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Abstract

The objective of this review is to evaluate the effectiveness of upper airway surgery in adults with OSA verified on Drug Induced Sedation Endoscopy (DISE) and evaluated by change in AHI with minimum 3 month’s follow-up.

Introduction:

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is common among adults worldwide and is associated with an increased risk of cardiac and metabolic disease. However, the evidence of the different types of upper airway surgery to relieve OSA symptoms are sparse.

Inclusion criteria:

Inclusion criteria for this review were randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective studies case-control studies and cohort studies on one or a combination of surgeries on the upper airways in adults diagnosed with OSA and obstruction verified by DISE before surgery. AHI should be reported prior to and minimum 3 months after surgery by polysomnography or home sleep apnea test and a minimum of 40 participants published from year 2000 to December 2019. All surgeries in upper airways including soft tissue of the retropharyngeal space, velum, tonsils and base of tongue were included. Surgeries on cartilage and bone as septoplasty, turbinoplasty, mandibular advancement surgery, epiglottoplasty and tracheostomy were included plus hypoglossal nerve stimulation implant.

Exclusion criteria were reviews supplying no data, case reports and studies reporting treatment mandibular advancement devices or position trainer. Surgeries targeting other anatomical sites than upper airways with a known reduction in AHI as bariatric surgery were also excluded. Studies without pre-operative DISE were excluded. Publications in other language than English were excluded.

Methods:

Cochrane, PubMed, CINAHL and Embase were systematically searched on December 12th, 2019. Abstracts in languages other than English were deselected. Relevant studies were selected on their abstracts and full texts were obtained for critical appraisal. Relevant data were extracted for data synthesis. The reference list of all studies selected for critical appraisal was screened for additional studies.

Results (For Reviews ONLY):

Studies were excluded due to small sample size, lack of postoperative AHI and because DISE was not a part of preoperative evaluation. Ten studies were finally included for review. These could be divided into three segments, comprised by three studies for surgeries of the velum and oropharynx, four studies addressing the base of tongue (BOT) and three studies in multi-level surgery.

Velum and oropharynx surgery led to an AHI-decrease of 11.86, 95% CI (10.21; 13.51) event per hour. ESS was reduced 7.01 (5.99; 8.04). In BOT surgery AHI was reduced 19.31 (17.81;20.81) events/hour and ESS decreased with 7.03 (6.44; 7.63). Multilevel surgery reduced AHI with 28.65 (24.60, 32.69) events/hour and ESS with 8.55 (6.73; 10.38).

Conclusions:

Our review indicated that incorporating DISE in the preoperative evaluation of OSA patients, improved the selection of patients for specific upper airway surgeries, causing a better surgical outcome measured by a reduction in AHI and ESS. We found the literature to be primarily comprised of case series with few numbers of patients and a wide variety of approaches to pre-operative evaluation and post-operative follow up.

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common condition among adults worldwide 34% in men aged 30–70 years and 17% in women aged 30–70 years [1]. The negative effects of OSA such as increased risk of cardiac and metabolic disease are well known-documented[2]. Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is considered first line treatment in preventing upper airway collapse, improving sleep, and reducing daytime sleepiness**. However, two systematic literature reviews found significant low level of compliance reported as non-adherence levels of 34.3% to CPAP therapy[3] and as high as 29% to 83%[4]. Hence alternatives for CPAP and lifestyle changes are explored. Various treatments are used from lifestyle changes through sleep position treatment, oral mandibular device, bariatric surgery to different types of upper airway surgery to relieve symptoms of OSA or to increase compliance to CPAP.

Drug induced sedation endoscopy (DISE), originally described in 1991 by Croft and Pringle [5], is an interesting examination in the clinical evaluation of the individual anatomical abnormalities before upper airway surgery to reduce OSA. DISE has been validated to be relevant prior any sleep surgery [6–8]. This review investigated the evidence of different types of upper airway surgeries to relieve OSA symptoms when DISE was included in the preoperative evaluation in the planning of the possible surgical approaches.

A preliminary search of PROSPERO, MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and the JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports was conducted and no current or underway systematic reviews on the topic were identified. A systematic review regarding hypoglossal nerve stimulation was identified[9]. Two systematic reviews regarding transoral robotic surgery (TORS) was identified[10,11]. However, this review emphasizes on DISE as part of preoperative work up and it involves sleep related surgical principles of the upper airway with follow-up period of at least 3 months.
A Cochrane review on the effect of upper airway surgery for OSA measured by change in AHI from 2005 found a limited number of trials assessing diverse surgical techniques. The effects reported across the trials were inconsistent. The evidence from the small studies did not support the use of surgery in people with mild to moderate daytime sleepiness associated with sleep apnea[12].

Based on a protocol from 2017, an updated Cochrane Review on surgical treatment of obstructive sleep apnea is being constructed but it has not been published. This review seems to have a focus on change in AHI and in ESS but does not incorporate DISE prior to surgery [13].

The purpose of this review was to evaluate the effectiveness of upper airway surgery in adults with OSA verified on DISE and evaluated by change in AHI with a minimum of 3 months follow-up.

**Review question(s)**

The question of this review was: How is the effect of different types of upper airway surgery measured by change in AHI in adults with obstructive sleep apnea when Drug-induced Sedation Endoscopy is used for selecting the surgery?

**Inclusion criteria**

**Participants**

Inclusion criteria for this review are adult patients older than 18 years with diagnosed OSA either by polysomnography or by home sleep test. Follow-up time is 3 months or longer. Surgery is performed after DISE and the surgery should address the obstruction confirmed by DISE.

Exclusion criteria are case reports, reviews reporting no data and studies with less than 40 participants or studies reporting treatment with oral appliance therapy (OAT) / mandibular advancement devices (MAD), position trainer or bariatric surgery.

**Outcomes**

Primary outcomes in this review are changes in apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), defined by the number of apnea and hypopnea events per hour of sleep, before and minimum 3 months after surgery to evaluate surgical success and surgical response or success defined by Sher's criteria [14] defined as the reduction of AHI > 50% and to a value of less than 20 events per hour. Epworth Sleepiness scale (ESS) is used for measuring Patient related outcome.

Secondary outcomes such as oxygen desaturation index (ODI), nadir peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2), snoring scales, social and functional questionnaires, and other outcomes are described in the results.

**Types of studies**

This review considers both experimental and quasi-experimental study designs including randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, before and after studies and interrupted time-series studies. In addition, analytical observational studies including prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies and analytical cross-sectional studies are considered for inclusion. The systematic review includes English written randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective case-control studies, and cohort studies on one or a combination of surgeries on the upper airways in adults diagnosed with OSA and obstruction verified by DISE before surgery. Sample size was minimum 40 participants. AHI should be reported prior to and minimum 3 months after surgery by polysomnography or home sleep apnea test. Publications from January 1st, 2000 to December 11th, 2019 were included. Surgery of the upper airways, including soft tissue of the retropharyngeal space, velum, tonsils, epiglottis, and base of tongue are included. Septoplasty and turbinoplasty are also included as well as the novel implant techniques with hypoglossal nerve stimulation. Maxillomandibular advancement surgery and hyoid suspension surgery are also included in the review of the literature. This review excluded descriptive observational study designs including case series, individual case reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies.

