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ABSTRACT

We derive ages, metallicities, and individual element abundances of early- and late-type galaxies (ETGs and LTGs) out to 1.5 R_e. We study a large sample of 1900 galaxies spanning 8.6–11.3 log M/M⊙ in stellar mass, through key absorption features in stacked spectra from the SDSS-IV/MaNGA survey. We use mock galaxy spectra with extended star formation histories to validate our method for LTGs and use corrections to convert the derived ages into luminosity- and mass-weighted quantities. We find flat age and negative metallicity gradients for ETGs and negative age and negative metallicity gradients for LTGs. Age gradients in LTGs steepen with increasing galaxy mass, from −0.05 ± 0.11 log Gyr/R_e for the lowest mass galaxies to −0.82 ± 0.08 log Gyr/R_e for the highest mass ones. This strong gradient–mass relation has a slope of −0.70 ± 0.18. Comparing local age and metallicity gradients with the velocity dispersion σ within galaxies against the global relation with σ shows that internal processes regulate metallicity in ETGs but not age, and vice versa for LTGs. We further find that metallicity gradients with respect to local σ show a much stronger dependence on galaxy mass than metallicity gradients. Both galaxy types display flat [C/Fe] and [Mg/Fe], and negative [Na/Fe] gradients, whereas only LTGs display gradients in [Ca/Fe] and [Ti/Fe]. ETGs have increasingly steep [Na/Fe] gradients with local σ reaching 6.50 ± 0.78 dex/log km s⁻¹ for the highest masses. [Na/Fe] ratios are correlated with metallicity for both galaxy types across the entire mass range in our sample, providing support for metallicity-dependent supernova yields.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Stellar population analysis is a powerful tool for extracting physical parameters from galaxy spectra, often referred to as extragalactic archaeology. Results can be obtained via modelling the full spectrum (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005; Ocvirk et al. 2006; Koleva et al. 2009; Conroy, Graves & van Dokkum 2014; Cappellari 2017; Goddard et al. 2017; Wilkinson et al. 2017; Conroy et al. 2018) or absorption index measurements (Trager et al. 2000; Proctor & Sansom 2002; Thomas et al. 2005; Schiavon 2007; Thomas et al. 2010; Johansson, Thomas & Maraston 2012) which respond to a combination of stellar population parameters. Through this we have learnt that more massive galaxies contain older, more metal-rich populations (Kuntschner 2000; Thomas et al. 2005) and appear to have formed their stars earliest (Trager et al. 1998; Thomas et al. 2005; Bernardi et al. 2006; Clemens et al. 2006).

High-resolution data and state-of-the-art stellar population models have given way to detailed studies of abundance patterns in early-type galaxies. A wealth of information can be obtained by modelling the abundances of individual elements. Furthermore, spatially resolved spectroscopy has led to studies of these properties as a function of galaxy radius. Abundance gradients within galaxies provide additional information on the processes which regulate the growth and assembly of galaxies. Through this we can learn about quenching, mergers, and inside-out or outside-in formation. Stellar yields outline the amount of each element produced by stars of different masses, and is a basic parameter for chemical evolution models which can be compared to observations in order to place constraints. Gradients also provide information on internal processes such as stellar migration, inflow of pristine gas into galaxies, and outflows of enriched material via supernovae and stellar winds into the interstellar medium (ISM) (see Maiolino & Mannucci 2019, for a review).
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The initial mass function (IMF) of galaxies is a hot topic in recent literature. Studies are increasingly finding evidence for the IMF becoming more bottom heavy with increasing galaxy mass for early-type galaxies (ETGs) (Cappellari et al. 2012; Spiniello et al. 2012; Conroy & van Dokkum 2012b; Ferreras et al. 2013; La Barbera et al. 2013; Lyubenova et al. 2016). Additionally, measuring the IMF within massive ETGs points towards a bottom-heavy IMF in galaxy centres, and a Kroupa IMF at larger radii (Martín-Navarro et al. 2015; La Barbera et al. 2016; La Barbera et al. 2017; van Dokkum et al. 2017; Parikh et al. 2018; Bernardi et al. 2019; Domínguez Sánchez et al. 2019). Vaughan et al. (2018) find that their data cannot conclusively rule out IMF gradients, but that trends can also be explained by abundance variations, while Alton, Smith & Lucey (2017, 2018) also find no IMF gradients for a small sample of galaxies. Furthermore, constraints from four massive lensed galaxies, with velocity dispersions of $>400$ km s$^{-1}$, reveal a mass-to-light ratio corresponding to a Kroupa IMF (Smith, Lucey & Conroy 2015; Collier, Smith & Lucey 2018).

Focusing on possible systematics in stellar population analysis, for near-infrared gravity-sensitive features that are highly sensitive to changes in the IMF, the difference between a Kroupa and a Salpeter IMF can be on the percent level, and the degeneracies between different parameters remain the largest uncertainty. Hence, the certainty in determining the age, metallicity, and chemical abundances directly impacts the accuracy of the determined IMF.

Most of literature has focused on ETGs to carry out detailed stellar population analysis. This is because they can be better approximated by simple star formation histories with no ongoing star formation. ETGs are known to be composed of mostly old stars, with little or no current star formation while late-type galaxies (LTGs) can have complicated star formation histories (Kauffmann et al. 2003). Additionally, absorption features in LTGs can be affected by moderate to severe contribution to absorption features from the ISM, depending on the viewing angle. Still, there are studies using full spectrum fitting, which have extracted the luminosity-weighted (LW) ages and metallicities of LTGs (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2014; González Delgado et al. 2015; Goddard et al. 2017; Zheng et al. 2017).

When considering LTGs, which are known to be composed of different stellar populations, it would be prudent to consider the star formation history, and represent the galaxies using composite stellar populations (CSPs). However, it is non-trivial to derive accurate star formation histories and computationally expensive to explore the full posterior distribution. Spectral fitting codes have attempted to find work-around options, e.g. VESPA includes models with increasing levels of complexity that are used as and when data require them (Tojeiro et al. 2009), STARLIGHT fits using full set of models and then restricts the parameter space to a coarser grid (Gid Fernandes et al. 2005), and FIREFLY uses liberal parameter searching with a convergence test while obtaining linear combinations of best-fitting mock CSP models with an exponentially decaying SFH to show that LW and mass-weighted (MW) ages can be obtained after a correction, while derived metallicities and abundances are good tracers of the underlying parameters. We derive ages and metallicities consistent with literature, and use these to constrain abundances of chemical elements. Although considerable progress is required on the modelling to be able to accurately determine such parameters for LTGs, we present this work as an indication of detailed stellar population signatures in spiral galaxies.

This paper is outlined as follows: the new sample selection, changes to the stacking, and a revised method of deriving abundances are described in Section 2. Next, the results of our stellar population model fittings to the measured absorption indices on ETG and LTG stacked spectra are presented in Section 3. We discuss our findings in Section 4, focusing on differences between the two galaxy types, and spatial variations. This section also includes a comparison of our results for LTGs to the abundances obtained from studying our own Galaxy. Finally, a summary is given in Section 5.

2 DATA AND ANALYSIS TOOLS

MaNGA is an ongoing project obtaining spatially resolved spectroscopy for 10,000 nearby galaxies at a spectral resolution of $R \sim 2000$ in the wavelength range 3600–10 300 Å. Independent fibre bundles provide 17 simultaneous observations of galaxies (Drory et al. 2015), which are fed into the BOSS spectrographs (Smee et al. 2013) on the Sloan 2.5 m telescope (Gunn et al. 2006). MaNGA targets are chosen from the NASA Sloan Atlas catalogue (NSA, Blanton et al. 2005) such that there is a uniform distribution in log stellar mass (Wake et al. 2017). We make use of Elliptical Petrosian quantities for the $r$-band isophotal ellipticity, position angle, half-light radius, and galaxy mass (based on a Chabrier IMF, Chabrier 2003) from this catalogue.

