Evaluation of Passive Islanding Detection Methods for Line to Ground Unsymmetrical Fault in Three Phase Microgrid Systems
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Abstract-Distributed Generators (DGs) are incorporated in the power distribution systems to develop green energies in microgrids. Islanding is a challenging task in a microgrid. Different types of islanding methods, e.g. local and remote methods, have been developed for handling this task, with local methods being easier to implement, while remote methods are communication-based and costly. The local methods are classified as passive, active, and hybrid, out of which the passive methods are more simple and economical. In this paper, a passive islanding detection method is proposed to detect single line to ground fault. This fault is considered to represent the 60 to 70% of the total un-intentional faults of this category. The available passive methods cannot detect islanding at lower power mismatches as the variations in voltage and frequency fall within thresholding values. In this method, the voltage signals are first retrieved at the targeted DG output and then the phase angle is estimated. Finally, the phase angle is differentiated to get Rate Of Change Of Voltage Phase Angle (ROCOVPA) to detect islanding, and then it is compared with the Rate Of Change Of Frequency (ROCOF) at zero percent power mismatch. Simulation results depict that the ROCOVPA is more effective than ROCOF. The proposed method not only reduces detection time and Non-Detection Zone (NDZ) but is also stable during non-islanding cases like load connection and disconnection. A simple methodology is used. At first, the voltage phase angle is calculated at the output of DG and then differentiated to get the ROCOVPA to detect islanding. In normal conditions, when there is no fault, the variations are within the threshold values but during fault conditions the variations exceed the threshold values to island the microgrid safely from the main grid within 2s. The DG feeds the connected load in droop control in islanded mode, without any power interruption to load.

To mitigate these problems, in this paper the Rate Of Change Of Voltage Phase Angle (ROCOVPA) method is proposed which detects islanding at zero percent mismatch power and also avoids nuisance tripping during non-islanding operations like load connection and disconnection. A simple methodology is used. At first, the voltage phase angle is calculated at the output of DG and then differentiated to get the ROCOVPA to detect islanding. In normal conditions, when there is no fault, the variations are within the threshold values but during fault conditions the variations exceed the threshold values to island the microgrid safely from the main grid within 2s. The DG feeds the connected load in droop control in islanded mode, without any power interruption to load.

The proposed ROCOVPA methodology can be extended to detect symmetrical and unsymmetrical faults. In this paper, the ROCOVPA is tested and compared with the Rate Of Change Of Frequency (ROCOF) method in Matlab/Simulink, in which ROCOVPA is proved to perform better. As per the result analysis, the proposed ROCOVPA method detects islanding at even zero percent mismatch power (0% NDZ). The testing is extended for non-islanding cases during load connection and disconnection for stability. The ROCOVPA method is proved to be stable during non-islanding cases and also avoids nuisance tripping.

The comparison of the proposed ROCOVPA method with ROCOF proves that it is better in discriminating islanding and non-islanding operations with less NDZ and detection time.
II. NETWORK AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The network model is shown in Figure 1. The ROCOVPA islanding detection method is tested on a DG with 2.5KW with an interfaced inverter. A parallel RLC load is connected to the DG with a quality factor of 1.8 at the Point of Common Coupling (PCC). The DG inverter is connected to the main grid through a 3-phase circuit breaker. The inverter is connected to the PCC with filter values: $Cf=2\mu F, Lf=2mH,$ and $Rf=10\Omega$.

The mathematical model of the islanded microgrid in the abc frame is given by the following equations:

\[ V_{t,abc} = L_t \frac{d^2 i_{t,abc}}{dt^2} + R_t i_{t,abc} + V_{abc} \quad (1) \]

\[ i_{t,abc} = V_{abc} / R + i_{abc} + C \frac{d}{dt} V_{abc} \quad (2) \]

\[ V_{abc} = L \frac{d i_{abc}}{dt} + i_{abc} + R L i_{abc} \quad (3) \]

where $V_{t,abc}$, $V_{abc}$, $i_{t,abc}$, and $i_{abc}$ are the terminal’s phase voltages and currents respectively of the inverter at the PCC.

