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Abstract

This paper presents our work in the participation of IWSLT 2022 simultaneous speech translation evaluation. For the track of text-to-text (T2T), we participate in three language pairs and build wait-k based simultaneous MT (SimulMT) model for the task. The model was pretrained on WMT21 news corpora, and was further improved with in-domain fine-tuning and self-training. For the speech-to-text (S2T) track, we designed both cascade and end-to-end form in three language pairs. The cascade system is composed of a chunking-based streaming ASR model and the SimulMT model used in the T2T track. The end-to-end system is a simultaneous speech translation (SimulST) model based on wait-k strategy, which is directly trained on a synthetic corpus produced by translating all texts of ASR corpora into specific target language with an offline MT model. It also contains a heuristic sentence breaking strategy, preventing it from finishing the translation before the end of the speech. We evaluate our systems on the MUST-C tst-COMMON dataset and show that the end-to-end system is competitive to the cascade one. Meanwhile, we also demonstrate that the SimulMT model can be efficiently optimized by these approaches, resulting in the improvements of 1-2 BLEU points.

1 Introduction

Simultaneous speech/text translation (SimulST/SimulMT) applications are widely demanded in international communication scenarios such as conferences or live streaming.

From the perspective of system architecture, recent works on SimulST can be classified into cascade and end-to-end forms. Cascade systems are often composed of a streaming Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) module and a streaming text-to-text machine translation module (MT). It might also contain other correction modules. The integration of these modules can be challenging, but the training of each can be beneficial from sufficient data resources. End-to-end approach is also a choice for SimulST, where translations can be directly generated from a unified model with the speech inputs, but bilingual speech translation datasets are still scarce resources.

From the perspective of simultaneous strategy, there is a fixed strategy which is represented by wait-k (Ma et al., 2019) and a flexible strategy such as monotonic attention (Arivazhagan et al., 2019). The fixed strategy is easier to implement but with inferior performance and the flexible one is more robust to the speed of speech but can be non-trivial in the implementation and training. Re-translation is also a strategy proposed recently for SimulMT system, which benefits from pre-trained MT models but often encounters with flicker (Arivazhagan et al., 2020; Sen et al., 2021).

The IWSLT 2022 SimulST shared task (Anastasopoulos et al., 2022) aims to provide a platform for participants to evaluate their approaches on both quality and latency. In this year, there are two sub-tracks, i.e. speech-to-text (S2T) and text-to-text (T2T), and three language directions including En-Zh, En-De and En-Ja in the evaluation. All submitted systems will be evaluated with the SimulEval (Ma et al., 2020a) tool, where BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and Average Lagging (AL) (Ma et al., 2020a) are used as metrics for ranking. Meanwhile, systems will be classified into three latency regimes (low, medium, high) with their AL, which are determined differently by the language pairs. The SimulEval formulates the simultaneous translation as a process where an agent should take "READ" or "WRITE" actions to control the progress of translation. A "READ" action allows the agent to get the latest source segments from the server. A "WRITE" action enables the agent to make prediction and send generated tokens back to server for scoring. Participants are required to implement their approaches under this framework.
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| Dataset   | Number of Utterance | Duration (hrs) |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|
| Librispeech | 281,241             | 960.85         |
| MUST-C   | 340,421             | 590.67         |
| IWSLT    | 170,229             | 254.41         |
| CoVoST   | 1362,422            | 1802.52        |
| TEDLIUM3 | 268,214             | 453.42         |

Table 1: Data statistics of our ASR corpora

| Language | WMT Bilingual | In-domain Text |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| En-De    | 79M           | 459K           |
| En-Zh    | 96M           | 590K           |
| En-Ja    | 42M           | 552K           |

Table 2: Data statistics of our MT corpora

In this paper, we present our work on the participation of all language directions for both S2T and T2T sub-tasks. For the T2T task, we start by modeling with the original wait-k model and optimizing it with in-domain fine-tuning and self-training (Gaido et al., 2020), resulting in large improvements on their performance. We experiment both cascade and end-to-end systems for the S2T task and find that the end-to-end one is quite competitive especially on the latency metric.

