Models of historical biogeography and continental biochronology
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One of the most important contributions of Nieves López Martínez in Palaeobiogeography is the study of the ‘centre of origin of species’ concept and the proposal of the ‘Asymmetric Time Model’ as an alternative to the ‘Symmetric Time Model’, a key concept in dispersalist Historical Biogeography. As a consequence of these ideas, she discussed the methodology to establish biostratigraphic scales, pointing out that the higher number of ‘First Appearance Datum’ (FADs) over that of ‘Last Appearance Datum’ (LADs) in the fossil record supports the Asymmetrical Time Model. In this study we analyse the middle Miocene micromammal appearance and extinction events (FADs and LADs) based on well-calibrated local biostratigraphic scales from different European basins, in order to determine which of the proposed biogeographical models better fits the Miocene record.

RESUMEN

Entre las aportaciones de Nieves López Martínez a la Paleobiogeografía, se puede destacar el estudio del concepto de ‘centro de origen de un taxón’ y la propuesta del ‘Modelo Temporal Asimétrico’ como alternativa al ‘Modelo Temporal Simétrico’, concepto básico de la Biogeografía Histórica dispersalista. A partir de estas ideas analizó la metodología de construcción de las escalas bioestratigráficas, señalando que el mayor número de eventos de primera aparición (FAD) en el registro fósil frente a los de última aparición (LAD) confirmaría el Modelo Temporal Asimétrico. En este trabajo se analizan los eventos de aparición y extinción (FADs y LADs) reconocidos en escalas bioestratigráficas locales bien calibradas de diferentes cuencas europeas, con el fin de comprobar cuál de los modelos biogeográficos se ajusta mejor al registro europeo de micromamíferos miocenos. Los
resultados confirman que el Modelo Temporal Asimétrico de paleobiogeografía es aplicable a pocos casos. En el registro fósil analizado sólo hay un evento de aparición y otro de extinción que pueden considerarse sincrónicos a nivel continental. Finalmente, se discuten las implicaciones de los modelos biogeográficos en las propuestas de escalas biocronológicas continentales, destacando que los trabajos paleobiogeográficos necesitan tener como marco de referencia sólidas escalas biocronológicas, basadas en numerosos datos de bioestratigráfias locales correlacionadas temporalmente de manera independiente.

Palabras clave: Micromamíferos, Modelo Temporal Simétrico/Asimétrico de Biogeografía Histórica, dispersión, correlaciones del Mioceno medio, diacrónia.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout her prolific professional career, Nieves López Martínez was known for her vast knowledge in many fields of Palaeontology, Geology and Biology. Specifically, Palaeobiogeography focused her interest as a teacher and as a researcher. During almost thirty years she gave undergraduate and graduate lectures on Biogeography and Palaeobiogeography at the Autónoma and Complutense universities of Madrid (UAM and UCM, respectively). This experience let her to address some palaeobiogeographic questions in several publications (e.g., López Martínez, 2001, 2003, 2009). One of her most interesting contributions in this area is the study of the ‘centre of origin of species’ concept, one of the most important and controversial issues (considered even as inapplicable and non-scientific by e.g., Croizat et al., 1974) in Historical Biogeography. She argued that methodologies based on the recent distributions, like finding the area with maximum diversity or that with the most primitive representatives, were disputable. Using the fossil record and finding the oldest and, ideally, most primitive representative of a lineage seems a better approach. However, in practice this has not led to unambiguously identifying the ancestral area for any lineage (López-Martínez, 2009).

