Effect of Integration of Linseed and Vitamin E in Charolaise × Podolica Bulls’ Diet on Fatty Acids Profile, Beef Color and Lipid Stability
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Abstract: Dietary supplementation with oilseeds improves the fatty acid profiles of meat, but results are often inconsistent. This study aimed to assess the effects of dietary linseed and vitamin E supplementation on fatty acid profile, cholesterol content and color stability of beef samples. Dorsal subcutaneous fat samples were subjected to lipid stability assessment. Eighteen young bulls (385 ± 15 kg BW, age 8–9 months) were allocated into three homogeneous groups, each receiving ad libitum wheat straw and concentrate only (CON = 5.5 kg/day), concentrate with linseed (LIN = 80 g/kg, i.e., 440 g/head/day), and concentrate with linseed plus vitamin E (L+E = 80 g/kg, i.e., 440 g/head/day + 2500 IU/head/day of Vitamin E). Group L+E showed significantly lower cholesterol content, lower n-6/n-3 ratio and a higher PUFA percentage compared to the CON group. Meat color was affected by feeding LIN with a decrease in a*, b*, and C* compared to the CON group. The experimental diets increased H° values compared to the CON group. A positive effect of vitamin E in protecting lipids of dorsal subcutaneous depots from oxidation was detected in group L+E compared to group LIN. The supplementation with extruded linseeds in the diet had positive effects on the nutritional profile of the meat. When vitamin E was included, linseed did not alter the color of meat, and the lipid stability of the subcutaneous fat improved.
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1. Introduction

Consolidated human dietary recommendations suggest that saturated fatty acids (SFA) and cholesterol intake should be reduced and monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) intake should be increased, especially the n-3 series [1–4]. Indeed, MUFA and PUFA can have important beneficial health effects, such as reducing hypercholesterolemia, and also play essential metabolic roles in the prevention of chronic inflammatory diseases [3]. Moreover, the prevention of these chronic diseases as a function of a low PUFA n-6/n-3 ratio has been demonstrated [4].

In addition to grazing, other animal feeding strategies have been suggested to increase the concentration of beneficial lipids. Several studies have been conducted on diet supplementation with linseeds to produce beef with enhanced levels of components with potential health benefits [5–7], but with inconsistent results.

Increasing the content of healthy fatty acids in meat may have deleterious effects on its appearance and shelf life. Indeed, high PUFA content of meat can reduce its stability to oxygen and heighten its susceptibility to rancidity [8–10]. Oxidation of meat pigments is recognizable by the development of brown discoloration replacing the bright cherry-red
color. This represents a problem, because color and fat are parameters directly related to the perception of beef quality that influence the consumer at the time of purchase [10]. One way to address these adverse effects is to enrich the diet of animals with antioxidants such as vitamin E, which has been demonstrated to alter ruminal PUFA hydrogenation in beef cattle [11].

Several studies have been carried out on the influence of dietary linseed supplementation on meat FA profiles from the beef of typical Italian breeds, Piemontese [5], Maremmana [7] and Podolica [12] but, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have been carried out to determine the effects of linseed on the meat characteristics of Podolica × Charolaise young bulls.

Podolica is an autochthonous cattle breed raised in the southern region of Italy characterized by its rusticity. In most meat farms, the Podolica is usually bred by crossing with other specialized meat breeds, especially the Charolaise.

The present study was undertaken to investigate the effects of adding extruded linseeds and vitamin E to the diet of Charolaise × Podolica young bulls on the fatty acid profile of intramuscular fat and on the quality of meat during the storage.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Diets

The trial was performed according to the Animal Welfare and Good Clinical Practice [13] and was approved by the Animal Ethic Committee local (protocol number: 50/03.04.2015). The experiment was carried out using 18 Charolaise × Podolica young bulls (385 ± 15 kg body weight (BW), age 8–9 months/249–279 days) raised on pasture until the beginning of the trial. Animals, coming from 18 different Podolica cows and 3 Charolaise bulls (6 young bulls per sire), were divided, according to BW and sire, into three homogenous groups: concentrate only (CON = 5.5 kg/day), concentrate with extruded linseed (LIN = 80 g/kg, i.e., 440 g/head/day), or concentrate with extruded linseed plus vitamin E (Rovimix E 100, Istituto delle Vitamine S.p.A, Milan, Italy) (L + E = 80 g/kg, i.e., 440 g/head/day + 2500 IU/head/day of Vitamin E).

The concentrate ingredients are reported in the Supplementary Materials, whereas the chemical composition and nutritive value of the feeds are shown in Table 1. Bulls were housed in three paddocks with straw bedding. All animals received their ration twice a day at a fixed time (07:00 a.m.–03:00 p.m.) and had free access to wheat straw and water. After eight days of adaptation to the experimental diet, the animals were treated for 91 ± 18 days and then slaughtered in 6 batches of three animals per week, one animal/group/batch. None of the animals had health problems during the study. BW was monthly measured and, when bulls reached the endpoint, they were transported to a licensed slaughterhouse located within 20 min from the experimental farm. Animals fasted for 12 h before slaughter, which was performed according to EU Regulations [14]. The interval between the first and the last slaughter was 35 days.