2 Method

2.1 Data Preparation & Pre-Processing

**ASR Corpora** We adopt exactly same data pre-processing pipeline to our offline task submission. Briefly, we combine 5 ASR (LibriSpeech(Panayotov et al., 2015), MUST-C V2 (Cattoni et al., 2021), CoVoST (Wang et al., 2020), TED-LIUM 3 (Hernandez et al., 2018) and IWSLT official dataset) corpora and perform strict cleaning based on absolute frame length (within 50 to 3000), number of tokens (within 1 to 150) and the speed of speech (within $\mu(\tau) \pm 4 \times \sigma(\tau)$, where $\tau = \frac{\# \text{frames}}{\# \text{tokens}}$) for all training utterances. There are basically 1% of noisy samples being filtered out.

**MT corpora** We follow the pipeline in (Wei et al., 2021) to pre-process the WMT 21 news corpora as well as the in-domain corpora (mixture of MUST-C and IWSLT). Statistics of our MT corpora are shown in Table 2.

2.2 ASR model

We adopt the U2 (Zhang et al., 2020) as the ASR module in our cascade system. U2, a framework that can be applied on standard Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) or Conformer (Gulati et al., 2020) architectures, is able to perform both streaming and non-streaming ASR. The major difference between U2 and other offline autoregressive ASR models is that it supports streaming with the help of the dynamic chunk training and decodes with a CTC decoder on the top of the encoder. The dynamic chunk training is achieved by dynamically applying a causal mask with different chunk size at the self-attention layer in the encoder. It is similar to the self-attention of an autoregressive decoder, but allowing the hidden representation to condition on some look-ahead contexts within the chunk. During inference, since the encoder hidden states is monotonically encoded chunk by chunk, the argmax decoding of CTC makes sure that tokens decoded in previous chunks are fixed, which successfully achieves streaming. Besides the CTC decoder, U2 also preserves the standard autoregressive (AR) Transformer decoder, and can be jointly trained with the CTC decoder to improve the stability of training. Originally, the AR decoder can be used to re-score CTC generated texts if prefix beam search is used to propose multiple candidates. However, we don’t use the re-scoring in our system.

Since the decoding of arbitrary size of the chunk is learned with the dynamic chunk training, the latency of U2 can be freely determined by the chunk size used in the inference. The chunk size is also directly correlated to the performance, as it defines the volume of look-ahead contexts used in the current chunk.

2.3 Text to Text Model

Our T2T models are used in the T2T track and also as the translation module in the cascade system. It is a standard Transformer model with the wait-k strategy (Ma et al., 2019) for simultaneous decoding. For each language pair, we pre-train the wait-k T2T model on the WMT 2021 news corpora following similar settings as (Wei et al., 2021) to acquire the model $M_1$. Then, we fine-tune it on the mixture of MuST-C and IWSLT corpora denoted as $C_{\text{ind}}$, and obtain the domain adapted model $M_2$. Although the domain transferring contributes some improvements, we find that it is not able to solve a key problem. Since the simultaneous decoding is only conditioned on partially observed context, there is a big gap between the training of offline MT models and SimulMT models, in which the
re-ordered translations from unseen context can be significantly difficult for SimulMT models to learn. To mitigate this problem, we propose to use self-training (Liu et al., 2021; Kim and Rush, 2016). Firstly, we translate the in-domain corpora $C_{\text{ind}}$ with $M_2$ and obtain $C_{\text{ind}}'$, then, we fine-tune $M_2$ on the mixture of $C_{\text{ind}}$ and $C_{\text{ind}}'$ and obtain $M_3$. In this way, the self-distilled translations are more monotonic and easier to learn.

