Quantitative analysis of fresh tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum) for trace of pesticide residues from markets in Peshawar, Pakistan, using High Performance Thin Liquid Chromatography technique
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ABSTRACT

Background: Vegetables and fruits are vital constituents of human diet, as it provides necessary minerals and nutrients to human body to carry out biological reactions and provide protection against various diseases and injuries. Solanum lycopersicum (tomato) is consider as cash crop in Asian countries and are fine source of vitamin C, potassium, folate, vitamin K, and other bioactive compounds including, phenolics, flavonoids, carotenoids, and alkaloids. Similar to other crops, tomato quality and yield is also affected by pests. Globally, approximately 37.8% of tomato yield is spoiled due to pests. To maintain the vegetable quality and attain more yields, pest management approaches are applied, though extensive use of toxic pesticides are becoming a part of our food chain and causing adverse effects. Methodology: The present research is intended to evaluate the levels of toxic pesticides in different tomato varieties collected from four vegetable markets (Hayatabad Phase 1, Chargano Chowk, Kohat Road and Tarnab farm) in Peshawar, Pakistan. After collection of tomato samples, level of pesticide residue (Cytalothrin, Cypermethrin, Chlorpyrifos, Bifenthrin, and Imidacloprid) were detected using high performance thin liquid Chromatography (HPTLC). Results: After detection, level of pesticides in sample was compared with Maximum residue levels (MRLs). The magnitude of pesticides in samples was lesser to the Maximum residue levels (MRLs) provided by United State Drug Agency (USDA), though the quantity of Lambda Cyalthrin present in the sample was almost equal to MRL (0.097 mg/kg). Conclusion: HPTLC is an efficient approach to detect pesticide traces in fruits and vegetables. Research work should be focused on it, to identify and control farmer practices which are highly linked to pesticide contamination in tomatoes and use of biopesticides should be encouraged. The cultivation of transgenic tomatoes can also be an efficient approach to elude the utilization of toxic pesticides.
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INTRODUCTION

Vegetables and fruits are the major source of vitamins and minerals required by the human body to perform various biological reactions and functions. The vegetable are also the source of bioactive metabolites which are used to treat different infectious and chronic diseases, such as obesity, tumor, and renal complications, cardiac and hepatic problems. During development stage of fruit and vegetable and post harvesting storage, vegetable and fruits are inflected by the pests and ultimately lessens the yield. Therefore, toxic pesticides are sprayed to avoid the attack of pests. Due to minimal labor input, rapid and vast action, exploitation of pesticides is increased. Though, at early stages of plant development the intense use of pesticides direct the plant to accumulate residues. The plant surface absorb pesticides (root surfaces and waxy cuticle) and integrate to the transport system of plant (systemic) or accumulate on plant surface (contact) and cause oxidative stress. To control pests, the use of pesticides are helpful but in return pesticides open the gateway for new kind of crisis including loss of biodiversity, damage to bio-control agents, insect resistance to pesticides, accumulation of toxic components in food chain and contamination of air, soil and water. Pesticide residues can stay in human body for long time and cause prolonged effects, such as abnormal births, cancer, hepatic and renal problems, cardiac complications, Asthma, Parkinsonism and Alzeheimer’s development. Nausea, blurred vision, vomiting, coma, trouble in breathing and deficit hyperactivity disorders are the common other side effects of pesticide residues. The flow of these compounds in the food chain and environment also occurs because of their lavish, unplanned, mishandling and indiscriminate
use. Despite all the adverse effects, the use of pesticides have been increased by 1169% during the last 20 years. Tomato, being a cash crop in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is sprayed with different kinds of pesticides to minimize the crop injury due to various insect pests and thus ensure maximum production and quality. Therefore, this study was organized to analyze fresh tomatoes obtained from different areas of Peshawar city and check for residues of pesticides in it and issue recommendations in the light of the findings from this study.

**MATERIALS - METHODS**

**Sample Collection Sites**

For analyzing tomato samples for probable presence or absence of pesticides residues, samples were collected from four markets (Hayatabad Phase 1, Chargano Chowk, Kohat Road/ Ring Road Junction, Tarnab farm) located in Peshawar. After collection, all the samples were shifted to Pesticides Residue Laboratory, Agricultural Research Institute, (ARI) Tarnab Peshawar for analysis using 5 various pesticides testing mentioned in Table 1.

