially relevant, in that the names to use for the collected material are herewith confirmed \((\text{the fourth species, \textit{M. helleri}, is not sympatric with the most similar species, and therefore there is no doubt about the name})\). In contrast, the syntype of \textit{M. montana} was wrongly classified as \textit{M. glacialis} (Supplementary Table S7, available on
CONCLUSION

In this study, we revised species limits in a taxonomically challenging arthropod group. Of 25 primary species hypotheses investigated, none was recovered by traditional morphometrics, and only three were supported by full congruence among molecular data sets. We found high levels of mitochondrial discordance for multiple species and identified evolutionary explanations for the observed patterns. Hybridization is inferred to be common in Alpine Machilis species. In the Machilis species group, past hybridization events combined with switches to parthenogenesis may have generated mosaic genotypes and thus promoted lineage diversification via hybrid speciation. In the Machilis species group, widespread patterns of mitochondrial introgression and at least one backcrossed individual provide evidence for ongoing hybridization.

We describe two new species, of which one is uncovered from morphological crypsis, and highlight the potential presence of four additional species to be described, three of them from the Southern Alps. Patterns of geographical parthenogenesis mirrored instances of genetic and morphological divergence among Central Alpine and marginal Alpine populations in several species, indicating that they have been affected by glacial cycles during the Pleistocene. Ultimately, repeated demographic shifts imposed by climatic oscillations together with these species’ predisposition for hybridization and parthenogenesis may provide a framework for explaining the outstanding amount of Alpine endemism in the genus Machilis. Based on the presence of up to six previously unrecognized species in our data set, we assume that the diversity of Alpine Machilis species is still underestimated, especially in the Southern Alpine region. We stress the importance of describing this diversity for its conservation.
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APPENDIX 1: SPECIES DESCRIPTIONS

Abbreviations: ad., adult; HR, hypodermal pigmentation; leg., collected; LMFI, Natural History Museum Vienna, Austria; m a.s.l., meters above sea level; NMB, Natural History Museum Basel, Switzerland; NHMW, Natural History Museum Vienna, Austria; NMSB, Naturmuseum Südtirol, Bozen/Bolzano, Italy Geographic coordinates refer to the WGS84 coordinate system.

Machilis cryptoglacialis sp. n.
[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F61E8494-503C-47CF-82FA-945B8FADDF22]
Corresponds to M. sp. A in the main article.
Figs. A1 and A2.

Type Specimens

Adult female holotype from Austria, Tyrol, Paznaun valley, surroundings of Niederehblüte, N47.0827, E10.3134, 2317 m a.s.l., leg. 12 September 2010, T. Dejaco, D. Wagner. Voucher specimen 91165, permanently mounted on two glass slides and designated as “Holotype”. Deposited at LMFI. GenBank entries KJ691096 (cox1), KJ691447 (ITS2).
FIGURE A1. Diagnostic morphological characters of female M. cryptoglacialis sp. n. (a–f) compared with female M. glacialis (g–l). Roman numerals (I–III) indicate thoracic position of the legs. Arrows highlight regions in which the two species differ in hypodermal pigmentation. Square brackets indicate the distinctive number of fossorial spines on gonapophysis IX.
FIGURE A2. Morphological characters of female (a–e) and male (f–j) representatives of the sexual “Monte Frerone” population of *M. cryptoglacialis* sp. n. Roman numerals (I–III) indicate thoracic position of the legs.
Five adult paratypes: One male from Italy, Adamello, Monte Frerone, N45.9449, E10.4090, 2550 m a.s.l., leg. 11 September 2010, L.J. Rinnhofer. Voucher specimen 91697, deposited at LMFI. GenBank entries KJ691190 (cox1), KJ691446 (ITS2).—One female with same data as holotype. Voucher specimen 91164, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries KJ501861 (cox1), KJ691294 (ITS2).—One adult female from Switzerland, Engadin, Il Fuorn, N46.6761, E10.2303, 2158 m a.s.l., leg. 7 October 2010, L.J. Rinnhofer. Voucher specimen 91369, deposited at NMB.—One adult female from Italy, South Tyrol, Piz Lad, N46.84092, E10.46417, 2733 m a.s.l., leg. 9 July 2009, B. Thaler-Knoflach, P. Arthofer-Peer, B.C. Schlick-Steiner, W. Arthofer, G.A. Wachtler, F.M. Steiner. Voucher specimen 90072, deposited at NMSB. GenBank entries JF826085 (cox1), JF691327 (ITS2).—Paratypes permanently mounted on two glass slides each and designated as “Paratype”.

