A novel detection method for the pathogenic *Aeromonas hydrophila* expressing *aerA* gene and/or *hlyA* gene based on dualplex RAA and CRISPR/Cas12a
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*Aeromonas hydrophila* is an emerging waterborne and foodborne pathogen with pathogenicity to humans and warm water fishes, which severely threatens human health, food safety and aquaculture. A novel method for the rapid, accurate, and sensitive detection of pathogenic *A. hydrophila* is still needed to reduce the impact on human health and aquaculture. In this work, we developed a rapid, accurate, sensitive, and visual detection method (dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a), without elaborate instruments, integrating the dualplex recombinase-aided amplification (dRAA) assay and CRISPR/Cas12a system to detect pathogenic *A. hydrophila* expressing *aerA* and/or *hlyA* virulence genes. The dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method has high sensitivity, which can rapidly detect (about 45 min) *A. hydrophila* with the limit of detection in 2 copies of genomic DNA per reaction, and has high specificity for three pathogenic *A. hydrophila* strains (*aerA*+*hlyA*−, *aerA*−*hlyA*+, and *aerA*+*hlyA*+). Moreover, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method shows satisfactory practicability in the analysis of the spiked human blood and stool and fish samples. These results demonstrate that our developed pathogenic *A. hydrophila* detection method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, is a promising potential method for the early diagnosis of human *A. hydrophila* infection and on-site detection of *A. hydrophila* in food and aquaculture.
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**Introduction**

*Aeromonas hydrophila* is a widespread aquatic and zoonotic pathogen (Daskalov, 2006; Li et al., 2011). In animals, *A. hydrophila* mainly causes diseases in aquaculture animals, such as the motile *Aeromonas* septicemia and red sore disease in warm water fishes (Janda and Abbott, 2010), resulting in huge economic losses and food safety risks. In humans,
A. hydrophila was initially thought to be an opportunistic pathogen for immunocompromised populations (Balsalobre et al., 2009), but a growing body of research indicates that it is an emerging enteric (Ożbas et al., 2000), waterborne (Chauret et al., 2001), and foodborne (Daskalov, 2006) pathogen regardless of the immune status of the host (Balsalobre et al., 2009).

The pathogenicity of A. hydrophila is closely related to its production of virulence factors (Janda and Abbott, 2010; Tichoník et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011). It has been reported that the pathogenic A. hydrophila can survive and multiply in water, soil and various foods (milk, fish, raw meat, etc.) at room temperature and low temperature (Balakrishna et al., 2010; Janda and Abbott, 2010), and produce virulence factors aerolysin and/or hemolysin (Wong et al., 1998; Heuzenroeder et al., 1999; Balakrishna et al., 2010; Janda and Abbott, 2010). Patients infected with A. hydrophila can develop sepsis and necrotizing fasciitis, which have an acute onset and rapid progression (Tsai et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2021) and are life-threatening, mainly through ingestion of contaminated water and food and exposed wounds, respectively (Meng et al., 2015); moreover, sepsis can reach a state of systemic toxicity within 24 h (Zhu et al., 2021). In view of these aspects, a rapid, accurate, and sensitive detection method of pathogenic A. hydrophila would contribute to improving the treatment and control strategies and then reducing the hazard of this bacterial infection.

Nowadays, many methods can be used for the detection of A. hydrophila. The traditional culture method is accurate, but it takes a long time. The biochemical test is complicated, and only typical strains can be detected because of the limited biochemical characteristics (Abbott et al., 2003). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; Swain et al., 2003), dot blotting (Longyant et al., 2010), and serotyping (Nielsen et al., 2001) have low diagnostic sensitivity. Over the past decade, a large number of assays based on PCR technology have been developed to detect A. hydrophila by targeting virulence gene (Wang et al., 2003; Hussain et al., 2014). However, these methods require professional personnel and specialized equipment and are not suitable for on-site application or resource-constrained areas. In recent years, isothermal nucleic acid amplification technologies, such as recombinase-aided amplification (RRA; Piepenburg et al., 2006; Subsoontorn et al., 2020) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (Nagamine et al., 2002), have promoted the development of nucleic acid amplification without thermal cyclers. RAA assay that only requires one primer set and can be reacted even at body temperature of operator (Wang et al., 2017) has been used for the detection of pathogens such as A. hydrophila (Qu et al., 2021) and Enterocytotoxigen hepatitisae (Zhou et al., 2020), but this method has low sensitivity (Xiao et al., 2021) and the result is complicated to obtain (Zhou et al., 2020).