The somewhat disappointing results in using palaeontological finds were explained by López-Martínez (2003, 2009) by the very nature of the fossil record. New taxa always seem to appear simultaneously over a larger area, and this rapid expansion is that makes many foraminifers, brachiopods, molluscs and mammals such excellent biostratigraphic/biocronologic markers. Based on these observations, López Martínez (2003, 2009) analysed the so-called ‘Symmetric Time Model’ (the symmetric model for theoretical life-span of a species suggested by Jenkins, 1994), a key concept in dispersalist biogeography. Rather than having a gradually expanding distribution area after origination and a gradually decreasing area before extinction, as explained in the symmetric model, she proposed the alternative (and partially opposite) ‘Asymmetric Time Model’, which implies a rapid dispersal at the beginning, but maintaining the gradual extinction (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1. Spatiotemporal distribution diagrams of the existence of a species according to the considered models. Symmetric Time Model (Jenkins, 1994): a new species is originated as a small population in the centre of origin, it gradually disperses and expands its geographic area, undergoes a period of stasis (oversimplified in the model) and a gradual contraction followed by its extinction when the last small population disappears. Asymmetric Time Model (López Martínez, 2003): a new species is originated and rapidly expands its entire geographic range, undergoes a period of stasis (oversimplified in the model) and a gradual contraction followed by its extinction when the last small population disappears. Modified after López Martínez (2003).]
As a consequence of the proposed model, López-Martínez addressed the methodology to establish biostratigraphical scales, pointing out that the higher number of First Appearance Datum (FAD) over that of Last Appearance Datum (LAD) in biostratigraphical schemes, such as that of De Graciansky et al. (1998) for marine microfossils, supports her ‘asymmetrical’ model (López-Martínez, 2009; fig. 4).

In this paper, we examine the appearance and extinction events of micromammal (FADs and LADs) in continental basins for the construction of chronologic scales. As in the marine realm, FADs and LADs sequences have been proposed but, unlike the latter, they have rarely been based on well-calibrated local biostratigraphic scales covering all involved areas. In general, a biogeographic ‘asymmetric model’ (rapid appearance/expansion of a taxon) has been assumed, despite the partial absence of solid criteria to select the bio-events. The main aim is to determine which of the proposed models better fits the Miocene European micromammal record, using the correlations of bio-events recently published by Van der Meulen et al. (2011, 2012). We surmise that dispersal events in the continental realm may encounter more obstacles than those in the marine one because of the higher heterogeneity of habitats and the role played by the geographical barriers, and therefore consider the micromammal fossil record an important test case for the general applicability of the Symmetric/Asymmetric Time Models. Based on the obtained result, we also discuss the implication of assuming each of these models in the proposition of biochronologic continental scales.

2. CASE STUDY: THE EUROPEAN MIDDLE MIocene RODENTS

Europe stands out as one of the best sampled areas for fossil of micromammals, which over the last fifty years has led to an impressive fossil record. For the middle Miocene, this record is particularly well sampled in Central Spain, southern Germany, and Switzerland. Moreover, palaeomagnetic data are available for fossiliferous sections in the three areas allowing long distance correlations. The increase on the knowledge of these three micromammal records allows further refinement in the study of bio-events (FADs and LADs). They also represent two different bio-provinces and provide a unique opportunity to compare different faunal histories through time.

Van der Meulen et al. (2011, 2012) correlated the sections from the Aragonian type area to the record of the Upper Freshwater Molasse (OSM) in the North Alpine Foreland Basin (Fig. 2). Two biozonations have been proposed for the latter area, one by Kälin & Kempf (2009) for the Swiss part of the basin, the other by Abdul Aziz et al. (2010) for the Bavarian sections. Unfortunately, the two Central European zonations differ in their chronological interpretations, particularly for the older zones. Therefore, Van der Meulen et al. (2011) suggested an alternative interpretation of the age of the Bavarian zonation, based on the data from Abdul Aziz et al. (2010).

