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Abstract

Background: Pressure ulcers represent a major challenge to patient safety in the health care context, presenting high incidence (from 7% to 14% in Spain) and increased financial costs (€400-600 million/year) in medical treatment. Moreover, they are a significant predictor of mortality. The prevention of pressure ulcers in long-term care centers and patients’ own homes is proposed as a priority indicator of health care quality. Early stage risk assessment and database recording are both crucial aspects of prevention, classification, diagnosis, and treatment.

Objective: This project proposes a 3-year study of immobilized patients residing in the Granada-Metropolitan Primary Healthcare District (DSGM) and monitored via the Pressure Ulcer Information and Registration System (SIRUPP, Spanish initials). The project aims to estimate the incidence of PUs among immobilized elderly patients, analyze the health-related quality of life of these patients by using the Pressure Ulcer Quality of Life (PU-QoL) instrument in a sample of 250 patients, determine the average time to complete wound healing, estimate the rate of pressure ulcers–associated mortality, and assess the predictive value of the Braden and Mini Nutritional Assessment risk measurement scales in a sample of 1700 patients.

Methods: The DSGM runs SIRUPP, which is linked to patients’ electronic health records. Currently, 17,104 immobilized patients are monitored under this system. Health-related quality of life will be measured by patient self-reports using the Spanish Pressure Ulcer Quality of Life questionnaire, following cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric validation with respect to the English-language version.

Results: The project commenced in June 2017 and is expected to conclude in April 2020.

Conclusions: This study addresses two main health outcomes—the time needed for wound healing and the mortality associated with pressure ulcers—both of which might be accounted for by variations in clinical practice and the health-related quality of life of patients with pressure ulcers.
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Introduction

Background

Pressure ulcers (PUs) are injuries located in or under the skin, usually on a bony protrusion, resulting from pressure or shear forces [1,2]. They affect persons with reduced mobility and are associated with diverse health conditions and clinical settings. PUs may affect hospitalized patients, residents of nursing homes, the elderly, or persons living in their own homes, among others [3-7].

PUs represent a major public health problem, causing serious medical complications, severely reducing patients’ health-related quality of life (HRQoL), and greatly increasing the financial costs of medical treatment [8]. Studies have reported a three-fold increase in mortality among hospitalized patients and an increase of 6.4 days in the length of hospital stay among patients with PUs. Consequently, the prevention of PUs in long-term care centers is considered a priority indicator of health care quality [9,10].

Most PUs are considered avoidable if suitable preventative measures are taken [11]. The three most important risk factors for PUs are mobility-activity, perfusion, and skin state [12]. Other risk factors include advanced age, long stay in care centers, medical history of PUs, diabetes, low blood pressure, sensory neuropathy, falls, kidney and peripheral vascular diseases, and nutritional status [7-9,11-13].

Estimates of the incidence of PUs worldwide have been determined. In Belo Horizonte (Brazil), an incidence of 5.7% was reported for a cohort of 442 hospitalized patients [14], while in Italy, a multicenter cohort study of 1083 hospitalized elderly patients reported an incidence of 22.7% [15].

The latest survey in 2013 by the Spanish Advisory Panel on Pressure Ulcers and Chronic Wounds reported that the prevalence of PUs varied from 7% to 8.5% in hospitals, 12% to 14% in nursing homes, and 8% to 9% among patients living at home; 65.6% of PUs were diagnosed during hospital stays or in nursing homes and 29.6% were diagnosed in home-care patients [16]. In the Granada-Metropolitan Primary Healthcare District (DSGM), which includes both urban and rural areas, the total immobilized patient population susceptible to the development of PUs is 16,467 (15% of persons aged ≥65 years) [17]. According to the findings of the 4th National Study on PUs, for this population, the estimated prevalence of PUs would be 8%-9%, or 1300-1500 patients [16]. In total, the DSGM has 72 nursing homes for the elderly, with 4700 residents aged ≥65 years. To record the incidence of PUs, the DSGM designed a specific instrument—the Pressure Ulcer Information and Registration System (SIRUPP, Spanish initials)—which has been integrated into patient electronic health records at the DSGM. SIRUPP has been presented, as a good practice initiative, to the EU Network on Patient Safety and Quality of Care [18]. It has been piloted in the DSGM and used as an instrument for clinical follow-up. The large number of immobilized patients currently registered in SIRUPP is expected to facilitate the follow-up stage of this research study.

