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ABSTRACT

Context. The distance of pulsating stars, in particular Cepheids, are commonly measured using the parallax of pulsation technique. The different versions of this technique combine measurements of the linear diameter variation (from spectroscopy) and the angular diameter variation (from photometry or interferometry) amplitudes, to retrieve the distance in a quasi-geometrical way. However, the linear diameter amplitude is directly proportional to the projection factor (hereafter p-factor), which is used to convert spectroscopic radial velocities (i.e., disk integrated) into pulsating (i.e., photospheric) velocities. The value of the p-factor and its possible dependence on the pulsation period is still widely debated.

Aims. Our goal is to measure an observational value of the p-factor of the type-II Cepheid κ Pavonis.

Methods. The parallax of the type-II Cepheid κ Pav was measured with an accuracy of 5% using HST/FGS. We used this parallax as a starting point to derive the p-factor of κ Pav, using the SPIPS technique (Spectro-Photo-Interferometry of Pulsating Stars), which is a robust version of the parallax-of-pulsation method that employs radial velocity, interferometric and photometric data. We applied this technique to a combination of new VLTI/PIONIER optical interferometric angular diameters, new CORALIE and HARPS radial velocities, as well as multi-colour photometry and radial velocities from the literature.

Results. We obtain a value of $p = 1.26 \pm 0.07$ for the p-factor of κ Pav. This result agrees with several of the recently derived Period-p-factor relationships from the literature, as well as previous observational determinations for Cepheids.

Conclusions. Individual estimates of the p-factor are fundamental to calibrating the parallax of pulsation distances of Cepheids. Together with previous observational estimates, the projection factor we obtain points to a weak dependence of the p-factor on period.
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1. Introduction

Cepheids have been used for more than a century as standard candles for estimating extragalactic distances, owing to the linear relationship between the logarithm of their pulsation period and their intrinsic magnitude: $M = a \log \left( P - P_0 \right) + b$, where $P$ is the period of pulsation and $M$ the mean absolute magnitude (hereafter the P-L relation). This remarkable relation was discovered empirically in 1908 by Henrietta Leavitt (Leavitt 1908; Leavitt & Pickering 1912) and is now named after her: Leavitt’s Law. While the slope $a$ of the P-L relation can be estimated by observing a number of Cepheids located, for example, in the Magellanic Clouds, the zero point $b$ is more difficult to estimate.

* Based on observations realized with ESO facilities at Paranal Observatory under program IDs 091.D-0020 and 093.D-0316.
** Based on observations collected at ESO La Silla Observatory using the Coralie spectrograph mounted to the Swiss 1.2 m Euler telescope, under program CNTAC2014A-5.

It was first settled by Hertzprung (1913), when estimating the LMC distance. Thanks to the small dispersion of Leavitt’s Law, Cepheids have, for more than a century, been considered as one of the most accurate standard candles for estimating extragalactic distances. As a recent example, Cepheids were involved in the 3% measurement of the Hubble constant $H_0$ presented by Riess et al. (2011), as calibrators of the magnitude-redshift relation of type Ia supernovae. It should be noted that half of the 3% error budget is attributed to the uncertainty on the Cepheid distance scale. The uncertain calibration of the P-L relation, as well as photometric biases (e.g., from reddening) can introduce important systematic uncertainties. Leavitt’s Law is also affected by physical effects like metallicity. A better measurement of $H_0$ is fundamental to constraining the Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) model parameters, such as the number of neutrino species and the equation of state of the dark energy $w_0$. A detailed review of the methods used to determine the history of the expansion and their current limitations is given in Weinberg et al. (2013).
Better precision would also help for addressing the 2σ tension between the value of Riess et al. (2011) and the value derived from Planck’s cosmic microwave background modelling (Planck Collaboration Int. XVI 2014), and revealing potential biases in one of these methods.

