Clinico-epidemiological profile of Influenza A H1N1 cases at a tertiary care institute of Uttarakhand
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The swine (H1N1) virus responsible for worldwide pandemics since 2009 is now causing seasonal epidemics. Since then alarming spikes of swine flu cases have been reported from Uttarakhand every year. There are limited studies conducted in this Himalayan belt to evaluate the clinical and epidemiological profile of the patients admitted in tertiary care hospitals.

Aims & Objectives: This study aims to summarize the clinical and epidemiological attributes of swine flu and to approximate the burden of Influenza A H1N1 (Swine Flu) cases in this Himalayan belt. Material and Methods: Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of influenza A H1N1 cases from October 2018 to April 2019 were retrospectively and descriptively analyzed using data from the Medical Records Section and the isolation ward at Shri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences; Shri Mahant Indiresh hospital.

Results: A total of 1126 (51.6%) patients were tested of which 30% (338) patients were found to be H1N1 positive. Maximum cases and positivity were detected in the months of January (26.4%), February (50.3%), and March (14.8%), and the patients in the age groups of 41–50 (21.9%) and 51–60 years (19.3%) accounted for majority of the cases. The most common symptoms were fever (85.8%), cough (82.2%), sore throat (82%), and breathlessness (71.3%). A case fatality ratio of 10.9% was observed. A significant statistical association (p value < 0.00001) was reported between co-morbid conditions and death.

Conclusion: According to the results of this study, close caution should be exercised in case of patients infected with H1N1 particularly those with co-morbidities.
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started showing typical epidemiological characteristics different from previous years in terms of periodicity; two peaks were observed: between January and March, and July and September. In 2018, the country saw a steep plunge in the number of affected people and number of deaths had also halved but it yet again almost doubled in 2019; 28,798 cases and 1,218 deaths.\[5\]

Since the number of studies related to Influenza A H1N1 is limited particularly clinico-epidemiological profile in the Indian scenario and more so very few studies are there from this Himalayan region of Uttarakhand, this study is an effort to give at least some insight of clinical and epidemiological profile of Influenza A H1N1 cases from this region.

### Material and Methods

A retrospective, descriptive study was carried out at the Shri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences; Shri Mahant Indiresh hospital. The study was approved by the institutional research board and ethics committee. Data of swine flu cases from October 2018 to April 2019 were considered to study the epidemiology and ascertain the degree plus severity of Influenza A H1N1. The epidemiological characteristics like demographic characteristics, clinical profile, and outcome were analyzed thoroughly. The study population included all the patients suspected to be infected with influenza A H1N1. The study was approved by the institutional research board and ethics committee on 23-09-2018.

The data of clinical and epidemiological profile of the patients were received from the record section of the hospital. All the details of demographic profile and clinical presentations including the contact numbers of the patients were well maintained in the hospital medical record section. A secondary data analysis was prepared in Microsoft Excel Software and basic statistical measures like mean, median, percentage, etc. were calculated.

Shri Mahant Indiresh hospital is a 1200-bedded teaching institute hospital wherein screening, testing, and treatment of H1N1 patients was done according to the guidelines of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi.\[6\] A screening facility and Infectious disease ward with critical care provision for Influenza A H1N1 patients was established to offer the necessary medical care and facilities. Patients were admitted in the infectious disease ward from screening center, out patients department, and emergency department.

All the patients who presented with swine flu-like symptoms were categorized according to guidelines issued by Ministry of health and family welfare into categories A, B, and C.\[6\] Category-A included patients with mild fever plus cough/sore throat with or without body ache, headache, diarrhea, and vomiting. Category-B patients consisted of; B1 with signs and symptoms of category-A plus high-grade fever, sore throat, and B2 with high-risk conditions. Category C included patients with breathlessness, chest pain, drowsiness, fall in blood pressure, sputum mixed with blood, bluish discoloration of nails in addition to the above signs, and symptoms of Categories-A and B. The patients having signs and symptoms of Categories A and B were given oseltamivir and taken for follow-up after 24 and 48 h. Category-C patients were admitted and treated accordingly. Suspected samples (nasopharyngeal and throat swabs) were sent for Real time Reverse transcription PCR to the molecular lab of the institute.

