Risk factors affecting the difficulty of fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation
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Background: The success rate of intubation under direct laryngoscopy is greatly influenced by laryngoscopic grade using the Cormack-Lehane classification. However, it is not known whether grade under direct laryngoscopy can also affect the success rate of nasotracheal intubation using a fiberoptic bronchoscope, so this study investigated the same. In addition, we investigated other factors that influence the success rate of fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation (FNI).

Methods: FNI was performed by 18 anesthesiology residents under general anesthesia in patients over 15 years of age who underwent elective oral and maxillofacial operations. In all patients, the Mallampati grade was measured. Laryngeal view grade under direct laryngoscopy, and the degree of secretion and bleeding in the oral cavity was measured and divided into 3 grades. The time required for successful FNI was measured. If the intubation time was > 5 minutes, it was evaluated as a failure and the airway was managed by another method. The failure rate was evaluated using appropriate statistical method. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and area under the curve (AUC) were also measured.

Results: A total of 650 patients were included in the study, and the failure rate of FNI was 4.5%. The patient’s sex, age, height, weight, Mallampati, and laryngoscopic view grade did not affect the success rate of FNI (P > 0.05). BMI, the number of FNI performed by residents (P = 0.03), secretion (P < 0.001), and bleeding (P < 0.001) grades influenced the success rate. The AUCs of bleeding and secretion were 0.864 and 0.798, respectively, but the AUC of BMI, the number of FNI performed by residents, Mallampati, and laryngoscopic view grade were 0.527, 0.616, 0.614, and 0.544, respectively.

Conclusion: Unlike in intubation under direct laryngoscopy, in the case of FNI, oral secretion and nasal bleeding had a significant effect on FNI difficulty than Mallampati grade or Laryngeal view grade.
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INTRODUCTION

Nasotracheal intubation (NTI) offers the oral and maxillofacial surgeon wider scope of the surgical field in operations of the oral cavity, face, and neck [1]. Nasotracheal intubation involves inserting an endotracheal tube through the nasal cavity to the oropharynx, in which, under direct laryngoscopy, Magill forceps are used to advance the tube between the vocal cords and
into the trachea. If a difficult airway is anticipated, NTI may be performed using the videoscope [2]. When the oral anatomy is deviated from normal and mouth opening is limited, use of a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope for nasotracheal intubation is favorable [3].

If it is not in a cannot ventilate situation, fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation (FNI) can be carried out after induction of anesthesia or in certain cases awake FNI may be carried out. However in both cases, FNI may be unsuccessful [4]. To carry out FNI skillfully, adequate education is mandatory [5]. Even in case of a skillful anesthesiologist, 4-5% of intubation failure occurs in FNI [6]. The main cause of failure is an anatomical anomaly in the oral or nasal cavity, but bleeding or secretion in the oral cavity may also be important factors [4].

There are many different methods for predicting the difficulty of intubation using direct laryngoscopy [7]. The modified Mallampati score is a relatively simple method and is most widely used for predicting airway management [8]. The Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grade system used to describe laryngeal inlet view is also widely accepted [9]. However, these methods predict the difficulty of intubation with a laryngoscope, and there have been few reports on the evaluation methods used to predict the difficulty of FNI.

In this study, we investigated whether the Mallampati score and Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grade can predict FNI difficulty. In addition, we also investigated whether bleeding or oral secretion may influence the difficulty of FNI. These results may be helpful in predicting the difficulty of NTI in clinical situations.

**METHODS**

This used the data from a prior study on FNI education of anesthesiology residents [5]. For this study, Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from Seoul National University Dental Hospital (SNUDH, No. L0605-12). The FNI was conducted by 18 second-year residents who had experienced five or more FNI.

1. **Patients**

   From the 716 patients included in the previous study, apart from the excluded patients due to inability to check the Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grade due to awake FNI or loss of measured records, 650 patients were included. Moreover, Patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical class III or higher, and patients with lung or heart disease were excluded. Patients in whom a difficulty with nasotracheal intubation was anticipated due to nasal cavity obstruction, mass or deformity, and those undergoing emergency surgery were also excluded. If the use of mask ventilation was expected to be difficult, due to reasons such as severe maxillofacial deformities or mass in the oral cavity, or the visual field with laryngoscopy was not satisfactory due to trismus, patients were excluded.

