Perinatal outcomes after maternal 2009/H1N1 infection: national cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To follow up a UK national cohort of women admitted to hospital with confirmed 2009/H1N1 influenza in pregnancy in order to obtain a complete picture of pregnancy outcomes and estimate the risks of adverse fetal and infant outcomes.

Design National cohort study.

Setting 221 hospitals with obstetrician led maternity units in the UK.

Participants 256 women admitted to hospital with confirmed 2009/H1N1 in pregnancy during the second wave of pandemic infection between September 2009 and January 2010; 1220 pregnant women for comparison.

Main outcome measures Rates of stillbirth, perinatal mortality, and neonatal mortality; odds ratios for infected versus comparison women.

Results Perinatal mortality was higher in infants born to infected women (10 deaths among 256 infants; rate 39 (95% confidence interval 19 to 71) per 1000 total births) than in infants of uninfected women (9 deaths among 1233 infants; rate 7 (3 to 13) per 1000 total births) (P<0.001).

Conclusions This study suggests an increase in the risk of adverse fetal and infant outcomes in association with 2009/H1N1, which reinforces the message from studies of other influenza pandemics.
infection associated with preterm birth (gestational age at delivery less than 37 weeks) after 2009/H1N1 infection in pregnancy.

Data sources and definitions
We defined the exposed cohort as pregnant women admitted to hospital with 2009/H1N1 infection between 1 September 2009 and 31 January 2010. We identified the exposed cohort prospectively through the UKOSS network of collaborating clinicians,13 using a web based rapid reporting system for rapid response. The UKOSS network of clinicians includes between one and four nominated reporting clinicians (obstetricians, midwives, and anaesthetists) in each hospital in the UK with an obstetrician led maternity unit. The UKOSS network was set up to provide a routine system through which to conduct a programme of parallel studies of severe and uncommon complications of pregnancy throughout the UK. The nominated reporting clinicians coordinate case reports from all clinicians in their unit. For this study, we asked clinicians to report all pregnant women with confirmed 2009/H1N1 infection admitted to their unit, using a web based rapid reporting system, as soon as possible after the woman’s admission and whether or not the woman had delivered. In response to a report of a case on the web based system, clinicians were able to download a data collection form with a unique UKOSS identification number, asking for further detailed information about diagnosis, management, and outcomes. If a completed data collection form was not returned, up to three reminders were sent.

In addition, every two weeks, we sent nominated UKOSS reporting clinicians a summary detailing the cases that had been reported from their unit and asked them to confirm that no additional cases existed. We also asked clinicians to return a “nil report” indicating that no women had been admitted, so that we could monitor participation and confirm the denominator population for the study.

We derived a comparison cohort of women from data, previously collected between February 2005 and February 2006 using the UKOSS methods, on women who delivered in UK hospitals between those dates, before the 2009/H1N1 pandemic, and who therefore represented an uninfected cohort. UKOSS reporters identified the comparison women as the two women delivering in the same hospital immediately before women delivering with other conditions (antenatal pulmonary embolism, eclampsia, and peripartum hysterectomy) under study through UKOSS at the time.14 We chose this cohort for pragmatic reasons to facilitate rapid comparisons during the epidemic and, as a historical cohort, to ensure that none of the women could have been infected with 2009/H1N1. For both exposed and unexposed women, we collected data on maternal demographic characteristics and characteristics of pregnancy, as well as maternal and infant outcomes. For the infected cohort, we collected additional data on disease presentation and management.

