Whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in Uganda: implementation of the low-cost ARTIC protocol in resource-limited settings

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Gerald Mboowa1,2, Savannah Mwesigwa1, David Kateete1, Misaki Wayengera1, Emmanuel Nasinghe1, Eric Katagirya1, Ashaba Fred Katabazi1, Edgar Kigozi1, Samuel Kirimunda1, Rogers Kamulegeya1, Jupiter Marina Kabahita1,3, Moses Nsubuga Luutu1, Patricia Nabisubi2, Stephen Kanyerezi2, Bernard Ssentalo Bagaya1, Moses L Joloba1,3

1Immunology and Molecular Biology, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda
2The African Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics and Data-Intensive Sciences, The Infectious Diseases Institute, Kampala, Uganda
3The Supranational Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, Uganda National Health Laboratories - UNHLS, Luzira, Uganda

Abstract

Background: In January 2020, a previously unknown coronavirus strain was identified as the cause of a severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV-2). The first viral whole-genome was sequenced using high-throughput sequencing from a sample collected in Wuhan, China. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) is imperative in investigating disease outbreak transmission dynamics and guiding decision-making in public health.

Methods: We retrieved archived SARS-CoV-2 samples at the Integrated Biorepository of H3Africa Uganda, Makerere University (IBRH3AU). These samples were collected previously from individuals diagnosed with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) using real-time reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). 30 samples with cycle thresholds (Cts) values <25 were selected for WGS using SARS-CoV-2 ARTIC protocol at Makerere University Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory.

Results: 28 out of 30 (93.3%) samples generated analyzable genomic sequence reads. We detected SARS-CoV-2 and lineages A (22/28) and B (6/28) from the samples. We further show phylogenetic relatedness of these isolates alongside other 328 Uganda (lineage A = 222, lineage B = 106) SARS-CoV-2 genomes available in GISAID by April 22, 2021 and submitted by the Uganda Virus Research Institute.
**Conclusions:** Our study demonstrated adoption and optimization of the low-cost ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 WGS protocol in a resource limited laboratory setting. This work has set a foundation to enable rapid expansion of SARS-CoV-2 WGS in Uganda as part of the Presidential Scientific Initiative on Epidemics (PRESIDE) CoV-bank project and IBRH3AU.
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Introduction
The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) which has now spread throughout the entire world, causing more than 175 million infections and over 3.7 million deaths globally. During early 2020, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) enabled researchers to rapidly identify SARS-CoV-2, and knowing the genome sequence allowed rapid development of diagnostic tests and other appropriate tools needed for the response to this novel infection. Continued genome sequencing supports the monitoring of the disease’s spread, activity, viral evolution as well as emerging new viral variants. The COVID-19 pandemic is still ongoing and the global response will have to continue for the foreseeable future; the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended WGS to be further adopted as well as implemented in new settings and new uses to better understand the world of emerging pathogens and their interactions with humans in a variety of climates, ecosystems, cultures, lifestyles, biomes and genetic backgrounds.

Uganda has had approximately 60,250 COVID-19 cases and 423 deaths by June 12, 2021. As more countries move to implement genome sequencing programmes, Uganda is among those embracing SARS-CoV-2 WGS. Of the 131 Uganda full SARS-CoV-2 genomes analysed in December 2020, 50 (38%) belonged to lineage A and the rest belonged to a variety of B lineages with the majority lineages being B.1 (N = 30; 23%) and B.1.5 (N = 17; 13%) which were found predominantly in cross border truck drivers seeking to enter the country. As of April 26, 2021, a total of 328 SARS-CoV-2 samples had been sequenced and deposited in the GISAID by the Uganda Virus Research Institute (UVRI). This represented 0.8% of the total COVID-19 cases that had been detected in the country at that time. This situation is very similar to almost all other African countries, yet this ongoing global pandemic has already demonstrated the importance of widespread access to rapid novel pathogen discovery and subsequent surveillance, as well as comprehensive pathogen information sharing.