### Results

A total of 2178 patients were screened and 1126 (51.6%) were identified as suspected cases and tested for Influenza A H1N1. And 30% (338) patients were found to be H1N1 positive out of 1126 patients. Among them 206 (60.9%) were male and 132 (39.1%) were female. Minimum and maximum age of the positive patients was 2 and 85 years, respectively. Mean (±standard deviation) age was 37.5 (±18) years. Maximum positivity for influenza A H1N1 was found in the age groups 41–50 years (21.9%) followed by 51–60 age group (19.3%), both in male and female. The age group >80 years comprised only 1.5% (5) of the total cases. Population at the extremes of age (0–10) and (>70 years) formed 16.5% of total positive cases. Maximum case fatality ratio (CFR) was seen in patients >70 years of age followed by patients in >40–60 years [Table 1]. And 65% patients were from the urban areas, whereas patients from rural areas constituted 35% of the confirmed patients.

Minimum duration of hospital stay of admitted patients was 3 days and maximum was 10 days. Mean (±standard deviation) duration of stay in the hospital was 6.5 (±1.7) days. Among the admitted patients 210/338 (62.1%), 173 patients were discharged from the hospital but 37 (17.6%) patients succumbed to the infection. However, 128 (37.9%) patients who tested positive were treated on an OPD basis and no fatalities were reported from these patients. The rate of positivity was higher in male population 60.9% as compared to the female population 39.1%. The positivity rate was highest during months of January (26.4%), February (50.3%), and March (14.8%) 2019 showing that the epidemic was on peak in this

| Age groups | Positive | Percentage | Deaths | Percentage | Case fatality ratio |
|------------|----------|------------|--------|------------|--------------------|
| 0-10       | 31       | 9.1%       | 0      | 0          | 0                  |
| 11-20      | 11       | 3.3%       | 1      | 2.7%       | 9.0                |
| 21-30      | 37       | 10.9%      | 4      | 10.8%      | 10.8               |
| 31-40      | 56       | 16.5%      | 5      | 13.5%      | 8.9                |
| 41-50      | 74       | 21.9%      | 8      | 21.6%      | 10.8               |
| 51-60      | 65       | 19.3%      | 11     | 29.7%      | 16.9               |
| 61-70      | 39       | 11.6%      | 3      | 8.1%       | 7.6                |
| 71-80      | 20       | 5.9%       | 4      | 10.8%      | 20                 |
| 81-100     | 5        | 1.5%       | 1      | 2.8%       | 20                 |
| Total      | 338      | 100%       | 37     | 100%       | 10.9               |
season. However, maximum deaths were seen in January (54.1%) followed by in February (32.4%) [Figure 1].

Table 2 shows that major clinical presentations were fever (85.8%), cough (82.2%), sore throat (82%), and breathlessness (74.8%). Majority of patients however presented with combination of symptoms like cough, sore throat, fever, headache, and body aches.

Among all the confirmed swine flu patients 108 (32%) were suffering from one or more co-morbid conditions. Among them 98 (29%) patients were suffering from hypertension, followed by 62 (18.3%) from diabetes mellitus, 21 (6.2%) from ischemic heart disease, 37 (10.9%) from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)/bronchial asthma and 11 (3.2%) patients from chronic renal failure. 47 (13.9%) patients were suffering from other diseases like hypothroidism, hepatitis, or typhoid fever [Table 3].

Out of 37 patients, who died due to H1N1 infection, 29 patients also suffered from some form of co-morbid condition. Table 4 shows that there was a statistically significant association between co-morbid conditions and death; \( P \) value < 0.00001, df-1.

