The porphyrin TMPyP4 inhibits elongation during the noncanonical translation of the FTLD/ALS-associated GGGGCC repeat in the C9orf72 gene
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GGGGCC (G4C2) repeat expansion in the C9orf72 gene has been shown to cause frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Dipeptide repeat proteins produced through repeat-associated non-AUG (RAN) translation are recognized as potential drivers for neurodegeneration. Therefore, selective inhibition of RAN translation could be a therapeutic avenue to treat these neurodegenerative diseases. It was previously known that the porphyrin TMPyP4 binds to G4C2 repeat RNA. However, the consequences of this interaction have not been well characterized. Here, we confirmed that TMPyP4 inhibits C9orf72 G4C2 repeat translation in cellular and in vitro translation systems. An artificial insertion of an AUG codon failed to cancel the translation inhibition, suggesting that TMPyP4 acts downstream of non-AUG translation initiation. Polysome profiling assays also revealed polysome retention on G4C2 repeat RNA, along with inhibition of translation, indicating that elongating ribosomes stall on G4C2 repeat RNA. Urea-resistant interaction between G4C2 repeat RNA and TMPyP4 likely contributes to this ribosome stalling and thus to selective inhibition of RAN translation. Taken together, our data reveal a novel mode of action of TMPyP4 as an inhibitor of G4C2 repeat translation elongation.

A hexanucleotide expansion in intron of C9orf72 is a most common genetic cause of genetically inherited frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (1–3). The disease-causing repeat expansion is transcribed into sense GGGGCC (G4C2) and antisense CCCCGG directions. Repeat RNAs bind to or even sequester a list of RNA-binding proteins (4–6). Moreover, we and others found that these RNA repeats are translated into poly-(glycine alanine): poly-(glycine proline), poly-(glycine arginine), and poly-(proline alanine) (7–11) through repeat associated non-AUG (RAN) translation (12).

Widespread deposition of DPRs has been established as a specific neuropathological hallmark of C9orf72-related FTLD/ALS (13–15). Poly-GR is even detected in cerebrospinal fluid, and it is a disease state maker for carriers of C9orf72 repeats that may be useful as a pharmacodynamic marker for clinical trials (16, 17). Importantly, recent studies have pointed out that poly-GR correlates with the severity of TDP-43 pathology and neurodegeneration (18–21). Moreover, multiple models expressing DPRs have shown neurodegenerative phenotypes (22–31).

Postulated mechanisms of DPR toxicity include dysregulated nucleocytoplasmic transport, cytoplasmic RNA transport, stress granule assembly/disassembly, translation, protein degradation, and RNA metabolism (27, 32–39). Although repeat-mediated lysosomal dysfunction because of reduced levels of C9orf72 protein presumably also contributes to the disease (40–42), accumulating reports support the notion that DPR toxicity could be a primary driver for the neurodegeneration in C9orf72 FTLD/ALS. Therefore, inhibition of DPR expression would be an attractive therapeutic option for FTLD/ALS patients carrying C9orf72 repeats. While the general mechanism of RAN translation remains elusive, RAN translation in the poly-GA frame of the C9orf72 G4C2 repeat is probably initiated at a near cognate CUG codon 5′ upstream to the G4C2 repeat (42–45). Moreover, RAN translation is stimulated through cellular stress that corresponds with the levels of phosphorylated eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (43, 46–48). Interestingly, RNA helicase DDX3X has recently been proposed as a repressor of RAN translation of G4C2 repeat (49).

G4C2 repeat RNA forms RNA G-quadruplex structure (50, 51). A cationic porphyrin TMPyP4 is known to interact with G-quadruplex from G4C2 repeat RNA (52). Zhang et al. (53) previously reported that TMPyP4 mitigates G4C2 repeat–dependent neurotoxicity in Drosophila by suppressing hexanucleotide repeat–mediated nuclear import deficits by altering the structure of the repeat RNA. Moreover, TMPyP4 impedes G4C2 RNA granule formation (54). Recently, compound screening studies found that G-quadruplex–binding
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compounds including TMPyP4 inhibit RAN translation (55, 56); however, the detailed mechanism underlying the selective translation inhibition remains obscure. Using cellular models and in vitro assays of C9orf72 FTLD/ALS, here we show that TMPyP4 selectively inhibits repeat translation at the elongation step.

