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1. Introduction

\textit{Eupatorium fortunei} Turcz. (Asteraceae) is a perennial herb widely distributed in China. The aerial part of \textit{E. fortunei} (Chinese name: Pei-Lan) has been used as a traditional medicine for the treatment of various diseases such as flu, poor appetite, constipation, nausea, and sirosis [1]. Diverse monoterprenoids [2–6], triterpenoids [2,7], sesquiterpenoids, sterioisomer, coumarins [7], pyrrolizidine alkaloids [7,8], benzofuran [4,9], and their derivatives were isolated from this species in the past studies. Many of these isolated compounds showed anti-inflammatory [5], anti-bacterial [3,4], anti-cancer [6], and anti-diabetic [7] activities. In our study on the cytotoxic constituents of Chinese herbal medicines, many species have been screened for cytotoxic effect, and \textit{E. fortunei} has been found to be an active species. Two new dibenzo[\(b,d\)]furan derivatives, eupatodibenzo[\(ab\)]furan A (1) and eupatodibenzo[\(ab\)]furan B (2), a new 4-chromanone, 6-acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one (3), a new acetophenone derivative, eupatofortunone (4), a new dithiecine derivative, eupatodithiecine (5), and eleven known compounds (6–16) have been isolated and confirmed from the aerial part of \textit{E. fortunei}. This report describes the structural elucidation of five new compounds 1–5 and the inhibitory activities of 1 against non-small-cell lung cancer and breast cancer cells.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. General

Separation of the EtOAc-soluble fraction of a MeOH extract of the aerial part of *E. fortunei* by silica gel chromatography and preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) afforded five new (1–5) and eleven known compounds (6–16) (Figure 1).

Figure 1. The chemical structures of new compounds 1–5 and known compounds 6–16 isolated from *E. fortunei*.
2.2. Structure Elucidation of the New Compounds

Compound 1 was obtained as colorless needles. Its molecular formula C$_{22}$H$_{18}$O$_{4}$ was deduced from a sodium adduct ion at m/z 369.11041 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd 369.11028) in the HRESIMS spectrum. The presence of hydroxyl (3439 cm$^{-1}$) and conjugated carbonyl (1645 cm$^{-1}$) groups were revealed from the IR spectrum. Analysis of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data of 1 showed the signals for an acetyl group [$\delta^1_H$ 2.41 (3H, s, Ac-2); $\delta^{13}_C$ 26.2 (COMe), 203.9 (COMe)], a chelated hydroxyl group [$\delta^1_H$ 12.65 (1H, s, OH-3)], a methyl group [$\delta^1_H$ 2.62 (3H, s, Me-6); $\delta^{13}_C$ 15.1 (Me-6)], a 2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl group [$\delta^1_H$ 2.44 (3H, s, Me-4'); $\delta^{13}_C$ 21.4 (Me-4'), 116.1 (C-3'), 121.7 (C-5'), 122.4 (C-1'), 130.2 (C-6'), 140.4 (C-4'), 152.7 (C-2')], two ortho-coupled aromatic protons [$\delta^1_H$ 7.22 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-8), 7.33 (1H, br d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-7); $\delta^{13}_C$ 125.1 (C-8), 128.6 (C-7)], and two singlet aromatic protons [$\delta^1_H$ 7.07 (1H, s, H-4), 7.65 (1H, s, H-1); $\delta^{13}_C$ 99.8 (C-4), 125.4 (C-1)] (Table 1). The position of each substituent was supported by ROESY correlations between H-1 ($\delta^1_H$ 7.65)/Ac-2 ($\delta^1_H$ 2.41), Me-6 ($\delta^1_H$ 2.62)/H-7 ($\delta^1_H$ 7.33), OH-2' ($\delta^1_H$ 4.97)/H-3' ($\delta^1_H$ 6.96), H-3' ($\delta^1_H$ 6.96)/Me-4' ($\delta^1_H$ 2.44), and Me-4' ($\delta^1_H$ 2.44)/H-5' ($\delta^1_H$ 6.93) and by HMBC correlation between Ac-2 ($\delta^1_H$ 2.41)/C-2 ($\delta^{13}_C$ 116.0), OH-3 ($\delta^1_H$ 12.65)/C-2 ($\delta^{13}_C$ 125.1 (C-8), 128.6 (C-7), and two singlet aromatic protons [$\delta^1_H$ 7.07 (1H, s, H-4), 7.65 (1H, s, H-1); $\delta^{13}_C$ 99.8 (C-4), 125.4 (C-1)] (Table 1). The full assignment of $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR resonances was supported by $^1$H–$^1$H COSY, HSQC, ROESY (Figure 2A), and HMBC (Figure 2B) spectral analyses. Thus, the structure of 1 was elucidated as 1-(3-hydroxy-9-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)-6-methyldibenzo[b,d]furan-2-yl)ethenone, named eupatodibenzofuran A.