**Table 1: Selected pesticides for testing in residual experiments**

| Pesticides name     | Molecular formula | Classification |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Lambda cyhalothrin  | C₂₂H₁₉ClF₃NO₃    | Pyrethroid     |
| Cypermethrin        | C₂₂H₁₉Cl₂NO₃     | Pyrethroid     |
| Chlorpyrifos        | C₉H₁₁Cl₂NO₃PS    | Organophosphat |
| Bifenthrin          | C₂₃H₂₂ClF₃O₂     | Pyrethroid     |
| Imidacloprid        | C₉H₁₀ClN₂O₂      | Neonicotinoid  |

**Procedure for Extraction of Pesticides**

Fresh tomatoes collected from various markets and at -4 °C were preserved. After one hour for further procedure the preserved samples were taken off. After thawing, samples were sliced with speed blender. Erlenmeyer flask of 500 ml capacity was used where 100 g from chopped vegetable sample was added in it. Followed by anhydrous sodium sulfate 20 g, NaCl 2.5 g and freshly prepared distilled ethyl acetate 70 ml was added. The use of ethyl acetate was for extraction. For an hour the flasks were shaken. For separation of aqueous material from other solid materials (vegetable parts that were not soluble in ethyl acetate) Whatman fluted filter paper No.42 (Sigma-Aldrich) was used.

**Purification procedure**

Purification procedure was carried out to purify tomatoes extract. Pesticide residues were passed through different purification steps as pesticide residues were soluble in ethyl acetate. By passing through active charcoals extracted samples were purified. At 105°C for 4 hours charcoal was activated. One ml of extract was transferred in round bottom flask and pesticide residues were dissolved in acetone. Using rotatory evaporator sample was concentrated. Pesticide residues became concentrated after passing through rotatory evaporator and easy to identify. Concentrated samples were filtered through filter paper (0.2 μm) and analyzed by HPTLC.

**Preparation of standards**

At Residue Laboratory pesticide standards were available in powder form in different percentages. In 1% methanol standard solution was prepared (Table 2).

**Techniques for High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC)**

For the detection as well as calculation of the concentration of pesticides high performance thin layer chromatography was used, using protocol designed by. In brief, glass plates (0.25 mm thickness) were prepared. At 105°C for 30 minutes each plate was activated. Initially, various concentrations of standards were spotted, then after the development of plate the diameter and concentration of spot were noted. Between concentration and spot diameter a graph was drawn that was helpful in the assessment of pesticides in samples. Along with the standards the desired sample was spotted. Followed by placing plate in tank that contained ammonia and methanol as a mobile phase, to remove undesired material plate was placed in fume hood.

**Development of plate**

For the development of plate different techniques were followed as applying same detection procedures all pesticides are not detected. Some pesticides when observed under UV at 254 nm for 30 minutes gave florescence. But under UV light many other pesticides were not giving florescence so for the development of plate many other techniques were followed.

**Potassium iodide and O-tolidine**

O-tolidine and potassium iodide spray generate brown yellowish color. To note-down spot's diameter, coloring reagent spray was preferred. Color intensity of brown yellowish varies with respect to the concentration of pesticides in standards and sample spots.
Enzyme Inhibition Method

This method is applied for the development of those pesticides on plate which produce color by and O-tolidine and potassium iodide but do not give fluorescence under UV light. Plate was placed for 15 minutes in bromine vapors. Plate was placed again in fuming hood for 45 minutes for removing exceeding vapors. For 30 minutes at 37°C Horse blood serum enzyme was sprayed on the plate. To eliminate the extra vapors from plate hot air steam was given. Then for developing color substrate solution was sprayed on the plate. Spraying step was done in an incubator. Tiny blue spots appear beside the white background of the plate. Blue spots direct the existence of carbamate pesticides. The distance covered by the ethyl acetate and the pesticides spots was measured. To calculate concentration of pesticides, the area of spots was measured vertically and horizontally. Followed by the calculation of the Rf value was measured through this procedure.

It is worth mentioning that satisfactory results were generated using O-tolidine and Potassium iodide, therefore the technique of Enzyme Inhibition Method was not applied in our research.

Identification of pesticides

After developing the plate, presence of pesticide in extracted sample of vegetable was detected. If both standard retention factor and retention factor of samples pesticide were matched then it was determined that the sample was contaminated.

Quantification of pesticide

Quantification of pesticides through high performance thin layer chromatography was done for different concentrations by measuring the diameter of standards. Different samples were checked for plate development. Diameter measurement and comparison with the standards quantifies the amount and concentration of pesticide in samples.

