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The concept of the reduced set of contact maps is introduced. Using this concept we find the ground state candidates for Hydrophobic-Polar lattice model on a two dimensional square lattice. Using these results we exactly enumerate the native states of all proteins for a wide range of energy parameters. In this way, we show that there are some sequences, which have an absolute native state. Moreover, we study the scale-dependence of the number of the members of the reduced set, the number of ground state candidates, and the number of perfectly stable sequences by comparing the results for sequences with lengths of 6 up to 20.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The proteins are bio-macromolecules, which are made from thousands of atoms. These atoms are in interaction with each other and water molecules, which surround them. Basically, to determine the states of a protein one needs to solve the problem with standard quantum mechanical calculations, however the complexity of these macromolecules renders this impossible. A feasible approach to this problem is based on a coarse-grained view. In this viewpoint the proteins are made from 20 types of monomers (amino acids). The most important point in this approach is the determination of the effective interactions between the amino acids. It seems that, the information about effective inter-monomer interaction energy and the coding of the amino acids in the sequence is sufficient to determine the protein characteristics.

The structural information for protein structures can be coded in a contact map. A contact map is a binary \( L \times L \) matrix \( C \). The element \( c_{ij} \) of this matrix is nonzero if \( i \)th and \( j \)th monomers are in contact. The contact may be defined in several ways. It is obvious that the information coded in contact maps is not sufficient for a complete characterization of the spatial configuration. The short-range nature of inter-monomer interactions suggests that, one can determine the configuration energy in terms of contacts. Thus if one knows the effective inter-monomer interactions in this coarse-grained approximation, the contact maps have sufficient information to calculate the configuration energy. There are many papers, which study the thermodynamical and structural properties of proteins, by using contact maps.

It is well known that the biological functionality of proteins depends on the shape of their native states. The native structure is the unique minimum free energy structure for the protein sequence. As any protein in nature must have a well-defined function, the uniqueness of native states is a biological necessity for these molecules of life. Thus searching the configuration space to find native states, by using the Monte Carlo methods or exact enumerations has been the subject of many papers. In most of previous works, the problem was studied for given values of inter-monomer energy parameters. As our knowledge about the effective interactions is not certain, and the native structures of proteins may be sensitive to these parameters, looking at the native states for different energy parameters is relevant. By using a simple Hydrophobic-Polar (HP) lattice model we have shown in a recent work that the number of ground state candidates for any sequence is unexpectedly small. This suggests that the problem can be studied for a wide range of interaction parameters by exact enumeration.

We study this problem on a two dimensional square lattice. In this approach a protein structure is modeled by a self avoiding walk on the lattice, and, any pair of monomers which are nearest neighbors and are not adjacent according to sequence (non-sequential neighbor) are in contact.

The number of possible configurations for an \( L \)-mer is equal to the number of self-avoiding walks (NSAW) with \( L-1 \) steps. We have:

\[
N_{\text{SAW}} \sim L^{\gamma z_{\text{eff}}^L}, \tag{1}
\]

in which \( \gamma \) is a dimension-dependent constant, and \( z_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective coordination number. For a two-dimensional square lattice, \( \gamma = \frac{43}{32} \) and \( z_{\text{eff}} = 2.64 \). Since many of these walks give the same contact matrix, the number of possible contact matrices (physical maps) \( N_c \), is much smaller, although it is still very large. In a recent work the number of physical maps was fit to a formula similar to equation and a value of \( \gamma \) was obtained.

If one is interested only in the native structure of proteins, the set of the contact maps can be reduced further, by removing all maps, which have no chance to be a native state. We call the remaining maps, the reduced set of contact maps. Indeed, this reduction is due to the physical fact that all effective interactions between amino acids are negative. This reduced set of contact maps can be used in enumeration studies to find the possible ground states and the native states of proteins. In this paper we use a simple HP lattice model, to address the problem for proteins with various lengths in more detail. We obtain some ground state candidates that possess some known properties common to real proteins. Also a stability against the variation of interaction parameters is shown. Some evidences for this stability has been reported in some other works.
II. THE REDUCED CONTACT MAPS