Table 1. Chemical composition of feeds (% DM), nutritive value (MJ/kg DM) and fatty acids profile (% total FA).

| Chemical composition (% DM) | Concentrate | Extruded Linseed | Wheat Straw |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Crude Protein               | 17.2        | 22.0             | 3.8         |
| Ether extract               | 5.3         | 35.0             | 3.1         |
| Crude fiber                 | 7.5         | 7.5              | 38.2        |
| Ash                         | 7.1         | 4.5              | 5.9         |
| NEE, MJ/kg DM               | 8.4         | 10.3             | 2.5         |
| Fatty acid profile (% total FA) |  |  |  |
| C16:0                       | 17.0        | 14.0             | -           |
| C18:0                       | 6.4         | 4.1              | -           |
| C18:1n-9                    | 20.96       | 18.5             | -           |
| C18:2n-6                    | 42.22       | 11.9             | -           |
| C18:3n-3                    | 0.5         | 42.5             | -           |

DM, dry matter; NEE, Net energy for fattening calculated according to INRA 2018 standard for ruminants [15].
2.2. Sample Collection and Animals Performances

Animals were weighed before slaughter and the weight of the hot and cold carcass (after 24 h of refrigeration at 4 °C) was recorded according to ASPA methods [16]. Thirty-five minutes after the animals’ slaughter, the pH0 was measured on the right side of the carcass on the *Longissimus thoracis* muscle (LT) between the 12th and 13th ribs with a pH-meter (Hanna Instruments, San Benedetto del Tronto, AP, Italy). Carcasses were chilled at 4 °C for 24 h in a cold room, then pH24 was measured after 24 h post-mortem. Hot dressing percentage was calculated as the ratio of hot carcass weight (HCW) to slaughter weight, while the final carcass weights (FCW) were recorded after 24 h of chilling at 4 °C to determine shrink losses (shrink losses = (HCW – FCW)/HCW × 100).

On the right side of the carcass, the LT muscle was removed from the vertebra L1 to the vertebra T8 and sampled for subsequent analysis [16,17]. In particular, six 2.5 cm-thick steaks were taken from the caudal end towards the cranial end of the LT muscle and assigned to the various analysis in the following order: color assessment, chemical composition, cholesterol content, drip loss, cooking loss and fatty acid analysis. Finally, an aliquot of 200 g of subcutaneous fat was collected from each animal at T12 and T13 vertebrae level. All samples were vacuum-packed and stored at 4 °C except subcutaneous fat and steaks intended for chemical and fatty acid analysis that were frozen at −20 °C until analysis.

Drip and cooking loss analysis were determined about 27 h post-mortem on samples of 200 g of weight. For drip loss, meat samples were taken out of the bag and placed in an airtight container for 24 h at 4 °C in a common laboratory refrigerator. The drip loss value was calculated as the difference between the initial weight and final weight and expressed as a percentage of the initial weight.

For the determination of cooking loss, the steaks were placed in open plastic bags and cooked in a boiling distilled water bath until a temperature of 75 °C at their geometric centers was measured by a digital thermometer. The samples were then cooled in melting ice to a temperature of 20 °C and then gently dried with absorbent paper before being weighed. The cooking loss was calculated as the difference between raw and cooked weight and expressed as a percentage of the raw weight.

2.3. Meat Chemical and Cholesterol Analysis

Meat samples were analyzed for dry matter, crude protein (CP), crude fiber, ether extract (EE) and crude ash according to official AOAC methods [18]. For cholesterol analysis, the samples were subjected to method described by Almeida et al. [19]. After that, they were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC Jasco AS-2057 PLUS, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The HPLC apparatus consisted of a system including a column Lune 54 C18, size 250 × 4, 6mm (Altmann Analytik GmbH & Co. Munich, Germany), an injection volume of 70 µL, with an ultraviolet detector. The mobile phase (flow rate = 1 mL/min) consisted of methanol for HPLC. The resulting chromatograms were processed at 210 nm. Quantification for each sample was achieved by internal standardization (Cholesteryl linoleate, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.4. Meat Fatty Acids Analysis

The samples of LT were subjected to lipid extraction and methylation. Meat fatty acid composition was assessed as reported by Almeida et al. [19]. The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were separated and quantified by a gas chromatograph (Thermo GC VEGA 2000 series, Milan, Italy) with a flame ionization detector (FID) and SP2560 capillary column 100 m in length, 0.25 mm in diameter and had a film thickness of 0.25 µm (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). The column temperature was initially set at 40 °C for 5 min and gradually ramped up to 200 °C, where it remained to the end of the cycle (80 min). The temperature of the injector and the flame-ionization detector was 240 and 280 °C, respectively. Hydrogen was used as a carrier gas at a flow of 1 mL/min. A 1-µL aliquot of the sample was injected in the GC. Fatty acids were quantified using tridecanoic acid methyl ester (C13:0; Fluka,
Sigma-Aldrich Milano, Milano, Italy), as an internal standard. Fatty acid methyl esters were identified by comparing the retention times with pure FAME standards (Supelco 37 Component FAME Mix, St Louis, MO, USA).