National comparison information came from routinely collected national data sources for England, Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland for 2008.13,15 When routine UK data were unavailable—for example, gestational age or congenital anomaly statistics—we used data from the maternity hospital episode

### Table 1 | Frequencies (percentages) of missing data, for participants with outcome data

| Variable                            | Infected cohort (n=256) | Uninfected comparison cohort (n=1220) | Total (n=1476) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Socioeconomic group:                |                         |                                       |               |
| Managerial and professional occupations | 50 (20)                | 333 (27)                              | 383 (26)      |
| Other employed                      | 101 (39)                | 608 (50)                              | 709 (48)      |
| Unemployed/student                  | 28 (11)                 | 156 (13)                              | 184 (12)      |
| Missing                             | 77 (30)                 | 123 (10)                              | 200 (14)      |
| Booking body mass index (kg/m²):    |                         |                                       |               |
| <30                                 | 178 (70)                | 867 (71)                              | 1045 (71)     |
| ≥30                                 | 63 (25)                 | 202 (17)                              | 265 (18)      |
| Missing                             | 15 (6)                  | 151 (12)                              | 166 (11)      |
| Ethnicity:                          |                         |                                       |               |
| White                               | 197 (77)                | 973 (80)                              | 1170 (79)     |
| Other                               | 57 (22)                 | 217 (18)                              | 274 (19)      |
| Missing                             | 2 (1)                   | 30 (2)                                | 32 (2)        |
| Smoking status:                     |                         |                                       |               |
| Did not smoke during pregnancy      | 195 (76)                | 937 (77)                              | 1132 (77)     |
| Smoked during pregnancy             | 59 (23)                 | 257 (21)                              | 316 (21)      |
| Missing                             | 2 (1)                   | 26 (2)                                | 28 (2)        |
| Parity:                             |                         |                                       |               |
| Primiparous                         | 96 (38)                 | 523 (43)                              | 619 (42)      |
| Multiparous                         | 158 (62)                | 694 (57)                              | 852 (58)      |
| Missing                             | 2 (1)                   | 3 (0.3)                               | 5 (0.3)       |
| Age (years):                        |                         |                                       |               |
| <20                                 | 26 (10)                 | 61 (5)                                | 87 (6)        |
| 20-34                               | 198 (77)                | 894 (73)                              | 1092 (74)     |
| ≥35                                 | 32 (13)                 | 264 (22)                              | 296 (20)      |
| Missing                             | 0 (0)                   | 1 (0.1)                               | 1 (0.1)       |
| Multiple pregnancy:                 |                         |                                       |               |
| No                                  | 251 (98)                | 1207 (99)                             | 1458 (99)     |
| Yes                                 | 5 (2)                   | 13 (1)                                | 18 (1)        |
| Missing                             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                                 | 0 (0)         |
| Any item of data missing:           |                         |                                       |               |
| No                                  | 163 (64)                | 947 (78)                              | 1110 (75)     |
| Yes                                 | 93 (36)                 | 273 (22)                              | 366 (25)      |
statistics for England or the British Isles Network of Congenital Anomalies Registers (BINOCAR). 16 17

We defined total births as stillbirths plus live births, perinatal mortality as stillbirths plus deaths after 24 weeks’ gestation and up to one week after delivery, and neonatal mortality as deaths up to one month after delivery. We classified terminations of pregnancy, fetal deaths, and miscarriages up to 24 weeks together as losses up to 24 weeks’ gestation. We calculated rates of stillbirth, perinatal mortality, and congenital anomaly per 1000 total births. We calculated neonatal mortality rates per 1000 live births. We calculated gestational age and delivery statistics per maternity (that is, a twin pregnancy equals one maternity); otherwise, the unit of interest was a birth.

Statistical analysis

We used Stata 11SE software for all analyses. We compared outcomes of pregnancy in women after 2009/ H1N1 infection with those in unexposed women. For binary outcomes, we used logistic regression to estimate odds ratios as a measure of association between maternal 2009/H1N1 infection and outcome. For birth weight, we used linear regression to measure the change in mean value associated with being infected. In view of the rarity of the pregnancy outcomes considered, we used national data where available as a comparator to improve the precision of our estimated odds ratios and to gauge the representativeness of the comparison group data. We used Wald statistics to calculate 95% confidence intervals and P values. We compared characteristics between women infected before 37 weeks who delivered preterm and at term by using the χ² test for association, Fisher’s exact test, or the Wilcoxon rank sum test as appropriate.

