Functional sequencing read annotation for high precision microbiome analysis
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ABSTRACT

The vast majority of microorganisms on Earth reside in often-inseparable environment-specific communities—microbiomes. Meta-genomic/-transcriptomic sequencing could reveal the otherwise inaccessable functionality of microbiomes. However, existing analytical approaches focus on attributing sequencing reads to known genes/genomes, often failing to make maximal use of available data. We created faser (functional annotation of sequencing reads), an algorithm that is optimized to map reads to molecular functions encoded by the read-correspondent genes. The mi-faser microbiome analysis pipeline, combining faser with our manually curated reference database of protein functions, accurately annotates microbiome molecular functionality. mi-faser's minutes-per-microbiome processing speed is significantly faster than that of other methods, allowing for large scale comparisons. Microbiome function vectors can be compared between different conditions to highlight environment-specific and/or time-dependent changes in functionality. Here, we identified previously unseen oil degradation-specific functions in BP oil-spill data, as well as functional signatures of individual-specific gut microbiome responses to a dietary intervention in children with Prader–Willi syndrome. Our method also revealed variability in Crohn's Disease patient microbiomes and clearly distinguished them from those of related healthy individuals. Our analysis highlighted the microbiome role in CD pathogenicity, demonstrating enrichment of patient microbiomes in functions that promote inflammation and that help bacteria survive it.

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms inhabit every available niche of our planet, and our bodies are no exception. Microbes that survive and thrive in the environments at the extremes of temperature, pH, and chemical or radiation contamination possess unique molecular functions of high industrial, clinical, and bioremediation value. The human body microbiome critically impacts our health. For example, Crohn's disease (CD) is a multifactorial disorder resulting from the interplay of individual genetic susceptibility, the gastrointestinal (GI) microbiome and other environmental factors. Taxonomic surveys of the GI microbiome have revealed microbial community features that are unique to CD patients, e.g. overall loss of microbial diversity (1,2), as well as depletion and enrichment of certain bacterial taxa (3–6). Establishing whether these observed microbial community shifts contribute to pathogenesis or, instead, correlate with or result from the disease onset, requires understanding not only what are the microbes involved, but also what they do. Ear-
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lier studies indicate that in association with CD, the microbiome molecular function potential is more consistently disturbed than taxonomic makeup (7). More thorough functional analyses, e.g. based on deep metagenomic sequencing, are necessary to elucidate these findings.

Metagenome functional annotation can be performed with or without genome assembly. If the reads can be assembled into large contigs, existing annotation pipelines, such as RAST (8) and IMG (9), can be applied. However, assembly is difficult and often plagued by a large fraction of unassembled reads or short length contigs, which belong to the minor microbiome members, and by chimeric assemblies, which are especially common for complex and highly diverse samples (see Sczyrba et al., 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/099127). Downstream gene finding algorithms are further faced with incomplete and erroneously assembled sequences, complicating statistical model constructions. Read-based annotation, e.g. using a platform such as MG-RAST (10), can access molecular functionality of the entire community. However, reads are usually annotated via function transfer by homology that, due to the short read length, is lacking in precision. This inaccuracy is additionally compounded by the erroneous computational annotations of most genes in the reference databases (11).

Here, we compiled a gold standard set of reference proteins (GS), with experimentally annotated molecular functions. We further developed faser (functional annotation of sequencing reads), an algorithm that uses alignments of translated sequencing reads to full-length proteins to annotate read-‘parent protein’ molecular functionality. faser annotates reads with higher precision at higher resolution, i.e. more specific functionality, than BLAST or PSI-BLAST. In a benchmark test, the functional annotations produced by the combination of the faser algorithm with the GS database were 12% more accurate than MG-RAST. Note that this performance may be an overestimate because the benchmark metagenome included the GS database. However, when GS was replaced with md5nr, MG-RAST’s reference database, faser annotated 20% more reads than MG-RAST at a comparable precision level. These results illustrate that the GS and faser combination improves on MG-RAST capabilities.