The effective potential energies between the 20 types of amino acids can be described by a $20 \times 20$ interaction matrix $M$. The energy of a given sequence $\sigma$ in any structure can be determined from

$$E = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} m_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The $c_{ij}$ and $m_{ij}$ are respectively the elements of the contact matrix ($C$) and the interaction matrix ($M$). This shows that, all configurations, which have the same contact map, have equal energies. If we look at the energy spectrum of one sequence, the states corresponding to such maps are degenerate. We call such degeneracies, type-one degeneracies to distinguish them from other kinds of degeneracies, which we shall encounter later \[1\]. If the energy of a sequence is minimum in such states, this sequence does not have a unique native state. Such sequences are not protein-like. The states corresponding to such degenerate contact maps can never be a native state, however, we cannot exclude them from our search, because they compete with other maps. On the other hand, there are some maps, which cannot be the ground state, and do not have a role in the competition for the ground state. To see that, consider two contact matrices $C_1$ and $C_2$ and their subtraction ($C' = C_1 - C_2$). We call $C_2$, a component of $C_1$ if all elements of $C'$ are non-negative ($c'_{ij} = 0$ or 1). Note that $C'$ has at least one non-zero element. Using equation \[2\] the energy of an arbitrary sequence $\sigma$ in the configuration(s) corresponding to the map $C_1$ can be written as:

$$E_1 = \sum_{i,j} c_{1ij} m_{\sigma_i \sigma_j},$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} c_{2ij} m_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} + \sum_{i,j} c'_{ij} m_{\sigma_i \sigma_j},$$

$$= E_2 + \sum_{i,j} c'_{ij} m_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

According to experimental data all elements of interaction matrix $M$ are negative \[1\]. Thus the second term in the rhs gives a negative contribution to energy, and, $E_1 < E_2$, for any sequence. Then map $C_2$ can never be a ground state. One can find all component maps such as $C_2$, and remove them from the set of contact maps. Indeed such component maps are related to configurations, which can fold to more compact shapes without losing any of their old contacts. By this procedure, a reduced collection of maps is found. We call this collection, the reduced set of contact maps, and we represent the number of its elements by $N_r$. We have enumerated $N_r$ for sequences with lengths up to 20. The results are shown in figure 1. In this figure the number of reduced maps ($N_r$) are compared with the number of self-avoiding walks ($N_{SAW}$) and the number of physical maps ($N_c$), on a two dimensional square lattice. Although, all of these quantities have similar behaviors, the growth rate of $N_r$ is very slower than the others. If we fit the data to equation \[3\] we obtain $\gamma_r = 1.37$ and $\gamma_r = 2.01$. These results are not enough to see if the value of $\gamma$ is lattice-dependent or not. In the case of self-avoiding walks it is lattice-independent \[12\]. In figure 1 there are other points which show the number of native states. We will discuss this matter in section 4.

Let’s consider the number of contacts ($b = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}$) as a measure for the compactness of configurations. Indeed, a better parameter is the relative compactness $\Gamma$,

$$\Gamma = \frac{b}{b_{\text{Max}}}, \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where, $b_{\text{Max}}$ is the maximum number of possible contacts for sequences of the same length. The maximum of contacts $b_{\text{Max}}$, for sequences of length 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20 are 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 12, respectively. In figure 2, the number of the members of the reduced set of contact maps vs. the number of contacts is compared with corresponding number of SAWs and physical maps for proteins of length 18. We see that the reduced set of contact maps contains only highly compact configurations. This shows why the results of studies on compact structure spaces are reasonable. In figure 3, the average compactness for SAWs, physical maps and reduced maps are compared for sequences of various lengths. As one can see ($\Gamma_{SAW} < \langle \Gamma_c \rangle < \langle \Gamma_r \rangle$). There is an oscillatory behavior in the graphs. Note that the $b_{\text{Max}}$ is an integer. The highest ratio of $b_{\text{Max}}$ to length ($L$), is for sequences can be fitted to a square structure. Thus, sequences with such lengths have lower average compactness. This is due to the finite size effect and also the fact that the number of contacts has to be an integer; the same behavior can be observed in our other results in this paper too.

