Management of sacroiliac joint disruption and degenerative sacroiliitis with nonoperative care is medical resource-intensive and costly in a United States commercial payer population
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Introduction: Low back pain is common and originates in the sacroiliac (SI) joint in 15%–30% of cases. Traditional SI joint disruption/degenerative sacroiliitis treatments include nonoperative care or open SI joint fusion. To evaluate the usefulness of newly developed minimally-invasive technologies, the costs of traditional treatments must be better understood. We assessed the costs of nonoperative care for SI joint disruption to commercial payers in the United States (US).

Methods: A retrospective study of claim-level medical resource use and associated costs used the MarketScan® Commercial Claims and Encounters as well as Medicare Supplemental Databases of Truven Healthcare. Patients with a primary ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for SI joint disruption (720.2, 724.6, 739.4, 846.9, or 847.3), an initial date of diagnosis from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007 (index date), and continuous enrollment for $1 year before and 3 years after the index date were included. Claims attributable to SI joint disruption with a primary or secondary ICD-9-CM diagnosis code of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx, or 84x.xx were identified; the 3-year medical resource use-associated reimbursement and outpatient pain medication costs (measured in 2011 US dollars) were tabulated across practice settings. A subgroup analysis was performed among patients with lumbar spinal fusion.

Results: The mean 3-year direct, attributable medical costs were $16,196 (standard deviation [SD] $28,592) per privately-insured patient (N=78,533). Among patients with lumbar spinal fusion (N=434), attributable 3-year mean costs were $91,720 (SD $75,502) per patient compared to $15,776 (SD $27,542) per patient among patients without lumbar spinal fusion (N=78,099). Overall, inpatient hospitalizations (19.4%), hospital outpatient visits and procedures (14.0%), and outpatient pain medications (9.6%) accounted for the largest proportion of costs. The estimated 3-year insurance payments attributable to SI joint disruption were $1.6 billion per 100,000 commercial payer beneficiaries.

Conclusion: The economic burden of SI joint disruption among privately-insured patients in the US is substantial, highlighting the need for more cost-effective therapies.
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Introduction

Low back pain is common, with 70%–85% of adults experiencing low back pain sometime during their lives.¹-³ The prevalence of chronic low back pain in the US ranges from 10%–45%¹-⁵ and has increased over the past few decades.⁶ The rising prevalence of chronic low back pain has led to increased costs including the direct costs of health care utilization and indirect costs of disability.²,⁵ Direct costs of low back pain in the US have been estimated between $12.2 billion and $90.6 billion based on
a systematic review by Dagenais et al. Back pain is a major contributor to increases in private health insurance spending. In a national analysis of US private health insurance spending, back pain was the ninth largest contributor to increases in private health insurance spending between 1987 and 2002, with newborn/maternity care and cancer leading the spending increases. Treated cases of back pain were the eighth most expensive medical condition, following cardiovascular disease, kidney problems, cancer, newborn/maternity care, heart disease, diabetes, and arthritis.

The sacroiliac (SI) joint is the generator of low back pain in 15%–30% of cases. SI joint pain, described as SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis, has traditionally been treated with open SI joint arthrodesis surgery or nonoperative care. Nonoperative care, which primarily treats the symptoms of SI joint pain, includes pain management and physical therapy. Pain management may entail prescription and nonprescription medications, radiofrequency ablation, and SI joint (epidural) injections. Open SI joint arthrodesis surgery has been utilized when patients are refractory to nonoperative care. Unfortunately, open SI joint surgery is invasive, requiring bone harvesting and large incisions, and necessitates lengthy hospital stays and a long period of non-weight bearing.

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) addresses the gap in the continuum of care between continued nonoperative care and invasive open arthrodesis surgery. MIS has been shown to be safe and effective and is being used with increasing frequency in the US. MIS offers the promise of a shorter inpatient or outpatient procedure with quicker recovery time. Because little has been published about the economic implications of nonoperative care among privately-insured patients with SI joint disruption and degenerative sacroiliitis, it is difficult to assess whether newer MIS techniques offer a viable treatment alternative among privately-insured patients who have failed nonoperative therapy. To help inform this discussion, the objective of this study was to determine the health resource utilization and costs of nonoperative care for SI joint disruption and degenerative sacroiliitis to commercial payers.

Methods
This research was performed according to guidelines (GPP2) established to minimize conflict of interest in pharmaco-economic studies. A multispecialty panel comprising clinicians and methodologists (the coauthors of this paper) provided the framework for the economic analysis and made all decisions about the data analysis and interpretation of the results. The sponsor, SI-BONE, Inc (San Jose, CA, USA), did not participate in the data analysis, interpretation of the results, or writing of the manuscript. SI-BONE, Inc, was provided a final version of the manuscript for informational purposes only. They did not provide comments or influence the content or writing of the manuscript.

