Is Tuberculosis Treatment Truly Free? A Study to Identify Key Factors Contributing to the Catastrophic Cost of TB Care in Pakistan
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Abstract

Background: The interplay between financial deprivation and tuberculosis (TB) is considered one of the vital socio-economic determinants of disease. This is the first study of its kind to be carried in Pakistan, which aims to identify leading factors contributing towards catastrophic costs of TB diagnosis and management in order to help policy makers. Methodology: From four tertiary care hospitals (TCH) in Islamabad and Rawalpindi, 400 TB patients were interviewed through a cross-sectional survey. The patient’s pre and post-TB income and direct and indirect costs for treatment were analysed following WHO recommendations. Multivariable logistic regression model was used to identify the determinants of catastrophic total cost. Results: For TB management expenditures, the median (interquartile range) of total costs by households was Rs. 58,175 Rs (32,050 - 97,500). At 20% threshold, 67% of TB patient’s households were affected by catastrophic costs. The determinants of the catastrophic total cost were as follows: patient/guardian employed (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 3.428, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.900 - 6.186), patient/guardian the only breadwinner (aOR = 1.751, 95% CI: 1.011 - 3.032), follow-up visits at current health facility (aOR = 1.352, 95% CI: 1.223 - 1.494), job loss (aOR = 3.381, 95% CI: 1.512 - 7.561), and unpaid sick leaves (aOR = 2.862, 95% CI: 1.249 - 6.558). Conclusion: The financial deprivation experienced by patients of low socio-economic status increases as TB treatment proceeds. This negatively impacts the treatment adherence, resulting in poor treatment outcomes due to income and job loss. Outcomes are exacerbated if the family has single breadwinner and treatment requires follow-up visits.
1. Introduction

Despite numerous attempts to eradicate TB, the disease still poses a serious public health threat, causing an estimated 1.4 million deaths annually [1]. The causative agent for TB is Mycobacterium tuberculosis; responsible for a number of human ailments but principally causes pulmonary disease [2]. The disease is associated with high rates of mortality and morbidity and consequently has a burdensome economic effect on the patients’ households [3] [4]. The most prevalent age group globally affected by TB ranges from 15 to 54 years of age, which is the most economically active segment of the population [5] [6]. The World Health Organization-Eastern Mediterranean Region (WHO-EMRO) shoulders 7% of global TB burden, of which Pakistan alone is responsible for 61% of cases [7]. With an estimated 510,000 cases every year, Pakistan is ranked 5th out of the 22 most highly burdened countries [6] [7]. Due to poor awareness regarding the disease, patients usually delay treatment, transmitting the infection to an additional 5 to 15 persons [8].

Catastrophic cost is defined as the total cost incurred by a TB-affected household for TB care (direct or indirect) exceeding 20% of the annual total household income [9] [10]. Globally, more than 150 million people are pushed into poverty annually due to direct payment for health services [11] [12]. Loss of income and transportation cost to healthcare facilities are among the hidden costs associated with free treatment programs. The contribution of these factors to the catastrophic costs and their potential impact on TB-affected households is ill defined and requires more research. In some studies, the largest financial risk for TB patients has been attributed to income loss [13] [14]. Apart from ensuring that healthcare services are properly financed and delivered in a way that reduces direct and indirect costs, there is a need to ensure that TB patients and affected families receive appropriate income replacement and other social interventions [15]. WHO recommends that the National TB Programs (NTP) lower patient costs associated with TB care through service reorganization among others [16].

Through the introduction of universal health coverage (UHC) concept, WHO aims to highlight the need for access to services at an affordable cost to protect households from catastrophic health expenditures [12]. Many TB-affected households face immensely high indirect costs, which hampers treatment access and creates a risk of financial burden that can lead to further impoverishment [16] [17]. One of the three goals of the end TB strategy (2016-2035) is to prevent catastrophic costs for all the affected households [12] [18]. This target is a fundamental form of financial risk protection and a symbol for progress toward the achievement of universal health coverage.
In Pakistan, TB medication and treatment are free, but the hidden costs incurred by patients and their families can worsen their poverty. Social protection has recently emerged as a key policy area for TB care and prevention [19] [20]. This also includes the compensation for lost income. Examples of social protection include paid sick leave, disability grants, food and nutritional assistance, travel vouchers, and other support packages [20] [21]. A study from Colombia highlights the importance of paid sick leave as a form of social protection for employees, the absence of which results in financial catastrophe [22].

