Counterion Effects on the Adsorption of Acid Orange 8 from Aqueous Solution onto HDTMA-Modified Nanozeolite from Fly Ash
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Abstract

Surfactant-modified nanozeolites from coal fly ash were used for the removal of Acid Orange 8 (AO8) from water. The zeolitic material was modified by two cationic surfactants i.e., hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (HDTMA-Br) and hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium chloride (HDTMA-Cl). Both the modified nanozeolites were characterized using various techniques to obtain its physical and chemical constituents. The adsorbents before and after adsorption were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The dynamical data obtained at different concentrations for the two organozeolites fit well with pseudo-second-order kinetic model. The equilibrium adsorption data were interpreted in terms of the Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm models. The results showed that the adsorption of AO8 was influenced by HDTMA-zeolite counterion. Zeolite modified with HDTMA-Br was most efficient than zeolite modified with HDTMA-Cl for AO8 removal. Negative values of Gibbs free energy change ($\Delta G^o$) for dye adsorption onto HDTMA-modified zeolites indicates that the adsorption process is spontaneous and thermodynamically favorable. As a result, surfactant-modified nanozeolites from coal fly ash could be used as effective adsorbents for AO8 removal from wastewater.
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1. Introduction

Dyestuff industries and textile industries are, respectively, the largest producers and users of dyes, producing tons of residues which are released into the environment causing serious problems. Among the synthetic dyes released in effluents, azo dyes is one of the more detrimental classes because it is highly persistent in the aquatic environment, due to its chemical compositions, involving aromatic rings, azoic linkages and amino groups[1-2].

Dye removal is complicated by the structural diversity of dyes that may be used in a single dyeing operation, and also by other wastewater constituents that may attenuate the treatment process. A conventional treatment plant, generally consisting of coagulation-flocculation, activated sludge process and chlorination, is clearly incapable of treating dye wastewaters [3-4].

The recent trend in dye wastewater treatment is focused around integration of multiple techniques and adsorption may be included at the end of dye treatment processes as a fail-safe mechanism.

Zeolites synthesized from Brazilian fly ash have been used as low cost adsorbent for dye removal [5-7]. Because the surface of zeolites is negatively charged and hydrophilic in nature, little affinity toward organic pollutants such as anionic dyes is thus expected. Nevertheless, researchers found that permanent negative charges in crystal structures enable zeolites to be modified by cationic surfactants so that they better retain organic pollutants. The surfactants that are commonly employed in the previous studies in attaching onto the surface of zeolite are hexadecyltrimethylammonium (HDTMA). These quaternary amines are composed of a hydrophilic and positively charged head group and a hydrophobic tail in their molecule structures [8-10].

Acid Orange 8 (AO8) is an anionic dye, characterized by the presence of one azo group (-N=N-), which is used in larger quantities for cheap articles and, consequently, are abundant in wastewater. Its principal application is in leather, wool dyeing and paper coloration [11-12]. Previous studies have reported the removal of cationic and reactive from water [13-14]. However, to our knowledge, the studies of the adsorption of acid dyes are very limited.

In view of the environmental significance, the main goal of this study was to evaluate the effect of counterions on the adsorbing characteristics of surface surfactant-modified nanozeolite from fly ash. The kinetics and isotherms for AO8 adsorption onto HDTMA-modified nanozeolites from fly ash were studied to estimate important capacity and rate
2. Materials and Methods

All the reagents used for experimental studies were of analytical grade. The samples of coal fly ash from baghouse filter were obtained from a coal-fired power plant located at Figueira County, in Paraná State, Brazil. The quaternary ammonium salts hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide and hexadecyltrimethylammonium chloride (HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl, Merck) were used. The Acid Orange 8 dye (AO8; CI 15575; C17H13N2NaO4S; 364.35 g mol⁻¹) provided by Aldrich with a dye content of 65% and was used without further purification. The chemical structure of AO8 is shown in Figure 1.

