The Characteristics of Supramammillary Cells Projecting to the Hippocampus in Stress Response in the Rat
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The hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenocortex (HPA) axis is the central mediator of the stress response. The supramammillary (SuM) region is relatively unique among the hypothalamic structures in that it sends a large, direct projection to the hippocampal formation. It has been shown that mild stress could activate the SuM cells that project to the hippocampus. However, the role of these cell populations in modulating the stress response is not known. The present study examined the effect of stress on different populations of SuM cells that project to the hippocampus by injecting the fluorescent retrograde tracer, fluorogold (FG), into the hippocampus and utilizing the immunohistochemistry of choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF), serotonin (5-HT), glutamate decarboxylase (GAD), tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and NADPH-d reactivity. Immobilization (IMO) stress (2 hr) produced an increase in the expression of ChAT-immunoreactivity, and tended to increase in CRF, 5-HT, GAD, TH-immunoreactivity and nitric oxide (NO)-reactivity in the SuM cells. Fifty-three percent of 5-HT, 31% of ChAT and 56% of CRF cells were double stained with retrograde cells from the hippocampus. By contrast, a few retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus were immunoreactive for dopamine, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and NO. These results suggest that the SuM region contains distinct cell populations that differentially respond to stress. In addition, the findings suggest that serotonergic, cholinergic and corticotropin releasing cells projecting to the hippocampus within the SuM nucleus may play an important role in modulating stress-related behaviors.
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INTRODUCTION

The hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenocortex (HPA) axis is the central mediator of stress response. This neuro-endocrine axis functions to coordinate neural, endocrine and immune responses to diverse stressful stimuli that threaten homeostasis [1]. The supramammillary (SuM) nucleus of the hypothalamus is thought to serve as an ‘interface’ relaying inputs to hypothalamic and limbic structures involved in the control of behavioral functions [2]. The SuM nucleus lies dorsal to the mammillary bodies in the caudal diencephalon and has strong connections with the limbic systems. In particular, the SuM region sends a large, direct, projection to the hippocampal formation [3,4]. SuM fibers distributing to the hippocampus mainly originate from the lateral two-thirds of SuM region [5] and predominantly innervates two regions of the hippocampal formation, the dentate gyrus throughout the hippocampus and the CA2/CA3 region of Ammon’s horn of the dorsal hippocampus. Most of SuM neurons projecting, via collaterals, to the hippocampal CA2/CA3 were predominantly located in the lateral part of SuM region around the mammillothalamic tract [6]. Previous studies have shown that SuM cells contain a variety of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides including acetylcholine (Ach), dopamine, serotonin, GABA, aspartate, glutamate, and enkephalin [7-12].

The SuM area of the hypothalamus, although small, may have modulatory effects on hippocampal as well as related temporal cortex activities [9]. It has been shown that SuM
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cells have been implicated in generation of hippocampal theta activity, [13,14] the function of memory, anxiety and reward [15,16]. This nucleus is thought to play an important role in these functions through its inputs to the limbic systems, especially, the hippocampus [6,17]. The hippocampus is known to play a role in learning, memory and emotion; it is a major component of the neuroanatomical stress circuit. This structure is involved in terminating HPA axis responses to stress and attenuates stress responses by shutting off this axis [18].

Previous studies have shown that IMO stress upregulates expression of c-fos, one of immediate early genes, in several brain areas and the restraint stress-induced expression of c-fos in the hypothalamus was concentrated mainly in the SuM region [18,20]. It has been shown that placement in a novel environment results in activation of SuM cells projecting to the hippocampus [21], suggesting that the SuM region may contain several populations of neurons which are differentially responsive to certain behavioral manipulations. However, the role of these cell populations in modulating the stress response is not known.

The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of stress on different populations of SuM cells that project to the hippocampus, by a combination of immunohistochemistry of acetylcholine (ChAT), dopamine (TH), corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF), serotonin (5-HT), GABA (GAD67), and nitric oxide (NADPH-d), and retrograde tracing techniques following IMO stress.

METHODS

Experimental procedure

1. Animals

Sprague-Dawley rats (Orient Animal Corp, Kyunggido, Korea), weighing 220–240 g each were used for the experiments. The male rats were group-housed (three per cage) under a reversed light-dark cycle (light on from 08:00 to 20:00 h). The room temperature was 20–25°C and the humidity was 30±5%. The rats had free access to food and water. All the rats were handled daily for at least 1 week prior to the experiment.

