Relativistic effects in the chaotic Sitnikov problem
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ABSTRACT

We investigate the phase-space structure of the relativistic Sitnikov problem in the first post-Newtonian approximation. The phase-space portraits show a strong dependence on the gravitational radius which describes the strength of the relativistic pericentre advance. Bifurcations appearing at various gravitational radii are presented. Transient chaotic behaviour related to escapes from the primaries is also studied. Finally, the numerically determined chaotic saddle is investigated in the context of hyperbolic and non-hyperbolic dynamics as a function of the gravitational radius.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Sitnikov problem (SP) (Sitnikov 1960) is one of the simplest dynamical systems in celestial mechanics that provides all kinds of chaotic behaviour. The configuration of the system is defined by: two point-like bodies of equal masses (called primaries) orbiting around their common centre of mass due to their mutual gravitational forces, and a third body of negligible mass moving along a line, perpendicular to the orbital plane of the primaries, going through their barycentre. For the circular motion of the primaries, the problem is integrable and Macmillan (1911) gave a closed form analytical solution with elliptic integrals. Moser (1973) showed the existence of chaotic behaviour using symbolic dynamics.

In the last decades, the problem was investigated in details both analytically and numerically. Liu & Sun (1990) derived a mapping model to investigate the problem. Wodnar (1991) introduced a new formulation for the equation of motion by using the true anomaly of the primaries as an independent variable. Hagel & Lhotka (2005) extended the analytical approximations up to very high orders by using extensive computer algebra. Dvorak (1993) showed by numerical computations that invariant curves exist for small oscillations around the barycentre. Alfaro & Chiralt (1993) determined invariant rotational curves by applying the Birkhoff normal form of an area-preserving mapping. Periodic solutions were studied by Perdios & Markello (1988), Bellbruno, Llibre & Olle (1994), Jalali & Poutakdoust (1997), Kallrath, Dvorak & Schlöder (1997) and Corbera & Llibre (2000). The complete phase space was studied numerically by Dvorak (2007) and Kovács & Erdi (2007).

The SP is the perfect manifestation of a scattering process in which a particle approaches a dynamical system from infinity, interacts with the system and ultimately the particle leaves it. Escapes to infinity in SP were studied by Kovács & Erdi (2009). The test particle can escape the system via different exits; thus one can determine the basins of escape, since in these systems infinity acts as an attractor for an escaping particle. A detailed study about basins of escape can be found in Bleher et al. (1988) and Contopoulos (2002).

An interesting question is how the structure of the phase space changes due to relativistic effects. Since Robertson (1938) gave the solution of the relativistic two-body problem in the post-Newtonian (PN) approximation, many papers have dealt with pericentre advance in celestial mechanics, especially in the case of binary pulsars where the masses of celestial bodies are of the same magnitude (Wagoner & Will 1976; Damour & Schäffer 1988). It is an established fact that the pericentre precession in the PN two-body problem is the same as in Schwarzschild’s metric (Landau & Lifschitz 1975; Damour & Deruelle 1985) expressed by the total mass. Namely, the pericentre advance in one revolution is

\[ \Delta \phi = \frac{6\pi k(m_1 + m_2)}{ac^2(1 - e^2)}, \tag{1} \]

where \( k \) represents the gravitational constant, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are the masses of the bodies, and \( a \) and \( e \) describe the classical semimajor axis and the eccentricity, respectively. In equation \( (1) \) \( c \) denotes the speed of light.

The aim of the present work is to show the relativistic dynamics of the SP by taking into account the leading PN ‘perturbation’. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the model: first, the PN two-body problem and then the relativistic Sitnikov problem (RSP). Section 3 contains our numerical results. Section 3.1 concentrates on the phase-space structure of the RSP, and Section 3.2 deals with chaotic scattering. In Section 4, we draw...
our conclusions. The derivation of the equations of motion can be found in the Appendix.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL

The SP is a particular case of the restricted three-body problem. The third, mass-less body has no effect on the primaries’ motion, neither in the classical nor in the relativistic case. Therefore, the problem can be split into two parts. The solution of the two-body problem is needed for the determination of the motion of the test particle. The instantaneous position of primaries provides the time-dependent driving acting on the test particle.