2.1. Synthesis of Surfactant-Modified Zeolite from Fly Ash (SMZ)

Zeolite was prepared by conventional alkaline hydrothermal treatment of coal fly ash (CFA). The main components of raw fly ash are SiO₂ and Al₂O₃, which are known to be the main components of zeolite; 31% and 15%, respectively. CFA (30 g) was mixed with 240 mL of 3.5 mol L⁻¹ aqueous NaOH solution in a 300 mL Teflon vessel. This mixture was heated to 100 °C in oven for 24 h. After finishing of the process, the suspension was filtered with 4A quantitative filter paper. The solid zeolite from fly ash product was repeatedly washed with doubly distilled water until the pH of washing water reach ~ 11 and dried at 50 °C for 12 h [15]. Zeolites modified with surfactant were prepared by mixing 20 g of zeolite from fly ash with 400 mL of 1.8 mmol L⁻¹ HDTMA-Br or HDTMA-Cl. The mixtures were shaken in a mechanical shaker for 7 h at 120 rpm and 25 °C. After this period, the solid was filtered and taken to the stove for drying at 50 °C for 12 h [16]. Unmodified zeolite and zeolites modified with HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl solutions were named as UMZ, SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively.

2.2. Adsorption Studies

Aliquots of 10 mL of AO8 with initial concentrations in the range from 10 to 85 mg L⁻¹ were placed in beakers with 0.2 g of zeolitic materials. The suspensions were shaken at 120 rpm at intervals of 5-180 min (25 ± 2 °C). The supernatant was separated by centrifugation for 30 min at 2000 rpm. An aliquot of the supernatant was analyzed using a UV spectrophotometer by measuring absorbance at 491 nm, the maximum of absorption peak of AO8, and pH 5. Adsorption isotherms were carried out by contacting 0.2 g of SMZ with 10 mL of the dye solution of varying initial dye concentrations (24 to 283 mg L⁻¹ for SMZ-Br and 10 to 97 mg L⁻¹ for SMZ-Cl). The samples were stirred at 120 rpm until they reach equilibrium time under constant temperature of 25 ± 2 °C. Each experiment was conducted in triplicate under identical conditions to confirm the results, and was found reproducible (experimental error within 5%).

The amount of the dye uptake and percentage of dye removal by the adsorbent were calculated by applying Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively:

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{M} \quad (1)
\]

\[
R(\%) = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{C_0} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

where \(q_t\) is the adsorbed amount of adsorbate per gram of adsorbent at any time \(t\) (mg g⁻¹), \(C_0\) and \(C_t\) the concentrations (24 to 283 mg L⁻¹ for SMZ-Br and 10 to 97 mg L⁻¹ for SMZ-Cl) of the adsorbate in the initial solution and at any time \(t\), respectively (mg L⁻¹); \(V\) the volume of the adsorbate solution added (L) and \(M\) the amount of the adsorbent used (g).

In order to investigate the mechanism of adsorption, characteristic constants were determined using the linearized form of pseudo-first order (3) and pseudo-second order (4) kinetic models with equations as follows:

\[
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1 t}{2.303} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} - \frac{1}{q_e} t \quad (4)
\]

where \(q_e\) is the amount of dye adsorbed at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), \(q_t\) the amount of dye adsorbed at time \(t\) (mg g⁻¹), \(k_1\) is the rate constant of the pseudo-first-order adsorption (min⁻¹), and \(k_2\) is the rate constant of the pseudo-second-order kinetics (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹) [17-19]. The values of \(k_1\) and \(q_e\) were obtained from the slope and intercept respectively of plot of log \((q_e - q_t)\) versus \(t\) for pseudo-first order model. The values of \(q_e\) and \(k_2\) can be determined from the slope and intercept of a plot of \(t/q_t\) versus \(t\) respectively for pseudo-second-order model.

The initial adsorption rate, \(h\) (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹), as \(t \to 0\) can be defined as (5):

\[
h = k_2 q_e^2 \quad (5)
\]

where \(k_2\) is the rate constant of the pseudo-second order kinetics (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹) and \(q_e\) is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg g⁻¹).

