Numerical Study for the Effects of Temperature Dependent Viscosity Flow of Non-Newtonian Fluid with Double Stratification
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Abstract: The main aim of the current study is to determine the effects of the temperature dependent viscosity and thermal conductivity on magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) flow of a non-Newtonian fluid over a nonlinear stretching sheet. The viscosity of the fluid depends on stratifications. Moreover, Powell–Eyring fluid is electrically conducted subject to a non-uniform applied magnetic field. Assume a small magnetic reynolds number and boundary layer approximation are applied in the mathematical formulation. Zero nano-particles mass flux condition to the sheet is considered. The governing model is transformed into the system of nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) system by using suitable transformations so-called similarity transformation. In order to calculate the solution of the problem, we use the higher order convergence method, so-called shooting method followed by Runge-Kutta Fehlberg (RK45) method. The impacts of different physical parameters on velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are analyzed and discussed. The parameters of engineering interest, i.e., skin fraction, Nusselt and Sherwood numbers are studied numerically as well. We concluded that the velocity profile decreases by increasing the values of St, H and M. Also, we have analyzed the variation of temperature and concentration profiles for different physical parameters.
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1. Introduction

Now a days the investigation of the MHD boundary layer behavior of different kind of fluids take a tremendous attraction due to its vast practical usage in industrial processes and engineering applications. These application includes petroleum industries, geothermal engineering, crystal growth, aerodynamics, nuclear reactors metallurgical processes, liquidating metals space technology, casting and spinning of fibers etc. In order to examine the rheological assents of fluids, the Navier Stokes equations are insufficient alone. Therefore, rheological models are implemented to reduced this problem. The description of non-Newtonian fluids does not exist in single constitutive relationship between stress and strain. Few examples of non-Newtonian fluids are drilling mud, plastic
polymer, hot rolling, optical fibers, metal spinning, paper production and cooling of metallic plates. The investigation of the flow due to extending surface in a moving liquid is important in advanced industry, e.g., the expulsion of metals and plastics, glass blowing, cooling or drying of papers, etc. The problems of linear stretching sheet for many events of fluid have also been investigated by many number researchers; detail review for this article is explained as bellow.

Sakiadis [1] analyzed the boundary layer flow over a stretching surface. Various authors has been discussed the different feathers of the flow over a stretching surface. Vleggaar [2] studied the boundary layer on the stretching surface almost proportional to the distance from the orifice. Mahmood [3] et al. analyzed the un-uniform heat source or sink on MHD non-Darcian flow in a convective micropolar fluid over a stretching sheet with radiation. Crane et al. [4] evaluated the consequences of Newtonian fluid over a stretching sheet on the uniform stress. Haritha et al. [5] analyzed the effect of chemical reaction on convective boundary condition of a Maxwell fluid over a stretching surface in the appearance of thermal radiation. Zeb et al. [6] studied the effect of thermal radiation on time dependent fluid flow over a stretching sheet with variable thermal conductivity. Zeb et al. [7] studied the effect of thermal radiation and slip boundary condition on time dependent fluid flow over a stretching sheet along with variable thermal conductivity. Ghaiderijani et al. [8] using the numerical solution of magnetohydrodynamics flow inside the Constricted Channels with local symmetric constrictions. Karimipour et al. [9] investigated the numerical solution of laminar MHD forced convection flow of carbon nanofluid in the microchannels with uniform heat flux. The combine effects of viscous dissipation and Heat transfer flow of pseudo-plastic nanofluid over a moving permeable surface with heat absorption/generation was studied by Maleki et al. [10]. The variation of thermal radiation on time dependent of a non-Newtonian Maxwell nanofluid over a stretching surface is carried out by Madhu et al. [11] via finite element method.

Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow is the study of magnetic assets on electrically conducting fluids. Due to wide useful applications of MHD in industrial processes such as petroleum industries, plasma studies, manufacturing of heat exchangers, design for cooling of nuclear reactors, MHD power generator designing, and on the performance of many other processes. Soid et al. [12] was found the dual Solutions of time dependent flow over a shrinking sheet. Jamalabadi et al. [13] analyzed the formulation of subcooled boiling flow of nanofluid under the effect of a magnetic field. El-Dabe et al. [14] studied the characteristics of heat and mass transfer on MHD boundary layer flow of non-Newtonian fluid on a moving wedge. Khan et al. [15] also found the numerical assets on MHD laminar boundary layer flow past a wedge with the effects of, heat generation, thermal radiation and chemical reaction.