If one scales the number of reduced maps ($N_r$) by the number of total structures ($N_{\text{SAW}}$) at each compactness, a scale-independent behavior can be seen (figure 4). It also seems that there is a critical compactness, below which the compactness of the members of reduced set never drops. We do not have an exact analytical proof, but it seems from these data that a transition occurs in the number of reduced maps, near the compactness of 0.8 and it vanishes for a compactness below 0.5.

Contact maps can correspond to more than one structure. We call such maps, degenerate maps. These maps can not correspond the native state of any sequence. Within the set of reduced maps there are fewer of such degenerate maps, than within the set of physical maps. Figure 5 compares the percentage of non-degenerate maps for reduced and physical maps. It seems that both of them approach asymptotic values.
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III. THE GROUND STATE CANDIDATES FOR HP MODEL

The native states of proteins are to be found among the structures corresponding to the reduced set of contact maps. The sequence of the amino acids along the protein chain and their interactions have an essential role in the selection of a particular structure as the native state. In the coarse-grained viewpoint, the interaction between the amino acids is characterized by the effective energies. These effective interactions depend on the properties of the solutions. A relevant question is how sensitive the native states are to changes in these interactions. We address this question by enumerating the possible ground states of protein sequences for a wide range of effective inter-monomer interaction energies.

Without any loss of generality, we use a hydrophobic-polar (HP) two-dimensional lattice model \([15]\) in this paper. The general form of the interactions between \(H\) and \(P\) monomers in an HP model can be written as follows \([8,16]\):

\[
E_{HH} = -2 - \gamma - E_c,
E_{HP} = -1 - E_c,
E_{PP} = -E_c,
\]

where \(E_{\sigma\sigma'}\) is the contact energy between monomers of types \(\sigma\) and \(\sigma'\). These potential energies are only between non-sequential nearest neighbors. Here \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\) are the mixing and compactness potentials respectively, two parameters which are determined from experimental data. There are many publications based on this model, and in most of them the values of \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\) are fixed \([10,13]\). Here, we consider them as two free parameters and discuss our results in terms of them.

It has been argued that the following relations should hold between inter-monomer energies:

\[
E_{HH} < E_{HP} < E_{PP},
E_{HH} + E_{PP} < 2E_{HP}.
\]

These arguments are based on the compactness of the native states \([13]\) and some calculations on \(20 \times 20\) inter-monomer interaction matrix \(M\) \([18]\). These restrict \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\) to positive values \((\gamma, E_c > 0)\).

At first sight, it might seem possible to arrive at any native state for a given sequence by changing \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\). But when we consider the geometrical properties of the ground state, we will find that these parameters are not powerful enough to select any configuration as the native state. In other words, the native states are stable against the change of interaction parameters.

If we consider \(H = -1\) for hydrophobic monomers and \(P = 0\) for polar monomers, a given sequence can then be represented by a binary vector \((\sigma)\) \([8]\). The energy of this sequence in a configuration characterized by a contact matrix \(C\), can be written as:

\[
E = -m - a\gamma - bE_c,
\]

where \(m, a\) and \(b\) are three integers, related to \(\sigma\) and \(C\) as follows:

\[
m = -\sigma^t \cdot C \cdot 1, \\
a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^t \cdot C \cdot \sigma, \\
b = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^t \cdot C \cdot 1.
\]

It can be seen that \(m\) is equal to the number of all non-sequential neighbors of \(H\) monomers in the configuration, \(a\) is the number of \(H - H\) contacts and \(b\) is the number of all contacts. It can be shown that the following inequalities hold between these parameters \([19]\).

\[
m - b \leq a \leq \frac{m}{2} \leq b.
\]

Equation 5 suggests that the energy levels of a given sequence can be described by three integer numbers \((m, a, b)\). It is highly probable that these states are degenerate. There are three types of degeneracy:

- **Type 1:** \(C = C'\)
  In which case two or more configurations with different shapes have the same contact matrix. These configurations will remain degenerate for any sequence, and any choice of \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\). These are the configurations corresponding to the degenerate maps already mentioned in section 2. This type of degeneracy, is more probable for configurations with low compactness (see figure 2). Note that we are not talking about the configurations which are related to each other by spatial symmetries, i.e. rotation, reflection, etc., for our purpose such configurations are identical.