Optical fibre bundles of different sizes are chosen to ensure all galaxies are covered out to at least $1.5R_e$ for the ‘Primary’ and ‘Colour-enhanced’ samples, together known as ‘Primary+’, and to $2.5R_e$ for the ‘Secondary’ sample (Wake et al. 2017). The Colour-enhanced sample supplements colour space that is otherwise underrepresented relative to the overall galaxy population. The spatial resolution is $1$–$2$ kpc at the median redshift of the survey ($z \sim 0.03$), and the $r$-band S/N is $4$–$8$ $\AA^{-1}$, for each $2$ arcsec fibre, at the outskirts of MaNGA galaxies. For more detail on the survey, we refer the reader to Law et al. (2015) for MaNGA’s observing strategy, to Yan et al. (2016a) for the spectrophotometry calibration, to Wake et al. (2017) for the survey design, and to Yan et al. (2016b) for the initial performance.
Using the general stacking technique described in P18, we proceed to bin ETGs and LTGs from the latest SDSS data release out to 1.5 $R_e$. There are several improvements we have made to the sample selection and binning method, which are described below.

### 2.1 Major changes

(i) The latest SDSS-IV data release with new MaNGA data, DR15 (Aguado et al. 2019), contains 4672 datacubes, allowing us to considerably increase our sample size and parameter space.

(ii) We stack spectra of individual spaxels, but make use of kinematics determined for Voronoi-binned spectra to an S/N of 10 from MaNGA’s data analysis pipeline (DAP Westfall et al. 2019). Hence, individual spectra belonging to the same Voronoi bin would have the same stellar velocity and velocity dispersion. The benefit of adopting this approach is to avoid using unreliable kinematics for lower S/N spectra. We impose an S/N $> 5\text{ pixel}^{-1}$ threshold for individual spectra. In P18, since we used kinematics for individual spectra, we had to impose a higher S/N threshold of $7\text{ pixel}^{-1}$ to ensure reliable kinematics, leading to more data loss. In the present approach, lowering our S/N criteria allows a greater spread in $g - i$. The right-hand panel shows the mass histograms, with the limited sample of ETGs from P18 overlaid. The increased mass range that we now study is a substantial improvement. These final distributions are affected by the various cuts, but generally late types are less massive than early types.

(iv) We split these into six ETG mass bins and seven LTG mass bins, with the boundaries calculated such that there are an equal number of galaxies ($\sim 150$) in each bin. These details as well as the median velocity dispersion, effective radius, and redshift for each mass bin are given in Table 1.

Table 1. We split our sample of ETGs (top panel) into six stellar mass bins and LTGs (bottom panel) into seven bins. For each bin, the number of galaxies and median velocity dispersion, effective radius, and redshift are given.

| Mass range (log M/$M_\odot$) | Number | $\sigma$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_e$ (kpc) | $z$ |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------|-----|
| 8.8–9.8                     | 148    | 72                    | 3.74        | 0.023 |
| 9.8–10.3                    | 150    | 144                   | 3.89        | 0.027 |
| 10.3–10.6                   | 149    | 188                   | 4.99        | 0.031 |
| 10.6–10.8                   | 149    | 227                   | 6.02        | 0.040 |
| 10.8–11.0                   | 150    | 250                   | 6.27        | 0.063 |
| 11.0–11.3                   | 149    | 272                   | 5.95        | 0.099 |
| 8.6–9.2                     | 143    | 55                    | 4.98        | 0.020 |
| 9.2–9.6                     | 143    | 65                    | 5.80        | 0.024 |
| 9.6–9.9                     | 144    | 66                    | 6.37        | 0.026 |
| 9.9–10.1                    | 144    | 92                    | 6.29        | 0.027 |
| 10.1–10.3                   | 144    | 117                   | 7.84        | 0.029 |
| 10.3–10.6                   | 143    | 139                   | 7.75        | 0.033 |
| 10.6–11.2                   | 144    | 177                   | 7.80        | 0.057 |

(iii) For morphological classifications, we make use of a deep learning-based catalogue (Fischer et al. 2019), which provides the T-type for each galaxy such that T-type $> 0$ gives late types, while T-type $< 0$ gives early types, including ellipticals and S0s. We choose this classification since Fischer et al. (2019) show that galaxies with a high probability of being ETGs from Galaxy Zoo can be significantly contaminated by LTGs. The left-hand panel of Fig. 1 shows our final sample in a colour–mass plot, resulting in 895 early-type galaxies, and 1005 late-type galaxies, ranging in stellar mass 8.60–11.33 log M/$M_\odot$. The two types occupy different regions of this parameter space, with early types having redder $g - i$ colour and extending to higher masses. The LTGs on the other hand display a greater spread in $g - i$. The right-hand panel shows the mass histograms, with the limited sample of ETGs from P18 overlaid. The increased mass range that we now study is a substantial improvement. These final distributions are affected by the various cuts, but generally late types are less massive than early types.

(v) For LTGs, we apply an inclination cut to select mostly face-on galaxies. This minimizes the ISM path-length, which is known to affect resonant lines such as NaD at 5900 Å. Fig. 2 shows a histogram of the inclinations of LTGs, after making the S/N cut. The distribution peaks near 60 deg, and this is also the maximum inclination of galaxies that we include in our sample. The galaxies plotted in Fig. 1 already included this cut in inclination.

(vi) We decided to modify the radial binning scheme used in P18 to compensate for the loss in S/N near the half-light radius. Due to lower surface brightness at larger radii, we have increased the widths of the radial bins in order to have more spectra to stack together.
These velocity dispersion are a combination of bulge and disc contributions. We do not attempt to separate the components in this work but include a discussion of other results in Section 4.3. The true physical meaning of sigma needs to be investigated in an in-depth analysis; however, such a study goes well beyond the scope of this paper.

2.3 Method

Key absorption features are measured and corrected for velocity-dispersion broadening, and are modelled using Thomas, Maraston & Johansson (2011a, TMJ) line index models. These models are an update and extension of the earlier models by Thomas, Maraston & Bender (2003a) and Thomas, Maraston & Korn (2004), and based on the Maraston (1998, 2005) evolutionary synthesis code, using new empirical calibrations by Johansson, Thomas & Maraston (2010) based on the MILES stellar library (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006) and element response functions from Korn, Maraston & Thomas (2005). The models are carefully calibrated with galactic globular clusters and reproduce the observations well for the spectral features used in this study (Thomas, Johansson & Maraston 2011b).

The models are available for different ages, metallicities, variable element abundance ratios, and a Salpeter IMF, at MILES resolution. Element variation are calculated at constant total metallicity, hence the TMJ models enhance the α-elements and suppress the Fe-peak elements according to equations (1)–(3) in Thomas et al. (2003a). The ages span from 0.1 to 15 Gyr (in steps of 0.2 Gyr up to 1 Gyr, and then in steps of 1 Gyr), metallicities of −2.25, −1.35, −0.33, 0.0, +0.35, +0.67, [Mg/Fe] values of −0.3, 0.0, +0.3, +0.5 dex, and other abundance ratios [X/Mg] of −0.3, 0.0, +0.3 dex. When fitting the models, we set an upper limit on the age corresponding to the age of the Universe, 13.7 Gyr, so that an age older than this is not allowed. Parameters are derived using chi-squared minimization by interpolating the SSP model grids in the n-dimensional parameter space to fit the data.

To compare measured absorption features to the TMJ models, we correct the equivalent widths to MILES resolution using correction factors. The procedure to derive these is described in detail in P18. Briefly, we measure absorption features on Maraston & Strömbäck (2011) models at MILES resolution and at the resolution of the data, and use the ratio between these measurements as the correction factors.

