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RESUMO
Dissecando padrões de expressão no transcriptoma de colmos imaturos de cana-de-açúcar: da metodologia à biologia

O genoma da cana-de-açúcar é, de várias formas, o mais complexo dentre as plantas cultivadas, devido à sua alta ploidia, heterozigosidade e histórico de eventos de hibridização. Apesar de esforços nos últimos anos para se construírem três referências genômicas por grupos distintos, estas sequências ainda representam uma informação incompleta sobre os genomas de cana-de-açúcar. A presente dissertação contempla duas análises centrais para explorar o transcriptoma de cana-de-açúcar, visando trazer informações biológicas sobre a expressão gênica em colmos imaturos bem como reflexões metodológicas para o planejamento de experimentos de expressão diferencial. O primeiro capítulo apresenta uma comparação metodológica de duas estratégias visando ilustrar a influência de réplicas biológicas para plantas propagadas vegetativamente, como é o caso da cana-de-açúcar. Estas análises compararam o uso de clones ao uso de um conjunto diverso de genótipos como componentes dos grupos contrastantes de amostras. Os resultados indicam que o uso de clones permitiu a detecção de um maior número de genes diferencialmente expressos, provavelmente incluindo genes de efetivo interesse entre genes induzidos em genótipos específicos. Por outro lado, o uso de genótipos diversos proporcionou menos genes diferencialmente expressos, mas com aparentemente maior proporção de genes biologicamente relevantes. Esta proposição foi corroborada tanto pelos resultados do enriquecimento funcional quanto pelo conjunto de genes detectados em comum pelas estratégias. O segundo capítulo apresenta uma investigação biológica sobre os mecanismos genéticos pelos quais ocorre a partição de carbono em colmos apicais, onde o processo de acúmulo de sacarose não está desenvolvido. Genes diferencialmente expressos foram identificados para metabolismo e transporte de sacarose, tais como os genes de sacarose sintase, invertases e transportador de sacarose. Entretanto, o fenômeno mais notável relativo à partição de carbono foi a biossíntese de componentes da parede celular. Estes estudos podem trazer novas perspectivas para pesquisas sobre genética de cana-de-açúcar, por apresentarem um conjunto de genes de interesse para o metabolismo de açúcares e fibra, bem como conduzindo a uma escolha consciente do delineamento experimental para análises de RNA-Seq.

Palavras-chave: Saccharum, Partição de carbono, Expressão diferencial, Réplicas biológicas, RNA-Seq
ABSTRACT

Dissecting expression patterns in the transcriptome of immature sugarcane culms: from methodology to biology

The sugarcane genome is, by all accounts, the most complex among the cultivated crops due to its high ploidy, heterozygosity and history of hybridization events. Despite substantial efforts in the past years to obtain three genomic references by different groups, these sequences still represent incomplete information about sugarcane genomes. The current master thesis presents two core analyses to explore the sugarcane transcriptome, with the goal of bringing both biological insights about gene expression in immature culms as well as methodological considerations for the planning of differential expression experiments. The first chapter presents a methodological comparison of two strategies aiming to illustrate the influence of biological replication for vegetatively propagated plants, such as sugarcane. These analyses compared the use of clones and a diverse set of genotypes as components of contrasting groups of samples. The results indicate that the use of clones yielded an increased number of differentially expressed genes, which likely include genes of actual biological interest amidst genotype-specific significant tests. On the other hand, the use of diverse genotypes provided fewer differentially expressed genes, but the proportion of biologically relevant genes was seemingly higher. This statement was supported by evidence from both functional enrichment tests as well as the set of shared genes detected between the strategies. The second chapter presents a biological inquiry about the genetic mechanics regarding carbon partitioning in apical culms, where the sucrose accumulation process has not yet unfolded. Differentially expressed genes were identified for sucrose metabolism and transport, such as sucrose synthase, invertases, and sucrose transporter. However, the most apparent phenomenon with regard to carbon partitioning was the biosynthesis of cell wall components. These studies could drive new insights into sugarcane genetic investigations, by providing a set of important genes for early fiber and sugar metabolism in sugarcane, as well as aid researchers in making a more careful choice of experimental design for RNA-Seq essays.

