Efficacy and safety of a topical moisturizer containing linoleic acid and ceramide for mild-to-moderate psoriasis vulgaris: A multicenter randomized controlled trial

Xia Li | Qi Yang | Jie Zheng | Heng Gu | Kun Chen | Hongzhong Jin | Chunjia He | Ai-e Xu | Jin Xu | Junling Zhang | Wang Yu | Zaipei Guo | Lin Xiong | Yanyan Song | Lina Zhang

1Department of Dermatology, Rui Jin Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China
2Laboratory of Dermatoimmunology, Rui Jin Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China
3Institute of Dermatology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Nanjing, China
4Department of Dermatology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China
5Department of Dermatology, Third People's Hospital of Hangzhou, Hangzhou, China
6Department of Dermatology, Tianjin Academy of Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated Hospital, Tianjin, China
7Department of Dermatology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China
8Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Medical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Correspondence
Jie Zheng, Department of Dermatology, Rui Jin Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, No.197, Rui Jin Er Road, Shanghai 200025, China.
Email: jie-zheng2001@126.com

Funding information
National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 81673056, 81830095

Abstract
Psoriasis is a chronic, recurrent skin disease requiring long-term management. Agents that repair the skin's barrier function are invaluable additives in topical treatments of psoriasis. This multicenter, randomized, controlled trial evaluated the efficacy and safety of a linoleic acid-ceramide-containing moisturizer (LA-Cer) for mild-to-moderate psoriasis vulgaris. We randomized 178 patients from both northern and southern regions of China into two groups: 81 patients in the control group received mometasone furoate (MF, 0.1%) cream, while MF in combination with LA-Cer was administered to 86 patients in the treatment group for 4 weeks. The LA-Cer-MF group maintained the use of moisturizer after topical glucocorticoid administration. The primary endpoint, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index 50 (PASI 50) response, revealed the superiority of LA-Cer-MF with lower relapse rates at week 8. The use of the LA-Cer-containing moisturizer as maintenance therapy resulted in a continuous improvement in the clinical state in terms of body surface area, PASI, investigators' assessment of skin dryness and desquamation, and Physician Global Assessment of Psoriasis score, and in the patients' quality of life. Thus, the LA-Cer-containing moisturizer is a promising agent to prevent and treat psoriasis as it enhances the therapeutic effect induced by topical glucocorticoids and delays relapse.
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Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory and proliferative skin disorder characterized by erythro-squamous plaques, skin dryness, and various degrees of pruritus. With its intermittent episodes of exacerbations and remissions, psoriasis has a substantial impact on both physical health and quality of life (QoL) of the affected individuals, which requires lifelong management. Furthermore, 20% to 30% of the affected individuals require continuous, long-term, systemic therapy to achieve effective symptom control.

Conventional treatments include topical treatment, phototherapy, and systemic treatment, which have different degrees of therapeutic efficacy and adverse reactions. Despite the good response to biological agents, relapse is inevitable after withdrawal of therapy. Improvement of epidermal function with topical emollients can mitigate side effects. Despite the good response to biological agents, relapse is inevitable after withdrawal of therapy. Improvement of epidermal function with topical emollients can mitigate and/or prevent the relapse of psoriasis.

Therefore, long-term skin care could be an effective and economical way to prolong the therapeutic effects of topical or systemic treatments and delaying relapse.

Ceramides (Cers), which are the major constituents of the free extractable lipids present in the stratum corneum (SC), play an essential role in structuring and maintaining the permeability barrier function of the skin. Besides their structural role in the SC, they also serve as intracellular signaling molecules mediating several biological processes such as proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and immune responses. Low levels of serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT), a critical enzyme for Cer biosynthesis, have been detected in the lesional skin of psoriasis. Additionally, the decrease in Cer levels was observed to be proportional to the psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) scores.

The fact that lipid changes are observed in lesions, whereas no significant difference is detected in uninvolved skin implies the involvement of factors such as inflammation or changes in the process of differentiation in the observed changes in the lipid properties of SC. Selenium deficiency in keratinocytes increases synthesis of Cers and exerts positive effects on the skin barrier. Therefore, formulations containing Cers and LA have the potential to normalize lipid composition and organization and improve the condition of the skin in psoriasis. LA can also serve as an oxysome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha (PPAR-α) agonist, while oxidized LA is a PPAR-γ activator. The activation of PPAR, a nuclear receptor expressed by keratinocytes, is responsible for regulating keratinocyte proliferation, inflammation, and skin barrier homeostasis by increasing epidermal lipid metabolism and synthesis.

