The principles of physics, as far as I can see, do not speak against the possibility of manoeuvring things atom by atom” – Feynman (1959). Since Feynman’s talk at the annual meeting of the American Physical Society in December 1959, we have made significant progress in enabling nanotechnology for revolutionising medicine. In cancer biology this has translated into major advances in primarily three areas: early detection, tumour imaging and drug delivery. In this article, we highlight some of the key nanotechnologies in each of these three areas, with a brief discussion of the challenges and advances for each approach.

DRIVERS FOR DEVELOPMENTS IN NANOSETTING

The drivers for advances in nanotechnology have been the development of tools that enable us to manipulate at an atomic scale, and materials and chemistry that allow the constructions of novel structures. Although it is not the focus of this review to address these tools in details, it is important to realise potentials of these analytical and fabrication tools, which if integrated with fundamental biology can provide essential breakthroughs in the fight against cancer.

It was originally the electron microscope, with scanning or transmission capabilities, which was used to image at the nanoscale range, but it was limited by the steps involved in the preparation of the object to be imaged. More recently, scanning probe devices have been enormously successful in biological manipulations at the single molecule level (Engel et al, 1999). An interesting adaptation of the scanning probe devices has been the Scanning Tunneling Microscope, which uses ‘tunnelling’ or the flow of electrons from a probe tip to a charged surface and vice versa to generate the contours of a surface (Horber and Miles, 2003), or to move a structure atom by atom. Another modification is the use of the cantilever technology to detect tumour markers (Wu et al, 2001). Furthermore, the scanning probe device has also been integrated with wet lithography techniques in an approach called the dip pen nanolithography, to print designer nanoscale structures in three dimensions (Piner et al, 1999).

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND BIOSENSORS

The successful outcome of cancer chemotherapy often depends on the early detection of the cancer lesion. However, in most cases the transformation remains undetected until clinical manifestations, arising primarily from the absence of highly sensitive techniques that can detect low levels of markers. Furthermore, clinically relevant markers, such as prostate serum antigen (PSA), have a broad range on baseline expression within the population, which makes them notoriously bad predictors of future events. Furthermore, in certain cases, a profile of multiple markers may shed more light on the cancer status than a single marker.

The limitation of sensitivity can be resolved by reducing the dimensions to the nanoscale. Using the dip-pen nanolithography approach, Mirkin’s group printed a nanoscale oligonucleotide chip on both metallic and insulating substrates, which exhibited the sequence-specific binding properties of the DNA (Demers et al, 2002). This ‘bottom-up’ approach can enable the detection of markers at very low concentrations, especially when integrated with another emerging technology, the nanocantilevers.

The nanocantilevers-based sensors operate on the concept that biomolecular binding events will deflect the nanocantilevers resulting in a change in their resonating frequencies (Fritz et al, 2000) (Figure 1). The nanoscale dimensions offer the possibility of designing structures with multiple such components, each with distinct detection capabilities, which can allow the simultaneous profiling of a range of predictors for a given cancer. Furthermore, the reduction in the dimension also holds the promise of increasing the sensitivity to a scale where it is possible to detect subtle changes in the profile. For example, using a microscale cantilever, Hansen et al (2001) reported that it was possible to discriminate DNA single-nucleotide mismatches. In a separate study, Wu et al (2001) demonstrated the feasibility of the approach...
to quantify PSA at a clinically relevant concentration. Although both these studies were done using a microscale cantilever, it is not difficult to envisage a future where nanowires and nanotubes will function as the sensors (Zheng et al, 2005), offering the possibility of large-scale monitoring of the proteome or the transcriptome for subtle changes in the profile and relate it to the early stages of cancer progression.

Another emerging area is the use of nanoparticles for diagnostics (Cuenca et al, 2006). For example, Mirkin and co-workers developed a system that relies on nanoparticle probes encoded with DNA that are unique to the protein target of interest, and antibodies that can sandwich the target captured by the probes. Magnetic separation of the target-probe complex followed by dehybridisation of the oligonucleotides on the nanoparticle probe surface allows the determination of the presence of the target protein. Using this approach, they could retain substantial amplification as each nanoparticle probe carried with it a large number of oligonucleotides per protein binding event. Adding a polymerase chain reaction on the oligonucleotide bar codes could boost the sensitivity of detecting PSA to 3 attomol which is six orders of magnitude more sensitive than the clinically accepted conventional assays (Rosi and Mirkin, 2005). In other studies, gold nanoshell-based immunoassays that change colour following interactions with the ligand and quantum dots labelled with targeting antibodies have been used to detect specific tumour markers. These offer significant advantages of stability and ‘tunability’ over traditional staining techniques; in particular, they do not photobleach and can be designed to emit different colours based on their sizes.

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND TUMOUR IMAGING

Although the use of nanotechnology has generated excitement in the area of tumour biology, especially enabling the simultaneous monitoring of multiple cellular markers based on the tunability of the nanostructures, another application that is achieving major milestones towards clinical applicability is the use of nanoparticles to image tumours in vivo.