| Position | $\delta^1_H$ (J in Hz) | $\delta^{13}_C$ | $\delta^1_H$ (J in Hz) | $\delta^{13}_C$ |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 7.65, s                 | 125.4           | 7.59, s                | 125.1           |
| 2        |                        | 116.6           |                        | 116.0           |
| 3        |                        | 163.2           |                        | 162.9           |
| 4        | 7.07, s                 | 99.8            | 7.05, s                | 99.6            |
| 4a       |                        | 161.2           |                        | 161.3           |
| 5a       |                        | 155.9           |                        | 155.4           |
| 6        |                        | 121.8           |                        | 120.5           |
| 7        | 7.33, br d (7.5)        | 128.6           | 7.27, br d (7.6)       | 127.9           |
| 8        | 7.22, d (7.5)          | 125.1           | 7.17, d (7.6)          | 125.0           |
| 9        |                        | 127.7           |                        | 130.6           |
| 9a       |                        | 121.9           |                        | 121.7           |
| 9b       |                        | 116.3           |                        | 117.8           |
| 1'       |                        | 122.4           |                        | 125.4           |
| 2'       |                        | 152.7           |                        | 156.7           |
| 3'       | 6.96, br s             | 116.1           | 6.91, br s             | 111.5           |
| 4'       |                        | 140.4           |                        | 139.8           |
| 5'       | 6.93, br d (7.5)       | 121.7           | 6.95, br d (7.5)       | 121.4           |
| 6'       | 7.25, d (7.5)          | 130.2           | 7.28, d (7.5)          | 131.0           |
| COMe-2   |                        | 203.9           |                        | 203.7           |
| COMe-2   | 2.41, s                | 26.2            | 2.40, s                | 26.2            |
| OH-3     | 12.65, s               | 12.62           |                        | 15.1            |
| Me-6     | 2.62, s                | 15.1            | 2.60, s                | 15.1            |
| OH-2'    | 4.97, s                | 3.70, s         | 21.4                   | 21.7            |
| OMe-2'   |                        | 21.4            |                        | 21.7            |
| Me-4'    | 2.44, s                | 3.70, s         | 21.4                   | 21.7            |
Compound 2 was isolated as amorphous powder with molecular formula C_{22}H_{20}O_{4}, as determined by positive-ion HRESIMS, showing an [M + Na]^+ ion at m/z 383.12612 (calcd for C_{22}H_{20}O_{4}Na, 383.12593). The presence of a conjugated carbonyl group was revealed by a band at 1645 cm\(^{-1}\) in the IR spectrum, and was confirmed by the resonance at δC 203.7 in the \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum. The \(^{1}H\) NMR data of 2 were similar to eupatodibenzo[b,f]furan A (1), except that the 2′-methoxy group [\(\delta_H\) 3.70 (3H, s), \(\delta_C\) 55.5] of 2 replaced the 2′-hydroxy group [\(\delta_H\) 4.97 (1H, s)] of 1. This was supported by the ROESY correlations between OMe-2′ (\(\delta_H\) 3.70) and H-3′ (\(\delta_H\) 6.91) and by the HMBC correlations between OMe-2′ (\(\delta_C\) 3.70) and C-2′ (\(\delta_C\) 156.7) (Table 1). The position of each substituent was supported by ROESY correlations between H-1 (\(\delta_H\) 7.59)/Ac-2 (\(\delta_H\) 2.40), Me-6 (\(\delta_H\) 2.60)/H-7 (\(\delta_H\) 7.27), H-7 (\(\delta_H\) 7.27)/H-8 (\(\delta_H\) 7.17), OMe-2′ (\(\delta_H\) 3.70)/H-3′ (\(\delta_H\) 6.91), H-3′ (\(\delta_H\) 6.91)/Me-4′ (\(\delta_H\) 2.49), and Me-4′ (\(\delta_H\) 2.49)/H-5′ (\(\delta_H\) 6.95) and by HMBC correlation between ‘H-1 (\(\delta_H\) 7.59)/MeCO-2 (\(\delta_C\) 203.7), C-3 (\(\delta_C\) 162.9), C-4a (\(\delta_C\) 161.3), C-9a (\(\delta_C\) 121.7), ‘Ac-2 (\(\delta_H\) 2.40)/C-2 (\(\delta_C\) 116.0), ‘OH-3 (\(\delta_H\) 12.62)/C-2 (\(\delta_C\) 116.0), ‘H-4 (\(\delta_H\) 7.05)/C-2 (\(\delta_C\) 116.0), C-9b (\(\delta_C\) 117.8), ‘Me-6 (\(\delta_H\) 2.60)/C-5a (\(\delta_C\) 155.4), C-7 (\(\delta_C\) 127.9), ‘H-7 (\(\delta_H\) 7.27)/C-5a (\(\delta_C\) 155.4), ‘H-8 (\(\delta_H\) 7.17)/C-6 (\(\delta_C\) 120.5), C-9a (\(\delta_C\) 121.7), C-1′ (\(\delta_C\) 125.4), ‘H-6′ (\(\delta_H\) 7.28)/C-9 (\(\delta_C\) 130.6), C-2′ (\(\delta_C\) 156.7), C-4′ (\(\delta_C\) 139.8), ‘OMe-2′ (\(\delta_H\) 3.70)/C-2′ (\(\delta_C\) 156.7), ‘Me-4′ (\(\delta_H\) 2.49)/C-4′ (\(\delta_C\) 139.8), C-5′ (\(\delta_C\) 121.4) (Figure 3). The full assignment of \(^{1}H\) and \(^{13}\)C NMR resonances was confirmed by \(^{1}H−^{1}H\) COSY, ROESY (Figure 3A), HSQC, and HMBC (Figure 3B) techniques. According to the evidence above, the structure of 2 was elucidated as 1-(3-hydroxy-9-(2-methoxy-4-methylphenyl)-6-methyl dibenzo[b,f]furan-2-yl)ethan-1-one, named eupatodibenzo[b,f]furan B.

