A Concurrent Dual-Band Inverter-Based Low Noise Amplifier (LNA) for WLAN Applications
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Abstract: In this paper, a two-stage concurrent dual-band low noise amplifier (DB-LNA) operating at 2.4/5.2-GHz is presented for Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) applications. The current-reused structure using resistive shunt-shunt feedback is employed to reduce power dissipation and achieve a wide frequency band from low frequency to 5.5-GHz in the inverter-based LNA. The second inverter-based stage is employed to increase the gain and obtain a flat gain over the frequency band. An LC network is also inserted at the proposed circuit output to shape the dual-band frequency response. The proposed concurrent DB-LNA is designed for RF-TSMC 0.18-µm CMOS technology, which consumes 10.8 mW from a power supply of 1.5 V. The simulation results show that the proposed DB-LNA achieves a direct power gain (S21) of 13.7/14.1 dB, a noise figure (NF) of 4.2/4.6 dB, and an input return loss (S11) of −12.9/−14.6 dBm at the 2.4/5.2-GHz bands.
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1 Introduction

Over the past few years, various and new wireless communication standards have been developed to extend transceiver functionalities. The development of the IEEE 802.11a/b (2.4/5.2-GHz) standard has been widely used in Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) applications due to support for high data rate communication (up to 54 Mb/s) and the wide range of its applications [1-3]. Therefore, the new trend in RF front-end receiver features a low noise amplifier (LNA) capable of receiving multiband frequencies with a proper performance at each frequency band, as shown in Figure 1 [4].

The low noise amplifier (LNA) with dual bandwidth plays a critical role in the overall performance of the dual-band receiver. The design of the dual-band LNA (DB-LNA) includes some challenges such as high gain, low noise performance, low power dissipation, and proper input matching for both bands. Several approaches have been presented to implement the DB-LNAs with...
high performance operating at two different frequencies. The two single-band parallel LNAs configuration is one of the initial designs presented for DB-LNAs [5-8]. The measurement results show good performance at each frequency band at the expense of a larger chip area and higher power dissipation. The conventional methods use the switched inductors or switched capacitors in the input/output networks [9-14]. The structures consume low power, but generally degrade the gain and noise figure (NF) because of the insertion loss of their switches. Furthermore, LNA in such an approach can only operate with one band at a time. Another approach is to use a wideband LNA. Although this is a simple method for receiving multiple frequency bands simultaneously, the receiver sensitivity can be degraded due to the presence of unwanted signals in the wide frequency band. The most effective technique to achieve DB-LNA is to insert the notch filters in a wideband LNA [15-20]. Compared to the switchable LNAs, in this approach, the LNA supports simultaneous dual-band operations and consumes lower power. Hong et al. [17] used the cascade topology with gain boosting technique to achieve high gain and proper input matching. In addition, it employs the passive elements as bandpass/bandstop filters in the output network circuit to shape the frequency response, and to obtain a concurrent dual-band frequency response. However, it cannot provide high attenuation in the stopband and proper roll-off in gain, and good input matching is obtained. The most effective technique to achieve DB-LNA is to insert the notch filters in a wideband LNA [15-20]. Compared to the switchable LNAs, in this approach, the LNA supports simultaneous dual-band operations and consumes lower power. Hong et al. [17] used the cascade topology with gain boosting technique to achieve high gain and proper input matching. In addition, it employs the passive elements as bandpass/bandstop filters in the output network circuit to shape the frequency response, and to obtain a concurrent dual-band frequency response. However, it cannot provide high attenuation in the stopband and proper roll-off in gain, and good input matching is obtained. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the design parameters of the proposed circuit, including the voltage gain, input impedance matching, noise figure, and band selection. In Section 3, the simulation results are presented and discussed. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section 4.

2 Design of proposed circuit

The schematic of the proposed DB-LNA is shown in Figure 2. It consists of two inverter-based stages using resistive shunt-shunt feedback along with an LC network connected at the circuit output.