We follow our previous approach from P19 of modelling selected features in steps in order to break degeneracies between parameters. We make some modifications to follow the method in J12 more closely, by iterating using perturbed models until convergence is reached. This is summarized in a flowchart in Fig. 6. An initial fit is carried out using H β, Mgb, Fe5270 and Fe5335 to derive the parameters of age, metallicity, and [Mg/Fe]. We do not make use of higher order Balmer lines since these are much more sensitive to [α/Fe] than H β (Thomas et al. 2004). Individual features reacting to different element abundances are then modelled in steps as before, C,4668 for [C/Fe], Fe4531 for [Ti/Fe], and NaD for [Na/Fe], followed by CN1 for [N/Fe], and finally Ca4227 for [Ca/Fe]. The latter two are done in steps because they are affected by some of the previously derived abundances. IMF-sensitive indices (Mg1, Mg2, TiO1, TiO2) are excluded from the analysis (see also Vazdekis 2001; Maraston et al. 2003; Conroy & van Dokkum 2012a). Next, we perturb the age, metallicity, and [Mg/Fe] by ±0.1 dex in steps of 0.02 (for age and metallicity) or 0.05 (for element abundances). We then find the minimum chi-squared solution using the entire combination of indices to re-derive these parameters. Finally, we repeat this entire
Figure 3. Example images of an LTG, MaNGA-ID 1-591917 (left), and an ETG, MaNGA-ID 1-314719 (right) from our sample. The magenta hexagon shows MaNGA’s IFU bundle. Overlaid in white are the radial bins calculated from the position angle and ellipticity of the galaxy, out to 1.5 $R_e$. The bins are in steps of 0.1 $R_e$ in the centre, with increased widths in the outermost regions in order to compensate for the decreasing surface brightness.

Figure 4. Stacked spectra of $\sim$150 LTGs (blue) and ETGs (red) from the central 0.1 $R_e$. The bottom panel shows the normalized spectra after fitting for and subtracting emission lines using PPIXF.

process, except for the initial fit, until the residuals improve by less than 1 per cent. This usually requires three iterations to achieve.

A number of studies have used absorption line indices to infer element abundances (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2003, 2006; Clemens et al. 2006; Gallazzi et al. 2006, 2008; Kelson et al. 2006; Graves et al. 2007; Graves & Schiavon 2008; Smith et al. 2009; Thomas et al. 2010; Price et al. 2011; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey, Tang & Serven 2014). While the methods and models vary greatly between these works, including our work in P19, qualitatively the trends agree well. Differences arise for certain elements, possibly due to the treatment of enhancing and depressing various groups in the models (see P19, for a comprehensive discussion).
greatly increased sample size in this work will shed further light on the robustness of stellar population gradients in different galaxy types.

2.4 Method validation using mock CSPs

As mentioned in Section 1, LTGs have complicated star formation histories, and modelling these with SSPs can lead to biases. We use CSP models to test our method and investigate this. These CSP models are constructed by integrating line indices over all the ages contributing to the composite population for different star formation rates. We assume an exponentially declining SFR, \( \propto \exp(-t/\tau) \), and \( \tau \) is the characteristic decay time. Such \( \tau \) models were introduced by Bruzual (1983) and are used routinely for representing spiral galaxies (e.g. Bell & de Jong 2001). We use values of \( \tau = 1, 1.5, 2, 3 \) Gyr, and \( t = 2 \) to 15 Gyr in steps of 1 Gyr. These \( \tau \) values cover the expected range for galaxies and the age range covers our results of fitted ages. The absorption index strength, \( I \), is calculated as follows:

\[
I(t, \tau)_{\text{CSP}} = \frac{\int_0^t \exp \left( \frac{\tau - t'}{\tau} \right) \frac{1}{M/L(t')} I_{\text{SSP}} dt'}{\int_0^t \exp \left( \frac{\tau - t'}{\tau} \right) \frac{1}{M/L(t')} dt'}.
\]  

where the SFR is at time \( t-t' \), the time at which the population formed, and \( M/L(t') \) is the mass-to-light ratio for the stellar population age \( t' \). The coordinate \( t \) traces time since the beginning of star formation, corresponding to the age of the oldest SSP contributing to the composite population. We refer to this as the CSP age. More relevant quantities are LW and MW ages. In particular, analyses made using spectral indices correspond to LW quantities. These quantities are calculated by replacing \( I_{\text{SSP}} \) with age \( t' \) and further removing the \( M/L(t') \) factors for the MW age.

We apply the initial fit from Fig. 6 to the four optical CSP indices and derive the age, metallicity, and [Mg/Fe]; these quantities derived using our methodology are referred to in this section as the fitted quantities. Shown in Fig. 7 is the difference between the CSP age and fitted age as a function of the fitted age for a solar metallicity and solar abundance CSP. All ages are plotted in log Gyr and the deviations from the dashed line indicate the effect of the extended star formation histories. These results show that fitting with SSPs leads to ages being underestimated, and by a larger value for models with larger \( \tau \) (longer SF decay time) because these have a larger fraction of young stars. The bias is also a function of age and improves for older populations.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 8 shows the difference between the LW age and the fitted age. The differences from the true age are much
smaller with a maximum of 0.3 dex. Again, ages are underestimated for larger \( \tau \) values and for younger fitted ages. Also shown are the fitted metallicity (centre) and fitted Mg abundance (right) as a function of the fitted age. Fitted ages are shown for \( \tau > 1 \) Gyr, the youngest fitted age for the galaxies in Section 3.

The effect on metallicity and abundance is much smaller in comparison. The metallicity is likely to be overestimated by up to 0.1 dex for intermediate ages, while at very young, and older ages, there is negligible difference. [Mg/Fe] is overestimated by even smaller values of up to 0.05 dex. Additionally, repeating this exercise for different metallicities suggests that these biases have a complicated relation with the true underlying parameters (see supplementary material, Appendix A), while for different abundances the corrections remain the same as for the solar case i.e. negligible. Hence, we do not attempt to correct these parameters. Furthermore, Vazdekis et al. (2015) show that abundance ratios from indices are contributed mostly by old stars.

Fig. 9 shows the equivalent correction for MW ages. In this case, fitted ages must be corrected by a larger value, and the correction peaks at the youngest ages. The LW age is equal to \(-0.459x^2 + 0.360x + 0.133\), and the MW age is equal to \(-0.394x^2 + 0.158x + 0.285\), where \( x \) is the fitted age between 1 and 15 Gyr, with all ages in units of log Gyr. We apply these corrections to convert our final derived ages to LW and MW ages.

We also study the effect of S/N on the fitted parameters. To this end, we perturb each index with a Gaussian with standard deviation equal to the index value divided by S/N. This is repeated 100 times and the mean and standard deviation of the measured values are used as the index measurement and error in the fitting routine. We choose S/N values of 25, 50, 100, and 200, since these span the S/N of our LTG indices, with low-mass galaxies having lower S/N. Fig. 10 shows the results for \( \tau = 2 \) Gyr. The different colours represent different S/N. It is clear that the S/N does not affect the value of the parameter significantly, but affects the error bars. The errors for S/N \( = 200 \) are very small. Typical errors on the fitted parameters for S/N \( = 25 \) are \( \pm 0.1 \) log Gyr for age, \( \pm 0.1 \) dex for metallicity, and \( \pm 0.05 \) dex for [Mg/Fe].

In conclusion, we find that SSP-equivalent ages for populations with a complex SFH are underestimated compared to the true LW and MW ages. We construct functions using polynomial fits to CSPs to convert our derived ages into these parameters. In comparison, SSP-equivalent [Z/H] and [Mg/Fe] are good tracers of the true metallicity and abundance.

### 3 RESULTS

This section presents the fits to the absorption features, and the derived stellar population parameters for ETGs and LTGs. The parameters are first presented as a function of radius, and then as functions of velocity dispersion and galaxy stellar mass. All absorption index measurements and stellar population parameters are provided in the supplementary material, Appendix B.

#### 3.1 Index measurements and model fits

The absorption index measurements for ETGs are shown as symbols in Fig. 11. There are six mass bins with low mass in yellow going to high mass in red. All indices display negative radial gradients, with more massive galaxies showing stronger absorption and steeper profiles. An exception to this is H\( \beta \), which increases with radius and decreasing mass, in line with previous literature (trends with mass, Bender, Burstein & Faber 1992; Trager et al. 1998) (trends with radius, Carollo, Danziger & Buson 1993; Davies, Sadler & Peletier 1993; Mehlert et al. 2000).