Compound 3 was isolated as light brown amorphous powder. Its molecular formula, C_{14}H_{18}O_{4}, was determined on the basis of the positive HRESIMS at m/z 249.1123 [M + H]^+ (calcd 249.1121) and was supported by the \(^{1}H\) and \(^{13}\)C NMR data. The IR absorption bands of 3 revealed the presence of carbonyl (1686 cm\(^{-1}\) function. Analyses of the \(^{1}H\) and \(^{13}\)C
NMR data of 3 showed the signals for two methyl groups \([\delta_H 1.55 (6H, s, Me-2 × 2); \delta_C 26.5 (Me-2 × 2)]\), an acetyl group \([\delta_H 2.60 (3H, s, Ac-6); \delta_C 26.2 (COMe), 196.6 (COMe)]\), a methoxy group \([\delta_H 3.95 (3H, s, OMe-8); \delta_C 56.4 (OMe-8)]\), two meta-coupled aromatic protons \([\delta_H 7.70, 8.08 (each 1H, each d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-7 and H-5); \delta_C 114.3 (C-7), 120.0 (C-5)]\), and two methylene protons \([\delta_H 2.79 (2H, s, H-3); \delta_C 48.5 (C-3)]\) (Table 2). The position of each substituent was supported by the ROESY correlations between Me-2 (\(\delta_H 1.55\))/H-3 (\(\delta_H 2.79\)), H-5 (\(\delta_H 8.08\))/Ac-6 (\(\delta_H 2.60\), Ac-6 (\(\delta_H 2.60\))/H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\)), and H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\))/OMe-8 (\(\delta_H 3.95\)) and HMBC correlations between 'Me-2 (\(\delta_C 26.5\)), C-14 (\(\delta_C 16.0\)), C-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\)), C-7 (\(\delta_C 191.7\)), Me-2 (\(\delta_C 26.5\)), 'H-5 (\(\delta_H 8.08\))/C-4 (\(\delta_C 191.7\)), MeCO-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\), C-7 (\(\delta_C 114.3\)), C-8a (\(\delta_C 154.0\)), 'H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\))/C-5 (\(\delta_C 120.0\)), MeCO-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\), C-8a (\(\delta_C 154.0\)), and 'OMe-8 (\(\delta_H 3.95\))/C-8 (\(\delta_C 149.8\)) (Figure 4). The full assignment of \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR resonances was further confirmed by the \(^1\)H-\(^1\)H COSY, HSQC, 1D-selective NOESY (Figure 4A), and HMBC (Figure 4B) data. Consequently, the structure of compound 3 was established as 6-acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one.

### Table 2. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR data of 3.

| Position | \(\delta_H / (Hz)^{\text{a}}\) | \(\delta_C^{\text{b}}\) |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2        | 3.95, s                        | 81.0                  |
| 3        | 2.79, s                        | 48.5                  |
| 4        | 8.08, d (2.0)                  | 120.0                 |
| 4a       | 7.70, d (2.0)                  | 114.3                 |
| 5        | 8.08, d (2.0)                  | 120.0                 |
| 6        |                                | 129.6                 |
| 7        |                                | 149.8                 |
| 8        |                                | 154.0                 |
| Me-2     | 1.55, s                        | 26.5                  |
| COMe-6   | 2.60, s                        | 26.2                  |
| 13C NMR data of 3 showed the signals for two methyl groups \([\delta_H 1.55 (6H, s, Me-2 × 2); \delta_C 26.5 (Me-2 × 2)]\), an acetyl group \([\delta_H 2.60 (3H, s, Ac-6); \delta_C 26.2 (COMe), 196.6 (COMe)]\), a methoxy group \([\delta_H 3.95 (3H, s, OMe-8); \delta_C 56.4 (OMe-8)]\), two meta-coupled aromatic protons \([\delta_H 7.70, 8.08 (each 1H, each d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-7 and H-5); \delta_C 114.3 (C-7), 120.0 (C-5)]\), and two methylene protons \([\delta_H 2.79 (2H, s, H-3); \delta_C 48.5 (C-3)]\) (Table 2). The position of each substituent was supported by the ROESY correlations between Me-2 (\(\delta_H 1.55\))/H-3 (\(\delta_H 2.79\)), H-5 (\(\delta_H 8.08\))/Ac-6 (\(\delta_H 2.60\), Ac-6 (\(\delta_H 2.60\))/H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\)), and H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\))/OMe-8 (\(\delta_H 3.95\)) and HMBC correlations between 'Me-2 (\(\delta_C 26.5\)), C-14 (\(\delta_C 16.0\)), C-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\)), C-7 (\(\delta_C 191.7\)), Me-2 (\(\delta_C 26.5\)), 'H-5 (\(\delta_H 8.08\))/C-4 (\(\delta_C 191.7\)), MeCO-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\), C-7 (\(\delta_C 114.3\)), C-8a (\(\delta_C 154.0\)), 'H-7 (\(\delta_H 7.70\))/C-5 (\(\delta_C 120.0\)), MeCO-6 (\(\delta_C 196.6\), C-8a (\(\delta_C 154.0\)), and 'OMe-8 (\(\delta_H 3.95\))/C-8 (\(\delta_C 149.8\)) (Figure 4). The full assignment of \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR resonances was further confirmed by the \(^1\)H-\(^1\)H COSY, HSQC, 1D-selective NOESY (Figure 4A), and HMBC (Figure 4B) data. Consequently, the structure of compound 3 was established as 6-acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one.