Our mi-faser pipeline implementation (Figure 1), combining faser and GS, is highly parallelized, making use of all available compute cores and processing a (~10GB/70M read) meta-genomic/-transcriptomic file in under half an hour (using 400 compute cores, on average). Note that if multiple microbiomes are submitted for annotation in parallel, the time scales favourably; in testing, 17 metagenomes were processed within 66 minutes. mi-faser results for all microbiomes analysed in this manuscript are available at http://services.bromberglab.org/mifaser/results/example. The standalone version of the pipeline, along with the mi-faser source code, is available at https://bitbucket.org/bromberglab/mifaser, as well as on the bromberglab website.

We applied our mi-faser to metagenomic data collected from beach sands in different stages of oil contamination (12). Here, mi-faser was able to identify oil degradation functionality that was missed by MG-RAST. We further performed large-scale analysis of 68 metagenomic datasets from a study of dietary intervention in Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) affected obese children. Each dataset was processed in approximately 16 minutes, highlighting mi-faser’s processing speed. We identified previously unseen individual-specific patterns in microbiome changes induced by the treatment. Finally, we also analyzed the GI tract microbiome data from Crohn’s Disease (CD) patients and their relatives. We found the microbiome functional profiles were similar between healthy individuals but different across patients and between patients and their healthy relatives. Particularly, our analysis revealed that CD patients’ microbiomes were enriched in functions that help bacteria survive inflammation, i.e. glutathione metabolism and RNA modification, and in functions that cause inflam-
mation, i.e. lipopolysaccharide and acetaldehyde production. These results suggest the microbiome’s role in CD-associated pathogenicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Datasets

To compile the PE1-set, we extracted from SwissProt (Oct. 2015) (13) proteins that are (i) bacterial, (ii) with evidence of existence, i.e. SwissProt protein evidence is 1, and (iii) explicitly assigned an E.C. (Enzyme Commission) number (14); note that we excluded proteins with incomplete annotations, e.g. 1.1.1.1, as well as those with multiple annotations. From the PE1-set, we further extracted proteins whose functions are experimentally verified (Evidence = ‘any experimental assertion’, EXP-set).

From the Catalytic Site Atlas database (CSA-set) (15) we extracted all proteins that had literature-based annotations. We identified the overlap between the PE1-set and these proteins, and defined our gold-standard dataset (GS-set; Supplementary Data 1) as the combination of CSA-set and EXP-set, with 100% identical sequences removed.

For each protein of the PE1-set and GS-set, we extracted the corresponding gene from ENA (European Nucleotide Archive) (16) (including 5’ UTR and 3’ UTR) and randomly generated 10 DNA reads (50–250 nucleotides) that overlap by at least one nucleotide of the coding region. We further performed 6-frame translations of the reads and excluded peptides shorter than 11 amino acids. We defined the corresponding peptide collection as rPE1-set and rGS-set.

We downloaded from MG-RAST the md5nr database and defined its proteins as the md5nr-set.

We obtained six beach sand metagenomes from a previous study of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill (12). Here, metagenomic DNA was sequenced using Illumina MiSeq with paired-end strategy to produce 151 bp reads. The samples reside in NCBI (BioProject PRJNA260285), including (i) pre-oil phase samples, OS-S1 (SRX692936) and OS-S2 (SRX695904), (ii) oil phase samples, OS-A (SRX696142) and OS-B (SRX696240) and (iii) post-oil recovered phase samples, OS-I600 (SRX696250) and OS-I606 (SRX696254).

We also obtained 68 gut metagenomic sequencing datasets (SRA (17) accession number SRP045211) from a study of dietary intervention in Chinese children affected by PWS (18). Fecal DNA samples before and after the treatment (n = 17, at Day 0, 30, 60 and 90) were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 2000 with paired-end strategy to produce 100 bp reads. The quality control was performed as described in the previous study (18).