A better estimate of $H_0$ requires a more accurate calibration of the P-L relationship (Suyu et al. 2012). Parallax measurements can be used to determine the zero point of the P-L relationship, but they are reasonably accurate only for a few nearby Cepheids. Other distance estimates, such as the Baade-Wesselink (hereafter BW) technique (Gieren et al. 2013) and its infrared surface-brightness version (Storm et al. 2011), the light echoes of RS Pup (Kervella et al. 2014), or binary Cepheid orbital parallax (Pilecki et al. 2013; Gallenne et al. 2013, 2014), should be obtained as independent cross-checks to ensure the accuracy of the calibration. We are currently carrying out a long-term programme of interferometric observations of Cepheids in both hemispheres, using the CHARA array installed at the Mount Wilson Observatory in California (ten Brummelaar et al. 2005) and the Very Large Telescope Interferometer (hereafter VLTI) installed at the Cerro Paranal in Chile (Mérand et al. 2014). This programme has led to the discovery of circumstellar envelopes around several Cepheids (e.g. Gallenne et al. 2012; Kervella et al. 2009) and companions (e.g. Gallenne et al. 2013).

The BW technique (Baade 1926) combines measurements of the linear diameter variation (from spectroscopy) and the angular diameter variation (from either photometry or interferometry) to retrieve the distance in a quasi-geometrical way. The accuracy of this elegant method is although limited by the projection factor (hereafter $p$-factor) used to convert spectroscopic radial velocities into pulsating velocities representing the apparent displacement of the photosphere. The value of the $p$-factor, and its possible dependence on the pulsation period, are still debated. We developed a dedicated software tool to estimate the distance of pulsating Cepheids, the SPTPS code (Mérand et al. 2013) that we briefly present in Sect. 3.2. Taking advantage of the distance to κ Pavonis obtained by Benedict et al. (2011) using an independent method, we track down in the present work the $p$-factor of this star through an inverse application of this algorithm (Sect. 3.3).

2. Observations and data processing

2.1. The peculiar Cepheid κ Pavonis

As one of the closest type-II Cepheids (Wallerstein 2002), κ Pav is classified as a member of the W Vir class (Rodgers & Bell 1963), which groups pulsators with periods between 10 and 20 days. Since it is slightly brighter and bluer than the normal population of this class, Matsunaga et al. (2009) propose to classify it as a pW star, which is a new category introduced by Sozýkiš et al. (2008) to describe those peculiar W Vir Cepheids. Type-II Cepheids are luminous stars, with similar behaviour to classical Cepheids and variation periods in the same range. But their lower mass makes them representatives of the population II stars present in the galactic halo and the old galactic disk. κ Pav also shows similarities with RR Lyrae, placing it at an interesting interface between classical Cepheids, type-II Cepheids and RR Lyrae pulsators.

2.2. VLT/PIONIER long-baseline interferometry

Interferometric angular diameter measurements of κ Pavonis were carried out in August and September 2013 and from June to August 2014 using the four-telescope beam combiner PIONIER, installed at the VLTI Interferometer in northern Chile (Berger et al. 2010; Le Bouquin et al. 2011). The observations were undertaken in three spectral channels of the $H$ band (1.59 $\mu$m, 1.67 $\mu$m, and 1.76 $\mu$m), corresponding to a low spectral resolution of $R \approx 40$. We used the four relocatable 1.8-m Auxiliary Telescopes (ATs), installed at stations A1-G1-J3-K01. This configuration offers the longest available baselines (from 57 m between the stations K0 and J3, up to 140 m between A1 and J3) that are required to get the necessary angular resolution to resolve the apparent disk of κ Pav (θ ∼ 1.2 mas).

Figure 1 shows a map of the ($u$, $v$) plane coverage of our observations. We obtained a very good sampling of the pulsation curve, including data points close to the maximum and the minimum diameters. That is particularly interesting for the present work, because the most precise diameter variation is needed to derive an accurate value of the $p$-factor. The most suitable calibrators have been chosen from the catalogue of Mérand et al. (2005a). Their main characteristics are given in Table 1.