We piloted sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 samples at the Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory located in the Department of Immunology and Molecular Biology, Makerere University for two reasons: (i) to test the feasibility of ARTIC amplicon-based genome sequencing at our local institution; and (ii) to extend genomic analyses for COVID-19 surveillance in Uganda. ARTIC protocol was selected due to its low cost and high sensitivity, as well as its scalability compared to other sequencing methods. Routine SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing in many places still faces difficulties such as an unreliable supply-chain for WGS reagents, since many of these are imported from western countries, limited technical expertise as well as genomic infrastructure, and relatively high costs of genome sequencing. Consequently, this work also served as a feasibility study to assess the implementation, practicality, and adoption of ARTIC amplicon-based sequencing in Ugandan’s resource-limited settings, allowing future efforts to integrate and expand into routine laboratory diagnostic pipelines. This current study served as a proof-of-concept to extend genomic capacity for COVID-19 surveillance at Makerere University, College of Health Sciences (MakCHS) Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory. This laboratory has been performing SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) since March 2020 and was among the first facilities in Uganda to be accredited by the Ministry of Health to carry out routine SARS-CoV-2 testing. We sought to perform WGS of 30 SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR positive samples using the COVID-19 ARTIC v3 amplicon-based sequencing protocol in our settings using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform. We considered samples based on the criteria below;

i. Cycle thresholds (Cts) values below 25. This is because the ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 sequencing protocol produces longer and high-quality genomes with Ct values below 30.

ii. We sequenced samples collected after September 2020 to increase the chances of detecting any of the emerging circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants including the high mortality variant originally referred to as the UK variant or B.1.1.7, and the highly transmissible variant originally referred to as the South Africa variant or B.1.351/501Y.V2 which had been reported on 14 December and 18 December, 2020 respectively.

iii. All our sequenced isolates in this study were therefore selected from those archived samples collected after September 2020.

Methods
Ethical consideration
The Integrated Biorepository of H3Africa Uganda (IBRH3AU) received ethical approval from Makerere University School of Biomedical Sciences Research and Ethics Committee (SBS-REC) and from the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) to collect, process, store, and share biospecimens including COVID-19 specimens. Additionally, the IBRH3AU obtained ethical approval from the Mulago National Hospital REC (Protocol Number
MHREC 1868 and approved on March 27th, 2021). Participants consented in writing to sample storage and subsequent use of their samples in current and future studies related to understanding SARS-CoV-2 infection in Uganda.

**Study design**
This was a cross-sectional study design.

**Study settings**
Samples for this study included nasopharyngeal swabs collected from individuals who had a positive COVID-19 test at the Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory. COVID-19 samples processed at this facility were archived at the Integrated Biorepository of H3Africa Uganda – IBRH3AU. These samples were collected between September 2020 and February 2021 from individuals coming from Kampala metropolitan area, which consists of Kampala city itself and the neighboring Wakiso, Mukono, Mpigi, Buikwe and Luweero districts of Uganda.

**Sample preparation and nucleic acid extraction**
Total nucleic acid was extracted using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) at the MakCHS Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, as per the manufacturer’s protocol. All patient samples had initially been assessed by RT-qPCR for SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA using a triplex approach that targets the N, ORF and S viral genes. Therefore, this study used samples that were diagnostically SARS-CoV-2 positive with amplification of the targeted region(s) crossing the threshold before 25 PCR cycles. In total, 30 SARS-CoV-2 positive samples were selected randomly for high-throughput genome sequencing at our facility using a MiSeq Illumina platform.

**Sequencing and bioinformatics analysis**
These samples had been collected and previously stored from patients diagnosed with COVID-19 using real-time RT-qPCR. Metadata associated with the patient samples included the date of sample collection, gender, nationality, and purpose of testing (Routine, Contact, Alert, Travelers, Quarantine, Case, and Professional jobs requiring COVID-19 PCR test). ARTIC amplicon-based sequencing was used to generate 400 bp amplicons with 75 bp overlaps covering the length of the ~ 29.9 kB SARS-CoV-2 genome as described elsewhere. Briefly, cDNA synthesis was carried out with random primers (Protoscript II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, E6560S) followed by PCR amplification using ARTIC primers. Genomic library preparation was carried out using the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation kit (15032355) according to manufacturers’ recommendations, and sequencing was carried out on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, CA, USA) using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600-cycle, #MS-102-3003), according to manufacturer’s protocol.