Iron oxide nanocrystals (Figure 2) with superparamagnetic properties have been used as contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as they cause changes in the spin–spin relaxation times of the neighbouring water molecules (Moghimi et al, 2005). In an interesting study, highly lymphotropic super-paramagnetic nanoparticles, which gain access to lymph nodes by means of interstitial–lymphatic fluid transport, were used in conjunction with high-resolution MRI to reveal small and otherwise undetectable lymph-node metastases in patients with prostate cancer (Harisinghani et al, 2003).

Quantum dots, which are generally defined as particles with physical dimensions smaller than the exciton Bohr radius (Chan et al, 2002), are emerging as powerful optical contrast agents, both for monitoring cellular events and for imaging tumours in vivo. Quantum dots (Qdots) offer unique size- and composition-tunable fluorescence emission from visible to infra-red wavelengths, large absorbance coefficients across a wide spectral range and greater photostability and signal intensity. Initial concerns regarding nonselective uptake of Qdots into the reticuloendothelial system and solubility were overcome using pegylation chemistry (Akerman et al, 2002), which results in a hydrophilic surface. Furthermore, coating with a targeting peptide resulted in the selective delivery to the target organs such as tumour vasculature or the lungs (Akerman et al, 2002).

Quantum dots have now been used track signalling pathways, such as the erbB/HER receptor-mediated signalling (Lidike et al, 2004), cell–cell interaction, such as in breast tumour (Alivisatos et al, 2005), and for spectrally distinguishing multiple species within the tumour milieu in vivo (Stroh et al, 2005). Furthermore, labelling the tumour cells with Qdots also enabled the tracking of metastatic tumour cell extravasation (Voura et al, 2004). The last study also established the safety profile of Qdots in vivo, although extensive safety and pharmacokinetics studies need to be carried out before use in humans given the fact that Qdots are composed of heavy metals (such as Cd), which can induce liver and kidney damage. However, one should also consider that before biological use the metals are sequestered inside an organic shell, and are administered at doses much below the known toxic levels of the metals.

The advantages of using Qdots over traditional radiocontrast dyes lies in the avoidance of harmful radiations that are traditionally used. The Qdots that emit at longer wavelengths are especially suitable for in vivo imaging as there is low tissue scattering and absorption in this range. However, the goal is to preserve the optical properties of these Qdots following surface modifications to make them biocompatible (Jiang et al, 2004). Emergence of newer technologies that enable biocompatible Qdots with narrow emission spectral range and enhanced photostability and their integration with improved pattern recognition and image analysis techniques will revolutionise the tumour imaging field.

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND TUMOUR DRUG DELIVERY

One of the earliest applications of nanotechnology in medicine was the use of liposomes in the nanoscale range to deliver chemotherapeutic agents to the tumour (Moghimi et al, 2005). Liposomal formulations of doxorubicin are now approved for use in Kaposi sarcoma, breast cancer and refractory ovarian cancer. An advantage that the liposomes provides is the delivery in an aqueous phase, which avoided the use of solubilising agents such as Cremophor that are associated with hypersensitivity reactions.

A major milestone in drug delivery systems engineering was the development of technologies that enable targeted delivery carriers from the immune system. Significant modifications have been introduced in the composition of the lipid bilayers that have
optimised the ‘stealth’ capability and also affected the tissue-specific homing. For example, the introduction of synthetic lipid derivatives of polyethylene, where the hydrophilicity of the PEG chains confers ‘stealth’ capability to the liposomes (Allen et al., 1991). These liposomes remain in the circulation for prolonged periods of time and accumulate passively in the tumours via an enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect (Yuan et al., 1994). The EPR effect arises from the unique morphology of the tumour vasculature, which is highly leaky with pore sizes as large as 600 nm that allows the nanoscale liposomes to easily extravasate out into the tumour. Furthermore, the limited lymphatic drainage prevents the clearance from the tumours. Passive targeting through the EPR effect results in delivery of 3–10 times more drug to solid tumours as compared to free drug. Indeed, the clinical liposomal formulations of doxorubicin were shown to deliver between 5 and 11 times more doxorubicin to Kaposi’s sarcoma lesions than to normal skin, leading to an overall tumour response rate as high as 80%. However, selective delivery can be further improved upon by integrating targeting technologies to the delivery platform. One of the attractive targets for ‘homing’ has been the dividing endothelium, which is a hallmark of the tumour vessels. For example, a nanoparticle carrying a plasmid DNA encoding a dominant-negative mutant form of RAF kinase was reported to exert antiangiogenesis effects when targeted against αvβ3 integrins (Hood et al., 2002). There are still bottlenecks in the existing ‘homing’ technologies, such as in the case of targeting TNP470-immunoliposomes using a single-chain variable fragment against human endoglin on angiogenic endothelial cells resulted in