In our study, we aimed to identify and to assess the leading factors contributing to the catastrophic costs of TB diagnosis and management, to identify the resultant, most prevalent factor contributing to the catastrophic costs of TB care, and to provide recommendations to improve TB outcomes. This study is the first of its kind to be carried out in Pakistan in the hope that it will provide policy makers with an understanding of the factors that adversely impact TB outcomes. Hence, the findings can be used to formulate policies to improve the living standards of TB patients and their families and to reduce the economic burden of the disease and the hidden costs associated with it, thus improving treatment outcomes.

2. Methodology

A cross-sectional survey was carried out. Conventional sampling technique was adopted following the WHO recommendations to assess the catastrophic total cost due to TB. Each patient’s pre- and post-TB income and direct and indirect costs of TB treatment were analyzed in the study.

The sample size was calculated via a STEPS sample size calculator spreadsheet provided by WHO [23]. The required sample size was 400 TB patients, which were interviewed from four tertiary care hospitals (TCH) in Islamabad and Rawalpindi linked with the National TB Control Program, which patients visit from all over Pakistan.

\[
n = \text{Level of Confidence Measure} \ast (\text{Baseline levels of the indicators} - \text{Baseline levels of the indicators}) / \text{Margin of Error (MOE)}
\]

\[
n = \text{sample size}.
\]

Level of Confidence Measure = Describes the level of uncertainty in the sample mean or prevalence as an estimate of the population mean or prevalence.

Margin of Error (MOE) = the expected half-width of the confidence interval. The smaller the margin of error, the larger the sample size needed.

Baseline levels of the indicators = the estimated prevalence of the risk factors within the target population. Values closest to 50% are the most conservative.

Design effect (Deff) = Describes the loss of sampling efficiency due to using a complex sample design. A value of 1.0 is appropriate for simple random samples.

The health care providers working at the TB care units within these TCH were
trained to interview the patients. For patients aged less than 15 years, their guardians were interviewed. The study participants were provided with a consent form. For participants who could not read, the trained health care provider read the consent form out loud, after which it was signed by the patient in the presence of a witness. All types of costs related to TB care, diagnostics, and treatment were recorded according to data provided by each patient or guardian [24] [25].

2.1. Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for the patients in this study were as follows:

- Treatment duration of at least one month.
- Treatment completion no more than one month.
- TB diagnosis at least three months previously and active treatment [18].

2.2. Exclusion Criteria

- Extra-pulmonary TB and MDR-TB patients.
- Patients suffering from AIDS, hepatitis, and diabetes.

2.3. Direct and Indirect Costs

Two types of costs were recorded and analyzed: direct and indirect costs. The direct costs included payment after any reimbursement for medical fees, administration or registration fees, and all diagnostic tests performed pre- or post-diagnosis. In Pakistan, there is usually one earner in most households, so we categorized the patients into single-earner and multiple-earner households.

The indirect costs include special dietary purchases like fruit, milk, meat, and food supplements consumed during the TB treatment, travel costs per visit to the health care facility for TB treatment [10], and loss of income. The changes in the expenditures of patients/guardians after TB diagnosis were estimated. To calculate the costs per month, we multiplied the costs with the number of visits per month to the TB care facility. To estimate the total expenditures of patients/guardians who were working for daily wages or performing informal jobs for which the income is uncertain, we applied the time loss valuation by multiplying their hourly/daily wage by the number of working hours lost due to TB [26].

2.4. Catastrophic Total Costs

WHO uses two basic approaches to measure the catastrophic total cost. First, the total costs (direct and indirect) incurred by the household in excess of 20% of the annual household income are noted. The second approach used by WHO is defined as the share of TB patients who are unable to accumulate savings because they must sell property and livestock or take out a loan to cover costs incurred due to TB [18] [26]. Using the first approach, we calculated the mean gap (G) and the mean positive gap (MPG). The mean gap (G) shows the average payments that exceed the threshold as a proportion of household income. The mean positive gap (MPG) is equal to G/H (H being the headcount). Using this technique,
it is possible to identify how severe the total costs are by illustrating the excessive expenditure per household that has experienced catastrophic total costs [25] [27].