Statistical analysis

Data was collected from three experimental replicates. Statistical calculations were carried out with the GraphPad Prism 5 (Graphpad Software, Inc.) and SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, IBM). The results are presented as mean values with standard errors (.). The mean values were subjected to Duncan's multiple range tests and Student's t-test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered as significant.

RESULTS

Retention factor for Pesticides residue

Retention factor of each pesticide was calculated by running the standard samples on HPTLC plate. This factor was calculated by measuring the distance travelled by the spots and solvent. Thus, it is equal to distance travelled by the spot divided by distance travelled by the solvent. The spots of Cypermethrin and Chlorpyrifos pesticides traveled more distance (8.8 cm and 8.7 cm, respectively) as compare to other pesticides (Table 3).

Concentration of pesticides in tomato samples (mg/kg)

All the samples of tomatoes, obtained from various markets had residues of various pesticides sprayed against insects. The statistical analysis using F-test indicated that significant differences existed in values calculated for concentrations of various residues of pesticides. The tomato samples accumulated maximum concentration of Bifenthrin and Lambda Cyhalothrin (0.100 ± 0.009 mg/kg and 0.097 ± 0.006 mg/kg, respectively). It indicates that both, Bifenthrin and Lambda Cyhalothrin are the most used pesticides, or the tomato plant can easily up take these pesticides as compare to others (Table 4). If the concentration of pesticides is compared on the basis of area from where the samples were collected, tomatoes from vegetable market of Chargano chowk and Kohat road contained the higher amount of pesticides residues (Figure 1).
Table 3: Calculated retention factors for pesticides

| Pesticide name     | Distance travelled by spot (cm) | Distance travelled by the solvent (cm) | Retention factor |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cypermethrin       | 8.8                             | 13                                   | 0.67            |
| Chlorpyrifos       | 8.7                             | 13                                   | 0.669           |
| Bifenthrin         | 6.8                             | 13                                   | 0.52            |
| Lambda cyhalothrin | 7.0                             | 13                                   | 0.54            |
| Imidacloprid       | 3.0                             | 13                                   | 0.23            |

Table 4: Pesticides concentration in Tomato samples obtained from different markets

| Tomato samples obtained | Pesticides concentrations in mg/kg | Imidacloprid | Bifenthrin | Lambda Cyhalothrin | Cypermethrin | Chlorpyrifos |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 Hayatabad             |                                    | 0.091        | 0.105      | 0.096            | 0.018        | 0.062        |
| 2 Chargano chowk        |                                    | 0.087        | 0.099      | 0.106            | 0.024        | 0.078        |
| 3 Kohat Road            |                                    | 0.098        | 0.108      | 0.097            | 0.014        | 0.077        |
| 4 Tarnab farm           |                                    | 0.079        | 0.087      | 0.089            | 0.012        | 0.055        |
| Mean                    |                                    | 0.089±0.007  | 0.100±0.009| 0.097±0.006      | 0.017±0.005  | 0.068±0.011  |

Figure 1: Bar diagram showing values of different concentrations of residues obtained across various locations for tomato samples in Peshawar city (results in mg/kg).
Comparison of pesticides concentration in samples with maximum residue levels (MRL) ratified by United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

The international permissible maximum residue levels (MRL) as approved by United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) for the observed pesticides (Lambda Cyhalothrin, Cypermethrin, Chlorpyrifos, Bifenthrin and Imidacloprid) in tomato samples are given in Table 5. Lambda Cyhalothrin and Bifenthrin are the toxic pesticides and upon exposure it causes adverse effects on human health, therefore the MRL approved by USDA for Lambda Cyhalothrin and Bifenthrin pesticides is limited to 0.10 mg/kg and 0.20 mg/kg, respectively. When compared with MRLs, it is clear that the detected concentrations of pesticides residues, present in tomato samples obtained from various locations in Peshawar city, are much lower than admissible level (Table 6). Though the residue of pesticide Lambda Cyhalothrin (0.097 mg/kg) is almost equal to MRL (0.10 mg/kg) (Figure 2), its use in tomato crop must be reduced so that the product remains safe for human consumption.

DISCUSSION

In Agriculture, various kinds of chemical pesticides are used to elude insect pest attack which may be deposited on fruit and vegetable surface and contaminate the product. If the calculated amount of pesticide exceeds the extreme residue perimeter of pesticides, then it can develop various kinds of diseases. In the recent study, it was noticed that UV light gave florescence to Imidacloprid and Chlorpyrifos, the desired spots became detectable, similar to that determined by Munawar and Hameed. Pesticide classes such as pyrethroid, carbamates, and organophosphate, were determined by UV light. We concluded that pesticides retention factor value can never be changed by any ecological factor or irrespective of time. Retention is measured by calculating the distance covered by the spot and the distance covered by the solvent. Retention factor calculated in current research work from HPTLC for Chlorpyrifos and Imidacloprid had similarity with the outcomes of Munawar and Hameed. Pesticide classes such as carbamates, pyrethroid, and organophosphate were determined by UV light. It is worth mentioning that satisfactory results were generated using O-tolidine and Potassium iodide, therefore the technique of Enzyme Inhibition Method was not applied in our research.

