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Erythropoietin (EPO) exerts (renal) tissue protective effects. Since it is unclear whether this is a direct effect of EPO on the kidney or not, we investigated whether EPO is able to protect human renal tubular epithelial cells (hTECs) from oxidative stress and if so which pathways are involved. EPO (epoetin delta) could protect hTECs against oxidative stress by a dose-dependent inhibition of reactive oxygen species formation. This protective effect is possibly related to the membranous expression of the EPO receptor (EPOR) since our data point to the membranous EPOR expression as a prerequisite for this protective effect. Oxidative stress reduction went along with the upregulation of renoprotective genes. Whilst three of these, heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), aquaporin-1 (AQP-1), and B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) have already been associated with EPO-induced renoprotection, this study for the first time suggests carboxypeptidase M (CPM), dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPPIV), and cytoglobin (Cygb) to play a role in this process.

1. Introduction

Oxidative stress occurs when there is an imbalance between the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a biological system’s ability to detoxify the reactive intermediates or repair the resulting damage [1], and is associated with processes such as infection, inflammation, and ischemia/reperfusion injury (IRI) [2]. To minimize ROS-induced damaging effects, aerobic organisms developed antioxidant defense mechanisms to counteract the oxidant effects. Besides endogenous molecules, the protective effect of various exogenous compounds against oxidative stress has also been investigated [3, 4]. Recombinant erythropoietin (EPO) is one of these exogenous compounds and has been found to possess antioxidant properties in addition to its original hematopoietic function [5].

Although it is known that EPO is able to attenuate (acute and chronic) kidney failure [6], it is not yet clear whether this is the result of a direct effect of EPO on renal (tubular) cells or of a systemically EPO-induced effect. Therefore, it was investigated in the present in vitro study whether the renoprotective effects of EPO can, at least to a certain extent, be explained by a direct, antioxidant effect of EPO on the renal (tubular) cells. More precisely the effect of EPO (epoetin delta, a recombinant EPO molecule produced in a human cell line through gene-activation technology) on glucose oxidase (GO)-induced oxidative stress in primary cultures of human renal tubular epithelial cells (hTECs) was evaluated.

As a next step, we investigated (i) whether the EPO receptor (EPOR) is involved herein, (ii) the contribution of genes previously linked to EPO-induced protective mechanisms, such as heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), aquaporin-1 (AQP-1), and B-cell CLL/lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2), and (iii) a possible role for carboxypeptidase M (CPM) dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPPIV) and cytoglobin (Cygb) genes not yet linked to EPO-induced renoprotective mechanisms but to oxidative stress in general.
2. Material and Methods

2.1. Culture of Primary Human Tubular Kidney Cells. Primary hTECs were isolated as previously described [7, 8]. Briefly, normal human kidney tissue, that became available through nephrectomies performed on oncological indication, was collected and processed in a sterile manner. The use of this tissue for the purpose of cell culture was approved by the Local Ethical Committee. Macroscopically normal tissue was decapsulated. Tissue from cortex and outer stripe of outer medulla was dissected, cut into pieces of approximately 1 mm³, and digested in collagenase D solution. The suspension was shaken vigorously for 2 hours at 37°C and sieved through a 120 μm sieve. The resulting single-cell suspension was loaded on top of a discontinuous Percoll gradient with densities of 1.04 and 1.07 g/mL. After centrifugation, tubular cells were carefully aspirated, washed, and brought into culture on 48-well plates or glass coverslips in α-Minimal essential medium (α-MEM) modified according to Gibson-D’Ambrosio [9] and supplemented with 10% of fetal calf serum. Fetal calf serum-containing medium was replaced by serum-free, Gibson-d’Ambrosio-modified α-MEM medium 24 hours before performing the experiments.

2.2. Administration of Epoetin Delta and Epoetin Alfa. Confluent hTECs were incubated with different concentrations of epoetin delta (Dynepo, Shire Pharmaceuticals Ltd; 0–5–100 IU/mL) or epoetin alfa (Eprex, Janssen-Cilag; 100 IU/mL) for 24 hours before or concomitantly with the induction of oxidative stress (at least 4 wells per condition).

2.3. Induction of Oxidative Stress by GO. Oxidative stress was induced by exposure of confluent hTECs to different concentrations of GO (Sigma; 0–0.1–1–5–10–50–100 IU/mL) for different time periods (20 minutes, 30 minutes, 40 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, and 4 hours) [3, 10].

2.4. Measurement of Oxidative Stress. The GO-induced oxidative stress, assessed as the amount of generated radicals, was measured by the 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA, Sigma) molecule. H₂DCFDA is metabolized to nonfluorescent DCF by intracellular esterases and to fluorescent DCF by free oxygen radicals. DCF fluorescence was measured using fluorometry at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 nm and 535 nm, respectively.

2.5. RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR. The mRNA expression of HO-1, AQP-1, Bcl-2, CPM, DPPIV, and Cygb in hTECs was analyzed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (real-time RT-PCR) using the fluorescent TaqMan methodology and the ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA extracted with the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche) using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive kit (Applied Biosystems). Ready to use, predesigned, primer and probe sets (Applied Biosystems) for human genes of interest (HS0157965_m1 for HO-1, HS00166067_m1 for AQP-1, HS00153350_m1 for Bcl-2, HS00266395_m1 for CPM, HS010175210_m1 for DPPIV, HS00370478_m1 for Cygb) and the housekeeping gene GAPDH (Hs99999905_m1) were used according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The mRNA quantity of the investigated genes was analyzed in triplicate, normalized against GAPDH, and expressed in relation to a calibrator sample using the comparative Ct method. Control cell cultures, that is, cultures receiving neither GO nor epoetin delta served as the calibrator sample, which was given a gene of interest/GAPDH mRNA expression ratio of 1.

2.6. Erythropoietin Receptor Immunofluorescence. Confluent hTECs were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 minutes. Cells were incubated overnight with the M-20 anti-EPOR antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and subsequently with FITC-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody during 2 hours. Sections in which the primary antibody was omitted served as negative control.

2.7. Study Setup and Statistical Analysis. Because of the interindividual variation in DCF fluorescence and mRNA expression levels of some investigated genes in cell monolayers derived from individual kidney samples, some data are represented as single representative experiments. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistics were performed with SPSS 16.0. Comparisons between the study groups for each time point and/or GO dose were assessed using a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by a Mann–Whitney U-test in combination with Bonferroni correction when more than two groups were compared. Statistical comparison of mean values of quantitative real-time RT-PCR analyses was performed with GraphPad Prism 3.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) using a Student’s t-test in combination with Bonferroni correction when more than two groups were compared. P-values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Induction of Oxidative Stress by GO. Quantification of oxidative stress resulting from the addition of GO to hTECs at different concentrations (0 to 100 IU/mL) and time points (10 to 240 minutes) shows that incubation of hTECs with GO resulted in a concentration-dependent accumulation of ROS during time (Figure 1).

3.2. Effect of Epoetin Delta on the GO-Induced Oxidative Stress. Preincubation of hTECs with epoetin delta (24 hours before addition of GO; 0–0.1–1–10 IU/mL) significantly protects the cells against oxidative stress as indicated by a dose-dependent, attenuated ROS production. Furthermore, it was found that epoetin delta added to the culture medium concomitantly with the induction of oxidative stress attenuated the formation of ROS as well, be it in a less pronounced way. The epoetin delta-induced effects on ROS formation were only observed in 6 out of 9 experiments (hTECs cultures of 9 different kidney specimens) (Figure 2).
3.3. Comparison of Epoetin Delta and Epoetin Alfa. Since epoetin delta did not reduce ROS formation in all hTECs cultures, it was investigated whether this was due to either cell culture and/or EPO characteristics. This was done by comparing the effects of epoetin delta to those of epoetin alfa. It became clear that the outcome of these two erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA) was similar as both of them either or not protected the same cell cultures from oxidative stress (Figure 3).

3.4. Expression of the Erythropoietin Receptor in Human Tubular Epithelial Cells. As epoetin delta and alfa induced similar effects on oxidative stress in the same culture, the presence or absence of protective mechanisms must be due to culture characteristics. Because EPO exerts its actions via the EPOR, its expression was investigated in two different cultures: one of them showing epoetin delta-induced protection whereas the other did not. In protective as well as nonprotective cultures, EPOR expression was seen in intracellular vesicles (Figure 4). However, only in the culture that showed EPO-induced protection against oxidative stress, EPOR expression could be found at the level of the plasma membrane (Figure 4(a)). Data from additional experiments learned that membranous EPOR expression is only present in highly confluent cultures (data not shown).

3.5. mRNA Expression of Genes Already Known to Be Involved in the Protective Effects of Erythropoietin. In the present study, the mRNA expression of HO-1, AQP-1, and Bcl-2 was assessed in cultures that were either preincubated with epoetin delta before the induction of oxidative stress or not. Under basal conditions (no GO incubation), preconditioning of hTECs with epoetin delta (100 IU/mL) resulted in a significant upregulation of HO-1 (1.00 ± 0.31 versus 1.56 ± 0.37, \( P < .05 \)) and AQP-1 (1.00 ± 0.03 versus 2.26 ± 0.12, \( P < .05 \)) mRNA expression. During GO-induced oxidative stress (1 IU/mL), cultures which were preincubated with epoetin delta (100 IU/mL) showed a further increase in mRNA expression of all three genes investigated as compared to cultures which were not preincubated with epoetin delta, reaching maximum values 60 minutes after the induction of oxidative stress (HO-1: 1.07 ± 0.20 versus 1.48 ± 0.19; AQP-1: 1.61 ± 0.11 versus 3.18 ± 0.22; Bcl-2: 0.97 ± 0.18 versus 1.58 ± 0.40; \( P < .05 \)) (Figure 5). Interestingly, in cultures in which no epoetin delta-protective effect against oxidative stress was seen also no epoetin delta-induced upregulation of the genes under study could be observed (data not shown).
3.6. Effect of Epoetin Delta on the Expression of CPM, DPPIV, and Cygb. Figure 6 shows that preconditioning of hTECs with epoetin delta (100 IU/mL) resulted in a significant increase of CPM (1.00 ± 0.10 versus 2.09 ± 0.30, \( P < .05 \)) and DPPIV (1.00 ± 0.24 versus 1.77 ± 0.56, \( P < .05 \)) mRNA expressions. During GO-induced oxidative stress (1 IU/mL), cultures which were preincubated with epoetin delta (100 IU/mL) showed a further increase in mRNA expression of all three genes investigated as compared to cultures which were not preincubated with epoetin delta, reaching maximum values 60 minutes after the induction of oxidative stress (CPM: 1.19 ± 0.13 versus 3.56 ± 0.77; DPPIV: 1.12 ± 0.21 versus 2.61 ± 0.40; Cygb: 2.22 ± 0.37 versus 3.41 ± 0.43; \( P < .05 \)). Again, this upregulation was only observed in cultures in which epoetin delta exerted a protective effect against oxidative stress; that is, in cultures in which ROS production was suppressed as compared to controls. In cultures in which epoetin delta did not show protective effects, no upregulation of CPM, DPPIV, or Cygb was observed (CPM: 1.00 ± 0.03 versus 0.81 ± 0.13; DPPIV: 1.00 ± 0.14 versus 0.89 ± 0.14; Cygb: 1.00 ± 0.29 versus 0.87 ± 0.29; NS) (Figure 6).
of glucose, produces H2O2, the most important source of oxidative stress. Data are presented as the mean ± SD of triplicate determinations of 2 runs (i.e., 6 values each). *P < .05 versus no GO, #P < .05 versus 30 minutes GO incubation.

4. Discussion

In the present study, oxidative stress was induced using glucose oxidase (GO), an enzyme that, in the presence of glucose, produces H2O2, the most important source of cellular ROS. We showed that GO indeed induced a concentration-dependent accumulation of ROS in primary hTECs during time. Pretreatment of the primary hTECs with epoetin delta (5 or 100 IU/mL) resulted in a statistically significant reduction of GO-induced ROS production. As this effect was less pronounced when epoetin delta was administered together with GO, one may assume that EPO (epoetin delta) exerts a direct effect on renal tubular cells by (pre)conditioning these cells towards protection. Interestingly, epoetin delta pretreatment did not protect against oxidative stress in all cultures investigated. In further experiments, we found that epoetin delta and epoetin alfa (a widely used ESA, known to have renoprotective effects both in vivo [11] and in vitro [12]) acted in the same way; that is, both compounds were either protective or not when administered to the same cultures.

In an attempt to explain this intriguing finding, the EPOR expression was investigated in these cell cultures using the M-20 antibody, recently identified as a specific anti-EPOR antibody [13]. Since nonerythropoietic properties of EPO most likely are also mediated by EPOR [14, 15], its membranous expression is a prerequisite for a culture to be able to respond to EPO. Interestingly, it was observed that in a culture showing a protective EPO-induced response, EPOR staining was present both in intracellular vesicles and at the level of the plasma membrane, while in a nonresponsive culture, the EPOR signal was confined to intracellular vesicles. Further studies showed that membranous EPOR expression is only present in highly confluent cultures. Hence, it was concluded that culture characteristics, more specifically different levels of confluence, going along with the presence and/or absence of membranous EPOR expression, specific characteristics of the kidney donor, as well as the fact that some cell cultures themselves seemed to have better anti-oxidative mechanisms than others (cfr. Figure 3) could contribute to the interesting observation that EPO-mediated anti-oxidative effects are not seen in all cell cultures investigated.

In order to try to identify some specific pathways through which EPO could exert these observed anti-oxidative effects, the EPO-induced effects on HO-1, AQP-1, and Bcl-2 mRNA were investigated. These three genes have already intensively been studied in in vivo experimental setups and in line with previous studies performed in our laboratory [16], preconditioning of hTECs with epoetin delta resulted in a significant upregulation of HO-1 and AQP-1 mRNA. GO-induced oxidative stress further increased HO-1, AQP-1, and Bcl-2 mRNA expressions with maximum levels 60 minutes after induction of oxidative stress. Data are presented as the mean ± SD of triplicate determinations of 2 runs (i.e., 6 values each). *P < .05 versus epoetin delta 0 IU/mL, #P < .05 versus epoetin delta 0 IU/mL, &P < .05 versus 30 minutes GO incubation.
may contribute to cytoprotection is by catalyzing the degradation of prooxidant heme to the radical scavengers ferritin, biliverdin, and bilirubin [21].

The cytoprotective effects of EPO preconditioning have also been linked to the prevention of renal IRI-induced downregulation of AQP-1 [11], a major transmembranous water channel in cell plasma membranes of the renal proximal tubule and the descending limb of Henle’s loop playing a role in urine concentration [22]. We could confirm these results in that we were able to demonstrate a direct (upregulatory) effect of EPO on one of the renal tubular transport proteins present in the kidney. Moreover, our experiments for the first time showed that epoetin delta administration significantly upregulated the AQP-1 expression in primary, GO-treated hTECs, which is in line with the recent observation that AQP-1 acts as an O₂ transporter, thereby facilitating O₂ diffusion across the cell membrane [23].

In agreement with the observation that EPO exerts antiapoptotic effects and that Bcl-2 has been reported to be involved in several models of kidney injury [24, 25], Bcl-2 mRNA expression was also significantly upregulated in EPO-treated hTECs, under basal conditions as well as after

Figure 6: Comparison of the relative (normalized to GAPDH and to calibrator sample) expressions of CPM (a), DPPIV (b), and Cygb (c) under basal conditions and after GO-induced oxidative stress (1 IU/mL) in cell cultures originating from two different kidney specimens, one showing EPO-induced anti-oxidative effects and one without any effect of EPO on oxidative stress. Preconditioning the cells with EPO resulted in a significant upregulation of CPM and DPPIV, mRNA. GO-induced oxidative stress further increased CPM, DPPIV and Cygb mRNA expressions with maximum levels 60 minutes after induction of oxidative stress. Remarkably, the cell culture in which epoetin delta was not able to induce a protective effect against oxidative stress was also not able to induce upregulation of those mRNAs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD of triplicate determinations representative of 2 separate runs (i.e., 6 values each). *P < .05 versus no GO, °P < .05 versus epoetin delta 0 IU/mL.
GO-induced oxidative stress, hereby pointing to a role for Bcl-2 in the EPO-mediated cell protection against GO-induced oxidative stress.

Interestingly, the epoetin delta-induced upregulation of HO-1, AQP-1, and Bcl-2 expression could only be observed in cell cultures in which the compound induced an attenuation of ROS production again pointing to a direct protective effect on the tubular cells.

