B-fields in Star-forming Region Observations (BISTRO): Magnetic Fields in the Filamentary Structures of Serpens Main
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We present 850 $\mu$m polarimetric observations toward the Serpens Main molecular cloud obtained using the POL-2 polarimeter on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope as part of the B-fields In StAR-forming Region Observations
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survey. These observations probe the magnetic field morphology of the Serpens Main molecular cloud on about 6000 au scales, which consists of cores and six filaments with different physical properties such as density and star formation activity. Using the histogram of relative orientation (HRO) technique, we find that magnetic fields are parallel to filaments in less-dense filamentary structures where \( N_{\text{H}_2} < 0.93 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (magnetic fields perpendicular to density gradients), while they are perpendicular to filaments (magnetic fields parallel to density gradients) in dense filamentary structures with star formation activity. Moreover, applying the HRO technique to denser core regions, we find that magnetic field orientations change to become perpendicular to density gradients again at \( N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). This can be interpreted as a signature of core formation. At \( N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 16 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), magnetic fields change back to being parallel to density gradients once again, which can be understood to be due to magnetic fields being dragged in by infalling material. In addition, we estimate the magnetic field strengths of the filaments (\( B_{\text{POS}} = 60–300 \mu \text{G} \)) using the Davis–Chandrasekhar–Fermi method and discuss whether the filaments are gravitationally unstable based on magnetic field and turbulence energy densities.

**Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts:** Polarimetry (1278); Interstellar magnetic fields (845); Molecular clouds (1072); Submillimeter astronomy (1647); Interstellar medium (847); Interstellar filaments (842)

### 1. Introduction

Magnetic fields are thought to play a key role in various stages of star formation over a large range of scales. For example, ordered magnetic fields have been detected in molecular clouds on 0.1 pc and larger scales (e.g., Palmeirim et al. 2013; Li et al. 2014), suggesting that magnetic fields play a role in forming such structures. However, interferometric observations have shown that the magnetic fields of young stellar objects (YSOs) vary in morphology on about 100 au and smaller scales. Surveys of large samples of YSOs have reported that magnetic fields are randomly oriented with respect to bipolar outflows (e.g., Hull et al. 2013, 2014), while hourglass-shaped fields have been reported toward a few of the youngest protostellar systems (e.g., Girart et al. 2006; Stephens et al. 2013). Recent polarimetric observations made using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array have been revealing various detailed polarization and magnetic field structures on scales of 100 au and smaller toward many YSOs, with high angular resolution and sensitivity, including a clear hourglass morphology (e.g., Maury et al. 2018; Kwon et al. 2019), magnetic field structures tracing turbulence and/or outflow cavities (e.g., Hull et al. 2017; Sadavoy et al. 2018; Le Gouellec et al. 2019), and polarization patterns due to scattering of millimeter/submillimeter radiation by large grains (e.g., Cox et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2018; Sadavoy et al. 2019).

The B-fields In StAr forming Region Observations (BISTRO) survey (Ward-Thompson et al. 2017) has been studying the role of magnetic fields in star formation at submillimeter wavelengths on about 2000–7000 au scales, using the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) and its polarimeter POL-2. This project began polarimetric observations in 2016 and was completed in early 2019, targeting 16 fields in nearby star-forming regions: e.g., Orion A (Pattle et al. 2017; Ward-Thompson et al. 2017; Hwang et al. 2021), Ophiuchus (Kwon et al. 2018; Soam et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2019; Pattle et al. 2019), IC 5146 (Wang et al. 2019), Barnard 1 (Coudé et al. 2019), NGC 1333 (Doi et al. 2020, 2021), Auriga (Bich Ngoc et al. 2021), L1689 (Pattle et al. 2021a), B213 (Eswaraiah et al. 2021), and NGC 2071IR (Lyo et al. 2021). In addition to studies of individual regions, Yen et al. (2021) found using all of the BISTRO data that magnetic fields are misaligned with outflows by about 50° on 0.05–0.5 pc scales. The BISTRO project was extended to include more distant and more massive star-forming regions (BISTRO-2; Pattle et al. 2018; Arzoumanian et al. 2021; Könyves et al. 2021) and to observe a wider range of evolutionary stages and star formation environments (BISTRO-3).