The kinetic results were analyzed by the intraparticle diffusion model to elucidate the diffusion mechanism,
which model is expressed as [20]:

\[ qt = k_d t^{0.5} + C \]  

(6)

where \( k_d \) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-0.5}\)). According to Equation (6), a plot of \( qt \) versus \( t^{0.5} \) should be a straight line with a slope \( k_d \) and intercept \( C \) when adsorption mechanism follows the intraparticual diffusion process.

The data of the isotherm adsorption equilibrium were fitted using the Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R). The mathematical expression of isotherm models (linear and non-linear form) and their parameters have been reported in the literature [5-7, 21-22]. In assessing the fit using linear analysis, the correlation coefficients (R) are compared. The model that best fits the experimental data presents value of R higher and closer to one. Besides the value of R, six error functions were employed for error analysis, with the lowest values will be used to further validate the applicability of isotherms tested. The equations used (abbreviated as ARED, SSE, MPSED, HYBRID, SAE and \( X^2 \)) are described in Table 1 [22].

### Table 1. Error functions

| Error Function                  | Equations                                      |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Average relative error          | \[ ARED = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{(q_{\text{exp}} - q_{\text{calc}})}{q_{\text{calc}}} \times 100 \] |
| Sum of the squares of the errors| \[ SSE = \sum (q_{\text{calc}} - q_{\text{exp}})^2 \] |
| Marquardt’s percent             | \[ MPSED = \frac{1}{100} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{(q_{\text{exp}} - q_{\text{calc}})^2}{q_{\text{exp}}} \right) \] |
| standard deviation (MPSED)      |                                               |
| Hybrid fractional error         | \[ HYBRID = \frac{1}{N} \sum \left( \frac{(q_{\text{exp}} - q_{\text{calc}})}{q_{\text{exp}}} \right)^2 \times 100 \] |
| function (HYBRID)               |                                               |
| Sum of the absolute             | \[ SAE = \sum |q_{\text{calc}} - q_{\text{exp}}| \] |
| errors(SAE)                     |                                               |
| Chi-square (\( X^2 \))          | \[ X^2 = \sum \frac{(q_{\text{exp}} - q_{\text{calc}})^2}{q_{\text{calc}}} \] |

### 2.3. Characterization of Materials

Chemical composition of materials was determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) in a Rigaku RIX-3000 equipment. X-ray diffraction (XRD) with an automated Rigaku MiniFlex II diffractometer using CuKα radiation (\( \lambda = 1.5405\text{Å} \)) was used to identify the main crystalline compounds. The crystalline phases present in the samples were identified with the help of ICDD (International Centre for Diffraction Data). Bulk density was determined by helium pycnometer (Micromeritics – Accupyc 1330). The surface area was determined by N\(_2\) adsorption isotherm with relationship using NOVA 1200 (Quantachrome Corp.). Before adsorption experiments, the samples were degassed at 150 °C for 12 h. The specific surface area was obtained by five points at \( p/p^0 \) between 0.05 and 0.20 applying the Brunauer–Emmet–Teller equation to the adsorption data. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) and external cation exchange capacity (ECEC) were determined by adopting literature techniques [9,23].

The pH of zeolite was measured as follows: 0.1 g of samples were mixed with 10 ml of distilled water and shaken for 24 h. After filtration, the pH of solution was determined by a pH meter. The point of zero charge (pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\)) was estimated by using batch equilibrium techniques. For this, 0.1 g of each adsorbent was treated with 50 mL of 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\) solution which was used as an inert electrolyte. The initial pH values (pH\(_{\text{initial}}\)) were adjusted in the range 2 to 13 by adding minimum amounts of 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH or 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\). The suspensions were allowed to equilibrate for 24 h in a shaker fixed at 120 rpm. After completion of the equilibration time, the admixtures were filtered and final pH values (pH\(_{\text{final}}\)) of the filtrates were measured again. A plot of the final pH as a function of the initial pH provides pH\(_{\text{pzc}}\) of the adsorbent by the plateau of constant pH to the ordinate.

