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ABSTRACT

ITS (Intelligent Transportation Systems) are growing increasingly popular because of the necessity for superior cyber-physical systems and comfort applications and services required for usage in autonomous vehicles. There are two types of Vehicular Ad-Hoc Networks (VANETs) that are vital to ITS: V2I (Vehicle-to-Infrastructure) and V2V (Vehicle-to-Vehicle). VANETs are a new technology with several potential uses in the ITS. It comprises smart vehicles and roadside equipment that connect over open-access wireless networks. An attacker may disrupt vehicular communication which can lead to potentially life-threatening scenarios because of the significant expansion in the number of vehicles in use today. VANETs must use robust security and authentication procedures to provide safe vehicular communication. This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the VANET system, including its characteristics and challenges. There is a concept of data dissemination that has been provided in brief. Clustering is the most important topic in VANET that is used to cluster the vehicles to secure and safely message transmission over the network. There is a taxonomy of clustering techniques that has been provided in a detailed manner. Besides, it has also shown the comparison of different clustering parameters-based mechanisms and MAC protocols in VANET.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) are made up of a network of vehicles that exchange data wirelessly. A vehicle's location, speed, and direction may be broadcast to all other cars within range using sensors and GPS technology. Safety messages are sent between vehicles to enhance road safety & save lives from accidents in a dangerous vehicular environment. Status messages and safety messages are the two most common forms of data sent between vehicles [1]. The status message provides information on the vehicle's speed, acceleration, and position. All of the vehicles get periodic status messages, which are also known as...
beacon messages. There are pre-crash and post-crash notifications, as well as environmental and road risks, in the message.

VANET is a specific type of MANET(Mobile Ad-hoc Network) that allows vehicles to share info without the support of permanent structures such as base stations or access points [2]. In VANET, mobility of vehicles results in dynamic variations to network architecture, that have a direct effect on the network’s performance metrics like throughput, transmission latency, as well as packet loss rate. The study on topology & related routing procedures of VANET is based on reproducing actual traffic flow in a simulated environment.[3]. Thus, vehicular mobility modeling [4] has increasingly been a focus of VANET simulation research, intending to extract movement commonality of vehicle nodes to increase simulation’s authenticity, ensuring that simulated findings can be applied to real-world deployments.

An emphasis is placed on the transmission of emergency messages between vehicles in this research report. For V2V and V2I communication, VANET employs DSRC at 5.9 GHz [5]. All seven channels of DSRC may transmit data at a maximum rate of 27Mbps over a distance of 1000 meters. A 5MHz guard band separates the seven channels each operating at 10MHz. All seven channels have one control channel & the other 6 are service networks for non-safety or commercial purposes. Short-range wireless networks may be established using either a radio interface or an On-Board unit. So that no safety-related communications are missed, vehicles alternate between control channel & service channel operation. To provide the driver with adequate time to respond to the issue, communications are prioritized according to the severity of the problem.

Crashing vehicles and other dangerous situations are given top attention in communications. Post-crash notice, road & environmental concerns, and the status message that provides information on a vehicle's directions, speed & location are given second & least importance. The prototype as well examines the effect of the concealed terminal issue, which is not stated in the existing VANET broadcasting scheme. It measures the impact of the concealed terminal issue using the packet delivery ratio. Roadside Unit (RSU) deployment in sparse traffic situations is explored to improve emergency message transmission performance by avoiding vehicle disengagement.

In VANET safety applications, providing an efficient and secure authentication method is a difficult problem. To meet their deadlines, these apps must respond to messages in a timely way. To protect the confidentiality of the communications sent, it is necessary to ensure that the information included in these messages is protected [6].

The design of this work is as follows: Section II offers a detailed description of the VANET system, its characteristics, and challenges with a briefing of data dissemination. Section III provides a descriptive overview of the clustering concept which is used in VANET, also displays the comparison among different works. After this, in section IV we provided a literature survey related work to VANET. Section V concluded the overall paper with some future scope for this work.

