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**Abstract**

**Background:** Basal stem rot (BSR) is a fungal disease in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) which is caused by hemibiotrophic white rot fungi belonging to the *Ganoderma* genus. Molecular responses of oil palm to these pathogens are not well known although this information is crucial to strategize effective measures to eradicate BSR. In order to elucidate the molecular interactions between oil palm and *G. boninense* and its biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum*, we compared the root transcriptomes of untreated oil palm seedlings with those inoculated with *G. boninense* and *T. harzianum*, respectively.

**Results:** Differential gene expression analyses revealed that jasmonate (JA) and salicylate (SA) may act in an antagonistic manner in affecting the hormone biosynthesis, signaling, and downstream defense responses in *G. boninense*-treated oil palm roots. In addition, *G. boninense* may compete with the host to control disease symptom through the transcriptional regulation of ethylene (ET) biosynthesis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and scavenging. The strengthening of host cell walls and production of pathogenesis-related proteins as well as antifungal secondary metabolites in host plants, are among the important defense mechanisms deployed by oil palm against *G. boninense*. Meanwhile, endophytic *T. harzianum* was shown to improve the nutrition status and nutrient transportation in host plants.

**Conclusion:** The findings of this analysis have enhanced our understanding on the molecular interactions of *G. boninense* and oil palm, and also the biocontrol mechanisms involving *T. harzianum*, thus contributing to future formulations of better strategies for prevention and treatment of BSR.

---

**Background**

Basal stem rot (BSR) is a serious and prevalent fungal disease in oil palm that causes significant economic loss to oil palm plantations by reducing oil yield of infected palms [1, 2], causing loss of stands and shortening replanting cycle of new palms [1, 2]. BSR is caused by *Ganoderma* spp. which are able to degrade plant cell wall components including lignin, and cause white rot to oil palm [3]. In advanced infection stage, fruiting bodies may form on oil palm trunks before the rotted infected palms eventually collapse. The disease symptoms of BSR at the foliar part of oil palm include stunted and unopenend spear leaves, yellowing and senescence of upper fronds, reduced and “one-sided mottling” canopy [2, 4].

In recent years, fungal DNA-, biochemical-, sensor- and geographic information system -based screening methods for *Ganoderma* spp. in oil palms have been developed [5–8] for early detection of BSR. The mitigation actions practiced by oil palm plantations include sanitation before replanting, isolation of infected or diseased palms by elimination and burning of infected palms [9], and application of fungicides [10]. The application of beneficial microbes as biocontrol agents such as *Trichoderma* spp., mycorrhizae and plant growth promoting bacteria have also been demonstrated to be able to alleviate BSR incidences [11–13].

Oil palm lines that are tolerant to *Ganoderma* spp. have been reported previously [14, 15]. However, the genes that are responsible for their tolerance are unknown. Genes
and gene products that contribute to the virulence of *Ganoderma* spp., and defense response of oil palm to these pathogens are not well known although this information is crucial to strategize effective measures against the devastating disease.

In recent years, transcript sequences encoding pathogenesis related (PR) proteins such as chitinases, glucanases, defensins, protease inhibitors [16–19], and proteins that are potentially involved in oil palm defense [17, 20, 21] have been reported. However, the information is partial and insufficient to delineate a complete picture of oil palm defense in response to *Ganoderma* spp. due to a limited number of transcripts and proteins being analyzed.

The main objective of this study was to elucidate the molecular responses of oil palm roots colonized by *G. boninense* by comparing the transcriptomes of inoculated and uninoculated oil palm seedlings with this pathogenic fungus. In addition, the fungal transcripts that were present in the transcriptome of treated oil palm roots were identified to lend insight into the infection mechanisms of *G. boninense*. We also compared the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in oil palm roots upon treatments with *G. boninense* and *T. harzianum*, respectively, to shed light on different responses of oil palm roots to pathogenic and beneficial fungi, and to provide evidence supporting the biocontrol mechanisms proposed for *T. harzianum* against *G. boninense*. A comprehensive understanding of oil palm defense responses opens up opportunities for developing strategies to eradicate the disease and to enhance the disease tolerance/resistance of this important crop, noting that it is one of the major producers of edible oil and oil related products in the world.

**Results**

**Transcriptomes of oil palm roots in response to *G. boninense* and *T. harzianum***

In this experiment, we aimed to identify the genes that are involved in the molecular responses of oil palm roots to the artificial inoculation of *G. boninense* and *T. harzianum*. The G-treated oil palm root tissues (whole root sections) collected were not separated based on duration of inoculation due to the non-synchronous nature of infection of *G. boninense* on the roots from the same plant at a particular time point. During the course of *Ganoderma*(G)-treatment, lesions and mycelia were observed on the root surface of G-treated seedlings at 6 and 12 weeks post inoculation (wpi), indicating that *G. boninense* has successfully colonized the roots. However, no other disease symptoms such as yellowing of leaves and formation of fruiting bodies were observed. The mRNA samples of oil palm roots from nine biological replicates in G-treatment from 3–12 wpi, covering infection stages from recognition of pathogen to tissue necrosis, were pooled and sequenced to reveal the transcripts involved. The roots from an equal number of biological replicates of untreated oil palms were also sampled from 3–12 wpi, for mRNA isolation and sequencing. In total, 111,832 transcripts were assembled from 37,784,896 processed and filtered reads (approximately $2.79 \times 10^8$ bases) obtained from mRNA-seq analysis of untreated roots compared to 50,025 transcripts assembled from 14,495,512 processed and filtered reads (approximately $5.45 \times 10^8$ bases) which were obtained from mRNA-seq analysis of G-treated roots.

In addition, the transcriptome of oil palm inoculated with *T. harzianum* was also profiled. Throughout the 12 week-treatment, the spore counts of *T. harzianum* in the soil around the *Trichoderma* (T)-treated seedlings were maintained above $10^4$ colonies per unit (cfu) per g of soil to ensure the treatment was effective while the spore counts in the untreated soil were estimated to be $10^3$ cfu per g of soil. The mRNA samples from oil palm roots in T-treatment, from 3–12 wpi were pooled and sequenced. The processed and filtered reads (16,665,379 reads which total up to approximately $6.33 \times 10^8$ bases) were obtained from mRNA-seq analysis of T-treated roots and assembled into 45,968 transcripts.

The assembled sequences (transcripts) obtained from untreated, G- and T-treated oil palm roots were then clustered to reduce the number of overlapping sequences in each transcriptome for functional annotation. Approximately 50 % of the assembled sequences (unigenes) have significant matches (E values $\leq 10^{-5}$) to the non-redundant protein database at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). About 84 % of these unigenes which have significant matches to protein encoding sequences from Viridiplantae were used for identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in pairwise samples.

Biological averaging where pooled biological replicates instead of individual biological replicates was employed in DEG analysis in this study. Although the results in this study may not provide the same statistical resolution by replicated mathematical averaged experiments, biological averaging for DEG analysis is neither new nor unprecedented in both cDNA microarray and mRNA-seq [22–28]. Meanwhile, DEG analysis by DESeq [29] has been reported to be more conservative and predicted less number of DEGs in comparison with another method which has been developed for mRNA-seq analysis without replicates [22]. In this study, the variance was estimated by treating the samples being compared as if they were replicates of the same condition. We noted that without individual biological replicates, the statistical significance could only represent differences in G- or T-treated sample and untreated sample being compared. Nevertheless, the gene expression measurements represented averages across nine oil palm seedlings since roots from nine
oil palm seedlings were pooled to generate the sequencing libraries.

The expression levels of DEGs in 21 pairwise samples were further verified by quantitative reverse-transcription (qRT)-PCR (Table 1) on the same RNA samples used for sequencing, and approximately 86% of them support the results predicted in silico whereby most of the genes were shown to have consistent expression patterns (up- or down-regulation) by both DEG analysis and qRT-PCR. We noted differences in the magnitude of gene expression measured by these two approaches which are most probably caused by differences in sensitivity and normalization methods, however the gene expression patterns measured by both approaches are largely similar.