Topical glucocorticoids (GCs) are the first-line treatment for mild-to-moderate psoriasis (<10% body surface area involvement). However, they possess several adverse effects (AEs) including cutaneous atrophy and skin barrier impairment, and their influence on the SC and barrier integrity has received much attention. A broad (15%-37%) reduction in SC lipid synthesis was observed in cultured human keratinocytes treated with GCs. GC treatment resulted in abnormalities in permeability barrier homeostasis, which were shown to be partly normalized by topical treatment with a triple-lipid mixture of SC lipids. Hence, a barrier-repairing product, particularly one that contains Cers and a PPAR-α activator to normalize hyperproliferation and differentiation and to exert anti-inflammatory effects could be an alternative therapy for psoriasis.

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to examine the therapeutic and preventive benefits of an LA-Cer-containing moisturizer for psoriasis patients, during and after topical GC use.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study overview

A multicenter, parallel, randomized, controlled trial (ChiCTR-IOR-15007138) was conducted from March 2013 to July 2014 at 6 medical centers in China in accordance to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at all sites. Informed consent forms were signed by all participants.

2.2 | Patient eligibility criteria

Patients were enrolled from six multiple dermatology centers in China. Eligible subjects were at least 12 years old, were classified as having mild-to-moderate psoriasis vulgaris, defined as lesions covering ≤10% of their body surface area (BSA) and a PASI score ≤ 10.

Exclusion criteria included history of severe systemic disease(s) and/or other severe skin diseases; pregnancy or lactation; known allergic history to the ingredients in the drug formulation or moisturizer under study; discrepancy with the definition of patients with mild-to-moderate psoriasis vulgaris; frequent use of herbal, hypnotics, sedatives, mood stabilizers, or other addictive medications; current alcohol abuse; and psychiatric or any other medical conditions, which in the investigator’s opinion rendered the subject unsuitable for the trial. Patients having received systemic therapy (including traditional Chinese medicines) or phototherapy in the past one month for psoriasis were also excluded, as were those who had received any topical medications or very potent topical GCs in the past 2 weeks.

2.3 | Study design

Patients eligible for inclusion in the study were randomly assigned to either treatment group or control group (1:1 ratio). The randomization sequence was created using SAS 9.2 statistical software for windows (Cary, NC) and was stratified by center using a block size of 2. All patients were enrolled and assigned to the interventions by researchers at each center.

During the 4-week treatment period, participants in the control group were treated topically with 500 mg of moderate GC cream (mometasone furoate 0.1% cream, 0.1% MF, Elcon, Merck Sharp & Dohme, China) once daily per 2% of psoriatic lesional body surface area and were referred to as the MF group. In addition to the MF cream, patients in the treatment group received 15 mL of LA-Cer-containing moisturizer (YuZe Skin Barrier Recovery Body Lotion, Dohme, China) once daily per 2% of psoriatic lesional body surface area.
developed by Ruijin Hospital and produced by Shanghai Jahwa United Company, China) once daily as an adjunctive therapy on the entire body after showering. The main constituents of the moisturizer are safflower seed oil and rice bran oil. The ingredients of the moisturizer are listed in Table S1.

Participants entered an 8-week maintenance period after the end of topical GC use, during which patients in the treatment group maintained the use of the moisturizer as a preventive therapy while the control group discontinued the MF treatment. Patients in the control group reapplied 0.1% MF when there was a relapse, which was defined by loss of at least 50% improvement in PASI achieved between baseline and week 12 in patients who had achieved a clinically meaningful response. The trial was ended after 12 weeks of observation and was stopped when exacerbation (125% of PASI baseline) occurred. The patient with exacerbation was asked to withdraw and received other effective treatment. The trial was terminated with participants requesting for withdrawal or loss to follow-up.