These three-phase instantaneous voltages and currents are to be transformed to the synchronous rotating frame dq0 in order to have control of active power (d-axis) and reactive power (q-axis), to keep mutual inductance constant, to achieve steady state error to zero to make computations easier.

\[ X(t) = AX(t) + Bu(t) \quad (4) \]

\[ y(t) = CX(t) \quad (5) \]

\[ u(t) = V_{id} \quad (6) \]

$A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$ are constants given by:

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} -R_t & \omega_0 & 0 & -1/L_t \\ \omega_0 & -R/L & -2\omega_0 & R/L + \omega_0^2/C \\ 0 & \omega_0 & -R/L & 1/L - \omega_0^2/C \\ 1/C & 0 & -1/C & -1/R \end{bmatrix} \quad (7) \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/L_t \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ X^T = [i_{td} \quad i_{tq} \quad i_{id} \quad V_d] \quad (11) \]

These equations give the transfer functions of $V_d/V_{id}$, where $V_d$ and $V_{id}$ are the input and output components of the d axis.

III. NON DETECTION ZONE

The efficacy of islanding detection depends on minimizing the NDZ [4, 5]. The method depends on the percentage of active power mismatch, which as per IEEE-1547 has to be smaller than 15% and the detection time has to be less than 2s. The network for the study of NDZ is shown in Figure 2. The DG is connected to the grid through an interfacing inverter, the PCC, and a utility switch [6]. The 3-phase parallel RLC load is connected at the PCC [7].

\[ P + \Delta P = \frac{V^2}{R} \quad (12) \]

\[ Q + \Delta Q = \frac{V^2}{2\pi L} \quad (13) \]

\[ V = \sqrt{R(P + \Delta P)} \quad (14) \]

\[ f = \frac{V^2}{2\pi d(Q + \Delta Q)} \quad (15) \]

In islanding conditions, $\Delta P$ and $\Delta Q$ become zero, as there is no main grid. The under/over voltage protection (UVP/OVP) and under/over frequency protection (UFP/OFP) methods are very simple and incorporated in all grid connected inverters and relays connected with DG system protection. In these
methods, the voltage and frequency are both constantly monitored at the PCC [1, 8], where:

$$\Delta P = P_{\text{LOAD}} - P_{\text{DG}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$\Delta Q = Q_{\text{LOAD}} - Q_{\text{DG}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

If $\Delta P \neq 0$, then the voltage at PCC will fluctuate from the normal level, which is an indication of islanding condition. If $\Delta Q \neq 0$, then the frequency at PCC will fluctuate from the normal level, which is an indication of islanding condition. Both these islanding detection methods leave behind a large NDZ. The islanding detection may fail, when $\Delta P$ and $\Delta Q$ are close to zero [8].

Voltage $V'$ and frequency $f'$ under islanding mode are given by:

$$V' = \sqrt{R(P)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$f' = \frac{\sqrt{V^2}}{2\pi L(Q)} = \frac{RP}{2\pi L(Q)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

With these, the voltage and frequency deviations due to power mismatches are given by:

$$\Delta V = V' - V = \sqrt{R(P)} - \sqrt{R(P + \Delta P)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$\Delta f = f' - f = \frac{\sqrt{V^2}}{L(Q)} \left( 1 - \frac{R x P}{L x Q} \right) - \frac{R x (P + \Delta P)}{L x (Q + \Delta Q)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Equations (20) and (21) show the variations in voltage and frequency due to power mismatch [2]. If the power mismatch is substantial, the variations in voltage and frequency can be identifiable. If the mismatch is too small (less than 15%) the islanding cannot be detected and hence the formation of the NDZ. Figure 4 shows the NDZ for different power mismatch percentages [8, 9]. When the reference value is 88% and 110% (as per Table I), under / over voltage and grid RMS Voltage $V_{g1} = 415V$, then $V_{\text{max}} = 456V$ and $V_{\text{min}} = 365V$. The schematic diagram of the grid-connected microgrid is shown in Figure 3. The inverter gate pulses take the feedback grid voltage and the set reference. The trigger pulses are given to the inverter accordingly and the output voltage is controlled. The PV is connected to the buck/boost converter to have control on DC voltage. The DC/DC converter is connected to the interfacing inverter. The inverter is connected to the PCC via a low pass filter LCL. The microgrid is connected to the grid via a circuit breaker, so that the microgrid can be islanded during faults. A local parallel RLC load is connected to the microgrid at the PCC and the microgrid feeds this local load from the DG, even in the absence of grid.

NDZ is the operating region in which islanding detection methods cannot detect islanding as specified by IEEE-1547. It is expressed in terms of % power mismatch or in terms of parameters of the load like $R, L,$ and $C$. The NDZ of OVP/UVP and OFP/UVP islanding schemes are shown in Figure 4 [10, 11]. These techniques fail to detect islanding in mismatched power less than 15%. In a distribution network, voltage values, as per standards are between 0.88p.u. and 1.1p.u. for voltage relays. These voltage levels are equivalent to $DV = 0.12p.u.$ and $DV = 0.1p.u.$ respectively. The calculated power mismatch for our test network (the inverter rated output power is 2.5KW) are 0.3KW and 0.25KW respectively.

In grid mode, the load consumes the reactive power [12]. But in islanding mode, DGs cannot inject reactive power to the load, as DGs operate at unity power factor, because the load behaves like a resistance [13], since the load resonance frequency is equal to the system frequency at the PCC. Hence, to find more deviations in frequency, the load selected is parallel RLC with a high quality factor of 1.8 in islanding mode [14, 15]. The quality factor is given by:

$$Q_f = \omega_0 RC = \frac{R}{\omega_0 L} = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{C}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$

Equation (20) gives the energy stored in the RLC circuit. High quality factor loads have high capacitance and small inductance with or without a high parallel resistance [16, 17]. The islanding detection is complex with resonant frequency loads of higher quality factor [18, 19]. The percentage mismatch is not the criterion for load parameters [20, 21]. The load reactive power is given by:

$$Q_{\text{Load}} = V_{\text{rms}}^2 \left( \frac{1}{\omega L} - \frac{1}{\omega C} \right) = \Delta Q$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Equation (21) depicts the variation in reactive power for different values of $L$ and $C$. The % mismatch power for OVP/UVP and OFP/UVP relays is shown in Figure 3 and is given by the equations for active power imbalance:

$$\Delta P = 3I \times 3(V + \Delta V) \times L = -3 \times \Delta V \times L$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

$$\Delta Q = \frac{3V^2}{\omega_0 L}(1 - \omega^2 L C) = 3 \frac{V^2}{\omega_0 L}(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2 L}{\omega^2 C})$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where $\omega_0$ and $\omega$ are system and resonance frequencies [22, 23]. The system frequency varies until it reaches the resonant frequency of the load in islanding mode and is given by:
The ROCOVPA method is tested with the 2.5KW DG with a current control mode inverter connected to an RLC load with 1.8 quality factor. Figure 5 shows the current control mode to control the active and the reactive power of the load. In the proposed method, the deviation of the phase angle is monitored at the specified DG. If there is a change in the voltage phase angle, the rate with respect to time is calculated. During the islanding, the deviations of the rate of change of the phase angle exceed the threshold in order to detect the islanding condition. If the relay threshold is fixed, then the trip command to circuit breaker can be initiated.

