Bivariate exponentiated discrete Weibull distribution: statistical properties, estimation, simulation and applications
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Abstract

In this paper, a new bivariate discrete distribution is defined and studied in detail, in the so-called the bivariate exponentiated discrete Weibull distribution. Several of its statistical properties including the joint cumulative distribution function, joint probability mass function, joint hazard rate function, joint moment generating function, mathematical expectation and reliability function for stress–strength model are derived. Its marginals are exponentiated discrete Weibull distributions. Hence, these marginals can be used to analyze the hazard rates in the discrete cases. The model parameters are estimated using the maximum likelihood method. Simulation study is performed to discuss the bias and mean square error of the estimators. Finally, two real data sets are analyzed to illustrate the flexibility of the proposed model.
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Introduction

Weibull (W) distribution is one of the most important and well-recognized continuous probability models in research and also in teaching. It has become important because if you have right-skewed, left-skewed or symmetric data, you can use this distribution to model it. Moreover, the hazard rate of its can be constant, increasing or decreasing. That flexibility of W distribution and its generalizations has made many researchers using it into their data analysis in different fields such as medicine, pharmacy, engineering, reliability, industry, social sciences, economics and environmental. See for example, Mudholkar and Srivastara [41], Bebbington et al. [4], Sarhan and Apaloo [49], El-Gohary et al. [12, 13], El-Bassiouny et al. [9–11], El-Morshedy et al. [23, 30], Eliwa et al. [19, 21, 2], El-Morshedy and Eliwa [22], among others.

Sometimes, it is very difficult to measure the life length of a machine on a continuous scale, for example, on-off switching machines, bulb of photocopier device, etc. Thus, several discrete distributions have been derived by discretizing a known continuous distribution. See for example, Nakagawa and Osaki [42], Stein and Dattero [51], Roy [47, 48], Johnson et al. [31], Krishna and Pundir [35], Gomez-Deniz and Calderin-Ojeda [27], Farbod and Gasparian [26], Nekoukhou et al. [43], EL-Bassiouny and El-Morshedy [8, 1], El-Morshedy et al. [24], Eliwa and El-Morshedy [16], Emrah [25], among others.

Unfortunately, we cannot use the previous univariate models for modeling the bivariate data, and therefore, several authors aimed to propose bivariate models to discuss various phenomena in many fields. For bivariate continuous model, see Jose et al. [32], Kundu and Gupta [37], Sarhan et al. [50], Wagner and Artur [52], El-Bassiouny et al. [6], Rasool and Akbar [46], El-Gohary et al. [14], Mohamed et al. [40], Eliwa and El-Morshedy [17, 18], Eliwa et al. [20], among others. Whereas for bivariate discrete models, see Kocherlakota and Kocherlakota [34], Basu and Dhar [3], Kumar [36], Kemp [33], Lee and Cha [39], Nekoukhou and Kundu [44], Kundu and
Nekoukhou [38], Eliwa and El-Morshedly [15], El-Bassiouny, et al. [7] among others. Although there are a large number of bivariate discrete models in studies, there still a needing to propose flexible models to analyze different types of data. In this paper, we propose a flexible model, in the so-called the bivariate exponentiated discrete Weibull (BEDsW) distribution. The proposed discrete model can be obtained from three independent exponentiated discrete Weibull (EDsW) distributions by using the maximization method as suggested by Lee and Cha [39].

The BEDsW distribution

Recently, Nekoukhou and Bidram [45] proposed a new three parameters distribution called the exponentiated discrete Weibull (EDsW) distribution. The CDF of the EDsW distribution is given by

\[ F_{EDsW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta) = \left[1 - p^{(x+1)\beta}\right]^p; \quad x \in \mathbb{N}_o, \]  

(1)

where \( \alpha, \beta > 0, \ 0 < p < 1 \) and \( \mathbb{N}_o = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \). The corresponding PMF of Equation (1) can be written as

\[ f_{EDsW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta) = \left[1 - p^{(x+1)\beta}\right]^p - \left[1 - p^x\right]^p; \quad x \in \mathbb{N}_o. \]  

(2)

For integer values of \( \beta \), Eq. (2) can be represented as

\[ f_{EDsW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta) = \sum_{k=1}^x (-1)^{k+1} \binom{\beta}{k} \left[ p^{kx} - p^{k(x+1)} \right]; \quad x \in \mathbb{N}_o. \]  

(3)

Table 1 presents some discrete distributions which can be obtained as special cases from the EDsW distribution.