### 3.3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.3.1. AO8 Adsorption Tests

The experimental results of preliminary AO8 adsorption tests using unmodified zeolite (UMZ) and surfactant modified zeolites (SMZs) materials carried out at 2 h with solution concentration of 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) are summarized in Table 2. The adsorption capacity of AO8 by unmodified zeolitic material was negligible, but it was augmented greatly by loading with HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl. According to the experimental results, SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl shown high AO8 adsorption capacity to be used in remaining experiments. The low adsorption efficiency of anionic reactive dye onto zeolite from fly has been reported [24-25].

### Table 2. AO8 percent removal by various adsorbents

| Adsorbent | Type of surfactant | Removal (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| SMZ-Br    | HDTMA-Br          | 95          |
| SMZ-Cl    | HDTMA-Cl          | 65          |
| UMZ       | -                 | 6.2         |

#### 3.3.2. Characterization of Materials

The chemical composition of the zeolite/untreated and surfactant-modified forms of zeolitic materials is presented in Table 3. The resulting Al/Si ratio of the modified zeolites is 0.739 which is fairly close to that of the untreated form (0.727). This indicates that the Al atoms were not lost into the aqueous media during modification with HDTMA chloride and bromide [26]. The presence of bromide and chloride in the SMZs was detected.

The physicochemical properties of adsorbents are summarized in Table 4. It can be observed that the modified zeolites have lower total BET surface area than the unmodified zeolite (UMZ). Total BET surface area is the summation of external surface area and micropore surface area. When the adsorption of cationic surfactants takes
place at the external surface and at pore openings (supercages), the internal area of the zeolites is blocked and the micropore surface area decreases. The CEC was determined in the original and in all samples obtained after treating it with HDTMA. It was found 2.00 meq g\(^{-1}\) for UMZ and this exchange capacity was not affected by the presence of the surfactants in the sample because the sites occupied by the surfactants are not considered in the determination.

Table 3. Bulk elemental composition of zeolitic materials samples (concentration percent) done by X-ray fluorescence analysis

| Oxides  | UMZ | SMZ-Cl | SMZ-Br |
|---------|-----|--------|--------|
| SiO\(_2\) | 22  | 23     | 23     |
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 16  | 17     | 17     |
| FeO\(_3\) | 7.6 | 7.6    | 7.7    |
| CaO     | 3.2 | 3.5    | 3.4    |
| K\(_2\)O | 0.6 | 0.5    | 0.6    |
| SO\(_3\) | 1.0 | 1.2    | 1.0    |
| TiO\(_2\) | 1.2 | 1.2    | 1.2    |
| MgO     | 1.2 | 1.2    | 1.2    |
| Na\(_2\)O | 6.3 | 7.3    | 6.1    |
| ZnO     | 0.21| 0.20   | 0.22   |
| MnO     | 0.08| 0.09   | 0.13   |
| PbO     | 0.07| 0.06   | 0.06   |
| Br      | *\(0.02\) | *\(0.02\) | 0.04   |
| Cl      | *\(0.02\) | 0.07   | *\(0.02\) |
| Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| ZrO\(_2\) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| U\(_3\)O\(_8\) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| NiO     | 0.02| 0.02   | 0.02   |
| others  | *\(0.02\) | *\(0.02\) | *\(0.02\) |

The external cation exchange capacity (ECEC) of UMZ accounts for 24% of CEC. The external surface was used to load HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl because the pore size of zeolite is too small for the HDTMA cation to enter.

The unmodified zeolite from fly ash has a point of zero charge (\(\text{pHpzc}\)) of 8 and is negatively charged. The point of zero charge of the surfactant modified zeolites was found to be 7.0 and the particle surface was still negative for both materials. SMZs have negative charge probably due to the formation of a patchy mono-layer and/or bi-layers form on the external zeolite surface [27-30]. The physicochemical properties of the resultant SMZs were not affected by the HDTMA counterion.

The diffraction patterns obtained, before and after adsorption of AO8 onto SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Formation of zeolite via conventional alkali hydrothermal reaction of coal fly ash was confirmed by XRD patterns. Hydroxysodalite (JCPDS 31-1271) can be identified as the dominant zeolite phase in both surfactant-modified zeolitic materials.

Table 4. Physicochemical properties of unmodified zeolite (UMZ) and surfactant-modified zeolites (SMZ)

| Characteristics | UMZ | SMZ-Br | SMZ-Cl |
|-----------------|-----|--------|--------|
| BET surface area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) | 73.4 | 66.4   | 66.4   |
| Bulk density (g cm\(^{-3}\)) | 2.51 | 2.47   | 2.44   |
| pH in water     | 9.2  | 9.1    | 9.4    |
| pH_{ECEC}\(^a\) | 8.0  | 7.0    | 7.0    |
| CEC (meq g\(^{-1}\)) | 2.00 | 2.06   | 1.84   |
| ECEC (meq g\(^{-1}\)) | 0.475 | -      | -      |

(a) point of zero charge; (b) cation exchange capacity; (c) external cation exchange capacity

According to the obtained results and the XRD data, the oxide formula Na\(_{1.08}\)Al\(_{2}\)Si\(_{1.68}\)O\(_{4.44}\)·1.8 H\(_2\)O fits best for the synthesized hydroxysodalite. This type of hydroxysodalite was obtained after fly ash activation using the same synthesis conditions [31-32].

The XRD pattern confirmed that quartz (JCPDS 85-0796),
mullite (JCPDS 74–4143), Fe-material (Magnetite, ICDD/JCPDS 89-0691; Hematite, ICDD/JCPDS 89-0598) and Calcite (CaCO₃, ICDD/JCPDS 01-071-3699) are also present in the obtained zeolitic materials, and that generally they were not involved in the conversion process of fly ash under the given experimental conditions.

It is important to note that no diffraction peaks, due to the HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl in the samples treated with it, were observed. Previous studies showed that the surfactant conditioning has no effects on the structure of the zeolitic material from fly ash [16].

It is evident from the Figures 2 and 3 that there is no appreciable change in the spectra of adsorbents after AO8 adsorption. This may be due to the fact that adsorption does not alter the chemical nature of the surface of the surfactant modified zeolites.

3.3.3. AO8 Adsorption Kinetics

The effect on initial concentration of AO8 adsorption onto SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl are presented in Figs. 4-5, respectively. A rapid increased is observed for the first 20 min and it then proceeds slowly until reached equilibrium. This may due to the increased in the number of vacant surface sites available at initial stage. The adsorption equilibrium was reached at 40 min for SMZ-Br and 60 min for SMZ-Cl.

The equilibrium adsorption is increased from 1.13 to 3.20 mg g⁻¹ and percentage removal is decreased from 93.0 to 77.1% for system AO8/SMZ-Br and increased from 0.49 to 0.80 mg g⁻¹ and percentage removal is decreased from 87.0 to 65.5% for system AO8/SMZ-Cl as initial concentration increased.

The kinetic models considered in this study were the pseudo-first-order, the pseudo-second-order and the intra-particle diffusion. The relevant equations of these models (3-6) were used to identify the kinetic behaviors of the adsorption process and the prevailing mechanisms.

The adsorption kinetic plots are shown in Figures 6-7 for SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively and all values of the kinetic constants obtained for adsorption of AO8 onto SMZs are presented in Table 5 and 6.
Good correlation coefficients were obtained for the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, which shows that the uptake process follows the pseudo-second-order rate expression with the correlation coefficients being > 0.99. The experimental adsorption capacity values \( (q_e \text{ exp}) \) were found to be in agreement with those of the theoretical adsorption capacity \( (q_e \text{ calc}) \) that were calculated with the pseudo-second order model for the two modified zeolitic materials.

