Developing A Scale for Measuring Perfection Quotient (PQ) to Predict Readiness to Health Behavior Change
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Abstract

The concept of perfection quotient (PQ) that imbibes the basics of intelligence quotient (IQ), emotional quotient (EQ), X quotient (XQ) and spiritual dimension has been proposed to measure readiness for individual level health behavior change. PQ can be defined as a sum total of combined cognitive capacity, balanced emotions, desirable personality traits, and spiritual awareness to meet the best possible success of life goals. The present article refines this concept into a practical scale that includes the concept of IQ implicitly by developing the scale at less than seventh grade level. It explicitly operationalizes the concept of EQ through three constructs namely self-awareness, mood management, and self-motivation into a health emotional quotient (HEQ). It also operationalizes the concept of health X quotient (HXQ) through the constructs of cautiousness, independence and competition with self. Finally, it operationalizes the concept of health spiritual quotient (HSQ) through the constructs of self-love and love for others around oneself. The summation of HEQ, HXQ and HSQ yields the PQ score which can range from 0-100 units. It is proposed that score of 0-25 units indicates needing improvement low perfection score; score of 26-50 units indicates needing improvement small perfection score; score of 51-75 units indicates moderate perfection score that can be better; score of 76-100 units indicates high perfection score on the continuum and high readiness for health behavior change. The scale can be freely used by health behavior researchers while providing due credit.
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Introduction

Recently a novel concept of perfection quotient (PQ) that integrates the concepts of intelligence quotient (IQ), emotional quotient (EQ), X quotient (XQ) and spiritual dimension of love toward self and for others has been proposed [1, 2]. PQ can be defined as a sum total of combined cognitive capacity, balanced emotions, desirable personality traits, and spiritual awareness to meet the best possible success of life goals. The concept can be applied in several spheres of human activity including but not limited to health behavior research. The term ‘perfection quotient’ (PQ) has received an overall positive response from health professionals and researchers with some disagreement related to the semantics. Different terms have been suggested as alternatives, for example “Utopian Quotient (UQ)” (e-mail to first author from Brian Flay, Oregon State University, April 14, 2018; unreferenced), “Wholeness Quotient (WQ)” (e-mail to first author from Bill Cissell, Jackson State University, April 13, 2018; unreferenced), “Healthy Striving Quotient (HSQ)” or “Readiness Quotient (RQ)” (e-mail to first...
The second aspect in PQ is that of emotional quotient (EQ). This is identified as the first domain in the questionnaire and utilizes three constructs from the theory of emotional intelligence [8, 18, 19]. Typically, the concept of emotional intelligence has five constructs namely self-awareness (identifying one’s emotions as they occur); mood management (appropriate dealing with feelings as they arise so that they do not cause harm to self or others); self-motivation (ability to direct feelings toward goals); empathy (recognizing the feelings in others by observing their verbal and nonverbal forms of communication); and managing relationships (appropriate handling of interpersonal dealings and resolving conflicts). In terms of individual level behavior change context only the first three are relevant and the other two are more for relationship handling (interpersonal behavior). For the purpose of developing the questionnaire the first construct in the instrument is that of self-awareness which has been operationalized as the measurement of the frequency and ability of knowing positive and negative feelings before they arise and ability to distinguish between feelings through three items measured on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. It is proposed that higher the score for self-awareness the greater will be the readiness for health behavior change. The second construct in the instrument is that of mood management which measures the frequency and ability of managing and reacting appropriately to positive and negative feelings through four items measured on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-16 units. It is proposed that higher the score for mood management the greater will be the readiness for health behavior change. The third construct in the instrument is self-motivation which has been operationalized as measurement of the frequency and ability of directing feelings toward a goal while overcoming self-doubt and inaction through three items measured on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. It is proposed that higher the score for self-motivation the greater will be the readiness for health behavior change. Together these three constructs determine the score of health emotional quotient (HEQ) a summation of ten items with a score of 0-40 units which is the first explicit domain of PQ. High HEQ scores will predict greater readiness for health behavior change.

The second explicit domain in PQ is that of X Quotient or XQ [20]. XQ is based on the recent trends in organizational behavior to assess potential employees in several personality traits that are deemed to predict success in potential job
performance in particular situations. This work builds on Gordon Allport’s work on trait theory which emphasized determination of behavior through broad enduring dispositions [21]. The specific constructs for XQ vary for different job requirements. For the purpose of determining health X quotient (HXQ) three constructs are considered to be important. The first construct is cautiousness construct that measures the frequency and ability to plan things, not being driven by impulsivity and being a planner as opposed to being unpredictable measured through three items and measured on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. It is the opposite of spontaneity which can be considered detrimental to readiness for health behavior change. Cautiousness as proposed would be beneficial for readiness for health behavior change. The second construct in the domain of HXQ is the independence construct that measures the frequency and ability to function alone, not relying on others in making life decisions and drawing attention to self that is measured through three items on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. Once again higher the independence score the greater will be the readiness for health behavior change. The third construct is competition with oneself to achieve optimal health, liking for achieving optimal health and challenging oneself toward becoming better measured through three items on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. It is proposed that higher the score on competition with self the propensity for readiness for health behavior change will be higher. Together these three constructs determine the score of Health X Quotient (HXQ) which is a summation of nine items with a score of 76-120 units. Higher the HXQ greater will be the likelihood of readiness for health behavior change.

The third and final explicit domain in the calculation of PQ is the health spiritual quotient (HSQ). This includes two constructs: self-love and love for others around oneself. The importance of love has been underscored by several researchers [22-26]. The construct of self-love is operationalized as the frequency and ability to love oneself to care about one’s body, mind and spirit so that one can take better care of others. This is measured through three items on a rating scale of never [0], hardly ever [1], sometimes [2], fairly often [3], very often [4] yielding a possible range of 0-12 units. Together these two constructs determine the score of HSQ which is a summation of six items and yields a score of 0-24 units. Higher the HSQ greater will be the likelihood of readiness for health behavior change.

Finally, the summation of HEQ, HXQ and HSQ yields the PQ score which can range from 0-100 units. It is proposed that score of 0-25 units indicates needing improvement low perfection score; score of 26-50 units indicates needing improvement small perfection score; score of 51-75 units indicates moderate perfection score that can be better; score of 76-100 units indicates high perfection score on the continuum and high readiness for health behavior change. The complete scale is provided in Appendix I. This scale can be replicated freely and by acknowledging the citation and the originator, not-for-profit organization, Health for All, Inc. The scale is face and content valid. Further studies can establish the internal consistency reliability of the subscales using Cronbach’s alpha and construct validation using confirmatory factor analysis. Also, useful will be to establish test-retest reliability. We will be using this scale for future research related to assessing readiness for individual level health behavior change and it is envisaged that this scale will be tested for psychometric properties and predictive modelling in health behavior research by other researchers to ameliorate the efficacy and effectiveness of health education and health promotion interventions. While intelligence which is largely genetically linked and is implicit in PQ cannot be modified but the HEQ, HXQ and HSQ that are explicit in PQ are modifiable constructs. Interventions can be designed for those individuals who score low or small on PQ score to improve aspects of HEQ, HXQ and HSQ. Individuals who score moderate or high on PQ can be considered ready for behavior change and can be administered evidence-based (theory-based) health behavior change health education or health promotion interventions.
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