Study design and data sources
In a retrospective economic analysis, medical resource use and associated costs to commercial payers for patients with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis were assessed. Commercially insured patients in the MarketScan® Commercial Claims and Encounters (Commercial; Truven Health Analytics; Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and Medicare Supplemental Databases (Truven Health Analytics) were included in the analysis. The MarketScan® databases provide access to medical and prescription drug claims for privately-insured individuals in the US, including individuals with Medicare supplemental coverage. The Commercial Database represents the inpatient and outpatient medical experience and outpatient prescription drug experience of several million individuals annually. These claims represent individuals covered by approximately 90 large employers and health plans. Insurance coverage is provided under a variety of fee-for-service and capitated health plans, including exclusive provider organizations, preferred provider organizations, point of service plans, indemnity plans, and health maintenance organizations. The Medicare Supplemental Database contains the health care experience of individuals with Medicare supplemental insurance paid for by employers. Both the Medicare-covered portion of payment (represented as coordination of benefits amount) and the employer-paid portion are included in this database. The MarketScan® databases provide detailed reimbursement, use, and outcomes data for health care services performed in both inpatient and outpatient settings. The medical claims are linked to outpatient prescription drug claims and person-level enrollment data through the use of unique enrollee identifiers. As no patient-identifying information was used in the analysis, institutional review board review or determination was not sought.

All claims in the MarketScan® database with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis (ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes 720.2, 724.6, 739.4, 846.9, or 847.3) in the primary diagnosis field (Table 1) were selected. No attempt was made to exclude patients with a secondary diagnosis of inflammatory sacroiliitis (eg, ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis).
they could have continued to be diagnosed and treated for SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis.

Due to the overlap of SI joint pathology and low back pain requiring spinal fusion, we conducted a subgroup analysis on SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion. Patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion surgery were identified with ICD-9-CM procedure codes 81.05–81.08, 81.35–81.38, and 81.62–81.64 (Table 2). This subgroup of patients underwent a lumbar spinal fusion in either the year prior to the index diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis (including data from 2004 for patients with an index diagnosis in 2005) or in the subsequent 3 years. A period of 1 year prior to the index diagnosis was used to define this subgroup for several reasons. Specifically, patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion within 1 year prior to receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disruption may represent either concomitant disease or potential misdiagnosis because this is a treatment for spinal, not SI joint, pathology. These patients subsequently received a primary diagnosis of SI joint disruption and were treated with nonoperative care. Of note, the period was limited to 1 year prior because it was not our intent to include patients with adjacent segment degeneration following spinal fusion. Based upon the clinical experience of the authors, it is common for there to be a delay in diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis. While it is unclear how often lumbar fusion is being performed on patients who really have SI pathology, it has been suggested that it is performed at least 5% of the time.9

because it has been the clinical experience of the authors that these patients still have substantial SI joint pain. For the base case analysis, ICD-9-CM code 721.3 (lumbosacral spondylosis) was not included but was included in the subsequent sensitivity analysis described below. Medical claims were used to identify a primary diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis. The index date was identified as the initial date of diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2007. Patients had to have continuous medical and pharmacy enrollment at least 1 year before and 3 years after the index date in order to allow 3 years of follow-up (based on available data). Within this defined population, claims with primary or secondary ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx, or 84x.xx were defined as SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis and selected for this analysis.

Table 1 International classification of disease diagnosis codes used to identify degenerative sacroiliitis/sacroiliac joint disruption

| ICD-9-CM code | Description |
|---------------|-------------|
| 720.2 | Sacroiliitis, not elsewhere classified, inflammation of sacroiliac joint NOS. |
| 721.3 | Lumbosacral spondylosis without myelopathy. Lumbar or lumbosacral: arthritis, osteoarthritis, or spondylarthritis. |
| 724.6 | Disorders of sacrum. Ankylosis, lumbosacral or sacroiliac (joint). Instability, lumbosacral or sacroiliac (joint). |
| 739.4 | Nonallopathic lesions, not elsewhere classified in the sacral region: sacrococcygeal region or sacroiliac region. |
| 846.9 | Sprains and strains of sacroiliac region, unspecified site of sacroiliac region. |
| 847.3 | Sprains and strains of other and unspecified parts of back. Sacrum: sacrococcygeal (ligament). |

Note: ICD-9-CM code 721.3 was excluded from the primary analysis and only included in the sensitivity analysis.

Abbreviation: NOS, not otherwise specified.

Table 2 International classification of disease procedure codes used to identify patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion surgery

| ICD-9-CM code | Description |
|---------------|-------------|
| 81.05 | Dorsal and dorsolumbar fusion, posterior technique |
| 81.06 | Lumbar and lumbosacral fusion, anterior technique |
| 81.07 | Lumbar and lumbosacral fusion, lateral transverse process technique |
| 81.08 | Lumbar and lumbosacral fusion, posterior technique |
| 81.35 | Refusion of dorsal and dorsolumbar spine, posterior technique |
| 81.36 | Refusion of lumbar and lumbosacral spine, anterior technique |
| 81.37 | Refusion of lumbar and lumbosacral spine, lateral transverse process technique |
| 81.38 | Refusion of lumbar and lumbosacral spine, posterior technique |
| 81.62 | Fusion or refusion of two to three vertebrae |
| 81.63 | Fusion or refusion of four to eight vertebrae |
| 81.64 | Fusion or refusion of nine or more vertebrae |