**DEGs of oil palm roots during *Ganoderma* colonization**

In total, 1,996 and 1,219 oil palm genes were found to be up- and down-regulated 4-fold and more in G-treated oil palm roots, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S1) compared to the untreated oil palm roots. These oil palm genes could either form part of the host defense against fungal invasion, or a consequence of the suppression of host defense system by the pathogen upon G-treatment. The up-regulated genes in oil palm treated with *G. boninense* were found to be enriched in 85 Gene Ontology (GO) Slim terms: 40 in biological process (BP), 33 in molecular function (MF), and 12 in cellular compartment (CC) (Table 2). Among these GOSlim terms are those related to defense response, cellular response to salicylic acid (SA) stimulus, nitric oxide mediated signal transduction, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) oxidase activity, naringenin-chalcone synthase and others (Table 2). On the other hand, the down-regulated DEGs were found to be enriched in 27 GOSlim terms (8 BP, 6 MF, 13 CC; Table 2). The enriched GOSlim terms among the down-regulated DEGs in G-treated oil palm roots include those related to generation of precursor metabolites and energy, photosynthesis, electron transport chain, ribosome biogenesis, xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase activity and others (Table 2).

Among the GOSlim terms that were enriched in the pool of up-regulated genes in G-treated oil palm roots,

### Table 1 Verification of DEGs using real-time qRT-PCR

| Unigene | Putative identity | Fold change of expression using Transcriptome sequencing | Real-time qRT-PCR |
|---------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 15029   | 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase | 107 | 4.84±0.43 |
| 13913a  | 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase | 107 | 4.84±0.43 |
| 21170   | Chitinase        | 12.5 | 3.59±0.58 |
| 21171   | Chitinase        | 16.8 | 2.93±0.84 |
| 21174   | Chitinase Class III | 10.1 | 4.03±0.91 |
| 595     | Early flowering protein | 5.85 | 3.25±0.66 |
| 23670   | β-1,3-Glucanase  | 6.17 | 9.18±0.63 |
| 4320a   | NBS-LRR-resistance protein | -10.1 | 1.88±0.16 |
| 152     | Pathogenesis-related protein | 20.4 | 4.84±0.36 |
| 23132   | Pathogenesis-related protein 4 | 8.40 | 3.03±0.54 |
| 15545   | Type 2 ribosome-inactivating protein precursor | 9.26 | 2.39±0.34 |
| 15323   | Thaumatin-like protein | 17.5 | 2.29±0.16 |
| 28483   | Predicted protein | 2.54 | 2.29±0.15 |
| 28249   | Hypersensitive induced reaction1 | 6.37 | 1.45±0.16 |
| 18366   | Hypothetical protein SORBIDRAFT 02g042630 | 8.76 | 1.09±0.13 |
| 18282a  | Fiber protein Fb2 | -1.12 | 1.25±0.08 |
| 308     | Ethylene insensitive-like protein 4 | 1.26 | 1.61±0.11 |

In T-treated oil palm seedlings compared to untreated seedlings

| Unigene | Putative identity | Fold change of expression using Transcriptome sequencing | Real-time qRT-PCR |
|---------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 13913a  | 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase | 12.9 | -1.82±0.04 |
| 21170   | Chitinase        | 8.47 | 3.85±0.95 |
| 4320a   | NBS-LRR-resistance protein | 10.4 | 3.21±0.48 |
| 25702   | Nitrate reductase 1 | 9.46 | 2.19±0.24 |

*Genes that show discrepancies in their gene expression patterns when measured by transcriptome sequencing and real-time qRT-PCR
| Biological process                                                                 | Up-regulated genes                                                                 | Down-regulated genes                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **In G-treatment only**                                                          |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0030636 acetate derivative biosynthetic process                                 | GO:0046483 heterocycle metabolic process                                          |                                                                                      |
| GO:0030635 acetate derivative metabolic process                                    | GO:0006972 hyperosmotic response                                                  |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006083 acetate metabolic process                                              | GO:0006811 ion transport                                                          |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006026 aminoglycan catabolic process                                          | GO:0006629 lipid metabolic process                                                |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006022 aminoglycan metabolic process                                          | GO:0051179 localization                                                            |                                                                                      |
| GO:0019438 aromatic compound biosynthetic process                                 | GO:0007263 nitric oxide mediated signal transduction                               |                                                                                      |
| GO:0015976 carbon utilization                                                    | GO:0071704 organic substance metabolic process                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0016052 carbohydrate catabolic process                                         | GO:0015846 polyamine transport                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0005975 carbohydrate metabolic process                                         | GO:0009152 purine ribonucleotide biosynthetic process                              |                                                                                      |
| GO:0015977 carbon fixation                                                       | GO:0032268 regulation of cellular protein metabolic process                        |                                                                                      |
| GO:0071281 cellular response to iron ion                                           | GO:0010035 response to inorganic substance                                         |                                                                                      |
| GO:0071446 cellular response to salicylic acid stimulus                           | GO:0010039 response to iron ion                                                   |                                                                                      |
| GO:009805 coumarin biosynthetic process                                           | GO:0019748 secondary metabolic process                                            |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006952 defense response                                                       | GO:0001736 establishment of planar polarity                                         |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006097 glyoxylate cycle                                                      | GO:0006099 tricarboxylic acid cycle                                               |                                                                                      |
| **In both G- and T-treatments**                                                  |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0043450 alkene biosynthetic process                                           | GO:0009056 catabolic process                                                      |                                                                                      |
| GO:0009058 biosynthetic process                                                  | GO:0006091 generation of precursor metabolites and energy                          |                                                                                      |
| GO:0055114 oxidation-reduction process                                           | GO:0010817 regulation of hormone levels                                            |                                                                                      |
| GO:0080167 response to karrikin                                                   | GO:0051246 regulation of protein metabolic process                                |                                                                                      |
| GO:0044283 small molecule biosynthetic process                                    | GO:0006414 translational elongation                                                |                                                                                      |
| **In T-treatment only**                                                          |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0015837 amine transport                                                       | GO:0044242 cellular lipid catabolic process                                        |                                                                                      |
| GO:0046942 carboxylic acid transport                                              | GO:0006732 coenzyme metabolic process                                             |                                                                                      |
| GO:0044249 cellular biosynthetic process                                          | GO:0006006 glucose metabolic process                                              |                                                                                      |
| GO:0034754 cellular hormone metabolic process                                     | GO:0071705 nitrogen compound transport                                            |                                                                                      |
| **Down-regulated genes**                                                         |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
|                                                                                   |                                                                                      |                                                                                      |
|                                                                                   |                                                                                      |                                                                                      |
| **In G-treatment only**                                                          |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0071103 DNA conformation change                                               | GO:0015979 photosynthesis                                                           |                                                                                      |
| GO:0022900 electron transport chain                                              | GO:0006412 translation                                                             |                                                                                      |
| **In both G- and T-treatments**                                                  |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006091 generation of precursor metabolites and energy                        | GO:0015992 proton transport                                                        |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006818 hydrogen transport                                                     | GO:0042254 ribosome biogenesis                                                     |                                                                                      |
| **In T-treatment only**                                                          |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| GO:0006119 oxidative phosphorylation                                            | GO:0010200 response to chitin                                                      |                                                                                      |
| **Cellular compartment**                                                         |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
|                                                                                   |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
|                                                                                   |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
| **Up-regulated genes**                                                           |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
|                                                                                   |                                                                                    |                                                                                      |
Table 2 Summary of GO terms that are enriched in DEGs of G-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots (Continued)

| GO ID | Description                                      | GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0009341 | beta-galactosidase complex                         | GO:0005887 | integral to plasma membrane                     |
| GO:0071944 | cell periphery                                     | GO:0016020 | membrane                                         |
| GO:0005618 | cell wall                                          | GO:0030117 | membrane coat                                     |
| GO:0048475 | coated membrane                                    | GO:0044425 | membrane part                                     |
| GO:0030312 | external encapsulating structure                   | GO:0005773 | vacuole                                          |
| GO:0030660 | Golgi-associated vesicle membrane                  |       |                                                  |