**Methods**

The systematic review is conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology for systematic reviews of effectiveness evidence [15,16]

**Search strategy**

The electronic search strategy was designed and conducted by an experienced reference librarian based on input from the study investigators.

An initial limited search of MEDLINE and CINAHL was undertaken to identify articles on the topic. The text words contained in the titles and abstracts of relevant articles, and the index terms used to describe the articles were used to develop a full search strategy for Cochrane, MEDLINE, CINAHL and Embase. (see Appendix #). The search strategy, including all identified keywords and index terms, will be adapted for each included information source. The reference list of all studies selected for critical appraisal was screened for additional studies.
To identify eligible studies published in English, the electronic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL and COCHRANE) were searched for studies published from January 1st 2000 to December 11th 2019 cross referencing the key words obstructive sleep apnea, sleep apnea AND septoplasty, turbinoplasty, hyoid suspension, epiglottoplasty and tracheostomy, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), Laser-assisted Uvuloplasty (LAUP), palatal radiofrequency ablation (RFA), palatal implants, lateral expansion pharyngoplasty, expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty (ESP), Z-palatoplasty, tonsillectomy, tonsillotomy, adenoidectomy, RFA of tongue base, tongue base resection or reduction, mandibular advancement surgery, hypoglossal nerve stimulation (UAS).

Study selection

Following the search, all identified citations were collated and uploaded into Rayyan and duplicates were removed [17]. Titles and abstracts was then screened by two independent reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review.

Potentially relevant studies were retrieved in full and their citation details imported into the Joanna Briggs Institute System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI) (Joanna Briggs Institute, Adelaide, Australia) [18]

The full text of selected citations was assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria by two independent reviewers. Reasons for exclusion of full text studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria were noted and reported in the systematic review. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process were resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. The results of the search were reported in full in the final systematic review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram [19].

Assessment of methodological quality

Eligible studies were critically appraised by two independent reviewers at the study level. Authors of papers was contacted to request missing or additional data for clarification, where required. Any disagreements that arise was resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. Following critical appraisal, studies that do not meet a certain quality threshold were excluded. The included studies are reported in narrative form and in a table.

Data extraction

Data extracted from studies was included in the review by two independent reviewers using the standardized data extraction tool. The data extracted included specific details about the populations, study methods, interventions, and outcomes of significance to the review objective.

Data synthesis

The meta-analysis was performed in a statistical software Cran-R-4.0.3 using the package ‘metafor’. The estimates for change from pre to post-operative effect and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated using fixed effect model with inverse of the variance as weights. Since majority of the studies have not reported the results from which standard deviation for the mean change in AHI from pre to post can be calculated, zero correlation between the pre and post measurements were assumed and hence the reported 95% confidence intervals could be broader than their actual width.

The data was divided into three groups, each specific for the level of obstruction addressed, either velum/oropharynx (soft palate), base of tongue or multi-level surgery.

Soft palate surgery

Soft palate surgery aims to reduce and reconstruct the part of the soft palate which often collapses during obstructive sleep apnea. A procedure initially known as classical uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), first described by Fujita [19]. It involves the removal of both tonsils and the posterior soft palate and uvula, and the closure of the tonsillar pillars has evolved. Different techniques such as modified UPPP which involves removal of additional mucosa and submucosal adipose tissue [20], expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty (ESP) first described by Pang and Woodson [21] which includes the transection of the palatopharyngeal muscle, rotating the muscle superior-laterally to mobilize it and then suture it to the pterygomandibular raphe through a mucosal tunnel to create a broader widening of the pharyngeal space. Further techniques such as barbed wire stitch reposition [22], the use of radiofrequency ablation of the soft palate [23] or laser assisted uvulopalatoplasty [24] are also well known and used to treat retropharyngeal collapse of the upper airway. The use of implants to stiffen the soft palate is also used in different settings. A thorough description of these techniques is not within the scope of this paper. However, it is noticed that that some techniques are used in an out-patient clinical setup, others as full operations in general anesthesia and some techniques occurs in multi-level surgery, whereas some only occurs as single-step surgery.

Furthermore, some procedures are offered to patients with severe OSA where AHI is high, others in mild or moderate OSA. Clinical features such as comorbidity, BMI, tonsil size, the positioning of the soft palate, the narrowing of the pharyngeal wall, neck circumference, the collapses of upper airway observed during DISE are used, however not all available studies present these findings, nor do many studies follow a uniform standardized approach. This review found three studies investigating the effect of soft palate surgery eligible for this systematic review.

Base of tongue
Besides the treatments offered to address the velopharyngeal and the lateral wall of the oropharynx collapses, a variety of procedures devised to address the hypopharynx and the base of the tongue have been investigated. Procedures such as genioglossus advancement[25], radiofrequency tissue ablation of the tongue base [26], suturing of the tongue base and hyoid suspension are described [27], however the studies are often case reports or retrospective studies with few subjects and a limited follow-up time. The introduction of transoral robotic surgery (TORS) and the advancements in tongue base resections initially in cancer surgery has evolved into also using TORS in sleep surgery [28]. Furthermore, the introduction of the hypoglossal nerve stimulation termed upper airway stimulation (UAS) is interesting [29]. The insertion of a unilateral stimulation lead attached to the branch of the hypoglossal nerve, thereby producing tongue protrusion synchronous with expiration during sleep. The impulse generator is implanted beneath the clavicle and a tunneled breathing sensing lead is placed between the external and intercostal muscles. One could advocate that UAS is a multilevel treatment modality, since postoperative DISE findings indicates improvements in the retropalatal obstruction as well. However, the treatment is indicated in OSA with a primarily retro-lingual obstruction, this systematic review has categorized this treatment to the treatment of base of tongue.

This review found four studies eligible for a systematic review targeting treatment on the base of the tongue:

Multi-level surgery

As described previously, OSA is often associated with multi-level collapse of the upper airways, hence multilevel surgery is also necessary and introduced as a treatment opportunity. The benefits and disadvantages of single-step and multi-step surgical intervention is beyond the scope of this review. Some surgeons advocate that nasal surgery is performed prior to any direct approach towards the soft palate, lateral wall of the oropharynx or the base of tongue is considered. This review found three eligible studies investigating multi-level surgery as a single-step procedure.

This review did not consider nasal surgery as a part of multi-level approach.

Results

Ten studies were included and divided in three subcategories covering the site of obstruction, which were retropalatal collapse, base of tongue and multi-level surgery. In total we included 697 adult patients older than 18 years with an average age of 50,4 years. Average BMI was 28,98. A summary of studies are presented in table 1.

Most studies included patients with moderate to severe OSA, however the definition was either AHI above 15 or AHI above 20. Plaza et. al and Amali et. al included patients with mild OSA (5<AHI<15) as well. Due to the heterogeneity of the procedures and the variation of follow-up time, the results are described in a narrative form and presented in table 2.

Velum and oropharynx surgery led to a decrease in AHI of 11.86 (10.21; 13.51) event/hour. ESS was reduced 7.01 (5.99; 8.04). In BOT surgery AHI was reduced 19.31 (17.81;20.81) events/hour and ESS decreased with 7.03 (6.44; 7.63). Multilevel surgery reduced AHI with 28.65 (24.60, 32.69) events/hour and ESS with 8.55 (6.73; 10.38). All secondary outcome results are presented in table 2.

