INTRODUCTION

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Chronic kidney disease was the cause of 956,000 deaths globally in 2013, up from 409,000 deaths in 1990.1 All individuals with a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <60 ml/min/1.73 m² for three months are classified as having chronic kidney disease, irrespective of the presence or absence of kidney damage. The
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Objective: To determine the level of satisfaction as hemodialysis a long term treatment and quality of life in patients off End Stage Kidney Disease ESKD on hemodialysis.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out from January to April 2019 in hemodialysis unit of Lahore General Hospital on 141 ESKD patients by using self-designed questionnaire after informed consent.

Results: Majority (82.56%) of the participants were satisfied with the care provided at the dialysis center except with the time spent with doctor and 36.9% were not satisfied with their cannulation technique for dialysis. About 89.9% were satisfied with the knowledge provided to them about self-care. Satisfaction is subjective well-being in different aspects of life, including mental health and behavior of people experiencing serious health concerns. Quality of Life (QOL) is defined as “perception of one’s position in life, in the light of his culture and customs, consisting someone’s goals, standards or expectations. Financial problems to the patient was limited to the transportation as dialysis session and erythropoietin were free, but 54.1% of the patients were unable to earn due to their disease even those who were working, 80% of them had to take the day off for dialysis. The financial burden and debilitating illness didn’t cause separation/divorce from spouse but led to increased frequency of scuffles. Among the unmarried population, 40% of it does not want to start a relationship and 40% is facing difficulties in finding a partners while 97.9% of the population is satisfied with the psychological and emotional support of family.

Conclusion: Most patients were satisfied with their decision of opting hemodialysis as treatment and care provided at dialysis centre, although Quality of Life was badly affected in terms of financial and psycho-social aspects. Employed, married with good income have good quality of life. Loopholes of unit environment and health education were also exposed. Despite the medical advancement and emerging techniques to make dialysis better, the outcome of hemodialysis has yet to reach a safe level and more work should be done to improve patient’s outcome.
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condition of individuals with CKD who require renal replacement therapy is referred to as the end-stage kidney disease (ESRD). Hemodialysis is an alternate of renal functioning for survival, either temporary (waiting for renal transplantation) or lifelong. It has many implications which affect physical, psychological or social aspect of life e.g. fatigue, bone pain, dyspnea, low self-esteem, anxiety, depression etc.

Advent of RRT has significantly increased life expectancy in ESRD patients, but these are not truly curative rather life-extending treatments. While renal replacement therapies can maintain and prolong life, the quality of life is severely affected, not only via disease but also psychosocial factors. Most of the studies and trials, so far, have largely focused on biomarker endpoints and quantitative outcome to evaluate care but level of satisfaction in dialysis patients is highly dependent on normalization of their lives and how regular dialysis affect them financially and socially. As patients on hemodialysis spend significant amount of time in dialysis center, the satisfaction with care provided there has an important impact on quality of their lives and it improves patient-outcome. Better communication of staff with patients, plays important role for better results. Care provided at hospital is not only limited by doctors but nurses, paramedical staff, technician and managers all play a vital role. Moreover, Education level, earning and family support, age, marital status all affect patients adherence to treatment and satisfaction.

Majority (89.4%) were satisfied with the knowledge provided to them about self-care, whereas about 19.1% of cohort was not satisfied with the knowledge provided to them about hemodialysis and its possible complications.

Demographic Data included age, gender, education status, material status, residence, employment status and duration of hemodialysis was collected. Satisfaction and quality of life was assessed by using the World Health Organization Quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) questionnaire, simplified into three categories; 1st satisfaction with health care provider & services along with education provided for self-care, 2nd satisfaction with economical expenses for health care and their income, 3rd satisfaction regarding personal and social relationships. Survey was conducted with help of facilitators, who explains questions to those who were unable to read or understand.

Data was analyzed according to objectives by using SPSS version 22; a descriptive statistical analysis was undertaken. Continuous were expressed as mean ± SD, whereas categorical variables were expressed as frequency. One-way analysis of variance (F-Test) was used to test the statistical difference of mean age and income, T-test for comparison and chi-square test for any association between categorical variables was used. Statistical significant p-value considered if less than 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 141 patients undergoing regular hemodialysis at dialysis center of Lahore General Hospital were included in the survey; out of which 98 (69.5%) were males and 43 (30.5%) were females. 89.4% of them were married and 10.6% were not. 24% of our participants had formal education and 75.8% were illiterate. The mean duration of dialysis in our study population was three to four years; of these 12% have been on hemodialysis for more than five years, 31.9% for 3-5 years, 31.2% for 1-3 years and 24.8% for less than a year.

Majority of the participants were found to be satisfied with the care provided at the dialysis center; except the time spent with doctor (58.2%), which makes it least satisfactory variable. While 36.9% of study population was not satisfied with the approach of staff towards their vascular access; major concern being cannulated by newer staff nurses who were not trained well-enough. Most of the patients were satisfied with other aspects of hospital care, as shown in Fig.1.