![Figure 2: Schematic of the proposed DB-LNA.](image)

In each stage, the current-reused technique is utilized to achieve low power dissipation and improve gain performance. Since the DC currents of transistors $M_2$ and $M_4$ are reused by $M_1$ and $M_3$, respectively, there is no requirement for additional driving currents for $M_1$ and $M_3$. The resistive feedbacks are utilized to achieve simultaneous proper input matching and flat gain. They also provide a self-biased structure for the proposed DB-LNA. The series peaking inductors of $L_3$ and $L_4$ are inserted in the gate of $M_1$ and $M_3$ to extend the bandwidth and provide proper input matching. The LC network of $L_1$, $L_2$, and $C_2$ is implemented at the LNA output to realize the dual-band frequency response within the LNA frequency response. The proposed circuit can provide high gain and good input matching at the two passbands with high attenuation in the stopband. The capacitance of $C_3$ is employed to provide the DC bias isolation of the circuit.

2.1 Bandwidth and gain analysis

A wideband amplifier operating over low frequency to 5.2-GHz is first designed to obtain the main structure of the proposed DB-LNA. Then, the frequency response of the DB-LNA is shaped by inserting an LC network. So far, several topologies are reported to achieve the wideband LNA, such as common-gate [22, 23] and...
shunt resistive feedback [3, 24]. The common-gate (CG) configuration provides a wideband input impedance matching, high linearity, and good reverse isolation compared to the common-source (CS) configuration. Nevertheless, the CG configuration suffers from high NF, that is typically more than 3dB. Additionally, the feedback structure consumes more power. Using a modified inverter-based structure with shunt resistive feedback is an appropriate idea to improve the gain performance without additional power dissipation. Figure 3 shows a single modified inverter-based stage, which exhibits a relatively high flat gain over low frequency to 5.2-GHz.

![Figure 3: Schematic of the modified inverter-based LNA.](image)

Higher gain is achieved by a second inverter-based LNA, which is connected in series with the first stage. However, the impedance mismatch between stages can result in ripples in the passbands, but using the stagger tuning technique results in flat gain over low frequency to 5.2-GHz. Figure 4 shows the proposed two-stage wideband LNA.

![Figure 4: Schematic of the proposed wideband LNA.](image)

The small-signal equivalent circuit of the proposed wideband LNA is shown in Figure 5. As can be seen, the feedback resistors of $R_1$ and $R_2$ are placed in parallel with the gate to drain capacitances ($C_{gd}$) to provide the wideband input matching. At the frequencies of interest, the impedances of the gate to drain capacitances are almost always much higher than the feedback resistor impedances that they are in parallel with. Therefore, $C_{gd}$ is neglected in the small-signal equivalent circuit of the proposed wideband LNA. In Figure 5, $Z_{IN2} = r_o || r_{o2}$ and $Z_{IN1} = r_o || r_{o1}$ where $r_o$ is the drain output resistance of $M_i$. It is assumed that $R_2 >> Z_{IN2}$ for alleviating the loading effect of the second stage. By neglecting the gate-to-drain capacitance ($C_{gd}$), the input impedance of the second stage ($Z_{IN2}$) in case of $\omega << \omega_T$ is obtained as follows:

$$Z_{IN2}(s) = \frac{L_4 C_{g33} s^2 + 1}{(C_{g33} + C_{g43}) s}$$

(1)

![Figure 5: The small-signal equivalent circuit of the LNA (a) second stage and (b) first stage of the wideband LNA.](image)

The overall voltage gain of the proposed wideband LNA is given by:

$$A_{v,T} = A_{v1} \times A_{v2}$$

(2)

where, $A_{v1}$ and $A_{v2}$ are the voltage gain of the first and the second stages, respectively. Based on the small-signal analysis, $A_{v1}$ can be expressed as below:

$$A_{v1}(s) = -\frac{L_3 C_{g31} g_{m2} s^2 + g_{mT1}}{L_4 C_{g31} s^2 + 1} (R_1 Z_{L1} Z_{IN2})$$

(3)

where $g_m$ represents the transconductance of the MOS transistor, and $g_{mT1} = g_{m1} + g_{m2}$ is the overall transconductance of the first stage. Similarly, $A_{v2}$ is obtained as follows:

$$A_{v2}(s) = -\frac{L_4 C_{g33} g_{m4} s^2 + g_{mT2}}{L_4 C_{g33} s^2 + 1} (R_2 Z_{L2})$$

