Microtubules Support Production of Starvation-induced Autophagosomes but Not Their Targeting and Fusion with Lysosomes*

Received for publication, July 24, 2006, and in revised form, September 7, 2006. Published, JBC Papers in Press, September 8, 2006, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M607031200

Ephraim Fass†, Elena Shvets†, Ilan Degani‡, Koret Hirschberg‡, and Zvulun Elazar‡

From the Departments of Biological Chemistry and Chemical Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100 and the Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv 69978, Israel

Autophagy is a major catabolic pathway in eukaryotic cells whereby the lack of amino acids induces the formation of autophagosomes, double-bilayer membrane vesicles that mediate delivery of cytosolic proteins and organelles for lysosomal degradation. The biogenesis and turnover of autophagosomes in mammalian cells as well as the molecular mechanisms underlying induction of autophagy and trafficking of these vesicles are poorly understood. Here we utilized different autophagic markers to determine the involvement of microtubules in the autophagic process. We show that autophagosomes associate with microtubules and concentrate near the microtubule-organizing center. Moreover, we demonstrate that autophagosomes, but not phagophores, move along these tracks en route for degradation. Disruption of microtubules leads to a significant reduction in the number of mature autophagosomes but does not affect their life span or their fusion with lysosomes. We propose that microtubules serve to deliver only mature autophagosomes for degradation, thus providing a spatial barrier between phagophores and lysosomes.
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delivery, their exact en route movement to lysosomes, and the rate-limiting steps in this process remain unclear. The involvement of microtubules in autophagy in mammalian cells is under a considerable debate. Treatment of cells with vinblastine, a microtubule-depolymerizing drug, has been found in many studies to increase the number of autophagosomes (25–28) and the level of LC3-II (18, 27). This accumulation was viewed in some reports (25) as a failure of microtubules to facilitate assembly and fusion of autophagosomes with the lysosomes. In contrast to this view, in Ehrlich ascites cells, vinblastine did not prevent the entry of hydrolyases to autophagosomes (26) but rather accelerated the rate of autophagosome formation (29). Studies using other microtubule-depolymerizing agents, such as nocodazole or colchicine, were also inconsistent with these findings. Hence, nocodazole has been reported to elevate the number of autophagosomes and inhibit autophagy-mediated protein degradation in normal rat kidney cells (30). Likewise, most recently it has been reported that vinblastine as well as nocodazole inhibit fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes (27) suggesting that intact microtubules contribute to autophagosome targeting. Others have shown, however, that nocodazole had no effect on fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes (29). Consistently, Kabeya et al. (18) reported that nocodazole or colchicine (but not vinblastine) does not elevate the level of autophagosomes.

In this study, we utilized different autophagic markers to identify phagophores, autophagosomes, and autolysosomes under normal growth and starvation conditions. We found that autophagosomes, unlike phagophores, associate with and move along microtubules. Our data indicate that intact microtubules are not essential for targeting and fusion with lysosomes. Moreover, autophagosomes can be formed in the absence of intact microtubules but to a significantly lower extent. We propose that microtubules facilitate autophagosome formation and serve to direct mature autophagosomes for degradation in lysosomes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Antibodies and Reagents—Minimal essential medium (MEMα), Earle’s balanced salt solution (EBSS), valine-free MEMα, and fetal calf serum (FCS) were obtained from Biological Industries (Beit Haemek Laboratories, Israel). Nocodazole, paclitaxel (taxol), and bafilomycin A1 (Baf A) were provided by Sigma. L-[U-14C]Valine was obtained from Amersham Biosciences. The following antibodies were used: mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin and anti-γ-tubulin (Sigma); rabbit polyclonal anti-Atg16 was a kind gift of Noboru Mizushima (Department of Cell Biology, National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, Japan); mouse monoclonal anti-LAMPI (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa); mouse monoclonal anti-green fluorescence protein (GFP) (Babco); rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG; Cy5M5-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch); and horseradish peroxidase-coupled goat antibody against mouse IgG (Bio-Rad). LysoTracker Red DND-99 was obtained from Molecular Probes. pEYFP-Atg5 was a kind gift of Noboru Mizushima. Anti-LC3 antibody was produced by immunization of a rabbit with a peptide corresponding to the 14 amino acids of the N terminus of LC3 with an additional cysteine (PSEKTKQRRTFEQC).

DNA Construction—DNA encoding human LC3 was obtained by PCR from the total cDNA of LNCaP cells with LC3 sense primer 5’-CAACAAAGCTTGATGCGCGTCGGAGAAAGCC-3′ and LC3 antisense primer 5’-CAAATAGATCTCAGACGGCCTTACAGTCAATTTCATCCGG-3′. To obtain pEGFP-LC3, LC3 DNA was cleaved at HindIII and Xhol sites and inserted into the HindIII and Sall sites of pEGFP-C1, a GFP fusion protein expression vector (Clontech). The point mutation for glycine to alanine at position 120 of LC3 (LC3G120A) was created by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis using LC3 sense primer 5’-CAGGAGCACTTGGATGAAAATGTA-C3′ and LC3 antisense primer 5’-TGACAAATTTCTATGCGAGCTCTCCTG-3′. Cathepsin-D-GFP was constructed by inserting human cathepsin D into the N terminus of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) at Sall and EcoRI sites.

Cell Culture and Transfection—Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) and HeLa cells were grown on MEMα supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma) at 37 °C in 5% CO2. Transfection of cells was performed using Lipofectamine reagent (Invitrogen) at a concentration of 4 μl of Lipofectamine/μg of DNA. Stable clones of GFP-LC3-transfected cells were selected in 1 mg/ml genetin (G418). All the data presented here was derived from at least three different clones of each cell line.

Starvation and Drug Treatment—To obtain starvation conditions, cells were washed three times by PBS and incubated in EBSS medium at 37 °C for different time periods. To examine the recovery of cells from starvation, cells incubated in EBSS for 2 h were transferred to MEMα, 10% FCS for 40 min at 37 °C. In cells treated with nocodazole or taxol, a pretreatment period of 30 min was applied to ascertain that the experiments are carried out following the effect on microtubules. Drugs were applied at the following final concentrations: 5 μg/ml nocodazole, 100 nm wortmannin, 100 nm Baf A, or 20 μM taxol.