A detailed description of the articles based on the level of obstruction follows beneath.

Soft palate surgery:

Plaza et. al. 2019[30]

Plaza et. al. presented a prospective non-comparative multi-center study of patients suffering from OSA selected to ESP as stand-alone procedure at three University Hospitals. Patients diagnosed with OSA with preoperative AHI 5-70, age between 18 and 70, BMI < 35, and predominant lateral wall collapse on oropharynx during DISE were included. If nasal procedures were necessary, this was performed before, at a different stage than pharyngeal surgery. Seventy-five patients were included. Baseline characteristics including clinical findings such as tonsil size, Friedman tongue position (FTP), lingual tonsil hypertrophy (LTH). Both preoperative and postoperative data as AHI and ESS were reported. Follow-up was at least 12 months with clinical exam, including PSG and ESS. Surgical success was reported using Sher criteria described as: Cured = AHI < 5 and ESS < 10 or AHI reduction >50%. The need for CPAP after surgery was defined as AHI < 15 and ESS < 10 and reduction >50%.

The study showed a statistically significant reduction in mean AHI 13.50 (10.35; 16.65) and a statistically significant reduction in mean ESS 6.90 (5.07; 8.73).

Amali et. al. 2017[31]

Amali et. al. compared UPPP with radiofrequency ablation of the soft tissue in 40 patients with mild to moderate OSA in a randomized clinical trial. Patients with mild to moderate OSA (AHI >5 and AHI<30) with DISE findings suggesting velopharyngeal or oropharyngeal lateral wall collapse were included. BMI above 35, tongue base or epiglottis obstruction or severe comorbidities were reasons for exclusion. Patient baseline characteristics including clinical findings such as tonsil size, FTP use of tobacco and blood pressure were reported. Detailed follow-up time was not reported, however the study states that follow-up was 6 months. Postoperative AHI, postoperative ESS and postoperative nadir O2 saturation was reported. Furthermore, all patients completed the Sleep Apnea Quality of Life Index questionnaire (SAQLI). Treatment success was defined as >50% reduction in AHI from baseline.

The study reported a statistically significant reduction in AHI in both groups (UPPP 10.12 (6.76; 13.48) (MRFTA 6.03 (2.77; 9.29)) and a statistically significant reduction in ESS (UPPP 5.20 (3.29; 7.11)) and (MRFTA 5.73 (2.99; 8.47)). Furthermore, they found a statistically significant improvement in mean SpO2 nadir in both groups. The postoperative AHI was significantly lower in the UPPP group compared to MRFTA group (p=0.02). Surgical success rate in the UPPP group was significantly higher than in the MRFTA group, 73% versus 40% (p=0.03). For ESS and mean SpO2 nadir they found no statistically significant differences
between the two groups.

Quality of life reported with SAQLI improved in both groups and reported higher in MFRTA group in two domains including social interaction and treatment-related symptoms.

Hong et al. 2019[32]

Hong et al. reported a retrospective cohort study of 63 patients with OSA diagnosed with isolated lateral pharyngeal collapse during DISE. Patients diagnosed with moderate to severe OSA (35% and 65% respectively) underwent Expansion Sphincter Pharyngoplasty (ESPL) including bilateral tonsillectomy, removal of uvula mucosa and reduction of the uvula tip. All patients had nasal obstruction and received either turbinoplasty or combined septoturbinoplasty. Detailed follow-up time was not reported, however the study states that follow-up was 6 months. Postoperative AHI, postoperative ESS and postoperative SpO2 nadir was reported.

Patient selection was based upon DISE findings such as retropalatal circumferential narrowing above DISE grade II (more than 75% narrowing) and narrowed oropharynx due to lateral pharyngeal collapse of the bulky redundant soft tissue around the posterior pillars. The patients did not have obstruction at the tongue base or epiglottis. Clinical findings such as tonsil sizes, Friedman tongue position scale or neck circumferential were not reported, nor were patient baseline characteristics described.

The study reported a statistically significant reduction in AHI 18.20 (14.76; 21.64) and ESS 9.90 (7.87; 11.93). Limitations were the use of nasal surgery in all patients, however all patients had moderate to severe OSA, and nasal surgery is not considered to improve moderate to severe OSA, hence is not considered to be a part of multi-level sleep surgery.

Base of tongue:

Babademez et. al. 2019[33]

Babademez et. al. reported a prospective randomized study and comparison of robotic tongue base resection vs coblation tongue base resection. Patients with moderate to severe OSA reported by PSG, tonsill size 1-2 and BMI < 35 and isolated tongue base obstruction diagnosed during DISE preoperatively, were randomized in either robotic group (TORS) or coblation group. The randomization was done in the operating room. One surgeon performed all robotic treatments, and another surgeon performed all coblation treatments. Clinical findings were not reported; however, inclusion and exclusion parameters include clinical findings. Previous tonsillar surgery, limited mouth opening, and severe comorbidities were exclusion parameters. Seventy-seven patients were included and randomized to the study. Four patients were excluded due to perioperative complications of anesthesia. Three patients (two in TORS group and 1 in coblation group) were lost to follow-up. Follow-up time was 6 months. Post-operative AHI, ESS and snoring VAS were reported and compared to preoperative data. Operation time, time to oral diet in days and the length of analgesia needed postoperative were also reported.

The study reports a statistically significant reduction in mean AHI with a 36% reduction in TORS group (19.00 (15.84; 21.64)) and 37.8% reduction in coblation group (16.90 (14.33; 19.47)). A statistically significant reduction in ESS was also reported with 33.8% reduction in the TORS group (8.00 (6.94; 9.06) and 31.5% in the coblation group (7.80 (6.76; 8.84)). No statistically significant differences were seen between the two groups in any outcome parameters, however coblation was associated with quicker recovery and lower complication risks.

Strollo et. al. 2014[34]

The Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction (STAR) trial presented by Strollo et. al. was pivotal regarding UAS therapy in patients with moderate to severe OSA. This led to FDA approval of the device and hence multiple studies have been published also in Europe with concurrent results. Strollo et. al. presents a multi-center prospective cohort study with 126 adult patients implanted with a unilateral hypoglossal nerve stimulator with a follow-up time of 12 months. Patients were carefully selected by inclusion criteria based upon previous feasibility studies. The first 46 consecutive patients after 12 months were randomized in either robotic group (TORS) or coblation group. The randomization was done in the operating room. One surgeon performed all robotic treatments, and another surgeon performed all coblation treatments. Clinical findings were not reported; however, inclusion and exclusion parameters include clinical findings. Previous tonsillar surgery, limited mouth opening, and severe comorbidities were exclusion parameters. Seventy-seven patients were included and randomized to the study. Four patients were excluded due to perioperative complications of anesthesia. Three patients (two in TORS group and 1 in coblation group) were lost to follow-up. Follow-up time was 6 months. Post-operative AHI, ESS and snoring VAS were reported and compared to preoperative data. Operation time, time to oral diet in days and the length of analgesia needed postoperative were also reported.