2.4 Cascade Speech to Text Model

**Algorithm 1 Decoding of Cascade System**

```
Require: ASR, T2T, chunk size, k: $\phi, M, N_c, k$
Initialize: Speech buffer $S \leftarrow \emptyset$
Initialize: ASR buffer $A \leftarrow \emptyset$
Initialize: MT buffer $H \leftarrow \emptyset$
Initialize: Frame position $p \leftarrow 0$
Initialize: MT Finish writing chunk $e \leftarrow$ true

while $w$ is not </s> do
    if $|S| - p < N_c$ and $e$ and not finish reading then
        READ next input $s$
        $S \leftarrow S \cup \{s\}$
    else
        $A \leftarrow \phi(S)$: decode all texts with ASR
        $p \leftarrow |S|$: move frame position
        if $|A| - |H| \geq k$ then
            decode with MT: $w \leftarrow M(A)$
            $H \leftarrow H \cup \{w\}$
            $e \leftarrow (|A| - |H| < k)$
            WRITE $w$
        end if
    end if
end while
```

Our cascade system is the integration of U2 and wait-k T2T model. When evaluating with SimulEval, U2 makes decisions mainly based on whether the input stream can fill a chunk, if not, it directly calls READ, otherwise, it transcribes audio inputs into English texts, and passes the entire sequence to the T2T model. The T2T model takes the output of U2 as inputs, and determines whether to read more based on the length difference between source and target sequence compared to $k$. Note that since U2 may decode several tokens in the latest chunk at once, we need to distinguish the real action of T2T model and ASR model. More specifically, when tokens decoded in the latest chunk from U2 exceeds the length difference of $k$ for the T2T model, we need to let the T2T model decode for several steps instead of using the read action outputs by T2T model to read more audio frames, this will significantly increase the latency. Therefore, we introduce a flag $e$, representing whether the T2T model finishes its decoding process for all newly input tokens from current chunk. Algorithm 1 and Figure 1 describes the detailed process.

2.5 End-to-end Speech to Text Model

Besides the cascade system, we also explored the end-to-end (E2E) system. A key disadvantage to train an E2E system comes from the lack of large scale speech translation corpora. Therefore, we use the pre-trained MT model (trained on WMT21 News corpora) to create the knowledge distilled data (Kim and Rush, 2016) by translating all ASR corpora into required language, which significantly increases the scale of the training set.

There are two reasons that we use an offline MT model instead of our T2T model to generate the KD data. 1) the T2T model has lower performance compared to the offline model which may further limit the performance upper bound of the student model. 2) Decoding with T2T model is quite slower than the offline MT model.

For the E2E S2T model, we use the Conv-Transformer (Inaguma et al., 2020) with wait-k strategy of different $k$ for each language. More specifically, we adopt similar configurations in (Ma et al., 2020b), where a pre-decision module is used to handle the large length gap between speech frames and target sentence, so that the wait-k algorithm can work properly with enough source information. Here we use the fixed pre-decision policy by pooling frames into a summarized feature vector for the wait-k decision every fixed number of frames (7 frames for all three models in our experiments).

During the evaluation with SimulEval, we found that E2E S2T model can easily predict the "</s>" when there is a silence interval in the speech. Although fed with more source inputs or applied with EOS penalty, the model is still incapable of translating samples into multiple sentences.

We suspect that the model is only trained on properly segmented utterances containing scarce samples with more than one sentence, but evaluated on samples with multiple sentences. This often causes the agent to send an incomplete translation to the server. To this end, we design a simple but efficient sentence breaking strategy to prevent the
agent from early stopping. In detail, when the decoder predict "</s>" as the next token, we check if the agent finishes reading source inputs. If it does, the "</s>" is the true ending of the speech, otherwise, it will be used as an ending of the sub-sentence, meaning that the "</s>" won’t be sent back to the server, and the agent should keep translating until the entire speech is processed. The ending of a sub-sentence will also be used to clean the source input buffer and target context buffer, which means each sub-sentence is translated independently by the agent. We find this approach may in some extent introduce more latency since for each sub-sentence, the agent needs re-wait-k steps to start the generation, however, it is quite helpful to improve the performance on samples that might be mis-segmented with the original approach.

### 2.6 Domain Controlled Generation

As mentioned in section 2.1, we combine different corpora with different data source to create the united dataset, in which the domain and text style can be various. Directly training the model on the mixture of them can be harmful to the performance since some of these differences can’t be easily captured from the speech inputs, so they should be considered as prior knowledge. Therefore, we reuse the strategy from our last year’s work (Wang et al., 2021) by providing a domain tag as a known condition to control the generation style. This strategy is used in our E2E S2T model and ASR model. For the S2T model, we add the domain tag as the first token input to the decoder. For the ASR model, since we only use the CTC decoding, domain information needs to be provided at the encoder side. Therefore, we first encode the domain tag with the word embedding layer of the decoder to acquire its representation vector, then, we perform an element-wise sum with the down-sampled input features before feeding to encoder attention layers.