As part of the BISTRO survey, this paper focuses on the Serpens Main molecular cloud, which is located at a distance of 415 pc (Dziw et al. 2010; Herczeg et al. 2019). Serpens Main consists of two subclusters—northwest (hereafter NW) and southeast (SE)—and has largely been studied as an active, intermediate-mass star-forming region. For example, several tens of YSOs have been detected in the region by the Spitzer Space Telescope (Harvey et al. 2007; Evans et al. 2009), and it was found that younger YSOs (Class 0/I) are located in the central regions of the subclusters, while older YSOs (Class II/ III) are more widely distributed, and preferentially located in the SE subcluster (Winston et al. 2007). Furthermore, the SE subcluster shows larger velocity gradients compared to the NW subcluster (Graves et al. 2010). Lee et al. (2014) mapped the molecular cloud at 7′′ resolution in the 3 mm continuum and the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ \), \( \text{HCO}^+ \), and \( \text{HCN} (1–0) \) molecular lines, using the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA). They identified six filamentary structures using the \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ (1–0) \) channel maps. Interestingly, two filaments are less dense and do not have star formation activity, while the others are dense enough for gravitational collapse and/or have YSOs. The properties of, and star formation activity within, these filaments need to be investigated alongside their magnetic fields, and are the main topics of this paper. Previously, Matthews et al. (2009) detected linear polarizations toward Serpens Main using the JCMT and SCUPOL (the predecessor to POL-2), but the polarized signal was detected only in limited regions in the centers of the two subclusters, which made it impossible to study the magnetic fields in the filamentary structures. Conversely, Sugitani et al. (2010) have studied the large-scale magnetic fields around the molecular cloud using near-infrared imaging polarimetry. The detailed magnetic fields within the molecular cloud, however, could not be studied using such data.

In this paper, we describe how the data have been taken and reduced in Section 2. Detected polarization measurements and inferred magnetic fields are introduced in Section 3, followed by discussions on the relationships between the physical properties of the filaments, including their magnetic fields and star formation activities (Section 4). We address the column densities at which the relative directions of magnetic fields and column density structures change, in Section 4. Finally, we summarize our results in Section 5.
2. Observations

We observed the Serpens Main molecular cloud using the 15 m diameter JCMT, as part of the BISTRO survey. The POL-2 polarimeter was inserted into the ray pathway of the Submillimeter Common-User Bolometer Array 2 (SCUBA-2; Holland et al. 2013) camera, in order to make linear polarization measurements. Both 450 and 850 μm measurements are taken simultaneously, but only the 850 μm data, with an angular resolution of 14″, are used in this study. POL-2 observations adopt a modified version of the standard SCUBA-2 Daisy pattern, scanning the sky at a speed of 8″ s⁻¹ with a half-wave plate rotation speed of 2 Hz. This mode allows each 4″ pixel to contain samples from a full revolution of the half-wave plate (Friberg et al. 2016), and provides 13″ diameter mapping coverage with a central 3 arcminute-diameter area of the best sensitivity. Two pointings have been observed, each for 14 hr (21 repeats of 40 minute long observing blocks) in JCMT weather band 2 (0.05 < τ < 0.08 at 225 GHz), in order to cover the whole Serpens Main molecular cloud to good sensitivity. The two pointings were centered on (R.A., decl.) = (18:29:59.370, +01:12:52.00) and (18:29:49.530, +01:16:11.10) in J2000 coordinates for the southeast (SE) and the northwest (NW) fields, respectively. The SE field was observed in 2016 April–May, while the NW field was observed in 2017 March–May.

Standard POL-2 data reduction procedures were followed using the pol2map routine, which has recently been added to the Starlink software suite (Currie et al. 2014). The pol2map routine makes Stokes I (total intensity), Stokes Q, and Stokes U maps from POL-2 time-stream data. At the final stage of making images using pol2map, the skyloop algorithm, an implementation of the SCUBA-2 iterative mapmaking algorithm makenmap (Chapin et al. 2013), which improves image consistency by reducing multiple observations simultaneously, was used. We applied the “2019 August” instrumental polarization (IP) model to the data, with our final total intensity map serving as the model for estimating artificial polarized emission to be corrected for. In addition to the typical POL-2 procedures (e.g., Pattie et al. 2021a), additional steps were taken to combine the SE and NW fields. Data from the two pointings were initially reduced separately to make initial total intensity maps. These maps were combined to produce an initial co-added total intensity map, which was used to generate a signal-to-noise-based mosaic mask for use in the next step. This mask constrains the mapmaker by defining a fixed area containing an astrophysical signal (Chapin et al. 2013). The data from each field were again reduced separately, at this stage invoking the skyloop algorithm and using the mask defined based on the initial co-added I map, to generate Stokes Q and U maps, and an improved Stokes I map. The Q and U maps were corrected for instrumental polarization using the improved Stokes I map and the 2019 August IP model. Finally, the maps of the two fields were co-added, to produce a final mosaicked set of Stokes I, Q, and U maps, from which polarization fractions and directions were calculated using the equations: \( p = PI/I \) and \( \psi = 0.5 \tan^{-1}(U/Q) \), where \( p \) is polarization fraction and \( PI \) is polarization intensity. When calculating the polarization intensities, classical de-biasing was adopted, such that \( PI = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2 - \sigma^2} \), where \( \sigma^2 = (Q^2\sigma^2_Q + U^2\sigma^2_U)/(Q^2 + U^2) \). Finally, the raw POL-2 power unit of pW was converted to intensity units of Jy beam⁻¹ using the POL-2 flux conversion factor (FCF) of 725 Jy beam⁻¹ pW⁻¹, determined by multiplying the SCUBA-2 850 μm FCF (537 Jy beam⁻¹ pW⁻¹; Dempsey et al. 2013) by a factor 1.35 to account for additional losses in POL-2 at 850 μm (Friberg et al. 2016). All of the maps were made using the default 4″ pixels, and the noise level achieved in the final total intensity map is about 4 mJy beam⁻¹.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows our Stokes I, Q, and U maps, demonstrating linear polarization signal toward Serpens Main. The noise levels achieved are 3.8, 3.1, and 2.9 mJy beam⁻¹, respectively. As shown in the figure, linear polarizations have been detected well over a large region, not only in the centers of the two subclusters. Previous JCMT/SCUPOL observations also detected a clear linear polarization signal, but these detections were limited to only the central regions (Matthews et al. 2009) due to lack of sensitivity.

