Mapping endocrine toxicity spectrum of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a disproportionality analysis using the WHO adverse drug reaction database, VigiBase
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Abstract
Purpose Our study aimed to map endocrine toxicity spectrum of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs).
Methods We obtained data from VigiBase, between January 1, 2011 and March 6, 2019. All endocrine adverse drug reactions (ADRs) were classified by group queries according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. Disproportionality analysis was performed with information component (IC) and reporting odds ratio (ROR). We used IC to identify meaningful endocrinopathies associated with ICIs and ROR to compare differences between ICI subgroups of ADRs. IC025 (lower end of the 95% confidence interval of IC) is considered significant if larger than 0.
Results In all, 6089 reports for endocrinopathies associated with ICIs were involved, with a male to female ratio of 1.5:1. The disproportionality analysis indicated significance of not only common endocrinopathies: thyroid dysfunction, hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, adrenal insufficiency, T1DM, fulminant T1DM (IC025: 4.12–6.62), but also rare endocrinopathies: hypoparathyroidism, diabetes insipidus, hypogonadism (IC025: 1.56–2.04). Increased risk of ADR reporting emerged in anti-CTLA-4 (e.g., hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, adrenal insufficiency) or in anti-PD-1/PD-L1 (e.g., thyroid dysfunction, T1DM, fulminant T1DM). In general, combination therapy (anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-PD-1/PD-L1) had a stronger association with endocrinopathies than monotherapy (ROR: 2.8, 95% CI: 2.5–3.1). Onset time of common endocrinopathies differed between different ICI therapies, typically within 12 weeks in anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy but diffusely ranging from 0 to 48 weeks in anti-PD-1 monotherapy.
Conclusions Our study shows rising reporting frequencies of endocrinopathies caused by ICIs, especially aggravated in combination therapy. Clinicians should be early aware of latent endocrine toxicity and different onset time of endocrinopathies when implementing ICI therapies.
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Introduction
Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have received extensive attentions as one of the most successful immunotherapies to date, which can significantly improve clinical outcomes in multiple cancer types [1]. However, ICIs may also induce a large series of autoimmune adverse events, known as immune-related adverse events (irAE), which are completely different from those of conventional chemotherapy, radiation and other cancer treatments. These irAEs can affect numerous organs in the body, including common organs such as skin, liver, colon, lung, and endocrine system, and less common organs such as kidney, eye, nervous system, cardiovascular system, musculoskeletal system, and hematologic system [2, 3]. Endocrine
adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are the most often occurred irAEs with ICIs, including thyroid dysfunction (hyperthyroidism and hypothyroidism), hypophysitis, primary adrenal insufficiency, and insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus [4, 5]. Although the severity of most endocrine ADRs is from mild to moderate [6, 7], life-threatening complications may occur if patients do not receive a regular evaluation or treatment [8, 9].

In view of the limitations of randomized clinical trials, often with small sample size and a homogenous population, the analysis of international spontaneous reporting systems allows to map a broader profile by collecting reports of ADRs in real-world submitted worldwide, which ensures us to rapidly detect even rare endocrine ADRs. In this study, we use VigiBase, the WHO global database of individual case safety reports [10], which is managed by the Uppsala Monitoring Centre (UMC) in Sweden, to fully understand the toxicity spectrum and clinical features of ICI-related endocrinopathies.

**Methods**

**Study design and data sources**

The study was designed as an observational, retrospective, pharmacovigilance study based on VigiBase database. As a spontaneous reporting system, VigiBase allows for disproportionality analysis, by which to detect and quantify the associations between target drugs and suspected ADRs. Reports of ADRs were submitted from multiple sources, such as physicians, pharmacists, other health care professionals, and patients. In VigiBase, all ADRs are coded in line with Adverse Reaction Terminology (WHO-ART) and Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA; www.who-umc.org).

**Procedures**

Five ICI drugs were analyzed and discussed in this study, including anti-CTLA-4 antibodies (ipilimumab), anti-PD-1 antibodies (nivolumab and pembrolizumab), and anti-PD-L1 antibodies (atezolizumab and durvalumab). Combination therapy refers to the therapy using both ipilimumab and anti-PD-1/PD-L1. The study included all reports of endocrine ADRs associated with ICIs, from January 1, 2011 to March 6, 2019. Each report was coded using Preferred Terms from MedDRA (version 20.1). In this study, the definition of ICI-DM includes the following MedDRA terms: type 1 diabetes mellitus, diabetes mellitus, fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, and diabetes ketoacidosis. Other endocrine-related MedDRA terms can be found in Fig. 1.

Endocrine ADRs assessed in the analysis were those submitted as suspected to be caused by ICIs. Before performing statistical analysis, duplicate reports were removed. Each report contained report ID, notifier, country of origin, patient features (gender and age), reason for drug use, dosage regimen, onset time of ADRs, and final outcomes. One patient had a unique report ID, and one report ID may include more than one event. Fatal outcomes were defined as those resulting in death, life-threatening, hospitalization (initial or prolonged), or any other medically critical conditions.

**Statistical analysis**

In this pharmacovigilance study, disproportionality analysis was used to compare the proportion of selected ADRs reported for a single drug or a group of drugs (e.g., ICIs) with the proportion of the same ADRs for a control group of drugs. The denominator is the total number of reports of ADRs for each drug or group of drugs. If the proportion of one ADR is significantly greater in patients exposed to a selected drug (cases) than in patients not exposed to this drug (non-cases), then an association can be identified between the selected drug and the ADR. Through this case/non-case analysis, we evaluate whether the occurrence of endocrine ADRs was differentially reported between ICIs (or ICI subgroup) and other drugs.