Fluorescence Microscopy—CHO cells were plated on sterile coverslips (13 mm diameter) and cultured under the conditions indicated, fixed with cold methanol for 5 min at −20 °C, and permeabilized by quick washing with cold acetone. Cells were blocked by incubation with 10% FCS in PBS for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 1 h of incubation with the primary antibody. Cells were then incubated with the secondary antibody for 30 min. For fluorescence imaging, a Nikon Eclipse TE300 microscope equipped with a GFP filter (HQ FITC 41001) and Hamamatsu digital camera C4742-95 was used. A fluorescence filter was used to observe rhodamine (excitation 543 nm, mirror, emission 560–600 nm), Cy5M5 (excitation 633 nm, dichroic 630 nm, emission 660 nm), and GFP (excitation 488, dichroic 560 nm, emission 505–525 nm). Confocal images were taken by a FV500 laser-scanning confocal microscope.

3 The abbreviations used are: MEMα, minimal essential medium α; EBSS, Earle’s balanced salt solution; FCS, fetal calf serum; taxol, paclitaxel; Baf A, bafilomycin A1; GFP, green fluorescent protein; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.
equipped with a PLAP 60 × 1.4 NA oil immersion lens and Fluoview software (Olympus). Movement of GFP-LC3 labeled autophagosomes was monitored using Olympus IX-70 confocal microscope with UPLAPO 40 × 0.85 NA. Cells were kept at 37 °C in 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, in a micro-incubator (PDMI-2, Harvard Apparatus). All images were done at a rate of 1 frame every 10 s. Each experiment was performed at least five times, and representative images or movies of typical cells are depicted in the different figures.

For quantitative analysis of the formation of autophagosomes, cells expressing GFP-LC3 were incubated under normal growth or starvation conditions in the absence or presence of 0.1 μM Baf A. The number of autophagosomes per cell was determined by confocal microscopy at different times. The number of slices and their width were designed so that the entire cell was covered and that autophagosomes do not appear more than once. Each point represents the average ± S.D. obtained from ~60 cells. The counting was performed manually and validated by more than one person.

Electron Microscopy—Cells were grown on coverslips and fixed with 0.3% glutaraldehyde, 0.3% paraformaldehyde in cacodylate buffer (cacodylate 0.1 M, pH 7.4). The fixative was fixed with 0.3% glutaraldehyde, 0.3% paraformaldehyde in normal staining buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.5 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A, and 2 μg/ml aprotinin), cells were homogenized by Dounce homogenizer on ice. Unbroken cells and nuclei were removed by a cell scraper. After resuspension in homogenization buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.5 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A, and 2 μg/ml aprotinin), cells were homogenized by Dounce homogenizer on ice. Unbroken cells and nuclei were removed by low speed centrifugation (3,000 rpm, 5 min), and the supernatant was centrifuged in TLA 100.2 (60,000 rpm, 30 min) for cytosol and total membrane fractions. Fractions were precipitated by 10% trichloroacetic acid and analyzed by Western blot.

Subcellular Fractionation—For cytosol and total membrane analysis, cells were grown in 100-mm diameter dishes, washed, and removed by a cell scraper. After resuspension in homogenization buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.5 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A, and 2 μg/ml aprotinin), cells were homogenized by Dounce homogenizer on ice. Unbroken cells and nuclei were removed by low speed centrifugation (3,000 rpm, 5 min), and the supernatant was centrifuged in TLA 100.2 (60,000 rpm, 30 min) for cytosol and total membrane fractions. Fractions were precipitated by 10% trichloroacetic acid and analyzed by Western blot.

Determination of Autophagosome Life Span—From the slope of the graphs obtained under control (Fig. 7A) conditions in the presence of Baf A, we calculated that the average time interval between successive autophagosome formation events is ~3.3 min. In the absence of Baf A, the average number of autophagosomes per cell remains constant and is equal to 9.8. Therefore, the autophagosome population is in a state of equilibrium (equal rates of autophagosome formation and degradation). Thus, successive degradation events also occur every 3.3 min, on average. The remaining information needed to calculate the autophagosome life span is the average number (hereby labeled X) of degradation events occurring between the formation of a given autophagosome and its degradation. A simple calculation shows that X is a geometric random variable whose average is 9.8 (44); that is, following the formation of a given autophagosome, 9.8 degradation events of other autophagosomes occur on average before our autophagosome is degraded. Thus, the average autophagosome life span under control conditions is 9.8 × 3.3 = 32.7 min. Similarly, from the slope of the graph obtained under starvation conditions in the presence of Baf A (Fig. 7B), we infer that the average time interval between successive autophagosome formation events is 1.22 min. Therefore, when the autophagosome population reaches equilibrium, the time interval between successive degradation events is also ~1.22 min. From the graph in Fig. 7B, we deduced that under starvation conditions equilibrium is reached after 60 min with ~28 autophagosomes per cell. Then, as above, the average of X is 28, and the average life span of an autophagosome is ~28 × 1.22 = 34.2 min. (As summarized in Table 1, these calculations were done as well under nocodazole treatment.)

Protease Protection Assay—Autophagic vacuole fractions were prepared by a sucrose gradient as described above. To concentrate the membranes, fractions were diluted with reaction buffer (Tris 10 mM, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose), centrifuged at 90,000 rpm for 30 min, and pellets were resuspended in reaction buffer. For the protease protection assay, membranes were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in a reaction buffer containing 10 μg/ml proteinase K (Sigma) in the presence or absence of 0.4% Triton X-100. Proteolysis was terminated by the addition of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride to a final concentration of 20 μM at 4 °C for 10 min. Samples were analyzed by immunoblotting using antibody against GFP.