The Serpens Main molecular cloud has six filamentary structures located predominantly in the SE subcluster, as shown in the inset panel of Figure 2. Lee et al. (2014) observed Serpens Main in the 3 mm continuum and three J = 1–0 molecular lines of N₂H⁺, HCO⁺, and HCN using CARMA at
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They analyzed its structures and kinematics using the dendrogram technique \citep{Rosolowsky2008}. In particular, they identified six filamentary structures based on the integrated intensity and channel maps of N\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{+} (1–0), which is known to trace high-density regions: e.g., \( n_{\text{crit}}(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \approx 6.1 \times 10^{3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at \( T = 10 \text{ K} \) \citep{Shirley2015}. Some properties of the filaments selected from \cite{Lee2014} are listed in Table 1, and refer to the filaments identified in the inset panel of Figure 2. Note that there are two types of filaments: (i) FN1, FC1, and FC2 have no YSOs, while (ii) the other three filaments contain YSOs. Furthermore, filaments FN1 and FC1 are less dense and have large velocity gradients, while the other filaments are denser and have relatively small velocity gradients. Finally, the linear densities of the FN1 and FC1 filaments are comparable to or lower than the critical values for isothermal, self-gravitating cylinders without magnetic support, above which they can gravitationally contract \citep{Ostriker1964,Lee2014}.

### 3.1. Field Morphology

Magnetic fields, and specifically the field morphology projected on the plane of sky, can be studied using polarized thermal emission from dust grains. Non spherical dust grains spin around their minor axes due to radiative anisotropic torques. Within a magnetic field, such spinning grains will have
their minor axes aligned parallel to the field (e.g., Lazarian & Hoang 2007). Therefore, the thermal emission of nonspherical dust grains is linearly polarized perpendicular to the field. This allows us to infer magnetic field directions by rotating linear polarization angle measurements by 90°. Note that in contrast, at short wavelengths such as those in the optical regime, polarization is mainly caused by preferential absorption of unpolarized background emission by aligned grains, rather than by the emission from grains. Thus, at those shorter wavelengths, linear polarization and magnetic field directions are parallel to one another.

Figure 2 shows magnetic field directions projected onto the plane of sky across Serpens Main as green segments, inferred by rotating the linear polarization angles by 90°, overlaid on the 850 μm total intensity map. Data points with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in total intensity of greater than 30 and a polarization fraction smaller than 15% have been selected after binning up by a factor of 3 in pixel width. This binning yields a pixel size of 12″, comparable to the angular resolution of ~14″. The lengths of the segments indicate polarization fractions, which are relatively large (up to about 10%) in the low-intensity boundary regions, and get smaller going into the dense core regions. The polarization fractions are discussed quantitatively in the next subsection.

The distribution of polarization directions is shown as a histogram in Figure 3. The most common direction is P.A. = 48.5°, suggesting that an east–west magnetic field dominates. This direction is somewhat consistent with that measured by Planck toward Serpens Main. We estimate an average direction of P.A. = −20° from the Planck polarimetric data at 353 GHz (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016), assuming a 10′ beam at the J2000 center position of Serpens Main, (R.A., decl.) = (18:29:55, +01:13:00). Since the histogram shown in Figure 3 is a distribution of polarization angles measured on smaller scales than those of the Planck data, the means of the directions are not expected to agree entirely, and the difference of ~25° can be understood. The polarization direction of Planck data matches the direction of elongation of the Serpens Main molecular cloud, suggesting that the large-scale magnetic field is perpendicular to the overall elongation of the molecular gas structures. This large-scale field has also been reported in near-infrared polarimetric observations sensitive to the periphery of the molecular cloud (Sugitani et al. 2010).

