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The Analysis of PTPN6 for Bladder Cancer: An Exploratory Study Based on TCGA
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PTPN6 (protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 6), a tyrosine phosphatase, is known to be signaling molecules that regulate a variety of cellular processes including cell growth, differentiation, mitotic cycle, and oncogenic transformation. Previous studies have demonstrated that PTPN6 expression is relatively elevated in several malignancies. However, the role of PTPN6 in bladder cancer (BC) remains unclear. The purpose of this study was to explore the prognostic value of PTPN6 in BC. RNA-seq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) was used to identify the expression level of PTPN6 in BC. The relationship between clinical pathologic features and PTPN6 were analyzed with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The prognostic and predictive value of PTPN6 was evaluated by survival analysis and nomogram. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was conducted to explore the potential molecular mechanisms of PTPN6 in BC. Finally, Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) was applied to investigate the relationship between PTPN6 and immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment. Results indicated that PTPN6 was overexpressed in BC tissues compared with normal bladder tissues and was significantly correlated with grade, stage, T, and N. Survival analysis showed that low expression of PTPN6 was significantly related to the poor overall survival (OS) in BC patients. Coexpression analysis showed that PTPN6 and TNFRSF14 (Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 14) have a close correlation in BC. GSEA showed that multiple cancer-associated signaling pathways are differentially enriched in the PTPN6 high expression phenotype. Moreover, the expression level of PTPN6 was positively associated with the infiltration of B cells, CD4+T cells, dendritic cells, and neutrophils and negatively associated with CD8+T cells and macrophages in BC. In conclusion, we identified that PTPN6 may be a novel prognostic biomarker in BC based on the TCGA database. Further clinical trials are needed to confirm our observations and mechanisms underlying the prognostic value of PTPN6 in BC also deserve further experimental exploration.

1. Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is the most common malignant neoplasm in the urinary system [1]. Over the past decade, significant progress has been made regarding the mechanisms, diagnosis, and therapy of BC [2]. Nonetheless, the high recurrence rate of nonmuscle invasive BC and the poor prognosis of advanced BC are still the main obstacles to the treatment of BC. At present, the mechanism of BC research remains poorly understand, but genetic, epigenetics, and environmental factors are certainly involved in the tumorigenesis and progression of BC. Over the past few years, the advances in genomic methods have expanded our knowledge about gene expression, genetic, and epigenetic alterations at the pan-genomic level in various malignancies. Genomic studies led to the identification of tumor subgroups that have distinct biology and variable prognosis allowing for the development of prognostic molecular markers [3]. PTPN6 is a nonreceptor protein tyrosine phosphatase that can act as a tumor suppressor by dephosphorylating oncogenic
kinases [4]. Previous studies indicated that PTPN6 was associated with the prognosis and progression of cancers, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, and gastric cancer [5–7]. Moreover, PTPN6 can enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutic and can combine with blocking antibodies in cancer immunotherapy [4, 8]. However, the correlation between PTPN6 and the prognosis of BC remains unclear.

In the present study, we identified the prognostic value of PTPN6 expression in BC based on the TCGA database. In addition, we explored the potential molecular mechanisms of PTPN6 in BC and the relationship between PTPN6 and immune cell infiltration in the microenvironment of BC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Collection. The gene expression profiles and corresponding clinical information of BC patients were obtained from TCGA official website (http://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/). Four hundred and twelve BC patients were enrolled in our study, and detailed clinical information is shown in Table 1.

2.2. Statistical Analysis. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to identify the expression level of PTPN6 between BC and normal samples and analyze the relationship between PTPN6 and clinicopathologic characteristics in BC. Then, we divided BC patients into high expression and low expression groups according to the lower quartile (Q1), median, and upper quartile (Q3) values of PTPN6. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted to evaluate the significant difference in OS between high expression and low expression groups. Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses were used to compare the effect of PTPN6 expression on survival along with other clinical characteristics.

2.3. Identification of PTPN6 Coexpression Genes and Construction of a Prognostic Nomogram. We apply cBioportal (https://www.cbioportal.org/), an online tool based on the TCGA database, to identify sets of coexpression genes and select the most relevant gene for PTPN6 according to the P value. Then, clinical factors (age, gender, stage, T, M, and N) and genes expression levels were used to construct a prognostic nomogram to evaluate the probability of 1-, 2-, and 3-year OS for BC patients via the R package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rms/) [9].