2.4 | Efficacy and safety assessments

The proportion of patients showing improvement of ≥50% in the PASI score from baseline (PASI 50 response) at week 8 was used as the primary endpoint. The secondary outcomes included affected BSA, PASI score, Physician Global Assessment of Psoriasis (PGA) score, Dermatology QoL Index (DLQI) score, and the assessment of skin dryness and desquamation using a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) at weeks 2, 4, 8, and 12. All the assessments were performed by an investigator who was blinded to the treatment allocation of the patients. All assessments were compared between both groups and with the baseline. Clinical relapse and exacerbation rates at weeks 8 and 12 were compared between both groups. The secondary outcomes also included the patients’ reported outcomes. Participants were asked to rate the degree of skin dryness and pruritus as follows: 0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe.

Safety was assessed at every treatment visit by the operator. AEs included atypical or unusual worsening of the disease and/or the occurrence of new psoriasis morphologies during treatment. Patients were asked to report any AEs and concomitant medication(s) since their previous visit. Additional safety evaluations included PASI improvement rates at weeks 4, 8, and 12.

2.5 | Analysis set

All data analysis was performed for the intention-to-treat population, defined as all randomized patients who had taken at least one dose of the study treatment. Based on this principle, the full-analysis set (FAS) was mainly used to compare the treatment effect for the primary endpoint, with the statistical results from the per-protocol set (PPS) being used as supplementary analysis (Table S2). The safety analysis dataset was used to perform AE/adverse reaction analysis.

2.6 | Statistical analysis and sample size

Statistical analyses were independently performed by two statisticians using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Using a one-sided significance level of .025 and a power of 90%, a sample size of 180 patients

FIGURE 1  Flow diagram of the RCT. 167 patients underwent randomization to MF or La-Cer-MF with a 1:1 ratio. *Patients could have one or several major deviation(s)
was determined to be able to detect a difference between PASI 50 response rates of 0.16 and 0.58 in the control and LA-Cer-MF groups, respectively, at week 8. A drop-out rate of 20% was added. The comparison of data between groups was performed with the Student’s t-test under the condition of homogeneity of variance. The Welch’s t-test was used for unequal variance. PASI 50 and PASI 75 were compared between both groups using a center-adjusted Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) approach with the statistic, $Q_{CMH}$. Inter-group comparison of relapse and exacerbation rates was performed using the Chi-Square test. Fisher’s exact probability was used when the chi-square test could not be used. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for inter-group comparison of hierarchical data with Z-value. The data are presented as the mean ± SD for clarity. For all tests, $P < .05$ was considered significant.

## RESULTS

### 3.1 Patient characteristics

Of 167 randomized patients, 137 (82.04%) completed week 12 (LA-Cer-MF, n = 74 [86.04%]; MF, n = 63 [77.78%]). Among the patients who did not complete week 12, 23 (13.77%) were discontinued (LA-Cer-MF, n = 8 [9.30%]; MF, n = 15 [18.52%]) and 7 (4.19%) were eliminated (LA-Cer-MF, n = 4 [4.65%]; MF, n = 3 [3.70%]) (Figure 1). Patient demographics and clinical characteristics at baseline were similar across the treatment groups, except that the treatment group had higher PASI scores than the control MF group (Table 1).

### 3.2 Efficacy endpoints

The proportion of patients achieving PASI 50 response signifying treatment efficacy at week 8 was 65.06% in the LA-Cer-MF group and 40.00% in the control group (Figure 2C, both $P < .05$). The FAS showed significant difference in improvement in PASI between both treatment groups at weeks 8 and 12 (Figure 2A, both $P < .05$), as did the PPS (data not shown, both $P < .05$). PASI 75 was achieved by 28.92% of patients and 13.33% of the control group ($P < .05$). The amelioration in the patients’ disease perception with the initial application of the LA-Cer moisturizer during topical GC use could result from its activity in establishing the normal skin permeability barrier and consequent remission of symptoms such as scaling, itching, and skin dryness.

However, significant differences between the two groups were merely observed in PGA, DLQI, and VAS dryness and desquamation scores ($P < .05$). The amelioration in the patients’ disease perception with the initial application of the LA-Cer moisturizer during topical GC use could result from its activity in establishing the normal skin permeability barrier and consequent remission of symptoms such as scaling, itching, and skin dryness.

After the end of the corticotherapy, continued amelioration with the maintenance therapy with the LA-Cer moisturizer was achieved in BSA (2.82 ± 2.17 at week 8 vs 2.56 ± 2.25 at week 12), PASI (2.10 ± 1.89 at week 8 vs 1.91 ± 1.87 at week 12), and PGA (0.98 ± 0.81 at week 8 vs 0.90 ± 0.82 at week 12) compared to baseline and week 4 ($P < .05$). A significant decrease was observed in VAS dryness and desquamation scores at weeks 8 and 12 ($P < .05$). These improvements in disease severity and lesional area were accompanied by a decrease (improvement) in the DLQI scores (4.13 ± 3.98 at week 8 vs 3.83 ± 3.98 at week 12). Significant differences between the two groups were observed in all the clinician assessments at weeks 8 and

### Table 1: Patient demographics and clinic characteristics at baseline

| Characteristic          | LA-Cer-MF (N = 83) | MF (N = 75) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Male, n (%)             | 53 (63.86)         | 46 (61.33) |
| Age, mean (SD) [years]  | 39.36 (12.41)      | 41.54 (15.42) |
| Previous use of antipsoriatic in the past month $^a$ | 0 (0.00%) | 2 (2.67%) |
| Medical history $^b$    | 8 (9.64%)          | 13 (17.33%) |
| Duration of psoriasis, mean (SD) [years] | 95.22 (91.77) | 95.00 (119.15) |
| PASI score, mean (SD)   | 4.39 (1.98)        | 4.32 (1.86) |
| % affected BSA, mean (SD) | 4.88 (2.42)       | 4.69 (2.87) |
| PGA score, mean (SD)    | 2.08 (0.81)        | 2.01 (0.83) |
| PASI score, mean (SD)   | 4.58 (2.16)        | 3.89 (2.18) |
| DLQI score, mean (SD)   | 8.28 (5.04)        | 7.95 (4.83) |
| Objective skin dryness index, n (%) | | |
| None                    | 2 (2.41)           | 4 (5.33)   |
| Mild                    | 28 (33.73)         | 27 (36.00) |
| Moderate                | 41 (49.40)         | 35 (46.67) |
| Severe                  | 12 (14.46)         | 9 (12.00)  |
| Objective skin pruritus index, n (%) | | |
| None                    | 8 (9.64%)          | 4 (5.33%)  |
| Mild                    | 39 (46.99%)        | 42 (56.00%) |
| Moderate                | 26 (31.33%)        | 27 (36.00%) |
| Severe                  | 10 (12.05%)        | 2 (2.67%)  |

$^a$Includes topical, conventional, biologics, phototherapy (PUVA or non-PUVA) or traditional Chinese medicine.

$^b$Involves the cardiovascular, respiratory, digestive, endocrine, nervous and genitourinary systems, as well as the disease affected eye, ear, throat and nose.
FIGURE 2  Comparison of PASI improvement between patients treated with or without LA-Cer moisturizer. Graph A and B represent PASI improvement and PASI score. Observed clinical response rate was shown as the percentage of patients achieving PASI 50, C, and PASI 75, D, in FAS (Full analysis set). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. n = 75 for MF group, n = 83 for LA-Cer-MF group. *Represent the significance differences (P < .05) compared with Week 4; #Represent the significance differences (P < .05) between two groups.

FIGURE 3  Comparison of clinical assessment between patients treated with or without LA-Cer moisturizer. Graphs A-D represent BSA, PGA, VAS dryness and desquamation, and DLQI, respectively. n = 75 for MF group, n = 83 for LA-Cer-MF group. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. *Represent the significance differences (P < .05) compared with Week 4; #Represent the significance differences (P < .05) between two groups.
12, reflecting an improved clinical state and QoL (P < .05) with the treatment using the LA-Cer moisturizer.

Among the patients’ reported outcomes, participants in both groups experienced relief in skin dryness and pruritus, with a significant improvement with the addition of the LA-Cer moisturizer.

Furthermore, the relapse rate was significantly different between both groups at week 8 (34.94% in the LA-Cer-MF group vs 60.00% in the MF group) and week 12 (44.58% vs 64.00%, respectively) (Table S3). Thus, relapse was significantly slowed down and reduced in the group using the LA-Cer moisturizer throughout the study duration. There was no significant difference in the exacerbation rates of the two groups (Table S4).

3.3 Adverse events

Trial medications were well tolerated, and no serious AEs were observed during the trial. Side effects of the therapy included 3 patients who reported exacerbation, 1 case of pigmentation and skin atrophy, 1 case of erythema around the skin lesion, 1 case of skin dryness, 1 case of subcutaneous hemorrhage, and 1 case of peripheral folliculitis. Except for 1 case of exacerbation in the LA-Cer-MF group, the remaining were in the MF group. All of the AEs occurred within 2 cm of the site of application. Except for folliculitis that was considered to be irrelevant to the treatments, the other AEs were considered to be relevant.

4 DISCUSSION

As demonstrated in our previous study, this multicenter randomized, controlled trial (RCT) specifically addressed the benefits of application of a barrier-repairing moisturizer in the management of mild-to-moderate psoriasis vulgaris with a high level of evidence. The results of this multicenter, evaluator-blinded RCT demonstrated the superiority of the LA-Cer-containing moisturizer in continuous improvement of PASI 50 response after topical GC use over the control group. PASI 75, which is a well-accepted, clinically meaningful endpoint, was achieved by more patients maintaining the use of LA-Cer after 8 and 12 weeks of treatment with lower relapse rates and fewer AEs. Additionally, patients using adjunctive LA-Cer showed greater improvement in QoL during the treatment in comparison with the control group.

Although there are various treatments for the temporary control of skin lesions, no curative or preventive therapy is available for psoriasis, partly due to epidermal dysfunction. The mechanisms whereby this moisturizer exerts benefits in psoriasis by reducing the incidence of AEs and preventing relapse are likely due to the improvement of the epidermal permeability barrier. Human skin forms an impermeable barrier to prevent transepidermal water loss (TEWL) and the penetration of pathogens, allergens, as well as irritants. Many reports suggest that Cers are required for the functioning of the normal skin permeability barrier.21 Adjunctive LA-Cer has been shown to induce higher skin capacitance and earlier reduction of TEWL and maintain the stability of GC-induced effects after 8-week topical corticotherapy.22 The replenishment of Cers results in the recovery of the permeability barrier by also increasing skin hydration.

A keratinocyte-specific SPT-cKO mouse model with decreased Cer levels and increased numbers of IL-17-producing γδT cells in skin lesions revealed the interactions between barrier dysfunction and immunological alterations that lead to the psoriasis phenotype.23 Abnormal permeability barrier function can increase the epidermal production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, the majority of which further weaken barrier function, leading to a vicious circle that can exacerbate disease manifestations.24 However, this vicious circle can be interdicted by the anti-inflammatory effects of Cer replenishment.

Activation of PPARs could be another mechanism by which the LA-Cer containing moisturizer improves psoriasis.22 PPAR-α activators used to stimulate lipid synthesis inhibit cutaneous25 and even systemic inflammation involved in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular comorbidities in psoriasis,26 indicating the systemic benefit of topical treatment.

Topical GCs remain a cornerstone treatment of psoriasis vulgaris owing to their potent antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory effects. However, the long-term use of topical GCs is associated with numerous AEs and recurrence of psoriasis after discontinuation of treatment. Our study proved the greater efficacy of the LA-Cer moisturizer in the prevention and attenuation of the development of psoriasis by prolonging the remission and delaying the relapse. AEs were limited in this study, the majority of which were related to the topical application of MF. The efficacy of LA-Cer in the prevention of rapid relapse and AEs is likely due to the adjunctive steroid-sparing action.9 Importantly, the activation of PPAR-γ has been found to attenuate GC-induced functional abnormalities in differentiation and proliferation of epidermal cells.27

This trial has some limitations. Instead of an open-label trial, the outcomes of the study have been evaluated in an assessor-blinded fashion to increase the internal validity of the results. Furthermore, we could not rule out any interaction of both treatments because factorial design is ethically unfeasible.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study clearly demonstrates that the topical LA-Cer moisturizer alleviated psoriasis by maintaining and prolonging the improvement achieved by topical GC treatment both in the clinical state and in QoL and preventing relapses and AEs after the end of corticotherapy. The results of this trial suggest that the LA-Cer-containing moisturizer, used during and after topical GC use, is a promising therapeutic agent for the management and prevention of psoriasis, providing an inexpensive alternative to improve the skin’s barrier function.
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