Impact of nutritional status on birth weight of neonates in Zahedan City, Iran
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to measure anthropometric and haemoglobin level and nutrient intake of expecting women in the third trimester of pregnancy and to relate the birth weight of neonates as outcome of pregnancy. A cross sectional study was performed in Zahedan City. Two hospitals situated in the city were selected based on their approval and cooperation. Five hundred healthy pregnant women in the age group 16-40 years were selected for this study. Findings showed that the mean height, weight, fundal height, and haemoglobin of pregnant women were 156.4 cm, 62.6 kg, 34.2 cm, and 11.1g/dl respectively. Nutrient intake was computed based on 24 hour recall method. The results showed that, the mean intake of energy was 1802 Kcal/day. The intake of protein, calcium, iron, zinc and magnesium were 70.7 g, 544.0 mg, 16.1 mg, 10.6 mg and 266.0 mg respectively. Percentage adequacy of nutrient intakes with reference to RDA recommendation showed 95% and 80% of subjects had sufficient RDA intakes, while energy, calcium, iron and zinc intake considered as insufficient. The mean birth weight of neonates was 3.0 kg and 13% of neonates showed low birth weight. Maternal height, weight, fundal height and haemoglobin level were significantly correlated with birth weight of neonates. Energy, protein and calcium intakes in the third trimester were significantly correlated with birth weight of neonates. Using the binary logistic regression analysis fundal height, haemoglobin level and energy intake of pregnant women were considered as predictor factors of birth weight of neonates.
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Introduction

Adequate nutrition is a human basic need and essentiality of life. Nutrient requirement is influenced by genetic and environmental factors, food pattern, age, and sex and growth rate [1]. Sufficient nutrition before and during pregnancy has enormous potential for promoting a long term health of the mother and her child. A woman who has been well nourished before conception begins her pregnancy with rich reserves of several nutrients so that the needs of the growing foetus can be met without damaging her health. Infants who are well nourished in the womb, have an enhanced chance of entering life in good health. Mother’s diet should provide adequate nutrients so that maternal stores do not get depleted [2,3]. Poor foetal growth has been attributed to widespread maternal under-nutrition. Therefore understanding maternal nutrition and foetal growth relationship is important [4-6]. Today’s infants are tomorrow’s adults and they are the future building blocks of the society. Therefore every child should be a wanted child and every pregnancy a planned pregnancy. In most developed countries, pregnancies are planned, complications are few and outcomes are generally favourable for both mother and infant. Adverse outcomes are far more frequent in developing world [7]. In developing countries such as Iran, women particularly of deprived districts are nutritionally at risk [6]. Zahedan City situated in the province of Sistan & Baluchestan province, with annually low rain, and warm and dry weather. There is no available data on the nutritional status of pregnant women in Zahedan City, also the relationship between maternal nutrition and birth weight of infant’s demands further attention. This study was designed to measure the maternal anthropometric parameters, haemoglobin level and nutrient intake, of expecting women in the third trimester of pregnancy and to relate with birth weight of neonates as outcome of pregnancy.

Subjects and Methods

Selection of area, hospitals and subjects

Zahedan, a city of Sistan and Baluchistan province located in eastern border of Iran, was selected for the study. From the three hospitals of the city, two of them namely, Ali-Ebn- Abitaleb and Tamine-Edjtemae, were selected based on their acceptance and cooperation. With the help of a statistician and the statistics of annual deliveries in each hospital, 7% of pregnant women were
selected to represent the target population i.e., pregnant women. Total pregnant women included for the study were as follows: Ali-Ebn- Abitaleb hospital 260 and Tamine- Edjtemae hospital 240, representing different socio-economic status. Pregnant women in the end of the third trimester (39 ± 1.9 weeks) of pregnancy were selected. The subjects followed up for a week after delivery. The inclusive criteria were age group (16 to 40 years) and who continuously visited for health care during the three trimesters of pregnancy in selected hospitals. The pregnant women with diabetes mellitus and cardio vascular disease (CVD) were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Human Ethical Committee of the University of Mysore, as the first author is a research scholar in the University of Mysore, India and this was approved by the authorities of the selected hospital in Iran. A written consent to participate in the study was obtained from each subject. The study was carried out in the year 2008 to 2009.

The required information about various aspects proposed to study was provided by questionnaires. Suitable questionnaires were formulated and pre-tested with small population (pilot study), and suitable modifications were introduced so as to obtain standard questioners. The subjects were interviewed to collect information about their family background and other information.