2. Reagents

The reagents used for the study were Hydroxystilbamidine methanesulfonate (Fluorogold; Molecular Probes Inc.) Choline acetyltransferase (ChAT; Sheep polyclonal antibody, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), Corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF; Rabbit polyclonal antibody, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), Serotonin (5-HT; Rabbit polyclonal antibody, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), Glutamate decarboxylase (GAD67; Mouse monoclonal antibody, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH; Mouse antibody, Zymed, San Francisco, CA, USA), FITC (Goat anti-Rabbit IgG, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA, USA), FITC (Rabbit anti-Mouse IgG, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA, USA), FITC (Rabbit anti-Sheep IgG, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA,USA), β-NADPH (β-Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; Sigma-Aldrich), NBT (Nitro blue tetrazolium; Sigma- Aldrich).

3. Surgery

Fluorogold was used as retrograde axonal tracer, although orthograde axonal transport does occurred. For retrograde transport, the survival times should be varied from 4 days to 14 days. Fluorogold was microinjected into all of the rats. The rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.) and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus. The skull was firmly placed in the apparatus, and the scalp was shaved and cleaned with betadine; an incision was made through the skin and muscle to expose the skull and the skin was then retracted. Four percent of fluorogold in the normal sterile saline (0.9%), 0.5 μl total volume, was microinjected via a 1 μl Hamilton syringe at a rate of 0.1 μl/min into the CA3 region of the hippocampus at coordinates: AP −5.3 mm, ML −5 mm, DV −5.2 mm relative to the bregma (Tracer Technology, LumaFluor, New York, NY, USA). All used coordinates were from the atlas of Paxinos and Watson [22]. After infusion of the fluorogold, the needle was left in place for 10 min before it was slowly retracted. The animals were allowed seven recovery days following the surgery prior to carrying out the experiments.

4. Immobilization stress

The animals were randomly divided into two groups: one group (n=15) was exposed to immobilization stress and the other group (n=15) was not stressed. The rats in the IMO group were acutely stressed by immobilizing them in a nylon, which prevented all forward/backward and lateral movements. The rats in the control group were only placed inside of cages without any physical restraint during the stress treatment.

5. Immunohistochemistry

After the IMO stress experiment, all of the animals were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (80 mg/kg, i.p.) and then perfused through the ascending aorta with normal 100 ml of saline (0.9%), followed by 800 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS). The brain was removed, post-fixed overnight and cryoprotected in 20% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS at 4°C. The brains were cut by cryostatsectioning into 30 μm coronal sections at the level of the SuM region, and these slices were processed histochemically as free-floating sections.

Immunohistochemical visualization of choline acetyltransferase (ChAT; Sheep polyclonal antibody), corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF; Rabbit polyclonal antibody), Serotonin (5-HT; Rabbit polyclonal antibody), glutamate decarboxylase (GAD67; Mouse monoclonal antibody), and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH; Mouse polyclonal antibody) was performed on the free-floating sections using antibodies and fluorescent staining methods.

The brain sections were washed in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100. Each primary antibody was evaluated against the following specific antigens: ChAT (2,000 : 1), CRF (500 : 1), 5-HT (500 : 1), GAD67 (500 : 1), and TH (2,000 : 1). The primary antibodies were diluted with blocking solution and the tissues were incubated for 24 h at 4°C with constant agitation. Secondary antibodies (FITC-conjugated) were obtained from Jackson/Immunoresearch (West Grove, PA, USA) and used at a dilution of 200 : 1 (in PBS) and incubated for 1 h at RT. The sections were mounted using Vector Shield for confocal microscopic evaluation. Following a pre-rinse in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), three times, the tissues were incubated β-Nicotine amide adenine dinucleotide phosphate 1 mg and Nitro Blue Tetrazolium 1 mg/ PBS 1 ml for 30–45 min at 37°C with constant agitation. For NADPH-d staining, following rinsing in phosphate buf-
ferred saline (PBS), the sections were mounted on slides, air-dried and coverslipped for microscopic evaluation.

In order to verify the locations of the injected sites, all sections of the brain were mounted on gelatin-coated microscope slides. The sections were then rehydrated in 90 and 75% ethanol and water 2 min each and treated with cresyl violet solution for 2 min. Subsequently, the sections were placed in 0.1% acetic acid in 75% ethanol for 2 min, rinsed in water, dehydrated and finally coverslipped with xylene for microscopic evaluation.

The numbers of cells were measured by placing the grid on the SuM region at the level of 4.2 mm bregma according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson [22]. The cells of the SuM region were counted at 200× magnification using a microscope rectangle grid measuring 500×500 microns. Cells within the examined area were counted on each of 3 sections per animal.

6. Data analysis

The immunohistochemical data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. The significant effects identified were further examined by post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey technique. The criterion for statistical significance was considered to be p values < 0.05.