We made adjustments in the regression models comparing the exposed and unexposed cohorts for the a priori confounders of socioeconomic status

| Table 2 | Outcome of pregnancy for women admitted to hospital with 2009/H1N1 infection (infected cohort) and uninfected women (comparison cohort) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outcome | Infected cohort (n=256) | Comparison cohort (n=1220) | Unadjusted | Adjusted* | National data, 2008 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|
| **Outcome of pregnancy:** | | | | | |
| Live birth† | 249 (95) | 1226 (99) | 1 | 1 | 795 004 (99) | 1 |
| Stillbirth | 7 (3) | 7 (1) | 4.9 (1.7 to 14.2) | 4.2 (1.4 to 12.4) | 4 043 (1) | 5.5 (2.6 to 11.7) |
| Loss of pregnancy before 24 weeks | 5 (2) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| **Neonatal death:** | | | | | |
| Yes | 3 (1) | 2 (0) | 7.4 (1.2 to 44.7) | 5.6 (0.5 to 64.2) | 2 557 (0) | 3.8 (1.2 to 11.8) |
| No | 246 (99) | 1218 (100) | 1 | 1 | 792 487 (100) | 1 |
| **Perinatal death:** | | | | | |
| Yes | 10 (4) | 8 (1) | 6.2 (2.4 to 15.9) | 5.7 (2.2 to 15.1) | 6 025 (1) | 5.4 (2.8 to 10.1) |
| No | 246 (96) | 1219 (99) | 1 | 1 | 793 022 (99) | 1 |
| **Mean (SD) birth weight (kg):** | | | | | |
| Yes | 3073 (774) | 3342 (614) | −270 (−356 to −183) ‡ | −255 (−353 to −156) ‡ | NA | NA |
| No | 206 (80) | 1137 (92) | 2.9 (2.0 to 4.3) | 3.2 (2.1 to 4.9) | 713 201 (93) | 1 |
| **Very low birth weight (<1500 g):** | | | | | |
| Yes | 14 (5) | 22 (2) | 3.2 (1.6 to 6.3) | 2.9 (1.3 to 6.4) | 4 043 (1) | 3.0 (2.3 to 6.9) |
| No | 242 (95) | 1209 (98) | 1 | 1 | 759 318 (99) | 1 |
| **Preterm (<37 weeks):** | | | | | |
| Yes | 59 (24) | 89 (7) | 3.9 (2.7 to 5.6) | 4.0 (2.7 to 5.9) | 36 283 (8) | 3.6 (2.7 to 4.8) |
| No | 192 (76) | 1129 (93) | 1 | 1 | 423 475 (92) | 1 |
| **Very preterm (<32 weeks):** | | | | | |
| Yes | 18 (7) | 18 (1) | 5.2 (2.6 to 10.0) | 4.9 (2.4 to 10.0) | 10 932 (2) | 3.2 (2.0 to 5.1) |
| No | 233 (93) | 1200 (99) | 1 | 1 | 449 101 (98) | 1 |
| **Delivered by caesarean section:** | | | | | |
| Yes | 100 (40) | 299 (25) | 2.1 (1.5 to 2.7) | 2.3 (1.7 to 3.2) | 139 449 (24) | 2.2 (1.7 to 2.8) |
| No | 150 (60) | 921 (75) | 1 | 1 | 453 951 (76) | 1 |
| **Congenital anomalies:** | | | | | |
| Yes | 8 (3) | NA | NA | NA | 4 308 (2) | 1.9 (0.9 to 3.8) |
| No | 243 (97) | 248 644 (100) | 1 | 1 | |

NA=Not available.

*Adjusted for socioeconomic status (managerial/other/unemployed), ethnicity (white/other), parity, maternal age, smoking (during pregnancy/not during pregnancy), multiple birth, and body mass index; values taken from multiple imputation model.

†Including 5 pairs of twins in infected group, 13 in comparison group.

‡Change in mean value associated with being in exposed cohort rather than comparison cohort.