**Anthropometric measurements**

Anthropometric measurements, namely height and weight, were carried out by investigator using standard methodology as described by Jelliffee [8]. The measurements were made on the participants wearing a minimum amount of clothing. The weight of pregnant women was recorded at the third trimester by using digital weighing balance to the nearest 100 g (Calibrated after every 10 measurements). Height was measured in cm using a locally made anthrop-meter. The pregnant women were asked to maintain an upright and erect posture with her feet together and the back of her heels touching the pole of the anthrop-meter, and the horizontal headpiece was lowered onto the woman’s head and the measurements were taken to the nearest 0.5 cm. Fundal height was measured in cm by a physician at a distance between the symphysis pubis and the highest point of the uterine fundus, defined with a gentle pressure on a plain at right angle of the abdominal wall and was marked.

**Assessment of haemoglobin level**

Haemoglobin value was taken from clinical records when the subjects were hospitalized for child births. It was analyzed in hospital laboratory by cyanomethemoglobin method as described by WHO [9].

**Diet survey**

The dietary assessment of pregnant women was done at the end of the third trimester and food intakes were obtained using 24-hour dietary recall method. Probing questions were used to help the subjects remember all foods and drinks consumed the previous day. Questions were extended to methods of food preparation, portion sizes, as well as to approximate sizes of meals. Standard containers and weights were used to measure the quantity of intake of the cooked food [10]. The information about the quantity of raw material for cooking as well as the cooked food by the subject was recorded in terms of household measures/ number/kg to find out the quantum of raw food intake. The cooked and raw amount of foods consumed by each subjects was then used to prepare a ready recknor. This was prepared by the investigator using Iranian food preparation and the nutritive value of Iranian national food composition [11]. Nutrient adequacy of each subject was calculated, using the ready recknor with reference to Iranian recommendation [12].

**Anthropometric measurements of neonates**

Anthropometric measurements of neonates, namely weight, height, head and chest circumferences, were taken within 24 hours after birth, using standard procedure [8]. A beam balance by which accuracy of 50 g was employed for weighing the infants. Infants were weighted, with minimum clothing while the child was restful. Infant-meter was used for measuring the recumbent length of newborn infant. A lightweight fibreglass infant-meter was used to measure length up to 100 cms. Infants were laid on the board of the infant-meter, which was kept on flat table. The crown of the head was in contact with the top end of the device. The knee was extended, so that the feet were at right angles to the leg. The mobile device was then brought in contact with the feet of neonate and was allowed to be in contact with the device at the bottom end of the board. The distance between the two right angle devices was measured. The reading was recorded in centimetres with accuracy of 0.5 cms. The infant’s head was steadied and the greatest circumference measured, by placing the fibreglass tape firmly round the frontal bones, just superior to the supra-orbital ridges. The tape was passed round the head at the same level, on each side, and laying it over the maximum occipital prominence at the back. The infant’s chest circumference measurement was made at the nipple line, when the child was calm and breathing normally. The fibreglass tape was used for measuring the chest circumference.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were analysed by computer using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Inc Chicago IL, Version 11.5). Quantitative variables were analyzed by student’s t-test, and One way- ANOVA. When the One way- ANOVA results were significant, the Bonferroni test was used to determine whether significant difference exist between different variables means. Binary logistic regression analysis was done to find out among height, weight, fundal height, haemoglobin, energy and nutrient.
intake as independent variables that were significantly correlated with birth weight, which are as predictor factors of birth weight of neonates. Results were considered significant if $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

Tables 1 show details of selected pregnant women and her family background. The mean age of pregnant women was 25 ± 5.1 years and the age range was 16-40 years. Majority (38%) of pregnant women were in age group 21-23 years. Highest percentage (53%) of pregnant women were expecting first child. Eighty one percent of subjects were not employed. Thirty five percent of pregnant women had higher school level of education, followed by graduation (26%). Majority (49%) of subjects had income of Rials 2-5 million per month; other details of subjects are presented in Table 1.

**Anthropometric and haemoglobin level measurements**

Anthropometric measurements of subjects at the end of the third trimester namely height, weight along with fundal height and haemoglobin are given in Table 2. The finding showed mean height, weight, fundal height, and haemoglobin were, 156.4 cm, 62.6 kg, 34.2 cm and 11.1 g/dl respectively.

**Nutrient intake of pregnant women**

Energy and nutrient intake per day of subjects in the third trimester of pregnancy are presented in Table 3. Mean energy, protein, calcium, magnesium, iron and zinc were 1802.0 kcal, 70.7 g, 544.0 mg, 266.0 mg, 16.1 mg, and 10.6 mg respectively.