Total costs due to TB were defined as the sum of the OOPs incurred for medical diagnosis and treatment (OOPM), OOPs for non-medical expenditures related to the use of TB care services (OOPNM), and patients’ and guardians’ reported income loss or time loss valuations minus the net of any government treatment allowances. The denominator produced the annual household income in the year before being diagnosed with TB [20] [28] [29].

\[ I = \frac{1}{n} \sum l(\sum OOPM + OOPNM + Income loss − Gov allownce) / y > t \]

2.5. Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS, and Microsoft Excel was used for graphical representation. The categorical variables are shown as numbers and percentages (%), whereas abnormally distributed data is shown as median (inter-quartile range [IQR] q25-q75). Binary logistic regression was used to analyse the determinants that affect the catastrophic total costs to the households of TB patients. The significance (P) and the crude odds ratios (cORs) were also estimated in the univariate analysis with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All the variables under the above mentioned conditions with a P < 0.05 were included in a multivariable analysis to estimate significance and identify the best model, adjusted ORs (aORs), and 95% CIs.

2.6. Ethical Permission

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the National Institute of Health (NIH), Pakistan. Official permissions were also obtained from the tertiary care hospitals where the study was conducted. An informed consent form was signed by all patients or patient guardians before the interview, which was explained to them verbally, and a copy of the form was given to each patient [19].

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics

The sample size for this study was 400 TB patients (100 from each of four tertiary care hospitals (TCH) in Rawalpindi and Islamabad (Map 1), out of which 187 (47%) were males. Most of the TB patients belonged to the most economically active age group (15 - 34 years), accounting for a total of 202 (51%) TB cases. Most of the patients lived in poor households with an average (median) monthly income of Rs. 15,500 before TB diagnosis and an IQR of Rs. 10,000 - 25,500. Of the working TB patients, 66 (37%) were working for daily wages or performing informal jobs like driving a cab. The total number of patients or patient guardians who were employed and did not receive paid sick leave was 367 (92%). A total of 68 (17%) employed TB patients lost their job after TB diagno-
sis, whereas 64 (16%) of the TB cases had health insurance and therefore received support for health care costs.

![Map 1. Geographical mapping of study area.](image)

Our results show that although public health care facilities are available, a high percentage (219, or 55%) of the patients first went to a private health care centre. The transfer of TB infection between family members took place in 94 (23%) of the cases. According to this study, 171 (43%) and 147 (37%) of the patients or their guardians compensated for their income loss by working over-time/taking a part-time job or by taking a loan, respectively. According to our results, the most common social effects were food insecurity and social exclusion (Figure 1) (Table 1).

### 3.2. TB-Related Total Costs

The median TB related total cost was Rs. 58,175 (IQR: Rs. 32,050 - Rs. 97,500) (Table 2), the median out-of-pocket medical (OOPM) expenditure on TB was Rs. 3100 (IQR: Rs. 300 - Rs. 6000) (Figure 2), the median out-of-pocket non-medical (OOPNM) expenditure on TB was Rs. 17,100 (IQR: Rs. 9150 - Rs. 28,400) (Figure 3), and the median income loss of patients/guardians due to TB was Rs. 33,000 (IQR: Rs. 16,500 - Rs. 49,500) (Figure 4). The OOPNM were 29%
Figure 1. Gender and social/private effects distribution of TB patients.

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| Characteristics (n = 400)                  | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| **Gender**                                |           |                |
| Male                                      | 187       | 47             |
| Female                                    | 213       | 53             |
| **Age in years**                          |           |                |
| ≤14                                       | 27        | 7              |
| 15 - 34                                   | 202       | 51             |
| 35 - 54                                   | 102       | 25             |
| ≥55                                       | 69        | 17             |
| **Diagnosis**                             |           |                |
| 3 months ago                              | 194       | 49             |
| 4 - 6 months ago                          | 104       | 26             |
| 7 - 9 months ago                          | 59        | 15             |
| 10 - 12 months ago                        | 43        | 10             |
| **Treatment**                             |           |                |
| 3 months ago                              | 219       | 55             |
| 4 - 6 months ago                          | 101       | 25             |
| 7 - 9 months ago                          | 47        | 12             |
| 10 - 12 months ago                        | 33        | 8              |
| **Income earning job (Household) before TB treatment** |       |                |
| Yes                                       | 320       | 80             |
| No                                        | 80        | 20             |
| **Patient’s Employment Status**           | n = 179   |                |
| Business/Self-employed                    | 33        | 18             |
| Daily Wages/Informal jobs                 | 66        | 37             |
| Gov. employee                             | 25        | 14             |
| Pvt. Employee                             | 55        | 31             |
Continued

