Gait Speed Modulations Are Proportional to Grades of Virtual Visual Slopes—A Virtual Reality Study
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Gait is a complex mechanism relying on integration of several sensory inputs such as vestibular, proprioceptive, and visual cues to maintain stability while walking. Often humans adapt their gait to changes in surface inclinations, and this is typically achieved by modulating walking speed according to the inclination in order to counteract the gravitational forces, either uphill (exertion effect) or downhill (braking effect). The contribution of vision to these speed modulations is not fully understood. Here we assessed gait speed effects by parametrically manipulating the discrepancy between virtual visual inclination and the actual surface inclination (aka visual incongruence).

Fifteen healthy participants walked in a large-scale virtual reality (VR) system on a self-paced treadmill synchronized with projected visual scenes. During walking they were randomly exposed to varying degrees of physical-visual incongruence inclinations (e.g., treadmill leveled & visual scene uphill) in a wide range of inclinations (−15° to +15°). We observed an approximately linear relation between the relative change in gait speed and the anticipated gravitational forces associated with the virtual inclinations. Mean relative gait speed increase of ∼7%, ∼11%, and ∼17% were measured for virtual inclinations of +5°, +10°, and +15°, respectively (anticipated decelerating forces were proportional to sin[5°], sin[10°], sin[15°]). The same pattern was seen for downhill virtual inclinations with relative gait speed modulations of ∼10%, ∼16%, and ∼24% for inclinations of −5°, −10°, and −15°, respectively (in anticipation of accelerating forces). Furthermore, we observed that the magnitude of speed modulation following virtual inclination at ±10° was associated with subjective visual verticality misperception. In conclusion, visual cues modulate gait speed when surface inclinations change proportional to the anticipated effect of the gravitational force associated the inclinations. Our results emphasize the contribution of vision to locomotion in a dynamic environment and may enhance personalized rehabilitation strategies for gait speed modulations in neurological patients with gait impairments.
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INTRODUCTION

Walking is a complex process that requires specific adaptations when transitioning to inclined surfaces (1–4). These adaptations are thought to be regulated by an Internal Model of Gravity (IMG) that is composed of three input components (5–8): proprioception, vestibular (together known as body-based), and visual inputs. Body-based inputs are sensitive to real gravitational forces exerted on the body when walking on inclined surfaces. Visual inputs, however, are assumed to be influenced by top-down expectations likely based on prior visual experience during walking on inclined surfaces (4, 9). The sensory reweighting mechanism suggests that each of the sensory inputs has a specific “weight.” The weighted inputs are added up to produce a behavioral modulation. Reweighting of the cues is constantly taking place with respect to the relevancy of each modality afferent cues (6, 10). In real life, visual and body-based inputs are typically synchronized and provide congruent information about the overall sensory experience, except for in very rare situations [e.g., the train illusion (11)]. Therefore, to evaluate the contribution of visual inputs to locomotion there is a need to artificially manipulate them in an independent manner from the body-based inputs and examine their relative “weight.” While reweighting changes have been broadly described under steady-state conditions, evidence is lacking regarding the dynamics of reweighting following transitions to various intensities of sensory inputs during locomotion. To that end, we used a novel paradigm recently presented by our lab, which allows dissociating visual inputs from body-based inputs (4, 9). We transitioned the visual scene’s apparent inclination independently of the physical inclination of the treadmill. This was done using a fully immersive VR system where participants walked on a treadmill that was operated in a self-paced mode and were presented with virtual visual scenery projected on a large dome shaped screen. Our previous studies show that while walking on a leveled treadmill (i.e., 0° inclination), uphill virtually visually simulated transition of 10° is followed by a temporary increase in gait speed, while downhill virtually visually simulated transition of 10° is followed by a temporary decrease in gait speed. These visually guided gait speed modulations represent the exertion and braking effects seen in physical uphill and downhill walking, respectively. During uphill walking, the exertion effect counteracts the gravitational forces that would eventually bring a freely moving body to a stop, allowing an individual to maintain a roughly stable walking speed, typically slower than their self-selected speed during leveled walking (3, 12, 13). For downhill walking, the braking effect prevents uncontrolled speeding up (as would occur in the case of a freely moving body) and allows the individual to descend in a stable walking speed, either faster or slower than the self-selected speed on a leveled surface (3, 12, 14).

