Extension of the strain energy density method for fatigue assessment of welded joints to sub-zero temperatures
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Abstract
Within stress-based fatigue assessment concepts, causes that do not influence the fatigue stress parameters, such as temperature, can only be accounted for by means of modification factors. The strain energy density (SED) method allows to account for changing material support effects and Young’s modulus with temperature directly. Thus, in this study, a concept is presented to extend the SED method for fatigue assessment of welded joints at sub-zero temperatures. For this purpose, fatigue test results of welded joints made from normal and high-strength structural steel are assessed in the range of 20°C down to −50°C. The results are evaluated based on the formula that is used to derive the SED control radii of welded joints and compared with results of studies on SED-based assessment of notched components at high temperatures. From the estimates of the control radii, a temperature modification function for SED is derived for design purposes.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Due to the increased interest in transarctic shipping and oil and gas exploration in the Arctic, several studies have been conducted in order to ensure safe operations of ships and offshore structures in Arctic regions. One major knowledge gap reported for engineering structures exposed to Arctic conditions is the sub-zero temperature fatigue strength of welded joints. In a recent publication, several stress-based fatigue assessment methods were applied to fatigue test results obtained for welded joints at sub-zero temperatures. Beside large differences in prediction accuracy, significant problems arise from causes that do not directly influence the respective stresses. Typical example are thickness or temperature effects as well as postweld treatment. For such effects, modification factors need to be applied in stress-based fatigue assessment methods or methods that take into account material support effects such as the stress averaging or critical distance approach. Alternatively, the strain energy density (SED) method allows to take directly into account material support effects and changes of Young's modulus with temperature.

The SED concept has originally been derived from the notch stress intensity factor (N-SIF) concept. Based on the assumption of the weld toe and weld root being a V-notch without radius, the N-SIF can be derived from William's equations. It can be shown that for an opening angle \(2\alpha = 0°\), the N-SIF corresponds to stress intensity factor of a planar crack with depth equal to...
the slit length.\textsuperscript{10} Since the calculation of the N-SIF requires extremely refined finite element (FE) meshes, the SED concept was derived from the N-SIF concept and has successfully been applied to fatigue and fracture problems. Instead of analysing the stress in way of the notch tip, the SED is averaged \( \bar{W} \) in a control volume around the notch tip. The deformation energy required for crack initiation in a unit volume of material was proposed by Gillemot\textsuperscript{11} and Sih\textsuperscript{12} around the same time. In general, the underlying idea is to account for the support of the material surrounding a local stress raiser like notches or cracks by assessing the strain energy in a short distance around the singularity. The SED concept has thus been applied to fatigue, ductile and brittle fracture alike. Moreover, it has successfully been applied to assess changes in fatigue and fracture strength of notched components at high temperatures.\textsuperscript{13–16}

To the author’s knowledge, the fatigue strength of welded joints at temperatures different from room temperature (RT) has so far only been assessed using stress-based concepts and modification factors. No study tried to incorporating temperature effects based on changes of material parameter and support effects into the assessment procedure for welded joints, yet. Thus, in this study, the fatigue strength of welded joints at sub-zero temperatures will be analysed by means of the SED method. For this purpose, a general introduction to the SED method will be given in Section 2.1, followed by some remarks to the application to welded joints (Section 2.2) and a summary of applications of the SED method to high-temperature fatigue assessment due to its similar but opposite effect on fatigue strength. In Section 3, fatigue test results of welded joints at sub-zero temperatures are presented, and in Section 4, a concept of taking into account temperatures within the SED method is introduced and subsequently evaluated.

2 | SED METHOD

2.1 | Background on the SED method

The two major benefits of the SED method are the underlying physical relation to the material fracture behaviour and that the SED averaged over a small size control volume surrounding the point of stress singularity is only slightly influenced by the mesh pattern.\textsuperscript{17} Based on the Kitagawa-Takahashi diagram\textsuperscript{18} and the relation between small and long cracks, Atzori and Lazzarin\textsuperscript{19} derived a diagram for notches under Mode I loading and high cycle fatigue by substituting the theoretical stress concentration factors used for blunt notches by the N-SIF. That idea was then extended to fatigue assessment of welded joints with sharp notches at weld toe and weld root.

Lazzarin and Tovo showed that this N-SIF can be used as fatigue parameter for the life prediction of cyclically loaded welded joints and derived a corresponding stress-life (S–N) design curve from hundreds of fatigue tests.\textsuperscript{10} The same data was later used to derive an SED-life (\( \bar{W} – N \)) design curve for welded joints.\textsuperscript{20} Similarly to stress-life approaches, failure is assumed to occur when the SED range \( \Delta \bar{W} \) reaches the critical value in a characteristic volume of the material. In the following paragraphs, the background of the SED method will be introduced for notches and then extended to welded joints.