Primary outcomes were AHI and oxygen desaturation index (ODI) by PSG and secondary outcomes such as ESS and disease-specific quality of life assessed by the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) were reported. Patient baseline data and comorbidities, previous surgery such as uvulopalatopharyngoplasty and blood pressure were reported. Follow-up at two months and six months were performed but not stated. The implants were activated one month postoperatively. Surgical response was defined as Sher’s criteria at 12 month’s follow-up. Furthermore, surgical response was defined as at least 25% reduction in ODI and reported. Two patients were lost to follow-up and considered non-responders.

The study showed a statistically significant reduction in mean AHI of 16.70 (13.19; 20.21). Surgical responders based upon AHI were 83 of 126 patients (66%) and based upon ODI was 94 of 126 patients (75%). Mean ESS and mean FOSQ were also statistically significantly improved.

The therapy-withdrawal study showed a significant difference between the withdrawal group and the therapy-maintenance group with respect to the change in AHI from the beginning of the randomization to

the assessment 1 week later. In the withdrawal group, baseline AHI was 30.1 events per hour, before deactivation 7.6 events per hour and after deactivation 25.8 events per hour, whereas the maintenance group reported baseline AHI 31.3 events per hour, at randomization 7.2 events per hour and 1 week later 8.9
events per hour. Similar effects in ODI were reported in the study.

Adverse events were reported. Two patients had severe device-related adverse events which required repositioning and fixation of the neurostimulator. Other mild adverse events are also described in the study.

The study concludes that UAS showed a reduction in the severity of obstructive sleep apnea, and the adverse-event profile was acceptable. In 2018 5-year follow-up in the same group was published by Woodson et. al. (REF). Although only 71 patients had PSG at 5-year follow-up, the results were unchanged. Since the study is based upon the same group, the data is not included in this review.

**Huntley et al. 2017**[35]

Upper airway stimulation for treatment of OSA was also investigated by Huntley et. al and outcomes of two academic centers were compared. Patients from two different centers with 63 patients and 57 patients, respectively. Group 1 had a mean follow-up time of 90.39 days and group 2 had a mean follow-up time of 85.23 days. Although the study does not entirely fulfill the statement of minimum 3-month follow-up in this systematic review, the papers level of evidence is high and the study is the first non-industrial funded study with large number of patients, who have undergone UAS following the commercial availability of the device. Therefore, we found it compelling to include the study in the review.

Patients who completed postoperative PSG titration and outpatient follow-up were included in the study, which consisted of 48 patients and 49 patients respectively in the two groups. Baseline demographic data such as sex, age and BMI were reported. Baseline and postoperative AHI, ESS and SpO2 nadir were reported. Patients were included with the same criteria defined and published by Strollo et. al. (2014)[34] including DISE findings and the lack of concentric retropalatal collapse. Patient outcome from each group were analyzed individually and afterwards pooled. Surgical success followed Sher's criteria and defined as reduction of AHI > 50% and to a value of less than 20 events per hour.

The study showed statistically significant improvements in AHI (H-48 (29.54 (22.81; 36.27]) vs H-49 (29.01 24.39; 33.63)), ESS (H-48 (5.32 (3.89; 6.75)) and (H-49 (4.34 (1.97; 6.71)) and nadir O2 saturations in both groups, although the nadir O2 saturation in group 1 was better than in group 2. Surgical success was illustrated in the study’s figure 1 and reported around 90% of patients in both groups with no statistically significant differences between the two groups. The figure also illustrates the percentage of patients with AHI<15, AHI<10 and AHI<5 at each institution.

The study concluded that UAS is an effective alternative treatment in a subset of patients with moderate to severe OSA with base of tongue collapse, who were unable to comply with CPAP therapy.

**Steffen 2018**[36]

Upper airway stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve in patients with moderate to severe OSA has been investigated in a European setting by Steffen et. al. A multi-center prospective study with 60 patients in three German centers was conducted. Data from 6-month follow-up was reported by Heiser et. al. in 2016[37], however the latest report from Steffen et. al. is included in this review due to longer follow-up. Four patients did not complete the 12-month follow-up, one patient requested implant removal due to personal matters and three were lost to follow-up.

Patient baseline data such as age, BMI and sex were reported. Preoperative and postoperative therapy outcome AHI was reported using 2-night home sleep test. Follow-up was 6 months and 12 months after implantation. Implants were activated one month postoperatively. Two months after implantation, in-lab titration during PSG was performed to optimize the implant therapy. Primary outcomes were AHI postoperatively and secondary outcomes were ESS and Function Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) at 6 and 12 months. ODI, Sp02 nadir and mean SpO2 were also reported. Patients defined as surgical response and surgical success were also reported in line with Sher's criteria. The data was also compared to the STAR data reported by Strollo et. al. The study reported both mean and standard deviations, as well as median values with interquartile range. For comparison reasons, only mean values and SD values are reported in this review.

The study showed at statistically significant reduction in mean AHI 17.40 (12.38; 22.42) at 6-month follow-up and the improvement was unchanged at 12 month’s follow-up. Improvements in ODI, Sp02 nadir, mean ESS 6.30 (4.54; 8.06) and mean FOSQ was also statistically significant. At the end of the study, 30% of the patients were cured. 68% of the patients were considered as surgical responders. Patients lost to follow-up was regarded as non-responders. Comparison of surgical responders and non-responders showed no differences in baseline data such as age, BMI, neck circumference, AHI, ODI, FOSQ or ESS. The results were consistent with previous reported outcome by the STAR trial. The study concludes that UAS is a safe and feasible therapy capable to reduce OSA severity and prove patient reported quality of life outcomes, and therapy adherence was high after 12 months of follow-up.

**Multi-level surgery:**

**Cammaroto et. al. 2018**[38]

Cammaroto et. al. evaluated in a retrospective study both the influence of the volume of excised base of tongue on the surgical outcome after TORS and the role of the lymphatic or muscular predominance within the removed tissue. The premise for the study was a meta-analysis by Mecciariello and Cammaroto showing promising results for TORS [39]. Although no correlations between the excised volume of the tongue base, the lymphatic/muscular ratio of removed tissue or total thickness of tongue base and AHI changes could be shown, the overall reduction in AHI in patients with moderate to severe OSA was reported and shown to be statistically significant 24.87 (19.31; 30.43).

The study consists of 51 patients who underwent single-step multi-level TORS including temporary tracheostomy, tonsillectomy, expansion sphincter pharyngoplasty and septoturbinoplasty and base of tongue excision. Patient characteristics such as age, gender, BMI, and preoperative and postoperative AHI
were reported. Furthermore, mean tongue volume (cc), lymphatic/soft tissue ratio, total thickness of removed base of tongue and success rate were reported. Surgical response was defined accordingly to Sher's criteria.

No correlations were observed in postoperative AHI and anatomical measures of removed base of tongue tissue. Although the study did not show any differences in the primary measured outcomes, the observed reduction of AHI was found to be statistically significant and the rate of surgical success reported, concur with previous studies published.