Note: The following code algorithms were used: (81.05 AND [81.06 OR 81.07 OR 81.08 OR 81.35 OR 81.36 OR 81.37 OR 81.38]) OR ([81.62 OR 81.63 OR 81.64] AND [81.05 OR 81.07 OR 81.35 OR 81.37 OR 81.38]).
 Conversely, patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion within 3 years after receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disruption may also represent concomitant disease or potential misdiagnosis. Whether misdiagnosis or multiple diagnoses, the lumbar spinal fusion subgroup analysis was conducted because lumbar spinal fusion patients with sacroiliac diagnoses may represent a group requiring greater medical resource utilization to treat than patients with the same diagnosis who have not had lumbar spinal fusion.

Construction of outcome variables

Outcomes were investigated in the 3-year period following the index date. SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis attributable costs were defined as claims with any of the following ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes in the primary or secondary diagnosis field: 71x.xx–73x.xx (disease of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue, excluding 721.3) or 84x.xx (sprains and strains of joints and adjacent muscles). Medical resource use and associated reimbursement were identified and evaluated for each subsequent year following the initial SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis diagnosis. Medical resource use was identified using Current Procedural Terminology codes that describe surgical procedures, hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, physician office, and emergency room visits as well as other diagnostic tests and procedures including, but not limited to, physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, prolotherapy, therapeutic injection (SI joint), trigger point injection, epidural steroid injection/selective nerve root block, facet block, pelvic x-ray, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)/computed tomography (CT) exams. Outpatient pain medication costs were estimated as the costs of pharmacy claims for the following drug categories: salicylate analgesics/antipyretics, anti-inflammatory analgesics/antipyretics, opiate agonists, anti-depressants, benzodiazepines, anxiolytics, sedatives, and hypnotics.

Total 3-year cumulative reimbursement (not including patient or supplemental plan contribution) was identified for each of these settings and for each medical resource and was reported in 2011 US dollars (USD). Dollar amounts from 2009 and 2010 were converted to 2011 USD using the medical care component of the Consumer Price Index.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed for all patients with a diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis and for two subgroups: those with and without lumbar spinal fusion surgery. Descriptive statistics were calculated from demographic characteristics and primary diagnoses at index date based on the initial index claim with a primary diagnosis of sacroiliitis, disorders of sacrum, SI subluxation, sprain/strain in SI region, or sprain/strain of sacrum. Direct medical resource utilization and commercial payer costs attributable to SI joint disruption were analyzed cumulatively over 3 years following initial diagnosis and across settings of care, including hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, physician office, emergency department, and pharmacy costs. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Demographics and primary diagnoses of overall study sample and subgroups

In this commercial claims analysis, 78,533 patients were identified with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis with continuous medical and pharmacy enrollment for at least 1 year before and 3 years after diagnosis (Table 3). Of these, 434 (0.6%) had lumbar spinal fusion surgery. The mean age of the overall population was 45.2 years (Table 4) and most (74.1%) patients were insured through a preferred provider organization/point-of-service provider, followed by a health maintenance organization. The most common primary diagnoses were sacroiliac subluxation (33.9%), sacroiliitis (25.7%), and disorders of the sacrum (25.0%). In the spinal fusion subgroup, the most common primary diagnoses were disorders of the sacrum (44.7%) and sacroiliitis (32.3% [Table 4]).

Medical resource utilization in overall study sample and subgroups

The cumulative medical resource use over 3 years is presented in Table 5. In the overall group, over half of all patients had

| Table 3 Patient attrition |
|--------------------------|
| **Criterion**            | **Number of patients** |
| Patients in the MarketScan® Commercial Database with ≥ one inpatient or outpatient claim from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2007.¶ | 39,134,113 |
| Patients with diagnosis of ≥ one inpatient or outpatient claim with a primary diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis. The earliest date of this diagnosis is the index date.§ | 359,630 |
| Patients with continuous medical and pharmacy enrollment ≥ 1 year before and 3 years after index date.¶ | 78,533 |

Notes:  ¶Values represent the number of patients when ICD-9-CM code 721.3 is included for the sensitivity analysis; ¶SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis defined as: ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes 720.2, 724.6, 739.4, 846.9, or 847.3 in the primary diagnosis field; §the index date is defined as the calendar quarter of the earliest claim with a primary diagnosis code for degenerative sacroiliitis/SI joint disruption. Abbreviation: SI, sacroiliac.
hospital outpatient visits; of those patients, there was an average of 4.7 visits per patient. Among the 8.7% of patients with physical therapy, there was an average of 1.4 hospital inpatient stays per patient over 3 years. Overall, patients had an average of 8.0 outpatient physician office visits during the 3-year period. Among the 16.8% of patients with an emergency room visit, there was an average of 4.6 emergency room visits per patient over 3 years. Over half of patients utilized physical therapy (with a mean of 17.1 claims per patient) and chiropractic manipulation (with a mean of 20.1 claims per patient) during the 3-year period. Prolotherapy, therapeutic injections, epidural steroid injections and selective nerve root blocks, acupuncture, and pelvic blocks were used less frequently. Nearly all patients (96.8%) utilized outpatient pain medications, with an average of 69.1 pain medication claims (prescriptions filled) per patient over the 3-year period.