(4)

where $g_{mT2} = g_{m3} + g_{m4}$ is the overall transconductance of the second stage. By assuming a small value for $R_1$ and high value for $R_2$ and regarding (2), $A_{v1}$ is given as follows:
By assuming $R/L > 5.2$ GHz, $A_{x1}$ can be simplified as:

$$
A_{v,T}(s) \approx \frac{g_{m1}g_{m2}R_s Z_{L2} L_1 C_{g1} g_{m2} s^2 + 1}{L_1 C_{g1} s^2 + 1} \times \left( \frac{L_4 C_{g3} g_{m4} s^2 + 1}{L_4 C_{g3} s^2 + R_s (C_{g3} + C_{g4}) s^2 + 1} \right)
$$

By assuming $R/L > 5.2$ GHz, $A_{x1}$ can be simplified as:

$$
A_{v,T}(s) \approx g_{m1}g_{m2}R_s Z_{L2} \left( 1 + \frac{s^2}{\omega_p^2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{s^2}{\omega_{p1}^2} \right)
$$

From (5) it can be seen that $A_{v,T}$ has four resonant frequencies $\omega_{p1,2}$ and $\omega_{z1,2}$, that expressed by:

$$
\omega_{p1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_4 C_{g3}}}
$$

$$
\omega_{p2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_4 C_{g3}}}
$$

$$
\omega_{z1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_2 C_{g1} g_{m2}}}
$$

$$
\omega_{z2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_4 C_{g3} g_{m4}}}
$$

From equation (6), it can be seen that the overall voltage gain is proportional to $g_{m1}$, $R$, and $L$, therefore the trade-off between the gain and input matching can be reduced by only employing $g_{m1}$ for satisfying the input matching condition.

### 2.2 Input impedance

Impedance matching over a wide band is one of the most challenging tasks in wideband LNA design. The input matching condition of the inverter-based LNA can be improved by applying the shunt-shunt resistive feedback and inserting an inductor in series with the gate of the NMOS transistor. According to equation (1) and assuming $R/L > 5.2$ GHz, the input impedance of the proposed wideband LNA in case of $\omega << \omega_T$ is expressed by:

$$
Z_{IN}(s) = \left( 1 + \frac{R_1 C_{g3} + C_{g4} \omega^2}{\omega^2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{L_4 C_{g3} \omega^2}{\omega^2} \right)
$$

As mentioned earlier, by assuming $\omega_{p1}$ around 5.2-GHz, $Z_{IN}(s)$ can be simplified as follows:

$$
Z_{IN}(\omega) \approx \frac{1 + j\omega R_1 (C_{g3} + C_{g4})}{g_{m1} \left( 1 - L_4 C_{g3} \omega^2 \right)}
$$

As can be seen, the input impedance is proportional to $g_{m1}$, $R_1$, and $L_4$, thereby the trade-off between the gain and input matching can be reduced by only employing $g_{m1}$ for satisfying the input matching condition.

### 2.3 Noise figure

The noise performance of the wideband LNA is evaluated by assuming the thermal noise of the transistors and the resistors as the dominant noise sources, and the flicker noise is neglected. The loss of inductors is
neglected, and it is also assumed \( L_1 \) and \( L_4 \) resonate with the total capacitance at the input node of the first and the second stage, respectively. According to the mentioned conditions, the simplified circuit for noise calculation is derived, as shown in Figure 7.

The noise figure (NF) of the wideband LNA is given by:

\[
NF = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_v^2} \frac{v_{n,\text{out}}^2}{4KTR}\ 
\]

where \( A_v \) is the voltage gain from \( v_s \) to \( v_{\text{out}} \) and regarding \( R_{\text{in}} \approx R_{L}/2 \), it can be expressed by:

\[
A_{v,1}(s) = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + 2R_3} \left( g_{m1}R_{\alpha1}g_{mT2}R_{\alpha2} \right) \ 
\]

where \( R_{\alpha1} \) and \( R_{\alpha2} \) represent the output resistance seen at the output nodes of the first and the second stage, respectively and they are given as:

\[
R_{\alpha1} \equiv Z_{L1} \frac{R_1 + R_1}{g_{mT1}R_{\gamma}} \ 
\]

\[
R_{\alpha2} \equiv Z_{L2} \frac{g_{mT1}R_2 + R_1}{g_{mT1}R_1 + g_{mT2} \left( R_1 + R_2 \right)} \ 
\]