Suppose that \( V_i; \ i = 1, 2, 3 \) are three independently distributed random variables which \( V_i \sim EDsW(\alpha, p, \beta_i) \). If \( X_1 = \max\{V_1, V_3\} \) and \( X_2 = \max\{V_2, V_3\} \), then the bivariate vector \( X = (X_1, X_2) \) has the BEDsW distribution with parameter vector \( \Omega = (\alpha, p, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \). The joint CDF of \( X \) is given by

\[ F_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2) = P(V_1 \leq x_1, V_2 \leq x_2, V_3 \leq \min\{x_1, x_2\}) \]

\[ = F_{EDsW}(x_1; \alpha, p, \beta_1) \]

\[ F_{EDsW}(x_2; \alpha, p, \beta_2)F_{EDsW}(z; \alpha, p, \beta_3) \]

\[ = \begin{cases} 
F_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \\
F_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 \\
F_3(x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x,
\end{cases} \]  

(4)

where

\[ F_1(x_1, x_2) = F_{EDsW}(x_1; \alpha, p, \beta_1 + \beta_3)F_{EDsW}(x_2; \alpha, p, \beta_2), \]

\[ F_2(x_1, x_2) = F_{EDsW}(x_1; \alpha, p, \beta_1)F_{EDsW}(x_2; \alpha, p, \beta_2 + \beta_3) \]

and

\[ F_3(x) = F_{EDsW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) \]

and \( z = \min\{x_1, x_2\} \). The marginal CDF of \( X_i, \ i = 1, 2 \) can be written as

\[ F_{X_i}(x_i) = P(\max\{V_i, V_3\} \leq x_i) = F_{EDsW}(x_i; \alpha, p, \beta_1 + \beta_2); \]

\[ x_i \in \mathbb{N}_o. \]  

(5)

The corresponding marginal probability mass function (PMF) to Equation (5) can be proposed as

\[ f_{X_i}(x_i) = f_{EDsW}(x_i; \alpha, p, \beta_1 + \beta_2); \quad x_i \in \mathbb{N}_o. \]  

(6)

The joint PMF of the bivariate vector \( X \) can be easily obtained by using the following relation

\[ f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2) = F_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2) - F_{X_1,X_2}(x_1 - 1, x_2) - F_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2 - 1) + F_{X_1,X_2}(x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1). \]  

(7)

Thus, the corresponding joint PMF to Eq. (4) can be written as

\[ f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 
f_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \\
f_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 \\
f_3(x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x,
\end{cases} \]  

(8)

Table 1: Special univariate models

| \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | Reduced distribution | References |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | Discrete Weibull (DcW) distribution | Nakagawa and Osaki [42] |
| 2 | 1 | Discrete Rayleigh (DSR) distribution | Roy [48] |
| 1 | 1 | Discrete exponential (DSEx) distribution | Johnson et al. [31] |
| 1 | 1 | Discrete generalized exponential (DSGEx) distribution | Nekoukhou et al. [43] |
| 2 | 1 | Discrete generalized Rayleigh (DSGR) distribution | Alamatsaz et al. [1] |
where
\[ f_1(x_1, x_2) = f_{EDSW}(x_1; \alpha, p, \beta_1 + \beta_3, \beta_2), \]
\[ f_2(x_1, x_2) = f_{EDSW}(x_2; \alpha, p, \beta_2), \]
and
\[ f_3(x) = p_1 f_{EDSW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta_2 + \beta_3) - p_2 f_{EDSW}(x; \alpha, p, \beta_2), \]
with \( p_1 = [1 - p^{(\alpha+1)^2}]^{\beta_1} \) and \( p_2 = [1 - p^{(\alpha+1)^2}]^{\beta_1+\beta_3} \). Figure 1 shows the scatter plot of the joint PMF of the BEDSW distribution for various values of the parameters.

As expected, the joint PMF of the BEDSW distribution can take various shapes depending on the values of its parameter vector \( \Omega \). Suppose \((X_{j1}, X_{j2}) \sim BEDSW(\alpha, p, \beta_{j1}, \beta_{j2}, \beta_{j3})\) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), and they are independently distributed. If \( Z_1 = \max\{x_{11}, \ldots, x_{n1}\} \) and \( Z_2 = \max\{x_{12}, \ldots, x_{n2}\} \), then
\[ (Z_1, Z_2) \sim BEDSW(\alpha, p, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j2}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j3}). \] (9)
The joint survival function (SF) of the random vector \( X \) can be defined as
\[ S_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = 1 - F_{X_1}(x_1) - F_{X_2}(x_2) + F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2). \] (10)
Thus, the joint SF of the BEDSW distribution is given by
\[ S_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 
S_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \\
S_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 \\
S_3(x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \] (11)
where
\[ S_1(x_1, x_2) = 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1 + \beta_3} - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \left( 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \right), \]
\[ S_2(x_1, x_2) = 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1 + \beta_3} - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \left( 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \right), \]
and
\[ S_3(x) = 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \left( 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right)^{\beta_1} + \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \left( 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(x_1+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \right). \]