The most commonly used tools to evaluate PU risk are the Braden scale and the Norton scale, although the latter does not consider the abovementioned risk factors. Accordingly, most research attention has been paid to the Braden scale and its psychometric properties [2,11,19]. Many factors representing the risk of PU development are omitted from this scale. Therefore, and in view of the known relationship between patient age and comorbidity, the Braden scale is not considered to offer high predictive performance for patients aged over 80 years [13]. For this reason, the parallel implementation of specific tests to evaluate the nutrition levels of these older patients has been recommended. Research evidence supports the use of the Mini Nutritional Assessment scale (MNA) to evaluate PU risk as a complement to the Braden scale. The MNA scale is a useful means of evaluating the nutritional status of elderly persons [9,20-24]. The MNA - Short Form questionnaire (MNA-SF) takes into account the subject’s body mass index or the calf circumference, which is, perhaps, a more useful indicator for immobilized patients. For persons with cognitive impairment, the MNA test can be applied via an interviewer or relatives [25].

PUs can negatively impact the HRQoL [26]. A 1-year follow-up cohort study in Catalonia analyzed the factors associated with mortality and HRQoL in a sample of 1000 immobilized patients (mean age: 84 years), which included patients in a home-based health care program [27]. The study reported that comorbidity of PUs (measured by the Charlson index) was associated with a 14% higher risk of death. Stage I/II and III/IV PUs increased this risk by three and four times, respectively. When hospitalization exceeded 24 hours, mortality was 17% higher. On the contrary, a high self-perceived HRQoL (measured by the SF-12 health status questionnaire) and a low cognitive impairment were both associated with longer survival [28].

Patient-reported outcomes are of crucial importance in health care decision making [26,28]. To our knowledge, only one instrument has been specifically developed for patients with PUs, namely, the PU-QoL questionnaire [29,30].

From our literature review, we hypothesize that there is geographical heterogeneity in the incidence of PUs in the elderly. In addition, a high variability in clinical practice is expected, which will be expressed as heterogeneity in the time needed for the wounds to heal as well as differences in the mortality rates by place of residence. Furthermore, given the psychometric properties exhibited for some widely used questionnaires, it is expected that the MNA questionnaire on its own or in combination with the Braden scale will outperform the Braden scale as a predictive tool for the risk of PUs. Finally, we expect that the validation of the PU-QoL questionnaire in Spanish will preserve the psychometric properties of the original English version and allow for valid and precise estimation of the quality of life in patients with PUs.

Objectives

The objectives of this study were as follows:

1. To estimate the incidence of PUs in immobilized elderly patients:
   - To estimate the time to full wound healing
To estimate the mortality associated with the presence of PUs

To compare the responsiveness to change and the predictive value of the Braden and MNA questionnaires

2. To analyze the HRQoL of immobilized elderly persons with PUs

3. To translate, adapt, and validate the PU-QoL questionnaire in Spanish

4. To estimate patients’ nutritional status (MNA-SF), cognitive status (the Reisberg Global Deterioration Scale) and functional independence (the Barthel scale)

5. To determine the PU-QoL questionnaire’s ability to differentiate between clinical groups (eg, PU severity) and its responsiveness to change (eg, wound healing)