We additionally obtained 11 human gut (fecal) microbiome samples from a family affected by CD from the PopGen biobank (Schleswig-Holstein, Germany; accessible via a Material Data Access Form. Information and application procedures for data access can be found at http://www.uksh.de/p2n/Information-for-Researchers.html). Of these, nine members were self-reported as healthy and two were affected. Metagenomic data were generated using the Illumina Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit and sequenced 2 × 125 bp on an Illumina HiSeq2500. In total, 424.8 million paired-end reads were generated with a median number of 38.9 million read pairs per sample. Adapter trimming was performed using Trimmomatic (19) in paired-end mode, discarding reads shorter than 60 bp. Quality filtering was done using Sickle (20) run in paired-end mode, with a quality threshold of 20 and a minimum length of 60 bp. To remove contaminating host sequences from the dataset, DeconSeq (v0.4.3) (21) was run with the human reference genome (GRCh38) as database. Only read-pairs where both sequences survived quality control were retained. On average 11.76% of raw reads were discarded, leaving 374.8 million read pairs for downstream analysis.

faser curve optimization

We PSI-BLASTed the rGS-set against the GS-set (parameters: evalue 1e−3; inclusion ethresh 1e−10; num iterations 3; max_target_seqs 1 000 000), excluding self-hits, i.e. peptide hits of their ‘parent’ proteins. For any peptide, functional annotation (E.C. number) was inherited from the ‘parent’ protein; one nucleotide overlap required to transfer annotation. A peptide-protein alignment is considered positive if the functional annotations of the peptide and the aligned protein match exactly at the selected number of E.C. digits, and negative otherwise. Any given alignment can be plotted in an L (alignment length) vs. Id (alignment sequence identity) two-dimensional space. Further, an exponential decay curve (as for HSSP calculations, (22)) can be used to identify the alignments in this space as true positives (alignments of peptides to proteins of identical function that fall above or on the curve), false positives (different functions above or on the curve), true negatives (different functions below the curve) and false negatives (identical functions below the curve). From these values, we calculated precision (positive accuracy; Equation 1) and recall (positive coverage; Equation 2) for different curve parameters (a and b in Equation 4), optimizing the latter to fit a curve best separating positive from negative alignments in terms of the highest F-measure (Equation 3).

Precison = \frac{True Positive}{True Positive + False Positive} \tag{1}

Recall = \frac{True Positive}{True Positive + False Negative} \tag{2}

F = 2 \times \frac{Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall} \tag{3}

b \times L^{-a \cdot \left(1+e^{-\frac{\text{Fmass}}{m}}\right)} \tag{4}

To avoid overestimating performance of faser, we clustered the GS-set with CD-hit at 40% sequence identity and split the clusters into ten subsets. We further optimized faser curve parameters in 10-fold cross-validation, i.e. we iteratively optimized the curve on nine subsets and tested it on the remaining one, repeating this process 10 times for a different subset as the test set. We evaluated the performance reported here by summing the numbers of true and false positives and negatives in each test set. As all ten curves were
very similar in parameters, we took the average of these to establish the final faser curve.

To summarise, the faser curve is meant to predict from a peptide–protein alignment, whether the ‘parent’ protein of the peptide and the aligned protein share the same function (E.C. annotation). Additionally, the distance of the alignment point to the curve along the sequence identity (Id) axis indicates the reliability of the prediction.

Evaluating faser using DIAMOND results
We extracted the proteins from the GS-set and md5nr-set that had identical UniProt IDs. We performed searches against the md5nr database using PSI-BLAST (parameters: eval e−3; inclusion etthresh 1e−10; num iterations 3; max_target_seqs 1 000 000), BLASTP (parameters: eval e−3; max_TARGET_SEQs 1 000 000), and DIAMOND (parameters: min-score 10; k 1 000 000). We further excluded from the results the alignments to subject proteins that were not in the overlap set. We compared the faser values calculated from the results of different alignment algorithms by performing a 100-fold bootstrap, sampling ~20% of the results at each iteration. Note that we used the bootstrap approach to assess the consistency of the observed performance differences.

Comparison to other methods
We submitted the artificial metagenome as well as the six sand metagenomes for processing to MG-RAST via its website and downloaded the resulting function annotations via the MG-RAST API (23). We used the KEGG (24) annotations from the md5nr database to establish the annotated E.C.s. Note that although proteins can carry out multiple functions, in this study we, conservatively, only included proteins with unique and complete E.C. annotations; i.e. we excluded proteins with incomplete or multiple E.C. annotations.