The magnetic field directions within individual filaments with differing physical properties are one of the key focuses of this study. Figure 2 shows that the southern filaments, FS1, FS2, and FS3, have magnetic fields preferentially oriented in the east–west direction. This trend suggests that the field directions are perpendicular to these filaments overall at the angular resolution of our data, which is too low to separate FS2 and FS3. A similar behavior is observed in the FC2 region. In contrast, the FN1 and FC1 filaments exhibit field directions that are mainly parallel to their major axes. Since FN1 and FC1 are less dense than the other filaments, this difference suggests that magnetic fields are parallel to filaments in less-dense cases but perpendicular in denser ones, a result that has been reported in many previous studies (e.g., Planck Collaboration et al. 2016; Ward-Thompson et al. 2017). However, magnetic field directions change dramatically at the locations of dense cores. For example, the magnetic field seems to surround the core located to the south of FN1, (R.A., decl.) = (18:30:02, +01:15:10). Field direction variations are also clearly seen in the central core regions of both the NW and SE subclusters. A detailed quantitative analysis and discussion of the relative orientations of magnetic fields with respect to the filaments follow in Section 4. Comparisons between the magnetic field on the scales resolved in these data and in interferometric observations of Serpens Main will be undertaken in a separate paper (Y.-W. Tang et al. 2022, in preparation).

### 3.2. Polarization Fraction as a Function of Continuum Intensity

The relationship between polarization fraction and column density (or continuum intensity as a proxy for column density) has been used to show where polarized dust emission originates from in star-forming clouds. Polarization fractions depend on both grain properties and local physical conditions (Andersson et al. 2015). In the case of linear polarization of dust thermal emission that is used in this study, there must be a mechanism by which nonspherical dust grains are aligned. Such mechanisms include anisotropic radiation, subsonic or supersonic flows, and/or magnetic fields. In the relevant case of the interstellar medium and molecular clouds whose dust grains are still submicron to several micrometers in size, polarization principally arises from spinning dust grains, which are aligned with respect to the magnetic field by torques from an incident anisotropic radiation field; i.e., both a magnetic field and an incident radiation field are needed.

Figure 4 presents the relationship between polarization fraction and continuum intensity in Serpens Main at 850 μm on a log–log scale. Only data points that have an S/N in total intensity larger than 30 and a polarization fraction smaller than 15% have been selected. The blue dashed line denotes a slope of −1 for reference, i.e., \( \rho \propto I^{-1} \). The red and blue solid lines show the trend of the highest polarization data points at each intensity (\( \rho \propto I^{0.8} \), i.e., the behavior of the upper envelope of the data points) and the result of fitting a power law to the full sample (\( \rho = 75.6 I^{-0.634} \)), respectively. Note that the slopes of both the upper-envelope trend and the fit are shallower than −1. If polarization were limited to the outer shell area of the molecular cloud, the relationship between polarization fraction and intensity would follow the reference slope of −1 (e.g., Jones et al. 2015). The data points in Serpens Main, however, show a shallow slope, so polarization is
not limited to the periphery of the molecular cloud; some must originate from the dense inner regions of the cloud as well. This overall trend of polarization fraction decreasing with intensity is well known, and often found in molecular clouds (e.g., Kwon et al. 2018; Soam et al. 2018; Coudé et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019; Pattle et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019). This trend is understood to result from weakening of dust grain alignment mechanisms in high-density regions, due to decreasing radiation fields and increasing gas density and grain sizes (e.g., Hoang et al. 2021). In addition, varying magnetic field directions along the line of sight depolarize the signal, due to integration over multiple magnetic field components. Studies of alternative polarization mechanisms and dust properties, which are also interesting and important, have been carried out (e.g., Planck Collaboration et al. 2020; Le Gouellec et al. 2020; Pattle et al. 2021b), but are unlikely to apply on the size scales that we consider.

We note that Pattle et al. (2019) showed that as polarization intensities are calculated using the squared sum of Stokes Q and U values, resulting in the noise characteristics of polarization fractions following a Ricean distribution, an observed power-law index of $-1$ of polarization fraction as a function of intensity may result from the non-detection of polarized emission, raising the need for caution when interpreting such a relationship. Our results, however, clearly show a shallower power-law index, indicating real detections. Note that in the regime with a power-law index slope shallower than $-1$, i.e., well-detected polarization, both the Rice distribution and the power-law approaches are consistent with one another.

4. Discussion

4.1. Histogram of Relative Orientations

The relationship between magnetic fields and filamentary structures can be studied quantitatively using the histogram of relative orientations (HRO) technique (Soler et al. 2013; Planck Collaboration et al. 2016), which compares polarization directions ($\vec{E}$) against optical depth gradients ($\nabla \tau$). For the HRO technique, a histogram of relative orientations ($\phi$) between $\vec{E}$ and $\nabla \tau$ needs to be produced at each density to be investigated. In this work, instead of using optical depth gradients, we use intensity gradients for simplicity, which is reasonable in a small region where temperatures and dust properties are not varying significantly. Note that in the optically thin regime, which is our case, intensity is proportional to the product of the Planck function for blackbody radiation and the optical depth. Thus, a 15% variation of temperature, e.g., between 12 and 14 K, produces a 25% variation in intensity at 850 $\mu$m. In addition, note that the directions of the gradients are analyzed here, not the amplitudes of the gradients.