Recently, the discovery of the trans- cleavage activity of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-associated protein Cas12a has made the CRISPR/Cas12a system a hot spot in the field of in vitro diagnostics (Chen et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018a,b). Chen et al. developed a DETECTR platform, consisting of a RPA assay and the CRISPR/Cas12a system, with aM sensitivity and high specificity to distinguish between HPV16 and HPV18 (Chen et al., 2018). Zhang et al. used PCR assay and CRISPR/Cas12a system to develop an integrated naked-eye detection method for the tih gene of Vibrio parahaemolyticus with a detection limit of 1.02 × 10^6 copies/μl (Zhang et al., 2020). Because of its speed, sensitivity, specificity, and simplicity, DETECTR platform is a promising method to be used for on-site detection, and has been performed to detect pathogens including Listeria monocytogenes (Li et al., 2021), Vibrio vulnificus (Xiao et al., 2021), and SARS-CoV-2 (Broughton et al., 2020).

The virulence factors play roles through cooperation or alone in the establishment of A. hydrophila infection (Nawaz et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Nagar et al., 2011; Igbinosa and Okoh, 2013), and the detection methods only targeting one virulence gene of pathogenic A. hydrophila always lead to false negative results (Elsheshawy et al., 2019). Here, a dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method targeting aerA and hlyA genes for the detection of pathogenic A. hydrophila without elaborate instruments was developed by integrating dualplex RAA (dRAA) and CRISPR/Cas12a system (Figure 1), and was compared with the dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a method to investigate their sensitivity in detecting A. hydrophila stains and practicability in spiked samples. The whole process only takes 45 min, and the sensitivity is as low as 2 copies per reaction. The dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method we developed may be a promising method for rapid, accurate, and sensitive detection of pathogenic A. hydrophila in samples from human, fish, and food.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains preserved in our laboratory were used in this study and consisted of eight standard strains and eight isolation strains. The standard strains were A. hydrophila (ATCC 7966), Vibrio vulnificus (ATCC 27562), Vibrio alginolyticus (ATCC 17749), Vibrio harvey (ATCC 14126), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) and Bacillus cereus (ATCC 14579). The isolation strains were A. hydrophila strain 1, A. hydrophila strain 2, A. hydrophila strain 3, A. veronii, A. sobria, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Edwardsiella piscicida, and Salmonella typhimurium, which were isolated from affected aquatic animal, patients, and environment. The aerA and hlyA genes expressed in these four A. hydrophila strains were detected by dualplex RAA assay, and the results showed that A. hydrophila strain 1 (NQ201810) is aerA^-hlyA^, A. hydrophila strain 2 (NQ201906) is aerA^+hlyA^-, A. hydrophila strain 3 (AS1.1801) is aerA^-hlyA^-, and A. hydrophila strain 4 (ATCC 7966) is aerA^+hlyA^- (Supplementary Figure S1). Moreover, the expression of aerA and hlyA genes in A. veronii and A. sobria was also detected by dualplex RAA assay, and the results showed that these two species of Aeromonas are aerA^-hlyA^- (Supplementary Figure S2).
Genomic DNA extraction

Bacterial strains were inoculated into 2216E broth or LB medium and cultured with shaking at 28°C or 37°C for 12–16 h, and then the genomic DNA of them were extracted by Kit-based and NaOH-based method. The Kit-based method for the extraction of genomic DNA was conducted with a MiniBEST Bacteria Genomic DNA Extraction Kit Ver.3.0 (9,763; TaKaRa Bio Inc., Japan) according to the Kit manual. The NaOH-based method was conducted to extract the genomic DNA of *A. hydrophila* according to the method mentioned in two published papers (Zhang et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2021). The genomic DNA was stored at −20°C and then used as the templates of RAA and PCR assays.

Nucleic acid preparation

The primer sets for *aerA* (F: 5′-AAGACCGGCC ATCAAGGTCAG-3′; R: 5′-ACGAAGGTGGTTCCAGT-3′) and *hlyA* (F: 5′-CCGGCATCTCTTTGATGCG-3′; R: 5′-GGATGTTGACCGAGGAGTGC-3′) were used to amplify the *aerA* gene and *hlyA* gene of *A. hydrophila* by PCR assay respectively, and then the PCR products were sequenced by GENEWIZ (GENEWIZ, China).