The lowest mass bin has significantly weaker absorption compared to the others (stronger for H\( \beta \)), which could be due to the large spread in galaxy mass for this particular bin. We carried out a test to split this further into two mass bins with equal number of galaxies, and find that the upper half 9.5–9.8 log M/M\(_\odot\) is still offset from the other masses, and has the same radial trends as the lower half 8.8–9.5 log M/M\(_\odot\). This suggests a physical difference in absorption
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Figure 10. For $\tau = 1$ Gyr, parameters are derived from mock CSPs with S/N values of 50, 100, and 200. The symbols show the ages, metallicities, and $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$ derived from the average index measurements on 100 realizations of perturbing the mocks, and the errors are propagated from the standard deviation of the measured indices. The S/N does not affect the value of the derived parameter significantly, and at S/N = 200 (green), the errors are small.

Figure 11. Absorption index measurements from stacked spectra of ETGs are shown as a function of radius as coloured circles, with Monte Carlo based 1σ errors. Yellow to red represents increasing galaxy mass in six bins. Best-fitting stellar population models obtained at each point are shown as dashed lines. The bottom panels show the residuals between the data and the models divided by the dynamical range of each index, except for CN1 which is measured in magnitude units.

strengths for the lowest mass ETGs. Note also that NaD absorption shows a jump for the lowest mass bin at $\sim 0.6 R_e$, while the other mass bins show a smooth decline in strength.

Following the iterative procedure outlined above, we obtain best-fitting models to the measured absorption indices with small residuals. The model predictions for all the indices are shown as dashed lines; these are the final fits derived after constraining the stellar population age, metallicity, and individual element abundances. The bottom panel shows the residuals between the data and models, divided by the dynamical range of each index. For CN1, which is measured in magnitude units, the residual difference is shown. These small residuals indicate that the indices are reproduced well by the models. The equivalent figure for late types is presented in Fig. 12 with seven low to high mass bins going from green to blue.
Generally these galaxies display weaker absorption than their ETG counterparts. Again, \( \text{H}\beta \) increases with radius, and a clear mass-dependent radial trend is seen, unlike ETGs, such that high-mass galaxies have steep radial gradients which flatten for low-mass galaxies. Ongoing star formation in spiral galaxies leads to larger \( \text{H}\beta \) values, since this index anticorrelates with age. Most of the features display very smooth profiles, with striking radial gradients and a clear distinction between the different mass bins. The transition from low to high masses is smoother for LTGs, than for ETGs. The residuals between the models and data are larger compared to ETGs. While the residuals scatter around zero in most cases, \( \text{H}\beta \) and \( <\text{Fe}> \) are always slightly underpredicted by the models.

The lowest mass bin shows the strongest \( \text{H}\beta \) absorption but also with the largest uncertainties on this measurement, because this spectral region containing emission lines has a large associated error. Since all our parameters depend on the \( \text{H}\beta \) equivalent width, we exclude this mass bin from the rest of our analysis.

As mentioned before, we have updated our error estimation so that we take the standard deviation of all individual spectra from each galaxy contributing to a mass bin, rather than the standard deviation of the radially stacked spectra from galaxies. It can be seen in Figs 11 and 12 that the biggest effect on the index errors is that they are smaller than our previous errors close to \( 1R_e \), while errors in galaxy centres continue to remain negligible. Since the number of spectra contributing to the stack increases as a function of radius, our improved method makes a difference to the errors at large radii. In the centre, the radial bins cover small areas and hence the number of galaxies is similar to the total number of spectra.

### 3.2 Stellar population parameters

In the next series of plots, we present the parameters corresponding to the best-fitting models shown in Figs 11 and 12. ETGs are shown on the left and LTGs on the right. The scale on the y-axis is kept the same for each parameter to allow a direct visual comparison.

The symbols show the derived parameter for each stacked spectrum with 1σ error bars. These errors are calculated using a Monte Carlo approach, such that index measurements are randomly perturbed by their errors 100 times and stellar population parameters are derived each time by fitting models. The standard deviation between the parameters derived during each realization is used to determine the final error on the parameter. Hence, these are statistical errors based on the S/N of the stacked spectra. Errors are generally smaller than symbol sizes. The typical error in age is 0.5 Gyr, increasing to 1 Gyr for the lowest mass LTGs, and the typical error in total metallicity and abundances is 0.03 dex. We note that further uncertainty might be introduced for the LTGs due to fitting with SSP models (Section 2.4), depending on the star formation histories of these galaxies.
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Table 2. Radial gradients of stellar population parameters for ETGs in dex/$R_e$: age is in log Gyr/$R_e$. The top rows for each parameter provide the gradient within 1 $R_e$, and the bottom rows provide the gradient between 1 and 1.5 $R_e$.

| Mass bin | Age | [Z/H] | [C/Fe] | [N/Fe] | [Na/Fe] | [Mg/Fe] | [Ca/Fe] | [Ti/Fe] |
|----------|-----|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 8.8–9.8  | $-0.05 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.05$ | $0.29 \pm 0.14$ | $0.02 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.05$ | $0.01 \pm 0.07$ |
| 9.8–10.3 | $0.12 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.06$ | $0.30 \pm 0.09$ | $0.32 \pm 0.07$ | $0.30 \pm 0.11$ | $0.32 \pm 0.07$ | $0.17 \pm 0.13$ | $0.55 \pm 0.23$ |
| 10.3–10.6| $-0.18 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.13 \pm 0.00$ | $0.00 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.03$ | $0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $0.07 \pm 0.04$ | $0.04 \pm 0.03$ |
| 10.6–10.8 | $0.07 \pm 0.00$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.02$ | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.13 \pm 0.30$ | $0.15 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.14 \pm 0.13$ |
| 10.8–11.0 | $-0.23 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.03 \pm 0.03$ | $0.03 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.03$ | $0.07 \pm 0.02$ | $0.02 \pm 0.04$ | $0.01 \pm 0.04$ |
| 11.0–11.3 | $0.15 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.23 \pm 0.05$ | $0.01 \pm 0.04$ | $0.09 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.09 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.00 \pm 0.03$ | $0.04 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.08$ |

The radial gradient and its error on each parameter for the different galaxy masses are given in Tables 2 and 3, and a comparison of the radial gradients with galaxy mass and type, as well as with P19, follows after the results for all parameters are presented. Radial gradients are calculated from a linear fit (in log-linear scale) to the points and errors on the gradients are calculated based on the deviation of points from the fit. Since the scatter is greater than the errors on the parameters, the former dominates. We provide the gradient within 1 $R_e$ and between 1 and 1.5 $R_e$ separately, because we notice differences at larger radii.

None of the calculated parameters of age, metallicity, and [Mg/Fe] hit the edges of the model grids except for three regions in the highest mass galaxies for which we derive the maximum age of 13.7 Gyr. For the other abundances [X/Mg], the lower limit of -0.3 dex is reached for LTGs in some instances: low-mass galaxies for Na, Ca, Ti, and most galaxies for N. Thus, when we perturb the models by ±0.1 dex, we allow them to extend beyond the grids by extrapolation, to obtain a better fit.