Keywords: Saccharum, Carbon partitioning, Differential expression, Biological replicates, RNA-Seq
GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane is one of the most valuable crops worldwide due to its importance for sugar, ethanol, and, more recently, biomass production. However, sugarcane has a highly complex genome. Modern cultivars result from hybridization between *Saccharum officinarum*, a high-sugar domesticated grass, and *S. spontaneum*, a fibrous plant tolerant to a broad range of biotic and abiotic stresses. Both parental species are autopolyploids (Bremer, 1925; Panje & Babu, 1960) — *S. officinarum* likely has eight sets of ten chromosomes (D’Hont et al., 1998), while the number of chromosomes in *S. spontaneum* ranges from 40 to 128 —, such that sugarcane hybrids are auto-allopolyploids with frequent aneuploidy. Moreover, the number of chromosomes varies among genotypes (Piperidis et al., 2010), as well as within the same genotype (D’Hont et al., 1996).

Among the species of the genus *Saccharum*, *S. spontaneum* includes accessions with the most variable morphological features and chromosome number, as well as with a broader geographic span, from Northeast Africa to the Pacific Islands. Ecological and morphological adaptations allowed the species to thrive in widely diverse habitats. For instance, plants exhibit a great variation in size, ratooning capacity, amount of juice, stalk color, as well as tolerance to growing in dry soil or submerged into river waters (Mary et al., 2006). When compared to modern cultivars, it has lower sucrose content, higher fiber yield, increased ratooning performance, and thinner stalks. Its high tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses led to efforts of hybridization at the end of the 19th century, to introgress these traits to the high-sugar *S. officinarum*.

The narrow genetic base of the hybrids from the first decades of the 20th century has driven to issues such as the need to introgress new traits and the decreased rate of genetic gain, which led to new attempts of crossing with the parental species. However, a study using molecular markers showed that the diversity currently captured by breeding programs is still low, when considering the contribution of *S. spontaneum* (Aitken et al., 2018). The use of new accessions as sources of alleles can be particularly relevant for introducing desirable traits for improving the so-called energy cane, given the growing allocation of sugarcane resources to the production of ethanol.

Besides classical plant breeding programs, both public and private initiatives have been developing genetically modified sugarcane aiming to tackle several agronomical issues. Transgenic sugarcane harboring genes for resistance to insects (Gao et al., 2016; Cristofoletti et al., 2018) and viruses (Yao et al., 2017), for conferring drought tolerance (Zhao et al., 2020), and for increasing sugar yield (Anur et al., 2020) are examples of these. Yet, the lack of detailed information about the sugarcane genome hinders the understanding of how molecular mechanisms happen and can be leveraged for sugarcane breeding.

Genomic or transcriptomic assays are two approaches that can be used to acquire data for this purpose. Genomic studies are particularly complex to be performed for sugarcane, due to the high ploidy numbers and heterozygosity. There are three major scientific studies for presenting a comprehensive view of *Saccharum* genomes. The hybrids R570 and SP80-3280, as well as the haploid *S. spontaneum* accession AP85-441, had their genome sequenced using different methodologies, providing our best knowledge of the sugarcane genome to date (Garsmeur et al., 2018; Souza et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). Despite the advance brought by these studies, they still represent incomplete assemblies and pose obstacles when used as references. On the other hand, transcriptomic studies can be performed with fewer complications when compared to genomic ones. In particular for RNA-Seq analyses in non-model species, the currently used de novo assemblers do not necessarily require genomic references and are able to deal with polymorphisms present in different alleles (at least for highly expressed genes).

One suitable approach for detecting genes involved in biological processes is using differential expression analyses to identify up and downregulated genes in comparisons of interest. For instance, this strategy has been used to identify genes related to sugar yield (Papini-Terzi et al., 2009; Thirugnanasam-
bandam et al., 2017), fiber content (Vicentini et al., 2015), drought stress (Li et al., 2016), and resistance to smut (Rody et al., 2019). Because gene expression is cell, tissue, and organ-dependent, the part of the plant chosen to be sampled provides data to answer different biological questions. Historically, sucrose yield is at the spotlight of studies regarding not only gene expression, but also enzymatic activity, plant physiology, and cellular biochemistry. However, these studies frequently focus on mature internodes or immature internodes at a late developmental stage. The current dissertation comprises two projects using sampled immature internodes in the earliest stage of development, which is right below the apical meristem.