| Patient's Unemployment Status | n = 221 |  
|------------------------------|--------|  
| Homemaker                    | 56     | 25  
| Retired                      | 13     | 6   
| Student                      | 63     | 29  
| Unemployed                   | 89     | 40  

| Single Income at present (patient/guardian) | n = 400 |  
|---------------------------------------------|--------|  
| Yes                                         | 298    | 75  
| No                                          | 102    | 25  

| Monthly Income Before TB                  |        |  
| ≤Rs. 10,000                               | 87     | 21  
| Rs. 11,000 - Rs. 20,000                   | 219    | 55  
| Rs. 21,000 - Rs. 30,000                   | 55     | 14  
| >Rs. 30,000                               | 39     | 10  

| Monthly Income After TB                   |        |  
| ≤Rs. 10,000                               | 118    | 30  
| Rs. 11,000 - Rs. 20,000                   | 201    | 50  
| Rs. 21,000 - Rs. 30,000                   | 48     | 12  
| >Rs. 30,000                               | 33     | 8   

| No. of Dependents                        |        |  
| ≤5                                         | 41     | 10  
| 6 - 10                                     | 272    | 68  
| >10                                        | 87     | 22  

| First Treatment facility visited for treatment | |  
|------------------------------------------------|--------|  
| Dispensary                                    | 62     | 15  
| Healthcare centre                             | 219    | 55  
| Herbalist/Homeopath                           | 22     | 6   
| Pharmacy                                      | 19     | 5   
| Private Hospital                              | 78     | 19  

| Free Diagnostic Tests                         |        |  
| Yes                                           | 210    | 53  
| No                                            | 190    | 47  

| Free TB Drugs                                 |        |  
| Yes                                           | 348    | 87  
| No                                            | 52     | 13  

| Symptom Relieving Drugs                      |        |  
| Yes                                           | 335    | 84  
| No                                            | 65     | 16  

Continued

**TB infection Transfer between Family member**

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
| 94  | 23 |
| 306 | 77 |

**Having Health Insurance**

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
| 64  | 16 |
| 336 | 84 |

**Insurance Type**

| Type                      | n |
|---------------------------|---|
| Donor                     | 50 |
| Gov. Medical Allowance    | 8  |
| Private Health Insurance  | 3  |
| Reimbursement Scheme      | 3  |

**Gov. Treatment Allowance**

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
| 8   | 2  |
| 392 | 98 |

**Paid Sick Leaves**

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
| 33  | 8  |
| 367 | 92 |

**Loss of Job**

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
| 68  | 17 |
| 332 | 83 |

**Coping with TB care expenditure**

| Method                        | n  |
|-------------------------------|----|
| Loan                          | 147|
| Over Time/Part Time Job       | 171|
| Selling Household Goods       | 45 |
| Others                        | 37 |

**Financial Support**

| Type           | n  |
|----------------|----|
| Brother        | 131|
| Children       | 49 |
| Husband        | 46 |
| Parents        | 106|
| Relatives      | 68 |

**Social & Private Effect**

| Type                        | n  |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Divorce or Separation       | 7  |
| Food/Nutritional Insecurity | 142|
| Interrupted Schooling       | 67 |
| Job Loss                    | 68 |
| Social Exclusion            | 103|
| Others                      | 13 |
Table 2. Characteristics of TB patients and TB related costs with median and (IQR).