### Table 2. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR data of 3.

| Position | \(\delta_H / (Hz)^{\text{a}}\) | \(\delta_C^{\text{b}}\) |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2        | 3.95, s                        | 81.0                  |
| 3        | 2.79, s                        | 48.5                  |
| 4        | 8.08, d (2.0)                  | 120.0                 |
| 4a       | 7.70, d (2.0)                  | 114.3                 |
| 5        | 8.08, d (2.0)                  | 120.0                 |
| 6        |                                | 129.6                 |
| 7        |                                | 149.8                 |
| 8        |                                | 154.0                 |
| Me-2     | 1.55, s                        | 26.5                  |
| COMe-6   | 2.60, s                        | 26.2                  |
| OMe-8    | 3.95, s                        | 56.4                  |

\(^{\text{a}}\) Recorded in CDCl₃ at 500 MHz. Values in ppm (δ). J (in Hz) in parentheses. \(^{\text{b}}\) Recorded in CDCl₃ at 125 MHz.

![Figure 4. Key 1D-selective NOESY (A) and HMBC (B) correlations of 3.](image-url)
substituent was supported by the ROESY correlations between Ac-2 (δ_H 2.52)/H-3 (δ_H 7.74), H-3 (δ_H 7.74)/H-4 (δ_H 7.12), H-4 (δ_H 7.12)/Me-5 (δ_H 2.40), Me-5 (δ_H 2.40)/H-6 (δ_H 6.94), H-3' (δ_H 6.30)/H-4' (δ_H 2.08), and H-3' (δ_H 6.30)/H-5' (δ_H 2.08) and by HMBC correlations between 'COMe-2 (δ_C 128.3), 'COMe-2 (δ_C 197.1), 'H-3 (δ_H 7.74)/C-1 (δ_C 149.3), 'COMe-2 (δ_C 197.1), C-5 (δ_C 144.7), 'H-4 (δ_C 7.12)/C-2 (δ_C 128.3), C-6 (δ_C 124.4), Me-5 (δ_C 21.4), 'Me-5 (δ_H 2.40)/C-4 (δ_C 126.7), C-5 (δ_C 144.7), C-6 (δ_C 124.4), 'H-6 (δ_H 6.94)/C-1 (δ_C 149.3), C-2 (δ_C 128.3), C-4 (δ_C 126.7), Me-5 (δ_C 21.4), 'H-4' (δ_H 2.08)/C-2' (δ_C 127.0)' and 'H-5' (δ_H 2.08)/C-1' (δ_C 166.1), C-2' (δ_C 127.0), C-3' (δ_C 141.4) (Figure 5). The full assignment of ^1H and ^13C NMR resonances was supported by ^1H–^1H COSY, HSQC, ROESY (Figure 5A), and HMBC (Figure 5B) spectral analyses. According to the above data, the structure of 4 was elucidated as (Z)-2-acetyl-5-methylphenyl 2-methylbut-2-enoate, named eupatofortunone.

Table 3. ^1H and ^13C NMR data of 4.

| Position | δ_H J (Hz) a | δ_C b |
|----------|--------------|-------|
| 1        | 149.3        |       |
| 2        | 128.3        |       |
| 3        | 7.74, d (8.0) | 130.4 |
| 4        | 7.12, br d (8.0) | 126.7 |
| 5        | 144.7        |       |
| 6        | 6.94, br s   | 124.4 |
| 1'       | 166.1        |       |
| 2'       | 127.0        |       |
| 3'       | 6.30, qq (7.3, 1.8) | 141.4 |
| 4'       | 2.08, dq (7.3, 1.3) | 16.0 |
| 5'       | 2.08, qd (1.8, 1.3) | 20.6 |
| COMe-2   | 197.1        |       |
| COMe-2   | 2.52, s      | 29.4  |
| Me-5     | 2.40, s      | 21.4  |

a Recorded in CDCl₃ at 500 MHz. Values in ppm (δ). J (in Hz) in parentheses. b Recorded in CDCl₃ at 125 MHz.

Figure 5. Key ROESY (A) and HMBC (B) correlations of 4.

