INHIBITORY EFFECT OF ESSENTIAL OILS AGAINST *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* AND STARTER CULTURE IN FERMENTED MILK DURING ITS SHELF-LIFE PERIOD
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ABSTRACT

The use of essential oils in foods has attracted great interest, due to their antagonistic action against pathogenic microorganisms. However, this action is undesirable for probiotic foods, as products containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. The aim of the present study was to measure the sensitivity profile of *L. rhamnosus* and a yogurt starter culture in fermented milk, upon addition of increasing concentrations of cinnamon, clove and mint essential oils. Essential oils were prepared by steam distillation, and chemically characterised by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and determination of density. Survival curves were obtained from counts of *L. rhamnosus* and the starter culture (alone and in combination), upon addition of 0.04% essential oils. In parallel, titratable acidity was monitored over 28 experimental days. Minimum inhibitory concentration values, obtained using the microdilution method in Brain Heart Infusion medium, were 0.025, 0.2 and 0.4% for cinnamon, clove and mint essential oils, respectively. Cinnamon essential oil had the highest antimicrobial activity, especially against the starter culture, interfering with lactic acid production. Although viable cell counts of *L. rhamnosus* were lower following treatment with all 3 essential oils, relative to controls, these results were not statistically significant; in addition, cell counts remained greater than the minimum count of 10⁸CFU/mL required for a product to be considered a probiotic. Thus, although use of cinnamon essential oil in yogurt makes starter culture fermentation unfeasible, it does not prevent the application of *L. rhamnosus* to probiotic fermented milk. Furthermore, clove and mint essential oil caused sublethal stress to *L. rhamnosus*.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most important parameters influencing the development of probiotic foods is the viability of probiotic cells, which depends on numerous secondary elements: optimal growth and survival during food processing; storage; transit through the gastrointestinal tract; adherence to the intestinal epithelium; antimicrobial properties; and antibiotic resistance.
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In addition, probiotic microorganisms must remain viable during large-scale production and incorporation into food products without loss of functionality (16).

The main bacterial genera considered for use as probiotics are *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, which can be incorporated into foods alone or in combination. According to Brazilian legislation, to produce beneficial effects, probiotic bacteria must be viable, with a concentration between $10^8$ and $10^9$ CFU/g product, and their consumption should be associated with a balanced diet and healthy lifestyle (2).

Several functional properties associated with Gram-positive lactobacillus *L. rhamnosus* have been reported in the literature, including: an anti-obesity effect (18), gastrointestinal tract colonisation (15, 17), enhanced immunity (22), anti-inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) effects (5); and anti-carcinogenic effects (13).

However, milk fermentation by one or more probiotic species requires a long incubation period, resulting in a low-quality product; thus, probiotics are generally produced in association with a yogurt starter culture. Yogurt is traditionally obtained by fermentation of a starter culture composed of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* (22).

The viability of probiotic bacteria is mainly affected by competition with other contaminating microorganisms. Thus, it is important that undesirable cells be inactivated, without interfering with the development of beneficial bacteria (16).

The addition of essential oils (EOs) to foods has aroused great interest, because of their antagonistic action against pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms. This action, however, may affect probiotic bacteria in probiotic containing foods. Thus, the present study aimed to determine the antimicrobial activity of cinnamon, clove and mint EOs (at the maximum accepted concentration, based on a previous sensory analysis) against *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and a yogurt starter culture, during the shelf-life period of fermented milk. In addition, *in vitro* assays were conducted to obtain the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of EOs and chemical analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)**

Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*), clove (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) and mint (*Mentha piperita* L.) EOs were extracted by steam distillation, using a distiller designed for EO production (model MA480 - Marconi), and their densities were obtained by weighing a 1mL volume (10). Chemical characterisation was performed using a gas chromatograph attached to a mass spectrometer (GC-MS) (model QP5050A - Shimazu), with a CBP-5 capillary column (50 m in length, 0.25 mm inner diameter and 0.25 μm film thickness). The injector temperature was 250°C and the interface temperature was 250°C; the detector was operated in electron impact (EI) mode at 70eV and Helium was used as the carrier gas. Gas chromatographic conditions for cinnamon EOs were as follows: an initial temperature of 60°C was followed by heating to 160°C at 3°C.min⁻¹, heating to 220°C at 15°C.min⁻¹, and maintenance at 220°C for 20min. For clove EO, the initial temperature was 50°C, followed by heating to 180°C at 3°C.min⁻¹, and maintenance at 180°C for 40min. For mint EO, the initial temperature was 70°C, followed by heating to 240°C at 4°C.min⁻¹, and maintenance at 240°C for 20min. EO components were identified based on the NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology, MD, USA) mass spectrum analysis library, as well as data available in the literature (1).

**Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

Sensitivity assays were performed in triplicate for each EO in ELISA microplates, using the microdilution method (6). Assays were conducted in Brain Heart Infusion medium (BHI-Difco) plus 0.5% Tween 80; and EO concentrations of 0.025, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, 1.00, 1.50 and 2.00
Essential oils against *L. rhamnosus* (%v/v) were prepared in a final volume of 200µL medium. Positive (bacteria plus culture medium) and negative (culture medium only) control assays were also assayed, and control assays for EO sterility (medium plus oils) were performed. The bacterial inoculum was standardised in 0.85% sterile saline solution according to the 0.5 McFarland scale, from a culture obtained after growth at 37°C for 48h, resulting in a bacterial suspension of approximately 1.5x10⁸ CFU/mL. For the assay, 2µL of the standardised bacterial suspension was inoculated into 200µL medium containing 0.025, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, 1.00, 1.50 or 2.00 (%v/v) EO (cinnamon, clove or mint), yielding an initial count of approximately 10⁵ CFU/mL. After incubation at 35ºC/24h, an assay for bacterial growth was performed by addition of a redox indicator (0.01% resazurin); blue coloration indicated a negative result, whereas pink coloration indicated a positive result for bacterial growth. The MIC was considered to be the concentration of EO at which no bacterial growth was observed after the incubation period.

**Sensory analysis for yogurt containing cinnamon EO**

This analysis included 28 untrained panellists of both sexes, aged 20 to 50 years old. A 9-point Hedonic Scale test was applied, with options ranging from Like Extremely to Dislike Extremely (20). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of São Paulo State University and was carried out according to the ethical principles established in 1964 by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Yogurt was obtained by inoculating pasteurized milk with the Thermophilic Yogurt Culture Yo-Flex® (Christian Hansen-Brazil), which was then incubated at 42°C until 0.80% lactic acid was formed, followed by homogenization with 20% (w/v) fruit pulp prepared with banana in natura and 10% (w/v) sugar. Treatments were established by adding cinnamon EO at concentrations of 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4% (w/w).

After 48 h processing, two sensory analysis sessions were performed to prevent sensory fatigue by the panelists: between 09:00 and 11:00 a.m. and between 3:00 and 5:00 p.m. During each analysis period, 4 food samples with randomly interspersed concentrations were served.

Results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test, and the maximum organoleptically accepted concentration for cinnamon EO in yogurt was that which received a minimum score of 7.0, equivalent to Like Moderately.

**Survival curve assays for *L. rhamnosus* and starter culture**

Because yogurt is prepared with pasteurised milk, this food model was used as the milk base for the fermented milk in this study. The milk base was sterilised to ensure fermentation of only the microorganisms employed in the assays. The growth medium consisted of 200mL of 12% (w/v) reconstituted skimmed milk plus 10% (w/v) sugar (9). Flasks containing growth media were autoclaved at 121°C for 15min and cooled to 42°C. *L. rhamnosus* (strain ATCC 9595) was obtained from the standard collection of microorganisms at the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Rio de Janeiro – Brazil). The bacterial inoculum was obtained in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) after incubation at 35°C/48h, followed by preparation of a standardised 0.5 McFarland Scale suspension (1.5x10⁸CFU/mL) in 0.85% sterile saline solution. Aliquots (1 mL) from this suspension were directly added to the flasks, resulting in an initial food concentration of approximately 7.5x10⁵CFU/mL.

For the yogurt starter culture, a lyophilized culture of *Thermophilic Yoghurt Culture Yo-Flex®* (Christian Hansen-Brazil) was activated at a concentration of 10g/L per fermentation at 42°C/4h. The starter culture inoculum consisted of 1mL of activated culture, for a final concentration of 0.05g/L, as recommended by the manufacturer.

The concentration of EOs used to measure the survival curves was 0.04% (v/v), which was previously determined as described above in a sensory analysis of cinnamon EO;
assays (measuring *L. rhamnosus* counts to yield a survival curve) were conducted on cinnamon, clove and mint EOs at the same concentration, to enable comparison among treatments with the 3 different EOs.

Treatments were prepared as follows: 1) LR control (without addition of EO) for *L. rhamnosus*; 2) LR + 0.04% cinnamon EO; 3) LR + 0.04% clove EO; 4) LR + 0.04% mint EO; 5) SC control for the starter culture; 6) SC + 0.04% cinnamon EO; 7) SC + 0.04% clove EO; 8) SC + 0.04% mint EO; 9) LR-SC control for *L. rhamnosus* + starter culture; 10) LR-SC + 0.04% cinnamon EO; 11) LR-SC + 0.04% clove EO; and 12) LR-SC + 0.04% mint EO.