Considering only the leading order terms of William’s solution, the total elastic SED \( \bar{W} \) averaged over the area defined by the control radius \( R_c \) (see Figure 1) is calculated by the following:

\[
\bar{W} = \frac{e_1}{E} \left( \frac{K_1}{R_c^{1-\lambda_1}} \right)^2 + \frac{e_2}{E} \left( \frac{K_2}{R_c^{1-\lambda_2}} \right)^2 ,
\]

where \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are the N-SIF for modes I and II, \( E \) is the Young’s modulus and \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are correction factors, which depend on the stress-strain field (plane stress/plane strain), notch opening angle \( 2\alpha \) and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \). Lazzarin and Zambardi\textsuperscript{21} provide the following formulas for \( \nu = 0.3 \) under plane strain condition:

\[
e_1 = -5.373 \times 10^{-6} (2\alpha)^2 + 6.151 \times 10^{-4} (2\alpha) + 0.133 ,
\]

\[
e_2 = 4.809 \times 10^{-6} (2\alpha)^2 - 2.346 \times 10^{-3} (2\alpha) + 0.34 .
\]

The parameters \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the eigenvalues of the Williams’ stress field solution for the N-SIF \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) for modes I and II. The eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) can be derived from the following expressions:

Mode I : \( \sin(\lambda_1 \gamma) = -\lambda_1 \times \sin(\gamma) \),

Mode II : \( \sin(\lambda_2 \gamma) = \lambda_2 \times \sin(\gamma) \),

where \( \gamma = 2\pi - 2\alpha \) is the angle between the notch bisector and the notch flanks.

Under plane strain condition, the control radius \( R_c \) depends on the fatigue limit of smooth base material specimen \( \Delta \sigma_0 \) and the fatigue crack growth threshold for long cracks \( \Delta K_{th} \) as follows:
Introducing the well-known El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a_0$, which can be used to predict the transition between short and long fatigue crack arrest, yields the following:

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta K_{th}}{\Delta \sigma_0} \right)^2. \tag{7}$$

Under plane strain condition and if $\nu = 0.3$, Equation 6 becomes as follows:

$$R_C = 0.845a' \tag{8}$$

Thus, under Mode I loading, the control radius $R_C$ is directly related to the crack arrest behaviour of the material, which is in turn described by the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$. Interestingly, the material characteristic length $L$, describing the material support effect, of Taylor's Theory of Critical Distance (TCD) is thus directly related to the transition point between short and long crack growth ($a' = L$). According to Radaj, this relation only applies to stress ratios $R \geq 0$, since crack closure effects are not covered by the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter; however, for tensile loaded components, this relation allows to derive the control radius $R_C$ from either El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$ or material characteristic length $L$. It will later be presented how this relation can be used to assess the fatigue strength at temperatures different from RT, but before that, an introduction to the fatigue assessment of welded joints by means of the SED method will be given.

2.2 Application of the SED method to welded joints

Assuming sharp weld toe and root radii, Lazzarin et al showed how the SED can be applied to assess the fatigue strength of welded joints, as presented in Figure 2. Here, $\sigma_{rr}$, $\sigma_{r\theta}$ and $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ are the stress components in polar coordinates ($r, \theta$) required to calculate Modes I and II N-SIF from William’s equations.

When constancy of the angle included between weld flanks and main plates is ensured and the angle is large enough to make Mode II contribution nonsingular, only Mode I NSIF $K_1$ is required to describe the fatigue strength of welded joints. The control radius for weld toes and roots is thus determined by the following:

$$R_C = \left( \sqrt{2\varepsilon_1 \times \frac{\Delta K_1^{N}}{\Delta \sigma_0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{10}$$

Herein, $\Delta K_1^{N}$ is the Mode I N-SIF fatigue strength for notched component (depends on the opening angle $2\alpha$) and $\Delta \sigma_0$ is the mean fatigue strength of plain specimens, that is, butt welds without notch (ground flush) for welded joints. For fatigue assessment of welded joints, both quantities have been derived for $R = 0$ and cycles to failure $N_f = 5 \times 10^6$. Based on $\Delta K_1^{N} = 211$ MPa mm$^{0.326}$ and $\Delta \sigma_0 = 155$ MPa, a control radius $R_C = 0.28$ mm has been proposed for welded joints.

Atzori et al showed by N-SIF and fracture mechanics analysis of welded joints with plate thicknesses between 3 and 220 mm that the stress-life behaviour of welded joints can be well approximated by both approaches in scatter bands with almost identical range. Moreover, they introduced an N-SIF threshold value $\Delta K_{th}^{N}$, which they related to the fatigue crack growth rate threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ and the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$ assuming typical crack growth curve parameters and an initial crack length $a_i$ at the fatigue limit being related to $a'$ with the following:
where $Y$ is the crack geometry function. The full derivation shall not be repeated here, but what is important to point out is that also for welded joints, the SED control radius $R_C$ is directly linked to the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a_0$ and the material characteristic length $L$ of TCD. Moreover, assuming that the SIF value necessary to nucleate a crack at a weld toe or root is equal to the threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ of the fatigue crack growth rate at $5 \times 10^6$ cycles,$^30$ the N-SIF threshold value $\Delta K_{N,th}$ is a function of $\Delta K_{th}$.