Degradation of Long Lived Proteins—Degradation of long lived proteins was performed according to standard protocol (10). CHO cells stably expressing GFP-LC3 were grown to 70–80% confluence in 6-well plates (35 mm). Cells were then labeled for 14 h in medium containing [14C]valine (0.5 μCi/ml) and 5% FCS in valine-free MEMα. After three rinses with PBS, cells were incubated in either valine-free MEMα or EBSS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin and 10 mM cold valine. When required, 5, 15, or 25 μg/ml nocodazole, 100 nM wortmannin, 100 nM bafilomycin A, or 10 μM 3-methyladenine was added. After the 1-h incubation, the medium was replaced with identical fresh medium, and cells were incubated for additional 4 h. The medium was precipitated in 10% trichloroacetic acid, and trichloroacetic acid-soluble radioactivity was measured.
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Total cell radioactivity was measured after lysis with 0.1 M NaOH. [14C]Valine release was calculated as a percentage of the radioactivity in the trichloroacetic acid-soluble supernatant relative to the total cell radioactivity.

RESULTS

Lipidated GFP-LC3 Is Associated with Microtubules under Normal Growth and Starvation Conditions—To characterize the dynamics and intracellular route taken by autophagosomes in living cells, we established a CHO cell line that stably expresses GFP fused to the N terminus of LC3. The localization of GFP-LC3 in living cells was followed by confocal microscopy analysis. Under normal growth conditions (MEMα), most GFP-LC3 was found dispersed in the cytosol, although a significant fraction (resembling a mixture of vesicles and microtubule-like structures) was concentrated at the juxtanuclear region (Fig. 1A). When cells were transferred to a starvation medium (EBSS) to induce autophagy, GFP-LC3-labeled autophagosomes appeared in the cytoplasm within 30 min, peaking after about 1 h of incubation (Fig. 1A). These vesicles were also concentrated at the juxtanuclear region. In agreement with previous reports (10, 18), the change in cellular localization of LC3 was accompanied by the formation of LC3-II, the membrane-bound form of LC3 (Fig. 1B). Notably, the ratio of cytosol (supernatant) to membrane-bound (pellet) endogenous LC3 was similar to that found for GFP-LC3 (Fig. 1B). Previous studies showed that mutation of glycine at position 120 prevents the C-terminal cleavage and, consequently, conjugation of LC3 to the autophagosomal membrane (19). Under normal growth conditions, GFP-LC3G120A was evenly distributed throughout the cell, unlike the juxtanuclear localization of GFP-LC3wt (see supplemental Fig. 1), indicating that the subcellular localization of GFP-LC3 is dependent on processing of its C-terminal region both under normal growth and starvation conditions.

To further characterize the change observed in GFP-LC3 localization, the profile of membranes associated with GFP-LC3 was determined in cell homogenates, separated by flotation on a sucrose density gradient (Fig. 1C). Wild-type GFP-LC3-I was obtained predominantly from the original loading fraction (Fig. 1C, upper left panels, lane 1), whereas only a small proportion of GFP-LC3-I was associated with dense fractions, containing endoplasmic reticulum membranes (Fig. 1C, lanes 2 and 3). Wild-type GFP-LC3 obtained from starved cells showed a dramatic shift in its fractionation profile. A large amount of the protein, consisting only of the lipidated form GFP-LC3-II, cofractionated with Golgi membranes in the light sucrose fractions (Fig. 1C, lanes 4 and 5). Consistently, the endogenous LC3-I and LC3-II, identified by specific anti-LC3 antibodies, were fractionated similarly to GFP-LC3 (data not shown). The fractionation profile of the mutant GFP-LC3G120A was similar to that of the wild-type GFP-LC3-I and did not change upon starvation (Fig. 1C, right panels).

We next examined the involvement of microtubules in the localization of GFP-LC3 under different growth conditions. To this end, cells expressing GFP-LC3 were grown in the presence (Fig. 2A) or absence (Fig. 2B) of amino acids, and microtubules were visualized with anti-α-tubulin antibodies. Under amino acid-rich conditions, GFP-LC3 was associated with the minus ends of microtubules, which were also immunostained by anti-γ-tubulin antibodies (Fig. 2A). To examine whether intact microtubules are essential for this localization, cells were pretreated with nocodazole, a microtubule-depolymerizing agent. Within 15 min of this treatment, tubulin became scattered uniformly in the cytoplasm (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 2A (and Fig. 2D), nocodazole induced a concomitant fragmentation of both microtubules and the juxtanuclear GFP-LC3 labeling, indicating that under normal growth conditions GFP-LC3 is concentrated toward the minus ends of microtubules in a microtubule-dependent manner.
We next analyzed the localization of LC3 under amino acid deprivation (Fig. 2B). Under these conditions, GFP-LC3-labeled autophagosomes concentrated around the microtubule organizing center and appeared adjacent to microtubules. When cells pretreated with nocodazole were transferred to starvation medium (also containing this drug), the GFP-LC3-labeled autophagosomes were distributed throughout the cytoplasm with no evidence for juxtanuclear localization (Fig. 2B). Taxol, a drug that prevents depolymerization of spindle fiber microtubules, shifted the localization of autophagosomes to the

---

**FIGURE 2. Autophagosomes are associated with the microtubule network.** A, CHO cells expressing GFP-LC3 were incubated in the presence or absence of 5 μg/ml nocodazole (noc), and microtubules or microtubule organizing center were immunostained by monoclonal anti-α-tubulin or anti-γ-tubulin antibodies, respectively. B, cells expressing GFP-LC3 were incubated under starvation conditions for 2 h in the absence or presence of nocodazole or taxol and subjected to immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using anti-α-tubulin antibodies. C, CHO, HeLa, HEK-293, and COS7 cells were incubated under starvation conditions for 2 h and then fixed and stained for endogenous LC3 and microtubules using anti-LC3 or anti-α-tubulin antibodies, respectively. D, cells expressing GFP-LC3 were starved for 2 h in the absence or presence of nocodazole and immunostained for intermediate and microfilaments using antisera for intermediate and microfilaments using anti-vimentin and anti-actin antibodies, respectively. Scale bars, 10 μm.