2.1 Post-Newtonian two-body problem

All our results are given in the leading PN approximation based on an assumption of weak inter-body gravitational field and slow orbital motions. Beyond the classical limit, it contains terms of the order of $v^2c^2$, where $v$ is a typical orbital velocity and $c$ is the speed of light (Calura, Fortini & Montanari 1997).

Throughout this paper the length unit will be chosen as the semimajor axis of the classical two-body problem: $a = -km_1m_2/(2E_c)$, where $E_c$ is the classical energy in the centre of mass frame. The time unit is taken as $T = a^{3/2}[k(m_1 + m_2)]^{-1/2}$ from Kepler’s third law. The energy unit will be $km_1m_2/a$. The total dimensionless classical energy becomes $E_c = -0.5$.

The equations of the relative motion in the PN centre of mass frame can be derived from the Lagrangian given in Damour & Deruelle (1985). The equation for the relative coordinate $\mathbf{r}$ reads in dimensionless form up to the first order in $\lambda$ as

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} + \lambda \left[ -(1 + 3\nu) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} v^2 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} (\mathbf{r} \dot{v})^2 ight. 
+ \left. (4 + 2\nu) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} v^2 + (4 - 2\nu) \frac{v}{r} (\mathbf{r} \dot{v}) \right],$$

(2)

where $v = m_1m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$ is the effective mass and $\lambda = k(m_1 + m_2)/ac^2$ denotes the dimensionless gravitational radius with $a$ as the classical semimajor axis.

The invariance of the Lagrangian under time translation and spatial rotation implies the existence of four first integrals, the energy and the angular momentum of the binary system in the centre of mass frame:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[ \frac{3}{4} (1 - 3\nu) v^4 
+ \frac{1}{r} \left( (3 + \nu) v^2 + (\mathbf{r} \dot{v})^2 + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right],$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v} \left[ 1 + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 - 3\nu) v^2 + (3 + \nu) \frac{1}{r} \right) \right].$$

(4)

This implies that the motion reduces to an effectively one-dimensional bounded problem that in turn leads to a periodic time dependence for all the variables. One can also derive the dimensionless form of the period $P$ between two consecutive pericentre passages (Damour & Schäffer 1988). The pericentric advance and $P$ are given as

$$\Delta \phi = 6\pi \frac{\lambda}{1 - e_c}, \quad P = \frac{2\pi}{(2E)^{1/2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} (15 - \nu) \frac{\lambda}{2} \right].$$

(5)

The classical eccentricity is obtained from $E_c$ and the classical angular momentum $J_c$ as

$$e_c = \left[ 1 - J_c^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$  

(6)

The relativistic orbital elements are then (Damour & Schäffer 1988)

$$a(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2E} - (\nu - 7) \frac{\lambda}{4},$$

$$e(\lambda) = \left[ 1 + 2EJ^2 - \lambda \left( \nu - 6 \right) - \left( \frac{5}{4} - \frac{15}{2} \right) J_c^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$  

(7)

Since the masses of primaries are equal, we can set the value of $\nu = 1/4$. The solution of equations (2) provides the time-dependent driving for the RSP.

2.2 The relativistic Sitnikov problem

To obtain the equation of the RSP we use the Lagrangian of the PN three-body system (Landau & Lifschitz 1975). The final form of the equation is (for details see the Appendix)

$$\ddot{\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}}{\rho^3} + \lambda \left[ \frac{5}{4} \frac{z}{\rho^3} + \frac{16}{2} \frac{z}{\rho^3} - \frac{v^2 z}{\rho^3} 
+ 6 \frac{\dot{z} z}{\rho^3} + 3 \frac{(v \dot{z}) z}{\rho^3} + 6 \frac{(v \dot{z}) z}{16 \rho^3} \right],$$

(8)

where $z$ and $\dot{z}$ are the dimensionless position and the velocity of the test particle, respectively, and $\rho = \sqrt{z^2 + r^2}/4$. The additional terms in the bracket on the right-hand site of equation (8) describe the relativistic effects on Sitnikov’s motion.