Several sequences of *Aeromonas aerA* and *hlyA* genes obtained from GenBank were aligned using the online tool, Clustal Omega. The aligned results and the conserved regions of *A. hydrophila* *aerA* and *hlyA* genes were shown in Supplementary Figures S4, S5, respectively. The regions of *aerA* and *hlyA* sequences that were highly conserved among *A. hydrophila* were selected as templates for the design of RAA primers, which was conducted with NCBI Primer-BLAST. Five primer sets for *aerA* gene and four primer sets for *hlyA* gene were designed according to the design instruction of RPA primer1, and the sequences of them were listed in Supplementary Table S1.

According to the complementary pairing characteristics of crRNA with target sequence (Chen et al., 2018), PAM sequences (TTTN) were first found from RAA amplicon of *aerA* or *hlyA*, and then 15–25 bp was selected from the adjacent sequence of PAM as the target sequence. The specific sequences that can distinguish *A. hydrophila* from other pathogens were selected from the candidate target sequences (Supplementary Figures S6, S7), and the crRNA sequence was comprised of the repeat sequence (UAA UUU CUA CUA AGU GUA GAU) and the selected specific sequence. The ssDNA-FQ reporter (5′−/6-FAM/TTATT/ BHQ1/−3′) was used to indicate the existence of the target gene. The crRNA and ssDNA-FQ were purchased from GENEWIZ (GENEWIZ, China).

The genomic DNA of three pathogenic *A. hydrophila* strains (*aerA*+/*hlyA*−, *aerA*−/∗hlyA*+, and *aerA*+/∗hlyA*+) extracted by Kit-based method were gradiently diluted with 1 × NEB buffer 2.1, and the obtained diluents of *A. hydrophila* genomic DNA with different concentrations (1 × 100 to 1 × 107 copies/μl) were stored at −80°C.

Single and dualplex RAA assay

The RAA Nucleic Acid Amplification Kit (B00000; Jiangsu Qitian Gene Biological Co., China) was employed to conduct

1 https://www.twistdx.co.uk/support/rpa-assay-design/
single and dualplex RAA assay. Single RAA assay was carried out according to the Kit manual. Briefly, 25 μl of buffer V, 16.5 μl of purified water, 2 μl of primer F (10 μm), 2 μl of primer R (10 μm), 2.5 μl of magnesium acetate, and 2 μl of genomic DNA were added to the reaction tube. After being softly vortexed for 8 s, the reaction tube was incubated for 20 min at a 37°C water bath. The procedure for dRAA assay was similar to single RAA assay, and the only difference was that the addition volume of each primer (20 μm) in dRAA assay was 1 μl.

Dualplex RAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay

The product of dRAA assay was used as the target of CRISPR-Cas12a system. The Cas12a trans-cleavage reaction was performed as follows: 5 μl of 1,000 nm Cas12a (M0653T; New England Biolabs Inc., MA, United States) and 5 μl of 400 nm crRNAmix (crRNA mixture), consisting of crRNA for aerA (ACR) and crRNA for hlyA (HCR), were preincubated at 37°C for 20 min to form Cas12a-crRNA complex. 10 μl of 1,000 nm ssDNA-FQ, 10 μl of 1 × NEB buffer 2.1, and 2 μl of dRAA product were added to the tube containing 10 μl of Cas12a-crRNA complex. After softly vortexed for 8 s, the tube containing 32 μl of mixture was incubated at 37°C for 35 min. The results can be read with an UV flashlight or a multifunctional microplate reader (λ\text{ex}: 485 nm and λ\text{em}: 520 nm). In this study, we optimized the concentration of Cas12a, the concentration of ssDNA-FQ, and the Cas12a cleavage time.

Dualplex PCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assay

The procedure for dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assay was similar to the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay. Briefly, dualplex PCR assay was carried out using Phanta® Max Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (P505-d1; Vazyme Biotechnology Co. LTD., China) in a 50 μl reaction mixture, containing 25 μl of 2 × Phanta Max Buffer, 1 μl of 10 μm forward and reverse primers (total of 4 μl), Supplementary Table S1), 1 μl of dNTP Mix (10 mm each), 1 U Phanta Max Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, 2 μl of genomic DNA, and 17 μl of H2O. 2 μl of dPCR product was then added to the reaction mixture containing the ssDNA-FQ and Cas12a-crRNA complex.

