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Abstract. Wuhan YOP, Haryanto A, Tjahajati I. 2020. Short Communication: Molecular characterization and blood hematology profile of dogs infected by *Ehrlichia canis* in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: 3242-3248. *Ehrlichia canis* is Gram-negative intracellular obligate bacteria that cause ehrlichiosis, a companion vector-borne disease is a potentially fatal disease that attacks dogs. The purpose of this study was to molecular characterize and determine the features of *Ehrlichia*-infected blood based on the amplification of the gltA gene in *Ehrlichia* infected dogs from Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Blood samples were collected from 51 dog patients from the Prof. Dr. Soeparno Animal Hospital, animal clinics, and pet shops based on the anamnesis, clinical sign, and physical examination, followed by microscopic examination, routine hematology, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing were carried out on the blood samples. Based on positive PCR amplification and blood hematology profile examination ehrlichiosis-positive in dogs showed that thrombocytopenia case was 82.3%, anemia was 70.5%, eosinopenia was 70.5%, neutropenia was 29.4%, monocytopenia was 23%, leukopenia was 17% and lymphopenia was 11.7%. Morulae of *Ehrlichia* sp. was not found in microscopic examination. Molecularly, detected of *E. canis* using the gltA gene showed that 34% of samples were positive results. Then 5 of positive *Ehrlichia* samples were DNA sequenced, they showed a high homology of 100% with Hat Yai isolates (KU765199.1). There was no genetic diversity between *E. canis* samples in Yogyakarta.
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INTRODUCTION

Companion vector-borne disease (CVBD) is a growing health threat to the international community. This disease is transmitted by blood-sucking ectoparasites, namely ticks, fleas, mosquitoes, and flies which can transmit many dangerous pathogenic agents such as bacteria, protozoa, rickettsia, viruses or worms to dogs (Otranto et al. 2009). Canine monocytic ehrlichiosis is a potentially fatal disease that attacks dogs caused by *Ehrlichia canis* which is a Gram-negative intracellular obligate bacterium belonging to the family Anaplasmataceae, order Rickettsiales (Shukla et al. 2011). Other *Ehrlichia* species such as *Ehrlichia ewingii* and *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* may show clinical symptoms similar to *E. canis*, but *E. canis* is the main cause of ehrlichiosis in dogs transmitted through the *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* tick vector (Ramos et al. 2014). Tick-borne diseases are relevant for veterinarians in tropical and subtropical countries because of the spread of vectors and agents recognized as zoonoses (Arraga et al. 2014). Human infections have been reported in Venezuela (Perez et al. 2006) and in Panama (Daza et al. 2018). *E. canis* DNA has been detected in samples from human blood bank donors in Costa Rica (Bouza-Mora et al. 2016). Canine monocytic ehrlichiosis (CME) is a contagious disease with a high incidence. Clinical signs of CME vary depending on the stage of the disease (acute, subclinical and chronic phases) which may be evident by fever, depression, lethargy, anorexia, lymphadenomegaly, splenomegaly, anemia, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia (Singla et al. 2011; Tommassi et al. 2014; Piratae et al. 2019). *E. canis* can be detected for a short time in monocytes but cannot be found during the subclinical and chronic stages of infection. The stage of morula search in monocyte circulation is still a routine diagnostic method for ehrlichiosis cases. Reports about the incidence of ehrlichiosis in dogs in Indonesia are still limited. It was reported that the incidence of ehrlichiosis in dogs in the Animal Police Directorate of Security Agency (Baharkam) was 25 samples and 12% were reported positive. Five samples were taken from Atang Sendjaja Air Force (ATS), of which 40% were positively infected with *E. canis* (Hadi et al. 2016). There was also a report of an ehrlichiosis case in a Kintamani dog in Bali based on history, clinical symptoms, routine hematological results, and examination using a test kit which showed that the dog was *E. canis* positive (Erawan et al. 2017). Report on cases of ehrlichiosis disease detection in 1,785 dog patients at Jogja
Animal Clinic using a kit test from January to September 2017 obtained a positive result of 7.63% (Nesti et al. 2018).

The incidence of ehrlichiosis in dogs tends to increase every year, while studies of ehrlichiosis disease caused by *E. canis* using hematological and molecular diagnosis methods are still limited, so this study is necessary. The aim of this study is to describe the ehrlichiosis blood and molecular characterization of *E. canis* using the *gltA* gene in dog patients in Yogyakarta, Indonesia so that the types of *E. canis* agents in Yogyakarta could be identified to help diagnose and determine appropriate therapy in cases of ehrlichiosis in dogs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Anamnesis and physical examination**

Anamnesis is done by asking the animal owner to obtain information about the previous disease history related to tick infestations. Physical examination is done by examining mucous membranes or conjunctiva, body temperature, level of dehydration, appetite, hair condition (tick infestation), and general condition of the patient (Widodo 2011).

