Functional outcome after (laryngo)tracheal resection and reconstruction for acquired benign (laryngo)tracheal stenosis
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Background: In this study we focus on functional outcomes after (laryngo)tracheal resection and reconstruction for acquired benign (laryngo)tracheal stenosis, with a specific interest in the impact of laryngeal involvement on postoperative outcome.

Methods: All patients who underwent (laryngo)tracheal surgery for benign pathology between 1996 and 2017 in our centre were included in this retrospective study. Surgical outcomes were procedural success rate, and airway- and voice-related complications. Functional results were assessed using (standardized) questionnaires for quality of life, sensation of dyspnea, swallowing function, and voice perception.

Results: Of 119 consecutive patients, 47 underwent laryngotracheal resection and reconstruction and 72 underwent segmental tracheal surgery (78% with an end-to-end tracheal anastomosis and 22% with a cricotracheal anastomosis). Overall success rate was 92% and was similar for all groups, with an overall significant improvement in quality of life when compared to the preoperative situation. However, after laryngotracheal surgery, airway-related complications were more common when compared to segmental resections with an end-to-end tracheal anastomosis (30% versus 7%, P=0.003). Additionally, early voice alterations without recurrent nerve palsy were reported twice as often (34% versus 16%, P=0.034) and voice quality experienced during follow-up was significantly worse when compared to segmental resections. Overall response rate to the questionnaires on functional outcome was 63%.

Conclusions: (Laryngo)tracheal surgery is safe and beneficial, with significant functional improvement during mid- and long-term follow-up. However, laryngeal involvement is a predictor for increased surgical airway-related complications. Additionally, voice alterations without recurrent nerve palsy are far more common after laryngotracheal resection and are a serious handicap. This aspect is underexposed in current literature and deserves further attention during preoperative counseling and patient follow-up. However, the results on functional outcome of this current study should be interpreted with caution due to the somewhat low response rate of the questionnaires.

Keywords: Laryngotracheal resection (LTR); tracheal surgery; long-term functional outcome

Introduction

Acquired laryngotracheal stenosis (ALTS) and tracheal stenosis (ATS) are rare disorders caused by various diseases, both benign and malignant in nature, leading to gradually worsening dyspnea on exertion (1-3). Surgical resection has been advocated as the treatment of choice, with success rates reported from 84–100% after 1 month to 12 years of follow-up (4,5). However, due to the complex anatomy when the subglottic or cricoid region is involved, a
laryngotracheal resection (LTR) is more challenging when compared to an isolated segmental tracheal resection (STR), resulting in a less predictable outcome, with failure rates increasing to 37–70% (6,7).

While the above referenced studies were mainly focused on “surgical success” (i.e., recurrence of stenosis, successful decannulation, reintervention rates), various authors have advocated that functional outcome parameters, such as pulmonary-, voice-, and swallowing-related functions, are equally as important in defining treatment success (8). However, few studies have published these patient-reported functional outcomes after tracheal surgery in adults (3). Even when functional outcome parameters are being considered, only a minority of studies used standardized measurement tools to evaluate patient-reported outcome and quality of life (QoL) (3,9).

In this study, we focus on functional outcomes after (laryngo)tracheal resection and reconstruction for acquired benign (laryngo)tracheal stenosis, with a specific emphasis on the impact of laryngeal involvement on postoperative results and functional outcomes.

**Methods**

**Patients and recruitment**

We performed a single centre retrospective cohort study, evaluating all patients who underwent (laryngo)tracheal resection for A(L)TS due to benign pathology in the Radboud University Medical Centre between January 1996 and December 2017. Carinal resections and procedures for congenital and/or malignant pathology were excluded from this analysis. The severity of preoperative stenosis was measured by computed tomography (CT). Percentage of stenosis was calculated by the cross-sectional area of the narrowest part of the stenosis divided by the surface of the trachea without obstruction. These percentages were categorised according the Cotton-Myer classification (Figure 1) (10). This study was approved by the local medical ethical reviewing committee.

Chart review was performed, collecting data on baseline patient characteristics, operative details, and surgical outcome parameters. Surgical outcome parameters (<6 weeks after surgery) included: severe wound infection, haematoma, airway-related complications (e.g., restenosis, reintervention, dehiscence and respiratory insufficiency), voice-related complications [e.g., recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) palsy, voice alterations without recurrent nerve palsy] and surgical mortality (30-day mortality and/or inhospital mortality). Postoperative success was defined as a sufficient airway (>90% rest lumen) without the need for reintervention up to 6 weeks after surgery.