If \( X \sim BEDSW(\Omega) \), then the stress–strength reliability can be expressed as
\[ P(X_1 < X_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( 1 - p^{(i+1)^2} \right)^{\beta_1} \left( 1 - \left[ 1 - p^{(i+1)^2} \right]^{\beta_1} \right) \right] \left( 1 - p^{(i+1)^2} \right)^{\beta_1+\beta_3} \] (12)
The joint hazard rate function (HRF) can be written as
\[ h_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 
h_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \\
h_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_2 < x_1 \\
h_3(x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \] (13)
where \( h_i(x_1, x_2) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}{S_5(x_1-x_2-1)} ; i = 1, 2 \) and \( h_3(x) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2}(x)}{S_5(x-1)} \).

The scatter plot of the joint HRF of the BEDSW distribution is shown in Fig. 2.

It is observed that the joint HRF of the proposed model can take various shapes depending on the model parameters which makes this model more flexible to fit different data sets.

**Fig. 1** The joint PMF of the BEDSW distribution for different values of \( \Omega \): a \( \Omega = (0.9, 0.9, 0.3, 3.3, 2) \), b \( \Omega = (0.5, 0.9, 0.3, 3.3, 2) \) and c \( \Omega = (0.5, 0.6, 0.3, 3.3, 2) \)
Similarly, when $x_1 = x_2 < x_{12} < x_{22}$ from $X \sim \text{BEDsW}(\Omega)$, then the joint SF of $X$ satisfies the TP2 property for some values of $x_1$ and $x_2$ where

$$S_{X_1, X_2}(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{12}, x_{22}) \geq 1.$$  \hfill (16)

Similarly, when $x_{11} = x_1 < x_{12} < x_{21} < x_2$, as $x_{11} < x_{12} < x_{21} < x_{22}$, etc.

**The joint probability generating function (PGF)**

If $X \sim \text{BEDsW}(\Omega)$, then the joint PGF can be expressed as

$$G(u, v) = E(u^{X_1}v^{X_2}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j)u^iv^j$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$\left[ p^{i+j+k+l} - p^{k(i+j+1)} \right] u^iv^l$$

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \left( \binom{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{k} \binom{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{l} \right)$$

$$\left[ p^{i+j+k+l} - p^{k(i+j+1)} \right] u^iv^l,$$  \hfill (17)

where $|v| < 1$. Hence, different moments and product moments of the BEDsW distribution can be obtained, as infinite series, using the joint PGF.

**The conditional expectation (COEX) of $X_1$ given $X_2 = x_2$**

If $X \sim \text{BEDsW}(\Omega)$, then the conditional PMF of $X_1 | X_2 = x_2$, say $f_{X_1 | X_2 = x_2}(x_1 | x_2)$, is given by

$$f_{X_1 | X_2 = x_2}(x_1 | x_2) = \begin{cases} f_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 \leq x_1 < x_2 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 \leq x_2 < x_1 \\ f_3(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 \leq x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases}$$  \hfill (18)
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where

\[ f_1(x_1 \mid x_2) = \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right) \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right), \]

\[ f_2(x_1 \mid x_2) = [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \]

and

\[ f_3(x_1 \mid x_2) = [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right) \]

\[ - [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \cdot \]

Therefore, the COEX of \( X_1 \mid X_2 = x_2 \), say \( E(X_1 \mid X_2 = x_2) \), can be expressed as

\[ E(X_1 \mid X_2 = x_2) = \sum_{x_1=0}^{x_2} x_1 f_1(x_1 \mid x_2) + \sum_{x_1=0}^{x_2-1} x_1 f_2(x_1 \mid x_2) + x_2 f_3(x_1 \mid x_2) \]

\[ = \sum_{x_1=0}^{x_2} x_1 \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right) \]

\[ - [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \]

\[ + \sum_{x_1=0}^{x_2-1} x_1 \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right) + x_2 \left( [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right) \]

\[ - [1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \].

(19)

The conditional probability can be used in various areas, especially in diagnostic reasoning and decision making. Table 2 lists the COEX for some specific parameter selections.