2.4. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis. GSEA is a computational method that determines whether an a priori defined sets of genes show statistically significant, concordant difference between two biological states [10]. In this study, GSEA firstly generated an ordered gene list according to the correlation between all genes and PTPN6 expression, and then elucidated the significant survival difference observed between high expression and low expression groups. Gene set permutations were performed 1000 times for each analysis. The expression level of PTPN6 was used as a phenotype label. The nominal P value and normalized enrichment score (NES) were used to sort the pathways enriched in each phenotype.

2.5. Correlation Analysis between PTPN6 Expression and Immune Cell Infiltration in BC. PTPN6, as an immune-related gene, has been demonstrated that influence the prognosis of cancer by regulating the immune progress and remodeling the immune microenvironment of a tumor. Thus, we employed Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER), a useful resource for comprehensive analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells, to investigate the relationships between PTPN6 expression and immune cell infiltration [11]. TIMER algorithm allows users to estimate the composition of six tumor-infiltrating immune cells subsets (B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells). The immune cells infiltration levels of BC patients were derived from the TIMER website, and the correlation between the PTPN6 expression and six tumor-infiltrating immune cells was conducted in R.

3. Results

3.1. Patients Characteristics. As shown in Table 1, 412 primary tumors with both clinical and gene expression data were downloaded from the TCGA database. The median age at diagnosis of patients was 69 years old. The gender included 108 females and 304 males. The histologic grade distribution of BC included high grade and low grade, 94.17% of the tumors were high grade, and 5.1% were low grade. Stage I disease was found in 2 patients (0.49%), stage II in 131 (31.8%), stage III in 141 (34.22%), and stage IV in 136 (33.01%). T0 was found 1 patient (0.24%), T1 in 3 (0.73%), T2 in 120 (29.13), T3 in 196 (47.57%), and T4 in 59 (14.32%). 21 of 412 (5.1%) cases had distant metastases. 127 of 412 cases (30.82%) had lymph node metastases.

Table 1: TCGA bladder cancer patient characteristics.

| Clinical characteristics | Total (412) | %    |
|--------------------------|------------|------|
| Age at diagnosis         | 69 (34-90) | 26.21|
| Gender                   |            |      |
| Female                   | 108        | 26.21|
| Male                     | 304        | 73.79|
| Histologic grade         |            |      |
| High grade               | 388        | 94.17|
| Low grade                | 21         | 5.1  |
| Stage                    |            |      |
| I                        | 2          | 0.49 |
| II                       | 131        | 31.8 |
| III                      | 141        | 34.22|
| IV                       | 136        | 33.01|
| T                        |            |      |
| T0                       | 1          | 0.24 |
| T1                       | 3          | 0.73 |
| T2                       | 120        | 29.13|
| T3                       | 196        | 47.57|
| T4                       | 59         | 14.32|
| M                        |            |      |
| M0                       | 196        | 47.57|
| M1                       | 21         | 5.1  |
| M2                       | 0          | 0.00 |
| M3                       | 0          | 0.00 |
| N                        |            |      |
| N0                       | 239        | 58.01|
| N1                       | 44         | 10.68|
| N2                       | 75         | 18.2 |
| N3                       | 8          | 1.94 |

