RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain contributes to the response to multiple acidic activators in vitro
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The largest subunit of RNA polymerase II contains a unique carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) that consists of repeats of the heptapeptide YSPTSPS. RNA polymerase II CTD truncation mutations affect the ability to induce transcription of a subset of yeast genes in vivo, and the lack of response to induction maps to the upstream activating sequences of these genes. Here, we report that progressive truncation of the yeast RNA polymerase II CTD causes progressive loss of trans-activator-dependent transcription in nuclear extracts but has little effect on elongation or termination. Specific transcription, which is reduced by up to 50-fold in these assays, can be restored in the defective nuclear extracts by adding purified wild-type RNA polymerase II. The defects in factor-dependent transcription are observed with templates that are assembled into nucleosomes as well as with templates that are not so assembled. Defects in factor-independent transcription are also observed, but these are not as profound as those observed in the presence of trans-activators. These results indicate that the RNA polymerase II CTD functions during transcription initiation and is required for normal levels of activated transcription in vitro.
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were found to inhibit transcription initiation in crude extracts at both the adenovirus 2 major late and the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) promoters. Although purified mammalian RNA polymerase II could restore transcription from both of these promoters, RNA polymerase II lacking the CTD could initiate transcription only from the adenovirus promoter (Thompson et al. 1989). Extension of these experiments has revealed that approximately half of the mammalian promoters tested could not be transcribed by RNA polymerase II lacking the CTD but could be transcribed by RNA polymerase II containing the CTD. These in vitro experiments, like the in vivo experiments in yeast, suggest that the contribution of the CTD differs substantially with different genes.

To better understand the role of RNA polymerase II CTD in transcription, we investigated the ability of yeast nuclear extracts containing a spectrum of CTD truncation mutations to initiate, elongate, and terminate RNAs using specific DNA templates. Our results demonstrate that progressive RNA polymerase II CTD truncation progressively and substantially reduces selective initiation at two promoters dependent on acidic activating factors but does not significantly alter elongation or termination.

Results

CTD truncation reduces factor-dependent transcription

The effect of CTD truncation on promoter-dependent transcription in vitro was assayed using nuclear extracts from wild-type yeast cells and from cells containing a series of CTD partial deletion mutations (Fig. 1A). By using a template containing a hybrid promoter consisting of a GAL4-binding site and a CYC1 TATA element, pGAL4CG− (Fig. 1B), the levels of GAL4–VP16-stimulated RNA polymerase II transcripts were measured. The amount of specific transcript produced in the nuclear extracts dropped substantially with reduced CTD length (Fig. 1C). When CTD lengths were reduced to 17, 14, 13, and 11 repeats, the levels of specific transcript produced in the extracts were 58%, 8%, 5%, and 2%, respectively, of that in the wild-type extract. Template topology did not influence this result; the levels of transcripts were similarly reduced with linear or circular template DNA (not shown). Thus, progressive truncation of the RNA polymerase II CTD results in a substantial and progressive loss in GAL4–VP16-dependent transcripts from the GAL4–CYC1 hybrid promoter.

The effect of CTD truncation on transcription of a second template, pCZ3GAL, was investigated to confirm these results and to ensure that they are not the result of artifacts introduced through the presence of the G− region in the pGAL4CG− template. This template contains a hybrid CYC1 promoter with three GAL4-binding sites and a CYC1 TATA, but lacks the G− region (Chasman et al. 1989). The results of this experiment were identical to those obtained with the other hybrid promoter template: Primer extension analysis revealed that progressive CTD truncation caused a progressive and significant decrease in GAL4–VP16 dependent transcripts (data not shown).