Percentage of adequacy of nutrient intake with reference to Iranian RDA recommendation [12] were computed and presented in Table 4. The finding showed 48 percent of pregnant women had energy intake more than recommended (75% of RDA) that was considered as sufficient, while 52 percent of them were considered as insufficient.

**Neonate information**

Table 5 shows data on prevalence of low birth weight of neonates. Majority (87%) of them had normal birth weight and

---

**Table 1. Information about pregnant women & their family background (n = 500)**

| Characteristics          | %     |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Age group (yr)           |       |
| 16-20                    | 19    |
| 21-23                    | 38    |
| 24-26                    | 22    |
| 27 and above             | 21    |
| Mean age (yr)            | 25.0 ± 5.1 |
| Parity (Number of pregnancy) |       |
| 1                        | 53    |
| 2                        | 23    |
| 3 and above              | 24    |
| Education (level)        |       |
| Illiterate               | 12    |
| Primary & Secondary      | 14 & 13|
| Higher                   | 35    |
| Graduation               | 26    |
| Total income of family in Rials in million (month) |     |
| < 2                      | 30    |
| 2-5                      | 49    |
| > 5                      | 21    |
| Occupation               | Employed | 19 |

**Table 2. Anthropometric measurements and haemoglobin level of pregnant women (III trimester, n = 500)**

| Parameters                | Mean ± SD  |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Height (cm)               | 156.4 ± 5.5|
| Weight (kg)               | 62.6 ± 6.8 |
| Fundal height (cm)        | 34.2 ± 1.7 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)        | 11.1 ± 1.1 |

**Table 3. Mean energy and nutrient intakes (per day) of pregnant women (III trimester, n = 500)**

| Energy & Nutrient intake (day) | Mean ± SD  |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Energy (kcal)                 | 1,802.0 ± 437.0 |
| Protein (g)                   | 70.7 ± 18.9 |
| Calcium (mg)                  | 544.0 ± 288.9 |
| Magnesium (mg)                | 266.0 ± 79.0 |
| Iron (mg)                     | 16.1 ± 4.3  |
| Zinc (mg)                     | 10.6 ± 3.4  |

10 percent of pregnant women mentioned that they had calcium supplementation as prescribed by physician. This is not included in the nutrient intake.

**Table 4. Distribution of pregnant women with intake 75 < RDA % > 75 (III trimester, n = 500)**

| Energy & Nutrient intake | RDA > RDA 75% (n) | < RDA 75% (n) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Energy (kcal)            | 2500              | 48 (239)     |
| Protein (g)              | 60                | 96 (477)     |
| Calcium (mg)             | 1200              | 20 (100)     |
| Magnesium (mg)           | 320               | 16 (76)      |
| Iron (mg)                | 50                | 6 (30)       |
| Zinc (mg)                | 15                | 51 (266)     |

11 98 percent of pregnant women mentioned that they consumed ferrous sulphate tablet during pregnancy period (from II trimester). Consumption of these tablets is not monitored by the investigator.

**Table 5. Prevalence LBW vs. NBW (n = 500)**

| Parameter                  | % (n) | Sex | % (n) |
|----------------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| Normal birth weight (2.5 kg-3.5 kg) | 87 (435) | Male | 50 (249) |
| Low birth weight (< 2.5 kg)   | 13 (65) | Male | 5 (27)  |

**Table 6. Anthropometric measurements of neonates (n = 500)**

| Parameters               | Mean ± SD  | Sex | t-test result |
|--------------------------|------------|-----|---------------|
| Weight (kg)              | 3.0 ± 0.5  | 5.1 | 4.7**         |
| Height (cm)              | 50.7 ± 1.9 | 51.0 ± 1.9 | 50.2 ± 1.9 | 4.8**  |
| Head circumference (cm)  | 34.4 ± 2.7 | 34.5 ± 3.1 | 34.2 ± 2.3 | 3.2**  |
| Chest circumference (cm) | 32.9 ± 1.9 | 33.1 ± 3.7 | 32.6 ± 1.9 | 2.8**  |

**P < 0.01**
thirteen percent of them were belonging to the category of low birth weight (LBW, less than 2.5 kg). Fifty percent of male and 36 percent of female had normal birth weight (NBW, \( \geq 2.5 \) kg), whereas five and eight percent of male and female had LBW respectively.

Anthropometric measurements of neonates namely weight, height, head and chest circumferences of neonates were 3.0 kg, 50.7 cm, 34.4 cm, and 32.9 cm respectively (Table 6). The findings showed male neonates were heavier and taller and their head and chest circumferences were higher than female neonates.