Functional results were assessed by patient-reported functional outcome measures at least 6 months after surgery using (standardized) questionnaires, evaluating QoL, dyspnea- or swallowing related complaints and voice quality [voice handicap index (VHI)]. Questionnaires were distributed by mail in early 2016. Initial non-responders were sent the questionnaires again after 2 months or were contacted by telephone. In addition, starting in early 2017, functional outcome and QoL parameters were prospectively collected, including VHI, and data of eight additional patients were included for analysis.

**Functional results**

**QoL**

QoL was assessed by the EuroQol five dimensions questionnaire (EQ-5D), evaluating five aspects of perceived health problems, including mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain or discomfort, and anxiety or depression. We used the EuroQol visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS,
The surgical procedure and postoperative care

Patients were treated by a multidisciplinary team, which included the departments of cardiothoracic surgery, otolaryngology (head and neck surgery), and pulmonary diseases. Laryngotracheal resections were performed by a similar technique as described by Grillo and Pearson et al. (12,13). A cervical incision (with or without partial sternotomy) was performed for resections of the proximal and mid parts of the trachea. After dividing the isthmus of the thyroid, the anterior surface of the trachea was exposed and a circumferential dissection of the trachea was performed at the level of the diseased segment. STR was performed with an end-to-end tracheal anastomosis (STR-ETE) (Figure 2A) or, in case of resection of the first tracheal ring, a cricotracheal anastomosis (STR-CT) was made (Figure 2B). For ALTS with involvement of the subglottic region, LTR was performed with two types of anastomosis. Firstly, after resection of the anterior cricoid, a thyro-crico-tracheal anastomosis (Figure 2C) was carried out. In five patients however, additional milling of the inner part of the cricoid was performed to gain extra lumen. The distal trachea was then directly attached to the thyroid cartilage anteriorly, but also to the mucosa of the thyroid on the lateral and dorsal side, forming a so-called thyrotracheal anastomosis. In all patients who underwent a LTR, the cricothyroid muscles were carefully detached to expose the anterior aspect of the larynx and reattached after completing the anastomosis to minimize voice alterations. All anastomoses were made using interrupted absorbable sutures (Vicryl® 3.0). In case of a subglottic resection with an inlay of the membranous part, a running absorbable suture for the posterior membrane was used. A supralaryngeal release (to reduce tension on the anastomosis) was avoided in all but one patient. A “guardian suture” or “chin suture” was routinely placed to avoid overextension of the neck and was removed after 7 days.

Intraoperative bronchoscopy was performed to assess the anastomosis and epiglottic swelling. A gastric feeding tube was placed intraoperatively. Most patients were extubated in the operation room and transferred to the intensive care unit or post anaesthesia care unit, although initially patients who had undergone a LTR remained intubated for a few days, until glottis and mucosal oedema had diminished. All patients received antibiotics for 5 days. Patients were not treated with steroids routinely, unless indicated by the pulmonologist. A second bronchoscopy was performed to evaluate the anastomosis and vocal cord function before discharge. Patients were usually discharged on postoperative day 7 to 10. A final bronchoscopic assessment was done between 6 weeks and 3 months after surgery.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported as mean ± standard deviation, or as median and range. Categorical variables are reported as numbers and percentages. χ² and fisher’s exact test were used for comparing categorical variables between two groups. To compare pre- and post-operative continuous variables, a related-sample or paired t-test was used. For comparison of continuous variables between two different groups an independent t-test was used. In case of non-normal distribution, a Mann-Whitney test or Wilcoxon non-parametric test was used for independent samples or related samples respectively. A P value <0.05 was
Results

During the study period, 119 consecutive patients underwent LTR (n=47) or STR with an end-to-end anastomosis (STR-ETE) (n=56) or a cricotracheal anastomosis (STR-CT) (n=16) in our centre (Table 1). Median age was 49 years (range, 0–85 years) and 55% were female. Post-intubation tracheal stenosis (PITS) was the most common indication in all groups (47–91%), predominantly in the STR-ETE group (91%). In contrast, idiopathic tracheal stenosis (which is normally located in the laryngotracheal region) and granulomatosis with polyangiitis (formerly known as Wegener syndrome) were only seen in the LTR and STR-CT patients. The severity of airway obstruction was not different between the groups. The majority of patients had a preoperative stenosis grade II or III, according to the Cotton-Myer classification.