3.2.1 Radial gradients in age and total metallicity

Fig. 13 shows the stellar population age (top panel) and metallicity (bottom panel), with the colour scheme as before indicating galaxy type, and within these types, different mass bins. The top left panel shows that more massive ellipticals and lenticulars above 11 log M/M$_\odot$ are older, at ~13 Gyr, and the age steadily decreases as galaxies become less massive, reaching ~5 Gyr at the lowest masses, 8.8–9.8 log M/M$_\odot$. The gradients in age are negligibly small. These galaxies have negative metallicity gradients with slightly supersolar metallicities in centres, and sub-solar metallicities at large radii. The metallicity gradients, shown in the bottom left panel are slightly shallower for low-mass galaxies, and steepen, $-0.23 \pm 0.03$ dex/$R_e$, for the more massive galaxies. Our results of flat age gradients and negative metallicity gradients in ETGs are qualitatively in agreement with previous results (Kobayashi & Arimoto 1999; Mehlert et al. 2000, 2003; Kuntschner ; Spolaor et al. 2010; Greene et al. 2015; González Delgado et al. 2017; Zibetti et al. 2020). Comparing the gradients in detail, our age gradients for intermediate-mass galaxies are slightly steeper at $-0.29 \pm 0.05$ compared to $-0.30 \pm 0.03$ dex/$R_e$ from Goddard et al. (2017). However, our low- and high-mass galaxies are consistent with their results of negligible gradients. Our metallicity gradients are also slightly steeper than their reported $-0.12 \pm 0.03$ dex/$R_e$ for the highest mass galaxies.

For spiral galaxies we show both LW and MW ages. These ages have been derived by correcting for biases due to modelling with SSPs as described in Section 2.4. Note that these corrections have been derived for solar metallicity and abundance CSPs. Spiral
The ages and metallicities as a function of radius are shown for ETGs (left) and LTGs (centre and right). For LTGs, both LW and MW ages are shown. The colours represent different mass bins, as before. The symbols are the derived parameters for each stacked spectrum and are connected by lines. 1σ error bars on the parameters, based on 100 realizations using the index errors, are shown.

Galaxies display younger ages between 2 and 6 Gyr, and only the innermost regions of galaxies more massive than 10.6 log M/M⊙ are as old as ETGs with ages of ∼10 Gyr. These central ages are slightly older than previously reported for bulges (e.g. Proctor & Sansom 2002; Thomas & Davies 2006), between 1.3–6 Gyr.

LTGs have negative age gradients, as expected from the Hβ profiles seen in Fig. 12. These gradients clearly represent the transition from bulge to disc regions. Galaxies with masses >10.1 log M/M⊙ have very steep age gradients of −0.60 ± 0.04 on average within the half-light radius. Goddard et al. (2017) also find negative radial gradients for age and metallicity in LTGs; however, interestingly, they do not find age gradients steepening with galaxy mass like us. Their study uses full spectrum fitting with linear combinations of SSPs to derive stellar population parameters, hence we expect to find differences due to the vastly varied methodology, but it is encouraging to see some agreement.

In the outer regions the age gradients reverse to 0.49 ± 0.19 on average for the massive galaxies. This can also be seen for the intermediate- and low-mass galaxies. This reversal in age gradients beyond 1 R_e is very interesting and could be due to radial migration. Zheng et al. (2017) derived LW ages for MaNGA galaxies using full spectrum fitting that showed a minimum near the half-light radius and increased with radius beyond this.

The correction for MW ages is larger than for LW ages (from Section 2.4), hence these ages are older. They range from 3.5 to 7.5 Gyr, with the central regions of massive galaxies reaching 12.5 Gyr. The gradients in MW age are negative but shallower and display a weaker reversal beyond the half-light radius than the LW ages. Boardman et al. (2020) find similar negative age gradients in their sample of Milky Way-like galaxies from the MaNGA survey. Goddard et al. (2017) also find older MW ages but flat radial gradients, suggesting that the inside-out formation signature is weak and the overall mass budget is little affected. We note that although our methodology is not expected to provide MW quantities, we use the correction derived from Section 2.4 to calculate these ages, which provide an indication of how LW and MW parameters might differ. In all following plots we show LW ages for LTGs.

LTGs are more metal poor than ETGs. The lowest mass spirals are very metal poor with values between −0.5 and −1.0 dex (a tenth of solar metallicity). All galaxies have negative metallicity gradients, with the average across all masses being −0.18 ± 0.02 dex/R_e. Goddard et al. (2017) find gradients ranging between 0.06 ± 0.01 and −0.32 ± 0.06 dex/R_e. Negative radial gradients have also been obtained in the Milky Way (Carollo et al. 2007; Hayden et al. 2015), and for disc galaxies from the CALIFA survey (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2014; González Delgado et al. 2015).

Peletier et al. (2007) and Ganda et al. (2007) measure two-dimensional absorption features (Hβ, Fe5015, Mgb) for a small sample of spiral galaxies and translate these to age, metallicity, and [Mg/Fe]. They find younger ages, lower metallicities, and abundances close to solar for spirals compared to ETGs. Scott et al. (2017) report the same using the SAMI survey.

3.2.2 Radial gradients in element abundances

We now present the derived element abundances for C, N, Na, Mg, Ca, and Ti. See P19 and references therein for a detailed comparison with the literature and implications of element abundances. Here, we will focus on describing the extension in mass and radius for ETGs, any differences compared to P19, and the results for LTGs. Abundances of individual elements in these galaxy types have been studied in a very limited sense in literature before. However, there are some studies which look at a single [α/Fe] parameter, which we compare with in Section 4.

C and Mg abundances are shown in Fig. 14. [C/Fe] values for ETGs range between 0.2 and 0.4 dex for different masses. There is
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Figure 14. Same as Fig. 13 for C and Mg abundances.

Figure 15. Same as Fig. 13 for Ca and Ti abundances.

Figure 16. Same as Fig. 13 for N and Na abundances.

A clear trend of \([\text{C}/\text{Fe}]\) enhancement with increasing galaxy mass. For spiral galaxies, these abundances range between 0.1 and 0.3 dex, ignoring values with very large errors. For these galaxies, the trend with mass is not as evident and there is more scatter. Both types display negligible gradients with radius, and the values inside and outside \(1 R_e\) are generally consistent.

The behaviour of \([\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]\) for ETGs and LTGs is very similar to C: it has similar abundances and little variation with radius. This is consistent with previous studies for ETGs (Mehlert et al. 2003; Kuntschner 2004; Johansson et al. 2012; Alton et al. 2018). However, beyond \(1 R_e\), the gradients become more positive for all galaxy types and masses. This effect is stronger for lower masses and hence, for LTGs this causes a reversed trend with mass such that more massive galaxies are less enhanced. This is potentially interesting in determining accretion histories. Greene et al. (2013) find radially constant large \([\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]\) ratios out to the haloes of elliptical galaxies, and rising ratios for lower dispersion galaxies. Thomas, Greggio & Bender (1999) suggest that large \([\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]\) ratios at large radii, in relatively metal-poor regions, show evidence for a fast clumpy collapse model for the formation of massive ellipticals, rather than a merging spirals scenario which would result in solar \([\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]\) values in the very outer regions (Coccato, Gerhard & Arnaboldi 2010).

Next, we move on to the heavier \(\alpha\) elements, Ca, and Ti, shown in Fig. 15. As found before in P19 for ETGs, Ca does not follow Mg, and instead is underenhanced, with values between 0 and 0.2 dex, and no variation with radius. Ca underabundance in ETGs, also previously reported by Saglia et al. (2002), Cenarro et al. (2003), Thomas, Maraston & Bender (2003b), Graves et al. (2007), Smith et al. (2009), and Price et al. (2011), was interpreted as contribution to Ca from delayed Type Ia supernovae. Spiral galaxies are even further depleted in Ca, with sub-solar abundances between −0.4 and 0 dex. Low-mass spirals are expected to be enriched in both Ca and Fe through their extended star formation history hence these low \([\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}]\) ratios suggest that Ca underabundance cannot be a result of short star formation time-scales. LTGs interestingly display negative radial gradients within the half-light radius, as steep as \(-0.36 \pm 0.10\) for some of the intermediate mass spirals. The regions with low \([\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}]\) ratios are very metal poor hence metallicity could be causing Ca underabundance in LTGs.