II. Vehicular Ad hoc Network System, Characteristics and Challenges

As its foundation is a network of vehicles, several nations are presently attempting to install VANETS to make this technology widely applied worldwide. All VANETS-based cars can communicate with each other using the same messages. Changes in location or proximity to another vehicle might cause these notifications to be shown in a different way for neighboring vehicles. You'll get alerts about your vehicle's current GPS position as well as information about its current direction and speed. The integrity of all of these communications must be maintained since they are all critical to the flow of information. There
are no more accidents on the road because of the sharing of information between vehicles. VANETs' predictive nature makes it easy to cope with emergency scenarios. Having a traffic-free road has allowed people to better manage their time. Because VANETs function as an interconnected network, retailers and other businesses may simply distribute advertisements via them. The information might also be fabricated by attackers who gain illegal access. Having a nonrepudiation mechanism is essential in this situation. In addition to the safety of cars, VANETs also guarantee the convenience of drivers and pedestrians on the road [7]. Safety characteristics include intrusion movement aid, road weather, blind-spot warning, emergency electronic brake lights, forward collision warning, and left-turn help. Drivers might be alerted to their speed due to dynamic message signs. In the future, VANETs will be able to forecast which direction and how long drivers will have to wait at traffic signals. Another benefit of VANETs is dynamic transit operations & ride sharing. In addition to communicating with other vehicles, VANETs allows your vehicle to interact with the driver as well. Any form of mishap may be avoided by activating an emergency alarm, which includes shaking of the seat and red indications on the indicator lights. Because the network has a huge number of nodes. Every node has to be verified and authenticated individually, which takes a lot of time. Accidents may occur if all nodes are unable to interact with each other at the same time[8]. There are more vehicles on the road, which means more bandwidth is needed for the signals network, which may lead to signal interference and other concerns. It is difficult to interact with all the vehicles in the network because of the decentralized approach. Attackers may make use of its networking capabilities. They can tamper with the communications or insert dangerous material. VANETs must be implemented safely. The system’s security has been hampered by an increase in the variety of assaults that may be launched, due to advancements in technology. VANET security is primarily threatened by Denial-of-Service (DoS) attacks, floods, spamming, and malicious software. VANETs must be implemented quickly. As a matter of course, information must be sent on time. Even though VANETs are vulnerable to assaults such as jamming, which prevent radio waves from transmitting data, this is just one attack type. Malware injection may have a significant impact on the operation of network systems. The IoT and the Internet of Vehicles (IOV) [9] are already in the air, and they’re both here to remain. Everywhere in the globe, there's been some kind of implementation of this principle up to this point.
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**Figure 1.** V2X interaction is shown in a simplified depiction of VANET

V signifies on-road vehicles, whereas X denotes everything else – RSUs, trams, buildings, pedestrians, and other vehicles, to name a few.

Unawareness of one's surroundings is the biggest cause of road deaths. VANETs provide a variety of solutions to problems such as traffic congestion, fatalities, and emergencies. A VANET is a subclass of MANET. It is a decentralized, architecture-free, ad hoc network that is utilized in V2X interaction. Fig. 1 summarizes the VANET road scenario. Vehicles communicate with people, trams, buildings, traffic signals, and other permanent architecture in this scenario, demonstrating the use of V2X communication.

### A. VANET Characteristics
The following is a list of VANET features[10]:

- Predictable node movement
- Large scale network (high vehicle density)
- Hard delay constraints
- No power constraints
- Limited lifetime for communication links
- High mobility, Dynamic topology

B. VANET Challenges

There are, however, a slew of issues with VANETs, as outlined under[10]:

- Signal Fading
- Connectivity
- Time Constraints
- Limited Bandwidth
- Routing Protocols
- Security/Attacks/Threats
- Emergency Messages

C. Data Dissemination in VANETs

VANETs are a potential class of networks that enable V2V communication to promote safe and efficient transportation. Vehicles may connect with and with other networks throughout the trips by engaging with them and with the mobile phone network. Data dissemination is basic activity needed by a wide variety of services in VANETs, and it entails the distribution of data messages to a group of vehicles. Knowledge of the interactions between vehicles may aid in improving the efficacy of data distribution in this sort of communication. [11].