In the LTR group, a thyro-crico-tracheal anastomosis was most commonly performed (89%) and a thyrotachal anastomosis in the rest of the cases. In all but one patient, the operation was performed through a cervical incision only. In the STR-ETE group, 91% of patients were operated on through a cervical incision, with (partial) sternotomy being performed in 5% of the cases. Resected segments were significantly longer in both STR groups compared to the LTR group (mean number of resected rings was 3 versus 5, P<0.001). Six patients (11%) in the STR-ETE and two patients (4%) in the LTR group underwent long segmental (>4.0 cm) resection (P=0.285).

Surgical outcome

Surgical mortality was 1 out of 119 (0.8%). This patient with Myhre syndrome underwent LTR and died in-hospital after 4 months of stay on the ICU. Airway-related complications were observed in 14 patients after LTR (30%) and in four patients after STR-ETE (7%, P=0.003) (Table 2). Respiratory insufficiency requiring reintubation (e.g., due to glottic oedema, pneumothorax) was seen in 6% after LTR, versus 2% after STR (P=0.328). Restenosis rate was comparable after LTR (5 out of 47 patients, 11%) and STR-ETE (4 out of 56, 7%, P=0.490). No patients after STR-CT experienced restenosis. Of the nine patients in total with a restenosis, six patients (4 in the LTR group and 2 in the STR group) underwent endobronchial laser therapy or dilatation; one patient in the LTR group received high-dose prednisolone. In addition, one patient in the LTR group and two patients in the STR-ETE group received a definitive tracheostomy. Surgical success rate after 6 weeks was 92% for all patients combined, 89% after LTR and 93% after STR-ETE (P=0.729).

Regarding voice-related outcome, comparable rate of RLN palsy was found after LTR and STR-ETE (9% versus 4%, P=0.408). However, early voice alterations without...
| Characteristics                              | LTR  | STR-CT | STR-ETE | P value (LTR vs. STR-ETE) | P value (LTR vs. STR-CT) | P value (STR-CT vs. STR-ETE) |
|---------------------------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age (years)                                 | 43 [0–85] | 50 [13–72] | 54 [0–82] | 0.030                     | 0.373                    | 0.369                       |
| Gender (female)                             | 31 [66] | 7 [44] | 28 [50]  | 0.103                     | 0.117                    | 0.659                       |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                 | 27 [16–39] | 27 [17–51] | 28 [14–48] | 0.406                     | 0.842                    | 0.659                       |
| Diabetes mellitus                          | 1 [2] | 1 [6] | 10 [18]  | 0.010                     | 0.446                    | 0.436                       |
| COPD                                       | 5 [11] | 5 [31] | 10 [18]  | 0.301                     | 0.106                    | 0.299                       |
| Connective tissue diseases                  | 6 [13] | 2 [13] | 2 [4]    | 0.138                     | 1.000                    | 0.212                       |
| Pathology                                  |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Postintubation stenosis                     | 22 [47] | 11 [69] | 51 [91]  | <0.001                    | 0.528                    | <0.001                      |
| Idiopathic tracheal stenosis               | 15 [32] | 3 [19] | –        | 0.301                     | 0.106                    | 0.299                       |
| GPA/autoimmune related                     | 6 [13] | 2 [13] | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Infection                                  | 2 [4] | –      | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Other                                      | 2 [4] | –      | 4 [7]    | 0.006                     | 0.001                    | 0.765                       |
| Grade of stenosis (CM)                     |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Grade I                                    | 7 [15] | 3 [19] | 8 [14]   | 0.268                     | 0.567                    | 0.914                       |
| Grade II                                   | 9 [19] | 5 [31] | 18 [32]  | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Grade III                                  | 14 [30] | 5 [31] | 20 [36]  | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Grade IV                                   | 4 [9] | –      | 1 [2]    | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Missing                                    | 13 [28] | 3 [19] | 9 [16]   | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Previous intervention                      |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Any type of intervention                   | 31 [66] | 8 [50] | 23 [41]  | 0.012                     | 0.256                    | 0.525                       |
| Dilatation                                 | 23 [49] | 5 [31] | 12 [21]  | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Laser                                      | 12 [26] | 5 [31] | 7 [13]   | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Stenting                                   | 1 [2] | 1 [6] | 3 [5]    | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Cryo-therapy                               | –    | 1 [6] | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Mitomycin-C application                    | 3 [6] | –      | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Other                                      | 8 [17] | 1 [6] | 5 [9]    | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Preoperative cannula                       | 10 [21] | 1 [6] | 8 [14]   | 0.326                     | 0.261                    | 0.673                       |
| Intraoperative variables                   |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Type of anastomosis                        |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Thyro-tracheal                              | 5 [11] | –      | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Thyro-crico-tracheal                       | 42 [89] | –      | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Cricotracheal                               | –    | 16 [100] | –        | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| End-to-end tracheal                        | –    | –      | 56 [100] | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Type of incision                           |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Cervical                                   | 46 [98] | 16 [100] | 51 [91]  | 0.234                     | 1.000                    | 0.464                       |
| Cervical + (partial) sternotomy            | –    | –      | 3 [5]    | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Sternotomy                                 | 1 [2] | –      | 2 [4]    | –                         | –                       | –                           |
| Resected length                            |      |        |         |                           |                          |                             |
| Number of rings                            | 3 [1–8] | 5 [2–7] | 5 [1–9]  | <0.001                    | <0.001                   | 0.559                       |
| Amount of cm                               | 2 [1–4] | 3 [1–4] | 3 [1–5]  | 0.006                     | 0.063                    | 0.765                       |
| Long segment (>4.0 cm)                     | 2 [4] | –      | 6 [11]   | 0.285                     | 1.000                    | 0.327                       |
| Operation time (minutes)                   | 165 [118–385] | 165 [120–347] | 150 [97–279] | 0.041                     | 0.807                    | 0.239                       |

