Abstract

Multiword expressions (MWEs) or idiomaticity are a common phenomenon in natural languages. Current pre-trained language models cannot effectively capture the meaning of these MWEs. The reason is that two single words, after combined together, could have an abruptly different meaning than the compositional meaning of the meanings of each word, whereas pre-trained language models rely on words’ compositional meaning. We propose an improved method of adding an LSTM layer to the mBERT model to get better results on a text classification task (Subtask A). Our result is slightly better than the baseline. We also tried adding TextCNN to mBERT and adding both LSTM and TextCNN to mBERT. We participate in SubTask A and find that adding only LSTM gives the best performance.

1 Introduction

Machine learning has made deep impacts on various areas, such as computer vision (He et al., 2015, 2017; Lu, 2018), computational biology (Jumper et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2019; Lu, 2010, 2009), and natural language processing (Yang et al., 2019b; Lewis et al., 2019; Madabushi et al., 2020). In natural language processing, large pre-trained models are prevailing and have achieved great successes. Models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), RoBERTA (Liu et al., 2019), XLNet (Yang et al., 2019a), ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020), Ernie (Sun et al., 2019), etc. performed pretty well in tasks such as sentiment analysis, commonsense reasoning (Lin et al., 2019; Lu, 2020), QA system (Chen and Yih, 2020; Yu et al., 2015) and many other tasks. However, these models are not good at certain tasks such as assessing humor and capturing idiomaticity. This shortcoming is largely due to natural languages’ flexibility.

In this paper, we focus on how to use large pretrained language models to determine whether a multiword expression (MWE) has a trivial meaning (Tayyar Madabushi et al., 2022), a.k.a, the compositionality of each word’s meaning, or it is an idiomatic usage. We use the dataset provided in (Tayyar Madabushi et al., 2021). In the training set, the target MWE is given. The previous sentence, the target sentence and the next sentence are also given. We need to decide if the MWE has an idiomatic meaning or its meaning is trivial. This task then can be treated as a text classification problem.

The rest part of this paper is organized as follows:

• We first introduce the dataset and the task with details.

• Then we describe how we built up our pipeline with BERT, LSTM and TextCNN.

• We give our results in section 4.

• Lastly, we provide our discussion in section 5.

2 Dataset and Task

As mentioned in (Tayyar Madabushi et al., 2021), the dataset for Subtask A consists of naturally occurring (target) sentences, previous sentences and next sentences. The target sentence contains potentially idiomatic MWEs annotated with a fine-grained set of meanings: compositional meaning and idiomatic meaning(s). Table 1 shows two samples from the training data. One has an idiomatic expression, and the other not.

3 Methods

Our core pre-trained language model is mBERT (Wolf et al., 2020). We chose mBERT over BERT hoping that it could better fit the task’s multilanguage specification. In traditional methods, n-gram was used to detect and group the MWEs. In
our methods, we tried to use either LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) or TextCNN (Kim, 2014) to capture the MWEs. We concatenate LSTM or TextCNN to mBERT in order to increase the performance.

3.1 LSTM

Unlike RNN (Jordan, 1997), LSTM is good at remembering only the important parts of a sentence. We hope it can help us group up the MWEs and improve the performance. We add a bidirectional LSTM layer at the output of the sequential transformers. The bidirectional LSTM layer was initialized as 1-layer and bidirectional, with a dropout of 0.1.

3.2 TextCNN

Similar to traditional CNN (Schmidhuber, 2015) in computer vision, TextCNN (Kim, 2014) extracts features from a small area of text. We suppose this layer can help us detect the span of the MWEs so that performance can be improved.

4 Results

We use the mBERT with 12 hidden layers. We did experiments on dropouts with 0.1 and 0.2. As mentioned in Section 3, we explored of adding either a LSTM or a CNN to the final fully connected layer of the transformer from mBERT. Table 2 provides our experiments and results. We were expecting that mBERT + TextCNN could give us the best results. But it turned out that mBERT + LSTM performs best for Subtask A among our experiments. The author has put the code for this paper on GitHub1.

1https://github.com/daming-lu/semeval_2022_task2_sub_a
### Table 2: Subtask A Experiment Results

| Method | Zero-Shot | One-Shot |
|--------|-----------|----------|
| mBERT  | 0.6448    | 0.6987   |
| +LSTM, dp=0.1 | 0.6546    | 0.6998   |
| +LSTM, dp=0.2 | 0.6333    | 0.6613   |
| +TextCNN, dp=0.1 | 0.6501    | 0.6827   |
| +TextCNN, dp=0.2 | 0.6254    | 0.6309   |
| +TextCNN+LSTM | 0.6502    | 0.6977   |
| +LSTM, dp=0.1(test) | 0.654     | 0.704    |

### 5 Discussion

One reason that our method does not boost the performance a lot might be that we add the LSTM or TextCNN to the end, whose effect is limited to the whole pipeline. Another new method, according to (Gao et al., 2021), is that we can turn this classification problem into a masked word problem. In PROMPT, it claims the integration is more genuine, but choosing the prompt could be technical.

Another important reason is overfitting. We tried to increase dropout from 0.1 to 0.2 in order to get rid of overfitting. But the effect was opposite. According to (Tan et al., 2015), adding LSTM could boost question answering tasks, whereas our task is in fact a text classification. This might be the reason of the tiny improvement.
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