Majority (89.4%) were satisfied with the knowledge provided to them about self-care, whereas about 19.1% of cohort was not satisfied with the knowledge provided to them about hemodialysis and its possible complications.
Quality of life: Total 69.5% (98 patients) were employed (male 87.7%, female 12.3%). 6.3% were government employees, 36.1% private employees, 26.9% self-employed, house wife were 21.9%, retired (8.5%) while remaining 43(30.5%) were jobless. Majority (61.7%) were low income (earning less than 10,000 per month). Transportation cost was less than 500 rupees for 73.5% of our studied population.

However, 54.1% of employed patients were unable to earn due to their disease, decreasing the net income of the family and leading to financial troubles. Even among those who were able to work despite ESRD, 80% had to take the day off on the day of dialysis.

Social: The financial burden and debilitating illness did not cause separation/divorce from spouse for any of our participants; but led to more frequent scuffles between them. In our unmarried population it has led to difficulty in starting new relationships; 40% of them don’t want to start any new relationship, 40% were facing difficulties while searching for life partners and dialysis dependence lead to broken engagement for 20% them. Similarly, 15.6% of patients were having difficulty in their relationship with their friends. Overall, 97.9% of population is satisfied with the psychological and emotional support provided to them by their families.

Regarding personal satisfaction, 85-87% was satisfied in choosing dialysis and its effectiveness, 20.6% of the cohort has not yet accepted hemodialysis as a long term treatment leading to the regret that they should not have started dialysis in the first place, because lack of prognostic awareness.

DISCUSSION
The condition of individuals with Chronic kidney Disease, who require either of the two types of renal replacement therapy (dialysis or transplant), is referred to as the end-stage kidney disease. The prevalence of ESRD seem to double every 10 years.11

Hemodialysis is the most frequently used treatment for ESRD. It has massive impact on lives of patient as they have to visit hospital twice or thrice per week, even more than that in some cases, forcing them to spend significant amount of their time in the hospital so the care provided there, the psychological support from the healthcare providers plays significant role in improving the quality of their lives.12

Our most of patients were illiterate 75.8% (may read and write), 16.3% were educated from primary to matric and 7.8% above matric, comparing to Al-Abri R et al.13 48.1% can read and write and 26.6% having primary schooling.

Patient’s satisfaction to provided hospital care is 82.56%, which is higher than many other studies across world i.e 41%, 50% and 47% observed by Park, Bayoumi M (Egypt) and Sharma M respectively14-16 but almost equal to AL-Jumaih A in Saudi Arabia (81.5%).17 However 36.9% of patients were not satisfied with healthcare staff especially vascular access and cannulation by untrained or younger staff nurse which is higher than Ndambuki J and Door (Sudan) 23.8% & 11.4% respectively.18,2 Satisfaction regarding time spent with doctors is 58% which is comparable 64.6% by Magda Bayoumi.15

Majority of our study participants (90-100%) accepted of the services provided by health care
professionals like response of nursing staff to patient’s pain and discomfort during the process of hemodialysis, attitude and commitment of doctors in dealing with emergency situations like fits, hypo/hypertension, and arrhythmias during hemodialysis.

About 54.1% of employed patients showed their financial concerns due to their inability to earn because of their illness. About 80% patients had to take off from their work on the day of dialysis which poses economic burden on them especially on those with working on daily wages. Though dialysis provided in the studied facility was free of cost with little or almost no expenditure on additional medication (like erythropoietin, iron supplementation or antibiotics if needed) and nutritional supplements used during dialysis, but still large number of patients mentioned the importance of appropriate income in their lives and the various troubles they have to face due to their financial constraints. As majority of our patients were low incomes (61.7%) similar observation noted by Anees et al.\(^1\) Thus financial support of these patients and their families by the government and social welfare organizations may play an important role in improving the quality of life of these patients.

Regarding personal satisfaction level in choosing hemodialysis as a life-long treatment modality, it was found that patients mostly rely on their health care professionals/doctors in decision of dialysis modality. Lack of adequate discussions and guidance affect patient’s well-being both in the form of social and financial aspects.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^1\) Almost 85.1% of our studied cohort revealed their satisfaction in choosing hemodialysis as a life-long treatment option with 87.1% revealing it as an effective mode of treatment. But 20.6% of these patients showed their regret in considering hemodialysis as treatment option to their ailment, similar observation noted by Saeed F.\(^2\)\(^2\)

Stress is generally more in patients with any chronic illness than general healthy individuals which also affect their relationships with family members, spouse and friends.\(^3\) While all of our married patients revealed contentment in their social and personal relationships such as with their spouses and family members, which had positive impact on their life and health quality. However, 40% of the unmarried studied population revealed facing problems in establishing new relationships which led to increased anxiety and depression among these patients thereby hindering their quality of lives.

**Limitation to the study:** Lack of anonymity lead to decreased response rate from the patients thereby biasing the results. Also data was collected over a short duration of time, if it had been collected over years a subtler response could have been achieved.

**CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Most patients were satisfied with their decision of opting hemodialysis as treatment and care provided at dialysis centre, although Quality of Life was badly affected in terms of financial and psycho-social aspects. Employed, married with good income have good quality of life. Loopholes of unit environment and health education were also exposed. Despite the medical advancement and emerging techniques to make dialysis better, the outcome of hemodialysis has yet to reach a safe level and more work should be done to improve patient’s outcome.
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