Continuous variables are expressed as median (range); dichotomous variables are expressed as count (%). LTR, laryngotracheal resection; STR, segmental tracheal resection; BMI, body mass index; TEF, transesophageal fistula; STR-CT, STR with a cricotracheal anastomosis; STR-ETE, STR with an end-to-end tracheal anastomosis; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CM, Cotton-Myer classification; N/A, not available; GPA, granulomatosis with polyangiitis.
RLN palsy were significantly greater after LTR when compared to STR-ETE (34% versus 16%, P=0.034).

### Functional outcome

Of the total of 119 patients, 75 patients had more than 6 months follow-up and were alive at the time of the distribution of the questionnaires. Of these, 47 patients (63%) responded to the questionnaires, 17 patients (36%) in the LTR and 8 (17%) and 22 (47%) patients in de STR-CT and STR-ETE groups respectively. Mean follow-up at the time of the questionnaire was comparable between all groups (mean, 5.3–5.8 years; range, 0.55–14.70 years). In addition, after the initiation of the prospective database, VHI questionnaires from an additional eight patients were collected (seven patients after LTR, one after STR-ETE) and included in this analysis, totaling 55 patients providing VHI data.

With regard to QoL (Table 3), there was a significant improvement in 2 out of 5 domains of the EQ5D-VAS after LTR and STR-CT, and in four domains after STR-ETE when compared to the preoperative situation. There were no significant differences among the three groups. All airway-related scores improved significantly in the LTR and STR-ETE groups, with the most substantial improvement for dyspnea during exercise (+5.6 versus +3.8 points for LTR and STR respectively, P=0.239) and inspiratory stridor (+5.8 versus +4.4 points, P=0.283). We
Table 3  Functional outcome