### Discussion

In case of H1N1, the clinical presentations and epidemiological characteristics of patients may exhibit differences with relation to different geographical locations and diverse time periods. In the current study, an attempt was made to show various characteristics of patients presenting with swine flu at tertiary care hospital in Dehradun, Uttarakhand. Our study shows that out of total patients tested 30% were positive for H1N1 and a CFR of 10.9% was observed. A positivity rate of 39.3% was also seen in a study by Singhal and Kothari from southern Rajasthan. Augmented CFRs have been reported by Siddharth et al. (25.4%) and Gaikwad and Haralkar (63%). A study conducted in the same geographic region by Jethani et al. from November 2016 to July 2017 have reported 30 confirmed admitted patients, whereas our study shows an obvious increase in the number of positive admitted patients (210/338) during our study period. Despite higher number of cases and increased rate of positivity the CFR was still lower which could be attributed to factors like the accessibility of our hospital as it is located in the vicinity of the loci of infected areas and catered to a maximum number of patients even from the nearby hilly areas. Majority of the positive patients in our study were from age group 41–50 years of age (21.9%) followed by patients in the 31–40 years age group (16.5%). However, other age groups like 21–30 (10.9%) and 61–70 (11.6%) were also affected. So, rather our cases were from a broad spectrum of age groups >21 to 70. Similar involvement of a broad age spectrum (18–50) has also been reported by Mehta et al. Maximum positivity (37.5%) for H1N1 influenza virus has also been reported in the age group of 40–55 years by Sidhu et al. Mean age (±standard deviation) of the confirmed cases was 37.5 (±18) years with a range of 2 years to 85 years which is in concurrence with a study by Sardar et al. (mean age 38 (±18) years); however, in a study conducted by Samra et al., mean age of the patients was 29 years.

Urban area patients, i.e., from Dehradun and surrounding areas like Sahranpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Poanta Saheb constituted 65% of the patients and 35% were from rural areas mostly from the hilly regions of Uttarakhand. However, Singhal et al. have reported 53.1% positivity from rural areas and 46.8% from urban areas patients, i.e., from Dehradun and surrounding areas like Sahranpur, Roorkee, Haridwar, Poanta Saheb constituted 65% of the patients and 35% were from rural areas mostly from the hilly regions of Uttarakhand. However, Singhal et al. have reported 53.1% positivity from rural areas and 46.8% from urban areas.

| Month         | Positive (%) | Deaths % |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| October       | 14.8        | 8.1      |
| November      | 18.6        | 10.9     |
| December      | 26.4        | 14.8     |
| January       | 54.1        | 50.3     |
| February      | 32.4        | 18.6     |
| March         | 21.9        | 12.3     |
| April         | 10.9        | 6.2      |

**Table 1: Month-wise distribution of positive cases (n = 338)**

### Table 2: Clinical features of positive patients (n=338*)

| Symptoms                        | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Fever                           | 290       | 85.8%      |
| Cough                           | 278       | 82.2%      |
| Sore throat                     | 277       | 82%        |
| Breathlessness                  | 253       | 74.8%      |
| Headache and body ache          | 269       | 79.6%      |
| Nasal catarrh                   | 241       | 73.1%      |
| Bilateral pneumonia             | 32        | 9.5%       |
| Hemoptysis                      | 24        | 7.1%       |

*One patient can present with multiple symptoms

### Table 3: Distribution of co-morbidity among the positive patients (n=338*)

| Co-morbidity                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Hypertension                  | 98        | 29         |
| Diabetes mellitus             | 62        | 18.3       |
| Others (pregnancy, hypothroidism, hepatitis, typhoid fever) | 47 | 13.9 |
| COPD/asthma                   | 37        | 10.9       |
| Ischemic heart disease        | 21        | 6.2        |
| Chronic renal failure         | 11        | 3.2        |
| Tuberculosis                  | 4         | 1.1        |
| No comorbidity                | 230       | 68         |

*Multiple responses

### Table 4: Association of outcome with co morbidity (n=338)

| Co-morbidity | Death | Recovered |
|--------------|-------|-----------|
| Present      | 29    | 79        |
| Absent       | 8     | 222       |
| Total        | 37    | 301       |