Another type of fluid is nano-fluids which is measured by dispersing of small sized materials such as nanotubes, nanofibers, nanowires, droplets, nanosheet and nanorods. The nano-fluids are nanoscale colloidal suspensions containing condensed nanomaterials. The productivity of polymerase chain reaction can be enhanced with the procedure of graphene based nano-fluid. Nanofluids require tunable optical assets, and due to these effects, they are used in solar collectors. Moreover nanofluids are also used in microfluidics, biomedical, solid-state lighting, transportation, and manufacturing. The study of nano-fluids has been a topic of intense research during the last one decade due to their interesting thermophysical properties and anticipated applications in heat transfer. Angayarkanni et al. [16] evaluated the influence of particle size, volume fraction and particle morphology nanoparticles on the temperature dependent specific heat capacity of metal oxide nano-fluids via differential scanning calorimeter. Malvandi et al. [17] theoretical investigated the MHD mixed convective in a water nanofluid in a vertical annuler pipe. Nanofluid and heat transfer of water silver nano-particle inside a microchannel was studied numerically via finite element approach by Forghani et al. [18]. The numerical study of nanofluid flow over a stretching sheet with heating joule effect was studied by Zeb et al. [19]. In the fluid suspensions, nano-materials have shown many exciting properties and the characteristic features present unparalleled potential, analyzed by Hua et al. [20]. Heat and mass transfer of a non-Newtonian fluid over a permeable surface with suction and injection effects
was calculated by Maleki et al. [21]. The extremely huge thermal conductivity can be report via inverse micellar templating and nanofiller. During melting and freezing the of the thermal features of n-hexadecane containing inverse micelles of volume fractions was studied by Philip et al. [22]. Lu et al. [23] evaluated the combined effects of non-linear radiation and zero mass flux surface on the axisymmetric steady carreau nano-fluid induced by a radially stretching sheet.

The Powell-Eyring has several advantages of using at low and high shear rates and can be reduced to Newtonian fluid by the derivation of kinetic theory of liquids. Hayat et al. [24] investigated the flow of Powell-Eyring model via asymptotic boundary condition through numerical approach. In last several decades the Powell-Eyring model got the attention of scientists in fluid dynamics due to its applications in both sciences and technological machinery. 3-D case of Powell-Eyring fluid past a stretching sheet was discussed by Palumbo et al. [25]. Also the evaluation of thermal radiation and convective boundary condition on Powell-Erying fluid over a moving sheet was discussed by Akbar et al. [26]. Hayat et al. [27] calculated the effect of zero mass flux of nanoparticles on (MHD) flow of Powell–Eyring nanofluid toward a nonlinear stretching sheet. A numerical approach for the effect of Cattanneo–Christov heat flux model in MHD flow of Williamson fluid toward a stretching sheet with viscosity effects was found by Salahuddin et al. [28]. Hayat et al. [29] performed the investigation of Cattaneo–Christov heat flux in stagnation point flow of temperature dependent viscosity toward a nonlinear stretching sheet under the effect of double stratification.

Chemical reaction and thermal diffusion plays an important role on heat and mass transfer phenomena. The concentration difference produces qualitative and quantitative changes in the rate of heat transfer. Moreover, many chemically reacting processes consist of the species chemical reactions with finite Arrhenius activation energy. The phenomenon of Activation energy is mostly helpful in the fields of geothermal engineering or oil reservoir and in oil, water combinations. The relation between chemical reactions and mass transport are usually very difficult, and can be witnessed in the consumption and manufacturing of reactant species at different rates in fluid and mass transfer. Bestman [30] was published the boundary layer flow in heat and mass transfer under binary chemical reaction. The study of binary chemical reaction and activation energy was analyzed by Awad et al. [31] whose presented the solution via perturbation to shoe the effect of natural convection in a porous medium. The investigation of binary chemical reaction and activation energy on the time dependent rotating flow of heat and mass transfer was studied by Shafique et al. [32]. The effects of activation energy and binary chemical reactions was analyzed by [33–35].

Stratification depends upon on temperature, concentration differences or existence of fluids with different densities. Double stratifications play a vital role in engineering, industries, and sciences. The applications of stratifications include such as thermal stratification of reservoirs, processing rivers, oceans, manufacturing, different heterogeneous mixtures, ground water reservoirs, condensers of power plants, density stratification of atmosphere, etc. The biological processes occurring in reservoirs make the water in the bottom anoxic. The problem can be reduced with the implication of thermal stratification. Mukhopadhyay [36] was published a numerical study for double stratification on MHD heat and mass transfer fluid flow over exponential stretching surface along with suction and junction. The numerical aspects for the consequences of variable viscosity and dually stratification on Casson fluid toward a nonlinear stretching surface was studied by Animasaun et al. [37]. The effects of joule heating and thermal radiation on MHD flow of Cosson fluid due to nonlinear stretching sheet embedded by double stratifications was evaluated by Daniel et al. [38].

Previously, Khan et al. [39] analyzed a numerical approach change in viscosity of the Williamson nano-fluid flow over a nonlinear stretching sheet embedded in a double stratified medium. The viscosity depends on double stratification. The aims of current study is extend to the effects of change in viscosity and conductivity on Eyring Powell nano-fluid over a nonlinear stretching sheet along with chemical reaction and activation energy. Viscosity of the fluid depends on double stratification. We use similarity transformations for the transformation of governing model in to nonlinear coupled ordinary differential equation. We successfully compute the solution of this coupled
ordinary differential equation via numerical scheme (shooting method followed by Runge-Kutta Fehlberg method). The variations of different physical aspects are presented through graphs. Also, we obtained the numerical results of the local skin fraction, heat transfer rate and Sherwood number for various physical parameters through tables.