### Discussion

Nutritional status of pregnant women is known to influence quality and health of neonates [13]. Birth weight is the most sensitive and reliable indicator of health of an infant and associated with the health and survival of them. Low birth weight (LBW) is an indicator of poor health of neonates. Considerable percentage of neonates (87%) was classified as NBW and 13% showed LBW. Similar percentage of results in Zahedan hospitals was reported by Roudbari et al. [14], which stated that 12% of neonates had LBW. However, percentage of LBW reported in different parts of Iran by UNICEF and WHO (7%) and Rahimi Sharfai (9%) [15,16], showed LBW were lower than present study. The main reason for variations in level of LBW may be different geographic regions and bioavailability of food is affected due to climate variations. Male neonates showed significantly higher height, weight, head and chest circumferences than females (Table 6). Similar results were reported by Som et al. [17] from India, Kato [18] from Japan and Moore et al. [19] from Australia and Zadkarimi [20] from Iran.

The relationship between maternal nutritional status and birth weight was examined to find out association between birth weight and nutritional status of pregnant women. NBW and LBW were considered as the parameters of birth weight and results presented in Table 7. As shown, pregnant women who gave birth to LBW babies had significantly lower anthropometric measurements and haemoglobin level than women who gave birth to neonates with normal birth weight babies. It was also observed that these women who gave birth to normal neonates had significantly higher consumption of energy and protein, calcium and iron than who gave birth to neonates with LBW. The present findings were similar with findings that reported by Al- Shosan [21] from Saudi Arabia and Rao et al. [5] from India; Parvathi and Khynunissa Begum [22] from India, whom that reported association between energy and nutrient intake with birth weight of neonates.

Different levels of nutritional status of pregnant women with reference to variations in birth weight of neonates were analysed. The data was subjected to One-way ANOVA and the results are presented in Table 8. It is clear from table that the taller pregnant babies had significantly lower anthropometric measurements and haemoglobin level than women who gave birth to neonates with normal birth weight babies. It was also observed that these women who gave birth to normal neonates had significantly higher consumption of energy and protein, calcium and iron than who gave birth to neonates with LBW. The present findings were similar with findings that reported by Al- Shosan [21] from Saudi Arabia and Rao et al. [5] from India; Parvathi and Khynunissa Begum [22] from India, whom that reported association between energy and nutrient intake with birth weight of neonates.

**Table 7. LBW and NBW of neonates: Nutritional status of pregnant women (Third trimester, \( n = 500 \))**

| Nutritional parameter | LBW     | Normal  | t-test results |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Weight (kg)           | 57.5 ± 7.2 | 63.4 ± 6.3 | 6.2** |
| Height (cm)           | 154.3 ± 6.8 | 156.7 ± 5.2 | 3.4** |
| Fundal height (cm)    | 32.2 ± 2.2 | 34.5 ± 1.4 | 8.6** |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)    | 9.9 ± 1.0 | 11.3 ± 1.0 | 10.8** |
| Energy (kcal/day)     | 1331.0 ± 258.0 | 1872.0 ± 414.0 | 14.3** |
| Protein (g/day)       | 52.8 ± 16.2 | 73.3 ± 17.8 | 9.4** |
| Calcium (mg/day)      | 361.0 ± 205.7 | 572.0 ± 290.0 | 7.2** |
| Magnesium (mg/day)    | 265.0 ± 86.3 | 269.0 ± 78.0 | 0.4NS |
| Iron (mg/day)         | 15.1 ± 3.9 | 16.2 ± 4.4 | 2.1* |
| Zinc (mg/day)         | 10.2 ± 1.7 | 10.7 ± 2.1 | 1.0NS |