We compared different database/algorithmmcombinations for the annotation of the same sample (Supplementary Figure S2). The Venn diagrams of the numbers of E.C.s annotated by different such combinations were generated by Venny (25). When comparing across sand metagenome samples from different phases, sample-specific E.C.s were removed as uninformative (<1% of total E.C.s in both cases). The correlation between samples was calculated with Spearman’s rho, ρ, offered in the R package, Hmisc (26).

Two other tools, Fun4Me and ShotMAP, were installed locally and run on the artificial metagenome with default parameters; for both, we compared the precision of the methods (Equation 1) as well as the number of correctly annotated reads.

Functional analysis of PWS dietary intervention metagenomes
We performed NMDS (Non-metric multidimensional scaling) (27) analysis and the subsequent permanova test using the Vegan R package (28) and calculated the Euclidean distance between samples in the NMDS graph. Within the untreated Day0 group of samples, we identified outliers (individuals with inter-sample distance two standard deviations away from the average distance; 3% of all distances). All time-point samples of these individuals were removed from subsequent analysis. For remaining individuals, we compared the distances within each time-point group, as well as the distances of all the time points from Day0 for every individual separately.

Functional analysis of CD metagenomes
As described above, NMDS analysis (Shepard plot in Supplementary Figure S10), along with the subsequent permanova test using the Vegan R package (28). From the distributions of E.C.s in the microbiomes of healthy individuals, we calculated the ‘confidence range’ for each E.C. as Q1 − 3*IQR (three interquartile ranges below the first quartile) to Q3 + 3*IQR (three interquartile ranges above the third quartile). Patient E.C.s that fell outside this range were identified as significantly depleted or enriched, respectively. Pathway analysis was performed with the KEGG Mapper tool (24). Jaccard Index was calculated as the size of intersection divided by the size of union of the two sample sets.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Few proteins have experimentally verified function annotation
Among the 332 193 bacterial proteins in SwissProt (Oct. 2015) (13,29), only 18 240 (~5%) are annotated as existing with evidence at protein level. Of these, we extracted 5 965 that have unique (one per protein) and explicit (all four digits) Enzyme Commission (E.C.) annotations (PE1-set; Materials and Methods). From our PE1-set, we further selected proteins whose functions were experimentally verified, as noted in the Catalytic Site Atlas (CSA-set) (15) or SwissProt (EXP-set) (13,29). After filtering, our set contained 2 848 (2 810 non-redundant at 100% sequence identity; GS-set) bacterial proteins of experimentally verified function. Note that analysis of available mass-spectrometry databases (30,31) is likely to retrieve a much larger set of verified existing proteins; however, these are not yet experimentally annotated for molecular functionality. Thus, our collection is the cleanest available dataset of functional annotations; i.e. functional annotations in public databases are usually based on (many rounds of) function transfer by homology and are, as such, often questionable.

faser is more accurate for function transfer by homology than PSI-BLAST
We created artificial reads from the gene nucleotide sequences corresponding to the proteins in GS-set and PE1-set (6-frame translated to peptides, rGS-set and rPE1-set, Materials and Methods). We further PSI-BLASTed (32) the rGS-set against GS-set, excluding self-hits, to determine the equation of the curve (Equation 5) separating the correct alignments (same function) from the incorrect ones (different functions) in the L (alignment length) versus Id (sequence identity) space. Our approach was modeled after the HSSP metric for function transfer between full-length proteins (22,33). We optimized the curve parameters to...
The number of matching E.C. digits reflects the level of resolution of function annotation; i.e. proteins that share only the first three E.C. digits have similar functions with slight differences. For example, both 1.1.1.1 and 1.1.1.2 are alcohol dehydrogenases, but with different electron acceptors: NAD+ and NADP+, respectively. PSI-BLAST exhibits comparable performance to faser when matching the first three E.C. digits (Supplementary Figure S1A), but fails to differentiate functions at the fourth digit resolution level, producing a large number of false positives (Figure 2). faser resolves the fourth E.C. digit at >90% precision with >40% recall. At all cut-offs, when compared to PSI-BLAST, faser consistently offers as much as ~50% higher recall at same precision level and up to ~25% higher precision at same recall level (Figure 2).

\[
\text{faser score} = \begin{cases} 
-100, & L < 11 \\
-352.3L^{-0.302} (1 + e^{-\frac{1000}{L}}), & L \geq 11
\end{cases}
\]

Note that a previous study has shown that PSI-BLAST is not necessarily the best alignment method for function transfer, e.g. it was inferior to BLAST (34). Although faser was developed using PSI-BLAST, it can also be calculated via other alignment mechanisms. To alleviate the long alignment runtimes, we exhaustively tested our options (including comparing BLAST performance to PSI-BLAST) and ended up switching to DIAMOND (35) (Supplementary Text S1).