2. Mathematical Model

We have consider a mathematical model for MHD steady flow of change in viscosity on Eyring-Powell nano-fluid flow over a nonlinear stretching sheet along with double stratification. The flow is produced by a nonlinear stretching sheet. Moreover, the highlights of thermal conductivity and zero mass flux condition are taken into account. The sheet is stretched with velocity \( u_w(x, y) = U_0(x + c)^m \), assume the sheet is designated with profile \( y = A(x + c)^{\frac{1}{m}} \) here \( U_0 \) stretching rate, \( c \) dimensionless constant, \( A \) represents constant, \( m \) represents stretching index. Moreover, it is supposed that the model needs to be satisfied individual for \( m \neq 1 \), because for \( m = 1 \), it decreases to flat surface. A magnetic field \( B_0 \) is applied normal to the sheet, vertical to the surface (see in Figure 1).

The assumption of rate process is used to obtained the Eyring Powell model (1994) in order to explain the shear of non-Newtonian flow. Akber et al. [26] evaluated the shear stress of in Eyring Powell model as

\[
\tau_{ij} = \mu \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\beta_1} sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{c_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right).
\]

Here \( \mu \) represents the dynamic viscosity, \( c \) and \( \beta_1 \) are represents parameters of the fluid. We take the second order approximation as

\[
sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{c_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \approx \left(\frac{1}{c_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right) - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{c_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right)^3
\]

Under the above assumptions and boundary layer approximation leads to the governing expressions [26,37–39] (Eyring Powell nano-fluid model, energy and concentration formulation) are stated from operation (3)–(6) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\mu(T)\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}) + \frac{1}{\rho c_v} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2\rho c_v^3} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial y})^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \sigma \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{u}}{\partial y^3} \rho, \\
\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(k(T)\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y}) + \tau \left[ \frac{D_1}{T_{\infty}} (\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y})^2 + D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right], \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} &= D_B \left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}\right) + \tau \left(\frac{D_1}{T_{\infty}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{T}}{\partial y^2}\right) - k_f \left(\frac{\hat{T}}{T_{\infty}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{E}{c_i T}\right)(C - C_{\infty}).
\end{align*}
\]

Subjected to boundary condition

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}(x, y) &= \hat{u}_w(x) = U_0(x + c)^m, \quad \nu(x, y) = 0, \\
\hat{T}(x, y) &= \hat{T}_w(x, y) + N \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y}, \\
\left(D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{D_1}{T_{\infty}}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y}\right) &= 0, \quad \text{at} \quad y = A(x + c)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \\
\hat{u}(x, y) &\rightarrow 0, \quad \hat{T} \rightarrow \hat{T}_{\infty} \quad C \rightarrow C_{\infty} \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty.
\end{align*}
\]
In the above expression the velocity components $u$ and $\hat{v}$ are in the $x$ and $y$ - directions, respectively, $\mu(T)$ denotes viscosity, $\rho$ the density of the nanoparticle, $\hat{T}$ denotes the temperature of the nanofluid, $\hat{C}$ represent the concentration of the nanofluid, $C_w$ and $\hat{T}_w$ the concentration and temperature along the stretching sheet. $\hat{C}_\infty$ and $\hat{T}_\infty$ the ambient concentration and temperature, $(\rho c)_f$ the heat capacitance of the base fluid, $D_{\hat{T}}$ the thermosteresis coefficient. The Arrhenius law in Equation (6) was obtained by Arrhenius [40], $\left(\frac{\hat{T}}{\hat{T}_\infty}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-E}{\zeta \hat{T}}\right)(\hat{C} - \hat{C}_\infty)$ represents the modified form of Arrhenius function in which $\zeta = 8.61 \times 10^{-5}$ eV denotes the Boltzmann constant, $n$ is the unit less exponent fitted rate constant typically lies in range $-1 < n < 1$ and $E$ represents the activation energy. The variation in viscosity and thermal conductivity due to internal friction between the stretching surface and fluid paretical have to be taken into account, it is appropriate to assumed the mathematical formulation of the thermal conductivity and viscosity depends on temperature was investigated in [37–39] together with useful similarity variables as follows:

$$\mu(T) = \hat{\rho}(a + b_1(T_w - \hat{T})),$$

$$k(T) = \hat{\rho}(a_1 + b_2(T - T_\infty)),$$

$$\theta(\zeta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad \phi(\zeta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_o} \quad (10)$$

Transform governing model into ODEs by using following similarity variable as fellows:

$$\hat{T}_w = \hat{T}_o + n_1(x + c) \frac{T_w - T_\infty}{T_w - T_o}, \quad \hat{T}_\infty = \hat{T}_o + n_2(x + c) \frac{T_w - T_\infty}{T_w - T_o},$$

$$\hat{C}_w = \hat{C}_o + n_3(x + c) \frac{C_w - C_o}{C_w - C_o}, \quad \hat{C}_\infty = \hat{C}_o + n_4(x + c) \frac{C_w - C_o}{C_w - C_o} \quad (11)$$