The RNA-Seq data used as input to identify differentially expressed genes often fits into three sources of comparison: different tissues (organs) of the same plant; plants under different treatment levels; or plants with different genotypes, often selected based on their phenotypes. The first two examples do not necessarily depend on the choice of genotype, because the genomic composition of the contrasting groups is often identical. On the other hand, the latter case has a marginal effect of the combination of genotypes, regardless of the experimental design, such as in comparisons of high versus low sugar genotypes or susceptible versus tolerant plants to a pathogen. Also, especially for sugarcane and other vegetatively propagated crops, the use of clones or elite lines as biological replicates is a frequently adopted sampling strategy for the comparison of expression patterns. Another equally valid strategy and also used in gene expression assays is selecting different genotypes, grouped by a shared phenotypic trait. In chapter one, we analyze these different sampling strategies for biological replication, in which the group of interest is formed by clones or by a diverse set of genotypes (Figure 1). This study aims to compare the outcomes of differential expression analyses corresponding to these strategies and to provide a reference for the experimental design of future researches using RNA-Seq data under these conditions.

Little is known about the immature internode +1, which is the youngest part of sugarcane stalk, and remains largely unexplored with regard to its transcriptome. Chapter two presents an investigation of expression patterns in this organ for plants contrasting in sucrose levels, presenting a set of differentially expressed genes and enriched biological functions. Here, we identified putative markers for carbon partitioning before the start of sucrose accumulation.
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CHAPTER ONE: AN RNA-SEQ-BASED COMPARISON OF BIOLOGICAL REPLICATION STRATEGIES FOR DIFFERENTIAL GENE EXPRESSION IN SACCHARUM

1 INTRODUCTION

The genus *Saccharum* comprises six species, of which *S. spontaneum* and *S. robustum* are the only wild representatives, spread over a large area in Asia and Indonesia, and the others are domesticated species — *S. officinarum*, *S. barberi*, *S. sinense*, and *S. edule*. Modern sugarcane cultivars are mainly descendant from the crossing of *S. officinarum* and *S. spontaneum*, followed by backcrossing to *S. officinarum*, such that they inherit the high sugar yield from the former species and the pathogen resistance, adaptability, and increased vigor of the latter (Irvine, 1999; Piperidis et al., 2010). Sugarcane cultivation accounts for 86% of the worldwide production of sugar, despite the increasing allocation of its juice for ethanol production. Moreover, the sugarcane residue after juice extraction, called bagasse, is a byproduct that can be used for energy generation and production of bioplastics (OECD/FAO, 2019; Aguilar et al., 2019). The crop is a renewable source of fuel and presents a significant advantage over fossil fuels due to the reduced emission of greenhouse gases (Goldemberg, 2008).

Sugarcane breeding programs usually rely on a few recurrent crosses between elite parents or wild germplasm to produce genotypes with desired traits, such as sugar or fiber yield and resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Heinz & Tew, 1987; de Souza Barbosa et al., 2002; Jackson, 2005). As a consequence, scientific investigations in sugarcane are often based on elite lines as a source of plant material, such as two genome assemblies for the hybrids R570 — a major model in sugarcane genomic studies — and SP80-3280 (Garsmeur et al., 2018; Souza et al., 2019).

Also, these hybrids show a large variation in chromosome number and genome constitution. *S. officinarum* (2n = 8x = 80) and *S. spontaneum* (2n = 40-128), the parental species, have high levels of ploidy and complex genomes per se (Bremer, 1925; Panje & Babu, 1960). Chromosome number multiplicity and molecular evidence have led to the acceptance of the basic number of x = 8 for *S. spontaneum* (Liu et al., 2016); however, the description of a wild accession with x = 10 brought a new panorama to the evolutionary history of the genus (Meng et al., 2020). These facts reveal an intricate set of hurdles concerning the understanding of sugarcane genomics, which must be considered for data-driven experiments.