2.5. Meat Color Determination

After removing the steaks from the packaging, they were placed on a plastic tray with an airtight lid and kept in the dark at 4 °C.

In particular, for the observation of the day 1, the meat samples were removed from the vacuum packaging and, based on other similar studies in the literature [20–22], we waited 30 min before instrumental reading. This waiting time was necessary to allow the phenomenon of “blooming” [20–22].

For the following days (day 2–6) the meat samples were placed on a plastic tray with an airtight lid, in a refrigerator at 4 °C and in the dark, simulating a domestic conservation. Color readings were taken at three randomly selected locations on the surface of each piece.

Muscle color was measured in the CIEL*a*b* space [23], with a measured area diameter of 8 mm, “A” standard illuminant, observer angle 10° [24]. The colorimeter used was a Konica-Minolta CM-700d spectrophotometer (Konica Minolta Holdings, Inc., Osaka, Japan) calibrated before analyzing the samples against black and white standards. The instrumental reading took place every day at the same time (10:00 am) at a storage time of 24 h, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, 5 days, and 6 days after packaging. The individual values of the Lightness (L*), redness (a*), and yellowness (b*) were recorded every day, and hue angle (H°) and chroma (C°) indexes were calculated as H° = tan⁻¹ (b*/a*) expressed in degrees, and C° = (a°² + b°²)⁰.⁵ [24]. The relative content of oxyhemoglobin, methemoglobin and myoglobin were calculated from measurements at wavelengths of 473, 525, 572 and 730 nm [25].

2.6. Lipid Oxidation

The determination of the hexanal content in the frozen (~80 °C) subcutaneous fat samples was performed to evaluate the state of the lipid oxidation as described by Panseri et al. [26]. Briefly, a TRACE GC Ultra gas chromatograph, complete with a mass spectrometer (Tace DSQII, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and with an Rtx.Wax column with the dimensions of 30 m by 0.25 mm by 0.25 mm (Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was used. The hexanal was identified by comparing the retention time with the compounds of the standard and the internal standard.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using a commercial software (GraphPad Prism version 8.3.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Data on animal performances, drip and cooking loss, meat chemical composition as well as lipid stability to oxidation were tested for normality by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and then analyzed by ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Some data required log transformation (drip loss, C22:4 n-6) or exponential transformation (C18:3 n-3) to normalize distributions before performing one-way ANOVA. For C20:5 n-3, the data distributions could not be normalized and the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was performed. The data relating to color were analyzed according to the mixed-effect model including the effect of the “Diet” (=CTR, LIN, L+E) as a variable between factors, of the “Day” (day 1–6) as a variable within factors and the “Diet × Day” interaction. Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to test the difference between means. The results were expressed as Means expected magnitudes (Least-Squares Means) ± SEM and the significance level was established for p < 0.05.

Statistical processing was carried out with GraphPad PRISM version 8.3.0 software for Windows, La Jolla, CA, USA.
3. Results

3.1. Animal Performances, Drip and Cooking Loss Analyses

The average weight of the bulls at the start of the experiment did not differ among the groups, as well as the slaughter weight, although there was a trend towards statistical significance ($p = 0.09$) for the initial live weight (Table 2). In fact, the L+E group had a lighter average initial body weight (370 kg) than the LIN group (419 kg) (Table 2). Finally, there were no significant differences in hot carcass weight among the three groups, or in the other parameters evaluated (Table 2). No differences were detected in muscle pH0 and pH24 among diets (Table 2). The water holding capacity, measured by the drip losses and the cooking losses was not affected by diet (Table 2). Drip loss was, overall, very low, ranging between 1.23 and 1.78% of the initial weight of the sample, while cooking loss was between 21.68 and 24.96%.

Table 2. Performance and slaughter traits of the 18 Charolaise × Podolica young bulls (mean values) after 91 ± 18 days on feed.

| Item                        | CON  | LIN  | L+E  | SEM  | $p$-Value |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Initial live weight (kg)    | 400  | 419  | 370  | 24.98| 0.093     |
| Slaughter weight (kg)       | 522  | 531  | 480  | 25.67| 0.171     |
| Daily weight gain (kg/d)    | 1.36 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 0.07 | 0.270     |
| Hot carcass weight (kg)     | 299  | 307  | 262  | 20.20| 0.151     |
| Hot dressing (%)            | 57.03| 57.57| 54.41| 1.29 | 0.192     |
| Shrink loss (%)             | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.06 | 0.929     |
| pH0                         | 6.49 | 6.61 | 6.58 | 0.09 | 0.645     |
| pH24                        | 5.68 | 5.73 | 5.77 | 0.08 | 0.665     |
| Drip loss (%)               | 1.78 | 1.23 | 1.46 | 0.53 | 0.708     |
| Cooking loss (%)            | 21.68| 23.52| 24.96| 1.59 | 0.161     |

3.2. Proximate and Cholesterol Analyses

Dietary treatment did not affect LT muscle chemical composition, which showed no significant differences in dry matter, protein, fat, and ash content (Table 3). However, the fat content was close to statistical significance ($p = 0.05$) with lower values in the CON and L+E groups than in the group LIN. The meat of L+E animals had lower cholesterol content compared to LIN and CON groups ($p = 0.010$) (Table 3).