El-Anwar et. al. 2018[40]

El-Anwar published a prospective non-randomized study with 40 patients scheduled for multilevel surgery. Surgery was multi-level surgery including hyoid suspension, tonsillectomy and pharyngeal suspension sutures described by El-Ahl and El-Anwar [41]. Group A consisted of patients with nasal obstruction and underwent inferior turbinate reduction), while group B did not. Postoperative follow-up was between 6 months and 14 months postoperatively. Patient baseline characteristics such as age, gender and BMI were reported. Primary outcome was AHI. Preoperative and postoperative data such as ESS and nadir oxygen saturation was also reported.

The preoperative and postoperative data was not tested within the groups. Between the groups, preoperative AHI was significantly higher in patients with nasal obstruction (group A) compared to patients with no nasal obstruction (group B) and the reduction in AHI was greater in group A than in group B (43.90 (31.83; 55.97) vs 23.30 (8.84; 37.76) respectively. No difference in the change in ESS between group A and B (8.50 (5.78; 11.22) and 8.60 (6.13, 11.07).

The study suggests that nasal obstruction, although not pivotal in treating OSA, is beneficial in a multi-level treatment setup, as the decrease in AHI in group A is larger than in group B. Unfortunately, the study setup is not optimal to investigate this question, since a group of patients with nasal obstruction without nasal surgery is lacking.

Thaler et. al 2016[42]

Thaler et. al. reported the effect of TORS in a prospective, non-randomized trial with historical controls, a study with 75 adult patients with moderate to severe OSA with AHI > 20, and who had failed CPAP. This study did not exclude patients with any prior airway surgery such as tonsillectomy or UPPP. The study did not have any maximum AHI or BMI. DISE was performed in all patients and patients with retro-lingual collapse underwent TORS. Patients with simultaneous retropalatal collapse were offered UPPP if not performed previously. A historical cohort of previous UPPP surgery was used as comparison. All patients undergoing TORS and UPPP had both procedures as single-step surgery, TORS was performed first. Postoperative follow-up including PSG and ESS evaluation was done at a minimum of 3 months. Detailed follow-up time was not reported. Surgical response and surgical success rates were defined as Sher's criteria like other studies and reported. Patient baseline data were not reported. Preoperative and postoperative AHI, ESS and SpO2 nadir were also reported. Group 1 were 45 patients with no prior surgery and received TORS and UPPP surgery. Group 2 were 31 patients with prior surgery (tonsillectomy or UPPP).

The study found a statistically significant reduction in mean AHI in group A 38.90 (29.97; 47.83), whereas the decrease in AHI in group 2 (mean 10.00, (95% CI; 4.77; 24.77)) was not statistically significant. The improvements in SpO2 nadir was not statistically significant when each group was analyzed. When data was pooled, the improvements in AHI and SpO2 nadir were statistically significant. Looking at surgical response and surgical success, 25 patients (56%) in group 1 had surgical success, 8 patients (17%) with surgical response and 12 patients (27%) were considered as failures, while in group 2, nine patients (30%) had surgical success, three patients (10%) were responders, and 18 patients (60) were failures.

The study concludes that TORS and UPPP is safe, and the results provide evidence in favor of multilevel approach, however there is still a significant percentage of patients for whom surgery does not improve OSA and therefore improved preoperative screening is necessary.

Conclusions

This review finds that the clinical preoperative evaluation and screening of patients with the use of DISE, as a pivotal role in decision making of surgical intervention, is vital. True decision making towards specific surgery is critical, and whilst different approaches towards these specific sites exists and all show promising results, further research in surgical management of obstructive sleep apnea is needed, especially with standardized preoperative evaluation, further patient related outcome measures, longer follow-up time and a higher number of patients included for each study.

Discussion

This systematic review included 10 studies, recruiting 697 patients with primarily moderate to severe OSA. Based upon the level of surgery, the final included studies were divided into three groups, which represent the velum and oropharynx, base of tongue and multilevel surgery. The meta-analysis in this systematic review found statistically significant reductions of both AHI and ESS. Based on the level of surgery, velum and oropharynx surgery reduced AHI with mean 11.86 (95% CI; 10.21; 13.51) event/hour and ESS was reduced 7.01 (95%CI 5.99; 8.04). In BOT surgery AHI was reduced with a mean of 19.31 (95%CI 17.81;20.81) events/hour and ESS decreased with mean 7.03 (95%CI 6.44; 7.63). Multilevel surgery reduced AHI with 28.65 (95%CI 24.60, 32.69) events/hour and ESS with 8.55 (95%CI 6.73; 10.38). Almost all studies included only patients when CPAP treatment had failed, therefore a non-surgical control group did not exist.

Sundaram et. al reviewed surgical treatment compared to CPAP treatment or non-surgical appliance therapy and did not find evidence that surgical intervention could improve OSA [12]. In comparison, this review indicates, that surgical intervention improves both clinical and subjective OSA parameters.
such as AHI and ESS, when treatment is based upon DISE findings. However surgical intervention is limited to the procedures presented. This comparison also advocates that surgical intervention is suitable for patients non-compliant to CPAP treatment.

Each study varies in preoperative and postoperative evaluation of each patient and inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria, surgical outcome, and patient follow-up time. Hence, heterogeneity exists between the final studies presented here. However, common parameters in the studies are also present. Surgical outcomes are primarily AHI and ESS, while terms such as surgical responders and surgical success is described using Sher's criteria, providing a suitable comparison between the studies. DISE findings are essential, furthermore all studies use age, BMI, and the severity of OSA to screen patients before surgery. Some studies include clinical observations related to the Friedman staging system [43]. Hence, the studies are comparable although risk of bias is present. Furthermore, all studies present in detail minor and severe adverse effects to surgery.

Weaver et al. showed that PSG parameters such as AHI does not correlate well to patient related outcome [44]. In sleep related surgery, patient related outcome is essential to address the benefits of the surgical intervention. All studies except Cammaroto present ESS data. Thaler et al. report ESS, however only 31 patients of 75 patients completed ESS measurements, hence the data was not included in the meta-analysis. Some studies include additional patient related outcome measurement tools such as the SAQLI or FOSQ or merely VAS snoring scale. This review found an overall improvement in ESS. Lee et al. reported that ESS should not be used as a screening method in a population with sleep disorders since the method is unreliable [45]. However, this measurement of daytime sleepiness is still usually used among sleep surgeons. Kendzerska et. al report in a systematic review, published evidence on the psychometric properties of ESS for describing the level of daytime sleepiness in adults and report only high-quality studies on correlation between ESS and psychometric properties [46]. They suggest that ESS can be recommended for a group but not for individual-level comparisons. Our review found that ESS was comparable between the studies and that postoperative ESS improved. We suggest that other validated patient related outcome questionnaires should be included in evaluation of patients undergoing sleep surgery such as the SAQLI or FOSQ.