Further, in this research project, it was observed that pesticides residues (Lambda Cyhalothrin, Cypermethrin, Chlorpyrifos, Bifenthrin and Imidacloprid) were traced in all the tomato samples collected from different market places. The magnitude of these pesticides, when compared with USDA permissible level of MRLs was comparatively low. However, the quantity of Lambda Cyhalothrin present in the sample was higher to the MRL which is an alarming situation as the continuous consumption of these poisonous chemicals may accumulate in human body and may lead to serious consequences.

Further, the molecular based study of population consuming pesticides contaminated fruits and vegetables, is suggested. Research work should be focus on to
Table 5: Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) provided by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) for the tested insecticides

| Pesticides name    | Classification | MRL (mg/kg) |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Lambda Cyhalothrin| Pyrethroid     | 0.10        |
| Cypermethrin       | Pyrethroid     | 0.50        |
| Chlorpyrifos       | Organophosphate| 0.50        |
| Bifenthrin         | Pyrethroid     | 0.20        |
| Imidacloprid       | Neonicotinoid  | 0.50        |

Table 6: Comparison of observed values for various pesticide residues verses international MRLs

| Mean values for Pesticides concentration in mg/kg | Imidacloprid | Bifenthrin | Lambda Cyhalothrin | Cypermethrin | Chlorpyrifos |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Detected                                          | 0.089        | 0.100      | 0.097              | 0.017        | 0.068        |
| MRLs                                              | 0.50         | 0.20       | 0.10               | 0.50         | 0.50         |

Figure 2: Comparative analysis of pesticide residues obtained from tomato samples in Peshawar with Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) approved by USDA.

identify and control farmer practices which are highly linked to pesticide contamination in tomatoes and use of biopesticides should be encouraged. The cultivation of transgenic tomatoes can also be a substitute approach to overcome the usage of toxic pesticides.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All the authors declare no competing interest.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

Shah S K, Israr S F and Khatak A K, conceptualized study design, collected, analyzed and interpreted data. Kazmi A, Ali A, Mohammad S, and Irfan M. interpreted data, prepared manuscript and did proof reading. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors are grateful to the Pesticides Residue Laboratory, Agricultural Research Institute (ARI), Tarnab Peshawar for their support and providing research facilities.

FUNDING

The current research work was not funded by any agency.