**faser outperforms MG-RAST**

We compared faser performance to that of MG-RAST (10), one of the most popular public metagenome annotation platforms. We considered both algorithm and database levels using the: (i) faser algorithm with the GS-set database (fG, the mi-faser pipeline); (ii) faser algorithm with the md5nr database (F_M, faser-md5nr); (iii) MG-RAST algorithm with md5nr database (M_M, the MG-RAST pipeline) (Supplementary Figure S2; Methods).

Note that we could not run the MG-RAST algorithm with the GS-set database because the MG-RAST developers advised against it, citing complicated installation.

When the rPE1-set is used as the artificial metagenome, the F_G and M_M annotations are significantly different (Table 1), although both pipelines annotate a similar number of reads (Figure 3A). This variation in performance is not biased toward any specific E.C. class (Supplementary Figure S3). Note that the rPE1-set is a superset of GS-set, which likely contributes to the improved performance of the F_G pipeline. The differences between F_G and M_M annotations (Figure 3B, first column) stem from the differences between the databases (GS-set vs. md5nr) and/or algorithms (faser versus MG-RAST). The divergence between F_G and F_M annotations (Figure 3B, second column) indicates that the database differences contribute significantly to the F_G/M_M variation. Note that this difference is not surprising as the GS-set and md5nr share only 77% E.C.s (62% and 29%, respectively).

The comparison between F_M and M_M results is more interesting (Figure 3B, third column), as it highlights the differences between the faser and MG-RAST algorithms. Using the same md5nr database, faser (F_M) annotated ~20% more reads than MG-RAST (M_M, Figure 3A) with comparable precision (Table 1). Note that the precision reported in

**Figure 2.** faser outperforms PSI-BLAST in annotating read functions. At most cutoffs, faser (filled circles) is more precise than PSI-BLAST (empty circles). For example, for nearly half the reads, it provides as much as 90% annotation accuracy as compared to 57% attained by PSI-BLAST (arrows at faser score = 20 and e-value = e^{-18}). At the default cutoff of 0, faser attains similar accuracy as PSI-BLAST at e-value = e^{-18}, but for ~35% more reads.

![Figure 2](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/46/4/e23/4670955/3x6)

**Table 1.** Artificial metagenome (rPE1-set) annotation by F_G, F_M and M_M

|                | F_G  | F_M  | M_M  |
|----------------|------|------|------|
| Annotated reads| 34 851 | 48 481 | 30 800 |
| Multi-E.C. reads | 1004 | 11 373 | 200  |
| Errorneously annotated reads | 416 | 5705 | 4237 |
| Correctly annotated reads | 33 431 | 31 103 | 30 800 |
| Precision | 99% | 85% | 86% |

*Reads with multiple E.C. annotations were excluded from the analysis.*
these comparisons is affected by the misannotation (~14%), i.e. UniProt proteins in both the GS-set and md5nr annotated with different E.C. numbers – a finding, which is in line with a previous study (11). FM and MM identified 923 E.C.s in common, while 175 and 40 E.C.s were uniquely identified by faser and MG-RAST, respectively (Figure 3C). In other words, for the same artificial metagenome, faser annotates ~14% more functions (E.C.s) than MG-RAST algorithms. After exclusion of the database-specific E.C.s, the database impact was reduced (F_G/F_M, Supplementary Figure S4), yet we still observed substantial F_G/M_M differences largely due to the faser vs. MG-RAST algorithms. Notably, F_M still annotates ~8% more functions than M_M (Supplementary Figure S4).