---

*Microtubules in Autophagy*
Microtubule poles (Fig. 2B), further supporting the association of these organelles with microtubules. This association was limited not only to GFP-LC3 expressed in CHO cells. By utilizing anti-LC3 antibodies, we examined the localization of endogenous LC3-labeled autophagosomes in cell lines other than CHO, including HeLa, HEK-293, COS-7 (Fig. 2C), as well as PC12 and human melanoma cells (Fig. 8C). In all of these cell types, LC3 exhibited a similar microtubule-dependent juxanuclear localization of autophagosomes, which was further confirmed by double labeling with anti-γ-tubulin antibodies (data not shown). No association of LC3-labeled autophagosomes with other cytoskeletal filaments such as intermediate or microfilaments was observed (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that LC3-labeled autophagosomes are associated with the microtubule network in various cell types.

**Autophagosomes, but Not Phagophores, Are Microtubule-dependent Dynamic Organelles**—Given that microtubules and associated molecular motors are responsible for intracellular movement of organelles and vesicles, we tested whether autophagosomes also move along these tracks. We followed autophagosome dynamics in cells expressing GFP-LC3 by time-lapse video microscopy at 10-s intervals for a 10-min period (Fig. 3). Autophagosomes appeared as highly dynamic organelles exhibiting rapid movements. Analysis of a typical autophagosome revealed long distance, rapid directional movements of about 3 μm/10-s period, followed by short, random movements or pauses (Fig. 3A, arrowhead; for movie see supplemental video 1). The rapid, long distance directional movements were observed in both centrifugal and centripetal directions between the cell equator and the periphery, suggesting progression along microtubules. Indeed, application of nocodazole invariably abolished this type of movement (Fig. 3B) indicating that autophagosome movement is microtubule-dependent. Further analysis of this movement revealed that autophagosomes tend to move along distinct tracks similar to LysoTracker-labeled lysosomes (Fig. 3C and supplemental video 2). The rapid movements of both lysosomes and autophagosomes were totally abolished by nocodazole (for a movie see supplemental video 3), taxol, and by reducing temperature to 16 °C (data not shown).

**FIGURE 3. Mature autophagosomes are microtubule-dependent dynamic organelles.** Movement of autophagosomes in starved cells was followed by real time video microscopy. Cells were incubated in starvation medium at 37 °C in the absence (A) or presence (B) of nocodazole (5 μg/ml) and frames were recorded every 10 s. The boxed area on the left frame of A and B was enlarged, and frames (on the right) show time-lapse images of 1-min intervals during a 9-min period. Frames of upper panels (A) show time-lapse images of 10-s intervals between a 2- and 3-min period. Tracking analysis represents a 10-min period of movement of single GFP-LC3-labeled autophagosomes and LysoTracker-labeled lysosomes (C) or YFP-Atg5 labeled structures and LysoTracker-labeled lysosomes (D). Tracks for individual vesicles on the left panels were marked in different colors, and on the right (merge panel), lysosomes were marked red and autophagosomes or phagophores green. Scale bars, 5 μm.
Previous studies demonstrated that both Atg5 and LC3 are associated with the phagophore membrane (also known as the isolation membrane) at the site of autophagosome formation, but only LC3 remains associated with mature autophagosomes (10). To determine whether phagophores also move along microtubules, YFP-Atg5 was stably expressed in CHO cells and followed by time-lapse video microscopy. We confirmed that in this experimental system, YFP-Atg5-labeled vesicles were detected only after amino acid deprivation and were colabeled with anti-Atg16 or anti-LC3 antibodies, indicating that these dots represent bona fide phagophores (data not shown). As shown in the time-lapse tracking analysis obtained from a 10-min period, YFP-Atg5-labeled structures were immobile, unlike the LysoTracker-labeled lysosomes (Fig. 3D and supplemental video 4). We conclude that mature autophagosomes move along microtubules, whereas phagophores remain immobile.

**Autolysosomes Are Formed in the Presence or Absence of Intact Microtubules**—Among its other functions, the microtubule network is being utilized for organizing vesicular transport. To determine whether microtubules are required for targeting and fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes, we first set up conditions for detecting the product of this transport step, namely autolysosomes. As these organelles are short lived and are rarely detected, inhibition of the lysosomal catabolic activity is essential to stabilize and visualize them. Accordingly, the dynamics of autophagosome formation and degradation were characterized by starving cells in the presence or absence of the vacuolar H⁺-ATPase inhibitor bafilomycin A (Baf A). Inhibition of lysosomal acidification by Baf A (31) or chloroquine (32) is known to block activity of the pH-dependent lysosomal proteases and is thus expected to bring about accumulation of autolysosomes. It has been reported that Baf A inhibits autophagy, with production of autolysosomes (31). However, other reports have suggested that Baf A inhibits fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes, i.e. formation of autolysosomes (33). To directly address this issue, we labeled lysosomes of the stably transfected GFP-LC3 starved cells with anti-LAMP1 antibodies. As depicted in Fig. 4A, only a small fraction of lysosomes and autophagosomes colocalized in the absence of Baf A (lanes 4–6). Under these conditions, the LAMP1-labeled membranes appeared enlarged and in many cases contained more than one autophagic body. Quantification of these enlarged lysosomes...
showed an average increase of \(~30\%\) in diameter (\(~1.2\ \mu m\)) compared with normal conditions (\(~0.9\ \mu m\)). A sequential Z-section (0.2 \mu m) analysis was performed to ensure that GFP-LC3 was indeed localized within lysosomes (Fig. 4B).

Our results suggest that Baf A blocks lysosomal degradation without affecting autophagosome-lysosome fusion. To verify the topology of LC3-II biochemically, we performed a protease protection assay of membranes purified from control and Baf A-treated cells. Although LC3-II from cells grown in the absence of Baf A was mostly degraded by proteinase K (Fig. 4C, lane 2), LC3-II from Baf A-treated cells was protected from proteolysis (Fig. 4C, lane 5), indicating that Baf A treatment leads to the accumulation of LC3-II within enclosed membranes. Consistently, transmission electron microscopy analysis demonstrated that treatment with Baf A resulted in swollen lysosomes containing a large number of nondegraded autophagic bodies (Fig. 4D).

