Glaucoma medications: issues with adherence in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria
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Background. Patient adherence with glaucoma medical therapy remains a global challenge. Objectives. To determine the prevalence of non-adherence and identify the determinants and deterrents to glaucoma medications in Enugu, southeast Nigeria. Material and methods. This study adopted a cross-sectional, descriptive, hospital-based survey involving 114 glaucoma patients on topical medications. They were recruited from the ophthalmic clinic of the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Enugu. Information on their socio-demographic characteristics, clinical profile and issues of adherence with glaucoma medications were collected using a pre-tested, close-ended, interviewer–administered questionnaire. The data collected was analysed using SPSS version 21. Bivariate analysis was done to identify the associations of different factors of adherence with glaucoma medications. The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

Results. The participants were comprised of 58 males (50.9%) and 56 females (49.1%), with a mean age of 60.0 ± 14.8 years. Most were mainly on two or less glaucoma medications (92 (80.7%)) and were diagnosed with glaucoma for less than 5 years (73 (64.0%)). 42 (36.8%) participants were non-adherent with their glaucoma medications. Assisted administration of glaucoma medication was the most important determinant of non-adherence to medication. Gender, good/fair vision, distance from the pharmacy, knowledge of the disease and educational status also contributed. Cost was the major deterrent (59 (51.7%)) to adherence with glaucoma medications. Conclusions. The prevalence of non-adherence with medications among glaucoma patients in Enugu was high. Assisted drug administration was the most significant determinant, while the cost of drugs was a major deterrent to non-adherence with glaucoma medication. Key words: glaucoma, compliance, Nigeria.
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The UNTH, Enugu, is one of the first generation tertiary health facilities in Nigeria, established in 1971. It is located in the state of Enugu, southeast Nigeria, within the tropical rainforest climatic zone. It provides tertiary health care services in all major medical and surgical specialties. The UNTH’s Ophthalmology Department provides inpatient and outpatient eye care services to all five states in the southeast and some states in the southern geopolitical zones.

Inclusion criteria

Glaucoma patients on topical medications, who attended the eye clinic during the study period and voluntarily gave informed consent to participate.

Exclusion criteria

Glaucoma patients who are not on topical glaucoma medications; those with recent successful glaucoma surgeries and LASER treatment.

Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance compliant with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration was obtained from the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital’s Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee.

Study period

The study was conducted from January to June 2018.

Sample size calculation

Sample size was calculated using Fischer’s formula, as shown below:

$$n = \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \times P(1 - P)}{d^2}$$

where $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$ = the standard normal variate (at 5% type 1 error ($p < 0.05$), which is 1.96

$P$ = prevalence of glaucoma in a similar previous study [19]

$d$ = absolute error or precision, which is 0.05

$$n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.94 (1 - 0.94)}{(0.05)^2}$$

$$= 3.8416 \times 0.0564$$

$$= 0.0025$$

10% attrition (9) was added

Number of participants = 87 + 9 = 106

At the end of the study, 114 participants took part.

Sampling technique

The purposive sampling technique was used to select participants for the study.

Study design

This was a cross-sectional, descriptive, hospital-based survey involving 114 participants on glaucoma medications who attended the ophthalmic clinic during the study period. Information on their socio-demographic characteristics, clinical characteristics and issues of adherence with glaucoma medications was collected using a close-ended, interviewer–administered questionnaire.

Study instrument

This was a pre-tested, 26-item questionnaire comprising two sections: firstly, the socio-demographic and clinical information and visual assessment of participants; secondly, the types of glaucoma medications, adherence to the prescription, understanding the reason for use and possible deterrents to use.

Majority of the participants had glaucoma in both eyes (98 (86.0%), were on 1 or 2 glaucoma medications (92 (80.7%)) for less than 5 years (73 (64.0%), assessed their vision as fair (65 (57.1%)) and were on other regular non-glaucoma prescriptions (70 (61.0%)). Table 2 shows the clinical characteristics of the participants.

72 (63.2%) participants were adherent with their glaucoma medications, while 42 (36.8%) were not. Females were more adherent than males, and educated and married people were more adherent to their glaucoma medication.

42 (36.8%) participants were non-adherent with their glaucoma medications. Being a male, educated, married, having had glaucoma for less than 5 years, having a good understanding of the disease, good/fair vision, using one or two glaucoma medications, assisted administration of medications and living more than 10 km away from the pharmacy were all associated with non-adherence. Only “assisted administration of medications” was found to be statistically significant ($p = 0.001$).

There was no difference between the mean ages of adherent (58.25 ±15.13) and non-adherent (60.93 ±14.56) groups.

Table 3 shows the associations of adherence with glaucoma medications.