Compared to patients without lumbar spinal fusion, a greater percentage of patients with lumbar spinal fusion were hospitalized or received prolotherapy, therapeutic SI joint injections, epidural steroid injections/selective nerve root blocks, facet blocks, pelvic x-rays, and magnetic resonance/CT exams. However, the mean number of claims was similar among patients who utilized those medical resources. Patients with lumbar spinal fusion utilized hospital outpatient and emergency room visits at both a greater number and higher percentage than patients without lumbar spinal fusion. Patients with lumbar spinal fusion had more outpatient pain medication claims per patient (148.8 pharmacy claims) than patients without lumbar spinal fusion (68.7 pharmacy claims) over the 3-year period, totaling approximately four versus two outpatient pain medication claims per patient per month, respectively.

### Cost of nonoperative care in overall study sample and subgroups (commercial payer reimbursement)

Nonoperative care is a resource-intensive process that includes therapeutic interventions, medications, and physician’s office and clinic visits, in addition to hospitalizations. The average cumulative 3-year direct medical costs (in 2011 USD) attributable to SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis was $16,196 (standard deviation [SD] $28,592) per patient for all patients. In the overall group, 48% of costs are accounted for by the cost categories shown in Table 6 (hospitalizations, hospital outpatient visits, outpatient physician office visits, emergency room visits, and outpatient pain medications); the remaining costs primarily reflect physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, prolotherapy, and diagnostic imaging. Inpatient hospitalizations accounted

### Table 4 Demographics and primary diagnosis of patients with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis*

| Parameter                          | Overall (N=78,533) | Patients with lumbar spinal fusion (N=434) | Patients without lumbar spinal fusion (N=78,099) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Age in years, mean (SD)            | 45.2 (12.6)        | 49.5 (9.8)                                 | 45.2 (12.6)                                     |
| Age group, N (%)                   |                    |                                           |                                                 |
| <21 years                          | 5,817 (7.4)        | 7 (1.6)                                   | 5,810 (7.4)                                    |
| 21–70 years                        | 72,716 (92.6)      | 427 (98.4)                                | 72,289 (92.6)                                  |
| Sex, n (%)                         |                    |                                           |                                                 |
| Male                               | 28,473 (36.3)      | 135 (31.1)                                | 28,338 (36.3)                                  |
| Female                             | 50,060 (63.7)      | 299 (68.9)                                | 49,761 (63.7)                                  |
| Primary diagnosis, N (%)           |                    |                                           |                                                 |
| Sacroiliitis                       | 20,175 (25.7)      | 140 (32.3)                                | 20,035 (25.7)                                  |
| Disorders of sacrum                | 19,601 (25.0)      | 194 (44.7)                                | 19,407 (25.0)                                  |
| Sacroiliac subluxation             | 26,625 (33.9)      | 70 (16.1)                                 | 26,555 (34.0)                                  |
| Sprain/strain in sacroiliac region | 9,846 (12.5)       | 24 (5.5)                                  | 9,822 (12.6)                                   |
| Sprain/strain of sacrum            | 2,286 (2.9)        | 6 (1.4)                                   | 2,280 (2.9)                                    |
| Health plan type, N (%)            |                    |                                           |                                                 |
| Comprehensive                      | 7,520 (9.6)        | 34 (7.8)                                  | 7,486 (9.6)                                    |
| Health maintenance organization    | 10,806 (13.8)      | 94 (21.7)                                 | 10,712 (13.7)                                  |
| PPO/POS                            | 58,215 (74.1)      | 301 (69.4)                                | 57,914 (74.2)                                  |
| CDHP/HDHP                          | 1,211 (1.5)        | 2 (0.5)                                   | 1,209 (1.5)                                    |
| Other/unknown                      | 504 (0.6)          | 2 (0.5)                                   | 502 (0.6)                                      |

**Notes:** Race was not included in the commercial database. *Degenerative sacroiliitis/SI joint disruption defined as: ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes 720.2, 724.6, 739.4, 846.9, or 847.3 in the primary diagnosis field; †sacroiliitis defined as: primary diagnosis code 720.2; ‡disorders of sacrum defined as: primary diagnosis code 724.6; ‡sacroiliac subluxation defined as: primary diagnosis code 739.4; ‡sprain/strain in sacroiliac region defined as: primary diagnosis code 846.9; ‡spain/strain of sacrum defined as: primary diagnosis code 847.3.