Other Material
Italy, South Tyrol, surroundings of Radisee, below Königsangerspitze, N46.7077, E11.5575, 2300 m a.s.l., 3 ad. females, leg. 5 August 2012, T. Dejaco. Italy, Adamello, Monte Frerone, N45.9449, E10.4090, 2550 m a.s.l., 4 ad. females and 3 ad. males, leg. 11 September 2010, L.J. Rinnhofer. Switzerland, Engadin, Il Fuorn, N46.6761, E10.2303, 2158 m a.s.l., 3 ad. females, leg. 7 October 2010, L.J. Rinnhofer, Italy, South Tyrol, Piz Lad, N46.84092, E10.46417, 2733 m a.s.l., 9 ad. females, leg. 9 July 2009, B. Thaler-Knoflach, P. Arthofer-Peer, B.C. Schlick-Steiner, W. Arthofer, G.A. Wachtler, F.M. Steiner. Voucher specimen 90276, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries KJ691423 (cox1), KJ691327 (ITS2).—One female with same data as holotype. Voucher specimen 91164, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries KJ501861 (cox1), KJ691446 (ITS2).—One adult female from Switzerland, Engadin, Il Fuorn, N46.6761, E11.5317, 2181 m a.s.l., leg. 5 August 2009, G.A. Wachtler. Voucher specimen 90276, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries JF826090 (cox1), KJ691294 (ITS2).—One adult female from Austria, Tyrol, Mäuerlscharte, N 46.9916, E11.5317, 2181 m a.s.l., leg. 5 August 2009, G.A. Wachtler. Voucher specimen 91264, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries JF826085 (cox1), KJ691327 (ITS2).—One female with same data as holotype. Voucher specimen 91164, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries KJ501861 (cox1), KJ691446 (ITS2).—One adult female from Austria, Tyrol, Mäuerlscharte, N 46.9916, E11.5317, 2181 m a.s.l., leg. 5 August 2009, G.A. Wachtler. Voucher specimen 91264, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries JF826085 (cox1), KJ691327 (ITS2).—One adult female from Italy, South Tyrol, Piz Lad, N46.84092, E10.46417, 2733 m a.s.l., leg. 9 July 2009, B. Thaler-Knoflach, P. Arthofer-Peer, B.C. Schlick-Steiner, W. Arthofer, G.A. Wachtler, F.M. Steiner. Voucher specimen 90276, deposited at NMB. GenBank entries KJ691423 (cox1), KJ691327 (ITS2).—Paratypes permanently mounted on two glass slides each and designated as “Paratype”.

Diagnosis
Morphologically similar to M. montana and M. glacialis (Fig. A1). In combination, the following characters are diagnostic in females: 1) Vertex and labrum with HP (Fig. A1e), 2) 4–7 terminal pseudosegments of gonapophysis VIII with each one fossorial spine (Fig. A1f), 3) Tibia I fully pigmented, HP on femur I diminishing toward base (Fig. A1b).

Etymology
Named to remind of the difficulties in distinguishing the new species from M. glacialis based on the original description by Verhoeff (1910).

Description of Female
Body.—Length up to 18 mm (head to basis of terminal filament). Scale coloration dark gray with green iridescence, white, and brown with rusty iridescence (Fig. A1a). HP reddish-brown.

Head.—Distal flagellar chain of antennae consisting of up to 25 evenly colored articles. Compound eyes yellow-brownish with randomly scattered dark spots. Frons with dark brown HP, but median fissure unpigmented. Clypeus with dorsal and lateral HP, leaving a frontal, pale triangle (Fig. A1k). Labrum covered by HP. First article of maxillary palp widely covered by HP, including entire dorsal process. Articles 2 and 3 covered by HP except a distal pale ring. Article 4 with very dark HP proximal to predetermined breaking point. HP decreasing from distal end toward breaking point. Article 5 with proximal and variable median or distal HP. Proximal quater of article 6 with HP (Fig. A1c). Postmentum covered by HP except anterior edge. Prementum and first two articles of labial palps pale (but can appear dark because of setae). Third article mostly covered by HP (Fig. A1d).