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

| Characteristics          | n (%) | n = 114 |
|--------------------------|-------|--        |
| Age                      |       |         |
| 1–20                     | 3     | (2.6)   |
| 21–40                    | 8     | (7)     |
| 41–60                    | 45    | (39.5)  |
| 61–80                    | 56    | (49.1)  |
| 81–100                   | 2     | (1.8)   |
| Gender                   |       |         |
| male                     | 58    | (50.9)  |
| female                   | 56    | (49.1)  |
| Educational status       |       |         |
| primary (≤ 6 years)      | 33    | (28.9)  |
| secondary (> 6–12 years) | 47    | (41.2)  |
| tertiary (> 12 years)    | 34    | (29.8)  |
| Marital status           |       |         |
| single                   | 9     | (21.1)  |
| married                  | 81    | (71.1)  |
| divorced/separated       | 9     | (7.9)   |
Table 2. Bivariate analyses of factors associated with glaucoma medication adherence

| Characteristics                                      | Total (%) | Adherence | OR (95% CI) | p     |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|
|                                                      |           | yes       | no          |       |
|                                                      | n = 114   | 58.25 ± 15.13 | 60.93 ± 14.56 | T = 0.94 | 0.36 |
| Socio-demographics                                    |           |           |             |       |
| Mean age (Student t-test)                             |           |           |             |       |
| Gender                                                |           |           |             |       |
| male                                                  | 58 (50.9) | 42 (46.7) | 16 (66.7)   | 0.17–1.26 | 2.28 (0.13) |
| female                                                | 56 (49.1) | 48 (53.3) | 8 (33.3)    |       |
| Educational status (duration of education)            |           |           |             |       |
| ≤ 6 years                                             | 33 (28.9) | 25 (27.8) | 8 (33.3)    | 0.29–2.02 | 0.08 (0.78) |
| > 6 years                                             | 81 (71.1) | 65 (72.2) | 16 (66.7)   |       |
| Marital status                                        |           |           |             |       |
| married                                               | 105 (92.1)| 81 (90)   | 24 (100)    | 0.65–0.85 | 1.41 (0.24) |
| other                                                 | 9 (7.9)   | 9 (10)    | 0 (0)       |       |
| Vision                                                |           |           |             |       |
| good                                                  | 72 (63.2) | 59 (65.6) | 13 (54.2)   | 0.65–4.01 | 0.62 (0.43) |
| poor                                                  | 42 (36.8) | 31 (34.4) | 11 (45.88)  |       |
| Duration of glaucoma                                  |           |           |             |       |
| < 5 years                                             | 73 (64)   | 57 (63.3) | 16 (66.7)   | 0.33–2.33 | 0.04 (0.95) |
| ≥ 5 years                                             | 41 (36)   | 33 (36.7) | 8 (33.3)    |       |
| Glaucoma medication bottles in use                    |           |           |             |       |
| ≤ 2                                                   | 93 (81.6) | 75 (83.3) | 18 (75)     | 0.57–4.89 | 0.41 (0.52) |
| > 2                                                   | 21 (18.4) | 15 (16.7) | 6 (25)      |       |
| Other eye medication use                              |           |           |             |       |
| yes                                                   | 28 (24.6) | 21 (23.3) | 7 (29.2)    | 0.27–2.02 | 0.11 (0.75) |
| none                                                  | 86 (75.4) | 69 (76.7) | 17 (70.8)   |       |
| Other prescription medications use                    |           |           |             |       |
| yes                                                   | 44 (38.6) | 34 (37.8) | 10 (41.7)   | 0.34–2.12 | 0.012 (0.91) |
| none                                                  | 70 (61.4) | 56 (62.2) | 14 (58.3)   |       |
| Assisted administration of glaucoma medications       |           |           |             |       |
| yes                                                   | 52 (45.6) | 33 (36.7) | 19 (79.2)   | 0.05–0.45 | 12.13 (0.001)* |
| no                                                    | 62 (54.4) | 57 (63.3) | 5 (20.8)    |       |
| Distance from pharmacy                                |           |           |             |       |
| < 5 km                                                | 43 (37.7) | 35 (38.9) | 8 (33.3)    | 0.49–3.28 | 0.7 (0.79)    |
| > 5 km                                                | 71 (62.3) | 55 (61.1) | 16 (66.7)   |       |
| Understand the reason for taking your glaucoma medicines |       |           |             |       |
| yes                                                   | 104 (91.2)| 84 (93.3) | 20 (83.3)   | 0.72–10.86 | 1.28(0.25) |
| no                                                    | 10 (8.8)  | 6 (6.7)   | 4 (16.7)    |       |
| Side effects of glaucoma medications                  |           |           |             |       |
| yes                                                   | 26 (22.8) | 20 (22.2) | 6 (25)      | 0.30–2.44 | 0.10 (0.99) |
| no                                                    | 88 (77.2) | 70 (77.8) | 18 (75)     |       |

* Significant.

