A Comparative Microbial Quality Assessment among Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes collected from Dammam Fish Market
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A B S T R A C T

A study was conducted to evaluate the microbial quality of seafoods collected from Dammam fish market, Saudi Arabia. In this study, Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes (10 samples each) were analysed in 6 sample categories such as Fish skin, Fish gills, Fish muscles, Prawn, Cuttlefish skin and Cuttlefish muscles. Bacterial isolates were characterized on specific culture media and further confirmed using API 20E, API 20NE, API ID32 Staph strips and identified by “api web”. Total Plate Count of bacteria and Total Coliforms Count were conducted only for muscles samples and the occurrence of specific pathogenic bacteria was determined for all samples. In such investigation, the TPC values of Prawns exceed the marginal level of acceptability and the Total Coliforms Count were exceeding the limits in all the samples analysed. And species of different bacterial isolates were identified such as, *Aeromonas* spp, *Staphylococci* spp, *Vibrio* spp, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Shewanella putrefaciens*, *Pasteurella aerogenes*, *Aerococcus viridans*, *Ralstonia pickettii*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Psuedomonas luteola*. The results of this study indicate the urgent need required to improve the Quality Control and Quality Assurance systems of Dammam Fish Market.

Introduction

Fish and fishery products are one of the major food constituents from ancient time (Noor et al., 2013) Fish can be Finfish, Shellfish (molluscs and crustaceans), or any other form of marine or freshwater animal life that can be used for human or domestic animal consumption (Mahumda et al., 2010). Fish is a major source of protein and its harvesting, handling, processing and distribution provide livelihood for millions of people (Al-sufaili and Opara, 2006). Fish because of their soft tissues and aquatic environment are immensely prone to microbial contamination. Most of the bacterial population which are present in the surface slime, on the gills and the intestines of live fish are potential spoilers, but the flesh itself is normally sterile. The immune system of healthy fish will prevent the invasion and multiplication of bacteria when it is alive. But after death the immune system collapses and the bacteria invade and proliferate in the flesh. And also
immediately fish dies, it remains in first class quality only for a short period of time (Clucas and Ward, 1996).

Food security is a complex issue, where fish and fishery products are generally regarded as high risk commodity in respect of its pathogen contents, natural toxins and other possible contaminants and adulterants (Kawser et al., 2008). The microbial populations which are mainly present in the outer surfaces (skin and gills) are responsible for the spoilage of newly caught fresh fishes. But it can also be the result of cross-contamination due to the inappropriate handling and storage of fishes (Cruz-Romero et al., 2008).

Spoilage of fresh fishes is due to the microbiological activity, Chemical oxidation of lipids and autolysis. The primary cause of the organoleptic rejection of fresh fish is due to the growth of bacteria which utilize the nutrients and produce by-products that results in the accumulation of metabolic products in the fish. Fraction of the initial microbial population present in the fishes known as Specific Spoilage Organisms (SSO) causes the spoilage by producing metabolites which are responsible for off-flavors and cause the organoleptic rejection of the product. Such conditions are determined mostly by the temperature and atmospheric conditions during storage (Gram and Huss, 1996).

The specific type of bacteria which are responsible for the spoilage activity of fish muscles after death is mainly depends on the type of fish and its chemical composition, the feeding habit, the area where the fish is harvested and the type of fishing gear used during harvesting (Francoise, 2010; Huis in’t veld, 1996). The indigenous microbial populations of freshly caught fish can vary significantly based on the environment in which the fish are caught and not of the fish species (Shewan, 2000).

These types of fishes may also infect the people who are associated with handling during transportation of such fishes to the landing centers and wholesale markets. When the consumers purchase these fishes, the associate microorganisms are transferred to the persons who carry those (Das et al., 2007). Regarding to the potential public health threat that the seafood may constitute, the main purpose of this study was to determine and evaluate the microbial contamination of seafood products collected from retailfish market at Dammam.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

30 seafood samples comprising of Fishes, Prawns and Cuttle fishes (10 samples each) were collected from the retail shops in Dammam fish market. In this study five species of fishes were selected for the analysis of microbial loads along with common cuttlefish and Prawns. They are, Spangled Emperor (Lethrinus nebulosus), Long spined Bream (Acanthopagrus berda), Golden Trevally (Gnathanodon speciosus), Two bar Bream (Acanthopagrus bifasciatus), Common cuttlefish (Sepia officinalis), Indian white prawn (Penaeus indicus). Samples were collected and kept in sterile polyethylene bags embedded with ice and transported rapidly to the laboratory in an insulated box. The time gap between the collection of samples and analysis was approximately 1 hour.