**Viable cell count assays:** After addition of inoculum into the substrates for the probiotic microorganisms and starter culture, EOs were added to the respective treatment flasks. Flasks were then stored in a water bath at 42ºC/7h, followed by incubation at ±4ºC in a refrigerator until the end of the experiment, for a total of 28 days.

For viable cell count assays, surfaces were sown in duplicate on Petri dishes containing Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) medium enriched with 1% yeast extract (6) at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 21, 37, 55, 73, 176 (7 days), 344 (14 days), 512 (21 days) and 680 (28 days) hours after serial dilution of each treatment and respective controls. The incubation period for the bacterial count was 35ºC/48h.

In treatments containing both *L. rhamnosus* and starter culture, counts were done individually for both bacteria, because their colonies have distinct morphological features: *L. rhamnosus* colonies were round, uniform, and bright white, whereas starter culture colonies were oval-shaped, non-uniform, transparent and opaque. Both colonies had a diameter of approximately 1mm each.

Thus, for treatments 9 through 12, two counts were performed for each dish, for a total of 16 reading groups for the viable cell number (Table 1).

**Table 1. Description of counts and treatments for *L. rhamnosus* and starter culture viable cell counts in the survival curve.**

| Microbial count | Treatment | Description |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
| 1               | 1 LR control (*L. rhamnosus*) |  |
| 2               | 2 LR + 0.04% cinnamon EO |  |
| 3               | 3 LR + 0.04% clove EO |  |
| 4               | 4 LR + 0.04% mint EO |  |
| 5               | 5 SC control (starter culture) |  |
| 6               | 6 SC + 0.04% cinnamon EO |  |
| 7               | 7 SC + 0.04% clove EO |  |
| 8               | 8 SC + 0.04% mint EO |  |
| 9               | 9 LR+SC control (*L. rhamnosus* count only) |  |
| 10              | 9 LR+SC control (starter culture count only) |  |
| 11              | 10 LR+SC + 0.04% cinnamon EO (*L. rhamnosus* count only) |  |
| 12              | 10 LR+SC + 0.04% clove EO (starter culture count only) |  |
| 13              | 11 LR+SC + 0.04% cinnamon EO (*L. rhamnosus* count only) |  |
| 14              | 11 LR+SC + 0.04% clove EO (starter culture count only) |  |
| 15              | 12 LR+SC + 0.04% mint EO (*L. rhamnosus* count only) |  |
| 16              | 12 LR+SC + 0.04% mint EO (starter culture count only) |  |

**Titratable acidity:** Titratable acidity was analysed at 0, 3, 5, 7, 176 (7 days) and 680 (28 days) hours. For each treatment, 10mL aliquots were aseptically removed and 100mL distilled water was added. Titrations were performed with Dornic solution (NaOH N/9), and the volume of NaOH used was expressed as Dornic degrees (ºD) (4).

**Statistical analysis:** Results from sensory analyses were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test, and the maximum organoleptically accepted concentration for cinnamon EO in yogurt was that given a minimum score equal 1150
to 7.0, equivalent to Like Moderately.

Friedman’s test was used for the viable cell count and titratable acidity data, and medians were compared using the Dunn method.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemical characterisation of EOs by GC-MS**

The measured EO densities are as follows: 1.0049g/mL (cinnamon), 1.0121g/mL (clove), and 0.8830g/mL (mint). Based on GC-MS, cinnamon EO contains cinnamaldehyde as the major compound, followed by cinnamyl and cineol (these 3 components make up 89.58% of the constituents identified). For clove EO, eugenol and eugenol acetate were the major compounds identified (99.66%); while for mint EO, menthone and pulegone were the major constituents (76.72%).

**Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

According to the microdilution method, MIC is defined as the lowest concentration required to inhibit visible microbial growth. MIC values are most frequently determined by either measuring turbidity or using a resazurin redox indicator; the latter has the advantage of preventing precipitation and allowing for direct reading (7). Based on MIC readings obtained using resazurin, microbial growth was not observed under any tested concentration of cinnamon EO; thus, the MIC value for cinnamon EO is $\leq 0.025\%v/v$. For clove and mint EOs, MIC values were found to be 0.2 and 0.4%v/v, respectively.