To summarize, the faser method comprises an exponential decay curve separating the two-dimensional space of alignment length versus sequence identity into ‘same function’ and ‘different functions’ peptide–protein alignments. The distance from a given alignment to the curve along the sequence identity axis is the final faser score. Implicitly, faser tries to capture homology of the peptide’s ‘parent’ protein to the subject protein of the alignment. In faser development we used the database of experimentally described proteins (GS-set) to optimize and evaluate performance. We continue to use the GS database in the mi-faser implementation. However, faser alignment scoring can be applied to any other database as well. Note that we set the default cutoff of faser score at 20 for high precision (90%).

We further extended the comparison of the annotation methods to six metagenomic samples from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill beach sand study (12) (Methods). Note that in this real-life case, there was no ‘correct’ annotation to use for comparing annotation results. However, it appears that F_M and M_M results are orthogonal. For example, for OS-A (oil phase) F_M annotated >50% more reads than M_M (Supplementary Figure S5A); moreover, there were 220 E.C.s unique to F_M and 42 E.C.s unique to M_M (Supplementary Figure S5C). Annotation of other samples followed a similar pattern. Database differences resulted in a significant disparity between the number of reads annotated in each sample by F_G and M_M (e.g. Supplementary Figure S5B). However, both pipelines agreed that: (i) samples taken in the same phase were highly functionally correlated (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2), (ii) samples in oil phase were functionally more correlated with samples in recovered phase than pre-oil phase (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2, which may indicate that the environment has not fully recovered from the contamination) and (iii) ~20% of reads in all samples mapped to housekeeping functions (housekeeping E.C.s compiled from (37)). This agreement across methods suggests that F_G reflects true variation in functionality between samples from a perspective complementary to M_M.

We further searched for functions enriched in oil phase metagenomes as compared to either pre-oil or recovered phases. F_G returned 909 E.C.s (65%, 588 E.C.s, are GS-set specific), while M_M returned 1 627 E.C.s (65%, 1 062 E.C.s, are md5nr specific). Note that even for the E.C.s present in both databases, F_G and M_M revealed considerable discrepancies in abundance fold-changes across phases; \( \rho = 0.46 \) (Spearman’s rho) for oil-to-recovered phase and only \( \rho = 0.09 \) for oil-to-pre-oil phase (Supplementary Figure S6). We explored E.C.s annotated by F_G as highly enriched (≥5 times) in the oil phase as compared to other phases, yet un-
We also compared our pipeline to two recently published metagenome annotation tools, Fun4Me (38) and ShotMAP (39), using the above-described artificial metagenome. Note that Fun4Me includes its own reference database, which cannot be changed on demand. ShotMAP allowed using our GS-set as reference. F_G correctly annotated 4,900 (17%) more reads than Fun4Me. Additionally, when the multi-EC-annotated reads were excluded, F_G attained 7% higher precision than Fun4Me (99% versus 92%, respectively; Supplementary Table S7). While results were not as striking, mi-faser still outperformed ShotMAP (using our GS database) with 1,160 (4%) more correctly annotated reads and 2% higher precision (99% to 97%, respectively; Supplementary Table S7). Notably, the entire run took mi-faser (standalone version) 42 seconds, while Fun4Me required more than 25 minutes. The speed evaluation for ShotMAP was not possible via command-line due to installation issues, but the virtual machine implementation was able to finish in 3 minutes.

Figure 4. Functional capabilities of microbiomes of PWS patients shift in the course of dietary intervention. (A) The boxplot of Euclidean distance between samples of the same group (in-group distances), i.e. Day 0, 30, 60 or 90, on the NMDS diagram (Supplementary Figure S7). The in-group diversity increases significantly with time; * indicates $P$-value $<1e^{-4}$; ** indicates $P$-value $<1e^{-14}$; there is no significance between Day 60 and Day 90; $t$-test. (B) Two types of long term diet intervention effect on PWS patients: type 1 individuals (GD02, GD03, GD15, GD40, GD42, GD43, GD47, GD51 and GD58) with gut microbiome functional capacity furthest removed from Day 0 at Day 90; type 2 individuals (GD04, GD18, GD52 and GD59) with gut microbiome functional capacity reversed at Day 90 toward their Day 0.

4A; Supplementary Figure S7), which was in line with the results of the original study (18).