Visual field dependence is deemed as the level of reliance on visual inputs in comparison to body-based inputs (15, 16). The rod and frame test is a common method to assess visual field dependency, which is considered to evaluate the extent of subjective misperception of visual verticality (17–19). Visual field dependency varies across healthy individuals (20, 21), and it has been suggested to be higher in patients and populations with balance related disorders (22–25).

Previous studies examined gait speed modulations to inclinations of ±10°. Yet, it is unknown whether the extent of speed modulation is proportionally related to the degree of virtual visual scene transition. In the present study we aim to demonstrate that the predictions of gravitational force related consequences while walking on a slope mediated merely by visual cues are subtle and take into account the steepness of the slope. In other words, that the internal model of gravity quantifies the visual information with reference to its physical dimensions. To that end we parametrically manipulated the virtual visual scene between −15° to +15° in steps of 5° (i.e., ±15°, ±10°, ±5° and 0°), while the treadmill either remained leveled or transitioned uphill/downhill. Our main hypothesis was that gait speed modulation is proportional to virtual visual inclination slopes during incongruent walking conditions (i.e., provoking visually induced braking (during downhill) or exertion (during uphill) effects). Specifically, given the expected gravity induced acceleration forces acting upon the body when walking on actual inclined surfaces, we anticipated smaller visually induced braking/exertion effects for smaller slopes (±5°) as compared to the effect expected when walking under the illusion of bigger slopes (±15°). We defined an additional objective for this study, to confirm our previous observation (9), that the magnitude of visual modulation on gait speed during virtual surface inclination changes of 10 degrees varies across people and is related to the individual’s subjective visual misperception of verticality, as measured by the rod and frame test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Fifteen young healthy adults (mean age ± SD: 27.45 ± 4.1 years old, 8 men) participated in this study. Exclusion criteria (confirmed through a questionnaire prior to recruitment) were physical restrictions, visual problems, sensorimotor impairments, or cognitive and psychiatric conditions that could potentially affect locomotion or the capability to comply to instructions. The Institutional Review Board for Ethics in Human Studies at the Sheba Medical Center, Israel, approved the experimental protocol (Approval Number 9407–12) and all participants signed a written informed consent prior to entering the study. Three participants are lacking data in the treadmill leveled vision −15° condition, and one participant is lacking data in the treadmill leveled vision −5° condition. There were four values missing from the analyses, two due to technical problems and two were excluded as outliers (more than three standard deviations from the average).

Apparatus

The different experimental apparatuses were elaborately described in our previous work (4, 9). Herein is a brief description:
Virtual Reality System
Experiments were conducted in a fully immersive virtual reality (VR) system (CAREN High End, Motek Medical, The Netherlands) containing a moveable platform with six degrees of freedom. A treadmill that operates in self-paced mode, allowing participants to adjust treadmill speed to preferred gait speed, was embedded in the moveable platform.

VR Version of the Rod and Frame Test
We used the rod and frame paradigm published by Bagust et al. (20) in a VR format, which was based on the original computer screen rod and frame test (27). This test is commonly used to estimate subjective visual verticality misperception. Specifically, the test measured how visual perception of the orientation of a central bar (rod) is influenced by the orientation of a peripheral visual reference frame around it. It was implemented in our lab using Unity software and C# scripting. The participants sat upright wearing VR glasses (HTC VIVE, New Taipei City, Taiwan) and were told not to move or tilt their heads during the test. The VR environment consisted of a white frame (~16°×16°) at a certain orientation and a white rod (~11° long) inside it with its own orientation, both presented on a black background (refresh rate of 90 Hz). A sequence of 28 trials was presented during which the frame was initially rotated (relative to a vertical line) to one of seven possible random orientations: 0°±10°/±20°/±30 degrees (0 was vertical, + was clockwise), and each of these initial frame positions was presented four times. The initial rotation angle of the rod was also random (sampled from 0–180 degrees range distribution). The participants’ task was to rotate the rod until it becomes perpendicular to the true horizon (i.e., vertical) while ignoring the surrounding frame. This was achieved using the VR system’s remote control that allowed the participants to rotate the rod around its center in a clockwise or counterclockwise direction while the surrounding frame was unchanged. When the participants perceived the rod’s orientation as vertical, they were supposed to respond by pressing a button on the remote control, after which the display was cleared and another trial immediately began.