Fischer et al.$^{31}$ reanalysed the data used to derive the control radii for welded joints and suggested slightly increased radii compared to the initially proposed radii (see Table 1), since misalignment effects—especially for weld toe failures—have not been excluded while deriving the $\Delta W$-N scatter band for welded joints. They argue that minor misalignment effects of 5% should have been considered for welded planar specimens and effects of about 10% for weld toe failures in the approach. Thus, they proposed control radii of $R_C = 0.32$ mm and $R_C = 0.325$ mm for weld toe and weld root assessment, respectively. The parameters for weld toe and root assessment are summarised in Table 1.

Besides the slightly increased control radii, Fischer et al.$^{32}$ suggested to apply a free fine mesh instead of the coarse mesh with triangular elements initially proposed by Radaj et al.$^{33}$; this results in a deviation of 0.5% from the reference value by Lazzarin and Tovo$^{10}$ for the $135^\circ$ opening angle. In Figure 3, such finite element meshes for weld toe and weld root of fillet-welded joints based on a free mesh generation are presented.

### Application of the SED method to fatigue at high temperatures

Due to the similar effect of high temperature on material behaviour (in the absence of creep) and the lack of studies on SED-based fatigue strength assessment at sub-zero temperatures, studies will be presented that propose concepts of accounting for temperature effects in SED-based fatigue assessment. Only Viespoli et al.$^{34}$ applied the SED method to fatigue strength of aluminium welded joints at sub-zero temperatures; however, no information are given whether any adjustments were made.

Recalling Equation 1, the averaged SED can either be computed numerically or for blunt notches calculated from a simplified formula according to Lazzarin and Berto$^{35}$ as follows:

$$W = c_W F(2\alpha) \times H \left(2\alpha, \frac{R_C}{\rho} \right) \times \frac{K_{t,n}^2 \sigma_n^2}{E},$$

where $F$ and $H$ are functions describing the notch shape, $c_W$ is a function of the stress ratio ($c_W = 1$ for $R = 0$ and $c_W = 0.5$ for $R = -1$; see Lazzarin et al.$^{27}$), $K_{t,n}$ is the stress concentration factor and $\sigma_n$ is the nominal stress. This description has been successfully applied to notched and plain specimens tested at high temperatures in four recent publications.$^{13-16}$

In Berto et al.$^{14}$ a 40CrMoV13.9 steel, typical for hot-rolling equipment, was tested and analysed in notched and unnotched states from RT up to 650°C, and fatigue test data of a Beryllium copper alloy, typical for high-

| TABLE 1 Calculation of $R_C$ based on Fischer et al.$^{31}$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  | Weld toe | Weld root |
| $2\alpha$        | 135°     | 0°        |
| $\varepsilon_1$  | 0.118    | 0.133     |
| $\lambda_1$      | 0.6736   | 0.5       |
| $\Delta K_{N,1}^1$ | 231 MPa mm$^{0.326}$ | 180 MPa mm$^{0.5}$ |
| $\Delta \sigma_0$ | 1.05 x 155 MPa | 1.05 x 155 MPa |
| $R_C$            | 0.32 mm  | 0.325 mm  |
temperature and magnet applications, from Berto et al\textsuperscript{13} was reassessed in terms of the SED approach. It was achieved to gather the test data for both materials, notched and unnotched states, and all temperatures together with earlier fatigue test results in one scatter band for each material. This was achieved by introducing an additional factor $Q(T)$ in Equation 12, which accounts for the notch sensitivity at different temperatures. According to Berto et al,\textsuperscript{13} the only prerequisite for using the elastic SED under Mode I loading and high temperatures is that the small-scale yielding condition is fulfilled.

Since no data were available for the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a_0$ of the Cu-Be alloy, the control radius was kept constant at $R_C = 0.6$ mm for RT and $650^\circ$C, and $Q(T)$ was calculated by equating the critical SED at $2 \times 10^7$ cycles. In Gallo et al,\textsuperscript{15} V- and semicircular notched specimens made from Titanium Grade 2 were analysed at RT and $500^\circ$C by means of the SED method. In this study, it was possible to calculate a design curve and corresponding scatter band for both notch types using $R_C = 0.3$ mm for RT and $R_C = 0.6$ mm for $500^\circ$C. A significant fatigue strength reduction of the semicircular specimens was found at $500^\circ$C; however, no syntheses of RT and high-temperature data was sought by means of a notch sensitivity factor $Q(T)$. In the most recent publication on SED-based high-temperature fatigue assessment by these authors, Gallo and Berto\textsuperscript{16} analysed notch and plain specimens made of C45 carbon steel at $250^\circ$C, Inconel 718 at $500^\circ$C and DZ125 at $850^\circ$C reported by Louks and Susmel.\textsuperscript{36} Using the aforementioned relation between the control radius $R_C$, the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$ and the material characteristic length parameter $L$ of Taylor's TCD, they were able to analyse the fatigue strength in terms of SED.