Using Equation (11) we obtained

$$\hat{T}_w - \hat{T} = (1 - \theta)T_w - T_o - n_2(x + c) \frac{T_w - T_\infty}{T_w - T_o},$$

$$\hat{C}_w - \hat{C} = (1 - \phi)\hat{C}_w - \hat{C}_o - n_4(x + c) \frac{C_w - C_o}{C_w - C_o} \quad (12)$$

From Equations (10) and (11) can easily obtained as follows

$$b_1(T_w - T_o) = b_1n_1(x + c) \frac{T_w - T_\infty}{T_w - T_o}, \quad b_1(T_\infty - T_o) = b_1n_2(x + c) \frac{T_w - T_\infty}{T_w - T_o},$$

$$b_2(\hat{C}_w - \hat{C}_o) = b_2n_3(x + c) \frac{C_w - C_o}{C_w - C_o}, \quad b_2(\hat{C}_\infty - \hat{C}_o) = b_2n_4(x + c) \frac{C_w - C_o}{C_w - C_o} \quad (13)$$

Figure 1. Geometry of the problem.
in the above expression $T_o$ and $\dot{C}_o$ are reference temperature and concentration respectively. Form Equation (13) we obtained

\begin{align}
\frac{b_1(T_w - T_o)}{Pr} &= d, \quad \frac{b_1(T_w - T_o)}{St} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}, \\
\frac{b_2(\dot{C}_w - \dot{C}_o)}{Pr} &= d, \quad \frac{b_2(\dot{C}_w - \dot{C}_o)}{Sc} = \frac{n_4}{n_3}, \\
\epsilon &= \frac{b_2(T_w - T_o)}{St} \epsilon St = b_2(T_w - T_o)
\end{align}

(14)

Introducing the following similarity transformations

\begin{align}
\zeta &= \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)U_o(x-c)(m-1)}{2v}} y, \\
\psi &= \sqrt{\frac{2vU_o(x-c)(m+1)}{m+1}} F(\zeta), \\
\varepsilon &= U_o(x+c)^m f' \Theta(\zeta), \\
\Theta(\zeta) &= \frac{T - T_w}{T_w - T_o}, \\
\Phi(\zeta) &= \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_o},
\end{align}

(16)

by substituting the above similarity transformation Equations (3)–(6) reduces into the following system of ODEs:

\begin{align}
(a + d(1 - \Theta - St))F'' - \frac{(m+1)}{2} H\Lambda F''(F'')^2 - d\Theta' F'' + FF'' - \frac{2m}{m+1} F'' - \frac{2M}{m+1} F' &= 0, \\
\frac{a_1 + \epsilon\Theta}{Pr} \Theta'' - \frac{m-1}{m+1} \ThetaF' - St \frac{m-1}{m+1} F' - F\Theta' + \frac{\epsilon}{Pr} \Theta^2 + Nb \Phi' \Theta' + Nt(\Theta')^2 &= 0, \\
\frac{\Phi''}{PrLe} - \frac{m-1}{m+1} \PhiF' - Sc \frac{m-1}{m+1} F' + \frac{N_t b}{PrLe Nt} (\Theta'' - F\Phi'- Kr((1 + \delta\Theta)^n \exp(-\frac{E}{1+\delta\Theta})\Phi) &= 0.
\end{align}

(19)

Subject to boundary condition

\begin{align}
F(\zeta) + \alpha \frac{m-1}{m+1} F' &= 0, \quad \Theta(\alpha) = 1 + Lt\Theta'(\alpha), F'(\alpha) = 1 \quad Nb\Phi'(\alpha) + Nt\Theta'(\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha = 0. \\
F'(\alpha) = 0, \quad \Phi(\alpha) = 0, \quad \phi(\alpha) = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad \alpha \to \infty.
\end{align}

(22)

Further more considering $F(\eta) = f(\zeta - \alpha) = f(\eta), \quad \Theta(\eta) = \theta(\zeta - \alpha) = \theta(\eta)$ ans $\Phi(\eta) = \phi(\zeta - \alpha) = \phi(\eta)$, Equations (19)–(21) with boundary conditions 22 as follows:

\begin{align}
(a + d - d\theta - Std) f''' - df' - \frac{(m+1)}{2} H\Lambda f''(f'')^2 + ff''' - \frac{2m}{m+1} f'' - \frac{2M}{m+1} f' &= 0, \\
\frac{a_1 + \epsilon\theta}{Pr} \theta'' - \frac{m-1}{m+1} \theta f' - St \frac{m-1}{m+1} f' - ff' + \frac{\epsilon}{Pr} \theta^2 + Nb\phi)' + Nt(\theta')^2 &= 0, \\
\frac{\phi''}{PrLe} - \frac{m-1}{m+1} \phi f' - Sc \frac{m-1}{m+1} f' - \phi' + \frac{N_t b}{PrLe Nt} (\theta'' - K_r(1 + \delta\theta)^n \exp(-\frac{E}{1+\delta\theta})\phi) &= 0.
\end{align}