Reporting odds ratio (ROR) and information component (IC) were used to calculate disproportionality. IC derives from a Bayesian confidence propagation neural network (BCPNN) [11], which reflects the strength of the drug-adverse event association. Unlike ROR, IC can provide a conservative measure of association and reduce the risk of highlighting spurious associations, particularly for ADRs with very low expected frequencies in a large database (e.g., VigiBase) [12]. Therefore, we used IC to identify meaningful endocrine ADRs, while using ROR to compare differences in the reporting of endocrine ADRs caused by different ICI subgroups. Statistical formulas [13] of IC and ROR are as follows:

| Selected ADR | Other ADRs | Total |
|--------------|------------|-------|
| **Selected drug** | A | B | A + B |
| Contrast drugs | C | D | C + D |

ROR = \( \frac{(A + C)}{(B + D)} \)

IC = \( \log_2 \left( \frac{(A + 0.5)}{(N_{\text{expected}} + 0.5)} \right) \)

\( N_{\text{expected}} = \left( \frac{(A + B) \times (A + C)}{(A + B + C + D)} \right) \)
ICO25 is defined as the lower bound of 95% confidence interval for IC value and positive ICO25 is the traditional threshold for statistical significance used in signal detection at the UMC. We implemented a cluster method on all positive ICO25 and got three clusters (0–3, 3–5, 5+), and therefore we use thresholds of 3 and 5 to divide ICO25 into three categories (regarded as weak, moderate, and strong association, respectively). On the analysis of ROR, a criterion based on minimum count of drug-adverse event (N > 3) was imposed [12]. ROR is identified significant if the lower bound of 95% CI is larger than 1.

Descriptive statistical methods were used to summarize clinical characteristics of endocrine ADRs. The differences of onset time were tested by t-test (equaled variance) or Welch’s t test (unequaled variances) or ANOVA after a logarithmic transformation. The analysis in our report was performed with Python-3.7.0 and python packages of numpy-1.17.2, scipy-1.1.0, pandas-0.23.4, matplotlib-2.2.2.

IC025 is defined as the lower bound of 95% confidence interval for IC value and positive IC025 is the traditional threshold for statistical significance used in signal detection at the UMC. We implemented a cluster method on all positive IC025 and got three clusters (0–3, 3–5, 5+), and therefore we use thresholds of 3 and 5 to divide IC025 into three categories (regarded as weak, moderate, and strong association, respectively). On the analysis of ROR, a criterion based on minimum count of drug-adverse event (N ≥ 3) was imposed [12]. ROR is identified significant if the lower bound of 95% CI is larger than 1.

Descriptive statistical methods were used to summarize clinical characteristics of endocrine ADRs. The differences of onset time were tested by t-test (equaled variance) or Welch’s t test (unequaled variances) or ANOVA after a logarithmic transformation. The analysis in our report was performed with Python-3.7.0 and python packages of numpy-1.17.2, scipy-1.1.0, pandas-0.23.4, matplotlib-2.2.2.

Results

Descriptive analysis

From January 1, 2011 to March 6, 2019, 13,300,773 ADRs from patients who received any drugs (full database) were reported in VigiBase. In all, 6089 report cases for endocrine ADRs, which contained at least one IC therapy record, were involved after de-duplication and preprocessing. The demographic data of patients with IC-related endocrinopathies were described in Table 1. Most cases were observed in patients with malignant melanoma, lung cancer, or renal cell carcinoma. Nivolumab (N=2449, 40.2%) had the largest number of reports, followed by pembrolizumab (N=1342, 22.0%) and ipilimumab (N=1115, 18.3%). Most cases were reported between 2017 and 2019 (>75%), especially for anti-PD-1 monotherapy and combination therapy, reflecting a considerably increasing usage of ICIs
in recent years. The reports of endocrine ADRs were mainly from Europe (43.8%), followed by Americas (30.0%), and Asia (23.4%). Among all endocrine ADRs, young adults (<65 years old) and old aged (≥65 years old) had similar proportions, and the male was slightly dominant both in total (male: 60.1% vs. female: 39.9%) and in different ICI subgroups (male: 54.3–68.8%, female: 31.2–45.7%). Health professionals were the main notifiers of all reports (88.4%, peaking at 97.2% for durvalumab), which greatly increased the reliability of the dataset. There were 2483 cases (69.5%, 3572 cases with the final outcomes reported) reported as being in remission or recovered after standard treatment.

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with ICI-related endocrinopathies collected from VigiBase

|                  | ICI (total) | Monotherapy | Combination therapy |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
|                  | N (%)       | Nivolumab   | Pembrolizumab       |
| Year             | 6089 (100.0%) | 1115 (18.3%) | 2449 (40.2%) |
|                  | 1342 (22.0%) | 111 (1.8%)  | 110 (1.8%)       |
|                  | 962 (15.8%)  |             |                     |
|                  | 2011        | 23 (0.4%)  | 23 (2.1%)          |
|                  | 2012        | 80 (1.3%)  | 79 (7.1%)          |
|                  | 2013        | 79 (1.3%)  | 77 (6.9%)          |
|                  | 2014        | 174 (2.9%) | 166 (14.9%)        |
|                  | 2015        | 407 (6.7%) | 233 (20.9%)        |
|                  | 2016        | 676 (11.1%)| 159 (14.3%)        |
|                  | 2017        | 1595 (26.2%)| 187 (16.8%) |
|                  | 2018        | 2612 (42.9%)| 171 (15.3%) |
|                  | 2019        | 443 (7.3%)  | 20 (1.8%)          |
| Gender           |             |             |                     |
| Female           | 2279/5711 (39.9%) | 395/1030 (38.3%) | 879/2314 (38.0%) |
| Male             | 3432/5711 (60.1%) | 635/1030 (61.7%) | 1435/2314 (62.0%) |
| Age group        |             |             |                     |
| <65              | 2233/4508 (49.5%) | 456/831 (54.9%) | 817/1794 (45.5%) |
| ≥65              | 2275/4508 (50.5%) | 375/831 (45.1%) | 977/1794 (54.5%) |
| Region           |             |             |                     |
| Americas         | 1826 (30.0%) | 584 (9.6%)  | 405 (16.5%)        |
| Asia             | 1427 (23.4%) | 72 (1.2%)   | 734 (30.0%)        |
| Europe           | 2665 (43.8%) | 388 (6.4%)  | 1289 (52.6%)       |
| Oceania          | 170 (2.8%)  | 71 (1.2%)   | 21 (0.9%)          |
| Africa           | 1 (0.0%)    | 0           | 0                  |
| Notifier1        | 5220/5906 (88.4%) | 782/1024 (76.4%) | 2262/2427 (93.2%) |
| Notifier2        | 686/5906 (11.6%) | 242/1024 (23.6%) | 165/2427 (6.8%) |
| Indication       |             |             |                     |
| Malignant melanoma | 2328/5348 (43.5%) | 792/839 (94.4%) | 431/2230 (19.3%) |
| Lung cancer      | 1912/5348 (35.8%) | 293/839 (34.9%) | 1899/2230 (85.4%) |
| Renal cell carcinoma | 330/5348 (6.2%) | 1/839 (0.1%) | 284/2230 (12.7%) |
| Cancer of head and neck | 83/5348 (1.6%) | 1/839 (0.1%) | 72/2230 (3.2%) |
| Gastric carcinoma | 61/5348 (1.1%) | 0 | 56/2230 (2.5%) |
| Bronchial carcinoma | 49/5348 (0.9%) | 0 | 43/2230 (1.9%) |
| Urothelial carcinoma | 43/5348 (0.8%) | 0 | 6/2230 (0.3%) |
| Others           | 542/5348 (10.1%) | 43/839 (5.1%) | 149/2230 (6.7%) |
| Final outcome    |             |             |                     |
| Fatal            | 64/3572 (1.8%) | 17/84381 (3.5%) | 21/1520 (1.4%) |
| Not recovered/not resolved | 1025/3572 (28.7%) | 128/84381 (26.6%) | 423/1520 (27.8%) |
| Recovered/resolved | 2483/3572 (69.5%) | 336/84381 (69.9%) | 1076/1520 (70.8%) |