Although, in Berto et al,\textsuperscript{14} it is only stated that $Q(T)$ accounts for notch sensitivity effects it seems reasonable to assume it is directly related to the material-related support effect, which is described by the material characteristic length parameter $L$. Peterson\textsuperscript{37} and Neuber\textsuperscript{38} showed experimentally that the notch sensitivity is increasing with material strength and thus the material-related support effect is decreasing. At high temperatures, the tensile strength of materials is reduced and thereby the notch sensitivity decreased. In conclusion, it can be assumed that if the effect of temperature on the material-related support is known, described by either the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$ or the material characteristic length parameter $L$, SED-based fatigue strength assessment can be performed for any temperature. To the author's knowledge, no such studies exist for sub-zero temperature fatigue of notch components or welded joints. Therefore, recently published fatigue test results of welded joints at sub-zero temperatures\textsuperscript{39,40} are analysed in the following in terms of the SED.

3 | FATIGUE TEST RESULTS OF WELDED JOINTS OBTAINED AT SUB-ZERO TEMPERATURES

Braun et al\textsuperscript{39,40} presented fatigue test results of fillet- and butt-welded joints produced from structural steels. The analysed specimens consist of butt-welded joints, a double-sided transverse stiffener and a load-carrying cruciform joint. Within this study, the results of the fillet welded joint types with weld toe and weld root failure (see Figure 4) are analysed in terms of SED since they represent the two typical notch geometries for which the
SED method was originally developed (i.e., $2\alpha = 135^\circ$ and $2\alpha = 0^\circ$ at weld toe and root, respectively). The butt-welded joints have not been included due to material strength related differences in fatigue strength (difference in fatigue strength of more than 10% between S235 and S500 specimens) and the missing concepts to model butt joints with large weld toe radii (here up to 3 mm, see Braun et al40). The assumption of a V-type notch is thus not feasible, see Berto and Lazzarin.22

Tests results are presented in the range from RT, that is, 20°C, down to $-50^\circ$C. This temperature range seems representative for a ship travelling through Arctic regions year round.41 The specimens were produced from two structural steels: a normalised steel (S235J2+N) and a fine-grained thermomechanically rolled steel (S500G1+M) with the mechanical properties listed in Table 2. All specimens were welded by means of flux-cored arc welding process, see Braun et al39 for further details. Before the test, the misalignment and local weld geometry of every specimen were measured based on the curvature method (see other studies43,44). Thus, the actual throat thickness of each cruciform specimens has been used to calculate the nominal stress acting in the fillet weld.

Fatigue testing was carried out under axial loading, with a stress ratio $R = 0$, in a temperature chamber cooled by vapourised nitrogen, see Figure 5. The analysed dataset consists of 143 fatigue test results in total. Figure 6 presents the results in addition with the $S$-$N$ curve for 50% survival probability together with results of recent tests of cruciform joints and transversal stiffener. In all subfigures, the corresponding fatigue design curve (FAT) according to the International Institute of Welding (IIW) recommendations45 is included.

All test series have been evaluated using the recommended slope $k = 3$ for welded joints according to IIW recommendations.45 Moreover, the specimens showed generally small misalignment levels (below the level that requires correction of nominal stress results, see Braun et al39).

The results in terms of SED are presented in Figure 7. All test series of the transversal stiffener series are indicated with a “WT” (weld toe failure) and cruciform joints with weld root failure with a “WR.” Moreover, filled symbols are used for S500 steel and empty for S235 steel. The colour scheme for the temperature range is black (RT), red ($-20^\circ$C) and blue ($-50^\circ$C).

In order to highlight the outcome of an assessment with material parameters derived at RT, the proposed

| Steel      | $T$ [$^\circ$C] | $\sigma_{UTS}$ [MPa] | $e_f$ [%] |
|------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|
| S235J2+N   | 20             | 453.5                | 30.6      |
|            | $-20$          | 469.3                | 25.6      |
|            | 50             | 485.9                | 23.3      |
| S500G1+M   | 20             | 597.4                | 19.0      |
|            | $-20$          | 643.8                | 18.6      |
|            | $-50$          | 644.5                | 15.3      |

Figure 4 Specimen geometries of the two weld details analysed in this paper: (A) transversal stiffener and (B) cruciform joint

Figure 5 Cruciform joint specimen before a fatigue test, taken from Braun et al39 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 6 Results of the fatigue tests at different temperatures for the two different steels and joint types, data from Braun et al.\textsuperscript{39} [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

FIGURE 7 (A) Results for sub-zero temperatures with room temperature control radii for weld toe and root failure ($R_{c,WT} = 0.32$ mm and $R_{c,WR} = 0.325$ mm), and (B) comparison of experimental and predicted cycles to failure [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
control radii by Fischer et al.\textsuperscript{31} and a Young’s modulus of 206 GPa are applied. Moreover, a free mesh generation inside the control radius is applied (see Figure 3).