Table 3. Meat composition of the Longissimus thoracis muscle from 18 young Charolaise × Podolica bulls fed CON, LIN, or L+E diet.

| Item                        | CON  | LIN  | L+E  | SEM  | $p$-Value |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Dry matter (%)              | 27.37| 26.53| 26.38| 0.86 | 0.561     |
| Crude ash (%)               | 1.08 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.07 | 0.542     |
| Crude Protein (%)           | 21.82| 22.24| 21.65| 0.39 | 0.474     |
| Ether extract (%)           | 2.92 | 3.73 | 2.80 | 0.33 | 0.050     |
| Cholesterol (mg/100 g)      | 45.93| 49.81| 28.63| 5.47 | 0.010     |

Within a row, means without a common letter differ ($p < 0.05$).

3.3. Fatty Acids Analysis

The fatty acid profile is shown in Table 4. The saturated fatty acids content (SFA) in the LT was significantly affected by linseed supplementation. In particular, SFA decreased in the LIN and L+E groups ($p = 0.025$), compared to the CON group.
Table 4. Effects of linseed and of high levels Vitamin E in dietary supplementation on principal intramuscular fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) in the *Longissimus thoracis* from young bulls Charolaise × Podolica.

| Item                      | CON   | LIN   | L+E   | SEM   | p-Value |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| SFA                       | 52.22 | 48.66 | 48.02 | 0.84  | 0.025   |
| MUFA                      | 35.81 | 34.15 | 33.53 | 0.99  | 0.006   |
| PUFA                      | 11.97 | 17.19 | 20.69 | 1.22  | 0.001   |
| n−6                       | 09.89 | 14.32 | 17.14 | 1.84  | 0.008   |
| n−3                       | 1.37  | 2.49  | 3.15  | 0.23  | **      |
| n−6 to n−3 ratio          | 7.21  | 5.75  | 5.43  | 0.57  | 0.001   |
| CLA *                     | 0.31  | 0.40  | 0.40  | 0.03  | 0.009   |
| C14:0                     | 2.95  | 2.23  | 2.45  | 0.29  | 0.113   |
| C16:0                     | 27.98 | 28.22 | 27.22 | 0.47  | 0.201   |
| C18:0                     | 20.00 | 17.67 | 18.54 | 0.90  | 0.095   |
| Total trans C18:1         | 3.18  | 2.08  | 1.99  | 0.32  | 0.009   |
| C18:1 n-9 cis             | 29.50 | 29.69 | 26.58 | 0.99  | 0.036   |
| C18:2 n-6 cis             | 7.86  | 11.72 | 13.86 | 1.54  | 0.003   |
| C18:3 n-3                 | 0.94  | 1.26  | 1.85  | 0.16  | **      |
| CLA 9–11                  | 0.29  | 0.39  | 0.40  | 0.03  | 0.003   |
| C20:2 n-6                 | 0.04  | 0.07  | 0.06  | 0.02  | 0.204   |
| C20:3 n-6                 | 0.33  | 0.37  | 0.47  | 0.08  | 0.275   |
| C22:4 n-6                 | 1.66  | 1.90  | 2.62  | 0.29  | 0.017   |
| C20:5 n-3                 | 0.12  | 0.38  | 0.68  | 0.05  | **      |
| C22:5 n-3                 | 0.28  | 0.59  | 0.54  | 0.06  | **      |
| C22:6 n-3                 | 0.02  | 0.10  | 0.10  | 0.02  | 0.003   |

Within a row, means without a common letter differ (p < 0.05). **: p-value < 0.0001. * CLA (conjugated linoleic acid) = mixture of unseparated isomers.

Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) decreased in the group L+E (p = 0.006) compared to the CON group.

The PUFA content in the LT was affected for both experimental groups (Table 4). In particular, the content of PUFA in the LIN and L+E group was higher compared to the CON group. The meat from young bulls fed linseed and linseed with Vitamin E showed an increase in n-3 (p < 0.0001), above all in the L+E group. The n-6 fatty acids increased significantly only in the L+E group (p = 0.008).

Meat from the linseed and linseed with Vitamin E groups had a lower n-6/n-3 ratio (p = 0.001). The n-6/n-3 ratio is highly influenced by linseed supplementation that significantly increased also the concentration of total CLA (Conjugated Linoleic Acid) (p = 0.009).

3.4. Lipid Stability to Oxidation

The results obtained (Figure 1) indicate that the LIN group had a much greater lipid oxidation index compared to CON and L+E groups. Intramuscular fat of the group L+E, although richer in PUFA, had a hexanal content approximately 4-fold lower compared to the LIN group.

3.5. Color Determinations

Results of meat color are shown in Table 5. Lightness (L*) of meat was not influenced by supplementation of linseeds with or without vitamin E (p = 0.326), while during storage was observed an increment in the L* of the samples from the second day (p < 0.0001).
In the subsequent days, $L^*$ remained stable, settling on values between 44 and 45 and indicating an increase compared to the initial average figure, equal to approximately 41.