**Blood samples collection**

The study was conducted in March-November 2018. The blood samples were taken from 51 patients from the Prof. Soeparwi Animal Hospital (6 dogs), Jogja Animal Clinic (31 dogs), Satwa Kita Clinic (4 dogs), Djio Petshop (3 dogs), Naroopet shop (7 dogs). Blood samples were taken from dogs that showed clinical symptoms of ehrlichiosis. The blood samples from *vena cephalica* were taken 2 ml from each dog (Nair et al. 2016).

**Blood smear microscopic examination**

Microscopic examination was carried out using a thin blood smear-staining method stained with 10% Giemsa solution and fixed with methanol for 5 minutes. Observation of the staining results was carried out using a light microscope (40-1000x magnification) (Ferrolho et al. 2016).

**Routine hematologic examination**

The routine hematologic examination was performed using a hematology analyzer that included examining the total number of erythrocytes, hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit value, platelet count, total leukocyte, neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, lymphocytes and monocytes count (Parashar et al. 2016).

**DNA extraction**

The DNA extraction was carried out using the following materials: 20 µL proteinase K, 200 µL blood sample, 200 µL GSB Buffer (Geneaid®), 200 µL absolute Ethanol, 400 µL W1 buffer solution (Geneaid®), 600 µL Wash Buffer (Geneaid®), 100 µL Elution Buffer (Geneaid®). DNA extraction was carried out by following the procedures determined by the Geneaid® company.

DNA amplification

DNA amplification was carried out by using a 25 µL master mix (2 µL template DNA, 12 µL PCR mix (KAPPABYOSTEMS®), 2 µL Primer Forward, 2 µL Primer Reverse, 6.5 µL dH2O2) Stages of amplification were performed based on the procedure of Geneaid company with initial temperature of denaturation 94 ºC for 5 minutes, denaturation 94 ºC for 45 seconds, annealing 56 ºC for 45 seconds, extension 72 ºC for 1 minute, and final extension 72 ºC for 10 minutes. Primary nucleotide base sequence (BIOMER®) of *gltA* gene of *E. canis* was Forward ECF primer: (5’-CAG GAG TAT CCT GA-3’) (nucleotides 522-541) and Reverse ECR primer: (5’-GTT ACT TGG TTC TTC AAT TGC C-3’ (nucleotide 1.010-1.031) with an amplification target of 510-bp (Pulvio et al. 2006).

DNA electrophoresis

The results of PCR product amplification were visualized on 1.5% agarose gel. 2 µL of dye loading was mixed with 5 µL of PCR product and slowly inserted into the well. Agarose gel electrophoresis was carried out for 45 minutes with a voltage of 100 volts.

DNA sequencing and analysis

Electrophoresis results that showed positive results were advanced to the sequencing stage. The PCR products used were used 40 µL, 50 µL Primer Forward and 50 µL Primer Reverse. The sequencing products were sent to PT. Genetica Science. The sequencing results were analyzed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) and compared with the *gltA* gene of *E. canis* from GenBank (Donkor et al. 2014). The phylogenetic analysis using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 6.0 (Khan 2017).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Hematology profile of dog patients infected by *Ehrlichia canis* based on positive PCR**

The results of routine hematological examination on 51 blood samples of dog patients showed that 17 positive samples were infected with *E. canis*. The ehrlichiosis positive dogs experienced thrombocytopenia (82.3%), anemia (70.5%), eosinopenia (70.5%), neutropenia (29.4%), monocytopenia (23%), leukopenia (17%), and lymphopenia (11.7%). This result is in line with research in Cebu which states that anemia and thrombocytopenia are the most common hematological results (Ybañez et al. 2018). The results of routine hematologic examinations in dog patients suffering from Ehrlichiosis are shown in Table 1.