Compound 5 was isolated as light brown amorphous powder. Its molecular formula, C₂₀H₂₀O₄S₂, was determined on the basis of the positive HRESIMS at m/z 411.07026 [M + Na]^+ (calcd 411.07007) and was supported by the ^1H and ^13C NMR data. The IR absorption bands of 5 revealed the presence of alkynyl (2230 cm⁻¹) and conjugated carbonyl (1639 cm⁻¹) functions. Analysis of the ^1H and ^13C NMR data of 5 revealed the signals for an acetyl group [δ_H 2.50 (3H, s, Ac-2); δ_C 29.4 (COMe-2), 190.2 (COMe-2)], a prop-1-yn-1-yl group [δ_H 2.09 (3H, s, C≡CMe); δ_C 4.8 (C≡CMe), 73.2 (C≡CMe), 94.8 (C≡CMe)], a methoxy group [δ_H 3.94 (3H, s, OMe-5); δ_C 58.8 (OMe-5)], and a singlet aromatic proton [δ_H 6.84 (1H, s, H-4); δ_C 119.1 (C-4)] (Table 4). The position of each substituent was supported by the HMBC correlation between ‘COMe (δ_H 2.50)/C-2 (δ_C 122.6), ‘COMe-2 (δ_C 190.2), ‘C≡CMe (δ_H 2.09)/C≡CMe (δ_C 73.2), C≡CMe (δ_C 94.8), 'H-4 (δ_H 6.84)/C-2 (δ_C 122.6), C-3 (δ_C 130.6), C≡CMe (δ_C 73.2), ‘OMe-5 (δ_H 3.94)/C-5 (δ_C 159.3) and by the 1D selective NOESY correlation between H-4 (δ_H 6.84) and OMe-5 (δ_H 3.94) (Figure 6). According to
the $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR, and HR-ESI-MS data, the number of resonances observed was half that expected, suggesting that 5 had a symmetrical structure. The full assignment of $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR resonances was further confirmed by $^1$H-$^1$H COSY, 1D-selective NOESY (Figure 6A), HSQC, and HMBC (Figure 6B) data. Consequently, the structure of compound 5 was established as $1,1'$-((2E,4Z,7Z,9E)-5,7-dimethoxy-3,9-di(prop-1-yn-1-yl)-1,6-dithiecine-2,10-diyl)diethanone, named eupatodithiecine.

**Table 4. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data of 5.**

| Position | $\delta$H J (Hz) | $\delta$C  |
|----------|------------------|------------|
| 2        | 122.6            |            |
| 3        | 130.6            |            |
| 4        | 6.84, s          | 119.1      |
| 5        |                  | 159.3      |
| 7        |                  | 159.3      |
| 8        | 6.84, s          | 119.1      |
| 9        |                  | 130.6      |
| 10       | 122.6            |            |
| COMe-2   |                  | 190.2      |
| COMe-2   |                  | 29.4       |
| C≡CMe-3  | 2.50, s          | 73.2       |
| C≡CMe-3  |                  | 94.8       |
| C≡CMe-3  | 2.09, s          | 4.8        |
| OMe-5    | 3.94, s          | 58.8       |
| OMe-7    | 3.94, s          | 58.8       |
| C≡CMe-9  |                  | 73.2       |
| C≡CMe-9  |                  | 94.8       |
| C≡CMe-9  | 2.09, s          | 4.8        |
| COMe-10  | 2.50, s          | 190.2      |
| COMe-10  |                  | 29.4       |

*Recorded in CDCl$_3$ at 500 MHz. Values in ppm ($\delta$). J (in Hz) in parentheses. Recorded in CDCl$_3$ at 125 MHz.

**Figure 6.** Key 1D-selective NOESY (A) and HMBC (B) correlations of 5.

2.3. Structure Identification of the Known Isolated Compounds

The known compounds were readily identified by a comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data (UV, IR, $^1$H NMR, and MS) with those of authentic samples or literature values. They include four thymol derivatives, thymyl angelate (6) [10], 8,9-dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7) [4], 9-angeloyloxythymol (8) [5], and 9-O-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (9) [11], five phenol derivatives, 2-hydroxy-4-methylacetophenone (10) [12], trans-o-coumaric acid (11) [13], 6-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropenyl-5-acetylcumaran (12) [14], 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol (13) [15], and 1-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-4-methylphenyl)ethanone (14) [16], a coumarin (15) [17], and a triterpenoid, taraxasterol (16) [18].
2.4. Biological Studies

The cytotoxic effects of the isolated compounds from *E. fortunei* were evaluated by their activities to suppress A549 and MCF-7 cells. The cytotoxic activity data are shown in Table 5. Among the isolated compounds, compound 1 exhibited potent inhibitory activities with IC$_{50}$ values of 5.95 ± 0.89 and 5.32 ± 0.31 µM, respectively, against A549 and MCF-7 cells. In addition, colony-formation assay was performed to estimate the effects of compound 1 on the proliferation of A549 and MCF-7 cells. As shown in Figure 7, compound 1 reduced colony formation in a dose-dependent manner in both cell lines. In addition, we generated physicochemical properties (Table S1) of compound 1 using Pipeline Pilot [19]. The ALogP98 value, molecular polar surface area, and ADMET absorption level suggest that compound 1 is hydrophobic and may have good permeability to cross the cell membrane, which may account for the cytotoxic effects of compound 1.

### Table 5. In vitro inhibitory effects of compounds 1-16 isolated from the aerial part of *E. fortunei* against A549 and MCF-7 cells.

