Optimal Control Problem for the Weak Nonlinear Equation of Thin Plate With Control at the Coefficient of Lowest Term
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Abstract
The paper deals with an inverse problem of determining the right-hand side of the linear equation of oscillations of thin plates. The problem is reduced to the optimal control problem. Differentiability of the functional is studied. Necessary condition of optimality is derived.
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1. Introduction
It is known that the oscillations of thin plates are described by the differential equations with partial derivatives of the fourth order. For more detail, the reader is referred to (Komkov, 1975) and (Arman, 1977). Therefore, the study of optimal control problems for the equation of the thin plate is of great theoretical and practical importance, see (Kabanikhin, 2009). One of the approaches for solving the inverse problems is the optimization method. The essence of this method lies in the fact that the inverse problem is reduced to the optimal control problem and this new problem is investigated by the methods of optimal control theory.

The study of such problems started from the end of the twentieth century and is now intensively studied by many authors. See for examples: (Kabanikhin, 2009), (Alifanov, Artyukhin, & Rumyantsev, 1988), (Sadek, Adali, Sloss, & Bruchjr, 1994), (Blanton & Sadek, 1994), (Tong, Williams, & Agrawal, 1998), (X. Zhang & J. Zhang, 1998), (Deineka, 2006) and (Bouchitte & Fragala, 2007).

2. Formulation of the Problem
Our needs to find the pair of functions \((u, v) \in U \times U_{ad}\) from the relations

\[
\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \Delta(Du) + (1 - \nu) \left(2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) + v(x, y)u + |u| = f(x, y, t), \quad (x, y, t) \in Q,
\]

\[
u(x, y, 0) = \delta(x, y), \quad \frac{\partial \nu(x, y, 0)}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega.
\]

\[
u(0, y, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \nu(0, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \nu(x, 0, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \nu(x, 0, t)}{\partial y} = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]

\[
u(a, y, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \nu(a, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad u(x, b, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \nu(x, b, t)}{\partial y} = 0, \quad 0 \leq y \leq b, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]

\[
\int_0^T K(x, y, t)u(x, y, t)dt = g(x, y),
\]

where \((x, y) \in \Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < a, 0 < y < b\}, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad Q = \Omega \times (0, T), \quad \rho(x, y) \quad \text{is a density of the mass at the point} \ (x, y), \quad b(x, y) \quad \text{heath thickness of the plate in the point} \ (x, y), \quad u(x, y, t) - \text{is deflection of the plate in}
the point \((x, y)\) at the moment \(t\), \(\Delta\) is Laplace operator with respect to \(x, y\), \(D = \frac{Eh^2}{12(1-\nu^2)}\) - cylindrical rigidity,

\[
v \left(0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}\right) - \text{Poisson’s coefficient}, \quad E > 0 - \text{Young’s modulus,} \quad U = \left\{u(x, y, t) \in C[0, T]W^2_2(\Omega), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in C[0, T]L^2(\Omega)\right\},
\]

\(U_{ad} = \{v(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega); \mu_q \leq v(x, y) \leq \mu_q \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}\), \(u_0(x, y) \in W^2_2(\Omega)\), \(f(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega)\), \(K(x, y, t) \in L^2(\Omega)\),

\(g(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega)\) are given functions, \(h(x, y)\) is a sufficiently smooth given function, \(a, b, \mu_0, \mu_1, T\) are given positive numbers.

As a generalized solution of the problem (1)-(3) for each function \(v(x, y)\) from \(L^2(\Omega)\), we consider the function \(u(x, y, t) \in U\) such that for any \(\forall \eta(x, y, t) \in U\), \(\eta(x, y, T) = 0\) the integral identity

\[
j \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + Du + D\Delta u + \left(1 - \nu\right) \left[2\frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}\right]dxdydt - \\
- \int_\Omega \rho \eta(x, y, 0)dxdy + \int_\Omega [v \eta u]dxdy dt - \int_\Omega f(x, y, t)\eta dxdy dt.
\]

is fulfilled.