Thrombocytopenia has been considered to be the most common and consistent hematological finding in dogs affected with CME as well as experimentally infected dogs (Asgarali et al. 2012; Silva et al. 2012; Milanjeet et al. 2014). Thrombocytopenia is the most common hematological disorder in CME cases that occurs in more than 80% of cases, regardless of the phase of the disease. However, CME should not be ruled out based solely on
normal platelet counts (Sainz et al. 2015). Non-regenerative anemia, leucopenia, neutropenia, mild/moderate leukocytosis, neutrophilia, lymphopenia, or mild lymphocytosis are additional abnormalities (Castro et al. 2004). Anemia, which is usually normocytic, normochromic, and non-regenerative, suggesting a restricted or absent bone marrow response is a very important finding (Gaunt et al. 2010; Silva et al. 2012). Thrombocytopenia, anemia, hypoalbuminemia, increased alkaline phosphatase, decreased albumin, and globulin ratio are the most common findings in diagnosis of ehrlichiosis in dogs. This study illustrates the 100% prevalence of thrombocytopenia in *E. canis* seropositive dogs (Kottadamane et al. 2017). A similar study by Bhadesiya and Modi (2015) has proved that the mean of Hb, PCV, total erythrocyte count (TEC), total leukocyte count (TLC), and total platelet count significantly decreased in positive dogs. Decreased platelet count in blood circulation (thrombocytopenia) can be caused by a decrease in platelet production by bone marrow, abnormal distribution (platelets trapped in the enlarged spleen), dilution, and increased destruction of platelets (Stockham and Scott 2008). Hypoplastic condition is associated with a decrease in the number of neutrophil precursor cells in the marrow due to rickettsia (*E. canis* infection) in dogs and *Ehrlichia risticiii* infection in horses (Mylonakis et al. 2010). The results of blood smear examination under the microscope did not found the formation of morules in monocytes. *E. canis* can be detected for a short time in monocytes but cannot be found during the subclinical and chronic stages of infection (Moriera et al. 2005). These results of the hematological profile study of dogs infected by *E. canis* were in line with another previous study conducted by Faizal et al. (2019), which used 51 dogs suspected of *Anaplasma platys* in Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

**DNA electrophoresis and sequence analysis**

The electrophoresis results of PCR products using 1.5% agarose gel showed that there were 17 samples with positive results from a total of 51 samples. Positive results were indicated by the appearance of a 510 bp amplicon band as shown in Figure 1. The results of thick and thin target bands visualization in a positive sample indicate the quality of isolated DNA influenced by sample conditions and DNA isolation procedures. Five samples from 17 *E. canis* positive samples were taken for sequencing analysis. Positive samples with the code E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) shown in Figure 2.

Table 1. Hematology profile of dog patients infected by *Ehrlichia canis* based on positive PCR products

| Sample code | Thrombocytopenia | Anemia | Eosinopenia | Neutropenia | Monocytopenia | Lymphopenia |
|-------------|------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Doom KD/D   | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Hani KHJ/H  | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Avril KHJ/A | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Audry KNP/A | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Didot KHJ/D | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Kopi KHJ/K2 | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Choocho KHJ/C | +             | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Elby KHJ/E  | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Keyla KHJ/K3 | +              | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Picco RSH/P | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Gobel KNP/G2 | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Moi KNP/M   | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Jacko KHJ/J2 | +              | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Nick KHJ/N  | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Von KHJ/V   | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Mayo RSH/M  | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Ponny RSH/P | +                | +      | +           | +           |              |             |
| Percentage  | 82.3 %           | 70.5 % | 70.5 %      | 29.4 %      | 23.0 %       | 17.0 %      | 11.7 %      |
Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis of the five sequence samples showed a red line in the sample which has very high homology (>200 nucleotides). Data sequences of gltA gene of E. canis from E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) were aligned with sequence data from GeneBank E. canis Hat Yai (KU765199.1) isolates from Thailand (Liu et al. 2016). Multiple alignment results obtained 303 nucleotides. The similar sequence of nucleotide between the study samples and the sequence data of gltA gene of E. canis in GeneBank occurred in the nucleotide sequence 442-744 shown in Figure 3.

Nucleotide differences were analyzed between sequences of E. canis gltA genes from 5 samples; E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) with sequence data of E. canis isolates Hat Yai Thailand (KU765199.1). The results of the analysis obtained values of nucleotide differences between 0-177 shown in Table 2. A value of 0 states that there is no nucleotide difference which means there are genotypic similarities shown between 5 samples with E1 isolates Hat Yai Thailand (KU765199.1), E. canis Oklahoma from the United States and E. canis from Italy (AY647155.1). Significant differences in genotypic values are shown in Ehrlichia muris, Ehrlichia chaffeensis, Arkansas Wolbachia endosymbiont, Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Anaplasma platys, and Rickettsia asemobonensis, namely 60, 62, 138, 141, 151, and 154. The smaller nucleotide difference values indicate closer kinship due to closer genetic similarity.

The results of genetic distance analysis showed that E. canis samples E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) with E. canis Hat Yai isolates from Thailand (KU765199.1), E. canis Oklahoma (AF304143.1) and E. canis from Italy (AY647155.1) have 100% homology shown in Table 3. The genetic distance of E. canis coded E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) in Yogyakarta with E. canis Hat Yai isolates (KU765199.1) is 0%. Genetic distance is a genetic difference between species or between populations in one species. A small genetic distance (close to 0) indicates a close genetic relationship while a large genetic distance (approaching 1) indicates a distant genetic relationship. The results of genetic distance analysis showed that E. canis samples E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) with E. canis Hat Yai isolates from Thailand (KU765199.1), E. canis Oklahoma (AF304143.1) from the United States (Inokuma et al. 2001) and E. canis from Italy (AY647155.1) have 100% homology. The following is Table 3. which shows the genetic distance of the E. canis gltA gene by the p-distance method.