| Compound                                      | IC$_{50}$ (µM) | A549 | MCF-7 |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|------|-------|
| Eupatodibenzoferan A (1)                      | 5.95 ± 0.89 ** | 5.55 ± 0.23 ** |
| Eupatodibenzoferan B (2)                      | 93.37 ± 1.14   | 85.91 ± 3.94 |
| 6-Acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one (3) | >100           | >100 |
| Eupatofortunone (4)                           | 86.63 ± 10.89 *| 82.15 ± 8.26 ** |
| Eupatodithiecine (5)                          | 39.44 ± 2.81 * | 31.20 ± 4.23 * |
| Thymyl angelate (6)                           | >100           | >100 |
| 8,9-Dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7)             | >100           | >100 |
| 9-Angeloyloxythymol (8)                       | 60.08 ± 3.39 * | 52.11 ± 2.16 * |
| 9-O-Angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (9)          | 55.36 ± 0.80 * | 51.70 ± 0.48 * |
| 2-Hydroxy-4-methylacetophenone (10)          | >100           | >100 |
| trans-o-Coumaric acid (11)                    | >100           | >100 |
| 6-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropenyl-5-acetylcumaran (12) | 73.97 ± 2.88 * | 72.67 ± 3.51 * |
| 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol (13)                  | >100           | >100 |
| 1-(2-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-4-methylphenyl)ethanone (14) | >100           | >100 |
| Coumarin (15)                                 | >100           | >100 |
| Taraxasterol (16)                             | 94.79 ± 10.23 *| 93.59 ± 6.11 * |
| 5-Fluorouracil (5FU) b                        | 10.57 ± 1.89 **| 8.59 ± 1.03 ** |

*The IC$_{50}$ values were calculated from the slope of the dose-response curves (SigmaPlot). Values are expressed as average ± SEM (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared with the control. b 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) was used as a positive control against A549 and MCF-7 cells.

To further confirm whether apoptosis was triggered, annexin V/propidium iodide (PI) assay was performed and the expression levels of apoptosis-associated proteins, Bcl-2, Bax, and caspase-3 were analyzed by Western blot analysis after A549 and MCF-7 cells were treated with compound 1. As shown in Figure 8A,B, compound 1 significantly induced the cell apoptosis in A549 and MCF-7 cells, respectively. Furthermore, compound 1 increased the expression of Bax and cleaved-caspase-3, and decreased Bcl-2 and pro-caspase-3 levels in a dose-dependent manner in both A549 and MCF-7 cells (Figure 9A,B). The above results confirm that compound 1 markedly induces apoptosis of A549 and MCF-7 cells through mitochondrial- and caspase-3-dependent pathways (Scheme 1).
Compound 1 inhibited the growth of human non-small-cell lung cancer cell (A549) and human breast cancer cell (MCF-7) in a dose-dependent manner (1.25–10 μM) by the colony-formation assay. The data were expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001) compared with the control group.

To further confirm whether apoptosis was triggered, annexin V/propidium iodide (PI) assay was performed and the expression levels of apoptosis-associated proteins, Bcl-2, Bax, and caspase-3 were analyzed by Western blot analysis after A549 and MCF-7 cells were treated with compound 1. As shown in Figure 8A,B, compound 1 significantly induced the cell apoptosis in A549 and MCF-7 cells, respectively. Furthermore, compound 1 increased the expression of Bax and cleaved-caspase-3, and decreased Bcl-2 and pro-caspase-3 levels in a dose-dependent manner in both A549 and MCF-7 cells (Figure 9A,B). The above results confirm that compound 1 markedly induces apoptosis of A549 and MCF-7 cells through mitochondrial- and caspase-3-dependent pathways (Scheme 1).

To further understand the mechanism of compound 1 in this study, we predicted potential targets using the similarity ensemble approach server [20]. This approach predicts possible target proteins of a compound by comparing chemical similarities. Compound 1 was predicted to target four proteins (Supplementary Materials Table S2), including PON1, CELA1, CBR1, and NQO1. The Tanimoto coefficients (Tc) of chemical similarity were generated for the predicted targets. The Tc score ranges from 0.0 (no similarity) to 1.0 (total similarity). The P-value indicates the prediction reliability, and a value approaching zero means a reliable prediction. The possible targets may account for the inhibition mechanisms of compound 1.
Figure 8. Compound 1 induced cell apoptosis in A549 (A) and MCF-7 (B) cells. Cells were treated with compound 1 (0, 5, 10 μM) for 24 h. Apoptotic cells with Annexin V-FITC and PI staining were analyzed by flow cytometer. The data were expressed as means ± SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences (* p < 0.05) compared to the control group.
Figure 9. Compound 1 inhibited the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2, increased pro-apoptotic protein Bax, and activated caspase-3 in A549 (A) and MCF-7 (B) cells. Cells were treated with 1 (1.25–10 μM) for 72 h. Bcl-2, Bax, pro-caspase-3, and cleaved-caspase-3 were analyzed by immunoblotting. Densitometric analysis of tested compounds was normalized to the corresponding expression of β-actin. The data were expressed as means ± SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001) compared to the control group.
Scheme 1. The mechanism of apoptosis for compound 1 in A549 and MCF-7 cells.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Ultraviolet (UV) spectra were obtained on a Jasco UV-240 spectrophotometer. Infrared (IR) spectra (neat or KBr) were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 2000 FT-IR spectrometer.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra, including correlation spectroscopy (COSY), rotating frame nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY), nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY), heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC), and heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) experiments, were acquired using a BRUKER AVIII-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Bremen, Germany), operating at 500 MHz \((^1\text{H})\) and 125 MHz \((^{13}\text{C})\), respectively, with chemical shifts given in the ppm \((\delta)\) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Electrospray ionization (ESI) and high-resolution electrospray ionization (HRESI)-mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker APEX II Mass Spectrometer (Bruker, Bremen, Germany). Silica gel \([70–230 \text{ mesh (63–200 } \mu\text{m}) \text{ and } 230–400 \text{ mesh (40–63 } \mu\text{m)}\], Merck\] was used for column chromatography (CC). Silica gel 60 F-254 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and preparative thin-layer chromatography (PTLC).