This problem we reduce to the following optimal control problem: to find the minimum of the functional

\[
J_u(v) = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} K(x, y, t)u(x, y, t; v)dt - g(x, y)
\]

subject to (1)-(3). The function \(v(x, y)\) is called a control. By \(u = u(x, y, t; v)\) we denote the generalized solution of the problem (1)-(3) corresponding to the control \(v(x, y)\).

We regularize the problem (1)-(3), (6) by the following way: instead of the functional (6) consider the next one

\[
J_n(u) = J_u(v) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} (x, y)dxdy,
\]

where \(\alpha > 0\) is a positive number.

Note that by any fixed control \(v(x, y)\) boundary problem (1)-(3) has unique generalized solution from \(U\) (Quliyev & Seyfullayeva, 2013).

In the considered problem all condition for existence of optimal control are fulfilled (Lions, 1972). Therefore the new optimal control problem (1)-(3), (7) also has unique solution.

3. Existence of the Optimal Control

**Theorem 1.** Under the imposed conditions on the problem data, there exists an optimal control in problem (1)-(3), (7).

Proof. Let’s \(\{v_n\} \in U_{ad}\) be a minimizing sequence, i.e.

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} J(v_n) = \inf_{n \in U_{ad}} J(v).
\]

It is obvious that

\[
\|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \text{const.}
\]

Therefore by (8), for solutions of problem (1)-(3) corresponding to \(U_n\), we obtain the estimation

\[
\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

By (8) and (9), property of weak compactness in the Hilbert spaces and imbedding theorem, it is possible to consider, that as \(n \to \infty\)
\[ u_n \to u_0 \text{ weakly in } L_2(\Omega), \]

\[ u_n \to u_0, \quad \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} \text{ strongly in } L_2(Q). \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2} \to \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x \partial y} \to \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial y^2} \to \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial y^2} \text{ weakly in } L_2(Q). \]

Take into account last relations in the definition of the generalized solution for the problem (1)-(3), by \( v = v_n, \ u = u_n, \) passing to limit as \( n \to \infty, \) we have

\[ - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} + D_0 \Delta u_n \Delta u + (1 - v) \left( \frac{\partial^2 D_0}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{u_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 D_0}{\partial y \partial x} \frac{u_n}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 D_0}{\partial x^2} \frac{u_n}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D_0}{\partial y^2} \frac{u_n}{\partial y^2} \right) \eta \right|_\Omega \ dx dy dt - \int_\Omega \rho (x, y) \eta (x, y, 0) dxdy + \int_\Omega u_n \eta dxdy dt + \int_\Omega [u_n] \eta dxdy dt = \int_\Omega f(x, y, t) \eta dxdy dt. \]

Therefore,

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} J(v_n) = \inf_{v \in J0} J(v) = J(v_0). \]

It shows that \( v_0(x, y) \) provides the minimum to functional (7), i.e. is an optimal control.

The completes the proof.

4. Differentiability of the Functional (7) and Necessary and Sufficient Optimality Conditions

Let us introduce the adjoint problem to (1)-(3), (7) problem for the given control \( u(x, y, t) \in L_2(Q) : \)

\[ \rho \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + D(\Delta \psi) + (1 - v) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y \partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y^2} \right] + u \psi = 0, \]  

\[ = -K(x, y, t) \left[ \int_\Omega K(x, y, t) u(x, y, t) dt - g(x, y) \right], \quad (x, y, t) \in Q. \]

\[ \psi(x, y, T) = 0, \quad \rho \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, T)}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \]  

\[ \psi(0, y, t) = \psi(a, y, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi(0, y, t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \psi(a, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \]

\[ \psi(x, 0, t) = \psi(x, b, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi(x, 0, t)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \psi(x, b, t)}{\partial y} = 0, \quad 0 \leq y \leq b, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \]

From the conditions imposed on the data of the problem (1)-(3), (7) follows that this adjoint problem has unique generalized solution from the space \( W^{2,1}_0(Q) \) (Quiliev & Seyfullayeva, 2013).