III. Concept of Clustering in VANET

Due to the complex communications environment, VANETs have not yet been widely used in the real world. It is especially difficult for VANETs to deal with the hidden node issue because of their low bandwidth and extremely changeable channel, which is affected by both fixed & mobile obstacles and interference. An infrastructure-based network has a considerable benefit over ad hoc networks in this scenario: access points enable efficient channel scheduling & dispersal of network resources in a very straightforward way, at the expense of required to construct a high no. of access points across targeted coverage region. Clusterin VANETs, where a hierarchy network architecture is disseminated across the networks by a certain form of clusters method, has been studied by researchers to attain some of the advantages of an infrastructure-based network without the requirement of actual architecture[12].

A. Taxonomy of VANET Clustering Techniques

As an outcome of the unique mobility and channel dynamics of the VANET environment, constructing clustering architecture is challenging. It is necessary to start by gathering info about its close neighbors to determine possible cluster heads. Mobility, signal quality, & capacity to deliver specialized traffic and infotainment services are all factors to consider when choosing a head unit. There are a variety of ways to represent this criterion, including a scalar indicating its suitability for the post of cluster head, Alternatively, the method will announce its appointment when a certain time has elapsed. In furthermore, a node may join a cluster in a variety of ways, and a cluster head supervises its members & chooses whether or not the cluster must be disbanded or united with another cluster. In this part, we'll go through the many ways we've tried to solve these issues. Using the VANET environment, the pros and cons of each strategy are discussed. Additionally, a discussion is offered on how to increase the applicability of unfavorable techniques to vehicle networks.

1) Cluster Head Selection Strategy

A CH (Cluster Head) is chosen using a particular algorithm and set of parameters, both of which are detailed in this section. There are three primary evaluation procedures identified:

- precedence
- timers
• weighted network metrics

Each of these algorithms examines numerous network factors to choose the node that is best suited to serve as CH.

2) **Passive Clustering**

Clustering algorithms based on weighted metrics, precedence, and timers all have the property of being active. That is, they share a shared channel with other network traffic for cluster formation & maintenance. Even though clustering is intended to enhance routing, this may lead to a conflict among clusters & routing traffic, as both compete for restricted channels capability. The benefit of passive approaches is that they avoid conflict between clustering and traffic routing, enabling the former to aid the latter in accomplishing its aim. Due to added management overhead necessary for cluster creation and reformation, an active clustering technique may dramatically impair the efficacy of an ad hoc routing protocol. By contrast, passive techniques make use of the synergy among schemes to facilitate cluster formation without requiring additional traffic.

3) **Cluster Head selection Criteria**

The criteria utilized to select possible CHs are often established to reduce the detrimental impacts of mobility on interaction reliability & throughput, application efficiency, or both. The first VANET clustering algorithms were expansions of MANET methods like LID/HD, DMAC [13], or MOBIC. VANET study is gradually moving toward novel CH selection criteria that make clusters more resilient to variations in topology. Numerous newly suggested techniques include the following:

• signal quality indicators
• node mobility parameters
• platoon leadership
• link expiration time
• trustworthiness
• driver intention

• vehicle class

4) **Cluster Member Affiliation Strategy**

Once a node has discovered its intended CH, it will transmit a joining request message to prospective CH unless it decides to accept the role itself. Upon receipt & approval by the CH, the identification token of requesting node, including its MAC addresses, will be entered into the cluster table. That’s where most of the methods analyzed will come to an end, although some will send a verification frame to CM (Cluster Member) alerting it of either success or failure. Among methods, this handshake is crucial since it leads to a no. of major advantages & disadvantages, which are discussed in further depth below.