The raw data were reduced using the pndrs data reduction software of PIONIER (Le Bouquin et al. 2011), which produces calibrated squared visibilities and phase closures. The resulting OIFITS format of the data allowed us to compute a uniform disk (UD) value for each observing epoch with the model fitting software LITPro2 (Tallon-Bosc et al. 2008). The results are listed in Table 2. For each night of observations we give the mean Julian date, the phase, the UD diameter, and its uncertainty. The error given for each diameter includes a statistical error given by the model fitting software and a systematic one (which actually dominates the error budget), because of the uncertainty on the

---

1 https://www.eso.org paranal/telescopes/vlti/configuration/

2 The LITpro software is available at http://www.jmmc.fr/litpro
calibrator diameters. We therefore define this systematic error as being the mean of the errors of all the calibrators. The phases given in Table 2 were computed by using the ephemeris derived from the O–C diagram shown in Fig. 2 (see details in Sect. 2.3). The variations in the diameter of the Cepheid can be appreciated in Fig. 2, which represents the PIONIER squared visibilities with a uniform disk model.

2.3. Period changes, overall phasing, and photometry

The pulsation period of κ Pav shows large and fast variations that complicate the phasing of datasets from different epochs. By applying the statistical Eddington-Plakidis method (Eddington & Plakidis 1929) on a large photometric dataset, Berdnikov & Stevens (2009) showed that the period variations of κ Pav are erratic, which has already been suggested in previous studies (e.g. Feast et al. 2008; Wallerstein et al. 1992), with a relatively high degree of randomness. To help the phasing of the data used in the present study, we computed ephemerides from the O–C diagram shown in Fig. 3. When constructing the O–C diagram, only photoelectric and CCD photometric data have been taken into account. Depending on the number of observations and phase coverage of the individual datasets, a weight of 1, 2, or 3 has been assigned to the derived moment of the normal maximum brightness. In Fig. 3, the size of the filled circles refers to the weight assigned to the given residual (O–C difference). A weighted least squares fit of the data for JD > 2 440 000 leads to $T_0 = 2 450 373.2847$ and $P = 9.0873$ days. Considering only the data for JD > 2 450 000, we get $T_0 = 2 450 374.2938$ and $P = 9.0827$ days. These values have been used to phase our most recent data.

We selected the following photometric data from the literature: HIPPARCOS and Tycho photometry from the ESA (1997) catalogue (see also van Leeuwen et al. 1997), JHK photometry from Feast et al. (2008), hereafter F08, VBLUW photometry from Walraven et al. (1964), and $UBVR_\text{I}_c$ photometry from Berdnikov (2008). We divided this last dataset into three groups covering different epochs of around 700 days and separated by around 350 days (Group 1: MJD from 50 347 to 50 917; Group 2: 51 248 to 51 972 and Group 3: 52 323 to 53 118). We then phased the resulting photometric sequences separately. Considering the erratic changes in the period of κ Pav, we computed specific $(P,T_0)$ ephemeris for each dataset. We used the SPIPS code to derive a reference epoch and the corresponding pulsation period. In the case of the HIPPARCOS and Tycho data, we introduced a linear variation in the period, which allowed us to reach a more satisfying phasing. For each photometric dataset, the reference epoch was set at the maximum luminosity of the star, and taken as close as possible to the centre of the epoch range. The resulting periods and reference epochs MJD$_0$ are given in Table 3 and were used to compute the phases of the observations.
We note that the HIPPARCOS and Tycho data seem to be relatively dispersed around the phase 0.6, given their low errorbars. This could be due to possible amplitude variations, which have already been observed in the photometry of type II Cepheids and overtone pulsators (Evans et al. 2015). However, this does not affect the results of the present study.