Methods

Design

This study is based on SIRUPP, which is a part of the patient electronic health record system in Andalucía. The system was designed by the SIRUPP study coordinator in 2015 to provide professionals with a standardized registration system for PUs and was initiated in 2017 as part of a proposed 3-year follow-up of immobilized patients, with no PUs at the outset. The SIRUPP system has been presented as a good clinical practice initiative to the EU Patient Safety and Quality of Care project. Among other aims, SIRUPP is intended to facilitate the evaluation of variations in clinical practice and participants’ HRQoL. The incidence of PUs and the corresponding health outcomes will be assessed during the study, to be coordinated by the DSGM, located in the province of Granada (Spain). In Spain, primary health care districts are the main organizational public health structure for the planning, administration, and operational management of primary health services. Each district is structured into several primary health care zones (ZBS), each containing one or more health care centers. The DSGM contains 36 ZBSs and serves a population of 697,000 people. To achieve objective 1-c (Braden and MNA questionnaires), we will conduct a repeated-measures prospective cohort study in a sample of immobilized patients without PUs registered in SIRUPP. At this point of the project, patients who developed PUs since the start of the study will be recruited. Regarding objectives 4 (nutrition, cognition, and functional independence) and 5 (PU-QoL questionnaire), a repeated-measures cohort study (Tables 1 and 2) will be implemented for all immobilized patients registered in SIRUPP, who have developed PUs since the start of the study period.

Patients enter the SIRUPP registry as they fulfill the criteria established by the service portfolio in the Andalusian Health Service. Consequently, anybody who is at risk of impairment in their ability for moving, but not necessarily mobility-impaired at that time, is registered as immobilized. Therefore, the state of immobilization will be further categorized for all patients registered in SIRUPP by using the Braden mobility and activity subscales as well as the MNA-SF mobility subscale. This will provide additional up-to-date information on the actual state of patients’ mobility. To minimize the underreporting of immobilized patients, periodic monitoring of compliance with management agreements at all health centers within the DSGM will be audited. Furthermore, variations in clinical practice may lead to a classification bias of PUs against other types of chronic wounds. To forestall this possibility, the project will include training in this respect for all nurses responsible for the care of immobilized patients. In addition, SIRUPP software incorporates a protocol for the evaluation and staging of chronic wounds that ensures homogeneous, standardized categorization in clinical practice. Finally, a fieldwork coordinator in charge of all follow-up activities will ensure that all the information obtained is up to date.

Table 1. SIRUPP Project CADENCE for immobilized patients without PUs.

| Periodicity schedule | Day 0 | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 | Day 28 | After 90 days |
|----------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| Living at home       |       |       |        |        |        |              |
| Braden               | ✓     | ✓     | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓            |
| None/low risk        | ✓     | ✓     | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓            |
| Moderate/high risk   | ✓     | ✓     | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓            |
| MNA-SF               |       |       |        |        |        |              |

| Living at nursing home |       |       |        |        |        |              |
| Braden                 | ✓     | ✓     | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓            |
| MNA-SF                 | ✓     | ✓     | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓            |

aMNA-SF: Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short Form.
Table 2. SIRUPP Project CADENCE for immobilized patients with PUs.

| Periodicity schedule | Day 0 | Mo 1 | Mo 3 | Mo 6 | Mo 9 | Mo 12 |
|----------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| **Living at home**   |       |      |      |      |      |       |
| MNA-SF\(^a\), score | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 12-14                | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 8-11                 | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 0-7                  | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| Barthel              | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| GDS\(^b\)            | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| PU-QoL\(^c\)         | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| **Living at nursing home** |       |      |      |      |      |       |
| MNA-SF, score        | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 12-14                | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 8-14                 | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| 0-7                  | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| GDS                  | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |
| PU-QoL               | ✓     | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓     |

\(^a\)MNA-SF: Mini Nutritional Assessment – Short Form.
\(^b\)GDS: Global Deterioration Scale.
\(^c\)PU-QoL: Pressure Ulcer Quality of Life Questionnaire.

Participants

The inclusion criteria for patients are immobilized status, male or female gender, age ≥ 65 years, living in their own home or a nursing home for the elderly, and receiving treatment at primary health care centers in the DSGM. Patients who understand the purpose of the study can provide informed consent to participate on their own. For patients with cognitive impairment, their legal guardians can understand the purpose of the study and provide informed consent.