As we also aimed to get further insight into the mechanisms underlying EPO-induced antioxidant cytoprotection, expressions of three other genes potentially involved in the protective process were investigated: CPM, DPPIV, and Cygb. Epoetin delta treatment resulted in an upregulation of these genes under basal conditions, which was further increased in cell cultures that showed an epoetin delta-induced protection against GO-induced oxidative stress (and not in cultures that did not show this protection). Although data of the present study do not allow drawing clear-cut conclusions about a role for CPM, DPPIV, and Cygb in the EPO’s anti-oxidative properties, the observed EPO-induced alterations in their mRNA expression are worth being considered in view of the already known functions mentioned below.

CPM is a membrane glycoprotein which specifically removes C-terminal basic residues from peptides and proteins [26, 27]. The wide distribution of CPM in human tissues, including the kidney and the recent finding that CPM would be involved in inflammatory processes [28] prompted us to study the effects of EPO on its expression. Although previous experiments have indicated that CPM is expressed in both the mammalian kidney [27] and in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells [29], we demonstrated for the first time that (i) CPM is expressed in primary cultures of hTECs and (ii) CPM expression is associated with EPO-induced cytoprotection against oxidative stress. Related to these results, literature has mentioned that CPM expression on extravillous trophoblasts is partially regulated by tissue oxygen concentration, and higher oxygen concentrations (20%) could induce CPM expression [30].

DPPIV is the most intensively studied member of the proline-specific dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP) family. Widely expressed among organs and body fluids and having different substrates in different organs [31], a broad range of potential functions have been attributed to DPPIV. Inhibitors of DPPIV are used in the treatment of dysglycemia [32], limit IRI in the lung [33], and abrogate acute organ rejection in lung and heart transplantation models [34, 35]. Since DPPIV is expressed on the brush border of PTC [36], by far the most vulnerable cells during ischemic injury, it is of particular interest to investigate potential anti-oxidative effects of EPO on this DPPIV expression in these cells.

Both being cell surface peptidases, CPM and DPPIV have a lot in common and are frequently studied together [37]. In our study, the responses of these two peptidases to GO-induced oxidative stress and EPO-induced protective effects are similar. After EPO administration, these two genes are upregulated concomitantly with EPOR expression at the cell membrane, which might indicate that CPM and DPPIV may be involved in the EPO-mediated anti-oxidative effects.

Cygb, sharing a common ancestry with myoglobin, is a recently discovered member of the vertebrate globin family [38] and is expressed in many different tissues at varying levels [39, 40]. Evidence has been provided for Cygb to be a hypoxia-induced gene which is transcriptionally upregulated during chronic hypoxia in a hippocampal neuronal cell line [38] and fibroblast cell lineage [41]. More or less in line with the findings of the present study it has been demonstrated that Cygb may reduce the induction of intracellular ROS formation [42]. Xu’s study [43] in rat hepatic stellate cells also provides evidence that overexpression of Cygb could protect cells against oxidative stress both in vitro and in vivo. Data of our study for the first time demonstrate that Cygb (i) is expressed in primary hTECs, (ii) is upregulated after EPO administration, and (iii) may play a role in the mechanism(s) underlying EPO-induced anti-oxidative effects. Interestingly, recently we also demonstrated Cygb to be expressed at the protein level in renal tissue (data not shown).

5. Conclusions

In the present work, evidence was found for a direct cytoprotective effect of epoetin delta on renal tubular cells. This EPO-induced effect on renal tubular cells may be an important contribution to the EPO-induced renal protective effect seen in vivo. Furthermore, comparison of the localization of the EPOR in EPO-responding versus nonresponding cultures allows to suggest that the cytoprotective effect of epoetin delta in primary hTECs is mediated by the EPOR. Interestingly, the expression of six genes under study was significantly upregulated upon EPO administration in and only in those cell cultures in which an EPO-induced cytoprotective effect was seen, allowing (i) to confirm a role of HO-1, AQP-1, and Bcl-2 in the EPO-induced preconditioning of cells and (ii) to speculate about a role of CPM, DPPIV, and Cygb in this process. Further research with regard to the effects of EPO on the protein expression and function of these proteins is warranted.
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