MIC values for cinnamon EO were reported to be 0.05% against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogenes*, other Gram-positive bacteria (20). For clove and mint EOs, MIC values against *S. aureus* were 0.25% and 1.0%, respectively (12). Goñi et al. (11) obtained MIC values of 18mg/L against *Bacillus cereus* and *L. monocytogenes*, 90mg/L against *Enterococcus faecalis*, and 27mg/L against *S. aureus*.

**Sensory analysis**

The acceptance of yogurt by panellists decreased as the concentration of cinnamon EO in the food increased. There was no statistical difference at 5% from the lowest concentration to 0.04% cinnamon EO, and the mean score of 6.28 acceptance for 0.04% cinnamon EO corresponded to Like Slightly on the Hedonic Scale; however, the median score for 0.04% cinnamon EO was 7.00, consistent with the fact that 50% of the data corresponded to Like Moderately. Thus, 0.04% was considered to be the maximum organoleptically accepted concentration.

This concentration (0.04%) is higher than the MIC for cinnamon EO, but less than the MIC for clove and mint EO against *L. rhamnosus*. Although bactericidal activity was detected *in vitro* at concentrations lower than 0.1% EOs (23), concentrations between 0.5 and 1.0% were demonstrated to be necessary to successfully inhibit food contamination (24).

Thus, a search for technological alternatives that favour the antimicrobial action of EOs at low concentrations in foods is required; for example by identifying possible synergistic effects between EOs, or other conservation technologies. However, it is important to ensure that the concentration of EOs used in probiotic products has no effect on beneficial bacteria.

**Survival curve assays for L. rhamnosus and the starter culture**

*L. rhamnosus* does not produce sufficient lactic acid for the production of yogurt or other fermented milk products, and must be combined with a yogurt starter culture (22). Based on median values from the titratable acidity data (shown in Table 2), treatments containing *L. rhamnosus* alone did not differ from the LR control. LR treatments containing clove and mint
EO (treatments 3 and 4) had a slight increase compared to the LR control. However, for LR treatments containing cinnamon EO (treatment 2), the acidity value remained close to baseline acidity values (Fig. 1).

**Table 2.** Medians of *L. rhamnosus* and starter culture viable cell counts and titratable acidity.

| Treatments | Description of Microbial Counts | Log  | D  |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|----|
| 1          | 1: Control LR (*L. rhamnosus*)  | 8.15 | 29.5 |
| 2          | 2: LR + cinnamon EO             | 7.02 | 25.0 |
| 3          | 3: LR + clove EO                | 7.87 | 31.0 |
| 4          | 4: LR + mint EO                 | 7.91 | 32.0 |
| 5          | 5: Control SC (starter culture) | 9.99 | 55.0 |
| 6          | 6: SC + cinnamon EO             | 4.73 | 28.0 |
| 7          | 7: SC + clove EO                | 8.45 | 52.0 |
| 8          | 8: SC + mint EO                 | 8.48 | 51.0 |
| 9          | 9: Control LR + SC (*L. rhamnosus count only) | 9.13 | 55.0 |
| 10         | 10: Control LR + SC (starter culture count only) | 10.23 | 55.0 |
| 11         | 11: LR + SC + cinnamon EO (*L. rhamnosus count only) | 6.98 | 26.0 |
| 12         | 12: LR + SC + cinnamon EO (starter culture count only) | - | - |
| 13         | 13: LR + SC + clove EO (*L. rhamnosus count only) | 7.93 | 45.0 |
| 14         | 14: LR + SC + clove EO (starter culture count only) | 8.76 | 49.0 |
| 15         | 15: LR + SC + mint EO (*L. rhamnosus count only) | 7.93 | 49.0 |
| 16         | 16: LR + SC + mint EO (starter culture count only) | 8.61 | 49.0 |

The same letters in the column indicate statistically equal medians (p<0.05). (-) count lower than 10CFU/mL.

**Figure 1.** Determination of titrable acidity in *D*omic during fermentation and storage of fermented milk containing a yogurt starter culture (SC) and *L. rhamnosus* (LR). Treatments contained 0.04% EOs.
Titratable acidity was significantly higher for treatments containing only the yogurt starter culture, including those with added clove and mint EOs. However, for treatments containing the starter culture and cinnamon EO (treatments 6 and 11), the acidity remained close to that of the LR control, which had only *L. rhamnosus*, indicating that cinnamon EO inactivated the starter culture.