To derive the necessary conditions for optimality in the considered problem we take two arbitrary admissible controls \( u(x, y) \) and \( u(x, y) + \delta u(x, y). \) The corresponding solutions of problem (1)-(3) are denoted by \( u(x, y, t; v) \) and \( u(x, y, t; v + \delta v) = u(x, y, t; v) + \delta u(x, y, t) \). Then \( \delta u(x, y, t) = u(x, y, t; v + \delta v) - u(x, y, t; v) \) is a solution of the boundary value problem

\[ \rho \frac{\partial^2 (\delta u)}{\partial t^2} + D(\Delta (\delta u)) + (1 - v) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y \partial x} \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial y^2} \right] + \]

\[ + (v + \delta v) \delta u + 2 \delta u \theta \delta u - i \delta v = 0, \]

\[ \delta u(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial (\delta u(x, y, 0))}{\partial t} = 0. \]
\[
\delta u(0, y, t) = \delta u(a, y, t) = 0, \quad \partial_t (\delta u(0, y, t)) = \partial_x (\delta u(a, y, t)) = 0, \\
\delta u(x, 0, t) = \delta u(x, b, t) = 0, \quad \partial_t (\delta u(x, 0, t)) = \partial_x (\delta u(x, b, t)) = 0.
\] (15)

Let's show that
\[
\left\| \delta u \right\|_{W^2_2(\Omega)} + \left\| \partial_t \delta u \right\|_{L^2_2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \delta u \right\|_{L^2_2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\] (16)

For this purpose, we use Faedo-Galerkin’s method. Take the basis \(\{ \omega_j(x, y) \}_{j=1}^\infty\) from \(w^j_0(\Omega)\), where the system \(\{ \omega_j(x, y) \}_{j=1}^\infty\) is orthonormal in \(L^2_2(\Omega)\) and the approximate solution for the problem (14)-(16) search in the form
\[
\delta u^N(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^N(t) \omega_i(x, y)
\]
from the equalities
\[
\frac{1}{\Omega} \int \rho \partial^2_t \delta u^N \delta u_i^N dx dy + \frac{1}{\Omega} \int D \Delta u^N \delta u_i^N dx dy + \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \delta u_i^N \delta u_i^N - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \delta u_i^N \delta u_i^N \right) (\delta u_i^N)^2 dx dy = 0.
\] (17)

Multiplying both sides of (17) by \(\frac{d}{dt} c_i^N(0)\) and sum over \(j\) from 1 to \(N\), we get
\[
\frac{1}{\Omega} \int \rho \partial^2_t \delta u^N (\partial_t \delta u^N) dx dy + \frac{1}{\Omega} \int D \Delta u^N (\partial_t \delta u^N) dx dy + \\
+ (1 - v) \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \partial_t \delta u^N \partial_t \delta u^N - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \partial_t \delta u^N \partial_t \delta u^N \right) (\partial_t \delta u^N)^2 dx dy = 0.
\]

It gives that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial t \delta u^N}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx dy =
\]
$$\begin{align*}
&= - (1 - v) \int_\Omega \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \delta u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 \delta u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \delta u}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\delta \delta u}{\partial t} \ dx \ dy - \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega (u + \delta u) \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} \ dx \ dy \ dx \ dy - 2 \int_\Omega \left\{ u + \theta \delta u \right\} \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} \ dx \ dy - \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega u \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} \ dx \ dy + \int f(x,y,t) \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} \ dx \ dy.
\end{align*}$$

If to integrate this equality over $t$ by the imposed conditions, we get

$$\int_\Omega \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right)^2 + \left( \Delta \delta u (x,y,t) \right)^2 \right] \ dx \ dy \leq$$

$$\leq 2 \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds +$$

$$+ 2 \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds + \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds \leq$$

$$\leq 2 \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds + \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds +$$

$$+ \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds \leq$$

$$\leq C \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds + \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds + C \left\| \delta u \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \ \forall t \in [0,T],$$

where $C$ the constant independent on the estimating quantities and admissible controls.