Procedure
VR Rod and Frame Test
The first part of the experiment after filling the informed consent was the rod and frame test. After assuring that the participant felt comfortable with the VR head mount device (HMD), a short practice trial was conducted to confirm that the participant understood the task and then the 28 test trials began. The test was not limited in time and typically lasted 10 min, including the practice trial.

Gait Trials in a Large-Scale VR System
Habitation Period to Walking in Self-paced Mode During Leveled and Inclined Surfaces
The participant was secured by a safety harness to a metal frame on the moveable platform (Figure 1). The first part of the habituation included familiarizing the participant with the self-paced mode of the treadmill which involved 10–15 min of leveled walking, with practicing to decrease and to increase speed until he/she mastered the walking. In the second part of the habituation, the participant performed three walking trials, one of each of the three possible inclinations (i.e., leveled, uphill and downhill walking, at this order) when the visual and the gravitational cues were synchronized (“congruent” conditions; see more details below). Each trial lasted 3–4 min.

Gait Experiments
The participants were informed that they would perform several gait trials, each lasting several minutes, with around 20 second intervals between them. They were instructed to walk “as naturally as possible” and were told that inclination changes may occur during walking. All trials in all conditions began from a standstill position and participants began walking with both the treadmill and the visual scene leveled until reaching steady state velocity, after which a 5 s long transition of the treadmill and/or visual scene occurred. Post transition, participants walked for additional 65 s until the treadmill slowed down and stopped. By convention, we refer to the transition start time as time zero (t = 0).

Experimental Conditions
The protocol included fifteen experimental conditions which the participant encountered in a random order and comprised of combinations of the treadmill and visual scene inclinations. Each condition started with leveled walking until the participant reached steady state velocity (SSV) and maintained it for 12 s. Following that, a transition of 5 s occurred to one of the fifteen different conditions, lasting 65 s. The treadmill (T) either transitioned uphill (U) to +5° (T U5)/±10° (TU10), remained leveled (L) at 0°, or transitioned downhill (D) to −5° (TD5)/−10° (TD10), and due to safety purposes the treadmill was not transitioned to ±15°. The visual scene (V) transitioned to +5°, +10°, or +15° uphill (V U5, V D5, V D10, V L), remained leveled at 0° (L) or transitioned to −5°, −10°, or −15° downhill (V D5, V D10, V L). Treadmill and visual scene congruent conditions that served as baseline were (i) leveled (TLV L), (ii) uphill (TLV U5), or (iii) downhill (TLV D5) walking. Note that in terms of civil engineering guidelines a 10° walking slope is considered a steep inclination for walking (28). Treadmill-visual scene incongruent conditions included the following visual scene manipulations: for the leveled treadmill, vision was +5° (TLVU5), +10° (TLVU10), +15° (TLVU15), −5° (TLVD5), −10° (TLVD10) or −15° (TLVD15). “Double” incongruent walking conditions included: For treadmill uphill at 5°, vision was downhill at −5° (TU5VD5), for treadmill uphill at 10°, vision was downhill at −10° (TU10VD10) or −15° (TU10VD15). For treadmill downhill at −5°, vision was uphill at +5° (TD5VU5), for treadmill downhill at −10°, vision was uphill at +10° (TD10VU10) or +15° (TD15VU15).

Predictions of Gait Speed Modulations
A free body placed on an inclined surface is influenced by two gravitational force components, one perpendicular to the surface which is balanced by the normal reaction force, and another parallel force acting on the body in parallel to the surface.
and pushing the body downhill (assuming no friction or other external forces). The parallel force acting on the body is given by \( F_p = g \cdot \sin(\alpha) \), where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration and \( \alpha \) is the slope angle. Since the \( \sin \) function is relatively linear for small degrees (including in the range of \([-15^\circ, 15^\circ]\)), such that \( \sin(A^\circ \alpha) \approx A^\circ \sin(\alpha) \) (provided that \( |A^\circ \alpha| < 15^\circ \)), the anticipated parallel forces are relatively linear, and therefore we hypothesized that the magnitude of the visually triggered braking and exertion effects will be proportional to the slopes (i.e., steeper slope—stronger anticipated forces—higher exertion/braking effect). Specifically, if \( X \) stands for the exertion effect measured in a virtual 10° slope, we predicted exertion effects of 0.5X for virtual inclinations of 5° and 1.5X for virtual inclinations of 15°. Similar predictions were made for negative virtual slopes with braking effects.