The algorithm of the proposed ROCOVPA method of islanding detection is shown in Figure 6. The voltage phase angle at the DG is measured first. After the measurement of the phase angle of the voltage, the rate of change of voltage phase angle is calculated. In a normal situation this value is smaller than 1deg/sec (fixed threshold value) but during islanding, the value suddenly crosses the threshold, depending upon the fault severity, by means of which the islanding is detected. During the non-islanding mode this value is in limit, hence nuisance tripping is avoided.

![Flow chart of the proposed ROCOVPA.](Image)

The proposed method can detect islanding at zero % power mismatch and the detection time is less than in ROCOF. In this method, at first the voltage phase angle is measured at the targeted DG and then the rate of change of voltage phase angle is calculated to detect the islanding phenomenon. In non-islanding condition, the rate of change of the phase angle is negligible after certain time but in islanding this becomes substantial and the islanding is detected. ROCOVPA also avoids nuisance tripping, thus protecting the stability of the microgrid.

![Current controller block diagram.](Image)

### IV. THE PROPOSED METHOD OF ISLANDING DETECTION

The proposed method can detect islanding at zero % power mismatch and the detection time is less than in ROCOF. In this method, at first the voltage phase angle is measured at the targeted DG and then the rate of change of voltage phase angle is calculated to detect the islanding phenomenon. In non-islanding condition, the rate of change of the phase angle is negligible after certain time but in islanding this becomes substantial and the islanding is detected. ROCOVPA also avoids nuisance tripping, thus protecting the stability of the microgrid.

### V. DESIGN PARAMETERS OF THE INVERTER

The proposed ROCOVPA method was tested on the network shown in Figure 1 with the parameters given in Table II. The DG capacity with interfaced inverter is 2.5KW. The interfaced inverter is connected to the main grid through a breaker via the PCC. A 3-phase parallel RLC load is connected at the PCC. The input DC Voltage to the inverter is 500V. The output line to line voltage of the inverter is 380V. The line resistance and inductance are 1.5mΩ and 2mH respectively. The nominal grid frequency is 50Hz. The inverter carrier frequency is taken as 1KHz. The load parameters with a quality factor of 1.8 are: \( R_L = 1.76m\Omega \), \( L_L = 3.2mH \), \( C_L = 3.2\mu F \). The load resonant frequency is 50Hz. Current controller gains are \( K_p = 0.4 \) and \( K_i = 500 \).
TABLE II. SIMULATION INVERTER PARAMETERS

| Component                | Value and units |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| DG power                 | 2.5 kW          |
| Switching frequency      | 10KHz           |
| DC input voltage         | 500             |
| Line voltage             | 440V            |
| Filter capacitance $C_f$ | 2μF             |
| Filter inductance $L_f$  | 5mH             |
| Damping resistance $R_f$ | 100ms           |
| Nominal frequency        | 50Hz            |
| Load resistance $R_L$    | 1.76 mΩ         |
| Load inductance $L_L$    | 3.2 mH          |
| Load capacitance $C_L$   | 3.2 μF          |
| Load quality factor $Q_L$| 1.8             |
| Load resonant frequency $f_r$ | 50Hz |
| Current controller proportional gain, $K_p$ | 0.4 |
| Current controller integral gain, $K_i$ | 500 |

VI. RESULTS, ANALYSIS, AND DISCUSSION

The designed network was tested in Matlab/Simulink for the islanding case of unintentional unsymmetrical L-G fault and non-islanding cases of connection and disconnection of non-linear load. The Matlab Simulation results of ROCOVPA and ROCOF were compared. It was proved that ROCOVPA is better than ROCOF. The proposed method was tested and compared with ROCOF for the islanding case of unintentional unsymmetrical L-G fault at 0% power mismatch. In this section the simulations are discussed for both ROCOVPA and ROCOF.

A. Islanding Case for Unsymmetrical Fault

An L-G unsymmetrical fault was initiated on the system at the PCC at 0.4s at 0% power mismatch. $P_L = P_G$ is the condition for 0% power mismatch and at that load, a single line to ground fault was initiated on the grid side at 0.4s. The simulation graph is shown in Figure 7.