| Characteristics/Costs                      | Median  | IQR                |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Age                                       | 30 years| (22 - 49.50) years|
| Male                                      | 33 years| (23 - 50) years    |
| Female                                    | 28 years| (20 - 45) years    |
| Monthly income before TB                  | Rs. 15,500| Rs. (10,000 - 25,500) |
| Monthly income after TB                   | Rs. 15,000| Rs. (5500 - 15,500) |
| Treatment duration at first contact facility| 2 days  | (2 - 4) days       |
| Follow up visits at current health facility | 5       | (3 - 8)            |
| Monthly income loss                        | Rs. 5500| Rs. (5000 - 15,500) |
| Treatment duration of TB                  | 3 months| (2 - 6) months     |
| Cost at diagnostic tests                  | Rs. 1000| Rs. (0 - 3000)     |
| Cost at TB drugs                          | Rs. 0   | Rs. (0 - 0)        |
| Expenditure at symptom relieving drugs    | Rs. 250 | Rs. (100 - 500)    |
| Doctor’s fee                              | Rs. 0   | Rs. (0 - 0)        |
| Travelling cost during treatment          | Rs. 2400| Rs. (1500 - 4800)  |
| Expenditure at special diet during treatment| Rs. 15,000| Rs. (7500 - 22,500) |
| Amount Gov. allowance                     | Rs. 0   | Rs. (0 - 0)        |
| Total costs                               | Rs. 58,175| Rs. (32,050 - 97,500) |

Figure 2. Distribution of OOPM expenditures incurred during TB treatment.

Figure 3. Distribution of OOPNM expenditures incurred during TB treatment.
of the median total costs, and income loss due to TB was 57% of the median total costs. Our results show that when the patient lost their job due to TB, they lost a major portion of their income.

### 3.3. Catastrophic Total Costs

At the 20% threshold [17], the incidence (i.e., headcount) of catastrophic total costs was 67% for the TB patients, with a mean gap (G) of 38% and a mean positive gap (MPG) of 56%.

### 3.4. Determinants of Catastrophic Total Costs

The multivariate analysis showed that with regard to the catastrophic total cost among TB-affected households, there were five determinants: patient/guardian having an income earning job (i.e., employed (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 3.428, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.900 - 6.186; P-value < 0.001), being the only breadwinner of the family (aOR = 1.751, 95% CI: 1.011 - 3.032, P-value = 0.045), follow-up visits at the current health care facility (aOR = 1.352, 95% CI: 1.223 - 1.494, P-value < 0.001), job loss due to TB (aOR = 3.381, 95% CI: 1.512 - 7.561, P-value = 0.003), and lack of paid sick leave (aOR = 2.862, 95% CI: 1.249 - 6.558, P-value = 0.013) (Table 3) (Figure 5). Lack of a government treatment allowance or lack of health insurance were not determinants of the total catastrophic costs for TB-affected households.

### 4. Discussion

Despite the provision of free treatment, the economic burden faced by patients continues to rise in TB prevalent countries due to total catastrophic costs [30]. Our results suggest that the same holds true for Pakistan. There were several factors contributing to the total catastrophic costs for TB care in this study. The employment status of the patients or their guardians was threatened due to non-availability of paid sick leaves and an increased number of follow-up visits. This is evident by the differences in the monthly incomes of patients or guardians before and after the diagnosis and treatment commencement. Our results indicate that patients who did not receive paid sick leaves were approximately three times more likely to face catastrophic costs in comparison to patients who did.
Table 3. Determinants of catastrophic total costs in TB cases.

