CASE REPORT

Dentofacial characteristics in a child with Meier–Gorlin syndrome: A rare case report
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Abstract Meier–Gorlin syndrome (MGS) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder characterized by the triad of microtia, absent or small patellae and short stature. The other associated clinical features may include developmental delay, congenital pulmonary emphysema, gastro-esophageal reflux, urogenital anomalies, such as cryptorchidism and feeding problems. The facial characteristics during childhood are typical, comprising of a small mouth with full lips and micrognathia/retrognathia. The condition is rare affecting about one to nine individuals per million. Mutation in the genes of pre-replication complex involved in DNA-replication is detected in the majority of patients. This impedes the cellular proliferation resulting in a reduction of total cell number and thereby retardation of overall growth. This case report describe the typical dentofacial characteristics in a 5 years old child affected with Meier-Gorlin syndrome along with other associated anomalies and a multidisciplinary approach for their management.
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1. Introduction

Meier–Gorlin syndrome (MGS) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder. It is characterized by the triad of short stature, absent or small patellae and microtia. In most of the patients with MGS at-least two of these three clinical features are present. The combination of patellar hypoplasia and microtia are most prevalent (De Munnik et al., 2012a). The patients can exhibit short stature, without microtia or patellar anomalies, indicating that the clinical phenotype can be variable (Bicknell et al., 2011).

The first case of MGS was reported by Meier in 1959 and Gorlin in 1975 and only 67 patients with MGS have been reported in the literature till date. There is no reported data on the prevalence of MGS, but it is estimated to be less than one to nine individuals per million. It is based on number of cases reported in the literature. Though, this might be an underestimated figure due to missed diagnosis and underreporting (De Munnik et al., 2015).

The underlying mechanism responsible for clinical features of MGS remains unknown. Mutations in five genes of the pre-replication complex have been detected in patients with MGS (De Munnik et al., 2012a). The impaired function of pre-replication complex is presumed to reduce the G1 phase of
DNA replication. This limit the available time for origin licensing and retard the cellular proliferation resulting in a reduction of total cell number. This results in diminished overall growth (Klingseisen and Jackson, 2011; Kuo et al., 2012).

The diagnosis of MGS should be considered in a patient with short stature and microtia. The presence of these features necessitates a comprehensive examination of patellae. Ultrasound investigations are advised in children in the first five to six years of life since patellae are radiolucent and would not be visible in conventional radiography (De Munnik et al., 2015). Furthermore; the dentofacial characteristics are the specific findings for MGS and can assist in diagnosing the condition.

This paper describes a case of Meier-Gorlin syndrome with its dentofacial characteristics and suggests a multidisciplinary approach for its management.

2. Case report

A 5 year old child reported to the Department of Oral Health Sciences Center, PGIMER, Chandigarh with multiple carious teeth. Intraoral examination was suggestive of severe early childhood caries involving all primary teeth. The child had a small oral cavity with limited mouth opening. The family history was non-contributory with non-consanguineous marriage. No other family member was affected. The child had an overall short stature and the anthropometric variables like height, weight and occipitofrontal head circumference were deficient for age according to the WHO Child Growth Standards 2006 (Table 1). The prenatal history revealed intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR) and microcephaly. The child was evaluated for endocrinal abnormalities and the results were within normal limit. Medical history was significant with a child suffering from recurrent seizures and was under oral phenobarbionate therapy for the same. The karyotyping results revealed 23 pairs of chromosomes (46, XY) without any abnormality. The facial examination was suggestive of a dysmorphic face (Deficiency) with microstomia. Mandible was retrognathic with a prominent upper and middle third of face. The cephalometric radiograph (Fig. 2a) revealed a normal tooth development and the presence of developing permanent teeth expected for dental age. The cephalometric radiograph (Fig. 2b) confirmed the clinical observation of prominent upper and middle third of face with a retrognathic mandible. There was an increase in overall length of maxilla and mandible with an increase in the gonial and mandibular plane angle (Table 3).

The parents were explained about the complications that can occur after the birth. However, this disorder has a variable expression and relatively low chances of life-threatening complications or intellectual disability. As there were no structural congenital anomalies, the ethical issue of possible termination of a pregnancy was difficult to justify. MGS being an autosomal recessive disorder with a recurrence risk for a couple with an affected child is about 25% (De Munnik et al., 2015). Therefore, the genetic counselling was provided to the parents to explain the risk of recurrence in having another child.