Legs.—HP decreases from first to third leg, most prominently on coxae. Coxa III without HP. HP on coxae I and II diminishing toward apex, on trochanter lacking, on femora diminishing toward apex. Tibiae with HP and bearing 0–1 (I), 1–6 (II), and 2–7 (III) ventral spines. Very little HP on first tarsal segment of legs I and II.

Ovipositor.—Reaches end of styli on coxite IX (Fig. A1I). Gonapophysis VIII with 49–59, gonapophysis IX with 47–62 pseudosegments; 4–7 terminal pseudosegments with 4–13 (gonapophysis VIII) and 9–17 (gonapophysis IX) fossorial claws; 4–7 pseudosegments with one fossorial spine each. Sensory fields (comprising two or more sensory rods) on 7–10 terminal pseudosegments of gonapophysis VIII. Coxite IX with 4–14 spines.

Natural History
Inhabits boulder fields in silicate rock above 2000 m a.s.l., co-occurring with M. fuscistylis and M. mesolcinensis. In geological contact zones of silicate and carbonate rock, M. pallida (which exclusively inhabits carbonate scree slopes above 2000 m a.s.l.) can occur in very close proximity. Males found exclusively on Monte Frerone, Italy, possibly indicating geographical parthenogenesis.

Distribution
Endemic to the European Eastern Alps, from Switzerland, Engadin in the west to Austria, Stubai Alps in the north and Italy, Adamello Massif in the south.

Morphological Variation
The population from Monte Frerone (Fig. A2) differs from European Central Alpine populations...
in the following morphological characters (values of Central Alpine populations given in brackets): 1) more extensive and darker HP; 2) gonapophysis VIII with 49 (52–59) pseudosegments and 4–9 (8–13) fossorial claws; 3) gonapophysis IX with 47–53 (54–62) pseudosegments and 9–10 (11–17) fossorial claws; 4) absence of fossorial spines on gonapophysis VIII, and 5) 10–14 (4–10) spines on coxite IX. The hypothesis that this population represents a separate species is supported by mitochondrial DNA and clustering of AFLP markers in BAPS, but not by ITS2 sequences, clustering of AFLPs in STRUCTURE, and the Bayesian AFLP phylogeny. We explain incongruence among molecular markers with incomplete lineage sorting of nuclear markers and mitochondrial introgression from a third species and consider the following scenario as most parsimonious: Parthenogenetic populations of *M. cryptoglacialis* sp. n. became reproductively isolated by hybridization, possibly induced by hybridization. While the nuclear genome of the hybridization partner may have been diluted via backcrossing, parthenogenetic populations have retained their mitochondria. Alternatively, a scenario of isolation by distance could explain the gap in mitochondrial sequences, given that we sampled northern and southern populations but missed intermediates. Hence, we refrain from describing parthenogenetic populations of *M. cryptoglacialis* sp. n. as a separate species until a more complete picture is available from additional individuals and/or new methodological approaches.

*Machilis albida* sp. n.

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FDAC1276-32B1-49DF-8E52-E6D89EFE5E4F]

Corresponds to *M*. sp. B in the main article. Fig. A3.

**Type Specimens**

Adult female holotype from Austria, Carinthia, Tröggener Klamm, N46.4599, E14.5025, 756 m a.s.l., leg. 9 September 2012, G. Kunz. Voucher specimen 92123, permanently mounted on two glass slides and designated as "Holotype". Deposited at LMFL. GenBank entries KJ691254 (cox1), KJ691742 (ITS2).

Five adult paratypes: One female with same data as holotype. Voucher specimen 92099. Deposited at NHMW. GenBank accessions KJ691248 (cox1) and KJ691791 (ITS2).—Two females from Italy, Lombardy, Val d’Ampola, N45.8619, E10.6413, 745 m a.s.l. leg. 8 May 2013, M. Gassner, T. Dejaco. Voucher specimens 92210 and 92213. Deposited at NMB. GenBank accessions KJ691264, KJ691265 (cox1) and KJ691794, KJ691797 (ITS2).—One male and one female from Italy, Trentino, near Sarche N46.0472, E10.9401, 405 m a.s.l., leg. 5 May 2013, M. Gassner, T. Dejaco. Voucher specimens 92202 and 92203. Deposited at NMSB. GenBank accessions KJ691260, KJ691261 (cox1) and KJ691786, KJ691787 (ITS2).