The proposed ROCOVPA detected islanding in 10ms within a fixed threshold of 1deg/s and the relay exactly detected and sent command to trip the circuit breaker to bring the microgrid to islanding mode from the grid mode. The total time is the sum of relay time and breaker time. Any type of fault is to be cleared within 4 cycles (2 cycles, i.e. 0.5s of relay operation plus 2 cycles, 0.5s). Hence the ROCOVPA can detect the fault condition within less than a cycle and island the microgrid in around 1s by tripping the circuit breaker, which is less than the 2s of the IEEE-1547 and UL-1741 standards. The same fault conditions were applied and tested with ROCOF in Matlab as shown in Figure 8 and the islanding was detected in 30ms. If the threshold value is fixed at 0.02Hz/sec, the tripping of the circuit breaker can be actuated in around 1s which is below the 2s of the standards. The detection time of ROCOF is more than that of ROCOVPA. As the ROCOF is dependent on frequency, at lower % power mismatches, the threshold value cannot be fixed exactly. Hence, the detection time varies inversely with % power mismatch. To mitigate these issues, ROCOVPA was proposed and proved to be a better islanding detection method for unsymmetrical faults.

B. Non-Islanding Case for Non-Linear Load Connection and Disconnection at the PCC on the System

System stability has been studied for different transient conditions during load connection and disconnection at the PCC with non-linear load for both ROCOVPA and ROCOF in Matlab/Simulink. Both methods proved their stability by avoiding nuisance tripping within the threshold values. The ROCOVPA threshold value is 1deg/s and that of ROCOF is 0.02Hz/sec.

The proposed ROCOVPA detected islanding in 10ms within a fixed threshold of 1deg/s and the relay exactly detected and sent command to trip the circuit breaker to bring the microgrid to islanding mode from the grid mode. The total time is the sum of relay time and breaker time. Any type of fault is to be cleared within 4 cycles (2 cycles, i.e. 0.5s of relay operation plus 2 cycles, 0.5s). Hence the ROCOVPA can detect the fault condition within less than a cycle and island the microgrid in around 1s by tripping the circuit breaker, which is less than the 2s of the IEEE-1547 and UL-1741 standards. The same fault conditions were applied and tested with ROCOF in Matlab as shown in Figure 8 and the islanding was detected in 30ms. If the threshold value is fixed at 0.02Hz/sec, the tripping of the circuit breaker can be actuated in around 1s which is below the 2s of the standards. The detection time of ROCOF is more than that of ROCOVPA. As the ROCOF is dependent on frequency, at lower % power mismatches, the threshold value cannot be fixed exactly. Hence, the detection time varies inversely with % power mismatch. To mitigate these issues, ROCOVPA was proposed and proved to be a better islanding detection method for unsymmetrical faults.

A non-linear load was connected at the PCC at 0.4s and was disconnected at 0.8s. The results of non-islanding scenarios of ROCOVPA and ROCOF are shown in Figures 9 and 10. The readings of ROCOVPA and ROCOF show that the thresholds are much higher. Hence, the system is stable without any nuisance tripping of the circuit breaker.
Islanding detection is a major challenge when considering microgrids. The most common unintentional faults on the system are supposed to be unsymmetrical L-G faults, in which one phase snapping and making phase to ground is involved. The proposed ROCOVPA passive islanding detection method was tested for islanding detection at 0% power mismatch (0% NDZ) along with the widely used ROCOF and proved to be a better alternative having less detection time. The ROCOVPA method was also tested for its stability to avoid nuisance tripping during load connection and disconnection and found to be quite effective. It is also simple and faster in discriminating between islanding and non-islanding operations of the microgrid. The detection is more accurate as the phase angle does not depend on mismatched power like voltage and frequency relays. In the future, the proposed ROCOVPA method can be extended for the detection of symmetrical faults with hybrid DGs.
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