Incidence of Spine Fracture Hospitalization and Surgery in Finland in 1998–2017
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Study Design. Retrospective cohort study.

Objective. The aim of this study was to assess the incidence and trends of the spine fracture hospitalization and surgery in Finland in 1998–2017.

Summary of Background Data. Traumatic spine fractures are rare, yet they are known to cause significant long-term disability and therefore social, functional, and financial burden. The incidence of spine fractures and related mortality has been suggested to have declined during recent years. However, there are no population-based studies investigating the incidence of surgical treatment of spine fractures.

Methods. The data for this study was obtained from the Finnish nationwide National Hospital Discharge Register. The study population covered all patients 20 years of age or over in Finland during a 20-year period from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2017.

Results. A total of 54,612 patients were hospitalized for a spine fracture in Finland in 1998–2017, and 7138 (13%) of the patients underwent surgery. The annual population-based incidence of spine fracture hospitalization increased 57% during the 20-year period, from 57 per 100,000 person-years to 89 per 100,000 person-years. In addition, the incidence of spine fracture surgery increased 65%, from 5.3 per 100,000 person-years to 8.8 per 100,000 person-years. The incidence of cervical spine fracture surgery tripled, and thoracic spine surgery doubled from 1998 to 2017, while incidence of lumbar fracture surgery declined by 13%. Among patients 60 years of age or older, the incidence of cervical spine fracture surgery increased 400%.

Conclusion. Incidences of cervical, thoracic, and lumbar fracture hospitalizations increased constantly in Finland during 1998–2017. Accordingly, incidence of cervical and thoracic spine fracture surgery increased rapidly, whereas the incidence of lumbar fracture surgery even decreased, during this 20-year period.
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Traumatic spine fractures are uncommon fractures, accounting for only 0.7% of all fractures among adult patients.1 Although these injuries are rare, they are known to cause significant long-term disability2 and socioeconomic burden.3

Incidence of spine fracture hospitalization has previously been between 26 and 27 per 100,000 person-years in Finland,4,5 whereas in other nations these numbers have been reported to be between 16 and 64.6,7 In the USA, the prevalence of any spine fracture has been estimated to be 5% in persons under 60 years of age and rise up to 18% in persons 80 years of age and over.8

The incidence of spine fracture is known to increase with age, rising tendency to fall, and reduced bone density.7–14 Osteoporotic compression fractures occur annually in 1.1% of women and 0.6% of men between 50 and 79 years of age.10

The most common trauma mechanism of spine fracture is an accidental fall, while injuries related to traffic collisions are the most common mechanism among younger male patients.2,4,7,15 Majority of the spinal fractures affect the cervical spine (60%), whereas the lumbar (25%) and thoracic (11%) injuries are less common.15

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is an undesirable outcome affecting up to 20% of patients with spinal fractures.2 There is a general consensus that patients with a clearly unstable spine fracture with or without SCI will benefit from surgical
treatment. The role of surgery in thoracolumbar burst fractures without neurologic or posterior band injuries is unknown. A Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis from 2013 found no difference between operative and conservative treatment of burst fractures, although the evidence was based on two small and unfortunately biased randomized controlled trials only.

According to previous epidemiological studies from Finland, the incidence of fall-related cervical spine injuries has risen rapidly among older individuals. However, it is unknown whether the rising incidence of cervical fractures has affected the trend in cervical fracture surgery. Also, the incidence trends of spine fractures in general including fracture surgery are yet unclear. The aim of this study was thus to assess the incidence and trends of the spine fracture hospitalization and surgery in Finland in 1998–2017.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient data were obtained from the Finnish National Hospital Discharge Register (NHDR) between January 1, 1998 and December 31, 2017. All patients 20 years of age or older were included into the study. Patient characteristics, such as age, sex, domicile of the subject, hospital stay duration, primary and secondary diagnoses, and operations performed during the hospital stay, were obtained from the Finnish NHDR. All Finnish hospitals are required to collect the data to NHDR, and the quality of the database has been shown to be excellent with regard to coverage and accuracy. The NHDR does not include reliable data on comorbidities and other risk factors.