Due to equivalency of the norms in $W^2_p(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right)^2 \right] \ dx \ dy \leq$$

$$\leq C \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds +$$

$$+ \int_\Omega \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t} (x,y,t) \right) \right] \ dx \ dy \ ds + C \left\| \delta u \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

By virtue of well-known inequality (Ladjeskaya, 1973)

$$\int_\Omega \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \right] \ dx \ dy \leq \int_\Omega \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \right. \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 \right] \ dx \ dy$$

and by (18), we have
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\[ \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\partial u^N(x,y,t))^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy \leq \]

\[ \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\partial u^N(x,y,s))^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy d \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \forall t \in [0,T]. \]

Application of the Gronwall's lemma leads to

\[ \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\partial u^N(x,y,t))^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,t)}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy = \]

\[\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\partial u^N(x,y,s))^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u^N(x,y,s)}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy d \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \forall t \in [0,T]. \]

From this integrating over \( t \) in (19), we get

\[ \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \forall t \in [0,T]. \]

As follows from this inequality from the sequence \( \{\partial u^N(x,y,t)\} \) one can chose a subsequence (which is also denoted by \( \{\partial u^N(x,y,t)\} \)) that converges weakly in \( U \) to some function \( \partial u(x,y,t) \) by \( N \to \infty \).

Thus, by the weak lower semi-continuity of the norm in the Banach space, (20) implies estimate (16).

Theorem 2. Let's all conditions of the Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then functional (7) is continuously Frechet differentiable on \( U_{ad} \) and its differential in the point \( \forall \nu \in U_{ad} \) at the increment \( \partial \nu \in L^2(\Omega) \), \( \nu + \partial \nu \in U_{ad} \) is defined by the expression

\[ \langle J'_\alpha(\nu), \partial \nu \rangle = \int_\Omega \left( \alpha \nu(x,y) + \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) \eta(x,y,t) dt \right) dxdy. \]

Proof. Let's calculate the increment of the functional \( J_{\alpha}(\nu) \):

\[ \Delta J_{\alpha}(\nu) = J_{\alpha}(\nu + \partial \nu) - J_{\alpha}(\nu) = \]

\[= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ K(\nu + \partial \nu) - g(x,y) \right]^2 dxdy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) dt \right]^2 dxdy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma \partial \nu(x,y) dt \right]^2 dxdy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\gamma \partial \nu(x,y) dt \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) dt \right)^2 \right] dxdy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) dt + \alpha \right] dxdy + R_i, \]

\[\Delta J_{\alpha}(\nu) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma K(\nu + \partial \nu) - g(x,y) \right]^2 dxdy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^\gamma \partial \nu(x,y) dt \right)^2 dxdy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) dt + \alpha \right] dxdy + R_i, \]

\[\Delta J_{\alpha}(\nu) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma K(\nu + \partial \nu) - g(x,y) \right]^2 dxdy + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\gamma \partial \nu(x,y) dt \right)^2 dxdy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma \nu(x,y) dt + \alpha \right] dxdy + R_i, \]

(21)

where

\[ R_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^\gamma K(\nu + \partial \nu) - g(x,y) \right]^2 dxdy + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\gamma \partial \nu(x,y) dt \right)^2 dxdy \]

is the remainder term.