Figure 1. Progressive truncation of the RNA polymerase II CTD causes progressive loss of GAL4–VP16-stimulated transcription. (A) The number of carboxy-terminal repeats in each of the yeast strains is shown diagrammatically. Strain L14 contains an RPB1 gene with the wild-type 27 heptapeptide repeats, V7 has 17/4 repeat, V20 has 14/5/7 repeats, V17 has 13/4/7 repeats, and C6 has 11/3/7 repeats. For simplicity, we refer to the mutants in the text by the number of complete repeats in their CTDs. (B) The DNA template pGAL4CG− contains a yeast CYC1 promoter lacking its normal UAS but containing a 17-bp GAL4-binding site upstream of the CYC1 major TATA box; the 377 bp downstream of the CYC1 promoter lacks G residues (the G− region) on the sense strand (Lue et al. 1989). Transcription from this hybrid CYC1 promoter is initiated within the G− region and results in the synthesis of RNA species which, after RNase T1 treatment, are ~350 and 375 nucleotides long. Supercoiled template was used in the transcription reactions. (C) GAL4–VP16 protein-dependent transcription was performed in 25-μl reactions containing 0.25 μg of template DNA (0.13 pmole), 0.15 μg of GAL4–VP16 protein (~4 pmol), and 125 μg of nuclear extract protein containing RNA polymerase II CTD truncations. The nuclear extract concentration was in the linear range for selective transcription. The GAL4–VP16 concentration of 6 μg/ml was chosen because transcription activities reached maximal levels in all five nuclear extracts tested at this concentration. Purified wild-type yeast RNA polymerase II (100 ng) was added to the indicated reactions. The lane labeled − CTD Repeats shows the result obtained in the absence of nuclear extract. Labeled MspI DNA fragments of pBR322 were used as size markers. (D) RPB2 levels in each extract were approximated by Western blot analysis. Ten micrograms of each nuclear extract was subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with an anti-RPB2 polyclonal antibody (Buhler et al. 1980).
We investigated the levels of RNA polymerase II in the nuclear extracts used here by Western blot analysis. Because essentially all of the RNA polymerase II subunits that accumulate in cells are assembled into the complete enzyme, the levels of any one of the subunits reflect the levels of the whole enzyme (Kolodziej et al. 1990, 1991). The nuclear extracts used in these studies had similar amounts of intact RPB2, the second largest subunit (Fig. 1D). We also failed to detect differences in RNA polymerase II concentration among wild-type and CTD truncation mutant cells in several previous experiments (Nonet et al. 1987; Kolodziej 1991). Thus, CTD truncation does not affect RNA polymerase II concentration, indicating that the progressive loss in selective transcription observed with CTD truncation does not reflect reduced enzyme concentration.

**Restoration of selective transcription**

We investigated whether the defects in selective transcription observed in vitro are the result of a defect in RNA polymerase itself or the absence of other components essential for transcriptional activity. The addition of wild-type RNA polymerase to the nuclear extract containing RNA polymerase II with an 11-repeat CTD restored specific transcription to nearly wild-type levels (Fig. 1C). Thus, nuclear extracts from the CTD mutant cells are fully capable of supporting essentially normal levels of transcription in the presence of purified wild-type RNA polymerase II, suggesting that the truncated CTD in the mutant extracts is directly responsible for defects in selective transcription.

The addition of nuclear components other than RNA polymerase II did not remedy the selective transcription defect exhibited by extracts of CTD truncation mutants. RNA polymerase II can be inactivated in heat-treated nuclear extracts prepared from yeast rpb1-1 cells; heat-treated rpb1-1 extracts retain all of the components necessary for selective transcription when wild-type RNA polymerase II is added (Edwards et al. 1990). When such an rpb1-1 heat-treated extract was added to extracts made from a CTD truncation mutant [11 repeats], no increase in selective transcription was observed (data not shown).