• Increased Overhead
• Cluster Control Capability
• Improved Integrity

5) **Neighborhood Discovery**

In the architecture of VANET clusters, two approaches for neighborhood finding are utilized. Every node or just those nodes who have declared themselves to be CH may broadcast periodic hello messages, as in MDMAC [14] or timer-based schemes like CBLR [15]. A node transmits an Inquiry frame to other nodes & waits for a reaction; this is a poll of local network topology. As a result, identifying a neighbor might be proactive or reactive, as in the instance of Hello broadcasts or Inquire requests.

6) **Gateway selection Metrics**

Numerous techniques covered in this article make use of clustering to facilitate routing & combination among cellular networks & VANETs. For the sake of connecting to other clusters, these algorithms classify the most appropriate cluster member (CM), which is not usually the CH. Requirements are frequently particular to a certain operation. A gateway node’s confidence level must be high
sufficient to resist a malicious node's attack for routing protocol developed for security apps to qualify as gateway nodes.

7) **Cluster Maintenance**

After a cluster is created, CH is responsible for managing communication among members and ensuring the cluster's topology remains stable. As previously stated, [16], both the road network configuration & vehicle density have a significant impact on cluster stability. To successfully preserve a cluster's stability, the CH should vigorously preserve the database of its members and react promptly to membership changes. The literature describes two primary database update techniques; these, as well as probable reasons of instability & recommended mitigation measures, are discussed in the following sections.

| Authors & publication | Summary | No. of parameters | Purposes | Simulator | Problems |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| I. Nikolaidis, P. Gburzynski & E. Souza (in *IEEE*)[17] | Using Beacon-based communication to extend the lifespan of the cluster | 1 | Cluster lifetime has been extended. The network will be more stable. Calculations depend on weight. | SUMO/S MURPH | If CHs are in close proximity, messages will be overlapping. The chances of a crash are higher. Simulated results are based on one-way data. There is no discussion of re-clustering latency |
| K. Lu, D. Jia, X. Zhang, J. Wang & X. Shen (in *IEEE*) [18] | In platooning & clustering, there is a correlation between traffic | 2 | Cluster lifetime has been extended. The network will be more stable. Calculations | Matlab/ Simulink, SUMO/V eins | Dynamics of traffic. The architecture of a network. |

Table 1. Clustering variables utilized in suggested methods are compared in this overview
| Author(s) | Paper Title | Summary | Reference | Methodology | Description |
|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| S. C. Ergen, O. Ozkasap & S. Ucar | VANET Behavior | At the lowest level of cellular design, a technique for stable clustered vehicular multihop has been presented. | IEEE Transactions, 19 | Sumo/NS-3 | High cost of using cellular design |
| D. Franklin, C. Cooper, F. Safaei, M. Abolhasan & M. Ros | Clustering Methods: A Complete Review | The absence of realistic channel modeling for clustering efficiency has been discovered. Tackle the issues of dependability & scalability in urban environments. Congestion detection is enhanced. | IEEE Communications, 12 | Not simulated | The lack of technological implementation |
| B. Hassanabadi, S. Valae & C. Shea | A Framework of Cluster Structure in Which Mobility Plays a Crucial Role | Clusters alter less often now because there are fewer members. | IEEE, 20 | NS-2 | The method’s efficiency suffers because of a lossy channel. |
| J. Kang, D. Lin, Y. Wu, A. Squicciarini, O. Tonguz and S. Gurung | Using a Moving Zone-based Design, Mobile Nodes Can Share Information More Easily | Pure V2V interaction without the need for frequent changes and with fewer resources. | IEEE | NS-2/SUMO | There is no consideration for channel modeling |
| Authors | Transactions \[21\] | TDMA slot allocation depending on vehicle clustering | Inter-cluster interaction that does not interfere with each other. Use of the fare channels. Decrease the terminal issue. Non-safety communications may be sent without affecting the safety messages being sent | NS-3 | When the flow rate is raised, the density rises, and the likelihood of a crash increases |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S. Olariu, M. C. Weigle, & M. S. Almalag (in IEEE) \[22\] | | | | | |
| W. Zhang, Y. Hu, Y. Luo (in IEEE) \[23\] | Realtime apps need cluster-based routing | 2 | An ideal cluster of neighbors' info. Delay from beginning to finish is about average. Lowered costs & delays in packet delivery. Improved PDR & reduced caching memory use. | NCTUs | It doesn’t find any new routes. There is no way to access a stored route |
| Hang Su, & Xi Zhang, (in IEEE Transactions) \[24\] | Delivering Public Safety Messages through Multi-Channel Cluster | 3 | QoS. Decrease the amount of data congestion | Matlab | Only V2V interaction is the focus of this project. Broadcast storms will be exacerbated by this medium’s inherent nature. |