Patients were selected using the diagnoses with codes of the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10): S12.0 (Fracture of first cervical vertebra), S12.1 (Fracture of second cervical vertebra), S12.2 (Fracture of other specified cervical vertebra), S12.7 (Multiple fractures of cervical spine), S22.0 (Fracture of thoracic vertebra), S22.1 (Multiple fractures of thoracic spine), S32.0 (Fracture of lumbar vertebra), S32.1 (Fracture of sacrum), S32.7 (Multiple fractures of lumbar spine and pelvis). Patients who underwent surgery were identified by NOMESCO (Nordic Medico-Statistical Committee) classification procedure codes: NAJ00 (Closed reduction of fracture of spine), NAJ10 (Anterior reduction of fracture of cervical spine), NAJ12 (Posterior reduction of fracture of cervical spine), NAG20 (Anterior reduction of fracture of thoracic spine), NAJ22 (Posterior reduction of fracture of thoracic spine), NAJ30 (Anterior reduction of fracture of lumbar spine), NAJ32 (Posterior reduction of fracture of lumbar spine), and NAJ99 (Other fracture operations of spine). In addition, all spine fusion operations (NAG40-NAG99) with spine fracture diagnosis were included.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The incidence rates were calculated from annual mid-populations, which were obtained from our national computer-based population register: The Official Statistics of Finland. However, the NHDR does not include reliable with regard to coverage and accuracy. The NHDR does not include reliable data on comorbidities and other risk factors.

The incidence rates (per 100,000 persons) were not cohort-based estimates but true final results from the entire adult population of Finland (5.1 million in 1998, and 5.5 million in 2017), and, the subgroup analyses were conducted by calculating the annual incidences for the distinct age and gender population. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 24) and Microsoft Excel (version 16).

RESULTS

A total of 54,612 patients were hospitalized with a spine fracture diagnosis in Finland between 1998 and 2017. Altogether 7138 (13%) of these patients underwent surgery. The annual population-based incidence of spine fracture hospitalization increased 57% during the 20-year period, from 57 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 89 per 100,000 person-years in 2017 (Figure 1).

During the study period, the incidence of spine fracture surgery increased 65%, from 5.3 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 8.8 per 100,000 person-years in 2017 (Figure 1). Despite the increased incidence of lumbar spine fractures (from 34 to 52 per 100,000 person-years), the incidence of lumbar spine fracture surgery decreased by 13% by time, from the most common spine fracture surgery (2.7 per 100,000 person-years) in 1998 to least common surgery in 2017 (2.4 per 100,000 person-years) (Figure 2A, B). Additionally, the surgical rate of lumbar fractures dropped from 8.0% to 4.6% (Table 1).

During the study period, the incidence of cervical spine fractures increased (from 7.7 to 17 per 100,000 person-years) with 186% rise in the incidence of cervical spine fracture surgery (from 1.4 to 4.1 per 100,000 person-years) (Figure 2A, B). This surgery became the most common spine fracture surgery after the year 2006. The surgical rate of cervical fractures increased from 18.9% to 24.3% between 1998 and 2017 (Table 1).

The incidence of thoracic spine fracture surgery increased 97%, from 1.2 to 2.3 per 100,000 person-years in 1998–2017 (Figure 2A, B), and simultaneously the overall surgical
rate of thoracic fractures increased from 7.7% to 11.3% (Table 1).

The incidence of spine fracture surgery rose among both genders, 72% among men and 48% among women (Figure 3A, B). The incidence of lumbar fracture surgery decreased 19% among men and 5% among women, whereas the incidence of cervical fracture surgery increased 196% among men and 147% among women. The incidence of thoracic spine fracture surgery increased 94% in men and 100% in women (Figure 3A, B).

The incidence of cervical spine surgery rose 400% among patients 60 years of age or older, from 1.7 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 8.5 per 100,000 person-years in 2017 (Figure 4). Also, the incidence of thoracic or lumbar fracture surgery increased among these patients, while the surgical incidence rates among younger patients did not show consistent trend changes in 1998–2017 (Figures 5 and 6).