Data are described as n (%), n/N (%)

*Notifier1 refers to physician, pharmacist and other health professional. Notifier2 refers to lawyer, consumer*

*Indication refers to the primary tumors treated by ICIs, and the complete indications are provided in Attachment 1*

*A fatal outcome was defined as causing death; being life-threatening; requiring hospitalization (initial or prolonged); or causing any other medically critical diseases*

*Combination therapies include ipilimumab + nivolumab (N = 885), ipilimumab + pembrolizumab (N = 50), ipilimumab + nivolumab + pembrolizumab (N = 27)*

*Only contains cases before March 6, 2019*
while death and life-threatening events occurred in 64 (1.8%) cases.

Disproportionality analysis

The comprehensive spectrum of endocrine ADRs

The disproportionality analysis highlighted several ICI-related endocrinopathies with large significant IC values and narrow 95% confidence intervals, mainly invading the thyroid, pituitary, adrenal, and pancreas: thyroid dysfunction, including hypothyroidism \((N = 1077;\) IC value: 4.22, 95% CI: 4.12–4.30), hyperthyroidism \((N = 926;\) IC value: 4.66, 95% CI: 4.55–4.74), thyroiditis \((N = 266;\) IC value: 5.52, 95% CI: 5.32–5.67); pituitary disease, including hypophysitis \((N = 747;\) IC value: 6.74, 95% CI: 6.62–6.82), hypopituitarism \((N = 268;\) IC value: 6.12, 95% CI: 5.92–6.27); adrenal insufficiency \((N = 723;\) IC value: 5.37, 95% CI: 5.25–5.46); pancreas disease, including type 1 diabetes mellitus \((N = 282;\) IC value: 4.66, 95% CI: 4.47–4.80), diabetic ketoacidosis \((N = 230;\) IC value: 2.58, 95% CI: 2.36–2.74), fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus \((N = 105;\) IC value: 6.05, 95% CI: 5.73–6.27) (Fig. 1). Notably, we also discovered some rare reports with large statistically significance: adrenal crisis \((N = 50;\) IC value: 4.16, 95% CI: 3.70–4.49), thyrotoxic crisis \((N = 11;\) IC value: 3.55, 95% CI: 2.61–4.20), hypoparathyroidism \((N = 11;\) IC value: 3.12, 95% CI: 2.04–3.84), diabetes insipidus \((N = 16;\) IC value: 2.35, 95% CI: 1.56–2.90), hypogonadism \((N = 7;\) IC value: 2.97, 95% CI: 1.76–3.77).

Comparison of endocrine ADRs in different ICI monotherapies

With further analysis, different reporting frequencies of endocrine ADRs were observed in different ICI therapies compared with the full database (Fig. 2). For monotherapy, anti-PD-1 treatment had a broader spectrum of endocrine toxicity than anti-CTLA-4 treatment. Anti-CTLA-4 had the strongest signal in hypophysitis \((N = 394;\) IC\(_{0.25}: 8.05)\). There were other endocrinopathies strongly associated with ipilimumab \((IC_{0.25} \geq 5.0)\): hypopituitarism, adrenal insufficiency, lymphocytic hypophysitis, moderately associated with ipilimumab \((3.0 \leq IC_{0.25} < 5.0)\): pituitary enlargement, acute adenocortical insufficiency, thyroiditis, and weakly associated with ipilimumab \((0 < IC_{0.25} < 3.0)\): hypothyroidism, hypothyroidism, primary adrenal insufficiency, diabetes insipidus, hypogonadism, type 1 diabetes mellitus, etc. For fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, it was observed strongly associated only with anti-PD-1 among all ICI monotherapies \((N = 64\) for nivolumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 5.69;\) \(N = 24\) for pembrolizumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 4.52)\) but unassociated with anti-CTLA-4 \((N = 1;\) IC\(_{0.25}: -2.55)\) and not reported with anti-PD-L1. Anti-PD-1 monotherapy was also strongly associated with hypophysitis, hypopituitarism and adrenal insufficiency, moderate associated with thyroid dysfunction, type 1 diabetes mellitus, etc. (Fig. 2) Interestingly, we observed Basedow’s disease weak associated with nivolumab \((N = 11, IC_{0.25}: 1.14)\).