Results

TMPyP4 inhibits poly-GA expression without affecting expression or nucleocytoplasmic distribution of G4C2 repeat RNA

To examine if TMPyP4 affects G4C2 repeat translation, we transfected a (G4C2)80 expression plasmid, which encodes 80 repeats of G4C2 under the control of the elongation factor 1 (EF1) promoter into HeLa cells (Fig. 1A) (10, 38). The vector contains 113 base pairs of 5′ flanking region of the expanded G4C2 repeat including the near cognate CUG codon in poly-GA frame (42–45) and multiple stop codons but lacks ATG initiation codons in all reading frames. This allows quantitative monitoring of poly-GA expression from RAN translation (10, 38). Treatment of repeat transfected cells with increasing doses of TMPyP4 dramatically inhibited poly-GA expressions (Fig. 1, B and C). Corresponding RT-quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis revealed that G4C2 repeat RNA expression was largely unaffected up to 20 μM of TMPyP4 (Fig. 1D). Thus, we choose 20 μM of TMPyP4 as a dose for most of the following cellular analysis. Aforementioned results suggest that TMPyP4 inhibits poly-GA expression without primarily affecting repeat transcription.

For efficient translation, nuclear export of the RNA transcript into the cytoplasm is necessary. Therefore, we next asked if TMPyP4 affects intracellular localization of the repeat RNA. A quantitative fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis was not feasible since TMPyP4 as well as the other porphyrins absorb and emit broad spectrum of light. Therefore, we performed biochemical separation of cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA of the repeat transfected cells. RT-qPCR analysis revealed more than 80% of nuclear-enriched long noncoding RNA MALAT1 transcript was found in nuclear-enriched fraction. Conversely, 60 to 70% of β-actin and GAPDH mRNA were found in the cytoplasm fraction where mRNA is normally enriched. These results ensure successful separation of cytoplasm and nuclear RNA in our experimental condition (Fig. 1E). In the same setting, about 70% of G4C2 repeat RNA accumulated in the nuclear-enriched fraction (Fig. 1E). Importantly, treatment with 20 μM TMPyP4 did not alter the nuclear/cytoplasmic distribution of G4C2 repeat RNA and the other tested transcripts (Fig. 1E). These results implicate that TMPyP4 suppresses poly-GA production possibly by inhibiting translation but not transcription or nucleocytoplasmic RNA export.

TMPyP4 inhibits G4C2 repeat translation in all reading frames but spares global translation

We next asked whether TMPyP4 blocks the expressions of the other DPRs. To do so, we applied previously developed cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter-driven (G4C2)80 repeat plasmids, which allow detectable expressions of poly-GA, poly-GP, and poly-GR using anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 2A). Dot blot analysis revealed that TMPyP4 treatment significantly reduced the expression of all the DPRs from G4C2 repeats (Fig. 2, B and C). These results indicate that

Figure 1. TMPyP4 inhibits RAN translation in a cellular model of C9orf72 repeat expansion without affecting expression and localization of G4C2 repeat RNA. A, schema for (G4C2)80 repeat plasmid and repeat deletion “Del rp” plasmid. Both share multiple stop codon containing 5′ flanking region of the expanded C9orf72 G4C2 repeat. B and C, increasing doses of TMPyP4 significantly inhibit poly-GA expression in (G4C2)80 transfected HeLa cells. n = 3, experiments performed in duplicates. ANOVA with Dunnett post hoc test versus “0.” ***p < 0.0001. D, treatment with increasing doses of TMPyP4 gives modest inhibition on repeat RNA expression levels in RT-quantitative PCR, n = 2, experiments performed in duplicates. ANOVA with Dunnett post hoc test versus “0.” #p = 0.0708. E, percent of nuclear distribution of MALAT-1, GAPDH, β-actin, and G4C2 repeat RNA of cells cultured in the presence (+) or the absence (−) of 20 μM TMPyP4. TMPyP4 does not significantly affect the percent of nuclear distributions of these RNA. n = 3. Two-tailed paired t test. All graphs are shown as mean ± SD. Each dot represents single data point. GA, glycine alanine; G4C2, GGGGCC; RAN, repeat-associated non-AUG.
TMPyP4 inhibits DPR productions from G₄C₂ repeat in all reading frames.