- **Type 2:** \((m, a, b) = (m', a', b')\) but \(C \neq C'\)
  In this case one particular sequence has the same \(m, a\) and \(b\) values in two or more configurations. This degeneracy persists for any value of \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\), but may disappear for another sequence. Although, this degeneracy depends on sequence coding, the \(b = b'\) condition is purely geometrical, and is a necessary condition for this degeneracy.

- **Type 3:** \(E = E'\), but \((m, a, b) \neq (m', a', b')\)
  One sequence has the same energy in two different states \((m, a, b)\) and \((m', a', b')\), provided that \(\gamma\) and \(E_c\) obey the following relation:

\[
(m - m') + (a - a')\gamma + (b - b')E_c = 0.
\]

This degeneracy is related to both sequence coding \(\sigma\) and inter-monomer interactions.
The first type of these degeneracies is completely geometric. The second one depends on both geometry and the amino acids’ coding sequence. These two types do not depend on the values of the interaction energies. Thus, in the energy spectrum of any sequence there are some states, which are degenerate independently from the potential. If the ground state of a particular sequence is one of these degenerate states, that sequence does not have a unique native structure.

The third type is not actually a degeneracy at all. Equation (1) corresponds to a line in the parameter space of $E_c$ and $\gamma$. This line is a level crossing line. Degeneracy actually occurs only on the line, and a highly accurate fine-tuning is needed to reach a point on this line. For the two sets of interaction energy parameters on the two sides of this line, the energy ordering of the states is different. For any pair of states such an ordering line exists. By drawing all ordering lines in the space of $E_c$ and $\gamma$, this space is divided into many ordering zones. We are only interested in the ground state, which means that many of these ordering lines are not relevant. Some of them only govern the ordering of the excited states. By removing the irrelevant lines, one gets a diagram which shows the ground state cells (Fig. 6). As mentioned before changing the inter-monomer interaction parameters inside any of these cells does not change the ground state. In some recent works[2] this picture is introduced to show the stability of native states against change in the interaction parameters[2]. They only looked at one of these cells in the neighborhood of some selected interaction values. But by looking at the whole energy space, one can find all possible ground states and their corresponding cells. Any such cell in the space of energy parameters is associated with one ground state candidate. The number of cells is equal to the number of ground state candidates ($G_e(\sigma))$. By drawing such diagrams, one can easily find the ground state for any choice of $E_c$ and $\gamma$. Fig. 6 shows this diagram for a 20-mer. In this example there are only seven possible ground states. The cells marked with the numbers “1” and “2” correspond to type-1 and type-2 degenerate states respectively, therefore there is no unique native structure for these cells. The sequence in this example has 3 non-degenerate states. These structures are shown in the figure. It is possible that all the ground state candidates of a given sequence are degenerate. These sequences constitute universally bad sequences i.e., for any set of interaction parameter values they do not have a native structure. Any sequence which is not a bad sequence, we call a good sequence. Nearly 54% of the sequences of length 20 are good sequences, i.e., for some specific set of energy parameters they have a native state.

The interesting point in figure 6 is that the number of ground state candidates is very small. The largest value of $G_e(\sigma)$ for sequences with length 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 are 1, 1, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 respectively. Fig. 7 shows the histogram of $G_e(\sigma)$ for all sequences with $L = 20$. The light gray area in this figure shows the result for all $2^{20}$ sequences, and the dark area shows the results for good ones. From this diagram it can be seen that the mean value of $G_e(\sigma)$ is very small. The average of $G_e(\sigma)$ for various lengths is shown in figure 8. However, the data in hand is not enough to draw a reliable conclusion about the number of ground state candidates for sequences of large length, but the average number does not seem to grow very rapidly, and the growth rate appears to be linear. Extrapolating the growth rate to sequences of length 200, 30 ground state candidates is predicted on average. Comparison of the average value of $G_e(\sigma)$ for these sequences with the number of all configurations (i.e. for sequences with length 20 the number of sequences is on the order of $10^8$), shows that the geometric constraints play an important role in selecting a state as the ground state. The reason that there are few ground state candidates for any sequence can be given by a geometrical argument[1]. This argument shows that the upper estimate for maximum $G_e$ is $L^2$.