Preparation of samples

Skin surface

Samples of the skin of fishes and cuttlefishes were taken by rubbing the
sterilized cotton swab over the skin and then inoculating in to the nutrient broth, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and further analyzed for the presence of specific bacteria.

**Gills**

A part of the gill filament of fish was exposed with the aid of a pair of forceps was swabbed with a sterile cotton swab and inoculated in to the nutrient broth, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and further analyzed for the presence of specific bacteria.

**Muscles**

This was done by cutting 50 g of muscle with sterile scalpel and is mixed in 450 ml of sterile physiological saline in a filter stomacher bag and made in to slurry using the BagMixer® (Interscience, France). The resulting solution represent a dilution stage of $10^{-1}$, $10^{-2}$, $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ were prepared by transferring 10 ml of the previous dilution in to 90 ml of sterile physiological saline and so on. Mixing of the sample at each dilution was also done by rotating and shaking vigorously, so as to resuspend the material uniformly.

**Isolation and Identification of pathogens of public health significance**

All media used in the present study were from Oxoid, U.K. and prepared according to the standard procedure. Media were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C at 15 psi pressure for 15 minutes, unless otherwise specified. Sterility of the media was checked by incubating at 37°C for 24 hours.

In this present study, the Total Plate Count (TPC) of bacteria and Total Coliforms Count (TCC) were conducted only for muscle samples of the Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes (10 samples each) and the occurrence of pathogens of public health significance were determined for samples in 6 categories such as Fish skin, Fish gills, Fish muscles, Prawn, Cuttlefish skin and Cuttlefish muscles (10 samples each).

The microbiological analysis was performed as per the standard methods adopted from Online Bacteriological Analytical Manual, USFDA, for detection, enumeration and identification of individual organisms (BAM 8th edition Online).

**Total Plate Count (TPC)**

50 g of the sample were homogenized in 450 ml of sterile physiological saline. After serial dilution up to fourth dilution, the samples were pour-plated on Plate Count Agar (PCA). The colonies were counted after 48 hours of incubation of the plates at 37°C.

**Total Coliform Count (TCC)**

Dilutions made for the Total Plate Count were plated on Violet Red Bile Agar (VRBA); typical Purple colonies surrounded by a purple zone were counted after 24 hours of incubation of the plates at 37°C.

**Enterobacteriaceae**

Dilutions made for the Total Plate Count were plated on MacConkey Agar. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, both Pink and Colourless colonies were picked up, purified and identified further by subsequent biochemical tests using API 20E strips (Biomerieux, France), and the identification was obtained by using the “api web”.

**Aeromonas species**

Dilutions made for the Total Plate Count were plated on *Aeromonas* medium. After 24
hours of incubation at 37°C, both atypical and typical colonies were picked up, purified and identified further by subsequent biochemical tests using API 20NE strips (Biomerieux, France), and the identification was obtained by using the “api web”.

**Staphylococcus species**

Dilutions made for the Total Plate Count were plated on Baird Parker Agar (BPA) and Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA). After 24 - 48 hours of incubation at 37°C, both atypical and typical colonies were picked up, purified and identified further by subsequent biochemical tests using API ID32 Staph strips (Biomerieux, France), and the identification was obtained by using the “api web”.

**Salmonella species**

25 g of the sample was homogenized in 225 ml of Lactose Broth (LB) and incubated overnight at 37°C. After the initial pre-enrichment step, samples were selective enriched in Tetrathionate Broth (TTB) at 37°C and Rappaport Vassiliadis (RV) medium at 42°C and incubated overnight. A loopful of growth from each of these media was streaked on Bismuth Sulphite Agar (BSA), Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate Agar (XLDA) and Hektoen Enteric Agar (HEA). After 24 (HEA, XLD) – 48 (BSA) hours of incubation at 37°C, both atypical and typical colonies were picked up, purified and identified further by subsequent biochemical tests using API 20E strips (Biomerieux, France), and the identification was obtained by using the “api web”.