As seen in Table 5 and 6, comparing the \( q_e \) values for each material and same concentration of dye, it may be concluded that AO8 adsorption onto modified zeolite with HDTMA-Br is faster than modified zeolite with HDTMA-Cl.

The adsorbate transport from the solution to the surface of the adsorbent occurs in several steps. This phenomenon may be controlled by one or more steps such as film or external diffusion, pore diffusion, surface diffusion and adsorption on the pore surface, or a combination of more than one step.
through the adsorption process. According to the intraparticle diffusion model, if the rate-controlling step is an intraparticle diffusion, a plot of dye adsorbed ($q$) against square root of contact time ($t^{1/2}$) leads to a straight line passing through the origin [20].

In this work, the C values, obtained from the intercept of the $q$ versus $t^{1/2}$ plots, indicate that the line did not pass through the origin hence intraparticle diffusion is not the only rate limiting mechanism (Figures 4 and 5). In this case, the adsorption process is complex and more kinetic models that operate simultaneously may control the rate of dye adsorption. From Figures 4 and 5, it may be seen that there are two separate regions. The first part of the curve can be attributed to mass transfer effects taking place with boundary layer diffusion, while the final linear parts indicate intraparticle diffusion (very slow diffusion of the dye from the surface film into the micropores, which are east accessible sites of adsorption) [33]. This behavior is possibly due to the heterogeneous nature of the adsorbents. The absence of such features in the plot for the adsorption of AO8 onto SMZ-Cl with higher concentration (Figure 5) indicated that the steps were indistinguishable from one another in this case.

### 3.3.4. Adsorption equilibrium of AO8 on SMZs

Adsorption isotherm models provide useful data in order to understand the mechanisms of the adsorption process and to evaluate its applicability. The Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm models were used to describe the relationship between the amount of AO8 adsorbed onto the SMZs and their equilibrium concentrations in aqueous solution. The modeled isotherms are plotted in Figures 8 and 9 for SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively.

The shape of the isotherms was classified as an L4 (Langmuir type 4) curve according to the Giles classification [34] for both SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl. After the first degree of saturation of the surface, the subsequent rise represents the development of a second layer which is completed. The turning point may represent a change in the mode of packing of the adsorbate.

#### Figure 8. Adsorption isotherms of AO8 by SMZ-Br (adsorbent dose: 0.2 g/10 mL, agitation speed: 120 rpm, pH: 5.0, contact time: 40 min, temperature: 25 ± 2 °C)

The isotherm constants were calculated by evaluating the linearized form of the models. The linear plots of $Ce/qe$ versus $Ce$, log $qe$ versus log $Ce$, $qe$ versus $\ln Ce$ and $\ln qe$ versus $\epsilon^2$ were employed to determine the parameters of Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and D-R isotherm models, respectively (figures not shown). All of the isotherm constants and correlation coefficients (R) are given in Table 7. The R values (> 0.9) suggest that the four isotherms provide a good model of the adsorption system. In the case of Freundlich model, $n$ coefficient values were higher than 1, confirming favorable adsorption at high concentrations, but much less favorable at lower concentrations [35].

By evaluating the D-R isotherm model, the mean adsorption energy, $E$, values were found to be 17.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for SMZ-Br and 15.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for SMZ-Cl, suggesting that adsorption of AO8 onto SMZs is of chemical nature.

Thermodynamic data such as adsorption energy can be evaluated from Langmuir equation [36]. According to thermodynamic law, $\Delta G^o$ of adsorption is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta G^o_{ads} = - R T \ln K_L$$

where $\Delta G^o$ is free energy of adsorption (kJ mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (K), $K_L$ is the Langmuir equilibrium constant (L mol$^{-1}$) and $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$).

Using Langmuir isotherm, the free energy value was evaluated as - 26.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for both surfactant-modified zeolitic materials. A negative $\Delta G^o$ value indicates that adsorption of AO8 from aqueous solution onto SMZs is spontaneous in nature.