| Outcome variables | LTR (n=17) | STR-CT (n=8) | STR-ETE (n=22) | P value (LTR vs. STR-ETE) | P value (LTR vs. STR-CT) | P value (STR-CT vs. STR-ETE) |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Duration of follow-up | 5.5 (±3.9) | 5.8 (±3.7) | 5.3 (±3.6) | 0.871 | 0.892 | 0.794 |
| EQ5D-VAS (0–10 points)* | | | | | | |
| Mobility | +1.6 (±5.3) | +2.1 (±4.5) | +2.4 (±3.7)* | 0.447 | 1.000 | 0.812 |
| Self-care | +1.4 (±3.1) | +1.6 (±4.1) | +2.1 (±3.9)* | 0.672 | 0.626 | 0.360 |
| Usual activities | +2.7 (±4.5)* | +3.8 (±3.7)* | +2.3 (±3.8)* | 0.599 | 0.599 | 0.269 |
| Pain or discomfort | +1.9 (±5.0) | +4.6 (±3.9)* | +1.7 (±4.0)* | 0.669 | 0.208 | 0.076 |
| Anxiety or depression | +2.4 (±2.5)* | +0.5 (±2.7) | +1.3 (±3.3) | 0.506 | 0.088 | 0.299 |
| Airway-related scores (0–10 points)* | | | | | | |
| Dyspnea at rest | +5.4 (±3.6)* | +0.8 (±4.9) | +4.1 (±4.4)* | 0.341 | 0.017 | 0.102 |
| Dyspnea during exercise | +5.6 (±3.8)* | +5.2 (±5.4)* | +3.8 (±4.8)* | 0.239 | 0.930 | 0.396 |
| Wheezing | +2.9 (±4.1)* | +2.7 (±4.5) | +3.9 (±4.8)* | 0.557 | 0.229 | 0.421 |
| Inspiratory stridor | +5.8 (±3.5)* | +4.3 (±4.2)* | +4.4 (±5.0)* | 0.283 | 0.380 | 0.866 |
| Coughing | +3.8 (±3.6)* | +2.6 (±4.8) | +1.6 (±4.1)* | 0.147 | 0.599 | 0.708 |
| Swallowing-related outcome (0–10 points)* | | | | | | |
| Dysphagia score | +0.1 (±2.0) | +2.1 (±4.7) | +1.7 (±4.8) | 0.303 | 0.844 | 0.922 |
| Voice-related outcome (VHI) | | | | | | |
| Total (0–120 points) | 28.6 (±25.0) | 11.2 (±12.0) | 17.4 (±25.4) | 0.027 | 0.056 | 1.000 |
| Functional (0–40 points) | 9.6 (±9.2) | 2.6 (±3.6) | 5.7 (±9.3) | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.881 |
| Physical (0–40 points) | 11.8 (±8.6) | 7.5 (±8.9) | 7.0 (±8.3) | 0.030 | 0.170 | 0.912 |
| Emotional (0–40 points) | 7.1 (±8.6) | 1.1 (±2.1) | 4.3 (±8.5) | 0.124 | 0.097 | 0.749 |
| Voice impairment score of the total VHI | | | | | | |
| Mild | 13 [52] | 5 [6] | 11 [48] | 0.662 | 0.216 | 0.435 |
| Moderate | 5 [20] | – | 2 [9] | – | – | – |
| Severe | 4 [16] | – | 2 [9] | – | – | – |
| Number of inclusion for the VHI | 25 | 8 | 23 | – | – | – |

Variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation of count (%). *, differences between postoperative evaluation and preoperative estimation according to visual analogue scale (VAS); +, indicates an improvement of symptoms; −, indicates worsening of symptoms; †, significant differences between postoperative evaluation and preoperative estimation according to VAS; ‡, higher score indicate more severe voice impairment. LTR, laryngotracheal resection; STR, segmental tracheal resection; EQ5D, EuroQol 5 dimensions questionnaire; VHI, voice handicap index.

did not find significant swallowing complaints after surgery in our series.

In all groups, any voice alteration was reported after surgery. The mean total VHI score was higher (indicating more impairment) in the LTR group than in the STR-ETE group (28.6 versus 17.4 points, P=0.027) (Table 3). Four patients (16%) in the LTR and 2 (9%) in the STR-ETE group had a score above 60 points, which is considered severe voice dysfunction. In total, seven patients had moderate voice dysfunction, 5 (20%) in the LTR and 2 (9%)
in the STR-ETE group. Thirteen patients in the LTR group had a mild voice dysfunction (P=0.662).

**Discussion**

In our study of 119 patients, the overall success rate was high and was similar for patients after LTR (89%) and STR-ETE (93%). The main focus of this study was to assess the impact of laryngeal involvement on postoperative results and functional outcome. Therefore, the emphasis of comparison was mainly between the LTR and the STR-ETE group. The STR-CT group can be considered an “in between group”, as we hypothesize that this is less invasive when compared to a LTR. During follow-up, the LTR and STR-ETE groups experienced a significant improvement in QoL and airway-related sensation scores. However, patients after LTR had significantly more early airway-related complications and experienced significantly more voice impairment without RLN palsy, both early postoperatively and during long-term follow-up (mean, 5.5–5.8 years).

The success rate reported in our series is consistent with other reports, varying between 83% and 98% after single-stage (laryngo)tracheal resection and reconstruction. Furthermore, (laryngo)tracheal surgery appears safe, with a mortality of 0.8% in our series, which is in accordance with a surgical mortality of 0% to 2% as reported in the literature (5,14-19).

Interestingly, we found significantly more airway-related complications after LTR versus STR, with a trend towards a higher risk of restenosis. This strengthens the notion that LTR is a more challenging and complex procedure with less predictable outcomes when compared to STR (6,14,15). This is probably due to the anatomical challenges in the laryngeal zone, caused by a very narrow tracheal lumen in this region, the attachment of the vocal cords to the arytenoids, as well as the branches of the RLN which are attached to the lateral sides of the cricoid.