Table 3. Barriers to glaucoma medication adherence

| Barrier                                             | Frequency |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| It is hard to pay for the medications               | 59 (51.7) |
| Drops fall on cheeks                                | 42 (36.8) |
| Too many drops come out at the same time            | 36 (31.6) |
| My medications cause side effects                   | 16 (24.0) |
| It is hard to open the bottle                       | 4 (20.2)  |
| It is hard to get the drops into my eyes             | 23 (20.2) |
| The dosage times are inconvenient                   | 9 (7.9)   |
| It is hard to squeeze the bottle                    | 9 (7.9)   |
| It is hard to remember all the doses                | 7 (6.2)   |
| My medications cause other problems or concerns     | 4 (3.6)   |

Cost (59 (51.7%)) was the major deterrent to adherence with glaucoma medications, though poor instillation technique was also an important issue, as shown in Table 3.

Discussion

The mean age of participants in this study was 59.59 ± 14.8 years and comprised more males than females. The majority were married. This socio-demographic profile is similar to that reported in previous studies in Indian [14] and Dutch [13] populations. A majority of the participants in this study had at least primary school education, which contrasts reports from previous similar studies in Ethiopia [15] and other parts of Nigeria [2], where a majority of the participants were illiterate.

In this study, 36.8% of the participants were non-adherent with their glaucoma medications. This is similar to the 34% reported in southwest Nigeria [16], but contrasts the 67.5% reported in Ethiopia [15] and 6% in India [14]. These previous studies [14–16] and the present one were conducted under similar economic settings (LMICs). The disparity could be due to the different socio-demographic profiles of the participants, and eye health (glaucoma inclusive) could be influenced by literacy levels, financial status and the accessibility of glaucoma...
medications. Interventions aimed at improving adherence with glaucoma medications should therefore be peculiar to the population studied.

In this study, the most important socio-demographic factor that contributed to non-adherence with glaucoma medication was assisted administration of medication. Other factors, such as gender, educational and financial status, duration and understanding of glaucoma and distance from pharmacy, also contributed. The fact that the present study relied on the oral evidence given by the participants might have contributed to the high prevalence observed. Many of the participants (especially the older ones) claimed that their non-adherence was due to failure of the assistant to administer the medication at the right time, as sometimes their assistants were not available for days, and this affected their compliance. Previous studies reported age [8, 9, 11, 15], marital status [14], level of education [9], income [9, 15] and number of medications and frequency of use [12] as the most important factors that contributed to non-adherence with glaucoma medication. Poor instillation technique was the major reason why assistance was needed for drug administration. This implies that when the ‘assistant’ is not around, the dose is missed. Therefore, more attention should be paid to such patients, and practical and effective ways of drug administration and individualised means of improving adherence should be discussed during clinical consultation. Issues of adherence should become part of the protocol in the management of these patients.

The predominance of males in this study may be due to the fact that most males in this area are actively engaged in their work and exhibited a tendency to forget the use of their eye drops amidst their busy schedules. The symptomless nature of early/moderate glaucoma, coupled with the lack of immediate benefit of medications for eyes with good vision gave many of the participants a false sense of security and a feeling of “no cause for alarm”.

Cost of drugs was the major deterrent to adherence with glaucoma medications in this study. This is similar to the findings among the southern Indian population [14], where the most common reported problem was paying for medications, and in Ethiopia [15], where 74.4% of those with financial constraints were non-adherent. In contrast, studies done on Dutch [13], Canadian [12], UK [8] and US [10, 11] populations reported no association between non-adherence with income. However, a younger age [8], forgetfulness [10, 13] and poor instillation technique [13] were the major deterrents.

Patients under functional health insurance schemes would expectedly not have cost as a barrier, but those living below poverty line (as seen in our environment and other LMICS) will find it very difficult to pay for these life-long medications, which are usually expensive. Eye care providers should therefore, take cognisance of this and direct efforts towards the possibilities of making cheaper generic medications available and getting sponsorship for this category of patients.

Conclusions

The prevalence of non-adherence with glaucoma medications in Enugu was high. The major deterrent to adherence was the cost, while assisted drug administration was a major factor that significantly contributed to non-adherence. The government should develop social health insurance policies designed to assist glaucoma patients living below the poverty line in our environment. Non-governmental organisations and philanthropists should be encouraged to assist this category of patients. Local pharmaceutical companies should be encouraged to produce cheaper but potent generic glaucoma medications.

Recommendations

This study should be replicated in states in Nigeria where social health insurance is operational, since the major barrier to adherence is cost. This may persuade other states to implement the programme.
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