### Outcome Measures

The outcome measures were elaborately described in our previous work (4, 9). Below is a brief description:

#### Gait Speed Related Variables

To assess the post transition effects on gait speed we assessed (i) the magnitude of the peak/trough of gait speed relative to the steady state velocity (SSV; presented in %); and (ii) the time of this peak from the start of transition (seconds). Gait speed was estimated directly from a tachometer in the treadmill motor that provides the velocity signals from the treadmill belts. For full derivation of these parameters see Supplementary Methods.

#### Standardized Response to Virtual Inclination

To compute this metric, we used data from the incongruent \( T_V \cdot U_D \), \( T_V \cdot D_U \), \( T_D \cdot U_V \), \( T_U \cdot D_V \) conditions, similar to our previous study (9). For each participant the absolute values of the maximal relative (i.e., percent) change with respect to the SSV were calculated.

**Calculation of ratio of gravity induced behavior** - We first calculated the Area Under the Curve (AUC) separately for every second between 1s to 60s from a free body’s velocity \( V(t) \) and walking speed (WS) of the congruent uphill and downhill walking conditions. Then we defined the ratio: \( R = (\text{AUC(WS)}(t))/\text{AUC(V)}(t) \) *100. The index \( i \) refers to the time (in seconds) post-transition, with zero being the start of the transition. The equation is multiplied by 100 to avoid extremely small numbers. The ratio quantifies the extent to which WS estimates the velocity of a free body. A positive ratio indicates that both parameters were in the same direction (either accelerating or decelerating), while a negative ratio indicates the opposite direction. A ratio further from zero indicates greater gravitational influence on walking.

#### Subjective Verticality Misperception Index

For each trial the degree of deviation of the rod from the true vertical was measured and recorded as the rotation error. For each participant the mean rotation error for each of the 7 frame angles was calculated (20). We defined the rod and frame individual index to be the average angle of deviation of the rod from the true vertical when the frame was projected at ±20 degrees (8 trials in total, 4 trials of ±20° and 4 trials of −20°). This parameter allows for evaluation of individual differences in gravitational misperception.

#### Statistical Analyses

Values are represented by their group mean values (± SE). We used the repeated measures General Linear Model (GLM) to analyze groups of related dependent variables (amplitude and timing of the gait peaks) that represent different measurements...
of the same attribute (i.e., gait speed modulation). We defined two within-subject factors for the GLM analyses. The first GLM factor was the condition class (4 levels: T1VU, T1VD, T2VD, T2VU), and the second GLM factor was the visual inclination (3 levels: 5°, 10°, 15°). We further conducted GLM contrasts attempting to reveal the source of any effects that were observed in the GLM analyses. For testing how our estimates predicted true behavior, two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used. This was done by comparing observed values vs. predicted values (see section on predictions for gait speed modulations above) for treadmill leveled conditions with vision up, down or leveled. For all the above, a p-value equal or lesser than 0.05 was considered significant. Pearson correlation coefficient was computed to evaluate the relationship between (i) predicted and measured values and (ii) subjective verticality misperception index and the standardized response to virtual inclination (see outcome measures for more details). As the subjective verticality misperception index was compared between two distinct studies (see Figure 7), we transformed the indices into Z-scores so the results would be comparable.

RESULTS

For the averaged gait speed magnitude peak, the GLM showed a significant effect for the condition class (factor 1), \( F(3,36) = 36.07, p < 0.001 \) and for the visual inclinations (factor 2), \( F(2,24) = 23.79, p < 0.001 \). The model also revealed a significant condition class’visual inclination interaction \( F(6,72) = 10.79, p < 0.001 \). The following contrasts were found to be statistically significant: (1) For the condition class factor, \( T1VU \) vs. \( T2VD \) \( F(1,12) = 64.98, p < 0.001 \); (2) For the visual inclination factor, 5° conditions were significantly different from both 10° conditions \( F(1,12) = 27.53, p < 0.001 \) and from 15° conditions \( F(1,12) = 45.08, p < 0.001 \); (3) For the condition class’visual inclinations interaction, \( T1VU \) and \( T2VD \) showed significant interactions between the 5° and 10° visual inclinations \( F(1,12) = 36.33, p < 0.001 \) and between 5° and 15° visual inclinations \( F(1,12) = 19.13, p = 0.001 \). For the averaged gait speed peak time, the GLM showed no significant difference between the condition class levels (factor 1), \( F(3,10) = 2.59, p = 0.110 \) and between the visual inclinations (factor 2), \( F(1,12) = 2.23, p = 0.150 \).