3. Discussion

There are number of recognized genetic traits and diseases which can involve the orofacial structures. Thus, it is becoming increasingly important for a dentist to be capable of recognizing and dealing with genetic diseases. Ideally, the management of Meier-Gorlin syndrome should begin at birth. This involves a proper diagnosis, identification of needs and proper facilities to provide the required treatment.

| Table 1 | Anthropometric variables |
|---------|--------------------------|
| Anthropometric variables | Patient parameter (Deficiency) | |
| Weight | 7.4 kg (−7.49) |
| Height | 79.6 cm (−5.86) |
| Occipitofrontal head circumference | 39.6 cm (−9.24) |

Table 2 Clinical features suggestive of Meier-Gorlin syndrome in the present case.

| Primary diagnostic features | Other associated anomalies |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| • Overall short stature     | • Developmental delay     |
| • Microtia with ear deformation | • Partial hearing loss |
| • Patellar hypoplasia       | • Fibrous ankylosis of TMJ |
| • Reduced mouth opening     | • Retroglognathic mandible |
| • Preaxial polydactyly at right hand | • Reduced mouth opening |
| • Penile deviation          | • Preaxial polydactyly at right hand |
| • Ecotropic kidney          | • Fibrous ankylosis of TMJ |
| • Gastro-esophageal reflux  | • Cleft palate           |
| • Cleft palate              | • Ankyloglossia          |
| • Structural brain defect   | • Microtia               |
Fig. 1  (a) Dysmorphic face, microstomia with a retrognathic lower jaw. (b) Reduced mouth opening and severe early childhood dental caries with multiple carious root stumps.

Fig. 2  (a) An orthopantomogram revealed a full complement of primary and permanent dentitions. (b) A cephalometric radiograph revealed a prominent upper face with mandibular retrognathism.

| Table 3 Dental and skeletal parameters on Lateral cephalogram. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Parameters** | **Patient values** |
| SNA (81° ± 1.8°) | 84 |
| SNB (79° ± 1.8°) | 73 |
| ANB (3.12° ± 1.8°) | 11 |
| Gonial angle (Ar-Go-M) (123° ± 7) | 138 |
| Y-axis (S-Gn/FH) (59° ± 3) | 58 |
| Saddle Angle (N-S-Ar) (123 ± 5) | 132 |
| FMA (23.8° ± 2°) | 32 |
| Maxillary length (44 mm) | 36 mm |
| Mandibular length (62 mm) | 45 mm |
| Inter-incisor Angle (123°) | 135 |

| Table 4 Clinical characteristics of cases reported with Meier-Gorlin syndrome (De Munnik et al., 2012a). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Clinical features** | **Percentage of cases exhibiting features** |
| Microtia | 34/36 (94%) |
| Patellar anomalies (missing/absent) | 31/33 (94%) |
| Intra uterine growth retardation (IUGR) | 35/36 (97%) |
| Microcephaly (head circumference) | 13/30 (43%) |
| Normal Intellect | 30/31 (97%) |
| Feeding problems including gastroesophageal reflux | 26/32 (80%) |
| Cryptorchidism in males | 9/14 (64%) |
| Post-pubertal mammary hypoplasia in females | 10/10 (100%) |
| Joint contractures, including club feet | 7/30 (23%) |
| Congenital pulmonary emphysema | 12/28 (43%) |
| Bronchomalacia, laryngomalacia or tracheomalacia | 10/24 (42%) |
### 3.1. Antenatal diagnosis

The prenatal ultrasound investigations at 18–20 weeks of gestation may contribute to the recognition of MGS. However, there is no evidence that the overall growth is delayed at this period. In presence of a known underlying molecular defect, the prenatal diagnosis by chorionic villi sampling is possible (De munnik et al., 2012b).

An association of short stature, microtia and patellar anomalies as such has not been described in other syndromes. Microtia and congenital pulmonary emphysema can be seen along with certain facial features, such as micrognathia. However, the ultrasound abnormalities may be absent or mild and are difficult to interpret due to lack of structural congenital anomalies (De munnik et al., 2012b). However, the phenotypic expression of disorder may be variable (Table 4) (De Munnik et al., 2012a). The child in the present case was also diagnosed

---

**Table 5** Proposed guidelines for diagnostic evaluation and management of patients with Meier-Gorlin syndrome (Munnik et al., 2015).

