Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes are urban malaria vectors in Asia that have recently invaded the Horn of Africa. We detected emergence of An. stephensi mosquitoes in 2 noncontiguous states of eastern Sudan. Results of mitochondrial DNA sequencing suggest the possibility of distinct invasions, potentially from a neighboring country.

Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes are efficient vectors of Plasmodium vivax and P. falciparum. Their native range centers on the Indian subcontinent, from which they are increasingly expanding their geographic distribution (1). Recent establishment in Ethiopia (2) and Djibouti (3) is especially worrying. We document the emergence of An. stephensi mosquitoes in Sudan.

Among study sites in a study originally investigating insecticide resistance in the dominant malaria vector in Sudan, Anopheles arabiensis mosquitoes, we selected 12 sites in the eastern half of the country to represent the different ecologic zones (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/11/21-0400-App1.pdf). We collected Anopheles spp. larvae from all sites in 2016 and from most again in late 2017 or early 2018 (Appendix Table 1). We reared the larvae to adults, checked them morphologically, and initially identified the species as An. gambiae s.l. We extracted DNA from a subset for molecular identification of the species by PCR (3). Of these, 149 DNA samples failed to amplify when we used the standard protocol for identification of the An. gambiae complex, and we investigated them further. We performed mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 amplification and sequencing by using the universal primers C1-J-2183 and TL2-N-3014 on the first batch (4); to provide conformity with other studies in East Africa, we used Folmer primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 on a second batch (4). To confirm species identity, we performed BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) searches. We supplemented sequence data generated for the mosquitoes from Sudan by using the Folmer primers with sequences from other studies downloaded from GenBank, assembled them by using Clustal within MEGAX (5), and displayed the results as a maximum-likelihood tree with 1,000 bootstraps.

Sequence analysis demonstrated that many of the samples failing diagnostic PCR were not An. gambiae s.l. mosquitoes; most samples identified by BLAST were An. stephensi mosquitoes (Appendix Table 1). The relative frequencies of An. stephensi mosquito detection were similarly high (>40%) among those...
from the 2 Red Sea state sites, Port Sudan and Tokar, but seem much lower elsewhere; only 1 individual *An. stephensi* mosquito was detected at each site in Gedaref state, and none were detected at the other study sites (Appendix Table 1, Figure 2). Although sample site identifications were clear, year identification labels were unfortunately not preserved during sample shipment, and from these samples we cannot determine when *An. stephensi* mosquitoes were collected. However, sequencing of pools of additional samples from Tokar and Port Sudan from each collection year, which were preserved primarily for RNA analysis, confirmed their presence in both years.

Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences identified 3 haplotypes, which we named Sudan H1–3 (Figure). The most common Sudan haplotype was H3 (86%), detected at both of the Red Sea state sites (Tokar and Port Sudan); the relatively similar haplotype H2 was detected at lower frequency at Port Sudan only (Appendix Figure 1). H2 and H3 cluster with those collected in Ethiopia in 2016 (2) and with 2 of those collected in 2019, for which we retained the authors’ haplotype notation (6). H1 was detected only in Abu Alnaja (Gedaref state) and clustered within a larger clade encompassing a broad range of locations, including a haplotype detected in both Djibouti and Ethiopia (Appendix Figure 1). The sample of
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**Figure.** Phylogenetic analysis of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes collected in Sudan, 2016–2018, and reference sequences. Maximum-likelihood tree was constructed by using mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 sequences from Sudan (diamonds) and other countries from which data are available. GenBank accession numbers are provided for reference sequences.
An. stephensi mosquitoes from Daim Bakur failed to amplify after use of the Folmer primers and thus was not included in the tree. The initial origins of An. stephensi mosquitoes are currently difficult to ascertain because of a lack of geographic resolution in the phylogeny; the exception is the highly differentiated clade of samples from Saudi Arabia and Iran. However, the haplotypes detected in Sudan are similar to 3 of the 4 detected in Ethiopia and are thus potentially consistent with spread from Ethiopia or elsewhere in the Horn of Africa. The presence of haplotypes from different states in 2 distinct clades may also indicate separate introduction sources, although wider sampling is required for confirmation.