(23)

Subject to boundary condition

\begin{align}
f(\eta) + \alpha \frac{m-1}{m+1} f' &= 0, \quad f'(\eta) = 1, \theta(\eta) = 1 + Lt\theta'(\eta), \quad Nb\phi'(\eta) + Nt\theta'(\eta) = 0, \eta = 0. \\
f'(\eta) = 0, \quad \phi(\eta) = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad \eta \to \infty.
\end{align}

(26)

where $f'$ is the dimensionless velocity, $\theta$ denotes the temperature, $\phi$ represents the concentration $\eta$ denotes the similarity variables where $M = \frac{U_o}{nU_o(x+c)=T} = \text{magnetic parameter}$, $\alpha = A((\frac{U_o(1+m)}{2v}))^{1/2}$ represents the wall thickness parameter, $Pr = \frac{\rho C_o}{k_o}$ is Prandtl number, $Re_y = \frac{U_o y}{v}$ Reynolds number,
3. Numerical Method

Equations (19)–(21) are the system of nonlinear, 3rd order in \( f \), 2nd order in \( \theta \) and 2nd order in \( \phi \) respectively. First of all these non-linear ODE’s are reduce into a system of first order ODE’s and then solved by using shooting method. The Equations (19)–(21) can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
E & = \frac{\nu}{\mu} \\
Le & = \frac{\nu}{K} \\
Ea & = \frac{\nu}{\mu\beta} \\
\end{align*}
\]

To convert these higher order nonlinear ODE’s into system of first order ODE’s, let

\[
\begin{align*}
f & = u_1, \quad f' = u_2, \quad f'' = u_3 \quad \text{and} \quad f''' = u_4, \\
\theta & = u_5, \quad \theta' = u_6 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta'' = u_7, \\
\phi & = u_8, \quad \phi' = u_9 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'' = u_10
\end{align*}
\]

The nonlinear coupled ODE’s are converted into a system of first order simultaneous algebraic form, which can be defined as form as
\[ u'_1 = u_2, \]  
\[ u'_2 = u_3, \]  
\[ u'_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{a + d} - du_4 - Sld + H - 0.5(m + H; M)}{m}, \]  
\[ u'_4 = u_5, \]  
\[ u'_5 = -\frac{Pr}{a_1 + \epsilon u_4} \left( \frac{m - 1}{m + 1} u_4 u_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{Pr} u_5^2 - St \frac{m - 1}{m + 1} u_2 + u_1 u_5 + Nbu_7 u_5 + Ni(u_3)^2 \right), \]  
\[ u'_6 = u_7, \]  
\[ u'_7 = -LePr \left[ u_7 u_1 - \frac{m - 1}{m + 1} u_6 u_2 - Sc \frac{m - 1}{m + 1} u_2 - Kr((1 + \delta u_4)^n \exp \left( \frac{-E}{1 + \delta u_4} \right) u_6 \right] - \frac{N_b}{N} (\delta u_5). \]

boundary conditions are
\[ u_1(0) + \alpha \frac{m - 1}{m + 1} u_2(0) = 0, \; u_2(0) = 1, \; u_4(0) = 1 + Lu_4(0), \; Nbu_6(0) + Nu_4(0) = 0, \]
\[ u_2(\infty) = 0, \; u_4(\infty) = 0, \; u_6(\infty) = 0. \]

To determine the solution of system of seven ODE’s (39)–(45) by using shooting method, seven initial assumptions are required, but in system (46) two initial guesses are given in \( f \) one in \( \theta \) and one in \( \phi \) and the other three conditions are defined as \( \eta \to \infty \). These three conditions generate result in three unknowns. The subsequent and foremost step of this method is choosing the estimated values of \( \eta \) at \( \infty \), solution process is initiated with certain initial guesses and finding out the solution of (BVP) including governing model. The method of solution with a new values of \( \eta \) at \( \eta \to \infty \) and the method is repeated until two consecutive values of \( f''(0), \theta'(0) \) and \( \phi'(0) \) are different only after the significant digits. Thus final values of \( \eta \) is considered as \( \eta \to \infty \).

4. Results and Discussions

The system of the governing Equations (19)–(21) are solved numerically by using shooting method. We have taken \( \Delta(\eta) = 0.02 \) also the boundary condition \( \infty \) has been replaced with 5 due to the requirement of the shooting method. The convergence has been obtained at tol \( \varepsilon = 10^{-5} \), while the thickness of the boundary layer \( \eta_\infty \) is taken between 2 and 15. Moreover the current result is compared with previously published data see in Table 1. The variation of different physical aspects are presented through graphs (as shown in Figures 2–23). The distribution of horizontal velocity on (thermal stratification \( St \)) shown in Figure 2. It is viewed that the velocity gradient reduces by enlarging values of \( St \). Figure 3 is sketches for the distribution temperature gradient for unlike values of \( St \). it is clear that temperature gradient increases for higher values of \( St \) Plotted Figure 4 is for the variation of velocity and temperature distribution for unlike values of \( M \). Decrease variation of velocity and temperature profiles increases by enlarge values of \( M \). According to the Lorentz’s force theory, the behavior of magnetic field and velocity fraction is inverse. Therefore the velocity distribution is reduced by the higher values of \( M \). Plotted Figure 5 is plotted for the distribution of concentration and temperature profiles for the distinct values of (Prandtl number \( Pr \)). The temperature profile decreases and concentration profile increases by increasing values of \( Pr \). The physical reason behind that the fluids contain a little number of \( Pr \) have a higher thermal diffusivity, and this behaviour is inverse for larger values of Prandtl numbers. Figure 6 indicates that the significance of velocity for various values of material fluid parameter \( H \). By increasing \( H \) the velocity decreases, hence velocity and momentum boundary layer thickness are increased.
Figure 2. Distribution of $f'$ for distinct values of $St$.