Since the test sets have similar distribution with MuST-C corpora in previous years, we control the model to generate MuST-C alike text by using the domain tag "<MC>" during the inference process.

### 3 Experiments

We conduct experiments on three types of systems including T2T, cascade S2T and E2E S2T. All systems are evaluated on the MuST-C tst-COMMON dataset for all three languages.

#### 3.1 Setup

We adopt same configuration recipe to our offline submission on the training of the U2 model,
Table 3: This table shows the results of our T2T models, where AL is computed with number of tokens.

| Language | k   | BLEU | AL   | AL_CA | AP   | AP_CA | DAL  | DAL_CA |
|----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|------|--------|
| En-De    | k=3 | 24.98| 2.66 | -     | 0.66 | -     | 4.14 | -      |
|          | k=6 | 31.50| 5.58 | -     | 0.78 | -     | 6.53 | -      |
|          | k=15| 33.38| 11.12| -     | 0.93 | -     | 11.87| -      |
| En-Ja    | k=6 | 8.55 | 1.74 | -     | 0.67 | -     | 5.70 | -      |
|          | k=10| 14.53| 6.70 | -     | 0.85 | -     | 8.53 | -      |
|          | k=14| 14.26| 9.75 | -     | 0.92 | -     | 10.95| -      |
| En-Zh    | k=6 | 22.53| 2.93 | -     | 0.71 | -     | 5.40 | -      |
|          | k=10| 26.45| 6.78 | -     | 0.85 | -     | 8.29 | -      |
|          | k=14| 27.54| 9.53 | -     | 0.92 | -     | 10.60| -      |

Table 4: This table shows the results of our cascade S2T models, where AL is computed with milliseconds.

| Language | k   | BLEU | AL   | AL_CA | AP   | AP_CA | DAL  | DAL_CA |
|----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|------|--------|
| En-De    | k=3 | 18.56| 1959.58| 2672.29 | 0.79 | 1.02 | 2411.61| 3186.99|
|          | k=6 | 23.90| 2608.47| 3490.75 | 0.87 | 1.18 | 3067.46| 4110.86|
|          | k=15| 24.78| 4020.55| 5116.26 | 0.96 | 1.32 | 4312.52| 5582.31|
| En-Ja    | k=6 | 7.28 | 2215.07| 2555.88 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 2620.34| 2852.7|
|          | k=10| 12.16| 2867.81| 3262.79 | 0.92 | 1.06 | 3343.08| 3675.45|
|          | k=14| 11.57| 3365.65| 3764.64 | 0.95 | 1.09 | 3811.56| 4142.38|
| En-Zh    | k=6 | 18.59| 2119.71| 2468.9 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 2603.03| 2837.85|
|          | k=10| 22.50| 2838.8 | 3207.05 | 0.92 | 1.05 | 3292.46| 3573.82|
|          | k=14| 23.61| 3424.94| 3780.95 | 0.95 | 1.09 | 3782.05| 4065.2 |

3.2 Results

T2T Table 3 shows the results of all T2T models, which are evaluated with the SimulEval with the oracle English texts as source inputs. We can see that for all language pairs, a large improvements can be obtained from low latency to medium latency by increasing k from 3 to 6 (En-De) or from 6 to 10 (En-Zh/Ja), but when increasing the latency.

where 80 dimensional Mel-Filter bank features are extracted from raw waveform, and being augmented with speed perturbation (Ko et al., 2015) and spectral augmentation (Park et al., 2019). The model is trained with the hyper-parameters $(n_{(encoder+decoder)}_{-layers}=12+3$, $n_{heads}=8$, $d_{hidden}=512$, $d_{FFN}=2048$, $n_{sub sampling}=4$) for 50 epochs on 8 V100 GPUs. All ASR texts are tokenized with SPM (Kudo and Richardson, 2018) with the vocab size set as 20000.