We further investigated the treatment progress of each patient using the Euclidean distance of the Day 30, 60 and 90 samples from the Day 0 sample of the same individual. Overall, the distances increased with the treatment progress (Day 30, 0.09 ± 0.02; Day 60, 0.16 ± 0.02; Day 90, 0.2 ± 0.03; Supplementary Figure S7), indicating the progressive changes of gut microbial functional potentials correlated with the diet time-line. Although Day 90 samples showed the highest dissimilarity from Day 0 samples in most cases, four patients (GD04, GD18, GD52 and GD59) reached the highest dissimilarity at Day 60, showing reversal of diet effects at Day 90 (Figure 4B). Follow-up studies on these differential trajectories could contribute to a more thorough

cannot be changed on demand. ShotMAP allowed using that Fun4Me includes its own reference database, which beta-diversity among the patients’ gut microbiomes (Figure cisely, the intervention gradually increased the functional biome functionality (Supplementary Figure S7; Day 0 ver-

changed or even decreased by MM. There are nine of these E.C.s in oil-to-pre-oil comparison and ten in oil-to-recovered comparison, with three E.C.s overlapping across comparisons; i.e. enriched in the oil phase as compared to either pre-oil or recovered phases (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). Of the three overlapping E.C.s, two are particularly notable: 1.3.11.1 (catechol 1,2-dioxygenase) directly associates with BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes) degradation, while 1.8.99.1 (assimilatory sulfite reductase) is essential for sulfur reducing bacteria, known to degrade BTEX. Note that there were also three E.C.s annotated only by MM that were enriched in the oil phase; however, we were not able to identify them as being directly related to oil degradation (Supplementary Tables S5 and S6).

mi-faser facilitates novel functional discovery, while accelerating large-scale metagenome analysis

The online service of mi-faser uses clubber (Cluster Load Balancer for Bioinformatics e-Resources (40)) for faster processing. To demonstrate our method’s performance we obtained and analysed with mi-faser, 68 gut metagenomic datasets from a study of Chinese children affected by the PWS and treated via dietary intervention (Methods) (18). The analysis was automatically distributed to three clusters (640, 800, and 3400 cores with load-dependent access) by clubber via the mi-faser interface, for an average of 16 minutes of user-wait time (11.8 CPU hours) per metagenome.

Note that after NMDS Euclidean distance analysis of microbiomes of untreated individuals (Day 0), four individuals (GD12, GD39, GD41 and GD50) were identified as outliers and removed (Methods). While we do not expect that all PWS-affected children share the same microbiome features, we felt that treatment effect and progression could be better evaluated from a narrow starting point.

For the remaining individuals ($n = 13$), it was clear that the dietary intervention significantly altered gut microbiome functionality (Supplementary Figure S7; Day 0 versus Day >0, $P$-value = 0.001, permanova test). More precisely, the intervention gradually increased the functional beta-diversity among the patients’ gut microbiomes (Figure
We identified those E.C.s in our microbiomes whose abundance significantly changed in each patient compared to healthy individuals (Methods). S01 and S09 both have a large fraction of such E.C.s (45% and 31% respectively, sum of enriched and depleted, Supplementary Table S9). For example, nine E.C.s enriched in both S01 and S09 are annotated as rRNA methyltransferases (Supplementary Table S10), which are known to be essential for microbial response to environmental stresses (42). Another three E.C.s enriched in both patients are annotated as RNA pseudouridine synthase. RNAs with modified nucleotides, such as pseudouridine, have been shown to suppress host innate immune system (43). Thus, RNA modification may be an important bacterial strategy of surviving the CD-associated inflammation. We further explored these E.C.s to identify pathways uniquely altered in each patient; e.g. more than half of Biotin metabolism pathway E.C.s are altered in S01, while Xylene degradation is enriched only in S09 (Figure 6). There are also pathways that are similarly changed in both patients, i.e. they are enriched in the same E.C.s; for example, glutathione metabolism and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure S8). Given the distant microbiome functional profiles between S01 and S09 (Figure 5B; Supplementary Figure S8), these similarities are unlikely to occur by chance. Glutathione is known to help bacteria survive oxidative stress, thus the enriched glutathione pathway could be a response to inflammation (44); a previous study has reported enrichment in abundance of genes associated with glutathione transportation in CD patients (7). However, the latter study (7) also suggested a decrease in propanoate and butanoate metabolism, both of which showed overall enrichment in S01 and S09 (Figure 6). Finally, to the best of our knowledge, the role of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthesis pathway in CD patient microbiomes has not yet been reported. However, bacterial LPS is previously reported to increase intestinal tight junction permeability in mouse modules (45). Tight junctions normally form a selective seal between adjacent intestinal epithelial cells. Its increased permeability induces luminal pro-inflammatory molecules, resulting in sustained inflammation and tissue damage (46). Additionally, we also observed differences within individual pathway changes between patients. For example in the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, S01 is depleted in proteins necessary to convert glucose to pyruvate-depleted in proteins necessary to convert glucose to pyruvate-depleted in proteins necessary to convert glucose to pyruvate-deleted in proteins necessary to convert glucose to pyruvate.
Figure 6. Enriched or depleted molecular pathways in microbiomes of CD-affected individuals. Changes in molecular pathways were obtained by counting the numbers of enriched or depleted E.C.s as compared to microbiome functional profiles of the healthy family members.