Exclusion criteria are as follows: refusal to participate, patients with cognitive impairment that prevents them from understanding the purpose of the study, patients who do not grant informed consent, patients with cognitive impairment whose legal guardians refuse consent to participate, and terminally ill patients.

Data Management

With regard to the sample size, for objectives 1 (incidence) and 1-a (time elapsed until full wound healing) and 1-b (mortality), all immobilized patients registered in SIRUPP will be followed up. To estimate the Braden and MNA predictive values (objective 1-c), we calculated that a sample size of 1700 immobilized patients will be required. Test sensitivity and specificity values of 65% and 70%, respectively, are assumed, together with a PU prevalence of 5%, a statistical confidence level of 95%, and an absolute precision of 10%. For objective 3 (validation of the PU-QoL questionnaire), a sample of 250 patients with PUs will be analyzed (Figure 1). Table 3 presents the variables on sociodemographic and health characteristics.
Data Analysis
Data analysis will be performed as follows:

1. To determine PU incidence, the rate will be calculated between the number of new cases of PU over the summed person-years of observation during the follow-up period.
   - To estimate time until wound-healing, survival analysis fitting a Weibull model will be used.
   - To estimate mortality associated with PUs, the mortality rate will be calculated as the number of deaths occurring during the follow-up period over the size of the population participating in the study.
   - To assess the responsiveness to change of the Braden and MNA questionnaires, multiple regression analysis will be used to analyze the relationship between questionnaires’ scoring and both incidence of PU and time to wound healing based on the MNA scores. Predictive validity of both questionnaires for detecting the risk of PU development will be measured through the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values as well as the receiver operating characteristic curve.

2. To analyze the HRQoL of immobilized elderly persons with PUs, acceptability, reliability, and validity as compared to the EuroQol 5D-5L questionnaire will be measured
   - To translate, adapt, and validate the PU-QoL questionnaire from English into Spanish, acceptability, reliability, and validity as compared to the EuroQol 5D-5L questionnaire will be measured.

3. To estimate patients’ nutritional status (MNA-SF), cognitive status (the Reisberg Global Deterioration Scale), and functional independence (the Barthel scale). Mean values and SDs in all three questionnaires will be calculated.

4. To determine the PU-QoL questionnaire’s ability to differentiate between clinical groups (e.g., PU severity) and responsiveness to change (e.g., wound healing), survival analysis will be performed in order to analyze the relationship between the questionnaire scoring and time to wound healing.

Ethical Considerations
This project has been approved by the Research Ethics Committee in Human Beings, within the Andalusian Public Health System (Granada) under protocol number PI-0086-2016.
Table 3. Database information.