In both G- and T-treatments

| GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0009325 | nitrate reductase complex                       |

Down-regulated genes

| GO ID | Description                                      | GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0044429 | mitochondrial part                               | GO:0032993 | protein-DNA complex                             |
| GO:0043228 | non-membrane-bounded organelle                   | GO:0045259 | proton-transporting ATP synthase complex         |
| GO:0000786 | nucleosome                                        | GO:0016469 | proton-transporting two-sector ATPase complex    |
| GO:0031984 | organelle subcompartment                         | GO:0009579 | thylakoid                                        |
| GO:0034357 | photosynthetic membrane                          | GO:0042716 | plasma membrane-derived chromatophore           |
| GO:0030077 | plasma membrane light-harvesting complex         |       |                                                  |

In both G- and T-treatments

| GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0030529 | ribonucleoprotein complex                        |

Molecular function

Up-regulated genes

| GO ID | Description                                      | GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0009815 | 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase activity | GO:0016829 | lyase activity                                   |
| GO:0004013 | adenosylhomocysteinase activity                  | GO:0003796 | lysozyme activity                                |
| GO:0030246 | carbohydrate binding                             | GO:0000287 | magnesium ion binding                            |
| GO:0003824 | catalytic activity                                | GO:0046872 | metal ion binding                                |
| GO:0016206 | catechol O-methyltransferase activity            | GO:0016210 | naringenin-chalcone synthase activity            |
| GO:0043169 | cation binding                                   | GO:0016491 | oxidoreductase activity                          |
| GO:0016405 | CoA-ligase activity                               | GO:0016903 | oxidoreductase activity, acting on the           |
|           |                                                 |       | aldehyde or o xo group of donors                 |
| GO:0016798 | hydrolase activity, acting on glycosyl bonds     | GO:0016616 | oxidoreductase activity, acting on the CH-OH     |
|           |                                                 |       | group of donors, NAD or NADP as acceptor        |
| GO:0005222 | intracellular cAMP activated cation channel activity | GO:0030414 | peptidase inhibitor activity                     |
| GO:0043167 | ion binding                                       | GO:0004665 | prephenate dehydrogenase (NADP+) activity        |
| GO:0005506 | iron ion binding                                  | GO:0022857 | transmembrane transporter activity               |
| GO:0052633 | isocitrate hydro-lyase (cis-aconitate-forming) activity | GO:0005215 | transporter activity                             |
| GO:0016874 | ligase activity                                   | GO:0016877 | ligase activity, forming carbon-sulfur bonds    |

In both G- and T-treatments

| GO ID | Description                                      | GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0005275 | amine transmembrane transporter activity         | GO:0009703 | nitrate reductase (NADH) activity                |
| GO:0003680 | AT DNA binding                                   | GO:0016614 | oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors |
| GO:0004108 | citrate (Si)-synthase activity                   | GO:0050242 | pyruvate, phosphate dikinase activity            |

In T-treatment only

| GO ID | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0009341 | beta-galactosidase complex                         |
17 of them (9 BP, 6 MF, 1 CC) were also found to be enriched among the up-regulated DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots, including those related to response to karrikin, generation of precursor metabolites and energy, translational elongation, amine transmembrane transporter activity, nitrate reductase (NADH) activity and others (Table 2). A total of 10 GOSlim terms that were enriched among the down-regulated genes in G-treated oil palm roots (4 BP, 4 MF, 2 CC) were also found to be enriched among the down-regulated DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots, including those related to ribosome biogenesis, electron transport chain, generation of precursor metabolites and energy (Table 2). These GOSlim terms may reflect the general responses of oil palm roots to fungi irrespective of whether they are pathogenic or beneficial, and their modes of infection. True enough, 1,324 and 868 DEGs were up- and down-regulated by both G- and T-treatments while 672 and 351 DEGs were uniquely up- and down-regulated by G-treatment only (Fig. 1).

Figure 2 shows the DEGs in G-treated oil palm roots compared to the untreated oil palm roots that are involved in the defense response including signal perception and transduction, phytohormone biosynthesis and signaling, transcription factor, generation and scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), production of secondary metabolites, cell wall biosynthesis and modification enzymes and others. It also compares the number of DEGs in T-treated oil palm seedlings in relative to that of the untreated oil palm seedlings. Snapshots of the DEGs in G- and T-treated oil palm roots over the main metabolic pathways and stress-related pathways are also displayed in Fig. 3.

### Table 2 Summary of GO terms that are enriched in DEGs of G-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots (Continued)

| GO:0004022 | alcohol dehydrogenase (NAD) activity | GO:00005342 | organic acid transmembrane transporter activity |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| GO:0015174 | basic amino acid transmembrane transporter activity | GO:0008756 | o-succinylbenzoate-CoA ligase activity |
| GO:0020037 | heme binding                         | GO:0016661 | oxidoreductase activity, acting on other nitrogenous compounds as donors |
| GO:0009678 | hydrogen-translocating pyrophosphatase activity | GO:0030598 | rRNA N-glycosylase activity |
| GO:004427  | inorganic diphosphatase activity     | GO:0046906 | tetrapyrrole binding |

**Down-regulated genes**

In G-treatment only

| GO:0004767 | sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase activity | GO:0016762 | xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase activity |
|------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|

In both G- and T-treatments

| GO:0045158 | electron transporter, transferring electrons within cytochrome b6/f complex of photosystem II activity | GO:0003735 | structural constituent of ribosome |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| GO:0045156 | electron transporter, transferring electrons within the cyclic electron transport pathway of photosynthesis activity | GO:0005198 | structural molecule activity |

In T-treatment only

| GO:0043168 | anion binding | GO:0003954 | NADH dehydrogenase activity |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| GO:0004427  | inorganic diphosphatase activity | GO:0016651 | oxidoreductase activity, acting on NADH or NADPH |
| GO:0005506  | iron ion binding |

**DEGs of oil palm roots in response to T. harzianum**

A total of 1,955 and 1,513 genes were found to be up- and down-regulated 4-fold and more in T-treated oil palm roots, respectively, compared to the untreated oil palm roots (Additional file 1: Table S2). However, only 631 and 645 of these DEGs were found to be uniquely up- and down-regulated by T-treatment only (Fig. 1). Thirty five GOSlim terms (17 BP, 17 MF, 1 CC) were found to be enriched among the up-regulated DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots with 18 of them (8 BP, 10 MF) found to be enriched among the up-regulated DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots only but not among those in G-treated oil palm roots (Table 2). The majority of these GOSlim terms are related to transportation including amine transport, nitrogen compound transport and carboxylic acid transport (Table 2). On the other hand, the unique down-regulated DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots were found to be enriched in 17 GOSlim terms (6 BP, 9 MF, 2 CC) with only 7 of them being unique to T-treated oil palm roots including response to chitin and anion binding (Table 2).
Fungal transcripts in treated oil palm roots
The presence of fungal transcripts in G-treated oil palm roots allowed us to have an overview of the genes being expressed in *G. boninense* during its infection of host plant. The fungal transcripts in treated oil palm roots were identified among the unigenes as sequences with high identities to fungal sequences, or protein encoding sequences that showed high identities to those belonging to fungi. The number of reads that showed high identities to those of *Ganoderma* sp. 10597 SS1, *Trichoderma harzianum* CBS226.95, *Trichoderma virens* Gv29-8 and *Trichoderma reesei* were 3.1 %, 0.9 % and 2.4 % of the total mRNA-seq reads obtained from G-, T-treated and untreated oil palm roots, respectively. The number of protein encoding sequences that showed high identities to those belonging to fungi represents 13 % of the total unigenes that have significant matches to the non-redundant protein database at NCBI. The numbers of reads that contribute to these unigenes were 5.8 %, 0.4 % and 0.3 % of the mRNA-seq reads obtained from G-, T-treated and untreated oil palm roots, respectively. Table 3 (and Additional file 1: Table S3) shows the fungal transcripts in treated and untreated oil palm roots. Among these transcripts are those encoding enzymes involved in lignin metabolism such as manganese peroxidase and laccases. Besides, there are a high number of fungal transcripts encoding glycoside hydrolases and glycosyltransferases from various families, carbohydrate binding modules, cellulases and polysaccharide lyase among the fungal transcripts in G-treated oil palm roots. On the other hand, transcripts encoding cell wall degrading/modification enzymes were not present in the transcriptome of T-treated oil palm roots. The genes encoding phosphate transporters, sugar transporter and carbohydrate metabolism were found to be abundant among the fungal transcripts in T-treated oil palm roots (Table 3).