Figure 7. Microbial function shift in CD patients is involved in inflammation. Functions that are associated with inflammation inducers (acetaldehyde and lipopolysaccharide) are enriched in CD patient microbiomes, as are the functions that help bacteria survive inflammation conditions (glutathione metabolism, tRNA methyltransferase and RNA pseudouridine synthase). Note that pathways above are toy examples for illustration purposes only; light gray nodes indicate enriched functions and white nodes indicate unchanged or undetected functions. Products are: ACE = acetaldehyde, LPS = lipopolysaccharide, G-SH = glutathione, RNA = RNAs with methylation or pseudouridine.
vate, while the pyruvate metabolism pathways are enriched (Supplementary Figure S9A). S09 shows a similar pattern, while enriching an alternative route from glyceraldehyde-3P to glycerate-3P (Supplementary Figure S9B). Interestingly, in both patients, most enriched E.C.s in pyruvate metabolism lead to acetate/production (Supplementary Figure S9), a metabolite also known to induce tight junction disruption in intestinal epithelial cells (47). Thus, our result indicates the microbiome function shift in CD patients contributes to pathogenicity, while helps the bacteria survive host inflammation (Figure 7).

CONCLUSION

In this study, we compiled a ‘clean’ protein dataset with experimentally confirmed E.C. annotations (gold standard, GS-set), and trained the faser algorithm to optimise transfer of function annotation from reference proteins to short peptides translated from sequencing reads. The faser algorithm significantly outperforms PSI-BLAST in differentiating functions at high-resolution levels. It also offers ~20% more annotations at comparable precision levels than the function annotation algorithm of MG-RAST. The (highly-parallelized and fast) mi-faser pipeline (faser in combination with GS) was able to identify, in BP oil spill data, unique candidate functions associated with oil-degradation, which were missed by the MG-RAST pipeline. Analysis of 62 metagenomic datasets from a dietary intervention study in PWS patients highlighted previously unseen individual-specific trajectories of functional changes in the gut microbiota. Our pipeline also revealed that gastrointestinal microorganisms of related CD patients are functionally very different. We observed two types of functions enriched in CD patients: those that cause inflammation and those that help bacteria survive inflammatory stress; these may highlight the possible role of the microbiome in CD pathogenicity. Note that all mi-faser annotations, although highly informative, are based on the proteins making up the, currently limited, GS-set. On the other hand, faser itself is a robust read annotation algorithm that can be used with any reference database supplied. We also expect the growth in the number of proteins with experimentally verified functions to make our approach even more powerful in the near future.

AVAILABILITY

mi-faser is available online at http://services.bromberglab.org/mifaser/.

The standalone version of the pipeline, along with the mi-faser source code, is available at https://bitbucket.org/bromberglab/mifaser. The DOI for the source code used in this manuscript is https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1045582, and the DOI for the current GS database is https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1048268.

The fasta file for GS-set is available at http://bromberglab.org/sites/default/files/SOM_Data1.gold_standard.standard.fasta.
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Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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