More specifically, the use of phenotypic trait variation between genotypes is a common approach found in differential expression studies. In the literature of sugarcane gene expression research, there are analyses conducted with a single genotype representing each group of interest (Casu et al., 2007; Papini-Terzi et al., 2009; Casu et al., 2015; Vicentini et al., 2015; Dharshini et al., 2016), as well as with multiple genotypes per group (Papini-Terzi et al., 2009; Ferreira et al., 2016; Thirugnanasambandam et al., 2017; Hoang et al., 2017). Biological replicates provide more accurate estimates of transcript abundances when comparing samples from two treatment levels. Clones from the same genotype are subject to variability in their expression levels due to factors such as interactions with the environment and other organisms. Still, the transcriptional variation within clones is expected to be smaller when compared to plants from different genotypes, which increases the dispersion of gene quantification estimates. Statistical parameters such as means of expression levels and their residual variances are the main variables considered in modern differential expression tests, which highlights the relevance of the choice of approach for performing these studies. While the use of clones renders a more homogeneous set of samples, and consequently more statistical power to detect differences of expression between groups, it also restrains the set of samples to a limited number of genotypes.
Here, we evaluate the influence of using clonal replicates or multiple genotypes in differential gene expression analysis between contrasting groups. The comparison of approaches we propose relies both on quantitative estimates of differentially expressed genes and qualitative functional enrichment tests. We aim to present an information-based criterion for selecting biological replicates for further experiments using RNA-Seq, particularly for sugarcane, whose genomic properties can deviate dramatically among genotypes.
2 CONCLUSIONS

With the increasing application of next generation sequencing to investigate complex transcriptomes, such as that of sugarcane, recent studies aim to apply these techniques to unravel the molecular mechanisms controlling several phenotypic traits. However, a single biological replicate in each contrasting group is not enough for performing this sort of analysis, leaving for the researcher the choice of a suitable experimental design. Our present study intended to illustrate the strengths and caveats inherent to two sampling strategies for biological replication, namely by using a diverse group of genotypes with common phenotypic characteristics or clones from the same genotype, chosen to be representative of this group. The results have provided evidence of discrepancies in (i) quantitative terms, regarding the number of genes detected as differentially expressed, (ii) consistency, when subjected to self-validation using subsampling, and (iii) inferred biological conclusions from the functional annotation of differentially expressed genes. These analyses suggest that the use of clones as biological replicates may yield somewhat restricted results, biased by the particular choice of genotypes. Regardless of these concerns, the direct comparison of two genotypes can still be useful in particular situations. On the other hand, the presence of a representative set of genotypes within the same group can lead to more reasonable biologic outcomes. In any case, it is possible to combine these strategies to refine the level of details, if economically viable. This research offers support to the experimental design of new studies using differential expression as a method of investigation in sugarcane and other plants with high genomic complexity.
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CHAPTER TWO: IDENTIFYING EXPRESSION PROFILES ASSOCIATED WITH DIVERSE CARBON PARTITIONING PHENOTYPES IN YOUNG SUGARCANE STEMS

1 INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane cultivation has a large contribution to Brazilian agribusiness in terms of production, economic importance, and cultivated area. Its relevance is mainly due to the use of culms to produce sugar and ethanol. Brazil has over 8 million ha cultivated with sugarcane, or roughly 1% of the total land area, with an estimated production of 642.7 million tons in 2019/2020 (https://www.conab.gov.br/). It is thus the major worldwide producer of this crop. Using vegetable biomass from sugarcane represents an alternative source of biofuel generation, which has a lower environmental impact than fossil fuels, due to carbon sequestration. Therefore, concerns involving environmental pollution and long-term fuel reserves are responsible for the interest in using fuels from renewable energy sources. This fact drives genetic breeding of this crop aiming to increase production with phenotypes of agronomic and economic interest, such as drought resistance, sugar yield, biomass content, high tillering, and ratooning (Rooney et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2013).