Spiked sample testing

This experiment was conducted by two operators. Firstly, 15 healthy crucian carps were purchased from supermarket. One operator took out the livers of crucian carp, cut them into small pieces, and then added 20 mg of tissue to the 15 tubes containing 200 μl of 0.5 M NaOH solution at clean workbench. Then, 15 tubes were numbered, and 1 × 10^3 CFU of A. hydrophila were added to some tubes. These tissue samples were grinded with a Disposable Tissue Grinding Pestle (Sangon, China) for 3 min, and upon 20-fold dilution with H2O, 2 μl of lysate was used as the DNA template for the dRAA assay. Above was the NaOH-based method for the extraction of A. hydrophila genomic DNA from spiked fish (Zhang et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022), and meanwhile, the Kit-based extraction method was also conducted as a comparative test. The other operator who did not know the number of spiked samples performed the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay.

Application of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay for human blood and stool specimens

Human blood and stool samples were prepared by adding 100 μl of human blood or 200 mg of stool into the tubes containing 1 × 10^3 CFU of A. hydrophila (aerA‘hlyA+’). The MiniBEST Universal Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (9,765; TaKaRa Bio Inc., Japan) and the TIANamp Stool DNA Kit (DP528; TIANGEN BIOTECH CO. LTD, China) were employed to extract genomic DNA from these spiked samples and samples without A. hydrophila, which acted as negative controls. The genomic DNA of these samples were then detected by dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The data were analyzed by Student’s t test. \( p < 0.05 \) (indicated by *) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Screening the RAA primer pairs targeting aerA gene and hlyA gene

High amplification efficiency of the amplification assay can increase the detection sensitivity of the method (Clementi et al., 1993; Pfaffl, 2004). To obtain the primer pair with high amplification efficiency, we designed five primer pairs and four primer pairs targeting aerA gene and hlyA gene, respectively, and then screened an optimal primer pair according to the relative intensity of RAA product band for each primer pair. The results showed that all the predicted product bands were clearly distinguishable (Figure 2A). As for the aerA gene, the band intensity of the No.5 lane obtained with the primer set AF5 (‘-GCCATCAGGTCAGCAATTTTGCGTACAAC-3′)/AR5 (‘-CATTGGAATTTGTCTTGGTGTACACCCTTCTC-3′) was the strongest among the five bands, while as for the hlyA gene, it was the No.8 lane obtained with the primer set HF3...
Features verification of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in the detection of Aeromonas hydrophila

According to the principle of crRNA design mentioned in Materials and Methods, ACR1 and ACR2, targeting aerA gene, and HCR1 and HCR2, targeting hlyA gene, were designed, and the sequences of these four crRNAs were listed in Figure 2B. To investigate the validity of the designed crRNA and verify the feasibility of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method, the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays for the detection of four A. hydrophila strains (aerA’hlyA’, aerA’hlyA’, aerA’hlyA’ and aerA’hlyA’) were performed using the ACR1 and ACR2 (Figure 2C), HCR1 and HCR2 (Figure 2D), and ACR1, ACR2, HCR1 and HCR2 (Figure 2E), respectively. As shown in Figure 2C, only the genomic DNA samples extracted from aerA’hlyA’ and aerA’hlyA’ A. hydrophila strains could generate fluorescence signals, indicating that ACR1 and ACR2 were valid and specific crRNAs in the detection of A. hydrophila expressing aerA gene. Our results also indicated that HCR1 and HCR2 were valid and specific crRNAs in the detection of A. hydrophila expressing hlyA gene (Figure 2D). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 2E, only the genomic DNA samples extracted from A. hydrophila expressing aerA and/or hlyA genes could generate fluorescence signals, indicating that our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, using ACR1, ACR2, HCR1 and HCR2 as the crRNA mix could be used to detect the pathogenic A. hydrophila expressing aerA and/or hlyA genes.

Optimization of the conditions of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay

The concentrations of Cas12a and ssDNA-FQ and the Cas12a cleavage time are related to cleavage efficiency, signal output, and reaction speed of the CRISPR-based detection method (Broughton et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2022). To achieve an ideal reaction performance, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay was conducted to optimize the concentration of Cas12a, the concentration of ssDNA-FQ and the Cas12a cleavage time with the aerA’hlyA’ A. hydrophila genomic DNA (1 × 10⁴ copies/μL).
and H₂O as templates. The ratio of the fluorescence intensity triggered by *A. hydrophila* (F) to the fluorescence intensity triggered by H₂O (F₀) was employed to assess the reaction concentrations of Cas12a and ssDNA-FQ. As shown in Figure 3A, both the F and F₀ increased with the increasing of the Cas12a concentrations, and when the Cas12a concentration was 600 nm, the ratio of F/F₀ reached maximum. As shown in Figure 3B, the results indicated that the optimal concentration of ssDNA-FQ was 500 nm. The cleavage time of Cas12a was further explored using the optimized concentrations of Cas12a (600 nm) and ssDNA-FQ (500 nm). The results showed that the increasing of F reached a plateau after 25 min, which indicated that the optimal cleavage time of Cas12a was 25 min. Therefore, the reaction conditions of our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, were as followed: 20 min of RAA reaction, 5 μl of 600 nm Cas12a, 5 μl of 400 nm crRNAmix, 10 μl of 500 nm ssDNA-FQ, and 25 min of Cas12a cleavage, and in the subsequent experiments, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays were conducted according to the above conditions.

### Sensitivity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay for detecting *Aeromonas hydrophila*

To investigate the detection sensitivity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a for pathogenic *A. hydrophila*, 2 μl of the genomic DNA with different concentrations (1 × 10⁰–1 × 10⁶ copies/μl) extracted from three *A. hydrophila* strains (*aerA⁺hlyA⁺*, *aerA⁻hlyA⁺* and *aerA⁺hlyA⁻*) were used as templates to perform the dRAA assay, and then 2 μl of dRAA product was subjected to Cas12a cleavage test. The dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assay was conducted as a comparative test. The results of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays showed that fluorescence signals were detected in all samples except negative control (H₂O; Figure 4A), and the limit of detection (LOD) of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay for each *A. hydrophila* strain reached 2 copies per reaction, which was in line with the LOD of dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assay (Figure 4B). Therefore, our developed detection method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, showed high sensitivity (2 copies/reaction) for pathogenic *A. hydrophila* expressing *aerA* and/or *hlyA* genes.

### Specificity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay for detecting *Aeromonas hydrophila*

To evaluate the specificity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in *A. hydrophila* detection, 16 genomic DNA samples extracted from four *A. hydrophila* strains and 12 other strains of waterborne and/or foodborne pathogenic bacteria were used as dRAA templates, and H₂O was used as negative control. As shown in Figure 5, one *A. hydrophila* strain (*aerA⁺ hlyA⁻*) and 12 other bacterial strains did not generate the fluorescence signal, while it was only detected in three *A. hydrophila* strains expressing *aerA* and/or *hlyA* genes, indicating no cross-reactions with non-pathogenic *A. hydrophila* and non-*Aeromonas hydrophila* bacteria of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in pathogenic *A. hydrophila* detection. Therefore, our developed detection method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, showed high specificity for pathogenic *A. hydrophila* expressing *aerA* and/or *hlyA* genes.

### Practicability evaluation of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in the detection of spiked samples

Finally, to evaluate the practicability of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay, fish and human samples were employed to conduct this experiment by two operators. For fish samples, livers were taken out from 15 healthy crucian carps, nine liver samples (20 mg/sample) were spiked with 1 × 10³ CFU of *A. hydrophila*, and then the genomic DNA of each sample was extracted and used as templates for dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay.
DNA was extracted using the NaOH-based method from these 15 liver samples by one operator, while the other one did the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a and dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assays with these 15 liver samples. The results of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assays showed that only the spiked samples could generate the fluorescence signal (Figure 6A). This result was consistent with the result obtained from dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assays (Figures 6B, C). In addition, the genomic DNA extracted from the other 15 liver samples using Kit-based method were detected by dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay, and the same result with Figure 6A was obtained (Supplementary Figure S3). Therefore, these results indicated that our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, could rapidly and accurately detect *A. hydrophila* from fish samples.

For human samples, blood and stool samples were collected from three healthy volunteers, and some blood and stool were spiked with $1 \times 10^3$ CFU of *A. hydrophila* (*aerA* + *hlyA*). As shown in Figure 6D, only the spiked samples could generate the fluorescence signal. These results indicated that our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a, could be used to detect clinical sepsis and gastroenteritis caused by *A. hydrophila*.