For the T2T model, we train three models with different k for each language, where k=(3,6,15) for En-De, k=(6,10,14) for En-Zh, k=(6,10,14) for En-Ja. All of them are trained for 40 epochs with similar hyper-parameters $(n_{(encoder+decoder)}_{-layers}=16+4$, $n_{heads}=8$, $d_{hidden}=512$, $d_{FFN}=2048$) while pre-training and 10 epochs for fine-tuning and self-training. For En-De and En-Ja, we use SPM for tokenization with vocab size set to 32k, and subword-nmt for En-Zh with vocab size set to 30k. Note that the vocabularies for T2T models are different from that for the ASR model, meaning that the outputs of ASR model in the cascade system need to be re-tokenized for T2T models.

Three S2T models are trained for each language with k=7 for En-De, k=14 for En-Zh and En-Ja. The hyper-parameters are: $(n_{(encoder+decoder)}_{-layers}=12+6$, $n_{heads}=8$, $d_{hidden}=512$, $d_{FFN}=2048$) for all models. We train them for 50 epochs on the knowledge distilled dataset.
from medium to high, the profit is not that significant, demonstrating that the upper bound of wait-k models can be easily reached even with larger k.

**Cascade S2T** Table 4 presents result of our cascade S2T models, evaluated with the SimulEval by using utterance speech as inputs. Compared with the oracle inputs of T2T model, the performance of cascade S2T models often degrades 2-4 BLEU points when using the same T2T model due to the error propagation comes from the ASR model. We also find that the latency of our cascade systems are quite large although with relatively low k value. This can be explained from the example in Figure 1 where the wait-k model has to wait until the U2 reads 4 times and completes the decoding of chunk 2 (output 3 tokens), since the wait-k model can only decode when the the length difference satisfies the criteria of k. Unfortunately, this eventually increases the delay of $y_1$ and $y_2$ when computing the AL.

**End-to-end S2T** Table 5 are results from our E2E S2T models. Compared with cascade S2T models, the latency of E2E models can be better controlled since the latency offset caused by the collaboration of the ASR and T2T in the cascade system is not necessarily existed in the E2E model. Surprisingly, the performances of E2E models are also competitive to cascade systems, demonstrating that training the model on KD corpora is quite effective.

### Table 5: This table shows the results of our end-to-end S2T models, where AL is computed with milliseconds.

| Language | k | Quality BLEU | Latency |
|----------|---|--------------|---------|
|          |   | k BLEU       | AL      | AL_CA   | AP      | AP_CA   | DAL     | DAL_CA  |
| En-De    | 7 | 22.13        | 2374.54 | 2831.08 | 0.86    | 0.99    | 2523.52 | 2990    |
| En-Ja    | 14| 12.82        | 1848.46 | 2369.75 | 0.94    | 1.09    | 3374.76 | 3796.14 |
| En-Zh    | 14| 20.38        | 1753.37 | 2240.23 | 0.94    | 1.09    | 3341.84 | 3762.65 |

**Approach**

| Approach   | En-De | En-Ja | En-Zh |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pre-training | 29.21 | 11.21 | 23.14 |
| +Fine-tuning  | 32.05 | 13.08 | 25.73 |
| +Self-Training | 33.38 | 14.26 | 27.54 |

### Table 6: This table presents the improvements coming from applying each strategy during the training of T2T models. We only present results of models with k=15 for En-De, k=14 for En-Ja and En-Zh.

### 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we report our work in the IWSLT-2022 simultaneous speech translation evaluation. We explored 4 solutions with a cascade and end-to-end system on two sub-tracks and three language directions: 1) We evaluated the method of training a streaming ASR model U2 on the large scale mixed training corpora and inference with the domain controlled generation. 2) We explored the optimization of wait-k T2T models with self-training, and obtained positive results. 3) We tried to build a cascade S2T system by integrating the streaming ASR model with the wait-k T2T model, and compared it with our end-to-end approach. 4) We trained our end-to-end S2T model with knowledge distillation and found it to be competitive to our cascade approach.

In our future works, we will investigate more in terms of simultaneous strategies, efficient using of pretrained models, as well as better training schema with limited ST dataset.
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