Anti-PD-L1 induced the narrowest endocrine toxicity spectrum among all ICI monotherapies (Fig. 2), with the least number of reports \((N = 111\) for durvalumab, \(N = 110\) for atezolizumab). There were more reports of hyperthyroidism \((N = 38\) for durvalumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 4.61;\) \(N = 24\) for atezolizumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 2.89)\) and hypothyroidism \((N = 34\) for durvalumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 3.95;\) \(N = 34\) for atezolizumab, IC\(_{0.25}: 3.19)\). In addition, anti-PD-L1 also induced some endocrine ADRs reported with statistically weak significance, such as diabetic ketoacidosis, adrenal insufficiency, type 1 diabetes mellitus, and hypophysitis (Fig. 2).

Figure 3 demonstrates the differences in endocrine toxicity spectrum between anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy and anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy, suggesting that although anti-PD-1/PD-L1 had a larger proportion of reported cases, significant ROR values (higher than 1) were only found in endocrine ADRs related to pancreas and thyroid, such as type 1 diabetes mellitus (ROR 6.2, 95% CI: 2.6–14.8), diabetic ketoacidosis (ROR: 4.3, 95% CI: 1.8–10.4), hyperthyroidism (ROR: 3.6, 95% CI: 2.4–5.3), hypothyroidism (ROR: 2.3, 95% CI: 1.7–3.0).

Comparison of endocrine AEs between combination and monotherapy

Combination therapy-related endocrinopathies covered endocrine ADRs induced by anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy and anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy. Combination therapy was strongly associated with hypophysitis \((N = 153, IC_{0.25}: 7.29)\), adrenal insufficiency \((N = 118, IC_{0.25}: 5.80)\), thyroiditis \((N = 57, IC_{0.25}: 5.70)\), hypopituitarism \((N = 35, IC_{0.25}: 5.26)\), and hyperthyroidism \((N = 131, IC_{0.25}: 5.10)\). We also observed hypoparathyroidism with a weak association with combination therapy \((N = 5, IC_{0.25}: 1.20)\) and a weak association with pembrolizumab \((N = 3, IC_{0.25}: 0.19)\), but not reported in ipilimumab. Figure 3 shows that combination therapy had a stronger association with almost all endocrine ADRs than monotherapy and the ROR of total endocrine ADRs was 2.8 (95% CI: 2.5–3.1).

Onset time of ICI-related endocrinopathies

The onset time of 2765 cases of ICI-related endocrinopathies was reported. We analyzed the characteristics of onset time of some ADRs with cases more than 300 and
targeted on four drug subgroups (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, ipilimumab, combination therapy), including hyperthyroidism ($N = 525$), hypothyroidism ($N = 502$), adrenal insufficiency ($N = 320$), hypophysitis/hypopituitarism ($N = 416$). In addition, due to similar characteristics of onset time of these four ADRs: type 1 diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, hyperglycaemic hyperosmolar nonketotic syndrome.

Fig. 2 Endocrine toxicity spectra in different ICI therapies. IC information component, IC$_{0.25}$ the lower end of the 95% confidence interval of IC. IC$_{0.25} > 0$ is regarded as statistically significant. Combination therapies include ipilimumab + nivolumab, ipilimumab + pembrolizumab, and ipilimumab + nivolumab + pembrolizumab.
diabetes mellitus, fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, and diabetes ketoacidosis, we grouped them as ICI-DM ($N = 319$) for further analysis.

Figure 4 shows that: (1) when using ipilimumab, ICI-DM ($N = 7$), hyperthyroidism ($N = 13$), hypothyroidism ($N = 26$), hypophysitis/hypopituitarism ($N = 151$) mainly occurred within 12 weeks (100%, 92.3%, 80.8%, 63.4%, 71.5%, respectively), with median onset time ranging from 9 to 10 weeks. There was no significant difference in the onset time between these five ADRs ($P = 0.570$). (2) When using nivolumab, the onset time of endocrine ADRs was statistically different ($P < 0.001$). Hyperthyroidism occurred soon, with median time of 5 weeks (IQR 3–9). However, the onset time of other endocrine ADRs diffusely ranged from 0 to 48 weeks, with median onset time of 17 weeks for ICI-DM, adrenal insufficiency, hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, and 14 weeks for hypothyroidism. Thirty-one cases (15.7%, total 197) of ICI-DM even occurred more than 48 weeks after nivolumab initiation. (3) When using pembrolizumab, onset time of endocrine ADRs was roughly similar to that of nivolumab. Hyperthyroidism was also occurred soon after pembrolizumab initiation, with median time of 6 weeks (IQR 3–10). Differently, onset time of ICI-DM, adrenal insufficiency and hypothyroidism were slightly earlier than that of nivolumab, mainly within 24 weeks, with median onset time ranging from 12 to 14 weeks, but only ICI-DM and adrenal insufficiency had statistical significance ($P = 0.034$ and 0.047, respectively). (4) When using combination therapy (ipilimumab plus anti-PD-1/PD-L1), all endocrine ADRs were imposed. SIADH inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, secondary ACI secondary adrenocortical insufficiency, adrenal crisis acute adrenocortical insufficiency, fulminant T1DM fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus.

| ADR                        | ICE-DM   | hyperthyroidism | hypothyroidism | hypophysitis/hypopituitarism | hypothyroidism | SIADH   | thyroid disorder | thyrotoxic crisis | type 1 diabetes mellitus | type 2 diabetes mellitus | total |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| adrenal crisis             | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| adrenal disorder           | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| adrenal insufficiency      | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| autoimmune thyroid disorder| 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| basedow's disease          | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| diabetes insipidus         | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| diabetes mellitus          | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| diabetic ketoacidosis      | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| endocrine disorder         | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| goitre                     | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| gout                       | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hyperthyroidism            | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hyperuricaemia             | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hypoglycaemia              | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hypophysitis               | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hypopituitarism            | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hypothalamo-pituitary disorder | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| hypothyroidism             | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| lymphocytic hypophysitis   | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| primary adrenal insufficiency | 100%   | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| secondary ACI              | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| secondary hypothyroidism   | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |
| SIADH                      | 100%     | 100%            | 100%           | 100%                          | 100%           | 100%    | 100%             | 100%                | 100%                   | 100%                   | 100%  |