Due to the small variation of throat thickness between the test specimens, the median weld throat thickness is used in the FE models of the cruciform joints (i.e., $a = 5.71$ mm and $a = 5.85$ mm for S235 and S500 cruciform joints, respectively); however, the throat thickness of each specimen is used to calculate the actual nominal stress. Moreover, secondary bending effects due to misalignment are considered in a simplified way as an increased nominal stress, which is thought to be conservative.\textsuperscript{3,43} For this purpose, the standard formulas given in international standard and guidelines are applied, see other studies.\textsuperscript{45,46}

First of all, the fatigue data of most test series fit nicely into the proposed scatter band for welded joints\textsuperscript{47}; and, as expected, the fatigue strength of the tests at sub-zero temperatures are closer to the upper limit of the scatter band. Furthermore, a slightly higher fatigue strength is observed for transversal stiffeners compared to cruciform joints. In Figure 8, the distribution of the logarithmic deviation between the experimental $N_{\text{exp}}$ and the predicted cycles to failure $N_{\text{pred},97.7\%}$ based on the lower bound of the scatter band are presented, which are calculated as follows:

$$\text{dev} = \log(N_{\text{exp}}) - \log(N_{\text{pred},97.7\%}).$$

Due to the different radii used, the results are plotted separately for the two failure locations (weld toe and root). Moreover, $\mu_{\text{WT}}$ and $\mu_{\text{WR}}$ refer to the mean deviation for specimens showing weld toe and weld root failure. In general, the test results at sub-zero temperatures could be assessed with the scatter band proposed derived from tests at RT; however, this would lead to unnecessary conservatism due to the increasing deviation between design curve and fatigue strength at sub-zero temperatures. In the following section, a concept of including temperature effects in fatigue assessment of welded joints without the need for modification factors or new scatter bands is presented.

### 4 | Extension of the Sed Method to Assess the Fatigue Strength of Welded Joints Tested at Sub-Zero Temperatures

#### 4.1 | Synthesis of Sub-Zero Temperatures Fatigue Test Results

First of all, as mentioned in Section 2.1, the expected changes of material support effect with temperature are linked to the size of the control radius $R_c$ via the material characteristic length parameter $L$ or the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$. At low temperatures, the material strength is known to increase.\textsuperscript{48,49} Thus, a decrease of $L$ and $a'$ is expected for sub-zero temperatures; however, measuring the material support effect by either parameter requires a large number of specimens. Consequently, the change in material support effect is here derived by finding the control radius $R_c$ at sub-zero temperatures that fits the test data best, that is, leading to the same mean deviation from the proposed scatter band for all test temperatures based on Equation 13.

Berto et al.\textsuperscript{14} have shown that fatigue test data in the range from RT to 500°C can be summarised in one scatter band using one control radius and the proposed notch sensitivity function. For 650°C, a separate scatter band with different slope was proposed due to the change in underlying fracture behaviour. Thus, it can be assumed...
that the same scatter band can be applied also for sub-zero temperatures as long as the underlying fracture behaviour is unchanged and the small-scale yielding condition is fulfilled. For high-cycle fatigue and sub-zero temperatures above the ductile-brittle transition temperature, those assumptions are fulfilled.

Beside the fatigue strength, also the Young’s modulus is affected by temperature effects. From Equation 1, it becomes apparent that the change in Young’s modulus with temperature is directly accounted for by the elastic SED. Here, the Young’s modulus is assumed to change 10 GPa for every 100°C of temperature change (cf. other studies49–51). This change agrees with data reported in standards like BS791046 for high temperature below the creep limit; however, a smaller increase in Young’s modulus is assumed in BS791046 for sub-zero temperatures (see Braun et al39 for further discussion). In this paper, a change of Young’s modulus 10 GPa for every 100°C of temperature change is assumed for sub-zero temperatures (i.e., 202 GPa at −20°C and 199 GPa at −50°C).

From Figure 8, a clear trend towards a higher deviation of the experimental from the predicted fatigue strength (based on the proposed scatter band for RT) is unambiguous. In order to highlight the change in deviation with decreasing temperature, the mean and standard deviation (indicated by vertical lines around the mean value) of the logarithmic deviation is presented in Figure 9A for the two specimen types and corresponding failure locations.

Interestingly, an almost linear increase is observed for both specimen types with decreasing temperature. Thus, in Figure 9B, the average deviation relative to RT is presented including the change in temperature per degree Celsius (illustrated by the slope triangle).

In the next step, the control radius \( R_c \) at sub-zero temperatures—that fits the test data best—is derived by assessing the mean deviation (dev) that results from varying the control radii \( R_c \) for WT and WR. The logarithmic relation between both parameters is presented in Figure 9C,D for −20°C and −50°C, respectively, and both failure locations. From the equations besides the curves, the control radius \( R_c \) that yields the average deviation at −20°C and −50°C according to Figure 9B is calculated. The resulting control radii, being for the weld toe \( R_c = 0.37 \) mm at −20°C and 0.41 mm at −50°C as well as \( R_c = 0.41 \) mm at −20°C and 0.51 mm at −50°C for the weld root, are marked on the graphs in Figure 9C,D. Subsequently, the so-derived control radii are plotted against test temperature in Figure 9E.