Figure 3. The $f'$ and $\theta$ for distinct values of $St$.

Figure 4. Variation of $f'$ and $\theta$ for distinct values of $M$. 
Figure 5. Variation of $\theta$ and $\phi$.

Figure 6. The behaviour of $H$ on $f'$.  

Figure 7. The behaviour of $\lambda$ on $f'$.

Table 1. Comparison of $-f''(0)$ at $H = 1.0$, $m = \beta = St = a = \lambda = \zeta = 0$. for distinct values of $M$ with previously published data.

| $M$  | Akbar et al. [41] | Malik [42] | Hussain [43] | Rehman et al. [44] | Present Result |
|------|------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
| 0.0  | $-1$             | $-1$       | $-1$         | $-1$             | $-1$          |
| 0.5  | $-1.1180$        | $-1.11802$ | $-1.1180$    | $-1.1180$        | $-1.1180$     |
| 1.0  | $-1.41421$       | $-1.41419$ | $-1.4137$    | $-1.4142$        | $-1.4141$     |
| 5.0  | $-2.44949$       | $-2.44945$ | $-2.4495$    | $-2.4495$        | $-2.4497$     |
Figure 7 demonstrated for velocity profile for unlike values of $\lambda$ parameter. It is seen that the variation is enhancing $\lambda$ is to increase both the film thickness and the free surface velocity $f'(\eta)$. The distribution of velocity profile for power law index $m$ is shown in Figure 8. Decreases momentum and velocity boundary layer thickness by improving values of $m$. Here $m = 1$ linear represent the surface case and $m \neq 1$ corresponds to nonlinear stretching surface. Figure 9 exhibits the variation of $m$ on the temperature field. It is noticed the temperature profile reduces by enhancing values of $m$. Figure 10 designates for the distribution of the concentration profile for various values of $Le$. The results shows that decreases in concentration profile is noticed for large values of Lewis number $Le$. This is because of the fact that there is a reduction in the nano-particle volume fraction boundary layer thickness with the raise in the Lewis number. The variation of the concentration profile for the distinct values of $Nb$ shown in Figure 11. It is noticed that reduction in concentration profile noticed by incrementing value of Brownian motion $Nb$. The effect of $Nt$ on the concentration shown in Figure 12. It is seen that the concentration decreases as the thermosteresis parameter increases. Figure 13 is plotted to represents the variation (activation energy $E$) on concentration field $\phi$. it is concluded that $E$ is diminishing function of $\phi$. The results shows that increases in concentration profile is noticed for large values of $E$. Figure 14 designates for the distribution of the concentration profile for various values of (Lewis number $Le$). The results shows that decreases in concentration profile is noticed for large values of $Le$. This is because of the fact that there is a reduction in the nano-particle volume fraction boundary layer thickness with the raise in the Lewis number. Figure 15 indicates the variation of concentration profile for the chemical reaction parameter $Kr$. The result has shown that concentration profile reduces by enhancing the values of $Kr$, a decreases in concentration is observed with increasing values of $Kr$. Figure 16 designates the temperature profile for the unlike values of the (Brownian motion parameters $Nb$). The result has shown that temperature distribution reduces by incrementing values of $Nb$. Figure 17 represents the distribution of the temperature profile for the various values of the $Nt$. The result has shown that temperature profile decreases by increasing values of thermophoresis $Nt$. The impact of (wall thickness parameter $a$) on velocity gradient is plotted in Figure 18. It is found that the velocity profile is increases for higher values of $a$. Figure 19 represents the effects of and fitted rate constant $n$ on the nano-particle volume fraction. The antiparticles volume fraction profile decreases with an increasing value of fitted rate constant $n$ which leads to considerable thinning with in the boundary layer. Figure 20 is plotted to represent the distribution of concentration profile for (temperature difference parameter $\delta$). The result has shown that concentration profile is reduces by improving values of $\delta$. Figure 21 is the distribution of temperature profile for different values of (variable thermal conductivity $\epsilon$). The temperature profile increases by increasing values of $\epsilon$. Figure 22 is plotted for the distinction of the temperature profile for the different values thermal slip parameter $Lt$ The result has shown that the temperature profile increases by increasing value of $Lt$. Figure 23 is plotted for the impact of thickness parameter $d$ on velocity gradient. For steps up values of thickness parameter $d$ the velocity distribution enhances.