| Variable                               | Description                                                                 | Coding categories                                      |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Sociodemographic variable**          |                                                                             |                                                        |
| Birthdate                              | Andalusian Health Service users’ database                                   | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| Age                                    | From date of birth until date of database entry                            | Age in years                                           |
| Gender                                 | Andalusian Health Service users’ database                                   | Female/male                                            |
| Elderly nursing home                   | Residence                                                                   | Unique key                                              |
| **Patient outcomes measures**          |                                                                             |                                                        |
| Braden scale                           | Braden score questionnaire (individual subscales and total score)           | Discrete numeric value (6-23)                          |
| Date of the Braden scale assessment    | Date when each repeated Braden questionnaire is completed                    | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| **Identification variables**           |                                                                             |                                                        |
| NUHSA<sup>a</sup>                      | Unique identifying electronic health record number within the Andalusian Health Care Service | Unique key                                              |
| PHCC<sup>b</sup>                       | Primary health care center where patient is assisted                         | Unique key                                              |
| Allocation code                        | Identifies groups of patients allocated to specific health care teams       | Unique key                                              |
| CNP<sup>c</sup>                        | Health care practitioners’ number                                           | Unique key                                              |
| Registration date                      | First database record                                                       | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| **Follow-up data**                     |                                                                             |                                                        |
| Follow-up status                       | Patient follow-up or status change                                          | Under follow-up, moved away, deceased                  |
| Follow-up status date                  | Assessment                                                                  | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| Type of wound                          | Only pressure ulcers                                                        | Pressure ulcers, other wounds of the skin              |
| Date of diagnosis                      | Date wound was first recorded                                               | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| Date of origin                         | Date wound was first noticed                                                | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| Wound stage                            | Stage of pressure ulcer according to GNEAU<sup>d</sup>                      | 0: missing, 1-4: stages I-IV, 5: lesions of deep tissues |
| Wound site                             | Wound location                                                              | 46 categories                                           |
| Associated health care level           | Health care level where pressure ulcers appeared                            | Hospital, nursing home, own home                        |
| Date of wound assessment               | Next assessment schedule                                                    | dd/mm/yyyy                                             |
| Treatment                              | As prescribed                                                               | Text                                                   |
| Course of treatment                    | Treatment periodicity                                                       | Treatments per time units                               |
| RESVECH<sup>f</sup> 2.0                 | Expected assessment results and chronic wounds healing evolution Score      | Discrete scale from 0 (already healed) to 35 (worst possible wound) |
| Urinary incontinence                   | Whether incontinence is present at the time of assessment                   | Yes/no                                                 |
| Barthel scale                          | Daily living activities index                                               | Discrete scale from 0 (worst state of disability) to 100 (complete autonomy) |
| GDS<sup>g</sup> scale                  | GDS                                                                        | Discrete scale from 1 (no cognitive decline) to 7 (severe dementia) |
| MNA-SF<sup>h</sup> scale               | MNA-SF questionnaire                                                        | Discrete scale from 0 (worst nutritional state) to 14 (best nutritional state) |
| PU-QoL<sup>i</sup> scale               | PU-QoL questionnaire (lower scores are indicative of better results)       | 10 individual discrete numeric subscales: pain (0-16), exudate (0-16), odor (0-12), sleep (0-12), mobility/movement (0-18), daily activities (0-16), vitality (0-10), emotional well-being (0-30), self-consciousness and appearance (0-14), participation (0-18) |
| Mobility status                        | Patient mobility assessment                                                 | Yes/no                                                 |
Results

The results of this study are currently under analysis. Ethics approval was provided on March 02, 2017. The start meeting with the ZBS coordinators and DSGM management team was celebrated in June 2017. Throughout September and October 2017, 33 ZBSs across the primary health district agreed to participate in the study and received data collection and registration training in SIRUPP database.

As of April 2019, 362 nurses participated in the study by implementing 11,452 Braden tests, 1608 MNA tests, 960 Barthel tests, and 588 GDS tests. A total of 2983 patients consented to participate, of which 2561 were living at home and 422 were living in nursing houses. In addition, 869 patients developed at least 1 PU since the beginning of the project.

The HRQoL questionnaire translation and cross-cultural adaptation started in November 2017. Its forward translation from English into Spanish ended in February 2018 and continued with backward translation until March 2018. The first Spanish version was evaluated by a group of health professionals and patients between April and May 2018, whose recommendation was to develop a second version of the Spanish HRQoL questionnaire. Starting September 2018, a revised Spanish version was developed and is nowadays implemented with a target population for validation purposes.

The data obtained from the SIRUPP study will provide a detailed outlook on the incidence, mortality, and duration of PUs at local and regional levels. To our knowledge, the validated Spanish-language HRQoL instrument will be the first measure of HRQoL specifically developed for Spanish-speaking patients with PUs.

Discussion

The SIRUPP project was proposed in view of the burden that PUs exert on the quality and quantity of the life of elderly people. PUs are largely considered to be a preventable condition. Therefore, the SIRUPP project is focused on the characterization of the epidemiology of PUs in our health care system and social setting, with an emphasis on the incidence of PUs and health outcome variability (time needed for the wounds to heal, mortality rates, and HRQoL).