From the estimated control radii, it is possible to derive a general recommendation for control radii to be applied for SED-based fatigue assessment of engineering structures subjected to sub-zero temperatures as follows:

Weld toe failure \( (2\alpha = 135°) : R_{c,WT} = 0.32e^{-0.0036(T−20)} \); for \( T \) in °C,

\[
(14)
\]

Weld root failure \( (2\alpha = 0°) : R_{c,WR} = 0.325e^{-0.0064(T−20)} \); for \( T \) in °C.

\[
(15)
\]

Since the operating temperature and thus material temperature of engineering structures like ships and offshore structures exposed to arctic conditions can vary a lot, it seems infeasible to adjust the control radii for lots of different temperatures. Thus, a temperature
modification formula \( M(T) \) for the SED—similar to the notch shape functions \( F \) and \( H \) of Equation 12—shall be introduced. In Figure 9F, the relation between normalised elastic SED \( \dot{W} \) and the control radius (i.e., the percentage change of \( W \) with respect to \( R_c \)) is presented for the weld toe and weld root. By inserting Equation 14 and Equation 15 in the corresponding equation given in Figure 9F, the following temperature modification function is derived for weld toe and weld root failure:

\[
M(T) = \begin{cases} 
(e^{-0.0036(T-20)})^{-0.64} & \text{if } 2\alpha = 135^\circ \\
(e^{-0.0064(T-20)})^{-0.97} & \text{if } 2\alpha = 0^\circ 
\end{cases} ; \ T \text{ in } ^\circ\text{C}.
\]

(16)

Applying the aforementioned calculated control radii for weld toe and root and \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) and \(-50^\circ\text{C}\), it is possible to assess the fatigue test results obtained at sub-zero temperatures in the same scatter band proposed for RT, see Figure 10.

Figure 10  Results for sub-zero temperatures with control radius \( R_c \) adjusted to sub-zero temperatures [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 11  (A) Sub-zero temperatures \( \Delta K_{th} \) data extracted from literature and (B) normalised average \( \Delta K_{th} \) change at sub-zero temperatures from 50 datasets reported in literature\(^{52-75} \) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Figure 10. Compared with Figure 7, all transversal stiffener specimens are now inside the proposed scatter band. The results of the cruciform joints are scattered around the lower end of the proposed scatter band (i.e., around the $\Delta W\sim N$ curve for 97.7% survival probability). The same result was observed by Fischer et al\textsuperscript{31} for the same specimen type and specimen thickness. Nonetheless, the SED method is much better suited to capture temperature effects on fatigue strength due to the link between the size of the control radius $R_c$ and the material support effect than stress-based fatigue assessment methods. Applying stress-based concepts, changes in fatigue strength at sub-zero temperatures can only be covered by modification factors, see Braun et al\textsuperscript{3}.

By applying the aforementioned concept, the mean deviation of the fatigue life prediction of the transversal stiffener to RT results is reduced from 18.7% at $-20^\circ$C and 31.9% at $-50^\circ$C to 1.1% and 0%, respectively.

5 | ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS USING DATA OF MATERIAL FATIGUE

In order to evaluate the feasibility of the adjusted control radii $R_c$ for sub-zero temperatures, the components from which $R_c$ for welded joints was originally derived for RT are evaluated at sub-zero temperatures. Recalling Equation 10, $R_c$ is derived from $\Delta K_{th}^N$ and fatigue limit $\Delta \sigma_0$ of butt welds without a notch. $\Delta K_{th}^N$ at sub-zero temperatures can be estimated based on literature data; however, there are no data available for $\Delta \sigma_0$ at sub-zero temperatures. Thus, a comparison with the initially presented test data on butt-welded joints (that show a similar fatigue strength as flush-ground butt welds) will be performed.

In order to estimate the change of $\Delta K_{th}^N$ with temperature, it is possible to make use of the relation between the threshold of fatigue crack growth rate $\Delta K_{th}$ and the N-SIF threshold value $\Delta K_{th}^N$. Assuming a linear relation between both quantities at 5x10$^6$ cycles (see Atzori et al\textsuperscript{30}), the change of N-SIF threshold value $\Delta K_{th}^N$ can be estimated from the change of fatigue crack growth rate threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ at sub-zero temperatures.

In total, 52 datasets were extracted from literature that report fatigue crack growth rate threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ at sub-zero temperatures.\textsuperscript{52–75} The results are presented in Figure 11A. First of all, the data is analysed regarding different influencing factors within the datasets, but no particular peculiarities are found for stress ratio, material (ferritic/austenitic), base material or welded material. Seven datasets were found for stress ratios above $R = 0.1$ and one for $R = -1$. The remaining data were measured for ratios between $R = 0$ and $R = 0.1$. Two datasets have been rejected since the change in threshold value seemed unreasonably high compared with all other datasets.

Since a lot of studies focused on the determination of the fatigue transition temperature to brittle material behaviour, only data points, which are clearly above the transition temperature, were considered for the assessment. Only one dataset is found with a decreasing average threshold value at low temperatures; however, the value is assumed to be above the transition temperature based on the other reported results in the same study. Unsurprisingly, the higher the initial threshold value, the higher the increase at low temperatures; however, in order to use the data to estimate the median increase $k_{\Delta K_{th,norm}}$ in fatigue crack growth rate threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ at sub-zero temperatures, the average slope of each dataset was normalised by the threshold at RT. The calculated normalised mean slope is $k_{\Delta K_{th,norm}} = -0.0033$ MPa√m/$^\circ$C (red line in Figure 11B) with a standard deviation (STD) of 0.0021 MPa√m/$^\circ$C (leading to the dashed red lines).