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study describing the population-based incidence of spine fractures and spine fracture surgery. The main finding of this study was that the incidence of spine fracture hospitalization in Finland rose 57%, and the incidence of spine fracture surgery 65%, during the 20-year time period between 1998 and 2017. The increase in spine fracture incidence was mostly caused by rapid increase in lumbar spine fractures after year 2009 and by more constant increase in cervical and thoracic fractures.
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**Figure 2.** The incidence of spine fracture hospitalizations (A) and spine fracture surgery (B) in Finland between 1998 and 2017.

| Year | Cervical | Thoracic | Lumbar | Cervical | Thoracic | Lumbar | Surgery Rate |
|------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|--------------|
|      |          |          |        |          |          |        | Cervical    |
| 1998 | 7.7      | 15.2     | 34.0   | 1.4      | 1.2      | 2.7    | 18.9%       |
| 1999 | 8.1      | 14.2     | 32.5   | 1.6      | 1.5      | 3.5    | 20.1%       |
| 2000 | 8.5      | 15.4     | 32.7   | 2.2      | 1.7      | 3.0    | 26.1%       |
| 2001 | 9.8      | 14.7     | 32.4   | 2.4      | 1.6      | 3.0    | 24.8%       |
| 2002 | 9.8      | 13.7     | 33.6   | 2.2      | 1.4      | 3.3    | 22.9%       |
| 2003 | 9.6      | 15.3     | 32.0   | 2.7      | 2.1      | 4.0    | 28.3%       |
| 2004 | 10.8     | 15.8     | 33.1   | 2.7      | 2.0      | 3.9    | 24.7%       |
| 2005 | 12.9     | 14.5     | 32.6   | 2.8      | 1.9      | 3.2    | 21.3%       |
| 2006 | 12.7     | 14.3     | 31.9   | 2.9      | 1.6      | 3.0    | 23.2%       |
| 2007 | 14.1     | 16.1     | 31.9   | 3.5      | 2.2      | 3.4    | 24.7%       |
| 2008 | 13.6     | 16.1     | 34.2   | 3.4      | 2.2      | 2.9    | 24.7%       |
| 2009 | 14.0     | 15.8     | 33.1   | 3.9      | 2.0      | 3.1    | 28.2%       |
| 2010 | 15.3     | 15.1     | 34.9   | 4.3      | 2.4      | 3.7    | 28.0%       |
| 2011 | 16.4     | 15.2     | 34.4   | 4.7      | 2.3      | 3.3    | 29.0%       |
| 2012 | 16.9     | 16.2     | 36.6   | 4.5      | 2.9      | 3.1    | 26.7%       |
| 2013 | 16.8     | 18.2     | 42.1   | 4.4      | 2.5      | 3.3    | 26.5%       |
| 2014 | 18.7     | 18.7     | 47.6   | 5.1      | 2.5      | 3.2    | 27.2%       |
| 2015 | 17.2     | 20.2     | 47.2   | 4.3      | 2.5      | 3.5    | 24.8%       |
| 2016 | 18.9     | 18.0     | 49.5   | 5.3      | 2.7      | 2.7    | 27.9%       |
| 2017 | 17.1     | 20.3     | 51.6   | 4.1      | 2.3      | 2.4    | 24.3%       |

**TABLE 1. The Incidence of Hospitalization and Surgery of Spine Fracture in Finland in 1998–2017**
The incidences of spine fracture surgeries did not consistently follow the trends of the spine fracture incidences: The surgical rate of lumbar spine fractures decreased from 8.0% to 4.6%, while the surgical rate of cervical spine fractures increased from 18.9% to 24.3% and that of the thoracic spine fractures from 7.7% to 11.3%. Thus, despite the notable increase in incidence of lumbar fractures, its surgery incidence decreased, and conversely, the incidence of cervical and thoracic spine surgeries rose more clearly than that of the cervical and thoracic fractures.