Gait Speed Modulations by Visually Induced Inclinations at Leveled Treadmill

In order to address our main hypothesis that gait speed modulations are linearly correlated to the degree of visual inclination, we measured gait speed while treadmill was leveled and visual scene inclination was set to +5°, +10°, +15° (Figure 2A), −5°, −10°, or −15° (Figure 2B). Figure 2 depicts the averaged relative change in gait speed from steady state velocity for each of these conditions in a time window of 60 s centered around the transition. The mean magnitude peaks ±SE of walking speed changes following virtually induced uphill slopes (the exertion effect, Figure 2A) were 6.7 ± 0.9% for +5°, 10.9 ± 1.5% for +10°, and 17.2 ± 1.7% for +15°. The mean values (±SE) of peak relative changes of walking speed changes in response to virtually induced downhill slopes (the braking effect) were −9.4 ± 2.3% for −5°, −15.8 ± 2.6% for −10° and −23.7 ± 2.7% for −15°. The timing of the gait speed peaks after transition were not significantly affected by virtual positive inclinations (mean ± SE): \( V_{US} = 9.3s ± 4.5s, V_{U10} = 8.2s ± 3.7s, V_{U15} = 8.9s ± 1.7s \), or by virtual negative ones (mean ± SE): \( V_{DS} = 8.2s ± 3.8s, V_{D10} = 8.3s ± 1.0s, V_{D15} = 8.4s ± 1.1s \).

Predicted vs. Measured Virtually Induced Exertion and Braking Effects

To test our hypothesis that the extent of gait speed modulation by virtually inclined surfaces is dependent on the
slop size, we estimated the expected speed modulation for slopes of ±5° and ±15° based on the results of ±10° slopes, and compared these estimates to the real modulations. Figure 3 shows how the expected results closely matched the measured ones. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA with inclination (−5, −15, 5, 15) and predicted vs. measured as factors revealed, as expected, a significant effect of inclination \( F_{(3,33)} = 0.968, p < 0.001 \) and substantiated our observation that the predicted measures were not significantly different from the measured ones \( F_{(1,11)} = 0.001, p = 0.914 \). No interaction was found \( F_{(3,33)} = 0.146, p = 0.684 \). Furthermore, a high correlation \( r = 0.8, p < 0.01 \) was seen in an individual analysis between the predicted and the measured results across the four virtual inclinations measured (Figure 4).

### Relative Change in Gait Speed for Double Incongruent Walking Conditions

In addition to measuring virtually simulated exertion and braking effects, we also manipulated both the treadmill and the visual scene in different directions to further examine the role of vision during walking. Figure 5A presents the walking speeds during conditions where the treadmill transitioned upward with inclinations of +5° or +10°, while the visual scene transitioned downward with inclinations of −5°, −10°, or −15°, respectively. Figure 5B presents the same concept but in the opposite direction, where the treadmill transitioned downwards, and the visual scene transitioned upwards. The mean magnitude peaks ±SE of walking speed changes following the transition (treadmill up, Figure 5A) were −23 ± 3% for \( T_{U5}V_{D5} \), −60 ± 7% for \( T_{U10}V_{D10} \) and −63 ± 7% for \( T_{U15}V_{D15} \). The mean magnitude peaks ±SE of walking speed changes following the transition (treadmill down, Figure 5B) were 13 ± 3% for \( T_{D5}V_{U5} \), 9 ± 4% for \( T_{D10}V_{U10} \) and 20 ± 3% for \( T_{D15}V_{U15} \). The timing of gait speed peaks after transition to uphill treadmill inclinations while the vision transitioned downward were (mean ± SE): \( T_{U5}V_{D5} = 8.47\,s ± 2.97\,s, T_{U10}V_{D10} = 7.87\,s ± 3.14\,s, T_{U15}V_{D15} = 9.23\,s ± 4.55\,s \) and for downhill treadmill inclinations while the vision transitioned uphill were (mean ± SE): \( T_{D5}V_{U5} = 11.7\,s ± 4.9\,s, T_{D10}V_{U10} = 9.42\,s ± 4.0\,s, T_{D15}V_{U15} = 9.51\,s ± 3.99\,s \).

### Ratio of Gravity-Induced Behavior in Congruent Uphill and Downhill Walking Conditions

Our next step was to compare the changes in exertion and braking effects over time (post-transition). To that end, we computed the normalized ratio between the areas under the curve of gait speed for the ±5° congruent conditions [for ±10° see Cano Porras et al. (4)], divided by free body velocity at the same inclination \( V(t) = g\sin(5°)t \) (Figure 6). The higher the ratio (i.e., further from zero), the stronger the effect of gravity and the weaker the exertion and braking effects are. The analysis revealed a robust differential response to gravity in uphill vs. downhill walking \( p = 0.034 \), reflecting a weaker accelerating influence of natural gravity and a strong braking effect. Yet, both uphill and downhill walking initially showed an increasing ratio, which suggests increasing natural gravity influence. For uphill walking, the turning point occurred at 8 s, while for downhill walking the turning point occurred at 13 s from the transition. Beyond this point participants expended more effort (the exertion effect) or braked themselves (the braking effect) to maintain gait speed during uphill and downhill walking, respectively.
Relation Between Visual Modulation of Gait Speed During Visual-Physical Incongruent Conditions and Subjective Misperception of Verticality

Finally, we hypothesized that the magnitude of visual modulation on gait speed varies across people and may be related to the individual’s visual field dependency. Therefore, for each participant we calculated the magnitude of visual modulation on gait speed and the visual field dependence index. The former was calculated based on the changes in gait speed for the ±10° incongruent conditions (see Methods) and the latter based on the rod and frame test which estimates the visual field dependence. As can be seen in Figure 7, which combines data from two separate studies with the same protocol (9), when we compared these 2 measurements together we found that they were significantly correlated ($r = 0.542$, $p = 0.005$), and this was also the case when each subgroup was measured separately. This indicates that people with higher visual field dependency are likely to have stronger walking speed modulations during virtual...
inclination changes, suggesting that these processes may rely upon associated mechanisms. No correlation was found between the changes in gait speed for inclinations of ±5° and ±15° and the index of subjective visual misperception of verticality estimated by the rod and frame test.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of Findings**

In this study we investigated how gait speed is modulated by the visual virtual inclination slopes. As hypothesized, we showed that when a larger conflict was created between the sensory inputs, larger visually induced braking and exertion effects were measured (Figure 2), as expressed by gait speed modulations. The response-intensity relations of the visually induced braking and exertion effects were linear and proportional to the theoretical gravity induced tangential downhill and uphill acceleration levels, respectively (Figures 3, 4). We did not find any difference in the timing of the peak/trough of gait speed modulations when different virtual inclination levels were introduced. Furthermore, as previously described (9) we observed that the inter-subject variability in the virtually induced braking and exertion effects (at ±10°) can be explained in part by the individual’s visual field dependency as measured by the rod and frame test (20).

**The Relative Weight of Visual Cues During Locomotion Modulations**

Although we found a significant linear effect for visual inputs on gait speed modulations while walking at physical leveled inclinations when only the visual scene was transitioned, we did not find an effect on the timing of the gait speed peaks across the virtual visual induced transitions, suggesting that the timing of the peak effect of visually induced braking and exertion effects is similar regardless of the inclination. This result points us to two mechanisms regarding human locomotion: (i) indirect prediction, which is a process controlling locomotion patterns based on accumulated experience, promptly activating pre-programmed gait patterns in the presence of a perturbation (in our case the transition to an inclined slope). (ii) Sensory reweighting which is an iterative mechanism of recalibration of the relevant cues. This finding demonstrates that the sensory reweighting processes (6, 10, 29) which balance between vision (which in this case is influenced by a “faked” visual incline), and body-based cues (which are influenced by the physical treadmill inclination) occur at similar times, independent of the intensity of the sensory input conflict (for the discrepancy levels used in the present study). Adapting the hypothesis that the central nervous system (CNS) is using a weighted summation model of the sensory cues in execution of motor control, we assume that when there is a conflict between the inputs, the more reliable cues become more heavily weighted. In other words, the visual cues are likely to affect the indirect prediction mechanism (29) in proportion to the conflict size created by the visual inclination, but the time it takes for the sensory reweighting to “kick in” is apparently constant for virtually induced uphill walking (~8.8 s) and for virtually induced downhill walking (~8.2 s). This study strengthens the sensory reweighting theory by showing that once this mechanism governs, quantitative estimates of sensory weights are modulated regardless of the amplitude of perturbations provided by visual manipulations. To further compare the weight of vision contribution in uphill and
downhill walking, we conducted two types of double incongruent setups ($T_{DV_D}, T_{DV_U}$). In both $T_{DV_D}$ and $T_{DV_U}$ conditions, the vision did not significantly affect gait speed. In conclusion, visual inputs predominantly affect gait speed during leveled (neutral) inclinations proportionally to the degree of virtual visual inclination. The proportion weight of visual inputs is reduced when gravitational cues (physical inclination) oppose the virtual visual cues.

**Inter Participant Variability**

The relation between subjective visual vertical (SVV) that is assumed to represent visual field dependency (17–19) and postural stability in the healthy population is well established (15, 30–32). Yet, the locomotive responses, which are behaviorally expressed by changes in gait speed is not fully understood. While the present finding confirms earlier findings with this specific paradigm (±10°) (9), it is unclear why these findings were not generalized to the other inclinations (±5° or ±15°). These seemingly conflicting results might be explained by assuming that visual field dependency varies across healthy individuals within a certain range (9, 20, 21). In extreme scenarios where the conflict is up to 15° (e.g., treadmill 0° and vision +15°), it may be that visual field dependence no longer plays a role in affecting perception, which may explain why no correlation was observed between the rod and frame test and locomotive response. Although we did find a behavioral change in virtually induced inclinations of ±15°, which was relatively bigger than inclinations of ±10°, we could not predict those changes by the rod and frame index. These behavioral changes may suggest that in cases with extreme discrepancy, the integration of sensory cues follows another mechanism. A possible mechanism could be directed to instinctively prevent us from falling backwards while walking uphill (or in the case of the visual scene transitioning downward, decreasing the speed to maintain stability and prevent forward acceleration). The same was true when the conflict was small (i.e., virtually induced inclination of ±5°). We suggest that humans adapt their gait speed according to the virtually induced inclination, but the mechanism relies on different sources rather than the traditional visual dependence. This analog was also seen in our analysis of the rod and frame index itself, as well as for Bagust et al. (9, 20). When the frame was tilted at ±30°, or when the frame was not tilted (0°), no significant change was seen across individuals. The only difference was seen when the frame was tilted at ±10° and ±20°. These findings suggest that the visual dependence, as measured by the rod and frame index is not susceptible to extreme discrepancies.

**Exertion and Braking Effects as Measured in Congruent Conditions**

The braking and exertion effects were previously quantified in our lab for congruent inclinations of ±10° (4). Here we quantified the modulations related to inclinations of ±5° (Figure 6). Our results confirm the role of vision in the initiation of the exertion effect during real uphill walking, since the timing of the deflection point (i.e., turning point, which symbolizes the time that took the participants to accommodate to the new inclination) (t = 8 s) was almost similar to the peak of the gait speed increase in response to virtual uphill walking (9.3 s). Overall, the accommodation to uphill walking is faster for smaller inclinations (5°, t = 8.0 s) as compared to greater inclinations (10°, t = 11.0 s). These findings are consistent with the notion that people try to minimize their energetic cost while walking (29, 33), and thus when walking on steeper inclination, more energy is used and it will take more time to reach the new steady state (34). For downhill walking at −5°, the braking effect started at 13 s post transition. Surprisingly, the trough of the virtually induced braking effect was at 8.2 s. This time difference indicates that when no sensory discrepancy exists, the accommodation time to a downhill slope is longer, emphasizing the role of body-based cues to maintain locomotion and adjust the body according to the gravitational laws of physics. We also note that subtle influences of the self-pace control parameters employed in this experiment may interfere with these estimations (e.g., forward/backward translations on inclined surfaces provides reduced feedback to the speed controller as compared to leveled walking), as well as the individual ability to control gait speed alterations under this self-paced paradigm.