2. **General anesthesia and Evaluation**

   Standard preoperative evaluations such as medical history, vital signs, routine laboratory tests, electrocardiography (ECG), chest radiography, and interview were performed before surgery. Mallampati grade was evaluated before general anesthesia in all patients. Induction began after routine monitoring, such as pulse oximetry, ECG, non-invasive blood pressure, and sufficient pre-oxygenation was performed. Anesthesia was induced with 1% propofol (1.5–2.5 mg/kg) or thiopental (4–5 mg/kg) intravenously. After confirmation of loss of consciousness, 0.15 mg/kg vecuronium was injected for neuromuscular blockade. After the patient lost consciousness and the neuromuscular blocking agent was administered, the Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grade was recorded using a Macintosh direct laryngoscope. Then, FNI was performed by anesthesiology residents under supervision of the anesthesiologist. A flexible endoscope with a diameter of 4.2 mm (LF2, Olympus Co., Japan) was used. If the tube ID was < 5.5 mm, then a 2.8 mm tube (LF-DP, Olympus Co., Japan) was used. The nasal intubation tube was 6.5 mm (ID, internal diameter) PVC Rign-Adair-Elwyn (RAE)
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nasotracheal tube (cuffed) in female and 7.0 mm (ID) in male patients, and if the weight was less than 50 kg, 5.5 mm, or 6.0 mm (ID) was used. In order to reduce epistaxis, all RAE nasotracheal tubes were softened in hot water at 40°C and surgical jelly was applied. The RAE nasotracheal tube was inserted and placed in the nasopharynx. Fiberoptic bronchoscope was then inserted into the endotracheal tube, and was advanced to visualize the vocal cord. After fiberoptic bronchoscope passed through the vocal cord and carina was identified, the nasotracheal tube was placed in the trachea. At this time, the assistant performed jaw thrust to widen the oropharynx to ensure visibility.

After the procedure, each operator classified the fiberoptic view into three grades on the basis of nasal bleeding and intraoral secretion [5]. The degree of nasal bleeding and secretion was classified as grade 1 (no secretion, no interference with securing the visual field); grade 2 (mild secretion, the degree of secretion or bleeding that did not influence the visual field), and grade 3 (massive secretion, the degree that influenced the visual field) (Fig. 1). The success of FNI was assessed by the time and number of trials. After 2 min and 30 s on the first attempt, if unsuccessful, the patient was ventilated again with a bag mask. If the second attempt did not succeed even after 2 min and 30 s, it was recorded as a failure. The time taken from the beginning to the end of intubation was recorded [6].

3. Statistical Analysis

The FNI was divided into two groups: success and failure. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages. Statistical methods included the Student t test, Chi square test, Fischer’s exact test, and logistic regression analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and area under the curve (AUC) were also used. Statistically significant differences were set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 650 patients were included in the study. A total of 621 (95.5%) patients underwent successful FNI and 29 (4.5%) failed. The gender-specific demographic data of the patients included in the study and the distribution of factors measured during the intubation process are presented in Table 1. The success rate of the procedure for 18 anesthesiology residents who performed FNI ranged from 92.9% to 100%. As a result of the Fischer’s exact test, there was no significant difference with a P-value of 0.952. The most common reason for failure was secretion and bleeding in 25 patients (86.2%). Next, two patients had difficulty in vocal cord exposure (6.9%) and two had narrow nasal passages (6.9%).

The factors for the FNI success group and the failure
Table 1. Gender specific characteristics of patients and intubation state