The average reported RT was 23.9$^\circ$C with a standard deviation of 2.2$^\circ$C. Due to the minor deviation in reported RT and for presentation purpose, the normalised fatigue crack growth rate threshold $\Delta K_{th,norm}$ with temperature is presented in Figure 11B by setting the RT to 23.9$^\circ$C. All datasets are presented in the actual tested temperature range of each study.

Based on the linear relation between $\Delta K_{th}$ and $\Delta K_{th}^N$, an increase of $\Delta K_{th}^N$ of about 12% at $-20^\circ$C and 21% at $-50^\circ$C is estimated compared with an RT of 20$^\circ$C (room test temperature of this study); this agrees well with the change in nominal fatigue strength of the cruciform joints at those two temperatures. Due to the sharp notch
radii and the zero opening angle at the weld root, the fatigue life of cruciform joints failing from the weld root is almost entirely driven by crack propagation. Consequently, a similar change in threshold value and nominal fatigue strength seems reasonable.

Based on the change of $\Delta K^N_1$, the required change in plain-specimen fatigue strength $\Delta \sigma_c$ at sub-zero temperature to yield the calculated control radii $R_c$ at sub-zero temperatures can be estimated by rearranging Equation 10.

$$\Delta \sigma_c = \frac{2 \rho \Delta L}{R_c^{1-\lambda}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Inserting the calculated control radii $R_c$ and increasing $\Delta K^N_1$ by 12% and 21% for $-20^\circ C$ and $-50^\circ C$, respectively, a changed strength of plain specimen fatigue (ground flush butt joints) to about 158 and 165 MPa is calculated for weld toe failure at $-20^\circ C$ and $-50^\circ C$, respectively. For weld root failure, an increase to about 162 MPa is estimated at $-20^\circ C$ and a slight decrease to 157 MPa for $-50^\circ C$. Given the uncertainty in calculated mean slope of the fatigue crack growth rate threshold at sub-zero temperatures, the level of increase seems reasonable.

As initially mentioned, fatigue testing of plain butt-welded specimens would be a complex and costly task (see other works$^{31,76}$). Instead, data of high quality S500 butt-welded joints are used as a reference here from Braun et al.$^{40}$ They have been tested under the same conditions presented in Section 3. Due to the large weld toe radii up to 3 mm, the fatigue strength exceeds the reference fatigue strength for butt-welded joints according to international standards by far, see Braun et al.$^{40}$ The actual fatigue strength and natural slope are indeed quite close to the fatigue strength of ground-flush butt-welded joints in literature (155 MPa for $N_f = 5 \times 10^6$ and 50% survival probability). The fatigue test results of the S500 butt-welded joints are thus re-evaluated using a fixed slope exponent $k = 3.75$ (often used to assess ground-flush butt-welded joints$^{31}$). The results are presented in Figure 12. For comparison, the fatigue strength at five million cycles is presented along with the FAT155 curve. The results are remarkably close to the estimated plain specimen fatigue strength by Equation 14 for weld toe failure at $-20^\circ C$ and $-50^\circ C$ and weld root failure at $-20^\circ C$. Only the results for weld root failure and $-50^\circ C$ show a different trend with lower plain specimen fatigue strength at $-50^\circ C$.

The estimate of a smaller increase of plain specimen fatigue strength, based on the proposed control radii and literature data of threshold of fatigue crack growth rate $\Delta K_{th}$ for sub-zero temperatures, is thus confirmed.

6 | DISCUSSION

In this study, a concept is presented to derive control radii $R_c$ for sub-zero temperatures fatigue assessment of welded steel joints. Due to the lack of studies concerning low temperatures, the idea is based on previous studies of Berto et al.$^{13-16}$ for high-temperature fatigue of notched components. In order to evaluate the derived control radii $R_c$, the relation between $R_c$, the N-SIF $\Delta K^N_1$ and fatigue limit $\Delta \sigma_c$ of butt welds without a notch is applied. Due to missing data for both quantities, the relation between $\Delta K^N_1$ and stress-intensity-factor threshold for long cracks $\Delta K_{th}$ as well as presented test data on butt-welded joints (that show a similar fatigue strength as flush-ground butt welds) is used for evaluation.

Judging the seemingly larger increase in stress-intensity factor threshold for long cracks $\Delta K_{th}$ compared with the expected smaller relative change in plain specimen fatigue strength $\Delta \sigma_c$, an increase in the El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter $a'$ or material characteristic length $L$ at sub-zero temperatures is expected. Verifying the observed trend by testing for the actual material characteristic length of welded joints seems practically infeasible, since it would require tests to be performed at the exact same load ratio according to Louks and Susmel,$^{36}$ which would mean that the local stress ratio of each specimen would have to be accounted for. It is well known that the local stress ratio at the crack initiation location may vary considerably from the applied nominal stress ratio, due to welding residual stresses, local stress concentration and misalignment effects; however, further tests on flush-ground butt-welded specimens are one possibility to support the observed trend. Interestingly, a similar trend of increased material characteristic length $L$ was observed using the stress averaging approach.$^8$