Quality control (QC) was carried out before viral genome fasta generation, as previously described. Briefly, demultiplexed fastq files generated by sequencing were used as an input data for the analysis. Reads were trimmed based on quality scores with a cutoff threshold of Q30 to remove low-quality regions, in addition to adapter sequences. QC assessment for sequence reads was performed using FastQC (v0.11.9) and MultiQC (v1.9).

For those reads passing the QC cutoff, we used Pangolin COVID-19 lineage assigner (v3.0.5) to assign SARS-CoV-2 viral lineages. Phylogenetic analysis was carried out to understand the evolution of this virus within the Ugandan population, including other SARS-CoV-2 genomes from Uganda that had been submitted by the Uganda Virus Research Institute (UVRI) to the GISAID database by April 22, 2021, and only complete sequences were included, totaling 328 SARS-CoV-2 genomes.

Multiple sequence alignment of 328 Ugandan SARS-CoV-2 genomes and 28 from MakCHS Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory was performed using the web version of MAFFT v.7.475. In each alignment, the SARS-CoV-2 reference sequence (NCBI Reference Sequence: SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1, complete genome, NC_045512.2) was included. The alignment from MAFFT was then subjected to snp-sites v2.3.3 to generate a phylip file format, which was later used to infer a maximum likelihood tree using PhyML v3.3 with the tool’s default parameters. The tree generated by PhyML was stored in a newick file format. The file was then uploaded to the interactive tree of life (ITOL v4.0) - an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation for visualization (Figure 1). We then used snipit v1.0.3 to zoom into the 28 genomes from MakCHS sequencing lab to visualize their snps in reference to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome (Figure 2).

**Results**
**COVID-19 samples**
The average age of the study participants was 40 years with an equal ratio of males to females. Their nationalities included 21 Ugandans, 3 Eritreans, 2 Indians, 1 Israeli and 1 South Sudanese. After WGS, we successfully generated a total of
28 out 30 (93%) analyzable SARS-CoV-2 genomes. It was probable that only these archived specimens had adequate viral RNA for successful genomic sequencing. Targeted SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR was positive for N, ORF, and S viral genes, however two samples did not have detectable Ct values for viral S gene.

Sequencing quality
Both patient demographics and summary characteristics of these genomes are shown in Table 1. We found more A strains of SARS-CoV-2 than B strains. The different quality metrics used included SARS-CoV-2 draft genome length, GC-content and average depth of coverage. The coverage of all samples was above 30X.
| Sample_ID | Genome length | GC-Content | Depth | Sample collection date | Patient age (y) | Gender | Category | CT value | Lineage |
|-----------|---------------|------------|-------|------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov1/2020 | 29135 | 37.95 | 621X | 2020-11-13 | 34 | M | - | 16.43 | 16.42 | 17.08 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov2/2020 | 29592 | 37.96 | 735X | 2020-11-04 | 49 | F | - | 15.84 | 15.74 | 16.23 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov3/2020 | 29340 | 37.80 | 1132X | 2020-11-01 | 43 | M | - | 15.21 | 15.07 | 15.44 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov4/2020 | 29284 | 37.81 | 601X | 2020-11-16 | 49 | F | - | 15.84 | 15.74 | 16.23 | B.1.393 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov5/2020 | 29654 | 37.96 | 716X | 2020-09-30 | 41 | F | - | 15.86 | 17.44 | 18.65 | A |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov6/2020 | 28944 | 38.03 | 668X | 2020-09-30 | 50 | M | - | 15.89 | 15.89 | - | A |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov7/2021 | 29561 | 37.95 | 1220X | 2021-02-19 | 34 | F | - | 16.07 | 17.21 | 17.59 | B.1.393 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov8/2021 | 28944 | 38.03 | 668X | 2021-02-19 | 34 | M | - | 16.15 | 17.62 | - | B.1.258 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov9/2021 | 29592 | 37.96 | 733X | 2021-01-13 | 30 | F | - | 19.34 | 19.99 | 20.80 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov10/2021 | 29374 | 37.92 | 639X | 2021-01-15 | 49 | F | Alert | 17.55 | 18.20 | 18.97 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov11/2021 | 28435 | 38.07 | 629X | 2021-01-25 | 36 | F | Contact | 20.47 | 20.21 | 20.67 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov12/2021 | 28548 | 38.07 | 775X | 2021-01-24 | 58 | M | - | 16.59 | 16.82 | 17.26 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov13/2020 | 29185 | 38.00 | 637X | 2020-11-14 | 24 | M | - | 17.02 | 16.86 | 17.37 | A |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov14/2020 | 27826 | 38.00 | 660X | 2020-11-14 | 20 | F | - | 17.13 | 16.93 | - | B.1.258 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov15/2020 | 27470 | 38.01 | 652X | 2020-11-10 | 46 | M | - | 17.31 | 16.69 | 17.22 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov16/2020 | 29666 | 37.97 | 611X | 2020-11-14 | 36 | F | - | 17.55 | 17.42 | 17.99 | A.23.1 |
Table 1. Continued