**Discussion**

*Aeromonas hydrophila* is an emerging pathogen with pathogenicity to humans and fishes (Tichoniuk et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011). Increasingly, *A. hydrophila* is posing a serious threat to human health, aquaculture and food safety, and foodborne outbreaks of its infection have occurred in many countries (Morena et al., 1993; Krovacek et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 2012; Tsheten et al., 2016). Many studies have reported that the pathogenicity of *A. hydrophila* is determined by multiple virulence factors (Janda and Abbott, 2010; Uma et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011), and based on this reason, there are many methods targeting one or more virulence genes to detect pathogenic *A. hydrophila* (Tichoniuk et al., 2010; Elsheshawy et al., 2019; Qu et al., 2021). However, these methods have some flaws, such as long operation time, low sensitivity, complicated operation, and missed
sequences which are highly conserved in species of A. hydrophila were downloaded from GenBank and aligned to seek the trustworthy approach to detect pathogenic (Heuzenroeder et al., 1999). Moreover, the study reported by Heuzenroeder et al. (Heuzenroeder et al., 1999) indicated that a method targeting aerA and hlyA gene could be detected by our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a (Figure 5), which further confirmed the validity of our designed crRNA and RAA primer sets.

The target genes, aerA and hlyA, encoding aerolysin and hemolysin respectively, of our proposed method are two important virulent genes of A. hydrophila (Wong et al., 1998; Biscardi et al., 2002; Ørmen et al., 2003; Janda and Abbott, 2010). Aerolysin and hemolysin are most common in clinical and environmental strains of A. hydrophila (Wong et al., 1998; Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 2002; Janda and Abbott, 2010) and play key roles through cooperation or alone in the pathogenicity of it (Biscardi et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2008; Nawaz et al., 2010; Igbinoso and Okoh, 2013). Moreover, the study reported by Heuzenroeder et al. indicated that a method targeting aerA and hlyA genes was a trustworthy approach to detect pathogenic A. hydrophila (Heuzenroeder et al., 1999). Therefore, aerA and hlyA genes were selected for the detection of pathogenic A. hydrophila in this study. Because aerA and hlyA genes are also present in some other Aeromonas species (Supplementary Figures S4–S7), and based on these sequences, we successfully designed two crRNAs for aerA and two crRNAs for hlyA (Figures 2B–E). Furthermore, the results of specificity experiment indicated that only three A. hydrophila strains expressing aerA gene and/or hlyA gene could be detected by our developed method, dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a (Figure 5), which further confirmed the validity of our designed crRNA and RAA primer sets.

In addition, we investigated the sensitivity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a in the detection of three pathogenic A. hydrophila strains (aerA-hlyA+, aerA-hlyA− and aerA-hlyA−), and found that the LOD for each strain reached 2 copies of genomic DNA per reaction (Figure 4A), which was consistent with the results of dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a (Figure 4B). However, because the samples used to investigate the sensitivity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a were the pure bacteria, the result of this experiment may not reflect the real sensitivity of dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a in detecting the clinical and environmental samples with a large number of impurities that may influence the amplification efficiency of RAA assay. Finally, we evaluated the practicability of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a assay in the detection of spiked fish samples. Our proposed method dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a could only detect the presence of A. hydrophila from all the spiked liver samples (Figure 6A), and this result completely matched the results got from dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assay (Figures 6B,C), indicating that dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method is a promising candidate for on-site detection.

![Practicability evaluation of the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a and dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a assays in the detection of spiked samples. Six normal liver samples and nine liver samples spiked with 1×10^3 CFU of A. hydrophila were used to extract genomic DNA according to the NaOH-based method. These genomic DNA samples were detected using dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a and dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a system (Figure 1). This method performed according to our optimized conditions only takes 45 min to obtain the accurate result without an elaborate instrument (Figure 3), and the LOD of it is as low as 2 copies of A. hydrophila genomic DNA per reaction (Figure 4A).](image)
A. hydrophila detection in fish samples. Because A. hydrophila infection mainly causes sepsis and gastroenteritis in humans (González-Serrano et al., 2002; Zha et al., 2021), we also performed dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method to detect the spiked blood and stool samples, and found that the fluorescence signal could only be detected in spiked blood and stool samples (Figure 6D), indicating that the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method can also be used to diagnose patients infected by A. hydrophila.

In conclusion, the dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method we developed can rapidly, accurately, and sensitively detect pathogenic A. hydrophila expressing aerA gene and/or hlyA gene, and the results can be read using an UV flashlight. It is very beneficial for early diagnosis and on-site detection of A. hydrophila infection especially in resource-poor areas. Apart from dRAA-CRISPR/Cas12a method, dPCR-CRISPR/Cas12a used in this study can also be a candidate to detect A. hydrophila in Lab.
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