Figure 2  Electron micrographs of different types of nanoparticles. (A) Fe nanoparticles coated with poly(N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone), which stabilises the nanoparticles. (B) TEM image of Au nanoparticles, (~ 6 nm), which are being harnessed for sensing protein markers. The aggregation of these nanoparticles is visualised from a change in absorbance; (C) TEM image for Fe3O4 nanoparticles (size ~ 5 nm), which are being developed as radiocontrast agents. (D) TEM image for CdSe quantum dots (size ~ 4 nm). The size-dependent optical tunability of quantum dots make them ideal candidates for imaging. Courtesy of Dr Zhi-Hui Ban, MIT. Inset shows electron micrographs of a nanocore and a nanocell. (a) Scanning electron micrograph of a nanocore synthesised from doxorubicin-conjugated PLGA polymer. (b) Transmission electron micrograph of a cross-section of a nanocell. The dark centre is the nanocore entrapped inside the lipid layer. The hybrid two-chambered nanoparticle displays a spatiotemporal release kinetics, rapidly releasing an antiangiogenesis agent from the outer layer followed by a delayed release of a chemotherapeutic agent. The release of the antiangiogenesis agent causes a vascular collapse entrapping the chemotherapy-loaded nanocore within the tumour. As the tumour becomes hypoxic, the nanocore degrades, focally releasing the chemotherapy agent. (Sengupta et al, 2005).
neurotoxicity at higher doses, which indicated failure of the targeting mechanism. This emphasises the need for novel targeting strategies, such as using aptamer (Farokhzad et al, 2006).

Another emerging approach for specifically targeting the tumour cells without collateral damage is the polymer-directed enzyme prodrug therapy, which uses a combination of a polymeric prodru drug and polymer-enzyme conjugate to generate a cytotoxic drug rapidly and selectively within the tumours. Several polymer-cytotoxic drug conjugates are already in early clinical trials, including N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA) copolymer-doxorubicin, paclitaxel, HPMA-platinate and PEG-camptothecin. Recently, a conjugate of TNP470 and HPMA was also shown to be an effective antiangiogenesis therapy (Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2004), homing into tumours through the EPR effect with a concomitant reduction in toxicity. The advantages of the HPMA copolymer were also the presence of multivalent side chains that permits a higher loading of drugs on the polymer and the reduced immunogenic profile that allows repeated dosing.

Approaches based on the conjugation of chemotherapeutic agents with nanoparticle-forming biodegradable polymers have long been developed (Sengupta et al, 2005), avoiding the 'burst release' associated with nanoparticles. However, the limitation of using polymers such as PLGA remains in the limited number of valent sites to which a chemotherapeutic agent could be coupled. An emerging approach to overcome this limitation is the use of dendrimers, which are synthetic, highly branched, symmetrical macromolecules. Altering the terminal functionalities of the dendrimers can also the presence of multivalent side chains that permits a higher loading of drugs on the polymer and the reduced immunogenic profile that allows repeated dosing.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Although most of the technologies have focused on the delivery of single chemotherapeutic agents to the tumours, it is increasingly becoming clear that an integrative approach may work better than a reductionist approach. Nanotechnology platforms can provide the unique niche within this space by enabling multimodal delivery with a single application. For example, in a recent study, we demonstrated that the spatiotemporal release of an antiangiogenesis agent and a chemotherapeutic agent from a hybrid nanoparticle, a 'nanocell' (Figure 2) could exert a better therapeutic outcome as compared with existing delivery approaches (Sengupta et al, 2005). A nanocell comprises of a pegylated phospholipid outer layer entrapping a nanocore. An antiangiogenic agent is partitioned into the lipid layer, whereas a chemotherapeutic agent is conjugated to a biodegradable polymer to generate the nanocores. The nanocell homed into the tumour vasculature through the EPR effect and releases the antiangiogenic agent, resulting in the collapse of the tumour vasculature. The chemotherapy agent is then slowly released from the entrapped nanocores, inducing apoptosis and overcoming the potential for hypoxia-induced 'reactive resistance'.

Another emerging direction in the application of nanotechnology in cancer is the use of self-assembly techniques, such as to design monodisperse delivery vehicles like polymersomes (Xu et al, 2005) or to develop sensors. Nanotechnology is also enabling highly efficient radiotherapy, such as the injection of single doses of an atomic nanogenerator at kilobecquerel (nanocurie) levels into mice bearing solid prostate carcinoma or disseminated human lymphoma induced tumour regression and prolonged survival, without toxicity, in a substantial fraction of animals (McDevitt et al, 2001). In another study, metal nanoshells with tunable optical resonance were shown to induce irreversible thermal damage to tumour cells when exposed to near infrared light (Hirsch et al, 2003).

Nanotechnology clearly holds immense potential for targeting cancer. These approaches will encompass the desired goals of early detection, tumour regression with limited collateral damages, and efficient monitoring of response to chemotherapy. The exciting milestones made in these areas need to be paralleled with safety evaluations of the platforms before they are translated to the clinics. Nevertheless, we believe that the next few years are likely to see an increasing number of nanotechnology-based therapeutics and diagnostics reaching the clinics.
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