Transactions \[21\]
| Authors | Title | Pages | Description | Tools | Additional Notes |
|---------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|------------------|
| A. Benslimane, T. Taleb and R. Sivaraj (in *IEEE Journal*) [25] | An adaptive gateway management system that serves gateway migrations & discovery, advertising, and gateway apps. | 3 | Due to proactive routing, there was a decrease in latency. Signaling overhead is reduced. | NS-2 | Use of 3G cellular phone technology. Fixed routing table. Network connection may be affected by sudden changes in topology. |
| M. Khabazian and M. K. M. Ali (in *IEEE Transactions*) [26] | The impact of VANET mobility on the network’s connection | 3 | Reduce traffic jams that ensure deadlock on the highway | Matlab | There was just one-way traffic. Only the number of lanes was considered in the study. |
| S. Dornbush and A. Joshi (in IEEE) [27] | This article evaluates the use of mobile peer-to-peer networks to monitor vehicle traffic flow | 3 | Offered traffic dynamics to alleviate traffic jams & congestion. As there are no issues with electricity, it saves gasoline & resources. The size & cost of a node is not constrained. | Tiger data | Dynamic network with few connections. There are issues with the road map. |
| L. Bononi and M. di Felice (in IEEE) [28] | A multi-hop MAC forward cross-layered technique to dynamically create virtual backbone architecture | 3 | It’s cost-effective. Time-saving | NS-2 | Due to a large shift in topography, the link among the vehicles could be disrupted. |
| Authors | Description | Hops | Notes |
|---------|-------------|------|-------|
| A. Benslimane, K. B. Letaief & T. Taleb (in IEEE Transactions) [29] | The introduction of a cooperative crash method in the event of a flood was done to prevent it. | 3 | Broadcasts are less redundant. Packet loss has been reduced. | NS-2 | Doesn’t take into account the broadcast storm. |
| N. Tabbane, G. e. m. Zhioua, S. Tabbane & H. Labiod (in IEEE Transactions) [30] | A multi-metric deterministic approach to selecting gateways in clustering | 3 | There will be no inter-cluster communications collisions. Hops in a single group. | NS-2 | Broadcast a safety message. Non-realistic due to the premise of no collision. Intensified stress on the CH. Packet loss is high. End-to-end latency has been enhanced. |
| B. Wiegel, H. P. Grossmann & Y. Gunter (in IEEE) [31] | according to Medium Access Protocol (MAPP) | 3 | Reduce the concealed terminal issue. Scalability. Enhanced quality of service. decrease Overhead | Unknown | The greatest number of nodes that may be used in a simulation is 100. In the event of a flood, Hello messages might be the culprit. Increasing no. of nodes reduces the success rate of packet transmissions |
| S. Senouci, G. Remy, Y. Gourhant & F. Jan (in IEEE) [32] | Using LTE as a central vehicle network organization | 4 | Cluster efficiency may be improved. Low overhead Preve nt CH from experiencing an early loss of energy. | NS-3 | If a central system fails, a deadlock occurs. There is no thought given to security concerns. |


| K. Ibrahim and M. C. Weigle (in IEEE workshop) [33] | A method for integrating data from many sources CASC ADE was used to provide early traffic congestion warnings in a congested network using a single frame | 4 | Optimal use of available wifi channels. Information may be disseminated more widely. | ASH | Wi-Fi channel use that is both effective and economical. Data may be sent across a larger region. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M. Slavik and I. Mahgoub (in IEEE Transactions) [34] | Spread multi-hop wireless transmissions for optimal routing | 6 | Less bandwidth use and more coverage | JiST/SWA NS | Single threshold value performs well in all cases, regardless of the threshold value |