*The Chi-square value is 41.18. The P<0.00001; Significant at P<0.05, df=1
urban areas. In our study, rural population mostly from higher hilly regions were lesser because three more tertiary care centers located in Srinagar, Rishikesh and Jolly Grant are nearer and more accessible to them than our tertiary care center which is located in the heart of the city. Our study showed a higher rate of positivity in males (60.9%) as compared to 39.1% in females. Higher rates of morbidity by this infection in males (61%) as compared to 39% in females has also been reported by Sujatha in a study from Hyderabad. Other studies also showed the same pattern. This could be due to the fact that males have more contact and more exposure to the infected due to higher number of males working outside than females in most parts of India. Maximum number of cases and maximum positivity was seen for the months of January, February, and March; 26.4%, 50.3%, and 14.8%, respectively. The preceding and succeeding months showed a considerable decline in the number of cases. Similar findings with peak in like months (Jan, Feb, March) have also been reported by Sujatha et al from Hyderabad and Jayadeva et al from Bangalore. Other research studies on H1N1 reported that the usual peak of H1N1 epidemic is between September and December. In our study, the peak in the number of positive cases was in the month of February, probably because of harsh winter and unusual rains during that part of the year. Inadequate hygiene and crammed urban infrastructure could have compounded the problem. Also, the difference in periodicity of surges of H1N1 in different places may be influenced by the topography and epidemiological factors particular for that place.

Most common clinical presentations in our study were fever (85.8%), cough (82.2%), sore throat (82%), and breathlessness (74.8%). Similar findings have also been reported by Gaikwad and Haralkar with 95.4% patients having fever, cough (82.32%), sore throat (49%), and dyspnea (23.9%). Fever (97.7%), cough (86.4%), sore throat (54.5%), and dyspnea (45.45%) were also the predominant symptoms in a study conducted by Mehta et al. Many other studies have also reported these as principal symptoms. It is an established fact that various co-morbidities can significantly worsen the disease trajectory and our study also confirms the same. Significant co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, COPD etc. were found to be similar with the other studies. Out of 37 deaths, 29 (78.37%) were associated with co-morbidities and a statistically significant association was seen between the two reiterating the fact that patients with co-morbidities have a high risk of poorer clinical outcome. This is in concurrence with a study by Sardar et al. wherein the outcome (death) and its association with co-morbidity was statistically significant. Thus, H1N1 infections can have huge implications on public health infrastructure as the transmission can occur by mildly ill or even pre-symptomatic patients, which amplifies manifold the complexity of epidemic prevention and control. Similar clinical symptoms as common influenza makes them all the more difficult to be identified as soon as possible. Hence, primary care physicians, where the patients first report, need to adopt preventive and therapeutic modules based on like studies in their respective geographical areas so that the background knowledge of seasonal trends and other socio-demographical factors can make the difference in their diagnostic approach.

**Conclusion**

To summarize, this study can provide a baseline for clinicians and public health personnel to comprehend the clinico-epidemiological profile of swine influenza (H1N1) cases particularly in this hilly region to analyze, treat, and to generate preventive methods in the near future. Winter months are associated with a steep rise in the number of cases maximally presenting with acute upper respiratory tract symptoms however with associated co-morbidities grave clinical outcomes can be expected. Timely intervention and a background knowledge of clinico-epidemiological profile of patients with swine flu in this Himalayan region can reduce the percentage morbidity and mortality. Being a retrospective study, there was however a selection bias and all the parameters and tests were evaluated on clinico-epidemiological requirements and were not standardized according to a protocol. Also, no comparative controls could be included in this study. The limitation of this study is thus the lack of controls and for all the patients data for some variables were not obtainable. This study provides hospital-based epidemiological data, but wider community-based studies are warranted to attain a more precise and accurate understanding of influenza A H1N1.

**Acknowledgment**

All the facilities for this study were kindly provided by the Incharge Medical Records Section, Mr. Shahnavaz; Shri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. Sardar JC, Sau A, Mandal PK. Clinico-epidemiological profile of confirmed swine flu (H1N1) cases admitted at an infectious disease hospital in Kolkata, India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2016;3:2340-3.
2. Matsuzaki Y, Katsushima N, Nagai Y, Shoji M, Itagaki T, Sakamoto M. Clinical features of influenza C virus infection in children. J infectious Dis 2006;193:1229-35.
3. Sidhu SK, Singh K, Devi P, Kaur M, Itagaki T, Malhotra S. Clinical-epidemiological profile and diagnosis of Influenza A (H1N1) cases by real time RT-PCR at a tertiary care institute of India: The war is not over yet. Asian Pac J Health Sci 2016;3:120-5.
4. Jin L, Liu EM, Xie XH, Hu Y, Liao W. Comparative analysis
of clinical features and risk factors of severe pneumonia development in pediatric patients hospitalized with seasonal influenza or swine-origin influenza infection. Adv Clin Exp Med 2020;29:971-7.

5. Kulkarni SV, Narain JP, Gupta S, Dhariwal AC, Singh SK, Macintyre CR. Influenza A (H1N1) in India: Changing epidemiology and its implications. Nati Med J India 2019;32;107-8.

6. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India. Available from: http://mohfw.nic.in/press_releases_on_swine_flu.htm. [Last accessed on 2018 May 2].

7. Singhal YK, Kothari N. A clinico-epidemiological profile of patients with influenza A H1N1 attending a tertiary care hospital in southern Rajasthan region of India. Int J Res Med Sci 2019;7:1877-81.

8. Siddharth V, Goyal V, Koushal VK. Clinical-epidemiological profile of influenza a H1N1 cases at a Tertiary Care Institute of India. Indian J Community Med 2012;37:232-5.

9. Gaikwad LL, Haralkar S. Clinico-epidemiological profile of influenza A H1N1 cases admitted at a tertiary care institute of western India. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2014;3:1258-61.

10. Jethani V, Khanduri R, Khanduri S, Tanjea N, Aggarwal A. Retrospective study of clinical profile and management of patients with swine flu at tertiary care hospital. Int J Res Med Sci 2019;7:251-4.

11. Mehta AA, Kumar VA, Nair SG, Joseph FK, Kumar G, Singh SK. Profile of patients admitted with swine-origin influenza a (H1N1) virus infection: An experience from a tertiary care hospital. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7:2227-30.

12. Samra T, Pawar M, Yadav A. One year of experience with H1N1 Infection: Clinical observations from a tertiary care hospital in Northern India. Indian J Community Med 2011;36:241-3.

13. Sujatha K, Murali V, Rao BB, Kathi A. A clinical and epidemiological study of H1N1 cases at a tertiary care hospital in Hyderabad, Telangana. Int J Contemporary Med Res 2016;3:2732-5.

14. Hadiyel I, Koria B, Lakhani K. A clinical and epidemiological study of H1N1 cases at Tertiary Care Hospital in Bhavnagar, Gujarat. NJIRM 2018;9:36-8.

15. Jayadeva B, Chikkananjaiah N, Gowda V, Rani D, Poovathingal S. Clinical profile of suspected and confirmed H1N1 influenza infection in patients admitted at a tertiary Care teaching hospital. J Cardiothorac Med 2015;3:366-70.

16. Ayora-Talaveras G, Betancourt-Cravioto M, Gómez-Carbajo J, Conde-Ferráez L, González-Losa R, Manrique-Saide P, et al. Epidemiologic study of human influenza A (H1N1) virus in Yucatan, Southern Mexico. Rev Biomed 2012;23:39-46.

17. Ling LM, Chow AL, Lye DC, Tan AS. Effects of early oseltamivir therapy on viral shedding in 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infection. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:963-9.

18. Di Giambenedetto S, Zileri Dal Verme L, Sali M, Farina S, Di Cristo V, Manzara S, et al. Clinical presentation, microbiological features and correlates of disease severity of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;30:541-9.

19. Amaravathi KS, Sakuntala P, Sudarsi B, Manohar S, Nagamani R, Rao SR. Clinical profile and outcome of recent outbreak of influenza A H1N1 (swine flu) at a Tertiary Care Center in Hyderabad, Telangana. Ann Trop Med Public Health 2015;8:267.

20. Chudasama RK, Patel UV, Verma PB, Amin CD, Savaria D, Ninama R, et al. Clinico-epidemiological features of the hospitalized patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infection in Saurashtra region, India (September, 2009 to February, 2010). Lung India 2011;28:11-6.

21. Puvanalingam A, Rajendiran C, Sivasubramanik K, Raganathan S, Suresh S, Gopalakrishnan S. Case series study of the clinical profile of H1N1 swine flu influenza. J Assoc Physicians India 2011;59:14-6.

22. Wang Q, Zhang T, Zhu H, Wang Y, Liu X, Bai G, et al. Characteristics of and public health emergency responses to COVID-19 and H1N1 outbreaks: A case-comparison study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:1-10.