| Sample_ID | Genome length | GC-Content | Depth | Sample collection date | Patient age (y) | Gender | Category | CT value | Lineage |
|-----------|---------------|------------|-------|------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov17/2020 | 213211 | 45.96 | 92X | 2020-11-12 | 49 | F | - | 17.55 | 18.20 | 18.97 | A.23 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov18/2020 | 27470 | 38.01 | 296X | 2020-11-08 | 41 | M | - | 18.19 | 17.57 | 18.31 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov19/2020 | 29093 | 37.72 | 606X | 2020-10-22 | 60 | M | - | 18.23 | 18.56 | 19.04 | B.1.214 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov20/2021 | 28006 | 38.06 | 656X | 2021-01-13 | 29 | M | - | 19.06 | 19.59 | 20.33 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov21/2021 | 28910 | 37.98 | 730X | 2021-01-13 | 28 | M | - | 19.34 | 19.99 | 20.79 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov22/2021 | 55370 | 40.59 | 316X | 2021-01-19 | 31 | F | - | 19.58 | 19.74 | 20.13 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov23/2021 | 44685 | 42.04 | 262X | 2021-01-18 | 63 | F | - | 19.80 | 21.04 | 20.54 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov25/2021 | 29262 | 38.02 | 670X | 2021-01-19 | 45 | M | Routine | 16.46 | 16.83 | 17.13 | B.1.393 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov26/2021 | 29666 | 37.97 | 612X | 2021-01-17 | 33 | M | Routine | 13.40 | 13.97 | 14.28 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov28/2021 | 29592 | 37.96 | 735X | 2021-01-15 | 35 | M | - | 22.89 | 22.73 | 23.09 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov29/2021 | 29662 | 37.94 | 663X | 2021-01-15 | 33 | F | - | 23.24 | 23.29 | 23.72 | A.23.1 |
| hCoV-19/Uganda/MAKCHScov30/2021 | 28078 | 38.09 | 634X | 2021-01-13 | 46 | F | - | 23.53 | 26.48 | 26.82 | A.23.1 |
Phylogenetic analysis

Of the virus genomes generated, we were unable to identify any known SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern or interest from the sampled specimens when we compared the genomes from specimens collected in September 2020 to February 2021. Phylogenetic analysis of all the sequenced SARS-CoV-2 genomes by then from Uganda shows genomic relatedness as seen in Figure 1; however, this observation was of limited value given that there was inadequate epidemiologic data from the patients from whom these specimens had been collected. There was close genomic relatedness detected in MAKCHScov28 and cov10 as well as MAKCHScov5 and cov6 that had been collected from different patients on the same date. MAKCHScov26 and cov16 as well as MAKCHScov25 and cov4 were equally closely linked through phylogenetic analysis, though collected at least two months apart. Hence, this phylogenetic relatedness is likely to indicate local transmission events of SARS-CoV-2 in Kampala metropolitan area.