The following challenges were considered in the design of the SIRUPP study: the potential underrepresentation of immobilized patients in the DSGM due to unreported health cases in the SIRUPP data-gathering system and the possible misclassification of patients as immobilized or the misclassification of the PU stage/category.

HRQoL assessment (corresponding to study objective 3) provides valuable, multidimensional knowledge of this major health care problem from the patients’ perspective. The availability of a validated Spanish version of the PU-QoL instrument will be invaluable for optimizing medical decision making for patients with PUs. In addition, this study will allow policymakers to reassess the use and efficacy of evaluation tools currently used in primary health care (ie, the MNA and Braden scales).

Acknowledgments

The SIRUPP study is funded by Fundación Progreso y Salud-FIBAO (Consejería de Salud y Familias, Junta de Andalucía). However, this body played no part in drafting or revising the paper or in approving the final manuscript. The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Consejería de Salud y Familias, Junta de Andalucía or of FIBAO.

Authors’ Contributions

EV conceived the study, which was designed by EV and BG. BG wrote the initial draft and developed successive versions of the manuscript. CD contributed to study design. CR provided critical review of the manuscript and study design of the PU-QoL validation component. MT provided critical appraisal of the first draft and contributed to the structure and content of successive versions of the manuscript. VC contributed to the first draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.
Multimedia Appendix 1

Peer-reviewer report from the Progress and Health Foundation (FPS).
[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 347KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

1. Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: quick reference guide. 2014. URL: http://www.epuap.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/10/Quick-Reference-Guide-DIGITAL-NPUAP-EPUAP-PPPIA-16Oct2014.pdf [accessed 2019-07-16]

2. Sardo P, Simões C, Alvarrelhão J, Costa C, Simões CJ, Figueira J, et al. Pressure ulcer risk assessment: retrospective analysis of Braden Scale scores in Portuguese hospitalised adult patients. J Clin Nurs 2015 Nov;24(21-22):3165-3176. [doi: 10.1111/jocn.12927] [Medline: 26316350]

3. Lima-Serrano M, González-Méndez M, Martín-Castaño C, Alonso-Araujo I, Lima-Rodríguez J. Predictive validity and reliability of the Braden scale for risk assessment of pressure ulcers in an intensive care unit. Medicina Intensiva (English Edition) 2018 Mar;42(2):82-91. [doi: 10.1016/j.medine.2018.01.007]

4. Chen H, Cao Y, Zhang W, Wang J, Huai BS. Braden scale (ALB) for assessing pressure ulcer risk in hospital patients: A validity and reliability study. Appl Nurs Res 2017 Dec;33:169-174. [doi: 10.1016/j.apnur.2016.12.001] [Medline: 28096013]

5. Roça-Biosca A, Rubio-Rico L, Fernández MIDM, Grau N, Garijo G, Fernández FPG. Predictive validity of the Braden scale for assessing risk of developing pressure ulcers and dependence-related lesions. J Wound Care 2017 Dec 02;26(9):528-536. [doi: 10.12968/jowc.2017.26.9.528] [Medline: 28880761]

6. Artico M, Dante A, D’Angelo D, Lamarcia L, Mastroianni C, Petitti T, et al. Prevalence, incidence and associated factors of pressure ulcers in home palliative care patients: A retrospective chart review. Palliat Med 2018 Dec;32(1):299-307. [doi: 10.1177/0269216317730416]

7. Delmore B, Lebovits S, Suggs B, Rolnitzky L, Ayello EA. Risk factors associated with heel pressure ulcers in hospitalized patients. J Wound Ostomy Continen Nurs 2015;42(3):242-8; quiz E1. [doi: 10.1097/WOC.0000000000000134] [Medline: 25945823]

8. Torra-Bou J, García-Fernández F, Pérez-Acevedo G, Sarabia-Lavin R, Paras-Bravo P, Soldevilla-Ágreda J, et al. Impacto económico de las lesiones por presión. Revisión bibliográfica integrativa. Gerokomos (online) 2017:83-97 [FREE Full text]