Inhibition of lysosomal proteolysis by Baf A did not affect early steps of autophagosome formation, because the level of phagophores labeled by Atg5/Atg16 under starvation conditions did not change in the presence of Baf A, although that of vesicles labeled by GFP-LC3 or endogenous LC3 was significantly elevated (Fig. 5, A and B). Note that although vesicles labeled with LC3 alone accumulated within lysosomes under Baf A treatment, phagophores labeled by LC3 and YFP-Atg5 were excluded from lysosomes (Fig. 5B). Taken together, our findings show that Baf A treatment does not inhibit the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes but rather inhibits lysosomal degradation, thus leading to the accumulation of autophagic bodies within lysosomes.

To examine whether microtubules are essential for delivery and fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes, CHO cells expressing GFP-LC3 were pretreated with nocodazole and then starved in the presence or absence of Baf A. As in the case of intact microtubules, Baf A treatment resulted in a dramatic accumulation of LC3-labeled vesicles, found mostly within LAMP1-labeled lysosomes (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, transmission electron microscopy analysis of these cells clearly demonstrated the accumulation of autophagic bodies within lysosomes (Fig. 6B). These findings demonstrate that intact microtubules are not required for autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Recently, Kochl et al. (27) have reported that nocodazole treatment of primary hepatocytes reduced the extent of colocalization between lysosomes (LysoTracker) and autophagosomes (GFP-LC3), suggesting a role of microtubules in their fusion process. To further examine whether microtubule disassembly affects fusion between lysosomes and autophagosomes, we quantified the number of GFP-LC3-labeled vesicles interior and exterior to lysosomes in cells treated with Baf A in the absence or presence of nocodazole. As depicted in Fig. 6C, the percentage of GFP-LC3 labeled vesicles within lysosomes (autophagic bodies) was not altered by nocodazole treatment,
indicating that in our system microtubule depolymerization does not affect the rate of autolysosome formation. Microtubule Disassembly Affects Autophagosomes Formation but Not Their Life Span—As shown above, targeting and fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes can take place in the absence of intact microtubules. In other trafficking pathways, such as delivery of vesicles to the plasma membrane, microtubules were suggested to act as traffic facilitators. Therefore, we quantified the level and kinetics of autophagy in the presence or absence of microtubule poisons. The average number of GFP-LC3-labeled vesicles per cell was determined under different growth conditions. As the number of autophagosomes per cell is determined by the rate of their formation versus degradation, blocking lysosomal degradation by Baf A, thereby inhibiting autophagosome degradation without affecting their formation (see Fig. 5), reveals the overall rate of autophagosome formation. As depicted in Fig. 7A (and Table 1), under control conditions the number of GFP-LC3-labeled vesicles at steady state is ~10 autophagosomes per cell, and their formation rate is 0.3 autophagosomes per min. Under starvation conditions (Fig. 7B), the number of vesicles increased gradually, reaching a steady state level of about 30 vesicles per cell with formation rate of ~0.8 autophagosomes per min. Nocodazole treatment showed a significant decrease (~25%) of autophagosome formation rate (Fig. 7, A and B, Table 1, and Fig. 6C), leading to an overall reduced number of autophagosomes (also ~25%) at steady state.

Next, by using the data presented above (Fig. 7, A and B), we determined whether microtubule depolymerization affects the life span of autophagosomes. As autophagosomes are highly dynamic organelles, determination of their kinetic parameters by direct video microscopy may turn misleading. In addition, the often occurring collisions between individual autophagosomes, as well as between autophagosomes and lysosomes (see supplemental video 4 and supplemental Fig. 2), bring another factor of uncertainty to their tracking. Moreover, in many cases the disappearance and appearance of these vesicles may be accounted for by their vertical movement in and out of the focus plane. To overcome these difficulties, we utilized a mathematical approach to calculate autophagosome life span using fixed cells. Two parameters (derived from the graphs in Fig. 7, A and B, and summarized in Table 1) are required to calculate the autophagosome average life span as follows: the average number of autophagosomes per cell at steady state and the rate of their formation. Simply multiplying these two parameters gives the average life span of the autophagosomes (see elaborated explanation under “Experimental Procedures”). As summarized in Table 1, the life span of an autophagosome resides between 30 and 35 min and was not affected by microtubule disruption. Consistent with our calculation, direct monitoring of single autophagosomes, carried out at areas where distinct autophagosomes and lysosomes could be detected, showed that the time duration between appearance and disappearance of a given GFP-LC3-labeled vesicle was indeed 30–40 min (Fig. 7C). Notably, the disappearance of LC3-labeled autophagosomes was associated with colocalization with lysosomes labeled with LysoTracker (Fig. 7C). In summary, we show that microtubule depolymerization affects the rate of autophagosome formation but not their life span. Hence, the data presented here further indicate that intact microtubules are not essential for the fusion event, implying that targeting of autophagosomes to lysosomes may not be the rate-limiting step in this pathway. An alternative approach to study the dynamics of the autophagic process is by determining the rate of autophagosome disappearance under conditions where amino acids are added to the growing medium. To this end, cells expressing GFP-LC3 were starved for 2 h to induce autophagosome formation and then allowed to recover in amino acid-rich medium (MEMα) for different times. As shown in Fig. 8A, most autophagosomes disappeared within 30–45 min. These values further support the life span calculations presented above. As depicted in Fig. 8B, microtubule disassembly by nocodazole had no effect on

FIGURE 6. Intact microtubules are not essential for fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. A, CHO cells expressing GFP-LC3 were starved for 2 h in the presence of nocodazole or nocodazole and Baf A. Lysosomes were labeled with monoclonal antibodies against LAMPI, and colocalization of autophagosomes and lysosomes was analyzed by confocal microscopy. White boxes are enlarged to show the level of colocalization. Scale bar, 5 μm. B, cells were incubated for 2 h under starvation conditions in the presence of 0.1 μM Baf A and 5 μg/ml nocodazole, fixed, and analyzed using electron microscopy. Scale bar, 0.5 μm. C, Baf A-treated cells were starved for 2 h in the absence or presence of nocodazole, and lysosomes were stained with LAMPI antibodies. The number of GFP-LC3-labeled autophagic bodies and autophagosomes per cell was determined by confocal microscopy and represents the average ± S.D.
the ability of cells to degrade existing autophagosomes following transfer to MEMs.