**Vibrio species**

25 g of the sample were homogenized in 225 ml of Alkaline Peptone Water (APW) and incubated overnight at 37°C. After secondary enrichment for six hours in APW, a loopful of the culture from primary and secondary enrichment media was streaked on Thiosulphate Citrate Bilesalt Sucrose Agar (TCBS). After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, both atypical and typical colonies were picked up, purified and identified further by subsequent biochemical tests using API 20NE strips (Biomerieux, France), and the identification was obtained by using the “api web”.

**Statistical Analysis**

The results of the present study were tabulated and statistical analyses were conducted using the software: Statistical Package of the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.

**Results and Discussion**

The results of the range of Total Plate Count (TPC) of bacteria on the Plate Count Agar and Total Coliforms Count on the Violet Red Bile Agar are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. Mean Total Plate Count of the three different types of samples are presented in Table 3. The highest number of bacterial count was obtained from prawn which was 6.15 ± 1.22 x 10⁶ CFU/g, followed by Cuttlefish with the count of 3.23 ± 5.50 x 10⁵ CFU/g while least count of 2.95 ± 2.37 x 10⁵ CFU/g was obtained from Fishes. Also, Table 3 showed the result obtained for the mean Total Coliform Count ranged from 8.04 ± 1.01 x 10³ CFU/g, 7.31 ± 3.98 x 10³ CFU/g, 4.13 ± 5.19 x 10³ CFU/g for Cuttlefishes, Fishes and Prawns respectively.

Results of cultural and morphological characteristics showed that most isolates were gram negative rods. Two gram positive cocci were isolated. The bacterial isolates from the six categories of samples (Fish...
In this study three types of seafoods including Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes were analysed in six sample categories (Fish skin, Fish gills, Fish muscles, Prawn, Cuttlefish skin and Cuttlefish muscles) for the presence of microorganisms; the mean Total Plate Count (TPC) of bacteria gave the following 2.95 ± 2.37 x 10^5 CFU/g, 6.15 ± 1.22 x 10^6 CFU/g and 3.23 ± 5.50 x 10^5 CFU/g for Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes respectively. According to SASO, the TPC values are within the permissible range for Fishes and Cuttlefishes. SASO specifies the upper (Rejectable) and lower (Marginal) levels of acceptability as 1.0 x 10^7 and 5.0 x 10^5 CFU/g respectively. According to the TPC value, the Prawns which have the count of 6.15 ± 1.22 x 10^6 CFU/g exceeds the marginal levels of acceptability and were not fit for human consumption.

The mean Total Coliforms Count gave the following, 7.31 ± 3.98 x 10^3 CFU/g, 4.13 ± 5.19 x 10^3 CFU/g and 8.04 ± 1.01 x 10^3 CFU/g for Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes respectively. Nearly similar results were obtained in Algeria by Amira et al., (2014). According to ICMSF, Total Coliforms limits, 1.0 x 10^2 CFU/g can be present in the food. But all of the Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes samples analysed were exceeding the limit. This unacceptable counts of Coliforms might be the result of possible contamination during sales or unhygienic handling of seafood that could be contaminated water used for processing (Okonko et al., 2008) or Ice used for to freeze (Intesar, 2003.)

Out of 60 samples analysed, 40 (66.66%) samples were found to be contaminated with Aeromonas sp., with the prevalence of Aeromonas hydrophila (31), Aeromonas sobria (8) and Aeromonas salmonicida (1) respectively. The ranges of incidence levels of Aeromonads were from Cuttlefish muscles (12), Fish Gills (8), Fish muscles (7), Fish skin (6), Cuttlefish Skin (4) and Prawn (3). The level of incidence was higher when compared to the observations of Tsai and Chen (1996), Fricker and Tompsett (1989), Hudson and Delacy (1991) and Vivekanandhan (1991) from different geographical regions. Some of the species of motile Aeromonads became emerging pathogens due to their ability to produce virulence factors, not only at optimum temperature but also under cold storage conditions (Neyts et al., 2000). Aeromonas spp. are normal inhabitants of the aquatic medium. Due to the incidence of human disease caused by Aeromonas after consumption of the contaminated foods, it was considered as a human pathogen. Their ability to produce extracellular haemolysin, enterotoxins, cytotoxins, lipases and proteases are responsible for the spoilage of foods and pathogenicity (Farag, 2006).