All studies included in this study report mean values for AHI and ESS, as well for other secondary outcomes. However, the nature of surgical intervention compels that each patient's pre-operative and postoperative data are tested and if possible, reported individually. All studies except El-Anwar et. al and Thaler et. al reported true statistical analysis (paired-t-tests). The use of the arbitrary Sher's criteria defined as reduction of AHI > 50% and to a value of less than 20 events per hour is the most used measurement of individual response of any sleep surgery regarding AHI. Plaza et. al. reported 90% surgical success when using Sher's criteria, however only 33.3% were cured (AHI>5 events per hour). They furthermore reported that seven patients failed surgical response and two of these patients' AHI increased. In contrary, Thaler et. al. reported merely 45% surgical success using Sher's criteria. Due to their study setup, patients with high AHI were included, hence mean preoperative AHI was high. A 50% reduction of AHI in patients with relatively low AHI (22.1 events per hour, Plaza et. al.) compared to 50% reduction in AHI in patients with relatively high AHI (57.5 events per hour, Thaler et. al.) is obsolete and therefore unnecessary. However, the combination of PSG data, patient related outcome and the use of Sher's criteria can illustrate the influence of sleep surgery. Unfortunately, individual changes are not reported in these publications, although this data would surely illustrate the effect of surgery further. We advocate that further research report individual changes regarding both PSG data and patient related outcome.

Although many surgical approaches exist, only a few therapeutic interventions were included in this review. For soft palate surgery, ESP, UPPP and MRFTA is reviewed and show promising results. MRFTA is suggested to treat mild OSA, while ESP and UPPP is suggested to patients with isolated lateral wall collapse of the oropharynx regardless of maximum AHI. However, Amali et. al. and Hong et. al. did not report whether CPAP treatment had been used prior any surgery. Moffa et. al recently published a systematic review and found five different approaches to address retropalatal airway collapse [47]. Although primary outcomes were improved after surgery, heterogeneity was high and therefore level of evidence for these procedures are low and further randomized studies are needed.

For base of tongue, two different approaches were addressed. Either the reduction and removal of lingual tissue with the use of coblation or TORS, or the use of hypoglossal nerve stimulation and thereby protrusion of the entire tongue synchronous with expiration during sleep. Although resection of tongue base is widely investigated, most studies are biased with either small sample sizes or short follow-up time. Babademez et. al and Cammaroto show promising results, however, the use of UAS show compelling results, not only because of the reported effects on AHI and ESS, but also based upon three different studies with long follow-up time. Furthermore, the benefits of the UAS are that the implant can be removed if compliance is not achievable, and that the implant can be titrated to each patient individually and controlled by the patient themselves. Constantino et. al report similar improvements and success rate in their systematic review regarding UAS [9] and Tsou et. al. recently published a systematic review and found no differences in operation time, success rate or complication rate between TORS and UAS [48].

Single-step multi-level sleep surgery is a difficult and heterogeneous entity to observe and to compare. This review found three studies suitable for review, although they vary greatly in study design and the surgical interventions used. Cammaroto et. al used tonsillectomy, ESPL, TORS BOT surgery and septoturbinoplasty, El-Anwar et. al. included hyoid suspension and a specific pharyngeal suture technique introduced by the first author, whereas Thaler et. al. combined TORS with traditional UPPP. Except Cammaroto et. al, who did not study other parameters, all studies showed statistically significant reduction in AHI and in ESS. Secondary outcomes were also improved. Although all three studies included DISE, the specific findings were not reported. It is therefore important not to compare the studies regarding the surgical approaches, but to consider the fact, that clinical screening and evaluation of the patient with the use of DISE is of uttermost importance, before selecting surgical approach. This causing a personal and individual approach to each patient, where single step multi-level surgery should be one of these modalities. In the multi-level group mean preoperative AHI was among the highest compared to all the studies in this review. This illustrates, that severe OSA usually is comprised by several anatomical collapses, and a multi-level approach is usually preferred among surgeons. However, this individual approach makes it more difficult to perform randomized prospective studies in the future. Gouveia et. al. recently published the overall trend in research in obstructive sleep apnea[49]. They reported that although the number of published studies regarding OSA increased over time, the level of evidence in published studies remain stationary. Remarkably, the majority of studies published are medical research of OSA and usually otolaryngology journal articles were less likely to be grant funded, or NIH funded. However, the level of evidence did not differ between medical publications and
otolaryngology publications. The process of this systematic review, illustrate that although many publications exist, only few were included in the final appraisal.

Our inclusion criteria with at least 40 patients in a study excluded a lot of interesting studies. All studies regarding Maxillomandibular advancement (MMA) surgery was excluded even if MMA surgery in minor studies have shown a great and sustained reduction in AHI in a selected group of patients with OSA and maxillar or mandibular hypoplasia[50].
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**Tables**

LOE (level of evidence) is based on Levels of Evidence for effectiveness developed by the Joanna Briggs Institute for experimental and quasi-experimental designs.

BMI = Body Mass Index

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology score

AHI = Apnea hypopnea Index

ODI = Oxygen Desaturation Index

ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale

FOSQ = Functional Outcomes of sleep questionnaire

TST = Total sleep time
| Author, year | Type of study | Follow-up in months | Mean age of patients (no. of patients) | Mean BMI (sd) | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Outcomes analyzed | LOE | Comments |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----|----------|
| Plaza 2019   | Prospective multi-center cohort study | 12 | 46.7 ± 10.5 (75) | 28.1 (2.7) | CPAP failure, age between 18 and 75, BMI≤35, ASA≤2, AHI 5-70, lateral wall collapse on oropharynx | Age ≥75, severe medical illness, limited mouth opening ≤2cm, Tonsil size ≥3, FTP≥3, LTH≥3 and follow-up under 12 months. | AHI, ESS, Surgical success | 2d | Polysomnography |
| Amali 2017   | Randomized controlled trial | 6 | 37.4 ± 10.07 (40) | 27.7 (4.3) | Age>18, Symptomatic OSA with AHI≤30, No prior treatment, BMI≤35, ASA≤3 | AHI≥30, Tongue base or epiglottis obstruction, previous history of palatal surgery, severe comorbidities, depression | AHI, Nadir O2, Mean O2, ESS, SAQLI | 1c | Polysomnography |
| Hong 2019    | Cohort study | 6 | 42.1 (range 20-54) (63) | 27.58 (range 19-32.1) | AHI>15, retropalatal circumferential narrowing above DISE grade II (>75% narrowing), narrowed oropharynx due to lateral pharyngeal collapse | None mentioned | AHI, Nadir O2, VAS snoring, surgical success, CT-scan | 2d | Polysomnography. Patients underwent different surgical treatments such as septoplasty and UP-flap prior treatment. |
| Babademez 2019 | Randomized controlled trial | 6 | TORS 40.9 ± 9.2 (37) | TORS 27 ± 4.4 | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA, BMI≤35, tonsil size 1-2 | Limited mouth opening, severe comorbidities, known bleeding disorder, previous tonsillectomy, lost to follow-up | AHI, ESS, VAS snoring, surgical success, time to oral diet, analgesic requirement | 1c | Polysomnography |
| Strollo 2014 | Prospective multi-center cohort study | 12 | 54.5 ± 10.2 (126) | 28.4 ± 2.6 | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA. No concentric collapse in oropharynx during DISE | BMI > 32, neuromuscular disease, hypoglossal nerve palsy, pulmonal disease, moderate-to-severe hypertension, NYHA 3-4, recent myocardial infarction or severe cardiac arrhythmias, active psychiatric disease, nonrespiratory sleep disorder. | AHI, ODI, FOSQ, ESS, % of TST with O2<90%, surgical success | 2d | Polysomnography. STAR-trial funded by implant company. |
| Huntley 2017 | Multi-center cohort study | 3 | TJUH 60.88 ± 11.12 (48) | TJUH 29.29 ± 3.72 | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA. No concentric collapse in oropharynx during DISE | BMI > 32, neuromuscular disease, hypoglossal nerve palsy, pulmonal disease, moderate-to-severe hypertension, | AHI, ESS, Nadir O2, surgical success | 2d | Polysomnography |
| Study          | Design                  | Duration | Age (Mean ± SD, n) | BMI (Mean ± SD, n) | Complications                                                                 | Measures                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Steffen 2018  | Prospective multi-center cohort study | 12       | 56.8 ± 9.1 (60)    | 28.8 ± 3.6         | NYHA 3-4, recent myocardial infarction or severe cardiac arrhythmias, active psychiatric disease, non-respiratory sleep disorder. | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA (AHI 15-65). No concentric collapse in oropharynx during DISE Tonsil size 3-4 Additional anatomical abnormalities, such as long soft palate. |
| Cammaroto 2018 | Retrospective cohort study | 12       | 55.47 ± 11.56 (51) | 29.12 ± 8.9        | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA (AHI > 20)                                | Prior airway surgery, postoperative PSG shorter than 12 months AHI, ODI, nadir O2, mean O2, ESS, FOSQ, % of TST with O2<90%, |
| El-Anwar 2018 | Prospective (non-randomized) case-control | 6-14     | Group A 47.1 ± 9.2 (20) | Group A 33.4 ± 2.5 Group B 46.0 ± 4.7 (20) | Moderate to severe OSA (AHI>15) BMI<35                                         | Prior airway surgery, lost to follow-up. AHI, tongue volume (cc) Lymphatic/soft tissue ratio, total thickness, surgical success 2d Unattended type 3 home sleep study and Polysomnography used. |
| Thaler 2016   | Prospective non-randomized trial with historical controls. | 3        | 49.7 (SD not stated) (n=75) | 32.3 ± 5.61        | CPAP failure, moderate to severe OSA (AHI > 20)                                | None stated AHI, ESS, Nadir O2, % of TST with O2<90%, 2d Polysomnography. |

