Checkpoints of apicomplexan cell division identified in *Toxoplasma gondii*

Carmelo A. Alvarez, Elena S. Suvorova*

Department of Global Health and the Florida Center for Drug Discovery and Innovation, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, United States of America

*essuvorova@gmail.com

Abstract

The unusual cell cycles of Apicomplexa parasites are remarkably flexible with the ability to complete cytokinesis and karyokinesis coordinately or postpone cytokinesis for several rounds of chromosome replication, and are well recognized. Despite this surprising biology, the molecular machinery required to achieve this flexibility is largely unknown. In this study, we provide comprehensive experimental evidence that apicomplexan parasites utilize multiple Cdk-related kinases (Crks) to coordinate cell division. We determined that *Toxoplasma gondii* encodes seven atypical P-, H-, Y- and L-type cyclins and ten Crks to regulate cellular processes. We generated and analyzed conditional tet-OFF mutants for seven TgCrks and four TgCyclins that are expressed in the tachyzoite stage. These experiments demonstrated that TgCrk1, TgCrk2, TgCrk4 and TgCrk6, were required or essential for tachyzoite growth revealing a remarkable number of Crk factors that are necessary for parasite replication. G1 phase arrest resulted from the loss of cytoplasmic TgCrk2 that interacted with a P-type cyclin demonstrating that an atypical mechanism controls half the *T. gondii* cell cycle. We showed that *T. gondii* employs at least three TgCrks to complete mitosis. Novel kinases, TgCrk6 and TgCrk4 were required for spindle function and centrosome duplication, respectively, while TgCrk1 and its partner TgCycL were essential for daughter bud assembly. Intriguingly, mitotic kinases TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 did not interact with any cyclin tested and were instead dynamically expressed during mitosis indicating they may not require a cyclin timing mechanism. Altogether, our findings demonstrate that apicomplexan parasites utilize distinctive and complex mechanisms to coordinate their novel replicative cycles.

Author summary

Apicomplexan parasites are unicellular eukaryotes that replicate in unusual ways different from their multicellular hosts. From a single infection, different apicomplexans can produce as few as two or up to many hundreds of progeny. How these flexible division cycles are regulated is poorly understood. In the current study we have defined the major mechanisms controlling the growth of the *Toxoplasma gondii* acute pathogenic stage called the tachyzoite. We show that *T. gondii* tachyzoites require not only multiple protein kinases to coordinate chromosome replication and the assembly of new daughter parasites, but...
also each kinase has unique responsibilities. By contrast, the mammalian cell that \textit{T. gondii} infects requires far fewer kinase regulators to complete cell division, which suggests that these parasites have unique vulnerabilities. The increased complexity in parasite cell cycle controls likely evolved from the need to adapt to different hosts and the need to construct the specialized invasion apparatus in order to invade those hosts.

\textbf{Introduction}

Obligate intracellular parasites of the phylum Apicomplexa are responsible for many important diseases in humans and animals, including malaria, toxoplasmosis and cryptosporidiosis. Severity of the disease is tightly linked to parasite burden, and currently, the most successful therapies block parasite proliferation. Apicomplexan parasites use flexible mechanisms to replicate that are different than those of their hosts. In endodyogeny each round of duplication is completed with assembly of two internal daughters \cite{1}. Alternatively, multiple buds can be formed inside of the mother or emerge from its surface in the processes called, endopolygeny and schizogony, respectively \cite{2}. \textit{Toxoplasma gondii} undergoes endodyogeny in intermediate host stages, but replicates by endopolygeny in the definitive feline host. Fundamental differences between division modes are embedded in the features of the apicomplexan cell cycle comprised of two chromosome cycles \cite{2, 3}. During nuclear cycles, chromosomes are replicated and segregated without budding, while each round of chromosome replication in the budding cycle leads to production of the daughter parasites. Ultimately, the number of nuclear cycles determines the scale of the parasite progeny. We recently showed that the ability to switch between chromosome cycles is partially linked to the unique bipartite structure of the \textit{T. gondii} centrosome \cite{3}. Weakening or separation of the outer centrosomal core that controls budding favors the nuclear cycle, while the strong association of the outer core with the inner core promotes cytokinesis and the budding cycle \cite{3}.

Endodyogeny of \textit{T. gondii} tachyzoites represents one the simplest modes of replication and important cell cycle transition points where potential checkpoints may operate have been defined \cite{2, 4–19}. The G1 phase of \textit{T. gondii} endodyogeny comprises half of the division cycle, and like other eukaryotes, canonical housekeeping tasks preparing for S phase commitment are performed in the apicomplexan G1 period \cite{4, 9, 13, 15, 18, 19}. Evidence also indicates that cell cycle exit to form dormant developmental stages as well as drug-induced dormancy is controlled by mechanisms acting at the transition from M/C into early G1 \cite{4, 20, 21}. Duplication of the centrosome marks the transition from G1 to S phase \cite{3, 6, 15, 17}, and we have defined some of the components of this critical transition in \textit{T. gondii} that should be targets of a G1/S checkpoint mechanism \cite{17}. A peculiar feature of apicomplexan replication is the short (or absent) G2 phase \cite{1, 2}, that is thought to be marked by natural S phase populations that possess partially duplicated genomes (1.7–1.8N DNA) \cite{1, 13}. Resolving the molecular basis for this important transition should help solve the mystery of these unusual DNA distributions. During the apicomplexan mitosis numerous specialized structures are replicated, built or converted in precise order to produce healthy infectious daughters (for review see \cite{2, 22}). Our previous work and the studies of others have established that duplication of the bipartite centrosome is coordinated with division of the centrocone that holds the mitotic spindle \cite{3, 6, 10, 23}, and also with the replication and segregation of the bundled centromeres \cite{23, 24}, which in turn, is synchronized with assembly of the basal and apical complexes of the future daughter \cite{25–28}. The temporal-spatial coordination of these overlapping processes likely requires similarly complex regulatory machinery, for which the molecular basis is still largely unknown.
In eukaryotes, cell cycle progression is governed by the activity of cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks) and their regulatory cofactors, cyclins [29, 30]; dynamic expression of the latter provides clockwise control of Cdk function. Cdk4/6-cyclin D complexes support the progression of G1 phase, and Cdk2 complexes with cyclins E, A and B govern the progression and fidelity of DNA replication in S phase and chromosome segregation in mitosis [31]. Cdks functions were originally thought to be restricted to cell cycle regulation, however, today we understand that activated Cdk-cyclin complexes are master regulators of such major biological processes as transcription, RNA processing, translation and development [30]. Extrapolating current models of cell cycle checkpoints that involve Cdk-cyclins to eukaryotes in general is challenging, as there are many branches of the eukaryotic tree where cell division is quite unusual and the molecular controls are likely to be different [29]. This includes the large group of obligate intracellular parasites from the phylum Apicomplexa. Mining the initial genomes of important disease causing apicomplexans has revealed major differences [1, 32–34] characterized by the reduction of components and also the complete absence of the key regulatory elements, including canonical cyclins [35], major cell cycle Cdks [33, 34] and their immediate downstream effectors [1]. The lack of conserved cell cycle factors of higher eukaryotes indicates there are significant changes in the cell cycle molecular machinery of these ancient protozoa. Here we describe the first comprehensive study of Cdk-related kinases (Crks) and cyclins in *T. gondii*. Our results demonstrate that, unlike the traditional eukaryotic cell cycle, the intricate division of apicomplexan parasites is regulated by multiple essential Crks acting independently at several critical transitions and in unusual spatial contexts.

**Results**

*Toxoplasma gondii* possesses multiple divergent Cdk-related kinases

To determine the core Cdk-cyclin complexes that regulate division in *T. gondii*, we systematically searched the parasite genome and identified ten genes encoding a kinase domain that included a cyclin-binding sequence (C-helix) (S1 Fig) [30]. Following standard convention, we named these factors Cdk-related kinases (Crks) until cyclin-dependent activation of the kinase can be established [36, 37]. *T. gondii* Crks (TgCrks) were a diverse group of proteins ranging from 34 to 212kDa (S1A Fig) that also varied in mRNA abundance (S1B Fig). *T. gondii* transcriptome data (ToxoDB) indicated that eight TgCrks were expressed in tachyzoites and/or bradyzoites, and mRNA profiles for two kinases, TgCrk2-L1 and TgCrk5-L1 indicated they were restricted to the definitive or environmental life cycle stages; merozoite and/or sporozoite (ToxoDB). The profiles of TgCrk4, TgCrk5 and TgCrk6 mRNAs were cyclical in tachyzoites (S1B Fig) [4], which was confirmed at the protein level for TgCrk4\(^{HA}\) and TgCrk6\(^{HA}\) by endogenous epitope tagging (S3 Fig). The dynamic cell cycle regulation of the three TgCrk factors differs significantly from the typical constitutive expression of Cdks of studied model eukaryotes [38, 39].