Discussion

Due to the fact that 28/30 of SARS-CoV-2 genomes were successfully sequenced on the MiSeq platform, we have demonstrated a proof-of-concept project on SARS-CoV-2 WGS using archived clinical nasopharyngeal swab samples from the IBRH3AU. This study has successfully optimized and validated SARS-CoV-2 WGS using the ARTIC amplicon sequencing protocol. This has enabled us to adopt SARS-CoV-2 WGS at MakCHS Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory. As of June 8, 2021, Uganda had registered 53,961 COVID-19 cases and more than half (~26,000) of the samples are stored at the IBR3HAU biorepository.

Globally, researchers are being encouraged to sequence and share more genomes of SARS-CoV-2 via the GISAID platform, and there are currently more than 1.8 million coronavirus genome sequences from 172 countries and territories, which is a great testament to the hard work of researchers around the world during the COVID-19 global pandemic.22 Ironically, approximately 98% of these genomes have been submitted by high-income countries, underscoring the need to build a similar capacity in lower-middle-income settings (LMIC).

In this study, we estimated that the cost of WGS per SARS-CoV-2 clinical specimen in our laboratory to be $110 compared to $57.8723 in the United States using a multiplex PCR followed by sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq apparatus. In the United Arab Emirates, the cost of SARS-CoV-2 full genome sequencing was estimated to be ~$87 per specimen when sequencing 96 samples in a batch at 400× using the target enrichment method.24 It should be noted that target enrichment sequencing is still a more cost-effective approach and is scalable in many settings that handle large volumes of these samples. As of June 8, 2021, GISAID had 1,885,406 hCoV-19 genomic data with only a total of 19,065 submissions being from Africa while on June 1 2021, a total of 4,843,874 COVID-19 cases and 130,814 deaths (CFR: 2.7%) had been reported in 55 African Union (AU) member states representing 3% of all cases reportedly globally.25 However, a majority of the low-quality SARS-CoV-2 genomes submitted in this same online genome database have been submitted from sequencing facilities in Africa.

As many countries and territories globally continue to find the optimal approach in managing the health-related consequences of COVID-19, more laboratories in these settings must find the best or affordable protocols to implement WGS of SARS-CoV-2 to inform public health measures. We performed WGS of 30 samples and specifically successfully evaluated the performance of ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 sequencing protocol performance in our settings using the Illumina MiSeq platform. In this study, genomic libraries were generated using RNA samples isolated from either newly prepared nasopharyngeal swabs in AVL buffer, which is a lysis buffer intended for purifying viral nucleic acids, or previously collected and frozen nasopharyngeal swabs preserved in AVL buffer. Therefore, the findings of this study offer guidance on implementing the low-cost ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing protocol to study SARS-CoV-2 genomic variations in resource limited settings. Many of these settings are currently unable to perform real-time WGS of such samples either due to absence of sequencing infrastructure, which in some cases has been overcome by establishing collaborations with sequencing facilities in other countries and therefore requiring shipment of the samples, unsustainable supply of sequencing reagents, or lack of trained genomics and bioinformatics personnel. WGS of SARS-CoV-2 remains vital in elucidating COVID-19 disease26 for the unforeseeable future as researchers globally continue to identify new viruses CoV variants of concern and interests such as B.1.1.7 (Alpha), B.1.351 (Beta), P.1 (Gamma), B.1.617.2 (Delta) and B.1.427/B.1.429 (Epsilon), P.2 (Zeta), B.1.525 (B.1.525), P.3 (Theta), B.1.526 (Iota), and B.1.617.1 (Kappa) respectively.27 WGS allows detection and characterization of these emerging viral variants, generating essential new information about their genomic, immunologic, virologic, epidemiologic, and clinical characteristics.