Over time, $a^*$ had an increasing trend between the first and second day and then showed a slow and constant decrease. Similar to $a^*$, the yellowness ($b^*$) was also influenced by diet ($p = 0.045$) and the storage time ($p < 0.0001$). In particular, the CON diet led to a greater $b^*$ value ($p < 0.05$) compared to the LIN group, whereas L+E samples show intermediate yellowness values. During the storage period, a similar trend was observed for $b^*$ as for redness. Beef color hue ($H^\circ$) was influenced both by diet ($p < 0.0001$) and time of storage ($p < 0.0001$). The interaction Diet x Day was also significant ($p < 0.0001$).

Chroma ($C^*$) was influenced both by diet ($p = 0.014$) and storage time ($p < 0.001$). Meat from the CON group had a more saturated color than LIN while the L+E samples showed intermediate values. Over time, $C^*$ underwent an increase from day 1 to day 2 ($p < 0.0001$). In the subsequent period, the trend of $C^*$ was of a progressive decrease. However, the decline was very small.

The relative proportion of myoglobin forms did not vary among the groups whereas, as expected, we observed a progressive increase in MMb (%) during storage due to the oxidation of the other two forms of the pigment. In particular, a marked increase in OMb (%) occurred as well as a sharp decrease in DMb (%) between the first and second day was observed (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Color of the *Longissimus thoracis* of Charolaise × Podolica young bulls according to the diet (DIET) and the day of storage (DAY).

| Item | Diet | Day | p-Value |
|------|------|-----|---------|
| Item | CON | LIN | L+E | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | SEM | Diet | Day | Diet x Day |
| $L^*$ | 44.16 | 43.59 | 44.69 | 40.84b | 44.95a | 44.91a | 44.82a | 44.81a | 44.54a | 0.50 | 0.326 | ** | 0.078 |
| $a^*$ | 22.51a | 19.31c | 20.67b | 20.26cd | 22.63a | 21.55b | 20.94bc | 19.98d | 19.66cd | 1.06 | 0.007 | ** | 0.905 |
| $b^*$ | 17.16a | 15.48b | 16.58ab | 13.51d | 17.61a | 17.22ab | 16.99ac | 16.44c | 16.58c | 0.70 | 0.045 | ** | 0.592 |
| $H^\circ$ | 37.30b | 38.65a | 38.68a | 33.57e | 37.94d | 38.68c | 39.12b | 39.52a | 40.28a | 0.75 | ** | ** | 0.0004 |
| $C^*$ | 28.31a | 24.78c | 26.52b | 24.36d | 28.69a | 27.59b | 26.97bc | 25.88cd | 25.73bd | 1.18 | 0.014 | ** | 0.973 |
| OMb% | 70.63 | 63.56 | 64.07 | 57.94fe | 75.81a | 70.32b | 66.56c | 63.87d | 62.73cde | 4.01 | 0.054 | ** | 0.203 |
| DMb% | 12.29 | 16.17 | 14.54 | 34.32a | 9.19c | 9.84c | 10.64bc | 11.38b | 10.56b | 4.19 | 0.067 | ** | 0.006 |
| MMB% | 17.08 | 20.27 | 21.4 | 7.74f | 14.99e | 19.84d | 22.81c | 24.75ac | 26.71ab | 2.21 | 0.140 | ** | 0.146 |

Within a row, means without a common letter differ ($p < 0.05$). CON, control diet; LIN, diet integrated with linseeds; L+E, diet integrated with linseeds and vitamin E. OMb, oxymyoglobin; DMb, deoxymyoglobin; MMB, metmyoglobin. **: p-value < 0.0001.

---

**Figure 1.** Effect of diet (CON, LIN, L+E) on hexanal production in frozen subcutaneous fat of young bulls of Charolaise × Podolica by chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Different letters are statistically different for $p < 0.001$. 

**Figure 2.** Effect of diet (CON, LIN, L+E) on hexanal production in frozen subcutaneous fat of young bulls of Charolaise × Podolica by chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Different letters are statistically different for $p < 0.001$. 

---

**Table 5.** Color of the *Longissimus thoracis* of Charolaise × Podolica young bulls according to the diet (DIET) and the day of storage (DAY).
4. Discussion
4.1. Animal Performances, Drip and Cooking Loss

The body weight response is in line with that reported on both Podolica breed by other authors [27] and the Charolais breed [28]. The effects of linseed supplementation in the diet on the performance of the animals and the characteristics of the carcass were consistent with those reported by previous studies [28]. In contrast, Alberti et al. [6] reported a significant reduction in dressing percentage (60.4% vs. 62.6% for linseed and control groups, respectively), using whole linseeds at a lower level of integration (5%). Tarricone et al. [12], feeding Podolica bulls with a diet based on extruded linseeds (3%), obtained a final body weight higher than the control group. These authors, however, point out that these bulls were heavier compared to those used in other studies on the same breed [27,28] and probably attribute this to the longer duration of the feeding period in their study. According to Juárez et al. [11] linseeds (10.7% of DM) supplemented diet led to an increase in final body weight, average daily gain and weight of the hot carcass while according to Mach et al. [29] using a higher level of linseed (15.8% on DMI), animal performances were not affected. The high level of Vitamin E in the L+E diet (2500 IU/head/day) did not affect animal growth or carcass weight (Table 3) as well as observed by Carter et al. [30] by using a high level of vitamin E (2000 IU/head/day) for 7, 14, or 28 days. Similarly, the inclusion of vitamin E at low/modest levels [11,31] did not affect animal performance or carcass quality. Cuvelier et al. [32], on samples from different breeds bulls, reported values of drip losses between 2.0 and 3.4%, and of cooking losses, between 29.3 and 33.1%.