Founded on these correlations, Van der Meulen et al. (2012) checked the syn/diachronicity of thirteen rodent bio-events. Notably, many of these events are commonly used as important markers in the MN-zonation (Mein, 1975a, b, 1999, 2000). Their analyses showed that most of these events are diachronic. Though they appear in nearly the same sequence in Spain as in Central Europe, first occurrences of many taxa were found to be sometimes substantially later in the Iberian Peninsula. In fact, only two of these events were considered synchronic: the first occurrence of the hamster Democricetodon and the last occurrence of the eomyid Ligerimys florancei Stehlin & Schaub, 1951. The record shows that various other hamster genera (Megacricetodon, Eumyvarion, Crictodon, Cricetulodon) did not disperse over all of Europe at the same time, nor did a number of species which are generally considered to be useful biostratigraphic markers such as Megacricetodon gersii Aguilar, 1980, M. minor (Lartet, 1851), and Ligerimys florancei. Given the importance allotted to these taxa in the MN-zonation, Van der Meulen et al. (2011) cautioned that this widely used system displays, at least in part, strong diachrony. This problem has been previously pointed out, in a general overview of the MN system, by others authors (Daams & Freudenthal, 1981; Fahlschus, 1991; Van Dam et al., 2001; Van Dam, 2003; Gómez Cano et al., 2011).

3. DISCUSSION ON BIOGEOGRAPHIC TIME MODELS

Based on the obtained results, the dispersal pattern of middle Miocene rodents does not seem to follow the Asymmetric Time Model as a general pattern, contrary to the conclusions of López-Martínez (2003, 2009), since they do not appear throughout Europe simultaneously. Democricetodon is the only exception, but then again, this genus was present in Anatolia even before the middle Miocene (Theocharopoulos, 2000), so it too had a more limited geographical range to start with. Democricetodon would act as invasive taxon expanding rapidly, probably related to the demise of geographic/ecological barriers. The appearance of the ‘Gomphotherium landbridge’ at the end of the MN3 could contribute to the entry of Democricetodon, and other mammals, into Europe (Koufos et al., 2005); Van der Made (1999) pointed out that this mammals event may be related to the regression of the TB2.2 cycle of Haq et al. (1987) or to the changes in the climate caused by this regression. Other taxa that can be considered invasive (Megacricetodon, Crictodon, and the lagomorph Prolagus) do not fit in the biogeographic asymmetric model.
Having rejected the generality of the Asymmetric Time Model for our dataset, the question arises if a symmetric model provides a better fit for small mammal data. There are indications that it does. We already noted that *Democricetodon* started with a limited range in Anatolia before spreading into Europe, and it is not the only cricetodontine that followed this pattern. *Megacricetodon* and *Cricetodon* were also already present in that region in the Early Miocene (De Bruijn et al., 2013). If we consider the record of the entire tribe Cricetodontini in Europe and Asia Minor (including the genera *Cricetodon*, *Hispanomys*, *Byzantinia*, *Deperetomys*, and *Ruscinomys*), it seems to follow the Symmetrical Time Model of Jenkins (1994) fairly well (Figs 3, 4). The appearance of the taxon occurs in a small area (region of Anatolia) during the Early Miocene. In the Middle Miocene there is a gradual expansion into Central Europe and France, followed by a period of stasis in which the taxon is distributed over most Europe and Asia Minor. Since the Late Middle Miocene, the distribution area of the representatives of the tribe starts to show fragmentation and contraction that precede the extinction at the end of the Pliocene. The scarcity of fossil sites with remains of Cricetodontini during the latest stadium is not related to the lack of localities at that age (Fig. 3). A quite similar pattern was found by Van den Hoek Ostende (2001) for the gymnure *Galerix*. That insectivore was first found in Anatolia only, became spread across Europe during the later part of the Early Miocene, and retracted its range to the Iberian Peninsula during the Middle Miocene, with only one patchy occurrence in Germany at the very end of that period (Prieto et al., 2011).