Incomplete epidemiological and clinical characteristics as well as lack of COVID-19 disease severity of study participants are some of the limitations of this study. Also, the small sample size used as well as sequencing of samples that had been collected from Kampa metropolitan area may not represent the true proportion of identified SARS-CoV-2 lineages and variants in Uganda during the study period.
We recommend the establishment of more collaborative consortia between researchers, as well between National Public Health Institutions in LIMCs and developed countries to build low-cost, sustainable, functioning pathogen genome sequencing facilities to accelerate pathogen discovery and outbreak surveillance using WGS. Furthermore, these facilities can equally utilize recently developed multiplex RT-qPCR assays to screen for SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern or interest and monitor their frequencies. These variant genotyping assays not only complement WGS in such settings but also offer a cost-effective way to identify which samples can be prioritized for WGS, especially those that are unidentifiable by routine genotyping tests. Even during the vaccination phase of COVID-19, documenting incidences and prevalence of these SARS-CoV-2 re-or-emerging variants is key in identifying vaccine escape mutants. This offers the opportunity for judicious use of WGS for rapid discovery of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our proof-of-concept study shows that ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 sequencing protocol on Illumina MiSeq is sensitive and accurate at higher SARS-Cov-2 template concentration (e.g., Ct value <25) in the Ugandan settings. We successfully validated the protocol and evaluated the process in mappability, genome length, GC-content, viral genome coverage, and variations in SNV calling. The result of our study provides a thorough affirmation of carrying out whole-genome sequencing for clinical SARS-CoV-2 samples in resource limited settings, thereby providing information to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on our society. We have further contributed to SARS-CoV-2 global dataset.
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GenBank: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/UGA/MAKCHScov10/2021 ORF1ab polyprotein (ORF1ab), ORF1a polyprotein (ORF1ab), surface glycoprotein (S), ORF3a protein (ORF3a), envelope protein (E), membrane glycoprotein (M), ORF6 protein... Accession number: MZ287371 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ287371

GenBank: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/UGA/MAKCHScov17/2020 ORF1ab polyprotein (ORF1ab), ORF1a polyprotein (ORF1ab), and surface glycoprotein (S) genes, partial cds; and ORF3a protein (ORF3a), envelope protein (E), membrane gl... Accession number: MZ287333 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ287333

GenBank: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/UGA/MAKCHScov22/2021 ORF1ab polyprotein (ORF1ab), ORF1a polyprotein (ORF1ab), and surface glycoprotein (S) genes, partial cds; ORF3a protein (ORF3a) gene, complete cds; envelope protein (... Accession number: MZ287334 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ287334

GenBank: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/UGA/MAKCHScov23/2021 ORF1ab polyprotein (ORF1ab) and ORF1a polyprotein (ORF1ab) genes, partial cds; surface glycoprotein (S), ORF3a protein (ORF3a), envelope protein (E), membrane glycop... Accession number: MZ287335 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MZ287335

Data has also been deposited in the Global Initial on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID’s EpiCoV database: http://www.gisaid.org)

Extended data

Zenodo: Whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in Uganda: implementation of the low-cost ARTIC protocol in resource-limited settings. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5055843.28

This project contains the following extended data:

- Acknowledgments table for GISAID data

Extended data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

1. COVID-19 Map: Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Accessed June 12, 2021. Reference Source
2. Genomic sequencing of SARS-CoV-2: a guide to implementation for maximum impact on public health. Accessed March 22, 2021. Reference Source
3. Uganda Coronavirus: 60,250 Cases and 423 Deaths - Worldometer. Accessed June 12, 2021. Reference Source
4. SARS-CoV-2 diversity in Uganda, December, 2020 - SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus. Viralological. December 29, 2020. Accessed February 24, 2021. Reference Source
5. Shu Y, McCauley J: GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision to reality. Euro Surveill. 2017; 22(13). Publisher Full Text
6. Bugembe DL, Kayiwa J, Phan MVT, et al.: Main Routes of Entry and Genomic Diversity of SARS-CoV-2, Uganda. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020; 26(10): 2411–2415. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
7. Tyson JR, James P, Stoddart D, et al.: Improvements to the ARTIC multiplex PCR method for SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing using nanopore. bioRxiv. September 4, 2020. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
8. Pillay S, Gandhari J, Tegally H, et al.: Whole Genome Sequencing of SARS-CoV-2: Adapting Illumina Protocols for Quick and Accurate Outbreak Investigation during a Pandemic. Genes. 2020; 11(8): 949. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
9. Challen R, Brooks-Pollock E, Read JM, et al.: Risk of mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1: matched cohort study. BMJ. 2021; 372: n579. Publisher Full Text
10. Tang JW, Toovey OTR, Harvey KN, et al. Introduction of the South African SARS-CoV-2 variant 501Y.V2 into the UK. J Infect. January 17, 2021. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
11. WHO| SARS-CoV-2 Variants: WHO. Accessed March 22, 2021. Reference Source
12. Sevinsky J: SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing on Illumina MiSeq Using ARTIC Protocol: Part 1 - Tiling PCR. April 29, 2020. Publisher Full Text
13. Resende PC, Motta FC, Roy S, et al.: SARS-CoV-2 genomes recovered by long amplicon tiling multiplex approach using nanopore sequencing and applicable to other sequencing platforms. bioRxiv. May 1, 2020: 2020.04.30.069039. Publisher Full Text
14. Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Accessed February 25, 2021. Reference Source
15. Ewels P: Ewels/MultiQC. 2021. Accessed February 25, 2021. Reference Source
16. Rambaut A, Holmes EC, O’Toole Á, et al.: A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat Microbiol. 2020; 5(11): 1463–1467. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
17. Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD: MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief Bioinform. 2019; 20(4): 1160–1166. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
18. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, et al.: SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microb Genom. 2016; 2(4). PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
19. Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, et al.: New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biol. 2010; 59(3): 307–321. Publisher Full Text
20. Letunic I, Bork P: Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47(W1): W256–W259. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
21. O’Toole Á: Aineniamh/Snipit. 2021. Accessed March 24, 2021. Reference Source
22. Maxmen A: One million coronavirus sequences: popular genome site hits mega milestone. Nature. April 23, 2021. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
23. Paden CR, Tao Y, Queen K, et al.: Rapid, Sensitive, Full-Genome Sequencing of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020; 26(10): 2401–2405. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
24. Harilal D, Ramaswamy S, Loney T, et al.: SARS-CoV-2 Whole-Genome Amplification and Sequencing for Effective Population-Based Surveillance and Control of Viral Transmission. Clin Chemistry. 2020; 66(11): 1450–1458. Publisher Full Text
25. Outbreak Brief 72: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic. Africa CDC. Accessed June 8, 2021. Reference Source
26. Liu T, Chen Z, Chen W, et al.: A benchmarking study of SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing protocols using COVID-19 patient samples. bioRxiv. November 10, 2020: 2020.11.10.375022. Publisher Full Text
27. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. Accessed June 8, 2021. Reference Source
28. Mboowa G, Mwesigwa S, Kateete D, et al.: Whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in Uganda: implementation of the low-cost ARTIC protocol in resource-limited settings (Version 1.0). 2021. Publisher Full Text
Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

[✓] [✓]

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 August 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.56967.r89860

© 2021 Christoffels A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Alan Christoffels

South African Medical Research Council Bioinformatics Unit, South African National Bioinformatics Institute, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa

Mboowa et al. describes the application of the ARTIC protocol for sequencing of SARS-COV-2 genomes and subsequently analysis in preparation for submission of data to GISAID.

A few minor comments:

1. Abstract, Background
The context of this work points to the need for more adoption of WGS in Africa and therefore increase disease surveillance. One way of ordering the background paragraph could be: Start with the last sentence of that paragraph, remove the Wuhan sentence and then follow with the first sentence then mention that to date, x % of sars-cov-2 genomes submitted to GISAID were contributed from Africa. This data includes low quality viral genomes and a need for improved protocols for implementing WGS.

2. Introduction, last paragraph
Replace UK variant and SA variant sentence with the acceptable nomenclature, "Alpha" and "Beta" variants respectively, so that this scientific record in F1000 assists in rectifying the public usage of terms.