3.2. Plant Material

The aerial part of \textit{E. fortunei} collected from Dihua St., Datong Dist., Taipei City, Taiwan, in May 2019 and identified by Prof. J.-J. Chen. A voucher specimen was deposited in the Department of Pharmacy, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

The aerial part of \textit{E. fortunei} (5.0 kg) was pulverized and extracted three times with MeOH (30 L each) for 3 days. The MeOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure at 35 °C, and the residue (123.7 g) was partitioned between EtOAc and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (1:1) to provide the EtOAc-soluble fraction (fraction A, 25.6 g). Fraction A (25.6 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (70–230 mesh, 1.3 kg), eluting with \(n\)-hexane, gradually increasing the polarity with EtOAc to give ten fractions: A1 (1 L, \(n\)-hexane/EtOAc, 100:1), A2
Fraction A2 (1.8 g) was subjected to column chromatography (CC) (85 g of silica gel, 230–400 mesh, n-hexane/acetone, 50:1–0:1, 250 mL–fractions) to give 11 subfractions: A2-1–A2-11. Part (125 mg) of fraction A2-2 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/EtOAc, 30:1) to yield thymyl angelate (6) (5.2 mg) and 8,9-dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7) (4.1 mg). Part (95 mg) of fraction A2-5 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/CH₂Cl₂, 9:1) to obtain 2-hydroxy-4-methylacetophenone (10) (3.2 mg). Part (76 mg) of fraction A2-8 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/EtOAc, 19:1) to afford eupatofortunone (4) (4.6 mg). Fraction A3 (1.6 g) was subjected to CC (72 g of silica gel, 230–400 mesh, n-hexane/EtOAc, 20:1–0:1, 250 mL–fractions) to give ten subfractions: A3-1–A3-10. Part (135 mg) of fraction A3-9 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/acetone, 5:1) to obtain coumarin (15) (7.8 mg). Fraction A4 (2.3 g) was purified by medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) (105 g of silica gel, 230–400 mesh, n-hexane/acetone, 19:1–0:1, 250 mL–fractions) to give eight subfractions: A4-1–A4-8. Fraction A4-5 (265 mg) was purified by MPLC (11.9 g of silica gel, n-hexane/EtOAc, 7:1) to afford four subfractions (each 150 mL, A4-5-1–A4-5-4). Fraction A4-5-3 (48 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 9:1) to obtain trans-O-coumaric acid (11) (8.9 mg). Fraction A4-7 (53 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/acetone, 8:1) to obtain 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol (13) (3.2 mg). Fraction A6 (2.2 g) was subjected to MPLC (100 g of silica gel, 230–400 mesh; CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 15:1–0:1, 250 mL–fractions) to give 13 subfractions: A6-1–A6-13. Part (92 mg) of fraction A6-6 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/acetone, 7:1) to afford eupatofidenzofuran A (1) (4.3 mg) and eupatofidenbenezofuran B (2) (3.2 mg). Fraction A6-7 (226 mg) was purified by MPLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/acetone, 4:1) to afford five subfractions (A6-7-1–A6-7-5, each 150 mL). Fraction A6-7-2 (49 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 5:1) to obtain taxasterol (16) (4.7 mg). Part (92 mg) of fraction A6-10 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 4:1) to yield 9-angeloloxethyl (8) (5.1 mg) and 9-O-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (9) (4.3 mg). Part (111 mg) of fraction A6-11 was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 2:1) to obtain 6-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2-isopropenyl-5-acetylcumaran (12) (5.3 mg). Part (75 mg) of fraction A6-13 was further purified by semipreparative normal-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, 4:1, 2.0 mL min⁻¹) to afford 1-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxy-4-methylphenyl)ethanone (14) (4.2 mg). Fraction A8 (1.6 g) was subjected to CC (72 g of silica gel, 230–400 mesh, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1–0:1, 250 mL–fractions) to give ten subfractions: A8-1–A8-10. Fraction A8-4 (88 mg) was further purified by preparative normal-phase HPLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 9:1, 2.0 mL min⁻¹) to afford eupatodithiecine (5) (3.7 mg). Part (93 mg) of fraction A8-7 was further purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 4:1) to yield 6-acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one (3) (4.4 mg).

**Eupatofidenzofuran A (1)**: Colorless needles; mp 153–155 °C (CH₂Cl₂); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 238 (4.09), 272 (3.97), 352 (1.43) nm; IR (KBr) vmax 3439 (OH), 1645 (C=O) cm⁻¹; 1H and 13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 369.11041 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C₂₂H₁₉O₄Na, 369.11028); HRESIMS, 1D, and 2D-NMR spectra, see Supplementary Materials Figures S1–S7.

**Eupatofidenbenezofuran B (2)**: Amorphous powder; UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 238 (4.29), 271 (4.12), 348 (3.24) nm; IR (neat) vmax 3429 (OH), 1645 (C=O) cm⁻¹; 1H and 13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 383.12612 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C₂₇H₂₂O₄Na, 383.12593); HRESIMS, 1D, and 2D-NMR spectra, see Supplementary Materials Figures S8–S14.