RESULTS

Injection of the fluorescent retrograde tracer fluorogold in the CA3 region of the hippocampus

Fluorogold was injected into the hippocampus CA3 region as seen in Fig. 1. Following the injections of fluorogold into the hippocampus, many of the retrogradely labeled cells were intensively observed around mammillothalamic tract in the SuM region (Fig. 2B).

ChAT, CRF and 5-HT immunoreactive cells of the Supramammillary region

1. Choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)-immunoreactivity

The mean number of choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) immunoreactive cells in the examined regions of the SuM was 90.6±6.7 for the normal group and 137.3±12.6 for the IMO stress group. The ChAT immunoreactive cells of the IMO stress group were increased at the SuM region, compared with those of the normal group (p < 0.001). The number of ChAT immunoreactive cells that were double-labeled with retrogradely labeled cells in the SuM region was 15.5±1.6 for the normal group and 31.2±3.1 for the IMO stress group, respectively. Thirty percent of the ChAT immunoreactive cells in the SuM region were double labeled with retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus (Fig. 3 and 8).

2. Corticotropin releasing factor (CRF)-immunoreactivity

CRF immunoreactive cells in the examined regions of the SuM region were 58.4±8.5 and 65.4±7.3 for the normal and the IMO stress group. The number of CRF immunoreactive cells that were colocalized with retrogradely labeled cells in the SuM region was 49.4±9.1 for the normal group and 42.6±3.1 for the IMO stress group, respectively. Fifty-six
percent of the CRF immunoreactive cells were double stained with retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus (Fig. 4 and 8).

3. Serotonin (5-HT)-immunoreactivity

The mean number of 5-HT immunoreactive cells in the SuM region was 55.2±9 for normal group and 64.29±6.2 for the IMO stress group. The number of double labeled 5-HT immunoreactive and retrogradely labeled cells was 32.6±7.9 and 31.14±3.8 for the normal and the IMO stress group. The 5-HT immunoreactive cells in the SuM region were similar for the normal and stress-induced groups. In addition, 53 percent of the 5-HT cells were double stained with retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus (Fig. 5 and 8).

4. GAD67, TH and NADPH-d-reactivity

GAD67 immunoreactive cells observed in the SuM region were not colocalized with retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus as seen in Fig. 6. TH immunoreactive cells and fibers were observed in the SuM region. However, they were not co-labeled with retrogradely labeled cells (Fig. 6). NADPH-d reactive cells were not colocalized with retrogradely labeled cells in the SuM region (Fig. 7).
DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrated that stress could activate different populations of SuM cells that project to the hippocampus by utilizing a combination of fluorescence retrograde tracing and the immunohistochemical detection of dopamine, GABA, NO, Ach, CRF, and serotonin.

The present study clearly showed that many of retrogradely labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus were colocalized with ChAT, CRF and 5-HT immunoreactive cells in the SuM region. By contrast, the GAD, TH and NADPH-d reactive cells were minimally co-labeled with retrograde labeled cells. These findings suggest that the ChAT, CRF and 5-HT in SuM cells may play an important role in the hippocampus during IMO stress. The results of the present study demonstrated that many cholinergic cells were distributed in the SuM region. A significant number of cholinergic cells were observed in the SuM region after IMO stress. About 31% of ChAT immunoreactivity was colocalized with the retrogradely labeled cells in the SuM region. These results suggest that a majority of cholinergic cells in the SuM region appear to influence the hippocampus during IMO stress.

The cholinergic system is known to be involved in the information processing related to hippocampal learning and memory, and the central cholinergic neurons are of putative...
relevance to major depression [23]. Learning and memory in mammals, including humans, is dependent on the neurotransmitter acetylcholine, in the basal forebrain and hippocampus [24]. It has been shown that cholinergic cells in the SuM region play a role in the baroreflex and potentiate the reflex rise in blood pressure to occlusion of the carotid artery, the so-called carotid arterial occlusion reflex [8]. Neurotransmitters such as Ach are known to produce behavioral, neuroendocrine, cardiovascular, and noradrenergic effects reflecting stress. Therefore, the biosynthetic enzyme for Ach, ChAT, is currently the most reliable biomarker for cholinergic neuron activity [25]. The SuM region is involved in the control of hippocampal theta rhythms and modulates the synaptic excitation of hippocampal neurons [13,14,26]. Theta is a rhythmic, electrical field activity of the hippocampus with a well-established behavioral correlation [12]. The ChAT-positive cells from the SuM region may modulate hippocampus-dependent behaviors in response to acute stress.

CRF-immunoreactive cells in the SuM region were found to be colocated with the fluorogold-labeled cells projecting to the hippocampus. About 56% of the CRF cells were double-labeled with retrograde labeled cells in the SuM region. The CRF-immunoreactive cells were significantly increased after stress. The paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) serves as the origin of the final common pathway in the secretion of glucocorticoid hormones in response to stress. IMO stress is acknowledged to be involved in psychological and physical stress by altering behavioral and physiological responses [27,28]. IMO stress acutely initiates a transient increase in CRF expression in the PVN and produces increased plasma corticosterone in the present study (data not shown).