3. Methods section, study design
Is it standard protocol to archive SARS-CoV-2 biospecimens in the local biobank (IBRH3AU)? If not then it would be good to extend the sentence to add reproducibility for others wanting to do the same.

4. Methods, seq and bioinformatics analysis section
Delete the first sentence that starts "These samples had been...". Edit the next sentence to read, "Metadata associated with the randomly selected 30 COVID-19 positive patient samples included the data of sample collection..."
5. Discussion
This article is key to accelerating adoption of methods to respond to COVID-19. This focus of the paper was to show the success with using the ARTIC protocol. You could add a sentence in the results section after the phylogenetic analysis using iTOL to point readers to the option of using Nextstrain within the analysis process and the value of richer meta data in this context.

Figures 1 and 2 have a title but no caption/description.

Table 1: could you order the info in this table according to one of the columns? That would make reading the table a bit easier - maybe group by lineage? Or order by GC-content?

Any comment on the 45.96 GC-content for MAKCHScov17/2020? Curious why the spike in comparison to the other samples.
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Summary
The article by Mboowa et al., evaluates the ARTIC protocol for Whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in Uganda. Authors test the protocol using previously collected qPCR positive COVID-19 samples, and they found that 93.3% of the samples generated readable genetic sequences. Of these samples, about 78% were of the A lineage, and 21% were of the B lineage. Authors show a relatedness of the isolates in the current study to other SARS-CoV-2 genomes available in GISAID. The study shows the feasibility of conducting the ARTIC protocol in a resource limited setting, thus allowing for more SARS-COV-2 WGS in the country. The idea behind the study is interesting, vital, and timely, given the role played by WGS in disease epidemiology. The article is properly written, the methods are robust, but few minor details need to be clarified. The comments below should be taken into consideration as they aim to further improve on the overall quality of the article.

Minor corrections
1. The following statement needs to be revised for accuracy: "Of the 131 Uganda full SARS-CoV-2 genomes analyzed in December 2020, 50 (38%)". I suspect authors meant "Of the 131 full SARS-CoV-2 genomes analyzed in Uganda in December 2020..."?

2. I suggest a brief introduction of the SARS-CoV2 lineages/nomenclature be included in the introduction to guide the reader for example the "Pango lineages".

3. In the introduction, authors write "that this situation is very similar to almost all other African countries..." however, the "situation" being referred to is not properly problematized, thus needs to be explicitly defined in the preceding sentence (i.e. is it an issue with low testing rate or low/no sequencing rate or both)?

4. A brief introduction of ARTIC including the principle behind it would be good to summaries in the introduction, while highlighting the advantages of ARTIC over the currently available SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing platforms.

5. Under the subheading study settings, the exact test used for COVID-19 diagnosis should be stated in this section as well. Under ethical consideration, please clearly state that "no patient information that can be used to identify an individual such as names and personal identification number etc. were used in the study".

6. Authors should elaborate on the details of the diagnostic protocol used for testing. For example, did all the amplification curves for all the three genes have to be below Ct 25 for a sample to be declared positive? What if only two genes had Ct values below 25, and the other gene above Ct 25, how was a such a sample classified? What would a Ct value of 26 mean? This being a diagnostic PCR, Interpretation of the diagnostic data should be clarified to indicate that many factors were taken into consideration, for example the total number of cycles run, and the Ct value range for interpretation, the positive control, and that of the internal control etc.

7. It is not clear if the samples used for sequencing were amplicons stored from the diagnostic
process or if it was aliquots of RNA previously extracted during testing, or separate samples were collected from the individual specifically for this study. Please specify this in the methods.

8. Figures 1 and 2 need to be appropriately cited in the results main text.

9. In the recommendations, authors should recommend further testing of the ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 sequencing protocol using a much bigger sample size, different sample types, and preservation buffers, to draw more comprehensive conclusions.

10. Authors write that “the result of our study provides a thorough affirmation of carrying out whole genome sequencing for clinical SARS-CoV-2 samples in resource limited settings, thereby providing information to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on our society”. However, I think that it is important that the importance of sequencing on disease epidemiology be clearly stated as part of the study contribution.
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