Fig. 3 Comparison of endocrine ADRs in different ICI therapies. a P1 vs. C4: anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy vs. anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy. b P1+C4 vs. P1/C4: anti-PD-1/PD-L1 combined with anti-CTLA-4 vs. anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy and anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy. On the analysis of ROR, a minimum count of drug-adverse reaction ($N \geq 3$) was imposed. SIADH inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, secondary ACI secondary adrenocortical insufficiency, adrenal crisis acute adrenocortical insufficiency, fulminant T1DM fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus.
ADRs we focused on mainly occurred within 48 weeks, with extremely low proportion of more than 48 weeks (all <3% in each ADR). Compared with nivolumab and pembrolizumab, respectively, onset time of hypothyroidism induced by combination therapy was significantly earlier (14 weeks (IQR 8–22) for nivolumab, 13 weeks (IQR 6–20) for pembrolizumab, 7 weeks (IQR 3–12) for combination therapy, both P < 0.001), while there was no significantly difference in ICI-DM, adrenal insufficiency, hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, and hyperthyroidism (P > 0.05, respectively). When comparing combination therapy with ipilimumab monotherapy, we found no difference in onset time of the analyzed endocrine ADRs, except for a slight statistically difference in adrenal insufficiency (13 weeks (IQR 8–24) for combination therapy, 10 weeks (IQR 6–14) for ipilimumab, P = 0.044).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the largest and most extensive analysis of endocrine ADRs associated with ICIs collected from a worldwide pharmacovigilance database. Our study identified common and even rare endocrine ADRs and showed differences in endocrine toxicity spectra and onset time between different ICI therapies. Overall, four main findings emerged in our study.

First, based on VigiBase, ICI-related endocrinopaties had been increasing since 2017, with a male to female ratio of 1.5:1 and resulting in low incidence of fatal consequences. The reports of ICI-related endocrinopathies had been increasing significantly over the past 3 years, with the largest contribution from anti-PD-1 monotherapy, followed by combination therapies (ipilimumab plus anti-PD-1/PD-L1). This may be due to a variety of reasons, including the rapid expansion of therapeutic indications for anti-PD-1 in different tumors over the past 5 years, high expectations for this emerging immunotherapy when encountering bottlenecks in anti-cancer process, and a higher rate of overall response in patients contributed by combination therapy [14–16]. In addition, we observed that among all analyzed ICI-related endocrinopathies, male accounted for a larger proportion than female. This seems to be inconsistent with
the incidence of autoimmune disease in women. But it reported that melanoma and non-small cell lung cancer, top two common causes patients received ICI therapies, had a higher incidence in male than female [17, 18]. Whether the total cardinality of male cancer patients is the main factor of gender differences for ICI-related endocrinopathies remains to be further studied.

The severity of immune-related endocrine events is usually grades 1–2, and the incidence of adverse events in grades 3–4 does not exceed 2% [7]. Our study observed that only 64 cases (1.8%) of all ICI-related endocrinopathies resulted in fatal consequences, which were mainly related to hypophysitis, acute adrenocortical insufficiency, hypothyroidism, diabetic ketoacidosis, fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, thyrotoxic crisis. We also found that nearly 70% of patients were recovered or attained remission from ICI-related endocrinopathies after standard treatment or a withdrawal of ICI. Some reports indicated that patients with irAEs have a higher rate of tumor response and a longer progression-free survival than those without irAEs [19, 20]. Consequently, given the safety and efficacy of ICI drugs, there is usually no need to reduce or discontinue drugs in cases of mild and moderate endocrine ADRs under vigilant clinical monitoring [5, 21].

Second, ICI-related endocrinopathies invaded almost all glands of the endocrine system, including pituitary (anterior and posterior lobes), adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, gonad, pancreas. Some of these endocrine ADRs were common and some were rare.

We evaluated the signal strength of each endocrine ADRs in the study. All ICI-related endocrinopathies were observed compared with the whole database. ICI-related endocrinopathies invaded almost all glands of the endocrine system and our previous study showed that different endocrinopathies could occur simultaneously [22]. We reported the largest number of fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus to date, which was mainly reported in individual cases [23, 24]. Fulminant type 1 diabetes had significant association with ICIs, especially anti-PD-1 monotherapy. Other meaningful endocrine ADRs were also observed, such as hypophysitis (or resulting in hypopituitarism), adrenal insufficiency, thyroid dysfunction (presenting as thyroiditis, hypothyroidism or hypothyroidism), type 1 diabetes mellitus, diabetic ketoacidosis. Our study showed that insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus has gradually increased and is no longer an infrequent ICI-related endocrinopathy, which is different from prior literature reports [5, 6]. In addition, we also found some rare or critical ICI-related endocrinopathies, such as diabetes insipidus, hypoparathyroidism, thyrotoxic crisis, hypogonadism, which should be taken seriously by clinical oncologists and endocrinologists. Diabetes insipidus was extremely rare in ICI-related hypophysitis and had been reported in a few cases [25, 26]. In our study, 16 cases of diabetes insipidus were observed. Although diabetes insipidus was significantly associated only with ipilimumab, it was also observed in several reports induced by nivolumab or pembrolizumab. Endocrine toxicity invading the parathyroid gland has been rarely reported [27, 28] and no clear pathomechanism has been proposed. Eleven cases of hypoparathyroidism reported in the VigiBase database were induced by anti-PD-1 monotherapy (six cases) and combination therapy (five cases), and no reports of ipilimumab monotherapy. From this, hypoparathyroidism is presumably more related to anti-PD-1, which remains to explore in future clinical trials. Thyrotoxic crisis is a syndrome with acute exacerbation of thyrotoxicosis and was observed to be closely related to combination therapy. All 11 cases of thyrotoxic crisis were malignant melanoma patients and more clinical characteristics had been found in our previous study [29]. Hypogonadism was associated with ipilimumab, but there were only a few cases ($N = 7$). This may be due to transience of central hypogonadism [5] and high underreporting because of patient privacy and low severity of disease itself.

Third, endocrine toxicity spectra induced by different ICI therapies were different. (a) Anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy was more related to hypophysitis (or resulting in hypopituitarism) and adrenal insufficiency. (b) Anti-PD-1 monotherapy was more related to thyroid dysfunction, T1DM, and fulminant T1DM. (c) Anti-PD-L1 monotherapy was more related to thyroid dysfunction, with the narrowest endocrine toxicity spectrum. (d) Combination therapy covered almost all endocrine ADRs and had stronger association with these ADRs than monotherapy.