The complexity of the sugarcane genome is one of the main challenges for performing computational analyses based on molecular information. Panje & Babu (1960) performed an extensive manual characterization of the number of chromosomes in more than three hundred S. spontaneum accessions, concluding that the diploid number varies from 40 to 128 for this species. For S. officinarum, the karyotype 2n = 80 is found among most of the accessions since the first evaluation by Bremer (1925), with the exception of aneuploid variation from atypical clones (Heinz, 1991). The previous interspecific hybridization events between S. spontaneum and S. officinarum aimed to create crops with high sugar yield in the culms and resistance to pathogens. From a genomic standpoint, these hybrids resulted in polyploid and aneuploid crops with 2n = 100-130, with chromosomes from both parental species as well as recombinants (D’Hont et al., 1996). High ploidy levels increase the number of gene copies, which can lead to the emergence of new alleles by sequence divergence, pseudogenes by loss of function, and neofunctionalization in exceptional cases. Aneuploidy is also a determinant factor on phenotypic variation, because genic dosage ratios are affected for genes in different chromosomes (Makarevitch & Harris, 2010).

These obstacles have hindered advances into understanding the roles of key genes related to physiological characteristics of sugarcane, such as sucrose accumulation, one of its most important agronomic traits. The molecular mechanisms of sucrose concentration in mature sugarcane culms depend on sucrose balance and availability, passing through several biological processes, such as photosynthesis, cellular growth, respiration, and sugar transport. Sugar accumulation in sugarcane works differently from most of the plants, because its storage carbohydrate is sucrose, instead of complex and insoluble polysaccharides, such as starch, and it occurs in stem parenchymal tissue (McCormick et al., 2008 b). Sacher et al. (1963) described that sucrose undergoes conversion to hexoses by acid invertases acting at the apoplast of parenchyma cells in young culms. By doing so, these enzymes can control the uptake of sugars for posterior degradation or resynthesis for accumulation. Moore (1995) evaluated the metabolite dynamics through each compartment — apoplast, cytosol, and vacuole of storage parenchyma cells in culms. In his work, the main hypothesis is that the maintenance of low turgor gradient of solutes could regulate the transport of metabolites, mediated by a turgor-sensing system, which has been endorsed by Wang et al. (2013). However, Wu et al. (2007) were able to develop transgenic sugarcane carrying a gene for sucrose isomerase (SI) that can double its sugar content by converting sucrose to isomaltulose, with no decrease in sucrose concentration and increased photosynthetic rates. In this experiment, the authors showed that signaling pathways in the source-sink system can regulate photosynthetic activity on leaves.
and that osmotic restraints are not the main limiters to the sucrose accumulation process.

Studies indicate invertases as key regulators of sugar levels in sugarcane culms (Wang et al., 2013). Three invertases synthesized in storage parenchyma — cell wall bound invertase (CWI), neutral invertase (NI), and soluble acid invertase (SAI) — are redirected to different cell compartments and prevail in different stages of culm maturation (Wang et al., 2013). Alongside with the invertases, sucrose synthase (SuSy) and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) have been identified as putative markers of sucrose accumulation. SuSy catalyzes a reversible reaction for cleaving sucrose into fructose and UDP-glucose. The breakdown/synthesis ratio of SuSy increases with internode maturity, with activity in young internodes mainly in the synthetic direction (Schäfer et al., 2004). Lower sucrose concentration in immature culms also corroborates these results, supporting sucrose synthesis instead of breakdown by SuSy. Overall sucrose synthesis occurs by SuSy and SPS catalysis (Botha & Black, 2000). However, other factors might be related to sucrose levels in sugarcane, such as redirecting of UDP-glucose to cell wall synthesis by cellulose synthase A (CesA) complexes and intricate regulation of sugar trafficking through the phloem, apoplast, storage parenchyma cytoplasm, and vacuole, via apoplastic or symplasmic paths (Casu et al., 2015).

RNA-Seq and EST sequencing projects have been performed in sugarcane to understand genes in terms of their tissue-specificity, to determine differences between mature and immature culms, and to detect genes affected by abiotic stresses. Several of these studies also combined sequencing and hybridization approaches to identify genes of interest and estimate the abundance of their corresponding transcripts (de Araujo et al., 2005; Rae et al., 2005; Papini-Terzi et al., 2009; Casu et al., 2015; Thirugnanasambandam et al., 2019). Previous studies, using sugar-contrasting genotypes at distinct levels of stem maturity, reported several classes of genes as differentially expressed. Genes related to signaling such as kinases, phosphatases, and transcription factors, and to cell wall biosynthesis, as well as SuSy, SPS, and bidirectional sugar transporters (SWEETs) were either up or downregulated in high sugar genotypes (Papini-Terzi et al., 2009; Thirugnanasambandam et al., 2017). Moreover, genes whose expression was affected by abiotic stresses, such as drought, were also related to sugar accumulation processes in the case of abscisic acid (ABA) signaling and biosynthesis, for example (Papini-Terzi et al., 2009). This observation may be due to the fact that sugarcane shows increased sugar levels in response to abiotic stresses. Genotypes contrasting in lignin content also exhibited a consistent differential expression pattern of genes in the phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathway and cell wall proteins (Vicentini et al., 2015). Regardless of efforts to identify genes associated with sucrose accumulation in sugarcane culms, little is known about how carbon partitioning takes place in the apical section of its stalks.