3 NUMERICAL RESULTS

As initial conditions to the two-body problem, we take the initial conditions at the pericentre in the form $r_{\text{peri}} = (1 - e_\text{c}; 0)$ and $v = (0; ((1 + e_\text{c})/(1 - e_\text{c}))^{1/2})$. We shall fix the classical eccentricity to be $e_\text{c} = 0.2 (J_c = 0.9797)$. The total energy is then

$$E = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{32} \frac{71 + 58e_\text{c} + 3e^2}{(1 - e_\text{c})^2} = -0.5 + 4.04\lambda.$$  

(9)

The period $P$ up to the first order in $\lambda$ is therefore

$$P = 2\pi (1 + 14\lambda).$$  

(10)

Our numerical investigations show that the PN approximation is valid between 0 and some $\lambda_c$. We define the critical $\lambda_c$ as a value where the numerical results of the two-body problem differ from the analytical results (5) by about 10 per cent. Although the equations of motion (2) are valid up to the first order in $\lambda$, they are non-linear equations and can provide results which are higher order in $\lambda$ or $v^2c^2$. There is thus a threshold beyond which the numerical solution no longer holds. Fig. 1 indicates that $\lambda_c \approx 0.035$.

Due to the time periodic driving one can investigate the structure of the three-dimensional phase space via stroboscopic or Poincaré maps, like in the non-relativistic case.

3.1 Phase-space structure

Along with the gravitational radius, the orbital period changes, as expressed by equation (10). Therefore, if data are stored corresponding to the Keplerian orbital period, we obtain a confused phase portrait. Looking at Fig. 2, one cannot distinguish islands or chaotic bands, we see just ‘fuzzy’ curves and sparse points everywhere. In order to get a ‘transparent’ phase portrait, the new period, $P$, of the
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Figure 1. Analytical and numerical solutions of the relativistic two-body problem. Upper panel: period $P$. Solid line – numerical solution; dash–dotted – analytical solution given by equation (10). Lower panel: pericentre advance; solid line – numerical solution; dash–dotted – analytical solution given by equation (5).

Figure 2. Stroboscopic phase portrait of the RSP ($\lambda = 0.005$) taken with the classical period of the two-body problem. Initial conditions are taken from the interval $0.05 \leq z \leq 3.0$ ($\Delta z = 0.05$) and $\dot{z} = 0$.

Fig. 3 shows this Poincaré map that allows us to investigate the phase space as usual. One can indeed see the pattern typical of conservative dynamics.¹ By comparing the relativistic (Fig. 3) and the classical phase portraits (Fig. 4), the structure is different but the Hamiltonian characteristics remain.

The RSP has one new parameter, the gravitational radius $\lambda$. The qualitative features of the phase space depends then on $\lambda$ only. One can see from Figs 3 and 4 that even a small $\lambda$ can change the phase portrait dramatically. For $\lambda = 0.005$ the main difference to the classical case is the modified surroundings of the 2:1 resonance. The central region is similar to the classical case; invariant tori are situated around the stable origin. However, the main islands corresponding to the 2:1 resonance are changed. The irregularly scattered points around the islands of stability represent the trajectories that can escape the system sooner or later.

Fig. 5 shows three phase portraits for different values of the gravitational radius $\lambda$. One can draw several conclusions from these plots. First, it is evident that the central stable region is shrinking when $\lambda$ becomes larger. It means, if the perturbation is larger, the domain of the ordered motion is smaller. Secondly, the size of the island of the 2:1 resonance becomes larger along the direction parallel to the $z$-axis and smaller perpendicular to it. During this process, the perimeter of the island increases resulting in a longer island chain around the last KAM-torus. Consequently, there are longer Cantori which the scattered trajectories can stick to during the scattering process. We will see this in the next section.