§Missing data on stillbirths in Scotland and all births in Northern Ireland.
(professional/unemployed/other), ethnicity (white/other), parity (primiparous/multiparous), smoking (during pregnancy/not during pregnancy), age of mother, body mass index, and multiple pregnancy. We included in the model variables previously identified as risk factors for admission with 2009/H1N1 in pregnancy and those known to be associated with adverse outcomes of pregnancy. To allow for the non-independence of infants from multiple births, all models included an option to specify that the calculated standard errors allow for within group correlation.

Outcome data were complete for 98% of women, but 25% of women had information missing for one or more covariates (table 1). Data were mostly missing for the single variable of socioeconomic status. Therefore, we used multiple imputation methods to incorporate all available data and reduce potential bias. We generated 20 imputed datasets by using the Stata ICE procedure, using all the a priori confounders listed above as well as exposure status, birth weight, preterm birth, and delivery by caesarean section to generate imputed values. We joined the datasets by using Rubin’s rules to obtain combined imputation estimates and standard errors. We compared the estimated odds ratios obtained through multiple imputation analysis with estimates obtained by using only information from women with complete data (“complete case” method). In addition, we did a sensitivity analysis to see how far the odds ratios might deviate towards a null finding under a missing not at random mechanism, by assuming that all infants born to infected women not followed up were born at term, with normal birth weight (2500 g or over), after vaginal delivery, and alive after one month. For simplicity, we have reported adjusted results after multiple imputation analysis throughout in the text but included in tabular format a comparison of the results from complete case, multiple imputation, and multiple imputation with sensitivity analysis methods.

Table 3 | Comparison of results of complete case and multiple imputation (MI) models. Values are adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for cases versus controls

| Variable                        | Complete case model (n=1109 maternities; n=1120 infants) | MI dataset (n=1476 maternities; n=1494 infants) | After MI and sensitivity analysis (n=1492 maternities; n=1510 infants) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stillborn:                      |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 4.1 (1.0 to 16.6)                                       | 4.2 (1.4 to 12.4)                                | 3.8 (1.3 to 11.4)                                                       |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Neonatal death:                 |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 3.9 (0.2 to 64.6)                                       | 5.6 (0.5 to 64.2)                                | 6.0 (0.8 to 43.5)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Perinatal death:                |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 5.6 (1.7 to 18.6)                                       | 5.7 (2.2 to 15.1)                                | 4.9 (1.8 to 13.3)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Mean birth weight (kg)           | ~258 (~370 to ~147)                                    | ~255 (~353 to 156)                               | --                                                                    |
| Low birth weight (<2500 g):     |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 3.5 (2.1 to 5.7)                                       | 3.2 (2.1 to 4.9)                                | 2.9 (2.0 to 4.4)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Very low birth weight (<1500 g):|                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 1.6 (0.4 to 6.2)                                       | 2.9 (1.3 to 6.4)                                | 2.7 (1.3 to 5.3)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Preterm (<37 weeks):            |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 4.4 (2.7 to 7.2)                                       | 4.0 (2.7 to 5.9)                                | 3.5 (2.4 to 5.1)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Very preterm (<32 weeks):       |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 3.3 (1.2 to 9.2)                                       | 4.9 (2.4 to 10.0)                                | 4.3 (2.1 to 8.8)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Delivered by caesarean section: |                                                          |                                                 |                                                                        |
| Yes                             | 2.1 (1.5 to 3.0)                                       | 2.3 (1.7 to 3.2)                                | 2.0 (1.5 to 2.7)                                                      |
| No                              | 1 1 1                                                   |                                                 |                                                                        |
Table 4: Characteristics of infected women, for those who delivered preterm and those who delivered at term. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise.