Phylogenetic analysis of TgCrks defined the general Cdk families present in the Apicomplexa phylum [34]. Putative Crks from *T. gondii*, *P. falciparum* [33, 34], *Theileria annulata* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* were compared to the ancestral free-living unicellular eukaryote *Chromera velia*, and the extensively studied Cdks of human cells. The analysis sorted ten TgCrks into eight general phylogenetic clades with five groups restricted to the superphylum Alveolata (Fig 1, pink shade): TgCrk5 and TgCrk5-L1 were apicomplexan adaptations. Kinases in three other clades were shared with a recognizable higher eukaryotic...
counterpart that included several kinases known to regulate cell cycle and gene expression. Specifically, TgCrk1 grouped with the Cdk11 family kinases that regulate mRNA synthesis and maturation (Fig 1, green shade), while TgCrk7 was similar to the Cdk-activating kinase (CAK), HmCdk7 (Fig 1, yellow shade). Lastly, TgCrk2 clustered with the family of eukaryotic cell cycle regulators, in particular, with neuronal HmCdk5 (Fig 1, light blue shade).

Fig 1. Phylogenetic analysis of *T. gondii* Crks. Protein sequences of Cdk-related kinases from *T. gondii* (Tg), *P. falciparum* (Pf), *T. annulata* (TA), *C. parvum* (Cdg), *C. velia* (Ovel), and Cdks from human cells (Hs) were analyzed in MEGA 7. Branch support was determined in 100 bootstrap replicates and the nodes supported by higher than 50% value are indicated with a blue circle. The results show that three Cdk families are shared between Alveolates and higher eukaryotes: *T. gondii* TgCrk2 was grouped with cell cycle Cdk5 family kinases (blue shadow), TgCrk1 was clustered with transcriptional kinases of Cdk11 family (green shadow) and TgCrk7 appeared distantly related to the CAK complex component Cdk7 (yellow shadow). Note that *T. gondii* does not encode canonical G1 Cdk4/6 family (teal shadow). Clusters that lack higher eukaryotic counterparts were labeled as ancestral/novel and are indicated with a pink shadow. The majority of *T. gondii* Crks were clustered in ancestral groups.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483.g001
Conditional knockdown of *Toxoplasma gondii* Cdk-related kinases

To define the function of TgCrks, we constructed tet-OFF conditional knockdown mutants by replacing the native promoter with a tetracycline-regulatable promoter in the Tati-RHALku80 strain [24, 40]. Each kinase was concurrently tagged with a 3xHA-epitope fused to the N-terminus (S1D Fig, diagram). We successfully generated tet-OFF mutants for seven TgCrks demonstrating that promoter replacement and N-terminal HA-tagging were remarkably tolerated in the tachyzoites (Fig 2 and S1F Fig). The only other TgCrk factor expressed in tachyzoites is TgCrk5, which is the subject of another project and was not studied here (Naumov and White, personal communication). Immunofluorescence assays (IFA) of HA-TgCrks determined that most of these kinases were predominantly nuclear in tachyzoites (Fig 2, -ATc conditions) with the exception of HA-TgCrk2, which was expressed throughout the cell, and HA-TgCrk4, which was exclusively localized to the cytoplasm. Despite sharing the same tet-OFF promoter, individual HA-TgCrks showed a wide range of protein abundance that closely matched the expression level of the factors regulated by their native promoters, indicating there are major post-transcriptional mechanisms controlling TgCrk levels in tachyzoites (S1E Fig).

The HA-TgCrk proteins were all successfully down regulated by a 24 h incubation with 1μg/ml anhydrotetracycline (ATc) (Fig 2, IFA and Western blot analysis). Exploiting the ATc-induced conditional knockdown, we determined by plaque growth assay (Fig 2) that four TgCrks were essential, two were required for tachyzoite growth and only TgCrk8 was dispensable. Quantitative growth rates (24 h) for the TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 tet-OFF mutants with or without ATc treatment confirmed that TgCrk4 was required and TgCrk6 was essential for tachyzoite growth (S1F Fig). IFA analysis determined that ATc-induced growth arrest of TgCrk3 and TgCrk7 tet-OFF mutants was cell cycle independent (Fig 2 and S2B Fig) consistent with the potential role in regulating general cellular processes required around the entire cell cycle. Recent studies of TgCrk7, and its *P. falciparum* ortholog Pfmrk, implicated a role in transcriptional regulation [41, 42] and PfCRK3 kinase complexes were associated with chromatin-dependent regulation of gene expression [37]. Based on parasite morphology, the loss of TgCrk2 appeared to block tachyzoites in the G1 phase and knockdown of TgCrk1, TgCrk4 or TgCrk6 resulted in extensive mitotic and cytoskeletal defects, consistent with growth arrest in the S/M/C half of the cell cycle (S2A Fig). These four kinases were selected for further characterization in this study.

Determining the function of cyclins in *Toxoplasma gondii*

In general cyclins are poorly conserved, although the presence of a cyclin box and one or more destruction motifs permits these genes to be identified by genome mining. Utilizing this approach we identified seven novel cyclin factors in the *T. gondii* genome (S4A Fig) [35]. Only cyclins related to P-, H-, L- and Y- types were found, while no canonical A-, B-, D-, and E-types, that are vital to higher eukaryotic cell division, were identified [43]. Based on the extensive transcriptome data (see ToxoDB), five of the seven TgCyclins appeared to be expressed in tachyzoites (log² RMA value higher then 6, S4B Fig). To determine expression and localization of TgCyclins in tachyzoites, we epitope tagged the C-terminus of TgCycH, TgCycL, TgCycY with a 3xHA-epitope by genetic knock-in. IFA analysis showed that TgCycH¹HA, TgCycL¹HA and TgCycY¹HA were moderately expressed and localized to the nucleus in tachyzoites (Fig 3A), and remarkably, TgCycY¹HA was the only oscillating cyclin with peak expression in the G1 phase (Fig 3B). To visualize the lower abundant cyclin, TgPHO80, we engineered transgenic parasites ectopically expressing TgPHO80 that was N-terminally tagged with a 3xmyc epitope fused to a FKBP destabilization domain (DDmyc), which permits conditional expression using the small molecule Shield 1 [15, 44]. After 3 h stabilization with Shield 1 (100nM),
Fig 2. Conditional expression of *T. gondii* Crks. The upper schematic illustrates anhydrotetracycline (ATc) mediated control of gene expression in the tet-OFF system. In the absence of ATc the tetracycline transactivator (tTA) binds to the tet-operator (tetO) maintaining the active transcription of the gene of interest (GOI). Downregulation of the GOI product is achieved by transcriptional repression with ATc that prevents tTA binding to tet-operators incorporated into the tet-OFF promoter of the GOI. IFA images on the left show predominant
DD<sup>myc</sup>TgPHO80 was found in large cytoplasmic speckles (Fig 3A), which was the only cyclin exclusively localized to the tachyzoite cytoplasm. Utilizing a similar knockdown approach as was used for the TgCrks (S1D Fig), we successfully converted by genetic knock-in four TgCyclin genes to tet-OFF mutant alleles: TgPHO80, TgCycH, TgCycL and TgCycY (Fig 3C, Western Blot analysis). ATc-knockdown of TgPHO80 slowed the rate of replication (S4C Fig) and increased the fraction of parasites with a single centrosome (76.5% ± 9.8 vacuoles after 48 h with 1 μg/ml ATc compared to 49% ± 1 vacuoles in -ATc conditions) indicating the G1 phase was lengthened by the loss of TgPHO80. The ATc-induced depletion of nuclear cyclins, TgCycL and TgCycH, caused tachyzoite growth arrest. TgCycL deficiency led to mitotic death (S2A Fig) and knockdown of TgCycH resulted in a quick non-specific growth arrest (Fig 3C and S2B Fig). The conditional knockdown of TgCycY with ATc demonstrated this cyclin was not essential for tachyzoite growth (Fig 3C and growth quantification in S4C Fig).