9. Wilchesky M, Langu O. Predictive and concurrent validity of the Braden scale in long-term care: a meta-analysis. Wound Repair Regen 2015;23(1):44-56. [doi: 10.1111/wrr.12261] [Medline: 25682792]

10. Baris N, Karabacak B, Alpar ŞE. The Use of the Braden Scale in Assessing Pressure Ulcers in Turkey: A Systematic Review. Adv Skin Wound Care 2015 Aug;28(8):349-357. [doi: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000465299.99194.e6] [Medline: 26181859]

11. Bredesen I, Bjørk K, Gunningberg L, Hofoss D. The prevalence, prevention and multilevel variance of pressure ulcers in Norwegian hospitals: a cross-sectional study. Int J Nurs Stud 2015 Jan;52(1):149-156. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.07.005] [Medline: 25443301]

12. Coleman S, Gorecki C, Nelson E, Closs S, Defloor T, Halvens R, et al. Patient risk factors for pressure ulcer development: systematic review. Int J Nurs Stud 2013 Jul;50(7):974-1003 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.11.019] [Medline: 23375662]

13. Chen H, Cao Y, Zhang W, Wang J, Huai BS. Braden Scale is not suitable for assessing pressure ulcer risk in individuals aged 80 and older. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015 Mar;63(3):599-601. [doi: 10.1111/jgs.13303] [Medline: 25800912]

14. Matozinhos F, Velasquez-Melendez G, Tiensoli S, Moreira A, Gomes F. Factors associated with the incidence of pressure ulcer during hospital stay. Rev Esc Enferm USP 2017 May 25;51:e03223 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1590/S1980-220X201601503223] [Medline: 28562742]

15. Chiari P, Forni C, Cuberti M, Gazineo D, Ronzoni S, D’Alessandro F. Predictive Factors for Pressure Ulcers in an Older Adult Population Hospitalized for Hip Fractures: A Prognostic Cohort Study. PJLoS One 2017;12(1):e0169909 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169909] [Medline: 28068425]

16. Pancorbo-Hidalgo P, García-Fernández F, Torra í Bou J, Verdu Soriano J, Soldevilla-Ágreda J. Epidemiologia de las úlceras por presión en España en 2013: 4.º Estudio Nacional de Prevalencia. Gerokomos 2014 Dec;25(4):162-170. [doi: 10.4321/S1134-928X2014000400006]

17. Servicio Andaluz de Salud. Consejería de Salud y Familias. 2017. (Users Guide) Cartera de Servicios de Atención Primaria. Atención a personas inmovilizadas URL: https://web.sas.junta-andalucia.es/servicioandaluzadesalud/profesionales/cartera-de-servicios/encion-primaria/i-area-de-atencion-la-persona/2-atencion-especifica/28-atencion-personas-en-domicilio/282-atencion-personas-inmovilizadas [accessed 2018-06-18]

18. Vera-Salmerón E. The European Union Network for Patient Safety and Quality of Care, PaSQ Joint Action Project. A Digital Database for the Recording and Monitoring of Pressure Ulcers Internet URL: http://pasq.eu/Wiki/GPDisplayPracticeDetails.aspx?prid=1009 [accessed 2018-04-19]

19. Braden B. The Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk: reflections after 25 years. Adv Skin Wound Care 2012 Feb;25(2):61 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000411403.11392.10] [Medline: 22258213]
20. Montejano Lozoya R, Martínez-Alzamora N, Clemente Marín G, Guirao-Goris S, Ferrer-Diego R. Predictive ability of the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF) in a free-living elderly population: a cross-sectional study. PeerJ 2017;5:e3345 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.7717/peerj.3345] [Medline: 28533984]

21. Schrader E, Grosch E, Bertsch T, Sieber C, Volkert D. Nutritional and Functional Status in Geriatric Day Hospital Patients - MNA Short Form Versus Full MNA. J Nutr Health Aging 2016;20(9):918-926. [doi: 10.1007/s12603-016-0691-4] [Medline: 27791222]