In this work, we investigate gene expression in internode +1 of different sugarcane genotypes contrasting in sugar levels, representing a wide variety of phenotypes and origins of Saccharum accessions. Because this section of the culm is directly below the apical meristem, the sugar accumulation process has not yet taken place. The main biological activity in this section of the stem is the expanding of cell wall surface for cellular growth (Rose & Botha, 2000). We used RNA-seq data to analyze expressed transcripts in sugarcane to understand the mechanisms involved in carbon partitioning at an early stage of development. Gene expression studies using immature internodes often involve comparisons to other organs (Casu et al., 2003, Casu et al., 2004; Papini-Terzi et al., 2013; de Barros Dantas et al., 2020) or between culms at a later developmental stage and low levels of sucrose accumulation (Thirugnanasambandam et al., 2017). However, to the best of our knowledge there is no study using RNA-Seq data to assess the expression patterns in internodes at the most immature stage of development. Internode +1 may provide useful information regarding the process of carbon partitioning in young sucrose storage cells. Using a diverse set of genotypes with a broad range of sugar yield, we could assess whether there was consistency in the expression patterns of sugar-related genes. Instead of using a single accession per group, this approach is suitable to reveal alternative routes to achieve similar phenotypes, because
we can evaluate genotypic specificities regarding the expression levels of sugar regulators. Here, our main purpose was to characterize putative key regulators of carbon partitioning that could initiate the phenotypical differentiation between high and low sugar sugarcane genotypes.
2 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated possible genetic reasons that explain sugarcane variation in terms of sucrose accumulation, which can reach the highest concentration known in a plant. More specifically, we focused on understanding how regulation of gene expression in apical culms could be responsible for differences in sugar storage in the target tissue. With the information acquired from the set of differentially expressed genes, we observed that several genes pointed as main regulators of sucrose yield were not significantly upregulated in the group of sugar-rich genotypes. Despite the complexity of factors that might contribute to this phenomenon, our analyses suggest that even at this early development stage, cellulose synthesis plays a vital role in the differentiation of sugarcane genotypes with lower and higher levels of sucrose and fiber. Also, SuSy2 was among the few differentially expressed genes responsible for sucrose synthesis/breakdown, and it might be useful to provide the required substrate for cellulose synthetic activity. The transport of sugars by transmembrane proteins was a noticeable process that distinguished samples with very low sugar concentration from the others. We also found evidence of a novel alternative splicing form in two SWEET genes expressed in the internode +1, which encodes a protein isoform with a different number of transmembrane helices. This discovery could bring new information to the sugar transport process in sugarcane. However, for a better glimpse of sugar transporters in general, it is necessary to acquire both tissue-specific expression patterns and cellular localization of these proteins to understand the exact trafficking of photoassimilates from source to sink. As a whole, gene expression patterns indicate a route for carbon partitioning in these young culms, provided by i) driving sucrose to the cytoplasm instead of apoplasm or vacuole; ii) breaking down of sucrose according to the metabolic demand; and iii) synthesizing cellulose for cell wall expansion. This molecular workflow may partially explain the relationship between fiber and sucrose yield that distinguishes S. officinarum and S. spontaneum phenotypes. Remarkably, our study pointed to several particularities possessed by each genotype in expression levels. This result simultaneously shows that sugar yield depends on multiple genes, and that genotypes with similar phenotypes might not have common grounds when it comes to expression profiles of well-established genes as the main regulators of the sugar accumulation process, at an early stage of development.
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