**Abbreviations:** CDHP, consumer-driven health plan; HDHP, high-deductible health plan; POS, point-of-service provider; PPO, preferred provider organization; SD, standard deviation; SI, sacroiliac.
### Table 5

Three-year cumulative SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis-attributable\(^a\) direct medical resource utilization per patient treated with nonoperative care\(^b\)

| Parameter | Overall (N=78,533) | Patients with lumbar spinal fusion (N=434) | Patients without lumbar spinal fusion (N=78,099) |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Hospitalizations | | | |
| N (%) | 6,829 (8.7) | 359 (82.7) | 6,470 (8.3) |
| Mean number (SD) | 1.4 (1.0) | 1.6 (1.0) | 1.4 (1.0) |
| Hospital outpatient visits | | | |
| N (%) | 40,668 (51.8) | 389 (89.6) | 40,279 (51.6) |
| Mean number (SD) | 4.7 (7.0) | 9.1 (10.8) | 4.7 (6.9) |
| Outpatient physician office visits | | | |
| N (%) | 71,063 (90.5) | 430 (99.1) | 70,633 (90.4) |
| Mean number (SD) | 8.0 (10.1) | 19.4 (14.5) | 7.9 (10.1) |
| Emergency room visits | | | |
| N (%) | 13,157 (16.8) | 128 (29.5) | 13,029 (16.7) |
| Mean number (SD) | 4.6 (9.4) | 8.7 (15.7) | 4.6 (9.3) |
| Outpatient pain medication prescriptions | | | |
| N (%) | 75,998 (96.8) | 431 (99.3) | 75,567 (96.8) |
| Mean number (SD) | 69.1 (76.0) | 148.8 (108.0) | 68.7 (75.6) |
| Physical therapy claims\(^d\) | | | |
| N (%) | 43,740 (55.7) | 284 (65.4) | 43,456 (55.6) |
| Mean number (SD) | 17.1 (21.9) | 20.2 (22.9) | 17.0 (21.9) |
| Chiropractic manipulation claims\(^e\) | | | |
| N (%) | 42,284 (53.8) | 123 (28.3) | 42,161 (54.0) |
| Mean number (SD) | 20.1 (23.4) | 15.4 (16.5) | 20.1 (23.4) |
| Prolotherapy claims\(^f\) | | | |
| N (%) | 15,111 (19.2) | 222 (51.2) | 14,889 (19.1) |
| Mean number (SD) | 2.6 (3.3) | 2.8 (3.2) | 2.6 (3.3) |
| Therapeutic injection claims (SI joint)\(^g\) | | | |
| N (%) | 9,025 (11.5) | 168 (38.7) | 8,857 (11.3) |
| Mean number (SD) | 2.2 (2.1) | 2.2 (2.3) | 2.2 (2.1) |
| Trigger point injection claims\(^h\) | | | |
| N (%) | 4,564 (5.8) | 59 (13.6) | 4,505 (5.8) |
| Mean number (SD) | 2.9 (5.3) | 2.7 (3.8) | 2.9 (5.4) |
| Epidural steroid injection/selective nerve root block claims\(^i\) | | | |
| N (%) | 12,287 (15.6) | 255 (58.8) | 12,032 (15.4) |
| Mean number (SD) | 3.5 (3.3) | 3.8 (4.0) | 3.5 (3.3) |
| Facet block claims\(^j\) | | | |
| N (%) | 5,103 (6.5) | 104 (24.0) | 4,999 (6.4) |
| Mean number (SD) | 2.5 (2.9) | 2.3 (1.6) | 2.5 (2.9) |
| Pelvic X-ray claims\(^k\) | | | |
| N (%) | 10,721 (13.7) | 122 (28.1) | 10,599 (13.6) |
| Mean number (SD) | 1.5 (1.2) | 1.7 (1.4) | 1.5 (1.2) |
| Magnetic resonance/CT exam claims\(^l\) | | | |
| N (%) | 23,772 (30.3) | 363 (83.6) | 23,409 (30.0) |
| Mean number (SD) | 1.7 (1.2) | 2.8 (1.8) | 1.6 (1.2) |

**Notes:**
- Degenerative sacroiliitis/SI joint disruption attributable to direct medical resource utilization defined as claims with an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx or 84x.xx in any diagnosis field; mean numbers of claims calculated only among patients with at least one claim; does not include lumbar spinal surgery performed prior to the index date; that is, prior to receiving a diagnosis of SI joint dysfunction; physical therapy claims are identified using CPT codes: 90901, 95831, 95851, 95852, 97001, 97002, 97010, 97032, 97110, 97112, 97114, 97116, 97124, 97140, 97510, 97530, 97535; chiropractic manipulation claims are identified using CPT codes: 98940-98943; prolotherapy claims are identified using CPT codes: M0076, 20550-20552, 20999, 27096; therapeutic injection claims for the SI joint are identified using CPT code 27096; trigger point injection claims are identified using CPT codes: 20552, 20553; epidural steroid injection/selective nerve root block claims are identified using CPT codes: 62310, 62311, 64479, 64484, 77003; facet block claims are identified using CPT codes: 64490-64495; pelvic X-ray claims are identified using CPT codes: 72170, 73500, 73510, 73520; MR/CT exam claims are identified using CPT codes: 72131-3, 72141-2, 72146-9, 72156, 72158-9, 72190, 72192-8, 72202, 72220, 72265, 72270, 73525, 73542, 73550.

**Abbreviations:**
- CPT, current procedural terminology; CT, computed tomography; MR, magnetic resonance; SD, standard deviation; SI, sacroiliac.