TgCrk2 and P-type cyclin regulate the tachyzoite G1 phase

The conditional knockdown of the tet-OFF TgCrk2 mutant appeared to cause a cell cycle arrest in G1, which we confirmed by analyzing centrosome duplication. As expected, the majority (~80%) of TgCrk2-depleted parasites (+ATc) possessed a single centrosome (Fig 4A) indicative of G1 phase arrest. Since canonical G1 cyclins (e.g. D- and E- type) [29] were absent from the <i>T. gondii</i> genome, it was of particular interest to identify the <i>T. gondii</i> cyclin that binds TgCrk2. We genetically engineered a series of dual epitope-tagged strains by first knocking-in three HA epitope tag into the <i>TgCrk2</i> gene followed by stable ectopic expression of four different TgCyclins that were epitope tagged with 3xmyc (see S1 Table for list of transgenic strains and Material and methods for production details). TgCrk2 protein complexes were affinity purified with antibody against the epitope tag and then probed with alternative antibody to define the interacting cyclin. We detected a weak interaction between TgCrk2 and TgCycH (Fig 4B) confirming previous yeast two-hybrid screens [45], however, TgCrk2<sup>HA</sup> formed the most abundant complexes with cyclin TgPHO80 (Fig 4B), which corroborates the G1 phenotype we observed for the TgPHO80 tet-OFF mutant following ATc-knockdown (Fig 3C and S4C Fig).

TgCrk1 and its cyclin partner TgCycL regulate assembly of the daughter scaffold

Conditional loss of TgCrk1 in the tet-OFF mutant resulted in severe chromosome mis-segregation and accumulation of deformed zoites (Fig 2). To more precisely define the TgCrk1-loss phenotype, we focused on the early mitotic steps just prior to daughter bud formation. The MORN1 protein associates with two compartments that are duplicated in mitosis, the spindle compartment (centrocone) and basal complex referred to here as the MORN-ring (Fig 5A,
Fig 3. Conditional expression of *T. gondii* cyclins in the tet-OFF model. (A) IFA analysis of TgCyclins localization. Tagged at the genomic locus TgCycH<sup>HA</sup>, TgCycL<sup>HA</sup> and TgCycY<sup>HA</sup> were visualized using α-HA antibody (green). Due to low native expression level, localization of ectopically expressed DD<sup>myc</sup>TgPHO80 is shown after a 3 h induction with 100nM Shield1. (B) TgCycY<sup>HA</sup> is dynamically expressed in G1 stage. Yellow dotted line indicates individual vacuoles. The cell cycle stage was determined based on intensity of the nuclear staining (DAPI, blue). (C) Transgenic tet-OFF clones of TgCyclins were established with alternative 3xHA or myc tags as described in Material and Methods and in the S1D Fig. IFA images show a representative vacuole after 24 h growth with 1μg/ml ATc. Parasite shape and nucleus were visualized with α-IMC1 (red) and DAPI (blue) staining, respectively. ATc-induced downregulation of the TgCyclins was confirmed by Western blot analysis using α-Tubulin A staining as a loading control. Results of the plaque assays are shown after 6 days growth with 1μg/ml ATc (DIC panel on the right). The percentage is the number of plaques formed relative to no ATc condition. The phenotype of TgPHO80 and TgCycL deficient parasites suggested the factors’ involvement in the cell cycle regulation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483.g003
Utilizing the MORN1 marker, we determined that in parasites lacking TgCrk1 (+ATc for 16 h) the development of the daughter MORN1 rings was defective (Fig 5A and 5B, compare image a to c, e). Deficiency of the basal complex became more evident when newly produced alveolar sacs (Fig 5A and 5B, image f) accumulated near fragmented MORN-rings in the late stages of mitosis (Fig 5A and 5B image e). In addition, the normal coordination of mitosis with cytokinesis failed and the IMC compartment appeared as an unstructured mass (Fig 5A and 5B, image h). In addition, TgCrk1 deficiency affected the structural integrity of the tachyzoite apical end (Fig 5C). Following ATc treatment the robust cone-shaped apical cap (ISP1 staining) became a weak rod-like or lopsided structure that caps a deformed IMC1-positive mass (Fig 5C). Despite severe defects in mitosis caused by the loss of TgCrk1, the duplication and segregation of centromeres, centrosomes and the plastid (S5A Fig) and nuclear division were evident (Fig 5A, image i). Altogether these results indicate that TgCrk1 primarily regulates cytokinesis but not karyokinesis.

Constitutively expressed TgCrk1^{Ty} (S5B Fig) and TgCycL_{HA} are similarly localized and knockdown phenotypes of TgCycL and TgCrk1 tet-OFF mutant parasites were also similar (Figs 2 and 3 and S2A Fig), indicating these two proteins may be paired in *T. gondii*. Indeed, co-IP experiments using the dual epitope-tagging approach employed with TgCrk2 above,
Fig 5. Loss of TgCrk1 that forms a stable sub-nuclear complex with TgCycL leads to abnormal assembly of the daughter cytoskeleton. (A) Cytological defects of TgCrk1 tet-OFF mutant were analyzed and quantified by using antibodies against the basal complex marker MORN1 (red), cytoskeletal marker IMC1 (green) and nuclear staining DAPI (blue). The guide panel shows schematics of the parasites captured in IFA images (#) and parasite features are summarized in the description panel. During normal mitosis depicted in the –ATc images, membrane protein MORN1 localizes to the duplicated centrocone surrounded by MORN ring, a basal complex of the future daughter. Centrocene duplication is accompanied by assembly of the deficient MORN rings. Disorganized fragmented MORN rings, daughter IMC Sacs accumulated on fragmented MORN rings. Nucleus divided cytoskeletal mass is disconnected from the cellular content of the parasite. (B) Vacuoles (%). (C) Daughter’s apical complex is mis-shaped and underdeveloped. (D) IP: α-HA TgCrk1, TgPHO80, TgCycH, TgCycL, TgCycY. (E) TgCrk1HA, TgCycLmuc, merge.
mother basal complex, intranuclear spindle compartment centrocone, and to the attached MORN rings (image a). Downregulation of TgCrk1 with 1 μg/ml ATc for 16 h resulted in incomplete assembly (image c) or fragmentation (image e) of the MORN rings and associated accumulation of IMC sacs (image f). Fully arrested vacuoles shown in the bottom panel (24 h +ATc) exhibit a catastrophic phenotype; the chaotic daughter cytoskeletal mass (image h) disconnected from parasite cytoplasm. (B) Vacuoles undergoing proper budding (normal buds) or containing parasites displaying cytological defects depicted in the images c-f (piled IMC1/MOR1), or in the images g and h (cytological defects) were quantified in the TgCrk1 tet-OFF populations grown with or without 1 μg/ml ATc for 24 h. Results are plotted on the graph. Statistically different values are indicated with asterisks (** < 0.01; *** < 0.001). (C) TgCrk1 tet-OFF mutant was stained with α-ISP1 antibodies to monitor changes in the apical complex. Image on the left illustrates proper expression and localization of the marker in S/M tachyzoites (-ATc). Downregulation of TgCrk1 (+ATc) caused severe morphological changes in the apical cone and reduced expression of ISP1 protein. Dotted line indicates boundary of the mis-shaped parasite. Major abnormalities are labeled and indicated by double-headed arrows. (D) TgCrk1-TgCyclin complexes were immunoprecipitated from parasites co-expressing TgCrk1HA and myc-tagged TgPHO80, TgCycl, TgCycY and TgCycH (used transgenic strains are listed in S1 Table). The soluble fraction before [In] and after immunoprecipitation [DF], and protein complexes on the beads [IP] were probed with α-myC antibody to detect TgCyclins and with α-HA antibody to verify TgCrk1 pulldown (top panel). The results revealed a dominant TgCrk1-TgCycL complex. (E) Dual-tagged parasites expressing TgCrk1HA and TgCycLmyc were stained with a α-HA (green) and α-myc (red) antibodies. Proteins display similar localization patterns with particular accumulation in the nuclear sub-compartment (insert, arrow).

confirmed preferential interaction of TgCrk1 with TgCycl (Fig 5D). Furthermore, IFA of the dual-tagged strain co-expressing TgCrk1HA and TgCyclmyc revealed tight co-localization of these factors in a unique sub-nuclear compartment (Fig 5E) that was independent of the nucleolus (TgNF3, [47]), centromere-compartment (TgCenH3, [23]), centrocone (MORN1, [26]), or nascent particles containing the RNA polymerase complex (TgRPB4, [48]) (S5C Fig).