Next, within the HRO, the central area ($A_c$, where $|\phi| < 22^\circ$) and the extreme area ($A_e$, where $|\phi| > 67^\circ$) are calculated. Finally, the histogram shape parameter ($\xi$) is calculated:

$$\xi = \frac{A_e - A_e}{A_e + A_c}$$

When the relative orientation of most data points is small, i.e., when polarization directions are parallel to optical depth (here intensity) gradients, $\xi$ is positive since $A_e > A_c$. In contrast, when polarizations are perpendicular to intensity gradients, $\xi$ is negative. Since the magnetic field direction is perpendicular to the polarization and the filament direction is perpendicular to the intensity gradient, positive values of the histogram shape parameter $\xi$ also imply that magnetic fields are parallel to filaments. In the same way, negative $\xi$ values indicate that magnetic fields are perpendicular to filaments. The uncertainties on $\xi$ are dominated by the number of data points in each bin of the histogram and are calculated as (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016)

$$\sigma_\xi^2 = \frac{4(A_c \sigma_\lambda^2 + A_e \sigma_\lambda^2)}{(A_e + A_c)^2},$$

Here, $\sigma_\lambda$ and $\sigma_\lambda$ are obtained following $\sigma_\lambda^2 = h_{\lambda}(1 - h_{\lambda}/h_{\text{tot}})$, where $h_{\lambda}$ corresponds to the number of data points in the central or extreme bin, and $h_{\text{tot}}$ is the total number of data points.

Figure 5 presents the histogram shape parameters for individual filaments as well as for all filaments combined. The data used for the plot are gridded to 4″ pixels, with an S/N in total intensity larger than 3, an S/N in polarized intensity larger than 2, a polarization angle uncertainty smaller than 15°, and a polarization fraction smaller than 15%. Data points for individual filament areas have been selected by eye based on the identifications of Lee et al. (2014) and are presented in the left panel of Figure 5. Note that the main trends of the HRO analysis do not change depending on whether a few segments are included or excluded. The column densities ($N_{\text{HI}}$) of molecular hydrogen used in this analysis are calculated from the 850 $\mu$m dust thermal intensities ($I_{\text{d}}$):

$$N_{\text{HI}} = \frac{I_{\text{d}}}{\kappa_{\nu} B_{\nu}(T_d) \mu_{\text{HI}} m_{\text{HI}} \Omega},$$

where $\kappa_{\nu}$ is the mass absorption coefficient at 850 $\mu$m assuming a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 100 (0.02 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$), $T_d$ is the dust temperature (12 K; Lee et al. 2014), $\mu_{\text{HI}}$ is the molecular weight per hydrogen molecule (2.8; Kauffmann et al. 2008), $m_{\text{HI}}$ is the atomic hydrogen mass ($1.67 \times 10^{-24}$ g), and $\Omega$ is the beam solid angle.

As shown in Figure 5 by the black solid line, the $\xi$ values using all filament data decrease with column density. The transition from positive to negative $\xi$ is not clear, since it happens in the first bin where the mean intensity corresponds to $N_{\text{HI}} \approx 0.93 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, although individual filaments reveal a range of properties, which are addressed below, the overall transition of the magnetic field from parallel to
perpendicular within the Serpens Main filaments tends to occur around this column density.

Individual filaments show a range of relative orientations for their associated magnetic fields, as shown in various colors in Figure 5. First, all of the histogram shape parameters of the filament FN1 are positive (blue), which means that the magnetic fields in FN1 are parallel to the filamentary structure. Also, note that FN1 is the least-dense filament, \(N_{\text{H}} < 3.1 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). Next, FC1 is the second least-dense filament and shows a transition from positive to negative \(\xi\) values (green), which indicates that magnetic fields are parallel to the filament in its less-dense regions but perpendicular in the relatively denser regions. Note that the mass-per-length values of these two filaments are subcritical (FN1) or marginally supercritical (FC1) even without considering magnetic fields, and they have no embedded YSOs (Table 1). All of the other filaments (FC2, FS1, and FS2/3) have negative \(\xi\) values, suggesting that their magnetic fields are perpendicular to their major axes. Filaments FS2 and FS3 are not easy to separate from each other, so we consider them together. Indeed, these two filaments are very similar in density as the densest filaments among the sample (Table 1). We also note that there is no significant magnetic field variation over the region in response to the different observed filament directions.

This trend of relative magnetic field orientation changing with density has been reported in multiple previous studies. For example, Planck Collaboration et al. (2016) examined the relative orientations between magnetic field directions and column density structures by applying the HRO technique to Planck polarimetric data at 353 GHz. Those authors found that a transition from parallel to perpendicular orientations appears between \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) and \(\sim 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), consistent with our result above.

We further investigated the magnetic field orientation by applying the HRO analysis to all of the dense region observations. Figure 6 shows the histogram shape parameters \(\xi\) calculated from the whole of Serpens Main including the central subcluster areas as well as the filamentary structures. In the figure, the regime with a column density less than \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 4.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) is mainly that of the filamentary structures, in which the \(\xi\) values decrease with density.

Between \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 4.6 \times 10^{22}\) and \(\sim 10 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), however, the \(\xi\) values increase up to zero. This increase suggests that the magnetic fields are trending parallel to elongated structures around a column density of \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 10 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). In other words, magnetic fields become perpendicular to density gradients (where elongated spines are defined as being orthogonal to the direction of a density gradient). The transition can be understood as a result of the local density gradients becoming more significant than the initial density gradients across filaments, presumably arising from mass accumulation of neutral species crossing magnetic field lines (ambipolar diffusion; e.g., Mouschovias 1979; Hennebelle & Inutsuka 2019). Also, this regime corresponds to the area where filaments transition into the central hub while maintaining their initial perpendicular fields. With increasing density, the \(\xi\) values again decrease and get back to the overall decreasing trend around \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 16 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), which indicates that magnetic fields are again parallel to density gradients (i.e., perpendicular to “filaments”). This behavior can be understood as magnetic field lines now being dragged along by gravitational collapse at these high column densities.

The HRO analysis toward the Serpens Main molecular cloud nicely shows the three transitional boundaries in column density for relative orientations of magnetic fields with respect to the physical structures of filaments and cores. Figure 7 summarizes the four column density regimes within the Serpens Main molecular cloud as a schematic diagram. First, magnetic fields are parallel to the least-dense filaments, particularly where \(N_{\text{H}} \leq 0.93 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (region 1). This column density threshold corresponds to \(n(H_2) \approx 1.0 \times 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), assuming a 0.03 pc filament width. In contrast, denser filaments ranging from \(0.93 \times 10^{22} < N_{\text{H}} \leq 4.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) have magnetic fields perpendicular to their filamentary structure (region 2). Where the column density becomes larger than \(N_{\text{H}} \sim 4.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), cores form and a significant density gradient along a filament appears (region 3). This column density corresponds to \(n(H_2) \approx 5.0 \times 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), assuming cores of about 0.03 pc in size. Note that assuming a filament width of 0.03 pc, the critical mass per length \(M_{\text{Lcrit}} = 20 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-1}\) corresponds to \(N_{\text{H}} = 3.0 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). Considering the presence of magnetic fields, it is interesting that the column density associated with cores in Serpens Main is larger than this.
Finally, at column densities above \( N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), magnetic fields are also dragged in by frequent collisions between charged and neutral species during the dynamical contraction, resulting in magnetic fields that are again parallel to the density gradient (region 4). When assuming the same size scale for cores as previously (0.03 pc), this column density threshold corresponds to \( n(H_2) \approx 18 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) or higher.

In addition to the transition of magnetic field relative orientations between less-dense and more-dense filamentary structures, this further transition occurring at a higher density, which we recover, has recently been reported by multiple other studies. Pillai et al. (2020) showed that magnetic fields become parallel to filaments at a visual extinction \( A_v \approx 20-30 \) magnitude in the Serpens South cloud. That extinction is equivalent to \( N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{22}-6.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) \( (N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{22}-2.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} ) \), based on the relation between visual extinction and column density (Güver & Özel 2009). Although their column density threshold differs from ours by a factor of 2, the transition likely corresponds with our boundary between regions 2 and 3 (Figure 7). Also, Wang et al. (2020) found that the filament and hub system G33.92+0.11 has a magnetic field parallel to the gravity vector and the gas motion within the central hub region. Since a gravity direction is comparable to a density gradient, it appears that the hub region corresponds to region 4 of our scenario.

4.2. Field Strength and Star Formation

Magnetic field strength, specifically the component projected on the plane of the sky, can be estimated from linearly polarized dust thermal emission using the Davis–Chandrasekhar–Fermi (DCF) method (Davis 1951; Chandrasekhar & Fermi 1953). The DCF method estimates a field strength at a given gas density and

Figure 6. Histogram shape parameters (\( \xi \)) of the Serpens Main molecular cloud including both the filament and the core regions. Note that the least-dense regions have an almost positive value of \( \xi \) and there is a bump at moderate column density: \( \xi \) becoming positive and decreasing again. Refer to the text for discussion. The region numbers correspond to those in Figure 7 and in the text.

Figure 7. Schematic diagram showing four regions with different relationships between the magnetic field and density gradient. Note that the green lines are magnetic field lines, and the darker gray colors indicate denser regions. The yellow vectors are polarization directions that are perpendicular to the magnetic field lines, and the black vectors are optical depth gradients that correspond to density gradients. The four regions are (1) less-dense filaments, (2) dense filaments, (3) core-forming regions, and (4) regions in which the field is dragged in.
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Figure 8. Magnetic field dispersion for individual filaments and all filaments combined. In each panel, the horizontal axis is position-angle difference in degrees between the magnetic field direction of the non-smoothed and smoothed data. The orange dashed lines are Gaussian functions fitted to the histograms, whose mean and standard deviation values are listed in the panels.

Table 2
Magnetic Field Strength Measures for Filaments

| Filament | $N_{\text{H}_2}$ | $n(\text{H}_2)$ | $\Delta \phi$ | $B_{\text{POS}}$ | $\lambda$ | $P_{\text{POS}}$ | $P_{\text{turb}}$ | $M_\lambda/M_{\text{crit}}$ |
|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| FN1      | 2.6           | 2.8           | 29.4         | 83 ± 35        | 2.4 ± 1.6 | 2.73        | 6.21           | 0.76           |
| FC1      | 2.2           | 1.8           | 22.9         | 97 ± 32        | 1.7 ± 1.0 | 3.74        | 5.14           | 1.4            |
| FC2      | 4.4           | 2.9           | 28.0         | 84 ± 26        | 4.0 ± 2.4 | 2.78        | 5.72           | 2.4            |
| FS1      | 3.0           | 2.4           | 20.1         | 64 ± 17        | 3.5 ± 2.0 | 1.65        | 1.75           | 2.6            |
| FS2/3    | 6.5           | 7.0           | 14.3         | 300 ± 75       | 1.7 ± 0.95 | 34.7       | 18.6           | 3.9            |

Note. Only the component of the magnetic field on the plane of the sky has been considered for the $\lambda$ and $P_{\text{POS}}$ measures.

turbulence from the scatter of the magnetic field orientation around a mean value. This scatter decreases in a stronger field such that:

$$B_{\text{POS}} = Q \sqrt{4\pi \rho} \frac{\delta V}{\delta \phi} \approx 9.3 \sqrt{n(\text{H}_2)} \frac{\Delta V}{\delta \phi},$$

where $Q$ is a factor of the order of unity, here taken to be 0.5, $\rho$ is the density, $\delta V$ is the turbulent velocity dispersion, and $\delta \phi$ is the magnetic field position-angle dispersion. In the rightmost expression, $n(\text{H}_2)$ is a number density of hydrogen molecules in cm$^{-3}$, $\Delta V$ is an FWHM linewidth in kilometers per second, and $\delta \phi$ is the magnetic field position-angle dispersion in degrees, which results in a field strength measured in microgauss (e.g., Ostriker et al. 2001; Crutcher et al. 2004).

Table 2 lists our estimates for the magnetic field strength within each of the filaments in Serpens Main, using the DCF technique. The number densities are calculated from the mean column density of the individual filaments (Figure 5) divided by the filament widths listed in Table 1 (Lee et al. 2014), assuming no additional line-of-sight modification. Nonthermal velocity dispersions are adopted from spectral-line observations of $N_2H^+$ made by Lee et al. (2014), also as shown in Table 1. The model of ordered background magnetic field morphology that is required in order to accurately calculate a field dispersion is obtained from the Stokes Q and U maps smoothed to a resolution of 28", which is equivalent to the two-times-larger beam. This 28" resolution corresponds to 0.056 pc at the target distance, which is reasonable for the background ordered fields of filamentary structures with a width $\approx$0.03–0.05 pc. The field dispersions we measure are distributed from 14°3 to 29°4 and are plotted in Figure 8. These values provide magnetic field strengths on the plane of the sky ranging from 64 to 300 $\mu$G. When estimating the uncertainty on the magnetic field strength, we assume a 50% error for the densities and use the fitting error for the field position-angle dispersion. Combined, this results in 25%–42% uncertainty in magnetic field strength.

We also estimate the mass-to-flux ratios ($\lambda$) with respect to their critical values, which measure whether the structures are supported by magnetic fields or if they are expected to gravitationally contract:

$$\lambda = \frac{(M/\Phi)_{\text{obs}}}{(M/\Phi)_{\text{crit}}} = 7.6 \times 10^{-21} \frac{N(\text{H}_2)}{B},$$

where $N(\text{H}_2)$ is the column density of hydrogen molecules in units of cm$^{-2}$, and $B$ is the magnetic field strength in microgauss (e.g., Crutcher et al. 2004). Regions with $\lambda > 1$ can gravitationally contract. The uncertainties on $\lambda$ are calculated as $\sigma_\lambda = \sqrt{(\sigma_N/N(\text{H}_2))^2 + (\sigma_B/B)^2}$, so they are in the range of 56%–65% when adopting the uncertainties used in the previous
paragraph. Note that this measure of uncertainty provides only a rough estimate, as we assume Gaussian distributions for the uncertainties on column density and the magnetic field strength, which may in fact not be independent of each other. Table 2 shows the $\lambda$ values for each filament. Note that the values are based on only the projected magnetic field component. Therefore, depending on the three-dimensional (3D) orientation of the magnetic fields, $\lambda$ may be smaller. We also compare the magnetic field energy density ($P_B$) and the turbulence energy density ($P_{\text{turb}}$) of individual filaments, which are, respectively, calculated as

$$P_B = \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$$

$$P_{\text{turb}} = \frac{3}{2} \rho \sigma_{\text{nt}}^2.$$  

(6)  