Table 3 also sums up the method used to phase the other observables: for the radial velocities from Wallerstein et al. (1992; see details in Sect. 2.4), we kept the phases provided by the author and shifted the whole curve to make it match the photometry. Our CORALIE and HARPS data were phased by using the ephemeris derived from the O–C diagram (see Fig. 3), and then shifted into phase agreement with the rest of the data. We used the same ephemeris for our PIONIER diameters, but we did not need to add any phase shift.

### 2.4. Radial velocities

We retrieved three sets of radial velocity measurements from the literature, resulting from observations carried out between 1904 and 1918 (Jacobsen 1929), in 1961 (Rodgers & Bell 1963) and in 1988 (Wallerstein et al. 1992, hereafter W92). As the data from Jacobsen (1929) and Rodgers & Bell (1963) show a relatively high dispersion, we only used the metallic-line radial velocities from W92. The convention used to derive the phases given in W92 is uncertain (Wallerstein, priv. comm.) and by computing our own ephemerides, we did not succeed in obtaining a radial velocity curve that was as well-phased as the one given in W92. We therefore decided to keep the phases given in the paper. Because the zero-phase definition in W92 does not correspond to the maximum of luminosity, we shifted the original phase values to match the zero-phase convention of the photometry.

We also obtained contemporaneous and very precise radial velocity measurements (RVs) between November 2013 and June 2014 using the high-resolution Echelle spectrographs HARPS mounted on the ESO 3.6 m telescope and CORALIE mounted on the Swiss 1.2 m Euler telescope, both of which are located at ESO’s La Silla observatory in Chile. The RVs were derived using the cross-correlation technique (Baranne et al. 1996; Pepe et al. 2002) and a standard Gaussian fit.

Unfortunately, the new measurements are not sufficient to determine a very precise pulsation period. Therefore, we computed the phases of the RV measurements using the ephemeris used for the PIONIER data. We then shifted the whole curve by adding a constant in phase, until obtaining the lowest reduced χ² at the end of the fitting process. The phasing process is summarized in Table 3. While we took great care to obtain the best possible phasing for the new RV data, there is a remaining uncertainty regarding the phases of the new measurements. This problem is exacerbated by known random period fluctuations (see details given in Sect. 2.3). To reduce the sensitivity of our method to phase errors of the new RV data (this easily incurs errors of several 100 m/s and thus dominates the uncertainty of the RV curve), we attribute a reduced weight to the new RV data by adopting a fixed error budget of 500 m/s in the fit. This does not constitute a limiting factor for our results, since the accuracy of our p-factor determination is limited by the parallax accuracy of 5% (see details given in Sect. 3.3).

### 3. The SPIPS algorithm

#### 3.1. The parallax of pulsation method and the p-factor limitation

The fundamental equation of the parallax of pulsation method can be written as follows, where θ_{0\,\text{rad}} is the angular diameter at the maximum of luminosity, d the distance and v_{\text{puls}} the pulsation velocity of the atmosphere:

\[ \theta(t) = \theta_{0\,\text{rad}} + \frac{2}{d} \int_0^t v_{\text{puls}}(\tau)d\tau. \]  

The main limitation of the parallax of pulsation technique comes from the projection factor p used to convert the radial velocities

| Data reference | Phase shift | MJD₀ | Period (days) | Period drift (s/year) |
|----------------|-------------|------|--------------|----------------------|
| Wallerstein et al. (1992) | φ + 0.171 | – | – | – |
| Wallerstein et al. (1992) | φ + 0.171 | 50 373.793 | 9.0827 | – |
| Present work | φ + 0.034 | 50 373.793 | 9.0827 | – |
| Present work | – | 50 373.793 | 9.0827 | – |
| Photometry | – | 50 646.541 | 9.0897 | – |
| Berdnikov (2008) (1) | – | 51 609.425 | 9.0804 | – |
| Berdnikov (2008) (2) | – | 52 353.321 | 9.0669 | – |
| Berdnikov (2008) (3) | – | 46 800.743 | 9.0789 | – |
| Feast et al. (2008) | – | 37 913.807 | 9.0833 | – |
| Walraven et al. (1964) | – | 47 482.679 | 9.0888 | 65.895 |
| ESA (1997) | – | 50 373.793 | 9.0827 | – |

| MJD | Phase | V_{\text{rad}} ± σ_{V_{\text{rad}}} (\text{km s}^{-1}) | Instrument |
|-----|-------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 56 750.416 | 0.096 | 28.74 ± 0.50 | CORALIE |
| 56 751.412 | 0.206 | 33.32 ± 0.50 | CORALIE |
| 56 826.428 | 0.465 | 44.54 ± 0.50 | CORALIE |
| 56 827.425 | 0.575 | 49.31 ± 0.50 | CORALIE |
| 56 876.174 | 0.942 | 26.09 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 876.976 | 0.031 | 27.55 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 877.974 | 0.140 | 31.77 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 878.975 | 0.251 | 36.26 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 907.984 | 0.444 | 44.13 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 908.983 | 0.554 | 48.92 ± 0.50 | HARPS |
| 56 909.994 | 0.666 | 51.72 ± 0.50 | HARPS |

Notes. We adopted a total error budget of 500 m/s for each observation.
from the Doppler shift of spectral lines (a disk-integrated quantity) into pulsation velocities (the displacement velocity of the photosphere over the pulsation cycle):

\[ v_{\text{puls}} = p v_{\text{rad}}. \]  

(2)

We do not consider here the effects of amplitude modulations as recently reported for Cepheids by Anderson (2014). We can therefore rewrite the main equation of the parallax of pulsation as follows:

\[ \theta(t) = \frac{2}{d} \left( R_0 + \int_0^t p v_{\text{rad}}(\tau) \, d\tau \right). \]  

(3)

Only the projected component of the velocity on the line of sight contributes to the measured Doppler shift of the spectral lines. The spherical geometry of the star results in a projection effect corresponding to a value of \( p = 1.5 \) for a uniform brightness sphere. The limb darkening and the dynamical behaviour of the line-forming regions in the stellar atmosphere are expected to reduce the value of \( p \) below this value (Nardetto et al. 2007). Unfortunately, these effects can hardly be quantified separately.

The combination of these different effects results in a relatively large dispersion of the \( p \)-factor estimates found in the literature. Some recent studies propose either a constant value (Feast et al. 2008; Groenewegen 2007; Mérand et al. 2005b) or Period-\( p \)-factor relationships (Groenewegen 2013; Storm et al. 2012; Ngeow et al. 2012; Nardetto et al. 2009). As a consequence of this uncertainty, the truly unbiased quantity that can be derived using the parallax of pulsation method is the ratio \( d/p \) where \( d \) is the distance (Eq. (3)). Observational determinations of \( p \) are therefore critical for better constraining the \( p \)-factor models and eventually establishing the parallax of pulsation method on solid foundations. A review of the role and importance of the \( p \)-factor can be found in Nardetto et al. (2014).

The observational calibration of the \( p \)-factor requires independent measurements of the distance \( d \) for a sample of Cepheids, to waive the degeneracy of the \( d/p \) ratio produced by the parallax of pulsation method. In this case, when the distance is known (e.g. from trigonometric parallax, light echoes, or binary Cepheids), the inversion of the method gives access to the \( p \)-factor. This has already been done on the classical Cepheid \( \delta \) Cephei by Mérand et al. (2005b), yielding \( p = 1.27 \pm 0.06 \). We apply here this method to \( \kappa \) Pav using the enhanced SPIPS algorithm (Sect. 3) and considering the accurate parallax recently measured by Benedict et al. (2011) using the HST/FGS interferometer (\( \pi = 5.57 \pm 0.28 \) mas).