As shown in Table 1, 412 primary tumors with both clinical and gene expression data were downloaded from the TCGA database. The median age at diagnosis of patients was 69 years old. The gender included 108 females and 304 males. The histologic grade distribution of BC included high grade and low grade, 94.17% of the tumors were high grade, and 5.1% were low grade. Stage I disease was found in 2 patients (0.49%), stage II in 131 (31.8%), stage III in 141 (34.22%), and stage IV in 136 (33.01%). T0 was found 1 patient (0.24%), T1 in 3 (0.73%), T2 in 120 (29.13), T3 in 196 (47.57%), and T4 in 59 (14.32%). 21 of 412 (5.1%) cases had distant metastases. 127 of 412 cases (30.82%) had lymph node metastases.
3.2. PTPN6 Was Associated with the Prognosis of BC. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to identify the expression level of PTPN6 and the relationship between PTPN6 and clinicopathologic characteristics in BC. The result showed that PTPN6 was highly expressed in BC compared with normal samples \((P = 5.19 \times 10^{-05}); \text{Figure 1(a)}) and was correlated with grade \((\text{low grade vs. high grade}; \ P = 0.003)\), stage \((\text{stage I-II vs. stage III-IV}; \ P = 4.75 \times 10^{-06}); \text{Figure 1(b)})\), and N \((\text{N0-1 vs. N2-3}; \ P = 0.008); \text{Figure 1(e)})\). However, PTPN6 was not associated with age \((\text{<69 vs. } \geq 69; \ P = 0.247); \text{Figure 1(f)})\), gender \((\text{female vs. male}; \ P = 0.962); \text{Figure 1(g)})\), and M \((\text{M0 vs. M1}; \ P = 0.495); \text{Figure 1(h)})\). Survival analysis revealed that low expression of PTPN6 was significantly related to poor OS \((P = 4.502 \times 10^{-04}); \text{Figure 2(a)})\, 6.674 \times 10^{-07}; \text{Figure 2(b)})\, 4.04 \times 10^{-04}; \text{Figure 2(c)})\).
Table 2: Associations with overall survival and clinicopathologic characteristics in TCGA BC patients using univariate (a) and multivariate Cox regression (b) analysis.

| Clinicopathologic variable | HR (95% CI)  | P value |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| A                          |              |         |
| Age                        | 1.03 (1.00-1.06) | 0.039   |
| Gender                     | 0.63 (0.36-1.10) | 0.106   |
| Stage                      | 1.78 (1.24-2.54) | 0.007   |
| T                          | 1.69 (1.15-2.50) | 0.008   |
| M                          | 2.12 (0.76-5.88) | 0.15    |
| N                          | 1.55 (1.18-2.03) | 0.002   |
| PTPN6                      | 0.59 (0.38-0.91) | 0.016   |
| B                          |              |         |
| Age                        | 1.02 (0.99-1.05) | 0.147   |
| Gender                     | 0.57 (0.31-1.02) | 0.059   |
| Stage                      | 1.18 (0.59-2.38) | 0.642   |
| T                          | 1.33 (0.81-2.20) | 0.259   |
| M                          | 1.17 (0.37-3.69) | 0.788   |
| N                          | 1.22 (0.73-2.04) | 0.459   |
| PTPN6                      | 0.64 (0.40-1.02) | 0.06    |

Q3; P = 4.502e-04, 6.674e-07, 4.04e-04 (Figures 2(a)–2(c)). The univariate Cox regression analysis showed that age, stage, T, N, and PTPN6 were related to the OS of BC patients (Table 2 A). However, multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that PTPN6 was not an independent prognostic factor for BC (Table 2 B).

3.3. Identification of PTPN6 Coexpression Genes and Construction of a Prognostic Nomogram. To better predict the prognosis of BC patients, coexpression analysis and a prognostic nomogram were constructed. According to the coexpression analysis of PTPN6 in TCGA BC, we select TNFRSF14 as the most relevant gene of PTPN6 in BC (Figure 3(a)). Survival analysis showed that TNFRSF14 was associated with the OS of BC patients (P = 3.971e-04, Figure 3(b)). A nomogram by combing clinical factors (age, gender, stage, T, M, and N) and genes expression (PTPN6, TNFRSF14) values was established. The result revealed that the new prognostic nomogram could superiorly predict 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival outcomes of BC patients (Figure 3(c)).

3.4. GSEA Identified PTPN6-Related Signaling Pathways in BC. To identify differentially activated signaling pathways in BC, we performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) between low and high PTPN6 expression datasets. GSEA reveals significant differences (FDR < 0.05, NOM P val < 0.05) in enrichment of MSigDB Collection (c2.cp.biocarta and h.all. v6.1. symbols). According to their normalized enrichment score (NES), the most significantly enriched signaling pathways were selected. The results showed that pathways in cancer, TGF-beta signaling pathway, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, Wnt signaling, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, mTOR signaling pathway, oxidative phosphorylation, and T cell receptor signaling pathway are differentially enriched in PTPN6 high expression phenotype (Figure 4 and Table 3).