Tracheal surgery is primarily focused on restoring upper airway function. Most reports focus on post-procedural tracheal lumen patency or decannulation rates as the definition for treatment success (5,7,14,15,18,20). However, functional outcome should be considered equally important, especially from the patients’ point of view. Currently there is only limited data to understand the extent and impact of voice and swallowing difficulties in patients (3,9,21). In our series, patients reported a consistent long-term improvement of dyspnea-, stridor- and coughing sensation scores in both major groups after a mean follow-up of 5.5 years. Similarly, Liberman and Mathisen (22) also reported remarkable improvement of dyspnea sensation, wheezing and coughing severity without impairment of swallowing after a tailored cricoplasty; however, their follow-up period was less than 2 years. To the best of our knowledge, our study presents functional data with the longest follow-up in the literature, confirming the long-term and lasting success of (laryngo)tracheal resection for various diseases.

Of special interest, voice function seems to be more significantly impaired after LTR compared to STR-ETE. The STR-CT group showed similar rates as in the STR-ETE group but due to small number of patients, the differences between the LTR and STR-CT group were not statistically significant. In our series, RLN palsy was seen in four patients (9%) after LTR and in two patients (4%) after STR-ETE. Our results are comparable with findings in other reported series, where RLN palsy is found in 2% to 13% of patients after (laryngo)tracheal surgery (20,23,24). On the other hand, voice alterations without RLN palsy were reported twice as much after LTR when compared to STR-ETE (34% versus 16%, P=0.034). This is similar to the study by Menapace et al. reporting 31% of poor voice outcome after LTR (25). Voice alteration without RLN palsy was noted in only two patients (13%) after STR-CT, which corresponds with our hypothesis that a cricotracheal anastomosis (STR-CT) is less invasive when compared to LTR and subsequently has less impact on voice function. We speculate that voice impairment or alterations after LTR, without RLN palsy, are at least partially caused by a change in the muscle length of the cricothyroid muscle, which overlies the anterior cricoid cartilage. The reattachment of the cricothyroid muscle influences the functional belly length. When the muscle belly is relatively too long, this will result in a lower muscle tension, causing a deeper voice. From our point of view, voice function seems to be an overlooked aspect after tracheal surgery. Just five medium-sized to large case-series (including more than 50 patients) mentioned abnormal voice outcome without RLN palsy after tracheal surgery (15-18,26). Only one study with 32 patients quantified voice outcome from good to poor (25). Patients, especially (young) female patients, can experience a deeper voice after surgery as a serious handicap (9,27). As shown in our current study, voice dysfunction is indeed an existing and a relevant problem, especially after LTR. As such, it should be part of preoperative counseling and informed consent. In addition, patients’ vocal demands should be taken into account in surgical decision making.
Although our study reports the longest functional follow-up after (laryngo)tracheal surgery in a large group of patients, several limitations of the study affect the strength of our findings and conclusions. An important limitation of this study is our retrospective baseline measurement for QoL. Recall bias is present to a large extent. Nevertheless, we believe that patients are able to mark any improvement or worsening after surgery retrospectively. Furthermore, the response rate for the functional measurements was low (63%), despite sending multiple questionnaires. This may be caused by the time frame of our study, as some patients have had surgery 20 years ago. This non-response bias may have significantly impacted our findings and conclusions, with the possibility that patients’ outcomes (whether positive or negative) affected their likelihood of responding to our questionnaires.

To address the before mentioned limitations, we have initiated a project to evaluate all patients undergoing tracheal surgery in our centre in a prospective matter, with preoperative baseline and postoperative QoL measurements through standardized questionnaires. In addition, we want to quantify voice quality by using phone tography.

In conclusion, (laryngo)tracheal resection and reconstruction is safe with low surgical mortality and a high success rate. We found a consistent functional improvement during follow-up, especially in dyspnea sensation during exercise and reduction of inspiratory stridor in all groups, without impaired swallowing. However, after resections and reconstructions involving the laryngeal region, airway-related complications were significantly more common. In addition, voice impairment (without RLN palsy) is found more frequently (34%) when compared to STR-ETE (16%). A significant higher VHI score after LTR also points out that patients do perceive their voice alteration as a serious handicap. Therefore, these findings should be used to more adequately inform patients preoperatively. However, the reports on functional outcome of this current study should be interpreted with caution due to the somewhat low response rate of the questionnaires (63%).
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