## IV. Literature Survey

Studies have made tremendous progress in structure & designing, but there are still regions for development, as the widespread use of VANET infrastructures & procedures that are both scalable, dependable, resilient & secure. With these considerations in mind, researchers focused on security vulnerabilities to automobile ad-hoc networks & suggested different solutions. There were additional security problems that were explored in this investigation.

Certain recent research that does not depend on beacon information transmits a large number of data packets, hence increasing bandwidth wastage. A method is known as the Fuzzy-based Beaconless Probability Broadcasting Algorithm (FBBPA) [36] was created to overcome these issues and alert automobiles of an occurrence with the minimal broadcast. For broadcasting suppressing, this is a receiver-oriented strategy that determines the forwarding probabilities of packets in the vehicles’ buffers based on their distances, angular orientation, and movements path. The packet is then rescheduled based on the resulting likelihood. At the moment of transmitting, the most important packets in the vehicle’s buffer will be sent first. The examination of accident and advertising packets demonstrates that the suggested approach outperforms in terms of reachability (Info coverage), average delay, & saved rebroadcasts, the tested procedures were assessed.

The research [37] proposes dynamic grouping using K-imply, which is ideally suited for the dynamic topological properties of VANET. The suggested approach performs brilliantly when the number of bunches is specified in advance as well as when the number of groups is unknown. The client can select no. of bunches or lowest no. of groups required for their approach. To select the next group concentration, the group counter is incremented by one for every emphasis until an objective task is accomplished. All of this may be outlined, including
ideal group leaders and how CMs & CHs communicate with each other.

A method for Internet of Energy in the context of bus-based VANET, such as a street-centric routing system (SCRS), is presented in this work to address the issue of the best route and relaying bus choosing. To begin, [38] presented a multipath routing strategy based on the likelihood of street & path consistency. The multipath architecture significantly enhances efficiency in words of PDR & end-to-end latency. Second, to improve packet forwarding, ACO(Ant Colony Optimization)-based clustering method is used to provide a novel relay-bus selection mechanism. Packets are transported to the next forwarding relays via the relay-purpose bus. The findings show that the ACO technique’s clustering improves relay-bus choosing by reducing computing costs, end-to-end delay, & unnecessary beacon signals. As a result, they find that using multipath & clustering considerably enhances SCRS performance.

[39] proposes a concept for a modern cellular-5G VANET architecture to resolve all past VANET issues and enhance QoS (Quality of Service). To accomplish this, A-MAPS(Adaptive Mobility-Aware Path Similarity) method is used to cluster all vehicles in the area of interest. Cluster formation & CH selection are based on several critical characteristics, one of them being the importance of comparable future paths in metropolitan regions. An optimal forwarder for V2V &D2D communications is found by using a Bayesian rule-based fuzzy logic framework (BRFL) developed by the researchers to provide reliable communication between vehicles & devices. Additionally, communication between V2I and vehicles to pedestrians (V2P) is handled using a unique2F-HSO (2-Fitness Hypotrochoid Spiral Optimization) method built from fitness functions. Two distinct message kinds (Accident & Traffic) are examined for safety message dissemination, alsoSA-HTD(Safety-Aware Hierarchical Tree for Dissemination) is created to manage their dissemination. An OMNeT++ simulator is used to simulate the planned cellular-5G VANET. The results reveal that transmission delay, PDR, throughput, & dissemination delay have all improved.