| Characteristic                                      | Preterm (n=59) | Not preterm (n=131)* | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------|
| Trimester of infection:                             |               |                      |         |
| First (0-11 weeks)                                  | 0 (0)         | 10 (8)               | 0.046†  |
| Second (12-23 weeks)                               | 8 (14)        | 24 (18)              |         |
| Third (224 weeks)                                  | 51 (86)       | 97 (74)              |         |
| Median (interquartile range) No of symptoms‡ at presentation | 4 (3-5)       | 5 (3-6)              | 0.09§   |
| Median (interquartile range) days before start of treatment | 3 (1-7)       | 3 (1-6)              | 0.46†   |
| Treated within 2 days of infection:                 |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 28 (53)       | 69 (57)              | 0.61    |
| No                                                  | 25 (47)       | 52 (43)              |         |
| Immunised against 2009/H1N1:                        |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 2 (4)         | 7 (6)                | 0.72‡   |
| No                                                  | 54 (96)       | 119 (94)             |         |
| Admitted to intensive care unit:                    |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 32 (54)       | 16 (12)              | <0.001  |
| No                                                  | 27 (46)       | 115 (88)             |         |
| Pneumonia as secondary infection:                   |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 12 (20)       | 5 (4)                | 0.001†  |
| No                                                  | 47 (80)       | 126 (96)             |         |
| Asthma:                                             |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 9 (15)        | 18 (14)              | 0.78    |
| No                                                  | 50 (85)       | 113 (86)             |         |
| Other comorbidity:                                  |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 15 (25)       | 23 (18)              | 0.21    |
| No                                                  | 44 (75)       | 108 (82)             |         |
| Delivered by caesarean section:                     |               |                      |         |
| Yes                                                 | 42 (72)       | 39 (30)              | <0.001  |
| No                                                  | 16 (28)       | 92 (70)              |         |
| Indication for caesarean section:                   |               |                      |         |
| Maternal influenza infection                        | 22 (52)       | 3 (8)                | <0.001† |
| Other                                               | 20 (40)       | 36 (29)              |         |

*Considering only those women with birth after 37 weeks who had confirmed infection before 37 weeks.
†Fisher's exact test.
‡Fever, cough, sore throat, headache, tiredness/lethargy, limb or joint pain, diarrhoea, breathlessness, vomiting, rhinorrhoea.
§Wilcoxon rank sum test.

Women with 2009/H1N1 were more likely to deliver before 37 weeks’ gestation (adjusted odds ratio 4.0, 2.7 to 5.9) and before 32 weeks’ gestation (4.9, 2.4 to 10.0) than were comparison women. The difference in median gestational age at delivery between infected and comparison women was five days, although the lower quartile of infected women was almost two weeks lower than that of comparison women, reflecting a skewed distribution (figure; P<0.001). Of 58 preterm deliveries with delivery information recorded, 42 (72%) were by caesarean section; the indication reported for 22 (52%) of these was poor maternal condition due to influenza infection. After exclusion of these iatrogenic preterm caesarean deliveries for maternal influenza, a statistically significant association remained between preterm birth and infection with 2009/H1N1 (adjusted odds ratio 2.5, 1.6 to 3.9).

The mean birth weight after adjustment for potential confounders was 255 (95% confidence interval 156 to 353) grams lower among the infants of infected women than among those of comparison women. This was reflected in an increased likelihood of having a very low birthweight infant among the infected cohort compared with national data (odds ratio 4.0, 2.3 to 6.9).

However, after adjustment for gestational age at delivery, we found no evidence of a difference in mean birth weight between infected and comparison women (P=0.55), suggesting that 2009/H1N1 infection had no effect on fetal growth.

Estimates after multiple imputation were more precise than those from a complete case analysis (table 3). For some outcomes, such as very low birth weight and very preterm birth, the estimates seem to differ, indicating confounding by missing values. When we did a sensitivity analysis assuming that all mothers in the infected cohort who were lost to follow-up had a normal delivery of a liveborn infant at term, we still found evidence of an association between 2009/H1N1 infection and all adverse outcomes.

Eight infants born to women after 2009/H1N1 infection had congenital anomalies diagnosed at birth, representing a birth prevalence of 32 (exact 95% confidence interval 14 to 62) per 1000 total births compared with the national rate of 17 per 1000 total births (P=0.08). Owing to the temporality of infection and type of anomaly, only one cephalic anomaly seemed to be potentially related to 2009/H1N1 infection.

Twenty-three per cent (n=53) of infants born to infected women were admitted to neonatal intensive care units after birth for a median of 12 (range 1-61) days.

A higher proportion of women infected before 37 weeks’ gestation who delivered preterm were infected in their third trimester compared with women infected before 37 weeks who delivered at term (80% v 74%; table 4); all the women admitted to hospital who were infected before 12 weeks went on to deliver at term. Women who had a secondary pneumonia were more likely to deliver preterm than were those who did not (71% (n=12) v 27% (47); P=0.001), as were women admitted to intensive care units (67% (32) v 19% (27); P<0.001). However, the increased risk of preterm birth associated with 2009/H1N1 infection persisted even after exclusion of the women with secondary pneumonia (adjusted odds ratio 3.3, 2.2 to 5.0).

We found no evidence of a difference in the proportion of women treated with antiviral drugs within two days of onset of symptoms between those who delivered at term and preterm.

DISCUSSION

This study suggests that poor perinatal outcomes, in addition to poor maternal outcomes, are associated with 2009/H1N1 influenza infection in pregnancy. The risks of poor outcomes persist after adjustment for maternal and pregnancy related characteristics known to be associated with poor perinatal outcomes, although we were not able to adjust for all possible confounding factors as we were relying on information that is obtainable from medical records. Our study...
suggested an increased risk of perinatal mortality for women infected with 2009/H1N1 compared with the general population, which was explained almost entirely by an increased risk of stillbirth. Perinatal mortality, however, remains a rare outcome, and the statistical uncertainty that surrounds this estimated increased mortality risk should be noted, given that we have information on outcome for only 94% of the cohort and that adjusting for potential confounders may be ineffective in removing the confounding in a model with a small number of outcomes. In view of the increase in maternal mortality and morbidity previously shown to be associated with 2009/H1N1 influenza in pregnancy, an ongoing immunisation programme for pregnant women, together with actions to ensure rapid availability of antiviral drugs in the context of increased circulating levels of influenza in the community, remains important.

We found a statistically significant difference in the rate of preterm birth between infected women and comparison women; almost half of the infants delivered preterm were delivered early because of maternal compromise. Women are typically delivered during the third trimester to aid mechanical ventilation. However, emerging evidence indicates that when women are referred for management with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, in the absence of fetal compromise, no indication to deliver the fetus early necessarily exists. This is noted particularly at gestations below 30-32 weeks, when the size of the uterus is unlikely to affect mechanical ventilation. Increased availability and use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation may therefore have the potential to have a positive effect on outcomes for infants, even in the presence of critical illness in the mother.

Although we did not find a direct relation between delayed treatment with antiviral drugs and preterm delivery, delayed antiviral treatment has been clearly related to poorer maternal outcomes, and we believe that this study further highlights the importance of prompt treatment during seasonal peaks of influenza infection, epidemic, and pandemic situations. The risk of preterm delivery also highlights the need to consider the effect of additional requirements for neonatal intensive care services, as well as adult intensive care provision, in planning for future pandemics.

Comparison with other studies

Other studies of 2009/H1N1 in pregnancy have reported very incomplete outcomes or outcomes for only a subset of severely affected women (table 5). Half of outcome rates were calculated by using sub-samples of less than 50% of the study cohort. Most studies did not follow up women to the end of their pregnancy, or in some cases the follow-up time was too short for collection of information on outcomes in women infected at all gestations. This approach will bias any results towards reporting preterm births, which is likely to lead to overly pessimistic results. Nevertheless, we have followed up more than 90% of the UK cohort, thus minimising potential bias, and a statistically significant association between maternal 2009/H1N1 infection and preterm birth remains.

In common with our study, a large study of the influenza pandemic of 1919 found an increased risk of
Almost half of the preterm deliveries were due to early delivery for maternal compromise, indicating that the health of pregnant women is an important public health priority in influenza pandemics.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

2009/H1N1 is the predominant circulating strain of influenza in winter in the northern hemisphere.