Salmonella was not detected in the samples analysed in this study, which was in agreement with previous studies (Popovic et al., 2010; Rodriguez et al., 2011; Figen et al., 2014) in seafood products. Conversely, different workers (Shabrinath et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2009; Bakr et al., 2011) reported varying incidence rates of Salmonella in seafood.

From the results of this study, it can be seen that the seafoods sold in Dammam market has high contamination of enteric organisms like Escherichia coli, Morganella morganii,
Proteus sp, Enterobacter sp, Citrobacter sp, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Providencia stuartii, Hafnia alvei and Rahnella aquatilis. Out of 60 samples analysed, Enterobacteriaceae comprises of about 31 (51.66%) isolates. In a study of Yagoub (2009), Enterobacteriaceae were isolated from Gills, Skin, Muscles and Intestine of 83 out of 150 (55%) randomly collected fishes, the most dominant isolates were Escherichia coli, Citrobacter sp, Enterobacter sp and Klebsiella sp. The Enterobacteriaceae are all occurring on fish products as a result of contamination from the animal/human reservoir. This contamination has normally been associated with faecal contamination or pollution of natural waters or water environments, where these organisms may survive for a long time (months) or through direct contamination of products during processing (FAO).

Among the 148 isolates, it was observed that 35 isolates were Gram positive bacteria. Out of this the most predominant were Staphylococcus sp (30) and Aerococcus viridans (5). Among the recovered Staphylococcus, the most predominant species were Staphylococcus hominis (7) which was isolated from Fish muscles (2), Prawns (2) and Cuttlefish muscles (3). And Staphylococcus lentus (7) from Fish skin (3), Gills (1) and Cuttlefish skin (3). Followed by Staphylococcus aureus (5) from Cuttlefish Skin (2) and Cuttlefish muscles (3). Nearly similar results were obtained by Evelyn et al., (2015).

Staphylococci are not part of the normal fish microbiota (Huss, 1988; Van den Broek et al, 1984). They are frequently found in the nose, respiratory tract, skin of the human scalp, face, neck, ears, axillae, perineum and the pubic region. They can cause food poisoning and may occasionally cause infections in patients whose immune system is compromised (Karl, 1975; Wesley, 1975). Hence, the presence of Staphylococci in seafood samples indicates the post-harvest contamination due to poor personnel hygiene or due to the disease in fish (Austin and Austin, 2007; Huss, 1988). Aerococcus viridans can be found in the marine environments that can cause fatal disease in lobster and can also act as an opportunistic pathogen in immune compromised patients (Young Uh et al., 2002). The presence of such microorganisms in fish which are not the part of microbiota of these aquatic organisms indicates the occurrence of food contamination due to poor hygiene in handling and lack of preservation techniques (Evelyn et al., 2015).

The present study revealed that the microbial quality of seafood samples from Dammam fish market was not good due to the presence of Vibrio in most samples. Presence of Vibrio sp, can be a cause of infection to the consumers. In the present investigation, Vibrio sp, was studied qualitatively and found in Fish gills, Fish muscles, Prawns and Cuttlefish muscles. It was absent in Fish skin and Cuttlefish skin. Totally 28 isolates were recovered and predominant Vibrio sp, from all positive samples include, Vibrio parahaemolyticus (12), followed by Vibrio alginolyticus (10), Vibrio vulnificus (3), Vibrio cholerae (2) and Vibrio fluvialis (1). El Hadiet et al., detected the presence of potentially pathogenic Vibrio species, with overall incidence in the samples as 4.7% for Vibrio parahaemolyticus, 11% for Vibrio alginolyticus, 6% for Vibrio vulnificus, 4.6% for Vibrio cholerae and 7.6% for Vibrio fluvialis. Okonko et al., isolated 53 Vibrio species in seafoods with the predominance of Vibrio cholerae (47.2%), Vibrio parahaemolyticus (18.9%), Vibrio mimicus (15.1%), Vibrio fluvialis (13.2%), Vibrio alginolyticus (3.8%) and Vibrio vulnificus (1.9%).
Table 1 Range of Total Plate Count (TPC) cfu/g in the examined samples of Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes

| Sample Type | Number of samples with count > 10⁴ | Number of samples with count > 10⁵ | Number of samples with count > 10⁶ | Number of samples with count > 10⁷ |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fish        | 3                                 | 7                                 | ---                               | ---                               |
| Prawn       | 3                                 | 3                                 | 2                                 | 2                                 |
| Cuttlefish  | 3                                 | 6                                 | 1                                 | ---                               |

Table 2 Range of Total Coliforms Count (TCC) cfu/g in the examined samples of Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes

| Sample Type | Number of samples with count < 10² | Number of samples with count > 10² | Number of samples with count > 10³ | Number of samples with count > 10⁴ |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fish        | ---                               | ---                               | 7                                 | 3                                 |
| Prawn       | ---                               | 3                                 | 6                                 | 1                                 |
| Cuttlefish  | 1                                 | ---                               | 6                                 | 3                                 |

Table 3 Statistical analytical results of Total Plate Count (TPC) and Total Coliforms Count (TCC) cfu/g in the examined samples of Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes

| Sample Type | Statistics | Total Plate Count (TPC) | Total Coliforms Count (TCC) |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fish        | Minimum    | 6.70 x 10⁴              | 2.68 x 10³                  |
|             | Maximum    | 8.30 x 10⁵              | 1.40 x 10³                  |
|             | Mean ± S.D | 2.95 ± 2.37 x 10³       | 7.31 ± 3.98 x 10³           |
| Prawn       | Minimum    | 3.50 x 10⁴              | 5.00 x 10²                  |
|             | Maximum    | 3.86 x 10⁴              | 1.74 x 10⁴                  |
|             | Mean ± S.D | 6.15 ± 1.22 x 10⁶       | 4.13 ± 5.19 x 10³           |
| Cuttlefish  | Minimum    | 1.00 x 10⁴              | 5.00 x 10³                  |
|             | Maximum    | 1.85 x 10⁶              | 2.40 x 10⁴                  |
|             | Mean ± S.D | 3.23 ± 5.50 x 10³       | 8.04 ± 1.01 x 10³           |
**Table 4** List of Bacterial species isolated from Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes

| FISH SKIN | FISH GILLS | FISH MUSCLES | PRAWN | CUTTLEFISH SKIN | CUTTLEFISH MUSCLES |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|
| **Aeromonas hydrophila** | Vibrio cholerae | Vibrio alginolyticus | Vibrio alginolyticus | Proteus vulgaris | Vibrio algino\-|limeoticus |
| **Klebsiella pneumoniae** | Vibrio parahaemolyticus | Vibrio alginolyticus | Vibrio vulfinicus | Citrobacter freundii | Vibrio parahaemolyticus |
| **Morganella morganii** | Aeromonas hydrophila | Vibrio parahaemolyticus | Vibrio parahaemolyticus | Escherichia coli | Aeromonas hydrophila |
| **Staphylococcus lentus** | Pasteurella aerogenes | Aeromonas hydrophila | Aeromonas hydrophila | Morganella morganii | Aeromonas sobia |
| **Staphylococcus sciuri** | Shewanella putrefaciens | Aeromonas sobria | Proteus vulgaris | Aeromonas sobia | Providencia stuartii |
| | Staphylococcus hemolyticus | Morganella morganii | Escherichia coli | Shewanella putrefaciens | Morganella morganii |
| | Staphylococcus warneri | Shewanella putrefaciens | Rahnella aquatilis | Staphylococcus aureus | Hafnia alvei |
| | Staphylococcus capitis | Aeromonas salmonicida | Morganella morganii | Staphylococcus lentus | Rahnella aquatilis |
| | Staphylococcus lentus | Aeromonas sobria | Hafnia alvei | Aerococcus viridans | Proteus mirabilis |
| | Ralstonia pickettii | Morganella morganii | Citrobacter koserii | Aeromonas hydrophila | Enterobacter cloacae |
| | | Shewanella putrefaciens | Staphylococcus hominis | Aeromonas sobria | Staphylococcus hominis |
| | | Staphylococcus sciuri | Staphylococcus saprophyticus | Staphylococcus aureus | Staphylococcus aureus |
| | | Staphylococcus warneri | Staphylococcus cohnii | Acinetobacter baumannii | Acinetobacter baumannii |
| | | | | Psuedomonas luteola | Psuedomonas luteola |
| S. No | Bacterial Isolates               | Fish Skin | Fish Gill | Fish Muscles | Prawn    | Cuttlefish Skin | Cuttlefish Muscles | Name of the Samples | Total prevalence |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 01.   | Vibrio parahaemolyticus         | ---       | 1         | 4           | 2        | ---            | 5                  | ---                 | 12               |
| 02.   | Vibrio alginolyticus            | ---       | ---       | 2           | 6        | ---            | 2                  | ---                 | 10               |
| 03.   | Vibrio vulnificus               | ---       | ---       | 1           | 2        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 3                |
| 04.   | Vibrio cholera                  | ---       | 2         | ---         | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 2                |
| 05.   | Vibrio fluvialis                | ---       | ---       | 1           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 06.   | Aeromonas hydrophila            | 6         | 8         | 5           | 3        | 2              | 7                  | ---                 | 31               |
| 07.   | Aeromonas sobria                | ---       | ---       | 1           | ---      | 2              | 5                  | ---                 | 8                |
| 08.   | Aeromonas salmonicida           | ---       | ---       | 1           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 09.   | Proteus vulgaris                | ---       | ---       | ---         | 4        | 1              | ---                | ---                 | 5                |
| 10.   | Proteus mirabilis               | ---       | ---       | ---         | ---      | ---            | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 11.   | Rahnella aquatilis              | ---       | ---       | ---         | 1        | ---            | 1                  | ---                 | 2                |
| 12.   | Morganella morganii             | 1         | ---       | ---         | 4        | 2              | 1                  | ---                 | 8                |
| 13.   | Enterobacter aerogenes          | ---       | ---       | ---         | 3        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 3                |
| 14.   | Enterobacter cloacae            | ---       | ---       | ---         | ---      | 1              | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 15.   | Klebsiella pneumonia            | 1         | ---       | ---         | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 16.   | Providencia stuartii            | ---       | ---       | ---         | ---      | 1              | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 17.   | Hafnia alvei                    | ---       | ---       | ---         | 1        | ---            | 2                  | ---                 | 3                |
| 18.   | Escherichia coli                | ---       | ---       | ---         | 1        | 1              | ---                | ---                 | 2                |
| 19.   | Citrobacter braakii             | ---       | ---       | ---         | 2        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 2                |
| 20.   | Citrobacter freundii            | ---       | ---       | ---         | 1        | ---            | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 21.   | Citrobacter koseri              | ---       | ---       | ---         | 1        | ---            | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 22.   | Pasteurella aerogenes           | ---       | 1         | ---         | 4        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 5                |
| 23.   | Shewanella putrefaciens         | ---       | ---       | 3           | ---      | 1              | 2                  | ---                 | 6                |
| 24.   | Staphylococcus hominis          | ---       | ---       | 2           | 2        | ---            | 3                  | ---                 | 7                |
| 25.   | Staphylococcus lentus           | 3         | 1         | ---         | 3        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 7                |
| 26.   | Staphylococcus aureus           | ---       | ---       | ---         | 2        | 3              | 5                  | ---                 | 5                |
| 27.   | Staphylococcus sciuri           | 1         | ---       | 2           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 3                |
| 28.   | Staphylococcus warneri          | ---       | 1         | 2           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 3                |
| 29.   | Staphylococcus hemolyticus      | ---       | 2         | ---         | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 2                |
| 30.   | Staphylococcus saprophyticus    | ---       | ---       | 1           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 31.   | Staphylococcus cohnii           | ---       | ---       | 1           | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 32.   | Staphylococcus capitis          | ---       | 1         | ---         | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 33.   | Aerococcus viridians            | ---       | ---       | ---         | 5        | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 5                |
| 34.   | Ralstonia pickettii             | ---       | 1         | ---         | ---      | ---            | ---                | ---                 | 1                |
| 35.   | Acinetobacter baumanii          | ---       | ---       | ---         | ---      | 1              | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |
| 36.   | Psuedomonas luteola             | ---       | ---       | ---         | ---      | 1              | 1                  | ---                 | 1                |