Lactic acid production by *L. rhamnosus* and the yogurt starter culture can be also related to their viable cell count (Table 2). Although there was no significant decrease in the Log of CFU/mL between counts for LR treatments containing EOs, and counts for LR controls, the treatment containing cinnamon EO had a more pronounced decrease of approximately 1 Log. For the starter culture, the count for the SC treatment containing cinnamon EO (count 6) displayed a marked reduction of approximately 5 Log. Counts for SC treatments containing clove EO (count 7) and mint EO (count 8) displayed decreases of approximately 1.5 Log; although this was not statistically significant.

For treatments containing cinnamon EO (counts 11 and 12), there was a decrease of 2.15 Log in *L. rhamnosus* count (treatment 11) versus the control (treatment 9), while the median for the starter culture was lower than 10CFU/mL (no colony growth was detected after 7h) even at a dilution of 10⁻¹ (Fig. 2).
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**Figure 2.** Viable cell counts during fermentation and storage of fermented milk with yogurt starter culture (SC) and *L. rhamnosus* (LR). Treatments contained 0.04% cinnamon EO.

At the end of the survival curve assay, as shown in Fig. 3 and 4, *L. rhamnosus* and starter culture counts for treatments containing clove and mint EOs were lower than counts 9 and 10 for their controls, although both EOs behaved similarly throughout the experiment. Median viable cell counts for the starter culture were reduced by approximately 1.5 Log, similar to counts 7 and 8 for SC treatment versus its control (count 5). On the other hand, *L. rhamnosus* was more sensitive to clove and mint EOs when
combined with the starter culture, as evidenced by a reduction of approximately 1 Log, which is superior to the reduction of 0.28 and 0.24 Log in counts 3 and 4 for clove and mint EOs, respectively, relative to the LR control (count 1).

**Figure 3.** Viable cell counts during fermentation and storage of fermented milk with yogurt starter culture (SC) and *L. rhamnosus* (LR). Treatments contained 0.04% clove EO.

**Figure 4.** Viable cell counts during fermentation and storage of fermented milk with yogurt starter culture (SC) and *L. rhamnosus* (LR). Treatments contained 0.04% mint EO.
With the exception of counts 6 (SC containing cinnamon EO) and 12 (starter culture count in LR+SC containing cinnamon EO), all counts for treatments containing 0.04% cinnamon, clove or mint EO had a minimum increase of 2 Log at the end of 28 days of survival curve analysis. Hekmat et al. (14) reported differentiated stability behaviour for *L. rhamnosus* viable cell counts over 28 days, for yogurt supplemented with this probiotic (fermentation was done with *L. delbreukii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*); after one day of storage at 4°C, a count of 5x10^7 CFU/mL for *L. rhamnosus* was observed, which changed to 4x10^7 CFU/mL at the end of the study.

Lacroix and Yildirim (16) reported that the survival and functionality of probiotics can be improved by using fermentation technologies: such as continuous fermentation, membrane bioreactors, immobilised cells, cell encapsulation and sublethal stress during cell production; which increases the resistance of cells to environmental stress conditions during production, storage and digestion.

Thus, clove and mint EOs may have subjected the bacteria to sublethal stress, since the percentage (0.04%) of EOs added to the treatments was less than their respective MICs (0.2% and 0.4% for clove and mint EOs, respectively), enabling an adaptation phase during the first 3 hours, in which viable cell counts were stable, followed by a cell propagation phase in the first 24 hours, resulting in stable cell counts for the entire 28 days.

Several reports demonstrated that EOs must be used at concentrations higher than their *in vitro* MICs, to have antimicrobial activity in foods (3, 24). However, cinnamon EO displayed higher antimicrobial activity at a concentration of 0.04% than clove and mint EOs, even at a concentration close to the *in vitro* MIC value, explaining the significant effect observed for cinnamon EO on the starter culture in the food system and the resulting survival curve. However, the count of isolated *L. rhamnosus* treated with cinnamon EO was only reduced by 1 Log, and cell counts were above the minimum count of 10^6 CFU/mL, which is required for a product to be considered to be a probiotic. Thus, addition of cinnamon EO to fermented milk makes starter culture fermentation unfeasible, but does not prevent the use of *L. rhamnosus* in probiotic fermented milk.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The maximum organoleptically accepted concentration of EOs in yogurt in this study was lower than MIC values obtained for clove and mint EOs. Thus, only a sublethal effect was observed for these EOs on *L. rhamnosus* and the starter culture; and their use does not impair the fermentation process during product preparation, indicating the resistance of these microorganisms to clove and mint EOs. However, the *in vitro* MIC for cinnamon EO was less than the tested concentration, and addition of cinnamon EO had a significant effect on the starter culture count and leading to lower lactic acid production. However, similar to results observed for clove and mint EOs, *L. rhamnosus* was not significantly affected by cinnamon EO.
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