6-Acetyl-8-methoxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one (3): Light brown amorphous powder; UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 247 (4.08), 280 (sh, 3.64), 329 (3.24) nm; IR (neat) vmax 1686 (C=O) cm⁻¹; 1H and 13C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 249.1123 [M + H]+
Eupatofortunone (4): Colorless oil; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 211 (4.18), 246 (sh, 3.97), 284 (sh, 3.06) nm; IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 1736 (C=O), 1684 (C=O) cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 3; HRESIMS $m/z$ 255.10030 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{16}$O$_3$Na, 255.09971); HRESIMS, 1D-, and 2D-NMR spectra, see Supplementary Materials Figures S24–S30.

Eupatodithiecine (5): Light brown amorphous powder; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (log $\varepsilon$) 227 (4.03), 323 (4.26) nm; IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 2230 (C≡C), 1639 (C=O) cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 4; HRESIMS $m/z$ 411.07026 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{20}$O$_4$S$_2$Na, 411.07007); HRESIMS, 1D-, and 2D-NMR spectra, see Supplementary Materials Figures S31–S37.

3.4. Biological Assay

3.4.1. Cell Culture

All cell lines were cultured at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO$_2$. Human non-small-cell lung cancer cell (A549) and human breast cancer cell (MCF-7) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) and cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Himedia, India) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Avantor Seradigm/VWR, Radnor, PA, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Himedia, India). Cells were washed by warm phosphate buffered saline (PBS) every day and changed medium every 2–3 days [21,22].

3.4.2. Cell Viability Assay

The cell viability was conducted by the MTT assay as previously described method [23]. Briefly, $5 \times 10^3$ cells in 200 µL per well were plated in 96-well culture plates and cultured in complete medium overnight. After 24 h, cells were treated with different concentrations (3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µM) of compounds 1–16. Fluorouracil (5-FU) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as a positive control against A549 and MCF-7 cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 10.57 ± 1.89 and 8.59 ± 1.03 µM, respectively. The optical density at 570 nm was measured by ELISA plate reader (£Quant) and the IC$_{50}$ value was calculated. The optical density of formazan formed in control (untreated) cells was taken as 100% viability.

3.4.3. Colony-Formation Assay

The colony-formation assay was determined by the reference method with a slight modification [24]. In this assay, A549 and MCF-7 cells were seeded in 6-well plates with 1 \times 10^3 cells per well and incubated for 12 h. The cells were then treated with the indicated concentrations of compound 1, and cultured for 10 days. The cells were washed three times using PBS and fixed using 95% methanol for 30 min. After washing three times with distilled water, the cells were stained using 0.2% crystal violet dye for 20 min and rinsed with distilled water to wash away the excess dye. The visible colonies were compared with the control samples and photographed using a standard camera under natural light.

3.4.4. Flow Cytometry

Annexin V/PI assay was used to determine the apoptotic and necrotic cells. The A549 and MCF-7 cells were seeded on 6-well microplates at a density of $10^6$ cells/mL respectively. After 24 h incubation, the cells were treated with following concentrations of 0, 5, and 10 µM for compound 1. After 24 h, they were washed and re-suspended in PBS solution (500 µL). Then, Annexin V-FITC (5 µL) and PI staining solution (5 µL) were introduced to the mixture, and the incubation process was followed under the dark condition for 5 min at 25 °C. Finally, flow cytometer analysis (Beckman Coulter®, Miami, FL, USA) was performed using an AnnexinV-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (Strong Biotech Corporation, Taipei, Taiwan) and Flowjo version 7.6.1. Software.
3.4.5. Western Blot

Western blot analysis was performed according to the method previously reported [25,26]. Briefly, A549 and MCF-7 (1 × 10^5 cells) were seeded into 6-wells plate and grown until 85–90% confluent. Then different concentrations (1.25, 2.5, 5, and 10 µM) of compound 1 were added. Cells were collected and lysed by radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer. Lysates of total protein were separated by 12.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocking, the membranes were incubated with anti-Bax, anti-Bcl-2 (Cell Signaling Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), anti-caspase-3, and anti-β-actin (GeneTex Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. Then each membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h while shaking. Finally, each membrane was developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection kit, and the images were visualized by ImageQuant LAS 4000 Mini biomolecular imager (GE Healthcare, Woburn, MA, USA). Band densities were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA).

3.4.6. Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± SEM, and comparisons were made applying Student’s t-test. A probability of 0.05 or less was deemed significant. The software Microsoft Excel 2016 was used for the statistical analysis.

4. Conclusions

Five new (including two with new carbon skeleton) and eleven known compounds have been isolated and identified from the aerial part of *E. fortunei*. Among these isolated compounds, compound 1 markedly induces apoptosis of A549 and MCF-7 cells through mitochondrial- and caspase-3-dependent pathways. The compound 1 belongs to hydrophobic molecule, so it can cross the cell membrane by passive diffusion, a nonselective process. During passive diffusion, compound 1 simply dissolves in the phospholipid bilayer, diffuses across it, and then dissolves in the aqueous solution at the other side of the membrane. During this process, no membrane proteins are involved and the direction of transport is determined simply by the relative concentrations of the molecule inside and outside of the cell. This suggests that eupatodibenzofuran A (1) is worth further investigation and may be expectancy developed as a candidate for the treatment or prevention of non-small-cell lung cancer and breast cancer.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms22147448/s1.
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