We have identified a bifurcation at $\lambda \approx 0.03$. The qualitative change due to the increasing gravitational radius $\lambda$ is well-seen in Fig. 6. Panel (a) shows the right island of the 2:1 resonance with one stable elliptic fixed point on the phase portrait sitting in the middle of the regular island. However, for larger $\lambda$ [panels (b) and (c)] the stable periodic orbit becomes unstable and two new elliptic fixed points appear to the left and right.

In order to show another picture about how the phase space changes with $\lambda$, we plotted the escape times in a contour plot (Fig. 7). If the mechanical energy of the test particle becomes positive, the trajectory never returns to the primaries’ plane, i.e. the test particle leaves the system. In Fig. 7, different colours represent different escape times in the $(\lambda, z)$ plane. The contour plot was made as

¹ For simplicity, instead of the canonically conjugated $(z, p_z)$ coordinates we use the traditional $(z, \dot{z})$ coordinates, although it makes the Poincaré map not exactly area preserving. This map is smoothly conjugated to the area preserving one.
Figure 5. Phase portraits for different gravitational radii (a): $\lambda = 0.015$, (b): $\lambda = 0.025$, (c): $\lambda = 0.035$. The central stable region becomes smaller from left to right. Moreover, the different shape of the island of the 2:1 resonance shows the sensitivity to $\lambda$. Panel (c) exhibits a phase-space section after a bifurcation at $\lambda = 0.032$.

Figure 6. Bifurcation due to changing the gravitational radius about $\lambda \approx 0.03$. Panel (a)–(c): $\lambda = 0.028$, $\lambda = 0.03$, $\lambda = 0.032$. The originally stable periodic orbit (2:1 resonance) becomes unstable and two new stable fixed points appear in the phase portrait.

Figure 7. Escape times of the trajectories that originate from $(z, \dot{z} = 0)$ on the $(\lambda, z)$ parameter plane. When the test-particle has positive energy, we store the integration time as the escape time of the orbit. This contour map was calculated over 100 periods of primaries (i.e. roughly 628 time units; see the colour bar on the right-hand side). The central stable region and the right island of the 2:1 resonance (around $z \approx 2.0$) are plotted in light grey (orange online). These trajectories belong to periodic or quasi-periodic orbits and never escape. However, there are other regions far from the stable islands where the escape times are higher than many orbital periods of primaries. These parts of the phase plane contain the stable manifold of the chaotic saddle.

follows. We have chosen 250 initial conditions along the $z$-axis in $[0; 8]$ with initial velocity zero, and 500 values of $\lambda$ from the interval $[0; 0.035]$. The escape times, i.e. the time needed to reach the state where the energy of the particle becomes positive, were computed at the grid points of the $(\lambda, z)$ lattice and plotted with different colours. Fig. 7 allows us to see the evolution of the extent of the stable islands (where the lifetime is maximal) and filamentary structures. One can see pitchfork bifurcations when $\lambda$ is growing at $\lambda \approx 0.032$. Beyond the value $\lambda \approx 0.012$ the island of 1:1 resonance ($z \approx 0.75$) becomes separated from the main central stable region. In other words, trajectories between the resonant island and the central invariant curves may escape to the infinity (Figs 5a and 7). The bright coloured filaments with higher escape times correspond to a fractal set representing the stable manifold of a chaotic saddle existing far from the stability islands (Kovács & Érdi 2009). Trajectories originating from these initial conditions can spend very long time around the primaries’ plane before leaving the system.

We can say that the parameter $\lambda$ plays a similar role in the RSP as the eccentricity in the classical case. Varying the gravitational radius beyond a fixed eccentricity, we obtain qualitative changes in the phase space as is common in Hamiltonian dynamics. A complete picture of the phase space of the classical SP was published in Dvorak (2007) where escape times show a structure similar to that of Fig. 7.