| Determinants                                      | Catastrophic Total Costs | P-value | COR (95% CI) | P-value | aOR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| Determinants                                      | Yes | % | No | % |         |         |              |          |              |
| Gender                                           |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Male                                             | 129 | 69 | 58 | 31 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Female                                           | 139 | 65 | 74 | 35 | 0.429  | 1.184  | (0.779 - 1.800) |          |              |
| Age in years                                     |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| ≤14                                              | 13  | 48 | 14 | 52 | 0.038  | 0.379  | (0.152 - 0.948) |          |              |
| 15 - 34                                          | 132 | 65 | 70 | 35 | 0.389  | 0.770  | (0.424 - 1.396) |          |              |
| 35 - 54                                          | 74  | 73 | 28 | 27 | 0.827  | 1.079  | (0.548 - 2.125) |          |              |
| ≥55                                              | 49  | 71 | 20 | 29 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Income earning job (Household) before TB treatment |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 236 | 74 | 84 | 26 | <0.001 | 4.214  | (2.526 - 7.032) | <0.001 | 3.428 (1.900 - 6.186) |
| No                                               | 32  | 40 | 48 | 60 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Single Income at present                         |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 214 | 72 | 84 | 28 | 0.001  | 2.365  | (1.425 - 3.600) | 0.045  | 1.751 (1.011 - 3.032) |
| No                                               | 54  | 53 | 48 | 47 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Monthly Income Before TB                         |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| ≤Rs. 10,000                                      | 87  | 100| 0  | 0  | 0.996  | 3634   |              |          |              |
| Rs. 11,000 - Rs. 20,000                          | 146 | 69 | 73 | 33 | <0.001 | 4.500  | (2.156 - 9.392) |          |              |
| Rs. 21,000 - Rs. 30,000                          | 23  | 42 | 32 | 58 | 0.276  | 1.617  | (0.680 - 3.844) |          |              |
| >Rs. 30,000                                      | 12  | 31 | 27 | 69 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Highest income earner before TB                  |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 80  | 63 | 46 | 37 | 0.312  | 0.796  | (0.511 - 1.240) |          |              |
| No                                               | 188 | 69 | 86 | 31 |         |         |              |          |              |
| Free Diagnostic Tests                             |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 139 | 66 | 71 | 34 |         |         |              |          |              |
| No                                               | 129 | 68 | 61 | 32 | 0.717  | 1.080  | (0.711 - 1.640) |          |              |
| Free TB Drugs                                    |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 241 | 69 | 107| 31 |         |         |              |          |              |
| No                                               | 27  | 52 | 25 | 48 | 0.015  | 0.480  | (0.266 - 0.865) | 0.999  | 0 (0…       |
| Follow up visits at current health facility       | 268 | 67 | 132| 33 | <0.001 | 1.324  | (1.212 - 1.447) | <0.001 | 1.352 (1.223 - 1.494) |
| Symptom Relieving Drugs                          |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 225 | 67 | 110| 33 | 0.874  | 1.047  | (0.597 - 1.836) |          |              |
| No                                               | 43  | 66 | 22 | 34 |         |         |              |          |              |
| TB Infection Transfer between Family             |     |   |    |   |         |         |              |          |              |
| Yes                                              | 64  | 68 | 30 | 32 | 0.798  | 1.067  | (0.651 - 1.749) |          |              |
| No                                               | 204 | 67 | 102| 33 |         |         |              |          |              |
Continued

|                  | Yes | No  | 95% CI   |
|------------------|-----|-----|----------|
| **Having Health Insurance** |     |     |          |
| Yes              | 43  | 67  | 21       |
| No               | 225 | 67  | 111      |
| **Gov. Treatment Allowance** |     |     |          |
| Yes              | 6   | 67  | 3        |
| No               | 262 | 67  | 129      |
| **Paid Sick Leaves** |     |     |          |
| Yes              | 16  | 49  | 17       |
| No               | 252 | 69  | 115      |
| **Expenditure on diagnostic tests** |     |     |          |
| <Rs. 5,000       | 56  | 54  | 47       |
| ≥Rs. 5,000       | 76  | 84  | 15       |
| free             | 136 | 66  | 70       |
| **Monthly expenditure on TB drugs** |     |     |          |
| <Rs. 5,000       | 22  | 50  | 22       |
| ≥Rs. 5,000       | 8   | 73  | 3        |
| free             | 238 | 69  | 107      |
| **Monthly expenditure on special diet** |     |     |          |
| <Rs. 5,000       | 189 | 61  | 119      |
| Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,000 | 53  | 83  | 11       |
| Rs. 8,000 - Rs. 10,000 | 17  | 94  | 1        |
| >Rs. 10,000      | 8   | 80  | 2        |
| **Job Loss**     |     |     |          |
| Yes              | 58  | 85  | 10       |
| No               | 209 | 63  | 123      |

**Figure 5.** Distribution of TB cases facing catastrophic cost due to employment loss.
The catastrophic costs faced by informal workers were higher due to daily income loss for the days they were unable to work due to disease or treatment. Similarly, patients or their accompanying guardians who had to go for more follow-up visits to health facility were 1.35 times more likely to be affected by catastrophic costs than those who had fewer follow-up visits due to travel and accommodation costs. A large number of patients from neighboring areas visit Islamabad and Rawalpindi due to the availability of better health care facilities in these cities.