Alternatively, we have tested the ability of pre-existing autophagosomes to be delivered for lysosomal degradation by utilizing the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor wortmannin, known to inhibit formation of new autophagosomes (34–36). Hence, treatment of starved cells with this drug again resulted in an overall reduction in the autophagosome level, in a similar time frame, regardless of nocodazole treatment (Fig. 8B). In all treatments, the level of GFP-LC3-II in the membrane fraction (pellet) was correlated with the appearance of autophagosomes (Fig. 8B, Western blot at the bottom of each panel). This phenomenon was observed in various cell lines (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these results indicate that microtubule disruption reduces autophagosome formation without affecting the normal duration of autophagy.

**Microtubule Disruption Delays the Transport of Proteolytic Enzymes to Lysosomes**—Our results indicate that microtubule depolymerization inhibits autophagosome formation by up to 25%. Previous reports indicated that microtubule disassembly induced by toxins such as nocodazole and vinblastine dramatically inhibits autophagy-mediated protein degradation (27, 30). To further characterize the role of microtubules in our system, we tested the effect of nocodazole on autophagy-mediated protein degradation. To this end, CHO cells were pre-labeled with $^{14}$C-valine for 16 h, and protein degradation was monitored after cells were transferred to starvation medium for 4 h. As depicted in Fig. 9A, this treatment resulted in about 50% increase in protein degradation activity, which, in turn, was inhibited in the presence of wortmannin, 3-methyladenine, or Baf A. Applying nocodazole at concentrations sufficient to depolymerize microtubule network and immobilize autophagosomes and lysosomes (5 μg/ml) reduced protein degradation

![FIGURE 7. Determining the rate of autophagosome formation and degradation under different conditions.](image)

**TABLE 1**

| Treatment   | Aut/cell at steady state | Formation rate | Life span |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Con         | 9.8 ± 3.2                | 0.30           | 32.3      |
| Con + noc   | 8.1 ± 3.3                | 0.26           | 31.6      |
| st          | 28.5 ± 3.5               | 0.82           | 34.8      |
| st + noc    | 21.6 ± 3.8               | 0.66           | 32.8      |

*p < 0.005.
by 20–25% (Fig. 9A). When higher concentrations of nocodazole were used (15 and 25 μg/ml), degradation was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner, corroborating previous reports (27, 30) (Fig. 9A). Based on these results, we propose that the direct effect of microtubule disruption is on autophagosome formation; the observed inhibition in protein degradation at high drug concentrations is not directly related to the targeting and fusion processes. In fact, when starved cells were treated with nocodazole for extended times (7 h), LC3 accumulated within lysosomes, suggesting that lysosomal activity is inhibited under these conditions (Fig. 9B). Also, under these conditions the lysosomal protease cathepsin D-GFP was excluded from the lysosomes (Fig. 9C), indicating that the delivery of lysosomal factors into the lysosome was defected under these conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

Autophagy represents a unique intracellular trafficking pathway whereby external signals, such as amino acid deprivation, lead to the production of new organelles, termed autophagosomes that are delivered for degradation in lysosomes/vacuoles. The whole process may be divided into several defined stages as follows: formation of phagophores, formation of mature auto-
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**FIGURE 9.** Long periods of microtubule disruption inhibit the lysosomal proteolytic activity. A, following the procedures described under “Experimental Procedures,” the rate of degradation of long lived proteins was measured in CHO cells incubated in either MEMα or EBSS medium in the absence or presence of 3-methyladenine (3-MA) (10 μM), wortmannin (0.1 μM), nocodazole (5, 15, and 25 μg/ml), or Baf A (0.1 μM). Values express the percentage of cellular proteins degraded in 4 h represented as the means ± S.D. of nine determinations. B, CHO cells were starved for 7 h in the presence of 5 μg/ml nocodazole. Autophagosomes and lysosomes were labeled using antibodies against LC3 (blue) or LAMPI (red), respectively. C, CHO cells expressing cathepsin D-GFP (CD-GFP) were incubated under starvation conditions in the presence of 0.1 μM Baf A and in the absence or presence of 5 μg/ml nocodazole. Lysosomes and autophagosomes were labeled using antibodies against LAMPI (red) or LC3 (blue), respectively, and colocalization was analyzed by confocal microscopy. Areas in the white boxes are enlarged on the left corner.

Autophagosomes, targeting and trafficking of autophagosomes to lysosomes, formation of autolysosomes by fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes, and, finally degradation of the autophagic bodies within the lysosomes. In this study we combined multiple experimental approaches to determine the involvement of the microtubule network in each step along the autophagic pathway. We show that only mature autophagosomes are associated and move along microtubules en route to lysosomes. Our results indicate that in the absence of intact microtubules autophagosomes are formed but at a significantly lower rate. However, targeting and fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes are not affected by microtubule disassembly. Based on these findings we propose that microtubules facilitate autophagosome formation and may act as a barrier between phagophores and autophagosomes.

In most trafficking systems, microtubules facilitate the delivery of vesicles to their final long distance targets (37). Here we show that autophagosomes utilize microtubule tracks on their way to lysosomes. However, disruption of microtubules does not affect the kinetics of the trafficking step. The data presented are consistent with the hypothesis that targeting of autophagosomes to lysosomes may not be the rate-limiting step in this pathway. In our real time video experiments, we noticed that moving autophagosomes and lysosomes often associate with each other; however, in most cases, they dissociate without fusion (see supplemental Fig. 2 and supplemental video 2). Moreover, we found that when autophagy is induced in the absence of intact microtubules, autophagosomes are found at the vicinity of lysosomes (see Fig. 6A and supplemental video 3), thus reducing the need for large distance movements. If targeting of autophagosomes to lysosomes was a rate-limiting step, the overall life span of autophagosomes would be reduced under these conditions. We detected, however, no difference in the average autophagosome life span in the presence or absence of intact microtubules, indicating that the rate-limiting step(s) is downstream of the targeting process, namely tethering and/or fusion with the lysosomal membrane. We have recently found that the N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor ATPase activity, the major intracellular fusion factor that participates in the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes (38), is attenuated when autophagy is induced (39), thus providing a possible explanation for the slow autophagosome-lysosome membrane fusion.