3.2 Chaotic scattering

In the previous section, we have seen that there are initial conditions which correspond to long life times. Transient chaos appears as a scattering process in conservative dynamics (Eckhardt 1987; Jung & Scholz 1987; Bleher, Ott & Grebogi 1989). In our example the test particle comes close to the primaries’ plane and makes several oscillations before escaping. One can ask where the long-lived trajectories are in the phase space. In order to answer this question, a large number of points are distributed uniformly in the phase space and their evolution in time is followed. We are interested in non-escaping trajectories in a preselected region. Before the trajectories leave the system they draw out a well-defined fractal set in surfaces of sections (Ott 1993). The invariant object in the phase space responsible for the transient chaotic behaviour is the chaotic saddle. They characterize the dynamics in a way chaotic bands characterize permanent chaos. It was also shown that these invariant saddles have two different parts. One of them, the hyperbolic part, is responsible for short lifetimes, and the other one, the non-hyperbolic part, is situated close to the border of the KAM-tori and is associated with the sticky orbits (Tél & Gruiz 2006; Altmann & Tél 2008).
3.6 irrespective of $t \leq 10$ we get different $t \times 10^2$ in the phase space. Different marks represent different values of $\lambda$: triangles – 0; diamonds – 0.005; crosses – 0.015; asterisks – 0.025; squares – 0.035. The escape rates can be obtained from the slope of the fitted lines on log-log plot (see the inset on the right). The corresponding escape rates are shown in Table 1. Initial conditions: $6 \leq z \leq 6.8, |z| \leq 0.1$.

3.2.1 Short time escape

In truly hyperbolic systems, the number of non-escaping trajectories decreases exponentially (Kantz & Grassberger 1985). However, in the Sitnikov and also in the RSP we have regular islands in the phase portraits, i.e. the phase space is mixed. There are both hyperbolic and non-hyperbolic parts present. In this case, the decrease of the survivors follows the exponential rule only for shorter times, as shown in Fig. 8.

If we choose the initial conditions far from regular islands (e.g. $6 \leq z \leq 6.8$ and $-0.1 \leq z \leq 0.1$), the dynamics can be considered to be hyperbolic. Fig. 8 shows the number of non-escaped trajectories for different gravitational radii. One can see that the first segments of the curves follow different straight lines in the log-log plot, $N(t) \sim e^{-\alpha t}$. The escape rate, $\alpha$, whose inverse tells us the average lifetime of chaos, can be obtained from the slope of these lines. The inset shows that the slopes are not equal. For various $\lambda$ we get different escape rates (see Table 1).

The chaotic saddle responsible for the finite time chaotic motion has a double-fractal structure. One can consider this object as the union of all the hyperbolic unstable periodic orbits and the intersections of their stable and unstable manifolds (Tel & Gruiz 2006). In other words, the scattered test-particle jumps randomly on the saddle before leaving it. One can see the numerically determined saddles for various gravitational radii in Fig. 9. If the number $N_0$ of initial conditions is large enough, the implemented method (Tel & Gruiz 2006) allows us to visualize the saddle itself. We suppose that the initial point of a trajectory lies close to the stable manifold of the chaotic saddle, and we follow the evolution of this point forward in time. After some iteration it must be in the vicinity of the unstable manifold of the saddle. A suitable integration time $t_0$ can be determined from the average lifetime, $1/\kappa$, of chaos. We have chosen $t_0 \approx (2-4)/\kappa$. Consequently, the mid-point taken at $t \approx t_0/2$ of the trajectory should be close to the saddle. In order to generate the chaotic saddles of Fig. 9, we stored the mid-points of the trajectories that do not escape a preselected box ($|z| \leq 10, |\dot{z}| \leq 2$) in time $t_0$.

The size of the chaotic saddle decreases in Fig. 9 when $\lambda$ is growing and the scenario in Fig. 9 is similar to that in Fig. 5. The double Cantor structure is dominant but empty holes appear at the sites of regular islands. This is the consequence of the quasi-periodic motion on tori which is permanent and certainly not chaotic, but can be arbitrarily close to the chaotic saddle.