![Venn diagrams]

Fig. 1 Venn diagrams of differentially expressed genes in G- and T-treated samples compared to the controls. **a** and **b** show the numbers of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in treated samples, respectively. Up-regulated genes include genes with \( \log_2(\text{fold change}) \) value \( \geq 2 \), and genes that are present in treated samples but absent in controls; while down-regulated genes include genes with expression \( \log_2(\text{fold change}) \) value \( \leq -2 \), and genes that are present in controls but absent in treated samples. The numbers in the red and green regions represent the numbers of up- and down-regulated genes in G- and T-treated samples, respectively. The numbers in the orange region represent the numbers of genes that are differentially expressed in both G- and T-treated samples. Numbers outside the Venn diagram represent the total numbers of up- and down-regulated DEGs by both treatments and each treatment.
Fig. 2 Number of DEGs in the G- and T-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots that are related to oil palm defense mechanisms. The most outer ring (in orange color) shows the number of up-regulated DEGs of treated samples while the blue ring shows the number of down-regulated DEGs of treated samples. Histograms and numbers in red and green colors represent the number of DEGs in *Ganoderma* (G-) and *Trichoderma* (T)-treated samples, respectively. The putative functions of DEGs are shown in the two most inner rings. The figure was generated by Circos software [80].
Fig. 3 Snapshots of DEGs in the G- and T-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots. The snapshots were generated by MapMan software [77] for the main metabolic pathways (a), and stress-related pathways (b). The panels in red and green represent DEGs (P-value < 0.05) with Log₂(fold change) value ≥ 2 (up-regulation in treated oil palms) and Log₂(fold change) value ≤ −2 (down-regulation in treated oil palms), respectively. The G and T letters on the left hand side of the panels indicate the DEGs for Ganoderma (G)- and Trichoderma (T)-treated samples, respectively. White panels represent DEGs with Log₂(fold change) value between −2 and 2. ABA, abscisic acid; BR, brassinosteroids; CHO, carbohydrates; ET, ethylene; JA, jasmonic acid; Mito., mitochondrial; OPP, oxidative pentose phosphate pathway; PR, pathogenesis-related; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SA, salicylic acid; TCA, tricarboxylic acid.
**Discussion**

What are the genes and biological processes involved in oil palm roots infected by hemibiotrophic *G. boninense*?

The DEGs in G-treated oil palm roots in comparison with the untreated oil palm roots enabled us to deduce the proteins and pathways involved in the defense response of host plant in response to *G. boninense*. In the initial stage of infection by *G. boninense*, recognition of pathogen by oil palm could be initiated by the binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) from *G. boninense* to pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) residing extracellular or at the plasma membrane. This is evident by the presence of DEGs encoding numerous receptors in the transcriptome of G-treated oil palm roots such as receptor-like kinases (RLKs) and receptor-like proteins (RLPs).

The components of fungal cell wall or cell membrane such as chitin, glucans and ergosterol have been reported as PAMPs in many pathosystems [30]. This is supported by our findings which revealed that various oil palm chitinas and glucanases were indeed up-regulated in oil palm roots in response to G-treatment. These cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) may degrade fungal cell wall, releasing chitins and glucans. Alternatively, ergosterol which was reported to be important for the virulence of pathogen [30] may also elicit PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) in oil palm. In addition, degraded plant cell wall or cell wall components (also known as damage-associated molecular patterns, DAMPs) such as oligogalacturonates may serve as endogenous elicitors that bind to PRRs [31]. Fungal transcripts encoding enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of ergosterol, and CWDEs such as polygalacturonases, lactases and many other glycosyl hydrolases were found to be in high abundance in G-treated oil palm roots (Table 3).

In addition to PTI, a rapid and robust plant response i.e. effector-triggered immunity (ETI) which is based on highly polymorphic resistance (R) proteins [32] can also be activated in plants upon the recognition of avirulence (Avr) effectors released by biotrophic pathogens. ETI often blocks further invasion of pathogens by inducing a hypersensitive response and other locally induced defence responses which confine pathogens at the infection site and limit their growth and supply of nutrients. So far, only a Toll/interleukin 1 receptor domain R protein has been reported to be associated with the resistance of *Arabidopsis* against the necrotrophic *Leptosphaeria maculans* [33]. Although it is premature to speculate the presence of R proteins that are associated with the hemibiotrophic *Ganoderma* spp. in oil palm, several oil palm genes encoding R proteins with yet to be known functions were found to be differentially regulated in this study (Fig. 2). Among them, the gene encoding RPM1-interacting protein 4 (RIN4) which is a mediator of recognition of an R protein (RPM1) and also a negative regulator of PTI [34], was found to be down-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. However, the gene encoding RPM1 was not found to be differentially regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. Its association with the susceptibility of oil palm to *Ganoderma* spp. was not known.

Signaling, ion fluxes, respiratory burst and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) may occur in infected roots as evident by the presence of DEGs encoding putative ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF), ARF GTPase activating protein, Rac small GTPases, GTP binding protein, mitogen associated protein kinase (MAPK), calcium dependent protein kinase (CDPK), ion channels, calcium transporters, respiratory burst oxidase (Rboh) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in G-treated roots. Plasma membrane dynamics play an important role in plant defense whereby the residing calcium and anion channels and associated ion fluxes are important signaling events that regulate host defence. Besides, Rboh or NADPH oxidase which resides in the plant plasma membrane produces superoxide and other ROS including hydrogen peroxide that can cause lipid peroxidation, cross-linking of cell wall proteins and lignification. Hydrogen peroxide can also travel into plant cytoplasm serving as signaling molecule of other defense response including ethylene (ET) biosynthesis and hypersensitive reaction (HR)-associated cell death [35].

Lipid peroxidation of plasma membrane followed by lipooxygenation of fatty acids may lead to the biosynthesis of oxylipins, including 12-oxophytodienoate (OPDA) which is the precursor of jasmonic acid (JA). A few genes encoding lipoygenases were up-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots which may possibly lead to an increase in oxylipins, however the expression of gene encoding 13-lipoxygenase which is involved in the lipoxygenation of α-linolenic acid leading to the production of 12-OPDA was not differentially expressed in G-treated oil palm roots. Lipoygenase-catalysed reactions can alternatively produce toxic volatile and non-volatile fatty acid-derived secondary metabolites that can directly attach to pathogens [36]. In addition, the genes encoding 12-OPTA reductase (OPR) and JA O-methyltransferase were down- and up-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots, respectively, indicating that the production of JA could be reduced while the pre-existing JA could be methylated to methyl-JA (an inactivated form of JA and a signal molecule). Taken together, it is plausible that the JA-induced defence against *G. boninense* in G-treated oil palm was down-regulated by the pathogen.