**Clinical Implications**

As natural continuation to traditional physical therapy base interventions, VR advantages were emphasized in light of its ability to incorporate motor learning principles such as real-time multisensory feedback, task variation, objective progression, and task-oriented repetitive training (35–38). VR systems allow to simulate scenarios from real life, and yet provide therapists a unique opportunity to work in a risk-free environment, and train patients in motor rehabilitation paradigms (39–41). We posit that the VR media provides additional substrates for developing new motor learning strategies. For example, targeting salient physiological processes such as indirect prediction and sensory reweighting (6, 10, 29), which are adopted by humans during the course of development and can be recruited for treating locomotion impairments. For example, Lamontagne et al. show that using optic flow manipulations, stroke patients instantaneously increased their walking speed by 44%, for comparison the healthy control group increased their speed by 32% (42). Combining these findings with Bonan et al. (22, 23), which show that stroke patients have increased visual dependency, we can suggest that people with higher visual field dependency will have greater speed modulation following an optic flow manipulation. These findings are in line with our present study (Figure 7) in a young healthy population, showing this relation between visual field dependency and the magnitude of gait speed change following an optic manipulation (either optic flow or virtual inclination transition). Decreased sensorimotor integration is an essential characteristic of neurological patients suffering from gait impairments (43). As inclined walking is part of our daily living, better understanding the physiology in young healthy populations is a first step in harnessing the present, or similar (4, 9), paradigms for clinical use. For example, as a relatively ecological tool employed for diagnosis of sensorimotor integration impairment. Such approach would imply establishing
norms (of outcomes such as those presented in the present work) based on data from healthy participants. These norms can be contrasted with data recorded from participants with pathological conditions such as persons with Parkinson’s disease or persons post stroke. As done in the present contribution, such potential diagnosis can address whether the graded response pattern to virtual inclination intensity is preserved in these cohorts. Along these lines, our paradigm could also evaluate the success of conventional therapies by comparing the multisensory integration pre- and post- therapies and examine whether there was any progress and to what extent. Finally, by “recruiting” this manipulation as a clinical therapy, and repeating the manipulation continuously over longer periods, it can be used to alter the impaired coupling between perception and action, and enhance gait adaptation and sensorimotor integration. Moreover, based on the present study, a new tool which unites a short walking period in a visual conflict paradigm and the rod and frame test can potentially estimate visual dependency in locomotion. Such a tool will help to identify those who may benefit from visual conflicts paradigms, hence facilitating personalized rehabilitation program. For example Brady et al. (44), showed that highly visually dependent people successfully trained to one set of visual conflicts, but were not able to apply their adapted skills to a new discordant environment in comparison to lower visually dependent people. It is yet unclear whether the gait speed modulations we found in a young healthy population will be replicated in populations with gait impairments. To the best of our knowledge, although there are studies that measured the effect of optic flow training (15), none of these paradigms have been effectively translated to clinical practice. Both theoretical and clinical studies are needed to harness the ability of VR to introduce sensory incongruence for rehabilitation benefits.

LIMITATIONS

We note the following limitations associated with this study: (1) Treadmill inclinations of ±15° could not be included due to safety restrictions, thus limiting the ability to reach conclusions about gait speed modulations at these walking inclinations. (2) The experimental design did not include all the possible walking conditions, and by that prevented us from computing the proper statistical tests. The limiting factor was the presumed maximal number of walking trails (i.e., 15) that can be presented without causing fatigue. We included the main conditions where the treadmill is leveled and the visual scene transitioned. (3) The third limitation is related to the fact that the visual slope transition times in the incongruent conditions were always 5 s in all conditions (i.e., ±5°, ±10°, or ±15°). This means that, e.g., the 15° transition change was 3X faster than of the 5° transition. This adds a potential confounder (i.e., visual slope transition speed). We acknowledge that this point should be addressed in future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Virtually induced braking and exertion effects which are expressed by gait speed modulations are linearly related to the degree of virtual inclination. Furthermore, these modulations are highly correlated to the individual visual field dependency assessed by the rod and frame test while walking at virtual inclinations of ±10°. Our findings add another stratum to the understanding of sensorimotor integration during locomotion in healthy populations and has the potential to contribute to develop VR based rehabilitation strategies in the future.
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