3.2.2 Long time escape – stickiness

For longer times the number of non-escaping trajectories does not follow the exponential decay. Instead, one observes a power-law decay which is slower than the exponential one, $N(t) \sim t^{-\sigma}$. It is an established fact that trajectories which come close to the outer border of the stability islands may stick to them through the debris of the previously destroyed KAM curves. A geometrical consequence of the stickiness effect in the phase space is the denser saddle structure. In other words, one can observe the remnants of previously destroyed KAM-tori, the so-called Cantori, around the stability islands, and this part can be identified as the non-hyperbolic part of the chaotic saddle.

Our numerical investigations support the theoretical results, namely, exponent $\sigma$ does not depend on the parameters of the dynamical systems. From fitting straight lines to the points between 500 and 1500 in Fig. 10, we find $\sigma \approx 3.6$ irrespective of $\lambda$.

3.2.3 Basins of escape

In general, when the test particle escapes the system, i.e. never comes back, it approaches infinity. Therefore, although in conservative systems there are no attractors, one can consider infinity as an attractor of the system. In other words, beyond the escape energy, infinity behaves as an attractor for those trajectories which leave the system.

Initializing many initial conditions in a fine rectangular grid one can identify the basins of escape (Fig. 11). In the RSP the test-particle may leave the system upwards or downwards from the primaries’ plane depending on the initial conditions of the trajectory; two basins of escape can be identified, the basins of $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, respectively. Fig. 11(a) shows these basins. The white region contains initial conditions that provides the orbits escaping the system upwards; points marked with dark grey colour correspond to the orbits leaving the system downwards. The third part of the phase portrait (light grey) belongs to regular islands the trajectories never escape from. Considering only the basins of escape one can see the very complex structure of the boundary. The fine-scale structure of the boundary shows that it is not a simple curve, rather a fractal set. Fractality is in general a result of chaotic motion (McDonald et al. 1985; Ott 1993).

Let us consider the analogy with dissipative systems where the stable manifold of hyperbolic unstable fixed points provide the boundary of the basin of attraction. Fig. 11(b) shows the stable manifold of the chaotic saddle, i.e. the initial conditions of those trajectories which remain for very long time in the system. The correspondence is evident between panels (a) and (b). We point out that the fractal basin boundary of escape in open Hamiltonian systems.
systems, which is a result of chaotic scattering, is identical with the stable manifold of the chaotic saddle responsible for transient chaos (see also Tél & Gruiz 2006; Ernst et al. 2008).

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have investigated the RSP numerically. The motivation was to show how the structure of the phase plane changes under the influence of general relativity. The model contains the first PN relativistic corrections, derived from the leading order relativistic Lagrangian. Besides the eccentricity of primaries the gravitational radius is the new parameter of the system that is related to the pericentre shift of the two large bodies.

The calculations show that the problem remains a driven system but the new driving period corresponds to the relativistic orbital period of the binaries. Therefore, a new stroboscopic or Poincaré map was required to correctly visualize the phase-space structure. We found that the Poincaré section exhibits the well-known picture of Hamiltonian chaos. Moreover, changing the parameter $\lambda$, the phase portrait’s structure shows qualitative changes. We have pointed out the shrinking of the central regular domain when the gravitational radius becomes larger and simultaneously several bifurcations occurred to the 2:1 resonance. In order to investigate the chaotic scattering through escapes, we have integrated a large number of initial conditions. Two different types of escapes, short and long time escape, were distinguished to identify the dual structure of the chaotic saddle. Short time escapes belong to the hyperbolic part of the saddle; the number of non-escaping trajectories from a preselected region decreases exponentially. During the scattering process such trajectories draw out the double fractal set of the chaotic saddle in the phase space. Escape rates corresponding to different value of gravitational radii show a nearly linear increase with $\lambda$. The number of trajectories with longer lifetime follows a power-law decay. Such long time escape characterizes the so-called sticky orbits which may spend very long time in Cantori around the stability islands. The exponent describing the leakage of sticky trajectories seems to not depend on $\lambda$. From a more general point of view, our results demonstrate that transient chaos and chaotic scattering are robust phenomena in celestial mechanics as weak...
relativistic effects are unable to destroy them. Perturbations change the characteristic numbers, at most.
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APPENDIX A: EQUATION OF MOTION FOR THE RSP