For 19.4% of the total 3-year costs, hospital outpatient costs accounted for 14.0%, and outpatient pain medications accounted for 9.6% (Table 6).

For patients with lumbar spinal fusion, average 3-year cumulative costs were higher ($91,720; SD $75,502) than for patients without lumbar spinal fusion ($15,776; SD $27,542). Among the lumbar spinal fusion group, approximately 80% of costs were accounted for by the cost categories shown in Table 6 (hospitalizations, hospital outpatient visits, outpatient physician office visits, emergency room visits, and outpatient...
Table 6 Three-year cumulative SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis-attributable direct medical costs per patient treated with nonoperative care (2011 USD)

| Parameter                                  | Overall (N=78,512) | Patients with lumbar spinal fusion (N=434) | Patients without lumbar spinal fusion (N=78,078) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Total costs                                | 16,196 (28,392)    | 91,720 (75,502)                             | 15,776 (27,542)                              |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 7,109              | 79,658                                      | 7,037                                        |
| Hospitalization costs                      | 36,212 (46,390)    | 67,807 (63,901)                             | 34,459 (44,576)                              |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 23,178             | 52,481                                      | 21,972                                       |
| % of overall total costs                   | 19.4               | 61.2                                         | 18.1                                         |
| Hospital outpatient costs                  | 4,411 (9,105)      | 10,736 (17,205)                             | 4,350 (8,969)                                |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 1,531              | 5,295                                        | 1,511                                        |
| % of overall total costs                   | 14.0               | 10.5                                         | 14.1                                         |
| Outpatient physician office costs          | 701 (975)          | 1,810 (1,397)                               | 694 (968)                                    |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 391                | 1,462                                        | 388                                          |
| % of overall total costs                   | 3.9                | 2.0                                          | 4.0                                          |
| Emergency room costs                       | 964 (2,410)        | 2,645 (7,203)                               | 948 (2,308)                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 513                | 796                                          | 512                                          |
| % of overall total costs                   | 1.0                | 0.8                                          | 1.0                                          |
| Outpatient pain medication costs           | 1,900 (6,813)      | 5,394 (15,049)                              | 1,877 (6,719)                                |
| Mean (SD)                                  | 249                | 2,066                                        | 245                                          |
| % of overall total costs                   | 9.6                | 5.7                                          | 9.7                                          |

Notes: *Degenerative sacroiliitis/SI joint disruption attributable costs defined as claims with an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx or 84x.xx in any diagnosis field; mean costs are calculated only among patients with non-zero costs. Dollar amounts from 2009 and 2010 were converted to 2011 USD using the medical care component of the Consumer Price Index. Costs reported as $0 are due to rounding as values <$5.50 were rounded down to $0. Twenty-one patients were excluded from the overall and without lumbar spinal fusion groups due to zero costs; total costs are defined as the sum of hospitalization, hospital outpatient, physician office, emergency room, outpatient pain medication, and other costs (eg, skilled nursing facility, hospice, home health, durable medical equipment, ambulatory surgery center, ambulance, independent lab, nursing home, or outpatient non-pain medication costs). Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; SI, sacroiliac; USD, United States dollars.

Sensitivity analysis (inclusion of ICD-9-CM code 721.3)

Sacroiliac joint pain is misdiagnosed as low back pain/lumbar spondylosis an estimated 14.5%–22.5% of the time, suggesting substantial ambiguity and heterogeneity in the diagnosis of SI joint disruption. To capture such patients, ICD-9-CM code 721.3 (described as lumbosacral spondylosis without myelopathy) was included in a sensitivity analysis. In the original analysis excluding ICD-9-CM code 721.3, a total of 78,533 patients with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis were identified in the database. With the inclusion of ICD-9-CM code 721.3, 128,354 patients with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis were identified (49,821 patients with a primary diagnosis code of 721.3). Of these patients, 1,550 (1.2%) had lumbar spinal fusion surgery and 126,804 (98.8%) did not have lumbar spinal fusion (Table 7). With ICD-9-CM code 721.3 included, the mean 3-year cumulative costs of $22,436 per patient in the overall group and $21,520 per patient in the patients without lumbar spinal fusion group were higher than when ICD-9-CM code 721.3 was excluded ($16,196 per patient for the overall group and $15,776 per patient for the group without spinal fusion). The costs per patient for patients with lumbar spinal fusion accounted for over half of all costs for patients with lumbar spinal fusion (61.2% of total overall costs) compared to patients without lumbar spinal fusion (18.1% of total overall costs). Hospital outpatient costs represented 10.5% of total costs and outpatient pain medications represented 5.7% for patients with lumbar spinal fusion.