22. Christner S, Ritt M, Volkert D, Wirth R, Sieber C, Gaßmann KG. Evaluation of the nutritional status of older hospitalised geriatric patients: a comparative analysis of a Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) version and the Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS 2002). J Hum Nutr Diet 2016 Dec;29(6):704-713. [doi: 10.1111/j.1747-0085.2016.01237.x] [Medline: 27298113]

23. Folven K, Biringer E, Abrahamsen J. Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form (MNA-SF) Predicts Institutionalisation in an Intermediate Post-Acute Care Setting. J Nutr Health Aging 2018;22(2):199-204. [doi: 10.1007/s12603-017-0879-2] [Medline: 29380846]

24. Kaiser M, Bauer J, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, et al. Validation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment short-form (MNA®-SF): A practical tool for identification of nutritional status. J Nutr Health Aging 2009 Oct 30;13(9):782-788 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s12603-009-0214-7]

25. Fávaro-Moreira NC, Krausch-Hofmann S, Matthys C, Vereecken C, Vanhauwaert E, Declercq A, et al. Risk Factors for Malnutrition in Older Adults: A Systematic Review of the Literature Based on Longitudinal Data. Adv Nutr 2016 Dec;7(3):507-522 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3945/an.115.011254] [Medline: 27184278]

26. Gorecki C, Nixon J, Lamping D, Alavi Y, Brown J. Patient-reported outcome measures for chronic wounds with particular reference to pressure ulcer research: a systematic review. Int J Nurs Stud 2014 Jan;51(1):157-165. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.03.004] [Medline: 23522938]

27. Gené Badia J, Borràs Santos A, Contel Segura JC, Terén CA, González LC, Ramírez EL, HC>65 Research Team. Predictors of mortality among elderly dependent home care patients. BMC Health Serv Res 2013 Aug 15;13:316 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-13-316] [Medline: 23947599]

28. Gorecki C, Nixon J, Madill A, Firth J, Brown J. What influences the impact of pressure ulcers on health-related quality of life? A qualitative patient-focused exploration of contributory factors. J Tissue Viability 2012 Feb;21(1):3-12. [doi: 10.1016/j.jtv.2011.11.001] [Medline: 22137874]

29. Gorecki C, Brown J, Cano S, Lamping D, Briggs M, Coleman S, et al. Development and validation of a new patient-reported outcome measure for patients with pressure ulcers: the PU-QOL instrument. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2013 Jun 13:11:95 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-11-95] [Medline: 23764247]

30. Rutherford C, Brown J, Smith I, McGinnis E, Wilson L, Gilberts R, et al. A patient-reported pressure ulcer health-related quality of life instrument for use in prevention trials (PU-QOL-P): psychometric evaluation. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2018 Dec 10;16(1):227 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12955-018-1049-x] [Medline: 30526657]

Abbreviations

- DSGM: Granada-Metropolitan Primary Healthcare District
- GDS: Global Deterioration Scale
- GNEAUPP: Spanish Advisory Panel on Pressure Ulcers and Chronic Wounds
- HRQoL: health-related quality of life
- PU: pressure ulcer
- PU-QoL: Pressure Ulcer Quality of Life
- SIRUPP: Pressure Ulcer Information and Registration System
- SSA: Andalusian Public Health System
- MNA: Mini Nutritional Assessment
- MNA-SF: Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short Form

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 15.02.19; peer-reviewed by MS Aslam, J Alderden; comments to author 26.04.19; revised version received 11.06.19; accepted 12.06.19; published 12.08.19

Please cite as:

Vera-Salmerón E, Rutherford C, Dominguez-Nogueira C, Tuduela-Vázquez MP, Costela-Ruiz VJ, Gómez-Pozo B

Monitoring Immobilized Elderly Patients Using a Public Provider Online System for Pressure Ulcer Information and Registration (SIRUPP): Protocol for a Health Care Impact Study

JMIR Res Protoc 2019;8(8):e13701

URL: http://www.researchprotocols.org/2019/8/e13701/

PMID: 31407669