LOE (level of evidence) is based on Levels of Evidence for effectiveness developed by the Joanna Briggs Institute for experimental and quasi-experimental designs.

BMI = Body Mass Index
ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology score
AHI = Apnea hypopnea Index
ODI = Oxygen Desaturation Index
ESS= Epworth Sleepiness Scale
FOSQ = Functional Outcomes of sleep questionnaire.
TST = Total sleep time

Figures
| Author, year | Pre-OP AHI | Post-OP AHI | Pre-OP ESS | Post-OP ESS | Sher's surgical success | Other outcomes | Comments and conclusions |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Plaza 2019  | 22.1 ± 12.2 | 8.6 ± 6.7 | 11.5 ± 4.7 | 4.6 ± 6.6 | 90% | 33.3% were cured (AH<5, ESS<10 and AHI reduction>50%), 82.66% patients did not need CPAP after surgery. Using Sher's criteria 90% obtained AH<20. Seven patients failed surgery with two cases whose AH worsened postoperatively. Patients without a suitable PSG postoperatively or follow-up time under 12 months were excluded. | ESP technique can be done with good results as stand-alone procedure for patients with OSA, when proper patient selection based upon DISE. |
| Amali 2017  | UPPP 20.15 ± 6.92 | UPPP 10.03 ± 3.28 | UPPP 12.07 ± 3.89 | UPPP 6.87 ± 1.99 | UPPP 73% | Mean SpO2 nadir in the UPPP group improved from 84.2% ± 3.96 to 88.47% ± 3.5 (p<0.01) and in the MRFTA group improved from 85.33% ± 2.79 to 87% ± 2.61 (p<0.01) with no significant differences between the two groups. SAQLI improved in both groups and reported higher in MFRTA group in two domains including social interaction and treatment-related symptoms. | Authors conclude that that for mild OSA, MRFTA is comparable to UPPP and can be considered as the first surgical treatment operation in patients with oropharyngeal lateral wall obstruction. |
| Hong 2019   | 45 ± 10.7 | 17.3 ± 8.9 | 17.1 ± 5.4 | 7.2 ± 5.4 | N/A | Mean SpO2 nadir improved from 78.2% ± 21.3 to 86.4% ± 10.6 with reported mean difference (95%) 8.6% (6.6%-10.6% Cohen d = 1.16). | ESP appears to be a promising surgical technique to reduce lateral pharyngeal collapse in patients with moderate or severe OSA. Clinical data suggest that both severe palatal circumferential narrowing and bulky lateral pharyngeal tissue are favorable surgical indications for ESP in patients with OSA. |
| Babademez 2019 | TORS 29.7 ± 9 | TORS 10.7 ± 3.9 | TORS 12.1 ± 2.7 | TORS 4.1 ± 1.9 | TORS 75.6% | Coblation scores in time to oral diet were significantly better than TORS 4.2 days ± 1.2 vs 5.78 ± 0.91 (p=0.000). TORS scores in analgesic requirement were significantly worse than Coblation 5.8 days ± 1.8 vs 4.1 ± 1.1 (P = .000) | Overall, the results show promising results for treatment of OSA in patients with moderate to severe OSA and isolated tongue base obstruction based upon DISE findings with low risk of complications after 6 months of follow-up. |
| Strollo 2014 | 32.0 ± 11.8 | 15.3 ± 16.1 | 11.6 ± 5 | 7.0 ± 4.2 | 66% | Mean ODI (SD) decreased from 28.9 (12) to 13.9 (15.7) (p<0.001). Surgical success based upon ODI reduction > 25% was 94 of 126 patients (75%) | The study concludes that UAS showed a reduction in the severity of obstructive sleep apnea, and the adverse-event profile was acceptable. |
### Study results continued