Our next question was whether the inhibitory effect of TMPyP4 is selective for repeat translation. In clear contrast to poly-GA expression, treatment with up to 100 μM of TMPyP4 did not significantly inhibit cellular enhanced GFP (EGFP) expressions from conventional AUG-initiated translation (Fig. 2, D–F). To extend the observation in single reporter protein into global translation, a puromycin incorporation assay was performed (57). Puromycin is an analog of aminoacyl-tRNA, which is incorporated into newly synthesized polypeptide chain. Accordingly, signal intensities from puromycin-labeled proteins are proportional to global translation efficacy. When cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX), an established translation inhibitor, signals from puromycin-labeled proteins detected with anti-puromycin antibody were significantly reduced (Fig. 2, G and H). In clear contrast, cells treated with TMPyP4 did not show any sign of global translation inhibition (Fig. 2, G and H). These results suggest that TMPyP4 selectively inhibits poly-GA expression while sparing global translation.

**TMPyP4 is not a selective inhibitor of non-AUG initiation of RAN translation**

Since TMPyP4 inhibited non-AUG–dependent G₄C₂ repeat translation (RAN translation), but not conventional AUG-dependent translation of EGFP and puromycin-labeled global translation, we asked whether the effect of TMPyP4 on G₄C₂ repeat would be cancelled with artificial insertion of AUG initiation codon. Therefore, a Kozak sequence with ATG initiation codon was introduced just upstream of the hexanucleotide Figure 2. TMPyP4 inhibits RAN translation of C9orf72 expanded G₄C₂ repeat in all reading frames but spares global cellular translation. A, schema of strong CMV promoter-driven (G₄C₂)₈₀ plasmids lacking ATG initiation codon. With artificial frame shift insertion before C-terminal FLAG tag, each plasmid labels one DPR (GA, GP, or GR) with FLAG tag. B and C, dot blot analysis of cells transfected with repeat plasmid (A) or mock plasmid cultured for two overnights in the presence (+) or the absence (−) of 20 μM TMPyP4. n = 3. Two-tailed paired t test. D, schematic representation of an EF1 promoter-driven EGFP expression plasmid containing conventional ATG initiation codon. E and F, no inhibition of cellular EGFP expression with increasing doses of TMPyP4. n = 3, experiments performed in duplicates. ANOVA with Dunnett post hoc test versus “0.” G, puromycin incorporation assay monitoring active cellular translation. Cells were treated with/without TMPyP4 or CHX and then pulse labeled with puromycin. Actively translating proteins during pulse labeling (i.e., proteins incorporated puromycin) were visualized with antipuromycin antibody. β-actin blot is shown as loading control. H, signal intensities of each lane of puromycin blot were measured and normalized with corresponding β-actin signals. While translation inhibitor CHX suppressed global translation, TMPyP4 significantly enhanced puromycin incorporation. n = 4, experiments performed in duplicates. ANOVA with Dunnett post hoc test versus “0” in each compound. Data points “0” in these two graphs show same data. *p = 0.0112 and **p = 0.0003. All graphs are shown as mean ± SD. Each dot represents single data point. CHX, cycloheximide; CMV, cytomegalovirus; DPR, dipeptide repeat; EF1, elongation factor 1; EGFP, enhanced GFP; GA, glycine alanine; G₄C₂, GGGGCC; GP, glycine alanine; GR, glycine arginine; RAN, repeat-associated non-AUG.
repeat in the poly-GA reading frame (ATG-(G₄C₂)₈₀) (Fig. 3A). In transfected cells, this plasmid allowed robust expression of the poly-GA-FLAG protein via conventional translation. Unexpectedly, TMPyP4 also inhibited this AUG-dependent poly-GA expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3, B and C). These results imply that TMPyP4 is not a selective inhibitor of non-AUG initiation, but it still selectively inhibits G₄C₂ repeat translation.

**Preferential inhibition of G₄C₂ repeat translation by TMPyP4 regardless of AUG initiation or non-AUG initiation in an in vitro translation assay**

To exclude indirect effects of TMPyP4 on (G₄C₂)₈₀ expression, we tested its potency using in vitro translation assays with rabbit reticulocyte lysates and in vitro transcribed RNA. 5’ cap containing repeat RNA and control RNA were synthesized in in vitro transcription followed by 3’ polyadenylation (Fig. 4A). Subsequent in vitro translation assays revealed a dose-dependent inhibition of poly-GA translation irrespective of the absence or the presence of an AUG codon (Fig. 4B, top and middle panels, and C). In the cell-free system, TMPyP4 was effective at lower concentration. Consistent with the results in our cellular model (Fig. 2, D–F), translation inhibition was less prominent on EGFP (Fig. 4B, lower panel and C). These results further support the notion that TMPyP4 selectively and directly inhibits G₄C₂ repeat translation regardless of AUG initiation or non-AUG initiation.