Ti values lie somewhere between Ca and Mg, with values between 0 and 0.3 dex for ETGs, similar to J12 and P19, and between \(-0.3\) and 0.2 dex for LTGs, neglecting outliers with large errors. Interestingly, for LTGs, Ti abundances are not as low as Ca, which fits into the picture that low Ca cannot be caused by delayed Type Ia enrichment. The radial gradients are also similar to Ca, with ETGs showing no variation, and LTGs displaying negative radial gradients. LTGs also show varying gradients in the inner and outer regions, without any clear trends, making the results unreliable and difficult to interpret.

Lastly, Fig. 16 shows N and Na abundances, the two elements for which we detected negative radial gradients in P19. Looking at \([\text{N}/\text{Fe}]\), we find abundance values ranging from \(-0.15\) to 0.3 dex from low- to high-mass ETGs. We now see shallow radial gradients compared to P19, based on a more robust analysis out to larger radii. For the same mass range, we previously derived \(-0.25 \pm 0.05\) and \(-0.18 \pm 0.05\) dex/\(R_e\), and now find \(0.05 \pm 0.06\) and \(-0.07 \pm 0.03\) dex/\(R_e\), which are inconsistent to 2–3σ. The present results are more trustworthy and cause us to revise our conclusion regarding \([\text{N}/\text{Fe}]\) gradients. Spiral galaxies have a scattered trend of \([\text{N}/\text{Fe}]\) with mass and display negligible radial gradients, except for the highest mass bin.

As before, we find high \([\text{Na}/\text{Fe}]\) in ETGs, with the more massive ones reaching up to 0.6 dex. In P19, the highest mass bin showed 0.5 dex \([\text{Na}/\text{Fe}]\) in the centre, and in this work we have two mass bins higher than this. We see strong radial gradients, which are steeper for more massive galaxies. The gradients range from \(-0.15 \pm 0.03\) to \(-0.32 \pm 0.02\) dex/\(R_e\). These gradients within the effective radius are consistent with the gradients from P19 of \(-0.26 \pm 0.03\) and...
For each parameter, the radial gradient and error within $R_e$ is shown as a function of galaxy stellar mass. The square symbols show LTGs and the circles show ETGs, with the colours representing different galaxy mass bins. $\sigma$-clipped linear fits to both galaxy types are shown. The grey symbols are the results for ETGs from P19.

$-0.29 \pm 0.02$. Beyond $R_e$ [Na/Fe] seems to flatten. [N/Fe] also flattens (or even rises for LTGs), similar to [Mg/Fe].

We note that there is a sharp jump in Na abundance for the lowest mass bin, which cannot be physical. This is caused by the sudden change in NaD absorption (see Fig. 11), and the fact that [Na/Fe] is highly sensitive to changes in this feature. Hence, we will not consider this mass bin in plots when measuring the [Na/Fe] gradient with radius or velocity dispersion. Low-mass spiral galaxies are less enhanced in Na, with values between $-0.25$ and $0.25$ dex, and high-mass spirals are enhanced to twice solar Na abundances in the centres. These galaxies also show negative radial gradients in Na. It is very interesting to find the same radial behaviour in Na for LTGs.

### 3.2.3 Radial gradients as a function of galaxy mass and type

Fig. 17 provides a comparison of the radial gradients within $R_e$ in each parameter, for the different galaxy types. The value of the radial gradient and its error is shown as a function of mass, where the mass is the average of all galaxies in that mass bin. ETGs are shown as circles and LTGs are shown as squares; each mass bin is a different colour. The overlap in mass allows us to compare trends for different galaxy types at the same mass to determine whether stellar populations vary depending on morphology. Linear fits, with $\sigma$-clipping to exclude outliers, are shown for both galaxy types, and the corresponding slopes are given in Table 4. Also shown here are our results from P19, as grey circles with error bars. These are consistent with our present ETG results for all parameters except [N/Fe].

For galaxies with log $M/M_\odot < 10$, stellar population age gradients are small and do not depend on the type of galaxy. More massive galaxies however show a very clear divergence: for increasing galaxy mass, ETGs show negligible gradients, while LTG gradients are negative. This is clearly shown by the flat red linear fit and the

Table 4. Slopes of the mass gradient relations for the galaxy types i.e. gradients of the linear fits from Fig. 17.

| Galaxy type | Age $[Z/H]$ | Age $[C/Fe]$ | Age $[N/Fe]$ | Age $[Na/Fe]$ | Age $[Mg/Fe]$ | Age $[Ca/Fe]$ | Age $[Ti/Fe]$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ETGs        | $-0.02 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.05$ | $0.01 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.03$ |
| LTGs        | $-0.70 \pm 0.18$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.13 \pm 0.02$ | $0.02 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.06$ |
steeper blue linear fit, which cross over at low masses. For metallicity, while ETG gradients become increasingly negative for more massive galaxies, LTGs have the same negative gradient regardless of mass. Metallicity gradients steepening for more massive ETGs is consistent with other studies from the MaNGA survey, Oyarzún et al. (2019) (after noting that they probe larger radii) and Lacerna et al. (2020).

For C and Mg, at low masses the radial gradients are slightly positive, and they become shallower or slightly negative as galaxy mass increases. The gradients are independent of the type of galaxy. For the heavier elements, ETGs display similar gradients at all masses for [Ca/Fe], and become slightly negative as mass increases for [Ti/Fe]. High-mass spirals show larger variation in gradients with mass. The overall trend for Ca is unclear, but for Ti, the gradients become more negative as galaxy mass increases, like ETGs.

N gradients go from slightly positive or shallow at low masses, to negative at high masses for both types. Finally, for Na, ETGs display a slight steepening in the gradient for the most massive galaxies while gradients are consistent for both types at intermediate masses. This suggests that the mass or some other property drives Na abundance variation rather than galaxy type.

Briefly, we find several interesting features while studying these radial gradients in these parameters for different galaxy types. The most striking difference is in the age gradients. While ETGs display relatively flat age gradients, at all masses, LTGs show negative age gradients, which become steeper with mass. Metallicity behaves exactly the opposite way, with negative gradients steepening with mass for ETGs and negative gradients overall for LTGs. These properties could provide clues into how these different galaxy types and their stellar populations assembled and evolved. C and Mg abundances and radial gradients are remarkably similar for both types, suggesting that star formation time-scales are independent of galaxy type but rather depend on some other physical property i.e. galaxy mass or velocity dispersion. Na behaves in the same way as metallicity, showing negative gradients for LTGs, and negative gradients that steepen with mass for ETGs. We explore relations with velocity dispersion in the following section.

3.2.4 Local versus global relationships

Along with radial gradients, it is informative to look at trends with velocity dispersion. We plot the spatially resolved parameters for both galaxy types, in different mass bins, so that we can identify local deviations (radial) from the global relation with velocity dispersion. The increased sample size and inclusion of different morphologies considerably expands our parameter space compared to P19, section 3.3.
Fig. 18 shows all our derived stellar population parameters against the velocity dispersion. These velocity dispersion profiles shown in Fig. 5 have been calculated by combining the dispersions from the individual spectra belonging to each bin. The symbols represent three key pieces of information: (i) ETGs are shown as circles and LTGs are shown as squares, (ii) different colours within each type represent different mass bins, and (iii) each symbol is one radial bin and decreasing symbol size represents increasing radius from the centre, $0–0.1R_e$, to the outermost bin, $1.3–1.5R_e$.

We also plot linear fits to all ETG results in red, and to LTGs in blue. Deviations from this line suggest internal gradients within galaxies are different from the overall global relation with $\sigma$. For LTGs, the lowest mass bin in this plot is always offset from the other points, causing any fit to be misleading, hence we do not include these points in the linear fit. Lastly, the relation from J12 is shown as a dashed black line for comparison.