The majority of CRF-immunoreactive cells have been found to colocalize with c-fos immunoreactive neurons in the SuM nucleus. Prior results showed that CRF-immunoreactive neurons were observed in the mammillary body [29]. The hippocampus plays an important role in the negative feedback of the limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal (LHPA) axis [30,31]. Hippocampal function is significantly influenced by glucocorticoids [32,33]. By acute modulation of neuronal excitability, glucocorticoids affect hippocampus-dependent behavior, such as spatial memory. Chronic changes in glucocorticoid levels impair hippocampal function and morphology, which ultimately leads to cognitive impairment [34]. These results suggest that CRF-immunoreactive cells in the SuM region are activated by stress and these cells may mediate the stress response. Therefore, CRF-immunoreactive neurons in the SuM region may influence spatial and cognitive memory process during stress through its inputs to the hippocampus.

We observed that the 5-HT immunoreactive cells were increased in the SuM region after immobilization stress. About 53% of the 5-HT immunoreactive cells were double labeled with fluorogold reactive cells in the SuM region. Our data suggest that serotonin-containing cells in SuM region may also modulate hippocampus-dependent behaviors during stress. Serotonin (5-HT) is known to be involved in a variety of behavioral changes in the stress models. Prior studies have shown that the SuM and mammillothalamic (Smt) nucleus are located along the path of serotonin fibers originating in the raphe nuclei of the brain stem. These fibers enter the hypothalamus dorsal to the mammillary bodies where they traverse the SuM region, and pass rostrally in the medial part of the medial forebrain bundle, close to the Smt [9]. In the CNS, it is a modulatory neurotransmitter participating in the regulation of many important brain functions like thermoregulation, sleep, aggression, and eating. Disturbed serotonergic signaling is an important mechanism underlying stress-related psychopathological states as anxiety, depression and eating disorders. GABAergic, dopaminergic and nitric oxide positive cells are related to the stress response. GABA has been implicated in the regulation of various aspects of the stress
response. Both GABA_A and GABA_B receptors are abun-
dantly expressed in hippocampus [35]. Dopaminergic activ-
ity has been reported to be increased in the striatum and
prefrontal cortex of rats exposed to stress. In addition, NO,
as a neurotransmitter/neuromodulator, plays a role, direc-
tly or indirectly, in the regulation of the CNS, endocrine
and behavioral process involved the stress response. How-
ever, GABAergic, dopaminergic and nitric oxide positive
cells were minimally labeled with fluorogold reactive cells
projecting to the hippocampus. These results suggest that
a variety of neurons in the SuM region are differentially
responsive to the stress response. Further studies are clear-
ly needed to examine the roles of these cell populations in-
cluding cholinergic, CRF, serotonin, GAD, TH and NO con-
taining cells in mediating the stress-related behaviors such
as alterations of learning and memory, anxiety or reward
systems affected by stress.

Following the injections of fluorogold into the hippo-
campus, most of retrogradely labeled cells were observed
around mammillothalamtic tract in the SuM region, con-
sistent with the previous study [21]. It should be noted that
SuM fibers projecting to the hippocampus mainly originate
from the lateral two-thirds of SuM region and approxi-
ately 5~10% of SuM neurons project to the CA2/CA3
of the hippocampus [5]. Therefore, cell distributions of the
SuM region from our data may reflect only 5 to 10 percent
among the total populations of the SuM cells. These results
suggest that caution is needed in interpreting results ob-
tained from the tracing methods. In addition, since the ret-
rograde injections only include a small region, hippocampal
CA3, distributions or roles of other populations of SuM cells
projecting to the different areas of the hippocampal for-
mation including several subregions such as the CA1 or
dentate gyrus, in stress response, should be elaborated and
characterized in the future.

In conclusion, stress activates serotonergic, cholinergic
and corticotropin releasing cells in the SuM region that
project to the hippocampus. 31% of ChAT, 53% of 5-HT and
56% of CRF cells were double stained with retrograde cells
projecting to the hippocampus, whereas dopaminergic,
GABAergic and nitric oxide positive cells were minimally
double labeled. The SuM region contains cells with different
characteristics related to IOM stress and these cells may
directly influence the hippocampus. The results of this study
clearly demonstrated that the SuM region of the brain con-
tains distinct cell populations that are differentially respon-
sive to stress. These findings suggest that serotonin, ace-
tylcholine and corticotropin releasing factor in the SuM re-
region play an important role in modulating stress-related
behaviors.
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