**Reduced responses to a second acidic activator**

To determine whether CTD truncation reduces the ability of RNA polymerase II to respond to acidic activators other than GAL4–VP16, we investigated the ability of nuclear extracts from a spectrum of CTD mutants to transcribe DNA containing GCN4-binding sites and a CYC1 TATA element in the presence of purified GCN4. The effect of CTD truncation on GCN4-dependent transcription was identical to that found with GAL4–VP16-dependent transcription (Fig. 2). These in vitro transcription experiments focus on the ability of the transcription apparatus to respond to single activating proteins. The progressive loss of GAL4–VP16 and GCN4-dependent in vitro transcripts that occurs with CTD truncation is significantly greater than the reduction in GAL10 and HIS4 transcripts that has been described in vivo (Scafe et al. 1990). It is possible that the in vitro assay magnifies the defects associated with CTD truncation. However, it is also possible that the quantitatively greater loss of transcripts observed in vitro with progressive CTD truncation is the result of the difference in templates and factors employed in the in vitro and in vivo assays. The templates used in the in vitro assays described here were designed to investigate the response to the single activators GAL4–VP16 and GCN4. GAL4–VP16 was used in the in vitro assay, whereas GAL4 was the activator present in the in vivo assay (Scafe et al. 1990). Although only the GCN4-binding site was present in the template used in the in vitro assays described here, the entire HIS4 UAS was present in the template used in vivo (Scafe et al. 1990), and multiple factors can bind to this sequence (Arndt et al. 1987).

**CTD contribution seems limited to initiation**

The effect of RNA polymerase II CTD truncation on elongation was investigated in a promoter-independent transcription assay (Fig. 3). The promoter-independent RNA synthesis activities of each of the nuclear extracts was measured using heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA as template; the same extracts used in the selective transcription assays were used in this assay of elongation. RNA polymerase II activities in wild-type and in CTD mutant extracts did not differ by >20% in this assay. Together with evidence that similar amounts of RNA polymerase II protein are found in each of the nuclear extracts, these results indicate that the promoter-independent specific activity of CTD mutant enzymes is...
transcription would be visible on a more biologically relevant template, that is, one assembled into nucleosomes in vitro. Histones, nucleosome assembly factors, nuclear extracts, GAL4–VP16, and the same template DNA used in the experiment shown in Figure 1 were incubated together for 1 hr. During this incubation, the formation of the transcription initiation complex is in competition with nucleosomes for occupancy of the promoter. The reaction conditions were optimized to provide maximum assembly of the templates into nucleosomes. Subsequent to this incubation, transcription was initiated by the addition of nucleoside triphosphates.

**Figure 3.** CTD truncation does not significantly alter promoter-independent transcription. Denatured salmon sperm DNA was used as template in an in vitro transcription reaction designed to measure nonspecific RNA synthesis by nuclear extracts containing RNA polymerase II with wild-type and truncated CTDs. Reactions were carried out in the presence (hatched bars) and absence (shaded bars) of 50 μg/ml of α-amanitin. The results are expressed as percent of wild-type activity.

Comparable to the wild type (~0.35 nmole of UMP incorporated in 10 min/mg of extract protein). Because CTD truncation does not significantly affect promoter-independent RNA synthesis in vitro, we infer that CTD truncation does not affect elongation of the RNA transcript.

An RNA polymerase II transcription termination assay was used to assess the ability of RNA polymerase II CTD truncation mutants to recognize termination signals. RNA polymerase II was partially purified from wild-type cells and from the CTD truncation mutant C6, which retains 11 heptapeptide repeats. The termination assay involves RNA elongation on a DNA template containing a 3' oligo(dC) extension and multiple sites at which wild-type mammalian and yeast RNA polymerase II terminate RNA synthesis in vitro [Reines et al. 1987; Edwards et al. 1991]. The results obtained with wild-type and CTD mutant RNA polymerases were similar in this assay [Fig. 4]. Three major α-amanitin-sensitive transcripts were observed; the largest is a runoff transcript, and the two smaller species are produced by termination or elongation arrest at two sites, TII and TII. The fraction of transcripts that stop at these two sites was identical with wild-type and CTD mutant RNA polymerases; ~40% of total transcripts stop at TII, and 20% stop at TII. Moreover, in time-course experiments with 3' oligo(dC)-tailed templates, the wild-type and mutant RNA polymerases had indistinguishable elongation rates (data not shown). The absence of detectable defects in elongation or termination in these experiments suggests that the contribution of the CTD is limited to initiation.