**TMPyP4 causes inefficient elongation and ribosome stalling on G₄C₂ repeat RNA**

Next, we asked if elongation step is the target of TMPyP4 for the selective inhibition of repeat translation. To test this possibility, we performed a polysome profiling assay (Fig. 5A). Inefficient translation elongation is known to cause the retention of multiple ribosomes (polysomes) on a mRNA molecule (58). Repeat transfected HeLa cells were cultured overnight in the presence or the absence of TMPyP4 and then treated with CHX for 3 min to acutely halt elongation. Cytoplasmic fraction of the cells was then loaded on the top of the 7 to 47% linear sucrose gradient. After ultracentrifugation, fractions were sequentially isolated from the top of the gradient (59). Polysome profiles were monitored through an absorbance at 260 nm (Fig. 5B). In a polysome profiling assay, it has already been established that 40S, 60S, monosome, and polysome elute in that order. To better allocate the elution profile to 40S, 60S ribosome and monosome in our assay, we purified RNA contained in each of fraction (fr.) 4 to 7 and performed bioanalyzer analysis (Fig. 5C). This analysis allowed us to automatically monitor rRNA ratio (28S/18S, e.g., non-fractionated total RNA from HeLa cell showed (28S/18S) ratio of 2.6 [Fig. 5C, the lowest panel]). 40S ribosome and 60S ribosome contain 18S rRNA and 28S rRNA, respectively, and monosome has both rRNA species theoretically at the approximate ratio of 1.27 in bioanalyzer analysis. Since fr. 4 contained only 18S rRNA, 40S ribosome is enriched in this fraction. Similarly, 28S rRNA-enriched fr. 6 corresponds to 60S ribosome-enriched fraction. Accordingly, we interpret that fr. 5 is in transition of 40S- and 60S-enriched elution and fr. 7 corresponds to monosome-enriched fraction (Fig. 5, B and C). Then, RT-qPCR analysis targeting G₄C₂ repeat RNA was performed on the combined 40S + 60S + monosome fractions (fr. 4–8), low-weight polysome fractions (fr. 9–13), and high-weight polysome fractions (fr. 14–22) (Fig. 5B). Although TMPyP4 globally induced slight shift toward increased absorbance at 260-nm signals in the combined 40S + 60S+ monosome fractions and decreased absorbance at 260-nm signals in high-weight polysome fractions (Fig. 5B), TMPyP4 treatment induced significant retention of G₄C₂ repeat RNA in the high-weight polysome fractions as determined by RT-qPCR (Fig. 5D). To reveal more detailed distribution of G₄C₂ repeat RNA in the polysome profiling assay, we monitored the relative abundance of G₄C₂ repeat RNA in each fraction of the sucrose gradients of TMPyP4-treated or untreated cells (Fig. 5E). This revealed repeat RNA is especially enriched in heaviest fractions (fr. 21 and 22) of TMPyP4-treated cells when compared with that of TMPyP4-untreated cells (Fig. 5E).

Along with selective inhibition of G₄C₂ repeat translation, these results suggest that TMPyP4 caused inefficient translation elongation of DPR.
elongation and thus stalling of multiple ribosomes selectively on G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA.

TMPyP4 induces urea-resistant electromobility shift on G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA

Our next question was the mechanism how TMPyP4 inhibits elongation in repeat RNA translation. One plausible explanation is that tight and direct interactions between TMPyP4 and G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA hinder efficient elongation. To test the hypothesis, we performed EMSA. Either synthetic 5’ FAM (fluorescein)-labeled RNA containing eight repeats of G_{4}C_{2} repeats or 5’ FAM-labeled 49 nucleotides synthetic RNA called generic RNA (60, 61), a control RNA oligonucleotide, which is originally adopted from pcDNA3 polylinker sequence, was mixed with TMPyP4 and electrophoresed in non-denaturing condition. With increasing TMPyP4 dosages, FAM signals from both generic and G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA shifted toward higher molecular weight suggesting the presence of substantial interactions between not only G_{4}C_{2} RNA–TMPyP4 but also generic RNA–TMPyP4 (Fig. 6, A and B, side bars of upper panels). FAM signals were quenched at higher doses of TMPyP4, possibly because TMPyP4 absorbs part of emitted light from FAM. When the same RNA–TMPyP4 mixtures were run on denaturing gels containing 6.5 M urea, the upper shifts of generic RNA–TMPyP4 complex were mostly abolished, and consistent FAM signals were obtained irrespective of the doses of TMPyP4 (Fig. 6B, lower panel). These results indicate that generic RNA–TMPyP4 complexes are readily disrupted by denaturing urea. In clear contrast, the FAM-(G_{4}C_{2})_{8} signals consistently showed urea-resistant upper shift even at lowest dose of TMPyP4 (0.25 μM) (Fig. 6A, lower panel, red arrowhead). These results support the formation of urea-resistant rigid interaction between TMPyP4 with the G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA. Such a tight interaction could at least in part explain the mechanism of elongation inhibition on the G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA.