Cumulative costs, that is, commercial payer reimbursements, increased over the 3-year period for the overall group and subgroups (Figure 1). For all patients, the cumulative costs increased from $6,191 in year 1, to $11,132 in year 2, and $16,196 in year 3. Costs were similar for patients with lumbar spinal fusion ($6,025 in year 1, $10,861 in year 2, and $15,776 in year 3). For patients with lumbar spinal fusion, cumulative costs increased from $36,132 in year 1, to $59,909 in year 2, to $91,720 in year 3.
Table 7 Sensitivity analysis of 3-year cumulative SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis-attributable direct medical costs per patient treated with nonoperative care (2011 USD) including ICD-9-CM code 721.3b

| Parameter                           | Overall (N=128,328) | Patients with lumbar spinal fusion (N=1,550) | Patients without lumbar spinal fusion (N=126,778) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Total costs⁴                        |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 22,436 (36,627)     | 97,388 (78,014)                             | 21,520 (34,843)                              |
| Median                              | 10,107              | 81,801                                      | 9,916                                        |
| Hospitalization costs               |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 41,202 (49,584)     | 71,999 (62,607)                             | 38,642 (47,454)                              |
| Median                              | 26,838              | 56,645                                      | 25,037                                      |
| % total costs                       | 25.3                | 65.2                                        | 23.3                                         |
| Hospital outpatient costs           |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 5,569 (11,442)      | 10,084 (14,937)                             | 5,489 (11,354)                               |
| Median                              | 2,124               | 5,295                                       | 2,083                                        |
| % total costs                       | 15.3                | 9.2                                         | 15.7                                         |
| Outpatient physician office costs   |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 851 (1,049)         | 1,735 (1,399)                               | 839 (1,039)                                 |
| Median                              | 510                 | 1,391                                       | 503                                          |
| % total costs                       | 3.5                 | 1.8                                         | 3.6                                          |
| Emergency room costs                |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 1,014 (2,312)       | 1,746 (4,778)                               | 1,000 (2,238)                               |
| Median                              | 530                 | 624                                         | 529                                          |
| % total costs                       | 0.8                 | 0.5                                         | 0.8                                          |
| Outpatient pain medication costs    |                     |                                              |                                               |
| Mean (SD)                           | 2,494 (8.098)       | 4,700 (10,370)                              | 2,463 (8.058)                               |
| Median                              | 427                 | 1,712                                       | 416                                          |
| % total costs                       | 9.6                 | 4.7                                         | 9.8                                          |

Notes: ⁴Degenerative sacroiliitis/SI joint disruption attributable costs defined as claims with an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx, or 84x.xx in any diagnosis field; ⁵mean costs are calculated only among patients with non-zero costs. Dollar amounts from 2009 and 2010 were converted to 2011 USD using the medical care component of the Consumer Price Index. Costs reported as $0 are due to rounding as values <$0.50 were rounded down to $0; ⁶twenty-six patients were excluded from the overall and without lumbar spinal fusion groups due to zero costs; ⁷total costs are defined as the sum of hospitalization, hospital outpatient, physician office, emergency room, outpatient pain medication, and other costs (eg, skilled nursing facility, hospice, home health, durable medical equipment, ambulatory surgery center, ambulance, independent lab, nursing home, or outpatient non-pain medication costs).
average, for the overall group. The largest proportion of 3-year cumulative costs in the overall population was due to costs of inpatient hospitalizations (19%), hospital outpatient visits (14%), and outpatient pain medications (10%). The results of the present study are similar to a systematic review of burden of chronic low back pain, where emergency room, inpatient, and outpatient hospital costs accounted for approximately 30% of costs (compared to 34% calculated here). As a point of reference, the attributable mean annual cost for SI joint disruption is similar to that for chronic low back pain, more than that for hypertension, and less than that for atherosclerosis and diabetes (Figure 2).

This study demonstrates that costs associated with nonoperative care and management of privately-insured patients with SI joint pain steadily increased over a 3-year follow-up period. Over the 3-year period, the attributable cost (ie, insurance payments) is estimated to be $1.6 billion per 100,000 commercial payer beneficiaries diagnosed with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis based on our overall cost of $16,196 per patient. If the ICD-9-CM code 721.3 is included in the calculation, the 3-year attributable cost would increase to $2.2 billion per 100,000 commercial payer beneficiaries diagnosed with SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis ($22,436 per patient). Of note, this analysis focused on the direct medical costs of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis to the commercial payer, which does not include indirect costs, such as impact on productivity, lost wages, and caregiver support.

The prevalence of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis is 0.9% among these commercial payer beneficiaries (359,630 cases out of 39,134,113 total patients in the database), similar to the rate of 0.7% found in a national sample of commercially managed health care plans. While only 25% of the patients with a primary diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis were continuously enrolled by the commercial payer for 3 years following diagnosis, this enrollment trend of 20%–25% annual turnover is commonly observed among commercial insurers, suggesting that our findings may be generalizable to those beneficiaries who were not continuously enrolled for 3 years. In contrast to a recent analysis performed in the Medicare population, the present study found that the younger, commercial payer population was hospitalized less frequently (8.7% of the commercial payer group over 3 years compared to 41.2% in the Medicare population over 5 years). In the 3 years analyzed, a lower percentage of commercial payer beneficiaries incurred hospital outpatient visits (51.8% versus 82.2%), emergency room visits (16.8% versus 33.8%), and magnetic resonance/CT exam claims (30.3% versus 48.9%) compared to Medicare beneficiaries over 5 years.