**Transcription of nucleosome-assembled templates**

We wished to determine whether the effects of RNA polymerase II CTD truncation on GAL4–VP16-induced transcription would be visible on a more biologically relevant template, that is, one assembled into nucleosomes in vitro. Histones, nucleosome assembly factors, nuclear extracts, GAL4–VP16, and the same template DNA used in the experiment shown in Figure 1 were incubated together for 1 hr. During this incubation, the formation of the transcription initiation complex is in competition with nucleosomes for occupancy of the promoter. The reaction conditions were optimized to provide maximum assembly of the templates into nucleosomes. Subsequent to this incubation, transcription was initiated by the addition of nucleoside triphosphates.

**Figure 4.** CTD truncation does not affect transcription termination in vitro. (A) Template pCpTK243B contains DNA from the human histone H3.3 gene, which has three sites (TII, TII, and TII) at which termination occurs in both yeast and mammalian transcription systems in vitro [Reines et al. 1987; Edwards et al. 1991]. (B) Wild-type and CTD truncation mutant RNA polymerase II enzymes were partially purified from nuclear extracts using heparin-Sepharose chromatography [Edwards et al. 1990] and used to transcribe pCpTK243B in the presence or absence of α-amanitin (50 μg/ml). RNA polymerase initiates at the single-stranded oligo(dC) end of the template and synthesizes transcripts of 326 nucleotides (runoff), 197 nucleotides (TII), 182 nucleotides (TII), and 138 nucleotides (TII) [27]. Similar levels of wild-type and mutant RNA polymerase II activity, as measured with the poly(C) assay, were added to the termination assay, but wild-type RNA polymerase II appears to be slightly less active than the mutant enzyme in this assay. Nonetheless, both wild-type and mutant RNA polymerase II enzymes stop ~40% of total transcripts at TII and 20% at TII.
CTD truncation has a significant effect on GAL4-VP16-dependent transcription of nucleosome-assembled template DNA (Fig. 5). As observed in previous experiments, progressive CTD truncation progressively reduces the levels of GAL4-VP16-dependent transcripts (to 53% of wild-type levels with 17 repeats, and to 8% with 13 repeats) in the absence of assembled histones. Under the conditions used in this experiment and in the absence of histones, an ~400-nucleotide transcript is observed in addition to the 350- and 375-nucleotide transcripts. This larger transcript has been observed by others (Lue et al. 1989; Woontner and Jaehning 1990). During nucleosome assembly and in the presence of wild-type RNA polymerase and GAL4-VP16, the levels of the 350- and 375-nucleotide transcipts are reduced approximately sixfold relative to the levels obtained in the absence of nucleosomes, and the 400-nucleotide transcript is not observed. In extracts containing RNA polymerase II with a CTD of 17 repeats, the level of specific transcripts is reduced to 26% of those obtained with wild-type enzyme. In extracts containing RNA polymerase II with a CTD of 14 repeats, those levels are reduced to 5% (not shown). No specific transcripts could be detected when RNA polymerase II contained only 13 or 11 heptapeptide repeats. Thus, CTD truncation results in substantially diminished factor-dependent transcription both from templates that have been assembled into nucleosomes and those that have not been so assembled.

The extent of nucleosome assembly was investigated to ensure that the results of the transcription assays are not due to differences in the assembly reactions. Nucleosome assembly was assayed by determining the degree of template supercoiling in the various assembly reactions used for transcription (Fig. 5B). Significant differences in the distribution of negative supercoiled species were not observed from one extract to another. There were, on average, 200–300 bp per nucleosome, as measured by the degree of positive supercoiling in the presence of chloroquine.