According to Figure 7, the total output noise is expressed as:

\[
\overline{v_{n,\text{out}}^2} = 4KTR_2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} g_{mT2}R_{\alpha2}^2 \right) + \left( 4KTR_1 \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} g_{mT1}R_{\alpha1}^2 \right) \left( g_{mT2}R_{\alpha2} \right) \right)^2 + 4KTR_3A_v^2 \ 
\]

where \( \alpha \) represents the ratio of \( g_m \) to the zero-bias drain conductance \( g_{d0} \), and \( \gamma \) is the MOS transistor thermal noise coefficient. Figure 8 shows the contours of \( NF(g_{m1}, R_1) \) in the case of \( I_{D3}=2 \text{ mA}, R_2=5 \text{ k}\Omega \) and \( V_{\text{sat}}=V_{\text{sat3}}=0.2 \text{ V} \). As shown in Figure 8, there is a trade-off between \( R_1 \) and \( g_{m1} \) at a specific NF, and the proper NF can be achieved by choosing higher values for \( R_1 \) and \( g_{m1} \). Additionally, \( R_1 \) and \( g_{m1} \) are limited by input matching, and thereby, a lower NF can be achieved regarding proper input matching and power dissipation.

### 2.4 LC network

As mentioned earlier, the circuit design starts with the design of a wideband LNA that exhibits a high flat gain over the low frequency to \( f_2=5.2\text{-GHz} \). It should be noted that \( f_2 \) is defined by \( f_{p1} \). It is assumed that the receiver receives two frequency bands concurrently without using switches. Therefore, concurrent DB-LNA is a development based on a multiband theory to achieve dual-band characteristics. For this purpose, an LC network is inserted in the LNA output to achieve the requirements with minimum effect on the gain, NF, and input matching. The proposed LC network determines the low band of the concurrent DB-LNA and enhances the spurious frequency rejection at the low frequency. Figure 9 shows the proposed LC network. As can be seen the low band of \( f_3=2.4\text{-GHz} \) and the notch frequency of \( f_3=3.5\text{-GHz} \) are realized by \( L_1, L_2, \) and \( C_2 \) as follows:

\[
f_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{(L_1 + L_2)C_2}} \ 
\]

\[
f_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_2C_2}} \ 
\]

Figure 9: The proposed LC network used at the DB-LNA output.

Additionally, the frequency calibration method can be realized by using a varactor to tune the frequency shift due to the process variation. Figure 10 shows the frequency response of the proposed DB-LNA determined by the LC network.
As shown, the proposed concurrent DB-LNA exhibits the operating frequencies of $f_1=2.4$-GHz and $f_2=5.2$-GHz.

### 3 Simulation results

The proposed concurrent DB-LNA is designed and simulated using Cadence Spectre-RF with 0.18 μm CMOS technology. The post-layout simulation results are reported in the paper, which take into account layout parasitic capacitances. The power supply of 1.5 V is used, and the minimum channel length is considered for all transistors. The first stage is designed to achieve moderate gain, low NF, and proper input matching over the lower frequencies to 5.2-GHz. Transistors $M_1$ and $M_2$ have the same width of 165 μm, while $M_1$ is biased at gate-source voltage ($v_{gs1}$) of 0.64 V, thereby $g_{m1}=60$ mA/V and $g_{m2}=25$ mA/V. A higher $g_{mT1}$ value reduces the NF, but increases the power dissipation and degrades the input matching. According to (7) and (9), the $\omega_{p1}$ is located at about 1.85$\omega_{p2}$, thereby providing a proper roll-off at the upper-frequency band. The second stage enhances the gain and obtains a flat gain over a wide frequency band. For this purpose, the transistors $M_3$ and $M_4$ are designed to have $g_{m3}=90$ mA/V and $g_{m4}=10$ mA/V, while $M_3$ is biased at $v_{gs3}=0.54$ V with the total width of 310 μm, and $M_4$ has the width of 50 μm. The transistor dimensions chosen above and, according to (11) and (13), lead to $L_1=4.2$ nH, $L_2=2$ nH, $R_1=135$ Ω, and $R_2=1.5$ kΩ. Figure 11 shows the simulated power gains of the two separate stages and the proposed wideband LNA operating over the low frequencies to 5.2-GHz.