The genes encoding coronatine-insensitive 1 (COI) was up-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. COI is a component of SCF (COI1) E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes which play crucial roles in regulating response to JA and regulating plant gene expression during plant-pathogen interactions [37]. Mutants coi1-1 to coi1-14 were found to have enhanced resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae*
Table 3 Fungal transcripts in treated and untreated oil palm seedlings

| Putative functions of sequence | Total number | Number of transcripts in GT U T |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Pathogenesis                  |              |                                 |
| Fungal allergen/elictor       | 7            | 5 4 4                           |
| immunomodulatory protein      | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| salicylate hydroxylase        | 2            | 1 1 1                           |
| Cell death-related            |              |                                 |
| apoptosis-linked protein      | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| autophagy protein             | 3            | 3 2 2                           |
| necrosis- and ethylene-inducing protein | 1 | 1 0 0 |
| programmed cell death protein| 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| Hydrogen scavenging           |              |                                 |
| catalase                      | 8            | 7 0 1                           |
| Mn superoxide dismutase       | 6            | 5 2 1                           |
| peroxiredoxin                 | 5            | 5 1 1                           |
| Cell wall modification and degradation |       |                                 |
| α-amylase                     | 3            | 3 0 0                           |
| acetyl xylan esterase         | 8            | 5 2 3                           |
| α-galactosidase               | 8            | 8 0 0                           |
| arabinoarabinoxylanidase      | 3            | 3 0 0                           |
| arabinoarabinoxylan endo-1, 4β-galactosidase | 1 | 1 0 0 |
| β-glucosidase                 | 9            | 9 0 0                           |
| β-hexosaminidase              | 2            | 1 0 1                           |
| carbohydrate esterase         | 15           | 13 1 2                          |
| carbohydrate-binding module  | 9            | 6 2 5                           |
| cellulase                     | 20           | 17 7 7                          |
| cellulose binding protein     | 4            | 3 1 2                           |
| copper radical oxidase        | 9            | 4 3 4                           |
| dye decolorizing peroxidase   | 3            | 2 0 1                           |
| endo-1,3(4)-β-glucanase       | 13           | 3 11 11                         |
| endo-1,4-β-glucanase          | 16           | 15 3 3                          |
| endo-1,4-β-xylanase           | 10           | 7 6 7                           |
| endo-1,3-nanmanase            | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| endo-β-N-acetylglucosaminidase| 7            | 7 0 0                           |
| endogalactanase               | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| endo-polygalacturonase        | 1            | 1 1 0                           |
| feruloyl esterase             | 5            | 5 1 1                           |
| galactan 1,3-β-galactosidase  | 2            | 2 0 0                           |
| glucan 1,3-β-glucosidase      | 4            | 3 2 3                           |
| glycoside hydrolase family   | 186          | 127 21 36                       |
| glycosyltransferase           | 51           | 44 9 12                         |
| glyoxal oxidase               | 11           | 5 8 8                           |
| laccase                       | 11           | 10 0 1                          |

Table 3 Fungal transcripts in treated and untreated oil palm seedlings (Continued)

|                          |              |                                 |
| L-rhamnosidase           | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| manganese peroxidase     | 12           | 9 1 3                           |
| pectinesterase           | 2            | 2 0 0                           |
| polysaccharide lyase     | 7            | 7 0 0                           |
| polysaccharide synthase  | 2            | 2 0 0                           |
| rhomogalacturonase       | 4            | 4 0 0                           |
| Fatty acid biosynthesis  |              |                                 |
| 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase   | 4            | 3 0 1                           |
| 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase | 2 | 2 0 0 |
| dihydroceramide δ (4)-desaturase | 2 | 2 0 0 |
| fatty acid desaturase    | 22           | 17 8 11                         |
| fatty acid elongase      | 2            | 2 0 0                           |
| fatty acid hydroxylase   | 2            | 2 0 1                           |
| fatty acid synthase      | 2            | 0 1 2                           |
| Phytosterol and steroid biosynthesis | | |
| hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase | 1         | 1 0 0                           |
| lanosterol 14-α-demethylase | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| mevalonate pyrophosphate decarboxylase | 5 | 5 0 0 |
| sterol 14 α-demethylase   | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| sterol 24-C-methyltransferase | 2           | 0 1 1                           |
| sterol δ 5,6-desaturase   | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| sterol-binding protein    | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| Detoxification            |              |                                 |
| aflatoxin-detoxifizyme    | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| dienelactone hydrolase    | 4            | 4 0 0                           |
| Carbon metabolism         |              |                                 |
| glycogen phosphorylase    | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| glycogen synthase         | 8            | 6 2 2                           |
| trehalose synthase        | 5            | 5 0 0                           |
| Nitrogen metabolism       |              |                                 |
| 2-nitropropane dioxygenase | 4            | 2 1 2                           |
| NAD(P)H-nitrite reductase  | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| nitroreductase            | 3            | 3 0 0                           |
| Protein degradation       |              |                                 |
| aspartic peptidease       | 16           | 11 2 9                          |
| aspartic protease         | 3            | 2 0 1                           |
| aspartic proteinase       | 1            | 1 0 0                           |
| endopeptidase             | 3            | 3 0 1                           |
| metalloproteinase         | 3            | 3 1 0                           |
| tripeptidyl peptide       | 2            | 2 0 0                           |
| Amino acid metabolism     |              |                                 |
| aspartate aminotransferase | 8            | 7 0 1                           |
| glutamate decarboxylase   | 1            | 0 0 1                           |
Thus, the up-regulation of this gene is a hemibiotroph, the modulation of ET in treated and untreated oil palm between the pathogen and host to regulate PAL et al. BMC Genomics (Continued) (2016) 17:66

G. boninense gene (G. boninense is a hemibiotroph, the regulation of ET in oil palm due to suppression of JA-induced defence regulation of an oil palm flavonoid, isoflavone phytoalexin, and SA. The down-regulation of a JA-response. The decrease of JA in G-treated oil palm roots could possibly reduce the resistance of oil palm roots to G. boninense caused by other type of pathogen infection [40]. Since G. boninense is a hemibiotroph, the regulation of ET in oil palm could be of central importance to determine the host resistance to this pathogen.

Our results suggested that the interplay of JA, SA and ET signaling pathways may partly determine the downstream molecular response in G-treated oil palm. SA accumulation was reported to increase the resistance of host plant to hemibiotrophic fungi but promote the susceptibility to necrotrophic pathogens [41, 42]. The accumulation of SA and SA signaling may negatively regulate the gene expression of ascrobate oxidase and ascrobate peroxidase which are involved in the scavenging of hydrogen peroxide [43] in G-treated oil palm roots. Hydrogen peroxide has been reported to be involved in HR which limits disease progression caused by biotroph but promotes the invasion of necrotroph. Since pathogenic G. boninense is a hemibiotroph, the modulation of cellular hydrogen peroxide in infected host cells could be critical in determining the disease tolerance/resistance of the host. In this study, a gene encoding hypersensitive-induced reaction (HIR) protein and two genes encoding autophagy related proteins were up-regulated; while a gene encoding defender against apoptotic death was down-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. The oil palm HIR may have similar function as the rice HIR1 (OsHIR1) which can trigger hypersensitive cell death [44]. This may partly contribute to the susceptibility of oil palm roots to the necrotrophic G. boninense.

The downstream oil palm defense responses may involve the activation of various transcription factors (TFs) mainly AP2/ERE, bZIP, Zn finger, NAC, MYB and WRKY (Figs. 2 and 3) by concerted signals. As a result, various pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins were accumulated as evident by the up-regulation of their gene expression. The putative transcripts encoding PR proteins (including glucanases, chitinases, type 2 ribosome inactivating proteins, Bowman Birk serine protease inhibitor, type 2 proteinase inhibitor proteinases, cysteine and subtilisin proteinases), cell wall modifying enzymes such as pectinesterase and its inhibitor, pectate lyase, callose synthase, cellulose synthase and laccases, were up-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. The production of PR proteins and cell wall modification (including callose deposition) are some of the defense mechanisms reported to be operating in host plants in response to pathogenic invasion [45]. Callose deposition causes blockage of

cell death through ET in G-treated oil palm roots. ET was demonstrated to inhibit symptom development in necrotrophic pathogen infection but enhance the cell death caused by other type of pathogen infection [40]. Since G. boninense is a hemibiotroph, the regulation of ET in oil palm could be of central importance to determine the host resistance to this pathogen.