Discussion

Here, we revealed that the G-quadruplex–binding porphyrin TMPyP4 inhibits C9orf72 G_{4}C_{2} repeat translation at the elongation step. TMPyP4 had previously been reported to interact with G-quadruplex structure of G_{4}C_{2} repeat RNA in vitro (52, 55, 62) and was recently shown to inhibit RAN translation of CGG triplet repeat in in vitro translation (55). However, effect of TMPyP4 on C9orf72 G_{4}C_{2} repeat RAN translation and its mechanism of action have not yet been described especially in a cell culture model.

At concentrations already effective in inhibiting DPR translation in cells, TMPyP4 has no apparent effect on repeat RNA expression or on its nuclear–cytoplasmic distribution. Similarly, no inhibition on cellular global translation was observed. Interestingly, an artificial insertion of conventional initiation codon on repeat RNA failed to abolish the inhibitory effect of TMPyP4 indicating that the prime target of TMPyP4 is not the non-AUG initiation of RAN translation. In vitro translation assays further confirmed that TMPyP4 preferentially inhibits repeat translation again irrespective of the presence or the absence of conventional initiation codon. Interestingly, Green et al. (55) recently reported that TMPyP4 as well as other G-quadruplex–binding compounds (anthralin and PPIX) inhibit not only non-AUG dependent but also AUG-dependent translation of CGG triplet repeat in an in vitro translation assay. Their finding for CGG repeats is very consistent with our results in G_{4}C_{2} repeat of C9orf72.

TMPyP4 did not at all inhibit cellular EGFP expression and global translation; however, in the in vitro translation assay, there was weak but consistent inhibition of EGFP translation by TMPyP4. Such discrepancy may be explained by the differences in assay condition including protein concentrations, number of ribosomes working in translation, ionic strength, the presence or the absence of RNA-binding proteins such as ATP-dependent RNA helicase, which may easily clear nonspecifically bound TMPyP4 from the non-G-quadruplex forming RNA.
Mechanistically, TMPyP4 induced retention of G₄C₂ repeat RNA in high-weight polysome fraction while inhibiting repeat translation. This suggests slower ribosome runoff and the retention of multiple ribosomes on repeat RNA. Urea-resistant rigid interaction between TMPyP4 and repeat RNA presumably caused ribosome stalling on repeat RNA. Our finding that TMPyP4 target elongation but not initiation of G₄C₂ RAN translation is fully compatible with previous reports describing that C9orf72 RAN translation initiates at near cognate codons in the 5’ proximal region (i.e., outside) of G₄C₂ repeat (42–45), and TMPyP4 preferentially interacts with RNA G₄C₂ repeat sequence through G-quadruplex structure (52, 62, 63).

Collectively, our results provide evidence that TMPyP4 selectively inhibits elongation of expanded G₄C₂ repeat translation by inducing ribosome stalling. Rigid interaction between TMPyP4 and G₄C₂ repeat RNA could underlie inefficient ribosome runoff. TMPyP4 has multiple targets with latent cytotoxicity, thus may not be suitable for chronic treatment of neurodegenerative patients (64). Nevertheless, compounds more specifically and strongly bind to repeat RNA could have therapeutic potential against repeat-associated diseases including C9orf72-FTLD/ALS by inhibiting repeat translation during the elongation step.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cell culture**

HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% fetal calf serum and penicillin/streptomycin.
Plasmids