Prior studies on the comparison of endocrine toxicity spectrum between anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 showed that hypophysitis is closely related to anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy, and thyroid dysfunction is closely related to anti-PD-1 monotherapy [4, 30]. Evidence from our study also supported this conclusion. Boutros et al. pointed out that PD-1 inhibits T-cell activity, mainly within the peripheral tissues and in the tumor microenvironment, which might explain the distinctive spectrum of anti-PD-1 differed from ipilimumab [31]. Compared with ipilimumab, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus was also more related to anti-PD-1 monotherapy, which was reported to occur in 0.2–1.0% [32, 33] and is being reported more frequently as anti-PD-1/PD-L1 become more widely used. In view of this, clinicians who manage patients receiving anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment should monitor their blood glucose levels more carefully. Our study observed multiple forms of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, such as type 1 diabetes mellitus, fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus, diabetic ketoacidosis, and hyperglycaemic hyperosmolar state. Case report also indicates that ipilimumab might induce type 1 diabetes mellitus or fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus [34, 35],
and eight cases of T1DM, one case of fulminant T1DM were reported in our study, respectively. Fulminant T1DM is the subtype of T1DM earliest described in Japan [36] and was shown to be closely related to anti-PD-1 in our study (88 cases), with no reports related to anti-PD-L1.

Actually, endocrine toxicity of anti-PD-L1 is difficult to compare sufficiently with anti-PD-1 drugs. Anti-PD-L1 are used less frequently than anti-PD-1 because these drugs were later approved. In addition, they differ in indications and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 is used less frequently than anti-PD-1 because these drugs were later approved. In addition, they differ in indications and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 and anti-tumor types approved by the FDA. However, Martins et al. [37] proposed the hypothesis that since PD-L2 binds CD80 as well as PD-1 receptors on activated T cells [30].

Combination therapy provides greater efficacy in many cancer immunotherapy [14], and ipilimumab with nivolumab is the first approved ICI combination therapy. However, an increase in efficacy appears to result in higher frequency and more severe ADRs. Our study confirmed that combination therapy seemed to increase the risk of all ICI-related endocrinopathies, which is consistent with prior studies [33, 38]. Notably, some clinically critical endocrine crises were observed to be more risky in combination therapy such as diabetes ketoacidosis (ROR: 3.1, 95% CI: 2.1–4.7), thyrotoxic crisis (ROR: 11.5, 95% CI: 2.4–53.8), acute adrenocortical insufficiency (ROR: 2.2, 95% CI: 0.9–5.7). In fact, not only the endocrine system, combination therapy also involves higher toxicity of some other systems, including cardiovascular toxicity (e.g., myocarditis) [8, 39], neurologic toxicity (e.g., noninfectious encephalitis/myelitis, Guillain–Barre syndrome, noninfectious meningitis) [40], skin toxicity [41] and digestive toxicity (e.g., colitis) [42]. Therefore, given the higher toxicity risk of combination therapy, clinicians should fully recognize it.

Fourth, onset time of major endocrine ADRs (ICI-DM, adrenal insufficiency, hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, and thyroid dysfunction) differed between different ICI therapies, typically within 12 weeks in anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy but diffusely ranging from 0 to 48 weeks in anti-PD-1 monotherapy. The onset time was shorter in combination therapy than anti-PD-1 monotherapy.

Our study analyzed in detail the onset time of major endocrine ADRs after the initiation of different ICIs. Prior studies have reported that the mean onset time of ICI-related endocrinopathies ranges from 4 to 36 weeks [5, 43], while ICI combination therapy has shorter onset time [38]. The severity grade of adverse events induced by anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy is higher than that of anti-PD-1 monotherapy [44], and anti-CTLA-4 appears to attack more rapidly and earlier. Our research demonstrated that ICI-DM, adrenal insufficiency, hypophysitis/hypopituitarism, hyperthyroidism, and hypothyroidism typically occurred within 12 weeks after ipilimumab initiation, with median onset time of 9–10 weeks. However, unlike ipilimumab, anti-PD-1 monotherapy had a much more dispersive range of onset time of endocrine ADRs mentioned above, except for hyperthyroidism. Moreover, ICI-DM may occur more than 48 weeks after anti-PD-1 initiation, up to 2 years according to our study. Therefore, it is difficult to predict the onset time of ICI-DM.

In addition, combination therapy may accelerate the occurrence of endocrine adverse events, with extremely high incidence within 48 weeks, and the median onset time was closer to that of ipilimumab monotherapy. Patients receiving combination therapy were observed to have a shorter median onset time of ICI-DM than anti-PD-1 monotherapy, but without statistical significance. Data from case report [45] described a woman with malignant melanoma rapidly developed into fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus 3 weeks after receiving combination therapy. Importantly, our study observed that patients treated with combination therapy had significantly shorter onset time of hypothyroidism (7 weeks [IQR: 3–13]) than anti-PD-1 monotherapy (14 weeks [IQR: 8–22] for nivolumab, 12 weeks [IQR: 6–20] for pembrolizumab; both \( P < 0.001 \).

We acknowledge the limitations of VigiBase database. Under-reporting of ADRs and lack of complete clinical information (e.g., laboratory tests, cancer severity and duration) are the common limitations of pharmacovigilance studies. In addition, two points need to specially take attention and understand when conducting such studies. (a) The IC value does not indicate a causal relationship between target drug and suspected ADR it caused but rather indicates the quantitative dependence of suspected ADR and target drug. (b) The ROR value does not indicate the real risk in clinical practice, but only indicates an increased risk of ADR reporting. Notwithstanding limitations for VigiBase, but pharmacovigilance assessment can provide momentous opportunity to monitor drug safety and identify new rare signals. We use this method to confirm that endocrine toxicity with ICIs could invade many organs or tissues of endocrine system, with different reporting frequencies between anti-CTLA-4 monotherapy and anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy. Moreover, some rare endocrine AEs were observed, including diabetes insipidus, hypoparathyroidism, thyrotoxic crisis, hypogonadism.