**Reduction of factor-independent transcription**

The effects of CTD truncation on factor-independent transcription could be analyzed in reactions that lacked nucleosomes; factor-independent transcription (i.e., basal transcription) was almost negligible with the nucleosome-assembled template, consistent with previous observations (Workman et al. 1991). In repeated experiments, we found that progressive CTD truncation does reduce the levels of factor-independent transcription, albeit to less of an extent than it reduces the levels of GAL4-VP16-dependent transcripts (Fig. 6).
vated transcription is more sensitive to CTD truncation than factor-independent transcription under these conditions. Factor-independent transcription was also reduced with CTD truncation under conditions used in previous experiments [i.e., under conditions used in the experiment shown in Fig. 1], but the levels of factor-independent transcripts were too low to accurately quantify the extent of transcript loss with progressive truncation.

**Discussion**

We have investigated the function of the RNA polymerase II CTD by studying the effect of progressive CTD truncation on transcription initiation, elongation, and termination in vitro. We find that progressive truncation of the yeast RNA polymerase II CTD causes progressive loss of trans-activator-dependent transcription but has little effect on elongation or termination. RNA polymerase II is directly responsible for these defects, as specific transcription can be restored to a considerable degree in the defective nuclear extracts by adding purified wild-type enzyme. The reduced ability of RNA polymerase II CTD truncation mutants to respond to transcription activators was essentially identical for GAL4–VP16 and GCN4-stimulated transcription. These results indicate that the CTD contributes to the response of the transcription apparatus to activation signals from specific trans-activating proteins.

**CTD contribution to transcription initiation**

Experiments described here and elsewhere have implicated the CTD in transcription initiation at some promoters but have failed to detect any effect of CTD mutations on elongation or termination. The adverse effects of yeast RNA polymerase II CTD truncation in vivo are dependent on DNA upstream rather than downstream of the site of initiation [Scafe et al. 1990; Peterson et al. 1991]. CTD-specific monoclonal antibodies that inhibit initiation in mammalian transcription reactions in vitro do not inhibit elongation [Laybourn and Dahmus 1989, 1990; Moyle et al. 1989; Thompson et al. 1989]. We did not observe any defect in the ability of CTD mutant RNA polymerase II to elongate or terminate transcription in the assays described here.

Our results indicate that the CTD is involved in a rate-limiting step in the transcription initiation process that is regulated by GAL4–VP16. Several recent mechanistic studies argue that GAL4–VP16 may function by increasing the ability of the core transcription complex to compete with chromatin components for occupancy of the promoter [Croston et al. 1991; Workman et al. 1991]. This might be accomplished by a direct interaction between GAL4–VP16 and either TFII B or TFII D [Stringer et al. 1990; Lin and Green 1991]. Formation of a fully functional core complex requires association of RNA polymerase II, which interacts with the TFII B–TFIID complex that forms over the initiation region [Reinberg and Roeder 1987; Van Dyke et al. 1988; Burotowski et al. 1989]. We propose that the CTD plays an important role in the association of RNA polymerase with the core complex at certain promoters. Thus, truncation of the CTD would impair the ability of RNA polymerase II to form a fully functional core complex and would alter the effect that upstream activators have on the rate of formation of such a complex.

**Multiple factors affect CTD function**

By isolating dominant mutations that suppress the cold sensitivity of strains whose RNA polymerase CTDs contain 10 or 11 intact repeats, several genes have been identified that influence CTD function [Nonet and Young 1989; A. Koleske, C. Thompson and R. Young, unpubl.]. The best characterized of these is SRB2. The suppressing allelic of this gene, SRB2-1, is able to suppress the temperature sensitivity, cold sensitivity, and inositol auxotrophy of cells that contain CTDs with 10–12 repeats. In addition, SRB2-1 can rescue the lethal phenotype of a cell that has a CTD of only nine repeats. In contrast, deletion of the SRB2 gene causes cells with wild-type CTDs to become temperature sensitive, cold sensitive, and inositol auxotrophs, and these cells are unable to survive with CTDs containing 17 or fewer repeats. Thus, the SRB2 gene encodes a positive regulator of CTD function.