(7)

Here $\sigma_{\text{nt}}$ is nonthermal velocity dispersion. Finally, the last column of Table 2 presents the ratio of mass-per-length to the critical value for a cylindrical structure ignoring magnetic fields, as reported by Lee et al. (2014; our Table 1). Values greater than 1 indicate that such a structure can gravitationally contract.

From these measurements, we classify the filaments into three groups: {FN1, FC1}, {FC2, FS1}, and {FS2/3}. In FN1 and FC1, the magnetic and turbulent energy densities are comparable to each other. Note that $\lambda$ and the magnetic field energy density are calculated from partial components of the fields, specifically the component projected on the plane of the sky. When the 3D orientation of the structure is considered, the total magnetic field may be significantly larger. For example, if the 3D magnetic field strength is larger than the plane-of-the-sky component by a factor of 2, $\lambda$ and the magnetic field energy density become halved and quadrupled, respectively. The FN1 and FC1 filaments both have $\lambda$ and line mass ratios either smaller than or close to 1, which suggests that these filaments may not be able to contract gravitationally. It is noteworthy that these filaments have no star formation activity (no YSOs) and that they have large velocity gradients, of 3–5 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$ (Table 1).

FC2 and FS1 also have magnetic field and turbulence energy densities comparable to one another. These filaments, however, have both $\lambda$ and mass ratios larger than two, which suggests that they are likely to gravitationally contract. Indeed, there are YSOs found in FS1 (Lee et al. 2014). In the FC2 filament, there are no YSOs found, but it seems to be gravitationally unstable. If FC2 is in fact not gravitationally unstable, our results suggest that significant magnetic support would be required in order to stabilize the structure. For such support to exist, based on the plane-of-sky values of $\lambda$ that we measure, the filament would require a significant fraction of its magnetic field to be directed along the line of sight.

Lastly, FS2/3, which is the combined region of FS2 and FS3, has the strongest magnetic field among the filaments. The magnetic field energy density is also twice as large as the turbulence energy density. Therefore, magnetic fields dominate over turbulence in this combined structure. Even with the relatively strong magnetic field compared to turbulence, the filaments have $\lambda > 1$ and evidence for YSOs, indicating that the regions remain gravitationally unstable.

5. Conclusions

We have studied the physical conditions associated with the magnetic field within the Serpens Main molecular cloud using JCMT POL-2 850 $\mu$m polarimetric data, with a 14" resolution, taken as part of the BISTRO survey. Serpens Main consists of two subclusters, containing a total of six filamentary structures (FN1, FC1/2, FS1/2/3) encompassing a variety of physical properties.

The most common direction of the linear polarization in Serpens Main, on ~6000 au scales, has P.A. $= 43.5^\circ$, which suggests that the overall magnetic fields are east–west on the plane of sky. The submillimeter polarization fraction across Serpens Main decreases with the total intensity as $p \propto I^{-0.634}$. A power-law index shallower than $-1$ implies that the polarized emission is not limited to the surface of the molecular cloud. The observed polarization fraction decrease can be understood as being the result of weakening alignment mechanisms and/or magnetic field variations as a function of increasing density within the cloud.

Using the HRO technique, we find that the magnetic fields are predominantly perpendicular to the filamentary structures for all filaments except FN1 and FC1, which are the least dense and have no YSOs. The field orientation transitions from parallel ($\zeta > 0$) to perpendicular ($\zeta < 0$) with respect to the filaments when $N_H \approx 0.93 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. We extend the typical HRO analysis to explore the magnetic field within the entire cloud and find that $\zeta$ again increases at $N_H \approx 4.6 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which can be understood as the result of a density gradient along elongated structures becoming significant (e.g., via core formation). Furthermore, $\zeta$ again becomes negative at $N_H \approx 16 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is interpreted as resulting from the magnetic field being dragged along with increasing density.

We estimate magnetic field strengths in the filaments ranging from $B_{\text{POS}} = 60–300 \mu$G, using the DCF method. The magnetic field energy density is comparable to or larger than the turbulent energy in all filaments. The starless filaments FN1 and FC1 might be supported by either the magnetic field or turbulence, while the other filaments appear to be gravitationally unstable. The combined filaments FS2/3, with the strongest magnetic field, also seem to be gravitationally unstable.
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