Treatment of cells with Baf A results in the accumulation of autophagic vesicles and inhibition of autophagy-mediated protein degradation. Two previous reports disagree on the issue as to which step in the autophagic process is inhibited by this treatment. Based mainly on electron microscopy analysis, Yamamoto et al. (33) suggest that Baf A inhibits the fusion between the autophagosomes and lysosomes. However, by combining electron microscopy and biochemical analysis, Mousavi et al. (31) concluded that Baf A had no inhibitory effect on fusion but rather on intra-lysosomal degradation. Here we examined this question by utilizing biochemical, morphological, and immunohistological approaches, all of which indicate that Baf A has no effect on fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes but, rather, it inhibits degradation within the lysosome. We argue that by blocking the vacuolar H⁺-ATPase localized on lysosomes, Baf A inhibits lysosomal acidification leading to the accumulation of autophagic bodies within lysosomes. This
treatment allows the detection of GFP-LC3 within autolysosomes, thus providing a suitable experimental tool to study fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes in living cells. Among their other functions, microtubules serve as roadways for membrane traffic. Similar to other intracellular trafficking processes, the involvement of microtubules in fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes is under considerable debate. In this study, we could directly link microtubule-dependent movement with autophagic activity. We showed that mature autophagosomes are associated with microtubules and move along these tracks, yet intact microtubules are not essential for autophagosome targeting and fusion with lysosomes. Previous reports linked microtubules to autophagy. For example, the microtubule toxin vinblastine is commonly used to facilitate autophagosome formation and occurs prior to microtubule depolymerization by vinblastine, indicating that the observed effect is not necessarily related to the microtubule state of polymerization/depolymerization. In accord with our findings, that report has also shown that intact microtubules facilitate autophagosome formation. However, the authors link microtubule depolymerization with inhibition of autophagosome-endosome fusion (27, 30). The different response to microtubule disassembly reported by Kochl et al. (27) may be explained by the different cell system or the higher nocodazole concentrations used by these authors. Consistent with these reports, when high concentrations of nocodazole were applied here as in those studies, bulk protein degradation was blocked. We have shown, however, that treatment with low nocodazole concentration, which was sufficient to completely abolish movement of autophagosomes, did not affect targeting and fusion with lysosomes nor did it block bulk protein degradation. Therefore, we suggest that inhibiting autophagy with high concentrations of nocodazole may result from indirect effects on lysosome function.

Functional loss of dynein, a minus end-directed microtubule motor protein, and microtubule disruption by nocodazole (0.1 ng/ml) have been recently linked to impairment in autophagic clearance of aggregate-prone proteins. These studies suggest that dynein mutations and microtubule disruption impair targeting of autophagosomes to lysosomes via microtubules (40, 41). However, these studies applied long periods (at least 48 h) of nocodazole treatment or dynein inactivation. Such conditions inhibited to some degree the basal level of autophagosome targeting and may indicate that microtubules indeed have a marginal effect on autophagosomes targeting to lysosomes, which in our studies could not be detected. The long periods of microtubule-dependent transport inhibition may have an indirect effect on autophagy. We show here that long periods of nocodazole treatment impair degradation of LC3 within lysosomes, possibly by inhibition of the delivery of lysosomal hydrolases as reported previously (42).

The role of microtubules in autophagy is still an open issue. For a mature autophagosome to reach and engage with a distant lysosome, movement is certainly required. A directional delivery along microtubules is the most conceivable route to achieve this engagement. Yet, our results suggest that microtubule-dependent movement is dispensable for autophagy. This may arise from the fact that upon microtubule depolymerization the tight association that exists between lysosomes and microtubules is disrupted, and lysosomes become distributed throughout the cytoplasm. In such a case, and considering the large number of lysosomes, diffusion may be sufficient to allow their engagement with autophagosomes. In the presence of intact microtubules (the normal physiological state), however, lysosomes are concentrated at the microtubule organizing center; therefore, autophagosomes require microtubules for successful targeting. Thus, the existence of autophagosomes and lysosomes on the same tracks (microtubules) allows fusion to occur.

We found that Atg5-labeled phagophores, in contrast to autophagosomes, are immobile organelles. This static nature of phagophores may represent an extra spatial barrier between phagophores and lysosomes that prevents undesirable fusion between these two organelles. Thus it is possible that microtubules play a role in preventing this type of fusion allowing only autophagosomes and lysosomes to move along it but not phagophores. This may explain the reduction in autophagosome formation observed in the absence of intact microtubules.

Finally, our results indicate that the only detectable effect of microtubule disassembly is an ~25% reduction in autophagosome formation. Therefore, we suggest that microtubules facilitate early stages of autophagosome biogenesis. What can be the involvement of microtubules in this stage? Two synchronous ubiquitin-like systems take part in early stages of autophagosome formation. The first is composed of the Atg12-Atg5 conjugate, which together with Atg16 is localized on the phagophore membrane, allowing the conjugation of LC3 to phosphatidylethanolamine on this membrane. LC3 remains on the mature autophagosomes, whereas Atg12-Atg5 and Atg16 are excluded (12). Indeed, LC3 was originally identified as a microtubule-associated factor (15). Consistently, we detected in this study a significant association of this molecule with intact microtubules. It is therefore likely that microtubules facilitate the incorporation of LC3 to the autophagic membrane, leading to increased production of autophagosomes under starvation conditions. Alternatively, microtubules may act downstream to the biogenesis step by facilitating the removal of mature autophagosomes from the production sites. Additional experiments should be addressed to resolve these issues.

Acknowledgments—We thank M. Fainzilber, J. Lowengrub, Y. Avivi, and Y. Barash for discussions and critical evaluation of the manuscript and V. Kiss for technical assistance.