In general, ICIs-related endocrinopathies have been increasing based on real-world study of VigiBase. This study comprehensively evaluated and emphasized the differences in toxicity spectrum and onset time of endocrine...
ADRs among different ICI therapies, which should clinical oncologists and endocrinologists be aware of.

Acknowledgements The supplied data come from various sources from VigiBase, and the probability that the suspected adverse effect is drug related is not the same in all cases. The information does not represent the opinion of the UMC or the World Health Organization. Camilla Westerberg of the custom search team at the Uppsala Monitoring Centre (Uppsala, Sweden) research department is thanked for his help with the data extraction.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. J.M. Bourke, M. O’Sullivan, M.A. Khattak. Management of adverse events related to new cancer immunotherapy (immune checkpoint inhibitors). Med. J. Aust. 205, 418–424 (2016). https://doi.org/10.5694/mja16.00586
2. J.R. Brahmer, C. Lacchetti, B.J. Schneider, M.B. Atkins, K.J. Brussil, J.M. Caterino et al. Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. J. Clin. Oncol. 36, 1714–1768 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/JCO.2017.77.6385
3. H. JBAG, F. Carbonnel, C. Robert, K.M. Kerr, S. Peters, J. Larkin et al., Management of toxicities from immunotherapy: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. 28, iv119–iv142 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1093/a mmoic/mdl225
4. S.M. Ferrari, P. Fallahi, G. Elia, F. Ragusa, I. Rufilli, A. Patrizio et al. Autoimmune endocrine dysfunctions associated with cancer immunotherapies. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20, E2560 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20102560
5. L.S. Chang, R. Barroso-Sousa, S.M. Tolaney, F.S. Hodi, U.B. Kaiser, L. Min, Endocrine toxicity of cancer immunotherapy targeting immune checkpoints. Endocr. Rev. 40, 17–65 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00006
6. M. Szol, M.A. Postow, M.J. Davies, A.C. Pavlick, E.R. Pimack, M. Shaheen et al. Endocrine-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade and expert insights on their management. Cancer Treat. Rev. 58, 70–76 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.06.002
7. T.K. Eigentler, J.C. Hassel, C. Berking, J. Aberle, O. Bachmann, V. Grünwald et al. Diagnosis, monitoring and management of immune-related adverse drug reactions of anti-PD-1 antibody therapy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 45, 7–18 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.02.003
8. D.B. Johnson, J.M. Balko, M.L. Compton, S. Chalkias, J. Gorham, Y. Xu et al. Fulminant myocardiitis with combination immune checkpoint blockade. N. Engl. J. Med. 375, 1749–1755 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1609214
9. B. McMullen, M.S. Dhillon, S. Yong-Yow, A rare case of thyroid storm. BMJ Case Rep. (2016). https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2016-214603
10. M. Lindquist, VigiBase, the WHO Global ICSR Database System: basic facts. Drug Inf. J. 42, 409–419 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1177/009286150804200501
11. A. Bate, M. Lindquist, I.R. Edwards, S. Olsson, R. Orre, A. Lansner et al. A Bayesian neural network method for adverse drug reaction signal generation. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 54, 315–321 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1007/s002280050466
12. P.S. Ang, Z. Chen, C.L. Chan, B.C. Tai, Data mining spontaneous adverse drug event reports for safety signals in Singapore—a comparison of three different disproportionality measures. Expert Opin. Drug Saf. 15, 583–590 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1517/ 14740338.2016.1167184
13. G.N. Norén, J. Hopstadius, A. Bate, Shrinkage observed-to-expected ratios for robust and transparent large-scale pattern discovery. Stat. Methods Med. Res. 22, 57–69 (2013). https://doi. org/10.1177/0962280214536064
14. J.D. Wolchok, V. Chiariion-Sileni, R. Gonzalez, P. Rutkowski, J.J. Grob, C.L. Cowey et al. Overall survival with combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 1345–1356 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1709684
15. M.D. Hellmann, N.A. Rizvi, J.W. Goldman, S.N. Gettinger, H. Borghaei, J.R. Brahmer et al., Nivolumab plus ipilimumab as first-line treatment for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 012): results of an open-label, phase 1, multicohort study. Lancet Oncol. 18, 31–41 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2245(16)30624-6
16. F.S. Hodi, V. Chiariion-Sileni, R. Gonzalez, J.J. Grob, P. Rutkowski, C.L. Cowey et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab or nivolumab alone versus ipilimumab alone in advanced melanoma (CheckMate 067): 4-year outcomes of a multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 19, 1480–1492 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2245(18)30700-9
17. S.J. Henley, T.B. Richards, J.M. Underwood, C.R. Eheman, M. Plescia, T.A. McAfee, Lung cancer incidence trends among men and women-United States, 2005–2009, MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 63, 1–5 (2014)
18. M. Rastrelli, S. Tropea, C.R. Rossi, M. Alaibac, Melanoma: epidemiology, risk factors, pathogenesis, diagnosis and classification. In Vivo. 28, 1005–1011 (2014)
19. M. Lei, A. Michael, S. Patel, D. Wang, Evaluation of the impact of thyroiditis development in patients receiving immunotherapy with programmed cell death-1 inhibitors. J. Oncol. Pharm. Pract. 25, 1402–1411 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1177/1078155219829813
20. A.T. Faje, R. Sullivan, D. Lawrence, N.A. Tritos, R. Fadden, A. Klibanski et al., Ipilimumab-induced hypophysitis: a detailed longitudinal analysis in a large cohort of patients with metastatic
melanoma. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 99, 4078–4085 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-2306
21. F. Torino, A. Barnabei, R. Paragioia, R. Baldelli, M. Appetecchia, S.M. Corsello, Thyroid dysfunction as an unintended side effect of anticancer drugs. Thyroid 23, 1345–1366 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2013.0241
22. X. Bai, X. Chen, X. Wu, Y. Huang, Y. Zhuang, Y. Chen, C. Feng, X Lin, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated pituitary adverse events: an observational, retrospective, disproportionality study. J. Endocrinol. Invest. Online ahead of print (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-020-01226-4