Apicomplexa-specific TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 regulate mitosis in tachyzoites

Conditional knockdown of TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 tet-OFF mutants also caused severe mitotic defects (Fig 2 and S2A Fig) indicating that similar to TgCrk1, these Apicomplexa-specific kinases function in the second half of the tachyzoite cell cycle. IFA analysis of endogenously tagged TgCrk6HA and TgCrk4HA determined that these proteins have different subcellular localization (Fig 2). TgCrk4HA was distributed in large cytoplasmic aggregates with accumulation in the apical perinuclear region (S3C Fig), while TgCrk6HA extended its nuclear localization to the centromeric region (S3D Fig, CenH3 and Centrin1 staining) [23].

To build clues to TgCrk6 function, we performed detailed IFA analysis following short term ATc treatment (16 h) using the MORN1 (mitotic structures) and IMC1 (cytoskeleton) cell cycle markers. The normal assembly of the daughter scaffold is initiated in late S phase followed by duplication/separation of the centrocone (Fig 6A, -ATc) [2, 3]. Cytokinesis progressed in TgCrk6-deficient parasites, yet the centrocone spindle compartment was not properly duplicated as evidenced by the single MORN1-positive dot positioned between two growing daughter buds (Fig 6A, +ATc). Other evidence supported TgCrk6 function in karyokinetic processes. ATc-downregulation of TgCrk6 disrupted the usual dynamics of kinetochores visualized by co-staining of the kinetochore complex component, TgNdc80myc, and acetylated Tubulin A that labels active sites of the microtubule assembly including spindle and internal daughters (Fig 6B) [24]. In normal parasites, the TgNdc80myc signal largely disappeared at mid-bud development (Fig 6B, -ATc image e). By contrast, TgCrk6 deficient parasites (+ATc) retained single assembled kinetochores well into the budding process (Fig 6B, image g). Longer term ATc incubations (>24 h) amplified the loss of coordination between cytokinesis and karyokinesis leading to the catastrophic phenotype of severe DNA mis-segregation and assembly of buds lacking DNA shown in Fig 2. These results combined with the observed accumulation of the nuclear TgCrk6HA in the centromeric region during peak expression in S/M phase (S3D Fig) supported a key role for TgCrk6 in T. gondii spindle

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483.g005
Fig 6. Nuclear TgCrk6 regulates spindle biology in tachyzoites. (A) TgCrk6 tet-OFF mutant (*-basal complex, cc-centrocone) parasites were grown in the absence (upper row) or presence of 1 μg/ml ATc for 16 h (bottom row) and analyzed by IFA using α-MORN1 (red, centrocone and basal complex) and α-IMC1 (green, parasite shape and internal buds) antibody. Chromosome dynamics was detected by DAPI staining (blue). Downregulation of TgCrk6 (+ATc) led to an inability of the centrocone compartment to duplicate during mitosis. Quantification of centrocones revealed a decrease in duplicated centrocones in ATc treated (+ATc) parasites.
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Fig 6. Nuclear TgCrk6 regulates spindle biology in tachyzoites. (A) TgCrk6 tet-OFF mutant parasites were grown in the absence (upper row) or presence of 1 μg/ml ATc for 16 h (bottom row) and analyzed by IFA using α-MORN1 (red, centrocone and basal complex) and α-IMC1 (green, parasite shape and internal buds) antibody. Chromosome dynamics was detected by DAPI staining (blue). Downregulation of TgCrk6 (+ATc) led to an inability of the centrocone compartment to duplicate during mitosis. The guide panel includes a cartoon and a description of the analyzed structures and observed deficiencies. Single (red) and duplicated (blue) centrocones (α-MORN1) were quantified in 25–50 randomly selected budding vacuoles (α-IMC1) (for raw cell cycle Crks and cyclins in T. gondii).
Similar deficiency to split a spindle was recently described in the knockdown mutant of the distantly related *P. falciparum* CRK4 (Fig 1) [49]. Similar to TgCrk6, knockdown of TgCrk4 caused defects in mitosis (Fig 2), although TgCrk4 differed from TgCrk6 in being localized to the cytoplasm rather than the nucleus (S3B versus S3D Fig). This difference led us to examine the role of TgCrk4 in regulating the cytoplasmic components of the mitotic machinery. Asexual stages of *T. gondii* divide by enclosed mitosis (as do most apicomplexans) that coordinates attachment of nuclear centromeres to kinetochores/spindle and to a unique centrosome containing two independent functioning core structures [3, 22]. Consistent with a role in controlling mitosis through cytoplasmic structures, down regulation of TgCrk4 with 1 μg/ml ATc led to defective duplication of both centrosomal cores (Centrin1/outer core and CEP250/myc/inner core), but did not affect centromere duplication/segregation (CenH3 marker) or nuclear division (Fig 7A). Interestingly, plastid segregation, which is controlled by the centrosome [50], was also defective in parasites lacking TgCrk4 (Fig 7A, TgAtrx1 marker). Although TgCrk4-deficient parasites showed abnormal centrosome replication (under and over reduplication) (Fig 7A and 7B), we did not observe uncoupling of the centrosome cores (Fig 7C) as we have documented in some temperature sensitive mutants [3]. Moreover, we found that centrosome re-duplication occurred around assembled kinetochores (Fig 7D) despite the disruption in normal centrosome stoichiometry. The proper ratios of centrosome to kinetochore observed in a regular tachyzoite mitosis are established (Fig 7D, -ATc; 2:1 images a, b; 2:2 images c, d; 2:0 images e, f). By contrast, down regulation of TgCrk4 led to abnormal stoichiometry of 4 centrosomes to 2 assembled kinetochores (Fig 7D, +ATc, images g, h). Further examination revealed that only one of the reduplicated centrosomes remained associated with the nucleus (Fig 7C, inset), which may explain why the loss of TgCrk4 did not lead to unregulated karyokinesis. Altogether, our results support the role of TgCrk4 kinase in the regulation of centrosome duplication and segregation during mitosis within the context of other essential mitotic regulatory controls such as TgCrk6 above.

Interestingly, TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 were cyclically expressed during tachyzoite replication (S3 Fig) with the peak expression in S/M phase consistent with functions in regulating mitotic processes. In higher eukaryotes, mitotic Cdk activity is typically controlled by an oscillating cyclin partner, while the Cdk protein is constitutive [29, 38, 39]. To identify the cyclin partners for TgCrk4 and TgCrk6, we performed co-IPs from dual tagged strains expressing TgCrk4HA or TgCrk6HA and four different ectopically expressed TgCyclins (See Material and methods). No detectable interaction between the TgCyclins tested and TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 was observed (S4D Fig) suggesting *T. gondii* may have become dependent on direct mechanisms of dynamic expression to regulate mitotic TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 factors leading to the loss of a periodic activating cyclin partner.

**Discussion**

The molecular basis of cell cycle regulation in eukaryotes has been mainly shaped by studies in one branch of eukaryotes, Unikonta that includes the clades animalia, fungi and amoebas [29].
**Fig 7. Loss of cytoplasmic TgCrk4 leads to abnormal centrosome duplication.**

(A) TgCrk4 deficiency affected duplication of the structures localized in the cytoplasm. TgCrk4 tet-OFF mutant parasites were treated with 1μg/ml ATc for 24 h and stained with α-IMC1 (surface), DAPI (DNA) and the markers of the following structures: centromere (α-TgCenH3), outer core of the centrosome (α-Centrin1) and apicoplast (α-TgAtrx1). To visualize inner core of centrosome, we introduced recombinant TgCEP250myc protein on the fosmid in TgCrk4 tet-OFF mutant and stained parasites with α-myc antibody. Dynamics of organelles and structures are indicated with red arrows.

| Structure       | Marker + DAPI                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Centrosome      | Centrin1                                          |
| Apicoplast      | TgAtrx1                                           |
| Centromere      | TgCenH3                                           |

(B) Bar graph showing vacuole formation.