Generally, the most widely used approach to determine the isotherm parameters is by linear regression with R value in the range of 0.9 to 1 being deemed to provide the best fit. This method appears to give an acceptable fit to the experimental data in this study (Table 7). However, due to
the inherent bias resulting from the linearization of the adsorption isotherm equation, additional six non-linear regression error functions have been considered to further validate the applicability of the tested isotherms [21-22].

Table 7. Isotherm constants for adsorption AO8 onto modified zeolitic materials

| Isotherm | SMZ-Br | SMZ-Cl |
|----------|--------|--------|
| **Langmuir** | | |
| Qo (mg g⁻¹) | 4.67 | 1.48 |
| b (L mg⁻¹) | 0.115 | 0.114 |
| R | 0.984 | 0.974 |
| **Freundlich** | | |
| Kf (mg g⁻¹) (L mg⁻¹)¹/n | 1.56 | 0.424 |
| n | 4.67 | 3.73 |
| R | 0.966 | 0.959 |
| **Temkin** | | |
| Kt (L g⁻¹) | 13.87 | 3.74 |
| Bt (kJ mol⁻¹) | 0.570 | 0.230 |
| R | 0.947 | 0.926 |
| **D-R** | | |
| β (mol² J⁻²) | 1.68x10⁻⁹ | 2.07x10⁻⁹ |
| Kdr(mol g⁻¹) | 23x10⁻³ | 9.16x10⁻⁴ |
| E (kJ mol⁻¹) | 17.2 | 15.5 |
| R | 0.972 | 0.953 |

The values of error analysis for Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D-R isotherm models are presented in Table 8. Following the conventional approach of determination of the isotherm parameters by linear regression and judging the best fit isotherm from the R values (Table 7) suggested that the Langmuir isotherm provided a reasonable description and analysis of the experimental data for both surfactant modified-zeolites. However, it can be concluded based on the error values that the most applicable isotherms to describe AO8 – surfactant modified-zeolite adsorption system are D-R and Freundlich isotherms for SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively.

The results indicate that counterions have significant effects on AO8 adsorption on HDTMA-treated zeolite from fly ash. The maximum adsorption (Qo) value calculated from the Langmuir in adsorption of AO8 on SMZ-Br is about three times higher than that adsorbed for SMZ-Cl. As shown in Table 2, the removal percentage of AO8 was 95% with SMZ-Br and 65% onto SMZ-Cl. Thus, to achieve the maximum adsorption capacity of AO8 on HDTMA-treated zeolite, HDTMA-Br will be more effective than HDTMA-Cl and less HDTMA is required to achieve the same AO8 adsorption when Br⁻ instead Cl⁻ is the counterion.

Table 8. Error functions analysis related to the adsorption of AO8 onto surfactant modified-zeolites

| Isotherm | SMZ-Br | SMZ-Cl |
|----------|--------|--------|
| **ARED** | | |
| SSE | 19.3 | 137.3 |
| MPSED | 112 | 232 |
| HYBRID | 34 | 257 |
| SAE | 205 | 147 |
| **SMZ-Cl** | | |
| SSE | 27.3 | 153 |
| MPSED | 387 | 192 |
| HYBRID | 28.6 | 329 |
| SAE | 329 | 329 |

According to the literature, the critical micelle concentration (CMC) is 0.9 mmol L⁻¹ and 1.25 mmol L⁻¹ at 25 °C for HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl, respectively [10]. The higher the CMC, the larger the ratio between the monomers and the micelles at a given solution concentration and the lower the probability of monomers associating to form micelles in solution or admicelles on the surface. Therefore, it may be concluded that, when Cl⁻ is the counterion, the ratio of bilayer to monolayer will be smaller than the ratio when Br⁻ is the counterion. Because of the smaller micelle aggregates, a lower adsorption capacity of the surfactant layer will result for AO8 on HDTMA-Cl-modified zeolite.