The removal of certain negative regulators from the cell can partially restore the ability of yeast RNA polymerase II with short CTDs to respond to activation signals at specific promoters in vivo [Peterson et al. 1991]. The negative regulatory factor SIN1 represses transcription of the yeast HO and INO1 genes. Deletion of the SIN1 gene partially restores the ability of RNA polymerase II CTD truncation mutants to respond to induction at the INO1 promoter and reduces the severity of the cold-sensitive phenotype of cells containing the RNA polymerase mutation.

Approximately half of the RNA polymerase molecules in rapidly growing yeast cells have a highly phosphorylated CTD [Kolodzie et al. 1990]. Protein kinases have been isolated from yeast [Lee and Greenleaf 1989] and from mammalian cells [Cisek and Corden 1989] that can phosphorylate the CTD in vitro. The properties of the yeast enzyme indicate that it is distinct from previously described protein kinases and that its activity is highly cooperative or processive. Although the functional significance of phosphorylation is not yet clear, it has been suggested that CTD phosphorylation is involved in regulating the transition from initiation to elongation [Laybourn and Dahmus 1989, 1990; Dahmus and Dynan 1991].

It seems reasonable that the sensitivity of various promoters to CTD truncation in vivo is influenced by both positive and negative regulatory proteins. The effects might vary with the strength and type of the upstream activator, as there is ample precedent for differential function of upstream transcriptional activators [Rougvie and Lis 1988; Tasset et al. 1990, Taylor and Kingston 1990]. Certain upstream factors might regulate forma-
tion of the core complex in a manner sensitive to CTD truncation while others might not. Moreover, the presence or absence of negative regulators such as SIN1 can clearly influence CTD dependence (Peterson et al. 1991). The CTD-dependent transcription system described here should facilitate further study of the role of specific factors in CTD function.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains used for preparing nuclear extracts and their genotypes are listed in Table 1 [Nonet et al. 1987]. L14 contains a wild-type RPBI gene encoding a CTD of 27 complete heptapeptide repeats, V7 has 17 4/7 repeats, V20 has 14 5/7 repeats, V17 has 13 4/7 repeats, and C6 has 11 3/7 repeats. Fractions indicate the number of consensus amino acid residues that are retained in the last heptapeptide repeat.

Plasmids

Plasmid pGAL4CG- was kindly provided by Dr. R. Kornberg (Lue et al. 1989). Plasmid pGCN4CG- was constructed by inserting two GCN4-binding sites with oligonucleotides, convergingly oriented, into pGAL4CG- between the inducible GAL4-VP16 gene, was obtained from Dr. M. Carey.

RNA polymerase II CTD and initiation

Nuclear extracts

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described by Lue et al. (1990), with a few modifications. For spheroplasting, the cell pellet was resuspended in 100 ml of YPD, 1 m sorbitol, prior to Zymolase digestion. After removing Zymolase by washing, the spheroplasts were incubated for 60 min in YPD, 1 m sorbitol. The extracts had final protein concentrations of ~40 mg/ml.