23. K. Sakurai, S. Niitsuma, R. Sato, K. Takahashi, Z. Aribara, Painless thyroiditis and fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus in a patient treated with an immune checkpoint inhibitor, nivolumab. Tohoku J. Exp. Med. 244, 33–40 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.244.33
24. R. Edahiro, M. Ishijima, H. Kurebe, K. Nishida, T. Uenami, M. Kanazu et al., Continued administration of pembrolizumab for adenocarcinoma of the lung after the onset of fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus as an immune-related adverse effect: a case report. Thorac Cancer. 10, 1276–1279 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13065
25. T. Dillard, C.G. Yedinak, J. Alumkal, M. Fleseriu, Anti-CTLA-4 antibody therapy associated autoimmune hypophysitis: serious immune related adverse events across a spectrum of cancer subtypes. Pituitary 13, 29–38 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-009-0193-z
26. C. Zhao, S.H. Tellia, J. Del Rivero, A. Kommalapati, I. Ebenuwa, J. Gilley et al. Anti-PD-L1 treatment induced central diabetes insipidus. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 103, 365–369 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-01905
27. B. Trinh, G.O. Sanchez, P. Herzig, H. Läubli, Inflammation-induced hypophysitis triggered by combination immune checkpoint blockade for melanoma. J. Immunother. Cancer. 7, 52 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0528-x
28. P. Piranavan, Y. Li, E. Brown, E.H. Kemp, N. Trivedi, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced hypophysitis associated with calcium-sensing receptor-activating autoantibodies. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 104, 550–556 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2018-01515
29. X. Bai, X. Chen, X. Wu, Y. Huang, Y. Zhuang, X. Lin, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated thyroid dysfunction: a disproportionality analysis using the WHO adverse drug reaction database VigiBase. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 182, 1–9 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-19-0535
30. D.J. Byun, J.D. Wolchok, L.M. Rosenberg, M. Girotra, Cancer immunotherapy—immune checkpoint blockade and associated endocrinopathies. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 13, 195–207 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2016.205
31. C. Boutros, A. Tarhini, E. Routier, O. Lambotte, F.L. Ladurie, F. Carbonnel et al., Safety profiles of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 antibodies alone and in combination. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 13, 473–486 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.58
32. A.M. Stamatouli, Z. Quandt, A.L. Perdigoto, P.L. Clark, H. Kluger, S.A. Weiss et al., Collateral damage: insulin-dependent diabetes induced with checkpoint inhibitors. Diabetes. 67, 1471–1480 (2018). https://doi.org/10.2337/db18-0002
33. R. Barroso-Sousa, W.T. Barry, A.C. Garrido-Castro, F.S. Hodl, L. Min, I.E. Krop et al., Incidence of endocrine dysfunction following the use of different immune checkpoint inhibitor regimens: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 4, 173–182 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3064
34. A. Tsiogka, G.L. Jansky, J.W. Bauer, P. Koebblingre, Fulminant type 1 diabetes after adjuvant ipilimumab therapy in cutaneous melanoma. Melanoma Res. 27, 524–525 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1097/CMR.0000000000000384
35. N. Yamazaki, Y. Kiyohara, H. Uhara, S. Fukushima, H. Uchi, N. Shibagaki et al. Phase II study of ipilimumab monotherapy in Japanese patients with advanced melanoma. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 76, 997–1004 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-015-2873-x
36. E. Kawasaki, T. Maruyama, A. Imagawa, T. Awata, H. Ikegami, Y. Uchigata et al., Diagnostic criteria for acute-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus (2012): report of the Committee of Japan Diabetes Society on the research of fulminant and acute-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. J. Diabetes Invest. 5, 115–118 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1111/jdi.12119
37. F. Martins, L. Sofiya, G.P. Sykiotis, F. Lamine, M. Mauillard, M. Fraga et al. Adverse effects of immune-checkpoint inhibitors: epidemiology, management and surveillance. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 16, 563–580 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0218-0
38. J.C. Hassel, L. Heinerling, J. Aberle, O. Bähr, T.K. Eigentler, M. O. Grimm et al. Combined immune checkpoint blockade (anti-PD-1/anti-CTLA-4): evaluation and management of adverse drug reactions. Cancer Treat. Rev. 57, 36–49 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.05.003
39. J.E. Salem, A. Manouchehri, M. Moey, B. Lebrun-Vignes, L. Bastarache, A. Pariente et al. Cardiovascular toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: an observational, retrospective, pharmacoepidemiology, management and surveillance. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0617-x
40. D.B. Johnson, A. Manouchehri, A.M. Haugh, H.T. Quach, J.M. Balko, B. Lebrun-Vignes et al. Neurologic toxicity associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a pharmacovigilance study. J. Immunother. Cancer. 7, 134 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0617-x
41. V. Sibaud, Dermatologic reactions to immune checkpoint inhibitors: skin toxicities and immunotherapy. Am. J. Clin. Dermatol. 19, 345–361 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-017-0336-3
42. D.Y. Wang, F. Ye, S. Zhao, D.B. Johnson, Incidence of immune checkpoint inhibitor-related colitis in solid tumor patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncoimmunology 6, e1344805 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402×.2017.339
43. M.N. Joshi, B.C. Whitelaw, M.T. Palomar, Y. Wu, P.V. Carroll, D.Y. Wang, F. Ye, S. Zhao, D.B. Johnson, Incidence of immune checkpoint inhibitor-related colitis in solid tumor patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 4, 173–182 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3064
44. L. Spain, S. Diem, J. Larkin, Management of toxicities of immune checkpoint inhibitors: skin toxicities and immunotherapy. Am. J. Clin. Dermatol. 19, 345–361 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-017-0336-3
45. M. Shiba, H. Inaba, H. Arikawa, S. Kawai, Y. Inagaki, S. Matsuno et al., Fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus accompanied by positive conversion of anti-insulin antibody after the administration of anti-ctla-4 antibody following the discontinuation of anti-pd-1 antibody. Intern. Med. 57, 2029–2034 (2018). https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.9518-17