Table 3 Fungal transcripts in treated and untreated oil palm seedlings (Continued)

| Nutrient transport |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
| amino acid permease | 15| 9 | 8 | 8 |
| amino acid transporter | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ammonium transporter | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| glucose transporter | 13| 10| 8 | 7 |
| hexose transporter | 14| 10| 11| 12|
| monosaccharide transporter | 10| 6 | 7 | 7 |
| multihub transporter | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| oligopeptide transporter | 17| 15| 0 | 2 |
| peptide transporter | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| phosphate transporter | 25| 14| 13| 18|
| phospholipid transporter | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| sterol carrier protein | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| sugar transporter | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| sulfate transporter | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

| glutamate dehydrogenase | 16| 15| 0 | 1 |
| glutamine synthetase | 14| 10| 10| 11 |
| arginase | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| arginosuccinate lyase | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| arginosuccinate synthetase | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ornithine carbamoyltransferase | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| atropurpurea [38]. Thus, the up-regulation of this gene could possibly reduce the resistance of oil palm roots to G. boninense due to suppression of JA-induced defence response. The decrease of JA in G-treated oil palm roots is further corroborated by the down-regulation of a JA-inducible gene encoding phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL). PAL is a key enzyme for the biosynthesis of cinnamic acid (CA) precursor which can be channeled to the biosynthetic pathways of monolignols, flavone/flavonoid, isoflavone phytoalexin, and SA. The downstream oil palm PAL gene (EgPAL) in G-treated oil palms was also supported by a previous study [21].

The accumulation of transcripts related to the methylation of SA to its activated form (methyl-SA) in G-treated oil palm suggests the involvement of methyl-SA in hormone signaling and possibly in systemic response in neighboring uninfected cells. True enough, the genes encoding NPR1 and NPR interactor which are involved in the signal transduction pathway that leads to SA-mediated systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [39], were also up-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots. The level of ET in G-treated oil palm tissues could be modulated by the expression of genes encoding ACC oxidase-like proteins. Different isoforms of ACC oxidases appear to be the principal targets whereby different gene sequences encoding this enzyme were up- and down-regulated in G-treated oil palm roots, complicated the deduction of the role of ET in G-treated oil palm roots. There could be “tug-of-war” between the pathogen and host to regulate cell death through ET in G-treated oil palm roots. ET was demonstrated to inhibit symptom development in necrotrophic pathogen infection but enhance the cell death caused by other type of pathogen infection [40]. Since G. boninense is a hemibiotroph, the regulation of ET in oil palm could be of central importance to determine the host resistance to this pathogen.

Table 3 Fungal transcripts in treated and untreated oil palm seedlings (Continued)

| Nutrient transport |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
| amino acid permease | 15| 9 | 8 | 8 |
| amino acid transporter | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ammonium transporter | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| glucose transporter | 13| 10| 8 | 7 |
| hexose transporter | 14| 10| 11| 12|
| monosaccharide transporter | 10| 6 | 7 | 7 |
| multihub transporter | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| oligopeptide transporter | 17| 15| 0 | 2 |
| peptide transporter | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| phosphate transporter | 25| 14| 13| 18|
| phospholipid transporter | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| sterol carrier protein | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| sugar transporter | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| sulfate transporter | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |


| glutamate dehydrogenase | 16| 15| 0 | 1 |
| glutamine synthetase | 14| 10| 10| 11 |
| arginase | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| arginosuccinate lyase | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| arginosuccinate synthetase | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ornithine carbamoyltransferase | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |



plasmodesmata impeding cell-to-cell movement of pathogens while methyl esterified cell wall pectin is less susceptible to hydrolysis of fungal polygalacturonases [46].

It is noted that many genes encoding xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase and xyloglucan glycosyltransferases were down- and up-regulated, respectively, in the G-treated oil palm roots. Xylose was found to be able to enhance the production of fungal laccase which is a lignolytic enzyme [47]. Infected oil palm could possibly strengthen the cell wall by reducing the accumulation of xyloglucan degrading enzymes (thus reducing fungal laccase), by modifying xyloglucans in the host cell wall. The amount of lignin may also increase in G-treated oil palms due to the accumulation of transcripts related to monolignol biosynthesis especially trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase and caffeic acid 3-O-methyltransferase. As the first line of barrier to pathogen, cell wall and its biosynthesis and modification may play an important role in oil palm in its defense against G. boninense.

The up-regulation of genes encoding isoflavone 2′-hydroxylase and isoflavone 3′-hydroxylase in G-treated oil palms suggested an accumulation of unknown isoflavones. However, the down-regulation of a gene encoding isoflavone reductase in G-treated oil palms may dampen the accumulation of phytalexins in G-treated oil palm roots. A rice isoflavone reductase-like gene, OsIRL, was demonstrated to be positively regulated by JA and negatively regulated by SA [48]. It is possible that the fungal elicitor from G. boninense could suppress JA and the JA-induced defence in G-treated oil palms including the down-regulation of isoflavone reductase. Furthermore, a high number of transcripts encoding sesquiterpene synthases, cytochrome P450s and a few other enzymes (in less number of transcripts) that are involved in terpene, sterol and steroid biosynthesis were also differentially expressed suggesting the accumulation of biochemical compounds in G-treated oil palms. A complex regulation of terpenoid pathway may lead to the production of sesquiterpenoid phytalexins with fungitoxicity and also phyto-axin while methyl esterified cell wall pectin is less susceptible to hydrolysis of fungal polygalacturonases [46].

The expression of genes encoding isoflavone reductase. Furthermore, a high number of transcripts encoding sesquiterpene synthases, cytochrome P450s and a few other enzymes (in less number of transcripts) that are involved in terpene, sterol and steroid biosynthesis were also differentially expressed suggesting the accumulation of biochemical compounds in G-treated oil palms. A complex regulation of terpenoid pathway may lead to the production of sesquiterpenoid phytalexins with fungitoxicity and also phyto-axin while methyl esterified cell wall pectin is less susceptible to hydrolysis of fungal polygalacturonases [46].

The up-regulation of genes encoding isoflavone 2′-hydroxylase and isoflavone 3′-hydroxylase in G-treated oil palms suggested an accumulation of unknown isoflavones. However, the down-regulation of a gene encoding isoflavone reductase in G-treated oil palms may dampen the accumulation of phytalexins in G-treated oil palm roots. A rice isoflavone reductase-like gene, OsIRL, was demonstrated to be positively regulated by JA and negatively regulated by SA [48]. It is possible that the fungal elicitor from G. boninense could suppress JA and the JA-induced defence in G-treated oil palms including the down-regulation of isoflavone reductase. Furthermore, a high number of transcripts encoding sesquiterpene synthases, cytochrome P450s and a few other enzymes (in less number of transcripts) that are involved in terpene, sterol and steroid biosynthesis were also differentially expressed suggesting the accumulation of biochemical compounds in G-treated oil palms. A complex regulation of terpenoid pathway may lead to the production of sesquiterpenoid phytalexins with fungitoxicity and also phyto-axin while methyl esterified cell wall pectin is less susceptible to hydrolysis of fungal polygalacturonases [46].

What are the expressed genes from G. boninense that are involved in colonization of oil palm roots?

As a white rot fungus, G. boninense is able to degrade and mineralize all components of plant cell walls, including the recalcitrant lignin. Transcriptome sequencing of G-treated oil palm roots also allowed us to have an overview of the fungal genes being expressed in G-treated oil palm roots, including genes encoding enzymes involved in lignin degradation (manganese peroxidase and laccases). Besides, there are also fungal transcripts encoding many glycoside hydrolases and glycosyltransferases from various families, carbohydrate binding modules, cellulosomes, pectinesterases, polysaccharide lyase etc., suggesting their active roles in degrading other plant cell wall components. Fungal transcripts encoding glyoxal oxidase which is required for filamentous growth and pathogenicity in Ustilago maydis [50] were also found among the fungal transcripts in G-treated oil palm roots. Glyoxal oxidase was also found to have a role in peroxide production for diverse oxidative reactions during wood decay [51].