By their very nature, models are always oversimplifications of the actual processes with are supposed to explain. The research by Jenkins (1992, 1994) was focussed on the end stage of biogeohistories. The gradual expansion after origination was rather theoretically based. We pose that a truly gradual expansion is, in fact, unlikely. In their study of the Iberian small mammal record, Van Dam et al. (2006)
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Figure 3. Palaeogeographic maps for the Early Miocene (20.5-19 Ma) to the Mid late Pliocene (3.5-2.59 Ma) of Europe and Asia Minor (modified after Popov et al., 2004) showing the spatiotemporal distribution of the tribe Cricetodontini. The spots point the location of certain fossil sites showing “real absence” in the contraction period. Data actualized and modified after Rummel, 1999; Hilgen et al, 2012; López-Guerrero et al., 2013; and NOW database (Fortelius, coord., 2013).
4. MAMMAL BIOCHRONOLOGY AND BIOGEOGRAPHIC TIME MODELS

Biochronology and biogeography can be considered interdependently. Both have three fundamental processes that explain their spatial and temporal biotic patterns: dispersal, evolution and extinction. Traditionally, regional or continental biochronological scales have been proposed either, based on the combination of local biostratigraphic information (extensively used in marine biochronology), or on interpretations of the evolutionary stage of the fossil associations (mostly used in continental biochronology) (Fahlbush, 1991; Van Dam, 2003; Woodburne, 2004). The general geographical and temporal discontinuity of the continental stratigraphic record and the high geographic differentiation of mammalian faunas, consequence of a higher environmental heterogeneity, has promoted the use of biochronologic scales based mainly on palaeobiological events (Mein, 1975; Agustí et al., 2001; Van Dam, 2003; Van der Meulen et al., 2011). This procedure, though in principle theoretically sound, has in fact demonstrated to have many limitations, mainly caused by the lack of robust phylogenetic hypotheses for most of the groups, needed to build up a reliable palaeobiological chronology. Although, the general sequence of recognizable palaeobiological events could be similar along broad areas, there is no reason to consider them as isochronous as discussed by Walsh (1989), and shown by Van der Meulen et al. (2011). This a priori conception used on the biochronologic scales has also influenced palaeobiogeography and thus the biogeographic time model that explains it, becoming a circular reasoning. Therefore Biochronology and Palaeobiogeography must be based on data with external time correlation to avoid this circular reasoning. This is the motivation why more and more authors have claimed that Mammal biochronology needs a better, and more explicit, foundation based on biostratigraphy (e.g., Woodburne, 2006; Van der Meulen et al., 2011, 2012).

Finally, we would like to comment on the suggestion made by López-Martínez (2003, 2009) about the support for the Asymmetric Time Model based on the higher frequency of FADs against LADs on biochronological scales. In our opinion the higher number of FAD is not the consequence of a general rapid dispersal of organisms. We believe that biostratigraphers simply have a preference for using the presence of a taxon (positive criterion), rather than its absence (i.e., the commonly known ‘absence of evidence is not evidence of absence’ due to undersampling, biased sampling, taphonomy, etc.). The International Stratigraphic Guide (Salvador, 1994) recommends the use of the “Highest occurrence zone” type of Interval Zone for subsurface stratigraphic work while recommends the use of “Lowest occurrence zone” on surface works. Therefore the proportion of FADs and LADs must be biased towards FADs if the biochronology is mainly based on surface stratigraphy.
5. CONCLUSIONS

The detailed analysis of palaeobiological events studied on the middle Miocene micromammal associations from well-calibrated local biostratigraphic scales from different European basins supports the rarity of cases where the Asymmetric Time Model of Biogeography applies. Theoretically, these events fit the Symmetric Time Model better.

The analyzed fossil record shows only one occurrence event (*Democricetodon*) and one extinction event (*Ligerimys floriancei*) that can be considered fast (synchronous) at a continental scale. Therefore, the MN scale, based on appearances and extinctions of species, suffers from problems of asynchrony of the biochrons between the different areas.

Nevertheless, the dispersal patterns observed cannot be considered as gradual. They show a stepwise pattern, probably primarily related to the changing environment in response to punctuated climatic changes.

Biogeography and Biochronology are interdependent and therefore their interpretations are dependent on their respective working hypothesis. In this way we have shown that depending on the dispersal model the authors have in mind, faunal correlations and chronology will be strongly different and therefore the palaeobiogeographic framework.

To avoid circular reasoning and *a priori* misconceptions, a robust biochronology based on extensive local biostratigraphic data with independent temporal correlation, is needed.
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