The rapidly rising incidence of fall-related cervical traumas of older adults has been reported in the previous studies from Finland.18–20 The incidence has been rising slowly from 1970 until year 2000, and thereafter the incidence has rapidly increased till the year 2011.18–20 Similar trends have been reported from Sweden,24 USA,25 and Iceland.26 Korhonen et al in 2014 predicted that the incidence of fall-induced cervical spine injuries would increase by 50% between 2011 and 2030. Similar to these previous findings, we found a rising incidence of cervical spine fractures. Furthermore, we found that the increase in the cervical spine fracture surgery was almost two-thirds higher than the increase in cervical spine fractures. The increase in the surgical treatment was most remarkable among patients over 60 years: the surgery incidence increased by 400% among this distinct age group, whereas the increase was 15% and 47% among the younger...
age groups. Similar trends were noted in thoracic spine fractures: the increase in surgery incidence was more than two times higher than the increase in the fracture incidence.

Jansson et al in 2010 investigated the incidence of thoracolumbar fractures and fracture surgery in Sweden. Surprisingly, their data showed that the number of fractures increased between 1997 and 2001, but the number of fracture surgeries decreased among patients under 60 years of age. In contrast, the Swedish trends were opposite among patients over 60 years. Also, in our study the increase in surgery incidence was the highest in patients over 60 years. It is possible that the main reason for the increased spine fracture incidence is the increasing prevalence of osteoporotic fractures in the growing older population. In addition, the better availability and increased use of computed tomography makes it easier to identify stable fractures. This may also explain the tendency toward nonoperative treatment in lumbar spine fractures.

There is a tendency toward more active operative management of cervical fractures. This phenomenon is seen in other fields of traumatology too, where novel implants and evolving operating techniques become available. However, this study cannot answer the question whether the proportion of clearly unstable fractures has increased in line with the rising frequency of surgical treatment. Fractures in ankylosed spine are considered very unstable and therefore neurologically risky and are usually operated with long constructs. These fractures often occur in older population along with the progress of the ankylosing process. Also, high-energy fractures might be increasing in elderly people, since many people stay active longer in older age.

A spine fracture results in hospitalization period in Finland due to pain and disability and for setting a diagnosis. We are not aware of any changes in the threshold of hospitalization or in clinical practice during our study period 1998–2017, and thus, the trends observed in the fracture incidences should not be affected by altered indications for hospital care. Furthermore, the public healthcare system is free, and spine injuries are without exception treated in public hospitals. Therefore, the possible changes in the insurance system would not affect the hospitalization or surgery rates.

The strength of this study is the nationwide register-based data, which have shown to be complete and accurate for epidemiologic purposes. The limitation of this study is that the data regarding spine fracture incidences were obtained from a NHDR, which contains data from patients treated in hospital departments only. Therefore, minor fractures (such as benign osteoporotic compressions) that did not require hospital treatment were not included in the study. However, we can assume that a great majority of the true spine fractures were treated in hospitals, and therefore, the number of neglected patients was relatively low. A second limitation was that ICD-10 coding has some limitations concerning multitraumas or fractures in the spine and pelvis. This may have caused a slight underestimation of the true fracture incidence.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, the incidence of spine fracture hospitalization and surgery has risen remarkably in Finland between 1998 and 2017. The increasing spine fracture incidence was mostly caused by a rapid increase in lumbar spine fractures after year 2009 and by a constant, more steady increase in cervical and thoracic fractures. Despite the notable increase in the lumbar fractures, its surgery incidence decreased, and conversely, the incidence of cervical and thoracic spine fracture rose with even more deeply risen incidence of cervical and thoracic fracture surgery. The reasons for the observed changes are largely unknown and open to discussion, speculations, and further studies.

Key Points
- The annual population-based incidence of spine fracture hospitalization increased 57% during the 20-year period, from 57 per 100,000 person-years to 89 per 100,000 person-years.
- The incidence of cervical spine fracture surgery tripled, and thoracic spine surgery doubled from 1998 to 2017.
- Among patients 60 years of age or older, the incidence of cervical spine surgery increased 400%.
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