Starting with stellar population age, ETGs are older with increasing velocity dispersion. This effect is owing to higher mass galaxies being older and not due to radial gradients, since the points within each mass bin lie flat or parallel to the global relation (solid red line). LTGs show a steeper increase than ETGs, and also have steep local age gradients since the data points within galaxies do not lie along the global relation (solid blue line). At high $\sigma$ ETGs and LTGs have similar ages. This resembles the colour–magnitude diagram for galaxies in terms of a blue cloud and red sequence. For the metallicity, LTGs again show a steeper increase with velocity dispersion globally. High-mass ETGs have steep local metallicity gradients, which are very evident in this figure, suggesting that internal processes within these galaxies are responsible for these steep gradients. These age and metallicity trends with velocity dispersion for ETGs and LTGs are consistent with Li et al. (2018).

[C/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] both increase at a similar rate with $\sigma$, independent of galaxy type although [Mg/Fe]–$\sigma$ shows some scatter. The relations within galaxies deviates only for the highest mass ETGs which have a steep local [C/Fe] gradient. Since [Mg/Fe] is linked with formation time-scales, this suggests that the formation time is the same regardless of galaxy type or as a function of radius but only depends on the velocity dispersion. This was also hinted at in Fig. 17. Greene et al. (2019) find [$\alpha$/Fe] for ETGs to be correlated with velocity dispersion and stellar mass, and saturating at higher mass.

Thomas & Davies (2006) find that the relations of age, metallicity, and [$\alpha$/Fe] with $\sigma$ are the same for ETGs and LTGs. It is interesting that with the added spatial information, we find differences between the ages and metallicities in these galaxy types. Due to the negative age and metallicity gradients within LTGs, both age and metallicity show steeper relations with $\sigma$ than ETGs. A recent result from the
SAMJ survey (Croom et al. 2012) obtained ETG ages, metallicities, [Mg/Fe], and [C/Fe], showing that the velocity dispersion is the dominating driver behind chemical gradients (Ferreras et al. 2019). They find, consistent with our results, that [Mg/Fe] gradients are less steep for more massive galaxies.

We can see that for both [Ca/Fe] and [Ti/Fe], ETGs display a clear flat or shallow increase with σ. LTGs are a bit more complicated and appear to have steep relationships with the velocity dispersion such that lower σ galaxies have much lower [X/Fe] values.

There is some local variation in the [N/Fe]–σ relation for ETGs, and LTGs show some scatter with σ. [Na/Fe] increases very steeply with velocity dispersion. The different types seamlessly blend together. It is very evident for high-mass ETGs that the local change in Na abundance is much steeper than the overall trend, and not at all for LTGs, very similar to the trends with metallicity. Due to the steep [Na/Fe]–σ relation, high-mass spirals have Na abundances similar to their C and Mg abundances, while high-mass ETGs have much larger Na abundances compared to the other elements.

In all cases, the agreement with J12 is remarkably good, with a significant difference seen only for metallicity. Their metallicities are consistent with our results for the innermost radial bins, while the metallicity gradients within galaxies, which lead to lower values at large radii, cause these radial bins to be offset.

To illustrate the trends seen in Fig. 18, we show gradients of stellar population parameters with velocity dispersion, as a function of the velocity dispersion. This is similar to P19, fig. 5, with additions in mass and type. For ETGs, the red shaded region is the gradient of each parameter with velocity dispersion for all galaxies i.e. the slope of the solid red line from each panel in Fig. 18. The circles are the gradients with velocity dispersion within galaxies, with each galaxy mass bin represented by a different colour as before. These have been placed at the average σ for each bin and are shown with error bars. The blue shaded regions and square symbols are the same for LTGs. A positive gradient with σ means a negative radial gradient. Similar to the radial gradients, the errors on the gradients of parameters with velocity dispersion come from the deviation of points around the linear fit. The two lowest mass bins of LTGs are not shown since one has large errors due to H β and the other has not been included in the linear fits in Fig. 18.

The extension in mass compared to P19 confirms that for ETGs, the local age gradients (circles) are consistent with the global age gradient (red shaded region, 0.8 log Gyr/km s⁻¹), and the local metallicity gradients are steeper than the global relation (0.3 dex/log km s⁻¹). The local metallicity gradients become steeper with increasing mass, with 0.0 [Z/H] ~5 dex/log km s⁻¹ for the highest mass ETGs. Very interestingly, making the same comparison for LTGs shows the converse relations. For these galaxies, the local age gradients (between 2 and 3 log Gyr/km s⁻¹) are steeper than the global relation (1 log Gyr/km s⁻¹), and higher mass spirals have very steep age gradients with stars becoming increasingly younger moving outwards from the galaxy. Meanwhile, the local metallicity gradients for these galaxies are generally consistent with the global gradient (1 dex/log km s⁻¹). Hence, internal processes regulate metallicity in ETGs but not age, while the converse is true for LTGs. Metallicity gradients with respect to the velocity dispersion show much stronger dependence on mass than the radial gradients seen in Fig. 17.

For both C and Mg, independent of galaxy type, the gradients with velocity dispersion are similar. The same is true locally within galaxies, independent of mass and type since the local σ gradients (symbols) are consistent with the global relations (shaded regions). These results are consistent with P19 for ETGs and we now show that the same is true for LTGs. For ETGs, [Mg/Fe] increases slightly in the outer regions, causing the local σ gradients to be negative and systematically offset from the global relation.

The global gradient of Ca with velocity dispersion is steeper for LTGs than for ETGs. Very low [Ca/Fe] ratios in LTGs at large radii lead to the steeper relation. The relations within galaxies are generally consistent with the global trend for each type. For Ti, the global gradients of the galaxy types are consistent with each other.

It appears that the most massive galaxies have slightly steeper local relations in N than the global relation for their respective types, as also found in P19 for ETGs, but the effect is weaker here and disappears at low masses, particularly for LTGs. For Na, we expand upon our earlier picture and show that higher mass galaxies continue to have increasingly steep local abundance gradients, reaching 6 dex/log km s⁻¹. LTGs display shallower V σ [Na/Fe] seem to fit into this picture because of their velocity dispersion, and hence it seems that galaxy type does not drive this relation. There is a significant difference between the global and local relations only for the galaxies with the largest velocity dispersions (>230 km s⁻¹), hence only for ETGs. Both types have the same overall global gradient with velocity dispersion.

In summary, the age, total metallicity, and [Na/Fe] are the most striking results, with interesting differences between the galaxy types. We discuss the interpretations of our results in the next section, including how these results help answer some of the questions raised by P19.

4 DISCUSSION

We have derived ages, metallicities, and individual chemical abundances of six elements as a function of galaxy mass, velocity dispersion, radius, and type. In this section, we discuss what new insights these results offer and the implications of our results, particularly for the different galaxy types.

There were several changes to the sample selection and stacking method compared to P19, including a different morphological selection, binned kinematic data, and a lower S/N criterion for individual spectra. It is therefore encouraging to find that our results for ETGs are generally consistent with what we found in P19. The addition of lower mass and higher mass ETGs has allowed us to extend and confirm trends we spotted with our previously limited sample in mass. The increased S/N ratio at large radii, due to stacking more galaxies in each mass bin and increasing the bin width, makes our radial gradients in this work more robust.

4.1 Correlations between stellar population parameters

We study the correlations of all element abundances with metallicity in Fig. 20. The derived abundances are plotted as circles for ETGs and squares for LTGs with error bars, with colours representing different mass bins. Each symbol is a different radial bin and decreasing circle size showing increasing radius. The Spearman correlation coefficient, ρ, is shown in each panel for ETGs and LTGs separately, and a linear fit is plotted when |ρ|>0.5.

With a larger parameter space in [X/Fe] and [Z/H] compared to P19, we confirm the correlation between [Na/Fe] and metallicity. LTGs continue this relation into the low-metallicity regime, showing further evidence for metallicity-dependent Na enrichment from supernovae. There are internal trends with galactic radius and an overall global trend of [Na/Fe] with [Z/H]. [Na/Fe] is expected to also be strongly correlated with metallicity due to secondary N production; however, we do not find correlations for either galaxy
types. \([\text{Ca/Fe}]\) is correlated with metallicity for LTGs, and this could be the reason for extreme Ca underabundance observed in these galaxies. The remaining elements do not show clear correlations.