**TOTAL NUMBER OF ISOLATES** | 12 | 18 | 24 | 38 | 20 | 36 | 148

---

Table 5: Prevalence of Bacterial species among Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes
Table 6 The Overall Percentage of Prevalent Bacterial Families among Fishes, Prawns and Cuttlefishes

| S.No. | BACTERIAL FAMILY         | No. % for Total 60 Samples | No. % for Total 148 Bacterial Isolates |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 01.   | Aeromonadaceae           | 40 (66.66)                 | 27.02                                  |
| 02.   | Enterobacteriaceae       | 31 (51.66)                 | 20.94                                  |
| 03.   | Staphylococcaceae        | 30 (50.00)                 | 20.27                                  |
| 04.   | Vibrionaceae             | 28 (46.66)                 | 18.91                                  |
| 05.   | Shewannella putrifaciens | 06 (10.00)                 | 04.05                                  |
| 06.   | Pasteurella aerogenes    | 05 (08.33)                 | 03.37                                  |
| 07.   | Aerococcus viridans      | 05 (08.33)                 | 03.37                                  |
| 08.   | Ralstonia picketii       | 01 (01.66)                 | 00.67                                  |
| 09.   | Acinetobacter baumanii   | 01 (01.66)                 | 00.67                                  |
| 10.   | Psuedomonas luteola      | 01 (01.66)                 | 00.67                                  |

Fig. 1 Dammam Retail Fish Market

**Vibrios** are responsible for a number of clinical conditions such as cholera, gastroenteritis, sepsis, and wound infections. Twelve *Vibrio* species have been documented as potential food-borne agents in humans: *Vibrio cholerae*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio vulnificus*, *Vibrio alginolyticus*, *Vibrio furnissi*, *Vibrio fluvialis*, *Vibrio damsel*, *Vibrio mimicus*, *Vibrio hollisae*, *Vibrio cincinnatiensis*, *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio metchnikovii* (Shikongo et al., 2012).

*Shewannella putrifaciens* were isolated from Fish muscles (3), Cuttlefish Muscles (2) and Cuttlefish Skin (1). The prevalence percentage is around 10% out of 60 samples analysed. *Shewannella putrifaciens* can be found in freshwater, brackish and salt water ecosystems. It is a main food spoilage bacterium in marine fish and is known to cause the rotting smell associated with dead fish because of its production of trimethylamine. Infections from *Shewannella putrifaciens* mainly occur in soft tissue.
such as Skin, Intra-abdominal areas or in the blood (Pagani, 2003; McNair, 2010).

*Pasteurella aerogenes* is a species usually found in swine and *Ralstonia pickettii*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* can be found in moist environments such as soils, rivers and lakes. *Psuedomonas luteola* can be found in damp environments. All the above organisms can act as Opportunistic pathogens in humans with poor health and people with compromised immune systems.

The findings of this study revealed that the raw seafoods sold at Dammam fish market could be a source of food borne bacterial pathogens. It has also shown that samples of seafoods used in this study were grossly contaminated by pathogenic organisms such as *Aeromonas* sp, *Staphylococci* sp, *Vibrio* sp, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Shewanella putrefaciens*, *Pasteurella aerogenes*, *Aerococcus viridans*, *Ralstonia pickettii*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Psuedomonas luteola*. Thus, constitute potential public health hazard due to the unhygienic nature of fish handlers. This call for public health concerns and improvements in handling and processing are needed to minimize the prevalence of the pathogens. The levels of Total Plate Count of bacteria and Total Coliforms Count indicate the urgent need require improving the Quality control and Quality assurance systems of Dammam Fish Market.
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