The mean of the pH at 24 h (5.73 ± 0.09) could reflect low levels of stress before slaughter, avoiding detrimental effects on meat quality [33]. In fact, a pH of 5.73 is considered an adequate pH value that does not affect the phenomenon of dark cutting carcasses [26,27]. Dawson et al. [34] recorded a cooking loss of 25.2–27.9% in bulls of similar slaughter weight of that of the current study. Furthermore, Corazzin et al. [3] reported a cooking loss value of about 30% and that the dietary treatment did not affect this parameter. The authors explain that their results on the cooking loss could be due to the lack of effects on ultimate pH that is related to the post-mortem protein denaturation.

Tenderness and juiciness of the meat are closely related properties [35] and are directly proportional to the amount of water present in cooked meat, as this gives plasticity to the myofibrillar proteins preventing their stiffening and hardening [36]. Our results demonstrated that experimental diets did not increase drip and cooking losses.

4.2. Proximate and Cholesterol Analyses

Chemical composition was not affected by dietary treatment (Table 3), although we observed an almost significant difference in intramuscular fat content among groups, possibly related to a low homogeneity of the BW of the young bulls (Table 2). From this point of view, the higher fat content in LIN group meat is in accordance with Corazzin et al. [3] that reported an increase in intramuscular fat in animals fed linseed supplemented diets. On the other hand, in the L+E group this effect of linseed supplementing may have been hidden by the lower BW of the animals. Cholesterol content was reduced by feeding linseeds with vitamin E (Table 3), whereas feeding linseed alone did not cause changes. In particular, the meat of L+E animals had lower cholesterol content (28.63%) compared to the other groups. This result was very encouraging since the extent of the reduction was significant, reaching 39% compared to the value of the CON group. This aspect is important for the consumer because guidelines for healthy eating recommend that intake of cholesterol should not exceed 300 mg per day [37].

Other authors did not find a significant response in the reduction in cholesterol content caused by the addition of linseeds [7] or by the addition of linseeds and vitamin E in the diet [28]. However, the reason for the decrease in cholesterol level only in the L+E group and not in the LIN group remains to be investigated. This could probably be explained by the higher level of n-3 PUFA observed in the group L+E (Table 4). We hypothesize that the
association of linseed with high levels of Vitamin E in the diet may be responsible for the decrease in cholesterol in meat.

The role of vitamin E in the metabolism of cholesterol remains to be determined. According to Özer et al. [38] and Bozaykut et al. [39] the effect of vitamin E on cholesterol could be partially mediated by a group of receptors connected to the metabolism of lipids and Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated receptors (PPARs). They provided evidence for the role of vitamin E supplementation on gene expression through the upregulation of peroxisome PPAR gamma (PPARG), nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor (NFE2L2), induction of their downstream targets ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1), glutathione S-transferase α (GSTA1) and through the inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1).

4.3. Fatty Acids Analysis

The level of total SFA (Table 4) was higher than that reported by other authors [5,6,28]. These differences with respect to those other studies are attributable to the various breeds, diets, production systems, or slaughter weight. The levels of MUFA were affected by diet and the linseed with the vitamin E decreased levels of MUFA. Decreases in total MUFA content in the present experiment might be related to decreases in total trans-18:1 when feeding linseed and linseed with Vitamin E. However, also C18:1 n-9 cis decreased in the L+E group compared to the CON group. This result is not in agreement with the findings of precedent studies [5,28,39] that showed an increasing of MUFA and in particular of C18:1 trans, which is a by-product of biohydrogenation in the rumen, in meat of animals supplemented with unsaturated fatty acids.

However, the significant decrease in the L+E group recorded here was mainly ascribable to the decrease in oleic acid, passing from an initial value of 29.50% to a final value of 26.58%. Vitamin E in high doses probably has a role that should be investigated on the biohydrogenation of the rumen and of the derived by-products.

Confirming these results, Juarez et al. [11] reported an interaction between flaxseed and high levels of vitamin E associated with a variation in levels in C18:1 n-9 cis. According to these authors vitamin E could influence ruminal pathways of PUFA biohydrogenation, acting either as an inhibitor of bacteria producing trans 18:1 or as an electron acceptor for Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens [40].

Total PUFA content was greater in the LIN and L+E groups compared to the CON group, especially the n-6 PUFA content that was greater in the L+E group compared to the other two groups. The L+E and LIN groups showed higher levels in the total n-3 content, partly confirming that vitamin E has a role in PUFA hydrogenation. The C18:3 n-3 increased only in the L+E group and not in the CON and LIN groups, although it reached levels no greater than 1.85%. Additionally, Conte et al. [7] reported that the higher level of C18:3 n-3 was probably associated with a significant increase in the intermediate products of ruminal biohydrogenation of C18:3n-3: C18:2t11,c15, C18:1c11 and C18:1c12.