Selective in vitro transcription

The transcription reactions contained 50 mm HEPES-KOH (pH 7.3), 100 mm potassium glutamate, 15 mm MgOAc, 2.5 mm dithiothreitol, 5 mm ECTA, 4 mm phosphoenolpyruvate, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mm each of ATP and CTP, 5 µm [α-32P]UTP (4000 cpm/pmole), and 0.5 units of Inhibit-ACE [5 Prime-3 Prime, Inc.]. The total reaction volume (25 µl) contained 0.25 µg of template DNA (0.15 pmole), either 0.15 µg of GAL4-VP16 protein (~4 pmoles) or 0.16 µg of GCN4 protein (5 pmoles), and 125 µg of nuclear extract protein. A GAL4-VP16 concentration of 6 µg/ml was chosen, because at this concentration, transcription activities reached maximal levels in all five nuclear extracts tested. The reaction mixture was incubated for 20 min at 24°C. [Under these reaction conditions, transcriptional activity reaches its maximal level in 20 min.] To the transcription reaction was added 100 µl of 10 mm Tris HCl (pH 7.6), 300 mm NaCl, and 5 mm EDTA containing 40 units of RNase T1, and the RNase digestion was incubated for 10 min at 24°C. This solution was added 50 µl of 10 mm TrisCl (pH 7.6), 300 mm NaCl, and 5 mm EDTA containing 100 µg of proteinase K and 3% SDS, and the mixture was incubated for 20 min at 30°C. After phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, the transcription products were fractionated on a 4% polyacrylamide–7 M urea gel. The gel was dried and autoradiographed on Kodak XRP-1 film for 6 hr with an intensifying screen unless indicated otherwise. The methods and reagents used in these experiments are similar to those described by Lue et al. (1990) and Woontner and Jaehning (1990). To quantitate the levels of transcripts, gel slices containing RNA were excised from the polyacrylamide–urea gels following autoradiography, and the amounts of labeled RNA were determined by scintillation counting. All of the transcription experiments were performed at least twice to ensure reproducibility.

Nonselective in vitro transcription

RNA polymerase II activity in nuclear extracts containing wild-type or CTD truncation mutant enzyme was assayed in 25-µl reactions containing 50 mm Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 6 mm MnCl2, 2.5 mm dithiothreitol, 0.5 mm each of ATP, CTP, and GTP, 20 µm [5,6-3H]UTP (2000 cpm/pmole), 25 µg of heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA (90°C, 10 min), and 40 µg of nuclear extract protein. Transcription reactions were performed in the presence and absence of 50 µg/ml of α-amanitin. The methods and reagents used in this experiment are similar to those described by Valenzuela et al. [1978]. The concentration of extract proteins (~1.6 mg/ml) is in the linear range for transcriptional activity as tested in a protein titration experiment. After incubating at 24°C for 20 min, the entire reaction was spotted onto a filter disk (no. 30 glass microfiber filter), which was prespotted with 100 µl of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 100 mm sodium pyrophosphate. The filter was washed three times with 5 ml of 5% TCA and 50 mm sodium pyrophosphate, and once with 5 ml of 95% ethanol, by using a Millipore apparatus. The amount of label incorporated into RNA was determined using a scintilla-

Table 1. Yeast strain list

| Name | Genotype |
|------|----------|
| L14  | MATa his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 rpb1Δ187::HIS3 ura3-52 [pL14[LEU2 rpb1Δ100]] |
| V7   | MATa his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 rpb1Δ187::HIS3 ura3-52 [pV7[LEU2 rpb1Δ111]] |
| V20  | MATa his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 rpb1Δ187::HIS3 ura3-52 [pV20[LEU2 rpb1Δ118]] |
| V17  | MATa his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 rpb1Δ187::HIS3 ura3-52 [pV17[LEU2 rpb1Δ115]] |
| C6   | MATa his3Δ200 leu2-3 leu2-112 rpb1Δ187::HIS3 ura3-52 [pC6[LEU2 rpb1Δ104]] |
tion counter. This experiment was performed twice to ensure reproducibility.