Next we calculate the numerical variation for different physical parameters through tables. Tables 2 and 3 are calculated to show the numerical aspects of skin fraction, Nusselt and Sherwood numbers for unlike values of different physical parameter. Tables 2 and 3 shows that the skin friction behavior increases for unlike values of $M$, $m$, $\beta$, $St$ and $\lambda$. Skin friction steps down by the incrementing values of $H$, $d$ (is shown in Tables 2 and 3). $Nu_\epsilon$ increases with an increase in $d$, $Lt$, $M$, $Pr$ and $St$. $Nu_\epsilon$ steps down by increasing values of $\epsilon$, $m$ and $R$. Sherwood number $Sh_\epsilon$ enhancement by steps up values of $\xi$, and $Kr$. Sherwood numbers $Sh_\epsilon$ reduces by enlarging values of $M \delta$, $Pr$, $E m$ and $Le$. 
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Figure 8. Influence of $m$ on $f'$. 

Figure 9. Variation of $\theta$. 

Figure 10. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $Le$. 
Figure 11. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $Nh$.

Figure 12. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $Nt$.

Figure 13. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $E$. 
Figure 14. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $Le$.

Figure 15. Variation of $f'$.

Figure 16. The behaviour of $Nb$ on $\theta$. 
Figure 17. Influence of $N_t$ on $\theta$.

Figure 18. Variation of $f'$ for distinct values of $\alpha$.

Figure 19. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $n$. 
Figure 20. Variation of $\phi$ for distinct values of $\delta$.

Figure 21. Variation of $\theta$ for distinct values of $\epsilon$.

Figure 22. Variation of momentum profile for distinct values of $d$. 
Figure 23. The temperature profile for distinct values of $Lt$.

Table 2. Effects of $A$, $M$, $Pr$, $\lambda$, $\epsilon$, $Y$, $\delta$, and $\beta$ on $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\phi'(0)$.

| $\zeta$ | $M$ | $Pr$ | $E$ | $\lambda$ | $St$ | $\epsilon$ | $Y$ | $\beta$ | $m$ | $\delta$ | $R$ | $Kr$ | $Dt$ | $Lt$ | $-f''(0)$ | $-\theta'(0)$ | $-\phi'(0)$ |
|---------|----|-----|----|--------|-----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|------|-----|-----|------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0     | 1  | 1.2 | 0.8| 0.4    | 0.3 | 0.5    | 0.3| 1.0    | 90 | 0.0    | 0.4 | 0.7  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7622   | 0.5753   | 0.6718   |
| 0.3     | 1.1116 | 0.2136 | 0.1957 | 0.5419 | 0.5436 | 0.6598 | 0.6317 | 0.5655 | 0.6571 | 0.7429 | 0.5880 | 0.6497 | 0.5945 | 0.4833 | 0.6567   |
| 0.6     | 1.0340 | 0.2157 | 0.1961 | 0.9713 | 0.2178 | 0.1965 | 0.5419 | 0.5436 | 0.6598 | 0.6317 | 0.5655 | 0.6571 | 0.7429 | 0.5880 | 0.6497   |
| 0.75    | 0.6843 | 0.7643 | 0.4534 | 0.5938 | 0.6452 | 0.5350 | 0.6843 | 0.7643 | 0.4534 | 0.5938 | 0.6452 | 0.5350 | 0.6843 | 0.7643 | 0.4534   |
| 1.0     | 0.8331 | 0.1892 | 0.2332 | 0.8331 | 0.1892 | 0.2332 | 0.6327 | 0.4780 | 0.2311 | 0.5237 | 0.3893 | 0.2291 | 0.7501 | 0.4863 | 0.6588   |
| 1.3     | 0.5701 | 0.4863 | 0.6588 | 0.5701 | 0.4863 | 0.6588 | 0.5729 | 0.4859 | 0.6587 | 0.5748 | 0.4845 | 0.6578 | 0.5701 | 0.4863 | 0.6588   |
| 0.0     | 0.2  | 0.8236 | 0.1765 | 0.8236 | 0.1765 | 0.2297 | 0.8236 | 0.1765 | 0.2297 | 0.8236 | 0.1765 | 0.2297 | 0.8236 | 0.1765 | 0.2297   |
| 0.3     | 0.4  | 0.8494 | 0.1892 | 0.8494 | 0.1892 | 0.2291 | 0.8494 | 0.1892 | 0.2291 | 0.8494 | 0.1892 | 0.2291 | 0.8494 | 0.1892 | 0.2291   |
| 0.6     | 0.6785 | 0.5729 | 0.6524 | 0.6785 | 0.5729 | 0.6524 | 0.6785 | 0.5729 | 0.6524 | 0.6785 | 0.5729 | 0.6524 | 0.6785 | 0.5729 | 0.6524   |
Table 3. Effects of $A$, $M$, $Pr$, $\lambda$, $\varepsilon$, $Y$, $\delta$, and $\beta$ on $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\phi'(0)$.