**Maximum likelihood (ML) estimation**

In this section, we use the method of the ML to estimate the unknown parameters \( \alpha, p, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \) of the BEDsW distribution. Suppose that, we have a sample of size \( n \), of the form \( \{(x_{11}, x_{21}), (x_{12}, x_{22}), \ldots, (x_{1n}, x_{2n})\} \) from the BEDsW distribution. We use the following notations: \( I_1 = \{x_{ij} < x_{2j}\} \), \( I_2 = \{x_{2j} < x_{ij}\} \), \( I_1 = \{x_{ij} = x_{2j} = x_j\} \), \( I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 \), \( |I_1| = n_1 \), \( |I_2| = n_2 \), \( |I_3| = n_3 \) and \( n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \).

Based on the observations, the likelihood function is given by

\[ l(\Omega) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} f_1(x_{ij}, x_{2j}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} f_2(x_{ij}, x_{2j}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_3} f_3(x_j). \]

The log-likelihood function becomes

\[ L(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \ln(g_1(x_{ij}; \beta_1 + \beta_3)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \ln(g_1(x_{2j}; \beta_2)) \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \ln(g_1(x_{ij}; \beta_1)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \ln(g_1(x_{ij}; \beta_2 + \beta_3)) \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \ln\left([1 - p^{(x_1+1)y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} g_1(x_j; \beta_2 + \beta_3)ight) \]

\[ - [1 - p^{x_2y}]^{\beta_1 \beta_2} g_1(x_j + 1; \beta_2). \]

(20)

where \( g_1(x; \beta) = [1 - p^{(x+1)y}]^{\beta} - [1 - p^{xy}]^{\beta} \). The ML estimation of the parameters \( \alpha, p, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \) can be obtained by computing the first partial derivatives of (20) with respect to \( \alpha, p, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \), and then putting the results equal zeros. We get the likelihood equations as in the following form

Table 2 The COEX for some specific parameter selections

| \( x_2 \) | \( z \) | \( p \) | \( \beta_1 \) | \( \beta_2 \) | \( \beta_3 \) | \( E(X_1 \mid X_2 = x_2) \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.241097519 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.868988850 |
| 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.858942779 |
| 8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.677115201 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.519903251 |
| 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.010379300 |
| 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.247535832 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 5.379915398 |
| 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 5.909888413 |
| 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.740228387 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 6.098638850 |
| 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 6.230178117 |
\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_1 + \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_1 + \beta_3) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_1) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_1) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_2) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_2) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_3) \right]
\]

(21)

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial p} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_1 + \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_1 + \beta_3) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_1) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_1) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_2) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_2) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_3) \right]
\]

(22)

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_1) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_1) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_2) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_2) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_3) \right]
\]

(23)

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_2) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_2) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ g_4(x_{ij} + 1; \beta_3) - g_4(x_{ij}; \beta_3) \right]
\]

(24)
Data analysis

In this section, we explain the experimental importance of the BEDsW distribution using two applications to real data sets. The tested distributions are compared using some criteria, namely, the maximized log-likelihood (−L), Akaike information criterion (AIC) (see [29]), and Hannan–Quinn information criterion (HQIC) (see [28]).

Data set I: football data

These data are reported in Lee and Cha [39], and it represents a football match score in Italian football match (Serie A) during 1996 to 2011, between ACF Fiorentina(X1) and Juventus(X2). We shall compare the fits of BEDsW distribution with some competitive models like BDsE, BDsR, BDsW, bivariate Poisson with minimum operator (BPo-min), bivariate Poisson with three parameters (Bpo-3P), independent bivariate Poisson (IBPo), bivariate discrete inverse exponential (BDsIE) and bivariate discrete inverse Rayleigh (BDsIR) distributions. Before trying to analyze the data by using the BEDsW distribution, we fit at first the marginals X_1 and X_2 separately and the min(X_1, X_2) on these data. The ML estimation of the parameters \( \alpha, p, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) of the corresponding EDsW distribution for X_1, X_2 and min(X_1, X_2) are (0.665, 0.059, 22.543), (1.974, 0.716, 1.673) and (0.722, 0.054, 20.223), respectively. Moreover, the −L values are 31.224, 31.735 and 28.265, respectively. Figure 5 shows the estimated CDF plots for the marginals X_1, X_2 and min(X_1, X_2) by using data set I.

From Figure 5, it is clear that EDsW distribution fits the data for the marginals. Now, we fit BEDsW distribution on these data. The ML estimators (MLEs), −L, AIC and HQIC values for the tested bivariate models are reported in Table 3.