| Gender       | Male     | Female   | Total | P-value (Gender group) |
|--------------|----------|----------|-------|------------------------|
| No. of Patients | 336 (51.7%) | 314 (48.3%) | 650   |                        |
| Age (yr)     | 34.7 ± 16.0 | 35.7 ± 17.9 | 35.1 ± 16.9 | 0.464                  |
| Height (cm)  | 171.8 ± 7.2 | 158.9 ± 6.3 | 165.6 ± 9.3 | <0.001                 |
| Weight (kg)  | 69.3 ± 11.1 | 56.0 ± 9.2 | 62.8 ± 12.2 | <0.001                 |
| BMI          | 23.4 ± 3.4 | 22.2 ± 3.5 | 22.8 ± 3.5 | <0.001                 |
| ASA PS       | 0.062     |          |       |                        |
| 1            | 263 (78.3%) | 246 (78.3%) | 509 (78.3%) |                        |
| 2            | 71 (21.1%) | 59 (18.8%) | 130 (20.0%) |                        |
| 3            | 2 (0.6%) | 9 (2.9%) | 11 (1.7%) |                        |
| Tube size (mm) |        |          |       | <0.001                 |
| 5.5          | 2 (0.6%) | 0 | 2 (0.3%) |                        |
| 6.0          | 0 | 8 (2.5%) | 8 (1.2%) |                        |
| 6.5          | 9 (2.7%) | 301 (95.6%) | 310 (47.7%) |                        |
| 7.0          | 325 (96.7%) | 5 (1.6%) | 330 (50.8%) |                        |
| Malampati grade | 0.014  |          |       |                        |
| 1            | 194 (58.6%) | 147 (46.8%) | 344 (52.9%) |                        |
| 2            | 101 (30.1%) | 122 (38.9%) | 223 (34.3%) |                        |
| 3            | 29 (8.6%) | 39 (12.4%) | 68 (10.5%) |                        |
| 4            | 9 (2.7%) | 6 (1.9%) | 15 (2.3%) |                        |
| Laryngeal view grade | 0.156  |          |       |                        |
| 1            | 232 (69.0%) | 238 (75.8%) | 470 (72.3%) |                        |
| 2            | 65 (19.3%) | 45 (14.3%) | 110 (16.9%) |                        |
| 3            | 33 (9.8%) | 29 (9.2%) | 62 (9.5%) |                        |
| 4            | 6 (1.8%) | 2 (0.6%) | 8 (1.2%) |                        |
| Nasal bleeding grade | 0.526  |          |       |                        |
| 1            | 237 (70.5%) | 211 (67.2%) | 448 (68.9%) |                        |
| 2            | 56 (16.7%) | 63 (20.1%) | 119 (18.3%) |                        |
| 3            | 43 (12.8%) | 40 (12.7%) | 83 (12.8%) |                        |
| Oral secretion grade | 0.628  |          |       |                        |
| 1            | 217 (64.6%) | 205 (65.3%) | 422 (64.9%) |                        |
| 2            | 77 (22.9%) | 77 (24.5%) | 154 (23.7%) |                        |
| 3            | 42 (12.5%) | 30 (10.2%) | 72 (11.4%) |                        |
| Success ratio | 318 : 18 (94.6%) | 303 : 11 (96.5%) | 621 : 29 (95.5%) | 0.263                  |
| Intubation time (sec, in success cases only) | Mean 55 ± 54 | Mean 54 ± 50 | Mean 54 ± 52 | 0.817                   |
| Median 35.5 | Median 35.0 | Median 35.0 |                        |
| IQR (21 - 63) | IQR (21 - 63) | IQR (21 - 63) |                        |

Data are expressed as number of patients (%), mean ± standard deviation. Fisher’s exact test or χ² test was used for categorical variables and the Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used for continuous variables.

BMI, body mass index; ASA PS, American Society of Anesthesiologist physical status; IQR, inter quartile range.

The patient’s sex, age, height, weight, Mallampati, and laryngoscopic view grade did not affect the success rate of FNI (P > 0.05). BMI, the number of FNI performed by residents (P = 0.03), secretion (P < 0.001), and bleeding (P < 0.001) grades influenced the success rate. The Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grades tended to have higher grades in the failure group, but logistic regression analysis did not show a statistically significant difference. Statistically significant differences were found in bleeding, secretion, and BMI. In particular, there was a significant difference (P < 0.05) for secretion grade 3 and bleeding grades 2 and 3, and their odds ratios were 6.65, 5.23, and 28.4, respectively (Table 3). The AUCs or ROC curve of bleeding and secretion were 0.864 and 0.798, respectively, but the AUC of BMI, the number
Table 2. Analysis of factors affecting success and failure of fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation

|                      | Success | Fail | Total | P-value |
|----------------------|---------|------|-------|---------|
| No. of Patients      | 621 (95.5%) | 29 (4.5%) | 650 |         |
| Gender               |         |      |       | 0.262   |
| Male                 | 318 (94.6%) | 18 (5.4%) | 336 | (100%)  |
| Female               | 303 (96.5%) | 11 (3.5%) | 314 | (100%)  |
| Age                  | 35.3 ± 16.9 | 30.2 ± 14.4 | 35.1 ± 16.9 | 0.111   |
| Height               | 165.5 ± 9.2 | 166.1 ± 8.9 | 165.6 ± 9.3 | 0.759   |
| Weight               | 62.6 ± 11.2 | 66.3 ± 15.1 | 62.8 ± 12.2 | 0.111   |
| BMI                  | 22.7 ± 3.3 | 24.0 ± 5.6 | 22.8 ± 3.5 | 0.045   |
| Order of FNI performed by resident | 26 ± 17 | 20 ± 14 | 26 ± 17 | 0.031   |
| ASA PS               |         |      |       | 0.481   |
| 1                    | 487 (95.7%) | 22 (4.3%) | 509 | (100%)  |
| 2                    | 124 (95.4%) | 6 (4.6%) | 130 | (100%)  |
| 3                    | 10 (90.9%) | 1 (9.1%) | 11 | (100%)  |
| Tube size (mm)       |         |      |       | 0.318   |
| 5.5                  | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | (100%)  |
| 6.0                  | 8 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 8 | (100%)  |
| 6.5                  | 300 (96.8%) | 10 (3.2%) | 310 | (100%)  |
| 7.0                  | 311 (94.2%) | 19 (5.8%) | 330 | (100%)  |
| Nasal bleeding grade |         |      |       | <0.001  |
| 1                    | 445 (99.3%) | 3 (0.7%) | 448 | (100%)  |
| 2                    | 114 (95.9%) | 5 (4.2%) | 119 | (100%)  |
| 3                    | 62 (74.7%) | 21 (25.3%) | 83 | (100%)  |
| Oral secretion grade |         |      |       | <0.001  |
| 1                    | 416 (98.6%) | 6 (1.4%) | 422 | (100%)  |
| 2                    | 149 (96.8%) | 5 (3.2%) | 154 | (100%)  |
| 3                    | 56 (75.7%) | 18 (24.3%) | 74 | (100%)  |
| Malampati grade      |         |      |       | 0.057   |
| 1                    | 334 (97.5%) | 10 (2.5%) | 315 | (100%)  |
| 2                    | 211 (94.6%) | 12 (5.4%) | 189 | (100%)  |
| 3                    | 63 (92.6%) | 5 (7.4%) | 57 | (100%)  |
| 4                    | 13 (86.7%) | 2 (13.3%) | 15 | (100%)  |
| Laryngeal view grade |         |      |       | 0.110   |
| 1                    | 451 (98%) | 19 (4%) | 470 | (100%)  |
| 2                    | 105 (95.5%) | 5 (4.5%) | 110 | (100%)  |
| 3                    | 59 (95.2%) | 3 (4.8%) | 62 | (100%)  |
| 4                    | 6 (75%) | 2 (25%) | 8 | (100%)  |

Data are expressed as number of patients (%), mean ± standard deviation. Fisher’s exact test or χ² test was used for categorical variables, and the Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used for continuous variables.

ASA PS, American Society of Anesthesiologist physical status; BMI, body mass index; FNI, fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation.

of FNI performed by residents, Mallampati, and laryngoscopic view grade were 0.527, 0.616, 0.614, and 0.544 (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study analyzed data for the purpose of FNI teaching in the second year of anesthesiology resident in patients who did not have an unusual difficult airway. After FNI training using hands-on models, the residents performed FNI under the guidance of the dental anesthesiologist more than five times, and then measured the FNI time to be used in this study [5]. The overall research system followed the Smith et al. framework [6]. Unlike Smith’s study, the RAE tube was inserted into the nasal cavity first, and fibroscope was inserted into the tube, which was presented by Ovassapian [10]. This
Table 3. Logistic regression of individual factors affecting success and failure of fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation

|                              | B (SE) | WALD  | Degree of freedom | P-value | Odd ratio (95% CI) |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Order of FNIs performed by resident | -0.028 (0.015) | 3.343 | 1                 | 0.068   | 0.973 (0.945 - 1.002) |
| Nasal bleeding grade         |        |       |                   |         |                   |
| Grade 2                      | 1.654 (0.8) | 4.276 | 1                 | 0.039   | 5.23 (1.09 - 25.087) |
| Grade 3                      | 3.346 (0.742) | 20.365 | 1                 | <0.001  | 28.4 (6.639 - 121.483) |
| Oral secretion grade         | 12.916 | 2     |                   | 0.002   |                   |
| Grade 2                      | 0.107 (0.707) | 0.023 | 1                 | 0.879   | 1.113 (0.279 - 4.447) |
| Grade 3                      | 1.895 (0.638) | 8.816 | 1                 | 0.003   | 6.651 (1.904 - 23.233) |
| Mallampati grade             | 5.224  | 3     |                   | 0.028   | 3.41 (1.143 - 10.176) |
| Grade 2                      | 1.227 (0.558) | 4.837 | 1                 | 0.028   | 3.41 (1.143 - 10.176) |
| Grade 3                      | 0.499 (0.754) | 0.439 | 1                 | 0.508   | 1.648 (0.376 - 7.218) |
| Grade 4                      | 2.233 (1.21) | 3.405 | 1                 | 0.065   | 9.332 (0.87 - 100.051) |
| Laryngeal view grade         | 1.474  | 3     |                   | 0.718   |                   |
| Grade 2                      | -0.377 (0.645) | 0.342 | 1                 | 0.559   | 0.686 (0.194 - 2.429) |
| Grade 3                      | -0.811 (0.808) | 1.008 | 1                 | 0.315   | 0.444 (0.091 - 2.166) |
| Grade 4                      | 0.271 (1.453) | 0.035 | 1                 | 0.852   | 1.312 (0.076 - 22.623) |
| BMI                          | 0.152 (0.06) | 6.484 | 1                 | 0.011   | 1.164 (1.036 - 1.309) |
| (constant)                   | -8.795 (1.699) | 26.789 | 1                 | <0.001  |                   |

FNI, Fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation; BMI, body mass index.

Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve were measured. The area under the curves (AUC) of bleeding, secretion were 0.864 and 0.798, respectively, but the AUC of body mass index (BMI), the number of fibroscopic nasotracheal intubation (FNI) performed by residents, Mallampati, and laryngoscopic view grade were 0.527, 0.616, 0.614, and 0.544, respectively.

is because if the difficult NTI is predicted in advance, awake FNI will be implemented; however, if the unexpected difficult NTI is shows up, the fiberoptic NTI should be implemented, and the endotracheal tube will already be inserted into the nasal cavity.

Before tracheal intubation, the anesthesiologist evaluates airway difficulty, such as neck mobility, mouth opening range [11], thyromental distance [12], and Mallampati grade [13,14]. Moreover, a method using radiographic findings as an airway evaluation has been introduced.
recently [15]. In addition, the difficulty of endotracheal intubation is evaluated through the evaluation of Cormack-Lehane laryngoscopic grade during intubation [9,16]. However, there are few reports that can predict the difficulty of FNI, and in general, the difficulty is measured by the duration and success of FNI [6,17].

Even in the case of NTI using direct laryngoscopy, it is important to check the vocal cord before performing intubation. Therefore, the Cormack-Lehane laryngoscopic grade affects the difficulty and severity of NTI [18]. Recently, in the case of difficult NTI, video laryngoscopy has become a good alternative [19,20].

However, awake FNI is essential for patients with severe maxillofacial deformities, expecting difficult mask ventilation due to facial masses or oral disturbances, and limited mouth opening range. [1]. This study presents risk factors that can affect the difficulty of FNI.

Excessive bleeding and secretions in the oral cavity are known to be the most disturbing factor that makes intubation difficult by obscuring the view of the endoscope [5]. In this study, secretion and bleeding were found to have a statistically significant effect on the success rate of FNI \( (P = 0.002, P < 0.001 \) in logistic regression). This is related to the characteristics of the FNI, which is strongly influenced by visibility. In particular, bleeding was found to have a significant impact, with an odds ratio of 28.4 more than secretion \( (6.65) \). It seems that bleeding has a greater role in obstructing vision than secretion. This suggests that efforts to prevent secretion and bleeding will contribute to the success rate of FNI.

There was no statistically significant relationship between Mallampati grade, Cormack and Lehane laryngoscopic grade, and FNI success rate (Tables 2 and 3). In other words, FNI can be an alternative method even if the difficult airway predicted by Cormack & Lehane laryngoscopic grade or intubation failure by direct laryngoscopy.

Most of the bleeding that occurs during nasotracheal intubation is epistaxis from abrasion between the vessel-rich nasal mucosa and tracheal tube. There are many known ways to reduce epistaxis during nasotracheal intubation. Dipping a tracheal tube into a warm saline for thermosoftening [21-23], lubricating with xylocaine jelly [24], using a vasoconstrictor [25], using a tracheal tube with a smaller internal diameter than oral intubation [1], using radiographs to select more appropriate nostril [26], and if there is no difference between both nostrils, intubation with right nostrils is a typical way to reduce bleeding [27]. Tube passing underneath the inferior turbinate has also been recently suggested as a way to reduce epistaxis [28].

To reduce oral secretion, it is helpful to use muscarinic anticholinergic agents such as glycopyrrolate, which is also helpful for preventing bradycardia and hypotension as a premedication [29,30]. Efforts to eliminate secretion through oral suction will be of great help in reducing secretion and increasing FNI success [31].

The limitations of this study are as follows: (1) If both secretion and bleeding occur in the same patient, bias may have occurred because it was not distinguished whether secretion or bleeding affected the failed FNI. (2) The difference in sample size between FNI success and failure is large. Although the study showed a statistically significant difference, the number of patients in the failure group was small, so further studies with larger sample sizes are needed.

In conclusion, this study confirms that oral secretion and bleeding are risk factors that influence the success rate of FNI. Thus, efforts to reduce oral secretion and bleeding are expected to help increase the success rate of FNI.
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