- **ATc**
  - Abnormal vacuoles
  - With re-duplicated centrosomes
  - With under-duplicated centrosomes

- **+ATc**
  - Abnormal vacuoles
  - With re-duplicated centrosomes
  - With under-duplicated centrosomes
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and summarized in the Guide panel. General deficiencies caused by the loss of TgCrk4 are indicated with white arrows. (B) Quantification of the morphologically abnormal vacuoles (blue, determined by α-IMC1 and DAPI co-staining) containing under-duplicated (green) or over-duplicated (red) centrosomes (visualized by α-Centrin1 staining) in the TgCrk4 tet-OFF mutant grown without or with ATc for 20 h is shown on the bar graph (for raw data see S1 Table). Significant difference between two indicated conditions was verified by unpaired t-test that returned the p-value 0.02 (*). Note that centrosome re-duplication is a predominant defect and together with centrosome under-duplication affected about 30% of the TgCrk4 deficient population. (C) The re-duplicated centrosome of TgCrk4-deficient parasites preserved internal integrity. TgCrk4 tet-OFF mutant parasites co-expressing TgCEP250 were stained with α-Centrin1 (outer centrosomal core) and α-myc (TgCEP250myc, inner centrosomal core) after 16 h growth with or without 1 μg/ml ATc. White arrows indicate re-duplication of both centrosome cores in TgCrk4 deficient parasite. The enlarged merged image shows that only one of the centrosomes remains connected to the nucleus. (D) Reduplicated centrosomes are associated with assembled kinetochore in TgCrk4 deficient parasites. Transgenic TgCrk4 tet-OFF mutant expressing kinetochore marker TgNdc80myc was grown with or without 1 μg/ml ATc for 16 h and co-stained with α-Centrin1 (centrosome), α-myc (TgNdc80myc, kinetochore), and DAPI. In mitotic cells expressing TgCrk4 (-ATc), centrosome duplication and kinetochore assembly occurs once per chromosome cycle. Kinetochore are assembled after centrosome segregation (2 centrosomes: 1 kinetochore, images a, b), duplicated after the spindle break (2 centrosomes: 2 kinetochores, images c, d) and segregated/disassembled early in the budding (2 centrosomes: 0 kinetochores, images e, f). Lower panel (+ATc) shows vacuole of four TgCrk4-deficient parasites with three cells (white arrows) containing reduplicated centrosomes associated with assembled kinetochores (4 centrosomes: 2 kinetochores, images g, h). Number of structures per parasite is shown in each insert. Parasite shapes are outlined in the merge panel.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483.g007

This is a significant deficiency because the replication biology of eukaryotes from the Bikonta branch, comprised of the three supergroups, the Excavata, SAR (Stramenopiles, Alveolates and Rhizaria) and Archaeplastida, is quite extraordinary, if also beyond our reach experimentally [51–53]. From this point of view, our genetic analysis of T. gondii Cdk-related kinases and cyclins provides much needed insight into the cell cycle regulation of an ancient protzoan from the SAR supergroup. One of the core findings of this study is the surprising complexity and unusual regulation of cell cycle controls that are essential for Apicomplexa cell division. The results of multiple gene knockouts in higher eukaryotes reveals that a single active Cdk (Cdk1/2 family) is sufficient to sustain basic chromosome segregation in the somatic cells of both multicellular and unicellular eukaryotes [29, 54]. By contrast, this study and a second project in progress (TgCrk5 studies, Naumov and White, personal communication) have established that T. gondii requires five Crks to successfully regulate the peculiar parasite cell cycle called endodyogeny (Fig 8). Two Crks regulate centrosome duplication (TgCrk4) and organization of the daughter bud cytoskeleton (TgCrk1) during interwoven S, M and C phases. T. gondii has also evolved independent controls for the restriction or START checkpoint in G1 (TgCrk2), the DNA licensing checkpoint in S phase (TgCrk5, Naumov and White, personal communication) and the spindle assembly checkpoint (TgCrk6) acting at the metaphase to anaphase transition in mitosis (Fig 8). A recently published study of P. falciparum CRK4 confirms that cell cycle checkpoints are regulated by the related kinases across Apicomplexa phylum [49]. Similar to TgCrk6, nuclear PiCRK4 was dynamically expressed in S/M phase (late trophozoite to schizont during blood stage) and upon downregulation parasites lost the ability to split the spindle and, consequently properly segregate chromosomes (Fig 2b, f and g in [49]). The high number of the putative cell cycle checkpoints was unexpected and this favors a model of much tighter cell cycle regulation in the Apicomplexa than previously thought [1]. In fact, the lack of reversible and abundant catastrophic phenotypes observed in T. gondii cell cycle mutants [9], which was often interpreted as a lack of cell cycle controls, is likely a consequence of the complexity of this system. We propose that apicomplexan parasites evolved separate Crks for individual cell cycle stages to facilitate switches between flexible division modes [2, 3]. During the chromosome cycle of schizogony or endopolygeny, the G1 phase is completed only once and is uncoupled from the multiple rounds of the S/M phase, which are, in turn, uncoupled from the budding process until the very last unified S/M/C phase. Therefore, evolution of multiple Crks offers independent control of the segments, permitting modular
regulation of the complex cell cycles, yet, leaving an open question of the master regulator(s) of the switch.

Analyzing the *T. gondii* cell cycle we noticed multiple parallels in topology of the cell cycle regulation between apicomplexans and a few studied Bikonta models, particularly, plants. First, similar to plants, mitosis in apicomplexans is regulated by clade-specific Cdk5 (Fig 1) [49, 55]. Second, mitotic *TgCrk4*, *TgCrk5* (Naumov and White, personal communication), *TgCrk6* and *PfCRK4* [49] are dynamically expressed (protein and mRNA), which is a distinctive feature of the CDKB family kinases in plants [55, 56]. Third, similar to most Archaeplastida members, apicomplexan parasites do not possess or encode a highly diverged Cdc25 phosphatase ortholog (EupathDB) [56, 57], which primary function is to activate mitotic Cdns. Interestingly, based on the functional parallels between *Arabidopsis thaliana* CDKB1;1 and *Drosophila melanogaster* Cdc25, plant biologists proposed that the Cdc25-controlled onset of mitosis may have been evolutionary replaced by plant-specific B-type Cdk pathway [57]. Whether the similar scenario had taken place in Apicomplexa evolution will require further studies, involving broader analysis of the Bikonta organisms. Unfortunately, the current limitation of the bikont studies also does not permit us to make a definitive conclusion of whether the plant-like features of the Apicomplexan cell cycle were inherited at the time of the
Unikonta and Bikonta diversion or were the result of a secondary symbiosis of the Chromoalveolata and red algae [58].

The majority of characterized cell cycle cyclin-Cdk complexes are composed of constitutive and dynamic subunits with the cyclin generally the oscillating partner [38]. Consistent with the concept that functional topology is more important than the conservation of individual parts [59], we found that *T. gondii* cyclins differed from their high eukaryotic counterparts in being pre-dominantly constitutively expressed. Intriguingly, a single oscillating cyclin, TgCycY, was not essential for tachyzoite division nor did it interact with the seven TgCrks we analyzed (S4D Fig) and, therefore, a possible Cdk-independent role will need to be explored in future studies [60]. In fact, only two cell cycle complexes, TgCrk2-TgPHO80 and TgCrk1-TgCycL, were detected in which both subunits seem to be constitutively expressed in tachyzoites, suggesting mechanisms other than cyclin-binding that regulate cell cycle activity of TgCrk1 and TgCrk2. On the contrary, mitotic TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 are rare examples where Cdk-related kinases are dynamically expressed and not found in the complex with TgCyclins (S4D Fig). Given that the role of cyclin is to provide a temporal context to Cdk function, it is possible that *T. gondii* no longer needs cycling partners for TgCrk4 and TgCrk6. There is reason to speculate that constitutively expressing mitotic kinases might not be ideal given the complexity of the apicomplexan mitosis that is associated with the extensive *de novo* biosynthesis of the motility and invasion apparatus of daughter parasites [4]. Delivering the master conductors “just-in-time” would avoid accidentally triggering the cascade of mechanisms that unfold during mitosis and cytokinesis before the parasite is ready to egress. This hypothesis, however, cannot explain the absence of cyclin interaction with the constitutively expressed TgCrk3 and TgCrk8 (S4D Fig). Interestingly, our study revealed that the only *T. gondii* Crks that have orthologs in other eukaryotes (Fig 1, TgCrk1, TgCrk2 and TgCrk7) interacted with conventional cyclins that were also expressed in other eukaryotes (TgCycL, TgPHO80 and TgCycH). Since all the novel TgCrks were orphan, it is tempting to suggest that a non-cyclin factor may have co-evolved that acts as an oscillating component in the complexes with novel TgCrks. Alternatively, these unusual TgCrks may function without a cyclin partner. Future unbiased approaches will be needed to sort out these possibilities, and identify if there are other protein co-factors that have replaced the traditional cyclin partner.