Moreover, households were more likely to be affected when there was a single source of income. Nonetheless, the most important contribution to catastrophic costs was job loss after diagnosis. Our results show that when the patient lost their job due to TB, they lost a major proportion of their income. This indicates the complex dynamics of the interplay between the non-availability of paid sick leave and a high number of follow-up visits, which adversely affect the employment status of the patient or their guardian, with job loss as an ultimate consequence. Thus, job loss becomes the main driver of total catastrophic costs. Our results coincide with studies from Indonesia, which shares a TB burden similar to that of Pakistan, with income and job loss as the main factors contributing to catastrophic costs despite the presence of universal health coverage (UHC) [10] [31].

As per the results of our study, more than half of our sample was facing the dilemma of catastrophic total costs. This indicates that providing free TB drugs covers only a small portion of one of the three pillars of total catastrophic costs; therefore, it is highly overoptimistic to assume that this alone will play a significant role in minimizing said costs. This study highlights how seriously income loss impacts the patient or the patient’s guardian, making it an important factor to be considered while formulating national policies in line with the milestones set by the WHO End-TB Strategy [32]. Research suggests that catastrophic costs pose barriers to achieving consistent treatment, thereby causing adherence problems, which leads to poor disease outcomes [33]. The fact that TB is a disease of the poor means there is usually a single source of income in the affected household, in which case job loss has an aggravating impact on not only the patient but also the patient’s entire household.

Our findings suggest that the costs of TB drugs and symptom-relieving drugs did not pose any significant financial burden on the patients. These findings are consistent with findings from other countries [34] [35].

There are several limitations to our study. As this study was conducted in government hospitals in only two cities, there is a possible underestimation of the catastrophic costs faced by patients and their households. TB-affected households residing in smaller cities, having lower incomes, and having less formal jobs would be affected more. In addition, patients visiting private health care centers would face more out-of-pocket expenditures than patients being treated at public sector hospitals. Another factor contributing to the underestimation of catastrophic costs is the fact that patients who may have dropped out
during the treatment phase were not included in the study. The inclusion of MDR-TB patients would also have given us a better idea of the financial catastrophe faced by poor patients. Therefore, although this study can be generalized to two study cities and the neighboring areas, patients from other parts of the country could have different characteristics that require further investigation. Nonetheless, it is fair to assume that the catastrophic costs faced by TB households would only be higher if a national survey was conducted.

Through this study, we aim to provide policy makers with a basis for understanding the impact of catastrophic costs and how TB treatment must go beyond the provision of free drugs in order to reduce disease impact. This is the first study to be conducted in Pakistan to assess the factors contributing to catastrophic costs for TB care in the country, and it provides baseline data about the catastrophic costs that TB-affected households face in Rawalpindi and Islamabad. The primary implication is to strongly recommend that government heads and policy makers introduce fine-tuned healthcare interventions designed to provide social protections to patients and their guardians that go beyond providing free TB drugs, diagnostic tests, and nutritional supplements. This would also include travel and accommodation vouchers for patients visiting healthcare facilities in other cities. These interventions must also alleviate the stigma associated with the disease, which not only plays an important role in income loss but is the driving force behind social exclusion. Furthermore, food insecurity and the factors leading to coping strategies such as taking loans from relatives, selling household items and working overtime, must be addressed as well. It is however, pertinent to understand that one-time cash payment for these factors would not reduce the catastrophic cost to zero percent, the goal set in the WHO End TB Strategy. Therefore, one strategy would be to provide financial support which covers, if not fully, but a part of all these determinants combined. The government can play a pivotal role in mitigating these factors for patients in order to adhere to both the WHO End TB Strategy and the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) of having zero TB households facing catastrophic costs by the years 2035 and 2030, respectively through financial support of those households in particular which incur catastrophic cost, rather than all the TB-affected households.

5. Conclusion

Once patients from low socio-economic class undergo treatment for TB, their risk of facing financial deprivation becomes even more apparent. This results in poor treatment adherence and can therefore worsen the treatment outcomes. These findings suggest an urgent need to provide interventions for patients and their households in order to mitigate the financial catastrophe and improve TB treatment outcomes.
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