| Author, year | Pre-OP AHI | Post-OP AHI | Pre-OP ESS | Post-OP ESS | Sher’s surgical success | Other outcomes | Comments and conclusions |
|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Huntley 2017 |            |             |            |             |                         | TJUH group     | The study shows a significant and clinically meaningful improvement in both subjective and objective OSA outcome measures. There were no permanent or serious adverse events because of the implant procedure, and therapy-related side effects were mild, infrequent, and transient. Furthermore, adherence rates were high. No differences were observed in the two centers. All data were statistically significant. |
|              | 35.88 ± 20.88 | TJUH group | 11.08 ± 3.77 | 5.77 ± 3.35 | ≈ 91% (figure 1) |                |                           |
|              | 35.29 ± 15.33 | UPMC group | 10.94 ± 4.89 | 6.60 ± 4.51 |                         |                |                           |
| Steffen 2018 | 31.2 ± 13.2 | 13.8 ± 14.8 | 12.8 ± 5.3  | 6.5 ± 4.5  | 68%                   | Mean ODI was at baseline 27.6 ± 16.4, at 6 months follow-up 13.5 ± 10 (p<0.05) and 12 months follow-up 13.7 ± 14.9 (p<0.05). Mean SpO2 was 71.4% ± 11.4, at 6 months follow-up 80.4% ± 7.6 (p<0.05) and 12 months follow-up 80.9% ± 6.4 (p<0.05). Mean FOSQ improved from 13.7 ± 3.6 to 17.5 ± 2.8 (p<0.05) to 17.5 ± 3 (p<0.05). At the end of the study, 30% showed an AHI<5, 55% showed an AHI <10 and 68% showed an AHI < 15. Nadir SpO2 improved from 71.4% ± 11.4 to 80.9% ± 6.4. Changes in mean SpO2 TST < 90% were not statistically significant. | Upper airway stimulation reduced OSA severity and improved patient-reported quality-of-life outcome measures. Therapy adherence was high after 12 months of follow-up. |
|              |            |             |            |             |                         |                |                           |
| Cammaroto 2018 |          | 15.10 ± 11.36 | N/a       | N/a         | 74.5%                  | No correlations were observed in postoperative AHI and anatomical measures of removed base of tongue tissue. | Although the study did not show any differences in the primary measured outcomes, the observed reduction of AHI and the rate of surgical success reported, concur with previous studies published. |
| El-Anwar 2018 |            |             |            |             |                         | SpO2 nadir improved in both groups: | The authors conclude that treatment of nasal obstruction should be considered a crucial component in the comprehensive management plan OSA patients as it has significant impact on the patients’ AHI and snoring. |
| Group A     | 48.8 ± 31.6 | Group A     | 24.5 ± 10.9 | Group A     | 4.1 ± 2.7              | Group A from 73.5% ± 14.8 to 84% ± 5.3 | Change in snoring score in group A |
|            |             | Group B     | 12.6 ± 5.6  | Group B     |                         | Group B from 66.8% ± 11.3 to 83.2% ± 2.9. The difference between preoperative and postoperative values in both groups was nonsignificant. |                           |
| Group B     | 68.4 ± 25.3 | Group B     | 25.6 ± 9.52 | Group B     |                         |                           |                           |
|            |             |             | 13.8 ± 5.4  |             |                         |                           |                           |
3.8 ± 0.4 to 2.3 ± 0.51
Change in snoring score in group B
3.4 ± 0.54 to 2 ± 0.
The preoperative snoring score was reported to be significantly more in patients who had associated nasal obstruction (group A) (p=0.0113). But after surgery the difference in postoperative values was nonsignificant (P=0.1296)

**Study results continued**

| Author, year | Pre-OP AHI | Post-OP AHI | Pre-OP ESS | Post-OP ESS | Sher's surgical success | Other outcomes | Comments and conclusions |
|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Thaler 2016  | 57.5 ± 23.9| 31.4 ± 28.6 | 12.8 ± 6.5 | 5.8 ± 4.9   | 45%                     | SpO2 Nadir improved from 78.8% ± 9.6 to 83.1% ± 7.3 | The authors conclude that prior surgery did not appear to make any difference in the outcome of the study. Combined TORS and UPPP is suitable for selected patients in a multi-level approach. |

**PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram**

From: Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
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Figure 1

flowchart

| Author-Year (Group) | N  | Base of tongue | mean change [95% CI] |
|---------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|
| Babademez-2019 (1)  | 37 | TORS          | 19.00 [15.84, 22.16] |
| Babademez-2019 (2)  | 33 | Coblation     | 16.90 [14.33, 19.47] |
| Strollo-2014 (1)    | 124| UAS 12months  | 16.70 [13.19, 20.21] |
| Huntley-2017 (1)    | 48 | UAS cohort-1  | 29.54 [22.81, 36.27] |
| Huntley-2017 (2)    | 49 | UAS cohort-2  | 29.01 [24.39, 33.63] |
| Steffen-2018 (1)    | 60 | UAS 12 months | 17.40 [12.38, 22.42] |

FE Model
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Figure 2

Forest plot AHI BOT
Figure 3

Forest plot AHI MULTI

| Author-Year (Group) | N   | Multilevel               | mean change [95% CI] |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Cammaroto-2018 (1)  | 51  | TORS                     | 24.87 [19.31, 30.43]|
| El-Anwar-2018 (1)   | 20  | +nasal surgery           | 43.90 [31.83, 55.97]|
| El-Anwar-2018 (2)   | 20  | -nasal surgery           | 23.30 [8.84, 37.76] |
| Thaler-2016 (2)     | 45  | TORS+UPPP                | 38.90 [29.97, 47.83]|
| Thaler-2016 (3)     | 31  | TORS*                    | 10.00 [-4.77, 24.77]|
| FE Model            |     |                          | 28.65 [24.60, 32.69]|

pre-post AHI

lower graph

| Author-Year (Group) | N   | Velum/Oropharynx       | mean change [95% CI] |
|---------------------|-----|------------------------|---------------------|
| Plaza-2019 (1)      | 75  | ESPL                   | 13.50 [10.35, 16.65]|
| Amali-2017 (1)      | 20  | UPPP                   | 10.12 [6.76, 13.48] |
| Amali-2017 (2)      | 20  | MRFTA                  | 6.03 [2.77, 9.29]   |
| Hong-2019 (1)       | 63  | ESPL                   | 18.20 [14.76, 21.64]|
| FE Model            |     |                        | 11.86 [10.21, 13.51]|

pre-post AHI
Figure 4

Forest plot AHI Velum

| Author-Year(Group)         | N  | Base of tongue | mean change [95% CI] |
|----------------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|
| Babademez-2019 (1) 37     | 37 | TORS           | 8.00 [6.94, 9.06]   |
| Babademez-2019 (2) 33     | 33 | Coblation      | 7.80 [6.76, 8.84]   |
| Huntley-2017 (1) 48       | 48 | UAS cohort-1   | 5.32 [3.89, 6.75]   |
| Huntley-2017 (2) 49       | 49 | UAS cohort-2   | 4.34 [1.97, 6.71]   |
| Steffen-2018 (1) 60       | 60 | UAS 12 months  | 6.30 [4.54, 8.06]   |

FE Model

7.03 [6.44, 7.63]

pre-post ESS

Figure 5

Forest plot ESSchange BOT
### Figure 6

Forest plot ESS change MULTI

| Author-Year (Group) | N  | Multilevel | Mean change [95% CI] |
|---------------------|----|------------|----------------------|
| El-Anwar-2018 (1)   | 20 | +nasal surgery | 8.50 [5.78, 11.22] |
| El-Anwar-2018 (2)   | 20 | -nasal surgery | 8.60 [6.13, 11.07] |
| FE Model            |    |            | 8.55 [6.73, 10.38]  |

Pre-post ESS

### Figure 7

Forest plot ESS change Velum

| Author-Year (Group) | N  | Velum/Oropharynx | Mean change [95% CI] |
|---------------------|----|------------------|----------------------|
| Plaza-2019 (1)      | 75 | ESPL             | 6.90 [5.07, 8.73]    |
| Amali-2017 (1)      | 20 | UPPP             | 5.20 [3.29, 7.11]    |
| Amali-2017 (2)      | 20 | MRFTTA           | 5.73 [2.99, 8.47]    |
| Hong-2019 (1)       | 63 | ESPL             | 9.90 [7.87, 11.93]   |
| FE Model            |    |                  | 7.01 [5.99, 8.04]    |

Pre-post ESS
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