Pregnancy is known to be a risk factor for critical illness and death in pregnant women after 2009/H1N1 infection.

Women at particular risk of admission to hospital with 2009/H1N1 include those with obesity, asthma, multiple pregnancy, and black or other minority group ethnicity and those who are multiparous or who smoke.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Women infected with 2009/H1N1 influenza in pregnancy are at risk of poor pregnancy outcomes, with an increased risk of preterm and very preterm delivery and perinatal mortality.

Almost half of the preterm deliveries were due to early delivery for maternal compromise, indicating that the health of pregnant women is an important public health priority in influenza pandemics.

Preterm birth among women with secondary pneumonia; however, investigations into the 1958 pandemic did not find any evidence of an increased risk of adverse fetal outcomes. An excess of stillbirths was found in data from the 1919 pandemic, but data from the 1970 pandemic indicated an increase only in neonatal deaths and not stillbirths. Previous studies into seasonal influenza in pregnancy have presented conflicting evidence on fetal risk; some have reported an increase in poor outcomes such as preterm delivery or stillbirth, whereas others found no increased risk. Studies of infants born to women infected in the 1958 pandemic found an increased risk of congenital anomalies, a finding supported by studies into fever and hyperthermia in pregnancy.

These data suggest that women with 2009/H1N1 infection who had given birth preterm were more likely to have been infected in their third trimester. Secondary infection with pneumonia played an important role in preterm delivery in this 2009-10 cohort; secondary pneumonia was also associated with preterm birth in women with pandemic influenza in 1919. In our data, the risk of preterm birth associated with 2009/H1N1 infection persisted even after we accounted for the role of secondary pneumonia, which suggests that the excess risk cannot be explained by this factor alone.

Strengths and limitations of study

A limitation of our study is that we did not collect further information on the clinical characteristics of influenza, such as highest recorded temperature or viral load, which could lead to a better understanding of the reasons for adverse outcomes of pregnancy. In addition, we collected information only on women who presented in secondary care, most of whom were in the third trimester of pregnancy. We could not, therefore, investigate any potential association between early infection and risk of congenital anomaly. Furthermore, the outcomes we present are likely to represent the severe end of the disease spectrum, as we can estimate from previous work that up to 30 women presented with influenza-like illness in pregnancy for each one admitted to hospital with confirmed 2009/H1N1 infection. The UK Teratology Information Service has gathered information on pregnant women consulting in primary care with 2009/H1N1 infection and women who have been immunised. When published, these data may give additional indication of the severity of outcomes of illness presenting in primary care and the clinical effectiveness of immunisation. We do not have any sources of information with which to estimate the completeness of the cohort we identified; however, we used several methods to try to ensure that we identified the cohort as fully as possible, and, with the participation of 99% of hospital units in the data collection, we have no evidence of any systematic bias in reporting of infected women.

For pragmatic reasons and to ensure they were an uninfected cohort, the comparator cohort we used was an historical one, which may be regarded as a limitation of this study, as outcomes of pregnancy within the population may have changed over this time. National surveillance of perinatal mortality has not, however, identified any changes over time that are likely to affect the conclusions of the study. Additionally, some of the outcomes were collected retrospectively, which gives the potential for selective reporting bias; however, given the objective nature of these outcomes, the effect of any bias is likely to be minimal.

As we highlighted earlier in the discussion, although we have attempted to adjust the outcome estimates to account for known confounders, as this is an observational study persisting confounding that we have not been able to account for may remain. Other possible known confounders, such as previous delivery by caesarean section, inter-pregnancy interval, or quality of type of obstetric care, may contribute to the relation we found. In addition, the analysis had limited power to adjust fully even for known confounders when the number of outcomes was small, and the results should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Conclusions and policy implications

This study suggests an increase in the risk of poor outcomes of pregnancy in women infected with 2009/H1N1, which reinforces the message from studies on maternal risk alone. Almost half of the preterm deliveries were due to early delivery for maternal compromise, indicating that the health of pregnant women is an important public health priority in future waves of this and other influenza pandemics.
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