Another core finding was the strong molecular support for the remarkable physical partitioning of Apicomplexan cell division functions. Similar to other apicomplexans, *T. gondii* divides by enclosed mitosis where a set of tethered structures localized in the nucleus or in the cytoplasm must be constructed/deconstructed (kinetochores, spindle microtubules and striated fibers), duplicated/segregated (bipartite centrosome and centrocone) or assembled (buds) in a timely manner to produce infectious progeny [2, 3, 8]. Moreover, in our previous study [3], we demonstrated that *T. gondii* has divided the regulatory responsibility for karyokinesis and cytokinesis between two unique centrosome cores that have fixed orientation to nuclear and cytoplasmic biosynthetic events. Results of our study here largely support this nuclear/cytoplasmic organization, which was likely needed to overcome limitations of enclosed mitosis. *T. gondii* has evolved the nuclear TgCrk6 mechanism to control events requiring the intranuclear spindle, while cytoplasmic TgCrk4 regulates centrosome duplication and associated plastid segregation. While proper assembly of the nuclear spindle and cytoplasmic daughter bud were essential processes, reduplication of the centrosome in TgCrk4-deficient parasites only partially affected tachyzoite survival. We believe that apicomplexans may have relaxed the control of centrosome reduplication in order to more easily adapt cell division to the scale needed in different hosts [3, 22]. It should be noted that dissolution of the nuclear membrane during open mitosis in higher eukaryotes is a key
difference with apicomplexan cell division that may permit extensive re-arrangements of the nuclear (e.g. kinetochore, spindle) and cytoplasmic (e.g. centrosome) structures by a single Cdk.

A possible exception to the nuclear/cytoplasmic functional organization is the assembly of the cytoplasmic daughter buds that was unexpectedly controlled by the nuclear TgCrk1-TgCycl complex. Initiation of the daughter buds near the centrocone, spindle pole, which continues to grow with the progression of mitosis, is a specialized event occurring in the budding cycle of Apicomplexa [2, 25]. How does the nuclear TgCrk1 regulate assembly of a cytoplasmic structure? Recent studies of eukaryotic splicing kinase Cdk11 discovered an unexpected role for this kinase in mitotic progression [61]. It has been shown that activity of Cdk11 is required to regulate sister chromatid cohesion [62]. Since knockdown of T. gondii TgCrk1 did not affect DNA segregation, it is possible that the role of TgCrk1 was re-adapted to regulate splicing of mRNAs whose products will be required to control assembly of the daughter buds. Our hypothesis is supported by the fact that many components of the cytoskeleton are delivered “just-in-time” during cell cycle progression [4]. In future studies, the analysis of transcriptome changes caused by TgCrk1 deficiency will help determine whether this kinase operates primarily as a regulator of mRNA expression.

Transmission stages are formed at the end of each apicomplexan life cycle, that are, in most species, specialized G1/G0 states. For example, mature bradyzoites and sporozoites of T. gondii remain growth arrested until appropriate external signals from the same or new host trigger recrudescence or de-differentiation, respectively, resulting in re-entry into G1 phase of the asexual proliferative cycle (Fig 8). In higher eukaryotes, Cdk4/6-Cyclin D complexes are responsible for the cell fate decision to divide or differentiate (restriction checkpoint) [63, 64], which are factors not present in the Apicomplexa. Here we showed that T. gondii parasites have replaced the canonical G1 machinery of higher eukaryotes with a novel complex of TgCrk2 (Cdk5 family) and TgPHO80 cyclin to regulate progression through the tachyzoite G1 phase. Recent studies in kinetoplastids and another apicomplexan, Plasmodium berghei, determined that a related G1 Crk and a P-type cyclin regulate developmental stages in these protozoans, which is similar to our discoveries of TgCrk2 function in T. gondii (Fig 8) [35, 65, 66]. It is also worth noting that T. gondii possesses paralogs of TgCrk2 and TgPHO80 cyclin that are developmentally regulated (ToxoDB, S1 and S4 Figs) opening the possibility that the TgCrk2-TgPHO80 pathway has diverse functions in development and could also be involved in the regulation of drug-induced dormancy.

In conclusion, the systematic approach we have used to analyze the cell cycle machinery in T. gondii has opened the way into learning how cell division is regulated in apicomplexan parasites. Our study has also evoked important issues that still need to be addressed. For example, greater numbers of TgCrks requires greater coordination; so is there a master regulator after all? Can the complexity of mitosis coupled to cytokinesis in T. gondii division explain a rise of multiple mitotic Crks? What are the mechanisms responsible for periodic Crks, and how are these mechanisms controlled? Does the lessons learned here translate to the exotic mitotic mechanisms in related alveolates [51, 52]? Clearly, there is still much to be done to understand the molecular basis of apicomplexan cell division, fortunately, we now have important new genetic tools to go forward.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

No human subjects or animal research were used in this study.
Cell culture and parasite growth analysis

*T. gondii* strains RHΔhxgprt [67], Tati-RHΔku80 [40], and RHΔku80Δhxgprt [68] were cultured in human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF, gift Dr. David Roos, University of Pennsylvania) according to published protocols [69]. Viability of transgenic strains was measured in plaque assays as previously described [15]. Monolayers of HFF cells were infected with 150–200 parasites per 35mm dish and individual plaques formed after 6 days were stained with crystal violet and counted. To determine division rates, parasites were counted by IFA using α-IMC1 (surface; kindly provided by Dr. Gary Ward, University of Vermont) antibody and DAPI (nucleus) in 50 randomly selected vacuoles in three biological replicates after 24 hours growth. Statistical significance was calculated using an unpaired T-test and Bonferroni correction (Prism6).

Generation of transgenic tachyzoite strains

Transgenic strains and primers created in the study are listed in S1 Table.

**Endogenous C-terminal tagging.** TgCrk and TgCyclin genes were tagged by creating a fusion protein with triple HA-, myc- or Ty- epitopes as previously described [68]. Genomic fragments encompassing the 3’-end of the gene of interest were PCR amplified (primers used are listed in S1 Table) and incorporated into plasmids pLIC-HA3X-HXGPRT, pLIC-myc3X-DHFR-TS or pLIC-Ty3X-HXGPRT via ligation independent cloning (InFusion, Clontech). Linearized constructs were then electroporated into the RHΔku80 strain at a ratio of 5μg DNA per 10⁷ parasites. To establish double-tagged transgenic lines we performed sequential electroporations and selections with alternative drugs. Successful tagging was confirmed by PCR, IFA and Western Blot analysis.

**Endogenous tagging using CRISPR/Cas9 technology.** To introduce 3xHA-epitope to the C-terminus of TgCycY we modified sgUPRT-CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid [70] and replaced the sgUPRT-RNA with the sgTgCycY-RNA sequence located 60 nt downstream of the STOP codon using Q5 DNA polymerase mutagenesis (New England Biolabs) and primers specific for TgCycY gRNA (S1 Table). We designed a tagging cassette that included 50 nt prior to the STOP codon of the TgCycY gene fused to 3xHA-epitope, chloramphenicol resistance marker and 50 nucleotides of the TgCycY 3’UTR located 70 nt downstream of the predicted CAS9 digestion site (included in sgTgCycY-RNA) (primers used are listed in S1 Table). The amplified cassette and sgTgCycY-CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid were mixed in a 1:1 molar ratio and electroporated into RHΔku80 parasites. Transgenic parasites were selected with 20μM chloramphenicol and analyzed by PCR, IFA and Western Blot analysis.

