Osseocutaneous radial forearm flap with beavertail modification; a case report of a novel, single, reconstructive free flap for the tongue, floor of mouth and mandible
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Complex hard and soft tissue defects produced as a result of ablative resection of head and neck malignancy can represent a reconstructive challenge, especially when patients are medically compromised. PRESENTATION OF CASE: We present the case of 72-year-old women presenting with an oral squamous cell carcinoma of the right floor of mouth invading the right mandible. Surgical management of the disease required ablative surgery with complex free tissue transfer reconstruction to provide restoration of form and function. Potential reconstructive options were limited by her medical comorbidities and poor vessel patency in the lower limbs, requiring novel thinking and adaptation of established techniques.

DISCUSSION: We describe the first reported use of an osseofasciocutaneous radial forearm flap with a ‘beavertail modification’ to provide a single and combined reconstructive option to reconstruct a complex hard and soft tissue defect.

CONCLUSION: This novel free-flap technique adds to the reconstructive armamentarium of the head and neck surgeon.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Complex hard and soft tissue defects produced as a result of resection of head and neck malignancy can represent a reconstructive challenge. It is vital that both the form and function of the reconstruction are carefully considered to provide the best possible chance of making a good recovery, providing restoration of quality of life after curative treatment. As highlighted by this case, accomplishing these reconstructive goals can be challenging in the presence of comorbidity such as ischaemic heart disease and peripheral vascular disease. This case was managed by an experienced consultant head and neck surgeon within a specialist tertiary hospital, and has been reported in compliance with the Surgical Case Report (SCARE) Guidelines [1].

2. Case report

A 72-year-old woman was referred to the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery by her dental practitioner with a two-month history of a painful ulcerated lesion on the right floor of mouth, neck pain and dysphagia. At examination this lesion was three centimetres in diameter, indurated and exophytic. An urgent incisional biopsy confirmed poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. Staging magnetic resonance imaging revealed a soft tissue lesion measuring 2.8 × 1.5 × 2.7 centimetres in the right anterior floor of mouth and extending into the ventral tongue and mandible, as well as multiple suspicious ipsilateral Level Ib cervical lymph nodes. Narrow signal change was evident adjacent to the tumour mass. Computed tomography (CT) of the mandible confirmed erosion of the alveolar crest of the mandible consistent with bone invasion. CT of the thorax displayed evidence of chronic inflammatory lung disease but no evidence of metastasis. On the basis of involvement of the extrinsic muscles of the tongue, bony invasion of the mandible and multiple ipsilateral level Ib cervical lymph nodes, the disease was staged clinically as T4a N2b M0.

Risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma included an 85-pack year history of smoking and a long history of excess alcohol consumption. Medically, she had multiple cardiovascular comorbidities including poorly controlled essential hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and atrial fibrillation for which she was taking warfarin. Echocardiogram revealed moderate mitral stenosis and regurgitation as well bilateral atrial dilatation and tricuspid regurgitation. Anaesthetic and cardiology opinions placed her at increased risk of complications from prolonged general anaesthesia.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: jim.mccaul@mac.com (J.A. McCaul).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2016.10.019
2210-2612/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Following discussion within the multidisciplinary head and neck cancer team, this lady was offered treatment for cure. This was planned to involve primary surgical resection and reconstruction, followed by adjuvant radiotherapy (+/- concurrent chemotherapy) because of evidence of local bony invasion and neck metastatic spread. Surgical management included bilateral neck dissection, resection of the primary tumour with segmental resection of the right mandible, floor of mouth and right tongue and reconstruction using free tissue transfer.

The choice of which specific reconstructive option was critical when considering this patient’s multiple complex comorbidities and consequent increased risks of prolonged general anaesthesia and major surgery.

The most suitable reconstructive option was considered to be an osseocutaneous radial forearm free flap. This option allowed restoration of bony continuity of the mandible, as well as a soft pliable skin paddle to reconstruct the floor of mouth and tongue as a single reconstructive flap. However, one of the often-quoted advantages of the radial flap flap (thinness) was less ideal in this case which required some bulk to reconstruct a relatively large defect. Central flap volume is required to restore swallow by providing bulk centrally to allow the suprahoid musculature to propel the food bolus superiorly and posteriorly against the hard palate. Additional bulk for this flap was provided by adapting a concept previously described by Seikaly [2] by utilising a beavertail modification. This technique includes proximal fat and fascia (the beavertail; shown in Fig. 1) in addition to the fasciocutaneous component which can be dissected free from the vascular pedicle and folded under the skin paddle to provide additional bulk to this normally thin flap (Fig. 2). This combination of an osseocutaneous radial forearm flap and use of a beavertail modification to provide additional bulk to reconstruct a complex hard and soft tissue defect has not been described in the literature.
defects [11]. However, this option was considered not possible for this patient due to lower limb peripheral vascular disease evident by symptoms and on imaging. The fibula flap provides a relatively thick skin paddle which is less suitable for certain situations [12] such as reconstruction of the tongue and floor of mouth. The fibula flap is also not easily customised to provide differential soft tissue bulk.

No established single free flap was considered to have the ideal characteristics to reconstruct this patient. The use of two simultaneous free flaps is an option for reconstruction of large complex defects [13] and also to allow the independent selection of ideal osseous or soft tissue elements [14]. This was considered to be not appropriate for this patient as the use of two free flaps would have significantly increased operation time, risk of complications and donor site morbidity.

The osteocutaneous radial forearm free flap is generally reserved for elderly patients to support early mobilisation in the post operative period [15], due to reduced donor site morbidity when compared to harvesting a fibula flap. The osteocutaneous radial flap is also considered useful in a number of other circumstances; when there is appreciable peripheral vascular disease (as the radial artery is usually relatively unaffected [16,17]), when there is other serious comorbidity, and as a salvage flap when other reconstructive options have been exhausted [8].

4. Conclusion

The osteocutaneous radial forearm flap with beavetail modification is a novel reconstructive option, which provides sufficient bulk of bone and a soft tissue component which can be of variable thickness. Additional advantages of reduced surgical time and early post-operative mobilisation are likely to be most beneficial in elderly or medically compromised patients.
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