3.5. Correlation Analysis between PTPN6 Expression and Immune Cell Infiltration in BC. We estimated the relationship between the abundance of six types of tumor-infiltrating immune cells (B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells) and PTPN6 expression values in BC. The results revealed that PTPN6 was positively related to the infiltration of B cells (P = 5.078e-39), CD4+ T cells (P = 8.716e-14), and dendritic cells (P = 0.003), neutrophils (P = 0.004) and negatively related to CD8+ T cells (P = 0.003) and macrophages (P = 0.047) (Figures 5(a)–5(f)).

4. Discussion

BC is one of the most common human malignancies, and its pathogenesis is complex, involving a large number of genes expression, dysfunctions, and changes in multiple signaling pathways. Although many prognostic markers for BC have been identified, few have been applied broadly to make patient-specific decisions. Thus, screening of new potential prognostic markers for BC is still warranted. To our knowledge, no previous reports showed the prognostic value of PTPN6 in BC. In the present study, we identified that PTPN6 was significantly overexpressed in BC by using RNA-seq data from the TCGA database. The treatment strategies for BC patients depend largely on clinicopathological characteristics. Thus, we analyzed the relationship between PTPN6 and clinical factors and found that PTPN6 was associated with grade, stage, T, and N. Survival analysis showed that PTPN6 was closely correlated with the OS of BC patients. Previous studies have also shown that PTPN6 can serve as a prognostic factor in cancers, such as neuroblastoma and peripheral T-cell lymphomas [12–14]. Coexpression analysis indicated that PTPN6 and TNFRSF14 have a close correlation in BC. Zhu and Lu revealed that low expression level of TNFRSF14 was associated with the poor survival outcomes in BC [15]. Furthermore, a nomogram by combing clinical factors and genes expression (PTPN6, TNFRSF14) values was established to predict the prognosis of BC patients.

To further investigate the potential molecular mechanisms of PTPN6, which associated with the survival outcomes of BC, we firstly performed GSEA. GSEA indicated that pathways in cancer, TGF-beta signaling pathway, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, Wnt signaling, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, mTOR signaling pathway, oxidative phosphorylation, and T cell receptor signaling pathway are differentially enriched in PTPN6 high expression phenotype. Wen et al. showed that PTPN6 can inhibit the activation of JAK/STAT, NF-κB, and AKT signaling pathways to inhibit the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma [5]. PTPN6 can suppress growth and increase apoptosis in prostate cancer cells, which indicated that PTPN6 may be a novel therapeutic target in prostate cancer [16]. In addition, a previous study revealed that PTPN6 played an important role in antitumor immunity [17]. Therefore, we further analyzed the relationship between
PTPN6 and immune cell infiltration in the tumor environment. The results revealed that PTPN6 was positively related to the infiltration of B cells, CD4+ T cells, dendritic cells, and neutrophils and negatively related to CD8+ T cells and macrophages. Watson et al. showed that the absence of PTPN6 can control tumor growth by enhancing the ability of adoptively transferred CD8(+) T cells [18]. Previous studies also revealed that PTPN6 played multiple roles in immune cells, such as the survival time of neutrophils, the activation of B cells, and the activation and survival time of T cells [19–22]. The number and type of immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment was closely related to the occurrence and progression of BC. Therefore, PTPN6 can influence the prognosis of BC patients by regulating a variety of cancer-related signaling pathways and remodeling the immune microenvironment of a tumor.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, as a retrospective study, our research still has a bias due to heterogeneity, although almost all the clinical factors in BC cohorts available from the public database have been included. Second, the study only provides preliminary bioinformatics evidence to understanding the significance of
PTPN6 in BC. Therefore, further experimental studies are needed in the future to explore the potential effect and mechanism of PTPN6 in the prognosis of BC.

In conclusion, we identified PTPN6 as a novel prognostic biomarker in BC based on the TCGA database. Further clinical trials are needed to confirm our observations.
and mechanisms underlying the prognostic value of PTPN6 in BC also deserve further experimental exploration.
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