As figure 8 shows, there are some good sequences with $G_e = 1$. This means that for any set of energy parameter values, they have the same unique ground state. Fig. 9 shows some of these sequences and their unique native structures. Indeed the native states of these sequences have perfect stability with respect to a change of the energy parameters. Our enumeration shows that these absolute native structures are to be found among the most compact structures. As figure 10 shows, although the ratio of the number of perfectly stable sequences to the number of all possible proteins decreases with increasing $L$, their actual number increases. This suggests that for the proteins with typical lengths near that of natural proteins, perfectly stable sequences constitute a small but non-zero fraction of all possible sequences. A relevant question is whether the existence of these perfectly stable sequences is due to the simplifications in our model. Actually we can not give an exact answer to this question, but such sequences may exist in models with more monomer types.

The existence of these sequences may answer some questions about protein folding. Their number is small compared with the huge number of the possible amino-acids sequences, their native states are highly compact and are stable against the changes in the inter-monomer interactions (i.e. the properties of the solution).

IV. NATIVE STRUCTURES

In section 2 we introduced the reduced set of contact maps. As it was shown the number of maps belonging to this set $N_r$, is very smaller than number of structures $N_{SAW}$. But the number of those structures which can be the native state, is still much less. The number of possible native structures, $N_{native}$, is shown in figure 1. In this figure all those structures which have been the native state of some sequence for at least one set of energy parameter values, have been counted. Fitting the data on
a equation similar to equation\(^1\) gives \(\gamma_{\text{native}} = 1.87\) and \(z_{\text{native}} = 1.68\). In figure 2, we have compared the number of native structures as a function of their compactness with the total number of physical maps and with the number of maps in the reduced set for \(L = 18\). It can be seen that there are no native structures with less than 8 contacts. Also the average compactness of native states is compared in figure 3.

We can introduce a designability parameter \(D\) for these native states. However our definition is a bit different from the commonly used definition \(^2\). According to the common definition, designability shows how many times a structure is selected as the native state for a fixed set of interaction parameters. In our case we count how many times a structure becomes the candidate for a non-degenerate ground state.

Figure 11 shows the histogram of designability for structures with length 20. As one can see the results are very similar to those for a fixed set of energy parameters in the space of compact structures \(^3\). The average designability as a function of compactness for \(L = 20\) is shown in figure 12. As the diagram shows the peak average designability occurs for the most compact structures and it falls sharply with decreasing compactness. Thus if one is only interested in highly designable structures, it is reasonable to search the space of compact structures.

V. THE SPACE OF ENERGY PARAMETERS, \(E_C\) AND \(\gamma\)

One of the important aspects of the work done in this paper, is that we can find the exact results for any range of energy parameters. The time it takes for this program to find the ground state candidates for all sequences by exact enumeration, is on the same order as that of the usual enumeration schemes for only one particular set of energy parameters. Because the average number of ground state candidates is very small, the determination of the native ground states for any range of interest only takes a little time. We found the native states of all sequences of length 20, for all pairs of energy parameters within a \(12 \times 12\) square in arbitrary units, with a grid size of 0.1 (14400 points). The number of protein-like sequences (sequences which have unique ground states) is shown in figure 13. As one can see, there are jumps in the number of protein-like sequences. These jumps specify the borders of regions of relative stability within the space of energy parameters. A closer examination shows that these border lines contain sharp dips adjacent to the jumps. The large changes in the number of protein-like sequences shows that when we cross these borders the ground states of many sequences change, and the degenerate ground states are replaced by non-degenerate ones (or vice versa). However, nothing can be said about the details of these changes. One can get some idea about what is happening on these border lines by comparing the contour plot for figure 13.a (figure 13.b) with the ordering lines diagram for one particular sequence (figure 6). As mentioned in section 3, the ordering lines specify level crossings and type-3 degeneracies only occur on the ordering line itself. These ordering lines constitute the underlying cause of the sharp dips observed in the borders. This is more evident in figure 14. In this figure we have shown those points in the energy parameter space where at least one type-3 degeneracy occurs. This diagram is in fact a superposition of diagrams like figure 6, for all sequences, and any line in it corresponds to many ordering lines between ground state candidates cells.