* \( P < 0.05 \)
** \( P < 0.01 \)
NS: Not Significant

**Table 8. Birth weight vs. nutritional status of pregnant women**

| Parameters                  | Mean birth weight | \( F \) values |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Height (cm)                 |                   |                |
| \(< 150.0\)                 | 2.8a              | 10.5*          |
| \(150.0-160.0\)             | 3.0b              |                |
| \(> 160.0\)                 | 3.1b              |                |
| Weight (kg)                 |                   |                |
| \(< 50.0\)                  | 2.5a              | 25.0*          |
| \(50.0-54.9\)               | 2.7b              |                |
| \(55.0-59.9\)               | 2.8b              |                |
| \(60.0-64.9\)               | 3.0c              |                |
| \(\geq 65.0\)               | 3.2d              |                |
| Fundal height (cm)          |                   |                |
| \(28.0-30.0\)               | 2.5a              | 37.7*          |
| \(31.0-33.0\)               | 2.8b              |                |
| \(34.0-36.0\)               | 3.1c              |                |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)          |                   |                |
| \(< 9.0\)                   | 2.3a              | 63.7*          |
| \(9.0-9.9\)                 | 2.5b              |                |
| \(10.0-10.9\)               | 2.9c              |                |
| \(\geq 11.0\)               | 3.2d              |                |
| Energy intake (kcal/day)    |                   |                |
| \(< 1500.0\)                | 2.6a              | 74.2*          |
| \(1,500.0-1,999.9\)         | 3.1b              |                |
| \(2,000.0-2,499.9\)         | 3.3c              |                |
| \(\geq 2500.0\)             | 3.4d              |                |
| Protein intake (g/day)      |                   |                |
| \(> 40.0\)                  | 2.3a              | 66.0*          |
| \(40.0-49.9\)               | 2.4b              |                |
| \(50.0-59.9\)               | 2.9c              |                |
| \(\geq 60.0\)               | 3.2d              |                |
| Calcium intake (mg/day)     |                   |                |
| \(< 800.0\)                 | 3.0a              | 11.0*          |
| \(800.0-1200.0\)            | 3.2b              |                |
| \(> 1200.0\)                | 3.4d              |                |
| Magnesium intake (mg/day)   |                   |                |
| \(< 280.0\)                 | 3.0a              | 1.6            |
| \(280.0-320.0\)             | 3.1b              |                |
| \(> 320.0\)                 | 3.1c              |                |
| Iron intake (mg/day)        |                   |                |
| \(< 22.0\)                  | 2.9a              | 9.4*           |
| \(22.0-30.0\)               | 3.1b              |                |
| \(> 30.0\)                  | 3.1b              |                |
| Zinc intake (mg/day)        |                   |                |
| \(< 12.0\)                  | 3.0a              | 2.7            |
| \(12.0-15.0\)               | 3.1b              |                |
| \(> 15.0\)                  | 3.1b              |                |

* \( P < 0.05 \)
Different superscript indicate significant difference at 5% level as shown by post hoc Bonferroni.
women (more than 150 cm) gave birth to significantly heavier babies (3.0 kg) when compared to shorter women (2.8 kg). Pregnant women with weight less than 50 kg gave birth to babies (3.0 kg) when compared to shorter women (2.8 kg).

Table 9. Results of binary logistic regression

| Variables                  | B      | SE     | Wald   | Sig    | EXP(B) Lower | Upper |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|-------|
| Height (cm)                | 0.001  | 0.043  | 0.001  | 0.984  | 0.921        | 1.088 |
| Weight (kg)                | -0.006 | 0.040  | 0.025  | 0.875  | 0.919        | 1.075 |
| Fundal height (cm)         | 0.405  | 0.096  | 17.931 | 0.001  | 1.243        | 1.808 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dL)         | 0.816  | 0.207  | 15.534 | 0.001  | 1.507        | 3.392 |
| Energy intake (kcal/day)   | 0.004  | 0.001  | 27.512 | 0.001  | 1.002        | 1.005 |
| Protein intake (g/day)     | 0.018  | 0.019  | 0.864  | 0.353  | 1.006        | 1.057 |
| Calcium intake (mg/day)    | -0.003 | 0.003  | 1.151  | 0.283  | 0.992        | 1.002 |
| Magnesium intake (mg/day)  | 0.010  | 0.041  | 0.062  | 0.803  | 0.932        | 1.095 |
| Iron intake (mg/day)       | -0.103 | 0.055  | 3.416  | 0.065  | 0.809        | 1.006 |

Variable(s) entered for analyzing: Height, Weight, Fundal height, Haemoglobin, Energy intake, Protein intake, Calcium intake, Magnesium intake, Iron intake.

As evident from Table 8 that there is a significant association evident between the birth weight of neonates and the maternal height, weight fundal height, haemoglobin value, energy, protein, calcium and iron intake.

To conclude, maternal height, weight, fundal height and haemoglobin level were significantly correlated with birth weight of neonates. Energy, protein and calcium intakes in the third trimester were significantly correlated with birth weight of neonates. Nutritional status of pregnant women influenced birth weight of their neonates. Adequate nutrient intake is important for pregnancy outcome. Fundal height, haemoglobin and energy intake of pregnant women could be considered as primary predictor factors for birth weight of neonates. Similar results was reported by Rao et al. [5] from India, who stated that energy intake and mother’s weight were associated with birth weight of neonates. In Saudi Arabia Al-Shosan [21] showed that caloric intake was the best choice for birth weight.
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