As shown in Figure 6, if the resonant frequencies of $A_{v1}$ and $A_{v2}$ are properly optimized, such as placing $\omega_{p2}$ at approximately 4-GHz and $\omega_{p1}$ at 5-GHz while keeping reasonable input matching, a wideband flat power gain is expected. The dual-band gain response is achieved when the LC network is inserted at the output of the wideband LNA. Resonating at 3.8-GHz, $L_2$ and $C_2$ result in a very low output impedance. $C_2$ is chosen to be about 1.4 pF, while $L_2$ is adjusted about 1.5 nH. From (21), it can be seen that the low band operation of $f_1=2.4$-GHz is achieved with $L_1=1.6$ nH. Table 1 lists the optimized component values of the concurrent DB-LNA and the bias current of transistors.

### Table 1: Parameters and their values.

| Component          | Symbol | Value         | Current (mA) |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|
| Transistor         | $M_1$  | (34×4.8×0.18) | 5            |
|                    | $M_2$  | (50×3.2×0.18) | 5            |
|                    | $M_3$  | (46×6.8×0.18) | 2.2          |
|                    | $M_4$  | (25×1.8×0.18) | 2.2          |
| Inductance (nH)    | $L_1$  | 1.6           |              |
|                    | $L_2$  | 1.5           |              |
|                    | $L_3$  | 4.2           |              |
|                    | $L_4$  | 2             |              |
| Capacitance (pF)   | $C_2$  | 1.4           |              |
|                    | $C_3$  | 5             |              |
| Resistance(Ω)      | $R_1$  | 135           |              |
|                    | $R_2$  | 1500          |              |
| Bias (V)           | $V_{DD}$ | 1.5       |              |

Figure 12 shows the layout of the proposed DB-LNA, occupying 0.55 mm×0.48 mm chip area, excluding the pads.

The post-layout simulated power gain ($S_{21}$) and input return loss ($S_{11}$) of the concurrent DB-LNA are shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14, respectively. As shown in Figure 13, the balanced amplitude of the gain at the oper-
ating frequencies of 2.4-GHz and 5.2-GHz is achieved by choosing \( L_1 = 1.6 \text{ nH} \) and \( L_2 = 1.5 \text{ nH} \). Figure 14 shows the value of \( g_{mT1} \) that determines the input matching range. As shown in Figure 14, the simultaneous dual-band input matching smaller than -10 dB is achieved by choosing the \( g_{mT1} \) smaller than 85 mA/V. However, the smaller values of \( g_{mT1} \) can potentially achieve a higher noise figure up to 2 dB and yield a substantially lower gain.

Noise analysis for the concurrent DB-LNA is carried out for \( R_1 = 135 \ \Omega \), as shown in Figure 15, in which the NF is 4.2 and 4.6 dB at the operating frequencies of 2.4-GHz and 5.2-GHz, respectively. The effect of \( R_1 \) on the noise performance of the proposed DB-LNA is also evaluated in Figure 15 by varying the value of \( R_1 \). As shown in Figure 15, higher \( R_1 \) results in lower NF for both frequency bands. However, higher values of \( R_1 \) cause substantial peaking at the low band of the proposed DB-LNA.

Figure 16 shows the third-order intermodulation intercept point (IIP3) simulations of the concurrent DB-LNA. The IIP3 is carried out by applying a two-tone test with 4-MHz frequency spacing. As shown in Figure 16, the post-simulated IIP3s are -6 dBm and -11 dBm at 2.4-GHz and 5.2-GHz, respectively.