**Endogenous N-terminal tagging and promoter replacement.** To build tet-OFF mutants of TgCrks and TgCyclins, the 5’ end of the corresponding gene was amplified (primers used are listed in S1 Table), digested with BglII/XhoI or BamHI/XhoI and ligated into the promoter replacement vectors, ptetO7sag4-myc-DHFR-TS or ptetO7sag4-HA3X-DHFR-TS [24, 71, 72]. The vector ptetO7sag4-HA3X-DHFR-TS was created by epitope swap on the ptetO7sag4-myc-DHFR-TS plasmid (Q5 mutagenesis, New England Biolabs). The resulting TgCrk and TgCyclin tet-OFF constructs were linearized, introduced into the Tati-RHΔku80 [40] strain and selected for pyromethamine resistance. Successful recombination into the locus was verified by PCR and individual transgenic clones were screened by IFA. To test conditional regulation, the tet-OFF mutant clones were grown with or without 1μg/ml ATc and protein expression level of the tet-OFF factors was analyzed by Western Blot analysis and IFA. Double-tagged transgenic lines were established by sequential electroporation and corresponding drug selection.
Ectopic N-terminal tagging and conditional expression. Conditional N-terminal tagging of TgPHO80, TgCycL, TgCycY, TgCrk2 and TgRBP4 was performed as follows. Full-length amplicons flanked with indicated restriction sites were obtained from RHΔhxgprt cDNA libraries (primers used are listed in S1 Table), digested and ligated into the conditional expression vector ptub-DD-myc3X-CAT [44, 73]. Resulting constructs were introduced into parasite and selected with 20μM chloramphenicol. Conditional expression of the tagged proteins in transgenic parasites grown with and without 100nM Sheild1 was verified by IFA.

Epitope tagging by fosmid recombination. To create recombination cassettes with alternative epitope tags we replaced a 3xHA epitope encoded in the plasmid pH3CG with a 3xmyc epitope (Q5 mutagenesis, New England Biolabs). The resulting pM3CG plasmid was used to amplify gene-specific tagging cassettes that also included a chloramphenicol resistance marker (T. gondii selection) and a gentamycin resistance marker (E. coli selection). To epitope tag TgNdc80 (encompassed by the fosmid RHfos08I02), TgCEP250 (encompassed by the fosmid RHfos14B04) and TgCycH (encompassed by the fosmid RHfos20N15) tagging cassettes were introduced into a corresponding fosmids as previously described [3, 74]. Recombined fosmids 08I02-TgNdc80myc-CAT, 14B04-TgCEP250myc-CAT and 20N15-TgCycHmyc-CAT were transfected into parasites and cultured under 20μM chloramphenicol selection. Expression of tagged protein was confirmed by IFA.

Immunofluorescence analysis
Monolayers of HFF cells were grown on coverslips and infected with parasites under indicated conditions. Cells were fixed in 4% PFA, permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100, blocked in 1%BSA and incubated sequentially with primary and secondary antibody [16]. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse monoclonal α-ISP1 (clone 7E8) [46] and α-Atrx1 (clone 11G8) (kindly provided by Dr. Peter Bradley, UCLA) [75], α-TgCenH3 [23] (kindly provided by Dr. Boris Striepen, University of Georgia, Athens), α-acetylated alpha Tubulin (Abcam), rat monoclonal α-HA (clone 3F10, Roche Applied Sciences), rabbit polyclonal α-myc (Cell Signaling Technology), α-Human Centrin 2 [17], α-MORN1 (kindly provided by Dr. Marc-Jan Gubbels, Boston College) [27] and α-IMC1 (kindly provided by Dr. Gary Ward, University of Vermont). Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies of the different emission wavelengths (Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used at a dilution of 1:1000. Stained parasites on the coverslips were mounted with Aqua-mount (Lerner Laboratories), dried overnight at 4˚C, and viewed on a Zeiss Axiovert Microscope equipped with 100x objective. Images were collected and processed using Zeiss Zen software and were further processed in Adobe Photoshop CC using linear adjustment when needed.

Immunoprecipitation assay
Transgenic parasites co-expressing epitope-tagged TgCrks and TgCyclins were grown for 30–32 hours at 37˚C. When expression of the factor was conditional, 100nM Shield1 was added for the last 3 hours of incubation. Parasites (3x10^8) were collected, washed in PBS and lysed in 1xPBS with 0.5% NP-40, 400mM NaCl, protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on ice for 30 min. Total protein extract obtained by centrifugation at 21,000xg, 10 min, 4˚C was divided and incubated with α-HA or α-myc magnetic beads (MBL International) for 1 h at room temperature. Isolated protein complexes on the beads were washed three times with the lysis buffer and eluted by heating in the Laemmli sample buffer at 65˚C, 10 min. Protein extract before and after pull-down, and purified protein complexes were analyzed by Western blotting.
Western blot analysis

To prepare samples of the total extracts, parasites were purified by filtering through 3μm polycarbonate filters (EMD Millipore), washed in PBS, re-suspended with Laemmli loading dye and lysed at 65˚C for 10 min. To analyze individual fractions after immunoprecipitation, an aliquot of the fraction was mixed with Laemmli loading dye and heated for 10 min at 65˚C. After separation on SDS-PAGE gels, proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with monoclonal α-HA (3F10, Roche Applied Sciences), α-myc (Cell Signaling Technology) and α-Tubulin A (12G10, kindly provided by Dr. Jacek Gaertig, University of Georgia) antibodies. After incubation with secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies, proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence detection (PerkinElmer).