Based on the presented concept, it is possible to assess the fatigue strength of specimens failing from weld toe or weld root by means of the originally proposed $\Delta W - N$ scatter band for welded joints. Similar concepts have successfully been introduced by Berto et al$^{14}$ for notched and unnotched 40CrMoV13.9 steel specimens tested at high temperatures; however, instead of introducing an empirical temperature sensitivity function $Q(T)$, synthesis of the fatigue test data at different temperatures is achieved by finding the control radii $R_c$ that fit the data best (i.e., leading to the same deviation from the design curve as for RT test data). Moreover, temperature effects on Young’s modulus and changes of material support effect via changed control radii with temperature are directly accounted for by this procedure; this agrees with previous findings of Gallo and Berto$^{16}$ for high-temperature fatigue of notched components. Thus, temperature effects
on fatigue strength are accounted for on a sound physical basis, and no modification factors (as for stress-based fatigue assessment methods) are required.

7 | CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the possibility of extending the SED method for fatigue assessment to welded joints exposed to sub-zero temperatures. For this purpose, fatigue test results of fillet-welded steel joints with weld toe and weld root failure by Braun et al. in the range of 20°C down to −50°C were used to derive control radii suitable for the application of the SED method at sub-zero temperatures. The results are evaluated based on the formula that is used to derive the control radii of welded joints. For this purpose, fatigue crack growth threshold test results found in literature and estimates of the plain specimen fatigue strength of ground-flush butt-welded joints at sub-zero temperatures are applied. From the estimates of the control radii at sub-zero temperatures, temperature modification functions for the SED are derived for design purposes. From the investigation, the following conclusions are drawn:

- It is possible to gather the fatigue strength of welded joints in the same scatter band of the SED method for welded joints—that was initially proposed for fatigue at RT—if changes of material support effect and Young’s modulus at sub-zero temperatures are accounted for by changing the control radii.
- Suitable control radii at sub-zero temperature can be derived by enforcing the deviation between design curves to be the same at RT and sub-zero temperatures.
- Thus, the introduction of modification factors for temperatures different than RT can be avoided—as it is required for stress-based concepts. Nonetheless, temperature modification functions for the SED are derived to ease fatigue design by means of the SED method.
- The proposed concept for sub-zero temperatures and the obtained SED control radii are assessed based on the parameters the control radii are derived from. Using data of material fatigue at sub-zero temperature, that is, of stress intensity factor threshold $\Delta K_{th}$ at sub-zero temperatures found in literature and test results of butt-welded joints with similar fatigue strength as flush ground butt-welded joints, a good agreement with the proposed concept is achieved.
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NOMENCLATURE

- $a'$: El Haddad-Smith-Topper parameter
- $a_i$: initial crack length at the fatigue limit
- $B, H, L, t$: specimen’s width, stiffener height, length and thickness
- $c_W$: SED stress ratio function
- $dev$: logarithmic deviation between the experimental and the predicted cycles to failure
- $e_f$: elongation at fracture
- $e_1, e_2$: SED stress-strain field correction factors
- $F, H$: SED notch shape functions
- $k$: slope exponent of the stress-life curve
- $K_{1n}$: stress concentration factor
- $K_1$ and $K_2$: N-SIF for modes I and II
- $L$: material characteristic length
- $M(T)$: temperature modification formula
- $N_{f}, N_{f,exp}, N_{f, pred, 97.7\%}$: number of cycles to failure, experimental number of cycles to failure and number of cycles to failure for 97.7% survival probability
- $r_0$: distance between the V-notch tip and the origin of the local coordinate system
- $R$: stress ratio between lower and upper stress
- $Q(T)$: notch sensitivity function
- $R_c, R_{c,WT}, R_{c, wr}$: control radius, control radius at weld toe and at weld root
- $T$: temperature
- $\bar{W}, \Delta \bar{W}, \bar{W}$: averaged SED, SED range and normalised SED
- $Y$: crack geometry function
- $2\alpha, \gamma$: notch opening angle and bisector
- $\Delta K_{th, norm}$: fatigue crack growth threshold for long cracks and Mode I N-SIF threshold value
- $\Delta K_{1}^N$: Mode I N-SIF fatigue strength for notched component
- $\Delta K_{th, norm}$: normalised fatigue crack growth rate threshold of $\Delta K_{th}$ vs. $T$ data and mean slope of $\Delta K_{th, norm}$
- $\Delta \sigma_0$: fatigue limit of smooth base material specimen.
\( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) eigenvalues of the Williams' stress field solution for the N-SIF \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) for modes I and II

\( \mu_{WT}, \mu_{WR} \) mean deviation for specimens showing weld toe and weld root failure

\( \nu \) Poisson's ratio

\( \sigma_n, \Delta \sigma_n \) nominal stress and nominal stress range

\( \sigma_{rr}, \sigma_{\theta \theta} \) and \( (r, \Theta) \) stress components in polar coordinates

\( \sigma_{UTS}, \sigma_{YS} \) ultimate tensile and yield strength
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