[40] presented a V2V cooperative video alert distribution technique for broadcasting accident footage in an IoVs highway scenario. A cooperative two-way transmission technique is developed. Clustering of cars traveling in the same route as the incident vehicle form & communicate inside clustering, while vehicles traveling in the opposite direction choose to relay vehicles to aid in delivering video swiftly & reliably. Problems posed by the features of IoVs are overcome by careful consideration of many parameters throughout the mechanism’s design. Vehicle speeds, positions, distances, channels conditions, & data reception statuses are all included. Additionally, to account for the efficiency loss caused by the heterogeneity of automobiles in different locations, SVC(Scalable Video Coding) technology is used to encode the actual accident video. During cooperative transmissions, Instantly Decodable Network Coding (IDNC) is used to further improve the transmission efficiency and reliability of the information. The simulation outcomes demonstrate that the suggested approach efficiently reduces the latency in transmitting accident video, boosts the success warning ratio, improves the quality of rebuilding video, & increases user satisfaction.

OEE-SEP method for IoV in smart cities was introduced in [41] for vehicle activity discharges in the predicted time and with the lowest amount of energy consumption. Both undirected & similar activity discharge procedures are included in the sensible edge processing framework, which differs by no. of duties completed per unit time & projected time necessary to finish a similar amount of data input. An energy-efficient method is created to
decrease total communication delays & the amount of energy used during discharging. IoV procedures & data loading activity are handled by OEE-SEP, which reduces activity discharge energy use. With shorter communications delays & less energy consumption, testing outcomes demonstrate that the proposed approach improves the efficiency rate of vehicle automated systems in smart cities by 97.55%.

This study [42] offered a novel way for achieving quick and reliable data transfer by using machine learning techniques for data processing to boost the speed and security of vehicular network data transmission. The suggested system utilizes a 5G cellular network in conjunction with alternate data transmission mechanisms. The study is innovative in that it considers a variety of traffic circumstances (the amount to which roads are congested might impact the quality of big data transmission) and proposes a method for improving big data transmission via the use of SVM Classifier technology. The study’s findings indicate that the proposed technique is sufficiently successful with huge data and may be used to enhance the performance and security of urban VANET networks. The study’s findings may aid in the development of high-performance 5G-VANET applications aimed at enhancing traffic safety in urban vehicle environments.

Table II. Comparison of MAC methods on a qualitative level

| Parameters          | IEEE 802.11 MAC | AD-HOC MAC | Ve-MAC |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| Type                | CSMA/CA        | RRAL       | TDMA   |
| Time Synchronization| Not Required   | Required   | Required|
| Mobility            | Medium to High | Medium     | High   |

The following table compares Ve-MAC to various MAC protocols, including AD-HOC MAC and IEEE 802.11 MAC. While the Ve-MAC protocol performs improved even as vehicle density grows, IEEE 802.11 & AD HOC MAC protocols’ dependability reductions as vehicle density grow, resulting in efficiency degradation in urban environments. Second, IEEE802.11 and Ve-MAC enable high vehicle mobility, whereas AD-HOC MAC does not. Ad Hoc MAC has an excellent QoS (Quality of Service), but IEEE 802.11 is not real-time owing to its higher delay, which outcomes in a worse QoS.

V. Conclusion

VANET is a new vehicle technology for ITS that minimizes collisions by transmitting safety messages between vehicles. VANETs are a new technology that will become more integrated in the next years. This poll found that further research is required to improve the VANET infrastructure. There is a requirement for performance assessment of the protocols used for routing in VANETs. Certain methodologies, procedures, and systems discussed in related work lack simulation findings. The primary approach presented is clustering, along with a
comparison of many suggested algorithms based on various parameters. Certain factors, such as QoS, credibility, trust prototype assessment, & scalability, must be efficiently computed or assessed. One of the most significant issues facing VANETs is the need to optimize response time. While the study conducted so far is adequate, as technology becomes more demanding regularly, VANET implementation should consider every area of security, efficiency, low energy consumption, reliability, and robustness. As the dependability of the safety message improves, performance improves significantly.

Future studies may involve the examination of routing methods and the establishment of connectivity in isolated environments. The study of hybrid communication schemes demonstrates how the connection may be achieved in both dense & sparse traffic situations.
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