Fungal gene sequences that are involved in ergosterol biosynthesis were found among transcripts in G-treated oil palms exclusively. As mentioned earlier, ergosterol which is prevalent in the membrane of G. boninense may be perceived by oil palm PRP as a PAMP which triggers PTI in the host plant. Ergosterol was shown to be able to trigger differential changes in the metabolome and variation in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in tobacco cells [49]. It also induces expression of genes encoding PR proteins and PAL [52]. In addition, immunomodulatory protein and allergens from G. boninense may also function in triggering host defense. The presence of a fungal gene encoding necrosis- and ethylene-inducing (NEP) 1 protein in G-treated oil palm roots is of particular interest as this protein has been found to be able to induce the formation of necrotic lesions and expression of genes encoding PR proteins, as well as to trigger the production of ROS in host plants [53]. NEP1 may cause phytotoxicity to oil palm roots through cytolysis and increased membrane permeability [54].

On the other hand, the presence of fungal transcripts encoding catalases, toxin detoxification proteins, and multidrug transporters, may assist the pathogen surviving the defense mechanism of host plants. Furthermore, two different fungal transcripts encoding SA hydroxylases were found in G-treated oil palm root and untreated roots respectively. The questions as to whether these enzymes were secreted into host cells and able to degrade host SA are unknown. A few transcripts encoding fungal elicitors that have been reported in other pathosystems were discovered among the fungal transcripts, however, their roles await further investigation. All in all, the gene products mentioned above may contribute to the virulence of G. boninense.

How did the avirulent symbiont T. harzianum evade host defense?

Approximately 20% and 37% of the GOSlim terms that are enriched among the up- and down-regulated genes in G-treated oil palm roots, was found to be also enriched among those in oil palm roots treated with T. harzianum, respectively. This shows that a common set of oil palm genes were up- and down-regulated by both fungi irrespective of whether they are pathogenic or avirulent fungi. However, different individual genes
categorized under the same GOSlim may play different roles, shaping the final response adapted to the challenging pathogens (Table 2).

In plants colonized by *Trichoderma* sp., the endophytic fungus was found to be limited to a few root cortical cell layers in plant roots [55]. *T. harzianum* was able to colonize oil palm roots without causing any lesions. Since the T-treated oil palm seedlings were asymptomatic, the host may be able to limit the colonization of *Trichoderma* by reinforcing cell wall and producing antimicrobial compounds and ROS [56–60]. This is further substantiated by the differential expression of genes encoding enzymes involved in the production of secondary metabolites (mainly alkaloids, glycoside and ketone with unknown functions), cell wall biosynthesis, cell wall modification and respiratory burst in T-treated oil palm roots. *T. harzianum* may remain accommodated by the host as an avirulent symbiont by suppressing plant defense through the secretion of still unknown fungal effectors [61].

The enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of ergosterol was absent in T-treated oil palm roots indicating that this fungal cell membrane sterol may not serve as a PAMP in T-treated oil palm roots that triggers the downstream defense response. Indeed, the ergosterol-inducible genes encoding sesquiterpene synthases [62] were found to be not differentially expressed in T-treated oil palm roots.

The interaction between the oil palm and *T. harzianum* must have been finely tuned with promising benefits to both partners [61]. The expression of three genes encoding ACC oxidases were found to be down-regulated, while another one was found to be up-regulated in T-treated oil palm roots compared to those in untreated oil palms. Since many of these genes were different from those encoding the same enzyme in G-treated oil palms, it is obvious that these genes were elegantly regulated by different signals. The genes encoding OPR and COI were down- and up-regulated in T-treated oil palm roots, respectively, indicating the suppression of JA biosynthesis and JA-mediated defense response in T-treated oil palm roots. It is not surprising since *T. harzianum* may not be causing necrosis or wounding to oil palm roots. The up-regulation of three genes encoding NPR1-like proteins suggests that an active SA signaling pathway is present in T-treated oil palms. The cross talks of hormones may decide the final defense response in the host, allowing the survival of *T. harzianum* but effectively containing its spread in plant host.

Although the genes encoding Rbohs were up-regulated and SA signaling could negatively regulate the gene expression of enzymes which are involved in the scavenging of hydrogen peroxide in T-treated oil palm roots (Fig. 2), the gene expression of two genes encoding defender against apoptotic death were up-regulated, suggesting that their gene products may serve as negative regulators of cell death [63] in T-treated oil palm roots. It is logical that *T. harzianum* could avoid cell death in host cells as one of its survival strategies in host plants.

Unlike G-treated oil palm roots, the gene encoding polygalacturonase was down-regulated in T-treated oil palm roots, implicating differences in cell wall modification mechanisms and possibly also the types of DAMP induced by the two fungi. On the other hand, fewer fungal genes encoding cell wall degrading/modification enzymes were expressed in the transcriptome of T-treated oil palm roots. Transcripts encoding laccases and peroxidases were not found among the fungal genes in T-treated oil palm roots. It is possibly important for the fungus to avoid from generating DAMPs that may trigger host defense system in its attempt to establish a symbiotic (or at least a neutral) relationship with its host plant. In addition, *T. harzianum* may avoid over accumulation of SA in the host plants by producing SA hydroxylase coinciding with the presence of a transcript encoding this enzyme among the fungal transcripts in the T-treated oil palm seedlings.

**What are the fungal genes from *T. harzianum* that are involved in biological control of *G. boninense*?**

Several fungal elicitors from *T. virens* were reported to be able to activate plant basal immunity such as the ET-inducing xylanase [64], the proteinaceous non-enzymatic elicitor Sm1 [65, 66] and the 18 mer pep-taibols [67]. Although these genes were not found among the genes in T-treated oil palm roots, we do not exclude the possibility that they are regulated by other mechanisms at translation or post-translational levels. The biological control mechanisms by *Trichoderma* spp. could also be achieved by direct effect of *Tricho-derma* on pathogen through competition for space and nutrients, parasitisation of other fungi by producing antimicrobial compounds and anti fungal enzymes (reviewed in [68]). Indeed, the genes encoding for phosphate transporters, hexose transporter, monosaccharide transporters and carbohydrate metabolism were found to be abundant among the genes in T-treated oil palm roots, in favor of better competition for nutrients. Fungal genes from *T. harzianum* that are potentially involved in parasitisation of *G. boninense* were unreported while those involved in the production of antimicrobial compounds and anti fungal enzymes were not identified. Our results demonstrated that *T. harzianum* is more likely to strengthen the host plants by improving the nutrient status of host plants.

**Conclusions**

Investigation on the transcriptomes of oil palm roots in response to *G. boninense* has enabled us to dissect
the defense mechanisms involved in the establishment of BSR: 1. Ergosterol, fungal cell wall components, host cell wall components (degraded by fungal CWDEs) may elicit the defense responses of oil palm roots; 2. JA biosynthesis, signaling and JA-mediated defense response may be suppressed while the SA biosynthesis, signaling and SA-mediated defense could be activated in G-treated oil palm roots by the pathogen; 3. *G. boninense* and the host plant may compete to control the establishment of disease symptom through the transcriptional regulation of ET biosynthesis, hydrogen peroxide production and scavenging, apoptosis and autophagy; 4. Colonized oil palm roots may produce PR proteins and secondary metabolites that have antifungal activities against *G. boninense*; and 5. Colonized oil palm may strengthen its cell wall through cell wall modifying enzymes as its defense response.