On the other hand, it was found that the chromate adsorption maxima for HDTMA-Cl-modified zeolite was higher than for HDTMA-Br-modified zeolite. The adsorption of oxyanion by cationic HDTMA-modified zeolite was attributed to anion exchange on exposed surfactant headgroups resulting from formation of a surfactant bilayer. The process involves the replacement of weakly held counterions by strongly held counterions. The ability of chromate to replace Cl⁻ was greater than that of chromate to replace Br⁻ because Cl⁻ is less closely bound to the HDTMA micelle than Br⁻ [10,37]. Thus, as HDTMA-Br-modified zeolite showed the greatest removal efficiency, partitioning process is suggested to be predominant mechanisms in AO8 adsorption by SMZs among other possible mechanisms.

The roles that the counterions play in stabilizing an adsorbed surfactant bilayer can be compared to the roles they play in stabilizing micelles in solution. Counterions stabilize ionic surfactant micelles by binding to the micelle surface and screening the electrostatic repulsions between the ionic headgroups. In this way, the counterion binding affinity influences the bulk self-assembly process [10,38]. Adsorption of Acid Orange 8 onto HDTMA-Br-modified clinoptilolite was studied conducting batch experiment system [39]. The value of the maximum adsorption capacity determined from the Langmuir isotherm was 44.05 mg g⁻¹.
The high adsorption capacity value obtained was due the effect of surfactant concentration on the adsorptive properties of SMZs. Increasing the surfactant loading on the zeolites resulted in an increase in removal of organic pollutants [40-41].

4. Conclusion

The main drawback of coal-fired power plants in Brazil is the high production of ash. The generation rate of coal fly ash is approximately 4 million tons per year and is predicted to increase.

Great part of this fly ash is applied as raw material for cement and concrete production (~30% of the total), while a small part is used in road-stabilizer. The remaining solid wastes are disposed in on-site ponds, nearby abandoned or active mine sites, or landfills. The coal ash in the environment is exposed to various influences, and solubilization processes take place, sometimes transforming almost insoluble metals to soluble species, and leading to pollution of water and soil.

Converting fly ash into zeolites not only alleviates the disposal problem but also converts a waste material into a value-added product. The utilization of wastes for the synthesis of valuable commercial products may contribute to the economic development and to prevent environment pollution especially in developing countries.

On the other hand, the production of low-cost adsorbent and their application for dye removal from water are considered valuable components in the implementation of sustainable development policies of national and local programs, and present economic advantages.

Zeolite was synthesized from coal fly ash and was subsequently modified with cationic surfactants (HDTMA-Br and HDTMA-Cl). The prepared surfactant-modified nanozeolitic materials, SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, were examined for the removal of Acid Orange 8 (AO8) from aqueous solution. In order to optimise the adsorption-isorhtherm model, correlation coefficient (R) and six error functions were adopted. By means of the error analysis, equilibrium adsorption data were best represented by the D-R and Freundlich isotherm models for SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively. The adsorption process obeyed the pseudo-second-order kinetics well for both materials. AO8 adsorption was influenced by the HDTMA-zeolite counterion. Zeolite modified by HDTMA-Br showed a higher adsorption capacity for AO8 dye and faster adsorption kinetics than the zeolite modified by HDTM-Cl. This can be attributed to effect of counterions in stabilizing HDTMA bilayer on zeolite surface.

Removal efficiencies until 98% and 87% were obtained for both SMZ-Br and SMZ-Cl, respectively. It can be concluded that the surfactant-modified zeolitic materials product from fly ash are an efficient adsorbents for the removal of AO8 from aqueous solution.

It is further suggested that after lab scale batch studies, column and pilot-plant studies should also be conducted utilizing HTMA-modified nanozeolites from fly ash with synthetic and real effluents to check their feasibility on commercial scale. In addition acute toxicity tests conducted with Daphnia magna and Vibrio fischeri with the water obtained after the treatment using surfactant-modified nanozeolitic material must be performed to predict the safety of treated effluent.
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