| $\xi$ | $M$ | $Pr$ | $E$ | $\lambda$ | $St$ | $\varepsilon$ | $Y$ | $m$ | $\delta$ | $R$ | $K_r$ | $D_t$ | $L_t$ | $-f''(0)$ | $-\theta'(0)$ | $-\phi'(0)$ |
|-------|-----|------|-----|---------|-----|-------------|----|----|-------|----|-----|------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| 1     | 1.2 | 0.8  | 0.4 | 0.3     | 0.5 | 0.3         | 1.0| 0.0| 0.4   | 0.7| 0.5 | 0.3   | 0.6   | 0.7622    | 0.5753      | 0.6718     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9763    | 0.1810      | 0.2260     |
|       | 0.3 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9778    | 0.1787      | 0.2261     |
|       | 0.6 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9797    | 0.1760      | 0.2261     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9539    | 0.1781      | 0.2266     |
|       | 45  |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 1.0457    | 0.1739      | 0.2256     |
|       | 90  |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9539    | 0.1801      | 0.2334     |
|       | 0.2 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9684    | 0.1719      | 0.2193     |
|       | 0.4 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9515    | 0.1638      | 0.2071     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9515    | 0.1638      | 0.2016     |
|       | 0.2 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9515    | 0.1638      | 0.2032     |
|       | 0.4 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9515    | 0.1638      | 0.2049     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9985    | 0.1778      | 0.2278     |
|       | 0.2 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9987    | 0.1746      | 0.2291     |
|       | 0.4 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9996    | 0.1731      | 0.2299     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9705    | 0.1632      | 0.2015     |
|       | 0.3 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9705    | 0.1632      | 0.2017     |
|       | 0.6 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9705    | 0.1632      | 0.2019     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9505    | 0.1638      | 0.1638     |
|       | 0.3 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9505    | 0.1638      | 0.1548     |
|       | 0.6 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9505    | 0.1638      | 0.2409     |
|       | 0.0 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.8338    | 0.0000      | 0.2032     |
|       | 0.3 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 0.9515    | 0.1638      | 0.2032     |
|       | 0.6 |      |     |         |     |             |    |    |       |    |     |       |       | 1.0126    | 0.2055      | 0.2032     |

5. Conclusions

In this article we presents change in viscosity and temperature on incompressible flow of Eyring Powell nano-fluid in the attendance double stratification of induced by a non-linear stretching sheet. Moreover the effects of zero mass flux condition, activation energy nd binary chemical reaction are taken into account. We use similarity transformation for the transforming nonlinear coupled ordinary differential equations. Successfully computed the solution of coupled ordinary differential equations via numerical scheme through shooting method followed by Runge-Kutta Fehlberg method. The behaviour of various parameters on velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are shown graphically. The behaviour of the local skin friction coefficient, local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number are shown numerically through table. The major conclusions are listed bellow.

- There is a decreases in velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ with increasing values of $\lambda$, $m$, $St$ and $M$
- There is a increases in velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ with increasing values of $H$ and $\alpha$.
- The temperature profile increases $\theta(\eta)$ with the exceeding values of $M$, $R$, $m$, $H$, $L$, $Nt$ and $\varepsilon$.
- Decrease in temperature $\theta(\eta)$ profile is noticed for the increasing values of $Pr$, $St$, $Nb$, $n$ and $M$.
- The concentration profile $\phi(\eta)$ increments for large values of $M$, $E$ and $H$.
- The concentration profile $\phi(\eta)$ reduces with the steps up values of $Le$, $Kr$, $n$, $\delta$ and $Nb$. 
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Nomenclature

The following abbreviations and notations are used in this manuscript:

- **ODE** Ordinary Differential Equation
- **MHD** Magneto-hydrodynamics
- **BVP** Boundary value problem
- **IVP** Initial value problem
- **k(\hat{T})** Thermal conductivity
- **k_\infty** Free stream conductivity
- **m** Velocity power index parameter
- **K_r** Reaction rate parameter
- **a** Wall thickness parameter
- **\mu** Temperature dependent viscosity parameter
- **E** Activation energy
- **n** Fitted rate constants
- **C_f** Local skin friction
- **\lambda, H** Fluid parameters
- **C_p** Specific heat
- **g** Dimensionless velocity
- **s_t, s_c** Stratification parameters
- **w_m** Local wall couple stress
- **N_b** Brownian motion parameter
- **N_u, N_t** Local Nusselt number
- **Pr** Prandtl number
- **Le** Lewis number
- **q_w** Wall heat flux
- **St** Thermal Slip parameter
- **Sh** Sherwood number
- **\eta** Plate surface
- **Re** Reynolds number
- **\epsilon** Variable thermal conductivity
- **\tau** Thermo-phoretic parameter
- **d** Temperature dependent viscosity parameter
- **T_\infty** Ambient temperature
- **T_w** Wall temperature
- **u** Velocity component in x-direction
- **v** Velocity component in y-direction
- **\mu** Viscosity
- **B_0** Magnetic induction
- **\varsigma** Boltzmann constant
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