We consider the motion of three gravitating masses in the first PN approximation of general relativity. The first and second particles have the same mass $M$, opposite velocities, and they revolve in the $x-y$ plane around the centre of mass which is at the origin. Their separation and relative velocity are denote by $r$ and $v$, respectively. In other terms,

$$m_1 = m_2 = M, \quad r_1 = -r_2 = \frac{1}{2} r, \quad v_1 = -v_2 = \frac{1}{2} v.$$

The mass $m$ of the third particle is negligible compared to $M$. This third particle moves along the $z$-axis. Let us denote $|r_1 - r_3| = |r_2 - r_3|$ by $\rho$. Clearly

$$\rho = \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 + z^2}. \quad (A2)$$

The Lagrangian of the third particle can be derived from the three-particle Lagrangian (Landau & Lifsch 1975) by considering $r$ and $v$ as given functions of the time. We get (by omitting full time derivatives)

$$L = \frac{m}{2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{3kM}{2c^2\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} v^2 + \frac{3z^2}{2} \right) + \frac{m\dot{z}^2}{8c^2} + \frac{2kM}{\rho},$$

or, since $m \ll M$,

$$L = \frac{m}{2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{3}{4c^2\rho^3} \dot{r}^2 v^2 + \frac{3kM}{4c^2\rho^3} \dot{r}^2 z^2 + \frac{m\dot{z}^2}{8c^2} + \frac{2kM}{\rho} + \frac{1}{4} kM \frac{1}{c^2\rho^3} (\dot{r} \dot{v}^2) z^2 - \frac{2k^2mM^2}{c^2\rho^5} - \frac{2k^2mM^2}{c^2\rho^5}.$$ \quad (A3)

The partial derivatives of $L$ are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = \frac{3}{4c^2\rho^3} \dot{v}^2 z - \frac{3}{4c^2\rho^3} \dot{r} \dot{v}^2 z - \frac{2kM}{\rho^3} - \frac{3}{4c^2\rho^3} (\dot{r} \dot{v}^2) z^2 + \frac{1}{4} kM \frac{kM}{c^2\rho^3} (\dot{r} \dot{v}^2) z^2 + \frac{2k^2mM^2}{c^2\rho^5} z.$$ \quad (A4)

When deriving the equation of motion, in the correction terms we replace the second derivatives with their zeros order expressions, namely

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kM}{r^3} r, \quad \dot{z} = -\frac{kM}{\rho^3} z.$$ \quad (A7)

Further, we use the relation

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\dot{r}}{\rho} + \frac{\ddot{z}}{\rho}$$ \quad (A8)

to obtain

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{kM}{\rho^3} z + 16\frac{k^2M^2}{c^2\rho^3} \frac{v^2}{z^2} z + \frac{6kM}{c^2\rho^3} \frac{v^2}{z^2} z + \frac{3}{2} \frac{kM}{c^2\rho^3} (\dot{r} \dot{v}^2) z$$

$$+ \frac{3}{16} \frac{kM}{c^2\rho^3} (\dot{r} \dot{v}^2) z - \frac{kM}{c^2\rho^3} \dot{v}^2 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{kM^2}{c^2\rho^3}.$$ \quad (A9)

After rearranging terms,

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{2kM}{\rho^3} \dot{r} z + 6\frac{k^2M^2}{c^2\rho^3} \frac{v^2}{z^2} z + \frac{kM}{c^2\rho^3} \dot{v}^2 + \frac{6kM^2}{c^2\rho^3}.$$ \quad (A10)

In order to obtain the dimensionless equations, first, we take the semimajor axis ($a$) of the Keplerian orbit as unit length. Consequently, the unit of the velocity is $a/T$, where $T$ denotes the time unit. As in Section 2.1 we choose $T$ as $a^{1/3}(kM)^{1/2}$ corresponding to Kepler’s third law (up to a constant 2π). Thus, the dimensionless equation from (A10) is obtained as equation (8) in the main text.
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