REFERENCES
1. Seglen, P. O., and Bohley, P. (1992) *Experientia* (Basel) 48, 158–172
2. Tanida, I., Tanida-Miyake, E., Komatsu, M., Ueno, T., and Kominami, E. (2002) *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 13739–13744
3. Noda, T., Suzuki, K., and Ohsumi, Y. (2002) *Trends Cell Biol.* 12, 231–235
4. Levine, B., and Klionsky, D. J. (2004) *Dev. Cell* 6, 463–477
5. Ohsumi, Y. (2001) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2, 211–216
6. Klionsky, D. J., Cregg, J. M., Dunn, W. A., Jr., Emr, S. D., Sakai, Y., Sandoval, I. V., Sibiry, A., Subramani, S., Thumm, M., Veenhuis, M., and Ohsumi, Y. (2003) *Dev. Cell* 5, 539–545
7. Tsukada, M., and Ohsumi, Y. (1993) *FEBS Lett.* 333, 169–174
8. Thumm, M., Egner, R., Koch, B., Schlumpberger, M., Straub, M., Veen-
Microtubules in Autophagy

M. Huis, and D. H. Wolf (1994) FEBS Lett. 349, 275–280

T. M. Harding, K. A. Morano, S. V. Scott, and D. J. Klionsky (1995) J. Cell Biol. 131, 591–602

N. Mizushima, A. Yamamoto, M. Hatan, M. Kobayashi, Y. Kabeya, Y. Suzuki, T. Tokuhisa, Y. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori (2001) J. Cell Biol. 152, 657–668

K. A. Matsui, M. Yamamoto, N. Hatan, H. Yoshimori, T. Ohsumi, T. Tokuhisa, and N. Mizushima (2004) Nature 432, 1032–1036

N. Mizushima, A. Hatan, M. Kobayashi, Y. Yamamoto, M. Matsubae, T. Takao, T. Natsume, T. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori (2003) J. Cell Biol. 151, 5720–5728

B. M. S. S. Mann and J. A. Hammarback (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 11492–11497

J. M. Wang, F. K. Bedford, N. J. Brandon, S. J. Moss, and R. W. Olsen (1999) Nature 397, 69–72

Y. Sagiv, A. Legesse-Miller, A. Porat, Z. Elazar (2000) EMBO J. 19, 1494–1504

Y. Kabeya, N. Mizushima, T. Ueno, T. Yamamoto, T. Kirisako, T. Noda, T. Kominami, T. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori (2000) EMBO J. 19, 5720–5728

Y. Kabeya, N. Mizushima, T. Yamamoto, T. Ueno, T. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori (2000) J. Cell Sci. 117, 2805–2812

T. Tanida, T. Tanida-Miyake, T. Ueno, T. Yamamoto, and T. Kominami (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 1701–1706

T. Tanida, T. Komatsu, T. Ueno, T. Yamamoto, and T. Kominami (2003) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 300, 637–644

R. Scherz-Shouval, Y. Sagiv, Z. Shorer, and Z. Elazar (2003) J. Biol. Chem. 278, 14053–14058

N. Mizushima, T. Yamamoto, M. Matsui, T. Yoshimori, T. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori (2004) Mol. Biol. Cell 15, 1101–1111

E. T. Bampton, C. Goemans, C. Nirranjan, T. Mizushima, T. and Tolkovsky, A. M. (2005) Autophagy 1, 23–36

F. M. Fengsrud, N. Roos, T. Berg, T. Liou, T. Slot, J. W., and T. Slot, J. W. (1995) Exp. Cell Res. 220, 504–519

E. L. Punnonen, L. E. and T. Reunanen, H. (1990) Exp. Mol. Pathol. 52, 87–97

R. Kochl, H. X. W., C. Chan, E. Y., and T. T. T. (2006) Traffic 7, 129–145

P. O. Seglen, T. O., T. Blankson, T. F., T. Holen, I., and T. Stromhaug, P. E. (1996) Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 389, 103–111

H. Reunanen, T. Marttinen, T. and T. Hirsimaki, P. (1998) Exp. Mol. Pathol. 48, 97–102

A. Aplin, T. Jasionowski, T., T. Tuttle, D. L., L. Ten, L. S., and T. Dunn, W. A., Jr. (1992) J. Cell Physiol. 152, 458–466

S. A. Mousavi, A. Kjek, R., T. Berg, T. O., T. Seglen, P. O., T. Berg, T. N., and T. Breach, A. (2001) Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1510, 243–257

N. Yamamoto, A. Tagawa, Y., T. Yoshimori, T., T. Moriyama, Y., T. Masaki, R., and T. Tashiro, Y. (1998) Cell Struct. Funct. 23, 33–42

E. F. Blommaart, T. E. F., T. Krause, U., T. Schellens, J. P., T. Vreeling-Sindelarova, H., and T. Meier, A. J. (1997) Eur. J. Biochem. 243, 240–246

T. Kihara, T. Kabeya, Y., T. Ohsumi, Y., and T. Yoshimori, T. (2001) EMBO Rep. 2, 330–335

P. O. Seglen, P. O., and T. Gordon, P. B. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 79, 1889–1892

A. Musch, A. (2004) Traffic 5, 1–9

N. Ishihara, N. Hamasaki, M., T. Yokota, S., T. Suzuki, K., T. Kamada, Y., T. Kihara, A., T. Yoshimori, T., T. Noda, T., and T. Ohsumi, Y. (2001) Mol. Biol. Cell 12, 3690–3702

Z. Shorer, H., T. Amar, N., T. Meerson, A., and T. Elazar, Z. (2005) J. Biol. Chem. 280, 16219–16226

V. Ravikumar, B., T. Acevedo-Arozena, A., T. Imarisio, S., T. Berger, Z., T. Vacher, C., T. O’Kane, C. J., T. Brown, S. D., and T. Rubinsztein, D. C. (2005) Nat. Genet. 37, 771–776

J. Web, J. L., T. Ravikumar, B., and T. Rubinsztein, D. C. (2004) Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 36, 2541–2550

J. Scoel, T. Matteoni, R., T. Ludwig, T., T. Hofack, B., and T. Kreis, T. E. (1990) J. Cell Biol. 96, 711–720

A. Porat, T., and T. Elazar, Z. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 29233–29237

R. W. Hamming, R. W. (1991) The Art of Probability for Scientist and Engineers, pp. 145–146, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA