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Abstract

Background: Giardia lamblia (G. lamblia), Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica), Blastocystis hominis (B. hominis) and Cryptosporidium spp. infections have been frequently reported as etiological agents for gastroenteritis but also as common gut inhabitants in healthy individuals. Co-infections with protozoa pathogens were previously described but little is known about whether these assemblages are purely random or structured in communities. Methods From 1st July 2016 to 31st March 2017, fresh stool samples were collected from randomly selected individuals in sentinel hospitals in Tengchong City, Yunnan Province, China. Molecular biology method was used to detect G. lamblia, E. histolytica, Cryptosporidium spp and B. hominis. Sequencing was applied to confirm these protozoon genotypes. The data analysis methods were involved chi-square test, multivariable logistic regression, null models and Partial least square regression (PLSR) methods with R 3.2 software. Results: The prevalence of the four enteric protozoa in all subjects were (in order of frequency of detection): B. hominis 9.5% [95% Confidence Interval (CI) 7.1-12.4%], G. lamblia 2.2% (95%CI 1.1-3.8%); E. histolytica 2.0% (95%CI 0.9-3.6%) whereas Cryptosporidium spp. was not detected at all. The prevalence of, at least, one enteric protozoa was 12.4% (95%CI 9.7-15.6), and the prevalence of 2 different enteric protozoa species was 1.2% (95%CI 0.4-2.6). The most common co-infection found was E. histolytica and B. hominis, (1.0%; 95% CI 0.3-2.2). Regarding genetic profiles, 10 out of 11 G. lamblia strains were classified in assemblage A, sub-assemblage I, and 1 sample was classified in assemblage B, sub-assemblage IV. B. hominis was detected in 48 samples, 25 were classified as genotype III, 13 as genotype I, 8 samples as genotype VII and the 2 remaining isolates as genotype IV. Our null modelling confirmed the random protozoa co-occurrence and our PLSR analysis the lack of association between these enteric pathogens and clinical symptomatology. Conclusions: The occurrence of these enteric protozoa was purely random. Not specific interactions were detected between the four protozoa studied and neither their presence, jointly or separately, nor the patient’s age were predictors for developing clinical symptoms associated with these pathogens. Further research including a broader range of pathogen species is needed to address remaining knowledge gaps in co-infections and diarrhoeal disease.

Background

Parasitic disease has been frequently reported as a significant etiological agent of gastrointestinal disorders and its contribution to the burden of diarrhoeal diseases is really significant with several enteric protozoan species described as causative agents in humans[1-5].

Cryptosporidium spp., Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica), Giardia lamblia (G. lamblia) and Blastocystis hominis (B. hominis) can be considered as the four main enteric protozoa commonly associated with gastrointestinal disorders[5, 6]. However, the impact that these parasites have on public health has not been fully characterized yet and the information available depends on the protozoa species in question. Thus, it is estimated that around 20% of diarrhoeal episodes in children in low and middle-income countries (LMIC) and 9% in high-income settings (HIC) are caused by Cryptosporidium spp.[1]. Amebiasis, the acute disease caused by E. histolytica, affects around 50 million people and causes 100,000 deaths
Each year[7]. Both protozoa, *E. histolytica* and *Cryptosporidium* spp., were included as causative of diarrhoeal deaths in the Global Burden Disease Study of 2017[4]. On the other hand, *G. duodenalis* infection is estimated to result in 280 million cases annually worldwide[8] and was included in the “Neglected Disease Initiative”, together with *Cryptosporidium* spp. in 2006[9]. Regarding *B. hominis*, although it has been the commonest enteric protozoa isolated from patients with diarrhoeal diseases in HIC[1], its pathogenicity remains controversial[10, 11] partly because it is the most common enteric protozoa detected in asymptomatic individuals also[12]. However it is have been argued that this protozoa could be used as an indicator of potential exposure to other pathogenic enteric protozoa[13].

Interestingly, the presence of any of these four protozoan species in the stools of asymptomatic individuals is not exclusive of *B. hominis* and is relatively common according to some studies [1, 14, 15] but there is a lack of reliable data due to the absence of monitoring programs, under-reporting [16, 17] and the fact that carrier and subclinical stages are often not diagnosed [1, 17].

Even though there have been notable advances, a lack of understanding about what are the factors that determine the development of gastrointestinal symptoms as a result of enteric protozoan infection still persists[18]. Protozoan genotype has been suggested as one of the most decisive predictors, although not the only one. It is expected that the growing development of new diagnostic tools, particularly molecular techniques, contributes to clarify the distinction between pathogenic and non-pathogenic lineages as well as pathophysiological interactions and other epidemiological features of interest [19].

Many previous studies have explored and reported the presence of more than one pathogen in diarrhoeal disease cases [6, 19, 20] as well as in healthy individuals [21, 22], but only a few of them have been addressed to explore the impact of concomitant enteric infections and to characterize the enteric communities and the existence of specific interactions [15, 23]. These interactions, at least between 2 specific enteric pathogens, are well documented in the veterinary field, such as the association of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* and rotavirus that lead to severe diarrhoea in piglets as an example [24] but it has not been addressed in immunocompetent humans until recently [15, 25].

In order to understand the existence and impact of intestinal protozoan co-infections in humans, we performed a hospital-based cross-sectional study. The aims of the study were: 1) to investigate the prevalence of the four protozoa species most commonly associated with gastrointestinal disorders and determine their molecular profile, 2) to assess whether enteric protozoa in hospital-based patients co-occur by chance or are structured in a community, and 3) to explore the potential impact of co-infections with the detected species on the clinical symptomatology among immunocompetent patients attending the People's Hospital of Tengchong City and the Chinese Medicine Hospital in Tengchong City.

**Methods**

*Study design and study area*
A cross-sectional hospital-based study was conducted from July 2016 to March 2017 in Tengchong (25º01’15’’N, 98º29’50’’E, 1596 m above sea level) a county level city located in Yunnan Province, Southwest China. Tengchong has a tropical monsoon climate, the annual average temperature is 15ºC and the average annual rainfall is 1535 mm with a year-round mean relative humidity of 77%. The total resident population is 659,000 (Census 2014) of which 60.5% live in rural areas. Two hospitals from this city (People’s Hospital of Tengchong City and Chinese Medicine Hospital of Tengchong City) agreed to participate in the study.

The target sample size was 423 for an expected prevalence of 50%, 95% confidence interval and 5% precision. Finally, 507 patients were recruited.

**Study participants**

Patients from inpatient department were voluntary recruited after a clear explanation of the study project objectives provided by the researcher. Informed consent was obtained from the participants or their parents, and participant's confidentiality was assured. Subjects with inadequate fecal samples, incomplete information, and those patients infected with Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and/or Human Immunodeficiency virus (HIV) were excluded.

**Specimen and data collection**

Stool samples were collected from each subject with a sterile sampling cup during the study period in these two hospitals, with the criterion that each stool must be greater than 3 g or 3 mL, and stored at -70ºC. All the samples were delivered without interrupting the cold chain to the laboratory of National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (Shanghai, China) and stored in a -70ºC refrigerator.

A structured questionnaire was used to collect the following information from each patient after the stool samples were collected: demographic data (e.g. age, gender, level of education, occupation, nationality and residence) and clinical manifestations associated with enteric protozoa infection (abdominal distension, inappetence, itchy skin, perianal pruritus, constipation, nausea, abdominal pain, number of stools per day and type of stools).

**Detection of intestinal protozoan species**

Genomic DNA was extracted from each stool sample (0.2 gram or 0.2 milliliter) with QIAamp DNA stool mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturers’ protocol. The genomic DNA was stored at -70°C until polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification (Table 1). It was amplified by conventional PCR for *B. hominis* [26], and nested PCR for Cryptosporidium spp., *G.lamblia* and *E.histolytica* [27-29].

**Genetic characterization of *G.lamblia* and *B.hominis* isolates**
All suspicious positive products were kept at -70 °C, and bidirectional sequencing by Sangon Biotech Company (Shanghai, China) was conducted to confirm the accuracy of *G. lamblia* and *B. hominis* infections. The nucleotide sequences obtained in this study were aligned with the *G. lamblia* and *B. hominis* reference nucleotide sequences from GenBank and analyzed with BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/), and MEGA version 6.0 (http://www.megasoftware.net/) to determine *G. lamblia* assemblages and *B. hominis* subtypes based on Neighbour-Joining method. The reference nucleotide sequences of *G. duodenalis* from GenBank for TPI included assemblages Al (accession numbers L02120 and EF68803), All (accession numbers U57897 and EF688019), All (accession number DQ650648), C (accession number JN162352), D (accession numbers DQ246216 and DQ220289), Bill (accession numbers AY228628 and AY069561), BIV (accession number DQ246216 and DQ220289), Bil (accession numbers AY228628 and AY069561), BIV (accession number DQ246216 and DQ220289), and the *B. hominis* reference nucleotide sequences from GenBank ST1(U51151), ST2(AU070997, AU070987), ST3(AU070988, AU070986), ST4(AU244621, U51152), ST5(AU070999, AU107966), ST6(AU070991, AU070994), ST7(AF408247, AU070994), ST8(AU266472), ST9(AU266470), ST10(KC148207, KX352008), ST11(GU256903, GU256904), ST12(GU256905), ST13(GU256935) and ST14(KC148206).

**Statistical modelling**

Data were analyzed using the R 3.2 software. The chi-square or fisher exact test, Odd ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were used to compare and described the qualitative variables.

Only participants with complete data records were included in the final analysis. A new variable was created according to the WHO's definition of diarrhoea and the information gathered about type of stool and number of stools per day. A case of acute diarrhoea was defined as a person with more than three episodes of liquid stools per day, lasting less than 2 weeks [30]. Prevalences at 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for single infections and co-infections in the study population were calculated using epiR library version 0.5–10 [31].

**Co-occurrence of enteric pathogens**

A null model analysis was used to explore whether enteric protozoa co-infection were positive, negative, or randomly associated. Data were organized as a presence-absence 4 × 507 (row × columns) matrix, in which each row represented a protozoa species and each column represented a study participant, “1” indicated that a species was present at a particular host and “0” indicated that a species was absent.

The C-score was the co-occurrence index used for co-occurrence patterns characterization and the algorithm chosen was the fixed row-equiprobable column [32] The calculated C-score was compared with the expected C-score calculated for 5000 randomly assembled null matrices by Monte Carlo simulations. Furthermore, to compare the degree of co-occurrence across data, a standardised effect size (SES) was calculated, an index that measures the number of standard deviations that the observed index (C-score) is above or below the mean index of the simulated communities. The package EcoSimR 0.1.0 was used to carry out the analysis[33].
Assessing the impact of co-infection with enteric pathogens on diarrhoea severity

The partial least square (PLS) regression method was used to assess the impact of co-infection with enteric protozoa on the development of clinical symptomatology. This technique was selected as it offers multiple advantages over other regression methods: it is the least restrictive of the multivariate techniques for exploring complex ecological patterns[34], including the impact of co-infections on the host's health[23] and its distribution is free and well suited to deal with multicollinearity[35]. In our analysis, we defined explanatory and response components or blocks. The explanatory block (PLS X's component) was defined by a presence-absence matrix representing the enteric protozoa community (B. hominis, E.histolytica, Cryptosporidium sp., and G.lamblia). In addition, due to the previously mentioned age variability in the clinical presentation of diarrhoeal diseases, age in years was also included as a covariate in the explanatory block. Our response block (PLS's Y component) included the main symptoms described associated with the infections of those protozoa (abdominal distension, inappetence, itchy skin, perianal pruritus, constipation, nausea, abdominal pain and acute diarrhoea).

The significance of PLS models was assessed using the Stone-Geisser's Q2 test, a cross-validation redundancy measure created to evaluate the predictive significance of exogenous variables. Values greater than 0.0975 indicate that predictors are statistically significant, whereas values below this threshold reveal no significance. Finally, the percentage of observed MNLS variability explained by the enteric pathogen block was also estimated. The plsplm library version 0.4.9 was used to perform the analysis[36].

Results

Characteristics of the study population

A total of 507 subjects participated in the study. The male-to-female sex ratios was 1.1 (260/247). Han nationality was predominant with 481 participants (94.9%) and the median age of study participants was 52 years (Interquartile range (IQR) = 38-63).

Most of the subjects lived in rural areas 78.1% (396/507). Around two thirds of them had completed primary education (65.6%, 332/507) and 63.1% of the participants were farmers (320/507).

The most common clinical symptom was inappetence (17.9%, 91/507), followed by abdominal pain (16.6%, 84/507) and nausea (16.6%, 84/507), other symptoms were: acute diarrhoea (5.5%, 28/507), abdominal distension (12.4%, 63/507), itchy skin 12.2%, 62/507), constipation (10.8%, 55/507) and perianal pruritus (5.5%, 28/507). 269 (53.1%) patients did not have any gastrointestinal symptom.

Single enteric pathogen infections and co-infections

G.lamblia was detected in 11 patients, prevalence of 2.2% (95%CI 1.1-3.8%); E. histolytica was found in 10 patients, prevalence of 2.0% (95%CI 0.9-3.6%) and B.hominis was detected in 48 patients, prevalence of 9.5% (95% CI 7.1-12.4%). Cryptosporidium sp. was not detected at all.
The detection rate of *E. histolytica* in diarrhea cases was higher than that of non-diarrheic subjects (11.9%, 7/59, 0.7%, 3/448. OR=19.9, 95%CI 5.0-79.5%), and the prevalence of *G. lamblia* in diarrhea patients was higher than that of controls (6.8%, 4/59, 1.6%, 7/448. OR=4.6, 95%CI 1.3-16.2%), but no significant difference of the *B. hominis* infection was observed among diarrhea cases and subjects (13.6%, 8/59, 8.9%, 40/448. OR=1.6, 95%CI 0.7-3.6%).

At least one enteric protozoa was detected in 63 patients (12.4%; 95%CI 9.7-15.6), and 2 different enteric protozoa species were detected in 6 patients (1.2%; 95%CI 0.4-2.6). The most common co-infection found was *E. histolytica* and *B. hominis*, detected in 5 patients (1.0%; 95%CI 0.3-2.2). Along the same lines, *G. duodenalis* and *B. hominis* co-infection was detected in 1 patient.

**Genetic characterization of isolates**

A total of 11 *G. lamblia* isolates were successfully characterized (Figure 1), revealing 10 strains were assemblage A (90.9%, 10/11), and one strain was assemblage B (9.1%, 1/11). Further, all 10 assemblage A strains were Al sub-assemblage, and the only one assemblage B strain was BIV sub-assemblage. The detection rate of assemblage A in diarrhea cases was higher than that of non-diarrhea subjects (4/59, 6/448, *P*=0.02, OR=5.4, 95%CI: 1.5-19.6).

*B. hominis* was detected in 48 samples, 25 (52.1%, 25/48) samples were classified as genotype III, 13 (27.1%, 13/48) as genotype I, 8 (16.7%, 8/48) samples were genotype VII and a couple of samples (4.17%, 2/48) were genotype IV (Figure 2). The prevalence of various subtypes of *B. hominis* was statistically different (*c*²=25.7045, *P*<0.0001) among individuals: the ST3 subtype (4.93%, 25/507, 95% CI: 2.38–7.29) had the highest prevalence, followed by ST1 (2.56%, 13/507, 95% CI: 1.43–4.45), ST7 (1.58%, 8/507, 95% CI: 0.74–3.21) and ST4 (0.39%, 2/507, 95% CI: 0.07–1.57).

**Impact of co-infection on diarrhoeal symptomatology**

According to our PLS analyses, there was no evidence that the presence of any of the three protozoa species detected or their co-occurrence were statistically associated with enteric protozoa symptomatology (Stone-Geisser's Q² test value < 0.0975). Regarding the other predictor, age, it was not statistically associated with symptomatology in the study population either.

Furthermore, the presence of any of the three protozoa, jointly or separately, covaried negatively with symptomology. The analysis revealed that most of the X's component variance was due to co-infection with enteric protozoa (43.1%), followed by *B. hominis* (27.6%) and *E. histolytica* presence (24.0%) (Table 2).

**Co-occurrence of enteric protozoa**

The null model analysis showed that the observed C-score (265) was lesser than expected by chance (267.45), indicating the existence of a random, non-competitively structured protozoa community. There
was not evidence of a statistically significant protozoa combination (SES -0.33, p-value 0.414) in the study population.

Discussion

Our study confirms the single prevalence of enteric protozoa reported in other studies in similar settings [1, 17, 37] with adequate access to water, sanitation and hygiene practices (WASH). In the present report, B. hominis was the predominant intestinal protozoa species detected followed by E. histolytica and G. lamblia whereas Cryptosporidium spp. was not detected at all, these data are consistent with previous results [5].

Regarding the genetic profile of the isolates, 11 G. lamblia strains were classified as assemblage A, within those isolates sub-assemblage AI was dominant (10, 90.9%) and no mixed co-infection of A and B genotype was found. This result was consistent with those found in other countries [38] and in Anhui Province, China. In the latter, A subtypes accounted for 100% of G. lamblia isolated from asymptomatic children [39].

The presence and proportion of assemblages seems to presents spatiotemporal variations [40, 41] although socio-economic factors have been also suggested as potential drivers for G. lamblia assemblage distribution [22]. Interestingly, assemblage's variance seems to be involved in clinical presentation and only assemblages A and B have been described as causative agents of human infection, with assemblage B resulting more frequently in symptomatic infection in endemic settings [21, 42]. Regarding assemblage A, AI has been one of the commonest sub-assemblage reported in the literature although AI is considered the most pathogenic sub-assemblage in humans [17, 43, 44]. All of the above could provide a potential explanation about why no evidence of association was found between the presence of G. lamblia and clinical symptomatology in the present study.

Regarding B. hominis, four genotypes were identified among 48 isolates. 25 isolates belonging to genotype III, 13 to genotype I, 8 were classified as genotype VII and a couple of samples were identified as genotype IV. These data are in agreement with other studies, who reported subtype III as the commonest [45, 46]. As it has been previously highlighted, its clinical significance remains unclear [10].

As we have shown, it seems that, at enteric protozoan level, there is not any specific community assemblage in the study population considering the four protozoan species considered.

Our work showed that the occurrence of those enteric protozoan species was purely random and asymptomatic in the study population. Furthermore, not specific interactions were detected between them and there was no evidence that their presence, jointly or separately, was associated with the development of clinical symptomatology classically associated with those species. Although all of them have been reported as causative agents of gastrointestinal disorders, especially in children in LMIC [20], asymptomatic carrier has been also commonly reported elsewhere and, even in some cases such as B. hominis, its pathogenicity is subject to a big controversy [10, 11] since this species is one of the most
common enteric protozoa detected in humans [12, 46], so it is not surprising that their presence was not associated with clinical symptomatology in immunocompetent individuals.

Given the results obtained, different factors might provide an explanation that help to understand the pathogenesis of enteric protozoan infections and what are the conditions that lead to disease. Some of them are related to the characteristics of the pathogen, such as different pathogenicity due to virulence variability of strains or the need of, at least, a second infection with another pathogen to cause clinical symptomatology. Moreover, host factors can play a key role too such as individual susceptibility or the presence of healthy functional barriers that protect the human intestine: the mucus layer, the epithelial intestinal layer and the intestinal microbiota [5, 15, 18, 25]. However, further research needs to be performed to establish the ecology of enteric communities in healthy and unhealthy individuals.

We are aware that our research may have some limitations. Firstly, it was a hospital-based cross-sectional study so the study population may be not representative of the general population in Tengchong City and the generalizability to other populations may be rather limited. Although the number of protozoa isolates was consistent with previous studies, it was small; this might have influenced the reliability of the estimates in the PLS analyses.

Therefore, further research with larger sample size, which considers a broader enteropathogen community is needed to explore community assemblages present as well as their relationships with pathogenicity and clinical manifestations in rural and urban regions in China.

Undoubtedly, with the increasing awareness of the microbiome role in the development of host immunity [5] and their potential relationship with many communicable and non-communicable diseases such as Irritable Bowel Syndrome [47], there is a growing need to understand the complex relationships between different pathogens and as well as between the intestinal microbiota and pathogens.

**Conclusions**

The present study was the first to analyse community assemblage of the four protozoan species commonly associated with human gastrointestinal disorders in immunocompetent individuals. Our results showed the absence of any structured community between them, their occurrence was purely random. Moreover, there was no evidence of an association between their presence and the development of clinical symptomatology.

Further research including a broad range of enteric pathogens is needed to disentangle the complex relationships and interactions of the intestinal ecosystem. This could eventually lead to a better understanding about what are the drivers behind gastrointestinal disorders.
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B. Hominis: Blastocystis hominis. CI: Confidence Interva. E.histolytica: Entamoeba histolytica. HBV: Hepatitis B virus. G.lamblia: Giardia lamblia. HIC: high-income settings. HIV: Human Immunodeficiency virus. LMIC: middle-income countries. IQR: Interquartile range. OR: Odd ratios. PCR: polymerase chain reaction. PLSR: Partial least square regression. SES: standardised effect size. WASH: water, sanitation and hygiene practices
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Tables

Table 1: Diagnostic techniques used to detect enteric pathogens in Tengchong city, southwest China
| Enteric pathogen | Target gene | Primer Primes name | Primer (5’–3’) | Amplicon sizes (bp) | Remark | Reference |
|------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|
| *G. lamblia*     | Tim         | Primer F1           | AAATIATGCCCTGCTCGTCG | 605                 | Nested-PCR was conducted to detect G. lamblia. The thermal profile of first round was 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, and then followed by 72 °C for 10 min. A second reaction was conducted the same to first round. | [28]     |
|                  |             | Primer R1           | CAAACCTTITCCGCAAACC |                     |        |           |
|                  |             | Primer F2           | CCCTTCATCGGIGGTAACTT | 530                 |        |           |
|                  |             | Primer R2           | GTGGCCACCACICCCGTGCC |                     |        |           |
| *Cryptosporidium*| 18S-rRNA    | Primer F1           | TTCTAGAGCATAATACATCGC | 1325                | Nested-PCR was conducted to detect *Cryptosporidium* spp. The first round was consisted of 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 10 min, the annealing step for a second reaction was 58 °C, other conditio of second round PCR was the same to first round. | [27]     |
| *E. histolytica* | SSU-rRNA    | E1                  | TGCTGTGATTAAAACGCT | 1947                | Nested-PCR was conducted to detect *Entamoeba histolytica*. The first round was consisted of 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 47 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min, and then followed by 72 °C for 10 min, the annealing step for a second reaction was 58 °C, other conditio of | [29]     |
|                  |             | E2                  | TTAACTATTTCAATCTCGG |                     |        |           |
|                  |             | Eh-L                | ACATTTTGAAGACTTTATGTAAGTA | 427                |        |           |
|                  |             | Eh-R                | CAGATCTAGAAACAATGCTTCTCT |                     |        |           |
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second round PCR was the same to first round.

B. hominis SSU rRNA Forward GGAGGTAGTGACAATAAATC 1100

Reverse ACTAGGAATTCTCGTTGATG

Table 2: Predictor weights of the PLS model explaining their association with clinical symptomatology in hospital-based patients in Tengchong City

| Predictor variables | Loads | Weights | Percent | Cross-correlation |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Protozoa richness   | -0.67 | -0.66   | 43.1    | -0.11             |
| G. lamblia          | -0.2  | -0.17   | 2.9     | -0.03             |
| B. hominis          | -0.56 | -0.53   | 27.6    | -0.08             |
| E. histolytica      | -0.44 | -0.5    | 24      | -0.08             |
| Age                 | 0.1   | 0.15    | 2.2     | 0.02              |

Figures
Figure 1

Evolutionary relationships among G. lamblia sub-assemblages at the TPI locus inferred by a Neighbor-Joining analysis. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 iterations) is indicated next to the branches. N355, N230, N484, N491, N321, N467, N352, N228, N322 and N130 were isolated from human in this study and were all AI, and the N218 was BIV.
Figure 2

Evolutionary relationships among B. hominis genotypes by a neighbor-joining analysis. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 iterations) is indicated next to the branches.