From Table 3, it is clear that BEDsW distribution provides a better fit than the other tested distributions, because it has the smallest values among −L, AIC and HQIC.
Figure 6 shows the profiles of the $L$ function, which indicate that the estimators are unique.

Figure 7 shows the estimated joint PMF for BEDsW, BDsW, BDsR and BDsE distributions by using data set I.

From Fig. 7, it is clear that the BEDsW model is the best among all tested models, which support the results of Table 3.

**Data set II: nasal drainage severity score**

These data are reported in Davis [5], and it represents the efficacy of steam inhalation in the treatment of common cold symptoms. We shall compare the fits of the BEDsW distribution with some competitive models like bivariate Poisson with four parameters (BPo-4P), IBPo, BDsE, BDsIE and BDsIR distributions. We fit at first the
Fig. 4 The biases and MSEs when $\Omega = (1.1, 0.2, 1.5, 1.5, 0.6)$
Fig. 5 The estimated PMF for the marginals $X_1$, $X_2$ and $\min(X_1, X_2)$ by using football data set

Table 3 The MLEs, $-L$, AIC and HQIC values

| Model  | MLEs                      | $-L$  | AIC  | HQIC |
|--------|----------------------------|-------|------|------|
| BDsE   | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.652$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.812$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.713$ | 75.4  | 156.7 | 157.8   |
| BDsR   | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.790$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.872$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.905$ | 63.9  | 133.9 | 135.1   |
| BDsW   | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.807$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.882$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.917$, $\hat{\xi} = 2.125$ | 63.9  | 133.8 | 134.8   |
| BPo$_{\min}$ | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.36$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 2.10$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 2.27$ | 64.2  | 134.4 | 135.5   |
| BPo-3P | $\hat{\alpha}_1 = 1.08$, $\hat{\alpha}_2 = 1.38$, $\hat{\alpha}_3 = 0.70$ | 64.9  | 135.8 | 136.9   |
| IBPo   | $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 1.08$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 = 1.38$ | 67.6  | 139.2 | 139.9   |
| BDsIE  | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.669$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.388$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.514$ | 78.5  | 163.1 | 164.4   |
| BDsIR  | $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.493$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.212$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.561$ | 64.1  | 134.2 | 135.3   |
| BEDsW  | $\beta_1 = 0.493$, $\beta_2 = 0.212$, $\beta_3 = 0.561$ | 60.8  | 131.7 | 133.6   |

Fig. 6 The profiles of the $L$ function using football data set
marginals $X_1$ and $X_2$ separately and the $\min(X_1, X_2)$ on these data. The MLEs of the parameters $\alpha, p$ and $\beta$ of the corresponding DsEW distribution for $X_1$, $X_2$ and $\min(X_1, X_2)$ are $(4.171, 0.981, 0.570)$, $(1.857, 0.692, 1.658)$ and $(2.906, 0.877, 0.766)$, respectively. Moreover, the $-L$ values are 35.868, 37.804 and 34.047, respectively. 

Figure 8 shows the estimated PMF plots for the marginals $X_1$, $X_2$ and $\min(X_1, X_2)$ by using data set II.

It is clear that the EDsW distribution fits the data for the marginals. Now, we fit BEDsW distribution on these data. The MLEs, $-L$, AIC and HQIC values for the tested bivariate models are reported in Table 4.
From Table 4, it is clear that BEDsW distribution provides a better fit than the other tested distributions. Figure 9 shows the profiles of the $L$ function.

Figure 10 shows the estimated joint PMF for BEDsW and BDsE distributions by using these data, which support the results of Table 4.

**Conclusions**

In this paper, we have introduced a new five parameters bivariate discrete distribution, in the so-called the bivariate exponentiated discrete Weibull distribution. Several of its statistical properties have been studied, and it is found that the marginals are positive quadrant dependent. Moreover, the joint reliability function satisfies the total positivity of order two for some values of $x_1$ and $x_2$. The maximum likelihood method has been used to estimate the model parameters. Simulation has been performed, and it is found that the maximum likelihood method works quite well in estimating the model parameters. Finally, two real data sets have been analyzed, and it is found that the proposed model provides better fit than other well-known discrete distributions like bivariate discrete Weibull, bivariate discrete Rayleigh, bivariate discrete exponential, bivariate Poisson with minimum operator, bivariate Poisson with three parameters, independent bivariate Poisson, bivariate discrete inverse exponential and bivariate discrete inverse Rayleigh distributions.
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