The most abundant polyunsaturated fatty acid was linoleic acid which, in contrast to what was expected, was higher in the LIN and L+E groups than in the CON group. L+E. In this regard Conte et al. [7] found an increase, although it was not statistically significant, in the content of linoleic acid in the polar fat (PL) of the meat of animals fed with linseed compared to bulls fed with a standard diet. This aspect should be investigated more thoroughly.

Other results with Italian beef cattle breeds such as Piemontese [41] and Maremmana [7] demonstrated that inclusion of 10% of DM of ground flaxseed and 20% of DM of extruded linseeds, respectively, modified the FA profile of the meat, increasing the n-3 PUFA content and lowering the n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio. These studies suggested that the proper selection of breed and diet allows an improvement of the nutritional value of meat for human consumption. Thus, this ratio is influenced by the FA composition of the diet administered to the animals. In the present study, linseed supplementation decreased the
The n-6/n-3 ratio of intramuscular lipids from 7.23 to 5.4 (Table 4), approaching the maximum value recommended for the human diet.

The addition of linseeds with or without vitamin E had an effect on the total CLA level, which increased in the two experimental diets compared to in the CON group. Some authors have reported that linseed has no effect on CLA levels [42], while others have found an increase in CLA 9c-11t [6,29]. The increased levels of CLA in animals receiving the experimental diets could, in turn, be explained by the roles of C18:2 n-6 cis and C18:3 n-3 and therefore to a greater biohydrogenation in the rumen of these FA in the supplemented diets. In fact, some studies have indicated that diets containing seeds rich in linoleic acid (LA) and alpha-linoleic acid (ALA) stimulate the production of CLA 9c-11t in the rumen [42]. Meat from bulls fed linseed was enriched with n-3 fatty acid due to the amount of linseed in the diet (8%). These fatty acids compete for the same enzymes responsible for desaturation and elongation and for their incorporation into lipid tissues [41]. Linseed supplementation increased the availability of linolenic acid in the muscle, resulting in an enhanced synthesis of its elongation and desaturation products such as EPA (Eicosapentaenoic acid), DPA (Docosapentaenoic acid) and DHA (Docosahexaenoic acid). These fatty acids have various beneficial effects on human health [43]. Additionally, Barton et al. [28] observed that in Longissimus thoracis of animals fed diet supplemented with linseeds there was greater CLA concentration, which in turn may have reflected a greater synthesis of CLA in the rumen. Moreover, CLAs are also produced by the enzymatic system stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) present in the adipose tissue and in the mammary gland whose expression can be positively modulated by the dietary supplementation of flax seeds [44].

In the present study, n-6/n-3 ratio was lower in the LIN and L+E groups compared to the CON group and was closer to that recommended by the literature [45]. The n-6/n-3 ratio considered as optimal is in fact < 5 [46]. The beneficial role of linseeds in modifying the n-6/n-3 ratio has been confirmed in other similar studies using various doses of linseeds and breeds [7,12,32,34,47].

The role of linseeds in improving the fatty acid profile is similar to that found in animals fed on pasture compared to concentrate-based diets [48] the latter, indeed, worsened the n-6/n-3 ratio [49].

4.4. Lipid Stability to Oxidation

The stability of fat to oxidation is an important factor in food products because it influences other factors such as odor, color, flavor, texture and overall nutritional value [50]. According to Wood et al. [47] the fatty acid profile of intramuscular fat affects stability and PUFA is more susceptible to oxidative rancidity than MUFA and SFA. In our study, oxidation stability was analyzed in the subcutaneous fat of the carcass measuring the amount of hexanal present in ng/g in the fat. This molecule is considered an indicator of the extent of lipid alteration, being one of the major oxidation products of fat during storage. It confers an odor not appreciated by the consumer, described as “grass”, and has a very low perception threshold in water [51]. In particular, meats that oxidize produce secondary oxidative compounds such as hexanal, pentanal, 2,4-decadienal, 2,3-octanedione, and 2-octenal [52].

The extent of lipid oxidation can be assessed by various methods such as the Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances Method (TBARS), commonly used as indices to assess the degree of lipid oxidation by considering the by-products of the oxidation reaction [53]. TBARS values and sensory scores are well correlated in meat products [53].

In addition, there is also the hexanal analysis which has been recommended as a secondary measure of lipid oxidation in raw or precooked meats [53]. As expected, intramuscular fat of the group L+E, although richer in PUFA, had a hexanal content approximately 4-fold lower compared to the group LIN. This can be explained by the antioxidant effect of vitamin E, which interrupts the auto-oxidation process of unsaturated fatty acids and therefore the formation of the hexanal during oxidation of linoleic acid [26].
Our results are in agreement with those from Scollan et al. [54], who observe through TBARS assay that increasing n-3 PUFA content in meat several negative sensory attributes score higher while the duration of the meat color is reduced.

Another study showed a lower degree of increase in lipid oxidation of the meat of bulls fed different levels of vitamin E, analyzed through hexanal analysis [55]. These authors reported that hexanal values, which are used as indicators of flavor, were correlated also with other flavors detected by a sensory panel [55].