Figure 17 shows the stability factors based on the S-parameters to consider the stability of the proposed DB-LNA. The necessary and sufficient conditions for unconditional stability are expressed as follows:

\[
K = \frac{1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{22}|^2 + |S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21}|^2}{2 |S_{11}| |S_{21}|} > 1 \quad (20)
\]

\[
\Delta = |S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}S_{21}| < 1 \quad (21)
\]
Monte Carlo analysis is carried out on the proposed DB-LNA to evaluate the effects of components mismatches on performance parameters such as $S_{21}$, NF, and $S_{11}$. In Monte Carlo simulation with 1000 iterations, a 2% mismatch with Gaussian distribution for all circuit components is considered. As shown in Figures 18 and 19, the mean $S_{21}$ of 13.97/14.11 dB (nominally 13.73/14.11 dB) with a standard deviation of 0.21/0.45 are obtained at the operating frequencies of 2.4/5.2-GHz. The results show a mean NF of 4.18/4.72 dB (nominally 4.25/4.67 dB) with a standard deviation of 0.06/0.13 at the operating frequencies of 2.4/5.2-GHz. In addition, mean $S_{11}$ of -12/-13.35 dB (nominally -12.95/-14.64 dB) with a standard deviation of 0.28/0.88 is obtained at the operating frequencies of 2.4/5.2-GHz.

As seen in Figures 18 and 19, the Monte Carlo simulation results confirm the low sensitivity of the proposed DB-LNA to process variations at both frequency bands. The process corner cases and temperature variation are simulated at the operating frequencies, and the results are listed in Table 2. The proposed DB-LNA is also simulated over the power supply variation, and the results are listed in Table 3.

Table 4 has compares the performance of the proposed DB-LNA with similar reported works. A figure of merit (FoM) in both bands, which allows comparison between the concurrent DB-LNAs, is defined as follows:
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where $f_1$ and $f_2$ represent the centre frequencies of the low band and the high band of the concurrent DB-LNA, respectively. According to Table 4, the DB-LNA in (Roober & Rani [25]) presents a high power gain and low NF at both bands. However, its operating frequencies are lower than those of the proposed DB-LNA. Moreover, (Neihart et al.,[26]) achieves a low power DB-LNA. However, it suffers from the unbalanced amplitude of the gain at the operating frequencies. As seen in Table 4, the proposed circuit exhibits high and balanced amplitude of the gain and excellent input matching, moderate linearity, and power dissipation.

4 Conclusion

This paper proposed and analytically investigated an inverter-based concurrent dual-band LNA (DB-LNA) operating at 2.4/5.2-GHz. By inserting an LC network at the wideband LNA output, the dual-band operation is achieved. Analytical expressions for the gain, input matching, and noise figure are presented. In addition, the trade-off between the noise figure, and the input matching is detailed. The post-layout simulated circuit exhibits 13.7 dB/14.1 dB power gain and 4.2 dB/4.6 dB noise figure at 2.4 and 5.2 GHz, respectively. Moreover, it draws a current of 7.2-mA from 1.5 V supply. Compared to other DB-LNAs, the proposed LNA presents a high balanced gain, proper roll-off, and good input matching. The proposed concurrent DB-LNA could thus be a good choice for multiband receivers.

Table 2: The performance of the proposed DB-LNA for different process corners and temperature

| Parameter | $S_{21}$ (dB) | NF (dB) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | $P_C$ (mW) | IIP3 (dBm) |
|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------|------------|
| FF@-40 °C | 19.3/22.9     | 2.9/3.4 | -8.9/-17.1    | 16.6       | -7/-8.5    |
| TT@27 °C  | 13.7/14.1     | 4.2/4.6 | -12.9/-14.6   | 10.8       | -6/-11     |
| SS@85 °C  | 7.9/6.4       | 5.9/6   | -21.7/-12     | 7.4        | -6.5/-8    |