Within this analysis, a subgroup of patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion surgery (0.6% of patients) incurred a greater economic burden (over five-fold the per-patient 3-year cumulative costs [$91,720] compared to patients without lumbar spinal fusion surgery [$15,776]). The higher cost of the lumbar spinal fusion patients is a concern, given that the rate of lumbar spinal fusion surgery has increased three-fold from 1998 to 2008 while laminectomy increased only 11% during that time period. Within the same time period, total hospital charges for lumbar spinal fusions increased
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**Figure 2** Commercial payer attributable mean annual costs of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis relative to other chronic conditions (2011 USD).

**Note:** Previously published attributable mean annual costs for chronic conditions have been converted to 2011 USD using the medical care component of the Consumer Price Index.

**Abbreviations:** SI, sacroiliac; USD, United States dollars.
3.3-fold in an all-payer database containing data from 20% of community hospitals in the US. Patients treated with lumbar spinal fusion are also more likely to develop subsequent SI joint degeneration and have poor outcomes, in addition to the higher costs associated with patients treated with lumbar spinal fusion surgery. Lumbar spinal fusion may also increase the potential future costs. Results from a prospective study showed that patients who received decompression and instrumented posterolateral lumbar/lumbosacral fusion more frequently developed degeneration of the SI joint (75.0%) compared to controls (38.2%). In the present analysis, only 0.6% of the commercial payer beneficiaries had lumbar spinal fusion surgery. This figure may be underestimated as we only looked 1 year prior to and 3 years following the SI joint disruption diagnosis; therefore, the window may be too narrow to have captured all such patients.

Sembrano et al previously suggested that lumbar spinal fusion is being performed at least 5% of the time on patients who really have SI pathology. In the present study in a commercial payer population, of the 434 patients in the lumbar spinal fusion subgroup, 17% underwent lumbar spinal fusion within 1 year prior to receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis, whereas the remaining 83% underwent lumbar spinal fusion following diagnosis of SI joint disease. In a similar analysis of the Medicare population, 7% underwent lumbar spinal fusion surgery within 1 year prior to receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis, whereas 93% of patients underwent lumbar spinal fusion within 5 years of receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disease. The proportion of patients who undergo lumbar spinal fusion within 1 year prior to receiving a diagnosis of SI joint disruption appears to be higher than previously suggested and may represent patients with concomitant disease, new SI joint disease, or misdiagnosis.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this commercial payer claims data analysis. First, SI joint dysfunction is often misdiagnosed or miscoded because there is no gold standard for SI joint pain diagnosis. The extremely complex nature of spine and SI joint diagnostic testing presents a conundrum that we have tried to address using the extensive clinical experience of the authors of the present study in addition to subgroup and sensitivity analyses. An algorithm to identify the patient cohort was developed with input from a multispecialty panel of clinicians and methodologists. While we acknowledge that the methodology is imperfect given that our study is based upon insurance claims that are dependent upon the coding entered by the treating clinician at the time of the encounter, the approach we have used is well-accepted in burden of disease research and allows policy makers to allocate resources based upon relative burden of disease. Economic burden of illness studies for cardiovascular disease and diabetes, as well as low back pain have also used a similar methodology.

The reliance on a coding system to identify patients with a clinical condition may lead to a lack of sensitivity and specificity in all retrospective claims analyses. Only patients with an ICD-9-CM code for SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis in the primary diagnosis position were selected in order to increase the specificity of the claims identification. While this approach is less sensitive, it allowed us more certainty that the patient was seeking care for the SI joint, rather than another condition. Further, only claims with a primary or secondary ICD-9-CM spinal diagnosis code of 71x.xx, 72x.xx, 73x.xx, or 84x.xx were deemed attributable to SI joint disruption and/or degenerative sacroiliitis and selected for analysis in order to increase the specificity of the claims identification. No attempt was made to exclude patients with a secondary diagnosis of inflammatory sacroiliitis (eg, ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis) because it has been the clinical experience of the authors that these patients still have substantial SI joint pain. Next, it is possible that the costs associated with treatment of SI joint disruption may have been overestimated because it is not known for certain whether the medical resources reflected on such claims were incurred specifically for SI joint disruption. Nevertheless, an exploratory analysis using Medicare claims data demonstrated that 75% of physician office visit costs were from spinal claims with a relevant provider specialty, eg, orthopedic surgery or neurosurgery rather than primary care, which provides more confidence that most costs were SI joint-related and not due to other conditions. Finally, we performed a sensitivity analysis where we included ICD-9-CM code 721.3 (lumbosacral spondylosis) because of the potential ambiguity and heterogeneity in the assignment of this particular code. The sensitivity analysis showed that the population nearly doubled in size (from 78,533 to 128,354 patients) and increased the 3-year cumulative costs from $16,196 to $22,436 per patient overall with inclusion of ICD-9-CM code 721.3.

Conclusion

The economic burden of SI joint disruption and degenerative sacroiliitis among privately-insured patients in the US is substantial and highlights the need for more cost-effective
therapies to treat this condition and reduce health care expenditures.
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