We can find similar information for other types of degeneracies. For example, the number of sequences which have type-1 degenerate ground states, is shown in figure 15. As one can see in this diagram, the number of such sequences vanish for large \(E_C\) and small \(\gamma\). For large \(E_C\) the number of contacts \(b\) plays an essential role in the selection of the ground state (equation \(\frac{1}{2}\)). Type-1 degeneracies do not occur for highly compact sequences (see figure 2). Thus this type of degeneracy is more relevant in the region \(E_C < \gamma\). We have not shown the corresponding information for type-2 degeneracies as they contain no new information, similar border jumps can be observed in the number of sequences with this type of degeneracy too. The maximum percentage of sequences with non-degenerate, type-1 degenerate, and type-2 degenerate ground states in the chosen region are 40.0%, 5.06% and 64.9%, respectively.

In addition to obtaining information about the sequences, with this procedure also finds the ground states. Since the energy parameters determine which states are the ground states, the number of structures which can be the native state of some particular sequence also depends on the energy parameters. Figure 16 shows the number of native states as a function of the energy parameters. The importance of compactness at for large values of \(E_C\) can also be seen in this diagram. Note that the smallest value for the number of native states is 503. This number corresponds to the number of most compact structures of length 20. Again, large jumps in the number of native states are observed. One can also find the average designability of the structures by dividing the data of figures 13 and 16 (the ratio of the number of sequences to corresponding number of native structures).

VI. CONCLUSION

Due to the short-range nature of inter-monomer interactions, the configuration energy of protein sequences can be determined by using configuration contact matrices. In this paper, it has been shown that for this class of problems, where one is interested in native states of proteins, the space of physical contact maps can be reduced to a very smaller set by removing all irrelevant maps. We have found the reduced set of contact maps
for sequences of lengths up to 20 in this paper by exact enumeration. This reduced set of contact maps shows a scale-independent behavior as shown in figure 4.

Using the reduced set of contact maps, the ground state candidates for all sequences were found in the HP model. The number of these ground state candidates is quite small. The ground state candidates divide the space of energy parameters into several cells. By finding this cell structure for all sequences, we have found the native states for all sequences of different lengths, for a wide range of energy parameters. Jumps are observed in the number of protein-like sequences. These jumps are related to boundaries of the aforementioned cells.

Another interesting result is that we find some sequences with absolute native states i.e. their native states are not sensitive to the values of energy parameters. Our results show that the number of such perfectly stable sequences grows with length, however, their percentage decreases.

Because the key tool used in this paper has been the structural information contained in the contact maps, the qualitative results can be generalized to all contact models, regardless of the details of the lattice and the contact rules.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank S.E. Faez, R. Gerami, R. Golestanian, A.Yu. Grosberg, N. Heydari, M. Khorami, S. Rouhani and H. Seyed-Allaei for helpful comments.