### 3.2. Overview of the algorithm

Classical BW methods are limited by various systematic errors (e.g. photometry biases or reddening) that affect either the photometry or the spectroscopic observables. To overcome this, we developed a dedicated tool (SPIPS for Spectro-Photo-Interferometry of Pulsating Stars, Mérand et al. 2013; Breitfelder et al. 2014; Mérand et al., in prep.) that computes a global fit of all the available data (i.e., radial velocities, interferometric squared visibilities, spectrosopically determined effective temperatures (\( T_{\text{eff}} \)), colours and magnitudes in various bands and filters). This combination of different observables allows us to reach a better accuracy on our measurements (2 to 5% uncertainty on the distance for an individual Cepheid). The partial redundancy of the data (e.g., interferometry and atmospheric models applied to the photometry to estimate the angular diameter) results in much improved robustness of the fitting process.

Besides that, the integration of physical models in our code (e.g. ATLAS9 atmospheric models from Kurucz 1979) reduces the statistical biases (owing to the calibration of the zero point of the photometric filters, for instance). The larger overall amount of observational data also reduces the statistical errors on the resulting parameters (e.g., the distance, the colour excess \( E(B-V) \), and the mean angular diameter and effective temperature). The
χ₂ minimization process is optimized by defining the global χ₂ as the average of the specific reduced χ₂ values for each data set. This allows us to adjust the relative weight of each type of data in the global fit. Otherwise, in the present case, the diameter and the radial velocity would not contribute as much as the photometry in the minimization process.

3.3. Projection factor

We fitted the radial velocity with spline functions defined by four adjustable floating nodes. Although it is numerically less stable than Fourier series, it is necessary to reproduce the strong slope of the radial velocity curve that occurs between the phases 0.8 and 1.0 without introducing high frequency variations in the rest of the curve. The photometry curve is adjusted to the data using a second-order Fourier series. We shifted the (B − R) and (B − I) colours from Berdnikov (2008) vertically, by subtracting 0.008 magnitudes to (B − I) and 0.038 mag to (B − R). Otherwise, an offset always remained between the data and the model, probably because of a bias introduced by the calibration of the zero point of the filter used for the observations in I and R. It is then important to note that only the shape of these two particular curves continues to be constraining. To give an equivalent weight to the different observables in the fitting process, we multiplied the errors by the normalization factors (NF) given in Table 6. These coefficients depend on the number of points (N_points) in each dataset. Table 6 also gives the individual reduced χ² of the different datasets.

We fixed the metallicity at [Fe/H] = 0.0 (Luck & Bond 1989) and the distance at d = 179 pc (Benedict et al. 2011). The best-fit parameters are given in Table 5. The values and statistical uncertainties have been determined through a Monte-Carlo procedure. We obtain for κ Pav a p-factor p = 1.26 ± 0.04stat ± 0.06sys, with a systematic error given by the limited 5% precision on the distance. The final value is therefore p = 1.26±0.07. The systematic error on the average T_eff has been deduced from the Stefan-Boltzmann law, after considering a systematic error of 5% on the photometry and of 1.4% on the angular diameter (given by the limited precision of the calibrators diameters). The systematic uncertainty on the reddening has been estimated by computing the maximum and minimum values of the colour index (B − V)_0 obtained in the uncertainty range of the effective temperature (Flower 1996).