We explore correlations with age in Fig. 21, since the spirals are mostly different from ETGs through their younger ages. Interestingly, all elements appear to show some correlation with age. \([\text{Na/Fe}]\) shows an age dependence on top of the metallicity dependence seen in Fig. 20, likely driven by enhanced Na enrichment at high metallicity.

Smith et al. (2009) explored two-parameter relations for abundance patterns with the velocity dispersion and metallicity, and found that these greatly reduced the scatter. This is consistent with at least our \([\text{Na/Fe}]\) results appearing to be driven by two physical processes.

4.2 New insights and constraints on nucleosynthetic yields

Our ETG abundances from P19 left some open questions. Here, we explore whether the new work sheds further light on these. We find that LTGs have steep negative age gradients, and negative metallicity gradients. These gradients support inside-out formation of disc galaxies (see discussion in Goddard et al. 2017). In spiral galaxies with masses \(>9.6 \log M_{\odot}\), we see that the age flattens out, and even increases in some cases, at large radii. This could be due to the radial migration of slightly older stars moving outwards through the disc. Cook et al. (2016) measure stellar population gradients for ETGs from the Illustris simulation and find that galaxies with large accreted fractions have shallower metallicity gradients and no effect on the age gradients in the halo. They estimate 2–4 \(R_e\) for the galaxy halo. In the future, it would be interesting to use the secondary sample from MaNGA, which reaches out to 2.5 \(R_e\), in order to determine ages and abundances in the inner halo.

Solar \([\text{C/Mg}]\) ratios places a lower limit on star formation time-scales (J12). Both \([\text{C/Fe}]\) and \([\text{Mg/Fe}]\) increase with velocity dispersion, independent of galaxy type and mass, and with shallow or negligible radial gradients. Hence, galaxies with higher velocity dispersions formed their stars earliest, and these time-scales do not vary with radius. We now confirm the same across a larger parameter space in galaxy mass, and LTGs also generally follow this trend. Although there are some interesting differences at large radii, spirals appear to show an increase in C and Mg abundances beyond 0.8 \(R_e\), with lower mass galaxies showing a greater increase such that at large radii, abundances are anticorrelated with mass. This is potentially very interesting and could be a result of complicated accretion histories.

We again find low \([\text{Ca/Fe}]\) ratios, close to zero, with negligible radial gradients for ETGs of all masses. The same is seen for spiral galaxies; however, the low-mass galaxies have extremely sub-solar Ca abundances of \(-0.5\) dex. However, Ca4227 is the weakest among the absorption features used in this work, hence it will not be strong enough for accurate measurements for the low-mass galaxies. Note also that this index is sensitive to both \([\text{C/Fe}]\) and \([\text{N/Fe}]\), bringing additional sources of error.

Since Ti was found to behave like the lighter elements C and Mg, we attributed this to the production of Ti in Type II supernovae. We find this to be the case again for all but the lowest mass ETGs. Puzzlingly, for LTGs we find that high-mass galaxies have slightly sub-solar Ti abundances. It remains to be seen whether the strange Ca and Ti trends in spiral galaxies point to the difficulty in obtaining these parameters.

We previously reported radial gradients for \([\text{N/Fe}]\), but the trend with mass was unclear. Low-mass galaxies had a positive gradient and higher mass galaxies showed negative gradients. With our improved study, we show that this weak signal disappears and we find flat gradients. As a result, N underabundance is restricted to low-mass galaxies, while high-mass galaxies have supersolar N abundances. Finally, for Na we find that the new sample extends the relations with velocity dispersion and metallicity, such that as \(\sigma\) and \([Z/H]\)
decrease. Na abundances reach solar and sub-solar values, matching Mg abundances and eventually being less enhanced than Mg. This provides further support for metallicity-dependent Na enrichment (Kobayashi et al. 2006).

4.3 Bulge-disc decomposition

As mentioned in Section 2.2, we do not attempt to separate the contributions from the bulge and the disc, of which the local \( \sigma \) is a combination of. Other works provide interesting clues regarding these components, and our correlations with \( \sigma \) also motivate further investigations.

Tabor et al. (2019) perform a spectroscopic bulge-disc decomposition of MaNGA ETGs and show that these components represent different stellar populations with distinct kinematics. They find that galaxy bulges have a lower stellar spin parameter, \( \lambda_R \) (Emsellem et al. 2007), while discs tend towards higher values. In terms of the stellar populations, both bulge and disc regions are found to have similar ages, while the former are metal-rich than the latter. These stellar population results are consistent with Fraser-McKelvie et al. (2018) who separate bulge and disc regions in S0 galaxies.

Furthermore, Cortese et al. (2016) find using SAMI data that kinematically fast rotator ETGs and LTGs lie on a continuous kinematic plane defined by the spin and Sersic index. Analysing detailed stellar populations while dividing galaxies using kinematic classifications, as well as morphological, would help shed further light on this matter.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated stellar population gradients in a large galaxy sample of 895 ETGs and 1005 LTGs, ranging in stellar mass from 8.60 to 11.33 log M/M\(_{\odot}\). We used a morphological classification scheme based on a deep learning catalogue to separate galaxy types. We modified our stacking procedure, including the use of wider radial bins in outer regions of galaxies to compensate for the loss in surface brightness, to produce high-quality spectra in mass and radial bins out to 1.5 \( R_e \). The LTG sample is cut based on inclination in order to select mostly face-on galaxies, to reduce the effect due to ISM contamination of absorption features such as NaD. We measured absorption features and determined best-fitting stellar population models to derive ages, metallicities, and individual element abundances for both galaxy types.

Our main results are summarized below:

(i) We find stark differences between the ages and metallicities of the two types. Along with recovering results from P19 for intermediate-mass ETGs, we show across a wider parameter space that ETGs have flat age and negative metallicity gradients where the latter are steeper than the global relation with velocity dispersion. LTGs show negative age and negative metallicity gradients, pointing to inside-out formation scenarios. For these galaxies, it is the local age variation that is steep compared to the general trend. We observe a reversal of the age gradient beyond the half-light radius.

(ii) For the abundances, we find C and Mg to be similarly enhanced in ETGs and LTGs, increasing as a function of velocity dispersion. This implies constant star formation time-scales at all locations in all galaxy types, which are only driven by the velocity dispersion.

(iii) We find [Ca/Fe] to be less enhanced also in LTGs, with negative radial gradients. The inference that Ti behaves similar to the lighter \( \alpha \) elements and therefore is produced mostly in Type II supernovae is not clear since high-mass LTGs show much lower [Ti/Fe] values than Mg, although not as low as Ca. LTGs show flattening or even increase in slopes of [C/Fe], [Mg/Fe], and [N/Fe] beyond \( 1 R_e \), suggesting that the stellar populations in the outskirts of LTGs are different.
(iv) We confirm steep local Na gradients for ETGs, which steepen with increasing galaxy mass, while LTGs also show radial gradients in Na.

(v) Looking at trends with the velocity dispersion for both galaxy types, we find that differences arise due to the local age gradients, and very low abundances ratios for [Ca/Fe] and [Ti/Fe] in LTGs. The most striking differences between galaxy types are seen for the age, metallicity, and Na abundance, providing clues regarding the formation scenarios for these galaxies. Constraints on Ca and Ti must be improved through updated stellar population models in order to gain better insights.

(vi) Strong Na abundance gradients within galaxies suggest internal processes drive Na enrichment. [Na/Fe]–[Z/H] relations show that Na abundances increase with both of these properties, independent of galaxy type and provide support for metallicity-dependent supernova yields for this element. Na, as well as the other elements, also correlate with age suggesting a combination of effects leading to high Na abundances.

In the future, we plan to derive the low-mass IMF slope for these galaxies. Of particular interest are LTGs, for which the IMF has been studied to a very limited extent. The spectral features used in this work are not sensitive to the IMF, but the MaNGA wavelength range makes a several such features accessible.
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