[1] S. Miyazawa and A. Jernigan, Macromolecules 18, 534 (1985).
[2] S. Lifson and C. Sander, Nature 282, 109 (1979).
[3] For a recent review, see M. Vendruscolo and E. Domany, cond-mat/9901212.
[4] C.B. Anfinsen, Science 181, 223 (1973).
[5] C. Camacho and D. Thirumalai, Pros. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 6369 (1993); A.M. Gutin, V.I. Abkevich and E.I. Shakhnovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5433 (1996).
[6] H.S. Chan and K.A. Dill, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 3775 (1990).
[7] H. Li, R. Helling, C. Tang and N. Wingreen, Science 273, 666 (1996).
[8] M.R. Ejtehadi, N. Hamedani, H. Seyed-Allaei, V. Shahrezaei and M. Yahyanejad, Phys. Rev. E 57, 3298 (1998); M.R. Ejtehadi, N. Hamedani, H. Seyed-Allaei, V. Shahrezaei and M. Yahyanejad, J. Phys. A 31, 6141 (1998).
[9] V.S. Pande, A.Yu. Grosberg and T. Tanaka, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 9482 (1995).
[10] E.L. Kussell and E.I. Shakhnovich, Preprint cond-mat/9904377.
[11] M.R. Ejtehadi, N. Hamedani and V. Shahrezaei, To appear in Phys. Rev. Lett.; Preprint cond-mat/9811127.
[12] N. Madres and G. Slade, The Self-avoiding Walk, (Birkhauser, Boston, 1993).
[13] M. Vendruscolo, B. Subramanian, I. Kanter, E. Domany and J. Lebowitz, Preprint cond-mat/9810285.
[14] V.I. Abkevich, A.M. Gutin and E.I. Shakhnovich, Biochemistry 33, 10026 (1994); E.I. Shakhnovich, V.I. Abkevich and O.B. Pitsyn, Nature 379, 96 (1996).
[15] H.S. Chan, K.A. Dill, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 492 (1989); H.S. Chan, K.A. Dill, D. Shottle, “Statistical Mechanics and Protein Folding”, Princeton Lectures on Biophysics, W. Bialek ed., (World Scientific, 1992).
[16] R. Melin, H. Li, N. S. Wingreen and C. Tang, Preprint cond-mat/9806197.
[17] K.A. Dill, Biochemistry 24, 1510 (1985); K.A. Dill, S. Bromberg, K. Yue, K.M. Fiebig, D.P. Yee, P.D. Thomas and H.S. Chan, Protein Science 4, 561 (1995).
[18] H. Li, C. Tang and N. Wingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 765 (1997).
[19] N. Hamedani, V. Shahrezaei and M.R. Ejtehadi, In Preparation.
[20] J. Mourik, C. Clementi, A. Maritan, F. Seno and J. R. Banavar, Preprint cond-mat/9801137; C. Clementi, A. Maritan and J. R. Banavar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3287 (1998); F. Seno, A. Maritan and J. R. Banavar, Proteins 30, 244 (1998).
[21] In their work they parameterize the energy space by $E_{HP}$ and $E_{PP}$ instead of $\gamma$ and $E_c$. 
Figure Captions

**Figure 1.**
The number of self avoiding walk structures, physical contact maps, reduced set of contact maps and native structures, vs. length of sequences.

**Figure 2.**
Distribution of the number of structures vs. the number of contacts for sequences of length 18.

**Figure 3.**
The average compactness of structures for SAW, physical maps, reduced maps and native structures.

**Figure 4.**
The number of reduced maps that scaled by the number of all structures at each compactness, for sequences with length 8 to 20. There is a transition near to 0.8 and a cut off near to 0.5. The later can be seen better in logarithmic scale (inner graph).

**Figure 5.**
The percentage of non-degenerate maps for reduced and physical maps.

**Figure 6.**
The space of energy parameters for sequence $HPPHPHPHPHPHPHPHPHP$ is divided to six cells. The integer numbers $(m, a, b)$, inside any cell indicate the ground state corresponding to the cells. Three of these states are degenerate. The types of degeneracies for degenerate states and shape of structures for non-degnerates are indicated in the cells.

**Figure 7.**
The histogram of the number of ground state candidates for 20-mers. The light and dark gray area show the results for all and good sequences respectively. There are some “good sequences” with only one ground state candidate.

**Figure 8.**
The average of the number of ground state candidates for all and good sequences vs. length of sequences.

**Figure 9.**
Four example for perfectly stable sequences and their absolute native structures. For any positive value of $\gamma$ and $E_c$ these sequences are folded uniquely in the shown structures.

**Figure 10.**
The ratio of the numbers of perfectly stable sequences to all sequences decreases with length of sequences, but their absolute numbers increase (inner graph).

**Figure 11.**
The histogram of number of structures with a given designability.

**Figure 12.**
The average designability for structures with a given number of contacts, for $L = 20$.

**Figure 13.**
The number of protein-like sequences of length 20, for given values of energy parameters in a $12 \times 12$ square region (arbitrary units); a) Three dimensional plot, b) Contour plot.

**Figure 14.**
The points in the energy parameter space (arbitrary units), where type-3 degeneracies occur, for sequences of length 20. The grid size is 0.1.

**Figure 15.**
The number of sequences of length 20, with type-1 degenerate ground states, for given values of energy parameters in a $12 \times 12$ square region (arbitrary units).

**Figure 16.**
The number of native states for sequences of length 20, for given values of energy parameters in a $12 \times 12$ square region (arbitrary units).
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