4. Discussion

The p-factor value of κ Pav that we obtain (p = 1.26 ± 0.07) is significantly higher than the value of 0.93 ± 0.11 proposed by F08. A p-factor smaller than unity would imply that the limb darkening of the star is extremely high, and would generally not have a very clear physical explanation. The present value, however, agrees well with recently published Period-p-factor relationships, which give for κ Pav (P = 9.09) p-factors of 1.27 (Groenewegen 2013), 1.29 ± 0.06 (Ngeow et al. 2012), and 1.23 ± 0.10 (Nardetto et al. 2009). It is also consistent with the empirical measurements obtained by Pilecki et al. (2013) on the LMC Cepheid OGLE-LMC-CEP-0227 (P = 3.90d, p = 1.21 ± 0.05), and by Mérand et al. (2005b) on δ Cep (P = 5.37 d, p = 1.27±0.06). Our p-factor, however, differs from the p = 1.37 and p = 1.359 ± 0.003 values predicted by Storm et al. (2012) and Neilson et al. (2012) respectively. The average angular diameter is in good agreement with the value derived by Gallenne et al. (2012) (θ_pav = 1.04 ± 0.04 mas at φ = 0.9). Converted into linear radius for a distance of d = 179 pc, we obtain an average photospheric radius of 22.8 R_⊙. Balog et al. 1997 suggest a comparable value of 19 ± 5 R_⊙ also derived using the parallax of pulsation method. The average SPIPS effective temperature of T_eff = 5739 K is slightly higher than the typical values found in the literature. In particular, Luck & Bond (1989) find 5500 K, and Gallenne et al. (2012) find T_eff = 5750 K at φ = 0.94, which corresponds to ~6336 K at the same phase in the present study. We find an extinction comparable to the value suggested by F08 (E(B − V) = 0.017). It is important to stress that the relevance of this parameter relies on the choice of a reddening law, which is in the present case the reddening law from Fitzpatrick (1999), taken for R_⊙ = 3.1 and differs from the methods used in F08. However, the systematic errors of both measurements dominate these low extinction values.

It was suggested that κ Pav belongs to a binary system (Feast et al. 2008). The contribution of a stellar companion could have a non-negligible influence on the photometry and radial velocity of the star. We checked our interferometric dataset for the possible presence of a secondary component, by fitting a binary star model that takes the PIONIER closure phases into account. This fitting technique is very sensitive to the presence of companions, with a contrast exceeding 100:1 or more (Absil et al. 2011; Gallenne et al. 2013, 2014), but we did not identify any
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### Table 5. Best-fit output parameters given by the SPIPS code.

| Parameter      | Value   | σ_stat | σ_sys |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|
| p-factor       | 1.26    | 0.04   | 0.06  |
| θ (UD) at φ = 0 (mas) | 1.1654 | 0.0025 | 0.014 |
| Avg. θ (UD) (mas) | 1.1823 | 0.0021 | 0.014 |
| v_r (km s⁻¹)   | 37.87   | 0.18   | 0.50  |
| E(B − V) (mag) | 0.02    | 0.01   | 0.04  |
| Avg. T_eff (K) | 5779    | 9      | 107   |
| Avg. radius (R_⊙) | 22.23  | 0.04   | 0.48  |
| Final reduced χ² | 2.62   |        |       |

### Table 6. Weighting of the different datasets and individual reduced χ².

| Observable        | Ref. | N_points | NF | χ²   |
|-------------------|------|----------|----|------|
| V_Johnson         | (a)  | 162      | 1.546 | 1.42 |
| V_Walraven        | (b)  | 16       | 0.486 | 1.43 |
| V_Tychy           | (c, d) | 163 | 1.550 | 1.40 |
| B_Tychy           | (c, d) | 163 | 1.550 | 1.45 |
| H_P2000           | (c, d) | 182 | 4.914 | 6.97 |
| J_CTIO            | (e)  | 25       | 0.607 | 1.46 |
| H_CTIO            | (e)  | 25       | 0.607 | 0.51 |
| K_CTIO            | (e)  | 25       | 0.607 | 1.94 |
| V_Walraven − V_Walraven | (b)  | 16 | 0.486 | 8.08 |
| B_Johnson − V_Johnson | (a)  | 56 | 0.909 | 1.51 |
| V_Johnson − R_Bessel | (a)  | 164 | 1.555 | 0.57 |
| V_Johnson − R_Bessel | (a)  | 27 | 0.631 | 0.95 |
| v_rad            | (f)   | 4       | 0.243 |      |
|                  | (f)   | 7       | 0.321 | 1.43 |
|                  | (g)   | 38      | 0.749 |      |
| θ (UD)           | (f)   | 12      | 0.421 | 2.30 |

**Notes.** (¹) The normalisation factor of the HIPPARCOS data has been multiplied by three to balance the very low uncertainties of the measurements, which would otherwise give to this dataset too much weight in the fitting process.