Table 3: The performance of the proposed DB-LNA for power supply variation

| VDD (V) | ±10% | $S_{21}$ (dB) | NF (dB) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | $P_C$ (mW) | IIP3 (dBm) |
|---------|------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------|------------|
| 1.35    | 9.3/8.4 | 4.8/4.7       | -17.8/-13.6 | 5.6      | -10/-12.4 |
| 1.8     | 13.7/14.1 | 4.2/4.6       | -12.9/-14.6 | 10.8      | -6/-11     |
| 1.65    | 16.6/17.9 | 3.9/4.7       | -11.2/-13.4 | 18.1      | -2/-8.5    |
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Table 4: The performance summary of the proposed concurrent DB-LNA and comparison with state-of-the-art concurrent DB-LNAs

| Ref. | Tech. (nm) | $f_0$ (GHz) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | NF (dB) | $S_{11}$ (dB) | IIP3 (dBm) | $V_{DD}$ (V) | Power (mW) | Size* (mm²) | FoM |
|------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| [20] | 130        | 2.4         | 19.3          | 3.2     | -16.8         | -20.1       | 1.2         | 2.4         | -           | -   |
|      |            | 5.2         | 17.5          | 3.3     | -19.4         | -18.1       | 1.2         | 12          | 0.44        | 5.8 |
| [21] | 130        | 2.05        | 14.9          | 4       | -8.6          | -2          | 1.2         | 12          | 0.44        | 5.8 |
|      |            | 5.65        | 14.9          | 4.8     | -32.4         | -4.2        | 1.2         | 12          | 0.58        | 3.8 |
| [25] | 180        | 0.9         | 15            | 1.9     | -10           | -6          | 1.2         | 12          | 0.58        | 3.8 |
|      |            | 2.4         | 16            | 2       | -15           | -2          | 1.2         | 12          | 0.58        | 3.8 |
| [26] | 180        | 2.4         | 14.2          | 4.4     | -14           | 3.4         | 1.8         | 7.2         | 0.61        | 8.7 |
|      |            | 5.2         | 14.6          | 3.7     | -13.5         | -2.7        | 1.8         | 11.7        | 0.85        | 5   |
| [27] | 180        | 2.4         | 10.8          | 3.25    | -15           | 4.5         | 1.8         | 11.7        | 0.85        | 5   |
|      |            | 5           | 8             | 4.1     | -11           | 3           | 1.8         | 11.7        | 0.85        | 5   |
| [28] | 90         | 0.9         | 22            | 2       | -21           | -5.5        | 0.5         | 5.2         | 0.091       | 24.3|
|      |            | 2.3         | 24            | 2.7     | -15           | -6.65       | 0.5         | 5.2         | 0.091       | 24.3|
| [29] | SISL Avago | 2.45        | 28.4          | 0.7     | -13           | -6.6        | 1           | 36          | -           | -   |
|      | ATF36163   | 5.25        | 28.8          | 1.1     | -20           | -5.1        | 1           | 36          | -           | -   |
| [30] | 130        | 2.45        | 9.4           | 2.8     | -12.6         | -4.3        | 1.2         | 2.79        | 0.36        | 14  |
|      |            | 6           | 18.9          | 3.8     | -21           | -5.6        | 1.2         | 2.79        | 0.36        | 14  |
| [31] | 180        | 1.217       | 13            | 1.58    | -10.6         | -           | 1.8         | 11.6        | 0.14        | 5   |
|      |            | 1.568       | 11.5          | 3.1     | -10.7         | -           | 1.8         | 11.6        | 0.14        | 5   |
| [32] | 180        | 2.4         | 20            | 6.6     | -7            | -           | 1.8         | 15          | 0.225       | 7.1 |
|      |            | 5.25        | 8             | 6.6     | -12           | -           | 1.8         | 15          | 0.225       | 7.1 |
| [33] | 150 PHEMT  | 2.4         | 20            | 2.2     | -19           | -8.5        | 3           | 37.8        | 1.15        | 6.3 |
|      |            | 5           | 15            | 2       | -13           | -4          | 3           | 37.8        | 1.15        | 6.3 |
| This work | 180       | 2.4         | 13.7          | 4.2     | -12.9         | -6          | 1.5         | 10.8        | 0.265       | 10  |
|      |            | 5.2         | 14.1          | 4.6     | -14.6         | -11         | 1.5         | 10.8        | 0.265       | 10  |

*Excluding Pads
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