The phylogenetic relationship of E. canis from Yogyakarta is homologous with E. canis isolates Hat Yai (KU765199.1) from Thailand, E. canis isolates from the United States (AF304143.1) and E. canis citrate synthase (gltA) gene from Italy (AY647155.1) shown in Figure 4. The construction of phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method is constituted based on the distance matrix method. The distance method principle is the number of nucleotide differences between two DNA sequences showing the evolutionary distance that occurs; the smaller the nucleotide difference, the closer the kinship and the greater the nucleotide difference, the more distant the kinship. This can be seen in Figure 4; the samples in one clade show the closest kinship, while the distance of kinship is indicated by the genetic distance scale. Samples E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E) are related to E. canis isolates Hat Yai (KU765199.1) from Thailand, E. canis Oklahoma from the United States (AF304143.1) and E. canis citrate synthase (gltA) from Italy (AY647155.1) while distantly related to Ehrlichia chaffeensis and Ehrlichia muris.

The phylogenetic tree shows that from the analyzed E. canis nucleotide sequences, four main clades were formed. Clade I is E. canis Hat Yai isolates (KU765199), E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E), E. canis Oklahoma from the United States.
There are variations in blood features in ehrlichiosis patients, namely thrombocytopenia, anemia, eosinopenia, neutropenia, monocytopenia, leukopenia, and lymphopenia. Molecular diagnosis of E. canis using the gltA gene obtained 17 positive results (33.3%). Sequencing results showed no diversity of E. canis in Yogyakarta. The type of E. canis in Yogyakarta is homologous with the type of E. canis isolate Hat Yai Thailand (KU765199.1), E. canis Oklahoma from the United States (AF304143.1) and E. canis citrate synthase (gltA) from Italy (AY647155.1).

![Figure 3. Sequencing products of gltA gene in Ehrlichia canis from dog patients](image)

| Table 2. Differences sequence of nucleotides Ehrlichia canis gen gltA sample with sequences from GenBank |
| No. | Sample code | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|-----|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1   | KU765199.1_Ehrlichia_canis | 00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2   | E1          | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3   | E2          | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4   | E3          | 0 | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 5   | E4          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6   | E5          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 7   | Ehrlichia_canis_Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 8   | AY647155.1_Ehrlichia_canis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9   | Ehrlichia_chaffeensis_Arkansas | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |   |   |   |   |   |
| 10  | Ehrlichia_muris | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 42 |   |   |   |
| 11  | Anaplasma_platis | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 154 | 147 |   |   |   |
| 12  | Anaplasma_phagocytophilum | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 153 |   |   |
| 13  | Wolbachia_endosymbiont | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 139 | 135 | 159 | 158 |   |
| 14  | Rickettsia_asembronensis | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 160 | 177 | 175 | 153 |   |

| Table 3. Genetic distance sequences of Ehrlichia canis gltA gene by p-distance method |
| No. | Sample code | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|-----|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1   | KU765199.1_Ehrlichia_canis | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2   | E1          | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3   | E2          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4   | E3          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 5   | E4          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6   | E5          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 7   | Ehrlichia_canis_Oklahoma | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 8   | AY647155.1_Ehrlichia_canis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |   |   |   |   |   |
| 9   | Ehrlichia_chaffeensis_Arkansas | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |   |   |   |   |
| 10  | Anaplasma_phagocytophilum | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |   |   |   |
| 11  | Ehrlichia_muris | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.16 |   |   |
| 12  | Anaplasma_platis | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.19 |   |
| 13  | Wolbachia_endosymbiont | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.20 |
| 14  | Rickettsia_asembronensis | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.17 |

(AF304143.1) and E. canis citrate synthase (gltA) from Italy (AY647155.1). Clade II is Arkansas Ehrlichia chaffeensis and Ehrlichia muris, Clade III is Anaplasma phagocytophilum and Anaplasma platis, and Clade IV is Wolbachia endosymbiont and Rickettsia asembronensis. There is no genetic diversity in E. canis samples with codes E1 (KNP/A), E2 (KD/D), E3 (RSH/P), E4 (KHJ/D), and E5 (KHJ/E). The phylogenetic relationship of E. canis from Yogyakarta is homologous with E. canis isolates Hat Yai (KU765199.1) from Thailand, E. canis Oklahoma from the United States (AF304143.1) and E. canis citrate synthase (gltA) from Italy (AY647155.1).
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