Partial purification of RNA polymerase by heparin-Sepharose column

The nuclear extracts could not be used directly in the transcription termination assay, apparently as a result of interference by oligo(dC)-binding proteins in nuclear extracts (Dr. C. Kane, pers. comm.). To remedy this problem, both wild-type RNA polymerase II and a CTD mutant RNA polymerase II (from C6 cells) were partially purified by a heparin-Sepharose CL-6B column (1.5 × A3 cm; Pharmacia), as described by Edwards et al. (1990). Proteins from nuclear extracts prepared from 1 liter of cell culture were precipitated with ammonium sulfate (69% saturation) from buffer C (Lue et al. 1990). The pellets were dissolved in buffer A [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 10 mM NaF, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10% glycerol, 2 mM benzamidine, 2 μM pepstatin A, 0.6 μM leupeptin, 2 μg/ml of N-tosyl-l-lysine chloromethyl ketone, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride] and dialyzed against buffer A for 2 hr before application to a heparin-Sepharose column. The non-specific transcriptional activity of the partially purified RNA polymerases was measured with a poly(rC) assay (Ruet et al. 1978). A unit of activity is defined as 1 nmole of GMP incorporated into acid-insoluble RNA per minute. The enzyme partially purified from L14 cells had a specific activity of 0.23 U/mg, and that from C6 cells had a specific activity of 1.0 U/mg. The partially purified wild-type RNA polymerase II preparation contained more RNA polymerase I and III activity than the mutant RNA polymerase II preparation, as measured by α-amanitin sensitivity in both the poly(rC) assay and the transcription termination assay.

Transcription termination assay

Transcription was assayed by using as template pCpTK243B, containing a single-stranded extension on the 3' end, according to Edwards et al. (1991). The plasmid DNA was cleaved with Smal, extended at the 3' ends with dCTP and terminal transferase (Ratliff, Inc.), and digested with SacI and MluI to produce template DNA with one 3' oligo(dC) terminus. RNA polymerase initiates at the single-stranded oligo(dC) end of the DNA fragment and synthesizes transcripts of 326 (runoff), 197 (Tia), 182 (Tib), and 138 nucleotides (TII). The buffer contained 50 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.0), 100 mM ammonium acetate, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 5% glycerol, 6 mM spermidine, 1 mM DTT, and 1.0 units of Inhibit-ACE (5 Prime–3 Prime, Inc.). DNA template (100 ng) and partially purified wild-type or CTD mutant RNA polymerase II (0.016 units) was incubated at 30°C in the presence or absence of α-amanitin (50 μg/ml) for 5 min. Transcription was initiated by the addition of [α-32P]CTP to 0.13 μM (800 Ci/mmmole), and ATP, GTP, and UTP to 0.8 mM in a total volume of 30 μl. After 1 min of incubation at 30°C, 10 volumes of chasing buffer (0.1 mM CTP, 100 μg/ml of heparin in reaction buffer) was added, and the incubation was allowed to continue for 1 min to permit completion of already initiated transcripts. The reaction was stopped by the addition of EDTA and tRNA to 10 mM and 200 μg/ml, respectively. The RNA products were analyzed on a 6% polyacrylamide–7 M urea gel and quantitated as described above. By taking aliquots at various time points after adding chasing buffer, we found that a single round of transcription is completed by 1 min. Further incubation did not change the patterns or the intensities of RNA bands. Similar levels of wild-type and mutant RNA polymerase II activity, as measured with the poly(rC) assay, were added to the termination assay, but the wild-type RNA polymerase II preparation appears to be slightly less active than the mutant preparation in termination assay. Because the partially purified wild-type RNA polymerase II preparation had a lower specific activity than the mutant enzyme, we suspect that the larger amount of protein added with the wild-type preparation may have been slightly inhibitory, given the sensitivity of this assay to contaminating protein from nuclear extracts.

Nucleosome assembly

Nucleosome assembly mixtures containing heat-treated Xenopus egg supernatants, histones, and topoisomerase I were prepared as described previously (Workman et al. 1990). Ten microliters of the nucleosome assembly mixture, with or without 400 ng of histones, was mixed with 200 ng of template DNA, 125 μg of nuclear extract, and 0.15 μg of GAL4–VP16 protein, where present, to a volume of 20 μl in selective transcription buffer (except the buffer contained 1.1 mM MgOAc2). Assembly reactions proceeded for 60 min at 30°C. Transcription of the nucleosome-assembled template was started by the addition of 5 μl of a solution containing nucleotides and other components necessary to bring final buffer conditions to those described above for the selective in vitro transcription assay. The extent of nucleosome assembly on template DNA was assayed using internally labeled plasmid DNA as described by Workman et al. (1991).
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