**Description of Female**

Body.—Length up to 15 mm (head to basis of terminal filament). Scale coloration whitish and light-gray with golden iridescence (Fig. A3a).

Head.—Distal flagellar chains of antenna with up to 24 articles, with lighter-colored or hyaline articles at base of each alternate chain. Compound eyes whitish without dark spots but with narrow dark brown perimeter. Frons with dark-brown HP but median fissure partially unpigmented. Clypeus with dorsolateral HP, clypeus thus mostly uncolored (Fig. A3e). Labrum with little HP. Irregular blotch of HP at base of article 1 of maxillary palp, but not extending to dorsal process. Articles 2 and 3 with even HP except unpigmented distal ring. Article 4 like articles 2 and 3 but with second unpigmented ring distal to predetermined breaking point. Article 5 with proximal and median HP. Article 6 with less intense HP, diminishing toward apex (Fig. A3d). Labium with lighter but diffuse HP (Fig. A3c).

Legs.—Coxae almost entirely covered by dark brown HP, diminishing toward apex. Trochanters without HP. On femora, HP increasing toward apex. Tibiae I and II fully pigmented. Tibia III without HP in the distal quarter. Ventral spines: 0–2 (I), 0–5 (II), and 5–8 (III). First tarsal segment and proximal half of second tarsal segment with HP.

Ovipositor.—Reaches end of styli on coxite IX. Gonapophysis VIII with 37–44, gonapophysis IX with 38–45 pseudosegments; 3–5 terminal pseudosegments with 7–13 (gonapophysis VIII), and 7–19 (gonapophysis IX) fossorial claws. One fossorial spine on each of 8–10 proximally adjacent pseudosegments. Sensory fields

**Other Material**

Austria, Tyrol, Ebnbachklamm, N47.2792, E11.2583, 825 m a.s.l., 3 ad. females, leg. 10 July 2011, T. Dejaco, G.A. Wachter; Austria, Eastern Tyrol, Nikolsdorf, N46.7738, E12.8995, 760 m a.s.l., 1 ad. female, leg. 20 April 2012, T. Dejaco.

**Diagnosis**

Easily diagnosable by its whitish scale coloration not known in other European Alpine *Machilis* species. In the absence of scales (e.g., in ethanol-preserved specimens), *M. albida* can be distinguished from *M. vagans*, *M. engiadina*, *M. ticinensis*, and *M. rubrofusca* by its white eyes with a narrow dark perimeter (Fig. A3e,k).

**Etymology**

Named because of its whitish (Latin *albida*) scale coloration.
FIGURE A3. Diagnostic morphological characters of female (a–f) and male (g–l) representatives of *M. albida* sp. n. Roman numerals (I–III) indicate thoracic position of the legs.
(comprising two or more sensory rods) on five to six terminal pseudosegments of gonapophysis VIII.

**Description of Male**

**Body.**—Length up to 18 mm. Scale coloration whitish, gray, and black. Iridescence not as pronounced as in female (Fig. A3g).

**Head.**—Distal flagellar chain of antenna with up to 20 articles, with some lighter colored- or hyaline articles at base of each second chain. Compound eyes whitish with dark brown or black speckles and narrow, dark perimeter. Frons with dark brown articles, median fissure unpigmented. Clypeus white, dorsolateral with dark HP. Labrum without HP. Maxillary palps more robust than in female. Distribution of HP on maxillary palps as in female, except an unpigmented blotch at ventral base of terminal pseudosegments of gonapophysis VIII.

**Legs.**—Pattern of HP generally less pronounced than in female. Coxae with HP in proximal third. Trochanters without HP. Femora with HP only in distal half, but diminishing from leg 1 to leg 3. Tibiae with HP on proximal two-thirds. Ventrall spines: 0 (I), 4 (II), and 6 (III). Tarsal segments with HP on base of first and second segment.

**Penis.**—Paramere VIII with 5+1 articles, hyaline, tubular setae on inner side, and few normal setae. Paramere IX with 7+1 articles and tubular hyaline setae on inner side. Penis as long as paramere VIII (Fig. A3j).

**Natural History**

Exclusively found on limestone rock faces of canyons and in canyon-like habitats. Males only found in the European Southern Alpine localities but sample size from northern populations insufficient to speculate about geographical parthenogenesis.

**Distribution**

Endemic to the Northeastern and Southeastern Limestone Alp.
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