The endophytic *T. harzianum* could possibly evade the defense response of oil palm roots by suppressing both JA and ET biosynthesis, signaling and defense responses and avoid establishment of cell-death. However, oil palm could limit the spread of this avirulent symbiotic fungus by producing tailored made secondary metabolites from different groups. Analyses of the transcriptome of oil palm roots colonized with *T. harzianum* shed light on the possible biocontrol mechanisms employed by *T. harzianum* against *G. boninense* which include improved nutrition status of host plants through mobilization of nutrients. The findings of this analysis enhance our understanding on the molecular interactions of *G. boninense* and oil palm, and also the biocontrol mechanisms involving *T. harzianum*, thus contributing to future formulations of better strategies to eradicate BSR.

**Methods**

**Preparation of Ganoderma boninense-inoculated rubber wood blocks**

Rubber wood blocks (6 cm x 6 cm x 12 cm each) were sterilized at 121 °C for 30 min before they were added with 100 ml potato sucrose agar (PSA) per rubber wood block and autoclaved. The autoclaved wood blocks were inoculated with *Ganoderma boninense* PER71 (Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Bangi, Malaysia) cultures that were grown on PSA at 28 °C for 7 d, and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 8 weeks. The fungal strain selected for this study is a reference strain which has been reported to be pathogenic to oil palm [12, 13, 15].

**Preparation of surface mulch and conidial suspension from Trichoderma harzianum**

For the preparation of conidial suspension, *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai strain T32 (Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia) was grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA; BD, France) at 25 °C for 7 d. The spores were then harvested and the concentration of conidia was determined using a haemocytometer (Neubauer, Marienfeld, Germany). To prepare surface mulch from *T. harzianum*, approximately 150 g palm pressed fibers (PPF) of empty fruit bunch (EFB) were rinsed with water and autoclaved at 121 °C for 45 min in a plastic bag. The autoclaved PPF and EFB were inoculated with 20 ml of *T. harzianum* conidial suspension containing 1–9 x 10⁸ spores/ml, sealed and incubated at 25 °C for two weeks in the dark.

**Treatments of oil palm seedlings**

A total of 27 five-month-old oil palm *Elaeis guineensis* GH 500 Series (*Durax Pisifera*) seedlings were purchased from Sime Darby Seeds and Agricultural Services Sdn. Bhd. (Banting, Malaysia) for the following treatments: 1. artificial inoculation with *G. boninense* (G-treatment); 2. artificial inoculation with *T. harzianum* (T-treatment); and as controls (untreated oil palm seedlings). The genotypes of these oil palm seedlings have not been reported to be tolerant against *Ganoderma* spp. In fact, previous studies have found them to be susceptible to *G. boninense* [21].

For oil palm seedlings in the G-treatment, the roots of each oil palm seedling were placed in contact with a rubber wood block inoculated with *G. boninense* and covered with a mix of top soil and sand in a ratio of 2:1. For oil palm seedlings in the T-treatment, 300 g of *T. harzianum* surface mulch was placed at the basal part of each seedling, and 500 ml conidial suspension of *T. harzianum* was applied directly to each seedling every fortnight. The seedlings were arranged in a complete randomized design in a green house. Three seedlings were harvested from respective treatments at 3, 6 and 12 week post inoculation (wpi) with three untreated oil palm seedlings as controls. The whole roots were collected for analysis.

**RNA preparation**

Total RNA from the roots of oil palm was isolated using a modified CTAB method as described by Wang et al. [69] and treated with DNase I (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK). The quality and integrity of RNA were evaluated using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The RNA samples of nine biological replicates from each treatment or control were pooled for RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR.

**Massive RNA sequencing and de novo assembly of RNA-seq**

RNA sequencing was conducted on RNA samples from untreated, G-, and T-treated oil palm roots, with the
Illumina technology (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The mRNA-seq data have been deposited at European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the accession number PRJEB7252. The short reads obtained from the roots were converted to FASTQ format and analyzed with Fastxtoolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) for quality check with a minimum Phred value of 20. To remove the sequences that have high identities to fungal sequences, the sequence reads were mapped to the genome sequences of *Ganoderma* sp. 10597 SS1, *T. harzianum* CBS226.95, *T. virens* Gv29-8 and *T. reesei* (downloaded from Department of Energy Joint Genome Institutes; JGI; http://genome.jgi-psf.org/), with Bowtie 0.12.7 (Langmead et al. 2009). After the removal of probable fungal sequences, de novo assembly of RNA-seq was performed with Velvet (version 1.1.05, [70]) and Oases assembler (version 0.1.22, [71]) at an optimized k-mer size for each data set. The assembled sequences from the root samples were then clustered with TIGICL [72] and CAP3 [73] with a minimum overlap length of 100 bp and an identity at 97 % to form unigenes.

Annotation of transcripts
The unigenes were translated into six reading frames and compared with non-redundant (nr) database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) with BLASTX [74]. Matches with *E*-values equal or less than $10^{-5}$ were treated as ‘significant matches’. Sequences with no hits or matches with *E*-values more than $10^{-5}$ were classified as ‘non-significant matches’. Unigenes with their most significant matches originating from bacteria, fungi, animal and other non-plant organisms, were separated from plant sequences. The unigenes of plant and fungal origins were mapped to Gene Ontology (GO) with Blast2GO [75] (www.blast2go.com/). GOSlim terms were assigned to each unigenic.

Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)
The sequence reads from each sample (without the fungal sequences) were mapped to the unigenes originating from plants with Tophat 2.02 [76]. The number of reads that mapped to each assembled sequence was calculated using HTseq-count (http://www-huber.embl.de/users/anders/HTSeq/). DESeq [29] was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between two samples. The variance was estimated by treating the samples being compared as if they were replicates of the same condition [29]. Genes that have a log$_2$ [Number of reads in treated oil palm roots/Number of reads in untreated oil palm roots] ≥ [2.0] (corresponding to 4-fold or more for up- down-regulation, respectively) with a *P*-value ≤ 0.05, were defined as significant DEGs in the two samples being compared. These oil palm DEGs were matched to *Arabidopsis* coding sequences (CDS) TAIR10 build 29 (ftp://ftpensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-29/fasta/arabidopsis_thaliana/cds/) by tblastx with a maximum *E*-values equal or less than $10^{-5}$, and displayed by MapMan software (http://mapman.gabipd.org/) [77].

Enrichment of GO Slim terms among DEGs
The enrichment of GO Slim terms among the DEGs was tested with Fisher’s Exact Test with Multiple Testing Correction of false discovery rate (FDR) [78]. GOSlim term with a FDR which is equal or less than 0.05 was considered to be significantly “enriched”. The enriched GO terms were summarized by REVIGO [79] by removing redundant GO terms and presented by Circos software [80].

Verification of DEGs
Verification of DEGs was conducted using the same plant materials and RNA samples used for mRNA-seq. RNA treated with DNaseI (1.2 μg) was reverse-transcribed with Affinity Script QPCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The genes and primers used for real-time qRT-PCR are listed in Additional file 1: Table S4. Real-time quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR was performed in BIO-RAD iQ5 iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA) in quadruplicates. Each PCR reaction (20 μl) contained 200 ng of the first strand cDNA, 200 nM forward and reverse primers, 30nM reference dye, and 1× master mix from Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR® Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The PCR condition was 95 °C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 10 s. Two endogenous controls encoding actin and cDNA were amplified in parallel for normalizing the qPCR data. The amplification efficiency was estimated according to the equation: $E=\left[10^{(-1/C_{t})}\right]-1\times100$ [81]. The relative transcript levels were calculated based on a comparative $C_{t}$ method using multiple reference genes for normalization [82].

Availability of supporting data
The raw reads for this project have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the accession number PRJEB7252.

Additional file
Additional file 1: Table S1. DEGs in G-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots. Table S2. DEGs in T-treated oil palm roots compared to untreated roots. Table S3. Fungal transcripts in oil palm transcriptomes. Table S4. List of qRT-PCR primers used for verification of DEGs. (XLSX 833 kb)
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