Since \( \partial u \) is a generalized solution of the problem (13)-(16), for arbitrary function \( \eta(x,y,t) \in U \), \( \eta(x,y,t) = 0 \),
\[ \eta(0, y, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \eta(0, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \eta(x, 0, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \eta(x, 0, t)}{\partial y} = 0, \]
\[ \eta(a, y, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \eta(a, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \eta(x, b, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \eta(x, b, t)}{\partial y} = 0. \]

is valid integral identity

\[
\int \left\{ -\rho \frac{\partial (\dot{\eta})}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + D\Delta (\dot{\eta}) + (1 - v) \left[ 2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial \dot{\eta}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial \dot{\eta}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \dot{\eta}}{\partial x} \right] \right\} \, dx dy dt + (v + \delta v) \dot{u} \delta t \int dx dy dt = - \int u_0 \dot{u} \, dx dy dt.
\]

(22)

Similarly, since \( \psi(x, y, t) \) is a solution of the problem (10)-(12), for any function \( \chi(x, y, t) \in U \), \( \chi(x, y, 0) = 0 \),

\[
\chi(0, y, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \chi(0, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \chi(x, 0, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \chi(x, 0, t)}{\partial y} = 0,
\]
\[
\chi(a, y, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \chi(a, y, t)}{\partial x} = 0, \chi(x, b, t) = 0, \frac{\partial \chi(x, b, t)}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

we have

\[
\int \left\{ -\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} + D\Delta \chi + (1 - v) \left[ 2 \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} \right] \right\} \, dx dy dt + u_0 \psi \left[ K(x, y, t) \int 0(K(x, y, t) u(x, y, t) dt - g(x, y) \right] \, dx dy dt = 0.
\]

(23)

Let \( \psi(x, y, t) = \eta(x, y, t) \) and let \( \delta u(x, y, t) = \chi(x, y, t) \) in (22) and (23), respectively. After subtracting (22) from (23), we obtain

\[
\int \left\{ K \int \left[ u(x, y, t) \right] \, dx dy dt + \int 0 \left[ u + \theta \dot{u} \right] \, dx dy dt \right\} \, dx dy dt = 0.
\]

(24)

Then from (21) and (24) follows

\[
\Delta I_0 = \int 0 \left[ a(x, y) + \int 0 (u(x, y, t) \psi(x, y, t) dt \right] \, dx dy + R,
\]

(25)

where

\[
R = R_1 + R_2,
\]

\[
R_2 = \int 0 \psi \, dx dy dt + \int 0 \left[ u + \theta \dot{u} \right] \, dx dy dt.
\]

By (16), we obtain

\[
R \leq C \int 0 \left( \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{1+\psi} \right) \, dx dy dt.
\]

(26)

Then from formula for increment of the functional (25) follows that differential of functional (7) is calculate by the formula

\[
\int 0 (u + \psi \dot{u}) \, dx dy = \int 0 \left[ a(x, y) + \int 0 (u(x, y, t) \psi(x, y, t) dt \right] \, dx dy dt.
\]

(27)

Then as follows from (27) the gradient of the functional has a from

\[
\text{grad} J(u) = u(x, y, t) \psi(x, y, t) + a(x, y).
\]

Thus due to known theorem from (Vasilyev, 1981) in order to the control function \( \nu_0(x, y) \) was optimal, it is necessary
fulfillment of the inequality

\[
\int_{\Omega} a_{\ast}(x, y) + \int_0^T u(x, y, t) \psi(x, y, t) dt (v(x, y) - v_\ast(x, y)) \, dx \, dy \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in U_{ad}.
\]  

(28)

Since the functional (7) is strongly convex in \( U_{ad} \) and the problem (1)-(3) is linear, condition (28) is also sufficient for the optimality.

Thus the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.** Let’s the conditions of the Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then for the optimality of the control \( v_\ast \in U_{ad} \) for problem (1)-(3), it is necessary the validity of the inequality

\[
\int_{\Omega} a_{\ast}(x, y) + \int_0^T u(x, y, t) \psi(x, y, t) dt (v(x, y) - v_\ast(x, y)) \, dx \, dy \geq 0
\]

for arbitrary \( v = v(x, y) \in U_{ad} \), where \( u_\ast(x, y, t) \) and \( \psi_\ast(x, y, t) \) are solutions of problems (1)-(3), (10)-(12), respectively.
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