The EF1 or CMV-driven \((G_4C_2)_80\) expression vectors are previously described (38). Briefly, the vector expresses 80 GGGGCC repeats under the control of the EF1 or CMV promoter including 113 bp of the 5' flanking region of the human C9orf72 GGGGCC repeat. The 5' flanking region contains multiple stop codons in each reading frame and lacks an ATG initiation codon but contain near cognate CUG codon in the poly-GA reading frame (10, 38). A control vector lacking the G\(_4\)C\(_2\) repeats (Del Rp) was deleted in a two-step PCR protocol and then subcloned into BamHI/XbaI site of the same vector (38). To insert kozak-ATG in poly-GA reading frame, synthetic oligos 5'CGCGTTGCCCATGGTGGCTCTAGA-3' and 5'CGCGTCTAGAGCCACCATGGGCAA-3' were annealed and then ligated into BssHII site of the pEF6-(G\(_4\)C\(_2\))\(_{80}\) vector (ATG-(G\(_4\)C\(_2\))\(_{80}\)). Repeat length was verified with restriction enzyme digestion/electrophoresis upon each preparation of the repeat constructs. The pEF6-EGFP vector was obtained by exchanging the BamHI/NotI fragment of the (G\(_4\)C\(_2\))\(_{80}\) vector (corresponding 5' flanking region and repeat region) with the EGFP coding sequence including a Kozak sequence and an ATG start codon (pEF6-EGFP). Sequence was verified with Sanger sequencing.

Antibodies and reagents

The following antibodies were used for Western blot (WB) and dot blot analyses: anti-DYKDDDDK(FLAG) Tag (Cell Signaling; #2368S) WB 1/1000 or anti-FLAG (M2) antibody (Sigma; M1804) WB 1/10,000, anti-GFP clone N86/8 (Neuromab) WB 1/3000 or clone B2 (Santa Cruz) WB 1/500, anti-β-actin (Sigma) WB 1/2000 or 1/3000, and anti-puroycin 12D10 (EMD Millipore) WB 1/25,000. Following reagents were used: TMPyP4 (calbiochem; 613560, CAS 36951-72-1), and CHX (Nakarai Tesque 06741-91; CAS 66-81-9).

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy and QiaShredder kit (Qiagen). RNA preparations were treated with Turbo DNA-free kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to minimize residual DNA contamination. Two micrograms of RNA were used for RT with M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) using oligo-(dT) 12 to 18 primer (Invitrogen). RT-qPCR was performed using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) or ViiA7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with TaqMan technology. Primers and probes were designed (IDT) for 3' TAG region of repeat constructs (repeat TAG primer) and EGFP. Repeat TAG, primer 1: TCT CAA ACT GGG ATG CGT AC, primer 2: GTA GTC AAG CGT AGT CTG GG, probe/56-FAM/TG CAG ATA T/Zen/C CAG CAC AGT GGC G/3IABkFQ/(38). EGFP, primer 1: GCA CAA GCT GGA GTA CAA CTA, primer 2: TGT TGT GGC GCA TCT TGA A, probe/56-FAM/AG CAG AAG A/Zen/A CGG CAT CAA GGT GA/3IABkFQ/(38). Primer/probe sets for human GAPDH, 4326317E (Applied Biosystems), or human ACTB (β-actin), Hs.PT.39a.22214847 (IDT) were used as endogenous control. Each sample was paired with no RT controls showing <1/2-10 (ΔCT >10) signal when compared with reverse transcribed samples, thus excluding contamination of plasmid DNA–derived signal. Each biological sample

Figure 6. TMPyP4 induces urea-resistant electromobility shift on G\(_4\)C\(_2\) repeat RNA. A, EMSAs for FAM-labeled synthetic (G\(_4\)C\(_2\))\(_8\) repeat RNA premixed with increasing concentrations of TMPyP4. RNA–TMPyP4 mixtures were run on non-denaturing 10% TBE gel (upper panel) or denaturing 15% TBE gel containing 6.5 M urea (lower panel). B, EMSA for FAM-labeled generic RNA premixed with increasing concentrations of TMPyP4. The mixtures were run on non-denaturing 10% TBE gel (upper panel) or denaturing 15% TBE gel containing 6.5 M urea (lower panel). Three independent experiments. G\(_4\)C\(_2\), GGGGCC.
TMPyP4 impedes elongation of DPR

was analyzed in duplicate or triplicate. Signals of repeat RNA were normalized to GAPDH or β-actin according to the ΔΔCT method.