REFERENCES

1. Kazmi A, Usman M, Muhammad W. Effect of Hydroxybenzoic Acid Foliar Spray on Selected Wheat Varieties under Induced Heavy Metal Stress. Global Journal of Research and Review. 2019;6:1–4.
2. Mie A, Andersen HR, Gunnarsson S, Kahl J, Kesse-Guyot E, Rembialkowska E, et al. Human health implications of organic food and organic agriculture: a comprehensive review. Environmental Health. 2017;16(1):111. PMID: 29073935. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0315-4.
3. Kazmi A, Khan MA, Mohammad S, Ali A, Kamal A, Arif M, et al. Elicitation directed growth and production of steviol glycosides in the adventitious roots of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni. Industrial Crops and Products. 2019;139:111530. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111530.
4. Kazmi A, Khan MA, Ali H. Biotechnological approaches for production of bioactive secondary metabolites in Nigella sativa: an up-to-date review. International Journal of Secondary Metabolite. 2019;6(2):172–195. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4144/ijsm.575075.
5. Kazmi A, Khan MA, Mohammad S, Ali A, Ali H. Biotechnological Production of Natural Calorie Free Steviol Glycosides in Stevia rebaudiana: An Update on Current Scenarios. Current Biotechnology. 2019;8(2):70–84. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2174/2211550108666191210100751.
6. Angelo MJ. The law and ecology of pesticides and pest management. Routledge. 2016; Available from: https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315556284.
7. Yildiztekin M, Kaya C, Tuna AL, Ashraf M. Oxidative stress and antioxidative mechanisms in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) plants sprayed with different pesticides. Pak J Bot. 2015;47(2):717–721.
8. Dubey G, Mishra N, Prasad SM. Metabolic responses of pesticides in plants and their ameliorative processes. Plant responses to xenobiotics. Springer. 2016p. 57–95. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2860-1_4.
9. Mahmood I, Imadi SR, Shazadi K, Gul A, Hakeem KR. Effects of pesticides on environment. Plant, soil and microbes. Springer. 2016p. 253–269. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27455-3_13.
10. Kim KH, Kabir E, Jahan SA. Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. Science of the total environment. 2017;575:525–535. PMID: 27614863. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.09.009.
11. Gangemi S, Miozzi E, Teodoro M, Briguglio G, De Luca A, Alibrando C, et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides as a possible risk factor for the development of chronic diseases in humans. Molecular medicine reports. 2016;14(5):4475–4488. PMID: 27748877. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2016.5817.
12. Khan MU, Zia MS, Qasim M. Use of pesticides and their role in environmental pollution. World Acad Sci Eng Technol. 2010;72:122–128.
13. Tariq MI, Afzal S, Hussain I, Sultana N. Pesticides exposure in Pakistan: a review. Environmental international. 2007;33(8):1107–1122. PMID: 17765971. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2007.07.012.
14. Parvatamma B, Rao TN. A New Validated HPLC method for determination of Cyanyraniliprole and its metabolite residues in tomato fruit. Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry. 2015;8(6):383–388. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4150.2015.00604.4.
15. Ozkara A, Akyl D, Konuk M. Pesticides, environmental pollution, and health. Environmental Health Risk-Hazardous Factors to Living Species. IntechOpen. 2016. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5772/63094.
16. Khan SU. Pesticides in the soil environment. Elsevier. 2016.
17. Munawar A, Hameed S. Quantification of pesticide residues in vegetables by different chromatographic techniques. Journal of Chromatography & Separation Techniques. 2013;4:1000200. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7064.I000200.
18. Fan H, Smuts J, Walsh P, Harrison D, Schug KA. Gas chromatography-vacuum ultraviolet spectroscopy for multiclass pesticide identification. Journal of Chromatography A. 2015;1389:120–127. PMID: 25757817. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.02.035.
19. Munawar A, Hameed SW, Sarwar M, Wasim M, Hashmi AS, Imran M. Identification of pesticide residues in different vegetables Collected from market of Lahore, Pakistan. Journal of Agro Alimentary Processes and Technologies. 2013;19:392–398.
20. Meena AK, Sinha A, Verma S, Gupta M, Padhi M. HPTLC Profile of important Indian spices used in ayurvedic formulations. Research Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2013;5:4:188–193.
21. Andrade GCRdM, Monteiro SH, Francisco JG, Figueiredo LA, Botelho RG, Torriani VL. Liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry and dynamic multiple reaction monitoring method for determining multiple pesticide residues in tomato. Food Chemistry. 2015;157:57–65. PMID: 25577051. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.11.105.
22. Khan MS, Shah MM, Mahmood Q, Hassan A, Akbar K. Assessment of pesticide residues on selected vegetables of Pakistan. J Chem Soc Pak. 2011;33(6):816.
23. Sheikh S, Nizamani S, Panharw A, Mirani B. Monitoring of pesticide residues in vegetables collected from markets of Sindh, Pakistan. Food Science and Technology Letters. 2013;6:1–4.
24. Syed JH, Alamdar A, Mohammad A, Ahad K, Shabir Z, Ahmed H, et al. Pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from Pakistan: a review of the occurrence and associated human health risks. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2014;21(23):13367–13393. PMID: 24958529. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3117-z.
25. Bailey HD, Infante-Rivard C, Metayer C, Clavel J, Lightfoot T, Kaatsch P, et al. Home pesticide exposures and risk of childhood leukemia: Findings from the childhood leukemia international consortium. International journal of cancer. 2015;137(1):2644–2663. PMID: 26061779. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29631.
26. Damalas CA, Khan M. RETRACTED: pesticide use in vegetable crops in Pakistan: insights through an ordered probit model. Elsevier. 2017. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.05.004.
27. Khan M, Mahmood HZ, Damalas CA. Pesticide use and risk perceptions among farmers in the cotton belt of Punjab, Pakistan. Crop Protection. 2015;67:184–190. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.10.013.
28. Shahid M, Ahmad A, Khalid S, Siddique HF, Saeed MF, Ashraf MR, et al. Pesticides pollution in agricultural soils of Pakistan. Soil science: Agricultural and environmental prospectives. Springer. 2016p. 199–229. PMID: 27403569. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-34515-5_9.