| Age                                      | Involved system | Signs and symptoms                                                                 | Investigation                                      | Period of evaluation                                                                 | Treatment options                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| From birth till late childhood (Birth to 12 years) | **Ears**   | Small external auditory meatus Conductive hearing loss                               | Otoscopy Hearing tests                             | At diagnosis and annually when indicated                                          | Hearing aids                                                                     |
|                                          | **Skeleton** | Patellar hypoplasia Knee complaints, hypermobile joints                             | Knee imaging: Ultrasonography ≤ 5–6 yrs, X-rays > 5–6 yrs Orthopedic assessment | At diagnosis and in case of signs and symptoms                                     | Rehabilitation advice Arch support Surgical intervention                          |
|                                          | **Growth**  | Impaired growth velocity after 1 year of age, Low Insulin-like growth factor 1      | 1. Evaluation of growth 2. Complete endocrine work-up | 1. At diagnosis and annually 2. At diagnosis and in case of signs and symptoms      | Growth hormone treatment                                                       |
|                                          | **Central nervous system** | Delayed motor development, muscle weakness, Speech delay                            | Developmental assessment                            | Annually                                                                          | Speech therapy Extra assistance at school Nasal tube feeding Gastroesophageal reflux disease treatment Antibiotics when indicated Surgery when life-threatening/disabilitating Orchidopexy |
|                                          | **Gastrointestinal tract** | Poor weight gain, poor intake, Gastro-esophageal reflux                             | 1. Monitor feeding and growth 2. Esophageal pH monitoring | 1. Annually 2. In case of signs and symptoms                                         |                                                                                   |
|                                          | **Respiratory tract** | Respiratory tract infection, Laryngo-/tracheomalacia, Congenital pulmonary emphysema | 1. Physical examination 2. Evaluation by pulmonary specialist | 1. At diagnosis 2. In case of signs and symptoms                                    |                                                                                   |
|                                          | **Genitourinary tract** | Cryptorchidism in males Hypoplastic labiae in females                               | 1. Physical examination 2. Ultrasound investigations of the inguinal region in males | 1. At diagnosis 2. In case of symptoms and signs                                     |                                                                                   |
|                                          | **Heart**    | Congenital cardiac defect                                                          | 1. Physical investigation 2. Cardiac ECG and ultrasound | 1. At diagnosis 2. At diagnosis                                                     | Cardiac surgery                                                                  |
| From childhood onwards (12 year onwards) | **Skeleton** | Knee complaints, arthrosis                                                          | Orthopedic assessment Physical examination         | In case of signs and symptoms                                                      | Surgical intervention                                                           |
|                                          | **Secondary sexual development** | Mammary hypoplasia in females, Sparse/absent axillary hair in both males and females | Physical examination                              | Annually during puberty In case of signs and symptoms                               | Estrogen treatment Breast augmentation surgery                                     |
|                                          | **Genitourinary tract** | Irregular menses, polycystic ovaries, small uterus                                  | Gynecologic assessment                             | In case of signs and symptoms                                                       | Hormonal treatment when diagnosed with polycystic ovaries                       |
|                                          | **Pregnancy** | Possible risk of premature delivery                                                | Antenatal care in a secondary care centre          | During pregnancy                                                                  |                                                                                   |
with intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR) and microcephaly.

3.2. Management

Meier-Gorlin syndrome is characterized by multisystem involvement and is usually accompanied by developmental variations. A multidisciplinary approach is necessary due to variable phenotype and its complex nature. A multidisciplinary team may consist of a craniofacial surgeon, neurologist, ENT specialist, speech pathologist, audiologist, oral surgeon, orthodontist and a pediatric dentist. De Munnik et al. (2015) (De Munnik et al., 2015) has proposed the guidelines for diagnostic evaluation and management of patients with Meier-Gorlin syndrome based on their clinical experience (Table 5). A team approach is essential to determine the best collaborative corrective plan for deficiencies in the child.

The child in the present case represents the characteristic triad of clinical features consists of short stature, microtia and patellar hypoplasia which are diagnostic of Meier-Gorlin syndrome. The parents were explained about the molecular testing of the condition but they were not ready as the molecular diagnosis would not have added to their child’s care as well as it was costly too. The multisystem involvements in the present case include the hearing impairment, structural renal defects, gastro-esophageal reflux disease and abnormalities related to maxillofacial structures.

There is no data in the literature regarding the prevalence of dental caries and other dentofacial anomalies in individuals with Meier-Gorlin syndrome. The present case represents significant dental findings which include a dysmorphic face, fibrous ankylosis, reduced mouth opening, mandibular retrognathism, cleft palate, ankyloglossia and dental caries with multiple caries root stumps. Dental management of these children depends on the level of their mental development and cooperation in the dental setting. Those with normal mental development can be treated as normal children, while the more uncooperative patients would require sedation or general anesthesia. In general, however, the mainstay of dental management of these patients should be the prevention of dental diseases. Early institution of good dietary and oral hygiene practices and the adjunctive use of fluoride supplements, when appropriate, can help to prevent the deleterious dental consequences experienced by the patient. Dental services for such a patient should include the education of the parents on the possible dental consequences of the syndrome and their prevention. The child in the present case had undergone extraction of symptomatic and non-restorable teeth under local anesthesia but couldn’t performed, as anesthetic clearance was not obtained due to difficulty in airway anticipated owing to retruded mandible, palatal cleft and reduced mouth opening. Also, the parents were not willing to give a high risk consent which was required in this case. Therefore the patient has been kept under observation since then and would be treated for retrognathia and ankyloglossia once the conditions become favorable.

4. Conclusion

The multisystem clinical consequences in the individuals with Meier-Gorlin syndrome can be managed and their quality of life can be improved by a multidisciplinary approach involving dental and medical specialists.
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