Nucleocytoplasmic separation of RNA

Separation of cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA was performed by using PARIS Kit (AM1921; Thermo Fisher Scientific). pEF6-(G4C2)90-transfected HeLa cells were cultured in the presence or the absence of 20 μM TMPyP4 in 10-cm dish format. About 7.5 × 10^6 cells were lysed using cell fractionation buffer contained in the kit. After centrifugation at 500g at 4 °C for 1 min, the supernatant is termed cytoplasmic fraction and the pellet is called nuclear fraction. After single wash with cell fractionation buffer, nuclear fraction was lysed with cell disruption buffer contained in the PARIS kit. About 5 pg of in vitro–transcribed EGFP RNA was added to each fraction as internal control. Subsequent purification procedures were performed as described in the manufacturer’s protocol to obtain purified cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA. RNA preparations were treated with Turbo DNA-free kit to minimize residual DNA contamination. RT was performed as described previously but using both random hexamer and oligo-dT primer. RT-qPCR assays targeting GAPDH, β-actin, repeat TAG, and EGFP were performed. Human MALAT-1 Hs.PT.5826451167.g (IDT) was included as a marker for a nuclear-enriched RNA transcript. Signals of MALAT1, GAPDH, β-actin, and repeat RNA in cytoplasm- and nuclear-enriched fractions were normalized to EGFP according to the ΔΔCT method. Total RNA signal is calculated as the sum of signals from cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA–enriched fractions.

Puromycin incorporation assay

Puromycin incorporation assay (alternatively called SUnSET assay) was performed according to Schmidt et al. (57). HeLa cells were pretreated with or without 10 or 20 μM of TMPyP4 or 1 or 20 μM of CHX for 20 min followed by additional treatment in the presence or the absence of 10 μg/ml puromycin (Nakarai Tesque; 14861-71) for 10 min for pulse labeling of ongoing translation. Cells were washed once with PBS and then served for WB.

Dot blot analysis

Cells cultured in 12-well plates were lysed with 600 μl of lysis buffer (25 mM Hepes, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 3% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) for 10 min and passed through 27G needle for 10 times. The lysates were further diluted 1:5 or 1:25 with the lysis buffer. Hundred microliters of each sample were filtered through a nitrocellulose membrane (0.20-μm pore). The membrane was subsequently boiled in PBS for 10 min, washed once with Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20, and then blocked in 1-Block/PBS/TX100. Levels of each DPR were analyzed with antibodies against FLAG tag. Quantified signals from three independent cell culture experiments are shown as fold expression. Signals from corresponding mock transfection were subtracted from signals from DPR expression as nonspecific background.

In vitro transcription and translation assays

In vitro transcription and translation assays were performed according to the protocol of Green et al. (43) with modification. Briefly, pEF6-based del Rp, (G4C2)90, ATG-(G4C2)90, and EGFP vectors linearized with Pmel and were used as templates for in vitro transcription with HiScribe T7 high-yield RNA synthesis kit (New England Biolabs; E2040S) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To attach 5’ cap, 3'-O-Me-m7GpppG antireverse cap analog (ARCA) (New England Biolabs; M0276) for 30 min at 37 °C. The polyadenylated 5’ capped RNA was then purified with RNA clean and concentrator-25 kit (Zymo Research). Concentrations of each RNA were estimated from an absorbance at 260 nm and base compositions of in vitro–transcribed RNA sequences. Quality of the synthesized RNA was evaluated with 2.2 M formaldehyde–2.5% of agarose gel electrophoresis with RNA century-plus markers (AM7145; Ambion). Flexi Rabbit Reticulocyte Lysate System (Promega; L4540) was used for in vitro translation reaction. To facilitate intermolecular interactions between RNA and TMPyP4, 50 ng of transcribed RNA and indicated concentrations of TMPyP4 were preincubated prior to addition of translation reaction mixture. Translation reaction was performed in the presence of 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MgOAc, 10 μM minus leucine and 10 μM minus cysteine amino acid mixtures, 1 U/μl of murine RNase inhibitor (New England Biolab; M0314S), and 30% rabbit reticulocyte lysate. Each reaction was performed in a scale of 10 μl at 30 °C for 30 min. After the reaction, samples were treated with 5 μg (≈0.5 μl) RNase (Sigma; R6513A; dissolved in ultrapure water [10 mg/ml]) at 30 °C for 5 min and then served for WB.