**References.** (a) Berdnikov 2008; (b) Walraven et al. 1964; (c) ESA (1997); (d) van Leeuwen et al. 1997; (e) Feast et al. 2008; (f) Present work (¹CORALIE and ²HARPS); (g) Walraven et al. 1964.
companion of $\kappa$ Pav. Our data allowed us to obtain an upper limit of 1% at 5$\sigma$ on the flux ratio between the two components. This result has been derived from the longest observing sequence (about 3 hours of science and calibrators alternations), which allows reaching a good sensitivity and better uv coverage. Considering the low flux ratio limit, it is unlikely that the data used in the present study could have been biased by the presence of a companion.

The SPIPS code also allows us to consider a possible infrared excess in the fitting process, to track the possible presence of a circumstellar envelope. In the case of $\kappa$ Pav we find an excess of $4.5 \pm 0.5$ % in the $K$ band. However, it does not improve the quality of the fitting process significantly, so we prefer not to conclude anything about the presence of an actual excess. We nevertheless underline that a circumstellar envelope has been found by Galletme et al. (2012), who identified an infrared excess of about 20% between 10$\mu$m and 20$\mu$m.

5. Conclusion

We report the first observational measurement of the projection factor of the type-II Cepheid $\kappa$ Pav. We combined the HST/FGS parallax from Benedict et al. (2011) with new interferometric observations from the VLTI/PIONIER instrument, and an extensive set of radial velocities and photometry. Because the period of the star shows unpredictable variations on relatively short timescales, a careful phase adjustment was required to phase the different observing epochs properly. We obtained a value of $p = 1.26 \pm 0.07$, which agrees with the Period-$p$-factor relation proposed by Nardetto et al. (2009) and with the empirical measurement obtained by Pilecki et al. (2013) in the LMC. It is also consistent with the $p$-factor measured by Mérand et al. (2005b) for $\delta$ Cep. Although the range of periods presently covered by observational $p$-factor measurements is still limited, our result points at a relatively weak dependence of the $p$-factor on the period, because short- and intermediate-period type-II and classical Cepheids likely share the same $p$-factor within $\pm$ 5%.

Observational measurements of the $p$-factor are difficult, but also essential for the calibration of the distance scale. This factor is presently one of the most important fundamental limitations on the accuracy of the parallax of pulsation distances used to calibrate period-luminosity relationships of Cepheids. Radial velocity modulations (though not evident in the present case) can also lead to systematic errors, as discovered recently by Anderson (2014). Observational estimates of this parameter are also essential for constraining the $p$-factor models. The Gaia satellite is currently measuring accurate parallaxes for a large number of Galactic Cepheids. This will enable a thorough study of the dependence of the $p$-factor with period and other stellar parameters, and provide us with a solid, unbiased calibration of the parallax of pulsation technique.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Dr. George Wallerstein for his useful comments. We acknowledge financial support from the “Programme Nationale de Physique Stellaire” (PNPS) of CNRS/INSU, France. P.K. and A.G. acknowledge support of the French-Chilean exchange programme ECOS-Sud/CONICYT, A.G. acknowledges support from FONDECYT grant 3130361. L.Sz. acknowledges support from the ESTEC Contract No. 4000106398/ NL/KML. This research received the support of PHASE, the high angular resolution partnership between ONERA, the Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, and University Denis Diderot Paris 7. This research made use of the Jean-MarieMariottiCenter LTpro service co-developed by CRAL, LAOG, and FIZEAU, and the Jean-Marie Mariotti Center Aspro service. We used the SIMBAD and VIZIER databases at the CDS, Strasbourg (France), and NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. PIONIER is funded by the Université Joseph Fourier (UJF), the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-06-BLAN-0421 and ANR-10-BLAN-0505), and the Institut National des Sciences de l’Univers (INSU PNP and PNPS). Its beam combiner is from IPAG and CEA-LETI based on CNES R&T funding.