The emergence of An. stephensi mosquitoes in Sudan poses substantial concern for malaria control and elimination and potentially stark predictions for urban malaria in Africa if this species should spread farther (7). In Sudan and throughout much of Africa, local surveillance systems, as well as knowledge and expertise, focus mainly on the mosquito vector members of the predominant An. gambiae complex and An. funestus group (8). Although the actual epidemiologic effects of An. stephensi mosquito emergence is not known, temporal coincidence of their establishment and rising malaria rates in Djibouti suggest a substantial threat (9). Although little is known about An. stephensi mosquitoes in Sudan, we identified productive breeding sites in septic tanks, manholes, and the water-storage containers used for construction purposes in cities (Appendix Figure 3); these findings correspond with reports from Ethiopia (6). Information about the wider and local distribution of An. stephensi mosquitoes in Sudan, coupled with bionomic studies, can be used to guide rational control strategies.
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**Appendix**

**Appendix Table 1.** Collection information and species proportions detected using a combination of standard PCR diagnostics and mitochondrial DNA sequencing. Estimated percentages of *Anopheles stephensi* (with associated binomial confidence intervals) are calculated from positive identifications from sequence data divided by the total number of DNA samples (which were initially run in PCRs).

| Location   | State       | Collected | Total DNA samples | PCR: positive as An. arabiensis | PCR: not An. gambiae s.l. | Total sequenced | An. gambiae s.l. | An. rufipes | An. stephensi | No result | Estimated % | An. stephensi | 95% Lower | 95% Upper |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Abu Alnaja | Gedaref     | 2016, 2017| 25                | 20                             | 5                         | 5                | 2                | 0           | 1            | 2         | 4.0%        | 0.1%          | 20.4%     |           |
| Daim       | Gedaref     | 2016, 2017| 25                | 12                             | 13                        | 13               | 4                | 0           | 1            | 8         | 4.0%        | 0.1%          | 20.4%     |           |
| Bakur      | Sennar      | 2016, 2017| 25                | 17                             | 8                         | 8                | 2                | 6           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Sennar     | Sennar      | 2016, 2017| 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Zedab      | River Nile  | 2016, 2017| 25                | 17                             | 8                         | 8                | 5                | 0           | 0            | 3         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Makarab    | River Nile  | 2016, 2017| 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Port       | Red Sea     | 2016, 2018| 94                | 41                             | 53                        | 53               | 0                | 0           | 41           | 12        | 43.6%       | 33.4%        | 54.2%     |           |
| Sudan      |             |           | 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Tokar      | Red Sea     | 2016, 2018| 127               | 23                             | 104                       | 104              | 43               | 0           | 52           | 9         | 40.9%       | 32.3%        | 50.0%     |           |
| Keryab     | Khartoum    | 2016, 2018| 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Trais      | Khartoum    | 2018      | 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Keriaba    | Al Gezira   | 2016, 2017| 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
| Hajabdall  | Al Gezira   | 2016, 2017| 25                | 25                             | 0                         | 0                | 0                | 0           | 0            | 0         | 0%          | 0%           | 13.7%     |           |
**Appendix Table 2.** Present frequencies of the detected haplotype of *An. stephensi* per the study sites

| Haplotype | Abu Alnaja | Port Sudan | Tokar | N (%)        | GenBank accession no. |
|-----------|------------|------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|
| H1        | 1          | 0          | 0     | 1 (4.5%)     | MW197099              |
| H2        | 0          | 2          | 0     | 2 (9.1%)     | MW197100              |
| H3        | 0          | 12         | 7     | 19 (86.4%)   | MW197101              |
| Total     | 1          | 14         | 7     | 22 (100%)    | -                     |

**Appendix Figure 1.** Location of sampling locations. Red indicates sites of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquito collection.
Appendix Figure 2. Percentage of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes from the total collected mosquito samples per each study site.
Appendix Figure 3. Types of breeding sites from which larvae of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes were collected. A) Septic tank; B) manhole; C) a concrete tank for water storage used during construction.