Polysome profiling assay

To determine whether TMPyP4 induce ribosomal stalling on repeat RNA, polysome profiling analysis according to Pringle et al. (59) was performed with modification. About 80 repeats of G4C2 repeat transfected HeLa cells were cultured overnight in the presence or the absence of 20 μM TMPyP4 and then treated with 100 μg/ml CHX in PBS for 3 min at 37 °C to halt elongation. Cells were immediately washed, lysed in low-salt lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% [w/v] sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM 1,4-DTT, 1× Halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor, EDTA free (Thermo; 78442), 100 μg/ml CHX) in the presence of RiboLock RNase inhibitor (Thermo; E00381) on ice for 10 min. Nuclei were removed by centrifugation at 2000g for 5 min. Five hundred microliter of cytoplasmic fraction was then loaded on the top of the 7 to 47% linear sucrose gradient in low-salt buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl,
pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 100 μg/ml CHX) formed by gradient master (Biocomp) in a open top centrifuge tube (7030 SETON Scientific). Then ultracentrifugation was performed at 260,808g (= 39,000 rpm o the SW41 rotor; L-90K ultracentrifuge [Beckman Coulter]) with slow acceleration up to top speed, 90 min centrifugation at top speed, maximum deceleration until the rotor reaches 2000 rpm, and then no brakes until the rotor automatically stops. After the ultracentrifugation, 500 μl of fractions were sequentially isolated from the top of the gradient by piston gradient fractionator (Biocomp). Since continuous UV monitoring system was not available in our facility, each fraction was measured at an absorbance of 260 nm to monitor polysome profiles. Part of gradient fractions 4 to 7 from TMPyP4-nontreated cells was served for bioanalyzer analysis (described later) to estimate the distribution of 40S, 60S subunits and monosome based on automatically calculated rRNA ratio. The 40S + 60S + monosome (fr. 4–8), low-weight polysome (fr. 9–13), and high-weight polysome (fr. 14–22) were combined, respectively, and proportional amounts of in vitro–synthesized EGFP RNA were supplemented as purification controls. Then RNA was purified with TRizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by RT-qPCR. RT was performed using both random hexamer and oligo-dT primer. EGFP signal was used as internal control, and relative amount of repeat RNA was calculated with ΔΔCT method. Relative abundance of repeat RNA in the combined 40S + 60S + monosome, low-weight polysomes, and high-weight polysomes were expressed as percent of total fractions (i.e., the combined 40S + 60S + monosome + low-weight polysome + high-weight polysome fractions). To monitor the distribution of the G₄C₂ repeat RNA in TMPyP4-treated or TMPyP4-nontreated cells, fr. 4 to 22 were spiked with equal amount of in vitro–synthesized EGFP RNA. Total RNA from these fractions were purified using TRizol reagent, reverse transcribed, and analyzed with RT-qPCR targeting G₄C₂ repeat RNA (repeat TAG) normalized with EGFP RNA by using ΔΔCT method. Relative abundance of repeat RNA in each fraction was expressed as percent of the sum of signals from fr. 4 to 22.

**Bioanalyzer analysis**

RNA contained in each fraction (fr. 4–7) of the sucrose gradient analysis of control-treated HeLa cells was purified with the RNA clean and concentrator-25 kit. These RNA and total RNA from HeLa cells were then analyzed with agilent 2100 bioanalyzer system (Agilent) with RNA 6000 Pico Assay kit (Agilent). Data were analyzed with Agilent 2100 expert software (Agilent), and rRNA ratio (28S/18S) was automatically calculated.

**EMSA**

The 48-nt (G₄C₂)₈ repeat: FAM-GGGGCCGGGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCCC and the 49-nt generic (control) RNA oligonucleotides: FAM-AUGCAUCUAGAGGGCCCUA UUCUAUAGUGUCACCUAAGCGCU were synthesized and HPLC purified (FASMAC) (36, 60, 61). Synthetic RNA oligonucleotides were resolved at the concentration of 10 μM in RNase-free 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) with 50 mM KCl. To denature the structure of the RNA, the RNA solutions were incubated at 80 °C for 3 min and then cooled down to room temperature for 5 min to let the RNA oligonucleotides form their intrinsic tertiary structures. Then indicated concentrations of TMPyP4 were added. About ten volumes of samples were incubated and shaken at 37 °C for 5 min followed by the addition of one volume of 0.5% glycerol containing bromophenol blue as DNA-loading buffer. Samples were immediately applied to 10% TBE gel (nondenaturing gel; EC6275BOX; Invitrogen) or self-made 6.5 M urea and 15% TBE gel (denaturing gel). Prestair marker for small RNA plus (DynaMaker; DM253) was used as RNA size marker. Electrophoresis was carried out at 180 V for 50 min. Fluorescent signals were obtained with LAS3000 imager (Fujifilm).

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, Inc) or JMP Pro 14 software (SAS Institute Inc).

**Data availability**

All data are included within the article.
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