4.5. Color Determination

In accordance with Dawson et al. [34] and Albertí et al. [6] also in our experiment, the beef color was not influenced by supplementation of linseeds with or without vitamin E, while during storage was observed an increment in the L* of the samples from the second day. This increase in L* was unexpected based on the literature, but it can be explained as the effect of an insufficient “blooming” time between the opening of the vacuum pack and the consequent oxygenation of the sample before color measurement.

In this regard, previous studies on the instrumental analysis of the color in bovine LT have obtained very different results. As matter of fact, it is stated that a time of 12 min from the sectioning of the carcass is sufficient for color stabilization [21], but based on other experiences, a period of “blooming” is recommended to be 30 min or more [21]. Based on the experience gained with this study, it is considered useful to respect a blooming time of approximately 60 min, as recommended by Lee et al. [31].

These values agree with those reported in a similar test performed by Albertí et al. [6] on Pyrenean young bulls and by King et al. [56] on Charolaise bulls. Instead, these values are slightly higher than those measured by another study [32] in Belgian Blue, Limousine and Aberdeen Angus bulls (between 37.4 and 44.2%). Both the type of diet and time influenced redness value (a*) of the Longissimus thoracis samples. Redness value (a*) was higher in the CON group than L+E whereas the LIN group samples showed the lowest values. This led us to hypothesize a positive effect of vitamin E with supplementation of linseeds. In contrast, other research in dairy calves [29] or dual-purpose cattle [34] feeding diets enriched in linseeds during finishing, did not report any significant effect on the color (L*, a*, b*, C*, H◦) of fresh meat. Over time, a* has had an increasing trend between the first and second day and then showed a slow and constant decrease. This indicates that at the time of the first measurement (day 1), myoglobin was probably still undergoing oxygenation and that a time of exposure to the air lasting 30 min may not have been sufficient to convert the deoxymyoglobin present in the samples to oxymyoglobin. This hypothesis is also confirmed by the pigment status results discussed below.

Overall, a* was satisfactory in all groups for the entire period considered, with values comparable to those published in a review on dietary vitamin E supplementation for beef quality [57], and greater than those of Cuvelier et al. [32] who, in other meat breeds, obtained an a* between 15 and 18 on days 2 and 8, respectively. Similar to a*, the yellowness (b*) was also influenced by diet and also for the storage period, a similar trend was observed for b* as for redness.

Diet and time of storage influenced the beef color hue (H◦). The Diet × Day interaction was also significant. In general, except for days 1 and 6, the CON group showed lower hue values than other groups. Since the hue value decreases as the red component increases compared to the yellow one, the CON group had more red meat than other groups. Nevertheless, these values are below those reported by Alberti et al. [58] in an experiment similar to ours. A similar work [12] on the Podolica breed fed with 3% extruded linseed did not influence the colorimetric response of the Longissimus lumborum (LL) samples. However, all color measurements (L*, a*, b*) of this study were slightly lower for the linseed-fed bulls than for those fed the control diet. Chroma (C*) is directly proportional to color saturation and was influenced both by diet and storage time. Over time, C* underwent an increase from day 1 to day 2, likely due to the “blooming” effect on day 1. In
the subsequent period, the trend of C* was of a progressive decrease. However, the decline was very small.

In fact, in terms of stability, the value of C* over time varied similarly to that reported by King et al. [56] in a test with Charolaise bull meat. The decrease recorded over 6 days, equal to 2.2 points, indicates a “physiological discoloration” and is linked to the superficial accumulation of metmyoglobin. A C* value of 18 has been proposed as a cut-off point to indicate the end of the shelf life of beef [59]. Although the supplementation with linseeds produced a decline in saturation, this reduction never reached the cut-off point, contrary to the study of Dawson et al. [34].

Regarding the percentage of OMb, DMb and MMb, the marked increase in OMb (%) as well as the sharp decrease in DMb (%) observed between the first and second day it can be attributed to the oxygenation of the pigment following the opening of the vacuum packs. Overall, the proportion of OMb was found for all dietary protocols to be above 60% of total myoglobin and always above the minimum OMb threshold of 50% indicated by Van den Oord and Wesdorp [60] for the acceptability of beef color. Even the MMb values, for all groups, were much lower than the maximum threshold of acceptability for meat color reported by Chan et al. [61] equal to 50% of MMb. We considered the result obtained to be encouraging in terms of stability of the color, despite the high content of intramuscular PUFA in experimental diets (Table 4).

5. Conclusions

The dietary supplementation with extruded linseed at 8% of the concentrate increased the percentage of n-3 fatty acids in the intramuscular fat and reduced the n-6/n -3 ratio in beef from Charolaise × Podolica young bulls. Vitamin E in high doses (2500 IU) seems to act in synergy with linseeds to further improve the profile of fatty acids and reduce cholesterol content in meat. Furthermore, addition of vitamin E did not harm color stability during storage and, despite the high PUFA content, maintained good lipid stability of the subcutaneous fat. These findings should be confirmed on a larger number of animals, and blood and ruminal tests should be included to assess the systemic responses of the animals.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/agriculture11111032/s1, Table S1: Ingredients of the basal concentrate fed to young bulls.
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