Phylogenetic analysis

Because of the lack of cell cycle gene annotation in apicomplexans, we searched the *T. gondii* genome (toxoDB) for protein kinases with cyclin binding C-helix and proteins containing a cyclin box showing similarity to mammalian Cdk's and cyclins, respectively. Then, to reduce complexity of the analysis we first identified Cdk classes preserved in apicomplexans by comparing Cdk-related kinases of *T. gondii* and Cdk's of human cells (ncbi.org). The evolutionary history of *T. gondii* Crks was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Whelan And Goldman model [76] and were conducted in MEGA7 [77]. The analysis involved 54 amino acid sequences of the putative Cdk-related kinases from *T. gondii* (Tg, ToxoDB), *P. falciparum* (Pf, PlasmoDB), *T. annulata* (TA, PiroplasmaDB), *C. parvum* (Cgd, CryptoDB), *C. velia* (Cvel, CryptoDB) and pre-selected Cdk's from human cells (Hs, ncbi.org) that are listed in S1 Table. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 158 positions in the final dataset. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 100 replicates.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Single and dual tagged transgenic strains, primers used and quantitative data.
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S1 Fig. *T. gondii* genome encodes ten Cdk-related kinases (Crks) of the CMGC family. (A) Diagram shows (*) TGME49_ gene ID number, suggested name, relative length and domain structure of *T. gondii* Crks. The conserved protein kinase domain (red block) of a few TgCrks contains one or more insertions shown as breaks in the structure. (B) The graph shows mRNA dynamics of TgCrks expressed during the tachyzoite cell cycle (toxoDB). Polynomial trend curves were applied where possible. (C) Alignment of the C-helices of *T. gondii*, *P. falciparum*, *C. velia* Crks and selected *H. sapiens* Cdk's revealed high divergence in the sequences responsible for binding cyclins. Note that with exception of TgCrk5-L1, *T. gondii* Crks retained conservative threonine in the T-loop that becomes phosphorylated upon binding of a cognitive cyclin. (D) Schematics of recombination event establishing a tet-OFF model. Targeting construct contains 3' fragment of the gene of interest (GOI) and is cloned in-frame with 3xHA epitope tag under Sag4 promoter fused with tetracycline operators (tetO). A recombination event replaces the GOI promoter with a tetracycline-regulatable (tet-OFF) promoter in a parent expressing a tetracycline transactivator (tTA). (E) Comparative expression of HA-tagged TgCrks in corresponding tet-OFF mutants (image a) and in transgenic strains expressing endogenously tagged TgCrks (image b). To enhance TgCrk4 HA signal, a section of the image b (dotted box) was overexposed and is shown below. The total lysates of the transgenic parasites grown under permissive conditions for 30–32 h (tet-OFF mutants) were analyzed by Western blotting with α-HA antibody. Loading control was provided by probing samples with α-
TubulinA antibody. Note that under alternative regulation (tet-OFF versus native promoter) protein expression levels of the TgCrks remained relatively similar and they nearly matched the steady-state levels of the corresponding mRNAs shown in the panel B, suggesting a minimal role of the transcription initiation. (F) Division rate of TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 tet-OFF mutants were measured after 24 hours growth in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 1μg/ml ATc and compared to the growth rates of the transgenic strains expressing corresponding kinases from native promoters (green). The average of parasites per 50 vacuoles was quantified in 3 biological replicates and shown on the graph. Error bars represent the standard deviation of each sample and significant difference (P<0.05) indicated by asterisks. Unlike the essential TgCrk6, downregulation of TgCrk4 resulted in insignificant (ns) difference in growth rates between −ATc and +ATc conditions. Replacement of the endogenous with the tet-OFF promoter did not significantly affect division rates of transgenic parasites and it was slightly beneficial possibly due to alternative tagging of TgCrks at the N-terminus.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Quantification of the morphological defects associated with knockdown of T. gondii Crks and cyclins. (A) Asynchronous population of the putative mitotic tet-OFF mutants grown for 24 h with and without 1μg/ml ATc were analyzed by IFA using α-IMC1, α-Centrin1 and DAPI staining. The following deficiencies were quantified: TgCrk1 and TgCycL—severely mis-shaped parasites and the loss of uniform IMC1 distribution; TgCrk4—odd number of parasites/vacuole, asynchronous vacuoles, and improper centrosome/parasite ratio; TgCrk6—odd number of parasites/vacuole, severe DNA mis-segregation. The average of 25–50 vacuoles was evaluated at each condition and statistical difference in the number of deficient vacuoles is indicated with asterisks (*** p ≤ 0.0001, ** p ≤ 0.001. (B) Single (blue) and duplicated (red) centrosomes (α-Centrin1) in 100 randomly picked vacuoles were quantified in asynchronous populations of the TgCrk3, TgCrk7 and TgCycH tet-OFF mutants grown for 24 h with and without 1μg/ml ATc. Nucleus and parasite surface were visualized with DAPI and α-IMC1 staining. Downregulation of the analyzed factors did not significantly affect the relative length of G1 (single centrosome) and S/M/C (duplicated centrosome) phases or parasite morphology and DNA segregation (ns—not significant).

(TIF)

S3 Fig. TgCrk4 and TgCrk6 are differentially localized dynamic proteins. (A) Expression of TgCrk4HA kinase under native regulation was analyzed using α-HA (red), α-IMC1 (green) antibody and nuclear DAPI staining (blue). Cell cycle phases were determined based on intensity of the nuclear staining and progression of internal budding. IFA established the peak of TgCrk4HA expression is in S/M phase. (B) Endogenously tagged TgCrk6HA had peak expression during centrocone expansion and duplication in G1/S and S/M phases. The morphological transitions of MORN1 protein (red), the shape of the nucleus and intensity of DNA staining (DAPI, blue) were used to determine specific cell cycle phases. TgCrk6HA first appeared in late G1 when the centrocone is expanded (G1/S vacuole), maintained maximum expression during S-phase and mitosis (S/M vacuole) until the spindle breaks in post-anaphase (M/C vacuole) and the duplicated centrocone compartment started to recede. Dotted line shows vacuole boundary. (C) Endogenously tagged TgCrk4HA is expressed in the cytoplasm. Co-staining with centrocone marker MORN1 (green) confirmed peak of TgCrk4HA expression during centrocone enlargement and break in S/M phase prior karyokinesis. Co-staining with DAPI (blue) showed perinuclear accumulation of TgCrk4HA at the sites of active mitosis. TgCrk4HA localization near the centrocone prior to (upper panel) and after duplication (lower panel) is indicated with double-headed arrows. (D) TgCrk6HA (green) accumulation in the nuclear extension between duplicated centrosomes revealed by co-staining with α-Centrin1 protein.
antibody (red) and DNA staining with DAPI (blue, upper panel). Transient increase in the centromere/spindle region was confirmed by co-localization with centromere protein CenH3 (α-CenH3, red, lower panel).

(TIF)

**S4 Fig. Cyclin-domain proteins encoded in the *T. gondii* genome.** (A) Diagram shows (α) TGME49_ gene ID number, suggested name, relative length and position of the cyclin domain (yellow box) and D-box (green vertical line) in the seven cyclin-like proteins identified in *T. gondii* genome. To predict D-boxes, protein sequences were analyzed by GPS-ARM software and only those with a score 4.5 or higher are shown. *T. gondii* possesses three P-cyclins with TgPHO80-2 mRNA up regulated in bradyzoite transcriptomes (toxoDB). Due to complexity of the genomic locus, TgPHO80-1 was not studied here. (B) The graph shows cell cycle profiles of mRNA expression (dotted lines) of the putative cyclins (toxoDB). Polynomial trend curves (solid lines) were applied where possible. (C) Division rates of TgPHO80 and TgCycY tet-OFF mutants were evaluated after 24 hours growth in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 1μg/ml ATc. The parasite average per 50 vacuoles was quantified in 3 biological replicates and shown on the graph. Multiple comparisons performed via 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. Error bars represent the standard deviation of each sample and significant difference (P<0.05) indicated by asterisks. (D) Interaction of TgCrk3, TgCrk4, TgCrk6, TgCrk7 and TgCrk8 with TgCyclins were examined in parasites co-expressing endogenously tagged TgCrksHA and ectopic copies of TgCyclins tagged with 3xmyc-epitope (list if used transgenic strains is in the S1 Table). The soluble fraction before [In] and after immunoprecipitation [DF], and the protein complexes on the beads [IP] were probed with α-myc antibody to detect TgCyclins and with α-HA to verify pulldown of TgCrks (top panel). The results confirmed conservative interaction between TgCrk7 and TgCycH, while no significant complexes were detected between kinases TgCrk3, TgCrk4, TgCrk6 and TgCrk8, and any of the analyzed TgCyclins.

(TIF)

**S5 Fig. Duplication of major organelles is not affected by downregulation of constitutively expressed TgCrk1 that accumulates in the unknown nuclear compartment.** (A) TgCrk1 tet-OFF mutant parasites were grown for 24 h with 1μg/ml ATc and co-stained with antibodies against the surface marker IMC1 (green), nuclear DNA dye DAPI (blue) and markers for the centrosome (α-Centrin1), centromere (α-CenH3) and apicoplast (α-Atrx1). TgCrk1 deficiency did not affect duplication and segregation of the major organelles and structures essential for parasite survival. (B) IFA analysis of TgCrk1TY cell cycle expression. Endogenously tagged TgCrk1TY was visualized with α-Ty antibody, and co-stained with α-IMC1 to detect internal buds (M/C stage) and DAPI stain to discriminate replicated DNA (G1 versus S/M/C stages). No significant cell cycle dynamics was observed in TgCrk1 expression. In a similar analysis we found that TgCrk3TY, TgCrk7HA and TgCrk8HA were also constitutively expressed in tachyzoites. (C) Co-localization studies of TgCrk1HA (α-HA) with markers of the known nuclear compartments: nucleolus (α-TgNF3), centromere compartment (α-TgCenH3) and centriole (α-MORN1). TgCrk1 is distantly related to Cdk11 kinase known to regulate gene expression and splicing in higher eukaryotes. We co-expressed TgCrk1HA and a subunit of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II complex, DDmycTgRPB4 (α-myc), which was localized to nascent particles concentrated in multiple nuclear foci of the tachyzoite. Like the other nuclear sub-compartments examined, the DDmycTgRPB4 structures were also not associated with the nuclear structure defined by the TgCrk1-TgCycL complex. Nuclear compartments and a major cluster of the nascent particles (TgRPB4) are indicated with arrows. Parasites are outlined with gray. The small image on the bottom shows location of each
compartment in the nucleus (DAPI, blue).

(TIF)
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